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Abstract

**Background:** Although professional identity is a strong predictor of career choice, only a few studies report on career attitudes of medical students during a public health emergency. This study investigates the changes in medical students’ professional identity and career attitude during the COVID-19 pandemic, evaluates their mental health and social support system under stress, and explores the relationship between their career attitude and other factors.

**Method:** An online survey of 6226 Chinese medical students was conducted to collect information on demographics, professional identity, and career attitudes. The collected data were assessed using the Patient Health Questionnaire, the Generalized Anxiety Disorder Scale, and the Social Support Rating Scale.

**Results:** The results revealed that most participants had their professional identity strengthened, did not change their career attitude, and preferred to participate in the frontline during the COVID-19 pandemic. Low social support, depressive symptoms, male gender, and senior year residency were factors that affected career attitude negatively.

**Conclusions:** After the outbreak of the pandemic, it was necessary to carry out diversified professional identity research to support medical students, especially those with low social support and depressive symptoms.

**Background**

In December 2019, coronavirus pneumonia (COVID-19) broke out in Hubei province, China. On March 11, 2020, the World Health Organization (WHO) announced that COVID-19 was considered a global pandemic [1] that seriously threatened the health of people worldwide. In many countries, there was a serious shortage of medical workers because of numerous redundancies under this situation; consequently, retired doctors were recalled, and medical students were sent to fight against the pandemic. In China, more than 42,600 medical workers went to the most seriously affected areas to support medical work [2]. Many medical workers actively responded to the situation and became the core force that gained victory over the pandemic: they struggled at the forefront and were called heroes. In this sudden public health crisis, doctors highlighted the sense of value and honor of their professional spirit. As medical students constitute the reserve force of Chinese medicine, our investigation focused on assessing their professional attitudes, willingness to work as doctors, and their psychological conditions during the pandemic.

Under stressful situations, individuals have different emotional, cognitive, and behavioral responses. Stability of surrounding environment, personal susceptibility factors, psychological resilience, emotional regulation, coping style, and social support system affect individual’s stress response. Additionally, an individual’s cognitive evaluation of an event also affects their stress response [3]. The same holds true for stress response under the COVID-19 pandemic. The professional attitude of medical students is affected
by their cognitive evaluation of the situation, which, in turn, affects their emotional and behavioral response. Consequently, the stimulation of different emotions in medical students influences their career attitude and professional identity.

Professional identity is an individual's professional self-concept based on their beliefs, values, motives, attributes, and experiences [4]. It is derived from and perceived in terms of the role that individuals assume in their work. It is an important part of nurturing professionalism within medical students [5, 6] and is related to how strongly individuals identify with their chosen professions. Some scholars also pay attention to the formation and factors that influence medical students’ professional identity [7–10]. For physicians-in-training, preliminary data suggest that good virtues in medical practice are associated with having a strong sense of professional identity [11]. Further, career attitude refers to the tendency of medical students to pursue the medical profession in the future; it is related to their professional identity.

Recently, studies in mainland China have gradually studied the professional identity of medical students. Previous studies have focused on the tense physician-patient relationship and violent injuries in China, which may have affected medical students’ professional identity [12]. They have paid attention to the professional attitude of nursing staff [12]. Several intrinsic or extrinsic factors influence the professional identity of medical students, which either have a positive or a negative effect on it. Similarly, few previous studies have focused on the career attitude of medical students after public health emergencies. To the best of our knowledge, this study may be the largest survey on professional identity and career attitude of Chinese medical students after the outbreak of COVID-19. Therefore, this study has attempted to investigate the changes in medical students' professional identity and career attitude during the COVID-19 pandemic, evaluate their mental health and social support system under stress, and explore the relationship between their career attitude and other factors determining it.

Methods

We used an online survey to conduct a cross-sectional study on the professional identity and mental health of medical students from February 11 to 19, 2020. The online survey was conducted through the Wenjuanxing platform (https://www.wjx.cn/). In total, 6318 participants took part in the survey. After excluding incomplete questionnaires and the ones that were completed in less than three minutes, 6226 participants from 31 provinces and autonomous regions were included in the analysis.

