Endangering Residents in Shipitull Village by Landslide at the Surface Mining in South West Sibovc
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Abstract

Facing the problem of the expropriation of the Shipitull village and the non-advancement of mining activity in the direction of the frontal work for the removal of the clay overburden for the use of coal, geo-mechanical drilling was performed to determine the most accurate physical-mechanical parameters to calculate the height of the partial and generals slopes with the safety factor $F_s > 1.2$, to create optimum conditions for the use of the detected coal reserves of 15 million tons of coal with the general $18^\circ$ degree angle with safety factors $F_s \geq 1.27$ according to the geotechnical standards. As the basis for calculating the slopes were taken physical-mechanical drilling parameters that were realized in 2015-2017, the tests were performed in the geotechnical laboratory at the INKOS Institute which is licensed to ISO 9001/2015 according to geotechnical standards. Based on the obtained results, statistical processing was performed for the classified parameters (physical) and the mechanical parameters obtained with the triangle test, the direct test and the tensile test. By comparing the physicochemical parameters based on the drilling of 2015 and 2017, there is change of values of angle $\phi$ and cohesion $C$ in the lithological layers due to the presence of moisture. The calculations were carried out with Slide v6 and Geo5 Fine software. Two methods were used during calculation: Circular and Polynomial methods for partial angle of height $(h) = 30$ m and angle $(a) = 45^\circ$ with the safety factor $F_s = 1$ and for a general angle of height $(h) = 55$ m with angle $(a) = 13^\circ$ with current usable reserves of 6.8 million tons of coal having Safety factor $F_s \geq 1.27$.
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Introduction

The area where research for the removal of coal coverings has been conducted and the possibilities for coal exploitation consist of a surface area of 4 km². During the research of the area it is noticed that there is a part of the clay (heterogeneous) material folded in previous years, but this has been confirmed even during the mapping of drilling and geo-mechanical analyzes that shows problems in the slope demolition by endangering personnel and technological equipment. Due to the change of the parameters, statistical processing of the physical and mechanical parameters with the safety factor permissible according to the geotechnical standards was done to preserve the stability of the mine and at the same time the Shipitull village, where the importance and purpose of this work was the use of coal without risking the inhabitants of the village.

The slope study is mainly dependent on the processes of soil formation from the physical-mechanical parameters of the geological layers of that area, from the economic aspect they have a high cost but with a great importance for accurate information of the geo-mechanical parameters to take measures for the prevention of landslides that could destroy residential homes, such as our case in the Surface Mine in the Southwest of Sibovc.

Based on the factual situation in the field also based on the map of the situation in Figure 1 [1], two Geological-Geophysical profiles have been drawn to verify the actual state from the point of view of the Geotechnical security.

Since the mine is currently active where the coal exploitation for the supply of power plants Kosovo A and Kosova B are based on the profile according to the Figure 2 [1] general coal reserves for the current situation are about 15 million tons of coal, only 6.8 million tons of coal can be used based on the safety factor, as shown in Figure 3 [1] with the height $h=55m$ and the angle $a=13^\circ$ with the safety factor $F_s > 1.2$ without endangering the inhabitants of the village Shipitull. While the remaining reserves of 6.2 million tons of coal can be exploited only when the expropriation of the Shipitull village is done based on the low, then the mining activity in the village is started, creating technological conditions for operations, each time preserving the factor safety according to the geotechnical criteria according to the Table 1 [2].

Materials and Methods

Geology

The geological evaluation and interpretation is carried out within the existing license area according to the map of the situation as shown below in Figure 1.

The Kosovo Basin and the surrounding areas are built by the crystalline rock of Paleozoic and Mesozoic. The location where the basin is located consists of the layer of Upper Cretaceous, which is covered in an irregular manner with tertiary clay, in which the coal is attached. To simplify, the sediments on which coal relies it can be separated from the floor to the ceiling by a ranking such as green clay, coal formation, gray clay and yellow clay as shown in Figure 4.

Within the mining activity, the drilling network is 250 m × 250 m but in that area, during the technological operations in the field, we encountered clay layers and as a result of this phenomenon, the drilling network was carried out at a distance of 100 m × 100 m.

