INTRODUCTION

Sleep occupies about a third of our lives and is essential for health and well-being [1]. Sleep disturbance adversely affects physiological, psychological, and cognitive functions. Obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) is a common sleep related breathing disorder characterized by intermittent upper-airway collapse during sleep that affects about 10%–20% of middle- and older-aged adults [2-4]. OSA makes it difficult to fall or stay asleep, resulting in poor sleep quality and sleep fragmentation [5], possibly promoting cognitive decline and neurodegeneration [6-8]. In zebrafish, hypoxia, a hallmark of OSA, induced cognitive impairment [9,10]. However, the mechanism by which sleep disorders cause cognitive dysfunction is unclear.

The hexosamine biosynthesis pathway (HBP) is active in most eukaryotic cells, accounts for 2–5% of glucose metabolism in humans, and causes intracellular protein modifications [11,12]. O-linked N-acetylglucosamine (O-GlcNAc) is attached to intracellular proteins via UDP-N-acetylglucosamine (UDP-GlcNAc), the final metabolite of HBP, and this modification (i.e., O-GlcNAcylation) is involved in a variety of cellular processes, including cellular signaling, transcription, and protein stability [13]. O-GlcNAcylation has been implicated in the development of neurodegenerative diseases such as Alzheimer’s disease and Parkinson’s disease [14]. However, the role of O-GlcNAcylation in sleep disorders and cognitive dysfunction remains unclear.

This preliminary study aimed to investigate the relationships among objective sleep variables, cognitive function, and hexosamine biosynthesis pathway (HBP) activation levels in middle- and older-aged adults with and without obstructive sleep apnea (OSA). Methods: A twenty-four participants (16 males and 8 females; mean age, 58.63±7.61 years) were recruited. The comprehensive attention test (CAT), peripheral blood collection, actigraphy (AG) and nocturnal polysomnography (nPSG) tests were conducted to evaluate cognitive function and the activation of HBP and sleep variables, respectively. Results: Total sleep time (TST) on AG was correlated positively with number of errors and mean response time (RT) on CAT. Sleep efficiency (SE) on AG was correlated negatively with number of errors and positively with mean RT, whereas the number of awakenings (NOA) was inversely associated with these variables. TST and SE on nPSG, SE on AG were positively correlated with levels of hexosamine pathway metabolites, whereas wake after sleep onset and sleep latency (SL) on nPSG, SL and NOA on AG were negatively correlated therewith. There was a negative correlation between levels of hexosamine pathway metabolites and number of errors on CAT. Conclusion: Sleep variables, cognitive function (i.e., attention), and HBP activation level were significantly correlated in middle- and older-aged OSA participants.

Key Words: Obstructive sleep apnea; Cognition; Hexosamines
Relation of Hexosamine Pathway with Sleep and Cognition

76 / CIM

atation) increases protein stability and prevents protein aggregation [13]. Individuals with sleep disturbance and its risk factors (e.g., sleep deprivation, OSA, shift work) tend to show increased reactive oxygen species (ROS) levels [14-16]. ROS increase insulin resistance and decrease intracellular glucose levels, leading to reduction of HBP activation and O-GlcNAcylation [17]. There is also a close relationship between sleep disorders, including OSA, and increased insulin resistance [18,19]. Decreased HBP activation may play an important role in cognitive impairment with aging. For example, O-GlcNAcylation has effects on many diseases related to aging, including diabetes, cardiomyopathies, and cancer [20]. It has been hypothesized that reduced O-GlcNAcylation in the brain causes aggregation of tau protein and accumulation of β-amyloid, which are associated with Alzheimer’s disease [21]. In addition, patients with mild cognitive impairment showed low glucose metabolism in the brain [22], and glucose in the brain blood flow decreased with aging in normal controls [23]. Furthermore, HBP activation is likely involved in the associations of various sleep disorders with cognitive dysfunction. A zebrafish study found not only learning and memory impairments but also suppressed O-GlcNAcylation after sleep deprivation [24]. To summarize, sleep disturbance may affect insulin resistance and glucose metabolism, which could inhibit HBP and ultimately contribute to reduced brain function. Thus, it is possible that HBP activation levels mediate the relationship between sleep variables and cognitive function.