Approval for the study was obtained from the Ethics Committee of Beijing Huilongguan Hospital. All of the participants provided online informed consent to participate in the study.

The professional identity questionnaire was designed to evaluate acknowledged factors (professional cognition, professional emotion, professional commitment, professional behavior, professional achievement, and professional value) of professional identity after consulting the relevant literature [13], which were then combined with the characteristics of medical students. One item was selected from each of the six dimensions of medical students' professional identity scale [13], and a simple medical
students’ professional identity scale (see Appendix A) was developed to evaluate professional identity. In this study, the Cronbach’s $\alpha$ was 0.857 and 0.890 before and after the pandemic, respectively.

Evaluation of career attitude was conducted by assessing medical students’ attitude after the pandemic (the following question was asked: Did you change your career attitude after the pandemic? 1. unchanged; 2. enhanced; 3. weakened). According to the results, we divided medical students into three groups (unchanged group, enhanced group, and weakened group).

Depressive symptoms within 14 days were screened using the 9-item Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9) [14], where each of the nine DSM-IV criteria were scored on a scale from 0 (“not at all”) to 3 (“nearly every day”). Cronbach’s $\alpha$, in this case, was 0.87.

Anxiety symptoms within 14 days were screened using the 7-item Generalized Anxiety Disorder Scale (GAD-7) [15], where items were rated from 0 (“not at all”) to 3 (“nearly every day”). Cronbach’s $\alpha$, in this case, was 0.92.

Social support was assessed using the Social Support Rating Scale (SSRS) [16]. A higher score indicated more social support. Final scores were categorized into three levels: “low,” “medium,” and “high.”

The dataset was analyzed using SPSS version 24.0 (IBM SPSS, IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). Chi-square test was used to compare the changes in career attitude of medical students under different demographic categories. Results obtained from GAD-7, PHQ-9, and SSRS for the three groups of Medical students were also compared. Rank sum test was used to analyze differences in dimensions of professional identity among the three groups of medical students before and after the pandemic. Further, multivariate disordered logic regression was used to analyze the factors influencing change in career attitude. The level of significance was set at 0.05 (two-sided).

**Results**

A total of 6,226 full-time medical undergraduates aged between 18–27 completed the questionnaires. The median age of participants was 21 years, of which females accounted for 60.1%. The students came from all provincial regions of China except Macau, and 98.6% took the survey at home. A total of 79.0% of respondents revealed that they would like to participate in the frontline (Table 1).
Table 1
Soci-demographic and clinical characteristics of the subjects (N = 6,226).

| Variables                        | n   | %   |
|----------------------------------|-----|-----|
| **Gender**                       |     |     |
| Male                             | 2,484 | 39.9 |
| Female                           | 3,742 | 60.1 |
| **Hometown**                     |     |     |
| Urban resident                   | 2,213 | 35.5 |
| Rural resident                   | 4,013 | 64.5 |
| **Residential area**             |     |     |
| Home                             | 6,141 | 98.6 |
| School                           | 49   | 0.8 |
| Forced isolation                 | 12   | 0.2 |
| Other                            | 24   | 0.4 |
| **Grade**                        |     |     |
| 1st year                         | 1,875 | 30.1 |
| 2nd year                         | 819  | 13.2 |
| 3rd year                         | 784  | 12.6 |
| 4th year                         | 1,331 | 21.4 |
| 5th year                         | 1,417 | 22.8 |
| **2019-nCoV exposure**           |     |     |
| No                               | 5,727 | 92   |
| Yes                              | 499  | 0.8 |
| **Participate on the frontline** |     |     |
| Would like                       | 4,917 | 79   |
| Neutral                          | 1,141 | 18.3 |
| Would not like                   | 168  | 2.7 |

| Median | IQR |
|--------|-----|
| Age    | 21  | 4   |
As shown in Table 2, the number of participants who did not change their career attitude was 4989 (80.8%), while enhanced career attitudes accounted for 741 (11.9%) of the total respondents. Students whose career attitude was weakened totaled 496 (8.0%) in number. There were statistical differences among students from different academic years of medical school and hometowns.

