Electro-catalytic Oxidation of Catechol at Poly(1-amino-9,10-anthraquinone)-SDS Composite Film
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Abstract

An electro-chemically active composite film containing the environmentally friendly surfactant sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) and poly(1-amino-9,10-anthraquinone) (PAAQ) is used as an electron transfer mediator in the electro-chemical oxidation of catechol. Compared with the bare platinum (Pt) electrode, the Pt/PAAQ-SDS modified electrode remarkably lowers the anodic peak potential of catechol, and increases the peak currents. The results obtained indicate that the activation energy for the electro-chemical oxidation of catechol at the polymer film is low (7.05 kJ mol\(^{-1}\)). The influence of the operational conditions on the response current of the catechol sensor is also investigated. Studying the surface morphology of the modified electrode reveals a more porous structure for it due to the incorporation of the anionic surfactant on the PAAQ film. The modified electrode displays a linear response in the concentration range of 0.01–8.0 mM for catechol. A lower detection limit was obtained to be 2.60 μM. The ability of the modified electrode is also examined for the electro-chemical detection of hydroquinone (HQ) with simplicity.
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1. Introduction

Catechol (1,2-dihydroxybenzene) is one of the most important phenolic compounds occurring naturally in the environment. Catechol-based compounds are formed during the biological degradation processes, and are used in a wide range of industrial applications.\(^1,2\) In addition, they are of biological importance; for instance, they have anti-oxidation and anti-viral activities and flower stimulating effects and affect the activities of some enzymes.\(^3,4\) On the other hand, they are highly toxic to the humans, animals, plants, and aquatic life when present above certain concentration limits.\(^5-7\) Several analytical techniques such as spectrophotometry\(^8\) and high pressure liquid chromatography (HPLC)\(^8\) have been used for the determination of catechol and its derivatives. However, these methods are usually costly, complicated, and time-consum- ming procedures for routine analyses. The electro-chemical techniques are promising approaches due to their sen- sitivity, rapidity, and simplicity.

The direct electro-chemical determination of catechol at common electrodes shows important disadva-
Recently, we have reported a detailed study of the preparation of the PAAQ film-coated electrodes in the presence of multi-walled carbon nanotubes (MWCNTs) on a glassy carbon (GC) electrode in a non-aqueous medium. We have also synthesized a new PAAQ film via the electro-polymerization of 1-amino-9,10-anthraquinone (AAQ) in the micelle solutions of sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS). These new composite films show a good electro-activity in a buffer solution. This may be attributed to the reversible redox reactions of a functional amino group present in the PAAQ chains. The reversible redox reactions are accompanied by proton exchange between the polymer and the buffer solution, which improves the pH-dependence of the electro-chemical activity of the polymer film. A preliminary study has shown that the PAAQ-SDS film can be used as an electron transfer mediator for the electro-catalytic oxidation of catechol. Thus the use of the PAAQ-SDS composite film as an electro-chemical sensor might be of great interest for analytical applications. In the present work, the PAAQ-SDS composite film, as a modified electrode, was fabricated by means of the electro-polymerization of the AAQ monomer in a micelle solution of SDS. The electro-chemical behavior of catechol at the PAAQ-SDS modified electrode was investigated by cyclic voltammetry (CV). A detailed description was also presented to show the influence of the operational conditions on the sensor activity. Finally, the ability of the PAAQ-SDS sensor to detect the hydroquinone (HQ) concentration, as a catechol isomer, was examined.

2. Materials and Method

2.1. Reagents

All the chemicals used including AAQ (supplied from Sigma-Aldrich), sulfuric acid (from Merck), catechol (from Merck), HQ (from Merck), SDS (from Sigma-Aldrich), and phosphate-buffered saline (from Sigma-Aldrich) were of analytical grade, and were used as received, without further purification. All solutions were prepared using triply distilled water. All experiments were carried out at room temperature, unless otherwise stated.

