Montreal prognostic score: estimating survival of patients with non-small cell lung cancer using clinical biomarkers
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Background: For evidence-based medical practice, well-defined risk scoring systems are essential to identify patients with a poor prognosis. The objective of this study was to develop a prognostic score, the Montreal prognostic score (MPS), to improve prognostication of patients with incurable non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) in everyday practice.

Methods: A training cohort (TC) and a confirmatory cohort (CC) of newly diagnosed patients with NSCLC planning to receive chemotherapy were used to develop the MPS. Stage and clinically available biomarkers were entered into a Cox model and risk weights were estimated. C-statistics were used to test the accuracy.

Results: The TC consisted of 258 patients and the CC consisted of 433 patients. Montreal prognostic score classified patients into three distinct groups with median survivals of 2.5 months (95% confidence interval (CI): 1.8, 4.2), 8.2 months (95% CI: 7.0, 9.4) and 18.2 months (95% CI: 14.0, 27.5), respectively (log-rank, \(P < 0.001\)). Overall, the C-statistics were 0.691 (95% CI: 0.685, 0.697) for the TC and 0.665 (95% CI: 0.661, 0.670) for the CC.

Conclusion: The MPS, by classifying patients into three well-defined prognostic groups, provides valuable information, which physicians could use to better inform their patients about treatment options, especially the best timing to involve palliative care teams.

The majority of patients who present with advanced and incurable, non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) will die of their cancer within the first year after diagnosis, some quite early. Still, others may survive for more than a year. Estimation of life expectancy is therefore of paramount importance to guide the decisions taken by both patients and their physicians. Moreover, prognostic scales are necessary to establish stratification groups in clinical trials. Accurate prognostication, however, remains extremely difficult.

Studies aiming to develop prognostic indices based on readily available clinical biomarkers have been developed and applied to populations of patients with NSCLC. The modified Glasgow Prognostic Score (mGPS) is a prognostic index (PI) based on C-reactive protein (CRP) and albumin (Leung et al, 2012). The PI (Kasymjanova et al, 2010) includes CRP and white blood cell count, whereas the Royal Marsden Hospital prognostic score (Arkenau et al, 2008) specifically focuses on lactate dehydrogenase. Also, a high neutrophil-to-lymphocytes ratio has been proposed as...
a marker of poor prognosis (Yamanaka et al, 2008; Chua et al, 2011).

By integrating these various biomarkers, we aimed to develop and validate a laboratory-based prognostic score, the Montreal prognostic score (MPS), to help physicians discuss prognosis with patients with newly diagnosed advanced NSCLC planning to receive chemotherapy treatment.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Population.** This is a retrospective study using a training cohort (TC) and confirmatory cohort (CC) in order to develop a PI for survival of patients with advanced NSCLC not treated with target therapy at the time of initiation chemotherapy treatment. The TC of newly diagnosed patients with inoperable stage 3 or 4 NSCLC with an Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status of 0–2 scheduled to receive chemotherapy was composed of patients seen at the Jewish General Hospital (JGH) Pulmonary Oncology Clinic in Montreal, Quebec, Canada, between 9 April 2002 and 21 September 2008. Follow-up ended on October 2011. Dates of death were obtained from clinical charts. The majority of blood tests were taken within 3 days of the initiation of chemotherapy.

The CC of patients with the same clinical characteristics of the TC was composed of three retrospective sub-cohorts. First, a new cohort was composed of patients seen again at the JGH between 1 October 2008 and 12 April 2013, and follow-up ended on 20 June 2013. A second cohort was composed of patients seen at the University General Hospital of Heraklion Crete (UGHH), Greece between 6 February 2006 and 12 October 2010 and follow-up ended on 27 October 2011. A third cohort was composed of patients seen at the University General Hospital of Larissa (UGHL), Greece between 30 March 2010 and 13 December 2013, and follow-up ended on 1 June 2013. Dates of death for all patients were obtained from clinical charts. The study was approved by the institutional review boards of all three hospitals involved in this result project.

**Statistical method.** Multivariable survival analytical methods for right-censored time-to-event data were based on the following choices: time 0 was defined as the date of the first chemotherapy treatment and the event of interest was death because of any cause. We previously demonstrated that albumin and CRP violated proportional hazard (PH) and the linearity assumptions (Gagnon et al, 2010). By choosing a 12-month follow-up period, the detrimental effects of the violation of the PH on the development of an optimal prognostic model were minimised. Patients who were either dead or lost to follow-up during the study period using the date of the last visit, or alive after 12 months, were censored.

