Organ-Specific Gene Expression Reveals the Role of the *Cymbidium ensifolium*-miR396/Growth-Regulating Factors Module in Flower Development of the Orchid Plant *Cymbidium ensifolium*
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Orchids are some of the most popular ornamental plants worldwide. Orchid floral morphology has increasingly attracted horticultural and commercial attention. Although multiple genes have been shown to be involved in the formation of the orchid flower, the underlying multi-level regulatory networks are largely unknown. In this study, we analyzed the ontogeny of flower development in *Cymbidium ensifolium*, a traditional orchid in the tropical and subtropical regions of Asia, by performing deep sequencing of the transcriptome of individual flower organs to discover organ-specific genes potentially involved in their growth. We identified 3,017 differentially-expressed genes (DEGs) during the development of various flower organs, and observed over-representation of GROWTH-REGULATING FACTORS (GRFs) specific to flower column (gynostemium). Eleven *C. ensifolium* GRFs (CeGRFs) from our transcriptome data clustered into five phylogenetic subgroups. Ten of these GRFs shared a region complementary to *C. ensifolium* microRNA396 (Ce-miR396), and degradome sequencing confirmed the cleavage of transcripts derived from seven CeGRFs. We cloned Ce-miR396 and used a protoplast-based transient expression system to overexpress it in *Cymbidium* protoplasts. We observed a significant decrease in the transcripts of several CeGRFs in flowers and leaves, indicating a potential role for miR396–GRF module in organ development through the cleavage of distinct CeGRFs. Temporal and spatial expression analysis indicated that most CeGRF transcripts accumulated in flower buds and column tissues, where Ce-miR396 expression was the lowest. Expression dynamics in wild type and floral-defective mutants further confirmed a strong correlation between Ce-miR396, CeGRFs, and flower organ development and column specification. Moreover,
INTRODUCTION

Orchids are a highly valuable floricultural crop. Comprising more than 25,000 species distributed in ~800 genera, orchids represent one of the largest families of flowering plants (Ramírez et al., 2007; Givnish et al., 2015). Over the past 1,500 years, more than 70,000 orchid hybrids and cultivars have been grown as ornamentals and medicinal plants, as well as food flavoring agents and essential oils (Wang et al., 2015; Li et al., 2017; Su et al., 2018). In China, Japan, South Korea, and Southeast Asia, orchids of genus *Cymbidium* are prized for their beautiful, fragrant flowers and elegant leaves. In particular, *Cymbidium ensifolium*, which belongs to subgenus Jensoa, blossoms many times a year and is thus highly valuable in flower markets of China (Zhang et al., 2015; Yang et al., 2017; Su et al., 2018).

Orchid flower is an important ornamental and industrial material. A standard orchid flower is composed of three petal-like sepals in the first whorl, two lateral petals, a specialized bottom petal (called lip or labellum) in the second whorl, and a column (also called gynostemium) formed by the fusion of pistil, stigma and pollinia in the middle of the flower. Homeotic mutations occur frequently in orchid family, that diversify their spectacular floral morphology (Aceto and Gaudio, 2011; Yang et al., 2017; Xiang et al., 2018). The molecular underpinnings of flower development in terms of floral organ number, arrangement, and initiation timing has been widely studied in the orchid family. A unique mechanism underlying perianth patterning of orchid plants is mediated by MADS-box type transcription factors (TFs) with some modifications of the arabidopsis ABCE model of flower development (Hsu et al., 2015). In addition, many floral meristem identity genes have been functionally characterized, including FLOWERING LOCUS T homologs (*Phalaenopsis aphrodite*, *Oncidium Gower Ramsey*, *Dendrobium nobile*), CONSTANS-like genes, LEAFY (*Phalaenopsis aphrodite*), and coregulated transcription factors such as CINNAMNATA-like (TCP-like) and SQUAMOSA promoter-binding-like (SPL-like) genes (Hou and Yang, 2009; Chou et al., 2013; Lin et al., 2013, 2016; Jang, 2015; Liu et al., 2016). In association with transcription factors, microRNAs play crucial roles in flower development by regulating TF transcript levels. The miRNAs have been detected by deep-sequencing in orchids, such as *Phalaenopsis aphrodite*, *Erycina pusilla*, and *Dendrobium officinale* (An and Chan, 2012; Wang et al., 2013; Yang et al., 2015). However, little is known about how miRNAs function in orchid flower formation.

