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1 Introduction

The philosophy of $L^2$-invariants is to take a classical homological invariant of finite simplicial complexes and to mimic its construction to define an $L^2$-analog of this invariant for simplicial complexes with a free cocompact action of a group $G$. From the viewpoint of homological algebra this amounts to replacing chain complexes of $\mathbb{Z}$-modules by chain complexes of modules over the tremendously complicated group ring $\mathbb{Z}[G]$. 

Communicated by Andreas Thom.
The most famous $L^2$-invariants are the $L^2$-Betti numbers. To define them one embeds the group ring $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ into the group von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{N}(G)$ and uses the von Neumann dimension to define the dimension of kernel and image of the boundary operators. In particular, given a free cocompact $G$-CW-complex $X$, one defines the $L^2$-Betti numbers $b_p^2(X; G)$ by applying this method to the cellular chain complex of $X$. Without doubt, it takes some effort to work out the details and we refer the reader to [18] for a detailed account.

In this article we define and study $L^2$-invariants which refine the $L^2$-Betti numbers. We first describe the finite dimensional analog. Consider a finite simplicial complex $X$ with an action of a finite group $H$. The action is not assumed to be free. The group $H$ acts on the $p$-th homology $H_p(X, \mathbb{C})$ of $X$. This yields a finite dimensional complex representation of $H$ and every such representation is a direct sum of irreducible ones. In particular, we may consider the multiplicity $m(\chi, H_p(X, \mathbb{C}))$ of an irreducible representation $\chi \in \text{Irr}(H)$ in $H_p(X, \mathbb{C})$.

A major part of this article is devoted to defining corresponding $L^2$-multiplicities. Given a group $G$ with a finite subgroup $H$ and a proper cocompact $G$-CW-complex $X$, we obtain the $p$-th $L^2$-multiplicity $m_p^2(\chi, X; G)$ for every irreducible representation $\chi \in \text{Irr}(H)$. Suffice it to say that the $L^2$-multiplicities are non-negative real numbers defined as suitably normalized von Neumann dimensions with respect to certain finite von Neumann algebras. We postpone the concise definition to Sect. 5 as it requires some more terminology.

The strength of $L^2$-invariants stems on the fact that they can often be approximated by their finite dimensional analogues. The prototype of this phenomenon is Lück’s approximation theorem.

**Theorem 1.1** (Lück [17]) Let $X$ be a free cocompact $G$-CW-complex. Let $(\Gamma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a decreasing sequence of finite index normal subgroups in $G$ with $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Gamma_n = \{1\}$. Then
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{b_p(X/\Gamma_n)}{|G:\Gamma_n|} = b_p^2(X; G).
\]
For several other instances of approximation we refer the reader to the survey [19]. Our main results concern the approximation of $L^2$-multiplicities by finite dimensional multiplicities. The simplest version can be stated as follows.

**Theorem 1.2** Let $G$ be a group and let $H \leq G$ be a finite subgroup. Let $X$ be a proper cocompact $G$-CW-complex and let $(\Gamma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a decreasing sequence of finite index normal subgroups in $G$ with $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Gamma_n = \{1\}$. Then
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{m(\chi, H_p(X/\Gamma_n, \mathbb{C}))}{|G:\Gamma_n|} = m_p^2(\chi, X; G)
\]
for every irreducible representation $\chi \in \text{Irr}(H)$.

Lück’s approximation theorem has been generalized in several ways and we will obtain more general versions of Theorem 1.2 as well. Firstly, Farber [11] extended Lück’s theorem by replacing the assumption that the subgroups $\Gamma_n$ be normal by the weaker Farber condition. We will introduce an equivariant Farber condition and prove...
a generalization for $L^2$-multiplicities in Theorem 5.11. Secondly, Elek–Szabó [9] obtained an approximation theorem for $L^2$-Betti numbers by replacing the assumption that the groups $\Gamma_n$ be of finite index by the condition that every quotient group $G/\Gamma_n$ is sofic. A similar result for $L^2$-multiplicities is Theorem 5.6 below. It is based on the new notion of relative soficity. We will not discuss approximation for sequences of lattices in locally compact groups as in [1,20].

In some cases the $L^2$-multiplicities can be computed from the $L^2$-Betti numbers.

**Proposition 5.5** If every element $h \in H\setminus\{1\}$ satisfies $[G : C_G(h)] = \infty$, then $m_p^{(2)}(\chi, X; G) = \frac{\dim(\chi)}{|H|} b_p^{(2)}(X; G)$ for every $\chi \in \text{Irr}(H)$.

At first glance this seems disappointing, as it means that the $L^2$-multiplicities (in this case) are not really new invariants. However, even in this case Theorem 1.2 is stronger than Lück’s approximation theorem. In addition, the proposition makes it possible to compute the $L^2$-multiplicities in many cases. This leads to new applications of $L^2$-Betti numbers. For instance, Theorem 1.2 can be used to show that every irreducible representation occurs with arbitrarily large multiplicity in the homology of a large finite covering space. Here is a version of this result for the cohomology of groups.

**Theorem 5.12** Fix $p \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\Gamma$ be a group of type $F_{p+1}$ and let $H$ be a finite group which acts on $\Gamma$ by automorphisms. Assume that the fixed point group $\Gamma^h$ has infinite index in $\Gamma$ for all $h \in H\setminus\{1\}$.

Let $\Gamma \supset \Gamma_1 \supset \Gamma_2 \supset \cdots$ be a decreasing sequence of finite index normal $H$-stable subgroups with $\bigcap_n \Gamma_n = \{1\}$. Then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{m(\chi, H_p(\Gamma_n, \mathbb{C}))}{[\Gamma : \Gamma_n]} = \frac{\dim(\chi)}{|H|} b_p^{(2)}(\Gamma)$$

for every irreducible representation $\chi \in \text{Irr}(H)$.

**Corollary 1.3** Let $\Gamma$ be a residually finite group of type $F_{p+1}$ with $b_p^{(2)}(\Gamma) \neq 0$. Suppose that $H$ is a finite group which acts on $\Gamma$ by automorphisms such that $[\Gamma : \Gamma^h] = \infty$ for all $h \in H\setminus\{1\}$. For every $\chi \in \text{Irr}(H)$ and every $B \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a finite index $H$-stable subgroup $\Gamma_0 \leq \Gamma$ such that $m(\chi, H_p(\Gamma_0, \mathbb{C})) \geq B$.

The reader is encouraged to experiment with this theorem to see that it yields non-obvious results. In particular, we may apply this to arithmetic groups. The following is a special case of Corollary 5.15.

**Corollary 1.4** Let $F/\mathbb{Q}$ be a totally real Galois extension of degree $d = [F : \mathbb{Q}]$. Let $\Gamma \subseteq \text{SL}_2(F)$ be a $\text{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q})$-stable arithmetic subgroup. Every irreducible representation of the Galois group $\text{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q})$ occurs with arbitrarily large multiplicity in the cohomology $H^d(\Gamma_0, \mathbb{C})$ of a sufficiently small subgroup $\Gamma_0 \leq_{f.i.} \Gamma$.

We remark that this corollary can also be obtained via Lück’s approximation theorem and an analysis of Lefschetz numbers of automorphisms induced by the elements of the Galois group. In fact, it seems that a similar argument applies to every concrete instance of Corollary 5.15. This observation actually lead us to discover Theorem 1.2, which provides an explanation from the perspective of $L^2$-invariants.
1.1 Methods

The approach in this article is based on the space of characters $\text{Ch}(G)$ of the group $G$. Every such character can be used to define a suitable notion of Betti numbers. This is probably known to the experts. Nevertheless, we decided to include this material and we tried to present it in a way which resembles closely the definition $L^2$-Betti numbers (circumventing some more advanced notions from the theory of von Neumann algebras). Moreover, we made an effort to keep the presentation to a certain degree self-contained.

The main ingredient in order to define the $L^2$-multiplicities is the construction of characters induced from finite subgroups. The $L^2$-multiplicity of a character $\chi$ is defined to be the Betti number of the character induced from $\chi$. The idea behind this is the well-known Frobenius reciprocity in the representation theory of finite groups.

Finally, the approximation theorem still relies on the same ideas as the theorems of Lück and Farber: weak convergence of spectral measures and a “Lück Lemma” to bound the Fuglede–Kadison determinant. We discuss approximation in some generality using convergent sequences of characters in $\text{Ch}(G)$.

1.2 Organization of the article

The article consists of four sections.

2. Characters and Betti numbers. We introduce Hilbert $G$-bimodules and explain how they can be used to define dimensions and Betti numbers. We observe that Hilbert $G$-bimodules correspond to characters of the group and we study the space of characters. The main result is the continuity of the spectral measure map.

3. Sofic and arithmetically hyperlinear characters. We define sofic and arithmetically hyperlinear characters. These are characters which can be approximated (in a suitable sense) by finite permutation representations and representations with finite image respectively. We show that convergent sequences of these characters yield convergent sequences of Betti numbers.

4. Induction of characters from finite groups. We define induction of characters via characters. A special case is the induction of characters from finite subgroups. We show that this construction preserves arithmetic hyperlinearity of characters under some conditions. This leads us to the definition of groups which are sofic relative to a finite subgroup.

5. Main results and applications. We prove and state the main results of this article: the “sofic” and the “Farber” approximation theorem for $L^2$-multiplicities. The results stated in the introduction are deduced. We discuss applications to the cohomology of groups and, as an example, consider the Galois action in the cohomology of arithmetic groups.

2 Characters and Betti numbers

Throughout $G$ denotes a discrete group.
2.1 Tracial Hilbert $G$-bimodules

**Definition 2.1** A tracial Hilbert $G$-bimodule is a quadruple $(\mathcal{H}, \ell, r, e)$ consisting of a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, a homomorphism $\ell : G \to U(\mathcal{H})$, an antihomomorphism $r : G \to U(\mathcal{H})$, and a vector $e \in \mathcal{H}$, such that

1. the actions commute, i.e. $\ell(g) \circ r(h) = r(h) \circ \ell(g)$ for all $g, h \in G$,
2. $\ell(g)e = r(g)e$ for all $g \in G$, and
3. $\|e\| = 1$ and the translates $(\ell(g)e)_{g \in G}$ span a dense subspace of $\mathcal{H}$.

We write $\ell(g)x = g \cdot x$ and $r(g)x = x \cdot g$ for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$. For brevity, we often denote a tracial Hilbert $G$-bimodule only by $(\mathcal{H}, e)$ or $\mathcal{H}$.

A tracial Hilbert $G$-bimodule $(\mathcal{H}, \ell, r, e)$ always has a unique anti-linear involution $J \in U(\mathcal{H})$ such that $J \circ \ell(g) = r(g^{-1}) \circ J$ and $J(e) = e$. Indeed, one can just define $J(g \cdot e) = e \cdot g^{-1}$ and extend it anti-linearly and continuously to $\mathcal{H}$. Moreover, we can associate to $\mathcal{H}$ a pair of von Neumann algebras defined as the commutants of the left and right action. We will consider $\ell(G)'$ as acting on $\mathcal{H}$ from the right and $r(G)'$ as acting from the left. One can check that $\ell(G)' = r(G)''$ and $r(G)' = \ell(G)'$. Moreover, $J \ell(G)' J = r(G)'$. In particular, we denote the commutant algebra of the right action by $\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{H}^r = \ell(G)'' = r(G)'$.

The vector $e$ is a trace-element for $\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{H}$ (in the sense of [6, I.6. Def. 3]). Indeed, for all $g, h \in G$ we have

$$\langle gh \cdot e, e \rangle = \langle g \cdot e \cdot h, e \rangle = \langle g \cdot e, e \cdot h^{-1} \rangle = \langle g \cdot e, h^{-1} \cdot e \rangle = \langle hg \cdot e, e \rangle.$$ 

The operators spanned by the left multiplication operators are dense in $\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{H}$ with respect to the weak topology, and thus $\langle ab \cdot e, e \rangle = \langle ba \cdot e, e \rangle$ for all $a, b \in \mathcal{N}_\mathcal{H}$. This means, the von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{H}$ has a finite faithful normal trace $\tau$ defined as

$$\tau(a) = \langle a \cdot e, e \rangle.$$ 

The trace defines an inner product on $\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{H}$ by the formula $\langle a, b \rangle = \tau(b^*a)$. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{H}$ is isometrically isomorphic to the completion $L^2(\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{H}, \tau)$ of the von Neumann algebra with respect to this inner product.

**Example 2.2** Let $L^2(G)$ denote the square summable functions on $G$. Clearly, it is a Hilbert space with a unitary left and right action of $G$. Let $e$ denote the characteristic function of the identity element $1_G$. Then $(L^2(G), e)$ is a Hilbert $G$-bimodule and the associated von Neumann algebra is the group von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{N}(G)$ with the usual von Neumann trace.

As for the group von Neumann algebra, it is possible to define Hilbert $\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{H}$-modules which have a suitable dimension theory.

**Definition 2.3** Let $(\mathcal{H}, e)$ be tracial Hilbert $G$-bimodule. A finitely generated Hilbert $\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{H}$-module is a Hilbert space $V$ with a unitary right $G$-action which admits a right $G$-equivariant and isometric embedding into $\mathcal{H}^n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. A homomorphism of Hilbert $\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{H}$-modules is a bounded $G$-equivariant linear operator.
Given a f.g. Hilbert $\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{H}$-module $V$ and an endomorphism $f : V \to V$, then we define the von Neumann trace of $f$ by the following usual steps. Choose an embedding of $\iota : V \to \mathcal{H}$, and let $P$ denote the orthogonal projection onto $\iota(V)$. The operator $F = \iota \circ f \circ P$ is $r(G)$-equivariant, thus is given by the multiplication with a matrix $(a_{i,j})_{i,j=1}^n \in M_n(\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{H})$. The trace of $f$ on $V$ is defined to be

$$\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{H}}(f) := \sum_{i=1}^n \tau(a_{i,i}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle a_{i,i} \cdot e, e \rangle.$$  

As for the group von Neumann algebra one can check that this definition is independent of the chosen embedding. The von Neumann dimension of a f.g. Hilbert $\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{H}$-module is the trace of the identity map on $V$, i.e.

$$\dim_{\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{H}}(V) = \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{H}}(\text{Id}_V).$$

For later use we remind the reader of the following lemma which is a consequence of the polar decomposition of bounded operators on Hilbert spaces.

**Lemma 2.4** Let $T : V \to W$ be a homomorphism of f.g. Hilbert $\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{H}$-modules. Then $V \cong \ker(T) \oplus \text{im}(T)$ as Hilbert $\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{H}$-modules.

The proof is the same as the proof for group von Neumann algebras discussed in Section 1.1.2 in [18].

### 2.2 Characters

**Definition 2.5** A function $\phi \in L^\infty(G)$ is of positive type if the matrix $(\phi(g_i^{-1} g_j))_{i,j}$ is positive semi-definite for every finite list of elements $g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n \in G$. A function $\phi \in L^\infty(G)$ of positive type is called a character if it is constant on conjugacy classes and $\phi(1_G) = 1$.

Given a tracial Hilbert $G$-bimodule $(\mathcal{H}, e)$, then the trace $\tau$ defines a character of $G$ via the formula

$$\tau(g) = \langle g \cdot e, e \rangle.$$  

An important observation is that every character arises in this way and the character determines the tracial Hilbert $G$-bimodule up to isomorphism. This is a consequence of the GNS-construction and goes back Thoma.

**Proposition 2.6** (cf. Lemma 1 in [25]) The map which associates to a tracial Hilbert $G$-bimodule $(\mathcal{H}, e)$ its character $\tau$ induces a bijective correspondence between the isomorphism classes of tracial Hilbert $G$-bimodules and the characters of $G$.

