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Within the ovarian follicle, granulosa cells (GCs) surround and support immature oocytes. FSH promotes the differentiation and proliferation of GCs and is essential for fertility. We recently reported that ERK activation is necessary for FSH to induce key genes that define the preovulatory GC. This research focused on the phosphoregulation by FSH of ERK within GCs. FSH-stimulated ERK phosphorylation on Thr202/Tyr204 was PKA-dependent, but MEK(Ser217/Ser221)-phosphorylated ERK was not regulated; rather, MEK was already active. However, treatment of GCs with the EGFR receptor inhibitor AG1478, a dominant-negative RAS, an Src homology 2 domain-containing Tyr phosphatase inhibitor (NSC 87877), or the MEK inhibitor PD98059 blocked FSH-dependent ERK(Thr202/Tyr204) phosphorylation, demonstrating the requirement for upstream pathway components. We hypothesized that FSH via PKA enhances ERK phosphorylation by inhibiting the activity of a protein phosphatase that constitutively dephosphorylates ERK in the absence of FSH, allowing MEK-phosphorylated ERK to accumulate in the presence of FSH because of inactivation of the phosphatase. GCs treated with different phosphatase inhibitors permitted elimination of both Ser/Thr and Tyr phosphatases and implicated dual specificity phosphatases (DUSPs) in the dephosphorylation of ERK. Treatment with MAP kinase phosphatase (MKP3, DUSP6) inhibitors increased ERK(Thr202/Tyr204) phosphorylation in the absence of FSH to levels comparable with ERK phosphorylated in the presence of FSH. ERK co-immunoprecipitated with Myc-FLAG-tagged MKP3(DUSP6). GCs treated with MKP3(DUSP6) inhibitors blocked and PKA inhibitors enhanced dephosphorylation of recombinant ERK2-GST in an in vitro phosphatase assay. Together, these results suggest that FSH-stimulated ERK activation in GCs requires the PKA-dependent inactivation of MKP3(DUSP6).

FSH acts selectively on granulosa cells (GCs)2 contained within ovarian follicles to promote the proliferation and differ-
entiation of GCs to a preovulatory phenotype (reviewed in Ref. 1) as well as meiotic competency of the enclosed oocyte (2, 3). Luteinizing hormone then initiates meiosis and promotes ovulation and differentiation of remaining follicular cells into luteal cells. Although it is well recognized that the ERK signaling pathway plays a predominant role in the ovulatory response to luteinizing hormone in preovulatory GCs (4), the functional significance of the ERK signaling pathway in immature GCs is less understood. We recently showed that the ERK signaling pathway in immature GCs is required for the induction of at least a subset of FSH gene targets that define the mature preovulatory GC, including Inha (which encodes the α subunit of the hormone inhibin), Lhgr (which encodes the luteinizing hormone receptor), Egfr (which encodes the EGF receptor (EGFR) required for ovulation), and Cyp19a1 (which encodes the rate-limiting enzyme in estrogen biosynthesis) (5). ERK-dependent gene expression in immature GCs is mediated in part by phosphorylation of the transcriptional activator Y-box binding protein 1 (YB-1) on Ser102 (5). Based on the relevance of the ERK signaling pathway to immature GC maturation, we sought to better understand the mechanism by which FSH activates ERK.

ERK is canonically activated by receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs). Ligand-dependent activation of RTKs recruits the RAS guanine exchange factor SOS to the plasma membrane, resulting in RAS and, subsequently, RAF activation (reviewed in Ref. 6). The Ser/Thr kinase RAF then phosphorylates and activates the dual specificity kinase MEK that phosphorylates ERK. ERK then phosphorylates downstream kinases like ribosomal S6 kinase (RSK-2) (7). Phosphorylation of ERK by MEK on both Thr202 and Tyr204 is required for ERK activation; hence, dephosphorylation of either site by protein-tyrosine phosphatases (PTPs), Ser/Thr phosphatases, or dual specificity phosphatases (DUSPs) inactivates ERK (reviewed in Ref. 8).

In GCs, FSH activates ERK in a PKA-dependent manner (9–12). We reported previously that the canonical pathway upstream of ERK is constitutively active in immature GCs but that ERK phosphorylation/activity is restrained by a PTP (10). We hypothesized that FSH inactivated this phosphatase, thereby permitting the accumulation of MEK-phosphorylated/
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active ERK. We identified the PTP as a 100-kDa putative member of the PTP-straital-enriched protein tyrosine phosphatase (STEP)-like (SL) family (13, 14) based on the following criteria: Western blotting of GC extracts using rabbit polyclonal anti-PTP-SL antibody (15) revealed a signal at 100 kDa; an in-gel PTP assay detected a signal at 100 kDa in concentrated ovarian extracts; an anti-PTP-SL-reactive signal at 100 kDa was selectively immunoprecipitated with ERK-conjugated agarose from GC extracts; in GCs loaded with $^{32}$P, FSH stimulated the phosphorylation of a protein at 100 kDa immunoprecipitated with anti-PTP-SL antibody that was inhibited by the PKA, PKC, PKG (ACG) kinase family inhibitor H89 (16); and in an ERK-agarose immunoprecipitation, the anti-PTL-SL-reactive band at 100 kDa was reduced by $\sim$50% in GCs treated with FSH. Previous results by Pulido and co-workers (17, 18) showed that PTP-SL was phosphorylated on Ser$^{231}$ in a PKA-dependent manner, relieving inhibition of ERK and its restriction to the cytoplasm and allowing ERK to translocate to the nucleus.

