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Differentiating Arteriolosclerotic Ulcers of Martorell from Other Types of Leg Ulcers Based on Vascular Histomorphology
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Clinical differential diagnosis of arteriolosclerotic ulcers of Martorell is challenging due to the lack of clearly affirmative instrument-based diagnostic criteria. The aim of this study was to develop vascular histomorphological diagnostic criteria differentiating Martorell ulcers from other types of leg ulcers. The histomorphology of patients diagnosed with arteriolar ulcers of Martorell (n = 67) was compared with that of patients with venous leg ulcers, necrotizing leukocytoclastic vasculitis, pyoderma gangrenosum, and non-ulcerative controls (n = 15 each). In a multivariable logistic regression model, the rates of arteriolar calcification (odds ratio (OR) 42.71, 95% confidence interval (CI) 7.43–443.96, p < 0.001) and subendothelial hyalinosis (OR 29.28, 95% CI 4.88–278.21, p < 0.001) were significantly higher in arteriolosclerotic ulcers of Martorell than in controls (OR 0.003, 95 CI < 0.001–0.97, p = 0.05). However, the wall-to-lumen ratio was similar in all ulcers (OR 0.975, 95% CI 0.598–2.04, p = 0.929). Based on the Youden index, a wall cellularity of < 0.24 cells/100 μm² was determined as the optimum cut-off point (sensitivity 0.955, specificity 0.944). Thus, arteriolar calcification, subendothelial hyalinosis, and arteriolar cellularity revealed high discriminatory power for arteriolosclerotic ulcers of Martorell.
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The differential diagnosis of leg ulcers covers a wide range of diagnoses, often posing a difficult diagnostic challenge. Approximately 75% of all ulcers occur due to vascular aetiologies (1). While most leg ulcers are caused by chronic venous insufficiency (2), arterial disease represents the second leading cause (3). Besides peripheral arterial occlusive disease, stenotic diseases of the cutaneous arterioles are also significant. Originally described by Fernando Martorell in 1945 (4, 5), the arteriolosclerotic ulcer of Martorell (ASUM) is defined by several characteristics. These include location of the ulcer on the lower leg, absence of relevant macrovascular diseases, disproportionate pain, and longstanding arterial hypertension (4, 5). It is characterized by skin infarctions, presenting with deep necrotic ulcers and purple-reddish edges (6). Although the exact prevalence of ASUM remains to be elucidated, recent reports estimate that it accounts for up to 5% of all leg ulcers (7). Treatment with sharp necrosectomy, followed by vacuum-assisted negative pressure and split-thickness skin grafting, has achieved the most successful results, leading to remission in up to 90% of patients (7). However, the clinical differential diagnosis is challenging, given the lack of clearly affirmative instrument-based diagnostic criteria. Nevertheless, the differential diagnosis of ASUM harbours the risk of underdiagnosis or misdiagnosis. This is particularly disconcerting considering the reports of frequent misdiagnosis with necrotizing leukocytoclastic vasculitis or pyoderma gangrenosum (PG), potentially resulting in fatal complications due to immunosuppressive treatment (1, 7–10). Previously published diagnostic criteria (4, 5, 11), such as arterial hypertension or tissue necrosis, are not specific to ASUM. Thus, the criteria can only be used to stratify risk populations. In addition, considering that the patient population of ASUM consists primarily of multimorbid elderly patients, mixed ulcer aetiologies are frequent (7, 12–14). Uncovering a potential arteriolosclerotic component in these cases, based simply on clinical criteria, seems impossible. Therefore, histological assessment of peri-ulcerative skin biopsies

SIGNIFICANCE

The arteriolosclerotic ulcer of Martorell is characterized by necrotic leg ulcers and is associated with long-standing arterial hypertension. Differential diagnosis, however, is challenging due to a lack of clearly affirmative instrument-based diagnostic criteria. This study provides quantitative histomorphological information on arteriolar wall changes in patients with arteriolosclerotic ulcer of Martorell in comparison with ulcerative and/or non-ulcerative controls. This information may facilitate the histological diagnosis, differentiating Martorell ulcers from other types of leg ulcers, and may also contribute to a better understanding of the aetiopathogenesis of the disease.
has gained increasing interest over the years. Although several authors have advocated for a biopsy assessment in suspected cases (7, 13, 15), others question its value (16, 17). Since the first report on histopathology in ASUM by Faber & Hines (18), several different “typical” histological findings in patients with ASUM have been reported. Accordingly, histological investigation of peri-ulcerative biopsy specimens evolved into an ancillary element of its diagnosis (6, 13, 19) (Table S1\(^1\)). However, the specificity of these findings has been questioned, and remains a subject of ongoing controversial scientific discussions. Currently, there are no generally accepted and validated histological criteria for ASUM (6, 8, 16, 20, 21). In addition, no comparative study of the histomorphology of ASUM vs other types of leg ulcers has been conducted.

