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Abstract  
As an earth-abundant first-row transition metal, cobalt catalysts offer a broad range of economical methods for organic transformations via C–H activation. One of the transformations is the addition of C–H to C–X multiple bonds to afford alkylation, alkenation, amidation, and cyclization products using low- or high-valent cobalt catalysts. This hydroarylation is an efficient approach to build new C–C bonds in a 100% atom-economical manner. In this review, the recent developments of Co-catalyzed hydroarylation reactions and their mechanistic studies are summarized.

Introduction  
For the last three decades, atom-economical synthetic approaches have played a substantial role in organic synthesis owing to the necessity of green chemistry for the modern universe [1-3]. In this context, catalytic C–H functionalization has been acknowledged as an atom- and step-economical process [4-6]. A wide range of transition metal-catalyzed non-directed or directing group assisted C–H activation methodologies have been developed to build new C–X (X = carbon or heteroatom) bonds [7-10] and they offer efficient routes to the synthesis of natural products, materials, agrochemicals, polymers, and pharmaceuticals [11-15]. Specifically, the first-row transition metal catalysts, which are less expensive and more environmentally benign, have attracted significant attention in recent years [16-27]. As a member of the first-row transition metals, cobalt complexes are known to be extensively involved in homogeneous catalysis, in particular, C–H activation.

In 1941, Kharasch and Fields applied a cobalt salt as the catalyst for the homocoupling of Grignard reagents [28]. After 15 years, Murahashi discovered a cobalt-catalyzed chelation-assisted ortho C–H carbonylation of azobenzene and imines as the preliminary example of directing group assisted C–H activation reactions (Scheme 1) [29,30]. Following to these pioneering works, the groups of Kochi [31], Kisch [32], Klein [33,34], and Brookhart [35] have made their crucial contributions in the cobalt-mediated/catalyzed C–H functionalization. In recent years, Yoshikai [36], Kanai/Matsunaga [37], and...
Daugulis [38] introduced different cobalt systems, which played a significant portion in C–H activation by its unique reactivity as an alternative to third-row noble metal catalysts [16,17,20-25]. Nakamura [39], Ackermann [40], and Glorius [41] also involved in cobalt-catalyzed C–H functionalization. Of these reactions, alklylation, alkenation, amidation, and cyclization of arenes with the relevant coupling partners are an economical and straightforward approach for the synthesis of diverse alkyls, alkenes, amides and cyclic compounds.

A simple addition of a “inert” C–H bond to multiple bonds (hydroarylation) is a 100% atom economical process to build fundamental alkyls and alkenes (Scheme 2) [42-45]. It is an efficient alternative to C–H alkylation reactions with alkyl halides where one equivalent of salt waste was released, and dehydrogenative Heck coupling with alkenes for the synthesis of arenes, which required a stoichiometric amount of oxidant. Herein, we wish to review the cobalt-catalyzed hydroarylation of alkynes, alkenes, allenens, enynes, imines, and isocyanates. These reactions usually proceed via either an oxidative addition of Ar–H to a low-valent cobalt to form A1 intermediate or a C–H activation with high-valent cobalt to give A2 via deprotonation, followed by migratory insertion and reductive elimination or protonation (Scheme 3). We believe that this review will be helpful to the researchers for their future research on hydroarylation using earth-abundant metal catalysts.

Review

1. Hydroarylation of alkynes

1.1 Low-valent cobalt-catalyzed hydroarylation of alkynes

Hydroarylation of alkynes is an efficient method to synthesize aromatic alkenes in a highly atom-economical way [44]. In 1994, Kisch and co-workers developed the first cobalt-catalyzed hydroarylation of alkynes with azobenzenes 1 to synthesize dialkenated products 2 (Scheme 4) [32]. The reaction resulted in an anti-addition of the C–H bond with alkynes using the cobalt(I) catalysts CoH(N$_2$)(PPh$_3$)$_3$ or CoH$_3$(PPh$_3$)$_3$ under neat reaction conditions.
After fifteen years, Yoshikai and co-workers developed a low-valent cobalt system for C–H functionalization [16,36]. Thus, the reaction of 2-aryl pyridines with internal alkynes in the presence of 10 mol % CoBr$_2$, 20 mol % PMePh$_2$, and 1 equiv of MeMgCl as a reductant yielded ortho alkenated products with high regio- and stereoselectivities (Scheme 5) [36]. The found intermolecular kinetic isotope effect (KIE) of $k_H/k_D = 2.1$ and H/D crossover studies strongly suggest that the reaction proceeds through an oxidative addition of a C–H bond to low-valent cobalt followed by alkyne insertion and reductive elimination. Furthermore, the new C–C bond formation occurred at the less-hindered carbon of the unsymmetrical alkynes, which causes the high regioselectivity.

