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Making use of solar energy to fly is an up-and-coming technology in the human aviation field since solar energy is renewable and inexhaustible, and more and more attention and efforts have been directed to the development of high-altitude solar aircraft (HSA). Due to the technical constraints of the rechargeable battery, the HSA must carry sufficient batteries to meet the flight power consumption at night, which seriously limits the flight endurance of HSA. To solve this contradiction, the paper has proposed a new energy management strategy (EMS) of multiple flight phases for HSA based on the gravitational energy storage and mission altitude, which aims to achieve the goal of long-endurance flight for HSA. The integrated model of this new EMS includes the aerodynamic model, the kinematic model, the solar irradiation model, the battery model, and the energy management model. Compared with the current EMS of level flight, the flight path of HSA in the new EMS has been divided into five phases: the lower altitude level flight at night, the maximum power ascending for mission altitude, the level flight at mission altitude, the maximum power ascending for higher altitude, and the longest gliding endurance. At last, the calculation of the new EMS for Zephyr 7 is studied by MATLAB/Simulink, and the calculation results indicate that about 22.9% of energy surplus can be stored in battery with the new EMS for Zephyr 7 compared with the current EMS, which is equal to reducing the rechargeable battery weight from 16.0 kg to 12.3 kg. Besides, the results of simulation in the four seasons also show that the new EMS is a very promising way to achieve the long-endurance goal for high-altitude HSA when the flight conditions satisfy some constraints like the deficiency of solar flux and the limit of battery mass.

1. Introduction

Nowadays, environmental pollution and energy crisis are the tough challenges that the world and humanity are facing [1]. Due to the rapid development of solar cell technology, solar energy can gradually play a leader role in exploring the field of renewable and clean energy [1–3]. Therefore, making use of solar energy to fly is a research hotspot, which has attracted a lot of research groups all around the world during the past few years [4–10].

Since the solar energy is renewable and inexhaustible, it has the potential to redefine and increase the flight endurance of aircraft. In the last ten years, many countries and research teams have made great efforts to develop the high-altitude solar aircraft (HSA) [2, 6–12] for the reason that the HSA have the ability to stay over a wide area at high altitude for long endurance; they can function as geostationary satellites, but they are much economical [13, 14]. They are ideally suited to be widely employed in many applications such as natural monitoring, border patrol, planetary exploration, communications relay, remote sensing, field investigations, network services, and electronic warfare [14]. Over the past years, there are two series of high-altitude long-endurance (HALE) solar-powered aircraft programs which aim to achieve the goal of the HALE flight: Zephyr and ERAST [7, 15, 16]. However, due to the technical limitations of rechargeable battery and structure, none of these aircraft has achieved the HALE flight in real sense. As a
result of those pioneering and advanced research studies and experiments, scholars and researchers have gradually realized that the key factor restricting the long-endurance flight of HSA is the problem of how to meet the power consumption at night with the constraints on the weight of the battery [2, 14, 16]. Under the current technique level, the weight of rechargeable battery occupies about 45% of the total mass of aircraft [3, 11]. Meanwhile, the HSA technologies like solar cell conversion efficiency and battery specific capacity are unable to improve rapidly. Therefore, the regenerative power technology such as energy management strategy (EMS) is the key to achieving long-endurance flight for HSA.

In recent decades, some studies have focused on the regenerative power technologies about drones and their applications. The studies [17, 18] show that the efficiency and the attitude angles of the solar cell directly affect the energy production power for the applications of solar drone platform. Especially, Scheiman et al. have built an Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (UAV) with a variety of solar cell technologies in the wing. In order to extend flight endurance, the solar cells mainly include thin flexible GaAs, high efficiency Si, and triple junction InGaP/GaAs/Ge. As a result, the UAV power management system is experimentally verified to perform well. The studies [19, 20] conducted thorough analytical research on rechargeable battery based on energy system of drone. These works are significant in the selection of battery and the estimation of the battery capacity for HSA. The studies [21–26] researched energy system and EMS for HSA and airships, and some important conclusions have been obtained. Some of these designed energy systems which had been experimentally tested and verified have made significant and pioneering contributions to the development for solar drone EMS. In addition, many scholars and researchers have studied and improved the EMS in other fields to increase the power efficiency of energy system, which include the hybrid vehicle, the air conditioning, and the light electric bus [27–31]. However, none of these research papers have studied the EMS for HSA in real sense based on multiple flight phases. As is known, the HSA need to have a multiple-phase flight process in engineering applications, which generally includes executing mission in higher altitude, cruising in lower altitude, and flight paths for gravity energy storage. In the higher altitude level flight during the daytime, the HSA can perform mission like regional residence, the straight back, and the circle hover, as well as charging the battery. At night, the HSA power is all provided by the rechargeable battery. As a result, the HSA could fly uninterruptedly at day and night for long-endurance goal.

