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Suppression of local type I interferon by gut microbiota-derived butyrate impairs antitumor effects of ionizing radiation
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The antitumor effects of ionizing radiation (IR) are mediated in part through activation of innate and adaptive immunity. Here we report that gut microbiota influences tumor control following IR. Vancomycin decreased the abundance of butyrate-producing gut bacteria and enhanced antitumor responses to IR. Oral administration of *Lachnospiraceae*, a family of vancomycin-sensitive bacteria, was associated with increased systemic and intratumoral butyric acid levels and impaired the efficacy of IR in germ-free (GF) mice. Local butyrate inhibited STING-activated type I IFN expression in dendritic cells (DCs) through blockade of TBK1 and IRF3 phosphorylation, which abrogated IR-induced tumor-specific cytotoxic T cell immune responses without directly protecting tumor cells from radiation. Our findings demonstrate that the selective targeting of butyrate-producing microbiota may provide a novel therapeutic option to enhance tumor radiation sensitivity.

Introduction

Intestinal microbiota and their metabolites influence the host immune system (Littman and Pamer, 2011; Chung et al., 2012; Nyangale et al., 2012), demonstrated by the fact that germ-free (GF) mice have developmental defects in lymphoid organs and impaired immune responses (Atarashi et al., 2011; Ivanov et al., 2009; Hooper et al., 2012). The microbiome alters antitumor immunity and influences the efficacy of cancer therapies by modulating systemic immunity (Round and Mazmanian, 2009; Zitvogel et al., 2016; Hagan et al., 2019; Scott et al., 2018), bacterial translocation to tumor sites (Yazawa et al., 2000; Yu et al., 2016; Pushalkar et al., 2018; Shi et al., 2020), and the secretion of microbial metabolites (Smith et al., 2013; Donohoe et al., 2014; Wei et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2019; Luu et al., 2018). Microbiome depletion by antibiotics or using GF mice impairs cyclophosphamide efficacy through a reduced Th17 cell inflammatory response (Vlaut et al., 2013). Local CpG oligonucleotide and oxaliplatin efficacy is enhanced by the microbiome by modulation of myeloid-derived cell functions in the tumor microenvironment (Iida et al., 2013). In cancer immunotherapy, the microbiota promotes the response to CTLA-4 and PD-1/PDL-1 blockade immunotherapy by enhancing dendritic cell (DC) activity and CD8+ T cell response (Véritzou et al., 2015; Sivan et al., 2015; Routy et al., 2018; Gopalakrishnan et al., 2018; Matson et al., 2018). Conversely, broad-spectrum antibiotics enhance efficacy for anti-PD-1 blockade by upregulating PD-1 expression in pancreatic cancer (Pushalkar et al., 2018). Together, these data suggest that the microbiome can either enhance or dampen antitumor responses in a treatment-specific manner.

Approximately 50% of cancer patients receive radiotherapy (Al-Sarraf et al., 1998; Herman et al., 2015; Kao et al., 2014; Kwon et al., 2014), which stimulates innate and adaptive immunity to augment antitumor response (Qu et al., 2010; Barker et al., 2015; Deng et al., 2016). Radiation alters the antitumor immune response by inducing tumor cell death and the release of danger signals, cytokines, and chemokines (Deng et al., 2016; Laoui et al., 2014; Kachikwu et al., 2011; Herman et al., 2015; Kwon et al., 2014). Since the microbiome affects the antitumor immune response to chemotherapies and immunotherapies, we sought to elucidate the role of the commensal microbiome in ionizing radiation (IR)-induced antitumor effects and antitumor immunity.

Here we report gut microbiota-derived butyrate can suppress radiation-induced IFN in the tumor microenvironment. Gram-positive bacteria increased systemic and local butyric acid concentrations and impaired the response to IR. Local sodium...
butyrate administration is sufficient to impair the antitumor response to IR and reverse the improved IR response following vancomycin-IR combination treatment. Mechanistically, butyrate suppressed type I IFN (IFN-I) production through a decrease in stimulator of IFN genes (STING) downstream activation. Our findings illustrated how specific members of the microbiota can dampen IR-induced antitumor responses and identified potential bacterial and molecular targets for improving the clinical response to radiotherapy.

