Characterization of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolates obtained from patients in Canadian hospitals: Results of the CANWARD 2007 study
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INTRODUCTION: *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* is an important nosocomial pathogen. The purpose of the present study was to evaluate the antimicrobial susceptibility profile of *P. aeruginosa* isolates obtained from patients in different areas of Canadian hospitals.

METHODS: From January to December 2007 inclusive, 12 sentinel hospitals across Canada submitted clinical isolates from patients attending emergency rooms, medical wards, surgical wards and intensive care units (ICUs) (the Canadian Ward Surveillance Study [CANWARD 2007]). Each centre was asked to submit clinical isolates (consecutive, one per patient per infection site) from blood (n=360), respiratory (n=200), urinary (n=100) and wound/intravenous (n=50) infections. Susceptibility testing was performed using Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute broth microdilution methods. Multidrug-resistant (MDR; resistant to at least three different antimicrobial classes) isolates were typed by pulsed-field gel electrophoresis.

RESULTS: In total, 451 *P. aeruginosa* isolates were collected (representing 7% of all CANWARD 2007 isolates). The rank order of antimicrobial susceptibility was as follows (percent susceptible): amikacin (93.1%) > piperacillin/tazobactam (93.1%) > meropenem (87.4%) > cefepime (69.4%) > ciprofloxacin (67.2%) > gentamicin (66.1%) > levofloxacin (60.5%). Reduced susceptibility to cefepime, meropenem and levofloxacin was observed more frequently among ICU isolates (P<0.05). Thirty-four isolates (7.5%) were MDR. MDR isolates were more likely to be obtained from patients in an ICU (P=0.003) and less likely to come from a bloodstream source (P=0.008). Excluding colistin (polymyxin E), amikacin and piperacillin/tazobactam, followed by meropenem, were the most active antimicrobials evaluated versus the MDR isolates. Of the MDR isolates were susceptible to colistin. The majority of MDR isolates were genetically unrelated.

CONCLUSIONS: *P. aeruginosa* is commonly associated with increased mortality (3). It is therefore critical that clinicians have access to current susceptibility information. The present study evaluated the profile of susceptibility to multiple classes of antimicrobial agents for *P. aeruginosa* isolates obtained from patients in different areas of Canadian hospitals. The purpose of the present study was to evaluate the antimicrobial susceptibility profile of *P. aeruginosa* isolates obtained from patients in different areas of Canadian hospitals.

La caractérisation des isolats de *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* obtenus de patients d’hôpitaux canadiens : Les résultats de l’étude CANWARD 2007

INTRODUCTION : Le *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* est un pathogène nosocomial important. La présente étude visait à évaluer le profil de susceptibilité antimicrobienne d’isolats de *P. aeruginosa* obtenus de patients dans différents secteurs d’hôpitaux canadiens.

MÉTHODOLOGIE : De janvier à décembre 2007 inclusivement, 12 hôpitaux sentinelles du Canada ont soumis des isolats cliniques de patients ayant fréquenté l’urgence, les services médicaux, les salles de chirurgie et les unités de soins intensifs (USI) dans le cadre de l’étude CANWARD 2007 sur la surveillance des services aux hospitalisés canadiens. Chaque centre était invité à soumettre des isolats cliniques (consecutifs, un par foyer d’infection du patient) provenant d’infections sanguines (n=360), respiratoires (n=200), urinaires (n=100) et de plaies ou intraveineuses (n=50). On a évalué le test de susceptibility au moyen de la méthode de microdilution en milieu liquide du Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. On obtenait le type d’isolats multirésistants (résistance conjointe à au moins trois classes d’antimicrobien) par électrophorèse en champ pulsé.

RÉSULTATS : Au total, on a prélevé 451 isolats de *P. aeruginosa* (représentant 7 % de tous les isolats de l’étude CANWARD 2007). Le classement de la susceptibility antimicrobienne (en pourcentage de susceptibility) s’établissait comme suit : amikacine = piperacilline-tazobactam (93,1 %) > méropénème (87,4 %) > céfépine (69,4 %) > ciprofloxacine (67,2 %) > gentamicine (66,1 %) > lévofoxacine (60,5 %). On observait plus souvent une diminution de la susceptibility à la céfépine, au méropénème et à la lévofoxacine dans les isolats de l’USI (P<0,05). Trente-quatre isolats (7,5 %) étaient multirésistants. Ces isolats étaient plus susceptibles de provenir d’un patient de l’USI (P<0,003) et moins susceptibles d’avoir été prélevés dans le sang (P<0,008). À l’exception de la colistine (polymyxine E), l’amikacine et la piperacilline-tazobactam, suivies du méropénème, étaient les antimicrobien les plus actifs à avoir été évalués contre les isolats multirésistants. Tous les isolats multirésistants étaient susceptibles à la colistine. La majorité des isolats multirésistants n’étaient pas liés génétiquement.

