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Abstract

Traffic is one of the most important aspects in human daily life because traffic affects smoothness of capital flows, logistics, and other community activities. Without appropriate traffic light control system, possibility of traffic congestion will be very high and hinder people’s life in urban areas. Adaptive traffic light control system can be used to solve traffic congestions in an intersection because it can adaptively change the durations of green light each lane in an intersection depend on traffic density. The proposed adaptive traffic light control system prototype uses Beagleboard-xM, CCTV camera, and AVR microcontrollers. We use computer vision technique to obtain information on traffic density combining Viola-Jones method with Kalman Filter method. To calculate traffic light time of each traffic light in intersection, we use Distributed Constraint Satisfaction Problem (DCSP). From implementations and experiments results, we conclude that BeagleBoard-xM can be used as main engine of adaptive traffic light control system with 91.735% average counting rate.
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1. Introduction

Traffic is one of the most important aspects in human daily life. Traffic affects smoothness of capital flows, logistics, and other community activities. One factor that can cause delays in traffic is traffic congestion due to vehicles accumulation in intersections. Traffic congestions can cause various negative impacts such as loss of productive time, waste of fuel, pollution, and so on. Without appropriate traffic light control systems and other traffic policies, possibility of traffic congestion will be higher and hinder people’s life in urban areas [1]. Most of traffic light control systems are still using stand-alone systems where each traffic light intersection has been determined manually by officers. This condition causes the traffic lights unable to adapt to the traffic density, which often leads to the accumulation of vehicles and traffic congestions [1]. Economic losses due to traffic congestion in Jakarta based on research of Yayasan Pelangi in
Adaptive traffic light control system can be used to solve traffic congestions in an intersection. Adaptive traffic light control system can adaptively change the durations of green light each lane in an intersection depend on traffic density, so a lane with higher traffic density will have a longer green light duration. This traffic control system can be used to replace conventional traffic light control system, which is manually controlled by officers, to optimize the throughput of vehicles in an intersection and organize behavior of traffic light according to the traffic condition.

There are several adaptive traffic light control systems that have been developed in several countries, such as SCOOT [2], SCATS [3], OPAC [4], and RHODES [5]. Most of the adaptive traffic light control systems above use devices that quite large, expensive, and difficult to be installed. To reduce costs and difficulties, we tried to develop a prototype of adaptive traffic light control system which is cheaper and smaller.

In adaptive traffic signal control system, acquisition of traffic density is a very important issue. Jakarta and other big cities in Indonesia currently have a lot of CCTV cameras installed at intersections [6]. Nevertheless, the camera is merely monitoring the situation and do not contribute to traffic light control system at intersections. By using computer vision techniques, the use of CCTV cameras offer an attractive alternative to obtain information of the traffic density at the intersection. Video-based camera system is more sophisticated and powerful because the information from the successive and interconnected video image can be used for vehicle detection, tracking, and classification [1][7]. Based on the above background, we conduct research and development of adaptive traffic light control system that adjusted with the 1993 Indonesian Government Regulation No. 43 about the Infrastructure and Road Traffic (PP No. 43 Tahun 1993, tentang Prasarana dan Lalu Lintas Jalan). The proposed adaptive traffic light control system prototype uses Beagleboard-xM, CCTV camera, and AVR microcontrollers. We use computer vision technique to obtain information on traffic density using soft computing approach, namely Viola-Jones method. We also combine Viola-Jones method with Kalman Filter method for moving vehicle tracking. To calculate the time of each traffic light in intersection, we use Distributed Constraint Satisfaction Problem (DCSP) method.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 discusses how others related research and about fundamental of adaptive traffic light control system. The explanation in this section includes state of the art of the research, existing traffic signal control systems, DCSP, object detection, and object tracking method. Section 3 discusses about experiments and results of this research, and section 4 draws a conclusion.

