A recombinase polymerase amplification assay for rapid detection of rabies virus
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Rabies is a generally fatal encephalitis caused by a negative-sense single-stranded RNA lyssavirus transmitted to humans mainly from dog bite. Despite the recommendation by WHO and OIE to use the direct immunofluorescence test as standard method, molecular diagnostic assays like reverse transcription quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) are increasing as a confirmatory method. However, both technologies are inaccessible in resource-limited settings. Moreover, the available point-of-need molecular assay is of poor detection limit for African strains. Herein, we developed a reverse transcription recombinase polymerase amplification (RT-RPA) assay as potential point-of-need diagnostic tool for rapid detection of various strains of rabies virus including locally isolated African strains. The sensitivity and specificity of the method was evaluated using a molecular RNA standard and different Rabies-related viruses belonging to the Rhabdoviridea family, respectively. The RABV-RPA performances were evaluated on isolates representative of the existing diversity and viral dilutions spiked in non-neural clinical specimen. The results were compared with RT-qPCR as a gold standard. The RABV-RPA detected down to 4 RNA molecules per reaction in 95% of the cases in less than 10 min. The RABV-RPA assay is highly specific as various RABV isolates were identified, but no amplification was observed for other member of the Rhabdoviridea family. The sample background did not affect the performance of the RABV-RPA as down to 11 RNA molecules were identified, which is similar to the RT-qPCR results. Our developed assay is suitable for use in low-resource settings as a promising alternative tool for ante-mortem rabies diagnosis in humans for facilitating timely control decisions.

Rabies virus (RABV) is an enveloped pathogen belonging to the Lyssavirus genus (order Mononegavirales, family Rhabdoviridae) and its genome is a negative single-stranded RNA of approximately 12 kilobases (kb) in size encoding five proteins (N, P, M, G, L) separated by four intergenic regions and encompassed between a leader region and a trailer (3′ and 5′ non-coding regions). The RABV presents a large genetic diversity worldwide, with particularly four phylogenetic groups circulating in Africa and elsewhere. It is responsible of a neglected zoonotic disease affecting several mammals in many parts of the world and humans are generally exposed through dog bites. However, Rabies transmission was also reported through transplantation of organs from undiagnosed donors with a long incubation period of the virus. Rabies infection is fatal in most of cases with an annual death toll of 60,000 in humans worldwide.

The highest public health impact of Rabies is recorded in Asian and African developing countries and 40% of deaths occur in children under 15 years-old. Nevertheless, early detection of cases is crucial to provide rapid outbreak response and emergency vaccination measures. Several methods have been previously described for the diagnosis of rabies virus (RABV) infection including direct virus isolation, immunohistochemistry, immunochromatography, and immunofluorescence, as well as sensitive molecular methods such as real-time reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) assay. However, development of reliable broad spectrum and economical tests remains a challenge to improve surveillance of rabies cases in the field to achieve goal #3 of the sustainable development goals.

Recombinase polymerase amplification (RPA) is an isothermal molecular tool with portable instrumentation currently used in many diagnostic fields as an alternative to the PCR. RPA is becoming a molecular tool of
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choice for the rapid, specific, and cost-effective identification of pathogens. RPA is integrated in point-of-care (POC) bioassays, suitcase lab and on handheld automated fluidic platforms. The method rely on a bacterial recombine enzyme to anneal primers to template and isothermal DNA polymerase for the DNA amplification step. A reverse transcription RPA (RT-RPA) platform utilized both reverse Transcriptase Moloney Murine Leukemia Virus for the RT step and a fluorescent probe system for real-time data acquisition. In the past decade, RPA technology was widely used for molecular diagnosis of pathogens of public health concern.

In this study, a rapid and sensitive fluorescent probe-based RT-RPA assay was developed and evaluated for rapid and broad range detection of Rabies virus (RABV). Limit of detection was measured with a synthetized standard RNA. Diagnostic accuracy was determined with collection of positive and negative specimen from various virus strains. Finally, clinical performance was checked using cerebro-spinal fluid spiked with RABV.

Results

Primers selection. A total of 4 forward primers (FPs), 4 reverse primers (RPs), and one exo probe targeting the conserved region of the nucleocapsid gene (N) were initially designed. The 16 combinations were screened with the 10^5 RNA molecules/reaction of the in vitro transcribed RNA standard. The combination with the highest and earliest start of exponential amplification curve was selected and tested with tenfold serial dilutions (from 10^7 to 10 RNA molecules/reaction) of the standard RNA. Unfortunately, the selected primers pair (FP2/RP1) enabled detection down to 10^3 RNA molecules/reaction, which was not enough. Therefore, many primers were designed in order to select the one that produced the most sensitive assay. In total, 179 additional combinations were screened. The primer pair (RPA_N_FP2C/RPA_N_RP4C3P) enabled detection down to 10 molecule/reaction of the molecular RNA standard.

