Small molecule activation of the neurotrophin hepatocyte growth factor to treat Alzheimer disease
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Abstract

Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is a neurodegenerative disease characterized by progressive neuron loses in memory-related brain structures. Five drugs have been approved by the FDA to treat Alzheimer’s disease; however, these drugs have failed to modify or significantly slow disease progression. New therapies are needed to delay the course of this disease and hopefully prevent further neuron losses. This review describes available AD drugs and several novel approaches presently being investigated. We next describe relevant biomarkers and urge greater research interest in the potential utilization of neurotrophic agents to treat AD. Neurotrophins such as nerve growth factor, brain-derived neurotrophic factor and hepatocyte growth factor (HGF) are capable of stimulating dendritic arborization, synaptogenesis, stem cell differentiation, neurogenesis, and decreases in neuroinflammation, oxidative stress-induced damage and neurotoxicity due to a wide range of cellular insults. We present the strategy of utilizing small molecule analogs specifically designed to penetrate the blood-brain barrier and facilitate dimerization and activation of the HGF/Met receptor system. These molecules have been shown to encourage the formation of new functional synaptic connections, induce long-term potentiation and augment memory consolidation and retrieval in animal models of AD. Such molecules may be appropriate for use at the first indication of mild cognitive impairment, and perhaps prophylactically in those individuals who are most likely to develop dementia due to genetic, health, behavioral and lifestyle predisposing factors.
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INTRODUCTION

Alzheimer's disease (AD) is a unique neurodegenerative disease causing progressive neuron damage in memory-associated structures, specifically neocortex, piriform cortex, hippocampus, locus ceruleus and the nucleus Basalis of Meynert. These areas display neuronal increases in amyloid-β (Aβ) peptides and plaque formation, tau pathology, neuroinflammation, oxidative stress and gliosis\(^{[1-3]}\). AD patients also display additional biomarkers including cerebrovascular pathology and vascular dysfunctions, decreases in cerebral blood flow, cerebrovascular reactivity, and neurovascular coupling, leading to brain deficits in oxygen and nutrient delivery\(^{[4,5]}\).

In 1907 Alois Alzheimer described the symptoms presented by a 51-year old woman, Auguste Deter. These symptoms included progressive memory problems coupled with psychiatric disturbances. This patient died 5 years after diagnosis. Dr. Alzheimer indicated that her brain was atrophic with neuron losses and evidenced senile plaques and neurofibrillary tangles\(^{[6]}\). He went on to conclude that even though the presence of senile plaques and neurofibrillary tangles were observed, “the plaques are not the cause of senile dementia, but only an accompanying feature of senile involution of the central nervous system”\(^{[7]}\). Despite this warning research regarding AD has long been focused on the “amyloid cascade hypothesis” and strategies to prevent and/or remove plaques\(^{[2,8]}\). Unfortunately, the results seen from anti-amyloid (plaque buster) clinical trials have been disappointing\(^{[9]}\).

There are approximately 5.8 million AD patients in the U.S. and this number is predicted to reach 14-16 million by 2050\(^{[10]}\). The number of AD patients worldwide is expected to triple from 47 to 132 million by 2050\(^{[11]}\). Chronologically at age 60 the frequency of AD is approximately 1%, at 65 years 10 %, at 85 years 30% and is now the sixth leading cause of death in the U.S.\(^{[10]}\). Annual U.S. treatment and care costs are presently $70-100 billion\(^{[12]}\) and worldwide more than $600 billion\(^{[13]}\), a number predicted to reach $3 trillion by 2050\(^{[11]}\). A breakthrough in treatment is desperately needed otherwise the increasing patient numbers and associated treatment and care costs will severely impact our healthcare systems. Beyond these costs are the hardships and sacrifices suffered by family members and other caregivers, accompanied by the frustration experienced by health care professionals as patient cognitive abilities slowly decline.

