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ABSTRACT
This paper reports on an ultrasensitive and label-free electrochemical immunosensor for monitoring the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein (SARS-CoV-2 SP). A self-supported electrode, which can simultaneously serve as an antibody immobilization matrix and electron transport channel, was initially fabricated by a controlled partial exfoliation of a flexible graphitic carbon foil (GCF). Mild acidic treatment enabled the partial oxidation and exfoliation (down to a few layers) of the flexible GCF; this also provided a high percentage of oxygen functionality and an enhanced surface roughness. The substrate electrode was further functionalized with ethylenediamine (EDA) to provide a suitable platform with even a higher surface roughness, for the covalent immobilization of an anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibody. The change in the current response for the \[\text{Fe(CN)}_6^{3-}/4^-\] redox couple, induced by the binding of SARS-CoV-2 SP to the antibody immobilized on the electrode surface, was used to determine the SARS-CoV-2 SP concentration. The immunosensor thus prepared could detect SARS-CoV-2 SP within 30 min with high reproducibility and specificity over a wide concentration range (0.2–100 ng/mL). Detection limits of 25 pg/mL and 27 pg/mL were found in a phosphate buffer solution (pH 7.4), and diluted blood plasma, respectively. The immunosensor was also employed to detect SARS-CoV-2 SP in artificial human saliva.

1. Introduction
Since it was first discovered in December 2019 [1,2], the enduring outbreak of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), or coronavirus disease-19 (COVID-19), has been challenging the global human health and economy and has caused colossal dysfunction of various activities. The mode of the virus transmission includes droplet, contact, airborne, fomite, and blood borne transmissions, which exacerbate the rapid spread of the virus [3,4]. Asymptomatic COVID-19 patients, without showing any signs or mild symptoms, are the major spreaders of this virus [5]. As of November 1, 2021, more than 247 million cases of COVID-19 have been identified around the globe, resulting in 5016,975 deaths [6]. Considering the limitations of availability, production, and the cost of the WHO-approved vaccines, the possible solution to control this lethal disease is still social distancing and the use of masks. However, the best solution to the problem is the isolation of the infected patients by earlier detection of COVID-19.

Currently, real-time reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) is the primary method for diagnosis of SARS-CoV-2 infection. It is time-consuming, costly, and requires trained personnel. The highly contagious nature and the faster transmission rate of SARS-CoV-2 than SARS-CoV and Middle East respiratory syndrome can infect physicians and technical staff during the sample collection and analyses [5,7–9].
Serological and immunological tests, principally based on the detection of antibodies developed in individuals upon exposure to the virus, are other reliable methods to diagnose COVID-19 [10–12]. Though rapid and highly specific, the latter methods are not suitable for the diagnosis at the early-stage of infection, since the detectable level of antibodies is produced after 10–14 days of the onset of the symptoms [5,13]. For these reasons, antigen tests have received emergency authorization from FDA to diagnose SARS-CoV-2 [14]. Thus, there is still a crucial demand for sensitive and accurate diagnostic methods, and, possibly, portable devices that can directly detect virus antigens for the rapid diagnosis of SARS-CoV-2.

To date, a relatively few reports are available in the literature dealing with the detection of spike protein (SP) antigen for diagnosing SARS-CoV-2. They are based on optical methods (i.e., surface plasmon resonance and surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy) [15], and a variety of electrochemical techniques [16-20] exploiting field-effect transistor systems [16,17], functionalized graphene [18] and Au nanoparticles-modified electrodes [19], as well as Cu$_2$O nanocubes modified-screen printed-carbon electrodes [20].

Electrochemical-transduction-based devices have several advantages for biosensing and immuno-sensing, including point-of-care, point-of-need testing, and rapid measurements using small amounts or small volumes of samples. They are also characterised by high sensitivity, portability, and low-cost [21–23]. Moreover, electrochemical biosensors and immuno-sensors offer an excellent capability for the label-free detection of target analytes by exploiting even small changes in electrochemical signals that arise from redox indicators (e.g., [Fe(CN)$_6$]$_{3-}$/$[^{4-}$, [Ru(NH$_3$)$_6$]$^{3+}$, etc.), induced by the recognition events occurring on the electrode surface [24–26]. In this regard, the electrode modifiers and the electrode materials themselves play a crucial role both as biorecognition elements and for obtaining high sensitivity in the detection [27,28].

Among the electrode materials or modifiers, carbon-based materials (e.g., SWNT, graphene, graphitic carbon nitride) are attractive for developing biosensors and other electrochemical-based sensor systems [29–31]. This is mainly due to their low cost, non-toxicity, and ease of introducing functional groups. In particular, graphene and functionalized graphene materials have attracted significant interest for the specific tailoring of the electrode surfaces for detecting different biological compounds [32–34]. Typically, graphene and functionalized graphene are synthesized in powder form by mechanical and chemical exfoliation from pristine graphite. The surface of the starting materials is further modified by physical or chemical methods involving several steps [35–37], each of them requiring proper optimization. An easier way to obtain the desired characteristics and functionalization of an electrode surface is, for instance, to start from commercially available graphitic carbon foils (GCFs) and proceed by controlled partial exfoliation. The materials thus treated can act both as self-supported electrodes for the immobilization of recognition elements and electron transport channels.

