Dark solitons in ferromagnetic chains with first- and second-neighbor interactions
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We study the ferromagnetic spin chain with both first- and second-neighbor interactions. We obtained the condition for the appearance and stability of bright and dark solitons for arbitrary wave number inside the Brillouin zone. The influence of the second-neighbor interaction and the anisotropy on the soliton properties is considered. The scattering of dark solitons from point defects in the discrete spin chain is investigated numerically.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Considerable attention has been devoted to the study of solitary waves in solids. A large amount of theoretical and experimental research has been dedicated to one-dimensional magnetic systems [1]. Models corresponding to real quasi-one-dimensional magnets are broadly investigated as first they were considered as somewhat simpler than the really interesting three-dimensional systems but then turned out to be interesting in their own right. There was the availability of rare magnetic compounds which because of extremely small coupling between neighboring chains, could be considered as reasonable realizations of magnetically one-dimensional materials. Soliton solutions for classical sine-Gordon chains [2] and Heisenberg chains with various anisotropies [3-6] were obtained and analyzed. In recent years there is a renewed interest to the topic due to their application. Spin-wave dark solitons were predicted and experimentally generated [7,8]. Solitons in magnetic thin films [9] and in ferromagnets with biquadratic exchange [10] were investigated.

Bright and dark solitons as exact solutions of the nonlinear Schrödinger (NLS) equation have been studied intensively [3,4,11]. But, the models describing the physical effects in solids are mainly discrete and the question arises how the discreteness modify the properties of the nonlinear excitations [12,13]. Another interesting topic of research with practical importance is the interaction of solitons with impurities [14-18]. The influence of the interaction with second-neighbors [19] and third-neighbors [20] on the bright soliton dynamics has been also considered.

In the present paper we study the condition for the appearance of dark solitons in an anisotropic ferromagnetic chain with first- and second-neighbor interactions. The propagation for arbitrary wave number is considered and the scattering on point defects is investigated.

II. HAMILTONIAN OF THE SYSTEM

We consider a ferromagnetic Heisenberg chain of \( N \) spins with magnitude \( S \) described by the following Hamiltonian:

\[
H = -\frac{J_1}{2} \sum_n (S_n^+ S_{n+1}^- + S_n^- S_{n+1}^+) - \frac{J_2}{2} \sum_n (S_n^+ S_{n+2}^- + S_n^- S_{n+2}^+) - \frac{A}{2} \sum_n (S_n^z)^2 ,
\]

where both nearest-neighbor and next-nearest-neighbor exchange interactions are taken into account. The spin operators \( S_n^\pm , S_n^z \) obey the commutation relations

\[
[S_n^\pm , S_j^\mp ] = \mp S_n^\pm \delta_{ij}, \quad [S_n^\pm , S_j^\pm ] = 2 S_n^z \delta_{ij} .
\]

where both nearest-neighbor and next-nearest-neighbor exchange interactions are taken into account. The spin operators \( S_n^\pm , S_n^z \) obey the commutation relations

\[
[S_n^\pm , S_j^\mp ] = \mp S_n^\pm \delta_{ij}, \quad [S_n^\pm , S_j^\pm ] = 2 S_n^z \delta_{ij} .
\]

\( J_i > 0 \) and \( \tilde{J}_i > 0 \) \((i = 1, 2)\) are the exchange integrals and \( A \) is the on-site anisotropy constant which can be positive (easy axis) or negative (easy plane). If \( \tilde{J}_i \neq J_i \) the inter-site anisotropy is included. For \( J_i = \tilde{J}_i \) and \( A = 0 \) the model is isotropic.

The equations of motion for the operators \( S_n^\pm \) yield (\( \hbar = 1 \)):

\[
\frac{\partial S_n^\pm}{\partial t} = -AS_n^z \left[ J_1(S_{n+1}^+ + S_{n-1}^+) + J_2(S_{n+2}^+ + S_{n-2}^+) \right] + \left( \tilde{J}_1(S_n^z + S_{n+1}^z) + \tilde{J}_2(S_{n+2}^z + S_{n-2}^z) \right) S_n^+ + 2AS_n^z S_n^+ .
\]
In the quasiclassical approximation, where the components of the spin operators $S_n$ are complex amplitudes, $\alpha_n = S_n^+/S$, $\alpha_n^* = S_n^-/S$ and $S_n^±/S = \sqrt{1 - |\alpha_n|^2}$, we have

