Expression of Fibroblast Growth Factor 4 in a Rat Model of Polydactyly of the Thumb Induced by Cytarabine

Background:
The aim of this study was to assess the expression and mechanisms of fibroblast growth factor 4 in polydactyly of the thumb induced by cytarabine.

Material/Methods:
Rats were intraperitoneally injected with cytarabine at different gestation periods (12.5 days, 13.5 days, and 14.5 days) to establish a polydactyly of the thumb model. Then, the expression of FGF4 in polydactyly was studied by whole-mount in situ hybridization. We used hematoxylin & eosin stain and cartilage stain to investigate the development of the skeleton and tissues in the embryo. Pictures were taken to determine the general shape of the deformity, then X-rays were taken to detect bone distortion of the rats born with a congenital malformation.

Results:
In the experimental group (11.5 days, 12.5 days, 13.5 days, and 14.5 days), whole-mount in situ hybridization showed that the FGF4 expression at the tip of the embryonic limb bud was significantly increased compared with the control group and FGF4 was distributed in a wider range and lasted longer than in the control group (P<0.01). HE staining and cartilage staining showed that there was an extra metacarpal bone and a phalanx in the rats with polydactyly of the thumb (P<0.01). Images of the deformed limbs showed polydactyly and syndactyly of the thumb in the rats. Further X-ray examination revealed 1 extra metacarpal bone and 1 extra phalanx.

Conclusions:
Cytarabine can induce polydactyly and syndactyly of the thumb in rats. In this process, cytarabine can induce the expression of FGF4 on the tip of the embryonic limb bud, which further leads to abnormal development of the embryonic limb bud and eventually causes a congenital deformity.

MeSH Keywords:
Cytarabine • Fibroblast Growth Factor 4 • Polydactyly

Full-text PDF: https://www.medscimonit.com/abstract/index/idArt/926953

* Xin Zhao and Wentao Yang contributed equally to this work.

Corresponding Author:
Dehong Guan, e-mail: Dehongguan@163.com

Source of support:
Harbin Science and Technology Bureau Foundation of China, No.2016RAXY078

© Med Sci Monit, 2020; 26: e926953
DOI: 10.12659/MSM.926953
Background

FGFs are proteins from pituitary glands in cows, which can be found in most tissues throughout the human body [1,2]. The fibroblast growth factor (FGF) family consists of 23 members. Human FGF19 is a homologue to mouse FGF15, and is grouped into 7 subfamilies based on differences in sequence homology and phylogeny [3]. Members of the FGF family are structurally similar, with approximately 14% sequence homology and a core region containing conserved amino acid sequences and structural motifs [4]. The biological activity of FGFs is achieved by binding to fibroblast growth factor receptors (FGFRs), which contain 4 members: FGFR1, FGFR2, FGFR3, and FGFR4. FGF receptors (FGFRs) exist on the surface of FGFs-sensitive cells and can be bind to FGFs to exert their effects [5]. FGF family members can promote mitosis and cell survival, and are involved in various biological processes such as cell proliferation and differentiation, embryonic development, and morphogenesis. Any irregularities in their function can lead to a range of developmental defects.

