Demise of the Jabłonna Reef (Zechstein Limestone) and the onset of gypsum deposition (Wuchiapingian, west Poland): carbonate-to-evaporite transition in a saline giant
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Abstract

Microbial deposits commonly occur at the transition between carbonate and sulphate facies, and they also abound in the uppermost part of the middle Wuchiapingian Zechstein Limestone in west Poland. These deposits occur as isolated reefs of the basinal zone and in the condensed sequences in most parts of the study area. The deposits of the latter category reflect evaporative drawdown, and the abrupt boundary between the carbonate and sulphate deposits in the basin suggests the nature of evaporites that start to precipitate as soon as they reach the saturation level. A few-metre-thick unit of mostly brecciated microbial deposits at the top, reefal portion of the Zechstein Limestone records extreme palaeoenvironmental events that occurred at the transition from carbonate to sulphate deposition. These events are related first to subaerial exposure of the reef, which lasted several 10⁵ years and then to the Lower Anhydrite transgression.
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1 Introduction

Carbonates preceding the vast accumulation of evaporites reflect changes in the basin hydrology and the degree of connection to the open sea. The resulting sequence of deposits mirrors a shift from normal marine to evaporitic conditions (Rouchy et al. 2001). In the Late Permian Zechstein Basin – one of the saline giants (Warren 2016), microbial deposits abound in the uppermost part of the first Zechstein cycle carbonate of the middle Wuchiapingian age (Zechstein Limestone–Fig. 1) both in marginal and central parts of the basin (e.g., Smith 1958, 1980a; Smith and Francis 1967; Peryt and Piątkowski 1977; Peryt 1978; Füchtbauer 1980; Paul 1980, 1987, 1995; Pöhlig 1986; Becker 2002; Peryt and Peryt 2012; Hammes et al. 2013). Traditionally, the Zechstein Group is divided into cycles reflecting progressive evaporation: at the base of a cycle are normal marine sediments; these are followed by sediments indicative of increasing salinity, first sulphates, next chlorides and eventually potash salts (Richter-Bernburg 1955). Traditionally, four evaporitic cycles were distinguished (Fig. 1; see Peryt et al. 2010a, with references therein). The total stratigraphic thickness of the Zechstein deposits in the basin centre exceeds 1.5 km.

Microbial deposits are an essential component of Zechstein Limestone reefs, and their frequency increases upsection (e.g., Peryt et al. 2016b; Raczyński et al. 2016, 2017). Such a trend was regarded in the past as the record of increasing seawater salinity that...
eventually led to the deposition of sulphate evaporites. However, a recent study indicated that echinoids are common throughout the Zechstein Limestone section except close to its top (Peryt et al. 2016a). Most of the Zechstein Limestone sedimentation was within the normal range of marine salinity and remained at roughly the same level (e.g., Peryt and Peryt 2012). However, the evaporite drawdown effect caused significant salinity increase at the top of the Zechstein Limestone deposits (see Smith 1979, 1986). The eventual rise of salinity led to the onset of the evaporite deposition in the basinal facies. The sharp boundary between the Zechstein Limestone and the overlying sulphate deposits (Lower Anhydrite – Fig. 1) in the basinal facies is due to the nature of evaporites that start to precipitate immediately when the brines reach saturation.

We report and interpret the changes in the middle Wuchiapingian sedimentary environments at the transition from carbonate to sulphate deposition at the Jabłonna Reef area in SW Poland, in the basinal palaeogeographic setting (Fig. 2). This choice of the study area was controlled by two factors. First, the uppermost part of the Zechstein Limestone and the transition Zechstein Limestone-Lower Anhydrite was cored in three boreholes (Jabłonna 1, 2, and 3) of four drilled in this particular reef. Secondly, both the Zechstein Limestone (except its uppermost part) and the Lower Anhydrite were characterized in detail in previous studies (Peryt et al. 2010b, 2016b). Thus, this study fills a gap in our knowledge of depositional history at the carbonatesulphate transition in the basinal setting.

