Evidence for a spinon Fermi surface in a triangular-lattice quantum-spin-liquid candidate
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A quantum spin liquid is an exotic quantum state of matter in which spins are highly entangled and remain disordered down to zero temperature. Such a state of matter is potentially relevant to high-temperature superconductivity and quantum-information applications, and experimental identification of a quantum spin liquid state is of fundamental importance for our understanding of quantum matter. Theoretical studies have proposed various quantum-spin-liquid ground states1-4, most of which are characterized by exotic spin excitations with fractional quantum numbers (termed ‘spinons’). Here we report neutron scattering measurements of the triangular-lattice antiferromagnet YbMgGaO4 that reveal broad spin excitations covering a wide region of the Brillouin zone. The observed diffusive spin excitation persists at the lowest measured energy and shows a clear upper excitation edge, consistent with the particle–hole excitation of a spinon Fermi surface. Our results therefore point to the existence of a quantum spin liquid state with a spinon Fermi surface in YbMgGaO4, which has a perfect spin-1/2 triangular lattice as in the original proposal1 of quantum spin liquids.

In 1973, Anderson1 proposed the idea of a quantum spin liquid (QSL) in the study of the triangular-lattice Heisenberg antiferromagnet. This idea was revived after the discovery in 1986 of high-temperature superconductivity5. A QSL, as currently understood, does not fit into Landau’s conventional paradigm of symmetry-breaking phases1,6,7, and is instead an exotic state of matter characterized by spinon excitations and emergent gauge structures1-3,6,8. The search for QSLs in models and materials8-12 has been partly facilitated by the Oshikawa–Hastings–Lieb–Schultz–Mattis (OHLSM) theorem, which hints at the possibility of QSLs in Mott insulators with odd electron fillings and a global U(1) spin rotational symmetry13-15. Indeed, a continuum of spin excitations has been observed in the kagome-lattice material ZnCu3(OD)6Cl2 (refs 12, 16). However, the requirement of the U(1) spin rotational symmetry prevents the application of the OHLSM theorem in strongly spin–orbit-coupled Mott insulators, in which the spin rotational symmetry is completely absent. A recent theory addressed this limitation of the OHLSM theorem, arguing that, as long as time-reversal symmetry is preserved, the ground state of a spin–orbit-coupled Mott insulator with odd electron fillings must be exotic17.

The triangular antiferromagnet YbMgGaO4 (refs 18, 19) displays no indication of magnetic ordering or symmetry breaking at temperatures as low as 30 mK, despite the energy scale for spin interaction being equivalent to a temperature of approximately 4 K. Because of the strong spin–orbit coupling of the Yb electrons, YbMgGaO4 was the first QSL to be proposed that was unlike those in the OHLSM theorem19. The thirteen 4f electrons of the Yb3+ ion form the spin–orbit-entangled Kramers doublets that are split by the D7h crystal electric fields20-22. At temperatures considerably lower than the crystal field gap (about 420 K), the magnetic properties of YbMgGaO4 are captured by the ground-state doublet that is described by an effective spin-1/2 local moment; this is confirmed by a measured magnetic entropy of Rln(2) per Yb3+ ion18, where R is the ideal gas constant. Figure 1a, b shows that the YbO6 octahedra form well-separated triangular layers. Because of the large difference in chemistry between Yb3+ and the non-magnetic Mg2+/Ga3+ ions, intra-triangular-layer impurities are prevented in YbMgGaO4 (refs 18, 19, 21). Hence, the Yb system is a spin-1/2 antiferromagnet on a perfect triangular lattice.

