Behavior of the P1.HTR mastocytoma cell line implanted in the chorioallantoic membrane of chick embryos

Ş.F. Avram1,2, A.M. Cimpean1 and M. Raica1

1Department of Histology, Angiogenesis Research Center, Victor Babeş University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Timișoara, Romania
2Department of Pharmacognosy, Victor Babeş University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Timișoara, Romania

Abstract

The P1.HTR cell line includes highly transfectable cells derived from P815 mastocytoma cells originating from mouse breast tissue. Despite its widespread use in immunogenic studies, no data are available about the behavior of P1.HTR cells in the chick embryo chorioallantoic membrane model. The objective of the present investigation was to study the effects of P1.HTR cells implanted on the chorioallantoic membrane of chick embryos. We inoculated P1.HTR cells into the previously prepared chick embryo chorioallantoic membrane and observed the early and late effects of these cells by stereomicroscopy, histochemistry and immunohistochemistry. A highly angiotropic and angiogenic effect occurred early after inoculation and a tumorigenic potential with the development of mastocytoma keeping well mast cells immunophenotype was detected later during the development. The P1.HTR mastocytoma cell line is a good tool for the development of the chick embryo chorioallantoic membrane mastocytoma model and also for other studies concerning the involvement of blood vessels. The chick embryo chorioallantoic membrane model of mastocytoma retains the mast cell immunophenotype under experimental conditions and could be used as an experimental tool for in vivo preliminary testing of antitumor and antivascular drugs.
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Introduction

Mast cells are normal residents of tissues (1) and have been recognized as key cells of type I hypersensitivity reactions by expressing critical effector functions in classic IgE-associated allergic disorders (2). Mast cells exert distinct non-immunological functions, being involved in tissue homeostasis, fibrosis and angiogenesis. The involvement of mast cells in angiogenesis has been strongly supported by clinico-pathological (3-5) and experimental studies (6,7) in different malignant and non-malignant diseases, but their role in the angiogenic process is still not well characterized. The involvement of mast cells in angiogenesis is supported by the ability of these cells to secrete growth factors and molecules that induce vascular hyperpermeability (8). Convincing data supporting a role for mast cells in tumor progression via formation of new blood vessels have accumulated (3,4). On the other hand, it is not yet completely understood if mastocytoma cells have the same properties. Between 1976 and 2011, up to 15 published articles have reported the different effects of mast cells or some of their components on the chick embryo chorioallantoic membrane model regarding angiogenesis and inflammation but no chorioallantoic membrane mastocytoma model was established.

Designed by van Pel et al. (9) and Wölfel et al. (10), P1.HTR mastocytoma cells (Mouse DBA/2 mastocytoma) are a murine mastocytoma cell line derived from the P815 cell line after serial cycles of transfection. P815 cell lines offer several advantages for in vivo experimentation regarding the tumor-host relationship (11) but no data are available about the behavior of P1.HTR-transfected cells in the chick embryo chorioallantoic membrane model.

Because of the lack of data concerning the use of P1.HTR cells as an experimental tool for obtaining a mastocytoma tumor model, we proposed here to study the early and late effects of the P1.HTR cell line implanted on the chick embryo chorioallantoic membrane.
Material and Methods

Experimental study design
The chorioallantoic membrane was prepared according to the method described by Ribatti et al. (12). Briefly, two groups of 20 White Leghorn eggs each (control group and treated group) were incubated at 37°C for 3 days. On the third day of incubation, 2-3 mL albumin was removed and a window was opened in the eggshell to observe the chick embryo’s chorioallantoic membrane. The study started on day 7 of incubation by inoculation of mastocytoma cells below the chorioallantoic membrane.

Cell inoculation and experiment monitoring
We used the P1.HTR cell line, a highly transfectable variant of the P815 mastocytoma cell line (9). Cultured tumor cells were washed in Dulbecco’s PBS (DPBS) and 10³ living cells in 100 μL PBS were inoculated below the chorioallantoic membrane. Inoculation was performed in a blood vessel-free area of the chick embryo chorioallantoic membrane to avoid hemorrhagic events. Specimens were then carefully observed step by step at 30 min and at 6 and 24 h after inoculation by vascular network analysis using a Zeiss stereomicroscope (Germany). Pictures were captured with a Canon camera (USA) attached to the stereomicroscope.

Morphology and histochemistry
We performed in ovo staining of the specimens at 6 and 24 h after inoculation using Alcian blue/safran histochemistry and routine hematoxylin and eosin staining followed by immunohistochemistry for paraffin-embedded specimens collected at 24 h and 7 days after inoculation. Before the staining procedures, all specimens were fixed in 10% buffered formalin. Specimens collected 24 h post-inoculation were previously fixed with 10% buffered formalin and stained in ovo with Alcian blue/safran for 15 min to visualize mast cells. Serial 5-μm thick sections were obtained from the paraffin-embedded specimens and stained with hematoxylin and eosin for morphologic assessment. Microscopic analysis was followed by slide selection for immunohistochemistry.