Based on this energy system of HSA, the models and methods used in the paper will be introduced and established as follows.

2. Models and Methods

A typical HSA energy system [21, 22] mainly includes solar cell, voltage converter with maximum power point tracking (MPPT) function, energy management system, and rechargeable battery with management system, as shown in Figure 1. In the daytime, the electricity generated by solar cells on the wings can directly supply the power through the energy management system. Meanwhile, the excess solar energy will charge the battery. At night, the HSA power is all provided by the rechargeable battery. As a result, the HSA could fly uninterruptedly at day and night for long-endurance goal.

Based on this energy system of HSA, the models and methods used in the paper will be introduced and established as follows.

2.1. Aircraft Kinematic and Aerodynamic Models

As shown in Figure 2, the study defines the \( O_bX_bY_bZ_b \) as the earth-fixed frame, and the aircraft body-fixed frame is \( O_bX_bY_bZ_b \), where \( O_gX_g, O_gY_g \), and \( O_gZ_g \) represent the north, the west, and the straight directions through the ground. \( O_bX_b \) is located in a symmetric position parallel to the fuselage axis and points forward, \( O_bY_b \) points perpendicularly to the right of the plane of symmetry, and \( O_bZ_b \) obeys the right hand axis rule and is perpendicular to \( O_bX_b, O_bY_b \).

In this paper, the study of the new EMS mainly focuses on the energy supply and consumption characteristics of the energy system for HSA. Thus, the three-dimensional dynamic equations of HSA can be simplified as follows [33]:
\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dv}{dt} &= \frac{T \cos \alpha}{m} - \frac{D}{m} - g \cdot \sin \gamma, \\
\frac{dy}{dt} &= \frac{T \sin \alpha}{mv} + \frac{L}{mv} \cos \psi - \frac{g}{v} \cos \gamma, \\
\frac{d\phi}{dt} &= \frac{L}{mv \cos \gamma} \sin \psi, \\
\frac{dx}{dt} &= v \cos \gamma \cos \phi, \\
\frac{dy}{dt} &= v \cos \gamma \sin \phi, \\
\frac{dh}{dt} &= v \sin \gamma,
\end{align*}
\]

where \(x\), \(y\), and \(h\) are the HSA north, east, and altitude positions in the earth-fixed frame, \(v\) is the HSA speed, \(\psi\) is the roll angle of the HSA, \(\phi\) is the yaw angle, \(\gamma\) is the flight path angle, \(m\) is the total mass of HSA, and \(g\) is the gravity acceleration. \(T\), \(L\), and \(D\) mean the thrust force, aerodynamic lift, and drag forces of the HSA.

As shown in Figure 2, the angle of attack \(\alpha\) is the angle between velocity vector direction and \(O_bX_b\) axis direction, and the pitching angle \(\theta\) is the sum of \(\alpha\) and \(\gamma\).

Generally speaking, the aerodynamic forces \(L\) and \(D\) of the HSA can be expressed in the following formula:

\[
\begin{align*}
L &= \frac{1}{2} \rho v^2 \cdot S_w C_L, \\
D &= \frac{1}{2} \rho v^2 \cdot S_w C_D,
\end{align*}
\]

where \(S_w\) is the wing area, \(C_D\) and \(C_L\) are the drag and lift coefficients, and \(\rho\) is the air density.

2.2 Solar Irradiation Model. As is known, the solar radiation intensity is related to many factors, including the date, latitude, and longitude. The paper summarizes a lot of literature [2, 3, 22, 32–34] about the calculation of solar flux, and the solar power per square meter in the earth-fixed frame can be calculated by the following formula:

\[
\begin{align*}
PS &= I_{SC} \cdot \tau \cdot \sin(H_S), \\
I_{SC} &= I_0 \cdot \left( \frac{1 + \varepsilon \cdot \cos(2\pi (n - 4)/365)}{1 - \varepsilon^2} \right)^2, \\
\sin(H_S) &= \sin \phi \sin \delta + \cos \phi \cos \delta \cos(\pi - \pi \cdot t/12), \\
\delta &= \frac{23.45\pi}{180} \cdot \sin \left( \frac{360}{365}(284 + n) \right),
\end{align*}
\]

