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Summary

Four fibrous feedstuffs from alfalfa meal (AFM), cassava leaf meal (CLM), rubber seed meal (RSM), and leucaena meal (LM) were included in semi-purified diets for growing pig (45 kg body wt.) at 20%, to investigate the effects of these fiber sources and fractions on amino acid digestibility. Cellulose (C), a purified fiber source was included in another diet at 5% level for comparison. The barrows fitted with ileal T-cannula were used in the digestion trials with Latin Square design. The digestibilities of amino acids were measured at both terminal ileum and fecal level. NDF and hemicellulose content were the highest in AFM-diet whereas LM-diet had the highest ADF and lignin content. RSM-diet contained the highest crude fiber and cellulose content. The digestibilities of amino acids at ileal level were found the highest with CLM-diet, while LM-diet was the least. At fecal levels, control diet and CLM-diet were the highest in amino acid digestibility while AFM-diet was the least. The digestibility of amino acids was higher at ileal than fecal level. The digestibility of arginine was not affected with fiber fractions but was found to be the most digestible across all diets. The most depressed amino acid was methionine at both levels, proline and glycine, in the dispensable amino acid group, were depressed at ileal and fecal level, respectively. Lignin did not depressed amino acid digestibility in general but specifically depressed methionine, histidine, isoleucine and threonine digestibility. Cellulose content did not affect amino acid digestibility but undesirable factors might be responsible.
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Introduction

The chemical composition in fibrous feedstuffs are varied among the sources and species and these constituents are reported to affect the utilization of nutrients, including protein. Digestibility of amino acid using fecal collection is not difficult in technique but there are fundamental objections to this approach. Fecal amino acids are a mixture of undigested residues, endogenous secretions and bacteria (Mason and Just, 1976). Apparent digestibility values for amino acids measured in feces may be similar in many cases to the result of ileal analysis, but error could occur with feeds with low or moderate digestibility (Low, 1982). Different fiber sources depress digestibility to varying degree depending on their fiber fractions (Fernandez and Jorgensen, 1986), rate of passage (Low, 1985) and their physical characteristics. Crude fiber represents only part of real fiber intake of animal (Van Soest and McQueen, 1972) whereas content of cellulose, hemicellulose, lignin are also the components of dietary fiber. The present work was undertaken to determine the apparent digestibility of individual amino acid in fibrous feedstuffs as affected by fibrous constituents.

Materials and Methods

Diet

Fiber sources used in this study were alfalfa meal (AFM); cassava leaf meal (CLM), collected from 2-3 sundried mature leaves of Manihot esculenta; rubber seed meal (RSM); a decorticated kernel of rubber tree fruit (Hevea brasiliensis); leucaena meal (RSM); a decorticated kernel of rubber tree fruit (Hevea brasiliensis); leucaena meal
(LM), dried leaves of browse leguminosae (Leucaena leucocephala) and cellulose. All meals were ground through 1 mm screen by Wiley mill and then included in semi-purified diet at 20% level. Cellulose was included in one of the diet at 5% level for comparison. Isolated soy protein was added at 15% level as main protein source, corn starch and oil were included as major energy source in the diet to meet NRC (1988) requirements. Glucose was added at 20% to improve palatability. Other dietary ingredients and chronic oxide were added as shown in table 1.

