Timing the Ischemic Stroke by Multiparametric Quantitative Magnetic Resonance Imaging
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Abstract: The advent of recanalization therapies has transformed the management of acute ischemic stroke patients. The timing of symptom onset is one of the key criteria for selecting the recanalization method as pharmacological and non-pharmacological recanalization therapies are only safe when administered within strict, but evolving, time windows. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) reveals ischemia within minutes and estimates ischemia duration in brain parenchyma. Preclinical studies have shown that by combining diffusion and relaxometric MRI, timing ischemic strokes is possible with clinically acceptable accuracy. MRI-based stroke timing techniques have been adopted in stroke clinics to stratify patients with unknown onset time for intravenous thrombolysis, resulting in improved outcomes in clinical trials. More recent MRI approaches use absolute apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) and T2 relaxation time data in a user-independent manner to estimate the stroke onset time in absolute terms. The introduction of expedited MRI acquisition protocols has made MRI a fast neuro-diagnosis modality. Exploiting advanced technologies such as Magnetic Resonance Fingerprinting...
(MRF), artificial intelligence (AI), and machine learning (ML) for the post-processing of MRI data, combined with fast MRI techniques, is expected to speed up the translation of objective stroke timing procedures into patient management.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Imaging plays a central role in diagnosing stroke, with computerized tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) being the most commonly used in emergency departments. Verifying the presence and location of ischemia, size of the ischemic lesion, signs of brain swelling, and the presence of hemorrhage are all critical factors in the choice of treatment protocols. Tissue water is the key endogenous ingredient to CT and MRI signals. Non-contrast CT (NCCT) has a high sensitivity for hemorrhage but is less sensitive to ischemia, which is highlighted as decreased tissue density (i.e., increased water content) and takes hours to develop (1). MRI probes brain water in a much more comprehensive manner so that the evolution of ischemia-induced changes in water dynamics with concomitant tissue destruction are imaged to assess lesion characteristics beyond size, swelling, and bleeding. The introduction of recombinant tissue plasminogen activator (rtPA) to dissolve occluding blood clot(s) in the 1990s (2) meant that the duration of ischemia became one of the key clinical criteria for pharmacological patient management. In most countries, patients are eligible for intravenous (IV) rtPA if symptoms occurred within 4.5 hours of arrival to the hospital and without contraindications to the drug (3–5). Intra-arterial (IA) rtPA is considered safe within six hours of stroke onset (6), and patients with large vessel occlusion can be considered for mechanical thrombectomy (MT) within 16-24 hours (4, 7). As a consequence of these time windows, almost one-third of ischemic stroke patients are ineligible for reperfusion-based therapies because the time of symptom onset is unknown (8). Reasons for unknown onset time include wake-up stroke where the ischemic event occurred during sleep, the patient was found unresponsive, or symptoms were not noticed or witnessed (8). Efforts have been made to determine whether the patient may benefit from reperfusion therapies by using NCCT and MRI to estimate stroke onset time and approximate tissue viability, thus improving treatment options for patients with unknown onset time (9, 10).

This chapter focuses on the potential of non-contrast-enhanced MRI techniques for timing the ischemic stroke. We briefly describe the ionic and water dynamics in ischemia that are associated with MRI-detectable signals, the MRI techniques used, and the preclinical data that qualifies MRI methods for stroke timing and assessment of tissue status. Clinical applications of multiparametric MRI are reviewed, followed by a discussion on how advanced technologies such as fast MRI acquisition methods and machine learning (ML) will facilitate wider clinical use. The readers are informed that ²³Na MRI has also been shown to provide information about stroke onset time and tissue viability (11), which may complement the timing information obtained by the ¹H MRI methods covered here.
THE PATHOPHYSIOLOGY OF ISCHEMIA IN PRECLINICAL MODELS WITH REFERENCE TO MRI SIGNALS

