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Knockdown of IncRNA HOXD-AS2 Improves the Prognosis of Glioma Patients by Inhibiting the Proliferation and Migration of Glioma Cells
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Objective. Increasing studies reported that long noncoding RNAs are involved in regulating glioma progression. However, the specific roles and mechanisms of IncRNAs in glioma remain unclear. Here, we sought to explore the functions of HOXD-AS2 in glioma progression.

Methods. Gene expressions of IncRNAs in 5 normal brain tissue specimens and 5 glioblastoma tissue specimens were detected by gene expression profile chip technology. Bioinformatic analysis was performed to see whether differential expression of IncRNAs played any significant role in glioma occurrence and progression. The relationship between HOXD-AS2 level and clinical prognosis of the patients was analyzed. HOXD-AS2 was specifically interfered with by siRNA technology to observe its effects on U251 cell growth, proliferation, apoptosis, and invasion.

Results. The expression level of HOXD-AS2 gene in glioma was significantly higher than that in the normal brain tissue, which was related to the tumor grade. The level of HOXD-AS2 gene in patients with high-grade glioma was higher than that in patients with low-grade glioma. High expression of HOXD-AS2 gene was a risk factor for poor prognosis of glioma patients. Knocking down the expression of HOXD-AS2 in glioma cell line U251 arrested the cell cycle and reduced the cell proliferation. Furthermore, it could significantly reduce the migration ability of the cells but had no significant effect on the invasion.

Conclusion. HOXD-AS2 is an oncogenic IncRNA associated with the poor prognosis of glioma. Knockdown of HOXD-AS2 may reduce the growth of glioma, which may provide a new avenue for treatment.

1. Introduction

Glioblastoma (GBM) is the most malignant form of primary brain tumor, associated with an extremely poor prognosis [1]. The median survival time of patients with GBM is only 15 months [2]. Although comprehensive treatment based on surgical resection, local radiotherapy, and systematic chemotherapy has been widely used, the prognosis of patients with GBM remains unsatisfactory, and most patients experience relapse within a short time after operation. It is therefore essential to gain a better understanding of the mechanism of tumor occurrence at the genetic and molecular levels for the sake of improving the effectiveness of therapy for glioma.

Long noncoding RNAs (IncRNAs) are a class of RNA with a length of more than 200 bp and no protein-coding ability [3]. Unlike microRNA and other small noncoding RNAs, IncRNAs can regulate downstream target genes through cis- and trans-regulation at transcriptional and posttranscriptional levels [4]. Although many IncRNAs have proved to play a crucial role in human diseases, such as osteosarcoma [5] and bone mass [6], the functions of most IncRNAs in tumors remain unknown. With the development of high-throughput gene sequencing technology, the abnormal expression of some IncRNAs has been found to be closely related to the proliferation, invasion, recurrence, and prognosis of glioma. A well-known IncRNA molecule, HOTAIR (Hox antisense intergenic RNA), the antisense
strand of the *Hox* gene, has been widely studied in many tumors. It was initially found to be associated with poor prognosis in multiple malignant tumors, including glioma [7]. Some functional experiments have shown that interference with *HOTAIR* can arrest the cell cycle, slow cell growth, and weaken the invasive ability of glioma cells [8].

Similar to *HOTAIR*, *HOXD-AS2* is located on chromosome 2 and is the antisense chain of *homeobox D* gene. Initially, it was found that IncRNA *HOXD-AS2* could regulate the A172 cell cycle to promote glioma progression [9]. However, a recent study has shown that decreased expression of the long noncoding RNA *HOXD-AS2* promotes gastric cancer progression by targeting *HOXD8*, which activates the PI3K/Akt signaling pathway [10]. In our previous study with microarrays of glioma specimens, we found that *HOXD-AS2* was aberrantly expressed in glioma [11]. However, the involvement of *HOXD-AS2* in glioma progression remains unclear.

The purpose of this study was to investigate the relationship between the differential expression of the IncRNA *HOXD-AS2* gene and the pathological grade and malignant behavior of glioma in an attempt to seek new strategies for diagnostic markers and prognostic targets of glioma.