However, our recent results question our interpretation of our previous results. As we show below, the pan-PTP inhibitor Na$_2$VO$_3$ (19, 20), which should inhibit PTP-SL (15), does not block FSH-stimulated ERK phosphorylation. PTP-SL has a molecular weight of $\sim$55 kDa, not 100 kDa (21). A second antibody directed against PTPBR7, another phosphatase sharing protein sequence homology with PTP-SL except for a 127-amino acid insertion in the N terminus (14), does not detect a signal on Western blotting analyses of GC extracts at 100 kDa (10). Although the Ptgrr gene that encodes PTP-SL is expressed in GCs (based on RNA sequencing results (22)), we thus question whether the PTP activity at 100 kDa that is detected by an anti-PTL-SL antibody is the phosphatase that constitutively dephosphorylates MEK-phosphorylated ERK in the absence of FSH.

The results below confirm that the ERK signaling pathway upstream of MEK is constitutively active in GCs, that MEK is constitutively phosphorylated by MEK but actively dephosphorylated in the absence of FSH. The MEK-phosphorylated ERK accumulates in the presence of FSH because of the inactivation of a phosphatase, and that FSH-stimulated ERK but not MEK phosphorylation is PKA-dependent. FSH-stimulated ERK activation is not inhibited either by inhibitors of the Ser/Thr protein phosphatase PP1 or PP2 or by the pan-PTP inhibitor Na$_2$VO$_3$. Rather, using a panel of DUSP inhibitors, our results show that inhibitors of MKP3(DUSP6) selectively enhance the phosphorylation of ERK in the absence of FSH to levels equivalent to those of ERK phosphorylated in the presence of FSH. ERK co-immunoprecipitates with Myc-FLAG-tagged MKP3(DUSP6), MKP3(DUSP6) inhibitors block ERK phosphatase activity in GC extracts in the absence of FSH in an in vitro phosphatase assay, and the selective PKA inhibitor PKI blocks the inactivation of the ERK phosphatase in the presence of FSH in an in vitro phosphatase assay. Together, these results suggest that ERK in GCs is maintained in a dephosphorylated state in the absence of FSH by MKP3(DUSP6) and that PKA inhibits the activity of this DUSP.

Results

Both Canonical Signaling to MEK and PKA Activity Are Required for FSH-stimulated Phosphorylation of ERK-(Thr$^{202}$/Tyr$^{204}$)—We initially sought to confirm that the canonical ERK signaling pathway in GCs upstream of MEK is tonically active and independent of FSH. Our previous results demonstrated an apparent requirement for the tyrosine kinase activity of the EGFR based on results showing that the EGFR inhibitor AG1478 (23) blocked FSH-stimulated ERK(Thr$^{202}$/Tyr$^{204}$) phosphorylation. However, we did not evaluate the effect of AG1478 on MEK(Ser$^{217}$/Ser$^{221}$) phosphorylation (10). To this end, GCs were pretreated with AG1478, followed by treatment without or with FSH. The results (Fig. 1A) show that MEK(Ser$^{217}$/Ser$^{221}$) phosphorylation is readily detected in vehicle-treated GCs and independent of FSH, whereas ERK(Thr$^{202}$/Tyr$^{204}$) phosphorylation depends on FSH, and that AG1478 not only abolishes ERK(Thr$^{202}$/Tyr$^{204}$) phosphorylation (95.1% $\pm$ 0.8% inhibition, n = 5) but also abolishes MEK(Ser$^{217}$/Ser$^{221}$) phosphorylation (89.0% $\pm$ 3.8% inhibition, n = 2). AKT(Ser$^{308}$) phosphorylation served as a negative control, as FSH-dependent activation of the PI3K/AKT pathway is independent of the EGFR in GCs. These results indicate that the signaling pathway that promotes MEK phosphorylation is active in the absence of FSH and that this pathway requires the tyrosine kinase activity of the EGFR.

