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Abstract

This study investigates the effect of counterions on the chiral recognition of 1,1’-Binaphthyl-2,2’-diamine (BNA) and 1,1’-Binaphthyl-2,2’,diyl hydrogen-phosphate (BNP) enantiomers when using an amino acid-based surfactant undecanoyl L-leucine (und-Leu) as the chiral pseudostationary phase in capillary electrophoresis. The effects of using two different counterions (sodium and lysine) on the chiral recognition of binaphthyl derivatives were compared at varying pH conditions. The enantiomeric separation of BNA and BNP enantiomers via capillary electrophoresis, using und-Leu as the chiral recognition medium, significantly improved the enantiomeric resolution in capillary electrophoresis at pH 7 when using Lysine counterions as compared to using sodium as the counterion. More specifically, at a surfactant concentration of 45 mM, at pH 7, a significant increase in chiral selectivity was observed when lysine was used as the counterion compared to sodium. The enantiomeric resolution of BNA and BNP increased by 6-fold and 1.1-fold, respectively, in capillary electrophoresis experiments when lysine was utilized as the counterion compared to using sodium. Furthermore, the retention factor of BNA and BNP enantiomers also increased approximately 3.5-fold and 4-fold, respectively, in the presence of lysine counterions as compared to using sodium counterions. When running buffer in capillary electrophoresis was increased to pH 11, the resolution and retention factors were nearly identical when comparing the effects of the sodium and lysine counterions. This signifies the important role of lysine’s positive net charge on chiral recognition. This study provides insight into the potential advantages of using cationic, pH-dependent counterions such as lysine to significantly improve the chiral recognition of binaphthyl derivatives when using chiral anionic surfactants as the pseudostationary phase in capillary electrophoresis.
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1. Introduction

Chirality is ubiquitous in nature. Two of the most important simple class of chiral compounds include amino acids and sugars. Sugars and amino acids serve as the building blocks for a large percentage of biological compounds [1]-[6]. This suggests the profound influence of chirality on fundamental physiological and biological processes. Furthermore, stereospecific reactions play an essential role in drug metabolism, cell membrane stability, and gene expression [7]-[12].

Due to the aforementioned stereospecific reactions that occur within the body, it is not surprising that more than 50% of marketed drugs are chiral [13] [14] [15] [16]. These synthesized pharmaceutical drugs, however, frequently yield racemic mixtures, meaning it contains both enantiomers. This is of major concern because each enantiomer of a drug may exhibit different pharmacological effects. For example, the drug Thalidomide was originally marketed as a racemic mixture and administered to women to help mitigate the effects of morning sickness during pregnancy. Relatively soon after bringing this drug onto the market, an alarming rise in birth defects in newborns was observed. It was later determined that while one enantiomer caused the beneficial pharmaceutical effects, the other enantiomer was the cause of the teratogenic effects [17] [18]. In response to this tragedy, the Food and Drug Administration has mandated that each enantiomer of a chiral drug be evaluated for its respective physiological effects prior to being marketed [13] [16] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]. This gave rise to a new scientific challenge: to establish and optimize enantiomeric separation processes and techniques.

Since then, many techniques have been established to separate enantiomeric compounds. Two of the more common techniques are high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) and capillary electrophoresis (CE) [19] [21] [24] [25] [26] [27]. CE typically yields a higher number of theoretical plates compared to HPLC and thus CE often yields better enantiomeric separations in a shorter period of time [28] [29]. In addition, CE allows for a quick and easy way to change the chiral recognition medium since the chiral recognition medium is part of the mobile phase acting as a pseudo-stationary phase. This is in contrast to HPLC which requires the purchase and installation of different analytical columns if one wishes to change the chiral recognition medium. With HPLC, a wide variety of chiral recognition media exist including but not limited to cyclodextrins, crown ethers, and chiral micelles [21] [24] [25] [26] [27]. This research focuses on the latter, chiral micelles.
In particular, the chiral micelles described in this article are amino acid-based micelles (AABMs). It is worth noting that when micelles are used as the pseudo-stationary phase in CE, the technique is known as micellar electrokinetic chromatography (MEKC). MEKC is a well-established technique for the enantiomeric separation of chiral compounds [21] [24] [25] [26] [30] [31] [32] [33] [34]. Amino acid-based surfactants are composed of a non-polar hydrocarbon chain and an amino acid head group [35]-[40]. The charge on the amino acid head groups can be greatly influenced by pH levels. The ability to impose different pH environments aids in studying how the charge of the surfactant, analytes, and counterions may affect the physiochemical properties of the micelles, as well as its ability to act as an effective chiral separation medium [30] [34]. All of which greatly influence the chiral recognition ability of the AABMs.

