Regulation of Renal Fibrosis by Macrophage Polarization
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Abstract:
Background/Aims: Since renal fibrosis always predisposes end-stage renal disease, elucidation of the molecular mechanisms that underlie the progression of renal fibrosis may substantially improve the understanding and treatment for renal failure. Previous studies have highlighted an important counteraction between transforming growth factor β 1 (TGFβ1) and bone morphogenetic protein 7 (BMP7) in the epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT) of renal tubular epithelial cells during chronic renal injury. Macrophages are also believed to play a critical role in renal fibrosis. However, the relationship between macrophages and EMT is unknown. Methods: Here, we used a mouse unilateral ureteral obstruction (UUO) model to address these questions, and analyzed macrophage and its subpopulations purified by flow cytometry. Results: We found that the recruited macrophages are polarized to a M2 subtype after renal injury. M2 macrophages released high levels TGFβ1 to suppress BMP7 to enhance EMT-induced renal fibrosis. Depletion of M2 macrophages, but not of M1 macrophages, specifically inhibited EMT, and subsequently the renal fibrosis. Adoptive transplantation of M2 macrophages deteriorated renal fibrosis. Conclusion: Thus, our study highlights M2 macrophages as a critical target for treating renal fibrosis.
Introduction

Renal failure often results from obstructive nephropathy, although the exact cellular and molecular mechanisms have not been elucidated. Urinary tract obstruction and tubular dilatation lead to tubular apoptosis and local macrophage infiltration, resulting in increases in interstitial fibroblasts through both proliferation of resident fibroblasts and epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT) of renal tubular cells. During EMT, tubular epithelial cells acquire a mesenchymal phenotype with enhanced migratory capacity, and then these transformed cells migrate from the renal tubular microenvironment into the interstitial space, as a major contributor to the pathogenesis of renal fibrosis. Meanwhile, fibroblasts also transform into myofibroblasts that generate excess deposition of extracellular matrix in response to cytokines, chemokines and other signaling molecules [1-4].

Two TGFβ superfamily members [5-7], bone morphogenic protein 7 (BMP7) and transforming growth factor β1 (TGFβ1), are critical regulators of renal epithelial cell plasticity in the kidney. TGFβ1 is a potential inducer of EMT, while the effect of TGFβ1 is efficiently inhibited by BMP7 [1-4, 8-11]. The crosstalk between TGFβ1 and BMP7 coordinates the repair and recovery of the injured kidney [12-14]. The Unilateral ureteral obstruction (UUO) model is a well-established experimental obstructive nephropathy model for generating progressive renal fibrosis in rodents [15, 16].

Macrophages play a substantial role in the pathogenesis of renal fibrosis, although the major focuses of previous studies are their functions in dead cells and debris removal, which are typical features for the classically activated macrophages (also called M1 macrophages) [17-20]. Besides M1 macrophages, there are also the alternatively activated macrophages (also called M2 macrophages), which mediate humoral immunity and tissue repair [21-25]. Of note, M2 macrophages and their effects on kidney recovery after UUO have not been described before.

In the current study, we examined the relationship between macrophage polarization (M1/M2 ratio) and the development of renal fibrosis after UUO. We found that the recruited macrophages are polarized from F4/80-positive, CD163-negative M1 macrophages to F4/80-positive, CD163-positive M2 macrophages after UUO. Moreover, M2 macrophages released high levels of TGFβ1 to contradict BMP7 and facilitate EMT-induced renal fibrosis. M2 macrophage depletion, but not M1 macrophage depletion, specifically inhibited EMT, and subsequently inhibited renal fibrosis. Adoptive transplantation of M2 macrophages increased the features of renal fibrosis.

Materials and Method

Animal handling

Protocols for animal experimentation and maintenance were approved by the Animal Ethics Committee at Department of Nephrology of Dongguan People’s Hospital. Male Balb/c mice of 10 weeks of age received left ureteral ligation with 6-gauge silk sutures. The UUO-treated mice were then randomly grouped, treated and analyzed after UUO. Intravenous injection of 200μl clodronate-liposomes (Clodronate-liposome, Netherland) every 2 days were performed after UUO, to eliminate macrophages. Control mice received injections of liposome of the same amount and volume. For the adoptive M2 macrophage transplantation, purified CD163+F4/80+ M2 macrophages were transplanted under the kidney capsule for one week before final analyses.

Kidney digestion, analysis and isolation of macrophage subtypes by flow cytometry

The mouse kidney was chopped into 2mm-diameter pieces, and then digested with 45mg/dl collagenase (Sigma, St Louis, USA) for 35 minutes in a 37 °C shaker at 180 rpm. The digests were then passed through a 40 nm filter, incubated with APC-conjugated F4/80 antibody and/or FITC-conjugated CD163 (Serotec, St Louis, USA), and then subjected to flow cytometry analyses/sorting.
Histology and quantification of degree of renal fibrosis

Sampled kidneys were fixed in 10% formalin, embedded, and then sectioned at 4μm thickness. Masson trichrome staining was then performed for evaluation of renal fibrosis. Each sample was performed based on 25 randomly selected fields per section, which were examined under ×400 magnification for assessment of the degree of renal fibrosis, as the ratio of the positive stain area to that of the whole area.