2 Geologic setting
The Jabłonna Reef is one of many isolated reefs located on the elevated parts of the Brandenburg-Wolsztyn-Pogorzela High that is a part of the Variscan Externides consisting of strongly folded, faulted and eroded Visean to Namurian flysch deposits, capped by a thick cover of Upper Carboniferous–Lower Permian volcanic rocks (Kiersnowski et al. 2010). The reefs came into existence shortly after the rapid transgression of the Zechstein sea that flooded, probably catastrophically, this intracontinental depression located well below the contemporaneous sea level, some 257 Ma. The rapid inundation allowed for almost perfect preservation of the uppermost Rotliegend landscape (Kiersnowski et al. 2010). The rapid inundation was succeeded by several rises in sea level (Kiersnowski et al. 2010; Peryt et al. 2012a), and thus the Zechstein Limestone section of the Wolsztyn palaeo-high may comprise only the younger part of the unit elsewhere (Peryt et al. 2012a).

The analysis of 3D seismic sections (Peryt et al. 2016b: Fig. 2) indicated that the Jabłonna Reef is composed of three parts: two small, roughly elliptical, WNW-ESE elongated (penetrated by boreholes Jabłonna 3 and Jabłonna 4) and one large, elongated (penetrated by boreholes Jabłonna 1 and Jabłonna 2). Coeval Zechstein Limestone deposits in the depressions between and outside the reefs are thin (a few metres at most), and they are eventually underlain by the middle Wuchiapingian Kupferschiefer (cf. Peryt et al. 2015) - one of the prime correlation markers in NW and Central European stratigraphy. This unit records a period of basin-wide euxinic conditions, and can thus be considered an excellent time-marker (Peryt et al. 2010a).
The mineralogical composition of the Zechstein Limestone of the Jabłonna Reef varies, although limestone is the main rock type (Peryt et al. 2016b). Most of the Zechstein Limestone sections of the Jabłonna Reef is composed largely of bioclastic (mostly bryozoan) grainstones, and bryozoan and microbial boundstones that were formed in subtidal environments. The general shallowing-upward nature of deposition in the Jabłonna Reef area resulted in reef-flat conditions with ubiquitous microbial deposits in its central part. Subsequently, because of reef-flat progradation, the entire Jabłonna Reef area became a site of very shallow, subaqueous deposition (Peryt et al. 2016b). The uppermost part of the Zechstein Limestone, 2.8–5.1 m thick, shows a breccia texture, and is the subject of this paper.

The Lower Anhydrite consists of nodular anhydrite occurring at the base, which gradually passes into anhydrite with pseudomorphs after gypsum crystals (Peryt et al. 2010b). It is overlain by the Upper Anhydrite. In total, the thickness of PZ1 (Polish Zechstein 1) anhydrite in the Jabłonna Reef area varies from 59.2 to 66.0 m (Kiersnowski et al. 2010); these are followed by PZ2-PZ4.
(Polish Zechstein 2-Polish Zechstein 4) that are several 100 m thick (cf. Fig. 2c), and then by Triassic and Cenozoic deposits (Kotarba et al. 2006).

The reefs related to the Wolsztyn palaeo-high are excellent gas reservoirs (Karnkowski 1999; Dyjaczyński et al. 2001), and part of their porosity owes its origin to freshwater flushing after deposition of the major part of the Zechstein Limestone (Peryt et al. 2012b) and/or during the deposition (Fheed 2019). Certainly, the freshwater diagenesis occurred before the Lower Anhydrite deposition, as the subsequent geological history indicates that the reef deposits were continuously affected by marine-derived brines (Kotarba et al. 2006). Thus the geological history of the area rules out freshwater diagenesis after the onset of the PZ1 evaporite deposition on the top of the Jablonna Reef.

3 Material and methods

Altogether 43.4 m of core from three borehole sections: Jabłonna 1, Jabłonna 2 and Jabłonna 3 across the uppermost part of the Zechstein Limestone (15 m) and the Lower Anhydrite (41.3 m) were subjected to a detailed sedimentological analysis. Following detailed core measuring, 15 polished core samples and 40 thin sections were examined for sedimentological aspects of the Zechstein Limestone and to record the changes in the frequency of occurrence and the state of preservation of fossil taxa. Twelve thin sections were studied with cathodoluminescence.