CONCLUSIONS : Le *P. aeruginosa* est courant parmi les échantillons cliniques des patients des hôpitaux canadiens. Parmi les antimicrobien antiméssondémaux évalués, l’amikacine, le méropénème et la piperacilline-tazobactam ont présenté la plus grande activité in vitro. Les isolats à la susceptibility antimicrobienne réduite et les isolats multirésistants provenaient davantage de patients de l’USI. Tous les isolats multirésistants demeuraient susceptibles à la colistine.
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*Pseudomonas aeruginosa* is an important cause of nosocomial bloodstream, urinary tract, wound and respiratory infections (1). In recent years, *P. aeruginosa* clinical isolates resistant to multiple classes of antimicrobial agents have become increasingly common (2). Inappropriate initial antimicrobial therapy for infections caused by *P. aeruginosa* has been independently associated with increased mortality (3). It is therefore critical that clinicians have access to current susceptibility information.

1Department of Medicine and Clinical Microbiology, Health Sciences Centre; 2Department of Medical Microbiology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Manitoba; 3Nosocomial Infections Branch, National Microbiology Laboratory, Winnipeg, Manitoba.

Correspondence: Dr Andrew Walkty, Health Sciences Centre, Department of Clinical Microbiology, MS673-820 Sherbrook Street, Winnipeg, Manitoba R3A 1R9. Telephone 204-453-3867, fax 204-787-4699, e-mail awalkty@mts.net

54A ©2009 Pulais Group Inc. All rights reserved

Can J Infect Dis Med Microbiol Vol 20 Suppl A Spring 2009
data, to guide empirical antimicrobial selection when treating infections where \textit{P. aeruginosa} may be playing a role. The purpose of the present study was to determine the frequency with which \textit{P. aeruginosa} isolates are obtained from patients in different areas of Canadian hospitals and to evaluate their antimicrobial susceptibility profiles.

**METHODS**

**Bacterial isolates**

Twelve sentinel hospital sites located in major population centres in 7 of the 10 provinces in Canada participated in the Canadian Ward Surveillance Study (CANWARD 2007). These sites were geographically distributed in a population-based fashion. From January through December 2007, inclusive, each study site was asked to submit clinical isolates (consecutive, one per patient per infection site) from inpatients and outpatients with respiratory (n=200), urine (n=100), wound/intravenous (n=50) and bloodstream (n=360) infections. Hospital clinic isolates were subsequently excluded from the current analysis, due to the variable nature of where the isolates came from and their vastly different antimicrobial susceptibility profiles.

Only isolates that were deemed clinically significant were submitted. Limited demographic information was collected for each isolate (patient age, patient sex, hospital ward, specimen site, region of Canada). Isolate identification was performed by the submitting site using local criteria. Where indicated, identification was confirmed at the reference site. Isolates were shipped on Amies semi-solid transport media to the coordinating laboratory (Health Sciences Centre, Winnipeg, Manitoba), where they were then subcultured on appropriate media, and stocked in skim milk at −80°C.

**Antimicrobial susceptibilities**

Following two subcultures from frozen stock, the in vitro activity of common antipseudomonal antimicrobials was determined by broth microdilution in accordance with the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute guidelines (4,5). For several antimicrobial classes (eg, carbapenems, cephalosporins), the in vitro activity of a single representative antimicrobial was assessed due to limited space on the susceptibility panels.

Colistin (polymyxin E) susceptibility was evaluated for multidrug-resistant (MDR) isolates. Minimum inhibitory concentration interpretive standards for all antimicrobials were defined according to Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute breakpoints (4). MDR \textit{P. aeruginosa} isolates were defined as isolates demonstrating resistance to antimicrobials from three or more different classes. The number that appears in the following text and tables after the MDR designation indicates the number of different classes to which isolates were resistant (eg, MDR3 indicates \textit{P. aeruginosa} isolates that were resistant to at least one antimicrobial agent from three different classes). For the purpose of the present report the four antimicrobial classes considered were aminoglycosides (amikacin, gentamicin), fluoroquinolones (ciprofloxacin, levofloxacin), cephalosporin and piperacillin/tazobactam (considered together as one class), and carbapenem (meropenem). Colistin was not used in the classification of MDR isolates.

