An exploratory analysis of leukocyte telomere length among pregnant and non-pregnant people
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ABSTRACT

Background: Leukocyte telomere length (LTL) is a biomarker that is affected by older age, psychosocial stress, and medical comorbidities. Despite the relevance of these factors to obstetric practice, little is known about LTL in pregnancy. Our study explored longitudinal LTL dynamics in pregnant and non-pregnant people.

Objective: This pilot study compares changes in LTL between pregnant and non-pregnant people over time, explores potential correlations between LTL and mental health measures, and investigates associations between short first-trimester LTL and adverse pregnancy outcomes.

Study design: This was a prospective pilot cohort study of nulliparous pregnant and non-pregnant people between ages 18 and 50 who presented for care at a single institution from January to November 2020. Pregnant people were enrolled between 10 and 14 weeks gestation. Participants had two blood samples drawn for LTL; the first on the day of enrollment and the second on postpartum day 1 (pregnant cohort) or 7 months later (non-pregnant cohort). LTL was measured using quantitative PCR. The primary outcome was the difference between pregnant and non-pregnant people in LTL change between the two timepoints (basepair difference per 30-day period). Secondary outcomes included differences in responses to the Patient Health Questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9) and a survey about stress related to COVID-19. Differences in LTL were tested using t-tests and linear regression models, both crude and adjusted for age. A subgroup analysis was conducted within the pregnant cohort to examine whether shorter first-trimester LTL was associated with adverse pregnancy outcomes. We conducted t-tests to compare LTL between people with and without each categorical outcome and computed Pearson correlation coefficients between gestational age at delivery.

Results: 46 pregnant and 30 non-pregnant people were enrolled; 44 pregnant and 18 non-pregnant people completed all LTL assessments. There were no between-group differences in LTL change (−4.2 ± 22.2 bp per 30 days pregnant versus −6.4 ± 11.2 bp per 30 days non-pregnant, adjusted beta 2.1, 95% CI -9.0-13.2, p = 0.60). The prevalence of depression and pandemic-related stress were both low overall. The two groups did not differ in PHQ-9 scores, and no correlations were significant between LTL and PHQ-9 scores. Among the 44 pregnant people, shorter first-trimester LTL was significantly correlated with earlier gestational age at delivery (r = 0.35, p = 0.02).

Conclusion: In this exploratory pilot cohort of reproductive-aged people with low levels of psychological stress, we described baseline changes in LTL over time in pregnant and non-pregnant participants. We found a correlation between shorter first-trimester LTL and earlier gestational age at delivery, which warrants further investigation in a larger cohort.

1. Introduction

Short telomere length is a biomarker of emerging interest in perinatal biology due to associations with psychological stress and potential as a transgenerational stress marker (Humphreys et al., 2020; Verner et al., 2021; Epel et al., 2004; Entringer et al., 2018). Despite this potential, very little is known about how pregnancy affects telomere dynamics from which to guide research.
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Telomeres are repetitive non-coding deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) sequences that cap chromosome ends to protect them from shortening over time (Notterman and Schneper, 2020; Vaiserman and Krasnienkov, 2021; Shaley et al., 2013). Although telomeres are present in many cells, most stress-focused research has utilized leukocyte telomere length (LTL) (Demelans et al., 2020). LTLs normally shorten by 20–30 base-pairs (bp) annually in non-pregnant adults (Müezzinler et al., 2013), but accelerated shortening can occur via oxidative stress mechanisms (Fouquerel et al., 2019). Shorter LTLs have been associated with downstream increases in morbidity and mortality in non-pregnant adults, and LTL shortening itself can be accelerated by factors including psychosocial stress and mental health conditions. In fact, maternal psychosocial stress during pregnancy has been linked to shorter LTL in children (Entringer et al., 2013, 2016).

Given these associations, and the fact that pregnancy itself is a state of physical, biological, and emotional stress, it is important to elucidate LTL dynamics in pregnancy before applying this biomarker to perinatal research. It is unknown whether pregnancy affects the natural rate of LTL shortening, and if early pregnancy LTL is associated with adverse outcomes. Since telomere changes over a period as little as one year have been described outside of pregnancy (Puttermann et al., 2015; Rideout et al., 2019), and short term telomere changes have also been associated with changes in brain structure (Puthmann et al., 2019), it is possible that changes in maternal LTL over as short as a single term gestation could occur and be relevant to perinatal research in this area.