Table 2
The changes in career attitude among medical students with different soci-demographic characteristics (N = 6,226).

| Variables                  | n (%) | Unchanged (n = 4,989) | Enhanced (n = 741) | Weakened (n = 496) | \( \chi^2 \) | \( P \) |
|----------------------------|-------|-----------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-------------|-------|
| Gender                     |       |                       |                    |                   |             |       |
| Male                       | 2,484(39.9) | 1,969                 | 289                | 226               | 2.78       | 0.249 |
| Female                     | 3,742(60.1) | 3020                 | 452                | 270               |            |       |
| Hometown                   |       |                       |                    |                   | 7.299      | 0.026 |
| Urban resident             | 2,213(35.5) | 1,763                 | 248                | 202               |            |       |
| Rural resident             | 4,013(64.5) | 3,226                 | 493                | 494               |            |       |
| Grade                      |       |                       |                    |                   | 19.335     | 0.013 |
| 1st year                   | 1,875(30.1) | 1,494                 | 243                | 138               |            |       |
| 2nd year                   | 819(13.2) | 638                   | 110                | 71                |            |       |
| 3rd year                   | 784(12.6) | 605                   | 104                | 75                |            |       |
| 4th year                   | 1,331(21.4) | 1,083                 | 150                | 98                |            |       |
| 5th year                   | 1,417(22.7) | 1,169                 | 134                | 114               |            |       |
| 2019-nCoV exposure         |       |                       |                    |                   | 0.564      | 0.754 |
| No                         | 5,727(92.0) | 4,594                 | 681                | 452               |            |       |
| Yes                        | 499(0.8) | 395                   | 60                 | 44                |            |       |

Table 3 includes results that were obtained using the non-parametric Wilcoxon symbolic rank test. In the enhanced group, there was no significant difference in professional achievements before and after the pandemic (\( P = 0.494 \)), but for the rest of the groups, the difference was statistically significant. In the
weakened group, there were significant differences in professional cognition, commitment, achievement, and value.

Table 3
The professional identity among medical students with different career attitudes before and after the pandemic (N = 6,226).

| Variables          | Career attitude |       |       |       |
|--------------------|-----------------|-------|-------|-------|
|                    | Unchanged       | Enhanced | Weakened |
| Professional cognition | Z              | -13.911 | -8.026 | -2.5  |
|                    | P               | 0.000  | 0.000  | 0.012 |
| Professional emotion | Z              | -6.276  | -6.633 | -1.333 |
|                    | P               | 0.000  | 0.000  | 0.182 |
| Professional commitment | Z           | -3.26   | -7.994 | -4.926 |
|                    | P               | 0.000  | 0.000  | 0.000 |
| Professional behavior | Z           | -6.791  | -7.852 | -1.6  |
|                    | P               | 0.000  | 0.000  | 0.110 |
| Professional achievement | Z       | -13.126  | -0.685 | -6.367 |
|                    | P               | 0.000  | 0.494  | 0.000 |
| Professional value   | Z              | -3.121  | -6.598 | -5.925 |
|                    | P               | 0.002  | 0.000  | 0.000 |

Scores from GAD-7 and PHQ-9 tests were used to divide respondents into “anxiety group” and “depression group.” A Chi-square test showed that there were significant differences in anxiety, depression, and social support between the three groups of medical students with different career attitudes (summarized in Table 4).
Table 4
Depressive symptoms, anxiety symptoms and social support among medical students with different changes in career attitude (N = 6,226).

| Changes of career attitude | n     | Unchanged | Enhanced | Weakened | $\chi^2$ | P    |
|----------------------------|-------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|------|
| Depressive symptoms        |       |           |          |          | 14.747   | 0.001|
| Yes                       | 2,206 | 1,724     | 268      | 214      |          |      |
| No                        | 4,020 | 3,264     | 473      | 282      |          |      |
| Anxiety symptoms           |       |           |          |          | 11.088   | 0.004|
| Yes                       | 1,423 | 1,101     | 182      | 140      |          |      |
| No                        | 4,803 | 3,888     | 559      | 356      |          |      |
| SSRS                      |       |           |          |          | 31.063   | 0.000|
| Low                       | 2,151 | 1,703     | 227      | 221      |          |      |
| Medium                    | 2,146 | 1,731     | 257      | 158      |          |      |
| High                      | 1,929 | 1,555     | 257      | 117      |          |      |

