A TRPC5-regulated calcium signaling pathway controls dendrite patterning in the mammalian brain
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Transient receptor potential (TRP) channels have been implicated as sensors of diverse stimuli in mature neurons. However, developmental roles for TRP channels in the establishment of neuronal connectivity remain largely unexplored. Here, we identify an essential function for TRPC5, a member of the canonical TRP subfamily, in the regulation of dendrite patterning in the mammalian brain. Strikingly, TRPC5 knockout mice harbor long, highly branched granule neuron dendrites with impaired dendritic claw differentiation in the cerebellar cortex. In vivo RNAi analyses suggest that TRPC5 regulates dendrite morphogenesis in the cerebellar cortex in a cell-autonomous manner. Correlating with impaired dendrite patterning in the cerebellar cortex, behavioral analyses reveal that TRPC5 knockout mice have deficits in gait and motor coordination. Finally, we uncover the molecular basis of TRPC5's function in dendrite patterning. We identify the major protein kinase calcium/calmodulin-dependent kinase II β (CaMKIIβ) as a critical effector of TRPC5 function in neurons. Remarkably, TRPC5 forms a complex specifically with CaMKIIβ, but not the closely related kinase CaMKIIα, and thereby induces the CaMKIIβ-dependent phosphorylation of the ubiquitin ligase Cdc20-APC at the centrosome. Accordingly, centrosomal CaMKIIβ signaling mediates the ability of TRPC5 to regulate dendrite morphogenesis in neurons. Our findings define a novel function for TRPC5 that couples calcium signaling to a ubiquitin ligase pathway at the centrosome and thereby orchestrates dendrite patterning and connectivity in the brain.
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The regulation of dendrite development is essential for the establishment of neuronal circuits in the brain (Hausser et al. 2000; Jan and Jan 2003; Grueber and Jan 2004; de la Torre-Ubieta and Bonni 2011). Beyond providing a better understanding of brain development, studies of dendrite morphogenesis have garnered increasing interest because disturbances in dendrite structure are featured in diverse neurological diseases, including mental retardation and autism spectrum disorders (Kaufmann and Moser 2000; Dierssen and Ramakers 2006; Pardo and Eberhart 2007).

Calcium signaling plays a central role in the regulation of dendrite morphogenesis and connectivity in the developing brain (Wong and Ghosh 2002). Voltage-sensitive calcium channels (VSCCs) and NMDA receptors provide a major mode of calcium entry in neurons and trigger downstream signaling cascades that control dendrite development (Konur and Ghosh 2005; Cline and Haas 2008). However, the role of other calcium channels in the regulation of dendrite development remains largely to be elucidated. In particular, the potential role of the large family of transient receptor potential (TRP) channels in dendrite morphogenesis and connectivity remains unexplored.

TRP channels have been characterized as cellular sensors of diverse stimuli, including temperature, nociception, taste, and mechanical forces [McKemy et al. 2002; Montell et al. 2002; Clapham 2003; Ramsey et al. 2006; Talavera et al. 2008]. Recent data suggest that TRP channels might also harbor developmental functions in neurons. Members of the canonical TRP (TRPC) channel subfamily, which are highly expressed in the developing mammalian brain, have been implicated in the control of growth cone morphology and responses in vitro (Greka...
et al. 2003; Davare et al. 2009), raising the intriguing possibility that TRPC channels might contribute to the regulation of neuronal morphogenesis and connectivity.

Calcium entry through VSCCs is thought to act via transcriptional mechanisms to promote dendrite growth and branching [Redmond et al. 2002; Gaudilliere et al. 2004], while calcium entry through NMDA receptors may act locally to control dendrite branching and stabilization [Rajan et al. 1999; Sin et al. 2002]. Recent studies have identified the centrosome as a critical signaling hub that regulates dendrite morphogenesis [Kim et al. 2009]. The major mitotic E3 ubiquitin ligase Cdc20-APC operates at the centrosome to promote dendrite growth and arborization. These studies have raised the question of whether calcium might regulate ubiquitin signaling at the centrosome.

The protein kinase calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II (CaMKII) represents a major target of calcium signaling downstream from VSCCs and NMDA receptors in neurons [Wu and Cline 1998; Rajan et al. 1999; Vaillant et al. 2002; Gaudilliere et al. 2004]. Intracellular calcium binds to calmodulin (CaM), which activates CaMks, including CaMKII. In the mammalian brain, CaMKII predominantly consists of the α and β isoforms [Miller and Kennedy 1985; Vallano 1989; Kanaseki et al. 1991], which have divergent functions in dendrite patterning. CaMKIIα is activated in response to calcium entry via VSCCs and subsequently phosphorylates the transcription factor NeuroD at Ser336 and thus stimulates dendrite growth and elaboration [Gaudilliere et al. 2004]. In contrast, CaMKIIβ phosphorylates the APC ubiquitin ligase coactivator Cdc20 at Ser51 and thereby inhibits centrosomal Cdc20-APC activity and consequently triggers dendrite retraction and pruning [Puram et al. 2011]. However, the fundamental question of the identity of calcium channels operating upstream of CaMKIIβ in the control of dendrite patterning remained to be addressed.

In this study, we discovered an essential function for the channel TRPC5 as a critical regulator of dendrite patterning in the mammalian brain. TRPC5 knockout mice harbor exuberant granule neuron dendrites and arbor with deficient post-synaptic dendritic claw differentiation in the cerebellar cortex. In vivo RNAi electroporation analyses show that TRPC5 controls dendrite patterning in the cerebellar cortex in a cell-autonomous manner. Behavioral analyses reveal that TRPC5 knockout mice have deficits in gait and motor coordination. Finally, we identified a mechanism by which TRPC5 regulates dendrite patterning. Remarkably, TRPC5 forms a complex specifically with CaMKIIβ but not CaMKIIα. Accordingly, TRPC5 induces the CaMKIIβ-dependent phosphorylation of the E3 ubiquitin ligase Cdc20-APC, and the centrosomal CaMKIIβ signaling pathway mediates TRPC5-dependent dendrite morphogenesis. Together, our findings define a novel function for TRPC5 as a key activator of calcium-regulated ubiquitin signaling at the centrosome, leading to the establishment of neuronal connectivity in the mammalian brain.

