Cell Clearing Systems as Targets of Polyphenols in Viral Infections: Potential Implications for COVID-19 Pathogenesis
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Abstract: The novel coronavirus named severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) has generated the ongoing coronavirus disease-2019 (COVID-19) pandemic, still with an uncertain outcome. Besides pneumonia and acute lung injury (ALI) or acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), other features became evident in the context of COVID-19. These includes endothelial and coagulation dysfunction with disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC), and multiple organ dysfunction syndrome (MODS), along with the occurrence of neurological alterations. The multi-system nature of such viral infection is a witness to the exploitation and impairment of ubiquitous subcellular and metabolic pathways for the sake of its life-cycle, ranging from host cell invasion, replication, transmission, up to a cytopathic effect and overt systemic inflammation. In this frame, alterations in cell-clearing systems of the host are emerging as a hallmark in the pathogenesis of various respiratory viruses, including SARS-CoV-2. Indeed, exploitation of the autophagy and proteasome pathways might contribute not only to the replication of the virus at the site of infection but also to the spreading of either mature virions or inflammatory mediators at both cellular and multisystem levels. In this frame, besides a pharmacological therapy, many researchers are wondering if some non-pharmacological substances might counteract or positively modulate the course of the infection. The pharmacological properties of natural compounds have gained increasing attention in the field of alternative and adjunct therapeutic approaches to several diseases. In particular, several naturally-occurring herbal compounds (mostly polyphenols) are reported to produce widespread antiviral, anti-inflammatory, and anti-oxidant effects while acting as autophagy and (immuno)-proteasome modulators. This article attempts to bridge the perturbation of autophagy and proteasome pathways with the potentially beneficial effects of specific phytochemicals and flavonoids in viral infections, with a focus on the multisystem SARS-CoV-2 infection.
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1. Introduction

The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), generated by the novel coronavirus named SARS-CoV-2, emerged as a rapidly spreading communicable disease, still with an uncertain outcome worldwide. Similar to other respiratory viruses, including influenza viruses and the two other members of the Coronaviridae family SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-2 produces multifaceted, often severe effects in the human body [1,2]. A considerable number of patients affected by COVID-19 develops severe respiratory complications due to interstitial pneumonia and acute lung injury (ALI)/ acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) with lung vasculitis and respiratory failure, which are associated with a high mortality rate [2,3]. The respiratory symptoms caused by SARS-CoV-2 are similar to that of influenza virus, SARS-CoV, and MERS-CoV infection, being associated with rapid lung inflammation and overt cytokine storm [1,3,4]. Besides pneumonia and ARDS/ ALI, multiple-organ damage along with the occurrence of neurological alterations, have become more and more evident in the context of COVID-19 [5–10]. As documented by autopsy studies, the vascular insult is devastating even within the lung itself, and a marked inflammatory response within blood vessels is observed [5,8]. COVID-19 symptoms also include cardiovascular events, such as stroke, multiple thromboembolism, as well as acute myocarditis, and myocardial infarction, even in the absence of lung involvement [6,11–15]. SARS-CoV-2 might trigger an exacerbated immunological response and cytokine storm, leading to a “viral sepsis” [16], which can indirectly contribute to cardiovascular injury and might explain the causes of cardiac and vascular damage. Nonetheless, similar to other coronaviruses (CoVs), SARS-CoV-2 might also directly infect cardiomyocytes, endothelial cells, and pericytes, thereby causing progressive myocardial damage and vasculitis characterized by extensive thrombosis [16–18]. In either case, the severe involvement of blood vessels is expected to spread the disease within various organs, preferring those with a high blood supply. Either a direct viral infection or a cytokine storm spreading through a synapse-connected route might also explain the central nervous system (CNS) alterations that are observed during SARS-CoV-2 infection [19–21]. SARS-CoV-2 was detected in the cerebrospinal fluid and also within neuronal and endothelial brain cells of COVID-19 patients [19,20]. The neuro-invasive potential of SARS-CoV-2 is not fully characterized, though it appears reminiscent of that of SARS-CoV [21–23]. In detail, SARS-CoV produces a massive infection of the brainstem in both patients and experimental animals [24,25]. In light of the high similarity with SARS-CoV, it is expected that SARS-CoV-2 produces similar effects. The kind of neurological symptoms reported in COVID-19 patients [9,10] appears to be compatible with this scenario. As reported for SARS-CoV, the involvement of a few neurons within the ventrolateral medulla, which generates the cardiorespiratory rhythm, might affect the cardiovascular and respiratory systems, even following small focal damage of the brainstem [24,25]. In this way, the CNS, which provides profuse innervation to multiple organs including the lung, the heart, and blood vessels themselves, might contribute to generating and sustaining the multi-system disorder associated with SARS-CoV-2 infection.