Preclinical studies involving animal models are essential for translational stroke research, including imaging research. Data from animal models on bioenergetics, membrane polarization, ionic distributions, and neurotransmitter activities have been crucial for understanding stroke pathophysiology and the transition to infarction (12, 13). Similarly, preclinical imaging studies have guided the design of MRI protocols to diagnose acute ischemia and assess tissue viability (14). The cessation of cerebral blood flow below a critical threshold (10-15ml/100g of tissue/min, but varying sigmoidally, depending upon the duration of ischemia) leads to catastrophic energy failure followed by cellular depolarizations, known as anoxic depolarization (AD) (14). AD is accompanied by cytotoxic edema (CE), which is the shift of water from the extracellular to the intracellular space. Animal data show that the diffusion-weighted (DW) MRI signal increases rapidly following energy failure, and so the ischemic region appears bright in DW images (DWI) (15). The increase in signal on DWI is a consequence of the slowed Brownian motion of tissue water, quantified by the apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) in vivo (14). In ADC images, ischemia is represented by low intensity areas. The time-courses of ADC and AD are strongly interlinked, demonstrating that CE is one of the key causes of reduced diffusivity in ischemia (15). Preclinical data have also shown that the ADC of endogenous intracellular metabolites drops in ischemia through intracellular Ca^{2+}-dependent mechanisms, which indicate an increase in intracellular hindrance as an additional underpinning to lowered water ADC (14).

Preclinical studies involving rodent models have established the temporal characteristics of MRI parameters caused by an ischemic stroke. Early MRI studies established that both T1 and T2-weighted MRI signals increase only hours after the induction of ischemia, reflecting the evolution of vasogenic edema and irreversible ischemia (16). In the hallmark paper by Mosely et al. in 1990, the DW signal increased within the first minutes of ischemia when the T2-weighted signal was still isointense (15). Fairly soon after, DW MRI entered the imaging repertoire of acute stroke clinics where MRI is available (17).

Studies have suggested that while conventional DW MRI is powerful for diagnosing ischemic stroke, it may not accurately establish the time of onset in the early stroke aftermath (17). Instead, preclinical studies have shown that in low ADC regions, indicating ischemia, absolute MRI relaxation times change almost immediately following ischemic onset (14, 18). Within the first moments, the T2 relaxation time shortens due to the negative blood oxygen level-dependent (BOLD), reflecting the compensatory increase of the oxygen extraction fraction (19) and a shift of extracellular water into the intracellular compartment (20). Subsequently, the T2 relaxation time shows a steady increase with time (Figure 1), initially due to CE with dissociation of intracellular supramolecular structures and eventually due to vasogenic edema (14, 19, 20). The T2 relaxation time changes +1.9 ms/h during the first five hours in rat stroke with a slightly negative intercept (21). The distribution of the T2 histogram in a typical rodent stroke lesion is much narrower than human stroke lesions (21). The T1 relaxation time increases very rapidly, followed by a steady increase over time (14, 18).
Figure 1. Progression of ADC and T2 MRI parameters following induction of ischemic stroke by middle cerebral artery occlusion in rats. A, B, and C. Show the relationships of MRI parameters with time post occlusion (p < 0.001), which was strongest for the absolute T2 relaxation time. D. Shows the ADC maps, T2-weighted images, absolute T2 relaxation time maps and difference images for T2 relaxation time maps at hourly intervals in a typical rat. Images are color-coded according to the significance of the change in pixel values between pixels in the ischemic region and homologous pixels in the contralateral non-ischemic hemisphere. Red pixels highlight a significant change, green pixels indicate no change (p > 0.05) (see (23) for methods). The absolute T2 relaxation time maps show changes in regions where the T2-weighted images did not. The spatial distribution of absolute T2 changes is heterogeneous and converges over time. For the T2 relaxation time difference images, the blue pixels are where the T2 relaxation times decreased relative to homologous pixels in the contralateral non-ischemic hemisphere and the pink pixels are those where the T2 relaxation time increased. $\Delta = \text{the absolute percentage difference between the average T2 signal intensity or absolute T2 relaxation time of the ADC defined ischemic region and contralateral reference, where } \Delta = 100 * \frac{\text{ischemic} - \text{mirror}}{\text{ischemic} + \text{mirror}}$. $r$ = Pearson's correlation coefficient. The data are from (23), reproduced with permission.
The drop in ADC within the occluded artery territory is almost instantaneous and conspicuous due to the substantial dynamic range of diffusivity, where ADC drops by 30–50% with ischemia onset (22). It is evident from these preclinical studies that the time course of changes in ADC and T2 relaxation MRI parameters in the early hours of ischemia are both predictable and complementary, making them a suitable combination for estimating stroke onset time and evaluating tissue status. However, compared to ADC, the change in MRI signals governed by T1 and T2 relaxation times are much smaller and heterogeneous (18, 23, 24). The increase in T1 and T2 within the first few hours is typically by a few percent (18, 23, 24). It has been shown experimentally that these changes are difficult to identify in T1 and T2 weighted images during hyperacute ischemia due to the contributions of other MRI factors to the signal (23, 24). Instead, these changes in T1 and T2 relaxation times can be more explicitly and unambiguously identified and measured from parametric relaxation time maps, using robust and sophisticated methods (21).

