IN SILICO DOCKING ROSELLE (HIBISCUS SABDARIFFA L.) CALYCES FLAVONOIDS AS ANTIMALARIAL AGAINST PLASMEPSIN 1 AND PLASMEPSIN 2
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ABSTRACT

Objective: Study the in silico plasmpisin 1 and plasmpisin 2 inhibition antimalarial effects of roselle (Hibiscus sabdariffa L.) calyces flavonoids compared to artemisinin as a standard compound for antimalarial to inhibit plasmpisin 1 and plasmpisin 2.

Methods: Partition coefficient was predicted by the ChemDraw Ultra. In silico molecular docking was done by Protein-Ligand Ant System. Visualization was done by Yet Another Scientific Artificial Reality Application. Connector for Windows operation system to Linux operation system was done by Co Pendrive Linux. Three dimensions enzyme structure models used in this research were plasmpisin 1 and plasmpisin 2 with the protein data bank code 3QS1 and 1LEE obtained through the website http://www.rcsb.org/pdb. Two dimensions and three dimensions conformation model of compounds were generated by Marvin Sketch.

Results: Partition coefficient of roselle calyces flavonoids quercetin, gossypetin, hibiscetin, and artemisinin, respectively, were 0.58, –0.44, –0.43, and 3.17. Higher partition coefficient means easier to penetrate into the cell. Docking score of roselle calyces flavonoids quercetin, gossypetin, hibiscetin, and artemisinin to plasmpisin 1, respectively, were –70.1989, –70.9454, –70.5870, and –61.7685 to plasmpisin 2, respectively, were –73.8620, –76.0086, –78.8930, and –61.7437. Lower docking score means a better potential activity to protein enzyme.

Conclusion: Roselle calyces flavonoids (quercetin, gossypetin, and hibiscetin) show the stronger activity than artemisinin to inhibit plasmpisin 1 and plasmpisin 2.
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INTRODUCTION

Based on data from the World Health Organization, malaria disease in Indonesia is a disease with the top 10 ranking causing death in children under 5 years old. Patients with malaria have increased the number of patients from 2012 to 2013. There are 212 million malaria cases worldwide in 2015 [1]. Along the Cambodia-Thailand border, Plasmodium falciparum has become resistant to almost all available antimalarial medicines. There is a real risk that multidrug resistance will soon emerge in other parts of the subregion as well [2]. Because of the spread of multidrug resistance malaria disease, it is urgent need for new antimalarial drugs to prevent antimalarial medicines resistance. Research for alternative drugs is becoming mandatory to prevent and cure malaria.

Drugs from the natural materials or synthetic have many benefits. Medicines from natural materials there are efficacious as antidiabetic [3], antimalarial [4], anticancer [4-6], antibacterial [7,8], and antiaging [9,10]. Roselle calyces highly rich in vital minerals such as iron, copper, calcium, magnesium, manganese required for healthy growth in humans, and phytochemical such as glycosides (0.13%), saponins (0.96%), phenols (1.10%), alkaloids (2.14%), tannins (17.00%), and flavonoids (20.08%) can treat many diseases and conditions [11]. Flavonoids can provide a good antimalarial effect [12]. Roselle calyces contains flavonoids, such as: quercetin, gossypetin, and hibiscetin [13]. However, flavonoids from roselle calyces have not been studied as antimalarial. In this study, researcher interested to examine the antimalarial effects of roselle calyces flavonoids by in silico.

Aspartic proteases called plasmpisin are present in different species of Plasmodium falciparum. With the use of in silico structure-based drug design approach, the differences in binding energies of the substrate and inhibitor were exploited between target sites of parasite and human. Stronger interactions are shown by several molecules as compared to the reference molecules which have shown to be the potential as drug candidates [14]. The protein enzyme plasmpisin 1 and plasmpisin 2 belong to the aspartic proteases that coordinate with cysteine proteases in the process of hemoglobin degradation in the parasite’s food vacuole and are considered good drug targets. Artemisinin could inhibit plasmpisin 1 and plasmpisin 2 that can act as antimalarial agent [15]. In this research, researcher will compare the antimalarial activity to inhibit plasmpisin 1 and plasmpisin 2 of roselle calyces flavonoids to artemisinin as a standard antimalarial drug. Fig. 1 shows the roselle calyces flavonoids and artemisinin chemical structure.

Computational methods are being developed to predict the drug-likeness of compounds. Thus, drug discovery is already on the road toward electronic Research and Development. In silico approaches contribute significantly to early pharmaceutical research and are
especially important in target discovery and lead discovery [16]. Therefore, there is an increased interest to identify potential activities of roselle calyces flavonoids to inhibit protein enzyme plasmepsin 1 and plasmepsin 2 as the malaria protein enzyme target compared with artemisinin as the standard compound by in silico docking.