**Results and discussion**

**Oral vancomycin enhances the antitumor response to IR**

To investigate the potential roles of gram-positive and gram-negative gut bacteria on the response to IR, mice received oral vancomycin or gentamicin 3 wk before tumor inoculation and for the duration of the experiment. As shown in Fig. 1 A, mice receiving vancomycin exhibited increased tumor growth delay following IR as compared with gentamicin-treated or control animals. 10 d after vancomycin administration, fecal samples of vancomycin-treated and control mice were collected for 16S ribosomal RNA (rRNA) sequencing. As identified by α-diversity analysis, vancomycin treatment led to a reduction in the total bacterial diversity (Fig. 1 B). Based on an analysis of group similarities (ANOSIM) test of unweighted UniFrac distances, the control and vancomycin-treated samples showed distinct community structures on feature level (Fig. 1 C). Taxonomic representation was summarized at the family level (Fig. 1 D). Vancomycin treatment did induce dysbiosis of gram-positive and -negative bacteria, among which known butyrate-producing families, *Lachnospiraceae* (P = 0.0037) and *Ruminococcaceae* (P = 0.0188), were markedly decreased. Furthermore, 16S rRNA gene-predicted functional profiles, associated with butyrate metabolism, were analyzed using Tax4fun based on the SILVA database (Pruesse et al., 2007; Aßhauer et al., 2015). Comparisons of the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) orthologues for pathway enrichment showed a decrease following vancomycin treatment in the pathway related to starch and sucrose metabolism (ko00500), which associates with butyrate production (Fig. 1 E; Rampelli et al., 2013). Previous studies have demonstrated treating rats with oral vancomycin for 4 wk can alter the composition of the gut microbiome and decrease the concentration of circulating butyrate by 69% (Ho et al., 2015). In addition to the significant decrease of butyrate-producing bacteria, we found vancomycin decreased the concentration of butyric acid in systemic circulation (Fig. 1 F). Together, these results suggest that vancomycin may promote the antitumor effects of IR by decreasing the proportion of butyrate-producing bacteria; i.e., butyrate-producing bacteria may play an opposing role in radiotherapy response.

**Lachnospiraceae species increase systemic butyrate concentration and impair the antitumor response to IR**

As illustrated in Fig. 1 D, the abundances of operational taxonomic units that belong to the *Lachnospiraceae* family were decreased after vancomycin treatment. Using *Lachnospiraceae* genomic DNA as the standard, we verified that the abundance of fecal *Lachnospiraceae* was decreased following vancomycin treatment by real-time quantitative PCR (qPCR; Fig. S1 A). *Lachnospiraceae* is a family of anaerobic bacteria that are important butyrate producers residing in the intestinal microbiota (Stackebrandt, 2014). *Kineothrix alysoides* is reported to be a butyrate-producing species in the *Lachnospiraceae* family (Haas and Blanchard, 2017). After gavage, *K. alysoides* (TSD-26; American Type Culture Collection [ATCC])–colonized mice had a decreased antitumor response to IR compared with control mice in both MC38 and B16F1 tumor models (Figs. 2 A and S1 B). To ensure that the observation was due to butyrate production by *K. alysoides*, we also used heat-inactivated (HI) *K. alysoides*, which inactivates butyrate production but maintains the pathogen-associated molecular patterns that stimulate the immune response (Zimmermann et al., 2018). HI *K. alysoides*–administered mice responded similarly to IR compared with control mice (Fig. 2 B). Importantly, live *K. alysoides*–inoculated mice had an increase in circulating butyric acid, while mice inoculated with HI *K. alysoides* had no increase (Fig. 2 C). Following inoculation of live versus HI *K. alysoides*, our results suggest that the *Lachnospiraceae* species alone can increase systemic butyrate, which correlated with diminishing IR efficacy. Furthermore, specific pathogen–free (SPF) mice receiving i.v. injections of sodium butyrate (NaBu) on days 1, 4, and 7 after IR had impaired tumor control in MC38 and B16F1 models (Figs. 2 D and S1 C), indicating butyrate may inhibit the antitumor effect of IR.

