Inhaled Concentrated Ambient Particles Are Associated with Hematologic and Bronchoalveolar Lavage Changes in Canines
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Pulmonary inflammatory and hematologic responses of canines were studied after exposure to concentrated ambient particles (CAPs) using the Harvard ambient particle concentrator (HAPC). For pulmonary inflammatory studies, normal dogs were exposed to either CAPs or filtered air (paired studies) for 6 hr/day on 3 consecutive days. For hematologic studies, dogs were exposed for 6 hr/day for 3 consecutive days with one receiving CAPs while the other was simultaneously exposed to filtered air; crossover of exposure took place the following week (crossover studies). Physicochemical characterization of CAPs exposure samples included measurements of particle mass, size distribution, and composition. No statistical differences in biologic responses were found when all CAPs and all sham exposures were compared. However, the variability in biologic response was considerably higher with CAPs exposure. Subsequent exploratory graphical analyses and mixed linear regression analyses suggested associations between CAPs constituents and biologic responses. Factor analysis was applied to the compositional data from paired and crossover experiments to determine elements consistently associated with each other in CAPs samples. In paired experiments, four factors were identified; in crossover studies, a total of six factors were observed. Bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) and hematologic data were regressed on the factor scores. Increased BAL neutrophil percentage, total peripheral white blood cell (WBC) counts, circulating neutrophils, and circulating lymphocytes were associated with increases in the aluminum/silicon factor. Increased circulating neutrophils and increased BAL macrophages were associated with the vanadium/nickel factor. Increased BAL neutrophils were associated with the bromine/lead factor when only the compositional data from the third day of CAPs exposure were used. Significant decreases in red blood cell counts and hemoglobin levels were correlated with the sulfur factor. BAL or hematologic parameters were not associated with increases in total CAPs mass concentration. These data suggest that CAPs inhalation is associated with subtle alterations in pulmonary and systemic cell profiles, and specific components of CAPs may be responsible for these biologic responses. Key words: bronchoalveolar lavage, canines, environmental particles, hematology, inflammation. Environ Health Perspect 108:1179–1187 (2000). [Online 15 November 2000] http://ehpnet1.niehs.nih.gov/2000/108p1179-1187clarke/abstract.html

Epidemiologic studies have associated human morbidity and mortality with exposure to ambient air particles (1–4). Toxicologic responses to particle exposures include acute mortality (5), histopathologic changes in the airways (6,7), increased airway hyperresponsiveness (7–9), pulmonary inflammation (10–15), and depressed pulmonary defense mechanisms (16–19). Particle mass, composition, and size have all been cited as modulators of these responses. However, these studies have been performed using ambient particle surrogates, including fly-ash, acid sulfate aerosols, silica particles, iron oxide, or carbon, which may not be representative of ambient particle exposures.

Recent technological advances have made it possible to directly expose animals to concentrated ambient particles (CAPs). These methods include the Harvard ambient particle concentrator (HAPC) and the New York University concentrator (20). Studies using the HAPC have shown that CAPs exposures are associated with significant alterations in breathing patterns and acute inflammatory responses marked by neutrophil influx and increased vascular permeability in normal and chronic bronchitic rats (22,23). Gordon et al. (24) failed to find pulmonary responses but reported hematologic changes in rats, including elevated levels of polymorphonuclear leukocytes, after exposure to New York City CAPs. These initial studies illustrated detrimental effects of CAPs and indicated the utility and complexity of using CAPs in studies of particle effects.

In this paper, we present the results of novel studies in dogs assessing pulmonary inflammatory and hematologic responses to repeated exposures to CAPs over a large number of sample days with a comprehensive physicochemical characterization of the particles. The use of canines in these studies provides a genetically diverse large animal model as compared to previous studies carried out in rats. Performing repeated measures also provided an advantage over previous studies involving rodent responses to CAPs.

considerable day-to-day exposure variability in CAPs concentration and composition in conjunction with the relatively large number of experimental days made it possible to define responses to specific particulate components. In these studies, data are analyzed on several different levels including simple comparisons of treatment groups, linear regression analyses of day-by-day CAPs parameters versus responses, and factor analyses of CAPs elemental data in relationship to biologic outcomes to identify potentially harmful components of ambient particulate matter.

Materials and Methods

Animals. Dogs were purchased from Butler Farms (USA A 21-A-003; Clyde, NY), a U.S. Department of Agriculture-approved breeding facility for dogs for research. These mixed-breed dogs were intact female retired breeders less than 5 years old weighing between 14 and 17 kg. Upon arrival to our laboratory, a comprehensive veterinary examination was performed including physical examination and assessment of pulmonary health plus a clinical laboratory examination including a chemistry profile and hematologic and microbiologic assessments. The measured parameters were required to be within normal ranges for an animal to be included in the study (25). Dogs were housed and handled in accordance with National Institutes of Health and Harvard Animal Care Committee guidelines.

Preparation of canines for experimental procedures. All experiments were performed using pairs of dogs that were also housed together in a single kennel run. Dogs were
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paired after arrival at the Harvard School of Public Health facility. Dogs were acclimated to all procedures for several weeks before experiments.

**Tracheostomy.** We exposed dogs to CAPs or filtered air via permanent tracheostomies. Chronic tracheostomies were surgically created in each dog by the method of Dalgare et al. (26), as further refined by Nelson (27). D’Razen et al. (28) have reported that tracheostomy per se does not affect airway mechanics. For tracheostomy surgery, dogs were fasted a minimum of 10 hr before anesthetic induction. Premedication/induction anesthesia used an intramuscular mixture of atropine (0.04 mg/kg), ketamine (10 mg/kg), and xylazine (1.5 mg/kg). Anesthesia was maintained with halothane gas administered via a semi-closed rebreathing system (using an OHIO Medtronic Kristo-meter anesthesia machine; Ohio Medical Products, Madison, WI) via an endotracheal tube.

The tracheostomy surgical site was centered on the ventral midline of the neck and extended from 1–2 cm cranial to the larynx to a point just past the thoracic inlet, and 10–15 cm laterally on either side of the midline. The medial edges of the sternohyoïd muscles were sutured to the fascia of the dorsolateral-lateral aspect of the trachea to decrease the tension on the skin to mucosa anastomosis and thereby decrease the tendency for dehiscence. An H-shaped incision in the full thickness of the tracheal wall was made centrally between the fourth and fifth tracheal rings. The two tracheal wall flaps were then reflected outward while the skin flaps were reflected inward, and the skin was sutured to the mucosa around the entire tracheostomy. Following the procedure, a jacket with a high neck was placed on the dog to protect the tracheostomy site. The tracheostomy healed completely within 2 weeks with a permanent stoma, which required minimal maintenance. For exposures, a modified, cuffed tracheostomy tube was inserted into the stoma.

