Lactic acid bacteria (LAB) are among the most important group of bacteria, with a critical role in food, pharmaceutical and medical industry. The fast-growing characteristics of LAB strains, their metabolic activity associated with production of many beneficial compounds and most of all, their GRAS status (Generally Recognized As Safe) recommends them as starter cultures for food biotechnology processes. During last decades, LAB strains have also an extensive prophylactic or therapeutic use as probiotics. Due to the fact that there are current limitations in the use of standard MRS media (de Man Rogosa Sharpe), which is selective especially for lactobacilli and enterococci, the aim of the present study was to optimize the growth medium composition for isolating a wide range of LAB strains with biotechnological potential and to improve the biomass accumulation. For this purpose, it has been evaluated the growth of Lactobacillus (L.) rhamnosus ATCC® 9595™, Streptococcus (S.) salivarius subsp. thermophilus ATCC® 19258™, Pediococcus (P.) acidilactici ATCC® 8042™, Lactococcus (L.) lactis 28 and Enterococcus (E.) faecium FFb CMGB L-18 on MRS broth with various carbon sources (glucose, lactose, galactose, maltose, mannose, ribose, arabinose, sucrose, fructose).

The results of the study showed that there are interesting differences in the requirements of each analyzed species. The optimization of standard medium composition will be very useful for growth studies as well as metabolic flux studies.
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LAB are among the most important group of microorganisms providing health benefits to human, animal and plants and has been used in food fermentation from ancient times [1]. They are defined as a group of fastidious nutritional requirements microorganisms, Gram-positive, nonsporing rods and cocci, anaerobic, but aerotolerant bacteria, which produce lactic acid as the major end-product of carbohydrates fermentation [2, 3]. Lactic acid has numerous technological applications, being used as a preservation and flavor-enhancing agent in food industry, as an emulsifying and moisturizing agent in cosmetics and also, as an intermediate in pharmaceutical processes or in the synthesis of optically pure pharmaceuticals [4, 5].

The most important genera of LAB group are Lactobacillus, Lactococcus, Enterococcus, Streptococcus, Pediococcus, Leuconostoc and Bifidobacterium, the last-mentioned shares some physiological and biochemical properties and also some of the ecological niches with LAB [6].

For food industry and biotechnological processes, metabolic activities of LAB, optimal conditions for survival and growth are essential for any applications. Sensory properties, shelf-life, and safety of a majority of fermented foods are determined by the metabolic activity of food fermenting LAB. The metabolism of these microorganisms, a relatively simple carbon and energy metabolism, is influenced by the environmental conditions [7, 8].

Most data required so far have revealed that LAB is a group which exhibits an enormous capacity to degrade different carbohydrates; this feature allows them to adapt to various conditions and change their metabolism accordingly, being able to colonize different ecological niches. Carbohydrates are considered the main source of energy for bacterial growth, being metabolized by LAB strains into different useful compounds [9, 10].

The mode of glucose fermentation under standard conditions divide LAB species into two groups: the homofermentative converting glucose almost quantitatively to lactic acid and the heterofermentative, fermenting glucose to lactic acid, ethanol/acetate acid, and CO₂ [9].

LAB strains are usually used as starter cultures for the production of fermented foods (cheese, yoghurt, sourdough, dry sausage s.o.) or for their aromatic compounds biosynthesized during the fermentations which have industrial importance, especially in and the development of the organoleptic properties, texture or flavor of different food products [11, 12]. Their preservative effect, due to the production of antimicrobial compounds, such as organic acids, bacteriocins or hydrogen peroxide, contributes to shelf-life of the fermented foods by inhibition of growth and development of pathogenic bacteria [13-17].

Optimization of an effective growth medium for growing LAB must be achieved, even if commercial media, such as MRS, are generally optimal, containing many elements like carbohydrates, amino acids, peptides, vitamins and Mg/Mn salts which are required for growth. Sometime, due to the MRS standard medium limitations (selective especially for lactobacilli and enterococci) it is necessary to use a different media for wide range microorganism’s isolation and for biomass accumulation [1, 18].

