A Common Signal Patch Drives AP-1 Protein-dependent Golgi Export of Inwardly Rectifying Potassium Channels*
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Nearly all members of the inwardly rectifying potassium (Kir) channel family share a cytoplasmic domain structure that serves as an unusual AP-1 clathrin adaptor-dependent Golgi export signal in one Kir channel, Kir2.1 (KCNJ2), raising the question whether Kir channels share a common Golgi export mechanism. Here we explore this idea, focusing on two structurally and functionally divergent Kir family members, Kir2.3 (KCNJ4) and Kir4.1/5.1 (KCNJ10/16), which have ~50% amino identity. We found that Golgi export of both channels is blocked upon siRNA-mediated knockdown of the AP-1 γ subunit, as predicted for the common AP-1-dependent trafficking process. A comprehensive mutagenic analysis, guided by homology mapping in atomic resolution models of Kir2.1, Kir2.3, and Kir4/5.1, identified a common structure that serves as a recognition site for AP-1 binding and governs Golgi export. Larger than realized from previous studies with Kir2.1, the signal is created by a patch of residues distributed at the confluence of cytoplasmic N and C termini. The signal involves a stretch of hydrophobic residues from the C-terminal region that form a hydrophobic cleft, an adjacent cluster of basic residues within the N terminus, and a potential network of salt bridges that join the N- and C-terminal poles together. Because patch formation and AP-1 binding are dependent on proper folding of the cytoplasmic domains, the signal provides a common quality control mechanism at the Golgi for Kir channels. These findings identify a new proteostatic mechanism that couples protein folding of channels to forward trafficking in the secretory pathway.

The Golgi apparatus is the central biosynthetic sorting station in the cell, responsible for targeting newly synthesized membrane proteins to appropriate subcellular locales. In recent years, a new mechanistic understanding of Golgi export evolved from discoveries that some surface membrane-destined proteins can be selected for delivery to specific plasma membrane domains. AP-1 clathrin-associated sorting proteins (CLASPs) (5) that couple cargo selection to the formation of clathrin-coated vesicles at different membrane domains. AP-1 localizes to the trans-Golgi network (TGN) (6, 7), where it sorts specific subsets of membrane proteins into specialized tubulovesicular exit carriers. AP-1 was long believed to solely control trafficking between the Golgi and endocytic-lysosomal pathway (8), but recent studies revealed that AP-1 also selects subsets of membrane proteins for direct delivery to specialized surface membrane domains, including the basolateral membrane of polarized epithelial cells (3, 9), the myocyte sarcolemma and transverse tubule (10), and the somatodendritic membrane of neuronal cells (2, 11).

AP-1 is a heterotetrameric protein complex comprised of two large subunits, β1 and γ1, one medium subunit, μ1, and a small subunit, σ1 (12). The subunits assemble into a closed conformation until the complex associates with the TGN. Upon binding to phosphatidylinositol 4 phosphate (PIP₄) (13) and the small GT-Pase Arf1 (14–16) at the TGN, AP-1 adopts an open conformation, which exposes clathrin binding sites on the hinge regions of the β1 and γ1 subunits (17–19) and cargo recognition sites on the μ1 and γ1r1 subunits (2, 9, 11, 20). By simultaneously interacting with the TGN, clathrin, and specific proteins in the secretory pathway, AP-1 acts as a TGN-dependent scaffold, marking specific proteins as cargo for inclusion in clathrin-coated carriers for export to the cell surface.