Results

TRPC5 regulates dendrite patterning in primary neurons and in the mammalian brain in vivo

To characterize the role of TRPCs in neuronal development, we used granule neurons of the developing rat cerebellar cortex. Granule neurons provide a robust model system for studies of neuronal morphogenesis and connectivity [Yang et al. 2010; de la Torre-Ubieta and Bonni 2011]. Granule neurons are generated in the external granule layer (EGL) in the developing cerebellar cortex. Newly generated granule neurons extend parallel fiber axons and migrate inward through the molecular layer [ML] into the internal granule layer [IGL]. Within the IGL, granule neurons elaborate dendrites, which branch extensively, followed by a phase of dendrite retraction and pruning. As they mature, granule neuron dendrites form at their ends specialized structures termed dendritic claws, which house synapses with mossy fiber terminals and Golgi neuron axons [Palay and Chan-Palay 1974; Hamori and Somogyi 1983; Ramon y Cajal 1995; Shalizi et al. 2006]. Thus, granule neurons follow a characteristic and stereotyped program of neuronal morphogenesis typical of neurons in the CNS [Hatten and Heintz 1995; Altman and Bayer 1997].

To determine the function of TRPCs in neurons, we employed a plasmid-based method of RNAi to acutely knock down TRPC1, TRPC3, TRPC4, TRPC5, TRPC6, and TRPC7 [Gaudilliere et al. 2002]. TRPC family members form homomers or heteromers. TRPC1 forms heteromers with TRPC4 or TRPC5, while TRPC3, TRPC6, and TRPC7 form distinct heteromers [Ramsey et al. 2006]. We generated shRNAs that target distinct regions of the TRPCs and confirmed that the shRNAs induced knockdown of each targeted TRPC protein in cells [Supplemental Fig. S1]. We next determined the effect of knockdown of each TRPC family member on the morphology of granule neurons dendrites, which are easily identified based on their morphology and immunocytochemical markers [Gaudilliere et al. 2004; Kim et al. 2009; de la Torre-Ubieta et al. 2010]. We found that knockdown of members of the TRPC1/4/5 channel subfamily, but not knockdown of the TRPC3/6/7 channel subfamily, led to a robust phenotype characterized by long primary dendrites with increased secondary and tertiary dendrite branching (Fig. 1A). In morphometric analyses, total dendrite length was significantly increased upon TRPC1, TRPC4, or TRPC5 knockdown (Fig. 1B). In contrast, knockdown of TRPC3, TRPC6, and TRPC7 had little or no effect on dendrite length [Fig. 1A,B], suggesting a requirement for members of the TRPC1/4/5 channel subfamily in the restriction of dendrite growth and elaboration in neurons. In other experiments, we found that expression of exogenous TRPC5, but not other TRPCs, was sufficient to restrict dendrite growth (Fig. 1C,D). Together, these data suggest that TRPC5 may play a critical role in the regulation of dendrite morphogenesis.

The finding that TRPC5 is necessary and sufficient to restrict dendrite growth in primary neurons led us to the
Figure 1. TRPC5 restricts dendrite growth and elaboration in neurons. (A) Granule neurons transfected with distinct TRPC RNAi plasmids targeting TRPC1, TRPC3, TRPC4, TRPC5, TRPC6, TRPC7, or the control U6 plasmid together with an expression plasmid encoding GFP were subjected to immunocytochemistry 4 d later using the GFP antibody. Representative neurons are shown. In all images of neuronal morphology, arrows and arrowheads indicate dendrites and axons, respectively. TRPC1, TRPC4, and TRPC5 knockdown led to longer, more highly branched dendrites. Bar, 10 μm. (B) Total dendrite length for granule neurons treated as in A was quantified. Total dendrite length was significantly increased in TRPC1, TRPC4, and TRPC5 knockdown neurons compared with control U6-transfected neurons. In contrast, TRPC3, TRPC6, or TRPC7 knockdown had little or no effect on total dendrite length compared with control U6 transfection (ANOVA, \( P < 0.0001 \)). One-thousand-one-hundred-seventy neurons were measured. Specific values for mean, SEM, and number of cells analyzed for each condition are provided for all experimental results in Supplemental Table 2. A detailed statistics table comparing each shRNA with the others is provided in Supplemental Table 3. The population distribution of total dendrite length for TRPC5 knockdown and control U6-transfected neurons is shown in Supplemental Figure S10. (C) Granule neurons transfected with an expression plasmid encoding TRPC1, TRPC3, TRPC4, TRPC5, TRPC6, or TRPC7—substantially reduced dendrite growth and arborization. Bar, 10 μm. (D) Total dendrite length for granule neurons treated as in C was quantified. Total dendrite length was significantly decreased in neurons expressing TRPC5—but not in neurons expressing TRPC1, TRPC3, TRPC4, TRPC6, or TRPC7—compared with control vector-transfected neurons (ANOVA, \( P < 0.0001 \)). Six-hundred-thirty neurons were measured.
The identification of a physiologic function for TRPC5 in dendrite patterning led us next to the question of whether TRPC5 regulates dendrite development in a cell-autonomous manner. To address this question, we took advantage of an in vivo RNAi approach in which gene knockdown is induced in a small percentage of sparsely distributed neurons in the cerebellar cortex in postnatal rat pups in vivo (Konishi et al. 2004; Shalizi et al. 2006; Kim et al. 2009). We first validated the specificity of the shRNAs targeting TRPC5 in primary neurons. We generated an expression plasmid encoding TRPC5 that is resistant to RNAi (TRPC5-RES) [Fig. 4A]. Expression of TRPC5-RES, but not TRPC5 encoded by wild-type cDNA (TRPC5-WT), restored the typical appearance of dendrite arbors and reduced dendrite length and branching in the background of TRPC5 RNAi to that of control transfected neurons (Fig. 4B,C; Supplemental Fig. S3A–C). In other experiments, knockdown of TRPC5 in wild-type mouse granule neurons led to a dendrite phenotype similar to that of TRPC5 knockout granule neurons, and knockdown of TRPC5 had little or no additive effect on the dendrite phenotype in TRPC5 knockout neurons [Supplemental Fig. S3D], further strengthening the conclusion that TRPC5 RNAi does not impair dendrite development via off targets of TRPC5 shRNAs or nonspecific activation of the RNAi machinery. In other control analyses, expression of TRPC5 in granule neurons from TRPC5 knockout mice suppressed the dendrite phenotype in these neurons [Supplemental Fig. S3E].

