Synthesis, Molecular Docking and Antimicrobial Evaluation of New Tetrahydrobenzothienopyrimidine Derivatives
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ABSTRACT
A series of novel derivatives of Tetrahydrobenzothienopyrimidine hydrazone were synthesized and product structure was elucidated by 1NMR, C13NMR and mass spectroscopy. The synthesized compounds were evaluated against fungal and bacterial strains. The synthesized compounds showed significant antibacterial activity against Staphylococcus aureus MTCC 96, Staphylococcus pyrogenes MTCC 442, and Escherichia coli MTCC 443, Pseudomonas aeruginosa MTCC 1688 and against fungal strains Candida albicans MTCC 227, Aspergillus niger MTCC 282, Aspergillus clavatus MTCC 1323. Some derivatives showed promising result against gram positive, gram negative bacterial and fungal strains than standard drug ampicillin and griesofulvin. In- silico molecular docking studies of the synthesized compounds was done by using GRIP batch docking method of Vlife MDS 3.0 software to study their observed activity which showed a significant correlation between the binding score and biological activity for synthesized compounds.
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INTRODUCTION
Tetrahydrobenzothienopyrimidines are important class of heterocyclic compounds possessing significant biological activities and interesting chemical features. Thienopyrimidines occupy a special position among the sulphur containing compounds. Along with some other pyrimidine systems containing an annulated five membered hetero aromatic ring, Thienopyrimidines are structural analogs of biogenic purines and can be considered as potential nucleic acid antimetabolites.

Various thienopyrimidine derivatives are reported to possess broad spectrum of biological activities such as antiviral, anticancer, anti-inflammatory, antimicrobial, antihistaminic, antipyretics, antianaphylactic, anticonvulsant and immunostimulant properties.[1-3] Besides many thienopyrimidines compounds also exhibited analgesic, neurotropic, molluscicidal and larvicidal activities[4]. Tetrahydrobenzothienopyrimidine derivatives have been reported a valuable drug for many years exhibiting Trypanoside, Anti-Tuberculosis, Leishmanicidal and Cytotoxic Activities [5-6]. They are relatively easy obtainable, less toxic, and shows promising biological activities. The chemical structure modification of these compounds was carried out mainly by introduction of new substitutents at hydrazinyl group. Substitution of N- substituted indole aldehyde; other substituted benzaldehydes like dimethyl benzaldehyde, m-hydroxy aldehyde etc. and O-alkylated vanillin, such modification are expected to change not only potency but also the biological activities [7]. The substituted derivatives exhibit promising antimicrobial activity [8]. Various substituted tetrahydrobenzothienopyrimidines showed antimicrobial activity [9]. Analgesic and anti-inflammatory activity [10], anticancer activity [11], anticonvulsant activity [12], antiparasitic activity [13] summarized recently in the review articles. Our strategy for introducing various substituted aldehyde at hydrazinyl group of the tetrahydrobenzothienopyrimidine to form hydrazones. We found that derivative compounds exhibit promising antimicrobial activity against gram positive bacteria, gram negative bacterial and fungal strain. We found that some compounds showed less MIC value compared to standard drug ampicillin and...
grisofulvin. The compounds were elucidated by \textsuperscript{1}H NMR and \textsuperscript{13}C NMR and mass spectroscopy. In this work, we discuss the synthesis, molecular docking and the structure elucidation of the various hydrazones of tetrahydrobenzothienopyrimidines and their antimicrobial activities.

**Material and methods:**

**Synthesis of compounds**

Melting points were determined in open capillary tubes and \textsuperscript{1}H; \textsuperscript{13}C NMR spectra of the compounds were recorded using CDCl\textsubscript{3} and DMSO-d\textsubscript{6} as solvent in all cases. Tetramethylsilane (TMS) was used as an internal standard. Chemical shifts are recorded in parts per million (ppm, \(\delta\)) and the signals are described as s (singlet), d (doublet), t (triplet), q (quartet) and m (multiplet). The value of coupling constant (\(J\)) is given in Hz. The IR spectra of the synthesized compounds were recorded on a Fourier Transform IR spectrometer (Nicolet 380 FT-IR) in the range of 400-4000 using KBr pellets and the values of \(\nu_{\max}\) are reported in cm\(^{-1}\). Thin layer chromatography (TLC) was done by using precoated silica plates (Merk, Silica gel 60 F\textsubscript{254}) for analysis. The spot were visualized either by exposing the developed and dried plates to iodine vapors or in UV chamber (254 and 365nm). Synthesis and purity of all new compounds was accomplished by monitoring chromatography (TLC) was done by using precoated silica plates (Merk, Silica gel 60 F\textsubscript{254}) for analysis. The spot were visualized either by exposing the developed and dried plates to iodine vapors or in UV chamber (254 and 365nm). Synthesis and purity of all new compounds was accomplished by monitoring chromatography (TLC) was done by using precoated silica plates (Merk, Silica gel 60 F\textsubscript{254}) for analysis. The spot were visualized either by exposing the developed and dried plates to iodine vapors or in UV chamber (254 and 365nm). Synthesis and purity of all new compounds was accomplished by monitoring chromatography (TLC) was done by using precoated silica plates (Merk, Silica gel 60 F\textsubscript{254}) for analysis. The spot were visualized either by exposing the developed and dried plates to iodine vapors or in UV chamber (254 and 365nm). Synthesis and purity of all new compounds was accomplished by monitoring chromatography (TLC) was done by using precoated silica plates (Merk, Silica gel 60 F\textsubscript{254}) for analysis. The spot were visualized either by exposing the developed and dried plates to iodine vapors or in UV chamber (254 and 365nm). Synthesis and purity of all new compounds was accomplished by monitoring chromatography (TLC) was done by using precoated silica plates (Merk, Silica gel 60 F\textsubscript{254}) for analysis. The spot were visualized either by exposing the developed and dried plates to iodine vapors or in UV chamber (254 and 365nm). Synthesis and purity of all new compounds was accomplished by monitoring chromatography (TLC) was done by using precoated silica plates (Merk, Silica gel 60 F\textsubscript{254}) for analysis. The spot were visualized either by exposing the developed and dried plates to iodine vapors or in UV chamber (254 and 365nm). Synthesis and purity of all new compounds was accomplished by monitoring chromatography (TLC) was done by using precoated silica plates (Merk, Silica gel 60 F\textsubscript{254}) for analysis. The spot were visualized either by exposing the developed and dried plates to iodine vapors or in UV chamber (254 and 365nm). Synthesis and purity of all new compounds was accomplished by monitoring chromatography (TLC) was done by using precoated silica plates (Merk, Silica gel 60 F\textsubscript{254}) for analysis. The spot were visualized either by exposing the developed and dried plates to iodine vapors or in UV chamber (254 and 365nm).

