THE INFLUENCE OF CROP ROTATION AND NUTRITION REGIME IN WINTER WHEAT ON THE PHYTOMASS REMAINS AND THE DEPOSITS OF WEED SEEDS REMAINED IN THE GROUND
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Summary

An important problem refers to soil weeds seed bank with special reference to brown luvic soils and relationship between vegetal remains (roots + stub) and nutrition regime – crop rotation plant. A great number of seeds can be found in first 20 centimeters of soil (plowing layer). This fact causes a high weeds density, which has a negative effect on the cultivated plants leading to partial or total crop loss.

INTRODUCTION

The natural law fertilisation, the acid reaction and internal slow drainage are the main characteristics of brown soils (8).

If we add to these the low degree of structure (27 - 36 %), the temporary excess of humidity and the big deposits of weed seeds in the soil, we get an almost complete picture of the restrictive production factors on brown soils in our country (6).

Related to these above, the specialized literature (3,4) underline the fact that biochemical processes which take place in the non-structured and slightly aired soils are too lean and they could lead to the increase of the content of some substances (fulvic acids) which affect the clay (5). To prevent these phenomena from happening, the soils must be aired when the C / N ratio, accessible, reaches the normal values (40 - 70), the microbian activity is well stimulated and has favourable effects upon all the chemical transformations in the soil and finally increases the fertility degree of soils, like the brown ones (7).

These are some aspects about the improvement of the supplying process of the soil with nutritional elements which are necessary for the growth and development of the cultivated plants.

Another important problem is the one reffering to the general deposits of weed seeds remained in the ground and especially the brown soils. A great number of weed seeds up to the depth of the arable layer (0 - 20 cm), leads implicitly to a high degree of weed growing which can determine a series of negative effects upon plants and can partially or totally compromise the culture (1).

Brown luvic soils are characterized by acid reaction, low internal drainage, low structuring level (27-36%), temporary water excess and high content weed seed bank. All these factors are considered to be limited in Romania (2).

An important problem refers to soil weeds seed bank with special reference to brown luvic soils. A great number of seeds can be found in first 20 centimeters of soil (plowing layer). This fact causes a high weeds density which have a negative effect on the cultivated plants leading to partial or total crop loss (9).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A multifactorial experiment (subdivided plats) was set up in the period 2008-2009 at Agricultural Research and Development Station (A.R.D.S.) Oradea, Romania on soils characterized by temporary excess of humidity as brown luvic soils are having in view the realization of total phytomass as function of phenophase, crop rotation plant and nutrition regime.

The experimental design was polyfactorial in subdivides stands using as factors interaction: forerunner plant, fertilization level and phenophase. As biological material, the Delia race of wheat was employed.

Total phytomass and separately every plants part were weighted in the laboratory. The results were analyzed with ANOVA (analysis of variance), the phytomass being expressed as dry weight/10 plants.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The influence of crop rotation plant, nutrition regime on the amount of organic matter (stub + roots) accumulated in soil in winter wheat culture is presented in table 1.
Data from table 1 show that in wheat monoculture, with 1.9 t/ha total dry weight accumulated in soil, the cultivation of wheat after corn or pea in 2 years, 3 years respectively crop rotation, determined an increment of organic matter accumulated in soil with 15.8-52.6%. Highest dry matter quantity was found in wheat cultivated in combination with a crop rotation plant as pea of 2.9 t/ha, at values with 1 t/ha higher as compared to monoculture and even to short rotation (W-C) with 0.3 t/ha.

Highest contribution to phytomass accumulation in soil had wheat stub with 85.7% of total amount as compared to root lower contribution of 14.3%.

This quantity of dry weight as roots contribution (14.3%) not negligible if taking into account the more rapid decomposition in soil of roots as compared to stub which contains more cellulose and decomposes slower, in soil under the attack of decomposing microorganisms.

In what concerns fertilization level, data from table 1, show that mineral or mixed fertilization doubles the amount of total dry weight accumulated in soil (2.7 and 2.8 t/ha, respectively) as compared to the unfertilized alternative of 1.4 t/ha. In this case, stub realizes a greater amount of total phytomass in d.w. (85.5%) as compared to roots’ contribution of only 14.5%.

The influence of crop rotation plant and nutrition regime on vegetal remains accumulation in soil (stubble + roots) in wheat cultivated on brown luvisols, Oradea (Romania) 2008-2009

| Factor under study          | Phytomass in d.w. | Diff. t/ha   |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|--------------|
|                             | Root t/ha | Stub t/ha | Total d.w. t/ha | %       |               |
| a. Crop rotation plant      |           |           |                 |         |
| Wheat – monoculture (Mt)    | 0.4       | 1.5       | 1.9             | 100     | -             |
| Corn (W - C)                | 0.3       | 1.9       | 2.2             | 115.8   | + 0.3         |
| Pea (P - W - C)             | 0.3       | 2.6       | 2.9             | 152.6   | + 1.0         |
| Average (%)                 | 14.3 %    | 85.7%     | 100 %           | -       | -             |
| b. Nutrition regime         |           |           |                 |         |
| N₀P₀ (Mt)                   | 0.4       | 1.0       | 1.4             | 100     | -             |
| N₁₂₀P₈₀ (Mt)                | 0.3       | 2.4       | 2.7             | 192.8   | + 1.3         |
| N₁₀₀P₆₀ + 10 t/ha manure    | 0.3       | 2.5       | 2.8             | 200.0   | + 1.4         |
| Media (%)                   | 14.5 %    | 85.5%     | 100 %           | -       | -             |

The influence of crop rotation plant and fertilization level a weed seed bank in soil, in wheat cultivated on brown luvisols, 0-20 cm deep, is presented in the following table (table 2):

In what concerns weeds seed bank in soil, in wheat cultivated on brown luvisols, in corroboration with crop rotation plant, fertilization level and their interaction, one can conclude (based on data from table 2).

