Background: The most severe cases of Coronavirus-Disease-2019 (COVID-19) develop into Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome (ARDS). It has been proposed that oxygenation may be inhibited by extracellular deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) in the form of neutrophil extracellular traps (NETs). Dornase alfa (Pulmozyme, Genentech) is recombinant human deoxyribonuclease I that acts as a mucolytic by cleaving and degrading extracellular DNA. We performed a pilot study to evaluate the effects of dornase alfa in patients with ARDS secondary to COVID-19.

Methods: We performed a pilot, non-randomized, case-controlled clinical trial of inhaled dornase for patients who developed ARDS secondary to COVID-19 pneumonia.

Results: Improvement in arterial oxygen saturation to inhaled fraction of oxygen ratio (PaO₂/FiO₂) was noted in the treatment group compared to control at day 2 (95% CI, 2.96 to 95.66, P-value = 0.038), as well as in static lung compliance at days 3 through 5 (95% CI, 4.8 to 19.1 mL/cmH₂O, 2.7 to 16.5 mL/cmH₂O, and 5.3 to 19.2 mL/cmH₂O, respectively). These effects were not sustained at 14 days. A reduction in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid (BALF) myeloperoxidase-DNA (DNA : MPO) complexes (95% CI, -14.7 to -1.32, P-value = 0.01) was observed after therapy with dornase alfa.

Conclusion: Treatment with dornase alfa was associated with improved oxygenation and decreased DNA : MPO complexes in BALF. The positive effects, however, were limited to the time of drug delivery. These data suggest that degradation of extracellular DNA associated with NETs or other structures by inhaled dornase alfa can be beneficial. We propose a more extensive clinical trial is warranted.

Clinical Trial Registration: ClinicalTrials.gov, Identifier: NCT04402970.
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INTRODUCTION
Health care systems across the world are being inundated with patients who are critically ill due to infection with Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome-Coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) causing Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19). Around 10% of those infected will develop the most severe manifestation of the disease requiring admission to an intensive care unit (1). Early reports suggested neutrophil extracellular traps (NETs) as being a potential contributor to the severity of disease in some patients with COVID-19 (2). As originally described, NETs were proposed to ensnare and potentially kill invading microbes, but it is now clear that these extracellular DNA-protein complexes are almost always deleterious and contribute to tissue destruction and pathogenesis in many diseases (3, 4). In severe cases of COVID-19, NETs appear to cause significant morbidity in the lungs with associated microthrombi formation, endothelial damage, capillaritis, neutrophilic mucositis and mucus accumulation (2, 5–7). Plasma levels of NETs are increased in patients requiring intubation and are inversely correlated with arterial blood oxygen content to fraction of inspired oxygen ratio (PaO₂/FiO₂) (8). Dornase alfa (recombinant human DNase I) is currently used in patients with Cystic Fibrosis and reduces mucus viscosity by degrading extracellular DNA in the airways (9, 10). We proposed a trial using inhaled dornase alfa as a therapeutic target to reduce extracellular DNA and NETs in patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) secondary to COVID-19 pneumonia, with outcome aims including improved lung compliance and gas exchange (11).

METHODS
Study Design
A single center, non-randomized, controlled before-and-after clinical study was designed to examine the effects of inhaled dornase alfa in patients with ARDS secondary to COVID-19 pneumonia. The Institutional Review Board at the University of Missouri approved the clinical trial including collection of clinical data and clinical samples from participating patients (trial #2022206) and case control clinical data from patients with COVID-19 infection with exemption of informed consent from each patient due to the case control portion of the study involving only information collection and analysis (#2025101). A non-randomized model was used to determine if an effect could be noticed to justify a larger randomized controlled study. Patients were recruited from the medical intensive care unit at the University of Missouri, a 250-bed academic tertiary care medical center. Recruitment was considered after confirmation of SARS-CoV-2 infection induced ARDS and progression of care requiring mechanical ventilation. Inclusion criteria included age ≥ 18 years, hospitalized, and mechanically ventilated for illness related to SARS-CoV-2 infection, with individual or surrogate ability to sign informed consent, and negative urine-based pregnancy test in female patients. Exclusion criteria included contraindication or intolerance to dornase alfa, length of mechanical ventilation expected to be less than 48 hours, life expectancy less than 24 hours based upon judgment of treatment physician, pregnancy, or inability to obtain informed consent.

