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Abstract: Nanophotonic engineering of light–matter interaction at subwavelength scale allows thermal radiation that is fundamentally different from that of traditional thermal emitters and provides exciting opportunities for various thermal-photonic applications. We propose a new kind of integrated and electrically controlled thermal emitter that exploits layered metamaterials with lithography-free and dielectric/metalllic nanolayers. We demonstrate both theoretically and experimentally that the proposed concept can create a strong photonic bandgap in the visible regime and allow small impedance mismatch at the infrared wavelengths, which gives rise to optical features of significantly enhanced emissivity at the broad infrared wavelengths of 1.4–14 µm as well as effectively suppressed emissivity in the visible region. The electrically driven metamaterial devices are optically and thermally stable at temperatures up to ~800 K with electro-optical conversion efficiency reaching ~30%. We believe that the proposed high-efficiency thermal emitters will pave the way toward integrated infrared light source platforms for various thermal-photonic applications and particularly provide a novel alternative for cost-effective, compact, low glare, and energy-efficient infrared heating.
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1 Introduction

Artificial control of thermal radiation that is difficult to attain with natural materials has been a research topic of interest for decades. The principle of manipulating thermal radiation is based on Kirchhoff’s law, which states that the emissivity of an object is equal to its absorptivity for a given frequency, polarization, and direction. In recent years, tremendous research efforts have been made toward tailoring light absorption based on plasmonic nanophotonics attributed to the recent unprecedented development of nanofabrication techniques. Metals are usually known to be perfect reflectors but when they are structured on a scale of the wavelength, light reflection fades away, and enhanced absorption occurs with a sharp spectrum much narrower than that of a blackbody due to excitation of resonant modes confined in the subwavelength metallic cavities or excitation of surface plasmon polaritons (SPPs) on the corrugated metal surfaces. Various types of spectrally selective narrowband nanophotonic absorbers have been developed such as nanogratings [1–4], photonic crystals [5–7], thin films [8], and three-layer-metamaterials [9–12]. These narrowband absorbers/emitters have triggered promising applications in
many different areas ranging from optical sensors [13–15], hot electron photodetectors [16], optical modulators [17–21], high-speed switching [22], energy recycling [23–25], and image encryption [26, 27] to thermal imaging [28].

In addition to the narrowband absorbers, recently there has been a strong motivation to enhance the light–matter interaction with a broadband absorption response by artificially manipulating the effective permittivity and permeability of nanophotonic structures to control the resonant modes. A number of strong broadband absorber schemes have been investigated, for example, by exploiting refractory modes. A number of strong broadband absorber schemes are possible, such as solar energy [46–48], thermophotovoltaics [32, 49, 50], infrared stealth [51], and radiative cooling [42, 43, 52].

In this paper, we propose and demonstrate a new kind of electrically controlled layered-metamaterial thermal emitters (LTEs) composed of multiple dielectric/metalllic nanolayers. In contrast to the other reported nanophotonic thermal emitters, the proposed LTEs are thermal-photonnic integrated and have advantage of tailoring thermal radiation with selectively enhanced emissivity in a broadband infrared regime and effectively suppressed emissivity at the visible wavelengths. We design and analyze the optical characteristics of the LTEs both analytically and numerically, and experimentally investigate their optical and thermal properties including angular-dependent emissivity, spectral radiation, thermal photonic properties, and electro-optical conversion efficiency. Our study offers a cost-effective, spectrally selective, and integrated infrared light source strategy that could find various applications. For example, it provides a solution that could possibly overcome the drawbacks of the existing infrared heater technologies (i.e., dazzling glare and low emissivity at the infrared wavelengths) [53].