### Table 1. Formula and Chemical Composition of the Diets Used in the Experiment

| Components (%) | C diet | AFM diet | CLM diet | RSM diet | LM diet |
|----------------|--------|----------|----------|----------|---------|
| Isolated soy protein | 15     | 15       | 15       | 15       | 15      |
| Cellulose      | 5      | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0       |
| Alfalfa meal   | 0      | 20       | 0        | 0        | 0       |
| Cassava leaf meal | 0     | 0        | 20       | 0        | 0       |
| Rubber seed meal | 0    | 0        | 0        | 20       | 0       |
| Leucaena leaf meal | 0    | 0        | 0        | 0        | 20      |
| Corn oil       | 3      | 3        | 3        | 3        | 3       |
| Corn starch    | 53     | 38       | 38       | 38       | 38      |
| Glucose        | 20     | 20       | 20       | 20       | 20      |
| Chromic oxide  | 0.5    | 0.5      | 0.5      | 0.5      | 0.5     |
| Vitamin premix² | 0.25  | 0.25     | 0.25     | 0.25     | 0.25    |
| Salt           | 0.25   | 0.25     | 0.25     | 0.25     | 0.25    |
| Ca-carbonate   | 0      | 0.5      | 0.5      | 1.0      | 1.0     |
| Monosodium-PO₄ | 0.5   | 2.0      | 2.0      | 1.5      | 1.5     |
| Dicalcium-PO₄  | 2.5    | 0.5      | 0.5      | 0.5      | 0.5     |
| Total          | 100.0  | 100.0    | 100.0    | 100.0    | 100.0   |

#### Chemical analysis

|          | C diet | AFM diet | CLM diet | RSM diet | LM diet |
|----------|--------|----------|----------|----------|---------|
| Crude protein | 12.56  | 16.27    | 17.11    | 18.94    | 15.36   |
| Ether extract | 4.36   | 6.04     | 5.81     | 5.48     | 4.33    |
| Crude fiber  | 4.6    | 4.71     | 4.20     | 4.70     | 4.55    |
| Crude ash    | 4.51   | 6.61     | 7.47     | 5.22     | 7.24    |
| NFE          | 73.97  | 66.37    | 65.41    | 65.66    | 68.52   |
| Calcium      | 1.08   | 1.40     | 1.18     | 1.21     | 1.44    |
| Phosphorus   | 0.23   | 0.54     | 0.50     | 0.44     | 0.58    |
| DE (kcal/kg)³ | 3153  | 3204     | 3146     | 3206     | 3204    |

1. Abbreviated: C, control; AFM, Alfalfa meal; CLM, cassava leaf meal; REM, Rubber seed meal; LM, leucaena meal.
2. Contributed the following nutrients per kg of diet: Zn, 100 mg; Cu, 10 mg; Mn, 20 mg; Fe, 150 mg; Se, 1 mg; Vitamin A, 4,000,000 IU; Vitamin D₃, 800,000 IU; Vitamin E, 6,000 IU; Vitamin K₃, 1,400 mg; thiamin, 800 mg; riboflavin, 2,000 mg; pyridoxine, 800 mg; cobalamin, 6,900 mg; Ca-pantothenate, 6,000 mg; niacin, 16,000 mg; folacin, 400 mg and ethoxyquin, 500 mg.
3. Calculated value.

### Design

Barrows with an average body wt. of 45 kg and fitted with ileal T-cannula were used in the digestion trial of 6 × 6 latin square design. They were raised in individual cages with slatted floor. Each trial period was 7 d long and separated by 4 d adjusted period. Each day's feed supply was divided into two equal meals of 800 g at 7:00 and 19:00 and was mixed with 1.5 l of water to form a gruel. All pigs were provided with fresh water at all time. Ileal samples were collected by double-layer plastic bag fastened with
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rubber band to cannula. The collection carried out for 12 hr on two days, started from 9:00 on d6 and from 7:00 on d7 by 2 hr interval which was similar to procedure used by Jorgensen et al. (1984). After each 2 hr of collection, the bags were removed and frozen. Fecal collection was made from 7:00 of d3 to 19:00 of d4 of each period. The collections for individual animal in each period were pooled, dried in an air-forced oven and ground through 1 mm screen.

Chemical analyses
The fiber sources, diets and collected samples were analyzed for proximate compositions by standard method (AOAC, 1984). The fiber fractions, including neutral detergent fiber (NDF), acid detergent fiber (ADF), cellulose and lignin were analyzed by Goering and Van Soest (1970) procedure. Chronic oxide in all samples was analyzed for the concentration by the method of Fenton and Fenton (1979). For amino acid analysis, the samples of 30-50 mg were hydrolyzed at 110°C for 16 hr with 3 ml 6 N HCl and determined using amino acid analyzer (LKB model 4150 alpha).