This is compatible with the specific yet widespread placement of the angiotensin-converting enzyme type 2 (ACE2), which represents the gateway for SARS-CoV-2 entry within infected cells. In fact, ACE2 is abundantly expressed in the lungs, heart, kidney, vasculature, and cardiorespiratory neurons within the brainstem [18,26,27]. The ACE2 receptor might provide the opportunity to the virus for multi-organ infectivity, resulting in multiple organ dysfunction syndrome (MODS), through impairment of ubiquitous subcellular structures and metabolic machinery to complete its life-cycle and transmission.

Despite controversial results, the occurrence of alterations in cell-clearing pathways is emerging as a hallmark in the pathogenesis of numerous respiratory viruses, including influenza virus, MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV, and SARS-CoV-2 [28–34]. This is the case of the ubiquitin-proteasome system (UPS) and autophagy, which recently attracted much attention as potential therapeutic targets in COVID-19 pathogenesis [30–32,34–37]. Within eukaryotic cells, autophagy and the UPS operate coordinately, to clear intracellular damaged proteins, organelles, and also bacterial and viral pathogens [38,39]. Autophagy and the UPS are also promiscuously implicated in innate and
adaptive immunity, by modulating constitutive inflammatory responses while ensuring antigen processing and plasma membrane presentation to CD4+ and CD8+ T-cells via MHC-II and MHC-I molecules, respectively [39–43]. In this scenario, autophagy and proteasome configure as sentinels in the mechanisms underlying cell-to-cell, and multi-system communication in both health and disease [39,44]. Both autophagy and UPS are commonly altered in several inflammatory-related conditions, including viral infections as well as pulmonary, metabolic, cardiovascular, and neurological diseases [30,33,34,38,41,44–48]. Thus, understanding how SARS-CoV-2 alters these pathways, might contribute to disclosing the specific failure that occurs within different cell types, reflecting the multi-organ/multi-system nature of COVID-19.

Identifying new targets and drugs against SARS-CoV-2 represents a rush against time since no therapies are shown to be fully effective and devoid of adverse effects [49]. The need for natural-based autophagy modulators was recently emphasized in the frame of COVID-19 pathogenesis [31,35]. In this context, the effects of naturally-derived compounds have become an area of immense interest, due to their multi-system-multi-target, mostly anti-inflammatory and anti-oxidant effects, coupled with a relatively safe toxicity profile at potentially therapeutic doses [50–55]. In detail, several naturally occurring compounds (mostly polyphenols) from traditional herbal medicine (THM), are reported to produce beneficial effects in various inflammatory disorders, including viral infections, as well as respiratory, cardiovascular, and neurological diseases [55–67]. Remarkably, the main bioactive compounds of these formulas, such as resveratrol, quercetin, kaempferol, baicalin, and cordycepin, while counteracting viral replication and abnormally activated inflammatory/apoptotic pathways, do act as autophagy or UPS modulators as well [57,67–73].

The present review focuses on the potential link between the effects of specific phytochemicals and the tuning of autophagy and UPS pathways in the frame of the multi-system disorder produced by CoV infections. The article covers autophagy/UPS-related and phytochemical-targeted molecular pathways that are potentially implicated in the SARS CoV-2 viral gateways, its fruitful replication within host cells, inflammatory and oxidative alterations affecting cell function and viability, and eventually, the extracellular spreading of the virus and viral-induced inflammatory mediators. Dissecting the molecular mechanisms through which autophagy/UPS-modulating phytochemicals might provide beneficial effects in respiratory viral infections and inflammatory-related conditions might contribute to disclosing potential targets and hopefully, to developing prophylactic or adjunct therapeutic strategies in multisystem COVID-19.

