Article

Partners’ behavior as the main cause of domestic violence experienced by pregnant adolescents in Jakarta

Irma Permata Sari,1 S. Setyowati,2 Titin Ungsianik2

1Faculty of Nursing, Universitas Muhammadiyah Jakarta, Jakarta; 2Department of Maternity Nursing, Faculty of Nursing, Universitas Indonesia, Depok, West Java, Indonesia

Abstract

Background: Adolescent pregnancy leads to a variety of complex problems. Adolescents with an unwanted pregnancy potentially face a stigma or rejection by parents and friends as well as facing threats to be a victim of domestic violence. Violence experienced by pregnant adolescents has a negative impact on the health status of the mother and their fetus. This study aimed to identify the factors triggering domestic violence on pregnant adolescents in Jakarta.

Design and Methods: The design of the study was cross-sectional study with convenience sampling (n=230). The tools used for data collection were questionnaires.

Results: The results of the bivariate analysis showed that the factors associated with domestic violence suffered by pregnant adolescents were the partner’s violence history (p=0.000), partner’s behaviors (p=0.000), economic status (p=0.002) and family’s support (p=0.03). The partner’s behavior was the most influential factor that contributed 73.96 times the potential occurrences of domestic violence against pregnant adolescents (p=0.000; OR=73.96).

Conclusions: The involvement of the partner during the pregnancy process is necessary for understanding and comprehension of the changes, which may possibly occur due to the partner’s pregnancy.

Introduction

Adolescent pregnancy leads to a variety of complex problems. Adolescents with an unwanted pregnancy potentially face social stigma or rejection by parents and friends. 84.04% of adolescents who do not want to get pregnant have an abortion as a solution to an unwanted pregnancy and it is considered as a negative approach and threat of violence by their partner.1,2 Adolescents who become pregnant before the age of 18 are more likely to experience violence in marriage or partnership.3 Domestic violence committed by partners increases in adolescent pregnancy cases.4 Pregnant or postpartum adolescents are at the higher risk of experiencing violence.5

Violence is the second leading cause of death of 10-19 years old girls.6,7 Violence includes physical, psychological, verbal and sexual abuse. Twenty-six percent of 13-17 years old adolescents experience violence before, during or after pregnancy.8 Twenty-two percent of those experience physical violence committed by their partner during pregnancy and 25% of that experienced physical violence in their previous pregnancy. Three point one percent of them said that the part of their body that was most often injured was the face, 1.3% said that the part of their body that was most often injured was the stomach and as many as 4.8% stated that no part of their body was injured.9

Negative physical and psychological impacts are higher on pregnant adolescents than on those not experiencing violence. The physical impact on pregnant women experiencing violence can lead to death. Meanwhile, the psychological impact on pregnant adolescents experiencing violence and not getting support from the partner was the feeling of incapability and hopelessness in fulfilling their new responsibilities as new mothers.10 This made adolescent pregnant women more stressed and depressed.11 In addition, pregnant adolescents who experience violence are twice likely to experienced miscarriage, abortion and/or stillbirth.12

The Indonesian Ministry of Women’s Empowerment and Child Protection and the Central Bureau of Statistics state that violence against women occurs more in urban areas than in rural areas.13 DKI Jakarta is a densely populated urban area, a mega metropolitan that is aware of the development and progress of the times and is influenced by various cultures. DKI Jakarta occupies the highest position of unplanned pregnancies occurring at the age of marriage 16-20 years as much as 51.7%.14 The Department of Population and Civil Registration of DKI Jakarta reports that the highest ranking of mothers giving birth to adolescents aged 15-19 is West Jakarta as many as 565 (26.27%).15 Therefore, it is important to know the factors associated with the incidence of domestic violence suffered by pregnant adolescents.

Design and Methods

This study used a quantitative method with a cross-sectional approach. The population surveyed in this research consisted of all
pregnant adolescents aged 10-19 years visiting the District Health and Medical Centers of West Jakarta. The sampling technique used was convenience sampling with a total sample of 230 respondents. Data collection was using Google form distributed to respondents who had agreed to be involved in this research. The implementation of this research has received ethical permission from the Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Nursing, University of Indonesia under number: SK-127/UN2.F12.D1.2.1/ETIK 2020. Data analysis was carried out using the Chi-Square test and Logistic Regression with the Backward Elimination method.

