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1. Introduction

Considerable evidence supports that increasing consumption of dietary fiber is associated with lower risk of obesity in adults [1]. Based on these data, the Dietary Reference Intakes (DRIs) for Americans two years and older is to consume 14 grams (g) of total fiber per 1000 kilocalories (kcal) of total energy intake. In younger children, a two-year follow-up study conducted in Latino children 7–11 years old showed that an increase of 3 g of dietary fiber/1000 kcals was associated with a 4% reduction of visceral body fat while a fiber decrease of the same amount was associated with a 21% increase of visceral body fat [2]. In addition, overweight Latino children who consumed 5.2 g of soluble fiber were more likely to have none of the features of metabolic syndrome while those who consumed 4.1 g were more likely to have 3+ features [3]. Others failed to establish a clear relationship between fiber intake levels and body weight, possibly due to the length of the study or the different types of fiber or food sources.

Obesity in childhood often results in insulin resistance, which disrupts glucose metabolism and can eventually result in diabetes [4], but data on glucose metabolism and fiber intake are sparse for children. Results of a meta-analysis of studies from 1980 to 2010 suggest that increased fiber intake is associated with decreased blood glucose and glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1c) in adults [5]. In addition, a review on psyllium fiber showed that increased psyllium consumption resulted in improved glucose homeostasis, with postprandial glucose values decreasing by 12.2% to 20.2% in children with type 2 diabetes [6]. However, research results are inconsistent, which may be due to the dissimilar effects of soluble and insoluble fiber. Current research suggests that cereal fiber and whole-grain intake is associated with decreased risk of diabetes, but no effect was found with increased intake of soluble fiber from fruits and vegetables [7, 8]. These data
are supported by research indicating that consumption of cereal fiber and whole grains increase insulin sensitivity in overweight and obese adults [9, 10].

To examine the issue, the objectives of this study were to use the data from 2–18 year old American children with plausible intake reports in a nationally representative data set and to (1) determine dietary fiber sources in children’s diets, (2) investigate the association between dietary fiber intake level and body weight status in 2–11 and 12–18 year old children, and (3) investigate the association between dietary fiber intake level and impaired glucose metabolism in teenagers 12–18 years old, who provided fasting blood samples.

2. Methods

2.1. Data Used. We used socioeconomic, dietary, and medical examination data from the combined survey years of 2003-2004 and 2005-2006 of the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES (available at [http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes.htm](http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes.htm))). During the survey, an adult was chosen for the household interview and reported sociodemographic information, such as gender, age, race, ethnicity, and household income. For this study two mutually exclusive age groups, 2–11 and 12–18 year olds, were created to account for the different eating patterns in these two groups.

According to the interview responder’s categorization, race and ethnicity were reported as American Indian or Alaskan Native, Asian, black or African American, Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander, White, or other non-Hispanic, Mexican American, or other Hispanic. These variables were recoded to reflect the cultural eating differences in Hispanic/other (Mexican Americans, other Hispanic, other/multiethnic), Non-Hispanic White, and Non-Hispanic black children. Household income was used to differentiate households by the income-eligibility cut-points for USDA food assistance programs; high income was defined as ≥1.85 Poverty Income Ratio (PIR), medium income defined as 1.86–3.4 PIR, and low income defined as ≤1.85 PIR. The latter group are income eligible for participation in the USDA food assistance program [11]. The PIR is used routinely to express the available income of households, accounting for the number of individuals living in the household. The distribution of the sample population is reflected in Table 1.

2.2. Dietary Data. Two 24-hour dietary recalls of food consumption data are available for both 2003-2004 and 2005-2006 survey years. Detailed information on survey design and data collection can be found elsewhere [12]. To accommodate the increasing food intake with older age, the sample was divided into two groups: 2–11 year olds and 12–18 year olds for all analysis.