**Pulsed-field gel electrophoresis**

The genetic relationships among MDR \textit{P. aeruginosa} isolates were assessed by pulsed-field gel electrophoresis, developed from a previously described method (6). This method was altered in the following ways; restriction of samples used 40 units of SpeI and switch times were changed to 5.3 s to 34.9 s with a run time of 21 h. Fingerprints were analyzed using BioNumerics version 3.5 software (Applied Maths Inc, USA).

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed using JMP software, version 7.0 (SAS Institute Inc, USA). A logistic regression model was used to determine whether any of the baseline demographic variables recorded in the present study (specimen source, hospital ward, patient age, patient sex, region of Canada) were associated with either the MDR phenotype or individual antimicrobial resistance (association assessed for hospital ward only). A P of ≤0.05 was considered significant.

**RESULTS**

In total, 451 \textit{P. aeruginosa} isolates were collected as a part of CANWARD (specimen source: respiratory [50.1%], blood [30.6%], wound [10.6%], urine [8.6%]; ward type: medical [43.0%], emergency room [22.4%], intensive care unit [ICU] [23.1%], surgical [11.5%]). \textit{P. aeruginosa} accounted for 7% (451 of 6471) of all isolates, making it the fourth most common organism obtained from patients in Canadian hospitals (third most common organism from medical wards, fourth from surgical wards and ICUs, fifth from emergency rooms). Susceptibility data for common antipseudomonal antimicrobials are presented in Table 1. The rank order of antimicrobial susceptibility was as follows (percent susceptible): amikacin (93.1%) > piperacillin/tazobactam (93.1%) > meropenem (87.4%) > cefepime (69.4%) > ciprofloxacin (67.2%) > gentamicin (66.1%) > levofloxacin (60.5%). Table 2 presents a breakdown of antimicrobial susceptibility by hospital ward type. Isolates demonstrating reduced susceptibility to cefepime, meropenem and levofloxacin were more frequently obtained from patients in an ICU setting (P<0.05 in all cases).

Thirty-four MDR \textit{P. aeruginosa} isolates were collected as a part of CANWARD (7.5% of all \textit{P. aeruginosa} isolates). The MDR isolate demographics are presented in Table 3. MDR isolates were more commonly obtained from patients in an ICU setting (P=0.003, Table 3) and less commonly obtained from a bloodstream source of infection (P=0.008, Table 3). Excluding colistin, amikacin and piperacillin/tazobactam, followed by meropenem, were the most active antimicrobials evaluated versus the MDR isolates (Table 1). As a class, fluoroquinolones demonstrated the lowest susceptibility rates against the MDR isolates. None of the 34 MDR isolates were fully susceptible to levofloxacin and only one (2.9%) was fully susceptible to ciprofloxacin. All of the MDR isolates were susceptible to colistin. The various combinations of antimicrobial class resistance that contributed to the MDR phenotype are presented in Table 4. Among MDR3 isolates, resistance was most frequently observed to the combination of fluoroquinolones, aminoglycosides and piperacillin/cefepeime (Table 4).

A pulsed-field gel electrophoresis dendrogram for the MDR isolates is provided (Figure 1). Although the majority of MDR isolates were genetically unrelated, three small clusters of related isolates (80% or greater homology) were observed. This included one cluster consisting of two isolates, one cluster containing three isolates, and a larger cluster of six genetically related isolates. Isolates in the latter cluster were collected from
TABLE 1
Antimicrobial susceptibility of 451 Pseudomonas aeruginosa isolates obtained from Canadian patients

| Antimicrobial | Breakpoint interpretations, % | MIC (µg/mL) | Range of values | MDR3 (n=23) | MDR4 (n=11) | All MDR (n=34) |
|---------------|-------------------------------|-------------|----------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|
|               | S | I | R | MIC<sub>50</sub> | MIC<sub>90</sub> | % S | % S | % S |
| Amikacin | 93.1 | 4.2 | 2.7 | 8 | 16 | ≤2 | >64 | 60.9 | 54.5 | 58.8 |
| Cefepime | 69.4 | 21.3 | 9.3 | 4 | 16 | ≤0.25 | >128 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Ciprofloxacin | 67.2 | 10.4 | 22.4 | 0.5 | 16 | ≤0.06 | >16 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 2.9 |
| Colistin | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Gentamicin | 66.1 | 18.8 | 15.1 | 4 | 16 | ≤0.5 | >32 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 2.9 |
| Levofloxacin | 60.5 | 14.4 | 25.1 | 1 | 32 | ≤0.06 | >32 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Meropenem | 67.4 | 4.4 | 8.2 | 0.5 | 8 | ≤0.06 | >64 | 43.5 | 0.0 | 29.4 |
| Piperacillin/ | 93.1 | na | 6.9 | 4 | 64 | le | 1 | 512 | 52.2 | 63.6 | 55.9 |
| Tazobactam | | | | | | | | |