2. Methodology

The control of traffic light signal is one of the most active research area in intelligent transportation system (ITS) research, because this research makes a direct contribution on efficiency of urban transportation system [8]. Over the years many researchers conducting research in the optimum control of traffic light. Webster [9] has developed equations for the optimum cycle time and the control of green light phase, which are the basis of static traffic light control system that has been widely used. At the current developments, computational algorithms are used to get an effective traffic light signal control where its main target is to minimize the waiting time of vehicle at intersection [10] Many soft computing approaches have been widely used by researchers such as fuzzy logic [8][11][12] neural network [13], and genetics algorithm [14]. In addition, coordinated traffic signal approaches [15-17] also has been widely used by researchers including one of our study which have been implemented [17-20]. There are three important components or parameters in the traffic lights signal control [21]; (1) cycle times which is period of one traffic light cycle, to determine the length of each period when the light is red, yellow, and green, (2) green split which is the length of green light period on each road at the intersection, and (3) offset which is the relative time difference between the start of the green light at the intersection and the start of the green light at the neighbouring intersections.

To overcome the problem of congestion at each intersection, our whole system is defined as a multi-agent system that represents the CSP, and then developed into a distributed CSP. Constraint Satisfaction Problem (CSP) is the search for the value of the variables of a problem that the results are obtained from a corresponding combination [22]. Such combination problems are found in the field of artificial intelligence and pattern analysis, including the scheduling and planning problem.
CSP is defined as \( V, D, \) and \( C \), where \( V \) is the set of variables, \( D \) is a set of values to be inserted into the variable, and \( C \) is the set of constraints required by the variable.

In the other hand, a distributed CSP, referred as DCSP, is the CSP that its variables and their constraints are distributed among many agents. DCSP consists of a set of agents, \( I, 2, \ldots, k \), and a set of CSP, \( P1, P2, \ldots,Pk \), where \( Pi \) is a property of agent \( i \) and consists of; (1) the set of local variables whose value is controlled by agent \( i \), (2) the set of intra-agent constraints, each agent is defined through the local variable \( i \), and (3) the set of inter-agent constraints. Each agent is defined through the local variable \( i \) and local variables of other agents, so each of traffic density information is distributed across each intersection agent and each intersection will able to decide the best setting for each of traffic light signals using the DCSP algorithm [22].

Traffic density information that we used in DCSP method is got by calculating amount of vehicles in each lane at an intersection. We get traffic density information from traffic video from CCTV camera using computer vision approach. Detection of moving objects including vehicle, people, and others in the video can be achieved by three main approaches; temporal differences, optical flow, and background extraction. In the temporal differences approach, moving object can be detected from the successive and interconnected video image [23-29]. However, this approach has several limitations such as the homogeneity of the image and level of effectiveness that depends on the speed of movement of objects in the video image [30]. Optical flow approach was developed to obtain modification of an effective background; this method is based on detecting differences in light intensity [30]. However, the changes of light due to weather or sunlight can decrease the effectiveness of this method. Moreover, this method is also inefficient in terms of computing [30]. The third method, the extraction of background, is the most frequently encountered in literature of moving object detection and identification [30-33]. In the background extraction, background can be static, where the initial background was specified earlier and used on the entire process, or can be dynamic, where the initial background changed dynamically based on external changes that occur, such as weather. Ordinary static background is not effective in many applications so that many methods using dynamic background extraction. In [34], background is detected dynamically by using a dynamic selection method restriction. In [35], landmark-based method and the method of BS & Edge used to eliminate shadows on the image.

In previous studies, we had developed an adaptive traffic signal control system with vehicle detection and counting using the blob tracking method and Principal Component Analysis (PCA) [8]. However, blob tracking method requires considerable computation process and memory resources, whereas the algorithm means to be implemented on the embedded systems device which has a limited computation speed and available memory. Therefore, we try to find alternative algorithms that are lighter yet more powerful.

As described before in introduction, we use Viola-Jones method for detecting vehicle objects in traffic video. Viola-Jones method published by Paul Viola and Michael Jones in 2001 and is now often used to detect objects quickly in images or video. This method to detecting objects in images combines four key concepts as follow [36]; (1) simple rectangular features, called Haar features, (2) an integral Image for rapid feature detection, (3) the AdaBoost machine-learning method, and (4) a cascade classifier to combine many features efficiently. The features used by Viola-Jones method are the features based on Haar wavelets [36]. Haar wavelets are the single square waves (one high and one low interval). In the two-dimensional image, a square wave is formed from a pair of adjacent rectangles, one light and one dark. In its implementation, the actual rectangle combinations used for visual object detection are not true Haar wavelets, but rather a combination of rectangles that better suited to visual recognition tasks. Therefore, these features used in the Viola-Jones method are called Haar features, or Haarlike features, rather than Haar wavelets. To extract Haarlike features for Viola-Jones method, we can use Haar training.