Having validated the specificity of the TRPC5 shRNAs, we next determined whether TRPC5 regulates dendrite morphogenesis in a cell-autonomous manner in vivo. We electroporated P3 rat pups with a TRPC5 RNAi plasmid that coexpresses GFP (U6-TRPC5i/CMV-GFP) or the corresponding control RNAi plasmid (U6/CMV-GFP) [Fig. 4D–H]. Five days or 9 d after electroporation, animals were sacrificed and cerebella were subjected to immunohistochemical analysis using the GFP antibody (Fig. 4D–H). Analyses of the cerebellar cortex in P8 and P12 rat pups revealed that granule neuron dendrites were longer and more elaborate in TRPC5 knockdown animals compared with control animals [Fig. 4D–G; Supplemental Fig. S3F,G]. In addition, IGL granule neurons in P12 TRPC5 knockdown rats harbored fewer dendritic claws compared with control animals [Fig. 4H]. These data suggest that TRPC5 restricts the elaboration of dendrite arbors and promotes their maturation in a cell-autonomous manner in vivo. Taken together, our findings suggest that TRPC5 acts as a critical, cell-autonomous regulator of dendrite morphogenesis during development.

To further determine the role of TRPC5 in dendrite patterning, we induced knockdown of TRPC5 in granule neurons in the cerebellar cortex specifically at the stage of exuberant dendrites at a time when neurons have already elaborated dendrite arbors and begin to undergo dendrite

question of the function of TRPC5 in dendrite morphogenesis in vivo. Mice in which the TRPC5 gene is disrupted have been recently generated (Riccio et al. 2009), facilitating the analysis of TRPC5 function in dendrite morphogenesis. We first characterized the morphology of primary TRPC5 wild-type and knockout neurons. Cerebellar granule neurons as well as hippocampal neurons from TRPC5 knockout mice displayed more branched dendrites and increased total dendrite length compared with neurons from wild-type littermates (Figs. 2A–C, 3A–D). Further characterization revealed that TRPC5 knockout animals had a shift in the distribution of granule neurons toward increased total dendrite length compared with wild-type littermates [Supplemental Fig. S2A]. These data corroborate the conclusion that TRPC5 restricts dendrite growth and elaboration in primary mammalian brain neurons.

We next used the TRPC5 knockout mice to determine the role of TRPC5 in dendrite development in the mammalian brain in vivo. We employed a diolistics approach to visualize dendrite arbors in the cerebellar cortex in wild-type and TRPC5 knockout mice. Strikingly, IGL granule neurons in postnatal day 7 [P7] TRPC5 knockout animals had longer dendrites with increased secondary and tertiary dendrite branching than IGL granule neurons in control animals [Fig. 2D]. Morphometric analyses revealed a substantial increase in the number of secondary and tertiary dendrite branches and a significant increase in total dendrite length in IGL neurons in TRPC5 knockout animals compared with control animals [Fig. 2F, Supplemental Fig. S2B]. These data reveal a physiologic function for TRPC5 in limiting the elaboration of dendrite arbors in the cerebellar cortex in vivo. Similar results were obtained in analyses of pyramidal neurons of the CA1 region of the hippocampus in P7 animals [Fig. 3E–H]. Together, our findings suggest that TRPC5 restricts dendrite growth and elaboration in the mammalian brain in vivo.