Therefore, in this pilot study, we compared LTL change over time in pregnant and non-pregnant individuals, examined correlations of LTL with stress measures, and explored whether maternal LTL in the first trimester was associated with pregnancy complications such as gestational diabetes, hypertensive disorders, or spontaneous preterm birth. We hypothesized that pregnancy would accelerate maternal LTL shortening, that LTL would correlate with psychosocial stress, and that shorter first trimester LTL could have utility as a predictive biomarker for adverse pregnancy outcomes.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Study design

This was a prospective pilot cohort study of nulliparous people between ages 18 and 50 years who presented for routine obstetric or gynecologic care at a single institution from January 2020 to November 2020. The study was restricted to nulliparas given prior cross-sectional research demonstrating an association with increased parity and shorter LTL (Pollack et al., 2018). Nulliparity was defined as no prior pregnancy beyond 13 weeks gestation. Pregnant patients were included if they were between 10 weeks 0 days and 14 weeks 0 days by best estimate (The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. Committee Opinion, 2017) with a viable singleton pregnancy. Exclusion criteria were determined based on prior literature (Lin et al., 2016; Valdes et al., 2005; Ma et al., 2011). Eligibility was initially assessed using the electronic medical record by screening all outpatient Obstetrics and Gynecology clinics. Only Gynecology clinic visits for well woman or routine indications were included; people presenting for a procedure were not eligible. All eligible patients who were interested in participating in research were approached during their visit and interviewed to confirm they did not meet exclusion criteria. In both cohorts, patients were excluded if they were current smokers, had a communicable blood-borne disease, were prescribed therapeutic anticoagulation, had a history of solid organ or hematologic malignancy, showed signs of illness or injury, or were planning to relocate. For the pregnant cohort, people were also excluded if they utilized egg donation (Koeke et al., 2007), had a lethal fetal anomaly, or planned pregnancy termination. For the non-pregnant cohort, people were excluded if they were planning pregnancy within the next year. Convenience sampling via the electronic health record was utilized for screening, stratifying by age < or ≥35 years. Age stratification using the cutoff for advanced maternal age was done due to the known contribution of chronological age to telomere shortening (Müezzinler et al., 2013; Blackburn and Epel, 2017).

This study began enrollment immediately before the emergence of the COVID-19 pandemic; thus, enrollment was paused between March and May 2020. It was approved by the Stanford Institutional Review Board (IRB Protocol 44072) and all patients signed informed consent.

2.2. Telomere sample acquisition and processing

The primary outcome was the difference between pregnant and non-pregnant people in the change of LTL over time. All participants had two blood samples drawn; the first was obtained on the day of enrollment (Time 1). For the pregnant cohort, the second draw was done post-partum day 1 (Time 2). For the non-pregnant cohort, the second draw was arranged approximately 7 months after the first (Time 2) to match the timeframe of the pregnant cohort. Due to the unforeseen circumstances that evolved due to the COVID-19 pandemic, which arose after this study began enrollment, additional flexibility was accommodated in the timing of the second draw. To account for differences in the timing of the second draw, the primary outcome was analyzed as the change in LTL per 30 day period between draws.

For all samples, a total of 2–4 cc of whole blood was drawn in EDTA tubes. Within 4 h, samples were aliquoted into 7 tubes (Sardstedt SRS-72-694-006) of 300 µL each and frozen at −80 °C until all samples were ready for simultaneous DNA extraction and analysis. Genomic DNA was extracted using the QiaAmp protocol for cultured cells (Qiagen, 2016). LTL was then measured according to previously published protocols (Entringer et al., 2013; Lin et al., 2010; Cawthon, 2002; Wolkowitz et al., 2011; Panelli et al., 2022). As has been previously reported, telomere (“T”) and single copy gene (human beta globin, “S”) lengths were measured via quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) using a Roche LC480 real-time PCR machine (Roche Diagnostics Corporation, Indianapolis, IN). Samples were run in triplicate on 384-well assay plates in a Roche LightCycler 480. Average concentrations of T and S were used to calculate T/S ratios after Dixon’s Q-test, and each sample was measured twice. When one sample’s duplicate T/S values differed by greater than 7%, the sample was run a third time and the two closest values were averaged to give the final result. Tubes containing the same reference DNA (human genomic DNA from buffy coat, Sigma-Aldrich, Cat#11691112001) were included in each run to adjust for batch effect. The mean of 8 adjustment factors from 8 DNA samples were applied to convert the raw T/S ratios to adjusted T/S ratios which were converted to bp using the following formula: bp = 3274 + 2413*(T/S) (Entringer et al., 2013; NHANES). This formula was developed in the same lab using the same protocol as the present study, though of note was not validated for this specific cohort; this approach has previously been described.

