Eco-Compatible Construction Materials Containing Ceramic Sludge and Packaging Glass Cullet
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Abstract: This research reports results of eco-compatible building material obtained without natural raw materials. A mixture of sludge from a ceramic wastewater treatment plant and glass cullet from the urban collection was used to obtain high sintered products suitable to be used as covering floor/wall tiles in buildings. The fired samples were tested by water absorption, linear shrinkage, apparent density, and mechanical and chemical properties. Satisfactory results were achieved from densification properties and SEM/XRD analyses showed a compact polycrystalline microstructure with albite and wollastonite embedded in the glassy phase, similar to other commercial glass-ceramics. Besides, the products were obtained with a reduction of 200 °C with respect to the firing temperatures of commercial ones. Additionally, the realized materials were undergone to leaching test following Italian regulation to evaluate the mobility of hazardous ions present into the sludge. The data obtained verified that after thermal treatment the heavy metals were immobilized into the ceramic matrix without further environmental impact for the product use. The results of the research confirm that this valorization of matter using only residues produces glass ceramics high sintered suitable to be used as tile with technological properties similar or higher than commercial ones.
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1. Introduction

The circular economy is the answer to the linear economic model (take–make–dispose) which has created many problems of waste management. In circular economy, the flows of materials are biological, able of being restored into the biosphere, and technical [1–3]. Resource efficiency and zero waste are fundamentals concepts for the European Union, which is a net importer of natural resources. Countries, as Italy, whose are poor in raw materials, contribute negatively to increase the extraction of global resources. It is therefore necessary to extend the useful life of products and materials, minimizing the use of natural resources and reusing the products that have reached the end of their life, thus creating further value [1,4]. Furthermore, if a residue (in particular when coded as waste) can substitute a natural raw material and does not create negative environmental impacts, it can be perfectly placed within the economic circuit, thus becoming a good (the so-called end of waste, previously called secondary raw material) and thus increasing the safety of supply chain.

The tile industry occupies in Italy a relevant position in the national economy with a production of about 415 million m² (2018) and an export volume of 338 million m² [5]. Referring to the world situation, Italy is the 6th largest producer of floor and wall tiles and the 1st country for internationalization (15 companies have a factory abroad). Eighty-two percent of the total national production is supported by about eighty companies located in a small area of 300 km² in the North East (Modena and Reggio Emilia Provinces) [5,6].
Ceramic residues derive from process and depuration lines and can be classified as a function of both tiles production process and physical state. Residues classified from the process are:

- Unfired scraps originating in stages before firing (pressing, drying, glazing, etc.);
- scraps after firing and successive steps as tile selection, polishing, etc.;
- and from the depuration are:
  - sludge (water content ranging from 40 to 80 wt%) from clarification water and glazing step; and
  - dust as exhausted lime from firing and atomization gases purification.

The ceramic sector is one of the most virtuous as it reintroduces almost all by-products within its production cycle, with consequent environmental (reduction of dumping effluents and saving water consumption) and economic benefits [7–9]. The reuse factor of residues stands at an average value of 129%. Furthermore, the majority of plants have an indicator higher than 85%, the limit prescribed by the Ecolabel, but in any case always greater than 50% of the data associated with the BAT (Best Available Technologies) [10,11].

However, decoration (traditional glazing or ink-jet printing) still represents a critical step from which wastewater treatment sludges originate [12–14]. The industries having both wet milling and spray-drying processes show a consolidate industrial practice, operating closed-loop recycling of the glazing wastewater to the body preparation process (80–100%) [15,16]. Approximately 90% of the ceramic plants have set their hydraulic balance to completely avoid the discharge of wastewater into the environment. Water is an important input in the following stages: Preparation of the raw materials suspensions (which are then fast dried and granulated for the spray dryer), and decorative lines and mills cleaning, in these steps the wastewater is completely recovered [9]. The problem appears when the companies have a partial cycle (without raw materials preparation and atomization step) or use dry milling and granulation for the preparation of the raw materials. In these cases, the process is not able to support the wastewater and it is necessary to separate the sludge from the purifying waters.

The problem of ceramic muds derived from the clarification of washing water plants, mills and glazing lines, basically consisting of ceramic paste residues, frits scraps, pigments, glazes, and pastes, is represented by the composition variability. This is related to the technological cycle, i.e., single-firing, double-firing, dry or wet grinding, etc. Within the body, besides the aluminosilicatic matrix, alkaline and alkaline-earth metal oxides are present, but also is possible to find heavy metals (Pb, Cd, Cu, Zn, Cr, etc.) and chromophores hydroxides (Fe, Ti), such as components of frits, pigments and glazes. Further, additives and vehicles of organic nature used in digital decoration and iron and aluminium compounds used as coagulants for the flocculation are added [14,17].

In Europe, ceramic sludges are codified as special waste and classified as hazardous (European Waste Code 10.12.11*) or not hazardous (European Waste Code 10.12.11) as a function of the heavy metals and dangerous substances content [18,19]. Thus, sludge recycling can be a key factor regarding the reduction of both the use of natural raw materials and the environmental pollution avoided by the depuration treatment. Different examples, where ceramic sludges are used, are reported in literature: Engobe formulations 5–20 wt% [17,20], vitrification to produce new glazes up to 40 wt% [21,22], bricks (up to 40 wt%) and roofing tile formulations (about 60 wt%) [23–26], cements [14,27].

