Assessment of the variability of *Elymus caninus* (Poaceae) and closely related taxa from Russia and Kazakhstan using ISSR markers
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Abstract. A comparative study of taxa that are morphologically close to *Elymus caninus*, occurring in the territory of Russia and Kazakhstan, was carried out based on the ISSR molecular fingerprints. Data showed that the studied taxa are groups of individuals phylogenetically closely related to *E. caninus*. The assumption is confirmed that *E. viridiglumis*, as an *Elymus* species, has a polyphyletic origin as a part of microevolutionary processes in populations *E. caninus* s. l., possibly involving *E. mutabilis*. For the Caucasian endemic *E. prokudinii* and Kazakhstan endemic *E. goloskokovii*, the origin as result of introgression or spontaneous mutagenesis, i.e. a manifestation of the natural intraspecific polymorphism of *E. caninus*, is also assumed.

1 Introduction

In our opinion, confirmed species of the genus *Elymus* L., growing on Russian area [1], can be divided into several groups. Two of them are most important in terms of systematics and taxonomy:

1. Species with normal seed reproduction, having confirmed distribution area and abundance. This group should include close in origin, but independent species *E. caninus* (L.) L., *E. mutabilis* (Drob.) Tzvel. and *E. fibrosus* (Schrenk) Tzvel.

2. Species, representing morphologically deviating forms (MDF) of the basic species from the first group. Species from this group may have polyphyletic origin.

The study of number of species protologues, described from Russian area, and morphological analysis of grown specimens allowed to detect species, close to the ones from the first group. So, *Elymus viridiglumis* (Nevski) Czer. and *E. prokudinii* (Sered.) Tzvel. were described from the Southern Ural as a *Roegneria viridiglumis* Nevski [2], and the second one from the North Caucasus – as a *Roegneria prokudinii* Seredin [3]. The series of differences in description of diagnostic traits between protologues of these species and later identification keys, which were suggested by N.N. Tzvelev, gave reason to subject these taxa to *E. uralensis* as subspecies [4, 5].
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Elymus viridiglumis and E. prokudinii are morphologically similar, and we consider that they are derived from E. caninus, and possibly with participation of E. mutabilis.

Another species with probable relationship and even origination from E. caninus may be E. goloskokovii Kotuch., described from the North Kazakhstan, West Altai [6]. The origination of this species from E. fibrosus and E. trachycaulus (Link) Gould ex Shinners, supposed by author, seems to be based only on similarity in the trait of short lemma awns (1.5–4 mm), unlike the typical E. caninus forms (15–20 mm).

We consider appropriate to include accessions of mentioned species in comparative research with the use of a set of experimental methods. This report presents data on polymorphism and specificity of intermicrosatellite DNA sequences (ISSR-markers) to research with the use of a set of experimental methods. This report presents data on polymorphism and specificity of intermicrosatellite DNA sequences (ISSR-markers) to evaluate relationships between different Elymus morphotypes from locations within Russian Federation and adjacent Kazakhstan areas.

2 Materials and Methods

The list of accessions and their locations are given in Table 1. The following marker traits were identified: pilose surfaces of lemmas (in E. viridiglumis and E. prokudinii) and shortened lemma awns (in E. goloskokovii). Morphological peculiarity of Caucasian accessions (MDF) OSE-1427 and PTI-1837 is presented by short prickly (in contrast to long pilose) rachillas, and MDF AKL-0703 is characterized by densely pilose lemmas, but at the time by glabrous leaf blades (LB) unlike E. viridiglumis with pilose LB. All procedures of ISSR analysis were carried out as described previously [7].

Table 1. Locations of E. caninus accessions and related taxa taken in ISSR analysis. The numbering corresponds to ISSR patterns.