**Canine chamber training.** After tracheostomies healed, we acclimated the dogs to the exposure chamber over a 2-4-week period before the first exposure to minimize stress associated with an exposure. Evaluation of dog comfort with each training step was subjectively determined by a veterinarian. Training began with simply bringing the dogs to the inhalation laboratory and allowing them to interact with each other and with their caretakers. Next, the dogs were placed in the chamber without concentrator pumps operating for 1–2 hr, gradually prolonging the chamber time up to 6 hr. The 1,000-L chamber was made of stainless steel with a Plexiglas door (29). The animals were separated from each other by a metal wire enclosure that allowed them free range of movement to stand, sit, or move from side to side. In the next training step, the tracheostomy tube (6 or 7 mm inner diameter depending on the size of animal and of tracheostomy stoma) was inserted for 1–2 hr. In the next stage, the cuff of the tracheostomy tube was inflated and left in place for 4–6 hr. The next advancement in training was to turn on the HAPC airflow and attach the inspiratory and expiratory breathing tubes to the tracheostomy tubes. Then, the breathing tubes were fastened around the neck of the dog and taped together in the back such that the two tubes encircle the neck. We kept the animals in the chamber initially for 2–3 hr, and increased the time to a full 6 hr. All steps were repeated until the dogs appeared relaxed in this setting before exposures were undertaken.

**Harvard ambient fine-particle concentrator setup.** We used the Harvard ambient fine-particle concentrator (HAPC) to expose animals to concentrated ambient fine particles (0.1–2.5 µm). This system has been described in detail elsewhere (20,30). Briefly, the HAPC used for the animal inhalation studies consists of three components: a high-volume, 2.5-µm selective inlet, a series of three virtual impactors with a 0.1-µm size cutoff (concentrator stages I, II, and III), and the animal exposure chamber.

The inlet is a conventional high-volume impactor (Fractionating Sampler; Anderson, Inc., Atlanta, GA) with an upper size cut of 2.5 µm at 5,000 L/min. The deflected flow of the conventional impactor was drawn through a series of three virtual impactors. Particles 0.1–2.5 µm were concentrated by the virtual impactors; the total concentration factor for the three virtual impactor stages was between 17 and 28. The minor flow of the third stage containing the CAPs was 50 L/min and was split into two fractions, 40 and 10 L/min. The first (40 L/min) was supplied to the animal exposure chamber and the second (10 L/min) was used for chemical and physical characterization of the particles.

The concentrated aerosol from the stage III of the virtual impactor was supplied to each dog receiving a CAPs exposure via breathing tubes. Sham exposures were also performed in the exposure chamber using the same attached breathing tubes at the same pressure and flow rate. For sham exposure, ambient air was filtered using a glass fiber filter (collection efficiency: 99.6–99.9%: Type A/E: Gelman, Ann Arbor, MI). The use of the three virtual impactor stages results in a pressure drop of about 10 inches of H2O. Because the air pumping units were downstream from the concentrator/inhalation chamber, both the chamber and the breathing tubes were operated under a matched negative pressure (~10 inches H2O). The residence time of the aerosol inside the virtual impactors was several seconds. The aerosol residence time in the breathing tubes was about 10 sec, resulting in minimal particle losses on the tubes, which was confirmed by upstream and downstream measurements.

**Exposure characterization.** We conducted animal exposures to CAPs in the inhalation facility at the Harvard School of Public Health. Outdoor air was drawn through a manifold into the HAPC. Exposures typically took place between 0900 and 1500 hr each day. For continuous measurements of concentrations of CAPs mass, we used a tapered element oscillating microbalance (TEOM) (31) and for black mass we used an aethalometer (model AE-14; Magee Scientific Inc., Berkeley CA) (32). These measurements were integrated over 5-min intervals throughout the 6-hr exposure period. We determined all other CAPs physical and chemical characterization measurements by filter-based samples collected over the entire 6-hr exposure period as described below.

The ambient and chamber levels of particulate mass were determined gravimetrically. Particles were collected on preweighed, 47-mm Savillex teflon filters (collection flow rate = 3 L/min). Filters were weighed using a Cahn 311 electrobalance in a temperature- and humidity-controlled room. We used the end filter weight, sampling time, and sampling flow rate to calculate the particle concentration in micrograms per cubic meter.

Concentrated particles were also collected on Teflon filters in parallel with a filter pack for measurement of sulfate, elemental and organic carbon, and elemental analysis. We determined sulfate concentrations using ion chromatography (33). Elemental analyses were conducted by X-ray fluorescence (XRF) (34) by Chester LabNet (Tigard, OR).

To determine the size distribution of ambient particles, samples were collected isokinetically from the concentrator inlet using a micro-orifice uniform deposit impactor (MOUDI; M SP Corporation, MN). The MOUDI is a cascade impactor with micro-orifice nozzles, which collects particles onto preweighed filters at a flow rate of 30 L/min. We used the weights (after particle sampling) at each stage to determine the mass median particle diameter (MMD) and its geometric standard deviation (GSD). Weather data were obtained from the National Weather Service station at Logan International Airport (Boston, MA).

**Experimental design.** Inflammatory and hematologic responses after CAPs exposure were assessed using paired and crossover inhalation protocols, respectively. In paired experiments, two dogs were simultaneously exposed to either CAPs or filtered air (sham exposure).
exposure) for 6 hr on 3 consecutive days. Twenty-four hr after the third day of exposure, subjects underwent bronchoalveolar lavage to assess pulmonary cellular and biochemical responses. Thus, comparisons could be made between the same dogs exposed to CAPs and baseline or sham exposures. We used a total of eight different dogs in these experiments. In crossover experiments, one dog of a pair received CAPs exposure, while its partner was concurrently exposed to filtered air. The following week, the control dog of the pair was exposed to CAPs, and the other dog received filtered air. Therefore, for comparisons, each CAPs-exposed dog had a contemporaneous sham-exposed control as chambermate as well as herself as a sham-exposed control. All CAPs and filtered air exposures were conducted for 6 hr on 3 consecutive days. Before exposure and after each day of exposure, blood samples were drawn from individual animals. A total of 10 dogs were used in crossover analyses. Subsequent data analyses of these biologic responses examined relationships between biologic responses and CAPs parameters such as mass, size, and composition.

We used the same population of dogs in both paired and crossover protocols. Dog baselines were typically performed at least 1 month after the dogs arrived at the facility before inclusion in any experimental studies. After inclusion in any experimental design, dogs were allowed to recover for at least 3 weeks and typically several months between experimental protocols. The scheduling of the dog studies resulted in a random selection of exposure days that included exposures in all seasons; only heavy rain necessitated postponement or delay of an exposure. In both paired and crossover studies, we randomized the initial exposures (CAPs or filtered air) for individual pairs of dogs. A total of 20 different CAPs exposure days and 15 different sham exposure days were undertaken in the paired studies and a total of 24 exposure days were undertaken in the crossover studies.

