Integrative Analysis of miRNA and mRNA Expression Profiles in Mammary Glands of Holstein Cows Artificially Infected with Staphylococcus Aureus
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Abstract

Background: *Staphylococcus aureus*-induced mastitis is one of the most intractable problems for dairy industry, which causes loss of milk yield and early slaughter of cows worldwide. Few study used a comprehensive approach based on the integrative analysis of miRNA and mRNA expression profiles to explore molecular mechanism in bovine mastitis caused by *S. aureus*.

Results: In this study, *S. aureus* and sterile phosphate buffered saline (PBS) were introduced to different quarters of breasts of three individuals, and transcriptome sequencing and microarrays were utilized to detected miRNA and gene expression in mammary gland from the challenged and control groups. A total of 77 differentially expressed microRNAs (DE miRNAs) and 1,625 differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were identified. Gene Ontology (GO) annotation and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway analysis showed that multiple DEGs were enriched in significant terms and pathways associated with immunity and inflammation. Integrative analysis between DE miRNAs and DEGs proved that miR-664b, miR-23b-3p, miR-331-5p, miR-19b and miR-2431-3p were potential factors regulating the expression levels of *CD14 Molecule (CD14)*, *G protein subunit gamma 2 (GNG2)*, *interleukin 17A (IL17A)*, *collagen type IV alpha 1 chain (COL4A1)*, *microtubule associated protein RP/EB family member 2 (MAPRE2)*, *member of RAS oncogene family (RAP1B)*, *LDOC1 regulator of NFKB signaling (LDOC1)*, *low-density lipoprotein receptor (LDLR)* and *S100 calcium binding protein A9 (S100A9)* in bovine mastitis caused by *S. aureus*.

Conclusions: These findings could enhance the understanding of underlying immune response in bovine mammary glands against *S. aureus* infection, and provide a useful foundation for future application of the miRNA-mRNA based genetic regulatory network in the breeding cows resistant to *S. aureus*.

Background

Bovine mastitis compromises the health and welfare of dairy cattle, as well as decreases the quality and quantity of milk production, causing huge economic losses in the global dairy industry [1]. *Staphylococcus aureus* is a major etiological pathogen of bovine mastitis, causing a persistent and chronic infection and largely ineffective with antibiotic therapies [2, 3]. The infectivity and antibiotic resistance of *S. aureus* makes bovine mastitis more difficult to control [4, 5]. By breeding dairy cows with stronger resistance to udder disease, the risk of mastitis may be reduced in the dairy cow population [6]. Therefore, the identification of specific genes related to mastitis susceptibility or resistance can provide a new way to control mastitis through genetic selection [7, 8].

In recent years, numerous studies have shown that bovine mammary epithelial cells (BMECs) respond to the invasion of bacteria or bacterial products by altering the expression levels of several genes involved in inflammation and immunity *in vitro* [9–11]. However, one limitation of these studies that the conclusions drawn at cellular levels are not necessarily consistent with those of individuals [12]. Although some transcriptome-wide association studies have been carried out on *S. aureus*-induced mastitis *in vivo*, these
studies always analyzed the expression levels of mRNAs or microRNAs (miRNAs) separately [13–17]. Few studies used a comprehensive approach based on the integrative analysis of miRNA and mRNA expression profiles to improve our understanding of the underlying molecular mechanism of cow mastitis caused by *S. aureus*.

To investigate various interaction networks and regulatory modes of mRNAs and miRNAs, we constructed a *S. aureus*-type bovine mastitis model and integrated the analysis of miRNAs and mRNAs between the *S. aureus* infected quarters and the control ones. These findings will provide new insights into the mechanism of *S. aureus*-induced cow mastitis.

## Results

### The establishment of bovine *S. aureus*-induced mastitis model

Indicators of the three cows were measured and recorded after bacterial infection. At 24 h post inoculation, the dairy cattle suffered from obvious pain and had a drastically reduction in milk yield. Besides, the temperature of cows raised and their mammary glands and lymph nodes were swollen and hard. At the same time, the alteration of the biophysical properties of milk (grey-white color) was observed. There were significant increases of SCC of the milk from inoculated quarters (A1: 1,790,000/mL, B1: 1,920,000/mL and C1: 2,080,000/mL), while those from the uninoculated quarters remained below 100,000/mL.

### The pathological observation

Compared with the control group, the mammary epithelial cells in the *S. aureus* inoculated group were loosely connected and had a larger intercellular space. A large number of inflammatory cells, including exhumed mammary epithelial cells, macrophages, neutrophils, and lymphocytes, are clustered in the acini (Figure 1).

### Differential Expressed miRNA Identification

A total of 21,293,853 and 18,588,177 raw reads were generated from the control and *S. aureus* inoculated groups, respectively, by miRNA sequencing (Table S1). After raw reads disposing, there were 20,847,000 and 18,504,775 clean reads for length distribution assessment. The assessment results revealed that the 78.76% and 71.79% of clean reads were 20~24 nucleotides in length in the two groups (Figure S1). Principal component analysis (PCA) showed the miRNAs in the challenged and control groups can be classified into different clusters, respectively, indicating sequencing data is qualified for further analysis (Figure 2A). A total of 77 DE miRNAs, including 30 up-regulated and 47 down-regulated miRNAs, were identified in the *S. aureus* inoculated group compared with control group (Figure 3).
Differential Expressed mRNA Identification

The 2100 RNA Integrity Number (RIN) and 28S/18S values were detected to evaluate the quality of RNAs. The values of 2100 RIN and 28S/18S were between 7.5~8.9 and 1.3~2.1, respectively (Table S2), indicating that the RNA quality met the requirement and could be used for marker hybridization.