2. What We Know, Do Not Know, and What We Can Hypothesize on the Role of Autophagy and Proteasome in Viral Infections and COVID-19 Pathogenesis

2.1. Autophagy, Viral Infection, and Inflammation

Autophagy is an evolutionarily-conserved intracellular mechanism that mediates degradation of misfolded proteins, intracellular aggregates, and damaged organelles through a complex degradative process that plays a crucial role in eukaryotic cell homeostasis. Autophagy is activated in response to cellular stresses, including starvation, endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress, and oxidative stress, thereby limiting or modulating cell death. Accordingly, several cytoplasmic elements such as proteins, lipids, sugars, nucleic acids, senescent or damaged organelles, or pathogens, are isolated and enclosed into a double-membrane nascent vacuole called phagophore, which then matures to seal in the autophagosome. Autophagy machinery might be initiated either as a non-selective process or involve several adaptor/receptor proteins such as SQSTM1/p62, which deliver ubiquitinated cargoes, and also the proteasome itself, to the forming autophagosome [48,54]. P62 represents an autophagy substrate that is widely hired as a marker of autophagy flux progression, which follows up the fusion of autophagosomes/amphisomes with lysosomes. In fact, the mature autophagosome fuses with endosomes and multivesicular bodies (MVBs) giving origin to the amphisome, which eventually fuses with the lysosome that provides acidic hydrolases for substrate breakdown. Once engulfed within the autolysosome, the cargo is degraded, while some metabolic by-products are recycled
and reintroduced in cellular metabolism. Different nutrient-sensing pathways convey signals to the autophagy machinery by recruiting autophagy-related (ATG) proteins to orchestrate the fine steps of autophagy development, starting from the biogenesis and maturation of autophagosomes, up to the fusion with endosomes and lysosomes [48,54,74]. In response to the metabolic needs of the cells, the concerted actions of the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K)/AKT and the Unc-51 like autophagy activating kinase-1 (ATG1/ULK1) complexes are key to promote autophagy initiation through nucleation of phagophores [74]. As a master nutrient sensor, the mammalian target of rapamycin complex 1 (mTORC1) acts as a primary regulator of autophagy initiation in mammalian cells through phosphorylation of ATG13 and inhibition of ATG1/ULK1. mTORC1-dependent inhibition and 5′ AMP-activated Protein Kinase (AMPK)-mediated activation of the ULK1 complex are the most characterized pathways that couple nutrient sensing to autophagosome biogenesis [48,54,74]. Phosphorylation of the PI3K-III regulatory subunit Beclin-1 (ATG6, BECN1), the formation of the BECN1/VPS34/ATG14 complex, and phosphorylation of the activating molecule in BECN1-regulated autophagy (AMBR1) by ULK1, are equally important in finely tuning the autophagy process from phagosome biogenesis up to fusion with lysosomes, while balancing autophagy with p38MAPK- and ERK/JNK-related apoptosis [74]. Conversion of ATG8 [microtubule-associated protein light chain 3 (LC3) in mammals] into soluble LC3I, ubiquitination-like enzymatic lipidation of LC3I to form lipid-bound LC3II isoform, and finally the incorporation of LC3II into the phagophore membrane are key steps for the vacuole to expand and seal, thus, allowing the cytoplasmic elements to be properly engulfed [54].

Along with mTOR- and AMPK-related autophagy, activation of the NAD-dependent deacetylase Sirtuin-1 (SIRT1) promotes autophagy by de-acetylating different substrates, including ATG5, ATG7, LC3, as well as transcription factor forkhead box O3 (FOXO3), which transcriptionally up-regulates several pro-autophagic genes [54,75]. Finally, the transcription factor EB (TFEB) also promotes autophagy by activating the genes involved in autophagy, autophagosome-lysosome fusion, and lysosomal biogenesis [48,54,76].