Cargo selection is dictated by specific signals that serve as binding sites for the AP-1 adapter. Typically, AP-1 recognizes short peptide motifs that share a high degree of sequence similarity with tyrosine-based YXXΦ or dihydrophobically based [DE]XXX[L]I endosomal sorting signals (X stands for any amino acid residue, and Φ stands for bulky hydrophobic residue) (21). YXXΦ signals bind to AP-1 through interaction with the μ subunit (2, 11), whereas dihydrophobic signals interact with the γ1r1 subunits (22–24). These common types of Golgi sorting signals are often found in the cytoplasmic tail domains but can be located within more complex structures, particularly in polytopic integral membrane proteins. For example, in the chloride channel, CIC-2, Golgi export is dictated by a dihydrophobic signal that is embedded within the surface of its large cytosolic domain (9). In the inwardly rectifying potassium (Kir) channel, Kir2.1 (KCNJ2), the AP-1-dependent Golgi export signal is even more unusual and complex (10). Highly focused mutagenesis studies identified a patch structure within the cytoplasmic domain of the Kir2.1 cytosolic domain that is neces-
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sary for AP-1 binding and Golgi export. The patch structure does not conform to the typical tyrosine or dihydrophobic trafficking signals. Although the binding site for AP-1 could potentially involve regions that were not screened, the finding indicated that AP-1 likely interacts with Kir2.1 through a completely novel signal.

Remarkably, residues in the Kir2.1 signal patch are highly conserved across the functionally diverse, 15-member Kir channel family, raising the major question of whether Kir channels share a common Golgi export mechanism. In this study, we address this question. A comprehensive, atomic structure-guided analysis of the Golgi export signal was performed in two functionally diverse, inwardly rectifying potassium channels, Kir2.3 (KCNJ4) and Kir4.1 (KCNJ10), which share only ~50% overall sequence identity. We found that Kir2.3 and Kir4.1 have a common Golgi export signal that is larger than previously realized from studies with Kir2.1. The patch signal stretches across the cytoplasmic N and C termini to form a unique AP-1 binding site when the channels adopt an appropriate folding conformation.

Experimental Procedures

Plasmid Constructs and Antibodies—Modified mouse Kir channels, Kir2.3 (NM_008427) and Kir4.1 (NM_001039484), containing an HA tag at the external loop, were constructed as described previously (25). The pcDNA3.1 mammalian expression vector (Invitrogen) was used to express the cloned channel genes. The pGex5x-1 vector (GE Healthcare) was used to produce GST-Kir2.3 and Kir4.1 fusion proteins. Site-directed mutagenesis was carried out using a PCR-based strategy with the QuikChange site-directed mutagenesis kit (Stratagene, Agilent Technologies). All sequences were validated (Applied Biosystems, 3100). Primary antibodies included mouse anti-HA (Covance, catalog no. mms-101p), anti-GM130 (catalog no. 610822, BD Transduction Laboratories), and anti-y-adaptin (catalog no. 610386, BD Transduction Laboratories) and rabbit anti-HA monoclonal (catalog no. 3724p, Upstate Cell Signaling Solutions) and a-tubulin (catalog no. 9099s, Upstate Cell Signaling Solutions). Secondary antibodies included HRP-conjugated goat anti-mouse or anti-rabbit (The Jackson Laboratory) and Alexa Fluor-conjugated goat anti-mouse or anti-rabbit antibodies (Molecular Probes). The specificity of the antibodies used in this study has been validated (10, 26).

Quantitative Chemiluminescence Detection of Surface Channel Proteins—Channel surface expression in COS-7 cells was quantified by chemiluminescence with a protocol adapted from previous studies (10, 26). Briefly, COS-7 cells at 60% to 70% confluence were transfected with external epitope-HA-tagged channel plasmids. After 40–48 h, cells were fixed (4% paraformaldehyde for 15 min) and blocked (PBS + 5% fetal bovine serum for 30 min) before incubation with mouse-anti-HA monoclonal antibody (25 μg/ml in PBS with 5% FBS) for 1 h at room temperature. After extensive washes with PBS (5 min, four times), cells were incubated with a secondary antibody (peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG) for 20 min. After extensive washes with PBS (5 min, four times), cells were scraped and resuspended in 500 μl of PBS. For each sample, 10 μl of resuspended cells was mixed with 100 μl of SuperSignal West Pico chemiluminescent substrate and incubated for 10 min prior to quantification. The chemiluminescence was quantified in relative light units by an analytic illuminometer (Berthold Detection Systems). Relative light unit values of mutant channels were normalized to the average of corresponding wild-type channels.