To determine whether TRPC5 also regulates dendrite morphogenesis at later stages of development, we analyzed dendrite arbors of IGL granule neurons in P11 TRPC5 wild-type and knockout littermates. In wild-type animals, IGL granule neurons exhibited a few short dendrites with simplified arbors [Fig. 2E,F, Supplemental Fig. S2B], characteristic of the mature stage of dendrite differentiation in granule neurons. In addition, IGL granule neurons harbored dendritic claws [Fig. 2E,G], which house synapses with afferent mossy fiber terminals and Golgi neuron axons [Palay and Chan-Palay 1974; Ramon y Cajal 1995], providing further evidence of dendrite maturation in control P11 animals. In contrast to wild-type littermates, IGL granule neurons in P11 TRPC5 knockout animals displayed longer, more branched dendrite arbors [Fig. 2E,F, Supplemental Fig. S2B], suggesting that disruption of TRPC5 blocks the differentiation of dendrites at the stage of exuberant arbors. Consistent with these observations, IGL granule neuron dendrites in P11 TRPC5 knockout pups had a significantly lower number of dendritic claws [Fig. 2E,G]. Just as in the cerebellar cortex, total dendrite length and branching was substantially increased in pyramidal neurons in the CA1 region of the hippocampus in P11 TRPC5 knockout animals compared with wild-type littermates [Fig. 3I–K]. Together, these results suggest that TRPC5 plays an essential role in dendrite patterning in the mammalian brain in vivo.
retraction and pruning. We therefore induced TRPC5 knockdown in cerebellar slices prepared from P10 rat pups, using a biolistic approach. This method allows acute knockdown of genes in a small percentage of neurons within the relatively intact architecture of the cerebellar cortex, including the IGL [Gaudilliere et al. 2004; Kim et al. 2009]. We found that knockdown of TRPC5 in P10 cerebellar slices at the stage of exuberant dendrites impaired subsequent dendrite pruning and retraction [Supplemental Fig. S3H]. Accordingly, IGL granule neurons in TRPC5 knockdown cerebellar slices had substantially increased total dendrite length and fewer
Figure 3. TRPC5 knockout stimulates dendrite growth and arborization in hippocampal neurons. (A) Hippocampal neurons from TRPC5 wild-type and knockout littermates were subjected to immunocytochemistry using the α-tubulin and MAP2 antibody. Representative neurons are shown. TRPC5 knockout neurons had longer, more branched dendrites as compared with neurons from wild-type littermates. Bar, 20 μm. (B) Hippocampal neurons from TRPC5 wild-type and knockout littermates were analyzed as in A and total dendrite length was quantified. Total dendrite length was significantly increased in TRPC5 knockout neurons compared with neurons from wild-type littermates (t-test, P < 0.005). One-hundred neurons were measured in six animals (three wild type and three TRPC5 knockout). (C) Hippocampal neurons from TRPC5 wild-type and knockout littermates were analyzed as in A and primary dendrite number was quantified. Primary dendrite number was not significantly different in TRPC5 knockout neurons compared with neurons from wild-type littermates. One-hundred neurons were analyzed in six animals (three wild type and three TRPC5 knockout). (D) Hippocampal sections were analyzed using a diolistics approach as in Figure 2D. Representative CA1 pyramidal neurons in wild-type and TRPC5 knockout animals are shown. CA1 pyramidal neurons in TRPC5 knockout animals had longer, more highly branched dendrites compared with CA1 pyramidal neurons in wild-type littermates. Bar, 25 μm. (E) CA1 pyramidal neurons analyzed as in F were subjected to morphometric analysis. Basolateral dendrite length was modestly increased, and apical and total dendrite length were significantly increased in CA1 pyramidal neurons in TRPC5 knockout animals compared with wild-type littermates (ANOVA, P < 0.0001). Ninety neurons were measured in six animals (three wild type and three TRPC5 knockout). (G) CA1 pyramidal neurons analyzed as in E were subjected to morphometric analysis. Primary dendrite number was modestly increased in CA1 pyramidal neurons in TRPC5 knockout animals compared with wild-type littermates [t-test, P < 0.01]. Ninety neurons were measured in six animals (three wild type and three TRPC5 knockout). (H) CA1 pyramidal neurons analyzed as in E were subjected to morphometric analysis. The number of basolateral dendrite branch points was modestly increased, and apical and total dendrite branch points were significantly increased in CA1 pyramidal neurons in TRPC5 knockout animals compared with wild-type littermates (ANOVA, P < 0.0001). Ninety neurons were analyzed in six animals [three wild type and three TRPC5 knockout]. (I) P11 wild-type and TRPC5 knockout animals were sacrificed, and hippocampal sections were analyzed using a diolistics approach as in E and subjected to morphometric analysis. Basolateral dendrite length was modestly increased, and apical and total dendrite length were significantly increased in CA1 pyramidal neurons in TRPC5 knockout animals compared with wild-type littermates (ANOVA, P < 0.0001). Ninety neurons were analyzed in six animals [three wild type and three TRPC5 knockout]. (J) P11 wild-type and TRPC5 knockout animals were sacrificed, and hippocampal sections were analyzed using a diolistics approach as in E and subjected to morphometric analysis. Primary dendrite number was modestly increased in CA1 pyramidal neurons in TRPC5 knockout animals compared with wild-type littermates [t-test, P < 0.005]. Ninety neurons were measured in six animals (three wild type and three TRPC5 knockout). (K) CA1 pyramidal neurons analyzed as in J were subjected to morphometric analysis. The number of basolateral dendrite branch points was modestly increased, and apical and total dendrite branch points were significantly increased in CA1 pyramidal neurons in TRPC5 knockout animals compared with wild-type littermates (ANOVA, P < 0.0001). Ninety neurons were analyzed six animals [three wild type and three TRPC5 knockout].
Figure 4. TRPC5 drives dendrite patterning in a cell-autonomous manner in vivo. (A) Lysates of 293T cells transfected with an expression plasmid encoding TRPC5-WT-GFP or TRPC5-RES-GFP together with the TRPC5 RNAi or control U6 plasmid were immunoblotted with the GFP or Actin antibody. The relative density of the TRPC5-GFP band (normalized to Actin) is shown below each lane. (B) Granule neurons transfected with the TRPC5 RNAi or control U6 plasmid together with the expression plasmid encoding TRPC5-WT, TRPC5-RES, or control vector and the GFP expression plasmid were analyzed as in Figure 1A. Expression of TRPC5-RES, but not TRPC5-WT, substantially reduced dendrite growth and arborization compared with control vector in the background of TRPC5 RNAi. Bar, 10 μm. (C) Total dendrite length for granule neurons treated as in B was quantified. Expression of TRPC5-RES, but not TRPC5-WT, significantly reduced total dendrite length compared with control vector in the background of TRPC5 RNAi (ANOVA, P < 0.0001). Three-hundred-sixty neurons were measured. (D) Rat pups electroporated in vivo with a U6-TRPC5i/CMV-GFP RNAi or control U6/CMV-GFP plasmid were sacrificed 5 d after electroporation (P8), and cerebella (Cb) were subjected to immunohistochemistry using the GFP and Calbindin antibody. Representative neurons for each condition are shown. IGL granule neurons in TRPC5 knockdown animals had longer, more highly branched dendrites than IGL granule neurons in control U6 animals. The asterisk indicates process from another neuron. Bar, 10 μm. (E) IGL granule neurons analyzed as in D were subjected to morphometric analysis. Total dendrite length was significantly increased in IGL granule neurons in TRPC5 knockdown animals compared with control U6 animals (ANOVA, P < 0.0001). Two-hundred-forty-six neurons were measured. (F) Rat pups electroporated in vivo with the U6-TRPC5i/CMV-GFP RNAi or control U6/CMV-GFP plasmid were sacrificed at P12 and analyzed as in D. Representative IGL granule neurons for each condition are shown. Bar, 10 μm. (Inset) Zoomed view of dendritic tips of individual neurons. Bar, 2.5 μm. Bracket identifies dendritic claws. IGL granule neurons in TRPC5 knockdown animals had longer, more highly branched dendrites than IGL granule neurons in control U6 animals. (G) IGL granule neurons analyzed as in F were subjected to morphometric analysis. Total dendrite length was significantly increased in IGL granule neurons in TRPC5 knockdown animals compared with control U6 animals (t-test, P < 0.0001). One-hundred-eighty-four neurons were measured. (H) IGL granule neurons analyzed as in F were subjected to morphometric analysis. The percentage of dendrites bearing claws was significantly decreased in IGL granule neurons in TRPC5 knockdown animals compared with control U6 animals (t-test, P < 0.0001). One-hundred-eighty-four neurons were analyzed in six animals (three control and three knockdown).
TRPC5 knockout mice have motor coordination deficits

The identification of a critical role for TRPC5 in dendrite morphogenesis in the cerebellar cortex led us to ask whether TRPC5 knockout mice have deficits in motor function. We first characterized TRPC5 wild-type and knockout littersmates using balance beam assays [Fig. 5A]. In analyses using a wide (20 mm wide) balance beam, wild-type and TRPC5 knockout littersmates performed comparably [data not shown]. However, when challenged with a narrow (4 mm wide) balance beam, TRPC5 knockouts had over twofold more foot slips than wild-type littersmates [Fig. 5B; Supplemental Movie S1]. There was no difference in mean crossing time between knockout and wild-type littersmates [Fig. 5C; Supplemental Movie S1], suggesting that foot-slip errors in TRPC5 knockout animals were not due to differences in walking speed compared with wild-type animals.