Along with ATG products, specific evolutionary conserved multitasking proteins that regulate intracellular endosomal/secretry trafficking pathways, such as G-coupled Ras-related proteins in brain (Rab GTPases) and SNAREs (Soluble N-ethylmaleimide-sensitive factor attachment protein receptor), are implicated in autophagosome maturation and autophagosome-lysosome fusion [77]. Paradoxically, blockade of lysosomal function can lead to enhanced autophagy initiation via inhibition of mTORC1 [78]. This might be key to comprehend the effects of SARS-CoV-2 at the level of the autophagy machinery, as both reduced lysosomal degradation and autophagosome accumulation via mTOR-ULK1 signaling might facilitate viral replication and transmission (Figure 1) [30,31,78].

In detail, similar to SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-2 is internalized upon the interaction of spike proteins with ACE2 [79]. Within host cells, the virus is first stored within the endosomal compartment, from where it releases the viral RNA to initiate replication. Translation and eventually packaging of mature virions occur within the ER and Golgi apparatus. Many viruses, including SARS-CoV-2, induce the accumulation of autophagosomes likely to promote their replication herewith [31,80]. In line with this, many studies have documented an early increase in the number of double-membrane, autophagy-like vacuoles and endosomes/MVBs, which at first suggested an abnormal activation of the autophagy pathway, during infection by either influenza viruses or CoVs [80–84].

Nonetheless, these concepts were recently challenged by accumulating evidence showing that autophagy compartments are not fully mature, neither do they merge with lysosomes. For instance, the influenza virus blocks autophagic flux through activation of PI3K/AKT and downregulation of the autophagosome–lysosome fusion factors Syntaxin-17 (STX17) and V-type proton ATPase subunit (Figure 1) [85,86]. Autophagy impairment during the influenza virus is due to either NS1 or M2 viral proteins, and it is associated with enhanced viral protein release and virus-induced apoptotic cell death [85–87]. Conversely, restoring autophagic flux counteracts these effects [86]. During influenza
virus infection, the non-structural protein NS1 might also impede autophagosome formation through activation of the PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway [88]. This is in line with evidence in MERS-CoV-infected hepatocytes showing an abnormal activation of the PI3K/AKT/mTOR and ERK/MAPK pathways, which are known to inhibit autophagy [89]. Supporting an impairment of autophagy, pretreatment with pharmacological inhibitors of these pathways inhibits MERS-CoV infection [89].

An impairment of autophagosome formation during influenza virus infection was also associated with the downregulation of the endocytic protein Rab11a (Figure 1) [88]. In detail, the loss of the endosomal protein Rab11a impairs the formation of autophagosomes and the engulfment of viral ribonucleoprotein (vRNP) complexes by autophagosomes in infected cells. This indicates that Rab11a-positive recycling endosomes, while serving as membrane donors for the phagophore elongation, also act as a receptor for the engulfment of vRNP complexes within autophagy compartments [88]. This adds to previous evidence indicating the ER as the major source of

Figure 1. Molecular steps of the autophagy pathway and viral-targeted events hampering autophagy progression. The class I phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K)/AKT/mammalian target of rapamycin complex 1 (mTORC1), and 5’ AMP-activated Protein Kinase (AMPK) pathways orchestrate autophagy initiation through regulation of kinase-1 (Atg1/ULK1) and Beclin-1/Vps34/Vps15/Atg14 complexes. mTORC1 inhibits while AMPK promotes autophagy initiation. The Beclin-1/Vps34/Vps15/Atg14 complex, the NAD-dependent deacetylase Sirtuin-1 (SIRT1), and the transcription factors forkhead box O3 (FOXO3) and EB (TFEB) promote several steps of the autophagy process, from phagophore biogenesis up to the fusion of autophagosomes with lysosomes. Various ATG products ranging from Atg3 to Atg16L are involved in the conversion of LC3 into soluble LC3I, ubiquitination-like enzymatic lipidation of LC3I to form lipid-bound LC3II isoform, and finally the incorporation of LC3II into the phagophore membrane, which is a key for the vacuole to expand and seal. Along with ATG products, specific evolutionarily conserved multitasking proteins that regulate intracellular endosomal/secretory trafficking pathways, such as Rab11, Rab7, and Syntaxin 17 (STX17), are implicated in autophagosome maturation and autophagosome–lysosome fusion. The influenza virus blocks autophagic flux through activation of PI3K/AKT and downregulation of the autophagosome-lysosome fusion factors Syntaxin-17 (STX17) and V-type proton ATPase subunit (V-ATPase). MERS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 lead to AKT activation, AMPK inhibition, and subsequent decrease in Beclin-1 and Atg14 levels, underlying the lack of fusion of autophagosomes with lysosomes. SARS-CoV-2 also downregulates mTOR, which is likely to enhance the availability of membrane precursors forming autophagy-like vesicles where the virus replicates.
viral-induced autophagosomes, which is documented in the avian bronchitis virus infection [28,83]. Here, the non-structural replicase protein nsp6, which is also present in MERS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2, contributes to occluding the delivery of viral components to lysosomes, by impairing the expansion and maturation of ER-derived autophagosomes [28].