Previously, the effects of amino acid order, steric hindrance, dihedral angles, and the polymerization of AABMs have been studied experimentally and computationally to investigate their contributions to chiral recognition [21] [30] [31] [32] [33]. For many years, sodium has been extensively used as the counterion for the MEKC buffer solution [21] [22] [30] [31] [32] [33] [34]. Recently, the effects of pH-dependent counterions have been used to significantly improve the resolution of enantiomeric compounds in MEKC [30] [34]. As previously reported from our research group, pH-dependent counterions, such as arginine have been demonstrated to significantly improve the enantiomeric resolution of various binaphthyl derivatives, when compared to using monatomic sodium as the counterion [34].

To further investigate the effects of pH-dependent counterions on chiral selectivity, this study investigates the role of lysine as the counterion on the chiral separations of 1,1’-Binaphthyl-2,2’-diyl hydrogenphosphate (BNP) and 1,1’-Binaphthyl-2,2’-diamine (BNA) enantiomers at pH 7 and 11 at varying concentrations of und-Leu surfactant. The structures of the surfactant, analytes, and lysine are provided in Figure 1. As shown in this figure, lysine contains two amine groups; one connected to the chiral carbon and another as a side chain substituent, which contains pKa values of approximately 9 and 10.5, respectively. As shown in Figure 2, lysine has various charge states at different pH levels. The various charge states can have a significantly different effect on the physicochemical properties and chiral recognition ability of the micelles formed. However, due to the solubility limitations of the micelles, we cannot work below pH 7, nor above pH 11 due to the limitations of capillary electrophoresis. Therefore, we limited our experiments to the useful pH extremes of our system, pH 7 and 11.

2. Methods

Chemicals

Leucine, lysine and racemic mixtures of binaphthyl derivatives [1,1’-Binaphthyl-2,2’-diyl hydrogenphosphate (BNP) and 1,1’-Binaphthyl-2,2’-diamine (BNA)] were
purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). The und-Leu surfactant was synthesized from the N-hydroxysuccinimide ester of undecylenic acid according to a previously reported procedure [34] [41].

**Capillary electrophoresis procedure**

Chiral separations were performed using a Hewlett-Packard (HP) 3D CE model #G7100A. The fused silica capillary [effective length of 45 cm (to detection window), 50-µm i.d., with a total length of 56 cm] was purchased from Agilent Technologies (Lake Jackson, TX) and mounted in an HP capillary cartridge. The temperature of the cartridge was maintained at 25°C throughout these experiments. Solutions of 45 mM und-Leu with lysine and sodium were prepared in a 5 mM sodium borate buffer and pH was adjusted to values of 7 and 11 with the use of NaOH and HCl. These solutions were diluted to concentrations ranging from 15 to 45 mM and filtered through a 0.45-µm syringe filter before use. Analyte standards were prepared in 1:1 methanol-water at 0.1 mg/mL. Samples were injected for 5 s at 10 mbar pressure. Separations were performed at +30 kV, with UV detection at 230 nm.

