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Abstract: The gene flow mediated by unreduced gametes between diploid and tetraploid plants of the *Medicago sativa–coerulea–falcata* complex is pivotal for alfalfa breeding. Sexually tetraploidized hybrids could represent the best way to exploit progressive heterosis simultaneously derived from gene diversity, heterozygosity, and polyploidy. Moreover, unreduced gametes combined with parthenogenesis (i.e., apomixis) would enable the cloning of plants through seeds, providing a unique opportunity for the selection of superior genotypes with permanently fixed heterosis. This reproductive strategy has never been detected in the genus *Medicago*, but features of apomixis, such as restitutional apomixis and haploid parthenogenesis, have been reported. By means of an original case study, we demonstrated that sexually tetraploidized plants maintain apomeiosis, but this trait is developmentally independent from parthenogenesis. Alfalfa meiotic mutants producing unreduced egg cells revealed a null or very low capacity for parthenogenesis. The overall achievements reached so far are reviewed and discussed along with the efforts and strategies made for exploiting reproductive mutants that express apomictic elements in alfalfa breeding programs. Although several studies have investigated the cytological mechanisms responsible for 2n gamete formation and the inheritance of this trait, only a very small number of molecular markers and candidate genes putatively linked to unreduced gamete formation have been identified. Furthermore, this scenario has remained almost unchanged over the last two decades. Here, we propose a reverse genetics approach, by exploiting the genomic and transcriptomic resources available in alfalfa. Through a comparison with 9 proteins belonging to *Arabidopsis thaliana* known for their involvement in 2n gamete production, we identified 47 orthologous genes and evaluated their expression in several tissues, paving the way for novel candidate gene characterization studies. An overall view on strategies suitable to fill the gap between well-established meiotic mutants and next-generation genomic resources is presented and discussed.
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1. Overview of the Occurrence of 2n Gametes and Their Use for Sexual Polyploidization in the *Medicago sativa–coerulea–falcata* Complex

One of the clearest and most immediate definitions of sexual polyploidization available in the literature was proposed by Mendiburu and Peloquin [1]. In this definition, sexual polyploidization is referred to as the process that leads to the formation of a euploid zygote from the natural fertilization events of restitutio...
gametes with a somatic number of chromosomes). In contrast with zygotic and somatic chromosome doubling [2], the nonreduction of pollen grains and egg cells makes sexual polyploidization the prime mover of the origin and the evolution of polyploid plant species [3,4]. This important mechanism is the foundation of the cultivated alfalfa complex—the so-called Medicago sativa–coerulea–falcata complex that includes various outcrossing interfertile subspecies, either diploids (2n = 2x = 16) or tetraploids (2n = 4x = 32), sharing the same karyotype [5,6]. Some M. sativa spp. falcata and M. sativa spp. coerules accessions are diploids, while some M. sativa spp. falcata and M. sativa spp. sativa accessions are tetraploids.

The coexistence of different levels of ploidy interfertile accessions, together with the occurrence of 2n gametes, generates new sexual polyploids, allowing for the flow of genetic resources and cultivar improvement in the cultivated alfalfa complex. Therefore, various authors have underlined the relevance of unreduced gametes in both the evolution [4,7] and the breeding [8–13] of alfalfa. In particular, the production of 2n gametes in M. sativa spp. coerules and spp. falcata represents a powerful resource for the exploitation of continuous gene transfer from wild diploid forms to cultivated tetraploid alfalfa in assisted selection breeding programs. In fact, diploid meiotic mutant plants that are able to produce high frequencies of 2n pollen and 2n eggs have been widely exploited for unilateral directional introgression in interploid crosses in the Medicago complex [8,10,13–15].

Among the various processes responsible for 2n gamete formation, several studies have proven that in alfalfa some cytological modifications that are genetically equivalent to first division restitution (FDR) and second division restitution (SDR) mechanisms lead to the production of unreduced pollen and eggs [16–19]. The main meiotic abnormalities responsible for the formation of 2n gametes are reported in Figure 1.
Since FDR-type 2n gametes are considered more advantageous than those obtained via SDR-type mechanisms [13,19], alfalfa breeding programs have focused on maximizing heterosis by transferring parental heterozygosity and retaining favorable epistatic interactions in the progeny via sexual polyploidization from the combination of FDR-type 2n eggs and FDR-type 2n pollen [8,20].

The cytological studies of reproductive *Medicago* mutants have allowed an in-depth investigation of the meiotic process. These studies culminated with the discovery that in this genus, meiosis is controlled by a great number of genes that are mostly present in a dominant state. [21]. Mutations in genes involved in meiosis cause deviation of the normal sporogenesis process, differentially affecting gamete formation and plant fertility and, therefore, creating new genetic variability. The possibility that the genes controlling meiosis are homologous between different organisms is highly likely, because the meiotic process is one of the most conserved biological phenomena in eukaryotes. Consequently,
from an evolutionary perspective, mutations in these genes can also be considered ho-
logous, having induced similar abnormal mechanisms in meiosis in different species.

The elucidation of the different steps of sporogenesis and gametogenesis has been
possible due to the development of ovule- and anther-specific cytoembryological anal-
yses based on stain-clearing and sectioning methodologies [15,22,23]. Although at low
frequency, Clement and Stanford [22] reported the first observation of $2n$ gamete occur-
rence on a $2x$ haploid individual of cultivated alfalfa.

In particular, an anomalous cytokinetic process after telophase II of microsporo-
genesis was detected on the basis of unreduced pollen formation. Subsequently, fertile $4x$
tetraploid hybrid plants obtained from interploid matings of $2x$ *M. sativa* spp. *falcata*–$4x$
*M. sativa* spp. *sativa* and reciprocals were used for further investigations of $2n$ gametes.

Studies performed on the progeny of these interploid crosses proved that $2n$ pollen
that is genetically equivalent to that obtained after FDR mechanisms can be the result of
an incorrect spindle orientation at metaphase II during microsporogenesis. Notably,
spindles were found to be parallel to each other after abnormal cytokinesis, leading to the
formation of dyads and sometimes triads. Moreover, it has been demonstrated that the
creation of $2n$ microspores can also occur as a consequence of null cytokinesis after tel-
ophase II. In this case, the resulting dyads can be considered SDR-type $2n$ gametes [23].
Additionally, $4n$ or jumbo pollen formation has been shown to be due to the total lack of
post-meiotic cytokinesis in alfalfa [24].