The GeneSpring software (version 12.5, Agilent Technologies, the USA) was utilized to evaluate the coefficient of variation (CV) of each sample. In this study, the CV values of all samples ranged from 3.389% to 4.821% (Table S3), indicating that the detection results of the microarray is reliable.

PCA analysis was also performed to examine the sample distribution. Two clusters are found: S. aureus group and control group (Figure 2B). The transcriptional sequences of the same group were assembled in the same cluster, indicating that the main differences in the mRNA expression profiles occurred between different groups.

A total of 1,030 up-regulated genes and 595 down-regulated genes are identified in S. aureus group versus control group (Figure 3).

Interaction Analysis of the miRNAs and mRNAs

Three up-regulated and ten down-regulated DE miRNAs ($P$-value $\leq$ 0.05, fold difference $\geq$ 4) were selected for the miRNA-mRNA interactive analysis. Among all potential target genes predicted by TargetScan, 143 up-regulated and 63 down-regulated genes identified in this study were employed for the construction of miRNA-mRNA interaction networks (Figure 4).

Functional Analysis of Differentially Expressed Genes

GO annotation based on three categories (biological processes (BP), molecular functions (MF) and cellular component (CC)) was performed to explore biological functions of DEGs regulated by DE miRNAs, in which there were 721 up-regulated and 381 down-regulated genes. The 721 up-regulated genes were significantly enriched in 174 BP terms, 31 MF terms and 25 CC terms. Among them, 68 up-regulated genes of 19 terms were involved in inflammation and immune response (Table 1). The 381 down-regulated genes were significantly enriched in 199 BP terms, 23 MF terms and 37 CC terms. Among them, 21 down-regulated genes of 25 terms were involved in inflammation and immune response. Only top 10 up-regulated and down-regulated terms in each category were listed in Figure 5. Features of DEGs enriched in top 9 significant GO terms were shown in Figure 6.