Figure 1 | Crystal structure and magnetic susceptibility of a single crystal of YbMgGaO4. a, Schematic of the YbMgGaO4 crystal structure. The dashed line indicates the unit cell. b, A triangular layer of Yb3+ ions and oxygen. c, Direct-current magnetic susceptibility χ measured under zero-field cooling (ZFC) and field cooling (FC) for single crystals of YbMgGaO4, under magnetic fields (H = 1 T) applied perpendicular and parallel to the c axis. Paramagnetic behaviour is observed at low temperature with no obvious differences between ZFC and FC data. The inset shows the inverse susceptibility 1/χ at low temperature (≤20 K), fitted with the Curie–Weiss law (dashed line). The fitting results in Curie temperatures of 2 Θc⊥ = −4.78 K and 2 Θc∥ = −3.2 K for perpendicular and parallel magnetic fields, respectively.
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To characterize the behaviour of the local moment of Yb, we first measured the magnetic susceptibility of single-crystalline YbMgGaO₄ (Fig. 1c). For magnetic fields \(H\) applied both parallel to and normal to the \(c\) axis of the lattice, we found predominantly antiferromagnetic spin interactions, as evidenced by negative Curie–Weiss temperatures (Fig. 1c, inset). Because of the anisotropic spin interaction, the Curie–Weiss temperatures for \(H \perp c\) and \(H \parallel c\) were not identical (Fig. 1c, inset; Extended Data Fig. 1f), with \(\Theta_{\text{CW,}\perp} = -4.78\,\text{K}\) and \(\Theta_{\text{CW,}\parallel} = -3.2\,\text{K}\), consistent with previous measurements. We examined the magnetic susceptibilities in field cooling (FC) and zero-field cooling (ZFC) measurements. No splitting was detected between the FC and ZFC results down to 2 K, indicating the absence of spin glassy transitions (Fig. 1c).

The Curie–Weiss temperature and the spin excitation bandwidth (discussed below) set the energy scale for the spin interactions. Our elastic neutron scattering measurements revealed no magnetic Bragg peaks (Extended Data Fig. 2) at temperatures as low as 30 mK, considerably lower than the Curie–Weiss temperature (about 4 K) and spin excitation bandwidth (about 17 K); this is consistent with previous measurements of specific heat and susceptibility. To reveal the intrinsic quantum dynamics of the local moments of Yb, we used inelastic neutron scattering (INS) to study the spin excitations in single crystals of YbMgGaO₄ at approximately 70 mK. Constant-energy images are presented in Fig. 2a–e, which indicate the presence of diffusive magnetic excitations covering a wide region of the Brillouin zone. The scattering intensity is represented by the colour scale, displaying diffusive magnetic excitations for all measured energies. The broad continuum across the Brillouin zone still carries the vast majority of the spectral weight (Fig. 2a).

In momentum for all of the energies measured. Moreover, a clear V-shaped upper bound on the excitation energy is evident near the \(\Gamma\) point (Fig. 3a, dotted line). The intensity of the spin excitation gradually decreases with increasing energy, and vanishes above approximately 1.5 meV. This feature is confirmed by the \(Q\) scans in Fig. 4a, b and the \(E\) scans at a few given momentum points (\(\Gamma\), M and K) in Fig. 4c.

The broad continuum is an immediate consequence, and strong evidence, of spinon excitations in QSLs. This differs from magnon-like excitations that would peak strongly at specific momenta in reciprocal space, with or without static magnetic order. In general, the spinful excitations in QSLs are carried by deconfined spinons. For most experimentally relevant QSLs, the spinons carry half-integer spins. One neutron-spin-flip event in an INS measurement creates an integer spin change that necessarily excites two (or more) spinons. Therefore, the energy transfer \(E\) and momentum transfer \(p\) of the neutron are shared by two spinon excitations that are created by the neutron spin flip. According to energy–momentum conservation, we have \(E(p) = \omega_s(k) + \omega_s(p - k)\), where \(\omega_s(k)\) is the spinon dispersion and \(k\) is the momentum of one spinon. This relation implies the presence of an excitation continuum in the INS spectrum. The broad...
The broad neutron-scattering spectral intensity that persists to the lowest energy that we measured suggests a high density of spinon scattering states at low energies. This cannot be explained by a Dirac QSL, in which the spectral continuum at low energies would concentrate near a few discrete momenta that connect the Dirac cones (Methods), or by any simple gapped QSL. Because of the gap, the spectral intensity would exceed a specified energy threshold. Even if the gap was smaller than the lowest measured energy, the spinon excitations would, except under special circumstances, occupy only one or a few discrete spots in reciprocal space at low energies, gradually expanding with increasing energy; a broad continuum at all energies and diminishing spectral weight at \( \Gamma \) (Fig. 3a) would not be observed. Moreover, Dirac and gapped QSLs are inconsistent with the observed low-temperature sublinear power-law behaviour of the heat capacity. In contrast, the spinon–Fermi-surface QSL, with a high density of spinon states near the spinon Fermi surface, provides a consistent explanation for the INS results of YbMgGaO\(_4\).