where \(P_S\) is the solar power in per square meter, \(I_0\) is the solar irradiance constant that has a value of 1367 W/m\(^2\), \(\tau\) is the transmittance factors, \(H_S\) is the solar elevation angle as shown in Figure 3, \(\varepsilon\) is the eccentricity ratio of earth, \(n\) is the day number of the year, \(\phi\) is the location latitude, \(t\) is the true local solar time, and \(\delta\) is the solar declination angle.

Equation (3) shows a more concise and complete calculation about the \(P_S\) in the earth-fixed frame. In particular, the transmittance factor \(\tau\) is affected by atmospheric conditions.
transparency and so on. That includes the modifying factors, the atmospheric pressure of different altitudes, and the air mass ratio which are described in literature [21]. The parameter $t$ is related to the standard time and the longitude of the location. Those parameters in integrated equation (3) can be introduced and calculated by the formulas in the literature above, which are not be described in detail here.

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
I_{x_b} \\
I_{y_b} \\
I_{z_b}
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
\cos \theta \cos \varphi \\
\sin \psi \sin \theta \cos \varphi - \cos \psi \sin \varphi \\
\cos \varphi \sin \theta \cos \varphi + \sin \varphi \sin \psi \\
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\sin \theta \sin \psi + \cos \theta \cos \psi \sin \varphi \\
\cos \psi \sin \varphi \sin \theta \cos \psi - \sin \psi \cos \theta \sin \varphi \\
- \cos \theta \cos \varphi \\
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
I_{x_g} \\
I_{y_g} \\
I_{z_g}
\end{bmatrix}
$$

To sum up, the solar energy collection model for HSA can be established into a MATLAB function file for further model integration. This solar flux intensity calculation model of HSA on the wings is related to the longitude, latitude, altitude, date, moment, pitch angle, yaw angle, and roll angle.

2.3. Energy Management Strategy. As shown in Figure 1, the function of energy management system is to control the energy flow for HSA, which mainly includes the battery management system and the switching system of power supply mode. During the daytime, the maximum electrical energy generated by solar cells and voltage converter is divided into two parts: one part directly supplies electricity to the propulsion motors and on-board equipment, and the other part will recharge the battery with excess energy. At night, the power supply mode of the energy management system is that the battery powers the propulsion system and the equipments on board. The efficiency values of each component of the energy system are listed in Table 1.

Generally speaking, the MPPT function will be embedded in the solar voltage converter. Therefore, the $\eta_{mppt}$ is the efficiency of converting solar energy into electricity in this study. Besides, it will not distinguish between battery charging and discharging efficiency in general research field. In order to simplify the calculation, this paper assumes that the battery charge and discharge efficiency are equal to 0.98 and the propulsion system efficiency is reasonably given as 0.7 although the efficiencies of motors and airscrewwill vary with altitude and Reynolds number. Thus, the thrust $T$ of the propulsion system can be obtained by the following formula:

$$
T = \eta_{ma} \frac{P_{prop}}{v},
$$

where $P_{prop}$ is the propulsion system power.

2.3.1. Current Energy Management Strategy Based on Level Flight. The purpose of EMS for HSA is to realize long-endurance flight with the limit of battery mass at night. For most of the reported HSA energy systems, the EMS can be expressed as flying with minimum power consumption with the constraints of lift and weight balance, thrust and drag balance, and energy supply and demand balance, as shown in Figure 4.

Generally, the surplus energy will charge the battery by the current EMS if the output solar power $P_{mppt}$ of the voltage converter is larger than the power $P_{prop}$ (as on-board equipment power can be ignored for the $P_{prop}$). Meanwhile, the insufficient required power will be supplemented by the
battery when the $P_{\text{mppt}}$ is less than the $P_{\text{prop}}$. The output power $P_{\text{mppt}}$ of the voltage converter is calculated as follows:

$$P_{\text{mppt}} = \begin{bmatrix} I_{Xb} \\ I_{Yb} \\ I_{Zb} \end{bmatrix} \cdot S_{\text{sc}} \cdot \eta_{\text{mppt}},$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)$$

where $S_{\text{sc}}$ is the solar cells area in the wing. For the HSA level flight,

$$\begin{align*}
\gamma &= 0, \\
D &= T \cdot \cos \alpha, \\
L &= mg - T \cdot \sin \alpha.
\end{align*}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

