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ABSTRACT

Objectives: Recent biomedical research suggests that, in modern human populations, individuals may vary in their inherent tendency toward bone formation at skeletal and extra-skeletal locations. However, the nature of this phenomenon is incompletely understood, and the extent to which it might apply to past populations is unclear. It is hypothesized that if there is inter-individual variation in some overall tendency toward bone formation in skeletal and extra-skeletal sites then there should be a positive relationship between ligamentous ossification and thickness of cortical bone. This work is a test of this hypothesis in an archaeological population.

Materials and Methods: The study material comprises adult skeletons (N = 137 individuals) of documented age at death from 18th to 19th century London. It examines the relationship between bone deposition in the anterior longitudinal ligament (ALL) in the thoracic spine and cortical index (CI) at the metacarpal measured by radiogrammetry.

Results: Controlling for the potential confounders age, sex, skeletal completeness, occupation (males) and parity (females), there was a positive association between ossification into the ALL and CI. This reflects lesser medullary cavity width in those showing ALL ossification.

Discussion: Ligamentous ossification in the axial skeleton and peripheral cortical bone status are linked, individuals with ALL ossification showing lesser resorption of cortical bone at the endosteal surface. This is consistent with the idea of inter-individual variation in some general bone-forming/bone-losing tendency in this 200 year old study population, but there was no evidence of a link between ALL ossification and increased skeletal subperiosteal bone deposition. Am J Phys Anthropol 159:577–584, 2016.

Almost 20 years ago, Juliet Rogers and co-workers identified, in archaeological skeletons, a positive association between enthesophyses (bony spurring at ligamentous/tendinous insertions) and osteophytes (bony spurring at the margins of synovial joints). They suggested that this reflected inherent differences between individuals in a tendency toward bone formation at those anatomical sites. They termed individuals with a tendency toward these types of ossification as “bone-formers.” Part of the association between enthesophytes and osteophytes noted by Rogers et al. (1997) was probably because both processes are age-progressive and age could not be adequately controlled for in their undocumented archaeological material (Rogers et al., 2004; Felson and Neogi, 2004). Some (Kalichman et al., 2007; Hardcastle et al., 2014a, 2015) but not all (Gibson et al., 2012) studies on living populations have demonstrated an association between enthesophytes and osteophytes in the appendicular skeleton, which persists once the effects of age are controlled for. During the 1970s, it began to be noted (e.g., Foss and Byers, 1972) that there was an inverse relationship between osteoporosis (loss of bone mass with advancing age) and osteoarthritis (a proliferative arthropathy), and subsequent evidence has tended to support this view (Im and Kim, 2014; Hardcastle et al., 2014b, 2015). This may suggest that the bone-former/bone-loser continuum may have application not only for ossification at and around joints, but also in the skeleton more generally.

If individuals, and indeed populations, differ in their capacity to lose or form bone, then this has profound implications for osteoarchaeology. Estimation of age at death from skeletal remains plays a crucial role in palaeodemography, and also provides a framework for interpreting other skeletal data. Most age indicators in the adult involve the localized net deposition or resorption of bone at particular sites in the skeleton. Hence, the relationship between age indicators and age may potentially differ in individuals or populations lying at different points on a bone-former/bone-loser spectrum (Schmitt et al., 2007; Mays, 2015). The study of ancient disease and the study of past activity regimes are major foci of skeletal studies. Physiologically, the only way in which the skeleton can respond to disease or mechanical loads is via local or systemic alterations in the balance between bone formation and bone resorption. Therefore, an individual’s location on a bone-former/bone-loser continuum
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will potentially influence the frequency and morphology of bony lesions that form in response to disease, and also the extent to which bone is added or removed in response to biomechanical stimuli. In this light, clarifying the validity of a bone-loser/bone-former continuum in archaeological populations is of prime importance. The extent to which findings in this regard from modern populations can be extrapolated to populations from the past is uncertain. There are great differences in lifestyle, including nutrition, drug-treatment of disease, activity regimes and reproductive history which may potentially impact bone metabolism. Aside from the Rogers et al. (1997) study, cited above, there have been few been few empirical studies on archaeological skeletal populations, and the results of those that have been undertaken have been difficult to interpret. For example, some have focused on the relationship between osteoarthritis and osteoporosis (e.g., Burr et al., 1983; Brickley and Waldron, 1998; Weiss, 2013). They generally report a positive association, rather than the inverse relationship reported in modern biomedical studies but, as the original authors point out, the extent to which this reflects problems in adequately controlling for the effects of age and other potential confounders in undocumented archaeological populations is unclear.