Given a character $\phi$ of $G$ we will denote the associated tracial Hilbert $G$-bimodule by $\mathcal{H}_\phi$ and the corresponding finite von Neumann algebra by $\mathcal{N}_\phi = \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{H}_\phi}$.

**Example 2.7** There are various ways to construct characters of a group $G$.  

\[ \text{Springer} \]
• The regular character $\delta^{(2)}_G$ of $G$ is the character of $L^2(G)$, this is, $\delta^{(2)}(g) = 0$ for all $g \neq 1_G$.
• The trivial character $\mathbb{1}$ of $G$ is defined as $\mathbb{1}(g) = 1$ for all $g \in G$. It corresponds to the one-dimensional bimodule with trivial action.
• Let $\rho: G \to U(n)$ be a finite dimensional unitary representation of $G$, then the normalized trace $\phi(g) = \frac{1}{n} \text{Tr}(\rho(g))$ is a character of $G$. This special case will be discussed in more generality in Sect. 2.8 below.
• Let $(X, \mu)$ be a standard Borel probability space with a measure preserving action of $G$. Measuring the fixed point sets of the elements of $G$ defines a character, i.e. $\phi(g) = \mu(\text{Fix}_X(g))$.

Remark 2.8 There are infinite groups which have (up to convex combinations) only the trivial and the regular character. For instance, the commutator subgroups of Higman–Thompson groups have this property (see [7]).

2.3 Betti numbers of chain complexes of finitely generated projective $\mathbb{C}[G]$-modules

We will use tracial Hilbert $G$-bimodules (or characters) mainly as a way to associate a rank to a homomorphism between finitely generated projective $\mathbb{C}[G]$-modules and to define Betti numbers. We briefly describe how this works. Here modules are always considered to be right modules.

In the following we will consider finitely generated projective modules over $\mathbb{Q}[G]$ and $\mathbb{C}[G]$. The main examples are described in the following lemma.

Lemma 2.9 Let $F$ be a field of characteristic 0. If $S \leq G$ is a finite subgroup, then the permutation module $F[S\backslash G]$ is a finitely generated projective right $F[G]$-module.

Proof Let $p: F[G] \to F[S\backslash G]$ be the canonical projection. The short exact sequence of right $F[G]$-modules

\[ 0 \to \ker(p) \to F[G] \to F[S\backslash G] \to 0 \]

is split via the morphism $F[S\backslash G] \to F[G]$ which sends $Sg$ to $\frac{1}{|S|} \sum_{s \in S} s g$. \hfill \square

Let $Q$ be a f.g. projective $\mathbb{C}[G]$-module, let $\phi$ be a character of $G$ and let $\mathcal{H}_\phi$ be the associated tracial Hilbert $G$-bimodule. Then $Q \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[G]} \mathcal{H}_\phi$ is a finitely generated Hilbert $N^\phi$-module. Indeed, let $Q \oplus Q' \cong \mathbb{C}[G]^n$, then we can identify $Q \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[G]} \mathcal{H}_\phi$ with a closed subspace of $\mathcal{H}_\phi^n = \mathbb{C}[G]^n \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[G]} \mathcal{H}_\phi$.

Let $f: Q_1 \to Q_2$ be a homomorphism between f.g. projective $\mathbb{C}[G]$-modules. We obtain a bounded linear $G$-equivariant operator

\[ f_\phi = f \otimes \text{Id}: Q_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[G]} \mathcal{H}_\phi \to Q_2 \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[G]} \mathcal{H}_\phi. \]
Its kernel ker \((f_\phi)\) and the closure of its image \(\overline{\text{im}(f_\phi)}\) are f.g. Hilbert \(N_\phi\)-modules. In particular, we can consider the von Neumann dimensions

\[
null_\phi(f) := \dim_{N_\phi} \ker(f_\phi), \\
rk_\phi(f) := \dim_{N_\phi} \overline{\text{im}(f_\phi)},
\]

which we will call the \(\phi\)-nullity and \(\phi\)-rank of \(f\) respectively. In particular, the \(\phi\)-nullity and \(\phi\)-rank of matrix \(A \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{C}[G])\) are defined as the \(\phi\)-nullity and \(\phi\)-rank of the left multiplication map \(l_A : \mathbb{C}[G]^n \to \mathbb{C}[G]^m\). In this case Lemma 2.4 yields the useful identity

\[
\rk_\phi(A) + \null_\phi(A) = n \tag{2.1}
\]

for every character \(\phi\) of \(G\). The next lemma allows us to restrict to the consideration of matrices in many situations.

**Lemma 2.10** Let \(R \subseteq \mathbb{C}\) be a subring. Let \(f : Q_1 \to Q_2\) be a homomorphism of f.g. projective \(R[G]\)-modules. There are matrices \(A\) and \(B\) over the group ring \(R[G]\) such that \(\rk_\phi(f) = \rk_\phi(A)\) and \(\null_\phi(f) = \null_\phi(B)\) for all characters \(\phi\).

**Proof** Take f.g. projective \(R[G]\)-modules \(Q'_1\) and \(Q'_2\) such that \(Q_1 \oplus Q'_1 \cong R[G]^n\) and \(Q_2 \oplus Q'_2 \cong R[G]^m\) for some integers \(n\) and \(m\). Define \(\alpha : Q_1 \oplus Q'_1 \to Q_2 \oplus Q'_2\) by \(\alpha(x, y) = (f(x), 0)\). Then \(\overline{\text{im}(f_\phi)} \cong \overline{\text{im}(\alpha_\phi)}\) as Hilbert \(N_\phi\)-modules for every character \(\phi\). Take \(A\) to be the matrix of \(\alpha\) with respect to some basis. The statement for the kernel follows analogously. \(\Box\)

**Definition 2.11** Let

\[
Q \cdots \to Q_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\partial_{n+1}} Q_n \xrightarrow{\partial_n} Q_{n-1} \to \cdots
\]

be a chain complex of \(\mathbb{C}[G]\)-modules and assume that each \(Q_i\) is finitely generated and projective. We define the \(n\)-th \(\phi\)-Betti number of \(Q\) to be

\[
b^\phi_n(Q) = \null_\phi(\partial_n) - \rk_\phi(\partial_{n+1}).
\]

The objective of our investigations is to understand how the rank, nullity and Betti numbers vary with the character \(\phi\).

### 2.4 The space of all characters

Let \(\text{Ch}(G)\) denote the set of all characters of \(G\). With the topology of pointwise convergence \(\text{Ch}(G)\) is a compact subset of the space of class functions on \(G\). Here we briefly discuss the structure of this space.

The character space \(\text{Ch}(G)\) is convex. The extremal points are called indecomposable characters. In fact, a character \(\phi\) is indecomposable exactly if the corresponding von Neumann algebra \(N_\phi\) is a factor. We note that the pointwise product \((\phi \psi)(g) = \phi(g)\psi(g)\) of characters \(\phi, \psi \in \text{Ch}(G)\) is again a character.
A map between character spaces which preserves convex combinations will be called \textit{affine}. A map which preserves products of characters is called \textit{multiplicative}. Let \( \alpha : G \to H \) be a homomorphism of groups. We can pullback \( \phi \in \text{Ch}(H) \) along \( \alpha \) to obtain a character \( \alpha^*(\phi) \) of \( G \), i.e. \( \alpha^*(\phi)(g) = \phi(\alpha(g)) \). The pullback of characters defines an affine, multiplicative, continuous map \( \alpha^* : \text{Ch}(H) \to \text{Ch}(G) \) between character spaces. There are two important special cases of this construction. (1) Suppose that \( G \) is a subgroup of \( H \) and \( \alpha \) is the inclusion homomorphism, then the pullback is called the \textit{restriction map} \( \text{res}^H_G := \alpha^* \). (2) Suppose \( \alpha : G \to H \) is a quotient homomorphism, then the pullback map is called the \textit{inflation} \( \text{infl}_H^G := \alpha^* \).

### 2.5 A norm on matrices over \( \mathbb{C}[G] \)

In this section we introduce a norm on the \( m \times n \)-matrices \( M_{m,n}(\mathbb{C}[G]) \) over the group ring.

**Lemma 2.12** (cf. 2.5 in [17]) \( \) For every matrix \( A \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{C}[G]) \) there is a constant \( c_A > 0 \) such that for every character \( \phi \in \text{Ch}(G) \)

\[
\|l_A\|_\phi \leq c_A
\]

where \( \|l_A\|_\phi \) denotes the operator norm of the multiplication operator \( l_A \) on \( \mathcal{H}_\phi^G \).

**Proof** Write \( A = \sum_{i=1}^{r} b_i U_i \) with \( b_i \in \mathbb{C} \) and where the \( U_i \) are matrices with precisely one non-zero entry of the form \( g \in G \). Then \( c_A = \sum_{i=1}^{r} |b_i| \) is as required, since the \( U_i \) act as partial isometries on every Hilbert \( G \)-bimodule. \( \Box \)

**Definition and Lemma 2.13** For \( A \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{C}[G]) \) the formula

\[
\|A\|_\infty := \sup_{\phi \in \text{Ch}(G)} \|l_A\|_\phi
\]

defines a norm on \( M_{m,n}(\mathbb{C}[G]) \), which will be called the \textit{character sup-norm}. The character sup-norm is submultiplicative, i.e. for all \( A \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{C}[G]) \) and \( B \in M_{n,k}(\mathbb{C}[G]) \) we have

\[
\|AB\|_\infty \leq \|A\|_\infty \|B\|_\infty.
\]

**Proof** By Lemma 2.12 \( \|A\|_\infty \) is finite. Hence, it is clear that the formula defines a semi-norm. Moreover, for the regular character \( \delta^{(2)} \) the induced map from the group algebra \( \mathbb{C}[G] \) into the group von Neumann algebra \( \mathcal{N}(G) \) is injective, thus \( \|l_A\|_{\delta^{(2)}} = 0 \) exactly if \( A = 0 \). We conclude that the character sup-norm is indeed a norm. The submultiplicativity is immediate from the fact that the operator norms are submultiplicative. \( \Box \)

Let \( \text{Pos}_n(\mathbb{C}[G]) \) denote the set of self-adjoint \( (n \times n) \)-matrices over the group algebra \( \mathbb{C}[G] \) such that the left multiplication operator \( l_A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\phi^G) \) is positive for every character \( \phi \). Observe that \( \text{Pos}_n(\mathbb{C}[G]) \) contains all matrices of the form \( B^*B \), is closed under positive linear combinations and is closed in the topology of the character sup-norm.
Let $\alpha : G \to H$ be a homomorphism of groups, then $\alpha$ induces a ring homomorphism $\mathbb{C}[G] \to \mathbb{C}[H]$ which will still be denoted by $\alpha$. The induced maps on matrices over $\mathbb{C}[G]$ will be denoted by $\alpha$ as well.

Lemma 2.14 Let $\alpha : G \to H$ be a group homomorphism. For all $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C}[G])$ we have the inequality $\|\alpha(A)\|_\infty \leq \|A\|_\infty$ and $\alpha(\text{Pos}_n(\mathbb{C}[G])) \subseteq \text{Pos}_n(\mathbb{C}[H])$.

Proof Let $\psi$ be a character of $H$ and let $\phi = \alpha^*(\psi)$. Consider the tracial Hilbert $H$-bimodule $(\mathcal{H}_\psi, e)$ and define $V \subseteq \mathcal{H}_\psi$ to be the closure of the subspace spanned by $\{e \cdot \alpha(g) | g \in G\}$. The actions of $G$ by $\alpha(G)$ make $V$ into a tracial Hilbert $G$-bimodule of character $\phi$. By Proposition 2.6 the bimodules $V$ and $\mathcal{H}_\phi$ are isomorphic and the operator norm of $l_A$ on $V^n$ agrees with the norm $\|l_A\|_{\phi}$.

The right action by $H$ on $\mathcal{H}_\psi^n$ commutes with $l_{\alpha(A)}$ and the space spanned by all the isometric translates $\{V^n \cdot h | h \in H\}$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}_\psi^n$. We deduce that $\|l_{\alpha(A)}\|_{\psi} = \|(l_{\alpha(A)})|_{V^n}\|_{\psi} = \|l_A\|_{\phi}$, and thus $\|\alpha(A)\|_\infty \leq \|A\|_\infty$.

Suppose now that $A \in \text{Pos}_n(\mathbb{C}[G])$. Then $l_{\alpha(A)}$ is a positive self-adjoint operator on $V^n$ and as above this holds on the translates $V^n \cdot h$ for all $h \in H$. As the translates span a dense subspace of $\mathcal{H}_\psi^n$ the claim follows. \qed

2.6 The spectral measure map

We will use the measure theoretic terminology from [10, VIII]. In particular, a finite Radon measure $\nu$ on $\mathbb{R}$ is a finite regular measure on the Borel sigma algebra of $\mathbb{R}$. We say that $\nu$ is compactly supported, if there is a compact subset $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ such that $\nu(\mathbb{R} \setminus C) = 0$. The set of finite compactly supported Radon measures on $\mathbb{R}$ will be denoted by $\mathcal{M}_c^+(\mathbb{R})$.

Given $A \in \text{Pos}_n(\mathbb{C}[G])$ and a character $\phi \in \text{Ch}(G)$, we can associate to it the spectral measure $\mu_{A,\phi}$ of the self-adjoint left multiplication operator $l_{A,\phi}$ on $\mathcal{H}_\phi^n$ with respect to the $\mathcal{N}_\phi$-trace. This means, $\mu_{A,\phi}$ is the unique finite Radon measure on $\mathbb{R}$ supported on the spectrum $\sigma(l_{A,\phi})$ such that for every continuous function $f \in C(\mathbb{R})$ the following formula holds:

$$\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{N}_\phi}(f(l_{A,\phi})) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) d\mu_{A,\phi}(t).$$

Theorem 2.15 The spectral measure map

$$\mu : \text{Pos}_n(\mathbb{C}[G]) \times \text{Ch}(G) \to \mathcal{M}_c^+(\mathbb{R})$$

which maps $(A, \phi)$ to $\mu_{A,\phi}$ is continuous with respect to

- the character sup-norm topology on $\text{Pos}_n(\mathbb{C}[G])$,
- the topology of pointwise convergence on $\text{Ch}(G)$ and
- the topology of weak convergence on $\mathcal{M}_c^+(\mathbb{R})$. 
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Moreover, the map is affine in the second variable. If \( \alpha: G \to H \) is a group homomorphism, then \( \mu_{\alpha(A), \psi} = \mu_{A, \alpha^*(\psi)} \) for all \( A \in \text{Pos}_n(\mathbb{C}[G]) \) and \( \psi \in \text{Ch}(H) \).

**Proof** The following remark is in order: If the group \( G \) is countable, then the topology on the domain is metrizable. In this case the proof can be simplified by replacing nets by sequences.

We show that for every convergent net \((A_j, \phi_j)_{j \in J} \to (A, \phi)\) in \( \text{Pos}_n(\mathbb{C}[G]) \times \text{Ch}(G) \) over some directed set \( J \), we have a weakly convergent net of measures

\[
\mu_{A_j, \phi_j} \overset{w}{\longrightarrow} \mu_{A, \phi}.
\]

Since the net \((A_j)_{j \in J}\) converges to \( A \) in the character sup-norm, we can assume that the norms \( \|A_j\|_{\infty} \) are bounded. We fix a positive number \( C > 0 \) such that \( \|A_j\| \leq C \) for all \( j \in J \). In particular, the spectral measures of \( \mu_{A, \psi} \) and \( \mu_{A_j, \psi} \) are supported on \([0, C]\) for any character \( \psi \).

