The Regulation of Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor-induced Microvascular Permeability Requires Rac and Reactive Oxygen Species*
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Vascular permeability is a complex process involving the coordinated regulation of multiple signaling pathways in the endothelial cell. It has long been documented that vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) greatly enhances microvascular permeability; however, the molecular mechanisms controlling VEGF-induced permeability remain unknown. Treatment of microvascular endothelial cells with VEGF led to an increase in reactive oxygen species (ROS) production. ROS are required for VEGF-induced permeability as treatment with the free radical scavenger, N-acetylcysteine, inhibited this effect. Additionally, treatment with VEGF caused ROS-dependent tyrosine phosphorylation of both vascular-endothelial (VE)-cadherin and β-catenin. Rac1 was required for the VEGF-induced increase in permeability and adherens junction protein phosphorylation. Knockdown of Rac1 inhibited VEGF-induced ROS production consistent with Rac lying upstream of ROS in this pathway. Collectively, these data suggest that VEGF leads to a Rac-mediated generation of ROS, which, in turn, elevates the tyrosine phosphorylation of VE-cadherin and β-catenin, ultimately regulating adherens junction integrity.

Endothelial cells line the inside of blood vessels and serve as a barrier between circulating blood and the surrounding tissues. Endothelial permeability is mediated by two pathways: the transcellular pathway and the paracellular pathway. In the transcellular pathway material passes through the cells, whereas in the paracellular pathway fluid and macromolecules pass between the cells. The paracellular pathway is regulated by the properties of endothelial cell-cell junctions (1–3). Changes in the permeability of this barrier are tightly regulated under normal physiological conditions. However, dysregulated vascular permeability is observed in many life-threatening conditions, including heart disease, cancer, stroke, and diabetes.

VEGF was first discovered as a potent vascular permeability factor that stimulated a rapid and reversible increase in microvascular permeability without damaging the endothelial cell (4, 5). VEGF was later shown to be a selective growth factor for endothelial cells, capable of promoting migration, growth, and survival (6). Considerable progress has been made toward understanding the signaling events by which VEGF promotes growth and survival (7). However, the mechanism through which VEGF promotes microvascular permeability remains incompletely understood.

VE-cadherin is an endothelial cell-specific adhesion molecule that connects adjacent endothelial cells (8, 9). While the barrier function of the endothelium is supported by multiple cell-cell adhesion systems, disruption of VE-cadherin is sufficient to disrupt intercellular junctions (9–11). Earlier studies have demonstrated increased permeability both in vitro and in vivo after treatment with VE-cadherin-blocking antibodies (9, 12). Additionally, VE-cadherin is required to prevent disassembly of blood vessel walls (11, 13) and to coordinate the passage of macromolecules through the endothelium (14, 15). Tyrosine phosphorylation may provide the regulatory link, as increased phosphorylation of cadherins and potential dissociation of the cadherin/catenin complex results in decreased cell-cell adhesion and increased permeability (16, 17).

Recent evidence has demonstrated that Rac1-induced reactive oxygen species (ROS) disrupt VE-cadherin based cell-cell adhesion (18). The mechanisms by which ROS affect endothelial permeability have not been fully characterized. VEGF has been reported to induce NADPH oxidase activity and induce the formation of ROS (19, 20). A direct link between Rac and ROS in a non-phagocytic cell was shown in 1996, when it was demonstrated that activated Rac1 resulted in the increased generation of ROS in fibroblasts (21). Several studies have subsequently implicated Rac-mediated production of ROS in a variety of cellular responses, in particular in endothelial cells (22, 23). These data suggest that ROS may play a critical role in integrating signals from VEGF and Rac to regulate the phosphorylation of VE-cadherin and ultimately the integrity of the endothelial barrier.

