Elevated circulating levels of succinate in human obesity are linked to specific gut microbiota
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Abstract
Gut microbiota-related metabolites are potential clinical biomarkers for cardiovascular disease (CVD). Circulating succinate, a metabolite produced by both microbiota and the host, is increased in hypertension, ischemic heart disease, and type 2 diabetes. We aimed to analyze systemic levels of succinate in obesity, a major risk factor for CVD, and its relationship with gut microbiome. We explored the association of circulating succinate with specific metagenomic signatures in cross-sectional and prospective cohorts of Caucasian Spanish subjects. Obesity was associated with elevated levels of circulating succinate concomitant with impaired glucose metabolism. This increase was associated with specific changes in gut microbiota related to succinate metabolism: a higher relative abundance of succinate-producing Prevotellaceae (P) and Veillonellaceae (V), and a lower relative abundance of succinate-consuming Odoribacteraceae (O) and Clostridaceae (C) in obese individuals, with the (P + V/O + C) ratio being a main determinant of plasma succinate. Weight loss intervention decreased (P + V/O + C) ratio coincident with the reduction in circulating succinate. In the spontaneous evolution after good dietary advice, alterations in circulating succinate levels were linked to specific metagenomic signatures associated with carbohydrate metabolism and energy production with independence of body weight change. Our data support the importance of microbe–microbe interactions for the metabolite signature of gut microbiome and uncover succinate as a potential microbiota-derived metabolite related to CVD risk.

Introduction
Cardiovascular disease (CVD) is a collective term used to describe heart and blood vessel disorders and constitutes the leading cause of death worldwide. In developed countries, CVD usually manifests as coronary artery disease, atherosclerosis, and hypertension, with central obesity playing an increasingly important role as a risk factor [1].

Although much attention has focused on the generation of reactive oxygen species and consequent downstream ramifications in the progression of CVD [2], more studies are now centering on the human metabolome as a potential resource of biomarkers of CVD and also as novel therapeutic targets [3]. Against this background, the tricarboxylic acid intermediate succinate is concentrated in body fluids under conditions of hypoxia and inflammation where it serves as a universal metabolic signal of local stress and immunologic danger [4–6]. Accordingly, elevated levels of circulating succinate have been detected in several high-risk CVD states such as hypertension [7], ischemic heart disease [8], and type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) [7, 9–11]. Under these scenarios, extracellular succinate is thought to signal through its cognate receptor SUCNR1/GPR91, with pathological implications in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy [8], obesity-related metabolic disturbances [12], renin-induced hypertension [10], and diabetic retinopathy [13].

There is a lack of consensus regarding the origin of circulating succinate. Although it is probable that damaged
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Dysbiosis of the gut microbiota is associated with CVD and its traditional risk factors T2DM, insulin resistance, and obesity [15, 18–20]. Products of bacterial metabolism have been associated with both health benefits and disease. Thus, short chain fatty acids (SCFAs) produced via fermentation of nondigestible carbohydrates by colonic microbiota have been reported to enter systemic circulation [15, 21] and reach peripheral tissues, where they can activate their cognate receptors [21], improving body composition, glucose, and lipid homeostasis [22, 23]. Indeed, dietary SCFA supplementation was shown to decrease atherosclerotic lesions in murine models [24]. Conversely, other gut microbial-derived metabolites such as trimethylamine and trimethylamine N-oxide (TMAO) are proatherogenic [25, 26] and have emerged as prognostic markers for incident cardiovascular events [27]. By analogy, we postulate that other organic acids such as succinate might be released by gut commensal bacteria into circulation, particularly in pathological conditions associated with an increase in intestinal permeability (leaky gut), such as obesity [28]. To the best of our knowledge, no studies have linked gut microbiota to circulating succinate. Here, we show that circulating succinate levels are increased in obesity and can be characterized by a specific intestinal bacterial signature. Weight loss alters the composition of succinate-producing and -consuming gut microbiota, which is coincident with a reduction in circulating levels of succinate. More importantly, spontaneous changes in intestinal succinate-metabolizing microbiota (producers vs. consumers) over a 2-year period are paralleled with changes in circulating succinate, with independence of weight loss, indicating a strong link between specific microbiome signature and blood succinate levels.

Materials and methods

Study design and patients

The present study comprised five different clinical sub-studies to serve the following different aims: (1) analyze circulating succinate levels in lean, obese, and diabetic subjects using a cross-sectional study, cohort I; (2) examine the relationship between gut microbiota and succinate (discovery cohort II and confirmatory cohort III); (3) establish a link between circulating succinate and gut microbiota (dietetic intervention study cohort IV and follow-up study cohort V).

All studies were conducted in accordance to the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. All volunteers received information concerning their participation in the study and gave written informed consent. The studies were approved by the respective local ethics committee review boards of the participating Hospitals.

Inclusion criteria for all subjects

(1) Caucasian men and women; (2) body mass index (BMI) range from lean to obese (adequately represented in each group); (3) absence of underlying pathology on physical examination and tests other than those associated with an excess of weight or diabetes; and (4) signed informed consent for participation in the study.

