Predicting Moments of Mood Changes Overtime from Imbalanced Social Media Data
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Abstract

Social media data have been used in research for many years to understand users’ mental health. In this paper, using user-generated content we aim to achieve two goals: the first is detecting moments of mood change over time using timelines of users from Reddit. The second is predicting the degree of suicide risk as a user-level classification task. We used different approaches to address longitudinal modelling as well as the problem of a severely imbalanced dataset. For the first task, using BERT with undersampling techniques performed the best among models tested, including LSTM and random forests models. For the second task, extracting features related to suicide from posts’ text contributed to the overall performance improvement. Specifically, a feature representing a number of suicide-related words in a post improved accuracy by 17%.

1 Introduction

Social media platforms are widely used nowadays. The nature of these platforms allows people to be open and express themselves and share daily details about their activities and thoughts. As a result, social media data have been used in research for many years to understand users’ mental health. A number of techniques have been proposed in the recent literature on monitoring mental health state over time. For example, a study by (Sawhney et al., 2020) was conducted to investigate suicidal risks from Twitter. The authors used a time-aware transformer model with a pre-collected data set for suicide ideation and applied their model on 34,306 tweets from 32,558 users. The main goal was to classify if the person is at risk based on their sequence of tweets. Another study was conducted for detecting mood change by (Pruksachatkun et al., 2019). They proposed a predictive model to determine if a post is associated with a moment of cognitive change.

In this paper, we explain our approach to the CLPsych (Tsakalidis et al., 2022a) shared task, which consists of two subtasks, as follows:

**Subtask A:** Subtask A tries to capture those moments when a user’s mood deviates from their baseline mood based on a user’s postings throughout a specific time period — this is a post-level sequential classification task. The full task description can be found in (Tsakalidis et al., 2022b).

**Subtask B:** A user-level classification task on predicting the degree of suicide risk. An individual/user is considered to belong to one of four categories: no, low, medium or severe risk based on their posts on Reddit “r/SuicideWatch”. The full task description can be found in (Zirikly et al., 2019).

2 Dataset

Data used for this shared task was pulled from Reddit. This well-known social media platform contains communities known as “subreddits”, each of which covers a different topic. For Subtask A, subreddits relating to mental health were used in this task. A total of 186 users were included in this study, with 256 timelines and a total of 6205 posts. The average time span for each user is 2 months. Data annotation was carried out by four annotators with multiple training rounds and mediation. Timelines were manually checked to ensure that they contain content indicating mood. Each post was labelled with one of three labels: IS for Switches i.e (mood shifts from positive to negative, or vice versa), IE for Escalations – gradual mood progression from negative (positive) to very negative (very positive), and 0 for no change. Subtask A data can be found on (Losada and Crestani, 2016; Losada et al., 2020; Shing et al., 2018). The data for this task was severely imbalanced. The values distribution were 79% for 0, 15% for IE, and only 6% labelled as IS.

For subtask B, four clinical experts annotated the user based on data from the SuicideWatch subreddit to one relative suicide risk severity. SubTask B data
can be found on (Shing et al., 2018). Each user was labelled with one of four labels: “None”, “Low”, “Moderate”, “Severe” representing their suicidal risk level. The classes “Low” and “None” were merged together to address the class sparsity issue. The resulting class set is composed of the “Severe”, “Moderate” or “Low” classes for 127 users with the frequencies of 48%, 43% and 9% respectively.

All authors have signed a Data User Agreement (DUA) and Non-Disclosure Agreement (NDA) to have access to the dataset.

3 Methods

In this section, we will describe the methods we developed to address these two shared subtasks.

3.1 Subtask A

We looked at various strategies to address the problem of data imbalance and also to consider longitudinal modelling.

3.1.1 Pre-processing

Different preprocessing techniques were applied on the posts in sequential manner using regular expressions operations. This includes cleaning for special characters and words such as users’ mentions (special character ‘@’). Some characters were defined to be word boundaries characters which include comma, period, colon, question mark and semicolon. All these characters are replaced with a white space. Also, all URL hyperlinks were removed from posts with Regex.

3.1.2 Undersampling

We used undersampling to address the severe class imbalance. For this, we inspect sentiments in texts posts using TextBlob. We found that most posts labelled with “0” have a positive sentiment with polarity greater than 0.2 (polarity ranges between -1 to 1), while “IS” and “IE” posts are connected with negative sentiment. This allowed us to remove 649 (out of 5143) samples labelled with “0” (polarity >= 0.2) and improve the dataset balance. We note that oversampling could be an alternative technique to avoid reducing sample size, which we leave for future work.

3.1.3 BERT

Models built by fine-tuning BERT (Devlin et al., 2019) or related pre-trained language models achieve state-of-the-art performance in a number of NLP tasks. This approach has been shown to give good results in multiple classification tasks, outperforming other algorithms (Acheampong et al., 2021; Al-Garadi et al., 2021). We used BERT with sequence length of 512 for post-level classification. In other words, the predictions are performed per post without taking the preceding sequence of posts into account. We experimented with the following different hyperparameters: batch size: 4, 8, 16, 32; epochs: 8, 16, 32, 64. We reported the best parameters in Section 4.2.

