The Role of Extracellular Matrix in the Control of Cell Differentiation

Adhesive interactions between cells and the insoluble meshwork of extracellular matrix proteins play a vital role in embryonic morphogenesis (33, 36, 94, 109, 135, 145), and in the regulation of gene expression in cells of the adult organism (1, 6, 105, 124). While the overall phenomenon of extracellular matrix (ECM) effects on cell differentiation is well known, the biochemical and molecular bases for these effects have remained elusive. It is clear that many of the interactions between cells and the ECM are mediated by the integrin family of cell surface receptors (2, 3, 13, 72). However, the precise mechanism(s) whereby signals from ECM proteins are transduced via integrins to the intracellular machinery that controls cell growth, behavior, and differentiation, remains poorly defined.

There are many compelling examples of control of cell differentiation and gene expression through adhesive interactions with extracellular matrix. In fibroblasts, cell attachment has been reported to rapidly increase expression of c-fos and pro α1(I) collagen messages (26, 27). Adhesion to fibronectin fragments, or cross-linking of the integrin α5β1 fibronectin receptor with antibody, induced the expression of metalloprotease genes in fibroblastic cells; interestingly, intact fibronectin did not provoke this response nor did fibronectin fragments in solution (137). In a somewhat similar vein, stimulation of the α/β3 integrin in melanoma cells induced the expression of type IV collagenase and increased the invasive ability of these cells (115). The capacity of breast epithelial cells to express milk proteins in response to hormonal stimuli is quite dependent on the presence of an appropriate ECM (124). Studies in this system have led to the preliminary identification of matrix-dependent elements in the promoter region of the β casein gene (111). In the immune system, activation of T-lymphocytes through the T-cell receptor, integrins, and other adhesion molecules such as CD8, with both tyrosine kinases and polyphosphoinositol hydrolysis being involved in these processes (98a). Many other examples of matrix- or adhesion-induced changes in cell differentiation and/or gene expression have been observed in a variety of cell types, including peritoneal macrophages (130), pheochromocytoma cells (81), endothelial cells (47), bone cells (22, 136), cultured hepatocytes (17, 29), and myoblasts (88). However, perhaps the most dramatic example of gene induction through adhesive interactions with matrix occurs in monocytes. These cells undergo a rapid and extensive change in the pattern of gene expression upon adherence to substrata coated with ECM proteins (56, 123). This system has allowed new insights into the relationships between matrix proteins, integrins, transcription factors, and gene regulation, and is discussed in more detail below.

Integrins: Mediators of Cell-Matrix Interactions

The integrins are a family of heterodimeric cell surface receptors; each integrin subunit has a large extracellular domain, a single membrane spanning region, and usually a short cytoplasmic domain (32, 60, 87, 107). The integrin receptor family of vertebrate cells is comprised of at least 14 distinct α subunits and 8 or more β subunits that can associate in various combinations (9, 23, 37, 65, 78, 90). The α/β associations determine the ligand binding specificities of the integrin heterodimers for various ECM proteins, including fibronectin, laminin, and collagens. Some integrins, such as α5β1, the "classic" fibronectin receptor, interact with only a single ECM protein (11). More commonly, an individual integrin will recognize several distinct ECM proteins (18, 104, 126). Cells often display multiple integrins capable of interacting with a particular ECM protein ligand (3, 24, 60). In some cases two integrins that share a ligand will actually recognize different regions of the ligand molecule, as is true of the α5β1 and α4/β1 fibronectin receptors (50), or the α1/β1 and α6/β1 laminin receptors (53); in other cases, such as α5/β1 and α3/β1, two integrins bind to the same region of the ECM protein (36).

This overlapping and apparently redundant expression of integrins seems paradoxical. However, two integrins that bind the same region of the same ligand may not necessarily serve identical functions in the cell. This suggestion is based on the fact that the cytoplasmic domains of integrin subunits, particularly those of the various α subunits (126, 127), dis-
play highly divergent sequences, indicating that each subunit might contribute to discrete intracellular functions. In addition, it has recently been shown that several integrin α subunits undergo alternative splicing in a tissue-type specific and developmentally regulated manner (61, 128); this again suggests discrete intracellular functions for individual integrins. One interesting possibility is that various α/β combinations (and/or splicing variants) might transduce different signals from the extracellular matrix to the cell interior. Although integrins do not have characteristics that one might expect to find in a signal-generating receptor, such as kinase or phosphatase domains (41, 131), or sequences suitable for interaction with G proteins (44), nonetheless, it is clear that integrins are capable of transducing signals from the ECM to the cell interior, and that these signals may trigger changes in gene expression.