**Intratumoral butyrate inhibits the antitumor effect of IR**

Mounting evidence suggests that the tumor microbiome may influence tumor control (Shi et al., 2020; Nejman et al., 2020). Since live *Lachnospiraceae* bacteria were not detectable in tumors, we propose that butyric acid may accumulate in tumors and influence the IR-induced antitumor response. Butyric acid was detected not only in serum but also in tumor tissues. Vancomycin decreased, while *K. alysoides* inoculation increased, the concentration of butyric acid in the tumor microenvironment (Fig. 3, A and B). HI *K. alysoides* did not affect the intratumoral butyric acid concentration (Fig. S2 A). We do not rule out the contributions from other metabolites or pathogen-associated molecular patterns. Direct injection of butyrate might help to improve the possibility that butyrate participates in this process. Furthermore, tumor control was impaired with intratumoral injection of NaBu on days 1, 4, and 7 after IR in both MC38 and B16F1 models (Figs. 3 C and S1 D). The IR-induced antitumor response was diminished following intratumoral injection of NaBu in vancomycin-treated mice (Fig. 3 D). These results suggest that local butyrate is sufficient to inhibit the antitumor effect after IR. To determine whether intratumoral butyrate directly affects the survival of tumor cells after IR, we performed a clonogenic assay using MC38 and B16F1 cell lines with diluted concentrations of NaBu added to the culture medium. Butyrate did not protect tumor cells from irradiation (Fig. S3, A and B). Since IR stimulates innate and adaptive immunity, we hypothesized that local butyrate would suppress the IR-mediated antitumor immune response.

**Butyrate inhibits IR-induced tumor-specific T cell response**

On day 10 after IR, MC38-OVA tumors were evaluated for intratumoral CD8+ T cell activation using Kb-OVA (SIINFEKL) as
the model antigen in an ELISPOT assay. Our data indicated that NaBu prohibited the IR-induced cytotoxic CD8+ T cell response (Fig. 4A). Based on our previous studies, IR-induced cytotoxic T cell response strongly depends on tumor-associated myeloid cells and STING activation (Mondini et al., 2019; Deng et al., 2016, 2014; Liang et al., 2017). On day 3 after IR, the intratumoral myeloid cells were analyzed by flow cytometry. Unexpectedly, the infiltration of DCs (CD11c−CD11c+MHCII+), macrophages (CD11b+F4/80+), monocytes (CD11b+CD11c−Ly6G−Ly6Chi), and neutrophils (CD11b+CD11c−Ly6G+Ly6C−) were not affected by NaBu treatment (Fig. S3, C and D).
IR can induce an enhanced tumor-specific cytotoxic T cell response that is DC dependent (Deng et al., 2014). Based on this, since the infiltration of DCs was not affected by local NaBu treatment after IR, we wondered whether butyrate impaired DCs’ function in activating CD8+ T cells. Intratumoral CD11c+ cells were sorted from mice treated with NaBu or without NaBu on day 3 after IR and were incubated with OT-I CD8+ T cells for IFN-γ+CD8+ T cell detection in an ELISPOT assay. NaBu prohhibited the IR-induced DC function in activating tumor-specific cytotoxic CD8+ T cell response (Fig. 4 B). In summary, intratumoral butyrate inhibited IR-induced tumor-specific T cell response by impairing DCs’ function.

Butyrate inhibits IR-induced IFN-I production

We previously reported that IR induced STING activation in intratumoral DCs and increased IFN-I expression in DCs to enhance the tumor antigen–specific cytotoxic T cell response (Deng et al., 2014). Furthermore, we wondered whether butyrate impaired DCs’ function in producing IFN-I. Upon in vitro stimulation of bone marrow–derived DCs (BMDCs), NaBu inhibited
tumor lysate (irradiated tumor cells; Fig. 5, A and B), and a STING agonist (c-di-AMP; Fig. 5, C and D) induced IFNβ expression, on both the mRNA and protein levels. STING activation recruits serine-threonine kinase (TBKI) to phosphorylate the IFN regulatory factor 3 (IRF3), ultimately leading to IFN-1 production (Charrel-Dennis et al., 2008; Cai et al., 2014). In BMDCs, upon c-di-AMP stimulation, the phosphorylation of TBKI and IRF3 were increased, while the increase of pTBK1 and pIRF3 was compromised with NaBu in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 5 E). These findings demonstrate that NaBu inhibits STING downstream activation by interfering phosphorylation of TBKI and IRF3. On day 3 after IR, MC38-OVA tumor-bearing mice from control and NaBu-treated groups were assessed for IFNβ production. NaBu significantly inhibited IR-induced intratumoral upregulation of IFNβ (Fig. 5 F). A low amount of recombinant IFNβ was then complemented intratumorally with simultaneous intratumoral NaBu treatment. IFNβ reversed the effects of NaBu and rescued the efficacy of IR (Fig. 5 G). Taken together, the accumulation of microbiota-derived butyrate can suppress the antitumor activity of IR by abrogating IR-induced IFN-1 upregulation and the tumor-specific cytotoxic T cell response.