2.2. Apparatus

A conventional three-electrode cell was used with a disk electrode (Pt, Au, and GC electrodes) as the working electrode, a saturated calomel electrode (SCE) as the reference electrode, and a Pt wire as the counter-electrode. The working electrodes had a geometrical surface area of 0.07 cm². Before each experiment, the working electrodes were polished mechanically with alumina powder of 1.0-mm diameter, washed, and then rubbed against a smooth cloth. All the electro-chemical measurements were performed using a BPE potentiostat-galvanostat (Iran) equipped with a PC and an electro-chemical setup that was controlled with a software. All the reported potentials were referred to SCE. The scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images were taken using a Hitachi instrument (S-4160) at various magnifications.

2.3. Preparation of PAAQ-SDS Modified Electrodes

The conventional PAAQ-SDS films were synthesized on a Pt working electrode (unless otherwise stated) using the CV method in an aqueous solution containing the AAQ monomer (4 mM), SDS surfactant (0.4 mM), and H₂SO₄ (6.0 M) at a scan rate of 50 mV s⁻¹ over a sweeping potential range of 0.0–1.3 V. It should be noted that CMC of SDS in H₂SO₄ (0.5 M) has been reported to be 0.07 mM, which decreases on a further increase in an acidic electrolyte. The thickness of the polymer film was dependent on the number of deposited cycles. The cycle number of 12 was preferred for the preparation of the PAAQ-SDS modified electrode. The characterization of the PAAQ-SDS composite film by the potentiodynamic, and SEM techniques have been described elsewhere.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Electro-catalytic Response of Catechol at PAAQ-SDS Modified Electrode

Figure 1 shows the electro-chemical behavior of the Pt/PAAQ-SDS modified electrode and the bare Pt electrode toward the electro-oxidation of catechol (2.0 mM) in a phosphate buffer solution (pH 5.0) at a scan rate (ν) of 50 mV s⁻¹ over a potential range of 0.1–0.8 V. The CVs presented in Figure 1 reveal that in the case of the Pt/PAAQ-SDS modified electrode, the oxidation and reduction peaks for catechol were observed at 0.50 and 0.34 V, respectively. The separation between the anodic and cathodic peak potentials (ΔE_p) were determined to be 0.16 and 0.32 V at the Pt/PAAQ-SDS modified electrode and the bare Pt electrode, respectively. The redox reaction of catechol showed a good electro-activity at the PAAQ-SDS composite film. According to the background response (curve c in Figure 1), CV for the modified electrode showed no peak current in the catechol-free solution at pH 5.0 over the potential range of 0.1–0.8 V. It has been found that an acidic concentration of 4.0 M or higher is essential to observe the peak currents for the PAAQ films. In comparison with curve b in Figure 1, the oxidation peak current for catechol at the Pt/PAAQ-SDS modified electrode was higher than that at the bare Pt electrode. However, a shift toward lower potential values was observed for the electro-oxidation potential of catechol at the Pt/PAAQ-SDS modified electrode. The re-
results obtained indicated that the modified electrode had an electro-catalytic activity for catechol oxidation in a phosphate buffer solution at pH 5.0.

The effect of the scan rate on the redox reaction of catechol was also studied. Figure 2(A) shows the CVs for catechol at the Pt/PAAQ-SDS modified electrode at different scan rates in a phosphate buffer solution at pH 5.0 containing 2.0 mM of catechol. As one can see in this figure, the oxidation peak current increased as the scan rate increased, and, in addition, the oxidation peak potential was observed to shift slightly to a more positive value with an increase in the scan rate. This indicates that the modified electrode has a good electro-chemical activity and a fast charge transfer at pH 5.0. The oxidation peak currents exhibited a linear relation with the square root of the scan rate \( (v^{1/2}) \) with the correlation coefficient linear \( r^2 = 0.9910 \) (Figure 2B). This means that the oxidation reaction of catechol at the Pt/PAAQ-SDS modified electrode is a diffusion-controlled process, which is necessary for quantitative measurements. The results obtained indicate that the PAAQ-SDS sensor exhibits a good sensitivity and fast response, and that the coated polymer functions as the electron transfer mediator between the substrate surface and the analyte.