3.1.4 LSTM

LSTMs are widely used for predicting sequential and temporal events, for example in (Chiu et al., 2021; Mirheidari and Christensen, 2019; Sawhney et al., 2020). We used LSTM for monitoring and predicting mood changes over time taking into account the previous sequence of posts. Since the baseline model for this task uses LSTM with BERT embeddings, we tried different embedding types, namely GloVe and SpaCy Tok2Vec. We tuned different hyperparameters to improve accuracy of the model. batch size = [16,32,64,128] epochs=[16,32,40,64] learning rate= [0.01,0.02,0.05,0.1,0.2,0.5]. We reported the best parameters in Section 4.2.

3.2 Subtask B

The aim of this subtask is to classify users to the correspondent suicide risk level. It is clear that the “Low” class is the least represented, which we take into account in our models.

3.2.1 Extra Features

To improve models performance and to account for the class imbalance, we extracted extra features that could positively affect the models’ results. Since data size is small for this task (only 127 user), we used all data without undersampling. Sentiment: Using TextBlob we extracted the sentiment of each post in user’s data, then we sum the sentiment and based on the total we assign to each user a value of “Positive” if the total is greater than zero or “Negative” if the total is less than zero. Polarity: We extracted the polarity of each post as a value between -1 and 1 (where -1 is severe

1https://textblob.readthedocs.io/en/dev/
Table 1: List of suicidal words for Task B

| Suicidal Words |
|----------------|
| kill | die |
| knife | survive |
| dead | end my life |
| I'm gone | live anymore |
| I'm done | taking my life |
| killing | overdose |
| jump | suicide |
| wrist | hang |
| burn | self-harm |
| self harm | pesticide |
| death | take my life |
| call for help | |

negative and 1 is extreme positive) using TextBlob, then we calculated the sum for all posts to get the polarity feature as a numeric value. Most users with severe risk level received a negative value, and most users with low risk levels received a positive value. The polarity was chosen as an indicator of the sentiment intensity.

**Number of Suicidal Words:** We inspected the posts of the three classes and found that the Severe class contains many words related to suicide attempts and ideas. Thus, we created a list of suicidal words by combining words from (Yang et al., 2022) and other words inferred from manual posts inspection. The word list is shown in Table 1. Then for each user, we calculated the number of words from the suicidal list that occurred in their posts. We added the total frequency of suicidal words as a feature. Related research has shown that combining lexical features besides machine learning models can improve the prediction results (AlHamed and AlGwaiz, 2020; Carvalho and Plastino, 2021).

### 3.2.2 Random Forests

Random forest is an ensemble machine learning model that relies on constructing multiple decision trees, then comparing the output of trees to predict the class. The class selected by random forests is the class that was selected by most of the trees via majority voting. Random forest was chosen as a non-neural algorithm as it has been shown to achieve higher accuracy in text classification tasks compared to other traditional machine learning algorithms such as KNNs (Biau and Scornet, 2016; Pranckevivius and Marcinkevicius, 2017). We used three random forest models in this task. The first with only word embeddings as features (RF1). The second with word embeddings and the additional extracted featured (RF2). The third with only the extracted features without word embeddings (RF3). We performed random grid search with the following hyperparameters: no. of estimators = [200, 300, 400, 500... 2000]; max features = ['auto', 'sqrt']; max depth = [10, 20, 30,...110]; min samples split = [2, 5, 10]; min samples leaf = [1, 2, 4]; bootstrap = [True, False]. Best performing parameters are reported in section 4.3.

### 4 Results and Evaluation

Results from the all models in both tasks on the blind test set are shown in Table 2. Baseline models (as reported by the shared task organisers) are Majority, TFIDF-LR, and BERT-Talklife-focal.

#### 4.1 Evaluation metrics

As per (Tsakalidis et al., 2022b), the evaluation is carried out using two types of metrics. The first one is post-level metrics, which assesses the model’s performance using precision, recall, and F1 score. The second type is coverage-level, these are the same metrics (precision, recall, and F1 score) but assessing the performance at the timeline level to assure that the model captures the sequence of mood changes overtime.

#### 4.2 Subtask A Results

For this task we used three models, LSTM with SpaCy embeddings (LSTM-SpaCy), LSTM with GloVe embeddings (LSTM-GloVe), and BERT. All models are trained on data after undersampling. BERT performed the best in all the evaluation metrics, we think the reason behind that is BERT was fine-tuned on the dataset while LSTM models used pre-trained embeddings. Results for “IS” are the lowest as the class is underrepresented. For LSTM, the best hyperparameters are as follows: batch size = 32, epochs=40, learning rate=0.05, optimiser = Adam. For BERT, the best results were obtained for a model with batch size = 8 and number of epochs = 8.