**Signal Transduction by Integrins**

Currently, there are two broad views on the mechanism of integrin-mediated signaling; these may turn out to be complementary rather than mutually exclusive. One concept suggests that integrins transmit signals by organizing the cytoskeleton, thus regulating cell shape and internal cellular architecture. Integrin cytoplasmic domains do indeed interact with cytoskeletal components (62, 100), and sites of integrin-mediated adhesion to the ECM serve as nucleation foci for cytoskeletal assembly (14). It seems reasonable that appropriate cell shape and cytoskeletal organization might regulate the biosynthetic capabilities of the cell, and thus contribute to cell growth or differentiation (6, 66, 67). Recently, however, an alternative paradigm for integrin-mediated signal transduction has emerged. In this view integrins are deemed to be true receptors capable of giving rise to biochemical signals within the cell.

Several reports have indicated that ligation of integrins can alter cellular patterns of tyrosine phosphorylation. We have used anti-integrin subunit antibodies, followed by treatment with secondary antibodies, to cluster integrins at the surface of human carcinoma cells; this process was designed to mimic the lateral association of integrins that occurs during the formation of adhesive contacts. Clustering of integrins caused enhanced tyrosine phosphorylation of a complex of proteins of ~120–130 kD (77). The increase in tyrosine phosphorylation was specific for integrins since clustering of other cell surface proteins did not cause this effect. Another group has shown that adhesion of mouse fibroblasts to substrata coated with the specific ligand fibronectin caused increased tyrosine phosphorylation of protein(s) of ~120 kD; adhesion of cells to substrata coated with nonspecific ligands such as poly-L-lysine failed to produce changes in tyrosine phosphorylation (52). Recently, one of the components of the 120–130 kD tyrosine-phosphorylated complex in human carcinoma cells has been shown to react with antibodies to a 125-kD protein (77, 77a); this protein had been previously identified as a substrate for src family tyrosine kinases (73). Integrin-stimulated tyrosine phosphorylation of the 125-kD protein has also been observed in mouse fibroblasts (52). When cells were allowed to spread on fibronectin, the 125-kD protein accumulated in focal adhesive contacts (54, 77a, 110). Cloning and sequencing of the 125-kD protein has revealed that this molecule is a novel tyrosine kinase; both chicken (110) and mouse (54) forms have been independently described.

Based on its focal contact localization, the 125-kD protein has been termed “pp125 Focal Adhesion Kinase” (pp125FAK) (110). The primary structure of pp125FAK indicates that it contains a clearly recognizable tyrosine kinase catalytic domain; however, this molecule has several features which set it apart from other members of the tyrosine kinase family. First, the catalytic domain is flanked by large NH₂- and COOH-terminal domains of unknown function. Second, pp125FAK seems to be purely a cytoplasmic kinase since it lacks a transmembrane domain or any obvious sites for conjugation of lipid anchors. Third, pp125FAK lacks the SH2 and SH3 domains that are usually found in cytoplasmic tyrosine kinases as well as in other proteins known to interact with growth factor receptors (54, 76, 110).

Observations in platelets also suggest a role for integrins in tyrosine kinase-mediated signal transduction. Agonists that trigger platelet aggregation produce a wave of tyrosine phosphorylation in these cells that affects several different sets of proteins in sequence. The pattern of agonist-induced tyrosine phosphorylation is markedly altered when specific ligands are bound to glycoprotein IIB/IIIa, a major platelet integrin (39, 45). Recently, a strong correlation has been demonstrated between ligation of platelet integrins and activation of pp125FAK (82a); e.g., in IIB/IIIa deficient platelets from Glanzman's thrombostenia patients, stimulation of pp125FAK is markedly reduced. Thus, in at least three cell systems (carcinoma cells, fibroblasts, and platelets), ligation of integrins followed by integrin clustering and cytoskeletal reorganization can affect pp125FAK, a novel cytoplasmic tyrosine kinase, leading to activation and increased tyrosine phosphorylation of this protein. Thus it seems likely that integrin-mediated activation of pp125FAK is an early step in a signal transduction cascade that permits the flow of information from the extracellular matrix to the cell interior.

