Evaluating the Effect of Different Planting Dates on Panicle Growth Trend and Performance of Rice Varieties in Khuzestan Region, Iran

KAVEH LIMOUCHI

Ph.D Agronomy, Young Researchers and Elite Club, Dezful Branch, Islamic Azad University, Dezful, Iran.
Email: Kavehlimouchi@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT: This study was aimed at evaluating the effect of different planting dates on the panicle growth trend (length, weight, and branches of panicle) and the performance of rice varieties in the north of Khuzestan province with east latitude of 48° – 28° and north longitude of 31° + 50°. The experiment was executed as split plots in the form of randomized complete blocks with three replications. Main factor was the date of planting at three levels (June 5th, June 20th, and July 5th) and the secondary factor includes the varieties of red Anbori (tall and short grains), and Champa. Results indicated that the maximum performance of the seed, floret in panicle, and weight belonged to the date of July 5th and the maximum length of panicle and branches, without significant difference, belonged to the second date of planting. Evaluating the growth trend, maximum increase (rate of growth) of the dry weight and number of floret was due to the more-appropriate heat and the reduction of sterility as well as a more demand for non-structural carbohydrates (unlike the planting date of June 5th) in the planting date of July 5th, but the length of panicle had its most growth rate in the first two planting dates, while the number of branches was not affected by the date of planting. Among the varieties, Champa, with an average of 3795.4 kg/ha, had the maximum efficiency. Based on the results of this study, by focusing on the modifying objectives to increase the dry weight of the panicle, because of its positive and high correlation (0.759**), increase of the rice seed’s efficiency can be hopefully predicted.
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Rice is one of the strategic yields of the world especially in Asia, and, currently, is the food of 3 billion people around the world (Emam, 2007). Two or three times of rice harvest per year is one method to increase its production in some of the world’s rice-rich regions (Noor Mohammadi et al., 1997). In this regard, because of its role in the optimal use of managerial and environmental factors, rice planting in an inappropriate time is undeniable in order to increase the production (Noor Miohammadi et al., 1997). Appropriate date of planting optimizes the efficiency of using factors affecting the performance (Ali and Rahman, 1992). Steven and Linscombe (2004) stated that the date of planting and heat have an important effect on the yield growth and rice performance because in two regions of Louisiana, one at southwest and the other at northeast, the southwest one had a performance of more 8.5 tons/ha in late March. In addition, as the planting delayed, the performance has also reduced and, at the planting date of late March, reduction of performance was observed (5.2 tons/ha). Then, as the planting delayed in mid-April, the performance of seed has increased to 7.2 tons/ha and all subsequent dates had a linear reduction of performance. Fow et al. (2004), in Australia, evaluating 103 rice varieties from different origins and comparing the effects of plant’s exposure – to – low heat and water heat time on the sterility and performance of rice, resulted that the effect of low heat on the plant’s sterility and growth is not limited to the flowering time and the exposure – to – low heat time affect the delay in flowering and sterility of the plant. Siyadat et al. (2001), evaluating the Veyrian region of Khoram Abad city, concluded that the best date of planting is April 12th, and the best variety is the Blacktail (Dom Siah) with a performance of 3265 kg in this date. Modification of planting techniques such as changing the planting date was introduced as an effective strategy for a quantitative and qualitative increase of growth (Farrell et al., 2004). Limochi (2012), evaluating the different dates of planting on 10 varieties, introduced the reduction of varieties’ growth period as an important factor affecting the reduction of performance, which was because of the reduction of non-structural carbohydrate transportation to the main reservoir (the seed). In addition, evaluating the correlation of performance and properties related to the stem, concluded that the maximum positive and significant correlation is between the seed performance and stem weight (0.626**). Although the
rate of the yield growth at the developing stage affect the rice seed performance, its amount, two weeks before heading, has critical effects on the final rice seed performance, so maximizing its amount during this period is one of the important managerial and modifying objectives to achieve the maximum seed (Horie et al., 2003). Erfani and Nasiri (2000), studying some of the morphologic and physiologic properties affecting the seed of rice varieties, stated that the leaf surface index in the modified varieties was more than the native varieties during the growth stage especially at flowering. Gilani (2010), evaluating the trend of panicle filling, resulted that the increase of the panicle’s dry weight at delayed planting date (2/25), because of the appropriateness of the growth period, ignoring the variety and managerial factors, is affecting the panicle performance, can finally change in its number, length, weight, or components, and because of the smaller cells with thicker wall, the modifying objective because, under these conditions one heat–sensitive varieties and can be a heat–sensitive mechanism is similar to the one heat–sensitive mechanism is similar to the mentioned heat–sensitive varieties and can be a modifying objective because, under these conditions and because of the smaller cells with thicker wall, the power of cell water preservation (Table 3). These results are confirmed by Rafiee (2008) indicating that first year planting has no effect on the panicle length, evaluating the trend of panicle growth during the natal period and before the panicle outbreak, it was were in one group. Among varieties, short leg Anbori had the minimum length of panicle. This is due to the varieties’ genotypic differences as, depending on the rice different genotypes, panicles with shorter length, but more compact, can reduce breathing and increase the efficiency of water use because it is the distal part with maximum presence in different heat conditions. One heat bearing mechanism is similar to the mentioned heat–sensitive varieties and can be a modifying objective because, under these conditions and because of the smaller cells with thicker wall, the power of cell water preservation (Table 3). These results are confirmed by Rafiee (2008) indicating that first date of planting has no effect on the panicle length, evaluating the trend of panicle growth during the natal period and before the panicle outbreak, it was
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resulted that, depending on the variety and date of planting, its amount is different seeing that the rate of the varieties’ panicle length increase during the natal period at the first and second planting date is more than the third date. Because of the higher heat of the natal period during the first and second dates and significant reduction during the third one, it seems that high heat at the first and second dates and longer natal stage is necessary, which at the first date, because of the faster entrance of plant to the natal stage, and at second date, for increasing this period for G.D.D maximum absorb, there will be enough time for its growth. For varieties, the differences, regardless of the heat effect, are related to the genotype differences because the growth of panicle follows the overall process of plant growth, so the mentioned heat–sensitive varieties, because of a longer growth and more plant height, have a more panicle length. Because the panicle is physically the distal part of the plant exposed to more heat and has more breathing, it can be said that having shorter panicles can be mechanism of tolerance and getting rid of temperature. Producing panicles with appropriate length, but more compact, is a modifying objective in introducing the rice varieties because, under the conditions of having smaller cells and thicker wall, the power of cell water preservation would be increased. Based on the results, short leg and tall leg Anbori had the maximum changes and Champa had a relative and too little growth indicating that this variety has been less affected by different heat conditions and, however, had the maximum length of panicle among all varieties. other varieties had their maximum length of panicle at the second date of planting because the climate condition was optimal. they had minimum length of panicle at the third planting date for getting rid of heat stress; in addition, they had the maximum variations on days 9th to 20th after the beginning of panicle outbreak. After that, the trend of variations continued with a more moderate and finally fixed slope, which can be because of the start of seed filling in the panicle. These results (Figure 1) are confirmed by Gilani (2008).

