Effect of Estrogen on Heteronemin-Induced Anti-proliferative Effect in Breast Cancer Cells With Different Estrogen Receptor Status
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Estrogen (E₂) has multiple functions in breast cancers including stimulating cancer growth and interfering with chemotherapeutic efficacy. Heteronemin, a marine sesterterpenoid-type natural product, has cytotoxicity on cancer cells. Breast cancer cell lines, MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231, were used for investigating mechanisms involved in inhibitory effect of E₂ on heteronemin-induced anti-proliferation in breast cancer cells with different estrogen receptor (ER) status. Cytotoxicity was detected by cell proliferation assay and flow cytometry, gene expressions were determined by qPCR, mechanisms were investigated by Western blot and Mitochondrial ROS assay. Heteronemin exhibited potent cytotoxic effects against both ER-positive and ER-negative breast cancer cells. E₂ stimulated cell growth in ER-positive breast cancer cells. Heteronemin induced anti-proliferation via suppressing activation of ERK1/2 and STAT3. Heteronemin suppressed E₂-induced proliferation in both breast cancer cells although some gene expressions and anti-proliferative effects were inhibited in the presence of E₂ in MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cells with a higher concentration of heteronemin. Heteronemin decreased the Bcl-2/Bax ratio to inhibit proliferation of MDA-MB-231 but not in MCF-7 cells. Both heteronemin and E₂ increased mitochondrial reactive oxygen species but combined treatment reversed superoxide dismutase (SOD)s accumulation in MCF-7 cells. Heteronemin caused G₀/G₁ phase arrest and
INTRODUCTION

Although early detection methods and effective treatments have been developed for breast cancer, it is still the most common diagnosed cancer among women (Bray et al., 2018). Despite advancements in diagnostics and systemic treatments, up to one-third of patients with breast cancer undergo a mastectomy as initial surgical treatment to achieve local control (Anampa et al., 2015). Adjunct systemic treatment, including chemotherapy, reduces the risks of distant recurrence and breast cancer mortality.

Generally, 17β-estradiol (E2) binds with estrogen receptor-α (ER-α) as a transcription factor complex to regulate expression of target genes and proteins that are important for biological functions (Hofseth et al., 1999). However, estrogen can initiate breast cancer development, and promotes breast cancer cell growth. Recently, we showed that estrogen is able to bind αvβ3, a cell surface integrin, to activate signal transduction and cancer growth (Cody et al., 2007); however, the mechanisms are not fully revealed. Although the ER-regulated signaling transduction pathway plays a vital role in breast cancer growth, it does not involve in breast cancer initiation (Cavaliere and Rorgan, 2014). On the other hand, strong evidence indicates that estrogen oxidative metabolism may initiate breast cancer development majorly (Cavaliere et al., 1997; Devanesan et al., 2001).

Reactive oxygen species (ROS) include hydroxyl radicals (OH·), hydrogen peroxide H2O2, and superoxide (O2−) (Poillet-Perez et al., 2015). Both aerobic glycolysis and mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation are cellular sources of ROS. During oxidation, electrons leak from the electron transport chain, transfer to oxygen, and convert approximately 1~5% of the oxygen into superoxide (Bhat et al., 2015). However, additional electrons that prematurely leak from the respiratory chain under stressful conditions exacerbate superoxide production, thus causing detrimental effects (Poillet-Perez et al., 2015). At low concentrations, they are essential signaling molecules. On the other hand, high ROS quantities can cause damage to DNA and other macromolecules to trigger senescence (Cobbaut and Van Lint, 2018). High concentrations of ROS also permeabilize mitochondria leading to the release of cytochrome c which induces apoptosis (Cobbaut and Van Lint, 2018). Breast cancer cells modify metabolic pathways to facilitate increased proliferation and cell survival resulting in glucose and glutamine (Gwangwa et al., 2019). Cancer cells increase ROS production during cancer cell proliferation. Estrogen-mediated high ROS accumulation plays a key role in driving carcinogenesis (Tian et al., 2016). Excessive ROS serve as important effectors to increase genomic instability and activate redox-associated signaling pathways. Physiologically available concentrations of estrogens or estrogen metabolites that directly act on the mitochondria of mammary epithelial cells produce ROS, which subsequently enhances the phosphorylation of kinases to activate redox-sensitive transcription factors (Okoh et al., 2013). Therefore, ROS are important mediators of estrogen-induced cancer. Two metabolites of estrogen, 2-OHE1E2, and 4-OHE1E2, are highly redox-active and generate ROS in breast epithelial cells (Fussell et al., 2011). Long-term exposure to estrogen induces ROS overproduction and increases mitochondrial (mt)DNA mutations and mitochondrial protein damage. A recent study indicated that 4-OHE1E2 induces ROS and causes malignant transformation of MCF-10A cells. However, biological or chemical ROS scavengers prevent 4-OHE1E2-induced carcinogenesis in MCF-10A cells (Okoh et al., 2013). Excess ROS generated by repeated exposure to 4-OHE1(E2) caused malignancy of human mammary epithelial cells in nude mice (Okoh et al., 2013).

