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1. Introduction

*Chlamydia* is a genus comprising important zoonotic obligate intracellular pathogens that affect humans and a wide range of animals [1, 2]. *Chlamydia* infection can cause various diseases in nonhuman mammals and birds, including conjunctivitis, atypical pneumonia, enteritidis, endocarditis, and even abortion, resulting in serious economic losses [3–6]. Several *Chlamydia* species are known to infect humans and are of serious public health significance because they may result in atherosclerosis, coronary heart disease, pneumonia, and other severe diseases [7]. *Chlamydia abortus* and *Chlamydia psittaci* are of particular importance because they can cause abortion and psittacosis, respectively, in animals, birds and humans. In Egypt, a study revealed that in symptomatic gynecologically diseased women the seroprevalence of *Chlamydia psittaci* was 50.0%, *Chlamydia abortus* was 35.7%, and

*Chlamydia trachomatis* was 15.2% [8], and in the genital tract of cattle the main Chlamydiaceae were *Chlamydia psittaci* and *Chlamydia abortus* [9]. The animal diseases caused by these microorganisms should be given more attention due to their zoonotic potential [1, 7, 10].

The breeding of domestic rabbits (*Oryctolagus cuniculus*) for human consumption has a long tradition in China, and rabbit industry is one of green economy industries, which is emerging and promising, with broad space for development and has gone through a long glorious history and its products have brought great wealth to the rural people in China. At present, China plays an important role of breeding rabbits, and domestic rabbits yield high quality meat and hair which are transported to many parts of home and abroad. However, disease is the main challenge for rabbit industry [11, 12]. To date, there is little information about the seroprevalence of *Chlamydia* and the risk factors associated with *Chlamydia*...
Table 1: Seroprevalence of *Chlamydia* infection in domestic rabbits by age, gender, and breed in China, as detected by indirect haemagglutination assay (IHA).

| Factor | Category          | Number examined | Number positive | % (95% CI)       |
|--------|------------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Age    | Juvenile         | 464             | 92             | 19.83 (16.20–23.46) |
|        | Adult            | 336             | 51             | 15.18 (11.34–19.02) |
| Gender | Male             | 319             | 45             | 14.11 (10.29–17.93) |
|        | Female           | 481             | 98             | 20.34 (16.78–23.97) |
| Breed  | Chinese White Rabbit | 249          | 52             | 20.88 (15.84–25.93) |
|        | California Rabbit | 250             | 38             | 15.20 (10.75–19.65) |
|        | New Zealand Rabbit | 301           | 53             | 17.61 (13.31–21.91) |
| Region | Liaoning province | 200             | 39             | 19.50 (14.01–24.99) |
|        | Jilin province   | 200             | 41             | 20.50 (14.91–26.10) |
|        | Heilongjiang province | 200         | 33             | 16.50 (11.36–21.64) |
|        | Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region | 200      | 30             | 15.00 (10.05–19.95) |
| Total  |                  | 800             | 143            | 17.88 (15.22–20.53) |

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Ethics Statement. This study was approved by the Animal Ethics Committee of Lanzhou Veterinary Research Institute, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences (Approval no. LVRIAEC2013-010). The domestic rabbits, from which the serum samples were collected, were handled in accordance with good animal practices required by the Animal Ethics Procedures and Guidelines of the People's Republic of China.

2.2. Collection and Preparation of Serum Samples. A total of eight hundred domestic rabbits were randomly sampled from Liaoning province, Jilin province, Heilongjiang province, and Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region. From each rabbit, approximately 2 mL of blood was collected from the marginal ear vein or postmortem. After centrifugation at 3,000 rpm for 10 min, sera were collected and stored at −20°C until use. Handling of rabbits was in compliance with the Animal Ethics Procedures and Guidelines of the People's Republic of China. Information about species, ages, geographic origin, and gender was acquired from breeders.