2.2.1. Person-Level Intake Data. For this study, only two-day total energy and dietary fiber data were calculated for each child in the data set. To provide a direct comparison to the DRI recommendation for total fiber intake, dietary fiber density in children’s diets was calculated (average grams of fiber per 1000 kcal total energy consumed). This dietary fiber density variable was used to create three levels of fiber consumers in tertiles of fiber density.

2.2.2. Plausibility of Reported Diets. Due to under- or over-reporting of dietary data in certain groups [13], plausibility of the diet data was determined. Biological plausibility of the dietary energy intake records was ascertained using the method described by Huang et al. [14]. In short, children’s reported energy intake was compared to calculated, plausible age-and-gender specific energy expenditure data. Due to the bias introduced by unreliable intake reporting, all analyses of this study were based only on children who had plausible intake data.

2.2.3. Food-Level Intake Data. To explore the food sources of dietary fiber, food-level analysis was conducted. One day of 24-hour recall data was randomly chosen to obtain the most accurate amounts of fiber contribution for each food consumed. This method is routinely used to address the issue of daily variation of dietary intakes. For instance, a child might eat one egg on day one but no eggs on day two of the study. However, when examining food sources, one should not conclude that the child eats half of an egg every day. Randomly choosing one day of the intake data is a feasible method for this type of analysis. The top 20 food codes in children ages 2–11 and 12–18 are presented in Table 2.

2.2.4. Body Weight Status and Risk for Diabetes. Anthropometric data were obtained when NHANES participants visited the Mobile Examination Center (MEC). Using standard procedures, height and weight were measured, and children were classified as being underweight, normal weight, overweight, or obese using the standards published by the CDC (body-mass-index (BMI) weight-for–age growth charts [15] in that overweight included children whose BMI-for-age percentile was between the 85th and 94th percentile and obese if it was larger or equal to the 95th percentile. For the purpose of this study, children who were underweight (less than the 5th percentile, 3% of the sample) were combined with those who have healthy body weight (6th–84th percentile, 58% of the sample). Venous blood samples were drawn only in children at least 12 years old using standard procedures, and fasting blood glucose levels were ascertained using an enzyme hexokinase (HK) method. A fasting glucose level of at least 126 milligrams per deciliter (mg/dL) was used as the cut-point to establish impaired glucose metabolism. Full details on NHANES methodology to estimate impaired glucose metabolism can be found at [http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes.htm](http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes.htm).

2.3. Examination of Diet-Disease Associations, Data Analysis. The statistical software STATA 11 (Stata Corp, version 11) was used for all data analysis. Survey routine procedures were used to account for NHANES’s complex survey design and the sampling weights. The 4-year medical sampling weights were used to generate descriptive statistics and for the logistic analysis. Food-level analysis was based on one day of intake, and the calculation of weighted total intake of dietary fiber...
Hispanic white children provided plausible reports, likewise, more non-Hispanic black and Mexican American than non-plausible reporters versus the nonplausible reporters. In that metabolism (= was coded as a dichotomous variable (impaired glucose (referent, lowest tertile) while the main dependent variable independent variable was expressed in tertile of fiber density intervals. Similarly, the association between dietary fiber intake level and the risk for impaired glucose metabolism was estimated using multiple, logistic regression models. The relationship between the dietary fiber level and the risk for impaired glucose metabolism was performed using the one-day dietary intake weight (WTDRD1). The relationship between the dietary fiber level in children’s diets and their risk for being overweight or obese was estimated using multiple, logistic regression models. The independent variable was expressed in tertile of fiber density (referent, lowest tertile) while the main dependent variable was coded as a dichotomous variable (overweight/obese (=1) or not (=0)). Analyses were conducted by age group, controlling for age (as continuous variable), gender, ethnicity, and income as well as ethnicity and income interactions. Results were reported as odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals. Similarly, the association between dietary fiber intake level and the risk for impaired glucose metabolism was examined using logistic regression models where the independent variable was expressed in tertile of fiber density (referent, lowest tertile) while the main dependent variable was coded as a dichotomous variable (impaired glucose metabolism (=1) or not (=0)). Analyses were also controlled for gender, ethnicity, and income as well as ethnicity and income interactions. Due to the lack of data using fasting blood samples in children younger than 12 years old, the analysis was restricted to children 12–18 years old, who provided fasting blood samples. Significance level for all analysis was set at $P < 0.05$.