We compared the HBP activation of OSA and normal control (NC) groups and investigated the relationships among sleep parameters, HBP activation level, and cognitive function in OSA patients. To the best of our knowledge, few studies have investigated the relationships among these factors in people with/without OSA. Therefore, we recruited middle- and older-aged adults and analyzed sleep parameters using objective devices [i.e., nocturnal polysomnography (nPSG) and actigraphy (AG)], HBP activation data, and cognitive function as assessed by the comprehensive attention test (CAT). We aimed to identify the mechanism by which sleep disturbance causes cognitive decline and to provide evidence for the importance of treating sleep disorders.

METHODS

Participants

Sixteen males (mean age 59.69±8.56 years, range 42–74 years) and eight females (mean age 56.50±5.04 years, range 51–65 years) were recruited from advertisements or following an outpatient visit to Seoul National University Hospital. All participants were able to understand the explanation of the experiment and had a clinical dementia rating of 0. The exclusion criteria were: major mental illnesses other than dementia, such as schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, major depression, alcohol/substance abuse or dependence, or delirium; neurological or medical disease that affects mental state; illiteracy sufficient to interfere with test performance; impairment of vision or hearing sufficient to interfere with test performance; participant in a drug trial; and other sleep disorders. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Seoul National University Hospital (approval no. 1806-167-954), and all procedures were conducted after obtaining written informed consent from participants.

Materials

Participants’ demographic information including age, sex, usual sleep habits, medical history, and drug use were recorded, followed by completion of the CAT and peripheral blood collection. Participants wore an AG on their non-dominant wrist for approximately 1 week and subsequently underwent one-night of nPSG.

CAT

We conducted the CAT, a computer-based test standardized in Korea, to evaluate cognitive function [25]. Participants conducted two types of tests measuring different attention abilities: the inhibition-sustained attention test (ISAT) and the divided-attention test (DAT). Inhibition-sustained attention is the maintenance or suppression of a response to repeated stimuli or actions. To evaluate whether the inhibition of a specific stimulus was maintained, participants were instructed to respond by pressing the space bar whenever various stimuli (e.g., circles, triangles, squares) appeared on a display, except for one stimulus (i.e., X-shape). Divided attention is the processing of more than one stimulus or piece of information simultaneously. To evaluate their ability to deal with successive stimuli presented simultaneously, participants were instructed to respond by pressing the space bar whenever they judged that the same stimulus as in the previous trial had been presented, irrespective of the stimulus type (e.g., visual stimuli: circles, triangles, and squares; auditory stimuli: bell sound and beep).

All participants performed the test after sufficient practice to learn the rules. The test time was 10 minutes for ISAT and 3 minutes and 20 seconds for DAT. The following indicators were measured in each test: commission error (CE; the number of wrong responses to a non-target stimulus), omission error (OE; the number of misses without responding to the target stimulus), mean response time of correct responses (mean RT), and sensitivity coefficient (d’; an indicator of how well the target stimulus and the non-target stimulus are distinguished).

Quantitative analysis of metabolites by liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry

To evaluate HBP activation, approximately 10 mL of blood were collected from the peripheral veins of the participants by trained experts. For targeted profiling of hexosamine pathway metabolites, 50 µL of serum was mixed with 500 µL of chloroform/methanol (2:1, v/v) and 100 µL of water. The mixture was vortexed and incubated at 4°C for 10 minutes. After centrifugation, 200 µL of the supernatant was dried using N2 gas. The extracts were diluted with 50 µL of an acetonitrile/water mixture (20:80, v/v). To quantify the levels of metabolites, liquid chromatography-mass spect-
**(RESULTS)**

**Demographic and baseline clinical characteristics**

We divided participants into a severe OSA group (30≤AHI) and an age-matched NC group (AHI≤15) for the group comparison. Among all participants, six whose age did not match well or whose sleep apnea severity was not clearly classified were excluded from the group comparison. Nineteen participants diagnosed with OSA (5≤AHI) were included in the correlation and mediation analysis. The demographic characteristics of the participants are listed in Table 1.