MiR396 is an evolutionarily conserved miRNA that recognizes a complementary sequence in the mRNA of land plant GRFs, encoding a plant-specific family of transcription factors involved in the control of cell proliferation. MiR396/GRF regulatory network affects plant growth and responses to environmental changes (Omidbakhshfard et al., 2015). In the model plant arabidopsis, GRFs targeted by miR396 regulate leaf and cotyledon growth, embryogenesis, and development of stem, flowers, and roots (Liebsch and Palatnik, 2020). Diverse functions of this module have also been described in non-model plants. For example, the miR396–GRF/GRF-interacting factor (GIF) module influences tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*) fruit size (Cao D. et al., 2016), *Brassica* sp. root and leaf growth (Hong et al., 2018), maize (*Zea mays*) leaf size and plant height (Wu et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2018), soybean (*Glycine max*) nematode resistance (Liu et al., 2017), and rice (*Oryza sativa*) plant height, meristem function, flowering time, inflorescence architecture, heading date, seed size and pathogen resistance (Gao et al., 2015; Sun et al., 2016; Shimano et al., 2018; Tang et al., 2018). MiR396–GRF module makes a crucial hub coordinating various growth and physiological responses with endogenous and environmental signals. This makes it a highly promising target for crop breeding and biotechnology. However, considering the extensive functional redundancy or sub-functionalization inherent to GRF family members resulting from sequence divergence and their distinct temporal and spatial expression patterns, the specific nature of underlying mechanisms remain unclear for many species.

The GRF family of TFs remains to be revealed in orchids. We therefore carried out the first comprehensive analysis and molecular dissection of GRF gene family in *C. ensifolium*, integrating comparative transcriptome analysis, gene structures, phylogenetic relationships, conserved protein motifs and expression patterns associated with plant development and flower ontogeny. We confirmed the existence of a conserved miR396–GRF module in orchids. We also examined the relationship between Ce-miR396 and CeGRFs among different flower patterning varieties and their role in orchid flower development, revealing a large contribution to column specification. Transgenic *Nicotiana tabacum* overexpressing Ce-miR396 displayed curved pistil and reduced fertility. This study therefore illustrates that CeGRFs are regulated by Ce-miR396 during orchid flower development by regulating TF transcript levels. The miRNAs have been detected by deep-sequencing in orchids, such as

**Abbreviations:** GRF, growth regulating factor; GIF, GRF-interacting factor; DEGs, differentially expressed genes; GO, gene ontology; KEGG, Kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes; TF, transcription factor; PTEs, protoplast-based transient expression system; NF-Y, nuclear factor Y; TALE, three-amino-acid-loop-extension; Bzip, basic leucine zipper domain; bHLH, basic helix-loop-helix.
development and provides building blocks for molecular breeding of orchids in the enhancement of their remarkable floral patterns.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Plant Materials and Growth Conditions**
Wild-type plants and the natural mutants of *C. ensifolium* were artificially cultivated and collected from the cultivation base of Environmental Horticulture Research Institute, Guangdong Academy of Agricultural Sciences, China. All plants were grown and maintained in pots in a greenhouse at day/night temperatures of 26/23°C under 16-h light/8-h dark photoperiod.

**Library Construction and Illumina Sequencing**
For transcriptome sequencing, we constructed independent cDNA libraries for sepal, petal, labelum, and gynostemium obtained from floral buds at developmental stage 3 of three individual plants, separately. The floral apex resembles an inverted triangle, and the ventral outer sepal and petals grow quickly in this stage. Two replications were included in each sample to create eight multiplexed cDNA libraries. The mRNAs were purified from total RNA using Oligotex mRNA Midi Kit (QIAGEN, Germany) and quantified using NanoDrop 2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, United States) to generate the cDNA library according to Illumina manufacturer’s instructions (Yang and Zhu, 2015). The purified mRNAs were fragmented to approximately 200 bp and subjected to first strand and second strand cDNA synthesis, followed by adaptor ligation and low-cycle enrichment using TruSeq®-RNA HT Sample Prep Kit (Illumina, United States). The purified library products were evaluated with Agilent 2200 TapeStation and Qubit®-2.0 (Life Technologies, United States) and diluted to 10 pM for cluster generation in situ on the HiSeq3000 pair-end flow cell, followed by sequencing (2 × 100 bp). An average of more than 75 million reads were generated for each sample.

**Degradome Library Construction and Sequencing**
The total RNA quantity and purity were analyzed using Bioanalyzer 2100 and RNA 6000 Nano Lab Chip Kit (Agilent, CA, United States) with RIN number > 7.0. Approximately 20 μg of total RNA was used to prepare Degradome library. We followed the method of German et al. (2008) with some modification. Briefly, about 150 ng of poly(A) + RNA was isolated annealed with Biotinylated Random Primers. The biotinylated RNA fragments were captured by streptavidin. The annealed products containing 5’-monophosphates were ligated to 5’ adaptor, followed by reverse transcription and PCR. Libraries were sequenced using the 5’ adapter only. Then, the single-end sequencing (36 bp) was performed on Illumina Hiseq2500 at the LC-BIO (Hangzhou, China).