**Development of the MPS.** To be useful in clinical practice, we dichotomised all continuous biomarkers of the TC so that a prognostic value can be easily assigned to each one. To optimally dichotomise covariates affecting survival in a non-linear way (Faraggi et al, 2001), we used the invariable survival tree growing model (Breiman et al, 1984). Second, we included in the multivariable Cox’s PH model all the variables of interest: stage, CRP, albumin, lactic acid dehydrogenase (LDH) and absolute neutrophil count/lymphocyte count ratio (N/L). Third, we used the backward stepwise Cox’s regression analysis for the final model with a minimum of $P = 0.1$ in order to increase the prognostic value of the MPS. PHs assumptions were systematically verified for all proposed models (Grambsch and Therneau, 1994). Fourth, a prognostic score was calculated for each significant risk factor by dividing their $\beta$ estimate by the smallest one of the model and the results were rounded to the nearest 0.5 integer (Chow et al, 2008) and multiplied by 2 to produce whole numbers. Finally, the three risk group’s regression-based MPS was developed by regrouping subgroups of patients with a similar median survival.

**Validation of the MPS.** The developed MPS based on the TC was applied on the CC. The C-statistics as in Pencina and D’Agostino (2004) were calculated for each cohort. In order to perform a fair model comparison, we used split-sample cross-validation as suggested by Harrell et al (1982). The data were split, which was set at random, into two parts: a learning sample (2 out of 3) and a validation sample (1 out of 3). We fit a Cox regression model for each cohort to the learning sample, and calculated the C-statistic on the validation sample. We repeated the procedure 100 times, obtaining a distribution of values of the C-statistic for each cohort from which we can calculate means, s.d. and approximate 95% confidence intervals (CIs). Twelve regression models were fitted for each cohort: one for each month of our observation time where patients who died after that month were considered censored. We presented the results graphically to facilitate the interpretation.

Descriptive analyses and conventional Cox’s PH regression were performed using the statistical software package ‘R’ (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria) with version 2.12.0 (Therneau and Atkinson, 1997). The models based on the survival tree growing were fit using the ‘Rpart’ software library developed by Therneau and Atkinson (1997).

**RESULTS**

**Population.** During the study period of the TC, out of the eligible 408 patients seen, 258 (63.2%) patients were included in the study. The other patients had missing data, mostly CRP as it was routinely tested only after January 2005. For the CC, there were 235 eligible patients seen at the JGH during the study period and 223 (85.4%) patients were included in the study (CRP and other co-variates were not available for 12 patients), and 4 were lost to follow-up. There were 249 eligible patients seen at the UGHH and UGHL, of whom 210 (83.3%) patients were included (CRP and other co-variates were missing in 39 patients). Therefore, the CC included 433 patients. A total of 36 (11.1%) patients in the CC were lost to follow-up.

Table 1 presents the characteristics of the patients for each cohort. Compared with the TC, the CC had a higher percentage of patients who were male, had stage 4 cancer, an albumin concentration $> 38\text{ g l}^{-1}$ and a CRP concentration $\leq 10\text{ mg l}^{-1}$.

**PI based on the TC.** Table 2 presents the univariate and multivariate $\beta$ estimates of Cox’s model for each biomarker and the corresponding prognostic value of significant ones. Age, sex, smoking status, percent weight loss in the preceding 6 months and albumin did not retain independent prognostic value. With stage ($\beta$: 0.479; 95% CI: 0.074, 0.883), the following independent biomarkers were included in the model: CRP ($\beta$: 0.581; 95% CI: 0.225, 0.936), LDH ($\beta$: 0.413; 95% CI: 0.068, 0.758) and N/L ($\beta$: 0.607; 95% CI: 0.268, 0.945). Albumin, having the smallest $\beta$ estimate, served as denominator to calculate the relative prognostic values of the other covariates. Therefore, a patient may have a total prognostic score varying from 0 to 13 depending on the total prognostic value for all their prognostic factors.