### 2. Materials and Methods

#### 2.1. Gene Chip Technology and Target Gene Screening

Five tumor tissue samples were selected from patients with primary GBM, and five normal brain tissue samples were selected from patients who underwent internal decompression and resection after brain trauma with no tumor or other pathological changes. All the patients received treatment in the Department of Neurosurgery at Tongji Hospital (Shanghai, China) from January 2018 to December 2019. The pathological grades and types of the tumors were confirmed by two pathologists, and the study procedures were approved by the Ethics Committee of Tongji Hospital.

Total RNA was extracted from the GBM and normal brain tissues, and differentially expressed IncRNAs in the tumor tissue were screened out using IncRNA high-throughput hybridization on the chip. Sequence alignment analysis was performed using the Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST). When these findings were combined with the results of bioinformatic analysis, *HOXD-AS2* was determined to be the target gene of this study, because it is highly expressed in tumor tissues. Five genes (*HOXD-AS2, HOXA11-AS, HOXA2, MMP7, and MMP9*) were randomly selected from the microarray data, and their expression in the five GBM tissue samples vs. five normal brain tissue samples was detected by real-time quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR). The primer sequences are shown in Table 1. The logarithm of the ratio of gene expression in tumor and normal brain tissues was calculated and compared with the microarray results to verify the accuracy of the microarray data.

#### 2.2. Expanded Sample Size Verification and Analysis of Survival Prognosis

According to the World Health Organization classification standard, 102 glioma tissue specimens were taken from patients with grade I glioma (*n* = 8), grade II glioma (*n* = 37), grade III glioma (*n* = 24), and grade IV glioma (*n* = 33), and five normal brain tissue specimens were taken from parts of the brain tissues during internal decompression and resection after traumatic injuries.

Fifteen of the 102 glioma patients were lost to follow-up, and the remaining 87 patients had complete clinical data and prognostic information. Among them, 48 were male and 39 were female, including 52 patients younger than 55 years and 35 patients ≥55 years. The tumor size was <5 cm in 30 cases and ≥5 cm in 57 cases. According to the degree of tumor resection during operation, total resection was performed in 52 cases, subtotal resection in 29 cases, and partial resection in 6 cases. The survival time started from the second day after operation to the day of clinical death (or the last follow-up day) of the patient. The follow-up period was 3–47 months. The clinical data were obtained from the patient’s medical records according to the patient’s hospitalization number. The patients were followed up via telephone interviews.

#### 2.3. Establishment of the GBM Cell Model with Interfering Expression of IncRNA

Using the siRNA technique, the expression of *HOXD-AS2* was knocked down to observe the effect on the function of glioma cell line U251 (Cell Bank of the Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai, China) in an experimental group and the control group. The control group was divided into a blank control group (mock, M) and a negative control group (NC). In the experimental group, three siRNAs were designed and synthesized by Shanghai GenePharma: *HOXD-AS2-homo-136, HOXD-AS2-homo-584, and HOXD-AS2-homo-633* (Table 2). Invitrogen Lipofectamine 3000 was used as a vector to transfet glioma cell line U251.

The siRNA was diluted with Opti-MEM, and 125 μl Opti-MEM and 5 μl siRNA solution were added to each tube, gently blown with a 200 μl gun head, and fully mixed to prepare an siRNA premix. The siRNA premix was added to the diluted Lipo 3000, mixed evenly, and allowed to rest for 5 min. The cells in the 6 cm dish were replaced with serum-free medium, and the prepared siRNA-Lipo 3000 complex was aspirated and transferred to the medium. The interference efficiency was measured.

#### 2.4. RT-qPCR

RNA was extracted from the pathological tissue samples or cells frozen in liquid nitrogen. The primers were designed across the intron region using Primer Premier 5.0 software and supplemented by the following manual analysis: length of the primer: 18–25 bp; G + C content: 40%–60%; secondary structure: avoiding primer dimers and hairpin structures; and 3′ ends: strictly paired and 5′ ends modified. *GAPDH* was selected as the internal reference gene.