Heteronemin, the most abundant secondary metabolite in the sponge *Hippospongia sp.*, exhibited potent cytotoxic activities against several cancer cell lines. It induced apoptosis in different types of cancer cells (Kollmann et al., 2015; Lee Y. S. et al., 2018; Lin et al., 2018; Cheng et al., 2019; Huang et al., 2020). It inhibited activation of extracellular signal-regulated kinase 1/2 (ERK1/2) and signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (STAT3) (Huang et al., 2020). The role of nuclear factor (NF)-κB in heteronemin-induced anti-proliferation is controversial (Schumacher et al., 2010; Chen et al., 2018). It upregulated talin expression and talin phosphorylation in leukemia Molt4 cells (Chen et al., 2018). Heteronemin was shown to modulate mitochondrial (mt)ROS and oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS) (Cheng et al., 2019). Some studies indicated that heteronemin induces apoptosis via inhibition of the transforming growth factor (TGF)-β signal transduction pathway in cholangiocarcinomas (Lin et al., 2018). In addition, it inhibits p53 expression but does not affect apoptosis (Lin et al., 2018). Altogether, results have shown that this compound has potential as an anti-inflammatory and anti-cancer agent (Schumacher et al., 2010). Mitochondria are major sites for apoptosis, and they are highly regulated by the Bcl-2 family of proteins comprising both anti-apoptotic (Bcl-2 and Bcl-xL) and proapoptotic (Bax and Bak) members (el-Deiry et al., 1994; Chippuk et al., 2004; Papi et al., 2008; Weng et al., 2009; Xu et al., 2009; Gwangwa et al., 2019). Therefore, targeting mitochondria is a novel strategy for cancer therapy. Heteronemin was shown to target mitochondrial-mediated apoptosis (Wu et al., 2015; Chen et al., 2018; Cheng et al., 2019); however, evidence indicates there are other death pathways involved. Heteronemin reduced the percentage of cells in the S phase to suppress cancer cell growth. In conclusion, Heteronemin suppressed both ER-positive and ER-negative breast cancer cell proliferation. Interactions between E2 and heteronemin in signal transduction, gene expressions, and biological activities provide insights into the complex pathways by which anti-proliferation is induced by heteronemin in E2-replete environments.
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induced a novel type of programmed cell death, "Ferroptosids" (Chang et al., 2021).

In the present study, we investigated the inhibitory effect of E2 on heteronemin-induced cytotoxic effects via suppressing activation of ERK1/2 and STAT3 in breast cancer cells. Heteronemin and E2 showed different effects on expressions of proliferation, angiogenesis, and growth factor receptor genes in breast cancer cells. E2 showed inhibitory effects on heteronemin-induced signal transduction, gene expression, and anti-proliferation in breast cancer cells. However, heteronemin induced anti-proliferation via different patterns in ER-positive and negative breast cancer cells. In the presence of E2, heteronemin induced anti-proliferation via modulating ROS in MCF-7 cells. On the other hand, it decreased Bcl-2/Bax ratio to inhibit cancer growth in MDA-MB-231 cells.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell Lines

Human ER-positive MCF-7 breast cancer cells (ATCC® HTB-22™), ER-negative MDA-MB-231 cells (ATCC® HTB-26™) and normal epithelial cell line Vero (ATCC® CCL-81™) was established from kidney of normal adult African green monkey were obtained from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Manassas, VA, United States). These cell lines were tested and authenticated by BCRC (isoenzyme analysis, Mycoplasma, cytogenetics, tumorigenesis, and receptor expression testing). Cells were maintained in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM, Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, United States), supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS). Incubation conditions were 5% CO2 at 37°C. Before the study, cells were placed in a 0.25% hormone-depleted serum-supplemented medium for 2 days.

Cell Viability Assay

MCF-7, MDA-MB-231, and Vero cells were plated at a density of 4 x 10^3 cells/well in 96-well plates. Cell viability was determined by using the Alamar Blue® Assay Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Watertown, MA, United States) at 72 h after treatment. Medium containing different drugs was replaced daily. At the time of detection, medium was removed, and cells were incubated with Alamar Blue® reagent for 2 h at 37°C according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Plates were then analyzed using a VersaMax Microplate reader (Molecular Devices, San Jose, CA, United States) at a wavelength of 570 nm, with 600 nm as a reference.

