Introduction

Stroke is a condition that results in high mortality rate and severe disabilities. Most stroke survivors can do experience improvements in their functional abilities, but the amount, rate, timing, pattern, type, and ultimate outcome of the improvements differ across patients and situation [1]. Numerous studies were conducted on the prognostic factors of acute ischemic stroke. Stroke severity and patient age are the main predictors of stroke outcome in acute phase [2,3].

Diabetes mellitus is one of the established risk factors for stroke. It has been demonstrated that diabetic patients had worse residual neurological deficits and functional outcome when compared with non-diabetic patients [4-6]. Hyperglycemia occurred in up to one third of patients with acute ischemic stroke was associated with higher mortalities independent of age and stroke severity [7,8].

Hemoglobin A1c has direct relationship with mean glycemia because erythrocytes are continuously glycated during their 120–day lifespan, which means that the rate of formation of glycated hemoglobin, also called HbA1c, is proportional to the ambient glucose concentration [9]. In the diabetes control and complication trial, an HbA1c of 6% corresponded to a mean serum glucose level of 135 mg/dl, and the measurement of HbA1c has been the primary index of glycemia [9]. An HbA1c test can be used to diagnose pre
-diabetes or diabetes and check the long-term control of blood glucose levels in people with diabetes. Serum blood glucose level changes during the day for many reasons, including medicine, diet, exercise. And the level of insulin in the blood. While the HbA1c test result is not affected by any recent changes [10].

The aim of this study was to elucidate the association between glycemic control status, defined by HbA1c on admission and the severity of acute ischemic stroke in diabetic type II Libyan patients.

**Aims and Objectives**

To know the glycemic status by estimating the HbA1c, fasting and postprandial plasma glucose at admission among patients with acute ischemic stroke. To study the effect of HbA1c on the severity of acute ischemic stroke at admission in patients with diabetes type II and without diabetes. To compare the poor and good glycemic status patients among the diabetics for the competence of glycemic status on the severity of stroke.

**Patients and Methods**

This Is case control study of 40 patients admitted to hospital with diagnosis of acute ischemic stroke with diabetes as cases.

Cases (All acute ischemic stroke patients with diabetes) were categorized into 2 subgroups based on HbA1c level at time of admission as acute ischemic stroke patient with diabetes with good glycemic control (<7HbA1c) and acute ischemic stroke patients with poor glycemic control (>7HbA1c). 40 acute ischemic stroke patients without diabetes as control, were over age 39. Data were collected through interview case and controls, physical and neurological examination by study physicians.

The diagnosis of cerebral infarction was confirmed by Brain-CT scan. Echocardiography was done to exclude heart failure. ECG was done to exclude Atrial fibrillation. Stroke severities were evaluated using the National Institute of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS) score on admission. The NIHSS is a tool used to objectively quantify the impairment caused by stroke and is composed of 11 items: level of consciousness, horizontal eye movement, visual field test, facial palsy, Motor arm, motor leg, limb ataxia, sensory, language, speech, extinction and inattention. Each of these specific abilities is given score between 0 and 4. For each item, a score of (0) indicates normal function, (1 - 4) mild stroke, (5-15) moderate stroke(<) 15 ) severe stroke [11].

Glycemic status in acute ischemic stroke patients was evaluated by measuring the HbA1c, fasting and post prandial plasma glucose were recorded for all individual.HbA1c level were recorded at time of stroke when patient initially admitted, FBG, PPG, BP and lipid profile values were taken from the data base of the registry of these patients, height and weight were measured and body mass index was calculated by dividing the weight (kg) by the height (m²) The exclusion criteria were intracerebral hemorrhage, space occupying lesion, subarachnoid hemorrhage, cerebral venous thrombosis, transient ischemic attack, atrial fibrillation, acute myocardial infarction, heart failure, end stage renal failure.

Other various risk factors

Bp measurement, cigarette smoking to be quantified based on daily consumption and duration of smoking. Blood biochemistry analysis was made; cholesterol, TG, LDL, HDL, CBC, RFT.

**Statistical analysis**

Was performed by using SPSS software (version 11), difference among groups was analyzed by (t- test) and P value 0.05 was considered significant.