In literature glass-ceramics from waste were investigated [28], but they tended to obtain different products for different applications and did not contemplate the use of ceramic sludges into the compositions. Some authors studied the obtainment of wollastonite-based glass-ceramics and its potential use in building materials (coating for floor and walls) using soda lime waste glass as SiO₂ source and pure CaO [29]. Other studies proposed the use of waste glass cullet and coal fly ash as source of calcium with good results [30]. In these studies, the glass-ceramics were obtained by a conventional melting-quenching method and followed by controlled sintering process of the parent glass powders.
In this paper, the novelty proposed by the authors is to obtain a monolithic glass-ceramic material suitable to be used as a floor or wall covering tile in building obtained by viscous flow powder sintering without previous melting of parent glass. The materials proposed are realized without the use of traditional ceramic raw materials, but only with recycled materials, i.e., ceramic sludge and packaging glass cullet (50/50 wt%). Besides, the optimized thermal treatment allowed densification at lower temperatures than those used for commercial sintered tiles.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Laboratory Scale Material Preparation

Two representative sludge samplings resulting from the wastewater ceramic process were chosen. The materials were dried (110 °C, 24 h) and mixed to obtain a homogeneous mixture. The glass cullet used is an Italian commercial product (called Glassy sand) derived from the secondary process of reclaiming the packaging glass waste from primary treatment. The as received glass sample is available as granules with a diameter range of 400–800 µm. From an industrial ceramic point of view, that is, to create glass-ceramics by viscous flow sintering with formation of a liquid phase and consequent elimination of the gas phase and of the intergranular pores, ceramic sludge and glass cullet were ground separately to obtain fine powders, and two sizes were selected (<125 µm and <75 µm). A mix with 1/1 ratio of the two raw materials was selected, resulting the best in view of using the maximum quantity of sludge, but to have a necessary and sufficient glassy phase to obtain a specimen not deformed and partially crystallized.

Mixtures with 50 wt% of each component at two sizes were prepared, homogenized by a slow mill for 30 min. The final particle size of the mixtures (V125 and V75) was measured by a laser diffraction analyzer (Malvern Sizer 2000, Malvern, UK). The characteristics diameters for V75 d_{50} = 15.76 µm and d_{90} = 53.59 µm and for V125 d_{50} = 17.80 µm and d_{90} = 70.42 µm were determined. The specimens were obtained shaping by cold-compacting the powders mixture followed by heat treatment to sinter the compact using a common route for the fabrication of ceramics and also employed for glass-ceramic production [28].

In order to obtain a humidified mixture suitable for the pressing, a water content of 6 wt% was added. The cilindre (40 mm diameter, 5 mm thickness) specimens were obtained at 40 MPa (Uniaxial Press Mignon C, Nannetti srl, Faenza, Italy). The samples were put in oven at 105 °C overnight and then undergone to different thermal treatments (800, 900, and 1000 °C) with isotherm of 30 and 60 min. in a laboratory electrical furnace (Lenton, model AWF 13/12, Hope Valley, UK). Finally, the fired compacts were characterized.

2.2. Test Methods

The densification degree of fired samples was studied by the determination of the water absorption (WA%), linear shrinkage (LS%) and apparent and absolute densities.

Water absorption (WA%) was measured by the Equation (1), where, m_i = mass of dried specimens, m_f = mass of saturated specimens after boiling in distilled water for 2 h.

\[
WA\% = \frac{m_f - m_i}{m_i} \times 100. \tag{1}
\]

Linear shrinkage (LS%) was determined by measuring the percentage changes in the diameter of the samples. The values were calculated by Equation (2), where L_g = length of dried green sample; L_f = length of the fired sample. The determinations were performed using an electronic caliper (accuracy: ±0.01).

\[
LS\% = \frac{L_g - L_f}{L_g} \times 100 \tag{2}
\]

The LS% and WA% tests were carried out on ten representative specimens and the average data was reported.
The apparent density ($D_{ap}$) of the fired samples was analyzed by an envelope density analyzer (GeoPyc 1360, Micromeritics, Norcross, GA 30093, USA) based on Archimedes principle. The use of DryFlow® as medium in substitution of traditional solvents or mercury is a novelty and permits to obtain a high accuracy degree [31]. The density values obtained are an average of 5 measures and the standard deviation is calculated. The absolute density ($D_{abs}$) was measured by gas (He) pycnometer (AccyPy1330, Micromeritic, USA), in order to calculate the total porosity values ($P_\%$) of samples according to equation (Equation (3)).

$$P_\% = \frac{D_{abs} - D_{ap}}{D_{abs}} \times 100.$$  

The characteristic temperatures and sintering behavior were estimated by an optical hot stage microscope (HT Misura 3.32, Expert System Solutions, Modena, Italy) using a temperature gradient of 10 °C/min, $T_{max} = 1400$ °C and by an optical dilatometer (Misura ODHT, Expert System Solutions, Modena, Italy) with a temperature gradient of 50 °C/min, $T_{max} = 1000$ °C. The thermal behavior was analyzed by Thermal Differential Analysis (TG/DTA STA 429CD, Netzsch, Selb, Germany) with the following parameters: $T$ range = 20–1400 °C; $\Delta T = 20$ °C/min, sensibility = 0.1 µg.

