Flexural Behaviour of Self Compacting Concrete Beam using Welded Wire Mesh as Shear Reinforcement
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Abstract: Conventional shear reinforcement cannot provide superior shear characteristics for concrete beam due to the problems in detailing and the disturbance caused to the concrete continuity. This paper is an effort to tackle that problem by the usage of welded wire reinforcement as shear reinforcement along with the vertical stirrups. A total of six specimens were cast and the volumetric ratios of the stirrups and the welded wire mesh are varied in each specimen. The mesh used was commonly available galvanized welded wire mesh of opening ½ inch x ½ inch. Since the mesh size is very small and to ensure strength and homogeneity self compacting concrete was used. Its wide spread usage was also considered. The result indicates improved strength characteristics using the mesh layer in addition to the stirrups. There were also improvements in maximum deflection and ductility of the beam.
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1. Introduction

The experimental study carried out under this paper is the flexural behavior of an RC beam using Welded Wire Mesh (WWM) as shear reinforcement. This welded wire mesh is formed from stainless steel which has high strength, flexibility and corrosion resistance. It is advantageous due to ease of availability, lower cost as well as better quality control. The volumetric ratio of stirrups reduces the shear characteristics of concrete core, high volumetric ratio of stirrups affects the continuity of concrete and leads to a plane of weakness between the core and the concrete cover. Welded wire mesh can be used as a substitute for the conventional reinforcement and will yield excellent results both in flexural as well as shear capacity. But the structural behavior of RC beams with welded wire mesh as shear reinforcement is little known. The significance of this study lies in this context. This study is done using six specimens. Out of them five specimens are wound with welded wire mesh and the number of stirrups and mesh layers vary in each case, while the other specimen is with conventional reinforcement used as control specimen. The parameters which are investigated in this study includes the ultimate load capacity, maximum deflection, pattern of cracks, ductility. The results indicate that the strength, of concrete beam is comparable with that of the control specimen with single layer of mesh and shows significant improvement if the numbers of mesh layers are increased to two.

2. Experimental Program

The experimental investigation is conducted in accordance with guidelines given for self compacting concrete design. Totally three cube specimens, three cylinders were cast for M40 grade of concrete in this study. Five of the beams were with welded mesh with varying stirrups and varying mesh layers. The parameter to be investigated in this study included the spacing and grid configuration of Weld Wire Mesh and the shear reinforcement. Six beam specimens of size 150mm x 150mm x 1100mm were cast, cured.

An experimental investigation of the specimens is conducted as shown below. Initially all the materials tests were conducted in the laboratory as per their respective Indian Standard Codes. The preliminary tests were conducted on fine aggregate, coarse aggregate and cement to check their suitability for making self compacting concrete. After the preliminary tests the compressive strength of the mix design (Nan-su-et-al method) of self compacting concrete (M 40 grade) was verified. After testing the compressive strength the fresh concrete were performed for SCC and the mix was found to be satisfying. Total of six specimens were cast with different volumetric ratio of stirrups and different number of mesh layers. They were cured for 28 days and tested under two point loading and checked for flexural capacity and other salient properties.

| Specimen name | Cross section details (mm) | Length (mm) | Main reinforcement | Stirrups | No. of WWM layer |
|---------------|---------------------------|-------------|--------------------|----------|------------------|
| SICS          | 150 x 150                 | 1100        | 4#10 mm            | 7#8 mm   | 175 mm           |
| S2SL          | 150 x 150                 | 1100        | 4#10 mm            | 7#8 mm   | 175 mm           |
| S3SL          | 150 x 150                 | 1100        | 4#10 mm            | 5#8 mm   | 262 mm           |
| S4SL          | 150 x 150                 | 1100        | 4#10 mm            | 3#8 mm   | 525 mm           |
| S5DL          | 150 x 150                 | 1100        | 4#10 mm            | 5#8 mm   | 262 mm           |
| S6DL          | 150 x 150                 | 1100        | 4#10 mm            | 3#8 mm   | 525 mm           |
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2.1 Material Properties

The cement used is commercially available Portland pozzolana cement (PPC) conforming to IS: 8112-1989, with a specific Gravity 3.13

Fine aggregate is locally available river sand of size below 4.75 mm with specific gravity 2.5 conforming to Zone II of IS 383-1970 is used as fine aggregate. The physical properties as per IS 2386 (Part III) were also found using laboratory experiments. The Fineness modulus is 2.89 and Bulk density 1629.74 Kg/m$^3$ as per these experiments.

Coarse aggregate used in this study consists of maximum size 12.5 mm and minimum size of 10 mm. The physical properties as per IS 383-1970 were also found using laboratory experiments. The Specific gravity is 2.65 and Bulk density is 1547.58 Kg/m$^3$ as per these experiments.

