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In this work, the effect of carbon on the electrochemical properties of multiwalled carbon nanotube (MWCNT) functionalized lithium iron manganese phosphate was studied. In an attempt to provide insight into the structural and electronic properties of optimized electrode materials, a systematic study based on a combination of structural and spectroscopic techniques was conducted. The phosphor-olivine LiFe$_{0.5}$Mn$_{0.5}$PO$_4$ was synthesized via a simple microwave synthesis using LiFePO$_4$ and LiMnPO$_4$ as precursors. Cyclic voltammetry was used to evaluate the electrochemical parameters (electron transfer and ionic diffusivity) of the LiFe$_{0.5}$Mn$_{0.5}$PO$_4$ redox couples. The redox potentials show two separate distinct redox peaks that correspond to Mn$^{2+}$/Mn$^{3+}$ (4.1 V vs Li/Li$^+$) and Fe$^{2+}$/Fe$^{3+}$ (3.5 V vs Li/Li$^+$) due to interaction arrangement of Fe-O-Mn in the olivine lattice. The electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) results showed LiFe$_{0.5}$Mn$_{0.5}$PO$_4$-MWCNTs have high conductivity with reduced charge resistance. This result demonstrates that MWCNTs stimulate faster electron transfer and stability for the LiFe$_{0.5}$Mn$_{0.5}$PO$_4$ framework, which demonstrates to be favorable as a host material for Li$^+$ ions.

1. Introduction

The phosphor-olivine-type lithium manganese phosphate (LMP) materials have enjoyed extensive research over the past decade and is now a worldwide commercial product [1], having been labelled as strong contenders for series of high-power electrodes for lithium batteries. Among the compounds of the olivine family, LiMPO$_4$ with M = Fe, Mn, Ni, or Co; only LiFePO$_4$ is currently used as the active element of positive electrodes for energy storage systems. In comparison with lithium cobalt oxide (LCO), they are more cost-effective and provide excellent-safely characteristics in terms of thermal runaway [2–5]. The isostructure of LiFe$_{0.5}$Mn$_{0.5}$PO$_4$ is obtained by partial substitution of Mn by Fe atoms. According to a study done by Zhao and coworkers, LiFe$_{0.5}$Mn$_{0.5}$PO$_4$/C in aqueous rechargeable lithium batteries can reach discharge capacities of 120 mAh g$^{-1}$ [6–9]. However, LiFe$_{0.5}$Mn$_{0.5}$PO$_4$ has been reported to be sensitive to moisture, causing a loss of active lithium from the olivine structure under formation of Li$_3$PO$_4$ on the particle surface and thus lowering the material’s energy density [10–17]. In addition, LiFe$_{0.5}$Mn$_{0.5}$PO$_4$ is known for the problem of manganese dissolution like other Mn-containing cathode materials [17–20]. For LiFe$_{0.5}$Mn$_{0.5}$PO$_4$, a relation between the presence of traces of water in the battery and manganese dissolution has been found [21]. These facts indicate that caution must be taken upon construction of LiFe$_{0.5}$, Mn$_{0.5}$PO$_4$ to prevent poor electrochemical characteristics. Further limitations include poor electronic conductivity ($<10^{-9}$ S cm$^{-1}$) which leads to high impedance and low rate of Li$^+$ ion diffusion ($10^{-14}$–$10^{-16}$ cm$^2$ S$^{-1}$) [22, 23]. Various methods have been investigated to improve conductivity of LiFePO$_4$, reducing the particle size in nanorange [24] and coating with conductive agents [25]. However, this work reports for the first time the synergy of MWCNTs with LiFe$_{0.5}$Mn$_{0.5}$PO$_4$. Cyclic voltammetry is an excellent tool in modern analytical chemistry. In this technique, a cyclic linear potential sweep is applied to the electrode and the resulting current is recorded. Information about the kinetics and mass transport can be obtained by probing the CV...
profiles. The results show significant improvement on electrochemical activity, conductivity, and electron mobility during lithiation/de-lithiation. The MWCNTs also play a major role in grain boundary refining with reduction of particle size. In addition, MWCNT water-based cathodes could significantly improve a battery’s eco-balance by avoiding solvents such as N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP), which are toxic to health and environment [26–28].