Statistical analyses
The digestibility of amino acids was calculated according to Austeng (1978). The data were subjected to analysis of variance and the treatment means compared by Duncan’s New Multiple Range Test using the Proc Anova of SAS (1985) procedure.

TABLE 2. PROXIMATE AND AMINO ACID COMPOSITION OF FIBER SOURCE USED IN EXPERIMENT

| Component (%) | AFM | CLM | RSM | I.M |
|---------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Crude protein | 23.55 | 25.20 | 28.20 | 15.80 |
| Crude fiber   | 20.20 | 19.62 | 29.90 | 24.70 |
| NDF           | 36.00 | 39.20 | 48.03 | 49.67 |
| ADF           | 29.00 | 35.16 | 26.63 | 43.01 |
| Hemicellulose  | 7.00  | 4.04  | 21.40 | 6.60  |
| Cellulose     | 21.00 | 12.12 | 19.88 | 21.54 |
| Lignin        | 7.00  | 7.97  | 5.47  | 8.78  |
| Indispensable amino acids | | | | |
| Arginine      | 0.32  | 3.47  | 1.04  | 0.54  |
| Histidine     | 0.50  | 0.98  | 1.14  | 0.45  |
| Isoleucine    | 0.34  | 0.54  | 0.58  | 0.88  |
| Leucine       | 0.76  | 1.32  | 1.23  | 0.80  |
| Lysine        | 0.50  | 0.84  | 0.61  | 0.60  |
| Methionine    | 0.16  | 0.32  | 0.44  | 0.16  |
| Phenylalanine | 0.51  | 0.79  | 1.10  | 0.52  |
| Threonine     | 0.49  | 0.83  | 0.74  | 0.49  |
| Valine        | 0.45  | 0.61  | 0.94  | 0.39  |
| Dispensable amino acids | | | | |
| Alanine       | 0.38  | 1.06  | 1.00  | 0.57  |
| Aspartic acid | 1.49  | 2.10  | 2.25  | 1.72  |
| Glutamic acid | 1.26  | 2.19  | 3.58  | 1.33  |
| Glycine       | 0.50  | 0.88  | 0.90  | 0.54  |
| Proline       | 0.79  | 1.00  | 1.26  | 0.76  |
| Serine        | 0.60  | 0.80  | 1.38  | 0.58  |
| Tyrosine      | 0.28  | 0.55  | 0.55  | 0.33  |

1Abbreviated: AFM, alfalfa meal; CLM, cassava leaf meal; RSM, rubber seed meal; I.M, leucaena meal.
Results and Discussion

Fiber source composition:
The contents of proximate composition, fiber fraction and amino acids in the fiber sources used are presented in Table 2. Rubber seed meal had the highest content of crude fiber and hemicellulose than the others while contained the lowest lignin content. Leucaena meal contained the highest fiber fractions, including NDF, ADF, cellulose and lignin content. Cassava leaf meal seems to have the lowest crude fiber content and moderate content of other fiber fractions. Alfalfa meal also had moderate fiber fractions contents and contained cellulose content similar to cassava leaf meal.

Most of indispensable amino acid contents were found higher in cassava leaf meal than other fiber sources, especially arginine and lysine. These were similar to the results reported by Nwokolo (1987). Rubber seed meal had better amino acid profile and high in histidine, methionine and glutamine content. These were similar to those reported by Toh and Chiu (1977) but differed from those of other researchers (Balaban and Pond, 1987; Narahari and Kothandaraman, 1983). This could be due to lack of uniformity of the products used, extraction process and presence of ‘shell’. Methionine content were found to be the lowest in all fiber sources.

