Tropical Convection in the Caribbean and Surrounding Region during a Regional, Warming Sea-Surface Temperature Period, 1982–2020
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Abstract: Warming sea-surface temperatures (SSTs) have implications for the climate-sensitive Caribbean region, including potential impacts on precipitation. SSTs have been shown to influence deep convection and rainfall, thus understanding the impacts of warming SSTs is important for predicting regional hydrometeorological conditions. This study investigates the long-term annual and seasonal trends in convection using the Galvez-Davison Index (GDI) for tropical convection from 1982–2020. The GDI is used to describe the type and potential for precipitation events characterized by sub-indices that represent heat and moisture availability, cool/warm mid-levels at 500 hPa, and subsidence inversion, which drive the regional Late, Early, and Dry Rainfall Seasons, respectively. Results show that regional SSTs are warming annually and per season, while regionally averaged GDI values are decreasing annually and for the Dry Season. Spatial analyses show the GDI demonstrates higher, statistically significant correlations with precipitation across the region than with sea-surface temperatures, annually and per season. Moreover, the GDI climatology results show that regional convection exhibits a bimodal pattern resembling the characteristic bimodal precipitation pattern experienced in many parts of the Caribbean and surrounding region. However, the drivers of these conditions need further investigation as SSTs continue to rise while the region experiences a drying trend.
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1. Introduction

The Caribbean and surrounding region is particularly vulnerable to impacts of global climate changes [1–5]. The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) reports that global land and ocean temperatures have been increasing since the early 1900s [6]. Moreover, sea-surfaces temperatures (SSTs) have been consistently warmer within the past several decades (since the 1980s) compared to any time on record [6]. Warming SSTs have many implications for the climate-sensitive Caribbean region, including potential impacts on precipitation and moisture transport [7–11]. SST is a key integrative variable for modulating atmospheric processes and is correlated with region specific climate phenomena, such as the Caribbean Low-Level Jet (CLLJ) and the Atlantic Warm Pool (AWP), which have also been shown to influence regional precipitation [9,12–16].
Historical climate analyses have shown a consistent increasing trend in Caribbean SSTs over extended and recent years. Antuna et al. [17] found statistically significant increases between 1906 and 2005, while most recently, Glenn et al.’s [18] examination of the broader Caribbean shows annual regional increases at a rate of 0.15 °C per decade between 1982 and 2012. The Early Rainfall Season (ERS, April–June) and the Late Rainfall Season (LRS, August–November) reflect estimated trends at 0.1 °C per decade and 0.2 °C per decade, respectively. SSTs have been shown to influence deep convection and rainfall; thus, understanding the impacts of warming SSTs is important for predicting hydrometeorological conditions in this region [19].

For the Caribbean, convection is a primary driver of precipitation. Studies show that deep convection increases significantly when SSTs exceed 27 to 28 °C [20–22]. In addition to weakening vertical wind shear (≤8 m/s), Caribbean rainfall becomes enhanced once the Main Developing Region (MDR) and Tropical North Atlantic (TNA) (Figure 1) are warmer and SSTs are above the convection threshold of 26.5 °C [23]. Moreover, studies have shown that rainfall dependency could increase by a factor as high as 5 when SSTs increase from 26 °C to 29 °C [24]. Conversely, Waliser et al. [25] observed that when SSTs were below 26.5 °C, there was little variance with the amount of rainfall as a function of SSTs. The influence of relevant teleconnections, such as El Niño Southern Oscillation (ENSO) and the North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO), can be highly variable. While ENSO and the NAO have some influence on precipitation in the Caribbean, the effects vary across the region, as well as per season, and lack persistence and stability depending on the magnitude of the event [26–30].

