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ABSTRACT. Preliminary data on faunal remains from the Holocene site Ruchei Akimov located in the Northern Angara region are presented. Cultural remains were found in three horizons. The goal of this study is to present new data on the archaeozoological collection excavated in 2011–2012. Altogether we recognized 11 taxa: Homo sapiens, Castor fiber, Canis familiaris, Ursus arctos, Martes zibellina, Equus sp., Cervidae gen. indet., Cervus elaphus, Capreolus pygargus, Alces sp., and Rangifer tarandus. This contribution focuses on archaeozoological treatment of the bones, including the measurements for bones identified to species, and description of bones modified by humans. The predominance of red deer and the presence of horse in the Neolithic – early Bronze Age are distinctive features of the site Ruchei Akimov. Bone remains with traces of human activity indicate hunting and fishery practiced in all periods of site use.

How to cite this article: Burova V.V., Nikulina E.D. 2019. Archaeozoology of the Holocene multilayered site Ruchei Akimov (NW cis-Baikal Region, Russia) // Russian J. Theriol. Vol.18. No.2. P.91–98. doi: 10.15298/rusjtheriol.18.2.03

KEY WORDS: archaeozoology, Middle – Late Holocene, Baikal Region, Russia.

Introduction

The archaeological site Ruchei Akimov (Akimov Brook) is located on the right bank of the eponymous creek, at its confluence with the Angara River, 91 km downstream from the Ust-Ilim Hydroelectric Power Station (Northern Angara region) (Fig. 1). The site was discovered in 2007 during the rescue excavations in the area to be flooded after the construction of the dam of the Boguchany Hydroelectric Power Station. Protective and rescue archaeological works in 2011–2012 resulted in more than 20 thousand excavated items including 652 faunal remains.

Excavations revealed three conditionally defined horizons with archaeological and faunal material. Judging by the method of molding, technical decor and ornamentation, the ceramic complexes of the second and third horizons are typical for the Northern Angara region and adjacent territories. Their chronological range is estimated within the Neolithic period in the range of ca. 7800–3500 years ago (Tolstova, 2017). The concentration of stone arrowheads, found in 2012 and attributable to the second horizon by the characteristics of the manufacturing technique and
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analyses from adjacent territories, corresponds to the regional Serovo Neolithic culture. Most probably it corresponds to the late Neolithic–early Bronze Age (Lyudnikov, 2012; Tolstova, 2016). The first horizon yielded strongly compressed material. It includes mixed material ranging from the late Bronze Age to the early Middle Ages.

**Material and methods**

In total, there are 652 animal bones, including 642 mammal bones, one avian bone and nine fish scales (Table 1). This study is based on bone remains excavated in 2011–2012. We count disintegrated bones with fitting parts and bones in anatomic groups as one specimen. The measurements (in mm) follow the system of Von den Driesch (1976). Upper teeth are referred to as upper case letters (M1), lower ones, as lower case (m1).

Both authors identified bones to species and made archaeozoological treatment. All figures and measurements were made by Valeria Burova.

**Results**

**Chronological range of cultural horizons**

The first cultural horizon is represented by the smallest number of bones, 5.8% of all bones. Identifiable bones are rare – 13.5%. They represent the following species: dog (*Canis familiaris* Linnaeus, 1758), horse (*Equus* Linnaeus, 1880), Cervidae gen. indet. (*Cervidae* Goldfuss, 1820), red deer (*Cervus elaphus* Linnaeus, 1758), moose (*Alces* Gray, 1821). There are also a burned fragment of the bone of a small mammal and fragments of bones of a large ungulate.

The largest number of bone remains was obtained from the second cultural horizon, 59.7%. The percentage of identifiable bones in this layer is 8.6%. The following taxa were found: human *Homo sapiens* Linnaeus, 1758 (3%), beaver *Castor fiber* Linnaeus, 1758 (6.1%), bear *Ursus arctos* Linnaeus, 1758 (9.1%), sable *Martes zibellina* Linnaeus, 1758 (3%), horse (3%), Cervidae gen. indet. (15.2%), red deer (24.2%), roe deer *Capreolus pygargus* Pallas, 1771 (12.1%), moose (21.2%), reindeer *Rangifer tarandus* Linnaeus, 1758 (3%). Among the indefinable bones, there are remains of small (0.3%), medium (26.3%), and large ungulates (12%), as well as a small mammal (0.6%).