Early investigations on cytological mechanisms in the *Medicago* genus proved that the
developmental stages in $2n$ egg formation are the same as those of $n$ haploid mega-
spores during anaphase II. In the case of unreduced eggs, cytokinesis takes place only in
the micropylar dyads and not in the chalazal dyads. Therefore, functional SDR-type $2n$
eggs remain at the chalazal end after micropylar megaspore disintegration [25]. Four
different mechanisms are considered responsible for $2n$ egg formation: (1) failed cyto-
kinesis after telophase II [23]; (2) the absence of the second meiotic division [17]; (3) the lack
of the first meiotic division [26]; and (4) the irregularity that leads to FDR-type apomei-
otic $2n$ megaspore production, as typically occurs in apomictic species [19,27].

As previously mentioned, the cytological aspects behind $2n$ gamete formation have
mainly been studied by exploiting meiotic mutants in $2x$–$4x$ and $4x$–$2x$ crosses in order to
obtain tetraploid hybrids from interploid combinations. In the *Medicago sativa*–*coerulea–
falcata* complex, a powerful triploid block gives rise to largely tetraploid progeny in
interploid unions due to the abortion of almost all triploid embryos. Triploid elimination
could be induced by abnormal endosperm development caused by the unbalanced $2:1$
ratio between the maternal and paternal genomes [28]. Therefore, the number of seeds
produced per pollinated flower, known as the seed set, in $2x$–$4x$ and $4x$–$2x$ crosses pro-
vides an assessment of the $2n$ gamete frequency generated by the diploid parents. Based
on this index, it may be easier to select unreduced gamete-generating plants within dip-
loid natural populations of *M. sativa* spp. *coerulea* and spp. *falcata* [10].

Additional parameters can be useful to promptly distinguish restitutional $2n$ gam-
etes from reduced $n$ gametes. In contrast with similar studies conducted in potato and
red clover, in alfalfa it was found that the pollen grains of wild-type individuals are el-
lipical, while the pollen grains of meiotic mutants are mostly globular. Thus, it can be
assumed that globular pollen is diploid and elliptical pollen is haploid [29]. In addition,
since the nucleolar diameter of diplosporic cells was found to be on average 1.6-fold
greater than that measured in normal $n$ megaspores, the nucleolar dimension can be
considered a reliable discriminating trait [15,17,19]. Nevertheless, cytological analysis
combining nucleolar dimensions, integument growth, and cell appearance is still essen-
tial for an accurate evaluation of restitutional unreduced gametes in alfalfa.

The relevance of polyploidy is still a matter of debate within the scientific commu-
nity. Some authors consider polyploid formation a simple and useless consequence of a
“rare mitotic or meiotic catastrophe” [30] that traditionally leads to evolutionary “dead
ends” [31], but thanks to recent genomic studies, polyploidy earned a key role in hy-
bridization and speciation [32–34]. Several elegant studies have shown that polyploids confer three main advantages—namely, heterosis, gene redundancy, and asexual reproduction. If the first two are the effects of gene duplication, the way in which polyploidy affects sexuality is still unclear. In this way, polyploid organisms are more vigorous than diploids, creating novel genetic variation and covering the effects of deleterious recessive alleles and mutations [34,35]. In fact, the incidence of homozygous recessivity in polyploids is reduced by gene redundancy [36,37]; for instance, diploid Aa simple heterozygotes produce 1/4 aa homozygotes, autotetraploid AAaa biallelic duplex heterozygotes generate between 1/36 and 1/22 aaaa monoallelic quadruplex homozygotes, and allopolyploid AaAa double heterozygotes produce 1/16 aaaa double homozygotes [38].

Only a few studies have been undertaken to better understand the effect of polyploidization in autopolyploid species compared to allopolyploid species [39–41]. In addition, published results are mainly focused on polyploids obtained via somatic doubling, while the majority of polyploids in nature are produced by sexual mechanisms due to 2n gamete production.

A recent study [42] shed light on the consequences of polyploidization on phenotype and gene transcription in alfalfa. In particular, the authors crossed M. sativa subsp. falcata seed parents and M. sativa coerulesca × falcata pollen parents, two diploid meiotic mutants that are able to produce a mixture of reduced and unreduced gametes. Analyses were performed on full-sib 2x and 4x hybrids as the result of bilateral sexual polyploidization (BSP). In this way, the comparison between 2x and 4x progenies allows us to distinguish between the effects of intraspecific hybridization and those from sexual polyploidization. The superiority of 4x tetraploids to 2x diploid parents was found in several traits. Better leaf traits such as leaf size, epidermal cell surface, and stomatal size, along with higher green and dry biomass, resulted in better performances of tetraploid hybrids as a consequence of sexual polyploidization. Evidence of the increases in seed size (58%), autumn biomass (106%), canopy cover (30%), and leaf area (127%) under field conditions was more recently documented by Innes et al. [42]. Conversely, somatic doubling in alfalfa is not as related to polyploid superiority as was shown in maize, tobacco, and potato autoplopolyploids [39,41,43,44], confirming the maximization of heterozygosity as an effect of sexual polyploidization [45]. As expected from earlier studies [10,13], 4x BSP hybrids presented a higher seed set than 2x hybrids. Thus, it is likely that sexual polyploidization positively affects fitness and reproductive performance in alfalfa, reducing disadvantageous parental alleles related to sterility [46]. Nevertheless, while examining anthers of some tetraploid M. truncatula plants, the authors reported events of pollen infertility caused by meiotic instability at the end of metaphase II [42]. Another adaptive advantage is represented by a higher seed set, which allows for the softening of summer drought effects that would be extremely damaging in the southern part of the distribution area of cultivated alfalfa [47]. Flowering time is another key trait in species adaptation. In the previously mentioned research [48], tetraploid progenies showed a shorter growth cycle, flowering earlier than diploid hybrids. Early flowering constitutes a primary trait in both natural conditions and cultivated fields. In the wild, it plays a fundamental role in competition with other species, while in fields, it has the double advantage of leading to higher biomass production and the ability to better outcompete weeds. Moreover, a relationship between seed size and early plant vigor in annual Medicago species was documented [42], underlining the possibility of improving seedling emergence in accordance with what was found for other species [49].

It is known from transcriptomic analyses that it is highly likely that sexual polyploidization influences gene expression, particularly that of genes linked to biotic and abiotic stress responses, energy metabolism, and plastid compartments. Further analyses found that some genes that encode lipoxygenases were overexpressed in 4x BSP hybrids. Lipoxygenases (LOX) are responsible for the oxidation of polyunsaturated fatty acids to hydroperoxides and 13-LOX, particularly those widely involved in the jasmonate path-
way. Due to the key role of jasmonate in plant stress responses, overexpression of LOX stands at the basis of a better adaptability of BSP autotetraploids in alfalfa. Similarly, two heat shock proteins (HSPs) were also overexpressed because of ploidy level changes, providing a more efficient response to heat and other abiotic stresses, as partially demonstrated in Arabidopsis thaliana allopolyploids [50]. Finally, better performances in terms of green biomass production can be explained by the upregulation of some photosynthesis-related genes. Rosellini et al. [48], in particular, found that the expression of genes encoding chlorophyll-binding proteins was crucial and directly involved in the capture and delivery of light excitation energy and the overexpression of the photosystem I subunit PsAD and the Rubisco small subunit.