We set the dependent variable of weakened, unchanged, and enhanced groups to 0, 1, and 2, respectively, and then performed ordered multivariate logic regression analysis. The parallel line hypothesis test showed that $P < 0.05$, which indicated that the data could not be analyzed using this method. Therefore, we conducted a disordered multivariate logic regression. The resultant chi-square value of the model was 139.49 ($P < 0.001$), which indicated its statistical significance. The pseudo-$R^2$ of Nagelkerke was 0.031, which indicated that the model corresponded to a certain degree with the dependent variables. (refer to Table 5)
Table 5
Multivariate disordered logic regression of the factors associated with the changes in career attitude (N = 6,226).

| Career attitude | B     | SE   | Wald | df | Sig. | Exp(B) | 95% Confidence Interval for Exp(B) |
|-----------------|-------|------|------|----|------|--------|----------------------------------|
|                 |       |      |      |    |      |        | Lower Bound | Upper Bound |
| Enhanced        |       |      |      |    |      |        |               |              |
| Intercept       | -2.579| 0.303| 72.371| 1  | 0    | 0.997  | 0.849 | 1.17         |
| Gender          |       |      |      |    |      |        |               |              |
| Male            | -0.003| 0.082| 0.001| 1  | 0.971| 0.997  | 0.849 | 1.17         |
| Female          | 0     | .     | .     | 0  | .    | .      | .     |              |
| Grade           |       |      |      |    |      |        |               |              |
| 1st year        | 0.318 | 0.115| 7.620| 1  | 0.006| 1.374  | 1.096 | 1.722        |
| 2nd year        | 0.372 | 0.138| 7.218| 1  | 0.007| 1.451  | 1.106 | 1.903        |
| 3rd year        | 0.371 | 0.141| 6.971| 1  | 0.008| 1.450  | 1.100 | 1.910        |
| 4th year        | 0.189 | 0.127| 2.227| 1  | 0.136| 1.208  | 0.943 | 1.548        |
| 5th year        | 0     | .     | .     | 0  | .    | .      | .     |              |
| Depressive      |       |      |      |    |      |        |               |              |
| No              | -0.132| 0.085| 2.417| 1  | 0.120| 0.876  | 0.742 | 1.035        |
| Yes             | 0     | .     | .     | 0  | .    | .      | .     |              |
| SSRS            |       |      |      |    |      |        |               |              |
| Low             | -0.165| 0.103| 2.575| 1  | 0.109| 0.848  | 0.694 | 1.037        |
| Medium          | -0.071| 0.097| 0.545| 1  | 0.460| 0.931  | 0.771 | 1.125        |
| High            | 0     | .     | .     | 0  | .    | .      | .     |              |
| Does your family support you to fight the epidemic |       |      |      |    |      |        |               |              |
| Strong support  | 1.014 | 0.298| 11.573| 1 | 0.001| 2.755  | 1.537 | 4.941        |
| General support | 0.656 | 0.289| 5.136| 1  | 0.023| 1.927  | 1.093 | 3.399        |
| Neutrality      | 0.615 | 0.284| 4.678| 1  | 0.031| 1.850  | 1.059 | 3.231        |
| Opposed         | 0.345 | 0.307| 1.264| 1  | 0.261| 1.412  | 0.774 | 2.578        |
| Career attitude | B       | SE   | Wald  | df | Sig.  | Exp(B) | 95% Confidence Interval for Exp(B) |
|-----------------|---------|------|-------|----|-------|--------|----------------------------------|
|                 |         |      |       |    |       |        | Lower Bound                      | Upper Bound          |
| Strongly opposed| 0b      | .    | .     | 0  | .     | .      | .                                | .                    |
