Influence of different oxidation mechanisms on the exfoliation of intercalated graphite bisulfate using two types of graphite
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ABSTRACT
Three different processes for the syntheitization of exfoliated graphite intercalation compound have been test-
ed in two different type of graphite, one in powder form and the other one as flakes. Each graphite was ox-
idized applying the same experimental conditions (sonification, neutralization, filtering and drying) but using
three different auxiliary oxidizers (H₂O₂, HNO₃ and KClO₃) previously mixed with H₂SO₄. The resulting
synthesized samples were characterized by X-ray diffraction, Raman spectroscopy and scanning electron
microscopy. The Raman spectra analysis of the oxidized samples correspond to that of a graphene of few
layers. Stronger delamination and exfoliation were observed in the samples of graphite, originally in powder
form, treated with H₂SO₄/HNO₃.
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tion’s process of those materials, among which [1, 13]. The reaction (1) [13] is found in the literature as one of the most used for bisulfate synthetization and it is considered the most adequate model for the reactions subject of this paper.

\[ 24nC + O_x^z + H_2SO_4 \rightarrow C_{24n}^{+} \cdot HSC_4^{-}(m - 1)H_2SO_4 + HO_x^{(z-1)} \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where n is the intercalation stage being 1, 2, 3, … the number of layers between sulfates, and m the stoichiometric factor. Each stage of intercalation n is determined by the numbers of layers between each intercalation. Therefore, the lower n, bigger is the exfoliation of the material and the possibilities for obtaining graphene.

It is important to define what is known in the scientific literature as exfoliation and intercalation, especially, of graphite. The exfoliation can be described as the shedding of the layers of a lamellar material as the graphite, resulting from a mechanical abrasion process or chemical and electrochemical methods. The intercalation is the insertion of ions, atoms, or molecules of one material in the interplanar spaces of the lamellar structure, what changes the structure and properties of the host material (stacking or stacking order, among others) but preserving its characteristic lamellar identity [2]. Generally, this phenomenon occurs at certain temperatures under specific conditions on the search for differentiated properties of the intercalated materials.

One of the biggest barriers for keeping the intercalations in GIC is the fact that the intercalation can be reversible [4]. Some authors reported this problem as re-staking or flocculation of the layers caused by the intercalation graphite layers, in dispersive or surfactant medium [15-17]. As described by [1, 13, 14, 18-21] the intercalation obeys some form and has the ability to expand with thermal treatment. In the characterization by RS and XRD of exfoliated or expanded GIC there are some patterns or fingerprints, well described in the literature, that allow the identification of the resulting material as graphene of multilayers [22].

From Novoselov’s [18] research, the intercalation of the graphite becomes more important as one of the sources for the synthetization of graphene, especially by methods using liquid chemical and electrochemical exfoliation of graphite [11, 12, 20, 23-26]. Since GIC can be used as a synthetization source for graphene and graphene oxide in liquid medium [9, 10, 24-28], the process is almost thoroughly known. Among others, GIC are useful for obtaining supercapacitors and superconductors [29-36]. The graphene oxide synthetization process and the intercalation process are many times considered as being the same process since the graphene oxide is an intercalation of functional groups that results of an oxidation process [13, 19], however, according to ABD-EIHAMID and collaborators [37], essential aspects related to these processes remain still unclear.

This paper presents the preliminary results of a research carried out in which two types of graphite have been used as a source of the intercalation and lamination of GBC for obtaining graphite bisulfate from three synthetization processes that used three different auxiliary oxidizers (H₂O₂, HNO₃ and KClO₃) in the same experimental conditions, including the simultaneously performance of the oxidation and sonification stages, in order to identify which of the chosen oxidizers produces a greater exfoliation and delamination phenomena.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Two different sorts of graphite (denominated in this text as A and B) were used: graphite “A” with purity 68-100% (0-30% silicon, specific weight 1.1 – 1.3 g/cm³, 28% gray, 72% carbon, mesh #140: max 5) as flakes and graphite “B” commercialized as Graphite 82140 (0-30% silicon, specific weight 0.36 g/cm³, 13% gray, 86.2% carbon, mesh #140: 1.1, #200:12.5, #325:46) as powder, are both provided by the Brazilian company Nacional de Grafite.

As chemical compounds were used Potassium Chlorate 98% from the Indian company Neon Lab Chemicals; Sulfuric Acid P.M.98%, Nitric Acid 65% and Hydrogen Peroxide 35%, all from Sigma-Aldrich Brazil.