Although Medicago species are widely recognized as the most relevant forage crops in the world and as model plants for other polyploid polysomic species, an information gap is still present. Sexual polyploidization along with 2n gamete formation should not be overlooked as useful mechanisms in breeding and natural populations, especially with the currently available genomic and bioinformatic tools. In this review, we would like to stress the significance of sexual polyploidization for alfalfa breeding by showing some applicative examples of the exploitation of diplosporic tetraploidized mutants, and by providing genomic input for further research. Additional studies are also needed in order to clarify the genomic and transcriptomic mechanisms at the basis of sexual polyploidization. Meiotic mutants able to produce a consistent number of unreduced gametes may represent the most efficient means of exploiting and maximizing the evolutionary advantages of sexual polyploidization in alfalfa breeding.

The aim of this review is to pave the way for an innovative starting point in this specific research area, and to take a step towards the advancement of basic knowledge useful for alfalfa genetics and breeding. Here, we deal with a comprehensive report of all of the research activity conducted so far on unreduced gametes and neopolyploids in alfalfa, and we also present an original case study aimed at verifying the possibility of expressing somatic parthenogenesis in sexually tetraploidized plants, due to their natural ability to form apomeiotic gametes. An alternative strategy based on the most recent genomic and transcriptomic resources available for this species is then proposed for generating novel outputs and exceeding the practical limitations met so far in alfalfa breeding. Our mid-term goal is to fill the gap between well-established meiotic mutants and next-generation genomic resources in the M. sativa–coerulea–falcata complex.

2. Elements of Apomixis in Alfalfa: Sexually Tetraploidized Plants Maintain Apomeiosis Developmentally Independent of Parthenogenesis

As reported above, the Medicago sativa L. complex includes diploid and tetraploid sexual species that reproduce mainly through outcrossing, even if selfing is also possible. In polysomic polyploids such as cultivated alfalfa, maximum heterosis may be expressed by a few elite individuals of the population, but not by the entire population [51].

The potential of cloning plants through seeds offered by apomixis would provide a unique opportunity in cultivated alfalfa breeding for the selection of superior cultivars with permanently fixed heterosis. This reproductive strategy as a whole has never been detected in the Medicago sativa–coerulea–falcata complex, but features of apomixis—such as restitutinal apomeiosis [19] and haploid parthenogenesis [52]—have been documented. In particular, cytological and molecular data have independently provided evidence that diplosporic mechanisms of unreduced egg cell formation occur in a diploid spontaneous mutant of M. sativa subsp. falcata named TNE (two-n-eggs). In addition, a progeny test based on morphological traits and molecular markers has indicated that apomeiosis in this case is not tightly associated with parthenogenesis [53].

Gametophytic apomixis has been shown to be strongly correlated with the occurrence of hybridity and polyploidy. Although numerous nonreductional meiotic mutants were described in the diploid forms of sexual species, the expression of apomixis was restricted mostly to polyploid apomictic complexes [54]. Therefore, the introgression of
the diplosporic apomeiosis mutation at the tetraploid level could provide a novel opportunity to eventually induce somatic parthenogenesis through wide crosses with unrelated diploid materials.

At the University of Perugia and the University of Padova, bilateral and unilateral sexual polyploidization schemes have been adopted for introgressing diplosporic mutations at the tetraploid level. This was done by crossing the TNE mutant plants as females (2n egg cell producers) with 2n pollen producers of *M. coerulea* L. (2n = 2x = 16) as males (the experimental design related to this section is extensively described in Appendix A). The resulting tetraploidized F1 plants were then backcrossed as pollinators, with the TNE mutant producing unreduced egg cells in order to partly recover its genetic background and to assess the inheritance of the meiotic abnormality. This led to a yield of 33 seeds out of 2,619 pollinated flowers (Sed–Set = 0.0126). A total of 18 plants were recovered and screened for ploidy levels and occurrence of diplospory (Figure 2) by ascertaining their nuclear DNA content via flow cytometry analysis [55,56]. Moreover, RAPD (random amplified polymorphic DNA) and AFLP (amplified fragment length polymorphism) markers were used for DNA fingerprinting [56,57], in order to discriminate plants of hybrid origin within the first generation back-cross or BC1 progeny, and to assess their genetic similarity with respect to the parental mutants.

**Figure 2.** Alfalfa ovules analyzed by stain-clearing techniques, showing a linear tetrad of haploid megaspores (Te) derived from regular meiotic division (panel A), a chalazal regularly reduced functional megaspore (fM) along with three degenerating haploid megaspores (dM) (panel B), SDR-type dyads of megaspores (Dy) produced by the omission of cytokinesis after the second meiotic division (panels C and D), an enlarged uninucleate apomeiotic megaspore of the FDR-type (aM) derived from the lack of first meiotic division (panel E), and FDR-type unpolarized and polarized with central vacuole (v) binucleate apomeiotic embryo sacs (2N aES) (panels F and G) (these micrographs of ovules have been retrieved from [13,15,17,19] and graphically elaborated and standardized for magnification and micropylar (top) to chalazal (bottom) orientation by using Adobe Photoshop image analysis software; Bar = 10 μm in A–D and 5 μm in E–G).

Fifteen BC1 progeny plants were classified as tetraploid (Table 1) on the basis of their nuclear DNA content, which varied from 2.689 pg (plant B4) to 3.195 pg (plant B8) and was comparable to tetraploid values (plants coded CSE-1=2.610 pg and 10-TE=2.996 pg), while three plants were classified as diploid, since their nuclear DNA contents were
similar to that of TNE (1.446 pg), and were considered putatively to have arisen from selfing. In fact, these plants had most maternal RAPD and AFLP markers, and none of them was identical to TNE, proving that selfing and not parthenogenesis of 2n eggs was involved.