Real-Time Quantitative Polymerase Chain Reaction (qPCR)

Total RNA was extracted with genomic DNA removed with an Illustra RNAspin Mini RNA Isolation Kit (GE Healthcare Life Sciences, Buckinghamshire, United Kingdom). DNase I-treated total RNA (1 μg) was reverse-transcribed using a RevertAid H Minus First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Life Technologies) into complementary (c)DNA. cDNAs were used as the template for the real-time PCR and analysis. Real-time PCRs were conducted using a Quant Nova SYBR® Green PCR Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) on a CFX Connect™ Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, United States). The reaction procedure involved initial denaturation at 95°C for 5 min, followed by 40 cycles of denaturing at 95°C for 5 s and combined annealing/extension at 60°C for 10 s, as shown in detail in the manufacturer’s instructions. Primer sequences are listed in Table 1. The relative gene expression (normalized to 18s reference gene) was calculated according to the ΔΔCT method. The fidelity of the PCR was determined by a melting temperature analysis.

Western Blot Analysis

To examine the effects of E2 and heteronemin on signaling pathways, Western blot analyses were conducted to quantify protein expression levels of phosphorylated (p)STAT3-S727, p-protein kinase Ca (PKCa)-T497, and pERK1/2 in MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cells. For the Western blot analyses, cells were lysed, and extracted protein samples were separated by 10% sodium dodecylsulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE). A 30-μg quantity of protein was loaded into each well with 5 x sample buffer and samples were resolved by electrophoresis at 100 V for 2 h. Resolved proteins were transferred from the polyacrylamide gel to Millipore Immobilon-PSQ Transfer polyvinylidene difluoride membranes (Millipore, Germany) on a CFX Connect™ Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, United States). The reaction procedure involved initial denaturation at 95°C for 5 min, followed by 40 cycles of denaturing at 95°C for 5 s and combined annealing/extension at 60°C for 10 s, as shown in detail in the manufacturer’s instructions. Primer sequences are listed in Table 1. The relative gene expression (normalized to 18s reference gene) was calculated according to the ΔΔCT method. The fidelity of the PCR was determined by a melting temperature analysis.

### Table 1 | Primer sequences for the qPCR

| Name      | Forward                          | Reverse                          |
|-----------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| CCND1     | 5'-CAAGGCGCTGAACCTGAGGAGG-3'     | 5'-GATCACGTCTCGAGAAGGAGCGG-3'    |
| c-Myc     | 5'-TTGGGTAGCTGAAAAACGAG-3'       | 5'-CAGACAAGTGCAATTTCTC-3'        |
| PO-L1     | 5'-GTTGAGAAGCCGAGCTGTCGCC-3'     | 5'-ACCCGTGATCCGTAATTT-3'         |
| Bcl-2     | 5'-TTGCCAGCGCAGAACCCTATG-3'      | 5'-CAAGGCGGCCAGCAATGATA-3'       |
| p21       | 5'-CTGGGGGTATCGTGCTGAAGAC-3'     | 5'-CAGGCGGCACCAATGCTGG-3'        |
| Ki-67     | 5'-GAACAGGTGACAGCTTCCGCC-3'      | 5'-CAGGCGGCCCAAAACTGACCTCC-3'    |
| EGFR      | 5'-AATTTACAGGAAAACTGACCATGC-3'   | 5'-GATCTCTCCAGTGGACAA-3'         |
| Bax       | 5'-CAATACCCGCTGAAGGAGG-3'        | 5'-CAGGCGCCCAAAACTGACCTCC-3'    |
| BAD       | 5'-CCCTAAGGAGGACTTCCGCC-3'       | 5'-GAAGGAGGAGGACTTCCGCC-3'       |
| TGIF-α    | 5'-GGCTCGAGACACGACTTATGAG-3'     | 5'-GCTGAGTCTGGAGGAGGACTTCCGCC-3'|
| UCP2      | 5'-GGAGGCTGCTGCGAGATTCCAA-3'     | 5'-GAGTGAGGAGGAGGACTTCCGCC-3'    |
| 18s       | 5'-GTATCCTGGAGAGGAGGAC-3'        | 5'-CCATCCAAATGGTAGTGAGGAC-3'     |
Mitochondrial ROS Assay

Changes in mitochondrial ROS that occurred during apoptosis were detected with a fluorescence-based assay. Mitochondrial ROS was detected with a Mitochondrial Superoxide Detection Kit (ab219943, Abcam, Cambridge, United Kingdom). MCF-7 cells were cultured as previously described. After being starved with 0.25% stripped FBS-containing medium for 2 days, cells were re-fed using 5% stripped FBS-containing medium and treated with E2 and heteronemin. Antimycin A at 50 µM was used as a positive control. After 24 h, cells were processed with the Mitochondrial Superoxide Detection Kit according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Excitation at 540 nm and emission at 590 nm was read with a spectral scanning multimode reader (Thermo Fisher Scientific Varioskan Flash, Waltham, MA, United States).