Patients in the treatment group received nebulized dornase alfa (2.5mL) via a vibrating mesh through the ventilator circuit twice daily for three days after enrollment. Control group patients received standard of care for severe COVID-19 pneumonia and ARDS. No placebo group was included in this pilot study. The primary outcome measure was change in arterial oxygen saturation to inhaled fraction of oxygen (PaO₂/FiO₂) compared to the day of enrollment. Secondary outcomes included change in static lung compliance (Plateau Pressure/PEEP) compared to the day of enrollment, duration of mechanical ventilation, length of ICU stay, length of hospitalization, secondary bacterial infections, and mortality. PaO₂/FiO₂ and static lung compliance measurements were all obtained when patients were supine for at least 2 hours prior to measurement. Sample size was determined based upon ability to calculate significance of the primary outcome. Interim analysis was performed every 3 patients to evaluate for trends in outcomes and consideration of trial continuation.

Data Collection
Demographic and clinical data of the patients was obtained from electronic medical records at enrollment. Clinical study information collected included age, sex, co-morbidities, therapies received, serological testing, ventilator data, bacterial and viral culture data, days of hospitalization, days in the intensive care unit, days of mechanical ventilation, and mortality.

Sample Acquisition and Processing
An optional part of the trial consisted of collecting patient samples including blood and bronchoalveolar lavage fluid (BALF) before and after receiving therapy with dornase alfa to compare frequency of neutrophils and NET MPO-DNA complex concentrations. BALF was either obtained from any unused fluid collected as part of routine clinical care, or if approved by the patient, additional research samples were obtained. BALF cell count and differential were all performed by the clinical laboratory with frequency of neutrophils being expressed by percent of total white blood cells per μL, and absolute cell counts per μL. BALF used for detection of NET MPO-DNA complex concentrations were processed as follows. Mucus in BALF samples was manually broken up by gentle pipetting, followed by straining through a cell strainer, then split into 1 mL aliquots and immediately frozen at -80°C. Blood to be discarded after clinical laboratory analysis was also obtained. Approximately 1 mL blood was centrifuged at 150 g for 10 minutes at room temperature, followed by collection and freezing of the plasma at -80°C until further use. Meanwhile, neutrophils from 1 mL blood were isolated using the EasySep Human Neutrophil Enrichment Kit (Stemcell Technologies) per manufacturer instructions and diluted to 1x10⁶ cells/mL in RPMI +5% FBS. Isolated neutrophils from the blood were then seeded at 5x10⁵ cells/well of a 12 well plate (Corning), allowed to adhere for 30 minutes, followed by NET induction via stimulation with 10 μg/mL phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate (PMA, Sigma) for 4 hours at 37°C. NETs were then detached from adherent cells by gentle pipetting, centrifuged at 150 g for 20 minutes at room temperature to separate NETs from cells and debris, and the supernatant was...
immediately frozen at -80°C. Control NETs from a healthy volunteer were prepared and stored in this same manner.