Upon 16S rRNA amplicon sequencing, we identified that gram-positive butyrate-producing bacteria were proportionally decreased in vancomycin-treated mice with improved tumor control after IR. Vancomycin decreased, while butyrate-producing bacteria Lachnospiraceae increased, the butyrate concentration systemically and locally. Intratumoral butyrate accumulation was sufficient to impair the antitumor radiation response. Local butyrate altered the tumor microenvironment by inhibiting radiation-induced, STING-activated IFN-1 upregulation, which is required for tumor-specific cytotoxic T cell response (Fig. 5 H). We found butyrate inhibited STING-activated TBK1 and IRF3 phosphorylation, which led to decreased IFN-1 upregulation and poor antitumor immune responses. How butyrate inhibits the phosphorylation of TBK1 and IRF3 requires further investigation. It has been reported that butyrate attenuates the LPS-induced degradation/phosphorylation of nuclear factor of κ light polypeptide gene enhancer in B cells inhibitor, α (IkBa), DNA binding of NF-κB, and enhanced histone H3 acetylation (Lee et al., 2017). Butyrate affects important cellular processes in part via activation of G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) such as GPCR41, GPCR43, and GPCR109A (Ren et al., 2009; Brown et al., 2003; Parada Venegas et al., 2019). The anti-inflammatory effects of butyrate on macrophages were reported independent of GPCRs but were dependent on its inhibition of histone deacetylases (Chang et al., 2014). These mechanisms may act in concert in butyrate suppression of radiation-induced immunity.

The observation that butyrate-producing species K. alysoides can dampen the IR efficacy upon inoculation in mice strongly suggests that butyrate-producing bacteria, such as Lachnospiraceae or Ruminococcaceae, might be a novel therapeutic target after accounting for the complex interplay between the gut microbiota and host immune system. Our data provide a proof of concept and identify potential bacterial targets for subsequent radiotherapy translational clinical trials. One important clinical note is that butyrate supplements have been suggested for patients suffering IR-induced diarrhea, since they can relieve gut-associated inflammation (Ferreira et al., 2014; Mulder et al., 2019; Kelly et al., 2015). However, a reexamination of this clinical strategy is suggested by our findings that butyrate may reduce the efficacy of IR on various cancers.

Recently, the Facciabene group also found that vancomycin administration decreased the systemic concentration of butyrate and modulated the IR-induced antitumor response on DCs in the tumor-draining LN in B16 melanoma and TC-1 lung/cervical tumor models (Uribe-Herranz et al., 2020). Beyond their findings, our results revealed that intratumoral accumulation of butyrate suppressed the activation of STING and production of IFNβ in DCs within the tumor. Our results uncovered and determined the microbiota responsible for butyrate’s effects on antitumor immunity. Taken together, these data suggest butyrate participates in both the systemic and intratumoral IR-induced antitumor immunity. Our results do not rule out the contribution of other commensal bacterial species in regulating the IR-mediated antitumor response. Our recent published study suggests commensal bacteria migrate to the tumor site and colonize in the tumor microenvironment to participate in an anti-CD47-induced antitumor response (Shi et al., 2020). In this study, we also performed a 16S rRNA amplicon analysis of intratumoral bacteria. Some genera, like Akkermansia and Lactobacillus, had increased proportions following vancomycin treatment both in the gastrointestinal tract and the tumor site. These findings imply that not only antibiotics, but also beneficial bacteria, could be further...
manipulated for future therapeutic development. The modulation of the gut microbiome should be considered as precision therapy to promote the therapeutic effect of radiotherapy and other cancer therapies. Also, these data suggest that antibiotics administered to patients during radiotherapy may have unexpected effects on clinical outcomes.

