INVESTIGATING THE EVOLUTION OF THE DUAL AGN SYSTEM ESO 509-IG066
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We analyze the evolution of the dual AGN in ESO 509-IG066, a galaxy pair located at \(z = 0.034\) whose nuclei are separated by 11 kpc. Previous observations with \textit{XMM-Newton} on this dual AGN found evidence for two moderately obscured (\(N_{\text{H}} \sim 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2}\)) X-ray luminous (\(L_{\text{X}} \sim 10^{43} \text{ erg s}^{-1}\)) nuclear sources. We present an analysis of subsequent \textit{Chandra}, \textit{NuSTAR} and \textit{Swift}/XRT observations that show one source has dropped in flux by a factor of 10 between 2004 and 2011, which could be explained by either an increase in the absorbing column or an intrinsic fading of the central engine possibly due to a decrease in mass accretion. Both of these scenarios are predicted by galaxy merger simulations. The source which has dropped in flux is not detected by \textit{NuSTAR}, which argues against absorption, unless it is extreme. However, new Keck/LRIS optical spectroscopy reveals a previously unreported broad Hα line which is highly unlikely to be visible under the extreme absorption scenario. We therefore conclude that the black hole in this nucleus has undergone a dramatic drop in accretion rate. From AO-assisted near-infrared integral-field spectroscopy of the other nucleus, we find evidence that the galaxy merger is having a direct effect on the kinematics of the gas close to the nucleus of the galaxy. We therefore conclude that the black hole merger and the accretion rate on to the black hole.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Interactions between galaxies are predicted to cause increased nuclear activity (e.g. Sanders et al. 1988, Hernquist 1989). Massive gas flows triggered by gravitational interaction and resulting tidal forces can potentially fuel central supermassive black holes, creating luminous active galactic nuclei (AGN). This has been shown observationally in large statistical samples of galaxy pairs, where the AGN fraction and AGN luminosity have both been shown to increase as the separation between the galaxies decreases, peaking at \(\sim 10\) kpc (Alonso et al. 2007, Woods & Geller 2007, Ellison et al. 2011, Silverman et al. 2011, Koss et al. 2012, Satyapal et al. 2014). In addition, it is naturally expected that such a gas build-up in the nucleus will not only fuel the growth of the super-massive black hole, but obscure it as well. Across Compton-thick levels (\(N_{\text{H}}>10^{24} \text{ cm}^{-2}\), Hopkins et al. 2005). Indeed, this has been shown recently with a sample of interacting galaxies at \(z \sim 1\) where galaxies that exhibit evidence for a merger or interaction were more likely to host a Compton-thick AGN than a less obscured one (Kocevski et al. 2015, Ricci et al. 2017).

However, while both observations and simulations of galaxy mergers find that AGN obscuration increases in large galaxy mergers, simulations have also shown that large fluctuations in mass accretion rate on to the black hole are also to be expected (e.g. Di Matteo, Springel & Hernquist 2005, Hopkins et al. 2006), especially during the later stages of the merger (e.g. Gabor et al. 2015). Observationally, however, it is challenging to distinguish between changes in accretion rate and changes in absorption since both lead to changes in the observed flux (e.g. Rivers et al. 2015a, Gandhi et al. 2017).

In this paper we study a local pair of interacting galaxies, ESO 509-IG066 (Figure 1) located at \(z = 0.034\) (\(D_L \sim 150\) Mpc). The galaxy pair was chosen for this study as part of a \textit{NuSTAR} program to observe \textit{Swift}/BAT detected AGN (Harrison et al. 2013). The nuclei of the two galaxies are separated by \(16\) kpc on the sky, which at this redshift implies a physical projected separation of 10.9 kpc (assuming \(H_0 = 67.8\) km s\(^{-1}\) Mpc\(^{-1}\), \(\Omega_m = 0.308\) and \(\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.692\). Planck Collaboration et al. 2015). The galaxies are aligned in the East-West direction where the RA and Dec of the nuclei are 203.6653\(^\circ\), \(-23.4468\)\(^\circ\), henceforth known as the “Western source” and 203.6700\(^\circ\), \(-23.4461\)\(^\circ\), henceforth known
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Figure 1. HST/WFPC2_F606W image of the galaxy pair ESO 509-IG066 from Malkan, Gorjian & Tam (1998). The Eastern galaxy is on the left and the Western galaxy is on the right. The image is 50″×40″.

as the “Eastern source”. Guainazzi et al. (2005) (G05) analyzed the galaxy pair using XMM-Newton data from 2004 and reported that both galaxies host luminous nuclear X-ray sources with luminosities of $\sim 10^{43}$ erg $s^{-1}$. They found that the Western source is a moderately obscured AGN with a column density of $\sim 10^{23}$ cm$^{-2}$, while the Eastern source is almost unobscured with the column density less than $10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$. While the Western source is very weak in the very soft X-ray band (0.5–2 keV), it outshines the Eastern source in harder bands (2.0–10.0 keV). The system has also been detected by Swift/BAT (Cusumano et al. 2010; Baumgartner et al. 2013) with a 14–195 keV flux of $1.4\times10^{-14}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$, although with a PSF of 10″, Swift/BAT cannot resolve these two nuclei. Furthermore, ESO 509-IG066 was detected by MAXI/GSC (Hiroi et al. 2011) with a 4–10 keV flux of $1.7\times10^{-11}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$.

In this paper we reanalyze the XMM-Newton data from 2004 and add new results from the Chandra, NuSTAR and Swift observations. In addition to the X-ray data, we use data from the Catalina Sky Survey (Drake et al. 2009) and the Wide-field Infrared Survey Explorer (WISE; Wright et al. 2010) to compare the X-ray variations with the variability in the optical and infrared (IR). Furthermore, we present new Keck/LRIS optical spectroscopic observations of the galaxies and a Keck/OSIRIS near-IR integral field spectroscopic observation of the Western nucleus that yield insights into the system.

