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ABSTRACT

We present a statistical study of velocities of Lyα, interstellar (IS) absorption, and nebular lines and gas covering fraction for Lyα emitters (LAEs) at $z \simeq 2$. We make a sample of 22 LAEs with a large Lyα equivalent width (EW) of $\gtrsim 50$ Å based on our deep Keck/Low Resolution Imaging Spectrometer (LRIS) observations, in conjunction with spectroscopic data from the Subaru/Fiber Multi Object Spectrograph program and the literature. We estimate the average velocity offset of Lyα from a systemic redshift determined with nebular lines to be $\Delta v_{Ly\alpha} = 234 \pm 9$ km s$^{-1}$. Using a Kolmogorov–Smirnov test, we confirm the previous claim of Hashimoto et al. that the average $\Delta v_{Ly\alpha}$ of LAEs is smaller than that of Lyman break galaxies (LBGs). Our LRIS data successfully identify blueshifted multiple IS absorption lines in the UV continua of four LAEs on an individual basis. The average velocity offset of IS absorption lines from a systemic redshift is $\Delta v_{IS} = 204 \pm 27$ km s$^{-1}$, indicating LAEs’ gas outflow with a velocity comparable to typical LBGs. Thus, the ratio $R_{IS}^{Ly\alpha} \equiv \Delta v_{Ly\alpha}/\Delta v_{IS}$ of LAEs is around unity, suggestive of low impacts on Lyα transmission by resonant scattering of neutral hydrogen in the IS medium. We find an anti-correlation between Lyα EW and the covering fraction, $f_c$, estimated from the depth of absorption lines, where $f_c$ is an indicator of average neutral hydrogen column density, $N_{HI}$. The results of our study support the idea that $N_{HI}$ is a key quantity determining Lyα emissivity.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Lyα emitters (LAEs) are an important population of high-$z$ star-forming galaxies in the context of galaxy formation. LAEs at $z \simeq 2$ and beyond $z \simeq 7$ are found by narrowband (NB) imaging observations based on an NB excess resulting from their prominent Lyα emission (e.g., Cowie et al. 2010; Gronwall et al. 2007; Ciardullo et al. 2012; Ouchi et al. 2008; Ota et al. 2008; Ouchi et al. 2010; Hu et al. 2010; Finkelstein et al. 2007; Kashikawa et al. 2011, 2006; Shibuya et al. 2012). Observational studies on a morphology and spectral energy distribution (SED) of LAEs reveal that such a galaxy is typically young, compact, less massive, less dusty than other high-$z$ galaxy populations, and is a possible progenitor of Milky Way mass galaxies (e.g., Gronwall et al. 2011; Guaita et al. 2011; Ono et al. 2010; Gawiser et al. 2007; Dressler et al. 2011; Rauch et al. 2008; Dijkstra & Kramer 2012). Additionally, LAEs are used to measure the neutral hydrogen fraction at the reionizing epoch, because Lyα photons are absorbed by intergalactic medium (IGM).

The Lyα-emitting mechanism is not fully understood due to the highly complex radiative transfer of Lyα in the interstellar medium (ISM). Many theoretical models have predicted that the neutral gas and/or dust distributions surrounding central ionizing sources are closely linked to the Lyα emissivity (e.g., Neufeld 1991; Finkelstein et al. 2008; Laursen et al. 2013, 2009; Laursen & Sommer-Larsen 2007; Duval et al. 2014; Zheng & Wallace 2013; Zheng et al. 2010; Yajima et al. 2012). Thus, resonant scattering in the neutral ISM can significantly attenuate the Lyα emission.

Lyα emissivity may not only depend on the spatial ISM distribution, but on gas kinematics as well. The large-scale galactic outflows driven by starbursts or active galactic nuclei could allow Lyα photons to emerge at wavelengths where the Gunn–Peterson opacity is reduced, and consequently enhance the Lyα emissivity, particularly in the high-$z$ universe (e.g., Dijkstra & Wyithe 2010). The outflow may also blow out the Lyα absorbing ISM. The gas kinematics of LAEs has been evaluated from the Lyα velocity offset ($\Delta v_{Ly\alpha}$) with respect to the systemic redshift ($z_{sys}$) traced by nebular emission lines (e.g., $O_\alpha$ [O iii]) from their H ii regions. Over the past few years, deep near-infrared (NIR) spectroscopic studies have detected nebular emission lines from $\sim 10$ LAEs at $z = 2$–3, and measured their $\Delta v_{Ly\alpha}$ (McLinden et al. 2011; Hashimoto et al. 2013; Guaita et al. 2013; Finkelstein et al. 2011; Chonis et al. 2013). The Lyα emission lines for these LAEs are redshifted from their $z_{sys}$ by a $\Delta v_{Ly\alpha}$ of $200$–$300$ km s$^{-1}$. Hashimoto et al. (2013) find an anti-correlation between Lyα equivalent width (EW) and $\Delta v_{Ly\alpha}$ in a compilation of LAE and Lyman break galaxy (LBG) samples. This result is in contrast to a simple picture where Lyα photons more easily escape in the presence of a galactic outflow.

However, the Lyα velocity offset is thought to increase with both resonant scattering in H i gas clouds as well as galactic outflow velocity (e.g., Verhamme et al. 2006, 2008). The anti-correlation could result from a difference in H i column density ($N_{HI}$) rather than outflowing velocity. The gas kinematics can
be investigated more directly from the velocity offset between interstellar (IS) absorption lines of the rest-frame UV continuum and $z_{\text{sys}}$ (IS velocity offset; $\Delta v_{\text{IS}}$). The IS velocity offset traces the speed of outflowing gas clouds, and may help to distinguish the two effects on $\Delta v_{\text{IS}}$.

For UV-continuum-selected galaxies, the $\Delta v_{\text{IS}}$ has been measured for >100 objects (e.g., Pettini et al. 2001; Christensen et al. 2012; Kulas et al. 2012; Schenker et al. 2013; Steidel et al. 2010). Steidel et al. (2010) find that LBGs have an average of $(\langle \Delta v_{\text{IS}} \rangle) = -164 \, \text{km s}^{-1}$ in their sample of 89 LBGs at $z \sim 3$. This statistical study indicates the ubiquitousness of galactic outflow in LBGs. However, there have been no NB-selected galaxies with a $\Delta v_{\text{IS}}$ measurement to date except for a stacked UV spectrum in Hashimoto et al. (2013). This is because it is difficult to estimate $\Delta v_{\text{IS}}$ for individual LAEs, especially for galaxies with a large Ly$\alpha$ EW of $\gtrsim 50$ Å due to their faint UV-continuum emission, while $\Delta v_{\text{IS}}$ are measured for some UV-selected galaxies with EW(Ly$\alpha$) $\sim 50$ Å (e.g., Erb et al. 2010). A statistical investigation of Ly$\alpha$ kinematics for LAEs could shed light on the physical origin of the anti-correlation and the underlying Ly$\alpha$ emitting mechanism.

This is the second paper in the series exploring the Ly$\alpha$-emitting mechanisms. In this paper, we present the results of our optical and NIR spectroscopy for a large sample of $z = 2.2$ LAEs with Keck/Low Resolution Imaging Spectrometer (LRIS) and Subaru/Fiber Multi Object Spectrograph (FMOS) to verify possible differences of $\Delta v_{\text{IS}}$ and $\Delta v_{\text{IS}}$ between LAEs and LBGs. These spectroscopic observations are in an extension of the project of Hashimoto et al. (2013) aiming to confirm the anti-correlation between Ly$\alpha$ EW and $\Delta v_{\text{Ly} \alpha}$. The organization of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we describe the details of the LAEs targeted for our spectroscopy. Next, we show our optical and NIR spectroscopic observations in Section 3. We present methods to reduce the spectra, and to measure kinematic quantities such as $\Delta v_{\text{IS}}$ and $\Delta v_{\text{IS}}$ in Section 4. We perform SED fitting to derive physical properties in Section 5. We compare kinematic properties between LAEs and LBGs in Section 6, and discuss physical origins of possible differences in these quantities in Section 7. In the last section, Section 8, we summarize our findings.