The initial stage of limb bud development is the proliferation of somatopleuric mesenchyme. Apical ectodermal ridge (AER) is a structure formed by ectodermal cells at the distal end of the limb bud and acts as the primary signaling center to ensure proper limb development. The AER and the limb mesenchyme, including the polarized active region (ZPA), communicate with each other to guide the further development of the limb [6]. In normal limb development, the expression of SHH is activated in the hind limb bud mesenchyme ZPA (the zone of polarity activity). In addition to providing known ZPA functions, it is also necessary for the continuous expression of FGF [7]. The location of the limb bud and AER was determined by the expression boundary of Hox gene in embryonic stem cells. Cell outgrowth at these positions is mediated by the FGF’s positive feedback loop [8]. AER can express FGF2, FGF4, FGF8, and FGF9. Embryo manipulation experiments showed that these FGF can simulate part of the function of AER replacement [9]. It was reported that FGF4 and FGF8 play a role in the expression of AER. When only FGF8 is inactivated, it can cause abnormalities in limb and bone development. When FGF4 and FGF8 are inactivated at the same time, the limbs do not develop at all. Moreover, studies have shown that FGF4 can replace the role of FGF8, and the limb bone loss caused by the lack of FGF8 can be corrected by FGF4 [10]. As a signaling molecule, FGF4 is involved in many important processes of embryonic development. In vertebrates, limb development is driven by a positive feedback loop that includes SHH, Gremlin, and FGF4. During the development of chicken embryos, it was found that SHH in the lower limbs could no longer maintain gremlin by over-expressing the component of FGF4 in the feedback loop [11]. Studies of mouse homologous genes have shown that FGF4 is involved in bone morphogenesis and limb development through the SHH signaling pathway [12,13]. When the mouse FGF4 gene was knocked out, there were developmental defects, indicating that FGF4 promoted the survival and growth of the inner cell mass during the limb development stage by acting as an autocrine or paracrine ligand [14].

Cytarabine can combine cytosine bases with arabinose sugar. It is similar enough to human deoxycytosine nucleotide to incorporate into human DNA, but not enough to kill cells. The way it works is because it is rapidly converted to cytarabine triphosphate, which destroys DNA during the S phase of the cell cycle (DNA synthesis). Thus, the mitotic phase of cells that require DNA replication is most affected [15]. It is a chemotherapy medication used to treat acute myeloid leukemia (AML), chronic myelogenous leukemia (CML), and acute lymphocytic leukemia (ALL). Cytarabine has many adverse effects and can cause harm to the fetus if used during pregnancy, and it is one of the first chemotherapeutic drugs identified with teratogenic effects [16,17]. Cytarabine is known to induce ectrodactyly in rodents, and FGF is involved in this process [18]. Therefore, we tried to use cytarabine to make a limb deformity model in rats. Fortunately, in the initial experiments, we found that cytarabine induced polydactyly of the thumb in rats and was very stable. As mentioned earlier, limb development is driven by a positive feedback loop including FGF4 [11]. Based on these studies, we hypothesized that cytarabine-induced thumb polydactyly might be related to changes of FGF4 in the limb bud, and we investigated this. The results showed that cytarabine could increase the expression of FGF4 in the limb bud, and the increase of FGF4 expression was significantly associated with thumb polydactyly in the rat embryo. The mechanism underlying congenital malformations is still unclear [19,20], and the purpose of the present study was to is to explore the mechanism of congenital polydactyly and make some contributions to the further study of this deformity.

Material and Methods

Animal experiment and embryo collection

The animals used in the experiment were provided by the Medical Experimental Animal Center. Rats were fed standard particles in the laboratory, housed at 22±2°C with a 12-h/12-h light-dark cycle. The study was approved by the Animal Experimental Ethics Committee with ethics approval number SYDW2018-007. All operations are carried out in accordance with the Guidelines for Animal Research.

We established an animal model for congenital polydactyly. First, we selected adult male and female Sprague-Dawley rats (8–10 weeks old; mean SD weight, 200–250g) and raised them in the same cage. Rats were checked for pregnancy every
morning. Under a microscope, pregnancy was determined by the presence of sperm on the vaginal smear showed, and the day on which the pregnancy was confirmed was recorded as day 0. After pregnancy, the females were housed separately from males. The female rats were intraperitoneally injected with cytarabine at a dose of 100 mg/kg on the morning of the 11th day of pregnancy.

**Grouping**

In this experiment, the rats were divided into the cytarabine dose group and the control group, and the pregnant rats at 11.5, 12.5, 13.5 and 14.5 days were divided into 4 groups, with 8 pregnant rats in each group, so there were 8 groups in total. The embryos of pregnant rats were dissected and the limbs of the embryos were removed for analysis.