**Synthesis of aminothiophene ester (4)**

Cyanoacetic acid ethyl ester (0.23 mol), sulphur (0.23 mol), cyclohexanone (0.23 mol) were dissolved in absolute ethanol and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 5 minutes. After that diethyl amine (1.2 equiv.) was added drop wise. The reaction mixture was refluxed at 40°C for 3-4 hrs. Reaction was monitored by T.L.C. After the completion of reaction solid product was filtered and washed with 20% aqueous ethanol to get pure product. [14]. Melting point-150-152°C, yield 78\%, Rf 0.68. IR (KBr) \(\nu_{\max}\) (cm\(^{-1}\)): 3405 (N-H), 3168 (aromatic C-H), 2985 (aliphatic C-H), 1647 (C=O), 1596 (C=C), 1276 (C-O).

**Synthesis of 4-hydroxy-5, 6-tetramethylenethieno [2, 3-d] pyrimidine (5)**

2-Amino-4, 5, 6, 7-tetrahydro-3-ethoxycarbonylbenzothiophene, (4, 43.3 gm) was suspended in form amide (400 mL) and refluxed for 6 hrs. After cooling to room temperature, the dark solution was placed inside a refrigerator overnight, and the dark brown needles of 4-hydroxy-5,6-tetramethylenethieno[2,3-d] pyrimidine (5) were filtered and washed with a cold solution of 40% EtOH in H\(_2\)O.m.p 170-172°C,IR (KBr) \(\nu_{\max}\) (cm\(^{-1}\)): 3468 (N-H), 3010 (aromatic C-H), 2938 (aliphatic C-H), 1658 (C=O), 1589 (C=N), 1544 (C=C), 1170 (CN).

**Formation of 4-chloro-5, 6, 7, 8-tetrahydro-benzothieno [2, 3-d] pyrimidine (6)**

4-hydroxy-5, 6, 7, 8-tetramethylenethieno [2, 3-d] pyrimidine (5, 37.3 gm) was suspended in POCl\(_3\) (400 mL) and refluxed for 3 hrs. After cooling to room temperature, the excess of POCl\(_3\) was removed under reduced pressure and then 300 mL of CHCl\(_3\) were added and the homogeneous solution was poured over ice water (500 mL). The resulting bilayer mixture was separated and the aqueous layer was adjusted to pH 8 using NH\(_4\)OH (conc.) and then extracted with CHCl\(_3\). The organic fractions were pooled and dried over anhydrous Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\). The CHCl\(_3\) was removed under reduced pressure and the remaining yellow solid was re-dissolved in hot MeOH. Afterwards, the solution was allowed to cool to room temperature and placed inside a refrigerator overnight. The precipitated white crystals of 4-chloro-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-[1]benzothieno[2,3-d]pyrimidine (6) was filtered, washed with a cold solution of 80% EtOH in H\(_2\)O and dried overnight under vacuum to get the required product in good yield and purity. M.p.150-152°C IR (KBr) \(\nu_{\max}\) (cm\(^{-1}\)): 3207(N-H), 3097 (aromatic C-H), 2937 (aliphatic C-H), 2795 (aliphatic C-H), 1624(C=O), 1506 (C=C), 782 (C-Cl).

**Synthesis of hydrazinyl-5, 6, 7, 8-tetrahydro-[1]benzothieno[2,3-d]pyrimidine (7)**

To a solution of 4-chloro-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-[1]benzothieno[2,3-d]pyrimidine (6, 21.9 gm) in methanol (400mL), 80% hydrazine hydrate (16 mL) was added and the solution was refluxed for 2 hrs; immediately after, hydrazine 8 mL (99%) was added to complete the reaction and the reaction mixture was allowed to reflux for another 1 hr. After cooling to room temperature, the solution was placed inside the refrigerator overnight. The resulting yellow precipitate of 4-hydrazinyl-5, 6, 7, 8-tetrahydro
[1]benzothieno[2,3-d]pyrimidine (7) was filtered and washed with a cold solution of 40% EtOH in H<sub>2</sub>O.m.p 180-182°C. IR (KBr) vmax (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3308(N-H), 2938 (aromatic C-H), 2835 (aliphatic C-H), 1605(C=N), 1536 (C=C),1H NMR (300MHz, DMSO- d6): δ (ppm) 8.35 (s, 1H, NH); 8.26 (s, 1H, pyrimidinyl); 8.21 (d, J = 2.5 Hz, 2H); 1.80 (t, J = 2.5 Hz, 4H).

