Reduced Physiological Complexity in Robust Elderly Adults with the APOE ε4 Allele
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Abstract

Background: It is unclear whether the loss of physiological complexity during the aging process is due to genetic variations. The APOE gene has been studied extensively in regard to its relationship with aging-associated medical illness. We hypothesize that diminished physiological complexity, as measured by heart rate variability, is influenced by polymorphisms in the APOE allele among elderly individuals.

Methodology/Principal Findings: A total of 102 robust, non-demented, elderly subjects with normal functions of daily activities participated in this study (97 males and 5 females, aged 79.2 ± 4.4 years, range 72–92 years). Among these individuals, the following two APOE genotypes were represented: ε4 non-carriers (n = 87, 85.3%) and ε4 carriers (n = 15, 14.7%). Multi-scale entropy (MSE), an analysis used in quantifying complexity for nonlinear time series, was employed to analyze heart-rate dynamics. Reduced physiological complexity, as measured by MSE, was significantly associated with the presence of the APOE ε4 allele in healthy elderly subjects, as compared to APOE ε4 allele non-carriers (24.6 ± 5.5 versus 28.9 ± 5.2, F = 9.429, p = 0.003, respectively).

Conclusions/Significance: This finding suggests a role for the APOE gene in the diminished physiological complexity seen in elderly populations.

Introduction

Biologically, the physiological output of the human body emerges from interactions among a variety of factors, ranging from genes to organs to the environment [1]. These interactions, under healthy conditions, are essential for responses to environmental stress and are evident in both behavioral and physiological complexity, such as daily activities, heart rate, blood pressure, and brain electrical activities. In contrast, aging and illness are associated with degraded and/or decoupled regulatory networks and often result in the generation of less complex outputs [2,3]. The loss of complexity is therefore, to a large extent, the hallmark of illness and the aging process [3,4]. This reduced complexity can be quantified both behaviorally [4] and physiologically, such as through analysis of heart rate variability (HRV) [3]. However, despite a growing body of clinical and basic science research of applying complexity theory in aging and illness [2,3,4,5], the relationship between the loss of such complexity and a genetic predisposition is still unclear.

The apolipoprotein-E (APOE) gene has been studied extensively in regard to its relationship to aging-associated medical illness, including cardiovascular disease [6,7,8,9], geriatric cognitive decline [10,11], and late-onset Alzheimer’s disease [12]. The impact of the APOE polymorphism on the increased risk of a variety of medical illnesses might lead to a reduced life span and decreased adaptability of affected individuals to stress. These separate lines of evidence lead to our hypothesis that variants of the APOE gene (e.g., the ε4 allele) may potentially reduce physiological complexity in an affected individual, even before the onset of certain medical illness related to APOE variants. Therefore, in the present study, we applied a multiscale entropy (MSE) analysis to examine effects of the APOE genotypes on heart rate dynamics in a cohort of robust elderly adults.

Results

Descriptive statistics are summarized in Table 1. Clinical characteristics were not different between the APOE ε4-negative and ε4-positive groups, except that the gender distribution was unbalanced between two groups (male, %: 97.7 vs. 80.0, p = 0.02, respectively). A comparison of representative interbeat interval time series and MSE analysis between an APOE ε4-negative and an APOE ε4-positive subject is shown in Figure 1. There were no significant differences in conventional HRV measures between two
**Table 1.** Demographics and clinical characteristics.