**Table 1.** Information on BC1 plants, including nuclear DNA content, ploidy level, and genetic similarities with 2n gamete producers, along with reproductive behavior (these data have been retrieved, computed, or adapted from [15,56]).

| BC1 Plant Code | Nuclear DNA (pg) | Ploidy Level | Genetic Similarity | Observed Ovules | Diplospory (%) | Nucleolar Diameter (µm) | Mean Cross-Fertility |
|---------------|-----------------|--------------|--------------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------------|---------------------|
|               | With TNE        | With Pollinator |                  |                |                | Meiotic                  |                     |
| A2            | 1.471           | 2x           | 0.992              | 0.137          | 98             | 15.31 ± 0.03          | 2.58 ± 0.13        | 0.009               | 0.190              | 0.036               |
| B1            | 2.972           | 4x           | 0.608              | 0.451          | 132            | 19.70 ± 0.08          | 2.71 ± 0.11        | 0                  | 0.008              | 0.935               |
| B2            | 2.982           | 4x           | 0.549              | 0.510          | 203            | 21.67 ± 0.07          | 2.26 ± 0.12        | 0                  | 0.006              | 0.848               |
| B3            | 2.855           | 4x           | 0.431              | 0.627          | 164            | 0                       | 0          | 0.022              | 0.019              | 1.466               |
| B4            | 2.689           | 4x           | 0.686              | 0.373          | 110            | 0                       | 0          | 0.018              | 0.014              | 1.523               |
| B5            | 2.724           | 4x           | 0.725              | 0.333          | 108            | 13.89 ± 0.08           | 2.37 ± 0.15        | 0                  | 0.041              | 0.530               |
| B6            | 3.110           | 4x           | 0.588              | 0.471          | 94             | 2.13 ± 0.07            | 2.62 ± 0.19        | 0.016              | 0.006              | 0.964               |
| B8            | 3.195           | 4x           | 0.667              | 0.392          | 83             | 40.96 ± 0.09           | 2.26 ± 0.10        | 0                  | 0.018              | 0.645               |
| C3            | 2.780           | 4x           | 0.529              | 0.529          | 151            | 15.23 ± 0.07           | 2.62 ± 0.19        | 0.016              | 0                  | 0.964               |
| C6            | 2.791           | 4x           | 0.471              | 0.588          | 119            | 5.04 ± 0.05            | 2.35 ± 0.10        | 0                  | 0                  | 0.511               |
| C7            | 3.132           | 4x           | 0.511              | 0.549          | 112            | 6.25 ± 0.06            | 2.02 ± 0.05        | 0                  | 0.010              | 0.677               |
| C9            | 3.165           | 4x           | 0.509              | 0.549          | 96             | 3.13 ± 0.07            | 2.44 ± 0.11        | 0                  | 0.024              | 1.233               |
| D1            | 3.088           | 4x           | 0.618              | 0.400          | 208            | 19.23 ± 0.05           | 2.44 ± 0.11        | 0                  | 0.019              | 1.446               |
| D2            | 2.869           | 4x           | 0.618              | 0.364          | 98             | 0                       | 0          | 0.089              | 0                  | 2.071               |
| D3            | 1.534           | 2x           | 0.909              | 0.145          | 95             | 57.89 ± 0.10           | 2.38 ± 0.09        | 0                  | 0                  | 0.538               |
| D1            | 3.077           | 4x           | 0.709              | 0.309          | 137            | 17.52 ± 0.04           | 2.57 ± 0.13        | 0                  | 0                  | 1.479               |
| F1            | 2.986           | 4x           | 0.618              | 0.364          | 123            | 2.44 ± 0.09            | 1.93 ± 0.07        | 0.021              | 0.011              | 0.401               |
| F2            | 1.443           | 2x           | 0.891              | 0.164          | 54             | 7.41 ± 0.06            | 2.29 ± 0.14        | 0                  | 0.720              | 0.022               |
| DTA plants    | 2.961           | 4x           | 0.589              | 0.454          | 129            | 17.72 ± 0.07           | 2.40 ± 0.12        | 0.007              | 0.013              | 1.035               |

The diplosporic tendencies of BC1 plants were estimated by stain clearing as described in [56], and the degree of apomeiosis was calculated as the frequency of ovules with clear evidence of diplosporic cells or embryo sacs at stages from the megaspore mother cell (MMC) to the four-nucleated embryo sac (Figure 2). A total of 9 BC1 plants showed a reliable occurrence of diplosporic cells that ranged from 5.04% (plant C6) to 40.96% (plant B8), with an average of 17.72% (Table 1). The other six tetraploids showed a null degree of diplospory or a few and doubtful cases of apomeiosis (with a degree <2.44%, on average 0.76%). One of the three diploids (plant D3) showed a degree of diplospory as high as 57.89%. The nucleolar diameter of the diplosporic cells was on average 1.6-fold (i.e., 2.40 µm vs. 1.51 µm) that of the meiotic cells. Therefore, the authors considered the diameter of the nucleolus to be a good cell marker to discriminate diplosporic from meiotic cells (Table 1). Tetraploid plants with a diplosporic phenotype were then named diplosporic tetraploidized alfalfa (DTA).

Since a triploid embryo block that operates in interploidy crosses is effective in alfalfa, their ability to produce apomeiotic embryo sacs containing functionally unreduced egg cells at the tetraploid level was demonstrated by controlled crosses with octoploid pollinators of *M. sativa* subsp. *sativa*. The results demonstrated that the self-fertility of DTA plants was very low (0.007 on average), as was their cross-fertility with diploid testers (0.013 on average). However, considering the strong triploid block, which eliminates most triploid embryos, the seed set discrepancy of 0.006 observed between self- and cross-fertility with diploid pollinators could be attributable to parthenogenesis events primed by the wide crosses. The most promising DTA plant was B8, which showed (1) null self-fertility, (2) more than 40% diplospory, and (3) 1.8 seeds per 100 cross-pollinated flowers in 4x-2x crosses. The seed set values of DTA plants in controlled crosses with tetraploid testers (1.035 on average) indicated that regular meiosis occurred in these plants, and led to viable reduced egg cells (Table 1).
The aim of inducing the parthenogenetic development of unreduced egg cells was fulfilled by auxin treatments and by wide crosses using pollen of completely unrelated species, as reported in [56]. DTA plants produced seeds from both auxin treatments and wide crosses (Table S1). In particular, 4 plants yielded an average of 5.7 seeds out of 47 flowers treated with NAA (naphthalene acetic acid), whereas 2 plants produced an average of 5.6 seeds out of 46 flowers pollinated. These seeds were sown, and DNA from each plant was analyzed using PCR-based molecular markers. Molecular progeny tests indicated a sexual rather than apomictic origin of DTA progeny, even if several plants showed no molecular differences with respect to the mother plant [56]. Therefore, the fact that DTA plants set only a few seeds in either wide crosses or auxin tests is evidence of a null or very low capacity for parthenogenesis of their unreduced egg cells.

3. Candidate Genes for Unreduced Gamete Production and Exploitation of Genomic Resources for the Analysis of Alfalfa Meiotic Mutants

Diploid meiotic mutants producing viable and unreduced \((n = 2)\) gametes have been discovered in numerous plants [58], and although complete penetrance is extremely uncommon, it is thought to be an almost ubiquitous behavior across the entire plant kingdom [59]. Practically speaking, unreduced gametes are largely employed for polyploidization processes, and to boost the heterosis effect in several crops, including alfalfa [51,60]. Overall, male and female gamete production is controlled by a complex gene network, but usually \(n = 2\) eggs/pollen are the result of single gene mutations and, consequently, of a loss of protein function that may occur from early meiotic events (prophase I) to cytokinesis II [61]. In particular, when meiosis I fails to separate homologous chromosomes, we speak generally of “first division restitution” (FDR), while when meiosis II fails to separate sister chromatids, we refer to “second division restitution” (SDR) [59].