The western border of Sibovc requires special attention due to the complicated fault structure. The Sibovc Southwest area has an altitude of 630 meters, while the northern one in the direction of Shipitull village at
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Taking into account the possibility of water interconnection and the high proportion of water, the calorific value in landfills is estimated at 7,500 kJ/kg. Coal overburden thickness varies from 50 to 125 m with the lowest values near the northern boundary of the existing mines. Technological developments should be based on the geotechnical security of surface mining in southwest Sibovc, which should be treated as a basic process of data according to the development scheme (Figure 5).

Geomechanics

Depending on the conditions of general knowledge (geological, hydrological, physical-mechanical parameters and technological parameters) to be incorporated in the geo-mechanical model, are given also the geo-technical criteria for the safety factor (Fs).

| Types of slopes                  | Security factor (Fs) |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|
| Slopes of working rates          | ≥ 1.05-1.10          |
| Partial slopes                   | ≥ 1.20               |
| General working slopes           | ≥ 1.20               |
| Final general slopes             | ≥ 1.30               |
| Slopes near to the capital objects | ≥ 1.40           |

Table 1: Geotechnical criteria for the security factor Fs [1].

(front of work) has an altitude of 678 m and in the direction of Northeast up to 666 m. The slope of these hills has angles from 10° to 4° degrees with a general decline in the southeast direction to the southwest. The average thickness of the coal layer is 59.5 m (maximum 93.1 m).

The caloric value ranges from 5,850 to 10,300 kJ/kg, while the geological average is calculated at 8,830 kJ/kg (calculated with 45% moisture). Taking into account the possibility of water interconnection and the high proportion of water, the calorific value in landfills is estimated at 7,500 kJ/kg. Coal overburden thickness varies from 50 to 125 m with the lowest values near the northern boundary of the existing mines. Technological developments should be based on the geotechnical security of surface mining in southwest Sibovc, which should be treated as a basic process of data according to the development scheme (Figure 5).
operations without consequences, the criteria for the safety factor is given in Table 1.

**Geographical position**

The geographical location of the surface mine is located between the city of Obiliç and Pristina, which lies in the sixth kilometer of Pristina towards Obiliç, as shown in the Figures 6 and 7.

**Geo-mechanical analysis**

For the determination of the physical-mechanical parameters of the lithological layers in the Surface Mine, geological surveys have been carried out, in which case the following laboratory analyzes were undertaken as follows [3]:

- a) Natural humidity W [%]
- b) Weight volume γ [kN/m³]
- c) Specific weight Gs [kN/m³]
- d) Granulometric composition
- e) Atterberg’s boundaries in consistency
- f) Porosity (n) and porosity coefficient (e)
- g) Internal friction angle (φ) and cohesion (C)
  - Direct shear test
  - Triaxial test end
  - Ring shear test

**Processing of statistic classification parameters**: Processing of statistical classification parameters is done by calculating:

Average arithmetic value

\[
\bar{X} = \frac{\sum X_i}{n}
\]  

(1)

Where: n – number of samples

Xi - the characteristic value of a test

Standard deviation

\[
\sigma = \sqrt{\frac{\sum (X_i - \bar{X})^2}{n-1}}
\]  

(2)

Coefficient of variation

\[
V = \frac{\sigma}{\bar{X}} \times 100\%
\]  

(3)
Statistical processing of hardness parameters: Geo-mechanical parameters or hardness parameters of geological layers have a considerable importance for defining the height and the angle in the surface mine. In order to obtain more accurate data, the determination of angle $\phi$ and cohesion $C$ in the laboratory are done three methods [4-6] as in the Tables 4 and 5 [2].

a) Direct shear test  
b) Triaxial tests  
c) Ring shear test  

Gained results of physical parameters for each lithological layer of the slope; north and east are presented in Table 2 [3]. The obtained physical parameters for each lithological layer of the western slope are presented in Table 3 [3].

Discussion and Conclusion

Based on the results of the geochemical analysis performed in the year (2015-2017) at the Inkos Institute's geo-mechanics laboratory, the mechanical parameters are extracted by using the three methods for extracting the angle $\phi$ and cohesion $C$ as mentioned above: Trials Method, direct method and twisting methods. During the analysis we encountered changes in values therefore it was needed to make the statistical processing for all three tests by applying equations no. (1, 2, 3), for the real values, for the calculation of slopes, which are presented in Table 6.