Exclusion criteria for all subjects

(1) Serious systemic disease unrelated to obesity such as cancer, severe kidney, or liver disease; (2) systemic diseases with intrinsic inflammatory activity; (3) history of liver disease (chronic active hepatitis or cirrhosis) and/or abnormal liver function (alanine transaminase and/or aspartate transaminase three times above the upper normal value); altered renal function (creatinine >1.4 mg/dl in women and 1.5 mg/dl in men); (4) pregnancy and lactation; (5) vegetarians or subjects subjected to irregular diet; (6) patients with severe disorders of eating behavior; (7) clinical symptoms and signs of infection in the previous month; (8) anti-inflammatory chronic treatment with steroidal and/or non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs; (9) prior antibiotic treatment in the last 3 months; (10) major psychiatric antecedents; and (11) uncontrolled alcoholism or drug abuse.

Cross-sectional study cohort I

Design

Observational single-point study.

Participants

Ninety-one subjects (49 women and 42 men) were included in the cross-sectional study (30 lean, 41 obese, and 20 patients with T2DM). Obesity was classified according to World Health Organization (WHO) criteria. Patients with T2DM were diagnosed according to American Diabetes Association criteria with a stable metabolic control in the...
previous 6 months, as defined by stable glycosylated hemoglobin values. No patient was insulin treated; 60% were taking metformin, 20% were treated with sulfonylurea and <15% were treated with dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors. Subjects were recruited at the Endocrinology Service at the University Hospital Joan XXIII (Tarragona, Spain).

**Intervention**

All patients had fasted overnight before collection of subcutaneous adipose tissue (SAT) and blood. SAT was obtained during scheduled non-acute surgical procedures including laparoscopic surgery for hiatus hernia repair or cholecystectomies. SAT samples were washed in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and immediately frozen in liquid N$_2$ with storage at −80 °C, or used immediately for fractionation. For SAT fractionation, fresh SAT was diced into small pieces (10–30 mg), washed in PBS, and incubated in Medium 199 (Gibco, Grand Island, NY) plus 4% bovine serum albumin and 2 mg/ml collagenase type I (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) for 1 h in a shaking water bath at 37 °C. Anthropometrical and clinical variables are summarized in Supplementary Table S1.

**Discovery cohort II**

**Design**

Observational single-point study.

**Participants**

Twenty female subjects were included in the cross-sectional study (10 lean and 10 obese). Obesity was classified according to WHO criteria. Subjects were recruited in the outpatient surgery at the Endocrinology Service at the University Hospital Virgen de la Victoria de Málaga (Malaga, Spain). The study participants received no antibiotic treatment, probiotics, prebiotics, or any other medical treatment influencing intestinal microbiota during the 3 months before the start of the study.

**Intervention**

All patients had fasted overnight before collection of blood and stool. Anthropometrical and clinical variables are summarized in Supplementary Table S2.

**Confirmatory cohort III**

**Design**

Observational single-point study.

**Participants**

Seventeen subjects (10 women and 7 men) were included in the study (9 lean and 8 obese). Obesity was classified according to WHO criteria. Subjects were recruited at the Endocrinology Service at the University Hospital Dr. Josep Trueta (Girona, Spain). The study participants received no antibiotic treatment, probiotics, prebiotics, or any other medical treatment influencing intestinal microbiota during the 3 months before the start of the study.

**Intervention**

All patients had fasted overnight before collection of blood and stool. Anthropometrical and clinical variables are summarized in Supplementary Table S2.

**Dietary intervention cohort IV**

**Design**

Intervention study.

**Participants**

Nine obese women (a subsample of the registered study ISRCTN88315555) were included in the study. Subjects were recruited in the outpatient surgery at the Endocrinology Service at the University Hospital Virgen de la Victoria de Málaga. The study participants received no antibiotic treatment, probiotics, prebiotics, or any other medical treatment influencing intestinal microbiota during the 3 months before the start of the study.

**Intervention**

Patients underwent an intervention involving a hypocaloric Mediterranean Diet and physical exercise program. The Mediterranean Diet included extra virgin olive oil and nuts and reduced the energy intake by approximately 600 kcal. The diet comprised fat (35–40%; 8–10% saturated fatty acids), carbohydrates (40–45%; low glycemic index), and protein (20%) [29, 30]. Adherence to the diet was measured as described previously [31]. Participants were encouraged to gradually increase their level of physical activity to reach at least 45 min per day over the course of the study, which was assessed by their personal trainer on a monthly basis. Participants kept a physical activity record using a GEN-EActiv© accelerometer. Physical activity levels were evaluated using the Rapid Assessment of Physical Activity questionnaire [32].

Dietary and physical intervention involved individual visits with a nutritionist every week during the 3 months.
Furthermore, a nutritional education program was initiated to modify dietary and lifestyle habits with the aim of promoting both weight loss and subsequent weight maintenance. All patients had fasted overnight before collection of blood and stool, before and after the intervention. Anthropometrical and clinical variables are summarized in Supplementary Table S3. None of the nine volunteers received antibiotic therapy, prebiotics, probiotics, symbiotics, vitamin supplements, or any other medical treatment influencing intestinal microbiota during the 3 months before the start of the study or during the study.

Follow-up study cohort V

Design

Spontaneous observational follow-up study.