In this work, we propose a new low-cost electrochemical sensor for the detection of SARS-CoV-2 SP. It is based on using controlled and partial oxidized GCFs (OGCFs), which are functionalized with ethylendiamine (EDA), by covalent bonding, using 1-Ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl) carbodiimide (EDC) and N-hydroxysuccinimide (NHS) activators (designated as EDA-GCF). The anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibody is afterward immobilised on the EDA-GCF surface, where the SARS-CoV-2 SP antigen can bind with high affinity (Fig. 1). This provides a current change of the [Fe(CN)$_6$]$_{3-}$/$[^{4-}$ redox couple, which depends on the SARS-CoV-2 SP concentration. The performance of the proposed sensor is evaluated in phosphate buffer solution, diluted blood plasma and artificial human saliva samples.

2. Experimental section

2.1. Materials and reagents

Sulfuric acid (95–98%, H$_2$SO$_4$), nitric acid (63%, HNO$_3$), N-Hydroxysuccinimide (NHS), (C$_2$H$_5$NO$_3$)$_2$, bovine serum albumins (≥
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Raman spectra were measured using a Raman Spectrophotometer (Horiba Scientific, Xplora Plus, France) at room temperature with an Raman cell employed in CVs, DPVs and CA measurements. DPVs were performed using an impedance analyzer (IM6ex, Zahner-Elektrik GmbH & Co. KG). The measurements were acquired using the [Fe(CN)₆³⁻/⁴⁻] solution in the frequency range of 10⁵ - 0.1 Hz, AC amplitude of 5 mV, and an applied potential of +0.3 V.

2.2. Apparatus and measurements

The morphology of the electrodes was examined by a field-emission scanning electron microscope (FE-SEM, Carl Zeiss Sigma VP). Elemental analyses were executed using energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) (Quantax 200) associated with the FE-SEM. The crystallographic phase was analyzed by an X-ray diffractometer (Philips Xpert, Cu Kα radiation). The surface functional groups formed onto the GCF electrode were characterized by Fourier-transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy (MIDAC, M4000). Raman spectra were measured using a Raman Spectrophotometer (Horiba Scientific, Xplora Plus, France) at room temperature with an excitation wavelength of 532 nm. The composition and the bonding nature of the sample were examined with an X-ray photoelectron spectroscope system (XPS, Thermo Scientific™ K-Alpha, Thermo Fisher Scientific). The XPS spectra were fitted by Shirley type background correction followed by deconvolution using Gaussian (70%) and Lorentzian (30%) (GL30) fitting (Fityk software, version 1.3.1). The wetting behaviour of the samples was examined using a contact angle measurement system (CAM, SEO-300A, Korea). To this purpose, a drop of 5 µL of distilled water was placed on the surface and the contact angle was measured after 10 s. Each contact angle value refers to the average obtained from 5 drops.

A CHI920C workstation (CH Instruments, Texas-USA) was employed for both voltammetric and scanning electrochemical microscopy (SECM) measurements, and unless otherwise stated, they were performed in an electrochemical cell in a three-electrode configuration. Cyclic voltammetry (CV), differential pulse voltammetry (DPV) and chronoamperometry (CA) were performed using the modified/unmodified GCFs as the working electrodes, a Pt wire, and an Ag/AgCl (KCl saturated) electrode as counter and reference electrode, respectively. Fig. S1 shows the schematic representation of the electrochemical cell employed in CVs, DPVs and CA measurements. DPVs were acquired by using the following optimized parameters: pulse amplitude of 100 mV, pulse width of 2 ms, pulse period 1 s. In these measurements, an aqueous solution of [Fe(CN)₆³⁻/⁴⁻] (7 mM), containing 0.1 M KCl as a supporting electrolyte, was employed. In SECM measurements, the electrochemical cell comprised a Pt microdisk 12.5 µm (nominal radius) electrode as the SECM tip, a Pt wire and an Ag/AgCl (KCl saturated) electrode as counter and reference electrode, respectively. The Pt microelectrode was manufactured by depositing a platinum wire (Good-fellow Metals, Cambridge, UK) having 25 µm nominal diameter in a glass capillary tube following a standard procedure [38]. Afterward, it was tapered to a conical shape, polished with graded alumina powder (5, 1, and 0.3 µm), placed on a Buehler micro-cloth, and then characterized by CV at low scan rates and by SECM to evaluate the actual electrode radius of the microelectrode and the overall tip radius to the microdisk electrode radius ratio (RG) [13], respectively. The geometric radius of the microdisk was calibrated by recording the steady-state diffusion limiting current (I₀) from a 1 mM Ru(NH₃)₆Cl₃ solution containing 0.1 M KCl and using the following equation [39].