**Analysis of Differentially Expressed Genes**
Gene expression levels were calculated by FPKM values: 

$$FPKM = \frac{[\text{total transcript fragments/mapped fragments (millions)}]}{\text{transcript length (kb)}}.$$

Significant differences in gene expression between wild type and mutant were determined using edgeR. The false discovery rate (FDR) was applied to identify the threshold of P-value in multiple tests. An FDR < 0.05 and $|\log_2 \text{ratio}| > 1$ (two-fold change) were set as the threshold of significant difference in gene expression. Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were annotated using gene ontology (GO) and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) enrichment analyses (Yang and Zhu, 2015; Yang et al., 2017). All the DEGs were mapped to GO terms (or KEGG pathways) in the databases and gene numbers were calculated for each term (or pathway) (Kanehisa and Goto, 2000; Conesa et al., 2005). Then a hypergeometric test was applied to find significantly enriched terms in DEGs compared to the genomic background. For this purpose, we used the corrected P-value ≤ 0.05 as a threshold for significantly enriched GO terms and Q-value ≤ 0.05 as a threshold for KEGG pathways.

**Protoplast Isolation and Transfection**
The plasmid pAN580-GFP containing dual cauliflower mosaic virus 3SS promoter and GFP gene was used as the empty control. The 124-bp sequence of Ce-miR396 precursor was cloned into vector pAN580-GFP, and replaced with the GFP gene, resulting in the plasmid pAN580-pre-Ce-miR396. The young leaves and flower buds at developmental stage 3 of *C. ensifolium* were collected for protoplast isolation. The protoplast isolation was conducted following the past protocols (Negrutiu et al., 1987). Petals were cut into 0.5–1.0 mm strips and transferred into the freshly prepared enzyme-solution [1.0% (weight/volume, w/v) Cellulase R10, 0.5% (w/v), Macerozyme R10, 500-mM D-mannitol, 20-mM KCl, and 20-mM MES (pH = 5.7), 10-mM CaCl$_2$, 0.1% (w/v) BSA]. The released protoplasts were harvested after incubation at 28°C in the darkness with rotations of 30 rpm for 5–6 h. Protoplast transfection was carried out using PEG-mediated protocol with slight modifications. Briefly, an equal volume of freshly prepared PEG solution (40% (w/v) PEG 4000, 0.2 M mannitol and 0.1 M CaCl$_2$) was gently mixed with plasmid DNA in MMG solution [15 mM MgCl$_2$, 0.4 M mannitol and 4 mM MES (pH = 5.7)]. Transfected protoplasts were incubated at 23°C for 6–36 h in the darkness. Transfection efficiency was measured according to the expression of the GFP reporter of transient expression vector pAN580-GFP (Ren et al., 2020). The transfection efficiency was about 80% in both leaf- and flower-derived protoplasts. The GFP fluorescence was observed and 3–5 images were taken in random distribution under an LSM 710 confocal laser scanning microscope. Total RNA was extracted 0, 12, 24, and 36 h after transfection for reverse transcription followed by quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR), to analyze transcript abundance of CeGRFs and Ce-miR396 in vivo.

---
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Stem-Loop Reverse Transcription-PCR of miR396 and Reverse Transcription-Quantitative PCR of Target Growth-Regulating Factor Genes

The cDNA of mature miRNA was prepared using miRNA reverse transcription kit M-MLV (Takara, China), and the reverse-transcribed products were used as template for RT-qPCR with gene-specific primers. The miRNA specific stem-loop primers and gene-specific RT-qPCR primers were designed according to the protocol described previously (Chen et al., 2005). For target gene validation, the cleavage site-spanning fragments of GRF genes were detected. Total RNA extracted from different tissue types was reverse-transcribed by oligo (dT) primed cDNA synthesis protocol (Fermentas). The resulting cDNA was subjected to quantitative PCR using Bio-Rad CFX-96 RealTime PCR System (Bio-Rad, United States) in a final volume of 20 µl containing 2 µl of cDNA and 10 µl of SYBR premix Ex-taq™ (Takara, Japan). Ubiquitin was used as an internal control for normalization to compare gene expression level between the accessions. For each reported result, at least three independent biological samples were subjected to a minimum of three technical replicates. The primers designed with Primer 7.0 software are listed in Supplementary Table 1.

Multiple Sequence Alignment and Phylogenetic Analysis

Cymbidium ensifolium growth-regulating factor coding sequences were identified in our transcriptome dataset using a Basic Local Alignment Tool (BLAST) analysis for proteins. A total of 92 complete protein sequences were aligned using MUSCLE, including 11 CeGRFs from Cymbidium ensifolium, 9 AtGRFs from Arabidopsis thaliana, 12 OsGRFs from Oryza sativa, 17 BrGRFs from Brassica rapa, 14 ZmGRFs from Zea mays, 10 DcGRFs from Dendrobium catenatum, 10 AsGRFs from Apostasia shenzhenica, and 9 PeGRFs from Phalaenopsis equestris. Based on alignment, a Maximum likelihood (ML) phylogenetic tree was constructed using MEGAX with 1,000 bootstrap replicates and Jones-Taylor-Thornton method (Kumar et al., 2016). The online software iTOL was applied to edit the phylogenetic tree. The conserved motifs of CeGRF proteins were analyzed online using Multiple Expectation Maximization for Motif Elicitation (MEME) with default parameters.