Haar training is a training process which is used to detect complex objects in images or video streams. Haar training run a statistical model training process using a series of negative images, i.e. images that do not contain objects to be identified (e.g. background or other objects that are not relevant) and a series of positive images, i.e. images that contain objects to be identified (in this study is a vehicle). Haar training is one of the four main concepts in the Viola-Jones method because Haar training uses Adaptive Boosting machine learning technique (often abbreviated and referred as AdaBoost) in extracting Haarlike features. Haar training has an aim which is to extract the distinctive features and characteristics of an object. Haar training will produce a file containing the typical features of an image object.
called as classifiers [37]. Haar training usually used to detect specific objects, for example the detection of human faces.

There are at least four types of images needed to perform the Haar training as follow [38]; (1) positive images, i.e. images that only contain objects that want to be trained, (2) negative image, i.e. a background image that there is absolutely no positive object in it, (3) examples of positive images, the background image that also contains a positive object in it, and (4) examples of negative images which are usually background images that there is no positive object in it. Examples of negative images may be the same to the negative image, but it is recommended that we use a different image. Some of our positive images can be seen on figure 1. Haar training will produce Haar classifier which will be used in traffic video processing.

![Figure 1. An example set of positive image of vehicle object.](image)

Traffic video processing for counting the number of vehicles is divided into three stages, namely input stage, data video processing stage, and output stage. All the three stages should be done so that the processing of traffic video can run smoothly and produce the desired output, an accurate counting of vehicles. Figure 2 shows the procedure to counting the number of vehicles using video processing.

![Figure 2. Procedure to counting the number of vehicles using video processing.](image)

The first stage in traffic video processing is input stage. Input stage is the stage where the camera starts to capture traffic video until the processing of raw video data into data that are ready to be processed at a later stage (normalization). Normalization performed on the video are resolution and frame rate settings. Each traffic video will be set to the resolution of 320×240 pixels. Video frame rate will be set so that it has a frame rate of 30 fps.

The second stage is data video processing. Data video processing stage is the longest stage in the processing of traffic video. This stage will performs vehicle object recognition, tracking in every frame of the video, and vehicle counting using a help of virtual gate. Vehicle object detection is performed on each frame of the traffic video using Viola-Jones method with classifier data resulted from Haar training process. The accuracy of object detection depends on the Haar training. Higher accuracy of object detection would be achieved by using more positive data in the training and error rate of object detection would be achieved by using more negative in the training.

Object detection using the Viola-Jones method can produce several objects ranging from 0 up to n objects, or in other words, will produce a multi-object. Each of these objects will undergo into the process of object tracking using Kalman Filter. The purpose of Kalman Filter is to take measurements observed from a vehicle object time to time where the measured data contains noise (random variation) and other inaccuracies. The features of the Kalman filter that can predicts the next position of the object according to the previous object position is also a necessary feature for tracking vehicle objects, including for multi vehicle-object tracking.

In general speaking, Kalman filter is a recursive solution that is used to solve problems of linear data separation in discrete time [39]. Kalman filter consists of two main processes, namely the "prediction" and the “correction” carried out repeatedly on a moving object (figure 3). The process of “prediction” requires the position of a vehicle object at an earlier time to predict the position of the vehicle object at the moment. The process of “correction” requires a measurement result of the actual position of the vehicle object at the moment and will correct the predicted position of the object during the process of "prediction", so the predicted position of the vehicle object will approach the position of the actual vehicle object. The “prediction” process is performed on each vehicle object if there is a change of position of the vehicle object. The “correction” process is performed on each vehicle object if there is a change of time, or in other words, the process is done on every changes in the frame of the traffic video.
The position of a vehicle object (referred as states) in Kalman Filter is a vector that will be operated on the functions of Kalman Filter. There are 4 essential components which are used in Kalman Filter algorithm. The components are state vector, measurement vector, transition matrix, and measurement matrix. State vector describes an actual condition of vehicle object in time $t$ while measurement vector describes a measurement values in Cartesian position of vehicle object in time $t+1$. Transition matrix describes a prediction position or transition of vehicle object while measurement matrix describes an actual position of vehicle object.

