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To Explore the Application of PDCA in Hemodialysis Center and Its Effect on the Maintenance of Internal Fistula
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Background. The survey found that in recent years, with the incidence of chronic kidney disease (CKD) increasing, some patients with CKD even progressed to end-stage renal disease. Luckily, progressive hemodialysis technology and nursing level can improve the quality of life and prognosis of patients. Objective. To explore the application of plan-do-check-adjust (PDCA) cycle in the management of nurses in hemodialysis center and its effect on the maintenance of internal fistula in patients. Methods. In this study, a randomized controlled trail (RCT) was used to select 90 patients who underwent maintenance hemodialysis in the hemodialysis center of our hospital from January 2018 to June 2021 as objects. They were divided into a PDCA group (with PDCA nursing management) and routine group (with routine nursing management) by random number table with each of 45 cases for 6 months to compare the differences of the internal fistula complications, internal fistula maintenance quality, patients’ microinflammatory state, and satisfaction with nursing, as well as nursing staff’s operational and theoretical performance between the two groups. Results. There was no significant difference in CRP, IL-1, TNF-α, and IL-6 levels between the two groups before intervention ($P > 0.05$); after that, these levels in the PDCA group were lower than those in the routine group, with statistically significant difference ($P < 0.05$); before intervention, there was no statistically significant difference in the qualification rate of blood flow, the awareness rate of health education, and the incidence of nursing defect accidents between the two groups ($P > 0.05$); after that, the qualification rate of blood flow and the awareness rate of health education among nurses in PDCA group were higher than those in routine group, while the incidence of nursing defect accidents in the PDCA group was lower than that in routine group, and the differences were statistically significant ($P < 0.05$); before intervention, there was no significant difference in the complication rate between the two groups ($P > 0.05$); after that, the complication rate of the PDCA group was lower than that of the routine group, and the differences were statistically significant ($P < 0.05$); after intervention, the theoretical assessment and practical skills assessment scores of the PDCA group were higher than those of the routine group, and the differences were statistically significant ($P < 0.05$); after intervention, the nursing satisfaction of the PDCA group was higher than that of the routine group, and the differences were statistically significant ($P < 0.05$). Conclusion. The application of PDCA approach in the management of nurses in hemodialysis centers can effectively improve the quality of internal fistula management and improve the practical and theoretical level of nurses, as well as reduce the microinflammation of patients.

1. Introduction

Hemodialysis is the main treatment for patients with acute and chronic renal failure and uremia. In the course of treatment, patients need to establish vascular access, and arteriovenous fistula is the first choice. This vascular access has the advantages of small trauma and high safety, but the cycle is long. It may require repeated internal fistula puncture, which easily causes a series of complications such as bleeding at the puncture point, local skin hematoma, failure, and infection and affects the therapeutic effect of patients [1, 2]. Good arteriovenous fistula is the key to determine the quality of dialysis and quality of life. Hemodialysis patients may lose confidence in treatment and affect
prognosis due to long treatment cycle and irreversible course
[3, 4]. Clinically, whether some effective intervention mea-
sures can be used to improve the maintenance quality of
arteriovenous fistula in hemodialysis patients and ultimately
improve the quality of dialysis is considered, but there are
few relevant literatures [5, 6].. Studies have shown that the
correct nursing is an important factor affecting the survival
period of fistula [7, 8].. Traditional nursing methods lack
systematicness and pertinence. In recent years, PDCA cycle
training has been gradually introduced into clinical practice,
but there is no clinical report on its role in improving the
quality of hemodialysis patients [9]. In this study, the ap-
lication of PDCA circulation was emphasized, and the influ-
ence on the maintenance of internal fistula during
hemodialysis was analyzed in the nursing training.

1.1. Core Tips. The quality of care after hemodialysis is related
to the recovery of patients’ prognosis. PDCA cycle method is a
new type of nursing management method. At present, the
PDCA cycle method has not been well popularized. In this
study, a randomized controlled study was used to compare the
effects of PDCA nursing management mode and routine
nursing management mode on the maintenance of internal fis-
tula and the quality of hemodialysis in hemodialysis patients.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. General Information. In this study, RCT was used to
select 90 patients who underwent maintenance hemodialysis
in the hemodialysis center of our hospital from January 2018
to June 2021 as objects. They were divided into a PDCA
group (with PDCA nursing management) and routine group
(with routine nursing management) by random number
table with each of 45 cases.

Inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) patients from 19 to
75; (2) patients who received maintenance hemodialysis in
the hemodialysis center of our hospital for 2-3 times a week;
(3) all the underlying diseases the patients had were chronic
renal failure (CRF); (4) patients who have received dialysis
treatment for more than 6 months; and (5) the research pro-
gram needs to meet the requirements of the medical ethics
expert group of our hospital, and informed consent needs
to be signed both by patients and their families.

Exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) patients with
underlying diseases of coagulation system; (2) patients with
malignant tumors; (3) patients with infectious underlying
diseases (like abdominal infection, pulmonary infection);
(4) patients who are being attacked by cerebrovascular dis-
ase, heart disease, etc., in recent 3 months; (5) patients with
mental or cognitive dysfunction; and (6) patients with hema-
tological diseases.

2.2. Dialysis Therapy. The autologous arteriovenous fistula
vascular access was used for dialysis. The surgical methods
included the side to end anastomosis and end to end anasto-
mosis of the head vein and radial artery. The Fresenius
hemodialysis machine and the cellulose triacetate membrane
with a membrane area of 1.4 m2 were used for the hemodi-
alysis treatment. The dialysis fluid was bicarbonate, and the
dialysis water was reverse osmosis water. The related param-
eters in dialysis were as follows: 500 mL/min; blood flow:
200-300 mL/min; dialysis time: 4 h, 3 times a week; and anti-
coagulant medicine: low molecular heparin.

2.3. Intervention Measures. The patients in the routine group
accepted routine nursing mode, evaluating positively to
observe internal fistula, recording the changes of basic signs,
and making sure of the sterile state during the treatment.
Pay attention to communicating with patients and their
family members, maintaining quiet and suitable department
environment, and formulating scientific diet plan for the
patients. Give them systematic guidance after being dis-
charged from the hospital.

The PDCA group adopted PDCA nursing management
mode, including (1) first, set up a nursing team, including
2 attending physicians and 4 nurses. All of them should first
learn the theoretical knowledge of PDCA cycle management
mode and systematically grasp the nursing points. (2) Plan:
the head nurse should take the lead in formulating nursing
goals, that is to say, extending the life of arteriovenous fistula
and reducing the incidence of complications. After the target
task is determined, the members of the group are required to
develop a set of nursing process based on clinical experience
and network resources and to develop a standard nursing
process of arteriovenous fistula after discussion. (3) Do: (1)
the head nurse should make an overall arrangement of train-
ing and designate the responsibility nursing system. Each nurse
need to manage 2-6 patients, achieving the entire 8-
hour working time for a continuous nursing in the day. In
addition to the management of patients, nurses should also
carry out education and training for the patients’ families,
so that they can master nursing knowledge, in order to take
care of patients at home for improving the quality of the
recovery period. (2) During the puncture of internal fistula,
nurses need to assess the skin performance of patients.
Nurses should strengthen the inspection of patients during
dialysis for the changes in their signs. Stop bleeding, and
band the puncture point with sterile dressings and elastic
bandages after dialysis. (4) Check: the head nurse needs to
regularly investigate and evaluate the nursing work and
record the maintenance of fistula and skin performance of
patients in detail as well as process them in time. (5) Adjust:
forecast events that have occurred or have not happened yet
for adjusting the nursing plan in time.

2.4. Observation Indicators and Detection Methods. The
serum inflammatory factors: CRP, IL-1, TNF-α, and IL-6,
were detected and compared between the two groups.

A total of 5 mL fasting venous blood was collected sepa-
ately during the intervention and after that, and the serum
was collected after centrifugation. The levels of TNF-α, IL-1,
and IL-6 were detected by ELISA. The CRP level was
detected by immunoturbidimetry, with the kit purchased
from Roche, USA.