2. Experimental

Reagents and materials were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich.

2.1. Synthesis of LiFe0.5Mn0.5PO4 and LiFe0.5Mn0.5PO4-MWCNTs. The LiFe0.5Mn0.5PO4 powder was synthesized with the complexing agent using a simple and facile microwave-assisted process [29], with minor modifications. The microwave sintering is an economic, energy-saving, and time-saving synthetic method, which has great industrial application prospects. To make the LiFe0.5Mn0.5PO4 powder with low concentration of antisite defects, the pH of the precursors was controlled by using P-source consisting of phosphoric acid (H3PO4) and ammonium dihydrogen phosphate (NH4) H2PO4. Aqueous solution (1.5 M) of analytical reagent grade lithium hydroxide monohydrate (LiOH.H2O) (99.995%) and H3PO4+(NH4) H2PO4 aqueous solution (0.5 M) were mixed by strong magnetic stirring at room temperature for 5 min. Thereafter, an aqueous solution (0.5 M) of manganese sulphate monohydrate (MnSO4.H2O), ferrous sulphate heptahydrate (FeSO4.7H2O (0.5 M)), and acetic acid (CH3COOH) solution (1 M) were added into the above mixture. The mixture was then deposited in a 100 mL quartz vessel, which was sealed and placed in the microwave reaction system (Multiwave PRO Microwave Reaction from Anton Paar). The power of 400 W was applied to heat the mixture for 30 min. The final product was washed 3 times with acetone and distilled water and centrifuged to remove all the excess H3PO4.,. The LiFe0.5Mn0.5PO4 powder was dried at 70°C for overnight in the convention oven, followed by sintering at 600°C for 6h under the Ar-H2 (95/5 vol%) atmosphere. Then, 2 mg of commercially purchased multiwalled carbon nanotubes was added to produce LiFe0.5Mn0.5PO4-MWCNTs. The mixture was deposited in the 100 mL quartz vessel and sealed and placed in the microwave which infused the two precursors. (Figure 1).

2.2. Material Characterization. The surface morphology, particle size, and size distribution of the composite were examined through SEM, TEM images, and SAXS obtained from JOEL JSM-7500F Scanning Electron Microscope (US); Tecnai G2 F20X-Twin MAT 200kV Field Emission Transmission Electron Microscope (FEI Eindhoven, Netherlands), and Small-Angle X-ray Scattering obtained from Anton Paar GmbH (Anton-Paar Str 20 A-8054 Graz). X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were recorded on a Rigaku Smart Lab 3 kW diffractometer with Cu Kα radiation (λ = 1.5418 Å), with the corresponding operation voltage and current at 40 kV and 100 mA, respectively. The Raman spectra were obtained with a Raman Micro 200, Perkin–Elmer, precisely Spectrometer LabRAM HR800 (Spectrum software), using an output laser power of 50%. Raman analysis was conducted on powdered samples without any prior sample preparation. The spectra were recorded over a range of 50 to 3270 cm⁻¹ using an operating spectral resolution of 2.0 cm⁻¹. The spectra were averaged with 20 scans, at an exposure time of 4 s and laser excitation wavelength of 532 nm. Fourier-transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy was collected on a Nexus 670 spectrometer by using a KBr wafer technique.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Morphology and Structural Characterization. According to Bragg formula and Scherrer equation, the average crystalline sample size can be calculated using the full width at half maximum (FWHM). Figure 2(a) shows corresponding parameters of the main peak of the lattice constant as calculated from the XRD spectrum a = 6.050 Å, b = 10.320 Å, and c = 4.710 Å, with Pbnm (62) space group (PDF Card No: 01-073-7356) which agree well with the work done by Paolella et al. [30]. The XRD peaks observed at 18.2°, 25.7°, 28.2°, 28.8°, and 32.7° correspond to 011, 111, 120, 200, and 131 XRD crystal planes of LiFe0.5Mn0.5PO4; it is in agreement with reported reflections (ICPDS 71-0636) [31]. The XRD intense sharp peaks of LiFe0.5Mn0.5PO4 signify that the material is highly crystalline. Small-angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) is a useful and straightforward technique that is used to determine the size distribution of whether the material is monodispersed or polydispersed nanoparticles. SAXS was used to observe the internal structure of the materials and was measured on the small scale from 0° to 10°. Figure 2(d) shows SAXS curves of LiFe0.5Mn0.5PO4 nanoparticle suspensions; LiFe0.5Mn0.5PO4 shows a polydispersed sample distribution. The SAXS reflection appears in different positions with those observed on XRD (Figure 2(c)); this is due to the oxidation of LiFe0.5Mn0.5PO4, and the material is porous and unstable. The reflections are a bit weaker and broad. Upon addition of carbon nanotubes, the particle size is reduced to 3.7 ± 0.957 nm due to high surface MWCNTs and it is more crystalline. The crystalline structure of LiFe0.5Mn0.5PO4-MWCNTs has 3 different diffraction patterns; this is evident by the appearance of the 002, 111, and 121, at 30°, 40°, and 50°, respectively, as shown in Figure 2(b). The hexagonal crystalline carbon was indexed to (JCPDS No. 41-1487), which complement the preferential growth of MWCNTs. SAXS reflections appear in similar positions with those obtained on XRD (Figure 2(a)) due to the stable structure of LiFe0.5Mn0.5PO4-MWCNTs. The intensity peaks of the pure carbon nanotubes are more intense upon adding LiFe0.5Mn0.5PO4 the peaks shift from 26° and 44° to 34° and 50°, respectively. These peaks are in correspondence with the one obtained from SAXS. This indicates that the multiwalled carbon nanotubes are covered by LiFe0.5Mn0.5PO4. The single peak for LiMn0.5Fe0.5PO4 illustrates completed solid-reaction between LiMnPO4 and LiFePO4 precursors even after carbon-coating.