Throughout this paper, we adopt the concordance cosmology with $(\Omega_m, \Omega_{\Lambda}, h) = (0.3, 0.7, 0.7)$ (Komatsu et al. 2011). All magnitudes are given in the AB system (Oke & Gunn 1983).

2. TARGETS FOR SPECTROSCOPY

Our targets for optical and NIR spectroscopy are $z = 2.2$ LAEs selected by observations of the Subaru/Suprime-Cam (Miyazaki et al. 2002) equipped with the NB filter, NB387 ($\lambda_c = 3870$ Å and FWHM = 94 Å; Nakajima et al. 2012, 2013). The details of observations and selection for LAEs are given in these papers, but we provide a brief description as follows. The Suprime-Cam observations have been carried out for LAEs at $z = 2.2$ with NB387 in a total area of $\sim 1.5$ deg$^2$. Based on the color selection of $B - NB387$ and $u^* - NB387$, the Suprime-Cam observations have located 619, 919, 747, 950, and 168 LAEs in the Cosmic Evolution Survey (COSMOS; Scoville et al. 2007), the Subaru/XMM-Newton Deep Survey (SXDS; Furusawa et al. 2008), the Chandra Deep Field South (CDFS; Giacconi et al. 2001), the Hubble Deep Field North (HDFN; Giavalisco et al. 2004), and the SSA22 (e.g., Steidel et al. 2000) fields, respectively. In the above five fields, a total of $\sim 3400$ LAEs have been selected down to a Ly$\alpha$ EW of 20–30 Å in rest-frame (K. Nakajima et al. in preparation). This large sample size enables us to study statistically various properties of high-$z$ LAEs, such as structural properties (Shibuya et al. 2014) and the statistics of Ly$\alpha$ halos (Momose et al. 2014).

3. OBSERVATION

3.1. Optical Spectroscopy for Ly$\alpha$ and UV Continuum Emission

We have carried out optical spectroscopy for our $z = 2.2$ LAE sample with the LRIS (Oke et al. 1995; Steidel et al. 2004) on the Keck I telescope in order to detect their redshifted Ly$\alpha$ emission lines. We used six multi-object slit (MOS) masks for LAEs selected in the NB387 imaging observations in the COSMOS, HDFN, HUDF, SSA22, and SXDS fields. The mask for the objects in the HUDF includes two LAEs whose nebular emission lines were detected in the 3D-HST survey (H. Atek et al. in preparation). The total number of LAEs observed with these LRIS masks is 83. The observations were conducted on 2012 March 19–21 and November 14–15 (UST) with seeing sizes of $0.7-1.6$. Spectrophotometric standard stars were observed on each night for flux calibrations. The spectral resolution is $R \sim 1000$. The number of observed LAEs, grisms, central wavelengths, and observing time in each slit-masks are summarized in Table 1.

3.2. Near-infrared Spectroscopy for Nebular Emission

To calculate systemic redshifts of our LAEs from their nebular emission lines, we use NIR spectroscopic data obtained from observations with the FMOS (Kimura et al. 2010) on the Subaru telescope on 2012 December 22, 23, and 24 (UST). All LAEs in the SXDS and COSMOS fields are observed with the J- and H-band filters of FMOS. Details of the FMOS observation and reduction are shown in K. Nakajima et al. (in preparation). The systemic redshifts for objects were derived by simultaneously fitting to H$\beta$ and [O iii] $\lambda 4958, 5007$ emission lines by using their vacuum wavelengths in rest frame.

4. SPECTROSCOPIC DATA

4.1. Reduction of LRIS Spectra

Our LRIS spectra in each MOS mask are reduced with the public Low-Redux (XIDL) pipeline,$^9$ for long-slit and multi-slit data from the spectrographs on the Keck, Gemini, MMT, and Lick telescopes. We reduce the spectra of LAEs with this software in the following manner. First, we create flats, calibrate wavelengths with the arc data, and reject sources illuminated by the cosmic ray injections for two-dimensional (2D) spectra in the MOS masks. Next, we automatically identify emission lines and continua, and trace them in each slit in individual one-frame masks. After the source identification, we subtract the sky background, and correct for the distortion of the 2D MOS mask images using sky lines. According to the information on the source identifications, we extract one-dimensional (1D) spectra from each slit in individual mask images. Finally, we stack the extracted 1D spectra.

The public XIDL software extracts 1D spectra from each one-exposure frame before combining these 2D mask images. This process makes it difficult to detect faint emission lines and continua that are undetectable in individual one-exposure

---

$^8$ The first paper presents a study on LAE structures (Shibuya et al. 2014).

$^9$ http://www.ucolick.org/~xavier/LowRedux/
images. Then, we additionally search for faint emission lines from stacked 2D images by visual inspection after combining one-exposure frames.

In total, the Lyα emission lines are detected from 26 objects in the LRIS spectroscopy. Figure 1 shows the spectroscopic success rate in the detection of Lyα emission. The success rate is ~70% for bright objects with NB387 < 24.5. However, low detection and/or selection completeness at NB387 > 24.5 reduces largely the success rate (20%). The photometric and spectroscopic properties of these Lyα-detected objects are listed in Table 2. Among these LRIS spectra, we identify eight LAEs with detections of Lyα and nebular emission lines excluding active galactic nucleus (AGN) like objects.

4.2. Measurement of Lyα Velocity Offset

We measure the Lyα velocity offset for the eight LAEs with detections of Lyα and nebular lines:

$$\Delta v_{\text{ly}} = c \frac{z_{\text{Ly}} - z_{\text{sys}}}{1 + z_{\text{sys}}},$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $c$, $z_{\text{Ly}}$, and $z_{\text{sys}}$, are the speed of light, and the Lyα and systemic redshifts, respectively. The systemic redshift is determined from nebular emission lines obtained with FMOS.

Prior to the measurement of $\Delta v_{\text{Ly}}$, we measure the wavelength of Lyα in the following line-fitting procedures. We use the peak wavelength of the best-fit asymmetric Gaussian profile for measurements of the Lyα wavelength. We first automatically search for an emission line in a wavelength range of 3500–4000 Å in each spectrum. This range includes the wavelength range of the NB387 filter. Next, we fit an asymmetric Gaussian profile to the detected lines. The asymmetric Gaussian profile is expressed as

$$f(\lambda) = A \exp \left(\frac{-(\lambda - \lambda_{\text{asym}})^2}{2\sigma_{\text{asym}}^2}\right) + f_0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $A$, $\lambda_0$, and $f_0$ are the amplitude, peak wavelength of the emission line, and continuum level, respectively. The asymmetric dispersion, $\sigma_{\text{asym}}$, is represented by $\sigma_{\text{asym}} = d_{\text{asym}}(\lambda - \lambda_{\text{asym}})$ + $d$, where $d_{\text{asym}}$ and $d$ are the asymmetric parameter and typical width of the line, respectively. An object with a positive (negative) $d_{\text{asym}}$ value has a skewed line profile with a red (blue) wing. The fitting with the asymmetric Gaussian profile is efficient for Lyα line from high-$z$ galaxies affected by complex kinematic structure of infalling and/or outflowing gas and IGM absorption. For fitting, we use data points over the wavelength range where the flux drops to 10% of its peak value at the redder and bluer sides of the emission line. We use the peak flux, the wavelength of the line peak, 0.4, 1.0 × 10$^{-17}$, and 2.0 as the initial parameters of $A$, $\lambda_{\text{asym}}$, $d_{\text{asym}}$, $f_0$, and $d$ for the line-fitting. The last two are typical values of our spectra. If profile fitting does not converge to the minimum in $\chi^2$, we search for the best fit by changing the initial value of $d_{\text{asym}}$.