*Breakpoint interpretations: Amikacin Susceptible (S) ≤16 µg/mL; Intermediate (I) = 32 µg/mL; Resistant (R) ≥64 µg/mL; Cefepime S≤8 µg/mL; I=16 µg/mL; R≥32 µg/mL; Ciprofloxacin S≤1 µg/mL; I=8 µg/mL; R≥32 µg/mL; Gentamicin S≤4 µg/mL; I=8 µg/mL; R≥16 µg/mL; Levofloxacin S≤2 µg/mL; R≥8 µg/mL; Meropenem S≤4 µg/mL; I=8 µg/mL; R≥16 µg/mL; Piperacillin/Tazobactam S≤64/4 µg/mL; R≥128/4 µg/mL. Max Maximum; MDR Multidrug-resistant (the number that appears after the MDR designation indicates the number of different antimicrobial classes to which isolates are resistant, eg, MDR3 indicates P aeruginosa isolates that are resistant to at least one antimicrobial agent from three different classes); MIC Minimum inhibitory concentration; MIC<sub>50/90</sub> MICs needed to inhibit 50%/90% of organisms; Min Minimum; na Not applicable; nd No data

TABLE 2
Antimicrobial susceptibility of 451 Pseudomonas aeruginosa isolates, stratified by hospital ward type

| Antimicrobial | Emergency room | Surgical ward | Medical ward | ICU | P |
|---------------|----------------|---------------|--------------|-----|---|
| Isolates, n  | 101            | 52            | 194          | 104 | 0.945 |
| Amikacin      | (95/101)       | (49/52)       | (180/194)    | (98/104) | 0.003 |
| Cefepime      | 80.2           | 75.0          | 70.1         | 54.8 | 0.001 |
| Ciprofloxacin | (79/101)       | (38/52)       | (120/194)    | (66/104) | 0.003 |
| Gentamicin    | 70.3           | 67.3          | 66.0         | 61.5 | 0.619 |
| Levofloxacin  | (71/101)       | (35/52)       | (128/194)    | (64/104) | 0.001 |
| Meropenem     | 76.2           | 67.3          | 56.2         | 50.0 | 0.001 |
| Piperacillin/ | (77/101)       | (35/52)       | (109/194)    | (52/104) | <0.0001 |
| Tazobactam    | (98/101)       | (48/52)       | (182/194)    | (92/104) | 0.105 |

*Breakpoint interpretation: Amikacin Susceptible (S) ≤16 µg/mL; Cefepime S≤8 µg/mL; Ciprofloxacin S≤1 µg/mL; Gentamicin S≤4 µg/mL; Levofloxacin S≤2 µg/mL; Meropenem S≤4 µg/mL; Piperacillin/Tazobactam S≤64/4 µg/mL; ICU Intensive care unit

TABLE 3
Demographic information for 34 multidrug-resistant (MDR) Pseudomonas aeruginosa isolates obtained from patients in Canadian hospitals

| Demographics | Total number of isolates | MDR3, % (n/total) | MDR4, % (n/total) | MDR ≥3, % (n/total) |
|--------------|--------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| Patient age, years |                          |                   |                   |                     |
| 17 and younger | 36                       | 2.8 (1/36)        | 0.0 (0/36)        | 2.8 (1/36)           |
| 18 to 64      | 186                      | 6.5 (12/186)      | 4.3 (8/186)       | 10.8 (20/186)        |
| 65 and older  | 229                      | 4.4 (10/229)      | 1.3 (3/229)       | 5.7 (13/229)         |
| P             | na                       | 0.324             | 0.087             | 0.037               |
| Patient sex   |                          |                   |                   |                     |
| Female        | 172                      | 4.7 (8/172)       | 2.9 (5/172)       | 7.6 (13/172)         |
| Male          | 279                      | 5.4 (15/279)      | 2.2 (6/279)       | 7.5 (21/279)         |
| P             | na                       | 0.785             | 0.505             | 0.872               |
| Region of Canada |                          |                   |                   |                     |
| Central       | 154                      | 4.5 (7/154)       | 3.9 (6/154)       | 8.4 (13/154)         |
| West          | 141                      | 5.7 (8/141)       | 1.4 (2/141)       | 7.1 (10/141)         |
| Quebec/Maritimes | 156                  | 5.1 (8/156)       | 1.9 (3/156)       | 7.1 (11/156)         |
| P             | na                       | 0.9               | 0.274             | 0.855               |