Immunohistochemistry
We used a panel of vascular and mast cell markers for the immunostaining of paraffin-embedded specimens. Endothelial cells of the chick embryo chorioallantoic membrane vessels were highlighted using the FVIII-related rabbit polyclonal antigen (1:200 dilution, Dako, USA). Smooth muscle actin (clone 1A4, ready to use, Dako) was used to stain perivascular cells. For mast cell identification, we performed two immunohistochemical procedures using anti-mast cell tryptase antibodies (AAT1, 1:300 dilution, Dako) and anti-mast cell chymase antibodies (ready to use, polyclonal, Neomarkers, LabVision, USA). Incubation with the primary antibodies for 30 min was followed by the use of labeled streptavidin biotin complex and 3,3’-diaminobenzidine as chromogen. Nuclei were stained with modified Lillie’s hematoxylin. The full immunohistochemical procedure was performed in an automated fashion using the DakoCytomation PT Link for the antigen retrieval step and DakoAutoStainer for the next steps of the immunohistochemical procedure (DakoCytomation, Denmark).

Microscopic analysis
The in ovo behavior of blood vessels and P1.HTR cells was assessed with a Zeiss Stemi DV4 SPOT stereomicroscope equipped with a Canon camera. Microscopic evaluation of morphologically, histochemically and immunohistochemically stained specimens was performed using a Nikon Eclipse E600 microscope (Japan). The Lucia G software was used for image capture and processing. We quantified blood vessel number and morphology together with mast cell distribution and phenotype.

Results

In vivo macroscopic assessment
Inoculation of P1.HTR cells in DPBS as vehicle was performed carefully in a chick embryo chorioallantoic membrane area free of blood vessels (Figure 1A). A proper inoculation was recognized by the presence of a small bubble at the inoculation site (Figure 1B). No hemorrhagic events occurred.

Thirty minutes later, assessment of the chick embryo chorioallantoic membrane revealed vascular changes around the inoculation site. Small, perfused blood vessels oriented toward the inoculation site were found and a hyperemic reaction occurred. The development of the vascular network continued and, at 6 h post-inoculation, we observed a well-developed network of interconnected small blood vessels and the presence of spotted hemorrhagic areas (Figure 1C). Hemorrhagic events were persistent and became more evident 24 h post-inoculation together with a mature, functional vascular area with a “cobblestone-like” pattern with a high density of small, perfused interconnected blood vessels (Figure 1D).

Microscopic evaluation was first performed in in ovo fixed and stained treated and control specimens and then in paraffin-embedded morphologically and immunohistochemically stained sections.

One day after P1.HTR cell inoculation, in ovo Alcian blue/safran-stained specimens were collected, mounted on electrically charged slides and evaluated. Alcian blue-stained mast cells were found as small groups along the main vessels of the chick embryo chorioallantoic membrane. Mast cell groups were surrounded by a high density of small, thin, perfused blood vessels with a “wheel spoke-like” arrangement around them (Figure 2A, red arrows). The newly formed vascular network observed
in this stage was confirmed by microscopic assessment of paraffin-embedded specimens. Hematoxylin and eosin-stained sections showed an increased number of highly split perfused and unperfused blood vessels in treated specimens, 24 h after inoculation (Figure 2B) compared to control (Figure 2C). When we highlighted them with the FVIII-related antigen, the vascular marker was found to be expressed heterogeneously among blood vessels, being positive in most blood vessels and weakly positive or negative in others (especially unperfused and split small blood vessels). This finding suggested the presence of both immature and mature blood vessels (Figure 2D). Mature blood vessels had a continuous layer of smooth muscle actin-positive perivascular cells around the endothelial layer. Microvascular density ranged from 3 to 5 vessels/microscopic field 20 × in the normal chorioallantoic membrane to 24 vessels/microscopic field 20 × in treated specimens (data not shown).

Seven days post-inoculation, a well-developed macroscopically detected tumor mass was observed beneath the chorioallantoic membrane (Figure 3A). The tumor mass had a bluish-red appearance and was highly vascularized by blood vessels recruited from preexisting vessels surrounding the tumor (Figure 3B). Alcian blue/safran staining on an uncut specimen showed a mixture of alcianophil (blue) and safraninophil (red) cells, which composed the previously described tumor mass (Figure 3C).