Plasmid Construction and Tobacco Transformation

We generated cDNAs for the precursor fold-back structure of Ce-miR396 by reverse transcription. After verification by sequencing, we inserted pre-Ce-miR396 into the pCambia1300 vector downstream of the constitutive Cauliflower Mosaic Virus 35S promoter. This construct was introduced into Agrobacterium tumefaciens strain GV3101 for transformation of tobacco by the leaf-disk method, as described in our previous work (Yang et al., 2009). Transgenic plants were screened on an MS medium containing 10 mg/L hygromycin, and 21 independent lines were obtained.

Scanning Electron Microscopy

Dissected apices of mature flowers were fixed in a solution of 3% glutaraldehyde and 2% formaldehyde for 24 h. Samples were dehydrated in acetone, critical-point dried in liquid CO₂, and mounted on stubs and sputter coated with 25 nm gold. Samples were examined using a JSM-6360LV (JEOL) scanning electron microscope.

RESULTS

Ontogeny of Cymbidium ensifolium Flower Development

Cymbidium ensifolium commonly takes 3 years to reach reproductive maturity. Inflorescence meristems (IM) are produced in the peripheral regions of axillary buds and 6 to–11 flowers develop from each IM (Supplementary Figure 1). We divided floral development into six stages (from 0 to 5) according to visible changes in flower morphology (Figure 1). A crescent bract primordium initiates around the inflorescence meristem (Figure 1A), then the flower meristem emerges with a flattened and oval flower meristem (Figure 1B, stage 0), which continues to enlarge and form a floret primordium (FP) (Figure 1C, stage 1). A central transversal depression can be observed in FP, from which a labellum primordium (LP) initiates in the adaxial region, followed by development of two lateral sepal primordia (SP). The abaxial portion of primordia then enlarges, turning into two lateral petals and median sepal primordia. The column primordium finally emerges from the central region, establishing a typical orchid floral zygomorphy in this phase (Figures 1D,E, stage 2). This process is similar in the closely related species C. sinense, but develops much faster with a completion time of about 2 days (Su et al., 2018). During stage 3, the floral apex resembles an inverted triangle, with outer sepals overlapping the inner petals (Figures 1F,G). The ventral outer sepal and petals grow quickly and cover the column, which consists of an empty locule with no pollinia (Figure 1H). At stage 4, two ventral inner petals progressively shelter the labellum and column. The labellum develops a kinked to undulated margin, and the edge of labellum begins to curl toward column (Figures 1I,J). The column rapidly elongates at this stage but the pollinia are still not mature (Figure 1K). At stage 5, floral organ development completes with three petal-like sepals in the first whorl, two lateral petals and a specialized bottom petal (the lip or labellum) in the second whorl, and a fine-structured column in the central part (Figures 1L,M). The column includes carpel and stamens that are differentiated and evolved through the complete fusion of style, stigma, and staminal filament, and has four pollinia on a semi-circular viscidium (Figure 1N).

Transcriptome Profiling

To identify key regulators controlling the specification and growth of individual floral organs, we isolated total RNA from...
each floral organ during stage 3. At this stage, all flower organ primordia differentiation is completed, enabling a comparative transcriptome analysis. From six pairwise comparisons between sepals, petals, labellum and gynostemium, we identified between 108 and 2,167 differentially-expressed unigenes in individual pairwise comparisons. A total of 3,017 unigenes exhibited significant changes in expression. The number of DEGs between tissues correlated with the degree of their morphological differences. The largest differences occurred between the gynostemium and sepals, with 1,324 up-regulated and 843 down-regulated transcripts. Moreover, 1,143 and 738 transcripts were differentially expressed in the gynostemium compared with petals (Supplementary Figure 2A). The smallest number of DEGs (108 transcripts) were found between sepals and petals. The number of DEGs in other pairwise comparisons ranged from 1,091 to 1,881 transcripts (Supplementary Table 2).

Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment analysis identified 41 GO terms significantly enriched among different floral organs. The main GO biological processes included oxidation-reduction and metabolism. The cellular components were mainly assigned to mitochondrion, plastids, and plasma membrane. The molecular functions predominantly involved metal ion binding and ATP binding (Supplementary Figure 2B and Supplementary Table 3). We identified 334 enriched KEGG pathways. The “metabolic and biosynthesis of secondary metabolites” pathway had the most DEGs, followed by “photosynthesis” and “plant hormone signal transduction” (Supplementary Table 4). Non-redundant (NR) annotation results indicated that most of the enriched transcripts were connected to plant metabolic processes, oxidation-reduction processes, and establishment of cell structures. We also observed a number of DEGs involved in secondary metabolism and oxidation-reduction pathways, such as genes encoding the enzymes, including acetyltransferase, transketolase, and NADP dependent oxidoreductase. Notably, many TFs among our DGEs; specifically, a large fraction (8 out of 11) of GRF family members were up-regulated in the inner whorls of flower (the column), suggesting a putative role in flower organ development and/or differentiation (Supplementary Figure 2C).
Identification and Sequence Analysis of *Cymbidium ensifolium* Growth-Regulating Factor Genes