Figure 3 shows the SEM, TEM, and corresponding size distribution of SAXS. The micrographs shown in Figure 3(a) indicates that LiFe0.5Mn0.5PO4-MWCNTs are relatively poly-dispersive with a uniform diameter of 0.5–5 nm. On the insert on Figure 3(a) are high resolution transmission electron
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(HRTEM) micrographs with a spacing of Ca. 0.14 nm and in-plane (0–110) lattice, revealing high crystallinity of the bulk LiFe0.5Mn0.5PO4-MWCNTs. Figure 3(c) and f show the prepared composite of SAXS, which were calculated, showing the particle size = 3.7 ± 0.957 nm of the LiFe0.5Mn0.5PO4-MWCNTs and LiFe0.5Mn0.5PO4 (particle size = 6 ± 1.752 nm). Upon addition of the MWCNTs on the LiFe0.5Mn0.5PO4, there is a reduction in particle size, which is confirmed by the SAXS size distribution as shown in Figures 3(c) and 3(f). HRTEM and SAXS are in agreement with each other in terms of the particle size. The spatial distribution and homogenous of multiwalled carbon nanotubes across the LiFe0.5Mn0.5PO4 sample on the microscopic scale is one key factor which determines the structural property relationship of the nanocomposite. The LiFe0.5Mn0.5PO4-MWCNTs show a polydispersed sample distribution, the MWCNTs on the LiFe0.5Mn0.5PO4 increase the crystallinity lattice of the composite material, and it also reduced the particle size from 6 ± 1.753 nm of LiFe0.5Mn0.5PO4 to 3.7 ± 0.957 nm. The particle size and surface morphology of LiFe0.5Mn0.5PO4 are shown in Figure 3(b) in which spherically shaped pristine had primary particle size of ∼50 nm. The secondary particles formed from agglomeration of the primary particles were random in size and ranged between 100 and 200 nm indicating that the crystals of the phosphor-olivine LiFe0.5Mn0.5PO4 grow very well and have interparticle boundaries that have an effect in the chemistry of the material and its reactivity due to its porous nature, whereas the LiFe0.5Mn0.5PO4-MWCNT composite cathode shown in Figure 3(e) revealed nanoclusters of long-stranded carbon nanotubes which facilitate the movement of electrons during extraction and insertion of lithium within 3D framework between nanotubes and adjacent LiFe0.5Mn0.5PO4 particles. The porous nanostructure of the LiFe0.5Mn0.5PO4 is lamented by the carbon nanotubes, providing a larger electrode surface area, reducing the energy loss due to both activation and concentration of polarizations at the electrode surface [32]. The synthesized LiFe0.5Mn0.5PO4 particles were subsequently attached to the ends and walls on the nanotubes. The strands have a uniform diameter of 0.55 nm that is well in agreement with the TEM shown in Figure 2(a).

