Grain-filling characteristics and yield formation of wheat in two different soil fertility fields in the Huang–Huai–Hai Plain
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Clarifying factors that underpinning the variation in wheat yield components between high and middle soil fertility fields is critical to increase grain production and narrow yield gap for smallholder farming systems in the Huang–Huai–Hai Plain (3HP), which characterized by a large variation in soil fertility. Two-year field experiments were conducted to investigate wheat tillering, leaf photosynthesis, and grain filling characteristics in different soil fertility fields: high soil fertility field (HF) and middle soil fertility field (MF). Results showed that the spike formation rate in HF was 12.7%–13.0% higher than that in MF, leading to an 18.0%–19.8% increase in spike number. In addition, HF improved canopy light interception and leaf photosynthesis characteristics after anthesis and delayed leaf senescence, contributing to the increase in both the active grain filling period and grain filling rate. This resulted in a higher 1,000 grain weight in HF, which was 8.2%–8.3% higher than that in MF. Compared to MF, HF obtained higher yields at 9,840 kg ha$^{-1}$ in 2017/18 and 11,462 kg ha$^{-1}$ in 2018/19, respectively. In summary, higher spike number and 1,000-grain weight, which were mediated by spike-formation rate, maximization of light interception and improved leaf photosynthesis. These results would have important implications for narrowing yield gap between MF and HF in the 3HP.
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Introduction

Food production is a great challenge in China for its limited farmland resources and large population of more than 1.40 billion citizens need to be fed (Lu and Fan, 2013; National Bureau of Statistics of China, 2020). The Huang–Huai–Hai Plain (3HP) is the primary winter wheat production region in China, producing approximately 61% of the wheat grown in China (Xu et al., 2018). In this region, the mean yield potential for winter wheat varied between 5,000 and 8,000 kg ha$^{-1}$ from 1981 to 2008 (Chen et al., 2017), with the most recent
attainable potential yield at 12,611 kg ha$^{-1}$ between 2018 and 2019 (Farmer's Daily, 2019). This yield gap can be attributed to irrigation, high-yield wheat cultivars, and different gradients of soil fertility in croplands arising from fertilization replenishment (Xiao and Tao, 2014; Chen et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2018). Smallholder fields in the 3HP have played a dominant role in crop production for decades (Feng et al., 2020), and these farming systems are characterized by a large variation in soil fertility (Titonell et al., 2007). To increase wheat production and narrow the yield gap, studies on the physiological characteristics of wheat grown in a high soil fertility field (HF) with high potential yield are pivotal.

Soil fertility is defined as the quality of a soil to supply nutrients in adequate amounts and proper balance for the growth of crop plants (Fageria, 2007). Hag Husein et al. (2021) indicated that soil fertility increases as the soil organic matter (SOM) content increases. Soil nutrient supply and nutrient cycling in different soil fertility fields substantially affect crop growth and grain yield (Kautz et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2018, 2019a). Wang et al. (2018) reported that nitrogen (N) contributes to the maintenance of SOM, and SOM at 10.0–14.9 g kg$^{-1}$, total nitrogen (TN) at 1.0–1.5 g kg$^{-1}$, available phosphorus (AP) at 10.0–19.8 mg kg$^{-1}$, and available potassium (AK) at 100–200 mg kg$^{-1}$ were the most effective soil fertility levels to improve wheat grain yield. Soil potassium levels had significant effect in tillering, spike number, and yield of wheat (Abbas et al., 2013). The application of maize straw mulch combined with no-tillage could increase plant-available soil N, thus promoting wheat tillering and grain yield (Yang et al., 2020). When water availability was not limited for wheat production, increased soil N arising from increased N application improved the tiller appearance rate, thereby leading to an increase in the maximum tiller number (Alzue et al., 2012). Rational populations ensure superior canopy light interception and penetration and improve the light utilization efficiency of plants (Hussain et al., 2013). There have been many studies on the response of wheat tillering generation to different soil fertility fields, but most of these have focused on yield levels ranging from 4,500 to 9,000 kg ha$^{-1}$ (Allard et al., 2013; Yang et al., 2020). However, there is a knowledge gap regarding the changes in soil nutrient content and tillering of winter wheat in fields with grain yield levels ranging from 9,000 to 11,000 kg ha$^{-1}$.