The 721 up-regulated genes were significantly enriched in 65 KEGG pathways, in which 22 pathways containing 119 up-regulated genes involved in inflammation and immune response (Table 2). The 381 down-regulated genes are significantly enriched in 26 KEGG pathways, in which 10 KEGG pathways containing 51 down-regulated genes involved in inflammation and immune response (Table 2).
30 up-regulated and down-regulated pathways were listed in Figure 7. Features of DEGs enriched in top 9 significant KEGG terms were shown in Figure 8.
| Term ID   | Term                                               | $P$-value | Gene Name                                                                 | Number |
|-----------|----------------------------------------------------|-----------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| GO:0071310 | cellular response to organic substance             | 0.009     | CXCR1<sup>a</sup>, GFPT2, CSF3, IL17A, PTGS2, WNT2, CXCL5, IL2RA, OAS2, PTAFR, PTGIS, ABHD2, RIPOR2, SOCS3, COL1A2, GNG2, COL1A1, SCARB1, LDLR, FYN, ATP2B4, SNAI2, MSN, IRAK2, RAP1B, WASF1, CD14, COL4A1, DERL1, HSPA5, ACVR2A, LDOC1, EHD1, UFM1 | 34     |
| GO:0051345 | positive regulation of hydrolase activity         | 0.010     | SELE, S100A9, HTR2A, MAPRE2, AHSA2, PYCARD, ABR, CHN1, DNAJB4, ARHGAP15, SEC23A, ATP1B3, AGFG1, ASAP1 | 14     |
| GO:1901701 | cellular response to oxygen-containing compound    | 0.011     | CXCL5, PTAFR, COL1A2, GNG2, COL1A1, SCARB1, LDLR, FYN, ATP2B4, TXN, SNAI2, MSN, IRAK2, RAP1B, CD14, COL4A1, LDOC1, NGF1, SOD2 | 19     |
| GO:0071216 | cellular response to biotic stimulus               | 0.015     | CXCL5, PTAFR, SCARB1, IRAK2, CD14, HSPA5, LDOC1                           | 7      |
| GO:0071222 | cellular response to lipopolysaccharide            | 0.015     | CXCL5, PTAFR, SCARB1, IRAK2, CD14, LDOC1                                 | 6      |
| GO:0072676 | lymphocyte migration                               | 0.016     | RIPOR2, PYCARD, STK10, MSN                                                | 4      |
| GO:0032496 | response to lipopolysaccharide                     | 0.020     | CXCL5, PTAFR, SCARB1, IRAK2, TBXA2R, CD14, LDOC1                         | 7      |
| GO:0071219 | cellular response to molecule of bacterial origin  | 0.021     | CXCL5, PTAFR, SCARB1, IRAK2, CD14, LDOC1                                 | 6      |
| GO:0030334 | regulation of cell migration                       | 0.023     | SRPX2, PRR5L, ABHD2, RIPOR2, STC1, MAPRE2, MMP14, PYCARD, COL1A1, STK10, SNAI2, MSN, TBXA2R, ITGB1, HSPA5 | 15     |
| GO:0071229 | cellular response to acid chemical                 | 0.028     | COL1A2, GNG2, COL1A1, LDLR, COL4A1                                       | 5      |
| GO:0032729 | positive regulation of interferon-gamma production | 0.028     | PYCARD, FAM49B, CD14                                                      | 3      |
| GO:0050900 | leukocyte migration                                | 0.030     | SELE, IL17A, S100A9, CXCL5, RIPOR2, PYCARD, STK10, MSN                   | 8      |
| GO:0002237 | response to molecule of bacterial origin           | 0.031     | CXCL5, PTAFR, SCARB1, IRAK2, TBXA2R, CD14, LDOC1                         | 7      |
| GO:0030593  | neutrophil chemotaxis   | 0.034 | **S100A9, CXCL5, RIPOR2** | 3 |
|-------------|--------------------------|-------|--------------------------|---|
| GO:0072678  | T cell migration         | 0.034 | **RIPOR2, PYCARD, MSN**  | 3 |
| GO:0006954  | inflammatory response    | 0.038 | **IL17A, S100A9, THBS1, PTGS2, ALOX5AP, CD163, PTGS1, PTAFR, PTGIS, SOCS3, PYCARD, LDLR, IRAK2, CYBB** | 14 |
| GO:0030203  | glycosaminoglycan metabolic process | 0.040 | **LYVE1, DSE, SLC35D1, UGDH** | 4 |
| GO:0050954  | sensory perception of mechanical stimulus | 0.040 | **RIPOR2, COL1A1, FYN, SNAI2** | 4 |
| GO:0071230  | cellular response to amino acid stimulus | 0.041 | **COL1A2, COL1A1, COL4A1** | 3 |
| GO:0006935  | chemotaxis               | < 0.001 | **CXCL11, CXCL10, F2RL1, CXCL9, MSTN, NFIB, MET, CCL5, PDGFA, CXCR3, SCN1B** | 11 |
| GO:0050921  | positive regulation of chemotaxis | < 0.001 | **CXCL10, F2RL1, MSTN, MET, CCL5, CXCR3** | 6 |
| GO:0050920  | regulation of chemotaxis | < 0.001 | **CXCL10, F2RL1, MSTN, MET, CCL5, CXCR3** | 6 |
| GO:0032103  | positive regulation of response to external stimulus | 0.001 | **CXCL10, F2RL1, MSTN, C3, MET, CCL5, CXCR3** | 7 |
| GO:0050900  | leukocyte migration      | 0.001 | **CXCL11, CXCL10, F2RL1, MSTN, GATA3, CCL5, CXCR3** | 7 |
| GO:0060326  | cell chemotaxis          | 0.004 | **CXCL11, CXCL10, MSTN, MET, CCL5** | 5 |
| GO:0002690  | positive regulation of leukocyte chemotaxis | 0.005 | **CXCL10, MSTN, CCL5** | 3 |
| GO:1990868  | response to chemokine    | 0.005 | **CX3CR1, CCL5, CXCR3** | 3 |
| GO:1990869  | cellular response to chemokine | 0.005 | **CX3CR1, CCL5, CXCR3** | 3 |
| GO:0032101  | regulation of response to external stimulus | 0.006 | **CXCL10, F2RL1, MSTN, S100B, C3, GATA3, MET, CCL5, PDGFA, CXCR3** | 10 |
| GO:0002688  | regulation of leukocyte chemotaxis | 0.010 | **CXCL10, MSTN, CCL5** | 3 |
| GO:0002685  | regulation of leukocyte migration | 0.012 | **CXCL10, MSTN, CCL5, CXCR3** | 4 |
| GO:0030595 | leukocyte chemotaxis | 0.013 | CXCL11, CXCL10, MSTN, CCL5 | 4 |
| GO:0002687 | positive regulation of leukocyte migration | 0.016 | CXCL10, MSTN, CCL5 | 3 |
| GO:0007606 | sensory perception of chemical stimulus | 0.027 | SCNN1G, SCNN1B | 2 |
| GO:0036230 | granulocyte activation | 0.027 | F2RL1, CCL5 | 2 |
| GO:0071622 | regulation of granulocyte chemotaxis | 0.027 | MSTN, CCL5 | 2 |
| GO:1905517 | macrophage migration | 0.027 | MSTN, CCL5 | 2 |
| GO:0002673 | regulation of acute inflammatory response | 0.032 | S100B, C3 | 2 |
| GO:0050918 | positive chemotaxis | 0.034 | CXCL10, MET, CCL5 | 3 |
| GO:0009605 | response to external stimulus | 0.039 | CXCL11, CXCL10, F2RL1, CXCL9, MSTN, S100B, C3, NFIB, REEP6, GATA3, AQP3, MET, IKZF3, CCL5, PDGFA, CXCR3, SCN1B | 17 |
| GO:0072678 | T cell migration | 0.043 | CXCL11, CXCL10 | 2 |
| GO:2000401 | regulation of lymphocyte migration | 0.048 | CXCL10, CCL5 | 2 |
| GO:1904062 | regulation of cation transmembrane transport | 0.048 | CXCL11, CXCL10, CXCL9, CXCR3 | 4 |
| GO:0042379 | chemokine receptor binding | < 0.001 | CXCL11, CXCL10, CXCL9, CCL5 | 4 |

\( ^a \) The names in bold italic indicated that the genes were up-regulated in S. aureus- inoculated group.