Let \( f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}) \) be a bounded continuous function on \( \mathbb{R} \). We have to show that

\[
\lim_{j \in J} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f \, d\mu_{A_j, \phi_j} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f \, d\mu_{A, \phi}.
\]

(2.2)

By the theorem of Stone-Weierstraß we can approximate \( f \) uniformly over the interval \([0, C]\) by polynomials. In particular, it is sufficient to prove (2.2) if \( f \) is a polynomial. By linearity it is even sufficient to consider the case where \( f(t) = t^k \) for some \( k \in \mathbb{N} \).

Note that the submultiplicativity of the character sup-norm (Lemma 2.13) yields that the net \((A_j^k)_{j \in J}\) converges to \( A^k \). In addition, we have

\[
f_*(\mu_{B, \eta}) = \mu_{B^k, \eta}
\]

(2.3)

(for all \( B \) and \( \eta \)) and hence it suffices to verify the claim for \( k = 1 \). This means, we have to prove the identity

\[
\lim_{j \in J} \text{Tr}_{N_{\phi_j}}(l_{A_j}) = \text{Tr}_{N_{\phi}}(l_A).
\]

With the triangle inequality we obtain

\[
\left| \text{Tr}_{N_{\phi_j}}(l_{A_j}) - \text{Tr}_{N_{\phi}}(l_A) \right| \leq \left| \text{Tr}_{N_{\phi_j}}(l_{A_j - A}) \right| + \left| \text{Tr}_{N_{\phi_j}}(l_A) - \text{Tr}_{N_{\phi}}(l_A) \right|.
\]

The continuity of the trace shows that the first term satisfies

\[
\left| \text{Tr}_{N_{\phi_j}}(l_{A_j - A}) \right| \leq n\|l_{A_j - A}\|_{\phi_j} \leq n\|A_j - A\|_{\infty}
\]

and hence it converges to zero.

Now we consider the second term. By the definition of the trace it is sufficient to show that for every element \( a \in \mathbb{C}[G] \) the traces \( \langle a \cdot e, e \rangle_{\phi_j} \) converge to \( \langle a \cdot e, e \rangle_{\phi} \).
Write $a$ as a finite linear combination of group elements, say $a = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \lambda_i g_i$. We conclude the proof of the continuity by observing

$$
\lim_{j \in J} \langle a \cdot e, e \rangle_{\phi_j} = \lim_{j \in J} \sum_{i=1}^{r} \lambda_i \phi_j(g_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \lambda_i \phi(g_i) = \langle a \cdot e, e \rangle_{\phi}.
$$

Now we show that the spectral measure map is affine in the second variable. Assume that $\psi = \lambda \phi_1 + (1 - \lambda) \phi_2$ for $\psi, \phi_1, \phi_2 \in \text{Ch}(G)$ and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. We have to check that for every matrix $A \in \text{Pos}_n(\mathbb{C}[G])$ the spectral measures satisfy

$$
\mu_{A, \psi} = \lambda \mu_{A, \phi_1} + (1 - \lambda) \mu_{A, \phi_2}.
$$

By Riesz’ representation theorem it is sufficient to verify that these measures integrate every continuous compactly supported function to the same value. As above, the measures are compactly supported, hence (using approximation) it is sufficient to verify the claim for all monomial functions $f(t) = t^k$. By (2.3) it is yet sufficient to treat the case $k = 1$ which follows directly from the assumption $\psi = \lambda \phi_1 + (1 - \lambda) \phi_2$.

Finally, the last assertion follows from exactly the same argument taking into account Lemma 2.14 which provides that $\alpha(A)$ is positive. \hfill $\Box$

**Corollary 2.16** Let $A \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{C}[G])$.

1. The maps $\phi \mapsto \text{rk}_{\phi}(A)$ and $\phi \mapsto \text{null}_{\phi}(A)$ are affine maps on $\text{Ch}(G)$.
2. If $\alpha : G \to H$ is a group homomorphism and $\phi \in \text{Ch}(H)$, then
   $$
   \text{rk}_{\alpha^*(\phi)}(A) = \text{rk}_{\phi}(\alpha(A))
   $$
   $$
   \text{null}_{\alpha^*(\phi)}(A) = \text{null}_{\phi}(\alpha(A)).
   $$
3. The rank $\text{rk}_{\phi}(A)$ is lower semi-continuous in $\phi$.
4. The nullity $\text{null}_{\phi}(A)$ is upper semi-continuous in $\phi$.

**Proof** By the dimension formula (2.1) it is sufficient to consider the nullity in all assertions. Moreover, passing from $A$ to $A^*A$ we can assume that $A \in \text{Pos}_n(\mathbb{C}[G])$. Note that $\text{null}_{\phi}(A) = \mu_{A, \phi}([0])$ and the first two assertions follow directly from Theorem 2.15.

Let $(\phi_j)_{j \in J}$ be a net of characters converging to $\phi \in \text{Ch}(G)$. By Theorem 2.15 we have weak convergence of spectral measures $\mu_{A, \phi_j} \rightharpoonup \mu_{A, \phi}$. The set $[0]$ is closed in $\mathbb{R}$, so the Portmanteau-Theorem (see [10, VIII. 4.10] or for nets [26, Thm. 1.3.4]) implies

$$
\limsup_{j \in J} \text{null}_{\phi_j}(A) = \limsup_{j \in J} \mu_{A, \phi_j}([0]) \leq \mu_{A, \phi}([0]) = \text{null}_{\phi}(A).
$$

\hfill $\Box$

**Example 2.17** In general the rank and nullity are not continuous with respect to the character. This can be seen in the following simple example. Let $G = \langle g \rangle$ be an infinite
cyclic group with generator \( g \). For every \( z \in S^1 \subseteq \mathbb{C} \) there is a character \( \hat{z} \) of \( G \) given by \( \hat{z}(g^k) = z^k \). The corresponding tracial Hilbert \( G \)-bimodule is a 1-dimensional space on which \( g \) acts by multiplication with \( z \).

Let \( z_m \in S^1 \) be a sequence of points on the unit circle which converges to 1. Clearly, the characters \( \hat{z}_m \) converge pointwise to the trivial character \( \hat{1} \). Assume \( z_m \neq 1 \) for all \( m \) and consider the group ring element \( a = 1 - g \). The ranks satisfy \( \text{rk}_{\hat{z}_m}(a) = 1 \) for all \( m \in \mathbb{N} \), however \( \text{rk}_{\hat{1}}(a) = 0 \).

**Definition 2.18** A convergent net of characters \( (\phi_j)_{j \in J} \) with limit \( \phi \in \text{Ch}(G) \) is said to have the approximation property with respect a matrix \( A \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{C}[G]) \) if

\[
\lim_{j \in J} \text{rk}_{\phi_j}(A) = \text{rk}_{\phi}(A).
\]

By (2.1) this is equivalent to \( \lim_{j \in J} \text{null}_{\phi_j}(A) = \text{null}_{\phi}(A) \).

### 2.7 The Fuglede–Kadison determinant

In order to prove the approximation property for a sequence of characters one needs to control the spectrum close to zero. Lück achieved this by establishing a logarithmic spectral density estimate via an argument which is sometimes called the Lück Lemma. The logarithmic estimate can be deduced from a lower bound for the Fuglede–Kadison determinant. This leads to the Determinant Conjecture [18, 13.2], whose importance for approximation results was first discussed by Schick [24]. For an introduction to Fuglede–Kadison determinants we refer to [18, 3.2]. Here we use measure theoretic terminology.

**Definition 2.19** Let \( \nu \in \mathcal{M}_c^+(\mathbb{R}) \) be a finite, compactly supported Radon measure. The **Fuglede–Kadison determinant** of \( \nu \) is

\[
\det(\nu) = \exp \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \ln |t| \, d\nu(t) \right)
\]

with the convention that \( \det(\nu) = 0 \) if the integral diverges to \(-\infty\).

**Lemma 2.20** Let \( (\nu_j)_{j \in J} \) be a net of measures in \( \mathcal{M}_c^+(\mathbb{R}) \) with supports in a compact interval \([0, C]\). Assume that \( (\nu_j)_{j \in J} \) converges weakly to \( \nu \in \mathcal{M}_c^+(\mathbb{R}) \). If there is \( B > 0 \) such that \( \det(\nu_j) \geq B \) for all \( j \), then \( \det(\nu) \geq B \) and

\[
\lim_{j \in J} \nu_j(\{0\}) = \nu(\{0\}).
\]

**Proof** Note that the support of \( \nu \) is contained in the interval \([0, C]\) as well. We fix a real number \( V > 0 \) such that \( \nu_j(\mathbb{R}) \leq V \) for all large \( j \) (and thus \( \nu(\mathbb{R}) \leq V \)). Let \( f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) be the function

\[
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\]
\[
    f(t) = \begin{cases}
        0 & \text{if } t \leq 0 \\
        \ln(t) & \text{if } 0 < t < C \\
        \ln(C) & \text{if } t \geq C
    \end{cases}
\]

Then \(\ln(\det(v_j)) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f \, dv_j\) for all \(j \in J\) (the same holds for \(v\)). The function \(f\) is upper semi-continuous and bounded from above, thus by the Portmanteau-Theorem [26, Thm. 1.3.4] we obtain

\[
    B \leq \lim \sup_{j \in J} \det(v_j) \leq \det(v).
\]

For all \(1 > \varepsilon > 0\), we obtain the estimate

\[
    \ln(B) \leq \ln(\det(v_j)) \leq \ln(\varepsilon) v_j((0, \varepsilon)) + \ln(C) V
\]

and so \(v_j((0, \varepsilon)) \leq \frac{y}{\ln(\varepsilon)}\) for some number \(y > 0\). Two applications of the Portmanteau-Theorem yield

\[
    \lim \inf_{j \in J} v_j([0]) + \frac{y}{\ln(\varepsilon)} \geq \lim \inf_{j \in J} v_j((-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)) \geq v((-\varepsilon, \varepsilon))
\]

\[
    \geq v((0)) \geq \lim \sup_{j \in J} v_j((0)).
\]

The assertion follows by taking the limit \(\varepsilon \to 0\). \(\square\)

### 2.8 Finite dimensional representations

Before we continue with the general discussion, we briefly review the introduced notions for the special case of characters defined via finite dimensional unitary representations. These examples can be treated by means of elementary linear algebra, nevertheless, this case plays an important role later on.

Let \(G\) be a group and let \(\rho: G \to U(V)\) be a unitary representation on a finite dimensional Hilbert space \((V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)\). The representation is completely reducible. This means, that we can decompose \(V\) canonically into finitely many isotypic components

\[
    V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^s W_i
\]

where each \(W_i\) is isomorphic to \(m_i\) copies of a finite dimensional irreducible unitary \(G\)-module \((\rho_i, V_i)\) and the representations \(\rho_i\) are pairwise distinct.

We will show that the corresponding tracial Hilbert \(G\)-bimodule is the direct sum

\[
    \mathcal{H}_\phi = \bigoplus_{i=1}^s \text{End}_\mathbb{C}(V_i)
\]
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with the left (resp. right) action of \( g \in G \) given by left (resp. right) multiplication with \( (\rho_i(g))_i \). More precisely, the inner product of \( a = (a_i)_i \) and \( b = (b_i)_i \) is defined as \( \langle a, b \rangle = \frac{1}{\dim(V)} \sum_{i=1}^s \text{Tr}(b_i^* a_i) \) and the tracial vector is \( e = (\sqrt{m_i} \text{Id}_V)_i \). Indeed, this defines a Hilbert \( G \)-bimodule. The axioms (1) and (2) are evident, so it suffices to verify that the left translates of \( e \) span a dense subspace.

Let \( B \) be the \( \mathbb{C} \)-algebra spanned by \( \{(\rho_i(g))_i \mid g \in G\} \). We claim that \( B = \mathcal{H}_\phi \). Suppose this is not the case, then \( B^\perp = \{ x \in \mathcal{H}_\phi \mid \langle b, x \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } b \in B \} \) is a non-trivial \( B \)-left submodule of \( \mathcal{H}_\phi \). Let \( W \) be an irreducible summand of \( B^\perp \). The irreducible \( B \)-submodules of \( \mathcal{H}_\phi \) are all isomorphic to one of the modules \( V_i \).

Since these are pairwise distinct the module \( W \) lies in one isotypic component, i.e. one of the factors \( \text{End}_\mathbb{C}(V_j) \). By a result of Burnside (see [27, 1.16]) the projection map \( B \rightarrow \text{End}_\mathbb{C}(V_j) \) is surjective, which contradicts the assumption \( W \subseteq B^\perp \). We conclude that \( \mathcal{H}_\phi = Be \) as claimed and that \( \mathcal{H}_\phi \) is a tracial Hilbert \( G \)-bimodule with character

\[
\langle g \cdot e, e \rangle = \frac{1}{\dim(V)} \sum_{i=1}^s m_i \text{Tr}(\rho_i(g)) = \frac{1}{\dim(V)} \text{Tr}(\rho(g)) = \phi(g).
\]

Thus it is the bimodule associated to \( \phi \).

Consider the von Neumann trace of a matrix \( A = (a_{i,j}) \in \text{Pos}_n(\mathbb{C}[G]) \) with respect to \( \phi \). Write \( l_A \) to denote the endomorphism defined by \( A \) on \( V^n \), then

\[
\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_\phi}(A) = \frac{1}{\dim(V)} \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Tr}(\rho(a_{i,i})) = \frac{1}{\dim(V)} \text{Tr}(l_A). 
\]

We can use this to determine the spectral measure of \( A \) with respect to \( \phi \) and its Fuglede–Kadison determinant. Let \( \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_{\dim(V)} \) denote the eigenvalues of \( l_A \) (occurring with multiplicities).

**Lemma 2.21** Let \( A \in \text{Pos}_n(\mathbb{C}[G]) \) and let \( \rho: G \rightarrow U(V) \) be a finite dimensional unitary representation with character \( \phi \) as above. The spectral measure \( \mu_{A,\phi} \) is

\[
\mu_{A,\phi} = \frac{1}{\dim(V)} \sum_{i=1}^{\dim(V)} \delta_{\lambda_i}
\]

where \( \delta_\lambda \) denotes the Dirac measure at \( \lambda \). The Fuglede–Kadison determinant of \( \mu_{A,\phi} \) is \( \det(\mu_{A,\phi}) = c^{1/\dim(V)}, \) where \( c \) is the product of the non-zero eigenvalues. In other words \( c \) is the absolute value of the first non-zero coefficient of the characteristic polynomial of \( l_A \).

**Proof** We use formula (2.4) to verify that the measure \( \mu \) defined above has the universal property of the spectral measure. Indeed, it suffices to check this for monomial functions and

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}} t^k \, d\mu(t) = \frac{1}{\dim(V)} \sum_i \lambda_i^k = \frac{1}{\dim(V)} \text{Tr}(l_A^k) = \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_\phi}(A^k).
\]
The statement about the Fuglede–Kadison determinant follows from a direct calculation of the integral using this measure. \(\Box\)

3 Sofic and arithmetically hyperlinear characters

In this section we study characters which can be approximated by rather elementary characters. For example, characters which can be approximated by characters of finite permutation representations. This resembles the very general perspective on approximation taken by Farber in [11]. In order to include the sofic approximation theorem due to Elek–Szabó [9], it is necessary to allow approximation in the character space of a covering group. We will first introduce the sofic characters and relate them to sofic groups. Then we generalize this to define arithmetically hyperlinear characters.