This review describes the available FDA approved AD drugs, examples of drugs that have recently failed in clinical trials, and tentative reasons for these failures. There are several potential AD biomarkers thus far identified, and these are listed with several highlighted as particularly important. We recommend that at the present time electroencephalogram (EEG)-based measurements, including basal state analyses and event related potentials (ERPs), be used in clinical trials to evaluate new pro-cognitive therapeutics. Next, the many challenges associated with drug design are discussed and we urge additional research attention to neurotrophins given their demonstrated ability to address several of the pathologies that characterize AD. However, results have been poor because neurotrophins do not readily cross the blood-brain barrier and degrade rapidly in biological medium\(^{[14]}\). Over recent years two trials with nerve growth factor (NGF) designed to protect forebrain cholinergic neurons (early to moderate stage AD patients) were terminated after phase 1\(^{[15,16]}\) (NCT00017940, NCT00876863). Other investigators have attempted to facilitate the availability of central brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) with injections of human BDNF directly into the patient’s brain with minimal positive results\(^{[17]}\). Such discouraging findings have prompted research efforts directed at the development of small molecules configured to penetrate the blood-brain-barrier (BBB) and stimulate brain neurotrophins. Longo and colleagues have synthesized a small molecule BDNF mimetic that activates the TrkB receptor and facilitates motor learning in traumatic brain injured rats\(^{[18]}\). Members of our laboratory have developed small molecule hepatocyte growth factor (HGF) mimetics as discussed in the final section. These analogs have been shown to facilitate the formation of new functional synaptic connections, induce long-term potentiation (LTP), and augment memory consolidation and
retrieval in animal models of AD. Thus, worldwide drug development efforts need re-evaluation and new strategies given that current efforts have been unsuccessful.

**FDA APPROVED DRUGS**

To date only two major drug categories have received FDA approval to treat Alzheimer disease despite intensive research efforts in the U.S. and around the world. Cholinesterase inhibitors including Razadyne, Exelon and Aricept, disrupt the degradation of acetylcholine thus extending the half-life and availability of this neurotransmitter acting at central cholinergic muscarinic and nicotinic receptors\[^{19-21}\]. Namenda (memantine HCl) acts as an N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptor antagonist designed to limit glutamate excitotoxicity and resulting neuronal damage\[^{19,22,23}\]. A fifth drug, Namzaric, is a combination of Namenda and Aricept\[^{10}\].

None of these drugs delay the symptoms of AD nor do they prevent the progressive neurodegeneration seen in patients with this disease. There are several new drugs being evaluated designed to reduce cellular accumulations of Aβ by inhibiting beta-secretase\[^{24}\], and employing monoclonal antibodies to Aβ\[^{25,26}\]. Although Roche and Eli Lilly have experienced drug failures in this regard, Biogen’s antibody to Aβ, aducanumab (BIIB-037), has moved into Phase III clinical trials with promising results at low doses\[^{27}\]. It must be noted that continuation of aducanumab to Phase III occurred only after a secondary data analyses suggesting some positive results. Tau aggregation inhibitors are also being tested to discourage neurofibrillary tangles\[^{28}\]. Over the past 15 years more than 400 clinical trials of drugs to treat AD have been conducted\[^{29}\]. Of those reporting outcomes nearly, all have shown little if any benefits\[^{30}\].

An efficacious treatment for AD has not been forthcoming in part due to the large numbers of potentially important biomarkers, the difficulty in determining their diagnostic reliability and validity, and the multifactorial characteristics of the disease process. Also, the established diagnostic indicators present in AD are seen in other forms of dementia including frontotemporal, vascular, diffuse Lewy body, corticobasal, dementia due to Parkinson’s disease, type 2 diabetes, and HIV infection, as well as normal aging\[^{31}\]. Several prominent investigators have speculated that the pathology of this disease initiates many years prior to the emergence of clinical symptoms\[^{32-34}\]. Thus, research interest has focused on the development of early detection techniques via monitoring saliva, serum, CSF, neuroimaging biomarkers, and cognitive dysfunction\[^{35}\]. Reliable detection at the earliest signs of AD related pathology could permit drug treatment many months or even years ahead of symptoms. Also, there is growing concern regarding concussion-induced cortical damage seen in children and young adults who participate in American football (chronic traumatic encephalopathy), boxing, martial arts, and soccer (due to headers)\[^{35,36}\]. Evidence indicates that in severe cases repeated concussions can encourage mild cognitive impairment (MCI), a predisposing condition often preceding AD\[^{37}\]. The next section concerns the importance of biomarkers in the process of developing treatment strategies.