### 3. Results

The population characteristics are shown in Table 1. The total population included $N = 6556$ children, representing 109,068,577 children ages 2–18 years old in the US population. Within the total population, $N = 4755$ children, approximately 80% of the sample, had provided biological plausible intake reports and were included in the analysis. There were significant differences in the ethnic background of the plausible reporters versus the nonplausible reporters. In that more non-Hispanic black and Mexican American than non-Hispanic white children provided plausible reports, likewise, more low-income children than medium- or high-income children were plausible reporters.

In both age groups, more children who were plausible reporters had healthy weight (46% and 54% of 2–11 and 12–18 year old, resp.), overweight children who were plausible intake reporters comprised 9% of the 2–11 year olds and 13% of the 12–18 year olds, while obese plausible intake reporters comprised 19% of the 2–11 year olds and 14% of the 12–18 year olds.

Examination of the food sources of fiber revealed that the foods providing the highest proportions of fiber to the plausible diets of children were not high-fiber foods, such as French fries and pizza or white bread/rolls (Table 2). The main sources of fiber in the 2–11 year old children with healthy weight compared to the overweight/obese showed several differences; healthy weight children consumed more high-fiber foods such as peanut butter or popcorn compared to the overweight/obese. The food that contributed the highest amount of dietary fiber in children ages 2–11 and 12–18 years old was the “meatless bean and cheese burrito,” which was consumed in amounts that contributed the highest amount of fiber: up to 30.8 g of dietary fiber in the 2–11 year olds and up to 48.3 g in 12–18 year olds. Other foods consumed in amounts that rendered them high contributors of dietary fiber included baked white potatoes, beans and franks, canned pasta with tomato sauce and meat/meatballs, refried beans, and chili con carne.

Average total dietary fiber density was 6.4 g/1000 kcals in plausible reporters age 2–18. Dietary fiber density intake in 2–11 year old children was 6.68 g/1000 kcals, significantly higher than the dietary fiber density intake for 12–18 year old children of 6.15 g/1000 kcals ($P < 0.001$). Tertiles of average total dietary fiber density for 2–18 year old plausible reporters were 4.4, 6.1 and 8.8 g fiber/1000 kcals for the lowest, medium and highest tertiles. In 2–11 year old plausible reporters, the fiber density intake tertiles were 4.5, 6.2, and 8.8 g/1000 kcal of the lowest, medium, and highest tertile, respectively. In 12–18 year olds, tertiles of dietary fiber intake density were 4.3, 6.1, and 8.9 g/1000 kcal for

---

**Table 1: Population characteristics of children 2–11 and 12–18 years old with plausible diet records, NHANES 2003–2006 (in percent).**

|                      | 2–11 years old | 12–18 years old |
|----------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Gender               |                |                 |
| Males                | 53.0           | 52.4            |
| Females              | 47.0           | 47.6            |
| Ethnicity            |                |                 |
| Non-Hispanic White   | 60.7           | 65.2            |
| Non-Hispanic Blacks  | 13.6           | 13.8            |
| Hispanic/other race  | 25.8           | 20.9            |
| Income               |                |                 |
| PIR$^1$ < 185%       | 40.6           | 34.0            |
| PIR$^1$ $\geq$ 185% and $<350\%$ | 29.0 | 28.4 |
| PIR$^1$ $\geq$ 350% and $<500\%$ | 30.5 | 37.6 |

$^1$PIR: poverty income ratio, values $>5.0$ were recorded as 5.0 in the NHANES.
### Table 2: Foods contributing the highest proportion of dietary fiber\(^1\) for plausible energy reporters aged 2–11 and 12–18 by weight status.