The objective of this study is to report on convection trends during the SST warming period using an analysis of SST trends through 2020 for the Caribbean and surrounding region (Figure 1). This study also aims to identify whether significant trends can be detected for convection relevant conditions characterized by the Gálvez–Davison Index (GDI) for Tropical Convection. The need to quantify regional effects on precipitation is significant, as extreme precipitation variations, especially drying trends, may have major socioeconomic impacts in the region [4]. Moreover, a drying trend has been reported as a potential outcome of the global warming scenario according to the Intergovernmental
Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) [3] and future climate projections as reported by Taylor et al. [31] and Campbell et al. [32].

2. Data and Methods

Analysis of historical SSTs, conditions favorable for moist convection, and precipitation climatology was performed for the Caribbean and surrounding region for the 1982–2020 period using satellite and reanalysis data. The data used are SSTs, air temperature, relative humidity, and precipitation and are provided by the NOAA/Oceanic and Atmospheric Research/Earth System Research Laboratories/Physical Sciences Division (NOAA/OAR/ESRL/PSD) from their website at http://www.esrl.noaa.gov/psd/ accessed on 28 January 2021 (see Data Availability) [33–37]. MATLAB was used for data analysis and data visualization. Additionally, a list of acronyms is provided in Table 1.

Table 1. List of acronyms.

| List of Acronyms |
|------------------|
| AWP Atlantic Warm Pool | LRS Late Rainfall Season |
| CAPE Convective Available Potential Energy | MK Mann-Kendall |
| CBI Column Buoyancy Index | MSD Mid-Summer Drought |
| CLLJ Caribbean Low-Level Jet | MWI Mid-Level Warming Index |
| DS Dry Season | NAO North Atlantic Oscillation |
| ENSO El Niño Southern Oscillation | NCAR National Center for Atmospheric Research |
| ERS Early Rainfall Season | NCEP National Centers for Environmental Prediction |
| GDI Gálvez-Davison Index | NOAA National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration |
| GPCP Global Precipitation Climatology Project | OI Optimum Interpolated |
| IAR Intra-Americas Region | SST Sea Surface Temperature |
| II Inversion Index | TC Terrain Correction |
| IPCC Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change | TNA Tropical North Atlantic |

The SST dataset is the 1/4° daily NOAA High Resolution Optimum Interpolation (OI) Sea Surface Temperature v2.1 data, which uses satellite data combined with in-situ observations [33]. Environmental conditions related to moist convection were characterized using the GDI, which requires air temperature and relative humidity measurements at 500, 700, 850, and 925 hPa (or 950 based on availability) as input [34]. The National Centers for Environmental Prediction/National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCEP/NCAR) Reanalysis 1 data were selected for both air temperature and relative humidity, available as monthly averages at 2.5° resolution [35]. For regional precipitation climatology calculations, the Global Precipitation Climatology Project (GPCP) precipitation dataset was selected. The GPCP dataset combines observations and satellite precipitation data into 2.5° × 2.5° global grids and provides mean monthly rainfall rates (in mm) [36].

The Caribbean seasons for this analysis are designated as the Early Rainfall Season (ERS, April–June), the Late Rainfall Season (LRS, August–November), and the Dry Season (DS, December–March). On average, the region experiences a wet season from April to November. However, the wet season follows a bimodal pattern that defines the characteristic Caribbean rainy seasons [9,37–40]. The bimodal pattern of Caribbean region rainfall is due to the Mid-Summer Drought (MSD). The Caribbean MSD is described as a period of relatively low precipitation that occurs from late June through early August. From a regional perspective, July represents the average period in which rainfall markedly decreases during the summer; however, this phenomenon begins in June in the eastern Caribbean and shifts westward across the basin through July [9,40–42]. Therefore, for this analysis July is not included due to the significant decrease in precipitation during this month from a regional perspective.
2.1. Trend Analysis

The Mann–Kendall test (MK test) is a ranked based non-parametric test for trends [43,44]. The MK test was selected because the study involves large and highly variable data sets. This test was applied per-grid and to regional average values for the annual, early rainfall, late rainfall, and dry season scenarios. The trends and the associated p-values (significance) were calculated for SSTs and the GDI from 1982–2020. Similar to linear regression, trend values were determined to be statistically significant if the calculated p-value was less than 0.05 (95% test level). The Mann–Kendall test assumes independence between observations. Additionally, this test is not dependent on the magnitude of the data, assumptions of distribution, missing data, or the regularity of monitoring periods. Although the MK test is used in many studies for detection of trends in hydrological time series, there are some caveats regarding the power of the test based on the pre-assigned significance level, magnitude of the trend, sample size, and the amount of variation in the time series [45–50].

