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In this report we present the first test run results from Au+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 9.2$ GeV at RHIC. The large acceptance STAR detector has collected 3k minimum bias collisions during this test run. The azimuthal anisotropy, identified particle spectra, particle ratios and HBT radii are observed to be consistent with the previous measurements from CERN SPS at similar center of mass energies. These results from the lowest collision energy at RHIC demonstrate the STAR detector’s readiness to collect high quality data for the proposed Critical Point Search Program which allows us to explore the QCD phase diagram.
1. Introduction

In the first decade of STAR running, the evidence about the existence of a strongly coupled Quark Gluon Plasma (sQGP) \cite{1} came in the form of strong suppression of particle production at large $p_T$ \cite{2} and the large amount of elliptic flow \cite{3}. As an important step towards understanding the properties of sQGP and the structure of the QCD phase diagram, a systematic analysis of particle production as a function of collision energy is necessary. Theoretical calculations have indicated that the order of the transition from hadronic matter to the sQGP depends on the baryon chemical potential ($\mu_B$) and temperature ($T$): at low $\mu_B$ and high $T$, a cross-over transition occurs\cite{4}; at high $\mu_B$ and low $T$, the phase transition is thought to become first order \cite{5}; this first order phase transition “meets” with the smooth cross-over at the critical point\cite{6}. Experimentally we can access this phase diagram and vary these initial conditions by changing the beam energy. Thus a beam energy scan (BES) program will help us to explore the QCD phase diagram and to locate the critical point \cite{7,8}. As a first step of the BES program, RHIC made a test run for Au+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 9.2$ GeV. The preliminary results from STAR will be reported in this article.

2. Experiment and Analysis

The data presented here are from Au+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 9.2$ GeV, recorded by the STAR experiment at RHIC. The main detector used to obtain the results on anisotropy flow, yields, particle ratios and pion interferometry is the Time Projection Chamber (TPC) \cite{9}. The TPC is STAR’s primary detector and can track up to 4000 particles per event. For the collisions near its center, the TPC covers the pseudorapidity ($\eta$) range $|\eta| \leq 1.5$. The full azimuthal coverage of the TPC makes it ideal for flow and event-by-event fluctuations measurements. The particle identification (PID) is accomplished by measuring the ionization energy loss ($dE/dx$) in the TPC. In the future, the Time of Flight (ToF) detector \cite{10} (with $2\pi$ azimuthal coverage and $|\eta| < 1.0$) will further enhance the PID capability. The ToF will be ready for Run10 in December of 2009.

All events were taken with a minimum bias trigger. The trigger detectors used in this data are the Beam-Beam-Counter (BBC) and Vertex Position Detector (VPD) \cite{11}. The BBCs are scintillator annuli mounted around the beam pipe beyond the east and west pole-tips of the STAR magnet at about 375 cm from the center of the nominal interaction region (IR), and they have the $\eta$ coverage of $3.8 < |\eta| < 5.2$ and a full azimuthal ($2\pi$) coverage. The BBCs are used to reconstruct the first order event plane for the directed flow analysis.

The only result obtained from the forward rapidity windows are the directed flow measurement, for which we used data taken by the Forward Time Projection Chamber (FTPC) \cite{12}. The FTPC detects charged particles in $|\eta|$ range from 2.5 to 4.2 with full azimuthal coverage. The detail about the design and the other characteristics of the STAR detector can be found in Ref. \cite{13}.

There are $\sim 3000$ good events collected at about 0.6 Hz in the year 2008. The data taking period lasted less than 5 hours.