We identified 11 CeGRF genes coding sequences in our transcriptome dataset. Considerable variation in length was present in their coding sequences, ranging from 468 to 1,788 bp. The deduced proteins varied between 155 and 595 amino acids (*Supplementary Table 5*). All of the putative CeGRF proteins shared the conserved QLQ and WRC domains in their N-terminal regions (*Figure 2A*). A zinc finger motif (CCCH) was also present within the WRC domains of all CeGRF proteins. Four CeGRFs (CeGRF2, CeGRF3, CeGRF4, CeGRF5, and CeGRF6) shared short stretches of amino acids termed FFD (phenylalanine, phenylalanine, and aspartate) and TQL (threonine, glutamine, and leucine) domains near their C-termini (*Figure 2B*).

The Multiple Em for Motif Elicitation (MEME) program predicted 20 distinct protein motifs in CeGRF proteins (*Figure 2C*). All CeGRFs contained motif 1 (QLQ motif) and motif 2 (WRC motif) at their N-terminus. Each CeGRF possessed 5–10 conserved motifs, except CeGRF8 which only possessed motifs 1 and 2 and showed an overall low sequence similarity with other GRFs. The closely related CeGRF1, CeGRF2, and CeGRF3 shared motifs 1–6; CeGRF2 presented domain 7 as well, while CeGRF3 had both motifs 7 and 8. The more distant CeGRF4, CeGRF5, and CeGRF6 contained the QLQ and WRC motifs, as well as motifs 8–14. The remaining CeGRF9, CeGRF10, and CeGRF11 contained additional motifs 15–20. Detailed information for each motif is listed in *Supplementary Figure 3*.

We conducted a phylogenetic analysis of GRFs from different species to understand their evolutionary relationships. As shown in *Figure 3* and *Supplementary Figure 4*, 92 GRFs clustered into six subgroups (I–VI) by the Maximum Likelihood phylogenetic tree method constructed by MEGA X. Subgroup II contained clusters of GRFs from monocot species only, while subgroup V was specific to dicot species only. CeGRFs were distributed across 5 out of 6 subgroups and were not represented in subgroup V, consistent with their classification as monocots. Subgroups III and V were relatively small, with only eight and nine GRFs each, respectively. By contrast, subgroup IV contained the largest number of GRFs (twenty-eight), followed by subgroups II (nineteen), I (seventeen), and VI (eleven). Notably, we found GRFs from orchid plants (*Cymbidium ensifolium*, *Dendrobium catenatum*, *Apostasia shenzhenica*, and *Phalaenopsis equestris*) within subgroups I, II, and III, which clustered away from monocot and dicot plants, indicating that GRFs are highly conserved between closely related orchid species and are clearly separated from other genera and more distant species.

*Cymbidium ensifolium* Growth-Regulating Factors Are Regulated by *Cymbidium ensifolium*-miR396

Arabidopsis GRFs are the predicted target genes for 21-nt microRNA miR396. CeGRF genes (except CeGRF7) share a highly similar sequence over the length of predicted miR396 complementary sequence, with only one mismatch and one bulge in the miR396 binding site (*Figure 4A*). Through degradome sequencing which is a powerful and efficient approach for the validation of miRNA-target genes, we observed that the transcripts of 7 out of 11 CeGRFs were cleaved by miR396.
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Ce-miR396/GRF Function in Flower Development

FIGURE 3 | Phylogenetic relationships among GRFs. Neighbor-joining phylogenetic tree was constructed by MEGAX.0 software with the Poisson model and 1,000 bootstrap computations. The GRF proteins were grouped into six distinct types, and represented GRFs from Arabidopsis thaliana (At), Oryza sativa (Os), Brassica rapa (Br), Zea mays (Zm), Dendrobium catenatum (Dc), Apostasia shenzhenica (As) and Phalaenopsis equestris (Pe). Each species is represented by a different color.

at the correct predicted position [the 11th nucleotide “A” of the target sequence “UCGUUCAAGAAaGCGUG(A)UGGA”] in C. ensifolium, with significant alignment scores between 1 and 3.5 and associated p-values ≤ 0.05. CeGRF6 showed the largest normalized read counts out of all detected CeGRFs, followed by CeGRF10, indicating a strong in vivo signal (Figure 4B and Supplementary Table 6).