\[ I_0 = 4 \pi D c \alpha \frac{a^2}{d^3} \] (1)

where \( n \) is the number of electrons, \( F \) is the Faraday constant, \( D \) is the diffusion coefficient of the electroactive species (in this case 7.0 × 10⁻⁶ cm² s⁻¹) [40], \( c \) is the bulk concentration and \( \alpha \) is the radius of the microdisk. The RG parameter was evaluated by fitting experimental approach curves, recorded under purely diffusion-controlled conditions, to theoretical curves [41,42]. The RG thus estimated varied between 5 and 8. Unless otherwise stated, approach curves were plotted using normalized currents, \( \frac{I}{I_0} \) is the current recorded at the tip to substrate distance, \( d \), against normalized distance (\( L = d/a \)); normalized currents were also employed to construct the SECM images. They were acquired by using a 2 mM [Fe(CN)₆³⁻] solution containing 0.1 M KCl as a supporting electrolyte. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) was performed by using an impedance analyzer (IM6ex, Zahner-Elektrik GmbH & Co. KG). The measurements were acquired using the [Fe(CN)₆³⁻/⁴⁻] solution in the frequency range of 10⁵ - 0.1 Hz, AC amplitude of 5 mV, and an applied potential of +0.3 V.

2.3. Preparation of functionalized and exfoliated graphic carbon foils

Prior to the exfoliation and functionalization steps, a series of GCFs (each 2 cm × 4 cm) was sequentially cleaned using an organic soap, deionized water, and ethanol under sonication; the GCFs were then dried with a stream of pure N₂. The OGCFs were prepared by placing the GCFs in a solution composed of H₂SO₄ (98%), HNO₃ (63%), and ultrapure water (1:1:1 v/v/v) at 70 °C for 24 h. Afterward, the samples were washed with ultrapure water several times with gentle shaking, dried under a stream of N₂, and then placed on a hot plate at 50 °C for 24 h. For the preparation of EDA-GCFs, the OGCFs were immersed in an EDC: NHS solution in PBS (pH 7.4) (EDC and NHS of 1% v/v corresponding to the weight of GCF) and gently shaking for 5 h at room temperature. Afterward, the activated OGCFs were washed with ultrapure water several times and placed into an EDA solution (20 mL) at 80 °C for 24 h. Then, the samples were washed with ultrapure water under stirring to remove the unbound or physically adsorbed impurities and dried at 40 °C for 3 h. The as-prepared OGCF and EDA-GCF electrodes were stored at room temperature for further characterizations and applications.

2.4. Fabrication of the immunosensor

Anti-SARS-CoV-2 was covalently attached through an amide bond between the free –NH₂ functional groups of EDA-GCF and the –COOH groups of anti-SARS-CoV-2 [18]. Briefly, EDA-GCF was placed in an electrochemical cell with a confined geometric area of 0.096 cm² defined by the O-ring (Fig. S1). Then, 400 µL of EDC (20 mM) and NHS (40 mM) solution in PBS (pH 7.4) was dropped onto the surface of EDA-GCF and kept for 5 h to activate the functional groups. Subsequently, the electrode was washed with PBS (pH 7.4), dried under a N₂ stream, and then 400 µL antibody (1 µg/mL in PBS pH 7.4) solution was dropped onto the activated EDA-GCF surface and incubated at 4 °C. Thereafter, the electrode was washed with PBS to remove the non-immobilized antibody. 1% BSA solution (400 µL) was dropped onto the anti-SARS-CoV-2/EDA-GCF electrode and kept for 1 h at 4 °C to block the unspecific sites of the immunosensor. Finally, the anti-SARS-CoV-2/EDA-GCF immunosensor was used to bind SARS-CoV-2 SP spiked at different concentrations in the various investigated media. After each addition, the system was allowed to equilibrate for 30 min at 4 °C, then washed with PBS (pH 7.4) prior to the electrochemical analyses.
3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Electrode substrate characterization

The various electrodes were initially characterized by using spectroscopic, surface, and electrochemical techniques to ascertain the success of the various treatments, which ultimately led to a suitable substrate able to immobilize the anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibody. This system was afterward employed for the electrochemical detection of SARS-CoV-2 SP.

3.1.1. XRD, Raman, FTIR, and contact angle measurements

The degree of the exfoliation, oxidation, and functionalization of the GCF surface was investigated using X-ray diffraction (XRD) analyses. Fig. 2a shows the XRD patterns of the GCF, OGCF, and EDA-GCF. The GCF exhibited a high intensity typical sharp (002) peak of graphite at a $2\theta$ angle of 26.10° with the interlayer spacing ($d_{002}$) of 3.41 Å [43,44]. Upon the oxidation of the GCF, a new low-intensity peak appeared at $2\theta = 20.40$°, attributed to the partial and fractional oxidation of the GCF surface only of a few layers [44]. The intercalation of oxygen atoms throughout the interlayer space, or in between the basal planes of the GCF, was excluded by the absence of the graphene oxide (GO) peak at about 10°, as instead was the case when GO was synthesized from graphite [44,45]. The above mentioned peak shifted to a higher $2\theta$ angle (22.85°) in EDA-GCF, and this can be ascribed to the EDA attachment to the –COOH moieties as well as to the partial reduction of the surface oxygen functional groups of OGCF, induced by the EDA reducing agent [45,46]. The new low-intensity peak that appeared at $2\theta = 11.35$° in EDA-GCF was due to the intercalation of EDA throughout the interlayer space or in between the basal planes of GCF, as similarly observed for the intercalation of oxygen functionalities in between the basal planes of GO, prepared from graphite [44,45]. Furthermore, the high intense (002) peak was shifted to 26.45° for the EDA-GCF (Fig. 2b), suggesting the decrease of the interlayer spacing ($d_{002} = 3.36$ Å) [47]. This can be ascribed to the stitching of the GCF and OGCF layers by the EDA, induced by the covalent interaction and hydrogen bonding with the oxygen functional groups in GCF and OGCF at the edges and basal planes [48]. The full width at half maximum (FWHM) of the (002) plane for GCF was 0.39°, and was increased to 0.52° for EDA–GCF, indicating the reduction of the crystalline size of EDA-GCF (Fig. 2b) [47].

