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Background. The impact of caring for a child with a chronic disease on caregivers and their family functioning contributes to the child’s adaptation to the disease.

Objectives. To determine the impact of caregiver burden on the health-related quality of life (HRQOL) and family functioning of carers of children with epilepsy (CWE), and to determine factors associated with a high impact of caregiver burden.

Method. A cross-sectional study was conducted among primary caregivers of CWE attending the Charlotte Maxeke Johannesburg Academic Hospital, South Africa. Participants had been involved in childcare for at least 6 months before study enrolment and all gave informed consent. Data regarding sociodemographic and epilepsy-related variables were obtained from questionnaires, including the 36-item family impact module of the Pediatric Quality of Life assessment tool. Scores in the lower quartile were considered indicative of a negative impact on HRQOL and poor family functioning.

Results. Participants identified as experiencing a high impact of paediatric epilepsy care reported raw scores ≤31.3 for both caregiver burden and family functioning. The family functioning score correlated strongly with the caregivers’ HRQOL score (p=0.78; p<0.001). Multivariate analysis identified a low level of education among caregivers and a high seizure frequency in patients as independent predictors of caregiver burden associated with a negative impact.

Conclusion. Our findings suggest that the burden of caregiving in paediatric epilepsy among our study population impacts negatively on family functioning. The burden of care was associated with a low level of caregiver education and a high seizure frequency in their children.

More than 80% of the 50 million people with epilepsy live in low-and middle-income countries.1,2 Approximately 50% of all types of epilepsy have an onset before the age of 5 years and 75% present by the age of 20 years.1,2 Caring for a child affected by a chronic health condition such as epilepsy may impose a high level of stress on caregivers. This can result in considerable dysfunction in the affected family and may adversely affect the child’s adaptation to the disease. Camfield et al.3,4 reported a negative correlation between paediatric epilepsy and quality of life of both the child and the caregiver. Similarly, in a study to determine the impact of epilepsy on families and factors associated with a high impact of caregiver burden in a developing country such as India, Datta et al.5 found that 42% of the participants were adversely affected by caregiving.

Caregivers of children with epilepsy (CWE) may experience chronic fatigue, sleep deprivation, a lack of control over day-to-day events and insufficient time to complete daily tasks owing to the demands of caregiving. The demands of caregiving and child advocacy, together with stigmatisation, have been linked to restricting leisure and social activities among caregivers. Such social restriction, coupled with poor communication with a partner or spouse, may cause strain in a relationship or marriage; a higher divorce rate has been reported among parents of CWE than among the general population.1,2 Caregivers of CWE are often concerned about side-effects of medication, possible cognitive deficits, learning difficulties, and the child’s future and career outlook. Moreover, the economic burden of paediatric epilepsy depends largely on the individual setting (e.g. access to a functional medical insurance scheme or social security system, or whether care is financed out of pocket).

To our knowledge, studies have not previously assessed the health-related quality of life (HRQOL) and family functioning of caregivers of CWE in South Africa (SA). Periodic assessment of the effect of childhood epilepsy on caregivers can help to improve the understanding of the healthcare needs and coping mechanisms of families of CWE in SA, which, in turn, can contribute to the management of paediatric epilepsy. This study set out to determine the impact of caregiver burden on carers’ HRQOL and family functioning and also to identify factors associated with a high impact of caregiver burden.

Methods

Study design

We conducted a cross-sectional study at the paediatric epilepsy outpatient clinic of the Charlotte Maxeke Johannesburg Academic Hospital, SA, between October and December 2015. The hospital is a tertiary teaching centre that receives referrals from Johannesburg and neighbouring provinces.
Operational definitions

A caregiver was defined as a member of the patient's social network (family, friends or associates) who is at least 18 years old and primarily responsible for everyday care of the patient. Caregiver burden refers to physical, psychological, social or financial difficulties a caregiver may experience. Seizure frequency was categorised as zero (no seizures per month), low (up to four complex partial and one generalised seizure, or up to 20 partial/myoclonic/absence seizures per month) or high (five or more complex partial, more than one generalised seizure or more than 20 partial/myoclonic/absence seizures per month). The number of anti-epileptic drugs (AEDs) taken by the child was classified as none (no AED administered), monotherapy (one AED administered) or polytherapy (two or more AEDs administered). Social support was defined as the support available from other individuals, groups and the larger community. As no universal cut-off values for defining high- and low-impact groups are available based on the Pediatric Quality of Life (PedsQL) scale, the 25th and 75th percentiles of the distribution of the current data (corresponding to the lower and upper quartiles, respectively) were used. A negative impact or poor family functioning was defined as the HRQOL, family functioning or total functioning score being in the lower quartile.