**Comparison of variables between the OSA and NC groups**

Table 2 shows the differences in objective sleep variables, levels of hexosamine pathway metabolites, and CAT results between the OSA and NC groups. The results, except for AHI, average and minimum O2 saturation, and PLMI were not significant (p>0.05).

**Correlation analysis of sleep parameters with cognitive performance**

A Spearman’s correlation analysis between sleep variables and CAT results was performed in the OSA patients (Table 3). No significant correlation of nPSG variables was observed (p>0.05). Regarding AG variables, TST was positively correlated with OE (r=0.67, p<0.05) and the mean RT of ISAT (r=0.67, p<0.05). SE was negatively correlated with the CE of ISAT (r=-0.82, p<0.01) and positively correlated with the mean RT of ISAT and DAT (ISAT: r=0.92, p<0.01, DAT: r=0.82, p<0.01). NOA had a positive correlation with CE (r=0.92, p<0.01) and a negative correlation with the mean RT of ISAT (r=-0.80, p<0.01).

**Correlation analysis of sleep parameters with HBP pathway metabolites**

A Spearman’s correlation analysis between sleep variables and hexosamine pathway metabolites was performed in the OSA patients (Table 4). Regarding nPSG variables, TST had a positive cor-

---

**Statistical analysis**

Data were analyzed using SPSS (ver. 25, IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). The Mann–Whitney U-test was conducted to investigate differences in variables between the OSA and NC groups because the data failed to meet the normality assumption. Spearman’s rho correlation analysis was performed to analyze the relationships among the intermediate and final hexosamine pathway metabolites, sleep parameters, and cognitive function indicators in participants with symptoms of OSA. Mediation analysis was performed with the PROCESS macro [28]. Statistical significance was accepted at p<0.05.

**RESULTS**

**Demographic and baseline clinical characteristics**

We divided participants into a severe OSA group (30≤AHI) and an age-matched NC group (AHI≤15) for the group comparison. Among all participants, six whose age did not match well or whose sleep apnea severity was not clearly classified were excluded from the group comparison. Nineteen participants diagnosed with OSA (5≤AHI) were included in the correlation and mediation analysis. The demographic characteristics of the participants are listed in Table 1.

**Comparison of variables between the OSA and NC groups**

Table 2 shows the differences in objective sleep variables, levels of hexosamine pathway metabolites, and CAT results between the OSA and NC groups. The results, except for AHI, average and minimum O2 saturation, and PLMI were not significant (p>0.05).

**Correlation analysis of sleep parameters with cognitive performance**

A Spearman’s correlation analysis between sleep variables and CAT results was performed in the OSA patients (Table 3). No significant correlation of nPSG variables was observed (p>0.05). Regarding AG variables, TST was positively correlated with OE (r=0.67, p<0.05) and the mean RT of ISAT (r=0.67, p<0.05). SE was negatively correlated with the CE of ISAT (r=-0.82, p<0.01) and positively correlated with the mean RT of ISAT and DAT (ISAT: r=0.92, p<0.01, DAT: r=0.82, p<0.01). NOA had a positive correlation with CE (r=0.92, p<0.01) and a negative correlation with the mean RT of ISAT (r=-0.80, p<0.01).