Vehicle object on the video is within a field of 2D Cartesian and speed change on that field is a necessary, so it can be concluded that the size of state vector required is $4 \times 1$. Equation (1) shows the form of state vector which is used in this research. The next step is to determine the matrix vector measurement. Measurement vector describes components which have to be predicted in Kalman Filter. Each vehicle object in the traffic video will be predicted its location on a 2D Cartesian field, so it can be concluded that the size of measurement vector required is $2 \times 1$ to accommodate Cartesian value. Equation 2 shows the form of measurement vector which is used in this research. The size of state vector will determine the magnitude of transition matrix used in the Kalman Filter. Since the size of state vector is $4 \times 1$, then the size of transition matrix is $4 \times 4$. Equation 3 shows the form of initial transition vector which is used in this research. The size of the measurement vector will determines the magnitude of the measurement matrix. Since the size of measurement vector is $2 \times 1$, then the size of measurement matrix is $2 \times 4$. Equation 4 shows the form of initial measurement vector which is used in this research.

$$\text{state vector} = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ \frac{dx}{dt} \\ \frac{dy}{dt} \end{bmatrix}$$

Where $x$ is x-axis coordinates of Cartesian field, $y$ is y-axis coordinates of Cartesian field, $dx/dt$ is object speed with respect to time on x-axis Cartesian field, $dy/dt$ is object speed with respect to time on y Cartesian field, and $dt$ is change of the time between successive frames.

The data structure used in the video data processing is linked-list data structure to accommodate the vehicle detection process using Viola-Jones method and vehicle tracking process using Kalman Filter. To determine whether a vehicle object was already in the linked list as a result of vehicle detection in the previous frame, we need to do data association checking to all vehicle objects in the linked-list using Euclidean distance method. Two objects on the different frame is determined as the same object by calculating the distance of two center points of both objects using the Euclidean distance method. Two objects which have a closer Euclidean distance of two center points rather than the others have a higher possibility that the two objects are actually the same object. Distance difference tolerance is set to 30 pixels but can be changed as needed during implementation.

If the performance of vehicle object detection and tracking in the system yields a robust performance in every condition of traffic video, then the vehicle object is easier to be counted as the error in counting will be minimized by itself. However, if the performance is still depending on the fluctuating environment conditions, additional method will be needed to maximize the accuracy of the object counting. The method used in this study is a virtual gate method. Virtual gate on a frame of image is used as an area or border of the vehicle detection and tracking. If the vehicle detection and tracking stopped before the virtual gate, then the vehicle will be counted as one vehicle. However, if it stopped outside the virtual gate, then the vehicle will not be counted. The definition of stopped detection and tracking is that the vehicle no longer detected and tracked for more than 150 times, which means the object is never detected again for the 150 next frames. Figure 4 shows a virtual gate in a vehicle counting process.
The last stage of the traffic video processing is output stage. At the output stage, the result of object detection and tracking is a counted number of vehicles that are constantly updated and displayed during the running of the program. Figure 5 shows an example screenshot of a running program which is implemented vehicle object detection and tracking.

In this research, we also tried to implement the methods above into a hardware prototype. The architecture of a traffic light control system prototype can be divided into three major components. The first component is video camera sensor. This component has a function to take video images of traffic condition in every intersection on each lane. At the early stage of experiment, we used Logitech QuickCamTM Connect 1,3MP as the camera video, but at the last stage of experiment we use IP CCTV outdoor camera. Figure 6 shows Logitech QuickCamTM Connect 1,3MP and IP CCTV outdoor camera that were used in experiments.

The second component is main traffic engine. This component acts as a brain of the system. This component will process the images of traffic condition which are received from camera sensor to calculate how many vehicles in each lane in an intersection. The number of vehicles in each lane will be used as a parameter to determine traffic lights signal in the intersection. At early stage of experiment, we use DELL XPS M1330 with specification: Core 2 Duo 2.5 GHz processor, 3 GB memory RAM, nVidia GeForce Go 8400M GS graphic adapter, and Linux Ubuntu 9.10 Karmic Koala Operating System. At the second stage of experiment, we used Beagleboard-C4 which has specification ARM Cortex-A8 core 720 MHz processor, 256MB memory RAM, and Linux Armstrong operating system. At the last stage of experiment, we used Beagleboard-xM which has specification ARM Cortex-A8 core 720 MHz super-scalar processor, 512MB memory RAM, and Linux Ubuntu 10.10 Maverick Meerkat operating system. Figure 7 shows DELL XPS M1330, Beagleboard-C4, and Beagleboard-xM that were used in experiments.