Dry weight of the panicle: it is seen in the variance analysis table that the mentioned property is significant at %1 level between different dates of planting, and the interaction of both factors is significant at %5 level (Table 2). Because of the cause and effect relations above and their direct and high impact in increasing the performance, more breeding and modifying studies for this property is needed. Observing the table of average comparison, maximum amount was gained during the third date and the minimum one was gained during the first one, which is mostly because of the reduction of growth period especially natal growth during the first date. Among the varieties, tall leg Anbori had the heaviest panicle, which can be a result of the increase of length and secondary branches to all varieties (Tables 3 and 4). Results are confirmed by the evaluations above indicating the reduction of panicle’s weight or reduction of growth period (Gilani and Moradi, 1997; Board et al., 2001; Emam, 2007; Naidu et al., 2004; Lewin et al., 2004), because during the first date of planting (June 5th), at which the growth period is shorter, the minimum panicle weight is gained, which is a result of the increase of environment heat and receiving the necessary G.D.D at natal period in addition to the faster reduction of growth period time. Panicle filling trend after its outbreak and during the maturity period indicates that the highest rate and effective duration of panicle filling is belonged to the third date of planting and the first one had the minimum rate and effective duration. It seems that high sterility is resulted from the high heat and intense limitation of demand for non-structural carbohydrates are the reasons of low rate, effective filling duration, panicle weight, and harvest indicator at the first planting date. In addition, among the varieties, short leg Anbori, because of the lower rate and shorter effective filling period, had a lower weight of panicle, while tall leg Anbori with a relatively higher rate than other varieties reached its maximum weight of panicle. At the third date of planting, for the short leg Anbori, increase of the rate of panicle weight was averagely occurred 9 days after the beginning of panicle outbreak and at two first dates of planting, 18 days after panicle outbreak, but with 3 days delay. Based on these results, in addition to plant growth conditions specially the yield’s rate of growth before panicle outbreak, panicle’s potential and environmental heat affect the increase of panicle’s weight; in this regard, effective filling duration and its rate in addition of making a balance among them is too important. Results are confirmed by Morchi et al. (2002), indicating various panicle’s dry weight in different varieties and by Yang et al. (2002) concerning the existence or nonexistence of slow or final stages in seed filling of rice varieties. It is also in consistence with the results concluded by Zakaria et al. (2002) concerning the reduction of panicle weight at high heat (Figure 1).