The incidence of subcutaneous hematoma, fistula block-
age, infection, steal syndrome, and swelling hand syndrome
was compared between the two groups. The evaluation of
internal fistula maintenance quality mainly includes the
qualification rate of blood flow (internal fistula blood flow velocity > 150 mL/min), the awareness rate of patients on health education, and the incidence of nursing defect accidents.

The theoretical examination and practical skills of nursing staff were compared between the two groups by tests. Fifty theoretical examination questions were randomly selected from the nursing assessment library of our hospital for 2 points per question; while practice skills were mainly examined from the selection of puncture site, fistula observation, complication and stress treatment, and other aspects with a total of 100 points [10].

The average score of patient’s satisfaction with nursing was calculated according to the subjective survey of patients with a total of 100 points. Patients can do the survey on the basis of their feelings during treatment. The higher the score, the more their satisfaction with nursing; being equal or greater than 90 points means he is very satisfied with nursing service, 80-89 points means satisfactory, 70-79 points means general, and less than 70 points means unsatisfactory [11].

2.5. Statistical Treatment. In this study, the serum CRP, IL-1, TNF-α, and IL-6 levels and other measurement indexes of patients were tested by normal distribution, which were in line with the approximate normal distribution or normal distribution, expressing as (x ± s). The t test was used for comparison between the two groups; nongrade count data were expressed by percentage (qualified rate of blood flow, awareness rate of patients on health education, incidence of nursing defect accidents, etc.), and statistical analysis was performed by the χ² test; nonparametric test method was used for comparison of grade count data (nursing satisfaction classification), with professional SPSS21.0 software for data processing, test level α = 0.05.

3. Results

3.1. Comparison of Baseline Data between the PDCA Group and Routine Group. The age, BMI, nodule diameter, TG, TC, and blood pressure of the PDCA group and the routine group were statistically analyzed to show that there was no significant difference between them, and the two groups were comparable (P > 0.05) (Table 1).

3.2. Comparison of Microinflammation Indexes between the PDCA Group and Routine Group. There was no significant difference in CRP, IL-1, TNF-α, and IL-6 levels between the two groups before intervention (P > 0.05). After that, these levels in the PDCA group were lower than those in the routine group, with statistically significant difference (P < 0.05) (Table 2).

3.3. Comparison of Nursing Quality of Fistula between the PDCA Group and Routine Group. Before intervention, there was no statistically significant difference in the qualification rate of blood flow, the awareness rate of health education, and the incidence of nursing defects between the two groups (P > 0.05). After that, the qualification rate of blood flow and the awareness rate of health education among nurses in the PDCA group were higher than those in the routine group, while the incidence of nursing defect accidents in the PDCA group was lower than that in the routine group, and the differences were statistically significant (P < 0.05). Table 3.

3.4. Comparison of Complication Rate between the PDCA Group and Routine Group. Before intervention, there was no significant difference in the complication rate between the two groups (P > 0.05). After that, the complication rate of the PDCA group was lower than that of the routine group, and the differences were statistically significant (P < 0.05). Table 4.

3.5. Comparison of Theoretical Assessment and Practical Skills Assessment Scores between the PDCA Group and Routine Group of Nursing Staff. After intervention, the theoretical assessment and practical skills assessment scores of the PDCA group were higher than those of the routine group, and the differences were statistically significant (P < 0.05) (Table 5).

3.6. Comparison of Nursing Satisfaction between the PDCA Group and Routine Group. After intervention, the nursing satisfaction of the PDCA group was higher than that of the routine group, and the differences were statistically significant (P < 0.05) (Table 6).

4. Discussion

In recent years, the incidence of chronic kidney disease has been increasing. Some patients with chronic kidney disease will gradually aggravate and turn to end-stage renal disease, which requires renal replacement therapy. With the continuous improvement of hemodialysis technology and nursing level, the survival time and quality of life of patients were significantly improved. According to clinical studies, the duration of fistula maintenance in hemodialysis is closely related to surgical skills, vascular conditions, and nursing quality [12, 13].