Cell Cycle Assay

MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cells were seeded at a density of 1.5 × 10^5 cells/well in six-well plates. After starvation with 0.25% stripped FBS-containing medium for 2 days, cells were re-fed using 5% stripped FBS-containing medium and treated with agents for 24 h. Cells were trypsinized and fixed with 70% ethanol and stored at −20°C for 2 weeks prior to propidium iodide (PI) staining and a flow cytometric analysis. Cells were incubated with 1 ml of phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) containing 0.5% Triton X-100 and 0.05% RNase A for 1 h, then stained with PI/RNase Staining Buffer (BD, San Jose, CA, United States) in the dark at room temperature for 30 min. Flow cytometry was carried out on an Invitrogen Attune™ Nxt Acoustic Focusing Cytometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA, United States). Percentages of DNA contents were analyzed using Attune Nxt Flow Cytometer software (ver. 4.2) to determine the fractions of each phase of the cell cycle (sub G0/G1, G0/G1, S, and G2/M).

Statistical Analysis

All collected data for immunobots, nucleotide densities, and cell densities were analyzed by IBM® SPSS® Statistics software vers. 19.0 (SPSS, Chicago, IL, United States). Student’s t-test was conducted, and p-values of < 0.05 (*, or †), 0.01 **, ††, or $$), and 0.001 (***, †††, or $$$) as thresholds of significance, were used to evaluate the significance of effects of estrogen, heteronemin, and their combined treatment.

RESULTS

Heteronemin Induces Anti-proliferation and Reverses E2–Induced Proliferation in ER-Positive and ER-Negative Breast Cancer Cells

Heteronemin inhibited cell proliferation in different kinds of cancer cells (Lee Y. S. et al., 2018; Lin et al., 2018; Huang et al., 2020). We examined the inhibitory effect of heteronemin on human ER-positive and ER-negative breast cancer cells. ER-positive MCF-7 cells and ER-negative breast cancer MDA-MB-231 cells were treated with different concentrations of heteronemin that were refreshed daily for 3 days, and a cell viability assay was conducted.

Heteronemin significantly inhibited the viability of MCF-7 cells at concentrations higher than 0.625 µM and IC50 = 0.8779 µM (Figure 1A). In MDA-MB-231 cells, there was significant inhibition at concentrations higher than 0.3125 µM and IC50 = 0.8672 µM (Figure 1B). However, with less toxicity in Vero cells that are the normal kidney epithelial cells extracted from an African green monkey and IC50 = 3.5676 µM (Figure 1C). These results suggest that both ER-positive and ER-negative breast cancer cells were sensitive to heteronemin treatment. Moreover, E2 induced cell proliferation and reversed anti-proliferation partially induced by heteronemin in MCF-7 cells (Figure 1D). On the other hand, E2 did not promote proliferation in MDA-MB-231 cells. However, it slightly reversed heteronemin-induced anti-proliferation at 1.25 µM in MDA-MB-231 cells (Figure 1E). Although E2 affect cell proliferation in breast cancer cells, the cell viability were suppressed in the co-treatments. Additionally, combined E2 and heteronemin also do not affect normal cell proliferation (Figure 1E).

Heteronemin Regulates Gene Expressions Differently in ER-Positive and ER-Negative Breast Cancer Cells

We further studied the effects of heteronemin on gene expressions. Both MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cells were treated with different concentrations of heteronemin for 24 h. Cells were harvested and RNA was extracted. qPCR studies were conducted for Ki-67, CCND1, c-Myc, Bcl-2, PD-L1, and p21. Heteronemin significantly inhibited expressions of the proliferation genes, Ki-67 and CCND-1, in concentration-dependent manners in both MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cells. It decreased c-Myc expression only in MDA-MB-231 cells, while in MCF-7 cells, c-Myc expression significantly increased with heteronemin treatment (Figure 2).

Additionally, Heteronemin inhibited programmed death-ligand 1 (PD-L1) expression and stimulated expression of the proapoptotic gene, p21 in dose-dependent manners in both MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cells (Figure 2). Heteronemin inhibited Bcl-2 expression only with a high concentration...
Ki-67 gene expression of BAD expression of expression and the expression of Bax. In MCF-7 cells (Figure 3A). The increased phosphorylated STAT3 and ERK1/2 inhibited the increases of Ki-67, CCND1, EGFR, and PD-L1 expressions in ER-positive breast cancer cells (Figure 1). It is important to note that heteronemin inhibited expressions of c-Myc and Bcl-2 in ER-negative breast cancer cells but stimulated their expressions in ER-positive breast cancer cells (Figure 2).