Therefore, this study aimed at a systematic multimodal microstructural analysis of deep skin biopsies of a large series of patients diagnosed with ASUM in 3 independent European academic dermatological wound centres. To determine the specificity of the results, the histopathological and microstructural vascular findings of patients diagnosed with ASUM were systematically compared with patients with venous leg ulcers, necrotizing leukocytoclastic vasculitis, and pyoderma gangrenosum, representing the most important clinical differential diagnoses of ASUM. In addition, findings were compared with samples from non-ulcerative skin of non-hypertensive controls. These results may serve as a basis for the development of histological “minimal diagnostic criteria”, compatible with the diagnosis of ASUM in suspected cases.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

This study was conducted in compliance with Good Clinical Practice and the Declaration of Helsinki (22) and in accordance with the Austrian and Swiss laws. The study protocols and patient enrolment were formally approved by the local ethics committees at the Medical University of Vienna (number 2017-1171), the Canton of Zurich (number 2017-00290), and the Canton of Bern (number 2017-01216).

**Case selection**

This study included patients diagnosed with ASUM between 1998 and 2019 at the University Hospital of Vienna in Austria, the University Hospital of Zurich in Switzerland, and the University Hospital of Bern in Switzerland. The “ASUM” diagnosis was based on clinical and histological presentations (for details, see Appendix S1\(^1\)). The ASUM study group was compared with the following control groups: venous leg ulcers (Venous), necrotizing leukocytoclastic vasculitis (Vasculitis), pyoderma gangrenosum (PG), non-ulcerative skin of non-hypertensive controls (Control N), and non-ulcerative skin of hypertensive controls (Control H). Skin biopsies from ulcers were performed for diagnostic reasons, according to previous recommendations on biopsies in patients with ASUM (1, 7, 13). Biopsies extended from the edge of the ulceration, including: (i) the healthy adjacent skin, (ii) the wound margin, and (iii) the ulcer ground itself. Biopsies were performed in an elliptical shape with a length:width ratio of >3:1 and extended into the deep subcutaneous tissue (in most cases down to the fascia of the muscle). All non-ulcerative healthy control samples were recruited from patients receiving re-excisions after previous tumour excisions on the lower leg (without signs of the initially described neoplastic tissue).

**Histological analysis**

All histological specimens were initially stained with haematoxylin and eosin (H&E). Based on previous publications on the vascular histological criteria of ASUM (see Table S1\(^1\)), the following criteria were selected for in-depth assessment of arterioles at the dermal-subcutaneous junction: (i) quantification of the wall-to-lumen ratio according to a method adapted from a previously published protocol (23); (ii) quantification of subendothelial “hyalinosis”, defined as the accumulation of an amorphous, cosinophilic substance, supposedly consisting of plasma proteins, collagen, lipids and basement membrane material, in the media of arteriolar vessels; (iii) scoring of periarteriolaritis; and (iv) evaluation of the presence of arteriolar calcification; and (iv) scoring of periarteriolaritis. All non-artificial intelligence histological assessments were independently performed by 2 operators (for details, see Appendix S1\(^1\)).

**Discriminatory power and biostatistical analysis**

For statistical comparison, Fisher’s exact tests were performed for binary variables and Wilcoxon–Mann–Whitney tests for continuous variables. \(p \leq 0.05\) were considered statistically significant. \(p \leq 0.01\) were considered highly significant. The significance levels were adjusted using a Bonferroni post-hoc correction (24), if applicable. Continuous variables are depicted as medians (interquartile ranges; IQR), if not indicated otherwise. A logistic regression model was defined, using the diagnosis of ASUM vs controls as the target variable and wall-to-lumen ratio, calcification, hyalinosis, and cellularity index as explanatory variables. A second model with only calcification and cellularity index as explanatory variables was defined. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves were generated to test the goodness of both models. Optimal cut-off values for cellularity dependent on the presence of calcification for ASUM vs controls were obtained by calculating the Youden index in the model that included only calcification and cellularity as the explanatory variables. All statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics 24 (IBM Inc., Armonk, NY, USA) or R-Version 3.6.1 (25) (for details, see Appendix S1\(^1\)).
RESULTS

Case selection and patient characteristics

A total of 67 patients with ASUM passed the eligibility criteria. In addition, 15 patients per control group (Venous, Vasculitis, PG, Control N, Control H) were selected for the study. Altogether, 156 samples from 142 patients were analysed, resulting in an assessment of 921 arterioles.