For the experimental treatment of all the samples were used a QUIMIS magnetic stirrer with a heating system; an Elma ultrasonic bath equipment with internal tank volume of 5 L (filled with water) and frequency of 35 KHz; and a JUNG oven with an integrated system for gas flow (in this case hydrogen) and heating range up to 1200 °C;

Samples of 0.5 g of each type of graphite were oxidized in three different mixtures, using three different oxidizing reagents: H₂O₂, HNO₃ and KClO₃. Each reagent was previously mixed until homogenization with H₂SO₄. The proportions used are shown in Table 1.
Table 1: Proportions of mixtures of graphite with oxidants and acids.

| MATERIALS         | C/H$_2$O$_2$/H$_2$SO$_4$ | C/HNO$_3$/ H$_2$SO$_4$ | C/KClO$_3$/H$_2$SO$_4$ |
|-------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|
| PROPORTIONS       | 0.5 g : 5 mL : 40 mL      | 0.5 g : 5 mL : 40 mL     | 0.5 g : 0.2 g : 40 mL  |

The samples for each graphite type mixed with each one of the three reagents were put in an ultrasonication bath at 37 kHz for four hours and then they were neutralized with 2.5 L of deionized water and put to decant for 24 h. Once decanted the samples were filtered using paper filter inside a Kitasato and adding water until the neutral pH was reached. Finally, the samples were placed to dry on the oven for 20 min at a temperature of 800 °C under nitrogen gas flow.

The resulting material samples were then characterized by X-ray Diffraction, using a Rigaku Miniflex II X-ray diffractometer (XRD), 15 kW, with copper tube (λ = 0.1542 nm); by Raman spectroscopy (RS) with a green laser (wavelength λ = 532 nm) in a RAMAN ALPHA 300R spectrometer model Witec, with software and diffraction grids coupled with wavelengths λ = 488 nm, λ = 532 nm and λ = 633 nm; and with a JSM-6510 Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM), WD=13 mm, 30 kV, SS60, coupled with an Energy Dispersive X-ray Spectrometry (EDS) spectrometer, aiming to observe, respectively, if any intercalation occurred, if graphene (sheets or layers) was obtained, and if and how the material exfoliated.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Analyze of XRD results

Figure 1 presents the diffractograms that show, in some typical regions or peaks for graphite compounds, that the intercalation seems to occur not in the same way for the different samples. The diffractogram of each pristine (original unoxidized) graphite presents a high peak (002) around the angle 2θ ≈ 26.6° that decreased in intensity and clearly broadened in all cases after the oxidations process. This peak also shifted to lower angles as a result of the presence of defects in the crystal lattice due to the intercalation.

When H$_2$O$_2$ was used as an oxidant, in all oxidized samples the intensity of the peaks decreases and there is almost no displacement in the case of the B samples and little displacement in the case of the A samples. In both cases, that means that there was not almost any increment of basal distance, what also indicates a possible degradation of the samples or a reduction of the crystallinity. The peak becoming wider means that the crystal structure is disappearing or getting amorphous.

![Figure 1](image_url)

**Figure 1:** Diffractograms of the samples of (a) graphite type A and (b) graphite type B, oxidized using H$_2$O$_2$ (blue), HNO$_3$ (red) and KClO$_3$(green); and the black discontinuous diffractogram corresponds to the pristine graphite in each case.

For the B samples oxidized with HNO$_3$, the peak at 2θ ≈ 26.6° clearly dislocates and divides itself into two peaks, the first of them appearing at 2θ ≈ 25.6° and the second one at 2θ ≈ 26.5°. This means that an
increase of the basal distance occurred what is a clear indication of the presence of an intercalation [30]. It may have occurred the intercalation of two materials or intercalation in two different stages. In the case of the B samples oxidized with KClO₃, the peak 2Θ ≈ 26.6° just displaces to 25.8° also indicating an increment of the basal distance and a possible intercalation.

For the A oxidized samples using HNO₃ as an oxidizing agent, in which the peak becomes wider or displaces from 2Θ ≈ 26.6° to 2Θ ≈ 26.0°. When used KClO₃ as an oxidant, the peak 2Θ ≈ 26.6° does not displace, it becomes only a little wider. Therefore, it seems that the Type A graphite exfoliated or intercalated better when HNO₃ was used as an oxidant. These results are different from Salvatore’s findings [14], that used also graphite in flakes but found better intercalation with NaClO₃. The different results described in the present work could be attributed to the characteristics of the graphite used like mesh size, purity and to some differences at experimental conditions.