## 2 Structure and design methodology

The proposed LTEs have a configuration featuring two stacked one-dimensional (1D) periodic lattices, as is illustrated in Figure 1A–C, where a finite periodic lattice (named as [Si/Cr/Si]m) with unit cell consisting of triple metallic/dielectric nanolayers of Si, Cr, and Si deposited on top of a Ni₈₀Cr₂₀ thin film on a quartz substrate. On top of this lattice is another finite periodic lattice (denoted as [SiO₂/Si]n) with two alternately arranged nanolayers of SiO₂ and Si. Here, m and n represent the number of the periods of the two lattices. The reason we choose SiO₂ and Si materials for the top lattice is because of their high refractive index difference and high melting point. The former property enables broad photonic bandgap generation, while the latter allows the proposed LTEs to operate at the desired elevated temperature. The SiO₂ layer is on top of the Si layer in the unit cell of the lattice (SiO₂/Si)m, thus constituting a cap layer SiO₂ to protect the whole structure from oxidation. Electrical voltage is applied to the Ni₈₀Cr₂₀ thin film to generate Joule heat to raise the temperature of the LTEs. We particularly choose Ni₈₀Cr₂₀ as the electrically driven metallic layer, because Ni₈₀Cr₂₀ material has high electrical resistivity and is efficient for generating Joule heat. The Ni₈₀Cr₂₀ layer also acts as a light reflector and allows little transmitted light. Its thickness does not affect the optical properties of the LTEs in the considered wavelength range as long as it is thicker than hundreds of nanometers.

We model and design the proposed LTEs by starting with optimizing the top photonic lattice (SiO₂/Si)m to make the LTEs with low emissivity at the visible wavelengths. We investigate the optical spectra of the structure by the transfer matrix method (TMM) with the refractive indices of all materials taken from experimental data [54] and optimize the optical spectra by adjusting the nanolayers’ thickness and the number of the periods of the lattice (SiO₂/Si)m (for detailed design and optimization, see Section A in Supplementary material). When the thickness of the thin film SiO₂ (Si) is 100 nm (40 nm) and m is 4, broadband and high reflectivity at the wavelengths of 0.45–0.8 μm is achieved in a broad angle of incidence (AOI) ranging from 0° up to 80° (see the inset of Figure 1D and Figure S2 in Supplementary material). According to the International Commission on Illumination (CIE) [55], there are three infrared radiation bands (i.e., IR-A 0.7–1.4 μm, IR-B 1.4–3 μm, and IR-C 3–1000 μm) and since the IR-B and IR-C bands are particularly important for many real-world applications such as chemical/medical sensing and infrared heating, we focus on designing the LTEs with enhanced emissivity at wavelengths of 1.4–14 μm. To this end, we fix the geometric parameters of the optimized lattice (SiO₂/Si)m aforementioned, and then optimize the lattice (Si/Cr/Si)n to minimize the characteristic impedance difference |Z_{LTEs} − Z_{air}| to reduce reflectivity in the infrared regime and at the same time retain high reflectivity of the LTEs at the visible wavelengths, by adjusting the films’ thicknesses and the number of lattice periods n (for the detailed optimization, see Equation S1 and Figure S3 in Supplementary material).
Here, $Z_{\text{air}}$ and $Z_{\text{LTEs}}$ are the characteristic impedance of air and the LTEs, respectively. The reflectivity of the LTEs is determined by $R = \frac{|Z_{\text{LTEs}} - Z_{\text{air}}|^2}{|Z_{\text{LTEs}} + Z_{\text{air}}|^2}$, and a smaller impedance mismatch $|Z_{\text{LTEs}} - Z_{\text{air}}|$ gives rise to a lower reflectivity. We observe from Figure 1D that the impedance mismatch at the visible wavelengths is large while the impedance mismatch at the infrared wavelengths is low when the thickness of Si (Cr) film is 100 nm (4 nm) and $n$ is 6. Therefore, the light reflection at the visible wavelengths dominates the infrared light reflection for this configuration. This is verified by the reflectivity spectra numerically calculated by TMM, as depicted in Figure 1E, where high reflectivity at the wavelengths of 0.45–0.75 μm and low reflectivity at the wavelengths of 1.4–14 μm is achieved.