Later, they proved that the hydroarylation reaction was also feasible with benoxazoles to form alkenated products using CoBr$_2$, bis[2-diphenylphosphino]phenyl] ether (DPEphos), Grignard reagent, and pyridine as an additive (Scheme 6) [46]. Similarly, benzothiazoles also efficiently underwent hydroheteroarylation by using suitable ligands [47]. The reaction was successfully extended to indoles and benzimidazoles bearing a removable 2-pyrimidyl (2-pym) directing group with alkynes at ambient temperature (Scheme 7) [48]. These reactions resemble the Ni(0)-catalyzed hydroheteroarylation reaction [49].

In addition, imines bearing a p-methoxyphenyl (PMP) group were also treated with internal alkynes in the presence of
a cobalt catalyst generated from CoBr₂, P(3-ClC₆H₄)₃, and t-BuCH₂MgBr at room temperature to give trisubstituted alkenes 10 and 12 in good yields (Scheme 8) [50, 51]. The reaction featured a broad scope of alkynes and imines. The ortho alkenated imines could be further transformed into useful products, such as aminooxindoles and benzofulvenes under hydrolysis conditions. Based on the mechanistic studies, a possible reaction mechanism for the hydroarylation reaction was proposed in Scheme 9. The reaction begins with the generation of an ambiguous low-valent cobalt catalyst from the reaction of CoBr₂, ligand and Grignard reagent, which gives the alkane and MgX₂ as the by-products. Then, coordination of the alkyne with the cobalt catalyst afforded B1 and the oxidative addition of C–H gave the cobalt complex B2. Intramolecular insertion of the Co–H bond into the alkyne and subsequent reductive elimination of the less-hindered alkyl carbon with aryl group in B3 provides the desired alkene 10 and regenerates the active cobalt catalyst for the next cycle.

**Scheme 8:** Co-catalyzed hydroarylation of alkynes with imines.
In 2015, Petit’s group developed a hydroarylation reaction of internal alkynes with imines using a low-valent cobalt catalyst without reductant or additives (Scheme 10) [52]. The reaction afforded alkenes $\text{13}$ in excellent yields with anti-selectivity. The alkene product originally should be syn-$\text{13}$, but it rearranged to anti-$\text{13}$ at the high reaction temperature likely catalyzed by the cobalt complex. Moreover, the found intermolecular KIE of 1.4 and density functional theory (DFT) calculations strongly suggest that the reaction proceeds through concerted hydrogen transfer by an oxidative pathway, reductive elimination, and subsequent isomerization.

1.2 Co(III)-catalyzed hydroarylation of alkynes

In 2013, Kanai/Matsunaga and co-workers developed an air-stable Co(III)Cp* catalyst as an economical alternative to Cp*Rh(III) for C–H functionalization [37]. The Co(III) catalyst was applied for the hydroarylation of alkynes with indoles $\text{14}$ to form alkenes $\text{15}$ with linear selectivity (Scheme 11) [53]. The reaction features a broad substrate scope including a variety of indoles, terminal and internal alkynes, mild reaction conditions and inexpensive catalyst. The reaction proceeds through an amide-assisted C–H metalation followed by alkyne insertion and protonation [54]. The reaction also acknowledged that the Co(III) species possess unique nucleophilic reactivity compared with the Rh(III) species for the annulation reaction. The hydroarylation reaction further extended to pyrroles for selective monoalkenylation using $[\text{Cp}^\ast\text{Co(\text{CH}_3\text{CN})_3}\text{(SbF}_6)_2]$ as the catalyst [55].