**NET MPO-DNA Complex Detection**

To detect the presence of NET MPO-DNA complexes, 96-well plates (Corning) were coated with 4 μg/mL of anti-MPO monoclonal antibody (clone 4A4, Bio-Rad) in a sodium carbonate/bicarbonate buffer (pH 9.6), incubated at 4°C overnight, washed with PBS, and subsequently blocked with 1% bovine serum albumin in PBS for 30 minutes at room temperature. Thawed BALF, serum, and PMA-neutrophil-induced NET samples were immediately added after washing, followed by incubation for 90 minutes at room temperature. After washing, a 1:100 dilution of anti-DNA-POD antibody (clone MCA-33, Roche) was added to each well and incubated again for 90 minutes at room temperature. The amount of single or double-stranded DNA bound to MPO was then detected by adding 2,2'-azino-di-[3-ethylbenzthiazoline sulfonate], or ABTS substrate (Roche) and incubated for 15 minutes at room temperature on a plate shaker at 250 rpm. Plates were then read on an EPOCH microplate reader (Bio-Tek) by measuring optical density at 405 nm wavelength. MPO-DNA complexes were quantified by comparing against a standard curve of known DNA concentrations (in μg/mL) as determined by spectrophotometry from healthy volunteer control NETs prepared as described above and reported as micrograms/milliliter.

**Statistical Analysis**

Statistical test and graphs were made using GraphPad Prism software. Data are presented as difference between means 95% confidence intervals. Statistical difference was assessed by two-tailed, paired or unpaired, t-test. Statistical significance for the study was defined as P-value ≤ 0.05.

**Patient Demographics**

Baseline demographics and clinical characteristics of the treatment group and case control group are depicted in Table 1. There was no significant difference noted between the two groups regarding co-morbidities and common therapies received for COVID-19.

**RESULTS**

A total of 10 dornase alfa-treated patients were included in the pilot trial and all 10 were included in the final analysis. As the trial was not established as a randomized trial, a total of 20 case control patients were also included in the final analysis (Figure 1). Recruitment for the study was performed from June 19, 2020 to December 1, 2020. A significant improvement in change of PaO₂/FiO₂ from baseline was noted in the treatment group compared to change of PaO₂/FiO₂ from baseline in case-control subjects at day 2 (Figure 2A; Difference between means 95% CI, 2.96 to 95.66, P-value = 0.038). The significant difference in measured PaO₂/FiO₂ was not maintained after drug treatment completion with measurements obtained out to 14 days on mechanical ventilation. Improvement was also noted in static lung compliance at days 3 through 5, which again was not sustained durably beyond the drug treatment period out to 14 days (Figure 2B; Difference between means 95% CI, 4.8 to 19.1, 2.7 to 16.5, and 5.3 to 19.2 mL/cmH2O respectively). Secondary outcomes including adverse events did not show statistically significant differences between treatment and case-control groups assessed up to 90 days (Table 2). BALF and blood samples obtained in the treatment group before and after therapy were analyzed for cell differential and accumulation of MPO-DNA complexes. Eleven patients in the control group also underwent diagnostic bronchoscopy and samples in the treatment group were also compared to this control group. There was no difference in neutrophil counts or percentages between the before treatment samples and the control group (Figure 3). Despite the non-significant increase in neutrophil percentage and absolute log₁₀ neutrophil count (Figure 3), there was a significant reduction in BALF NETs measured as MPO-DNA complexes (Difference between means 95% CI, -14.7 to -1.32, P-value = 0.01) (Figure 4A) after therapy with inhaled dornase alfa. There was no difference in the serum MPO-DNA activity before or after therapy, nor in NETs that were experimentally induced from neutrophils isolated from patient blood that were treated with PMA ex vivo (Figures 4B, C).

**DISCUSSION**

Neutrophils play an important role in the innate immune response in the lungs and have been shown to be higher in BALF in patients with COVID-19, particularly those with