2.3. Secondary outcomes

Secondary outcomes included differences between pregnant and non-pregnant groups in responses to the Patient Health Questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9) and a survey focusing on the COVID-19 pandemic. The PHQ-9 is a validated screening instrument for depression scored from 0 to 27; a score of ≥10 is considered a positive screen with a sensitivity of 88% and specificity of 85% (Levis et al., 2019). The PHQ-9 was used instead of the Edinburgh Postpartum Depression Scale (EPDS) due to inclusion of non-pregnant people. All participants completed the PHQ-9 twice: once on the day of enrollment and again at the time of the second blood draw. Given the timing of enrollment (i.e., during the emergence of the pandemic), there were no validated survey tools yet available to assess pandemic-related stress. Thus, we adapted the COVID-19 survey that was administered in this study from a longer survey that had been prepared, piloted, and administered prior to use in the current study.
pregnancy from a baseline shortening of 25 bp per year in non-pregnant individuals (Müezzinler et al., 2013; Chen et al., 2011), the study would have to recruit 53 non-pregnant and 46 pregnant people. Accounting for a 25% loss to follow up in the overall sample (N = 62) LTL difference over time was selected, as this was deemed to be clinically meaningful (Ridout et al., 2019). To detect a difference of at least 50% in LTL change in pregnancy from a baseline shortening of 25 bp per year in non-pregnant individuals (Muezzinler et al., 2013; Chen et al., 2011), the study would require 42 patients per group (total N = 84), assuming α = 0.05, power = 0.8, a two-sided t-test, and a common pooled standard deviation of 20 bp. This would translate to an additional change of 12.5 basepairs in either direction. Accounting for a 25% loss to follow up in the non-pregnant cohort and 10% in the pregnant cohort, we aimed to recruit 53 non-pregnant and 46 pregnant people.

We restricted the primary analysis to those who had completion of all LTL measurements. We reported demographic characteristics descriptively without statistical comparisons due to small sample size. We evaluated within-person LTL change over time between pregnant and non-pregnant subjects first using paired t-tests, then compared each participant’s LTL change using multivariable linear regression adjusting for maternal age given prior research suggesting the role chronologic age plays in telomere shortening (Epel et al., 2004; Blackburn and Epel, 2017; Gotlib et al., 2015). Age adjustment was done despite age-matching due to differential loss to follow-up, as described below.

Regression to the mean was assessed, as this has been reported to be a significant biasing effect in other studies examining repeated LTLs within one individual (Barnett et al., 2005; Bateson et al., 2019).

We conducted secondary analyses using psychosocial measures. First, we reported PHQ-9 scores for pregnant and non-pregnant patients using descriptive statistics. Next, the association between PHQ-9 score at Time 1, Time 2, and PHQ score change (independent variables) and LTL change in bp/30 day period (dependent variable) were examined using Spearman correlation coefficients, given the non-normal distribution of PHQ-9 scores.

For the subgroup analysis among pregnant participants, we used two-sample t-tests with unequal variances to compare LTL between participants with and without each adverse pregnancy outcome as LTL were normally distributed. We computed Pearson correlation coefficients between LTL and continuous outcomes such as gestational age at delivery and neonatal birthweight. Statistical significance was set to a two-tailed alpha < 0.05. Missing data were not imputed. Statistical analysis was performed using Stata IC 15.1 (StataCorp, College Station, TX).