4 Results

4.1 Sedimentary facies

4.1.1 Jabłonna 1

The uppermost part of the Zechstein Limestone in the Jabłonna 1 borehole is 4.0 m thick (depth 2342.0–2346.0 m) and shows a brecciated nature. Clasts are usually sharp-edged and of very various, often centimetric sizes, and show the inclined arrangement (Figs. 3 and 4). They are composed of limestone and dolomite showing various microbial textures (Figs. 3a-f and 4a) and more rarely organo-detrital texture (Fig. 4c). These clasts are embedded in nodular anhydrite (enriched) matrix, and sometimes are accompanied by fine sharp-edged clasts (Fig. 3a) that commonly occur also in the strata underlying the brecciated top part of the Zechstein Limestone (Fig. 3g). This part of the section smoothly passes into fine nodular, bedded anhydrite that shows abundant carbonate content; the thickness of beds varies from a few to ~10 cm, and in places, the beds are slightly inclined. This portion is 3.2 m thick and it gradually passes (0.6 m) into massive anhydrite with clear centimetric pseudomorphs after upright-growth gypsum crystals (this part of the sequence is 2.0 m thick), followed by fine-nodular anhydrite (10.3 m thick). Then, anhydrite breccia (0.6 m thick) occurs, followed by recrystallized anhydrite of conglomeratic appearance (12.3 m thick) with locally occurring clear pseudomorphs after bottom-growth gypsum crystals.

4.1.2 Jabłonna 2

In the Jabłonna 2 borehole, the breccias (2.8 m thick) consist of clasts of limestones (mostly bryozoan grainstone and stromatolite—Fig. 5a-c) in the dolomite matrix. In some instances, dolomicrite with quartz silt and micas (of aeolian origin?—Fig. 5d) were recorded. These breccias occur at a depth of 2345.4–2348.2 m (it should be mentioned that Peryt et al. 2016b: Fig. 3, placed the top of the Zechstein Limestone at a depth of 2346.5 m following the interpretation of the Polish Oil and Gas Company that the breccias very rich in anhydrite matrix represent the A1; now we consider that all breccias belong to the Zechstein Limestone). Due to the abundance of anhydrite nodules in the top 1.1 m, the transition to the Lower Anhydrite is, in fact, gradual. Above the conventional boundary, now placed at a depth of 2345.4 m, distinctively bedded nodular anhydrite (5.0 m thick) occurs, and the bedding is disclosed by dolomite laminae and lenses showing δ13C and δ18O values characteristic of the Zechstein evaporite formations (~6.3‰ and 2.8‰, respectively—Peryt et al. 2010b). Upper in the section, a 2.4-m-thick interval composed of bedded nodular anhydrite occurs, which shows clear pseudomorphs after upright-growth gypsum crystals.

The uppermost part of the Jabłonna 2 section resembles most of the underlying deposits consisting of granular sediments with inclined crusts of possible microbial laminites, which are shown in Fig. 5b. However, due to dolomitization and severe recrystallization, these primary features are poorly (but still) visible. A complex diagenesis in this part of the section might account for the seemingly brecciated nature. But on the other hand, the occurrence, in the close neighbourhood, of clasts of rocks that originated in various environments (peritidal-Fig. 5a and subtidal - Fig. 5c) indicates their transportation.

4.1.3 Jabłonna 3

The brecciated portion of the Zechstein Limestone in the Jabłonna 3 borehole is 5.1 m thick and occurs at a depth of 2348.9–2354.0 m (Fig. 6). The clasts show various sizes – from less than 1 mm (e.g. Fig. 6f) to several cm (e.g. Fig. 6b-d). Clasts are accompanied by microbial laminations (Fig. 6b) that also occur at the Zechstein Limestone-Lower Anhydrite boundary (Fig. 6a). They are overlain by nodular anhydrite (0.9 m thick) containing abundant dolomite in the matrix; the nodules show a clear upward trend toward the bedding arrangement. Next, there is bedded nodular anhydrite (2.4 m thick), most probably clastic, followed by nodular anhydrite...
with pseudomorphs after upright-growth gypsum crystals up to 2 cm high. The topmost 1.25 m of the cored interval consists of massive anhydrite with gypsum pseudomorphs up to 25 cm high.