On the basis of these results obtained from the statistical processing it is calculated the partial and general slopes in the surface mine according to the existing situation and the projected one. During the calculations, Slide v6 [9] and Geo5 [10] advanced software programs were used to verify the slope height and to find a possible solution for the exploitation of coal by not risking workers in the mine, technological equipment and at the same time not risking even the inhabitants of the village Shipitull. Two sliding mechanisms are used for calculations. The circular method present in clays and polygonal methods present in coal due to express tectonics. Based on the calculations, two software are required for calculations as shown below.

Figure 6: Map of the situation with the position of the coal deposits.

Figure 7: Mining activity in the direction of Shipitull village.
Lithological layers | Geophysics parameters | Statistical indicators
|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Yellow clay | Volumetric weight | $X_{\text{max}}$ | $X_{\text{average}}$ | $X_{\text{min}}$ | $\Sigma X_i$ | $\sigma$ | $V$ | $n$ |
| | | 19 | 17.3 | 15.5 | 1053.7 | 0.8 | 4.75 | 61 |
| | Specific weight | 26.6 | 25.99 | 24.8 | 1429.3 | 0.4 | 1.43 | 55 |
| | Natural moisture | 55.3 | 36.55 | 22.6 | 2229.5 | 6.1 | 16.6 | 61 |
| Grey clay | Volumetric weight | 21.7 | 17.67 | 15.2 | 284.44 | 0.7 | 3.88 | 161 |
| | Specific weight | 26.6 | 25.93 | 24.5 | 3604.8 | 0.4 | 1.65 | 139 |
| | Natural moisture | 43.3 | 33.56 | 15.8 | 5403 | 3.6 | 10.3 | 161 |
| Green clay | Volumetric weight | 20.8 | 19.27 | 17.8 | 462.59 | 0.9 | 4.73 | 24 |
| | Specific weight | 28.9 | 26.45 | 28.9 | 344.2 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 13 |
| | Natural moisture | 32.8 | 25.01 | 12.7 | 600.18 | 4.6 | 16.5 | 24 |

Table 2: The obtained results from statistical processing from physical parameters of the lithological layers of the slope; northern and eastern [3].

Lithological layers | Type of test | Statistical indicators for geo-mechanical parameters
|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Yellow clay | Direct shear test | $\phi_{\text{max}}$ | $\phi_{\text{min}}$ | $\phi_L$ (°) | $C_{\text{max}}$ | $C_{\text{min}}$ | CL | No. of tests |
| | | 19.26 | 7.22 | 12.5 | 22 | 0 | 6.28 | 46 |
| | Triaxial test | 23.45 | 6.47 | 16.3 | 30 | 2 | 14.3 | 27 |
| | Ring shear test | 16.35 | 4.44 | 9.72 | 12 | 0 | 5.41 | 23 |
| Grey clay | Direct shear test | 22.48 | 5.7 | 13.38 | 50 | 0 | 9.17 | 119 |
| | Triaxial test | 20 | 8.18 | 14.59 | 60 | 0 | 19.6 | 55 |
| Green Clay | Ring shear test | 17.13 | 4.58 | 10.58 | 18 | 0 | 5.95 | 25 |

Table 4: Statistical analysis of hardness parameter for the northern and eastern slope with three methods [3].

Lithological layers | Type of test | Statistical indicators for geo-mechanical parameters
|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Yellow clay | Direct shear test | $\phi_{\text{max}}$ | $\phi_{\text{min}}$ | $\phi_L$ (°) | $C_{\text{max}}$ | $C_{\text{min}}$ | CL | No. of tests |
| | | 17.6 | 12 | 15.67 | 6 | 0 | 3.67 | 3 |
| | Triaxial test | 22.1 | 17 | 19.86 | 23 | 7 | 14 | 4 |
| | Ring shear test | 15.1 | 9.2 | 13.28 | 7 | 0 | 4.64 | 11 |
| Grey clay | Direct shear test | 16.7 | 9.5 | 13.05 | 18 | 0 | 8 | 11 |
| | Triaxial test | 28.37 | 13.37 | 19.2 | 21 | 10 | 14.8 | 8 |
| | Ring shear test | 16.5 | 12 | 14.03 | 12 | 0 | 5.38 | 8 |
| Green Clay | Direct shear test | 20.1 | 7.6 | 13.36 | 23 | 0 | 6.46 | 49 |
| | Triaxial test | 28.56 | 10 | 19.41 | 33 | 8.34 | 18.5 | 34 |
| | Ring shear test | 21.1 | 8.18 | 16.45 | 18 | 1 | 7.53 | 40 |

Table 5: Statistical processing of the western slope hardness parameters for the three [3].