The centrality definition and the tracks selection for various analysis are explained in Ref. \cite{14}.
3. Results and Discussion

Fig. 1 (left panel) shows the charged hadrons $v_1$ as a function of $\eta$ in 0-60% most central Au+Au collisions at 9.2 GeV. The $p_T$ range for this study is 0.15-2.0 GeV/c. The $v_1$ results from 9.2 GeV are obtained by different methods: 1) The standard methods: the one for which the first order event plane is reconstructed from the FTPC tracks is named $v_1\{\text{EP}_1,\text{FTPC}\}$, while that which uses BBC hits for the first order event plane reconstruction is named $v_1\{\text{EP}_1,\text{BBC}\}$; 2) The mixed harmonics method, denoted by $v_1\{\text{EP}_1,\text{EP}_2\}$. This method utilizes the large elliptic flow signal at RHIC, and at the same time suppresses the non-flow arising from the correlation of particles from the same harmonics. The details of these methods can be found in Ref. [15]. The $v_1$ results at 9.2 GeV from different methods are consistent within the error bars. These results of $v_1$ from $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 9.2$ GeV Au+Au collisions are compared to corresponding results in 30%-60% central Au+Au collisions at 62.4 and 200 GeV [16]. The results are compared with $v_1$ of charged pions in Pb+Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 8.8$ GeV. At and near mid-rapidity, the $v_1$ values are independent of beam energy. At forward rapidities, the $v_1$ values seem to change sign at lower colliding energy while those at higher energies retain the monotonic behaviour. However, if $v_1$ are plotted as a function of $\eta$ scaled with the beam rapidity $y_{beam}$, as shown in the right plot of Fig. 1, in the common region of values of $\eta/y_{beam}$ the $v_1$ values remain the same at all energies.

The elliptic flow versus transverse momentum for the charged hadrons, pions and protons from the 9.2 GeV Au+Au collisions are shown in Fig. 2 (left panel). The comparison with the similar energy from NA49 [17] are also shown. The STAR and NA49 (at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 8.8$ GeV) results seem to be consistent within errors. Fig. 2 (right panel) shows integral $v_2$ as a function of beam energy for charged hadrons. Results from minimum bias collisions at 9.2 GeV at midrapidity are compared.
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Figure 2: Left panel: \(v_2\) as function of \(p_T\) for charged hadrons (red triangles), pions (red circles) and protons (red squares) in 0-60\% Au+Au collisions at 9.2 GeV. For comparison, \(v_2(p_T)\) results for pions (black circles) from NA49 [17] in 0-43.5\% Pb+Pb collisions at 8.8 GeV are shown. Right panel: Energy dependence of integral \(v_2(|\eta| < 1.0)\) for Au+Au collisions at 9.2 GeV from centrality 0-60\%. The STAR charged hadron \(v_2\) [18] results are compared with the measurement from E877 [19], NA49 [17], PHENIX [20], and PHOBOS [21, 22, 23]. Only statistical error is shown.

with those from STAR at higher energy [18] and other experiments [17, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23]. The \(v_2\) results nicely fits into the observed trends.

Fig. 3 (upper panels) shows the particle yields per unit rapidity \(dN/dy\) from \(\pi^\pm\) and \(K^\pm\) as a function of \(\sqrt{s_{NN}}\) at midrapidity for central collisions. Fig 3 (lower panels) shows the \(\langle m_T \rangle - m_0\) for \(\pi^\pm\) and \(K^\pm\) respectively in 0-10\% central Au+Au collisions at 9.2 GeV. These results are compared to those at AGS, SPS and RHIC energies [24, 25, 26]. The yield and \(\langle m_T \rangle - m_0\) beam energy dependence from 9.2 GeV are consistent with the published data. The \(\langle m_T \rangle - m_0\) seems to increase with the energy at the AGS energy region \(\sqrt{s_{NN}} \sim 2-5\) GeV. It appears to be independent of the energy in the SPS energy window, \(\sqrt{s_{NN}} \sim 5-20\) GeV. As shown in the figure, the RHIC 9.2 GeV collision data is similar to other results. Assuming a thermodynamic system, the \(\langle m_T \rangle - m_0\) is associated with temperature and the \(dN/dy \propto \log(\sqrt{s_{NN}})\) represents the entropy of the system. It has been argued that the observations in this scenario could reflect the characteristic signature of a first order phase transition [27]. The energy independence of \(\langle m_T \rangle - m_0\), \(\sqrt{s_{NN}} \sim 8-60\) GeV, can be interpreted as reflecting of a mixed phase.