4.2 Diagenesis

In terms of mineralogy, the uppermost portions of the Jablonna sections are generally dolomites with a variable contribution of anhydrite. They show a more complex mineralogical composition. Besides dolomite and anhydrite, they also contain calcite and a minor admixture of accessory minerals, such as celestite, fluorite and authigenic quartz (Figs. 7, 8 and 9).

Two main varieties of dolomite can be distinguished. The most common one is usually nonplanar medium-crystalline, unimodal dolomite composed of anhedral crystals, mostly a few tens of micrometres in size, exhibiting undulatory extinction in crossed polarized light (Figs. 7 and 8). However, planar euhedral dolomite crystals are also visible in places. The dolomite crystals are typically cloudy and are rich in inclusions. They show red cathodoluminescence with yellowish spots or zones in places. The dolomite crystals form massive mosaics or very cavernous masses plugged with coarsely crystalline anhydrite and sometimes coarsely crystalline calcite (Fig. 8). In some cases, pores are lined by thin rims dolomite crystals. Dolomitization was generally fabric-
destructive and matrix- and grain-replacive, but remains of original fabrics are still traceable in places. The porosity may be in part both secondary (e.g. after dissolution of some components, e.g. fossils) and primary (e.g. original interparticle porosity); the cavernous portions mimic probably original sedimentary fabrics, such as the grainstone texture.

The second type of dolomite in the sections studied, volumetrically subordinate, is finely crystalline dolomite (dolomicrite). Some fossils (e.g. sessile foraminifers) or microbialitic fabrics are mimetically replaced by dolomite (Fig. 7).

Calcite is present only in some of the thin sections studied. Petrographically, two calcite varieties can be distinguished: massive calcite mosaics and coarse-crystalline calcite cements distributed within dolomite.

The calcite mosaics are composed of anhedral, medium to coarse crystals that are ca 100 μm long.

Fig. 4 Aspects of the uppermost part of the Zechstein Limestone in the Jabłonna 1 borehole (the depths in relation to the top of Zechstein Limestone are: a, b – 2.8 m, c – 2.4 m, d – h – 0.1 m); an – anhydrite, bs – bivalve shell, fe – foraminiferal encrustation, ga – gastropod shell, me – microbial encrustation, os – ostracod. a, b clast of recrystallized peloidal deposit showing relics of stromatolitic lamination and encrusting foraminifers; arrow shows the carbonate crust with common pseudomorphs after lenticular gypsum crystals shown in (b); c bivalve shells with microbial encrustations; gastropods, ostracods, encrusting foraminifers and other small allochems in recrystallized micritic matrix and anhydrite cement (sample taken from a clast); d sample shown by X in Fig. 3a; e, h fragments of (d) showing aspects of microbial lamination (e, f, h) and encrusting foraminifers (g, h).
these were encountered only in one thin section derived from the lowermost breccia sequence in the Jabłonna 1 borehole. The calcite crystals usually appear cloudy due to numerous inclusions. Patches or aggregates of small dolomite crystals are chaotically dispersed throughout the calcite mosaics. Additionally, euhedral fluorite crystals are dispersed within the calcitic mosaics.

The second type of calcite is coarse calcite cements that fill the pores after the dissolution of some former crystals/skeletons or just the porosity within crystalline dolomite (Fig. 8). They are very clear and translucent (inclusion-poor) in transmitted light and exhibit a faint pale-yellow/orange cathodoluminescence. Spatially very limited calcite cementation postdates the dolomitization. The calcite cements showed characteristics typical of higher-temperature burial diagenesis (large and inclusion-free translucent monocrystals, quite homogeneous in cathodoluminescence). Possibly, their crystallization could be related to fluids released during gypsum-to-anhydrite transition (dehydration), which were relatively rich in calcium ions. Calcite cementation

**5 Interpretation**

Based on petrographic studies, the uppermost portions of the Jabłonna sections studied experienced rather simple diagenetic history. They were affected by only one episode of pervasive dolomitization that usually obliterated to a significant extent of its original textures. The dolomitization resulted in one type of dolomite – usually nonplanar medium-crystalline dolomite. Such dolomite texture is thought to originate in a higher-temperature environment (Sibley and Gregg 1987). It seems that the dolomitization took place under shallow-burial conditions and could be a result of the seepage of brines that originated during the deposition of the PZ1 anhydrite, as it is generally constrained only to the uppermost portions of the Jabłonna sections studied (Peryt et al. 2016b). Downward the sections, calcite mineralogy prevails and the Jabłonna Reef deposits are still essentially limestones (Peryt et al. 2016b).

