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In this short note we describe the symmetry responsible for absolute, nonperturbative proton stability in the Standard Model. The SM with $N_c$ colors and $N_y$ generations has an exact, anomaly-free, generation-independent, global symmetry group $U(1)_{B-N_{c}L} \times Z_{N_y}^{\ast}$, which contains a subgroup of baryon plus lepton number of order $2N_cN_y$. This disallows proton decay for $N_y > 1$. Many well-studied models beyond the SM explicitly break this global symmetry, and the alternative deserves further attention.

Everything not forbidden is compulsory. So which symmetry forbids proton decay in the Standard Model? It’s the lightest baryon, but baryon number is anomalous and not a symmetry of the quantum SM. The difference between baryon and lepton number is anomaly-free, but allows e.g. $p^+ \rightarrow e^+\pi^0$. In fact there is a discrete subgroup of baryon plus lepton number which is anomaly free by virtue of the SM having more than one generation. This symmetry imposes the selection rule $\Delta B = N_cN_y, \Delta L = N_y$ on the SM with $N_c$ colors and $N_y$ generations. In the following we briefly review the topic of mixed anomalies in the SM selectively aimed toward evincing the anomaly-free discrete global symmetries. Field theoretic calculations we have omitted can be found in standard QFT textbooks or in Bertlmann’s monograph [1].

The Standard Model of particle physics is defined as the gauge theory of the non-Abelian symmetry group $SU(3)_c \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ with three ‘generations’ (or ‘families’) of left-handed Weyl fermions in the representations shown in Table I. There is additionally a scalar electroweak Higgs doublet which has Yukawa couplings providing masses to the electrically-charged fermions in the broken phase.

The SM so defined contains additional ‘accidental’ generation-independent exact classical global symmetries corresponding to baryon and lepton number, whose charges are also listed in Table I. These are accidental in that the most general renormalizable Lagrangian one may write down automatically preserves them. However, the Lagrangian is a classical object and a good classical global symmetry $U(1)_X$ may be broken by the path integral measure upon quantization if the fermions charged under $U(1)_X$ are in a chiral representation of a gauge group $G$ [2].

One may check whether the classical global symmetry survives quantization by examining the ‘anomaly conditions’, which in four dimensions consists essentially of evaluating the three-point correlator of the symmetry currents at one loop and checking if the Ward-Takahashi identity is satisfied. Our case of interest will have one global symmetry current and two gauge symmetry currents, such that the condition for global current conservation in the quantum theory is

$$\partial_\mu (J^\mu_X J^\rho_G J^\nu_G) = 0. \quad (1)$$

If this condition is satisfied, then the symmetry $U(1)_X$ is ‘anomaly-free’ and the classical current conservation $\partial_\mu J^\mu_X = 0$ may be upgraded to a Ward identity in the full quantum theory. If this condition is violated then nonperturbative $G$ gauge theory effects inevitably lead to $U(1)_X$ symmetry violation, and furthermore, $U(1)_X$ cannot itself be consistently gauged.*

This type of anomaly is sometimes referred to as a ‘mixed anomaly’ between $U(1)_X$ and $G$ or an ‘ABJ anomaly’ after its discovery by Adler-Bell-Jackiw [3, 4] as the microphysical explanation for the decay of the neutral pion $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$. In that application one relates the failure of the Ward identity in the three-point correlator to a three-point amplitude using the LSZ formula. The pion, as a pseudo-Goldstone of the axial symmetry $U(1)_A$, has nonzero overlap with its global symmetry current $J^\mu_A$, and the photons have nonzero overlap with the $U(1)_Q$ gauge symmetry current $J^\mu_Q$, so this amplitude provides for pion decay.

When such an anomaly is present, a global rotation of the charged fermions does not leave the action invariant

|   | $Q$ | $\tilde{u}$ | $\tilde{d}$ | $L$ | $\tilde{e}$ |
|---|-----|---------|---------|---|-------|
| $SU(3)_C$ | 3 | 3 | 3 | – | – |
| $SU(2)_L$ | 2 | – | – | 2 | – |
| $U(1)_Y$ | +1 | –4 | +2 | –3 | +6 |
| $U(1)_B$ | +1 | –1 | –1 | – | – |
| $U(1)_L$ | – | – | – | +1 | –1 |

Table I. Representations of the SM Weyl fermions under the classical symmetries of the SM. We normalize each $U(1)$ so the least-charged particle has unit charge, $B \equiv 3B_{\text{usual}}, Y \equiv 6Y_{\text{usual}},$ and $L \equiv L_{\text{usual}}$.