Participants

Nineteen patients were followed for 2 years to evaluate the spontaneous evolution of the microbiota. General counseling was provided to the subjects. None of the 19 volunteers received antibiotic therapy, prebiotics, probiotics, symbiotics, vitamin supplements, or any other medical treatment influencing intestinal microbiota in the 3 months before the start of the study or during the study (2 years). All patients had fasted overnight before collection of blood and stool samples, before and after the follow-up period. Anthropometrical and clinical variables are summarized in Supplementary Table S4.

Analytical determinations

Blood samples were drawn after a 12-h fast. Serum/plasma was separated and immediately frozen at −80 °C. Serum biochemical parameters were measured in duplicate. Serum glucose, cholesterol, high density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol and triglycerides were measured by standard enzymatic methods (Randox Laboratories Ltd, Antrim, UK). Insulin was measured with an immunoradiometric assay (BioSource International, Camarillo, CA).

Gene expression analysis

Total RNA was extracted from SAT using the RNeasy Lipid Tissue Midi Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). Total RNA quantity was measured at 260 nm and purity was assessed by the OD260/OD280 ratio. For gene expression analysis, 1 μg of RNA was reverse transcribed with random primers using the Reverse Transcription System (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). For microRNA analysis, complementary DNA (cDNA) synthesis was performed with the TaqMan MicroRNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA). Real-time PCR (qPCR) was conducted on a 7900HT Fast Real-Time PCR System using TaqMan Gene Expression Assays (Applied Biosystems) for ATGL (Hs 00386101_m1), ZAG (Hs 00426651_m1), ABHD5 (Hs01104373), HSL (Hs 00193510_m1), CD163 (Hs00174705_m1), HIF1A (Hs00153153_m1), IL1B (Hs001749097_m1), and CCL2 (Hs00234140_m1). Results were calculated using the comparative Ct method (2−ΔΔCt) and expressed relative to the expression of the housekeeping gene 18S (Hs 03928985_g1).

Fecal microbiome analysis

16S sequencing (cohort II and IV)

Collected stool samples were immediately frozen at −80 °C. Genomic DNA was extracted following the recommendations of the International Human Microbiome Standards (http://www.microbiome-standards.org) [33]. A frozen aliquot (250 mg) of each sample was suspended in 250 ml of guanidine thiocyanate, 40 ml of 10% N-lauroyl sarcosine, and 500 ml of 5% N-lauroyl sarcosine. DNA was extracted by mechanical disruption of the microbial cells with beads, and nucleic acids were recovered from clear lysates by alcohol precipitation. An equivalent of 1 mg of each sample was used for DNA quantification using a spectrophotometer (NanoDrop Technologies, Wilmington, DE). DNA integrity was examined by micro-capillary electrophoresis using an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer with the DNA 12,000 Kit, which resolves the distribution of double-stranded DNA fragments up to 17,000 bp in length. Ribosomal 16S rRNA gene sequences were amplified from cDNA using the 16S Metagenomics Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Italy). The kit included two primer sets that selectively amplify the corresponding hypervariable regions of the 16S region in bacteria: primer set V2–4–8 and primer set V3–6, 7–9. The PCR conditions used were 10 min at 95 °C, 30 cycles of 30 s at 95 °C, 30 s at 58 °C, and 20 s at 72 °C, followed by 10 min at 72 °C. The concentration and the average size of each amplicon was determined using the Quant-iT PicoGreen dsDNA Assay Kit (Invitrogen); the amount of DNA fragments per microliter was calculated and libraries were created using the Ion Plus Fragment Library Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Barcodes were added to each sample using the Ion Xpress Barcode Adapters 1–16 kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The library concentrations were determined using the Ion Universal Library Quantification Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Emulsion PCR and sequencing of the amplicon libraries was performed on a Ion 520 chip (Ion 520™ Chip Kit) using the Ion Torrent S5™
system and the Ion 520™/530™ Kit-Chef (Thermo Fisher
Scientific) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.
After sequencing, the individual sequence reads were
filtered using Ion Reporter Software V4.0 to remove low
quality and polyclonal sequences.

**Metagenomic analysis (cohort III and V)**

Total DNA was extracted from frozen human stool
samples using the QIAamp DNA Stool Mini Kit (Qiagen,
Courtaboeuf, France). Quality assessment was performed
with the prinseq-lite program applying the following
parameters: min_length, 50, trim_qual_right, 20, trim_-qual_type, mean; and trim_qual_window, 20. R1 and R2
reads from Illumina sequencing were joined using fastq-join
e from ea-tools suite. The fastq files were converted into
fasta files using the ‘fastq_to_fasta’ tool from the FastX-
Toolkit program. Those files were filtered against the
human genome, downloaded from the NCBI FTP site
(ftp://ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genomes/H_sapiens/). The una-
aligned files, that is, those that did not map against the
human genome, were the input files of a BLASTn search
against a customized bacterial database (Bacte-
ria_2015_06_09) consisting of the Human Microbiome
and the bacterial genomes downloaded from the NCBI FTP
site (ftp://ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genomes/ HUMAN
MICROBIOM/Bacteria/ and ftp://ftp.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/
genomes/archive/old_refseq/Bacteria/). The best hits of the
BLASTn output files were extracted, converted into con-
tingency tables, and transformed into BIOM format to be
used as input files of the Quantitative Insights Into Micro-
bial Ecology (QIIME) open-source software pipeline ver-
nion 1.9.0 [34, 35].