**Whole-mount in situ hybridization**

We dissected the pregnant rats under sterile conditions, cleaned the embryolemma, and then put the embryos in ice-cold DEPC-treated PBS. We transferred the cut embryos to 4% paraformaldehyde and fixed them overnight at 4°C. On the second day, we washed the sample twice with PBT at 4°C, then dehydrate it with 25%, 50%, 75%, and 100% formaldehyde gradients, then washed the sample repeatedly in reverse order to hydrate the sample, which makes the sample base low and the coloration clear. After bleaching with 6% hydrogen peroxide for 15 min and digesting the sample for 15 min at 10 μg/ml proteinase K, the digestion was then stopped with 2 mg/ml glycine and samples were washed 3 times with PBT. Prehybridization was carried out for 3 h at 65°C, followed by overnight hybridization at 70°C. On the third day, samples were washed 3 times with 2×SSC containing 50% formamide at 65°C, and then digested with 20 mg/L RNase at 37°C for 1 h. This was repeat ed4 times with 2×SSC for 20 min each time. The blocking solution was then blocked at 37°C for 3–4 h, and we then added biotinylated rat anti-digoxigenin dropwise at 4°C overnight. On the fourth day, we washed the sample 6 times with PBT, and added SABC dropwise at 37°C for 30 min. After washing thoroughly, we added biotinylated peroxidase dropwise at 37°C for 30 min. We soaked the sample in the configured DAB developer to color development for 20–25 min in the dark. After the color development effect is satisfactory, we washed the embryo with PBS for 10 min or longer to terminate the reaction. We applied Re-fix with 4% paraformaldehyde and 0.2% glutaraldehyde in PBT for 20 min, followed by washing 3 times with PBS and treating with 50% glycerol for 30 min. Finally, put the sample in 80% glycerol and froze it at −20°C. All samples were photographed.

**HE staining**

The tissue block was taken and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde, embedded in paraffin, and sliced at 2 μm. We dewaxed the sections in xylene for 5 to 10 min, then transferred them to a mixture of xylene and pure alcohol (1: 1) for about 5 min, followed by exposure to gradient alcohol (100%, 95%, 85%, and 70%) for 2 to 5 min and transfer to dye liquor by distilled water. Sumujing dyeing solution staining was performed for 5 to 15 min, followed by washing away the excess dye on the slide with 0.5–1% hydrochloric acid (70% alcohol). Microscopic examination was performed until the chromatin in the nucleus and nucleus was clear (about 10 s). Slides were rinsed with running water for 15 to 30 min. They quickly alkalinize or turn blue in a saturated solution of lithium carbonate. Then, slides were briefly washed again with distilled water and dyed with 0.1% to 0.5% hematoxylin-eosin stain for 1 to 5 min. If color is difficult, added 1 to 2 drops of glacial acetic acid per 100 ml of the dye solution to make it easy to color and not easily decolorize. Dehydration was performed using 70%, 85%, 95%, and 100% alcohol for 2 to 3 min. In the concentration of alcohol below 95%, eosin is easily discolored, so the exposure time was shortened appropriately. Xylene is transparent (secondary) for about 10 min. We wiped away excess xylene around the slice, avoided drying, quickly added neutral gum, and then covered the slide with a cover slip. Staining was observed under a microscope.

**Cartilage staining**

We dissolved Ainsin blue in 70% alcohol to form a 0.3% solution, dissolved alizarin red in 95% alcohol to form a 0.1% solution, added glacial acetic acid and 70% alcohol, and mixed them in a ratio of 1: 1: 1. On day 22, we removed the deformed limbs of embryonic rats. The limbs were fixed in 95% alcohol for at least 1 day. We immersed the limbs in the staining solution for 2 days at 37°C, followed by bleaching in a mixture of 1% KOH and 20% glycerin for 2 days, and then limbs were stored in 100% glycerin. We observed the bone morphology of the embryonic rat limbs under a microscope.