Silica fume of specific gravity 2.1 as cement replacement and super plasticizer Glenium b 233 was used with a dosage of 1.4 % (dosage found using marsh cone test ) of cement content.

2.2 Preparation of the specimens

The beam specimens are prepared according to the following procedure

2.2.1 Reinforcement

Four bars of 10 mm diameter were as the longitudinal reinforcement. Each of the beam specimens has distinguished lateral reinforcement as given in Table 1 and shown in Fig 1. The welded wire mesh was connected to the beam specimen by using steel winding wires. The cover of the specimen was maintained at 25 mm.
2.2.4. Instrumentation and test setup
The instrumentation and test setup are shown in fig 9. Tests were conducted using hydraulic loading machine mounted on a testing frame of 500 kN capacity. All the specimens were kept at a span length of 1000 mm (L) and were subjected to two point loading. The deflections at the middle, L/3 and 2L/3 of the span were measured using dial gauges.

3. Experimental Results and Discussion
Table 2 gives the ultimate load and the maximum deflection. The figure 10-15 shows the load-deflection curves for the specimens given in Fig 1.

3.1 Ultimate Load
The experimental results suggest that the ultimate load capacity of the beam specimens is increased only marginally for the S2SL specimen with the increase of one layer of welded wire mesh. But with increasing mesh spacing in S3SL and S4SL the ultimate load capacity is found to be decreased by 19.3 % and 20.9 % respectively. This indicates that the single layer mesh used will not increase the strength of the beam specimen when the spacing of the stirrups is increased. Further study using thicker meshes are recommended. When double layers of Welded Wire Mesh are used the strength of the specimen with 5 numbers of stirrups (S5DL) is 126% of the control specimen and the specimen with 3 numbers of stirrups (S6DL) is 98% of the control specimen. Therefore, the beam with double layer of mesh of the size used in this study can be used as an alternative to control specimen using more number of stirrups. The alternatives proposed for the S1CS is are S5DL and S6DL.
3.2 Maximum Deflection

The maximum deflection values of the specimens increased is increased in specimen S2SL with addition of one layer of WWM. The deflection value decreases for the S3SL specimen whereas unlike expectation the maximum value of S4SL specimen is comparable with that of the control specimen, S1CS. Also there is no remarkable increase in the maximum deflection value by providing double layer of welded wire mesh. Even though with lesser number of stirrups and a double layer we are able to achieve marginally higher maximum deflection value.

The experimental results for the specimens are shown in the Table 2

| Specimen name | Number of WWM layer | Number of stirrups | First cracking load in KN | Ultimate load in kN | Maximum deflection |
|---------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|
| S1CS          | nil                 | 7                  | 12                        | 38.4                | 21.17              |
| S2SL          | 1                   | 7                  | 14                        | 39.1                | 25.7               |
| S3SL          | 1                   | 5                  | 14                        | 31.4                | 18.37              |
| S4SL          | 1                   | 3                  | 14                        | 30.7                | 21.47              |
| S5DL          | 2                   | 5                  | 22                        | 48.7                | 21.18              |
| S6DL          | 2                   | 3                  | 16                        | 37.8                | 21.35              |

3.3 Ductility

The load deflection curves show that the ductility of the specimen increases with addition of single layer of WWM on the control specimen which can be observed in S2SL specimen. The decrease in number of stirrups does not affect the ductility significantly. Also by reducing the number of stirrups and increasing the number of layers the ductility of the specimen can be made marginally more than the control specimen.

3.4 Cracks

The cracks developed in each specimen are shown in the figures below. It can be observed that the cracks in the control specimen are mainly flexural and the specimens with single layer of mesh also mainly flexural. But when we use the double layer of mesh the cracks are both flexural as well as shear failure.
4. Conclusions

The conclusions of this paper are presented by investigating varying spacing and number of WWM layers of a control beam specimen. The inferences are

1) The ultimate load of the beam specimen increases marginally by adding one layer of Welded Wire Mesh. With increase in spacing it decreases by 19.3% and 20.9% for the S2SL and S3SL specimens.

2) By increasing the number of mesh layer to two we obtain 126% and 98% of the ultimate load for specimens S5DL and S6DL.

3) The maximum deflection increases considerably with adding one layer on the control specimen.

4) The maximum deflection increases marginally for the specimens with higher spacing of stirrup for both single and double mesh layers.

5) The ductility of the specimens increases for the specimen S2SL considerably compared to the control specimen S1CS and comparable with all other specimens.

6) The crack pattern is flexural mainly for the specimens S1CS, S2SL, S3SL. It is a combination of flexural and shear for S4SL. The predominant cracks observed in S5DL and S6DL are shear cracks originating from the support to the point of loading.
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