Bronchoalveolar lavage and cellular analyses. We performed bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) only in the paired studies because of the need for recovery time after the procedure. The procedure was carried out with the canines fully anesthetized as described for the tracheostomy surgery. In addition, the dogs were given intramuscular butorphanol (0.2 mg/kg) before lavage to suppress the cough reflex. The bronchoscope (Olymups BF Type IT with Olymups CLE-3 light source; Olymups Optical Products, Tokyo, Japan) was inserted via an endotracheal tube for baseline studies and via the tracheostomy subsequently. In all studies, it was passed into the right mainstem bronchus, and then advanced into the right lung until it became wedged in a small airway. We used 50 mL of Dulbecco's 1X phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) without Ca²⁺ or Mg²⁺ for each lavage. The fluid of the lavages was retrieved via vacuum; the fluids from all lavages were pooled and kept on ice until processing at the end of the procedure. We repeated lavages until a minimum of 200 mL of lavage fluid was retrieved.

Lavage fluid was separated for analyses of total cell counts, differential cell profiles, and BAL supernatant protein levels. We used a 25 µL aliquot of the BAL to generate a total cell count and cell viability. Duplicate, well-mixed samples (100 µL) of the BAL return were cytocentrifuged onto microscope slides (Cytospin 2; Shandon Southern Instruments, Sewickley, PA), air dried, and stained with Wright-Giemsa stain (VWR Stat Stain, Brisbane, CA). From these slides, we performed a differential count of 300 cells using standard morphologic criteria (35). The remaining BAL fluid was centrifuged (800 g for 10 min), and a 1-mL aliquot of the supernatant was stored at −70°C for subsequent protein analysis. The remaining supernatant was discarded. We measured total protein levels in BAL fluid via the method of Bradford (36) using commercially available protein detection reagents (Pierce, Inc., Rockford, IL).

Blood sampling. Before a 3-day exposure and immediately after each day of exposure, a 1-mL and two 3–4 mL venous blood sample was taken from each dog. The smaller sample was collected into a Vacutainer tube with sodium citrate (Becton-Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, NJ) and sent to Tufts Veterinary Diagnostic Laboratory (Grafton, MA) for cell counts and differential analyses. The larger sample was taken for fibrinogen analysis. This blood sample was also collected into a tube containing sodium citrate. These samples were immediately transported to Geoffrey Tofler's laboratory at Harvard Medical School, where plasma fibrinogen levels were determined using the von Clauss method, a functional assay for thrombin-clottable fibrinogen. Briefly, diluted plasma was mixed with a thrombin solution of a constant high concentration, and the clotting time of the mixture was measured. Fibrinogen concentration, which is inversely proportional to the clotting time, was determined from a standard curve. An ST4 Coagulation Instrument (Diagnostica Stago, Asniéries, France) was used to measure clotting time.

Data analyses. We applied multiple levels of analysis to our data. It is important to note that BAL data were from paired experiments only, and hematologic data were from crossover experiments. In preliminary BAL analyses, differences between exposure groups (baseline, sham, CAPs) were compared using repeated-measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) tests. A post-hoc Student-Newman-Keuls analysis was used to compare groups.

Exploratory graphical data analyses of BAL and hematologic data from paired and crossover studies were conducted. Biologic responses were plotted versus daily CAPs exposure parameters (i.e., mass, sulfate, carbon, and elemental concentrations). For crossover studies, simultaneously obtained blood parameters from sham-exposed animals were plotted as daily exposure controls. Fitted values from linear regression analyses were plotted to identify potential associations between elemental concentrations and response data.

We applied mixed linear regression models to both BAL and hematologic responses to estimate differences due to exposure while controlling for random animal effects. All models were fit using PROC MIXED of SAS (SAS Institute, Cary, NC). Biologic response data representing proportions were arcsine transformed before model fitting to normalize the distribution and stabilize variance (37). For BAL analyses, for which data consisted entirely of paired exposures, differences between baseline, sham, and CAPs responses were related to exposure while controlling for random animal effects. For blood data, from crossover exposures, models were fit relating differences between a response to exposure, controlling for an animal’s baseline as well as random animal and day effects. Both CAPs concentration and composition characterized exposure dose in the above models. Because only one BAL measurement was taken following each 3-day exposure, we considered both the 3-day mean and final day concentrations in the BAL models. Day-specific postexposure blood values were related to exposure concentration for the same day.

To characterize elemental composition in both the BAL (paired) and blood (crossover) data sets, we applied principal components analyses (PCA) to particle elemental concentration data for both the paired and crossover studies. The factor scores and loadings were calculated by diagonalizing the correlation matrix, and the extracted factors were rotated using the Equamax rotation procedures (orthogonal factor rotation; SPSS 8.0 Software; SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL). Factor rotations were also conducted using oblique rotations (38). Orthogonal and oblique rotations yielded similar results, and we used the orthogonal rotations in the further analysis. Finally, we determined the absolute factor scores using the method described by Koutrakis and Spengler (38). In subsequent regression
analysis of daily factor scores versus biologic responses, regression coefficients were considered significant for associated p-values < 0.05.

Results

Repeated-Measures ANOVA by Treatment Group

Mean percentages of BAL macrophages (M AC), polymorphonuclear leukocytes (PM N), lymphocytes (LYM), eosinophils (EOS), and total BAL supernatant protein are shown in Table 1. There were no significant differences between baseline, sham, or CAPs groups among all percentages (Table 1). Although the percentage of PM N doubled in the CAPs-exposed group, the difference was not statistically significant (p = 0.07). Comparison of the baseline, sham, and CAPS did not indicate significant alteration. However, mean total BAL protein was significantly higher after the CAPs exposures compared to sham (p < 0.0001).

Mean white blood cell parameters [including total white blood cell count (WBC), percent blood polymorphonuclear leukocytes (PM N), percent blood lymphocytes (LYM), percent blood monocytes (M O N O), percent blood EOS, and plasma fibrinogen levels] were not altered by CAPs exposure (Table 2). Mean red blood cell parameters [including total red blood cell count (RBC), hemoglobin (H GB), hematocrit (H CT), mean corpuscular volume (M CV), and platelet counts (PL T)] were not altered by CAPs exposure (Table 3). Fibrinogen levels were significantly affected by the day of exposure, but not the type of exposure itself (p < 0.05; Table 4).

Exposure Characterization

Daily ambient and CAPs measurements are listed in Table 5. For all of the experimental days reported here, the particle size had little variability and ranged from 0.2 to 0.3 µm mass median aerodynamic diameter. In contrast, the exposure data in Table 5 show considerable day-to-day variability in both mass and composition of CAPs. Individual elemental measurements in CAPs samples from paired and crossover exposures are shown in Table 6.

Exposure Response versus Particle Characterization

Exploratory graphical analyses. We performed exploratory graphical linear regression analyses to identify associations between biologic responses and CAPs mass, component data, or weather parameters from paired and crossover experiments. CAPs exposure parameters examined in these studies included integrated CAPs mass, CAPs sulfate mass, fine CAPs mass, black carbon, CAPs elemental mass concentrations (Al, Si, S, Ca, Ti, V, Cr, Mn, Fe, Ni, Cu, Zn, Br, Pb, Ba), ambient temperature, relative humidity, and barometric pressure.