In the present study we sought to determine the mechanism by which VEGF regulates microvascular permeability. Our results show that VEGF treatment of human microvascular endothelial cells results in the Rac-dependent production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) (19, 20). ROS are required for VEGF-induced permeability as treatment with the free radical scavenger, N-acetylcysteine, inhibited this effect. Additionally, treatment with VEGF caused ROS-dependent tyrosine phosphorylation of both vascular-endothelial (VE)-cadherin and β-catenin. Rac1 was required for the VEGF-induced increase in permeability and adherens junction protein phosphorylation. Knockdown of Rac1 inhibited VEGF-induced ROS production consistent with Rac lying upstream of ROS in this pathway. Collectively, these data suggest that VEGF leads to a Rac-mediated generation of ROS, which, in turn, elevates the tyrosine phosphorylation of VE-cadherin and β-catenin, ultimately regulating adherens junction integrity.
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tion of ROS and the subsequent tyrosine phosphorylation of VE-cadherin and β-catenin. The phosphorylation of VE-cadherin and β-catenin are dependent on Rac and ROS and result in decreased junctional integrity and enhanced vascular permeability.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Reagents and Antibodies—Unless otherwise stated all chemicals were obtained from Sigma. DCN was obtained from Molecular Probes (Eugene, OR). Recombinant human VEGF165 was purchased from R&D Systems (Minneapolis, MN). DPI was purchased from Calbiochem. The total VE-cadherin antibody and the p120 catenin antibody were obtained from Santa Cruz Biotechnology, and the phosphospecific VE-cadherin antibodies were from BIOSOURCE (Camarillo, CA). The antibody against Rac1 was from BD Biosciences. The β-catenin PY654 antibody was from AbCam. Monoclonal antibody to phosphotyrosine (clone 4G10) was obtained from Upstate Biotechnology.

Cell Culture—Human pulmonary microvessel endothelial cells (HMVECs) were obtained from Lonza and grown in Lonza’s EGM-2-MV medium on collagen-coated (20 µg/ml) tissue culture dishes according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

ROS Generation—Formation of ROS was monitored by the conversion of non-fluorescent 6-carboxy-2′,7′-dichlorodihydrofluorescein diacetate (diacetoxyethyl ester) to fluorescent DCF. Cells were loaded with 5 µM DCF in serum-free medium for 30 min at 37 °C. After loading, cells were washed twice with phosphate-buffered saline, and incubated for an additional 20 min at 37 °C to allow for dye de-esterification. Cells were stimulated as described in the figure legends. Fluorescence was determined using a fluorometer with an excitation of 485 and an emission of 520.

siRNA Transfection—Cells plated at ~50% confluence and left overnight were transfected with siRNA (Dharmacon) at a concentration of 25 nM using Oligofectamine (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. A non-targeting siRNA (Dharmacon) was used as a control. Cells were transfected for 4 h in serum-free medium, following which 1.5 ml of EGM-2-MV was added. Cells were harvested after 72 h.

Adenoviral Infection of HMVECs—Wild-type VE-cadherin, VE-cadherin Y658F, VE-cadherin Y731F, and VE-cadherin Y658F/Y731F were generated as previously described (24). HMVECs were infected with adenovirus for 48 h in EGM-2-MV. Infection efficiency (>85%) was monitored through the visualization of GFP, which is coexpressed by these recombinants.

FITC-Dextrans—HMVECs were grown on collagen-coated coverslips. Cells were then treated with NAC for 2 h before treatment with 10 ng/ml VEGF for 15 min. After VEGF treatment cells were fixed for 15 min in 3% paraformaldehyde, permeabilized in 0.3% Triton X-100, and blocked for 30 min in 2% bovine serum albumin. To visualize VE-cadherin cells were stained with a monoclonal antibody against VE-cadherin (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) followed by goat anti-mouse Alexa 488 (Molecular Probes). Immunofluorescence images were taken with a Zeiss axiovert 200M microscope equipped with a Hamamatsu ORCA-ERAG digital camera and Metamorph workstation (Universal Imaging Corp.).