3.1. Forty Years of Genetic Resources and Genomic Studies in Medicago Sativa

The exploitation of genetic and genomic resources epitomizes the main screening tool to reveal potential candidate genes controlling unreduced gamete formation. Before the advent of omics technologies, breeding schemes, linkage map construction, and marker–phenotype associations represented elite systems to narrow down the genomic regions involved in unreduced gamete production. This has been possible thanks to both the interfertility existing among the Medicago sativa subspecies (sativa, coerulea, and falcata, also known as the Medicago complex [62]), and the discovery of diploid genotypes producing \(2n\) gametes (mainly isolated from coerulea and falcata [13]).

Since the 1980s, the constitution of ad hoc experimental populations by selfing and crossing (F2) or backcrossing (BC1) has helped to partially elucidate the segregation patterns of genes putatively involved in \(2n\) pollen formation. Among the major findings, a single recessive gene (designated restitution pollen, \(rp\) [18]) was thought to be responsible for FDR \(2n\) male gamete formation, while a second recessive gene (named jumbo pollen, \(jp\) [24]) involved in post-meiotic cytokinesis seemed to be the cause of \(4n\) male gametes. However, the lack of molecular data and tools able to discriminate genotypes producing \(n\) gametes from those producing unreduced gametes made the exploitation of this information, both for breeding purposes and for basic research, extremely complicated.

A few years later, the advent of PCR increased the opportunities offered by molecular biology and, through the construction of molecular-marker-based linkage maps, allowed for a more gene-focused approach. With this aim, throughout the 1990s, several genetic linkage maps were developed in Medicago sativa by using, alone or in combination, molecular markers such as the RFLP, RAPD, AFLP, and SSR markers [63–66]. Among the most relevant findings, a Vg1G1b RFLP marker, located in linkage group 6, was found to be tightly associated with the \(jp\) (jumbo pollen) phenotype at a two-point distance of 2.4 cM [67], while a 610-bp ISSR marker amplified with a (CA)-GC primer was
located 9.8 cM away from a locus controlling 2n egg formation (fine, two-n egg mutant gene [68]).

The earliest candidate genes in *M. sativa* that paved the way for functional genomic studies to be identified as responsible for 2n egg formation is a β-tubulin-codifying gene (GenBank ID AJ319667) [69]. Incorrect orientation of spindle formation and altered chromosome segregation, of which β-tubulin is one of the leading actors, have been directly correlated with unreduced gamete production (for more details, see [61,70]) in both meiosis I (e.g., wheat-rye hybrids [71]) and meiosis II (e.g., white poplar [72]). Among the clues supporting this hypothesis in alfalfa is an altered level of expression in 2n egg mutants compared to wild type [69,73], and a significant abundance of β-tubulin transcripts in the outer cell layer of ovules and in functional megaspores [74]. However, despite this histological and transcriptional evidence, a proper functional characterization of this gene proving its direct involvement in unbalanced gamete formation is still lacking in alfalfa.

A second candidate gene, a member of the *Mob1 (Mps one binder*)-like family, received far more attention [69]. Before the discovery of *Mob1*-like genes in plants, studies performed in yeast [75,76] proved the involvement of some of these genes in cytokinesis and mitotic exit, while some *Drosophila* and human homologs (i.e., the d*Mob1* and h*Mob1* families, respectively) seemed to regulate cell proliferation [77]. In a first study, multiple copies of *Mob1*-like genes were identified in the *M. sativa* genome through Southern blot, while through a Northern blot hybridization assay in mutant plants, *Mob1* transcripts were exclusively located in flower buds at the early stages of meiosis, and not in any of the other vegetative organs [69]. Further mRNA localization and protein immunolocalization assays confirmed the presence of *Mob1*-like transcripts and gene products in degenerating megaspores of normal ovules, and in the enlarged megaspore mother cells and embryo sacs of apomeiotic ovules [78]. Finally, subcellular localization studies proved the involvement of these proteins in cell proliferation and, in particular, in cytokinesis. In fact, while their cytoplasmic localization was faint and diffused in the G1 and S phases, a progressive concentration of these proteins in fibrillar structures was observed during the G2 and M phases. At the cytokinesis stage, the protein was found to co-localize with microtubule structures at the emerging cell plate and near the septum [79].

In recent years, with the advent of omics approaches, the amount of molecular data available for *M. sativa* has increased exponentially in terms of both transcriptomics and genomics. A first attempt to analyze transcriptomic data in this species was performed in 2009, when the *Medicago* GeneChip array—originally developed for *Medicago truncatula*—was successfully tested on two alfalfa genotypes in order to identify the loci responsible for stem cell wall lignin and cellulose concentrations [80]. The first comprehensive alfalfa transcriptome was generated a few years later using 27 *M. sativa*, *coerulea*, and *falcata* genotypes: 870,000 SNPs were identified and proposed for marker-assisted breeding strategies [81]. In a second study, the 9000 most polymorphic SNPs (selected from [81]) were chosen to develop the first alfalfa SNP array, and were further validated in 280 alfalfa genotypes, again belonging to the *M. sativa*, *coerulea*, and *falcata* complex [82]. In both studies, a conspicuous number of SNPs was found to differentiate *M. falcata* (diploidy and tetraploidy), *M. coerulea* (diploidy), and *M. sativa* (tetraploidy). However, since the ploidy level of the gametes produced by each investigated genotype was unknown, it was not possible to associate any of these SNPs to n ≥ 2 gamete formation. Almost all of the transcriptomic studies subsequently conducted on alfalfa addressed resistance to biotic and abiotic stresses, or the specific pathways directly or indirectly involved in biomass production. Among them, we highlight some efforts to disclose the molecular mechanisms underlying freezing stress [83], waterlogging [84], salt [85], aluminum [86], nematode resistance [87], fall dormancy [88], and cell wall composition [89]. Finally, the gene expression atlas was produced from two alfalfa subspecies: *M. sativa* ssp. *sativa* (B47) and
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M. sativa ssp. falcata (F56). In contrast to previous studies, where leaves or stems were the only tissues considered, transcripts from six different tissues were sequenced, and their expression levels were compared [90].

From a genomic point of view, the publication of the first high-quality alfalfa genome sequence occurred only in 2020 [91]. An allele-aware chromosome-level genome assembly of a tetraploid genotype consisting of 32 allelic chromosomes and 138,729 homologous genes was produced by integrating high-fidelity single-molecule sequencing and Hi-C data.