Although AG1478 primarily inhibits the kinase activity of the EGFR, it also reported to inhibit non-kinase targets (24). As the EGFR canonically activates RAS, we utilized two additional approaches to confirm that the signaling pathway downstream of the EGFR was required for FSH to activate ERK and was tonically active in the absence of FSH. We transduced GCs with a dominant negative adenoviral (Ad)-(S17N)-RAS or control Ad-GFP and then treated GCs with vehicle or FSH. The results (Fig. 1B) show that the dominant negative RAS blocked MEK(Ser$^{217}$/Ser$^{221}$) phosphorylation (75.2% $\pm$ 4.7% inhibition, n = 3) both in the absence and presence of FSH as well as FSH-stimulated ERK(Thr$^{202}$/Tyr$^{204}$) phosphorylation (78.3% $\pm$ 10.0% inhibition, n = 4). cAMP-response element-binding protein (Ser$^{159}$) phosphorylation served as a negative control that is independent of EGFR/RAS signaling (25). The tyrosine phosphatase SRC homology 2 domain-containing tyrosine phosphatase (SHP2) is also required for RTK signaling into MEK/ERK and is generally believed to contribute to the activation of RAS (26–28). We determined whether SHP2 activity was required for the phosphorylation of MEK(Ser$^{17}$/Ser$^{221}$) by pre-treating GCs without or with NSC 87877, a compound that selectively inhibits SHP1 (which is not expressed in rat GCs based on RNA sequencing results (22)) and SHP2 (29). NSC 87877 markedly attenuated MEK(Ser$^{217}$/Ser$^{221}$) phosphorylation (82.8% $\pm$ 2.5% inhibition, n = 2) in vehicle- and FSH-treated GCs and, hence, similarly reduced FSH-stimulated ERK(Thr$^{202}$/Tyr$^{204}$) phosphorylation (Fig. 1C) (92.9% $\pm$ 5.5% inhibition, n = 5). GAB2(Ser$^{159}$) phosphorylation served as a negative control that is independent of SHP2 signaling (30); FSH-stimulated GAB2(Tyr$^{457}$) dephosphory-
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Taken together, these results show that the signaling pathway that promotes MEK(Ser217/Ser221) phosphorylation in GCs is tonically active in the absence of FSH and requires the EGFR, RAS, and SHP2.

FSH-stimulated ERK(Thr202/Tyr204) phosphorylation is also recognized to be dependent on PKA (9, 10, 12). In the following experiment, we sought to confirm that, although the PKA inhibitor PKI, which functions as a PKA catalytic subunit pseudosubstrate (31), blocks FSH-stimulated ERK(Thr202/Tyr204) phosphorylation, it does not affect MEK(Ser217/Ser221) phosphorylation. As shown in Fig. 2, although transduction of GCs with Ad-PKI blocked FSH-stimulated ERK(Thr202/Tyr204) phosphorylation (89.3% ± 10.3% inhibition, n = 4), MEK(Ser217/Ser221) phosphorylation was unaffected. Taken together, these results demonstrate that the effect of PKA must be downstream of MEK at the level of ERK phosphorylation. Active MEK should constitutively phosphorylate ERK; however, phosphorylated ERK is not detected in the absence of FSH. This result suggests that a phosphatase is actively dephosphorylating ERK in the absence of FSH. It then follows that FSH via PKA must inactivate the ERK phosphatase.

**FIGURE 1.** The Canonical MAPK signaling pathway is active in GCs independent of FSH stimulation and is necessary for phosphorylation of ERK1/2(Thr202/Tyr204). A, GCs were treated for 15 min without (DMSO) or with 250 nM AG1478, an EGFR kinase inhibitor, followed by treatment without (veh) or with 50 ng/ml FSH for 15 min. Samples were heat-denatured after collection in SDS sample buffer, and proteins were separated by SDS/PAGE. A blot of whole cell extracts was probed with the indicated antibodies. The results are representative of five independent experiments. B, GCs were transduced with Ad-GFP or Ad-(S17N)RAS overnight, followed by treatment without (veh) or with FSH 15 min. Samples were collected as described in A. The results are representative of four independent experiments. The dotted lines between lanes represent cropped images. C, GCs were treated without (DMSO) or with 20 mM NSC 87877, a SHP2 inhibitor, for 3 h, followed by treatment without (veh) or with FSH for 15 min. Samples were collected as described in A. The results are representative of five independent experiments.

**FIGURE 2.** Phosphorylation of ERK1/2 on Thr202/Tyr204, but not of MEK on Ser217/Ser221, is dependent on PKA activity. GCs were transduced overnight with Ad-GFP or Ad-PKI, a PKA inhibitor. Cells were then treated without (veh) or with FSH for 30 min. Samples were collected as described in Fig. 1A. The results are representative of four independent experiments.
ERK Phosphorylation Is Not Directly Regulated by a Ser/Thr Phosphatase or PTP—In the following experiments, we determined whether the phosphatase that dephosphorylates ERK on Thr202 and/or Tyr204 in GCs is a Ser/Thr protein phosphatase (PP1 or PP2) or a Tyr phosphatase. Pretreatment of GCs with the PP2 inhibitor okadaic acid (at 200 nM (32–35)) resulted in no change in phosphorylation of ERK(Thr202/Tyr204) (Fig. 3A). Phosphorylation of GSK3β/S6 increased with okadaic acid alone treatment (Fig. 3A, lane 3) (5-fold increase over veh, n = 6). GSK3β/S6 is a recognized PP2 target (36) and is used as a positive control.