In contrast, branched-selective hydroarylation of terminal alkynes was achieved by Li et al. The addition of arenes $\text{7}$ to propargyl alcohols, protected propargyl amines, and silyl alkynes in the presence of $\text{CoCp}^\ast\text{(CO)I}_2$ catalyst gave uncommon branched-selective products $\text{16}$ in good yields with reasonable selectivity (Scheme 12) [56]. DFT calculations indicated that the regioselectivity of silyl alkynes was controlled by its steric effect in the protonolysis step, whereas the electronic nature of propargyl alcohols and amines played a key role in the selectivity control during the insertion. Moreover, the control experiments and DFT calculations show that HOPiv played a crucial role in both the C–H activation and the protonolysis step.

In 2016, Yu and co-workers developed a hydroarylation of alkynes with different arenes including phenylpyridines, pyrazole, and 6-arylpurines $\text{17}$ using 5 mol % Cp$^\ast$Co(CO)$_2$I$_2$. 
10 mol % AgSbF$_6$, and 0.5 equiv PivOH in DCE (Scheme 13) [57]. The reaction proceeded efficiently with various alkynes to give alkenes 18, however, the reaction was limited to terminal alkynes. Additionally, they applied this methodology to design a mitochondria-targeted imaging dye from electron-withdrawing formyl-substituted indoles and alkynes. Later, Maji’s group reported an $N$-tert-butyl amide-directed mono- and di-alkenylation reactions using a cobalt catalyst (Scheme 14) [58]. The reaction was also applied for the preparation of π-conjugated alkenes by four-fold C–H activation, which was found to be fluorescence active. The KIE studies provided $k_H/k_D$ of 2.8 and 2.6 through intermolecular and intra-
molecular experiments, respectively. These results strongly suggest that the C–H activation may be involved in the rate-limiting step. It is noteworthy that the reaction is limited to internal alkynes.

Recently, Sundararaju and co-workers developed a Co-catalyzed hydroarylation reaction of alkynes with phenylpyrazoles 21 (Scheme 15) [59]. The reaction exhibited tolerance toward a variety of functionalities on arenes as well as alkynes. The isolated cationic cobalt intermediate (C3) and the mechanistic studies strongly indicate that the reaction proceeds through C–H activation via concerted metallation-deprotonation (CMD) to form cobaltacycle C1. Subsequently, alkyne coordination (C2) and migratory insertion of alkyne provide alkenyl intermediate C3. Finally, protonolysis gives the desired alkene product 22 and regenerates the active cobalt(III) catalyst for the next cycle.

2. Hydroarylation of alkenes
2.1 Low-valent cobalt-catalyzed hydroarylation of alkenes

As alkynes efficiently participated in low-valent cobalt-catalyzed hydroarylations (section 1.1), Nakamura and co-workers developed an analogous hydroarylation reaction of alkenes [39]. Thus, the reaction of amides 23 with alkenes in the presence of 10 mol % Co(acac)3, 1.5 equiv CyMgCl, and 6.0 equiv DMPU provided C–H alkylation products 24 in good yields with high linear selectivity (Scheme 16).
Later, Yoshikai’s group reported a ligand-controlled hydroarylation of styrenes with 2-phenylpyridines in the presence of CoBr$_2$-IMes and CoBr$_2$-PCy$_3$ catalysts to synthesize linear and branched selective products 25 and 26, respectively, in good yields with high selectivity control (Scheme 17) [60]. It is noteworthy that the electronic nature of the substrates also controlled the addition selectivity; electron-withdrawing group (CF$_3$ and F) substituted arenes favored branched addition product 26 in both catalytic systems.

Similarly, imines 9 were employed for the hydroarylation reaction to form linear or branched addition products by tuning ligands and additives. Thus, the reaction of imines 9 with vinyilsilanes as well as alkyl alkenes gave linear addition products 27a,b under mild reaction conditions (Scheme 18a,b) [61]. The addition of indoles to vinyilsilanes also succeeded in a similar manner [62]. When styrenes were employed for the hydroarylation with imines 9, the reaction required 4.0 equiv of 2-methoxypyridine as the additive in the presence of CoBr$_2$, [bis(2,4-dimethoxyphenyl)(phenyl)phosphine] and CyMgBr to afford linear-selective products 27c (Scheme 18c) [63].