**Correlation analysis of sleep parameters with HBP pathway metabolites**

A Spearman’s correlation analysis between sleep variables and hexosamine pathway metabolites was performed in the OSA patients (Table 4). Regarding nPSG variables, TST had a positive corr-
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Correlation analysis of HBP pathway metabolites with cognitive performance

A Spearman’s correlation analysis of hexosamine pathway metabolites and CAT results was performed in the OSA patients (Ta-
Mediating effect of HBP activation between sleep parameters and cognitive performance

A mediation analysis was performed to determine whether the levels of hexosamine pathway metabolites mediated the relationship between sleep variables and CAT results. We tested two models using significantly correlated variables for both all participants and the OSA symptom group. In the first model, SE measured by AG was used as the independent variable, CE of ISAT as a dependent variable, and GlcNac1P and GlcNac6P as mediator variables. In the second model, NOA measured by AG was used as the independent variable, CE of ISAT as a dependent variable, and GlcNac1P and GlcNac6P as mediator variables. No significant mediating effect was observed (p>0.05).

DISCUSSION

In the current study, we examined the associations between objective sleep variables, CAT results, and the levels of hexosamine pathway metabolites in blood, to investigate the relationships among sleep disturbances, cognitive function, and HBP activation in middle- and older-aged adults with/without OSA. Sleep apnea is linked to alterations in, and may suppress, glucose metabolism [29,30]. Regarding the underlying mechanism, Punjabi and Polotsky [31] conjectured that sleep fragmentation and hypoxemia during sleep alter the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal and somatotropic axis, thus affecting glucose tolerance. However, we observed no significant difference of hexosamine pathway metabolites between the OSA and NC groups. The reason for this might be the small sample size and/or ethical limitations of human studies. Whereas prior animal studies involved extreme hypoxic conditions [24,32], in this human study, OSA patients experiencing intermittent hypoxia were recruited. We classified participants into a severe OSA group and a relatively healthy NC group, but the experimental conditions might not have been sufficient to produce the expected difference.

Participants who slept longer missed more responses (i.e., OE) and took longer to provide the correct answer. Since there was no significant correlation with CE, it may just have been that they exceeded the given time to respond carefully. Those who slept with high efficiency responded better to target stimuli and took longer to provide the correct answer, and those who woke less frequently during sleep responded better to target stimuli. Given that mean RT and CE revealed opposite correlations, it can be supposed that individuals who have relatively low sleep quality might tend to respond more impulsively in cognitive judgments. This is supported by previous reports of significant associations between impulsivity and shorter TST and lower SE as measured by AG [33], and shorter sleep duration based on self-reported questionnaires [34]. However, because cognitive change usually occurs over a long period and this was not a longitudinal study, the relationship needs to be confirmed.

Participants who slept less, took more time to fall asleep, had less efficient sleep, and were awake for more time and more often during sleep had lower levels of HBP metabolites. Also, those with lower levels of HBP metabolites made fewer errors in cognitive judgments. This is supported by previous reports of significant associations between impulsivity and shorter TST and lower SE as measured by AG [33], and shorter sleep duration based on self-reported questionnaires [34]. However, because cognitive change usually occurs over a long period and this was not a longitudinal study, the relationship needs to be confirmed.

Table 2. Differences in variables between the OSA and NC groups (n=18)