Despite the genome assembly release and the remarkable number of gene expression atlases, studies aimed at identifying genes responsible for the formation of unreduced gametes have remained almost unchanged over the last 15 years. Moreover, although the number of studies supporting the preeminent role of epigenetics in gamete formation is rapidly increasing in other model species, in alfalfa there seems to be a total lack of literature on this subject.

Therefore, unlike the studies carried out thus far on alfalfa, based on a forward genetics approach (i.e., from phenotype to genotype), in the following section, we propose a reverse genetics approach (i.e., from genotype to phenotype) by exploiting the genomic and transcriptomic resources available, similar to what has been previously done in other species [92–94].

3.2. Identification of Candidate Genes Through a Reverse Genetics Approach

To take the first step in this direction, we first identified nine well-characterized proteins belonging to Arabidopsis thaliana, known for their direct involvement in unreduced gamete production and never studied in alfalfa, from the scientific literature. Among the genes responsible for FDR, SWITCH1/DYAD, ATPS1, JASON, ATSP011-1, and REC8 were selected. SWITCH1(SWII)/DYAD is one of the most pivotal genes for the proper course of prophase (I) and, in particular, for chromatid cohesion and recombination. In arabidopsis, loss of function of SWII DYAD is responsible for premature separation of sister chromatids [95] (normally occurring in anaphase (II)), and mainly affects female meiosis. Although in some cases this leads to a blockage of female meiosis and to nonfunctional female gametophyte production [96], in others, unreduced (2n) female gametes were observed and exploited with reduced (nt) male gametes for triploid (3n) seed production [97]. ATSP011-1 seems to be involved in crossover events, as failure to make double-stranded breaks and the absence of recombination are observed in arabidopsis atspo11-1 mutants. Consequently, univalents segregate randomly during meiosis I, and unbalanced meiotic products are formed after meiosis II [98]. REC8 (MEIOTIC RECOMBINATION PROTEIN REC8) is necessary both to maintain centromere cohesion at anaphase I and for the monopolar orientation of the kinetochores during the first meiotic division [99]. atps1 (parallel spindle I) mutants are instead characterized by abnormal spindle orientation at male meiosis II, leading to diploid pollen grain formation and, thus, spontaneous triploid plants in the following generation [100]. Similar to ATPS1, the jason mutant in Arabidopsis is responsible for the production of unreduced first division restitution spores, because of the formation of parallel arranged and fused spindles during male meiosis II [101,102]. Moreover, although the role of this protein in male meiosis is not fully clear, by means of transcriptomic data, it has been hypothesized that the JASON protein is able to regulate ATPS1 expression.

Regarding the genes involved in second division restitution, CYCA1:2/TAM, CDKA:1, GIGI/OSD1, and NACK2 were chosen. In cyca1:2/tam (cyclin-a 1,2/tardy asynchronous meiosis) mutants, meiosis II is skipped, and sister chromatids remain attached. The resulting unreduced gametes are viable and contain both sister chromatids [103,104]. This protein, a member of the cyclin A family, is also thought to modulate the activity of (i.e., it presumably activates) a second gene, CDKA:1 (A-TYPE CYCLIN-DEPENDENT KINASE), whose product represents a key kinase involved in meiotic progression. Although cika:1 knockout mutants are lethal to the embryo, a weakly altered functionality
(characterizing the Ti61D CDK variant) produces meiotic defects and, in some cases, unreduced gametes [105]. Another gene playing a crucial role in meiotic cell cycle progression is OSD1 (OMISSION OF SECOND DIVISION 1), also known as GIG1 (GIGAS CELL 1), a UV14-like gene (UV-B-INSENSITIVE 4-LIKE) that is extremely conserved throughout the plant kingdom and has never been identified in other kingdoms. The osd1 mutants experience normal chromosome segregation in meiosis I, but fail to enter the second meiotic division, leading to 2n eggs and 2n pollen production[106]. Finally, NACK2 (NPK1-ACTIVATING KINESIN 2, better known as TETRASPORE/STUD, TES/STD) is a predicted kinesin that positively regulates cell plate expansion. In Arabidopsis mutants, microtubule disorganization is observed after the second meiotic division, hindering the cytokinesis process. Four nuclei were therefore confined to the same cytoplasm, and some of them fused before the occurrence of the first mitotic division, leading to pollen grains with diploid, triploid, or even tetraploid nuclei. In contrast, reduced egg production proceeds regularly[107].

Forty-seven amino acid sequences from a recently assembled autotetraploid (2n = 4x = 32) alfalfa proteome [91] were selected based on their putative orthology (BLASTp; http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi, E-value from 5×10⁻⁵ to 0) with the nine Arabidopsis proteins described above (Table 2 and Table S2).

Table 2. Identification of candidate genes involved in unreduced gamete production in M. sativa. Nine proteins belonging to A. thaliana were selected for their direct involvement in unreduced male and/or female gamete production (column defect type) through first division restitution (FDR) or second division restitution (SDR) events. Forty-seven putative orthologs were then retrieved from the alfalfa genome [91] through a BLASTp alignment (chromosome locations of the related genes, Bit scores and E-values are reported). Finally, the corresponding transcripts were then searched through a BLASTp approach (Bit scores and E-values are reported in the last two columns), aligning the 47 proteins against the M. sativa atlas (available from [90]).

| TAIR ID   | Gene Name—TAIR | Type | Defect Type | Genomic Data | Transcriptomic Data |
|-----------|----------------|------|-------------|--------------|---------------------|
| AT5G51330 | DYAD, SW11, SWITCHI | FDR |♀ | MS.gene066919 chr8.4 389 5×10⁻²⁴ | MSAD_308971, 1481 0.0 |
| AT1G34355 | ATP51, PARALLEL SPINDLE 1, PSI | FDR |♂ | MS.gene06283 chr8.2 387 3×10⁻¹² | MSAD_324278, 1279 0.0 |
| AT1G06660 | JASON | FDR |♂ | MS.gene067251 chr8.1 370 1×10⁻¹⁶ | MSAD_324278, 1438 0.0 |
| AT3G13170 | ATSP011-1, SPO11-1 | FDR |♂,♀ | MS.gene36906 chr5.1 520 0.0 | MSAD_256423, 746 0.0 |
| AT5G05490 | ATREC8, DETERMINATE INFERTILE 1, DIF1, REC8, SYN1, SYNTAPIC 1 | FDR |♂,♀ | MS.gene29556 chr5.2 364 2×10⁻¹⁵ | MSAD_255542, 1079 0.0 |
| AT3G48750 | CDKA1, CELL DIVISION CONTROL 2 | SDR |♂ | MS.gene35086 chr4.4 520 0.0 | MSAD_325109, 610 0.0 |
A ClustalW alignment followed by a similarity-based UPGMA (unweighted pair group method with arithmetic mean) analysis (MEGA 7.0.26) was then performed using the amino acid sequences of both species (Arabidopsis and alfalfa, Figure 3A). The phylogenetic tree demonstrates that the sequences selected from Arabidopsis clustered together with the putative alfalfa protein orthologs, with bootstrap support values ranging from 72 to 100. According to their chromosome location, the 47 alfalfa sequences were also mapped and graphically represented throughout the 8 linkage groups (Figure 3B) to highlight the allele copies available for each of the four homologous chromosomes. Overall, for each Arabidopsis gene, we identified a number of putative alfalfa orthologs ranging from 1 (ATSPO11-1) to 8 (JASON and REC3). Except for ATSPO11-1 and GIG1/OSD1, the four allelic forms (one for each homologous chromosome) were retrieved for each gene.

