Duodenojejunal intussusception secondary to primary gastrointestinal stromal tumor: A case report
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A B S T R A C T

INTRODUCTION: Gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GISTs) in the third portion of the duodenum are rare. Intussusception and obstruction are rarely caused by GISTS because of their tendency to grow in an extraluminal manner. Herein, we report a case involving segmental duodenectomy in a patient with duodenojejunal intussusception secondary to a primary GIST.

PRESENTATION OF CASE: A 91-year-old woman with a history of iron-deficiency anemia presented with vomiting and anorexia. Preoperative imaging suggested duodenojejunal intussusception secondary to a GIST in the third portion of the duodenum. Segmental duodenectomy with end-to-end duodenojunostomy without reduction of the intussusception was performed. At 6 months after the surgery, the patient’s anemia had improved and she had no abdominal symptoms.

DISCUSSION: Adult intussusception requires surgical resection because most of the patients have intraluminal lesions. The location in relation to the Vater papilla, tumor size, and resection margin should be considered when selecting the type of surgical resection for duodenal GIST. Limited resection appears to be better than pancreaticoduodenectomy with respect to postoperative complications. Considering the age and performance status of this patient, a less invasive maneuver was selected.

CONCLUSION: Duodenal GISTs can be a rare cause of intussusception. Thus, a limited surgical resection procedure should be considered in such cases.

© 2019 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of IJS Publishing Group Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

1. Introduction

A gastrointestinal stromal tumor (GIST) is defined as a spindle, epithelioid, or occasionally pleomorphic mesenchymal tumor of the gastrointestinal tract that expresses the KIT protein [1,2], and approximately 2% of all neoplasms of the gastrointestinal tract are classified as GISTs [3]. GISTs are most commonly located in the stomach (60–70%) and rarely in the duodenum [1]. Duodenal GISTs comprise 1–5% of all GISTs and most commonly arise in the second portion of the duodenum, followed by the third, fourth, and first portions [4–6]. Most tumors located at the angle of Treitz are GISTs [7].

Bleeding has been reported to be the most common presenting symptom of GIST, followed by the presence of abdominal mass, intestinal obstruction, and biliary obstruction [5,8]. Since intestinal GISTs tend to grow in an extraluminal fashion, they rarely cause intussusception [3,8].

Herein, we report a case involving segmental duodenectomy in a patient with duodenojejunal intussusception secondary to GIST in the third portion of the duodenum. This work has been reported in line with the SCARE criteria [9].

2. Presentation of case

A 91-year-old woman with a history of breast cancer, cholecystitis, ascending colon cancer, and iron deficiency anemia presented with vomiting and anorexia. Her body mass index was 23.4 kg/m². Her abdomen was soft and flat with previous operative right pararectal and right subcostal incision scars. On blood examination, her hemoglobin and albumin levels were low (9.2 and 2.7 g/dL, respectively) (Table 1). Contrast-enhanced computed tomography (CT) showed a mass in the third portion of the duodenum presenting as intussusception (Fig. 1a). Two masses without exophytic growth in the left hepatic lobe in the equilibrium phase of contrast-enhanced CT were also observed, suggesting metastasis (Fig. 1b). Eosophagastroduodenoscopy revealed a protruding lesion in the third portion of the duodenum, with subsequent biopsy confirming that it was a GIST (Fig. 1c).

Considering her age and physical status, we planned to resect only the duodenum unless the metastatic liver tumor had subcapsular localization and a risk of rupture. Laparotomy revealed lymph node metastasis, but no peritoneal metastasis and no exposure of
the liver tumors to the abdominal cavity was observed (Fig. 2a). The ligament of Treitz was resected, allowing for visualization of the duodenjejunal intussusception (Fig. 2b). After the GIST and third portion of the duodenum were dissected from the pancreas, segmental duodenectomy with end-to-end duodenjejunoscopy without reduction of the intussusception was performed on the left side of the superior mesenteric blood vessels (Fig. 2c). Two swollen lymph nodes interfering with anastomosis were resected. The total operating time was 2 h 34 min, and the total intraoperative blood loss was 207 mL. The patient had no postoperative complications except for surgical site infection.