|                      | U     | Z     | p   |
|----------------------|-------|-------|-----|
| Hexosamine pathway metabolites |       |       |     |
| F6P,G6P              | 39.00 | -0.13 | 0.89 |
| GlcNac1P,GlcNac6P    | 28.00 | -1.10 | 0.27 |
| UDP-GlcNAc           | 40.00 | -0.04 | 0.96 |
| Sleep parameters     |       |       |     |
| nPSG                 |       |       |     |
| TST                  | 21.50 | -1.68 | 0.09 |
| SE                   | 29.00 | -1.02 | 0.31 |
| SL                   | 39.00 | -0.13 | 0.89 |
| WASO                 | 35.00 | -0.49 | 0.63 |
| AHI                  | 0.00  | -3.58 | <0.001† |
| Average O2 saturation| 4.00  | -3.27 | 0.001† |
| Minimum O2 saturation| 9.00  | -2.79 | 0.005† |
| PLMI                 | 14.00 | -2.45 | 0.01* |
| Actigraphy           |       |       |     |
| TST                  | 12.00 | -1.29 | 0.20 |
| SE                   | 13.00 | -1.14 | 0.25 |
| SL                   | 19.00 | -0.29 | 0.78 |
| WASO                 | 17.00 | -0.57 | 0.57 |
| NOA                  | 11.00 | -1.43 | 0.15 |
| CAT                  |       |       |     |
| Inhibition-sustained attention test |       |       |     |
| CE                   | 17.00 | -0.08 | 0.93 |
| OE                   | 8.00  | -1.61 | 0.11 |
| RT                   | 10.00 | -1.22 | 0.22 |
| d'                   | 13.50 | -0.65 | 0.52 |
| Divided attention test|      |       |     |
| CE                   | 17.00 | -0.08 | 0.93 |
| OE                   | 13.50 | -0.65 | 0.52 |
| RT                   | 15.00 | -0.41 | 0.68 |
| d'                   | 12.50 | -0.81 | 0.42 |

*p<0.05, †p<0.01. OSA: obstructive sleep apnea, F6P: fructose 6-phosphate, G6P: glucose 6-phosphate, GlcNac1P: N-acetyl-D-glucosamine 1-phosphate, GlcNac6P: N-acetylglucosamine-6-phosphate, UDP-GlcNAc: uridine diphosphate N-acetylglicosamine, nPSG: nocturnal polysomnography, TST: total sleep time, SE: sleep efficiency, WASO: wake after sleep onset, SL: sleep latency, AHI: apnea hypopnea index, PLMI: periodic limb movement index, NOA: number of awakenings, CAT: comprehensive attention test, CE: commission errors, OE: omission errors, RT: mean response time of correct responses, d': sensitivity coefficient.
### Table 3. Spearman correlation between and sleep and cognitive variables with OSA patients (n=19)