The structure of this paper is as follows. In Section 2 we describe the observational data used and the data reduction, Section 3 briefly summarizes our X-ray spectral fitting methods and results, followed by results from optical and IR analysis listed in Section 4. We present new Keck/LRIS optical spectroscopy and Keck/OSIRIS near-IR integral field spectroscopy in Section 5. We discuss our results in Section 6 and conclude in Section 7.

2. OBSERVATIONS

Table 1

| Telescope    | ObsID     | Date      | Exposure (ks) |
|--------------|-----------|-----------|---------------|
| XMM-Newton   | 0200430801| 2004-01-24| 13.9          |
| Chandra      | 12835     | 2011-02-08| 5.1           |
| NuSTAR       | 60061244002| 2014-09-02| 20.9          |
| Swift/XRT    | 0008115002| 2014-09-03| 6.2           |

Note. — Column (1) gives the telescope name, column (2) lists the observation ID, column (3) gives the start date of the observation and column (4) gives the exposure time in ks.

ESO 509-IG066 has been observed by XMM-Newton, Chandra, NuSTAR and Swift, where the NuSTAR and Swift observations were simultaneous. Figure 2 presents the X-ray images of the system from each of the observatories and Table 1 summarizes the basic observational data. The following sections discuss the processing of each of these X-ray data sets, as well as ancillary data sets at optical and IR wavelengths.

2.1. XMM-Newton

XMM-Newton (Jansen et al. 2001) EPIC-pn (Strüder et al. 2001) data were reduced using SAS v14.0, selecting events from a circular region of radius 60″ centered on the galaxy pair corresponding to a ~ 90% encircled energy fraction. EPIC-MOS data were not considered due to their lower hard X-ray sensitivity. A period of high background at the beginning of the observation was filtered out, leaving 7.9 ks of science data. Background spectra were extracted from a nearby circular region of 75″ radius on the same chip as the galaxies. Initially, both of the nuclei were extracted in a single spectrum. During subsequent analysis, we also extracted a spectrum for each of the two objects. For this individual analysis we used circular regions of radius 8″ for the Eastern source and 7″ for the Western source respectively. Spectra were grouped with a minimum of 20 counts per bin. We carried out spectral fitting in the 0.2–10.0 keV energy range.

2.2. Chandra

Chandra (Weisskopf 1999) data of the Eastern and Western sources were extracted using the CIAO (v4.7, CALDB v4.6.5) tool SPECEXTRACT, from circular regions with a radius of 5″. A larger circular region on the same chip as the galaxies was used to extract the background spectrum. The spectrum of the Western source (the brighter one) was grouped to at least 10 counts per bin and the spectrum of the Eastern source was binned to at least 5 counts per bin. Counts at energies below 0.5 and above 7.5 keV were ignored as the efficiency of the instrument drops quickly when out of this energy range.

2.3. NuSTAR

The NuSTAR (Harrison et al. 2013) raw data were reduced using the NUSTARDAS v1.5.1 software. Initially the events were cleaned and filtered with the nupipeline script with standard parameters, then the nuproducts procedure was used to extract spectra and the corresponding response and auxiliary files. A single spectrum was extracted for the galaxy pair because the size of PSF...
Figure 2. XMM-Newton (0.2-10 keV), Chandra (0.5-8 keV), Swift/XRT (0.5-10 keV) and NuSTAR (3-79 keV) images of ESO 509-IG066 from 2004-2014 showing the progressive fading of the Eastern source (East is left in these images). The red circles mark the positions of the sources and have 5'' radii. All images have the same scale which is marked on the XMM-Newton image. The top panels show the unsmoothed images and the bottom images show images that have been smoothed with a Gaussian kernel with radius 5'' for XMM-Newton, Swift/XRT and NuSTAR and 2'' for Chandra.

of NuSTAR (∼60'', Madsen et al. 2015) is larger than the separation of the galaxies. The spectra were extracted from circular regions centered on the peak of emission and with specific radii to maximize the signal-to-noise ratio. The background spectra were obtained from regions chosen to cover as much area as possible on the same detector as the source while avoiding the source itself and its point-spread function. Data from both focal plane modules (FPMA and FPMB) were extracted and used in simultaneous fitting without coadding. Both NuSTAR spectra were grouped by at least 20 counts per bin using the HEASARC tool GRPPHA. We ignore channels below 3 keV as the calibration at lower energies is uncertain, and channels above the 79 keV cut off that results from absorption in the mirror coating.

2.4. Swift

The Swift/XRT (Gehrels et al. 2004; Burrows et al. 2005) observation was taken simultaneously with the NuSTAR observation. The data were preprocessed and the spectrum extracted using automatic routines XRTPIPELINE and XRTPRODUCTS before downloading. Because of the low spatial resolution of XRT (HPD=18'' at 1.5 keV), only one spectrum was extracted for the AGN pair. We used default parameters (such as extraction radius) while generating the spectrum. The data were then grouped by at least 3 counts per bin. We carry out spectral fitting in the 0.2–7.0 keV energy range where the efficiency of the telescope is highest.

2.5. Keck

We obtained observations of the ESO 509-IG066 system with the Keck telescope during 2016. Optical spectroscopy of both nuclei was carried out using the Keck I telescope and the dual-beam Low Resolution Imaging Spectrometer (LRIS, Oke et al. 1995). The 300 s spectrum, obtained on UT 2016 June 9 in photometric conditions, used the 1.5'' wide slit, the 5600 Å dichroic to split the light, the 600 ℓ mm⁻¹ grism on the blue arm (λblaze = 4000 Å), and the 600 ℓ mm⁻¹ grating on the red arm (λblaze = 7500 Å). The 1.5'' slit corresponds to physical scales of ∼1 kpc. The observations were obtained at a position angle of 82° in order to simultaneously observe both galaxies in the system. We processed the data using standard techniques within IRAF, and calibrated the spectrum using standard stars observed using the same instrument configuration on the same night.

