Rapid eye movement sleep behavior disorder (RBD) is associated with high likelihood of prodromal Parkinson’s disease (PD) and is common in de novo PD. It is associated with greater cognitive impairment and brain atrophy. However, the relation between structural brain characteristics and cognition remains poorly understood. We aimed to investigate subcortical and cortical atrophy in de novo PD with probable RBD (PD-pRBD) and to relate it with cognitive impairment. We analyzed volumetry, cortical thickness, and cognitive measures from 79 PD-pRBD patients, 126 PD without probable RBD patients (PD-non pRBD), and 69 controls from the Parkinson’s Progression Markers Initiative (PPMI). Regression models of cognition were tested using magnetic resonance imaging measures as predictors. We found lower left thalamus volume in PD-pRBD compared with PD-non pRBD. Compared with controls, PD-pRBD group showed atrophy in the bilateral putamen, left hippocampus, left amygdala, and thinning in the right superior temporal gyrus. Specific deep gray matter nuclei volumes were associated with impairment in global cognition, phonemic fluency, processing speed, and visuospatial function in PD-pRBD. In conclusion, cognitive impairment and gray matter atrophy are already present in de novo PD-pRBD. Thalamus, hippocampus, and putamen volumes were mainly associated with these cognitive deficits.

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) studies may allow investigating the differences between PD with and without RBD. To the best of our knowledge, there are only three studies with de novo PD-pRBD patients in which quantified MRI was examined. They were performed with the Parkinson’s Progression Markers Initiative (PPMI) database, in which participants were classified according the RBD Screening Questionnaire (RBDQ). One study performed with deformed-based morphometry (DBM), reported reduced volumes of pontomesencephalic tegmentum, medullary reticular formation, hypothalamus, thalamus, putamen, amygdala, and anterior cingulate in PD-pRBD compared with PD without probable RBD (PD-non pRBD) patients. A second study showed that PD-pRBD had volume reduction in the putamen compared with PD-non RBD patients by means of voxel-based morphometry (VBM). Lastly, the most recent study performed longitudinal analyses with a reduced sample and without control group. The results reported that in the baseline (de novo PD stage) the PD-pRBD group had thinning in the bilateral inferior temporal cortex compared with the PD-non pRBD group through cortical thickness analysis.

Previous studies in advanced PD with small samples, comparing PD-RBD patients with PD-non RBD patients, have found volume decreases in the thalamus using volumetry and VBM; decreased gray matter volume of the left posterior cingulate and hippocampus thought MRI volumetry; and cortical thinning in the right perisylvian and inferior temporal cortices; as well as volume shape contraction in the putamen using cortical thickness and DBM approaches.

In this context, the association between cognitive impairment and brain atrophy in de novo PD with RBD symptomatology has

**INTRODUCTION**

Rapid eye movement (REM) sleep behavior disorder (RBD) is a parasomnia characterized by vivid dreams, increased electromyographic activity during REM sleep associated with complex movements and loss of atonia. RBD is a common symptom in Parkinson’s disease (PD) patients, with a prevalence of about 40%. Also, isolated RBD (iRBD) is a prodromal symptom of PD and other synucleinopathies, with a rate of conversion to a clinically defined synucleinopathy up to 90% after 15 years of follow-up. Results from postmortem studies in PD revealed pathological changes, with more diffuse and severe depose of synuclein in patients with RBD symptoms.

The diagnosis of RBD is performed by clinical history and video-polysonmography (vPSG) showing REM sleep with loss of atonia. When vPSG is not available the term probable RBD (pRBD) refers to individuals with clinical symptoms suggestive of RBD or fulfilling validated RBD validated questionnaires. A recent meta-analysis reported that in PD, the occurrence of RBD is associated with male sex, advance age, longer disease duration, increased Hoehn and Yahr (H&Y) stage, and with a higher Movement Disorder Society Unified Parkinson’s Disease Rating Scale (MDS-UPDRS) Part III score. The frequency of PD-pRBD increases with disease duration, H&Y stage, and MDS-UPDRS Part III score as well. Furthermore, PD-pRBD has been associated with worse cognitive performance and more rapid cognitive decline. Also, PD-RBD has been associated with a higher prevalence of mild cognitive impairment (MCI). Altogether, these results suggest that PD-RBD patients have different clinical and neuropsychological characteristic features and prognosis, being proposed as a specific PD subtype.
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been poorly investigated. Furthermore, cortical thickness between-groups differences have never been explored in a large sample of de novo PD patients, including PD groups with and without pRBD and a healthy control group. The current work aims to examine subcortical and cortical measures of atrophy, concerning pRBD status, in a large sample of newly diagnosed drug naïve PD patients through MRI volumetry from global to deep gray matter (GM) nuclei segmentation, and cortical thickness analysis. Then, we aimed to find associations between structural abnormalities and cognitive impairments in PD-pRBD patients.

