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1. Abstract

Migraine (Mg) is a multifaceted neurovascular disorder caused by genetic and several environmental etiologies. We have implemented a case-control study of $TNF\alpha$ gene polymorphism in 212 Mg patients and 218 healthy controls utilizing the ARMS-PCR technique, followed by Sanger sequencing. Besides, we have conducted a meta-analysis of different genetic models (five genetic models) to combine and summarize the available data from 11 studies (including this present research). The strength of genetic associations in the meta-analysis used to assess by the pooled odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI). The results of this case-control study discovered a significant relationship with Mg in recessive and homozygous genotype with OR = 2.35 (95% CI [0.96–5.74]), $p$-value = 0.045. Also, the outcomes of meta-analysis suggested an irrelevant relationship between $TNF\alpha$ gene (rs1800629) polymorphism and Mg susceptibility in the five genetic models. However, subgrouping based on ethnic background showed a significant association in the allelic genetic model with OR = 1.53 (95% CI [1.02–2.31]), $p$ = 0.040 respectively. The meta-analysis results of $TNF\alpha$ gene polymorphism may represent a risk factor for Mg among Asians. In the future, large scale, multicentric case-control study by classification of patients with Mg with or without aura can be performed worldwide to identify the potential genetic risk factors leading to Mg pathogenesis.
2. Introduction

Migraine (Mg) is one among the neurovascular disorder with characteristic heterogeneous clinical symptoms, which includes unilateral pulsatile headache that might last 4–72 hours, hypersensitivity such as phonophobia, photophobia, nausea and vomiting [1]. This disorder is of two distinct types based on their symptoms: (MgA), Mg with aura also called classical Mg and MO, Mg without aura common Mg also called Mg [2]. Previously, a meta-analysis was showed to evaluate the global occurrence of Mg relating 6,216,995 participants, which explained the pooled Mg occurrence of 10.4% in Africa, 11.4% in Europe, 16.4% in South and Central America, 9.7% in North America and 10.15% in Asia [3]. The essential features to be considered in Mgs can be explained as follows, the physiological basis for the aura, head pain anatomy, and genetics of Mg [4]. A genome-wide association study (GWAS) with broadly-defined headache, have documented 28 susceptible loci in the genes such as LRP1, STAT6, SDR9C7, FHIL, UFL1, TRPMB, HJURP, LINC02210-CRHR1, MAPT, MOY1H, IFT81, PTBP2, and MACF1 respectively [5].

The Tumor Necrosis Factor alpha (TNFα) gene positioning in the major histocompatibility complex (MHC)-class III on the 6th chromosome on p-arm at 21.33, which encodes for TNFα protein consisting 233 amino acids [6]. It encodes for multipurpose pro-inflammatory cytokines that belong to TNF superfamily and those are typically secreted by using macrophages. This cytokine can bind with receptors such as the TNFR1-TNFRSF1A and TNFBR-TNFRSF1B respectively [7]. Cytokines are considered as essential mediators in the inflammatory pathways and found to be associated with Mg pathogenesis. TNFα is concerned with the regulatory mechanism of biological processes, including coagulation, apoptosis, cell differentiation, cell proliferation, and lipid metabolism [8]. Studies focusing on central and peripheral levels of cytokines such as IL1a, IL1b, IL4, IL5, and TNFα revealed conflicting results, and demonstrated the role of inflammation in Mg and also in headache [9].

Previous studies documented the function of cytokines in regulating biological processes, such as, TNFα in the regulation of pain threshold and Mg pathogenesis. Further, inflammatory activation by cytokines was observed in the pathological process of Mg, which is attributed to tissue damage and Mg infarction [10]. Recent studies have identified a wide range of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in the promoter site of TNFα, thus leading to changes at the transcriptional and posttranscriptional levels. Among several SNPs identified in the TNFα gene (rs1800629; g.−308 G>A) has been found to be associated with MA and MO. This SNP has been observed with the increase of TNFα gene expression thereby leading to higher levels of cytokine, further contributing to Mg pathogenesis [11]. In this study, the rs1800629 polymorphism was selected, since no case-control studies were published from Indian population of Asian ethnicity. To define the relationship between selected SNP (rs1800629) predispositions with Mg risk, this case-control study was performed with participants from the south Indian population. To acquire more strong and conclusive results, we additionally executed a meta-analysis from Asian and Caucasian ethnic background by considering the available studies on the guidelines of Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) [12].