Analytical sensitivity. The analytical sensitivity was determined with Threshold time (Tt) data values from eight sets of tenfold dilutions of the molecular standard RNA ranging from 10^7 to 10 RNA molecules/reaction. As low as 10 molecules/reaction within 10 min was detected in the new RABV RT-RPA (Fig. 1A). The probit analysis revealed the limit of detection in 95% of cases was 4 RNA molecules/reaction (Fig. 1B). Intra-run and inter-run coefficients of variation (CVs) were calculated from eight Tt data values of the dilution 10^5 standard RNA molecules/reaction, which was not enough. Therefore, many primers were designed in order to select the one that produced the most sensitive assay. In total, 179 additional combination were screened. The primer pair (RPA_N_FP2C/RPA_N_RP4C3P) enabled detection down to 10 molecule/reaction of the molecular RNA standard.

Analytical specificity. All RABV strains were detected while no cross-reaction was observed with rabies-related virus species belonging to the Ranavirus family, showing that the RABV-RPA assay is highly specific for RABV detection (Table 1). To confirm the specificity of the developed RT-RPA assay, five RPA amplicons from RABV isolates belonging to distant clades were purified and sequenced using the Illumina Miseq platform (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA). The BLAST analysis of obtained sequences showed...
Table 1. Description and results of rhabdoviruses isolates used in this study for specificity assessment. Tt: time threshold in minutes, CAR: Central African Republic, SD: standard deviation, Neg: negative; no cross-reactivity, Cq: quantitative cycle, NRC-Rabies IPD: national reference center for rabies virus (NRC-Rabies) at Institut Pasteur de Dakar, BP 220, Dakar, Senegal. a Mean Cq value from duplicates with the previously described RABV-L-protein real-time RT-qPCR assay by Faye et al. used as reference test19. b Mean Tt value from duplicates given by the new established rabies virus RT-RPA assay.