The characteristic temperatures and sintering behavior were estimated by an optical hot stage microscope (HT Misura 3.32, Expert System Solutions, Modena, Italy) using a temperature gradient of 10 °C/min, $T_{max} = 1400$ °C and by an optical dilatometer (Misura ODHT, Expert System Solutions, Modena, Italy) with a temperature gradient of 50 °C/min, $T_{max} = 1000$ °C. The thermal behavior was analyzed by Thermal Differential Analysis (TG/DTA STA 429CD, Netzsch, Selb, Germany) with the following parameters: $T$ range = 20–1400 °C; $\Delta T = 20$ °C/min, sensibility = 0.1 µg.

On the fired samples a deep characterization was performed. Mineralogical analysis was carried out by X-Ray Powder Diffraction analyzer (PW 3710, Philips Research Laboratories, Netherlands) with Ni-filtered CuK$_\alpha$ radiation in 5–70° 2θ range and speed 1°/min, operating at 40 mA and 40 keV. Highscore Plus software version 3.0 [32] coupled to the International Centre for Diffraction Data (ICDD) cards database were used to identify the crystalline phases. Microstructural analysis was conducted by SEM (Model XL40, Philips Research Laboratories, Eindhoven, The Netherlands) coupled with X-EDS equipment (Model QUANTAX-200, Bruker, Germany).

MIP- Mercury intrusion porosimetry (Autopore IV9500, Micromeritics, Norcross, GA 30093, USA) was carried out to measure pore size distributions, total pore volume, total pore surface area, etc. The measures were conducted with the following operative conditions: the equilibrium time (10 s) between pressure limits of 345 kPa and 228 MPa that permits to identify capillary pores between 0.006 and 350 µm.

Elastic properties were evaluated using an instrument for non-destructive measurements based on Impulse Excitation Technique (IET) (Model Electronika MK5, Grindoasonic LTD). The test highly accurate and very simple is in agreement with the ASTM 1259 testing method for dynamic elastic modulus. The calculation of Young (E), shear modulus (G) and Poisson ratio (µ) values were performed using samples' geometric and weight data through EMOD software program [33].

Tests to evaluate the chemical resistance to acids (HCl 3 vol%) and the staining resistance (olive oil and a green pigment (Cr$_2$O$_3$)) were conducted on three specimens for each trial following UNI EN ISO rules.

Taking into account the presence of heavy metals in ceramic glazing sludge, leaching tests, following the UNI 10802 rule (EN 12457-2, 2002), were performed on the as-received sludge and on the sintered products. The samples were ground below 4 mm and immersed in deionized water (10/1 liquid/solid ratio) in capped bottles and shaken for 1 day at room temperature. The analysis was performed on the eluate separated by filtration using a cellulose membrane ($D_{pore} = 0.45$ µm). The pH and specific conductivity were measured by pHmeter Crison—Basic 20 and Radiometer Analytical—Meterlab CDM210, respectively. According to UNI EN ISO 5667-3 rule, the eluate was conserved for successive chemical analysis by ICP/OES (Varian Analytical, mod. Liberty 200), metals and anions were determined at the pH = 2, obtained by adding drops of HNO$_3$ 65% (aq), and the results were compared to the Italian regulation limits.

2.3. Semi-Industrial Scale Experimentation

The semi-industrial experimentation was carried out in a ceramic company where the samples were fired in industrial roller kilns with different cycles usually used for
two commercial porous products called monoporosa and double firing. As suggested by laboratory tests, three cycles with different operative conditions were chosen: 960 °C, 50 min; 1020 °C, 54 min and 1087 °C, 41 min. For the semi-industrial scale tests only, the finer mix V75 (powders < 75 µm) was selected due to better laboratory results. The samples used for this experimentation were prepared using the same laboratory tile making procedure described above.

3. Results

The chemical analysis of ceramic sludge (Table 1) shows high levels of SiO₂ and Al₂O₃, and the presence of Na₂O, K₂O, CaO and MgO typical oxides of ceramic pastes. Besides, the presence of ZrO₂ used in frit and pigment components, Fe₂O₃ and TiO₂ used as chromosphere oxides and heavy metals (Pb, Cu, Cr, Ba, Zn) derive from the decoration glaze and frits components. Instead, glass cullet reveals a typical composition of sodium-calcium silicate glass.