3. Methodology

3.1 Stage 1: case-control study

3.1.1 Subjects

The case-control study comprised 212 Mg patients and 218 healthy controls were enrolled from Neurology Department, Chettinad Super Speciality Hospital, Tamil Nadu, India, among January–July 2018. Migraineurs were diagnosed by an experienced neurologist (KS) based on International Classification of Headache Disorders (ICHD) (3rd Edition) strategy by International Headache Society (IHS) for Mg [13]. The controls were also from the same ethnic background (Asian) and free from disease. The clinical and demographic characteristics were recorded for each of the study participants using a questionnaire framed by the investigators. The entire study design was accepted by the Chettinad Academy of Research and Education, Institutional Human Ethics Committee (390/IHEC/10-17). Prior to the sampling permission was attained from the participants of this study. The subjects with cardiac ailments, HIV-seropositive, and other neurodegenerative disorders were excluded from this study.

3.1.2 DNA extraction and rs1800629 genotyping

Peripheral blood (4 mL) was collected from the Migraineurs and controls, the salting-out method with some minor modifications was used to isolate the genomic DNA [14]. Genotyping of rs1800629 polymorphism was carried out using (Table 1) by Amplification Refractory Mutation System-Polymerase Chain Reaction (ARMS-PCR) [15]. The PCR was performed in Applied Biosystems Veriti® Thermal Cycler (USA) in 10 µL total volume, including 2.5 µL of 10× PCR buffer, 10 pmol/µL each primer, 1.5 µL of genomic DNA, 0.5 µL of dNTPs, and 0.3 µL of Taq DNA polymerase. The reaction of PCR condition was: 5 min at 94 °C, followed by 32 cycles of 45 s at 94 °C, for 45 s at 66 °C, for 45 s at 72 °C and with a final extension for 5 min at 72 °C. The PCR yields were checked on 1.8% agarose gel together with 100 base pair DNA Ladder (Dye Plus, Takara). At last, the selected samples of Mg = 12 and Controls = 8, the results of ARMS-PCR were confirmed using DNA sequencing (ABI 3130, Foster City,
Table 1. Designed primers for rs1800629 genotyping.

| Primer-ID | Primer sequence (5′–3′) | Allele | No of base pairs | Tm (°C) | Total length (Bp) |
|-----------|--------------------------|--------|------------------|---------|------------------|
| TNFα-OF   | CAACACACGCTTTCCCTCCACCGGT |         | 28               | 69      | 400              |
| TNFα-OR   | TGCGACAAAGACCCATGAGGCTACCTGG |         | 28               | 69      | 251              |
| TNFα-IF   | GAGGGCAATAGTTTTGAGGCGGGCATG | G      | 28               | 69      | 202              |
| TNFα-IR   | GTAGGACCGCGGCTGAAACCCGTACT | A      | 29               | 69      |                  |

IF, inner forward; IR, inner reverse; OF, outer forward; OR, outer reverse.

USA). Further to define, the interaction of chromosomes with the rs1800629 SNP, the 3DSNP tool [16] was used to create Circos plots for visualizing the complete genomic data based on r2 values.

3.1.3 Statistical analyses

Pearson χ² assessment was used to calculate the allelic and genotypic frequencies of rs1800629 SNP between Migraineurs and controls. The distribution of genotype in the controls was determined by Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium (HWE value >0.05). The relationship of rs1800629 SNP with Mg was analyzed by calculating the OR and with 95% CIs. The statistical analysis was performed by SPSS V-21 (IBM Analytics, Chicago, IL, USA) and p < 0.05 would be considered as statistically significant. Further, the effects of polymorphism was also examined by calculating the OR, and 95% CIs in dominant (GA + AA vs. GG) and recessive (AA vs. GG + GA) genetic models (G-major, A-minor allele). Further, the association of parameters such gender, pain severity, and location of headaches with genotypes of Mgrs were examined.

3.2 Meta-analysis

3.2.1 Literature search for meta-analysis

Comprehensive literature was implemented in the available records such as Cochrane Library, NCBI-PubMed, MEDLINE, EMBASE, and Google Scholar up to December 2018 that addressed the association of TNFα gene polymorphisms with Mg. The keywords such as “Mg”, “MA, MO”, “Tumor Necrosis Factor alpha gene”, “and TNFα gene”, “SNP”, “rs1800629” were helped to identify the literature available in English Language only. Further relevant publications were identified by screening the references of the original research/full-text articles.