Spatially very limited calcite cementation postdates the dolomitization. The calcite cements showed characteristics typical of higher-temperature burial diagenesis (large and inclusion-free translucent monocrystals, quite homogeneous in cathodoluminescence). Possibly, their crystallization could be related to fluids released during gypsum-to-anhydrite transition (dehydration), which were relatively rich in calcium ions. Calcite cementation
was followed by pervasive anhydrite cementation (in places preceded by, or undergoing simultaneously with, local celestite crystallization) that reduced the remaining porosity significantly.

The uppermost part of the Zechstein Limestone is, in general, much more altered diagenetically than the other parts of the Zechstein Limestone are. This is interpreted as due to two circumstances. The first is the early spelean-like diagenesis in a carbonate-evaporite salina (Handford et al. 1984) in which the deposits of the uppermost Zechstein Limestone of the Jabłonna Reef have originated (this is discussed later in this paper). The second is its location in the neighbourhood of the anhydrite deposits being the screen for the ascending fluids. In general, dolomitization of the Wolsztyn reefs was polyphase (Jasionowski et al. 2014), and this is particularly characteristic of this part of the profile.

Although microbial deposits often show the inclined (even to subvertical) position, this is probably related to the changing configuration of microbial reef complex in time, as it was spectacularly demonstrated by Paul (1980, 1987, 1995) by the case of the Westerstein reef (Harz Mts). The alternative for a part of the inclination, in particular, accompanied by the occurrence of complex coated grains, such as those shown in Figs. 3d and 6b, is that they might have resulted during the development of teepee structures which might be expected in a very shallow subaqueous environment that was subject to quite common episodes of subaerial exposure. In any case, in contrast to the most part of microbial biofacies, the strata characterized in this paper cannot be related to the merely subtidal environments.

We assume that during the evaporative drawdown that resulted first in the deposition of thin microbial carbonate in the basinal sections (cf. Smith 1979), the Jabłonna Reef became subaerially exposed (Fig. 10). Its top (and possibly slopes) became thus an emersion surface, which led to an irregular, karstified and brecciated relief surface.
related to a stratigraphic hiatus before the establishment of a salina environment in which the regolith became cemented by precipitated halite. Thus, the deposits composing the topmost part of the Zechstein Limestone actually derive from weathering and erosion of the microbial deposits of the uppermost part of the shallowing-upward sequence of the Zechstein Limestone and from precipitation of gypsum from transgressing brines of the sulphate system developed in the basinal facies. The succession of events is summarized in Fig. 10.

It was previously shown that the general shallowing-upward nature of deposition in the Jabłonna Reef area resulted in reef-flat conditions with ubiquitous microbial deposits in the central part of the Jabłonna Reef. Then,
the reef flat started to prograde, and eventually, the entire Jablonna Reef area became the site of very shallow, subaqueous deposition (Peryt et al. 2016b). Once the sea-level has dropped slightly, the Jablonna 1 area became exposed first (phase 1 in Fig. 10). At that time, shallow subtidal deposition still continued in the other parts of the Jablonna Reef. Then, the areas at Jablonna 2 and Jablonna 3 became exposed, possibly due to the ongoing fall of sea level (phase 3 in Fig. 10).

The result of the long subaerial exposure of the Jablonna Reef was the origin of an emersion surface and an irregular, karstified and brecciated relief. The length of the stratigraphic hiatus before the establishment of the salina environment is difficult to ascertain. In fact, there is no accord about the length of individual Zechstein formations and members, and even of the entire Zechstein (Paul et al. 2018), but we assume – as discussed below – that it possibly took a few $10^5$ years.