Pretreatment of GCs with the PP1 inhibitor tautomycin (at 1 μM (32–35)) promoted a decrease in both FSH-stimulated ERK(Thr202/Tyr204) phosphorylation (70.9% ± 3.5% inhibition, n = 6) and basal MEK(Ser217/Ser221) phosphorylation (70.3% ± 9.9% inhibition, n = 2) (Fig. 3B). Blockade of FSH-stimulated myosin light chain(Thr19) dephosphorylation by tautomycin, a recognized PP1 target (37, 38), served as a positive control. These results indicate that PP1 is necessary for signaling into MEK and acts upstream of MEK, not on ERK, based on the inability of the PP1 inhibitor tautomycin to raise ERK(Thr202/Tyr204) phosphorylation in vehicle-treated cells (Fig. 3B, lane 3).

Pretreatment of GCs with the pan-PTP inhibitor Na2VO3 (19, 20) resulted in an increase in both basal and FSH-stimulated ERK(Thr202/Tyr204) phosphorylation (5-fold increase, n = 3) (Fig. 3C). Concomitantly, MEK(Ser217/Ser221) phosphorylation also increased (2.5-fold increase over veh, n = 2) with Na2VO3 treatment. Phosphorylation of insulin receptor substrate 1 (IRS1(Ser99)), an RTK target (39), served as a positive control. The target(s) of the PTP is thus upstream of MEK, likely at the level of the EGFR, and not at the level of ERK itself. Taken together, these results suggest that the phosphatase that dephosphorylates MEK-phosphorylated ERK in the absence of FSH is neither a Ser/Thr phosphatase nor a PTP.

ERK Phosphorylation Is Regulated by a DUSP—The inability of selective inhibitors of PP1, PP2, or PTPs to preferentially enhance ERK phosphorylation in the absence of FSH suggested that the relevant ERK phosphatase must be a member of the family of DUSPs. Indeed, in a recent report, DUSP27 blocked ERK but not MEK phosphorylation in a rat luteal cell line in response to prolactin treatment (40). However, DUSP27 is not expressed in rat GCs based on both microarray (41) and RNA sequencing (22) results. Although 61 DUSPs have been identified (as reviewed in Ref. 42), preantral GCs only express DUSPs 1, 3, 6, 7, 10, 11, 12, 16, 18, 19, and 22 as well as cell division cycle (CDC) dual specificity phosphatase CDC25A, 25B, and 25C mRNAs based on RNA sequencing results (22). Only a sub-group of the DUSPs are classified as MKPs based on the presence of a CDC25-like domain that confers specificity toward
the TXY motif in MAPKs (as reviewed in Ref. 42). These include MKP1(DUSP1), MKP3(DUSP6), MKP5/PYST2(DUSP7), MKP5(DUSP10), MKP7(DUSP16), and CDC25A, CDC25B, and CDC25C. Of those, MKP5(DUSP10) and MKP7(SP16) are selective for c-Jun N-terminal kinase and p38 MAPK over ERK (as reviewed in Ref. 42) and were not investigated. Based on the availability of commercially available selective inhibitors and protein detection by Western blotting in GCs (data not shown), in the following experiments we tested the contributions of MKP1(DUSP1), MKP3(DUSP6) and CDC25A, CDC25B and CDC25C to the level of ERK phosphorylation in GCs. (Commercially available inhibitors for the DUSPs were determined according to Jeffrey et al. (80), and at time of publication, there are no commercially available inhibitors for MKP5/PYST2(DUSP7)). GCS were pretreated without or with NSC 663284, a selective CDC25A, CDC25B, and CDC25C inhibitor, or MKP3(DUSP6) and CDC25A and CDC25B inhibitor, for 30 min, followed by treatment without (veh) and with FSH for 30 min. Samples were collected as described in Fig. 1A. The results are representative of three independent experiments, except for the phospho-p38 blot, which is representative of two independent experiments. E, GCs were treated without (veh) and with FSH for the indicated times. Samples were collected as described in Fig. 1A. The results are representative of three independent experiments. The dotted lines between lanes represent cropped images.