Fig. 4 shows the centrality dependence of particle ratios (\(K^-/K^+, K^-/\pi^-, p/\pi^+\) and \(K^+/\pi^+\)) from 9.2 GeV (red star symbols) compared with STAR results at high energies 62.4 GeV (open square symbols) and 200 GeV (full circle symbols) [24, 23]. The \(K^-/K^+\) and \(K^-/\pi^-\) ratios are lower in 9.2 GeV compared to that in 200 and 62.4 GeV. On the other hand, There is less variation between 9.2 GeV and the highest RHIC energies in the \(K^+/\pi^+\) ratio than in case of the other particle ratios just discussed. This reflects that the production of kaons at the mid-rapidity going from a region of high net-baryon density at lower collision energies, to a region of lower net-baryon density at high beam energies. \(K^+\) production at lower beam energies is dominated by associated production. The \(p/\pi^+\) ratio in 9.2 GeV is greater compared to that in 200 GeV and 62.4 GeV,
Figure 3: $dN/dy$ normalized by $\langle N_{\text{part}} \rangle$ (upper panels) and $\langle m_T \rangle - m$ (lower panels) of $\pi^\pm$ (left panels) and $K^\pm$ (right panels) in 0-10% central Au+Au collisions for 9.2 GeV compared to previous results from AGS [24], SPS [25] and RHIC [26]. The errors are statistical and systematic errors added in quadrature.

Figure 4: Variation of $K^-/K^+$ (top left panel), $K^-/\pi^-$ (bottom left panel), $p/\pi^+$ (top right panel) and $K^+/\pi^+$ (bottom right panel) from Au+Au collision at 9.2 GeV. The corresponding results from Au+Au collisions at 62.4 GeV and 200 GeV [26, 28] are also shown for comparison. The errors shown are systematic and statistical errors added in quadrature.
reflecting a large baryon stopping at the low energy. All these particle ratios presented seem to be independent of collision centrality for all the energies studied.

Fig. 5 shows the collision energy dependence of particle ratios \( \pi^-/\pi^+ \), \( K^-/K^+ \), \( \bar{p}/p \) and \( K/\pi \). The results from Au+Au 9.2 GeV (red star symbol) seem to follow the trends established by the previous measurements \( [24, 25, 26] \). In Au+Au 9.2 GeV collisions, the \( \pi^-/\pi^+ \) ratio is about 1 which shows that this production is dominated by pair production. The \( K^-/K^+ \) ratio is around 0.4, indicating the significant contribution from the associated production for the positive kaons. The \( \bar{p}/p \) ratio is far less than one which reflect large baryon stopping. The \( K/\pi \) ratio is of special interest as it expresses the enhancement of strangeness production relative to non-strange hadrons. The \( K^+/\pi^+ \) ratio increases as a function of beam energy and reaches a peak at about \( \sqrt{s_{NN}} \sim 7.7 \text{ GeV} \). At higher beam energies, the ratio starts to show a decreasing trend. The new 9.2 GeV results are found to be consistent with previous observed energy dependence within the error bars. Note that only the ratio of positive particles, \( K^+/\pi^+ \), shows the peak at about 8 GeV. For the negative ratios, a monotonic increase as a function of beam energy is seen, see open-squares in the figure. Since only the \( K^+ \) is involved in the associate process \( (N+N \rightarrow N+\Lambda+K^+) \), the observed peak may reflect the maximum stopping reached in high-energy nuclear collisions. The RHIC BES program will make precision measurements for all of these ratios at this interesting energy region in the near future.

The \( \pi \) interferometry from low \( p_T \) and mid-rapidity give us information about the space-time size of emitting source and freeze-out process of the fireball \( [29] \). Fig. 6 shows the results for Hanbury-Brown-Twiss (HBT) pion (\( \pi^+ \)) interferometry measurements. There are three
Figure 6: The HBT radii $R_{\text{out}}, R_{\text{side}}, R_{\text{long}}$ and the ratio $R_{\text{out}}/R_{\text{side}}$ for $\pi^-$ are plotted as a function of beam energy. The centrality is 0-60% and the $k_T$ range is [0.15, 0.25] (GeV/c) for Au+Au 9.2 GeV. The errors for Au+Au 9.2 GeV are only statistical. The systematic errors are expected to be less than 10% for all radii. The 9.2 GeV results are compared with the measurements by STAR high energies [29], PHENIX [30], PHOBOS [31], NA44 [32], NA49 [33], E802 [34], E866 [35], E895 [36], WA97 [37], CERES [38].