Minor ossifications at ligamentous insertions are common in older individuals (Jurmain, 1999), but nowhere may they be more florid than in the anterior longitudinal ligament (ALL) in the spine. The ALL extends along the anterior surfaces of the vertebral bodies. It has several layers of closely interlaced fibers; the most superficial extend over four or five vertebras, the second set between two or three vertebras, whilst a deeper third set extend from one vertebra to the next. Progressive ossification into the ALL is frequent in middle aged and older people, particularly in the thoracic spine, in modern western populations (Resnick and Niwayama, 1976; Fornasier et al., 1983), and is also often seen in European archaeological skeletal series (Rogers, 2000). Bone is usually deposited initially at the enthesis of the ALL, which lies on anterior wall of the vertebral body. This ossification gradually extends so that the ossified ALL ankyloses neighbouring vertebrae (Resnick and Niwayama, 1976; Fornasier et al., 1983). This bone formation most often occurs in the thoracic segment, and when extensive is viewed as an identifier of diffuse idiopathic skeletal hyperostosis (DISH), a condition which appears to be an extreme expression of a bone-forming tendency (Waldron, 2009: 73). Osteoporosis is characterized by progressive loss of bone mass from middle age onward, that is, it is a bone-losing tendency. If the bone-forming/bone-losing continuum, which some have posited for living populations, has validity in the past, then we would hypothesize that a positive relationship between ossification into the ALL and measures of skeletal bone mass should be observable in ancient skeletal series, provided the effects of age and other potential confounders can be adequately controlled for. The current work investigates the relationship between ossification of the ALL and osteoporosis, as measured by cortical bone thickness, in a collection of 18th/19th century AD skeletal remains of documented age at death from London.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The study material comprises human skeletal remains recovered from the crypt beneath Christ Church Spitalfields, London. They represent the interments of middle class Londoners who died in the 18th–19th century AD (Molleson and Cox, 1993). A subset of the burials have coffin plates giving name, age, and date of death; it is these burials that are the focus of the current work.

Ossification into the ALL was scored in the thoracic spine from macroscopic study of remains. Vertebral body osteophytes due to ossification of the ALL were distinguished from those due to other causes (e.g., intervertebral disc degeneration, soro-negative spondyloarthropathies, etc.) using the following standard criteria described by Rogers et al. (1987): thoracic ALL ossifications produce flowing ossification on the anterolateral aspects of the vertebral body with a predilection for the right side; osteophytes are smooth and have a predominantly vertical orientation; they generally originate on the antero-lateral vertebral body wall away from the disc margin; in the absence of co-existent disease the vertebral facet joints and disc-space are normal. The extent of ossification into the ALL considered sufficient to trigger a diagnosis of DISH is arbitrary (Resnick and Niwayama, 1988) and differs between investigators but, following Julkunen et al. (1971), ossification of the ALL sufficient to result in the formation of at least two complete bony bridges between vertebrae was recorded as DISH; less advanced ALL ossification, which had resulted in one or no complete bony bridges, was classified as sub-clinical DISH, representative of an earlier stage of the condition. The entry criterion for a skeleton to be included in the study were that at least three thoracic vertebral bodies were preserved.

Cortical bone thickness at the metacarpal has already been recorded for this collection (Mays, 2000, 2001), and it is these data that are used in the current study. Full details of methodology are given in the above publications, but in brief, an antero-posterior radiograph was taken of one second metacarpal from each individual. Measurements of medullary width (M) and total width (T) were taken on the radiograph at the midshaft using callipers, following the methodology of Dequeker (1976). The cortical index (CI) was calculated as $CI = 100 \times (T-M)/T$, and is a measure of cortical thickness standardized for bone width. Using this methodology about 3-4% of sample variance likely reflects method error. This method was chosen as an indicator of osteoporosis for several reasons. Metacarpal cortical bone is often used to monitor osteoporosis in living subjects as it is a guide to bone mineral density at the skeletal sites excluding the hind and wrist (Adami et al., 1996; Dey et al., 2000; Boonen et al., 2005). Unlike bone mineral density measurements, provided that (as in the current work) bones suffering taphonomic damage are excluded, it is unaffected by post-depositional alterations, and it enables study purely of cortical bone. In addition, measurements of T and M potentially enable focus specifically on the periosteal and endostea surfaces.