### Heteronemin Reverses E2-Induced Gene Expression in Breast Cancer Cells

We also studied the effect of E2 on genes regulated by heteronemin in ER-positive and ER-negative breast cancer cells. In co-treatment, we chose 0.625 and 0.3125 μM heteronemin, respectively, in MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cells which exhibited significant inhibition of cell viability (Figure 1). MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cells were treated with E2, heteronemin, and combined E2 and heteronemin for 24 h. E2 induced expression of Ki-67, CCND1, EGFR, and PD-L1 significantly in MCF-7 cells. Heteronemin suppressed expression of Ki-67, EGFR, and PD-L1 significantly and inhibited E2’s stimulatory effect. While 0.625 μM heteronemin treatment did not inhibit CCND1 expression, it reversed the effect of E2 in combined treatment (Figure 3A). In addition, E2 not only increased Bax expression and the Bcl-2/Bax ratio but also inhibited expression of BAD and p21, two important pro-apoptotic genes in MCF-7 cells (Figure 3A). Heteronemin increased expression of Bax, BAD, and p21. E2 reduced the effect and the Bcl-2/Bax ratio increased with combined treatment in MCF-7 cells (Figure 3A).

In ER-negative MDA-MB-231 cells, E2 did not affect gene expressions of Ki-67, CCND1, EGFR, and PD-L1 (Figure 3B). On the other hand, heteronemin alone or co-treatment with E2 suppressed expression of Ki-67, EGFR, and PD-L1 (Figure 3B). E2 did not affect Bax, BAD, and p21 expressions. Heteronemin suppressed expression of Bax but stimulated expression of BAD and p21 significantly (Figure 3B). It also reduced Bcl-2/Bax ratio. The combined treatment inhibited Bcl-2/Bax ratio significantly, but increased expression of BAD and p21 significantly (Figure 3B). In ER-positive MCF-7 cells, E2 stimulated expressions of proliferation-related genes and inhibited pro-apoptotic gene expression. Nevertheless, heteronemin could rescue the effects of E2. E2 did not affect those gene expressions in ER-negative MDA-MB-231 cells. Additionally, results also suggested that Bcl-2/Bax ratio may play a vital role in cell fate in MDA-MB-231 cells but not in MCF-7 cells.

### Heteronemin Inhibits Signal Transduction Pathways in Breast Cancer Cells

We investigated the mechanisms involved in the heteronemin-induced anti-cancer ability in breast cancer cells. Previous studies showed that activation of STAT3, ERK1/2, and PKC plays an important role in proliferation in cancer cells (Lønne et al., 2009; Nana et al., 2018; Chin et al., 2019; Huang et al., 2020). Results shown E2 induced phosphorylation of ERK1/2, PKCa, and STAT3. Alternatively, heteronemin inhibited activation of ERK1/2 and STAT3, but it increased PKCa phosphorylation in MCF-7 cancer cells (Figure 4). The increased phosphorylated STAT3 and ERK1/2 induced by E2 was reversed by heteronemin.
activities of ERK1/2, PKCα, and STAT3 were inhibited in MCF-7 cells. Heteronemin enhanced the inhibitory effect of SOT on activities of ERK1/2 and STAT3 (Figure 4).

In MDA-MB-231 cells, E2 did not affect STAT3 and PKCα phosphorylation. Heteronemin treatment at 0.625 μM suppressed the phosphorylation of STAT3 and ERK1/2 but slightly increased PKCα activation. Interestingly, E2 reduced the inhibition of pERK1/2 but did not reduce the inhibition of pSTAT3 induced by heteronemin (Figure 4). The result of PKCα was similar from MCF-7 cells, in which SOT just suppressed PKCα activation.

Both E2 and Heteronemin Induce Mitochondrial ROS Production in MCF-7 Cells

Activation of signal transduction is linked to ROS production. To investigate whether E2 or heteronemin plays a direct role in mitochondrial function, we performed a mitochondrial ROS assay. MCF-7 cells were treated with E2 and different concentrations of heteronemin for 24 h. E2 increased TGF-β1 expression which has been shown to increase ROS production (Joo et al., 2008) but downregulated UCP2 expression (Figures 5A, B). On the other hand, heteronemin stimulated TGF-β1 expression at 0.625 μM but inhibited its expression at 1.25 μM (Figure 5A). Expression of UCP2 was inhibited by heteronemin in a concentration-dependent manner (Figure 5B). The stimulatory effect of E2 on TGF-β1 was inhibited by heteronemin treatment (Figure 5A).