radii parameters $R_{\text{out}}, R_{\text{side}}$ and $R_{\text{long}}$ measured in our analysis. The $R_{\text{out}}$ measures the spatial and temporal extension of the source whereas the $R_{\text{side}}$ gives the spatial extension of the source. Thus the ratio $R_{\text{out}}/R_{\text{side}}$ reflects the emission duration of the source. It is expected that the ratio $R_{\text{out}}/R_{\text{side}}$ is much larger than 1 for a first order transition [39]. For the measured beam energies [29, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38], the ratio $R_{\text{out}}/R_{\text{side}}$ is close to 1 within errors.

4. Summary and Outlook

In summary, the azimuthal anisotropy ($v_1$ and $v_2$) results from Au+Au 9.2 GeV are similar to those obtained from collisions at similar energies. The identified particle spectra are obtained from Au+Au collisions at 9.2 GeV. The centrality and beam energy dependence of the hadron yields and ratios are studied. In the central collisions, the anti-proton to proton ratio $\sim 0.01$ shows significant baryon stopping in these collisions. The $K^-/K^+$ ratio from the central collision is $\sim 0.4$, showing associated production for $K^+$. The $p/\pi$ ratio is higher and $K^-/\pi^-$ is lower at 9.2 GeV compared to 200 GeV at all collision centralities studied. The pion interferometry results in the Au+Au collisions at 9.2 GeV follow the established beam energy trends.

These 9.2 GeV results from Au+Au collision are the first important step towards the RHIC BES program. There are several key measurements for the program: 1) The PID hadron spectra,
ratios and elliptic flow give the information about the collectivity dynamics; 2) The fluctuations including net-proton kurtosis, \( K/\pi \) ratio, \( \langle p_T \rangle \), \( \langle N \rangle \) access the thermodynamics of the system. Our BES program is planned to cover the energy range \( \sqrt{s_{NN}} \sim 5 - 39 \) GeV. Several Lattice QCD based estimates of the Critical Point [4, 5, 6] are within our BES search area. The results presented in this proceedings from the lowest beam energy collisions at RHIC demonstrate the STAR detector's capability to collect high quality data for the proposed BES Program. Large and uniform acceptance for all beam energies in a collider set-up, excellent particle identification (TPC+TOF) and higher statistics will lead to significant advances in the Critical Point search.
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1. Introduction

In the first decade of STAR running, the evidence about the existence of a strongly coupled Quark Gluon Plasma (sQGP) [1] came in the form of strong suppression of particle production at large $p_T$ [2] and the large amount of elliptic flow [3]. As an important step towards understanding the properties of sQGP and the structure of the QCD phase diagram, a systematic analysis of particle production as a function of collision energy is necessary. Theoretical calculations have indicated the transition from hadronic matter to the sQGP depends on the baryon chemical potential ($\mu_B$) and temperature ($T$): at low $\mu_B$ and high $T$, a cross-over transition occurs[4]; at high $\mu_B$ and low $T$, the phase transition is thought to become first order [5]; this first order phase transition “meets” with the smooth cross-over at the critical point[6]. Experimentally we can access this phase diagram and vary these initial conditions by changing the beam energy. Thus a beam energy scan (BES) program will help us to explore the QCD phase diagram and to locate the critical point [7, 8]. As a first step of the BES program, RHIC made a test run for Au+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 9.2$ GeV. The preliminary results from STAR will be reported in this article.

2. Experiment and Analysis

The data presented here are from Au+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 9.2$ GeV, recorded by the STAR experiment at RHIC. The main detector used to obtain the results on anisotropy flow, yields, particle ratios and pion interferometry is the Time Projection Chamber (TPC) [9]. The TPC is STAR’s primary detector and can track up to 4000 particles per event. For the collisions near its center, the TPC covers the pseudorapidity ($\eta$) range $|\eta| \leq 1.5$. The full azimuthal coverage of the TPC makes it ideal for flow and event-by-event fluctuations measurements. The particle identification (PID) is accomplished by measuring the ionization energy loss ($dE/dx$) in the TPC. In the future, the Time of Flight (ToF) detector [10] (with $2\pi$ azimuthal coverage and $\eta < 1.0$ ) will further enhance the PID capability. The ToF will be ready for Run10 in December of 2009.

