In vivo effects of mid-myocardial pacing on transmural dispersion of repolarization and conduction in canines
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Abstract

Objective: In our previous in vitro study mid-myocardial relative to epicardial pacing decreased transmural dispersion of depolarization (TDR) and prevented ventricular arrhythmia. We therefore hypothesized that in vivo mid-myocardial pacing in canines has a similar effect.

Methods and results: Using custom-made electrodes, monophasic action potentials were simultaneously recorded in vivo from left ventricular epicardial (Epi), mid-myocardial (Mid) and endocardial (Endo) layers of canines (n = 12). TDR was significantly increased at Epi (44.6 ± 6.4 ms; 14.2 ± 5.1 ms; and 13.8 ± 5.4 ms for Epi, Mid and Endo pacing, respectively; P < 0.001), but was similar at Endo and Mid pacing (P = 0.855). This result was reproducible after ibutilide administration (n = 12). TDR was augmented at each layer pacing and significantly increased at Epi (78.1 ± 15.9 ms; 46.8 ± 16.0 ms; and 46.5 ± 15.2 ms for Epi, Mid, and Endo pacing, respectively; P < 0.001), but was similar at Endo and Mid pacing (P = 0.965). TDR at 3 cm from left ventricular apex pacing site was similar between Mid and Endo pacing, and still significantly increased at Epi pacing. At 3 cm distance, the first activation myocardium was still the epicardium at Epi, while sequence transformed from mid-myocardium to endocardium at Mid pacing.

Conclusion: Mid as compared to Epi pacing significantly decreases TDR and remains this advantage on the distant myocardium away from the pacing site.

© 2015 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ireland Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

In symptomatic chronic heart failure (HF) patients on optimal medical treatment, severely depressed left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF ≤ 35%) and complete left bundle branch block (LBBB), cardiac resynchronization therapy (CRT) reduces mortality and hospitalization rates, and improves cardiac function and structure [1]. However, CRT also is proarrhythmic secondary to epicardial pacing induced QT interval prolongation and increased transmural dispersion of repolarization (TDR) [2–4]. Because our previous study [5] using the canine left ventricular wedge preparation in vitro model showed that mid-myocardial relative to epicardial pacing significantly decreased TDR and prevented ventricular arrhythmias, we hypothesized that pacing from the mid-myocardium in vivo in dogs also decreases TDR and arrhythmogenesis.

2. Methods

2.1. Animal preparation

Twelve adult mongrel canines, including both male and female, weighing 15–20 kg were anesthetized with sodium pentobarbital (30 mg/kg, intravenously). Additional smaller doses were given as needed to maintain deep anesthesia. The chest was opened via a left-thoracotomy, the pericardium was incised and the anterior wall of the left ventricle was exposed. A pacing lead was sewed at the right ventricle to prevent sinus pause or bradycardia.

2.2. Electrophysiology recording and different site pacing

To obtain a slower controlled pacing heart rate, sinus rate was arrested by injection of 1.0–3.0 ml of formaldehyde (100 ml/l) into the region between the right atrial appendage and superior vena cava [6]. Right ventricular pacing was delivered at a cycle length (CL) of 1000 ms. Using the methods of Huang et al. [7], custom-made electrodes were used to record monophasic action potential (MAP) of the epicardium (Epi), mid-myocardium (Mid), and the endocardium (Endo). Because the thickness of the free wall of the canine left ventricle....
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is approximately 8 mm, the distance between the tips of the 3 electrodes was 4 mm: the distal, middle and proximal electrodes were designated Endo, Mid, and Epi, respectively (Fig. 1). Another custom-made electrode to pace the three layers was inserted at the left ventricular apex (LVa). The MAP electrodes penetrated the free wall of the canine left ventricle and the reference electrode was placed on the thorax. Leads I, II, III, aVR, aVL, and aVF were attached to record surface electrocardiogram (sECG). All signals were recorded with a polygraph and were filtered to record frequencies between 0.05 Hz and 30 Hz for the MAP and between 30 Hz and 600 Hz for the sECG. The 90% of MAP duration (MAPD90) of Endo (MAPD90Endo), Mid (MAPD90Mid) and Epi (MAPD90Epi), and the TDR at baseline and at pacing the three layers were recorded. TDR was defined as the difference between longest and shortest repolarization time (RT), where RT = activation time + APD.