The share of bones in the third cultural horizon is 34.6% of the total bone material. The percentage of identifiable bones is minimal, 4.1%. The following taxa were found: beaver (11.1%), bear (22.2%), horse (11.1%), roe deer (33.3%), moose (11.1%). Bones of medium size (0.9%) and large ungulates (12.2%) were recorded.

**Taxonomic composition of the assemblage**

*Homo sapiens* is identified by a burnt fragment of the distal end of the humerus. Due to the severe destruction, measurements could not be taken. More accurate chronological assessment of the bone will be possible after radiocarbon dating and isotopic analysis.

Four teeth and two ulnae represent the beaver. The teeth (lower right p4–m3) were found in the third cultural horizon and apparently belong to the same individual. The measurements were made on the chewing surface: the length and width of p4 – 6.2/5.6; the length and width
of m1 – 6.5/6.2; the length and width of m2 – 7.3/6.4; the length and width of m3 – 6.7/5.3. Ulnae are represented by the right and left trochlear notch.

Dog is represented by the left mandibular ramus found in the first cultural horizon (Fig. 2). Angular and coronoid processes of the mandible are damaged. It was possible to obtain the following measurements: the total length of the mandible – 126.6; the length from the indentation between the condyle process and the angular process to the infradentale – 123.2; the length from the condyle process to the aboral border of the canine alveolus – 111.4; the length from the aboral border of the alveolus of m3 to aboral border of the canine alveolus measured along the alveoli – 73.7; length of the m3-p1 measured along the alveoli – 68.8; length of the m3-p2 measured along the alveoli – 64.9; length of the m3-m1 measured along the alveoli – 35.1; length of the p1-p4 measured along the alveoli – 34.6; greatest thickness of the body of mandible (below m1) – 22.8; height of the mandible behind m1 – 23.4; height of the mandible between p2 and p3 – 18.2. A comparison of these measurements to those of *Vulpes vulpes* from Irkutsk region, Buryatia, and Yakutia showed that fox mandibles are smaller than the examined mandibular ramus. Fox mandibles have the following measurements: the total length of the mandible – 105.1, 101.4, 112.1; the length from the indentation between the condyle process and the angular process to the infradentale – 99.8, 96.2, 106.8; the length from the condyle process to the aboral border of the canine alveolus – 93.5, 89.3, 98.4; the length from the aboral border of the alveolus of m3 to aboral border of the canine alveolus measured along the alveoli – 67.5, 59.7, 65.5; length of the m3-p1 measured along the alveoli – 62.9, 58.3, 61.6; length of the m3-p2 measured along the alveoli – 57.7, 53.2, 57.0; length of the m3-m1 measured along the alveoli – 28.1, 25.8, 26.8; length of the p1-p4 measured along the alveoli – 34.8, 35.4, 32.8, 33.7; length of the p2-p4 measured along the alveoli – 30.0, 30.3, 27.9, 29.2; length of the alveolus of m1 – 14.1, 15.0, 14.5, 15.4; greatest thickness of the body of mandible (below m1) – 15.5, 16.7, 14.4, 15.8; height of the mandible behind m1 – 14.2, 13.6, 15.3; height of the mandible between p2 and p3 – 12.2, 14.3, 11.9, 14.3. The alveoli features indicate that development of the dentition in the mandibular ramus from Ruchei Akimov was completed. Therefore, the mandibular ramus belongs to *Canis familiaris*.

Remains of a brown bear are represented by five teeth (Fig. 2). The following measurements were obtained: the height of the canine crown – 30.5 and ca. 31.5; the length of the canine at the base of the crown – 19.0, ca. 19.1, 18.0; the width of the canine at the base of the crown – 14.0, ca. 13.3, 14.7. Roots are damaged in all