In conclusion, a microbiota-derived metabolite, butyrate, appeared to restrain the antitumor effect of radiotherapy. The identification of a specific inhibitor for butyrate-mediated immune-modulatory effects could be considered to enhance radiotherapy responses.

Materials and methods

Mice
All mice used in this work were C57BL/6 background and were purchased from The Jackson Laboratory, Taconic Biosciences, or Envigo. These mice were maintained under SPF conditions. GF C56BL/6 mice were initially obtained from Taconic Biosciences and maintained in flexible-film isolators in the Gnotobiotic Research Animal Facility at the University of Chicago. GI, gastrointestinal; NTC, no-treatment control.

Cell lines and reagents
MC38 and B16F1 cells were purchased from ATCC and were maintained according to the method of characterization used by ATCC. The cell lines were authenticated by flow cytometry and morphology. In addition, the growth ability of MC38 and B16F1 in immunocompetent syngeneic mice was monitored in each experiment.

Figure 5. Butyrate inhibits the STING activation and restrains the IR-induced intratumoral IFN-I upregulation. (A and B) BMDCs were stimulated with MC38 tumor lysate. MC38 tumor cells were irradiated with 60 Gy. 4 × 10^6 irradiated cells were cocultured with 10^5 BMDCs for 24 h. CD11c+ cells were isolated, and mRNA expression of Ifnb was detected by qPCR (A). IFNβ secretion was detected by ELISA (B). (C and D) BMDCs were stimulated with c-di-AMP (1 µg/ml) for 24 h. CD11c+ cells were isolated, and mRNA expression of ifnb was detected by qPCR (C). IFNβ secretion was detected by ELISA (D). (E) BMDCs were stimulated with or without c-di-AMP (1 µg/ml) and NaBu (0, 0.1, or 1 mM) for 2 or 6 h. Western blot analysis of TBK1, pTBK1, IRF3, pIRF3, and β-actin is shown. (F) C57BL/6 mice (n = 5/group) were injected s.c. with 10^6 MC38 cells. Established tumors were irradiated (20 Gy) on day 10 after tumor inoculation. Tumor-bearing mice were intratumorally injected with 2 µmol of NaBu on day 1 after IR. Tumors were collected on day 3 after IR. IFNβ was detected by ELISA. (G) C57BL/6 mice (n = 4/group) were injected s.c. with 10^6 MC38 cells. Established tumors were irradiated (20 Gy) on day 10 after tumor inoculation. Tumor-bearing mice were intratumorally injected with 2 µmol of NaBu or 10 ng of recombinant IFNβ protein on days 1, 4, and 7 after IR. (H) Gut microbiota-derived butyrate suppresses radiation-induced IFN within the tumor microenvironment. Two-way ANOVA tests were used to analyze the tumor growth data. Unpaired t tests were used to analyze the other data. *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.001. One representative experiment (out of two experiments in E and G or three experiments in A–D and F) is shown.
were cultured in 5% CO₂ and were maintained in vitro in DMEM (Corning) supplemented with 10% HI FBS (Sigma-Aldrich), 2 mM L-glutamine, 0.1 mM MEM nonessential amino acids, 100 U/ml penicillin, and 100 µg/ml streptomycin.

**Tumor growth and treatment**

Tumor cells (5–10 × 10⁵) were injected s.c. into the backs of 8–10-wk-old mice. The tumor volumes were measured along three orthogonal axes (a, b, and c) and were calculated as follows: tumor volume = (a × b × c)/2. The mice were treated with the standard 15 or 20 Gy of tumor-localized radiation.

### Antibiotics and bacteria administration

For antibiotic administration, mice were orally fed with antibiotic suspensions (0.5 mg/ml ampicillin, 0.5 mg/ml gentamicin, 0.5 mg/ml metronidazole, 0.5 mg/ml neomycin, and 0.25 mg/ml vancomycin dissolved in autoclaved water) from 3 wk before tumor inoculation to experimental endpoints. Antibiotics were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. For bacteria oral administration, GF C57BL/6 mice were gavaged weekly with 10⁶ CFU of Lachnospiraceae family member K. alvyloides (TSD-26; purchased from ATCC and cultured with trypticase soya broth + 5% [vol/vol] defibrinated sheep blood in an anaerobic chamber) in 500 µl water per mouse from 3 wk before tumor inoculation to experiment endpoints.