3.1 Sofic characters

A character \(\phi \in \text{Ch}(G)\) is called a permutation character if there is an action of \(G\) on a finite set \(X\) such that \(\phi(g) = |\text{Fix}_X(g)| \cdot |X|^{-1}\). This is the character associated to the finite dimensional unitary representation of \(G\) on the space of complex valued functions on \(X\). Note that the pullback of a permutation character is a permutation character. The set of permutation characters of \(G\) will be denoted by \(\text{Per}(G)\).

**Definition 3.1** Let \(G\) be a group. A character \(\phi \in \text{Ch}(G)\) is called sofic, if there is an epimorphism \(H \to G\) such that \(\text{infl}_G^H(\phi)\) lies in the closure of the set of permutation characters of \(H\).

**Lemma 3.2** A character \(\phi \in \text{Ch}(G)\) is sofic if and only if for every epimorphism from a free group onto \(G\) the inflated character can be approximated by permutation characters. Moreover, soficity is preserved under pullbacks along arbitrary homomorphisms.

**Proof** Every group is the quotient of a free group, so the “if” statement is clear. Conversely, assume that \(\phi \in \text{Ch}(G)\) is sofic and let \(\alpha : H \to G\) be an epimorphism such that \(\alpha^*(\phi)\) lies in the closure of the set of permutation characters. Let \(\pi : F \to G\) be any epimorphism from a free group \(F\) onto \(G\). Since \(F\) is free, there is a homomorphism \(j : F \to H\) such that \(\alpha \circ j = \pi\). It follows from \(j^*(\text{Per}(H)) \subseteq \text{Per}(F)\) and the continuity of \(j^*\), that we have \(\text{Per}(H) \subseteq (j^*)^{-1}(\text{Per}(F))\). We deduce that \(\pi^*(\phi) = j^*(\alpha^*(\phi))\) lies in \(\text{Per}(F)\).

Let \(\phi\) be a sofic character of \(G\) and let \(f : K \to G\) be a group homomorphism. We want to show that \(f^*(\phi)\) is sofic. Every homomorphism factors into a mono- and an epimorphism. We discuss these two cases separately.

Suppose \(f\) is surjective and let \(j : F \to K\) be an epimorphism from a free group. Then \(f \circ j : F \to G\) is an epimorphism and so \(j^*(f^*(\phi)) = (f \circ j)^*(\phi) \in \text{Per}(F)\). We deduce that \(f^*(\phi)\) is sofic as claimed.

Suppose \(f\) is injective, i.e. \(K\) is a subgroup of \(G\). Observe that the first part of the lemma shows that the claim holds if \(G\) and \(K\) are free groups. The general case can be reduced to this case. Let \(j : F \to G\) be an epimorphism from a free group. The
inverse image $F_2 = j^{-1}(K)$ is again a free group (Nielsen-Schreier). The identity $\text{res}_F^K \circ j^*(\phi) = j^* \circ \text{res}_K^G(\phi)$ shows that $\text{res}_K^G(\phi)$ is sofic. \qed

The name sofic is justified by fact that this concept is closely related to the sofic groups which first appeared in the work of Gromov [16], as the groups whose Cayley graphs are “initially subamenable”. We briefly recall the definition given in [9, Def. 1.2] and we refer to [8] for further properties.

**Definition 3.3** A group $G$ is sofic if for every $c > 0$ and every finite subset $W \subseteq G$, there exists a finite set $X$ and a map $f : G \to \text{Aut}(X)$ (i.g. no homomorphism) such that

1. for all $u, v \in W$ the set $L_{u,v} = \{ x \in X | f(uv)(x) = (f(u) \circ f(v))(x) \}$ has at least $(1 - c)|X|$ elements.
2. $f(1) = \text{Id}_X$ and for all $u \in W \setminus \{1\}$ the fixed point set $\text{Fix}_X(f(u))$ has at most $c|X|$ elements.

**Proposition 3.4** A group $G$ is sofic if and only if its regular character $\delta^{(2)}_G$ is sofic.

*Proof* Choose an epimorphism $\pi : F \to G$ where $F = F(Y)$ is a free group on a generating set $Y$. Let $\phi = \pi^*(\delta^{(2)}_G)$ be the pullback of the regular character.

“$\Rightarrow$”: Assume that $G$ is sofic. We will show that $\phi$ lies in the closure of the permutation characters.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $S \subseteq Y$ be a finite subset. Let $B(r, S)$ denote the finite set of words in $F$ of length at most $r$ using only the generators in $S$. We will show that there is a permutation character of $F$ which approximates $\phi$ on all elements in $B(r, S)$ up to $\varepsilon$. So, every neighbourhood of $\phi$ contains a permutation character and this implies that $\delta^{(2)}_G$ is sofic.

Put $W = \pi(B(r, S))$. As $G$ is sofic, there is a finite set $X$ and a map $f : G \to \text{Aut}(X)$ as in Definition 3.3 with $c = \frac{\varepsilon}{r+1}$. We obtain a homomorphism $\alpha : F \to \text{Aut}(X)$ which sends $y \in Y$ to $f(\pi(y))$. We claim that $\alpha$ defines a suitable permutation representation of $F$.

Indeed, by construction we have for all $w \in B(r, S)$

$$\left| \{ x \in X | \alpha(w)(x) = f(\pi(w))(x) \} \right| \geq (1 - \frac{r\varepsilon}{r+1})|X|$$

and further we have

$$\left| \text{Fix}_X(\alpha(w)) \right| \leq \varepsilon|X|$$

for all $w \in B(r, S)$ with $\pi(w) \neq 1$. We conclude $\left| \frac{\left| \text{Fix}_X(\alpha(w)) \right|}{|X|} - \phi(w) \right| \leq \varepsilon$ for all $w \in B(r, S)$.

Conversely, assume that $\delta^{(2)}_G$ is sofic. This means, by Lemma 3.2, that $\phi$ can be approximated by permutation characters. Let $c > 0$ and let $W \subseteq G$ be a finite subset.
Choose a section $\sigma : G \to F$ of $\pi$ with $\sigma(1_G) = 1_F$. By our assumption there is a finite set $X$ and a permutation representation $\alpha: F \to \text{Aut}(X)$ such that

$$\left| \frac{|\text{Fix}_X(\alpha(\sigma(w)))|}{|X|} - \delta^{(2)}(w) \right| < c$$

for all $w \in W$ and

$$1 - \left| \frac{|\text{Fix}_X(\alpha(\sigma(uv)^{-1}\sigma(u)\sigma(v)))|}{|X|} \right| < c$$

for all $u, v \in W$. Observe that $L_{u,v} = \text{Fix}_X(\alpha(\sigma(uv)^{-1}\sigma(u)\sigma(v)))$ for the map $f: G \to \text{Aut}(X)$ defined by $f(g) = \alpha(\sigma(g))$. These inequalities imply that $f$ has the properties from Definition 3.3.

Our interest in sofic characters stems from the following result which generalizes the approximation theorems of Lück [17], Bergeron–Gaboriau [2] and Elek–Szabó [9].

**Theorem 3.5** Let $G$ be a group. Every convergent net of sofic characters in $\text{Ch}(G)$ has the approximation property (Def. 2.18) with respect to every matrix over the group ring $\mathbb{Q}[G]$.

**Proof** Since soficity and ranks are preserved by pullbacks (see Corollary 2.16 and Lemma 3.2) we can assume that $G$ is a free group. Let $A$ be a matrix over the group ring $\mathbb{Q}[G]$. We can assume, by clearing denominators, that $A$ lies in the integral group ring $\mathbb{Z}[G]$. Theorem 2.15 implies that a convergent net of characters yields a weakly convergent net of spectral measures. By Lemma 2.20 it is sufficient to show that the Fuglede–Kadison determinant of $A^*A$ is bounded below on sofic characters. The key observation is the following (often called the Lück Lemma): in every permutation representation the matrix $A^*A$ has non-zero integral Fuglede–Kadison determinant. This is not difficult to verify. We postpone the proof to the more general Lemma 3.14 below. We use it to conclude that on permutation characters the Fuglede–Kadison determinant of $A^*A$ is bounded below by 1. Since the Fuglede–Kadison determinant is upper semi-continuous (Lemma 2.20) this bound is valid for all sofic characters. □

Sofic characters can be characterized from the perspective of measure preserving actions. Let $(X, \lambda)$ be a finitely additive probability space, i.e., $X$ is a set and $\lambda$ is a non-negative, additive set function defined on the power set of $X$ such that $\lambda(X) = 1$. A bijection $\sigma$ of $X$ is $\lambda$-almost trivial if $\lambda(\text{Fix}_X(\sigma)) = 1$. The group of almost-automorphisms $\text{Aut}_0(X, \lambda)$ is the quotient of the group of $\lambda$-preserving bijections by the normal subgroup of $\lambda$-almost trivial bijections. An almost-action of a group $G$ on $(X, \lambda)$ is a homomorphism $\rho : G \to \text{Aut}_0(X, \lambda)$.

We say that $(X, \lambda)$ is strongly continuous, if for every $A \subseteq X$ and every $0 \leq b \leq \lambda(A)$, there is a subset $B \subseteq A$ with $\lambda(B) = b$ (cf. [21, Thm. 11.4.5]). The space $(X, \lambda)$ is homogeneous if for every two sets $A, B \subseteq X$ with $\lambda(A) = \lambda(B) > 0$, there are $A_0 \subseteq A$ and $B_0 \subseteq B$ with $\lambda(A \setminus A_0) = \lambda(B \setminus B_0) = 0$ and a $\lambda$-preserving bijection between $A_0$ and $B_0$. 
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Let \( \rho : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}_0(X, \lambda) \) be an almost-action. Recall that there is an associated character \( \phi \) of \( G \), defined as \( \phi(g) = \lambda(Fix_X(\rho(g))) \). According to a result of Elek–Szabó a group is sofic if and only if it admits an essentially free almost-action on a finitely additive probability space; see Corollary 4.2 in [9]. The following generalizes this result to sofic characters.

**Theorem 3.6** A character \( \phi \in \text{Ch}(G) \) is sofic if and only if it is the character associated to an almost-action of \( G \) on a homogeneous, strongly continuous, finitely additive probability space.

*Sketch of proof* By reason of Lemma 3.2 it is sufficient to prove the result for finitely generated free groups. In addition, this assumption allows us to work with probability preserving actions instead of almost-actions.

To see that every sofic character is associated to such an (almost-)action, we first observe that every permutation character is. Indeed, let \( \sigma \) be a homomorphism \( \sigma : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(X) \) and let \( \lambda \) be an action of \( G \) on a homogeneous, strongly continuous, finitely additive probability space. The diagonal \( G \)-action preserves this probability and gives rise to the same character as the action of \( G \) on \( Y \). Finally, a similar ultralimit construction shows that the set of characters associated to almost-actions on homogeneous, strongly continuous, finitely additive probability spaces is closed in \( \text{Ch}(G) \).

Let \( \rho : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(X, \lambda) \) be an action of \( G \) on a homogeneous, strongly continuous, finitely additive probability space. We will show that the associated character \( \phi(g) = \lambda(Fix_X(\rho(g))) \) is sofic. Let \( \epsilon > 0 \) and let \( K \subseteq G \) be a finite set. By enlarging \( K \), we can assume that \( K \) is the ball of radius \( m \) in the word metric with respect to a free set of generators \( \{g_1, \ldots, g_d\} \). The key step is to show the existence of a finite set \( W \subseteq X \) such that

\[
\begin{align*}
(i) \quad & \frac{|gW \setminus W|}{|W|} < \frac{\epsilon}{m+1} \quad \text{and} \\
(ii) \quad & \left| \frac{|W \cap Fix_X(g)|}{|W|} - \lambda(Fix_X(g)) \right| < \frac{\epsilon}{m+1}
\end{align*}
\]

hold for all \( g \in K \). To establish this, we decompose the sets \( Fix_X(g) \) into disjoint pieces. Choose a large number \( N \in \mathbb{N} \) and use strong continuity to cover \( X \) (up to a small error) by disjoint sets \( (E_i)_{i=1}^r \) of measure exactly \( \frac{1}{N} \) such that each \( E_i \) is contained in a piece or in the complement of all pieces. Now, homogenity enables us to find a finitely additive measure space \( (E, \lambda_0) \) and measure preserving identifications \( f_i : E \rightarrow E_i \) for each \( i \). Define a bipartite graph \( \Gamma \) on the vertices \( E \sqcup X \) where \( e \in E \) is connected to \( x \in X \) if and only if \( x = gf_i(e) \) for some \( 1 \leq i \leq r \) and some \( g \in K \). Provided \( N \) is sufficiently large, every set \( W \) with \( |W \cap E_i| \) \( \geq |W| \) satisfies (ii). Suppose these sets do not satisfy condition (i), then the graph \( \Gamma \) satisfies a Hall \((k, 1)\)-matching condition for a large number \( k \). As in [9, Thm. 4] this leads to a paradoxical decomposition of \( X \).

Now, pick a set \( W \) which satisfies (i) and (ii) and choose, using that \( G \) is a free group, a homomorphism \( \sigma : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(W) \) such that \( \sigma(g_i)(w) = g_iw \) for all \( w \in W \cap g_i^{-1}W \).
It remains to verify that the associated permutation character of \( G \) approximates \( \phi \).

Let \( g \in K \) and let \( V(g) = \{ w \in W \mid \sigma(g)(w) \neq gw \} \). Since \( g \) is a word of length at most \( m \) in the generators \( g_1, \ldots, g_d \), we have \( |V(g)| \leq \frac{m}{m+1} \varepsilon |W| \). We conclude that

\[
\left| \frac{|\text{Fix}_W(\sigma(g))|}{|W|} - \lambda(\text{Fix}_X(g)) \right| \leq \left| \frac{|\text{Fix}_W(\sigma(g))|}{|W|} - \frac{|W \cap \text{Fix}_X(g)|}{|W|} \right| + \frac{\varepsilon}{m+1}
\]

\[
\leq \frac{|V(g)|}{|W|} + \frac{\varepsilon}{m+1} \leq \varepsilon.
\]

\[\square\]

### 3.2 Arithmetically hyperlinear characters

We will now proceed to establish the approximation property for a more general class of characters. The procedure is the same as above, however, we replace the set of permutation characters with the larger set of characters from representations with finite image. To this end it is necessary to introduce some terminology.

**Definition 3.7** Let \( \rho : G \to \text{GL}(V) \) be a representation of \( G \) on a finite dimensional \( \mathbb{C} \)-vector space. We say that \( \rho \) is **defined over an algebraic number field** \( F \), if there is a finite dimensional \( F \)-vector space \( V_F \), a representation \( \rho_F : G \to \text{GL}(V_F) \) and an embedding \( \iota : F \to \mathbb{C} \) such that the representations \((\mathbb{C} \otimes \iota, V_F, \rho_F)\) and \((V, \rho)\) are isomorphic. We will call the triple \((V_F, \rho_F, \iota)\) a **model** of \( \rho \) over \( F \).

**Definition 3.8** Let \( G \) be a group. A **finite representation** of \( G \) is a representation \( \rho : G \to \text{GL}(V) \) with finite image on a finite dimensional complex vector space \( V \).

Note that every representation of a finite group \( K \) is already defined over the cyclotomic field generated by the \(|K|\)-th roots of unity. In particular, every finite representation is defined over some algebraic number field.