In recent years, PDCA cycle training mode has been introduced in clinical practice. This method includes random assessment results and regular assessment results, which has the advantages of timely feedback of nursing problems. In addition, it can timely feed back the problems in the nursing process and discuss and correct them, with pertinence and purpose, so that the nursing process is in a virtuous cycle, and the patency rate of patients with arteriovenous fistula can also be improved [14]. In this study, patients were divided into the PDCA group and the routine group, respectively, with PDCA nursing management mode and routine nursing management mode to explore the application of PDCA method in the management of nurses in hemodialysis center and the maintenance effect on internal fistula. The excessive expression of inflammatory factors such as TNF-α, IL-1, and IL-6 in the peripheral blood of MHD patients can stimulate the inflammation of the body and then cause a series of pathological changes. However, in hemodialysis treatment, a puncture surgery (even the repeated puncture in special cases) needs to be done for arteriovenous fistula, causing more chance of contact of the vascular with the outside world, thus leading to the greater
Table 1: Comparison of baseline data between the PDCA group and routine group.

| Group         | n  | Age (years) | BMI (kg/m^2) | Years of education (years) | Gender (%) | Primary disease (%) | Fistula location (%) |
|---------------|----|-------------|--------------|-----------------------------|------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| PDCA group    | 45 | 64.77 ± 7.50| 22.63 ± 2.11| 7.50 ± 1.64                 | Male 25 (55.56) | Glomerulonephritis 8 (17.78) | Left arm 21 (46.67) |
|               |    | 60 ± 7      | 19.44         | 8.78 ± 2.01                 | Female 20 (44.44) | Nephrotic syndrome 37 (82.22) | Right arm 24 (53.33) |
| Regular group | 45 | 62.60 ± 7.38| 22.48 ± 1.96| 7.87 ± 2.01                 | Male 19 (42.22) | Glomerulonephritis 33 (73.33) | Left arm 26 (57.78) |
|               |    | 68 ± 7      | 44.44         | 8.78 ± 0.70                 | Female 26 (57.78) | Nephrotic syndrome 12 (26.67) | Right arm 19 (42.22) |

$t$/$\chi^2$ values and associated $P$ values are also presented.
| Group        | n  | CRP (mg/L) Before intervention | CRP (mg/L) Postintervention | IL-1 (ng/mL) Before intervention | IL-1 (ng/mL) Postintervention | TNF-α (pg/mL) Before intervention | TNF-α (pg/mL) Postintervention | IL-6 (pg/mL) Before intervention | IL-6 (pg/mL) Postintervention |
|--------------|----|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| PDCA group   | 45 | 6.17 ± 1.77                    | 4.03 ± 1.36                 | 84.39 ± 9.76                     | 75.40 ± 7.70                | 73.78 ± 10.60                   | 66.19 ± 8.42                    | 42.84 ± 7.37                    | 35.80 ± 6.61                    |
| Regular group| 45 | 6.33 ± 1.80                    | 5.14 ± 1.52                 | 86.20 ± 10.54                    | 81.00 ± 8.95                | 72.14 ± 9.62                    | 70.37 ± 8.58                    | 41.90 ± 7.55                    | 38.92 ± 6.38                    |
| t            | -0.425 | -3.651                      | -0.845                      | -3.182                           | 0.769                       | -2.333                          | 0.598                           | -2.278                          |
| P            | 0.672 | 0.000                         | 0.400                       | 0.002                            | 0.444                       | 0.022                           | 0.552                           | 0.025                           |

Table 2: Comparison of microinflammation indexes between the PDCA group and routine group (x ± s).
probability of infection caused by retrograde blood entry of bacteria and the higher risk of microinflammation reactions [15, 16]. The results of this study showed that there was no significant difference in the complication rate between the two groups before intervention ($P > 0.05$); after intervention, the complication rate of the PDCA group was lower than that of the routine group; there was no significant difference in CRP, IL-1, TNF-α, and IL-6 levels between them before intervention ($P > 0.05$). After intervention, the above inflammatory factors in the PDCA group were significantly lower than those in the conventional group. In the implementation of PDCA cycle management mode, nurses pay attention to the observation of patients’ blood flow and the blood color in hemodialysis pipeline and can monitor the pulse around the fistula to detect fistula occlusion signs early and give treatment timely. And the measurement of the blood viscosity is beneficial for the timely adjustment of anticoagulant dosage in order to prevent bleeding. The head nurse will formulate nursing goals and implement them in order to reduce the incidence of complications. The head nurse plays a vital role in the whole PDCA model. She should not only hold the monthly discussions which report the problems existing in nursing in the group but also summarize and reflect on the feedback problems and adjust the nursing plan in time [17]. Under the PDCA mode, nurses in the department should formulate targeted nursing measures according to the occurrence of microinflammation and complications and intervene them through planning, doing, checking, and adjusting to effectively reduce the incidence of complications.