The inhibitory effect of E2 on UCP2 was further enhanced by heteronemin treatment (Figure 5B). Not only did E2 significantly increase mitochondrial ROS production, but heteronemin also significantly increased mitochondrial ROS production in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 5C). In the presence of E2, 0.3125 μM heteronemin increased mitochondrial ROS production compared to heteronemin only (Figure 5C). Interestingly, both E2 and heteronemin increased accumulation of superoxide dismutase (SOD)s (Figure 5D). However, the combination of E2 and heteronemin reversed the accumulation of SOD1 and, SOD2 was reversed only at the higher concentration heteronemin (Figure 5D).

Heteronemin Induces Sub G0/G1 Increase and Arrests Cell Cycle at G0/G1 Phase to Block Cell Proliferation

To understand whether E2 or heteronemin is involved in regulating cell death in breast cancer cells, we performed
FIGURE 3 | Estrogen and heteronemin regulate expressions of Ki-67, CCND1, EGFR, PD-L1, Bax, Bcl-2/Bax, BAD, and p21 in breast cancer cells. (A) MCF-7 and (B) MDA-MB-231 cells were treated with heteronemin (0.625 µM) in the presence and absence of 1 nM E2 for 24 h. RNA was extracted and qPCR analyses were conducted for Ki-67, CCND1, EGFR, PD-L1, Bax, Bcl-2/Bax, BAD, and p21. The number of independent studies (n) = 3. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, compared to the untreated control. #p < 0.05, ##p < 0.01, ###p < 0.001, compared to the same dosage of heteronemin treatment only.

propidium iodide (PI) staining for further exploration. Percentages of the various cell phases in MCF-7 cells are shown in Figure 6. Cells were treated with E2 and 0.625 µM heteronemin in the presence and absence of SOT for 24 h. The results indicated that G0/G1 phase was reduced and S phase and G2/M phase were increased with E2 treatment. Heteronemin treatment not only increased sub G0/G1 and G0/G1 phase population but also decreased S phase. Compared with heteronemin treatment, co-treatment of E2 and heteronemin decreased sub G0/G1 and G0/G1 phase but increased S phase. These data suggest that heteronemin caused cell apoptosis, G0/G1 arrest, and then reduced cell proliferation. In addition, heteronemin could reverse the effects induced by E2. SOT, an inhibitor of PKC, enhanced the G0/G1 phase increasing and S phase decreasing by heteronemin.

In summary, heteronemin inhibited activation of ERK1/2 and STAT3 via different pathways in MCF-7 cells and MDA-MB-231 cells. The former was PKC-dependent. Heteronemin also inhibited proliferation-related gene expressions, increased proapoptotic gene expressions, and suppressed cell viability. Bcl-2/Bax ratio was downgraded in MDA-MB-231 cells but no change in MCF-7 cells after heteronemin treatment. Heteronemin was able to increase mitochondrial ROS production and SODs accumulation in MCF-7 cells. In the presence of E2, ROS
production was increased but SODs were decreased to reverse heteronemin-induced anti-proliferative effects. Thus, E₂ rescued heteronemin-induced anti-proliferative effects in both MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells via different mechanisms.

**DISCUSSION**

Heteronemin caused anti-proliferation via cell apoptosis and cell cycle arrest in breast cancer cell lines are observed (Figures 1, 6). Heteronemin inhibited expressions of Ki-67, CCND-1, and PD-L1 in concentration-dependent manners and stimulated p21 expression in both MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cells (Figure 2). These results confirmed our recent observations in oral cancer cells (Huang et al., 2020) and another report (Kopf et al., 2013). On the other hand, heteronemin inhibited expressions of c-Myc and Bcl-2 in MDA-MB-231 cells but stimulated their expression in MCF-7 cells (Figure 2). In addition, E₂ stimulated expression of those genes and reversed some inhibitory effects of heteronemin (Figure 3). Heteronemin reduced Bcl-2/Bax ratio in MDA-MB-231 cells but not in MCF-7 cells (Figure 3).

Heteronemin also affected the protein expressions of the Bcl-2 family (Supplementary Figure 1). In MCF-7 cells, heteronemin increased Bcl-2, Bax, and Bid expression. However, Bcl-2 expression was suppressed by heteronemin in MDA-MB-231. Thus, Bcl-2/Bax ratio was downgraded in MDA-MB-231 cells but no change in MCF-7 cells after heteronemin treatment. E₂ inhibited stimulatory effects of heteronemin and the Bcl-2/Bax ratio increased with combined treatment (Figure 3A). In MDA-MB-231 cells, E₂ did not stimulate Bax expression although suppressed BAD expression slightly (Figure 3B). These results suggested that the ratio of Bcl-2/Bax should play a critical role in determining fate in MDA-MB-231 cells but not in MCF-7 cells. On the other hand, E₂ activated ERK1/2, PKCa, and STAT3, and reversed effects of heteronemin on activation of Bcl-2 and reduction of BAD. Therefore, increased Bcl-2 expression in MCF-7 cells but reduced Bcl-2 expression in MDA-MB-231 cells by heteronemin may reflect different mechanisms in different types of breast cancer cells.

