Genomic and Phenotypic Characterization of Campylobacter fetus subsp. venerealis Strains
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Abstract: The pathogenesis mechanisms of Campylobacter fetus subsp. venerealis (Cfv) are intricate, with the etiologic agent of Bovine Genital Campylobacteriosis remaining elusive. This study evaluated the virulence potential and biovar characteristics of Cfv isolates (n = 13) by PCR screening of putative virulence-factor (VF) genes, Multilocus Sequence Typing (MLST) analysis, antimicrobial susceptibility to tetracycline, penicillin, enrofloxacin and streptomycin testing, and whole-genome sequencing (WGS; n = 5), also comparing the latter with 26 other whole-genome sequences of Cfv strains. The putative VF genes encoding type IV secretion system of Cfv (virB2-virB11/virD4) were absent in 92% of isolates, excluding isolates from aborted foetuses, evidencing that these VF genes are not essential for Cfv pathogenicity. The parA gene, used as a Cfv diagnostic molecular target, was detected in only 3 of 13 isolates, invalidating its use for diagnosis purposes. Three novel sequence types were identified by MLST. Although no in vitro antimicrobial resistance was detected, WGS identified antimicrobial resistance-related genes, indicating that Cfv’s use for diagnosis purposes. Three novel sequence types were identified by MLST. Although no in vitro antimicrobial resistance was detected, WGS identified antimicrobial resistance-related genes, indicating that Cfv’s use for diagnosis purposes. Three novel sequence types were identified by MLST. Although no in vitro antimicrobial resistance was detected, WGS identified antimicrobial resistance-related genes, indicating that Cfv’s use for diagnosis purposes.
pathogenicity and niche restriction are still unclear. Several putative virulence factor (VF) genes were identified in both subspecies, including those encoding proteins involved in bacterial adhesion, invasion and cytotoxicity, which are common to other *Campylobacter* species, namely, the fibronectin binding protein, the campylobacter invasion antigen (Ciab) and the cytolathal distending toxin (CDT), among others [9]. Nevertheless, comparative genomic analyses revealed the presence of a genomic island almost exclusive of *Cfv* and highly prevalent in this subspecies [9–11], which harbors one of the most well studied genes for *Cfv* identification, the *parA* gene [12,13]. This genomic island harbors genes encoding Fic (filamentation induced by cyclic AMP)—domain proteins (*fic* genes) and a bacterial type IV secretion system (T4SS, *virB*-*virD4* genes) [10]. In vitro studies demonstrated that the T4SS contributes to cytotoxicity and invasiveness of *Cfv*, besides being involved in interbacterial DNA transfer by conjugation [10,14]. Additionally, Fic proteins form a toxin-antitoxin network in *Cfv* that may favor its survival under adverse conditions [15]. These findings indicate a role of this genomic island in *Cfv* pathogenicity and/or adaptation to the genital tract [10,15]. A recent study revealed that *C. fetus* strains commonly harbor multiple T4SS encoding regions, which are phylogenetically different and were possibly acquired from different *Campylobacter* species [16]. Nevertheless, some T4SS encoding regions lack several *virB* genes and their function is still unclear.

Bulls may be treated with antibiotics, namely penicillin and streptomycin, although with limited efficacy, particularly in mature bulls [3,17,18]. These antibiotics are also routinely used in semen processing and their use is mandatory for intra-community trade of bovine semen according to the EU Directive 88/407/CEE. However, the prevalence of antimicrobial resistance among *Cfv* isolates has been poorly investigated. Indeed, a genomic island with two genes involved in tetracycline and streptomycin resistance was identified in *Cff* isolates [11,19], but its occurrence in *Cfv* is unknown. This study aimed to characterize *Cfv* and *Cfv* biovar intermedius (*Cfvi*) isolates, assessing their genomic characteristics, genetic diversity, load of virulence-related genes and in vitro antimicrobial susceptibility.

### 2. Materials and Methods

#### 2.1. *Campylobacter fetus subsp. venerealis* Isolates

The *Cfv* isolates (*n* = 13) were kindly provided by the Starcross Veterinary Investigation Centre from Animal and Plant Health Agency (APHA), United Kingdom, where they were phenotypically identified as *Cfv* (Table 1). The *Cfv* isolates were grown in Columbia agar plates with 5% sheep blood (COS, Biomerieux) for 48 h, under microaerobic conditions (GENbox Microaer, Biomerieux). The subspecies identification (*Cfv*) was further confirmed by the amplification of *nahE* and ISCfe1 sequences, as described by van der Graaf et al. [20].

| Isolate   | Source of Isolation | Year | Herd |
|-----------|---------------------|------|------|
| IS26-04236| Aborted fetus       | 2014 | A    |
| IS26-07793| Aborted fetus       | 2016 | B    |
| IS16-01257| Aborted fetus       | 2013 | C    |
| IS14-13272| Aborted fetus       | 2015 | D    |
| IS21-05213| Unknown             | 2018 | E    |
| IS12-08947| Aborted fetus       | 2019 | F    |
| IS21-08727| Aborted fetus       | 2019 | G    |
| IS21-08528| Aborted fetus       | 2019 | H    |
| IS21-08525| Aborted fetus       | 2019 | H    |
| SA21-221439| Bull sheath wash   | 2019 | I    |
| SA21-221825| Bull sheath wash   | 2019 | I    |
| SA21-217832| Bull sheath wash   | 2019 | J    |
| SA21-217833| Bull sheath wash   | 2019 | J    |
2.2. DNA Isolation

Total DNA was extracted by a rapid boiling method. Briefly, bacterial cells were suspended in 1.5 mL PBS, centrifuged (17,000 × g, 8 min), the supernatant discarded, and the cellular pellet resuspended in 500 µL of sterile water. After a second centrifugation (17,000 × g, 5 min), the pellet was resuspended in 100 µL of sterile water and incubated at 95 °C for 15 min. Finally, the lysate was centrifuged (17,000 × g, 8 min), and the DNA containing supernatant collected. The DNA was quantified using a Nanodrop 2000C spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, USA) and diluted to 50 ng/µL.