Ossification into the ALL was not seen in young adults, so analysis was restricted to those aged 40+ years at death. 137 individuals (69 males, 68 females) were aged 40+ years, met the entry criterion for scoring ALL ossification and had undamaged second metacarpals permitting cortical index to be measured. The date range of the burials is AD1729-1852. Age at death ranges from 40 to 92 years. The age distribution is shown in Figure 1.

Studies on living populations (Julkunen et al., 1971; Westerveld et al., 2008; Burialiakos et al., 2012) indicate that DISH is more frequent in males and, from middle age onward, is age progressive. These patterns have also been identified in some large archaeological skeletal collections (e.g., Waldron, 2007: 102). Therefore age and sex were controlled for in analysis. Biomedical studies have
demonstrated a fairly consistent link between DISH and obesity (Mader et al., 2013), so this too will be a potential confounding variable. Various methods have been suggested for calculating body mass from skeletal parameters (Auerbach and Ruff, 2004), but when applied to archaeological material they may produce widely divergent results (Mays, 2007: 120-121), which does not engender confidence in any of them. In addition, obesity may develop at any time in the life cycle and be a transient condition, so its effect (if any) on skeletal morphology may be rather variable. Save for a few individuals whose portraits survive, there is no direct evidence identifying obese individuals at Spitalfields. In the absence of reliable direct evidence for body mass, data on occupation are used as an indicator of exposure to some risk factors for obesity.

Information on the occupations of some of the Spitalfields men is available from documentary sources, specifically the London Trade Directories, the Christ Church Vestry Minute Books and the parochial registers (Molleson and Cox, 1993). The most frequent occupations were in the textile industry, particularly silk weaving. The occupations have been classified by Molleson and Cox (1993) as master weaver, journeyman weaver, miscellaneous non-manual professions and manual trades. Master weavers would have served an apprenticeship but did little weaving thereafter. By contrast, journeyman weavers worked long hours at the loom, and this was demanding physical labour. To dichotomise workers into manual and non-manual groups for the purposes of analysis, master weavers are grouped with the various other non-manual professions, journeyman weavers with the manual trades. There is no simple correlation between occupation and wealth, but there was likely a tendency among those in professions classed as non-manual (e.g., master weaver, lawyer, merchant, public servant) to be wealthier than those in manual trades (e.g., journeyman weaver, carpenter, bricklayer) (Molleson and Cox, 1993: 97-101). Diets of the wealthy at that time were high in animal fat and protein (Molleson and Cox, 1993: 39). This coupled with more sedentary occupations, means that those in non-manual professions were likely at increased risk of chronic obesity compared with those in manual occupations.

In females, calcium homeostasis is significantly altered during pregnancy and lactation. Although consensus has yet to emerge, in well-nourished populations, parity may have a long-term protective effect on bone mass (Salari and Abdollahi, 2014). The potential impact of reproductive history on the balance between bone formation and resorption suggests that it could be a potential variable influencing ossification into the ALL in females. Cox (1989) reconstructed obstetric histories for some of the women buried at Spitalfields from documentary sources. Data on parity for female subjects used in the present study are taken from that work.

In palaeopathological study, skeletal completeness is a potentially confounding variable, more complete burials being more likely to show skeletal lesions, other factors being equal. In this light, number of thoracic vertebral bodies available for observation was recorded for each skeleton.