All events were taken with a minimum bias trigger. The trigger detectors used in this data are the Beam-Beam-Counter (BBC) and Vertex Position Detector (VPD) [11]. The BBCs are scintillator annuli mounted around the beam pipe beyond the east and west pole-tips of the STAR magnet at about 375 cm from the center of the nominal interaction region (IR), and they have the $\eta$ coverage of $3.8 < \eta < 5.2$ and a full azimuthal ($2\pi$) coverage. The BBCs are used to reconstruct the first order event plane for the directed flow analysis.

The only result obtained from the forward rapidity windows are the directed flow measurement, for which we used data taken by the Forward Time Projection Chamber (FTPC) [12]. The FTPC detects charged particles in $\eta$ range from 2.5 to 4.2 with full azimuthal coverage. The detail about the design and the other characteristics of the STAR detector can be found in Ref. [13].

There are $\sim 3000$ good events collected at about 0.6 Hz in the year 2008. The data taking period lasted less than 5 hours.

The centrality definition and the tracks selection for various analysis are explained in Ref. [14].
3. Results and Discussion

Fig. 1 (left panel) shows the charged hadrons $v_1$ as a function of $\eta$ in 0-60% most central Au+Au collisions at 9.2 GeV. The $p_T$ range for this study is 0.15-2.0 GeV/c. The $v_1$ results from 9.2 GeV are obtained by different methods: 1) The standard methods: the one for which the first order event plane is reconstructed from the FTPC tracks is named $v_1\{EP_1,FTPC\}$, while that which uses BBC hits for the first order event plane reconstruction is named $v_1\{EP_1,BBC\}$; 2) The mixed harmonics method, denoted by $v_1\{EP_1,EP_2\}$. This method utilizes the large elliptic flow signal at RHIC, and at the same time suppresses the non-flow arising from the correlation of particles from the same harmonics. The details of these methods can be found in Ref. [15]. The $v_1$ results at 9.2 GeV from different methods are consistent within the error bars. These results of $v_1$ from $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 9.2$ GeV Au+Au collisions are compared to corresponding results in 30%-60% central Au+Au collisions at 62.4 and 200 GeV [16].

The elliptic flow versus transverse momentum for the charged hadrons, pions and protons from the 9.2 GeV Au+Au collisions are shown in Fig. 2 (left panel). The comparison with the similar energy from NA49 [17] are also shown. The STAR and NA49 (at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 8.8$ GeV) results seem to be consistent within errors. Fig. 2 (right panel) shows integral $v_2$ as a function of beam energy for charged hadrons. Results from minimum bias collisions at 9.2 GeV at midrapidity are compared with those from STAR at higher energy [18] and other experiments [17, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23]. The $v_2$ results nicely fits into the observed trends.

Fig. 3 (upper panels) shows the particle yields per unit rapidity $dN/dy$ from $\pi^\pm$ and $K^\pm$ as a function of $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ at midrapidity for central collisions. Fig 3 (lower panels) shows the $\langle m_T \rangle - m_0$ for $\pi^\pm$ and $K^\pm$ respectively in 0-10% central Au+Au collisions at 9.2 GeV. These results are compared
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Figure 2: Left panel: $v_2$ as function of $p_T$ for charged hadrons (red triangles), pions (red circles) and protons (red squares) in 0-60% Au+Au collisions at 9.2 GeV. For comparison, $v_2(p_T)$ results for pions (black circles) from NA49 [17] in 0-43.5% Pb+Pb collisions at 8.8 GeV are shown. Right panel: Energy dependence of integral $v_2$ ($|\eta| < 1.0$) for Au+Au collisions at 9.2 GeV from centrality 0-60%. The STAR charged hadron $v_2$ [18] results are compared with the measurement from E877 [19], NA49 [17], PHENIX [20], and PHOBOS [21, 22]. Only statistical error is shown.