3.2.2 Selection criteria

Studies incorporated in this meta-analysis were necessary to meet the subsequent criteria. (i) Studies should have two groups (Case-Controls). (ii) Second, studies should have assessed the relationship of TNFα gene polymorphism (rs1800629) with Mg. (iii) Articles must provide adequate data on genotype frequencies. The studies conducted on human cell lines, editorials, case reports, letters and studies which lack genotype frequencies were excluded from this analysis.

3.2.3 Data mining and quality evaluation

The bibliographic search and records were mined by two investigators [PK and AN] and any contradictions were solved by a mutual conversation (RK, SSJ and AH). The information such as publication year, first author name, region of study, origin, Mg diagnostic criteria, DNA source, the sample size of Migraineurs and controls, genotype frequency and methods of genotyping has been extracted. The quality assessment was independently performed for each of the incorporated studies by HWE [17] and the Newcastle Ottawa Scale (NOS) [18].

3.2.4 Meta-analysis for 1800629 polymorphism

The relationship between TNFα rs1800629 polymorphism and Mg risk in overall and subgroup (Caucasian and Asian) analysis was assessed by OR and 95% CI under allelic (A vs. G), homozygote (AA vs. GG), heterozygote (GA vs. GG), recessive (AA vs. GG + GA), and dominant (GA + AA vs. GG) (G-major, A-minor allele) genetic models respectively. The heterogeneity (I²) between the included studies in this meta-analysis was assessed by Q-statistics and I² test [19]. If I² > 50%, the random-effects model was used, otherwise the fixed-effects model [20] was implemented. Further, we employed funnel plot analysis and Egger’s test [21] was executed by RevMan V.5 (Cochrane Community, London, UK) or STATA V.12 (Statacorp, LLC, US)

Table 2. Demographic characteristics of Migraineurs and controls.

| Factors                      | Migraineurs (N = 212) | Controls (N = 218) |
|------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| Men:Women                    | 70:142                 | 78:140             |
| Mean Age                     | 37.75 ± 7.68           | 35.33 ± 5.56 |
| Mg with:without aura         | 45:167                 | Nil                |
| Age of disease onset         | 26.9 ± 8.90            | Nil                |
| Family history of Mg         | 36                     | Nil                |
| Pain severity                | Low: 47                | Moderate: 63       |
|                             |                         | Severe: 102        |
| Location of headaches        | One side: 79           | Both Sides: 71     |
|                             |                         | Entire head: 62    |

Data are presented as mean ± SD.

3.2.5 Meta-analysis for 1800629 polymorphism

The relationship between TNFα rs1800629 polymorphism and Mg risk in overall and subgroup (Caucasian and Asian) analysis was assessed by OR and 95% CI under allelic (A vs. G), homozygote (AA vs. GG), heterozygote (GA vs. GG), recessive (AA vs. GG + GA), and dominant (GA + AA vs. GG) (G-major, A-minor allele) genetic models respectively. The heterogeneity (I²) between the included studies in this meta-analysis was assessed by Q-statistics and I² test [19]. If I² > 50%, the random-effects model was used, otherwise the fixed-effects model [20] was implemented. Further, we employed funnel plot analysis and Egger’s test [21] was executed by RevMan V.5 (Cochrane Community, London, UK) or STATA V.12 (Statacorp, LLC, US).
Table 3. Allele frequencies and genotype distribution of TNFα gene polymorphism in Migraineurs and controls.

| Polymorphism | Frequencies | Migraineurs (%) | Controls (%) | HWE | OR | 95% CI | $\chi^2$ | $p$-value |
|--------------|-------------|-----------------|--------------|-----|----|-------|--------|----------|
| rs1800629    |             | n = 212         | n = 218      |     |    |       |        |          |
| Allele       |             |                 |              |     |    |       |        |          |
| G            | 354 (83.49) | 360 (78.28)     | -            | Reference |
| A            | 70 (16.50)  | 76 (17.43)      | 0.93         | [0.65–1.33] | 0.71 | 0.394 |
| Genotype     |             |                 |              |     |    |       |        |          |
| GG           | 158 (74.52) | 152 (69.72)     | Reference    |       |
| GA           | 38 (17.92)  | 56 (25.68)      | 0.11         | [0.40–1.04] | 3.21 | 0.073 |
| AA           | 16 (07.54)  | 10 (04.58)      | 2.35         | [0.96–5.74] | 3.67 | 0.045* |
| Genetic models |         |                 |              |     |    |       |        |          |
| Dominant     | GA + AA vs GG | -               | -            | 0.58 | [0.26–1.32] | 1.66 | 0.138 |
| Recessive    | AA vs GG + GA | -               | -            | 1.27 | [0.83–1.93] | 1.23 | 0.157 |

