Since 1980 the incidence and prevalence of end-stage renal disease have grown each year in Canada and throughout the world. From 1981 to 1999, the number of new patients with the disease increased at a compound annual rate of 7.3%, and similar trends have been documented worldwide. By the end of 2000, 24,921 Canadians were receiving life-sustaining treatment for end-stage renal disease, of whom 14,567 were receiving dialysis and 10,354 had a functioning kidney transplant. End-stage renal disease is associated with premature death and a substantial reduction in health-related quality of life. Kidney transplantation is the treatment of choice because it prolongs survival, improves quality of life and is less costly than dialysis.

Despite the benefits of kidney transplantation, not all patients with end-stage renal disease proceed with transplantation, and there is considerable variation in transplantation rates across Canada. For example, the renal transplantation rate (per million population) is only 27.4 in Saskatchewan compared with 51.8 in the Atlantic provinces. It is not known how much of this variation is due to differences in referral and acceptance for transplantation (i.e., perceived eligibility) or differences in the availability of donors.

In an effort to outline which patients in the growing population with end-stage renal disease are currently suitable for transplantation, the Canadian Society of Transplantation formed a committee to develop consensus guidelines on eligibility criteria. Committee members were selected on the basis of geographical representation as well as specialty (adult and pediatric nephrology). Nineteen topics important in determining eligibility for transplantation were chosen for inclusion in the guidelines. After a detailed review of the literature, a draft document was created by the committee and circulated to transplant programs across the country for comments and input. The draft guidelines were reviewed and revised at the Canadian Society of Transplantation Annual Meeting. A consultative approach was used to ensure that the recommendations reflected a Canadian consensus and would be adopted across the country. The full text of the recommendations is available online at www.cmaj.ca/cgi/content/full/173/10/1181/DC1.

Of all the topics reviewed, perhaps the most difficult was that of advancing age. Over the past decade, the proportion of dialysis patients over the age of 65 has increased markedly. In fact, by 2003, almost 54% of patients starting renal replacement therapy were in this age group. On the other hand, improved patient and graft survival with current immunosuppressive protocols has made kidney transplantation possible for selected patients over age 65. Although life expectancy is less, such recipients have death-censored graft survival rates that are at least as good as those of younger patients. The guidelines recommend that advanced age alone not be a contraindication to transplantation. Transplant candidates should have a reasonable probability of surviving beyond current waiting times for transplantation, given the resources required to assess and maintain patients on a waiting list. The decision regarding eligibility for transplantation must be made in the best interests of the patient and on medical and surgical grounds.

These guidelines were developed with a wide audience in mind. General recommendations are given in summary form for review by health care workers and physicians working in primary care who may want to know whether their patient is eligible for transplantation. Further detail expanding on the recommendations is also provided. The guidelines refer to both adult and pediatric patient groups.

We hope that these guidelines will lead to consistency in determining which patients are eligible and accepted for kidney transplantation. They are based on the best available evidence, with the understanding that clinical judgement plays a role in decision-making. As such, there will likely still be variability in clinical practice across the country. This consensus document specifically addresses eligibility criteria for kidney transplantation and does not outline the individual tests required for assessing or reassessing patients awaiting kidney transplantation, since guidelines from the United States and Europe already provide this information.
This is an abridged summary of the guidelines and levels of evidence supporting the recommendations. We used the grading scheme developed by the Canadian Task Force on the Periodic Health Examination,7 where A is the highest level of evidence supporting the recommendation, B represents fair evidence in support of the recommendation, C represents conflicting evidence melded with expert opinion, and D and E represent evidence against a recommendation. In our review grades D and E were never applicable and can be omitted. These recommendations have been edited for brevity, and the reader should, for specific cases and conditions, consult the complete document online.

Executive summary of recommendations for transplantation

General considerations

1. All patients with end-stage renal disease (ESRD) should be considered for kidney transplantation. (Grade A)
2. Eligibility for kidney transplantation should be determined on medical and surgical grounds and not on social status, sex, ethnicity or personal or public appeal. (Grade C)
3. A patient declined for transplantation should routinely be offered a second opinion. (Grade C)

Timing of referral

1. Potential transplant recipients should be referred for evaluation by a transplant program once renal replacement therapy is expected to be required within the next 12 months. (Grade C)
2. Patients already requiring dialysis support should be referred for transplant evaluation as soon as their medical condition stabilizes. (Grade C)

Renal function

1. Preemptive kidney transplantation is the preferred form of renal replacement therapy. (Grade A)
2. Preemptive kidney transplantation should not proceed unless the measured or calculated glomerular filtration rate is less than 20 mL/min and there is evidence of progressive and irreversible deterioration in renal function over the previous 6–12 months. (Grade C)