The power consumption of the aircraft in level flight can be obtained as shown in the following formula:

$$\eta_{\text{ma}} \cdot P_{\text{prop}} = P_{\text{level}} = T \cdot \nu = \frac{D \cdot \nu}{\cos \alpha} = \frac{C_D}{C_L^{(3/2)}} \left( \frac{mg - T \cdot \sin \alpha}{\cos \alpha} \right) \sqrt{\frac{2(mg - T \cdot \sin \alpha)}{\rho \cdot S_W}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

The angle of attack $\alpha$ control command for the HSA flight path can be expressed as

$$\alpha_{\text{level}}^* = \min_{\alpha_{\text{min}} < \alpha < \alpha_{\text{max}}} \left( P_{\text{prop}} \right) = \min_{\alpha_{\text{min}} < \alpha < \alpha_{\text{max}}} \left\{ \frac{C_D}{C_L^{(3/2)}} \left( \frac{mg - T \cdot \sin \alpha}{\cos \alpha} \right) \sqrt{\frac{2(mg - T \cdot \sin \alpha)}{\rho \cdot S_W}} \right\}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

Therefore, the current EMS of HSA can be expressed as follows:

$$\begin{align*}
q_{\text{max}}, \\
\left( P_{\text{mppt}} - P_{\text{prop}} \right) \eta_{\text{b}} \eta_{\text{bm}} \geq q_{\text{max}}, \\
\left( P_{\text{mppt}} - P_{\text{prop}} \right) \eta_{\text{b}} \eta_{\text{bm}} \leq q_{\text{max}}, \\
\left( P_{\text{mppt}} - P_{\text{prop}} \right) \eta_{\text{b}} \eta_{\text{bm}} < 0, \\
P_{\text{mppt}} = 0, \\
0, \quad Q_B \leq Q_{B_{\text{min}}} \text{ or } Q_B \geq Q_{B_{\text{max}}},
\end{align*}$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

where $E$ is the battery charge and discharge power (positive means charging; negative means discharging), $q_{\text{max}}$ is the battery maximum charging power, and $Q_B$ is the electricity energy in battery.

### 2.3.2. Energy Management Strategy Based on Multiple Flight Phases

As shown in (11), the current EMS of HSA is relatively simple because more attention has been paid to the engineering applications, and the HSA can achieve long-endurance flight only by carrying more batteries. However, the more batteries will increase the power consumption during the level flight. Thus, the current EMS which simply relies on battery to store energy is not an effective way of

---

**Table 1:** The efficiency of each component in the energy management system.

| Components         | Symbol | Value | Units |
|--------------------|--------|-------|-------|
| Solar cell         | $\eta_{\text{sc}}$ | 0.2   | –     |
| Voltage converter  | $\eta_{\text{mppt}}$ | 0.95  | –     |
| Battery            | $\eta_{\text{b}}$  | 0.98  | –     |
| Battery manager    | $\eta_{\text{bm}}$ | 0.99  | –     |
| Motors and airscrew| $\eta_{\text{ma}}$ | 0.7   | –     |

---

**Figure 4:** Schematic diagram of the current energy management strategy based on level flight.
using solar energy, and the research of new EMS based on multiple flight phases including the lower cruising altitude and higher mission altitude for HSA will be particularly important.

The flight path of EMS based on multiple flight phases proposed in this paper is mainly divided into five stages, as shown in Figure 5. The design of mission altitude $h_2$ is beneficial to the engineering applications, and this is also conducive to charging the battery smoothly. Besides, it can be seen that the HSA can also execute a flight mission in a stable process in the mission altitude $h_2$ with the lower wind speed. On the other hand, the HSA can cruise in lower power consumption due to the lower altitude than the required power $P_{\text{prop}}$ of the voltage converter is larger than the required power $P_{\text{prop}}$ in $h_1$ altitude. During this stage, the HSA is only supplied by battery for cruising. The required power for level flight of the HSA can be gained by (9). The proposed EMS at this stage can be represented by the following formula:

$$\dot{E} = \begin{cases} -\frac{P_{\text{prop}}}{(\eta_{f}\eta_{\text{hm}})}, & Q_B > Q_{B_{-\text{min}}}, \\ 0, & Q_B \leq Q_{B_{-\text{min}}}. \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