2.3. Multilocus Sequence Typing (MLST)

The MLST analysis was performed according to a previously described scheme, based on seven housekeeping genes: aspA, gltA, glnA, pgm, glyA, tkt and uncA [5]. The sequence types (STs) were assigned using the Campylobacter MLST database (https://pubmlst.org/campylobacter/ (accessed on 4 September 2020)) sited at the University of Oxford [21]. New alleles and profiles were submitted to this database.

2.4. Surface Array Protein and L-Cysteine Transporter Typing

The isolates were classified as Cfv or Cfvi using a multiplex-PCR for detection of an L-cysteine transporter operon previously described [22]. DNA from Cfv strain NCTC 10354 and Cfvi strain NCTC 10842 were used as positive controls.

The surface array protein (sap) serotype (sapA and sapB) was identified as described before [23], using primers ACF/ACR and BCF/BCR. DNA from Cfv strain NCTC 10354 and Cfvi strain NCTC 10842 were used as positive controls in sapA and sapB PCRs, respectively.

2.5. Detection of Putative Virulence Factor Genes Using PCR

The presence of putative VF genes involved in adhesion (cadF), invasion (invA and ciaB) and cytotoxicity (cdt and pldA) of host cells [9] was assessed by PCR. For genes cadF, invA, ciaB and pldA, primers were designed with Primer-BLAST [24] using gene sequences of Cfo NCTC 10354 as template (Table S1). PCR reactions were carried out in 25 µL mixtures, containing 200 µM of each dNTP (4you4 dNTP Mix, Bioron), 400 nM of each primer, 1X reaction buffer (Complete reaction buffer, Bioron), 2 units of DFS-Taq DNA polymerase (Bioron) and 100 ng of DNA. Amplifications were performed in a Doppio thermal cycler (VWR) with the following cycling conditions: initial denaturation step at 94 °C for 2 min, followed by 30 cycles of denaturation at 94 °C for 30 s, annealing temperature for 30 s, extension at 72 °C for 1 min, with a final extension step at 72 °C for 5 min. Detection of cdtA, cdtB and cdtC genes was carried out by PCR as described previously [25].

The presence of genes encoding the most studied T4SS of Cfv [10,14], which includes virB2-virB11, virD4 and fic1 and fic2 genes were also screened by PCR. Primers were designed with primer-BLAST [24] to target virB2, virB3-virB4, virB5, virB6, virB7, virB8, virB10 and virD4 genes, using the sequences of Cfo NCTC 10354 (GenBank accession no. CP043435.1, loci CFVT_1262–1267, CFVT_1258 and CFVT_1256) as template (Table S1). Genes fic1, fic2, virB9 and virB11 were detected as recently described [26]. PCR mixtures and thermal cycling conditions were performed as described above. The amplification products were separated in a 1.5% agarose gel electrophoresis, stained with ethidium bromide and visualized using a ChemiDoc XRS + System (Bio-Rad).

2.6. Detection of parA Gene

The parA gene was detected by three PCR assays directed towards distinct nucleotide regions, comprising a conventional PCR with VenSF/VenSR primers [13], two real-time PCR assays, [12] and parA-B assay [26].

2.7. Antibiotic Susceptibility Testing

The minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) of streptomycin, tetracycline, enrofloxacin and penicillin G were in vitro determined using Etest gradient strips (Biomerieux).
Cfv colonies grown on COS plates for 48 h were suspended in Brain Heart Infusion (BHI) broth to a turbidity of 1.0 McFarland measured with a Densimat densitometer (Biomerieux). The inoculum was spread on Mueller Hinton agar plates supplemented with 5% horse blood and 20 mg/L of β-NAD (MHF, Biomerieux) and one strip was applied on each agar plate. The concentration gradients of antibiotics in Etest strips used were 0.064–1024 µg/mL for streptomycin, 0.016–256 µg/mL for tetracycline, and 0.002–32 µg/mL for enrofloxacin and penicillin G. Plates were incubated for 48 h at 35 °C in a microaerobic atmosphere, and MICs were read at the point where the zone of inhibition intersected the MIC scale on the Etest strip. *Escherichia coli* ATCC 25922, *Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC 25923, *Enterococcus faecalis* ATCC 29212 and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ATCC 27853 were used for quality control, as recommended by the manufacturer.

In the absence of specific interpretative criteria for Cfv, the MIC breakpoints of enrofloxacin and tetracycline were defined according to the ciprofloxacin and tetracycline breakpoints defined for *Campylobacter jejuni* and *Campylobacter coli* by the European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST) [27] (Table 2). Results of penicillin G were interpreted according to the criteria defined for Gram-negative anaerobes by the EUCAST [27] (Table 2). For streptomycin MIC breakpoints, due to the absence of EUCAST breakpoints, results were interpreted according to criteria of The National Antimicrobial Resistance Monitoring System for Enteric Bacteria (NARMS) for *Escherichia coli* [28] (Table 2).

**Table 2.** Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) breakpoints for antibiotic susceptibility testing.

| Antibiotic      | MIC Breakpoints (µg/mL) | Reference          |
|-----------------|-------------------------|--------------------|
| Penicillin G    | ≤ 0.25 | ≤ 0.5 | [27] |
| Enrofloxacin    | ≤ 0.5 | ≤ 0.5 | [27] |
| Tetracycline    | ≤ 2   | ≤ 2   | [27] |
| Streptomycin    | ≤ 16  | ≤ 32  | [28] |

MIC—minimum inhibitory concentration; S—susceptible, standard dose regimen; R—resistant.