METHODS

Fujitsu T Series (T4310) operated by Windows 7 Home Premium, Intel® Core™ 2 Duo central processing unit T660 2.20 GHz, 32-Bit, read only memory 320 GB, and random access memory 4.00 GB was used to run the molecular docking process. Partition coefficient was predicted by the ChemDraw Ultra program. In silico molecular docking was done by Protein-Ligand ANT System program. Visualization was done by Yet Another Scientific Artificial Reality Application program. Connector for Windows operation system to Linux operation system was done by Co Pendrive Linux program. Three dimensions enzyme structure models used in this research were plasmepsin 1 and plasmepsin 2 with the protein data bank code 3QS1 and 1LEE obtained through the website http://www.rcsb.org/pdb. Two dimensions and three dimensions conformation model of quercetin, gossypetin, hibiscetin, and artemisinin as the standard plasmepsin inhibitors were generated by Marvin Sketch program.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The protein enzyme plasmepsin 1 and plasmepsin 2 with code 3QS1 and 1LEE have the native ligand KNI and R36. The native ligands were extracted and redocked into its original binding pockets. The root mean square deviation values resulted from these overlapping between native ligands after redocking to its original binding pockets and protein enzyme were 1.7099 Å and 1.2918 Å, which was <2.0000 Å, a value typically used in evaluating the success of docking algorithms, indicating the docking methods were valid [17]. 

Prediction of the partition coefficient value of compound is an initial test to predict the solubility of compounds in the water phase and the oil phase. Testing the antimalarial effects of compounds were done by in silico docking between protein enzyme plasmepsin 1 and plasmepsin 2 with roselle calyces flavonoids (hibiscetin, gossypetin, and quercetin) as the test compound and artemisinin as the standard compound resulting the docking score. Table 1 shows the partition coefficient and docking score of test compound and standard compound with the receptor protein enzyme plasmepsin 1 and plasmepsin 2. Fig. 3 shows the relation graph between compound and docking score results on protein enzyme.

From the partition coefficient data, it can be seen that artemisinin as a standard compound for antimalarial to inhibit plasmepsin 1 and plasmepsin 2 have a higher partition coefficient than roselle calyces flavonoids as the test compound. Higher partition coefficient of artemisinin as a standard compound for antimalarial to inhibit plasmepsin 1 and plasmepsin 2 than quercetin, gossypetin, and hibiscetin means the ability to penetrate into the cell of artemisinin as a standard compound for antimalarial to inhibit plasmepsin 1 and plasmepsin 2 was easier than quercetin, gossypetin, and hibiscetin. Higher solubility in oil phase of artemisinin as a standard compound for antimalarial to inhibit plasmepsin 1 and plasmepsin 2 in oil phase was higher than quercetin, gossypetin, and hibiscetin. Higher solubility in oil phase of artemisinin as a standard compound for antimalarial to inhibit plasmepsin 1 and plasmepsin 2 than quercetin, gossypetin, and hibiscetin means the ability to penetrate into the cell of artemisinin as a standard compound for antimalarial to inhibit plasmepsin 1 and plasmepsin 2 was easier than quercetin, gossypetin, and hibiscetin. Partition coefficient only means the ability of the drug to soluble in the oil phase (cell membrane) to penetrate into the cell. The activity of the drug does not only depend on the penetration ability of the drug to penetrate into the cell but also depend on the binding ability of the drug to bind with the binding pocket. The activity of the drug to bind with the binding pocket depends on the structure.

From the docking score data, it can be seen that roselle calyces flavonoids quercetin, gossypetin, and hibiscetin as the test compound
have lower docking score on molecular docking to plasmepsin 1 and plasmepsin 2 than artemisinin as a standard compound for antimalarial to inhibit plasmepsin 1 and plasmepsin 2. Lower docking score on molecular docking to plasmepsin 1 and plasmepsin 2 of quercetin, gossypetin, and hibiscetin were than artemisinin as a standard compound for antimalarial to inhibit plasmepsin 1 and plasmepsin 2. Lower energy need to penetrate into protein enzyme binding pocket plasmepsin 1 and plasmepsin 2 of quercetin, gossypetin, and hibiscetin were than artemisinin as a standard compound for antimalarial to inhibit plasmepsin 1 and plasmepsin 2. Lower energy need to penetrate into protein enzyme binding pocket plasmepsin 1 and plasmepsin 2, stronger activity to interact with protein enzyme binding pocket plasmepsin 1 and plasmepsin 2, stronger activity to interact with the protein enzyme plasmepsin 1 and plasmepsin 2 than artemisinin as the standard plasmepsin 1 and plasmepsin 2 inhibition drug.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Flavonoids are abundantly contained in roselle calyces can inhibit the plasmepsin 1 and plasmepsin 2. All the roselle calyces flavonoids (quercetin, gossypetin, and hibiscetin) show the lower docking score on molecular docking to plasmepsin 1 and plasmepsin 2, lower energy need to penetrate into protein enzyme binding pocket plasmepsin 1 and plasmepsin 2, easier to penetrate into protein enzyme binding pocket plasmepsin 1 and plasmepsin 2, stronger activity to interact with the protein enzyme plasmepsin 1 and plasmepsin 2 than artemisinin as the standard plasmepsin 1 and plasmepsin 2 inhibition drug.
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