---

### Table 1. Taxonomic composition and remains counts in the site Ruchei Akimov.

| Taxon            | First cultural horizon | Second cultural horizon | Third cultural horizon | Total |
|------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|-------|
| *Homo sapiens*   | 1                      | 1                       | 1                      | 3     |
| *Castor fiber*   | 2                      | 1                       | 3                      | 6     |
| *Canis familiaris* | 1                      | 1                       | 1                      | 3     |
| *Ursus arctos*   | 1                      | 0                       | 1                      | 2     |
| *Martes zibellina* | 1                      | 1                       | 1                      | 3     |
| *Equus sp.*      | 1                      | 1                       | 1                      | 3     |
| Cervidae gen. indet. | 1                      | 5                       | 1                      | 7     |
| *Cervus elaphus* | 1                      | 8                       | 1                      | 10    |
| *Capreolus pygargus* | 4                      | 3                       | 7                      | 14    |
| *Alces sp.*      | 1                      | 7                       | 1                      | 9     |
| *Rangifer tarandus* | 1                      | 1                       | 1                      | 3     |
| Medium ungulates | 92                     | 2                       | 94                     | 110   |
| Large ungulates  | 7                      | 42                      | 26                     | 75    |
| Small mammals    | 1                      | 2                       | 3                      | 6     |
| Unidentified     | 24                     | 213                     | 185                    | 422   |
| Total            | 37                     | 383                     | 222                    | 642   |
| Aves             | 1                      | 1                       | 1                      | 3     |
| Pisces           | 9                      |                         | 9                      | 18    |
canines precluding the measurement of their total length. Length and width of M1 – 24.9/18.0.

Sable is represented by the left mandible with a canine and m1-p2 (m2 and p1 are absent) and a fragment of the right mandible with an incomplete canine (Fig. 2). The left mandible has the following measurements: length of m1-p2 measured along the alveoli – 23.2; length from the mandible symphysis to the alveolus of m2 – 34.4; thickness of the body of mandible before m1 – 3.8; length and breadth of m1 at the base of the crown – 4.4/3.8.

Horse is represented in all cultural horizons by the following bone remains: right i3, 13 fragmental teeth, incomplete fused radial and ulnar bones (Fig. 2). Fragments of teeth probably belonged to several neighboring teeth of a tooth row. The radial bone has the following measurements: greatest length – 304.2; physiological length – 293.8; length of the lateral part – 292.0; greatest breadth of the proximal end – 72.4; greatest breadth of the humeral articular surface – 68.15; smallest breadth of diaphysis – 36.3; greatest breadth of the facies articularis distalis – 55.7. The ulnar bone has the following measurements: depth across the processus anconaeus – 55.8; smallest depth of the olecranon – 45.6; greatest breadth of the proximal articular surface – about 37.9. The tuber olecrani and the olecranon are damaged.

The family Cervidae is represented by the red deer, roe deer, moose, and reindeer. Fragments of the scapula and humerus were not originally identified to species (Nikulina et al., 2018). We obtained measurements on these fragments of deer bones. The scapula has the following measurements: breadth of the glenoid cavity – 45.6; length of the glenoid cavity – 47.2; greatest breadth of the glenoid process – 66.4. The greatest breadth of the distal end of the humerus is 47.2 (Fig. 2). A comparison of these measurements to those of diverse cervids from other sites showed that the scapula belongs to red
deer, and the humerus – to reindeer (Klementiev, 2009; Vasiliev, 2013a). Fragments of the antler and teeth could not be identified. Therefore, they were attributed to Cervidae gen. indet.

Red deer is the most numerous among the family Cervidae. The remains of red deer are represented by antlers, scapula, humerus, femur, vestigial metapodia, calcaneus, astragalus and naviculo-cuboid. Astragalus showed the following measurements: greatest length of the lateral half – 72.3 and 69.1; greatest length of the medial half – 66.4 and 64.9; greatest breadth of the distal end – 43.6 and 39.45; greatest depth of the lateral half – 37.8 and 35.7; greatest depth of the medial half – 34.45.

Siberian roe deer is identified based on the left lower dentition (without p2), a fragment of the base of the skull, two fragments of the scapula, fragments of the epiphysis of the humerus and femur, and a fragment of the phalanx.

As for the moose, it is represented by fragments of teeth, metapodia, and phalanges. Magnum, scaphoid, and triquetrum were also found.

Archaeozoological description

The first cultural horizon is predominantly represented by indefinable bones (86.5%). Burnt bones accounted for 8.1%. An incomplete harpoon combined from four burnt fragments was found in this cultural horizon (Fig. 3). Also this cultural horizon yielded a proximal end of metapodia of the moose with gnaw marks made by carnivores.