All 67 (100%) patients with ASUM had been diagnosed with arterial hypertension, 33 (49%) had diabetes mellitus type II (DM II), 12 (18%) had renal insufficiency, 12 (18%) had peripheral arterial occlusive disease (PAOD stage I), and 16 (24%) had chronic venous disease (CVD, Clinical-Etiological-Anatomical-Pathophysiological stage <C4). All patient characteristics are summarized in Table SII.

Arteriolar hyalinosis

Arteriolar subendothelial hyalinosis is characterized by the deposition of glassy, amorphous fibrosclerotic material in arteriolar walls. Based on conventional H&E histology, 62 (93%) patients with ASUM showed hyalinized arteriolar vessels. This was significantly more than that in all control groups (all \( p < 0.001 \)), in which hyalinization could only be detected sporadically. The median share of hyalinized vessels in ASUM samples was 50% (28.57–70.00), which was also significantly higher than that in all other groups (0%, 0.00–0.00, \( p < 0.001 \)) (Fig. 1a).

Cellularity index

To quantify the fibrosclerotic subendothelial changes (“hyalinosis”) resulting in acellular concentric matrix...
structures in the arteriolar wall, the cellularity index was determined. The cellularity index is defined as the number of nuclei per 100 μm² of arteriolar wall surface (Fig. 1b). The cellularity index of arterioles in patients with ASUM (0.13, 0.06–0.27) was significantly lower than that in all control groups (Venous: 0.53, 0.28–0.69, \( p<0.001 \); Vasculitis: 0.44, 0.31–0.63, \( p<0.001 \); PG: 0.52, 0.47–0.63, \( p<0.001 \); Control N: 0.47, 0.40–0.62, \( p<0.001 \); Control H: 0.50, 0.40–0.57, \( p<0.001 \)).

Wall-to-lumen ratio

To quantify and compare the luminal obstruction, the ratio between the thickness of the arteriolar wall and the lumen was determined according to a standardized protocol (23). The median arteriolar wall-to-lumen ratio (AWLR) in the ASUM samples was 1.74 (1.33–2.18). AWLRs in the control groups were 0.96 (0.72–1.39), 1.01 (0.86–1.33), 1.1 (0.82–1.75), 1.1 (0.78–1.43), and 1.23 (0.84–1.62) for Venous ulcers, Vasculitis, PG, Control N, and Control H, respectively (all \( p<0.05 \), Fig. 1c). However, despite the statistical significance, a more detailed analysis revealed that the quartiles of patients with ASUM and control groups showed a substantial overlap, indicating a low discriminatory power.

Calcification

Arteriolar calcification was present in 51 (76%) patients with ASUM. In all control groups, arteriolar calcification could only be seen sporadically compared with that in the ASUM group (all \( p<0.001 \), Fig. 2a).

Periarteriolitis

Periarteriolitis is characterized by immune cell infiltrates next to the arteriolar wall. In 61 (91%) patients with ASUM, periarteriolitis was detected in at least one vessel, as well as in 10 (67%), 13 (87%), 15 (100%), 7 (47%) and 6 (40%) patients of the Venous, Vasculitis, PG, Control N, and Control H groups, respectively. The mean percentage of affected vessels was 73% (±31.3), 44% (±41.3), 82% (±35.9), 98.7% (±5.2), 22.1% (±28.3) and 18.9% (±31.4) in the ASUM, Venous, Vasculitis, PG, Control N, and Control H groups, respectively (Fig. 2c). To quantify the severity, periarteriolitis was graded from 0 (no periarteriolitis) to 4 (severe periarteriolitis). The median severity scores were 1.45 (0.79–1.85), 0.56 (0.00–2.67), 1.00 (1.00–2.00), 3.00 (2.20–4.00), 0.00 (0.00–0.50) and 0.00 (0.00–0.25) for the ASUM, Venous, Vasculitis, PG, Control N, and Control H groups, respectively (Fig. 2b). In both assessments,
only the differences in the ASUM group compared with those in the PG, Control N, and Control H groups were statistically significant ($p<0.001$, Fig. 2c).

**Convolutional neural network analysis**

Convolutional neural network (CNN) analysis was performed to evaluate the histomorphological distinguishability between the ASUM and control groups. Automated predictions of 523 test images showed a mean sensitivity of 0.882 (95% CI 0.834–0.931), specificity of 0.7 (95% CI 0.446–0.954), and an area under the ROC curve (AUC) of 0.889 (95% CI 0.824–0.995). **Fig. 3**a shows the application of Grad-CAM (26) to image crops, where image regions with the 200 highest and lowest activation values for ASUM were extracted to interpret probably useful distinctive features, as perceived by the CNN. Manual qualitative post-hoc analysis of the resulting image regions with the highest activation for ASUM showed hypocellular (81%), lamellar convex (19%), and strongly eosinophilic (3.5%) features, corresponding to hyalinosis and basophilic regions indicating calcification (13.5%).