Several CAPs component parameters appeared to be qualitatively associated with altered biologic responses. For example, increased Al concentration was associated with increased percentages of blood PM N and decreased LYM in the CAPs-exposed dogs (Figure 1A). Increased V was associated with increased percentages of blood PM N in the CAPs-exposed dogs (Figure 1B). In both cases, the sham-exposed chamber-mates did not show changes regarding date-matched Al (Figure 1A) or V (Figure 1B) exposure levels. The scatter of the data points indicates the day-to-day variability associated with responses. Weather data were not associated with alterations in biologic responses.

Table 1. BAL parameters obtained in paired experiments related to animal exposure.

| Treatment group | M AC | PM N | LYM | EOS | Total protein (µg/mL) |
|----------------|------|------|-----|-----|-----------------------|
| Baseline       | 85.1 | 9.1  | 4.8 | 1.1 | 307.7     |
| (n = 20–26)    | (1.9) | (1.9)| (1.0)| (0.5)| (0.3)     |
| Sham exposure  | 88.6 | 7.6  | 3.2 | 0.6 | 164.2     |
| (n = 3–5)      | (1.9)| (1.5)| (1.3)| (0.3)| (17.9)    |
| CAPs exposure  | 81.7 | 14.5 | 2.8 | 1.0 | 362.4     |
| (n = 10–12)    | (4.7)| (4.7)| (0.5)| (0.2)| (46.8)    |

The data represent the mean (SE) for each exposure (n = 5 per group).

The smaller values for the sample numbers were all related to the number of total protein measurements; all cell differential percentages were determined using the maximum sample number. *p < 0.05 in the comparison of CAPs and filtered air responses.

Table 2. White blood cell differential numbers and percentages on each day of CAPs or sham exposures.

| Treatment group | PM N | LYM | M CV | M O N O | EOS |
|----------------|------|-----|------|---------|-----|
| Baseline       | 6.74 | 7.11| 15.05| 15.9    | 42.5|
| (n = 15)       | (0.29)| (0.22)| (0.47)| (1.54)| (1.58)|
| Day 1          | 6.84 | 6.97| 15.47| 15.58   | 46.77|
| (n = 15–16)    | (0.20)| (0.20)| (0.34)| (0.67)| (0.98)|
| Day 2          | 6.72 | 7.06| 15.18| 15.80   | 43.50|
| (n = 15)       | (0.15)| (0.12)| (0.21)| (0.76)| (0.48)|
| Day 3          | 6.66 | 6.80| 15.02| 15.30   | 43.83|
| (n = 15)       | (0.12)| (0.16)| (0.22)| (0.60)| (1.08)|

The data represent the mean (SE) for each exposure. There are no significant exposure or day-to-day differences. Cell count data were presented as the total daily count and daily percentage for each cell type (n > 10 per group).

Table 3. Red blood cell parameters [daily mean (SE) of 6 samples] related to animal exposures obtained in crossover experiments related to animal exposure.

| Treatment group | RBC (10³/µL) | HGB (g/dL) | HCT (%) | M CV (fL) | PL T (10³/µL) |
|----------------|-------------|-------------|----------|-----------|---------------|
| Baseline       | 6.74        | 7.11        | 15.05    | 15.9      | 42.5          |
| (n = 15)       | (0.29)      | (0.22)      | (0.47)   | (1.54)    | (1.58)        |
| Day 1          | 6.84        | 6.97        | 15.47    | 15.58     | 46.77         |
| (n = 15–16)    | (0.20)      | (0.20)      | (0.34)   | (0.67)    | (0.98)        |
| Day 2          | 6.72        | 7.06        | 15.18    | 15.80     | 43.50         |
| (n = 15)       | (0.15)      | (0.12)      | (0.21)   | (0.76)    | (0.48)        |
| Day 3          | 6.66        | 6.80        | 15.02    | 15.30     | 43.83         |
| (n = 15)       | (0.12)      | (0.16)      | (0.22)   | (0.60)    | (1.08)        |

Animals were assessed before treatment, after each day of CAPs exposure, and after each day of CAPs exposure. There were no significant exposure or day-to-day differences in either CAPs and sham samples.
in exploratory graphical analyses of either paired or crossover experiments.

**Mixed linear regression analyses** Based on these exploratory graphical analyses, we performed regression analyses controlling for dog-to-dog variability to identify associations between biologic responses and individual CAPs mass and elemental data. Exposure data from paired experiments were analyzed to evaluate CAPs parameters for 3-day average and third-day-only exposures. The data of these analyses are not shown, but the results of these analyses are presented descriptively. Significance was set at \( p < 0.05 \). Three-day average concentrations of two elements from paired exposures, Al and Ti, were significantly associated with dose-dependent alterations in RBC parameters, including total cell count, hemoglobin, hematocrit, and PLT counts, related to any of the elemental concentrations. Thus, these analyses using additional control of dog-to-dog variability identified a number of components to which biologic responses may be significantly related. However, this analysis cannot differentiate among these individual components which may be correlated themselves. Therefore, we performed factor analysis of the CAPs elemental data in an effort to investigate relationships between biologic effects and groups of related elements.

### Biologic responses versus factor scores
Four factors were identified from the paired exposure experiments (Table 8) rotated using equimax procedures: a V/Ni factor, an S factor, an Al/Si factor, and a Br/Pb factor.

Factor analysis was also applied to the crossover exposure elemental data, and six factors were identified (Table 9): a V/Ni factor, an S factor, an Al/Si factor, a Br factor, a Na/Cl factor, and a Cr factor. Black carbon, which was measured only in the crossover exposure studies, shared some association with the V/Ni, S, and Br factors.

We determined factor scores for the paired and crossover experiments. The concentration of individual elements associated with the identified factors were calculated for each exposure (Tables 10 and 11). As can be observed, the estimated and measured concentrations were generally in good agreement and are comparable to similar analyses of larger data sets for air particulate in the eastern United States (38).

The biologic responses were regressed on daily factor scores controlling for dog-to-dog variation. Additionally, in crossover studies, the data were controlled for day-to-day variation in biologic responses above that explained by CAPs exposure. We compared changes in cell percentages obtained from BAL in paired exposures to 3-day CAPs exposure averages (Figure 2A) and separately to the third (most proximal) day of exposure only (Figure 2B). The Al/Si factor was associated with an increased percentage of BAL M P M N with reductions in the percentage of BAL TAC, LYM, and EOS. Although the S factor was associated with a decreased percentage BAL M P M N and an increased

| Treatment day | Sham (n = 3–4) | CAPS (n = 8) |
|---------------|---------------|-------------|
| Baseline      | 228.8 (12.4)  | 231.6 (26.6) |
| Day 1         | 233.8 (25.9)  | 259.3 (21.3) |
| Day 2         | 299.5 (41.0)  | 285.9 (16.1) |
| Day 3         | 318.0 (65.1)  | 311.9 (26.1) |

Fibrinogen is expressed as a total concentration for each day (n > 3 per group). There were significant day-to-day differences in fibrinogen levels in both CAPS and sham samples.