### NaBu administration

For systemic administration, mice were intravenously injected with 4 µmol/200 µl of NaBu (Sigma-Aldrich) suspension the day before and every third day after tumor-localized radiation. For intratumoral administration, mice were intratumorally injected with 1–2 µmol/100 µl of NaBu suspension the day before and every third day after tumor-localized radiation.

### Quantification of butyric acid

125 µl serum or tissue suspension was added with an equal volume of nuclease-free water and acidified with 50 µl of 50% H₂SO₄. 2.5 µl of 1 mmol/L isobutyric acid (Sigma-Aldrich) was used as an internal standard. To this solution, 250 µl of diethyl ether was added, vortexed for 30 s, and quickly centrifuged at 5,000 × g for butyric acid extraction. 250 µl of the upper ether layer was transferred to a clean Eppendorf tube, and the extraction was repeated two more times. 500 µl of the extract was transferred to a glass vial and derivatized with 125 µl of an internal standard. To this solution, 250 µl of diethyl ether was added, vortexed for 30 s, and quickly centrifuged at 5,000 × g for butyric acid extraction. 250 µl of the upper ether layer was transferred to a clean Eppendorf tube, and the extraction was repeated two more times. 500 µl of the extract was transferred to a glass vial and derivatized with 125 µl of N-tert-butylmethylsilyl-N-methyl trifluoroacetamide (Ho et al., 2015). Samples were stored at -80°C before being analyzed using an Agilent SQ gas chromatograph (GC)/mass spectrometer (MS; 5977A single-quad MS and 7890B GC). Butyric acid (Sigma-Aldrich) was used to generate the standard curve.

### Measurement of IFN-γ–secreting T cells by ELISPOT assay

Tumor tissues and LNs from naive mice were harvested and resuspended in RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 10% FBS, 2 mM L-glutamine, 100 U/ml penicillin, and 100 µg/ml streptomycin. For intratumoral T cell detection, CD8⁺ cells from tumors and CD11c⁺ cells from naive LNs were purified using EasySep Selection kits (STEMCELL Technologies). Kb-OVA peptides (SIINFEKL; InvivoGen) were used at 10 µg/ml as model antigens. For intratumoral DC function detection, OT-I CD8⁺ cells from spleens from OT-I-transgenic mice and CD11c⁺ cells from tumors were purified using EasySep Selection kits (STEMCELL Technologies). A total of 2–4 × 10⁶ CD8⁺ cells were used for assays. The ratio of CD11c⁺ cells to CD8⁺ cells was 1:5 or 1:10. After 48 h of incubation, the IFN-γ production was determined with an IFN-γ ELISPOT assay kit according to the manufacturer’s protocol (BD Biosciences). The visualized cytokine spots were enumerated using an ImmunoSpot Analyzer (Cellular Technology Ltd.).

### Measurement of IFN-β secretion by ELISA

Supernatants from BMDC stimulation and tumor tissue lysates were collected and stored at -80°C for ELISA. Protease inhibitor cocktails (Thermo Fisher Scientific) were added according to the manufacturer’s protocol. IFNβ was measured using the VertiKine HS Mouse Interferon Beta Serum ELISA Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to the manufacturer’s protocol.

### Quantitative RT-PCR

RNA was extracted using TRIzol or RNeasy Plus Universal Micro kit (Qiagen). cDNA was generated using the First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Real-time RT-PCR was performed with ABI7500. Primers used were: β-actin: forward, 5′-CACCCGCCCACAGTTGC-3′; reverse, 5′-ATGGGTACTCAGG TGCAGGGTCGAGATA-3′; Ifnb: forward, 5′-ATGAGTGCTGTGTTG TGCAAGGC-3′; reverse, 5′-TGACTCTTCAAAATGCAGTAGATTC-3′; universal bacterial genome: forward, 5′-ACTCTCGAGGGAGG AGC-3′; reverse, 5′-ATTACCGGCGCTGTCGG-3′; Lachnospiraceae genome: forward, 5′-CCAAAGGCGCGTGTAAGCAACGGC GAAGACCTTACA-3′; reverse, 5′-CGAGAGTGAATCTACCATGTG AAGGACGACCATTGACCACC-3′. Gene expression was normalized to β-actin and calculated according to the 2⁻ΔΔCT method.