Participants

Caregivers who had been caring for a CWE for at least 6 months and gave informed consent were included in the study. Caregivers of children with comorbidities such as severe to profound intellectual disability, sickle cell disease or congenital heart disease and those who had experienced a major life event in the past 3 months, such as separation, divorce or retrenchment, were excluded. However, on the last clinic day of the year, we could enrol only nine caregivers owing to low turnout. The sociodemographic characteristics of the caregivers (age, gender, religion, education, employment status, housing and sources of social support) were recorded. The patient's clinical information, such as age at onset of epilepsy, duration of epilepsy, average number of seizures per month in the preceding 3 months, number of AEDs administered, electroencephalograms and neuroimaging results, were recorded separately. The questionnaire was administered by trained interviewers (the lead researcher and a retired nurse).

Statistical analysis

The mean (standard deviation (SD)) score for each of the three scales in the family impact module of the PedsQL questionnaire was calculated for each participant according to the developer's guidelines. Numerical data were reported as means and SDs when symmetrically distributed and as medians and interquartile ranges (IQRs) when skewed. Categorical data were presented as absolute and relative frequencies. Bivariate associations between characteristics were investigated using unpaired t-tests, one-way analysis of variance, χ² tests, Spearman's rank correlation and non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis and Mann-Whitney U tests. The HRQOL and family functioning scores were categorised using the quartiles of their distribution. The new variables were compared using a χ² test.

Multivariate regression analysis was used to identify independent associations between the characteristics of the caregivers or children and the total functioning score of the caregivers. Categorical variables were dummy coded for this analysis. All characteristics were initially investigated as independent associations. After a model had been identified, the remaining variables were assessed for confounding. Confounding was identified when estimates changed by 5% or more. The presented model was adjusted for confounding effects.

All statistical analyses assumed p<0.05 to indicate statistical significance. Analysis was performed using SPSS (IBM Corp., USA).

Ethical considerations

Ethical permission for the study was obtained from the Human Research Ethics Committee of the University of the Witwatersrand (ref. no. M150658). Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Results

The mean (SD) age of the participants (N=109) was 37.9 (9.1) years, as shown in Table 1. The majority of caregivers (n=68; 62.4%) had completed high school. The median (IQR) duration of epilepsy was 5 (2.5 - 8) years. Only 39.4% of the children (n=43) had had no seizures in the preceding 3 months. The median (IQR) caregiver HRQOL was 46.3 (31.3 - 67.5) and the median (IQR) family functioning score was 46.9 (31.3 - 71.9). The mean (SD) total functioning score of the participants was 48.0 (23.6) (Table 1).

Raw scores ≤31.3 on the HRQOL and family functioning scales were considered to show a high impact of caregiver burden (Table 2). The family functioning score of the participants was strongly correlated with the HRQOL score of the caregivers (Spearman's rank answered. The family functioning summary score was considered a reflection of the impact of epilepsy specifically on family activities and relationships and was calculated as the total score from the items related to daily activities and family relationships divided by the number of items answered. Given the interpretation of the scales, higher scores indicated better functioning (less negative impact).
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Results

The mean (SD) age of the participants (N=109) was 37.9 (9.1) years, as shown in Table 1. The majority of caregivers (n=68; 62.4%) had completed high school. The median (IQR) duration of epilepsy was 5 (2.5 - 8) years. Only 39.4% of the children (n=43) had had no seizures in the preceding 3 months. The median (IQR) caregiver HRQOL was 46.3 (31.3 - 67.5) and the median (IQR) family functioning score was 46.9 (31.3 - 71.9). The mean (SD) total functioning score of the participants was 48.0 (23.6) (Table 1).

Raw scores ≤31.3 on the HRQOL and family functioning scales were considered to show a high impact of caregiver burden (Table 2). The family functioning score of the participants was strongly correlated with the HRQOL score of the caregivers (Spearman's rank
correlation $p=0.78; p<0.001$). Of the 28 caregivers with a HRQOL score in the lower quartile, 18 (64.3%) also had a family functioning score in the lower quartile. Of the 26 participants with a HRQOL score in the upper quartile, 21 (80.8%) also had a family functioning score in the upper quartile.

