Partial resection of hypertrophic torus tubarius for recurred snoring
Case series
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Abstract
Sleep-disordered breathing symptoms may recur in some children after successful adenoidectomy. A potential etiology that warrants consideration is torus tubarius hypertrophy (TTH) as well as residual or recurrent adenoid hypertrophy. Here, we report our experience and the treatment outcomes with microscopic coblator-assisted partial resection of TTH.

Seven children who had undergone coblator-assisted partial resection of TTH under microscopy from April 2000 through January 2017 were retrospectively reviewed. The patient age at the time of initial adenotonsillectomy and the interval between the first operation and partial resection of TTH were identified. Lateral cephalometry and scores on the Korean version of the obstructive sleep apnea-18 (KOSA-18) questionnaire were reviewed.

The median age at the time of the first operation was 3.0 years and the average time interval between the first operation and subsequent tubal tonsillectomy was 44.0 months. The average width between the torus tubarius was 2.1 mm preoperatively. Symptoms of sleep-disordered breathing were relieved in all patients after operation. Preoperative and postoperative KOSA-18 scores were 73.5 and 35.5, respectively (P = .024). On polysomnography, the preoperative and postoperative apnea-hypopnea index scores were 22.9 and 4.7, respectively (P = .068). The patients were followed up for an average of 1.3 years. One patient developed a recurrence of symptoms and underwent a revision operation. Complications such as bleeding and nasopharyngeal stenosis were not observed.

Otorhinolaryngologists should keep TTH in mind as one of the differential diagnoses for recurrent upper airway obstruction symptoms after adenoidectomy. Microscopic coblator-assisted partial resection of TTH is likely to be safe and effective.

Abbreviations: KOSA-18 = Korean version of the obstructive sleep apnea-18, TTH = torus tubarius hypertrophy.
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1. Introduction
Adenoidectomy is one of the most common operations performed in children with sleep-disordered breathing.[1,2] Snoring, sleep apnea, nasal obstruction, or mouth breathing may recur in some children even after successful adenoidectomy. In a recent meta-analysis, the revision rate was 1.9% for pediatric adenoidectomy.[3] These children should be investigated for residual or recurrent adenoid hypertrophy and sinonasal or other diseases like gastroesophageal reflux disease should also be ruled out.[4–6]

In addition, a potential etiology that warrants consideration is the torus tubarius hypertrophy (TTH). The torus tubarius is the projecting posterior lip of the pharyngeal opening of the Eustachian tube, which forms the lateral border of nasopharynx.[7] Yanagisawa et al first reported the TTH in a patient with chronic rhinosinusitis and nasal polyposis in 1999.[8] Such TTH was reported to be able to result in impaired aeration of the middle ear space and, in some cases, serous otitis media.[9] TTH is unfamiliar to and not well understood by otorhinolaryngologists and its diagnosis and treatment remain unclear. In this article, we tried to introduce our experience of microscopic coblator-assisted partial resection of TTH and its outcomes.

2. Materials and methods
We retrospectively reviewed the medical records of 7 consecutive children who underwent coblator-assisted partial resection of TTH under microscopy at our institution from April 2000 through January 2017. All the patients had undergone adenoidectomy or adenotonsillectomy but revisited our clinic because of reappearance of sleep-disordered breathing symptoms. We identified the age of each patient at the time of the initial adenoidectomy or adenotonsillectomy and the interval between the first operation and partial resection of TTH. Lateral
cephalometric radiography was performed in all patients and the Korean version of the obstructive sleep apnea-18 (KOSA-18) questionnaire[9] was completed before and 1 month after surgery. Computed tomography was performed preoperatively in 1 patient. Polysomnography was performed in 4 children twice, that is, preoperatively and 3 months postoperatively. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Seoul National University Bundang Hospital (IRB No. B-1708/419-101). The need for informed consent was waived in view of the retrospective nature of the research and the anonymity of the data.

2.1. Surgical technique
Coblator-assisted partial resection of TTH was performed using a Coblator EVAC 70 (Arthrocare ENT, Sunnyvale, CA) under a microscope. Under general anesthesia, a mouth retractor was placed and 2 rubber catheters were introduced through the nasal cavities to retract the soft palate. A laryngeal mirror was used to visualize the nasopharynx. The nasopharyngeal area including the torus tubarius was amplified with a surgical microscope. The presence of the adenoids was first examined and the hypertrophied torus tubarius were identified. The smallest distance between the medial sides of the right and left torus tubarius was measured. The coblator was set at an ablation power of 9 and a coagulation power of 3. Coblator-assisted ablation was started from the medial surfaces. The mucosa around the orifice of the Eustachian tube was meticulously preserved to prevent its obstruction. The distance between the right and left torus tubarius was enlarged to be greater than 8 mm.