#### 2.5. Detection of Cell Proliferation Ability

Cell proliferation ability was detected using a Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8): 100 μl cell suspension was inoculated into a 96-well plate in the NC group, SiHOXD-AS2-1 experimental group, and SHOXD-AS2-2 experimental group, with five wells used for
each group. Subsequently, 10 μl CCK-8 solution was added to each well containing the seeded cells, making sure that the reagent was added obliquely against the wall of the culture plate to reduce bubble generation. CCK-8 solution was added at 12, 24, 36, and 48 h, and the OD at 450 nm was recorded.

2.6. Cell Proliferation Assay. The transfected cells in the six-well plate were cultured for 24 h, washed with PBS, digested with trypsin, and resuspended with 1 ml fresh medium. Cells were labeled with the 5-ethynyl-2-deoxyuridine (EdU) and then fixed and stained.

2.7. Cell Cycle Assay. Cells were added to 1 ml 70% ethanol precooled in an ice bath, fixed overnight, and washed with ice-cooled PBS. For the preparation of the propidium iodide (PI) staining solution, each sample contained 0.5 ml staining buffer solution, 25 μl propidium iodide staining solution (20 ×), and 10 μl RNase A (50 ×). The samples were blown gently and mixed well. After adding 500 μl PI for staining, the EP (Eppendorf) tube was sealed with sealing glue and kept in a water bath for 30 min at 37°C, followed by a 4°C refrigerator. Flow cytometry was performed at a slow rate of 100–300 cells per second.

2.8. Apoptosis Assay. One microliter of apoptosis-inducing reagent A (Beyotime Biotechnology) was added to each of the three wells of the six-well plate, and the cells were cultured overnight. The cells were resuspended sufficiently with 300 μl of 1 × binding buffer. After the addition of 5 μl Annexin V-FITC, the cells were incubated for 15 min. Subsequently, 10 μl PI was added to each tube, and the cell suspension was incubated for 5 min and measured using a flow cytometer.

2.9. Cell Migration Assay. Briefly, a 2.5 μl white gun head was sterilized, placed in the center of a six-well plate, and a scratch was made perpendicular to the plane. After replacement with serum-free medium, the plate was observed and photographed with a microscope, which was recorded as 0 h. Photography was repeated at 12 h of intervals to observe cell crawling on both sides of the scratch and the morphology of the cells. The serum-free medium was replaced every 2–3 days.

2.10. Cell Invasion Assay. For this assay, 4 × 10^4 cells were placed in a chamber. After 24–36 h, the chamber was gently rinsed with preheated PBS solution, and the upper surface cells were scraped off. An additional three wells were supplemented with 1 ml of 4% paraformaldehyde, put into a small chamber, and fixed for 30 min. The chamber was taken out, rinsed with PBS, and air-dried. An additional three wells were supplemented with 1 ml crystal violet staining solution, put into a small chamber, and soaked for 30 min. The chamber was flushed with distilled water. After gently wiping off the water droplets and drying the chamber, the

| Gene name | Primer | Annealing temperature (°C) | Product length (bp) |
|-----------|--------|---------------------------|--------------------|
| GAPDH     | F: CGGATTTTGCTGATTGATGTTG<br>R: CTGGAGATGCTGATGGAATT | 60                | 280                |
| HOXD-AS2  | F: CCTCAGAAGGCCCAGAAGAC<br>R: GCCCTCATTTCCCAGATTTGA | 60                | 123                |
| HOXA11-AS | F: CGGCTAACAAGGAGATTGG<br>R: CTGAAGGCTCAGGGATGTTA | 60                | 257                |
| HOXA2     | F: TGGGAGCCTGTCCTCCTGGA<br>R: TTGCCTTCACATAATTTGCGGGA | 60                | 181                |
| CCNB2     | F: GATGAAACTGCGAGAGGTCTG<br>R: TTTCAAACACCACACCTTTCA | 60                | 157                |
| SOX20T    | F: GGCTGGGGCCTTGGCTTATC<br>R: AAGAAGGAAAGGCACACTTGGG | 60                | 210                |
| MMP7      | F: GCCACCTGTAGGAATTTGAAGAC<br>R: ATTCATCGAGGTGATTAGC | 60                | 98                 |