**Table 5. Measured exposure parameters for all studies.**

| Exposure parameter | Exposure type | Mean ± SD |
|--------------------|---------------|-----------|
| M M A D Paired     | 0.24 ± 2.7 a  |           |
| (µm) Crossover     | 0.27 ± 2.3 a  |           |
| Ambient mass       | 12.31 ± 8.48  |           |
| (µm) Crossover     | 12.36 ± 7.77  |           |
| Ambient sulfate    | 3.43 ± 2.93   |           |
| (µm) Crossover     | 2.03 ± 1.36   |           |
| CAPS mass          | 203.40 ± 147.3|           |
| (µm) Crossover     | 360.80 ± 266.6|           |
| CAPS sulfate       | 53.08 ± 43.15 |           |
| (µm) Crossover     | 85.18 ± 70.58 |           |
| Mass conc P Aired  | 17.27 ± 1.45  |           |
| (µm) Crossover     | 28.29 ± 1.52  |           |
| Sulfate conc factor| 16.42 ± 1.45  |           |
| (µm) Crossover     | 28.14 ± 1.80  |           |
| Black carbon mass  | 11.92 ± 8.46  |           |
| (µm) Crossover     | 299.0 ± 226.0 |           |

**Table 6. Elemental concentrations in measured samples.**

| Element | LOD (µg/m³) | Bias (%) | Precision (µg/m³) | Paired average concentration (µg/m³) | Crossover average concentration (µg/m³) |
|---------|-------------|----------|-------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| Na      | 0.249       | 11.3     | 0.569 ± 0.987     | 2.313 ± 5.499                       |
| Al      | 0.049       | 18.6     | 0.601 ± 0.600     | 1.69 ± 1.500                       |
| Si      | 0.037       | 6.4      | 2.760 ± 1.910     | 5.74 ± 3.400                       |
| S       | 0.045       | 4.5      | 0.045 ± 0.106     | 4.81 ± 12.940                      |
| Cl      | 0.051       | 4.5      | 1.121 ± 0.211     | 3.05 ± 1.129                       |
| K       | 0.018       | 5.7      | 7.171 ± 1.599     | 2.72 ± 1.955                       |
| Ca      | 0.016       | 5.2      | 0.359 ± 0.844     | 0.307 ± 0.153                      |
| Ti      | 0.014       | 5.2      | 1.105 ± 0.132     | 0.147 ± 0.147                      |
| V       | 0.013       | 11.5     | 0.007 ± 0.010     | 0.014 ± 0.011                      |
| Cr      | 0.008       | 33.6     | 0.075 ± 0.056     | 0.134 ± 0.058                      |
| Mn      | 0.012       | 8.2      | 2.934 ± 2.774     | 5.235 ± 2.739                      |
| Fe      | 0.010       | 4.3      | 0.070 ± 0.071     | 0.118 ± 0.117                      |
| Ni      | 0.006       | 11.6     | 9.953 ± 0.071     | 0.165 ± 0.068                      |
| Cu      | 0.008       | 8.7      | 3.355 ± 0.252     | 0.615 ± 0.392                      |
| Zn      | 0.009       | 4.6      | 0.010 ± 0.016     | 0.04 ± 0.023                       |
| As      | 0.010       | 51.4     | 0.010 ± 0.023     | 0.092 ± 0.007                      |
| Se      | 0.008       | 23.2     | 0.054 ± 0.059     | 0.114 ± 0.106                      |
| Br      | 0.008       | 5.7      | 0.123 ± 0.082     | 0.796 ± 0.314                      |
| Pb      | 0.021       | 10.9     | 0.604 ± 0.028     | 0.200 ± 0.104                      |
| Ba      | 0.286       | 25.8     | 0.019 ± 0.026     | 0.019 ± 0.028                      |

Abbreviations: conc, concentration; M M A D, mass median aerodynamic diameter; NA, not available. Paired values were from experiments involving simultaneous CAPs exposure of two dogs. Crossover values were obtained during experiments where one dog received CAPs, while a partner was simultaneously exposed to filtered air. Ambient values represent ambient atmospheric concentrations; CAPs values represent concentrations achieved using the HAPC. The mass and sulfate concentration factors illustrate the concentration achieved over ambient levels using the HAPC. Black carbon and TEOM P M A 2.5 concentrations were continuous measures on concentrated particulate. *mg/kg value.
percentage BAL MAC, LYM, and EOS, these changes were not significant when 3-day averages were considered (Figure 2A). The V/Ni factor was associated with a relative increase in the percentage of BAL MAC and a decrease in the percentage of BAL LYM (Figure 2B). The Br/Pb factor was associated with a significant increase in neutrophils (Figure 2B). There were no significant changes in BAL total protein versus any of the factors.

Daily regression coefficients from biologic responses obtained in crossover experiments were compared to cellular and biochemical responses in blood separated into WBC and RBC parameters. WBC differential counts and WBC total counts related to atmospheric components showed several significant associations (Figure 3). The V/Ni and Al/Si factors were associated with significant increases in blood PMN and corresponding decreases in blood LYM (Figure 3A). The Na/Cl factor was associated with increased blood LYM. The Al/Si factor was also associated with a significant increase in total WBC counts (Figure 3B). In assessment of RBC parameters, the S factor was associated with significant decreases in both RBC counts and HGB concentration (Figure 4A). None of the factors was associated with significant differences in PLT numbers (Figure 4B).

Discussion and Conclusions

The present study investigated the effects of CAPs on pulmonary cellular parameters as well as hematologic parameters using a large-animal model. Normal, uncompromised dogs were investigated to ascertain effects of CAPs concentration and composition on these biologic parameters. This study used an ambient particle concentrator and a large number of exposure days in an attempt to link specific CAPs constituents with biologic responses.

Exposure data were quite variable in this study, and this variability facilitated analyses. The variability in particle constituent values (mass and elemental) is the result of source variations, weather, air mass trajectories, wind direction, and season. Therefore, the variability in both exposure data and biologic response had the potential to better define health effects. Initial comparisons of mean biologic responses did not show significant differences between treatment groups. However, there was substantial variability in response between exposures, suggesting it was important to relate response to day-specific exposure characteristics. We subsequently analyzed biologic responses to daily individual specific CAPs components by linear regression analyses to assess potential responses associated with exposure variability, as illustrated in Figure 2. These analyses did not control for dog-to-dog variability in the biologic response, which might lessen any

Figure 1. Exploratory graphical analyses illustrating changes in hematologic parameters related to CAPs elemental concentrations. Percentages of white blood cells from hematologic analysis after each day of CAPs or date-matched sham exposure analyzed by regression analysis of daily CAPs (A) Al concentrations and (B) V concentrations. Each data point represents a daily assessment.

* p < 0.05 versus CAPs regression.
apparent relationship. Mixed linear regression models controlling for dog-to-dog variability yielded profiles of responses to specific elements similar to the more simple models. This suggests that dog-to-dog variation plays a lesser role than day-to-day exposure variability in determining biologic responses. It was not possible to ascertain which individual CAPs components were most important in the biologic response because many of the components with similar response relationships are commonly associated in the atmosphere. Factor analyses of the elemental data were used to identify which CAPs elemental components are specifically associated in this data set and which of these factors (associated with specific elements) provide the basis for the biologic responses.