The questionnaires used were demographic data questionnaire which included age, education, marital status, economic status and the history of violence that has been experienced by the partners and a violence screening questionnaire using the ‘Abuse Assessment Screen’ developed by McFarlane et al. with Cronbach Alpha value of 0.944.16,17 With respect to family support, the questionnaire used was Multidimensional Scale of Perceived Social Support (MSPSS) developed by Zimet GD, Dahlem NW, Zimet and G S, Farley GK with Cronbach Alpha value of 0.945.18 For measuring the partner behavior, we used a questionnaire modified from WHO questionnaire and a reading book through validity and reliability tests with a Cronbach Alpha value of 0.907. For measure patriarchal culture, the ‘Patriarchal Beliefs Scale’ questionnaire developed by Yoon et al. was used with a Cronbach Alpha value of 0.941.19

Results
The results on Table 1 showed the description on the characteristics that most of pregnant adolescents who experienced domestic violence were older, married adolescents with primary educational background, low economic status and spouse having no previous history of violence.

Table 2 showed that the factors associated with domestic violence suffered by pregnant adolescents were, among others, the

Table 1. Distribution of characteristic frequency of pregnant adolescents in district health and medical center areas of West Jakarta (n=230).

| Characteristics of respondents | Experiencing domestic violence | Not experiencing domestic violence |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| f (%)                          | f (%)                          |
| Age                            |                                |                                   |
| Early adolescents              | 27 (24.5)                      | 37 (30.8)                         |
| Older adolescents              | 83 (75.5)                      | 83 (69.2)                         |
| Education                      |                                |                                   |
| Primary secondary              | 58 (52.7)                      | 68 (56.7)                         |
| Senior high school             | 52 (47.3)                      | 52 (43.3)                         |
| Higher education               | 0 (0)                          | 0 (0)                             |
| Economic status                |                                |                                   |
| Low                            | 90 (81.8)                      | 75 (62.5)                         |
| High                           | 20 (18.2)                      | 45 (37.5)                         |
| Marital status                 |                                |                                   |
| Married                        | 110 (100)                      | 120 (100)                         |
| Single                         | 0 (0)                          | 0 (0)                             |
| Violence history of partner    |                                |                                   |
| Ever                           | 37 (33.6)                      | 0 (0)                             |
| Never                          | 73 (66.4)                      | 120 (100)                         |

Table 2. Correlation between internal and external factors and domestic violence incidents experienced by pregnant adolescents in district health and medical center areas of West Jakarta (n=230).

| Factors                              | Experiencing domestic violence (%) | Not experiencing domestic violence (%) | p   |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----|
| Early adolescents                    | 27 (24.5)                         | 37 (30.8)                             | 0.0306 |
| Older adolescents                    | 83 (75.5)                         | 83 (69.2)                             |     |
| Primary and secondary education      | 58 (52.7)                         | 68 (56.7)                             | 0.640 |
| Senior high school                   | 52 (47.3)                         | 52 (43.3)                             |     |
| Ever experienced violence            | 37 (33.6)                         | 0 (0)                                 | 0.000 |
| Never experienced violence           | 73 (66.4)                         | 120 (100)                             |     |
| Low economic status                  | 90 (78.9)                         | 75 (62.5)                             | 0.002 |
| High economic status                 | 20 (18.2)                         | 45 (37.5)                             |     |
| Lack of family support               | 84 (76.4)                         | 75 (62.5)                             | 0.033 |
| Good family support                  | 26 (23.6)                         | 45 (37.5)                             |     |
| Partner’s behavior was not good      | 61 (55.5)                         | 2 (1.7)                               | 0.000 |
| Partner’s behavior was good          | 49 (44.5)                         | 118 (98.3)                            |     |
| Having patriarchal culture           | 56 (50.9)                         | 73 (60.8)                             | 0.167 |
| No patriarchal culture               | 54 (49.1)                         | 47 (39.2)                             |     |
The occurrence of domestic violence against pregnant adolescents, partner.

including dominating, having fought, restraining, being suspicious and consuming alcohol.