| Hometown        |         |      |       |    |       |        |                                  |                      |
| Urban resident  | -0.105  | 0.084| 1.569 | 1  | 0.210 | 0.900  | 0.763                           | 1.061                |
| Rural resident  | 0b      | .    | .     | 0  | .     | .      | .                                | .                    |
| Weakened        |         |      |       |    |       |        |                                  |                      |
| Intercept       | -2.070  | 0.242| 73.385| 1  | 0     |        |                                  |                      |
| Gender          |         |      |       |    |       |        |                                  |                      |
| Male            | 0.225   | 0.096| 5.456 | 1  | 0.020 | 1.252  | 1.037                           | 1.513                |
| Female          | 0b      | .    | .     | 0  | .     | .      | .                                | .                    |
| Grade           |         |      |       |    |       |        |                                  |                      |
| 1st year        | -0.002  | 0.134| 0     | 1  | 0.986 | 0.998  | 0.767                           | 1.298                |
| 2nd year        | 0.167   | 0.161| 1.080 | 1  | 0.299 | 1.182  | 0.862                           | 1.621                |
| 3rd year        | 0.269   | 0.159| 2.875 | 1  | 0.090 | 1.309  | 0.959                           | 1.786                |
| 4th year        | -0.101  | 0.145| 0.487 | 1  | 0.485 | 0.904  | 0.680                           | 1.201                |
| 5th year        | 0b      | .    | .     | 0  | .     | .      | .                                | .                    |
| Depressive      |         |      |       |    |       |        |                                  |                      |
| No              | -0.249  | 0.099| 6.296 | 1  | 0.012 | 0.780  | 0.642                           | 0.947                |
| Yes             | 0b      | .    | .     | 0  | .     | .      | .                                | .                    |
| SSRS            |         |      |       |    |       |        |                                  |                      |
| Low             | 0.326   | 0.125| 6.803 | 1  | 0.009 | 1.386  | 1.084                           | 1.771                |
| Medium          | 0.103   | 0.129| 0.640 | 1  | 0.424 | 1.108  | 0.861                           | 1.426                |
| High            | 0b      | .    | .     | 0  | .     | .      | .                                | .                    |
| Does your family support you to fight the epidemic |         |      |       |    |       |        |                                  |                      |
| Strong support  | -1.116  | 0.277| 16.173| 1  | 0     | 0.328  | 0.190                           | 0.564                |
| Career attitude  | B   | SE  | Wald | df | Sig. | Exp(B) | 95% Confidence Interval for Exp(B) |
|------------------|-----|-----|------|----|------|--------|-----------------------------------|
|                  |     |     |      |    |      |        | Lower Bound | Upper Bound |
| General support  | -0.713 | 0.221 | 10.437 | 1 | 0.001 | 0.490 | 0.318 | 0.755 |
| Neutrality       | -0.440 | 0.204 | 4.665 | 1 | 0.031 | 0.644 | 0.432 | 0.960 |
| Opposed          | -0.008 | 0.220 | 0.001 | 1 | 0.970 | 0.992 | 0.644 | 1.527 |
| Strongly opposed | 0b   | .    | .    | 0 | .    | .      | .      | .      |