2.2. Statistical analysis
The statistical analysis was performed using SPSS version 18.0 for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). Wilcoxon signed rank tests were performed to identify preoperative and postoperative differences in the KOSA-18 score and apnea-hypopnea index. A P-value<.05 was considered statistically significant.

3. Results
The 7 children were all boys with a median age of 5.0 years (first quartile 3.0, third quartile 12.0). The median age at the time of the first operation was 3.0 years (first quartile 2.0, third quartile 3.0) and the average time interval between the first operation and subsequent partial resection of TTH in our clinic was 44.0 (range 11–100) months (Table 1). All patients had a history of recurrent middle ear effusion. The nasopharyngeal airway space was confirmed to be narrowed in all patients on simple lateral cephalometry. Computed tomography showed bilateral enlargement of the torus tubarius area (Fig. 1). Intraoperatively, residual or recurrent adenoid tissues were minimal. The average preoperative width between the right and left torus tubarius was 2.1 (range, 1–3) mm. The width between the right torus tubarius and the left torus tubarius was enlarged to 8 mm (Fig. 2). Symptoms of sleep-disordered breathing were relieved in all patients. The average preoperative and postoperative KOSA-18 scores were 73.5 and 35.5, respectively (P=.024). In the 4 patients who underwent polysomnography, the preoperative and postoperative apnea-hypopnea index scores were 22.9 and 4.7, respectively (P=.068).

The patients were followed up postoperatively for an average of 1.3 years (range, 8 months to 2 years). One patient (2) developed a recurrence of symptoms at 3 months postoperatively, and underwent revision operation. No patients had middle ear effusion after partial resection of TTH, and complications such as bleeding and nasopharyngeal stenosis were not observed during the follow-up period.

| Table 1  | Case summary of microscopic coblator-assisted partial resection of hypertrophic torus tubarius. |
|----------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Patient | Sex | Previous operation history | Age at initial surgery, yr | Age at assisted coblator surgery, yr | Interval, mo | Preoperative width, mm | Postoperative width, mm | Preoperative AHI, per h | Postoperative AHI, per h |
| 1       | M   | Adenotonsillectomy            | 3                       | 5                           | 26           | 3                       | 8                           | NA                          | NA                          |
| 2       | M   | Adenotonsillectomy and revision adenoidectomy     | 3                       | 15                          | 100          | 2                       | 8                           | 61.6                        | 12.5                        |
| 3       | M   | Adenoidectomy                  | 1                       | 3                           | 16           | 3                       | 8                           | NA                          | NA                          |
| 4       | M   | Adenotonsillectomy            | 5                       | 12                          | 85           | 1                       | 8                           | 6.8                         | 1.5                         |
| 5       | M   | Adenotonsillectomy            | 2                       | 3                           | 11           | 3                       | 8                           | NA                          | NA                          |
| 6       | M   | Adenoidectomy and revision adenoidectomy   | 3                       | 5                           | 23           | 2                       | 8                           | 10.0                        | 1.3                         |
| 7       | M   | Adenoidectomy                  | 3                       | 7                           | 47           | 1                       | 8                           | 13                          | 3.5                         |

AHI = apnea-hypopnea index, M = male, NA = not applicable.
4. Discussion
To the best of our knowledge, we are the first to report TTH as a cause of recurrent symptoms after adenoidectomy. There have been some reports on hypertrophy of the tubal tonsil. One study reported that 50% of cases of otitis media with effusion after adenoidectomy were associated with tubal tonsil hypertrophy. In another study, 21% of 72 patients with symptoms of adenoid regrowth were found to have tubal tonsil hypertrophy. The opinion of the authors is that these reports of tubal tonsil hypertrophy might also be TTH. In previous reports, examinations were performed with the aid of a mirror or endoscope. However, on microscopic examination, unlike the tubal tonsil, which has the shape of a lymphoid follicle, TTH has a hard symmetric appearance. Pathologic confirmation was not possible in our cases because tissue could not be retrieved after coblation.