| Food ranking | Healthy weight | Overweight/obese |
|--------------|----------------|------------------|
| **Ages 2–11** |                |                  |
| 1            | Burrito with beans and cheese, meatless | Burrito with beans and cheese, meatless |
| 2            | Banana, raw    | Apple, raw       |
| 3            | Apple, raw     | White potato, French fries |
| 4            | White potato, French fries | Frosted Mini-Wheats cereal (all flavors) |
| 5            | Spaghetti with tomato sauce and meat sauce | Bread, white |
| 6            | Bread, white   | Salty snacks, corn or cornmeal, tortilla chips |
| 7            | Pizza, cheese, thin crust | Orange, raw |
| 8            | Pizza with meat, thin crust | Banana, raw |
| 9            | Orange, raw    | Spaghetti with tomato sauce and meat sauce |
| 10           | Pizza with meat, thick crust | Waffle, plain |
| 11           | Popcorn, popped in oil, buttered | White potato, chips |
| 12           | Peanut butter  | Tortilla, corn   |
| 13           | Spaghetti with tomato sauce, meatless | Burrito with beef and beans (include burrito) |
| 14           | Macaroni or noodles with cheese | Baked beans, vegetarian |
| 15           | Roll, white, soft | Milk, chocolate, red fat, 2% |
| 16           | Salty snacks, corn or cornmeal, tortilla chips | Pizza with meat, thick crust |
| 17           | Carrots, raw   | Refried beans    |
| 18           | Tortilla, corn | Pizza, cheese, thick crust (including English muffin) |
| 19           | Milk, chocolate, red fat, 2% | Pizza with meat, thin crust |
| 20           | White potato, chips | Carrots, raw |

| **Ages 12–18** |                |                  |
|----------------|----------------|------------------|
| 1             | White potato, French fries | Pizza with meat, thick crust |
| 2             | Burrito with beans and cheese, meatless | White potato, French fries |
| 3             | Pizza with meat, thick crust | Salty snacks, corn or cornmeal, tortilla chips |
| 4             | Roll, white, soft | Apple, raw |
| 5             | Apple, raw | Bread, white |
| 6             | Spaghetti with tomato sauce and meat sauce | Pizza with meat, thin crust |
| 7             | White potato, chips | Spaghetti with tomato sauce, meatless |
| 8             | Salty snacks, corn or cornmeal, tortilla chips | Banana, raw |
| 9             | Banana, raw | Tortilla, corn |
| 10            | Raisin Bran, Kellogg’s | Carrots, cooked, fat not added |
| 11            | Pizza with meat, thin crust | Burrito with beef and beans (include burrito) |
| 12            | Peanut butter  | Frosted Mini-Wheats cereal (all flavors) |
| 13            | Bread, white   | White potato, chips |
| 14            | Bread, wheat or cracked wheat | Spaghetti with tomato sauce and meat sauce |
| 15            | Chicken patty/fillet/tenders, breaded, cooked | Sausage, potato and vegetables with gravy |
| 16            | White potato, French fries | White potato, French fries |
| 17            | Baked beans, vegetarian | Roll, white, soft |
| 18            | Tortilla, flour (wheat) | Bread, wheat or cracked wheat |
| 19            | Pasta with tomato sauce and meat/meatballs, canned | Bagel |
| 20            | Popcorn, popped in oil, buttered | Sunflower seeds, hulled, roasted, salted |

\(^1\)Proportion of dietary fiber is calculated as grams of total dietary fiber from food item/total gram of dietary fiber consumed.

Odds ratios for disease risk factors of BMI for children 2–18 years old are shown in Table 3. In 2–18 year old plausible reporters, the risk for overweight/obesity decreased significantly by 17% from children in the medium tertile of fiber density compared to those in the lowest tertile (OR = 0.83, \(P\) value = 0.043) and by 21% between those in the highest compared to the lowest tertile (OR = 0.79, \(P\) value = 0.031). There was a trend of decreasing risk for overweight/obesity with increasing fiber density among respondents 2–11 years old, but this trend was not significant. In 12–18 year olds with plausible diet records, the risk for overweight/obesity decreased by 25% from children in the highest fiber density.
intake tertile compared to the lowest tertile (OR = 0.75, \( P = 0.043 \)). Analysis of further subgrouping of the population yielded mixed results. Due to the small sample size of plausible reporters in the age groups, logistic models using those strata were not examined.