**3. Results and Discussion**

In this study, the chiral recognition of und-Leu at varying concentrations in the
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**Figure 1.** Structure of (a) L-Undecyl-Leucine surfactant (b) Lysine (c) BNP (d) BNA.
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**Figure 2.** Representation of the charged states and isoelectric point of Lysine.
presence of sodium and L-lysine counterions was investigated at pH 7 and 11. We separated the enantiomers of BNP and BNA with varying concentrations of surfactant ranging from 15 to 45 mM, with 5 mM concentration intervals. As shown in Figure 3(a), pH 7 provided a better resolution for separating BNP enantiomers in the presence of lysine as compared to sodium. The retention factor (k’) values shown in Figure 3(b) indicate that at pH 7, the enantiomers of BNP interacted stronger when lysine was used as the counterion compared to sodium. At pH 7 and a surfactant concentration of 45 mM, the k’ value for BNP was ~5.8, whereas this value was ~1.2 in the presence of sodium. As pH increased to 11, the retention factor for the enantiomers of BNP was similar in the presence of both counterions—lysine and sodium.

The resolution and retention factors for the enantiomers of BNA in the presence of lysine and sodium counterions are shown in Figure 4. At pH 7, with und-Leu concentration of 15 mM, in the presence of lysine, the enantiomers of BNA were separated with a resolution of ~1.8, whereas at the same concentration

Figure 3. Comparison of the (a) resolution of BNP enantiomers (average std = ±0.1) and (b) k’ of BNP enantiomers in the presence of Na+ and L-Lysine counterions (average std = ±0.02), at concentrations of und-Leu ranging from 15 mM to 45 mM (in 5 mM increments), at pH 7 and 11. UV detection is at 230 nm, applied voltage was at +30 kV, and capillary temperature at 25˚C.
and pH, a resolution of ~0.4 was observed in the presence of sodium. Similar to BNP, at higher pH levels, the resolution and retention factors for BNA were approximately the same for both, sodium and lysine counterions. Shown in Figure 5 is an electropherogram comparing the separation of BNP enantiomers at pH 7 and 25 mM in the presence of sodium and lysine. As can be seen in Figure 5(a), no separation was achieved with sodium as the counterion but as shown in Figure 5(b), a resolution of ~1.5 was achieved when lysine was used as the counterion.

Chiral recognition with amino acid-based surfactants is strongly dependent on molecular interactions such as: hydrogen bonding, electrostatic attraction, steric hindrance and the hydrophobic effect. Surfactants with amino acid head groups contain amide and carboxylic acid moieties, in which the pH is expected to affect the electrostatic and hydrogen bonding capabilities of the polar head constituents of the surfactants. A previous study by Ramos et al. investigated the effects of chiral recognition of und-Leu surfactant in the presence of arginine.
Figure 5. Electropherogram of BNP at pH 7 and surfactant concentration of 25 mM comparing separations in the presence of (a) sodium counterions and (b) Lysine counterions. UV detection is at 230 nm, applied voltage was at +30 kV, and capillary temperature at 25°C.

and sodium counterions [34]. In Ramos et al., the BNP enantiomers were separated via MEKC at pH 7 in the presence of arginine and sodium, with resolutions of approximately 4.1 and 0.6, respectively [34]. When sodium was used as the counterion, baseline resolution of BNP was not observed. Results of that study suggest that the counterion plays a significant role in chiral recognition with amino acid-based surfactants. This motivates the current investigation of comparing the effect of pH on chiral recognition of BNP and BNA enantiomers with und-Leu surfactants in presence of lysine and sodium counterions.

As previously discussed, lysine contains two amine groups; one amine group is directly connected to the chiral carbon and another amine group on the side chain of the molecule. As also previously mentioned, at lower pH levels both of the amine moieties are protonated, providing lysine with a net positive charge, as shown in Figure 2. This net positive charge on lysine allows it to act as a counterion for the negatively charged amino acid-based surfactants. The presence of amine groups on lysine allows for hydrogen bonds and electrostatic attraction to occur with the BNP and BNA enantiomers, as well as with the surfactant polar head group. These intermolecular interactions cannot occur with sodium counterions as it is monatomic and does not contain hydrogen bonding moieties. As previously reported, the amount of lysine molecules bound to the surfactant (fraction bound, $f_b$) changes significantly as a function of pH [30] [34]. At pH 7, ~37% of the lysine molecules are bound to the surfactant. This number decreases to ~3% at pH 11 [30]. As seen in Figure 2, lysine has a net positive charge at pH 7, allowing for stronger electrostatic interactions between lysine and the negatively charged surfactants. However, this attraction is significantly reduced as it is subjected to higher pH levels.
3.1. Separation of BNP Enantiomers