Figure 3: $dN/dy$ normalized by $\langle N_{\text{part}} \rangle$ (upper panels) and $\langle m_T \rangle - m$ (lower panels) of $\pi^\pm$ (left panels) and $K^\pm$ (right panels) in 0-10% central Au+Au collisions for 9.2 GeV compared to previous results from AGS [24], SPS [25] and RHIC [26]. The errors are statistical and systematic errors added in quadrature.
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Figure 4: Variation of $K^−/K^+$ (top left panel), $K^−/\pi^−$ (bottom left panel), $p/\pi^+$ (top right panel) and $K^+/\pi^+$ (bottom right panel) from Au+Au collision at 9.2 GeV. The corresponding results from Au+Au collisions at 62.4 GeV and 200 GeV [26, 28] are also shown for comparison. The errors shown are systematic and statistical errors added in quadrature.

to those at AGS, SPS and RHIC energies [24, 25, 26]. The yield and $\langle m_T \rangle - m_0$ beam energy dependence from 9.2 GeV are consistent with the published data. The $\langle m_T \rangle - m_0$ seems to increase with the energy at the AGS energy region $\sqrt{s_{NN}} \sim 2-5$ GeV. It appears to be independent of the energy in the SPS energy window, $\sqrt{s_{NN}} \sim 5-20$ GeV. As shown in the figure, the RHIC 9.2 GeV collision data is similar to other results. Assuming a thermodynamic system, the $\langle m_T \rangle - m_0$ is associated with temperature and the $dN/dy \propto \log(\sqrt{s_{NN}})$ represents the entropy of the system. It has been argued that the observations in this scenario could reflect the characteristic signature of a first order phase transition [27]. The energy independence of $\langle m_T \rangle - m_0$, $\sqrt{s_{NN}} \sim 8-60$ GeV, can be interpreted as reflecting of a mixed phase.

Fig. 4 shows the centrality dependence of particle ratios ($K^−/K^+$, $K^−/\pi^−$, $p/\pi^+$ and $K^+/\pi^+$) from 9.2 GeV (red star symbols) compared with STAR results at high energies 62.4 GeV (open square symbols) and 200 GeV (full circle symbols) [24, 25]. The $K^−/K^+$ and $K^−/\pi^−$ ratios are lower in 9.2 GeV compared to that in 200 and 62.4 GeV. On the other hand, There is less variation between 9.2 GeV and the highest RHIC energies in the $K^+/\pi^+$ ratio than in case of the other particle ratios just discussed. This reflects that the production of kaons at the mid-rapidity going from a region of high net-baryon density at lower collision energies, to a region of lower net-baryon density at high beam energies. $K^+$ production at lower beam energies is dominated by associated production. The $p/\pi^+$ ratio in 9.2 GeV is greater compared to that in 200 GeV and 62.4 GeV, reflecting a large baryon stopping at the low energy. All these particle ratios presented seem to be independent of collision centrality for all the energies studied.

Fig. 5 shows the collision energy dependence of particle ratios ($\pi^−/\pi^+$, $K^−/K^+$, $\bar{p}/p$ and $K/\pi$). The results from Au+Au 9.2 GeV (red star symbol) seem to follow the trends established
Figure 5: The particle ratios at $|y| < 0.5$ for 0-10% central 9.2 GeV Au+Au collisions are compared to previous results from AGS [24], SPS [25] and RHIC [26]: $\pi^-/\pi^+$ (top left panel), $\bar{p}/p$ (bottom left panel), $K^-/K^+$ (top right panel) and $K/\pi$ (bottom right panel). The errors shown are statistical and systematic errors added in quadrature.