Crude protein in the diets ranged from 12.56 to 18.94% (RSM-diet) as shown in Table 1 while ether extract ranged from 4.33 to 6.04%. Crude fiber and ash content ranged from 4.20 to 4.70 and 4.51 to 7.47%, respectively. NDF and hemicellulose content were the highest in AFM-diet whereas ADF and lignin found to be

| Components (%) | C | AFM | CLM | RSM | LM |
|----------------|---|-----|-----|-----|----|
| Crude fiber    | 4.60 | 4.71 | 4.20 | 4.70 | 4.55 |
| NDF            | 4.75 | 9.30 | 8.60 | 8.19 | 7.73 |
| ADF            | 1.12 | 6.67 | 6.14 | 5.90 | 9.55 |
| Cellulose      | 0.97 | 2.15 | 2.82 | 4.65 | 4.53 |
| Hemicellulose  | 0.63 | 2.63 | 2.46 | 2.29 | 2.32 |
| Lignin         | 0.17 | 0.99 | 1.31 | 0.89 | 1.66 |

Dietary indispensable amino acids
- Arginine: 0.92, 0.72, 1.40, 1.27, 0.99
- Histidine: 0.46, 0.49, 0.73, 0.68, 0.59
- Isoleucine: 0.40, 0.36, 0.64, 0.54, 0.55
- Leucine: 0.95, 0.83, 1.53, 1.23, 1.03
- Lysine: 0.81, 0.62, 1.19, 0.97, 0.81
- Methionine: 0.18, 0.15, 0.31, 0.23, 0.22
- Phenylalanine: 0.62, 0.53, 0.97, 0.77, 0.66
- Threonine: 0.48, 0.44, 0.82, 0.82, 0.56
- Valine: 0.38, 0.40, 0.68, 0.55, 0.47

Dietary dispensable amino acid
- Alanine: 0.55, 0.52, 0.96, 0.75, 0.61
- Aspartic acid: 1.48, 1.39, 2.39, 1.97, 1.60
- Glutamic acid: 2.93, 2.14, 4.16, 3.89, 2.94
- Glycine: 0.54, 0.47, 0.90, 0.74, 0.60
- Proline: 0.75, 0.72, 1.20, 1.02, 0.91
- Serine: 0.72, 0.84, 1.10, 0.96, 0.79
- Tyrosine: 0.31, 0.33, 0.55, 0.42, 0.40

Abbreviated: C, control; AFM, alfalfa meal; CLM, cassava leaf meal; RSM, rubber seed meal; LM, leucaena meal.
the highest in LM-diet table 3. Crude fiber and cellulose content were the highest in RSM-diet. The amino acid contents of the diets are also shown in table 3. Because isolated soy protein was the main protein source used, any difference in the concentration of amino acid in the diets were due to fiber source added. Since all diet included natural fiber sources, there were relatively low in sulfur amino acid, not in lysine, which was also compatible with the finding by Nwokolo (1987).

The amino acid digestibility at terminal ileum

Inclusion of cassava leaf meal in the diets pronounced sound effect on amino acid digestibility as shown in table 4. The lowest values among diets in all digestibility values occurred for LM-diet and in proline for AFM-diet. Arginine tended to be the most digestible essential amino acid among all diets. This agreed well with the findings of Knabe et al. (1989). Proline was found to be the least digestible. The control diet containing cellulose as fiber source was relatively similar in amino acids digestibility to AFM-diet and RSM-diet. This indicated that cellulose content had no effect on amino acid digestibility as these diets varied in cellulose content. Lignin also seemed to have no deterrent effect on amino acid digestibility in general, and contrasted to the reports by Shah et al. (1982), Nomani and Stansbury (1982). These were shown by different lignin content in CLM-diet (1.31%), AFM-diet (0.99%) and RSM- diet (0.89%), but CLM-diet had higher amino acids digestibility than the others. However, lignin might bind with individual amino acid, for instance, methionine and histidine (Howard et al., 1986). Moderately low values in phenylalanine, threonine and tyrosine digestibility