In addition to the optical spectroscopy, we acquired near-IR integral field spectroscopy of the nucleus of the Western galaxy from the adaptive optics (AO)-assisted near-IR integral-field spectrograph (OSIRIS, Larkin et al. 2006; van Dam et al. 2006; Wizinowich et al. 2006) on the Keck I Telescope taken on UT 2016 April 22. The data were taken in the K-band using the Kbb filter and the 0.1'' pixel scale, resulting in a rectangular field-of-view of 176×674. The galaxy nucleus was used as tip-tilt star for the Laser Guide Star AO system. A total of two sky and four on-source exposures of 600 s each at a position angle of 90 degrees were combined to make the final data cube.

The OSIRIS data were reduced using the OSIRIS data reduction pipeline (ODRP). This performs all the usual steps needed to reduce near-IR spectra, but with the additional routines for reconstructing the data cube. More details can be found in Müller-Sánchez et al. (2016). Flux calibration was performed using an A7V star HD 87035.
We used WISE (Wright et al. 2010) and NEOWISE-R (Mainzer et al. 2011) data to investigate the IR variability of the galaxy pair, which are spatially resolved by the telescope. ESO 509-IG066 was observed three times by WISE in 2010–2011 and four times by NEOWISE in 2014–2016. Data from the Catalina Sky Survey were used to investigate the variability of the AGN in the optical part of spectrum.

3. X-RAY SPECTRAL FITTING

We fit the X-ray data using xspec (Arnaud 1996) software version 12.9.0 and used the Cash (Cash 1979) statistic for fitting because of the low number of counts per bin in the Swift data. Both AGN are modeled in the same way with an absorbed cut-off power-law plus pexrav (Magdziarz & Zdziarski 1995) component simulating scattered radiation from the dusty torus plus a narrow iron line at 6.4 keV. We take into account Galactic absorption with a wabs model component, the N_H value of which was obtained from the Leiden/Argentina/Bonn survey of Galactic III (Kalberla et al. 2005), and found to be \(6.67 \times 10^{20}\) cm\(^{-2}\). We also included cross-normalization constants between the X-ray instruments. A secondary power-law component was added, assuming that a fraction of the primary radiation escapes through a patchy absorber without reprocessing, or is scattered into the line of sight. In xspec, this model is written: constant*wabs*(constant*cutoffpl + zwabs*cabs(cutoffpl+pexrav+zgauss)). The zwabs*cabs component represents the reprocessing of the X-rays by photo-electric absorption and Compton scattering local to the source. The constant*cutoffpl component represents the secondary power-law component. The pexrav component represents scattered radiation from the torus. All the statistical errors calculated by xspec are at 90 percent confidence level, unless explicitly stated otherwise.

Initially, we extracted a single spectrum for both of the sources from the XMM-Newton, Swift and NuSTAR data and fitted the three data sets simultaneously. Since G05 showed that the two sources have different spectral properties, specifically the level of absorption, we assumed that we could spectrally decompose the two nuclei in the summed spectra. To do so we used the model described above multiplied by two in order to account for both AGN within the extraction region. At first we tied the parameters for each source across data sets under the assumption that they did not change between the XMM-Newton observation and the Swift plus NuSTAR observations, however, the resulting fit was very poor. A visual inspection of the spectrum revealed that the 2004 XMM-Newton spectrum was significantly different from the 2014 NuSTAR and Swift/XRT spectra, appearing harder (see Figure 3). For this reason, another constant component was applied to the components of one of the sources to account for possible variability of one source with respect to the other. From this we obtained a very good fit with a C-stat of 556.21 from 589 degrees of freedom (DOF). We found that the constant for one of the sources drops to 0 for the NuSTAR and Swift/XRT data implying that it is negligible in the 2014 data. The results of this fit are summarized in Table 2. We identify the source with the highest N_H value as the Western source and the other the Eastern source, since these match the parameters from G05 who carried out spatially resolved analysis on the galaxies.

The first constant in the spectral model is used to account for differences between instruments and possible variability effects. We fix it to 1 for NuSTAR FPMA and let it float for all other instruments. Constants obtained by fitting the spectrum simultaneously are: 1.01±0.04 for FPMB, 1.26^{+0.22}_{-0.31} for XMM-Newton-pn and 1.01^{+0.15}_{-0.13} for Swift/XRT. The cross-normalization for XMM-Newton is higher, possibly due to variability between 2004 and 2014. However it is still consistent with unity within the 90 percent uncertainties. Noticing this, we investigated variability of the Western source. We tried freeing the photon index and column densities of this source in XMM-Newton data, though the resulting values stayed constant within the uncertainties. The other cross-normalization constants are consistent with calibrated values from Madsen et al. (2015).

To investigate the variability of the two sources individually, we analyzed the Chandra observation from 2011. For XMM-Newton, while the 16″ separation of the sources is similar to the FWHM of the telescope’s PSF, we extracted the spectra of each source using small 7–8′′ radius apertures following G05, bearing in mind that each spectrum will be contaminated by the other’s PSF wings. First we extracted XMM-Newton and Chandra spectra of the Western source only. We simultaneously fit the spectra using a simple spectral model in the form constant*wabs(constant*po + zwabs*cabs(po + zgauss)). The power-law did not require a cut-off and the pexrav component was not required since these features are not significant in the soft X-ray range (below 10 keV). As the Chandra observation is only 5 ks, we were not able to constrain all the parame-
observed flux of the combined spectral models. We calculated the drop in flux of the object. Upon freeing the other normalization constant, which now accounts mostly for the $XMM$-Newton, $Chandra$ were not required. We obtained a good fit with $85.18/115 C$-stat/DOF by tying all the parameters for $Chandra$ observation.