We show the best-fit asymmetric Gaussian profile for an example spectrum in Figure 2. We also fit a symmetric Gaussian profile to the emission lines in addition to asymmetric one. For the symmetric Gaussian fitting, we adopt two wavelength ranges where the flux drops to 70% and 10% of its peak value, and denote the corresponding peak wavelengths by $\lambda_{\text{gau}}$ and $\lambda_{\text{gau}}^*$, respectively. The fitting procedure in the former narrow range is similar as in Hashimoto et al. (2013) in terms of avoiding systematic effects due to asymmetric line profile. As shown in Figure 2, the best-fit $\lambda_{\text{gau}}^*$ is broadly equal to $\lambda_{\text{gau}}^{\text{cent}}$ for the example line. The wavelength difference is ~+0.1 Å (~+10 km s$^{-1}$ at $z = 2.2$). In contrast, $\lambda_{\text{gau}}^{\text{gau}}$ differs from $\lambda_{\text{gau}}^{\text{asym}}$ by ~+0.4 Å which corresponds to a velocity difference of ~+30 km s$^{-1}$ at $z = 2.2$. This is likely to be caused by the sharp drop on the blue side and the extended red tail which cannot be fit well with symmetric profiles.

This trend is more clearly shown in Figure 3 which exhibits the wavelength difference of $\lambda_{\text{gau}}^{\text{gau}}$ and $\lambda_{\text{gau}}^{\text{cent}}$ from $\lambda_{\text{gau}}^{\text{asym}}$ as a

### Table 1

| Slit Mask | $n_{\text{LAE}}/n_{\text{obj}}$ | Grating/λ_c | $t_1$ (s) | $n_{\text{frame}}$ | $T_{\text{exp}}$ (s) | Date of Observations |
|-----------|---------------------------------|-------------|----------|----------------|----------------|---------------------|
| COSMOS1   | 14/16                           | 600/4000    | 3000     | 8              | 24000          | 2012 Mar 19–21      |
| HDFN1     | 18/22                           | 600/4000    | 3000     | 6              | 18000          | 2012 Mar 20         |
| HDFN2     | 18/20                           | 1200/3400   | 2800–3000| 6              | 17800          | 2012 Mar 19–20      |
| COSMOS3B  | 16/22                           | 600/4000    | 3000     | 3              | 9000           | 2012 Nov 15         |
| HUDF MaB  | 9/31                            | 400/3400    | 2758–3000| 2              | 5758           | 2012 Nov 14         |
| SXDS495B  | 8/30                            | 600/4000    | 2136–3000| 14             | 40854          | 2012 Nov 14–15      |

Notes. Columns: (1) Slit mask. (2) Number of objects included in the slit mask. (3). Grating and the central wavelength. (4) Exposure time of one frame. (5) Number of exposure. (6) Exposure time. (7) Date of observations.
### Table 2
Summary of the Ly\(\alpha\)-detected Objects in the LRIS Spectroscopy

| Slit Mask | Object | R.A. | Decl. | \(U\) | NB387 | \(B\) | \(\alpha_{\text{sys}}\) | \(\zeta_{\text{sys}}\) | \(T(\text{Ly}\alpha)\) | \(L(\text{Ly}\alpha)\) | \(E(W(\text{Ly}\alpha))\) | \(\Delta v_{\text{sys}}\) | \(F_{\text{blue}}/F_{\text{int}}\) |
|-----------|--------|------|-------|-----|-------|-----|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|----------|----------------|-------|
| (1)       | (2)    | (3)  | (4)   | (5) | (6)   | (7) | (8)   | (9)   | (10)          | (11)          | (12)            | (13)     | (14)           | (15)  |
| COSMOS    | 12027  | 149.9343976 | +2.1285326 | 24.2 | 23.4 | 23.4 | 3878.72 \(\pm\) 0.24 | 2.1906 | 5.6 \(\pm\) 0.3 | 2.0 \(\pm\) 0.1 | 73.69 \(\pm\) 9.44 | 2.18578 | 258 \(\pm\) 51 | 0.24 |
| 12805\(^a\) | 150.0637013 | +2.1354116 | 23.7 | 23.3 | 23.8 | 3843.27 \(\pm\) 0.65 | 2.1644 | 7.4 \(\pm\) 0.7 | 2.6 \(\pm\) 0.3 | 33.73 \(\pm\) 5.52 | 2.17921 | 144 \(\pm\) 69 | 0.40 |
| 13138     | 150.0108585 | +2.1401388 | 24.9 | 24.6 | 25.0 | 3866.73 \(\pm\) 0.89 | 2.18074 | 1.2 \(\pm\) 0.2 | 0.43 \(\pm\) 0.07 | 40.36 \(\pm\) 6.44 | 2.18955 | 188 \(\pm\) 40 | 0.23 |
| 13636\(^ab\) | 149.9974948 | +2.1439906 | 23.9 | 23.0 | 24.1 | 3844.68 \(\pm\) 1.30 | 2.1626 | 9.6 \(\pm\) 0.5 | 3.3 \(\pm\) 0.2 | 86.80 \(\pm\) 3.76 | 2.16052 | 197 \(\pm\) 102 | 0.13 |
| 14122\(^a\) | 149.9585714 | +2.1482380 | 24.0 | 23.3 | 24.0 | 3879.99 \(\pm\) 0.52 | 2.19165 | 6.7 \(\pm\) 0.6 | 2.4 \(\pm\) 0.2 | 54.98 \(\pm\) 5.18 | 2.18955 | 188 \(\pm\) 40 | 0.23 |
| 08357\(^a\) | 149.9961405 | +2.0921070 | 24.8 | 24.4 | 24.9 | 3868.79 \(\pm\) 0.86 | 2.18243 | 1.4 \(\pm\) 0.4 | 0.50 \(\pm\) 0.14 | 46.68 \(\pm\) 6.70 | 2.18044 | 205 \(\pm\) 66 | 0.13 |
| 13829\(^a\) | 149.9554179 | +2.1470828 | 25.6 | 25.1 | 25.9 | 3820.20 \(\pm\) 1.01 | 2.14246 | 2.1 \(\pm\) 0.2 | 0.72 \(\pm\) 0.07 | 98.86 \(\pm\) 26.40 | 2.10526 | 100 \(\pm\) 30.70 | 0.24 |
| 14154\(^a\) | 149.9770609 | +2.1508410 | 27.0 | 25.9 | 26.8 | 3893.06 \(\pm\) 1.08 | 2.2024 | 0.62 \(\pm\) 0.1 | 2.3 \(\pm\) 0.4 | 100 \(\pm\) 29.30 | 2.12446 | 100 \(\pm\) 30.70 | 0.24 |

**Notes:***

1. Slit mask, Object ID.
2. Right ascension.
3. Declination.
4. \(U\), NB387, and \(B\)-band magnitudes.
5. Observed wavelength of Ly\(\alpha\) measured by the asymmetric Gaussian fitting.
6. Redshift of Ly\(\alpha\) corrected for the heliocentric motion.
7. Ly\(\alpha\) flux uncorrected for slit loss in LRIS spectroscopy.
8. Ly\(\alpha\) luminescence.
9. Ly\(\alpha\) equivalent width estimated from the NB387 magnitudes.
10. Ly\(\alpha\) position in the transmission curve is taken into account from the spectroscopic redshift of Ly\(\alpha\).
11. Ly\(\alpha\) velocity offset relative to nebular emission lines.
12. Ratio of Ly\(\alpha\) flux in the bluer side relative to the systemic redshift to total Ly\(\alpha\) flux.

---

\(^a\) UV continuum-detected LAEs.

\(^b\) These objects have also been observed with Magellan/MagE in Hashimoto et al. (2013).