HWE, Hardy Weinberg equilibrium; OR, Odd’s ratio; $\chi^2$, Chi-square; $p$-value, one tailed test; *, Significant $p$-value.

Fig. 1. DNA sequence electropherogram of rs1800629 polymorphism in TNFα gene.

4. Results

4.1 Geographical attribute

The geographical attribution of migraineurs and controls are summarized in Table 2. Amidst the 430 participants joined in this study, the age range in migraineurs and controls were 37.75 ± 7.68 and 35.33 ± 5.56. Further, the age at the disease (migraineurs) onset was 26.9 ± 8.90.

4.2 Genotypic frequencies of rs1800629 SNP among South-Indian Migraineurs

The genotype distribution of TNFα rs1800629 polymorphism in the experimental group and healthy volunteers was reliable with HWE ($p > 0.05$), signifying a good representation of the study population. Allele and genotype frequencies of rs1800629 polymorphism in the Migraineurs and controls along with HWE, Chi-square, ORs and 95% CIs, and $p$-value were explained in Table 3. The ‘A’ allele frequency for rs1800629 polymorphism in the Migraineurs was lower than the controls. The examination of rs1800629 polymorphism discovered a positive relationship with Migraineurs when linked to the controls ($p$-value < 0.05). The homozygous recessive (AA) genotype showed a substantial relationship with Mg, OR = 2.35 (95% CI [0.96–5.74]) $p$-value = 0.045, respectively.

Additionally, dominant and recessive genetic models were also analyzed, which showed insignificant associations between the Migraineurs and controls for rs1800629 polymorphism. The DNA sequence electropherograms representing the homozygous dominant, heterozygous and homozygous recessive genotypes of rs1800629 polymorphism are illustrated in Fig. 1. The sequences of TNFα genotypes were submitted in NCBI Genbank with Accession numbers: MH102359, MH105039, and MH105072. The chromosomal interactions with the rs1800629 SNP are represented by a Circos plot in Fig. 2. Simultaneously, the genotype association with gender, pain severity, and location of headaches were assessed in Mgrs,
Fig. 2. Circos plot illustrating the chromosomal interactions among the current SNP (rs1800629) and its associated SNPs.

| Study name         | Year | Country     | Ethnicity | Source of DNA | Diagnostic criteria | No of cases | No of controls | NOS score | Genotyping method | PCR, ARMS-PCR, RFLP, HRM |
|--------------------|------|-------------|-----------|---------------|---------------------|-------------|----------------|-----------|------------------|---------------------------|
| Asuni et al. [22]  | 2009 | Italy       | Caucasian | Blood         | ICHD-II             | 301         | 278            | 7         | PCR              |                           |
| Ates et al. [23]   | 2011 | Turkey      | Asian     | Blood         | HIS                 | 203         | 202            | 6         | ARMS-PCR          |                           |
| Fawzi et al. [11]  | 2015 | Egypt       | Egyptian  | Blood         | HIS                 | 200         | 200            | 8         | PCR-RFLP          |                           |
| Ghosh et al. [24]  | 2010 | North India | Asian     | Blood         | HIS                 | 216         | 216            | 7         | PCR-RFLP          |                           |
| Herken et al. [25] | 2005 | Turkey      | Asian     | Blood         | HIS                 | 60          | 62             | 6         | PCR-RFLP          |                           |
| Lee et al. [26]    | 2007 | Korea       | Asian     | Blood         | HIS                 | 439         | 382            | 6         | PCR-RFLP          |                           |
| Pappa et al. [27]  | 2010 | Greece      | Caucasians| Blood         | ICHD-II             | 103         | 178            | 7         | PCR-RFLP          |                           |
| Rainero et al. [28]| 2004 | Italy       | Caucasian | Blood         | HIS                 | 299         | 306            | 7         | PCR-RFLP          |                           |
| Stuart et al. [29] | 2013 | Australia   | Caucasian | Blood         | HIS                 | 335         | 345            | 7         | HRM, RFLP         |                           |
| This study         | 2018 | India       | Asian     | Blood         | HIS                 | 212         | 218            | 7         | ARMS-PCR          |                           |
| Yilmaz et al. [30] | 2010 | Turkey      | Asian     | Blood         | ICHD-II             | 67          | 96             | 7         | PCR-RFLP          |                           |