To further characterize motor coordination in TRPC5 knockout mice, we examined gait parameters in wild-type and knockout littersmates using a treadmill equipped with a ventral plane, high-frame-rate video imaging system [Digigait] [Supplemental Fig. S4A; Supplemental Movie S2]. Analyses of digital paw prints captured during ambulation provided measurements of numerous gait parameters [Hurlock et al. 2009; Kravitz et al. 2010]. In these analyses, stride length was reduced while stride frequency was increased in TRPC5 knockout mice compared with wild-type littersmates [Supplemental Table 1]. In addition, TRPC5 knockout mice had increased step-to-step variability in stride length and paw angle [Supplemental Table 1], consistent with an ataxic gait [Palliyath et al. 1998; Ebersbach et al. 1999]. Correspondingly, the ataxia coefficient, which measures deviation of the minimum and maximum stride length from the mean, was higher in TRPC5 knockouts [Supplemental Table 1]. Importantly, the relative duration of each phase of gait was unaltered between the two groups [Supplemental Table 1], suggesting that individual limbs still moved normally through the distinct phases. Analyses of gait strength, metabolic activity, forced swim, Y maze spontaneous alternation, and contextual fear conditioning revealed little or no difference in the performance of TRPC5 knockout animals compared with wild-type littersmates [Supplemental Fig. S5]. In addition, TRPC5 knockout mice did not have deficits in spontaneous behavior, neurological reflexes, or sensorimotor responses—including righting, postural reflex, ear twitch reflex, and whisker orientation—compared with wild-type mice [Riccio et al. 2009]. Taken together, our results suggest that TRPC5 plays a critical and specific role in motor coordination.

We next characterized dendrite morphology in the cerebellar cortex of adult wild-type and TRPC5 knockout littersmates with the aim of determining whether impaired dendrite morphology correlates with impaired motor coordination. Just as in the developing cerebellar cortex, we found that granule neurons in adult TRPC5 knockout mice had long, highly branched dendrites with fewer dendritic claws compared with granule neurons from wild-type littersmates [Fig. 5D–G]. Notably, within the group of TRPC5 knockout mice, both the increased total dendrite length and impaired dendritic claw formation in the cerebellar cortex of individual mice correlated with a greater number of foot-slip errors [Fig. 5H,I]. A similar relationship was observed between the ataxia coefficient and increased total dendrite length or impaired dendritic claw formation in TRPC5 knockout mice [Supplemental Fig. S4B,C]. These data reveal that the impairment of dendrite morphology in the cerebellar cortex in TRPC5 knockout mice persists into adulthood and correlates with behavioral deficits in motor coordination.

TRPC5 activates centrosomal CaMKIIβ signaling and thereby regulates dendrite patterning

The identification of a novel function for TRPC5 in the regulation of dendrite patterning and connectivity raised the fundamental question of the molecular basis of TRPC5 function in neurons. As a channel that allows calcium entry in neurons, we reasoned that TRPC5 might regulate the activity of a calcium-responsive signaling protein. We recently found that the major protein kinase CaMKIIβ phosphorylates the E3 ubiquitin ligase Cdc20-APC at the centrosome and thereby triggers dendrite retraction and pruning [Puram et al. 2011]. Knockdown of CaMKIIβ closely phenocopies the effect of TRPC5 knockdown or knockout on dendrite morphogenesis, raising the exciting hypothesis that TRPC5 might regulate centrosomal CaMKIIβ signaling in neurons. To investigate this possibility, we first asked whether TRPC5 regulation of dendrite morphogenesis depends on calcium influx. We found that expression of a dominant interfering form of TRPC5 (TRPC5-DN), which blocks whole-cell currents [Greka et al. 2003], markedly stimulated dendrite arbor elaboration, leading to increased dendrite length [Supplemental Fig. S6A,B]. In a complementary pharmacological approach, activation of TRPC5 with lanthanum chloride reduced dendrite length, whereas TRPC5 inhibition with SKF96365 or flufenamic acid increased dendrite length [Supplemental Fig. S6C,D]. Together, these results suggest that TRPC5-mediated influx of calcium may contribute to TRPC5 restriction of dendrite arbor.

TRPC5 and CaMKIIβ are both expressed at the time of dendrite development in the cerebellar cortex [Fig. 6A], consistent with the possibility that these two proteins might function in a shared pathway to control dendrite morphogenesis. To investigate a potential link between TRPC5 and CaMKIIβ, we assessed whether TRPC5 and CaMKIIβ interact in neurons. We found that endogenous CaMKIIβ coprecipitated with TRPC5 immunoprecipitates in wild-type but not TRPC5 knockout lysates [Fig.
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Figure 5. TRPC5 knockout mice have motor coordination deficits. (A) Schematic of balance beam assay used to assess motor coordination of wild-type and TRPC5 knockout littermates. (B) Number of foot slips (errors) on a narrow (4-mm-wide) balance beam was quantified in wild-type and TRPC5 knockout littermates. Knockout mice had significantly more foot slips than wild-type littermates (t-test, \( P < 0.01 \)). Sixteen littermates were analyzed (eight wild type and eight TRPC5 knockout). (C) Adult wild-type and TRPC5 knockout littermate mice were sacrificed, and cerebella were analyzed as in Figure 2D. Representative IGL granule neurons in wild-type and TRPC5 knockout animals are shown. Bar, 10 \( \mu \text{m} \). (Inset) Zoomed view of dendritic tips of individual neurons. Bar, 2.5 \( \mu \text{m} \). The bracket identifies dendritic claws. IGL granule neurons in adult TRPC5 knockout animals had longer, more highly branched dendrites with fewer dendritic claws compared with IGL granule neurons in wild-type littermates. (D) IGL granule neurons analyzed as in Figure 2D. Representative IGL granule neurons in wild-type and TRPC5 knockout animals are shown. (Inset) Zoomed view of dendritic tips of individual neurons. Bar, 10 \( \mu \text{m} \). (E) Mean crossing time in wild-type and TRPC5 knockout littermates as in (B) was quantified. Wild-type and TRPC5 knockout mice did not have significantly different mean crossing times. Sixteen littermates were analyzed (eight wild type and eight TRPC5 knockout). (F) Adult TRPC5 knockout animals tested in behavioral assays were sacrificed, and cerebella were analyzed as in Figure 2D. Representative IGL granule neurons analyzed as in (D) were subjected to morphometric analysis. Total dendrite length was significantly increased in IGL granule neurons in adult TRPC5 knockout animals compared with wild-type littermates (t-test, \( P < 0.0001 \)). Two-hundred-ninety-one neurons were measured in 10 animals (five wild type and five TRPC5 knockout). (G) IGL granule neurons analyzed as in (D) were subjected to morphometric analysis. Total dendrite length was significantly increased in IGL granule neurons in adult TRPC5 knockout animals compared with wild-type littermates (t-test, \( P < 0.0001 \)). Two-hundred-ninety-one neurons were measured in 10 animals (five wild type and five TRPC5 knockout). (H) Adult TRPC5 knockout animals tested in behavioral assays were analyzed as in (B). The percentage of dendrites bearing claws and the number of mean foot slips (errors) were plotted for each individual animal. There was a statistically significant correlation between increased total dendrite length and a greater number of foot slips (errors) (Pearson’s correlation coefficient 0.953, \( P < 0.005 \)). One-hundred-forty-eight neurons were measured in five animals. (I) Adult TRPC5 knockout animals tested in behavioral assays were analyzed as in (B). The percentage of dendrites bearing claws and the number of mean foot slips (errors) were plotted for each individual animal. There was a statistically significant correlation between decreased percentage of dendrites bearing claws and a greater number of foot slips (errors) (Pearson’s correlation coefficient 0.885, \( P < 0.01 \)). One-hundred-forty-eight neurons were measured in five animals.