Table 1. Chemical analysis (XRF) of raw materials used.

| Oxide  | Ceramic Sludge | Glass Cullet |
|--------|----------------|--------------|
| SiO₂   | 52.36          | 71.16        |
| Al₂O₃  | 19.37          | 2.39         |
| CaO    | 5.73           | 9.98         |
| MgO    | 2.43           | 2.03         |
| Na₂O   | 3.9            | 12.63        |
| K₂O    | 1.32           | 1.34         |
| Fe₂O₃  | 0.84           | 0.10         |
| TiO₂   | 0.45           | 0.02         |
| BaO    | 0.54           | 0.12         |
| ZnO    | 0.99           | 0.04         |
| PbO    | 0.49           | 0.02         |
| CuO    | 0.10           | 0.04         |
| Cr₂O₃  | 0.07           | -            |
| ZrO₂   | 3.01           | 0.13         |
| Others | 0.50           | -            |
| L.O.I  | 8.00           | -            |
| TOTAL  | 100.4          | 100.00       |
| CaCO₃  | 6.17           | -            |

3.1. Thermal Study of the Mixtures

The results corresponding to the thermal analysis performed on the powdered mixtures by DTA and heating microscopy techniques are reported in Table 2. From DTA curves the glass transition temperature (Tg) is in the range 657–665 °C and two exothermic peaks, one small at 450–460 °C (probably due to organic compounds decomposition, derived from the ceramic sludge) and the other indicating a neo formation crystalline phase at 870 °C are present. Besides, from the heating microscopy measure, it is possible to obtain the characteristic temperatures for the two mixtures (V125 and V75). The results achieved (Table 2) plainly show for both mixtures the reduction of sintering temperature with respect to traditional ceramic materials, encouraging the possibility to employ these mixtures (<125 µm and <75 µm) to obtain ceramic supports at lower temperatures with respect to the commercial ones. The positive effect of the granulometry on the densification and softening temperatures is evident, lower values for V75 with respect to V125 were achieved.
Table 2. Characteristic temperatures determined by DTA and Optical Hot stage microscopy for the two mixtures prepared V125 and V75.

| MIXES | HEATING MICROSCOPY | DTA |
|-------|---------------------|-----|
|       | T (°C)              | T (°C) | T (°C) | Tg (°C) | T (°C) |
|       | Sintering | Softening | Melting |       | Crystalization |
| V125  | 858      | 1090  | 1222 | 665  | 870  |
| V75   | 802      | 876   | 1216 | 657  | 870  |

In Figure 1 the dilatometric curves of the two mixtures (V125 and V75) are compared. This technique allows to estimate the temperatures corresponding to the beginning of sintering and the maximum sintering rate. No more differences are observed, the curves present a thermal expansion up to 700 °C and successively the starting of sintering 720 °C (V75) and 760 °C (V125) with a small shift (40 °C) to lower temperatures for the mixture <75 µm; the temperature of maximum sintering rate (identified by the first derivate curve) are 858 and 861 °C, respectively. The data confirm that the particle size distributions chosen are suitable for the sintering process, because smaller particles with a high reactivity fill the holes created by the larger ones and thus the solid volume increases.

Figure 1. Dilatometric curves for V125 and V75 mixes.

The achieved results show for both mixtures the reduction of sintering temperatures with respect to traditional ceramic tiles. On the basis of the thermal analyses, the pieces were undergone to different heating cycles (800–1000 °C) with a soaking times of 30 and 60 min for optimizing the densification conditions.
The sintering degree of the mixtures as a function of thermal treatments was checked by linear shrinkage (L.S%), water absorption (W.A%) and apparent density ($D_{ap}$).

During firing, chemical, physical, and mineralogical transformations occur in the specimens provoking changes in microstructural and mechanical properties. The most obvious effect is the dimensional change of the samples. Linear shrinkage increases as temperature increases (Figure 2). This trend is positive when it is recognized as an enhancement of densification, this behavior occurs for V75 (Figure 2a) and V125 (Figure 2b) samples treated at 1000 °C with 60 min of dwell time. On the basis of these results, it is evident that the particle size distribution contributes to the sintering kinetic. In fact, at the same conditions, sintered samples from the finest powders show higher densification with respect to those with coarse distribution and reach higher values of L.S%.

Figure 2. Sintering parameters (LS% and WA%) for V75 (a) and V125 (b) mixtures.
In the same draw WA% values are plotted as a function of the firing temperatures. The trend decreases when the temperature increases and it is associated with the changes in open or capillary porosity, indicating the progress of sintering process. The density values obtained at 1000 °C are in line with other study for wollastonite based glass-ceramics [29]. Confirming the effect of grain size distribution on the densification process in Figure 3 it is possible to observe the density ratio (\(D_{\text{absolute}}/D_{\text{apparent}}\)) versus temperature. The monolithic V75 reached a sintering degree of 92% compared to 83% of V125 sample at 1000 °C.

![Figure 3. \(D_{\text{absolute}}/D_{\text{apparent}}\) density ratio vs sintering temperature.](image)

### 3.2. Mechanical Properties

Regarding the elastic properties of materials, Young’s modulus (E) is subordinated to the crystalline phases and porosity. As it is known, the elastic properties are affected by the degree of porosity, the presence of pores is considered like a second phase with modulus equal zero, therefore Young’s modulus will decrease with an increase of total porosity [34].