To further confirm the miR396-GRF regulatory module in C. ensifolium, we searched for Ce-miR396 precursor in our transcriptome dataset and identified a 124 bp sequence containing mature Ce-miR396 and complementary Ce-miR396* (Figure 4C). We overexpressed it in C. ensifolium using an improved and robust protoplast-based transient expression system (PTES) (Ren et al., 2020; Figures 5A,B). Mature Ce-miR396 was successfully overexpressed in Cymbidium protoplasts, increasing 150 to 350-fold within 36 h after transfection, which was accompanied by the down-regulated expression of CeGRFs, in agreement with the results from degradome sequencing. Notably, in leaf protoplasts, CeGRF2, CeGRF3, CeGRF4, CeGRF5, and CeGRF6 transcript levels decreased significantly starting 24 h after transfection, reaching 40–60% in 36 h after transfection compared to time 0, while CeGRF1, CeGRF9, CeGRF10, and CeGRF11 transcripts were not affected (Figure 5C). Contrarily, in flower protoplasts, the cleavage site-spanning fragments of CeGRF9, CeGRF10, or CeGRF11 were almost undetectable, while no significant change in CeGRF2, CeGRF3, CeGRF4, CeGRF5, or CeGRF6 expression was observed (Figure 5D and Supplementary Figure 5).
Differential regulation of individual genes is likely due to different tissue-specific expression patterns of individual CeGRF genes.

**Expression Dynamics of Cymbidium ensifolium-miR396/Cymbidium ensifolium Growth-Regulating Factor Tightly Correlate With Reproductive Organ Development**

To validate the results obtained from DGEs and further explore the role of Ce-miR396/CeGRF in flower development, we analyzed their expression patterns in different floral developmental stages and organs by stem-loop (for Ce-miR396)- or RT (for CeGRFs)- qPCR. In general, all CeGRF genes were predominantly expressed in young buds (stages 1–4), reaching 15–2,500 fold higher levels than in other tissues, while Ce-miR396 was markedly low in these stages (Figure 6). The expression levels of CeGRFs were in general the lowest in roots, stems, and leaves, except for CeGRF7 and CeGRF8, which showed the lowest expression levels in mature flowers. CeGRF1, CeGRF4, CeGRF5, and CeGRF11 exhibited similar expression patterns and showed relatively high transcript levels in flowers, and the lowest levels in roots. CeGRF2, CeGRF3, CeGRF8, CeGRF9, CeGRF10,
and CeGRF11 were highly expressed during floral developmental stages 1–3. CeGRF6 and CeGRF7 were more strongly expressed in floral developmental stages 2–4 compared to other tissues. By contrast, the expression of mature Ce-miR396 was low in developing floral buds and high in roots and leaves, generally showing the opposite expression pattern from CeGRFs (Figure 6).

*Cymbidium ensifolium* growth-regulating factors, except CeGRF7, showed higher expression in inner whorls than in the other tissues (Figure 6). CeGRF1, CeGRF4, CeGRF5, and CeGRF8 were mainly expressed in the column. CeGRF3, CeGRF6, CeGRF9, and CeGRF10 were highly expressed in the lip and column, reaching to 5–150 fold increase in expression, consistent with the high transcriptome expression of CeGRFs in the inner flower whorls. By contrast, Ce-miR396 showed relatively high expression in petal and sepal, and the lowest expression in column (Figure 7A). Thus, these results revealed a strong negative correlation between Ce-miR396 and CeGRFs transcript levels, with antagonistic distribution mainly in the column.

*Cymbidium ensifolium* evolves a number of natural variation types of flower organs according to floral organ transformation and/or reversion. In this study, three typical natural flower morphology mutants, which produce null-labellum flowers (Var1), stamenoid-like tepals (Var2), or multi-tepals (Var3), were employed to determine the relationship between CeGRF gene expression and flower development. When compared with standard flowers (ST), most CeGRFs (with the exception of CeGRF4 and CeGRF7) were more strongly expressed in the varieties that developed stamenoid-tepal (Var 2) or multi-tepal (Var 3) flowers, with 2.5–270 fold increase in expression (Figure 7B). Ce-miR396 displayed the same trend (Figure 7B). However, reduced or comparable expression levels were detected in Var 1, which developed similar perianth structures with no lips or column, suggesting a strong correlation between CeGRF expression and reproductive organ development. By contrast, CeGRF4 and CeGRF7 had comparable expression levels in different floral organs, possibly reflecting functional differences among gene family members.

**Regulation of Flower Development by *Cymbidium ensifolium*-miR396 Overexpression in Tobacco**

To further dissect the function of Ce-miR396, we generated transgenic tobacco plants overexpressing Ce-miR396. We obtained 21 independent transgenic plants, a subset of which was analyzed for the presence of Ce-miR396. As shown in Figure 8A,
All T1 plants had higher levels of Ce-miR396 ranging from 3.5 to 245 folds increase compared with wild type and empty construct. We further searched the tobacco genome sequence database and 41 putative NtGRF sequences were obtained. Among them, eight sequences with the highest identity with arabidopsis GRFs were selected for expression verification in wild-type and transgenic tobacco plants using quantitative RT-PCR (Supplementary Figure 6A). By amplifying the cleavage site-spanning fragment, we determined that, compared with WT, levels of eight NtGRF-like genes were significantly decreased in three independent transgensics lines 6, 7, and 8 (Supplementary Figure 6B), indicating a strong negative regulation of NtGRF transcript levels by Ce-miR396.