The compositions and the crystal structures of the GCF, OGCF, and EDA-GCF were examined further by Raman spectroscopy, and the

![Fig. 2.](image-url) (a) XRD pattern, (b) magnified XRD pattern, (c) Raman spectra, and (d) FTIR spectra of GCF, OGCF, and EDA-GCF. (e-g) contact angles of GCF, OGCF, and EDA-GCF, respectively, using ultrapure water.
resulting spectra are shown in Fig. 2c. All the samples displayed the characteristics G (1590 cm\(^{-1}\)), 2D (2715 cm\(^{-1}\)), and D (1350 cm\(^{-1}\)) bands without any noticeable shift of the peak positions [49]. However, both GCF and OGCF exhibited an additional D’ band (1615 cm\(^{-1}\)), indicating a disordered structure due to the presence in it of oxygen functional groups [49,50]. The intensity of this D’ band in OGCF was higher than that of GCF, suggesting that the oxidation process increased the disorder in the structure. Instead, after EDA functionalization and partial reduction of OGCF, the disorder and defects in the structure decreased. Additionally, both OGCF and EDA-GCF exhibited two 2D peak profiles (2D\(_1\) and 2D\(_2\)), indicating the formation of perfectly A-B stacked few layers GO like OGCF and EDA-GCF. In contrast, GCF showed a single peak profile with multilayer structures, which is consistent with the general characteristics of graphite [50]. However, the intensity of the 2D peak in OGCF decreased compared to that of the GCF. This could be due to the presence of defects and functional groups, which were increased in the EDA-GCF, suggesting the reduction of the defects by EDA functionalization and partial reduction of oxygen functionalities, consistent with the intensity profiles of D bands of the samples [49].

Fig. 2d shows the FTIR spectra of the samples. All the samples exhibited the common FTIR band of O–H stretching vibrations at 3534 cm\(^{-1}\), due to the presence of adsorbed water molecules, the C–C stretching vibrations (1630 cm\(^{-1}\)), the –CH\(_2\) stretching bands (2930 and 2845 cm\(^{-1}\)), and the C–O bands (1060 cm\(^{-1}\)) [45,48]. The OGCF displayed the additional C=O stretching band of the COOH groups at 1708 cm\(^{-1}\) and C=O vibrations at 1170 cm\(^{-1}\). The EDA-GCF showed the additional strong N – H stretching and bending vibrations at 3090 and 1580 cm\(^{-1}\), respectively, and C–N vibrations at 1320 cm\(^{-1}\) together with the C=O stretching and C–OH vibrations at 1120 cm\(^{-1}\) [48]. These results further suggest the successful synthesis of OGCF and EDA-GCF. Nevertheless, the decrease of the C=O peak area and intensity in the EDA-GCF, compared to the OGCF, indicated the partial reduction of the OGCF induced by the EDA, which is consistent with the XRD observations.

Fig. 2e-g show the contact angle values and wetting behaviour of the electrodes, measured after 10 s the water drop was applied on the surfaces of the electrodes. It is apparent that the surface wettability of the GCF is increased somewhat, upon the oxidation and EDA functionalization. The decrease of the contact angle, passing from GCF (73.51\(^\circ\)) to OGCF (41.93\(^\circ\)) to EDA-GCF (34.34\(^\circ\)), is conceivably due to the hydrogen bonding between the water molecules and the oxygen functionalities generated by the oxidation. The further decrease of the contact angle (33.46\(^\circ\)) upon OGCF functionalization with EDA, supports the success of the reaction and intercalation of the molecule within the layers of OGCF.

3.1.2. Morphological characterization

Fig. 3a-f shows the FE-SEM images of the GCF, OGCF, and EDA-GCF surfaces. The GCF surface exhibited small wrinkles, while that of the OGCF was covered with partially exfoliated GO nanosheets. The EDA functionalization induced the decrease in the size of the nanosheets and an increase of the EDA-GCF surface roughness, compared to that of GCF. This was also evident from the corresponding digital photographic pictures and could also be observed by the naked eye (insets in Fig. 3b-f). The comparison of the EDS spectra of GCF (Fig. S2) and OGCF (Fig. S3), together with the EDS elemental mapping of oxygen and carbon in OGCF (Fig. S3), indicated the presence of a high-density of oxygen functionalities in OGCF, compared to that of GCF. The EDA functionalized-electrode surface examined by EDS revealed the presence of a high density and the homogeneous distribution of carbon, nitrogen, and oxygen (Fig. 3g-i, respectively; see also EDS spectra in Fig. S4), designating the successful functionalization with EDA.