### 3.2. Effect of Thickness of Polymer Film on Response of Modified Electrode

It is known that the thickness of the PAAQ-SDS composite films, prepared by CV, is directly dependent on the scan repetition during the electro-polymerization process. The PAAQ-SDS films were deposited on a Pt substrate in a 6.0 M \( \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \) solution containing 4.0 mM of the AAQ monomer and 0.4 mM of SDS using cycles ranging from 5 to 25. After 10 scan repetitions, a relatively adherent golden green film was formed. By increasing the number of cycles (to more than 20), a thicker adherent dark green film was obtained. The effect of the film thickness, corresponding to the number of cycles, on the response of catechol was studied. It was found that the electro-catalytic nature of the PAAQ-SDS film was affected by the different number of cycles. Figure 3 displays several CVs for the PAAQ-SDS modified electrodes (prepared at 9, 12, and 15 cycles) toward the electro-oxidation of catechol (2.0 mM) in a phosphate buffer solution (pH 5.0) at a scan rate of 50 mV s\(^{-1}\). The results obtained reveal that the anodic peak current increases after increasing the number of cycles to 12. Then a further increase in the scan repetitions causes the current response to be slightly lowered, with a small positive shift in position. This behavior indicates the activity of the polymer films of certain thickness levels, most probably due to a barrier for the electron transfer in the thick films. Cycle number 12 during the electro-polymerization of the AAQ monomer was selected as the optimum one, and used in the further experiments.
3.3. Effect of Substrates on Response of Modified Electrodes

It is known that several substrates including platinum (Pt), gold (Au), glassy carbon (GC), graphite (C), and silver (Ag) can usually be used for the preparation of a coated polymeric film. Under the same operational conditions, the PAAQ-SDS film was deposited on different types of substrates. The results obtained from the CV responses for the different modified electrodes toward catechol (2.0 mM) in a phosphate buffer solution (pH 5.0) at a scan rate of 50 mV s⁻¹ over the potential range of 0.1–0.8 V were tabulated in Table 1. It was found that the response of modified electrode was affected by the substrates. Comparing the results obtained for the $E_{pa}$, $\Delta E_p$, and $I_{pc}/I_{pa}$ quantities showed that the redox activity of the electrode at the polymer film coated on the Pt and Au electrodes was larger than the one deposited on the GC electrode. This may be explained by the fact that the composite polymer film did not cover the whole surface of the GC electrode. However, the electro-chemical behaviors of the Pt/PAAQ-SDS and Au/PAAQ-SDS films were similar; the former was preferred because its peak current ratio ($I_{pc}/I_{pa}$) approached unity.

Table 1. Effect of substrates on response of modified electrodes in a phosphate buffer solution at pH 5.0 containing 2.0 mM of catechol.

| Modified electrodes | $E_{pa}$ (mV) | $\Delta E_p$ (mV) | $I_{pc}/I_{pa}$ |
|---------------------|---------------|------------------|-----------------|
| Pt/PAAQ-SDS         | 5.60          | 0.68             | 0.65            |
| Au/PAAQ-SDS         | 5.50          | 0.56             | 0.50            |
| GC/PAAQ-SDS         | 6.30          | 2.10             | 0.38            |

The surface morphology of the PAAQ-SDS film deposited on the Pt electrode was visualized by SEM. The SEM images for the PAAQ-SDS film grown using CV during 12 cycles with a scan rate of 50 mV s⁻¹ in H₂SO₄ (6.0 M) containing 4.0 mM of the AAQ monomer are illustrated in Figure 4 (in different magnifications). These images show the uniform growth of the polymer film on the electrode because all the surfaces were progressively covered by the polymer. The PAAQ-SDS modified polymer shows an open and more porous structure with a distribution of the nano-sized particles on the underlying compact film. This structure should facilitate the diffusion and exchange of the ionic and dopant species during the electro-chemical transformations of the electro-active polymeric film between the reduced and oxidized states.
3. 4. Effect of pH and Supporting Electrolyte on Response of Modified Electrode