E₂ treatment can stimulate PKC activation through ERα followed by the activation of PKCa or via ERα directly upregulating PKC (Ronda et al., 2010). Therefore, activated
PKC plays an important role in estrogen-stimulated breast cancer cell proliferation. In addition, the PKC inhibitor, AEB071 (sotrastaurin), was shown to be effective against triple-negative breast cancer cells (Byerly et al., 2016). Heteronemin repressed STAT3 (Wu et al., 2016). Heteronemin inhibited activation of STAT3 and ERK1/2 (Figure 4). Sotrastaurin inhibits Akt phosphorylation, NF-κB/STAT3 activation, and Mcl-1 upregulation. It also renders cells sensitive to arsenic trioxide (Amigo-Jiménez et al., 2015). Therefore, we used sotrastaurin to block PKC to reduce the NF-κB/STAT3 signaling pathway and investigated mechanisms in breast cancer cells.

Estrogen reversed the inhibitory effect of heteronemin against activation of ERK1/2 and STAT3 (Figure 4) in MCF-7 cancer cells and activation of PKC and STAT3 in MDA-MB-231 cancer cells (Figure 4) but diminished ERK1/2 in MDA-MB-231 cancer cells. These results suggested that E2 activates different signaling pathways in MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cancer cells. Activation of E2-induced PKC may mediate E2-dependent biological activities including cell proliferation. The PKC inhibitor, sotrastaurin, more completely inhibited STAT3 activation than did heteronemin in MCF-7 cells (Figure 4), however, but not in MDA-MB-231 cells (Figure 4). Estradiol

### FIGURE 5 | Estrogen and heteronemin regulate expressions of TGF-β1 and UCP2 and induce mitochondrial reactive oxygen species (ROS) production in MCF-7 cancer cells. Cells were treated with different concentrations of heteronemin in the presence and absence of 1 nM E2 for 24 h. Cells were harvested and analyzed for (A) TGF-β1 expression, (B) UCP2 expression, (C) mitochondrial ROS production, and (D) SODs accumulation. The number of independent studies (n) = 4.

* p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001, compared to the untreated control. ## p < 0.01, ### p < 0.001, compared to the same dosage of heteronemin treatment only.
binds to the αvβ3 integrin (Cody et al., 2007), and this possibly explains the result that cell viability of MDA-MB-231 triple-negative breast cancer cells was affected by E2.

Heteronemin is a farnesyl transferase inhibitor (FTI) that inhibits the cytarabine-induced, farnesyl transferase-mediated Ras activation and inhibits Ras downstream signal transduction pathways such as mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPKs), activator protein (AP)-1, nuclear factor (NF)-κB, and c-Myc (Saikia et al., 2018). Overproduced ROS by conjugated estrogens during estrogen metabolism activates IkB kinase (IKK)-α and -β to increase the translocation of nuclear NF-κB (Kim et al., 2000). Alterations of mitochondrial metabolism may induce ROS overproduction to involve in estrogen-mediated carcinogenesis via induction of oxidative DNA damage (Tian et al., 2016). Additionally, blocking estrogen attenuates respiratory and metabolic responses and superoxide accumulation in estrogen-responsive breast cancer cells (Tan et al., 2002; Doan et al., 2004). Oxidative stress was postulated to be one of the mechanisms underlying E2’s carcinogenic effect in breast cancer. E2 increases mitochondrial-derived ROS by an unknown mechanism (Sastre-Serra et al., 2010). E2 significantly increased ROS production in MCF-7 cells (Figure 5C). UCPs regulate energy efficiency in mitochondria and production of ROS (Chouchani et al., 2016; Pierelli et al., 2017; Cadenas, 2018). They function as an adaptive anti-oxidant defense to protect against overproductive oxidation (Pierelli et al., 2017). E2 downregulated UCP expression and significantly increased mitochondrial ROS production in ER-positive MCF-7 cells (Figure 5B). Heteronemin also significantly increased ROS production at 0.625 and 1.25 µM (Figure 5C). Lu’s group showed that heteronemin treatment (2.56 µM) increased ROS levels in LNCaP cells (Lee Y. S. et al., 2018). These results suggest that heteronemin may suppress UCP2 accumulation to increase ROS production. UCP2 was shown to negatively modulate intracellular ROS production (Pierelli et al., 2017).
In the presence of E\(_2\), 0.3125 \(\mu\)M heteronemin increased ROS production compared to heteronemin only (Figure 5C). Combined treatment with E\(_2\) and heteronemin consistently suppressed UCP2 expression (Figure 5B), suggesting that downregulation of UCP2 may play an important role in heteronemin-induced anti-proliferation in ER-positive breast cancer cells in the presence of estradiol. Overall, these results suggest that through an ER-dependent mechanism, E\(_2\) may increase mitochondrial ROS production by repressing UCPs, which offers a new perspective on the understanding of why E\(_2\) is a risk factor for breast cancer. Heteronemin stimulated TGF-\(\beta1\) expression at 0.625 \(\mu\)M but inhibited its expression at 1.25 \(\mu\)M (Figure 5A). The stimulatory effect of E\(_2\) on TGF-\(\beta\) was inhibited by heteronemin treatment (Figure 5A). The inhibitory effect of E\(_2\) on UCP2 was further enhanced by heteronemin treatment (Figure 5B). Downregulation of UCP2 expression by TGF-\(\beta\)-SMAD4 signaling was shown to play a regulatory role in mitochondrial ROS formation (Kim and Lee, 2018). Thus, heteronemin stimulated TGF-\(\beta\)-1 expression to downregulate UCP expression and further increase ROS production in MCF-7 cells at 0.625 \(\mu\)M.