To account for these possible QSL signatures in YbMgGaO\(_4\), we consider a minimal mean-field spinon Hamiltonian with a uniform spinon hopping on the triangular lattice. With a zero background flux for the spinons, the spinons form a large Fermi surface in the Brillouin zone (Fig. 2g). Although the anisotropic spin exchange caused by the spin–orbit coupling\(^{19–21}\) breaks the spin-rotational symmetry of this simple model, the mean-field state considered here captures the essential properties of the spinon–Fermi-surface QSL in this system. For this spinon Fermi surface state, one neutron spin flip excites one spinon particle–hole pair across the Fermi surface. Therefore, the dynamic spin structure factor \( S(p, E) \), measured by INS, directly probes the spinon particle–hole excitations across the spinon Fermi surface (Fig. 2g).

For low \( E \), a minimum momentum transfer \( p_{\text{min}} \approx E/v_F \), where \( v_F \) is the Fermi velocity, is required to excite the spinon particle–hole pairs. Therefore, the spectral intensity near the \( \Gamma \) point should be gradually suppressed with increasing energy, leading to an upper bound on the excitation energy near the \( \Gamma \) point, as is clearly observed in Fig. 3a (V-shaped dotted line). For a typical, finite \( E \), the calculated spectrum based on the spinon particle–hole continuum is shown in Fig. 2f. This spectrum is qualitatively consistent with the experimental observation of the broad spinon continuum in reciprocal space. Finally, when \( E \) exceeds the spinon bandwidth, the single spinon particle–hole excitation process is suppressed, and the spinon excitation intensity is suppressed accordingly. This feature is consistent with the vanishing of the spectral intensity above about 1.5 meV (dotted line) seen in Figs 3a and 4c. Therefore, we propose that YbMgGaO\(_4\) is a QSL with a spinon Fermi surface.

The spinon Fermi surface alone has a constant density of states and would give a heat capacity that depends linearly on temperature (\( C_v \propto T \)). To account for the \( C_v \propto T^{2/3} \) behaviour in YbMgGaO\(_4\),\(^{19}\), we further propose that the candidate QSL is a spinon–Fermi-surface U(1) QSL, where the strong U(1) gauge fluctuation invokes a self-energy.

Figure 4 | Constant-energy scans along the symmetry directions and constant-Q scans at the high-symmetry points. a, b. Constant-energy scans along the \((1/2 – K/2, K, 0)\) and \((1, K, 0)\) directions. The solid lines are guides to the eye. c. Constant-Q scans at the M, K and \( \Gamma \) points with the final energy \( E_f \) fixed at 3 meV, 3.5 meV and 4 meV, as indicated. The sharp upturn of the scattering below about 0.1 meV is due to contamination from incoherent elastic scattering at \( E = 0 \) meV (dashed line, \( E_f = 3 \) meV). Error bars, 1 s.d.
correction in the spinons, thus enhancing the low-energy density of states.

During the review of the Letter, a related preprint\(^{28}\) appeared that
discusses the role of the next-nearest-neighbour interaction in the
formation of the QSL state in YbMgGaO\(_4\).
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METHODS