3. RESULTS

305 patients were potentially eligible based on electronic medical record screening of clinic schedules. Of those approached and interviewed for eligibility, 76 enrolled; 46 were pregnant and 30 were non-pregnant (Fig. 1). Given the exploratory nature of this study, enrollment for the non-pregnant cohort concluded when the pregnant cohort reached the target of 46 participants due to unforeseen enrollment delays and challenges encountered during the pandemic lockdown. Specifically, phlebotomy visits scheduled solely for the purpose of research were not allowed for several months which disproportionately affected the non-pregnant participants, and many non-pregnant individuals had unplanned relocations or changes in medical care during the pandemic. A total of 44 pregnant and 18 non-pregnant people completed all longitudinal biologic assessments and were included in the primary analysis (Fig. 1).

The pregnant and non-pregnant cohorts were similar in terms of demographic characteristics (Table 1). Importantly, there was no difference in mean age at enrollment (31.3 ± 3.3 years pregnant versus 31.8 ± 7.1 years non-pregnant, p = 0.72).

For our primary outcome, we did not identify a significant difference in LTL change between groups over the course of the study. The non-pregnant cohort had LTL shortening of −6.4 ± 11.2 bp per 30 day period whereas the pregnant cohort had shortening of −4.2 ± 22.2 bp per 30 day period (adjusted beta coefficient 2.1, 95% CI -9.0 - 13.2, Table 2). The mean duration between Time 1 and Time 2 was significantly longer for the non-pregnant cohort (242.0 ± 50.7 non-pregnant versus 195.6 ± 11.4 days pregnant, p = 0.001). Of note, in contrast to the power calculation utilizing 20 bp for expected standard deviation, the overall sample (N = 62) LTL difference over time was −35.7 ± 130.7 bp. Regression to the mean was not observed (Supplemental Fig. 1) Supplemental Table 1 shows results in T/S ratio format.

There were no differences between groups in PHQ-9 scores (Table 1). One third of all participants had an increase in their PHQ-9 score over the study period. There were no associations between LTL and PHQ-9 score at Time 1 (r = 0.01, p = 0.97), Time 2 (r = 0.11, p = 0.43), nor between LTL change and PHQ-9 score difference (r = −0.12, p = 0.38). On the COVID-19 survey, the majority of respondents reported moderate or significant worsening of stress related to the pandemic (61.8% pregnant versus 71.4% non-pregnant, Supplemental Table 2).

In the subgroup analysis of the 44 pregnant people, shorter first-trimester maternal LTL was significantly correlated with earlier gestational age at delivery (r = 0.35, p = 0.02, Fig. 2). This association persisted in linear regression modeling after adjusting for maternal age, using LTL in 200 bp increments (beta 0.30, 95% CI 0.04-0.56, p = 0.03). Additionally, Table 3 demonstrates that there were non-significant differences in PHQ-9 scores.
crude trends for shorter first-trimester LTL in people who developed hypertensive disorders (5,648.9 ± 382.8 bp with versus 5,748.9 ± 250.3 bp without, p = 0.47) and spontaneous preterm birth (5,488.8 ± 262.8 bp preterm versus 5,750.7 ± 279.5 bp term, p = 0.22).

4. Discussion

4.1. Principal findings

In this exploratory, prospective, longitudinal pilot study, we describe

Table 1

Demographics among pregnant and non-pregnant participants, N = 62.

| Characteristic                      | Non-pregnant | Pregnant |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|----------|
| Mean maternal age at enrollment (years) | 31.8 ± 7.1 | 31.3 ± 3.3 |
| Mean BMI at enrollment (kg/m²)     | 24.8 ± 4.0 | 22.8 ± 3.8 |
| Race                                |             |          |
| Asian or Pacific Islander           | 7 (38.9)   | 18 (40.9) |
| Black                               | 0           | 0        |
| White                               | 11 (61.1)  | 21 (47.7) |
| Other or unknown                    | 0           | 5 (11.4) |
| Hispanic ethnicity                  | 0           | 5 (11.4) |
| Highest level of education          |             |          |
| Completed high school               | 0           | 3 (6.8)  |
| Completed college                   | 6 (33.3)   | 9 (20.5) |
| Pursuing or completed higher degree | 10 (55.6)  | 30 (68.2) |
| Unknown or missing                  | 2 (11.1)   | 4 (8.7)  |
| Comorbidity at time of enrollment (any) | 6 (33.3) | 24 (54.6) |
| Neurologic (e.g. epilepsy, multiple sclerosis) | 0 | 1 (2.3) |
| Psychiatric (e.g. depression, anxiety) | 1 (5.6) | 9 (20.5) |
| Chronic hypertension                | 1 (5.6)    | 0        |
| Asthma                              | 0           | 4 (9.1)  |
| Thyroid (hypo or hyper)             | 0           | 6 (13.6) |
| Type 1 or 2 Diabetes                | 0           | 1 (2.3)  |
| Autoimmune (e.g. lupus)             | 0           | 1 (2.3)  |
| Other                               | 4 (22.2)   | 12 (27.3) |
| Spontaneous conception              | –           | 37 (84.1) |
| Enrolled prior to COVID-19 lockdown (March 17, 2020) | 8 (44.4)  | 24 (54.6) |
| Median PHQ-9 score at enrollment (Time 1) | 1 (0.3) | 2 (1.4) |
| Median PHQ-9 score Time 2           | 1 (0.2)    | 2 (1.4)  |
| PHQ-9 score increase between two timepointsb | 6 (35.3) | 14 (38.9) |