2.3. Programmed electrical stimulation and ventricular arrhythmias

Arrhythmias were induced by programmed electrical stimulation. The basic stimulus (S1) was delivered to the Endo, Mid, or Epi. After every fifth S1 (S1S1 = 1000 ms), a premature stimulus (S2) was delivered. The S1–S2 coupling interval was progressively reduced until it reached the effective refractory period or induced ventricular arrhythmias (S2 stimuli were 2–3 ms duration with intensity two-fold over baseline pacing threshold). The rates of induced ventricular arrhythmias at baseline and after ibutilide administration were recorded.

2.4. Ventricular conduction in different layers pacing

To observe the activation sequence of the three layers at a certain distance from the pacing site, two custom-made electrodes to record MAP were penetrated along a straight line at 1 cm and 3 cm from the pacing site at LVa. When pacing in the Endo, Mid, or Epi at the LVa, the activation sequence of the three layers and the TDR at 1- and 3-cm distance was acquired synchronously before and after ibutilide administration.

2.5. Statistical analysis

Continuous variables are expressed as mean ± standard deviation and discrete variables as percentages. One-way variance analysis was used to evaluate difference in electrophysiological parameters among Endo, Mid, and Epi pacing. Student–Newman–Keuls (SNK) was used as post hoc test in subsequent multiple comparative analyses. The paired t-test was used to compare electrophysiological parameters between basic and ibutilide groups. A P value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1. Effect of endocardial, mid-myocardial, and epicardial pacing on transmural dispersion of repolarization

MAPD90, TMid–Epi and TDR results are shown in Tables 1–3. At Endo pacing, the activation sequence was endocardium, mid-myocardium and epicardium; and longest and shortest MAPD90 were in the mid-myocardium (252.4 ± 29.5 ms) and epicardium (229.9 ± 26.0 ms), with conduction time between Mid and the Epi (TMid–Epi) of 8.6 ± 1.1 ms corresponding to the TDR of 13.8 ± 5.4 ms. At Mid pacing, the activation sequence was mid-myocardium, endocardium and epicardium; and longest and shortest MAPD90 were in the mid-myocardium (252.7 ± 29.5 ms) and epicardium (229.4 ± 26.4 ms), with TMid–Epi of 9.0 ± 0.9 ms corresponding to the TDR of 14.2 ± 5.1 ms. At Epi pacing, the activation sequence was epicardium, mid-myocardium and endocardium; and longest and shortest MAPD90 were in the mid-myocardium (252.9 ± 29.2 ms) and epicardium (230.1 ± 26.5 ms), with TMid–Epi of 21.8 ± 2.1 ms corresponding to the TDR of 44.6 ± 6.4 ms (Fig. 2).

There was no significant difference among MAPD90 at Endo, Mid and Epi pacing (P > 0.05). However, TMid–Epi significantly increased when pacing site shifted from Mid to Epi (P < 0.05), but not from Endo to Mid. As a result, TDR at Epi pacing was significantly longer than those of pacing at Endo and Mid (P < 0.05).

3.2. Effect of endocardial, mid-myocardial, and epicardial pacing on transmural dispersion of repolarization after ibutilide administration

During ibutilide administration, activation and repolarization sequences were the same as those at baseline. Similarly, pacing at Epi induced significantly longer TMid–Epi and TDR (P < 0.05). Moreover, MAPD90 of the three layers at Endo, Mid and Epi pacing and TDR were significantly increased compared with those at baseline. In the presence of ibutilide, a shift from Endo or Mid to Epi pacing led to further TDR prolongation (Table 3, Fig. 3).

3.3. Pacing site-dependent ventricular arrhythmia

At baseline conditions, although TDR increased from 13/14 ms to 44 ms when pacing sites shifted from Endo/Mid to Epi, no ventricular extrasystoles or tachycardia were induced by programmed electrical stimulation during pacing at the three layers. After ibutilide augmented the effect of Epi pacing to increase TDR, one or two ventricular extrasystoles were observed followed by an S2 interval from 300 ms to 210 ms in 9/12 (75%) canines at Epi pacing, and in 2/12 (16%) canines at Endo/Mid pacing. No ventricular tachycardias were induced at any coupling interval tested under any site pacing.