At first glance it seems surprising that β1 or β3 integrins, with their short cytoplasmic domains, could induce the activation of a cytoplasmic kinase such as fak. However, there is ample precedent for this from studies of surface receptors that interact with src kinases. Members of this family of cytoplasmic tyrosine kinases (101) are bound to the membrane by lipid anchors and are thus well positioned to interact with membrane receptors. A number of receptors with relatively short cytoplasmic domains including CD4, CD8, and T-cell receptor in lymphocytes (108, 134, 142), GTP in platelets (53), and IgE receptor in mast cells (35), each interact with src family members. Since pp125FAK is known to be a substrate for src family kinases (51, 73), it is tempting to postulate that an interplay of src and pp125FAK may be directly involved in integrin mediated signal transduction; however, there is currently no direct evidence to support this possibility.

One should keep in mind that signaling processes in cells are complex, and in addition to changes in tyrosine phosphorylation, a number of other integrin-related signaling events have been described. Thus in platelets there is evidence that integrin ligation can affect calcium activated proteases (41a), the Na⁺/H⁺ antiporter (5a), and the subcellular distribution of phosphoinositide-3-kinase (144), as well as influencing tyrosine phosphorylation. Osteopontin and related peptides can induce calcium transients in osteoclasts via the αvβ3 integrin (89), while both cAMP (92) and calcium (95) are affected by β2 integrin-mediated events in neutrophils. In addition, signaling events involving arachidonic
Integrin-mediated Gene Induction in Monocytes

The monocyte has proven to be a valuable system for the study of integrin regulation of gene expression. From a teleological perspective, it makes good sense that cell–matrix interactions might trigger change in gene expression in these cells. To extravasate into sites of tissue injury, infection, and tissue remodeling, monocytes must adhere to and migrate over the surface of vascular and connective tissue cells and the ECM. Leukocytes migrate between damaged endothelial cells using LFA-1/ICAM and/or VLA-4/VCAM interactions and may subsequently invade through the basement membrane and into the connective tissue with the aid of the appropriate members of the VLA (β1) integrins (15, 99).

Monocytes may then embark on their critical role in host defense and tissue repair functions, primed by the receptor-mediated interactions experienced during their migration. Monocytes isolated by density fractionation methods express few genes associated with inflammation or growth control (56, 123). After adherence to plastic, an impressive number of genes associated with the inflammatory response are expressed. High steady-state levels of transcripts for IL-1β, for example, appear in <30 min accounting for ~0.1% of the total mRNA of the cell (123). While many of these immediately–early (IE) response genes encode cytokines, other genes encode transcription-associated proteins, such as c-fos (56), c-jun (118), IkB (58), and MAD-6/A20 (123). Adhesion does not result in a global activation of gene expression in monocytes. Several constitutively expressed genes such as HLA-DR and β-actin are apparently unaffected by adhesive events while others such as the CSF-1 receptor c-fms, lysozyme, and CD-4 are rapidly downregulated by adherence (34). Gene induction requires adhesion, as parallel cultures of monocytes maintained in polypropylene tubes under constant rolling conditions fail to show priming unless low levels of endotoxin (LPS), or a phorbol ester (PMA) are provided. LPS stimulation appears to mimic all of the adhesion responses while PMA stimulation is somewhat more selective (34, 123).

Although adherence to plastic is sufficient for expression of many cytokine messages, it is not sufficient for translation or secretion (56 and S. Haskill, unpublished observations) without exposure to a second activation signal such as that provided by LPS.

