Shallow tectonic tremor activities in Hyuga-nada, Nankai subduction zone, based on long-term broadband ocean bottom seismic observations
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Abstract
The study of slow earthquake activity, which occurs in the shallow and deep sides of seismogenic zone, is crucial for understanding subduction zones, including variations in frictional properties with depth and interplate coupling. Observations at the seafloor are necessary, particularly for shallow slow earthquakes occurring in offshore areas; however, few observations of such activity have been made. We conducted long-term seismic observations on the seafloor in the Hyuga-nada region, located at the western end of the Nankai Trough, to characterize shallow low-frequency tremor activity from 2014 to 2017. Although these observations lasted for only a few years, the occurrence frequency of shallow tremors in Hyuga-nada was lower than that of deep tremors in the Nankai Trough, and major activity involving migration occurred only once every two or more years. In contrast, minor activity with a duration of a few days occurred several times a year. Major activities in 2015 were accompanied by migration similar to those in 2013. The tremors in 2013 were characterized by south to north migration at a rate of 30–60 km/day. However, the tremors in 2015 were characterized by west to east migration, and the activity area extended further to the east. The migration rates were also much slower (several to 20 km/day) than in 2013. These different migration properties likely reflect the state of interplate coupling in the down-dip side of shallow slow earthquake area. Minor activity was identified, including tremors triggered by the 2015 Nepal and 2016 Kumamoto earthquakes. Activity occurred mainly in the focal regions of major activities. Very-low-frequency earthquakes (VLFEs) occurred concurrently with tremors, and their epicenters coincided within the margin of error. However, the VLFEs were mostly peripheral to the shallow tremor concentration zones. This indicates that minor heterogeneities in frictional properties are present along the shallow plate boundary.
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Introduction
During the last two decades, different slow earthquakes, including tectonic low-frequency tremors, very-low-frequency earthquakes (VLFEs), and episodic slow slip events (SSEs), have been observed on the downward segments of the seismogenic megathrust boundaries in subduction zones (hereafter termed deep slow earthquakes) (e.g., Obara and Kato 2016; Schwartz and Rokosky 2007). These earthquakes revealed the transition between shallow brittle failure and deep creeping motion. At shallow plate boundaries, VLFEs have been reported in land-based observations (e.g., Obara and Ito 2005). In recent years, seafloor observations have also been conducted...
and cable-based seafloor monitoring systems have been constructed, thereby enabling the observation of shallow slow earthquakes (Annoura et al. 2017; Araki et al. 2017; Ito et al. 2015; Nishikawa et al. 2019; Obana and Kodaira 2009; Ohta et al. 2019; Plata-Martínez et al. 2021; Tanaka et al. 2019; Todd et al. 2018; Tonegawa et al. 2020; Wallace et al. 2016; Yamashita et al. 2015).

Yamashita et al. (2015) investigated the detailed properties of shallow low-frequency tremors (shallow tremors) in the Hyuga-nada region at the western end of the Nankai Trough, Japan, using ocean bottom observations of seismicity near the trench (Fig. 1). Complete episodes of shallow tremors that lasted up to a month were detected that exhibited migration properties similar to those of deep tremors. This activity was also linked to shallow VLFE activity, as shown by data acquired using a land-based broadband seismic network. Tremors and VLFEs often coincide, and their migration patterns resemble deep tremors during episodic tremor and slip (ETS) events (e.g., Ito et al. 2007). This similarity suggests that tremors at this shallow plate boundary are coupled with VLFEs and short-term SSEs (Yamashita et al. 2015). Analyses of 2010 activity by Asano et al. (2015) revealed a similar migration pattern for shallow VLFEs in the Hyuga-nada region. According to both studies, the shallow and deep slow earthquake activity occurred repeatedly in the same area.

Understanding the characteristics of shallow tremors is essential for understanding the spatiotemporal heterogeneity of interplate coupling along the shallow part of a plate boundary. However, few studies of shallow tremor activity have been conducted; thus, the characteristics of such activity are not well known. The characteristics of the shallow tremors in the Hyuga-nada region were initially reported by Yamashita et al. (2015); however, the study lacked information regarding the activity history and cycle. This lack of information is mainly because the details of shallow tremor activity cannot be acquired using land-based observations. Such activity can only be elucidated using seafloor observations.

