RAMANUJAN-TYPE SUPERCONGRUENCES

WADIM ZUDILIN

Abstract. We present several supercongruences that may be viewed as $p$-adic analogues of Ramanujan-type series for $1/\pi$ and $1/\pi^2$, and prove three of these examples.

1. Introduction

Throughout the paper, the letter $p$ is used for primes. It was observed by L. Van Hamme [12] that several Ramanujan’s and Ramanujan-like formulas [11] for $1/\pi$,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\frac{1}{2})^3}{n!^3} (4n+1)(-1)^n = \frac{2}{\pi},$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\frac{1}{2})^3}{n!^3} (6n+1) \frac{1}{4^n} = \frac{4}{\pi},$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\frac{1}{2})^3}{n!^3} (6n+1) \frac{(-1)^n}{8^n} = \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\pi},$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\frac{1}{2})^3}{n!^3} (42n+5) \frac{1}{64^n} = \frac{16}{\pi},$$

admit very nice conjectural $p$-analogues:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{p-1} \frac{(\frac{1}{2})^3}{n!^3} (4n+1)(-1)^n \equiv \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) p (\text{mod} p^3) \quad \text{for } p > 2,$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{p-1} \frac{(\frac{1}{2})^3}{n!^3} (6n+1) \frac{1}{4^n} \equiv \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) p (\text{mod} p^3) \quad \text{for } p > 2,$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{p-1} \frac{(\frac{1}{2})^3}{n!^3} (6n+1) \frac{(-1)^n}{8^n} \equiv \left(\frac{-2}{p}\right) p (\text{mod} p^3) \quad \text{for } p > 2,$$
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we present a (far from exhaustive) list of many other supercongruences
and the Pochhammer symbol, respectively. Note that since the p-adic order of
\((\frac{1}{2})_n/!\) is 1 for \(n = \frac{p+1}{2}, \ldots, p - 1\), one may compute the sums in (2)–(5) for \(n\) up to \(\frac{p-1}{2}\) (and this is how the four supercongruences\(^1\) (2)–(5) were conjectured in [12]); for example, an equivalent form of (2) is [12, (B.2)]

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{(p-1)/2} \frac{(\frac{1}{2})_n}{n!^3} (4n + 1)(-1)^n \equiv (-1)^{(p-1)/2}p \pmod{p^3} \quad \text{for } p > 2.
\]

Van Hamme himself was able to prove (2) modulo \(p\) (not \(p^3\)); recently E. Mortensen [10] gave a proof for (2). As far as we know, no progress has been done towards proving the other congruences (3), (4), and (5).

**Remark 1.** In fact, one can state all these \(p\)-supercongruences as infinite sums by replacing \(n!\) in the denominators of the summands by \((-1)^n \Gamma_p(n + 1)\), where \(\Gamma_p\) is Morita’s \(p\)-adic gamma function [8]. For instance, the congruence (2) takes the form

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\frac{1}{2})_n}{\Gamma_p(n + 1)^3} (4n + 1)(-1)^n \equiv (-1)^{(p-1)/2}p \pmod{p^3} \quad \text{for } p > 2.
\]

It seems that all known Ramanujan-type formulas for \(1/\pi\) admit similar \(p\)-analogues. One example, which is not given in the list [12], is an analogue of the formula

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\frac{1}{2})_n(\frac{1}{4})_n(\frac{3}{4})_n}{n!^3} (20n + 3) \frac{(-1)^n}{2^{2n}} = \frac{8}{\pi};
\]

it reads as

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{p-1} \frac{(\frac{1}{2})_n(\frac{1}{4})_n(\frac{3}{4})_n}{n!^3} (20n + 3) \frac{(-1)^n}{2^{2n}} \equiv 3 \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) p \pmod{p^3} \quad \text{for } p > 2.
\]

Even more, some recently discovered, by J. Guillera, formulas for \(1/\pi^2\) (see [3]–[5] and [14]), like

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\frac{1}{2})_n(\frac{1}{4})_n(\frac{3}{4})_n}{n!^3} (120n^2 + 34n + 3) \frac{1}{2^{4n}} = \frac{32}{\pi^2};
\]

possess such analogues as well:

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{p-1} \frac{(\frac{1}{2})_n(\frac{1}{4})_n(\frac{3}{4})_n}{n!^3} (120n^2 + 34n + 3) \frac{1}{2^{4n}} \equiv 3p^2 \pmod{p^5} \quad \text{for } p > 2.
\]