The mechanism of exfoliation, oxidation, and EDA functionalization of the GCF is schematically shown in Fig. 3j. Upon the mild acidic treatment, the SO\(_4^{2-}\), NO\(_3^-\), and H\(^+\) ions were easily intercalated into the GCF layers. This led to a much higher interlayer distance between the graphite sheets (0.334 nm), compared to the ionic radius (r) of H\(^+\) (r\(_{H^+}\) = 0.037 nm), SO\(_4^{2-}\) (r\(_{SO_4^{2-}}\) = 0.258 nm), and NO\(_3^-\) (r\(_{NO_3^-}\) = 0.179 nm) [47]. This also favoured the formation of OGCF. The low hydrophilicity, the floating behaviour of the GCF and the absence of any forced convection (stirring, sonication, etc.), during preparation, enabled controlling the partial oxidation and exfoliation of the GCF surface, which occurred only in the zones of the material in direct contact with the acidic solution.

The increase of the hydrophilicity of the OGCF enabled the EDA functionalization through a covalent bond between the –COOH groups of OGCF and the –NH\(_2\) groups of EDA; it was also favoured by the EDC and NHS activation. The spontaneously formed oxygen functionalities (–OH and –COOH) at the edges and basal planes of GCF also induced a chemical interaction (hydrogen bonding) with the intercalated small EDA molecules. Both of these interactions made it possible to stitch the GCF sheets across basal planes and edges (scheme in Fig. 3j). This view agrees with the FE-SEM image of EDA-GCF. Furthermore, bridging or stitching through basal planes between the adjacent GCF layers conceivably induced the decrease in the interlayer distance in EDA-GCF, as was evident from the XRD results.

3.1.3. XPS analysis

XPS was used to examine the elemental compositions and types of bonds that exist in the GCF, OGCF, and EDA-GCF. As anticipated, the XPS survey spectra of all the samples predominantly showed the presence of carbon and oxygen peaks, while EDA-GCF exhibited an additional nitrogen peak arising from the EDA functionalization (Fig. 4a). As is evident from data shown in Table S1, the oxidation process effectively increased the surface oxygen content from 14.33 at% of GCF to 30.84 and 22.88 at% for OGCF and EDA-GCF, respectively. On the other hand, the higher N (26.97 at%) content in EDA-GCF and the decrease of the O at%, with respect to OGCF, suggested the incorporation of EDA between the adjacent GCF layers, the partial reduction of OGCF, and the covalent attachment of EDA. The high-resolution XPS spectra of C 1 s and N 1 s were investigated to examine the types of chemical bonds and the vacancies or defects present in the sample structures. Fig. 4b shows the C 1 s spectra of the GCF, OGCF, and EDA-GCF. The high-resolution C 1 s XPS spectra for all the samples were fit into five component peaks. The peaks positioned at 284.80 eV for all the samples were ascribed to the delocalized C=C bonds, while the peaks located at 286.60 and 286.12 eV for GCF and OGCF, respectively, were attributed to the localized C=C bonds with the percentage of 40.44% and 39.55%, respectively [51]. The increase of the intensity of the peak at 286.30 eV of 46.75% for EDA-GCF was ascribed to the combination of localized C=C and C=N peaks [51,52]. Both GCF and OGCF exhibited –COOH peaks at 290.0 and 289.50 eV, respectively, with percentage of 2.10% and 6.20%, respectively, indicating the high degree of oxidation of GCF [52]. The intensity of the –COOH peak decreased in EDA-GCF, while a new peak appeared at lower binding energy (288.31 eV), due to the C=O bond originating from the amide bond [53]. All the samples exhibited the additional small peaks due to C=OH at 286.69 eV for GCF, at 286.15 eV for OGCF, and at 286.50 eV for EDA-GCF, together with the C atoms neighbouring lattice vacancies 5.28%, 8.6%, and 4.41%, respectively, for GCF, OGCF, and EDA-GCF at 284.0, 284.15, and 283.95 eV, respectively [52]. The variation of the degree of lattice vacancies is highly consistent with the Raman results. Furthermore, we analyzed the high-resolution N 1 s spectra of EDA-GCF as shown in Fig. 4c. They were fitted into three component peaks. The high-intensity peak located at 401.75 eV was assigned to the C=N bond, while the other low-intensity peaks located at 399.5 and 402.10 eV were ascribed to the –NH\(_2\) and N=C=O bonds [52-54]. These data suggested the successful EDA functionalization.