(2) Phase 2: Maximum Power Ascending for Higher Altitude. The phase of flight begins at time $t_0$ when the solar energy on the wings generated by solar cells at flight altitude $h_1$ is enough to sustain the climbing flight, and the stage finishes at time $t_1$ when the HSA reach the mission altitude $h_2$. During the whole phase, all the electrical energy generated by solar cells is applied for ascending. Thus, the proposed EMS of HSA satisfies

$$\dot{E} = \begin{cases} 0, \\ P_{\text{mpt}} = P_{\text{prop}} = \frac{T \cdot \nu}{\eta_{\text{ma}}}, \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

(3) Phase 3: Higher Altitude Charging Battery. This stage of flight starts at time $t_1$ when HSA get to the mission altitude $h_2$ for level flight, and ends at time $t_2$ when the solar energy charges the battery to the $Q_{B_{\text{max}}}$. In this stage, HSA only charge the battery at the mission altitude $h_2$, where some missions can be performed. The power supply mode is as follows: one part of the electricity generated by the solar cells is used to sustain the flight of the HSA, while the rest of the solar energy will charge the battery. At this stage, the proposed EMS of HSA is represented by the following formula:

$$\dot{E} = \begin{cases} q_{\text{max}}, \\ (P_{\text{mpt}} - P_{\text{prop}})\eta_{f}\eta_{\text{hm}} \geq q_{\text{max}}, \\ 0 < (P_{\text{mpt}} - P_{\text{prop}})\eta_{f}\eta_{\text{hm}} < q_{\text{max}}, \\ Q_B \geq Q_{B_{-\text{max}}}. \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

(4) Phase 4: Maximum Power Ascending for More Gravitational Potential Energy. This stage of flight begins at time $t_2$, with no task execution at mission altitude $h_2$, and finishes at time $t_3$ if the solar flux is zero. This stage consists of two processes: maximum power climbing when the $P_{\text{mpt}}$ of voltage converter is more than the $P_{\text{prop}}$, and powered gravity gliding when the $P_{\text{mpt}}$ could not satisfy the power consumption at its altitude. In this maximum power ascending phase, the HSA can make full use of the excess solar energy to gain the maximum altitude. The mode of power supply in this phase is as follows: all the solar energy is applied for powering the HSA for ascending and the battery is neither charged nor discharged. Therefore, the proposed EMS of HSA satisfies

$$\dot{E} = \begin{cases} 0, \\ P_{\text{mpt}} = P_{\text{prop}} = \frac{T \cdot \nu}{\eta_{\text{ma}}}, \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

(5) Phase 5: Longest Endurance Gliding. This phase of flight starts at time $t_3$ when there is no solar energy production by the solar cell and finishes at time $t_4$ when the HSA glides to the lower altitude $h_1$. During the entire phase, there is no solar energy to provide the HSA propulsion system and the thrust is zero. Besides, the battery will not supply any energy to the flight of HSA as the way to use gravity energy reserving. During the phase, the proposed EMS of HSA satisfies

$$\dot{E} = 0, \\ Q_B = Q_{B_{\text{max}}}, \quad (16)$$

To sum up, (12)–(16) constitute the EMS of HSA based on multiple flight phases.

2.4. Problem Implementation Frame and Solving Process. As shown in Figure 5 above, in phase 1, the HSA flight altitude is the altitude $h_1$, and the $P_{\text{mpt}}$ is basically close the zero, which is much smaller than $P_{\text{prop}}$ until $t_0$ time. During phase 2, the HSA climbs quickly between the altitude $h_1$ and altitude $h_2$, and the output power $P_{\text{mpt}}$ of the voltage converter is greater than the required power $P_{\text{prop}}$. In phase 3, the HSA only charges the battery at the mission altitude $h_2$ by the surplus from the $P_{\text{mpt}}$ to $P_{\text{prop}}$. During phase 4, the output power $P_{\text{mpt}}$ is used to power the aircraft for...
ascending, which is equal to the propulsion system consumption $P_{\text{prop}}$. At the last phase, the HSA glides to the cruising altitude $h_1$ under the condition that the $P_{\text{mppt}}$ and $P_{\text{prop}}$ are zero. Therefore, the three variables flight altitude $h$, propulsion power $P_{\text{prop}}$, and solar power $P_{\text{mppt}}$ can be used to determine which flight phase the aircraft is in. The efficiency of each component in energy management system must be also added in judging the phase. As a result, the HSA can adopt the appropriate proposed EMS at different phases. Table 2 shows the logical relationship to determine each flight phase according to $h$, $P_{\text{prop}}$, and $P_{\text{mppt}}$.