The separation of the BNP enantiomers via MEKC with und-Leu surfactants in the presence of lysine and sodium counterions were compared at pH 7 and 11. The resolution and $k'$ values of the BNP enantiomers are shown in Figure 3(a). Figure 3(b). Enantiomeric separation improves as the surfactant concentration increases from 15 to 45 mM in the presence of lysine counterions at pH 7. At the same pH, the best resolution observed with sodium counterions was $\sim$0.6 at 40 mM. This resolution was better in the presence of lysine, which was $\sim$1.1 at 45 mM. The separation of BNP enantiomers significantly decreased at pH 11 in the presence of both, sodium and lysine counterions. As previously mentioned, a possible contributing factor is that at lower pH levels, lysine counterions have a net positive charge, allowing for stronger electrostatic attraction to occur inter-molecularly with the negatively charged surfactant head groups, compared higher pH levels.

The enantiomers of BNP were separated with a resolution of $\sim$2.0 at a surfactant concentration of 30 mM at pH 7 in the presence of lysine, whereas no separation was observed at the same pH and concentrations in the presence of sodium. Comparing retention factors in Figure 4(b) shows that the enantiomers of BNP interact stronger with und-Leu in the presence of lysine counterions than that of sodium counterions. At pH 7 and 45 mM surfactant concentration of und-Leu, a $k'$ value of 5.8 was observed with lysine present, which is significantly higher than the $k'$ value of 1.1 when sodium was utilized as the counterion. The difference in the influence of lysine counterions with und-Leu surfactant as compared to sodium is demonstrated in the electropherogram shown in Figure 5. Baseline resolution of BNP enantiomers was achieved at pH 7 with a surfactant concentration of 25 mM in the presence of Lysine, producing a $k'$ value of $\sim$1.54. In contrast, baseline separation was not observed in the presence of sodium counterions. As previously reported by Lewis et al., the physical properties of und-Leu in the presence of Lysine and sodium are quite different [30]. The critical micelle concentration (CMC) was determined to be $\sim$17 - 18 mM in the presence of either counterion. However, at pH 7, the hydrodynamic radius ($R_h$) of und-Leu is larger in the presence of Lysine, than that of sodium. The $R_h$ values in Lewis et al. were reported to be $\sim$12.8 Å and $\sim$10.9 Å for lysine and sodium counterions, respectively [30]. The difference in chiral recognition in the presence of lysine may be due to the interactions of this counterion with the charged head groups of the surfactants. Lysine counterions are positively charged at pH 7, which may participate in electrostatic attractions and hydrogen bonding interactions with the micelle at this pH, attributing to the improvement in chiral selectivity of the BNP enantiomers, as shown in Figure 6. Contrarily, at pH 11, lysine has an overall net charge of zero thus losing its charged properties to act as an effective counterion. Due to the loss of positive charges, we therefore expect it to have less electrostatic and hydrogen bonding interactions with the negatively-charged surfactants. This is due to lysine losing its effectiveness as a counterion.
at higher pH levels, which causes sodium ions to then act as the predominant counterion. Moreover, the interaction of BNP enantiomers with und-Leu is similar in the presence of sodium or neutralized lysine. Further evidence of similar interactions is shown in Figure 3(b), where similar retention factors for BNP were observed when comparing the presence of sodium or lysine counterions.