In Table 3 it is possible to observe measured E values of V125 and V75 samples at different firing conditions. An increase of E, as a function of the sintering time and temperature was found in agreement with the sintering parameters due to the progressive densification process with formation of crystalline phases. E values around 50 MPa for V125 and higher than 60 MPa for V75 mixture have reached at 1000 °C, in agreement with other studies relative to traditional ceramics [35]. Štubňa et al. (2011) demonstrated the good linearity between Young’s modulus and flexural strength (F.S.) for fired ceramics [36]. The following equation permits to calculate F.S. values

\[
F.S. = 1.21 \cdot 10^{-3} E \text{ (MPa)}. \tag{4}
\]

By using this relationship, it is possible to evaluate approximately the flexural strength of fired samples or Young’s modulus if one of them is known. Different advantages derive from the use of this method: (i) The elastic modulus does not depend on the sample size; (ii) ultrasonic method used for E determination is a nondestructive method.

As can be observed in Table 3, calculated data for samples V75 and V125 fired at 1000 °C are higher than the requirement for high sintered commercial floor tiles (\(\geq 35 \text{ MPa}\)) reported in the ISO Rules for Ceramic Tiles [37]. Taking into account the sensitivity of the measurement, the effect of the particle size at 800 °C, 30 min is negligible, the increase of the isotherm time to 60 min already highlights the difference between the values of E and F.S. for the two particle size. The mechanical properties increase with temperature reaching...
values similar or better than traditional ceramics at 1000 °C when a dense polycrystalline material is formed.

Table 3. Apparent and absolute density, elastic, and mechanical properties for the fired samples prepared in laboratory conditions.

| Thermal Treatment | Apparent Density (kg/m³) | Absolute Density (kg/m³) | Young’s Modulus E (GPa) | Poisson Ratio | Flexural Strength (MPa) |
|-------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|--------------|------------------------|
|                   | V125 | V75   | V125 | V75   | V125 | V75   | V125 | V75   | V125 | V75   |
| 800 °C, 30 min    | 1690 ± 0.007 | 1720 ± 0.008 | n.d   | n.d   | 12.50 ± 0.38 | 13.01 ± 0.39 | 0.19  | 0.18  | 15.13 ± 0.46 | 15.74 ± 0.47 |
| 800 °C, 60 min    | 1730 ± 0.006 | 1750 ± 0.007 | 2562 ± 0.002 | 2458 ± 0.002 | 14.22 ± 0.43 | 19.11 ± 0.57 | 0.18  | 0.19  | 17.21 ± 0.52 | 23.12 ± 0.69 |
| 900 °C, 30 min    | 1820 ± 0.009 | 1860 ± 0.006 | n.d   | n.d   | 24.19 ± 0.73 | 27.13 ± 0.81 | 0.20  | 0.20  | 29.27 ± 0.88 | 32.83 ± 1.00 |
| 900 °C, 60 min    | 1840 ± 0.008 | 1880 ± 0.007 | 2561 ± 0.003 | 2461 ± 0.002 | 26.88 ± 0.81 | 27.95 ± 0.84 | 0.20  | 0.21  | 32.55 ± 1.0 | 33.82 ± 1.0 |
| 1000 °C, 30 min   | 2030 ± 0.007 | 2260 ± 0.006 | n.d   | n.d   | 38.22 ± 1.10 | 50.45 ± 1.50 | 0.21  | 0.22  | 46.25 ± 1.33 | 61.05 ± 1.82 |
| 1000 °C, 60 min   | 2130 ± 0.006 | 2270 ± 0.005 | 2570 ± 0.003 | 2472 ± 0.003 | 42.13 ± 1.13 | 51.05 ± 1.51 | 0.21  | 0.23  | 50.98 ± 1.50 | 61.77 ± 1.80 |

n.d: not data, did not measured.

The values of Poisson’s ratio (υ) must fall within the range 0.1 and 0.5 to have positive values of Young’s modulus (E), shear modulus (G) and bulk modulus [38]. In our study, the materials obtained have Poisson’s ratio values around 0.20 in agreement with most ceramics [39].

3.3. Mineralogical, MIP, and Microstructural Characterization

The mineralogical analysis performed on the sintered samples have highlighted that independently by particle size of the mixture (V125, V75), all samples show some crystalline phases: Quartz (SiO₂) as main crystalline phase (ICDD #002-2315), albite calcian ordinate (Na, Ca)(AlSi₃O₈) (ICDD #076-0927), and wollastonite (CaSiO₃) (ICDD #002-0689), as neo-formation phases. Figure 4 reports the evolution of the crystalline phases identified as a function of temperature for V75 mixture. As reported above the two mixes showed similar crystalline phases but the finer mixture (V75) showed higher intensity of the crystalline phases.