RESULTS

Demographic and clinical characteristics

The final sample comprised of 79 PD-pRBD and 126 PD-non pRBD patients. There were no differences between groups in sex, age, years of education, age of onset, disease duration, MDS-UPDRS Part III scores, and H&Y stage. PD-pRBD had higher RBDSQ, 15-item Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS-15) frequency of depression, Epworth Sleepiness Scale (ESS) total and frequency of sleepiness, Scales for Outcomes in Parkinson Disease (SCOPA-AUT) item 6 total and frequency of constipation, and MDS-UPDRS scores compared with PD-non pRBD; and higher RBDSQ, GDS-15 total, ESS total and frequency of sleepiness, SCOPA-AUT item 6 total and frequency of constipation, and lower University of Pennsylvania Smell Identification Test (UPSIT-40) scores compared with controls. Higher RBDSQ, higher SCOPA-AUT item 6 total and frequency of constipation, and lower UPSIT-40 scores were found in PD-non pRBD compared with controls (Table 1). Around 31% of the available MRI scans of PD patients were discarded after quality control (Supplementary Fig. 1).

Neuropsychological performance

PD-pRBD scored lower in semantic fluency and Benton Judgment of Line Orientation (JLO) than PD-non pRBD; when comparing them with controls, had lower Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA), semantic fluency, phonetic fluency, Symbol Digit Modalities Test (SDMT), Letter-Number Sequencing (LNS), JLO, Hopkins Verbal Learning Test-Revised (HVLT-R) immediate and delayed recall scores. When comparing the PD-non pRBD group with the control group, MoCA and SDMT scores were lower in the former (Fig. 1, Supplementary Table 1). As supplementary, we performed analyses with the MDS-UPDRS score as a covariate, the PD-pRBD group had lower scores than the PD-non pRBD group in semantic fluency, LNS, and JLO (see Supplementary Table 2).

Global and partial volume ratios

PD-pRBD had less left thalamus volume than PD-non pRBD. Atrophy of the left and right putamen, left hippocampus, and left amygdala was observed in PD-pRBD patients with respect to the control group. Finally, PD-non pRBD showed a decreased partial volume ratio in the right amygdala compared with the control group (Table 2). Additional analyses with the MDS-UPDRS score as a covariate were also performed. The PD-pRBD group showed decreased left thalamus and right pallidum volumes with respect to the PD-non pRBD group (see Supplementary Table 3).

PD-pRBD compared with controls showed decreases in total cortical and subcortical GM volume ratios, as well as an increment in the ventricular system volume ratio. PD-non pRBD showed a decrease in total cortical GM volume ratio with respect to controls (Table 2).

Descriptive statistics of global and partial volumes in mm³ are shown in Supplementary Table 4.

Table 1. Demographic and clinical characteristics of PD-non pRBD, PD-pRBD, and HC.

