Establishment of an orthotopic syngeneic rat model of hepatocellular carcinoma and its validation with microPET-CT imaging
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Abstract

Background & Aims: Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is a global challenge due to rising incidence and high mortality rate among the affected individuals. Establishing successful animal models of HCC is, therefore, crucial for basic and translational studies of HCC. Present study was undertaken to develop orthotopic syngeneic rat HCC model to study new diagnostic and therapeutic strategies for human HCC research.

Methods: Rat Novikoff hepatoma cells were injected beneath the capsule of left lobe of liver in fifty-five sprague dawley rats. Study was divided in three phases, 15 Animals in phase-I were injected with 4x10⁶ cells in 100µl DMEM, 15 animals in phase-II with 2x10⁶ cells in 50µl and 30 animals in phase-III with 3x10⁶ cells in a 100µl DMEM. Tumor induction rate, tumor size and progression and mortality rate was evaluated and assessed using serial µPET-CT imaging till four weeks.

F-18 Fluordeoxyglucose was used as metabolic imaging radiotracer and imaging findings were correlated grossly and histologically.

Results: Phase-I animals showed 100% tumor induction rate but multiple intrahepatic and intra peritoneal masses with 100% mortality observed. Phase-II animals did not show any tumor. Phase-III animals showed 100% induction rate with controlled and diffused progression of hepatic tumor. CT images and sequential higher flurodeoxyglucose uptake in liver confirmed the progression of tumor. Gross examination and histology confirmed the presence of HCC.

Conclusions: N1S1 cell induced orthotopic syngeneic HCC rat model with progressive controlled tumor growth and least mortality rate can be used to study new diagnostic techniques and plan new therapeutic strategies against HCC.

Introduction

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is the fifth most serious type of cancer and second most common cause of death from cancer worldwide and incidence is predicted to increase in coming years [1,2]. Prognosis for liver cancer is very poor with overall ratio of mortality to incidence of 0.95 and as such geographical patterns in incidence and mortality are similar [3-5]. The highest incidence of HCC is found in Asia and sub-Saharan Africa. The etiological factors include hepatitis B and C virus infections (80%), alcoholism, obesity (non-alcoholic fatty liver disease) and aflatoxicosis.[6,7] In Indian population chronic Hepatitis B virus infection, with tumors developing more often in a cirrhotic (76%) than in a non-cirrhotic liver has been reported [8]. It usually gets initiated following a chronic liver injury where surgical resection or transplantation is the primary line of treatment although systemic and local deliveries of chemotherapeutics have been reported for later stage of the disease [9,10]. Even though the study of HCC invasiveness has advanced at the molecular level with overall sophisticated breakthroughs in knowledge of HCC, it has not translated to improved HCC patient care.

Establishing successful animal models of HCC is, therefore, crucial for both basic and translational studies of HCC. Chemically induced murine hepatocellular carcinoma models have been developed since long time but it does not give localized tumor induction on liver with relative high toxicity to animals. Subcutaneous xenograft has been widely used since two decades as a technique of xenograft tumor induction. It has advantages that it is rapid, and inexpensive technique, easy to reproduce, tumor is visible to the necked eyes and does not lead to mortality of the animals. Subcutaneous xenograft lack the microenvironment for proper tumor growth and also failed to delineate
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the efficacy of therapeutic agents in preclinical trials due to lack of drug responses [11,12]. The main drawback of subcutaneous HCC model is its inability to explore novel therapeutic radionuclide strategies, when agent needs to be administered via hepatic artery. Orthotopic tumor model are making presence in cancer research as they mimic the micro-environment of the original tumor and also accurately reflects the effectiveness of treatment delivery mode, hence is more predictive of responses than the subcutaneous xenograft models [13]. Researchers have started developing orthotopic human HCC models since a decade, but these models are difficult to develop and requires skilled person, also the mortality rate is higher in such animals. The lack of well-developed tools for the assessment of treatment response beyond the evaluation of tumor burden have hampered progress in testing and validating new tumor therapies [14,15]. This lacking can be solved by developing the syngeneic orthotopic animal models which doesn’t show immune rejection when tumor cells are implanted in target organ. This model is cost effective, reproducible, growth of tumor in immunocompetent hosts, long history of use and therefore has strong baseline of drug response data and feasibility to conduct experiments with statistically meaningful number of animals per group [16]. This model even does not require more sterile environment as compared to the immunocompetent animals, due to which frequent non-invasive imaging is feasible. There are reported models in earlier studies such as Morris hepatoma model using McA-RH7777 cells in Buffalo rat and N1S1 cells for Novikoff hepatoma model in Sprague-Dawley (SD) rats [17,18]. The suitability of N1S1, which is chemically induced cell line using SD rats has been explored commonly due to ready availability of cell line as well as animal strain [19].