As a succession of the analysis that we made and distinguished in the table 2, we can specify the following:

Weeds’ seed numbers in soil reached highest values in crop rotation when wheat was cultivated after pea, 39,642 seeds/m², followed by wheat monoculture with 32,722 seeds/m².

Lowest number of weed seeds/m² was found in wheat cultivated after corn, of 29,976 seeds/m². The explanation lies in the nature of corn cultivation which implies tillage that destroys an important amount of weeds. This reduces infestation source of soil with weed seeds as compared to wheat cultivated after pea that do not need tillage.

Accordingly, weed seeds source is greater, seed bank provision, also, in plots where wheat was cultivated after pea.

It is worth to remark that numbers in weeds of dicotyledons are greater as compared to weed species of monocotyledons (table 2) regardless to crop rotation plant employed (dicotyledons - 57.1%, monocotyledons - 42.9%).

Table 2 shows a strong influence manifested in case of created agrofund on weed seeds bank from soil. As compared to unfertilized alternative (22,042 weed seeds/m²), mineral fertilization and mixed fertilization determined the raise of weed seeds in soil to 37,570 and 42,728 weed seeds/m², respectively. In the case of fertilization level, weed seeds proportion is greater for dicotyledons (61%) both in fertilized or fertilized alternatives.
The influence of crop rotation plant and nutrition regime a weed seed bank in soil, in wheat cultivated on brown luvic soils, 0-20 cm deep, Oradea (Romania) 2008-2009

| Investigated factors | Weed seeds/m² | Diff. ± nr./m² |
|----------------------|---------------|---------------|
|                      | Monocotyledons| Dicotyledons  | Total weeds |
|                      | nr./m²        | nr./m²        | nr./m² | %  |
| a. Crop rotation plant |               |               |         |    |
| Wheat – monoculture (Mt) | 13 787        | 18 935        | 32 722 | 100 |
| Corn (W - C) | 11 993        | 17 983        | 29 976 | 91.6 | - 2 746 |
| Pea (P - W - C) | 18 120        | 21 521        | 39 642 | 121.1 | + 6 920 |
| Average (%) | 42.9          | 57.1          | 100    | -   |
| b. Nutrition regime |               |               |         |    |
| N0P0 (Mt) | 5 137         | 16 905        | 22 042 | 100 |
| N120P80 | 17 363        | 20 207        | 37 570 | 170.4 | + 15 528 |
| N100P80 + 10 t/ha manure | 17 450        | 25 278        | 42 728 | 193.8 | + 20 686 |
| Media (%) | 39.0          | 61.0          | 100    | -   |

The data that we presented in table 3, therefore the realized experiments, allows us to sustain the following affirmations:
- weeds/m² numbers as determined before harvest were greatest in wheat monoculture, 177 weeds per surface unit. These numbers decreased significantly in crop rotations as follows: 37 in 2 yr. crop rotations and 23 weeds/m² in 3 yr. crop rotations which represents (in %) 13 and 20.9% of total number of weeds identified in wheat monoculture (177 weeds/m²).
- the dominant weed species among monocotyledons was Apera spica-venti and among dicotyledons, Raphanus raphanistrum, Chenopodium album and Matricaria inodora, regardless to crop rotation. There was not reported any distribution pattern of weed species depending on the rotation.

| Dominant weed species | Crop rotation | Monoculture | W - M rotation | P - W - M rotation |
|-----------------------|---------------|-------------|----------------|-------------------|
| Monocotyledons        |               | Wind grass (Apera spica-venti) | Wild chamomile (Matricaria inodora) | Wild rape (Raphanus raphanistrum) |
| Dicotyledons          |               | Wind grass (Apera spica-venti) | Oraché (Chenopodium album) | Buckwheat (Poligonum convolvulus) |
|                       |               | Chickweed (Stellaria media) | Larkspur (Delphinium consolida) | Red grass (Polypogon persicaria) |
|                       |               |              | Oraché (Chenopodium album) | Chickweed (Stellaria media) |
|                       |               |              | Pelamid (Cirsium arvense) |              |
|                       |               |              | (Convolvulus arvensis) |              |
| No. of weeds / m²     | 177 (100 %)   | 37 (20.9 %)  | 23 (13.0 %)    |              |

Legends: W= wheat; P=Pea; C=.corn.

**CONCLUSIONS**

1. The forerunner plants’ quality has a positive effect on total biomass accumulation as compared with wheat monoculture, the obtained values being conditioned by a higher favourableness of climatic factors.
2. With regard to biomass accumulation in seeds, it was positively influenced by the quality of the forerunner plant, being higher in correlation with the forerunner plants’ better qualities.
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