Immunoprecipitation and Immunoblotting—Cell layers were serum-starved for 2 h before stimulation with VEGF. Treatment doses and times are provided in the figure legends. For immunoprecipitation, cells were extracted on ice for 30 min in lysis buffer (10 mM Tris, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-100, 0.5% Nonidet P-40, protease, and phosphatase inhibitors). Before preclearing with protein A/G-agarose beads, lysates were incubated with antibodies to VE-cadherin, p120 catenin, or β-catenin for 2 h at 4 °C. After washing three times, the beads were resuspended in sample buffer, boiled for 10 min, and analyzed by Western blotting. For whole cell lysate analysis, cells were rinsed in phosphate-buffered saline and lysed in sample buffer. Lysates were electrophoresed into SDS-PAGE gels. Proteins were transferred to nitrocellulose membranes (Schleicher and Schuell Bioscience) and processed for Western analysis using the antibodies described in the figure legends. Bound antibodies were detected by enhanced chemiluminescence. For quantification of Western blots, intensity values of bands were measured from three different repeats for each experiment using ImageJ (National Institutes of Health).

RESULTS

To determine if VEGF treatment caused an increase in the production of ROS in HMVECs we directly monitored intracellular ROS formation, using the conversion of the non-fluorescent 6-carboxy-2′,7′-dichlorodihydrofluorescein to DCF. As seen in Fig. 1A, treatment of HMVECs with increasing doses of VEGF stimulated a dose-dependent increase in ROS production as demonstrated by a net increase in cell fluorescence. H2O2, a known ROS, was used as a positive control. The time course of VEGF-induced ROS generation was then examined. ROS generation was observed as early as 5 min after treatment with VEGF (Fig. 1B). To confirm that the increase in DCF fluorescence observed upon VEGF treatment was due to an increase in ROS generation the free radical scavenger, NAC, was used. HMVECs were treated with increasing doses of NAC before treatment with VEGF. ROS generation was then measured by DCF fluorescence (Fig. 1C). As expected, treatment with NAC caused a dose-dependent decrease in DCF fluorescence confirming that the increase fluorescence was due to an
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A confluence monolayers of HMVECs were loaded with DCF and then treated with increasing doses of VEGF for 15 min. The generation of ROS was measured by the fluorescence intensity of DCF. Treatment with VEGF, DPI, NAC, or DPI resulted in increased ROS production (Fig. 1A). The results were consistent with previous studies showing that NADPH oxidase is involved in VEGF-induced ROS production (24).

VEGF-induced increase in ROS generation. In many cell types, including endothelial cells, the major producer of ROS is NADPH oxidase (25). To determine if NADPH oxidase may be involved in VEGF-induced ROS production, the flavoprotein inhibitor, DPI, was used to inhibit NADPH oxidase activity. Treatment with DPI caused a dose-dependent decrease in ROS production, suggesting that NADPH oxidase may be involved (Fig. 1D).

VEGF is a known, potent inducer of permeability in the microvasculature (4). We sought to determine if the VEGF-induced increase in microvascular permeability requires ROS, through the use of a FITC-dextran Transwell assay to measure endothelial cell monolayer permeability. Endothelial cells grown to confluence on Transwell filters were stimulated with VEGF, resulting in an increase in the passage of FITC-dextran across the monolayers (Fig. 2A). However, this increase in permeability after VEGF treatment was attenuated by treatment with the ROS scavenger, NAC. Similar results were observed when cells were treated with DPI. Although VEGF caused an increase in microvascular permeability, treatment with DPI inhibited the VEGF-induced permeability increase (Fig. 2B). Vascular permeability is regulated, in part, by the integrity of junctions between neighboring endothelial cells. One of the major adhesion molecules that regulates the integrity of endothelial junctions is VE-cadherin. Therefore, we wanted to determine if any visible change was occurring in the localization of VE-cadherin after VEGF treatment (Fig. 2C). We found that under control conditions VE-cadherin staining is primarily seen as a tight line bordering the endothelial cells at the cell–cell junctions (Fig. 2C, panel a). However, upon VEGF treatment we found that VE-cadherin staining becomes much more jagged and that visible gaps are seen between neighboring endothelial cells (Fig. 2C, panel c). If ROS are scavenged with NAC we found that the VEGF-induced changes in VE-cadherin staining are attenuated (Fig. 2C, panel d). Taken together with Fig. 1 these data indicate that VEGF treatment leads to the production of ROS and that these ROS are required for the increase in microvascular permeability.