In Section 3 we present a (far from exhaustive) list of many other supercongruences which are analogues of the examples indicated in our recent mini-survey [14]; there one can find the analogue (31) of the formula for \(1/\pi^3\) experimentally discovered by

\(^1\)A congruence modulo a prime \(p\) is said to be a supercongruence if it happens to hold modulo some higher power of \(p\).
B. Gourevich (see [14]). Unfortunately, all these congruences remain conjectures, except the already mentioned example (2) and, as we show below, the congruences (9) and (11). We do not dispose of a suitable general method to prove our experimentally discovered supercongruences. But at least in the three cases we can use the WZ-method [2], [3], [5] which results in very simple proofs given in Section 2 of the following results.

**Theorem 1.** The congruence in (6) is valid.

Theorem 1 is the main result in [10], but our proof is essentially different from the one in [10] and shorter.

**Theorem 2.** The following congruence is true:

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{p-1} \frac{(\frac{1}{2})_n^3 (\frac{1}{2})_{2n}}{n!^2} (120n^2 + 34n + 3) \frac{1}{2^{6n}} \equiv 3p^2 \pmod{p^5} \quad \text{for } p > 2;
\]

it is equivalent to (11).

**Theorem 3.** We have

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{p-1} \frac{(\frac{1}{2})_n (\frac{1}{2})_{2n}}{n!^3} (20n + 3) \frac{1}{2^{4n}} \equiv 3(-1)^{(p-1)/2} p \pmod{p^3} \quad \text{for } p > 2,
\]

which is equivalent to (9).

### 2. Proofs by the WZ-machinery

The original argument of D. Zeilberger and S. Ekhad [2], applied there to proving the identity (1) (which, in fact, starts its history from Bauer’s work [1] in 1859, much earlier than Ramanujan’s birth), was later developed in Guillera’s works [3], [5]. It is based on introducing suitable WZ-pairs and creative telescoping. This method has rather strong limitations (and it always requires a preliminary human guess) but it is the only one known so far for proving the hypergeometric formulas for $1/\pi^2$, like (10). In our proof of Theorems 1, 2, and 3 we do not need an intelligent guess, since we can simply borrow the Zeilberger–Ekhad–Guillera WZ-pairs for (1), (10), and (8), respectively.

**Proof of Theorem 1.** Introduce the rational functions

\[
F(n, k) = (-1)^{n+k}(4n+1) \frac{(\frac{1}{2})_n (\frac{1}{2})_{n+k}}{(\frac{1}{2})_n (1)_{n-k}(\frac{1}{2})_k},
\]

\[
G(n, k) = -\frac{(2n-1)^2}{2(n-k)(4n-3)} F(n-1, k) = (-1)^{n+k} \cdot 2 \cdot \frac{(\frac{1}{2})_n (\frac{1}{2})_{n+k-1}}{(1)_{n-1}(1)_{n-k}(\frac{1}{2})_k}.
\]

in two parameters $n$ and $k$. They form a WZ-pair, namely, they satisfy

\[
F(n, k-1) - F(n, k) = G(n+1, k) - G(n, k).
\]
Indeed,
\[
\frac{F(n, k-1)}{F(n, k)} = -\frac{(k - \frac{1}{2})^2}{(n + k - \frac{1}{2})(n + k + \frac{1}{2})}, \quad \frac{F(n, k)}{G(n, k)} = \frac{(4n + 1)(n + k - \frac{1}{2})}{2n^2},
\]
\[
\frac{G(n, k + 1)}{G(n, k)} = -\frac{(n + \frac{1}{2})^2(n + k - \frac{1}{2})}{n^2(n - k + 1)},
\]
and it is routine to verify the identity
\[
-\frac{(k - \frac{1}{2})^2(4n + 1)}{2(n - k + 1)n^2} - \frac{(4n + 1)(n + k - \frac{1}{2})}{2n^2} = -\frac{(n + \frac{1}{2})^2(n + k - \frac{1}{2})}{n^2(n - k + 1)} - 1
\]
which is the result of division of both sides of (14) by \(G(n, k)\).