|                     | PD-non pRBD (n = 126) | PD-pRBD (n = 79) | HC (n = 69) | Test stats | P-value |
|---------------------|-----------------------|------------------|-------------|------------|---------|
| Sex, male, n (%)    | 73 (57.9)             | 54 (68.4)        | 40 (58.0)   | 2.558      | 0.278   |
| Age, y, mean (SD)   | 62.2 (7.5)            | 64.3 (7.1)       | 62.6 (6.8)  | 2.126      | 0.121   |
| Education, y, mean (SD) | 15.6 (2.9)         | 15.8 (3.0)       | 16.7 (2.6)  | 3.008      | 0.051   |
| Age of onset, y, mean (SD) | 61.3 (7.4)          | 63.3 (7.1)       | NA          | 1.908      | 0.052   |
| Disease duration, m, mean (SD) | 10.5 (7.2)       | 11.0 (7.1)       | NA          | 0.560      | 0.576   |
| RBDSQ, mean (SD)    | 2.6 (1.1)             | 6.8 (1.8)        | 1.7 (1.3)   | 300.998    | <0.001   |
| GDS-15, mean (SD)   | 2.0 (2.1)             | 2.7 (2.5)        | 1.5 (3.0)   | 4.335      | 0.017    |
| Depressed, n (%)    | 13 (10.3)             | 16 (20.3)        | 7 (10.1)    | 4.924      | 0.085    |
| ESS, mean (SD)      | 5.3 (3.1)             | 6.5 (3.6)        | 5.0 (3.2)   | 4.692      | 0.010    |
| Sleepy, n (%)       | 16 (12.8)             | 19 (24.1)        | 6 (8.8)     | 7.553      | 0.023    |
| SCOPA-AUT item 6, mean (SD) | 0.5 (0.6)           | 0.8 (0.7)        | 0.2 (0.4)   | 19.148     | <0.001   |
| Constipated, n (%)  | 60 (47.6)             | 51 (64.6)        | 10 (14.7)   | 37.832     | <0.001   |
| MDS-UPDRS, mean (SD) | 29.4 (10.8)          | 35.7 (13.6)      | NA          | 3.676      | <0.001   |
| MDS-UPDRS Part III, mean (SD) | 19.8 (8.0)         | 21.6 (9.1)       | NA          | 1.478      | 0.141    |
| H&Y stage, n, 1/2/3 | 55/69/2               | 33/46/0          | NA          | 1.398      | 0.497    |
| UPSIT, mean (SD)    | 22.2 (8.0)            | 19.6 (8.3)       | 34.1 (3.8)  | 84.620     | <0.001   |

RBDSQ REM Sleep Behavior Disorder Screening Questionnaire, GDS-15 15-item Geriatric Depression Scale, ESS Epworth Sleepiness Scale, MDS-UPDRS Movement Disorder Society Unified Parkinson’s Disease Rating Scale, H&Y Hoehn & Yahr scale, UPSIT University of Pennsylvania Smell Identification Test, PD-non pRBD PD with probable RBD, PD-pRBD PD with probable RBD, HC healthy controls.

1 The χ² test was used.
2 Analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by post hoc test corrected by Bonferroni was used.
3 Analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by post hoc test corrected by Games-Howell was used.
4 Significant differences (p < 0.05) were found between PD-non pRBD and PD-pRBD.
5 Significant differences (p < 0.05) were found between PD-non pRBD and HC.
6 Significant differences (p < 0.05) were found between PD-pRBD and HC.
7 Significant differences (p < 0.05) were found between PD-pRBD and HC.
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Regression models predicting cognition in PD-pRBD

Exploratory results of correlations are shown in Supplementary Tables 5 and 6. We performed two regression analyses in the PD-pRBD group, one using global measures of atrophy and the other using the volumes of deep GM nuclei (Table 3). Complementary, the regression analyses were also performed in the whole PD sample (Supplementary Tables 7 and 8).

When using global volume ratios to predict cognition in PD-pRBD, cortical GM explained a proportion of the phonetic fluency variance ($R^2 = 0.121$; adjusted $R^2 = 0.110$; $F = 10.600$; $p = 0.002$).

Table 2. Global and partial volume ratios of PD-non pRBD, PD-pRBD, and HC.