In phase 4, the logical relationship about flight altitude $h$ is set as $h > h_2$, which may be seen to be unreasonable as the HSA may be at altitude $h_1 < h < h_2$ before the sunset at weak irradiation. However, the study carefully conducts many flight path simulations based on our previous research [35], and it can be concluded that the flight altitude $h$ at $t_3$ time is greater than $h_2$, the same as the other research conclusions [5]. Meanwhile, the study will also take flight time $t > t_{\text{noon}}$ (the local standard time at noon) as the logical relationship in the proposed EMS model for following up further simulation. Therefore, the logical relationship in Table 2 can be reasonably used to build the new EMS model.

Based on the above introduction, a simulation application model of HSA about the proposed EMS calculation has been built as shown in Figure 6, where the aircraft kinematic and aerodynamic models are established based on (1) and (2). The solar irradiation model can be calculated according to (3)–(5).

In summary, the integrated model as shown in Figure 6 can calculate the new EMS in MATLAB/Simulink for HSA based on multiple flight phases, and it could be also used for the current EMS calculation with some modifications. The solving process is mainly the parameters initialization and calculation results analysis.

3. Simulation and Discussion

3.1. Simulation Parameters Setting. To illustrate the idea and advantage of the proposed EMS above, the results of numerical simulations on the current EMS and the new EMS have been calculated for comparison in this section, respectively. Firstly, the common atmospheric environment of the flight area has been analyzed for parameters settings, and the flight location of the HSA is set to Sanya, China (109°E, 18.3°N). Meanwhile, the initial configuration parameters of HSA are listed in Table 3; they are gained from Zephyr 7 because it has a detailed and comprehensive introduction in all the literature [3, 6, 11, 15] of HSA.

During the simulation process, the flight start time of Zephyr 7 is at 00:00 in June 20. The conditions parameters are shown in Table 4.

3.2. Results and Discussion. As the initialization of the setting parameters above shows, the calculation results between the current EMS and the proposed EMS of the HSA have been obtained by the MATLAB/Simulink. The comparisons of altitude $h$, quantity of electricity in battery $Q_B$, battery charge
and discharge power $E$, HSA speed $v$, pitching angle $\theta$, and propulsion power $P_{\text{prop}}$ are shown in Figures 7–11, respectively. Meanwhile, the red dotted line in each figure represents the available solar power $P_{\text{mppt}}$ in the wings of the HSA, which also starts at 00:00 in June 20. Generally, the green line represents the parameter in the proposed EMS based on multiple flight phases, and the black line means the parameter in the current EMS of level flight.

Figure 7 shows that the highest altitude of the HSA can reach about 30 km at time 14:46 based on the proposed EMS, which indicates that HSA makes full use of the solar energy to acquire higher altitude. As a result, the HSA can fly by gravitational potential energy at about 18:30–22:00 as shown in Figure 9.

Figure 8 and 9 show that the battery discharge time of the proposed EMS is 3.50 hours later than the current EMS. As a result, compared to the current EMS, about 22.9% of energy surplus can be stored in battery with the proposed EMS during the long-endurance flight, which is conducive to the

### Table 2: Logical relationship to determine each flight phase.

| Variables | Phase 1 | Phase 2 | Phase 3 | Phase 4 | Phase 5 |
|-----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| $h$       | $h = h_1$ | $h_1 < h < h_2$ | $h = h_2$ | $h > h_2$ | $h > h_1$ |
| $P_{\text{mppt}} < P_{\text{prop}}$ | $P_{\text{mppt}} < P_{\text{prop}}$ | $P_{\text{mppt}} = P_{\text{prop}}$ | $P_{\text{mppt}} > P_{\text{prop}}$ | $P_{\text{mppt}} = P_{\text{prop}}$ | $P_{\text{mppt}} = P_{\text{prop}} = 0$ |

### Table 3: The initial configuration parameters for model.

| Parameters | Values | Units | Descriptions |
|-----------|--------|-------|--------------|
| $m$       | 50     | kg    | Mass of aircraft |
| $Q_{B_{\text{max}}}$ | 6      | kWh   | Total power capacity of battery |
| $Q_{B_{\text{init}}}$ | 3.5   | kWh   | The initial electric quantity of battery |
| $b$       | 22.5   | m     | Span length |
| $S_W$     | 25.3   | m$^2$ | Wing area |
| $S_{SC}$  | 16.2   | m$^2$ | Area of solar cells |

