Chewing gums has stimulatory effects on bowel function in patients undergoing cesarean section: A randomized controlled trial
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ABSTRACT

The aim of study was to investigate the effect of postoperative gum chewing on the recovery of bowel function after cesarean section. Total 100 women delivered by lower uterine segment section cesarean under local anesthesia (spinal). Eligible patients were randomly allocated into two groups: a gum-chewing group (n= 50) or a control group (n = 50). The gum-chewing group participants who received one stick of sugar-less gum for one hours, three times daily immediately after recovery from anesthesia and the control group had the usual postoperative care until being discharged. All women were followed up regularly until discharge from hospital, and recorded the times to the first bowel sounds of normal intestinal sounds, the time to the first passage of flatus, the time to the first feeling of hunger, and the time to the first defecation. The operative data, postoperative tolerance of gum chewing, and postoperative complications were documented. There was no statistically significant difference between the two groups in terms of demographic characteristics such as age, body mass index, parity, duration of surgery, number of miscarriages and curettages, time to the first feeding, the amount of serum intake, and type of cesarean section. The mean average postoperative interval of the first bowel sounds (54.3 versus 59.4 hours, p= 0.049), the first feeling of hunger (44.2 versus 47.8 hours, p= 0.038), the first passage of flatus (57.8 versus 63.3 hours, P= 0.035), the first defecation (30.6 versus 38.4 hours, P= 0.0001) was significantly shorter compared to the control group.
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INTRODUCTION

Cesarean section is the most common surgery among women which is associated with central nervous system (CNS) changes in postoperative, leading to decreased bowel movements and driven problems among women (1). Postoperative ileus is defined as transient cessation of coordinated bowel motility after surgical intervention (2), and is one of the major problems of post-abdominal surgery along with delays hospital discharge, abdominal pain, abdominal distension, inability to start oral feeding and, breastfeeding, and eventually increases the cost of hospital care (3). The pathogenesis of postoperative ileus is multifactorial, but it is more common in cases of preoperative narcotic and drug interaction and abdominal surgery procedures, especially intraoperative bowel manipulation, and temporarily contributes to stop peristaltic (bowel movement); the related mechanism is probably dysfunction in parasympathetic system activity (inhibitory neurons) (4). Historically, professionals of gynecology and obstetrics waited until gut function returns allowing oral or entered feeding, characterized by symptoms such as bowel sounds, first flatus or stool, and feeling of hunger (5). When the first passage flatus or stool is noted it is not an initial return of bowel function. Studies have demonstrated that early postoperative feeding can be safe prior to the return of flatus or stool (6). However, some investigators reported that early feeding was associated with a high rate of intolerance and such as delayed feeding might even lead to increased cell breakdown, delayed wound healing, elevated risk of infection and the need for more intravenous feeding, and eventually additional costs on healthcare system as well as the family (7, 8). Chewing gum can bring on a feel faint because it stimulate the stomach, enhances gastric secretion, increases peristaltic bowel movements and finally hastens recovery from ileus (9-12). It has also been recently considered by researchers as a strategy toward ileus reduction. In some
studies, beneficial effect of chewing gum has been approved in the resumption of bowel function (13-15), but in some others such as Quah et al., (16) contradictory findings have been achieved for the effects of gum chewing on peristaltic movements and digestive system stimulation. It seems that a necessity is felt for more investigation on such a least-expensive physiological method in stimulating the return of bowel function. Therefore, the aim of the present study was to evaluate the effect of chewing gum on the recovery of bowel function after cesarean section in women.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was approved by Babol University of Medical Sciences for ethics in medical research. A single blind randomized controlled clinical trial was conducted on 100 women candidates for cesarean section with local anesthesia (spinal) in gynecology ward of Hospital during June 2010 to March 2011. The nature of the study did not allow blinding after assignment of the intervention postoperatively. Written informed consent was obtained from 110 enrolled women. All enrolled women were allocated using a computer-generated random sequence from a statistics program. All cesarean section were carried out by a Gynecologic Surgeon (an author) in the morning. A transverse incision on the uterus and a Pfannenstiel incision on the abdomen were performed. Demographic information on all variables included: patient’s age, body mass index, number of pregnancies, miscarriage and uterine curettages. Exclusion criteria were: women with history of drug consumption, especially opioids, water and electrolyte disturbances, pancreatitis or peritonitis, history of abdominal surgery except cesarean section, no willingness to cooperate, intra- and sever postoperative complications, inability to chew gum, withdrawal, diabetes, pre-eclampsia, prolonged rupture of membranes, hypothyroidism, and muscular and neurological disorders. The operative data were recorded, including the presence of severe adhesions, the occurrence of intraoperative complications, estimated blood loss and duration of surgery. Data-collection instruments included the interview form, questionnaires, and subjects’ examination. For each of the study participants, a questionnaire, designed based on characteristics and the research objective. The women in the chewing gum group chewed sugar-free gum for at least one hour, three times daily from six hours after surgery (after recovery from anesthesia) until being discharged. Commercially available sugar-free gum (Wrigley Company, Poland) was used in this study. The oral intake of clear fluids and soft foods was initiated on postoperative day. Only after documentation of bowel function, which they was determined with the presence of any two of the following three criteria: (1) bowel sounds; (2) flatus; and (3) feeling of hunger. In order to reduce the effects of other variables, the postoperative feeding regime was standardized for the all women. Around 48 hours following operation, the women were discharged when they had stable vital signs with no febrile morbidity for at least 24 hours, ability to ambulate and urinate independently, defecation, ability to tolerate solid food and absence of sever other post surgery complications. For post-operative analgesia, 100 mg rectal sodium suppository diclofenac was routinely given three times daily. All women were followed up regularly until discharge from hospital, and recorded the times to the first bowel sounds of normal intestinal sounds, the time to the first passage of flatus, the time to the first feeling of hunger, and the time to the first defecation. Also, postoperative tolerance of gum chewing and postoperative complications was documented. However, any side effects, and unresolved postoperative complication presented by the women during the postoperative period were to be recorded. Before intervention, 10 enrolled women were excluded due to considered by surgeon to be inappropriate for this study. All analyses were performed with SPSS (version 16.0). The data were analyzed by t test and chi square. A p value of 0.05 or less was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