The last component is traffic light controller. This component will be as a traffic lights visualizer. The traffic light controller which can be integrated with the main traffic engine will give signals correspondently to the data that had been processed by main traffic engine. There are two components in traffic light controller which are main traffic controller and miniatures of traffic light. The main traffic controller is formed of AVR atmega32 microcontroller. The main traffic controller has a responsible to receive data from main traffic engine. Microcontrollers are used to translate the result of main traffic engine calculation into traffic light digital signals. These traffic digital signals will be visualized by the miniature of traffic light. The miniature of traffic light is consisted of LEDs colored red, yellow, and green and three seven segments to display time counter. After receiving data from main traffic controller, the miniatures of traffic light will
visualize the traffic light digital signals on each lane and count down the time for each light at the same time. Figure 8 shows main traffic light controller and miniature of traffic light while Figure 9 shows arrangement of adaptive traffic light control system hardware implementation.

Figure 8. Main traffic controller and miniature of traffic light.

Figure 9. Arrangement of adaptive traffic light control system hardware implementation.

3. Results and Analysis

In adaptive traffic light control system, performance of traffic data acquisition system is very important. Therefore, we do some experiments using different traffic videos to see how well our proposed method to counting vehicles. We use two kinds of video which represent different camera viewpoint and different traffic condition. Figure 10 shows screenshots of the traffic videos in different camera viewpoint while figure 11 shows screenshots of the traffic videos in different traffic condition.

Figure 10 shows 4 screenshots of the traffic videos in different camera viewpoint. The first video represents a traffic video which is taken from front side. The second video represents a traffic video which is taken from upper side. The third video represents a traffic video which is taken from upper-sideway side. The last video represents a traffic video which is taken from zoomed upper side. Table 1 show the accuracy of vehicle detection and counting system using different traffic videos in camera viewpoint.

Table 1: Accuracy of Vehicle Detection and Counting System Using Different Traffic Videos in Camera Viewpoint

| Camera Viewpoint | Manual (M) | System (S) | Error (M - S) | Error (%) | Accuracy (%) |
|------------------|------------|------------|---------------|-----------|--------------|
| Front Side (Figure 10.a) | 58 | 56 | 2 | 3.45 | 96.55 |
| Upper Side (Figure 10.b) | 80 | 20 | 60 | 75.00 | 25.00 |
| Upper-sideway Side (Figure 10.c) | 60 | 1 | 59 | 98.33 | 1.67 |
| Zoomed Upper Side (Figure 10.d) | 46 | 9 | 37 | 80.43 | 19.57 |

Figure 11. Screenshots of traffic videos in different traffic condition.

Figure 11 shows 4 screenshots of the traffic videos in different traffic condition. The first video represents a traffic jam condition in a sunny day. The second video represents a normal traffic
condition in a cloudy day. The third and the last videos represent crowded traffic conditions in sunny days. Table II shows the accuracy of vehicle detection and counting system using different videos in traffic condition.

### Table II

| Weather and Traffic Condition | Manually (M) | System (S) | Error | Accuracy (%) |
|-------------------------------|-------------|------------|-------|--------------|
| Sunny Day in Traffic Jam      | 87          | 80         | 0     | 100.0        |
| Cloudy Day in Normal Traffic  | 58          | 47         | 11    | 81.03        |
| Sunny Day in Traffic #1       | 102         | 94         | 8     | 92.16        |
| Sunny Day in Traffic #2       | 128         | 120        | 8     | 93.75        |

### 4. Conclusion

The results of vehicle detection using the Viola-Jones method deliver optimal results with sufficient training data which is sunny day scenario. Whereas, the system needs more improvement especially if camera is capturing traffic data from other that front side. Vehicle tracking using Kalman filter method provides an accurate tracking of vehicle (91.735% in average using CCTV camera that has a good view point) by evaluating the detection in every frame. Implementation of vehicle detection and tracking on BeagleBoard-xM shows that the used method is light and reliable for further optimizations.
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