* The absence of ABJ anomalies is necessary to gauge $U(1)_X$, but not sufficient. We note in particular that the $(JX J_X J_X)$ ’t Hooft anomaly’ need also vanish, and in the presence of dynamical gravity, a mixed anomaly with gravitational currents must also be avoided.
but rather changes the effective $\theta$-term for the $G$ gauge field. If we perform a rotation of the fermions charged under the global $U(1)_X$ by an angle $\alpha$, the action is shifted as

$$\psi_i \rightarrow \psi_i e^{iq_i \alpha} \Rightarrow \delta S = \alpha A \int \frac{FF'}{16\pi^2}. \quad (2)$$

where $\psi_i$ are left-handed Weyl fermions with charge $q_i$ under $U(1)_X$, $F$ is the field strength of the gauge group $G$ and $\tilde{F}$ is its Hodge dual. If this transformation changes the partition function of the quantum theory, then it is no longer a symmetry.

The integrand may be recognized as the Chern-Pontryagin density of the gauge field configuration, and its integral is an integer topological invariant which measures the winding of the gauge field around the sphere at Euclidean spacetime infinity. $A$ is also an integer which is the sum of the ‘anomaly coefficients’ of all left-handed Weyl fermions

$$A_{\delta^{ab}} = \sum_i \text{Tr} \left[ q_i T_R^a T_R^b \right], \quad (3)$$

where $T_R^a$ are the generators of the representation $R_i$ of $G$ and for a non-Abelian group the normalization is such that in the fundamental representation $F$, $\text{Tr} \left[ T_R^a T_F^b \right] = \delta^{ab}$. That is, a $G$ fundamental with unit $X$ charge contributes $A = 1$. For an Abelian $G$ there is only one generator and $\delta^{ab} \rightarrow 1$. The integral nature of the anomaly derives from the number of zero modes of fermions in the background spacetime—these are counted by the index of the Dirac operator, which is directly related to the anomaly by a theorem of Atiyah and Singer [5, 6].

If $G$ is non-Abelian and the only nontrivial representations of $G$ are fundamentals, as for example with $SU(2)_L$ in the SM, then we have simply

$$A_{\delta^{ab}} = \sum_{\text{Fund} \, i} q_i, \quad (4)$$

while if $G$ is abelian as for example with $U(1)_Y$ in the SM, we have simply a trilinear in charges

$$A_{\delta^{ab}} = \sum_i q_i Y^2_i. \quad (5)$$

If the fermions charged under $U(1)_X$ are in a vector-like representation of $G$, as all the SM fermions are with $SU(3)_C$ (or electromagnetism), then for each left-handed Weyl fermion $\psi_i$ there is another right-handed Weyl fermion $\bar{\psi}_i$ with the same quantum numbers, such that they pair up into a Dirac spinor. Then $\psi_i$ and $\bar{\psi}_i$ are in complex conjugate representations of the gauge group and their contributions to the anomaly coefficient are related by three negative signs and cancel out.

In Table II we give the ABJ anomalies of baryon and lepton number with the chiral factors of the SM gauge group. $A \neq 0$ indicates the presence of an anomaly and the classical $U(1)_X$ symmetry is broken, since the action is no longer invariant under the transformation as in Equation 2. As is familiar, while both baryon and lepton number have anomalies, we may form the anomaly-free current $B - N_e L$ of baryon minus lepton number. This is the only anomaly-free, generation-independent continuous global symmetry of the SM. On the other hand, baryon plus lepton number is violated in nonperturbative processes involving the gauge fields given by the new term in the action Equation 2, which effects (see e.g. [7] for lucid discussion)

$$\langle \partial_\mu J^\mu_{B+N_e L} \rangle = 2N_e N_g \int \frac{W \tilde{W}}{16\pi^2}, \quad (6)$$

where the expectation value is taken in a given background gauge field, $W$ is the $SU(2)_L$ field strength in that configuration, and we have left off the similar $U(1)_Y$ term as it has no effects in $d = 4$ flat space for reasons of topology. This nonperturbative effect is central to electroweak baryogenesis [8, 9] wherein the thermal configurations giving dynamical symmetry violation are called ' sphalerons' [10, 11].