Monitoring shallow tremors can provide information on the spatiotemporal changes in crustal activity along shallow plate boundaries at a kilometer scale. This also enables the indirect monitoring of SSEs, which are associated with shallow tremor activity. Thus, investigating shallow tremor characteristics is essential for understanding the spatiotemporal variations in interplate coupling. However, this requires long-term monitoring of shallow tremor activity, owing to a limited current knowledge of their regularity.

In this study, we report on continuous seafloor observations, obtained using short-period and broadband ocean bottom seismometers since 2014, to clarify the nature of shallow slow earthquakes in the Hyuga-nada region and to understand their universality and regional characteristics. We obtained detailed source locations of the shallow tremors and discuss the spatiotemporal characteristics of their activity, as well as their relationship with VLFEs and interplate coupling in the Hyuga-nada region.

**Observations**

Long-term ocean bottom seismological monitoring in the focal area of shallow tremors began in March 2014. The monitoring network comprises pop-up-type ocean bottom seismometers (OBSs), which are mainly short-period long-term ocean bottom seismometers (LOBS) and two broadband ocean bottom seismometers (BBOBS). The OBSs consist of a spherical titanium housing that contains a sensor with a mechanical leveling unit, lithium batteries, a data recorder, and an acoustic transponder (Kanazawa et al. 2009). This system is suitable for long-term (>1 year) continuous observations on the seafloor. The three-component velocity-type sensors used in the LOBS and BBOBS are Lennartz LE3D-lite (natural frequency = 1 Hz) and Guralp Systems CMG-3 T (natural period = 360 s) sensors, respectively. The seismometer signals were recorded continuously on a hard-disk drive or SD card after an analog–digital conversion using a 20- or 24-bit resolution and a sampling frequency of 200 Hz. The clock reading for each OBS was compared with that of a GPS clock prior to installation and after recovery, and the time stamps were corrected, as they had an accuracy less than that of the sampling frequency.

Owing to electrical power limitations, the OBSs were retrieved and re-deployed annually. Therefore, the observations were divided into the following periods: March 2014–January 2015 (1st period), January 2015–January 2016 (2nd period), and January 2016–February 2017 (3rd period). The number of instruments employed depended on the observation period. The locations of all OBS stations utilized for continuous monitoring during the study are shown in Fig. 1, and the OBS networks used during each observation period are shown in Additional file 1: Figure S1.

**Waveform characteristic observed by LOBS and BBOBS**

Signals recorded using the OBSs were all satisfactory and were available for analysis throughout the monitoring period. Example waveforms for each OBS station during the second observation period are shown in Fig. 2a. The black trace shows the waveform of the tremor band at each station. The characteristic spindle-shaped wave group is the shallow tremor. Two BBOBSs (OBSs 08 and 10) installed during this period recorded clear shallow tremor and VLFE signals, indicated by the black and red trace in Fig. 2a,
Fig. 1 Locations of all OBS stations used during the observation periods in the Hyuga-nada region and the simplified regional tectonic setting. Blue squares and numbers represent OBS station locations and numbers, respectively. Gray circles indicate shallow tremor activity in 2013 (Yamashita et al. 2015). Black dotted lines are depth contours of the top of the Philippine Sea Plate (Nakanishi et al. 2018). Gray shaded areas represent the coseismic slip area of the 1968 Hyuga-nada and 1996 earthquakes (Yagi et al. 1998, 1999). The light green bold line delineates the outer edge of the subducted Kyushu–Palau Ridge (Yamamoto et al. 2013).
respectively. Each red trace was corrected for instrumental response, and a 0.05–0.1 Hz bandpass filter was applied. Some of the LOBSs recorded VLFE signals (Stations 04, 05, and 06), although these are short-period seismometers with a natural frequency of 1 Hz. A signal is observed if it sufficiently exceeds the instrumental noise (~ 2000 nm/s), indicating that under suitable conditions, tremors and VLFEs can be observed simultaneously by the LOBS.