The principal statistical approach to the data is logistic regression. This technique fits a regression surface to data for which the dependent variable is a dichotomy. It may be used to assess the effect of a set of independent variables, which may be categorical or numeric, on the state of a binary dependent variable. The logistic regression model may be written as:

\[ \hat{Y}_i = \frac{e^{u}}{1+e^{u}} \]  

where \( \hat{Y}_i \) is the probability that the \( i \)th case is in one of two categories, and \( u \) is given by

\[ u = A + B_1X_1 + B_2X_2 + \ldots + B_nX_n \]  

where \( A \) is a constant and \( B_n \) is the coefficient of the \( n \)th independent variable in the equation (Howell, 2002: 583-583; Tabachnik and Fidell, 2013: 439-509). In this study, the independent variable (\( Y \)) is ossification status of the ALL, and the independent variables are metacarpal cortical index, sex, age, number of thoracic vertebral bodies available for study, parity (for females), and occupation (for males). In order to test our hypothesized relationship between thickness of cortical bone and ALL ossification, logistic regression is used to investigate whether metacarpal cortical index significantly affects the likelihood of observing ossification in the ALL, adjusting for the other independent variables. Several model-building approaches are available for logistic regression. Direct logistic regression, in which all the independent variables are entered into the model simultaneously, involves no a priori assumptions concerning the relative importance of the independent variables in predicting the state of the binary dependent variable (Stoltzfus, 2011). It is, therefore, the principal approach used here.

RESULTS

The univariate statistics for the subgroups with and without ALL ossification are shown in Table 1. Examples of ALL ossification are illustrated in Figure 2. Fifty-eight individuals showed ossification into the ALL. In 36 of these, no vertebrae were ankylosed; in 8 there was one complete bony bridge between neighbouring vertebrae, and 14 individuals showed two or more complete bony bridges and hence satisfied the criterion for DISH. The data split by age and sex are shown in Supporting Information Table 1. Of a total of 71 bony bridges observed, 10 are in the upper thoracic spine (C7/T1 – T3/4), 38 in the...
mid-thoracic spine (T4/5 – T8/9) and 23 in the lower tho-
racic spine (T9/10 – T12/L1).

In logistic regression, for the main analysis, ossification
in the ALL was coded 1 = ossification observed,
0 = ossification not observed. For the independent varia-
tibles, sex was coded 0 = female, 1 = male, and age, CI and
number of thoracic vertebrae present were treated as con-
tinuous variables. The results are shown in Table 2. The
Wald statistics indicate that for age, sex and CI, the coeffi-
cient in the logistic regression equation differs signifi-
cantly from zero—that is, age, sex, and CI are each
significantly associated with ossification status of the
ALL. For each independent variable, the odds ratio repre-
sents the change in odds of observing ALL ossification
with a unit increase in that variable when the effects of
the other independent variables are controlled for. The
finding that for age, sex and CI, the odds ratios are
greater than one means that ossification in the ALL is sig-
nificantly associated with increasing age, male sex and
greater CI. Since different algorithms for variable selec-
tion may potentially produce different models, the main
logistic regression analysis was re-run using another
approach to model building, forward stepwise selection.
The model produced was similar (Supporting Information
Table 2), with sex, age, and CI being associated with ossi-
fication into the ALL, supporting the robustness of the
results.

A number of parameters have been devised for meas-
uring the strength of the relationship of the dependent
with the independent variables in logistic regression,
analogous to the coefficient of determination ($R^2$) in or-
dinary least squares models (Tabachnik and Fidell, 2013:
462–463). Although consensus has yet to emerge, it has
been suggested that McFadden’s $q^2$ may be the most con-
sistently useful of these $R^2$ analogues (Menard, 2000).
The McFadden’s $q^2$ for the current logistic regression
model is 0.16. Analogous to $R^2$ in multiple regression,
McFadden’s $q^2$ can take values from zero (indicating that
independent variables play no part in predicting the state
of the dependent variable) to one (the model predicts the
dependent variable perfectly). In practice, values are gen-
erally lower than those of $R^2$ in good ordinary least
squares regression models—values in the range 0.2–0.4
indicate an excellent fit (McFadden, 1978: 307). In the
current case, the value is somewhat below this range,
suggesting factors other than those included in the model
are important contributors to variation in the dependent
variable. To investigate the relative importance of age
and CI in the model, the logistic regression was re-run
with age and CI transformed into $z$-scores. The odds
ratios of observing ossification in the ALL for a one stand-
ard deviation increment in age and CI were 2.38 and 1.82,
respectively, suggesting that CI contributes less to the
model than age. There was no relationship between num-number of thoracic vertebrae preserved.

|                | N | Sex ratio | Age mean (SD) | CI Mean (SD) | N. thoracic vert Mean (SD) |
|----------------|---|-----------|---------------|--------------|----------------------------|
| Individuals without ALL ossification | 79 | 30:49    | 61.6 (12.5) | 40.0 (8.5) | 11.0 (1.7)                |
| Individuals with ALL ossification | 58 | 39:19    | 67.6 (11.2) | 42.2 (9.9) | 11.3 (1.2)                |

*Sex ratio, number of males: number of females; Age in years; CI, metacarpal cortical index; N. thoracic vert, number of thoracic vertebrae preserved.