**Result**

**Table 1: Shows characteristics and variation of study subjects.**

| Variable | GCC (%) N:30 | PGC(%)N:10 | Non-Diabetic(%) N:40 | P Value |
|----------|--------------|------------|----------------------|---------|
| Age mean ± SD | 61.43 ± 59.90 | 59.11 ± 11.59 | 62.87 ± 12.397 | NS |
| Males n (%) | 10 (66.7) | 8 (80.0) | 29 (72.5) | NS |
| Females n (%) | 20 (33.3) | 2 (20.0) | 11 (27.5) | NS |
| HTN (%) | 19 (63.3) | 6 (60) | 21 (53.3) | NS |
| Smoking | 9 (30) | 6 (60) | 13 (33.3) | 0.008 |
| BMI (kg/m²) | 22.23 ± 2.34 | 24.23 ± 2.34 | 23.95 ± 2.12 | NS |
| TGL (mg/dl) | 141 ± 56.086 | 182.50 ± 77.027 | 130.3±44.11 | 0.0001 |
| LDL (mg/dl) | 102.9 ± 34.086 | 113.08 ± 42.34 | 104.2±3142 | NS |
| HDL (mg/dl) | 44.02 ± 11.42 | 46.35 ± 15.77 | 44.67±13.11 | NS |
| TC (mg/dl) | 188.9 ± 39.299 | 209 ± 46.67 | 173.2±46.7 | 0.005 |
| FPG (mg/dl) | 103 ± 24.5 | 160.28 ± 55.45 | 96±22.15 | 0.0001 |
| HbA1c (%) | 5.921 ± 0.501 | 8.510 ± 1.260 | 5.8±0.540 | 0.0001 |

GGC: Good Glycemic Control; PGC: Poor Glycemic Control; Non - diabetic, Control group; BMI: Body Mass Index; TGL: Triglyceride; LDL: Low Density Lipoprotein; HDL: High Density Lipoprotein; TC: Total Cholesterol; FPG: Fasting Plasma Glucose; PPG: Post Prandial Plasma Glucose; HbA1c: Glycated Hemoglobin

(Table 1) 80 patients were included in the study, 40 patients with acute ischemic stroke and diabetes (case subjects). They were grouped into good glycemic control < 7 HbA1c (No=30), poor glycemic control >7 HbA1c (No=10). 40 patient s with acute
ischemic stroke without diabetes (control subjects). Mean age was 59.90±11.3 in the case subjects with poor glycemic control, 61.43±10.7 in the case subjects with good glycemic control and 61.03±14.6 in the control subjects. There was no difference in mean age, sex distribution and frequency of hypertension among groups. All smokers were males without significant difference among groups.

Patients of poor glycemic control had high total cholesterol, TGL, values when compared to good glycemic control and non-diabetic groups (P<0.05). Despite high mean LDL values noted in poor glycemic control patients, it had no statistically significant correlation. High mean HDL values were noted in good glycemic control patients and it had statistical correlation among three groups.

Glycemic status indicators like FPG, PPG, HbA1c had very high statistical significance (0.0001) values among 3 groups of patients. High mean FPG of 160.28±55.45 was noted in poor glycemic control groups when compared to 103±24.5 in good glycemic control and 96±22.15 in non-diabetic group. PPG also had similar trend of statistical distribution among 3 groups with high mean PPG of 208.53±65.22 was noted in poor glycemic group. Poor glycemic control group had statistically significant high HbA1c value 8.510 ±1.260 when compared to good glycemic control group (5.8±0.5) and non-diabetics (5.6±0.4).

**Table 2: Stroke severity at admission (NIHSS) in cases vs. control group.**

| NIHSS | GGC N (%) | PGC N (%) | Non -diabetic |
|-------|-----------|-----------|---------------|
| Mild  | 16 (53.33)% | 1 (10)% | 26 (65)% |
| Moderate | 13 (43.33)% | 5 (50)% | 13 (32.5)% |
| Severe | 1 (3.33)% | 4 (40)% | 1 (2.5)% |

(Table 2) Stroke severity had very high statistical significance (0.0001) values among three groups of patients. (90%) of poor glycemic control group had moderate to severe stroke (> 7NIHSS) at admission when compared to (46.63%) in good glycemic control and (38.3%) in non-diabetic group.