2.8. Whole Genome Sequencing of Cfv Strains

Strains IS26-07793, IS16-01257, SA21-221439, SA21-217832 and SA21-217833 were selected for whole genome sequencing (WGS) analysis, as they have unique genomic traits, namely represent a novel ST or harbour the screened T4SS-encoding genes. Strains were grown on blood agar plates supplemented with 5% sheep blood at 37 °C for 48 h and genomic DNA isolated using the DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany), according to manufacturer’s instructions. Following preparation of DNA libraries, the genomes were sequenced with the Illumina Novaseq Platform at Stabvida (Caparica, Portugal), using 150-bp paired end reads. The reads were de novo assembled in the Pathosystems Resource Integration Center (PATRIC) version 3.6.7 web platform [29], using SPAdes version 3.12.0 [30]. Assembled genomes were submitted to the Comprehensive Genome Analysis service of PATRIC [29], which includes an annotation service using RAST tool kit (RASTtk) [31]. The whole genome shotgun projects of strains IS26-07793, IS16-01257, SA21-221439, SA21-217832 and SA21-217833 were deposited at DDBJ/ENA/GenBank under the accession numbers JAENPS000000000, JAENPT000000000, JAENPU000000000, JAENPV000000000 and JAENPW000000000, respectively.

The genomes were visualized by comparison against reference genomes (*Cfv* strain NCTC 10354 and *Cfv* strain 01/165) using the BLAST Ring Image Generator (BRIG) version 0.95 [32], with an upper identity threshold of 90% and a lower identity threshold of 70%. Genomic islands and T4SS encoding regions VG III [14], PICFV8/T4SS region 1A [9,16], T4SS region 2A and 1F [16] were included in the BRIG analysis.

The MLST allele sequences were extracted from WGS data using the MLST software version 2.0.4 [33] to confirm results of MLST analysis.
2.9. Comparative Genomic Analysis

The genomes of the five sequenced strains were compared to 26 whole genome sequences of Cfv strains retrieved from the GenBank (Table 3). Genomes were analysed by the Comprehensive Genome analysis service of PATRIC [29], which includes a k-mer-based detection method for antimicrobial resistance genes. Genes assigned to mechanisms of antibiotic inactivation and efflux pumps were considered for this analysis. Additionally, genes \textit{ant(6)-lb} and \textit{tet(44)} conferring resistance to streptomycin and tetracycline [11] and putative VF encoding genes (\textit{cadF}, \textit{pldA}, \textit{invA}, \textit{ciaB}, \textit{cdIA}, \textit{cdIB} and \textit{cdTC}) were searched by the BLAST tool in the genomes.

Table 3. Genomes of \textit{Campylobacter fetus} subsp. \textit{venerealis} strains used for the comparative genomic analysis.

| Cfv Strain | GenBank Accession | Country | Source of Isolation (Bovine) | Assembly Level |
|------------|-------------------|---------|-----------------------------|----------------|
| NCTC 10354 | CP043435.1        | United Kingdom | Vagina | complete |
| WBT011/09  | LMBI00000000.1    | United Kingdom | Unknown | contig |
| CCUG 33900 | LREV00000000.1    | France | Aborted fetus | contig |
| CCUG 33972 | LREU00000000.1    | Czech Republic | Unknown | contig |
| cvf03/293  | CP006999.2        | Argentina | Aborted fetus | complete |
| cvf9825    | LRES00000000.1    | Argentina | Aborted fetus | contig |
| cvf97/532  | LRER00000000.1    | Argentina | Vagina | contig |
| cvf92/203  | LRVL00000000.1    | Argentina | Vagina | contig |
| cvf03/596  | LRAM00000000.1    | Argentina | Aborted fetus | contig |
| cvf02/298  | LRVK00000000.1    | Argentina | Aborted fetus | contig |
| 01/165     | CP014568.1        | Argentina | Mucus | complete |
| 97/608     | CP008810.1        | Argentina | Unknown | complete |
| 99541      | ASTK00000000.1    | Argentina | Preputial sample | contig |
| ADRII362   | LREX00000000.1    | Argentina | Unknown | contig |
| 06/341     | SOYW00000000.1    | Argentina | Aborted fetus | contig |
| cvfB10     | LRET00000000.1    | USA | Unknown | contig |
| 84-112     | HG004426.1        | USA | Unknown | complete |
| TD         | JPPC00000000.1    | Canada | Preputial sample | contig |
| 66Y        | JPQC00000000.1    | Canada | Preputial sample | contig |
| B6         | AJMC00000000.1    | Australia | Vagina | scaffold |
| 642-21     | AJSG00000000.1    | Australia | Uterus | scaffold |
| ADR1513    | LRF00000000.1     | Australia | Unknown | contig |
| zaf3       | LREZ00000000.1    | South Africa | Aborted fetus | contig |
| zaf65      | LREY00000000.1    | South Africa | Unknown | contig |
| NW_ME2     | JAATTN00000000.1  | South Africa | Unknown | contig |

The PATRIC’s Family Protein Sorter service [29] was used to evaluate the distribution of protein families across the analysed genomes, and genus-specific families (PLfams) represented in more than 5% and less than 95% of the genomes (2 to 29 genomes), considered accessory protein families, were selected for further analysis. These data were used for the construction of a heat map using Next-Generation Clustered Heat Map (NG-CHM) Builder [34] with hierarchical clustering using the Euclidean distance metric with the complete agglomeration method.

Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNP) detection and analysis were performed with CSI Phylogeny version 1.4 [35] to reconstruct a phylogenetic tree using the genome of strain NCTC 10354 as reference, with a minimum distance between SNPs set for 10 bp. The tree was illustrated using the Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis (MEGA) X software version 10.1.7 [36] and bootstrap values lower than 70% were hidden.

3. Results

3.1. Multilocus Sequence Typing of Cfv Isolates

A total of 4 STs were identified among the 13 Cfv isolates (Table 4). The allelic profile of isolates IS16-01257 and SA21-221439 was not listed in the PubMLST database and after its
submission, the isolates were assigned to ST-71. Two new alleles of gltA and tkt, assigned respectively to alleles 12 and 14, were deposited in the PubMLST database. The alleles 7 and 12 of gltA differ from allele 2, which is the most common, in one nucleotide position. Additionally, alleles 2 and 14 of tkt are distinguished by a single nucleotide. Overall, nine isolates were assigned to ST-4 (69.2%), two to ST-71 (15.4%), one to ST-72 (7.7%) and one to ST-73 (7.7%). Interestingly, the isolates from herds I and J were assigned to different STs (herd I—SA21-221825 to ST-4 and SA21-221439 to ST-71; herd J—SA21-217832 to ST-72 and SA21-217833 to ST-73).

### Table 4. Sequence types and corresponding allelic profiles.

| ST | Isolates         | Alleles |
|----|------------------|---------|
|    |                  | aspA glnA gltA glyA pgm tkt uncA |
| 4  | IS26-04236       | 1 2 2 2 1 2 1 |
|    | IS26-07793       |         |
|    | IS14-13272       |         |
|    | IS21-0513        |         |
|    | IS21-08528       |         |
|    | IS12-08947       |         |
|    | IS21-08525       |         |
|    | SA21-221825      |         |
|    | IS21-08727       |         |
| 71 | IS16-01257       | 1 2 7 2 1 2 1 |
|    | SA21-221439      |         |
| 72 | SA21-217832      | 1 2 12 2 1 14 1 |
| 73 | SA21-217833      | 1 2 2 2 1 14 1 |

### 3.2. Genomic Characterization of Cfo Isolates: Surface Array Protein and L-Cysteine Transporter Typing, Virulence Factor Genes and parA Gene

All the 13 Cfo isolates were classified as serotype A (sapA positive and sapB negative). Most isolates (n = 9) revealed a Cfvi pattern in L-cysteine transporter PCR (Table 5). The remaining four isolates harbour the L-cysteine transporter encoding operon partially deleted and, consequently, were classified as Cfo.

### Table 5. Genomic characteristics of the Cfo isolates.

| Isolate   | Sap Type | L-Cysteine Transporter Profile | parA Gene | fic Genes | T4SS Encoding Genes |
|-----------|----------|--------------------------------|------------|-----------|---------------------|
| IS26-04236| A        | Cfo                            | +          | +         | −                   |
| IS26-07793| A        | Cfo                            | +          | +         | +                   |
| IS16-01257| A        | Cfvi                           | −          | +         | −                   |
| IS14-13272| A        | Cfo                            | +          | +         | −                   |
| IS21-05213| A        | Cfo                            | −          | +         | −                   |
| IS21-08528| A        | Cfvi                           | −          | +         | −                   |
| IS12-08947| A        | Cfvi                           | −          | +         | −                   |
| IS21-08525| A        | Cfvi                           | −          | +         | −                   |
| SA21-221439| A     | Cfvi                          | −          | +         | −                   |
| SA21-221825| A     | Cfvi                          | −          | +         | −                   |
| IS21-08727| A        | Cfvi                           | −          | +         | −                   |
| SA21-217832| A     | Cfvi                          | −          | +         | −                   |
| SA21-217833| A     | Cfvi                          | −          | +         | −                   |

+ amplification of the target region; − absence of amplification.

All isolates harbour the CDT operon genes (cdtABC), which encode the cytolethal distending toxin, and genes cadF, ciaB, invA, pldA, which encode the fibronectin-binding protein, Campylobacter invasion antigen B, invasin A and phospholipase A, respectively.
These genes were also found in the 26 whole genome sequences of Cfv strains, using BLAST search. Only three isolates (23.1%) were parA positive and this result was consistent using the three different assays. Genes fic1 and fic2 were found in all Cfv isolates, whereas T4SS encoding genes (virB2-virB11 and virD4) were present only in Cfv isolate IS26-07793 (Table 5).

3.3. Antibiotic Susceptibility Testing of Cfv Isolates

Antibiotic resistances were not found among the Cfv isolates. All the 13 isolates were susceptible to tetracycline, streptomycin and enrofloxacin. Eight isolates were categorized as susceptible to penicillin G with standard dose regimen and the remaining 5 isolates (38.5%) were considered susceptible with increased exposure. The MIC values of tetracycline, streptomycin and enrofloxacin for all isolates were below the susceptibility breakpoints. The range of MIC values for each antibiotic and the MIC inhibiting 50% (MIC50) and 90% of the isolates (MIC90) are shown in Table 6.

| Antibiotic    | MIC (µg/mL)                 |
|---------------|----------------------------|
|               | Range | 50% | 90% |
| Tetracycline  | 0.047–0.064 | 0.064 | 0.064 |
| Streptomycin  | 0.5–3.0  | 2.0  | 2.0  |
| Enrofloxacin  | 0.032–0.125 | 0.064 | 0.094 |
| Penicillin    | 0.047–0.38 | 0.25  | 0.38  |

3.4. Whole Genome Sequencing of Five Cfv Strains

The WGS analysis confirmed the PCR result of absence of genes parA, virB2-virB11 and virD4 of T4SS encoding region 1A, in four of the five sequenced strains. Only Cfv strain IS26-07793 harbours the genomic island with the T4SS encoding region 1A [16]. However, all the five strains have other T4SS encoding regions, with gene sequences and gene composition distinct from region 1A (Table 7).

| Region | T4SS Encoding Genes |
|--------|---------------------|
|        | virD4 | virB11 | virB10 | virB9 | virB8 | virB7 | virB6 | virB5 | virB4 | virB3 | virB2 | virB1 |
| 1A     | Blue   |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| 1B     | Blue   |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| 1F     | Blue   |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| 2A     | Blue   |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |

Blue or white colored cells represent presence or absence of the gene, respectively. T4SS encoding regions based on a previous classification [16].