| Characteristics                          | APOE ε4-negative N=87 | APOE ε4-positive N=15 | t or χ² | p   |
|------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------|-----|
| Age, year                                | 79.1±4.4              | 79.4±4.2              | −0.222  | 0.825|
| Male gender, n (%)                       | 85 (97.7)             | 12 (80.0)             | 5.22    | 0.022|
| Education, year                          | 7.2±4.3               | 7.1±5.5               | 0.106   | 0.916|
| Body mass index, kg/m²                   | 23.8±3.1              | 24.8±3.0              | −1.068  | 0.289|
| Hypertension, n (%)                      | 44 (50.6)             | 9 (60.0)              | 0.16    | 0.689|
| Diabetes, n (%)                          | 10 (11.5)             | 1 (6.7)               | 0.01    | 0.920|
| Stroke, n (%)                            | 6 (6.9)               | 1 (6.7)               | 0.27    | 0.603|
| Current smoker, n (%)                    | 22 (25.3)             | 2 (13.3)              | 0.46    | 0.498|
| Systolic blood pressure, mmHg            | 145±21                | 141±12                | 0.633   | 0.528|
| Diastolic blood pressure, mmHg           | 78±11                 | 71±11                 | 1.738   | 0.086|
| White blood cell count, 10⁹/mm³          | 7.3±2.9               | 7.5±2.3               | −0.151  | 0.881|
| Hemoglobin, mg/dL                        | 14.4±1.8              | 14.7±1.5              | −0.591  | 0.556|
| Fasting glucose, mg/dL                   | 89.7±15.8             | 89.0±13.1             | 0.136   | 0.892|
| Total cholesterol, mg/dL                 | 194.7±48.6            | 182.2±19.3            | 0.839   | 0.404|
| Triglycerides, mg/dL                     | 120.5±64.9            | 112.2±56.8            | 0.4031  | 0.688|
| Mini-mental state examination, score (0–30) | 27.9±2.1             | 27.3±2.0              | 0.974   | 0.332|

Values are mean ± standard deviation unless otherwise noted. Categorical data are compared by chi-square tests, two tailed; all other p values are by Student’s t test, two tailed. 

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0007733.t001

**Figure 1.** A comparison of a representative interbeat interval time series and analysis of multiscale entropy (MSE) between an APOE ε4-negative subject (top panels) and an APOE ε4-positive subject (bottom panels). Time series length is 30 minutes. The APOE ε4-negative subject showed multiscale organizations in fluctuations of interbeat intervals, whereas a relatively monotonic oscillation was seen in the interbeat interval time series obtained from an APOE ε4-positive subject. By considering the impact of scale on entropy calculations, the sample entropy values for the APOE ε4-negative subject is higher than that for the APOE ε4-positive subject for scales larger than two. Of note, the sum of MSE from scale factor 1 to 20 was 28.3 for the APOE ε4-negative subject and 17.3 for the APOE ε4-positive subject. 

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0007733.g001
groups (Table 2). We also found no significant correlations between the MSE and conventional HRV indices. Significantly lower values of MSE were found in the ε4-positive group compared to ε4-negative group (24.6±5.5 vs. 28.9±5.2, F = 9.429, p = 0.003, respectively). No significant MSE by ANCOVA covarying for age or clinical parameters interaction effect was found. Figure 2 shows the comparison of the MSE analysis for the entire study cohort by the APOE ε4 genotype at different time scales. For scales ranging from 3 to 13 (equal to interbeat interval time series of 10 to 40 heartbeats), the sample entropy values were significantly lower (t-test, p<0.01) for the group of APOE ε4-positive, as compared to the group without the APOE ε4 allele.

**Discussion**

Consistent with our hypothesis, the key finding of this study is that reduced physiological complexity, as measured by multi-scale entropy analysis, is associated with the APOE ε4 allele in this robust, aged population. The concept of loss of physiological complexity in illness and during the aging process has been hypothesized by several literature sources [3,4,13]. Degeneration of the control mechanisms by illness and aging may lead to a breakdown of coupling between physiological components and thus result in the loss of complexity in heart rate dynamics [3]. Moreover, the lack of associations between MSE and other time-frequency HRV measures indicates that MSE contains new information (complexity) which was not quantified by conventional HRV indices. The findings of a relationship between the APOE ε4 allele and reduced physiological complexity at different time scales suggest that variants of the APOE gene may affect the integrity of the physiological system during the aging process. While the exact mechanism of how the APOE ε4 allele affects physiological functions is unclear, degeneration of overall brain functions associated with the APOE ε4 allele [14,15,16,17], which further results in decoupling between physiological control systems, is a possible mechanism underlying the association between APOE ε4 allele and reduced physiological complexity.