The caregiver’s educational status, the patient’s seizure frequency and the number of AEDs administered were all significantly associated with the HRQOL score of the caregiver ($p<0.001$), the family functioning score ($p<0.001$), and the total functioning score of caregivers ($p<0.001$). For all three scales, higher levels of education were associated with higher scores (i.e. less impact), whereas a high seizure frequency or multiple AEDs were associated with lower scores (i.e. higher impact). The family functioning scores were highest (i.e. indicative of a low impact) when social support was provided by family and friends (median 59.4) or the child support grant (CSG), family and friends (median 60.9). Family functioning scores were lowest (i.e. high impact) when only a CSG was received (median 48.4) ($p=0.028$). Other sociodemographic characteristics did not show significant association in any of the three scales.

Multivariate linear regression analysis confirmed level of education of caregiver and seizure frequency as independent predictors of the total functioning score of the caregivers (Table 3). Caregivers with tertiary-level education (diploma or higher) had significantly higher total functioning scores (mean 64.4) than caregivers with only primary education (mean 30.5; $p<0.001$). The total functioning score of caregivers was significantly lower in those who cared for children with a high seizure frequency (mean 32.7) than in those who cared for children who did not experience a seizure during the preceding 3 months (mean 60.8; $p<0.001$). Both level of education and seizure frequency showed a dose–response relationship with the total functioning score of the caregiver.

The scores on the HRQOL and family functioning scales, as well as those obtained on the ‘worry’ and ‘communication’ scales consistently declined with increasing seizure frequency (Table 4). Non-parametric Mann–Whitney tests showed all comparisons of scores on the scales associated with HRQOL, ‘worry’, ‘communication’ and family functioning to be statistically significant ($p<0.05$) across the three frequency groups.

**Discussion**

Raw scores $\leq 31.3$ for HRQOL and family functioning were considered indicative of high caregiver burden. A lower level of caregiver education and high seizure frequency were identified as independent predictors of a negative impact of paediatric epilepsy. A lack of social support was found to be significantly associated with poor family functioning. Our results show that caregivers of CWE in this setting who were categorised as experiencing considerable caregiver burden had low HRQOL and family functioning scores. To our knowledge, no previous study using the family impact module of the PedsQL questionnaire reported raw scores of participants in the context of caregiver burden. These values could be used as a guide for clinical practice or research in SA, as cut-off values from another setting cannot easily be transferred from one population to another. However, the median/mean scores reported for HRQOL, family functioning and total functioning in this study are 30 units lower than those that have been reported in Malaysia and the USA. This difference may be due to ethnic differences in the ability to cope with the stress of caregiving and the availability of support facilities and services in these settings. Social isolation brought on by caregiving

---

**Table 1. Sociodemographic characteristics of caregivers (N=109) and the children with epilepsy they care for**

| Characteristics | n (%)* |
|----------------|-------|
| Caregiver | |
| Age (years), mean (SD) | 37.9 (9.1) |
| Level of education | |
| Primary school | 18 (16.5) |
| Junior secondary school | 23 (21.1) |
| High school | 48 (44.0) |
| Diploma | 12 (11.0) |
| Graduate higher education | 8 (7.3) |
| Employment status | |
| Employed | 46 (42.2) |
| Unemployed | 63 (57.8) |
| Social support | |
| None | 10 (9.2) |
| Child support grant | 48 (44.0) |
| Family or friends | 35 (32.1) |
| Government and family or friends | 16 (14.7) |
| HRQOL score, median (IQR) | 46.3 (31.3 - 67.5) |
| Family functioning score, median (IQR) | 46.9 (31.3 - 71.9) |
| Total functioning score, mean (SD) | 48.0 (23.6) |
| Child with epilepsy | |
| Duration of epilepsy (years), median (IQR) | 5 (2.5 - 8) |
| Frequency of seizures (per month) | |
| Zero | 43 (39.4) |
| Low | 33 (30.3) |
| High | 33 (30.3) |
| Number of AEDs administered | |
| 0 | 2 (1.8) |
| 1 (monotherapy) | 30 (27.5) |
| $\geq 2$ (polytherapy) | 77 (70.6) |
| Comorbidity | 74 (67.9) |

SD = standard deviation; HRQOL = health-related quality of life; IQR = interquartile range; AEDs = anti-epileptic drugs.