2.2. Galvez–Davidson Index (GDI) for Tropical Convection

For the analysis of moist convection, the GDI is used to characterize regional patterns and the environment that could be favorable (or unfavorable) for convection to develop. The GDI was specifically designed to diagnose the environment suitable for different types of moist convection in the tropics and subtropics. When used in forecasting, the GDI generally outperforms other indices such as the K, Lifted, Convective Available Potential Energy (CAPE), and Total-Totals on determining the potential for shallow or deep convection [34].

The index was validated over the Caribbean Basin and South America. It is computed using three main sub-indices that characterize three physical processes that modulate tropical convection [34]:

1. Column Buoyancy Index (CBI)—availability of heat and moisture in the low and mid troposphere;
2. Mid-level Warming Index (MWI)—stabilizing/destabilizing effects of mid-level ridges/troughs at 500 hPa;
3. Inversion Index (II)—the entrainment of dry air and stabilization associated with inversions.

Additionally, there is another subindex, the Terrain Correction (TC), that is optional and not considered here. The GDI is calculated using the following equation:

\[ \text{GDI} = \text{CBI} + \text{MWI} + \text{II} \]  

The CBI describes the availability of heat and moisture in the column. The MWI characterizes stability based on warm (cool) temperatures at 500hPa. If the mid-troposphere is relatively warm (cool), there is less (more) of a vertical temperature gradient and the underlying layer is more stable (unstable). The II captures the processes that inhibit trade wind convection, regarding stabilization by the trade wind inversion, and dry air entrainment when convection is able to grow beyond the boundary layer [34]. Thus, the CBI represents an enhancement factor favorable for deep convection, whereas the MWI and II act as dampening factors.

The GDI is computed using air temperature and mixing ratio ratios at 500, 700, 850, and 950 hPa. Data was not readily available for the 950 hPa height from NCEP/NCAR; on consultation with Galvez and Davison it was determined that the 925 hPa height offered a viable alternative. A summary of GDI values and their associated convective regimes are shown in Table 2 [34]. The complete methodology to calculate the GDI and details on validation are provided by the NOAA Weather Prediction Center/International Desks, College Park, MD available at https://www.wpc.ncep.noaa.gov/international/gdi/, accessed on 28 January 2021.
Table 2. Summary of Galvez–Davidson Index (GDI) and expected types of convective regimes based on the Caribbean and surrounding region.

| GDI Value  | Expected Convective Regime                                                                 |
|------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| GDI > +45  | Scattered to widespread heavy rain producing thunderstorms                                |
| +35 to +45 | Scattered thunderstorms, some capable of producing heavy rainfall                          |
| +25 to +35 | Isolated to scattered thunderstorms and/or scattered shallow convection                    |
| +15 to +25 | Isolated thunderstorms, but mostly shallow convection                                      |
| +05 to +15 | Isolated, brief thunderstorm possible—potential for shallow convection                    |
| −20 to +05 | Strong subsidence inversion likely—isolated to scattered shallow convection               |
| −20 > GDI  | Strong subsidence inversion. Convection should be shallow, isolated and produce trace accumulations |

2.3. Correlation Analysis

Correlation analysis was applied to characterize the relationship between the SST and GDI time series through convection index correlations. Precipitation time series per point were also correlated with convection for the same latitude/longitude location. The precipitation correlations with the GDI are included for comparison to SST correlations with the GDI. Additionally, each correlation was tested for statistical significance at the 95% test level.