\( ^b \) The names in normal indicated that the genes were down-regulated in S. aureus- inoculated group.
Table 2
Significant KEGG pathways involved in inflammation and immune response.

| Pathway ID | Pathway                                             | $p$-value | Gene Name                                                                 | Number |
|------------|-----------------------------------------------------|-----------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| bta04666   | Fc gamma R-mediated phagocytosis                    | < 0.001   | PLA2G4A, MARCKSL1, VASP, SYK, PIK3R3, FCGR1A, WASF1, CFL1, ASAP1, NCF1, ARPC5, LYN, ARPC2, MAP2K1 | 14     |
| bta04668   | TNF signaling pathway                               | < 0.001   | CXCL2, SELE, MMP3, PTGS2, CXCL6, VEGFC, SOCS3, MMP14, CASP3, LIF, CSF1, PIK3R3, MAP3K8, TNFAIP3, MAP2K3, MAP2K1 | 16     |
| bta04066   | HIF-1 signaling pathway                             | < 0.001   | SERPINE1, LDHA, PFKFB3, PGK1, HIF1A, PFKP, TFRC, PIK3R3, MKNK1, ALDOA, EN01, EN02, CYBB, MAP2K1 | 14     |
| bta04015   | Rap1 signaling pathway                              | < 0.001   | ITGAM, THBS1, PDGFRα, ID1, ITGB3, PDGFD, VEGFC, APBB1IP, FYB, PDGFRB, VASP, CSF1, PIK3R3, SIPA1L2, RAP1B, PFN1, MAP2K3, ITGB1, TLN1, PRKD3, MAP2K1 | 21     |
| bta04657   | IL-17 signaling pathway                             | < 0.001   | CXCL2, CSF3, IL17A, MMP3, S100A9, FOSL1, PTGS2, CXCL6, MMP1, CASP3, TNFAIP3, MAPK6 | 12     |
| bta05020   | Prion diseases                                      | 0.001     | NCAM1, LAMC1, FYN, PRKacb, HSPA5, MAP2K1 | 6      |
| bta0464    | Fc epsilon RI signaling pathway                     | 0.002     | ALOX5AP, FCER1A, PLA2G4A, FYN, SYK, PIK3R3, MAP2K3, LYN, MAP2K1 | 9      |
| bta04151   | PI3K-Akt signaling pathway                          | 0.002     | CSF3, THBS2, BDNF, THBS1, ITGα5, IL2RA, PDGFRα, EPOR, ITGB3, PDGFD, VEGFC, COL1A2, LAMA4, ITGA9, LAMC1, GNG2, COL1A1, PDGFRB, CSF1, SYK, PIK3R3, YWHAG, GNB4, COL4A1, ITGB1, CDK2, MAP2K1 | 27     |
| bta05134   | Legionellosis                                       | 0.002     | CXCL2, ITGAM, NAIP, CASP3, PYCARD, HSPA8, CD14, SAR1A | 8      |
| bta05146   | Amoebiasis                                          | 0.002     | SERPINB4, CXCL2, ITGAM, COL1A2, CASP3, LAMA4, LAMC1, COL1A1, PRKacb, PIK3R3, CD14, COL4A1 | 12     |
| bta04670   | Leukocyte transendothelial migration                | 0.005     | ITGAM, MMP2, JAM3, VASP, PIK3R3, MSN, RAP1B, PTPN11, ITGB1, NCF1, CYBB | 11     |
| bta04062   | Chemokine signaling pathway                         | 0.007     | CXCR2, CXCL2, CCR1, CXCL6, CCL16, PREX1, GNG2, ARRB2, PRKacb, PIK3R3, RAP1B, GNB4, NCF1, LYN, MAP2K1 | 15     |
| bta05100   | Bacterial invasion of epithelial cells              | 0.008     | ITGα5, CBL, PIK3R3, WASF1, DNM3, ITGB1, ARPC5, ARPC2 | 8      |
| Gene ID  | Condition                            | q-value | Top Genes                                                                 |
|---------|--------------------------------------|---------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| bta04145| Phagosome                            | 0.008   | THBS2, ITGAM, THBS1, ITGA5, ITGB3, SCARB1, TUBB3, TFRC, FCGR1A, CD14, ITGB1, ATP6V1C1, NCF1, CYBB |
| bta05165| Human papillomavirus infection       | 0.011   | THBS2, THBS1, PTGS2, WNT2, ITGA5, ITGB3, PKM, COL1A2, CASP3, LAMA4, ITGA9, LAMC1, COL1A1, PDGFRB, PRKACB, NOTCH2, PIK3R3, COL4A1, MX2, ITGB1, ATP6V1C1, CDK2, MAP2K1 |
| bta05167| Kaposi sarcoma-associated herpesvirus infection | 0.023   | CXCL2, CCR1, PTGS2, E2F3, CASP3, PREX1, GNG2, HIF1A, RCAN1, SYK, PIK3R3, GNB4, MAPKAPK2, LYN, MAP2K1 |
| bta05323| Rheumatoid arthritis                 | 0.023   | CXCL2, IL17A, MMP3, CXCL6, MMP1, CD80, CSF1, ATP6V1C1, IL11               |
| bta04392| Hippo signaling pathway - multiple species | 0.026   | RASSF2, WTIP, TEAD3, WWTR1                                                 |
| bta04014| Ras signaling pathway                | 0.030   | BDNF, PDGFRA, PDGFD, VEGFC, PLA2G4A, GNG2, PDGFRB, PRKACB, CSF1, PIK3R3, RAP1B, GNB4, ABL1, PTPN11, ABL2, MAP2K1 |
| bta04061| Viral protein interaction with cytokine and cytokine receptor | 0.033   | CXCR2, CXCL2, CCR1, CXCL6, IL2RA, CCL16, IL10RA, CSF1                  |
| bta05140| Leishmaniasis                        | 0.033   | ITGAM, PTGS2, MARCKSL1, FCGR1A, ITGB1, NCF1, CYBB                      |
| bta05145| Toxoplasmosis                        | 0.035   | IL10RA, CASP3, LAMA4, LAMC1, LDLR, SOCS1, MAP2K3, HSPA8, ITGB1         |
| bta04060| Cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction | < 0.001 | CXCL11, CX3CR1, CXCL10, CXCL9, NGFR, CXCL14, MSTN, XCL1, IL17RE, BMP3, TNFRSF9, TNFSF10, GHR, CXCR6, CCL5, TNFRSF19, CXCR3, TGFB2 |
| bta04061| Viral protein interaction with cytokine and cytokine receptor | < 0.001 | CXCL11, CX3CR1, CXCL10, CXCL9, CXCL14, XCL1, TNFSF10, CCL5, CXCR3 |
| bta04062| Chemokine signaling pathway          | 0.001   | CXCL11, CX3CR1, CXCL10, CXCL9, CXCL14, XCL1, ITK, PRKCZ, CXCR6, CCL5, CXCR3 |
| bta04015| Rap1 signaling pathway               | 0.004   | FGFR4, NGFR, PRKCZ, FGFR2, MET, LPAR2, TLN2, INSR, PDGFA, MAGI3, CTNND1 |
| bta04670| Leukocyte trans endothelial migration | 0.007   | CLDN1, ITK, OCLN, CLDN3, TXK, EZR, CTNND1                                 |
| bta05340| Primary immunodeficiency             | 0.009   | CD8A, BLNK, CIITA, TAP1                                                  |
bta01521  EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitor resistance  0.020  ERBB3, FGFR2, MET, PDGFA, GAB1  5