In previous experiments with CAPs, increased neutrophils in BAL from animals have been reported after 3-day exposure to CAPs (22). Alterations in hematologic profiles marked by increased circulating lymphocytes have been associated with CAPs exposure (22, 39). These studies were performed in rats, which may be a species more sensitive to CAPs, but exposure days were limited (n < 10). CAPs exposure to rats has not consistently resulted in significant inflammatory responses as the number of exposure experiments have increased (24).

Interpretation of the pulmonary inflammatory responses observed in the present study is consistent with the rat data. Mean CAPs versus sham exposures exhibited no significant changes in BAL parameters. However, initial analyses of CAPs components showed that Al and Si were correlated with increased pulmonary neutrophil percentages. This association with the Al/Si factor components (associated with crustal sources) was maintained when data were controlled for dog-to-dog differences. This modest pulmonary inflammatory association suggests that pulmonary responses of normal subjects to increased ambient particulate matter are likely to be subtle.

The significant BAL responses related to the Al/Si factor raise a number of issues. It should be noted that the particle size measured in the present exposures was much smaller than might normally be found in crustal dust samples. However, it is possible that the elements of the Al/Si Factor are a surrogate of other particles that may be known respiratory irritants (40). In contrast to the present results, assessment of the pulmonary inflammatory effects of primary crustal elements usually shows no effect. Silicon dioxide can cause serious lung disease, but at much higher, occupational concentrations than found in the CAPs samples in this study (41). Aluminum is generally not considered an airborne hazard; likewise, titanium-rich particle concentrations far higher than those observed in the present study are considered necessary to induce biologic responses (42, 43). Indeed, titanium oxide is often used as a negative control in studies investigating particle inhalation effects. Elemental iron contributed primarily to the Al/Si and Br/Pb in the present study, not the V/Ni factor (see Table 6). Further studies of these constituents in this size range are needed.

The relationship of changes in BAL to 3-day average concentrations versus the last day of exposure concentrations produced different results. At this point, it is not clear which metric is more predictive of response. It is known that timing of pulmonary inflammatory responses is important. For example, some forms of the same materials produce early responses, while others have a more delayed response (44). It is possible that the particle constituents associated with

### Table 7. CAPS mass and component predictors of hematologic responses assessed by mixed linear regression analyses.

| Biologic response | Predictor |
|-------------------|-----------|
| WBC               | Al, Mn, Si, Zn, Ti, V, Fe, Ni, Na |
| PMN               | Al, Mn, Si |
| LYM               | Al, Mn, Si |
| EOS               | CAPS mass, BC |

BC, black carbon. These predictors had significant (p < 0.05) associations in the analyses.

### Table 8. Rotating factor analysis loadings of elemental composition from CAPS samples obtained during paired exposures (20 days of measurement).

| Element | V/Ni Factor | S Factor | Al/Si Factor | Br/Pb Factor |
|---------|-------------|----------|--------------|--------------|
| V       | 0.967*      | 0.118    | 0.089        | 0.178        |
| Ni      | 0.959*      | 0.085    | 0.115        | 0.216        |
| S       | 0.079       | 0.32*    | 0.028        | 0.398        |
| Al      | 0.077       | 0.042    | 0.980*       | -0.005       |
| Si      | 0.105       | 0.173    | 0.954*       | 0.184        |
| Ca      | 0.149       | 0.034    | 0.944*       | 0.146        |
| Br      | 0.226       | 0.488    | 0.042        | 0.806*       |
| Pb      | 0.240       | 0.351    | 0.110        | 0.874*       |

*Represents a significant association, which then defines the specific factor.

### Table 9. Rotating factor analysis loadings of elemental composition from CAPS samples obtained during crossover exposures (24 days of measurement).

| Element | V/Ni Factor | S Factor | Al/Si Factor | Br/Pb Factor |
|---------|-------------|----------|--------------|--------------|
| V       | 0.833*      | 0.151    | 0.257        | 0.299        |
| Ni      | 0.928*      | 0.078    | 0.219        | 0.156        |
| S       | 0.035       | 0.914*   | -0.071       | 0.359        |
| Al      | 0.161       | -0.128   | 0.959*       | -0.080       |
| Si      | 0.227       | 0.107    | 0.915*       | 0.183        |
| Br      | 0.165       | 0.415    | 0.012        | 0.880*       |
| Na      | 0.042       | -0.124   | -0.089       | 0.023        |
| Cl      | 0.046       | -0.034   | 0.029        | 0.061        |
| Ca      | 0.045       | 0.085    | 0.124        | 0.016        |
| Cr      | 0.145       | 0.085    | 0.124        | -0.063       |
| Black carbon | 0.528* | 0.534*   | 0.102        | 0.555*       |

*Black carbon was weakly associated with the V/Ni, S, and Br factors. *Represents a significant association, which then defines the specific factor.

### Table 10. Elemental and total mass concentrations (µg/m³) from paired exposure days calculated from specific rotated factor analyses.

| Element | V/Ni Factor | S Factor | Al/Si Factor | Br/Pb Factor | Calculated mass (µg/m³) | Measured mass (µg/m³) |
|---------|-------------|----------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------|------------------------|
| Total mass | 17.45       | 20.49    | 167.29       | 0.92         | 205.23                  | 203.42                 |
| S       | 0.80        | 2.96     | 0.39         | 11.94        | 1.00                    | 16.09                  |
| Fe      |             |          | 2.91         | 0.92         | 2.91                    | 4.14                   |
| Ti      |             |          | 2.53         | 0.089        | 3.65                    | 2.93                   |
| Ca      | 0.11        | 0.02     | 0.92         | 0.43         | 1.02                    | 2.00                   |
| Al      |             |          | 1.78         | 0.96         | 1.78                    | 1.33                   |
| K       |             |          | 0.41         | 0.71         | 0.90                    | 1.13                   |
| Ba      | 0.04        | 0.01     | 0.02         | 0.39         | 0.39                    | 0.46                   |
| Zn      |             |          | 0.31         | 0.92         | 0.34                    | 0.33                   |
| Pb      | 0.01        | 0.01     | 0.13         | 0.54         | 0.12                    | 0.12                   |
| V       | 0.06        |          | 0.04         | 0.98         | 0.10                    | 0.10                   |
| Cu      |             |          | 0.10         | 0.78         | 0.10                    | 0.10                   |
| Mn      |             |          | 0.02         | 0.05         | 0.08                    | 0.08                   |
| Br      |             |          | 0.08         | 0.91         | 0.08                    | 0.08                   |
| Ni      | 0.03        |          | 0.03         | 0.99         | 0.06                    | 0.07                   |
| Se      |             |          | 0.02         | 0.62         | 0.02                    | 0.02                   |
| Co      |             |          | 0.01         | 0.09         | 0.01                    | 0.02                   |
| Cr      |             |          | 0.01         | 0.79         | 0.01                    | 0.01                   |
| As      |             |          | 0.01         | 0.44         | 0.01                    | 0.01                   |

The r² value indicates the strength of the relationship for individual measured and calculated concentrations. Note the contribution of elements and the similarity between measured and calculated values.
the Al/Si factor may cause either a delayed or longer lasting, acute inflammatory response. The significant neutrophil increase associated with traffic sources in relationship to the third day’s exposure data suggests that this increased response may be shortlived.