2.3. Serological Examination. Antibodies to *Chlamydia* were tested by indirect hemagglutination antibody (IHA) using a commercially marketed kit (Lanzhou Veterinary Research Institute, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Lanzhou, Gansu Province, China). The procedures are according to the manufacturer’s instructions and previous descriptions [13, 14]. The IHA kit was performed following the standard protocol recommended by Chinese Center for Animal Diseases Prevention and Control, and the sensitivity and specificity of the test are 100% and 95%, respectively [13]. The sensitivity and specificity values for the testing kit used in this study have been validated by The Ministry of Agriculture of the People's Republic of China (NY/T 562–2002). In brief, 75 μL of the IHA dilution solution was transferred into 96 well V-bottomed polystyrene plates with 25 μL of serum added and diluted in a fourfold series from 1:4 to 1:64. The plates were shaken for 2 min and then incubated at 37°C for 2 h without shaking. The test was considered positive when a layer of agglutinated erythrocytes was formed in wells at dilutions of 1:16 or higher, and positive and negative controls were included in each test. Those sera which showed dubious results were picked out for retest.

2.4. Statistical Analyses. Regions, genders, age groups, and breeds of rabbits were analyzed in a multivariable logistic regression model, and probability (P) value < 0.05 was considered as statistically significant. Odds ratios (OR) with 95% confidence intervals are also calculated. All statistical analyses were performed using the PASW Statistics 18.0 (SPSS Inc., IBM Corporation, Somers, NY).

3. Results

Antibodies to *Chlamydia* were detected in 143 of 800 (17.88%, 95% CI 15.22–20.53) domestic rabbits. Of these, antibody titers were 1:16 in 22, 1:32 in 18, 1:64 in 33, 1:128 in 30, 1:256 in 27, 1:512 in 9, and 1:1024 in 4, respectively (Table 3). The *Chlamydia* seroprevalence varied in domestic rabbits from different regions, ranging from 15.00% (95% CI 10.05–19.95) to 20.50% (95% CI 14.91–26.10) (Table 1), but the differences were not significantly different. The juvenile rabbits had higher seroprevalence (19.83%) than that in adults (15.18%). The seroprevalence of *Chlamydia* was diverse in different breeds of domestic rabbits; the highest level was 20.88% in Chinese White Rabbits, followed by 17.61% in New Zealand Rabbits and 15.20% in California Rabbits (Table 1). However, the differences were not statistically significant (P > 0.05).

In terms of gender, seroprevalence of *Chlamydia* in female (20.34%) was higher than that in male (14.11%), and gender was considered as major risk factors associated with *Chlamydia* infection in domestic rabbits (P < 0.05, Table 2). And the risk of *Chlamydia* infection in female was more than...
Table 2: Odds ratios for genders of domestic rabbits are taken as risk factors for *Chlamydia* seroprevalence in domestic rabbits.

| Gender | Number tested | Number positive | Prevalence (%) | OR (95% CI) | P value |
|--------|---------------|-----------------|----------------|-------------|---------|
| Male   | 319           | 45              | 14.11 (10.29–17.93) | Reference   |         |
| Female | 481           | 98              | 20.34 (16.78–23.97) | 1.56 (1.06–2.29) | 0.024   |

Table 3: Seroprevalence and antibody titers of *Chlamydia* infection in domestic rabbits, as detected by indirect haemagglutination (IHA) test.

| Biometric data | Category | Antibody titers | Number positive | Number tested | Prevalence (%) |
|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|----------------|
|                |          | 1:16 1:32 1:64 1:128 1:256 1:512 1:1024 |                 |               |                |
| Gender         | Female   | 17 16 20 18 16 7 4 | 98              | 319           | 20.34          |
|                | Male     | 5 2 13 12 11 2 0 | 45              | 481           | 14.11          |
| Age            | Infancy  | 6 6 8 13 6 2 1 | 42              | 175           | 24.00          |
|                | Juvenile | 8 6 12 9 10 4 1 | 50              | 289           | 17.30          |
|                | Adult    | 8 6 13 8 11 3 2 | 51              | 336           | 15.18          |
| Region         | Liaoning province | 5 8 8 10 7 1 0 | 39              | 200           | 19.50          |
|                | Jilin province | 6 4 8 9 9 3 2 | 41              | 200           | 20.50          |
|                | Heilongjiang province | 6 4 11 4 6 2 0 | 33              | 200           | 16.50          |
|                | Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region | 5 2 6 7 5 3 2 | 30              | 200           | 15.00          |
| Breed          | Chinese White Rabbit | 9 6 14 8 10 4 1 | 52              | 249           | 20.88          |
|                | California Rabbit | 6 5 8 8 9 1 1 | 38              | 250           | 15.20          |
|                | New Zealand Rabbit | 7 7 11 14 8 4 2 | 53              | 301           | 17.61          |
| Total          |          | 22 18 33 30 27 9 4 | 143             | 800           | 17.88          |

1.56-fold increase (OR = 1.56, 95% CI = 1.06–2.29, P < 0.024) compared to *Chlamydia* infection in male (Table 2).