Synthesis of 5, 6, 7, 8-tetrahydrobenzothieno [2, 3-d] pyrimidin-4-yl) hydrazone Derivatives (8a-n)

To a solution of hydrazinyl-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-[1]benzothieno [2,3-d]pyrimidine (7, 1gm, 1 mmoL) in absolute ethanol (10 mL) was added various aldehydes (1 mmoL) followed by the addition of few drops of glacial acetic acid. The reaction mixture was then refluxed for 5 to 48 hrs. After cooling to room temperature reaction mixture was placed overnight inside the refrigerator and the crude solid precipitated out was filtered, washed with a solution of cold 80% EtOH in H<sub>2</sub>O and dried. The crude product was then recrystallized from absolute ethanol to get the pure titled compounds. In some case coloum chromatography was done to get the titled compounds in good yield and purity.

2-(5, 6, 7, 8-tetrahydro [1] benzothieno [2, 3-d] pyrimidin-4-yl) hydrazone-4-N, Ndimethyl benzaldehyde (8a) IR (KBr) vmax (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3276 (N-H), 2916 (aromatic C-H), 1599 (C=N), 1512 (C=C).

1<sup>H</sup> NMR (300MHz, DMSO- d6): δ (ppm) 11.62 (s, 1H, NH); 8.25 (s, 1H, pyrimidinyl); 7.75-7.73 (m, 3H, =CH & 2Ar-H); 6.75-6.72 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H, Ar-H); 2.97 (bs, 8H, 2 × CH<sub>3</sub> and CH<sub>2</sub>); 2.73 (bs, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>); 1.78 (bs, 4H, 2 × CH<sub>2</sub>).

1<sup>3</sup>C NMR (300 MHz, DMSO- d6): δ (ppm) 156.84 (C<sub>4</sub> of pyrimidinyl), 153.97 (C<sub>2</sub> of pyrimidinyl), 151.82 (C=N(CH<sub>3</sub>)$_2$), 148.01 (S-C-N), 144.44 (C=N-NH), 132.25, 129.56, 128.60, 123.41, 119.47, 112.06, 39.99 (2C of N(CH<sub>3</sub>)$_2$), 27.00 (C<sub>8</sub>), 25.11 (C<sub>5</sub>), 22.89 (C<sub>7</sub>), 22.50 (C<sub>6</sub>).

2-(5, 6, 7, 8-tetrahydro [1]benzothieno [2, 3-d] pyrimidin-4-yl) hydrazone-3-bromo-benzaldehyde (8b) IR (KBr) vmax (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3271(N-H), 2934 (aromatic C-H), 2851 (aliphatic C-H), 1601(C=N), 1513 (C=C)

1<sup>H</sup> NMR (300MHz, DMSO- d6): δ (ppm) 11.91 (s, 1H, NH); 8.36 (s, 1H, pyrimidinyl); 8.26 (s, 1H, =CH); 7.85-7.81 (m, 2H, Ar-H); 7.58-7.55 (dd, J = 7.8 and 8.1Hz, 1H, Ar-H); 7.40-7.35 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H, Ar-H); 2.97 (bs, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>); 2.73 (bs, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>); 1.78 (bs, 4H, 2 × CH<sub>2</sub>).

1<sup>3</sup>C NMR (300 MHz, DMSO- d6): δ (ppm) 154.34 (C<sub>4</sub> of pyrimidinyl), 152.37 (C<sub>2</sub> of pyrimidinyl), 151.52 (C-Br), 148.31 (S-C-N), 144.24 (C=N-NH), 134.35, 132.46, 130.60, 122.41, 118.27, 113.26, 26.90 (C<sub>8</sub>), 25.24 (C<sub>5</sub>), 22.69 (C<sub>7</sub>), 22.50 (C<sub>6</sub>).

2-(5, 6, 7, 8-tetrahydro [1]benzothieno [2, 3-d] pyrimidin-4-yl) hydrazone-3-nitro-benzaldehyde (8c) IR (KBr) vmax (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3423 (N-H), 2926 (aromatic C-H), 2852 (aliphatic C-H), 1588 (C=N), 1508(C=C), 1554 & 1344 (NO2).

1<sup>H</sup> NMR (300MHz, DMSO- d6): δ (ppm) 11.56 (bs, 1H, NH); 8.67 (s, 1H, Ar-H); 8.43 (s, 1H, pyrimidinyl); 8.29- 8.23 (m, 1H, Ar-H); 8.13-8.11 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H, Ar-H); 7.80-7.78 (m, 1H, =CH); 7.66-7.61 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H, Ar-H); 2.91 (bs, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>); 2.78 (bs, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>); 1.70 (bs, 4H, 2 × CH<sub>2</sub>).

1<sup>3</sup>C NMR (300 MHz, DMSO- d6): δ (ppm): 158.23 (C<sub>4</sub> of pyrimidinyl), 150.97 (C<sub>2</sub> of pyrimidinyl), 150.25 (C-NO2), 148.67 (S-C-N), 144.13 (C=N-NH), 137.82, 134.24, 132.96, 131.23, 130.32, 124.02, 121.89, 119.24, 26.96 (C<sub>8</sub>), 25.14 (C<sub>5</sub>), 22.73 (C<sub>7</sub>), 22.42 (C<sub>6</sub>).
1H NMR (300MHz, DMSO- d6): δ (ppm) 11.64 (s, 1H, NH); 9.34 (s, 1H, OH); 8.26 (s, 1H, pyrimidinyl); 7.75 (s, 1H, =CH); 7.56 (s, 1H, Ar-H); 7.28-7.25 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H, Ar-H); 6.83-6.80 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H, Ar-H); 4.14-4.07 (q, J = 6.9 Hz, 2H, CH2-CH3), 2.96 (bs, 2H, CH2); 2.73 (bs, 2H, CH2); 1.77 (bs, 4H, 2 × CH2); 1.07-1.02 (t, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H, CH2-CH3).