Plotting the sequenced genomes against the genome of Cfv NCTC 10354 as reference showed that all five strains harbour the T4SS encoding region 2A (Figure 1), whereas the region 1A is present only in strain IS16-07793. An additional comparison using the genome of Cfv strain 01/165 as reference showed the presence of a T4SS encoding region 1F, in strains SA21-217832 and SA21-217833.

All except strain IS26-07793 harbour a T4SS encoding region 1B, and strains SA21-217832 and SA21-217833 also present T4SS tra/trb encoding regions. The comparison with the genome of Cfv NCTC 10354 revealed the absence of a prophage in VGI III [6] in strains IS16-01257, SA21-221439, SA21-217832 and SA21-217833 within the sap locus.
Figure 1. Comparative genomic analysis of Cfv strains with reference strains NCTC 10354 and 01/165. Image created using Blast Ring Image Generator version 0.95. The inner ring represents the GC Skew and the remaining rings represent a BLASTN comparison of genomes of IS26-07793, IS16-01257, SA21-221439, SA21-217832 and SA21-217833 with the reference strains NCTC 10354 (left) and 01/165 (right). Red curved bars indicate chromosomal genomic islands previously identified by other authors (T4SS encoding regions 1A, 1F, 2A) [16].

3.5. Comparative Genomic Analysis of Cfv Strains

The SNP analysis using the strain NCTC 10354 as reference was based on 1,630,344 nucleotide positions that were common to all genomes. As shown in Figure 2, the strain IS26-07793 is phylogenetically related to strains CCUG 33900, B6 and NCTC 10354. The remaining four sequenced strains are phylogenetically distant from IS26-07793. Strains SA21-217832 and SA21-217833, isolated from the same herd, are highly related despite having different STs. These strains typed as ST-72 and ST-73 are phylogenetically close to IS16-01257 and SA21-221439 typed as ST-71, and the ST-4 WBT011/09.

The phylogenetic tree also shows a clear distinction between strains typed as CfvI or Cfv in previous studies [5,22,37]. Although NWU_ED23 and NW_ME2 strains were not typed in these studies, the BLAST search identified the complete L-cysteine transporter encoding gene in NWU_ED23 (contig 72) and the sequence divided into two contigs (contigs 26 and 417) in NW_ME2, which is compatible with a CfvI classification. Overall, nine strains are classified as Cfo and 22 as Cfv. CfvI strains are divided into two distant groups (Clusters I and II), with strains CCUG 33872, zaf3, ADRIS13 and 642-21 segregated from the remaining CfvI strains. This comparative genomic analysis of Cfv strains evidences the presence of similar SNP patterns in isolates from the same geographic region. For instance, strains zaf65, NW_ME2 and NW_ED23 were isolated from different regions of South Africa. Moreover, CfvI strains sequenced in this study cluster with the strain WBT011/09 from the UK. The results also showed that CfvI strains from Argentina are included in two related clusters grouped with a bootstrap value of 100%.

Regarding the antimicrobial resistance genes, those encoding the multidrug efflux system CmeABC, the broad-specificity multidrug efflux pump YkkCD, the Macrolide-specific efflux protein MacA, the Macrolide export ATP-binding/permease protein MacB and the nitroimidazole resistance protein were found in the genome of the 31 strains under study, whereas the genes tet(44) and ant(6)-Ib searched using BLAST were not identified in the genomes under analysis.

The analysis of protein families (PLfams) identified 2425 genus-specific protein families, from which 1641 were represented in all the 31 genomes. A total of 1693 proteins are encoded in the genome of 30 or more isolates (≥96.8%), which represent the core gene families considering the commonly accepted cut-off value of 95% and are listed in Supplementary Table S2. The accessory protein families, found in less than 95% of the
strains, are represented in a heatmap with hierarchical clustering (Figure 3). A total of 540 accessory protein families were found encoded in the 31 analysed genomes (Table S3), of which 461 have an unknown function (hypothetical proteins). The groups formed based on the accessory protein families almost match those formed based on the SNP phylogenetic tree, with exception of strain Cfvi 06/341, 97/532 and UK Cfvi strains that were split into two groups. The Cfvi strains 642-21, ADRI 513, zaf3 and CCUG33872 are closely related and segregated from the remaining Cfvi strains, which is in accordance with SNP analysis. There were no exclusive Cfvi protein families common to all strains. As expected, two protein families (PLF_194_00014554 and PLF_194_00049089) related to L-cysteine transporters were exclusively found in Cfvi strains, with the exception of strain cfvi9925. Excluding this strain, 180 protein families were unique to Cfvi and 10 protein families were exclusively represented in the nine Cfv genomes.

Figure 2. Phylogenetic tree based on single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNP) of 31 C. fetus subsp. venerealis strains. Numbers at the nodes represent bootstrap values and values lower than 70% were hidden. The red border rectangle separates Cfvi strains (inside) from strains biotyped as Cfv biovar intermedius (outside).
Figure 3. Heat map representing the distribution of accessory protein families \( (n = 540) \) in the genomes of 31 \textit{Cfv} strains. The absence of the protein family is represented in blue and the number of proteins per family is represented in yellow \( (n = 1) \), orange \( (n = 2) \) and red \( (n = 3) \). \textit{Cfv} strains are grouped by hierarchical clustering, using the Euclidean distance and the complete agglomeration method. The rectangle with red border separates \textit{Cfv} strains (inside) from \textit{Cfv} biovar inter-media strains (outside).