**Definition 3.9** Let \( \rho \) be a finite representation of \( G \). The **arithmetic degree** of \( \rho \) is the minimal degree of a number field over which \( \rho \) is defined, i.e.

\[
a\text{-deg}(\rho) = \min \{ [F : \mathbb{Q}] \mid \rho \text{ is defined over } F \}.
\]

Let \((V, \rho)\) be a finite representation of \( G \). We define the character \( \phi \) attached to \( \rho \) by

\[
\phi(g) = \frac{1}{\dim(V)} \text{Tr}(\rho(g)).
\]

(3.1)

Since \( \rho(G) \) is finite, we can find a \( G \)-invariant hermitian form on \( V \) by averaging. Hence \( \phi \) is the character of a finite dimensional unitary representation, however the concrete choice of a hermitian form on \( V \) is irrelevant. The characters of finite representations will be called the **finite characters**. The number \( a\text{-deg}(\phi) := a\text{-deg}(\rho) \) is
called the arithmetic degree of $\phi$. We denote the set of finite characters (of arithmetic degree at most $d$) of $G$ by $\text{Ch}_f(G)$ (respectively by $\text{Ch}_f^d(G)$).

**Definition 3.10** Let $G$ be a group. A character $\phi \in \text{Ch}(G)$ is called **arithmetically hyperlinear** (of degree at most $d$), if there is an epimorphism $H \to G$ such that $\text{infl}_G^H(\phi)$ lies in the closure of $\text{Ch}_f(H)$ (respectively of $\text{Ch}_f^d(H)$).

**Remark 3.11** In the spirit of Proposition 3.4, it seems natural to call a group “arithmetically hyperlinear” if its regular character is. We leave it to the reader to find a non-character description of this class of groups and to verify that every “arithmetically hyperlinear” group is indeed hyperlinear; for the definition of hyperlinear groups see [5, Def. 2.2.2]. We do not know whether the converse statement holds. It essentially reduces to the question whether the characters of finite dimensional unitary representations are arithmetically hyperlinear.

Lemma 3.2 and its proof generalize to arithmetically hyperlinear characters.

**Lemma 3.12** A character $\phi \in \text{Ch}(G)$ is arithmetically hyperlinear (of degree at most $d$) if and only if for every epimorphism from a free group onto $G$ the inflated character can be approximated by finite characters (of arithmetic degree at most $d$). This property is preserved under pullbacks.

The main theorem of this section is the following result, which partly generalizes Theorem 9.2 of Farber [11].

**Theorem 3.13** Let $G$ be a group and $d \in \mathbb{N}$. Every convergent net of arithmetically hyperlinear characters in $\text{Ch}(G)$ of degree at most $d$ has the approximation property with respect to every matrix over the group ring $\mathbb{Q}[G]$.

**Proof** As in Theorem 3.5 above we can assume that $G$ is a free group and it suffices to consider matrices $A$ over the integral group ring $\mathbb{Z}[G]$. By Lemma 2.20 it is sufficient to find a lower bound for the Fuglede–Kadison determinant $\text{det}(\mu_{A^*A,\phi})$ for all arithmetically hyperlinear characters $\phi$ of degree at most $d$ which is independent of $\phi$. Since $G$ is free, every such character can be approximated by finite characters of arithmetic degree at most $d$. Hence, the upper semi-continuity of the Fuglede-Kadison determinant (Lemma 2.20) shows that it is sufficient to consider finite characters. The next lemma completes the proof. □

**Lemma 3.14** (Lück Lemma for finite characters) Let $A \in \text{Pos}_n(\mathbb{Z}[G])$ be a non-zero matrix over the integral group ring and let $\phi \in \text{Ch}_f(G)$ be a finite character. The Fuglede–Kadison determinant satisfies

$$\text{det}(\mu_{A,\phi}) \geq \|A\|_{\infty}^{-(d-1)n}$$

where $d = \text{a-deg}(\phi)$.

**Proof** Let $\rho : G \to \text{GL}(V)$ be a finite representation with character $\phi$. Put $m = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} V$. Let $(V_F, \rho_F, \iota)$ be a model of $\rho$ over an algebraic number field $F$ of degree $d = [F : \mathbb{Q}]$. The ring of algebraic integers in $F$ is denoted by $\mathcal{O}_F$. The image $\rho_F(G)$
is finite and hence (by averaging over the elements of $\rho_F(G)$) we can find a $G$-stable $O_F$-lattice\(^1\) $\Lambda \subseteq V_F$.

Let $l_A$ denote the left multiplication of $A$ on $\Lambda^n$. Given an embedding $v$ of $F$ into $\mathbb{C}$ we will denote the left multiplication by $A$ on $\mathbb{C} \otimes_v V^n_F$ by $l^n_A$.

We will use Lemma 2.21 to compute the Fuglede–Kadison determinant. Let $c$ be the first non-zero coefficient of the characteristic polynomial of $l_A$. Since $\Lambda$ is a f.g. projective $O_F$-module we have $c \in O_F$. Observe that if $v$ denotes an embedding of $F$ into $\mathbb{C}$, then the corresponding action of $G$ on $V_v = \mathbb{C} \otimes_v \Lambda$ is an $m$-dimensional representation. Once again, since the action of $G$ factors over a finite quotient we can choose a $G$-invariant hermitian form on $V_v$; this means, the representation is an $m$-dimensional unitary representation.

By Lemma 2.21 the Fuglede–Kadison determinant of $l^n_A$ is $|v(c)|^{1/m}$. Since $c$ is a non-zero algebraic integer, the norm $N_F/\mathbb{Q}(c) = \prod_v v(c)$ is a non-zero integer. We conclude that

$$\prod_v |v(c)| \geq 1$$

where the product runs over all $d$ embeddings\(^2\) of $F$ into $\mathbb{C}$. Moreover, $|v(c)|$ is a product of eigenvalues of $l^n_A$. The eigenvalues are bounded above by $\|A\|_{\infty}$ and there are at most $nm$ non-zero eigenvalues, hence we obtain the estimate

$$\det(\mu_{A,\phi})^m = |\iota(c)| \geq \|A\|_{-\infty}^{-(d-1)nm}.$$

\(\square\)

4 Induction of characters from finite groups

Let $G$ be a group and let $H$ be a finite group. In this section we will explain how to induce characters from $H$ to $G$. In particular, we want this induction to agree with the usual induction of characters on finite groups. We begin with a short account of generalized induction and then we discuss the case of finite groups to motivate the definitions.

4.1 Generalized induction using bimodules

Fix a commutative ring $R$. We briefly remind the reader of generalized induction and restriction using bimodules. Let $G$ and $H$ be groups and let $M$ be a $R[G]$-$R[H]$-bimodule. The bimodule $M$ can be used to define two functors. First, induction of right $R[H]$- to right $R[G]$-modules by taking $R[H]$-homomorphisms:

$$\text{Ind}_M(V) := \text{Hom}_{R[H]}(M, V)$$

---

1 An $O_F$-lattice is a f.g. projective $O_F$-submodule of $V_F$ which spans $V_F$ over $F$.

2 Here we really mean embeddings and not places in the sense of number theory. This means, an embedding and its complex conjugate occur both in the product if they are distinct.
for every right $R[H]$-module $V$. Where the right $R[G]$-module structure arises from the $G$-action $(f \cdot g)(x) = f(gx)$. Second, restriction of right $R[G]$-modules to right $R[H]$-modules by tensoring:

$$\text{Res}_M(W) := W \otimes_{R[G]} M$$

for every $R[G]$-module $W$.

**Example 4.1** Suppose $H$ is a subgroup of $G$. If $M = R[G]$, then Ind$_M$ is the usual (co-)induction from $H$ to $G$ and Res$_M$ is the usual restriction from $H$ to $G$.

Here is another example: take a subgroup $G_0 \leq G$ such that $H \subseteq N_G(G_0)$ and consider $X = G/G_0$, which admits a left action by $G$ and a right action by $H$. In this case $M = R[X]$ is a $R[G]$-$R[H]$-bimodule and Res$_M(W) \cong W_{G_0}$ is the module of $G_0$-coinvariants of $W$ with the action restricted to $H$.

The tensor-hom adjunction yields the following version of Frobenius reciprocity.

**Lemma 4.2** The functors Ind$_M$ and Res$_M$ are adjoint, i.e. there is a natural isomorphism of $R$-modules

$$\text{Hom}_{R[H]}(\text{Res}_M(W), V) \cong \text{Hom}_{R[G]}(W, \text{Ind}_M(V)).$$

for every $R[H]$-module $V$ and $R[G]$-module $W$.

**Proof** See [4, II.§4].

## 4.2 Finite groups

In this section $G$ and $H$ denote finite groups.

**Remark 4.3** The irreducible characters of $G$ in the sense of the representation theory of finite groups will be denoted by Irr($G$). It is important to note the following: if $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$, then $\chi(1)$ is the dimension of the underlying representation, which is in general larger than one. In this case $\chi$ is not a character in the sense of Definition 2.5. However, the normalized class function $\tilde{\chi}(g) = \frac{\chi(g)}{\chi(1)}$ defines a character in the sense of Definition 2.5. Indeed, Ch($G$) is the convex hull of the characters $\{\tilde{\chi} \mid \chi \in \text{Irr}(G)\}$. For emphasis the characters of $G$ in the sense of representation theory will sometimes be called ordinary characters.

**Lemma 4.4** The character space of a finite group $G$ is the closure of the finite characters of arithmetic degree at most $d = \varphi(|G|)$, i.e. $\text{Ch}^d_f(G) = \text{Ch}(G)$.

**Proof** Let $F$ be the number field generated by all the $|G|$-th roots of unity. Every finite dimensional representation of $G$ is defined over the field $F$, thus the characters $\tilde{\chi}$ with $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ (and all rational convex combinations) are finite with a-deg($\chi$) $\leq [F : \mathbb{Q}] = \varphi(|G|)$ where $\varphi$ denotes Euler’s totient function. Finally, every convex combination of the characters $\tilde{\chi}$ can be approximated by rational ones and the assertion follows.
Let $M$ be a finite dimensional $\mathbb{C}[G]$-$\mathbb{C}[H]$-bimodule. We can interpret it as a complex representation (from the left!) of the direct product $G \times H$ (by making the right action of $H$ into a left action via inverses). As such it has an ordinary character $\psi : G \times H \to \mathbb{C}$; more precisely, $\psi(g, h) = \text{Tr}(m \mapsto gmh^{-1}|M)$. This is also the character of the dual bimodule $M^*$ with the action written from the right.

**Lemma 4.5** Let $M$ be a $\mathbb{C}[G]$-$\mathbb{C}[H]$-bimodule with ordinary character $\psi$ and let $V$ be a finite dimensional right $\mathbb{C}[H]$-module with ordinary character $\chi$. The ordinary character of $\text{Ind}_M(V)$ is

$$\text{Ind}_\psi(\chi)(g) := \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{h \in H} \psi(g, h) \chi(h). \quad (4.1)$$

**Proof** The $G$-module $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}[H]}(M, V)$ is the $G$-module $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(M, V)^H$ of $H$ invariants in $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(M, V) \cong M^* \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V$ (where $H$ acts like $(\alpha \cdot h)(m) = \alpha(mh^{-1})h$). The space $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(M, V)^H$ is a direct summand of $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(M, V)$ as $G$-module and the $G$-equivariant projection $P : \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(M, V) \to \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}[H]}(M, V)$ is given by averaging over the $H$-action. Hence, we can compute the trace of $g \in G$ on $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}[H]}(M, V)$ as

$$\text{Tr}(g|(M^* \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V)^H) = \text{Tr}(P \circ g|M^* \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V) = \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{h \in H} \psi(g, h) \chi(h).$$

\

**Example 4.6** Let us take a look at the example of ordinary induction of a character $\chi$ from a subgroup $H \leq G$ to the group $G$. Let $M = \mathbb{C}[G]$ with the left $G$- and right $H$-action. The character $c_G$ of this bimodule is

$$c_G(g, h) = \left| \left\{ f \in G \mid gfh^{-1} = f \right\} \right| = \begin{cases} |C_G(h)| & \text{if } g \text{ and } h \text{ are } G \text{ -- conjugate,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (4.2)$$

With a small calculation we obtain the well-known formula for the induction of characters

$$\text{Ind}_H^G(\chi)(g) = \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{h \in H} c_G(g, h) \chi(h) = \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{t \in G} \hat{\chi}(tgt^{-1}).$$

where $\hat{\chi}$ denotes the function on $G$ which agrees with $\chi$ on $H$ and vanishes outside of $H$.

**4.3 Basic properties of induced characters**

We return to the general setting where $G$ is a group and $H$ is a finite group. Observe that formula (4.1) does not use that the group $G$ is finite. We will simply use this formula to induce characters from $H$ to $G$ via characters of $G \times H$. However, this time we will have to normalize the characters appropriately.
Definition and Lemma 4.7 Let $G$ and $H$ be groups and assume that $H$ is finite. For characters $\phi \in \text{Ch}(H)$ and $\psi \in \text{Ch}(G \times H)$ such that $\sum_{h \in H} \psi(1, h) \phi(h) \neq 0$ the formula

$$\text{ind}_\psi(\phi)(g) = \frac{\sum_{h \in H} \psi(g, h) \phi(h)}{\sum_{h \in H} \psi(1, h) \phi(h)} \quad (4.3)$$

defines a character on $G$, which is called the character induced by $\phi$ via $\psi$. If $\sum_{h \in H} \psi(1, h) \phi(h) \neq 0$ we say that $\phi$ can be induced via $\psi$.

Proof For simplicity we write $B = \sum_{h \in H} \psi(1, h) \phi(h)$ and we note that $B > 0$. Since $\psi$ is a class function on $G \times H$, it is clear that $\text{ind}_\psi(\phi)$ is a class function on $G$. By construction $\text{ind}_\psi(\phi)(1) = 1$, hence it remains to show that $\text{ind}_\psi(\phi)$ is of positive type. Note that the function $(g, h) \mapsto \psi(g, h)\phi(h)$ defines a character on $G \times H$. By the GNS construction this is the matrix coefficient $\psi(g, h)\phi(h) = \langle (g, h)v, v \rangle$ for some representation $G \times H \to U(V)$ on a Hilbert space $V$ (see [12, (3.20)]). Define $w = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|H|B}} \sum_{h \in H} (1, h) \cdot v$ and observe that

$$\text{ind}_\psi(\phi)(g) = B^{-1} \sum_{h \in H} \psi(g, h) \phi(h) = \langle (g, 1)w, w \rangle$$

is a matrix coefficient as well. \hfill \square

Let $G$ be a group and let $H \leq G$ be a finite subgroup. In this situation there is a character on $G \times H$ which corresponds to the usual notion of induction in the setting of finite groups. In fact, we will simply use the function $c_G$ in (4.2) and normalize it.

Lemma 4.8 Let $G$ be a group and let $H \leq G$ be a finite subgroup. The function $i_G : G \times H \to \mathbb{C}$ defined as

$$i_G(g, h) = \begin{cases} [G : C_G(h)]^{-1} & \text{if } g \text{ and } h \text{ are conjugate} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (4.4)$$

is a character on $G \times H$.

Remark 4.9 Here we make the convention that $[G : C_G(h)]^{-1}$ is zero if the index is infinite.

Proof Clearly, $i_G$ is a class function and $i_G(1, 1) = 1$. We proceed to show that it is of positive type.

The set $H_0 = \{ h \in H \mid [G : C_G(h)] < \infty \}$ is a normal subgroup of $H$. Indeed, for all $h_1, h_2 \in H_0$ we have $C_G(h_1h_2) \supseteq C_G(h_1) \cap C_G(h_2)$ and consequently $C_G(h_1h_2)$ has finite index in $G$. The function $i_G(g, h) = 0$ for all $h \notin H_0$. Extending a function by 0 to a supergroup preserves positivity, so we may assume that $H_0 = H$; i.e. the centralizer $C_G(h)$ of every element of $h \in H$ has finite index in $G$.