The present study employed a nonlinear method adapted from complexity theory, multi-scale entropy, to detect changes in physiological complexity in an aged population. Cardiovascular signals are largely analyzed using traditional time and frequency domain measures. However, such measures fail to account for important properties related to multi-scale organization and nonequilibrium dynamics [3]. The complementary role of complexity analysis is, therefore, an important tool to quantify the nonlinear properties of physiological signals. Of note, reduced complexity (e.g., fractal properties) have been implicated in the risk of fatal cardiac arrhythmia, increased mortality, or poor prognosis in cardiovascular diseases [18,19,20,21,22]. Our findings of a relationship between reduced physiological complexity and the APOE ε4 allele is in line with the observation that APOE ε4 increases the risk of cardiovascular events in the long run [7,8]. Limited studies have shown procedures/exercise (e.g., meditation or Tai-Chi exercise) that are able to increase vagal tone could have protective effect on heart functions [23,24]. However, it’s not clear whether these preventive means also alter the physiological complexity. Therefore, further research is warranted to examine if an appropriate treatment/prevention could compensate the adverse impact of APOE ε4 allele on physiologic functions.

There are limitations to this study. First, an evaluation of cardiovascular function was not done and, thus, we cannot exclude the possibility that subjects with this genetic finding also had occult cardiovascular disease. Second, the possibility of selection bias cannot be excluded due to the relatively small sample of subjects and biased gender distribution towards the male gender (majority of study subjects were veterans). Prior reports have shown that gender may modulate the association between APOE gene and related neuropathology [9,25,26], and therefore may potentially affect the result of HRV analysis. Gender effect should be factored in the future study. Third, as the study design was cross-sectional, we cannot directly evaluate the long-term impact of the APOE polymorphism on the physiological complexity and incidence of cardiovascular diseases. A prospective study with a larger population should be done to address this issue. Finally, interbeat interval time series obtained from this aged population also posed a challenge to HRV analysis as ectopic heartbeats or cardiac arrhythmia (e.g., atrial fibrillation) are more common in elderly populations. However, the subjects in the present study were

| Table 2. Heart-rate variability characteristics. |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| **Variable** | APOE ε4-negative N = 87 | APOE ε4-positive N = 15 | F     | p     |
| Time Domain Measure |  |  |  |  |
| Mean heart rate, beat/min | 77.9±16.0 | 80.9±16.6 | 0.454 | 0.502 |
| Standard deviation of normal interbeat intervals, ms | 70.3±32.4 | 72.1±27.8 | 0.027 | 0.871 |
| Root mean square successive difference between adjacent normal interbeat intervals, ms | 35.0±25.1 | 31.6±17.5 | 0.284 | 0.595 |
| Percentage of adjacent normal interbeat intervals that varied by greater than 50 ms, % | 12.7±18.0 | 8.0±8.8 | 0.991 | 0.322 |
| Frequency Domain Measure |  |  |  |  |
| Very-low-frequency power, ln(ms²/Hz) | 7.94±0.88 | 7.88±0.93 | 0.079 | 0.779 |
| Low-frequency power, ln(ms²/Hz) | 6.41±1.07 | 6.21±0.94 | 0.491 | 0.485 |
| High-frequency power, ln(ms²/Hz) | 6.13±1.40 | 6.02±1.14 | 0.102 | 0.750 |
| Low-frequency/high-frequency power, ln(ms²/Hz) | 2.05±1.35 | 1.87±1.25 | 0.206 | 0.651 |
| Complexity Measure |  |  |  |  |
| Multiscale entropy, sum of sample entropy from scale factor 1 to 20 | 28.9±5.2 | 24.6±5.5 | 9.429 | 0.003 |

Values are mean ± standard deviation unless otherwise noted.