Moreover, increased photosynthetic capacity and delayed leaf senescence are especially important for increasing crop yields (Wang et al., 2020). It is well known that flag-leaf photosynthesis contributes approximately 30%–50% of the assimilation for grain filling (Guo et al., 2015), whereas leaf photosynthetic performance would inevitably decline during grain filling because the initiation of grain filling coincides with the onset of senescence and the photosynthetic apparatus gradually breaks down (Man et al., 2017). Different soil nutrient status arising from tillage methods influenced the photosynthetic capacity and aging process of wheat, thereby grain filling (He et al., 2020). HF with grain-yield levels up to 11,000 kg ha$^{-1}$ provides an excellent opportunity to identify physiological features associated with the attainable potential yield of wheat; therefore, it is necessary to study leaf photosynthesis and senescence and grain filling characteristics during the grain filling stage in HF.

This study aimed to investigate the differences in soil nutrient content, wheat tillering, light interception characteristics, photosynthesis and the senescence of flag leaves and grain filling characteristics of two different soil fertility fields with different grain-yield levels. This can be helpful to clarify the variation of yield components in different soil fertility fields and provide useful information for high-yielding wheat production.

Materials and methods

Experimental site description

Field experiments were conducted at Shijiawangzi village (116°41’E, 35°42’N), located in Yanzhou, Shandong Province, China. This area has a temperate, continental monsoon climate with an annual average temperature of 13.6°C, an annual cumulative sunshine hour of 2,460.9h, an annual rainfall of 621.2 mm, of which 40% is received during the winter wheat-growing season (October–June). The rotation of winter wheat and summer maize is the main cropping system in this region. Daily precipitation during the wheat-growing season in 2017/18 and 2018/19 are shown in Figure 1.

Experimental design

High soil fertility wheat field (with grain-yield levels up to 11,000 kg ha$^{-1}$) and middle soil fertility wheat field (with grain-yield levels up to 9,000 kg ha$^{-1}$) were selected and represented by HF and MF, respectively. HF and MF are flat area and belong to two farmers. The straight-line distance between HF and MF is 100 m. The soil type of HF and MF is Haplic luvisol (FAO classification system). Before wheat sowing, additional 3,750 kg (0.65%N, 0.23% P$_2$O$_5$, and 0.78% K$_2$O content) organic fertilizer was applied regularly in HF from 2001 to 2016, but the organic fertilizer was applied in MF only in 2016. The HF and MF with wheat yields of 11,015 and 9,336 kg ha$^{-1}$, respectively, in 2016/17, and the yield gap between HF and MF was mainly caused by soil fertility (Yu et al., 2018). Annual precipitation were the same in HF and MF. The soil texture, bulk density, and field capacity at the experimental site before sowing are shown in Supplementary Tables S1 and S2. The soil particle size distribution was analyzed using the hydrometer method, and the triangle coordinates of the American system of soil texture classification was used to determine the soil texture. Based on the analysis of

1 https://szb.farmer.com.cn/2019/20190807/20190807_001/20190807_001_5.htm
soil samples collected from the experimental site in 2017 and 2018 before sowing, the initial amount of soil nutrients (0–20 cm soil layer) in the two fields are shown in Table 1.

Seeds of wheat cultivar Yannong 1212 (broken the yield record of winter wheat twice in China since 2015 and showed high yields of over 12,000 kg ha⁻¹) (Dazhong Daily, 2020)² were planted in HF and MF. The plot size was 60 m² (30 m long × 2 m wide) with three replicates and had a 2.0-m wide isolation area setting between each plot to minimize the effects of adjacent plots. The straw of the preceding maize crop was crushed and returned to the soil. Base fertilizer of 105 kg ha⁻¹ N, 150 kg ha⁻¹ P₂O₅, and 150 kg ha⁻¹ K₂O were surface-applied to the soil before sowing. At the jointing stage, each plot was fertilized with 165 kg ha⁻¹ N by ditching. Fertilizer types were urea (46.4% N content), diammonium phosphate (46% P₂O₅ and 18% N content), and potassium sulfate (52% K₂O content). Wheat seeds were sown on 23 October 2017, and 10 October 2018, with a population of 270 plants m⁻² and 180 plants m⁻², respectively. Wheat plants were harvested on 7 June 2018 and 11 June 2019. Other crop management was the same as used in local wheat production.

Sampling and measurements

Soil nutrient contents

Soil samples from 0 to 20 and 20 to 40 cm soil layers were randomly collected using a soil corer from each plot with three replicates before sowing, 1 day before irrigation at jointing and anthesis. SOM was determined using the K₂Cr₂O₇-H₂SO₄ oxidation method, and TN was determined using the semimicro-Kjeldahl method (He et al., 2019). Soil hydrolyzable N, AP, and AK were measured using the routine soil sample laboratory analytical method described by Bao (2000).