While we have exhausted the anomaly-free continuous global symmetries, let us now relax our symmetry of interest from the full $U(1)_X$ of rotations by arbitrary angles to the subgroup of transformations by $\alpha = 2\pi k / N$ for some $N \in \mathbb{N}, k = 0, \ldots, N - 1$. If we choose $N = A$, then under any rotation the action changes by a multiple of $2\pi i$ in Equation 2 and the partition function is invariant. This $\mathbb{Z}_N$ subgroup of $U(1)_X$ then remains a good symmetry of the quantum theory.

In the case of the SM, this means that there is an additional discrete, anomaly-free $\mathbb{Z}_{N_e}$ worth of symmetries for the SM with $N_e$ generations of fermions. Of course there is some freedom to describe the additional generator, since any addition of $Y$ or $B - N_e L$ would work just as well. But we may non-redundantly identify this as the $\mathbb{Z}_{N_e}$ subgroup of lepton number $U(1)_L$, which is manifestly independent of both—whereas there is a $\mathbb{Z}_{N_e}$ subgroup of $B - N_e L$ in which the leptons transform trivially and the transformation is equivalent to one of $U(1)_B$.

Consequently, the anomaly-free, generation-independent, global symmetry group of the SM is $U(1)_{B - N_e L} \times \mathbb{Z}_{N_e}$. A few more remarks are in order on the structure of this symmetry group. Firstly, we note that baryon plus lepton number $U(1)_{B + N_e L}$

| $SU(2)_L^a$ | $U(1)_B$ | $U(1)_L$ |
|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| $N_e$ | $N_e$ | $-18N_e$ |
| $-18N_e$ | $-18N_e$ | $N_e$ |

Table II. Mixed anomalies of the classical accidental symmetries with the chiral gauge symmetries of the SM. $N_e$ is the number of colors and $N_g$ the number of generations.
intersects this group in a \(\mathbb{Z}_{2N_c N_g}\) subgroup generated by 
\((1,1) \in \mathbb{Z}^{B-N_c L}_{N_c N_g} \times \mathbb{Z}^L_{N_g}\).

This \(\mathbb{Z}_{2N_c N_g}\) is the maximal anomaly-free subgroup 
of baryon plus lepton number in the SM. We see that the appearance of the anomaly coefficient in Equation 6 
expressing current nonconservation enforces dynamically 
the \(\Delta L = N_c, \Delta B = N_c N_g\) selection rule imposed by the 
existence of this exact discrete symmetry. Indeed, SM 
sphaleron processes all respect this selection rule.

Further within this, we note that there is a \(\mathbb{Z}_{2N_c}\) 
subgroup in which \(U(1)_{B+N_c L}\) intersects \(U(1)_{B-N_c L}\) directly, 
so leptons and antileptons have the same charge 
mod \(2N_c\). And inside of this, fermion number can be 
realized as the order two subgroup of \(B \pm N_c L\) rotations by 
e\(i\pi F\), since the only fields charged under \(B\) or \(L\) in the 
SM have odd \(B\) or \(L\) charges and are fermions, and \(N_c\) is also odd. Summarizing these relationships, we have

\[
U(1)_{B-N_c L} \times \mathbb{Z}^{B}_{N_g} \supseteq \mathbb{Z}^{B+N_c L}_{2N_c N_g} \supseteq \mathbb{Z}^{B \pm N_c L}_{2N_c} \supseteq (-1) F,
\]

among anomaly-free global symmetries of the SM.

We note also that the \(U(1)_B\) symmetry we have defined 
in the SM at high energies may more accurately be 
named ‘quark number’. It is in the confined phase that 
\(B_{\text{usual}} \equiv B/N_c\) really counts baryons, which must be 
constructed with the \(SU(N_c)\) invariant tensor \(\varepsilon_{i_1 i_2 \ldots i_{N_c}}\) 
to be colorless. If we strictly work in an effective theory 
below nuclear energy scales then there are no \(B - N_c L\) 
unit charges, and it’s sensible to work with baryon minus 
lepton number as usually defined \(B/N_c - L\). The above 
subgroup series is then modified to

\[
U(1)_{B/N_c - L} \times \mathbb{Z}^{B}_{N_g} \supseteq \mathbb{Z}^{B/N_c + L}_{2N_g} \supseteq (-1) F.
\]

The proton, with \(B/N_c = 1\) and as the lightest baryon in the 
broken phase, then cannot decay while satisfying both 
\(\Delta(B/N_c - L) = 0\) and \(\Delta(B/N_c + L) = 0 \mod 2N_g\).