PCR, Polymerase Chain Reaction; RFLP, Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphism; ARMS-PCR, Amplification-Refractory Mutation System Polymerase Chain Reaction; HRM, High Resolution Melting analysis; HIS, International Headache Society; ICHD-II, International Classification of Headache Disorders; NOS, Newcastle-Ottawa Scale.
Fig. 3. Forest plot representing the overall risk for SNP rs1800629.
which showed significant influence of polymorphism with pain severity, and location of headaches (Supplementary file 1).

4.3 Literature search and study characteristics

The comprehensive literature search in the available databases using PRISMA guidelines retrieved a total of 176 publications of which 10 studies were selected for meta-analysis. All the selected studies [11, 22–30] were assessed for quality using on HWE and Newcastle Ottawa Scale. The main characteristics such as study name with year, ethnic origin, country, DNA isolation source, Mg diagnostic criteria, total no of study participants (Migraineurs and healthy controls), NOS Score, genotyping methods, allele and genotypic frequencies, HWE, and chi-square are summarized in Tables 4 (Ref. [11, 22–30]), 5 (Ref. [11, 22–30]). Between the selected articles, seven studies have used PCR-RFLP, whereas two studies (including our study) have adopted ARMS-PCR and the outstanding two researches used further techniques for genotyping.

4.4 Meta-analysis of rs1800629 polymorphism with Mg risk

4.4.1 The meta-analysis results (rs1800629) are demonstrated via forest plots in Figs. 3 and 4.

The heterogeneity analysis of TNFα rs1800629 polymorphism and Mg risk, revealed no obvious links under A vs. G OR = 1.20 (95% CI [0.82–1.76]), p = 0.35, GA vs. GG OR = 1.23 (95% CI [0.52–2.87]), p = 0.64, AA vs. GG OR = 0.78 (95% CI [0.51–1.18]), p = 0.23 GA + AA vs. GG OR = 1.19 (95% CI [0.79–1.80]), p = 0.402, and AA vs. GG + GA OR = 1.23 (95% CI [0.56–2.73]), p = 0.60 genetic models respectively. Further, Begg’s and Egger’s test were implemented which shown no noticeable publication preference in the present study. The sub-set stratification was performed based on ethnic background (Asian = 232

| Study name          | Cases | Controls | Cases | Controls | HWE | Chi-square |
|---------------------|-------|----------|-------|----------|-----|------------|
| Asuni et al. [22]   | 272/28/1 | 249/28/1 | 572/30 | 526/30 | 0.822 | 0.05       |
| Ates et al. [23]    | 125/78/0 | 162/40/0 | 328/78 | 364/40 | 0.118 | 2.43       |
| Fawzi et al. [11]   | 136/51/13 | 169/29/2 | 323/77 | 367/33 | 0.55  | 0.35       |
| Ghosh et al. [24]   | 175/41/10 | 191/24/1 | 391/41 | 406/26 | 0.793 | 0.06       |
| Herken et al. [25]  | 54/5/10  | 53/9/0   | 113/7  | 115/9  | 0.537 | 0.37       |
| Lee et al. [26]     | 377/61/1 | 338/41/3 | 815/63 | 717/47 | 0.168 | 1.89       |
| Pappa et al. [27]   | 89/14/0  | 145/31/2 | 322/77 | 367/33 | 0.55  | 0.35       |
| Rainero et al. [28] | 256/42/1 | 207/88/1 | 554/44 | 502/110 | 0.665 | 0.18       |
| Stuart et al. [29]  | 220/95/20 | 230/97/18 | 535/135 | 557/133 | 0.072 | 3.21       |
| This study          | 158/38/16 | 152/56/10 | 354/70 | 360/76 | 0.11  | 2.52       |
| Yilmaz et al. [30]  | 37/23/7  | 79/16/1 | 97/37  | 174/18 | 0.851 | 0.03       |