by the previous measurements [24, 25, 26]. In Au+Au 9.2 GeV collisions, the $\pi^-/\pi^+$ ratio is about 1 which shows that this production is dominated by pair production. The $K^-/K^+$ ratio is around 0.4, indicating the significant contribution from the associated production for the positive kaons. The $\bar{p}/p$ ratio is far less than one which reflect large baryon stopping. The $K/\pi$ ratio is of special interest as it expresses the enhancement of strangeness production relative to non-strange hadrons. The $K^+/\pi^+$ ratio increases as a function of beam energy and reaches a peak at about $\sqrt{s_{NN}} \sim 7.7$ GeV. At higher beam energies, the ratio starts to show a decreasing trend. The new 9.2 GeV results are found to be consistent with previous observed energy dependence within the error bars. Note that only the ratio of positive particles, $K^+/\pi^+$, shows the peak at about 8 GeV. For the negative ratios, a monotonic increase as a function of beam energy is seen, see open-squares in the figure. Since only the $K^+$ is involved in the associate process ($N + N \rightarrow N + \Lambda + K^+$), the observed peak may reflect the maximum stopping reached in high-energy nuclear collisions. The RHIC BES program will make precision measurements for all of these ratios at this interesting energy region in the near future.

The $\pi$ interferometry from low $p_T$ and mid-rapidity give us information about the space-time size of emitting source and freeze-out process of the fireball [29]. Fig. 6 shows the results for Hanbury-Brown-Twiss (HBT) pion ($\pi^+$) interferometry measurements. There are three radii parameters $R_{out}, R_{side}$ and $R_{long}$ measured in our analysis. The $R_{out}$ measures the spatial and temporal extension of the source whereas the $R_{side}$ gives the spatial extension of the source. Thus the ratio $R_{out}/R_{side}$ reflects the emission duration of the source. It is expected that the ratio $R_{out}/R_{side}$ is much larger than 1 for a first order transition [59]. For the measured beam energies
Figure 6: The HBT radii $R_{\text{out}}$, $R_{\text{side}}$, $R_{\text{long}}$ and the ratio $R_{\text{out}}/R_{\text{side}}$ for $\pi^-$ are plotted as a function of beam energy. The centrality is 0-60% and the $k_T$ range is [0.15, 0.25] (GeV/c) for Au+Au 9.2 GeV. The errors for Au+Au 9.2 GeV are only statistical. The systematic errors are expected to be less than 10% for all radii. The 9.2 GeV results are compared with the measurements by STAR high energies [29], PHENIX [30], PHOBOS [31], NA44 [32], NA49 [33], E802 [34], E866 [35], E895 [36], WA97 [37], CERES [38], the ratio $R_{\text{out}}/R_{\text{side}}$ is close to 1 within errors.

4. Summary and Outlook

In summary, the azimuthal anisotropy ($v_1$ and $v_2$) results from Au+Au 9.2 GeV are similar to those obtained from collisions at similar energies. The identified particle spectra are obtained from Au+Au collisions at 9.2 GeV. The centrality and beam energy dependence of the hadron yields and ratios are studied. In the central collisions, the anti-proton to proton ratio $\sim 0.01$ shows significant baryon stopping in these collisions. The $K^-/K^+$ ratio from the central collision is $\sim 0.4$, showing associated production for $K^+$. The $p/\pi$ ratio is higher and $K^-/\pi^-$ is lower at 9.2 GeV compared to 200 GeV at all collision centralities studied. The pion interferometry results in the Au+Au collisions at 9.2 GeV follow the established beam energy trends.

These 9.2 GeV results from Au+Au collision are the first important step towards the RHIC BES program. There are several key measurements for the program: 1) The PID hadron spectra, ratios and elliptic flow give the information about the collectivity dynamics; 2) The fluctuations including net-proton kurtosis, $K/\pi$ ratio, $\langle p_T \rangle$, $\langle N \rangle$ access the thermodynamics of the system. Our BES program is planned to cover the energy range $\sqrt{s_{NN}} \sim 5 - 39$ GeV. Several Lattice QCD based estimates of the Critical Point [4, 5, 6] are within our BES search area. The results presented in this
proceedings from the lowest beam energy collisions at RHIC demonstrate the STAR detector’s capability to collect high quality data for the proposed BES Program. Large and uniform acceptance for all beam energies in a collider set-up, excellent particle identification (TPC+TOF) and higher statistics will lead to significant advances in the Critical Point search.
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