$$i \frac{\partial \alpha_n}{\partial t} = -A\alpha_n - [J_1 \alpha_{n+1} + \alpha_{n-1}] + J_2 \alpha_{n+2} + \alpha_{n-2}] \sqrt{1 - |\alpha_n|^2} + \alpha_n [J_1 S \left( \sqrt{1 - |\alpha_{n+1}|^2} + \sqrt{1 - |\alpha_{n-1}|^2} \right) + J_2 S \left( \sqrt{1 - |\alpha_{n+2}|^2} + \sqrt{1 - |\alpha_{n-2}|^2} \right)] + 2AS\alpha_n \sqrt{1 - |\alpha_n|^2}. \quad (4)$$

The set of differential equations (4) describes our system. In the continuum limit (wide excitations), it transforms into a perturbed NLS equation, where the perturbing terms are not only due to the discreteness but also to the complicated nonlinear interactions. For $J_2 = J_1 = J_2 = A = 0$ and wide excitations, (4) turns to the discrete Ablowitz-Ladik equation which is also completely integrable and has soliton solutions. So, for narrow excitations it is necessary to investigate the whole set (4). Equations similar to (4) has been derived for exciton solitons in molecular crystals [21].

**III. SOLITON SOLUTIONS**

We shall look for solutions in the form of amplitude-modulated waves

$$\alpha_n(t) = \varphi_n(t)e^{i(kn - \omega t)}, \quad (5)$$

where $k$ and $\omega$ are the wave number and the frequency of the carrier wave (the lattice constant equals unity) and the envelope $\varphi_n(t)$ is a slowly varying function of the position and time. In the continuum limit, when the soliton width is much larger than the lattice spacing ($L \gg 1$), equation (4) transforms into the following NLS equation for the envelope:

$$i \left( \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \tau} + v_g \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x} \right) = (\varepsilon - \Omega)\varphi - b_k \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial x^2} + g_k |\varphi|^2 \varphi, \quad (6)$$

where

$$\tau = tS, \quad \Omega = \omega/S, \quad g_k = J_1 \cos k - J_1 + J_2 \cos 2k - J_2 - A, \quad \varepsilon = -A/S - 2g_k, \quad v_g = 2(J_1 \sin k + 2J_2 \sin 2k), \quad b_k = J_1 \cos k + 4J_2 \cos 2k. \quad (7)$$

$v_g$ is the group velocity and $b_k$ describes the group-velocity dispersion of the carrier waves. Depending on the sign of the expression $b_k g_k$ equation (6) possesses soliton solutions of different types. For negative values bright solitons exist while for positive values dark solitons are possible.

Let us consider the dependence of the coefficients $b_k$ and $g_k$ on $k$ (Fig. 1 and 2). The group-velocity dispersion $b_k$ depends only on $J_1$ and $J_2$ and is not influenced by the anisotropy of the system. Without second neighbor interaction ($J_2 = 0$) $b_k$ changes sign at the middle ($k = \pi/2$) of the Brillouin zone. The inclusion of weak second-neighbor interaction ($J_2 < 1/4$) has influence only in the moving of this point to smaller $k$-values. Strong second-neighbor interactions ($J_2 > 1/4$) lead to a second point where the function $b_k$ changes again sign (Fig. 1). The nonlinear coefficient $g_k$ depends on the anisotropy of the system. For the isotropic case without second neighbor interaction ($J_1 = J_1, J_2 = J_2 = A = 0$) $g_k$ is negative in the whole Brillouin zone. So in this case equation (6) has bright-soliton solutions for $0 < k < \pi/2$ and dark-soliton solutions exist for $\pi/2 < k < \pi$. The inclusion of positive on-site anisotropy ($A > 0$) preserves this behavior. The inclusion of negative on-site anisotropy ($A < 0$) leads to the appearance of a wave number $k_c$ so that $g_k$ is positive for $0 < k < k_c$ and negative for $k_c < k < \pi$ (Fig. 2(a)). Then the Brillouin zone is divided in three regions where the solution alters from dark- to bright- and again to dark-soliton. If $k_c = \pi/2$ then the solution of equation (6) is of the dark-soliton type in the whole Brillouin zone. The inclusion of weak second-neighbor interactions has influence only on the value of $k_c$ and the size of the regions where the different solutions exist. Strong second-neighbor interactions with $J_2 > 1/4$ will lead to the appearance of the additional region near the band edge $\pi$ where the function $b_k$ becomes positive (Fig. 1) and consequently the solution is of the bright-soliton type. Fig. 2(b) shows the function $g_k$ for the case of inter-site anisotropy ($J_1 = J_2 = A = 0$). When the second-neighbor interactions are not taken into account the solution of equation (6) is of the dark-soliton type in the whole Brillouin zone. The inclusion of second-neighbor interactions will lead again to three or four (if $J_2 > 1/4$) regions where different soliton solutions exist.
FIG. 1: Dependence of the group-velocity dispersion \( b_k \) on the second-neighbor interaction. \( J_1 = 1; J_2 = 0 \) (solid line), \( J_2 = 0.25 \) (dotted line), \( J_2 = 0.5 \) (dashed line), and \( J_2 = 1 \) (dashed-dotted line).