Although microseism noise usually conceals signals in the 0.1–1 Hz band, clear tremor and VLFE signals were observed in this range (Fig. 2b). Kaneko et al. (2018) reported the first direct observation of continuous broadband signals in the 0.02–8 Hz range based on data from the Dense Ocean floor Network System for Earthquakes and Tsunamis (DONET), which is located off the Kii Peninsula in the Nankai subduction zone. The shallow slow earthquakes in the Hyuga-nada region represent a broadband phenomenon known as “broadband slow earthquakes” (Kaneko et al. 2018) that can be explained by the Brownian slow earthquake model (Ide 2008), similar to those events observed off the Kii Peninsula.

Data analysis
To identify the shallow tremor locations, the envelope cross-correlation method (e.g., Obara 2002) was used, as well as a procedure similar to that of Yamashita et al. (2015) for the Hyuga-nada region using OBS data. In this study, the focal depth was fixed based on the plate geometry model (Nakanishi et al. 2018) and a homogeneous structure with an S-wave velocity of 3.0 km/s was assumed.

A root-mean-square (RMS) envelope was created from the bandpass-filtered waveforms in the 2–4 Hz band. The RMS envelope waveform was resampled to 20 Hz after the application of a 0.2-Hz low-pass filter. Cross-correlation coefficients were calculated within a time window of 120 s for station pairs, and lag times at the maximum correlation coefficients served as the relative differential time data for correlation coefficients greater than 0.70. The optimal value was obtained using a grid search method associated with step-wise grid interval decreases. The duration of each tremor was obtained using the method described by Ohta et al. (2019), while the corresponding error was estimated.
from the 1 dB area of the variance reduction (VR) based on the method described by Maeda and Obara (2009).

Since the events included ordinary earthquakes, duplicate events were removed through comparisons with data from the Japan Meteorological Agency (JMA) Unified Earthquake Catalog. In addition, events with durations less than 20 s, errors greater than 15 km, and differential travel time residuals more than 1.5 s were also removed. Finally, owing to the nature of tremors, spatiotemporal clustering was performed (e.g., Ohta et al. 2019), which included at least 5 events in a 15-km radius within 3 h preceding and following the event. Using these methods, false signals, including ordinary earthquake swarms, were removed from the catalog.

**Results**

Although the monitoring conducted by Yamashita et al. (2015) lasted for less than 4 years, the occurrence frequency of shallow tremors in the Hyuga-nada region appears to be lower than that of deep tremors in the Nankai Trough (e.g., Obara 2010). In addition, a major episode of activity that lasted for a few months and involved migration was recorded only once in two or more years, while minor activity with a duration of a few days occurred many times each year.

To evaluate the spatiotemporal characteristics of the shallow tremors, the recorded activity was partitioned into six episodes covering the monitoring period. Spatial distributions of shallow tremors for all episodes recorded by the present study, as well as those described by (Yamashita et al. 2015), are shown in Fig. 3, while the distributions of each episode are shown in Fig. 4.

**Minor ambient tremor activity (Episodes 1, 2, and 3)**

Episode 1 involved activity up to a point where the tremors stopped migrating northward and turned sharply eastward in 2013 (Fig. 4a). During Episode 2, minor activity occurred near the trench axis (Fig. 4b), while activity in Episode 3 occurred in almost the same location (Fig. 4c) as the activity in Episode 1. The spatial distributions of the shallow tremors during Episodes 1, 2, and 3 were limited and characterized by unclear migration (Additional file 1: Figs. S3, S4, S5). Activity that occurred during these three episodes was not triggered by any seismic event, but instead began spontaneously.

**Minor triggered tremor activity (Episodes 4 and 6)**

Minor activity that occurred in Episode 4 began after the April 25, 2015 (JST) Nepal Earthquake (Mw 7.8) (Fig. 4d) and converged within two days (Additional file 1: Fig. S6). Similarly, activity that occurred in Episode 6 began after the April 16, 2016 Kumamoto Earthquake (Mw 7.0) (Fig. 4f), which occurred ~200 km from the study area. For both of these periods, the activity proceeded intermittently for approximately 2 weeks (Additional file 1: Fig. S7). Despite the differences in seismicity levels, the distributions of shallow tremors during Episodes 4 and 6 appeared to overlap (Fig. 4d, f). However, the locations of the triggered tremors were generally limited, suggesting that the focal areas of Episodes 4 and 6 were very sensitive to stress changes.