**Discriminatory power and biostatistical analysis**

A logistic regression model was defined using the diagnosis of ASUM vs controls as target variable and wall-to-lumen ratio, calcification, hyalinosis and cellularity index as explanatory variables. A second model with only calcification and cellularity index as explanatory variables was calculated. Odds ratios (OR) for calcification, hyalinosis, and cellularity were significantly different from 1. Calcified vessels were more likely found in patients with ASUM (OR 42.7, 95% CI 7.4–444.0, $p<0.001$). Similarly, patients with ASUM were more likely to have vessels with hyalinosis (OR 29.3, 95% CI 4.9–278.2, $p<0.001$). Patients with ASUM showed lower cellularity (OR 0.003, 95% CI < 0.001–0.97, $p=0.05$). The AWLR did not significantly differ (OR 0.98, 95% CI 0.60–2.04, $p=0.929$). The AUC values of 0.983 (all parameters included) and 0.971 (only cellularity and calcification) suggest a good diagnostic ability of both models (Fig. 3b).

The optimal cut-off values for cellularity based on the Youden index were 0.24 (if no calcification was observed) and 0.64 (if calcification was observed). Classifying individuals with lower cellularity than the

**Fig. 3. Convolutional neural network (CNN) analysis and logistic regression model.** (a) Analysis of a convolutional neural network trained to distinguish arteriosclerotic ulcer of Martorell (ASUM) from controls, based on vessel-centred image crops. Grad-CAM (26) analysis for ASUM-prediction on an example image (upper left) shows the highest activation within the vessel wall (upper middle and right). Extraction of the 200 image areas of the highest and lowest ASUM-activation of all training and test images (lower left and middle) indicate more eosinophilic colour and hypocellularity as objective distinctive features. Manual review of highly ASUM-activated areas of the test images is shown on the right. (b) Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve of the logistic regression model with the diagnosis ASUM vs controls as the target variable and wall/lumen ratio, calcification, hyalinosis and cellularity as the explanatory variables (red) and with cellularity and calcification as explanatory variables (blue). (c) Evaluation of ASUM and controls using 2 criteria, cellularity index (Cel) < 0.24 and presence of calcification (Cal). Purple box indicates patients, who fulfil at least 1 criterion. The blue box indicates patients, who fulfil none of the criteria. AUC: Area under the curve.
above-mentioned thresholds will result in a sensitivity of 0.955 and a specificity of 0.944 for the diagnosis of ASUM. When “cellularity < 0.24” and “presence of calcification” were applied as criteria, 97% of patients with ASUM and only 5.3% of the controls fulfilled at least one of the criteria (Fig. 3c and Fig. S1). The presence of hyalinosis and calcification in patients with ASUM did not differ significantly depending on the comorbidities, including DM II, chronic kidney disease, PAOD, and CVI. However, calcification of arterioles was significantly more frequent in patients taking vitamin K antagonists (92% vs 67%; \( p = 0.036 \); Fig. 4).

**DISCUSSION**

ASUM represents an important differential diagnosis of leg ulcers of vascular origin. However, its diagnosis is challenging, given the lack of clearly affirmative instru-

---

**Fig. 4.** Presence of hyalinization and calcification in arteriolsclerotic ulcer of Martorell (ASUM), dependent on comorbidities. Presence of hyalinization and calcification in samples of patients with ASUM is dependent on the following comorbidities: arterial hypertension, diabetes mellitus type II (Diabetes), peripheral arterial occlusive disease (PAOD), chronic venous disease (CVD), and intake of vitamin K inhibitors. ns: not significant, *significant \( (p < 0.05) \) and **highly significant \( (p < 0.01) \) results.
ment-based diagnostic criteria. Consequently, ASUM poses a major risk of underdiagnosis or misdiagnosis, which is particularly disconcerting considering reports of misdiagnosis with other types of leg ulcers, potentially resulting in fatal complications due to immunosuppressive treatment (1, 7–10). Although several different histological findings in patients with ASUM have been reported, there are no generally accepted, validated histological criteria for ASUM available to date (6, 8, 16, 20, 21). The presence of “stenosing arteriolosclerosis”, composed of hyalinosis, muscular hypertrophy, and calcification, has been considered the histological hallmark of ASUM by several authors (6, 13, 18, 19). Hyalinosis, which is characterized by the accumulation of an amorphous eosinophilic substance, supposedly consisting of plasma proteins, collagen, lipids, and basement membrane material, in the media of arteriolar vessels, is mostly accompanied by the loss of smooth muscle cells involving hardening and loss of elasticity of the arteriolar wall (27–29). Although it has been reported by several authors (7, 13, 20, 30, 31), the term “hyalinosis” represents a rather unspecific term. The exact molecular composition of these hyaline subendothelial deposits in ASUM has not been elucidated thus far. However, given the reports on similar histological changes in some non-ulcerative hypertensive patients (23), the specificity of these findings has been questioned (8, 16).