| Isolates | Virus | Genus | Reference | Place of isolation | Year of isolation | Species | Rabies virus RT-qPCR Mean Cq value\(^a\) SD | RABV-RPA Mean Tt value\(^b\) SD |
|----------|-------|-------|-----------|-------------------|------------------|---------|------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| SA221035EN | Rabies (RABV) | Lyssavirus | NRC-rabies IPD | Senegal | 2011 | Mellivora capensis | 24.07 0.18 | 2.53 0.01 |
| SA217694SEN | Rabies (RABV) | Lyssavirus | NRC-rabies IPD | Senegal | 2011 | Canis lupus familiaris | 22.41 0.28 | 5.97 0.12 |
| SA217695SEN | Rabies (RABV) | Lyssavirus | NRC-rabies IPD | Senegal | 2011 | Canis lupus familiaris | 27.44 0.07 | 4.81 0.02 |
| SA217750SEN | Rabies (RABV) | Lyssavirus | NRC-rabies IPD | Senegal | 2011 | Canis lupus familiaris | 24.99 0.20 | 2.70 0.06 |
| SH218152SEN | Rabies (RABV) | Lyssavirus | NRC-rabies IPD | Senegal | 2011 | Homo sapiens | 18.82 0.06 | 2.26 0.25 |
| SH177846SEN | Rabies (RABV) | Lyssavirus | NRC-rabies IPD | Senegal | 2005 | Homo sapiens | 18.88 0.04 | 2.46 0.01 |
| SA194858SEN | Rabies (RABV) | Lyssavirus | NRC-rabies IPD | Senegal | 2008 | Canis lupus familiaris | 26.65 0.23 | 3.36 0.05 |
| SA204014SEN | Rabies (RABV) | Lyssavirus | NRC-rabies IPD | Senegal | 2010 | Canis lupus familiaris | 19.43 0.34 | 2.37 0.01 |
| SA206776SEN | Rabies (RABV) | Lyssavirus | NRC-rabies IPD | Senegal | 2010 | Canis lupus familiaris | 19.12 0.08 | 2.03 0.08 |
| SA252888SEN | Rabies (RABV) | Lyssavirus | NRC-rabies IPD | Senegal | 2013 | Canis lupus familiaris | 18.48 0.08 | 2.25 0.04 |
| SA252913SEN | Rabies (RABV) | Lyssavirus | NRC-rabies IPD | Senegal | 2013 | Canis lupus familiaris | 21.90 0.08 | 2.48 0.14 |
| SA262037SEN | Rabies (RABV) | Lyssavirus | NRC-rabies IPD | Senegal | 2013 | Canis lupus familiaris | 20.06 0.04 | 2.51 0.09 |
| SA262503SEN | Rabies (RABV) | Lyssavirus | NRC-rabies IPD | Senegal | 2014 | Canis lupus familiaris | 21.13 0.04 | 2.44 0.21 |
| SA262518SEN | Rabies (RABV) | Lyssavirus | NRC-rabies IPD | Senegal | 2014 | Canis lupus familiaris | 20.38 0.06 | 2.35 0.11 |
| SA267115SEN | Rabies (RABV) | Lyssavirus | NRC-rabies IPD | Senegal | 2014 | Canis lupus familiaris | 19.48 0.13 | 2.29 0.15 |
| DakAnB1094 | Kolongo (KOLV) | unassigned | JX276998 | CAR | 1970 | Euplectes afra | Neg | Neg | Neg | Neg |
| AnY1307 | Mokola (MOKV) | Lyssavirus | NC_006429 | Cameroon | 1973 | Crocidura spp. | Neg | Neg | Neg | Neg |
| AnB373d | Sandijimba (SIAV) | unassigned | JX277024 | CAR | 1970 | Acrocephalus schoenobaenus | Neg | Neg | Neg | Neg |
| AnB4289 | Nasoule (NASV) | unassigned | JX277012 | CAR | 1973 | Andropadus vicinus | Neg | Neg | Neg | Neg |
| AnD42443 | Lagos Bat (LBV)3 | Lyssavirus | NC020807 | Senegal | 1985 | Eidolon helvum | Neg | Neg | Neg | Neg |
| AnB672 | Lagos Bat (LBV)2 | Lyssavirus | NRC-rabies IPD | CAR | 1974 | Micropteropus pusillus | Neg | Neg | Neg | Neg |
| An K 6909 | Lagos Bat (LBV)4 | Lyssavirus | NRC-rabies IPD | Guinea | 1985 | Nycteris gambiensis | Neg | Neg | Neg | Neg |
| LBVNIG1956 | Lagos Bat (LBV)6 | Lyssavirus | EF547431 | Nigeria | 1956 | Eidolon helvum | Neg | Neg | Neg | Neg |
| DakHD763 | Le Dantec (LDV) | Ledantevirus | AY854650 | Senegal | 1965 | Homo sapiens | Neg | Neg | Neg | Neg |
| DakAnD5314 | Keuraliba (KEUV) | Ledantevirus | JX276996 | Senegal | 1968 | Tatera kempf | Neg | Neg | Neg | Neg |
| ArD89384 | Chandipura (CHNV) | Vesiculovirus | NRC-rabies IPD | Senegal | 1992 | Phlebotomus sp. | Neg | Neg | Neg | Neg |
| SudAr1275 | Obodhiang (OBOV) | Ephemerovirus | HM856902 | Sudan | 1963 | Mansonia uniformis | Neg | Neg | Neg | Neg |
| ArY31-65 | Nikolason (NKOV) | Ledantevirus | JX277015 | Cameroon | 1965 | Eretmapodites leucopus | Neg | Neg | Neg | Neg |
| DakAnB439 | Garba (GARV) | unassigned | KM204982 | CAR | 1970 | Corythornis cristata | Neg | Neg | Neg | Neg |
| SAAr1995 | Mosurul (MOSV) | Hapavirus | KM204993 | Mozambique | 1959 | Culex sitiens | Neg | Neg | Neg | Neg |
an identity ranging from 98.5 to 100% to the target region of related sequences available in GenBank. Assembled sequences from the RPA amplicons were deposited in GenBank under the accession numbers MW123050-54.

### Diagnostic performances.

To ascertain the wide range detection capacity of the RABV-RPA assay in comparison to the Schlottau assay as well as the RABV-L-protein real-time RT-qPCR, 19 RABV isolates from different parts of the world were tested in duplicate using the three methods. In addition, a total of nineteen RABV-negative samples were also analyzed in duplicate. The RT-qPCR and the RABV-RPA assay were able to detect all positive. The median detection $T_t$ of the RABV-RPA was $5.12 \pm 1.76$ min. In contrast, the Schlottau assay detected only 62.5% of analyzed isolates in a median $T_t$ of $7.17 \pm 1.62$ min. The Schlottau assay gave no-fluorescence signal with nine tested isolates including those from Senegal (SA267333SEN, SA173837SEN and SH290289SEN), Burma (99009BUR), Laos (99010LAO), China (02043CHI), Brazil (86001BRE), Niger (90010NIG) and Afghanistan (02052AFG) (Table 2). All nineteen Rabies-negative samples were tested negative in all assays.