HWE, Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium.

| Study name          | Cases | Controls | Cases | Controls | HWE | Chi-square |
|---------------------|-------|----------|-------|----------|-----|------------|
| Asuni et al. [22]   | 272/28/1 | 249/28/1 | 572/30 | 526/30 | 0.822 | 0.05       |
| Ates et al. [23]    | 125/78/0 | 162/40/0 | 328/78 | 364/40 | 0.118 | 2.43       |
| Fawzi et al. [11]   | 136/51/13 | 169/29/2 | 323/77 | 367/33 | 0.55  | 0.35       |
| Ghosh et al. [24]   | 175/41/10 | 191/24/1 | 391/41 | 406/26 | 0.793 | 0.06       |
| Herken et al. [25]  | 54/5/10  | 53/9/0   | 113/7  | 115/9  | 0.537 | 0.37       |
| Lee et al. [26]     | 377/61/1 | 338/41/3 | 815/63 | 717/47 | 0.168 | 1.89       |
| Pappa et al. [27]   | 89/14/0  | 145/31/2 | 322/77 | 367/33 | 0.55  | 0.35       |
| Rainero et al. [28] | 256/42/1 | 207/88/1 | 554/44 | 502/110 | 0.665 | 0.18       |
| Stuart et al. [29]  | 220/95/20 | 230/97/18 | 535/135 | 557/133 | 0.072 | 3.21       |
| This study          | 158/38/16 | 152/56/10 | 354/70 | 360/76 | 0.11  | 2.52       |
| Yilmaz et al. [30]  | 37/23/7  | 79/16/1 | 97/37  | 174/18 | 0.851 | 0.03       |

HWE, Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium.

Fig. 4. Forest plot representing the overall risk for SNP rs1800629 under heterozygote model.
Table 6. Meta-analyses of rs1800629 polymorphism and Mg risk among subgroups.

| Genetic models     | Ethnicity | Q     | Q-p   | I²     | Model     | OR 95% CI     | Z-test | p-value |
|--------------------|-----------|-------|-------|--------|-----------|---------------|--------|---------|
| Allelic model      | Caucasian | 21.92 | <0.0001 | 86 Random | 0.70 [0.40–1.23] | 1.25 | 0.210 |
| A vs G             | Asian     | 20.93 | 0.0008 | 76 Random | 1.53 [1.02–2.31] | 2.03 | 0.040* |
| Homozygote model   | Caucasian | 7.23  | 0.06   | 58 Random | 0.46 [0.10–2.09] | 1.00 | 0.310 |
| AA vs GG           | Asian     | 7.34  | 0.12   | 45 Fixed | 1.75 [0.93–3.27] | 1.74 | 0.080 |
| Heterozygote model | Caucasian | 2.89  | 0.41   | 0 Fixed  | 1.21 [0.66–2.20] | 0.62 | 0.540 |
| GA vs GG           | Asian     | 6.49  | 0.17   | 38 Fixed | 0.57 [0.29–1.13] | 1.61 | 0.110 |
| Dominant model     | Caucasian | 18.31 | 0.0004 | 84 Random | 0.69 [0.39–1.22] | 1.26 | 0.210 |
| GA + AA vs GG      | Asian     | 23.85 | 0.0002 | 79 Random | 1.54 [0.95–2.50] | 1.75 | 0.080 |
| Reseссive model    | Caucasian | 6.20  | 0.10   | 52 Random | 0.51 [0.13–2.02] | 0.96 | 0.341 |
| AA vs GA + GG      | Asian     | 6.52  | 0.16   | 39 Fixed | 1.77 [0.94–3.31] | 1.78 | 0.070 |

*Significant (p value < 0.05).

06, Caucasian = 04 and others = 01). The sub-grouping of rs1800629 polymorphism in the background of Asian population discovered a substantial relationship in the allelic OR = 1.53 (95% CI [1.02–2.31]), p = 0.040 genetic model. Moreover, subgroup analysis of rs1800629 polymorphism in Caucasian ethnicity revealed an irrelevant relationship under five genetic models. The OR interprets a 95% CIs for the subgrouping of Asian and Caucasian ethnicity is signified in Table 6 and the funnel plot (Fig. 5).

