ACUTE NONCARDIOGENIC PULMONARY EDEMA FOLLOWING NEOSTIGMINE ADMINISTRATION IN THE ENDOTRACHEAL INTUBATION STATE AFTER TONSILLECTOMY
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ABSTRACT

Acute pulmonary edema during the perioperative period can have various causes. A 20-year-old woman was admitted for tonsillectomy and adenoidectomy. She had visited the hospital about 2 months ago for severe nasal congestion and was admitted for the surgical removal of nasal polyps. From her past medical history, she had no specific drug allergy. Physical examination revealed diffused lymphoid follicular hyperplasia in the nasopharynx and base of the tongue, chronic sinusitis, and a polypoid mass with nasal polyps. Computed tomography of the paranasal sinuses showed diffused lymphoid tissues hyperplasia in the palate and lingual tonsil, adenoid in the nasopharynx, and innumerable enlarged lymph nodes in the retropharyngeal and bilateral cervical nodal stations. A biopsy of the suspected site was performed to rule out lymphoma before surgery. We injected neostigmine 1.5 mg and glycopyrrolate 0.4 mg at the end of the surgery. Two minutes later, the secretion and peak airway pressure increased as well as the CO2 levels. The patient remained sedated and suctioning continued for approximately 20 min before extubation. The secretions reduced and there was an improvement in clinical symptoms as the pinkish foamy pattern became whitish. Presumed treatment of neostigmine hypersensitivity or surgery can be used to resolve perioperative chronic partial airway obstruction.

KEYWORDS: Drug hypersensitivity, General anesthesia, Neostigmine, Pulmonary edema, Tonsillectomy.

© 2021 The Authors. Published by Innovare Academic Sciences Pvt Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.22159/ijms.2021v9i3.41120. Journal homepage: https://innovareacademics.in/journals/index.php/ijms

INTRODUCTION

Acute pulmonary edema during the perioperative period can have various causes. During surgery, negative pulmonary edema pressure often presents as airway obstruction after extubation. However, pulmonary edema may occur before extubation in some rare cases. Neostigmine might induce noncardiac pulmonary edema by impairing upper airway function by dilating muscles and causing the upper airway to narrow [1,2]. In this case, pulmonary edema occurred perioperatively, as such, neostigmine hypersensitivity was suspected.

CASE REPORT

A 20-year-old female with a weight of 61.6 kg was admitted for tonsillectomy and adenoidectomy. She had visited the hospital about 2 months ago for severe nasal congestion and was admitted for the surgical removal of nasal polyps. From her past medical history, she had no specific drug allergy. Physical examination revealed diffused lymphoid follicular hyperplasia in the nasopharynx and base of the tongue, chronic sinusitis, and a polypoid mass with nasal polyps. Computed tomography of the paranasal sinuses showed diffused lymphoid tissues hyperplasia in the palate and lingual tonsil, adenoid in the nasopharynx, and innumerable enlarged lymph nodes in the retropharyngeal and bilateral cervical nodal stations. A biopsy of the suspected site was performed to rule out lymphoma. The patient reported aperiodic history of nocturnal fever but no recent weight loss. The pathologic findings were hyperplasia of the lymphoma. There were no special findings in the peripheral blood smear. Hence, surgery was planned despite the lingual tonsillar hypertrophy. However, at the time of admission, tonsillitis was associated with cold; hence, surgery was planned despite the lingual tonsillar hypertrophy.

On the day of the surgery, anesthesia was induced using thiopental 300 mg, rocuronium 50 mg, and lidocaine 50 mg without premedication. After the spontaneous breathing disappeared, there was no problem with the mask ventilation. The GlideScope video laryngoscope (Verathon Medical Inc., Canada) was used for intubation because the dimensions of the mass were unclear and the tracheal orifice was hard to locate due to the agglomeration of hyperplastic lymphoid tissues from the base of the tongue. Since the vocal cord was covered by the mass and could not be seen clearly, the first successful attempt was only achieved by blind intubation. The ventilator was maintained at a peak pressure of 18–20 mmHg at tidal volume 500 ml and a frequency of 12 times/min in volume-controlled mode by sevoflurane administration. The total operation time was 92 min. Neostigmine 1.5 mg and glycopyrrolate 0.4 mg were then injected as reversal agents for the neuromuscular blocker. Immediately after the administration of the reversal agents, secretions gradually increased, and an upper trunical rash was observed. After 2–3 min of drug administration, the secretion pattern changed from whitish to pink and frothy. In the left upper lung field, there were prominent crackle sounds and the peak pressure increased to 25–30 mmHg and the EtCO2 up to 50 mmHg. Massive suction continued under deep sedation by increasing the inhalation gas and intensive care unit care was arranged in case the test results demanded it. However, the secretions gradually decreased and the clinical symptoms improved. Approximately 20 min after the patient’s symptoms developed, a chest X-ray was taken in the operating room (Fig 1). The X-ray showed an increase in peribronchial infiltration in the left lung field; however, the pulmonary edema was not as severe as expected, and the symptoms improved. Arterial blood gas analysis (ABGA) was performed to confirm the actual degree of gas exchange. ABGA before extubation revealed a pH of 7.385, pCO2 42.2 mmHg, pO2 556 mmHg, and actual base excess 0.1 mmol/L. The ventilator setting was maintained at a peak pressure of 20 mmHg, tidal volume 500 ml, and a frequency of 15 times/min. After extubation without additional reversal agents, monitoring under oxygen supply was continued in the general ward, and anesthesia was terminated with a total anesthesia time of 152 min. The intraoperative fluid was administered with 200 ml of Hartmann solution, and the estimated blood loss was 20 ml. The patient complained of mild chest discomfort until 1 day after surgery; however, the symptoms improved thereafter.

DISCUSSION

This article reports the case of a patient with pulmonary edema that suddenly occurred following the administration of neostigmine before extubation after tonsillectomy surgery. Although the symptoms improved spontaneously, the etiology needs to be investigated.
Negative pressure pulmonary edema (NPPE) occurs in 1 out of 1,000 patients under anesthesia [4]. It usually occurs during initial airway management or after extubation [4-6]. The incidence of perioperative NPPE is generally associated with acute upper airway obstruction or postoperative residual curarization effects. It is rarely reported in an intubated state [1]. NPPE can be divided into two types depending on the mechanism of occurrence [7]. Type I is associated with forceful inspiratory effort due to acute airway obstruction. Residual postoperative curarization is associated with reduced pharyngeal muscle tone and possible upper airway obstruction [8]. Therefore, in the intubated state, association with type I is rare. Type II occurs more often after chronic partial obstruction of the upper airway has been resolved. It is predicted to occur after adenotonsillectomy/laryngoscopy, laryngeal mass resection, or reduction of a hypertrophic redundant uvula [7].

According to previous studies, symptoms and clinical signs of pulmonary edema must be recognized and managed according to the patient’s symptoms.
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