### Table 4: The simulation conditions parameters.

| Parameters | Values | Units | Descriptions |
|-----------|--------|-------|--------------|
| $h_1$     | 16     | km    | Cruising altitude |
| $h_2$     | 21     | km    | Mission altitude |
| $d_n$     | 174    | –     | day number |
| Lati      | 18.3   | °     | Latitude of location |
| Long      | 109    | °     | Longitude of location |

Figure 6: Simulation model of proposed energy management strategy for solar-powered aircraft based on multiple flight stages.
long-endurance flight of the HSA, especially the power consumption at night.

Figure 10 shows that the speed and pitching angle have the same trend as the flight altitude as shown in Figure 7, and the speed and pitching angle are constant in the current EMS and are changing in the proposed EMS. In addition, the changing of speed and propulsion power at sunrise and sunset in Figures 10 and 11 also indicate that the simulation results are reasonable with the proposed EMS based on multiple flight phases. Moreover, it is very attractive to analyze the changes like battery power and solar power at these special moments, which are helpful to understand the problem and validate the model.

In addition, a simulation example has been completed in different seasons (Spring Mar. 20, Summer Jun. 20, Autumn Sep. 20, and Winter Dec. 20) in Sanya. The simulation results are shown in Figures 12 and 13, and Figure 12 shows the variety of altitude and solar power in wings based on the proposed EMS in the four seasons. It can be concluded that the maximum flight altitude and the time of filling the battery will be strongly associated with the level of solar irradiation, especially in winter. Furthermore, Figure 13 displays the electric quantity of the batteries between the new EMS and the current EMS in the four seasons, and it clearly indicates that the current EMS cannot meet day and night flight for HSA in winter as the final electric quantity in battery is less than $Q_{B,0:00} = 3.5$ kWh. What is more, the final electric quantity of the batteries with the proposed EMS in the four seasons is always larger than the $Q_{B,0:00}$ with the current EMS. Therefore, the proposed EMS based on multiple flight phases is a very promising way to achieve the
Figure 9: The comparison of battery charge and discharge power in the two energy management strategies.

Figure 10: The comparison of speed and pitching angle in the two energy management strategies: (a) speed; (b) pitching angle.

Figure 11: The comparison of propulsion power in the two energy management strategies.
long-endurance goal on condition of lower solar irradiation and shorter daytime for HSA.

4. Conclusions

In this paper, a new EMS based on multiple flight phases including the mission altitude has been proposed to enhance the flight endurance for HSA. Compared to the current relatively simple EMS, the flight path of the proposed EMS has five phases, namely, the lower altitude level flight at night, the maximum power ascending for mission altitude, the level flight at mission altitude, the maximum power ascending for higher altitude, and the longest gliding endurance. Phase 2, phase 4, and phase 5 could make good fitness and use of the gravity energy reserving strategy, and phase 3 shows and provides the possibility that HSA perform missions such as the regional residence, the straight back, and the circle hover just by more power consumption under the sufficient irradiation condition during the daytime. Besides, phase 3 can also give a smooth charging process relative to other research, which is more conducive to engineering applications.

The results of numerical simulations for Zephyr 7 on different season days have shown that the energy surplus with the new EMS is always more than the current EMS, since a fair amount of solar energy has been stored by the gravity energy reserving strategy based on this multiple flight phases. From the simulation results, the discharge time of Zephyr 7 battery can be delayed for about 3.50 hours with the proposed EMS on summer season days, and about 22.9% of energy surplus can be stored during the night, which is equal to reducing the battery weight from 16.0 kg to 12.3 kg. In addition, the simulation results on winter season days clearly indicate that Zephyr 7 can fly across the day and night, but the current EMS cannot. Therefore, the new proposed EMS is a very promising way to achieve the long-endurance goal for HSA when the flight conditions satisfy some constraints such as the deficiency of solar flux and the limit of battery mass.

It is also necessary to note that the study is a new two-dimensional multiple-flight-phase EMS for HSA based on our previous research of 3D trajectory optimization, and the specific typical missions were not added to the simulation calculations because the purpose of this study is the new EMS and its calculations. Thus, some improved research will be studied and analyzed in the future.
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