|                        | PD-non pRBD | PD-pRBD | HC       | Test stats | $P$-value |
|------------------------|-------------|---------|----------|------------|-----------|
| **Global atrophy volumes** |             |         |          |            |           |
| Cortical GM            | 28.6704 (2.16624) | 28.7482 (2.51696) | 29.6923 (2.09360) | 5.020 | 0.007$^{1,2}$ |
| Subcortical GM         | 3.5597 (0.25621)  | 3.4926 (0.29242)  | 3.6037 (0.28252)  | 3.140 | 0.045$^1$ |
| Ventricular system     | 1.9743 (0.99272)  | 2.2429 (1.04248)  | 1.7940 (0.82571)  | 4.082 | 0.018$^1$ |
| **Deep GM nuclei**     |             |         |          |            |           |
| Left thalamus          | 0.4559 (0.04486)  | 0.4407 (0.04097)  | 0.4556 (0.04221)  | 3.464 | 0.033$^3$ |
| Right thalamus         | 0.4460 (0.04276)  | 0.4348 (0.04267)  | 0.4451 (0.04165)  | 1.841 | 0.161 |
| Left caudate           | 0.2124 (0.02607)  | 0.2108 (0.02504)  | 0.2155 (0.02593)  | 0.636 | 0.530 |
| Right caudate          | 0.2190 (0.02797)  | 0.2167 (0.02808)  | 0.2201 (0.02643)  | 0.311 | 0.733 |
| Left putamen           | 0.2902 (0.03366)  | 0.2811 (0.03785)  | 0.2955 (0.03683)  | 3.124 | 0.046$^1$ |
| Right putamen          | 0.2883 (0.03211)  | 0.2816 (0.03791)  | 0.2955 (0.03659)  | 2.934 | 0.055$^1$ |
| Left pallidum          | 0.1280 (0.01427)  | 0.1259 (0.01687)  | 0.1267 (0.01498)  | 0.509 | 0.602 |
| Right pallidum         | 0.1256 (0.01355)  | 0.1212 (0.01663)  | 0.1236 (0.01422)  | 2.159 | 0.117 |
| Left hippocampus       | 0.2548 (0.02980)  | 0.2494 (0.02969)  | 0.2623 (0.02942)  | 3.507 | 0.031$^1$ |
| Right hippocampus      | 0.2619 (0.03127)  | 0.2606 (0.03106)  | 0.2699 (0.02957)  | 2.028 | 0.134 |
| Left amygdala          | 0.1000 (0.01482)  | 0.0984 (0.01815)  | 0.1052 (0.01435)  | 3.710 | 0.026$^1$ |
| Right amygdala         | 0.1089 (0.01427)  | 0.1088 (0.01671)  | 0.1146 (0.01425)  | 3.813 | 0.023$^2$ |
| Left accumbens         | 0.0294 (0.00680)  | 0.0300 (0.00732)  | 0.0299 (0.00597)  | 0.233 | 0.792 |
| Right accumbens        | 0.0317 (0.00662)  | 0.0317 (0.00700)  | 0.0322 (0.00583)  | 0.156 | 0.856 |
| Brainstem              | 1.3904 (1.2004)   | 1.3618 (1.2659)   | 1.3870 (1.2034)   | 1.435 | 0.240 |

GM gray matter, PD-non pRBD PD without probable RBD, PD-pRBD PD with probable RBD, HC healthy controls.

Data are presented by groups as mean (SD). Analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by post hoc test corrected by Bonferroni was used.

$^1$Significant differences ($p < 0.05$) were found between PD-pRBD and HC.

$^2$Significant differences ($p < 0.05$) were found between PD-non pRBD and HC.

$^3$Significant differences ($p < 0.05$) were found between PD-non pRBD and PD-pRBD.
subcortical GM significantly explained a proportion of the MoCA variance ($R^2 = 0.122$; adjusted $R^2 = 0.111$; $F = 10.740$; $p = 0.002$), and the SDMT variance ($R^2 = 0.0740$; adjusted $R^2 = 0.062$; $F = 6.075$; $p = 0.016$).

When using partial volume ratios to predict cognition in PD-pRBD, right putamen and left hippocampus explained a proportion of the MoCA variance ($R^2 = 0.180$; adjusted $R^2 = 0.158$; $F = 8.317$; $p < 0.001$); left putamen explained a proportion of the phonetic fluency variance ($R^2 = 0.074$; adjusted $R^2 = 0.062$; $F = 6.133$; $p = 0.015$); left hippocampus explained a proportion of the SDMT variance ($R^2 = 0.091$; adjusted $R^2 = 0.079$; $F = 7.647$; $p = 0.007$); and left thalamus explained a proportion of the JLO variance ($R^2 = 0.082$; adjusted $R^2 = 0.070$; $F = 6.819$; $p = 0.011$).

**Cortical thickness**

PD-pRBD had cortical thinning in the right superior temporal gyrus compared with controls (MNI coordinates: $x, y, z = 44, 17, -28$; cluster size = 2461.25 mm$^2$; $t$-stat = 2.836, $p = 0.010$) (Supplementary Fig. 2). None significant correlation was found between the cortical thickness of the significant cluster and cognition in the group PD-pRBD (see Supplementary Table 6 for significant results in the whole PD group).

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we described the neuropsychological and brain structural characteristics in a large sample of PD-pRBD patients as well as the relation between cognitive impairment and measures of brain atrophy. PD-pRBD showed significant differences from controls and PD-non pRBD in neuropsychological tests and deep GM structures. Cognitive impairment of this subgroup of PD patients is related to diffuse global brain atrophy and reduced volumes of basal ganglia, thalamus, and hippocampus. Regarding clinical measures, remarkably, the PD-pRBD group had more presence of depression, sleepiness, constipation, and higher MDS-UPDRS total score than the PD-non pRBD group. Altogether, these findings suggest that the presence of RBD symptomatology is related to a more severe PD phenotype.