The aim of the present study was to perform a systematic multimodal microstructural comparative analysis of skin biopsies of a large series of patients diagnosed with ASUM and different ulcerative and non-ulcerative control groups. To achieve a maximum number of typical ASUM cases, the patient material from 3 independent European wound care centres was combined. Stringent eligibility criteria were initially applied to exclude ulcers that may also harbour another, particularly macrovascular, aetiological component. To investigate their specificity, the histological signs of arteriolosclerosis and -stenosis found in ASUM were compared with the most important clinical differential diagnoses of ASUM, namely venous leg ulcers, necrotizing leukocytoclastic vasculitis, pyoderma gangraenosum, and non-ulcerative non-hypertensive as well as hypertensive controls. A multivariable regression model with ASUM as the target variable and wall-to-lumen ratio, calcification, hyalinosis, and cellularity as explanatory variables showed that calcified vessels occurred significantly more often in patients with ASUM in addition to vessels with hyalinosis. While the AWLR did not significantly differ, the cellularity indices were significantly higher in all control groups. Therefore, arteriolar calcification, subendothelial hyalinosis, and decreased cellularity (with a cellularity index of <0.24 cells/100 μm²) revealed high discriminatory power for ASUM compared with that for ulcerative and non-ulcerative controls.

These observations were supported by an operator-independent CNN analysis, as the image regions with highest activation for ASUM showed hypocellular, lamellar convex, and eosinophilic regions corresponding to hyalinosis, and strongly basophilic regions corresponding to calcification.

The presence of hyalinosis and calcification did not differ based on comorbidities, including DM II, chronic kidney disease, PAOD, and CVI. However, calcification of arterioles was significantly more frequent in patients taking vitamin K antagonists. This is consistent with the hypothesis that vitamin K deficiency promotes vascular calcification by decreasing the activation of matrix Gla-protein, which is synthesized by vascular smooth muscle and endothelial cells and acts as a strong inhibitor of vascular calcification by keeping the extracellular matrix free of calcium deposits (32–34).

**Study limitations**

This study has several limitations. One major limitation is the retrospective study design. The patient data was collected from 3 different study centres and finally combined to achieve the maximum number of cases. In addition, differences in diagnostic work-up and histological sample processing cannot be fully excluded. Due to the rather limited incidence of the disease, patients with ASUM were collected over a long study period of 21 years, which brings about a heterogeneous study population, particularly regarding therapeutic measures of ASUM as well as of secondary factors, such as the use of anticoagulants or the use of different standard antihypertensive drugs, which has changed over the years. These differences in pharmaceutical interventions might have also had an impact on the disease course and histological findings, such as the presence of arteriolar calcification. Several patients had to be excluded a priori, due to the presence of a relevant concomitant macrovascular disease. However, this selection process also represents a potential bias with regard to the extrapolation of the findings to a non-selected patient population in daily clinical routine.

**Conclusion**

This study provides quantitative histomorphological information on arteriolar wall changes in patients with ASUM in comparison with that in ulcerative and/or non-ulcerative controls. Overall, both manual and operator-independent computational histomorphological analysis suggest arteriolar calcification and hyalinosis (quantified by decreased wall cellularity, particularly <0.24 cells/100 μm²) as the main discriminatory parameters in the microstructural differential diagnosis of ASUM from other leg ulcer entities. This may facilitate the histological diagnosis in unclear cases in the future. However, this may also contribute to a better understanding of
the aetiopathogenesis of the disease, involving a loss of cellularity in the subendothelial arteriolar wall. Future studies will have to further unravel the exact molecular composition of this acellular fibrosclerosis. This would allow for: (i) a more detailed understanding of the underlying pathophysiological mechanisms, (ii) a potential molecular differentiation from the vascular acellular fibrosclerosis observed in other types of diseases, such as diabetic ulcers (10), and (iii) the development of future therapeutic strategies targeting these vascular deposits in affected patients.
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