Number of floret in the panicle: number of seeds in the panicle is one of the most important components of seed performance in rice. Regardless of the floret physical location on the panicle, their number can be affected by the environmental heat during the maturity period. This study results indicated that there is a significant difference at %5 level between different dates of planting; no significant difference was observed between the varieties and interaction of two
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Among different dates of planting, floret number had an increasing trend from the first date to the third; this increase at the delaying dates of planting can be because of the environmental stress during the first date at natal stage, seeing that by the sterility of floret, assimilates, except of being transported to the seed, were transported to the plant base, and the tested varieties, at the current climate conditions, are mostly compatible with the third date (July 5th). Among the varieties, tall leg Anbori had the maximum number and because, always, some sterile floret are remained due to reasons such as balance and lack of photosynthetic materials allocation, making optimal conditions and appropriate management can be important to increase the number of seeds. These results (Table 3) are confirmed by Gilani and Moraqdi (1997). Evaluating the trend of floret number variation on the panicle, maximum variations have occurred during the third date of planting; in addition, it had the maximum number of floret and, compared with the other dates, had its maximum rate of growth. Of course, this priority, with a little difference in Champa variety, has an intense increase at the first date and at the primary stages of growth, while its amount, at the final stages, was fewer than the third date. 15 days after the beginning of panicle outbreak, all varieties had their maximum number of floret; then, the curve slope, because of the seed filling and assimilate allocation, became fixed. From this stage onward the maximum effect should be on the increase of 1,000 seed weight, which can be improved by optimizing the managerial conditions. This difference among the planting dates was more in the tall leg Anbori indicating its effect and sensibility to different managerial conditions in addition, based on different dates of planting (different heat conditions), better use of managerial conditions is needed (Figure 1).

Number of branches in the panicle: table 2 indicated that the mentioned property has a significant difference between different planting dates and interaction of two factors; but, there was a significant difference at a % 1 level between the varieties. Based on the average comparisons, maximum primary branches were belonged to Champa and tall leg Anbori. These results were confirmed by Limochi (2012) stating that the increase of branches by environmental condition optimization is occurred during the second date although it is minimal and insignificant (Table 3). Evaluating the trend of variations, it can be concluded that this property is completely dependent on genotype because it had the minimum reaction to different dates of planting and the difference of varieties was more obvious, as the tall leg Anbori variety had the minimum variation and its number got fixed faster than other varieties, while, averagely, all varieties had a fixed trend from the sixth sampling. Most of the photosynthesis material was used for seed filling and increasing of the weight, which become more intense when the increase of branches number stops (Figure 1).