3. Results

3.1. Trend Analysis Results

Rising and statistically significant trends for SSTs were observed for annual, ERS, LRS, and DS scenarios illustrated in Figure 2, with \( p \)-values listed in Table 3. Additionally, as SSTs have continued to increase, the warmest conditions have consistently occurred during the latter half of the period (2000 to 2020). SSTs have remained above the 1982–2020 average even in the absence of strong ENSO events. In 2017, an ENSO neutral year, the region experienced very high average SSTs for the year. Figure 3 illustrates anomalies for annual and LRS SSTs. Results show that late rainfall season SSTs have remained anomalously warm since 2002. As seen from the SST anomalies (Figure 3), the warmest temperatures occurred during the latter half of the study period. Figure 4 shows the spatial representation of average LRS SSTs for the early half (1982–2000) and latter half (2001–2020) of the period. The latter period shows that average SSTs have not only increased but are more widespread throughout the region. The plot in Figure 4 shows that the regional area of SSTs that are greater than 27 °C has increased over time.

3.2. Galvez–Davidson Index (GDI) for Tropical Convection Results

Regional climatology results show that the GDI exhibits a bimodal pattern similar to the characteristic bimodal precipitation pattern experienced in the Caribbean and surrounding region, seen in Figure 5. This is an interesting finding given the apparent independence of the variables. Additionally, the climatology for heat and moisture (CBI) is also bimodal as the annual precipitation cycle in this region is dependent on heat and moisture availability. The climatology results for each sub-index (CBI, MWI, and II) are shown in Figure 6.

Table 3. List of \( p \)-values for regional (spatial) averaged SST trends.

| \( p \)-value | Annual  | DS       | ERS          | LRS           |
|--------------|---------|----------|--------------|---------------|
|              | \( 2.15 \times 10^{-11} \) | \( 7.29 \times 10^{-6} \) | \( 9.05 \times 10^{-10} \) | \( 1.39 \times 10^{-12} \) |
Figure 2. Summary of regional (spatial) averaged trends from 1982–2020: (a) annual regional average SST time series for the Caribbean and surrounding region, (b) regional average of SST in the Dry Rainfall Season, (c) regional average of SST in the Early Rainfall Season, and (d) regional average of SST in the Late Rainfall Season.

Figure 3. Caribbean and surrounding region sea surface temperature anomalies observation: (a) annual SST anomaly 1982–2020 and (b) Late Rainfall Season SST anomaly 1982–2020.
Figure 4. Late Rainfall Season sea surface temperature averages for warming SST observation: (a) 1982–2000, (b) 2001–2020, and (c) convection threshold Area Index time series for the Caribbean and surrounding region. The color bar indicates the temperature (°C).

Figure 5. The Galvez-Davidson Index (GDI) annual climatology (left) and the precipitation annual climatology (right) for the Caribbean and surrounding region (5°–31° N, 100° W–55° W), also referred to as the Intra-Americas Region (IAR), for base period 1982–2020.

Regionally averaged annual trends for the GDI and each sub-index were calculated, shown in Figure 7, with p-values listed in Table 4. Results show the GDI is slightly decreasing over time, while the CBI, MWI, and II are gaining intensity. For example, the annual CBI trend shows an increase, thus reflecting an increase in heat and moisture in the region. However, the MWI and II are also intensifying. Since these are dampening factors, they are represented with negative values. Therefore, these sub-indices becoming more negative represent an intensification of their effects, i.e., a potentially more stable
atmosphere and hostile to development. For annual trends, only the CBI, MWI, and II were determined to be statistically significant.

Figure 6. Galvez-Davidson Index (GDI) and sub-index annual climatology results for the (a) the GDI, (b) Column Buoyancy Index (CBI), (c) the Mid-level Warming Index (MWI), and (d) the Inversion Index (II) for the Caribbean and surrounding region (5°–31° N, 100° W–55° W) for base period 1982–2020.