**FIGURE 4.** DUSP inhibitor studies suggest that MKP3(DUSP6) actively dephosphorylates MEK-phosphorylated ERK1/2(Thr202/Tyr204) in the absence of FSH. **A,** GCs were pretreated without (DMSO) or with 5 μM NSC 663284, a selective CDC25A, CDC25B, and CDC25C inhibitor (which does not inhibit MKP1(DUSP1) or MKP3(DUSP6)) for 30 min, followed by treatment without (veh) and with FSH for 30 min. Samples were collected as described in Fig. 1A. The results are representative of three independent experiments. **B,** GCs were pretreated without (DMSO) or with 5 μM BCI, a selective MKP1(DUSP1) and MKP3(DUSP6) inhibitor, for 30 min, followed by treatment without (veh) and with FSH for 30 min. Samples were collected as described in Fig. 1A. The results are representative of three independent experiments. **C,** GCs were treated without (veh) and with FSH for the indicated times. Samples were collected as described in Fig. 1A. The results are representative of three independent experiments. **D,** GCs were pretreated without (DMSO) or with 10 μM triptolide, a selective MKP1(DUSP1) inhibitor, for 1 h, followed by treatment without (veh) and with FSH for 30 min. Samples were collected as described in Fig. 1A. The results are representative of three independent experiments, except for the phospho-p38 blot, which is representative of two independent experiments. **E,** GCs were pretreated without (DMSO) or with 5 μM NSC 295642, a selective MKP3(DUSP6) and CDC25A and CDC25B inhibitor, for 30 min, followed by treatment without (veh) and with FSH for 30 min. Samples were collected as described in Fig. 1A. The results are representative of three independent experiments. The dotted lines between lanes represent cropped images.
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and 2) by this competitive CDC25 inhibitor. Although we were unable to identify a positive control for this inhibitor, as rat GCs do not divide in primary culture (45–47) and, hence, do not express the cyclins necessary for cyclin-dependent kinase 2 phosphorylation (48), this result suggests that CDC25s are not functioning as ERK phosphatases in GCs. Additional indirect evidence that the CDC25 DUSPs are not functioning as ERK phosphatases in GCs is a report that Na₂VO₃ inhibits CDC25 functions (49), whereas this phosphatase inhibitor does not inhibit the ERK phosphatase in GCs (Fig. 3C).

GCs were next pretreated without or with BCI (NSC 150117), a selective allosteric inhibitor of MKP1(DUSP1) and MKP3(DUSP6) which does not inhibit CDC25 (50). The results (Fig. 4B) show that BCI increased ERK(Thr²⁰²/Tyr²⁰⁴) phosphorylation in vehicle-treated GCs (lane 3) to levels equivalent to those of FSH-treated cells (lane 2) (10-fold increase over veh, n = 3), whereas the FSH response was lost (lane 4). MEK(Ser²¹⁷/Ser²²¹) phosphorylation in the presence of BCI (Fig. 4B, lanes 3 and 4) was equivalent to that of vehicle- and FSH-treated GCs in the absence of BCI (Fig. 4B, lane 2). These results suggest that either MKP1(DUSP1) or MKP3(DUSP6) may be the relevant ERK DUSP in GCs.

We next sought to verify the expression of both MKP1(DUSP1) and MKP3(DUSP6) proteins within GCs, especially because MKP1(DUSP1) is most commonly induced as an immediate early gene upon RTK activation of ERK (as reviewed in Ref. 42). GCs were treated with vehicle or FSH for the indicated times. The results show that both MKP1(DUSP1) and MKP3(DUSP6) are readily detected in GCs, and total protein levels do not change within 60 min of FSH treatment (Fig. 4C).

To distinguish between MKP1(DUSP1) and MKP3(DUSP6) as regulators of ERK(Thr²⁰²/Tyr²⁰⁴) phosphorylation, GCs were pretreated with the selective MKP1(DUSP1) inhibitor triptolide (NSC 163062) (51, 52). Treatment with triptolide had no effect on ERK(Thr²⁰²/Tyr²⁰⁴) phosphorylation in the absence or presence of FSH (Fig. 4D, compare lanes 3 and 4 with lanes 1 and 2). However, triptolide enhanced the phosphorylation of p38 MAPK(Thr¹⁸⁰/Tyr¹⁸²) (Fig. 4D, lane 3; 6.1-fold over veh, n = 2) to levels equivalent to those of FSH-treated cells (Fig. 4D, lanes 2 and 4). This result suggests, but does not prove, that, like ERK, FSH promotes the phosphorylation of p38 MAPK by inhibiting an MKP, in this case MKP1. Treatment of GCs with the MKP1(DUSP1)-selective inhibitor chelerythrine chloride (25 μM, 30 min) (53) also did not affect ERK(Thr²⁰²/Tyr²⁰⁴) phosphorylation (data not shown). These results suggest that the relevant ERK DUSP in GCs is likely MKP3(DUSP6) and not MKP1(DUSP1).

