Evaluation of the DOAC-Stop Procedure by LC-MS/MS Assays for Determining the Residual Activity of Dabigatran, Rivaroxaban, and Apixaban
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Abstract
The effect of direct oral anticoagulants (DOACs) on laboratory tests dependent on the production of their targets, factor IIa and factor Xa (FXa), is a well-known problem and can cause both false positive and negative results. Therefore, the correct interpretation of tests performed in patients receiving DOACs is necessary to avoid misclassification and subsequent clinical consequences. However, even with significant experience, there are situations where it is not possible to assess the influence of some methods. Particularly important is the situation in the diagnosis of lupus anticoagulants using the dilute Russell viper venom test, which is based on direct FXa activation. A very promising solution to this situation is offered by the DOAC laboratory balancing procedure DOAC-Stop. For evaluating the effectiveness of this procedure, 60 (20 apixaban, 20 dabigatran, and 20 rivaroxaban) patients treated with DOACs were enrolled. All patient samples were analyzed for the presence of individual DOAC types and subsequently subjected to the DOAC-Stop procedure. We evaluated its effectiveness by our own high-performance liquid chromatography-coupled tandem mass spectrometry method, which simultaneously sets all high-sensitivity DOACs. Unlike coagulation tests based on the determination of the residual effects of DOACs on target enzymes, which is complicated by extensive interindividual variation, this methodology is highly specific and sensitive. The DOAC-Stop procedure eliminated dabigatran from 99.5%, rivaroxaban from 97.9%, and apixaban from 97.1% of participants in our group. Residual amounts did not exceed 2.7 ng/mL for dabigatran, 10.9 ng/mL for rivaroxaban, or 13.03 ng/mL for apixaban, which are safe values that do not affect either screening or special coagulation tests.

Keywords
dabigatran, rivaroxaban, apixaban, DOAC-Stop, HPLC MS/MS, anticoagulants, factor Xa inhibitors, lupus inhibitor

Date received: 26 June 2019; revised: 26 July 2019; accepted: 6 August 2019.

Introduction
Direct oral anticoagulants (DOACs) are targeted coagulation factor inhibitors bringing about improvement in anticoagulation therapy. While the “old anticoagulants,” vitamin K antagonists have many limitations, such as unpredictable anticoagulant effect, the need for routine monitoring, parenteral administration, awareness of various drug and food interactions, and dose adjustment, DOACs seem to overcome these limitations. Since DOACs are selective inhibitors of coagulation factors, their modes of action are more predictable. Other advantages include fixed doses, no need for routine monitoring, very few drug and food interactions, and mainly oral administration.¹

Currently, the following 4 DOACs are used in anticoagulation therapy: dabigatran, a direct thrombin inhibitor; rivaroxaban, a direct factor Xa inhibitor; apixaban, a direct factor Xa inhibitor; and edoxaban, a factor Xa inhibitor.
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rivaroxaban, apixaban, and edoxaban, direct factor Xa (FXa) inhibitors. Their indications include prevention of venous thromboembolism in patients undergoing elective knee and hip surgery, prevention of stroke and systemic embolism in patients with atrial fibrillation, treatment and prevention of recurrent deep vein thrombosis (DVT), and pulmonary embolism (PE).

Even though routine determination of DOACs is not needed, samples of patients treated with DOACs are rather common in our laboratory. One of the adverse effects of DOAC therapy is the false positive or negative results of screening coagulation tests as well as specific tests like thrombophilia screening (eg, antithrombin, protein C, protein S, lupus anticoagulant[LA], activated C protein resistance). This situation prevents the diagnosis of patients using this type of medication and forces us to concede to one of the following changes, should testing be necessary.

Several strategies were proposed to minimize the impact of residual DOACs on coagulation assays: (1) the use of DOAC insensitive assays, (2) the addition of idarucizumab to the plasma sample (Praxbind, Boehringer Ingelheim, Germany) to specifically neutralize the in vitro activity of dabigatran, or (3) skipping (for once-daily fixed-dose regimens) or 2(for twice-daily fixed-dose regimens) DOAC intakes in patients with low thromboembolic risk. However, any interruption of anticoagulation will expose the patient to an increased risk of thrombosis, and residual drug levels may still affect test results. Thus, none of these approaches are considered optimal, and a simple way to overcome the problem would be to remove DOAC from the plasma sample without influencing its coagulant properties.

The aim of this study was to evaluate the efficiency of a new and simple procedure, DOAC-Stop (Haematex Research, Hornsby, Australia), to overcome the effect of all DOACs by detecting the residual activity of DOACs through high-performance liquid chromatography-coupled tandem mass spectrometry (HPLC-MS/MS). The HPLC-MS/MS is used as a referential method for assessing the suitability of other methods but not for routine determination. It shows high sensitivity, accuracy, and precision, as well as an adequate limit of quantification. This method is very simple, fast, and specific, enabling simultaneous determination of all DOACs. However, the method is suitable only for highly specialized and equipped laboratories.