Adhesion to Different ECM Components Results in Selective Patterns of Gene Expression. A series of novel monocyte adherence (MAD) cDNA clones were obtained by subtractive cDNA screening of a λ gt10 library from 30-min adhered monocytes (123). The MAD clones represented transcription-associated factors, including IkB (MAD-3) the inhibitor/sequestration factor for NK-κB (58), MAD-6/A20 a zinc finger apparent transcription factor that inhibits apoptosis (98, 123), as well as several cytokines, GRO β and GRO γ (MAD-2) (57), and a novel version of the IL-1ra (MAD-15) (59). In addition, a superoxide dismutase (SOD), an inducible prostaglandin synthetase, IL-8, and MIP-la were identified subsequently. While adhesion to plastic is clearly responsible for a broad pattern of activation of monocyte mediators of inflammation and host defense, it seems likely that this is not representative of the selective gene activation events which occur in vivo. The ECM has a profound selective influence on monocyte priming events. Adhesion to fibronectin-coated plastic regulates expression of several monocyte cytokine genes in a pattern that is distinct from adhesion to type IV collagen, while laminin-coated surfaces appear to be relatively inefficient as a stimulus to monocyte gene expression (34, 123). For example, MAD-5, 6, and 7 all are specifically induced by adhesion to type IV collagen whereas, MAD-15 (IL-1ra) and CSF-1 show specificity for fibronectin coated surfaces. Other genes such as GRO, IL-1 and IL-8 are induced on all surfaces.

Gene Induction Via β1 but not β2 Integrin Engagement. Monoclonal antibody cross-linking of the β2 integrins (anti-CD18) failed to induce transcripts for any of the IE genes, although similar treatment of neutrophils has previously been shown to mediate adherence–dependent H2O2 production (7, 93, 117), and regulation of calcium and cAMP levels in neutrophils (92, 95). In contrast, receptor cross-linking of the common β1 chain of the very late activation antigen (VLA) family resulted in the rapid accumulation of transcripts for all the genes induced by adherence (143). In attempting to show that specific integrin signaling would result in selective gene expression, nonadherent monocytes were stimulated with a panel of antibodies to the α4 subunit. Once again a pattern of gene induction suggestive of nonselective activation. Integrin cross-linking and not Fc receptor engagement was required as shown by the effectiveness of (Fab)2 antibodies and the lack of stimulation of the monoclonal Fab fragment. It thus appears that specificity of gene expression does not reside in integrin engagement alone, but may require adhesion, shape change, and cytoskeletal rearrangements, or receptor recognition of distinct ligand domains.

Translocation of NF-κB May be a Sufficient Stimulus for Integrin and Adhesion Regulated IE Gene Expression. Specific transcription factors are known to be necessary or sufficient for in vitro and in vivo expression of many of the genes in question. Table 1 summarizes the transcriptional elements which are thought to be involved in regulation of these genes. Most, if not all, of these genes have also been shown to be inducible in other cells via IL-1, TNFα, LPS, PMA, cAMP, or calcium ionophore activation pathways presumably involving PKCs or PKA (for example see 4, 5, 43, 116, 120, 141). However, specific protein kinase-mediated pathways only partially control the activation of known transcription factors (112). Examination of Table I indicates that two sequence features are commonly associated with IE genes induced by adhesion. All contain repetitive AU rich sequences which regulate mRNA stability and translational efficiency (79). In addition, the NF-κB transcription motif is found in all of the IE genes. These transcription ele-
ments are absent in promoters of genes which are downregulated by adherence. In contrast, these genes appear to be regulated by a different pattern of elements including c-myb and helix-loop-helix (HLH) proteins. Translocation of enhanced levels of NF-κB from a cytoplasmic site of sequestration by IκB into the nucleus is known to occur after adhesion of human monocytes (48). Thus we hypothesize that adhesion and/or integrin-dependent kinase events are sufficient for nuclear translocation of NF-κB, leading to induction of IE genes. Conversely, adhesion may inhibit the continued nuclear localization of c-myb and HLH transcription factors leading to downregulation of this class of gene. The very robust integrin mediated response in monocytes has allowed nuclear localization of c-myb and HLH transcription factors to be defined, it may be worthwhile to briefly consider whether responses in other systems, such as epithelial cells (111) or fibroblasts (26, 27), may also exhibit considerable selectivity, and that this may be related to the activation of specific transcription factors by individual matrix components.