| №  | Taxon Accession code | Location of collecting |
|----|----------------------|------------------------|
| The Republic of Kazakhstan |
| 1  | E. caninus KZA-1706   | Vicinity of Besqynar town, alt. 1665 m N 43°12.161’ E 77°6.922’ |
| 2  | E. caninus EK-1716    | Vicinity of Shingistay village, alt. 915 m N 49°11.488’ E 86°01.621’ |
| 3  | E. viridiglumis EK-1418 | Vicinity of Berezovka village, alt. 1202 m N 50°07.623’ E 83°49.210’ |
| 4  | E. goloskokovii EK-1513 | Vicinity of Poperechnoye village, alt. 1202 m N 50°21.128’ E 83°53.527’ |
| Siberia |
| 6   | E. goloskokovii TUV-9936 | The Tyva Republic, Todzhinsky District, valley of Bii-Khem river |
| 7   | E. viridiglumis SON-9904 | The Republic of Khakassia, floodplain of the Ona river, alt. 713 m, N 52°10.772’ E 89°51.907’ |
| 8   | E. caninus KRG-1601    | Krasnoyarsk Krai, alt. 213 m, N 58°26.294’ E 98°33.358’ |
| 9   | E. caninus MOФ AKL-0703 | Altai Krai, alt. 98 m, N 52°55.19’ E 79°46.22’ |
| 11  | E. viridiglumis BEL-1404 | Altai Krai, alt. 287 m, N 51°58.847’ E 84°57.697’ |
| The Republic of Bashkortostan, Southern Ural, vicinity of settl. Novoabzakovo |
| 12  | E. caninus ABZ-1654    | alt. 616 m, N 53°48.250’ E 58°36.846’ |
| 13  | E. caninus UKU-1613    | alt. 561 m N 53°48.740’ E 58°39.560’ |
| 14  | E. viridiglumis ABZ-1637 | alt. 616 m, N 53°48.250’ E 58°36.846’ |
| 15  | E. viridiglumis UKU-1618 | alt. 619 m N 53°48.718’ E 58°40.377’ |
| 16  | E. mutabilis ABZ-1607   | alt. 546 m, N 53°47.845’ E 58°37.291’ |
| 17  | E. fibrosus ABZ-1602    | alt. 546 m, N 53°47.845’ E 58°37.291’ |
**3 Results and Discussions**

High polymorphism (92–100%) of intermicrosatellite DNA sequences in 24 accessions of studied species was revealed when comparing ISSR-profiles, obtained with 5 primers (17899B, HB12, M11, M2 (shown in Fig. 1 by increasing variability) and UBC-808) (Fig. 1, Table 2). ISSR-fragments (119) varied in the range from ~300 to 2000 bp. The largest number of bands (28) was obtained when using an M2 primer. The least variable profiles were received with 17899B primer – 15 bands.

**Table 2.** Characteristic of primers, used for the study of ISSR-variability.

| Name of primer | Nucleotide sequence 5'-3' | Annealing temperature of primer, °C | Total number of bands | Number of polymorphic bands | Percentage of polymorphic bands | Size of DNA fragments, bp |
|----------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|
| 17899B         | (CA)_nGG                 | 40                                | 15                    | 15                          | 100                           | ~550-1400                |
| HB12           | (CAC)_nGC                | 51                                | 25                    | 24                          | 96                            | ~300-1700                |
| M2             | (AC)_nY*G                | 56                                | 29                    | 28                          | 96.6                          | ~300-1250                |
| M11            | (CA)_nR**                | 51                                | 25                    | 23                          | 92                            | ~300-1100                |
| UBC-808        | (AG)_nC                  | 60                                | 25                    | 23                          | 92                            | ~700-2000                |

* Y = C or T; ** R = G or A

**Figure 1.** ISSR analysis of accessions of *E. caninus* and the *E. viridiglumis* accession from the Republic of North Ossetia – Alania

The list of accessions and their locations are given in Table 1. The following marker traits were used for the study of ISSR-variability.