The second aim of this study was to determine the potential level of DOAC that affects the most sensitive coagulation test, the determination of LA using the dilute Russell viper venom(dRVVT) test.

Material and Methods

Patients

This study was conducted on a set of blood samples sent to our laboratory from 60 patients treated with DOACs for all approved indications of treatment and prevention of DVT, PE, and stroke. Citrated plasma samples were stored at −80°C before analysis.

Blood Sampling

Blood was collected with a Vacuette needle (Greiner Bio-One, Vienna, Austria) into a vacuum tube with a buffered solution containing sodium citrate at a concentration of 0.109 mol/L (3.2%). The system ensured a blood and anticoagulant mixture at a desired 1:10 ratio. Then the blood was carefully mixed in a test tube, with the tube being gently turned upside down several times and transported to the laboratory. Next, the sample was centrifuged for 10 minutes at 3000g, following which 0.5mL of the upper layer of platelet-poor plasma was aspirated, frozen, and stored at −80°C until analysis was performed. In the analysis of LA, the sample was repeatedly centrifuged under the same conditions. For the actual analysis, the sample was thawed at room temperature.

The DOAC-Stop Procedure

The DOAC levels were determined both before and after the addition of adsorbent tablets DOAC-Stop, according to the manufacturer’s instructions and depending on the available plasma volume. Briefly, a halftablet of DOAC-Stop designed to adsorb DOACs was added to each 0.5mL of plasma. Thereafter, the sample was gently mixed for 5 minutes and centrifuged for 2 minutes at 3000g to precipitate the DOACs with adsorbent. Finally, the supernatant conjectured to be free of DOACs is collected in order to be further analyzed. The composition of DOAC-Stop is Haematex proprietary information. Concentrations of apixaban, dabigatran, edoxaban, and rivaroxaban were also assayed before and after the DOAC-Stop procedure.

Liquid Chromatography-Coupled Tandem Mass Spectrometry

The plasma sample for HPLC-MS/MS analysis (50 µL) was deproteinized with methanol (180 µL) with the addition of an internal standard (deuterated analogue of dabigatran [DAB-D3], 20 µL, 100 ng/mL). The sample was shaken (5 minutes), frozen (60 minutes; −80°C), and centrifuged (5 minutes; 3000 g). The supernatant was transferred into a 350-µL glass vial (12 mm × 32 mm, fused insert) and analyzed.

The HPLC-MS/MS analysis was performed using the liquid chromatography system UltiMate 3000 RS (Dionex, Sunnyvale, California) coupled with a triple quadrupole 6500 tandem mass spectrometer (AB Sciex, Foster City, California). A Luna Omega C18 Polar column 1.6µm, 2.1 mm × 50 mm protected by guard column 4 mm × 2 mm ID of the same material (Phenomenex, Torrance, California) in normal aqueous phase mode was used for separation. The mobile phase consisted of ammonium formate (25mmol/L, pH 3.5) in water and acetonitrile (MF A: 95:5 and MF B: 5:95, vol/vol). The gradient employed...
was 0 to 0.5 minute: 15% B; 0.5 to 1.0 minute: 15% to 100% B; 1.0 to 1.9 minutes: 100% B; 1.9 to 2.0 minutes: 100% to 15% B; 2.0 to 2.7 minutes: 15% B. The column was maintained at 50°C and the flow rate at 0.4 mL/min.

The targeted analytes were measured in scheduled multiple reaction monitoring mode with prolonged dwell times. Both quadrupoles were set at unit resolution. The parameters of the Turbo VTM ion source and gases were as follows: ion spray voltage, +5500 V; curtain gas, 35 psi; both ion source gases, 40 psi; and source temperature, 400°C. High-purity nitrogen was used as collision gas (pressure adjusted to “medium settings”), curtain gas, and ion source gas. The compound parameters declustering potential, entrance potential, collision energy, and collision cell exit potential were optimized on previous standards. 10 The instrument was controlled by the Analyst version 1.6.2 software.

The analytes were detected and identified according to multiple reaction monitoring transitions and retention times in the MultiQuant version 3.0 software (Sciex, Foster City, California). Dabigatran, rivaroxaban, and its deuterated analogue DAB-D3 (Toronto Research Chemicals Inc, Toronto, Canada) and apixaban (Pfizer Inc, Dublin, Ireland) were dissolved in methanol (LC-MS quality, Sigma, Seelze, Germany) to a final concentration of 1 mg/mL expressed as free substances. Those stock solutions were then used for the preparation of all other standards. For quantification, a series of calibration standards in methanol were prepared (concentrations 0, 10, 50, 100, and 500 ng/mL of dabigatran, apixaban, and rivaroxaban). The calibration standards were prepared in addition to drug-free plasma from healthy volunteers. All the solutions were stored at −20°C (Table 1).