3.4. Remote transmural activation sequence and dispersion of repolarization at pacing different layers

Mid and Endo pacing had similar TDR, which is advantageous over Epi pacing with prolonged TDR. We determined if this advantage of Mid pacing applies to remote myocardial transmural activation sequence and dispersion of repolarization. At 1 and 3 cm from the LVa, TDR was similar between Mid and Endo pacing, and still significantly prolonged than that of Epi pacing (Table 4). It is interesting that the transmural activation sequence differed at 1 and 3 cm from the pacing site. When pacing at Endo or Epi, transmural activation sequence was...
Table 1
Effect of endocardial, mid-myocardial, and epicardial pacing on monophasic action potential duration measured at 90% repolarization before and after ibutilide administration.

|                  | Before ibutilide (n = 12) | After ibutilide (n = 12) |
|------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|
|                  | Epi MAPD90 (ms) | Mid MAPD90 (ms) | Endo MAPD90 (ms) | Epi MAPD90 (ms) | Mid MAPD90 (ms) | Endo MAPD90 (ms) |
| Endo pacing      | 229.9 ± 26.0          | 252.4 ± 29.5          | 241.8 ± 28.5          | 249.3 ± 34.5          | 304.6 ± 34.8          | 264.8 ± 35.4          |
| Mid pacing       | 229.4 ± 26.4          | 252.7 ± 29.5          | 241.5 ± 28.1          | 249.3 ± 35.1          | 304.6 ± 35.6          | 264.7 ± 36.1          |
| Epi pacing       | 236.1 ± 28.5          | 252.9 ± 29.2          | 241.5 ± 28.4          | 249.3 ± 34.0          | 304.2 ± 35.0          | 264.9 ± 36.0          |
| P value          | 0.998                  | 0.999                  | 0.777                  | 0.999                  | 0.853                  | 0.997                  |

Endo indicates endocardial; Mid, mid-myocardial; Epi, epicardial; and MAPD90, monophasic action potential duration measured at 90% repolarization.

* P < 0.05 (vs. after ibutilide).

Table 2
Effect of endocardial pacing vs. mid-myocardial pacing vs. epicardial pacing on TAPD before and after ibutilide administration (n = 12).

|                  | Endo pacing | Mid pacing | Epi pacing | P value |
|------------------|-------------|------------|------------|---------|
|                  | Before ibutilide | After ibutilide | ANOVA | Endo vs. Mid | Mid vs. Epi | Epi vs. Endo |
|                  | 8.6 ± 1.1 | 9.0 ± 0.9 | 21.8 ± 2.1 | <0.001 | 0.552 | <0.001 | <0.001 |
|                  | 8.8 ± 0.8 | 8.4 ± 1.1 | 23.2 ± 2.2 | <0.001 | 0.561 | <0.001 | <0.001 |

Endo indicates endocardial; Mid, mid-myocardial; Epi, epicardial; and ANOVA, analysis of variance.

* P < 0.05 (vs. after ibutilide).

Table 3
Transmural dispersion of repolarization of endocardial, mid-myocardial, and epicardial pacing before and after ibutilide administration (n = 12).

|                  | Endo pacing | Mid pacing | Epi pacing | P value |
|------------------|-------------|------------|------------|---------|
|                  | Before ibutilide | After ibutilide | ANOVA | Endo vs. Mid | Mid vs. Epi | Epi vs. Endo |
|                  | 13.8 ± 5.4 | 14.2 ± 5.1 | 44.6 ± 6.4 | <0.001 | 0.855 | <0.001 | <0.001 |
|                  | 46.5 ± 15.2 | 46.8 ± 16.0 | 78.1 ± 15.9 | <0.001 | 0.965 | <0.001 | <0.001 |

Endo indicates endocardial; Mid, mid-myocardial; Epi, epicardial; and ANOVA, analysis of variance.

* P < 0.05 (vs. after ibutilide).