Summing (14) over \(n = 0, 1, \ldots, \frac{p-1}{2}\), we obtain
\[
\sum_{n=0}^{(p-1)/2} F(n, k-1) - \sum_{n=0}^{(p-1)/2} F(n, k) = G(\frac{p+1}{2}, k) - G(0, k) = G(\frac{p+1}{2}, k). \quad (15)
\]
Furthermore, for \(k = 1, 2, \ldots, \frac{p-1}{2}\) we have
\[
G(\frac{p+1}{2}, k) = (-1)^{(p+1)/2+k} \cdot 2 \cdot \left(\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{(p-1)/2} + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 \frac{(\frac{1}{2})^{(p+1)/2+k-1}}{(1)^{(p+1)/2-\frac{k}{2}}^k} = (-1)^{(p+1)/2+k} \cdot 2^{-p} \left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)^2 \cdot \frac{(\frac{1}{2})^{(p+1)/2+k-1}}{(1)^{(p+1)/2-\frac{k}{2}}^k} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^3},
\]
since \((\frac{1}{2})^{(p+1)/2+k-1}\) is divisible by \((\frac{1}{2})^{(p+1)/2}\), hence by \(p\), while the denominator is coprime to \(p\). Comparing this result with (15) we see that
\[
\sum_{n=0}^{(p-1)/2} F(n, 0) \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{(p-1)/2} F(n, 1) \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{(p-1)/2} F(n, 2) \equiv \cdots \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{(p-1)/2} F(n, \frac{p-1}{2}) \pmod{p^3}. \quad (16)
\]
On the other hand,
\[
\sum_{n=0}^{(p-1)/2} F(n, \frac{p-1}{2}) = F(\frac{p-1}{2}, \frac{p-1}{2}) = (4 \cdot \frac{p-1}{2} + 1) \frac{(\frac{1}{2})^p - 1}{(1)^{(p-1)/2}} = 2 \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^p \equiv 2^{2(p-1)} \left(\frac{2p-1}{p-1}\right) \left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right) \pmod{p^3}. \quad (17)
\]
It remains to use the well-known congruences
\[
\left(\frac{2p-1}{p-1}\right) \equiv 1 \pmod{p^3}
\]
due to J. Wolstenholme (see [13]) and
\[
\left(\frac{p-1}{\frac{p-1}{2}}\right) \equiv (-1)^{(p-1)/2} 2^{2(p-1)} \pmod{p^3} \quad (18)
\]
due to F. Morley [9], although they are true modulo $p^2$ only if $p = 3$, to conclude that the expression in (17) is congruent to

$$\sum_{n=0}^{(p-1)/2} F(n, \frac{p-1}{2}) \equiv (-1)^{(p-1)/2} p \pmod{p^4}$$

(for our purposes we need the latter modulo $p^3$), and the desired result follows from (16).

\[ \square \]

Proof of Theorem 2. The validity of (12) for $p = 3$ is checked by hand; therefore, we may assume that $p \geq 5$.

The rational functions

$$F(n, k) = (120n^2 - 84nk + 34n - 10k + 3) \frac{(\frac{1}{2})_n (\frac{1}{2})_k}{2^{6n}(1)_n(1)_{n-k}(\frac{1}{2})_k}$$

$$G(n, k) = \frac{(\frac{1}{2})_n (\frac{1}{2})_k}{2^{6n}(1)_n(1)_{n-k}(\frac{1}{2})_k}$$

satisfy (14), since after division of both sides by $G(n, k)$ one only needs to verify that

$$\frac{(120n^2 - 84nk + 118n - 10k + 13)(k - \frac{1}{2})^3}{256n^3(n - k + 1)^2}$$

$$- \frac{(120n^2 - 84nk + 34n - 10k + 3)(2n + k - \frac{1}{2})}{256n^3}$$

$$= \frac{(2n + k - \frac{1}{2})(2n + k + \frac{1}{2})(n + \frac{1}{2})^3}{64n^3(n - k + 1)^2} - 1.$$ 

Summing (14), this time over $n = 0, 1, \ldots, p - 1$, we obtain

$$\sum_{n=0}^{p-1} F(n, k - 1) - \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} F(n, k) = G(p, k) - G(0, k) = G(p, k).$$

Using

$$G(p, k) = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_p (\frac{1}{2})_p}{2^{6n}(1)_p(1)_{p-k}(\frac{1}{2})_k} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^5}$$

for $k = 1, 2, \ldots, \frac{p-1}{2}$, we deduce as above that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{p-1} F(n, 0) \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} F(n, \frac{p-1}{2}) \pmod{p^5}.$$ 