bta04010  MAPK signaling pathway  0.028  FGFR4, ERBB3, NGFR, RPS6KA6, FGFR2, MET, INSR, MAP3K13, PDGFA, MAP3K1, TGFB2  11

bta04390  Hippo signaling pathway  0.034  RASSF6, PRKCG, DFG3, PPP2R2B, TCF7, TCF7L2, TGFB2  7

bta04151  PI3K-Akt signaling pathway  0.035  FGFR4, ERBB3, NGFR, GHR, FGFR2, PPP2R2B, MET, LPAR2, INSR, ITGA6, LAMC2, PDGFA, ITGA3  13

\[ a \] The names in bold italic indicated that the genes were up-regulated in \textit{S. aureus}- inoculated group.

\[ b \] The names in normal indicated that the genes were down-regulated in \textit{S. aureus}- inoculated group.

### Verification of DE miRNAs and DEGs by qRT-PCR

To verify the accuracy of RNA sequencing and microarray, qRT-PCR is performed to detect the expression levels of miRNA and DEGs. The results showed that the relative expression levels of selected miRNAs and mRNAs identified by qRT-PCR were consistent with RNA sequencing and microarray results, respectively (Table S4 and S5), indicating a high reliability of the study.

### Discussion

To date, more than 150 pathogenic bacteria have been identified in dairy cows with mastitis, among them, \textit{Escherichia coli}, \textit{Streptococcus} spp. and \textit{S. aureus} were most frequently isolated from cows with clinical or subclinical mastitis [18]. In this study, the \textit{S. aureus}-type bovine mastitis model was constructed to explore interaction patterns of mRNAs and miRNAs in the \textit{S. aureus} infected quarters and the control ones. One quarter of each individual received the inoculation of \textit{S. aureus} and the remaining quarters with the inoculation of PBS were served as control group. In this way, the systematic errors can be well minimized when we analyzed and compared the expression levels of mRNAs and miRNAs between inoculated and control groups [19, 20]. In total, 77 DE miRNAs and 1,625 DEGs were identified in the \textit{S. aureus} challenged quarters.