Our findings for peripheral blood provide evidence of extrapulmonary activity of inhaled particles. Previous work has suggested that ambient air particles exert significant effects on hematologic parameters after inhalation (39,45). In the present study, simple comparisons of exposure (CAPs, sham, or no treatment) were not associated with significant alterations in hematologic parameters. However, the alterations observed in peripheral blood parameters were related to specific particle constituents. Physiologically, it is unclear whether the extrapulmonary response is triggered by the response of the lung, or whether constituents of CAPs may become extrapulmonary to directly exert hematologic effects (reviewed by Godleski and Clarke [46]). The increases in circulating neutrophils associated with constituents that may have differences in water solubility (V/Ni and Al/Si) suggest that distinction of mechanism of response may be important. The observed difference between the pulmonary and the hematologic responses to these two factors may have important implications in further understanding physicochemical properties of ambient particulate components in relationship to biologic responses.

Overall, these studies provided new information on pulmonary inflammatory and hematologic responses to inhaled CAPs in a genetically diverse, large-animal model that could be assessed repeatedly. From these data, it appears that pulmonary inflammatory responses after ambient particle inhalation may not be a major contributor to the observed mortality and morbidity. In contrast, systemic changes marked by alterations

Table 11. Elemental and total mass concentrations (µg/m3) from crossover exposure days calculated from specific rotated factor analyses.

| Element | V/Ni factor | S factor | Al/Si factor | Br factor | Na/Cl factor | Cr factor | Calculated mass (µg/m3) | Measured mass (µg/m3) |
|---------|-------------|----------|--------------|-----------|--------------|-----------|------------------------|----------------------|
| Total mass | 18.37 | 233.93 | 5.86 | 84.29 | — | 16.37 | 0.96 | 358.82 | 360.80 |
| S | — | 25.03 | — | — | — | — | 0.98 | 25.03 | 28.38 |
| Black carbon | 1.32 | 5.39 | — | 2.46 | — | — | 1.74 | 0.91 | 10.91 | 11.92 |
| Si | — | — | 3.90 | — | — | — | — | 0.84 | 3.90 | 6.40 |
| Fe | 0.35 | 0.27 | 2.18 | 0.31 | — | — | 1.54 | 0.94 | 4.64 | 5.24 |
| Cl | — | — | — | — | 3.72 | — | — | 0.97 | 3.72 | 4.81 |
| Ca | — | — | 1.57 | 0.24 | — | — | 0.66 | 0.65 | 2.46 | 2.72 |
| Na | — | — | — | 3.07 | — | — | 0.87 | 0.95 | 3.07 | 3.31 |
| K | 0.11 | 0.49 | 0.56 | 0.40 | — | — | — | 1.82 | 1.69 |
| Al | — | — | — | — | 0.14 | — | — | 0.19 | 0.14 | 0.81 |
| Pb | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.05 | — | — | — | 0.47 | 0.73 | 0.13 | 0.80 |
| Zn | 0.08 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.07 | — | — | 0.15 | 0.86 | 0.56 | 0.60 |
| Ti | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.13 | — | — | — | 0.03 | 0.92 | 0.21 | 0.31 |
| Cu | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.01 | — | — | 0.03 | 0.95 | 0.09 | 0.16 |
| V | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.08 | — | — | — | 0.03 | 0.92 | 0.11 | 0.15 |
| Mn | 0.01 | — | 0.03 | — | — | — | 0.03 | 0.73 | 0.07 | 0.13 |
| Ni | 0.03 | — | 0.03 | 0.01 | — | — | 0.03 | 0.96 | 0.10 | 0.12 |
| Cr | — | — | — | 0.25 | — | — | 0.25 | 0.41 | 0.08 | 0.05 |
| Co | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | — | — | 0.01 | 0.98 | 0.01 | 0.02 |
| Cd | — | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | — | — | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.02 |

Note the contribution of elements and the similarity between measured and calculated values. The ² value indicates the strength of the relationship for individual measured and calculated concentrations.

![Figure 2](image-url) Regression values obtained by factor analysis of paired exposure data compared to (A) BAL parameters compared to 3-day exposure averages and (B) BAL parameters compared to third day-only exposure values. Data are controlled for individual dogs.

* p < 0.05

![Figure 3](image-url) Regression values obtained by factor analysis of crossover exposure data compared to (A) percentages of white blood cells and (B) total WBC counts after each day of CAPs exposure. Data are controlled for individual dogs.

* p < 0.05
in the hematologic profile may be a more sensitive indicator of particle-related biologic responses, which could be applied on clinical settings (48). An important finding of our study was the association of biologic responses with individual particle components rather than with fine mass. Although this was not surprising, it underlines the importance of developing a more specific exposure index. Finally, the design of these studies, using repeated measures on a population of dogs and a large number of exposure days, provided a useful approach to assess biologic responses to variant CAPs concentrations. Future work will focus on elucidating potential mechanisms for these biologic responses that may be related to epidemiologic studies of particle-associated morbidity and mortality.

### References and Notes

1. Dockery DW, Pope CA III, Xu X, Spengler JD, Ware JH, Fay MF, Ferris BG Jr, Speizer FE. An association between air pollution and mortality in six U.S. cities. N Engl J Med 329(24):1753–1759 (1993).

2. Schwartz J. What are people dying of on high air pollution days? Environ Res 55:326–335 (1992).

3. Pope CA III, Dockery DW, Schwartz J. Review of epidemiologic studies of particle-related health effects. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 158(3):269–277 (1998).

4. Conner MW, Lam HF, Rogers AE, Fitzgerald S, Amdur MO. Lung injury in guinea pigs caused by multiple exposures to submicron zinc oxide mixed with sulfur dioxide in a humidified inhalation chamber. J Toxicol Environ Health 16:301–314 (1985).

5. Conner MW, Flood WH, Rogers AE, Amidor MD. Lung injury in guinea pigs caused by multiple exposures to ultrafine zinc oxide: changes in pulmonary lavage fluid. J Toxicol Environ Health 16:301–314 (1988).

6. Conner MW, Flood WH, Rogers AE, Amidor MD. Changes in pulmonary lavage fluid of guinea pigs exposed to ultrafine zinc oxide with adsorbed sulfuric acid. J Toxicol Environ Health 26:223–234 (1989).

7. Carter J, Gho AJ, Samet J, Devlin RB. Cytopathic induction by human airway epithelial cells after exposure to an air pollution particle metal-dependent. Toxicol Ind Health 15:29–33 (1999).

8. Dreher K, Jakob S, Reinhartmann H, Richards J, Mee G, Gho A, Costa D. Soluble transition metals mediate residual oil fly ash induced acute lung injury. J Toxicol Environ Health 50:17–39 (1998).