FIG. 2: Nonlinear coefficient \( g_k \) for (a) on-site anisotropy \( (J_i = \tilde{J}_i, A = -0.5) \) and (b) inter-site anisotropy \( (\tilde{J}_i = A = 0) \); \( i = 1, 2 \). \( J_2 = 0 \) (solid lines), \( J_2 = 0.25 \) (dotted lines), \( J_2 = 0.5 \) (dashed lines), and \( J_2 = 1 \) (dashed-dotted lines).

In what follows, we shall consider dark-soliton solutions which appear for

\[
b_k g_k > 0 \tag{8}
\]

and have nonvanishing boundary conditions, \( |\varphi(x)|^2 \to \text{const} \) at \( x \to \pm \infty \). Note that the condition (8) depends not only on the anisotropy constants but also on the wave number \( k \). The dark soliton has the form

\[
\varphi(x, \tau) = \varphi_0 \tanh \frac{x - v \tau}{L} + iB, \tag{9}
\]

where \( L \) and \( v \) are its width and velocity. \( B \) determines the value of the amplitude at the center, i.e. the minimum intensity of the soliton \( |\varphi(0)|^2 = B^2 \), while the maximum intensity is \( |\varphi(\pm \infty)|^2 = \varphi_0^2 + B^2 \). As independent parameters of the soliton we can choose \( L, k \) and \( B \). Then \( \varphi_0, \Omega \) and \( v \) are given by the relations:
FIG. 3: k-dependence of the group velocity $v_g$ (a) and the dark-soliton velocity $v_d$ (b) for $\tilde{J}_1 = \tilde{J}_2 = A = 0$. $J_2 = 0$ (solid lines), $J_2 = 0.25$ (dotted lines), $J_2 = 0.5$ (dashed lines), and $J_2 = 1$ (dashed-dotted lines).

\[\varphi_0^2 = \frac{2b_k}{g_k L^2} \quad \Omega = \varepsilon + g_k (\varphi_0^2 + B^2) \quad v = v_g + v_d \quad v_d \equiv B \sqrt{2g_k b_k}. \quad (10)\]

The dark soliton can be considered as an excitation of the background (carrier) wave. Its velocity consists of the group velocity $v_g$ and a contribution $v_d$ which depends on $B$. For fixed $(\varphi_0^2 + B^2)$ and $k$ solitons moving faster have smaller amplitude $\varphi_0$.

The bright soliton solution which exists for $b_k g_k < 0$ and $|\varphi(x)|^2 \to 0$ at $x \to \pm \infty$ has the form

\[\varphi(x, \tau) = \varphi_0 \text{sech} \frac{x - v \tau}{L} \quad (11)\]

with

\[\varphi_0^2 = \frac{2b_k}{g_k L^2} \quad \Omega = \varepsilon - \frac{b_k}{L^2} \quad v = v_g. \quad (12)\]

We like to make some remarks about the influence of the next-nearest-neighbor interaction on the form and velocity of the soliton solution. The dependence of the velocity on $k$ is shown on Fig. 3. The group velocity $v_g$ is defined in the whole Brillouin zone and coincides with the velocity of the bright solitons and of the dark solitons for $B = 0$ [Fig. 3(a)]. It is independent of the anisotropy of the model. The small second neighbor interactions change only the value of the velocity, while strong second neighbor interactions ($J_2 > 1/4$) can change also its sign. The velocity of the dark soliton itself $v_d$ is shown on Fig. 3(b). It is nonequal zero in the regions where the dark solitons exist and its sign is determined by $B$ as given in (10).