*Unless otherwise stated

**Table 2. Impact of caregiver burden on the participants’ HRQOL and family functioning (N=109)**

| Score quartile | Raw score (range) | Number of caregivers, n (%) | Impact of caregiver burden (level of functioning) |
|---------------|------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| HRQOL | |
| Lower | 1.9 - 31.3 | 28 (25.7) | High (poor functioning) |
| Middle | 31.4 - 67.5 | 55 (50.5) | Borderline |
| Upper | 67.6 - 95.0 | 26 (23.8) | Low (high functioning) |
| Family functioning | |
| Lower | 3.1 - 31.3 | 29 (26.7) | High (poor functioning) |
| Middle | 31.4 - 71.9 | 54 (49.5) | Borderline |
| Upper | 80.0 - 100 | 26 (23.8) | Low (high functioning) |

HRQOL = health-related quality of life
Our results show that the higher the education level of the caregiver, the less the impact of paediatric epilepsy on both the carer and their families. Education is a resource that aids in acquisition, processing and organisation skills. A low level of caregiver education has previously been reported among families who reported a negative impact of paediatric epilepsy. Judge similarly found an association between maternal education levels and families’ efforts to be active and innovative in managing paediatric disability. Improved caregiver education may also help change parental beliefs, attitudes and the perception of stigma around epilepsy, facilitate access to educational materials and support groups through social media networks, and enhance parent–physician relationships.

High seizure frequency was significantly associated with a negative impact of caregiver burden in the current study. This finding is congruent with those from previous studies and could be due to a number of factors. For example, caregivers of children with frequent seizures may experience limited time to spend with their spouses or friends or to develop personal interests. Uncontrolled seizures may also severely affect the carer’s situation at work owing to frequent calls from the child’s school when the child has a seizure and an increased risk of discrimination and stigma at work and in social settings. Parents have described witnessing their child’s seizures as a highly anxiety-provoking experience. Mothers of children with intractable seizures often have a high level of parenting stress and in one study, nearly two-thirds of these mothers have been found to be in the clinical range of total stress on the parenting stress index.

Our findings show that caregivers of children with a high seizure frequency reported significantly lower HRQOL scores on all scales compared with those with a low seizure frequency; caregivers of children who experienced no seizures in the preceding 3 months had the highest HRQOL scores. Lv et al. reported similar findings across all scales when comparing the effect of good and poor seizure control.
In our study, family functioning scores were found to be highest when social support was provided by family and friends, independent of a CSG being available, and scores were lowest when only a CSG was available. Social support is a valuable resource for families caring for a child with a disability.[21] Social support from personal networks (extended family and friends) has been found to have the strongest relationship to any number of outcomes. Judge[20] suggests that there is a considerable positive impact on parent self-efficacy and personal control appraisals when professional support practices mirror the features of informal support. When support from extended family and friends is not available, caregivers may benefit from the formal social support provided by nurses, psychologists and other healthcare providers.[21]

Our study was the first to evaluate caregiver burden associated with paediatric epilepsy among SA families. Raw scores provide reference values that may prove useful when this assessment tool is used in similar settings. Using an interviewer method has the advantage of maximising response rates, resulting in few, if any, missing items, and minimising errors of understanding. Multivariate analysis allowed us to identify predictors of high impact from the associated factors.

**Study limitations**

We had to use cut-off values without knowledge of comparative values for carers of healthy controls. The PedsQL assessment is a validated scale and is widely used. However, cut-offs to define groups who experience high or low impacts have not been generally described. According to the theory of psychometric testing, the actual values of scales depend on the population in which they are applied and hence cut-off values cannot easily be transferred from one population to another.[24] Previous analyses using the PedsQL instrument reported cut-off values as mean – 1 SD[23] and mean – 2 SDs[24] compared with healthy controls to define negative impact. These values would not translate to the data from our study as the mean values were considerably higher (30 units) in these (US) studies. Moreover, the distribution of the PedsQL scores in our study was skewed and hence the results were described using medians and IQRs rather than means and SDs. Thus, the 25th and 75th percentiles were used to define cutoffs which they are applied and hence cut-off values cannot easily be

**Conclusion**

Our findings suggest that paediatric epilepsy is associated with a negative impact of caregiver burden, especially among carers with low education levels and carers of children with a high seizure frequency. Healthcare professionals and support groups should be encouraged to provide social support to families caring for CWE to help alleviate the demands of constant caregiving.
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