4. Discussion

This study evaluated the virulence potential of \textit{Cfv} strains through genomic and phenotypic approaches and uncovered characteristics of this subspecies that are relevant for BGC control. MLST genotyping showed that most \textit{Cfv} isolates were clustered in ST-4, which is reported to be the most prevalent ST among \textit{Cfv} [5]. Thus, this genotyping method could be considered an effective tool for typing \textit{C. fetus} at the subspecies level [20]. Interestingly, this study revealed a considerable ST diversity and identified three novel STs. These STs differ from ST-4 in one to two nucleotide positions, which denotes the genetic stability of \textit{Cfv}. Nevertheless, the ST variability was higher than expected and the use of MLST for subspecies identification should be further evaluated. In fact, the suitability of MLST for subspecies typing was questionable since the description of one \textit{Cff} strain belongs to ST-4 [38].

The pathogenicity mechanisms of \textit{Cfv} are still unclear. All \textit{Cfv} isolates and 26 genomes from different geographic regions harbour genes encoding the fibronectin-binding protein \( (cadF) \), Campylobacter invasion antigen B \( (ciaB) \), invasin A \( (invA) \), phospholipase A \( (pldA) \) and cytolethal distending toxin \( (cldABC) \), which contribute to the virulence potential of other \textit{Campylobacter} species, playing roles in adhesion, invasion and/or cytotoxicity of host cells [8,39]. The presence of these genes in all genomes of \textit{Cfv} suggests their relevance for host colonization and/or pathogenicity. Further research is needed to understand the contribution of these genes to \textit{Cfv} virulence.

A T4SS encoded by \textit{virB2-virB11} and \textit{virD4} genes, within a genomic island formerly considered unique to \textit{Cfv} [9,10], was suggested as being involved in \textit{Cfv} virulence, namely in cell invasion, cytotoxicity and conjugal DNA transfer [10,14]. Genes encoding this
T4SS, corresponding to region 1A [16], were detected in 91% of 67 Cfv strains [10]. However, in this study, only 1 out of 13 isolates harbour genes encoding this T4SS, and 7 of the 12 negative strains were isolated from aborted foetuses, which still evidences their pathogenicity even in the absence of this genomic island. The WGS of the five sequenced isolates identified other loci with T4SS encoding genes, with distinct gene sequences from encoding region 1A, whose putative role in Cfv pathogenicity or niche specialization have so far not been addressed. These T4SS encoding regions 1B, 1F and 2A lack some virB/virD4 genes, which require further studies to evaluate their functionality. Other genes that were not analysed in this study may also contribute to the pathogenicity of these isolates and should be evaluated in a larger sample.

Tested by three different PCR assays, only 3 out of 13 isolates harbour the parA gene, and this negative status was confirmed in the WGS of four strains. A previous study reported that most Cfv isolates from the UK were negative for parA gene [40]. The doubt remained whether this resulted from sequence variations in primer-binding sites or from absence of the gene. The present study confirms the absence of the gene in a large proportion of UK strains and clarifies the reason of sensitivity failures of parA detection methods for Cfv identification [4,20]. In accordance, a recent study [41] reported the absence of this gene in 45% of C. fetus genomes proposed as belonging to subspecies venerealis, including some of the strains analysed in the present study. The parA gene is located in a genomic island, which was already described in Cff [11,16] and other Campylobacter species [42]. Therefore, the sole use of this molecular marker for Cfv identification should be avoided due to its lack of specificity and sensitivity.

To the authors’ best knowledge, this is the first report on antibiotic minimum inhibitory concentrations in Cfv field isolates. No antimicrobial resistance to streptomycin, penicillin, tetracycline and enrofloxacin was found in the 13 Cfv isolates, and streptomycin and tetracycline resistance genes were not detected in the 31 Cfv analysed genomes. These latter genes were identified in Cff strains harbouring the Cfv-associated genomic island with T4SS encoding genes [11,19]. The antimicrobial susceptibility results of this study are in accordance with a previous study, in which all isolates were susceptible to penicillin, streptomycin and tetracycline and only 5% of the isolates were susceptible to enrofloxacin [43]. Similarly, in another study with Cfv isolates from Germany, only 4% of the isolates revealed increased susceptibility to streptomycin and 2% for ciprofloxacin and tetracycline [44]. Antimicrobial resistance data from this study must be regarded with caution, as they refer to a very limited number of isolates from a narrow world geographical region. Nevertheless, they provide proof of concept for the simultaneous presence of in vitro susceptibility to antimicrobials and genes encoding for its resistance. A wide geographical survey with a large sampling is needed to ascertain the presence of antimicrobial resistance in different scenarios.

In contrast, genes encoding two multidrug efflux pumps were detected in all 31 analysed genomes. The CmeABC efflux pump, well-studied in C. jejuni, provides resistance to bile salts, heavy metals and antibiotics [45,46]. Mutational analysis of the cmeB gene in several Campylobacter species, including C. fetus, revealed its involvement in antimicrobial resistance [47]. However, results showed that all five sequenced isolates harbour genes encoding this efflux pump and those encoding the ykkCD efflux pump without exhibiting phenotypic resistance to antimicrobials. The role of these efflux pumps in C. fetus antimicrobial resistance deserve further research with other antimicrobials, as these systems may act synergistically with other genes conferring antimicrobial resistance.