Immunocytochemistry and Fluorescence Microscopy—COS-7 cells transfected with external epitope-HA-tagged channel plasmids were fixed (4% paraformaldehyde for 15 min). To access cell surface and intracellularly localized channel proteins in the same cell, channel proteins on the cell surface were first labeled in non-permeabilized cells with mouse anti-HA monoclonal antibody (33 μg/ml) overnight at 4 °C and then stained with a secondary antibody (Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG) at a saturating concentration (100 μg/ml). After extensive washes, cells were permeabilized (0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS), and intracellular channel proteins were labeled with rabbit anti-HA monoclonal antibody (0.5 μg/ml) and stained with a secondary antibody (Alexa Fluor 568-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG). Cells were examined using a ×40 objective lens by wide-field fluorescence microscopy (Olympus IX71). For co-localization analysis, cells were permeabilized. Channels and Golgi markers were labeled by antibodies raised in different hosts and stained with corresponding Alexa Fluor-conjugated secondary antibodies. Cells were examined using a ×40 oil lens and filters appropriate for fluorophores used by confocal microscopy (Zeiss LSM 510 Meta).

Protein Expression, Purification, and Pulldown Assays—GST fusion proteins of Kir channel cytoplasmic domains were constructed as before with Kir2.1 (10), following the approach pioneered by Nishida and MacKinnon (27) and Pegan et al. (28), who found that fusion of Kir cytoplasmic N and C termini appropriately fold into a structure that is identical to the cytoplasmic domain of the native Kir channels (Fig. 1A). Specifically, the Kir channel cytoplasmic N termini (amino acids 15–38 in Kir2.3, amino acids 24–47 in Kir4.1) were directly fused to the cytoplasmic C termini (amino acids 180–445 in Kir2.3, amino acids 175–379 in Kir4.1). GST was fused to the N termini of this construct. Proteins were produced in BL21-CodonPlus (DE3)-RIPL-competent cells (Agilent Technology), immobilized on glutathione beads, and washed with high-salt buffer (0.5 M NaCl, 25 mM HEPES, and 2.5 mM MgCl2 (pH 7.0)) to purify proteins to homogeneity. Proteins were suspended and stored in potassium acetate buffer (115 mM potassium acetate, 25 mM HEPES, and 2.5 mM MgCl2 (pH 7.0)). Clathrin adaptor-enriched rat brain extract was prepared as described previously (29). For the pulldown assay, purified GST fusion protein (2 μg) was incubated with the rat brain extract (300 μg) overnight and then washed (15 min, three times) with potassium acetate buffer supplemented with 5% Triton X-100 and 2 mM dithiothreitol at 4 °C. The specifically bound AP-1 clathrin adaptor complex was resolved on SDS-PAGE gels and visualized by Western blotting (Bio-Rad). Quantification of protein abundance was performed by scanning autoradiograms, followed by ImageJ analysis (National Institutes of Health).

RNA Interference—The double-stranded siRNA probes (siRNA-1, 5′-TAGACACAGGTGCTCAATA-3′; siRNA-2, 5′-AGATTTGGATGTCTCAATA-3′; Dharmacon Inc.) correspond-
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Results

The Common “SY” Deletion Mutation Blocks Golgi Export of Diverse Kir Channels—To explore whether different members of the Kir channel family share a common Golgi export mechanism, we examined two conserved residues in Kir2.3 and Kir4.1, SY (Ser\(^{305}\)-Tyr in Kir2.3, Ser\(^{299}\)-Tyr in Kir4.1) that are positioned at the center of the Kir2.1 Golgi signal (Fig. 1, B and C). In Kir2.1, an Andersen-Tawil (Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man® (OMIM) no. 170390) disease-causing mutation that deletes SY (31), blocks Golgi export and prevents cell surface expression (10). Accordingly, we tested whether deletion of the SY sequence (ASY) in Kir2.3 and Kir4.1 causes a similar Golgi mis trafficking phenotype.