Compared to subjects in the unchanged group, students in junior academic classes of medical school were more likely to enhance their career attitudes, wherein the attitude of freshmen were 1.374 times higher than that of fifth year students. Subjects whose family members strongly supported them in their fight against the pandemic were more likely to enhance their career attitude than those who were strongly opposed by their family members. The number of subjects who were strongly supported by their families was 2.755 times higher than those whose family members were strongly opposed.

Compared to subjects in the unchanged group, boys were more likely to weaken their career attitude than girls, and medical students with depression were more likely to weaken their attitude than those with high social support. Students who lived in cities were 1.248 times less likely to practice medicine than those living in rural areas. These results are summarized in Table 5.

**Discussion**

Professional identity is an important factor for the development of medical education and practice, and the transformation of medical students’ professional identity is at the core of medical education [17]. Those who possess strong professional identity are more likely to be connected to their line of work and find a greater sense of purpose in life through it [18]. In addition, medical students’ career attitude is influenced by their cognition, attitude, and evaluation of their future career. This is a dynamic process of formation and evolution of professional identity, which continues to operate throughout the career of medical students.

This study found that most of the medical students were not only willing but also eager to continue practicing medicine. This result was similar to that of a previous study, which concluded that nursing
students’ professional identity was enhanced after the outbreak of SARS in Hong Kong, China [19]. This proves that medical students’ professional identity enhanced in varying degrees during this epidemic. The performance of medical students in the unchanged career attitude group was more prominent, which might be related to their motivation to study medicine. The professionalism of medical staff during the epidemic may also have been an inspiration. Some scholars [20, 21] found that the role of models and mentors had a significant impact on the professional identity of medical students. Passi [22] also indicated that positive role-modeling by doctors effectively enhanced the transformation of a student into a doctor. In this study, 79.0% students were likely to engage in the “battle” against the virus. This could be regarded as their “post-traumatic growth,” which suggested that exposure to critical events could lead to opportunities for growth [23].

This study also found that medical students with depression were more likely to weaken their attitude toward medicine, which might be due to a sense of uncertainty about themselves. Previous studies [24, 25] showed that depression was one of the most common health problems among university students, especially among medical students who endured heavy financial burden and study-induced stress [26]. The mental health of these medical students could be a predisposing factor causing burnout during residency or postgraduate training [27]. It might also affect their choice of future careers.

Similarly, it was found that strong social support helped enhance medical students’ career attitude. This study also established that the attitude of medical students’ family members toward fighting the epidemic also affected the students’ attitude toward medical practice. Generally speaking, people with high social support had better resources; they could get further support and help from the working environment and were more likely to solve problems and difficulties. As a resource available to individuals, social support played an intermediary role in the face of stress, and those with good social support could cope better with it [28]. The availability of social support reduced the odds of mental distress for those who perceived it [29]. The degree of social support was found to be negatively correlated with anxiety and depression of residents [26]. Students who lived alone or had bad relationships with their partners, classmates, or friends scored higher on the depression and anxiety scale. Thus, for medical students under stress due to a public health emergency, good social support was more conducive to better psychological state and encouraged them to continue to engage in the medical profession.

In addition, junior students were more likely to strengthen their attitude toward medicine than senior students. Furthermore, previous studies showed that the professional identity of medical students decreased over time [30, 31]. Iqbal [32] also indicated that senior students were more depressed with a poorer mental health status. The reasons might be that senior students dealt with higher levels of stress [33], were affected by heavy academic tasks, and encountered setbacks in clinical internship. On the other hand, junior medical students had just entered medical colleges and had not yet completely started the study of clinical medicine; thus, they expected much from themselves.
Boys and students from cities were more likely to weaken their attitude toward medicine. Some previous studies [30, 31, 34] concluded that girls were observed to report stronger identification than boys. This might be related to the fact that boys and students from cities bore more social responsibilities and economic pressure [35, 36] or that boys encountered more negative life events and received less social support. Conversely, some studies [36] showed that female physicians had lower rates of employment and lower value in terms of career success than male physicians after graduation [37].

Conclusions

The COVID-19 pandemic not only effected a crisis but also reconstructed the professional identity of medical students. After such a crisis, some medical students’ professional identity was enhanced, and they were prouder of the profession that they had chosen to pursue. However, as seen above, this was not the case for all medical students. It could be concluded that this is an appropriate time to nurture professional identity of medical students, that is, after the COVID-19 pandemic. The utilization of social support by medical students could be strengthened through group-coaching, which is an effective method of support [38].

The most important advantage of this study was that it conducted the largest survey of professional identity and career attitudes of medical students during the COVID-19 pandemic. Simultaneously, this study discussed the demographic factors of people with different career attitudes in depth and assessed the related factor of mental health status. Further research in the field should focus on ways to improve medical students’ mental health and enhance their professional identity.

However, there were several restrictions to this study. First, cases were recruited using convenience sampling. We could not weigh this sample to increase representativeness because statistics on national medical students were not available. Second, medical students reported their professional identity before the outbreak, and retrospective study might cause recall biases. Finally, although the data collection process was anonymous, online surveys could not verify the identity of respondents, and self-reporting might have been accompanied by personal biases.

Abbreviations

COVID-19: coronavirus pneumonia; WHO: the World Health Organization;

PHQ-9: Health Questionnaire; GAD-7: Anxiety Disorder Scale; SSRS: Social Support Rating Scale.