### Flow cytometry

Draining LN or tumor cells were digested with 1 mg/ml collagenase IV (Sigma-Aldrich) and 200 µg/ml DNaseI (Sigma-Aldrich) at 37°C for 30 or 60 min. Antibodies used were anti-CD11b (MJ/70), anti-CD4 (RM4-5), and anti-CD8α (53-6.7) from eBioscience and anti-CD11c (N418), anti-CD8α (53-6.7), anti-Ly6C (HK1.4), and anti-MHCII (MS/114.15.2) from BioLegend. Dead cells were excluded by LIVE/DEAD Fixable Yellow Dead Cell Stain Kit (Invitrogen). Flow cytometric analysis was acquired using a BD LSR Fortessa and analyzed with FlowJo V10.

### BMDC generation and in vitro stimulation

Single-cell suspensions of bone marrow cells were obtained from the indicated mice, plated in 10-cm Petri dishes, and cultured in culture medium (RPMI 1640 + 10% FBS + 1,000 U/ml GM-CSF). Fresh culture medium was added on days 3 and 6. BMDCs were harvested for stimulation assay on day 8. For in vitro stimulation, BMDCs were cocultured with or without 0.1–1 mM NaBu and 1 µg/ml c-di-AMP (InvivoGen) for 2–24 h.

### Western blot analysis

Whole-cell protein was extracted with radioimmunoprecipitation assay lysis buffer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) supplemented with...
protease/phosphatase inhibitor cocktail (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Protein concentrations were measured by BCA Protein Assay Kit (Pierce). An equal amount of protein (10 μg) was separated by SDS-PAGE and transferred to a polyvinylidene fluoride membrane (Invitrogen). Membranes were blocked in 1× TBS, 0.1% Tween 20 Detergent (TBST) (Thermo Fisher Scientific) with 10% nonfat milk for 2 h and incubated with primary antibodies (phospho-IRF3 [Ser396; D601M] rabbit mAb, IRF3 [D83B9] rabbit mAb, phospho-TBK1/NAK [Ser172; D52C2] XP Rabbit mAb, TBK1/NAK [D1B4] rabbit mAb; Cell Signaling Technology) at 4°C overnight. After washing with TBST and incubation with donkey anti-rabbit IgG HRP antibody (Cell Signaling Technology) or anti-β-actin HRP antibody (Cell Signaling Technology) for another 1 h, ECL (enhanced chemiluminescent liquid) and super ECL (Thermo Fisher Scientific) were applied for film development.

Microbiota analyses
Microbial DNA was extracted using a QIAamp Fast Stool Mini Kit (Qiagen) and was submitted to the Environmental Sample Preparation and Sequencing Facility at Argonne National Laboratory for 16S rRNA amplicon sequencing. Amplicon libraries were sequenced on the MiSeq Micro platform (Illumina). The raw sequencing data have been deposited with the National Center for Biotechnology Information under Sequence Read Archive accession no. PRJNA650218. The 16S data were quality filtered and demultiplexed using the same QIIME 1.9.1 scripts (i.e., join_paired_ends.py and split_libraries_fastq.py; Caporaso et al., 2010). The final set of demultiplexed sequences was then selected for exact sequence variant (ESV) picking using the Deblur pipeline (Amir et al., 2017). ESVs present in <10 samples were removed using the phyloseq package (McMurdie and Holmes, 2013). The final Biological Observation Matrix files (McDonald et al., 2012), comprising 12 murine samples with an average of 30,581 counts per sample, were then used for further analyses. To calculate the relative abundance, we sum up all counts and divide each count with the sum. Unconstrained principal coordinate analysis was employed to reveal β-diversity variations based on unweighted UniFrac (Lozupone et al., 2006) for the 16S rRNA ESV data in the Phyloseq package. The Chao1 index was used to estimate α-diversity, and the variation between groups (β-diversity) was statistically tested using ANOSIM (Anderson, 2017). Analysis of composition of microbiomes was used to identify differentially abundant bacterial ESVs between the groups at a P value cutoff of 0.05 with the Benjamini-Hochberg false discovery rate correction (Mandal et al., 2015). Spearman rank correlations and generalized linear models were used to establish associations between the microbiome and other continuous variables in the metadata by using the microbiomeSeq and glm packages in R (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, 2011; Chong et al., 2020).