\(^c\) Hashimoto et al. (2013) have reported that LAE 13636 has a \(\Delta v_{\text{sys}}\) of 99.16 km s\(^{-1}\). However, the H\(\alpha\) line profile would have been affected by a residual of a neighboring OH line due to the low spectral resolution of their Keck-II/NIRSPEC observation (\(R\approx 1500\)), making it difficult to determine accurately the systemic redshift. Our FMOS spectroscopy with \(R\approx 2200\) would securely detect nebular emission less affected by OH lines.

\(^d\) These objects are reduced without the Keck/LRIS public pipeline.

\(^e\) AGN-like objects.

\(^f\) K. Nakajima et al. (in preparation).
Table 3
Summary of the Ly\(\alpha\)-detected Objects in the IMACS Spectroscopy

| Slit Mask | Object ID | \(\lambda_{\text{obs}}\) \((\text{Å})\) | \(z_{\text{Ly}\alpha}\) | EW(Ly\(\alpha\)) \((\text{Å})\) | \(z_{\text{sys}}\) | \(\Delta v_{\text{sys}}\) \((\text{km s}^{-1})\) |
|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-------------|-----------------|-------------|-----------------|
| IMACS-SXDS | 04640 | 3865.08 ± 0.37 | 2.17938 | 164.75^{+4.63}_{-4.51} | 2.17822 | 110 ± 138 |
| | 08204 | 3895.11 ± 5.59 | 2.20408 | 88.61^{+5.87}_{-5.56} | 2.20329 | 74 ± 505 |
| | 09219 | 3890.71 ± 6.08 | 2.20047 | 29.62^{+2.78}_{-2.29} | 2.20004 | 40 ± 508 |
| | 11135 | 3882.27 ± 0.56 | 2.19352 | 111.96^{+4.78}_{-4.40} | 2.19238 | 107 ± 151 |

Notes. Columns: (1) Slit mask. (2) Object ID. (3) Observed wavelength of Ly\(\alpha\) measured by the asymmetric Gaussian fitting. (4) Redshift of Ly\(\alpha\) corrected for the heliocentric motion. (5) Ly\(\alpha\) equivalent width. (6) Redshift of nebular emission lines corrected for the heliocentric motion (K. Nakajima et al. in preparation). (7) Velocity offset of Ly\(\alpha\) relative to nebular emission lines.
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**Figure 2.** Observed Ly\(\alpha\) emission line (black) for an example LAE, 10600, and its best-fit profiles. The curves are the best-fit symmetric Gaussian profiles in the wavelength range where the flux drops to 70% (green) and 10% (blue) of its peak, and the asymmetric Gaussian profile (red). The vertical bold lines denote the corresponding peak wavelengths of the best-fit profiles. The peak wavelengths are 3903.77, 3904.02, and 3903.62 Å, respectively, with the central, symmetric Gaussian, and asymmetric Gaussian profiles. The vertical dashed lines indicate the wavelengths where the flux drops to 70% (gray) and 10% (black) of its peak. See details in Section 4.2.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

The values of \(\Delta v_{\text{sys}}\) in the IMACS sample are calculated to be smaller than the LRIS results. This could be caused by large uncertainties due to the IMACS spectroscopy with a lower spectral resolution than LRIS.

Additionally, we provide a consistency check for our measurement of \(z_{\text{Ly}\alpha}\) by using the same object as in Hashimoto et al. (2013), COSMOS-13636. The object has been observed with both of LRIS in this work and Magellan/MagE in a previous work. The redshift of Ly\(\alpha\) estimated from the LRIS spectrum \((z_{\text{LRIS}} = 2.1626 ± 0.00073)\) is in good agreement with that of MagE \((z_{\text{MagE}} = 2.1629 ± 0.00008)\) within a 1σ fitting error. The difference in velocity is 30 ± 70 km s\(^{-1}\). The large error in \(z_{\text{LRIS}}\) is likely to be due to the lower spectral resolution of LRIS \((R ∼ 1000)\) than that of MagE \((R ∼ 4100)\).

Figure 5 shows the *Hubble Space Telescope* (HST)/ACS \(\lambda_{134}^{-}\)-band images of LAEs with a \(z_{\text{sys}}\) measurement in the COSMOS field. Unfortunately, the LAEs in the SXDS field are not covered by the CANDELS project. Several LAEs have multiple components, which could be mergers. The merger fraction of LAEs and its Ly\(\alpha\) dependence are discussed in Shibuya et al. (2014).

4.3. Measurement of IS Velocity Offset

We measure the IS velocity offset of IS absorption lines for our LAEs. Due to the faintness of their UV continuum emission, it is difficult to detect IS absorption lines from high Ly\(\alpha\) EW galaxies with EW \(\gtrsim 50\) Å in individual spectra. However, owing to the function of the asymmetric parameter, \(a_{\text{asym}}\). The wavelengths of individual profiles are in good agreement for almost symmetric lines with \(|a_{\text{asym}}| \lesssim 0.2\), \(z_{\text{asym}}\) tends to correct for the effects of skewed lines compared to \(z_{\text{cent}}\). However, both \(z_{\text{cent}}\) and \(z_{\text{asym}}\) are redshifted (blueshifted) from \(\lambda_{0}\) by \(\sim 0.5-1.0\) Å for highly asymmetric lines with \(a_{\text{asym}} \sim +0.4 (-0.4)\).

After correcting for the heliocentric motion of the Earth for the redshifts of Ly\(\alpha\) and nebular lines,\(^{10}\) we calculate \(\Delta v_{\text{sys}}\) following Equation (1). Table 2 lists the \(z_{\text{Ly}\alpha}\), \(z_{\text{sys}}\), and \(\Delta v_{\text{sys}}\) for the 26 Ly\(\alpha\)-detected objects observed with LRIS. Figure 4 presents Ly\(\alpha\) spectra as a function of velocity for LAEs with detections of nebular emission lines. In Table 3, we also list these quantities of the four LAEs with detections of Ly\(\alpha\) and nebular lines obtained by previous Magellan/IMACS observations (Nakajima et al. 2012). Almost all objects observed with LRIS have a \(\Delta v_{\text{sys}}\) of \(\sim 200\) km s\(^{-1}\) which is consistent with values in previous studies (e.g., Hashimoto et al. 2013).

\(^{10}\) http://fuse.pha.jhu.edu/support/tools/vslr.html
high sensitivity of Keck/LRIS, the rest-frame UV continuum emission is clearly detected from four individual LAEs, LAE 12805, 13636, and 14212 in COSMOS, and LAE 10600 in SXDS, among the 26 Lyα-detected objects.

We first fit a power-law curve to the UV continuum emission in four individual objects in order to normalize the continuum level, and derive the properties of IS absorption lines. The normalized continuum emission in the rest frame is shown in Figure 6. Next, we fit the symmetric Gaussian profile to each IS absorption line in a wavelength range of ±5 Å around the expected line center. We summarize the best-fit peak wavelength, line depth, width, and EW in Table 4. The noise around the expected line center is clearly detected from four individual LAEs, LAE 12805, 13636, and 14212 in COSMOS, and LAE 10600 in SXDS, among the 26 Lyα-detected objects.

We calculate Δν in a similar manner as for Lyα in Section 6.1. Several pairs of absorption lines such as O i λ1302-S i λ1304, and C iv λ1548-C iv λ1550 are likely to be blended at the resolution of our spectroscopy. For this reason, we define the wavelengths of the line pairs as central values between the pairs. We also derive the properties of fine-structure emission lines such as Si ii as summarized in Table 5. We find that the velocity offsets of these ion lines from zsys are almost zero, indicating that the fine-structure emission lines also trace the systemic redshift of galaxies. This is because these emission lines come from nebular regions photoionized by radiation from massive stars (e.g., Shapley et al. 2003).