Fig. 2. Ossification into the ALL in four of the Spitalfields skeletons. (a) Fourth through seventh thoracic vertebrae, Skel. 2829, anterior view, part of a sequence of nine thoracic vertebrae ankylosed by ossification into the ALL. Ossification is largely confined to the right side, and the unossified left side of the ALL (to the right in the photograph) partially survives as dessicated soft tissue. (b) Fifth through twelfth thoracic vertebrae, Skel. 2244, right side. Ossification into the ALL is extensive but nowhere are adjacent vertebrae ankylosed. (c) Seventh through ninth thoracic vertebrae, Skel. 2808, anterior view. Ossification into the ALL has ankylosed T7 and 8; the osteophytes on T8 and nine closely interdigitate. (d) Eighth and ninth thoracic vertebrae, Skel. 2643, right antero-lateral view. Each bone bears a thick, smooth ossification that extends most of the height of the vertebral body. The osteophytes are not ankylosed.
in the ALL. For medullary width, the Wald statistic was 6.04, which is significant at \( p = 0.014 \); the coefficient was -0.768, indicating that greater medullary widths were associated with decline in odds of observing ossification in the ALL. In order to investigate whether CI was associated with the extent of ossification into the ALL, the logistic regression was run solely on those individuals showing ossification into the ALL (\( N = 58 \)) with the dependent variable coded 0 = subclinical DISH, 1 = DISH. The Wald statistic for CI was non-significant (Wald = 1.11, \( p = 0.292 \)), suggesting that, in the subgroup of individuals showing ALL ossification, CI does not significantly predict the extent of ossification.

Information on occupation was available for 47 of the males. In analysis, occupation was coded as 0 = non-manual (\( N = 32 \)), 1 = manual (\( N = 15 \)). The results of the logistic regression with ALL ossification (coded 0 or 1, as in the main logistic regression analysis) versus age, CI, number of thoracic vertebrae and occupation as the independent variables are shown in Table 3. Data on parity was available for 47 females. It ranged from zero to 15 births, with a mean of 2.82.

### Discussion

At Spitalfields, the prevalence of ossification into the ALL increases with age and is greater in males. These results echo those reported for DISH in living populations (Julkunen et al., 1971; Weinfeld et al., 1997; Kiss et al., 2002; Westerveld et al., 2008). Among those individuals who showed one or more complete bony bridges, the predilection for vertebral ankylosis the mid-lower parts of the thoracic segment resembles the pattern seen today (Resnick and Niwayama, 1976; El Miedany et al., 2000). The prevalence of DISH in the current study group is 14.5% in males, 5.9% in females. There are some studies of living subjects where the criterion used for scoring DISH resembled that in the current work (ALL ossification sufficient to form at least two bony bridges) and where results are presented in a way to permit comparison. A study in Finland (Julkunen et al., 1971) found prevalences of 3.5% for males, 2.2% for females. That study was restricted to subjects aged over 40 yrs, but the sample had a younger age structure than the Spitalfields group, however even after controlling for this using the common odds ratio (OR) (Klaus, 2014: 303) the higher prevalence at Spitalfields persists (OR = 2.56). Another population-based study, in Hungary, gave prevalence figures of 27.3 and 12.5% for males and females respectively (Kiss et al., 2002). A hospital-based study in the Netherlands (Westerveld et al., 2008) produced similar results (26.6 and 17.2%). Both these latter confined themselves to subjects over 50 years, but the mean ages of their samples resemble that for the present study group. Although methodological differences preclude precise comparisons between skeletal and modern epidemiological studies, the prevalence of DISH at Spitalfields appears to fall within the range reported for modern European populations.