Recently, N–H imines 9d has also become an efficient directing group for the hydroarylation reaction. The addition of N–H imines 9d to vinyilsilanes or alkyl alkenes was achieved using a low-valent cobalt catalyst to form alkylated products 27d (Scheme 19) [64]. The N–H imines overcame substrate scope limitation from previous reports with N-aryl and N-alkylimines. Moreover, multiple C–H alkylation was also succeeded with benzophenone imines in high yields with linear selectivity under the mild reaction conditions.

In contrast, the addition of ketimines 9 to styrenes gave branched selective alkylation products 28 in the presence of the catalyst system CoBr$_2$/P(4-FC$_6$H$_4$)$_3$ and CyMgBr (Scheme 20a) [65]. In a similar manner, aldimes 9 also reacted with styrenes to give branched-selective alkyls 28a under modified reaction conditions (Scheme 20b) [66]. Moreover, the Co-catalyzed hydroarylation of styrene with ketimine or aldmine proceeded without Grignard reagent using Mg metal as the reductant [67]. Recently, N–H imines 9d were also employed for the hydroarylation reaction with styrenes, giving a branched-selective hydroarylation product in good yields [68].

Based on the mechanistic studies and DFT calculations [69], a plausible mechanism for the cobalt-catalyzed ligand-controlled hydroarylation of alkenes was proposed in Scheme 21. The reaction begins with the generation of low-valent cobalt from CoBr$_2$, ligand, and Grignard reagent. Then, imine 9 assisted an ortho C–H metallation by oxidative addition and provides Co–H intermediate D1. Coordination of alkene with D1 and insertion of the alkene to Co–H gives intermediate D3 or D3’, which is converted into product 27 or 28 and low-valent cobalt by reductive elimination.

Indoles also efficiently participated in intra- and intermolecular hydroarylation reactions to access useful organic skeletons [70-73]. Thus, the reaction of indole bearing an aldmine and homoallyl group 29 in the presence of CoBr$_2$, SIMes·HCl and Me$_3$SiCH$_2$MgCl gave dihydropyrroloindoles 30, whereas the IPr·HCl ligand provided tetrahydropyridoindoles 31 in reasonable regioselectivity (Scheme 22) [70]. Remarkably, the regioselectivity of the reaction is not only controlled by the steric effect of NHC ligand, but also depends on the olefin tether in 29. Recently, Petit and co-workers also developed an intra- and intermolecular hydroarylation of indoles using Co(PMe$_3$)$_4$ as the catalyst under Grignard-free conditions [72].

In 2015, an asymmetric hydroarylation of styrenes with indoles was reported by Yoshikai and co-workers (Scheme 23) [73].
Among various N-protected indoles, N-Boc indoles 32 in the presence of Co(acac)₃ and phosphoramidite ligand L afforded alkylated products 33 in high yields and enantioselectivity. A wide range of indoles and styrenes were well tolerated to form the corresponding alkyl products 33 in a branched selective manner.

In addition to the directing group strategies, remote C₄-selective alkylation of pyridines [74] was also feasible with alkenes as Kanai et al. reported (Scheme 24a) [75]. The addition of pyridine (34) to alkenes in the presence of 1 mol % CoBr₂, 20 mol % BEt₃, and LiBEt₃H as a hydride source provided branched-selective products 35a with styrenes, while alkylalkenes resulted in linear-selective products 35b. Similarly, quinolines 34a also underwent hydroarylation reaction with styrene to give C₄-selective alkylated products 36 in good regioselectivity (Scheme 24b) [76].

2.2 Co(III)-catalyzed hydroarylation of alkenes
In 2013, Kanai/Matsunaga and co-workers reported a hydroarylation reaction of activated alkenes with phenyl pyridines 3 in the presence of the air-stable [Co(III)Cp*(benzene)](PF₆)₂ catalyst, giving hydroarylation products 37 in good yields (Scheme 25) [37]. The reaction proceeds through directed ortho C–H metallation to form five-membered cobaltacycle E1 and alkene insertion to give complex E2. Protonation then provides product 37 and an active Co(III) catalyst for the next cycle.