As the RT-qPCR used as reference test, both RT-RPA assays exhibited a diagnostic specificity of 100% (95% CI 82.35–100%) and a positive predictive value (PPV) of 1. However, differences were observed in other performances. The RABV-RPA showed a diagnostic sensitivity of 100% (95% CI 85.75–100%) and a negative predictive value (NPV) of 1, while the Schlottau assay had a diagnostic sensitivity of 79.17% (95% CI 57.85–92.87%) and a NPV of 0.7 (CI 95% 0.63–0.89) (Fischer’s exact test $p < 0.001$). A $k$ coefficient of $1 \pm 0.15$ (95% CI 0.70–1.29) was determined for the RABV-RPA assay, while the Schlottau assay showed a $k$ coefficient of $0.77 \pm 0.14$ (95% CI 0.47–1.06) ($p < 0.05$); resulting in a concordance of 100% for the RABV-RPA assay to results from the real-time RT-qPCR assay on the same samples (Table S1).

### Table 2. Assessment of detection spectrum of the RABV-RPA assay versus real-time RT-qPCR and the Schlottau assay.

| Isolates    | Phylogenetic clade—subclade | Reference | Place of isolation | Year of isolation | Species | Rabies virus RT-qPCR | RABV-RPA | Schlottau assay |
|-------------|-----------------------------|-----------|--------------------|------------------|---------|----------------------|----------|-----------------|
|             |                             |           |                    |                  |         | Mean Cq value$^a$   | Mean $T_t$ value$^b$ | Mean $T_t$ value$^c$ |
| SH155966SEN | Africa 2                    | NRC-rabies IPD | Senegal            | 2001             | Human   | 24.67                | 3.23     | 4.94            |
| SA267333SEN | Africa 2                    | NRC-rabies IPD | Senegal            | 2014             | Dog     | 25.36                | 5.58     | Neg             |
| SA173837SEN | Africa 2                    | NRC-rabies IPD | Senegal            | 2004             | Dog     | 28.52                | 5.24     | Neg             |
| SH189343SEN | Africa 2                    | NRC-rabies IPD | Senegal            | 2007             | Human   | 18.32                | 2.46     | 7.77            |
| SA272282SEN | Africa 2                    | NRC-rabies IPD | Senegal            | 2015             | Dog     | 18.29                | 1.87     | 5.23            |
| SH290289SEN | Africa 2                    | NRC-rabies IPD | Senegal            | 2017             | Human   | 22.69                | 2.92     | 7.17            |
| SH290371SEN | Africa 2                    | NRC-rabies IPD | Senegal            | 2017             | Human   | 23.10                | 5.00     | Neg             |
| 91047FRA    | Cosmopolitan—WE             | KX148127  | France             | 1991             | Fox     | 20.41                | 6.88     | 6.71            |
| 96140POL    | Cosmopolitan—CE             | KX148120  | Poland             | 1993             | Raccoon dog | 16.31                | 5.95     | 5.48            |
| 92001GER    | Cosmopolitan—WE             | KX148135  | Germany            | 1991             | Fox     | 22.68                | 7.32     | 8.14            |
| 86054YOU    | Cosmopolitan—EE             | KX148145  | BA                 | 1986             | Wolf    | 25.54                | 7.86     | 10.11           |
| 87001ARS    | Cosmopolitan—ME1a           | NRC-rabies IPP | Saudi Arabia      | 1987             | Fox     | 30.08                | 6.33     | 5.03            |
| 94009TUR    | Cosmopolitan—ME2            | KX148165  | Turkey             | 1993             | Dog     | 26.22                | 5.83     | 7.79            |
| 99009BUR    | ND                          | NRC-rabies IPP | Burma             | 1999             | Dog     | 31.12                | 5.97     | Neg             |
| 99008CBG    | Asian—SEA3                  | KX148252  | Cambodia           | 1999             | Dog     | 29.50                | 7.62     | 9.10            |
| 99010LAO    | Asian—SEA3                  | KX148255  | Laos               | 1999             | Dog     | 31.61                | 3.77     | Neg             |
| 02043CHI    | Asian—SEA2a                 | NRC-rabies IPP | China             | ND               | Dog     | 29.60                | 4.02     | Neg             |
| 02045CHI    | Asian—SEA2a                 | NRC-rabies IPP | China             | ND               | Dog     | 36.11                | 4.02     | 7.59            |
| 91014MEX    | Cosmopolitan—AM2a           | KX148110  | Mexico             | 1991             | Dog     | 30.78                | 3.35     | 6.72            |
| 86001BRE    | Cosmopolitan—AM3a           | KX148216  | Brazil             | 1986             | Dog     | 28.36                | 4.33     | Neg             |
| 90010NIG    | Africa 2                    | KX148231  | Niger              | 1990             | Dog     | 27.42                | 7.45     | Neg             |
| 91040USA    | Arctic-related—A            | KX148224  | USA                | 1991             | Skunk   | 23.64                | 3.93     | 7.25            |
| 02052AFG    | Arctic-related—AL1b         | KX148225  | Afghanistan        | 2002             | Dog     | 22.40                | 6.84     | Neg             |
| 91041RUS    | Cosmopolitan—CA1            | NRC-Rabies IPP | Russia            | 1991             | Fox     | 23.18                | 3.65     | 4.53            |
Screening of spiked samples with the RT-RPA assays. Eleven tenfold dilutions of an archived RABV isolate SA217695SEN with an initial virus titer of 5.2 \times 10^6 \text{ID}_{50}/mL were tested with an rabies virus RT-RPA assay previously described by Schlottau et al.\(^{21}\) and the new RT-RPA assay. The corresponding numbers of RNA molecules per reaction were calculated using the mean \text{Cq} values with the standard equation previously described for the RT-qPCR used here as reference technique.\(^{19}\) Neg: negative or no fluorescence signal, \text{Cq} : quantitative cycle number, \text{Tt}: time threshold (minutes). \(^{2}\)Mean \text{Cq} value from duplicates. \(^{3}\)Mean \text{Tt} value from duplicates.