Of the 2,709 teenagers 12–18 years old who provided fasting blood glucose samples, 2,661 were plausible reporters, and 1,508 were plausible reporters with impaired blood glucose. A large protective effect of being in the medium tertile of dietary fiber density was found (OR = 0.68, \( P \) value <0.001), but this trend was not significant from children in the highest tertile compared to the lowest (OR = 0.75, \( P = 0.070 \)) (Table 3).

### 4. Discussion

The NHANES data are collected continuously to address the government’s mission to ensure the health and wellbeing of the American people via nutrition monitoring. This study provides information on the sources of fiber and the association between total dietary fiber intake and the odds of selected chronic diseases in children. To pursue this goal, NHANES data of the subsample with biological plausible reporters in the age groups, logistic models using those strata were not examined.

Of the 2,709 teenagers 12–18 years old who provided fasting blood glucose samples, 2,661 were plausible reporters, and 1,508 were plausible reporters with impaired blood glucose. A large protective effect of being in the medium tertile of dietary fiber density was found (OR = 0.68, \( P \) value <0.001), but this trend was not significant from children in the highest tertile compared to the lowest (OR = 0.75, \( P = 0.070 \)) (Table 3).

#### Table 3: Odds ratio (OR) for disease risk factors of body mass index (BMI) for children aged 2–18 and fasting blood glucose for children aged 12–18 by 2-day average intake tertiles of total dietary fiber density for plausible energy reporters controlling for age, gender, ethnicity and income.

| Disease risk factor | Medium tertile | Highest tertile |
|---------------------|----------------|----------------|
|                      | OR 95% CI      | \( P > \text{chisq} \) | OR 95% CI  | \( P > \text{chisq} \) |
| Overweight/obese by body mass index for 2–18 year old children \(^1\) | 0.83 (0.69, 0.99) | 0.043 | 0.79 (0.63, 0.98) | 0.031 |
| Overweight/obese by body mass index for 2–11 year old children \(^1\) | 0.87 (0.69, 1.10) | 0.224 | 0.87 (0.64, 1.18) | 0.365 |
| Overweight/obese by body mass index for 12–18 year old children \(^1\) | 0.84 (0.61, 1.16) | 0.274 | 0.75 (0.56, 1.00) | 0.043 |
| High fasting glucose for 12–18 year old children \(^2\) | 0.68 (0.54, 0.85) | <0.001 | 0.75 (0.55, 1.02) | 0.070 |

\(^1\) For age 2–18, body mass index is above 85th percentile.

\(^2\) Fasting blood glucose level is more than 126 mg/dL.

Fiber in addition to a calorie-restricted diet resulted in 2 kg more weight loss [19]. Others found no association between dietary fiber and adiposity [20]. In a sample of German children, higher fiber density was associated with increased risk for overweight/obesity [21]. One longitudinal study with two year follow-up showed that 7–11 year old Latinas who consumed higher levels of soluble fiber had a small but significant reduction of visceral body fat; on the other hand, lower fiber intake was associated with a 10% increase of visceral body fat [2]. Increasing dietary fiber intake by the equivalent of 1/2 cup of beans per day to children’s diets for 16 weeks resulted in decreased visceral adipose tissue by 10% in overweight Latino adolescents [22]. Conflicting results may be due to the different time periods, populations, and the amount and type of fiber assessed in these studies.

One possible venue for the beneficial effect of fiber on weight status could be the increase in satiety [23]. Postprandial glucose levels and increased insulin sensitivity are associated with the increased viscosity of soluble fiber intake which has been associated with delayed gastric emptying, altering of gastrointestinal myoelectrical activity, decreased glucose diffusion through the water layer, and decreased accessibility of substrates to α-amylase—thus increasing satiety [23, 24]. Insoluble fiber, on the other hand, does not absorb water but increases insulin sensitivity; a clear mechanism or pathway for this phenomenon has not been shown to date [25].