The transgenic plants were shorter and had small and narrow leaves compared to wild type (Figure 8B). These phenotypes resembled those seen in the grf1 grf2 grf3 triple mutant in arabidopsis and transgenic tobacco plants overexpressing arabidopsis miR396 (Kim and Kende, 2004), further supporting a conserved role of Ce-miR396 reflected by this narrow-leaf phenotype. The perianth of transgenic plants was similar in
structure with that of wild type but was severely curved (Figures 8C,D). The stamens were shorter and some of the anthers developed abnormally with no pollen. Even when the anthers properly released their pollen, stamens were much shorter than pistils, leading to partial sterility, which is similar to the phenotypes associated with Arabidopsis lines overexpressing miR396 (Figures 8E,F).

DISCUSSION

Expression Patterns of Growth-Regulating Factor Genes in Cymbidium ensifolium

Orchid floral development is a hot research topic. We previously published the analysis of 111,892 C. ensifolium transcript clusters derived from de novo assembly of flower transcriptome (Yang and Zhu, 2015). In the present study, we dissected the C. ensifolium flower ontogeny for the first time, and identified 3,017 DEGs by comparative transcriptome analysis among different floral organs. Most DEGs were involved in plant metabolic processes, oxidation-reduction, plant hormone signaling and establishment of cell structures. These are related to the developmental processes underlying flower development, i.e., cell division, membrane-building, and regulation of anabolism. We also identified a number of TFs from several families, including the well-known floral-related MADS-box, NAC, NF-YC, TALE, as well as bZIP, bHLH, FAR1, Zn-finger, and MYB, highlighting the importance of TFs in organ-specific development (Supplementary Table 7). Notably, we observed significant expression of most CeGRF genes in the
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**Figure 7** | Expression of Ce-miR396/CeGRF Correlates with Flower Development. Expression of CeGRF genes and Ce-miR396 in different floral organs examined by RT-qPCR and stem-loop PCR, respectively. We analyzed individual floral organs (sepal, petal, lip, and column) (A) and the whole flowers of three floral varieties that develop non-lip (Var1) or stamenoid-tepal (Var2) or multi-tepal flowers (Var3) (B). The Y-axis indicates fold change (Log value) in expression among different floral organs. Expression levels were normalized using the threshold cycle values obtained for the Ubiquitin gene. The samples from sepal and the wild-type flower (WT) were used as a standard to present fold change (Lg). Error bars indicate the standard deviation of the mean (SD) (n = 3). Three replicates were analyzed, with similar results.
column, signifying the possible role of CeGRFs in C. ensifolium flower development.

Investigating tissue specificity in expression patterns can provide clues to tissue development. Indeed, GRFs are expressed mainly in specific organs and tissues. For example, in Medicago truncatula, eight GRF genes exhibited lower expression in leaves compared with their expression in other tissues of roots, shoots, and flowers (Bazin et al., 2013). In Chinese cabbage, seven (out of 17) BrGRF genes showed higher expression in young leaves compared to other tissues (Wang et al., 2014). In cucumber (Cucumis sativus), CsGRFs were highly transcribed in ovaries, although CsGRF4 and CsGRF6 exhibited the highest expression in leaves, and CsGRF7 was only detected in roots (Shi et al., 2019). Collectively, these studies highlight the tissue-specific expression diversity of GRFs in various plant species (Cao Y. et al., 2016).

Compared to GRFs in other plants, our results showed that 10 out of the 11 CeGRF genes were predominantly expressed in fast-growing floral buds. In addition, we detected much higher expression of CeGRF genes in the column of both wild type and varieties with an over-developed column (Var 2 and Var3, Figure 7B), and a converse lower expression in Var1 with no column. These results reveal the important role of GRFs in flower development, specifically in column formation and growth. Moreover, it is worth noting that genes belonging to the same phylogenetic groups displayed a similar expression pattern, for example CeGRF2/CeGRF3 in subgroup I, CeGRF4/CeGRF5 in subgroup II, and CeGRF9/CeGRF10 in subgroup IV (Figure 3). This suggests that members of same group, sharing the same functional domains, play similar functions in flower development.

Different Spatio-Temporal Activity of Cymbidium ensifolium-miR396/Cymbidium ensifolium Growth-Regulating Factors in Cymbidium ensifolium