Figure 4 shows the FTIR spectra of prepared LiFe0.5Mn0.5PO4, pure MWCNTs, and the modified LiFe0.5Mn0.5PO4-MWCNTs. Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) data was extracted to investigate structural information and specific molecule-groups information of the obtained powder in the range 500–4000 cm⁻¹. The presence of different types of oxygen functionalities in LiFe0.5Mn0.5PO4 was observed at 3386 cm⁻¹ (O-H stretching vibrations), at 1626 cm⁻¹ (C=O stretching vibrations), and at 1145 cm⁻¹ (C-OH stretching vibrations) and is in good agreement with work.
done by Zaghib et al., 2008 [33, 34]. It is observed that LiFe$_{0.5}$Mn$_{0.5}$PO$_4$-MWCNTs and MWCNTs had similarities in their structures and the oxygen functionalities in LiFe$_{0.5}$Mn$_{0.5}$PO$_4$-MWCNTs; and pure MWCNTs were observed at 3386 cm$^{-1}$ (O-H stretching vibrations), at 1400 cm$^{-1}$ and 1626 cm$^{-1}$ (C=O stretching vibrations), and at 1145 cm$^{-1}$ (C-OH stretching vibrations). Also, as known from our prior work, the strong C=H stretching is due to the presence of carbon nanotubes [35]. The infrared spectral features of LiFe$_{0.5}$Mn$_{0.5}$PO$_4$ have been previously assigned based on isotopes studies, group theory analysis, and direct comparison to similar olivine structures (LiMePO$_4$; Me = Mn, Ni, Mg, Fe) [36]. The internal vibrations consist of three components; the antisymmetric PO$_4$$^{3-}$ stretching mode at 1145 cm$^{-1}$ which is due to OH stretching vibration, the symmetric PO$_4$$^{3-}$ stretching mode around 965 cm$^{-1}$, and the antisymmetric bending mode between 530 cm$^{-1}$ and 650 cm$^{-1}$ [37]. The FTIR and Raman characterizations confirmed both the presence of carbon nanotubes and that the structure was maintained after modification.