Although Episode 6 began on April 17 (Additional file 1: Fig. S7), further analysis of the waveforms at each OBS station revealed a tremor signal at OBS 12 at approximately 03:20 on April 16 (approximately 3 h after the main shock). However, most of the tremor signals were either masked or contaminated by aftershocks for approximately 2 days after the earthquake. In addition, shallow tremors occurred simultaneously in multiple locations. Nevertheless, because only five observation points were available, and because the OBS stations were slightly wider apart compared to other periods (Additional file 1: Fig. S1c), the observation network was inadequate for determining the hypocenter. Owing to the limited events available for hypocenter determination, Episode 6 could not be characterized entirely accurately.

**Major ambient tremor activity (Episode 5)**

Episode 5 occurred during the second observation period and encompassed a wider area than the other episodes (Fig. 4e). In comparison with the 2013 activity, events in Episode 5 extended farther to the east, although some overlap occurred between the western section of Episode 5 and the eastern section of the 2013 activity (Additional file 1: Fig. S2). The area south of the monitoring network was excluded from the catalog because of large estimation errors. According to the spatiotemporal variations in activity during Episode 5 (Fig. 4h), migration from west to east occurred. The activity initiated near the areas of Episodes 1 and 3, and stopped near the area where the 2013 activity terminated after eastward migration (around OBS 08) (Fig. 4g). Following the Ogasawara Earthquake, a major (Mw 7.8) deep focused earthquake occurred at
Fig. 3 (See legend on previous page.)
20:24 (JST) on May 30, 2015 and activity spread eastward to the area off Cape Ashizuri (Fig. 4g and h).

Onshore observations were used to confirm the large-scale migration of shallow VLFEs off Cape Ashizuri in 2003 and 2010 (Asano et al. 2015; Hirose et al. 2010). This activity occurred synchronously with long-term SSE activity in the Bungo Channel, which occurs on an approximately 6 years cycle. However, during Episode 5, no large-scale long-term SSEs were recorded in the Bungo Channel. The VLFE activity off Cape Ashizuri was also confirmed using onshore observations, although there were fewer recorded events than in 2003 and 2010 (Uchida et al. 2020). Therefore, activity during Episode 5 differed from those in 2003 and 2010, which occurred synchronously with long-term SSE activity in the Bungo Channel.

**Discussion**

**Comparison of the 2013 and 2015 migration properties**

The 2013 activity migrated from south to north, then changed to migrate eastward, and stopped after crossing the subducted Kyushu–Palau Ridge (Fig. 1). Typical along-strike migration rates of the 2013 activity ranged from 30 to 60 km/day (Yamashita et al. 2015), which are significantly faster than those of deep tremors (Houston et al. 2011; e.g., Obara 2010), but slower than the rapid tremor reversal (RTF) rates of 160–400 km/d (Houston et al. 2011) and streak over 100 km/h in the slip direction (e.g., Ghosh et al. 2010; Shelly et al. 2007). Conversely, typical along-strike migration rates of the 2015 activity (Episode 5) were initially slow (a few km/day), then accelerated to ~20 km/day as the activity area expanded to the east (Fig. 4h and Additional file 1: Fig. S2), although the rates remained lower than those of the 2013 activity.