13C NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d6): δ (ppm): 157.32 (C4 of pyrimidinyl), 153.67 (C2 of pyrimidinyl), 152.45 (C-C=OCH3), 151.21 (C-OH), 147.60 (S-C=O), 144.33 (C=N=NH), 140.72, 136.10, 134.62, 131.96, 129.77, 124.23, 119.60, 114.34, 26.64 (C6), 25.16 (C5), 22.60 (C7), 22.14 (C8).

2-(5, 6, 7, 8-tetrahydro [1]benzothieno[2,3-d]pyrimidin-4-yl) hydrazone-2,5-dimethoxy benzaldehyde (8f) IR (KBr) vmax (cm-1): 3408 (N-H), 2931 (aromatic C-H), 2850 (aliphatic C-H), 1571 (C=N), 1505 (C=C).

1H NMR (300MHz, DMSO- d6): δ (ppm) 11.79 (bs, 1H, NH); 8.63 (s, 1H, pyrimidinyl); 7.81-7.77 (m, 2H, =CH and Ar-H); 7.06-6.96 (m, 2H, Ar-H); 3.81-3.76 (m, 6H, 2 × OCH3); 2.97 (bs, 2H, CH2); 2.74 (bs, 2H, CH2); 1.77 (bs, 4H, 2 × CH2).

13C NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d6): δ (ppm): 157.67 (C4 of pyrimidinyl), 153.74 (C2 of pyrimidinyl), 152.81 (C-C=OCH3), 149.29 (C-OCH3), 148.38 (S-C=O), 144.28 (C=N=NH), 132.70, 131.35, 124.41, 119.34, 116.88, 113.31, 112.11, 56.57 (OCH3), 56.11 (OCH3), 27.10 (C8), 25.13 (C5), 22.85 (C7), 22.48 (C6).

2-(5, 6, 7, 8-tetrahydro[1]benzothieno[2,3-d]pyrimidin-4-yl) hydrazone-3-ethoxy-4-hydroxybenzaldehyde (8g) IR (KBr) vmax (cm-1): 3425 (N-H), 2924 (aromatic C-H), 2836 (aliphatic C-H), 1574 (C=N), 1507 (C=C), 1208 (C-O).

1H NMR (300MHz, DMSO- d6): δ (ppm) 11.50 (bs, 1H, NH); 11.10 (bs, 1H, N-H indole); 8.61 (s, 1H, pyrimidinyl); 8.43 (s, 1H, Ar-H of indole); 7.82-7.79 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 1H, Ar-H); 7.76-7.74 (m, 1H, =CH); 7.45-7.42 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H, Ar-H); 7.23-7.14 (m, 2H, Ar-H); 3.01 (bs, 2H, CH2); 2.74 (bs, 2H, CH2); 1.80 (bs, 4H, 2 × CH2).

13C NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d6): δ (ppm): 157.50 (C4 of pyrimidinyl), 151.33 (C2 of pyrimidinyl), 147.24 (S-C=O), 144.33 (C=N=NH), 137.43, 134.65, 132.11, 131.52, 124.66, 123.11, 121.60, 118.30, 113.07, 110.27, 27.90 (C8), 25.12 (C5), 23.05-22.52 (C2).
2-(5, 6, 7, 8-tetrahydro [1] benzothieno[2,3-d]
pyrimidin-4-yl)hydrazone-N-methyl-indolyl
Carbaldehyde (8j) IR (KBr) v_max (cm⁻¹): 3340 (N-H), 2934 (aromatic C-H), 1574 (C=N), 1527 (C=C), 1246 (C-N).

1H NMR (300MHz, DMSO- d6): δ (ppm) 11.12 (bs, 1H, NH); 8.57 (s, 1H, pyrimidinyl); 8.47-8.41 (m, 1H, Ar-H); 7.79-7.75 (m, 2H, =CH & Ar-H); 7.49-7.42 (m, 1H, Ar-H); 7.27-7.21 (m, 2H, Ar-H); 4.05 (s, 3H, N-CH₃); 2.91 (bs, 2H, CH₂); 2.73 (bs, 2H, CH₂); 1.78 (bs, 4H, 2 × CH₂).

13C NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d6): δ (ppm) 156.27 (C of pyrimidinyl), 150.49 (C₂ of pyrimidinyl), 146.6 (S-C=N), 144.63 (C=N-NH), 138.03, 134.85, 132.01, 131.32, 125.36, 122.96, 120.99, 119.50, 112.27, 110.37, 32.20 (N-CH₃), 27.02 (C₈), 25.06 (C₃), 22.85-22.48 (2C).

2-(5, 6, 7, 8-tetrahydro [1]benzothieno[2,3-d]
pyrimidin-4-yl) hydrazone-N-ethyl-indolyl
Carbaldehyde (8k) IR (KBr) v_max (cm⁻¹): 3352 (N-H), 2930 (aliphatic C-H), 1575 (C=N), 1523 (C=C), 1236 (C-N).

1H NMR (300MHz, DMSO-d6): δ (ppm) 11.16 (bs, 1H, NH); 8.58 (s, 1H, pyrimidinyl); 8.52-8.45 (m, 1H, Ar-H); 7.82-7.76 (m, 2H, =CH & Ar-H); 7.48-7.46 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H, Ar-H); 7.23-7.18 (m, 2H, Ar-H); 6.07-5.97 (m, 1H, -CH=CH₂); 5.20-5.15 (m, 2H, CH=CH₂); 4.86-4.77 (m, 2H, N-CH₂); 2.91 (bs, 2H, CH₂); 2.72 (bs, 2H, CH₂); 1.77 (bs, 4H, 2 × CH₂).