**Circulating succinate measurement**

**Fluorimetric method**

Circulating serum/plasma succinate levels were measured
using the EnzyChrom™ Succinate Assay Kit (BioAssay
Systems, Hayward, CA). The assay sensitivity was 12 µM
and the intra- and interassay coefficients of variance were
<3.5 and 6.95%, respectively.

**LC-MS/MS and NMR analysis**

We validated circulating succinate levels obtained by the
fluorimetric assay using liquid chromatography tandem-
mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) and nucelar magnetic
resonance (NMR) analysis. To do this, a subsample of
plasma samples from cohort I was prepared as previously
reported with some modifications [36, 37]. Importantly, the
concentration of succinic acid measured by the fluorimetric
assay correlated with that measured by LC-MS/MS ($r =
0.617$, $p = 0.019$) and by NMR ($r = 0.769$, $p = 0.043$),
indicating that we could use the fluorimetric assay to
measure human succinate levels, which is faster and more
economical than the other two methodologies.

**Circulating zonulin measurement**

We measured serum zonulin as a surrogate marker of
intestinal permeability. Circulating plasma/serum zonulin
levels were assessed using the Human Zonulin Elisa Kit
(My Biosource, San Diego, CA) [38, 39]. This assay has
high sensitivity (1 ng/ml) and excellent specificity for
detection of zonulin, and only detects the active (uncleaved)
form. The intra- and interassay coefficients of variation for
these determinations were <10%.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed with the Statistical
Package for the Social Sciences software, version 15 (SPSS,
Chicago, IL). For clinical and anthropometrical variables,
normally distributed data were expressed as mean ± SD and
for variables with no Gaussian distribution values were
expressed as median (25th–75th quartiles). Student’s $t$-
test with Bonferroni adjustment was used to compare the mean
value of normally distributed continuous variables. For
variables that did not have a Gaussian distribution, we used
the Kruskal–Wallis test with post hoc Dunn’s multiple
comparison test. To analyze the differences in nominal
variables between groups, we used the $\chi^2$ test. For micro-
bioita data, statistical signiﬁcance was tested by unpaired
$t$-test or Mann–Whitney $U$-test as part of the SPSS
software package. For intervention studies, Wilcoxon
signed-rank test or paired $t$-test was used for paired
analysis in the two prospective cohorts as appropriate.
Pearson’s and Spearman’s correlation coefficients with
Bonferroni adjustment were used to analyze the relationship
between parameters. To determine which variables were
associated with circulating succinate, multiple linear
regression analyses were employed (stepwise forward
selection procedures). All variables associated in the uni-
variate analysis with succinate were included in their
respective models. A $p$-value <0.05 was considered sig-
nificant. For functional studies, statistical analysis was
performed with R statistics software version 3.3.3. Wil-
coxon rank-sum test was used for hypotheses testing ana-
lysis between the two groups (group 1 vs. group 2). Heat
maps were generated using a hierarchical clustering algo-
rithm to visualize the metagenomic function and metabolite
differences within the data set.
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A. 

- [Graph A] showing succinate levels in Lean, Obese, and T2DM groups.

B. 

- [Graph B] showing correlations between succinate and BMI, insulin, glucose, and HOMA IR.
- Correlation coefficients and p-values: R=0.6361, p=0.0001; R=0.5083, p=0.0001; R=0.3487, p=0.0012; R=0.5639, p=0.0001.

- [Graph C] showing correlations between succinate and TG, SAT ATGL, SAT ABHD5, SAT HSL, SAT ZAG.
- Correlation coefficients and p-values: R=0.4575, p=0.0023; R=0.3253, p=0.036; R=0.3074, p=0.048; R=0.3992, p=0.0088.

- [Graph D] showing correlations between succinate and SAT HIF1α, SAT CDH63.
- Correlation coefficients and p-values: R=0.4289, p=0.005; R=0.3891, p=0.011.
Results and Discussion

Circulating levels of succinate are elevated in obesity and associated with a worse metabolic profile

In a cohort of 91 patients stratified according to obesity and T2DM (cohort 1), plasma succinate levels were significantly higher in obese than in lean individuals (Fig. 1a, Supplementary Table S1), and a comparable increase was detected in BMI-matched T2DM patients, in line with a recent report [11]. These results suggest that systemic succinate is also associated with body weight status. Accordingly, we found a positive association between circulating succinate levels and BMI (Fig. 1b), but also insulin, glucose, homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR), and triglycerides (Fig. 1b). Consistent with the documented role of succinate in blood pressure regulation [7, 40], circulating succinate also correlated positively with diastolic blood pressure ($R = 0.386, p = 0.039$). A multiple regression analysis model ($R^2 = 0.295$) adjusted for age and gender showed that BMI and glucose ($\beta = 0.495, p < 0.001$ and $\beta = 0.279, p = 0.013$, respectively) were the main determinants of circulating succinate levels.