| TITERS ID50/mL | RT-qPCR | RABV-RPA | Schlottau assay |
|---------------|---------|----------|----------------|
|               | RNA molecules/reaction | Mean Cq value\(^{2}\) | Mean Tt\(^{3}\) | SD | Mean Tt\(^{3}\) | SD | Mean Tt\(^{3}\) | SD |
| 5.2E+05       | 32,033  | 28.42    | 57.68          | 0.480 | 3.10     | 0.020 | 5.33         | 0.030 |
| 5.2E+04       | 2374    | 31.92    | 61.71          | 0.007 | 4.36     | 0.019 | 7.83         | 0.179 |
| 5.2E+03       | 275     | 34.82    | 65.05          | 0.220 | 5.21     | 0.006 | 8.97         | 0.121 |
| 5.2E+02       | 82      | 36.45    | 66.92          | 0.672 | 5.45     | 0.086 | Neg          |    |
| 5.2E+01       | 11      | 39.18    | 70.06          | 0.092 | 7.49     | 0.123 | Neg          |    |
| 5.2E-01       | 8       | 39.63    | 70.58          | 0.120 | Neg      |    | Neg          |    |
| 5.2E-02       | 35      | 39.98    | 71.02          | 0.120 | Neg      |    | Neg          |    |
| 5.2E-03       | 17      | 40.65    | 71.50          | 0.120 | Neg      |    | Neg          |    |
| 5.2E-04       | 8       | 41.78    | 71.97          | 0.120 | Neg      |    | Neg          |    |
| 5.2E-05       | 11      | 42.91    | 72.39          | 0.120 | Neg      |    | Neg          |    |

Table 3. Detection limit of the new established RT-RPA assay on cerebro-spinal fluid sample. Tenfold serial dilutions of RABV isolate SA217695SEN with an initial virus titer of 5.2 \times 10^6 \text{ID}_{50}/mL were tested with an rabies virus RT-RPA assay previously described by Schlottau et al.\(^{21}\) and the new RT-RPA assay. The corresponding numbers of RNA molecules per reaction were calculated using the mean \text{Cq} values with the standard equation previously described for the RT-qPCR used here as reference technique.\(^{19}\) Neg: negative or no fluorescence signal, \text{Cq} : quantitative cycle number, \text{Tt}: time threshold (minutes). \(^{2}\)Mean \text{Cq} value from duplicates. \(^{3}\)Mean \text{Tt} value from duplicates.

In silico analysis of primers and probes sequences. Available coding-complete sequences from Laos (LAO), China (CHIN), Brazil (BRE), Niger (NIG), Afghanistan (AFG) and Senegal (SEN) enabled in silico evaluation of the Schlottau assay\(^{21}\) and the RABV-RPA assay using the BLAST program (https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/).