4.4 Measurement of H I Covering Fraction

We estimate the covering fraction, fc, of surrounding H I gas from the depth of low ionization IS absorption lines for our four continuum-detected LAEs. If the H I gas is distributed in a spherical shell, the depth of the lines may be related to fc. The covering fraction of any ion is estimated from

\[ \frac{I}{I_0} = 1 - f_c (1 - e^{-\tau}), \]

where τ, I, and I0 are optical depth of an absorption line, its residual intensity, and the continuum level, respectively. The optical depth is linked to the column density as

\[ \tau = f \lambda \frac{\pi e^2}{m_e c} N = f \lambda \frac{N}{3.768 \times 10^{14}}, \]

where f, λ, and N are the ion oscillator strength, the wavelength of the absorption line in Å, and the column density of the ion in cm⁻² (km s⁻¹)⁻¹, respectively. Jones et al. (2013) use Si ii λ1304, 1306, and 1526 lines in order to solve the above two equations and estimate fc for gravitationally lensed LBGs at z ~ 4. They find best-fit values of N and fc by fitting observed the Si ii line profiles with the intensity as a function of N and fc, derived from the above equations. In addition to the fitting to Si ii lines, they use several strong absorption lines, Si ii λ1260, O i λ1302, Si ii λ1304, C ii λ1334, and Si ii λ1526 to put a lower limit on fc via

\[ f_c = 1 - \frac{I}{I_0}, \]

which is a simplified case of Equation (3) when τ ≫ 1. For our LAEs, we estimate fc in the latter method for the following reasons. (1) It is relatively difficult to fit our Si ii line profiles with a low signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) due to the faintness of UV-continuum emission and low resolution of our spectroscopy. (2) Jones et al. (2013) use mainly the fc value derived in the latter method in their discussion. We would like to compare fc for LAEs with that for LBGs in the same manner.

We derive the average absorption line profile of these strong transitions as a function of velocity. In the calculation, we do not use O i λ1302 and Si ii λ1304 transitions, since they could be heavily blended owing to the low spectral resolution. The derived average line profiles are shown in Figure 7. The covering fractions are estimated to be ~0.7 for LAE 12805,
Table 4
Absorption-line Features of the UV-continuum Detected LAEs

| Object  | Ion   | \(\lambda_{\text{rest}}\) (Å) | \(\lambda_{\text{sys}}\) (Å) | \(I/I_0\) | \(\sigma\) (Å) | EW(IS) (Å) | \(\Delta v_{\text{sys}}\) (km s\(^{-1}\)) |
|---------|-------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|--------------|-----------|----------------|
| 12805   | Si\(\nu\) | 1260.4221                      | 1259.64 ± 0.43             | -0.69 ± 0.56 | 0.67 ± 0.58 | -1.15 ± 0.21 | -186 ± 101   |
|         | EW(Ly\(\alpha\))= 33.73 [Å]  |                               |                             |           |              |           |                |
|         | \(\Delta v_{\text{sys}} = 258\) [km s\(^{-1}\)] |                               |                             |           |              |           |                |
|         | \(m_B = 23.8\)                     |                               |                             |           |              |           |                |
| 13636   | Si\(\nu\) | 1260.4221                      | 1260.23 ± 0.70             | -0.21 ± 0.068 | 1.76 ± 0.65 | -0.91 ± 0.19 | -46 ± 165    |
|         | EW(Ly\(\alpha\))= 86.80 [Å]       |                               |                             |           |              |           |                |
|         | \(\Delta v_{\text{sys}} = 197\) [km s\(^{-1}\)] |                               |                             |           |              |           |                |
|         | \(m_B = 24.1\)                     |                               |                             |           |              |           |                |
| 14212   | Si\(\nu\) | 1260.4221                      | 1258.98 ± 0.56             | -0.65 ± 0.31 | 0.93 ± 0.48 | -1.52 ± 0.24 | -343 ± 133   |
|         | EW(Ly\(\alpha\))= 54.98 [Å]       |                               |                             |           |              |           |                |
|         | \(\Delta v_{\text{sys}} = 188\) [km s\(^{-1}\)] |                               |                             |           |              |           |                |
|         | \(m_B = 24.0\)                     |                               |                             |           |              |           |                |
| 10600   | Si\(\nu\) | 1260.4221                      | 1258.90 ± 1.07             | -0.28 ± 0.11 | 2.34 ± 1.18 | -1.65 ± 0.19 | -363 ± 254   |
|         | EW(Ly\(\alpha\))= 58.19 [Å]       |                               |                             |           |              |           |                |
|         | \(\Delta v_{\text{sys}} = 181\) [km s\(^{-1}\)] |                               |                             |           |              |           |                |
|         | \(m_B = 23.6\)                     |                               |                             |           |              |           |                |

Notes. Columns: (1) Object ID, (2) Ion, (3) Wavelength in rest frame, (4) Observed wavelength of the line, (5) Amplitude of the emission line, (6) Width of the absorption line uncorrected for the instrumental broadening, (7) Equivalent width of the line, (8) Velocity offset of emission line relative to nebular emission lines.

\(^a\) The value of \(\Delta v\) assumes that the rest wavelength of the blend is 1303.2694 Å.

\(^b\) The value of \(\Delta v\) assumes that the rest wavelength of the blend is 1549.479 Å.

Table 5
Emission Line Features of UV-continuum Detected LAEs

| Object  | Ion   | \(\lambda_{\text{rest}}\) (Å) | \(\lambda_{\text{sys}}\) (Å) | \(I/I_0\) | \(\sigma\) (Å) | EW (Å) | \(\Delta v_{\text{sys}}\) (km s\(^{-1}\)) |
|---------|-------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|--------------|-------|----------------|
| 12805   | Si\(\nu\) | 1309.276                        | 1309.26 ± 0.44             | 0.78 ± 0.37 | 0.82 ± 0.45 | 1.59 ± 0.21 | 5 ± 100        |
|         | O\(\tau\) | 1660.809                       | 1660.58 ± 0.51             | 0.64 ± 0.49 | 0.41 ± 0.31 | 0.66 ± 0.19 | -42 ± 93       |
|         | O\(\nu\)  | 1666.150                       | 1665.34 ± 0.45             | 0.89 ± 0.76 | 0.46 ± 0.29 | 1.02 ± 0.19 | -147 ± 81      |
| 13636   | Si\(\nu\) | 1533.431                       | 1532.97 ± 0.62             | 0.48 ± 0.40 | 0.44 ± 0.39 | 0.52 ± 0.20 | -90 ± 121      |
|         | O\(\tau\) | 1666.150                       | 1665.92 ± 1.4              | 0.39 ± 0.30 | 1.47 ± 1.23 | 1.45 ± 0.19 | -42 ± 257      |
| 14212   | Si\(\nu\) | 1264.738                       | 1265.06 ± 0.49             | 0.80 ± 0.54 | 0.65 ± 0.45 | 1.30 ± 0.24 | 76 ± 117       |
| 10600   | Si\(\nu\) | 1264.738                       | 1264.94 ± 0.61             | 0.45 ± 0.32 | 0.52 ± 0.34 | 0.59 ± 0.16 | 47 ± 144       |
|         | O\(\tau\) | 1533.431                       | 1534.03 ± 0.44             | 0.73 ± 0.48 | 0.22 ± 0.13 | 0.41 ± 0.18 | 116 ± 85       |
|         | O\(\nu\)  | 1666.150                       | 1665.52 ± 0.97             | 0.37 ± 0.27 | 0.94 ± 0.69 | 0.86 ± 0.20 | -113 ± 175     |

Notes. Columns: (1) Object ID, (2) Ion, (3) Wavelength in rest frame, (4) Observed wavelength of the line, (5) Amplitude of the emission line, (6) Width of the absorption line uncorrected for the instrumental broadening, (7) Equivalent width of the line, (8) Velocity offset of emission line relative to nebular emission lines.
This alternative is helpful to additionally calculate the average depth of each best-fit Gaussian residual intensity in the core of the absorption line profiles. We adequately estimate the depth of a profile with a low \( S/N \) values of \( f_c \) for LAE 14212 is because the line profiles, with the exception of LAE 14212. The difference \( \Delta \alpha \) respectively.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

In order to derive physical properties from stellar components, we perform SED fitting to the eight LAEs with known \( z_{sys} \). These LAEs have been imaged in several filters in the COSMOS or SXDS surveys. We use \( B, V, r, i', z' \) data taken with Subaru/Suprime-Cam, \( J \) data obtained with UKIRT/WFCAM, \( K_s \) data from Canada–France–Hawaii Telescope/WIRCAM (McCracken et al. 2010), and \( Spitzer/IRAC \) 3.6, 4.5, 5.8, and 8.0 \( \mu m \) photometry from the Spitzer legacy survey of the UDS field.