13C NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d6): δ (ppm) 156.72 (C of pyrimidinyl), 151.20 (C₂ of pyrimidinyl), 146.22 (S-C-N), 144.85 (C=N-NH), 137.63, 134.65, 131.83, 131.62, 124.96, 123.60, 122.56, 121.60, 118.50, 112.32, 111.27, 31.32 (N-C₃H₅), 26.68 (C₈), 25.26 (C₃), 23.05-22.48 (2C).

2-(5, 6, 7, 8-tetrahydro [1]benzothieno[2,3-d]
pyrimidin-4-yl) hydrazone-N-isopropyl-indolyl
Carbaldehyde (8l) IR (KBr) v_max (cm⁻¹): 3351 (N-H), 2928 (aliphatic C-H), 1576 (C=N), 1511 (C=C), 1241 (C-N).

1H NMR (300MHz, DMSO-d6): δ (ppm) 11.14 (s, 1H, NH); 8.59 (s, 1H, pyrimidinyl); 8.44-8.42 (m, 1H, Ar-H); 7.98 (s, 1H, -CH); 7.79-7.78 (m, 2H, Ar-H); 7.57-7.54 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H, Ar-H); 7.27-7.16 (m, 2H, Ar-H); 4.82-4.74 (m, 1H, CH); 2.99 (bs, 2H, CH₂); 2.73 (bs, 2H, CH₂); 1.78 (bs, 4H, 2 × CH₂); 1.50-1.48 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 6H, 2 × CH₃).

13C NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d6): δ (ppm): 157.62 (C of pyrimidinyl), 150.40 (C₂ of pyrimidinyl), 146.64 (S-C-N), 144.65 (C=N-NH), 136.93, 134.44, 131.52, 131.22, 124.47, 123.20, 122.56, 121.32, 118.40, 113.20, 111.27, 30.52 (N-C₃H₅), 26.98 (C₈), 25.32 (C₃), 22.68-22.32 (2C).

---

**Molecular Modeling**

Molecular docking experiments to investigate the binding modes of synthesized derivatives was done by using the Molecular Design Suite (V-Life MDS 3.0 software package, version 3.0; from Vlife Sciences, Pune, India), on a Windows 7, Windows Server 2008 R2 (operating system version 6.1), Genuine Intel Computer ID: 783232402132454023.
Docking
The challenge of the receptor-ligand docking approach is predict the preferred orientation of one molecule to second when bound to each other to form a stable complex and used in virtual screening or lead optimization for drug screening and design [15]. Distinction of good or bad docked conformation is based on scoring or fitness function. MDS uses fitness functions on only electrostatic and both steric and electrostatic interactions between receptor-ligand. There are many scoring functions force such as dock score, Piecewise Linear Pairwise score (PLP), potential of mean force (PMF) score, steric and electrostatic score, etc. Grip Docking incorporates the PLP function that includes ligand-receptor interactions of hydrogen bonding (donor-acceptor), repulsions (donor-donor, acceptor-acceptor) and dispersion (involving non-polar group interactions) types [16]. Structures were sketched using the 2D draw application provided in the main window and then all the SDF and The conformers found with their best score were saved in output folder. The optimized ligands were then tested for numerous interactions of ligand with receptor having hydrogen bonding and other types of other interactions like hydrophobic bonding and van der Waal’s interaction. Dock score of compounds are discussed in table 2 and 3.

Antimicrobial activity
The MIC of synthesized compounds were carried out by broth micro dilution method [17]

Method -Broth micro dilution method
A polystyrene tray containing 80 wells is filled with small volumes of serial two-fold dilutions of different antibiotics. The inoculum suspension and standardization is done according to McFarland standard. The bacterial inoculum is then inoculated into the wells and incubated at 37°C overnight. The lowest concentration of antibiotic that completely inhibits visual growth of bacteria (no turbidity) is recorded as MIC.

Antibacterial activity-Test organism
*Staphylococcus aureus* (MTCC 96), *Staphylococcus pyogenes* (MTCC 442), *Escherichia coli* (MTCC 443), *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (MTCC 1688)

Antifungal activity-Test organism:
*Candida albicans* (MTCC 227),*Aspergillus niger* (MTCC 282),*Aspergillus clavatus* (MTCC 1323)

Preparation of sample solution
Serial dilutions of test compounds were prepared. Dimethylsulphoxide (DMSO) was used as solvent. Standard drug: Ampicillin (Anti-bacterial activity) Griseofulvin (Anti-fungal activity)

Media: Mueller Hinton broth and Sabouraud’s broth was used as nutrient media to grow for bacteria and fungus respectively. Inoculums size for test strain was adjusted to 106 CFU (Colony Forming Unit per milliliter by comparing the turbidity. [18]

Procedure: Serial dilutions were prepared in primary and secondary screening. The control tube containing no antibiotic was immediately sub cultured (before inoculation) by spreading a loopful evenly over a quarter of plate of medium suitable for the growth of the test organism and put for incubation at 37°C for bacteria and 22°C for fungus. The tubes were then incubated overnight. The MIC of the control organism was read to check the accuracy of the drug concentrations. The lowest concentration inhibiting growth of the organism was recorded as the MIC. Each test compound was diluted obtaining 500 µg/mL concentration, as a stock solution. In primary screening 100 50 and 25µg/mL concentrations of the test compounds were taken. The active synthesized compounds found in this primary screening were further tested in the second set of dilution against all microorganisms. The drugs found active in primary screening were similarly diluted to obtained 100, 50, 25; 12.5, 6.250, 3.125 and 1,5625 µg/mL concentrations. The highest dilution showing at least 99% inhibition is taken as MIC.