A defect in the autophagy flux due to impaired fusion of autophagosomes with lysosomes is confirmed in SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV, and it was recently documented for SARS-CoV-2 (Figure 1) [30,31,80]. Both MERS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 infections lead to increased levels of phosphorylated AKT and subsequent decrease in BECN1 and ATG14 levels, underlying the lack of fusion of autophagosomes with lysosomes [30,31]. In fact, SARS-CoV-2 downregulates the autophagy-inducing polyamine spermidine and it occludes AMPK and BECN1-dependent autophagy, while exogenous administration of either spermidine, AKT inhibitors, and BECN1 stabilizing agents, inhibits SARS-CoV-2 propagation in vitro [31]. Paradoxically, the virus also induces downregulation of mTOR, indicating that the SARS-CoV-2-induced autophagy block might be in part independent of mTOR activity, at least during the early phases of infection. While eventually occluding the conventional autophagy-dependent degradation of viral contents, which is known as “virophagy/xenophagy”, a non-effective autophagic flux might also enhance the availability of ER-derived membrane precursors forming autophagy-like vesicles that are generated during viral replication [31,90]. In this scenario, the early evidence on a deleterious role of autophagy/endoosomal pathway might also be a misinterpretation when focusing on single autophagy-related genes/proteins or pathways, rather than on the autophagy flux.

At the same time, SARS-CoV-2-induced reduction of mTOR-dependent glycolysis and protein translation [31] is compatible with the manipulation of the cell cycle or induction of apoptosis, which is used by many viruses as a strategy to promote their infection cycles [91–94]. This is also documented for influenza virus and SARS-CoV, which block G1/S phase transition and cell proliferation [91,94]. This occurs mostly through the downregulation of phosphorylated cell cycle regulator retinoblastoma (Rb), which is placed downstream of specific GTPases, transcription factors, and cyclin-dependent kinase modulators, such as the Ras homolog gene family member A (RhoA), p53, and p21. Since a mutual interplay exists between autophagy, UPS, and the above-mentioned [95], it would be worth investigating whether and how SARS-CoV-2 might exploit such a coordinated response between cell-clearing systems and cell-cycle arrest.