The preclinical data suggest multiparametric MRI provides information of evolving ischemia and therefore has clinical utility. However, when exploring the potential utility of quantitative T2 MRI for clinical use, it is vital to understand the interrelationship between ischemia pathophysiology and MRI signals and have a robust technique to quantify the subtle changes in ischemia. Thus, the Cytotoxic-Edema-Dissociation (CED) model was devised (20). The CED model is a mathematical model built on preclinical MRI data that incorporates the hydrodynamics in CE and subsequent dissociation of supramolecular intracellular structures with the physics of MRI measures. The CED model considers brain water in the extracellular and intracellular compartments, while the ADC and T2 MRI signals are dealt with by the Bloch-McDonnell-Torrey regime. The CED model enables computation of the time-dependent ADC and T2 changes in brain parenchyma in the realistic ischemic state. The simulations suggest the rate of ischemic spread in the tissue is the crucial factor determining ADC and T2 changes. The CED model explains the time-dependency of the T2 relaxation within ADC-defined ischemic region (Figure 1B) and the heterogeneity of elevated T2 relaxation times within the ischemic region (23–25) (Figure 1D). Importantly the CED model accurately computes the time course of the T2 relaxation time using results from hydrodynamics in CE and the measured ADC, which validates the CED approach for clinical translation.

METHODS FOR QUANTIFYING CHANGES IN THE T2 RELAXATION TIME DUE TO ISCHEMIA

To quantify the subtle changes in T2 relaxation due to ischemia, both user-dependent and user-independent techniques have been devised in preclinical settings (20, 23, 24, 26, 27) and applied to MRI data of hyperacute ischemic stroke patients (21, 28–31). The user-dependent mirror reference method is based on the principle that the T2 values in the non-ischemic hemisphere indicate pre-ischemic T2 values. The mirror reference method involves identifying the ischemic region using DWI or ADC images to create an ischemic volume of interest (VOI) (Figure 2). The ischemic VOI is then flipped across the midline
to define the contralateral non-ischemic ‘mirror reference’ and loaded onto the T2-based image (Figure 2C). The change in T2 due to ischemia can be approximated by calculating: (a) the difference in the average values in both VOIs in milliseconds (26, 30), (b) the absolute percentage change (23, 24, 27) (Figure 1A and B), or (c) by calculating the ischemic vs. non-ischemic intensity ratio (29, 31, 32) (Figure 2D). The mirror reference method has reproducible T2 changes in rat stroke models (23, 26, 27) and hyperacute ischemic stroke patients (28–31). It, therefore, has been proposed as a potential stroke timer, which could be used to stratify patients with unknown onset time to treatment (23, 24, 26–32).

Due to the inherently complicated anatomy of the human brain, referencing issues are an inherent limitation of the mirror reference method when considering it for clinical use (33). Determining the subtle effects of ischemia on T2 requires a near-perfect pre-ischemic T2 reference (21, 33). The mirror reference approach is fairly reliable when applied to the rodent brain, where tissue is predominately homogeneous grey matter (34), and the T2 distribution is narrow (33). However, in the human brain, the T2 distribution is wide across tissue types (35). Lack of perfect anatomical symmetry between hemispheres,

![Figure 2](image). A mirror reference approach for quantifying changes in MRI measures in stroke. A. Images are registered to the T1 weighted image in MNI coordinate frame to correct anatomical alignment. B. ADC and absolute T2 limits are used to define the ischemic VOI. C. The mirror reference VOI is defined by reflecting the ischemic VOI across the midline, applying ADC and T2 limits and manually editing if necessary. D. The change in MR signal due to ischemia (image intensity ratio) is calculated by dividing the average of the ischemic VOI by the average of the non-ischemic VOI. Values are μm²/ms for ADC, signal intensities are an arbitrary scale for weighted images, and in ms for absolute T2 relaxation time maps. Images are from an acute ischemic stroke patient, scanned 6 hours and 49 minutes after symptom onset; with permission from (29) and (43).
inherently varying relaxation times across the brain, the existence of other pathologies, and T2 anisotropy (35, 36) create challenges for a reliable reference region as described above. Thus, the variations in T2 across the brain are larger than the change in T2 caused by ischemia, which will inevitably introduce errors in onset time estimates (33). To overcome this problem, a reference-independent method, which exploits the distributional characteristics of T2 within the ADC defined ischemic region, was proposed in a study on rat stroke (33).