Results and discussion:
Chemistry
The required aminothiophene ester (4) was synthesized by using Gewald reaction between cyclohexanone and ethylcyanoacetate by using diethyl amine as a base. The FT-IR spectrum, aminothiophene ester (4) showed the strong characteristic peaks at 1647 cm\(^{-1}\) due to the carbonyl groups of ester. The ester was then cyclized and the product of cyclaization (i.e, 4-hydroxy-5,6-tetramethylenethieno[2,3-d]pyrimidine, 5) was subjected to chlorination by using POCI\(_3\). The structure of chlorinated product i.e 4-chloro-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-benzothieno[2,3-d]pyrimidine (6) was confirmed by the disappearance of carbonyl peak at 1658 cm-1 and by the presence of C-Cl stretching at 832 cm-1. The compound 6 was upon hydrazinolysis...
with hydrazine hydrate afford the required Hydrazinyl-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-[1]benzothieno[2,3-d]pyrimidine (7) in a good yield after purification. The formation of 7 was confirmed by the characteristics stretching of N-H group at 3308 cm⁻¹ and peak due to C=N stretch was appeared at 1676 cm⁻¹. The ¹H-NMR spectrum of 7 showed the singlet of pyrimidinyl ring at δ 8.34 whereas, appearance of another two singlets at δ 7.94 and δ 4.60 due to the three protons of hydrazine (NH-NH₂) moiety confirmed the formation of compound 7. Finally, all the synthesized aldehydes were condensed with 7 in absolute ethanol and few drops of glacial acetic acid to get the various titled compounds i.e. 5,6,7,8-tetrahydrobenzothieno[2,3-d]pyrimidines hydrazones (8a-n). The purity of all the titled compounds was assessed with TLC by using ethyl acetate and hexane as a mobile phase. Structure of all the newly synthesized compounds was established by using various spectral techniques (FT-IR, ¹H-NMR, ¹³C-NMR). All the titled compounds were obtained in 62-85%.

Table 1 various aromatic aldehydes used:

| Comp. No. | Ar            | Comp. No. | Ar            |
|-----------|---------------|-----------|---------------|
| 8a        | NCH₃CH₃      | 8b        | Br           |
| 8c        | NO₂          | 8d        | OH           |
| 8e        | OC₂H₅        | 8f        | OCH₃OCH₃     |
| 8g        | OCH₃OC₂H₅   | 8h        | OCH₃OCH₃     |
| 8i        |             | 8j        |               |
Docking Studies
Molecular Docking experiment was performed on all 14 derivatives of the series in order to determine the binding affinity with different amino acids as well as to compare the inhibitory activity with reference drugs. Theoretically all the ligand molecules showed encouraging docking scores and interactions of ligands with the receptors show a unique set of bonding such as H-bonding and hydrophobic. The outcomes of the docking analysis and interactions of ligands with *Bacillus subtilis* show scores ranging from -58.508112 kcal/mol to -71.187967 kcal/mol with the best-scored ligand being conformer of compound 8h. This conformer has shown one hydrogen bonding with HIS180A (2.413938) and have also been proved active compound in series with 20 mm zone of inhibition. Interaction with *Staphylococcus aureus* clears that minimum energy was obtained for compound 8e and 8n with -55.670912 kcal/mol and maximum energy from 34 kcal/mol to 40 kcal/mol was obtained for remaining ligands. Docking results with *E.coli* indicates the dock score between the ranges -101.777044 to -77.746887 kcal/mol have been obtained. The results of docking with enzyme *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* reveals that all the derivatives have shown good dock scores between the ranges of -92.312649 to -79.515008 kcal/mol. The minimum score have been given by ligand 8h with zero hydrogen bonding interactions. Each compound had hydrophobic interaction of carbon atom of methyl and methoxy group with the common residues.

Table-2 Dock scores of target conformer for enzymes *Bacillus subtilus*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Escherichia coli*

| Comp No. | Comp Name | *Bacillus subtilus* PDB code | *Staphylococcus aureus* PDB Code-1BDD | *Escherichia coli* PDB Code-2CCZ |
|----------|-----------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
|          |           | Dock Score                    | H-Bonds                             | Dock Score                       | H-Bonds                           |
|          |           |                               | DDDock Score                        |                                 |                                  |
| 1        | 8a        | -57.256714                    | 0                                   | -4.297931                        | 3                                 | -56.249301                       | 2                                |
| 2        | 8b        | -55.765262                    | 0                                   | -38.631030                       | 1                                 | -101.833841                      | 3                                |
| 3        | 8c        | -55.471749                    | 0                                   | 7.965386                         | 1                                 | -79.845148                       | 4                                |
| 4        | 8d        | -57.334851                    | 3                                   | -17.557645                       | 4                                 | -79.751440                       | 5                                |
| 5        | 8e        | -58.481544                    | 1                                   | 83.547198                        | 2                                 | -79.044625                       | 3                                |
| 6        | 8f        | -56.646167                    | 0                                   | 26.751494                        | 3                                 | -78.785131                       | 4                                |
| 7        | 8g        | -59.476741                    | 2                                   | -35.108466                       | 2                                 | -78.379130                       | 3                                |
| 8        | 8h        | -70.626600                    | 1                                   | -35.012141                       | 1                                 | -77.956758                       | 2                                |
| 9        | 8i        | -60.802969                    | 2                                   | -55.670912                       | 2                                 | -77.951193                       | 3                                |
| 10       | 8j        | -57.494202                    | 1                                   | -34.839581                       | 4                                 | -77.746887                       | 0                                |
| 11       | 8k        | -58.047135                    | 1                                   | -39.949067                       | 2                                 | -97.228023                       | 2                                |
| 12       | 8l        | -61.652294                    | 0                                   | -40.517750                       | 1                                 | 101.777044                       | 2                                |
| 13       | 8m        | -63.517307                    | 2                                   | -55.670912                       | 4                                 | -99.851443                       | 4                                |
| 14       | 8n        | -74.808904                    | 2                                   | -39.949067                       | 4                                 | -97.228023                       | 0                                |
Figure.1 Docking position of synthesized compound 8I in the receptor cavity of *Bacillus subtilis* with minimum score are represented here with hydrogen bonding interaction and Hydrophobic interaction shown with dotted lines in blue and green colors respectively.