The resolution provided by MLST to differentiate Cfv strains was weak, compared with SNP or accessory protein family analysis. The housekeeping genes used in MLST are very stable among Cfv strains, which makes this method very limited for genetic diversity analysis. Although a Cfv clonal nature was reported [5], this study identified genomic features that consistently grouped most strains by their SNPs or accessory protein families. Both methods segregated Cfois in two distant clusters, which is indicative of genetic diversity within this biovar. Cfois were also segregated from Cfv strains, indicating a higher var-
ability between biovars than the described by the L-cysteine transporter encoding operon. Analysis of protein families revealed 2 proteins (PLF_194_00014554 and PLF_194_00049089) present in _Cfvi_ that are absent in _Cfv_. Genes encoding these proteins in _Cfvi_ were described as responsible for the phenotypic differences found between biovars [37]. Strain 9825 was the exception, as, while not exhibiting the above two protein families, it was still clustered by SNP and MLST as _Cfvi_. Strain 9825 was initially classified as _Cfvi_ in a first study [5], although the isolate failed to produce H₂S in two other reports [22,37]. This study indicates that this strain is closer to _Cfvi_ than to _Cfv_, even lacking genes encoding the L-cysteine transporter.

5. Conclusions

This study combined MLST genotyping, VF genes PCR testing, antimicrobial resistance phenotyping, WGS and comparative genomic analysis to evaluate the virulence potential of _Cfv_ isolates and strains. Three novel STs were identified by MLST (ST-71, ST-72 and ST-73). Most VF genes common to _Campylobacter_ genus were detected, but genes encoding the T4SS, previously regarded as involved in _Cfv_ virulence or niche adaptation, were absent in most _Cfv_ strains. This indicates that T4SS is not essential for _Cfv_ pathogenicity, as strains were isolated from aborted foetuses. The _parA_ gene, still used for _Cfv_ identification was absent in most _Cfv_ strains, which precludes the sole use of this marker for BGC molecular diagnosis. As genes encoding the CmeABC and YkkCD efflux pumps were detected and in vitro antimicrobial resistance towards streptomycin, penicillin, tetracycline and enrofloxacin was not detected, it is demonstrated that the sole presence of those genes is not enough to provide antimicrobial resistance to tested antimicrobials. Genetic diversity was found in isolates from different geographic regions, and WGS and comparative genomic analysis of SNPs and accessory protein families allowed to differentiate biovars _Cfv_ from _Cfvi_. Results of this study provided novel knowledge related to _Cfv_ virulence potential evaluation and BGC control.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at https://www.mdpi.com/2076-2661/9/2/340/s1, Table S1: Primers designed in this study for detection of putative virulence genes and T4SS-encoding genes, Table S2: Genus-specific protein families (PLFams) represented in more than 95% of the _Cfv_ genomes; Table S3: Genus-specific protein families (PLFams) represented in more than 5% and less than 95% of the _Cfv_ genomes.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, M.F.S., L.L.-d.-C. and E.S.; methodology, M.F.S., M.J.F., L.L.-d.-C. and E.S.; validation, M.F.S., L.L.-d.-C. and E.S.; formal analysis, M.F.S., G.P., L.L.-d.-C. and E.S.; investigation, M.F.S. and A.L.P.; writing—original draft preparation, M.F.S.; writing—review and editing, A.L.P., M.J.F., G.P., L.M., L.L.-d.-C. and E.S.; visualization, M.F.S., L.M., L.L.-d.-C. and E.S.; supervision, L.L.-d.-C. and E.S.; project administration, L.L.-d.-C. and E.S.; funding acquisition, L.L.-d.-C. and E.S. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This study was supported by Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (FCT) and Fundo Europeu de Desenvolvimento Regional (FEDER), under the project PTDC/CVT-CVT/30145/2017. This study was also supported by Centro de Investigação Interdisciplinar em Sanidade Animal—CIISA (Project UIDP/CVT/00276/2020, funded by FCT). Marta Silva and Gonçalo Pereira are PhD students supported by grants from FCT, SFRH/BD/125657/2016 and SFRH/BD/130923/2017, respectively. Elisabete Silva is funded by FCT (DL 57/2016/CP1438/CT0001). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: The whole genome shotgun projects of strains IS26-07973, IS16-01257, SA21-221439, SA21-217832 and SA21-217833 were deposited at DDBJ/ENA/GenBank under the accession numbers JAENPS0000000000, JAENPT0000000000, JAENPW0000000000, JAENPV0000000000 and JAENPW0000000000, respectively. Additional data presented in this study are available in Supplementary Tables S1–S3.
Acknowledgments: The authors would like to acknowledge Elena Velo-Rego and the Animal and Plant Health Agency (APHA) for providing the C. fetus subsp. venerealis isolates. Additionally, the authors thank Manuela Oliveira from the Microbiology and Immunology Lab of CIISA for sharing the strains used for quality control of in vitro antimicrobial susceptibility testing.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest. The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript, or in the decision to publish the results.