While many theories beyond the SM explicitly break 
these global symmetries, in the face of increasingly stringent constraints on the lifetime of the proton it may be 
worth reconsidering the prospects that it is absolutely stable. I, for one, would welcome the possibility of there 
being one fewer looming existential threat.

**EARLIER WORK**

Work on anomalies of discrete symmetries began with 
[12, 13]. A variety of authors have considered exotic 
gauged \(\mathbb{Z}_3\) symmetries to stabilize the proton in the context 
of the Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model (MSSM) and extensions thereof (e.g. [14–22]), where 
baryon and lepton number are no longer classical global symmetries. Other interesting related work includes [23– 
33]. I note especially that, while conducting extensive literature review, I found that [34] on the global 
structure of the SM gauge group noted the existence of a \(\mathbb{Z}_{N_g}\) 
anomaly-free subgroup of \(B/N_c + L\), and [35] on discrete 
symmetries in the MSSM mentioned in their Footnote 7 
that a \(\mathbb{Z}_{N_g}\) subgroup of \(B/N_c\) protects the proton in the 
SM. Soon after this work appeared, [36] explored the 
incompatibility of the \(B/N_c + L\) symmetry with a variety 
of grand unification schemes. I beg the pardon of any 
experts who know the facts explained in this manuscript 
already, and I hope the preceding dedicated discussion 
remains of use to the community.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

I am grateful to Clay Cordova, Carlos Wagner, Liantao 
Wang, and especially T. Daniel Brennan and Sungwoo 
Hong for helpful discussions. This work was supported 
by an Oehme Postdoctoral Fellowship from the Enrico 
Fermi Institute at the University of Chicago.