Table 3 Dock scores of target conformer for enzymes *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Candida albicans*.

| S. No | compound name | Pseudomonas aeruginosa PDB Code-1U1T | Dock Score | H-Bonds | Dock Score | H- Bonds |
|-------|---------------|--------------------------------------|------------|---------|------------|---------|
| 1     | 8a            |                                       | -56.327579 | 0       | -10.167465 | 0       |
| 2     | 8b            |                                       | -57.421525 | 0       | -35.137701 | 0       |
| 3     | 8c            |                                       | -57.025621 | 0       | -9.708512  | 0       |
| 4     | 8d            |                                       | -58.848697 | 0       | -21.146097 | 1       |
| 5     | 8e            |                                       | -58.010840 | 0       | -25.352467 | 0       |
| 6     | 8f            |                                       | -62.754032 | 0       | -6.555559  | 0       |
| 7     | 8g            |                                       | -61.932369 | 0       | -7.880528  | 0       |
| 8     | 8h            |                                       | -74.235698 | 0       | -5.369180  | 1       |
| 9     | 8i            |                                       | -58.835544 | 0       | -19.367065 | 2       |
| 10    | 8j            |                                       | -63.733447 | 0       | -5.511644  | 0       |
| 11    | 8k            |                                       | -62.209991 | 1       | 2.592234   | 1       |
| 12    | 8l            |                                       | -65.561222 | 0       | 4.460068   | 0       |
| 13    | 8m            |                                       | -65.828413 | 0       | 1.233487   | 0       |
| 14    | 8n            |                                       | -68.315483 | 0       | 6.651939   | 0       |
Antimicrobial Screening:
The biological activity is a function of physicochemical properties of the targeted molecule and this assessment is made out of chemicals that might fit into an active site. The produced compounds have different pharmacologically active nucleus. All the synthesized compounds were screened for their antimicrobial activity.

Antibacterial activity
In vitro antibacterial activity was evaluated against four different strains (Gram positive and Gram negative) using the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC), which is defined as the lowest concentration at which no visible bacterial growth is observed. Ampicillin was used as positive control. All the synthesized compounds were screened for their antibacterial activity against two Gram-positive strains (S. aureus MTCC 96 and S. pyogenus MTCC 442) and two Gram-negative strains (E. coli MTCC 443 and P. aeruginosa MTCC 1688). Results of antibacterial evaluation revealed that tested compounds exhibited good activity against Gram-positive bacteria. All analogs exhibited potent activity against S. aureus. Compound 8d was found to be most active against S. aureus having MIC of 3.12 µg/mL which is two-fold greater than standard drug, ciprofloxacin (MIC = 6.25 µg/mL) whereas, all other compounds also exhibited potent activity against S. aureus with MIC in the range of 3.12-6.25 µg/mL. Among various tested analogs 8d, exhibited equipotent activity against gram positive strain S. pyogenus with MIC = 3.12 µg/mL whereas, compound 8a, 8d and 8n also exhibited potent activity against S. pyogenus with MIC = 3.12-6.25 µg/mL which is equal and slightly less than the standard drug ciprofloxacin (MIC = 3.12 µg/mL). All other compounds showed moderate to weak activity.

Few of the tested analogs have showed excellent activity against Gram negative bacteria. Analog 8d exhibited most potent activity against E. coli (MIC = 1.25 µg/mL) which is greater than the standard drug ciprofloxacin (MIC = 3.12 µg/mL). Another tested analogs 8a, 8b, 8c, and 8 n also showed promising antibacterial activity against E. coli (MIC = 3.12 µg/mL) which is equal to the ciprofloxacin (3.12 µg/mL). All other analogs showed good to moderate activity (6.25-12.5 µg/mL). Compounds 8d exhibited potent activity against P. aeruginosa and found to be equipotent with the standard drug ampicillin (MIC = 3.12µg/mL). All other compounds exhibited good to moderate activity against P. aeruginosa.

Antifungal activity
Antifungal activity carried out against three different strains (C. albicans, A. niger and A. clavatus) and Griseofulvin was taken as standard drug. Generally, the tested compounds were found to be more active against C. albicans as compared to other tested strains. Compound 8d, 8f and 8l showed potent activity against C. albicans with the MIC value of 25.0 µg/mL which is 2-fold greater than the standard drug (MIC = 50.0µg/mL). Whereas, compounds 8a, 8e, 8h, 8i and 8k exhibited equipotent activity against C. albicans as compared to standard drug fluconazole. Other analogs were exhibited weak activity against C. albicans. Some of the tested analogs also displayed good activity against A. niger although they were less potent as compared to standard used. Compound 8a, 8b 8c, 8h, 8m and 8n showed promising activity
against A. niger with MIC value of 25 µg/mL. All other analogs exhibited weak activity or inactive against A. clavatus. From the antimicrobial data it can be concluded that titled compounds were more active against bacteria as compare to fungi. Some of the candidates were found to be active against all tested bacterial strains and displayed broad spectrum of antibacterial profile. Among bacteria, S. aureus and among fungi, E. coli represents the most sensitive bacterial and fungal strain towards various tested analogs. Few candidates like 8a, 8f and 8h were found to be active against bacteria as well as fungi and emerged as broad spectrum of antimicrobial agents.