The cross-normalization constant between the observation and $68\times10^{-5}$ photons cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ at 1 keV, and column (8) lists the normalization of Gaussian component representing the iron line at 6.4 keV in units of total photons cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ in the line. The equivalent width of this line is 150 eV.

ters of the spectral model. We achieved a very good fit of 69.49/92 C-stat/DOF when freeing $f_{pl2}$ (the fraction of the secondary power-law to the primary one), $N_H$ and the cross-normalization constant, and fixing all other parameters. The results of the fit are shown in Table 2 and in Figure 3. We notice an increase in the $N_H$ of the absorber from $6.2\times10^{-22}$ cm$^{-2}$ to $1.2\pm0.2 \times 10^{-21}$ cm$^{-2}$, though the uncertainties increased as well. The equivalent width of the Fe Kα line is $82^{+95}_{-78}$ eV during the $XMM$-Newton observation and $68^{+168}_{-52}$ eV during the $Chandra$ observation. The cross-normalization constant between the measurements is consistent with unity at the 90 percent confidence level.

We extracted the spectrum of the Eastern source from $XMM$-Newton and $Chandra$ observations as done with the Western source. The source is detected in both observations, but the flux from the 2011 $Chandra$ observation is much lower than from the 2004 $XMM$-Newton observation. We fit the spectrum simultaneously using the same model as for the Western source. In this case, the $zgauss$ component is not significant anymore and again the $pexrav$ component and high-energy cut-off were not required. We obtained a good fit with $85.18/115$ C-stat/DOF by tying all the parameters for $Chandra$ to the $XMM$-Newton parameters except for the cross-normalization constant, which now accounts mostly for the drop in flux of the object. Upon freeing the other $Chandra$ spectral parameters, the fit becomes unconstrained. The results are summarized in Table 3 and Figure 5. We find that the normalization of the Eastern source decreased by a factor of $\sim10$ between 2004, when $XMM$-Newton observed it and 2011, the date of the $Chandra$ observation.

In the final part of X-ray analysis, we focus on fluxes from both of the sources and their change over time. We calculate the flux using $sfiux$, which provides the observed flux of the combined spectral models. We calculate the flux in two different bands: soft (0.5–2.0 keV) and hard (2.0–8.0 keV). From the $Chandra$ and $XMM$-Newton data we obtain fluxes of the sources separately, while for $Swift$ plus $NuSTAR$ data, the calculated flux is the sum of both sources. However, we list it as the flux of Western source only since the Eastern source is undetected. We include the upper limit at 90 percent confidence level for the Eastern source flux calculated using spectral modeling. We plot the results from this analysis in Figure 6.

The system was reported by $Swift$/BAT as having $14–195$ keV flux of $1.4\times10^{-11}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ (Cusumano et al. 2010; Baumgartner et al. 2013), which is an average over the period 2005–2009. We estimate the $14–195$ keV flux of ESO 509-IG066 from the 2014 $NuSTAR$ observation by extrapolating our spectral model up to 195 keV. We find that the flux during the $NuSTAR$ observation is $1.5\times10^{-11}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$, which is consistent with the $Swift$/BAT flux reported, implying there is no evidence for a drop in X-ray flux in this band. However, this is not surprising since the Western source, which has remained relatively constant, dominates at high energies.

4. LONG-TERM OPTICAL AND IR LIGHT CURVES

To investigate the cause of the X-ray variability of the Eastern source, we examined the optical and IR lightcurves. We used the Catalina Real-Time Transient Survey (CRTS) to determine the V-band optical bright-

| Source | $N_H$ | $\Gamma$ | $E_C$ | Power-law norm. | $f_{pl2}$ | $P_{xra}$ norm. | Iron line norm. |
|--------|-------|---------|-------|-----------------|---------|----------------|----------------|
| West   | $7.3^{+1.5}_{-1.4}$ | $1.65^{+0.23}_{-0.20}$ | $71^{+150}_{-30}$ | $1.56^{+0.68}_{-0.32} \times 10^{-3}$ | $6^{+25}_{-11} \times 10^{-3}$ | $1.5^{+2.8}_{-1.2} \times 10^{-3}$ | $1.21^{+0.42}_{-0.38} \times 10^{-5}$ |
| East   | $0.60^{+0.22}_{-0.15}$ | $1.84^{+0.62}_{-0.32}$ | 500 | $5.9^{+1.5}_{-1.2} \times 10^{-4}$ | 0.11$^{+1.65}_{-1.35}$ | ———— ———— | ———— ———— |

Note. — Column (1) gives source name, column (2) gives the $N_H$ value in units of $10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$, column (3) gives the photon index of the cut-off power-law, column (4) shows the exponential cutoff energy of the cut-off power-law in keV. Here ‘$-$’ signifies that the lower limit on this parameter is unconstrained, in this case consistent with zero. Column (5) lists the normalization of the power-law in units of photons keV$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ at 1 keV, column (6) gives the fraction of the leaked power-law model to the primary one (‘$-$l’ indicates that this fraction is unconstrained at the lower end), column (7) shows the normalization of the $pexrav$ component in units of photons keV$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ at 1 keV, and column (8) lists the normalization of Gaussian component representing the iron line at 6.4 keV in units of total photons cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ in the line.
Simultaneous fitting results of both sources using XMM-Newton and Chandra data.

