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Abstract: The derivation and application of a statistical mechanical model to quantify stereochemical communication in metal–organic assemblies is reported. The factors affecting the stereochemical communication within and between the metal stereocenters of the assemblies were experimentally studied by optical spectroscopy and analyzed in terms of a free energy penalty per “incorrect” amine enantiomer incorporated, and a free energy of coupling between stereocenters. These intra- and inter-vertex coupling constants are used to track the degree of stereochemical communication across a range of metal–organic assemblies (employing different ligands, peripheral amines, and metals); temperature-dependent equilibria between diastereomeric cages are also quantified. The model thus provides a unified understanding of the factors that shape the chirotopic void spaces enclosed by metal–organic container molecules.

By virtue of their hollow interiors, metal–organic container molecules offer great potential for a range of applications, including guest binding and separation, cavity-controlled catalysis, and stabilization of reactive intermediates. In contrast to the many enantioselective transformations catalyzed inside an enzyme’s (chiral) active site, in synthetic hosts the role of stereochemistry has received little attention, with the focus mostly on controlling the size of the host cavity to steer guest binding or catalysis. Reports addressing stereochemistry in metal–organic assemblies have so far mainly dealt with the synthesis of enantiopure cages, (interconverting) diastereomeric species, stereochrmical switches, enantioselective catalysis, or guest binding.

To emulate the enantioselectivity displayed by enzymes, insights into the conditions under which chiral ligands induce the formation of an enantiopure metal–organic self-assembled capsule are required. Such rules can guide the design of new container molecules offering enantioselective guest binding or catalysis, and may have implications for the understanding of the origin of biological homochirality. A quantitative analysis based on statistical mechanics has proven useful in the description of chiral amplification in covalent and supramolecular polymers.

The present work provides a quantitative description of the degree of stereochemical information transfer within discrete metal–organic cages. Building upon the pioneering work of Piguet on quantifying subtle thermodynamic effects in the self-assembly of polynuclear complexes, we develop a simple statistical mechanical model that quantifies the effects of various factors, such as the choice of metal, chiral residue, ligand length, and temperature. We start with the phenomenon of amplification of stereochemical information as previously observed in a Fe₈L₆ cage with a strong preference to have all metal centers with the same all-Δ configuration. We then examine this phenomenon in related tetrahedral cages with different metals, ligand lengths, or geometries (Figure 1). We also apply the model to the temperature-dependent diastereomer distributions in tetrahedral cages with weaker stereochemical coupling between metal centers.

Sergeant-and-soldiers experiments (Figure 1, top), involving the substitution of residues of achiral amine within a racemic Fe₄L₆ cage (2a; Figure 1, bottom) with increasing amounts of a more nucleophilic enantiopure amine (S)-b, resulted in the quantitative induction of a single stereochemical configuration at all Fe centers below 100% (12 equiv) of the chiral amine was added, as monitored by the chiroptical response. This effect was shown to be enhanced in the cage with respect to a related mononuclear complex (1a) as a result of stereochemical coupling between metal centers in the cage.

To devise a statistical model to quantify this effect, we separate the two ways in which stereochemical information can be amplified in multinuclear structures. First, at each metal center, intra-vertex amplification can manifest itself when fewer than three chiral amine residues suffice to quantitatively induce a single Δ or Δ stereoconfiguration. Second, inter-vertex communication: the mechanical connection between metal centers by rigid ligands allows stereochemical information to be relayed between vertices in the framework. As a result, the configuration at one metal center can influence or even dictate the configuration of its neighbors. The resulting model is a finite Ising system with...
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and fitted curves have been renormalized to 1 at a chiral amine concentration of 100% (see Section S3.3). Values of $f_1$ were obtained by least-squares fitting to data from experiments with the Fe$^{II}$L$_3$ complexes (Figure S1). These values were then fixed and used in a second fit to the experimental data obtained for the related Fe$^{II}$L$_4$ cages (Figure S4), to obtain an $f_2$ value for each cage (Figure 2E).