Parallels to Growth Factor Receptor Signaling Pathways

As integrin-mediated signal transduction pathways begin to be defined, it may be worthwhile to briefly consider whether they might resemble the better studied pathways of growth factor–mediated signaling. Many polypeptide growth factors, including insulin, EGF and PDGF, bind to cell surface receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs) (131). Binding of the ligand usually causes receptor dimerization, activates the latent tyrosine kinase, and sets up a complex signal transduction cascade. The RTKs have a number of key intracellular targets that are participants in this cascade (see 8, 16, 19, 74 and references therein). This would include phospholipase C gamma (PLCγ) (96) which cleaves membrane phosphoinositides to yield diacyl glycerol as well as inositol 1,4,5 trisphosphate. Another RTK target is ras GTPase Activating Protein (rasGAP) which plays a vital role in controlling the signaling activity of ras proteins (16, 25). Phosphoinositide-3-kinase (PI-3-K) an enzyme that influences actin filament assembly (16) is also a target. RTKs also induce the tyrosine phosphorylation and activation of key intracellular serine/threonine kinases including Raf-1 (80, 82), and the 42–44-kD kinases termed ERKs or MAP kinases (10, 129). Recent observations have suggested that ras proteins may act "downstream" of RTKs but "upstream" of events leading directly to activation of MAP kinases and of Raf-1 (138).

These complex observations have led to the suggestion that RTKs physically organize multiple proteins involved in signal transduction (131). PLCγ, ras GAP, PI-3-K, as well as src-family tyrosine kinases, all possess homologous domains (SH2 domains) that can promote the association of these proteins with activated RTKs (76), thus giving support to the concept of a "signal transduction complex." In addition, there is a substantial amount of cross talk between the potential signaling pathways sketched out above. Thus phospholipase Cs can also be activated via G-protein coupled receptors (16), subsequently activating protein kinase C. In turn, protein kinase C can modulate the activity of some RTKs directly, as well as having an effect on ras GAP function (16). Cytoplasmic tyrosine kinases, including those of the src family, can also impinge on these signaling pathways (38). While many important details remain unclear, a picture emerges of parallel and intersecting signal transduction pathways for RTKs, with a considerable degree of redundancy and overlap. Ultimately, the complex cytoplasmic signaling cascades converge on the nucleus, leading to changes in cell growth or differentiation. Phosphorylation is a common currency for many of these transactions. Thus, a number of transcription factors seem to be directly regulated by ser/threonine kinases and phosphatases (64). For example, IκB the inhibitory subunit of NF-κB apparently is a substrate for protein kinase C and protein kinase A (see below); IκB also has a consensus sequence for binding of the PI-3-K (58). The CREB transcription factor is activated by cAMP dependent protein kinase, while members of the AP-1 group of transcription factors are regulated by PKC, and by MAP kinases and Raf-1 kinase (16, 71, 74). Tumor suppressor gene products such as p105-RB are also regulated by phosphorylation (84). Finally, protein kinases and phosphatases play a critical role in cell cycle control (31, 64a). A highly simplified
**Figure 1.** Signaling pathways mediated by receptor tyrosine kinases versus integrin-mediated pathways. The left hand section of the figure shows the tentative pathways of integrin-mediated signal transduction. The right hand section of the figure shows the better established pathways for RTK signal transduction (adapted from references 16, 74, 80, 138). Solid arrows indicate connections which are reasonably well established, while dotted arrows indicate more tentative connections, and question marks indicate purely hypothetical connections. Additional arrowheads along a path indicate the existence of multiple steps rather than a direct interaction. All aspects of the integrin-mediated pathways should be regarded as being based on tentative information at this point. Initial targets of RTKs or integrins are shown as ovals. Ser/threo kinases are more tentative connections, and question marks indicate purely references 16, 74, 80, 138). Solid arrows indicate connections through tentative pathways. RTK, receptor tyrosine kinase; PKC, protein kinase C; MAPK, microtubule associated protein kinase; RSK, ser/threo kinases, also known as ERKs; RSK, ser/threo kinases also known as S6 kinases; NF-kB/IKB, the NF-kB transcription factor and its cytoplasmic inhibitor protein; AP-1, the AP-1 (fos/jun) transcriptional regulatory complex; DAG, diacylglycerol; and IP3, phosphatidyl inositol 1,4,5 triphosphate.

**Issues and Unknowns**

Signal transduction from the ECM and its relationship to the control of gene expression is an exciting new area for investigation; at this juncture, however, there are clearly more questions than answers. Some of the potentially important issues for this field are delineated below.