| Variables | 1   | 2   | 3   | 4   | 5   | 6   | 7   | 8   | 9   | 10  | 11  | 12  | 13  | 14  | 15  | 16  | 17  | 18  | 19  | 20  |
|-----------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| nPSG      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| 1. TST    | 1   |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| 2. SL     | -0.15 | 1   |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| 3. SE     | 0.56* | -0.55* | 1   |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| 4. WASO   | -0.46* | 0.58† | -0.98† | 1   |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| 5. AHI    | -0.04 | -0.07 | -0.11 | 0.04 | 1   |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| 6. Avg O₂ | 0.10 | 0.17 | 0.07 | 0.00 | -0.87† | 1   |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| 7. Min O₂ | -0.01 | 0.22 | -0.07 | 0.10 | -0.76† | 0.74† | 1   |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Actigraphy|     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| 8. TST    | -0.17 | 0.02 | -0.42 | 0.44 | -0.38 | 0.17 | 0.04 | 1   |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| 9. SL     | -0.40 | -0.01 | -0.20 | 0.18 | -0.36 | 0.15 | -0.10 | 0.37 | 1   |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| 10. SE    | 0.22 | -0.14 | 0.05 | -0.04 | -0.01 | -0.04 | 0.06 | 0.35 | -0.56* | 1   |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| 11. WASO  | -0.18 | 0.40 | -0.13 | 0.17 | 0.04 | -0.09 | -0.06 | 0.05 | 0.49 | -0.68* | 1   |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| 12. NOA   | -0.09 | -0.04 | -0.02 | -0.03 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.00 | -0.36 | 0.36 | -0.84† | 0.47 | 1   |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| ISAT      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| 13. CE    | -0.11 | 0.12 | 0.12 | -0.14 | -0.09 | 0.32 | 0.22 | -0.46 | 0.27 | -0.82† | 0.26 | 0.92† | 1   |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| 14. OE    | 0.14 | 0.20 | -0.16 | 0.23 | -0.27 | 0.21 | 0.16 | 0.67* | 0.49 | 0.24 | -0.03 | -0.18 | 0.34 | 1   |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| 15. RT    | -0.07 | 0.07 | -0.20 | 0.22 | -0.13 | -0.08 | 0.18 | 0.67* | -0.20 | 0.92† | -0.22 | -0.80† | -0.78† | -0.08 | 1   |     |     |     |     |     |
| 16. d’    | 0.16 | -0.08 | -0.05 | 0.03 | 0.28 | -0.31 | -0.22 | -0.07 | -0.58 | 0.42 | -0.07 | -0.52 | -0.85† | -0.71† | 0.54 | 1   |     |     |     |     |
| DAT       |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| 17. CE    | -0.13 | -0.29 | 0.45 | -0.41 | -0.17 | 0.24 | -0.15 | -0.31 | 0.40 | -0.08 | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.19 | -0.10 | -0.18 | -0.16 | 1   |     |     |     |
| 18. OE    | 0.13 | 0.34 | -0.41 | 0.43 | 0.01 | 0.07 | 0.14 | 0.42 | -0.18 | -0.09 | 0.25 | 0.08 | 0.28 | 0.47 | -0.28 | -0.29 | -0.71† | 1   |     |     |
| 19. RT    | 0.53 | 0.23 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.34 | -0.34 | -0.14 | 0.47 | -0.35 | 0.82† | -0.12 | -0.60 | -0.46 | 0.23 | 0.48 | 0.35 | -0.25 | 0.13 | 1   |     |
| 20. d’    | -0.06 | -0.36 | 0.41 | -0.43 | 0.00 | -0.11 | -0.10 | 0.15 | 0.40 | -0.37 | -0.38 | -0.47 | -0.48 | 0.47 | 0.42 | 0.53 | -0.94† | 0.02 | 1   |     |

*p<0.05, †p<0.01. OSA: obstructive sleep apnea, nPSG: nocturnal polysomnography, ISAT: inhibition-sustained attention test, DAT: divided attention test, TST: total sleep time, SL: sleep latency, SE: sleep efficiency, WASO: wake after sleep onset, AHI: apnea hypopnea index, Avg O₂: average O₂ saturation, Min O₂: minimum O₂ saturation, NOA: number of awakenings, CE: commission errors, OE: omission errors, RT: mean response time of correct responses, d’: sensitivity coefficient
Based on the prior studies, we expected that levels of metabolites would have a mediating effect between sleep variables and cognitive performance. However, our testing of models in which each variable showed significant correlations indicated that the mediating effects were not significant. This might have been because the number of samples was not sufficient to perform a mediation analysis.

Limitations

This study had several limitations. First, the sample size was small. The study should be replicated with a larger number of participants to verify whether the small sample size affected significance. Second, the correlations and cross-sectional design cannot explain causality or directionality between variables. Third, we used only attention tests as a measure of cognitive function and conducted them only once. A further study needs to evaluate longer-term cognitive tests. Fourth, sleep may not have been accurately assessed. One-night nPSG is the most accurate test for measuring sleep parameters by EEG, but does not always represent those of usual sleep. AG is assumed to represent sleep over 7–10 days, although it is less accurate. We used both to obtain more accurate results. Fifth, sleep may not have been accurately assessed. One-night nPSG is the most accurate test for measuring sleep parameters by EEG, but does not always represent those of usual sleep. AG is assumed to represent sleep over 7–10 days, although it is less accurate. We used both to obtain more accurate results. Sixth, blood collected from peripheral veins reflects molecular changes in the brain. Previous studies used the brains of deceased patients or animals to analyze levels of hexosamine pathway metabolites. This methodological difference may have affected the results. Finally, the prevalence of OSA in this study was high since we recruited middle-aged and older adults to examine the associations between sleep, cognition, and HBP through in-hospital posters or outpatients. Future studies should be designed in consideration of the points.