Succinate has been shown to have antilipolytic actions in adipose tissue via engagement with SUCNR1, inhibiting the release of fatty acids from adipocytes [12, 41]. Consistent with this scenario, metabolic gene expression profiling in SAT from a representative subset of cohort I ($n = 42$) revealed a negative association between systemic succinate levels and genes encoding key enzymes involved in intracellular degradation of triacylglycerols, including adipose triglyceride lipase ($ATGL$), abhydrolase domain containing ($ABHD5$), and hormone-sensitive lipase ($HSL$) (Fig. 1c). A similar negative association was found for the gene encoding the secreted AT lipolytic factor zinc-alpha-2-glycoprotein (ZAG) (Fig. 1c). Conversely, we found a positive association between succinate and hypoxia-inducible factor $HIF-1\alpha$ (Fig. 1d), a key transcription factor underlying chronic inflammation and AT dysfunction in obesity [42, 43]. Indeed, a clear function for succinate has been established in innate immune signaling, where it enhances interleukin-1 beta (IL-1β) production via stabilization of HIF-1α [6, 44]. Nevertheless, we found that systemic succinate levels were associated with the expression of the anti-inflammatory macrophage marker CD163 in SAT (Fig. 1d), but not with inflammatory markers such as IL-1β or MCP-1 ($R = 0.116, p = 0.466$; $R = 0.039, p = 0.809$, respectively), supporting the notion that succinate might have differential intracellular and extracellular functions as previously noted for other stress-related factors such as osteopontin [45, 46] and heat shock proteins [47]. Of note, although some associations were also found in visceral adipose tissue, stronger correlations were detected in SAT, suggesting that the subcutaneous fat depot is more responsive to succinate than visceral fat.

Gut microbiota composition is associated with circulating succinate levels

In an independent cohort (cohort II, clinical, and anthropometrical characteristics are summarized in Supplementary Table S2), the serum concentration of succinate was significantly higher in obese than in non-obese individuals ($43.93 \pm 6.16 \mu M$ vs. $23.2 \pm 1.57 \mu M, p = 0.0020$). Of note, the concentration of succinate in serum is about one-third lower than that found in plasma ([13] and this study).

Analysis of gut microbiota composition by 16S rRNA gene sequencing revealed an increase in the Firmicutes/ Bacteroidetes ratio in obese subjects (Supplementary Figure 1A), and decreased richness and biodiversity at the phylum and genus level (Supplementary Figure 1B–C) [48–51]. We found that the relative abundance (RA) of Prevotellaceae ($37.52 \pm 3.86\%$ vs. $12.93 \pm 3.97\%, p = 0.0005$) and Veillonellaceae ($36.08 \pm 9.52\%$ vs. $19.51 \pm 4.26\%, p = 0.03$), known succinate producers [20, 52, 53], was higher in obese than in non-obese individuals (Fig. 2a).

Accordingly, serum succinate levels positively correlated with Prevotellaceae ($R = 0.465; p = 0.039$). Conversely, the RA of Odoribacteraceae ($1.57 \pm 0.116\%$ vs. $5.18 \pm 1.64\%, p = 0.005$) and Clostridiaceae ($0.09 \pm 0.04\%$ vs. $1.02 \pm 0.36\%, p = 0.05$) families, known succinate consumers [54, 55], was significantly lower in obese than in non-obese individuals (Fig. 2a). No differences were detected in other bacterial families such as Paraprevotellaceae, Bacteroidaceae, or Ruminococcaceae, which are also related to succinate metabolism [52, 54, 56–58]. Consequently, the ratio of (Prevotellaceae + Veillonellaceae/Odoribacteraceae + Clostridiaceae) ($fam (P + V / O + C)$), specific succinate producers per consumers, was significantly higher in obese subjects (Fig. 2b) and correlated positively with succinate serum levels (Fig. 2c). At the genus level, we found that the succinate-producing member Mitsuokella spp. was enriched in fecal samples of obese
levels were significant in the succinate-consuming members *Phascolarctobacterium* spp. (7.27 ± 2.29% vs. 24.15 ± 6.12%, \( p = 0.018 \)) and *Odoribacter* spp. (0.8 ± 0.27% vs. 3.66 ± 1.81%, \( p = 0.017 \)) (Supplementary Figure 1D). Correspondingly, the ratio of specific succinate producers/succinate consumers at the genus level was also significantly higher in obese than in non-obese individuals (Supplementary Figure 1E).

According to the "leaky gut" hypothesis, intestinal dysbiosis characteristic of obesity is directly related to translocation of bacteria and their products into systemic circulation [28]. As expected, circulating levels of zonulin, a useful biomarker of intestinal permeability [59–62], were significantly higher in obese than in non-obese individuals (869.33 ± 199.013 ng/ml vs. 500.87 ± 44.61 ng/ml, \( p = 0.04 \)). A positive correlation was found between serum succinate and circulating zonulin (\( R = 0.61; \ p = 0.011 \)) (Fig. 2d), suggesting that akin to the elevated levels of circulating lipopolysaccharide in obesity [63, 64], intestinal permeability might be closely associated with the presence of succinate in systemic circulation.

To further investigate the relationship between serum succinate and the gut microbiome, we performed whole-genome shotgun sequencing of fecal DNA in an independent cohort (confirmatory cohort III; clinical and anthropometrical characteristics summarized in Supplementary Table S2). As noted in previous cohorts, succinate plasma levels were significantly higher in obese than in lean individuals (101.72 ± 9.37 μM vs. 78.24 ± 4.4 μM, \( p = 0.043 \)). Furthermore, we detected a significant increase in the family *Veillonellaceae* (2.37 ± 0.39% vs. 1.41 ± 0.24%, \( p = 0.043 \)) in obese subjects (Fig. 2e), as well as a positive correlation between *Veillonellaceae* and succinate levels in plasma (\( R = 0.773; p < 0.001 \)) (Fig. 2f). Accordingly, obese subjects had a higher *gen*(P + V/O + C) ratio (Fig. 2g), which positively correlated with plasma succinate levels (Fig. 2h). Similar to cohort II, obese individuals had higher zonulin levels (Supplementary Table S2), which also positively associated with circulating succinate levels (\( R = 0.59; p = 0.0152 \)).