The fitting procedure is the same as in Ono et al. (2010). We create a SED of a starburst galaxy using a stellar population synthesis model, \( \text{GALAXEV} \) (Bruzual & Charlot 2003) including nebular emission (Schaer & de Barros 2009) with a Salpeter initial mass function with lower and upper mass cutoffs of \( m_1 = 0.1 M_\odot \) and \( m_u = 100 M_\odot \). We assume a constant star formation history with a metallicity of \( Z/Z_\odot = 0.2 \). We use Calzetti’s law (Calzetti et al. 2000) for the stellar continuum extinction \( E(B-V) \). These parameters are selected to be the same as those used in Hashimoto et al. (2013) for consistency. The IGM absorption is applied to the spectra using the model of Madau (1995). The best-fit parameters and model spectra are shown in Table 6 and Figure 8, respectively. The best-fit stellar mass of our LAEs ranges from \( \log M_\star \sim 9 \) to \( \sim 10 \) which is broadly comparable to that of LBGs. This is because we choose bright objects from our LAE sample for the spectroscopic observations. Thus, the small \( \Delta \alpha_{\text{Ly}\alpha} \) of LAEs does not appear to be caused by a difference in stellar mass between LAEs and LBGs.

6. RESULTS

6.1. Difference in \( \Delta \alpha_{\text{Ly}\alpha} \) between LAEs and LBGs

In this section, we statistically investigate the difference in \( \Delta \alpha_{\text{Ly}\alpha} \) between LAEs and LBGs in a compilation of LAEs with a \( \Delta \alpha_{\text{Ly}\alpha} \) measurement in the previous studies including our 12 LAEs. The \( \text{Ly}\alpha \) velocity offsets have previously been estimated for two objects in McLinden et al. (2011), three in the HETDEX survey (Finkelstein et al. 2011; Chonis et al. 2013), four from Hashimoto et al. (2013), and two LAEs in the MUSYC project.
Figure 8. Results of SED fitting for the eight LAEs with a Δv_Lyα measurement. Red lines indicate the best-fit model spectra. Black filled squares represent observed magnitudes. Red crosses denote the flux densities at individual filters expected from the best-fit model spectra.

Notes. Columns: (1) Slit mask. (2) Object ID. (3) SFR. (4) Dust extinction. (5) Stellar mass. (6) Reduced χ² of the SED fitting.

Table 6
SED Fitting Results for LAEs with a Systemic Redshift

| Slit Mask | Object | SFR (M⊙ yr⁻¹) | E(B−V) | log M∗ | Δv_Lyα |
|-----------|--------|---------------|--------|--------|--------|
|           |        | (3)           |        | (4)    | (5)    |
| (1)       | (2)    | (3)           | (4)    | (5)    | (6)    |
| COSMOS    | 12805  | 34.7±1.3      | 0.158±0.018 | 9.442±0.134 | 6.6   |
|           | 13138  | 12.8±1.5      | 0.185±0.035 | 9.483±0.218 | 1.7   |
|           | 13636  | 67.9±1.2      | 0.185±0.009 | 9.051±0.115 | 3.3   |
|           | 14212  | 187.3±1.0     | 0.326±0.009 | 10.364±0.048 | 13    |
|           | 08357  | 9.5±2.1       | 0.141±0.053 | 9.213±0.494 | 0.6   |
| COSMOS3B  | 38380  | 19.8±1.1      | 0.132±0.018 | 10.055±0.110 | 1.4   |
| SXDS495B | 10600  | 23.6±1.0      | 0.053±0.009 | 9.464±0.049 | 4.7   |
|           | 10942  | 14.9±3.5      | 0.044±0.018 | 7.734±0.110 | 0.4   |

Notes. Columns: (1) Slit mask. (2) Object ID. (3) SFR. (4) Dust extinction. (5) Stellar mass. (6) Reduced χ² of the SED fitting.

Figure 9. Histograms of Lyα velocity offset for the 22 LAEs in this study and literatures (McLinden et al. 2011; Finkelstein et al. 2011; Hashimoto et al. 2013; Guaita et al. 2013; Chonis et al. 2013), and 41 LBGs given by Steidel et al. (2010).

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)
Table 7

Properties of the NB-selected Galaxies with Detections of Lyα and Nebular Emission Lines in the Previous Studies

| Object | $z_{sys}$ | EW(Lyα) (Å) | $\Delta v_{Lyα}$ (km s$^{-1}$) | SFR ($M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$) | $E(B - V)$ | log $M_*$ ($M_\odot$) | Comments |
|--------|-----------|-------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------|----------------|----------|
| LAE2787 | 3.11879 | 118$^{+34}_{-40}$ | 125 ± 17.3 | ... | ... | 9.97$^{+0.37}_{-0.39}$ | [O iii] 5007 |
| LAE40844 | 3.11170 | 78$^{+8}_{-8}$ | 342 ± 18.3 | 113$^{+120}_{-60}$ | ... | 9.80$^{+0.73}_{-0.36}$ | [O iii] 5007 |
| HPS 194 | 2.28628 | 114 ± 13 | 303 ± 28 | < 29$^{3b}_{0}$ | 0.09 ± 0.06 | 10.2$^{+0.08}_{-0.14}$ | HETDEX sample |
| HPS 256 | 2.49024 | 206 ± 65 | 177$^{+52}_{-68}$ | > 35.4$^{b}_{0}$ | 0.10 ± 0.09 | 8.28$^{+0.05}_{-0.02}$ | H/β, [O iii] 5007, [O ii] 5007, Hα |
| HPS 251 | 2.28490 | 140 ± 43 | 146$^{+116}_{-156}$ | > 9.9$^{b}_{0}$ | 0.07 ± 0.08 | 9.04$^{+0.73}_{-0.04}$ | [O ii] 5007 |
| CDFS-3865 | 2.17210 | 64$^{+29}_{-20}$ | 281$^{+99}_{-25}$ | 190$^{+13}_{-13}$ | 0.185$^{+0.009}_{-0.009}$ | 9.50$^{+0.028}_{-0.018}$ | Subaru NB387 sample |
| CDFS-6482 | 2.20443 | 76$^{+52}_{-52}$ | 156$^{+26}_{-25}$ | 48$^{+10b}_{9}$ | 0.185$^{+0.026}_{-0.018}$ | 9.72$^{+0.087}_{-0.071}$ | [O ii] 5007, Hα |
| COSMOS-13636 | 2.16125$^e$ | 73$^{+5}_{-5}$ | 99$^{+16c}_{-16}$ | 18$^{+3}_{b}$ | 0.273$^{+0.018}_{-0.079}$ | 9.30$^{+0.078}_{-0.330}$ | |
| COSMOS-30679 | 2.19776 | 87$^{+7}_{-7}$ | 253$^{+26}_{-26}$ | 45$^{+5}_{b}$ | 0.528$^{+0.026}_{-0.026}$ | 10.3$^{+0.124}_{-0.151}$ | |
| LAE27 | 3.0830 | 25.7 | 167.8 ± 105.3 | ... | < 0.1 | 9.95$^{+0.13}_{-0.17}$ | MUSYC sample |
| z3LAE2 | 3.1118 | 23.8 | 221.8 ± 90.0 | ... | 0.32$^{+0.06}_{-0.23}$ | 9.95$^{+0.13}_{-0.17}$ | H/β, [O iii] 5007, [O ii] 5007 |

Notes. Columns: (1) Object ID. (2) Systemic redshift. (3) Lyα equivalent width. (4) Lyα velocity offset. (5) SFR. (6) Dust extinction. (7) Stellar mass. (8) Comments.