As we were unable to identify a selective MKP3(DUSP6) inhibitor, we pretreated GCs with NSC 295642, a partial MKP3(DUSP6), CDC25A, and CDC25B inhibitor (54). The results (Fig. 4E) show that NSC 295642 promoted a 5.9-fold increase in ERK(Thr²⁰²/Tyr²⁰⁴) phosphorylation in vehicle-treated GCs (lane 3), nearly equivalent to that of FSH-treated cells (lane 2) (6.2-fold increase over veh, n = 3). FSH treatment did not further enhance ERK phosphorylation in the presence of NSC 295642 (Fig. 4E, lanes 3 and 4). Because the results from NSC 663284 treatments (Fig. 4A) allowed us to rule out contributions from CDC25A and CDC25B, we can conclude from these inhibitor studies that MKP3(DUSP6) is likely the DUSP that dephosphorylates ERK(Thr²⁰²/Tyr²⁰⁴) in the absence of FSH. Collectively, these results indicate that MKP3(DUSP6) is dephosphorylating ERK in untreated GCs and that FSH promotes inactivation of MKP3(DUSP6) to allow MEK-phosphorylated ERK(Thr²⁰²/Tyr²⁰⁴) to accumulate.

ERK and MKP3(DUSP6) Interact within GCs—If MKP3 (DUSP6) is dephosphorylating MEK-phosphorylated ERK in the absence of FSH, then MKP3(DUSP6) should bind to ERK in GCs. As the MKP3(DUSP6) antibody does not readily immunoprecipitate MKP3(DUSP6) (data not shown), GCs were transiently transfected with an MKP3-Myc-FLAG plasmid to determine whether MKP3(DUSP6) and ERK interact. Immuno-precipitation of ERK2 from vehicle- and FSH-treated GCs showed the presence of the FLAG-tagged MKP3(DUSP6) in ERK2-immunoprecipitated samples but not in IgG controls (Fig. 5A). Conversely, immunoprecipitation of Myc-tagged MKP3 with anti-Myc antibody from vehicle- and FSH-treated cells showed that the anti-Myc antibody selectively pulls down ERK (Fig. 5B). These results suggest that MKP3(DUSP6) and ERK1/2 interact within GCs independent of FSH treatment.

ERK(Thr²⁰²/Tyr²⁰⁴) Dephosphorylation Is Dependent on MKP3(DUSP6) Activity—In the following experiments, we sought to demonstrate that MKP3(DUSP6), but not MKP1(DUSP1), is able to dephosphorylate ERK in vitro. Using the MKP1 and MKP3 inhibitors discussed above, whole GC lysate phosphatase assays were performed using GST-tagged phospho-ERK2. GCs were pretreated without or with the indicated inhibitors, followed by treatment with vehicle or FSH. Lysates were then assayed for phosphatase activity, evidenced by their ability to dephosphorylate GST-tagged phospho-ERK2, as detected by Western blotting with phospho-ERK(Thr²⁰²/Tyr²⁰⁴) antibody. Pretreatment of GCs with BCI, the dual MKP1(DUSP1) and MKP3(DUSP6) inhibitor, blocked the
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DUSP that dephosphorylated ERK(Thr202/Tyr204) in the absence of FSH (Fig. 6A, compare lanes 3 and 1). Equivalent results were obtained upon pretreatment of GCs with NSC 295642, the MKP3(DUSP6) inhibitor (Fig. 6B). Taken together, these results support the conclusion that MKP3(DUSP6) is the DUSP that dephosphorylates ERK in the absence of FSH.

Inactivation of the ERK Phosphatase by FSH Is Dependent upon PKA Activity—We sought to determine whether the ability of MKP3(DUSP6) to dephosphorylate ERK(Thr202/Tyr204) in vitro was dependent on PKA using the selective PKA inhibitor PKI (31). GCs were transduced with Ad-E or Ad-PKI overnight, treated with vehicle or FSH, and whole GC lysate phosphatase assays were performed using GST-tagged phospho-ERK2 as described above. Transduction of GCs with Ad-PKI promoted the dephosphorylation of ERK2(Thr202/Tyr204)-GST in both vehicle- and FSH-treated cells (Fig. 7, compare lanes 3 and 4 with lanes 1 and 2). These data indicate that FSH-dependent PKA activation is necessary for inactivation of the ERK DUSP.

Discussion

Our results confirm that the canonical signaling pathway upstream of ERK, which includes the EGFR, RAS, SHP2, and MEK, is constitutively active in GCs and that the activity of these upstream components is necessary but not sufficient for FSH-dependent ERK(Thr202/Tyr204) phosphorylation, as modeled in Fig. 8. FSH-dependent ERK(Thr202/Tyr204) phosphorylation also requires the inhibition of a DUSP, most likely MKP3(DUSP6), based on the ability of MKP3(DUSP6) inhibitors to raise ERK(Thr202/Tyr204) phosphorylation in the absence of FSH to levels comparable with those of FSH-treated cells and on the loss of an FSH response in the presence of the inhibitors. Additionally, ERK selectively co-immunoprecipitates with tagged-MKP3(DUSP6), and tagged-MKP3(DUSP6) selectively co-immunoprecipitates with ERK. The in vitro phosphatase assay results show that MKP3(DUSP6) inhibitors block dephosphorylation of ERK2-GST in the absence of FSH and that the selective PKA inhibitor PKI abrogates the ability of FSH to inhibit the ERK DUSP. Together, these results strongly suggest that ERK is dephosphorylated in the absence of FSH by MKP3(DUSP6) and that FSH via PKA endorses the MEK-dependent phosphorylation of ERK by inhibiting this DUSP.