The forward primer of the Schlottau assay (RABV-N-71Fv4) is highly similar to the target region of the isolates from Laos, China, Niger and Senegal, while it shows a dissimilarity of 5% and 2% against the isolates from Brazil and Afghanistan, respectively. The Schlottau assay's probe (ProbeRABV-N-196-antisense) exhibits also dissimilarities of 2%, 4%, 4% and 4% to sequences from Brazil, Niger, Afghanistan and Senegal, respectively, while it is more distant to the isolates from Laos and China with dissimilarities of 10% and 16%, respectively. The reverse primer of the Schlottau assay (RABV-N-211Rv1) reveals the higher dissimilarities to undetected sequences with values ranging from 41 to 50% (Table S2; Fig. 2A).

The forward primer of the RABV-RPA (RPA_N_FP2-C) is highly similar to African sequences from Senegal and Niger with distances ranging from 0 to 3% and 3%, respectively, while it shows dissimilarities of 11% to the isolates from Laos, China, and Afghanistan. However, this primer exhibits a dissimilarity of 17% to the sequence from Brazil. The probe of the RABV-RPA (exoprobe-N) also is highly similar to the target region of the isolate from Niger and shows a dissimilarity to the Senegalese sequences between 4 and 6%. However, it is distant to the isolates from Brazil, China, Laos and Afghanistan with dissimilarities of 8%, 8%, 13% and 17%, respectively. As the corresponding forward primer, the reverse primer of the RABV-RPA is higher similar to the African sequences. However, it exhibits dissimilarities of 6%, 16%, 16% and 19% to the isolates from Brazil, China, Afghanistan and Laos, respectively (Table S2; Fig. 2B). Nevertheless, these dissimilarities didn't omit detection of the aligned sequences by the RABV-RPA assay (Fig. 2B).

Discussion

Despite being preventable, rabies is responsible for thousands of human deaths worldwide each year, mostly in Africa and Asia.\(^{23,41}\) Many rabies endemic areas don't have the resources to implement Direct Fluorescent Antibody testing, which also need a fluorescent microscope and highly trained technicians.\(^{16}\) On the top of that, a continuous cold storage of samples is a big limiting factor. Therefore, according to the WHO orientations related to the need for rapid and economical diagnosis tests,\(^{25}\) considerable efforts are made to develop accessible direct detection methods based on RT-qPCR, which allow a rapid and highly sensitive detection of RABV. Nevertheless,
these technologies require the use of complex instruments and well-equipped laboratories. A field-friendly assay would enable transmission control in low resource settings and help in surveillance under field condition.

In this study, we developed and validated a reliable and highly sensitive RT-RPA assay for RABV detection. The assay could be easily implemented with minimal equipment and training at remote areas, where the real burden of the disease is underestimated.

Since a program or strict rules are not available, the most challenging step in the RABV-RPA assay development is the design of a primer pair able to amplify a very low RNA copy number. Nevertheless, a highly sensitive RT-RPA for rapid detection of RABV was successfully established, exhibiting a reliable detection until 4 RNA molecules/reaction within 10 min. The RABV-RPA displayed only a 1-log10-step reduction compared to the reference standard RT-qPCR assay and is more sensitive than the Schlottau assay (1000 RNA molecules/reaction) and a recently described RT-RPA assay (362 RNA molecules/reaction). In addition, obtaining results between 2 to 10 min demonstrated that the RABV-RPA assay is also much faster than the previously described rabies virus isothermal molecular tests without affecting the sensitivity.

Despite few mismatches, the RABV-RPA assay shows better detection performances on isolates from various regions of the world than the Schlottau assay, which was less sensitive for isolates from Senegal, Burma, Laos, China, Niger, Brazil and Afghanistan. This might be related to signature erosion in it reverse primer. Therefore, our RT-RPA method is proposed as suitable for rabies diagnostic in the field, particularly in low-resource areas in West-Africa, South-Asia, South-America and Middle-East where rabies still circulating.

In contrast to the Schlottau assay, the RABV-RPA assay showed high accuracy on viral dilutions in a non-neural clinical specimen (CSF) and displayed good agreement with the RT-qPCR. Based on its performances, our RABV-RPA presented here could be useful as reliable point-of-need tool for ante-mortem rabies diagnosis in humans, as well as a valuable surveillance tool for rapid local decision-making. Furthermore, an outstanding advantage for the RT-RPA method is that could be used with a relatively basic portable heat-source, and therefore making the RABV-RPA a potential point-of-need alternative for RABV detection in resource-limited settings. Finally, our RABV-RPA assay, could be a complement for existing methods for rabies diagnosis, which was less sensitive for isolates from Senegal, Burma, Laos, China, Niger, Brazil and Afghanistan. This might be related to signature erosion in it reverse primer. Therefore, our RT-RPA method is proposed as suitable for rabies diagnostic in the field, particularly in low-resource areas in West-Africa, South-Asia, South-America and Middle-East where rabies still circulating.