**Imaging analysis**

After the successful establishment of the rat congenital thumb polydactyly model, the deformed limbs were photographed, and then X-ray films of all the newborn rats were obtained to observe the polydactyly of the thumb on their first day of life, including analyses of the bone tissue of the excess finger. The X-ray shooting parameters were: 50 kV, 50 mA, and 125 mS. Each X-ray film was analyzed by a radiologist, a laboratory researcher, and an orthopedist. The rats were killed by cervical dislocation after the last analysis of their X-ray films. After the radiological analysis, the rats were decapitated.
Figure 1. A and B are the results of the 11.5 days whole rat embryos in situ hybridization of the experimental group and the control group. C and D are the results of 12.5 days whole rat embryos in situ hybridization of the experimental group and the control group. E and F are the results of the 14.5 days whole rat embryos in situ hybridization of the experimental group and the control group.
Statistical analysis

All results in this study are expressed as the mean±standard deviation (\(\bar{x} \pm s\)), and processed using Statistical Product and Service Solutions (SPSS) 21.0 software (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA). Comparison of the 2 groups was performed using the t test, and one-way analysis of variance was used for the comparison of the sample mean between groups. Two different judgment standards were used for evaluation, and P values of less than 0.05 or 0.01 were considered to be statistically significant.

Results

Expression of FGF4 in limb buds of malformed embryos

Observed under a dissecting microscope after whole rat embryo in situ hybridization, the ends of the hind limbs showed a dark brown band. Relative regions of FGF4 expression in the experimental group and the control group at 11.5 days (Figure 1A, 1B), 12.5 days (Figure 1C, 1D), 13.5 days, and 14.5 days (Figure 1E, 1F) in the cytarabine dose group (Figure 2) were 22.21%, 84.81%, 58.43%, and 47.59%, and the expression of FGF4 in each day in the blank group. The relative areas were 6.74%, 30.80%, 19.64%, and 15.44%, respectively. Using the SPSS software for chi-square test, the significance level was 7.88 at \(a=0.01\), while the 12.5, 13.5, and 14.5-day test statistics were 73.119, 37.623, and 25.857, both of which are greater than 7.88. The overall test statistic for the 4 days was 123.380, which was also significantly greater than the standard value at the significance level of \(a=0.01\), and the null hypothesis of invalidation was rejected. Therefore, the administration was effective (Figure 3).

We can see from the table that the relative area of embryos expressing 12.5 days in the cytarabine dose group was larger than that of the embryos at 11.5 days and 13.5 days, indicating that the peak of FGF4 expression was at 12.5 days. FGF4 began to express at 11.5 days in the blank group, and the expression increased at 12.5 days, that is, the relative area occupied by the brown band became larger, and the area at 13.5 days did not have a relative area of 12.5 days, which was similar to that of the drug-administered group. The blank group of 12.5 days is also a peak of FGF4 expression. In the embryos of 11.5 days, 12.5 days, 13.5 days, and 14.5 days with FGF4 expression on both sides of the limb, on the dorsal axis, and on the anterior-posterior axis, it can be seen that the drug-administered group is larger than the blank group and the distribution of the belts was wider.

Changes in bone tissue and morphology

When the rat embryos mature, HE staining (Figure 4A) and cartilage staining (Figure 4B) were used to observe the bone tissue, showing obvious bone tissue of the redundant deformed finger. The polydactyly and syndactyly of the thumb can also be observed through the appearance of the deformed limb (Figure 4C) and the X-ray image (Figure 4D).