Recent studies suggest that the majority of the responses in GCs elicited by FSH are mediated by PKA, based not only on the ability of PKA inhibitors to block FSH-activated signaling pathways and gene expression (reviewed in Ref. 1) but also on the ability of a constitutively active PKA catalytic subunit mutant to mimic both acute FSH signaling and delayed gene expression (12, 41). Acute signaling responses include not only direct phosphorylation of target proteins by PKA, including cAMP-response element-binding protein (55), histone H3 (56), and β-catenin (57), but also activation by PKA of classical RTK-activated pathways such as the PI3K/AKT and MEK/ERK pathways (modeled in Fig. 8) (5, 10, 30). However, until recently, the mechanisms by which PKA accomplished the regulation of these canonical signaling pathways remained elusive. Our results indicate that, through regulation of MKP3(DUSP6), FSH is able promote the accumulation of MEK-phosphorylated ERK(Thr202/Tyr204), whereas the upstream components of the MEK/ERK signaling cascade remain active and unregulated by FSH treatment. Interestingly, our laboratory recently published...
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These results indicate that, in GCs at the time points checked, initial regulation of MKP3(DUSP6) is not at the transcriptional level, as protein levels remain stable up to 1 h following treatment with FSH.

MKP3(DUSP6) is also reported to undergo posttranslational modifications that promote reduced activity or degradation. For example, MKP3(DUSP6) can be phosphorylated by ERK on Ser\textsuperscript{159} (SSSS\textsuperscript{159}PP[rat]) and Ser\textsuperscript{197} (SDGS\textsuperscript{197}SL[rat]), resulting in proteasomal degradation (68). Ser\textsuperscript{159} on MKP3(DUSP6) is also reported to be phosphorylated downstream of PI3K to promote MKP3(DUSP6) degradation (69). Casein kinase 2α has also been reported to bind MKP3, displacing ERK, and to phosphorylate MKP3(DUSP6) on at least two sites, resulting in an inhibition of its phosphatase activity (70).

It is our hypothesis that MKP3(DUSP6) becomes phosphorylated in GCs in a FSH- and PKA-dependent manner, resulting in its inactivation. Although it is possible that MKP3(DUSP6) becomes phosphorylated on Ser\textsuperscript{159} and Ser\textsuperscript{197} in response to the FSH/PKA-dependent activation of ERK in GCs, possibly sustaining the inactivation of MKP3(DUSP6), the initial inactivation of MKP3(DUSP6) must be mediated by another PKA-dependent event. As casein kinase 2α is a constitutively active kinase (71), it does not appear to be a PKA-regulated candidate to inhibit MKP3(DUSP6) in GCs. Although FSH activates PI3K in a PKA-dependent manner (30, 58), phosphorylation of YB-1(Ser\textsuperscript{102}) downstream of ERK and RSK-2 is not inhibited by the PI3K inhibitor wortmannin (5). These results thus indicate that MKP3 activation of the PI3K pathway in GCs is not the mechanism by which PKA inactivates MKP3(DUSP6). Moreover, if MKP3(DUSP6) is degraded in response to FSH, reduced protein levels of MKP3(DUSP6) are not detected within the first hour following FSH treatment of GCs (Fig. 4C).

There are no reports, to our knowledge, that MKP3(DUSP6) is directly phosphorylated by PKA or that PKA can regulate its activity. Rat MKP3(DUSP6) contains one potential, albeit weak, PKA phosphorylation motif (RR/XX/S/T): RSV\textsuperscript{Thr\textsuperscript{302}V}. Consistent with our results, we thus hypothesize that MKP3(DUSP6) is phosphorylated by PKA on Thr\textsuperscript{302}, resulting in an inhibition of its phosphatase activity. However, our inability to directly immunoprecipitate MKP3(DUSP6) and a lack of phospohosphatic antibodies to MKP3(Thr\textsuperscript{302}) have prevented us from directly testing this hypothesis.

Although our results support MKP3(DUSP6) as the relevant DUSP that regulates the activity of ERK in response to FSH in GCs, the MKP3(DUSP6) global knockout mouse is fertile (72). We predict that another ERK-selective DUSP, such as MKPX/PYST2(DUSP7) (73), is able to compensate for the absence of MKP3(DUSP6) in GCs. The MKPX/PYST2(DUSP7) (The Jackson Laboratory, Bar Harbor, ME) and MKP1(DUSP1) (74) global knockout mice are also fertile. Formal proof that MKP3(DUSP6) is the DUSP that dephosphorylates constitutively phosphorylated ERK in GCs requires a genetic approach in which at least MKP3(DUSP6) and MKPX/PYST2(DUSP7) are deleted.