Sample growth and characterizations. High-quality YbMgGaO₄ single crystals were synthesized using the optical floating zone technique.⁹ A representative single crystal, which is optically transparent with mirror-like cleaved surfaces, is shown in Extended Data Fig. 1a. Our X-ray diffraction (XRD) measurements revealed that all of the reflections from the cleaved surface could be indexed by (0, 0, l) peaks of triangular YbMgGaO₄, no impurity phases were observed (Extended Data Fig. 1b). The full-width at half-maximum (FWHM) of the rocking curve (Extended Data Fig. 1c) was about 0.009°, indicating an extremely high crystallization quality (Extended Data Fig. 1c). This was confirmed by the sharp and clear diffraction spots in the X-ray Laue pattern (Extended Data Fig. 1d). Powder XRD patterns on ground single crystals also revealed no indication of impurity phases (Extended Data Fig. 1e). The Rietveld refinements confirm that the XRD pattern can be described by the R3m space group. The refined structural parameters are given in Extended Data Table 1. These results suggested that the YbMgGaO₄ single crystal possessed a perfect triangular lattice with no detectable impurities. This is consistent with previous measurements that have demonstrated that the impurity/isolated spins are less than 0.04% in similar samples.¹⁰,¹¹ Although the Mg/Ga site disorder in the non-magnetic layers does not affect the exchange interaction between the Yb local moments, it may have an indirect effect and could lead to some exchange disorder. It seems that this disorder is not significant, because no signs of spin freezing were observed. A QSL is often stable against weak local perturbations, provided that the perturbation is irrelevant or not significant. Therefore, if a QSL is realized as the ground state for YbMgGaO₄, then the possible exchange disorder will not destabilize this state if the disorder strength is not significant.

In addition, the field dependence of magnetization in our single crystal displayed a linear behaviour above 12 T (Extended Data Fig. 1f), indicative of a fully polarized state. The Van Vleck susceptibility extracted from the linear-field-dependent magnetization data was subtracted in the inset of Fig. 1c.

Neutron scattering experiments. INS measurements were carried out on the ThALES cold-triple-axis spectrometer at the Institut Laue-Langevin, Grenoble, France, and at the FLEXX cold triple-axis spectrometer in the BESSY II reactor at Helmholtz-Zentrum Berlin, Germany.²⁴ For the ThALES experiment, silicon (111) was used as a monochromator and analyser; the final neutron energies were fixed at $E_t = 3$ meV (energy resolution of about 0.05 meV), $E_{E} = 3.5$ meV (energy resolution of about 0.08 meV) or $E_{E} = 4$ meV (energy resolution of about 0.1 meV). For the FLEXX experiment, pyrolytic graphite (002) was used as a monochromator and analyser. Contamination from higher-order neutrons was eliminated through a velocity selector installed in the front of the monochromator. The final neutron energy was fixed at $E_t = 3.5$ meV (energy resolution of about 0.09 meV). Three (six) pieces of single crystals with total a mass of about 5 g (19 g) were coaligned in the (H00) scattering plane for the ThALES (FLEXX) experiment. The FWHM of the rocking curve of the coaligned crystals for the ThALES and FLEXX experiments were approximately 0.95° and 0.92°, respectively. The elastic neutron scattering experiment was carried out on the WAND neutron diffractometer at the High Flux Isotope Reactor, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, USA; one single crystal was used for the experiment, with the incident wavelength $\lambda = 1.488 \AA$ (Extended Data Fig. 2). For the low-temperature experiments, a dilution insert for the standard 4He cryostat was used to reach temperatures down to around 30–70 mK. Because of the non-uniform shape of the single crystal, the relatively large sample volume and the extremely broad spin-excitation spectrum, the neutron beam self-attenuation (by the sample) may require consideration. In most cases the self-attenuation is dependent on only the distance traversed by the neutrons through the sample. We observed the self-attenuation effect in an elastic incoherent scattering image of our sample at 20 K, which exhibited an anisotropic intensity distribution (Extended Data Fig. 3a). The self-attenuation effect was also observed in the neutron scattering images (Extended Data Fig. 3b–d), which is known to be anisotropic, with slightly higher intensities occurring at approximately the same direction as that observed in the elastic incoherent scattering images. The self-attenuation can be corrected by normalizing the data with the elastic incoherent scattering image; that is, the elastic incoherent scattering intensity, which is dependent on the sample position ($\omega$) and scattering angle (2$\theta$), is converted to a linear attenuation correction factor for the scattering images measured at different energies. The normalized constant-energy images are presented in Fig. 2a–c, revealing a nearly isotropic intensity distribution.