Overall, these data demonstrate that despite the inter-individual heterogeneity, circulating succinate levels are associated with specific components of gut microbiota. Interestingly, the microorganisms linked to circulating succinate levels have been previously related to CVD and/or its risk factors. Thus, succinate-consuming genera such as *Odoribacter* and *Clostridium* have been linked to a decrease in clinical parameters associated with CVD risk [65, 66]. By contrast, the *Prevotella* genus, which we found to be increased in obese individuals, has been recently associated with hypertension [67] and TMAO-induced atherosclerosis [68, 69]. Along these lines, Chen and colleagues have demonstrated that resveratrol modulates gut microbiota by inhibiting the *Prevotella* genus, which in turn induces a decrease in circulating TMAO levels [70], pointing to gut microbiota as an attractive target for pharmacological or dietary interventions to decrease the risk of developing CVD.

**Modification of gut microbiota by dietary weight loss intervention affects circulating succinate levels**

To determine whether diet-induced modifications in gut microbiota could be reflected in variations in circulating succinate levels, we carried out a prospective 12-week dietary intervention study in obese patients aimed to weight loss (cohort IV, Supplementary Table S3). Serum succinate levels decreased after the intervention (Fig. 3a) in parallel with an increase in genus and family richness (Supplementary Figure 2A). Although no significant differences were detected in genus or family diversity (Supplementary Figure 2B), we identified a decrease in the Firmicutes/Bacteroidetes ratio (Supplementary Figure 2C), similar to that reported in a previous dietary weight loss intervention study [71–73].

In accordance with the results of the two previous cohorts (cohort II and III), we found a significant decrease in the succinate-producing families *Prevotellaceae* (17.91 ± 6.43% vs. 7.15 ± 2.47%, \( p = 0.019 \)) and *Veillonellaceae* (13.11 ± 2.76% vs. 3.73 ± 1.48%, \( p = 0.027 \)) after the dietary intervention (Fig. 3b). Comparable to that observed in cohort III, we found a positive correlation between the change in the incidence of *Prevotellaceae* ([*Prevotellaceae*]_post-intervention – [*Prevotellaceae*]_basal) and succinate levels (\( R = 0.751; \ p = 0.019 \)) (Fig. 3c). Correspondingly, the *fam*(P + V/O + C) ratio significantly decreased after weight loss (Fig. 3d) in parallel with a decrease in succinate, which was reflected in a positive correlation between the change in the *fam*(P + V/O + C) ratio and the change in circulating succinate (post-intervention–basal) (Fig. 3e). Similar observations were found at the genus level (Supplementary Figure 2D), and the *gen*(P + V/O + C) ratio significantly decreased after the intervention (Supplementary Figure 2E).

Taken together, these results indicate that a short-term dietary weight loss intervention impacts different members of the gut commensal community related to succinate metabolism. Specifically, a decrease in succinate producers concomitant with an increase in succinate consumers at two taxonomic levels, which correlates with the decrease in systemic succinate levels observed, pointing to circulating succinate as a new dysbiosis-associated metabolite in the context of obesity.

Remarkably, joint analysis of both microbiota cohorts (cohort II and IV) validated the strong positive correlation between the *fam*(P + V/O + C) ratio and circulating serum
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succinate levels \( (n = 38, R = 0.646; \ p < 0.001) \). Reassuringly, multiple regression analysis revealed that our proposed ratio based on (succinate-producing) vs. (succinate-consuming) families was the main determinant of systemic succinate levels \( (R^2 = 0.744, \ \beta = 0.597; \ p = 0.007) \). Notwithstanding these strong correlations, exactly how microbial communities interact and use succinate is currently unknown. Moreover, other microbial groups could be responsible for succinate production (e.g., *Succinovibrio* spp., *Ruminococcus* spp., or *Fibrobacter succinogenes*) and consumption (e.g., *Dialister* spp., *Phascolarctobacterium succinatutes*) \[52, 54, 56–58\]. Nevertheless, our results strongly link the specific \( \text{fam}(P + V/O + C) \) ratio to circulating succinate.

**Microbiota spontaneous evolution drives changes in systemic succinate**

Finally, to evaluate the spontaneous evolution of microbiota, we studied 19 subjects in whom general healthy habits counseling was provided: at baseline and at 2 years thereafter (see Materials and methods section, cohort V description in Supplementary Table S4). No significant differences in body weight were observed in these patients
in the follow-up. We used a metagenomic approach rather than 16S sequencing to analyze gut microbiota in this cohort. At the end of follow-up, subjects were classified into two groups in terms of changes in the ratio (succinate-producing) vs. (succinate-consuming) families (group 1, decreased ratio vs. group 2, increased ratio). A reduction in \( \text{fam}(P + V/O + C) \) was associated with a significant decrease in succinate levels (Table 1, group 1), whereas a significant increase in this ratio was related to a rise in systemic succinate (Table 1, group 2). These results show that variation in gut microbial composition independent of body weight changes are directly related to circulating succinate. Of note, elevated systemic succinate was paralleled with an impairment of glucose homeostasis, which contrasts with recently reported findings in animal models showing that microbiota-produced succinate is directly related to an improvement of glucose homeostasis [16]. Indeed, high succinate levels have been associated with various human pathological settings including CVD [8] and T2DM [7, 9–11].