9. Jakob GJ. Relationship between carbon black particulate-bound formaldehyde, pulmonary antibacterial defenses, and alveolar macrophage phagocytosis. Inhal Toxicol 4:69–89 (1992).

10. Jakob GJ, Hemenway DR. Inhalation co-exposure to carbon black and acroline suppresses alveolar macrophage phagocytosis and TNF-α release and modulates peritoneal macrophage mitochondrial function. Inhal Toxicol 5:275–289 (1993).

11. Hemenway DR, Clarke J, Frank R, Jakob GJ. Factors governing the mass-loading of aerosolized carbon black particles with acid sulfates, inhalation exposure, and alveolar macrophage phagocytosis. Inhal Toxicol 7:613–624 (1995).

12. Lambert AL, Dong WM, Wissert DW, Solis MD, Gilmour MIR. Residual oil fly ash exposure enhances allergic sensitization in house dust mite. Toxicol Appl Pharm 168:269–277 (1999).

13. Sioutas C, Koutrakis P, Burton RM. A technique to expose animals to concentrated fine ambient aerosols. Inhal Toxicol 11:171–177 (1999).

14. Gordon T, Gerber H, Fang CP, Chen LA. A centrifugal particle concentrator for use in inhalation toxicology. Inhal Toxicol 11(1):1–7 (1999).

15. Clarke RW, Catalano P, Murphy GH, Koutrakis P, Wolfson M, Magos J. Assessment of toxicologic and inflammatory response alterations following inhalation of concentrated urban air. Inhal Toxicol 11:101–120 (1999).

16. Clarke RW, Catalano P, CoulI B, Koutrakis P, Murphy GH, Rice T, Godleski J J. Age-related responses in rats to concentrated urban air particles (CAPs). Inhal Toxicol 12(suppl 1):73–84 (2000).

17. Gordon T, Nadolko C, Chen LC, Sioutas C. Effects of CONCENTRATED AMBIENT PARTICLES (CAPs) in Rats and Hamsters: An Exploratory Study. HEI Research Report no. 93. Cambridge, MA: Health Effects Institute, 2000.

18. Jones D. History and physical examination. In: Saunders Manual of Small Animal Practice (Brinch S, Sherding RG, eds). Philadelphia, PA: WB Saunders, 1994:1–12.

19. Dalgarwe DM, Marshall PM, Fitzgerald GH, Rendon F. Surgical technique for a permanent tracheostomy in Beagle dogs. Lab Anim Sci 26:367–370 (1979).

20. Nelson AW. Lower respiratory system. In: Textbook of Small Animal Surgery (Slatter D, ed). 2nd ed. Philadelphia, PA: WB Saunders, 1973:973–984.

21. Drazen JM, O’Cain CF, Ingram RH. Experimental induction of chronic bronchitis in dogs. Effects on airway obstruction and responsiveness. Am Rev Respir Dis 126:75–79 (1982).

22. Laskin S, Kuschner M, Drew RT. Studies in carcinogenesis. In: Inhalation Carcinogenesis (Hann MM Jr, Nettesheim P, Gilbert J, eds). Oak Ridge, TN: USAEC Division of Technical Information Extension, 1970:321–351.

23. Sioutas C, Koutrakis P, Godleski J J. Ferguson ST, Kim CS, Burton RM. Fine particle concentrations for inhalation exposures-effects of particle size and composition. J Aerosol Sci 28:1057–1071 (1997).

24. Patasnick H, Ruprecht SR. Continuous PM-10 measurements using the tapered element oscillating microbalance. J Air Waste Manage Assoc 41:1079–1083 (1991).

25. Hansen ADA, Rosen H, Novakov T. The aethalometer—an instrument for the real-time measurement of optical absorption by aerosol particles. Sci Total Environ 36:191–196 (1984).

26. Koutrakis P, Wolfsen J, Slater J, Brauer M, Spengler J D, Stevens RK. Evaluation of an annular denuder/filter pack system to collect acidic aerosols and gases. Environ Sci Technol 22:1463–1468 (1988).

27. Dzubay TG, Stevens RK. Ambient air analysis with dichotomous sampler and X-ray fluorescence spectromet. Environ Sci Technol 21:724–729 (1987).

28. Bradford MM. A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. Anal Biochem 72:248–254 (1968).

29. Bartlett M S. The use of transformations. Biometrics 33:39–52 (1976).

30. Koutrakis P, Spengler J D. Source apportionment analyses from Steubenville, Ohio using specific rotation factor analysis. Atmos Environ 21:1531–1539 (1987).

31. Gordon T, Nadolko C, Sioutas C, Chen LA. Pulmonary and cardiovascular effects of acute exposure to concentrated ambient particulate matter in rats. Toxicol Lett 97:285–298 (1998).

32. Miquel AG, Cass CR, Weiss J, Gloskov M M. Latex allergens in tire dust and airborne particles. Environ Health Perspect 104:1180–1186 (1996).

33. McLaughlin JK, Chow WH, Levy LS. Amorphous silica: a review of health effects from inhalation exposure with particular reference to cancer. J Toxicol Environ Health 56:535–566 (1997).

34. Warheit DB, Yuen IS, Kelly DP, Snajdr S, Hartsky MA. Subchronic inhalation of high concentrations of low toxicity, non-solvatable particulates produces sustained pulmonary inflammation and cellular proliferation. Toxicol Lett 88:1–3:249–253 (1996).

35. Ooi M, Bautista MA, Oshengerber GB. Intra-tracheal instillation versus intratracheal inhalation: influence of cytokines on inflammatory response. Environ Health Perspect 105(suppl 5):1265–1271 (1997).

36. Pierce LM, Alessandri F, Godleski J J, Paulauskis JD. Vanadium-induced chemokine mRNA expression and pulmonary inflammation. Toxicol Appl Pharm 138:1–11 (1996).

37. Peters A, Doring A, Wichmann HE, Koenig W. Increased plasma viscosity during an air pollution episode: a link to mortality. Lancet 349(9065):1582–1587 (1997).

38. Godleski J J, Clarke RW. Systemic responses to inhaled ambient particles: Pathophysiological mechanisms of car- diopulmonary effects. In: Particle/Lung Interactions (Gehr P, Heyder, J eds). New York: Marcel Dekker, 2000:577–601.

39. Golden DJ, Seaton A, Soutar A, Crawford V, Elton R, M.Neelan S, Cherrie J, Watt M, Agius R, Stout R. Hematological changes associated with exposure to particulate air pollution: results of a panel study (Abstract). In: Proceedings of the Third Colloquium on Particulate Air Pollution and Human Health, 6–8 June 1999, Durham, NC. Irvine, CA: Division of Technical Information Extension, 1999:96.

40. Godleski J J, Catalano P, Clarke RW, CoulI B, Killingsworth CK, Koutrakis P, Krishna Murthy GH, Lawrence J, Lovett E, Nearing B, et al. Mechanisms of Mortality and Mortality from Exposure to Ambient Air Particulate in Canines. Rep no. 91. Cambridge, MA: Health Effects Institute, 2000.