² https://3g.163.com/dy/article/FGEV87NM0530WJTO.html

Tillering production and survival

The number of maximum tillers at re-greening was determined by counting all the stems from three random sample areas of 5 m² in each plot. The spike number at maturity was estimated by counting all spikes from the harvested area of 5 m² in each plot. The tillering capacity (no. plant⁻¹) and spike formation rate (%) were calculated using the equation described by Yang et al. (2020):

\[ \text{Tillering capacity} = \left( \frac{\text{maximum tillers} - \text{basic seedlings}}{\text{basic seedlings}} \right) \times 100 \]

\[ \text{Spike formation rate} = \frac{\text{spike number}}{\text{maximum tillers}} \times 100 \]

Photosynthetically active radiation measurements

Intercepted photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) was measured using the SSI SunScan canopy analysis system (Delta-T Devices, Cambridge, United Kingdom) in three randomly non-destructive sampling areas from each plot between 10:00 am and 11:30 am at 7-day intervals from anthesis to 35 days after anthesis (DAA). With the reference photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) sunshine sensor, the incident PAR was measuring above and beneath wheat canopy by the 1-m probe. The fraction of PAR, (PARF, %) was estimated according to the method described by Davidenco et al. (2020) and Liu et al. (2020):

\[ \text{PARF} = \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{\text{PAR}_0}{\text{PAR}_i} \right) \right] \times 100 \]

where \( \text{PAR}_0 \) (μmol m⁻² s⁻¹) is the incident PAR at the surface of the ground and \( \text{PAR}_i \) (μmol m⁻² s⁻¹) is the incident PAR at 50 cm above the wheat canopy.

Gas exchange parameters of flag leaves

Flag-leaf gas exchange parameters, including net photosynthetic rate (Pn) and transpiration rate (Tr), were measured using a LI-6400XT portable gas exchange measuring system (LI-COR, Lincoln, Nebraska, United States). Measurements were taken with three representative flag leaves from each plot between 9:00 am and 11:00 am at 7-day intervals from anthesis to 35 DAA, under the conditions of 25°C, 500 μmol s⁻¹ flow rate, atmospheric CO₂ concentration, and 1,300 mol m⁻² s⁻¹ photosynthetically active radiation in a 2 × 3 cm² leaf chamber. The instantaneous water use efficiency (WUEleaf) of flag leaves was calculated using the equation (Fan et al., 2019):

\[ \text{WUE}_{\text{leaf}} = \frac{\text{Pn}}{\text{Tr}} \]
where WUE\(_{\text{Leaf}}\) (\(\mu\text{mol CO}_2/\text{mmol H}_2\text{O}\)) is the instantaneous water use efficiency of flag leaves, \(P_n\) (\(\mu\text{mol CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}\)) is the net photosynthetic rate of flag leaves, and \(T_r\) (\(\text{mmol H}_2\text{O m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}\)) is the transpiration rate of flag leaves.

**Antioxidant enzyme activities of flag leaves**

Twenty representative flag leaves from each plot were sampled at 7-day intervals from anthesis to 28 DAA. Fresh samples were immediately submerged in liquid N after collection and stored at \(-80^\circ\text{C}\) for enzyme assays. Malondialdehyde (MDA) concentration, superoxide dismutase (SOD) activity, and soluble protein content were assayed following the method described by Guo et al. (2015).

**Grain filling traits**

Spikes emerging on the same day were chosen and tagged in each plot. Twenty spikes were collected from the tagged samples at 7-day intervals from anthesis to 35 DAA. After each sampling, spikes were heated at 105°C for 10 min, dried at 70°C to a constant weight, threshed, hand hulled, and counted before recording the grain weight. Grain filling was fitted using a logistic function (Li et al., 2020):

\[
W_A = \frac{A}{1 + e^{-Bt}}
\]

where \(W\) (g) is the 1,000-grain dry weight at time \(t\), \(A\) (g) is the theoretical final dry weight of 1,000-grain, \(t\) (d) is the day after anthesis, and \(B\) and \(C\) are the coefficients determined by regression.

The following values, including the duration of grain filling (\(T\)), 1,000-grain weight at maximum grain-filling rate (\(W_{\text{max}}\)), maximum grain-filling rate (\(V_{\text{max}}\)), mean grain-filling rate (\(V_{\text{mean}}\)), and active grain-filling period (\(D\)) were calculated according to the method proposed by He et al. (2020):

\[
T = \frac{(\ln B + 4.59512)}{C}
\]

\[
W_{\text{max}} = \frac{A}{2}
\]

\[
V_{\text{max}} = C \times W_{\text{max}} \times (1 - W_{\text{max}} / A)
\]

\[
V_{\text{mean}} = \frac{A \times (1 + e^{-Ct})}{T}
\]

\[
D = \frac{6}{C}
\]

**Grain Yield and Yield Components**

All plants were harvested in an area of 5 m\(^2\) from each plot at maturity, threshed, sun-dried to 12.5% moisture content, and