The model accounts well for the shape of the experimental curves (Figure 2A). The $f_1$ values of 38, 0.90, and 1.4 obtained for amines (S)-b, (S)-c, and (S)-d, respectively, highlight the much stronger ability of amine (S)-b than amine (S)-c in controlling the configuration at the metal center. The free energy penalty of 38 kcal/mol for (S)-b lies in the limiting regime of a large $f_1$ value, where the sergeant-and-soldiers effect is overwhelming and the chiroptical response is insensitive to the exact value (Figure S8). Between (S)-c and (S)-d, (S)-d exhibited a stronger ability to control the configuration at the metal center, which we attribute to the greater bulk of the side group (cyclohexyl versus isopropyl). We infer that both sterics and π-stacking effects between phenyl and pyridyl rings are responsible for the strong influence of amine (S)-b upon the metal-centered configuration.

Using the $f_1$ values from the Fe$^{II}$L$_3$ complexes, experimental data could be fitted for the Fe$^{II}$L$_4$ cages 2b and 2c; precipitation during the substitution of cage 2a with (S)-d precluded sergeant-and-soldiers studies with this amine. In these cages the Fe$^{II}$ vertices are held together by the same amine (S)-b, with (S)-c, (S)-d exhibiting a stronger ability to control the configuration at the metal center, which we attribute to the greater bulk of the side group (cyclohexyl versus isopropyl). We infer that both sterics and π-stacking effects between phenyl and pyridyl rings are responsible for the strong influence of amine (S)-b upon the metal-centered configuration.

Next, the effect of metal choice on the degree of amplification was studied by performing substitution experiments with (S)-b on the Co$^{II}$ and Zn$^{II}$-containing analogues of the Fe$^{II}$L$_3$ complex 1a (namely 3a and 4a) and the Co$^{II}$-templated analogue of the Fe$^{II}$L$_3$ cage 2a (namely 5a; for each metal the chiroptical data were normalized at a different wavelength; Figure S3). Our model correctly predicts the sharp decrease in $f_1$ value from 38 for Fe$^{II}$ to 1.3 for Co$^{II}$ (3b), to approximately 0 for Zn$^{II}$ (4b). Remarkably, no amplification was observed for Zn$^{II}$: the excess chirality of the Zn$^{II}$L$_3$ complex 4b increased linearly as a function of added (S)-b. These observations can be understood in terms of the increased metal–ligand distance when going from Fe$^{II}$ through Co$^{II}$ to Zn$^{II}$ [106] with a concomitant reduction in bond strength (see Section S5 in the Supporting Information), which in turn decreases the steric gearing of the chiral amine residues required for effective stereochiral control around the metal center.

Despite similar $f_2$ values, because of the smaller $f_1$ value for Co$^{II}$, the enhancement in nonlinear effects in a M$^{II}$L$_4$ cage with respect to a M$^{II}$L$_3$ complex is more pronounced in the case of the Co$^{II}$-containing structures, 3b and 5b, than for their Fe$^{II}$-templated analogues 1b and 2b (Figure 2B). Because the Zn$^{II}$-analogue of 2a could not be prepared without an anionic template (see Section S1), its amplification behavior was not studied.

The effect of ligand structure on the degree of stereochiral communication within tetrahedral cages was studied by examining the substitution with the same amine ((S)-b) of two other Fe$^{II}$ cages: cage 6a [107] built from a longer ditopic ligand (compared to 2a), and cage 7a, based upon a tritopic ligand. For cage 6b, the $f_1$ value of 0.45 is only slightly higher than the value of 0.40 for 2b, verifying quantitatively the previous observation that linker length does not strongly affect the degree of stereochiral communication in these cages [17a].

In stark contrast with the behavior of the ML$_3$ complexes and M$_3$L$_4$ cages studied, the substitution of the Fe$^{II}$L$_4$ cage 7a with (S)-b was observed to occur through a cooperative imine exchange process (see Section S4), confirming the previously reported kinetic stability of this Fe$^{II}$L$_4$ framework [106]. Not being able to use cage 7a to investigate the degree of stereochiral coupling between metal centers in M$_3$L$_4$ structures, we turned to its Co$^{II}$-containing congeners (8a), which was not observed to undergo cooperative amine exchange (Figure S7, Figures S36–S37). For Co$^{II}$L$_4$ cage 8b, stronger inter-vertex communication was observed, as expressed by an $f_2$ parameter of 1.5, which is significantly higher than the value of 0.55 for the corresponding Co$^{II}$L$_4$ cage 5b (Figure 2E). We infer that the tritopic ligands have a strong “gearing” effect within the rigid structure, forcing the four metal centers in the cage to adopt a homochirally pure Δ state. This strong inter-vertex stereochiral coupling has been shown to enable stereochiral memory in a Fe$^{II}$L$_4$ cage [106].