Figure 5 shows the obtained kinetic parameters of LiFe$_{0.5}$Mn$_{0.5}$PO$_4$-MWCNTs and LiFe$_{0.5}$Mn$_{0.5}$PO$_4$ from cyclic voltammetry and electrochemical impedance at room temperature (298 K). From Figure 5(a), a linear relationship is observed between scan rates and peak current; the peak separation increases with an increase in scan rate. All the CV profiles overlap regardless of the scan rate at the beginning of charge and discharge. LiFe$_{0.5}$Mn$_{0.5}$PO$_4$-MWCNTs composite electrode shows an increased peak current and a large enclosed area as well as a small peak potential difference compared with those of the LiFe$_{0.5}$Mn$_{0.5}$PO$_4$ (Figure 5(b)), at similar scan rates. The results reveal that LiFe$_{0.5}$Mn$_{0.5}$PO$_4$-MWCNTs composite electrode has higher specific capacity and better reversibility in comparison with the LiFe$_{0.5}$Mn$_{0.5}$PO$_4$ electrode. The betterment of the composite electrode over pristine is due to the kinetic effects of the conductive MWCNTs additive on the surface of LiFe$_{0.5}$Mn$_{0.5}$PO$_4$, which increases its electrochemical activity. The infused carbon nanotubes on the crystal lattice tend to have good effects by increasing the surface area of the electrode. Carbon nanotubes in this manner works as the medium for Li-ion extraction and intercalation to facilitate excellent electronic contact between the electrode, which has LiFe$_{0.5}$Mn$_{0.5}$PO$_4$ particles and the current collector through an overlap of the electrochemically active energies of the conductive MWCNTs. Carbon nanotubes enhances the movement of electrons between the adjacent LiFe$_{0.5}$Mn$_{0.5}$PO$_4$ particles during the lithiation/de-lithiation process. MWCNTs facilitate the interaction with LiFe$_{0.5}$Mn$_{0.5}$PO$_4$ nanoparticles to form a 3D network that promotes lithium ion transport. The linear relationship between scan rates and current further indicates the electroactivity of both LiFe$_{0.5}$Mn$_{0.5}$PO$_4$ and LiFe$_{0.5}$Mn$_{0.5}$PO$_4$-MWCNTs with a better conductivity observed for the latter. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS), tests were performed to investigate Li-ion migration activity as well as interfacial properties of these two composite electrodes. Nyquist plots are shown in Figure 5(c). Each plot presents a single semicircle at high frequency that is well defined and an inclined line at low frequency attributed by Warburg impedance related with Li$^+$ diffusion in the bulk of electrode. This illustration occurs during Li insertion/de-insertion, in which the kinetics of the electrode process are monitored by the diffusion process at the low-frequency region and charge transfer at the high-frequency region [38]. The semicircle intercept at Z’-axis at the high-frequency region denotes the Ohmic resistance ($R_s$) of the electrodes and electrolyte. The charge transfer resistance ($R_{ct}$), which monitors the kinetic transfer at the interface of the electrode, was 138.2 $\Omega$ for the modified composite. Hence, the LiFe$_{0.5}$Mn$_{0.5}$PO$_4$-MWCNTs show favorable redox kinetics when compared to a study conducted by Manjunatha et al. reporting a $R_{ct}$ value much higher at similar kinetic parameters in the aqueous media. A similar type of trends in the variations of kinetic parameters have been reported for its competitor, LiCoO$_2$ [39], in aqueous lithium electrolytes. The data points of the semicircle at low-frequency regions give the intercept correlating with ($R_s + R_{ct}$) from the $R_{ct}$ values, which are extrapolated by subtracting the value of $R_s$. Parameters of impedance were extracted by fitting from a modified Randles equivalent electrical circuit. CPE is the constant phase element that models the double layer capacitance ($C_d$) that is caused by surface
roughness. The time constant (τ) of \(1.21 \times 10^{-5}\) s/rad, exchange current (\(I_o\)) of \(1.86 \times 10^{-4}\) A and heterogenous rate constant (\(K_{et}\)) value of \(2.72 \times 10^{-5}\) cm/s were calculated using equations (1)–(4) [40] and implied favorable kinetic conditions for lithium de-intercalation reaction:

\[
\begin{align*}
\zeta &= \frac{1}{\omega}, \\
\text{Ret} &= \frac{RT}{nF}, \\
I_0 &= nFAKC, \\
K_{et} &= \frac{I_0}{nFAC}.
\end{align*}
\]

where \(\omega_{\text{max}}\) is the angular frequency at the maximum impedance; \(R\) is the gas constant = 8.314 J/mol K, \(T\) is the room temperature = 298 K; \(n\) is the number of electrons transferred per molecule of lithium = 1; \(F\) is Faraday’s constant = 96485 C/mol; \(A\) is the geometric area of electrode (16 mm diameter; measured from experiment) = 2.01 cm²; and \(C\) is the concentration of lithium ion in LiFe\(_{0.5}\)Mn\(_{0.5}\)PO\(_4\) = 0.0228 mol/cm\(^3\). The calculated values are shown in Table 1. According to the calculated values for the diffusion coefficient (D), it can be deduced that the composite with low values of \(\sigma\) also has higher diffusion and concomitantly displayed improved electrochemical properties as compared to the unmodified LiFe\(_{0.5}\)Mn\(_{0.5}\)PO\(_4\).