Table 3 shows how patients were classified according to their median survivals based on their total prognostic scores. The MPS classified patients into three groups: 21 patients with weeks of life (total prognostic score: 18), 152 with months of life (total prognostic score: 2–3) and 85 with more than a year of life (total prognostic score: 18). The total prognostic scores translate respectively into median survival in months of 2.5 (95% CI: 1.8, 4.2), 8.2 (95% CI: 7.0, 9.4) and 18.2 (95% CI: 14.0, 27.5), (log-rank, $P < 0.001$). Of note, CIs were not overlapping for any of the risk groups. For the TC, there was no relationship between chemotherapy regimen and MPS risk group ($P = 0.118$).
The application of the MPS classified the patients of the CC into the defined survival groups with corresponding median survivals in months that are quite similar to the TC: 3.3 (95% CI: 1.6, 5.7), 7.5 (95% CI: 6.7, 8.9) and 22.3 (95% CI: 18.3, 31.4), respectively, (log-rank, \( P < 0.001 \)). Overall, the TC had a C-statistic of 0.691 (95% CI: 0.685, 0.700).

### Table 1. Patient characteristics

| Variable                        | Cut point | Training cohort (\(N = 258\)) | Confirmatory cohort (\(N = 433\)) | P-value | P-value |
|---------------------------------|-----------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------|---------|
| Age                             |          |                                 |                                   |         |         |
| <65 years                       | 135 (52.3)| 199 (46.0)                      | 234 (54.0)                        | 0.662   |         |
| \geq 65 years                   | 123 (47.7)| 140 (32.3)                      | 293 (67.7)                        |         |         |
| Sex                             | Female    | 140 (50.0)                      | 199 (49.0)                        | 0.001   |         |
| Male                            | 129 (50.0)| 140 (32.3)                      | 293 (67.7)                        |         |         |
| Stage                           | III       | 199 (46.0)                      | 293 (67.7)                        |         |         |
| IV                              | 140 (32.3)| 293 (67.7)                      | 293 (67.7)                        |         |         |
| Performance status (ECOG)       | 0–1       |                                 |                                   |         |         |
| 2                               | 65 (15.0) | 368 (85.0)                      | 293 (67.7)                        |         |         |
| Smoking status                  | Never     | 199 (46.0)                      | 293 (67.7)                        |         |         |
| Ever                            | 140 (32.3)| 293 (67.7)                      | 293 (67.7)                        |         |         |
| Weight loss in the preceding 6 months | <5% | 162 (62.8)        | 273 (63.4) | 0.005 | 175* (51.3) | 166* (48.7) | 0.005 |
| >5%                             | 96 (37.2) | 229 (54.8)                      | 128 (30.3)                        |         |         |
| Chemotherapy*                   | Double    | 197 (76.4)                      | 273 (63.4)                        | 0.024   |         |
| Single                          | 61 (23.6) | 96 (37.2)                       | 128 (30.3)                        |         |         |
| Pathology (NSCLC)               | Adenocarcinoma | 166 (64.3) | 300 (69.3) | 0.180 |         |
| Other                           | 92 (35.7) | 133 (30.7)                      | 128 (30.3)                        |         |         |
| C-reactive protein              | \leq 10mg\(l^{-1}\) | 107 (41.5) | 264 (61.0) | 0.001 |         |
| >10mg\(l^{-1}\)                | 151 (58.5) | 169 (39.0)                      | 128 (30.3)                        |         |         |
| Albumin                         | \geq 38g\(l^{-1}\) | 178 (69.0) | 245 (56.6) | 0.001 |         |
| \leq 38g\(l^{-1}\)             | 80 (31.0) | 188 (43.4)                      | 128 (30.3)                        |         |         |
| Lactate dehydrogenase           | \leq 250U\(l^{-1}\) | 183 (70.9) | 282 (65.1) | 0.116 |         |
| >250U\(l^{-1}\)                | 75 (29.1) | 151 (34.9)                      | 128 (30.3)                        |         |         |
| Absolute neutrophil count/lymphocytes | \leq 4.5 | 150 (58.1) | 219 (50.6) | 0.054 |         |
| >4.5                            | 108 (41.9) | 214 (49.4)                      | 128 (30.3)                        |         |         |

Abbreviations: ECOG = Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; NSCLC = non-small cell lung cancer.