In addition, for $n = \frac{p+1}{2}, \frac{p+1}{2} + 1, \ldots, p - 1$ we have

$$\text{ord}_p \frac{(\frac{1}{2})_n (\frac{1}{2})_n}{2^{6n}(1)_n(1)_{n-(p-1)/2}(\frac{1}{2})_{(p-1)/2}} = \text{ord}_p (\frac{1}{2})_n (\frac{1}{2})_{2n+(p-1)/2}$$

$$\geq \text{ord}_p (\frac{1}{7})_{(p+1)/2}(\frac{1}{2})_{p-1+(p-1)/2} = 5;$$
thus
\[ F(n, \frac{p-1}{2}) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^5} \]
for these values of \(n\), and we obtain
\[ \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} F(n, \frac{p-1}{2}) = \sum_{n=(p-1)/2}^{p-1} F(n, \frac{p-1}{2}) \equiv F(\frac{p-1}{2}, \frac{p-1}{2}) \pmod{p^5}. \]
Finally, note that
\[ F(\frac{p-1}{2}, \frac{p-1}{2}) = 3p(3p-2) \frac{(\frac{1}{2})^{3(p-1)/2}}{2^{3(p-1)}(1)^{3(p-1)/2}} = 3p \cdot 2^{-6(p-1)} \frac{(3p-2)!}{(\frac{3(p-1)}{2})!(\frac{p-1}{2})^3} \]
and
\[ 2^{-6(p-1)} \frac{(3p-2)!}{(\frac{3(p-1)}{2})!(\frac{p-1}{2})^3} \equiv p \pmod{p^4}, \quad (19) \]
the congruence following from the lemma below, to arrive at the desired claim (12). \(\square\)

**Lemma 1.** For a prime \(p \geq 5\) take \(n = (p-1)/2\). Then
\[ \frac{(6n+1)!}{(3n)!n!^3} \equiv p \cdot 2^{12n} \pmod{p^4}. \]

**Proof.** For \(p > 3\), we have
\[ \frac{(6n+1)!}{(3n)!n!^3} = \frac{(6n+2)!}{2 \cdot (3n+1)!} = (2n+1) \prod_{j=1}^{n} (2p-j)(2p+j)(3p-j) \]
\[ = pn!^3 \prod_{j=1}^{n} \left( 1 - \frac{2p}{j} \right) \left( 1 + \frac{2p}{j} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{3p}{j} \right) \]
\[ = pn!^3 \left( 1 - 3pH_n + \frac{9}{2}p^2H_n^2 - \frac{17}{2}p^2H_n' + O(p^3) \right), \]
where
\[ H_n = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{i} \quad \text{and} \quad H_n' = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{i^2} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}. \]
Thus,
\[ \frac{(6n+1)!}{(3n)!n!^3} \equiv p \left( 1 - 3pH_n + \frac{9}{2}p^2H_n^2 \right) \pmod{p^4}. \quad (20) \]
It remains to use a result of E. Lehmer \([6, \text{Eq. (45)}]\),
\[ H_n \equiv -2Q_p(2) + pQ_p(2)^2 \pmod{p^2}, \quad \text{where} \quad Q_p(2) = \frac{2^{p-1} - 1}{p}, \]
which may be written in the form
\[ Q_p(2) \equiv -\frac{1}{2}H_n + \frac{1}{8}pH_n^2 \pmod{p^2}, \]
equivalently,
\[ 2^{p-1} \equiv 1 - \frac{1}{2}pH_n + \frac{1}{8}p^2H_n^2 \pmod{p^3}. \]
Raising both sides of the congruence to the sixth power we obtain
\[ 2^{12n} = 2^{6(p-1)} \equiv 1 - 3pH_n + \frac{9}{2}p^2H_n^2 \pmod{p^3}. \]
The comparison of this with (20) leads to the desired claim. \(\square\)