### Table 4 In vitro antibacterial activity (MIC) values of tested compounds

| Compounds | Gram positive bacteria | Gram negative bacteria |
|-----------|------------------------|------------------------|
|           | S. aureus MTCC 96      | S. pyogenus MTCC 442   | E. coli MTCC 443 | P. aeruginosa MTCC 1688 |
| 8a        | 6 (1.76)               | 3.12 (1.44)            | 3 (2.06)         | 12.5 (1.44)             |
| 8b        | 12.5 (1.49)            | 25 (1.18)              | 3 (2.11)         | 12.5 (1.49)             |
| 8c        | 25 (1.15)              | 25 (1.15)              | 3 (2.07)         | 25 (1.15)               |
| 8d        | 3.12 (2.03)            | 3 (2.03)               | 1.5              | 3 (2.03)                |
| 8e        | 12.5 (1.46)            | 12.5 (1.46)            | 6 (1.78)         | 12.5 (1.46)             |
| 8f        | 12.5 (1.46)            | 25 (1.16)              | 6 (1.78)         | 12.5 (1.46)             |
| 8g        | 6.25 (1.80)            | 25 (1.18)              | 12.5 (1.09)      | 25 (1.18)               |
| 8h        | 6 (1.78)               | 12.5 (1.47)            | 6 (1.78)         | 25 (1.16)               |
| 8i        | 12.5 (1.44)            | 50 (0.84)              | 25 (1.14)        | 25 (1.14)               |
| 8j        | 12.5 (1.46)            | 12.5 (1.46)            | 12.5 (1.46)      | 12.5 (1.46)             |
| 8k        | 25 (1.17)              | 25 (1.17)              | 25 (1.17)        | 25 (1.17)               |
| 8l        | 6 (1.81)               | 12.5 (1.49)            | 25 (1.19)        | 25 (1.19)               |
| 8m        | 12.5 (1.49)            | 25 (1.18)              | 6 (1.80)         | 12.5 (1.49)             |
| 8n        | 6 (1.90)               | 6 (1.90)               | 3 (2.14)         | 100 (0.68)              |
| Ciprofloxacin | 6 (1.74)            | 3 (2.04)               | 3 (2.04)         | 3 (2.04)                |

### Table 5 In vitro antifungal activity (MIC) values of tested compounds

| Compounds | C. albicans MTCC 227 | A. niger MTCC 282 | A. clavatus MTCC 1323 |
|-----------|----------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
| 8a        | 50 (0.84)            | 25 (1.14)         | 25 (1.14)             |
| 8b        | 100 (0.58)           | 25 (1.18)         | 50 (0.88)             |
| 8c        | 100 (0.54)           | 25 (1.15)         | 50 (0.84)             |
| 8d        | 25 (1.11)            | 50 (0.81)         | 50 (0.81)             |
| 8e        | 50 (0.86)            | 50 (0.86)         | 50 (0.86)             |
| 8f        | 25 (1.16)            | 50 (0.86)         | 25 (1.16)             |
| 8g        | 100 (0.58)           | 50 (0.88)         | 25 (1.18)             |
| 8h        | 50 (0.86)            | 25 (1.70)         | 50 (0.86)             |
| 8i        | 50 (0.84)            | 25 (1.14)         | 50 (0.84)             |
| 8j        | 100 (0.55)           | 50 (0.85)         | 50 (0.85)             |
| 8k        | 50 (0.87)            | 50 (0.87)         | 25 (1.17)             |
| 8l        | 25 (1.19)            | 50 (0.89)         | 25 (1.19)             |
| 8m        | 100 (0.58)           | 25 (1.90)         | 25 (1.90)             |
| 8n        | 100 (0.68)           | 25 (1.28)         | 50 (0.98)             |
| Fluconazole | 50 (0.78)            | 12.5 (1.39)       | 12.5 (1.39)           |
Conclusion:
In conclusion we have Synthesized 14 new tetrahydrobenzothienopyrimidines hydrazones derivatives, determined the physicochemical parameters (melting point), characterized these derivatives by suitable method such as FTIR, 1H-NMR, 13C-NMR, mass spectroscopy and evaluated newly synthesized derivatives for various biological activities such as antibacterial, antifungal. From the results of antimicrobial evaluation it can be concluded that compounds were most active against bacteria as compared to fungi. Careful examination of structure of various titled compounds revealed that the substituents had variable effect on antimicrobial activity. Many compounds exhibited potent activity against Gram positive bacteria and some of them were also found to exhibited promising activity against Gram negative bacteria. Few of the tested analogs displayed potent antifungal activity. Among Gram positive bacteria, S. aureus MTCC 96, Gram negative bacteria E. coli MTCC 443 and among fungi, C. albicans MTCC 227 represents most sensitive strain against various tested analogs. Some of the candidates (8b, 8d, 8n, 8h, 8e and 8c) were found to be active against various tested bacterial strains and few of them (8a, 8f and 8h) exhibited broad spectrum of antimicrobial activity and were active against both bacteria as well as fungi.
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