The pathogenesis of TTH is unknown. Given that all the patients in our study had previously undergone adenoidectomy, removal of the adenoids might have placed a greater burden on the remaining tissues in the nasopharynx, leading to their progressive and compensatory hypertrophy. Lymphoid tissues actively grow during the first several years of life, so the younger age of the child at the time of the initial adenoidectomy (less than 4 years) has been suggested to be a significant risk factor for adenoid regrowth. Like adenoid regrowth, TTH would be more likely to occur in children who undergo their initial adenoidectomy at a younger age. Six children in our series underwent their initial adenoidectomy at 3 years of age or younger. The prospective large population study is warranted to validate our retrospective findings and to demonstrate the pathogenesis and risk factors of TTH.

In the present series, microscopic coblator-assisted partial resection of TTH was successful. There were no complications related to partial resection of TTH, such as nasopharyngeal, choanal stenosis, or Eustachian tube scarring with subsequent middle ear problems. Many techniques for surgical removal of the adenoids have been proposed to reduce morbidity and surgical risk (eg, curettage, suction cautery, microdebrider, or coblation). Among those techniques, authors have been using coblation adenoidectomy. The overall advantages of coblation adenoidectomy are the decrease in intra- and postoperative bleeding, better safety, precision of adenoid...
removal and less injury to adjacent tissues. It has been  
reported that the use of coblation particularly efficacious in  
removing adenoid tissue localized in the lateral walls of the  
nasopharynx surrounding the eustachian tube orifices. In  
addition, the shallow depth of penetration of energy and low  
tissue temperatures at the coablated interface means that  
there is very little surrounding tissue damage with preservation of tissue  
archnitecture. This makes it ideal for working near the  
delicate eustachian tube cushions. For same reason, coblator-  
assisted technique seems better in partial resection of TTH. The  
chief surgical pitfall to avoid during partial resection of TTH may  
be trauma to the opening of the Eustachian tube, same as during the  
adenoidectomy. The coblator system makes it possible to  
perform irrigation, coagulation, and ablation at the same time, so  
the operative field can be maintained bloodless and surgery can  
be performed meticulously around the Eustachian tube with  
more precise visualization.

In addition, we used a microscope with laryngeal mirror instead of an endoscope, which also has  
several advantages. Curettage with blind manner is not  
recommended in recent years, direct or indirect visualization is  
necessary. Usually, laryngeal mirror is used with naked eye or  
angled endoscope is used, however, the authors performed the  
autoadenoidectomy with microscope from the beginning. As early as  
1993, Andrea recommended the use of a surgical microscope for  
better visualization, more gentle dissection, and more precise  
haematostasis, thereby leading to less post-operative pain during  
 kostenlos. Our experience suggests that widening of the nasopha-
ryngeal airway space up to 8 mm would be adequate and enough  
for improving symptoms compared to the preoperative 2.1 mm  
width. The experience suggests that widening of the naso-
pharyngeal airway space to 8 mm would be adequate and enough  
for improvement of symptoms without causing any injury to the  
opening of the Eustachian tube.

The preoperative diagnosis of TTH seems to be difficult.  
Although cephalometry clearly shows a narrowing of the  
nasopharyngeal airway space, it is difficult to differentiate between  
adenoid regrowth and TTH. A CT scan can be effective for  
differentiation but cannot be recommended because of the  
radiation hazard in children. Nasopharyngoscopy would be  
helpful if the child is cooperative. Yanagisawa E, et al. recommended  
that the transnasal endoscopic nasopharyngoscopy is a useful tool  
to document changes of the torus tubarius. They also  
recommended that differentiating the 2 types of tissue is facilitated  
by transnasal suctioning; the adenoid tissue is mobile and easily  
manipulated by suctioning, whereas the torus tubarius is not.

Our study has some limitations. Its retrospective nature with  
small samples as a case series is first limitation. Additional  
limitations of this study are there was no information on body  
mass index or adenoid size at initial operation, and that affects  
aadenoid regrowth such as acid reflux or allergic components in  
each patients. However, this is the first report of surgical  
outcomes of partial resection of TTH, therefore our study may be  
appreciable. The prospective, longer-follow up, and large  
population study is required in the future.

5. Conclusion

Although patients with TTH are not encountered frequently,  
otoxinolaryngologists should keep TTH in mind as one of the  
differential diagnoses in children with recurrent symptoms of  
upper airway obstruction after adenoidectomy. As shown in the  
present study, microscopic coblator-assisted partial resection of  
TTH is likely to be safe and effective.
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