| Components (%) | C | AFM | CLM | RSM | LM |
|----------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Dry matter     | 84.61 | 83.75 | 92.82 | 87.65 | 76.19 |
| Crude protein  | 86.97 | 87.63 | 91.84 | 80.58 | 83.75 |
| Dietary indispensable amino acids | | | | | |
| Arginine       | 93.99<sup>a</sup> | 92.04<sup>a</sup> | 98.05<sup>b</sup> | 96.34<sup>b</sup> | 83.54<sup>c</sup> |
| Histidine      | 80.81<sup>a</sup> | 83.97<sup>b</sup> | 93.84<sup>b</sup> | 84.99<sup>b</sup> | 56.72<sup>c</sup> |
| Isoleucine     | 80.79<sup>b</sup> | 88.05<sup>a</sup> | 93.75<sup>b</sup> | 86.65<sup>a</sup> | 59.80<sup>c</sup> |
| Leucine        | 87.14<sup>b</sup> | 91.22<sup>a</sup> | 94.72<sup>a</sup> | 91.82<sup>b</sup> | 66.38<sup>c</sup> |
| Lysine         | 89.76<sup>b</sup> | 86.41<sup>b</sup> | 94.73<sup>a</sup> | 92.29<sup>b</sup> | 70.77<sup>c</sup> |
| Methionine     | 83.88<sup>b</sup> | 86.41<sup>b</sup> | 94.73<sup>a</sup> | 89.69<sup>b</sup> | 47.77<sup>c</sup> |
| Phenylalanine  | 89.20<sup>b</sup> | 86.83<sup>b</sup> | 94.55<sup>a</sup> | 90.40<sup>b</sup> | 65.52<sup>c</sup> |
| Threonine      | 81.42<sup>b</sup> | 71.80<sup>c</sup> | 93.76<sup>b</sup> | 87.04<sup>b</sup> | 58.94<sup>c</sup> |
| Valine         | 74.68<sup>b</sup> | 86.21<sup>b</sup> | 93.00<sup>b</sup> | 85.90<sup>b</sup> | 57.77<sup>c</sup> |
| Dietary dispensable amino acids | | | | | |
| Alanine        | 81.06<sup>b</sup> | 88.07<sup>b</sup> | 94.51<sup>a</sup> | 88.89<sup>b</sup> | 53.11<sup>c</sup> |
| Aspartic acid  | 91.06<sup>b</sup> | 91.57<sup>ab</sup> | 96.44<sup>b</sup> | 91.30<sup>b</sup> | 76.78<sup>c</sup> |
| Glutamine      | 92.64<sup>a</sup> | 91.55<sup>a</sup> | 97.00<sup>a</sup> | 94.57<sup>a</sup> | 79.95<sup>c</sup> |
| Glycine        | 72.37<sup>c</sup> | 80.73<sup>c</sup> | 93.33<sup>b</sup> | 84.57<sup>b</sup> | 52.66<sup>c</sup> |
| Proline        | 82.40<sup>b</sup> | 21.48<sup>c</sup> | 93.96<sup>a</sup> | 89.24<sup>ab</sup> | 71.13<sup>c</sup> |
| Serine         | 91.39<sup>b</sup> | 93.39<sup>a</sup> | 96.65<sup>b</sup> | 92.76<sup>b</sup> | 78.20<sup>c</sup> |
| Tyrosine       | 79.51<sup>b</sup> | 92.21<sup>a</sup> | 94.17<sup>ab</sup> | 90.10<sup>b</sup> | 65.65<sup>c</sup> |
| Mean           | 84.43 | 83.58 | 94.23 | 89.76 | 65.25 |

<sup>1</sup>Abbreviated: C, control; AFM, alfalfa meal; CLM, cassava leaf meal; RSM, rubber seed meal; LM, leucine meal.
<sup>2</sup>Means with the different superscripts within the same row were significant difference (p < 0.05).
in the present study also agrees with the investigation by Bégin et al. (1981). An adverse effect on amino acid digestibility was observed with RSM-diet and AFM-diet suggested that the content of NDF and/or ADF responsible for the lowering digestibility.