$^a$ Estimated in Rhoads et al. (2014).

$^b$ Based on Hα flux.

$^c$ The $\Delta v_{Lyα}$ of this object is calculated to be 197 ± 102 km s$^{-1}$ in our FMOS observation with higher spectral resolution than that of the Keck-II/NIRSPEC spectroscopy in Hashimoto et al. (2013) (see Table 2).
6.2. Difference in $\Delta v_{\text{IS}}$ between LAEs and LBGs

We additionally examine a possible difference in $\Delta v_{\text{IS}}$ between LAEs and LBGs. The weighted means of $\Delta v_{\text{IS}}$ of the absorption lines are calculated to be $-134 \pm 67$, $-261 \pm 48$, $-216 \pm 56$, and $-169 \pm 52$ km s$^{-1}$ for LAE 13636, 10600, 14212, and 12805, respectively. In the calculation of the average $\Delta v_{\text{IS}}$ for each object, we exclude several line pairs with a large $\Delta v_{\text{IS}}$ of $\gtrsim 500$ km s$^{-1}$ which are not reliably determined due to a line blending. As shown in Table 4, we find that almost all IS absorption lines are blueshifted with respect to $z_{\text{sys}}$ by $\gtrsim -200$ km s$^{-1}$, which indicates that gaseous outflows are present in the continuum-detected LAEs.

The left panel in Figure 10 represents the relation between EW(Ly$\alpha$) and $\Delta v_{\text{IS}}$. The average of the four is $\Delta v_{\text{IS}} = -204 \pm 27$ km s$^{-1}$, which is comparable to that of LBGs (e.g., Erb et al. 2006b; Steidel et al. 2010) in contrast to $\Delta v_{\text{Ly} \alpha}$, although the current small sample of LAEs with a $\Delta v_{\text{IS}}$ is insufficient to provide a definitive conclusion for $\Delta v_{\text{IS}}$ of LAEs and LBGs.

6.3. Difference in $f_c$ between LAEs and LBGs

We compare the H I covering fraction $f_c$ of LAEs derived in Section 4.4 with that of $z \sim 2$–3 LBGs in Jones et al. (2013). Note that here we place lower limits on $f_c$ when $r > 1$. Figure 12 displays the relation between $f_c$ and Ly$\alpha$ EW, indicating a tentative trend that $f_c$ decreases with Ly$\alpha$ EW. This trend has already been found in Jones et al. (2013) using an LBG sample. We find that the trend continues in objects with a higher Ly$\alpha$ EW. Our slope of the trend is slightly steeper than that in Jones et al. (2013), which would result from the wider dynamic range in Ly$\alpha$ EW. However, this trend could arise from the difference in the spectral resolution, although this tendency may marginally be found for LAEs alone. In addition to $f_c$, we compare EW(LIS) between LAEs and LBGs at $z = 3$–4 LBGs in the bottom panel of Figure 11. Shapley et al. (2003) have found that EW(LIS) decreases with increasing EW(Ly$\alpha$) with composite spectra of LBGs. Our LAEs with EW(Ly$\alpha$) = 30–90 Å follow the trend between EW(Ly$\alpha$) and EW(LIS), which might be indicative of a low velocity dispersion and/or low $f_c$, as suggested by Shapley et al. (2003). These results related to the low $f_c$ imply the need for modeling Ly$\alpha$ line profiles emitted from a non-spherical shell of neutral gas (e.g., Zheng & Wallace 2013; Behrens et al. 2014).

7. DISCUSSION

7.1. Origin of Small $\Delta v_{\text{Ly} \alpha}$ in LAEs

As described in the previous sections, we definitely confirm the anti-correlation between $\Delta v_{\text{Ly} \alpha}$ and Ly$\alpha$ EW by using a larger LAE sample than previously available. In this section, we explore the physical origin of the small $\Delta v_{\text{Ly} \alpha}$ in high Ly$\alpha$ EW galaxies.

Models predict that the redshift of the Ly$\alpha$ emission line should increase with either outflow velocity or neutral hydrogen column density ($N_{\text{H I}}$) (Verhamme et al. 2006, 2008). We have shown that the outflow velocities of LAE are comparable to those of LBGs, so the smaller $\Delta v_{\text{Ly} \alpha}$ for LAE is likely to be due to lower column densities in these objects.

In order to address the origin of the small $\Delta v_{\text{Ly} \alpha}$ in LAEs, we introduce the velocity offset ratio,

$$R_{\text{IS}}^{\text{Ly} \alpha} = \frac{\Delta v_{\text{Ly} \alpha}}{\Delta v_{\text{IS}}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

The value of $R_{\text{IS}}^{\text{Ly} \alpha}$ could trace purely physical properties such as $N_{\text{H I}}$ and the dust amount by excluding the kinematic effect of a bulk outflow, since the quantity is normalized by the outflowing velocity, as suggested in (Verhamme et al. 2006). Hashimoto et al. (2013) infer the average value of $\Delta v_{\text{IS}}$ for LAEs from a stacked spectrum of four LAEs with a $z_{\text{sys}}$, and compare $R_{\text{IS}}^{\text{Ly} \alpha}$ between LAEs and LBGs. In the stacking analysis, $R_{\text{IS}}^{\text{Ly} \alpha}$ is found...
variety of the quantity from 0 to $\Delta v_{\text{Ly} \alpha}$ (Section 5). The physical properties of LAEs with $N_{\text{HI}}$ and LBGs with a $\Delta v_{\text{Ly} \alpha}$ correlate most strongly with mass-related quantities and star formation rate (SFR), respectively. Figures 13 and 14 show the correlations of these quantities, including LAEs and LBGs. The small $N_{\text{HI}}$ in LAEs would be indicative of a small $N_{\text{HI}}$ in LBGs.

Next, we examine possible correlations of $\Delta v_{\text{Ly} \alpha}$ and $R_{\text{IS}}$ with physical properties inferred from the SED fitting (Section 5). The physical properties of LAEs with $\Delta v_{\text{Ly} \alpha}$ and $R_{\text{IS}}$ in the literature are given in Table 7. In correlation tests, $\Delta v_{\text{Ly} \alpha}$ and $R_{\text{IS}}$ correlate most strongly with mass-related quantities and SFR, respectively. Figures 13 and 14 show the correlations of these quantities, respectively, including LAEs and LBGs with a $z_{\text{sys}}$. The SFR value of several LBGs in the literature is based on a Hα flux through the relation of Kennicutt (1998). The SFR based on a Ha flux is found to be comparable to the value inferred from SED fitting (Hashimoto et al. 2013). We conduct Spearman rank correlation tests in order to find the most related physical quantities to $\Delta v_{\text{Ly} \alpha}$ and $R_{\text{IS}}$ in the same manner as Steidel et al. (2010). Table 8 summarizes the results of the Spearman rank correlation tests.

For $\Delta v_{\text{Ly} \alpha}$ velocity offsets, we find that the $\Delta v_{\text{Ly} \alpha}$ strongly correlates with SFR and stellar mass, which has not been observed previously in an LBG sample (Steidel et al. 2010). These correlations may have merged because our sample covers larger dynamic ranges of SFR and $M_*$.

7.2. What is the Physical Origin of Strong Lyα Emission?

With our larger sample of LAEs with a $z_{\text{sys}}$, we conclusively confirm that LAEs typically have a smaller $\Delta v_{\text{Ly} \alpha}$ than LBGs with a lower Lyα EW, while their outflowing velocities are small $N_{\text{HI}}$ in LAEs. As far as the velocity offset ratio is concerned, we do not find a notable correlation between $R_{\text{IS}}$ and the physical properties. Nonetheless, the correlation tests indicate that $R_{\text{IS}}$ most correlates with SFR among the four physical quantities. The correlation may reflect the connection between star formation and $N_{\text{HI}}$, if $R_{\text{IS}}$ is sensitive to $N_{\text{HI}}$. A larger sample of LAEs with a $R_{\text{IS}}$ measurement might reveal its physical connections with galactic properties.