In conclusion, the RABV-RPA method developed in this study demonstrates high sensitivity and specificity for detection of RABV. In addition, a validation experiment showed its clinical applicability and could be used for rapid and accurate ante-mortem diagnosis in human. The RABV-RPA could be used in a suitcase laboratory with rapid extraction methods which had previously shown best performances in extraction of rabies virus RNA and easily implemented in routine laboratory activities as a rapid confirmatory test to first-line assays or in low-resource settings by including portable heat-sources with solar-powered battery.

**Methods**

**Ethical statement.** All samples used in this study were collected in the frame of the national integrated surveillance program for Rabies in Senegal or available from routine diagnostic activities of the national reference centers for rabies diagnosis in Senegal and Paris.

According to IACUC animal guidelines, suckling mice were used in routine virus isolation at the animal laboratory in Institute Pasteur in Dakar accredited by WHO as Collaborating Centre for Arboviruses and Hemorrhagic Fevers, for surveillance, diagnostics and research as approved by the Senegalese national ethical committee. All viral isolations in suckling mice were performed in accordance with the ARRIVE guidelines.

**Design of RABV-RPA primers and exo probe.** The RABV-RPA primers were designed in silico multiple alignments of available Africa 1 and Africa 2 RABV sequences from GenBank using the Muscle algorithm.
implemented in the Unipro UGENE software. According to RPA guidelines from TwistDx (Cambridge, UK), a total of seventeen forward primers (FPs), twenty seven reverse primers (RPs), and one fluorescent exo probe were designed based on the conserved region of the nucleocapsid gene (N). The exo-probe was designed with an internal abasic site mimic (tetrahydrofuran spacer [THF]) and block elongation (phosphate [P]). All oligonucleotides were produced by TIB MolBiol (Berlin, Germany). All 179 primer combinations were tested to select the RPA primers and probe set yielding the highest analytical sensitivity using the 10^6 RNA in vitro transcripted molecular standard ordered from Genexpress (Berlin, Germany) (Table 4).

**RNA extraction and samples preparation.** All thirty seven virus isolates analyzed in this study were previously cultured on suckling mice brain and derived from collection of national reference center for rabies diagnosis in Senegal at Institut Pasteur de Dakar (NRC-Rabies IPD) (Tables 1, 2). A total of 17 RNA extracts from primary rabies-positive brain samples representative of the existing RABV diversity were provided by the national reference center for rabies diagnosis at Institut Pasteur of Paris, France (NRC-Rabies IPP) (Table 2). The CSF sample was obtained from the collection of the laboratory for medical analysis at IPD. Extraction was carried out in the Biosafety level 3 (BSL3) containment facilities at Institut Pasteur de Dakar respecting the WHO’s biosafety guidelines and requirements for conducting work on infectious microorganisms and other biological hazards such as RABV.

Extraction of viral RNA from 140 µL of mice brain and tenfold serial dilutions of a CSF sample was performed with the QIAamp viral RNA mini kit (Qiagen, Heiden, Germany) according to manufacturer’s instructions and eluted in a final volume of 50 µL. Extracted RNA was frozen at −80 °C prior to downstream applications.

**Rabies virus RT-RPA assay.** RABV-RPA amplifications were achieved in a 50 µL volume using the TwistAmp RT exo kits (TwistDx, Cambridge, UK). For each reaction, 29.5 µL of rehydration buffer, 420 nM RPA primers, 120 nM exo probe and 6.7 µL of nuclease-free water were added into the lids. A 5 µL of viral RNA diluted 1/10 in nuclease-free water and 14 mM final concentration of magnesium acetate were subsequently added into each tube lid. Then the lids were closed carefully and the reaction mix was centrifuged into the lyophilized reaction pellet containing a dried enzyme. The mix was vortexed and spun down once again, and the tubes were immediately placed in the Twista Tubescanner device (TwistDx, Cambridge, UK) connected to a computer for real-time monitoring of fluorescence signal. The reaction was performed at 42 °C for 15 min, with brief mixing and centrifugation of reaction tubes after 230 s of the incubation in order to improve the sensitivity of the assay. Real-time detection of RT-RPA amplicons relies on the exonuclease enzyme, which cleaves at the THF site between fluorophore and quencher in the exo-probe and the generated fluorescence signal is measured each 10 s using the FAM channel. The resulting amplification curves were analyzed by Twista Studio software using a combined threshold and first derivation analysis for signal interpretation. Samples producing an exponential amplification curve above the threshold of the negative control were considered positive.