Controlling for the effects of sex and age, there was a relationship between ossification into the ALL and CI, greater CI being associated with a greater likelihood of observing ossification in the ALL. This supports the positive association between ALL ossification and CI hypothesized at the outset of the work. At Spitalfields, CI contributed less to the likelihood of observing ossification into the ALL than did age, and the analysis of goodness of fit of the logistic regression model indicates the potential importance of other, as yet unidentified variables in determining the likelihood of ossification into the ALL. In the subgroup showing ossification into the ALL, CI did not distinguish cases of DISH from subclinical DISH. Although the smaller sample size for this analysis may be in part responsible, it may indicate that greater CI was associated with the presence of a tendency to ossify the ALL, rather than the extent of that ossification.

Logistic regression allows confounders such as skeletal completeness to be included in the model, an important benefit in palaeopathology where skeletons studied normally vary in completeness. It was anticipated that completeness of the thoracic spine would be a potential confounding variable, the likelihood of observing ALL ossification being increased in more complete vertebral columns. This expectation was not met. This probably reflects the overall high level of completeness of the thoracic vertebral segment in the study group (Table 1).

Analysis of medullary cavity and total metacarpal width suggests that the association between CI and
ossification into the ALL mainly or entirely reflects differences in medullary cavity width. Therefore, the association between CI and ALL ossification mainly or entirely reflects lesser resorption at the endosteal surface rather than increased periosteal apposition in those showing ALL ossification, but when in the life cycle this difference generally arose is unclear.

The current results support the suggestion that there is a link between bone formation in the ALL and retention of greater cortical bone thickness in older adults. This may be consistent with the notion that individuals lie along a continuum from bone-formers (thicker cortical bone, ossification into the ALL) and bone-losers who do not ossify their ALL and have thinner cortical bone due to greater endosteal resorption. However, for the current study group, it may be that the bone loser/bone former concept cannot be extended to embrace periarticular osteophyte formation. A previous study on the Spitalfields skeletons found that there was no association between ossification into the ALL and periarticular bone formation at the acetabular rim (Mays, 2012), that is, no evidence of a relationship between enthesophytes and osteophytes in the spine and hip respectively.

A few controlled studies have been done on living populations to investigate links between DISH (scored using ossification into the ALL) and osteoporosis. The majority use bone mineral density (BMD), assessed using dual X-ray absorptiometry or other methods, as a measure of osteoporotic bone loss. DiFranco et al. (2000) found higher BMD at the wrist in DISH cases; in DISH, it may be that the bone loser/bone former concept cannot be extended to embrace periarticular osteophyte formation. A previous study on the Spitalfields skeletons found that there was no association between ossification into the ALL and periarticular bone formation at the acetabular rim (Mays, 2012), that is, no evidence of a relationship between enthesophytes and osteophytes in the spine and hip respectively.
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evidence for a relationship between ossification into the ALL and periarticular bone formation at the acetabulum. Bone formation at the acetabular rim may be subperiosteal (Corten et al., 2011), so this result is intelligible if, as suggested above, tendency toward ossification into the ALL is primarily associated with lesser resorption at the endosteal envelope rather than with increased deposition of bone subperiosteally.

The inference of an link between tendency toward ossification into the ALL and the balance between bone formation and resorption specifically at one or more surfaces in the endosteal envelope is one that requires further evaluation, but if it is generalizable it may have potential implications for the interpretation of age indicators in the adult skeleton that involve observations that relate to surfaces of the endosteal envelope. The so-called “complex” ageing method of Acsádi and Nemesskéri (1970) involves study of trabecular bone involution in long-bone metaphyses—that is, net resorption at the trabecular endosteal surface. Similarly, age markers such as pubic symphysis and auricular surface morphology involve alterations in the balance between bone formation and resorption in subchondral trabecular bone and the subchondral bone plate (Mays, 2015). Histomorphometric ageing methods (Robling and Stout, 2008) evaluate indicators of bone remodelling in the intracortical component of the endosteal envelope. It might be hypothesized that age-relationships for the above might differ in individuals with and without tendencies toward ossification into the ALL. Lastly, the observation that individuals with ossification into the ALL may show increased bone in cross-section has implications for studies using cross-sectional properties of long-bones to attempt to reconstruct past activity regimes (Larsen, 2015: 214–255), especially those using methodology that includes measurements of endosteal as well as periosteal dimensions (Macintosh et al., 2013). In such studies, it may be prudent to include bone-forming tendency, as indicated by ALL ossification status, as a potential confounding variable in analysis.
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