Air quantity impact assessment due to Koradi thermal power plant
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Abstract. Prediction of ambient air quality and impact of emission requires an assessment of transport, dispersion and removal process of pollutant species. Gaussian dispersal model (IS CST3) used to predict environmental impact based on observed meteorological data. Temporal and spatial distribution of SO2 concentration in the vicinity of the Koradi Thermal Power Plant near Nagpur has been studied. Calculated hourly mixing height, stability classes and ventilation coefficient data has been used as an input to the model for computing location wise daily highest concentration of SO2 and analyzed for temporal and spatial distribution of SO2 concentration.
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1. Introduction

Rapid industrialization and urbanization have introduced severe stresses within the environment which have immediate as well as long term impact. Gaussian dispersion models are frequently used to predict environmental impacts based on observed meteorological data with the help of long term climatology. Such models enable optimal source utilization, development of abatement strategies and assessment of air shed assimilative capacity. Several authors, viz., Padmanabhamurthy & Gupta (1977, 1978, 1979, 1986); Gupta (1980, 1984); Patil & Patil (1990) have attempted to develop diffusion models for Indian region. Local meteorological conditions have a general effect on air quality. Surface concentration increases with temperature (AW and Kleeman, 2003; Dawson et al., 2007b; Kleeman, 2007) due to faster SO2 oxidation. In contrast, nitrate and semi volatile component shift from particle phase to gas phase with increasing temperature (Sheehan and Bowman, 2001; Tsigaridis and Kanakidou, 2007).

Thus, an attempt have been made to study month wise accumulation of one hour and 24 hour concentration of Sulphur dioxide (SO2) within 5 km periphery of Koradi Thermal Power Station by using numerical model called AERMOD model also known as Gaussian Dispersal model.

2. Physiography and power plant characteristics

Koradi Thermal Power Plant has three stacks and details are furnished in Table 1. It is one of the four major power plant began operation in 1974. Plant operates seven
TABLE 1

Stack details of Koradi Thermal Power Plant

| Stack No. | Height of stack in meter | Stack Exit Temp. in °C | Stack Exit velocity m/s | Stack Diameter (m) |
|-----------|--------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|
| 1         | 90                       | 142                    | 17.9                    | 3.47 × 3.47        |
| 2         | 90                       | 139                    | 16.8                    | 3.43 × 3.43        |
| 3         | 90                       | 140                    | 17.5                    | 3.15 × 3.15        |

TABLE 2

Maximum concentration of SO\(_2\) in 24 hour

| Month       | Maximum 24 Hours mean Concentration (μg/m\(^3\)) | Distance in meter & Direction from source |
|-------------|--------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| January     | 10.83                                            | 2500, Southeast                           |
| February    | 12.32                                            | 2000, Northwest                           |
| March       | 10.03                                            | 3000, West-southwest                      |
| April       | 11.03                                            | 2500, Southwest                           |
| May         | 12.94                                            | 1500, East-southeast                      |
| June        | 10.05                                            | 1500, East-southeast                      |
| July        | 7.46                                             | 3000, Southeast                           |
| August      | 9.49                                             | 3000, East-southeast                      |
| September   | 8.91                                             | 3000, East                               |
| October     | 13.50                                            | 3000, East-southeast                      |
| November    | 8.82                                             | 3500, Southwest                           |
| December    | 7.46                                             | 5000, Southwest                           |

units and has a total power generation capacity 1080 MW. Three units have capacity of 660 MW.

The major pollutants from the plant are the particulate matter and Sulphur dioxide (SO\(_2\)). These pollutants represent potential future air quality problem in and around Nagpur city. Principle component of particulate matter (PM) like sulfate, nitrate, organic carbon, elemental carbon are mostly present as fine particles less than 2.5 μm diameter (PM 2.5) and these are most concern for human health. Sulfate, nitrate & Organic Carbon are produced within the atmosphere by oxidation in SO\(_2\), NO and Non Methane volatile Organic Compound.

3. Data and method of analysis

No significant variation in surface air temperature between meteorological observatory and power plant. Meteorological data, viz., surface and upper air observations recorded at Nagpur airport has been used in this study for the period 1\(^{st}\) July, 2013 to 30\(^{th}\) June, 2014. Daily upper air meteorological data at every 50 hPa level from surface to 700 hPa level of 0000 UTC hours and hourly surface meteorological data like Dry bulb temperature, Wet bulb temperature, wind speed, wind direction, cloud amount have been used for computation of hourly mixing depth and ventilation coefficient and stability classification.

Hourly mixing depth with stability index, wind and ventilation coefficient data have been taken as input to the model. The model has been run for 24 hours daily for 21 × 21 grid point at an interval of 500 meter for predicting the highest concentration of Sulphur Dioxide (SO\(_2\)) in one hour and 24 hours respectively. Source of the emission has been considered at the center of the grid. Maximum concentration in a month on hourly and 24 hourly basis has been equated.

4. Results and discussion

4.1. Highest concentration of SO\(_2\) in one hour

Maharashtra Pollution Control Board Nagpur have recorded 7.0 μg/m\(^3\) and 19.0 μg/m\(^3\) as minimum and maximum concentration of SO\(_2\) respectively at Government Polytechnic College, Nagpur, the nearest place to Koradi thermal power station and 11 μg/m\(^3\) concentration of SO\(_2\) on annual basis.