Patients with PD-pRBD had cognitive impairment in global cognition as well as in several domains involving memory, visuospatial and executive functions. The PD-pRBD subgroup showed worse performance in all neuropsychological tests with respect to controls of similar age and education. Compared with the control group, PD-pRBD showed statistically significant differences in MoCA, semantic and phonemic fluency, SDMT, JLO, HVLT-R immediate and delayed recall. In contrast, PD-non pRBD patients differed from controls only in MoCA and SDMT, although they were similar to PD-pRBD in age, education, and clinical characteristics. The results between PD-pRBD and PD-non pRBD group remain when controlling for MDS-UPDRS score. The PD subgroups comparison showed statistical differences in semantic fluency and JLO. More severe cognitive impairment in de novo PD patients with RBD symptoms has been previously reported. This severe cognitive impairment in PD-pRBD is in accordance with the significant differences compared with healthy controls that we obtained in the three measures of global atrophy showing reduced cortical and subcortical GM, and ventricular volumes enlargement. PD-non pRBD only differed from controls in reduced cortical GM.

Cortical thickness analyses did not show significant differences between PD patients with and without pRBD. Regarding the analyses of cortical thickness maps, we found thinning in the right superior temporal gyrus extended to middle and inferior temporal gyri in PD-pRBD compared with controls. Similarly, recent studies found significant thinning in the right inferior temporal gyrus in PD-RBD compared with PD-non RBD, as well as in PD-pRBD in the bilateral inferior temporal gyrus compared with PD-non pRBD, both using smaller samples and the second in absence of a healthy control group to perform comparisons.

In addition to the greater global atrophy measures, we also found that PD-pRBD had a reduction of several subcortical GM structures. Comparably to previous reports using different data analysis approaches, we found atrophy in the putamen and amygdala, but we also identified reductions in the hippocampus. The hippocampal atrophy is also coherent with the memory impairment identified in our study, even if we did not find significant correlations or regression models in this regard. iRBD is considered a prodromal symptom of alpha-synucleinopathies, thus in part comparable with PD-pRBD. In this context, it has been reported reductions in bilateral and right putamen and hippocampus.

The contrast between both PD samples showed that PD-pRBD had lower thalamus volume compared with PD-non pRBD. Similar findings regarding thalamic reductions associated with RBD in PD were reported with other MRI methodological approaches. In the same line, correlation analyses also reinforce the role of the thalamus in subjects with RBD symptomatology. It has been found a negative correlation between the severity of RBD symptoms and bilateral thalamic

---

**Table 3.** Multiple regression results of global and partial volume ratios as predictors of performance in neuropsychological tasks in the PD-pRBD group.

|                  | Model 1 Global MRI measures | Model 2 Partial MRI measures |
|------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|
|                  | Variables | t-stat (P-value) | Variables | t-stat (P-value) |
| MoCA             | Subcortical GM | 3.277 (0.002) | Right putamen | 2.124 (0.037) |
| Semantic fluency Animals | No prediction model | | Left hippocampus | 2.021 (0.047) |
| Semantic fluency Fruits | Non-significant model | | Non-significant model | |
| Phonetic fluency ‘f’ | Cortical GM | 3.256 (0.002) | No prediction model | |
| SDMT             | Subcortical GM | 2.465 (0.016) | Left putamen | 2.476 (0.015) |
| LNS              | Non-significant model | | Left hippocampus | 2.765 (0.007) |
| JLO              | Non-significant model | | Left thalamus | 2.261 (0.011) |
| HVLT-R immediate recall | No prediction model | | Non-significant model | |
| HVLT-R delayed recall | No prediction model | | Non-significant model | |

GM gray matter, MoCA Montreal Cognitive Assessment, SDMT Symbol Digit Modalities Test, LNS Letter-Number Sequencing, JLO Benton Judgment of Line Orientation, HVLT-R Hopkins Verbal Learning Test-Revised.
The differences in subcortical GM measures reflected a left-lateralized pattern in the PD-prRBD group. Previous neuroimaging research has reported structural and functional left asymmetries in PD and IRBD patients. For example, predominant left-hemispheric findings in cortical thinning in early PD in reductions of structural connectivity in PD-MCI and reductions of functional connectivity in IRBD. The origin of this pattern of degeneration is unknown. However, the absence or minority of significant results in the right hemisphere does not necessarily imply that the right hemisphere is unaffected. Thus, the specific threshold established for statistical significance could contribute to explain this fact.