**Table 1: Reaction primers for RT-qPCR.**

**Table 2: Three interference sequences and NC sequence.**
chamber was observed and photographed under a microscope.

2.11. Statistical Analysis. The results are expressed as the mean ± standard deviation (x ± s). All values were analyzed using SPSS 21.0 statistical software (IBM SPSS, USA), with \( P < 0.05 \) taken as statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1. Verification of Microarray Data by RT-qPCR. Five genes (HOXD-AS2, HOXA11-AS, HOXA2, MMP7, and MMP9) were randomly selected from the microarray data, and their levels of expression in the samples were detected by RT-qPCR. The log \( T/N \) value, which is the logarithm of the ratio of gene expression levels in tumor tissues and normal brain tissues, was calculated, and the result showed good consistency with the microarray result (Figure 1).

3.2. HOXD-AS2 Is Highly Expressed in Glioma Tissue and Is Correlated with Poor Prognosis. As shown in Figure 2(a), the relative expression level of HOXD-AS2/GAPDH in tumor tissues of different grades was significantly higher than that in the normal brain tissue (\( P < 0.05 \)). The relationship between the level of expression of the HOXD-AS2 gene and the clinicopathological features of patients with glioma was analyzed. As shown in Table 3, it was found that the level of expression of the HOXD-AS2 gene was only related to the pathological grade of the tumor (\( P = 0.003 \)).

According to the expression of the HOXD-AS2 gene, 102 patients (the low-grade group included 45 patients with grade I–II glioma, and the high-grade group included 57 patients with grade III–IV glioma) were divided into a high-expression group and low-expression group. The Kaplan–Meier analysis and log-rank tests were performed to investigate the association between HOXD-AS2 expression and the prognosis of the patients with glioma. It was found that the median survival time of the HOXD-AS2 high-expression group was significantly shorter than that of the HOXD-AS2 low-expression group (16 vs. 42 months, \( P = 0.007 \), Figure 2(b)). Stratified analysis indicated that high expression of HOXD-AS2 was significantly correlated with worse median survival time in patients with low-grade glioma (Figure 2(c)) but not in patients with high-grade glioma (Figure 2(d)), probably because of the small sample size of this subgroup. Univariate analysis identified three prognostic factors: pathology grade (I–II or III–IV), extent of resection, and HOXD-AS2 expression. Multivariate regression analysis of the prognosis factors confirmed that increased HOXD-AS2 expression was an indicator of poor survival in glioma patients, in addition to pathology grade (\( P < 0.001 \)) and extent of resection (\( P = 0.026 \), Table 4).

3.3. Silencing of HOXD-AS2 Expression in Glioma Cells. We subsequently measured the expression of HOXD-AS2 in three glioma cell lines by real-time PCR. The expression level of HOXD-AS2 was higher in U251 cells than in A172 and U87 cells, so we selected U251 cell lines for subsequent experimental studies (Figure 3(a)). To investigate the effect of HOXD-AS2 knockdown on cell biological behavior, we used siRNA to inhibit its expression in glioma cells. Using the NC as the reference, SiHOXD-AS2-1 and SiHOXD-AS2-2 had greater interference efficacy, and therefore, SiHOXD-AS2-1 and SiHOXD-AS2-2 were selected as interference fragments in the subsequent cell function experiment (Figure 3(b)).