5. Discussion

Mg is a multi-faceted neurovascular disorder with recurring attacks of a severe headache which are often accompanied by neurological disturbances and nausea. They have a solid genetic foundation such as disorders of Mg caused by variations in monogenic forms, as well as familial clustering in Mg which is related to polymorphisms in several genes (polygenic forms) [31]. The Global Burden of Diseases (GBD), Risk Factors and Injuries studies performed a large worldwide ethnicity-based cross-sectional survey on Mg and with the pressure-type headache from the year 1990 and 2016 using Bayesian meta-regression model. The results revealed that 3000 million individuals were approximated to have pressure-type headache/Mg in the year 2016, in which 1040 million (95% uncertainty interval [UI] 1.00–1.09) with Mg and 1089 million (95% UI 1.71–2.10) with pressure-type headache respectively. These estimates provide us the need for more focus on headache and its related disorders [32].

TNFα is a pro-inflammatory cytokine and a polypeptide effector that plays a major role in inflammatory reactions. They have the ability to activate the transcription of Calcitonin Gene-Related Peptide (CGRP) and thus contribute to their role in the pathology and physiology of Mg [33]. The TNFα promoter region harbors various SNPs which might have the ability to influence the serum TNFα levels. These SNPs are mainly considered as enhancers of transcriptional activation which are found to be associated with higher TNFα levels and they have also been documented with increased susceptibility in several neoplastic, autoimmune and communicable diseases [34, 35]. In this research, the relationship between TNFα rs1800629 polymorphism with Mg risk was carried out. This is the first case-control study to the best of our knowledge, which examines the relationship between TNFα rs1800629 genetic alteration and Mg vulnerability in the South Indian populace. The analysis of genotypic frequencies revealed significant relationship p-value (<0.05) between Migraineurs and controls recommending that TNFα rs1800629 genetic predisposition might be a positive vulnerable factor for Mg...
in the South Indian populace. Additionally, our analysis showed the influence of polymorphism on the pain severity, and location of headaches of Migraineurs. Overall, our results were in agreement with previous reports from an Egyptian population comprising 200 Migraineurs and 200 healthy controls [11].

The meta-analysis of TNFα rs1800629 polymorphism indicated irrelevant relationship in A vs G OR = 1.20 (95% CI [0.82–1.76]), AA vs GG OR = 1.23 (95% CI [0.52–2.87]), GA vs GG OR = 0.78 (95% CI [0.51–1.18]), GA + AA vs GG OR = 1.19 (95% CI [0.79–1.80]) and AA vs GA + GG OR = 1.23 (95% CI [0.56–2.73]), genetic models with p value > 0.05 respectively. The lack of association between rs1800629 variants might be due to different geographical distribution of study subjects, inherent heterogeneity of the disease. Parallel to our results of meta-analysis, rs1800629 polymorphism showed irrelevant relationships in Italian [22], and Greek subjects [27], whereas a positive relationship was observed in this study and Egyptian subjects [11]. The sub-grouping results based on Asian and Caucasian ethnicity revealed a significant association of TNFα rs1800629 polymorphism with Mg vulnerability only in an allelic genetic model of Asian sub-groups. Conversely, these relationships differed by clinical phenotypes and with ethnic background. Further, TNFα contributes towards the central sensitization through enhancing the excitatory and also by reducing inhibitory currents and by activation of COX2, these entire events play a crucial role in the development of inflammatory hyperalgesia [36].

This meta-analysis study has some limitations that must be considered such as; first, literatures available only in the English language were included. Second, stratification examination based on Mg with and without aura was not performed due to lack of equal availability of samples in both the conditions. Third, the genotypic frequencies were not correlated with the serum TNFα levels. Fourth, we may not enlighten the underlying interactions of gene-gene and gene-environment mechanisms.

6. Conclusions

To conclude, the association study of TNFα rs1800629 polymorphism revealed a positive association in South Indian Migraineurs. Nonetheless, the confounding variables related with Mg are necessary to approve our present findings. The meta-analysis results of TNFα -308G>A genetic predisposition may represent a vulnerable factor for Mg among Asians but not in Caucasians. Hence, well planned, enormous scale multicentric case-control studies have to be performed in Caucasian, Asian and other populations to identify the potential genetic risk factors and differences leading to Mg pathogenesis.
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