The present work aimed to describe an optimized growth media formula in terms of carbon source for LAB strains from various genera with biotechnological applications in food industry.
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Experimental part
Materials and methods
Microbial strains and culture medium
The five lactic acid bacteria strains (3 type strains obtained from American Type Culture Collection: L. rhamnosus ATCC® 9595™, S. salivarius subsp. thermophilus ATCC® 19258, P. acidilactici ATCC® 8042™ and 2 strains from MICROGEN Center collection, University of Bucharest: L. lactis 28 and E. faecium FFb CMGB L-18, characterized and identified by molecular tools in previous studies-data not shown) were maintained in MRS broth supplemented with 20% (v/v) glycerol and stored at -70°C.

For further assays, each bacterial strain was sub-cultured (1% v/v) in MRS broth for 24 h at 37°C.

The growth studies were performed on MRS medium without carbon source containing: 1% peptone, 0.5% meat extract, 0.5% yeast extract, 0.2% dipotassium hydrogen phosphate, 0.1% Tween 80, 0.2% diammonium citrate, 0.01% magnesium sulfate heptahydrate, 0.005% manganese sulfate tetrahydrate, pH= 6.5-6.7. 2% of various carbon sources (glucose, lactose, fructose, galactose, sucrose, ribose, maltose, mannose, and arabinose) were added to this medium.

Evaluation of growth on various carbon sources
After two successive transfers of the analyzed strains in MRS broth (with 2% glucose) for 24 h at 37°C, the activated cultures were centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 3 minutes and washed with distilled sterile water; the initial optical density (OD) of the cultures was measured at 600 nm (OD600nm) using a spectrophotometer (Vilber Lourmat) and finally diluted to obtain an OD600nm=1 inoculum. This cell suspension was inoculated (1% v/v) in 10 mL MRS medium with a different carbon source, and incubated at 37°C.

The growth of lactic acid bacteria strains in presence of mentioned carbon sources was determined by measuring the optical density at 600 nm in a Synergy HTX Multi-Mode Reader (Bio-Tek). Measurements of OD600nm values were carried out at 3h interval over 48h of incubation.

Statistical analysis
All growth tests results obtained were represented as bacterial growth curves and expressed as mean ± SD (n = 3) using Excel tool from the Microsoft Office 2016 package.

Results and discussions
Growth studies assays on different carbon sources were performed in order to obtain a chemically improved medium for isolating a wide range of lactic acid bacteria microorganisms with biotechnological important properties. In order to achieve the main objective 5 reference strains belonging to the genera Lactobacillus, Lactococcus, Pediococcus, Streptococcus and Enterococcus were used.

The results of our study revealed differences between analyzed strains to growth on various carbon sources. Lactobacillus is the genus which includes the highest number of GRAS species; many of these having a great importance in food microbiology and human nutrition, but specific strains have been recognized as having probiotic properties [19].

As shown in figure 1, L. rhamnosus ATCC® 9595™ exhibit nutritional preference for galactose, utilization of this carbon source being attributed to a high galactokinase activity. Other carbon sources, except galactose and glucose, preferentially metabolized by L. rhamnosus ATCC® 9595™ strain were lactose and mannose. These data are important due to the fact that substantial quantities of galactose and mannose are found in the mammal’s intestinal tract. On the other hand microbial lactose metabolism is helpful for people with lactose intolerance. On sucrose, ribose, maltose and arabinose biomass accumulation was less compared with other carbon sources. The obtained data are in accordance with the ones reported by Ceapa et all [20].

The highest growth values (OD600nm 0.465) was obtained on galactose after 15h of incubation. In the case of most carbon sources (except arabinose and ribose) the logarithmic growth phase started after 3h and ended after 15h of incubation (fig. 1).

Lactococcus group is heterogeneous with respect to specific requirements for nutrients, lactococcal species being limited on various metabolic pathways. Strains belonging to this genus are the most important organisms in the manufacture of fermented dairy products especially cheese, inducing milk acidification and coagulation [21, 22].

Different reports cited in scientific literature mention that among LAB, maltose fermentation has been studied most extensively in lactococci [23].

Our data collected during the evaluation of L. lactis 28 growth (fig. 2) revealed that fructose and maltose are the best metabolized carbon sources compared to glucose, used as control, which correlates with the existent data as mentioned above. Other sugars, such as lactose and sucrose can be used as carbon substrates by the analyzed L. lactis strain. The maximum biomass accumulation was

Fig. 1. Growth of L. rhamnosus ATCC® 9595 on different carbon sources
obtained after incubation for 18h using maltose as carbon source (OD 600nm 1.705).