Declarations

Acknowledgements

The authors thank JH for his generous contributions to the project. We would like to thank Editage (www.editage.cn) for English language editing.
Authors’ Contributions

JC and XY conceived of the study. JC, XY and LG designed the methodology, interpreted the results; LZ\(^2\) analyzed the data, SZ, LZ\(^1\) and MQ have contributed to data collection. Each author has made substantial contributions to the conception and design of the work; or the acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data; or revised it to have approved the submitted version. All authors have agreed to be personally accountable for the author’s own contributions and to ensure that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated, resolved, and the resolution documented in the literature.

Funding

Data collection was supported by Capital Foundation of Medicine Research and Development (Grant number: 2018-3-2132) and the Special Foundation of Beijing Municipal Science & Technology Commission (Grant number: Z171100001017001). The funding body played no part in the design, conduct or reporting of the research.

Availability of Data and Materials

Data may be available by request submitted to the corresponding author.

Ethics Approval and Consent to Participate

Ethics Committee of Beijing HuiLongGuan Hospital approved the study (2020-05)

Consent for Publication

Not applicable.

Competing Interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors’ Information

1 Beijing HuiLongGuan Hospital, Peking University HuiLongGuan Clinical Medical School, Beijing, China, 100096
2 Collaborative Innovation Center of Assessment for Basic Education Quality, Beijing Normal University, 100875
3 Department of Applied Psychology, Chengde Medical college, Chengde, China, 067000

References

1. World Health Organization. NovelCoronavirus-2019[EB/OL].[2020-03-11]. https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019.
2. Xinhua News Agency, Beijing, [EB/OL]. [2020-3-8]. How to better protect and care for anti-epidemic front-line medical staff?

3. Lazarus RS FS. Stress, Appraisal and coping. 1984:35-72.

4. Johnson M, Cowin LS, Wilson I, Young H. Professional identity and nursing: contemporary theoretical developments and future research challenges. International nursing review. 2012;59(4):562-9.

5. Ravella KC, Curlin FA, Yoon JD. Medical school ranking and medical student vocational identity. Teach Learn Med. 2015;27(2):123-9.

6. Adams J, Ari M, Cleeves M, Gong J. Reflective Writing as a Window on Medical Students' Professional Identity Development in a Longitudinal Integrated Clerkship. Teach Learn Med. 2020;32(2):117-25.

7. Goldstein PA, Storey-Johnson C, Beck S. Facilitating the initiation of the physician's professional identity: Cornell's urban semester program. Perspectives on medical education. 2014;3(6):492-9.

8. MacLeod A. Caring, competence and professional identities in medical education. Advances in health sciences education : theory and practice. 2011;16(3):375-94.

9. Weaver R, Peters K, Koch J, Wilson I. 'Part of the team': professional identity and social exclusivity in medical students. Medical education. 2011;45(12):1220-9.

10. Wong A, Trollope-Kumar K. Reflections: an inquiry into medical students' professional identity formation. Medical education. 2014;48(5):489-501.

11. Leffel GM, Oakes Mueller RA, Ham SA, Karches KE, Curlin FA, Yoon JD. Project on the Good Physician: Further Evidence for the Validity of a Moral Intuitionist Model of Virtuous Caring. Teach Learn Med. 2018;30(3):303-16.

12. Z X, J L, Y C, K C. The effects of patients initiated aggression on Chinese medical students' career planning. BMC health services research. 2017;17(1):849.

13. L Z. Study on the current situation and influencing factors of medical students' professional identity. Shanghai: East China normal University. 2010. (in Chinese)

14. Kroenke K, Spitzer RL, Williams JB. The PHQ-9: validity of a brief depression severity measure. Journal of general internal medicine. 2001;16(9):606-13.

15. Spitzer RL, Kroenke K, Williams JB, Lowe B. A brief measure for assessing generalized anxiety disorder: the GAD-7. Archives of internal medicine. 2006;166(10):1092-7.

16. Y Y, J Y, C S, J S, S X, W C, et al. Psychometric testing of the Chinese version of the Medical Outcomes Study Social Support Survey among people living with HIV/AIDS in China. Applied nursing research : ANR. 2015;28(4):328-33.

17. Molnár R, Nyári T, Molnár P. Remaining in or leaving the profession: the view of medical students. Medical teacher.2006;28(5):475-7.