This selectively ultrabroadband reflectivity characteristic enables LTEs with high absorptivity in the infrared regime and low absorptivity in the visible regime, considering the transmissivity of the proposed LTEs is tiny at all the considered wavelengths (Figure 1F). Photonic lattices with unit cells consisting of dual metallic/dielectric nanolayers were reported to allow broadband emissivity features [44, 56]. In the proposed configuration, the lattice with triple nanolayers per unit cell enables larger emissivity than the lattices with dual nanolayer unit cells at the infrared wavelengths (see Figure S4 in Supplementary material). Lattices with unit cells having more nanolayers could further increase the emissivity, but it may degrade the thermomechanical performance of the proposed LTEs.

Figure 1: The concept, and the theoretical and experimental implementation of the selectively broadband metamaterial thermal emitters. (A) Schematic diagram of the LTEs, where two 1D photonic lattices with structures of (Si/Cr/Si)$^n$ and (SiO$_2$/Si)$^m$ are lying on top of a Ni$_{80}$Cr$_{20}$ nanolayer deposited on a quartz substrate. Voltage is applied to the Ni$_{80}$Cr$_{20}$ layer to generate Joule heat to raise the temperature of the whole device. Here, $m$ and $n$ represent the number of the periods of the two lattices. (B) Photography image of the fabricated devices mounted on a sample holder. (C) SEM image of the cross section of the LTEs. (D–F) The characteristic impedance mismatch $|Z_{\text{LTEs}} - Z_{\text{air}}|$, and the reflectivity and the transmissivity spectra, respectively. The inset of (D) depicts the reflectivity spectra versus angle of incidence (AOI) of the (SiO$_2$/Si)$^m$. The geometric parameters of the LTEs are: the thickness of each Si (SiO$_2$) layer in the (SiO$_2$/Si)$^m$ is 40 nm (100 nm), and the thickness of each Si (Cr) layer in the (Si/Cr/Si)$^n$ is 100 nm (4 nm); the number of periods $m$ and $n$ are 4 and 6, and the thickness of the Ni$_{80}$Cr$_{20}$ layer and the substrate is 300 nm and 0.5 mm, respectively.
3 Device fabrication and optical spectra measurements

We fabricate the designed LTEs using E-beam evaporation and measure angle-dependent reflectivity and transmissivity spectra by Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) and grating spectrometer (for fabrication and measurement details, see Sections B and C in Supplementary material). The measured reflectivity and transmissivity spectra are consistent with the theoretical prediction, as demonstrated in Figure 1E and F. Based on the reflectivity and transmissivity, we obtain the spectral hemispherical absorptivity $A(\theta, \lambda)$ of the LTEs for both transverse-electric (TE)- and transverse-magnetic (TM)-polarized light, where $\theta$ and $\lambda$ is AOI and wavelength, respectively. The absorptivity has no azimuthal angle dependence and only has polar angle dependence due to the one-dimensional geometry of the LTEs. It is noted from Figure 2A and B that both TE- and TM-polarized light experience high (low) absorptivity in a broad spectral and angular range at the infrared (visible) wavelengths. The angle-dependent emissivity of the LTEs is derived by averaging the TE- and TM-polarized absorptivity. The simulated and measured emissivity spectra at various angles from 5° to 75° are plotted and compared in Figure 2C, showing a good agreement between our theory and experiment. Figure 2C indicates that in comparison with the typical thermal emitter metals (such as Ni, Cr, and W) that suffer from high (low) emissivity in the visible (infrared) regime, the proposed LTEs have the advantage of suppressed emissivity at visible wavelengths and enhanced emissivity at the infrared wavelengths with a broad spectral and angular response. At the angle of $\theta = 5^\circ$, for example, the calculated emissivity is as high as ~0.81 averaged over wavelengths of 1.4–14 μm, and is as low as ~0.07 averaged over wavelengths of 0.45–0.75 μm. Emissivity peaks at a wavelength of ~10 μm is noticed at all the angles, as indicated by the vertical lines in Figure 2C.
An important question is whether the thermal emitters are optically and thermally stable at high temperatures. To this end, we fabricate LTEs with different structure dimension of width $w$ and length $l$ (see Figure 3A) and undertake a series of high temperature measurements. We measure the spectral emissivity and thermal radiation of the LTEs at different operating temperatures controlled by the electric current flowing through the Ni$_{80}$Cr$_{20}$ thin film (for detailed experimental measurements, see Section C in Supplementary material). The generated Joule heat depends on both the input electrical voltage and the structure resistance that relies on the size of the Ni$_{80}$Cr$_{20}$ film. We measure the $I$–$V$ curve of the fabricated LTEs with different structure size and show that the structure resistance decreases with $w$ and increases with $l$ (see Figure 2C). This is due to high light absorption induced by the large imaginary part of the refractive index of the SiO$_2$ thin films at this wavelength.