Discussion

Congenital limb deformity is one of the most common birth defects in humans, which not only affects the appearance, shape, and motor function of the limbs, but also makes patients lose the ability to work. The etiology of congenital malformation is not completely clear, and there are no effective prevention and treatment measures. There are many causes of human birth defects, of which about 2–3% are caused by drugs [21]. In recent years, research on the molecular mechanism of congenital limb deformity has intensified. The importance of the fibroblast growth factor family in limb development...
Figure 4. HE staining (A) bone cartilage staining (B) the picture of the deformed hand (C) X-ray (D). All those images of the thumb polydactyly rats were used to observe limb morphology and newborn redundant bone.
is well known, but the specific role of its various factors in the family and its mechanism of action have not been fully studied [22,23]. A study showed that FGF4 plays an important role in early embryonic development. FGF4 is expressed in the AER of limb buds, and the signal from AER can maintain the viability of the mesoderm cells under it and cause its proliferation, while FGF4 indirectly affected the development of embryonic limbs [24]. Cytosine arabinoside is a well-known inhibitor of DNA synthesis, but it also inhibits DNA and RNA polymerases and nucleotide reductases required for DNA synthesis [25].

If not used properly, cytosine arabinoside will eventually lead to changes in gene expression during embryonic development, thus affecting cell proliferation, differentiation and apoptosis [26]. Further, we investigated the expression of FGF4 in the congenital thumb polydactyly caused by cytarabine. In the mesenchyme of the AER region there is a feedback loop formed by the SHH gene and its downstream targets. It is the existence of this feedback loop and its normal function that make AER properly regulate limb development [27]. The most important component of this feedback loop is the FGF family.

In the present experiments, we successfully produced a stable rat model of hind limb thumb polydactyly. The teratogenic rate of pregnant rats injected with cytarabine was 85%, and the deformation can be visualized by osteochondral staining and X-ray imaging. The results of in situ hybridization showed that FGF4 is mainly distributed at the end of the embryonic rat limb bud. FGF4 in the mesenchymal cells of limb buds in the cytarabine dose group was increased significantly compared with that in the cytarabine control groups, its distribution range was widened, and the duration was also prolonged (p<0.05), implying that the expression of FGF4 in the mesenchymal cells of limb bud is affected by cytarabine. Meanwhile, in the cytarabine group, we observed that the deformities were principally divided into 2 types through staining and X-ray imaging: one is that the multiple fingers grow out from the normal metacarpal in which the metacarpal bone is normal, and the phalanx is multi-fingered; the other is a multiple metacarpal deformity in which the sixth metacarpal bone appears. FGF4 can affect the proliferation, differentiation, and apoptosis of developing limb bud mesenchymal cells and is modulated by a number of factors [28,29]. We believe that cytosine arabinoside can directly induce a continuous increase in the expression of terminal FGF4 in limb buds, which leads to the occurrence of congenital multi-fingering. It is also possible that cytarabine can induce increased expression of FGF4 in limbs through a SHH, Gremlin, FGF4 feedback loop or other feedback involving FGF4, eventually leading to disease. In simple terms, Cytarabine directly or indirectly causes the increase of FGF4, which eventually induces congenital polydactyly.

Therefore, it can be inferred that the increase in the expression of FGF4 causes malformation in the limbs. Use of drug treatment to inhibit the expression of FGF4 can avoid the thumb polydactyly caused by cytarabine. Our results provide a reliable guide for clinical drug use. This study also has some limitations. For example, although the results suggest that increased expression of FGF4 is associated with limb deformities caused by cytarabine, the specific molecular although FGF4 plays an important role, other factors may act together to lead to the disease, and further research is needed.

Conclusions

This experiment directly confirmed the teratogenic effect of cytarabine, which was relatively stable, and indirectly proved that cytarabine-induced congenital thumb polydactyly in rats was associated with increased expression of FGF4. In this process, cytarabine can induce the expression of FGF4 on the tip of embryonic limb bud, which further leads to abnormal development of embryonic limb bud and eventually causes congenital deformity in rats. This experiment provides an in vivo model for use in investigating the mechanism of congenital polydactyly, and lays a foundation for deeper studies on congenital polydactyly in humans. Subsequent experiments are needed to explore the pathogenesis of congenital thumb polydactyly.
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