The last modeling result showed that partner’s behavior was the most influencing factor in domestic violence incidences experienced by pregnant adolescents. The partners referred to this study were the adolescent partners consuming alcohol, using drugs, acting rudely/harshly, blaming or punishing their pregnant partner, having fought or imprisoned, as well as those who were dominating, authoritative, acting suspiciously or restraining their pregnant partner.

Partner’s bad behavior was also 73.96 times greater chance of committing violence than a well-behaved partner, who were controlled by economic status.

The high influence of partner’s behavior on the incidence of domestic violence experienced by pregnant adolescents was identified from the type of partner behavior of pregnant adolescents as shown in Table 4.

It could be seen that there were partners’ behaviors of using or consuming drugs and having been in prison. In addition, there were some types of behaviors had by more than 20% of partners, including dominating, having fought, restraining, being suspicious and consuming alcohol.

Discussion

The last modeling result showed that partner’s behavior was also the most influencing factor in domestic violence incidences experienced by pregnant adolescents. The partners referred to this study were the adolescent partners consuming alcohol, using drugs, acting rudely/harshly, blaming or punishing their pregnant partner, having fought or imprisoned, as well as those who were dominating, authoritative, acting suspiciously or restraining their pregnant partner.

Partner’s bad behavior was also 73.96 times greater triggering the occurrence of domestic violence against pregnant adolescents, there were 21.3% partners who consumed alcohol and 2.6% of them were drug addicts. This research was supported by the one carried out by Ibrahim et al.20 stating that the partners bad behaviors, such as smoking, consuming alcohol or drugs were 98.1 times highly risky to violence against pregnant adolescents. This finding was also confirmed by other studies conducted in South Africa and Ethiopia showing that partners who consumed alcohol were at increasing risk of domestic violence towards pregnant adolescents, compared to partners with good behaviors.12,21 In addition, partners with dominating behavior had a 3.06 times high risk of committing violence in the household.21

In current study, there was 28.3% partners dominate the conversation. It related to the culture adopted by Indonesian society that men have a more dominant position and power than women. Consequently, this dominant behavior became one of the triggers for violence.

Another type of condition possibly leading to domestic violence was a partner whose was also still a adolescent, namely 32.2%. It was in line with the statement of World Health Organization that a factor relevant to the increase of violence was the fact that the violence were committed by young couples or ado-

Table 3. Most influencing factors of domestic violence incidents experienced by pregnant adolescents in district health and medical center areas of West Jakarta (n=230).

| Variable                          | B     | SE    | Wald  | Sig.   | Exp(B) | 95% C.I for EXP(B) |
|----------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|-------------------|
|                                  | Lower | Upper |       |        |        |                   |
| Step 1:                          |       |       |       |        |        |                   |
| Economic status                  | 0.9000| 0.4100| 4.808 | 0.028  | 2.459  | 1.100             |
| Partner’s violence history       | 19.322| 6.204897| 0.000 | 0.998  | 246.399,613.610 | 0.000             |
| Family support                   | 0.074 | 0.359 | 0.042 | 0.837  | 1.077  | 0.533             |
| Partner’s behavior               | 3.486 | 0.766 | 20.712| 0.000  | 32.640 | 7.275             |
| Patriarchal culture              | -0.374| 0.345 | 1.172 | 0.279  | 0.688  | 0.350             |
| Constant                         | -45.402| 12.409794| 0.000 | 0.997  | 0.000  |                   |
| Step 2                           |       |       |       |        |        |                   |
| Economic status                  | 0.977 | 0.409 | 5.694 | 0.017  | 2.665  | 1.191             |
| Partner’s behavior               | 0.146 | 0.356 | 0.168 | 0.682  | 1.157  | 0.576             |
| Partner’s behavior               | 4.267 | 0.749 | 32.489| 0.000  | 71.320 | 16.442            |
| Patriarchal culture              | -0.347| 0.342 | 1.029 | 0.310  | 0.707  | 0.362             |
| Constant                         | -5.933| 1.698 | 25.604| 0.000  | 0.000  |                   |
| Step 3                           |       |       |       |        |        |                   |
| Economic status                  | 0.960 | 0.407 | 5.560 | 0.018  | 2.612  | 1.176             |
| Partner’s behavior               | 4.301 | 0.744 | 33.334| 0.000  | 73.773 | 17.150            |
| Patriarchal culture              | -0.339| 0.341 | 0.986 | 0.321  | 0.713  | 0.365             |
| Constant                         | -4.513| 1.661 | 25.882| 0.000  | 0.000  |                   |
| Step 4                           |       |       |       |        |        |                   |
| Economic status                  | 1.012 | 0.403 | 6.314 | 0.012  | 2.752  | 1.249             |
| Partner’s behavior               | 4.304 | 0.745 | 33.410| 0.000  | 73.964 | 17.190            |
| Constant                         | -9.013| 1.578 | 32.617| 0.000  | 0.000  |                   |