![Figure 4. XRD spectrum of the V75 sintered samples at different temperatures (soaking time 60 min) showing the development of Q (quartz), W(wollastonite) and A (albite calcian ordinate) crystalline phases.](image-url)
Kaolinite (Al₂Si₂O₅(OH)₄), corundum (Al₂O₃) and calcite (CaCO₃) phases present in the starting sludge disappeared during thermal treatments. Coarser quartz grains withstand to high temperatures and are present in the sintered product, but it is interesting to note that the increase of temperature provokes a diminution of quartz phase due to the solubility in the glassy phase formed. This statement is demonstrated by the decrease of intensity of the Quartz peak (3.34 Å d-spacing): 2884 counts (800 °C, 60 min); 2530 counts (900 °C, 60 min); 1490 counts (1000 °C, 60 min). The glassy phase is favored by the temperature as evident by the broad band in the range 20–35° 2Θ. The newly formed calcium(II) silicates phases probably derived from the solid-state reactions between the calcium oxide (provided by calcite (CaCO₃) decomposition) with reacting silica (SiO₂) and corundum (Al₂O₃) derived from the ceramic sludge. Mullite, the typical crystalline phase of porcelain stoneware tile products it is not present in these sintered materials. The formation of plagioclase phase interferes with the kinetic of mullite formation since the phase formation takes place at minor temperature than that necessary for the mullite one [40]. This is confirmed in studied compositions by DTA data where an exothermic peak is observed around 870 °C.

From the MIP measures, cumulative intrusion curves show the relationship between pore volume and pore diameter in the range of 180 to 0.006 µm (Figure 5), these curves permit to observe the effect of the firing temperature on the sintering. Between 800–900 °C not more differences are found with a trend of porosity decrease (28% to 23%) by the increase in temperature. The specimens fired at 1000 °C show a significative decrease of the pore volume indicating a high sintering degree in agreement with the results reported in Figure 3. The pore volume data ranged between 0.1373 mL·g⁻¹ (800 °C) to 0.043 mL·g⁻¹ (1000 °C). The decrease in cumulative intrusion is linked to the sintering effect accompanied with densification, which seems to reduce the large pores within the matrix justifying the good values in flexural strength as evidenced in Table 3. The sample fired at 1000 °C, 60 min showed a porosity of 8%. This trend is in line with the microstructural evolution against with heating temperature from SEM analysis.

![Figure 5. Cumulative intrusion curves of V75 samples fired at different temperatures and 60 min of dwell time.](image)

The samples at 800 and 900 °C were characterized by similar cumulative curves with a sigmoid trend that permits to hypothesize the cylindrical shape of the pores [41] (p. 171).
Regarding the pore size distribution reported in the incremental intrusion curves it is possible to observe that the samples fired at 800 and 900 °C show similar pore size distributions in the range 0.3–10 microns with small differences in the height of the main peak (3.745 μm) depending on porosity access to mercury. Interesting to note, for the sample fired at 1000 °C, that the size pore shift to diameters lower than 0.1 microns (Figure 6). This trend confirms the modification occurring in ceramic matrix when heated at 1000 °C, 60 min indicating the absence of open porosity due to the increase of a glassy phase and the presence of residual closed porosity as indicated in the SEM analysis.

![Figure 6. Incremental intrusion curves of V75 samples fired at different temperatures and 60 min of dwell time.](image)

Microstructural study confirms the XRD analysis, besides evidences the effect of time and temperature on the sintering process and on the corresponding microstructure. Sintered V125 samples showed that thermal treatments up to 900 °C for 60 min is not enough to complete the densification. As can be observed in Figure 7a grains of starting materials are already observed corresponding to not completely sintered microstructure. Instead, after treatment at 1000 °C for 60 min the microstructure shows a high sintering degree with crystals of neo-formation phases embedded in a glassy phase with residual closed porosity (Figure 7b). Moreover, the investigation of sintered V75 samples at 900 °C, 60 min confirmed the positive effect of grain size on sintering (Figure 7c). The microstructure is similar to that of sample V125 at 1000 °C, 60 min. At 1000 °C the amount of glassy phase is increased closing the large pores and residual closed porosity (Figure 7d). This behavior agrees with the density and shrinkage data from which it is possible to observe an increase of the apparent density due to the viscous densification process (Table 3).

Comparing fired samples at the same temperatures, the time increase highlights an improvement of the sintering process due to the reduction of porosity and both diffusion and growth of crystallites.

In micrograph reported (Figure 8) the main crystalline phases as wollastonite (W) (from EDS analysis Ca = 34%, Si = 24% and O = 41 wt%), albite (A) (from EDS analysis Na = 9%, Si = 32%, Al = 10% and O = 49 wt%) and quartz (Q) (from EDS analysis Si = 45%, O = 55 wt%) and white grains of Zr silicate (derived from decoration components presents in the ceramic sludge) were identified. It is possible to observe the characteristic morphology (acicular and dendritic crystals, plates, and granules) of the different phases identified. Besides, the EDS analysis confirmed the composition of glassy phase (sodium-
calcium silicate glass) and the presence of white agglomerates corresponding to unfused oxides of Cr and Fe (present in the ceramic sludge). These spectra are reported in the supplementary data.

Figure 7. SEM cross micrographs: (a) V125 900 °C, 60 min; (b) V125 1000 °C, 60 min.; (c) V75 900 °C, 60 min and (d) V75 1000 °C, 60 min.

3.4. Chemical and Stain Resistance

The acid resistance test related to unglazed tiles was chosen for the characterization of these materials. The specimens were submerged in acid solution (HCl 3% vol.) for 12 days, after this time they were immersed in water for 5 days, then dried and the surface and edges by a visual check were evaluated. The samples can be classified into three classes: Class ULA (not visible effect); ULB (visible effect on the edges); ULC (visible effect on the surface and edges).
The acid resistance test pointed out good results (ULA) only for samples V125 and V75 fired at 1000 °C in agreement with low water absorption values obtained. From the above results, it is deduced high durability to the acid attack of the formed glassy phase which covers the open pores of the materials obtained.