We observed significant correlations between neuropsychological and brain atrophy measures. To minimize the effects of multiple comparisons we performed two regression analyses, one focused on global measures of brain atrophy and the other only including the subcortical GM volumes. In the white de novo PD group, we found that subcortical gray matter and ventricular system volumes, as well as of the left amygdala, bilateral putamen, left thalamus, and left hippocampus volumes were related with cognition. Regarding the PD-prRBD group, the worst performance in MoCA was predicted by reductions of global subcortical gray matter volume and specifically by reductions of the right putamen and left hippocampus. The impairment of speed of mental processing assessed by SDMT was related with subcortical gray matter and left hippocampus reductions. Visuospatial function impairment measured by JLO was related with the left thalamus reduction and phonetic fluency by cortical gray matter and left putamen volume. These data reinforce the value of aforesaid tests to identify early brain degeneration in de novo PD patients, in special those with more brain atrophy and cognitive impairment such as patients with RBD symptomatology. Supporting the relevance of these tests, a longitudinal study in de novo PD patients found that baseline RBD was associated with a greater annual rate of decline in MoCA and SDMT scores. To our knowledge, no previous study relate MRI and neuropsychological findings in de novo PD with probable RBD. We report that cognitive impairment was mainly related with subcortical gray matter reductions.

The main strength of our study was the large sample of de novo PD patients that precludes pharmacological effects on cognitive performance as well as the effects of progressive brain atrophy involving widespread cortical atrophy. Moreover, we studied subcortical and cortical brain atrophy and cognition in a large sample of PD-prRBD, as well as studying cortical thickness differences in a large sample of de novo PD including PD-prRBD as a group. However, our study has two main limitations. First, and important, is that the diagnosis of RBD was carried out by means of a validated questionnaire but was not confirmed through vPSG. Second, PPMI is a multicenter cohort study thus there are evident differences in MRI acquisition, some of them of 1.5 Tesla. Last, even though the final sample was large, around 31% of the available MRI scans of PD patients were discarded after initial quality control, mainly due to motion artifacts and associated problems of registration, skull stripping, segmentation, and cortical surface reconstruction after preprocessing. This fact could affect the representativeness of the results.

From the whole data analyses, we can conclude that de novo PD patients with probable RBD show worse cognitive performance than those PD patients without probable RBD. The greater neuropsychological impairment is coherent with signs of global brain atrophy. Moreover, this subgroup of early PD with probable RBD shows decreased volumes in specific deep gray matter nuclei involving the amygdala, hippocampus, thalamus, and basal ganglia. The main difference between both PD subgroups is seen in the thalamus. Lastly, cognitive impairment is essentially related with subcortical gray matter reductions.
segmentation, and cortical surface reconstruction. Possible errors were fixed by manual intervention following standard procedures (applied corrections are specified in Supplementary Fig. 1).

**Statistical analyses**

Group differences in demographic, neuropsychological, clinical, and volumetric variables were conducted using IBM SPSS Statistics 25.0.0 (2017; Armonk, NY: IBM Corp) using analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by post hoc test corrected by Bonferroni or Games-Howell. Pearson’s $r^2$ tests were used for categorical measures. Correlation analyses between structural and neuropsychological variables were also conducted. Statistical significance threshold was set at $p<0.05$.

Multiple linear regression analyses were performed using RStudio 1.1.1093 (2020; Boston, MA: RStudio PBC). As a response variable, each independent factor. All results were corrected for multiple comparisons. A stepwise model selection by Akaike information criterion (AIC) was applied to the multiple linear regression models to pick the best-fitted models. Only models with statistical significance threshold set at $p<0.05$ were reported.

Intergroup cortical thickness comparisons were performed using a vertex-by-vertex linear model with FreeSurfer version 6.0. The model included cortical thickness as a dependent factor and group as an independent factor. All results were corrected for multiple comparisons using a pre-cached cluster-wise Monte Carlo simulation with 10,000 iterations. Reported cortical regions reached a two-tailed corrected significance level of $p<0.05$.

**Reporting summary**

Further information on research design is available in the Nature Research Reporting Summary linked to this article.
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