3.1.4. Electrochemical measurements

The general electrochemical behavior of the GCF, OGCF, activated OGCF (Act. OGCF), and EDA-GCF electrodes was, preliminary,
Fig. 3. FE-SEM images of (a-b) GCF, (c-d) OGCF, and (e-f) EDA-GCF (insets of b, d, and f show the digital photographic images of GCF, OGCF, and EDA-GCF, respectively). (g-i) EDS mapping of C, N, and O, respectively, in EDA-GCF. (j) Schematic of the mechanism of controlled partial exfoliation and the preparation of OGCF and EDA-GCF.
characterized by CV (Fig. 5 a,d), electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) (Fig. 5 b), CA (Fig. 5 e) and DPV (Fig. S5) using an aqueous solution of \( \text{[Fe(CN)]}_{6}^{3-/4-} \) in phosphate buffer (PBS, pH 7.4). The inset in Fig. 5 a summarizes the anodic peak current density \( (J_{pa}) \) and the peak-to-peak potential separation \( (\Delta E_p) \) obtained from the CVs at 100 mV/s with the various electrodes. As is evident, the CV recorded at the GCF exhibits a redox pattern having a quite large \( \Delta E_p \) (180 mV) and \( J_{pa} \) of 1.44 mA/cm\(^2\). The apparent sluggish electron transfer process can be in part due to a local electrostatic repulsion between negatively charged carboxyl ions (COO\(^-\)), formed spontaneously on the GCF surface (see above), with the negatively charged \( \text{[Fe(CN)]}_{6}^{3-/4-} \) redox couple \[55\]. A more drawn out CV, having a somewhat larger \( \Delta E_p \) (190 mV) and a smaller \( J_{pa} \) (1.41 mA/cm\(^2\)) was recorded at the OGCF. This can be attributed to the enhanced electrostatic repulsion between the high density of COO\(^-\) present onto the OGCF surface, with the negatively charged \( \text{[Fe(CN)]}_{6}^{3-/4-} \) redox probe \[55,56\], as schematically depicted in Fig. 5 c. After the activation of the carboxylic groups, by using EDC and NHS, the Act. OGCF displayed a smaller \( \Delta E_p \) (110 mV) and a higher \( J_{pa} \) (2.71 mA/cm\(^2\)). This can be ascribed to the neutralization of negatively charged COO\(^-\) in the OSGCF surface by the small NHS molecules (Fig. 5 c) \[57\]. The incorporation of EDA into the porous material led to a small decrease of \( J_{pa} \) (2.05 mA/cm\(^2\)), while \( \Delta E_p \) remained essentially constant (110 mV). The information gathered from the CVs was confirmed by EIS measurements. In fact, as is evident from Fig. 5 b, all the electrodes exhibit Nyquist type plots with the interfacial charge transfer resistance \( (R_{ct}) \) and Warburg diffusion resistance \( (Z_w) \) at the high and low-frequency region, respectively \[58\]. The variation of the \( R_{ct} \) for all the electrodes well agrees with the electrochemical features obtained from CVs. In particular, \( R_{ct} \) of 852.0, 1729.50, 158.0, and 240.25 \( \Omega \) were found at the GCF, OGCF, Act. OGCF, and EDA-GCF electrodes, respectively.

Fig. 5d shows the CVs recorded at the EDA-GCF electrode in the \( \text{[Fe(CN)]}_{6}^{3-/4-} \) solution at different scan rates. Both \( J_{pa} \) and cathodic peak current density \( (J_{pc}) \) increased with increasing scan rate, and they were proportional to the square root of the scan rates (inset of Fig. 5 d). The linear regression analysis of experimental points provided the equations for \( J_{pa} (\text{mA/cm}^2) = 0.24 (\pm 0.0013) \times \nu^{1/2} \text{ (mV/s)}^{1/2} - 0.22 (\pm 0.007; \text{R}^2 = 0.999) \) and for \( J_{pc} (\text{mA/cm}^2) = -0.20 (\pm 0.002 \times \nu^{1/2} \text{ (mV/s)}^{1/2} + 0.11 (\pm 0.01; \text{R}^2 = 0.999) \). These results suggest the occurrence of a diffusion-controlled redox process \[22\]. Furthermore, the electrochemical stability of the EDA-GCF electrode was analyzed by repetitive CV scans (i.e., 100 cycles, Fig. 5 e) and CA measurements for 1 h (inset of Fig. 5 e). The CVs revealed a relative standard deviation (RSD) of only 2.10% (from four replicates) for the \( J_{pa} \), while the quasi steady-state current density value in the CA was almost stable for 1 h. These characteristics hold promise for the development of stable electrochemical sensors based on EDA-GCF materials.

The electrochemical behavior of the various electrodes was also investigated by DPV, which was used for the analytical measurement (vide infra). Typical DPVs are shown in Fig. S5, from which it is evident that the trend of the peak current for the various electrodes is congruent with that obtained by CVs (Fig. 5 a).
3.1.5. Scanning electrochemical microscopy (SECM) measurements