Further, the staining test is a typical example of an indirect verification of the capillary porosity. For carrying out the test, a paste prepared with green pigment in olive oil (as a colorant) was used. 3–4 drops were poured over the surface of the samples and kept covered for 24 h to check the degree of absorption. Then, the surface have been cleansed with different cleaning agents. The samples can be classified in five classes: Class 5 (spot removed by warm water (55 °C)); Class 4 (spot removed by neutral detersive agent); Class 3 (spot removed by strong detersive agent); Class 2 (spot removed after immersion 24 h by a solvent); Class 1 (spot not removed).

The performed test pointed out a decrease in the stain color intensity proportional to the sintering degree: Class 5 for the samples fired at 1000 °C, Class 4 for samples fired at 900 °C and Class 1 for the samples fired at 800 °C.

3.5. Leaching Test

Several studies on heavy metals immobilization suggested thermal treatments based on sintering or vitrification as the best way to obtain an environmentally stable waste [42,43]. Glass-ceramics, being fine-grained polycrystalline material with a glassy phase, in the recent years, are referred to a metal immobilizer with the advantage of add-value marketable products [28,44]. Nowadays, In Italy, there is not a suitable test to verify the leaching onto “ceramic tiles” made using alternative raw materials (in this case waste). For this reason, choosing the most unfavorable case, it was selected the methodology following UNI 10802 (EN12457, 2002) specific for residues. The results of this test permits to classify the waste as inert, not hazardous, or hazardous following the Italian Normative [45]. Despite this, the test is indicative to understand the danger in using waste in a new process or in a new product. The ceramic sludge (used as secondary raw material) contains small amounts of heavy
metals such as Pb, Cu, Cr, and Ba (derived from frits and pigments). To evaluate if, after thermal treatment, the materials obtained are inert and suitable for use, an investigation of hazardous substance mobility was performed on the fired samples. Metals leachability has been evaluated in both the eluates coming from received sludge and fired materials containing the sludge. The metals content detected in the eluates was compared. For this analysis V125 and V75 samples after firing at 1000 °C, 60 min were considered. The results were compared to the eluates concentration limits for acceptability in landfills for inert waste. The data obtained is reported in Table 4.

Table 4. Leaching test for glazing sludge and the V125 and V75 sintered samples compared to the Italian regulation limits.

| Parameters/Anions/ Elements | Glazing Sludge | Vs125 | V75 | Italian Regulation Limit for Inert Landfill Disposal D.m.27/9/2010 |
|-----------------------------|----------------|-------|-----|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| pH a 20 °C                  | 8.63           | 9.82  | 9.91| 5.5–12.0                                                    |
| Specific conductivity (µS/cm)|                |       |     |                                                               |
| Nitrates (mg/L)             | <0.02          | <0.02 | <0.02| 50                                                           |
| Sulphates (mg/L)            | 15.8           | 2.0   | 2.7 | 100                                                          |
| Chlorides (mg/L)            | 8.45           | 0.27  | 0.43| 80                                                           |
| Fluorine (mg/L)             | 1.17           | 0.035 | 0.012| 1                                                            |
| Cr total (µg/L)             | 18.5           | 11.4  | 6.30| 50                                                           |
| Cd (µg/L)                   | <1             | <1    | <1  | 4                                                            |
| Cu (µg/L)                   | 37.9           | 2.10  | 3.33| 20                                                           |
| Pb (µg/L)                   | 184.8          | 3.80  | 1.20| 50                                                           |
| Al (mg/L)                   | 0.50           | 0.50  | 0.60| -                                                            |
| As (mg/L)                   | <0.05          | <0.05 | <0.05| 0.05                                                        |
| Ba (mg/L)                   | <1             | <1    | <1  | 2                                                            |
| Co (mg/L)                   | <0.02          | <0.02 | <0.02| 0.25                                                        |
| Fe (mg/L)                   | <0.02          | <0.02 | <0.02| -                                                            |
| Mn (mg/L)                   | <0.02          | <0.02 | <0.02| -                                                            |
| Zn (mg/L)                   | 0.50           | <0.50 | <0.50| 0.40                                                        |

Good results were obtained from both pH and specific conductivity measures; pH values for the “end products” are within the rule range (5.5–12.0). The increase with respect to the sludge probably is due to the presence of alkaline and alkaline-earth ions release from the glass cullet present in the mixture. On the contrary the conductivity values are lower than the as-received sludge. These data confirmed that the residual glassy phase present into the sintered materials acts immobilizing the ions embedded in the matrix showing a lower release with respect to the sludge’s sample.

Regarding metals eluate, the ceramic sludge shows a high release of some heavy metals, in particular, lead (Pb) value surpasses the regulation limit. The explanation lies in the fact that sludge is a mixture of materials with very different chemical nature as frits, ceramics and other components (pigments, oxides) that are not capable of binding heavy metals that can be released.