As measured by HA surface antibody binding and quantitative chemiluminescence of external HA epitope-tagged channels, deletion of the SY sequence blocked expression of Kir2.3 and Kir4.1 on the plasmalemma (Fig. 2A). Confocal microscopy of intact and permeabilized cells labeled with anti-HA antibodies revealed that both Kir2.3 and Kir4.1 ΔSY mutants largely accumulate in a perinuclear compartment (Fig. 2B). Co-localization with intracellular markers revealed that both Kir2.3 and 4.1 ΔSY mutants predomnately reside in the Golgi (Fig. 3A). Quantitative co-localization analysis (30) revealed that \( \sim 80\% \) of the Kir2.3 and Kir4.1 ΔSY mutants co-localized with the cis-Golgi matrix protein GM130 (Fig. 3B). These observations are consistent with a shared Golgi export mechanism that is dependent on the conserved SY sequence in Kir2.1, Kir2.3, and Kir4.1.

Diverse Kir Channels Share Common Sequence Determinants for Golgi Export—Previously, the Golgi export signal in Kir2.1 was defined from a highly focused mutagenic screen as a patch of six residues at the confluence of cytoplasmic N and C termini, extending an \( \sim 15\text{-Å} \) distance from SY (10). These residues are conserved in Kir2.3 and Kir4.1, suggesting that they may form part of a common recognition site for AP-1 binding. Because the footprint of AP-1 is potentially twice as large as the region originally screened in Kir2.1, we performed a more comprehensive analysis of the Golgi export signal. Homology mapping in atomic resolution models of Kir2.1, Kir2.3, and Kir4.1 identified 14 additional conserved residues that either have surface-exposed side chains or contribute to potential hydrophobic binding pockets within an \( \sim 30\text{-Å} \) radius of Tyr\(^{315}\) (Fig. 5A), and these were selected for analysis in Kir2.3 (Fig. 4, A and C) and Kir4.1 (Fig. 4, B and D). Because Kir4.1 naturally forms heterotetrameric channels with Kir5.1 (32, 33) that are more efficiently expressed on the cell surface than Kir4.1 alone, we screened for the determinants of a Golgi export signal in the heterotetramer of Kir4.1/5.1 (Fig. 4, B and D). For these studies, an external HA tag was placed in Kir4.1, and heterotetrameric channels bearing mutations at comparable positions in both Kir4.1 and Kir5.1 subunits were examined. Alanine replacement mutations that block channel expression at the cell surface and cause the channel to accumulate in the Golgi were considered to be determinants of the Golgi export signal (Fig. 4, A–D).

Quantitative co-localization analysis (30) revealed that \( \sim 80\% \) of the Kir2.3 and Kir4.1 ΔSY mutants co-localized with the cis-Golgi matrix protein GM130 (Fig. 3B). These observations are consistent with a shared Golgi export mechanism that is dependent on the conserved SY sequence in Kir2.1, Kir2.3, and Kir4.1.
The analysis revealed a conserved Golgi export patch in Kir2.3 and Kir4.1/5.1 that is larger and more complex than previously realized from studies with Kir2.1. In addition to the conserved residues in the Kir2.1 Golgi export patch that were found to be necessary for Golgi export in Kir2.3 and Kir4.1/5.1, the comprehensive mutagenic screen revealed other Golgi export determinants, expanding potential recognition sites for AP-1 binding (Fig. 5, B–E). As observed in Kir2.1, the Kir2.3 and Kir4.1/5.1 patch signal is formed in part by a common cluster of hydrophobic residues that extend diagonally from the equator of the cytoplasmic domain toward the apex of Kir2.3 and Kir4.1/5.1 (Ile311-X-Trp313 in Kir 2.3, Ile305-X-Trp307 in Kir 4.1). This structure joins newly identified residues (Leu222-Ile in Kir2.3, Leu217-Leu in Kir4.1) that extend from the base of the C terminus to create a previously unrecognized inverted V-shaped hydrophobic cleft. The patch signal also involves a cluster of basic residues in the N terminus (Arg20 and Lys23-Lys in Kir2.3, Arg27-Arg-Arg and Lys33 in Kir4.1) that juxtapose the hydrophobic cleft. The screen revealed a potential salt bridge network that brings N- and C-terminal elements of the signal together. As recognized previously, an arginine-glutamic acid pair (Arg20-Glu310 in Kir2.3, Arg29-Glu304 in Kir4.1) is at the center of the network. More complex than previously appreciated, other potential salt bridges may be involved. In particular, a neighboring N-terminal lysine (Lys24 in Kir2.3, Lys33 in Kir4.1) likely interacts with the C-terminal glutamic acid (Glu310 in Kir2.3, Glu304 in Kir4.1), and a nearby C-terminal glutamic acid (Glu284 in Kir2.3, Glu278 in Kir4.1) may interact with the N-terminal arginines. In Kir 2.3, Arg20 is required for Golgi export and may interact with Glu284. Although mutation of the equivalent residue in Kir 4.1 (Arg29) did not alter Golgi trafficking, any one of the neighboring arginines [Arg-Arg-Arg29], which are collectively required for Golgi export, may support a salt bridge with Glu278 when Arg29 is mutated.