Terminal alkenes were also applied in hydroarylation reaction as Sundararaju and co-workers demonstrated (Scheme 26a) [77]. Likewise, Whiteoak’s group reported a cobalt-catalyzed hydroarylation of terminal alkenes with amides 39 [78]. The reaction of vinyl ketones with amides provided alkylated product 40, whereas acrolein resulted in biologically useful azepinones in good yields (Scheme 26b).
Scheme 19: Co-catalyzed linearly-selective hydroarylation of alkenes with N–H imines.

N-Pyrimidylindole is a highly reactive arene in cobalt-catalyzed C–H functionalizations. In this context, Li and co-workers developed an addition reaction of indoles with activated alkenes using a cobalt(III) catalyst (Scheme 27) [79]. The reaction tolerated a wide range of alkenes including vinyl aldehyde, ketones, and divinyl ketones and a variety of arenes to give C2-alkylation products in good yields.

Similarly, alkylalkenes were subjected to hydroarylation with indoles by Ackermann et al. [80]. The addition of indoles...
Scheme 21: Mechanism of Co-catalyzed hydroarylation of alkenes.

Scheme 22: Co-catalyzed intramolecular hydroarylation of indoles.

Scheme 23: Co-catalyzed asymmetric hydroarylation of alkenes with indoles.
Scheme 24: Co-catalyzed hydroarylation of alkenes with heteroarenes.

Equation: 1 mol % CoBr$_2$ 20 mol % BF$_3$

Scheme 25: Co(III)-catalyzed hydroarylation of activated alkenes with 2-phenyl pyridines.

Equation: 2 mol % Co(OAc)$_2$

into alkylalkenes in the presence of 10 mol % CoCp*(CO)$_2$ 20 mol % AgSbF$_6$ at 120 °C gave linear-selective products 43, whereas additional 1.0 equiv 1-AdCO$_2$H at 50 °C resulted in predominantly branched-selective products 44 (Scheme 28).

The reaction features switchable regioselectivity, a broad scope, and an inexpensive catalyst. Moreover, DFT calculations and mechanistic studies revealed that the switchable regioselectivity was driven by a change in mechanism from linear ligand-to-ligand hydrogen transfer to branched base-assisted internal electrophilic-type substitution.

In addition to activated and unactivated alkylalkenes, vinylcyclopropanes also underwent hydroarylation reactions with indoles 42 via C–H/C–C activation (Scheme 29a) [81]. The reaction afforded allylated products 45 in high stereoselectivities. DFT calculations and mechanistic studies strongly indicate that the reaction proceeds through the generation of complex E1 by pyridine-directed C–H activation. Subsequently, alkeno insertion with E1 and C–C activation of E2 give intermediate E3. Finally, protonolysis provides the desired product 45 and an active Co(III)Cp*. Due to the low activation energy, the
thermodynamically less stable Z diastereomer is preferred in the reaction, which is in contrast to the rhodium(III)-catalyzed reaction [82]. Later, Li and co-workers developed a cobalt-catalyzed hydroarylation of 2-vinylxiranes with indoles 7 to form C2-allylated products 46, albeit in low stereoselectivity (Scheme 29b) [83]. Similarly, Cheng et al. reported a hydroarylation reaction of arenes 7 with a bicyclic alkene to form ring-opening products 47 via C–H/C–O activation (Scheme 29c) [84]. The product 47 was further converted into the C–H naphthylation product in the presence of an acid.

Maleimides turned out to be an efficient coupling partner in C–H functionalization to synthesize biologically useful succinimides in highly atom-economical manner. Thus, Li et al. developed a cobalt-catalyzed hydroarylation of maleimides and maleate esters with arenes 48 (Scheme 30) [85]. A variety of arenes including indoles, 2-arylpyridines, 6-arylpurine, and vinyl pyridines were employed to give alkylated products 49 in good to moderate yields. As well, Prabhu and co-workers also reported a Co-catalyzed hydroarylation reaction of maleimides with indoles [86].
Scheme 28: Co(III)-catalyzed switchable hydroarylation of alkyl alkenes with indoles.

Scheme 29: Co(III)-catalyzed C2-allylation of indoles.
Scheme 30: Co(III)-catalyzed ortho C–H alkylation of arenes with maleimides.