By immunohistochemistry, we certified tumor cell positivity for mast cell tryptase and mast cell chymase. Microscopically, the tumor mass was composed of closely packed cells with atypical nuclei and a granular cytoplasm positive for mast cells tryptase and chymase (Figure 3D). Also, tryptase- and chymase-positive granules scattered between mastocytoma cells suggested an intense degranulation process.

**Discussion**

The P1.HTR mastocytoma cell line, a highly transfec-table variant of the P815 mastocytoma cell line, has been frequently used in tumor immunology studies in vitro (13) or in in vivo mouse models (11,14). Extensive studies have been performed concerning the influence of P1.HTR cells on cellular and humoral antitumor immune...
responses (15,16) but no effects on the host's vasculature have been described before. Also, except for mice, no other in vivo animal tumor model was used before for the assessment of the P1.HTR mastocytoma cell line.

Involvement of mast cells in inflammation, autoimmune disease and tumorigenesis has been extensively studied (17-19). Recently, not only symptoms produced by mast cell degranulation but mast cells themselves have been studied as potential therapeutic targets in a broad spectrum of diseases from allergy (20) to neoplastic disease (21,22). However, the validation of mast cells as a therapeutic target in various diseases needs more experimental in vitro and in vivo studies.

For this purpose, we proposed here a chick embryo chorioallantoic membrane model for step-by-step evaluation of the effects of the P1.HTR mastocytoma cell line on the blood vessel network and also for the assessment of the tumorigenic potential of mastocytoma cells.

Because of its naturally immunoincompetent feature until embryonic day 17 (23) and also because of the lack of data concerning the presence of host mast cells in the chorionic mesenchyme, the chick embryo chorioallantoic membrane represents an appropriate tool for the evaluation of the effects of engrafted exogenous mast cells on both vascular network and chorionic stroma. Moreover, a direct, dynamically macroscopic and microscopic evaluation of mast cell behavior can be used to test therapy at specific time points. In the present model, we avoided the use of any carrier for engrafting mast cells and we preferred to inoculate them directly beneath the chorionicallantoic membrane. Using in ovo formalin-fixed specimens and histochemistry followed by microscopic evaluation, we demonstrated a highly angiotropic effect of mast cells early after inoculation. Although several studies have reported the angiogenic effects of mast cells and/or their granules on chick embryo chorioallantoic membrane (6,24,25), the angiogenic mechanism by which mast cells induce the development of new blood vessels

Figure 2. Assessment of chick embryo chorioallantoic membrane 24 h post-inoculation of P1.HTR cells. Mast cells were grouped around the main vessels (red circle) and a high density of small blood vessels convergent to mast cell clusters was observed (A, white arrows, Alcian blue/safran method on uncut membrane). Histology of the chorioallantoic membrane 24 h post-inoculation (B). Note a high density of split small perfused and unperfused blood vessels in treated specimens compared to normal chick embryo chorioallantoic membrane (C). Immunostaining with FVIII-related antigen highlighted a heterogenous distribution of a positive reaction in endothelial cells from newly formed blood vessels in treated specimens. Note the homogeneous intense positive reaction in the capillary plexuses beneath the chorionic epithelium (red arrow). Weak or moderate expression of FVIII-related antigen in the newly formed perfused and unperfused blood vessels from chick chorioallantoic membrane after mast cell inoculation (green arrows) (D).
in the chick embryo chorioallantoic membrane has not been clearly described. Evidence from our study suggests a complex mechanism of mast cell-induced angiogenesis. Early after inoculation, the presence of huge vascular hyperpermeability with spotted hemorrhages may have been due to the summative effects of both vascular endothelial growth factor and histamine, both of these factors already certified to be released from degranulated mast cells (24,26,27). Twenty-four hours after inoculation, the presence of a large number of split small blood vessels in treated specimens compared to control in our study supports the hypothesis that mast cells could induce angiogenesis by activation of an intussusception angiogenic mechanism, most probably due to an interaction between surrounding stroma and mast cells.

Concerning the tumorigenic potential of P1.HTR cells, this is the first report of the development of a P1.HTR cell-derived mastocytoma in the chorioallantoic membrane model. It was shown here that the mastocytoma had high angiogenic effects and its tumor cells retained their phenotype by expressing mast cell tryptase and chymase after growing on chick embryo chorioallantoic membrane.

The P1.HTR cell line is able to induce a rapid hyperpermeability of the chick embryo chorioallantoic membrane vasculature and later angiogenesis, most probably by an intussusception mechanism. Moreover, P1.HTR mast cells have a tumorigenic potential by their capacity to develop a mastocytoma consecutive to engraftment on the chick embryo chorioallantoic membrane. All of this step-by-step evidence could be used as an experimental tool for in vivo preliminary testing of anti-histamine, antiallergic, antiangiogenic and antitumor drugs.
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