In summary, we have shown that FSH-dependent ERK-(Thr\textsuperscript{202}/Tyr\textsuperscript{204}) phosphorylation is PKA-dependent as well as dependent on the constitutively active canonical upstream MEK/ERK signaling components that include the EGFR, RAS,
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SHP2, and MEK. We conclude that, in the absence of FSH, constitutively phosphorylated ERK is maintained in a dephosphorylated state by a DUSP, most likely by MKP3(DUPS6). FSH in a PKA-dependent manner inactivates the DUSP, allowing for the accumulation of MEK-phosphorylated ERK.

Experimental Procedures

Materials—The following were purchased: ovine FSH (oFSH-19) from the National Hormone and Pituitary Agency of the NIDDK, National Institutes of Health (Torrance, CA); human fibronectin from BD Biosciences; anti-phospho-akt (Ser473, CST 2594), anti-akt (CST 9272), anti-phospho-ERK (Thr202/Tyr204, CST 9170), anti-ERK (CST 9121), anti-S6 (CST 2217), anti-phospho-cAMP-response element-binding protein (Ser159, CST 133), anti-phospho-GRB2-associated binding protein 2 (GAB2, Ser59, CST 3844; Tyr452, CST 3881), anti-phospho-myosin light chain (Ser19, CST 3671), anti-GAPDH (CST 544), and anti-phospho-p38 MAPK (Thr180/Tyr182, CST 4511) from Cell Signaling Technology; SHP2 (SC 7384), anti-cMyc (SC 40 AC), anti-ERK2 (SC 1647 AC), anti-MKP1 (SC 10769), anti-MKP3 (SC 28902), anti-phospho-IRS1 (Tyr298, SC 17200), protein A/G Plus-agarose (SC 2003), NC 295642 (SC 253204), and tripolitide (NC 163062, SC 200122) from Santa Cruz Biotechnology; anti-RAS (catalog no. R02120) from BD Transduction Laboratories; tautomycin, okadaic acid, BCI (NSC150117), NSC 663284, and active phospho-ERK2-GST (14–173) from Calbiochem/EMD Millipore; NSC 87877 from ACROS Organics; Na2VO3 from Sigma-Aldrich; and triptolide (NSC 163062, SC 200122) from Enzo Life Sciences. Inhibitor concentrations were based on previous publications indicated in the text.

Animals—Sprague-Dawley, CD-outbred rats (breeders from Charles River Laboratories) were from a breeder colony maintained by our laboratory in a pathogen-free facility at Washington State University. The facility is maintained in accordance with the Guidelines for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals approved by the Washington State University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

GC Cultures—Immature female rats were primed with subcutaneous injections of 1.5 mg of estradiol-17β (E2) in propylene glycol on postnatal days 21–23. Ovaries were collected following 3 days of injections. GCs were collected by puncturing individual follicles using 27-gauge needles (75). Cells were plated on fibronectin-coated plates at densities of 1 × 106 cells/ml in OptiMEM + P/S + E2 with expression constructs (500 ng/1 × 106 cells) and transfected using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) according to the instructions of the manufacturer. After 6 h of incubation, the medium was removed, and fresh DMEM/F12 + E/PS was added. Cells were treated as indicated following an ~16-h recovery period.

Immunoprecipitation—Immunoprecipitations were performed as described previously (5). Inputs represent ~2% and bound sample ~98% of total sample.

Whole Cell Lysate Phosphatase Assay—The protocol was adapted from a previous report (79) as detailed below. Cells were treated as indicated and then collected in 0.2 ml of phosphatase assay buffer (10 mM EDTA, 10 mM EGTA, 50 mM HEPES (pH 7.6), 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 0.1 mg/ml leupeptin, and 1 mg/ml aprotinin). Lysates were subjected to five rounds of freezing/thawing, followed aspiration through a 25-gauge needle or sonication. Lysates were clarified by centrifugation at 13,000 × g for 30 min at 4 °C. To assess phosphatase activity, 50 ng of active ERK2-GST (EMD Millipore, 14–173) was added to each sample, and samples were incubated by rotating for 30 min at 30 °C. The reaction was halted by adding 100 μl of 3× SDS sample buffer (76), and samples were subjected to SDS/PAGE and Western blotting.

Statistics—Results were analyzed using GraphPad Prism, and significance was determined using either a one-way analysis of variance with Tukey’s multiple comparison test or one-tailed Student’s t test.

Author Contributions—E. M. D. and M. E. H. D. designed the experiments. E. M. D. and N. C. L. performed the experiments. E. M. D. and M. E. H. D. analyzed the data and wrote the manuscript.
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