Multivariate analyses identified statistically significant associations between the expression of 64 genes encoding metabolic enzymes, and the \( \text{fam}(P + V/O + C) \) ratio. Hierarchical clustering of these metagenomic data and the associations among \( \text{fam}(P + V/O + C) \), circulating succinate and succinate-related microbial species, identified two clusters (labeled as A and B in Fig. 4a) with a clear relationship with the \( \text{fam}(P + V/O + C) \) ratio (positive and negative correlations represented in green and red, respectively), which was mostly reflected by succinate levels. The metagenomic-derived clusters were also confirmed when associations with \textit{Prevotellaceae} and \textit{Clostridaceae} were analyzed, and a strong inverse relationship was detected. The main positive associations in cluster A were with genes encoding metabolic enzymes involved in amino-acid transport and metabolism ([E]), whereas cluster B showed a predominance of associations with genes related to energy production and conversion ([C]). Robust relationships with genes related to carbohydrate transport and metabolism ([G]) were revealed in both clusters. Interestingly, subclusters A1/A2 and B1/B2 were segregated on the basis of inverse associations with \textit{Veillonellaceae} and \textit{Clostridaceae}. These results link the \( \text{fam}(P + V/O + C) \) ratio, specific gut microbiota and circulating succinate levels with a specific molecular entity and metabolic function.

Fig. 3 Weight loss induced by dietary intervention modifies specific gut microbiota and impacts circulating succinate levels. a Circulating serum succinate levels in basal state and after a 12-week dietary intervention (12-wDI) from cohort IV. b Percentage of incidence within \textit{Bacteroidetes} and \textit{Firmicutes} families in obese individuals in basal state and after 12-wDI. c Positive correlation between the change in succinate serum levels (12-wDI[basal][succinate]) and the change in \textit{Prevotellaceae} (12-wDI [% abundance \textit{Prevotellaceae}]-basal[% abundance \textit{Prevotellaceae}]). d Differences between basal state and 12-wDI in the \( \text{fam}(P + V/O + C) \) ratio. e Positive correlation between the change in succinate serum levels (12-wDI[basal][succinate]) and the change in the (12-wDI \( \text{fam}(P + V/O + C) \)-basal \( \text{fam}(P + V/O + C) \)) ratio. See also Supplementary Table S3 for all clinical characteristics of cohort IV. Data information: for a and b values are expressed as mean ± SD. For d, data are represented in box and whisker plot format (whiskers: min to max). Statistical analyses: Wilcoxon signed-rank test. *\( p < 0.05 \) vs. basal. For c and d, Spearman’s correlation analysis with Bonferroni adjustment was used.
Anthropometric and analytical characteristics in the cohort V

|                                      | Group 1            | Group 2            | p-Value |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------|
| **ΔRatio P + V/C + O**                | −1.14 ± 1.29       | 2.83 ± 3.20        | 0.002   |
| **n**                                 | 8                  | 11                 |         |
| **Sex (females/males)**               | 6/2                | 4/7                | 0.096   |
| **ΔSuccinate (mM)**                   | −25.19 ± 27.11     | 12.33 ± 32.75      | 0.017   |
| **ΔWeight (kg)**                      | −0.25 (−19.1 to 3.47) | 1.8 (−1 to 3.8)    | 0.351   |
| **ΔBMI (kg/m²)**                      | 0.15 (−3.35 to 1.42) | 0.30 (−0.4 to 1.3) | 0.840   |
| **ΔWaist (cm)**                       | 8 (−39 to 11)      | 8 (4.75 to 10.5)   | 0.475   |
| **ΔHip (cm)**                         | 2 (−33 to 6)       | 3 (−0.5 to 3.75)   | 0.887   |
| **ΔSBP**                              | −0.71 ± 23.73      | 3.20 ± 16.56       | 0.693   |
| **ΔDBP**                              | −1.71 ± 13.74      | 6.8 ± 11.50        | 0.185   |
| **ΔGlucose (mg/dl)**                  | −1 ± 7.78          | 6 ± 6.15           | 0.042   |
| **ΔCholesterol (mg/dl)**              | 6.12 ± 30.60       | −4.09 ± 22.83      | 0.415   |
| **ΔHDL cholesterol (mg/dl)**          | 2.87 ± 12.91       | 0.55 ± 7.12        | 0.620   |
| **ΔTriglycerides (mg/dl)**            | 1.61 ± 21.61       | 6.63 ± 27.56       | 0.675   |
| **ΔHb1ac (%)**                        | 0.41 ± 0.70        | 0.4 ± 0.68         | 0.969   |
| **ΔPrevotellaceae**                   | −3.66 ± 4.29       | 6.21 ± 7.68        | 0.005   |
| **ΔVeillonellaceae**                  | −0.44 ± 1.89       | −0.7 ± 1.38        | 0.728   |
| **ΔOdoribacteraceae**                 | ND                 | ND                 |         |
| **ΔClostridaceae**                    | 0.26 (−0.66 to 0.44) | −1.1 (−2.82 to −0.58) | 0.039   |

Data are presented as mean ± SD or median (25th–75th), as appropriate. Differences were analyzed by the unpaired t-test (normal distribution) or Mann–Whitney U-test (data not-normally distributed). Group 1 (patients ratio decreases at the end of follow-up) and Group 2 (patients ratio increases at the end of follow-up). A p-value <0.05 was considered significant.