Taking advantage of the most comprehensive gene atlas available for alfalfa [90], the relative abundance levels of 28 transcripts putatively corresponding to the 47 protein sequences mentioned above (BLASTp: http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi, access on: 15/03/2021; Table 2 and Table S2) were evaluated in 6 different tissues (roots, root nodules, leaves, flowers, elongating stem internodes, and post-elongating stem internodes) and two genotypes (B47, M. sativa ssp. sativa and F56, M. sativa ssp. falcata, Figure 3C). Six transcripts—namely, MSAD_243438 and MSAD_243443 (both ATSPO11-1), MSAD_214796 (CYCA1;2/TAM), MSAD_242787 and MSAD_308971 (both SWI1/DYAD), and MSAD_259662 (GIG1/OSD1)—showed the highest accumulation in flowers (Figure 3C, expression data are available in Table S3). Of particular importance was MSAD_259662, whose transcript levels were 10–300 times higher in the flowers of the two genotypes than in the rest of the tissues analyzed. Unfortunately, the RNA-seq data were limited to a whole flower at a single developmental stage, making it impossible to appreciate the expression level variations of these genes in different whorls. In these terms, a comprehensive expression atlas focused on flower tissues, and aimed at clarifying whether some of these transcripts are anther- or ovary-specific, should represent a primary goal in the study of the genes involved in unreduced gamete formation. A further aspect that should be evaluated is the variation in the expression of these candidate genes in both wild-type genotypes and genotypes capable of producing unreduced gametes. Finally, thanks to a well-established and efficient clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPR)/Cas9-based genome editing protocol recently published [91] that is able to precisely introduce tetra-allelic mutations into null mutants, it could be possible to evaluate the phenotypic effect related to the silencing of some of these genes.

| Gene Accession | Chr | Start | End | Log2 Fold | p-value | q-value |
|----------------|-----|-------|-----|-----------|---------|---------|
| MS.gene028366  | chr4.2 | 518 | 604 | 0.0 | 610 | 610 |
| MS.gene67884   | chr2.4 | 520 | 610 | 0.0 | 610 | 610 |
| MS.gene55900   | chr2.3 | 520 | 610 | 0.0 | 610 | 610 |
| MS.gene00063   | chr2.1 | 520 | 610 | 0.0 | 610 | 610 |
| MS.gene46260   | chr3.1 | 421 | 520 | 0.0 | 987 | 994 |
| MS.gene13782   | chr3.4 | 421 | 520 | 0.0 | 1026 | 986 |
| MS.gene02568   | chr3.2 | 420 | 520 | 0.0 | 5×10^12 | 3×10^12 |
| MS.gene03197   | chr3.3 | 400 | 4×10^14 | 0.0 | 975 | 975 |
| MS.gene02569   | chr3.2 | 386 | 3×10^12 | 0.0 | 975 | 975 |
| MS.gene07947   | chr7.1 | 119 | 2×10^12 | 0.0 | 476 | 476 |
| MS.gene072366  | chr7.4 | 115 | 5×10^12 | 0.0 | 478 | 478 |
| MS.gene50450   | chr7.2 | 115 | 5×10^12 | 0.0 | 478 | 478 |
| MS.gene26619   | chr8.4 | 1160 | 3×10^12 | 0.0 | 1908 | 1908 |
| MS.gene26706   | chr8.4 | 1158 | 5×10^12 | 0.0 | 1909 | 1909 |
| MS.gene030299  | chr8.2 | 1157 | 0.0 | 5×10^12 | 1966 | 1966 |
| MS.gene012173  | chr8.4 | 825 | 0.0 | 5×10^12 | 1483 | 1483 |
| MS.gene042382  | chr6.2 | 1054 | 0.0 | 5×10^12 | 1995 | 1995 |
| MS.gene81904   | chr6.4 | 1052 | 0.0 | 5×10^12 | 2004 | 2004 |
| MS.gene05369   | chr6.3 | 1050 | 0.0 | 5×10^12 | 1990 | 1990 |
4. Concluding Remarks and Future Perspectives

The natural capability of producing unreduced gametes in the genus *Medicago* is undoubtedly a fundamental resource for breeding new and superior varieties of cultivated alfalfa. Sexual polyploidization represents a direct way to exploit the introgression of useful traits from wild diploid relatives, and the combination of benefits resulting from both polyploidy and heterozygosity, as essential components for the expression of progressive heterosis.

Traditional breeding approaches lead to roughly consistent results. On the one hand, in the last twenty years, breeders have discovered and developed mutant lines of alfalfa able to produce a high proportion of unreduced gametes, especially tetraploid plants with diplosporic phenotypes; on the other hand, the case study reported here would suggest that apomeiosis maintained in sexually tetraploidized plants is developmentally independent from parthenogenesis. These findings suggest that alfalfa meiotic mutants show a null or a very low capacity for parthenogenesis of their unreduced egg cells. In this way, the powerful opportunity to assemble functional apomixis in alfalfa breeding programs in order to clone superior genotypes through seeds appears more challenging.
In this context, the genomic resources developed in recent years in alfalfa could represent a concrete alternative for overcoming this apparent impasse. Since the meiotic process is one of the most conserved biological phenomena in eukaryotes, it is highly likely that genes controlling meiosis and related mutations are homologous between different organisms. Therefore, a reverse genetics approach appears to be the most effective means of exploiting the genomic and transcriptomic resources available in alfalfa. To this aim, with an in silico approach, orthologous genes of nine Arabidopsis thaliana genic loci involved in unreduced gamete formation were identified in Medicago spp. We were able to demonstrate that some of them also showed high expression levels in flower tissues. Although more focused studies are needed in order to better appreciate the expression level variation of these genes in Different whorls and in wild-type individuals and unreduced gamete producers, the availability of well-established and efficient genome editing protocols based on CRISPR/Cas9 represents a stepping stone, and is an unmissable opportunity to evaluate the phenotypic effects related to the silencing of some of these genes.