The user-independent spherical reference method was developed using rat stroke MRI data (21) and successfully applied to MRI data from hyperacute ischemic stroke patients (21, 28) (Figure 3). The spherical reference method avoids some of the referencing issues above by achieving a more representative approximation of the pre-ischemic T2 relaxation times using a T2-weighted image as input. This approach takes advantage of the fact that the T2-weighted signal is unchanged or changes very little in the early moments of ischemia (15, 16),

Figure 3. ADC and T2 changes in acute ischemic stroke patients by the user-independent spherical reference method. A. Median change in T2 (Δ) in the ADC defined ischemic regions against time from symptom onset obtained with the spherical reference method R2 = 0.32, p < 0.001. B. Difference in median T2 between the lesion and contralateral mirror reference region against time from symptom onset R2 = 0.139, p = 0.021. C. Difference in T2-weighted signal over time from symptom onset, where the slope is not significant from zero. D. ADC map with ischemic region visible in the right hemisphere (image left). E. Estimated change in ADC from the pre-ischemic state. F. T2 map. G. Estimated T2 change from the pre-ischemic state. H. Δ T2 distribution for normal tissue in the hemisphere of the lesion. I. Δ T2 distribution in the ischemic region. With permission from (21).
thereby providing a non-ischemic signal intensity to be used in search of non-ischemic reference voxels. T2 relaxation time values are calculated in an automated fashion from the contralateral reference sphere on a voxel-by-voxel basis. For each lesion, a penalty function of finite width is computed from an individual sphere of a given radius that weights the sum of T2 values in the voxels. This approach considers the inherent heterogeneity of lesions by computing a distribution of T2 change caused by ischemia in the ADC defined lesion, minimizing the potential confounds caused by the anatomical differences between the lesion voxels and the contralateral hemisphere. The T2 value due to ischemia is computed for each voxel within the ADC defined ischemic region rather than averaging across voxels as in the mirror reference approach (Figure 3).

STROKE TIMING BASED ON ADC AND THE T2 MRI RELAXATION TIME IN PRECLINICAL MODELS

Preclinical studies using the T2 relaxation to estimate stroke onset time have adopted both of the referencing methods described in this chapter. These studies have shown that linear models describe the time-dependency of T2 changes due to ischemia well, enabling reasonably accurate estimates of onset time (21, 23, 24, 26, 27, 32) (Figure 1). However, the use of linear regression does not imply that T2 relaxation has a strictly linear relationship with time, but this has served as a useful heuristic. In preclinical studies, the regression approach has yielded onset time estimates of 4.5 hours with uncertainties of ± 35 minutes for the T2 relaxation time measured at 4.7T (23) and with an error of ± 25 minutes from T2 relaxation time data measured at 9.4T (24).

In addition to estimating time from stroke onset, rat studies have shown that the combination of ADC and the absolute T2 relaxation time enables potentially salvageable tissue to be identified (Figure 1D). DWI does not differentiate between CE and vasogenic edema, but ADC on its own reveals CE (14, 17), and extensive T2 elevation shows vasogenic edema (16). Therefore, the reduced ADC without elevated T2 would indicate potentially salvageable tissue, an ‘ADC/T2 mismatch’ (Figure 1D) (23, 24, 26). As subtle changes are not visible on the relaxation time maps in the early hours of ischemia, methods that reveal T2 changes have been developed in rat models (23, 24, 27). Color-coding pixels of ADC defined ischemic regions in T2 maps according to the significance of the T2 change relative to the mirror reference region revealed the location of normal T2 suggesting potentially salvageable tissue and regions of elevated T2 indicating irreversible ischemia (Figure 1D) (23). This effect is not mediated by the severity of the reduction in ADC, as the ischemic tissue is defined by a strict ADC threshold, which, as shown in preclinical (14, 18) and clinical (29) studies, has no time dependency in hyperacute stroke. Figure 1D shows T2 heterogeneity within low ADC ischemic regions, indicating the uneven effects of ischemia. Interestingly, the spatial distribution of elevated T2 (Figure 1C) (23) and the volume of tissue with elevated T2 (24, 27, 32) within the ischemic region are also proxies for stroke onset time.
CLINICAL APPLICATION OF ADC AND T2 MRI FOR ESTIMATING STROKE ONSET TIME AND TISSUE VIABILITY