The results of this study showed that there was no significant difference in the qualified rate of blood flow, the awareness rate of health education, and the incidence of nursing defect accidents between the two groups before intervention; after that, the qualified rate of blood flow and the awareness rate of health education in the PDCA group were higher than those in the routine group, and the incidence of nursing defects in the PDCA group was lower than that in the routine group. Some studies have shown that PDCA cycle is conducive to building a good relationship between nurses and patients for the better communication; thus, the nursing staff can understand the needs of patients for a better work [18].

### Table 3: Comparison of nursing quality of internal fistula between the PDCA group and routine group ($\bar{x} \pm s$).

| Group          | n  | Blood flow pass rate | Awareness rate of health education | Nursing deficiency incident |
|----------------|----|----------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
|                |    | Before intervention  | After intervention                  | Before intervention          | After intervention          |
| PDCA group     | 45 | 38 (84.44)           | 44 (97.78)                         | 27 (60.00)                  | 42 (93.33)                 |
| Regular group  | 45 | 35 (77.78)           | 36 (80.00)                         | 31 (68.89)                  | 37 (82.22)                 |
| $\chi^2$       |    | 0.653                | 7.200                              | 0.776                       | 2.589                      |
| $P$            |    | 0.419                | 0.001                              | 0.378                       | 0.108                      |

### Table 4: Comparison of complication rate between the PDCA group and routine group.

| Group          | n  | Incidence of subcutaneous hematoma | Incidence of fistula blockage | Infection rate | Steal syndrome | Swollen hand syndrome | Complication rate (%) |
|----------------|----|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| PDCA group     | 45 | 0                                 | 1                             | 1              | 0               | 1                     | 3 (6.67)              |
| Regular group  | 45 | 3                                 | 2                             | 2              | 1               | 2                     | 10 (22.22)            |
| $\chi^2$       |    | 4.406                             |                               |                |                 |                       |                      |
| $P$            |    | 0.036                             |                               |                |                 |                       |                      |

### Table 5: Comparison of theoretical assessment and practical skills assessment scores of nursing staff ($\bar{x} \pm s$, scores).

| Group          | n  | Theoretical assessment | Practical skills |
|----------------|----|------------------------|------------------|
| PDCA group     | 45 | 91.63 ± 3.87           | 90.08 ± 4.73     |
| Regular group  | 45 | 87.85 ± 5.03           | 87.64 ± 4.80     |
| $t$            |    | 3.995                  | 2.429            |
| $P$            |    | 0.000                  | 0.017            |

### Table 6: Comparison of meeting satisfaction between the PDCA group and conventional group ($n$ (%)).

| Group          | n  | Very satisfied | Satisfy | Generally Dissatisfied |
|----------------|----|----------------|--------|------------------------|
| PDCA group     | 45 | 28 (62.22)     | 15 (33.33) | 2 (4.44)               |
| Regular group  | 45 | 16 (35.56)     | 22 (48.89) | 6 (13.33)              |
| $Z$            |    | -2.698         |         |                        |
| $P$            |    | 0.007          |         |                        |
circulation method enables patients and their families to understand the content of health education for improving their cognition of hemodialysis, which helps patients maintain a good attitude for a better treatment compliance. All of these can prolong the use time of internal fistula and reduce the incidence of nursing defect accidents.