Western Blot

Kiddes or isolated macrophage subtypes were homogenized in RIPA lysis buffer (1% NP40, 0.1% SDS, 100 μg/ml phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, in PBS) for protein extraction. Primary antibodies for Western Blot are anti-alpha-smooth muscle actin (α-SMA), anti-E-cadherin, anti-TGFβ1, anti-BMP7 and anti-α-tubulin (protein loading control) polyclonal antibodies from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, CA, USA). Secondary antibody is HRP-conjugated anti-rabbit (Jackson Labs, CA, USA). Representative images from 5 repeats were shown in the figures. α-tubulin was used as a protein loading control.

Quantitative RT-PCR (RT-qPCR)

RNA was extracted from kidney or sorted macrophages with Trizol (Invitrogen, St Louis, USA), or RNAeasy kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) and used for cDNA synthesis with a reverse transcription kit from Qiagen. Quantitative PCR were performed in duplicates with QuantiTect SYBR Green PCR Kit (Qiagen). All the primers were purchased from Qiagen. Values of gene expression were normalized against α-tubulin, and then compared with control values to calculate fold changes.

Statistics

All data were statistically analyzed using one-way ANOVA with a Bonferroni correction. All values are depicted as mean ± standard deviation from 5 individuals and are considered significant if p < 0.05.

Results

Macrophage polarization after UUO

UUO is a well-established rodent model for progressive renal fibrosis in humans. Although macrophages have been shown to play an essential role in the progression of UUO-induced renal fibrosis, the exact mechanism remains largely unknown. Since macrophages have two subtypes (M1 and M2 macrophages) that are responsible for various biological functions, we thus examined the changes in macrophage subtypes after UUO.

We performed UUO on mice. At 3 days, 5 days, 7 days or 10 days after UUO, we analyzed macrophages by flow cytometry using a pan-macrophage marker F4/80 and a specific M2-macrophage marker, CD163 (Fig. 1A). We then quantified the ratio of M1/M2 macrophages, and we found that the F4/80-positive macrophages in the injured kidney were mainly CD163-negative M1 macrophages at 3 days after UUO. However, the ratio of M1/M2 macrophages continuously decreased since then and most F4/80-positive macrophages in the injured kidney appeared to be CD163-positive M2 macrophages by day 10 after UUO (Fig. 1B). These data suggest that the polarization of macrophages to an M2 subtype occurred during this period.

Macrophage polarization resulted in reversal of the TGFβ1/BMP7 ratio in the kidney

BMP7 and TGFβ1 are two most important molecular regulators for EMT of renal epithelial cells in the kidney, in which TGFβ1 is a potential inducer of EMT and BMP7 counteracts the effects of TGFβ1. Thus, we examined the relationship between macrophage polarization and the changes in TGFβ1 and BMP7 levels in the injured kidney after UUO. We measured the transcripts (Fig. 2A-B) and proteins (Fig. 2C) of TGFβ1, BMP7, and two markers for EMT, E-cadherin (an epithelial cell marker) and α-SMA (a mesenchymal cell marker) in the kidney. We found that the TGFβ1 and α-SMA levels increased continuously from 3 days
Fig. 1. Macrophage polarized after UUO. (A) UUO was performed on mice. At 3 days or 10 days after UUO, infiltrated macrophages in the kidney were analyzed by flow cytometry. Representative flow chart is shown. (B) Quantification of the ratio of M1/M2 macrophages at day 3, 5, 7, 10 and 14 days after UUO by flow cytometry. *: p<0.05.

Fig. 2. Macrophage polarization and changes in TGFβ1 and BMP7 after UUO. (A) Transcript levels of TGFβ1 and BMP7 in the kidney were measured at different time points after UUO. (B) Transcript levels of E-cadherin and α-SMA in the kidney were measured at different time points after UUO. (C) Proteins were analyzed by Western blot at different time points after UUO. α-tubulin was used as a loading control. (D) M1 and M2 macrophages were purified from the kidney 7 days after UUO for analyses of transcript levels for TGFβ1, BMP7, iNOS and Arginase. *: p<0.05. NS: non-significant.
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after UUO to 14 days after UUO, while BMP7 and E-cadherin expression decreased (Fig. 2A-C), correlated with the macrophage polarization during this period.
To figure out the contribution of macrophages to TGFβ1 and BMP7, we isolated M1 and M2 macrophages from the kidney 7 days after UUO (Fig. 1B). Arginase (predominantly expressed by M2 macrophages) and iNOS (predominantly expressed by M1 macrophages) were used to confirm the purity of these macrophage subpopulations (Fig. 2D). Essentially no BMP7 were detected in both M1 and M2 macrophages, suggesting that macrophages do not produce BMP7 after UUO. BMP7 should be mainly produced by kidney epithelial cells [12-14]. However, although little TGFβ1 was detected in M1 macrophages, extremely high levels of TGFβ1 were detected in M2 macrophages (Fig. 2D). These data suggest that M2 macrophages may be a major contributor of TGFβ1 in the injured kidney, and M2 macrophages may play a critical role in the development of renal fibrosis.