4. Discussion

*Chlamydia* spp. are gram-negative bacteria that cause various significant diseases in humans and animals all over the world, leading to health problems and serious economic losses. In the present study, the overall seroprevalence of *Chlamydia* infection in domestic rabbits was 17.88%, which was higher than that observed previously in cats (5.9%) [7], dogs (12.1%) [15], pet birds (10.80%) [16], pigeon (6.80%) [17], red deer (9.60%) [18], cattle (4.75%) [19] and dairy cattle (7.25%) [20], but lower than in sows (62.70%) [21], wild boar (63.60%) [22], and feral Canada geese (93.80%) [23] using the same serological test (IHA) in China. Differences in *Chlamydia* seroprevalence are likely due to differences in animal welfares, animal categories, climates, and husbandry practices. Today, there is an increasing demand for rabbit meat in China, and the safety and sanitary quality of meat pose a potential threat to local residents and other people in exported regions. Therefore, it is important to understand the extent of *Chlamydia* infection in domestic rabbits in China.

In the present study, *Chlamydia* seroprevalence in juvenile rabbits (19.83%) was higher than that in adult rabbits (15.18%), but the differences were not statistically significant (P > 0.05), which was consistent with the previous study [14]. And the reason why juveniles were more susceptible than adults and subadults may be that the juveniles had lower immunity compared with adults. Moreover, the *Chlamydia* seroprevalence between male and female rabbits was significantly different (P < 0.05), implying that gender is a crucial factor for *Chlamydia* infection in domestic rabbits.

This study revealed that gender is a significant risk factor for *Chlamydia* prevalence in domestic rabbits, with females having a higher seroprevalence than males. The *Chlamydia* seroprevalence in male and female domestic rabbit was 14.11% and 20.34%, respectively. This tendency is consistent with the studies of Tibetan pigs (females, 17.61%; males, 12.72%) [24] and also concurs with that observed in pet parrots (females, 41.22%; males, 30.06%) [14], in wild boars in Germany (females, 83.3%; males, 42.9%) [25] and Italy (females, 45.95%; males, 38.8%) [22]. Females and males were exposed to *Chlamydia* in the same environment, and in our study females are more sensitive to the pathogen than males for each of the breeds in each region group, which may be due to variation in immune response or antibody persistence rates between females and males.

In the present study, there was no significant difference in *Chlamydia* seroprevalence in rabbits in different provinces (P > 0.05). The statistically similar seropositivity suggests that the pathogen could be mostly transmitted by the direct contact route in domestic rabbits, which is little affected by environmental change. Thus, further studies are needed to illuminate the potential effect of *Chlamydia* on the geographical origin of domestic rabbits.

*Chlamydia* has been reported to be associated with abortion in horses, rabbits, guinea pigs, mice, and pigs [26]. More importantly, *Chlamydia* can cause devastating consequences of infertility, ectopic pregnancy, chronic pelvic...
pain, or even abortion in humans [8, 27], especially for people exposed to Chlamydia infection by working with rabbits, which increased the possibility to be infected [14, 28]. Thus, further studies are needed to illuminate the potential effect of Chlamydia on reproduction of domestic rabbits. Moreover, serological investigation of Chlamydia infection in human (particularly pregnant women) working in rabbit farms in northeast China is also warranted.

Among different breeds of domestic rabbits, Chlamydia seroprevalence in Chinese White Rabbit (20.88%) was higher than that in New Zealand Rabbit (17.61%) and California Rabbit (15.20%), but the differences were not statistically significant, which was consistent with the previous study, and the animal species factor was calculated and was found to be of no significance [29]. Further investigations should be studied about the correlation of Chlamydia infection with the animal species.

In conclusion, the results of the present study revealed that Chlamydia infection in domestic rabbits is highly prevalent in northeast China, but this severe situation has been neglected in the past. Therefore, it is important to carry out integrated control strategies and measures to prevent and control Chlamydia infection in domestic rabbits in China. This is the first report of Chlamydia seroprevalence in domestic rabbits in China.
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