**Table 1 | Demographics and concurrent medical therapies of patients in the control-case group (N=20) and Dornase alfa treatment group (N=10).**

| Demographics       | Case-Control (N=20) | Dornase alfa (N=10) | P-Value |
|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------|
| Gender             |                     |                     |         |
| Male               | 13 (65%)            | 6 (60%)             | 0.8     |
| Female             | 7 (35%)             | 4 (40%)             | 0.8     |
| Ethnicity          |                     |                     |         |
| White, non-Hispanic| 16 (80%)            | 8 (80%)             | >0.999  |
| African American   | 1 (5%)              | 0 (0%)              | 0.49    |
| Hispanic/Latino    | 3 (15%)             | 2 (20%)             | 0.74    |
| Co-Morbidities     |                     |                     |         |
| Diabetes           | 11 (55%)            | 7 (70%)             | 0.45    |
| Hypertension       | 14 (70%)            | 9 (90%)             | 0.24    |
| Coronary artery    | 7 (35%)             | 5 (50%)             | 0.45    |
| Disease            |                     |                     |         |
| Chronic lung disease| 7 (35%)            | 3 (30%)             | 0.79    |
| Obesity            | 17 (85%)            | 8 (80%)             | 0.79    |
| Therapies Received |                     |                     |         |
| Remdesivir         | 20 (100%)           | 9 (90%)             | 0.16    |
| Corticosteroids    | 20 (100%)           | 9 (90%)             | 0.16    |
| Antibiotics        | 19 (95%)            | 10 (100%)           | 0.49    |
| Convalescent Plasma| 11 (55%)            | 9 (90%)             | 0.06    |
| Anticoagulation    | 10 (50%)            | 4 (40%)             | 0.62    |
| Paralytics          | 19 (95%)            | 8 (80%)             | 0.21    |
| Prone positioning  | 16 (80%)            | 8 (80%)             | >0.999  |

*(mean, min to max)** **(total and % of patient population). COPD, Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease; ILD, Interstitial Lung Disease.
increased disease severity (11, 13). An associated increase in neutrophil chemoattractants have also been observed (8). Neutrophils can release complexes of chromosomal DNA, histones and granule enzymes, such as MPO, as NETs trapping microorganisms, but also causing collateral damage in the form of thrombosis and lung injury (13, 14). Earlier studies noted an increase in circulating markers for NETs in patients with COVID-19 compared to healthy controls, as well as autopsy findings have confirmed the presence of NETs in the lungs (5, 15). The concept of NET-driven lung injury is not unique to COVID-19. Targeting NETs in ARDS has been applied to other causes of pneumonia as the level of NETs has been associated with disease severity (16, 17).

Our group began by applying dornase alfa to one severe COVID-19 patient who subsequently showed improvement in oxygenation after treatment (2). Therefore, we designed this initial clinical trial with PaO2/FiO2 improvement as the primary outcome objective. We predicted that the inhaled drug would decrease extracellular DNA and NETs in the lung and improve oxygenation. Currently, the data appear to support both predictions. There was a decrease in MPO-DNA complexes, which suggests a decrease in NETs (Figures 2–4). This result makes sense given the accumulation of neutrophils in the lungs in response to infection, with lytic death and DNA release having deleterious effects. At the same time, the ability of dornase alfa to degrade DNA and improve oxygenation wanes rapidly upon
drug withdrawal. A more durable effects of decreased magnitude may be possible to determine upon increasing patient N in a more extensive trial. One concern was the potential negative effect of degrading NETs whose function is in part to fight off invading pathogens. There was no observed significant increase in secondary pulmonary infections. We conclude that the premise of applying dornase alfa to degrade DNA in NETs and improve oxygenation in severe COVID-19 induced ARDS appears sound and should be further explored in a more extensive clinical trial. We also propose that the time of drug administration be extended, predicting that a greater duration of beneficial effects may thereby be induced, with the goal of decreasing morbidity and mortality rates in these patients. There is currently a phase 2 open-label, randomized, Best-Available-Care (BAC) and historic-controlled trial ongoing at University College London Hospital looking into the effects of inhaled dornase alfa over 7 days on the outcome of patients admitted to the hospital who are at risk of ventilatory failure (18).