*The number that appears after the MDR designation indicates the number of different antimicrobial classes to which isolates are resistant (eg, MDR3 indicates P aeruginosa isolates that are resistant to at least one antimicrobial agent from three different classes); †Region of Canada: Central = Ontario; West = British Columbia, Alberta, Saskatchewan, Manitoba; Quebec/Maritimes = Quebec, Nova Scotia. ER Emergency room; ICU Intensive care unit; na Not applicable

DISCUSSION

The data presented here serve to confirm the continued importance of P. aeruginosa as a pathogen in nosocomial infections. These data are in agreement with several previously published surveillance studies describing the frequency of occurrence of P. aeruginosa among clinical samples obtained from patients in a hospital setting (1,7-9). Among the antipseudomonal antimicrobial agents evaluated here, amikacin, meropenem and piperacillin/tazobactam were the most active, while the fluoroquinolones were the least active. These results are consistent with surveillance data from the United States (10). Variation in the definition of MDR precludes a...
meaningful comparison of our MDR rates with those reported in other publications. Our data indicate that the MDR *P. aeruginosa* isolates circulating in Canada are, for the most part, genetically unrelated. These isolates therefore represent many individual unrelated organisms that have undergone extensive exposure to antimicrobials, allowing them to develop a MDR phenotype.

None of the MDR isolates evaluated in the current study were resistant to colistin, suggesting that this agent may have a role in the treatment of infections caused by MDR *P. aeruginosa*. This observation is supported by an increasing number of reports demonstrating clinical efficacy of colistin in the treatment of MDR *P. aeruginosa* infections (11).

It is interesting to note that MDR isolates and isolates with reduced susceptibility to certain antimicrobials (cefepime, levofloxacin, meropenem) were more commonly obtained from patients in an ICU setting. One may hypothesize that this observation could relate to higher levels of antimicrobial consumption and/or differences in the specific antimicrobials used in ICUs relative to other hospital locations.

There are several limitations to the data presented here. First of all, limited demographic information was collected on the patients from whom the isolates were obtained. As a consequence of this, it was not possible to assess in detail specific variables associated with the acquisition of MDR *P. aeruginosa*. Additionally, we do not know how many isolates were obtained from patient groups at higher risk of infection with resistant *P. aeruginosa* (eg, cystic fibrosis patients). We cannot exclude the possibility that some of the resistance observed in the present study was being driven by isolates from very specific patient populations. Susceptibility testing was not performed for ceftazidime, tobramycin and imipenem due to lack of space on the susceptibility panels utilized. It is recognized that this data would be beneficial, because these antimicrobials may be a part of many hospital formularies. Up to 2.5% of isolates in the present study may have been submitted from duplicate patients (ie, two different isolates submitted from the same patient). Due to the rarity of this event, it is not believed that inclusion of these isolates had a significant impact on the susceptibility data described here. Finally, we did not investigate the molecular mechanisms conferring antimicrobial resistance among our isolates due to limited time and resources.

**CONCLUSIONS**

*P. aeruginosa* is commonly isolated from patients in Canadian hospitals. Of the antimicrobials evaluated, amikacin, meropenem and piperacillin/tazobactam were the most active, while the fluoroquinolones were the least active. Thirty-four isolates (7.5%) were MDR. Isolates with reduced susceptibility to individual antimicrobials and MDR isolates were more frequently obtained from patients in an ICU setting. All of the MDR isolates remained susceptible to colistin.
The data presented here may assist clinicians in choosing appropriate empirical therapy for nosocomial infections where \textit{P. aeruginosa} is thought to be playing a role. Given the high rates of antimicrobial resistance among \textit{P. aeruginosa} isolates, new antimicrobials with antipseudomonal activity are desperately needed. A standardized definition of MDR for \textit{P. aeruginosa} would facilitate comparison of MDR rates among studies.
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