We performed numerical simulations based on the general discrete equation (4) and we investigate mainly the dark soliton solution. As initial function we put for $t = 0$ the form

\[\alpha_n(0) = \left( \frac{\sqrt{2b_k/g_k}}{L} \tanh \frac{nB}{L} + iB \right) e^{ikn} \quad (13)\]
which is exact solution of the quasicontinuum equation, and observe the evolution for different anisotropic cases. For wide solitons \((L \gg 1)\) this solution remain stable and its form and velocity are preserved. When the soliton width decreases the discreteness effects of equation (4) become important and the parameters of the dark soliton change.

In what follows we have \(L = 10\) and \(J_1 = 1\). Fig. 4 shows the propagation of the dark solitons in the case \(J_1 = A = 0\) for two \(k\)-values \((k_1 = 0.0157, k_2 = \pi - k_1)\). When the second neighbor interaction is absent \((J_2 = 0)\) the solitons propagate with the same velocity and amplitude [Fig. 4(a),(a')]. When the second neighbor interactions are present the solitons have different amplitudes and velocities [Fig. 4(b),(b') for \(J_2 = 0.1\)]. As can be seen from the \(k\)-dependence of the velocity from Fig. 3 the value of the velocity for the greater \(k\) is smaller. The increase of the second neighbor interaction leads to the turning of the solution for \(k_2\) to a bright soliton type [Fig. 4(c),(c') for \(J_2 = 0.3\)].

Similar results have been obtained for the case with on-site anisotropy \(J_1 = \tilde{J}_1, J_2 = \tilde{J}_2, A \neq 0\).

We like to point out that Eq. (4) is invariant with respect to the transformation \(\alpha_n \to \alpha_n^* e^{i \pi n}\) when \(J_2 \to -J_2, \tilde{J}_1 \to -\tilde{J}_1, \tilde{J}_2 \to -\tilde{J}_2,\) and \(A \to -A\). So the results on Fig. 4(b),(c) can be obtained also for \(k_2\) provided \(J_2\) has the values -0.1 and -0.3, respectively.

**IV. SCATTERING ON POINT DEFECTS**

We studied the interaction of the dark solitons with impurities in the chain whose size is small compared to the soliton extent. Then the equation of motion becomes

\[
\frac{\partial \alpha_n}{\partial t} = -A\alpha_n - [J_1 S(\alpha_{n+1} + \alpha_{n-1}) + J_2 S(\alpha_{n+2} + \alpha_{n-2})] \sqrt{1 - |\alpha_n|^2} + \left[ \alpha_n [\tilde{J}_1 S \left( \sqrt{1 - |\alpha_{n+1}|^2} + \sqrt{1 - |\alpha_{n-1}|^2} \right) + \tilde{J}_2 S \left( \sqrt{1 - |\alpha_{n+2}|^2} + \sqrt{1 - |\alpha_{n-2}|^2} \right) + 2 A S \alpha_n \sqrt{1 - |\alpha_n|^2} + d \delta_{n,n_0} \alpha_n \right].
\] (14)
The parameter $d$ describes the strength of the impurity at site $n_0$, which can be attractive or repulsive. In our numerical simulations we investigate the interaction of a propagating dark soliton of the form $(13)$ with a defect placed at the middle of the chain $n_0 = 500$. We have observed that in the case of attraction the solitons pass through the defect for arbitrary initial velocity. More interesting is the interaction with repulsive impurities. In the case of repulsion the soliton can be transmitted or reflected by the impurity. For a given initial velocity $v_0$ there is a critical value of the defect $|d_c|$ below which the soliton is transmitted and above which it is reflected. We consider dark solitons which velocities are determined only by $k$ ($B = 0$). Then, due to the symmetry properties of equations (4) and (14) mentioned above, $d_c > 0$ for small k-values and $d_c < 0$ for $k$ near $\pi$. Fig. 5 shows the scattering patterns for the case of inter-site anisotropy ($\tilde{J}_1 = \tilde{J}_2 = A = 0$) and different wave numbers ($k_1 = 0.0157$ and $k_2 = \pi - k_1$). When the interaction with the second neighbors is absent ($J_2 = 0$) the scattering mechanisms for $k_1$ and $k_2$ are equal, as the values for the initial velocity $v_0 = 0.031$ the values for $|d_c|$ are the same and it holds: $0.0108 < |d_c| < 0.0109$. When the interaction with the second neighbors is included ($J_2 = 0.1$) the initial velocities for the two cases become different and the the values for $d_c$ are different, respectively. As the initial velocity for $k_1$ ($v_0 = 0.044$) is greater than the initial velocity for $k_2$ ($v_0 = 0.019$) the value for $|d_c|$ is higher. We observe that the scattering mechanisms depends on the initial velocity and the strength of the impurity. The anisotropy of the chain influences the amplitude of the soliton in this case.