Conclusion

This is the first study to directly investigate the associations among objective sleep variables, HBP activation, and cognitive function in both OSA patients and healthy controls. The OSA and NC groups showed no significant differences. Sleep parameters, cognitive performance, and HBP activation levels showed significant relationships. Participants with high sleep quality generally reported more slowly gave more correct answers in the cognitive test and had higher levels of hexosamine pathway metabolites. Those who had higher levels of metabolites made fewer errors in the cognitive test. There was no significant correlation between sleep and cognitive test performance. However, the testing of models in which each variable showed significant correlations indicated that the mediating effects were not significant. This might have been because the number of samples was not sufficient to perform a mediation analysis.

Table 4. Spearman correlation between hexosamine pathway metabolites and sleep parameters with OSA patients (n=19)

| Variables | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |
|-----------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| Hexosamine pathway metabolites |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 1. F6P, G6P | 1 |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 2. GlcNAc1P, GlcNAc6P | 0.40 | 1 |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 3. UDP-GlcNAc | 0.80† | 0.39 | 1 |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| nPSG |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 4. TST | 0.31 | 0.33 | 0.65‡ | 1 |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 5. SL | -0.70† | -0.64* | -0.50 | -0.15 | 1 |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 6. SE | 0.51 | 0.59* | 0.65‡ | 0.56* | -0.55* | 1 |   |   |   |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 7. WASO | -0.51 | -0.48 | -0.61* | -0.46* | 0.58† | -0.98† | 1 |   |   |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 8. AHI | -0.06 | -0.10 | -0.08 | -0.04 | -0.07 | -0.11 | 0.04 | 1 |   |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 9. Avg O2 | -0.01 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.17 | 0.07 | 0.00 | -0.87† | 1 |   |    |    |    |    |    |
| 10. Min O2 | -0.02 | 0.01 | 0.09 | -0.01 | 0.22 | -0.07 | 0.10 | -0.76† | 0.74‡ | 1 |   |    |    |    |    |
| Actigraphy |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 11. TST | -0.18 | 0.10 | -0.13 | -0.17 | 0.02 | -0.42 | 0.44 | -0.38 | 0.17 | 0.04 | 1 |   |    |    |    |
| 12. SL | 0.03 | -0.69* | -0.18 | -0.40 | -0.01 | -0.20 | 0.18 | -0.36 | 0.15 | -0.10 | 0.37 | 1 |   |    |    |
| 13. SE | 0.03 | 0.72* | 0.05 | 0.22 | -0.14 | 0.05 | -0.04 | -0.01 | -0.04 | 0.06 | 0.35 | -0.56* | 1 |   |    |
| 14. WASO | -0.45 | -0.59 | -0.32 | -0.18 | 0.40 | -0.13 | 0.17 | 0.04 | -0.09 | -0.06 | 0.05 | 0.49 | -0.68* | 1 |   |
| 15. NOA | -0.01 | -0.75* | -0.01 | -0.09 | -0.04 | -0.02 | -0.03 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.00 | -0.36 | 0.36 | -0.84† | 0.47 | 1 |

*p<0.05, †p<0.01. OSA: obstructive sleep apnea, nPSG: nocturnal polysomnography, F6P: fructose 6-phosphate, G6P: glucose 6-phosphate, GlcNAc1P: N-acetyl-D-glucosamine 1-phosphate, GlcNAc6P: N-acetylglucosamine-6-phosphate, UDP-GlcNAc: uridine diphosphate N-acetylglucosamine, TST: total sleep time, SL: sleep latency, SE: sleep efficiency, WASO: wake after sleep onset, AHI: apnea hypopnea index, Avg O2: average O2 saturation, Min O2: minimum O2 saturation, NOA: number of awakenings.
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