3.4. HOXD-AS2 Knockdown Inhibits U251 Cell Growth. The cell proliferation rate in the two interference groups was lower than that in the NC group, indicating that HOXD-AS2 gene knockdown affected cell proliferation ability (Figure 3(c)). We verified this result in the EdU incorporation assay. Interference fragments SiHOXD-AS2-1 and SiHOXD-AS2-2 were transfected into the U251 cell line. The number of cells in the NC group (U251 + Lipo 3000 + NC sequence) was used as the reference, and the nucleus was stained with EdU reagent. It was found that after 48 h of interference, the number of cells in the proliferative phase of the interference group was decreased; that is, the proportion of EdU-positive cells (red-stained nuclei in the figure) to the total number of cells (red-stained + blue-stained nuclei) was decreased (Figure 3(d)). In other words, the number of cells in the proliferative phase was decreased and the proliferative ability of cells was also decreased (SiHOXD-AS2-1 group vs. NC group, \( P < 0.001 \), SiHOXD-AS2-2 group vs. NC group, \( P < 0.05 \)) in the interference group (Figure 3(e)).

We next analyzed the effect of HOXD-AS2 knockdown on cell cycle distribution by PI staining and flow cytometry. It was found that after 48 h of interference, the number of cells in the proliferation phase (S phase + G2 phase) in the interference group was decreased (Figure 3(f)), indicating that the cell proliferation ability was weakened (S phase + G2 phase: SiHOXD-AS2-1 group vs. NC group, \( P < 0.001 \); SiHOXD-AS2-2 group vs. NC group, \( P < 0.001 \), Figure 3(g)).
Figure 2: Increased HOXD-AS2 expression confers poor prognosis in patients with glioma. (a) The relative expression values of HOXD-AS2/GAPDH in normal brain tissue and grade I, II, III, and IV gliomas were $0.0073 \pm 0.0046$, $0.0519 \pm 0.0514$, $1.3477 \pm 2.2276$, $1.9717 \pm 2.9306$, and $1.8884 \pm 3.1063$, respectively, showing significant differences between the normal brain tissue and tumor tissues of different grades ($P < 0.05$). (b) The Kaplan–Meier overall survival curves according to HOXD-AS2 expression level. Glioma patients with high HOXD-AS2 expression had significantly shorter median survival than patients with low HOXD-AS2 expression ($P < 0.001$). (c, d) Low HOXD-AS2 expression was significantly associated with longer median survival in patients with low-grade glioma ($P < 0.05$) but not in patients with high-grade glioma. NB, normal brain tissue; I–IV, I–IV glioma. *$P < 0.05$.

| Table 3: Correlations between the expression of HOXD-AS2 and clinicopathologic parameters. |
|---|
| Parameter | Patients (n) | Expression of HOXD-AS2 (n) | $P$ value |
|---|---|---|---|
| Total | 87 | Low (n, %) | 29 (33.3%) | 29 (33.3%) | 29 (33.3%) |
| | | Middle (n, %) | 29 (33.3%) |
| | | High (n, %) | 29 (33.3%) |
| Age | 52 | <55 | 22 (42.3%) | 13 (25.0%) | 17 (32.7%) |
| | | ≥55 | 7 (20.0%) | 16 (45.7%) | 12 (34.3%) |
| Gender | 48 | Male | 15 (31.3%) | 16 (33.3%) | 17 (35.4%) |
| | | Female | 39 | 14 (35.9%) | 13 (33.3%) | 12 (30.8%) |
| Tumor size | 30 | <5 cm | 14 (46.7%) | 9 (30.0%) | 7 (23.3%) |
| | | ≥5 cm | 57 | 15 (26.3%) | 20 (35.1%) | 22 (38.6%) |
| Resection | 52 | Total | 22 (42.3%) | 17 (32.7%) | 13 (25.0%) |
| | | Subtotal | 29 | 5 (17.2%) | 11 (37.9%) | 13 (44.8%) |
| | | Partial | 6 | 2 (33.3%) | 1 (16.7%) | 3 (50.0%) |
| Pathology | 40 | Low grade | 20 (50.0%) | 7 (17.5%) | 13 (32.5%) |
| | | High grade | 47 | 9 (19.1%) | 22 (46.8%) | 16 (34.0%) |
Table 4: Analysis of different prognostic factors in patients with glioma.