### Table 2. Results of the univariate and backward stepwise multivariate Cox’s proportional hazard model and the prognostic values for each prognostic factor for the training cohort (\(N = 258\))

| Variable                        | \(\beta\) Estimates (95% CI) | P-value | \(\beta\) Estimates (95% CI) | P-value | Prognostic values |
|---------------------------------|------------------------------|---------|------------------------------|---------|-------------------|
| Age (\(\geq 65\) vs <65 years) | 0.108 (–0.210, 0.426)        | 0.505   | —                            | —       | —                 |
| Sex (male vs female)            | 0.341 (0.021, 0.660)         | 0.037   | —                            | —       | —                 |
| Stage (IV vs III)               | 0.662 (0.263, 1.062)         | 0.001   | 0.479 (0.074, 0.883)         | 0.021   | 3                 |
| Performance status (ECOG) (2 vs 0–1)* | 0.801 (0.421, 1.180)     | <0.001  | —                            | —       | —                 |
| Smoking status (ever vs never)  | 0.629 (0.111, 1.147)         | 0.017   | —                            | —       | —                 |
| Weight loss in the preceding 6 months (\(\geq 5%\) vs <5%) | 0.238 (–0.086, 0.562) | 0.150 | —                            | —       | —                 |
| C-reactive protein (>10 vs \(\leq 10mg\(l^{-1}\)) | 0.765 (0.422, 1.107) | <0.001 | 0.581 (0.225, 0.936) | 0.001 | 3 |
| Albumin (<38 vs >38g\(l^{-1}\)) | 0.856 (0.530, 1.182)        | <0.001  | 0.374 (0.020, 0.728)         | 0.039   | 2                 |
| Lactate dehydrogenase (>250 vs \(\leq 250U\(l^{-1}\)) | 0.720 (0.390, 1.051) | <0.001 | 0.413 (0.068, 0.758) | 0.019 | 2 |
| Absolute neutrophil count/lymphocytes (>4.5 vs \(\leq 4.5\)) | 0.859 (0.540, 1.178) | <0.001 | 0.607 (0.268, 0.945) | <0.001 | 3 |

Abbreviations: CI = confidence interval; ECOG = Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group.

*Performance status was not included in the model by study design.

Results of the validation of the MPS. The application of the MPS classified the patients of the CC into the defined survival groups with corresponding median survivals in months that are quite similar to the TC: 3.3 (95% CI: 1.6, 5.7), 7.5 (95% CI: 6.7, 8.9) and 22.3 (95% CI: 18.3, 31.4), respectively, (log-rank, \( P < 0.001 \)). Overall, the TC had a C-statistic of 0.691 (95% CI: 0.685, 0.700).
In this retrospective study with two different cohorts of patients, we have demonstrated that inexpensive and easily available routine haematological and biochemical investigations in combination with baseline performance status allow the construction of a highly effective PI.

In our study, in addition to stage, neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio, CRP and LDH were found to be important prognostic biomarkers. C-reactive protein is a commonly used and inexpensive indicator of an inflammatory state (McMillan, 2009). In a series of studies, McMillan and his associates have demonstrated that the presence of a high CRP connotes a grim prognosis for both early stage patients with resectable cancers and those with advanced cancer, across a broad spectrum of tumour types (McMillan, 2009). Although CRP production by the liver is closely linked to interleukin 6 production, its elevated presence reflects a more general systematic inflammatory state (Liu et al, 2010). The mGPS, which includes CRP, was recently shown to predict toxicity and tumour response in patients with lung cancer treated with CDDP-based chemotherapy (Gioulbasanis et al, 2012).

Neutrophil levels have long been used to alert clinicians to the presence of an inflammatory state. High ratios of neutrophil-to-lymphocyte counts have been shown to be associated with poor cancer outcomes (Proctor et al, 2011; Guthrie et al, 2013). Our results confirm that a neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio keeps a strong prognostic value independent of other biomarkers.

The inclusion of the biomarkers in the MPS seems primarily, but not exclusively, to relate to the presence of a chronic inflammatory state with production of tumour-promoting factors by both the tumour and an aberrant immune system response. Albumin, one of the two biomarkers of the mGPS, also maintained independent prognostic value in the MPS. As in Al-Shaiba et al (2004), albumin in our study had a moderate correlation with CRP suggesting that both are markers of inflammation. Severe hypoalbuminaemia denotes a patient population with a very poor prognosis (Nixon et al, 2004). This was confirmed by Gagnon et al (2010) using a flexible modelling of survival analysis, who showed that albumin had lost its prognostic value after 6 months of follow-up.