A previous study showed that the mutation of miR-664b, which was down-regulated in \textit{S. aureus} infected quarters (0.450 fold change, \( P < 0.001 \)), is associated with different epidemiological and clinical characteristics of cancer [21]. Accordingly, \textit{CD14 Molecule (CD14)}, which was identified as a predicted target of miR-664b was up-regulated in \textit{S. aureus} infected quarters (2.151 fold change, \( P = 0.002 \)) (Table
This result is consistent with previous studies, in which \textit{CD14} was measured an up-regulated trend as an early innate immune response gene in bacterial infections of mammary gland \cite{9, 22, 23}. CD14 is a lipopolysaccharide-binding protein and functions as an endotoxin receptor, and enriched significantly in the inflammation-related term, including cellular response to organic substance term, cellular response to oxygen-containing compound term, cellular response to biotic stimulus term, cellular response to lipopolysaccharide term, response to lipopolysaccharide term and cellular response to molecule of bacterial origin term. This finding supports a point that miR-664b negatively regulates its target gene, \textit{CD14}, to mediate inflammation in mammary gland of dairy cattle infected by \textit{S. aureus}.

\textit{G protein subunit gamma 2 (GNG2)}, another target gene of miR-664b, was up-regulated in \textit{S. aureus} inoculated quarters (3.246 fold change, \( P = 0.020 \)), which is significantly enriched in 3 significant terms (cellular response to organic substance term, cellular response to oxygen-containing compound term and cellular response to acid chemical term) and 4 significant pathways (PI3K-Akt signaling pathway, chemokine signaling pathway, Kaposi sarcoma-associated herpesvirus infection pathway and Ras signaling pathway) (Table S6). These terms and pathways are mainly involved in inflammation response. Previous studies mainly focused on functional analysis of \textit{GNG2} in human malignant melanoma cells \cite{24–26}. However, there is no direct evidence to prove the association between the up-regulation of \textit{GNG2} and the infection of \textit{S. aureus} in mammary gland. The highly expressed \textit{GNG2} may also associated with the down-regulation of miR-23b-3p (0.223 fold change, \( P < 0.001 \)), which were identified to be associated with various cancers, such as cervical cancer, renal cancer and pancreatic cancer \cite{27–30}. Other up-regulated DEGs regulated by miR-23b-3p in \textit{S. aureus} infection group were \textit{collagen type IV alpha 1 chain (COL4A1)} (2.272 fold change, \( P = 0.007 \)), \textit{microtubule associated protein RP/EB family member 2 (MAPRE2)} (5.500 fold change, \( P = 0.001 \)) and \textit{member of RAS oncogene family (RAP1B)} (2.548 fold change, \( P = 0.008 \)). Although \textit{COL4A1}, \textit{MAPRE2} and \textit{RAP1B} are respectively enriched in various inflammation-related terms and pathways, to our knowledge, there is no evidence to prove that they have a bearing on bovine mastitis infected by \textit{S. aureus}.

The down-regulation of miR-664b have a potential association with the extremely significant up-regulation of \textit{interleukin 17A (IL17A)} (18.584 fold change, \( P < 0.001 \)), which plays a crucial role in the defense of gram-positive bacterial infection and inflammation development \cite{31–33}. \textit{IL17A} is significantly enriched in the terms of cellular response to organic substance, leukocyte migration and inflammatory response, and the pathways of IL-17 signaling and rheumatoid arthritis, which indicated that \textit{IL17A} potentially act as a functional gene in the defense of \textit{S. aureus} infection in bovine mammary gland. Generally known, the expression level of a single gene can be regulated by multiple miRNAs \cite{34}. As shown in this study, miR-331-5p, which targets \textit{IL17A}, was down-regulated in \textit{S. aureus} -inoculated quarters (0.273 fold change, \( P < 0.001 \)). At the same time, a single miRNA can also target multiple genes \cite{34}. In this study, \textit{LDOC1 regulator of NFKB signaling (LDOC1)}, the target gene of miR-331-5p, which was up-regulated in infected group (2.114 fold change). \textit{LDOC1} is significantly enriched in cellular response to organic substance term, cellular response to oxygen-containing compound term, cellular response to biotic stimulus term, cellular response to lipopolysaccharide term, response to lipopolysaccharide term, cellular response to molecule of bacterial origin term and response to molecule of bacterial origin term.
Previous study has suggested that LDOC1 regulated the aggressive lung cancer by targeting Phospho-JAK2 [35]. Additionally, multiple studies have shown that LDOC1 can induce apoptosis [36–38]. Thus, it remains to be clarified the role of LDOC1 in S. aureus-induced apoptosis.

The down-regulation of miR-19b (0.397 fold change, \( P<0.001 \)) is potentially responsible for the up-regulation of LDOC1 in S. aureus-induced mastitis, which has been identified to be the candidate marker for lung cancer and diabetes [39, 40]. The down-regulation of miR-19b is also observed to account for the down-regulation of low-density lipoprotein receptor (LDLR) (2.976 fold change, \( P=0.024 \)), which is significantly enriched in cellular response to organic substance term, cellular response to oxygen-containing compound term, cellular response to acid chemical term, inflammatory response term and toxoplasmosis pathway and can develop inflammatory atherosclerosis [41].

S100 calcium binding protein A9 (S100A9) is a kind of pro-inflammatory factors, and the protein from exosomes in follicular fluid causes inflammation by NF-\( \kappa \)B pathway activation in polycystic ovary syndrome [42, 43]. In this study, the up-regulated S100A9 (10.631 fold change, \( P=0.006 \)) and down-regulated predicted target miRNA − 2431-3p (0.459 fold change, \( P=0.005 \)) were screened in S. aureus-inoculated quarters which is enriched in multiple significant inflammatory and immune-related pathways, including positive regulation of hydrolase activity pathway, leukocyte migration pathway, neutrophil chemotaxis pathway and inflammatory response pathway.