**Analytical specificity.** RNA extracts from 15 RABV-positive isolates and 15 isolates of other rhabdoviruses genetically related to RABV were tested in duplicates in order to evaluate the specificity of the RABV-RPA assay (Table 1). Positive and negative controls containing standard RNA and nuclease-free water were included in each run. The real-time RT-qPCR assay was used as reference test and was performed using the Quantitect Probe RT-PCR Kit (Qiagen, Heiden, Germany) in a final volume of 25 µl following previously published protocol. Amplicons obtained with the RABV-RPA assay from five isolates from Niger (90010NIG), Brazil (86001BRE), Afghanistan (02052AFG), Cambodia (99008CBG) and Saudi Arabia (87001ARS) (Table 2), were purified using AmPure magnetic beads (Agencourt Bioscience [Beckman Coulter Inc.], Beverly, MA, USA), and adapters were ligated using the Nextera XT library Prep kit according to the manufacturer’s recommendations (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA). The purified and amplified libraries were quantified using the KAPA library quantification kit (Kapa Biosystems, Wilmington, DE, USA) and subsequently sequenced on the Illumina MiSeq platform with 76 bp paired-end reads using the Miseq reagents kit v3 according to the manufacturer’s protocol (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA). Reads were quality trimmed using Prinseq-lite, and de novo genome assembly was performed with IDBA (Iterative de Bruijn graph De Novo Assembler). The coverage and the identity of obtained sequences to the target region were verified using a BLAST analysis (https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/).
Analytical sensitivity. Analytical sensitivity of the RABV-RPA assay was assessed using eight datasets from tenfold dilutions of the in vitro standard RNA produced by Genexpess (Berlin, Germany) ranging from 10^0 to 1 molecules/reaction. The RT-qPCR was used as reference method. The Tt data values are provided as the mean and standard deviation (SD). In addition, the inter-assay and intra-assay repeatability were determined by CVs from 8 Tt values of the dilution 10^x standard RNA molecules/reaction in 8 different runs and in the same run, respectively.

Diagnostic performances. In order to assess its reliability and efficiency, a collection of twenty-four positive specimens from different countries of the world was tested in duplicate with both the RABV-RPA assay and the Schlottau assay as well as the real-time RT-qPCR assay as reference test. Nineteen Rabies-negative samples were also used with both assays for assessment of diagnostic specificity. PPV and NPV, diagnostic sensitivity and specificity were calculated using standard formulas.

Experimental comparison of the RT-RPA assays. To assess the usefulness of the RABV-RPA assay for ante-mortem diagnosis of rabies in human, tenfold serial dilutions from a positive RAVB stock with a concentration of 32,033 RNA molecules/reaction (titer of 5.2 × 10^8 ID50/mL) were performed in a CSF sample tested negative for Streptococcus, Salmonella, Legionella, Leptospirosis, Borellia, Listeria, Mycoplasma and viral herpes panel (data not shown). RNA extracts were tested in duplicate with both assays in order to determine the limit of detection (LOD) in the presence of sample background. The LOD was determined in copy/reaction using cycle quantitative (Cq) values and the standard equation from the real-time RT-qPCR assay used as reference test.

Assessment of the impact of genetic diversity on RT-RPA assays. In silico analysis of both RT-RPA assays was performed again sequences of rabies isolates that were not detected during the sensitivity assessment by the Schlottau assay, using the MAFFT alignment algorithm implemented in the Unipro UGENE software.

Statistical methods. A semi-log regression analysis and a probit analysis were performed by plotting the RABV-RPA Tt values against the number of molecules detected in eight replicates of tenfold dilutions of the in vitro standard RNA ranging from 10^0 to 1 molecules/reaction (8/8) using PRISM (Graphpad Software Inc., San Diego, California) and STATISTICA (StatSoft, Hamburg, Germany), respectively. We also used a kappa test to compare diagnostic performances from the RABV-RPA assay and the Schlottau assay to results of the RT-qPCR assay where the Cohen’s kappa coefficient (k) represents a measure of the agreement between two assays. A p-value (p) < 0.05 was considered as statistically significant. In addition, sensitivity and accuracy of both RT-RPA assays were determined with a 95% confidence interval and their concordance to the reference test was analyzed using Cohen’s kappa test and considering a p < 0.05 as significant.
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