Although the Golgi export signal patch is highly conserved between Kir2.1, Kir2.3, and Kir 4.1/5.1, a few channel-specific elements were identified. For example, an arginine residue (Arg209 in Kir2.3, Arg204 in Kir4.1) in the Golgi export patch of Kir2.3 is dispensable in Kir4.1. In fact, the R204A mutation in Kir4.1 actually increased Kir4.1 expression at the cell surface. The other difference between Kir2.3 and Kir4.1/5.1 relates to the requirements of two phenylalanine residues (Phe186/Phe283 in Kir2.3, Phe181/Phe277 in Kir4.1) for Kir2.3 Golgi export. The comparable residues in Kir4.1 are also required for surface expression (Fig. 4B), but we could not detect an accumulation of Kir4.1 at the Golgi when these residues were mutated to alanine (Fig. 4D).

Importantly, the common patch signal does not include typical AP-1 binding motifs. A tyrosine in a potential YXXΦ motif was reported to be necessary for Golgi export of Kir2.1 (34), although the requirements for the terminal hydrophobic residue were not studied. Our evaluation of the comparable YXXΦ sequence in Kir2.3 revealed that the comparable Φ residue (Leu236) is not necessary for Golgi export (Fig. 4, A and C). Furthermore, Kir4.1/5.1 channels lack the YXXΦ sequence and exit the Golgi in an AP-1-dependent manner.
Taken together, our findings indicate that export of Kir channels from the Golgi is driven by a common patch structure that has no resemblance to known trafficking signals.

The Common Kir Signal Patch Is a Binding Site for AP-1—To explore whether the shared Golgi export determinants in Kir2.3 and Kir4.1 form a recognition site for AP-1 clathrin adaptor interaction, protein-protein interaction studies were performed. Bacterial GST fusion proteins of the entire Kir2.3 and Kir4.1 cytoplasmic domains were immobilized on glutathione beads, incubated with a cytoplasmic lysate enriched with the AP-1 clathrin adaptor (29), and washed extensively, and bound material was subjected to Western blotting analysis with antibodies to the AP-1 γ subunit. As shown in Fig. 6, the WT GST-Kir2.3 and Kir4.1 cytoplasmic domains (Fig. 6A) interact with the AP-1 clathrin adaptor, whereas the ΔSY mutation abolished this interaction, consistent with a common signal-dependent interaction (Fig. 6, B and C). To test whether the interaction of AP-1 requires the patch of residues that are necessary for Golgi export, a comprehensive mutagenesis analysis was performed. As predicted for the formation of an AP-1-dependent Golgi trafficking signal, alanine replacement mutations of residues that are required for Golgi export (Lys33, Leu218, Glu278 and Glu304, Ile305, and Trp307 in Kir4.1) blocked the interaction with the AP-1 clathrin adaptor (Fig. 6, E and F). Thus, the shared Golgi export determinants collectively form the AP-1 binding site and define an AP-1-dependent trafficking signal.