a Data shown as number (column percent) for categorical variables and mean ± standard deviation for continuous.

b Denominator is N = 53 people with PHQ-9 scores from both Time 1 and Time 2.

c Maternal leukocyte telomere length was normally distributed in both groups, so two-sample t-test was used for all comparisons.

Table 2

Leukocyte telomere length over time compared between pregnant and non-pregnant people with serial leukocyte telomere length (LTL) measurements in basepairs (bp), N = 62.

| Characteristic                      | Non-pregnant | Pregnant |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|----------|
| Mean ± SD (Referent)               |              |          |
| N = 18                              |              |          |
| LTL change rate (bp per 30 days)   | –6.4 ± 11.2  | –4.2 ± 22.2 |
| Mean days between samples           | 242.0 ± 50.7 | 195.6 ± 11.4 |

a Crude linear regression with pregnant versus non-pregnant as independent variable and telomere length, difference, or change rate as dependent variables.

b Adjusted for age at enrollment.

c Maternal leukocyte telomere length was normally distributed in both groups, so two-sample t-test was used for all comparisons.

4. Discussion

4.1. Principal findings

In this exploratory, prospective, longitudinal pilot study, we describe

LTL dynamics in pregnant and non-pregnant individuals with a low prevalence of psychosocial stress. We did not find any differences between pregnant and non-pregnant groups in LTL change per 30 day
period. It should be noted that we were adequately powered to answer this question, as we were unable to attain target follow-up in the non-pregnant cohort. Similarly, we did not detect any associations between LTL and psychosocial factors, including depressive symptoms and COVID-specific stress. In the pregnant cohort, shorter first trimester maternal LTL may be associated with earlier gestational age at delivery, a finding that warrants further evaluation in a larger sample. These pilot data can be used to more appropriately power future studies and inform ongoing research investigating LTL as a predictive biomarker in pregnancy.

4.2. Results in the context of available literature

Although limited by small sample size in our non-pregnant cohort, we did not identify any trends towards group differences in LTL change per 30 day period. First, it is noteworthy that our non-pregnant cohort had a rate of decrease that would correspond to 72 basepairs over a year, which is greater than the 20–30 basepairs per year that has been reported in the literature (Demanelis et al., 2020; Müezzinler et al., 2013). We interpret this with caution, given that extrapolating the monthly rate to a 12-month period may introduce bias; however, it is still notable that this group, which was followed for an average of 242 days, had double the change in basepairs compared to what has been previously reported. While it is possible that pandemic-related stressors contributed to this, our cohort overall had a low level of pandemic-related stress. It is possible that this result is related to our small sample size or to the fact that this is a reproductive-aged cohort, whereas most studies on LTL dynamics include a wider age range because telomere shortening may slow with older compared to younger age (Vaiserman and Krasnienkov, 2021).

While other data are lacking regarding the effect of pregnancy on LTL to contextualize our results, one cross-sectional study reported shorter LTL measurements in parous compared to nulliparous people (Vaiserman and Krasnienkov, 2009; Von Zglinicki, 2002). In fact, one study from Rwanda which might suggest that pregnancy is associated with shorter LTL (Demanelis et al., 2020). Nevertheless, our study, we saw increases in PHQ-9 scores in both pregnant and non-pregnant cohorts, and the majority of participants reported worsening of stress due to COVID-19. Notably, this was in a cohort with an overall low background prevalence of depression or pandemic-related stress. These results highlight the importance of mental health screening not only in the postpartum period, as is commonly done, but also earlier in pregnancy, as others have advocated (Bunevicius et al., 2004). We did not identify similar associations in this cohort. Whether this is related to our sample size, low baseline prevalence of mental health disorders, lack of direct impact of COVID-19 on participants, or the presence of a more nuanced underlying relation remains to be seen.