### Table 1 Soil nutrient conditions in the 0–20 and 20–40 cm soil layers under different treatments before sowing, at jointing, and anthesis stages in 2017/18 and 2018/19 growing seasons.

| Year   | Stages   | Treatments | Organic matter (g kg\(^{-1}\)) | Total nitrogen (g kg\(^{-1}\)) | Hydrolysable nitrogen (mg kg\(^{-1}\)) | Available phosphorus (mg kg\(^{-1}\)) | Available potassium (mg kg\(^{-1}\)) |
|--------|----------|------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
|        |          |            |                                |                               |                                        |                                      |                                   |
| 2017/18| Before sowing | HF         | 19.20                         | 9.52                          | 0.60                                   | 166.30                               | 105.5a                            |
|        |          | MF         | 15.02                         | 8.32                          | 0.51                                   | 116.50                               | 93.35a                            |
|        | Jointing | HF         | 19.42                         | 9.71                          | 0.67                                   | 170.44                               | 112.46a                           |
|        |          | MF         | 15.19                         | 8.51                          | 0.56                                   | 119.49                               | 97.90a                            |
|        | Anthesis | HF         | 19.28                         | 9.51                          | 0.64                                   | 166.64                               | 112.08a                           |
|        |          | MF         | 15.10                         | 8.32                          | 0.59                                   | 116.64                               | 99.06a                            |
| 2018/19| Before sowing | HF         | 19.28                         | 9.57                          | 0.58                                   | 168.34                               | 105.41a                           |
|        |          | MF         | 15.11                         | 8.35                          | 0.56                                   | 118.34                               | 93.96a                            |
|        | Jointing | HF         | 19.31                         | 9.75                          | 0.66                                   | 171.07                               | 112.84a                           |
|        |          | MF         | 15.12                         | 8.53                          | 0.56                                   | 119.17                               | 94.66a                            |
|        | Anthesis | HF         | 19.32                         | 9.65                          | 0.64                                   | 169.64                               | 111.20a                           |
|        |          | MF         | 15.20                         | 8.40                          | 0.59                                   | 118.89                               | 99.06a                            |

HF, high soil fertility field; MF, middle soil fertility field. Values followed by a different letter are significantly different (LSD test, \(p < 0.05\)) within the treatments in each year.
weighed to calculate the grain yield. Total spikes of each sampled area were counted to determine the spike number. Twenty plants were selected randomly to record the number of grains per spike in each sampled area. 1,000-grain weight was determined by weighing 1,000 grains from the yield measurement samples in each sampled area with three replicates.

Statistical analysis

Data computation and statistical analyses were performed using Microsoft Excel 2016 and SPSS 22.0 (IBM Corp. Released 2013. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 22.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.). Treatment means were tested using the least significant difference (LSD) test at a 5% level of significance ($p < 0.05$). Pearson's correlation analyses were used to reveal the relationships between soil nutrients, yield components and grain yield, and the relationships between PARF, photosynthesis and senescence characteristics of flag leaves after anthesis, grain filling characteristics and grain yield in a combined analysis of data over 2 years.

Results

Soil nutrient conditions

Table 1 shows the soil nutrient conditions under different treatments before sowing, at jointing and anthesis stages. In both years, HF showed higher SOM in the 0–20 cm soil layer than MF before sowing, at jointing and anthesis stages. In the 0–20 cm soil layer, TN in HF was 7.1%, 7.6%, and 5.1%, before sowing, at jointing and anthesis, higher than in MF in 2017/18, respectively. Compared to MF, HF showed higher hydrolyzable N, AP, and AK before sowing, at jointing and anthesis in 2017/18 and 2018/19. In the 20–40 cm soil layer, soil nutrient conditions in HF were higher than MF before sowing, at the jointing and anthesis stages in both years.

Tillering production and survival

The maximum tillers of wheat in HF were increased by 4.7% and 6.2% in 2017/18 and 2018/19, respectively, compared to those for MF (Table 2). HF showed greater spike number and tillering capacity than MF in both years. Compared to MF, HF significantly improved the spike formation rate with increases of 12.7% and 13.0% in 2017/18 and 2018/19, respectively. The comprehensive analysis of the indexes above showed that despite the difference in basic seedlings in 2017/18 and 2018/19, the order of spike number from high to low among the treatments and years was consistent with the spike formation rate (Figure 2).

PAR capture

According to the results shown by Figure 3, soil fertility affected the PAR capture of wheat after anthesis. Compared to MF, HF significantly improved PARF after anthesis in both years. The effects were significantly enhanced from 21 DAA, and the PARF at 35 DAA in HF increased by 30.7% and 27.7% in 2017/18 and 2018/19, respectively, compared to MF.