Extended Data Fig. 4 shows the spin excitation spectrum at 20 K, which is broadened and weakened compared with that at 70 mK (discussed below). Spinon Fermi surface and dynamic spin structure factor. Here we explain the spinon mean-field state that is used to explain the dynamic spin structure factor of the neutron scattering experiments. As we proposed in the main text, a QSL with a spinon Fermi surface gives a compatible explanation for the INS results for YbMgGaO₄.

To describe the candidate spinon-Fermi-surface QSL state in YbMgGaO₄, we formally express the Yb$^{+}$ effective spin as the bilinear combination of the fermionic spinon with spin $S = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N_{\alpha}} \frac{1}{2} c_{\alpha}^{\dagger} c_{\alpha}$, and a Hilbert space constraint $\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N_{\alpha}} f^{\alpha}_{\mathrm{ff}} = 1$, where $c_{\alpha}$ is a vector whose three components are the Pauli matrices and $f^{\alpha}_{\mathrm{ff}} (f^{\alpha}_{\mathrm{ff}})$ creates (annihilates) a spinon with spin $\alpha = \uparrow$, $\downarrow$ at site $i$. For the QSL with a spinon Fermi surface, we propose a minimal mean-field Hamiltonian $H_{\text{MFT}}$ for the spinons on the triangular lattice. We consider a uniform spinon hopping with a zero background flux:

$$H_{\text{MFT}} = -\sum_{i} \left\langle f_{\alpha}(g) f_{\alpha}(h) + \text{h.c.} \right\rangle - \mu \sum_{i} f_{\alpha_{i}}^{\dagger} f_{\alpha_{i}}$$

where (a) are six nearest-neighbour vectors of the triangular lattice. Owing to the Hilbert space constraint, the spinon band is half-filled, leading to a large Fermi surface in the Brioullin zone (Extended Data Fig. 5a).

INS measures the dynamic spin structure factor

$$S(p, E) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{ij} e^{i(p \cdot r_{ij} - \omega t)} \int e^{i\omega t} \langle S_i(p) S_j(0) \rangle dt$$

$$= \sum_{n} (E - |E_n(p) - E_k|) |\langle S_n(p) S_k(0) \rangle|^{2}$$

where $N$ is the number of total lattice sites, the summation goes over all eigenstates, $|J|$ refers to the spinon ground state with the spinons filling the Fermi sea, $E_0$ is the energy of the ground state and $E_k(p)$ is the energy of the nth excited state with momentum $p$. In the actual calculation, owing to the energy resolution of the experiments, the $\delta$ function is taken to have a broadening:

$$\delta(E - \epsilon) \rightarrow \frac{\eta}{\pi} \left(\frac{1}{E - \epsilon^2} + \frac{\eta}{\pi} \right)$$

The summation in equation (2) would be over all possible spin-1 excited states that are characterized by one spinon particle–hole pair crossing the spinon Fermi surface (Fig. 2g) with a total momentum $p$ and a total energy $E$. As we show in Fig. 2f and Extended Data Fig. 5, for the particle–hole excitation of the spinon Fermi surface. For an infinitesimal energy transfer, the neutrons simply probe the spinon Fermi surface. Because the spinon particle and hole can be excited anywhere near the Fermi surface, the neutron spectral intensity appears from $p = 0$ to $p = 2k_F$, where $k_F$ is the Fermi wavevector. Because $2k_F$ already exceeds the first Brillouin zone, the neutron spectral intensity then covers the whole Brillouin zone including the $\Gamma$ point. For a small but finite $E$, as we explain in the main text, a minimal momentum transfer $p_{\text{min}} \approx E/v_F$ is required to excite the spinon particle–hole pairs. Therefore, the spectral intensity gradually moves away from the $\Gamma$ point as $E$ increases. Because it is always possible to excite the spinon particle–hole pair with the momenta near the zone boundary, the spectral intensity is not greatly affected at the zone boundary as $E$ increases. Thus, the broad continuum continues to cover a large portion of the Brillouin zone at a finite $E$.