Lithological layers | Physic-mechanic parameters
|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Yellow clay | $\phi$ (°) | $\phi$ (°) | $C$ |
| | 12.6 | 7.6 | 17.4 |
| Grey clay | 13.3 | 11.5 | 17.67 |
| Coal layer | 30 | 25 | 11.4 |
| Green clay | 15.9 | 11.4 | 19.27 |

Table 6: Geo-mechanical parameters for calculation [3].

used as comparative methods where it is noted that the security factor is smaller than $F_s < 1$ the results are presented in Tables 7-9.

As for the detected coal reserves of 15 million tones are presented according to the profiles in Figures 2 and 3 that are divided according to available colors of 6.8 million tons of coal by preserving the safety factor $F_s > 1.2$ based on geotechnical calculations.
Table 7: Calculation of the partial slope with software Slide V6 [9].

| Methods               | Height h (m) | Angle α° | Security factor (Fs)- circular method |
|-----------------------|-------------|----------|--------------------------------------|
| Bishop-it             | 30 m        | 48°      | Fs=0.525                             |
| Janbu                 | 30 m        | 48°      | Fs=0.524                             |
| Spancer               | 30 m        | 48°      | Fs=0.521                             |
| Gorps of engineers#1  | 30 m        | 48°      | Fs=0.530                             |
| Gorps of engineers#2  | 30 m        | 48°      | Fs=0.532                             |
| Low-Karafiath         | 30 m        | 48°      | Fs=0.527                             |
| GLE/Morgenstern-Price | 30 m        | 48°      | Fs=0.520                             |

Table 8: Calculation of partial slope with the software Geo5 [10].

| Methods               | Height h (m) | Angle α° | Security factor (Fs)- polygonal methods |
|-----------------------|-------------|----------|----------------------------------------|
| Janbu                 | 30 m        | 48°      | Fs=0.54                               |
| Spencer               | 30 m        | 48°      | Fs=0.55                               |
| Sarma                 | 30 m        | 48°      | Fs=0.55                               |
| Morgenstem-Price      | 30 m        | 48°      | Fs=0.54                               |

Table 9: Calculation of partial slope in the front work Geo5 Fine [10].

| Methods               | Height h (m) | Angle α° | Security factor (Fs)- circular shape |
|-----------------------|-------------|----------|-------------------------------------|
| Bishop-it             | 10          | 30°      | Fs=1.073                             |
| Janbu                 | 10          | 30°      | Fs=1.046                             |
| Spancer               | 10          | 30°      | Fs=1.069                             |
| Gorps of Engineers#1  | 10          | 30°      | Fs=1.093                             |
| Gorps of Engineers#2  | 10          | 30°      | Fs=1.107                             |
| Low-Karafiath         | 10          | 30°      | Fs=1.083                             |
| GLE/Morgenstern-Price | 10          | 30°      | Fs=1.071                             |

Recommendations

The detected coal reserves according to the above-mentioned profiles in Figure 2, 15 million tons of coal at the moment cannot be used for the cause of the security factor. Until technological conditions are created on the advancements of the front work for the removal of the overburden cover and making the favorable condition to work towards the Shipitullë village.

Coal reserves of 15 million tons can only be used for 6.8 million tons of coal with the α=13° angle as shown in Figure 6, while remaining reserves of 6.2 million tons of coal can be used later on. For calculations, the geo-mechanical parameters are used to derive statistical processing according to heading no. 3.5. During the calculations two Slide V6 and Geo5 software were used as comparative methods by the authors for the safety factor Fs ≥ 1.3 according to Figures 11 and 12 and Tables 10 and 11.

After the displacement of the inhabitants of the village Shipitull is done, then technological conditions are created for utilizing the remaining reserves of 6.2 million tons of coal by advancing towards the north, maintaining the safety factor and the general angle in the wasteland α=10° and the general angle in coal α=18° (Figure 2). According to the design situation with the safety factor and according to the geotechnical criteria and standards set out in Table 1.
Figure 9: Calculation of the slope in the front work in the distance 150 m away from houses.

Figure 10: Calculation of partial slope in the front work Slide V6.

Figure 11: Calculation of the general slope in coal with height h=50 m angle α =13° with usable reserves 6.8 million tons.
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