| Source      | $N_H$  | $\Gamma$ | Power-law norm. | $f_{pl2}$ | Iron line norm. | Cross-normalization constant |
|-------------|--------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|-------------------------------|
| (1)         | (2)    | (3)      | (4)             | (5)       | (6)             | (7)                           |
| XMM-Newton  | 6.41$^{+0.84}_{-0.89}$ | 1.53$^{+0.42}_{-0.46}$ | $1.40^{+0.64}_{-0.46} \times 10^{-3}$ | 0.031$^{+0.015}_{-0.010}$ | $8.3^{+8.1}_{-7.1} \times 10^{-6}$ | tied |
| Chandra     | 12.4$^{+1.4}_{-1.6}$ | tied      | tied            | 0.011$^{+0.007}_{-0.005}$ | fixed to 1                    | 0.88$^{+0.17}_{-0.14}$ |
| XMM-Newton  | 0.53$^{+0.13}_{-0.12}$ | 1.49$^{+0.10}_{-0.09}$ | $5.57^{+1.09}_{-0.92} \times 10^{-4}$ | 0.148$^{+0.056}_{-0.042}$ | fixed to 1                    | tied |
| Chandra     | tied   | tied      | tied            | tied      | fixed to 1      | 0.107$^{+0.019}_{-0.017}$ |

Note. — Column (1) lists the instrument that measured the data, column (2) gives the $N_H$ value in units of $10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$, column (3) gives the photon index of the power-law, column (4) lists the normalization of the power-law in units of photons keV$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ at 1 keV, column (5) gives the fraction of the secondary power-law to the primary one, column (6) gives the normalization of the Gaussian component in units of total photons cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ in the line, and column (7) shows the cross-normalization constant between the two measurements.

Firstly we note that the mid-IR colors of the Eastern galaxy are relatively blue, with W1-W2$\approx 0.3$, which indicates that the bands are dominated by stellar emission. For the Western galaxy W1-W2$\approx 0.7$, which is more consistent with being dominated by the AGN (Stern et al. 2012). Therefore any drop in mid-IR flux from the AGN in the Eastern galaxy will probably be washed out by the host galaxy. While the flux in W1 from the Eastern galaxy does show a $\sim 20\%$ drop during the 2010–2011 WISE observations and the AllWISE catalog gives it the maximum probability that the flux was not constant with time, the drop in flux is not sustained, as seen in the 2014 – 2016 NEOWISE data which show a recovery of the initial flux. Finally, the expected optical to K-band time lag using our assumed cosmology, is 25 days, a much shorter timescale than the cadence of the WISE data (although the W1, W2 emission regions may be slightly larger than the K-band emission region).

We convert the V-band magnitude from CRTS and the WISE W1 and W2 magnitudes to $\nu F_\nu$ fluxes in order to compare to the X-ray data. We plot this multiband lightcurve in Figure 6. While the X-ray flux from the Eastern galaxy has dropped by a factor of 10 in the X-ray bands, the flux at optical and mid-IR wavelengths has remained relatively constant over the long base line. No major variations are seen in the flux from the Western galaxy at X-ray, optical or mid-IR wavelengths.

5. OPTICAL AND IR SPECTROSCOOPY

In order to gain further insight into the nature of the interaction between the galaxies and the drop in X-ray flux from the Eastern nucleus, we analyzed the optical spectra of the two nuclei. The processed Keck/LRIS spectra are shown in Figure 7. We use the penalised PiXel Fitting software (pPXF, Cappellari & Emsellem 2004) to measure stellar kinematics and the central stellar velocity dispersion with the Indo-U.S. CaT, and MILES empirical stellar library (3465–9468 Å Vazdekis et al. 2012). We fit the residual spectra for emission lines after subtracting the stellar templates with the PYSPACEFIT software following Berney et al. (2015) and correct the narrow line ratios (Hα/Hβ) assuming an intrinsic ratio of $R = 3.1$ and the Cardelli, Clayton & Mathis (1989) reddening curve. In the case of a Hβ non-detection, we assume the $3\sigma$ upper limits for the extinction correction.

The optical spectrum of the Western galaxy exhibits strong forbidden transition lines from [O III] and [N II] and BPT diagnostics confirm that the galaxy hosts a Seyfert 2 nucleus (Figure 8). The Balmer decrement corrected [O III] flux is $1.91 \times 10^{-13}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$. We measure a velocity dispersion of 118±37 km s$^{-1}$ in the CaH+K and Mgb region and 124±27 km s$^{-1}$ in the CaH+K and Mgb region.
Table 4

| Source       | W1 (1)    | W2 (2)    | W1 (3)    | W2 (4)    | W1 (5)    |
|--------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| AllWISE 1    | 12.67±0.02| 12.39±0.06| 11.79±0.01| 11.10±0.04|           |
| AllWISE 2    | 12.75±0.02| 12.52±0.01| 11.83±0.02| 11.19±0.04|           |
| AllWISE 3    | 12.89±0.04| 12.68±0.06| 11.86±0.03| 11.25±0.12|           |
| NEOWISE-R 1  | 12.71±0.02| 12.53±0.03| 11.75±0.08| 11.07±0.03|           |
| NEOWISE-R 2  | 12.83±0.03| 12.62±0.05| 11.73±0.02| 11.04±0.04|           |
| NEOWISE-R 3  | 12.78±0.02| 12.59±0.03| 11.71±0.03| 11.05±0.08|           |
| NEOWISE-R 4  | 12.70±0.03| 12.40±0.05| 11.72±0.05| 11.03±0.08|           |

Note. — Column (1) shows the observational epoch and columns (2)-(5) list the WISE and NEOWISE magnitudes (Vega) of both galaxies in the W1 and W2 bands.

Figure 6. Multiband lightcurves of both Eastern (top) and Western (bottom) galaxies covering the period 2004−2014. While the X-ray flux from the Eastern galaxy has dropped by a factor of 10 in the X-ray bands, the flux at optical and mid-IR wavelengths has remained constant. No major variations are seen in the flux from the Western galaxy at X-ray, optical or mid-IR wavelengths.