Figure 7. Summary of annual regional (spatial) averaged trends over 1982–2020 for (a) the Galvez-Davidson Index (GDI), (b) Column Buoyancy Index (CBI), (c) the Mid-level Warming Index (MWI), and (d) the Inversion Index (II). Statistically significant values at the 95% test level are highlighted in bold.
Trends per grid for each season were also calculated, shown in Figure 8. Additionally, the $p$-values for the regionally averaged values are listed in Table 5. The GDI shows increasing trends for the ERS and LRS, and a statistically significant decreasing trend for the DS. However, all sub-indices reflect increasing trends for all seasons. Although the CBI, the enhancement factor that favors deep convection conditions, shows an increase, the MWI and II also increase in magnitude (they are becoming more negative).

**Table 4.** List of $p$-values for regional (spatial) averaged GDI trends.

| $p$-value | GDI | CBI | MWI | II |
|-----------|-----|-----|-----|----|
|           | 0.7341 | $2.14 \times 10^{-4}$ | 0.004 | $1.19 \times 10^{-5}$ |

**Figure 8.** Regional trends (slope) per grid for the Galvez-Davidson Index (GDI) and individual sub-indices for the Column Buoyancy Index (CBI), the Mid-level Warming Index (MWI), and the Inversion Index (II) and regional average $p$-values at the 95% test level.

**Table 5.** List of $p$-values for regional (spatial) averaged trends per season for the GDI and subindices.

| Season | GDI | CBI | MWI | II |
|--------|-----|-----|-----|----|
| ERS    | 0.2555 | 0.005 | 0.0076 | 0.0018 |
| LRS    | 0.1997 | $2.60 \times 10^{-11}$ | $1.85 \times 10^{-5}$ | 0.0029 |
| DS     | 0.0331 | 0.019 | $3.33 \times 10^{-9}$ | 0.0000 |

**3.3. Correlation Analysis Results**

The regional GDI shows a higher correlation with precipitation compared to SSTs. Table 6 summarizes the results for each season. Additionally, precipitation time series show relatively high, positive correlations with convection indices throughout the region (not shown). The GDI calculations are consistent with the pronounced role of cold upper troughs in ERS precipitation and the role of the trade wind inversion during the DS. Additionally, precipitation has a lower correlation during the LRS since processes such as warm convective processes and latent heat driven processes intervene in the variability of
precipitation. Note that these results are based on the GPCP data at 2.5° resolution and do not capture local conditions.

Table 6. Summary of regional precipitation correlations with SST and GDI (bold represents significance at 95% test level).

| Precipitation | Regional Average Correlation |
|---------------|-----------------------------|
|               | SST                        | GDI            |
| Annual        | 0.2557                     | 0.3779         |
| ERS           | 0.2669                     | 0.604          |
| LRS           | -0.0868                    | 0.3677         |
| DS            | 0.4432                     | 0.5615         |

Additionally, correlations between SSTs and GDI indices were examined. As seen in Table 7, statistically significant, high correlations are observed between SSTs and GDI sub-indices. The positive CBI correlations suggest that when SSTs increase, heat and moisture availability also increase (and vice versa). Conversely, for the MWI and II, results suggest that if SSTs increase (decrease) these dampening factors become less (more) prominent.

Table 7. Summary of regional sea-surface temperatures (SST) correlations with GDI (bold represents statistical significance at 95% test level).

| SST  | GDI  | CBI | MWI  | II  |
|------|------|-----|------|-----|
| Annual | -0.06 | 0.84 | -0.78 | -0.64 |
| ERS   | 0.15  | 0.77 | -0.69 | -0.5 |
| LRS   | 0.13  | 0.86 | -0.83 | -0.47 |
| DS    | -0.17 | 0.83 | -0.77 | -0.39 |

Values in bold are determined to be statistically significant at the 95% significance level.