Statistical analysis
For tumor growth data, descriptive statistics of tumor size were summarized by treatment group at each time point. Tumor growth curves were plotted over time by treatment. Flow cytometry and T cell function data were summarized, presented using descriptive statistics for each treatment, and compared across treatment groups using two-way ANOVA or two-way Student’s t tests. Statistical figures were prepared using Prism software (version 8.0; GraphPad Software). n.s., not significant; *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.001; and ****, P < 0.0001.

Online supplemental material
Fig. S1 shows that vancomycin decreased Lachnospiracea in the gastrointestinal tract, while both Lachnospiracea supplementation and butyrate administration impaired the antitumor effect of IR in a melanoma model. Fig. S2 shows that HI Lachnospiracea species does not affect intratumoral butyrate concentration. Fig. S3 shows that butyrate does not protect tumor cells from radiation or affect IR-induced intratumoral myeloid cell infiltration.
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**Supplemental material**

Figure S1. **Vancomycin decreased **Lachnospiraceae** in the gastrointestinal tract, while both **Lachnospiraceae** supplementation and butyrate administration impaired the antitumor effect of IR, in a melanoma model.** (A) Verification of the abundance of **Lachnospiraceae** by qPCR using **Lachnospiraceae** (TSD-26) genomic DNA as a standard (n = 4/group). Unpaired t tests were used to analyze the data. (B) GF C57BL/6 mice (n = 5/group) were fed **Lachnospiraceae** and **K. alysoides** (TSD-26) for 1 mo, 10⁶ CFU/mouse weekly. The control group was fed the same volume of autoclaved water. Then the mice were injected s.c. with 10⁶ B16F1 cells, and established tumors were irradiated (20 Gy) on day 10 after tumor inoculation. (C) C57BL/6 mice (n = 5/group) were injected s.c. with 10⁶ B16F1 cells. Established tumors were irradiated (20 Gy) on day 10 after tumor inoculation. Tumor-bearing mice were injected i.v. with 4 μmol of NaBu on days 1, 4, and 7 after IR. (D) C57BL/6 mice (n = 5/group) were injected s.c. with 10⁶ B16F1 cells. Established tumors were irradiated (20 Gy) on day 10 after tumor inoculation. Tumor-bearing mice were intratumorally (i.t.) injected with 2 μmol of NaBu on days 1, 4, and 7 after IR. A tumor growth curve is shown. Two-way ANOVA tests were used to analyze the tumor growth data. *, P < 0.05; ****, P < 0.0001. One representative experiment (out of two experiments) is shown.

Figure S2. **HI **Lachnospiraceae** species do not affect intratumoral butyrate concentration.** GF C57BL/6 mice (n = 5/group) were fed HI **Lachnospiraceae** and **K. alysoides** (TSD-26) weekly for 1 mo. 10⁶ CFU of TSD-26 were HI at 100°C for 30 min and fed to one mouse. The control group was fed the same volume of autoclaved water. Then the mice were injected s.c. with 10⁶ MC38 cells. On day 10 after tumor inoculation, tumors (control group, n = 14; HI-TSD-26 group, n = 10) were collected for butyrate detection by GC/MS. Unpaired t tests were used to analyze the data. n.s., not significant. Data were pooled based on two experiments yielding similar results.
Figure S3. Butyrate does not protect tumor cells from radiation or affect IR-induced intratumoral myeloid cell infiltration. (A) Clonogenic assay of MC38 cells. Radiation doses were 0, 1, and 3 Gy, and NaBu doses were 0, 0.5, and 2 mM. (B) Clonogenic assay of B16F1 cells. Radiation doses were 0, 2, 4, and 6 Gy, and NaBu doses were 0, 0.5, 1, and 2 mM. (C and D) C57BL/6 mice (n = 5/group) were injected s.c. with 10^6 MC38 cells. Established tumors were irradiated (20 Gy) on day 10 after tumor inoculation. Tumor-bearing mice were intratumorally injected with 2 μmol of NaBu on day 1 after IR. On day 3 after IR, tumors were harvested and processed for flow cytometry analysis. Percentages of intratumoral myeloid cells from PBS- and NaBu-treated mice. Statistical results are shown in C. Representative dot plots are shown in D. Unpaired t tests were used to analyze the statistical data. One representative experiment (out of two experiments) is shown.