**Table 1.** Accessions and related taxa taken in ISSR analysis. The numbering corresponds to ISSR patterns.

| Accession code | Taxon                  | Location of collecting area                   | Altitude | Longitude |
|----------------|------------------------|----------------------------------------------|----------|-----------|
| ABZ-1602       | *E. caninus*           | Vicinity of Poperechnoye village, alt. 1202 m N 50°07.623' E 86°01.621' | 1202 m   | 86°01.621' |
| ABZ-1607       | *E. caninus*           | Vicinity of Berezovka village, alt. 1202 m N 50°07.623' E 77°06.922'     | 1202 m   | 77°06.922' |
| ABZ-1637       | *E. caninus*           | Vicinity of Besqaynar town, alt. 1665 m N 43°12.161' E 89°51.907'       | 1665 m   | 89°51.907' |
| ABZ-1654       | *E. caninus*           | Vicinity of Tsytrynd-Azgol river, alt. 1764 m N 43°50.587' E 89°41.568' | 1764 m   | 89°41.568' |
| KRG-1601       | *E. prokudinii*        | Valley of Urukh river, alt. 1829 m N 42°54.175' E 43°35.626'         | 1829 m   | 43°35.626' |
| KZA-1706       | *E. prokudinii*        | Valley of Temirtau river, alt. 1853 m N 42°53.357' E 43°35.959'       | 1853 m   | 43°35.959' |
| EK-1418        | *E. prokudinii*        | Valley of Urukh river, alt. 1829 m N 42°54.175' E 43°35.626'         | 1829 m   | 43°35.626' |
| EK-1513        | *E. caninus*           | Valley of Tseydon river, alt. 1951 m N 42°47.139' E 43°53.605'         | 1951 m   | 43°53.605' |
| EK-1716        | *E. caninus*           | Valley of Tseydon river, alt. 1951 m N 42°47.139' E 43°53.605'         | 1951 m   | 43°53.605' |
| UKU-1618       | *E. prokudinii*        | Valley of Urukh river, alt. 1829 m N 42°54.175' E 43°35.626'         | 1829 m   | 43°35.626' |
| UKU-1637       | *E. prokudinii*        | Valley of Urukh river, alt. 1829 m N 42°54.175' E 43°35.626'         | 1829 m   | 43°35.626' |
| UKU-1654       | *E. prokudinii*        | Valley of Urukh river, alt. 1829 m N 42°54.175' E 43°35.626'         | 1829 m   | 43°35.626' |
| UKU-1679       | *E. prokudinii*        | Valley of Urukh river, alt. 1829 m N 42°54.175' E 43°35.626'         | 1829 m   | 43°35.626' |
| UKU-1706       | *E. prokudinii*        | Valley of Urukh river, alt. 1829 m N 42°54.175' E 43°35.626'         | 1829 m   | 43°35.626' |

**Figure 2.** ISSR analysis of accessions of *E. caninus* and the *E. viridiglumis* accession from the Republic of North Ossetia – Alania
Fig. 1. ISSR-variability and specificity of biotypes from different geographic regions (marked by letters) when using primers 17899B, HB12, M11, M2 (arranged by increasing variability). Accessions of taxa which formally do not belong to *E. caninus* marked by asterisks. Arrows indicate the hybrid specimens. Numbers of specimens are given according to Table 1. bp – scale of DNA fragments sizes (bp).

The consensus dendrogram was built according to data of ISSR-markers polymorphism by unweighted pair group method with arithmetic mean (UPGMA) (Fig. 2).

![Consensus UPGMA dendrogram](image)

Fig. 2. Consensus UPGMA dendrogram, built on the basis of spectra when using 5 ISSR-primers in taxa being morphologically close to *E. caninus*. Scale shows levels of differences.

According to species affiliation, in addition to *E. caninus*, the *E. mutabilis* ABZ-1607 and *E. fibrosus* ABZ-1602 should be considered the most valid accessions, because we referred all other accessions to doubtful species by formal traits in terms of microevolutionary isolation and taxonomical independence. At the same time, *E. fibrosus* accession as expected showed the largest difference from all taxa, phylogenetically close to *E. caninus*. Results have shown that different accessions of taxa, morphologically close to typical material of *E. caninus*, have formed a common cluster C and separated from two other clusters with high bootstrap support value. Cluster A-B combines two clades with accessions, predominantly referred to *E. caninus*. Meanwhile clade B comprises three Caucasian *E. caninus* accessions with *E. prokudinii*. It is notable, that dendrograms, built on different ISSR-primers separately, showed slightly differing levels of genetic relationships. Hybrid plants, analyzed in three cross-combinations, have shown more close location to maternal specimens on consensus dendrogram. Along with this, the origin of two bright amplicons in hybrid *E. caninus* MDF OSE-1427 × *E. prokudinii* TEB-1806 (20)
when using M2 primer remains unclear. We can suppose partial heterozygosity of paternal TEB-1806 genotype.

Nevertheless, the main conclusion is that all studied taxa represent complexes of specimens, phylogenetically connected with species radical *E. caninus*. In particular, the assumption was confirmed that *E. viridiglumis* as a species have polyphyletic origin in the frames of *E. caninus* microevolution with participation of ancestral or modern genotypes of closely related *E. mutabilis* species, as we supposed earlier [8]. Concerning Caucasian *E. prokudinii* species, the accession TEB-1806, identified by us, does not differ morphologically from Ural and Siberian accessions of *E. viridiglumis*.
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