A large body of clinical MRI studies has treated unknown stroke onset time as a binary classification problem (9, 37). The ADC and T2 MRI signals are typically used to classify a patient as within or beyond the 4.5-hour treatment window for IV rtPA. The methods are evaluated based on the overall ability to classify patients correctly, and ideally, will have high accuracy, sensitivity, and specificity for optimal treatment stratification. Stroke onset time estimators, based on diffusion and T2 signal characteristics, have been studied using a visual method, the quantitative standard mirror reference approach, and the spherical reference approaches.

The earliest work using T2 MRI to estimate ischemia duration in patients was published by Welch and colleagues (38). The more recent descendants of the T2 approach in clinics exploit T2-FLAIR (Fluid Attenuated Inversion Recovery) MRI images (39). T2-FLAIR images are T2-weighted with attenuated contributions from ‘free water’ in Cerebral Spinal Fluid (CSF) and interstitial compartments. Removing these species improves the visibility of T2 changes due to stroke. The DWI/ T2-FLAIR mismatch approach combines the time-dependent sensitivities of diffusion and T2 weighted FLAIR images to ischemia. The general concept here is that increased DWI signal (DWI positive) in the absence of increased T2-weighted FLAIR signal (FLAIR negative), termed the ‘DWI/ T2-FLAIR mismatch’, is suggestive of a patient likely to be within the 4.5-hour IV rtPA time window (Figure 4A). In contrast, an increased signal on both DWI and T2-FLAIR (Figure 4B) suggests the patient is beyond 4.5 hours from onset due to the presence of vasogenic edema. DWI and T2-FLAIR are analyzed using visual and semi-quantitative methods, which rely upon user input with notably low inter-rater reliability (9, 37).

Due to the positive results of multi-center randomized controlled trials such as WAKE-UP (39), MR-WITNESS (40), and EXTEND (41), MRI for treatment stratification of ischemic stroke patients with an unknown time of symptom onset, is recommended in clinical guidelines (4, 5) and is routinely used in National Institutes of Health hospitals (42). The WAKE-UP trial (39) involved visual identification of a DWI/ T2-FLAIR mismatch (Figure 4). Patients with an unknown onset time with a visible DWI lesion and no T2-FLAIR lesion (mismatch) treated with IV rtPA showed a significantly better functional outcome than patients given placebo. The MR-WITNESS trial (40) adopted the mirror reference approach, which involved identifying the ischemic region on DWI and quantifying the T2-FLAIR signal intensities within that region (Figure 2). If the T2-FLAIR signal intensity ratio was less than 1.15, the patient was treated with IV rtPA (40). It was concluded that the quantitative DWI/T2-FLAIR mismatch is a safe method for stratifying patients with unknown onset time to IV rtPA (40). However, it should be noted that the ratio reported is study-specific to the MRI images and cannot be used as a generic cut-off. The EXTEND trial (41) found patients with unknown onset time or with onset time between 4.5 and 9 hours with ischemic but not infarcted brain tissue (revealed by perfusion MRI), and that were treated with rtPA, had higher rates of good neurological outcome compared to those given placebo.

A well-noted problem associated with the DWI/T2-FLAIR mismatch approach is that it has repeatedly been shown to have low sensitivity (9, 37), where most patients beyond 4.5 hours from stroke onset are identified but at the expense of
missing many within the 4.5-hour treatment window. The use of $T_2$ weighted images, rather than parametric ADC and $T_2$ maps, is the likely cause of the DWI/$T_2$-FLAIR mismatch’s low sensitivity (23, 24, 29, 32). The MR signals of weighted images though predominantly influenced by a single parameter (i.e., diffusion or $T_2$ relaxation), are also influenced by other factors such as proton density, $T_1$ relaxation, image intensity variation (the so-called bias field), and variabilities in the manufacturers’ hardware and pulse sequences. Therefore, DWI and $T_2$-FLAIR images are less ‘pure’ versions of diffusion and $T_2$ than the parametric maps (43). These sources of variation result in a misrepresentation of the change in MR signals due to ischemia, adding uncertainty to onset time estimates. In support, preclinical studies have repeatedly demonstrated that $T_2$ relaxation times provide more accurate estimates of stroke onset time than signal intensities of $T_2$ weighted images (23, 24, 32). Thus, the combined $T_2$ weighted and DW approaches to onset time estimation are difficult to standardize.