| Variables              | Univariate HR (95% CI) | P value | Multivariate HR (95% CI) | P value |
|------------------------|------------------------|---------|--------------------------|---------|
| Age                    | 2.53 (1.33–4.80)       | 0.004   | 1.65 (0.82–3.33)         | 0.165   |
| Gender                 | 1.38 (0.75–2.53)       | 0.304   | 1.67 (0.87–3.19)         | 0.123   |
| Pathology grade        | 4.61 (2.27–9.37)       | <0.001  | 4.27 (1.89–9.65)         | <0.001  |
| Tumor size             | 1.37 (0.71–2.67)       | 0.351   | 1.22 (0.62–2.41)         | 0.563   |
| Extent of resection    | 1.55 (1.01–2.37)       | 0.043   | 1.82 (1.07–3.09)         | 0.026   |
| HOXD-AS2 expression    | 2.33 (1.22–4.43)       | 0.01    | 1.31 (0.66–2.61)         | 0.448   |

![Graphs and images](image_url)
3.5. HOXD-AS2 Knockdown Shows No Significant Effects on U251 Cell Apoptosis. The percentage of dead cells, late apoptotic cells, surviving cells, and early apoptotic cells in the three cell groups was observed by flow cytometry to determine the effect of the HOXD-AS2 gene on cell apoptosis (Figure 4(a)). The results showed that there was no significant change in the SiHOXD-AS2-1 group and the SiHOXD-AS2-2 group compared with the NC group ($P > 0.05$), indicating that HOXD-AS2 had no significant effect on apoptosis (Figure 4(b)).

3.6. Downregulation of HOXD-AS2 Inhibits Glioma Cell Migration but Shows No Significant Effect on U251 Cell Invasion. To investigate further the function of HOXD-AS2, wound-healing assays were performed to measure the effect of HOXD-AS2 knockdown on cell migration. The results showed that the migration ability of U251 cells was decreased significantly after interference HOXD-AS2 ($P < 0.001$, Figures 5(a) and 5(b)). We also investigated whether HOXD-AS2 affected the invasiveness of glioma cells. As shown in Figure 5, the cells in all three groups could pass through the

![Figure 3: Levels of expression of HOXD-AS2 in U251 cell lines after siRNA transfection. Knockdown of HOXD-AS2 inhibits U251 cell growth.](image)
matrix glue better (Figure 5(c)); that is, after interference
with HOXD-AS2, the invasive ability of cells showed no
significant change ($P > 0.05$, Figure 5(d)).

4. Discussion

Glioma is the most common primary tumor in the central
nervous system, accounting for 80% of all malignant brain
tumors [12]. At present, there is no very effective treatment
for glioma, especially GBM. The average life expectancy of
patients with GBM is only 4.5 months after diagnosis, al-
though it can be extended to 15 months after surgery with
temozolomide (TMZ) chemotherapy [13]. In addition, a
large number of tumors may develop TMZ resistance, which
seriously affects the clinical outcome of patients with GBM
[14]. It is therefore essential to develop new and effective
strategies for early diagnosis and treatment of glioma.

The HOX gene has been shown to be differentially
expressed in many primary solid tumors, including breast
cancer [15], lung cancer [16], cervical cancer [17], bladder
cancer [18], melanoma [19], renal cell carcinoma [20], colon
cancer [21], and prostate cancer [22]. Some HOX genes are
also involved in translocations in cells in the hematopoietic
system in malignant tumors [23]. It is reported that ab-
normal expression of HOXB3, HOXB4, and HOXC6 is re-
lated to the formation of medulloblastoma and primitive
neuroectodermal tumors in children [24, 25]. In addition,
the HOXD gene is overexpressed in astrocytoma [26] and
low-grade glioma in children [27]. Other studies suggest that
HOXD9 may be a molecular marker for glioma tumor stem
cells, affecting the proliferation ability of glioma cells and the
prognosis of patients with glioma [28]. Duan et al. [29]
reported that the expression of HOXA13 was related to the
grade and prognosis of glioma. Bioinformatic analysis shows
that most HOXA13-related genes are involved in cancer-
related signal transduction pathways, especially in tran-
scription regulation [30]. Cell proliferation, invasion, and
apoptosis were decreased after overexpressing HOXA13 in
eight glioma cell lines, and knocking down HOXA13 ex-
pression inhibited the growth of in situ tumors [29]. Further
study demonstrated that HOXA13 partly promoted glioma
progression through epithelial-mesenchymal transition