### 4. Conclusions

In this work, LiFe\(_{0.5}\)Mn\(_{0.5}\)PO\(_4\) with regulated morphology and accurate stoichiometry ratios was obtained through the facile microwave synthesis which was successfully attached to MWCNTs, and its physical and electrochemical properties have been investigated. Based on electrochemical

**Figure 5:** The cyclic voltammograms of composite LiFe\(_{0.5}\)Mn\(_{0.5}\)PO\(_4\)-MWCNTs (a) and LiFe\(_{0.5}\)Mn\(_{0.5}\)PO\(_4\) (b) in 1 M LiPF\(_6\) containing 1:1 v/v ethylene carbonate–dimethyl carbonate solvent mixture between 0.1 and 0.8 mV/s. (c) Voltage range: 0.0–0.80 V and comparative Nyquist plots: (i) LiFe\(_{0.5}\)Mn\(_{0.5}\)PO\(_4\)-MWCNTs and (ii) LiFe\(_{0.5}\)Mn\(_{0.5}\)PO\(_4\) at formal potential of 0.71 V vs. Li/Li\(^+\) and perturbation amplitude of 10 mV.

**Table 1:** Obtained kinetic parameters of LiFe\(_{0.5}\)Mn\(_{0.5}\)PO\(_4\)-MWCNTs and LiFe\(_{0.5}\)Mn\(_{0.5}\)PO\(_4\) from electrochemical impedance spectroscopy at room temperature (298 K).

|               | LiFe\(_{0.5}\)Mn\(_{0.5}\)PO\(_4\) | LiFe\(_{0.5}\)Mn\(_{0.5}\)PO\(_4\)-MWCNTs |
|---------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| \(\tau\)/s/rad| \(2.35 \times 10^{-4}\)        | \(1.21 \times 10^{-5}\)              |
| \(R_{ct}\)/Ω  | 407.6                         | 138.2                                |
| \(I_o\)/A     | \(6.30 \times 10^{-5}\)       | \(1.86 \times 10^{-4}\)              |
| \(K_{et}\)/cm/s| \(9.2 \times 10^{-6}\)     | \(2.72 \times 10^{-5}\)              |
| \(\sigma\)/Ω\(^{1/2}\)| 22.65                      | 14.18                                |
| \(D\)/cm\(^2\)/s| \(2.21 \times 10^{-17}\)   | \(1.4 \times 10^{-13}\)             |
characterizations, the LiFe$_{0.5}$Mn$_{0.5}$PO$_4$-MWCNTs display enhanced electron transfer kinetics with reduced charge transfer resistance as compared to the unmodified LiFe$_{0.5}$Mn$_{0.5}$PO$_4$ due to the higher surface area and stability provided by the MWCNTs which also facilitates good dispersion of LiFe$_{0.5}$Mn$_{0.5}$PO$_4$ as observed by SEM. The spectroscopic investigation showed that the structure of LiFe$_{0.5}$Mn$_{0.5}$PO$_4$-MWCNTs is highly improved as a result of the synergy with MWCNTs. The nanoscale dimensions enhanced the electrochemical performance and the Li-ion diffusion. The good cycling performance of the LiFe$_{0.5}$Mn$_{0.5}$PO$_4$-MWCNTs electrode is attributed to the reduction of the polarization loss for this peculiar Fe-to-Mn ratio. The formation of the nanotrode is attributed to the reduction of the polarization loss for the MWCNTs which also facilitates good dispersion of LiFe$_{0.5}$Mn$_{0.5}$PO$_4$ as observed by SEM. The spectroscopic investigation showed that the structure of LiFe$_{0.5}$Mn$_{0.5}$PO$_4$-MWCNTs is highly improved as a result of the synergy with MWCNTs. The nanoscale dimensions enhanced the electrochemical performance and the Li-ion diffusion. The good cycling performance of the LiFe$_{0.5}$Mn$_{0.5}$PO$_4$-MWCNTs electrode is attributed to the reduction of the polarization loss for this peculiar Fe-to-Mn ratio. The formation of the nanocrystalline phase and MWCNT-coated LiFe$_{0.5}$Mn$_{0.5}$PO$_4$ nanoparticles was confirmed from SAXS, XRD, and FTIR analyses.
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