Scheme 31: Co(III)-catalyzed hydroarylation of maleimides with arenes.
The hydroarylation of maleimides was further demonstrated with different arenes (Scheme 31). Thus, azobenzenes 1 were subjected to alkylation reaction with maleimides to form a variety of succinimides 50 in good yields (Scheme 31a) [87]. Likewise, oximes 51 [88] were also efficient participated in the hydroarylation of maleimides (Scheme 31b).

3. Hydroarylation of allenes
An allene is an exceptional functional group in organic synthesis due to its cumulative double bonds [89,90]. In this context, Ackermann and co-workers developed a coupling reaction of arenes 53 with allenes to give hydroarylation products 54 (Scheme 32) [91]. The merits of the reaction are broad scope including a variety of arenes and allenes, and high atom economy. Based on the mechanistic studies and DFT calculations, a plausible mechanism was proposed. The reaction starts with the generation of the active cobalt(III) species G1 from [Cp*CoI2(CO)], AgSbF6, and arene 53. Subsequently, C–H metallation of G1 by ligand-to-ligand hydrogen transfer provides cobaltacycle G2 and an allene insertion gives intermediate G3. Protonation and isomerization generate cobalt complex G4, which is converted into alkenated product 54 by ligand exchange.

4. Hydroarylation of enynes
Catalytic cyclization of 1,n-enynes has become as an attractive tool for the preparation of cyclic adducts with a variety of functionalities in a one-pot process [92]. As the cyclization of 1,n-enynes with organometallics is well-known, the addition of an inert C–H bond to 1,n-enynes further enhances the economy of cyclization process. Thus, Cheng and co-workers reported a cobalt-catalyzed hydroarylative cyclization of 1,n-enynes with carbonyl compounds 55 to form a wide range of functionalized dihydrofurans and pyrrolidines 56 in good yields (Scheme 33a).
[93]. By tuning the diphosphine ligands, the reaction was extended to aromatic aldehyde 55a, where slightly electron-deficient ethylene diphosphine ligand delivered hydroarylation product 56a, but a mild electron-rich ligand resulted in hydroacylation product (Scheme 33b) [94].

A plausible mechanism for the hydroarylation cyclization of enynes was shown in Scheme 34. The reaction begins with the reduction of Co(II) to Co(I) by Zn dust. The enyne compound underwent oxidative addition with Co(I) to form bicyclic cobaltacycle H1. After the reversible coordination of arene 55 with H1 to generate intermediate H2, ortho C–H cobaltation provides complex H3, which changed into product 56 and Co(I) catalyst by reductive elimination of H3.

5. Hydroarylation of C=X (X = N, O) bonds

5.1 Low-valent cobalt-catalyzed hydroarylation of C=X bonds

Transition-metal-catalyzed addition of C–H bond to polar π bonds such as imines, isocyanates and carbonyls is one of the efficient methods to incorporate heteroatoms in organic molecules [45]. Yoshikai et al. developed an addition reaction of arylpyridines 3 to imines using low-valent cobalt catalyst generated from CoCl2, IPr·HCl, and t-BuMgBr (Scheme 35) [95]. The reaction tolerated a wide range of arylpyridines and aldazines, giving diarylmethylamines 57 in good yields. The reaction possibly proceeds through the formation of neopentylcobalt [(Co)-R], which undergoes oxidative addition with arylpyridine to generate 11. Then, elimination of neopentane (R–H) by reductive elimination gives cobaltacycle 12. Nucleophilic addition of 12 to imines, followed by transmetallation with a Grignard reagent and protonation provide the desired hydroarylation product 57.

In addition to imines, aziridines were also amenable to the cobalt-catalyzed hydroarylation reaction (Scheme 36) [96]. Treatment of 2-phenylpyridines 3 with varies aryl-substituted
aziridines gave 1,1-diarylethane derivatives 58 in a highly regioselective manner. It is noteworthy that nucleophilic addition took place selectively at the more hindered C-2 position of aziridines, which results in high regioselectivity.