3.2. Separation of BNA Enantiomers

Similar to BNP, the BNA enantiomers exhibited better separation in the presence of lysine at pH 7. At pH 7, resolutions of 1.8, 2.0, 2.4 and 2.5 were observed at 15, 25, 35 and 45 mM, respectively, in the presence of lysine counterions, as presented in Figure 4(a). These resolution values far exceed those observed in the presence of sodium at the same pH level. As seen in Figure 4(a), the BNA enantiomers were separated with resolutions of 0.4, 0.9, 1.6 and 2.1 at concentrations of 15, 25, 35 and 45 mM, respectively in the presence of sodium counterions. As previously mentioned, the CMC of und-Leu is approximately the same (~17 - 18 mM) in the presence of sodium and lysine. However, at pH 7, baseline separation of BNA enantiomers was observed at 15 mM. Furthermore, the k’ values indicate that BNA enantiomers do not bind strongly to und-Leu at this surfactant concentration. Overall, the interaction of BNA enantiomers is very effective at low pH levels and low surfactant concentrations. Below the CMC, lysine counterions still interact with und-Leu surfactants. At pH 7, lysine molecules are positively charged, therefore, electrostatic attraction between the positively charged amine moieties of lysine and the negatively charged und-Leu draw these two molecules closer together. This allows lysine to form hydrogen bonds with the surfactant polar head groups thus providing a chiral cavity that can improve its chiral selectivity of BNA enantiomers.

3.3. Effect of Lysine Chirality on Chiral Recognition

To determine if the chirality of lysine played a role in chiral recognition, two experiments were performed. In one experiment, 50 mM L-Lysine was used without und-Leu, and no chiral recognition was observed. In another experi-
ment, 20 mM D-lysine and 20 mM L-und-leu were used as the running buffer in MEKC. No difference in chiral recognition of BNA enantiomers was observed when either D- or L-Lysine was utilized as the counterion. We hypothesize that Lysine counterions may form small aggregates that provide an environment for selective chiral recognition of BNA enantiomers. If this hypothesis holds true, then using D-Lysine as the counterion should make a difference in the resolution of BNA enantiomers. Therefore, aggregates formed by D-Lysine should act in an opposite manner of L-Lysine, resulting in either reversal of enantiomeric order or reduction of resolution value. This was not observed when D-Lysine was used as the counterion.

4. Conclusions

The enantiomeric resolution of BNP significantly improved in the presence of lysine counterions as compared to sodium counterions at pH 7 when using und-Leu surfactants as the chiral recognition medium. Most notably, when using a surfactant concentration of 45 mM in the presence of lysine counterions at pH 7, the enantiomeric resolution increased approximately 6-fold compared to that of when using sodium as the counterion. Furthermore, at the same conditions, the retention factor increased approximately 4-fold when using lysine counterions, as compared to using sodium. However, with experimental conditions at pH 11, the enantiomeric resolution and retention factor are nearly identical when separating BNP enantiomers with either lysine or sodium counterions.

At pH 7, the enantiomeric resolution of BNA enantiomers was achieved using und-Leu surfactants in the presence of lysine counterions. This improved the enantiomeric resolution values as compared to when using sodium counterions. For example, at a surfactant concentration of 45 mM in the presence of lysine at pH 7, the enantiomeric resolution increased approximately 1.1-fold compared to that of when using sodium counterions. Furthermore, the retention factor at those same aforementioned conditions increased approximately 3.5-fold when using lysine as the counterion, as compared to using sodium. When separating BNA enantiomers using 45 mM concentration of und-Leu surfactant at pH 11, the enantiomeric resolution and retention factors are nearly identical to that of when using sodium as the counterion.

In conclusion, when separating chiral compounds such as BNA and BNP with und-Leu surfactants in the presence of pH-dependent counterions such as Lysine via MEKC, the enantiomeric resolution and retention factors significantly improved when compared to using sodium counterion as the counterion in these studies. Therefore, this study provides insight to further optimize chiral separation conditions using pH-dependent counterions as opposed to monatomic counterions such as sodium.
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