**Mechanisms of Interaction of Integrins and pp125**

There are many unresolved issues concerning the mechanism whereby integrin ligation stimulates pp125, a variety of integrins can influence pp125, since ligation of αβ1, α5/β1, α2/β1, and αIIb/β3 all seem to be effective (45, 77; 82a); Bauer, J. S., J. Varner, C. Schreiner, L. Kornberg, R. Nicholas, and R. L. Juliano, manuscript submitted for publication). A related issue concerns the relative contribution of the α and β subunits to pp125 activation, with one report suggesting that truncation of the β subunit abolishes integrin-mediated tyrosine phosphorylation (52), while studies on the role of the α5 subunit suggest that truncation of the cytoplasmic domain does not compromise tyrosine phosphorylation (Bauer, J. S., J. Varner, C. Schreiner, L. Kornberg, R. Nicholas, and R. L. Juliano, manuscript submitted for publication). It may also be premature to conclude that the interactions between integrins and pp125 are mediated solely through cytoplasmic domains. An intriguing possibility is that integrins may interact, via their transmembrane or extracellular regions, with other membrane proteins, such as the 50-kD integrin-associated protein (12), or the CD9 antigen (122), which might then interact with the tyrosine phosphorylation signaling system. The exact mechanism of integrin-enhanced tyrosine phosphorylation of pp125 is also unclear. It is possible that a physical interaction with integrins, with other membrane proteins, or with cytoskeletal components, directly activates pp125, which then auto-phosphorylates itself. Alternatively, stimulation through integrins may initially activate another kinase (or inactivate a phosphatase) that acts on pp125. Finally, stimulation through integrins may simply bring pp125 and another kinase into closer juxtaposition thus allowing the unknown kinase to phosphorylate and activate pp125. Several lines of evidence suggest that integrin-induced organization of the cytoskeleton may be associated with activation of pp125. Thus, cytochalasin D treatment, which disrupts actin filament organization, can block tyrosine phosphorylation of pp125 (82a). Integrin-mediated cell adhesion also induces tyrosine phosphorylation of paxillin, an important cytoskeletal protein, while tyrosine kinase inhibitors partially block cytoskeletal assembly (14a). Both of these observations suggest that activation of pp125 may represent one step in the complex, multi-step process of cytoskeletal assembly. Since protein kinase C isoforms (70) have also been implicated in cytoskeletal assembly, study of the interplay between PKC and pp125 will likely be of interest.

**Possible Downstream Events.** The mechanistic connections between integrin clustering or cell adhesion, subsequent activation of pp125, and events downstream of pp125, remain almost totally unknown. One event which may be downstream of integrin-mediated tyrosine phosphorylation is cytoplasmic alkalization effected through the Na+/H + antiporter. Initial observations suggested that cytoplasmic pH went up when cells attached and spread on fibronectin (68); more recently, it has been shown that cell spreading is not required, and that clustering of integrins caused by antibodies or by aggregated fibronectin is sufficient (113, 114). This is strikingly parallel to observations on integrin-mediated tyrosine phosphorylation (77). In growth factor signaling pathways, activation of the Na+/H + antiporter is thought to be downstream from initial activation of RTKs, and mediated through protein kinase C (16, 49); perhaps the same relationship holds in integrin-mediated signaling pathways.

**Transcription Specificity in Cell Adhesion Must Be Determined by Multiple Promoter Elements.** All of the IE response genes induced by adhesion of monocytes, or by stimulation of β1 integrins, share the NF-kB transcriptional motif (Table I). Since IkB, the cytoplasmic inhibitory factor for NF-kB, is reported to be a substrate for protein kinase C
these IE genes is through phosphorylation of IkB leading to cooperation between induced and constitutive factors may be a specific promoter sequence. Both positive and negative nuclear translocation of NF-kB. Regulation of gene expression is clearly more complicated than the simplistic view of a single transcription factor binding in an on/off fashion to a specific promoter sequence. Both positive and negative cooperation between induced and constitutive factors may be involved in gene regulation (69, 91). In addition, the same enhancer element (AP-1, for example) may be the site of both positive and negative regulation (28, 75). Although the nuclear translocation of NF-κB is associated with adhesion to plastic (48), adherence to ECM ligands that provide specificity of gene induction is likely to induce the nuclear association of additional positive and/or negative transcription factors, not seen through direct integrin engagement or adhesion to plastic. For example, engagement of VLA-5 on lymphocytes activates the AP-1 transcription complex of fos/jun proteins (140), as does adhesion to plastic (56, 118). Thus, at least two distinct enhancer elements, NF-κB and AP-1, are likely to be activated by adhesion to ECM. The enhanced transcripts of MAD-6/A20 seen on adhesion of monocytes to type IV collagen, and the lack of IL-1ra transcripts might simply be explained by the lack of a positive stimulus in the later case. However, since several other genes such as IL-1