P. acidilactici is one of the important LAB strains widely used in the food industry (fermented vegetables, dairy, meat, s.o.), being also commonly found as one of the normal microbiota of the gastrointestinal tract [24, 25].

The growth rate of P. acidilactici ATCC® 8042™ was increased in the presence of sucrose and maltose, the strain utilizing these sugars preferentially as sources of carbohydrates, compared with glucose (fig. 3). Sucrose is an abundant and inexpensive substrate for industrial biotechnology processes. The mechanism of sucrose fermentation in LAB strains is mediated by a permease system, initiated by the cleavage of the sugar, by sucrose hydrolase, into glucose and fructose, which enter the major pathways [26]. In case of these two carbon sources the strain presented on accelerated growth compared with other carbon sources. The strain metabolizes also with good rates lactose, fructose and mannose. The logarithmic growth phase for maltose, sucrose, glucose, lactose and mannose, was between 3h and 9h of incubation with a maximum OD 600nm 2.61. The results are comparable with other reported data [27].

The Streptococcus genus is one of a great medical importance because includes commensal species (respiratory and digestive tract) and pathogenic strains. Despite this fact, some species, such as S. thermophilus have also an enormous significance to the food industry, as it is used worldwide as a yoghurt starter and cheese manufacture [28, 29]. S. thermophilus, as all the other LAB strains is considered to be a fastidious microorganism, with numerous nutritional requirements.

Our study results highlighted that maltose is the primary source of carbon and energy preferentially metabolized by S. salivarius subsp. thermophilus ATCC® 19258™ as it is presented in figure 4. The analyzed species utilize also...
other sugars, such as sucrose or galactose achieving a good biomass yield. Of all the microbial strains analyzed S. salivarius subsp. thermophilus ATCC® 19258™ exhibited the highest rate of growth on all analyzed carbon sources. For most carbon sources the logarithmic growth phase was between 6h and 18h with a maximum OD<sub>600nm</sub> 5.8 on maltose after 18h of incubation.

Enterococci are extensively studied as potential probiotics candidate, due to their capacity to survive, compete and adhere to epithelial cells of the human gastrointestinal tract. Species belonging to this genus are normal inhabitants of the human gut, but there are also strains suitable as starter cultures for food industry processes, playing an important role in the development of products’ flavor and other organoleptic characteristics of various fermented foods [30, 31].

Analysis of the growth curves of E. faecium FFb CMGB L-18 revealed that this strain prefers to use mannose as carbon substrate (fig. 5), this monosaccharide being converted into glucose for catabolic processes. Also, our data revealed that other sugars, such as lactose or sucrose can be metabolized by E. faecium studied strain with a good growth yield. Generally, all tested carbon components used could sustain the growth of E. faecium FFb CMGB L-18, but at different rates. The logarithmic phase was between 3h and 12h excepting arabinose and ribose for which logarithmic phase was between 6h and 15h.

Conclusions

Our experimental data presented in this paper revealed specific carbon sources requirements for each analyzed strains of different genera. Among carbon sources, beside glucose, also maltose, mannose and galactose are found to be preferred; all analyzed strains showed a highly biomass accumulation on medium containing these sugars. This is an important aspect highlighting that some carbon sources, other than glucose found in standard MRS media, can be used by the evaluated LAB strains.

Arabinose was the only carbon source which could not support growth of any LAB strains. Also, most of the tested strains entered inexponential phase after 3 to 6 hours of incubation in optimal conditions, depending on the carbon source, respectively, activation of a specific metabolic pathway. Some of the strains, e.g. E. faecium FFb CMGB L-18, continued to consume the residual sugars, displaying for some carbon sources an atypical growth curve, with two exponential phases. A higher biomass accumulation for all tested carbon sources was observed in the case of L. rhamnosus ATCC® 9955™, S. salivarius subsp. thermophilus ATCC® 19258™ and E. faecium FFb CMGB L-18 strains compared to P. acidilactici ATCC® 8042™ and L. lactis 28 strains.

The results of the present study revealed that the nutritional requirements of LAB strains are different and complex between strains belonging to different genera and helped us to select the best growth media components for further studies.
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