To acquire information on conductivity and, more in general, on the electrochemical activity of the investigated surface materials (of bare, activated, and EDA-functionalized GCF) at micrometer spatial resolution, a series of SECM measurements was performed. These were carried out with the substrates being unbiased, thus allowing probing their surface status without any direct electrochemical perturbation. The SECM tip signal was originated from the one electron oxidation of Fe(CN)$_6^{3-}$ to Fe(CN)$_6^{4-}$, while keeping the potential of the microelectrode constant at 0.45 V, vs. the Ag/AgCl reference electrode. In these experiments, when the tip is positioned far above the substrate, the diffusion-limited current predicted by Eq. (1) [39] (see the experimental section) is recorded. When the microelectrode tip is brought closer to the investigated surface, the tip current is perturbed from its value in the bulk solution by the presence of the substrate, and two situations can occur. If the microelectrode tip approaches an insulating or low conducting/active region, the current decreases as the tip-to-substrate distance decreases, due to blockage of diffusion of the electroactive species towards the tip [59] (negative feedback). Instead when the microelectrode tip approaches a conducting/active region, the current increases with decreasing the tip-to-substrate distance, due to regeneration of the redox mediator at the substrate/solution interface (positive feedback, Fig. S6) [59]. Therefore, the analysis of the approach curves can provide useful information about the nature and reactivity of the investigated substrates. Fig. 6a shows typical approach curves obtained above the various substrates. It is evident that, in any case, positive feedback occurred. However, the normalized current, $I/I_0$ (although affected from tilting problems [59]), at close distances, followed the order: Act. OGCF > EDA-GCF > GCF, indicating a decreasing of the conductivity/activity (or smaller conductive/active regions) of the substrates in the same order. The local activity of the substrates was ascertained also by imaging a few hundred $\mu$m$^2$ of the surface area of the substrates by SECM. These experiments were performed by positioning the platinum micro-tip at about 20 $\mu$m above the substrate surface (by
3.2. Characterisation of the immunosensor

Before establishing the performance of the immunosensor for the detection of SARS-CoV-2 SP, the effect of the binding time required to the anti-SARS-CoV-2 to be immobilised onto the EDA-GCF electrode surface, and that required to the SARS-CoV-2 SP to bind to the anti-SARS-CoV-2-modified electrode was investigated and optimized. To this purpose, anti-SARS-CoV-2 (1 µg/mL) was incubated onto the EDA-GCF electrode for 30 min, 1 h, and 3 h in a medium containing PBS (pH 7.4). DPVs, using the [Fe(CN)₆]³⁻/⁴⁻ redox couple, were run to establish the inhibition effect on the mass transport resulting from the attachment of anti-SARS-CoV-2 to the EDA-GCF electrode surface. As is shown in Fig. S7, the highest decrease of the current density was obtained after 30 min incubation with SARS-CoV-2 SP at different concentrations (0–1000 ng/mL in PBS) in a solution containing the [Fe(CN)₆]³⁻/⁴⁻ redox couple. It is evident that, after the immobilization of the antibody onto the EDA-GCF electrode surface, the Jₚa decreased from 2.07 mA/cm² to 0.93 mA/cm², while the anodic peak potential (Eₚa) shifted from 270 mV to 295 mV, conceivably due to a decrease of the kinetic of the electron transfer process. A similar effect was reported in the literature, upon immobilizing bulky molecules (or other antibodies) onto an electrode surface, and attributed to a steric hindrance, preventing full access of the redox probe to the electrode surface [18,20]. The subsequent binding of the SARS-CoV-2 SP onto the anti-SARS-CoV-2/EDA-GCF, induced an additional decrease of the Jₚa (down to 0.459 mA/cm²) and a further positive shift of the Eₚa (at 321 mV), related to a further steric hindrance due to the SP, which in turn made the electrode surface accessibility more difficult [18,20]. The DPV results are highly consistent with the variation of the Jₚa and the ΔEₚa (0.10, 0.17, and 0.20 V, respectively, for EDA-GCF, anti-SARS-CoV-2/EDA-GCF, and SARS-CoV-2 SP/anti-SARS-CoV-2/EDA-GCF) observed in the CVs (inset of Fig. 7b).

3.2.1. Calibration plots

The effect of SARS-CoV-2 SP concentration on the DPV signals recorded with the immunosensor was investigated. Fig. 7b shows a series of DPVs obtained with the anti-SARS-CoV-2/EDA-GCF sensor, after incubation with SARS-CoV-2 SP at different concentrations (0–1000 ng/mL in PBS) in a solution containing the [Fe(CN)₆]³⁻/⁴⁻ redox probe. The Jₚa decreased with increasing the SARS-CoV-2 SP concentration and the linear regression analysis of Jₚa vs. Log[SARS-CoV-2 SP] (inset of Fig. 7b) provided the following equation Jₚa (µA/cm²) = (147 ± 3) log[SARS-CoV-2 SP] (ng/mL) + 468 ± 4 (R² = 0.998). Error bars refer to the standard deviation obtained from four replicate measurements. The detection limit, obtained as the signal to noise ratio equal to three (S/N = 3), was 27 pg/mL.

The performance of the immunosensor for the detection of SARS-
CoV-2 SP was also examined in diluted human BP samples, to ascertain whether endogenous compounds present in body fluids could affect the DPV signals. BP was diluted with PBS and subsequently spiked with varying concentrations of SARS-CoV-2 SP. Fig. 7c shows the DPV signals recorded for [Fe(CN)₆]₃⁻/⁴⁻ at the anti-SARS-CoV-2/EDA-GCF by changing the SARS-CoV-2 SP concentration over the range 0–1000 ng/mL, while the inset of Fig. 7c displays the relevant calibration plot. The linear regression analysis of the experimental points provided: \( J_{pa} (\mu A/cm^2) = -(144 \pm 4 \log[SARS-CoV-2 SP] (\mu g/mL) + 462 \pm 5 (R^2 = 0.998) \) and LOD (S/N = 3) of 25 pg/mL. The values of intercept and slope, obtained in diluted BP, were very close to those evaluated in PBS, suggesting that the proposed sensor is suitable for practical detection of SARS-CoV-2 SP in real biological samples.