The amino acid digestibility measured over the total tract

The digestibility of all amino acids in control diet and CLM-diet was the highest, and significance difference between treatments was found (table 5). The low DM digestibility of AFM-diet and RSM-diet obtained from non-digested material might depress the protein and amino acid digestibility as increased endogenous losses caused by mechanical erosion. Digestibility of almost all amino acids was found lower at ileal than ileal level, except for control and LM-diet. Higher content of fiber fractions increase the rate of endogenous loss of protein or carbohydrate from the intestinal wall and serve as substrates for microbial activity as suggested by Laplace et al. (1989). The LM-diet which was higher in fiber fraction contents than other diets might explain this finding. Methionine and/or histidine as well as glycine were found to be the least digestible among the diets while arginine was the most digestible.

Comparison of digestibility values measured at the terminal ileum and over the total tract.

The difference between ileal and fecal values of apparent amino acid digestibilities presented in table 6. Some ileal values were less than fecal but most ileal values were greater. Except for control and LM-diet almost all amino acid digestibilities had higher values at ileal level.

### TABLE 5. EFFECT OF FIBER SOURCES ON APPARENT DIGESTIBILITY OF DRY MATTER, PROTEIN AND AMINO ACIDS MEASURED BY THE TOTAL TRACT OF GROWING PIGS

| Components (%) | C       | AFM     | CLM     | RSM     | LM      |
|----------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Dry matter     | 91.22   | 57.27   | 80.17   | 46.42   | 63.55   |
| Crude protein  | 93.43   | 54.53   | 78.90   | 55.53   | 73.97   |
| Dietary indispensable amino acids† |         |         |         |         |         |
| Arginine       | 98.02a  | 83.54c  | 92.35ab | 84.37bc | 89.33bc |
| Histidine      | 91.07a  | 56.72bc | 81.99ab | 47.68c  | 74.21ab |
| Isoleucine     | 94.58a  | 59.80bc | 85.68ab | 63.51bc | 77.91bc |
| Leucine        | 95.76a  | 66.88bc | 88.05ab | 75.52bc | 78.90bc |
| Lysine         | 95.75a  | 70.77bc | 90.24ab | 81.01ab | 83.39bc |
| Methionine     | 92.92a  | 47.77bc | 82.86ab | 65.28bc | 73.17bc |
| Phenylalanine  | 95.45a  | 65.52bc | 87.97ab | 75.43bc | 78.90bc |
| Threonine      | 95.28a  | 58.94bc | 88.25ab | 72.67bc | 77.69bc |
| Valine         | 93.56a  | 57.77bc | 88.15ab | 62.88bc | 72.20bc |
| Dietary dispensable amino acids |         |         |         |         |         |
| Alanine        | 94.19a  | 53.11c  | 84.64ab | 67.44bc | 72.43b  |
| Aspartic acid  | 91.60a  | 76.78b  | 92.26ab | 83.54bc | 86.83a  |
| Glutamine      | 96.73a  | 79.55ab | 95.10bc | 86.94c  | 89.82bc |
| Glycine        | 95.01a  | 52.73bc | 85.82ab | 66.99cd | 74.38bc |
| Proline        | 97.14a  | 71.62b  | 89.50a  | 71.71b  | 81.13ab |
| Serine         | 96.86a  | 78.20bc | 91.10ab | 80.04c  | 82.66bc |
| Tyrosine       | 93.26a  | 65.65ab | 84.89ab | 72.42bc | 79.76ab |
| Mean           | 94.82   | 65.23   | 89.01   | 72.46   | 79.48   |

†Abbreviated: C, control; AFM, alfalfa meal; CLM, cassava leaf meal; RSM, rubber seed meal; LM, leucine meal.