The second cultural horizon is represented by the largest number of bones. The share of indefinable bones is 91.4%. Teeth are the most numerous among the identifiable bone remains. Red deer is predominantly represented by long bones and distal bones of appendages. It is worth noting that the bones of the left hind limb were articulated: the calcaneus with astragalus, and astragalus with naviculo-cuboid. Also three right carpal bones (magnum, scaphoid, and triquetrum) of moose belong to one individual. This cultural horizon yielded antlers of red deer with handling traces. One fragment of the antler shows scraping marks of cancellous tissue, and the other has cut marks (Fig. 3). Burnt bones accounted for 13.8%. They are predominantly represented by indefinable bones. Also two indefinable bones with gnaw marks made by rodents were found in this cultural horizon. In addition, several bone implements and human processed bones were found: two fragments of harpoons and two fragments of ribs of large ungulates with traces of human activity. One fragment of the harpoon was probably broken before burial (Fig. 3). One fragment of the rib was sharpened with pointed end produced by grinding (Fig. 3). The other fragment has cut marks. It was also modified through grinding.

The third cultural horizon is represented by the largest number of indefinable bones (95.9%). Burnt bones accounted for 1.8%. This cultural horizon yielded a distal end of humerus of the roe deer with gnaw marks made by carnivores. Teeth are the most numerous among the identifiable bone remains. As for bone implements, harpoon made of long bone of a large ungulate was found (Fig. 3). Also two human processed bones were found. One fragment shows a pit, and the other has a cut mark. The latter was also modified through grinding.

We can make only approximate archaeozoological analysis due to the small amount of bones. Bone remains with traces of human activity indicate hunting. The predominance of teeth and distal bones of appendages, and the presence of articulated bones could indicate
that animals were killed in the immediate vicinity and dismembered at the site. Human processed bones indicate that people spent some time at the site to process the bones. Harpoon fragments and fish scales indicate fishery practiced in all periods of site use. Fish scales are not abundant, which is likely to be attributed to the excavation technique: no wet or dry screening was carried out during the rescue excavations.

**Discussion**

The multilayered site Ruchei Akimov is important for the study of the history of the Middle – Late Holocene faunas of the Northern Angara region. We can make only approximate characteristics of the economy of the population of the site due to the small amount of identifiable bones (Antipina, 2016). Though these conclusions are significant in the study of the faunas of the Neolithic – early Middle Ages, because currently the site is completely flooded by the waters of the Boguchany Hydroelectric Power Station.

The identified fauna of Ruchei Akimov is mainly represented by modern species. The only extinct species is the Eurasian beaver. This taxon is present in the cultural horizons of the Neolithic – early Bronze Age and is absent in the cultural horizon of the late Bronze Age – early Middle Ages. The beaver survived in the vicinity of the sites Ust-Yodarma II and Ust-Keul I (Northern Angara Region) until the Iron Age (Klementiev, 2012, 2013). According to Skalon (1951), “in ancient times the beaver was spread throughout Northern Eurasia” and disappeared in Siberia by the time of arrival of the first Russian settlers. It is therefore possible that the beaver also lived in the adjacencies of Ruchei Akimov in the Iron Age. The beaver, most likely, was a trade species at Ruchei Akimov, because this species has been the object of hunting since prehistoric times (Arembovskii, 1937).

All mammals of the cultural horizons of the Neolithic – early Bronze Age are represented by wild animals. We cannot identify horse teeth found in these cultural horizons to wild or domestic form. The largest number of bones in the cultural horizons of the Neolithic – early Bronze Age belongs to the family Cervidae. Siberian roe deer remains outnumber those of red deer in the third cultural horizon, but in the second cultural horizon red deer remains are more abundant than those of roe deer. It indicates the decline of the role of roe deer as the most commonly harvested animal and the switch to red deer as the new hunting priority. Finds of carnivorans are few. Bear is the most abundant carnivoran taxon in the second and third cultural horizons; the remains of sable are rare. The predominance of the brown bear among carnivorans probably indicates that this species was a commonly hunted game in the Holocene of this region (Klementiev & Novoseltseva, 2013). Sable is also a commonly harvested species for the population of Angara Region in the Neolithic and Bronze Age (Klementiev, 2012). The sable is represented by fewer bones than the brown bear among all bones from Ruchei Akimov.