4. Discussion

In our previous study [5] on in vitro effects on TDR of pacing at the three layers, Epi pacing altered transmural activation sequence of the intrinsically heterogeneous ventricular myocardium and augmented TDR favoring arrhythmia development, while Mid pacing did not change transmural activation sequence from Mid to Epi and consequently maintained roughly the same TDR as Endo pacing. Therefore, Mid as compared to Epi pacing effectively reduced TDR and reentry-related arrhythmia. However, in vitro and in vivo conditions differ, the Mid as compared to Epi pacing effectively reduced TDR and reentry-change transmural activation sequence from Mid to Epi and consequently pathological myocardium and Epi pacing could easily augment TDR to reach the critical proarrhythmic point placing the patient at greater risk for malignant ventricular arrhythmia. Therefore, in these patients, caution should be exercised with Epi pacing or CRT implantation, and Mid pacing might allow to reduce the risk of malignant ventricular arrhythmia.

Although the present study did not include a three-dimensional assessment of ventricular transmural activation sequence under pacing of the three layers, the myocardium at linear distances from the pacing site was used to gain insight into transmural activation sequence of remote areas. At 3 cm distance under Epi pacing, the epicardium was activated after the endocardium, the outward current of the mid-myocardium is significant weaker than that in the other layers [11] thereby increasing mid-myocardial relative to endocardial and epicardial MAPD90 and prolonging TDR in the presence of ibutilide. In this context, the effect of increasing TDR by Epi pacing can be more obvious. Moreover, studies have shown that TDR is augmented in pathological conditions, such as ischemic and dilated cardiomyopathy [12,13]. In addition, previous studies have clearly shown the effect of sympathetic stimulation on TDR and after depolarization. When these conditions are concurrent in one patient, the superimposition effect of increasing TDR by drugs, pathological myocardium and Epi pacing could easily augment TDR to reach the critical proarrhythmic point placing the patient at greater risk for malignant ventricular arrhythmia.
first, and first activation layer transforms from mid-myocardium to endocardium and not epicardium, which could be explained by the faster electrical conduction speed of Purkinje's fibers under the endocardium than under the mid-myocardium. The latter difference in conduction speed was not present at 1 cm distance, however, at 3 cm distance, endocardial activation is apparently earlier than that of mid-myocardium. Electrical conduction and transmural activation sequence under Mid pacing therefore might have two potential advantages: 1. Avoidance of disadvantages of Epi pacing that might include non-physiological transmural activation sequence and TDR increase in the entire ventricle; and, 2. The activation sequence transformation from mid-myocardium to endocardium contributes to ventricular activation synchronization through rapid conduction and physiological transmural activation sequence.

Undoubtedly, Endo pacing is much closer to physiological pacing. However, Endo pacing in LV may be associated with intractable complications such as mitral regurgitation, thrombosis, and pericardial tamponade, among others [5]. Mid pacing appears promising as an

![Fig. 2. Effect of endocardial, mid-myocardial, and epicardial pacing on transmural activation sequence and ventricular repolarization before ibutilide.](image)

![Fig. 3. Effect of endocardial, mid-myocardial, and epicardial pacing on transmural activation sequence and ventricular repolarization after ibutilide.](image)
alternative method by avoiding adverse effects of Epi pacing including non-physiological transmural activation sequence and TDR increase, and by improving intraventricular conduction through rapid conduction and physiological transmural activation sequence originating from activation sequence transformation from mid-myocardium to endocardium at a certain distance. Although there are no Mid electrode products and matched applications available at present, the results of the experiments in vitro and vivo provide a basis for the development of said products and applications.

5. Study limitations

First, MAP recorded by custom-made electrodes reflects the potential of a group cells, not a single cell. Second, the present study did not include a three-dimensional assessment of ventricular transmural activation sequence under pacing of the three layers. Third, the whole study was conducted in the state of pentobarbital anesthesia state, trauma, arrest of sinus rate, and other factors, which could influence the results.

6. Conclusion

In the present study, Mid pacing retained its advantages in vivo and found that: 1. Mid pacing remains a normal sequence of ventricular repolarization; 2. Under Mid pacing, TDR remains at about the same level compared with normal physiological state, rendering Mid pacing more advantageous than Epi pacing; and 3. The advantages of sequence of ventricular repolarization and TDR remains on the distant myocardium away from the pacing site.
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