In addition to analyzing the transmission of stereochiral information in all-Δ or all-Λ cages, we have also applied our model to a set of racemic Fe$^{II}$L$_4$ cages (9–12; Figure 1) that have been previously observed to form heterochiral species [108]. These assembled from ditopic achiral ligands (that is, with $f_1 = 0$) based on terphenyl linkers with different methylation patterns. Cages 9–12 were found to exist in solution as an equilibrium between homochiral Τ (ΔΔΔ/ΛΛΛΛ) and heterochiral Τ (ΔΔΛ/ΛΛΔΔ), and achiral Τ (ΔΔΔΔ) diastereomers. Moreover, variable-temperature NMR studies revealed these equilibria to be temperature-dependent.

The model can be employed to make a direct prediction of universal curves for the equilibrium distribution of the three diastereomers as a function of $f_1$ value (Figure 3). We have placed the temperature-dependent distribution of diastereomers for cages 9–12 on these curves by finding the point on the $f_1$ axis where each set of three yields fits best. There is no guarantee that an arbitrary set of three diastereomer fractions could be consistently placed on the curves. Hence, the observation that all data sets, apart from those for cage 9, can be superimposed on the plot provides strong support for the underlying model. The behavior of the four cages is very different. Cage 11 has strongly negative values for $f_1$ ($< -2$), indicating that the ligand prefers to connect two metal centers of opposite handedness, thus favoring the Τ diastereomer (wherein four of the six ligands link metal centers of opposite configuration). Cage 10 shows the opposite behavior: the
Figure 3. Experimental diastereomer distribution and predicted distribution as function of the $f_2$ value (diastereomers: $T$ = black; $C_4$ = red; $S_4$ = blue) for cage 9 ($\circ$), 10 ($\bullet$), 11 ($\Delta$), and 12 ($\triangledown$). Arrows indicate increasing temperature.

homochiral $T$ diastereomer is favored by the positive $f_2$ value (about 0.9 at room temperature). However, raising the temperature decreases the $f_2$ value, thereby increasing the proportions of the $S_4$ and $C_4$ diastereomers at the expense of the $T$ diastereomer. For cage 12 the $f_2$ value was found to be close to 0 (independent of the temperature), resulting in a nearly unbiased statistical distribution (which would be 1:4:3 for $T$:$C_4$:$S_4$). For cage 9 some discrepancies between the data and the model were observed for the $S_4$ and $C_3$ diastereomers. However, its behavior can still be characterized by the moderately positive $f_2$ value of 0.35 that slightly favors the $T$ diastereomer.

The direction and sensitivity of the change in $f_2$ value with respect to temperature are determined by the sign and magnitude of the enthalpic contribution to the free energy. The enthalpic and entropic components of $f_2$ can be extracted by a Van’t Hoff type analysis and can be shown by our model to relate to all three of the pairwise equilibria\[^b\] between the $T$, $S_4$, and $C_4$ states (see Section S6 in the Supporting Information). The generally good agreement between the separately determined entropies and enthalpies and those derived from our model further validates the applicability of the model, providing a unifying understanding of the equilibria.

Apart from the case of cage 9, we found that it is entropically favorable to have opposite stereoconfiguration at the two ends of each ligand, even when that combination is enthalpically unfavorable.

Our statistical mechanical model thus accounts for the specific nonlinear response of excess chirality in metal–organic tetrahedra due to stereochemical communication within the structures. For the first time for metal–organic cages, the effect of structural features on the degree of stereoechemical communication has been quantified in terms of two energy parameters with clearly defined physical meanings, and an overarching description of the temperature-dependent equilibrium between diastereomeric cages has been provided. The general nature of the model allows for its extension to new cage geometries\[^b\] and related structures, such as metal–organic frameworks.\[^b\] We anticipate that the physical insight our model provides into the nuanced stereochemistry of the chiroptic cavities of these structures will translate into control over stereoselective guest binding and catalysis.\[^b\]
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Quantification of Stereochemical Communication in Metal–Organic Assemblies

Powerful coupling: The derivation and application of a statistical mechanical model to describe stereochemical communication across a range of metal–organic assemblies in terms of intra-vertex and inter-vertex coupling energies is reported. The effect of various structural parameters is thus quantified, allowing for subtle stereochemical outcomes to be predicted.