The majority of research on fiber intake and glucose metabolism focuses on adults, and data in children are scarce. Increased fiber density intake is inversely associated with impaired glucose tolerance in Finnish adults [26]. Others found that consumption of 10 g of β-glucan by obese women resulted in significantly decreased glucose response after 30 minutes as well as a delayed glucose response [27]. Furthermore, increasing cereal fiber by 31.2 g/day for 3 days resulted in improved insulin sensitivity in overweight and obese women [28]. Diabetic adults who consumed a diet with 50 g of fiber for 6 weeks had significantly reduced their
preprandial plasma glucose and area under the curve for 24-hour plasma glucose compared to those who consumed a diet with 25 g of fiber that was identical in macronutrient and energy content [29]. A review by Moreno et al. found that psyllium supplementation resulted in a 12%–20% reduction in postprandial glucose levels in children and adolescents with type 2 diabetes [6]. Psyllium fiber in particular has been identified as a method to decrease glucose levels in diabetic adults and has even been suggested as an additional treatment to type 2 diabetics [30].

The mechanism behind this phenomenon has not been established, but Weickert et al. have proposed a theory involving the mTOR pathway [9]. This theory is based on results of their recent study suggesting that obese adults consuming a diet high in protein have reduced insulin sensitivity and higher expression of protein ribosomal subunit serine kinase 6-1 (S6K1) while adults consuming a high-protein and high-cereal-fiber diet had S6K1 levels similar to baseline values. These data expand on previous research indicating that the inhibition of glucose uptake is associated with phosphorylation of downstream targets of S6K1 [31]. Weickert et al. hypothesize that the stable S6K1 expression in adults consuming the high protein and cereal fiber is due to fiber’s interference in the digestion and/or absorption of the protein. This theory may provide further insight to the effects of fiber on insulin sensitivity, and additional research should be initiated.

Our findings support previous research and suggest that fiber intake is associated with improved glucose metabolism. However, due to the relatively small number of individuals who were plausible reporters and had higher fiber intakes and the resulting intake distribution curves that were drastically skewed to the left, we were not able to show a consistent trend in improved health status with increasing level of dietary fiber intake.

A number of limitations affected this study. The most critical issue was that only dietary but not functional fiber intake can be estimated when using the NHANES data. Furthermore, only total dietary fiber but not soluble or insoluble fiber is included in the data set; thus, the specific effects of these two types of fibers could not be discerned. One major strength of this study was the use of a nationally representative dataset to explore diet-disease relationships. Epidemiologic and population-based studies are of tremendous value in the examination of diet-disease associations. However, the nature of such data precludes any indication of causality, and data are limited to the variables provided, making it impossible for researchers to examine other factors of interest. In addition, the accuracy of self-reported dietary intake data inherent to those studies is often a limiting factor. Since it is not possible to objectively and directly measure dietary intake in such large samples, individuals can under- or overreport all or selected foods, introducing reporting bias which results in skewed data [32]. Thus, studies investigating diet-obesity relationships should include the examination of biological plausibility in the intake data, as was performed here.

5. Conclusions
Although our results show a beneficial association between dietary fiber intake and lower risk for overweight and obesity, longitudinal studies clearly establishing a causal relationship are needed. Most children in this study underconsumed dietary fiber by more than 60% and even children with diets in the highest fiber density tertile failed to meet the intake recommendation, with younger children having a higher fiber density intake than older children. We showed that high-fiber diets were the result of large food intake, not the consumption of fiber dense foods. The potentially beneficial effect of the fiber on children’s health is diluted by excessive energy intake; therefore, children should be encouraged to consume fiber-rich foods, such as fruits, vegetables and whole grains. More research is needed to identify interventions to increase the fiber density of children’s diets with the goal of lowering childhood obesity.
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