Tyrosine phosphorylation of various adherens junction molecules in the endothelium is indicative of decreased junctional integrity (26, 27). One of the major adhesion molecules of endothelial junctions is VE-cadherin. To determine if VEGF treatment caused any change in the tyrosine phosphorylation of VE-cadherin, VE-cadherin was immunoprecipitated and general phosphotyrosine levels were analyzed. As demonstrated in Fig. 3A, treatment with VEGF caused enhanced tyrosine phosphorylation of VE-cadherin in HMVECs. Phosphorylation of VE-cadherin at tyrosines 658 and 731 has been shown to inhibit the binding of p120-catenin and β-catenin, respectively (17). To investigate whether VEGF treatment has any effect on the catenin binding sites of VE-cadherin, HMVECs were treated with VEGF for increasing amounts of time and the phosphorylation at Tyr-658 and Tyr-731 was monitored. Treatment with VEGF caused enhanced phosphorylation of both of these residues on VE-cadherin as early as 5 min after treatment (Fig. 3B). To determine if ROS were required for these VEGF-induced effects on VE-cadherin tyrosine phosphorylation, NAC and DPI were again used (Fig. 3, C and D). In both cases we observed that VEGF was no longer able to cause phosphorylation of VE-cadherin after treatment with NAC or DPI, suggesting that ROS are required for phosphorylation of VE-cadherin at the catenin binding sites. Similar results were seen when β-catenin was examined. Immunoprecipitation analysis revealed enhanced tyrosine phosphorylation of β-catenin after VEGF treatment (Fig. 4A). Examination of Tyr-654 revealed elevated phosphorylation in response to VEGF (Fig. 4B) that was inhibited upon NAC treatment (Fig. 4C) and treatment with DPI (Fig. 4D).

To determine if the phosphorylation of VE-cadherin at tyrosines 658 and 731 is critical to the ability of VEGF
induce enhanced vascular permeability, HMVECs were infected with adenovirus containing either wild-type VE-cadherin (WT), VE-cadherin in which Tyr-658 was mutated to phenylalanine (Y658F), VE-cadherin in which Tyr-731 was mutated to phenylalanine (Y731F) or a double mutant where both tyrosines 658 and 731 had been mutated to phenylalanines (Y658F/Y731F) (Fig. 5A) (24). We first sought to determine if mutation at these tyrosine residues had any effect on the ability of VEGF to induce tyrosine phosphorylation of VE-cadherin. Under control conditions expression of any of the VE-cadherin viruses had no effect on basal phosphotyrosine levels (Fig. 5B). Upon stimulation with VEGF we find that there is enhanced tyrosine phosphorylation of VE-cadherin in cells infected with the WT virus. In cells infected with the Y658F or Y731F viruses there is a reduced level of tyrosine phosphorylation when compared with cells infected with WT. There is even a further reduction in VE-cadherin tyrosine phosphorylation when the Y658F/Y731F mutant was examined. To determine if these VE-cadherin tyrosine residues were critical to the ability of VEGF to enhance microvascular permeability, the HMVECs were infected with the VE-cadherin viruses and permeability was assessed through FITC-dextran flux (Fig. 5C). A GFP virus was used as a control. Under control conditions expression of any of the VE-cadherin viruses had no effect on basal vascular permeability. Upon VEGF treatment cells infected with GFP or WT VE-cadherin showed an increase in vascular permeability. Infection with either single VE-cadherin mutant (Y658F or Y731F) had little effect on the ability of VEGF to enhance permeability. However, infection with the double mutant (Y658F/Y731F) significantly decreased the ability of VEGF to enhance permeability. To evaluate if phosphorylation at Tyr-658 and Tyr-731 was critical to the regulation of VE-cadherin/catenin interactions, in response to VEGF treatment, we again utilized the