Figure 9. Calcium triplet absorption lines. We show the fit to the Calcium triplet lines in Figure 9.

In the LRIS spectrum of the Eastern galaxy a broad H\(\alpha\) line is detected with a width of 4226 km s\(^{-1}\) characteristic of a Seyfert 1 nucleus (Figure 10), however the H\(\beta\) line is very weak, and so would be classified as a Seyfert 1.9 (Osterbrock 1981). Using an upper limit to the flux of the narrow H\(\beta\) line, we find that the BPT diagnostics also confirm the presence of a Seyfert nucleus in this source (Figure 8). The Eastern galaxy has a velocity dispersion that is consistent with the instrumental resolution (<100 km s\(^{-1}\)) in the CaH+K and Mgb region. However, due to the broad H\(\alpha\) line, the Calcium triplet absorption lines are likely contaminated by AGN emission.

Sekiguchi & Wolstencroft (1992) presented optical spectroscopic observations of the two galaxies, taken with the 1.9-m South African Astronomical Observatory, also finding the Western nucleus to be a Seyfert 2. They, however, classify the Eastern nucleus as LINER or H\(\text{ii}\) galaxy. It is unclear if the broad H\(\alpha\) line was undetected in their observations or not present at that time when they were made, between 1987 and 1990. Our new detection of broad H\(\alpha\) strongly suggests that our view of the Eastern nucleus is largely unobscured. Some reddening may be present in order to explain the non-detection of a broad H\(\beta\) line. We can estimate the amount of reddening from the flux ratio of the H\(\alpha\) and H\(\beta\) lines, known as the Balmer decrement. Given the upper limit on the flux of the H\(\beta\) line, the lower limit on the Balmer decrement is 4.7. Assuming an intrinsic value of 3.1, this corresponds to a lower limit on the reddening of \(E(B - V) = 0.36\), which, assuming the Galactic gas-to-dust ratio corresponds to \(N_{\text{H}} \sim 10^{23}\) cm\(^{-2}\). In order to suppress the X-ray flux from the Eastern nucleus such that it is not detected by NuSTAR, the obscuration must be at least 4 orders of magnitude higher, around \(10^{25}\) cm\(^{-2}\). If this were the case, the implied reddening in the optical means that the broad H\(\alpha\) line would not be detectable. A possibility remains, however, that the broad H\(\alpha\) line results from scattered light from the nucleus which would not be subjected to the heavy line of sight absorption that the X-rays may be subjected to.

In addition to the optical spectroscopy of the two nuclei, we also acquired near-IR K-band integral field spectroscopy of the inner ~kpc of both nuclei. The integrated spectrum of the Western nucleus (Figure 11) reveals several transitions of molecular hydrogen, Br\(\gamma\) and Br\(\delta\) from atomic hydrogen, as well as transitions from ionized gas ([Si\(\text{vi}\)] and [He\(\text{i}\)]). The molecular hydrogen transitions indicate the presence of large amounts of molecular gas while the emission from highly ionized gas confirms the presence of a powerful AGN. The integrated spectrum
of the Eastern nucleus is however featureless, with no emission lines detected.

We use the strong $\text{H}_2$ $1-0$ S(1) emission line at 2.12 $\mu$m to map the velocity of the gas within the inner $\sim$kpc of the Western galaxy, fitting it with a single Gaussian for each pixel in the field of view. Figure 7 presents the flux, velocity and the velocity dispersion inferred from these measurements. We find that within the inner 200–300 pc of the galaxy, the gas rotates in an ordered fashion, with a systematic velocity towards us to the west of the nucleus, and a systematic velocity away from us to the east of the nucleus. The velocity dispersion is also low ($<100$ km s$^{-1}$). However, at $\sim$ 1 kpc to the east of the nucleus, a region of gas appears to have motion that is redshifted towards us, opposite to the direction of ordered rotation in that region. This region also shows very high velocity dispersion of 455 km s$^{-1}$ (typical error 10–20 km s$^{-1}$). A high dispersion is an indication of shocks and perturbed kinematics. These usually correspond to outflows, but they are also associated with infows, particularly from merger processes (Medling et al. 2015; Müller-Sánchez et al. 2016). We have mapped the gas outflow from the Western AGN using the high-ionisation [Si vi] line which shows a different morphology from the molecular gas, orientated in the north-south direction. We therefore find it unlikely that the perturbed molecular gas in the east is caused by an AGN outflow. Since this galaxy appears to be interacting with its neighbor to the east, we interpret these observations as signatures of an inflow of gas caused by a physical interaction between the galaxies.

While a region of high velocity dispersion is also seen to the north west of the nucleus, the signal to noise is low and has significantly lower velocity dispersion (300 km s$^{-1}$) than the region to the east. Furthermore, there is no evidence that the velocity is systematically different to the ordered rotation seen in the rest of the nucleus.

Therefore we conclude that while the nuclei are separated by $\sim$ 11 kpc, the effect of the interaction is seen on the gas within the inner $\sim$ 1 kpc of the Western galaxy. This clearly shows that galaxy interactions like the one is ESO 509-IG066 can have significant impact on the motion of gas within the nuclei and the feeding of the central SMBH.