The resected specimen showed a smoothly marginated tumor measuring 40 x 40 x 15 mm with an erosive lesion on the mucosal side and two elastic soft tumors on the serosal side (Fig. 3a). Histologic examination revealed epithelioid/spindle tumor cells with oval nuclei, which were positive for CD117, DOG1, and CD34 (Fig. 3b). Thus, the tumor was diagnosed as GIST accompanied by lymph node metastasis. The Ki-67 index was 8% and the mitotic count was 20 per 50 high-power fields (HPFs). At 6 months after surgery, the patient reported no abdominal symptoms and her anemia had improved, with a hemoglobin level of 15.3 g/dL.

3. Discussion

In this report, we described the diagnosis and management strategies in a rare case involving duodenjejunal intussusception secondary to primary GIST in the third portion of the duodenum. Although gastroduodenal, jejunoileal, and ileocolic intussusceptions have been reported, to our knowledge, this is the first report of a patient with duodenjejunal intussusception secondary to GIST [10–12]. Since GISTS tend to displace adjacent structures without invading them, they can grow to large sizes before symptoms appear. Most duodenal GISTS are accompanied by ulceration of the mucosa, which helps to detect the tumor on endoscopic examination. On abdominal ultrasonography, the presence of a large (>4 cm) tumor with irregular extraluminal borders, echoic foci, and cystic spaces suggests malignancy [2]. Percutaneous fine-needle aspiration is not recommended because of the risk of intra-abdominal tumor dissemination [2,13].

In adults, intestinal invagination or intussusception is rare, accounting for 5% of all intussusceptions and 1% of all intestinal obstructions [14]. There is surgical consensus that adult intussusception requires surgical resection because a majority of patients have intraluminal lesions [14]; adult colonic intussusception should be resected en bloc. It is controversial whether initial reduction should be performed prior to resection [14]; the risks of intraluminal seeding, venous embolization in regions of ulcerated mucosa, and anastomotic complications should be considered in cases of initial reduction [14].

In this case, the preoperative diagnosis was intussusception accompanied by duodenal GIST; thus, we planned limited resection (LR). The Cattell-Braasch maneuver, which permits the surgeon to elevate the right colon and entire small bowel cephalad, was considered in order to observe the third portion of the duode-
num completely if the GIST had been located behind the superior mesenteric vessels and transverse mesocolon [15]. However, we did not have to use the Cattell-Braasch maneuver, and segmental duodenectomy without reduction of the intussusception was performed. If the GIST had been located in the second portion of the duodenum, we might have performed pancreaticoduodenectomy (PD). However, LR is reported to have less postoperative complications, better disease-free survival, and lower rate of distant metastasis than PD [5,6]. Although these reports have selection bias, LR seems to be better in selected cases because there is no difference in recurrence between LR and PD [5]. The location in relation to the Vater papilla, tumor size, and a 1- to 2-cm resection margin should be considered when selecting the type of surgical resection [5,16]. Injury to the GIST capsule should be avoided, and adjacent organs should be resected if the GIST invades them [5,16].

The peritoneum and liver are the most common sites of metasta-
sis, whereas regional lymph node metastasis is rare (0–10%), except in Carney’s triad [2,5,8,13,17]. Therefore, the necessity of regional lymph node resection is unknown, and extensive lymphadenectomy is not recommended [2,13,16]. In this case, only two lymph nodes interferring with anastomosis were resected with caution for vascular injury, which can lead to an increase in resection range. The synchronous metastatic liver tumors, which are rarely reported to rupture spontaneously, were not resected considering her age and physical status. The risk of spontaneous rupture of the tumors seemed low because they did not have exophytic growth or subcapsular localization [18,19]. Laparoscopic resection of GIST has been reported, but the oncologic integrity is unknown [13].

Immunohistochemical staining for CD117 (c-kit), CD34, desmin, smooth muscle actin (SMA), and S100 should be performed [2]. The positivity rate for CD117 is approximately >95%; CD34, 60–70%;
4. Conclusion

In conclusion, duodenal GIST can cause duodenoejunal intussusception, and in this rare situation, LR without initial reduction can be performed safely. As demonstrated in this case, even elderly patients can have improved quality of life with limited surgical resection.

4.1. Patient perspective

The patient and her family were concerned about whether surgery would adversely affect oral ingestion or other activities of daily living (ADL). Therefore, they agreed to limited surgical resection that would allow oral ingestion. Furthermore, they did not provide consent for a hepatectomy for treatment of the liver metastasis owing to the age of the patient. The surgery was performed with no postoperative complications other than an infection of the surgical site. After the surgery, the patient was able to ingest food, and returned to her normal ADL. The patient was informed about the regular medical follow-up.
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