The superior ability of $T_2$ relaxation times in estimating stroke onset time has also been reported in clinical studies (28–31). By adopting the mirror reference approach, a correlation using a quadratic fit between the $T_2$ relaxation time at 1.5T

Figure 4. The DWI/$T_2$-FLAIR mismatch approach to stroke onset time estimation. A. patient is classified as within the 4.5-hour treatment window when a DWI/$T_2$-FLAIR mismatch evident, where ischemic tissue is visible on DWI but not in the same region on the $T_2$-FLAIR image (yellow arrows). B. Patient is classified as beyond 4.5 hours if there is a match, where ischemic stisue is visible in both DWI and $T_2$-FLAIR images (orange arrows). With permission from (43).
and time from symptom onset was found in hyperacute ischemic stroke patients (30). The data showed that quantifying the $T_2$ relaxation time was a more accurate approach than the visual DWI/$T_2$-FLAIR mismatch for distinguishing between patients scanned before and after three hours from symptom onset (30). McGarry et al. (29) extended these findings at 3T to compare quantitative $T_2$-based mirror reference methods for stroke timing in hyperacute ischemic stroke patients. Results showed that compared to $T_2$-weighted image intensity ratios, the absolute $T_2$ relaxation time ratio was the only parameter that correlated with time from symptom onset (29). The absolute $T_2$ ratio also had the highest accuracy for distinguishing between patients within and beyond 4.5 hours and was the only parameter with no trade-off between sensitivity and specificity (29). Therefore, unlike the visual and quantitative DWI/$T_2$-FLAIR mismatch approaches, there was a comparable classification of patients as falling within or beyond the pre-defined treatment window (29). An analysis of patients with both $T_2$-FLAIR and $T_2$ relaxation time images also showed that the $T_2$ relaxation time performed considerably better at identifying patients within 4.5 hours than the visual DWI/$T_2$-FLAIR mismatch approach (29).

Methods to improve the clinical feasibility, utility, and precision of onset time estimates from $T_2$ relaxometry have been examined (21). When applied to the absolute $T_2$ relaxation time in a hyperacute ischemic patient cohort, the spherical reference method was more accurate at identifying patients scanned within and beyond the 4.5-hour IV rtPA treatment window than the mirror reference approach (21). The spherical reference approach showed that at 3T, $T_2$ changes by +1.9 ms/h in the ADC-defined mixed tissue type ischemic volume (21) (Figure 3). The $T_2$ weighted signal changes did not correlate with time from symptom onset during the first 9 hours (21). When grey and white matter components of ischemic regions were analyzed separately, the time course of $T_2$ relaxation times did not differ, suggesting the spherical reference method predicts onset time well, independent of tissue type and inherent tissue $T_2$ (28). The reported increase in $T_2$ in the human brain is notably close to that reported in the rat brain at 9.4T; however, proportionally, the change at high field is approximately two-fold greater than the $T_2$ change at 3T due to the magnetic field-dependency of $T_2$ (21, 24).

Much of the preclinical and clinical stroke timing studies have focused on seeking linear relationships between the $T_2$ relaxation time and time from symptom onset. However, it is recognized that the relationship between quantitative MRI parameters and time from onset is unlikely to be strictly linear due to the complex and heterogeneous nature of evolving ischemia (20, 43). A preclinical MRI study highlighted this possibility by including squared and cubed terms of the original linear models’ predictive variables to accommodate non-linear relationships in the $T_2$ data (33). Additionally, preliminary application of the preclinical study methods (33) to absolute $T_2$ relaxation time data of hyperacute ischemic stroke patients suggested non-linearity may be specific to grey matter lesions (43). Despite the possibility of a non-linear relationship, the motivation for studying linear relationships for stroke onset time estimation is the simplicity of a linear model (43). Simplicity is key in a clinical setting, so if a linear model successfully identifies patients eligible for rtPA, it may be unnecessary to overcomplicate stroke timing methods by pursuing more complex models (43).