The results of this study showed that after intervention, the scores of theoretical assessment, practical skills assessment, and nursing satisfaction in the PDCA group were significantly higher than those in the routine group. The PDCA method provides patients with more professional and personalized nursing services. Previous studies have shown that dialysis can restore the physiological function of patients to a certain extent, but the changes of the disease itself, complications after treatment, and the costs of treatment affect the quality of patients’ life. In this study, the PDCA cycle method was adopted to reduce complications and improve treatment outcomes, which improved the quality of patients’ life. In this study, through the PDCA cycle management model, the head nurses in the department coordinated the whole process, formulated the nursing scheme and assessment scheme for clinical practice and theoretical knowledge, developed the corresponding system, completed the training work, and implemented 24-hour continuous nursing. For the improper operation in the whole treatment process, the head nurse gave timely correction. In addition, the head nurse has not regularly inspected the implementation of PDCA cycle management mode to promote the improvement of nursing quality.

In view of the problem that PDCA improves the maintenance effect of internal fistula, it is considered that the nurse has made continuous inspections throughout the treatment period and made emergency treatment plans. In this study, it is found that the inflammatory state will affect the effect of internal fistula. The nursing staff will adjust the nursing plan according to the inflammatory state of the patients and make timely intervention when the internal fistula occlusion and blood viscosity are found. In addition, nurses also require patients and their families to master the knowledge of fistula maintenance and improve their self-observation and nursing ability. When patients are discharged, they can also get self-care at home. The head nurses’ meetings are held regularly to explore the problems reflected in the work of the department and adjust the nursing scheme according to the problems of patients and the requirements of patients, so that the medical and nursing scheme is more accurate and humanized. The above measures are conducive to improving the maintenance effect of internal fistula.

The PDCA cycle method clearly reflects the nursing process of evaluation, intervention, and effectiveness evaluation and helps hemodialysis nurses to formulate predictive nursing plans for prediction. The PDCA cycle method integrates assessment, intervention, and evaluation. It can not only discover the common problems of patients and give small group intervention but also take targeted case intervention measures to improve theoretical level and practical skills. This study found that the PDCA method is feasible for maintenance hemodialysis patients.

In the past, the evaluation of the effect of hemodialysis is mostly carried out from the aspects of inflammation and complications [19, 20]. This study uses the PDCA cycle method to effectively improve the professional skills of nurses, improve the qualified rate of blood flow after treatment, improve the awareness rate of health education, reduce the incidence of nursing defects, and improve the quality of care of hemodialysis patients. The sample size selected in this study is small, so further research should consider expanding the sample size and verify the effectiveness of this study through large-capacity sample data.

In summary, the application of the PDCA method in the management of nurses in hemodialysis centers can effectively improve the quality of internal fistula management and the practice and theoretical level of nurses, as well as reduce the microinflammatory state of patients.

**Data Availability**

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

**Conflicts of Interest**

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

**Acknowledgments**

The research is supported by the Fund of Nantong Science and Technology Bureau, Jiangsu Province (MSZ19001).

**References**

[1] H. Samaneh, V. Mohsen, and O. Shahrzad, “Evaluation of long-term survival and predictors of mortality in hemodialysis patients by using time dependent variables, a single centre cohort analysis,” *Iranian Journal of Kidney Diseases*, vol. 15, no. 5, pp. 373–384, 2021.

[2] J. Zhang, J. Li, and J. Q. Huang, “Network meta-analysis of four Chinese patent medicines combined with angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors or angiotensin receptor blockers in early diabetic nephropathy treatment,” *World Journal of Traditional Chinese Medicine*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 51–60, 2020.

[3] S. U. Zaman, M. K. U. Zaman, A. Arshad et al., “Biocompatibility performance evaluation of high flux hydrophilic CO3Ap/HAP/PSF composite membranes for hemodialysis application,” *Artificial Organs*, vol. 45, no. 8, pp. e265–e279, 2021.

[4] X. Bi, Q. Zhang, F. Zhuang, and F. Ding, “A mathematical estimation for quantified calcium supplementation during intermittent hemodialysis using regional citrate anticoagulation,” *Artificial Organs*, vol. 11, no. 20, pp. 1122–1131, 2022.

[5] W. Bitar, J. Halve, E. Honkanen, V. Rauta, M. Haapio, and P. Finne, “Similar survival on home haemodialysis and automated peritoneal dialysis: an inception cohort study,” *Nephrology Dialysis Transplantation*, vol. 232, no. 7, p. e3879, 2021.