Efforts are being directed to develop more effective radiotheragnostic and chemotherapeutic agents, which has boosted search for appropriate and relevant animal model system as well as sensitive non-invasive imaging modalities such as computed tomography (CT), positron emission tomography (PET), single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT), and bioluminescence imaging (BLI) [20-25]. BLI which is a sensitive bio-imaging method have been used by the researchers for monitoring progression of the liver tumors but have limitations in providing functional details of tumor and also estimating actual tumor burden so has limited utility in preclinical trials [26].

While majority of studies involve orthotopic xenograft immunocompetent animals, we have focused our aim to develop syngeneic hepatocellular carcinoma model in rats which can be used to study new diagnostic and therapeutic strategies for further human hepatocellular cancer research. We have thus developed following protocol to efficiently establish murine HCC models in Sprague-Dawley (SD) rats using N1S1 murine HCC cells and validated using µPET-CT as an advanced functional imaging technique.

**Materials and methods**

**Cell lines**

Rat Novikoff hepatoma (N1-S1) cells procured from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC-CRL1604™) were grown in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium (DMEM) with 10% heat inactivated Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS) and 1% penicillin, 100 μg/mL streptomycin at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere containing 95% air and 5% CO₂. Up to twenty passages were performed till attaining 70-80% confluency in T-75 culture flask, cells were pelleted down and resuspended in little amount of media. Cells were counted using hemocytometer chamber and Cell viability was assessed. Final concentration of cells was adjusted as per the protocol and the cells were taken into a 1.5ml capacity eppendorf vials and temporarily stored in ice till it was transplanted.

**Animal studies**

**Animals:** Experimental protocol was approved by our institutional animal ethics committee (IAEC) before the start of study, and we ensured that all animals received humane care and that study protocols complied with the institution’s guidelines (IAEC/22/2012). Male Sprague-Dawley (SD) rats weighing 150 ± 50 g supplied by Laboratory Animal Facility, ACTREC (Navi Mumbai, India) were used in this study. All the animals were housed in central animal care facility with 12-hours light and 12-hours dark cycle. They were fed with pellet feed, ad libitum water and maintained in pathogen free environment.

**Experimental design:** In this pilot study total 55 SD rats were used and divided in three phases to standardize the concentration of cells required for uniform tumor induction. All the rats were injected with N1S1 cell lines below the capsule of left liver lobe. The rats in phase I (n=15) were injected with 4 x10⁶ N1S1 cells suspended in a 100 µl DMEM. In phase II (n=10) rats were injected with 2 x10⁶ N1S1 cells suspended in 50 µl DMEM and rats in phase III (n=30) were injected with 3 x10⁶ N1S1 cells suspended in a 100 µl DMEM. In phase III fifteen animals were injected from posterior aspect whereas other fifteen animals were injected from anterior side of animal without exteriorizing the liver. Diagrammatic sketch representation of the procedure is shown in (Figure 1).

**Orthotopic transplantation:** The rats were anesthetized with 4% isoflurane and 1/100 of O₂ prior to surgery and maintained with 3% isoflurane anesthesia during entire surgical procedure. Abdominal region of all the animals were shaved and prepared aseptically with 70% alcohol. Animals were kept on experimental platform in supine position. Mini laparotomy was performed by making a longitudinal sub-xiphoid incision of approximately 1-1.5 cm to expose the liver lobes (Figure 2a). After proper mixing of the cells from eppendorf tube containing the cell suspension, cells were drawn into an insulin syringe with 30-gauge needle. Under direct visualization cells were injected beneath the capsule of the left lateral liver lobe (Figure 2b). White bulge at the site indicates successful injection (Figure 2c). Injection site was pressed with sterile cotton swab to avoid cell leakage or bleeding from liver. Muscle suturing was done with sterile absorbable chromic catgut no. 3-0 and skin was sealed with tissue adhesive (Vetbond™). Following surgery animals were kept on heating pad for recovery. Postoperatively all animals received oral antibiotics and wound dressing was carried out for next five days.
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**Figure 1.** Diagrammatic sketch representation of the steps followed during orthotopic murine HCC tumor induction on liver.
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PET and CT images were superimposed using fusion tool in software and Region of Interest (ROI) was drawn around the tumor to get the average count rate (counts/sec per pixel) within the ROI and SUV was calculated as,

\[ SUV = \frac{\text{Activity in Region of Interest}}{\text{Injected Dose/Body Weight}} \]

Study end point

Initially in phase I and II, animals were sacrificed every week using standard euthanasia procedure and abdominal cavity was explored for tumor on liver as well as ectopic and distant metastasis. In phase III animals were sacrificed at 4th week of transplantation and gross morphology was compared with PET-CT images. Gross tumor size measured with vernier calipers and weight of the tumor was recorded. Blood was collected for hematobiochemical examinations including Serum Glutamic Oxaloacetic Transaminase (SGOT) and Serum Glutamic Pyruvic Transaminase (SGPT). Tumors were collected in 10% formalin and sent for histology studies.