4.3. Clinical implications of results

Mental health has deteriorated for many people over the course of the pandemic (Etman et al., 2020; Ayaz et al., 2020; Luo et al., 2020). In our study, we saw increases in PHQ-9 scores in both pregnant and non-pregnant cohorts, and the majority of participants reported worsening of stress due to COVID-19. Notably, this was in a cohort with an overall low background prevalence of depression or pandemic-related stress. These results highlight the importance of mental health screening not only in the postpartum period, as is commonly done, but also earlier in pregnancy, as others have advocated (Bunevicius et al., 2009; Murray and Cox, 1990). For gynecologic patients, our results may facilitate consideration of routine mental health screening for well woman visits.

4.4. Implications for future research

The association we identified between shorter first trimester LTL and earlier gestational age at delivery raises questions that warrant further investigation. Whether this association translates to an increased risk of spontaneous preterm birth with shorter first trimester LTL remains unanswered, given that the majority of our patients delivered at term. Although we did identify shorter mean first trimester LTL among those with spontaneous preterm birth, we were not specifically powered for this. A future case-control study may be appropriate to address this question.

Further, our sample size was originally computed based on sparse available data for what normal LTL changes might be in reproductive-aged people. We did not conduct a post-hoc power calculation given caveats to this approach (Goodman and Berlin, 1994). Although the variability in LTL in our control group (84 basepairs) was greater than what we had originally estimated (20 basepairs), and the emergence of the COVID-19 pandemic affected our loss to follow up rate, our pilot data can be used in determining power for future studies examining maternal LTL. For example, using our data, replicating the power...
analysis using our crude shortening of 55 bp with standard deviation of 84 in the referent group, same effect size, alpha, and beta, N = 153 would actually be needed in each group (total N = 306).

4.5. Strengths and limitations

Our results must be interpreted within the context of our limitations. Given the impact of the pandemic on enrollment and retention, we did not attain our target sample size in the control group, which decreased our statistical power. Therefore, our negative results may be due to Type 2 error. The pandemic also affected the mean duration between LTL samples; the non-pregnant group had a significantly longer interval between samples due to research limitations in 2020, which may have resulted in a greater crude LTL difference. To account for this, bp rate change per 30 day period was added as a primary outcome. Despite survey responses showing worsening COVID-related stress in our participants, no participants reported that they had been diagnosed with COVID-19, few reported psychosocial or financial impacts of the pandemic, and the overall prevalence of depression based on PHQ-9 scores were low. This may limit the external generalizability of our findings, although it provides internal validity given the core study question of how pregnancy itself affects LTL dynamics. Replicating our study in other populations that are affected more directly by the pandemic and its sequelae, or for whom stronger relations between stress and short telomeres are seen (Pantesco et al., 2018), are important directions for future research.

Despite these limitations, our study has a number of strengths. We provide granular pilot data on the relations between medical and psychiatric comorbidities and LTL in reproductive-aged people, which is an important contribution to the literature. In addition, the deterioration in mental health demonstrated by our repeated assessments highlights the importance of psychiatric screening for both obstetric and gynecologic patients. Further, no bias from regression to the mean on our longitudinal LTL measurements was noted. Lastly, despite limitations of external generalizability, our internal validity aids in interpreting LTL differences, as these have been shown to vary across different populations (Pantesco et al., 2018; Gerominus et al., 2015; Diez Roux et al., 2009; Zhu et al., 2011).

5. Conclusions

In conclusion, in this prospective pilot cohort, we did not identify significant differences between pregnant and non-pregnant people in LTL change over the course of a term gestation. Further, no relationship was seen between LTL and PHQ-9 scores. Notably, among pregnant people, shorter first trimester LTL was associated with earlier gestational age at delivery. In addition to providing baseline pilot information about the effect of pregnancy on LTL dynamics, our findings emphasize the need for replication in a larger study as well as continued research on LTL as a predictive biomarker in pregnancy.
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