In addition to onset time estimation, the combination of ADC and the absolute $T_2$ relaxation time has been shown to provide information about tissue viability in a clinical setting (44). The main benefit of quantifying ADC and $T_2$ is the
opportunity to observe contributions of advancing ischemia to MRI measures that are not possible from the signal-weighted images (20, 43, 44). One of the key challenges with DWI has been to differentiate cytotoxic and vasogenic edema’s contributions to the MRI signal (43, 44). ADC maps are devoid of T₂ contributions from vasogenic edema. The utility of ADC and absolute T₂ images in assessing the nature of edema has been examined in chronic (45) and hyperacute ischemic stroke patients following thrombectomy (44). Within five hours post recanalization, the elevated T₂ within the ischemic region was heterogeneous (44). In 24-hour follow-up scans, the ADC had normalized, and elevated T₂ predominated, suggesting irreversible damage (44). The spatial distribution of high T₂ relaxation times in patients (44) is in agreement with the preclinical studies (23–25). By comparing ADC and T₂ relaxation time changes at different time points after treatment, the success of thrombectomy could be established, revealing reversible and irreversible tissue according to the T₂ relaxation time (44). Thus, the ADC and absolute T₂ data could be applied both in treatment decisions of patients with unknown onset time and monitoring response to applied therapies.

EXPEDITED MRI IN HUMAN STROKE IMAGING

One of the main concerns with using multiparametric MRI in the acute stroke setting is the time required for scan acquisitions. The duration of MRI stroke protocols is typically longer than NCCT scans, and although a 6-minute MRI protocol for acute stroke is possible (46), preparing patients for MRI requires additional time. However, since the advent of magnetic resonance fingerprinting MRF (47), and the synthetic MRI (31), quantification of absolute MRI parameters has become an order of magnitude shorter. Thus, much more MRI data can be acquired now at a similar speed as CT (47). An MRF approach was used to estimate onset time with absolute T₂ in hyperacute ischemic stroke patients (31). Referenced to a mirror volume, there was a strong correlation between the absolute T₂ and symptom onset time, whereas the correlation between T₂-FLAIR signal and onset time was weak (31). This study (31) suggests MRF is feasible for stroke timing and provides further evidence of the superior ability of absolute T₂ in onset time estimation compared to T₂-FLAIR.

MRI AND MACHINE LEARNING FOR THE CLINICAL ASSESSMENT OF ACUTE ISCHEMIC STROKE PATIENTS

We are entering an era of advanced technology that will enable the development of MRI-based methods for stroke treatment stratification (48). ML is a form of artificial intelligence (AI) that involves developing algorithms to extract useful patterns from datasets to generate predictive models to be applied to new data (49). MRI-based stroke timers typically involve ML methods such as linear regression for estimating the time passed since ischemia onset and logistic regression for binary classification of patients as within or beyond a specified
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treatment time window. Although studies adopting these methods are encouraging, the clinical applicability and accuracy could be improved with other ML approaches (43, 50). As revealed by the CED model (20) and the observed heterogeneity of T2 (23, 24, 33, 44), the relationship of T2 with time is unlikely to be strictly linear (43). Consequently, using models capable of learning non-linear decision boundaries, such as support vector machines, classification trees, random forests, and discriminant analysis, may improve T2 stroke timing ability.

Another example of where ML could improve stroke timing methods is from preliminary work that classified ischemic stroke patients as within one of the three treatment time windows (IV rtPA, IA rtPA, and MT) using the absolute T2 relaxation time ratio, logistic ordinal regression, and cumulative probabilities (50). Ordinal regression models incorporating image intensity ratios from T2 relaxation time maps or T2 weighted images predicted the probability distribution across the predicted the probability distribution across the three treatment time windows (50). For the absolute T2 relaxation time ordinal model, accounting for the cumulative probability of a patient being within a specific time window enabled identification of patients within the middle IA rtPA treatment window, which current binary methods do not allow for (50). Identifying patients within the IA rtPA treatment window would be advantageous as it represents the transitional phase from CE to vasogenic edema, which is notoriously difficult to classify (50). The models based on T2 weighted signal ratios could not identify patients within the IA treatment window, further supporting the superior ability of the T2 relaxation time for stroke timing (50).

Initial work adopting the end-to-end framework of deep learning (DL) has also improved MRI-based stroke timers (51). The typical linear regression and classification-based stroke timers require an a priori assumption about which factors are important in predicting onset time (i.e., absolute T2 change). In contrast, end-to-end DL models are applied to entire images and have the freedom to learn features that the model finds useful for making accurate predictions (49). The end-to-end approach is typically used with neural networks, composed of simple computational functions that are loosely analogous to a neuron in the brain insofar as they take a set of inputs to give an output (49). A neural network has multiple interconnected neurons, allowing for the network’s internal architecture to be structured in multiple ways. For image processing, convolutional neural networks (CNNs) are the exemplar of end-to-end models (49). CNNs are a way of organizing the interconnections between neurons in a network in a particularly useful manner for image processing, as they can identify local features, irrespective of location (49).