**Conclusions**

In the present study, we comprehensively analyzed the changes in miRNA and mRNA profiles of the mammary gland of dairy cattle under S. aureus inoculation. Overall, 77 DE miRNAs and 1,625 DEGs were identified in the S. aureus challenged quarters. Among them, predicted integrated regulatory network was constructed with miRNAs (miR-664b, miR-23b-3p, miR-331-5p, miR-19b and miR-2431-3p) and mRNAs (CD14, GNG2, COL4A1, MAPRE2, RAP1B, IL17A, LDOC1, LDLR and S100A9), which were significantly associated with inflammation and immunity (Fig. 9). These findings could enhance the understanding of underlying immune response in bovine mammary glands against S. aureus infection, and provide a useful foundation for future application of the miRNA-mRNA based genetic regulatory network in the breeding cows resistant to S. aureus.

**Methods**

**Ethics Statement**

All experimental protocols in this study were reviewed and approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Yangzhou University (ZZCX2019-SYXY-056). All methods in this study were carried out according in accordance with the Administration of Affairs Concerning Experimental Animals published by the Ministry of Science and Technology of China.
Animals Selection

Three apparently healthy and mastitis-free Holstein dairy cattle (A, B and C) were chosen from a dairy farm in Yangzhou, China. All the three cows were in the middle lactation term of first parity with a consistent history of milk somatic cell count (SCC) below 100,000/mL. The experiment was performed after one week in quarantine.

Mastitis Model Construction

For challenge infection study, aliquots from frozen stock cultures (S. aureus, ATCC29213) were plated on sheep blood agar and incubated at 37 °C for 18 h under 10% CO₂-enriched conditions. Bacterial suspensions for each pure culture were diluted in sterile phosphate buffered saline (PBS) (biosharp, China) to 1 x 10^7 Colony-Forming Units (CFU)/mL, using a spectrophotometer (Eppendorf, Germany) with a wavelength of 600 nm. For challenged group, one quarter (A1, B1 and C1) of each of the three individuals received a dose of 5 x 10^7 CFU of S. aureus and one of the remaining quarters (A2, B2 and C2) not administered with the S. aureus inoculation were served as control group that received 5 mL of sterile PBS [16, 44].

Sample Collection and Total RNA Extraction

The mammary tissues (1~2 g per quarter) were collected by sterile operation from two quarters per dairy cattle. Samples from challenged (A1, B1 and C1) and control (A2, B2 and C2) quarters were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen before RNA extraction or stored in 10% formalin for hematoxylin and eosin (HE) staining.

Total RNA was extracted from 250 mg mammary tissues with mirVana™ RNA Isolation Kit (Applied Biosystems, USA) and purified with QIAGEN RNeasy® Kit (QIAGEN, Germany). The RNA quality was assessed using Agilent Bioanalyzer 2100 (Agilent Technologies, USA) and NanoDrop spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher, USA). Total RNA samples were stored at -70 °C. A total of 10 μg per RNA sample was sent to a commercial sequencing laboratory (Oebiotech, China) for evaluating the expression levels of miRNA and mRNA.

Pathological tests

After 48 h of soaking, the samples were rinsed with water for 12 h and subjected to gradient alcohol dehydration, wax impregnation and embedding. HE staining was performed for 15 min after dewaxing and dewaxing and adequate washing. The pathological changes were visualized with a microscope (Nikon, Japan).
Small RNA Sequencing and Data Analysis

Clean reads constructing the small RNA libraries were obtained by removing low-quality reads, adaptors and insufficient tags. Then the length distribution and sequences of the clean reads was summarized and analyzed, respectively. Ribosomal RNAs (rRNAs), transfer RNAs (tRNAs), and other non-coding RNAs were identified and removed based on GenBank (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) and Rfam database (http://rfam.xfam.org/). MiRNAs were identified through a BLASTN search against the miRBase 18.0 (http://www.mirbase.org/) [45].

The miRNA counts was normalized as transcript per million (TPM) with the formula \( \frac{\text{number of reads per miRNA alignment}}{\text{number of reads from the total sample alignment}} \times 10^6 \) [46]. The differentially expressed (DE) miRNAs in each sample were calculated with DEseq R package (1.18.0) with \( P\)-value \( \leq 0.05 \) and fold change \( \geq 2 \) as the threshold.

MRNA Analysis and Data Process

Total RNA was reverse-transcribed to double-stranded complementary DNA (cDNA) and purified with QIAGEN RNaseasy® Kit (QIAGEN, Germany), from which complementary RNAs (cRNAs) were synthesized and then labelled with cyanine-3-CTP. For the calculation of fluorescence molecule concentration and incorporation, the following formulas were employed: 

\[ \text{Cy3-concentration (pmol/µL)} = \frac{A_{552}}{0.15} \]

\[ \text{Cy3-incorporation (pmol/µg)} = \frac{\text{Cy3-concentration}}{\text{cRNA concentration (µg/µL)}} \]

Then the cRNA sample fragmentation and chip hybridization were conducted, and the chips were washed and scanned subsequently.