Take a finite index normal subgroup $N \trianglelefteq G$ which is contained in the centralizer of every $h \in H$. Put $d_g(x, h) = 1$ if $x = ghg^{-1}$ and $d_g(x, h) = 0$ otherwise. The set of elements in $G$ which conjugate $h \in H$ to $x \in G$ is the union of $[C_G(h) : N]$ cosets of $N$. This shows that $i_G(x, h) = \frac{1}{[G:N]} \sum_{g \in G/N} d_g(x, h)$. The space of functions of positive type is closed under positive linear combinations, thus it is sufficient to check that the functions $d_g$ are of positive type.
Lemma 4.12 Let \( H \) represent the Pontryagin dual of \( CG \) and the normalization in (4.3) is not necessary in this case.

Definition 4.10 Let \( G \) be a group and let \( H \) be a finite subgroup. For \( \phi \in \text{Ch}(H) \) the character induced by \( \phi \) to \( G \) via \( i_G \) will be denoted by \( \text{ind}_H^G(\phi) \).

Observe that \( \sum_{h \in H} i_G(1, h)\phi(h) = 1 \) and so every character can be induced via \( i_G \) and the normalization in (4.3) is not necessary in this case.

Example 4.11 Let \( G \) be a group and let \( H = \{1\} \) be the trivial subgroup. The group \( H \) has only the trivial character \( \mathbb{1} \). The character induced to \( G \) from the trivial character on \( \{1\} \) is the regular character \( \delta^{(2)}_G \) of \( G \).

Lemma 4.12 Let \( H \leq G \) be a finite subgroup. Suppose that \( N_1 \supset N_2 \supset N_3 \supset \cdots \) is a decreasing sequence of normal subgroups of \( G \) with \( \bigcap_k N_k = \{1\} \). Assume \( N_k \cap H = \{1\} \) for all \( k \) and write \( G_k = G/N_k \). Then

\[
\lim_{k \to \infty} \inf_{G_k} \text{ind}_{H}^{G_k}(\phi) = \text{ind}_{H}^{G}(\phi)
\]

for every character \( \phi \in \text{Ch}(H) \).

Proof The identity \( \inf_{G_k} \text{ind}_{H}^{G_k}(\phi)(g) = \sum_{h \in H} \phi(h)i_{G_k}(gN_k, hN_k) \) shows that it is sufficient to verify

\[
\lim_{k \to \infty} i_{G_k}(gN_k, hN_k) = i_G(g, h) \tag{4.5}
\]

for all \( g \in G \) and \( h \in H \).

Claim: \( \lim_{k \to \infty}[G_k : CG_k(xN_k)] = [G : CG(x)] \) for all \( x \in G \).

Note that \( [G_k : CG_k(xN_k)] \leq [G : CG(x)] \) for all \( k \in \mathbb{N} \). Let \( Y \) be a set of left coset representatives of \( CG(x) \) in \( G \). For every finite subset \( S \subseteq Y \) the elements \( \{sx^{-1} | s \in S\} \) are distinct modulo \( N_k \) for all sufficiently large \( k \). We have \( [G_k : CG_k(xN_k)] \geq |S| \) for all large \( k \). Hence, if \( Y \) is finite the claim follows with \( |S| = |Y| = [G : CG(x)] \). On the other hand, if \( Y \) is infinite, then the argument shows that \( [G_k : CG_k(xN_k)] \) tends to infinity with \( k \).

The verification of (4.5) is done by case distinction.

Case 1: \( g \) and \( h \) are conjugate in \( G \). In this case \( gN_k \) and \( hN_k \) are conjugate in \( G_k \) (for all \( k \)). The claim above immediately implies (4.5).

Case 2: \( g \) and \( h \) are not conjugate in \( G \). If \( [G : CG(h)] \) is infinite, then once again the claim again yields \( \lim_{k \to \infty}[G_k : CG_k(hN_k)]^{-1} = 0 \) and (4.5) follows. Finally, assume that \( [G : CG(h)] < \infty \). Then \( h \) has only a finite set \( S \) of \( G \)-conjugates. For all sufficiently large \( k \) the \( \{sN_k | s \in S\} \) are distinct from \( gN_k \) and so \( hN_k \) is not conjugate to \( gN_k \) for all large \( k \in \mathbb{N} \).

4.4 Induction via arithmetically hyperlinear characters

Lemma 4.12 yields the pointwise convergence of certain sequences of induced characters. In order to prove the approximation property for this sequence it is sufficient
(in view of Theorem 3.13) to find conditions under which the characters are arithmetically hyperlinear of bounded degree. Before we give the result we need the following variation of the concept of arithmetically hyperlinear (resp. sofic) characters. Let \( G \) and \( H \) be groups. A character \( \psi \in \text{Ch}(G \times H) \) is arithmetically hyperlinear of degree at most \( d \) (resp. sofic) relative to \( H \), if there is an epimorphism \( \pi : \tilde{G} \to G \) such that \( \text{infl}\_{G \times H}^{G \times H}(\psi) \) lies in the closure of \( \text{Ch}_d^G(G \times H) \) (resp. \( \text{Per}(\tilde{G} \times H) \)).

**Theorem 4.13** Let \( G \) be a group and let \( H \) be a finite group. Let \( \phi \in \text{Ch}(H) \) and \( \psi \in \text{Ch}(G \times H) \) be characters such that \( \phi \) can be induced via \( \psi \). If \( \psi \) is arithmetically hyperlinear of degree at most \( d \) relative to \( H \), then \( \text{ind}_\psi(\phi) \) is arithmetically hyperlinear of degree at most \( d\varphi(|H|) \).

**Proof** Put \( e = \varphi(|H|) \). Let \( \pi : \tilde{G} \to G \) be an epimorphism such that \( \vartheta = \text{infl}\_{G \times H}^{G \times H}(\psi) \) lies in \( \text{Ch}_d^G(G \times H) \). Since \( \text{infl}_G(\text{ind}_\psi(\phi)) = \text{ind}_\vartheta(\phi) \), it is sufficient to verify that \( \text{ind}_\vartheta(\phi) \) can be approximated by finite characters of arithmetic degree at most \( de \).

Choose a net \( (\vartheta_j)_{j \in J} \in \text{Ch}_d^G(G \times H) \) of finite characters which converges pointwise to \( \vartheta \). By Lemma 4.4 there is a sequence of finite characters \( (\phi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \text{Ch}_d^e(H) \) which converges to \( \varphi \). Observe that the net of sums \( S_{j,n} = \sum_{h \in H} \vartheta_j(1,h) \phi_n(h) \) over \( J \times \mathbb{N} \) converges to the non-zero sum \( S = \sum_{h \in H} \vartheta(1,h) \phi(h) \). We conclude that \( S_{j,n} \neq 0 \) for sufficiently large \( j \) and \( n \); so \( \phi_n \) can be induced via \( \vartheta_j \).

We verify that the net of characters \( \text{ind}_{\vartheta_j}(\phi_n) \) converges to \( \text{ind}_\vartheta(\phi) \). In fact, for all \( g \in \tilde{G} \) and \( h \in H \) we obtain

\[
\lim_{(j,n) \in J \times \mathbb{N}} \text{ind}_{\vartheta_j}(\phi_n)(g,h) = \lim_{(j,n) \in J \times \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{S_{j,n}} \sum_{h \in H} \vartheta_j(g,h) \phi_n(h) = \frac{1}{S} \sum_{h \in H} \vartheta(g,h) \phi(h) = \text{ind}_\vartheta(\phi)(g,h).
\]

Eventually we prove that for fixed \( j \in J \) and \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) the character \( \text{ind}_{\vartheta_j}(\phi_n) \) is finite of arithmetic degree at most \( de \). Let \( \rho_j : \tilde{G} \times H \to \text{GL}(V_j) \) and \( \eta_n : H \to \text{GL}(W_n) \) denote representations attached to \( \vartheta_j \) and \( \phi_n \). Let \( F, E \) be algebraic number fields of degree \( d = [F : \mathbb{Q}] \) and \( e = [E : \mathbb{Q}] \) such that \( \rho_j \) is defined over \( F \) and \( \eta_n \) is defined over \( E \). The induced character \( \text{ind}_{\vartheta_j}(\phi_n) \) is the character of the \( \tilde{G} \)-representation on \( \text{Ind}_{V_j}(W_n) \) (see Lemma 4.5). This representation is finite and can be defined over the field compositum \( L = FE \). This finishes the proof, since \( [L : \mathbb{Q}] \leq de \).

\( \square \)

### 4.5 Relatively sofic groups

Our construction of induced characters leads to the following definition of relatively sofic groups. We discuss some basic properties.

**Definition 4.14** Let \( G \) be a group and \( H \leq G \) be a subgroup. We say that \( G \) is sofic relative to \( H \) if the character \( i_G \in \text{Ch}(G \times H) \) is sofic relative to \( H \).

**Question 4.15** Let \( G \) be a sofic group and let \( H \leq G \) be a finite subgroup. Is \( G \) sofic relative to \( H \)?
In view of our applications this question arises quite naturally. The following result will provide a partial answer. It illustrates that there is a large class of such groups which clearly contains all residually finite groups.

**Proposition 4.16** Let $G$ be a sofic group and let $H \leq G$ be a finite subgroup. If there is a finite index subgroup $N \leq_{f.i.} G$ such that $N \cap H = \{1\}$, then $G$ is sofic relative to $H$.

**Corollary 4.17** Let $G$ be a sofic group and let $H$ be a finite group acting on $G$ by automorphisms. The semidirect product $G \rtimes H$ is sofic relative to $H$.

*Proof of the corollary* This follows from the proposition and the fact that the semidirect product $G \rtimes H$ is sofic by [8, Thm. 1].

Before we give the proof of the proposition, we discuss a lemma which allows us to reduce to the case where the centralizer $C_G(h)$ of every element $h \in H$ has finite index in $G$.

**Lemma 4.18** Let $G$ be a group and let $H$ be a finite subgroup. Let $H_0 = \{h \in H | [G : C_G(h)] < \infty\}$ and let $K \leq_{f.i.} G$ be a finite index subgroup which contains $H_0$. If $K$ is sofic relative to $H_0$, then $G$ is sofic relative to $H$.

*Proof* Note that $H_0 \unlhd H$ is a normal subgroup of $H$. Intersecting the finitely many $H$-conjugates of $K$, we may assume that $K$ is normalized by $H$. Pick a surjection $\pi : F \to G$ from a free group $F$. The inverse image $\pi^{-1}(K)$ will be denoted by $\tilde{F}$; it is again a free group. Let $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_s$ be coset representatives for $F/\tilde{F}$ and let $b_1, \ldots, b_m$ be coset representatives of $H/H_0$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $f_1, \ldots, f_r \in F$ be given. We have to find a finite $F$-$H$-biset, whose character is $\varepsilon$-close to the character $\text{infl}_{F \times H}(i_G)$ at the elements $\{f_1, \ldots, f_r\} \times H$.

By assumption there is a finite $\tilde{F}$-$H_0$-biset $X$ such that

$$\left| \frac{|\text{Fix}_X(\gamma_i^{-1} f_j \gamma_i, h)|}{|X|} - i_K(\pi(\gamma_i^{-1} f_j \gamma_i), h) \right| < \varepsilon.$$ 

for all $h \in H_0$, all $i \in \{1, \ldots, s\}$ and all $j \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$ with $\gamma_i^{-1} f_j \gamma_i \in \tilde{F}$. Consider the set $Y = (F \times X \times H)/\sim$ where the equivalence relation $(g_1, x_1, h_1) \sim (g_2, x_2, h_2)$ holds if there are $g \in \tilde{F}$ and $t \in H_0$ such that $g_1 g^{-1} = g_2, g x_1 t^{-1} = x_2$ and $t h_1 = h_2$.

Then $Y$ is carries a left action by $F$ and a right action by $H$. Every element of $Y$ has a unique representative of the form $(\gamma_i, x, b_j)$ and thus $|Y| = |F/\tilde{F}| \cdot |H/H_0| \cdot |X|$. We will verify that the character of $Y$ is $\varepsilon$-close to $\text{infl}(i_G)$ at all elements $(f_j, h)$.

Fix $f \in \{f_1, \ldots, f_r\}$ and $h \in H$. The point $y = (\gamma_i, x, b_j)$ is an $(f, h)$-fixed point if and only if 1) $\gamma_i^{-1} f \gamma_i \in \tilde{F}$, 2) $h \in H_0$ and 3) $(\gamma_i^{-1} f \gamma_i) \cdot x \cdot (b_j h^{-1} b_j^{-1}) = x$. If $h$ is not in $H_0$, then $i_G(\pi(f), h) = 0$ and there is nothing to show. Now assume that $h \in H_0$, then we obtain the formula
with an error term bounded by $|Y|\varepsilon$. The term $i_K(\pi(\gamma_i^{-1}f\gamma_i), b_jhb_j^{-1})$ vanishes if $\pi(\gamma_i^{-1}f\gamma_i)$ is not conjugate to $h_j = b_jhb_j^{-1}$ in $K$. Therefore we can restrict the summation to the set of indices $I_F(h_j) = \{ i \in \{1, \ldots, s\} \mid \pi(\gamma_i^{-1}f\gamma_i) \sim_K h_j \}$. Note that $F/F \cong G/K$. This shows that the set $I_F(h_j)$ is either empty (if $\pi(f)$ is not conjugate to $h_j$ in $G$) or otherwise has exactly $[C_G(h_j) : C_K(h_j)]$ elements. Conjugation with $b_j$ defines an automorphism of $G$ which preserves $K$. We deduce that $[C_G(h_j) : C_K(h_j)]$ and $|I_F(h_j)|$ are independent of $j$. We obtain

$$|\text{Fix}_Y(f, h)| = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i \in I_F(h_j)} [K : C_K(h)]^{-1} \cdot i_G(\pi(f), h) + O(\varepsilon),$$

with an error term which is bounded by $\varepsilon$. \hfill \Box

**Proof of Proposition 4.16** Take a finite index normal subgroup $N$ such that $N \cap H = \{1\}$. By Lemma 4.18 we may assume that every element $h \in H$ has a finite index centralizer in $G$; we may further assume that every element of $N$ centralizes $H$ by possibly choosing $N$ smaller. Note that the group $K = N \times H$ is isomorphic to the direct product $N \times H$. Another application of Lemma 4.18 shows that it suffices to verify that $K$ is sofic relative to $H$.

It remains to show that a direct product $K = N \times H$ of a sofic group $N$ and a finite group $H$ is sofic relative to $H$. Let $\pi : F \to N$ be an epimorphism from a free group $F$ and let $\phi = \inf_N^F(\delta_N^{(2)})$ be the inflation of the regular character. By Proposition 3.4 there is a net of permutation characters $\phi_j \in \text{Per}(F)$ which converges to $\phi$. The character $i_H \in \text{Ch}(H \times H)$ (defined as in (4.4) with $i_H(h_1, h_2) = [H : C_H(h_2)]^{-1}$ if $h_1$ and $h_2$ are conjugate) is the permutation character of the action of $H \times H$ on $H$ via $(h_1, h_2) \cdot x = h_1 \cdot h_2^{-1}$. Observe that $[K : C_K(h)] = [H : C_H(h)]$ for all $h \in H$ and consequently

$$i_K((n, h_1), h_2) = \delta_N^{(2)}(n)i_H(h_1, h_2)$$

for all $n \in N$ and $h_1, h_2 \in H$. This implies that the net of permutation characters $\phi_j \cdot i_H \in \text{Ch}(F \times H \times H)$ converges to $\inf_{K \times H}^{F \times H}(i_K) = \phi \cdot i_H$. We deduce that $K = N \times H$ is sofic relative to $H$. \hfill \Box

## 5 Main results and applications

In this final section we will put everything together to prove our main results and give some applications. We begin with the definition of the central notion: the $L^2$-
multiplicity. Let $G$ be a group and let $H \leq G$ be a finite subgroup and let

$$Q_\bullet : \cdots \rightarrow Q_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\partial_{n+1}} Q_n \xrightarrow{\partial_n} Q_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots$$

be a chain complex of f.g. projective $\mathbb{C}[G]$-modules.