#### 4.3 Subtask B Results

For this task, we tried three types of random forests models.
The best results were obtained with the following settings: max depth=60, max features='sqrt', min samples leaf=2, min samples split=10, no. of estimators=600, random state=3. Surprisingly, RF3 where we used only the extra features as input (without using embeddings) outperforms the other RF models by 17% in accuracy. The reason behind this could be that the high similarity of words presented in all classes negatively affected prediction, and using only suicidal words and sentiments provided better context inference. This indicates that extracting additional meaningful features from text can enhance classification results.

5 Discussion

For subTask A, as shown in table 2, our BERT model outperformed the baseline BERT model - where LSTM over BERT embeddings is used - for macro-average results in both coverage based metrics and post-level metrics evaluation. Our proposed model with undersampling also scored the highest in precision and recall for the least presented class "IS". The reason behind this could be that the model was able to learn the features of this class after undersampling. On the other hand, the model performed less well in detecting "0" class. It could be an effect of undersampling, or that because other models were trained on the severely imbalanced dataset, they were biased toward predicting "0", and thus scoring higher precision and recall values.

When it comes to all participants in this year’s CLPsych shared task, our BERT model ranked the third best performing model for post-level metrics evaluation. This emphasizes the feasibility and usefulness of the undersampling technique used.

For subTask B, compared to baseline models, RF3 was the only model able to predict the class "low". A possible explanation is that using the suicidal words count feature helped in identifying "low" suicidal risk users. On the other hand, results for "Moderate" and "Severe" classes were less compared to baseline models, this might be because we did not normalize the number of suicidal words to the number of posts per user and thus the model was inflated for users with more posts.

It is essential that the limitations of this study are considered in future studies. Firstly, the suicidal words list is collected from different sources and from analysis and manual inspection of the dataset. It could be expanded and validated to include more suicide related words. Another limitation is that we did not fine-tune any embedding model to our dataset (except for BERT). We used general pre-trained embeddings such as GloVe. Also, we aimed to try oversampling techniques to address data imbalance but we could not achieve that due to time constraints.

6 Conclusions and Future Work

In this paper, we presented our system description for CLPsych shared task (Tsakalidis et al., 2022a). The task consists of two subtasks. Subtask A aims to detect moments of mood change for posts in a timeline. For this, first we undersample the dataset to address the severe imbalance in dataset by filtering out the posts with positive sentiment irrelevant to mood changes. BERT without explicit modelling of the post sequence outperforms other models. Subtask B aims to classify a user to correspondent suicide risk-level. For this task, we extracted additional features and performed random forests. The proposed model succeeded in detecting the least represented class. In future, we aim to perform oversampling using GPT-3 to balance the dataset. We also aim to expand the suicidal words list and extract additional features from the text that could enhance obtained results.
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Table 2: Results of all models for subTask A and the best variant of RF for subTask B on the official test set. We boldface the best results.

|            | Macro-Average | IS | IE | O  |
|------------|---------------|----|----|----|
|            | F1 | P  | R  | F1 | P  | R  | F1 | P  | R  | F1 | P  | R  | F1 | P  | R  |
| Majority   | 0.505 | 0.516 | 0.509 | 0.509 | 0.260 | 0.243 | 0.372 | 0.301 | 0.195 | 0.243 | 0.215 | 0.680 | 0.705 | 0.092 |
| LSTM-SpaCy | 0.220 | 0.216 | 0.202 | 0.016 | 0.013 | 0.025 | 0.087 | 0.226 | 0.093 | 0.510 | 0.513 | - | - | - |
| LSTM-GloVe | 0.260 | 0.205 | 0.229 | 0.123 | 0.053 | 0.074 | 0.135 | 0.071 | 0.094 | 0.518 | 0.492 | 0.505 | - | - | - |
| BERT       | 0.375 | 0.440 | 0.405 | 0.255 | 0.372 | 0.301 | 0.195 | 0.243 | 0.215 | 0.680 | 0.705 | 0.092 | - | - | - |

- **1- Coverage Based Metrics**
- **2- Post-level Metrics**

|            | Macro-Average | Low | Moderate | Severe |
|------------|---------------|-----|----------|--------|
| Majority   | 0.156 | 0.333 | 0.213 | nan | 0 | 0 | nan | 0 | 0 | nan | 0 | 0 | 0.469 | 1 | 0.638 |
| LSTM-SpaCy | 0.355 | 0.536 | 0.303 | 0.055 | 0.024 | 0.035 | 0.272 | 0.028 | 0.052 | 0.713 | 0.956 | 0.830 | - | - | - |
| LSTM-GloVe | 0.376 | 0.343 | 0.316 | 0.1 | 0.061 | 0.075 | 0.3 | 0.0288 | 0.052 | 0.729 | 0.939 | 0.821 | - | - | - |
| BERT       | 0.552 | 0.534 | 0.523 | 0.165 | 0.355 | 0.225 | 0.609 | 0.389 | 0.475 | 0.881 | 0.800 | 0.871 | - | - | - |
| RF3        | 0.305 | 0.423 | 0.297 | 0.166 | 0.666 | 0.266 | 0.25 | 0.071 | 0.111 | 0.5 | 0.533 | 0.516 | - | - | - |
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