**FIGURE 4.** Golgi export sequence determinants of Kir2.3 and Kir4.1 elucidated by structure-guided mutagenesis. Residue candidates for the Golgi export sequence determinants were subjected to alanine replacement mutagenesis. A and B, cell surface expression of external HA-tagged Kir2.3 and Kir4.1 channels as quantified by surface HA antibody binding (n = 3). RLU, relative light unit. C and D, quantification of Kir2.3 and Kir4.1 co-localization with the Golgi marker GM130 (n = 30 cells from three individual transfections, the fraction of co-localized channel is presented as Mander’s coefficient). Red bars, Golgi export sequence determinants; orange text, previously identified residues in the Kir 2.1 Golgi export signal. *, p < 0.05 by one-way randomized ANOVA followed by Dunnett’s post hoc test.
Kir 2.3 and Kir4.1 Are Exported from the Golgi in an AP-1-dependent Manner—To test whether the AP-1 clathrin adaptor is required for the export of Kir2.3 and Kir4.1 from the Golgi, trafficking of Kir2.3 and Kir4.1 was examined following siRNA-mediated knockdown of the AP-1/H9253 subunit. Two different/H9253 subunit siRNA probes, together with a non-silencing scramble siRNA probe, were used. As revealed by immunoblotting, they both reduce/H9253 subunit protein abundance without suppressing other proteins, such as α-tubulin (Fig. 7A), or altering the Golgi structure (see the characteristic localization of GM130; Fig. 7, D and E). Quantification of surface channel expression revealed a significant reduction of the Kir2.3 and Kir4.1 channels at the cell surface (Fig. 7, B and C). As evidenced by co-localization analysis with the Golgi matrix protein GM130 (35), ablation of the AP-1 γ subunit led to the accumulation of Kir2.3 and Kir4.1 in the Golgi (Fig. 7, D and E). Quantitative analysis further revealed that knockdown of the AP1 γ subunit significantly increased the fraction of the channel that co-localizes with GM130, identical to the Golgi-mistrafficking phenotype of mutant channels lacking the Golgi export signal (Fig. 7, F and G). Taken together, these findings reveal that Kir channels contain a common Golgi export patch signal in the cytoplasmic domain that serves as a recognition site for AP-1 clathrin adaptor binding.

Discussion

Our comprehensive analysis of Golgi export in divergent members of the Kir channel family that do not share canonical signaling motifs revealed a novel AP-1-dependent Golgi export signal. Unlike short peptide trafficking motifs, a patch of residues within the cytoplasmic domain, at the interface between the N and C termini, forms the AP-1 binding signal in Kir 2.1, Kir 2.3, and Kir4.1/5.1. Remarkably, most Kir channels share a similar patch structure, raising the possibility that this unusual...
AP-1-dependent Golgi export mechanism is shared among many members of the channel family.

Conserved Golgi Export Signal in Kir Channels—We found that the signal patch is more complex than previously revealed from our previous studies with Kir 2.1 (10) (Fig. 5). The patch signal has two chemically distinct poles. A cluster of shared residues extends ~20 Å from the equator of the cytoplasmic domain toward the apex, forming an inverted V-shaped hydrophobic cleft with a neighboring pair of hydrophobic residues that extend from the base of the cytoplasmic domain. The other pole involves an adjacent cluster of basic residues that are brought into juxtaposition with the hydrophobic cleft by salt bridging. It is possible that the salt-bridging residues in the patch structure control proper folding, whereas the others form the recognition site for AP-1 interaction.

The unique arrangement of the patch signal residues at the confluence of cytoplasmic domains implies that the signal is a conformational structure, sharing some similarities with the “conformational epitopes” identified previously in the SNARE proteins Sec22 and VAMP8 (36, 37). Indeed, the vesicle-sorting signals of SNARE proteins are embedded in the tertiary structure and are conformation-dependent. Incorporation of Sec22
into coat protein complex II (COPII)-coated vesicles relies on a transport signal that is exposed when the protein acquires an unassembled closed conformation (36). Similarly, the retrieval of VAMP8 from the plasmalemma into the clathrin-coated vesicles depends on a folded epitope that is exposed only when the protein is not assembled into the SNARE complex (37). In the Kir channels, conformation-dependent formation of the Golgi export signal provides a mechanism to ensure that only properly folded channels exit the Golgi, offering an additional quality control checkpoint beyond the well-known control processes in the endoplasmic reticulum (38).