MiR396 is an evolutionarily conserved miRNA that recognizes a complementary sequence in GRF genes. The components of this miR396–GRF module are conserved in seed plants and work antagonistically to affect the development of various organs in many species. A few examples of development and responses mediated by the miR396–GRF module include floral organ growth, development and fertility (rice and maize), seed and grain size (rice, arabidopsis, Brassica napus, and tomato), shoot and inflorescence growth (arabidopsis, tomato, rice, and maize), flowering time (arabidopsis and rice), pathogen resistance (Arabidopsis and rice), photosynthetic activity (arabidopsis and rice), senescence delay (arabidopsis, drought tolerance (arabidopsis), root growth (rice), leaf angle (rice and maize) and embryogenic transition (arabidopsis) (Kim et al., 2003, 2012; Horiguchi et al., 2005; Luo et al., 2005; Kuijt et al., 2014; Li et al., 2016; Kim, 2019).
This clearly illustrates that miR396 and GRFs may have different regulatory roles in different species during plant development, as a consequence of different activity, over time and development, of the downstream target genes. In our study, CeGRFs were regulated differently in different organs. When Ce-miR396 was overexpressed in a C. ensifolium, a subset of CeGRFs (CeGRF2, CeGRF3, CeGRF4, CeGRF5, and CeGRF6) behaved similarly in leaf protoplasts, exhibiting a similar expression pattern and Ce-miR396-mediated cleavage, lowering their transcript levels up to 40–60%. The same CeGRFs were, however, not affected by Ce-miR396 overexpression in flower protoplasts. By contrast, CeGRF9, CeGRF10 and CeGRF11 exhibited the opposite behavior. The transcript levels of CeGRF1 and CeGRF7 showed no significant differences in either case. These results indicate the functional diversity of individual members. In addition, CeGRF9, CeGRF10, and CeGRF11 may play important roles in flower development, under the regulation of Ce-miR396.

Floral Development Regulation of Cymbidium ensifolium by Cymbidium ensifolium-miR396/Cymbidium ensifolium Growth-Regulating Factor

The miR396/GRF module in both eudicots and monocots plays essential roles in the growth and development of floral organs, although the specific mechanism remains unclear for many species. Detailed developmental studies of various higher order loss-of-function mutants like gif1 gif2 grf3 and associated co-activators gif1 gif2 gif3, as well as gif mutants overexpressing miR396 in arabidopsis have uncovered the individual contribution of specific family member in reproductive development (Liang et al., 2014). Arabidopsis GIFs are essential factors for carpel and stamen development, regulating the formation and maintenance of meristematic structures of male and female reproductive organs. In addition, the functionality of the miR396/GRF module is conserved in eudicots floral organ development, causing aberrant floral organs reminiscent of grf and gif mutant phenotypes (Kim and Tsukaya, 2015; Lee et al., 2018).

However, this conservation does not extend to monocots, because the aberrant floral development caused by miR396/GRF in monocots is morphologically distinct from that of eudicots (Luo et al., 2005). Overexpression of rice miR396, or double mutants in the rice GRF6 and GRF10 genes produced open husks, long sterile lemmas, and/or anomalous stigma and anther numbers (Duan et al., 2015). The maize gif1 mutant produced sterile male and female flowers, with multiple silks (pistils) per floret and nucellus protruding out of the carpel (Wu et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2018). This diversity of phenotypes was explained by the interaction of GIF with tissue-specific GRFs, leading to the regulation of distinct downstream targets. A complementary mechanism may also call upon the regulation of GRFs by different miR396 loci. For example, in arabidopsis, overexpression of miR396a causes a more severe floral organ defect phenotype than that of miR396b. Notably, the TF HECATE1 (Gremmiski et al., 2007), which controls carpel development in arabidopsis, is a direct target gene of miR396/GRF module, whereby GRF levels influence floral organ growth and development. In rice, Os-miRNA396d and its targets OsGRF6 and OsGRF10, together with OsGIF1, are involved in the regulation of floral organ development through Jumonji C domain-containing histone demethylase OsJMJ706 and the crinkly4 receptor-like kinase OsCR4 (Liu et al., 2014).

In this study, we conclude that Ce-miR396 and CeGRF9, CeGRF10, and CeGRF11 are involved in the regulation of floral development based on: the positive correlation between gene expression and phenotypes, target gene cleavage by Ce-miR396 in flower resulting in decreased CeGRF transcript levels, and the phenotypes caused by Ce-miR396 overexpression in tobacco. Considering the preferential expression of CeGRF9, CeGRF10, and CeGRF11 in the column of wild type and varieties with over-development of column, and their lower expression in a variety lacking the column structure, we hypothesize that CeGRF9, CeGRF10, and CeGRF11 function in column development. Notably, CeGRF9, CeGRF10, and CeGRF11 belongs to subgroup V and clustered closely with genes that were reported to function in floral development in other plants, such as arabidopsis GRI, GRF2, and GRF3, as well as rice GRF6. This indicates a conserved role across eudicot and monocot species despite the different consequences of their respective overexpression, such as open husks for OsGRF6, or fused or curved flowers for arabidopsis GRI, GRF2, and GRF3. However, the orchid floral organs, and especially the column, are unique floral structures that may indicate a shift in protein functions and interactions in floral homeotic genes (Mondragón-Palominio and Theissen, 2008; Hsu et al., 2015). In this sense, screening of target genes downstream of GRFs and their co-activator GIF will further extend our knowledge of the regulation of Ce-miR396/CeGRF in orchids flower development.
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