**BIOMARKERS FOR ALZHEIMER’S DISEASE**

Given the failure of amyloid plaque clearance drugs, and the likelihood that the current focus on tau aggregation inhibitors will also yield disappointing results, new therapeutic approaches are clearly needed to alter clinical outcome for Alzheimer’s patients. These failures may be traced to the use of inappropriate biomarkers as guides to treatment development. Various biomarkers are not all equivalent and have differential value for disease diagnosis and drug development. The following represents a current definition of biomarkers. A biomarker is defined as a “characteristic that is measured as an indicator of normal biological processes, pathogenic processes, or responses to an exposure or intervention, including therapeutic interventions. It is not an assessment of how an individual feels, functions, or survives”\[^{38}\].
There are many potentially useful biomarkers presently proposed making them difficult to prioritize; however, there is reasonable agreement that with aging comes the increased likelihood of compromised innate immunity, oxidative stress-induced damage, infection and neuroinflammation accompanied by microgliosis\cite{2,3,39-42}. With time microglia alter phenotype thus encouraging inflammation and neurodegeneration\cite{40,43-45}. In addition, brain microglia-derived cytokines (IL-1β, IL-6, IL-12, and TNF) become elevated and appear to facilitate disease progression\cite{46}. Recently, neurofilament light chain has been proposed as a useful marker for AD as evidenced in Down syndrome patients prone to Alzheimer's disease\cite{47}.

Some biomarkers, for example the accumulation of phospho-tau proteins, or the decrease in Aβ42 levels in the CSF, serve as excellent diagnostic markers but may be less valuable as evaluation tools for therapeutic development. These alterations in levels have likely taken years to manifest resulting in well-established functional deficits. Thus, changes in these biomarkers do not accurately assess real-time brain function since the underlying and established deficits in neuronal functioning are unaffected by their absence. As such, they are not useful assessment tools for drug development. By contrast, biomarkers that directly reflect the level of neuronal activity and real-time drug-induced changes in this activity offer superior tools for therapeutic evaluation. There are two practical options presently available to assess neuronal activity and monitor electrical activity and/or metabolic flux. Foremost are EEG-based measurements including basal state analysis and ERPs. Spectral analyses of resting EEGs from dementia patients generally exhibit increased power in lower frequency waves and a decline in higher frequencies\cite{48}. Putative pro-cognitive molecules shift the pattern of both low and high frequency waveforms toward normal patterns indicating improvements in synaptic function. Second, and perhaps more useful as drug evaluation tools, are ERPs. ERPs are time-locked potentials initiated by sensory, motor, or cognitive stimuli that represent the summed value of synchronized excitatory and inhibitory postsynaptic potentials, and as such are a measure of overall synaptic activity. The latencies of these potentials increase significantly in dementia patients suggesting degraded synaptic communication. Of the various ERPs studied, P300’s ability to reflect the encoding of attention and working memory processes appears to be the most clinically relevant. Specifically, decreases in P300 amplitude and longer latencies indicate a decline in cognition\cite{49}.

An alternative to EEG-based electrical markers that provide a real-time signature of neuronal activity are metabolic biomarkers that reflect the energy needs of neurons, which are in turn dependent upon their activity. Most common is the use of 2-deoxy-2-(18F) fluoro-D-glucose positron emission tomography (PET), which measures energy demand by trapping a non-metabolizable glucose analog that carries a PET label. Perhaps less direct, and another step removed from real-time brain activity, are blood-flow measurements. Blood flow is dependent on oxygen and nutrient demand which is driven by energy utilization and neuronal activity. Alzheimer’s patients typically exhibit significantly decreased cerebral blood flow\cite{50}. The complication in using blood-flow measurements as an evaluation tool concerns the potential role of vascular insufficiency as a contributor to some forms of dementia.

Major classes of drugs currently in clinical trials include neuroprotectants, stem cell therapies, tau vaccines, humanized antibodies, metabolic modulators, neurotransmitter modulators, DNA modifications, anti-hypertensives, NSAIDs, and a host of various “remedy” and vitamin treatments\cite{29}. A first step in evaluating these new approaches and their probability of success may be to align the time course of useful AD-associated biomarkers with the posited treatment strategy. As discussed above, EEG-based measurements, including basal state analyses and ERPs, may be useful as tools to evaluate the therapeutic efficacy of these compounds.