**Fig. 8** Coarse crystalline calcite (orange in CL) within medium crystalline dolomite (bright red in CL) with abundant anhydrite cementation. a, b, e, f transmitted light microphotographs (plane-polarized light and crossed polars, respectively), c, g CL images, d, h BSE images (cal – calcite, dol – dolomite, anh – anhydrite; fine red dots mark spots of microprobe analyses). The calcite crystals are probably burial cements that occlude porosity within dolomite. Jablonna 3 borehole, sample located 0.6 m below the top of the Zechstein Limestone.
The duration of this exposure is difficult to specify because of several reasons. First, the depositional duration of the Zechstein and its particular cycles is subject to debate (see Paul et al. 2018), but the estimate of Menning (1995) that the Z1 was ca 2 My long seems valid. Second, there are substantial differences in the rate of deposition of carbonates and evaporites. Subaquadic sulphates often have the accumulation rates in the order of 1–40 m kyr\(^{-1}\) (Schreiber and Hsü 1980; Warren 2016), and the rate of chloride deposition is 4–5 times greater (cf. Schmalz 1969; Sonnenfeld 1984). The duration of the deposition of the Zechstein Limestone was estimated
for 0.4 My (Peryt 1984) based on the average rate of deposition of platform carbonates, but it did not include the time of subsequent exposure of marginal carbonate platform (and the reefs of the Wolsztyn palaeo-High). Considering the scale of freshwater diagenesis, the length of the exposure was presumably similar to the range of Zechstein Limestone deposition.

**6 Discussion and implications**

Microbial carbonates are the primary lithology in the uppermost part of the Zechstein Limestone of the Jabłonna Reef. The increase in the amount of microbial deposits upsection was regarded in the past as the record of increasing seawater salinity that eventually led to the deposition of sulphate evaporites. However, a recent study of basin sections indicated that, for the most part of the Zechstein Limestone sedimentation, the salinity remained at roughly the same level of normal seawater until it increased due to the evaporite drawdown effect at the very end of the Zechstein Limestone deposition. Then, the salinity increase eventually led to the onset of the evaporite deposition in the basinal facies (Peryt et al. 2016a).

Microbial carbonates also abound in the shelf-edge reef of the English Zechstein (Smith 1980b), where algal-stromatolites and diverse laminar encrustations form up to 90% of reef-flat rock. Thus, in biofacies terms, this part of the Zechstein Limestone belongs to stromatolite biofacies. Microbial carbonates occur in situ, and they compose the majority of clasts. However, also clasts of bryozoan grainstones occur. These rocks are typical for the biofacies occurring below the stromatolite biofacies that formed in low-energy (indicated by in situ, or almost complete overthrown, zoaria) and occasional high-energy (indicated by intercalations of coquinas) lagoonal environments (Peryt et al. 2016b). These lagoons could evolve into salinas, possibly when the communication with the basin became cut off. Accordingly, there were many environmental perturbations prior to the evaporative drawdown.

The microbial carbonates that developed in the uppermost Zechstein Limestone throughout the basin are commonly not coeval, though. A thin packet of microbial deposits occurring at the topmost part of the basinal sections of the Zechstein Limestone originated following the sea level fall at the end of the Zechstein Limestone deposition (Smith 1979, 1986). The deposition of peritidal carbonates in the basinal facies (e.g., Peryt 1984; Smith 1986; Becker and Bechstädt 2006) was accompanied by subaerial emergence of the marginal carbonate platform (and the reefs related to the Wolsztyn palaeo-high). Subsequently, as a result of a basin-wide deepening-upward trend recorded in the Lower Anhydrite (e.g., Peryt et al. 1993; Peryt 1994; Dyjaczyński and Peryt 2014), the deposition of the Lower Anhydrite began at the reef zone. Such a scenario explains well the gradual change from carbonate to sulphate deposition in the Jabłonna Reef. The change took place in shallow salinas, i.e. in the same environment in which the oldest sediments of the Lower Anhydrite formed close to the Wolsztyn reefs, in the area characterized by condensed sequences (see, as an example, the Bonikowo 2 section – Peryt et al. 2010b: Fig. 4). This leads to the conclusion that the uppermost part of the Zechstein Limestone in the reef area postdates the uppermost Zechstein Limestone in the basinal area. As recently commented by Platt and Wright (2018), “the dynamic relationships between marine and freshwater systems on carbonate platforms and their responses to sea level rise remain poorly understood. This is surprising given the frequency of platform exposure and flooding events seen in the stratigraphic record.” Considering that the flooding of the Zechstein reefs was executed by saline brines, and that the freshwater system has formed during subaerial exposure of the reefs, a much more complex fluid and diagenetic history can be expected than in the case of marine transgression. During transgression, the displaced freshwater lens created an extensive freshwater and brackish system – a transitional deposystem from marine to non-marine carbonate deposition.