FIGURE 2. VEGF induced permeability requires ROS. HMVECs were seeded in the upper well of a Transwell chamber and allowed to grow to confluence. Cells were treated with NAC (A) or DPI (B) before 1 mg/ml FITC-dextran (M, 10,000) was placed in the upper well, and cells were treated with 10 ng/ml VEGF for 30 min. A sample of medium from the lower chamber was then taken, and the amount of FITC-dextran in the lower chamber was measured in a plate reader. These are representative graphs of an n = 3 performed in quadruplicate. C, HMVECs were grown on coverslips and appropriate wells treated with NAC for 2 h before treatment with VEGF for 15 min. Cells were then fixed, permeabilized, and stained with an antibody against VE-cadherin. Panel a, control treated cells; panel b, NAC-treated cells; panel c, VEGF-treated cells; panel d, NAC/VEGF-treated cells.
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FIGURE 3. VEGF treatment causes ROS-dependent phosphorylation of VE-cadherin. Monolayers of HMVECs were treated with 10 ng/ml VEGF. A, VE-cadherin was immunoprecipitated from cell lysates using a mouse anti-VE-cadherin antibody, and the resulting immunoprecipitates were analyzed for phosphorytosine by immunoblotting (4G10, top) or total VE-cadherin (bottom), B, cell layers were lysed in sample buffer. Lysates were electrophoresed, immunoblotted, and analyzed for phosphorylated forms of VE-cadherin using antibodies that specifically recognize VE-cadherin phosphorylated at tyrosines 658 and 731. Total VE-cadherin was used as a loading control. C and D, HMVECs were pretreated with NAC (C) or DPI (D) before treatment with 10 ng/ml VEGF, and the phosphorylation of VE-cadherin was monitored by Western blotting.

FIGURE 4. VEGF treatment causes ROS-dependent phosphorylation of β-catenin. Monolayers of HMVECs were treated with 10 ng/ml VEGF. A, β-catenin was immunoprecipitated from cell lysates using a mouse anti-β-catenin antibody, and the resulting immunoprecipitates were analyzed for phosphorytosine by immunoblotting (4G10, top) or total β-catenin (bottom). B, cell layers were lysed in sample buffer. Lysates were electrophoresed, immunoblotted, and analyzed for phosphorylated form of β-catenin using an antibody that specifically recognizes β-catenin phosphorylated at tyrosine 654. Total β-catenin was used as a loading control. C and D, HMVECs were pretreated with NAC (C) or DPI (D) before treatment with 10 ng/ml VEGF, and the phosphorylation of β-catenin was monitored by Western blotting.

VEGF treatment reduced the association between VE-cadherin and p120-catenin (Fig. 5D). However, expression of the Y658F mutant or the double mutant attenuated the VEGF-induced VE-cadherin/p120-catenin dissociation. Similar results were seen when the VE-cadherin/β-catenin complex was examined. Again, in cells expressing WT VE-cadherin VEGF treatment caused a reduction in the amount of β-catenin that was complexed with VE-cadherin (Fig. 5E). However, in cells expressing the Y731F or the double mutant junction proteins VE-cadherin, β-catenin, and p120-catenin and their association.