[6] Y. Y. Song, L. Chen, W. W. Yu, W. X. Wang, D. J. Yang, and X. L. Jiang, “Correlates of symptom burden of hemodialysis patients,” *Western Journal of Nursing Research*, vol. 43, no. 5, pp. 459–467, 2021.
[7] E. Ok and Y. Kutlu, “The effect of motivational interviewing on adherence to treatment and quality of life in chronic hemodialysis patients: a randomized controlled trial,” Clinical Nursing Research, vol. 30, no. 3, pp. 322–333, 2021.

[8] G. Duarsa, Y. Kandarini, G. K. Winarta et al., “A comparison of erectile dysfunction improvement between patients with regular hemodialysis and patients with continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis,” Journal of Sexual Medicine, vol. 18, no. 5, pp. 920–925, 2021.

[9] E. Bulbul and N. Enc, “Construction and validation of a scale for assessing arteriovenous fistulas,” The Journal of Vascular Access, vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 178–183, 2021.

[10] M. Ghanayem, E. Srulovici, and C. Zlotnick, “Occupational strain and job satisfaction: the job demand–resource moderation–mediation model in haemodialysis units,” Journal of Nursing Management, vol. 28, no. 3, pp. 664–672, 2020.

[11] S. Inkeroinen, H. Virtanen, T. Kilpi et al., “Relationship between sufficiency and usefulness of patient education: a cross- sectional study of patients with chronic kidney disease,” Nursing & Health Sciences, vol. 22, no. 4, pp. 846–853, 2020.

[12] S. Pack and L. Jia, “Randomised controlled trial of a smartphone application-based dietary self- management program on haemodialysis patients,” Journal of Clinical Nursing, vol. 30, no. 5-6, pp. 840–848, 2021.

[13] C. N. Sousa, P. Marujo, P. Teles, M. N. Lira, V. F. F. Dias, and M. E. L. M. Novais, “Self-care behavior profiles with arteriovenous fistula in hemodialysis patients,” Clinical Nursing Research, vol. 29, no. 6, pp. 363–367, 2020.

[14] Y. Chen, C. Lin, and B. Lee, “Relationships of illness representation and quality of life in patients with end-stage renal disease receiving haemodialysis,” Journal of Clinical Nursing, vol. 29, no. 19-20, pp. 3812–3821, 2020.

[15] F. Oliveira, A. Almeida, T. A. Mota, J. R. Costa, M. S. Andrade, and R. S. Silva, “The health/disease transition process in chronic kidney disease patients: contributions to nursing care,” Revista da Escola de Enfermagem da U.S.P, vol. 54, no. 4, article e03581, 2020.

[16] X. Cao and L. Chen, “The impact of empathy on work engagement in hemodialysis nurses: the mediating role of resilience,” Japan Journal of Nursing Science, vol. 17, no. 1, article e12284, 2020.

[17] L. Gilad, Y. S. Haviv, I. Cohen-Glickman, D. Chinitz, and M. J. Cohen, “Chronic drug treatment among hemodialysis patients: a qualitative study of patients, nursing and medical staff attitudes and approaches,” BMC Nephrology, vol. 21, no. 1, pp. 239–243, 2020.

[18] N. Zen, T. Cepken, and C. N. Sousa, “Does adequate hemodialysis prevent symptoms?: a national cross-sectional survey,” Clinical Nursing Research, vol. 30, no. 3, pp. 334–342, 2020.

[19] E. T. Yangz, Z. Zer, and L. Boz, “Comparison of the effect of educational and self-management interventions on adherence to treatment in hemodialysis patients: a systematic review and meta- analysis of randomized controlled trials,” International Journal of Clinical Practice, vol. 75, no. 5, article e13842, 2021.

[20] H. I. A. Abu Maloh, K. L. Soh, M. E. AbuRuz et al., “Efficacy of Benson’s relaxation technique on anxiety and depression among patients undergoing hemodialysis: a systematic review,” Clinical Nursing Research, vol. 31, no. 1, pp. 122–135, 2022.