Table 4. Frequency distribution of partner’s behavior types of pregnant adolescents experiencing domestic violence in district health and medical center areas of West Jakarta (n=230).

| Partner’s behavior                  | Yes, there was | None |
|--------------------------------------|----------------|------|
|                                      | f   | %   | f   | %   |
| Age of adolescent’s partner          | 74  | 32.2| 156 | 67.8|
| Consuming alcohol                    | 49  | 21.3| 181 | 78.7|
| Drug users                           | 6   | 2.6 | 224 | 97.4|
| Rude                                 | 42  | 18.3| 188 | 81.7|
| Blaming                              | 33  | 14.3| 197 | 85.7|
| Fighting/quarreling                  | 62  | 27.0| 168 | 73.0|
| Dominating                           | 65  | 28.3| 165 | 71.7|
| Suspicious                           | 50  | 21.7| 180 | 78.3|
| Blaming the law (prison)             | 5   | 2.2 | 225 | 97.8|
| Authoritative                        | 40  | 17.4| 190 | 82.6|
| Restraining                          | 52  | 22.6| 178 | 77.4|
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involve their partners and families. Adolescents had instability in managing emotions. They were more idealistic in making their own decisions without thinking about the consequences and danger possibly happening. So that, this allowed the adolescent partner to be able to commit violent acts. In addition, speaking harshly, always blaming their partner, being suspicious of their partner, being authoritative and restraining were also the signs that the partners were possibly able to commit domestic violence.

Besides partner’s behaviors, there were other factors relevant to domestic violence, namely a history of violence experienced by a partner. This study state that a partner’s violence history had a significant correlation with domestic violence towards pregnant adolescents. This was in line with the research conducted by James et al. stating that partners with violence experience were 4 times more likely to commit violence to their partner than those who did not have a history. 23

Apart from that, economic status was also a significant factor for domestic violence incidences among pregnant adolescents. Low economic status also contributed 2.75 times greater chance of adolescents experiencing domestic violence during pregnancy. This was in line with research conducted by Rurangirwa et al. stating that low socioeconomic levels contributed 2.27 times the risk of violence towards pregnant adolescents. 24

Furthermore, the family support factor, which was the act of providing help and assistance to family members in need also has a significant correlation with the occurrence of domestic violence suffered by pregnant adolescents. Family was the most needed source of social support by pregnant adolescents. 25 This was in line with research conducted by Sigalla et al., stating that family support in the form of good communication such as exchanging ideas, helping to make decisions at least every month was able to decrease the risk of the occurrence of violence to adolescents during pregnancy 0.46 times. 26

Based on the results of research obtained, health workers played an important role in reducing the number of domestic violence incidents experienced by pregnant adolescents by collaborating with multidisciplinary measures in developing strategies for violence control programs and comprehensive health education packages.

Conclusions

Partner’s behavior was the most influencing factor for domestic violence experienced by pregnant adolescents. Therefore, the involvement of partner during the pregnancy process was necessary in the form of providing understanding and comprehension on the changes possibly occurring due to partner’s pregnancy. In addition, there were other factors associated with domestic violence suffered by pregnant adolescents, among others, the partner’s violence history (p=0.000), socioeconomic status (p=0.002) and family’s support (p=0.03). It could be concluded that, suppressing the occurrence of violence towards pregnant adolescents may not only oriented towards pregnant adolescents themselves, but it must involve their partners and families.
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