**Definition 5.1** Let $\chi \in \text{Irr}(H)$ and $p \in \mathbb{Z}$. The $p$-th $L^2$-multiplicity of $\chi$ in $Q$ is

$$m_p^2(\chi, Q_\bullet) := \frac{\chi(1)}{|H|} b_p^\phi(Q_\bullet)$$

where $b_p^\phi(Q_\bullet)$ is the $p$-th Betti number (see Sect. 2.3) w.r.t. $\phi = \text{ind}_H^G(\widetilde{\chi})$ and $\widetilde{\chi} = \frac{\chi}{\chi(1)}$ is the normalized character associated to $\chi$.

### 5.1 $L^2$-multiplicities for finite groups

We first discuss the $L^2$-multiplicities in the case of finite groups and show that they are the normalized ordinary multiplicities. Before that, we recall how the ordinary multiplicities are defined.

Let $G$ be a finite group. For finite dimensional right $\mathbb{C}[G]$-modules $W$ and $U$, the multiplicity of $W$ in $U$ is

$$m(W, U) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}[G]}(U, W).$$

It is useful to keep in mind that $m(U, W) = m(W, U)$. If $\vartheta$ is the ordinary character afforded by $W$, then we write $m(\vartheta, U) := m(W, U)$.

Let $\phi \in \text{Ch}(G)$ be a character and let $A \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{C}[G])$. First of all, we need to understand what $\text{rk}_\phi(A)$ and $\text{null}_\phi(A)$ are. It is sufficient to consider the rank (by (2.1)). By Corollary 2.16 the rank and nullity are affine in the character $\phi$. Further, since $\text{Ch}(G)$ is the simplex over the normalized irreducible characters, we may assume that $\phi = \widetilde{\vartheta}$ with $\vartheta \in \text{Irr}(G)$.

Let $(V, \rho)$ be an irreducible representation which affords the character $\vartheta$. As we have seen in Sect. 2.8 the tracial Hilbert $G$-bimodule $\mathcal{H}_\phi$ is $\text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(V)$ with the left and right multiplication by the elements of $\rho(G)$. The inner product is given by $\langle a, b \rangle = \frac{1}{\vartheta(1)} \text{Tr}(b^*a)$ and the trace-element is $\text{Id}_V$.

Consider the left multiplication map $l_A : \mathbb{C}[G]^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[G]^m$. Every $\mathbb{C}[G]$-module is projective and we obtain a decomposition $\mathbb{C}[G]^m = \text{im}(l_A) \oplus C$. Therefore the image of $l_A^\phi : \mathcal{H}_\phi^m \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\phi^m$ is isomorphic to $\text{im}(l_A) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[G]} \mathcal{H}_\phi$. It suffices to understand the dimension $\dim_{\mathcal{N}_G}(W \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[G]} \mathcal{H}_\phi)$ for any finite dimensional right $\mathbb{C}[G]$-module $W$. The additivity of the dimension allows us to restrict to the case where $W$ is irreducible.

Under these assumption we have $W \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[G]} \mathcal{H}_\phi$ is isomorphic to $W$ or is trivial depending on whether or not $W$ has character $\vartheta$. Assume that $W$ has character $\vartheta$ and identify $\mathcal{H}_\phi$ with a matrix algebra $M_d(\mathbb{C})$ by choosing a basis of $V$ (where $d = \vartheta(1)$). Then $W$ is isomorphic to the submodule given by the first row and the orthogonal projection is given by the matrix
\[
P = \begin{pmatrix}
1 \\
0 \\
\vdots \\
0
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

We conclude that \( \dim \mathcal{N}_\phi (W \otimes \mathcal{H}_\phi) = \frac{1}{\bar{\vartheta}(1)} \text{Tr} (P) = \frac{1}{\bar{\vartheta}(1)} \).

Finally, putting these observations together we deduce that the rank \( \text{rk}_\phi (A) \) equals
\[
\frac{1}{\vartheta(1)} m(\vartheta, \text{im}(l_A)).
\]
The following lemma summarizes this discussion.

**Lemma 5.2** Let \( \tilde{\vartheta} = \frac{\vartheta}{\vartheta(1)} \) be the normalization of an ordinary character. For every chain complex \( Q_\bullet \) of finite dimensional right \( \mathbb{C}[G] \)-modules we have
\[
b^{\tilde{\vartheta}}_p (Q_\bullet) = \frac{1}{\tilde{\vartheta}(1)} m(\vartheta, H_p (Q_\bullet)).
\]

**Corollary 5.3** Let \( G \) be a finite group and let \( H \) be a subgroup. For every irreducible character \( \chi \in \text{Irr}(H) \) the \( L^2 \)-multiplicity is
\[
m^{(2)}_p (\chi, Q_\bullet) = m \left( \chi, H_p (Q_\bullet)|_H \right) \frac{|H|}{|G|}.
\]

**Proof** The character \( \text{ind}^G_H (\bar{\chi}) \) is the normalization of the ordinary induced character \( \vartheta = \text{Ind}^G_H (\chi) \). Note that \( \vartheta(1) = \chi(1)[G : H] \). We apply Lemma 5.2 and Frobenius reciprocity (Lemma 4.2) to obtain
\[
b^{\tilde{\vartheta}}_p (Q_\bullet) = \frac{1}{\vartheta(1)} m(\vartheta, H_p (Q_\bullet)) = \frac{m(\chi, H_p (Q_\bullet)|_H)}{\chi(1)[G : H]}.
\]

\( \square \)

### 5.2 \( L^2 \)-multiplicities and \( L^2 \)-Betti numbers

Let \( G \) be a group, let \( H \leq G \) be a finite subgroup and let \( Q_\bullet \) be a chain complex of f.g. projective \( \mathbb{C}[G] \)-modules.

**Lemma 5.4** The \( p \)-th \( L^2 \)-multiplicities and the \( p \)-th \( L^2 \)-Betti number of \( Q_\bullet \) satisfy the relation
\[
\sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(H)} \chi(1) m^{(2)}_p (\chi, Q_\bullet) = b^{(2)}_p (Q_\bullet).
\]

**Proof** We have the following identity of characters
\[
\sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(H)} \frac{\chi(1)^2}{|H|} \text{ind}^G_H (\bar{\chi}) = \sum_{h \in H} i_G (\cdot, h) \sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(H)} \frac{\chi(1)}{|H|} \chi(h) = \text{ind}^G_H (\delta^{(2)}_H ) = \delta^{(2)}_G.
\]

\( \square \) Springer
The Betti numbers $b_p^\phi(Q_\bullet)$ are affine in the parameter $\phi$ (see Corollary 2.16 (1)) and so the following calculation verifies the assertion
\[
\sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(H)} \chi(1) m_p(\chi, Q_\bullet) = \sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(H)} \frac{\chi(1)^2}{|H|} b_p^\text{ind}_H^G(\chi)(Q_\bullet) = b_p^2(Q_\bullet).
\]

Now we prove Proposition 5.5 stated in the introduction; this is our main tool to calculate the multiplicities.

**Proposition 5.5** Assume that every non-trivial element $h \in H$ has a centralizer $C_G(h)$ of infinite index in $G$. Then

\[
m_p^2(\chi, Q_\bullet) = \frac{\chi(1)}{|H|} b_p^2(Q_\bullet).
\]

**Proof** Indeed, under the assumptions $i_G(g, h) = 0$ unless $g = 1$ and $h = 1$. Consequently,
\[
\text{ind}^G_H(\tilde{\chi})(g) = \sum_{h \in H} i_G(g, h) \tilde{\chi}(h) = \delta^2_G(g)
\]
for all $g \in G$. This character identity immediately finishes the proof. \qed

### 5.3 The sofic approximation theorem

We will use the notion of $G$-CW-complexes as in the survey [18, Sect. 1.2.1] with the warning that here the group $G$ acts from the right. To be more precise, a $G$-CW-complex is a CW-complex $X$ with a cellular right action of $G$ such that for every open cell $e \subseteq X$ if $e \cdot g \cap e \neq \emptyset$, then $g$ acts trivially on $e$. We say that $X$ is proper, if every stabilizer is a finite subgroup of $G$.

Let $X$ be a proper $G$-CW-complex. The rational cellular chain complex of $X$ will be denoted by $C_\bullet(X)$. Every $C_p(X)$ is isomorphic to a direct sum
\[
C_p(X) = \bigoplus_{i \in I_p} \mathbb{Q}[S_i \backslash G]
\]
where $I_p$ a set of representatives of the $G$-orbits on $p$-cells and $S_i$ is the finite stabilizer. Suppose that $X/G$ is of finite type, i.e. has only finitely many cells of each dimension, then Lemma 2.9 shows that $C_\bullet(X)$ is a complex of finitely generated projective $\mathbb{Q}[G]$-modules.

Let $H \leq G$ be a finite subgroup and let $\chi \in \text{Irr}(H)$ be an irreducible character. The $p$-th $L^2$-multiplicity of $\chi$ in $X$ is defined to be
\[
m_p^2(\chi, X; G) := m_p^2(\chi, C_\bullet(X)).
\]
Theorem 5.6 Let $G$ be a group and let $H$ be a finite subgroup. Suppose that $X$ is a proper $G$-CW-complex such that $X/G$ is of finite type. Let $\Gamma_1 \supset \Gamma_2 \supset \Gamma_3 \supset \cdots$ be a decreasing sequence normal subgroups of $G$ such that $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Gamma_n = \{1\}$. We can assume that $\Gamma_n \cap H = \{1\}$ for all $n$.

If $G/\Gamma_n$ is sofic relative to $H\Gamma_n/\Gamma_n$ for all sufficiently large $n$, then the $p$-th $L^2$-multiplicities satisfy

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} m_p^{(2)}(\chi, X/\Gamma_n; G/\Gamma_n) = m_p^{(2)}(\chi, X; G)$$

for every $\chi \in \text{Irr}(H)$.

Proof First we observe that $H\Gamma_n/\Gamma_n \cong H/H \cap \Gamma_n \cong H$ and we will tacitly identify $H$ with $H\Gamma_n/\Gamma_n$. Let $q_n$ denote the canonical quotient morphism $G \to G/\Gamma_n$.

Consider the rational cellular chain complex

$$\cdots \to C_{p+1}(X) \xrightarrow{\partial_{p+1}} C_p(X) \xrightarrow{\partial_p} C_{p-1}(X) \to \cdots$$

We apply Lemma 2.10 to find matrices $A$ and $B$ over the rational group ring $\mathbb{Q}[G]$ such that $\text{rk}_\eta(A) = \text{rk}_\eta(\partial_{p+1})$ and $\text{null}_\eta(B) = \text{null}_\eta(\partial_p)$ for all $\eta \in \text{Ch}(G)$.

We introduce the following notation: $\psi_n = \text{ind}_{G/\Gamma_n}(\tilde{\chi})$, $\phi_n = q_n^*(\psi_n)$ and $\phi = \text{ind}_H^G(\tilde{\chi})$. In this notation we obtain

$$m_p^{(2)}(\chi, X/\Gamma_n; G/\Gamma_n) = \frac{\chi(1)}{|H|} b^{\psi_n}(C_\bullet(X/\Gamma_n))$$

$$= \frac{\chi(1)}{|H|} (\text{null}_{\psi_n}(q_n(B)) - \text{rk}_{\psi_n}(q_n(A)))$$

Cor. 2.16

$$\equiv \frac{\chi(1)}{|H|} (\text{null}_{\phi_n}(B) - \text{rk}_{\phi_n}(A)) = \frac{\chi(1)}{|H|} b^{\phi_n}(C_\bullet(X)).$$

Note that $\lim_{n \to \infty} \phi_n = \phi$ by Lemma 4.12. We deduce that it suffices to show that the sequence $\phi_n$ has the approximation property with respect to all matrices over the rational group ring. We will verify that the characters $\phi_n$ are arithmetically hyperlinear of bounded degree, then the approximation property follows from Theorem 3.13.

The assumption that $G/\Gamma_n$ is sofic relative to $H$ yields in particular that $i_G \in \text{Ch}(G \times H)$ is arithmetically hyperlinear of degree at most 1 relative to $H$. Theorem 4.13 now shows that the characters $\psi_n = \text{ind}_{G/\Gamma_n}(\tilde{\chi})$ are arithmetically hyperlinear of degree at most $\phi(|H|)$. \hfill $\square$

Remark 5.7 Theorem 5.6 together with Corollary 5.3 provides the first proof of Theorem 1.2 from the introduction.

5.4 A Farber approximation theorem for $L^2$-multiplicities

Let $X$ be a $G$-CW-complex such that $X/G$ is of finite type. In this section we discuss an approximation theorem for sequences $(\Gamma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of finite index subgroups of $G$.
which are not necessarily normal. Nevertheless, we need the finite group $H \leq G$ to act on the quotient space $X / \Gamma_n$, hence we always assume that $H$ normalizes the groups $\Gamma_n$. In this case $H$ acts not only on the quotient $X / \Gamma_n$ but, moreover, acts on the homology groups $H_p(X / \Gamma_n, \mathbb{C})$. In particular, we can consider the multiplicities $m(\chi, H_p(X / \Gamma_n, \mathbb{C}))$ for every character $\chi \in \text{Irr}(H)$.

Our approach is inspired by the approximation theorem of Farber [11] which is based on so-called Farber sequences. A sequence $(\Gamma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of finite index subgroups of $G$ is called Farber, if the sequence of characters $\phi_n$ associated to the permutation representations of $G$ on $G / \Gamma_n$ converges to the regular character $\delta_G^{(2)}$. One can make this explicit and obtains the following condition: A sequence $(\Gamma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is Farber if

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{|\{ f \in G / \Gamma_n \mid g \in f \Gamma_n f^{-1} \}|}{[G : \Gamma_n]} = 0 \quad \text{(Fa)}
$$

for all $g \in G$ with $g \neq 1$. Theorem 0.3 of Farber in [11] generalizes Lück’s approximation theorem to all Farber sequences of finite index subgroups.

Here we will obtain a “Farber”-version of the approximation theorem for $L^2$-multiplicities. However, it turns out the Farber condition is not sufficient for our purposes and we need to take into account the finite group $H$.

**Definition 5.8** Let $G$ be a group and let $H$ be a finite subgroup. A sequence $(\Gamma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of finite index subgroups of $G$ is Farber relative to $H$ if $H$ normalizes each $\Gamma_n$ and the sequence of characters of the $G$-$H$-bisets $G / \Gamma_n$ converges to $i_G$. This means,

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{|\{ f \in G / \Gamma_n \mid f^{-1}gf \in h \Gamma_n \}|}{[G : \Gamma_n]} = i_G(g, h) \quad \text{(Fa/H)}
$$

for all $g \in G$ and $h \in H$.

**Remark 5.9** Let $\Gamma_1 \supset \Gamma_2 \supset \Gamma_3 \supset \cdots$ be a decreasing sequence of finite index normal subgroups. If $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Gamma_n = \{1\}$, then the sequence is Farber relative to any finite subgroup $H \leq G$. Indeed, this follows from the proof of Lemma 4.12.

It is an easy observation that every Farber sequence relative to $H$ satisfies the Farber condition (Fa) (put $h = 1$!). The converse however is not true even if every $\Gamma_n$ is normalized by $H$.