4. Discussion

The Caribbean and surrounding region continues to reflect a warming trend since those last reported by Glenn et al. [14] and more recently by The Climate Studies Group of UW-Mona [51]. The LRS, the season when SSTs are warmest for this region, is warming at a faster rate than other seasons. Increases in SSTs during the LRS are of particular importance because temperatures during this season reach 26.5 °C and above, which is the threshold generally considered for deep convection. Moreover, convection intensity rapidly increases when SSTs increase from 26.5 to 29.5 °C and the LRS reflects an increase in the size and presence of SSTs that reach this favorable range.

A high value for GDI suggests a higher potential for deeper convection and associated heavier precipitation (see Table 1), while results for the individual sub-indices provide an insight into the main driving conditions for precipitation per season. The CBI is an enhancement factor for convection activity associated with precipitation, while the MWI and II are dampening factors. Results show the GDI is highest during the ERS (April to June), suggesting that from a regional perspective this season has the highest potential for deep convective development. However, the LRS (August to November) experiences the most accumulated precipitation, although it has a slightly lower GDI than that of the ERS. This could be due to the MWI being more negative during the ERS. This indicates that precipitation in the LRS is mostly driven by heat and moisture availability (CBI), while the ERS convection is sensitive to 500 hPa instability associated with cold core upper-level troughs (MWI). This is consistent with the climatology of the Caribbean mid-troposphere. The DS (December to March) is dominated by both dampening factors, experiencing a combination of less moisture available and a more stable atmosphere observed though the plots of CBI and II. These two sub-indices overwhelm the less negative values of MWI, which are usually related to a cooler and less stable mid-troposphere.

A decreasing trend in GDI (convection potential) suggests lower potential for deep convection. This is observed to a large extent in the case of ERS and DS when examining the
spatial distribution of trends illustrated in Figure 8. This is particularly true for the eastern Caribbean and the Gulf of Mexico in the ERS and DS, and for the Lesser Antilles, northern South America, and northwest Caribbean/Bahamas during the DS. This is demonstrated at the local scale by Mote et al. [52], who report the link between anomalously low GDI values and reduced ERS rainfall during a 2015 drought event in Puerto Rico. Additionally, the Climate Studies Group Mona [51] report increases in the number of consecutive dry days between rainfall events, the amount of rainfall that occurs during a rainfall event, monthly maximum 1-day rainfall amount, and monthly maximum consecutive 5-day rainfall amounts for the region on average. Although the regional trends in CBI (heat and moisture) show an increase, the MWI and II are also increasing in magnitude (they are becoming more negative). This suggests that the dampening factors are dominating the effect of the increasing heat and moisture conditions.

5. Conclusions

A warming trend of sea-surface temperatures (SSTs) has been observed in the Caribbean and its surrounding region for the past 39-years (1982–2020), which could have potential implications for convection and precipitation variability within the region. In this research, long-term trends in convection were analyzed using the Galvez-Davidson Index (GDI) for Tropical Convection. Climatology analyses reveal that convection follows the characteristic bimodal pattern of rainfall in the Caribbean and surrounding region. Additionally, results highlight the atmospheric conditions that modulate convection during seasons. These are large heat/moisture availability during the LRS, low heat/moisture availability and the effects of stronger trade wind inversions in the DS, and enhancement by mid-level troughs during the ERS. Furthermore, relatively high correlations between precipitation time series and decreasing convection potential are observed throughout the region.

Statistically significant correlations between the GDI and precipitation show that the bimodal precipitation pattern is modulated primarily by heat and moisture availability. Because warmer SSTs influence tropical convection, this warrants the need to further investigate why the region is becoming dryer when warmer SSTs and ensuing latent heat content should be promoting favorable conditions for more rainfall. The tight relation between GDI and precipitation trends suggests that future studies should focus on exploring the role of changing atmospheric dynamics as the potential cause of the opposite trend found between SSTs and precipitation.
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