Autophagy also plays a balancing role intended to ensure a measured anti-inflammatory response, while avoiding excessive inflammatory tissue damage [41,96]. During viral infection, viral pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) are detected by host cell pattern recognition receptors (PRRs). PRRs like TLR7 and retinoic acid-inducible gene-1 (RIG-I) initiate antiviral responses by binding of IRAK kinase to MyD88 and phosphorylation of transcriptional factors (NF-kB/AP-1 and IRF3/7). Transcriptional factors promote the generation of IFN-α/β and other pro-inflammatory cytokines as a first response to the viral infection. Subsequently, IFN-α/β binds to its receptors, inducing the interferon-stimulated genes (ISGs)-dependent transcription of multiple genes with antiviral effects. Excessive immune activation and IFN production might cause damage to the body (Figure 2). Host cells have developed intricate approaches to balance the expression of IFN-α/β, with both proteasomes and autophagy involved in this regulation [96]. Autophagy can downregulate IFN production by the degradation of viral PAMPs associated with PRRs (e.g., TLR7) [96,97]. Association of RIG-I with negative factors leads to its degradation via either p62-mediated autophagy or the proteasome [98–100]. In turn, IFN binding to its receptors can induce the formation of both immunoproteosome and autophagy (xenophagy), which is involved in the degradation of viral products and induction of the antiviral state of the IFN-treated cells [96]. An autophagy impairment during CoV infection might occur along with alterations in IFN-type I signalling, which is key in the early phases of viral infection [96,101,102]. As shown in MERS-CoV, besides nsp6, the autophagy impairment is also associated with the non-structural viral proteins 4b and p5 [30], which act as antagonists of the IFN-type I signaling [103,104]. In influenza virus-infected cells, autophagosome formation and
p62 accumulation witnessing a stall in autophagy progression, are accompanied by limited IFN-β expression, which might fuel viral replication and spread [105]. On the other hand, the interaction of viral proteins with BECN1 might contribute to increasing viral replication by hampering fusion of the autophagosome with the lysosome, meanwhile promoting excessive IFN production [106]. This is remarkable since CoVs impair BECN1-dependent autophagy flux [30,31], which in turn, is key to balancing antimicrobial immune responses by promoting xenophagy, while preventing excessive immune stimulation (Figure 2) [107].
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**Figure 2.** During viral infection, viral pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) are detected by host cell pattern recognition receptors (PRRs). PRRs like TLR7 and retinoic acid-inducible gene-I (RIG-I) initiate antiviral responses through activation of transcriptional factors NF-κB/AP-1 and IRF3/7. This promotes the generation of IFN-α/β and other pro-inflammatory cytokines as a first response to the viral infection. Subsequently, IFN-α/β binds to its receptors, inducing the interferon-stimulated genes (ISGs)-dependent transcription of multiple genes with antiviral effects. Excessive immune activation and IFN production might cause damage to the body. In this frame, autophagy is key to balancing antimicrobial immune responses by inducing viral clearance (xenophagy), CD4+ T-cell-dependent responses, and immunoglobulin production, meanwhile preventing excessive inflammation and immune stimulation. By affecting the autophagy machinery, viruses might either hijack the host immune response or promote excessive immune stimulation and cytokine storm.

The crosstalk between autophagy and IFN-I responses might serve as a major bridge linking autophagy to innate antiviral immunity, and many viruses can manipulate the key molecules of the autophagy process to alter IFN-I production [96]. However, a direct link between impairment of autophagy and IFN signalling remains to be confirmed for CoVs, as most viral proteins are multifunctional and interact with multiple independent cell processes.

Autophagy is also involved in the processing and (cross)-presentation of antigens on MHC-II molecules and subsequent activation of CD4+ T-cell-dependent response, while surveilling CD8+ T-cell-dependent cytotoxic response via degradation of MHC-I [41,107]. In addition to T-cell-mediated immunity, autophagy is also required for in vivo immunoglobulin production and secretion by plasma cells [108], which might be crucial for the resolution of COVID-19 pathogenesis [109]. On the other hand, autophagy is key to preventing oxidative damage and excessive inflammation, for instance by...
counteracting the COX2-NF-kB-NLRP3 pathways [41,110,111]. Rescuing autophagy might produce mitigation of oxidative damage and excessive inflammatory response in a variety of tissues and cells that are known to be affected during COVID-19, including lung epithelial cells, endothelial cells, cardiomyocytes, neurons, and glia [46,111–115]. In line with this, the belief that autophagy activation during coronavirus infection might contribute to disease pathogenesis is currently being challenged by the worsening in the severe respiratory stress upon administration of autophagy/lysosomal blockers [36,116]. Although such evidence remains contradictory and needs to be confirmed by rigorous scientific scrutiny, it seems to fit with the pro-inflammatory effects that are produced by either genetic or pharmacological autophagy blockade [46,117,118].

2.2. Immunoproteasome, Viral Infection, and Inflammation

Viral infections, and pro-inflammatory/oxidative stimuli in general, while potentially altering autophagy, recruits the immunoproteasome [119]. This represents an alternative, cytokine IFN-γ, and TNF-α-inducible UPS isoform that owns particular structural features and an increased chymotrypsin-like catalytic capacity compared to standard proteasomes [120,121]. These features enable immunoproteasome-dependent processing of either the self or viral antigen peptides and subsequent activation of CD8+ T-cell-dependent adaptive response via MHC-I presentation at the plasma membrane [44,119,120].