Feature Extraction software (version 10.7.1.1, Agilent Technologies) was employed to extract and analyze raw data from array images. Briefly, the raw data was normalized with the quantile algorithm and the resultant flag value of any probe is assigned as “Detected” only if there are no “Compromised” or ‘Not Detected”. DEGs were identified with \( P\)-value \( \leq 0.05 \) and fold change \( \geq 2 \) as the threshold.

MiRNA-mRNA Interaction Network Construction

With the online software TargetScan (www.targetscan.org), the potential target genes of DE miRNAs (\( P \leq 0.05 \) and fold change \( \geq 4 \)) were predicted and intersected with the DEGs identified by microarray test (\( P \leq 0.05 \) and fold change \( \geq 4 \)). Then the miRNA-mRNA interaction networks were constructed and visualized with the DE miRNAs and screened genes by String (v11.0) database and Cytoscape (v3.7.2), respectively [47].

Functional analysis of Differentially Expressed Genes
DEGs regulated by DE miRNAs were screened to further understand their biological and metabolic pathways. Gene ontology (GO) annotation and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) analysis were respectively performed with the DAVID 6.8 (https://david.ncifcrf.gov/) and KOBAS 3.0 (http://kobas.cbi.pku.edu.cn/index.php) using R based on the hypergeometric distribution [47]. Then the GO terms and KEGG pathways with \( P \)-value \( \leq 0.05 \) were significantly enriched in DEGs or the miRNA target genes.

**RT-qPCR Validation of DEGs and DE miRNAs**

To validate the RNA sequencing data, eight DEGs (DGAT2, FADS2, ALDH3A2, EHHADH, FASN, LPL, SCD and SLC27A6) and six DE miRNAs (bta-miR-196a, bta-miR-205, bta-miR-200b, bta-miR-223, bta-miR-184, bta-miR-1246) were selected and analyzed by RT-qPCR. All the specific primers were synthesized by Sangon Biotech (Shanghai, China) and described in Table S7 and S8. The LightCycler® 480 II System (Roche, Switzerland) was applied to qRT-PCR with and SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Takara, Japan). MiRNA/mRNA were normalized for bovine 18S rRNA/\( \beta \)-actin. Relative expression was calculated using the \( 2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct} \) method in all samples.

**Statistical Analysis**

Data were analyzed using GraphPad Prism 8 (GraphPad, USA) and presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD). \( P \leq 0.05 \) indicated a significant difference.

**List Of Abbreviations**
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**Figures**
Figure 1

HE staining of mammary tissues. (A) Mammary tissues from the S. aureus-inoculated group with the infiltration of a large number of inflammatory cells, 200X. (B) Mammary tissues from the S. aureus-inoculated group with the infiltration of a large number of inflammatory cells, 400X. (C) Mammary tissues from the control group with an integrated structure, 200X. (D) Mammary tissues from the control group with an integrated structure, 400X.
Figure 2

PCA analysis. (A) PCA analysis of miRNAs. (B) PCA analysis of mRNAs.

Figure 3

The volcano plots. (A) DE miRNAs in bovine mammary gland between the control group and S. aureus-inoculated group. The up-regulated and down-regulated miRNAs were shown in red and blue dots, respectively, while the miRNAs with no significant difference in the two groups were shown in blue dots. (B) DEGs in bovine mammary gland between the control group and S. aureus-inoculated group. The up-
regulated and down-regulated mRNAs were indicated by red and blue dots, respectively, which the mRNAs with no significant difference in the two groups were indicated by black dots.

Figure 4

MiRNA-mRNA interaction networks. Red and blue triangles represent up-regulated and down-regulated miRNA in S. aureus- inoculated group, respectively. Red and blue circles represent up-regulated and down-regulated DEGs in S. aureus- inoculated group, respectively.

Figure 5
GO functional enrichment analysis of DEGs. (A) Top 10 significant biological process, cellular component, and molecular function terms enriched by up-regulated DEGs. (B) Top 10 significant biological process, cellular component, and molecular function terms enriched by down-regulated DEGs.

Figure 6

Features of DEGs enriched in top 9 significant GO terms. (A) Circos plots showed overlapping and specific responses of up-regulated DEGs. (B) Circos plots summarized features of up-regulated DEGs. (C) Circos plots showed overlapping and specific responses of down-regulated DEGs. (D) Circos plots summarized features of down-regulated DEGs.
Figure 7

KEGG pathway analysis of DEGs. (A) Scatter plots of top 30 significant enriched KEGG pathways of up-regulated DEGs. (B) Scatter plots of top 30 significant enriched KEGG pathways of down-regulated DEGs.
Figure 8

Features of DEGs enriched in top 30 significant KEGG pathways. (A) Circos plots showed overlapping and specific responses of up-regulated DEGs. (B) Circos plots summarized features of up-regulated DEGs. (C) Circos plots showed overlapping and specific responses of down-regulated DEGs. (D) Circos plots summarized features of down-regulated DEGs.
Figure 9

The construction of Staphylococcus aureus-induced mastitis and pathological features and integrative analysis of miRNA and mRNA expression profiles of mammary tissues.
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