Histopathology study

Formalin fixed tumor was embedded in paraffin block, and small sections were cut and stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) as previously described.

Statistical analysis

All the data were expressed as the mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM). Statistical significance was accepted at the level of \( P < 0.05 \).
tissue specific uptake of 220 KBq/ml. Maximum mean tissue uptake of 152 KBq/ml was found on day 28. Three animals showed reduction of tumor size after 2nd week scan. Whereas one animal at day 21 showed uptake all over in abdominal cavity which may be due spillage of cells or infiltration of tumor in peritoneal cavity during injection. Only 20% mortality was found after 4th week confirming the tumor.

Further gross pathology of tumor was confirmed by histological examination of liver tissue. H & E staining of tumors showed distorted growth of hepatocytes as compared to normal liver parenchyma. (Figure 7A) A typical trabecular growth pattern with atypical cytoplasm and high mitotic index with pleomorphic and prominent nucleoli, which confirmed that the tumors are similar in histology to those of the original rat hepatocellular carcinoma cells (Figure 7B,7C).

Biochemical parameters were determined to evaluate relation between tumor growth and levels of serum AST/ALT and compared in tumor bearing and non-tumor bearing animals. Levels were significantly increased in tumor bearing animals as compared with non-tumor bearing animals which supports the development of tumor on liver (Figure 8).

Discussion

Considering the increased incidence and mortality rate caused due to hepatocellular carcinoma in human there is need to study the disease progression of HCC. A subcutaneous HCC tumor model doesn’t mimic the properties of original HCC cancer which necessitates the development of orthotopic HCC animal model showing similar disease progression.

In our study, rat hepatoma cell line (N1S1) successfully induced required volume of tumor on liver, which is sufficient to study long term response of the anticancer agents. At 1st week solid tumors were seen on subcapsular liver injection, Chan et.al, 2010 also obtained similar results during their orthotopic model development after injection of 5X10⁶ N1S1 cell line but, they did not study the progression for long period of time. Guo et al. 2011 reported similar progressive solid tumor formation after injection of N1S1 cell line. Quantity of cell suspension and spillage during injection makes more difficult to establish orthotopic HCC model. In phase I study increased tumor size, higher FDG uptake and mortality rate led us to infer that N1S1 being an aggressively growing cell line capable of developing intrahepatic masses and metastatic lesion in other organs at higher concentration. The quantity of cell suspension was reduced from 100µl to 50µl in phase II but, there was clogging of cells in needle and difficulty during injection, so 100µl was used further as standard cell suspension amount. There was no surgery related infection or mortality due to bleeding in whole study, indicates suitability of syngeneic SD rats for orthotopic model development.

Knowing the progression of tumor growth without sacrificing the animals is challenging but, nowadays preclinical imaging techniques help in monitoring of the disease. Bioluminescence imaging have been used by different researcher during development of HCC model which, detects the signal emitted from the cells but, tumor volume and characteristics are not determined [27]. µCT and PET imaging are successfully used for preclinical diagnosis of orthotopic HCC [28,29]. F-18-FDG-PET along with µCT imaging provided functional and anatomical monitoring of the tumor progression in animals.
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without sacrificing them. Similarly, Lee et. al, 2014 reviewed different articles where PET imaging was used during orthotopic model development and found to be a very excellent tool to study HCC tumor progression-invasively [30]. Initial higher uptake at tumor site and surgical site may be due to inflammation which becomes less as inflammation get reduced. FDG being a glucose analogue shows uptake at inflammatory region as well as tumor, so can lead to false results in early stage. We observed that clear CT images determine the accurate size and shape of the tumors in vivo. Prabhu et.al. in their orthotopic glioblastoma establishment study found that µCT scan is the appropriate tool to know the progression of orthotopic tumor in mice. PET images helped us to know the progression of tumor, tumor activity and also small metastatic lesion in other parts of body. Uniform induction of solid tumor with sequential increase in FDG uptake in phase I animals concludes that 3x10⁶ cell suspension of N1S1 in 100 µl is enough to develop the desired size of localized tumor on liver for further studies.

Conclusion

We have successfully developed and established orthotopic HCC rat model using 3 x10⁶ N1S1 cells suspended in a 100 µl DMEM. The controlled tumor growth up to 4-6-week time period with least mortality rate will help in evaluating the new treatment strategies against hepatocellular carcinoma. Rat is a good model for HCC and PET-CT imaging found to be suitable for monitoring in vivo tumor progression. This model can be used commonly by researchers and surgeons for further radiopharmaceutical or trans-arterial chemoembolization (TACE) studies as it does not require immunocompromised animals and also the related sterile environment.
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