The dog is identified in the cultural horizon of the late Bronze Age – early Middle Ages. This species was identified based on the left mandible. Length of the alveolus of m1 and length of the p1–p4 measured along the alveoli indicate that the dog from Ruchei Akimov was smaller than the Mesolithic dog from Ust-Keul I (Klementiev & Novoseltseva, 2013). The fused radial and ulnar bones of a horse were also identified in the first cultural horizon. Measurements for these bones indicate that this individual was small. Thus, radial and ulnar bones probably belonged to a domestic horse. Bones of wild species also were found in the cultural horizon of the late Bronze Age – early Middle Ages. The predominance of cervids (red deer, moose) indicates their importance in hunting.

A comparison showed the similarity of the fauna of the site Ruchei Akimov to that of other sites in the Northern Angara region. There is a tendency to increasing importance of domestic species (domestic horse, reindeer, cattle, sheep and goats) and decreasing role of trade species (roe deer, moose) in the economy of the sites Ust-Yodarma II and Ust-Keul I during the late Bronze Age – the Middle Ages (Klementiev, 2012; Klementiev & Novoseltseva, 2013). At the site Ruchei Akimov this tendency is difficult to trace due to the small amount of identifiable bones. It is worth noting the presence of a dog in cultural horizons of the late paleometal period at these three sites of the Northern Angara Region. The bones of hare, which are common at Ust-Yodarma II, and the bones of beaver, fox, bear, badger, which are rare at Ust-Yodarma II and Ust-Keul I, are absent in the cultural horizon of the late Bronze Age – early Middle Ages at Ruchei Akimov (Klementiev, 2012; Klementiev & Novoseltseva, 2013).

The majority of bone remains from the Neolithic cultural horizons of sites of Northern Angara region are from cervids, with moose being most abundant at the sites Ust-Yodarma II, Ust-Keul I, Ust-Tushama I (Klementiev, 2012; Klementiev & Novoseltseva, 2013; Vasiliev, 2013b). Though the ratio of roe deer and moose in the hunting is equalized by the end of the Neolithic at Ust-Keul I (Klementiev & Novoseltseva, 2013). Bone remains of roe deer are most abundant at the site Ostrov Listvenichnyi. It is untypical for the nearby archaeological sites of the early Neolithic period (Klementiev et al., 2017). We could not find detailed data of the faunal assemblages of other sites of the Northern Angara region. It is only known that moose, bear, and deer are the most numerous at the sites Pashina, Koda, and Chadobets during the Neolithic, and the bones of red deer, roe deer, and reindeer were found at the sites Badarma I and Ust-Kova (Vasil’evsky et al., 1988). According to new data, moose, reindeer, and roe deer are the most abundant species at Pashina (Grishin et al., 2016). Reindeer is represented by a single fragment of the humerus at Ruchei Akimov. At the same time, reindeer is the second numerous in the Neolithic horizon at Ust-Tushama I, being inferior only to a moose (Vasiliev, 2013b). It is also worth noting the absence of mole, hare, wolf, dog, fox, badger, otter, boar, and bison in the Neolithic cultural horizons at Ruchei
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Conclusions

The fauna of the site Ruchei Akimov is similar to that of other sites in the Northern Angara region. The first cultural horizon of the late Bronze Age – early Middle Ages yielded small amount of faunal material. Thus, we can make only preliminary results on bone remains from this cultural horizon. The fauna from Ruchei Akimov in the Neolithic – early Bronze Age consisted primarily of red deer, moose, and roe deer. Cervidae gen. indet. is the fourth most abundant group of mammals in the Neolithic – early Bronze Age. It is worth noting the presence of horse in cultural horizons of Neolithic – early Bronze Age. Bone remains of Equus caballus/ferus are the fourth numerous in the Neolithic layer at Ust-Tushama I (Vasiliev, 2013b). There are fragments of the horse teeth found in the Neolithic horizon at habitation site Pashina, but they are associated with the medieval population or the later Russian presence (Grishin et al., 2016). Bone remains of a horse of the Neolithic age are not mentioned at other sites of the Northern Angara region. Thus, the predominance of red deer and the presence of horse in the Neolithic – early Bronze Age are distinctive features of the site Ruchei Akimov. More accurate chronological assessment of the Equus sp. will be possible after radiocarbon dating and isotopic analysis. Results of archaeozoological analysis suggest hunting and fishery practiced in all periods of site use. Most probably animals were killed in the immediate vicinity and dismembered at the site.
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