Power spectral estimates were log transformed due to skewed distributions. F ratios from analyses of covariance, controlling for age and clinical parameters.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0007733.t002
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Figure 2. Multiscale entropy analysis by APOE e4 genotype.

Multiscale entropy was derived from two-hours of interbeat interval
time series. Symbols represent mean values of entropy for each group

and the bars represent the standard error. Parameters of sample

entropy calculation are m = 2 and r = 0.15. The sample entropy values

for subjects with APOE e4 allele are significantly lower (p<0.01) on

scales between 3 and 13, which are equal to oscillations at period

around 10 to 40 heartbeats. p values were computed using Student’s t-
test at each scale factor.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0007733.g002

generally healthy and had no severe cardiac arrhythmia, thus

permitting the feasibility of applying HRV analysis to these aged

individuals.

In conclusion, reduced physiological complexity, as measured

by complexity analysis, was significantly associated with the

presence of the APOE e4 allele in healthy elderly subjects. This

observation may provide implications for understanding the role

of genetic predisposition in physiological aging. Further large-scale

research is warranted to investigate the interactions between

geneces, physiological functioning, and the environment in the

elderly.

Materials and Methods

Ethics Statement

All subjects gave written informed consent before commence-

ment of the study. The protocol was approved by the Institutional

Review Board of the Taipei Veterans General Hospital.

Study Sample

A total of 184 elderly Chinese-Han elderly volunteers were

screened and excluded if they had acute or major medical diseases

(e.g., malignancy, heart failure, or infection), severe cardiac

arrhythmia or frequent ectopic heartbeats, dementia (defined by

clinical dementia rating scale >0.5), or a history of mental illness.

Subjects were also excluded if they took medication with

documented effects on the autonomic nervous system (e.g., beta-

blockers). Of these 113 subjects, eleven were further excluded

based on the above criteria. The final study sample consisted of

102 robust, non-demented elderly subjects with normal functions

of daily activities (97 males and 5 females, aged 79.2±4.4 years,

range 72–92 years).

Laboratory Methods and ECG Monitoring

APOE genotyping was determined using PCR-RFLP, accord-

ing to the procedure reported previously [27]. The studies of

APOE and cognitive functions as well as heart rate variability and

cognitive functions has been reported elsewhere [28,29]. Of the

102 subjects, there were four genotypes: e2/e2 (n = 1, 1.0%), e2/

e3 (n = 14, 13.7%), e3/e3 (n = 72, 70.6%), and e3/e4 (n = 15,

14.7%). When the sample was stratified according to the presence

of the e4 allele, 15 (14.7%) were e4 carriers compared with 87

(85.3%) non-e4 carriers. The frequency of e4 allele was

comparable with prior studies worldwide based on the community

sample (7.9%–16.5%) [30,31,32,33,34,35]. These subjects then

underwent two-hour electrocardiogram (ECG) monitoring using a

Holter monitor (MyECG E3-80 Portable Recorder, Microstar

Inc., Taipei, Taiwan). All ECG monitoring took place in the
daytime and participants were asked to stay in the resting state and

to avoid smoking and drinking alcoholic beverages before the

experimental procedures.

The Holter device continuously recorded three channels of

ECG signals at a sampling rate of 250 Hz. The ECG signals were

then processed and analyzed by an open source of HRV

algorithms [36]. Briefly, after detecting the QRS complex on

ECG by locating the R apex, the interbeat interval data was

automatically calculated as the time interval between two

consecutive R peaks (R-R interval). All beat annotations were

carefully checked to avoid erroneous detections or missed beats.