The Shared Golgi Export Patch as an AP-1 clathrin Adaptor Recognition Site—Our protein-protein interaction studies identify the signal patch as the recognition site for AP-1 clathrin adaptor binding. Further studies will be required to identify the complementary binding site for the channel on the AP-1 complex. Two distinct binding pockets for the canonical trafficking signals have been described. The site for the [Y]XX[H] signal, which resides in the AP-1 α1 subunit (39), is comprised of two hydrophobic pockets that separately interact with the tyrosine and H bulky hydrophobic residue. The dihydrophobic [DE]XXX[L]I motif-binding site resides in the AP-1 β1 subunits (24, 40). In α1, a hydrophobic pocket serves as the docking site for the L[I] part of the signal, whereas a cluster of basic residues at the boundary of γ and σ1 bind the acidic residue (Asp/Glu) resides. Our previous studies with Kir2.1 revealed that the signal patch binds to the AP-1 γ1σ1 subunits (24, 40). In α1, a hydrophobic pocket serves as the docking site for the L[I] part of the signal, whereas a cluster of basic residues at the boundary of γ and σ1 bind the acidic residue (Asp/Glu) resides. Our previous studies with Kir2.1 revealed that the signal patch binds to the AP-1 γ1σ1 subunits (24, 40).

Complexity of Golgi Export in Kir Channels—It remains to be determined how widely the Golgi export mechanism is shared

FIGURE 7. Golgi export of Kir channels requires the AP-1 clathrin adaptor. A, Western blots of AP-1, γ subunit and alpha-tubulin in COS-7 cells treated with two different AP-1 γ siRNA probes (AP1 γ-1 and AP1 γ-2) as well as scramble siRNA as the negative control. MW, molecular weight. B and C, AP-1 γ knockdown by each probe reduced the surface expression of Kir2.3 and Kir4.1 as measured by surface antibody binding (n = 6; *, p < 0.05 by one-way randomized ANOVA followed by Dunnett’s post hoc test). RLU, relative light unit. D and E, cellular localization of HA-tagged Kir2.3 and Kir4.1 (green) and the Golgi marker GM130 (red) in permeabilized COS-7 cells after transfection with the indicated RNAi probes. Arrowheads, cells where channel co-localized with GM130. F and G, quantification of Kir2.3 and Kir4.1 co-localization with the Golgi marker GM130, presented as the fraction of co-localized channel with GM130, in COS-7 cells transfected with the indicated RNAi probes (n = 20 cells from three individual transfections; *, p < 0.05 by one-way randomized ANOVA followed by Dunnett’s post hoc test).
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across the Kir channel family. Although all Kir channels, except for Kir7.1 (KCNJ13), share the Golgi export patch identified here, it seems likely that the constitutive Golgi export mechanism might be different for some Kir channels. The Kir1.1 (KCNJ1) channel, for example, requires phosphorylation of a residue that is near the patch signal for trafficking in the biosynthetic pathway (41–43), suggesting that formation of the Golgi export signal might be regulated. For KATP channels it is unlikely that the signal patch is used at all. Formation of the fully functional K$_{ATP}$ channels at the cell surface requires the assembly of the pore-forming Kir subunits Kir6.1 (KCNJ8)/Kir6.2 (KCNJ11) with the accessory subunits sulfonylurea receptor Sur1/Sur2 (44). The arrangement of subunits predicts that the Golgi export signal patch in Kir6.1 or Kir6.2 would be masked by the Sur subunits, suggesting that K$_{ATP}$ trafficking from the endoplasmic reticulum to the Golgi (45, 46), govern the export of these channels from the Golgi.

In summary, here we uncovered a shared AP-1 clathrin adaptor trafficking mechanism that controls the export of several Kir channel proteins from the Golgi. We found that a highly conserved patch of residues that are embedded within the tertiary structure of all but one member of the Kir channel family serves as a unique binding site for AP-1. We propose that the shared conformational sorting signal provides a proteostatic mechanism for most Kir channels, ensuring that only properly folded channels exit the Golgi for delivery to the cell surface.
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