[1] R. A. Bertlmann, Anomalies in quantum field theory (1996).
[2] K. Fujikawa, Path Integral Measure for Gauge Invariant 
Fermion Theories, Phys. Rev. Lett. 42, 1195 (1979).
[3] S. L. Adler, Axial vector vertex in spinor electrodynamics, 
Phys. Rev. 177, 2469 (1969).
[4] J. S. Bell and R. Jackiw, A PCAC puzzle: \(\pi^0 \to \gamma\gamma\) 
in the \(\sigma\) model, Nuovo Cim. A 60, 47 (1969).
[5] M. F. Atiyah and I. M. Singer, The index of elliptic 
operators on compact manifolds, Bulletin of the American 
Mathematical Society 69, 422 (1963).
[6] K. Fujikawa, Path Integral for Gauge Theories with Fermions, 
Phys. Rev. D 21, 2848 (1980). [Erratum: 
Phys.Rev.D 22, 1499 (1980)].
[7] D. E. Morrissey, T. M. P. Tait, and C. E. M. Wagner, 
Proton lifetime and baryon number violating signatures 
at the CERN LHC in gauge extended models, 
Phys. Rev. D 72, 095003 (2005), arXiv:hep-ph/0508123.
[8] V. A. Kuzmin, V. A. Rubakov, and M. E. Shaposhnikov, 
On the Anomalous Electroweak Baryon Number 
Nonconservation in the Early Universe, 
Phys. Lett. B 155, 36 (1985).
[9] M. E. Shaposhnikov, Baryon Asymmetry of the Universe in Standard 
Electroweak Theory, Nucl. Phys. B 287, 757 (1987).
[10] N. S. Manton, Topology in the Weinberg-Salam Theory, 
Phys. Rev. D 28, 2019 (1983).
[11] F. R. Klinkhame and N. S. Manton, A Saddle 
Point Solution in the Weinberg-Salam Theory, 
Phys. Rev. D 30, 2212 (1984).
[12] L. E. Ibanez and G. G. Ross, Discrete gauge symmetry 
anomalies, Phys. Lett. B 260, 291 (1991).
[13] L. E. Ibanez and G. G. Ross, Discrete gauge symmetries 
and the origin of baryon and lepton number conservation 
in supersymmetric versions of the standard model, 
Nucl. Phys. B 368, 3 (1992).
[14] L. E. Ibanez, More about discrete gauge anomalies, 
Nucl. Phys. B 398, 301 (1993), arXiv:hep-ph/9210211.
[15] I. Hinchliffe and T. Kaeding, B+L violating couplings in the minimal supersymmetric Standard Model, Phys. Rev. D 47, 279 (1993).
[16] J. Kubo and D. Suematsu, Suppressing the mu and neutrino masses by a superconformal force, Phys. Rev. D 64, 115014 (2001), arXiv:hep-ph/0107133.
[17] H. K. Dreiner, C. Luhn, and M. Thormeier, What is the discrete gauge symmetry of the MSSM?, Phys. Rev. D 73, 075007 (2006), arXiv:hep-ph/0512163.
[18] H. K. Dreiner, C. Luhn, H. Murayama, and M. Thormeier, Baryon triality and neutrino masses from an anomalous flavor U(1), Nucl. Phys. B 774, 127 (2007), arXiv:hep-ph/0610026.
[19] H.-S. Lee, C. Luhn, and K. T. Matchev, Discrete gauge symmetries and proton stability in the U(1)-prime - extended MSSM, JHEP 07, 065, arXiv:0712.3505 [hep-ph].
[20] C. Luhn and M. Thormeier, Dirac neutrinos and anomaly-free discrete gauge symmetries, Phys. Rev. D 77, 056002 (2008), arXiv:0711.0756 [hep-ph].
[21] H.-S. Lee, Minimal gauge origin of baryon triality and flavorful signatures at the LHC, Phys. Lett. B 704, 316 (2011), arXiv:1007.1040 [hep-ph].
[22] P. Anastasopoulos, R. Richter, and A. N. Schellekens, Discrete symmetries from hidden sectors, JHEP 06, 189, arXiv:1502.02686 [hep-th].
[23] T. Banks and M. Dine, Note on discrete gauge anomalies, Phys. Rev. D 45, 1424 (1992), arXiv:hep-th/9109045.
[24] J. Preskill, S. P. Trivedi, F. Wilczek, and M. B. Wise, Cosmology and broken discrete symmetry, Nucl. Phys. B 363, 207 (1991).
[25] C. Csaki and H. Murayama, Discrete anomaly matching, Nucl. Phys. B 515, 114 (1998), arXiv:hep-th/9710105.
[26] M. Dine, Supersymmetry and String Theory: Beyond the Standard Model (Cambridge University Press, 2016).
[27] T. Araki, T. Kobayashi, J. Kubo, S. Ramos-Sanchez, M. Ratz, and P. K. S. Vaudrevange, (Non-)Abelian discrete anomalies, Nucl. Phys. B 805, 124 (2008), arXiv:0805.0207 [hep-th].
[28] M. Berasaluce-Gonzalez, L. E. Ibanez, P. Soler, and A. M. Uranga, Discrete gauge symmetries in D-brane models, JHEP 12, 113, arXiv:1106.4169 [hep-th].
[29] J. L. Evans, M. Ibe, J. Kehayias, and T. T. Yanagida, Nonanomalous discrete $r$ symmetry decrees three generations, Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 181801 (2012).
[30] Y. Tachikawa, On gauging finite subgroups, SciPost Phys. 8, 015 (2020), arXiv:1712.09542 [hep-th].
[31] T. Kobayashi and H. Uchida, Anomaly of non-Abelian discrete symmetries, Phys. Rev. D 105, 036018 (2022), arXiv:2111.10811 [hep-th].
[32] M. M. Anber and E. Poppitz, Nonperturbative effects in the Standard Model with gauged 1-form symmetry, JHEP 12, 055, arXiv:2110.02981 [hep-th].
[33] J. Davighi, A. Greljo, and A. E. Thomsen, Lep-ptoquarks with Exactly Stable Protons, (2022), arXiv:2202.05275 [hep-ph].
[34] D. Tong, Line Operators in the Standard Model, JHEP 07, 104, arXiv:1705.01853 [hep-th].
[35] P. Byakti, D. Ghosh, and T. Sharma, Note on gauge and gravitational anomalies of discrete $Z_N$ symmetries, JHEP 01, 015, arXiv:1707.03837 [hep-ph].
[36] J. Wang, Z. Wan, and Y.-Z. You, Proton Stability: From the Standard Model to Ultra Unification, (2022), arXiv:2204.08393 [hep-ph].