A treatment strategy designed to significantly delay the symptoms and pathology of this disease could maintain the patient’s quality of life and reduce health care costs. It has been estimated that an onset
delay of 5 years would reduce the number of diagnosed patients by upwards of 50% [51,52]. Research efforts must continue with the ultimate goal of preventing neuronal damage and preserving normal cognitive functioning. However, efforts must also focus on the interim strategy to slow neuron losses in brain structures impacted including neocortices, hippocampus, locus ceruleus and the nucleus basalis of Meynert by stimulating synaptogenesis, neurogenesis and reducing neuroinflammation and oxidative stress. Such a strategy could significantly offset major memory-related symptoms. There are several neurotrophic agents capable of initiating such beneficial processes including NGF, BDNF, neurotrophin-3 and neurotrophin-4/5 [53-55]. There is an additional overlooked neurotrophin, HGF, which acts via the Met receptor. The HGF/Met system has been offered as a promising target for the treatment of AD [21,31,56,57]. The next section discusses the design and development of drugs to treat AD.

**DRUG DESIGN CHALLENGES**

Several issues must be addressed concerning the development of an efficacious drug to treat AD: (1) the drug must penetrate the BBB to influence damaged neurons in memory-associated brain structures. This may be a problem with some drugs that pass with difficulty or require piggy-back, nano- or viral technologies; (2) the half-life of the compound must be of sufficient duration to maintain a therapeutic level; (3) the avenue of drug delivery must be convenient for use by the patient and/or caregiver. This suggests oral, cutaneous (patch) or subcutaneous (as with pen delivery) routes. Once the drug satisfies these challenges it is preferable that it possess the following important characteristics; (4) the ability to promote stem cell proliferation, differentiation, and neurogenesis, and encourage synaptogenesis in memory related brain structures; (5) evidence neuroprotection especially against neuroinflammation, oxidative stress-induced damage and decreased cerebral blood flow; (6) facilitate LTP, memory consolidation and retrieval; and (7) delay, and hopefully prevent, the onset of MCI and cognitive dysfunctions associated with AD.

There are few neurotransmitters, neuromodulators or growth factors capable of satisfying the above listed criteria and preventing AD-associated dysfunctions. However, as earlier suggested neurotrophic agents possess characteristics that make them excellent candidates. Of these BDNF has received considerable attention regarding depression and stress [58] and AD [55,59,60]. However, neurotrophins have had little success in clinical trials directed at neurodegenerative diseases due to their poor pharmokinetic profile and large molecular weight that significantly impedes penetration of the BBB [61,62]. Jang et al. [61,63] have reported that the small molecule antidepressant drug amitriptyline is capable of binding to the BDNF target Trk receptor, induce receptor dimerization, and autophosphorylation. Receptor dimerization is a prerequisite to activation of neurotrophins and downstream signaling. Thus, the use of amitriptyline may serve as a “short-cut” past BDNF to receptor activation and have a positive impact against AD. This drug is being tested in clinical trials conducted with depressed and chronic pain patients but not AD [69]. The neurotrophin, HGF, acts via the Met receptor. When activated the HGF/Met system has been shown to stimulate mitogenesis, motogenesis, morphogenesis and protect against tissue insults in several cellular targets including epithelial, endothelial, and neurons. This system has been offered as a target for the treatment of AD and is discussed in the next section [21,31,56,51].