References

1. Mshelia, G.D.; Amin, J.D.; Woldehiwet, Z.; Murray, R.D.; Egwu, G.O. Epidemiology of bovine venereal campylobacteriosis: Geographic distribution and recent advances in molecular diagnostic techniques. Reprod. Domest. Anim. 2010, 45. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

2. OIE. Bovine Genital Campylobacteriosis. In OIE Terrestrial Manual 2018; OIE: Paris, France, 2018; pp. 1031–1044.

3. Michi, A.N.; Favetto, P.H.; Kastelic, J.; Cobo, E.R. A review of sexually transmitted bovine trichomoniasis and campylobacteriosis affecting cattle reproductive health. Theriogenology 2016, 85, 781–791. [CrossRef]

4. McGoldrick, A.; Chanter, J.; Gale, S.; Parr, J.; Toszeghy, M.; Line, K. Real Time PCR to detect and differentiate Campylobacter fetus subsp. fetus and Campylobacter fetus subsp. venerealis. J. Microbiol. Methods 2013, 94, 199–204. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

5. Van Bergen, M.A.P.; Dingle, K.E.; Maiden, M.C.J.; Newell, D.G.; Van Der Graaf-Van Bloois, L.; Van Putten, J.P.M.; Wagenaar, J.A. Comparative genome analysis of Campylobacter fetus subspecies revealed horizontally acquired genetic elements important for virulence and niche specificity. PLoS ONE 2014, 9, e85491. [CrossRef]

6. Veron, M.; Chatelain, R. Taxonomic Study of the Genus Campylobacter Sebald and Veron and Designation of the Neotype Strain for the Type Species, Campylobacter fetus (Smith and Taylor) Sebald and Veron. Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol. 1973, 23, 122–134. [CrossRef]

7. Kienesberger, S.; Sprenger, H.; Zechner, E.L.; Gorkiewicz, G. Comparative genome analysis of Campylobacter fetus subspecies revealed horizontally acquired genetic elements important for virulence and niche specificity. PLoS ONE 2014, 9, e85491. [CrossRef]

8. Veron, M.; Chatelain, R. Taxonomic Study of the Genus Campylobacter Sebald and Veron and Designation of the Neotype Strain for the Type Species, Campylobacter fetus (Smith and Taylor) Sebald and Veron. Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol. 1973, 23, 122–134. [CrossRef]

9. Ali, A.; Soares, S.C.; Santos, A.R.; Guimarães, L.C.; Barbosa, E.; Almeida, S.S.; Abreu, V.A.C.; Carneiro, A.R.; Ramos, R.T.J.; Bakhit, S.M.; et al. Campylobacter fetus subspecies: Comparative genomics and prediction of potential virulence targets. Gene 2012, 508, 145–156. [CrossRef]

10. Gorkiewicz, G.; Kienesberger, S.; Schober, C.; Scheicher, S.R.; Güllü, C.; Zechner, R.; Zechner, E.L. A genomic island defines subspecies-specific virulence features of the host-adapted pathogen Campylobacter fetus subsp. venerealis. J. Bacteriol. 2010, 192, 502–517. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

11. Abril, C.; Brodard, I.; Perreten, V. Two novel antibiotic resistance genes, tet(A4) and ant(6)-Ib, are located within a transferable pathogenicity island in Campylobacter fetus subsp. fetus. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 2010, 54, 3052–3055. [CrossRef]

12. McMillen, L.; Fordyce, G.; Doogan, V.J.; Lew, A.E. Comparison of culture and a novel 5′ Taq nuclease assay for direct detection of Campylobacter fetus subsp. venerealis in clinical specimens from cattle. J. Clin. Microbiol. 2006, 44, 938–945. [CrossRef]

13. Hum, S.; Quinn, K.; Brunner, J.; On, S.L.W. Evaluation of a PCR assay for identification and differentiation of Campylobacter fetus subspecies. Aust. Vet. J. 1997, 75, 827–831. [CrossRef]

14. Kienesberger, S.; Trummler, C.S.; Fauster, A.; Lang, S.; Sprenger, H.; Gorkiewicz, G.; Zechner, E.L. Interbacterial macromolecular transfer by the Campylobacter fetus subsp. venerealis type IV secretion system. J. Bacteriol. 2011, 193, 744–758. [CrossRef]

15. Sprenger, H.; Kienesberger, S.; Pertschy, B.; Pöltl, L.; Konrad, B.; Bhutada, P.; Vorkapic, D.; Atzmüller, D.; Feist, F.; Högenauer, C.; et al. Fic proteins of Campylobacter fetus subsp. venerealis form a network of functional toxin-antitoxin systems. Front. Microbiol. 2017, 8. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

16. Graaf-van Bloois, L.; Miller, W.G.; Yee, E.; Gorkiewicz, G.; Forbes, K.J.; Zomer, A.L.; Wagenaar, J.A.; Duim, B. Campylobacter fetus Subspecies Contain Conserved Type IV Secretion Systems on Multiple Genomic Islands and Plasmids. PLoS ONE 2016, 11, e0152832. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

17. Truyers, I.; Luke, T.; Wilson, D.; Sargison, N. Diagnosis and management of venereal campylobacteriosis in beef cattle. BMC Vet. Res. 2014, 10, 1–7. [CrossRef]

18. Hum, S.; Brunner, J.; Gardiner, B. Failure of therapeutic vaccination of a bull infected with Campylobacter fetus. Aust. Vet. J. 1993, 70, 386–387. [CrossRef]

19. Escher, R.; Brunner, C.; von Steiger, N.; Brodard, I.; Droz, S.; Abril, C.; Kühnert, P. Clinical and epidemiological analysis of Campylobacter fetus subsp. fetus infections in humans and comparative genetic analysis with strains isolated from cattle. BMC Infect. Dis. 2016, 16, 1–10. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

20. van der Graaf-van Bloois, L.; van Bergen, M.A.P.; van der Wàl, F.J.; de Boer, A.G.; Duim, B.; Schmidt, T.; Wagenaar, J.A. Evaluation of molecular assays for identification Campylobacter fetus species and subspecies and development of a C. fetus specific real-time PCR assay. J. Microbiol. Methods 2013, 95, 93–97. [CrossRef]

21. Jolley, K.A.; Bray, J.E.; Maiden, M.C.J. Open-access bacterial population genomics: BIGSdb software, the PubMLST.org website and their applications. Wellcome Open Res. 2018, 3, 1–20. [CrossRef]