Photosynthesis characteristics after anthesis

Figure 4 shows the differences in photosynthesis characteristics after anthesis between HF and MF. In 2017/18, the Pn at 0, 7, 14, 21, 28, and 35 DAA in HF increased by 12.9%, 15.7%, 9.7%, 31.6%, 29.1%, and 100.8%, respectively, compared to MF. Moreover, HF significantly improved Tr from 0 to 35 DAA. Similar trends were observed in 2018/19. However, there was no considerable difference in WUE Leaf at 0, 7, and 14 DAA between HF and MF. HF showed higher WUE Leaf at 21, 28, and 35 DAA than those of MF in both years.

Leaf senescence characteristics after anthesis

According to the results shown in Figure 5, HF decreased the MDA concentration from 0 to 35 DAA compared to MF in both years contributing to the delayed leaf senescence. In addition, the SOD activity of flag leaves at 0, 7, 14, 21, and 28 DAA in HF were 4.1%, 4.9%, 7.5%, 10.9%, and 13.2%, respectively, higher than those of MF in 2017/18, respectively. Similar trends were observed in 2018/19. The SP concentration

| Year   | Treatments | Basic seedlings ($\times10^4$ ha$^{-1}$) | Maximum tillers ($\times10^4$ ha$^{-1}$) | Spike number ($\times10^4$ ha$^{-1}$) | Tillering capacity (no. plant$^{-1}$) | Spike formation rate (%) |
|--------|------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| 2017/18| HF         | 270                                    | 1871a                                  | 728a                                 | 5.93a                                 | 38.93a                   |
|        | MF         | 270                                    | 1787b                                  | 617b                                 | 5.62b                                 | 34.53b                   |
| 2018/19| HF         | 180                                    | 1852a                                  | 785a                                 | 9.29a                                 | 42.40a                   |
|        | MF         | 180                                    | 1744b                                  | 655b                                 | 8.69b                                 | 37.53b                   |

HF, high soil fertility field and MF, middle soil fertility field. Values followed by a different letter are significantly different (LSD test, $p < 0.05$) within the treatments in each year.
of flag leaves increased and then decreased after anthesis in both years. SP concentrations at 0, 7, 14, 21, and 28 DAA were higher for HF than MF.

**Grain filling characteristics**

The 1,000-grain weight after anthesis is shown in Figure 6. The increase rate of the 1,000-grain weight was enhanced from 14 to 21 DAA and 21 to 28 DAA than from 0 to 7, 7 to 14, and 28 to 35 DAA. Moreover, HF significantly increased the 1,000-grain weight at 7, 14, 21, 28, and 35 DAA compared to MF. Table 3 shows that the grain filling processes in HF and MF were both fitted to the logistic growth curve equation in 2017/18 (correlation coefficient $\geq 0.9976$) and 2018/19 (correlation coefficient $\geq 0.9989$). Compared to MF, the $W_{\text{max}}$ in HF increased by 8.3% and 9.5% in 2017/18 and 2018/19, respectively. The $V_{\text{max}}$ and $V_{\text{mean}}$ of HF were 4.7% and 5.5% higher than those of MF in 2017/2018 and 5.9% and 6.3% higher in 2018/19, respectively. D in HF was longer than that in MF in both years. Thus, compared to MF, the 1,000-grain weight superiority after anthesis in HF was due to its higher grain filling rate and longer active filling period.

**Grain yield and yield components**

Compared to MF, HF significantly improved the spike number and 1,000-grain weight, which resulted in an increase in grain yield and compensated for the decrease in grain number (Table 4). The grain yield was 20.4% and 24.1% greater in HF than in MF in 2017/18 and 2018/19, respectively.

**Correlation analysis**

Correlation analysis showed that mean soil nutrients at 0–40 cm (SOM, TN, hydrolyzable N, AP and AK) during whole...
wheat growth stages were positively correlated with spike number, 1,000-grain weight, and grain yield (Table 5). Grain number had a negative relationship with mean soil nutrients at 0–40 cm during the whole wheat growth stages. Moreover, grain filling characteristic parameters ($W_{\text{max}}$, $V_{\text{max}}$, and $V_{\text{mean}}$) and grain yield were positively correlated with PARF, photosynthetic characteristics ($P_n$, $T_r$ and $W_{\text{UE}_{\text{leaf}}}$) and senescence characteristics (SOD and SP), and were negatively correlated with MDA (Table 6). D was positively correlated to the PARF.