In 1924, Warburg described that cancer cells, even in the presence of ample oxygen supply, uptake a larger amount of glucose and produce more lactate than normal cells (Warburg, 1956). To produce energy they switch from using oxidative phosphorylation to aerobic–anaerobic glycolysis. Key to this metabolic shift is the activation of hypoxia-inducing factor-1, a transcription factor targeting hundreds of genes including same controlling metabolism and inflammation (Semenza, 2011). Notable among these genes are those which lead to the production of the enzyme LDH 5, which results in the conversion of pyruvate to lactate (Semenza, 2011). Conversion to glycolytic metabolism is a wasteful energy process but it could have advantages for the cancer cell, protecting it from oxidative stress and selectively sustaining many tumour cell functions (Warburg, 1956). Toxins linked to interleukin 6 production, its elevated presence reflects a more general systematic inflammatory state (Liu et al, 2010). The mGPS, which includes CRP, was recently shown to predict toxicity and tumour response in patients with lung cancer treated with CDDP-based chemotherapy (Gioulbasanis et al, 2012).
multiple publications studying them individually or combined. It is a tool offering prognostic information on patient subsets with markedly different patterns of survival. Therefore, the MPS adds an important component to the cannon that clinicians use to guide patients and their families.

Notwithstanding the marked survival differences in those with or without inflammatory-metabolic markers, this information, seemingly, has not reached trial investigators; their patients are seldom, if ever, stratified using these markers. To date, the authors have not encountered any NSCLC clinical trials stratified by patient inflammatory status. The compelling body of evidence of McMillan et al, strongly supported by other investigators, clearly shows that the presence of a chronic inflammatory state has a strong influence on tumour progress.

Prospective clinical trials using them may prove the existence of subsets that have varied responses to chemotherapy, both in initial anatomic response and in long-term value of a response for a patient. The identification of a population where standard chemotherapy is futile has profound benefits for patients, clinicians and the health-care system. Patients can make more informed decisions, which may include abjuring chemotherapy in favour of other options including clinical trial participation aimed at relief of suffering, or palliative care options which, in their own right, will improve quality of life (Temel et al, 2010).

Cancer care in the future will be personalised care, with decisions increasingly based on aberrant genetic profiles. Some genetic profiles may also relate to the genesis of a chronic inflammatory state. To date, genetic marker research and studies on inflammation are not linked. We suggest that further refinement of prognostic accuracy may emerge if EGFR studies, as one example are reported in conjunction with the Glasgow scale or the Montreal prognostic scale.

Recently, an ASCO expert panel recommended that combined standard oncology care and palliative care should be considered early in the course of illness for any patient with metastatic cancer and/or high symptom burden (Smith et al, 2012). All patients with an incurable cancer should have access to palliative care. Currently, available resources and acceptance by the broad oncologic community may impede reaching this goal. Our study identifies patient subsets who, at diagnosis have survival measured in only a few weeks or months. Surely these patients and their families should be able to and encouraged to work with palliative care teams, regardless of their symptoms burden as too often referrals are unduly delayed (Osta et al, 2008). For patients with an estimated year plus to live, it may be more practical to involve a palliative care team at diagnosis only to patients with specific needs necessitating specialised palliative care teams. However, the palliative care team should be involved if these patients present with high symptom burden or show signs of rapid deterioration. Along the disease trajectory, the monitoring of patients should be carried out to identify patients in need of palliative care.

Our study has some limitations. The retrospective nature of the constitution of our cohorts from different cancer centres may have induced a bias, especially as clinical characteristics and biomarkers were not available for all of them. In addition, the laboratory methods used to measure the blood levels of our biomarkers were not standardised across centres, although it is unlikely that this would have a major effect on the validity of the MPS. Although the CC differed from the TC in a number of clinical characteristics, the MPS performed similarly in both cohorts.

CONCLUSION

Using two distinct retrospective cohorts of patients with advanced NSCLC exposed to systemic therapy, we were able to develop and validate a new prognostic score on survival. We suggest that the MPS is a tool enriching the advice clinicians can offer their patients. Prognostic formulas using commonly obtained data should be included in clinical trials.
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