**4 Emissivity and thermal radiation at high temperature**

An important question is whether the thermal emitters are optically and thermally stable at high temperatures. To this end, we fabricate LTEs with different structure dimension of width $w$ and length $l$ (see Figure 3A) and undertake a series of high temperature measurements. We measure the spectral emissivity and thermal radiation of the LTEs at different operating temperatures controlled by the electric current flowing through the Ni$_{80}$Cr$_{20}$ thin film (for detailed experimental measurements, see Section C in Supplementary material). The generated Joule heat depends on both the input electrical voltage and the structure resistance that relies on the size of the Ni$_{80}$Cr$_{20}$ film. We measure the $I$–$V$ curve of the fabricated LTEs with different structure size and show that the structure resistance decreases with $w$ and increases with $l$ (see Figure 3A). The thermal distribution of the samples taken by an infrared camera (Figure 3B) shows the temperature distribution across the LTEs. The measured emissivity at the angle of $\theta = 45^\circ$ under different structure temperature obtained by controlling the voltage applied to the Ni$_{80}$Cr$_{20}$ layer (Figure 3C) shows the temperature dependence of the emissivity. The measured spectral radiation intensity versus the device temperature by directing the radiated light into a parabolic mirror to be collimated into a FTIR for detection (Figure 3D) shows the temperature dependence of the spectral radiation intensity. The calculated spectra radiance (solid lines) compared to that of an ideal blackbody (dotted lines) at the same operating temperature (Figure 3E) shows the spectral radiation intensity at different temperatures. The calculations are performed by integrating the emitted light within divergence angle of $5^\circ$ from the normal of the LTEs (see Equation S2 in Supplementary material). Both the measured and calculated spectra show a peak at $\sim 10 \mu$m (marked by the vertical dashed line) due to strong emissivity at this wavelength (see the vertical dashed line in Figure 2C). The structure geometries are the same as those in Figure 2.
Figure S4 in Supplementary material). The thermal image of the electrically heated LTEs taken by an infrared camera (Figure 3B) shows uniform temperature distribution on the surface with slightly decreased temperature at the edges due to thermal convection from the structure to the ambient environment. We evaluate the optical stability of the LTEs by measuring the emissivity spectra at different operating temperatures. Figure 3C clearly demonstrates that the emissivity does not degrade much at high temperatures especially at the infrared wavelengths, although a slight increase of the emissivity is observed at the visible wavelengths due to the expected increase of the electron collision frequency at high temperatures, which leads to increased free carrier absorption.

To investigate the thermal radiation property, we collimate and direct the radiated light of LTEs into a FTIR to measure the radiated power as function of the operating temperature of the LTEs (for the detailed experimental setup, see Sections C and D in Supplementary material). Figure 3D illustrates that the thermal radiation becomes stronger when the device temperature increases, which is consistent with simulated results in Figure 3E. A spectral peak at ~10 μm is observed in both measurements and simulations, as marked by the vertical dashed line in Figure 3D and E, which is due to the high emissivity peak around this wavelength (see the vertical dashed lines in Figure 2C). The discrepancies between the measurements and simulations are caused by several factors. For example, the surface temperature of the LTEs is not perfectly uniform as assumed in simulations, and it is impossible to well collimate the radiated light at all the wavelengths to the detector for measurements since the LTEs radiate light covering broad wavelengths. We also compare the thermal radiation of LTEs with an ideal blackbody and show that the proposed LTEs give rise to radiation spectra that are very close to that of the blackbody at the same operating temperature (Figure 3E).