To further support the latter claim, the immunosensor was employed to detect SARS-CoV-2 SP in diluted (100 times with PBS, pH 7.4) artificial human saliva. In fact, it is reported that 84.6–91.7% of COVID-19-affected patients carry a detectable viral load of SARS-CoV-2 virus in saliva [60,61]. Two saliva samples spiked with 300 ng/mL and 500 ng/mL of SARS-CoV-2 SP were examined by DPV, following the same procedure described above. It is interesting to note that the baseline of DPV responses, due to the [Fe(CN)₆]₃⁻/⁴⁻ redox couple, in the latter samples without SARS-CoV-2 SP overlapped those recorded in both diluted BP and PBS samples with no protein. This indicated that saliva components did not cause substantial interference in the detection process, which also agrees with recent literature reports [62]. The concentration of SARS-CoV-2 SP in the saliva samples was quantified using the calibration plot in Fig. 7c (inset), and the recovery values found, ranging from 98.0% to 104.0%, are shown in Table S2. These results further confirm the practicability of the immunosensor proposed here for diagnosing COVID-19, at least, for first-line screening tests in several environments.

3.2.2. Selectivity, reproducibility, stability, and repeatability study

The selectivity of the immunosensor was evaluated by comparing the DPVs for the [Fe(CN)₆]₃⁻/⁴⁻ redox probe obtained with the anti-SARS-CoV-2/EDA-GCF sensor using SARS-CoV SP (5 pg/mL), SARS-CoV-2 SP (1 pg/mL), BSA (5 pg/mL), HSA (5 pg/mL), and different available proteins from milk. The corresponding DPV responses are summarized in Fig. 7d. No significant current change was induced by the SARS-CoV SP, BSA, HSA, and proteins from milk, indicating that the immunosensor is highly specific to SARS-CoV-2 SP.

The reproducibility of the anti-SARS-CoV-2/EDA-GCF probe preparation was investigated using five independent immunosensors. The corresponding DPV results (Fig. 7e), obtained after incubation of the sensors with SARS-CoV-2 SP (1 pg/mL in PBS), revealed a very low RSD (1.2%) for the \( J_{pa} \) of [Fe(CN)₆]₃⁻/⁴⁻ signals, suggesting the high reproducibility in the preparation of the immunosensors.

Electrochemical stability of the immunosensor was investigated by performing seven consecutive DPVs (Fig. 8a) and a CA (Fig. S10) for 1 h. The DPV results revealed a very low RSD (1.90%) for the \( J_{pa} \) of the [Fe(CN)₆]₃⁺ oxidation process, while the current density of the CA response remained almost unchanged after 1 h. These results indicated the high electrochemical stability of the immunosensor and its suitability for a series of point-of-care measurements.

The repeatability of the immunosensor was studied after storing the sensor for three weeks at 4 °C. The \( J_{pa} \) for the [Fe(CN)₆]₃⁺ oxidation process, obtained from the DPV responses, recorded after incubation of the sensor with SARS-CoV-2 SP (1 µg/mL in PBS), measured at one-week
4. Conclusions

In summary, a self-supported EDA functionalized GCF electrode was developed for the label-free detection of SARS-CoV-2 SP. This was constructed by the controlled and partial oxidation and exfoliation of the GCF surface and a consequent EDA functionalization. The EDA-GCF electrode served as the anti-SARS-CoV-2 immobilization matrix, at whose surface SARS-CoV-2 SP could bind, thus allowing its label-free quantification, using the \([\text{Fe(CN)}_6]^{3-/4-}\) redox couple. The immunosensor thus prepared could detect SARS-CoV-2 SP in PBS, diluted blood plasma and artificial human saliva samples over a wide concentration range, with an average LOD of 26 pg/mL, without any significant interference from other proteins, such as BSA, HSA and those present in plasma and artificial human saliva samples. The current density obtained using the reference method of RT-rtPCR [63] (Fig. 8a) after storing the sensor for three weeks at 4 °C (measured every week interval) for the oxidation of \([\text{Fe(CN)}_6]^{3-/4-}\) after the binding of SARS-CoV-2 SP (1 μg/mL) in PBS (pH 7.4), intervals, revealed a very low RSD (3.3%) (Fig. 8b). These results also confirm that the proposed immunosensor is highly suitable for the development of accurate and reliable disposable SARS-CoV-2 detection systems.

Finally, the general analytical performance of the immunosensor developed here resulted much better or comparable with those reported in the literature, in which other types of immuno-sensors for the detection of SARS-CoV-2 SP were employed, as summarized in Table S3. In addition, sensitivity and LOD, in terms of antigen concentration, are well lower than those reported in the literature using commercial SARS-CoV-2 rapid point-of-care antigen tests [63,64] (i.e., about a few ng/mL), whose clinical performance was compared with SARS-CoV-2 viral loads obtained using the reference method of RT-rtPCR [63].
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