(43), this indicates one possible mechanism for regulation on these IE genes is through phosphorylation of IκB leading to nuclear translocation of NF-κB. Regulation of gene expression is clearly more complicated than the simplistic view of a single transcription factor binding in an on/off fashion to a specific promoter sequence. Both positive and negative cooperation between induced and constitutive factors may be involved in gene regulation (69, 91). In addition, the same enhancer element (AP-1, for example) may be the site of both positive and negative regulation (28, 75). Although the nuclear translocation of NF-κB is associated with adhesion to plastic (48), adherence to ECM ligands that provide specificity of gene induction is likely to induce the nuclear association of additional positive and/or negative transcription factors, not seen through direct integrin engagement or adhesion to plastic. For example, engagement of VLA-5 on lymphocytes activates the AP-1 transcription complex of fos/jun proteins (140), as does adhesion to plastic (56, 118). Thus, at least two distinct enhancer elements, NF-κB and AP-1, are likely to be activated by adhesion to ECM. The enhanced transcripts of MAD-6/A20 seen on adhesion of monocytes to type IV collagen, and the lack of IL-1ra transcripts might simply be explained by the lack of a positive stimulus in the later case. However, since several other genes such as IL-1

are induced regardless of the ECM surface (143), this suggests that the genes which show matrix selectivity do so because of the contributions of negative and positive transcription factors, and not simply due to the failure to induce transcription. This scenario suggests the existence of at least two additional signals regulating monocyte gene expression in response to cell adhesion to the ECM (see Fig. 2). A general signal might always result from the ligation of integrins, whether by antibodies or through cell adhesion; this signal would ultimately impinge on NF-κB, leading to activation of a large number of IE genes. More specific signals might result from interactions of integrins with their natural ECM ligands; these signals would impinge on both positive and negative transcription factors that are selective for subsets of the IE genes. A model of the type shown in Fig. 2, with both positive and negative signaling pathways, would be consistent with the data accumulated on adherence related gene induction in monocytes, as well as with many other observations in the literature on the complex process of matrix-induced gene expression.

**Relationship Between Integrin-mediated Tyrosine Phosphorylation and Induction of Gene Expression.** Integrin-dependent adhesive interactions with ECM proteins, as well as stimulation of integrins with antibodies, can lead to the induction of new gene expression. Similar events also lead to integrin mediated changes in tyrosine phosphorylation, particularly activation of pp125FAK. Thus, it is tempting to place the increased tyrosine kinase activity of pp125FAK in a cause and effect relationship with integrin-induced gene expression. However, it is probably wise to proceed cautiously in making these interpretations. At this point there is simply no direct evidence that would implicate pp125FAK activation in a causal manner with integrin induced gene expression. Since pp125FAK is tyrosine phosphorylated rather promiscuously in response to integrin clustering or cell adhesion, it seems unlikely that activation of this kinase controls the specific patterns of gene induction triggered by different ECM ligands. Rather, it seems more likely that pp125FAK may be part of the general activating signal in the transcriptional pathways described in Fig. 2 above. This would suggest the existence of additional integrin-mediated signaling pathways, so as to explain the observation that different ECM proteins can induce unique patterns of gene expression. Alternatively, specificity might reside in selection of alternate substrates for pp125FAK when different integrins are stimulated.
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**Note Added in Proof.** A recent report has indicated that stimulation of G protein linked neuropeptide receptors can increase tyrosine phosphorylation of pp125FAK (Zachary, J., J. Sinnett-Smith, and E. Rozengurt. 1992. J. Biol. Chem. 267:19031–19034).
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