Deletion of M2 macrophages attenuated renal fibrosis by UUO

To examine the effects of macrophage polarization on UUO-induced renal fibrosis, we used clodronate to chemically deplete the macrophages. Clodronate is a hydrophilic molecule and is packaged in a liposome to allow internalization and accumulation of clodronate in a macrophage after ingestion. Accumulation of cellular clodronate induces apoptosis of the macrophage. Neither the liposome nor clodronate are toxic to non-phagocytic cells, resulting in specific targeting and elimination of macrophages [26].
To selectively deplete M1 or M2 macrophages, we either gave a single injection of clodronate at the same time of UUO (Day 0) to eliminate M1 macrophages, or gave multiple injections of clodronate every 2 days (day 4, day 6 and day 8 after UUO) to eliminate M2 macrophages (Fig. 3A). The half-life of clodronate-liposome is pretty short [25, 27-29], resulting in little effect on a later recruitment of M2 macrophages by a single injection at Day 0. Thus, analyses on the kidney at day 10 after UUO allow us to examine the specific effects of removal of either M1 macrophages or M2 macrophages.

We found that removal of M1 macrophages had no effects on the levels of E-cadherin and α-SMA in the kidney (Fig. 3B), and the levels of renal fibrosis (Fig. 3C-D), suggesting that M1 macrophages did not potentially contribute to the formation of renal fibrosis. However, M2 macrophage depletion by clodronate substantially mitigated the formation of renal fibrosis (Fig. 3C-D), possibly through decreased TGFβ1 (Fig. 3B). To further confirm the role of M2 macrophages in the process of renal fibrosis, $10^6$ CD163+F4/80+ M2 macrophages isolated from UUO-treated kidney were transplanted under the kidney capsule of recipient mice without UUO, which potentially induced features of renal fibrosis (Fig. 4).

Discussion

The molecular basis of renal fibrosis is critical for establishing novel therapeutic strategies for the prevention or treatment of progressive kidney diseases. TGFβ1 induces renal tubular epithelial cell EMT, while BMP7 counteracts TGFβ1-induced EMT [12, 14, 30]. Moreover, the macrophages are known to play essential roles in the development of renal fibrosis, but the present concerns on macrophages were mostly limited to their roles as phagocytes to clean tissue debris [10, 18, 31].

Here we used a mouse UUO model to study the participation of macrophages in the development of renal fibrosis. We showed that the infiltrated macrophages were recruited to the inflammation milieu and appeared to be mainly M1 macrophages at beginning. However, these M1 macrophages were then polarized into M2 macrophages, suggesting functional adaptation. We used specific a specific M2 macrophage marker CD163 to differentiate M1 and M2 macrophages by flow cytometry. Previous studies have used CD206, CD301 and others but essentially reached similar results.

Here, we found that the polarization of macrophages occurred shortly after UUO, since the M1/M2 ratio completely inversed from day 3 to day 10 after UUO. These data demonstrate that the M1 macrophage-mediated phagocytosis may be only responsible for removal of
dead cells and tissue debris at the very early stage of kidney injury after UUO. Later on, M2 macrophages appear to be the major type of macrophages and we were prompted to study their contribution to renal fibrosis.

We detected TGFβ1 and α-SMA upregulation and polarization of during kidney fibrosis is correlated with appearance of M2 macrophages and upregulation. Since myofibroblasts have been previously shown to produce TGFβ1, we then analyzed levels of TGFβ1 in the FAC sorted myofibroblasts and compared to the levels of TGFβ1 in M2 macrophages. We found that the levels of TGFβ1 in myofibroblasts were significantly lower than those in M2 macrophages and the number of myofibroblasts was significantly lower than M2 macrophages, suggesting that the major sources for TGFβ1 in the injured kidney are M2 macrophages, rather than myofibroblasts.

We then used a well-established clodronate to specially eliminate macrophages at different time points after UUO. Interestingly, we found that elimination of M2 macrophages after UUO, but not elimination of M1 macrophages after UUO, specifically alleviated the features of renal fibrosis. Therefore, our data highlight a pivotal role of M2 macrophages in the progression of renal fibrosis, possibly through their specific expression of high levels of TGFβ1. Our data also indicate that modulation of macrophage polarization may be a promising treatment for renal fibrosis.
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