Previous work has demonstrated that VEGF causes the activation of Rac1 in endothelial cells (28, 29). To confirm these observations, Rac1 GTP loading was measured by pulldown assays with glutathione S-transferase-p21-activating kinase. Indeed, we found that VEGF treatment caused a rapid activation of Rac1 (Fig. 7A). As we had demonstrated that ROS were required for the increase in VEGF-induced permeability (Fig.
2), we wondered whether ROS might also be required for the VEGF-induced activation of Rac. To determine this we treated cells with NAC before VEGF treatment and then performed a PAK-binding domain pulldown assay to analyze the levels of active Rac. We found that scavenging ROS with NAC had no effect on the ability of VEGF to activate Rac (Fig. 7B). Once we had determined that ROS were not required for Rac activation we wondered if the inverse might be true. Was Rac required for ROS production in response to VEGF treatment? To answer this question we used RNA interference to knock down Rac1 expression in HMVECs (Fig. 8A), and the ability of VEGF to induce ROS formation was measured. We observed that under control non-targeting siRNA conditions VEGF treatment resulted in an increase in ROS production. However, in cells where Rac expression had been knocked down the ability of VEGF to induce ROS was greatly attenuated (Fig. 8B).

Once we had demonstrated that Rac was required for VEGF-induced ROS formation we asked whether Rac might also be required for VEGF-induced VE-cadherin phosphorylation, because we had already shown this to be ROS-dependent. RNA interference was again used to knock down Rac1 expression, and the ability of VEGF to induce phosphorylation of VE-cadherin at the catenin binding sites (Tyr-658 and Tyr-731) was monitored. We observed that cells transfected with Rac1 siRNA show a severe attenuation in the VEGF-induced VE-cadherin phosphorylation, as compared with the cells transfected with a non-targeting siRNA (Fig. 9).

Finally, we sought to determine whether Rac was also required for the VEGF-mediated increase in microvascular permeability. As shown in Fig. 10, cells transfected with a non-targeting siRNA are able to enhance vascular permeability upon VEGF treatment. However, knockdown of Rac1 abrogates the VEGF-induced permeability effect. Taken together our data demonstrate that Rac1 activation is necessary for VEGF-mediated vascular permeability and that the activation of Rac is required upstream of ROS generation in this pathway.

**DISCUSSION**

VEGF has a well known and well studied role in angiogenesis. However, the molecular mechanisms that regulate VEGF-induced permeability in the microvasculature, the first described function of VEGF, remain incompletely understood (4, 5). Recent studies have sought to determine the pathways through which VEGF regulates vascular permeability; however, these studies have focused primarily on HUVECs, endothelial cells from large vessels and not on cells of the microvasculature. Because the microvasculature represents the vessels where the largest changes in permeability occur and because VEGF is known to greatly alter microvascular permeability, the focus of this study was to determine the mechanism through which VEGF regulates microvascular permeability. We found that VEGF regulates microvas-
cular permeability through the activation of Rac1 and the production of ROS. These molecules, in turn, regulate the tyrosine phosphorylation of adherens junction proteins VE-cadherin and β-catenin, ultimately regulating junctional integrity.

Until recently, ROS production in non-phagocytic cells has been viewed primarily as a harmful byproduct of various metabolic activities that cause DNA damage and lead to the oxidation of membrane proteins and lipids. In phagocytic cells there is a Rac-activated NADPH oxidase that generates ROS (30). However, the identification of a Rac-regulated NADPH oxidase in non-phagocytic cells raised the possibility that ROS served other functions (31). Numerous studies have shown that ROS increase permeability both in vitro and in vivo (32, 33). Our results show that VEGF leads to ROS production in the microvasculature and that these ROS are required for the increase in permeability observed in response to VEGF treatment. Consistent with our results, earlier work has demonstrated that VEGF will increase superoxide production in endothelial cells of larger vessels and that this is required for the angiogenic phenotype (34). Furthermore, growth factors, including platelet-derived growth factor and EGF stimulate the generation of ROS (21, 35). In ischemia reperfusion injury, the generation of ROS derives from both the endothelium as well as from activated leukocytes, and the associated increase in permeability has been shown to be inhibited by antioxidants and free radical scavengers (32). Likewise, we demonstrate that the VEGF-induced vascular permeability is also attenuated by NAC, a free radical scavenger, and DPI, a flavoprotein inhibitor. These studies contribute to the increasing recognition that ROS have a role in signal transduction pathways that regulate diverse cellular responses, including migration, growth, and differentiation (36).