**Lupus Anticoagulants**

Lupus anticoagulant tests are performed by LAC screen tests (Werfen, Barcelona, Spain). They are improved dRVVT belonging to the group of antiphospholipid antibodies, which are directed against negatively charged phospholipids or complexes between phospholipids and proteins (either β-2-glycoprotein 1 or clotting factors such as prothrombin).

For the purpose of determining the effect of DOAC on dRVVT assays, we performed measurements using standard plasma spiked with a known DOAC amount from 0 to 250 ng/mL to evaluate the effect of DOAC itself on dRVVT.

**Statistical Analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed using the software package Statistica version 12 (StatSoft, Czech Republic).

**Results**

**Demonstrating the Absorbing Effect of DOAC-Stop in HPLC-MS/MS**

In the first step, we verified the effectiveness of the DOAC-Stop procedure in 20 samples of DOAC-treated patients; the samples were divided into 2 aliquots, one of which was subjected to the DOAC-Stop procedure, and then both samples were prepared for HPLC-MS/MS testing (see Table 2 and Figures 1 and 2).

Subsequently, we evaluated these results against the cutoff values for dRVVT assay affection obtained by spiking standard plasma with known DOAC amounts and measuring dRVVT ratio (Figure 3). Residual amounts did not exceed 2.7 ng/mL for dabigatran, 10.9 ng/mL for rivaroxaban, or 13.03 ng/mL for apixaban, which are safe values that do not affect either screening or special coagulation tests, as the most sensitive coagulation test, dRVVT, is affected starting at levels of 10 ng/mL for dabigatran, 14.2 ng/mL for rivaroxaban, and 250 ng/mL for apixaban.

**Discussion**

There are several papers describing the elimination of the effects of DOACs in both basic coagulation tests 11,12 specific determinations of individual coagulation factors 13 and their inhibitors and global coagulation tests. 14-16 The elimination is reported by influencing the level of analytes themselves or the results of individual tests. However, there are no studies comparing the levels of individual DOACs before and after such a procedure. The reason is very simple: the
inadequate sensitivity of functional methodologies in determining the goals of anticoagulant treatment, be it factor IIa (FIIa) or FXa.

In our study, the HPLC-MS/MS technique was used with our own method for simultaneously determining all 3 DOACs currently on the market with limits of quantification of 0.57 ng/mL for dabigatran, 0.79 ng/mL for rivaroxaban, and 0.22 ng/mL for apixaban. These values reliably guarantee the detection of residual DOAC activity after the DOAC-Stop procedure.

Based on the measured data, we can reliably confirm the data found by other authors, which, however, could not be verified, regarding the reliability of the DOAC-Stop procedure in the elimination of the effect of DOACs on both basic and

|                  | Mean  | SD    | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|------------------|-------|-------|--------|---------|---------|
| Dabigatran       | 88.98 | 64.55 | 64.46  | 5.70    | 257.76  |
| Dabigatran—DOAC-Stop | 0.60  | 0.73  | 0.31   | 0.00    | 2.70    |
| Dabigatran—% reduction | 99.5  | 0.4   | 99.5   | 98.6    | 100.0   |
| Rivaroxaban      | 79.38 | 72.32 | 61.01  | 0.94    | 256.68  |
| Rivaroxaban—DOAC-Stop | 1.46  | 2.53  | 0.75   | 0.00    | 10.97   |
| Rivaroxaban—% reduction | 97.9  | 2.3   | 98.9   | 91.0    | 100.0   |
| Apixaban         | 92.47 | 69.88 | 71.23  | 20.51   | 324.73  |
| Apixaban—DOAC-Stop | 2.72  | 3.83  | 1.26   | 0.00    | 13.03   |
| Apixaban—% reduction | 97.1  | 3.4   | 98.2   | 88.9    | 100.0   |

Abbreviations: DOAC, direct oral anticoagulant; SD, standard deviation.

Figure 1. Statistical assessment of original and eliminated levels of DOACs.

Figure 2. Statistical assessment of procedure effectiveness.
special coagulation tests. The readings after elimination did not exceed 2.7 ng/mL of residual activity for dabigatran or 10.97 or 13.03 ng/mL for rivaroxaban and apixaban, respectively. These are very low values undetectable by standard functional tests based on the detection of FIIa or FXa. The limit of detection is 50 ng/mL for dabigatran functional assays, and 20 ng/mL each for rivaroxaban and apixaban.

If data on the potential impact of the tests are seen via the ability to influence the most sensitive coagulation assay, dRVVT, false cutoff values corresponding to a ratio of 1.2 are caused by DOAC levels from 10 to 250 ng/mL.

**Conclusion**

Direct oral anticoagulant-Stop is a procedure that can be implemented in all coagulation tests where DOAC treatment is affected, as confirmed in our study by the validation of the most affected test for the determination of LA using dVVT. The effectiveness has been confirmed for all currently used “new anticoagulants.” The procedure is simple, accessible, technically easy, and can be used in all laboratories.
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