With the free spinon mean-field model $H_{\text{MFT}}$ we further calculate the spectral weight along the energy direction for fixed momenta. The discrepancy between the theoretical results with Extended Data Fig. 5d and the experimental results in Extended Data Fig. 5e occurs at low energies. We attribute this low-energy discrepancy to the fact that the free spinon theory ignores the gauge fluctuations. The enhancement of the low-energy spectral weight compared to the free spinon results is then identified as possible evidence of strong gauge fluctuations in the system; we elaborate on this in the following discussion of the heat capacity behaviour.
To account for the heat capacity behaviour, we suggest that the candidate QSL is a spinon–Fermi-surface U(1) QSL. Here we elaborate on this point and discuss the U(1) gauge fluctuation of this state in detail. The stability of U(1) QSLs with a spinon Fermi surface against the spinon confinement has been addressed extensively\textsuperscript{35}. It was proposed and understood that the large densities of gapless fermionic spinons on the spinon Fermi surface help to suppress the instanton events of the compact U(1) gauge field in two-dimensional U(1) QSLs\textsuperscript{27,33,36}. The proliferation of instanton events is the cause of the gauge confinement of a U(1) lattice gauge theory for a U(1) QSL without gapless spinons\textsuperscript{27}. Because the instanton event is suppressed here, the compactness of the U(1) gauge field is no longer an issue, and the low-energy property of our U(1) QSL is then described by gapless fermionic spinons coupled with a non-compact U(1) gauge field\textsuperscript{27,33,36},\textsuperscript{29}. Owing to the coupling to the gapless spinons, the U(1) gauge photon is overly Landau damped and becomes very soft. The soft photon strongly scatters the fermionic spinons, provides a self-energy correction to the Green’s function of the spinon, and thus makes the quasi-particle weight for spinons equal to zero\textsuperscript{27,29,33,36}. The resulting spinon non-Fermi liquid state has an enhanced density of low-energy spinon states that results in a sublinear power-law temperature dependence for the low-temperature heat capacity\textsuperscript{27,28,30,36}. In addition to the heat capacity behaviour, we find that, owing to the spinon–gauge coupling and the U(1) gauge fluctuation, the enhanced density of the low-energy spinon states is consistent with the enhanced spectral intensities at low energies for the fixed momenta in Extended Data Fig. 5e.

The stability of the spinon non-Fermi liquid against spinon pairing has also been considered theoretically\textsuperscript{27}. When the spinons pair up, similar to the Cooper pairing of electrons in a superconductor, the continuous part of the U(1) gauge field becomes massive, owing to the Anderson–Higgs mechanism, leaving the Z_2 part of the gauge field unaffected. The resulting state from the spinon pairing of a spinon-Fermi-surface U(1) QSL is a Z_2 QSL. Such a spinon pairing scenario was proposed to account for the very low-temperature behaviour of the organic spin liquid κ-(BEDT-TTF)$_2$Cu$_2$(CN)$_3$\textsuperscript{(ref. 38)}. However, for YbMgGaO$_4$, we do not find any evidence of spinon pairings in either thermodynamic or spectroscopic measurements. Although the INS measurement might be constrained by the energy resolution, the thermodynamic measurement did not find any suppression of the density of states down to the lowest temperatures\textsuperscript{36,39}. If the spinon pairing instability occurs for YbMgGaO$_4$, it must be at a much lower temperature or energy scale than those of current and previous experiments\textsuperscript{18,19}. In any case, the presence of a spinon Fermi surface is the precondition for any spinon pairing instability.

We now discuss the finite-temperature thermal effect of the QSL. For the spinon-Fermi-surface U(1) QSL in two dimensions, there is no line-like object in the excitation spectrum. Therefore, as the temperature is increased from this QSL ground state, there is no thermal phase transition caused by proliferating any extended line-like excitations. Moreover, the spinon-Fermi-surface U(1) QSL is not characterized by any symmetry. Consequently, there is no symmetry-breaking transition as the temperature is increased. The absence of the thermal phase transition is consistent with what has been observed in YbMgGaO$_4$. As the temperature is increased from the T = 0 K ground state of the QSL, the system involves more thermal superposition of excited states and gradually loses its quantum coherence. A temperature of 20 K is approximately the energy scale of the spin excitation bandwidth, which sets the inter-action energy scale between the Yb local moments. At this temperature, the correlation between the local moments cannot be ignored. Its consequence is the diffusive feature in the INS spectrum. This is consistent with our data measured at 20 K shown in Extended Data Fig. 4a, b, in which the spectral weight becomes more diffuse.