**Example 5.10** Let $D_\infty = \mathbb{Z} \rtimes \{ \pm 1 \}$ be the infinite dihedral group with the finite subgroup $H = 0 \rtimes \{ \pm 1 \}$. We consider the sequence of finite index subgroups $\Gamma_m = m\mathbb{Z} \rtimes \{ \pm 1 \}$. Clearly, every $\Gamma_m$ is normalized by $H$; however, the $\Gamma_m$ are not normal (unless $m = 2$). The sequence is not Farber relative to $H$. Indeed, since $H \leq \Gamma_m$ for all $m$ we can take the element $g = 1$ and $h = (0, -1)$ to see that (Fa/H) is violated.

Finally, we claim that the sequence $\Gamma_m$ is Farber. To this end we verify that

$$
|\{ f \in D_\infty / \Gamma_m \mid f^{-1}(k, \varepsilon)f \in \Gamma_n \}| = \begin{cases} 
m & \text{if } k \equiv 0 \pmod{m} \text{ and } \varepsilon = 1 \\
gcd(2, m) & \text{if } k \in \gcd(2, m)\mathbb{Z} \text{ and } \varepsilon = -1 \\
0 & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
$$
Theorem 5.11 Let $G$ be a group and let $H \leq G$ be a finite subgroup. Let $X$ be a proper $G$-CW-complex such that $X/G$ is of finite type. Suppose that $(G_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of finite index subgroups which is Farber relative to $H$ (see (Fa/H)), then

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{m(\chi, H_p(X/\Gamma_n, \mathbb{C}))}{[G : \Gamma_n]} = m_p^{(2)}(\chi, X; G)
$$

for all $\chi \in \text{Irr}(H)$.

Proof Let $\psi_n$ be the character of the $G-H$-biset $Y_n = G/\Gamma_n$; this means, $\psi_n(g, h) = |\{y \in Y_n \mid g y h^{-1} = y\}|$. The sequence $(\Gamma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ being Farber relative to $H$, means that

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \psi_n = i_G \in \text{Ch}(G \times H).
$$

In particular, we have

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{h \in H} \psi_n(1, h) \tilde{\chi}(h) = \sum_{h \in H} i_G(1, h) \tilde{\chi}(h) = 1.
$$

This shows that the normalized character $\tilde{\chi}$ can be induced via $\psi_n$ (in the sense of Definition 4.7) for all sufficiently large $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\phi_n = \text{ind}_{\psi_n}(\tilde{\chi})$ be the corresponding induced character. We note (using $\psi_n \to i_G$) that the sequence of characters $(\phi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to the induced character $\text{ind}_H^G(\tilde{\chi})$.

By Theorem 4.13 the characters $\phi_n$ are arithmetically hyperlinear of degree at most $\varphi(|H|)$. We deduce, using Theorem 3.13, that the sequence $(\phi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ has the approximation property (Def. 2.18) with respect to all matrices over the rational group ring $\mathbb{Q}[G]$. Notably, we obtain

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} b_p^{\phi_n}(C_\bullet(X)) = b_p^{\text{ind}_H^G(\tilde{\chi})}(C_\bullet(X)) = \frac{|H|}{\chi(1)} m_p^{(2)}(\chi, X; G). \quad (5.1)
$$

Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and put $\phi := \phi_n$ and $\psi := \psi_n$. As a next step we want to understand how the Betti numbers $b_p^{\phi}(C_\bullet(X))$ are related to the multiplicities. This reduces to a statement about finite groups. Let $N$ be the largest normal subgroup of $G$ which is contained in $\Gamma_n$, that is, $N = \bigcap_{g \in G} g \Gamma_n g^{-1}$. We will consider everything reduced modulo $N$. Let $\psi'$ be the character of $Y_n$ considered as a $G/N-H$-biset, i.e. $\text{infl}^G_{G/N}(\psi') = \psi$. And similarly, let $\phi' = \text{ind}_{\psi'}(\tilde{\chi})$ so that $\phi = \text{infl}^G_{G/N}(\phi')$. Now we note that $b_p^{\phi}(C_\bullet(X)) = b_p^{\phi'}(C_\bullet(X/N))$ (this follows from Corollary 2.16). We may thus assume that $G$ is a finite group, and that $X$ is a finite type $G$-CW-complex for the calculation of $b_p^{\phi}(C_\bullet(X))$.

Let $M = \mathbb{C}[Y_n]$ be the $\mathbb{C}[G]-\mathbb{C}[H]$-bimodule associated with $Y_n$ and let $V$ be a right $\mathbb{C}[H]$-module which affords $\chi$. Let $\vartheta$ be the ordinary character of the induced.
representation $\text{Ind}_M(V)$. By Lemma 4.5 the identity $\vartheta = \frac{\chi}{|H|}\phi$ holds, i.e. $\widetilde{\vartheta} = \phi$. We deduce using Lemma 5.2 and Lemma 4.2 that

$$b^\phi_p(C_\bullet(X)) = \frac{1}{\vartheta(1)} m(\text{Ind}_M(V), H_p(X, \mathbb{C})) = \frac{1}{\vartheta(1)} m(\chi, \text{Res}_M(H_p(X, \mathbb{C}))).$$

We conclude the proof with three observations. First of all, it was pointed out in Example 4.1 that $\text{Res}_M(H_p(X, \mathbb{C}))$ is simply the module $H_p(X, \mathbb{C})\Gamma_n$ of $\Gamma_n$-coinvariants of $H_p(X, \mathbb{C})$. Since we are working with finite groups, every $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma_n]$-module is projective and, in addition, taking coinvariants is an exact functor. We conclude that $H_p(X, \mathbb{C})\Gamma_n = H_p(C_\bullet(X, \mathbb{C})\Gamma_n) = H_p(X/\Gamma_n, \mathbb{C})$.

The second observation is that

$$\vartheta(1) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\text{Ind}_M(V)) = |Y_n/H| \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(V^{H\cap\Gamma_n}).$$

We briefly explain this identity. Recall that $\text{Ind}_M(V) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}[H]}(\mathbb{C}[Y_n], V)$. A homomorphism $\alpha$ maps every basis element $y \in Y_n$ an element in $\alpha(y) \in V$ in an $H$-equivariant way. The homomorphism $\alpha$ is completely determined if we know its value at a representative of every (right) $H$-orbit in $Y_n$. However, the value cannot be arbitrary; there is one restriction: the value $\alpha(y)$ has to be invariant under the stabilizer of $y$ in $H$. Let $y = f \Gamma_n$, then $yh = f h \Gamma_n \equiv f \Gamma_n = y$ exactly if $h \in H \cap \Gamma_n$.

The last remark is that $\Gamma_j \cap H = \{1\}$ for all sufficiently large $j$. In fact, for $h \in H$ with $h \neq 1$ the relative Farber condition yields

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} \frac{|\{ f \in G/\Gamma_j \mid 1 \in h \Gamma_j \}|}{[G : \Gamma_n]} = i_G(1, h) = 0.$$

This implies that $h \notin \Gamma_j$ for all sufficiently large $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

The proof of the Theorem is complete when we insert the formula

$$b^\phi_p(C_\bullet(X)) = \frac{|H|}{\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(V^{H\cap\Gamma_n})} \frac{m(\chi, H_p(X/\Gamma_n, \mathbb{C}))}{|H \cap \Gamma_n| \cdot |G : \Gamma_n|}$$

in the equation (5.1). Note that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(V) = \chi(1).$ \hfill $\Box$

### 5.5 Applications to the cohomology of groups

In this section we discuss applications of our main theorem to the cohomology of groups. It seems to us that these observations are not obvious to obtain without $L^2$-methods.

Recall that a group $\Gamma$ is said to be of type $F_m$ if there is a $K(\Gamma, 1)$-space with finite $m$-skeleton. Using this we derive the simple translation stated in the introduction.

**Theorem 5.12** Fix $p \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\Gamma$ be a group of type $F_{p+1}$ and let $H$ be a finite group which acts on $\Gamma$ by automorphisms. Assume that the fixed point group $\Gamma^H$ has infinite index in $\Gamma$ for all $h \in H \setminus \{1\}$.

Springer
Let \( \Gamma \supset \Gamma_1 \supset \Gamma_2 \supset \cdots \) be a decreasing sequence of finite index normal \( H \)-stable subgroups with trivial intersection. Then

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{m(\chi, H^p(\Gamma_n, \mathbb{C}))}{[\Gamma : \Gamma_n]} = \frac{\chi(1)}{|H|} b_p^{(2)}(\Gamma)
\]

for every character \( \chi \in \text{Irr}(H) \).

**Proof** Consider the semidirect product group \( G = \Gamma \rtimes H \). We will identify \( \Gamma \) with \( \Gamma \cong \{1\} \subset G \). Since \( G \) contains \( \Gamma \) as a finite index subgroup, the group \( G \) has type \( F_{p+1} \) as well (see [14, 7.2.3]). Let \( X \) be a classifying space for \( G \). This means, \( X \) is a contractible free \( G \)-CW-complex. Since \( G \) is of type \( F_{p+1} \), we can assume that the quotient \( X^{p+1} / G \) of the \((p+1)\)-skeleton \( X^{p+1} \) is of finite type. Note that the space \( X^{p+1} / \Gamma_n \) is the \( p+1 \)-skeleton of the Eilenberg–MacLane space of \( \Gamma_n \)—in particular, its (co)homology is isomorphic to the group (co)homology of \( \Gamma_n \) up to degree \( p \). Moreover, the inherited action of \( H \) yields the action of \( H \) in the cohomology of the group \( \Gamma_n \).

Our assumption that \( \Gamma_n \) is \( H \)-stable implies that \( \Gamma_n \) is a normal subgroup of \( G \). Further, the centralizer of every non-trivial \( h \in H \) inside \( G \) is \( C_G(h) = \Gamma^h \times C_H(h) \). We deduce that \( C_G(h) \) has infinite index in \( G \). Proposition 5.5 now shows that

\[
m_p^{(2)}(\chi, X^{p+1}, G) = \frac{\chi(1)}{|H|} b_p^{(2)}(G) = \frac{\chi(1)}{|H|^2} b_p^{(2)}(\Gamma)
\]

where we use assertion (9) of Thm. 1.35 in [18] in the last step.

Now we apply Theorem 1.2 to deduce

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{m(\chi, H^p(X^{p+1} / \Gamma_n, \mathbb{C}))}{[G : \Gamma_n]} = \frac{\chi(1)}{|H|^2} b_p^{(2)}(\Gamma).
\]

We can cancel an appearance of \(|H|\) in the denominator using \([G : \Gamma_n] = |H|([G : \Gamma_n])\). Finally, the universal coefficient theorem implies that the representation of \( H \) in the \( p \)-th cohomology \( H^p(\Gamma_n, \mathbb{C}) \) is dual to the representation in the \( p \)-th homology group. However, the limit only depends on the dimension of the irreducible representation \( \chi \), and this property does not change under taking duals.

\[\square\]

**Corollary 5.13** Let \( \Gamma \) be a group of type \( F_{p+1} \) and let \( \sigma \in \text{Aut}(\Gamma) \) denote an automorphism of finite order such that the fixed point group \( \Gamma^{\sigma^j} \) has infinite index in \( \Gamma \) for all \( 0 < j < \text{ord}(\sigma) \). For every decreasing sequence of finite index normal \( \sigma \)-stable subgroups \( (\Gamma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) with \( \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Gamma_n = \{1\} \), the normalized traces satisfy

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\text{Tr}(\sigma | H^p(\Gamma_n, \mathbb{C}))}{[\Gamma : \Gamma_n]} = 0.
\]

**Remark 5.14** Assume that \( \Gamma \) is of type \( F \), i.e. has a finite \( K(\Gamma, 1) \)-space. Then the Lefschetz number of \( \sigma \) (the alternating sum of the traces) is well-defined. An immediate corollary is that the sequence of normalized Lefschetz numbers converges to zero. This

\[\square\]
consequence can indeed be proven in a more elementary way without any reference to $L^2$-methods.

Proof of Corollary 5.13 Let $H = \langle \sigma \rangle$ be the cyclic group generated by $\sigma$. The hypotheses of Theorem 5.12 are satisfied. Note that the irreducible representations of $H$ are one-dimensional. The following calculation uses the orthogonality relations of characters of finite groups in the last step

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\text{Tr}(\sigma \mid H^p(\Gamma_n, \mathbb{C}))}{[\Gamma : \Gamma_n]} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(H)} \frac{\chi(\sigma) m(\chi, H^p(\Gamma_n, \mathbb{C}))}{[\Gamma : \Gamma_n]}
$$

$$= \frac{b_p^{(2)}(\Gamma)}{\text{ord}(\sigma)} \sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(H)} \chi(\sigma) = 0.
$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

5.6 Epilogue: an application to the cohomology of arithmetic groups

Let $F/\mathbb{Q}$ be a totally real Galois extension of degree $d = [F : \mathbb{Q}]$ with Galois group $\text{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q})$. Let $G$ be a semi-simple linear algebraic group defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ such that the group of real points $G_{\mathbb{R}} = G(\mathbb{R})$ is non-compact. We choose a maximal compact subgroup $K \leq G_{\mathbb{R}}$. Recall that the fundamental rank of a semi-simple real Lie group $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the difference $\text{rk}_{\mathbb{C}}(G_{\mathbb{R}}) - \text{rk}_{\mathbb{C}}(K)$ of its complex rank and the complex rank of $K$. The associated Riemannian symmetric space $K \backslash G_{\mathbb{R}}$ will be denoted by $X_0$.

Extending scalars to $F$ we obtain a semi-simple linear algebraic group $G \times \mathbb{Q}$ over $F$. The Galois group $\text{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q})$ acts on $G(F)$. In fact, applying Weil’s restriction of scalars functor, this action can be interpreted as an action by rational automorphism.

We are interested in arithmetic subgroups $\Gamma \subseteq G(F)$ of the group $G \times \mathbb{Q}$. Such an arithmetic subgroup acts on the associated locally symmetric space $X = X_0^\Gamma$. The dimension of this space is $\dim(X) = d(\dim(G_{\mathbb{R}}) - \dim(K))$. If $\Gamma$ is stable under the Galois group $\text{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q})$, then $\text{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q})$ acts on the cohomology of $\Gamma$ and we are interested in this action. We obtain the following result.

Corollary 5.15 Suppose that $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ has fundamental rank 0 and let $p = \frac{1}{2} \dim(X)$ be the middle dimension of $X$. Let $\Gamma \subseteq G(F)$ be an arithmetic subgroup of which is $\text{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q})$-stable and let $(\Gamma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a decreasing sequence of finite index normal $\text{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q})$-stable subgroups with $\bigcap_n \Gamma_n = \{1\}$. For every irreducible representation $\vartheta \in \text{Irr}(\text{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q}))$ the multiplicity of $\vartheta$ in degree $p$ satisfies

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{m(\vartheta, H^p(\Gamma_n, \mathbb{C}))}{[\Gamma : \Gamma_n]} = \frac{(-1)^p \vartheta(1) \chi(\Gamma)}{[F : \mathbb{Q}]}
$$

where $\chi(\Gamma)$ denotes the Euler characteristic of $\Gamma$ (in the sense of Wall).

Since $\chi(\Gamma) \neq 0$, every irreducible representation of the Galois group occurs with arbitrarily large multiplicity in the middle cohomology of some $\Gamma_n$.  

$\copyright$ Springer
Remark 5.16 Corollary 1.4 from the introduction is a direct consequence of this result, since \( SL_2 \) stimulating discussions during the program.

Another way to calculate Lemma 5.17 Let \( G \) \([15,22,23]\) and Lück’s approximation theorem.
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