Analysis of Conventional Heart-Rate Variability

Conventional time and frequency domain HRV measures are

employed. Time domain measures of HRV include the mean

heart rate and standard deviation of the normal interbeat intervals

(SDNN), the root mean square successive difference between

adjacent normal interbeat intervals (RMSSD), and the percentage

of adjacent intervals that varied by greater than 50 ms (pNN50)

[37]. Spectral HRV measures [38] include high-frequency power

(0.15–0.40 Hz), low-frequency power (0.04–0.15 Hz), and very-

low-frequency power (0.003–0.04 Hz). Briefly, the RMSSD and

pNN50 measure the short-term variation of interbeat intervals,

which is mainly modulated by parasympathetic innervation [39].

Low-frequency power is suggested to be modulated by both

sympathetic and parasympathetic activities, whereas high-frequen-
cy power is mainly modulated by parasympathetic activity [40,41].

The low-frequency/high-frequency ratio was computed as a

measure of the sympathovagal balance toward sympathetic activity

[38,42].

Analysis of Physiological Complexity

Physiological signals under healthy conditions typically exhibit

multi-scale variability, long-range correlations, and non-linearity

[43]. Traditional complexity measurements are based on the

concept of entropy which quantifies the regularity (orderliness) of a

time series. Entropy increases with the degree of disorder and is
maximum for completely random systems. However, an increase in entropy may not always be associated with an increase in dynamical complexity. For instance, a randomized surrogate time series has higher entropy than the original time series, despite the process of generating the surrogate data destroys correlations and degrades the information content of the original signal. A biologically meaningful complexity measure has been proposed by measuring the entropy over multiple time scales inherent in physiologic signals, termed multiscale entropy (MSE) [44]. MSE was computed over the interbeat interval data using publicly available algorithms from the PhysioNet [36,44]. The algorithm of MSE is as follows: Given a one-dimensional discrete time series, \( \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\} \), we construct consecutive coarse-grained time series, \( \{y^{(1)}_t\} \), determined by the scale factor, \( \tau \), according to the equation: 
\[
\begin{align*}
  y^{(1)}_t &= \frac{1}{\tau} \sum_{j=1}^{\tau} x_{t+j-1}, \\
  1 \leq t \leq N/\tau.
\end{align*}
\]
The length of each coarse-grained time series is equal to the length of the original time series divided by the scale factor, \( \tau \). For scale one, the time series is simply the original time series. We then calculate an entropy measure (sample entropy) for each coarse-grained time series and plot it as a function of the scale factor \( \tau \). The procedure and calculation of the MSE is summarized as following three steps: 
1) construction of coarse-grained time series, 2) quantification of the sample entropy of each coarse-grained time series, and 3) summation of the sample entropy values over a range of scales. In the present study, sample entropy was calculated using a pattern length \( m \), a similarity factor \( r \), and \( \tau \) of 0.15. The sum of sample entropy over all scale factors from 1 to 20 was computed for each subject and used to represent MSE in subsequent analyses. Of note, to reduce the non-stationarity beyond the maximum time scale detected by the MSE method (i.e., at scale 20, MSE can detect oscillation at the maximum of time period covering 61 heartbeats), interbeat interval time series was pre-detrended using the empirical mode decomposition method [45,46].

Statistical Analysis

We performed allele and genotype frequency and Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium tests for each APOE genotype. Chi-square tests were used to compare categorical variables. Student’s \( t \)-test was used to test for differences in demographic and clinical measures between APOE \( e4 \) carriers and non-carriers. Analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) was employed to determine group differences for the HRV variables, controlling for age and clinical parameters effects in this study sample. Partial correlation analysis was applied, controlling for age and clinical measures, to determine the associations between conventional HRV indices and the MSE measure. A more rigorous \( p \)-value of less than 0.01 (two-tailed) for the nature of association study was required for statistical significance. Based on prior literatures of applying MSE in healthy elderly subjects [44,47], we estimated the total sample size to be at least 94 by assuming power of 80%, 1% significance level, minimum expected difference of 5 and estimated standard deviation of 5.
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