**Table 3: Baseline, Descriptive statistic for good glycemic control (GGC) and poor Glycemic control (PGC).**

| Variable | GGC          | PGC          | P value |
|----------|--------------|--------------|---------|
| NO:30    | FPG 103 ± 24.5 | 160.28 ± 55.54 | 0.001   |
| PPG 130.5 ± 38.08 | 208.53 ± 65.22 | 0.0001   |
| HbA1C 5.921 ± 0.501 | 8.510 ± 1.260 | 0.0001   |
| NIHSS 6.5 ± 2.313 | 11.8 ± 4.480 | 0.0001   |

**Table 4: Comparison between patients with good glycemic control and non-diabetic patients.**

| Variable  | GGC          | PGC          | P value |
|-----------|--------------|--------------|---------|
| NO:30     | FBG (mg/dl)  | 103.5 ± 24.5 | 106 ± 22.15 | 0.69   |
| PPG (mg/dl) | 120.31 ± 28.97 | 130.5 ± 38.08 | 0.42   |
| HbA1C 5.921 ± 0.501 | 5.8 ± 0.540 | 8.510 ± 1.260 | 0.56   |
| NIHSS 6.5 ± 2.313 | 8.71 ± 311 | 0.07       |

(Table 4) There were no statistically significant associations between the two groups regarding glycemic indicators (FPG, PPG, HbA1c). Interesting finding to be noted was stroke severity are not different among the patients of good glycemic control group and non-diabetic group.

**Discussion**

An increased HbA1c level reflects poor long-term glycemic control and has its specific implications on the structure and function of vascular bed including small as large cerebral vessel. Increased HbA1c level might also be marker of poor compliance indicating an unhealthy life style.

Evidence is compelling that increased stroke risk is associated with high levels of total cholesterol and low-density lipoprotein and decreased high density lipoprotein [12]. In the present study also results shown that high mean levels of total cholesterol (P<0.005) and Triglycerides (P<0.0001) levels in patients of ischemic stroke with poor glycemic control when compared with good glycemic control and non-diabetics ischemic stroke patient [6,13].

Kizer JR et al. [14] studied the relationship between HbA1c and stroke. The results showed that after adjusting age, gender, smoking, blood lipids and other variances, HbA1c and stroke risk was significantly associated. They emphasized that strict control of
glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) might be benefit for stroke prevention for the patients with diabetes. The present study showed that FPG, PPG values, NIHSS scores, on admission when compared among three groups of patients the difference was statistically significant (P<0.0001). Patients with high HbA1c, high FBG, high PPG had high NIHSS score at admission (P<0.001). That is to say a higher HbA1c level will have a more serious neurological impairment. So HbA1c levels at admission might be an important predictor to evaluate the neurological impairment aspects on admission, serious patients (>15 NIHSS) of poor glycemic control accounted for 40% that is higher than good glycemic control (3.33%) and non – diabetic (2.5%).

The mechanism might be associated with long term high blood glucose and high blood HbA1c which lead to lesions of large blood vessels and which lead to shift oxygen dissociation curve to the left, resulting in oxygen dissociation barrier, nerve tissue ischemia and hypoxia, that is not benefit for the recovery of neurological function, and the prognosis is worse, similar finding was noted by other studies [15-18,19]. In summary the current study suggests that blood HbA1c levels on admission may influence severity in patients with acute ischemic stroke. So HbA1c level may be an important predictor to evaluate the neurological impairment in patients of acute ischemic stroke with diabetes.

Therefore, effectively lowering blood HbA1c levels may reduce the severity of neurological impairment in patients with acute ischemic stroke, and perhaps can improve the life quality of patient with ischemic stroke.

Conclusion

Among the diabetic stroke patient’s severity of glycemic status found to have an influencing effect on the stroke severity. Estimation of HbA1c levels at the time of admission might be a predictor of the severity of neurological impairment in patients with acute ischemic stroke. With achievement of near normal glycemic status in diabetic population the stroke severity can be brought to the same extent of observed in non-diabetic population. We suggest the need for routine HbA1c testing in all patients with ischemic stroke for secondary prevention and routine screening in hospital registry for routine HbA1c testing in all patients with ischemic stroke for stroke. With achievement of near normal glycemic status in diabetic patients of acute ischemic stroke.
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