V. CONCLUSION

We have investigated the existence and stability of dark solitons in a discrete ferromagnetic chain with both inter-site and on-site anisotropy. We have considered the influence of the second-neighbor interactions on the soliton dynamics in the whole Brillouin zone. The complicated dependence of the dispersion and the nonlinear coefficients lead to regions in the Brillouin zone where strong second-neighbor interactions can turn the type of the soliton solution (from bright to dark or vice versa). The scattering of the dark solitons on point defects is also investigated.
Acknowledgments

This work is supported in part by the National Science Fund of Bulgaria under Grant No. DO 02-264.

[1] H.-J. Mikeska and M. Steiner, Adv. Phys. 40, 191 (1991).
[2] J. Tjon and J. Wright, Phys. Rev. B 15, 3470 (1977).
[3] D. I. Pushkarov and Kh. I. Pushkarov, Phys. Lett. 61A, 339 (1977).
[4] G. Huang, Z.-P. Shi, X. Dai, and R. Tao, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter B 2, 8355 (1990).
[5] R. F. Wallis, D. L. Mills, and A. D. Boardman, Phys. Rev. B 52, R3828 (1995).
[6] S. Rakhamanova and D. L. Mills, Phys. Rev. B 58, 11458 (1998).
[7] A. N. Slavin, Yu. S. Kivshar, E. A. Ostrovskaya, and H. Benner, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 2583 (1999).
[8] B. Bischof, A. N. Slavin, H. Benner, and Yu. S. Kivshar, Phys. Rev. B 71, 104424 (2005).
[9] C. E. Zaspel, J. H. Mantha, Yu. G. Rapoport, and V. V. Grimalsky, Phys. Rev. B 64, 064416 (2001).
[10] B. A. Ivanov, A. Yu. Galkin, R. S. Khymyn, and A. Yu. Merkulov, Phys. Rev. B 77, 064402 (2008).
[11] Yu. S. Kivshar and B. Luther-Davies, Phys. Reports 298, 81 (1998).
[12] Yu. S. Kivshar, W. Królikowski, and A. O. Chubykalo, Phys. Rev. E 50, 5020 (1994).
[13] P. G. Kevrekidis, I. G. Kevrekidis, A. R. Bishop, and E. S. Titi, Phys. Rev. E 65, 046613 (2002).
[14] K. Forinash, M. Peyrard, and B. Malomed, Phys. Rev. E 49, 3400 (1994).
[15] S. Burtsev, D. J. Kaup, and B. A. Malomed, Phys. Rev. E 52, 4474 (1995).
[16] A. A. Sukhorukov, Yu. S. Kivshar, O. Bang, J. J. Rasmussen, and P. L. Christiansen, Phys. Rev. E 63, 036601 (2001).
[17] D. J. Frantzeskakis, G. Theocharis, F. K. Diakonos, P. Schmelcher, and Yu. S. Kivshar, Phys. Rev. A 66, 053608 (2002).
[18] M. T. Primatarova, R. S. Kamburova, and K. T. Stoychev, J. Optoel. Adv. Mat. 11, 1388 (2009).
[19] R. Lai, S. A. Kiselev, and A. J. Sievers, Phys. Rev. B 56, 5345 (1997).
[20] M. T. Primatarowa, K. T. Stoychev, and R. S. Kamburova, Eur. Phys. J. B 29, 291 (2002).
[21] M. T. Primatarowa, K. T. Stoychev, and R. S. Kamburova, Phys. Rev. B 52, 15291 (1995).