Migration rates reported for shallow tremors in other regions range from a few to 20 km/day (e.g., Annoura et al. 2017; Araki et al. 2017; Nishikawa et al. 2019; Ohta et al. 2019; Tanaka et al. 2019). Therefore, the migration rates associated with the active region in 2013 were unusually high, whereas those for the 2015 active region were within the range for shallow tremors. According to Yamashita et al. (2015), differences in interplate coupling in the deep portion of the shallow tremor region affect the extent of shallow tremor activity. Shallow VLFE activity also exhibits an anticorrelative relationship with the spatial distribution of the interplate coupling ratio (Baba et al. 2020). In the area off Cape Ashizuri, the deep portion of the shallow tremor region includes a locked zone associated with the 1968 Hyuga-nada Earthquake (Mw 7.5) (Fig. 1). Similar characteristics were observed offshore of the Kii Peninsula (e.g., Annoura et al. 2017; Araki et al. 2017) and in the Sanriku and Tokachi regions (e.g., Nishikawa et al. 2019; Tanaka et al. 2019). In contrast, the deep portion of the 2013 shallow tremor region is characterized by a creeping megathrust (e.g., Wang and Bilek 2014; Yamashita et al. 2012). Migration rates of the shallow tremors may increase in regions without a prominent locked zone in the deep portion (Fig. 5). Although the rupture velocity of a fault is theoretically and numerically dependent on a number of factors, including stress state, fault strength, and pore pressure (e.g., Ando et al. 2012; Luo and Liu 2021), the stress shadow effect due to the locked zone (e.g., Ariyoshi et al. 2014; Bürgmann et al. 2005) is dominant on a regional scale.

Simulations have also suggested that shallow VLFE activity occurs more frequently than that of deep VLFEs during the pre-seismic stage of a simulated megathrust earthquake due to a partial weakening of the coupling around the locked zone (Ariyoshi et al. 2014). During this stage, shallow VLFEs will occur in the region where there is little or no VLFE activity and migrate along strike. Shallow tremors also exhibit this behavior. Therefore, if we understand the general properties of shallow tremor activity, the migration rates of the shallow tremors and the extents of their activities can be used for monitoring the spatiotemporal variations in interplate coupling.

**Implications of triggered shallow tremor**

Activity during both Episodes 4 and 6 was triggered by large earthquakes (Additional file 1: Figs. S6 and S7), which differed from spontaneous teleseismic waves associated with deep tremors (e.g., Chao and Obara 2016; Miyazawa and Mori 2005; Miyazawa and Brodsky 2008), and continued after the teleseismic waves (Fig. 5c, e). A body and/or surface wave associated with a large earthquake likely temporarily altered the pore fluid pressures in the tremor source region or triggered a small-scale SSE, thereby maintaining the activity after the surface wave. A similar situation associated with SSE activity off the Kii Peninsula was reported after the 2016 off Mie prefecture earthquake and the 2016 Kumamoto earthquake (Annoura et al. 2017; Araki et al. 2017; Nakano et al. 2020). In the area off Cape Ashizuri, the deep portion of the shallow tremor region includes a locked zone associated with the 1968 Hyuga-nada Earthquake (Mw 7.5) (Fig. 1). Similar characteristics were observed offshore of the Kii Peninsula (e.g., Annoura et al. 2017; Araki et al. 2017) and in the Sanriku and Tokachi regions (e.g., Nishikawa et al. 2019; Tanaka et al. 2019). In contrast, the deep portion of the 2013 shallow tremor region is characterized by a creeping megathrust (e.g., Wang and Bilek 2014; Yamashita et al. 2012). Migration rates of the shallow tremors may increase in regions without a prominent locked zone in the deep portion (Fig. 5). Although the rupture velocity of a fault is theoretically and numerically dependent on a number of factors, including stress state, fault strength, and pore pressure (e.g., Ando et al. 2012; Luo and Liu 2021), the stress shadow effect due to the locked zone (e.g., Ariyoshi et al. 2014; Bürgmann et al. 2005) is dominant on a regional scale.

Simulations have also suggested that shallow VLFE activity occurs more frequently than that of deep VLFEs during the pre-seismic stage of a simulated megathrust earthquake due to a partial weakening of the coupling around the locked zone (Ariyoshi et al. 2014). During this stage, shallow VLFEs will occur in the region where there is little or no VLFE activity and migrate along strike. Shallow tremors also exhibit this behavior. Therefore, if we understand the general properties of shallow tremor activity, the migration rates of the shallow tremors and the extents of their activities can be used for monitoring the spatiotemporal variations in interplate coupling.
Fig. 4 (See legend on previous page.)
Therefore, the VLFEs shown in Fig. 4 comprise a particular error range of a few to tens of km. This is supported by the frequency characteristics of the observed waveforms, as shown in Fig. 2.