NADPH oxidase is a major source of ROS production in endothelial cells (25), and Rac1 is a critical component of endothelial NADPH oxidase (25, 37). Appropriate levels of active Rac are required for the formation and maintenance of adheren-
ens junctions and either too high or too low activities promote disassembly. Both constitutively active and dominant negative Rac increase endothelial permeability, consistent with the level of Rac needing to be finely tuned for optimal junctional integrity (38). In addition, several groups have demonstrated that activation of Rac1 in response to sphingosine 1-phosphate enhances barrier function of endothelial monolayers (39, 40). However, we and others have shown that activation of Rac1 downstream of VEGF, and other growth factors, promotes junction disassembly and increase permeability (18, 41–43). In addition, VEGF has been observed to regulate endothelial cell permeability through PAK, a direct downstream effector of Rac (44). It seems that it is more complex than simply high Rac activation leading to increased permeability. That active Rac can both increase and decrease permeability depending on the stimulus leads us to suggest that the route of activation may be critical and that different scaffolding proteins may direct Rac signaling pathways in different directions.

Tyrosine phosphorylation of adherens junction proteins has long been associated with the disassembly of cell-cell adhesions, although the precise mechanism has not been resolved (26, 27, 45). Several proteins, including cadherins and catenins, become prominently tyrosine-phosphorylated when PTPs are inhibited or when tyrosine kinases are activated. A number of PTPs, including VE-PTP, PTPδ, and SHP-2, can influence VE-cadherin function by altering its tyrosine phosphorylation state (46–49). VEGF treatment can disrupt the association between VE-cadherin and VE-PTP resulting in enhanced tyrosine phosphorylation of VE-cadherin and decreased barrier function (50). ROS oxidize a critical cysteine residue in the catalytic site of tyrosine phosphatases, thereby deactivating these enzymes, resulting in increased tyrosine phosphorylation (51). VEGF treatment also induces Src activation, which leads to the tyrosine phosphorylation of several junctional proteins (52, 53). Additional work has demonstrated that Src inhibition or deficiency results in defective VEGF-induced vascular permeability due to the stabilization of VE-cadherin in endothelial cell junctions (52, 54). Within the endothelium, elevated tyrosine phosphorylation is associated with a decrease in barrier function (55–58). Our data demonstrate that VEGF treatment leads to the tyrosine phosphorylation of VE-cadherin on at least two sites that lie within the β-catenin and p120 catenin binding regions. Additionally, we show that VEGF treatment results in β-catenin phosphorylation itself. The tyrosine phosphorylation of VE-cadherin at Tyr-731 has been reported to cause a loss in the ability of VE-cadherin to bind β-catenin (17). Additionally, tyrosine phosphorylation of β-catenin has also been reported to decrease its affinity for the cadherin and increase its turnover at junctions (59, 60). Although the enhanced phosphorylation of VE-cadherin at Tyr-731 changes the binding affinity for β-catenin and likely decreases cytoskeletal attachment, the phosphorylation at Tyr-658 decreases the affinity of VE-cadherin for p120 catenin (17). It has been demonstrated that the binding of p120 to VE-cadherin prevents VE-cadherin internalization thereby acting to stabilize the junction (61). The tyrosine phosphorylation of VE-cadherin at these catenin binding sites suggests that VEGF may be regulating microvascular permeability through mechanisms controlling both cytoskeletal attachment and junctional stability.

Our data provide new insight into the molecular mechanisms by which VEGF regulates permeability of the microvasculature. In this work we have identified a pathway by which
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VEGF increases endothelial permeability, demonstrating that VEGF leads to a Rac-mediated generation of ROS, which, in turn, elevates the tyrosine phosphorylation of several junctional proteins, including VE-cadherin and β-catenin. Rac activation and ROS generation have been implicated in many pathological situations where vascular permeability is altered. It will be interesting to determine whether these can also be attributed to the enhanced tyrosine phosphorylation of VE-cadherin and β-catenin.
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