It is not surprising to observe that E\(_2\) increased SODs accumulation (Figure 5D) as reported (Rao et al., 2008). On the other hand, anti-oxidant also stimulates ROS production in previous studies (Heo et al., 2018; Kim et al., 2019). Both ceramide and resveratrrol stimulate mitochondrial potential. Thus, at certain concentrations, heteronemin may increase SOD to activate mitochondrial potential (Figure 5). However, the combination of heteronemin and E\(_2\) decreased SOD accumulation to reach the balance between oxidation and anti-oxidation.

The ROS scavenger, N-acetyl cysteine (NAC), inhibited heteronemin-induced mitochondrial ROS production and cell apoptosis (Lin et al., 2018). Heteronemin significantly increased both cellular ROS and mtROS. It also induced the loss of the mitochondrial membrane potential (MMP) in lung cancer cells (Cheng et al., 2019). It increased the percentage of apoptotic cells and ROS in Molt4 cells (Chen et al., 2018). Heteronemin -treated lung cancer cells showed a significant increase in both cellular ROS and mtROS, which in turn caused the loss of the MMP. Heteronemin decreased expressions of the anti-oxidant enzymes Cu/ZnSOD, MnSOD, and catalase (Cheng et al., 2019). Pretreatment with the mitochondrion-targeted anti-oxidant, MitoTEMPO, reduced heteronemin-induced apoptosis through a mitochondrion-dependent apoptotic pathway, which was accompanied by increased cell viability, decreased mtROS, enhanced MMP, and suppressed expressions of cleaved caspase-3 and caspase-9 proteins (Cheng et al., 2019). Oxidative phosphorylation performed in mitochondria and glycolysis in the cytoplasm were inhibited, which subsequently reduced downstream ATP production (Cheng et al., 2019). Additionally, Chang et al., 2021 reported heteronemin may with high toxicity and causing animal death (Chang et al., 2021). However, Lee M. G. et al. (2018) also shown heteronemin can using safely with a lower dosage.

These results suggest heteronemin inhibited activation of ERK1/2 and STAT3 in both ER-positive and ER-negative breast cancer cells. In addition, heteronemin downregulated the expression of Ki-67, CCND1, EGFR, and PD-L1, but upregulated p21 and BAD expression. However, Bcl-2/Bax ratio was downgraded in MDA-MB-231 cells but no change in MCF-7 cells after heteronemin treatment. It restrained UCP2 expression, extended ROS production, increased SODs accumulation, induced G\(_0\)/G\(_1\) arrest, and caused anti-proliferation in breast cancer MCF-7 cells. On the other hand, E\(_2\) activated ERK1/2, PKC, and STAT3, increased ROS production, and recued heteronemin-induced biological activities.
CONCLUSION

In conclusion, heteronemin inhibited ER-positive and ER-negative breast cancer cell proliferation via different mechanisms (Figure 7), but less effect on normal cells. Additionally, heteronemin also could overcome E2 stimulated proliferation. Those results suggest Heteronemin had a strong capacity to inhibit proliferation in both MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells. Thus, heteronemin has a potential as an anti-cancer drug.
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