There was no statistically significant difference between the two groups in terms of demographic characteristics such as age, body mass index, parity, duration of surgery, number of miscarriages and curettages, time to the first feeding, the amount of serum intake, and type of cesarean section (Table 1). In gum-chewing group, the first bowel sounds was significantly shorter compared to the control group (p<0.016). The first defecation was 30.7 hours in the gum group and 38.4 hours in the control group (p=0.000). The first passage of flatus was seen on postoperative hours 24.8

| Variables                     | Gum-chewing (Mean ± SD) | Control (Mean ± SD) | P-value |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|---------|
| Age (year)                    | 27.9 ± 6.4              | 28.5 ± 6.2          | 0.493   |
| Body mass index               | 30.7 ± 4.8              | 31.7 ± 4.1          | 0.246   |
| Duration of surgery (min)     | 32.6 ± 7.7              | 30.8 ± 4.9          | 0.176   |
| Number of pregnancies         | 1.7 ± 1.0               | 2.1 ± 1.2           | 0.164   |
| Number of miscarriage         | 0.3 ± 0.5               | 0.4 ± 0.8           | 0.670   |
| Number of curettages          | 0.1 ± 0.2               | 0.2 ± 0.7           | 0.291   |
| Feeding time (hours)          | 22.1 ± 1.7              | 22.7 ± 3.4          | 0.248   |
| The amount of fluid intake (liter) | 2.9 ± 0.3               | 2.9 ± 0.5           | 0.312   |
| Type of C-section             | N (%)                   | N (%)               | -       |
| Emergency                     | 8 (16)                  | 6 (42.9)            | 0.375   |
Th is study is not in agreement with the present research, and the women were well tolerating the gum and no feeling of hunger was observed in both groups (n=100). None of the participants felt dissatisfied with chewing gum and none were excluded from the study (Table 2).

**DISCUSSION**

The women were well tolerating the gum and no feeling of dissatisfaction, and none were therefore excluded from the study. The study findings has shown reduced time to the first bowel movement (6.0 ± 4.7 hours) and was statistically significant. However, in a research on 38 patients after left colon cancer surgery in England in 2006, no statistical difference was observed in the time to the first defecation between the gum (3.2±1.5 hours) and the control (3.9±1.5 hours) group (16), about which small sample size and type of surgery may be the reasons for such a difference. The mean passage of flatus was the other variable evaluated on intestinal function, happening, on average, 5 hours earlier in the gum than the control group; this finding is in consistence with Kouba investigation on 102 patients undergoing bladder radical surgery in 2007 in America, in which the time to the first passage of flatus was respectively 2.4 and 2.9 days in the gum and the control group, showing acceleration of gas passage following chewing gum after bladder surgery (20). In Ngowe study in 2010 on 46 patients with open appendectomy, the mean time of gas passage was 2.2 and 3.0 days in the gum and the control group (21). In Choi survey on 60 patients in 2011, the mean time to the passage of flatus was 60 h and 48 hours in gum-chewing and the control group and statistically significant (22); whilst, Quah reported no remarkable difference between the two groups in terms of gas passage. There is not yet an independent investigation on the exact chewing gum mechanism of action. However, some theories discuss gum as a form of sham feeding that chewing resulting in propulsive gastrointestinal activity through cephalic-vagal stimulation (23, 24). It is suggested that cephalic-vagal mechanism being less effective in women undergoing cesarean section under local anesthesia (25). In addition, sugar free chewing gum that contains the artificial sweetener sorbitol and other hexitols might be having side effects such as bloating, gas, and abdominal cramps. However, there is no reported about the possible effects of the ingredients of these gums (26). Moreover, in this study was found respectively (10); such a discrepancy could be owing to the small sample size in Schuster study. In the present research, the mean time to the first defecation displayed significant difference between the two groups as it happened 8 hours earlier in the gum than the control group, similar to the results obtained by Maeboud, Ghafoori, Hirayama, Hocevar and Abdollahi (1, 2, 14, 18, 19).

### TABLE 2. Resumption of bowel function following the operation in both groups (n=100)

| Variables                      | Gum-chewing (Mean ± SD) | Control (Mean ± SD) | P-value |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|---------|
| The first bowel sounds (hours) | 21.9 ± 7.8              | 26.1 ± 9.5          | 0.016   |
| The first passage of flatus (hours) | 24.8 ± 6.4              | 30.0 ± 9.7          | 0.002   |
| The first feeling of hunger (hours) | 11.8 ± 6.1              | 14.5 ± 7.7          | 0.050   |
| The first defecation (hours)    | 30.7 ± 5.9              | 38.4 ± 8.9          | 0.0001  |
sugar free chewing gum safe and tolerated by all patients. Therefore, it is suggested that the content of maxitols in sugar-free chewing gums may play a role in the amelioration of ileus after surgery and future studies are needed to investigate probable mechanisms involved in the observed phenomena.

CONCLUSION

The results of the present study indicate that chewing is acceptable and inexpensive physiologic method for decreasing the time to the passage of flatus, bowel movements, and feeling of hunger in patients undergoing cesarean section. It can be added to post-caesarean care without any concern on early post-operation feeding as a low-cost, safe and tolerable treatment in early intestinal stimulation to reduce ileus associated complications.
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