Next-generation resources including alfalfa genomes, flower-specific transcriptomes, and targeted gene editing platforms embody the key to extending the possibilities for deeper exploration of the genetic factors and molecular functions that lead to both FDR- and SDR-type megaspores, and a complete characterization of the whole biological process responsible for the production of unreduced gametes. In fact, the combination of well-established meiotic mutant lines with full knowledge of the master genes strictly involved in 2n-gamete production represents a strategy with great potential to be exploited for obtaining genetically stable varieties with improved agronomic traits.

The coexistence of elements of apomixis and sexuality in alfalfa plants suggests that both apomeiosis and parthenogenesis can be reversibly superimposed upon sexuality. Epigenetic control of apomixis is emerging in model species, where it is investigated with increasing support from studies on sexual plants wherein mutations of genes involved in epigenetic pathways resulted in phenotypes that mimic apomictic features ([58,108–110], and references therein). Despite the growing experimental evidence for epigenetic variations as important regulators of plant reproductive systems, epigenomics still has unexplored potential, and merits further focused studies to further the understanding of whether, and how, chromatin remodeling that does not entail any change to DNA sequences may affect the expression of apomixis and/or its components. Therefore, we are confident that in the near future apomixis could be introgressed and assembled into cultivated alfalfa by switching off the fundamental genes for sexuality to an apomixis-like pathway by transferring or editing the candidate gene(s).

**Supplementary Materials:** The following are available online at www.mdpi.com/2223-7747/10/5/999/s1: Table S1: Results of wide-crosses and auxin treatments on DTA plants. Table S2: Identification of candidate genes involved in unreduced gamete production in M. sativa. Table S3: Relative abundance levels of 28 transcripts putatively corresponding to as many as 47 proteins, whose sequence information is reported in Table 2 and Table S2 (BLASTp; http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi, access on: 15/03/2021), evaluated in 6 different tissues (roots, root nodules, leaves, flowers, elongating stem internodes, and post-elongation stem internodes) and two genotypes (B47, M. sativa ssp. sativa and F56, M. sativa ssp. falcata) (data were retrieved from [90]).
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Appendix A

Both bilateral and unilateral sexual polyploidization schemes were adopted for introgressing the diplosporic mutation at the tetraploid level, by crossing the TNE mutant plants of *M. falcata* as female (2n egg cell producer) with several 2n pollen producer mutants of *M. coerulea* L. as male (for further details on plant materials see [56]). A total of 33 tetraploidized F1 plants obtained were backcrossed to TNE, employed as 2n egg cell donors, and then their progenies were screened for levels of ploidy and occurrence of diplospory (Figure A1). The BC1 plants were then selfed and crossed as females with diploid and tetraploid unrelated *Medicago* testers as males, using 30–50 flowers per mating combination. For each mating combination, seed set (SS) values were calculated and used to evaluate the single plant fertility, verify the egg cell viability, and provide an indication of their parthenogenetic capacity.

![Strategy for introgression](image1.png)

**Figure A1.** Strategy used for the introgression at the tetraploid level of the unreduced egg trait (TNE: diploid two-n-egg mutant; 2n-P: diploid 2n–pollen mutant; F1-BSP: tetraploidized hybrid progeny plants deriving from bilateral sexual polyploidization schemes; BC1-DTA: first generation backcross progeny including diplosporic tetraploidized alfalfa plants).

All BC1 plants were used to ascertain the nuclear DNA content via flow cytometry analysis. Nuclei isolation and purification was performed as in [55], along with the addition of the fluorochrome propidium iodide (PI) and RNase. Unstained chicken red blood cell (CRBC) nuclei were added as an internal standard. Nuclei suspensions were processed using a flow cytometer equipped with an argon-ion laser. The fluorescence emitted from PI-stained nuclei was collected through a 620/30 nm band-pass filter, and fluorescence pulses were acquired according to their height, width, and area. For each sample, the plant nuclear 2C DNA content, measured in picograms, was determined by taking the ratio of the G0/G1 peak mean and the red blood cell peak mean, and multiplying by the known CRBC nuclear DNA content (2.33 pg).

Stain-clearing techniques were used to quantify diplosporic tendencies. Inflorescences of BC1 plants, spanning the stages from archesporium formation to embryo sac maturation, were collected and fixed in FAA. Cytoembryological investigations of megasporogenesis and gametogenesis were carried out using the stain-clearing technique described by [111], with some modifications. Ovaries were dissected under a stereomicroscope, submitted to 2 h staining phase, mounted on slides with a drop of methyl sa-
lichylate, and examined under a light microscope. Overall, 54–208 ovaries from each selected plant were investigated. The degree of apomeiosis was calculated as the frequency of ovules with clear evidence of diplosporic cells or embryo sacs, at stages from the megaspore mother cell (MMC) to the four-nucleated embryo sac (Figure A2). Moreover, wide crosses were performed. To this aim, DTA plants were first emasculated, and then their inflorescences were cleaned from already-pollinated flowers and immature flowers, immersed in 50% alcohol solution, and then pollinated with pollen taken from unrelated diploid plants.

![Developmental pathway of the primary sporogenic cell (PSC) and megaspore mother cell (MMC) during normal megasporogenesis (wild-type plants) and apomeiosis in the diplosporic TNE mutant. The diplosporic cells (DC) and binucleate embryo sacs (2N DC) were cytologically recognizable from sexual counterparts (1N ES and 2N ES) on the basis of both nucleus diameter and integument length (for further details on the features of the apomeiotic mutant, see [19]).](image)

At the same time, some DTA plants were treated with three different auxin solutions, starting from the pre-meiotic stage. In particular, inflorescences of these plants were sprayed with 10 mg/l NAA (naphthalene acetic acid), 8 mg/l 2,4-D (2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid), and 6 mg/l 2,4,5-T (2,4,5-trichlorophenoxyacetic acid). Treatments were repeated every two days until flowering.

Molecular DNA fingerprinting with RAPD and AFLP markers was used to discriminate plants of hybrid origin within the BC1 progeny and to assess their genetic similarity with respect to the parental mutants. PCR conditions reported by Barcaccia [112] and Barcaccia et al. [53] were used for RAPD fingerprinting with five 10-mer primers (OP-B9, OP-P3, OP-P10, OP-Q10, and OP-R10). AFLP fingerprinting was performed according to Barcaccia et al. [113], using two different Eco-RI/Mse-I primer combinations with three selective nucleotides (CCA/AGA and CAC/AGT). Genetic similarity estimates between each pairwise comparison of diploid parental plants and BC1 progeny plants were assessed by calculating the simple matching coefficients, after excluding markers that were shared between parents and were non-segregating in the progenies.
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