Preliminary evidence suggests stroke timing methods involving CNNs are more accurate than other ML methods (51). Using DWI and T2-FLAIR images as input, 3D CNN models enabled localization of ischemic tissue (without prior thresholding or manual delineation) and better classification of stroke patients for the 4.5-hour treatment window than the visual DWI/T2-FLAIR mismatch (51). Interestingly, the CNN model (51) had high sensitivity (0.70) and specificity (0.81), similar to that reported for the absolute T2 relaxation time based binary classification model (sensitivity and specificity = 0.74) (29). Given the known limitations of T2 weighted images and how much more representative ADC and absolute T2 images are of stroke pathophysiology (14), DL could push the stroke timing ability of the absolute T2 relaxation time even higher (43). The benefit of
applying CNNs to the entire images rather than a pre-specified VOI is that physiological information, crucial to onset time estimates, is less likely to be overlooked (51). DL also affords integrating imaging and clinical data (e.g., age, blood glucose, stroke severity) termed ‘multimodal fusion’ (52). As well as learning hierarchies of features, the end-to-end approach can learn intramodal representations, which are not specific to imaging or clinical data but are merged representations of the two data types (52). Multimodal fusion has boosted predictive ability in other stroke research areas, such as predicting short-term outcomes (52), and therefore may also improve MRI-based stroke timing methods (43).

It is worth noting that although the application of ML has the potential to improve the predictive accuracy of MRI-based stroke timing methods, we should proceed with caution. The clinician must have confidence in the model they are using to guide critical treatment decisions, of which a key component is an understanding of how and why the model works (53). The benefit of neural networks is that they have the freedom to learn features that are useful in making predictions that the scientist may be unaware of (49). This ability has enabled powerful predictions in many medical applications (49). However, because of the extra depth, it is difficult to explain the predictive features identified by the network (53). The network could identify other phenomena or features that correlate with the output that are unrelated to the task we believe it is performing (54). Thus, before recommending clinical use, DL MRI-based models for stroke timing and tissue status evaluation must be understandable and explainable in terms of stroke pathophysiology and MR physics. Fortunately, there is extensive ongoing research focused on developing interpretability methods tailored to ML algorithms of imaging data (53). The clinician’s trust could also be enhanced by including uncertainty information about the reliability of predictions made at the patient level (55). Herzog et al. (55) incorporated Bayesian uncertainty into CNNs developed for diagnosing ischemia using MRI, which showed improved prediction accuracy and higher uncertainty measures for false patient classifications enabling filtering of patients requiring closer examination. A similar component that provides uncertainty estimates associated with the predicted time window or extent of viable tissue, according to $T_2$ MRI, is required.

**CONCLUSION**

Timing of the ischemic stroke by multiparametric MRI has already impacted treatment decisions (39), and the DWI/ $T_2$-FLAIR mismatch is part of the routine clinical procedure for stroke treatment stratification in some centers (42). Preclinical and clinical studies suggest $T_2$-based MRI methods for assessing hyperacute ischemic stroke patients can be improved further by quantifying the absolute $T_2$ relaxation time within ADC-defined ischemic regions achieved with the user-independent spherical reference method (21). We are not yet at the stage where ADC and quantitative $T_2$ can seamlessly be used routinely in the clinic. However, Hockings et al. (56) suggest a roadmap for developing quantitative MRI-based biomarkers involving studies that focus on technical advancements such as repeatability and reproducibility to validate and standardize techniques in large multi-center studies. There is also a need to demonstrate that the methods
are cost-effective in improving patient outcomes and public health (56). It is anticipated that advanced technologies such as MRF and ML methods will help advance the clinical feasibility and predictive ability of absolute T2 MRI methods for treatment stratification of hyperacute ischemic stroke patients. We envisage that MRI-based stroke timers will assist in stroke care in the near future by providing the time of ischemic onset in stroke clinics. Implementation of an absolute timing regime by MRI may, in fact, push the recanalization therapy window further, giving a chance for a larger number of patients to be treated and have a more favorable outcome.
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