The sharp boundary between the Zechstein Limestone and the overlying Lower Anhydrite in the basinal facies (e.g., Cicha Góra 5 borehole located north of the Jabłonna Reef and characterized by Dyjaczyński and Peryt 2014) represents the nature of evaporites that start to precipitate immediately when the brines reach saturation (e.g., Caruso et al. 2016). The increase in seawater salinity, which eventually led to evaporite precipitation, occurred during the deposition of the uppermost (~ 10 cm thick) unit of the Zechstein Limestone in basinal facies (e.g., Peryt and Piątkowski 1977) and, as concluded by Peryt et al. (2016a), during the sedimentation of heterogeneous deposits composed mainly of ill-sorted oncoids and peloids with stromatolites, above the last occurrence of echinoids. This increase in seawater salinity was accompanied by sea level fall (evaporite drawdown) recognized by Smith (1979). The coeval deposits of the reef (= shoal) facies experienced some effect of this general increase in salinity, but it was controlled largely by local conditions in the environment of reef flat where considerable fluctuations in salinity might be expected. In general terms, this environment can be compared to Lake MacLeod and other Australian salinas (Logan 1987).

The uppermost part of the Zechstein Limestone in the Jabłonna Reef abounds in nodular anhydrite that forms the matrix in which carbonate clasts are embedded. However, in places the matrix is predominantly or entirely dolomitic. The lowermost Lower Anhydrite is also
nodular, which otherwise is common for the entire Zechstein Basin. But we assume that this is a diagenetic fabric, and the original sulphate mineral was gypsum. In Jablonna 1, some clasts have been encrusted by microbial mats containing pseudomorphs after lenticular gypsum crystals (Fig. 4a, b) that have originated in a shallow subaqueous environment, most probably in relation of the transgressive Lower Anhydrite.

In the lower part of the Lower Anhydrite in the basinal facies, there is a unit rich in carbonate composing streaks and discontinuous laminae (unit B of Dyjaczyński and Peryt 2014: Fig. 7). The increased carbonate content in this unit can be related to either the dissolution phase of the Jablonna Reef or the onset of the deposition on the Jablonna Reef top after the evaporative drawdown. In the Ruchocice 4 section, located east of the Jablonna Reef, thin microbial deposits (25 cm) of the Zechstein Limestone are followed by nodular anhydrite of the Lower Anhydrite, which contains intercalations of microbial dolomite in its lowermost part (Dyjaczyński and Peryt 2014: Fig. 7). These may correspond to unit B of the Lower Anhydrite in more basinal locations. In any case, the transgressive nature of the Lower Anhydrite is indubitable. In addition, considering the results of detailed correlation of evaporite strata shown by Dyjaczyński and Peryt (2014: Fig. 7), the deposition of chloride deposits contemporaneous with sulphate deposits occurred quite early in the PZ1 history.

In some locations, microbial deposits were lacking in the upper part of the Zechstein Limestone (Kiersnowski et al. 2010: Fig. 10B; Dyjaczyński and Peryt 2014; Fheed et al. 2015; Raczyński et al. 2016: Fig. 3). This was interpreted as due to lowering of the tectonic blocks on which the buildups were located, which could have resulted in the cessation of intensive carbonate deposition characteristic of reefs (Raczyński et al. 2016).