**Discussion**

The composition of tillers directly affects the structure and quality of the wheat population, which is highly related to grain yield (Cai et al., 2014). Shang et al. (2021) indicated that N regulates tiller bud growth by regulating N metabolism and can be redistributed from the senescent and aborted tillers to the rest of the plant to improve tiller survival. When yield levels ranging from 4,500 to 6,000 kg ha$^{-1}$, low N rates (210 kg ha$^{-1}$ vs. 240 and
FIGURE 5
Malondialdehyde (MDA) concentration, superoxide dismutase (SOD) activity, and soluble protein (SP) concentration of flag leaves after anthesis in 2017/18 (A,C,E) and 2018/19 (B,D,F) growing seasons. HF, high soil fertility field and MF, middle soil fertility field. Vertical bars represent SD of the means. Different letters indicate statistical significance at $p<0.05$ among treatments.

270 kg ha$^{-1}$) had a prominent decrease in spike formation rate, resulting in a decreased spike number at maturity (Ding et al., 2021). However, Wang et al. (2021) found that N application alone had less effect on wheat tiller number, while N and phosphorus combination can significantly increase the tiller number. In our results, soil nutrients in the 0–40 cm soil layers were higher for HF (with grain yield levels up to 11,000 kg ha$^{-1}$) than for MF (with grain yield levels up to 9,000 kg ha$^{-1}$) during the wheat growth period from 2017 to 2019 (Table 1). This ensured the soil N and phosphorus requirements for the wheat tillering in HF. In this study, the significantly increases of maximum tiller number, tillering capacity and spike formation rate shown in HF compared to MF (Table 2) can be attributed to the improved soil nutrients, since soil nutrients can regulate wheat tillering (Ottesen et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2021). Although the basic seedlings in 2017/18 and 2018/19 were different, the increase of spike number was consistent with the increase of spike formation rate among the treatments and years in the
The spike formation rate of wheat contributed most to the spike number rather than the maximum tiller number or tillering capacity. This result agrees with that of Hu et al. (2017), who reported that increasing the spike formation rate reduced growth competition and wastage of photosynthates from ineffective tillers, which is an effective way to increase productive tiller number.

Canopy light interception plays a crucial role in elevating crop productivity (Plénet et al., 2000; Zhang et al., 2019b). Bingham et al. (2019) indicated that the protection of canopy PAR interception was required to maximize grain yield until approximately 80% of the grain filling was completed in spring barley. With yield levels ranging from 3,300 to 4,800 kg ha$^{-1}$, PARF in the middle and lower layers of wheat canopy can be increased by applying N fertilizer ranging from 90 to 180 kg ha$^{-1}$, but excessive N application at 270 kg ha$^{-1}$ led to the decreased canopy PARF (Luo et al., 2021). Inferior soil N availability due to the decreased N application rates resulted in a decrease in the PARF of crops, which could be attributed to the decreased leaf area index (Hamzei and Soltani, 2012). In this study, different soil fertility fields affected the PARF, and HF increased PARF after anthesis by 4.7%–30.7% as compared to MF (Figure 3), which ensured energy availability for photosynthesis during the grain filling stage. This result could be attributed to the higher soil nutrients for HF during the wheat growth period (Table 1), as different N fertilizer amounts has a great impact on PARF (Kandel et al., 2019). Moreover, positive relationships were observed among PARF and grain filling characteristic parameters (Table 6), suggesting that improved canopy light interception was beneficial for the increasing of the grain filling rate and for the extension of grain filling duration.

Photosynthesis is the main driver of biomass accumulation and yield (Parry et al., 2011) and is highly sensitive to soil N deficit (Zhang et al., 2017). The decrease in Pn is due to the start of leaf senescence, and the photosynthetic machinery rapidly disassembles, reducing the photosynthetic capacity of flag leaves (Brouwer et al., 2012; Man et al., 2017). The disturbance of soil nutrients affected by tillage influenced leaf senescence in wheat (He et al., 2020). Comparable results were found in our study where MF with grain yield levels up to

![Figure 6](https://example.com/figure6.png)

The 1,000-grain weight after anthesis in 2017/18 (A) and 2018/19 (B) growing seasons. HF, high soil fertility field and MF, middle soil fertility field. Vertical bars represent SD of the means. *$p<0.05$ and **$p<0.01$. 