Finally, we comment on the weak spectral peak at the M points at low energies (Figs 2a, 3a). This non-generic feature of the neutron spectrum is not obtained in the theoretical calculation using the minimal spinon mean-field model in equation (1). This is because we did not include the effect of anisotropic spin interaction, which would break the spin rotational symmetry of equation (1). In the strong anisotropic limit, the generic spin interaction for the Yb local moments favours stripe-like magnetic order, with the wavevectors at the M points\textsuperscript{20}. In a recent calculation, it was shown that the anisotropic spin interaction enhances the spin correlation at the M points\textsuperscript{21}. Despite the presence of a weak peak at M, the vast majority of the spectral weight is still dominated by a broad continuum across the Brillouin zone at the lowest energies measured (Figs 2a, 3a).

**Dynamic spin structure factor of a Dirac QSL.** As a comparison with the spinon-Fermi-surface QSL, we carry out the same calculation for the spinon mean-field Hamiltonian with a background π flux through each unit cell. This choice of the background flux gives a Dirac U(1) QSL. We fix the gauge according to the hopping parameters that are specified in Extended Data Fig. 6a. The spinon band structure of this mean-field Hamiltonian is

$$\omega_k = \pm \sqrt{3} t \left(3 + \cos(2k_x) + 2\sin(k_y)\sin(\sqrt{3}k_z)\right)$$

where we have set the lattice constant to unity. We observe two Dirac nodes at \(k = (\pm \pi/2, \pm \pi/(\sqrt{3}))(\text{Extended Data Fig. 6b})\); the spinon Fermi energy is right at the Dirac nodes.

At low energies, the only spin-1 excited states involve either an intra-Dirac-cone spinon particle–hole pair or an inter-Dirac-cone particle–hole pair. Therefore, the spectral intensity of the dynamic spin structure factor should be concentrated at the momentum transfer that corresponds to the intra-Dirac-cone and the inter-Dirac-cone processes. As shown Extended Data Fig. 6c, d, the dynamic spin structure factor at low energies is peaked at the \(\Gamma\) point, the \(M = (0, 2\pi/\sqrt{3})\) point and the symmetry-equivalent momentum points. This result differs from the broad continuum that is observed in the experiment. Therefore, the π-flux state is inconsistent with the experimental data, as are other Dirac spin liquids.

**Data availability.** The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.
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Extended Data Figure 1 | Photographs, XRD patterns and field dependence of the magnetization of YbMgGaO₄. **a**, Photographs of a representative YbMgGaO₄ single crystal. **b**, XRD pattern of a YbMgGaO₄ single crystal from the cleaved surface. **c**, Rocking curve of the (0, 0, 18) peak. The horizontal bar indicates the instrumental resolution. **d**, Laue pattern of the YbMgGaO₄ single crystal viewed from the c axis. **e**, Observed (red) and calculated (green) XRD diffraction intensities of ground single crystals. The X-ray has a wavelength of 1.54 Å. The blue curve indicates the difference between the observed and calculated intensities. **f**, Magnetic field dependence of magnetization at T = 2 K. Fitted g factors and Van Vleck susceptibilities χVV are shown (μB is the Bohr magneton). The dashed lines are linear fits above 12 T.
Extended Data Figure 2 | Elastic neutron scattering measurements.

Elastic neutron scattering map in the $(HK0)$ plane at 30 mK. No magnetic Bragg peaks are observed. The ring-like pattern is due to scattering from the polycrystalline Cu and Al sample holder. Because of the very large $c$-axis lattice constant and a small tilt of the scattering plane, some of the tails of the nuclear Bragg peaks for $L = \pm 1$ can be also seen. Dashed lines indicate the Brillouin zone boundaries.
Extended Data Figure 3 | Correction of neutron beam self-attenuation. a, Elastic incoherent scattering image at 20 K. b–f, Raw constant-energy images at 70 mK and at the indicated energies. The scattering intensities in d, e and f have been multiplied by 2, 4 and 8, respectively, for clarity. Dashed lines indicate the Brillouin zone boundaries.
Extended Data Figure 4 | Additional neutron scattering data at 20 K. a, b, Constant-energy images at 0.3 meV (a) and 0.6 meV (b) at 20 K. c, Intensity contour plot of the spin excitation spectrum along the high-symmetry momentum directions at 20 K. The scattering is broadened and weakened compared with that at 70 mK.
Extended Data Figure 5 | Calculation of the zero-flux Hamiltonian.