6. DISCUSSION

One of the notable features of the X-ray observations spanning 12 years is the drop, by a factor of 10, of the flux of the Eastern source. There are two possible straightforward explanations for this observed drop in X-ray flux. The decrease in flux could be caused by an increase in the column density of the absorber. A cloud of gas and dust might be passing in front of the nucleus along the line of sight obscuring the source (e.g. NGC 1365, Risaliti et al. 2009; Rivers et al. 2015b). Provided the column density is extremely high (above $10^{25}$ cm$^{-2}$), the only received X-ray radiation would be that escaping through gaps between clouds, assuming that the covering fraction is not 100%, or light that has been scattered into our line of sight. The spectrum would then resemble results obtained from the 2011 Chandra observation, being lower in flux with approximately the same spectral shape. An extreme $N_H$ would be required so that even emission above 10 keV is suppressed by Compton scattering since the Eastern source is not visible in the NuSTAR image (Figure 3). With an angular separation of 16", the nuclei are far enough apart to be distinguishable with NuSTAR, whose PSF has a 18" FWHM (Harrison et al. 2013; Madsen et al. 2015). The X-ray emission in the NuSTAR image peaks strongly at the position of the Western source, with no indication of the Eastern one. Furthermore, there is no evidence for Fe-K$\alpha$ emission in the Chandra spectrum of the source. In AGN, significant obscuration is usually, but not always, associated with Fe-K$\alpha$ fluorescence emission. The absence of an Fe-K line suggests absorption is not responsible for the flux decrease.

The second possibility is that the intrinsic X-ray luminosity of the AGN itself decreased by a factor of at least 10 over the past 10 years, due to a decrease in coronal activity which could have been caused by a drop in mass.
Figure 8. BPT narrow emission-line diagnostic diagrams for the Western (black dot) and Eastern (black bar) nuclei. The solid black curve shows the separation between star forming galaxies, which lie below the curve, and AGN, which lie above the curve, from Kewley et al. (2001). The dashed curved line shows the same separation, but from Kauffmann et al. (2003). The solid straight line shows the separation between Seyferts, which fall left of the line, and LINERs, which fall to the right of the line, from Kewley et al. (2006). The Western nucleus is in the Seyfert section in all three diagrams. The Eastern nucleus only has an upper limit on the Hβ flux, but the corresponding lower limit of the ratio is in the Seyfert region, thus both galaxies are classified as Seyferts from our data.

Figure 9. Zoom in of the Keck/LRIS optical spectrum of the Western galaxy in the region where the Calcium triplet absorption occurs, which we use to measure the velocity dispersion of the stars.

Figure 10. Zoom in of the Keck/LRIS optical spectrum of the Eastern galaxy in the region where the broad Hα line was detected which shows the spectral decomposition.

Figure 11. Integrated Keck/OSIRIS spectrum of the Western nucleus in the K-band (rest frame). The individual spectra were added over an aperture of 0.6'' diameter centered at the peak of continuum emission in the near-IR. Several transitions of molecular hydrogen can be seen, where the 2.12μm H2 1−0 S(1) emission line is the strongest. Ionized gas emission is also detected in this galaxy ([Sivi], Brδ, Brγ and [Hei]), confirming the presence of a powerful AGN.

accretion rate. This would explain the spectral shape seen in the 2011 Chandra observation, which is fitted well by a model with similar physical parameters such as column density and the power-law slope. Assuming a similar luminosity of the nucleus in 2014 and 2011, this scenario also agrees with the non-detection of the AGN by NuSTAR and its very faint detection by Swift/XRT. The Eastern nucleus was weak in the hard X-ray band in 2004 with respect to the Western source so would be undetectable by NuSTAR above 3 keV after a decrease by a factor of 10. Additional evidence in favor of the drop in accretion rate comes from the optical spectrum, which reveals a weak, but significantly detected broad Hα
Figure 12. Maps of the H$_2$ 1–0 S(1) flux (top), velocity (middle) and velocity dispersion (bottom) in the nucleus of the Western galaxy acquired from Keck/OSIRIS near-IR integral-field spectroscopy observations. The color scale is in km s$^{-1}$ and the angular scale is 700 pc/arcsec at the redshift of the system and our assumed cosmology. The central 200–300 pc shows ordered rotation (PA of the kinematic major axis $\sim$135$^\circ$), whereas the gas at $\sim$1 kpc to the east of the nucleus appears to be systematically redshifted (top). The same region of gas also shows a high (455 km s$^{-1}$) velocity dispersion, pointing towards a physical interaction between the galaxies (bottom). The contours delineate the molecular gas morphology and are normalized to the peak of emission. Each contour represents a change in flux of 10%.

Kosec et al.

Maps of the H$_2$ 1–0 S(1) flux (top), velocity (middle) and velocity dispersion (bottom) in the nucleus of the Western galaxy acquired from Keck/OSIRIS near-IR integral-field spectroscopy observations. The color scale is in km s$^{-1}$ and the angular scale is 700 pc/arcsec at the redshift of the system and our assumed cosmology. The central 200–300 pc shows ordered rotation (PA of the kinematic major axis $\sim$135$^\circ$), whereas the gas at $\sim$1 kpc to the east of the nucleus appears to be systematically redshifted (top). The same region of gas also shows a high (455 km s$^{-1}$) velocity dispersion, pointing towards a physical interaction between the galaxies (bottom). The contours delineate the molecular gas morphology and are normalized to the peak of emission. Each contour represents a change in flux of 10%.

line from the nucleus of the Eastern galaxy, which must come from close to the central engine. If the dimming were due to obscuration, it would require an extremely low dust-to-gas ratio for the X-ray flux to have undergone such suppression, while the H$_\alpha$ line remains visible, although the possibility still exists that the H$_\alpha$ line may be scattered light.

However, no emission lines were detected in the NIR from the Eastern nucleus. This implies that there are not enough ionizing photons to produce emission lines of ionized gas in the near-IR (like Br-$_\gamma$, [He$\text{I}$] or [Si$\text{VI}$] seen from the Western nucleus). Also, the lack of molecular hydrogen indicates that there is not sufficient gas to maintain the active nucleus. This is consistent with our interpretation that the accretion rate of the eastern nucleus has dropped.