| Year | Treatment | Growth curve equation | Correlation coefficient | $W_{\text{max}}$ (mg grain$^{-1}$) | $V_{\text{max}}$ (mg grain$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$) | $V_{\text{mean}}$ (mg grain$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$) | D (d) |
|------|-----------|-----------------------|------------------------|----------------|------------------|---------------------|------|
| 2017/18 | HF | $y = 46.6699/(1 + 45.4756e^{-0.2099x})$ | 0.9985 | 23.33a | 2.45a | 1.15a | 28.59a |
| | MF | $y = 43.0980/(1 + 48.5304e^{-0.2173x})$ | 0.9976 | 21.55b | 2.34b | 1.09b | 27.61b |
| 2018/19 | HF | $y = 47.1049/(1 + 45.5225e^{-0.2129x})$ | 0.9995 | 23.55a | 2.51a | 1.18a | 28.18a |
| | MF | $y = 43.0060/(1 + 47.3994e^{-0.2207x})$ | 0.9989 | 21.50b | 2.37b | 1.11b | 27.18b |

$W_{\text{max}}$, weight of maximum grain filling rate; $V_{\text{max}}$, maximum grain-filling rate; $V_{\text{mean}}$, mean grain-filling rate; D, active grain filling period; HF, high soil fertility field; and MF, middle soil fertility field. Values followed by a different letter are significantly different (LSD test, $p<0.05$) within the treatments in each year.
9,000 kg ha\(^{-1}\) had lower soil nutrients leading to earlier leaf senescence after anthesis as compared to HF (Table 1; Figure 5). In HF, the higher SOD activity and the SP concentration of flag leaves relieved the damage of the leaf protective enzyme system (Figure 5) and increased the photosynthetic characteristics (Figure 4), lengthening the duration of leaf photosynthesis during grain filling. Moreover, positive relationships between deferred senescence of flag leaves and grain yield were found in this study (Table 6) and are in line with the results of He et al. (2020).

It is well known that N application and SOM significantly influence 1,000-grain weight and grain yield of wheat (Wang et al., 2018). Yan et al. (2019) showed that an increased fertilization rate of N, phosphorus, and potassium contributed to an increase in the duration of grain filling in winter wheat and obtained the yields ranging from 6,700 to 9,400 kg ha\(^{-1}\). Lv et al. (2017) reported that with yield levels ranging from 7,000 to 8,500 kg ha\(^{-1}\), potassium foliage application significantly increased grain weight by increasing the grain filling rate, whereas phosphorus foliage application relieved wheat senescence and increased D, leading to an increase in grain weight. In this study, mean soil nutrients in 0–40 cm soil layer during whole wheat growth stages were positively related to the 1,000-grain weight (Table 5). Positive correlations among grain filling characteristic parameters (i.e., \(W_{\text{max}}\), \(V_{\text{max}}\), and \(V_{\text{mean}}\)), SOD and SP, and negative relationships between D and MDA

### Table 4: Yield components and grain yield under different treatments in 2017/18 and 2018/19 growing seasons.

| Year   | Treatment | Spike number \((\times 10^6 \text{ ha}^{-1})\) | Grain number per spike | 1,000-grain weight (g) | Grain yield (kg ha\(^{-1}\)) |
|--------|-----------|---------------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 2017/18 | HF        | 728a                                        | 36.58b                 | 44.57a                 | 9840a                       |
|        | MF        | 671b                                        | 39.08a                 | 41.14b                 | 8176b                       |
| 2018/19 | HF        | 785a                                        | 38.85b                 | 45.37a                 | 11462a                      |
|        | MF        | 655b                                        | 40.72a                 | 41.92b                 | 9239b                       |

HF, high soil fertility field and MF, middle soil fertility field. Values followed by a different letter are significantly different (LSD test, \(p < 0.05\)) within the treatments in each year.

### Table 5: Analysis of correlations among mean soil nutrients in 0–40 cm soil layer during whole wheat growth stages, yield components, and grain yield in 2017/18 and 2018/19 \((n=12)\).

|          | SOM | TN | HN | AP | AK | SN | GN | GW | GY |
|----------|-----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| SOM      |     | 1  |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| TN       | 0.970** | 1  |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| HN       | 0.999** | 0.972** | 1  |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| AP       | 0.998** | 0.975** | 0.999** | 1  |    |    |    |    |    |
| AK       | 0.999** | 0.973** | 1.000** | 0.999** | 1  |    |    |    |    |
| SN       | 0.928** | 0.918** | 0.929** | 0.933** | 0.924** | 1  |    |    |    |
| GN       | -0.683* | -0.657* | -0.685* | -0.671* | -0.692* | -0.402 | 1  |    |    |
| GW       | 0.969** | 0.957** | 0.969** | 0.970** | 0.966** | 0.966** | -0.540 | 1  |    |
| GY       | 0.830** | 0.831** | 0.832** | 0.841** | 0.823** | 0.964** | -0.212 | 0.911** | 1  |

SOM, organic matter; TN, total nitrogen; HN, hydrolyzable nitrogen; AP, available phosphorus; AK, available potassium; SN, spike number; GN, grain number; GW, 1,000-grain weight; and GY, grain yield.

*Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).
**Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

### Table 6: Analysis of correlations among intercepted photosynthetically active radiation fraction (PARF), photosynthesis and senescence characteristics of flag leaves after anthesis, grain filling characteristic parameters, grain yield (GY) in 2017/18 and 2018/19 \((n=12)\).

|          | PARF | Pn | Tr | WUE\(_{\text{leaf}}\) | MDA | SOD | SP |
|----------|------|----|----|-----------------------|-----|-----|----|
| \(W_{\text{max}}\) | 0.989** | 0.768** | 0.750** | 0.805** | -0.883** | 0.814** | 0.809** |
| \(V_{\text{max}}\) | 0.939** | 0.896** | 0.900** | 0.859** | -0.941** | 0.914** | 0.908** |
| \(V_{\text{mean}}\) | 0.946** | 0.920** | 0.922** | 0.898** | -0.965** | 0.936** | 0.935** |
| D        | 0.818** | 0.408 | 0.365 | 0.535 | -0.592** | 0.481 | 0.481 |
| GY       | 0.873** | 0.984** | 0.977** | 0.961** | -0.986** | 0.994** | 0.990** |

Pn, net photosynthetic rate; Tr, transpiration rate; WUE\(_{\text{leaf}}\), instantaneous water use efficiency; MDA, malondialdehyde concentration; SOD, superoxide dismutase activity; SP, soluble protein concentration; \(W_{\text{max}}\), weight of maximum grain filling rate; \(V_{\text{max}}\), maximum grain-filling rate; \(V_{\text{mean}}\), mean grain-filling rate; and D, active grain filling period.

*Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).
**Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).
(Table 6) indicated that alleviating flag-leaf senescence contributed to the improvement in the rate and duration of grain filling, and delayed leaf senescence positively contributes to prolonging source activity to grain filling and increased crop yield (Gelang et al., 2000; Derkx et al., 2012). Moreover, leaf photosynthesis is another contributor to the improvement of grain filling. Positive relationships were observed among photosynthetic characteristics and grain filling characteristic parameters (Table 6), suggesting that elevated leaf photosynthesis characteristics in HF contributed to the increase in grain filling rate.

Potassium fertilization and/or straw return alleviated soil potassium depletion and increased soil potassium fertility, thus leading to the increases in crop yields (Zhao et al., 2014). Increased plant-available soil N and phosphorus by maize straw mulch combined with no-tillage obtained the highest yields of 7,477 kg ha⁻¹, leading to 16.7% increase in grain yield as compared to conventional tillage (Yang et al., 2020). Compared to the inorganic fertilizer treatment, long-term manure application (with grain yield levels up to 1,700 kg ha⁻¹) increased the stock of soil organic carbon and TN, leading to the increase in crop yields (Qaswar et al., 2022). Schmitz and Ransom (2021) indicated that spike number, grain number and 1,000-grain weight are closely related to wheat yield in both high (>5,000 kg ha⁻¹) and low (>5,000 kg ha⁻¹) yield environments. In most of the high-yield fields, SOM, hydrolyzable N, AP, and AK were higher than in the mid-low-yield field, and effective spike number was the main yield component in the high-yield wheat field, followed by 1,000-grain weight (Peng et al., 2016). Comparable results were observed in the present study, and mean soil nutrients in 0–40 cm soil layer during whole wheat growth stages had positive relationships with spike number, 1,000-grain weight, and grain yield (Table 5). Yield advantage in HF came from the increased spike number and 1,000-grain weight advantage, leading to the yield gaps of 1,664 and 2,223 kg ha⁻¹ compared to those seen for MF (Table 4). The decrease in spike number per m² and grain number in 2017/18 resulted in a lower grain yield compared to 2018/19 (Table 4). This was due to the monthly precipitation fluctuations, and more rain occurred from jointing to maturity the following year (Figure 1). The guaranteed more water supply during the reproductive and grain filling stages contributed to the improvements of the yield formation (Mu et al., 2021).

**Conclusion**

HF, with grain yield levels up to 11,000 kg ha⁻¹, had higher soil nutrients than those for MF during wheat growth stages, providing sufficient nutrient supply during the wheat tillering period. Compared to MF, HF improved tiller production, including spike formation rate, resulting in an increased spike number. Furthermore, improvements in grain filling duration and grain filling rate in HF led to the higher 1,000-grain weight. These improvements were also due to the increased canopy light interception and leaf photosynthesis characteristics after anthesis, and alleviated leaf senescence in HF. These results suggest that increasing the spike formation rate, maximizing light interception, and increasing and extending the leaf photosynthesis contributed to narrowing the yield gap between high and middle soil nutrients fields.
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