During Episodes 1 and 5 (Fig. 4a, e), VLFEs occurred in areas with few shallow tremors, including the edge of the shallow tremor source region. Although seismic waves associated with different frequency bands than those of tremors and VLFEs are likely released through a slow earthquake source process on a large scale, closer examination suggests that the sources of the tremors and VLFEs differ slightly. This difference can be attributed to minor heterogeneities in the frictional properties along the shallow plate boundary. Where VLFEs are concentrated with little tremor, interplate coupling is considered to be relatively strong during inter-seismic periods.

Conclusions

In this study, we reported on seafloor observations used to investigate shallow tremor activity in the Hyuga-nada region from 2014 to 2017. The frequency of shallow tremors was lower than that of deep tremors in the Nankai Trough. Six episodes of activity were observed and were associated with the following characteristics: minor ambient tremor activity (Episodes 1, 2, and 3), minor triggered tremor activity (Episodes 4 and 6), and major ambient tremor activity associated with clear migration (Episode 5). Major activity occurred only once every two or more years, while minor activity occurred a few times annually. Source regions of the 2013 and Episode 5 activity overlapped partially, but the latter activity expanded eastward. Along-strike migration rates of the 2013 (30–60 km/day) and Episode 5 activity (a few km/day to 20 km/day) differed widely, with slower migration rates in Episode 5, although the ranges were similar to those reported for other regions. The difference in the migration rate likely reflects differences in interplate coupling in the deeper portion. Monitoring shallow tremors could be useful for monitoring spatiotemporal changes in interplate coupling in the shallow part of the plate boundary. A comparison of shallow tremor and VLFE distributions indicates that their epicenters coincided, within the margin of error. However, further examination revealed that the shallow VLFE sources were in located areas with low tremor activity.
epicenter densities and at the edges of these areas. This difference can be attributed to minor heterogeneities in frictional properties within the shallow part of the plate boundary. For a better understanding of the general and regional characteristics of shallow slow earthquakes and interplate coupling in the shallow part of the plate boundary, continuous seafloor monitoring and comparison with different subduction zones is required.
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Additional file 1: Fig. S1. OBS station locations during each observation period. (a) 1st observation period, (b) 2nd observation period, (c) 3rd observation period. Symbols and contours are the same as those in Fig. 1. Fig. S2. Spatial and temporal evolution of shallow tremors. Locations of (a) 2015 activity (Episode 5, same as Fig. 4e but only includes tremors) and (b) 2013 activity (Yamashita et al. 2015). Other contours and symbols are the same as those in Fig. 1. Spatiotemporal plots of shallow tremors in (c) 2015 (Episode 5) projected onto the W–E cross section shown in Fig. S2a and (d) in 2013 projected onto the S-N cross section shown in Fig. S2b. (c) is almost the same as Fig. 4h, but all symbols are plotted in the same color. The vertical and horizontal axes in (c) and (d) have the same scale. Fig. S3. Spatiotemporal plot of shallow tremors during Episode 1. Left figure is the same as Fig. 4a, but only includes tremors. Right figure is projected onto the W–E cross section shown in the left figure. Fig. S4. Spatiotemporal plot of shallow tremors during Episode 2. Left figure is the same as Fig. 4b. Right figure is projected onto the W–E cross section shown in the left figure. Fig. S5. Spatiotemporal plot of shallow tremors during Episode 3. Left figure is the same as Fig. 4c. Right figure is projected onto the W–E cross section shown in the left figure. Fig. S6. Spatiotemporal plot of shallow tremors during Episode 4. Left figure is the same as Fig. 4d. Right figure is projected onto the W–E cross section shown in the left figure. The star indicates the timing of the Nepal Earthquake (Mw 7.8). Fig. S7. Spatiotemporal plot of shallow tremors during Episode 5. Left figure is the same as Fig. 4f, but includes only tremors. Right figure is projected onto the W–E cross section shown in the left figure. The star indicates the timing of the 2016 Kumamoto Earthquake (Mw 7.0). Additional file 2. Shallow tremor catalog detected by OBS data.
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