a, Spinon dispersion $\omega_k$ of the zero-flux Hamiltonian. The grey plane marks the Fermi level at $\omega = 0$; its intersection with the band gives the Fermi surface. The light orange hexagon represents the projection of the first Brillouin zone. The maximum of $\omega_k$ is $3t$ and the minimum is $-6t$, providing a bandwidth of $9t$.
b, Calculated dynamic spin structure factor along high-symmetry directions. A reciprocal lattice unit (r.l.u.) is used here, which is obtained using $H = k_x/(4\pi) - \sqrt{3}k_y/(4\pi)$ and $K = k_x/(4\pi) + \sqrt{3}k_y/(4\pi)$.
c, Measured spin excitation spectrum along high-symmetry directions at 70 mK.
d, Calculated energy dispersion at the indicated momenta (marked by arrows in b).
e, Measured constant-$Q$ scans at the indicated momenta. The dashed line is the incoherent elastic line for $E_f = 4$ meV.
Extended Data Figure 6 | Calculation of the π-flux Hamiltonian. a, Flux pattern and real nearest-neighbour hoppings on the triangular lattice. In the figure, ’+t’ denotes $t_{ij} = t_{ji} = t$ and ’−t’ denotes $t_{ij} = t_{ji} = -t$; π denotes triangles that are threaded by a π flux. b, Spinon band structure of the π-flux Hamiltonian. The two bands are particle–hole related, both with bandwidths of $3t$. c, Calculated momentum dependence of the dynamic spin structure factor at low energy $\omega = 2.1t$. Strong peaks can be distinguished at the $\Gamma$ point, the $M = (0, 2\pi/\sqrt3)$ point ((1/2, −1/2) in r.l.u.) and equivalent positions. White dashed lines denote the zone boundaries. d, Calculated dynamic spin structure factor along high-symmetry points with $\eta = 0.3t$. 
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|                  |                  |
|------------------|------------------|
|                  |                  |
| **Extended Data Table 1** | **Refined structural parameters for YbMgGaO₄ at room temperature** |
|                  |                  |
| **Yb**           |                  |
| \( B_{11} (\text{Å}^2) \) | 0.1332 (18)    |
| \( B_{33} (\text{Å}^2) \) | 0.00204 (3)     |
| \( z \)          | 0.21378 (6)      |
| **Mg**           |                  |
| \( B_{11} (\text{Å}^2) \) | 0.131 (4)       |
| \( B_{33} (\text{Å}^2) \) | 0.00161 (6)     |
| \( z \)          | 0.21378 (6)      |
| **Ga**           |                  |
| \( B_{11} (\text{Å}^2) \) | 1.131 (4)       |
| \( B_{33} (\text{Å}^2) \) | 0.00161 (6)     |
| \( z \)          | 0.28887 (19)     |
| **O1**           |                  |
| \( B_{11} (\text{Å}^2) \) | 0.107 (9)       |
| \( B_{33} (\text{Å}^2) \) | 0.00226 (17)    |
| \( z \)          | 0.12884 (17)     |
| **O2**           |                  |
| \( B_{11} (\text{Å}^2) \) | 0.137 (9)       |
| \( B_{33} (\text{Å}^2) \) | 0.00089 (18)    |
| \( R_p \)        | 1.18             |
| \( wR_p \)       | 1.81             |
| \( \chi^2 \)     | 2.25             |

Space group: \( R\overline{3}m \) (number 166). Atomic positions: Yb, 3a (0, 0, 0); Mg, 6c (0, 0, z); Ga, 6c (0, 0, z); O1, 6c (0, 0, z). B₃₃, Debye–Waller factor; \( R_p \), profile factor; \( wR_p \), weighted profile factor.