![Figure 13. Correlations between $\Delta v_{\text{Ly} \alpha}$ and physical properties inferred from the SED fitting. The symbols are the same as Figure 10. We multiply the physical quantities of LBGs in Erb et al. (2006a) by 1.8, because they use a Chabrier initial mass function (Chabrier 2003) in the SED fitting.](image)

![Figure 14. Same as Figure 13, but for $R_{\text{IS}}$. Red squares indicate the four UV continuum-detected LAEs.](image)

**Table 8**

| Quantity    | $\Delta v_{\text{Ly} \alpha}$ | $N_{\text{HI}}$ | $R_{\text{IS}}$ | $N_{\text{HI}}$ |
|-------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| SFR         | 0.065                           | 53              | 0.241           | 31              |
| sSFR        | -0.098                          | 51              | -0.852          | 31              |
| $E(B-V)$    | 0.792                           | 56              | 0.272           | 34              |
| $M_*$       | 0.001                           | 52              | 0.810           | 31              |

**Notes.** Columns: (1) Physical quantity. (2) Probabilities satisfying the null hypothesis that the quantities are not correlated in Spearman rank correlation tests. A smaller absolute value of the probabilities implies that a physical property more correlates with a Lyα velocity offset. Negative values indicates anti-correlations. (3) Number of galaxies in the correlation test between $\Delta v_{\text{Ly} \alpha}$ and physical quantities. (4)–(5) Probabilities and galaxy numbers in the correlation tests for $R_{\text{IS}}$. Two LBGs with an extremely high $R_{\text{IS}}$ value of >25 are excluded in the correlation tests.

$\Delta v_{\text{Ly} \alpha}$ and specific SFR (sSFR) may arise from the stellar mass.
similar in the two populations. These results yield a small $R_{\text{IS}}^{\alpha}$ in LAEs, which indicates a small $N_{\text{H}1}$ in galaxies with a high Ly$\alpha$ EW. The anti-correlations of $f_\alpha$ and EW(LIS) with Ly$\alpha$ EW in Figures 12 and 11 are consistent with the small $N_{\text{H}1}$ in LAEs. The patchy H$\text{I}$ gas clouds surrounding the central source would lead to a small flux-averaged $N_{\text{H}1}$ corresponding to a small $R_{\text{IS}}^{\alpha}$. In this condition, Ly$\alpha$ photons could easily escape less affected by resonant scattering in the clouds. The results of our kinematic analyses support the idea that the H$\text{I}$ column density is a key quantity determining Ly$\alpha$ emissivity.

Moreover, recent NIR spectroscopy by Nakajima et al. (2013) has suggested that LAEs have a large $[\text{O} \text{III}] / [\text{O} \text{II}]$ ratio, indicating these systems are highly ionized with density-bounded H$\text{II}$ regions. This tendency has been confirmed by a subsequent systematic study in Nakajima & Ouchi (2013). The large $[\text{O} \text{III}] / [\text{O} \text{II}]$ ratio also indicates a low column density of H$\text{I}$ gas. A stacked UV continuum spectrum of our eight LAEs shows that LIS absorption lines have a low EW, as shown in Figures 15 (see also Figure 11). The weak LIS absorption lines are consistent with a large $[\text{O} \text{III}] / [\text{O} \text{II}]$ ratio in LAEs (e.g., Jones et al. 2012).

In our first paper of the series investigating LAE structures, we find that LAEs with a high Ly$\alpha$ EW tend to be a non-merger, to show a small Ly$\alpha$ spatial offset between Ly$\alpha$ and stellar continuum emission $\delta_{\text{Ly}\alpha}$, and to have a small ellipticity by using a large sample of 426 LAEs (Shibuya et al. 2014). On the basis of these results on the gas distribution, the difference in H$\text{I}$ column density explains the Ly$\alpha$-EW dependences of the merger fraction, the Ly$\alpha$ spatial offset, and the galaxy inclination. For objects with density-bounded H$\text{II}$ regions, Ly$\alpha$ photons would directly escape from central ionizing sources, which produce a small $\delta_{\text{Ly}\alpha}$. The low H$\text{I}$ abundance along the line of sight also induces the preferential escape of Ly$\alpha$ to the face-on direction.

All of the above results suggest that ionized regions with small amounts of H$\text{I}$ gas dominate in galaxies with a high Ly$\alpha$.

8. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

We carry out deep optical spectroscopy for our large sample of LAEs at $z = 2.2$ in order to detect their Ly$\alpha$ lines with Keck/LRIS. We compare redshifts of the Ly$\alpha$ and nebular emission lines detected with Subaru/FMOS, and calculate $\Delta v_{\text{Ly}\alpha}$ for new 11 LAEs. This observation doubles the sample size of LAEs with a $\Delta v_{\text{Ly}\alpha}$ measurement in literatures.

The conclusions of this study are summarized below.

1. Almost all of our new LAEs have a $\Delta v_{\text{Ly}\alpha}$ of $\sim 200$ km s$^{-1}$ which is systematically smaller than that of LBGs. Using 22 LAEs with $\Delta v_{\text{Ly}\alpha}$ measurements taken from our new observations and the literature, we definitively confirm the anti-correlation between Ly$\alpha$ EW and $\Delta v_{\text{Ly}\alpha}$ suggested by previous work.

2. Long exposure times and the high sensitivity of LRIS at blue wavelengths enabled us to successfully detect IS absorption lines against faint UV continua from four individual LAEs. These IS absorption lines are found to be blueshifted from the systemic redshift by 200–300 km s$^{-1}$, indicating strong gaseous outflows are present even in LAEs.

3. We estimate $R_{\text{IS}}^{\alpha} = \Delta v_{\text{Ly}\alpha} / \delta_{\text{Ly}\alpha}$ that would be a quantity sensitive to $N_{\text{H}1}$ for the four UV continuum-detected LAEs. We find the value of $R_{\text{IS}}^{\alpha}$ in LAEs to be smaller than that of LBGs, indicating a lower $N_{\text{H}1}$ in LAEs. We performed a test for correlations between $R_{\text{IS}}^{\alpha}$ and physical properties inferred from SED fitting. As a result, we tentatively conclude that SFR may be most closely related to $R_{\text{IS}}^{\alpha}$. The correlation may suggest that the star formation preferentially occurs in systems with large amounts of neutral hydrogen gas, which would have a larger value of $R_{\text{IS}}^{\alpha}$.

4. We estimate the covering fraction, $f_\alpha$, of surrounding H$\text{I}$ gas from the depth of LIS absorption lines the four LAEs. We identify a tentative trend for $f_\alpha$ to decrease with increasing Ly$\alpha$ EW, as suggested by a study for LBGs in Jones et al. (2013). A central source being covered by patchy H$\text{I}$ gas clouds would lead to a small flux-averaged $N_{\text{H}1}$, corresponding to a small $R_{\text{IS}}^{\alpha}$. In this condition, Ly$\alpha$ photons could easily escape less affected by resonant scattering in the clouds.

5. The results of our kinematic analyses support the idea that the H$\text{I}$ column density is a key quantity determining Ly$\alpha$ emissivity.
In this kinematic study, we obtain $\Delta \Delta_{\rm IS}$, $R_{\rm IS}$, and $f$, only for objects with a moderate Ly$\alpha$ EW of 20–100 \AA \ which overlaps with the Ly$\alpha$ EW range of LBG samples in e.g., Shapley et al. (2003). We need to estimate these quantities for objects with a higher Ly$\alpha$ EW in order to check whether such objects follow the kinematic trends found in this study.
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