‡Means with the different superscripts within the same row were significant difference (p < 0.05).
than fecal level. These results are contrasted to the experiments done by Mitani et al. (1984). Glutamine, glycine, and proline in some diets were higher at ileal than fecal level. Taverner and Farell (1981) reported that amino acids which disappeared in the large intestine were glycine, proline, serine, and threonine; these amino acids are high in mucin protein (Horowitz, 1967) and endogenous ileal digesta (Taverner et al., 1981a), which might explain this finding. Methionine shows the greatest difference among the natural-fiber source diets. The average difference between ileal and fecal level was more than 10% (positive and negative), except for the CLM-diet (6.16%). These findings indicate that ileal analysis is more accurate for determining the digestibility of amino acids, particularly with high-fiber diets.

In conclusion, the apparent digestibility of amino acids at ileal level was the highest in CLM diet while at fecal level control and CLM-diet were higher than the others. LM-diet was the least digestible at ileal level while at fecal level was AFM-diet. The digestibilities of amino acids at ileal were higher than fecal level except control and LM-diet. Arginine digestibility was the least affected by fiber fractions at both levels while methionine and/or histidine were the greatest affected at ileal and fecal level, respectively. Cellulose content did not affect the amino acid digestibility while lignin specified on some amino acids. On the basis of this finding, it appears that cassava leaf meal is an acceptable source of feedstuffs for pigs as it is utilized efficiently despite its fiber content.
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### TABLE 5. COMPARISON ON THE APPARENT DIGESTIBILITIES OF DRY MATTER, PROTEIN AND AMINO ACIDS MEASURED AT THE TERMINAL ILEUM AND THE TOTAL TRACT

| Components (%) | Dietary treatments |  |  |  |
|----------------|-------------------|---|---|---|
|                | C     | AFM  | CLM  | RSM  | LM   |
| Dry matter     | -6.61 | 26.48| 12.65| 47.99| 12.64|
| Crude protein  | -6.46 | 33.10| 14.94| 35.88| 9.78 |
| Dietary indispensable amino acids | | | | | |
| Arginine       | -4.03 | 9.40 | 5.70 | 11.47 | -5.79 |
| Histidine      | -10.26| 27.25| 11.85| 37.31| -17.49|
| Isoleucine     | -13.79| 28.25| 8.11 | 23.14| -18.11|
| Leucine        | -8.62 | 24.34| 6.67 | 16.30| -12.52|
| Lysine         | -5.99 | 15.64| 4.49 | 11.28| -12.62|
| Methionine     | -9.26 | 38.64| 11.69| 24.41| -25.40|
| Phenylalanine  | -15.29| 23.11| 6.88 | 14.97| -13.38|
| Threonine      | -13.86| 12.86| 5.51 | 14.37| -18.75|
| Valine         | -18.88| 28.44| 4.85 | 23.02| -14.43|
| Dietary dispensable amino acids | | | | | |
| Alanine        | -12.23| 34.96| 9.87 | 21.44| -19.32|
| Aspartic acid  | -0.54 | 14.79| 4.18 | 7.76 | -10.05|
| Glutamine      | -4.09 | 11.60| -8.10| 4.63 | -9.87 |
| Givine         | -22.64| 28.00| 7.51 | 17.58| -22.32|
| Proline        | -14.74| -50.14| 4.46 | 17.53| -10.00|
| Serine         | -5.47 | 15.19| 5.55 | 2.72 | -4.46 |
| Tyrosine       | -13.75| 25.56| 9.28 | 14.68| -11.11|
| Mean           | -10.83| 18.06| 6.16 | 16.41| 14.30 |

*Abbreviated: C, control; AFM, alfalfa meal; CLM, cassava leaf meal; RSM, rubber seed meal; LM, leucaena meal.*
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