Proof of Theorem 3. This time the choice of a WZ-pair satisfying (14) is as follows:
\[
F(n, k) = (20n - 2k + 3)(-1)^{n+k} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)_n \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)_{2n+k} \frac{2^{4n}}{n} \frac{(1)_{n-k}(\frac{1}{2})^2}{k^n},
\]
\[
G(n, k) = \frac{(-1)^{n+k} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)_n \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)_{2n+k-1}}{2^{4n-6}(1)_{n-1} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)_{n-k}^2}. \]
Since \(G(p, k) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^3}\) for \(k = 1, 2, \ldots, \frac{p-1}{2}\), by applying (14) we conclude that
\[
\sum_{n=0}^{p-1} F(n, 0) \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} F(n, \frac{p-1}{2}) \pmod{p^3}.
\]
Furthermore, \(F(n, \frac{p-1}{2}) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^3}\) for \(n = \frac{p+1}{2}, \ldots, p-1\), hence the latter sum reduces to the single term \(F(\frac{p-1}{2}, \frac{p-1}{2}) \pmod{p^3}\). Finally,
\[
F\left(\frac{p-1}{2}, \frac{p-1}{2}\right) = 3(3p - 2) \frac{(-1)^{3(p-1)/2}}{2^{2(p-1)}(1)_{(p-1)/2} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)_{(p-1)/2}}
\]
\[= 3 \cdot 2^{-6(p-1)} \frac{(3p - 2)!}{(3(p-1)/2)! \left( \frac{p-1}{2} \right)!} \cdot 2^{2(p-1)} \left( \frac{p-1}{2} \right)^{-1}
\]
and application of (18) and (19) finishes the proof of (13). \(\square\)

3. Experimental database and conclusion

To convince the reader that there is a general pattern for \(p\)-supercongruences analogous to Ramanujan-type series for \(1/\pi\) and their generalizations, we provide a list of several examples (the corresponding original formulas, some of them conjectural as well, are all represented in [14]):
\[
\sum_{n=0}^{p-1} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)_n \left( \frac{1}{6} \right)_n \left( \frac{5}{6} \right)_n \frac{263}{n!^3} \left( \frac{80^3}{n} \right)^n \equiv 263 \left( \frac{-15}{p} \right) p \pmod{p^3} \quad \text{for } p > 5, \quad (21)
\]
\[
\sum_{n=0}^{p-1} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)_n \left( \frac{1}{7} \right)_n \left( \frac{3}{7} \right)_n \frac{21460n + 1123}{n!^3} \left( \frac{88^2}{n} \right)^n \equiv 1123 \left( \frac{-1}{p} \right) p \pmod{p^3} \quad \text{for } p > 7, \quad (22)
\]
\[
\sum_{n=0}^{p-1} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)_n \left( \frac{1}{17} \right)_n \left( \frac{3}{17} \right)_n \frac{26390n + 1103}{n!^3} \left( \frac{994}{n} \right)^n \equiv 1103 \left( \frac{-2}{p} \right) p \pmod{p^3} \quad \text{for } p > 11, \quad (23)
\]
\[
\sum_{n=0}^{p^{-1}} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_n \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)_n \left(\frac{5}{2}\right)_n \left(14151n + 827\right) (-1)^n}{n!^3} \equiv 827 \left(\frac{-3}{p}\right) p \pmod{p^3} \quad \text{for } p > 5, \tag{24}
\]

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{p^{-1}} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_n \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)_n \left(\frac{5}{2}\right)_n \left(20n^2 + 8n + 1\right) (-1)^n}{n!^5} \equiv p^2 \pmod{p^5} \quad \text{for } p > 3, \tag{25}
\]

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{p^{-1}} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_n \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)_n \left(\frac{5}{2}\right)_n \left(820n^2 + 180n + 13\right) (-1)^n}{n!^5} \equiv 13p^2 \pmod{p^5} \quad \text{for } p > 3, \tag{26}
\]

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{p^{-1}} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_n \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)_n \left(\frac{5}{2}\right)_n \left(1640n^2 + 278n + 15\right) (-1)^n}{n!^5} \equiv 15 \left(\frac{3}{p}\right) p^2 \pmod{p^5} \quad \text{for } p > 3, \tag{27}
\]

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{p^{-1}} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_n \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)_n \left(\frac{5}{2}\right)_n \left(252n^2 + 63n + 5\right) (-1)^n}{n!^5} \equiv 5p^2 \pmod{p^5} \quad \text{for } p > 3, \tag{28}
\]

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{p^{-1}} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_n \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)_n \left(\frac{5}{2}\right)_n \left(5418n^2 + 693n + 29\right) (-1)^n}{n!^5} \equiv 29 \left(\frac{5}{p}\right) p^2 \pmod{p^5} \quad \text{for } p > 5, \tag{29}
\]

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{p^{-1}} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_n \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)_n \left(\frac{5}{2}\right)_n \left(1920n^2 + 304n + 15\right) \frac{1}{7n}}{n!^5} \equiv 15 \left(\frac{7}{p}\right) p^2 \pmod{p^5} \quad \text{for } p > 7, \tag{30}
\]