| Table 2: Analysis of variance for Agronomy characteristics features and grain yield of the experimental treatments |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| **S.O.V** | **df** | **Panicle length (cm)** | **Dry weight panicle (g)** | **floret in the panicle (number/panicle)** | **branches in the panicle (number/panicle)** | **Grain yield (Kg/ha)** |
| Repeat | 2 | 1.833*** | 0.022*** | 214.333*** | 0.453*** | 7328.926*** |
| Sowing date | 2 | 1.201*** | 1.72*** | 1981.778*** | 0.898*** | 6010778.926*** |
| Error (a) | 4 | 0.728 | 0.01 | 478.443 | 0.676 | 12808.314 |
| Variety | 2 | 31.152*** | 0.30*** | 974.332*** | 8.727*** | 6842678.14*** |
| variety × Sowing date | 4 | 0.430*** | 0.06*** | 379.110*** | 0.092*** | 85027.204*** |
| Error (b) | 12 | 0.699 | 0.02 | 379.574 | 0.685 | 56022.963 |
| CV(%) | 3.26 | 5.06 | 11.39 | 8.55 | 6.74 |

ns, * and ** are nonsignificant and significant at the 5% and 1% levels of probability, respectively.

| Table 3: Comparison Agronomy characteristics features and grain yield of the experimental treatments |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Factor** | **Sowing date** | **Panicle length (cm)** | **Dry weight panicle (g)** | **floret in the panicle (number/panicle)** | **branches in the panicle (number/panicle)** | **Grain yield (Kg/ha)** |
| d1 | 25.77a | 2.21c | 159.22a | 9.78a | 6245.44c |
| d2 | 25.91a | 2.48b | 166.11b | 9.64a | 3618.11b |
| d3 | 25.22a | 2.06a | 187.67a | 9.33a | 4269.33a |
| d4 | 25.69a | 2.79a | 162.21a | 8.61b | 3492.6b |
| d5 | 26.73a | 2.46b | 178.44a | 9.88a | 3244.9b |
| d6 | 26.73a | 2.46b | 182.44a | 10.55a | 3244.9b |

There are other similar letters in each column, with Duncan’s test is significant at 1% level.
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Table 4: Comparison Dry weight panicle in study rice varieties

| Planting date | Variety | Dry weight panicle (g) |
|---------------|---------|------------------------|
| V1            | 1.61a   |                        |
| V2            | 1.60ab  |                        |
| V3            | 1.34b   |                        |
| V4            | 1.63a   |                        |
| V5            | 1.66ab  |                        |
| V6            | 1.28b   |                        |
| V7            | 1.46b   |                        |
| V8            | 1.40ab  |                        |
| V9            | 1.24b   |                        |

There are other similar letters in each column, with Duncan’s test is significant at 1% level.

Table 5: Correlation coefficients between grain yield and Agronomy characteristics

| Variate          | Panicle length | Dry weight panicle | Flower in the panicle | Branches in the panicle | Grain yield |
|------------------|----------------|--------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-------------|
| Panicle length   | 1              | 0.316              | 0.354                 | 0.286                   | 0.079       |
| Dry weight panicle| 0.316          | 1                  | 0.435                 | 0.300                   | 0.188       |
| Flower in the panicle |              | 0.354             | 1                     | 0.286                   | 0.079       |
| Branches in the panicle |            | 0.435             | 1                     | 0.300                   | 0.188       |
| Grain yield      | 0.079          | 0.188              | 0.286                 | 0.300                   | 1           |

* and ** order is significant at the 5 and 1%.

Grain yield: Grain yield significantly affected by planting date and varieties were significant differences in the level of error of one percent (Table 2) but the interaction of two factors, planting date and cultivar difference was not statistically significant and the lack heat of the figures and also increase the growth period of the first planting date to the third and increase the amount of carbohydrates and minerals is transferred to the grain. Between the highest figures in the performance of Champa with the 3795.4 kg/ha, respectively, which can be influenced by genotype characteristics, environmental factors and the outcome and their positive integration in the last figure is the ultimate in superior production capacity of the reservoir and the accumulation of active higher dry (grain 2012) based on increased performance is consistent with increasing period. Based storage x seed number in this figure compared to other varieties (Table 3).

The results are reported (Fox et al., 2004; Board et al., 2001; Farrell et al., 2004; Lewin et al., 2004; Naidu et al., 2004) based on temperature and (Limochion the table of correlation coefficients (Table 4), the performance of seed had the maximum positive and significant correlation (0.759**) with panicle weight, and because of this property’s direct effect, it can be important in the modifying process in order to gain the maximum seed performance. This is confirmed by Limochi (2012) stating the direct effect of panicle weight on the seed performance (Table 5).

![Fig. 1: variation in rice varieties’ panicle length, dry weight, trend of floret number, trend of branch number](image-url)
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