Figure 4:Detection of apoptosis by flow cytometry. (a) The percentage of dead cells (UL), late apoptotic cells (UR), surviving cells (LL), and early apoptotic cells (LR) in the three cell groups was observed by flow cytometry. (b) The proportion of phase 4 cells in the three cell groups did not change significantly. Data were based on at least three independent experiments and shown as mean ± SD. * $P > 0.05$. 
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induced by the Wnt and TGF-β pathways; this is known as a potential biomarker of GBM and an independent risk factor for prognosis in patients with advanced glioma [29].

The experiments in the above literature suggest that the HOX gene may be closely related to the occurrence and progression of glioma. In addition, IncRNA HOTAIR has been identified as an important marker of tumor grading and prognosis of glioma and is related to molecular subtypes in glioma [8]. Further study has found that HOTAIR interacted with and recruited PRC2 to the HOXD site on chromosome 2, silencing transcription across the 40 kb region of HOXD8, HOXD9, HOXD10, and HOXD11 on chromosome 2 [31, 32].

Based on the high expression of the HOXD-AS2 gene in the chip data for five GBM tissue specimens vs. five normal brain tissue specimens, we aimed to explore the expression of HOXD-AS2 (HOXD cluster antisense RNA 2) in glioma and its relationship with the occurrence and progression of the malignancy. The expression of HOXD-AS2 in normal brain tissue and in glioma tissues of different grades was detected by RT-qPCR. It was found that the expression of the HOXD-AS2 gene was increased in glioma, and this tendency was positively correlated with the pathological grade of glioma. Survival analyses of patients with glioma in our experiment showed that expression of the HOXD-AS2 gene was related to the prognosis of patients with glioma, especially those with low-grade glioma. The higher the expression of the HOXD-AS2 gene, the worse the prognosis of the patients appeared to be.

To learn more about how HOXD-AS2 genes play their role at the cytological level, we detected the expression of HOXD-AS2 in U251, U87, and A172 cell lines and found that the expression of HOXD-AS2 in U251 was the highest.
Therefore, U251 cells were selected as the experimental cell line, and the expression of the HOXD-AS2 gene in U251 cells was specifically knocked down by siRNA technology to observe its effect on U251 cell proliferation, invasion, apoptosis, and the cell cycle.

Using RNA interference technology, siRNA was used to knock down the expression of the HOXD-AS2 gene specifically in glioma cell line U251, and we found that the proliferation and migration abilities of U251 cells were weakened, and the cell cycle was arrested. This result is consistent with the relationship between the level of expression of the HOXD-AS2 gene and tumor grade and prognosis, demonstrating that glioma-related genes affected the prognosis of patients by affecting the biological characteristics of glioma cells. However, the specific molecular mechanism underlying this regulatory effect has not been fully studied in this study, and the particular mechanism by which HOXD-AS2 is upregulated in glioma is not clear. More studies are needed to verify the role of HOXD-AS2 as a reliable clinical predictor of the outcome for glioma patients in the future. Besides, we only did the interference experiment on the target gene, and the overexpression experiment was not carried out in this study, and it needs to be verified in other cell lines. In brief, it needs to be elucidated by further well-designed studies in the future.

5. Conclusion

HOXD-AS2 is an oncogenic lncRNA associated with the poor prognosis of glioma. Knocking down the expression of HOXD-AS2 can reduce the growth of glioma, which may provide a new strategy for the treatment of glioma. Our findings provide ideas for early diagnosis and treatment of glioma and possible strategies for improving the prognosis of glioma patients.
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