6B), suggesting that TRPC5 and CaMKII\(b\) form a complex in neurons. In complementary analyses, endogenous TRPC5 coprecipitated with CaMKII\(b\) immunoprecipitates [Supplemental Fig. S7A,B]. We next determined whether this interaction was specific to the \( b\) isoform of CaMKII, which drives dendrite retraction and pruning (Puram et al. 2011), but not the \( \alpha\) isoform, which promotes dendrite growth and elaboration (Gaudilliere et al. 2004). Remarkably, we found that endogenous TRPC5 interacted specifically with endogenous CaMKII\(b\), but not CaMKII\(\alpha\), in neurons [Fig. 6C]. Consistent with these findings, structure–function analyses revealed that the C-terminal portion of the variable region, a domain found in CaMKII\(b\) but not CaMKII\(\alpha\), was required for the interaction with TRPC5 [Supplemental Fig. S7C]. In corollary analyses, the cytosolic N-terminal domain of TRPC5 was sufficient for its interaction with CaMKII\(b\) [Fig. 6D]. In contrast to TRPC5, other TRPC family members failed to form a complex with CaMKII\(b\) [Supplemental Fig. S7D]. Together, these results suggest that TRPC5 forms a specific complex with CaMKII\(b\) and might therefore directly regulate CaMKII\(b\) function in neurons.
neurons. TRPC5 knockdown substantially reduced the phosphorylation of CaMKII\(\beta\) at Thr287 in neurons. Bar, 10 \(\mu\)m. (C) Granule neurons treated as in F were quantified for phosphoThr287-CaMKII\(\beta\) signal. The percentage of neurons with phosphoThr287-CaMKII\(\beta\) immunoreactivity was significantly reduced in TRPC5 knockdown neurons compared with control U6-transfected neurons (ANOVA, \(P < 0.005\)). Two-hundred-seventy-two neurons were analyzed. (H) Granule neurons transfected with the TRPC5 RNAi or control U6 plasmid together with an expression plasmid encoding farnesylated GFP (fGFP) were subjected to immunocytochemistry using the GFP or phosphoThr287-CaMKII\(\beta\) antibody. The percentage of neurons with phosphoThr287-CaMKII\(\beta\) immunoreactivity was significantly reduced in TRPC5 knockdown neurons compared with control U6-transfected neurons [ANOVA, \(P < 0.005\)]. One-hundred-eighty-four neurons were analyzed. (I) Granule neurons transfected with the expression plasmid encoding TRPC5-WT, TRPC5-\(\Delta N\) term, or control vector together with the fGFP expression plasmid were analyzed as in H. The percentage of neurons with phosphoSer51-Cdc20 antibody. Endogenous CaMKII\(\beta\), but not CaMKII\(\alpha\), formed a complex with endogenous TRPC5 in wild-type but not TRPC5 knockout neurons. (D) Lysates of cortical neurons were immunoprecipitated with the GFP-CaMKII\(\beta\) expression plasmid together with an expression plasmid encoding the N terminus (HA-TRPC5-N term), transmembrane domain (HA-TRPC-TM), or C terminus (HA-TRPC5-C term) of TRPC5, or control vector were immunoprecipitated using the HA antibody and immunoblotted with the GFP or HA antibody. (F) Granule neurons transfected with the TRPC5 RNAi or control U6 plasmid together with the expression plasmid encoding TRPC5-RES, TRPC5-\(\Delta N\) term, or control vector and the GFP expression plasmid were analyzed as in Figure 1A. TRPC5-RES, but not TRPC5-\(\Delta N\) term, significantly reduced granule neuron dendrite length compared with control vector in the background of TRPC5 RNAi [ANOVA, \(P < 0.0001\)]. Two-hundred-forty neurons were measured. (J) Granule neurons transfected with one of two different TRPC5 RNAi plasmids [U6-TRPC5i] or the control U6 plasmid together with the GFP expression plasmid were subjected to immunocytochemistry using the GFP or phosphoThr287-CaMKII\(\beta\) antibody. Arrows indicate transfected neurons.

To determine whether the interaction of TRPC5 and CaMKII\(\beta\) is important for the regulation of dendrite patterning, we expressed TRPC5-RES or TRPC5-RES lacking the N-terminal cytosolic domain (TRPC5-RES \(\Delta N\)-term), which mediates the interaction of TRPC5 with CaMKII\(\beta\), in the background of TRPC5 RNAi in granule neurons. In contrast to TRPC5-RES, which restricted dendrite elaboration and growth, TRPC5-RES \(\Delta N\)-term
had little or no effect on the TRPC5 RNAi-induced phenotype (Fig. 6E). These results suggest the TRPC5/CaMKIIβ interaction plays a critical role in the regulation of dendrite patterning.

To explore the role of TRPC5 in the regulation of CaMKIIβ activity, we used a phosphoThr287-CaMKII antibody. Upon binding to calcium/CaM, CaMKIIβ is autophosphorylated at Thr287, and thus phosphorylation at this site reflects activation of CaMKIIβ [Miller and Kennedy 1986]. TRPC5 knockdown, achieved by two distinct shRNAs, substantially reduced the phosphoThr287-CaMKII immunoreactive signal in granule neurons [Fig. 6F,G], suggesting that TRPC5 stimulates the activation of CaMKIIβ in neurons.

We next asked how TRPC5 activation of CaMKIIβ might specifically regulate CaMKIIβ signaling at the centrosome. Because PCM1 localizes CaMKIIβ to the centrosome [Puram et al. 2011], we assessed the effect of autophosphorylation at Thr287 on the interaction of CaMKIIβ with PCM1. We found that PCM1 interacted more efficiently with the autophosphorylation mimic T287D CaMKIIβ mutant than wild-type CaMKIIβ [Supplemental Fig. 5E]. In contrast, PCM1 failed to associate with the loss of autophosphorylation (T287A) CaMKIIβ mutant (data not shown). These results suggest that TRPC5 stimulates the autophosphorylation of CaMKIIβ, which in turn induces the interaction of activated CaMKIIβ with the centrosomal targeting protein PCM1.