**DEVELOPMENT OF SMALL MOLECULES DESIGNED TO ACTIVATE THE HGF/MET SYSTEM**

In the 1990s members of our laboratory began testing the effectiveness of angiotensin IV (AngIV) and metabolically stable AngIV analogs to facilitate memory in animal models of AD [Figure 1]. In an effort to develop a useful drug we synthesized a number of AngIV-based compounds possessing extended half-lives [64-65]. Our work resulted in one receptor agonist, Nle¹-AngIV and two receptor antagonists, divalinal-AngIV and norleual-AngIV [66-69]. We determined that Nle¹-AngIV improved memory in animal models of AD. This nootropic effect derived from its N-terminal region given that tetra- and tripeptide fragments of Nle¹-AngIV were also capable of overcoming scopolamine-induced amnesia [70-72]. In addition, Nle¹-AngIV
and these shorter fragments, facilitated hippocampal synaptic connectivity via synaptogenesis. Synaptic functionality of these synapses was demonstrated via the presence of analog-induced spinogenesis, and the colocalization of synaptic markers in newly formed dendritic spines which were coupled with enhanced miniature excitatory postsynaptic currents. With these results in hand we became convinced that a clinically useful small molecule analog could be designed that possessed the important characteristics of metabolic stability, extended half-live, BBB penetrability and the capability to enhance cognitive functioning. Continued efforts resulted in an analog characterized by increased hydrophobicity, decreased hydrogen bonding potential coupled with increased metabolic stability bonding potential, and significantly increased metabolic stability. This small molecule, dihexa, penetrates the BBB, induces spinogenesis/synaptogenesis at picomolar concentrations, and is slowly cleared from the blood (plasma stability $t_{1/2} = 335.5\text{ min}$). Also, dihexa can be delivered via parenteral routes of administration.

Dihexa displays several important characteristics. It binds with high affinity to HGF inducing dimerization and Met phosphorylation in the presence of subthreshold levels of HGF [Figure 2]. High affinity binding sites are located at two sites on the α-chain, specifically at the N-terminal domain and the first Kringle domain. Binding sites with lower affinities are also located on the second and fourth Kringle domains but...
appear to be of less importance\textsuperscript{[75-77]}. Dihexa also stimulates hippocampal spinogenesis and synaptogenesis equivalent with HGF. Treatment with an HGF antagonist, "hinge", as well as a short hairpin RNA directed at Met, significantly inhibited these actions. Further, dihexa facilitated memory consolidation and retrieval in the scopolamine-induced amnesic rat model of AD, as well as in memory compromised aged rats as measured using the Morris water maze task of spatial memory\textsuperscript{[73]}. Taken together these results indicate that dihexa can reverse the memory deficits induced by scopolamine and aging.

The brain HGF/Met system appears to be designed to respond to injury as seen in stroke and neurodegenerative diseases by facilitating synaptic plasticity and neurogenesis. This hypothesis is supported by elevations in brain HGF levels measured in several degenerative diseases including amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, multiple sclerosis, Parkinson's disease, spinal cord injury and AD\textsuperscript{[78-82]}. Although brain HGF levels are initially elevated in AD patients, they do not appear to be sustained.

CONCLUSION

Progress is being made concerning early detection of MCI. The development of a new efficacious drug to delay, and hopefully prevent, the onset of AD symptoms must catch up with these efforts. This will require a shift in our thinking. This shift is supported by the following facts and conclusions from past findings: (1) β-amyloid-induced plaques and neurofibrillary tangles define AD but may not cause it. These
cellular markers are likely consequential to other deleterious dysfunctions; (2) efforts to develop drugs to rid neurons of amyloid plaque buildup have thus far failed to improve cognitive processing. It is likely that current efforts to prevent neurofibrillary tangles will also fall short regarding improved memory functioning; (3) Alzheimer’s disease has a multitude of potential causes. These include, but are not limited to, genetic predisposition, neuroinflammation, head trauma, untreated hypertension, diabetes, Parkinson’s disease, HIV infection and normal aging. It may be necessary to attack each of these likely causes with separate drug development programs; (4) presently available drugs do not promote synaptogenesis of existing neurons. Loss of synaptic connections is a major cause of neuronal apoptosis and discourages neurogenesis; (5) current research must focus on the development of drugs that penetrate the BBB, facilitate cognitive processing, and protect against the loss of synapses and neurons; (6) neurotrophic agents offer the ability to facilitate synaptogenesis, neurogenesis and neuroprotection thus greater research attention must be directed toward creating small molecule analogs designed to penetrate the BBB and activate these brain systems; and (7) it is likely that a successful approach to treating AD will require several different “multiple target directed ligands” (MTDLs). Neurotrophic small molecule analogs may be useful in configuring such strategies.
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