**LIMITATIONS**

Our study is limited by being a single center study and a small sample size which does not allow for power to determine many of the secondary outcomes. If plausible, future studies should aim to include multi-center patient data sets and a larger patient population. Our population was also predominantly white, non-Hispanic and may underrepresent the effect on other ethnic groups. Standard of care for severe COVID-19 patients includes treatment with systemic corticosteroids, which has been reported to reduce systemic NET activity (16). The limited serological change in MPO-DNA activity may have been affected by this

---

**TABLE 2 | Primary and Secondary Outcomes of patients in case-control group (N=20) and Dornase alfa treatment group (N=10).**

| Primary and Secondary Outcomes | Control (N = 20) | Dornase alfa (N = 10) | P-Value |
|--------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|---------|
| **Primary Outcomes**          |                 |                     |         |
| ΔPaO2/FiO2 from Day 0 (95% CI) |                 |                     |         |
| Day 1                         | -0.8 to 43.6    | -29.7 to 51.1       | 0.59    |
| Day 2                         | -9.6 to 33.1    | 5.2 to 117          | 0.04    |
| Day 3                         | -9.7 to 34.7    | -21 to 68           | 0.59    |
| Day 4                         | -24.8 to 31.7   | -21.8 to 70.1       | 0.38    |
| Day 5                         | -28.8 to 38.8   | -35.9 to 111        | 0.32    |
| Day 14                        | -92.6 to 70.2   | -150.8 to 260.8     | 0.38    |
| **Secondary Outcomes**        |                 |                     |         |
| ΔLung compliance from Day 0 (95% CI) |                 |                     |         |
| Day 1 (mL/cmH2O)              | -4.2 to 3.9     | -1.7 to 7.9         | 0.28    |
| Day 2                         | -3.1 to 2.3     | -1 to 9             | 0.08    |
| Day 3                         | -9.8 to -1.6    | -0.8 to 13.3        | <0.01   |
| Day 4                         | -9.4 to -1.8    | -3.2 to 11.3        | <0.01   |
| Day 5                         | -8.4 to -1.3    | -1.8 to 16.6        | <0.01   |
| Day 14                        | -17.9 to -2.4   | -16.3 to 17.8       | 0.09    |
| ΔPEEP from Day 0 (95% CI)     |                 |                     |         |
| Day 1 (cmH2O)                 | -0.7 to 1.7     | -0.8 to 1.2         | 0.74    |
| Day 2                         | -0.8 to 1.7     | -1.7 to 0.9         | 0.34    |
| Day 3                         | -1.1 to 2.4     | -3.1 to 1.3         | 0.27    |
| Day 4                         | -1.6 to 2.2     | -4.5 to 1.6         | 0.28    |
| Day 5                         | -1.4 to 3.1     | -5.5 to 2           | 0.19    |
| Days on Mechanical Ventilation*| 18.2 (8-38)     | 15.2 (5-29)         | 0.47    |
| Days in ICU*                  | 22.1 (11-47)    | 16.5 (7-30)         | 0.23    |
| Days of Hospitalization*      | 28.7 (15-60)    | 22.5 (8-52)         | 0.61    |
| Secondary Pulmonary Infections**| 5 (25%)        | 3 (30%)            | 0.78    |
| Mortality, 28-day**           | 9 (45%)         | 4 (40%)            | 0.8     |
| Mortality, 90-day**           | 11 (55%)        | 4 (40%)            | 0.46    |

*Mean, min to max **Total and % of patient population. ICU, Intensive Care Unit. Significant P-values are in bold.
therapy. Another limitation is that MPO-DNA complexes in patient samples were discussed as known NET products, but the mechanism of their generation was not determined.

CONCLUSION

Severe COVID-19 pneumonia leading to ARDS is associated with increased extracellular MPO-DNA complexes, potentially as NETs, in the alveolar space. Inhaled Dornase alfa, via degradation of alveolar DNA, significantly improved oxygenation and lung compliance. Due to a persistent inflammatory state of the lungs in COVID-19 and ARDS, the effect is short lived after drug removal. Results of our pilot study warrant further consideration of a larger randomized trial in patients with ARDS secondary to COVID-19, as well as other causes of ARDS.
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