3.2 Analyze of RS results

Figure 2 shows the results of the RS analysis of all oxidized samples. In that figure, the oxidizing agent used in each case is identified by the color of the spectrum (blue, red and green for H₂O₂, HNO₃ and KClO₃, respectively) and in each case, the RS of the pristine graphite is shown in black. The RS of the samples were normalized to the intensity of the G band in order to visualize better the behavior of the bands D and 2D.

In all samples, when compared with the pristine graphite, it is observed an increment of the intensity of the 2D-band (~2700 cm⁻¹) and the band becoming thinner, what indicates that the graphite degraded or exfoliated so that its structure changed to the graphene condition. According to [31, 32, 38], an intensity increase of this band indicates the reduction of the number of layers of the original graphite. Moreover, if the D-band (~1350 cm⁻¹) appears, which is typical for the deformation of graphite according to [39], and the band G (~1580 cm⁻¹) displaces a little to the right, then the material obtained is a graphene oxide or an intercalation occurred [40] and this is what the RS in Figure 2 shows for the samples oxidized with HNO₃ and KClO₃. The second order band D+D’ (= 2940 cm⁻¹) is also present in the spectra and this occurs specially when the obtained material is graphene or graphite whiskers are present [39].

Figure 2: Raman spectra of the samples of (a) graphite A and (b) graphite B oxidized with H₂O₂, HNO₃ and KClO₃.

3.3 Analyze of SEM results

Figures 3 and 4 contain the micrographs obtained with SEM for the comparative study of the morphology of all samples before (as pristine graphite) and after the oxidation/exfoliation treatments with the three different oxidants. In the Images the samples are identified by the letter A and B previously associated to each type of graphite accompanied by number 1, 2 or 3 referring to the oxidizing agents used (H₂O₂, HNO₃ or KClO₃, respectively). The number 0 identifies the pristine graphite in each case. The morphology of the original graphite A can be observed in the image A0 of Figure 3. It is in the form of flat flakes with mesh size much bigger than 50 µm and has a homogeneous appearance. The image or micrograph A2 corresponds to the treatment with HNO₃ as oxidizer and it shows the degradation effect and the flake layers clearly separated due to the exfoliation or delamination process that occurred.
Figure 3: SEM micrographs of graphite type A samples: A0 (original), oxidized with $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ (A1), with HNO$_3$ (A2) and with KClO$_3$ (A3).

Figure 4: SEM micrographs of graphite type B samples: B0 (original), oxidized with $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ (B1), with HNO$_3$ (B2) and with KClO$_3$ (B3).
A similar result is found with the treatment of graphite A using KClO3 as oxidizer but to a lesser extent. The micrograph A3 of the Figure. 3 shows a strong degradation of the material and the effects of the occurred exfoliation, though, in this image the flake layers seem to be closer or have a more compact appearance if compared with image A2. The exfoliation of graphite A using HNO3 as oxidizer is much stronger than the experimented with the two other oxidizers.

4. CONCLUSION

In this work, two different original graphite were submitted to three synthetization processes each one them characterized by the mixture used as oxidant agent H2SO4/H2O2, H2SO4/HNO3 or H2SO4/KClO3 but under the same experimental conditions: ultrasonic bath at 37 kHz for four hours, neutralization with 2.5 L of deionized water and decanting for 24 h, filtering in a Kitasato with paper filter and addition of water until reaching the neutral pH and drying for 20 min on oven at 800 °C under nitrogen gas flow.

Despite the morphological differences (flake or powder, mesh sizes, purity) of the two different graphite used, all samples submitted to the same treatment with each one of the three oxidizers degraded and exfoliated to a greater or lesser extent, and the resulting material is graphene of few layers. Stronger delamination and exfoliation were observed in the samples treated with H2SO4/HNO3 and H2SO4/KClO3 as oxidants, where multiples layers in flake form of the material visibly separate, and especially in the graphite B samples, using the originally graphite in powder form, where the relation area/volume is bigger and, therefore, the oxidant reacts with more intensity. Different from [14], in our work especially the synthetization process with the oxidizer H2SO4/HNO3 lead to better results (stronger delamination and exfoliation) for both graphite types.
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