We next determined whether TRPC5 regulates CaMKIIβ signaling at the centrosome. CaMKIIβ phosphorylates the ubiquitin ligase coactivator Cdc20 at Ser51 in neurons, triggering Cdc20 dispersion from the centrosome and inhibiting the ubiquitin ligase activity of Cdc20-APC [Puram et al. 2011]. Activation of TRPC5 with lanthanum chloride increased the number of neurons with Ser51-phosphorylated Cdc20, whereas TRPC5 knockdown or Cdc20 knockdown blocked TRPC5-induced dispersion of Cdc20 [Supplemental Fig. 5F]. Finally, TRPC5 changed the dispersion of wild-type Cdc20 in

neurons, but failed to significantly alter the centrosomal localization of a Cdc20 mutant in which Ser51 was replaced with alanine [S51A Cdc20] [Supplemental Fig. 5I]. Taken together, our data suggest that TRPC5 stimulates CaMKIIβ activity and triggers downstream signaling at the centrosome in neurons.

A prediction of these findings is that CaMKIIβ signaling at the centrosome should operate downstream from TRPC5 in the regulation of dendrite morphogenesis. Consistent with this hypothesis, in epistasis analyses, CaMKIIβ knockdown suppressed the ability of TRPC5 to restrict dendrite elaboration in granule neurons [Fig. 6J]. In addition, expression of constitutively active T287D CaMKIIβ, but not the kinase-inactive T287A CaMKIIβ mutant, suppressed the TRPC5 RNAi-induced dendrite phenotype [Supplemental Fig. 8A]. In complementary analyses, expression of T287D CaMKIIβ also suppressed the exuberant growth of dendrites in TRPC5 knockout granule neurons [Supplemental Fig. 8B]. In other experiments, Cdc20 knockdown suppressed the ability of the dominant interfering form of TRPC5 [TRPC5-DN] to block dendrite retraction in neurons [Fig. 6K]. These results suggest that CaMKIIβ and Cdc20-APC operate downstream from TRPC5 in the regulation of dendrite morphogenesis. Collectively, our data define a novel function for TRPC5 that links calcium to activation of the centrosomal CaMKIIβ/Cdc20-APC pathway and consequently controls dendrite patterning in the mammalian brain [see model in Fig. 6L].

Discussion

In this study, we discovered a novel calcium signaling link that couples the TRP channel TRPC5 with the protein kinase CaMKIIβ and thereby orchestrates dendrite morphogenesis and connectivity in the mammalian brain. Using independent genetic approaches of TRPC5 inhibition, we identified an essential, cell-autonomous function for TRPC5 in dendrite patterning in the mammalian brain in vivo. Correlating with its function in dendrite morphogenesis in the cerebellar cortex, TRPC5 appears to play a critical role in normal motor coordination and gait in mice. We also found that TRPC5 forms a specific complex with CaMKIIβ, but not CaMKIIα, and thus triggers the activation of CaMKIIβ, leading to the phosphorylation and inhibition of the major ubiquitin ligase Cdc20-APC at the centrosome. Activation of centrosomal CaMKIIβ signaling mediates TRPC5-induced restriction of dendrite growth. Collectively, our findings define a novel TRPC5-dependent mechanism by which calcium signaling activates a centrosomal ubiquitin ligase pathway and thereby regulates dendrite patterning in the brain.

The finding that TRPC5 regulates dendrite patterning in the mammalian brain suggests that TRP channels may have important developmental functions in addition to their sensory receptive roles in mature neurons. The regulation of dendrite patterning by TRPC5 in the cerebellar cortex correlates with a requirement for TRPC5 in normal motor system function. In the future, it will be important
to employ inducible and tissue-specific knockdown or knockout approaches to determine whether dendrite abnormalities in the cerebellar cortex upon TRPC5 inhibition lead to abnormalities in motor coordination and gait ataxia. In addition, it will be interesting to determine whether control of dendrite patterning by TRPC5 in other regions of the brain might play a role in other behaviors, including innate fear-induced responses (Riccio et al. 2009).

The identification of TRPC5 as the source of calcium that activates the isoform-specific function of CaMKIIβ in dendrite patterning illuminates how extrinsic cues may regulate centrosomal signaling pathways dedicated to dendrite morphogenesis. Recent studies suggest that the centrosome represents a critical subcellular site for integration of signals that regulate dendrite development (Kim et al. 2009; Puram et al. 2011). However, prior to our study, it was unclear how calcium entry and extrinsic cues might regulate centrosomal pathways of dendrite development. Remarkably, we found that TRPC5 specifically associates with CaMKIIβ, but not CaMKIIα, and thereby controls CaMKIIβ signaling at the centrosome. The specific interaction of TRPC5 with CaMKIIβ provides an interesting counterpoint to the interaction of CaMKIIα with L-type VSCCs (Hudson et al. 2005; Grueter et al. 2008). L-type VSCCs trigger CaMKIIα-dependent neuronal responses, including dendrite growth and elaboration (Gaudilliere et al. 2004), but fail to activate the centrosomal CaMKIIβ signaling pathway (data not shown). In contrast, TRPC5 specifically promotes centrosomal CaMKIIβ signaling, thereby restricting dendrite elaboration and growth. Together, these observations support the concept that protein–protein interactions between calcium channels and their effectors confer functional specificity through the activation of distinct intracellular signal transduction pathways in neurons.

The identification of TRPC5 as a channel that activates CaMKIIβ also reveals a novel link between calcium entry and the ubiquitination machinery at the centrosome in neurons. In other subcellular locales, calcium entry via NMDA receptors has been associated with the redistribution of proteasomes from dendritic shafts to spines, and subsequent changes in protein degradation are thought to remodel spines and neuronal connectivity (Bingol and Schuman 2005, 2006; Mabb and Ehlers 2010). It will be interesting in future studies to explore whether TRPC5 regulates the ubiquitination machinery outside of the centrosome, including at dendritic spines.

The precise mechanism gating the TRPCs is controversial (Clapham 2003). G-protein-coupled receptors may activate TRPCs (Gee et al. 2003; Tozzi et al. 2003; Meis et al. 2007) via phospholipase C through either inositol triphosphate or diacylglycerol (Schaefer et al. 2000; Clapham 2003). Other studies have suggested that TRPCs may be gated by calcium sensors such as stomal-interacting protein 1 (STIM1) and thereby function as store-operated channels (Baba et al. 2006; Yuan et al. 2007). In the future, it will be important to identify the critical upstream regulators of TRPC5 and determine whether they influence centrosomal CaMKIIβ/Cdc20-APC signaling in the control of dendrite patterning in the mammalian brain.