5.2 Cobalt(III)-catalyzed hydroarylation of C=X bonds

As the Co(III)Cp* catalyst acts an efficient air-stable catalyst for hydroarylation of the C=C bond, it would also provide a user-friendly method for C=X bonds. Thus, imines were employed for hydroarylation reaction with 2-phenylpyridines 3 in the presence of [CoCp*(benzene)](PF₆)₂ catalyst by Kanai/Matsunaga and co-workers (Scheme 37a) [37]. The reaction afforded diarylmethylamines 59 in good to moderate yields, however, the imines used was limited to aldimines. Similarly, indoles 7 also efficiently underwent the hydroarylation reaction with various substituted aldimines to provide C-2 alkylated indoles 60 (Scheme 37b) [97].

In 2016, Wang’s group demonstrated that ketenimines participated in hydroarylation reaction with arenes 7 in the presence of CoCp*(CO)I₂ and AgNTf₂ (Scheme 38) [98]. The reaction
tolerated various pyrimidine containing arenes, such as indole, phenyl, and pyrrole with different ketenimines to form enaminylation products 61. Subsequently, the hydroarylation products 61 were further converted into bioactive pyrrolo[1,2-a]indoles by sodium ethoxide-promoted cyclization.

The three-component coupling also becomes viable through a Co(III)-catalyzed hydroarylation strategy as Ellman and co-workers demonstrated (Scheme 39) [99]. The reaction of arene 21 with vinyl ketones and aldehydes in the presence of [CoCp*(C6H6)][B(C6F5)4]2 and LiOAc gave alcohol products 62 with high diastereoselectivity at ambient reaction conditions. The unique nature of cobalt was well presented by its superior reactivity and diastereoselectivity and it outmatched the rhodium catalyst. Different pyrazole derivatives with a wide range of aldehydes or imines were participated well in the reaction, affording the addition products 62 in good yields. The catalytic cycle starts with the coordination of active cobalt catalyst...
with arene 21 followed by C–H activation to give five-membered cobaltacycle J1. Insertion of the alkene with J1 forms cobalt enolate J2, which converts into J3 by the addition of aldehyde via a chair transition state in a diastereoselective manner. Finally, protonolysis affords product 62 and regenerates the active Co(III)Cp* catalyst for the next cycle. Later, Li et al. reported a Co(III)-catalyzed hydroarylation of glyoxylate with pyrimidine containing indoles and pyrroles 7 to provide products 63 with high productivity (Scheme 40) [79].

Similar to the imine, isocyanate is also an efficient electrophile for hydroarylation of C=N bond. It provides a high atom- and step-economical method for the preparation of aromatic, vinyl, and heterocyclic amides. Thus, Ackermann and co-workers developed an inexpensive cobalt-catalyzed hydroarylation of isocyanates with (hetero)arenes 64 (Scheme 41) [100]. The reaction can tolerate a broad range of arenes and isocyanates, providing amide products 65 with notable site selectivity. The found inter- and intramolecular KIEs ($k_{H}/k_{D}$) of 1.4 shows that the C–H activation may not be the rate determining step. Moreover, competition experiments indicate that the reaction favors electron-rich arenes 64 and electron-deficient isocyanates. Ellman’s group also reported a similar C–H amidation reaction of aryl pyrazoles 64 with isocyanates in the presence of [CoCp*(C6H6)](PF6)2 and KOAc [101].

Conclusion

Hydroarylation is an emerging methodology in organic synthesis, because it is a highly atom-, step-, and redox-economical and simple reaction compared to traditional synthetic methods.
Scheme 41: Co(III)-catalyzed addition of arenes to isocyanates.

to construct new C–C bonds. Among the first-row transition metals, both low- and high-valent cobalt catalysts have played a substantial role in these hydroarylation reactions providing an economical alternative to the precious metal-catalyzed reactions and also showed distinct selectivity in some cases. Switchable regioselective hydroarylation of styrene using low-valent cobalt catalyst and Co(III)-catalyzed hydroarylation of alkylalkenes with indoles are remarkable examples in this manner. A wide range of C–H bonds has been successfully added to alkynes, alkenes, imines etc. to build alkyls, alkenes, alcohols, amides, and cyclic skeletons with excellent efficacy. Considering the importance of green processes for the ever-growing universe, economical and waste-free hydroarylation strategy will continue to draw great attention in the field of organic synthesis.
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