The subaerial exposure of the reefs and the marginal carbonate platforms in the basin centre is a logical consequence of sea level fall at the end of the Zechstein Limestone deposition, envisaged by Smith (1979), which was related to evaporative drawdown. This major sea level fall could be preceded by earlier sea level falls that have been concluded by several authors based on sedimentary and diagenetic premises (e.g., Füchtbauer 1980; Peryt 1984; Paul 1986; Pöhlig 1986; Fheed 2019). However, there is no doubt that the most important factor, in terms of duration and impact of poroperm properties, was the sea level fall related to the change from a marine carbonate to an evaporite basin (cf. Mikolajewski et al. 2009). Large parts of the Hessian Basin became subaerially exposed for a long period of time, which is indicated by common karstification (Becker and Bechstädt 2006). Widely developed shallowing-upward peri-littoral, sabkha and salina successions in the Hessian Basin have been interpreted as an indication of a renewed rise of brine level (a transgressive systems tract) due to inflow of preconcentrated brines from the Southern Zechstein Basin to the north (Becker and Bechstädt 2006). This inflow was preceded by the development of a karstic, subaerial exposure surface, interpreted as a record of type-1 sequence boundary that formed during a distinct brine-level fall (Becker and Bechstädt 2006).

In turn, Pope et al. (2000) concluded that stromatolitic facies of the transition interval contained between carbonate platforms or isolated carbonate buildups. The overlying evaporites showed no evidence of subaerial exposure and formed during a relative sea level rise as transgressive systems tract or early highstand systems tract deposits. They commented, however, that it is highly likely that the thick evaporites in the basin centre formed during local or global sea level lowstands. Our data indicate that a subaerial exposure episode existed, in the study area, after the deposition of transitional stromatolitic facies of Pope et al. (2000) in the uppermost part of the Zechstein Limestone, and the regolith can be related to falling stage systems tract deposits, that can be correlated with the lowest Anhydrite unit (unit A of Dyjaczyński and Peryt 2014) in the salt basin located to the NE of the Jablonna Reef.

A previous study has indicated a good lateral correlation of anhydritized zones in the reefs, which was regarded as an evidence in favour of their syndepositional origin (Dyjaczyński et al. 2001; Fheed 1999), namely during sea level falls that have been recorded in the marginal Zechstein Limestone carbonate platform (e.g., Peryt 1984; Pöhlig 1986; Becker and Bechstädt 2006). Another possible mechanism is that the anhydrite zones record the brine-level stands during the abrupt lowering of relative sea level at the end of Zechstein Limestone deposition, as was suggested by Dyjaczyński et al. (2001), or they represent a longer stabilization of brine level during the transgression of the Lower Anhydrite.

7 Conclusions

1) The thin (2.8–5.1 m) unit of brecciated limestones and subordinate dolomites at the top part of the Zechstein Limestone (Wuchiapingian) in the Jablonna Reef in western Poland recorded a sudden sea level fall that resulted in a long subaerial exposure of the reef, followed by a slow brine-level rise. This unit, regarded as a regolith, originated during the sea level fall related to evaporative drawdown. Eventually, it was locally reworked during the Lower Anhydrite transgression. Therefore, it can be regarded as a transgressive lag deposit.
2) The highstand systems tract deposits of the Zechstein Limestone are followed by transgressive systems tract deposits of the Lower Anhydrite in the Jablonna Reef. The motolite can be related to falling stage systems tract deposits that can be correlated with the lowest anhydrite unit (unit A of Dąjaczynski and Peryt 2014) in the salt basin adjacent to the Jablonna Reef.

3) The dolomite composing the unit studied originated through the seepage of brines in shallow-burial conditions during the deposition of the PZ1 anhydrite.

4) The nuture of primary sulphate mineral in the lowermost Lower Anhydrite is enigmatic, but it is probable that cyclic gypsum upright-growth deposition occurred in salinas developed during deposition of microbial flats at the final stage of deposition of the Zechstein Limestone, and, consequently, sulphate deposition in the reef area could predate the sulphate accumulation in the basin area.

5) The complex hydrological setting of the reef controlled its early diagenesis. During the sea level fall, the Jablonna Reef became exposed and subjected to freshwater diagenesis that improved poro-perm characteristics of reef reservoirs. During the sea level fall of/and during subsequent transgression of the Lower Anhydrite, the reefs were subjected to intense anhydrite cementation, although its overall impact on the porosity was quite limited.

6) The studied case implies that important environmental perturbations related to sea/brine-level fluctuations existed at the transition from carbonate to evaporite deposition in other giant evaporite basins.
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