5 Properties of the thermal photonic dynamics

To fully characterize the thermal photonic performance of LTEs, it is essential to explore how it responds to the input electricity in real time. To do this, we gradually increase the voltage applied to the Ni₈₀Cr₂₀ layer with ramp rate of 0.1 V per 15 min (see the inset of Figure 4A) to ensure there is enough time for the temperature to increase and get stabilized. At the same time, we monitor the evolution of the current, the surface temperature, and the radiated power. We place the electrically controlled LTEs in a vacuum chamber and put it very close to the KBr window of the chamber (for the detailed measurement system, see Section E and Figure S6 in Supplementary material). The radiation from the heated LTEs passes through the KBr window and enters the input port of a gold-coated integrating sphere that sits very closely to the other side of the KBr window, and a photodetector is placed at the exit port of the integrating sphere to collect the light and measure the power. We see from Figure 4A that the current, the device surface temperature, and the radiated power (measured at the exit port of the integrating sphere) increases with the applied voltage until the temperature reaches ~800 K. When the voltage is further increased, the nanolayers of the LTEs crack and the LTEs are not conductive any longer. As a result, the current, the temperature, and the radiated power drop dramatically. We calibrate the integrating sphere with a commercial blackbody and measure the blackbody radiation power \(P_1\) at the input port of the integrating sphere and the light power \(P_2\) at the exit port of the integrating sphere, and derive the transmission efficiency of the integrating sphere by \(\eta = P_2/P_1 \sim 0.065\%\) (see Figure S8 in Supplementary material). By normalizing the light power in Figure 4A to \(\eta\), we get the power \(P_\eta\) of the light radiated from the LTEs. The evolution of \(P_\eta\) and the surface temperature to the input electricity power \(P_e\) is given in Figure 4B. Based on the results, we obtain the optical conversion efficiency of the LTEs by extracting \(P_\eta\) versus \(P_e\). Figure 4C shows that the conversion efficiency reaches ~30% at temperature >700 K. The conversion efficiency can be further increased by means of, for example, minimizing the thermal contact between the sample and the sample holder to reduce thermal conduction and increasing the chamber vacuum to reduce thermal convection (see Equations S3–S5 in Supplementary material).

6 Conclusions

We have proposed an integrated thermophotonic layered metamaterial scheme to obtain efficient and spectrally selective thermal emitters with greatly enhanced emissivity in the infrared regime and effectively suppressed emissivity in the visible regime. With our optimized thermal emitters, we have achieved an averaged emissivity as
A broad infrared wavelength range of 1.4–14 μm and an averaged emissivity as low as ~0.07 at visible wavelengths of 0.45–0.75 μm. We have experimentally verified the proposed concept by exploring the optical and thermal characteristics including the angular- and temperature-dependent emissivity, the spectral radiation, the thermal dynamics, and the electro-optical conversion efficiency. Our measurement results demonstrate that proposed LTE devices are optically and thermally stable up to ~800 K and yield an electro-optical conversion of ~30%. Another advantage of the LTEs is the feature of one-dimensional structural simplicity, which allows large-scale production with low-cost fabrication. We believe the LTEs offer an enhanced and integrated infrared source strategy that could find promising applications such as infrared heating, thermophotovoltaics, and thermal imaging etc. Finally, we stress that the thermal photonic performance of the LTEs could be further improved of by incorporating the proposed concept with other dielectric and metal materials (such as tungsten, hafnium dioxide, and aluminum oxide) that have better thermal and mechanical stability.
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