Instead, it is possible to observe that V125 and V75 densified samples show significantly reduced values compared to the as received sludge, indeed, the mobility of metals like Pb, Cu, Cr and anions as chlorine, fluorine and sulphates are strongly reduced (Pb 50–150 times; Cr 20 times, … ) and shows values below Italian regulation limits demonstrating that the obtained materials are inert without provoking further environmental impact.

3.6. Semi-Industrial Experimentation

Satisfactory laboratory scale and leaching tests permitted to hypothesize the obtainment of ceramic materials suitable as floor or wall tiles. These products reached good features at temperatures (900–1000 °C) with 60 min of isotherm time, surely energy-saving
conditions with respect to commercial products (1100–1250 °C) and furthermore, using alternative raw materials saving the natural resources.

Samples of V75 composition were undergone by three traditional industrial cycles (described above). The sintering degree on the fired pieces was checked by the determination of W.A.%, L.S%, Apparent Density and Young’s modulus (E). Flexural Strength calculated by Equation (4) completes the characterization. The experimental data reported in Table 5 were left as an interval between the lowest and the highest value obtained in order to compare them with the typical data of commercial products.

**Table 5.** Sintering parameters, elastic and mechanical properties for samples fired by industrial cycles.

| Industrial Thermal Treatment | Water Absorption W.A.% | Linear Srinkage L.S.% | Apparent Density A.D. (kg/m³) | Young’s Modulus E(GPa) | Flexural Strength (MPa) |
|-----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| (960 °C, 50 min.)           | 8.2 ± 11.0             | 5.55 ± 6.25           | 1908 ± 0.006                  | 21.68 ± 31.14          | 26.23 ± 37.67          |
| (1020 °C, 54 min.)          | 6.6 ± 8.6              | 6.72 ± 7.42           | 2025 ± 0.005                  | 34.51 ± 37.54          | 41.76 ± 45.42          |
| (1087 °C, 41 min.)          | 0.04 ± 0.09            | 11.34 ± 11.72         | 2281 ± 0.007                  | 57.03 ± 57.63          | 69.00 ± 69.80          |
| Commercial products          | Bla ≤ 0.5              |                       |                               |                        | ≥35                    |
|                              | Blb > 0.5 ± 3          |                       |                               |                        | ≥30                    |
| (1100–1250 °C)              | BIIa > 3 ± 6           |                       |                               |                        | ≥22                    |

From Table 5 it is possible to note that the optimum temperature range is around 1020–1090 °C. In particular, regarding water absorption at 1087 °C, the low values permit to classify these materials into Bla Group according to ISO 13006 rule (Bla W.A% ≤ 0.5%). The properties achieved by these samples are compared to those corresponding to commercial porcelain stoneware products (firing at 1200–1250 °C). The cycle (1020 °C, 54 min.) could be the most appropriate with a LS% value similar to the commercial products. Regarding water absorption the data are high respect to commercial tiles but it is important to note that all the determinations were conducted on the unglazed materials (support) as a monolithic similar to technical porcelain stoneware or clinker. Surely, glazed products obtained by the cycle at 1020 °C could reach good values of water absorption, too. Mechanical properties obtained are higher than those relative to commercial tiles used as covering for floors and walls (see Table 5).

In the case to use the products without glazing, the cycle at 1087 °C produced specimens with lowest W.A.% but higher L.S.% values, this fact can cause calibration problems after firing. In order to optimize the linear shrinkage, future developments of the research have scheduled the reformulation of the mixture introducing a reducing plasticity material (for example rice husk ash).

4. Conclusions

This study suggests the feasibility to obtain eco-compatible building materials for covering floor or wall using a mixture of ceramic sludge and packaging glass cullet.

As known a huge amount of natural raw materials (90 Gigatonnes/y) is used to produce construction materials worldwide. Considering the high production of ceramic materials and the demonstrated capacity of the ceramic matrix to fix metals, this way permits to use silicate wastes by transformation into useful ceramic tiles products.

In fact, the leaching tests demonstrated that the sintering process at temperatures around 1000 °C permits the formation of a glass-ceramic matrix that acts to immobilize the metals ions. All the measured parameters are lower that the Italian regulation limits confirming the obtainment of inert materials.

The proposed process using sludge that acts as fluxing materials permits to fire at lower temperatures (<200 °C) than traditional cycles used for commercial ceramic tiles products. Concerning the sintering properties, the end products fired at temperatures around 1000 °C, comparable WA% and LS% values with respect to traditional wall ceramic
tiles. Besides, they showed flexural strength values higher than the minimum required by European Standard norm (ISO 13006) for commercial tiles already on the market.

Several advantages give to this research an important environmental footprint in the sustainability field: (a) Avoiding the landfilling of ceramic sludge; (b) avoiding the depletion of natural raw materials; (c) saving energy and greenhouse gas emission by reduction of firing temperature; (d) leaching results show that the design of materials from waste that does not cause further pollution.

Considering that these products contain large amounts of sludge residue and post-consumer glass material, they can be positively accepted by the market, taking into account the certifications as LEED (Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design) and ECOLABEL.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following are available online at https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/app11083545/s1, Figure S1: EDS Spectrum of V125 fired 1000 °C, 60 min.
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