BMI body mass index, SBP systolic blood pressure, DBP diastolic blood pressure, ND not detected

Bold values represent those with statistically significant differences.

The differences in the gene expression profiles associated with specific bacterial communities were also evident when we classified the cohort into two groups according the fam (P + V/O + C) ratio (group 1 vs. group 2) (Supplementary Figure 3A). An increase in the abundance of genes encoding enzymes associated with carbohydrate transport and metabolism ([GI]), such as pectate lyase (EC:4.2.2.2), pectinesterase (EC:3.2.1.52) and glycosyl hydrolase (EC:3.2.1.52) after 2 years of follow-up, was detected in subjects in whom the fam(P + V/O + C) ratio was increased in parallel with an increase in succinate levels. Curiously, a decrease in the abundance of genes encoding enzymes connecting the pentose phosphate pathway to glycolysis, such as ribulokinase (EC:2.7.1.16) and transaldolase (EC:2.2.1.2), was also observed in these patients. Genes associated with metabolic pathways linked to the bio-synthesis of secondary metabolites ([IQ]) such as succinylbenzoic acid-CoA ligase (EC:6.2.1.26), or those associated with amino-acid transport and metabolism ([EI]) such as phosphoribosylformiminino-5-aminomimidazole carboxamide ribotide isomerase (EC:5.3.1.16) and glutamate synthase (EC:1.4.1.14) were also modified. Intriguingly, all of these genes showed the strongest association with the fam(P + V/O + C) ratio (Fig. 4a, enzyme names in red). More importantly, projection of these enzymes onto the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) metabolic pathways map identified central metabolism as the main process associated with the fam(P + V/O + C) ratio. Among them, glycoside hydrolase and glutamate synthase were of particular interest because of their functional roles in glycolysis activation and succinate production via the GABA shunt pathway. Also worthy of mention was the negative association of fam(P + V/O + C) ratio with ribulokinase and transaldolase, which also could promote glycolysis through inhibition of the pentose phosphate pathway (Fig. 4b). Mapping of the main enzymes positively or negatively correlated with fam(P + V/O + C) ratio uncovered a clear connection between their functional features and succinate metabolism (adapted from KEGG metabolic pathways) (Supplementary Figure 3B).

In conclusion, our study reveals for the first time a strong association between microbial community, gene composition, and metabolism and circulating succinate levels in humans. Although it has been recently shown that...
microbiota-derived succinate induces metabolic benefits by acting as an intestinal gluconeogenic substrate in germ-free mice [16], some caution should be exercised when extrapolating from mouse model data to humans. Moreover, a recent meta-analysis has suggested that in humans, the association between specific gut microbiota and obesity is...
smaller than that detected in mice and by most microbiome studies in humans [74]. Although the physiological effects of such modest differences are far from clear, they might become more apparent at the level of specific gene transcripts or metabolites. In this line, our study uncovers a clear association between succinate levels and obesity-related metabolic disturbances, similar to that found in other CVD risk factors [7–11, 16, 75]. Clearly, although such an increase does not necessarily qualify succinate as a disease-causing metabolite, its participation in the pathophysiology of obesity should not be entirely ruled out. Indeed, our data show a significant increase of glycemia in those patients who presented an increase in circulating succinate associated with changes in gut microbiota. Although engagement of succinate with its receptor has emerged as a link between metabolic stress and inflammation [4–6], it is still unclear whether succinate serves as a “harmful” signal or might have a protective role by acting as alarmin [76]. Furthermore, similar to what is seen with other microbial metabolites such as SCFAs, the beneficial and/or detrimental effects of succinate might depend on the amount synthesized [77, 78]. Although it is evident that further studies are required to prove causality, we hypothesize that obesity-related dysbiosis along with increased gut permeability might account for the higher levels of circulating succinate found in obese subjects. This may explain why colonization of germ-free mice with a probiotic succinate producer strain under normal conditions of intestinal permeability increases cecal, but not circulating, succinate levels [16]. Thus, we propose that modulation of faecal (P + V/O + C) ratio in a pathological condition of increased intestinal permeability such as obesity will impact circulating succinate levels. Under this scenario, it should be considered that systemic succinate could act as a hormone-like metabolite, signaling through SUCNR1. How such levels impact host metabolism underlying obesity-related co-morbidities or whether manipulation of gut microbiota, specifically by increasing succinate-consuming families, might improve metabolic disturbances in obese patients are some of the open questions that should be explored in future work. Indeed, host or even dietary sources of succinate should not be dismissed. Our present findings point to succinate as a microbiota-derived metabolite with a potential role in obesity and metabolic-associated cardiovascular disorders, and strengthen the importance of microbial communities and their interactions when microbiota-derived bioactive compounds are studied.
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