The peak current response of the Pt/PAAQ-SDS modified electrode toward oxidation of catechol in different types of supporting electrolytes was evaluated. At the same conditions of CV measurement, the anodic peak currents for catechol (2.0 mM) at different supporting electrolytes including acetate, phosphate, and citrate (at the constant pH of 5.0) were tabulated in Table 2. It was found that the response of the Pt/PAAQ-SDS modified electrode in the phosphate solution was higher than that in other supporting electrolyte solutions. Thus the phosphate buffer was selected for further studies.

| Supporting electrolytes | Acetate | Phosphate | Citrate |
|-------------------------|---------|-----------|---------|
| $I_{pa}$ (μA)           | 170.0   | 231.0     | 190.3   |

The influence of the solution pH on the electro-catalytic oxidation of catechol at the PAAQ-SDS modified electrode was investigated by CV over a range of 3.0–9.0 at the PAAQ-SDS film in a phosphate buffer solution containing 2.0 mM of catechol over a potential range of 0.1–0.8 V (Figure 5A). According to this figure, the observed pH dependence seems to be consistent with the oxidation of catechol, as the following equation:

$$\text{C}_6\text{H}_6\text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{O}_2 + 2\text{e}^- + 2\text{H}^+ \quad (1)$$

It can be seen that the anodic peak current for catechol increased with decrease in the pH of the supporting electrolyte. At a pH value higher than 5.0, the peak currents decreased rapidly with a rise in the pH from 5.0 to 9.0. In view of the fact that the electro-polymerization potential of catechol decreased with increasing pH,28 a solution at pH 5.0 was used in the further experiments. Besides, the relationship between the pH and the anodic peak potential ($E_{pa}$) was shown in Figure 5(B). It was found that the anodic peak potentials shifted negatively with increase in the pH, indicating that protons took part in the electrode reaction process. The linear behavior of peak potential with the pH was $E_{pa} = 0.75 - 0.054$ pH (V, $r^2 = 0.9902$). The calculated slope (0.054 V/pH) can be used to predict the Nerstian value (0.059 V/pH) for a two-proton coupled two-electron transfer.29

3. 5. Effect of Temperature on Response Current of Modified Electrode

The relationship between the temperature ($T$) and the anodic peak current for the Pt/PAAQ-SDS modified electrode in a phosphate buffer solution at pH 5.0 containing 2.0 mM of catechol was studied over a temperature range of 278–328 K. The results obtained revealed that $I_{pa}$...
increased with increase in the temperature. In considering the electro-chemical version of the Arrhenius equation, a plot of \( \ln(I_{pa}) \) vs. \( T^{-1} \) gave a straight line, \( Y = 8.25 - 847.97 X \) \( (r^2 = 0.9920) \), as shown in Figure 6. The observed activation energy \( (E_a) \) for the oxidation of catechol was obtained from the slope of the line; it was 7.05 kJ mol\(^{-1}\). The results obtained revealed that the observed activation energy was low; this corresponds to the fast electron transfer at the PAAQ-SDS sensor.

### 3.6. Electro-analytical Determinations

Cyclic voltammetry was used for the direct determination of catechol because it showed a well-defined anodic peak current on the Pt/PAAQ-SDS modified electrode. The Pt/PAAQ-SDS modified electrode response was found to be sensitive to a change in the concentration of catechol. Figure 7 shows a change in the response current with the concentration of catechol over a wide range of 0.01–8.0 mM in a phosphate buffer solution (pH 5.0). A linear response of the modified electrode was obtained by plotting the background corrected current as a function of the catechol concentration with a correlation coefficient of 0.9943. This linear relationship can be used to determine the unknown amounts of catechol in the specified concentration range. According to the inset of Figure 7, an excellent linear behavior \( (r^2 = 0.9982) \) was obtained for the response of the modified electrode over the catechol concentration range of 10–100 μM, which was similar to those of the biosensors based on immobilized horseradish peroxidase (10–50 μM)\(^{31}\) and tyrosinase (1–23 μM).\(^{32}\) Thus this detection range was used to calculate the detection limit. A lower limit of detection (LOD) was calculated using the standard approach of alternative:

\[
\text{OD} = 3 \times \frac{S_b}{m}
\]

where \( S_b \) is the standard deviation of the blank signal, and \( m \) is the slope of the calibration curve.\(^{33}\) Based on the slope obtained for the calibration curve (0.52 μA/μM) and the standard deviation of the blank signal obtained from 10 different runs (0.45 μA), LOD was found to be 2.60 μM in the specified concentrations. Beside the simplicity of the preparation of the modified electrodes, they have a good operational stability. They could be used several times for 20 days when stored under a noble gas atmosphere at room temperature.

As a preliminary study, the electro-chemical behavior of HQ was also examined on the modified electrode. HQ is a class of polluting chemicals that, when absorbed through the skin and mucous membranes, can cause damage to the lungs, liver, kidneys, and genet-urinary tract in the animals and humans.\(^{34–37}\) Figure 8 shows the CVs recorded for the PAAQ-SDS modified electrode and the bare Pt electrode toward the electro-oxidation of HQ (2.0 mM) in a phosphate buffer solution at pH 5.0 over the potential range of 0.2–1.0 V. The anodic peak current (and the separation of peak potentials) for the HQ redox reaction were determined to be 223.32 μA (0.49 V) and 174.69 μA (0.60V) at the modified and bare Pt electrodes, respectively. It was found that the HQ redox activity at the Pt/PAAQ-SDS modified electrode was higher than that at the bare Pt electrode. This result indicated that the modified electrode also had an electro-catalytic activity for the HQ oxidation. In comparison with the PAAQ-SDS/catechol curve (Figure 1), the rate of charge transfer for the catechol redox reaction was higher than HQ at the PAAQ-SDS modified electrode.

A linear response was obtained for the modified electrode to the electro-oxidation of HQ over a range of

---

![Figure 7. Relationship between response anodic peak current of polymer film and catechol concentration in a phosphate buffer solution (pH 5.0) at a scan rate of 50 mV s\(^{-1}\). Inset shows calibration curve for voltammetric determination of catechol in concentration range of 10–100 μM.](image-url)

![Figure 8. CVs for electro-chemical oxidation of HQ (2.0 mM) in a phosphate buffer solution (pH 5.0) at Pt/PAAQ-SDS modified electrode (dash-dash) and bare Pt electrode (solid).](image-url)
0.01–8.0 mM by plotting the background corrected current as a function of the HQ concentration (Figure 9). This linear relationship, $r^2 = 0.9928$, can be used to determine the unknown amounts of HQ in the specified concentrations. The results obtained are promising for the development of the PAAQ-SDS composite film sensors for the electro-analytical determination of phenolic compounds as biologically important materials.

### 4. Conclusion

The present work revealed a conventional approach to the development of a novel voltammetric sensor of catechol-based compounds as biologically important materials. A reversible redox reaction for catechol with increase in the oxidation current and decrease in the peak potential was achieved at the modified electrode compared to the bare electrodes. The performance of the Pt/PAAQ-SDS modified electrode was improved via optimization of the electro-chemical parameters on the electro-catalytic response of catechol. The morphology images illustrated a more porous structure with a nano-particle distribution for the PAAQ-SDS film. The sensor revealed a low observed activation energy (7.05 kJ mol$^{-1}$) and a good stability for the catechol redox reactions. The experimental results obtained showed that the response current increased linearly with increase in catechol in a wide range of concentrations. The detection limit was obtained to be 2.60 μM over the concentration range of 10–100 μM. The sensor fabricated using the PAAQ-SDS film was also examined to determine the concentration of hydroquinone (HQ). However, the electro-catalytic activity of the modified electrode for catechol was to be larger than HQ. This work can be extended to apply for the qualitative and quantitative determination of other phenolic compounds in biological systems.
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