18. González RJ Mr. The vocation to serve: cornerstone of health care. 2012;14(3):52.

19. Y H, K W, W K, S T, H W. Severe acute respiratory syndrome outbreak promotes a strong sense of professional identity among nursing students. Nurse education today. 2005;25(2):112-8.
20. Hendelman W, Byszewski A. Formation of medical student professional identity: categorizing lapses of professionalism, and the learning environment. BMC Med Educ. 2014;14:139.

21. Burgess A, Goulston K, Oates K. Role modelling of clinical tutors: a focus group study among medical students. BMC Med Educ. 2015;15:17.

22. Passi V, Johnson N. The impact of positive doctor role modeling. Medical teacher. 2016;38(11):1139-45.

23. Sattler DN, Boyd B, Kirsch J. Trauma-exposed firefighters: relationships among posttraumatic growth, posttraumatic stress, resource availability, coping and critical incident stress debriefing experience. Stress and health : journal of the International Society for the Investigation of Stress. 2014;30(5):356-65.

24. Naja WJ, Kansoun AH, Haddad RS. Prevalence of Depression in Medical Students at the Lebanese University and Exploring its Correlation With Facebook Relevance: A Questionnaire Study. JMIR research protocols. 2016;5(2):e96.

25. Y M, N Z, J L, B Z, R H, X W. A systematic review of depression and anxiety in medical students in China. BMC Med Educ. 2019;19(1):327.

26. R S, P H, B L, L T, L X, Y H, et al. Prevalence of depression and anxiety and correlations between depression, anxiety, family functioning, social support and coping styles among Chinese medical students. BMC psychology. 2020;8(1):38.

27. ZX L, KA Y, VK S, GK L, RS M, A G, et al. Prevalence of Burnout in Medical and Surgical Residents: A Meta-Analysis. International journal of environmental research and public health. 2019;16(9).

28. Thompson G, McBride RB, Hosford CC, Halaas G. Resilience Among Medical Students: The Role of Coping Style and Social Support. Teach Learn Med. 2016;28(2):174-82.

29. Mboya I, John B, Kibopile E, Mhando L, George J, Ngocho JJBp. Factors associated with mental distress among undergraduate students in northern Tanzania. 2020;20(1):28.

30. Burford B, Rosenthal-Stott HES. First and second year medical students identify and self-stereotype more as doctors than as students: a questionnaire study. BMC Med Educ. 2017;17(1):209.

31. Coster S, Norman I, Murrells T, Kitchen S, Meerabeau E, Sooboodoo E, et al. Interprofessional attitudes amongst undergraduate students in the health professions: a longitudinal questionnaire survey. International journal of nursing studies. 2008;45(11):1667-81.

32. Iqbal S, Gupta S, Venkatarao E. Stress, anxiety and depression among medical undergraduate students and their socio-demographic correlates. The Indian journal of medical research. 2015;141(3):354-7.

33. Beiter R, Nash R, McCrady M, Rhoades D, Linscomb M, Clarahan M, et al. The prevalence and correlates of depression, anxiety, and stress in a sample of college students. Journal of affective disorders. 2015;173:90-6.

34. Cleland JA, Johnston PW, Anthony M, Khan N, Scott NW. A survey of factors influencing career preference in new-entrant and exiting medical students from four UK medical schools. BMC Med Educ. 2014;14:151.
35. Walter G, Soh NL, Norgren Jaconelli S, Lampe L, Malhi GS, Hunt G. Medical students' subjective ratings of stress levels and awareness of student support services about mental health. Postgraduate medical journal. 2013;89(1052):311-5.

36. Moazam F, Shekhani S. Why women go to medical college but fail to practise medicine: perspectives from the Islamic Republic of Pakistan. Medical education. 2018;52(7):705-15.

37. Buddeberg-Fischer B, Stamm M, Buddeberg C, Bauer G, Haemmig O, Knecht M, et al. The impact of gender and parenthood on physicians' careers—professional and personal situation seven years after graduation. BMC Health Serv Res. 2010;10:40.

38. de Lasson L, Just E, Stegeager N, Malling B. Professional identity formation in the transition from medical school to working life: a qualitative study of group-coaching courses for junior doctors. BMC Med Educ. 2016;16:165.

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- AppendixA.docx