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{p^{-1}} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_n \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)_n \left(\frac{5}{2}\right)_n \left(168n^3 + 76n^2 + 14n + 1\right) \frac{1}{26n}}{n!^7} \equiv \left(-\frac{1}{p}\right) p^3 \pmod{p^7} \quad \text{for } p > 2, \tag{31}
\]

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{p^{-1}} \left(\frac{n}{k}\right)^4 \frac{4n+1}{6^{2n}} \equiv \left(-\frac{15}{p}\right) p \pmod{p^2} \quad \text{for } p > 3, \tag{32}
\]

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\lfloor n/3 \rfloor} \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n-3k \rfloor} (-1)^{n-k} 3^{n-3k} (3k)! \binom{n}{3k} \binom{n+k}{k} \left(\frac{n+1}{81n}\right) \equiv \left(-\frac{3}{p}\right) p \pmod{p^3} \quad \text{for } p > 3, \tag{33}
\]

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{p^{-1}} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k}^2 \binom{2n-2k}{k} \left(\frac{n+1}{64n}\right) \equiv \left(-\frac{3}{p}\right) p \pmod{p^3} \quad \text{for } p > 2, \tag{34}
\]
\[
\sum_{n=0}^{p-1} \binom{2n}{n}^2 \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{2k}{k}^2 \left(\frac{2n-2k}{n-k}\right)^2 \cdot (36n^2 + 12n + 1) \frac{1}{2^{10n}} \equiv p^2 \pmod{p^3} \tag{35}
\]

for \(p > 2\).

**Remark 2.** Replacing the finite sums on \(n\) in (32)–(35) by infinite sums following the ‘recipe’ in Remark 1 (that is, replacing some factorials by the corresponding values of the \(p\)-adic gamma function as in (7)) looks like a tricky problem. It seems that this is the place where we lose some ‘natural’ powers of \(p\) in (32) and (35).

We find it quite unfortunate that, in the other cases when we have proofs of the original Ramanujan-type series for \(1/\pi\) and \(1/\pi^2\) by creative telescoping, we cannot recover our argument in Section 2. These are the supercongruences (3)–(5), (25) and (26); the choices of the first member in the corresponding WZ-pair (again borrowed from [3], [5]) are

\[
F(n, k) = (6n - 2k + 1) \frac{\binom{1}{2} n \binom{1}{2} n + k \binom{1}{2} n - k}{2^{2n} (1)_{n}^2 (1)_{n-k} (\frac{1}{2})_{k}},
\]

\[
F(n, k) = (6n - 2k + 1) \frac{(-1)^{n+k} \binom{1}{2} n + k \binom{1}{2} n - k}{2^{3n-k} (1)_{n}^2 (1)_{n-k}},
\]

\[
F(n, k) = (84n^2 - 56nk + 4k^2 + 52n - 12k + 5) \frac{(-1)^{k} \binom{1}{2} n + k \binom{1}{2} n - k}{2^{4n} (1)_{n}^2 (1)_{2n-k+1}},
\]

\[
F(n, k) = (20n^2 - 12nk + 8n - 2k + 1) \frac{(-1)^{n+k} \binom{1}{2} n + k \binom{1}{2} n - k}{2^{2n} (1)_{n}^2 (1)_{n-k} \binom{1}{2} k},
\]

\[
F(n, k) = (3280n^4 - 4592n^3k + 2160n^2k^2 - 336nk^3 + 4000n^3 - 3816n^2k + 1008nk^2 - 40k^3 + 1592n^2 - 884nk + 92k^2 + 232n - 62k + 13) \times \frac{(-1)^{n+k} \binom{1}{2} n + k \binom{1}{2} n - k}{2^{6n} (1)_{n}^2 (1)_{2n-k+1}},
\]

respectively. Nevertheless, following the lines of the above proofs of Theorems 1 and 2, we can show the validity of (3) modulo \(p^2\), of (4) and (5) modulo \(p\), of (25) modulo \(p^4\), and of (26) modulo \(p^2\).

We wonder whether there exists a deep general theory behind all these Ramanujan-type supercongruences, like the theory of overconvergent \(p\)-adic modular forms, which could replace the theory of modular forms used in the proofs of Ramanujan’s formulas for \(1/\pi\).

We also wonder whether the methods of the classical theory of hypergeometric transformations can be applied to prove the supercongruences mentioned above. We have at least two successful examples of their application: D. McCarthy and R. Osburn [7] use them to prove a different supercongruence conjectured in [12], and E. Mortenson [10] gives a similar proof of the supercongruence (2).
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