4.2. Highest concentration of SO\(_2\) in 24 hours

Twenty four hourly highest concentration of SO\(_2\) in a month has been shown in Table 2. The 24 hourly concentration of SO\(_2\) is higher (13.5 μg/m\(^3\)) in October (Post monsoon season) followed by 12.9 μg/m\(^3\) in May (Pre monsoon season). The 24 hourly concentration of SO\(_2\) is lower (7.5μg/m\(^3\)) in July (monsoon season) and December (winter season).
According to CPCB (2012) report, the time weighted average of SO2 concentration in ambient air in industrial area on annual basis is 80 μg/m³. However, observed maximum 24 hourly SO2 concentrations near Koradi Thermal power Station is 21 μg/m³ in November (Table 3). The model has also predicted maximum (13.5 μg/m³) 24 hourly concentration of SO2 in October at 3000 m. east-southeast from source. Thus, 24 hourly concentration of SO2 does not exceed the National Ambient Air Quality Standard (i.e., 80 μg/m³) of CPCB for industrial area and remained within the prescribed standard limit throughout the year.

4.3. Seasonal maximum concentration of SO2 in 24 hour

Predicted maximum 24 hourly and observed concentration of SO2 by the Maharashtra Pollution Control Board recorded near plant has been shown in Table 3. The model has predicted maximum 24 hourly concentrations of 12.95 μg/m³ at 3500 m in southeast direction during pre-monsoon and 13.50 μg/m³ at 5000 m in southwest direction during post monsoon season, which are comparable with observed concentration of 12.95 and 13.5 μg/m³ respectively. Model has underestimated maximum 24 hourly concentrations of 12.32 μg/m³ at 4500 m in south direction as compared to observed (21 μg/m³) in winter season.

The total concentration (predicted + observed) in pre, post and winter seasons are much below the Air Quality Standards prescribed by CPCB (2012).

Seasonal spatial distribution of maximum 24 hourly concentration of SO2 has been depicted in Fig. 1(a-c). In pre monsoon season, the concentration of SO2 less than 10.0 μg/m³ spreads up to 5000 m in all direction [Fig. 1(a)]. Higher concentration (more than 10.0 μg/m³) observed at 4500 m in southeast of source. The concentration less than 8.0 μg/m³ spreads in all direction up to 5000 m with more concentration (more than 8.0 μg/m³) at 4500 m east of the source in post monsoon season [Fig. 1(b)]. Similarly, concentration less than 8.0 μg/m³ spreads in all direction up to 5000 m with higher concentration (more than 8.0 μg/m³) at 4500 m southwest of source [Fig. 1(c)].

Thus, seasonal spatial variation of 24 hourly concentration of SO2 remained within the prescribed limit of National Ambient Air Quality Standard (i.e., 80 μg/m³) of CPCB (2012). This study suggests that the location of plant has an ideal location where dispersal capacity of the atmosphere is very strong. Highest dispersal capacity of the atmosphere plays an important role in ventilating the gaseous pollutant quickly from the atmosphere. Therefore, plant is not impacting the Air Quality.

5. Conclusions

Model has predicted less amount of maximum SO2 concentration in one hour and 24 hour compared to National Air Quality Standard in the vicinity of plant. Model also predicted seasonal SO2 concentration as compared to actual in pre and post monsoon season and under estimated in winter. Seasonal temporal and spatial
distribution of SO$_2$ concentration also found below the National Air Quality Standard. Therefore, it is inferred that the plant has an ideal location with high dispersal capacity of the atmosphere.

Acknowledgement

Author is thankful to India Meteorological Department for supply of meteorological data and necessary computing facility. Author is also thankful to Maharashtra pollution Control Board for providing stack data of power plant.

The contents and views expressed in this research paper are the views of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of their organizations.

References

AW, J. and Kleeman, M. J., 2003, “Evaluating the first order effect of intra annual temperature variability on urban air pollution”, J. Geophys. Res., 108, 4365.

CPCB, 2012, “Report on National Ambient Air Quality Status and Trends in India 2010”, January, 2012.

Dowson, J. P., Adams, P. J. and Pandis, S. N., 2007b, “Sensitivity of PM$_{10}$ to climate in the eastern US: A modeling case study”, Atmos. chem. Phys., 7, 4295-4309.

Gupta, R. N., 1980, “A numerical solution for dispersal of air pollutants”, Mausam, 31, 2, 209-214.

Gupta, R. N., 1984, “Atmospheric Diffusion model for regulatory purpose”, Mausam, 35, 453-458.

Kleeman, M. J., 2007, “A preliminary assessment of the sensitivity of air quality In California to global change”, Climatic change, 87, 5273-5292.

Padmanabhamurthy, B. and Gupta, R. N., 1977, “Particulate pollution in Delhi due to Indraprastha Power Plant”, IJMG, 28, 3, 375-384.

Padmanabhamurthy, B. and Gupta, R. N., 1978, “Atmospheric pollution due to improper sitting - A meteorological approach for abatement”, IJMG, 29, 4, 695-700

Padmanabhamurthy, B. and Gupta, R. N., 1979, “Stability classification in micrometeorology”, Mausam, 30, 4, 526-527.

Padmanabhamurthy, B. and Gupta, R. N., 1986, “An urban diffusion model for Delhi using computer technique”, Mausam, 37, 61-66.

Patil, S. B. and Patil, R. S., 1990, “Estimation of Quantitative air quality impact assessment source for a thermal Power plant”, Atmos. Environ., 24, 3, 443-448.

Sheehan, P. E. and Bowman, F. K., 2001, “Estimated effects of Temperature on Secondary Organic aerosol concentrations”, Environ. Sci, Techno., 35, 2129-2135.

Tsigaridis, K. and Kanakidou, M., 2007, “Secondary Organic aerosol importance in the future atmosphere”, Atmos. Environ., 41, 4682-4692.