We discuss the scenario that the merging of the two galaxies is directly linked to the change in accretion rate of the Eastern AGN. Firstly, galaxy merger simulations predict large fluctuations in black hole accretion rate during the final stages of a merger (e.g. Van Wassenhove et al. 2012; Gabor et al. 2015). Although the time resolution of most simulations ($\sim$ 10$^4$ years) is much longer than our observational time scale, results on much shorter time scales ($\sim$ 10 years) also reveal similar accretion rate fluctuations (J. Gabor, private communication). It should be noted, however, that fluctuations are also predicted from simulations of isolated AGN (e.g. Novak Ostriker & Ciotti 2011) and have been observed as well (LaMassa et al. 2015), although this could be related to a tidal disruption event (Merloni et al. 2015). Secondly, the motion of the gas in the central $\sim$kpc of the Western galaxy as revealed by integral field spectroscopy is highly suggestive that the galaxy merger is directly affecting the kinematics of the gas within the nuclear region, providing a direct observational link between the galaxy merger and the change in mass accretion rate on to the black hole.

To better place this AGN pair in the context of galaxy simulations, we estimate the masses of the central SMBHs. For the Eastern galaxy, a broad H$_\alpha$ line was detected, which we use for the $M_{BH}$ estimation. Greene & Ho (2005) presented a method for estimating the black hole mass from the width and luminosity of the H$_\alpha$ line. From their equation 6, given that we measure a width of 4226 km s$^{-1}$ and a luminosity of $2.1 \times 10^{40}$ erg s$^{-1}$, we obtain $M_{BH} \approx 4.6 \times 10^6 M_\odot$. Since no broad line was detected in the Western galaxy, we use the velocity dispersion of the stars in the center of the galaxy to estimate the black hole mass. Using the $M_{BH} - \sigma_*$ relation from Kormendy & Ho (2013) and the Calcium triplet measurement implies a black hole mass of $M_{BH} = 3.8^{+5.1}_{-2.6} \times 10^7 M_\odot$ for the Western galaxy. This then implies that the black hole mass ratios of the two galaxies is 10:1, which is rather larger than the 4:1 or 2:1 $M_{BH}$ ratios considered in recent simulations by Gabor et al. (2015).

It is interesting that the AGN in this system with the smallest black hole mass has exhibited the greatest X-ray variability since it is well known that the variability timescale correlates with black hole mass. I.e. The variability timescale increases with $M_{BH}$ (e.g. Papadakis 2004). However, these timescales are much shorter ($\sim$ 10$^4$s) than the timescale of the drop in X-
ray flux we have observed.

An observational signature for the drop in $\lambda_{\text{Edd}}$ in the X-ray spectrum of the Eastern source is expected since there is a known correlation between $\lambda_{\text{Edd}}$ and $\Gamma$ (e.g. Shemmer et al. 2006, Risaliti et al. 2009, Brightman et al. 2013, 2016). During the 2004 XMM-Newton observation, the Eastern source had an absorption-corrected $L_X$ of $7.5 \times 10^{42}$ erg s$^{-1}$. Applying a bolometric correction of 10 (Lusso et al. 2012) implies $L_{\text{bol}}=7.5 \times 10^{43}$ erg s$^{-1}$, which in turn yields $\lambda_{\text{Edd}}=0.12$ for our $M_{\text{BH}}$ estimate. At the time of the Chandra observation, $L_X$ had reduced by a factor of 10, meaning a decline in $\lambda_{\text{Edd}}$ by the same factor. For the observations in 2014, the X-ray emission from the Eastern nucleus was undetectable, thus $\lambda_{\text{Edd}} \lesssim 0.01$ at that time. From Brightman et al. (2013), $\Gamma = (0.32 \pm 0.05)\log_{10}\lambda_{\text{Edd}}+(2.27 \pm 0.06)$, thus we would expect $\Delta \Gamma \approx -0.3$ for $\Delta \log_{10}\lambda_{\text{Edd}}=1$. For our analysis presented in Section 3 we tie the $\Gamma$ values to each other for both flux levels. If we perform the same analysis, but with the $\Gamma$ parameter not linked between the observations, we obtain $\Gamma = 1.49 \pm 0.12$ for the XMM-Newton observation and $\Gamma = 1.53_{-0.57}^{+0.62}$ for the Chandra observation. The uncertainties are therefore too large to constrain $\Delta \Gamma$ at the requisite level.

7. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

We conducted a multi-wavelength analysis of the galaxy pair ESO 509-IG066 using X-ray, optical, near-IR and mid-IR data taken between 2004 and 2016. The pair of galaxies, located at a distance of 150 Mpc with a projected separation of 10.9 kpc, were both reported to host an AGN of $L_X \sim 10^{42}$ erg s$^{-1}$ by G05 using XMM-Newton data. In an analysis of all available data, we found that since the XMM-Newton observation in 2004, the Eastern nucleus has shown a strong decrease in X-ray flux revealed first by a Chandra observation in 2011. The galaxy remained at this level or lower during a joint NuSTAR and Swift/XRT observation in 2014. The X-ray emission from the Western source remained relatively constant during this period. Although the 16′ angular separation of the galaxy pair causes significant overlap given the NuSTAR PSF, there is no evidence for the Eastern source in the NuSTAR image from 2014. This argues against a rise in obscuration behind the drop in X-ray flux, unless it is extreme. New Keck/LRIS optical spectroscopy taken after the drop in X-ray flux reveals a broad component to the Hα line from the Eastern nucleus, which also strongly argues against heavy obscuration. We therefore conclude that the AGN has dropped intrinsically in luminosity, most likely due to a decrease in mass accretion rate. From AO-assisted near-infrared integral-field spectroscopy, we find that the kinematics of the gas close to the Western nucleus show evidence that the galaxy merger is having a direct effect close in to the black hole, providing an observational link between the galaxy merger and the mass accretion rate on to the black hole.
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