Age and functional capacity

1. Advanced age per se is not a contraindication to kidney transplantation. (Grade B)
2. Transplant candidates should have a reasonable probability of surviving beyond current waiting times for transplantation, given the resources required to assess and maintain patients on the renal transplant waiting list. (Grade C)
3. Very young age and small size should not prevent early referral for transplant evaluation. (Grade B)
4. Cognitive or neurodevelopmental delay is not an absolute contraindication to renal transplantation in children. (Grade B)

Obesity

1. Few data exist to suggest which, if any, obese (body mass index 30 kg/m²) patients should be denied transplantation on the basis of obesity per se. (Grade C)

Cause of end-stage renal disease

1. There are few contraindications to kidney transplantation solely on the basis of the cause of ESRD. (Grade A)

Systemic diseases

1. Systemic diseases leading to ESRD are usually not a contraindication to kidney transplantation; however, the presence and severity of extra-renal disease will often determine whether transplantation is an option. (Grade C)
2. Patients with the following conditions should be considered for kidney transplantation:
   • Diabetes mellitus (Grade B). Simultaneous kidney–pancreas transplantation should be considered in selected patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus.
   • Primary hyperoxaluria (Grade B)
   • Fabry disease (Grade B)
   • Sickle-cell disease (Grade B)
   • Anti-glomerular basement membrane disease (Grade C)
   • Amyloidosis (primary or secondary) (Grade B)
   • Systemic lupus erythematosus (Grade C)
   • Scleroderma (Grade C)
   • Vasculitis (Wegener’s granulomatosis, microscopic polyangiitis, pauci-immune necrotizing glomerulonephritis, Henoch–Schonlein purpura) (Grade C)
   • Thrombotic microangiopathy or hemolytic uremic syndrome (Grade C)
   • Congenital nephrotic syndrome (Grade B)
   • Cystinosis (Grade B)
   • Autosomal recessive polycystic kidney disease (Grade A)

Infection

1. Patients should be free of active infection, whether of viral, bacterial or fungal origin. (Grade B)
2. Where possible, transplant candidates should be vaccinated against infections that are prevalent or potentially life-threatening. (Grade A)
3. Transplant candidates should be screened for exposure to mycobacteria with a careful clinical history, chest radiography and purified protein derivative skin testing. (Grade C)
4. Serostatus for cytomegalovirus and Epstein–Barr virus should be assessed before transplant but should not determine eligibility for transplantation. (Grade A)
5. All patients being assessed for kidney transplantation should be screened for HIV infection (Grade A). HIV-infected patients with end-stage kidney failure may be considered for kidney transplantation if they demonstrate adherence to antiretroviral therapy and have stable disease. (Grade B)
Malignant disease

1. Renal transplant candidates with a previous history of malignant disease should be tumor-free before proceeding with transplantation (Grade A). Renal transplant candidates with a history of malignant disease should wait a period of time between successful treatment and transplantation. The length of time will depend on the type of malignancy (Grade B). The guidelines have recommendations for most of the common cancers.

Liver disease

1. All transplant candidates should be screened for evidence of liver disease including hepatitis B and C virus. (Grade C)
2. Patients who are hepatitis B surface antigen positive or hepatitis C antibody positive should be considered for transplantation. However, eligibility will depend on other considerations such as viral load and liver function and liver histology. (Grade C)
3. Transplant candidates with cirrhosis should not be considered for kidney transplantation alone, but may be considered for combined liver–kidney transplantation. (Grade C)

Genitourinary disease

1. A urologic cause of ESRD is not necessarily a contraindication to kidney transplantation provided appropriate urinary tract drainage can be achieved. (Grade A)

Hematologic disorders

1. The presence of thrombophilia, hypercoagulable state or cytopenia is not an absolute contraindication to kidney transplantation, but these conditions should be fully investigated. (Grade C)
2. Patients requiring long-term anticoagulation for recurrent deep venous thrombosis, atrial fibrillation, prosthetic heart valves or hypercoagulable states are candidates for kidney transplantation. (Grade C)

Hyperparathyroidism

1. Calcium, phosphorus and parathyroid hormone levels should be measured as part of the pretransplant evaluation (Grade A), and parathyroidectomy should be considered for those in whom medical management has not worked or those with severe, persistent complications of hyperparathyroidism.

Psychosocial considerations

1. All patients should have a pretransplant psychosocial evaluation by an experienced competent individual to assess for cognitive impairment, mental illness, nonadherence to therapy and drug or alcohol abuse. (Grade C)
2. Patient nonadherence to therapy is a contraindication to kidney transplantation (Grade A). Kidney transplantation should be delayed until patients have demonstrated adherence to therapy for at least 6 months (Grade C).
3. Kidney transplantation should be delayed until the patient has demonstrated freedom from substance abuse for at least 6 months. (Grade C)
4. Cognitive impairment is not an absolute contraindication to kidney transplantation. (Grade B)
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