Activation of TRPC5/CaMKIIβ signaling drives an essential regulatory pathway that provides a counterpoint to dendrite growth and branching, thereby contributing to the careful balance that yields mature dendrite arbors in the mammalian brain. The question arises whether this signaling link is relevant to neurological diseases. Notably, abnormalities in dendrite development have been reported in diverse neurological diseases, including mental retardation and autism spectrum disorders (Kaufmann and Moser 2000; Dierssen and Ramakers 2006; Pardo and Eberhart 2007). TRPC5 is located within a region of the X chromosome that contains loci for non-syndromic mental retardation (MRX47 and MRX35) (Gu et al. 1996; des Portes et al. 1997, Sorsey-Alaoui et al. 1999). It will be of interest to explore the possibility that mutations in TRPC5 and deregulation of TRPC5-regulated CaMKIIβ signaling might lead to defects in dendrite development and thereby contribute to neurodevelopmental diseases, including mental retardation and autism spectrum disorders.

Materials and methods

Primary neuron cultures and transfection

Primary cerebellar granule neurons were prepared from P6 rat pups and maintained in full medium [basal medium, Eagle’s BME plus 10% calf serum (Hyclone), 1 mM penicillin, streptomycin, L-glutamine, 25 mM KCl]. Neurons were transfected on DIV2 (2 d in vitro) using a modified calcium phosphate protocol as described (Konishi et al. 2004). Transfection of neurons with two plasmids encoding GFP and dsRed, respectively, led to coexpression of GFP and dsRed in all transfected neurons [data not shown]. To avoid the possibility that morphological effects of RNAi or protein expression were a result of changes in cell survival, we included an expression plasmid encoding the antiapoptotic protein Bcl-xL in all neuronal transfections. As reported, expression of Bcl-xL had little or no effect on dendrite morphology [Gaudilliere et al. 2004, Tolia et al. 2005]. TRPC5 restricted dendrite growth in the presence or absence of Bcl-xL (Supplemental Fig. S9).

TRPC5 knockout cultures and diolistic analyses of dendrite arbors in vivo

Primary cerebellar granule neurons prepared from P5 TRPC5 knockout mice and wild-type littermates were maintained in full medium [Riccio et al. 2009]. Granule neuron dendite arbors were visualized upon expression of GFP. Hippocampal neuron cultures were prepared from P1 TRPC5 knockout and wild-type littermates as described [Brewer 1995]. For diolistic analyses of dendrite arbors in vivo, cerebellar and hippocampal sections were analyzed as described [Gan et al. 2000; Wu et al. 2004; O’Brien and Lumnis 2006]. Briefly, coronal sections of the cerebellum and hippocampus were fixed for 10 min in 4% paraformaldehyde and then incubated in 30% sucrose for 1 h at 4°C. Sections were transferred and diolistically labeled with DiI-coated tungsten particles using a gene gun [Bio-Rad], then maintained in 4% paraformaldehyde overnight and mounted for analysis.

Cerebellar slice cultures and in vivo electroporation

P10 rat cerebella were prepared as described [Gaudilliere et al. 2004, Shalizi et al. 2006, 2007]. Briefly, cerebella were dissected.
in HHGN (2.5 mM HEPES, 35 mM glucose, 4 mM NaHCO₃ diluted in Cellgro HBSS), sectioned sagittally using a tissue chopper (McIlwain) into 400-µm sections, and transferred onto a porous membrane (Millipore), allowing for an air–medium interface. Slices were maintained in serum-containing MEM. Individual neurons in P10 slices were transfected after 2 d using biolistics (Helios gene gun, Bio-Rad) as described (Gaudilliére et al. 2004; Shalizi et al. 2006). Four days after transfection, slices were subjected to immunohistochemical analyses.

All experiments using live animals have been approved by the Harvard Medical School Standing Committee on Animals. In vivo electro-transportation of P3 Sprague-Dawley rat pups was performed as described (Konishi et al. 2004). Five days or 9 d after electroporation (P8 or P12, respectively), animals were euthanized and cerebella were harvested. Coronal sections of cerebella (40 µm) were prepared and subjected to immunohistochemistry with the GFP and Calbindin antibody and the DNA dye bisbenzimide (Hoechst 33258).

Immunocytochemistry

For visualization of centrosomal proteins, neurons were fixed in absolute methanol for 10 min at −20°C and subjected to immunofluorescence analysis after blocking and staining with the indicated antibodies according to standard protocols. For other immunocytochemistry experiments, neurons were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 20 min at room temperature and analyzed as described (Konishi et al. 2004). Cells were counted as having dispersed Cdc20 as described (Puram et al. 2011).

Immunoprecipitation analyses

Cells were lysed in 150 mM NaCl, 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 1 mM EDTA, and 1% NP40 containing protease inhibitors. Lysates were briefly precleared with a combination of protein A/G sepharose beads and then incubated with either the appropriate antibody or antibody-conjugated beads overnight. For nonconjugated antibodies, the antibody–protein complexes were immunoprecipitated with protein A/G beads. Immunoprecipitated proteins bound to beads were washed several times, and lysates were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane for immunoblotting analysis.

Analysis of neuronal morphology and imaging

To analyze the axonal and dendritic morphology of primary neurons in culture, in slices, and in vivo, images of individual neurons were captured randomly in a blinded manner on a Nikon Eclipse TE2000 epifluorescence microscope using a digital CCD camera (Diagnostic Instruments). SPOT software was used to analyze morphology of individual neurons in culture, in slices, and in vivo electroporation of P3 Sprague-Dawley rat pups was performed as described (Carter et al. 1999). Mice were trained to walk on a wide (20 mm width × 0.75 m length) balance beam for three trials. All mice traversed the wide beam without foot slips. Mice were then trained on a narrow (4 mm width × 0.75 m length) beam for three trials. Mice were videotaped as they performed three test trials of three beam walks, for a total of nine runs per animal. Videotaped walks were scored for number of foot slips and time to cross.

Gait parameters were measured using the automated Digigait analysis system (Mouse Specifics). Using this system, mice were imaged ventrally with a high-frame-rate camera while running on a transparent treadmill. Software analysis was used to identify individual paw prints and calculate gait metrics based on the position, area, and timing of paw steps. All mice were run at 24 cm/sec.
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