As a first-line defense, the immunoproteasome is key to counteract viral replication within the infected cell, though its role appears context- and virus-dependent [119,122,123]. For instance, contrary to SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV infection in lung epithelial cells induces a massive downregulation of the host immunoproteasome subunits and MHC genes [122]. Although this was assessed only at the mRNA levels, which does not necessarily reflect a decrease in immunoproteasome catalytic activity, this might represent a virus-specific mechanism through which MERS-CoV attempts to hijack immune activation. This seems compatible with recent evidence that SARS-CoV-2 leads to an mTOR inhibition, which in turn, is reported to reduce immunoproteasome recruitment, despite increasing overall UPS-dependent protein degradation [124,125]. Abnormal UPS activity might contribute to impairing the autophagy machinery. For instance, MERS-CoV infection recruits the E3 ligase SKP2 to impair autophagy progression through the UPS-dependent degradation of BECN1 (Figure 3) [30]. Additionally, the immunoproteasome impairs autophagy through ATG5 and PTEN degradation, which contributes to exacerbating cardiac hypertrophy in mice models [126,127].

Although immunogenic epitopes in both the structural and non-structural proteins of SARS-CoV-2 that could interact with the MHC-I alleles were identified [128,129], the role of immunoproteasome in SARS-CoV-2 infection specifically remains to be investigated. While it might be seminal to elicit an anti-viral adaptive response in the site of infection, it is likely that during the late phases of infection, when a cytokine storm takes place, the immunoproteasome might be strongly and persistently recruited to promote an excessive immune reaction fueling autophagy impairment. This is supported by the fact that immunoproteasome recruitment occurs through activation of molecular pathways such as PKC, NF-kB, JAK/STAT, AKT/mTOR, ACE/Angiotensin-II/ATRI, and TLR/RAGEs, which are known to impinge on the autophagy machinery [39,44,48,125–127,130–136]. Additionally, an abnormal persistence of immunoproteasomes might perpetuate excessive inflammation and immune responses to promote acute respiratory distress, vasculitis, hypertension, myocarditis, and neuronal damage [44,123,131,132,137–140]. This is associated with abnormal cytotoxic CD8+ T-cell responses also involving neuronal cells, which under excessive pro-inflammatory conditions upregulate MHC-I molecules, just like antigen-presenting cells [141]. In fact, immunoproteasome recruitment along with concomitant impairment of autophagy flux is described during inflammation and oxidative damage occurring in neurodegeneration and neurotoxicity, including that associated with viral infections [44,142,143]. In this context, autophagy finely-tunes the immunoproteasome-dependent CD8+ T-cell responses by handling the turnover of MHC-I molecules [107], which suggests
that an autophagy impairment might contribute to exacerbating immunoproteasome-dependent cytotoxic response.

Figure 3. Abnormal UPS activity might contribute to impairing the autophagy machinery during CoVs infections through SKP2 recruitment and UPS-dependent degradation of BECN1.

Remarkably, the immunoproteasome-dependent editing and loading of viral antigens on MHC-I molecules occur in cooperation with the ACE enzyme, which exerts opposite effects to those of ACE2 [144]. Contrary to ACE2 which degrades angiotensin II (Ang II, vasoconstrictor) to angiotensin 1–7 (vasodilator), ACE promotes the synthesis of Ang II while adding to the immunoproteasome-dependent generation of MHC-I peptides [144]. Ang II, while acting as a vasoconstrictor through the AT1 receptor (AT1R), promotes immunoproteasome activation in various experimental models [131,132,145–148]. The synergistic interaction between ACE, Ang-II, and the immunoproteasome might be key, since, despite ACE2 fostering intracellular SARS-CoV-2 entry, the endocytic internalization of ACE2-SARS-CoV-2 complexes might reduce the amount of ACE2 available on the membrane surface, leading to vasoconstriction [149,150]. This is bound to abnormal activation of the Ang-II/AT1R axis, which recruits the immunoproteasome, and occludes the protective effects of ACE2 against acute lung injury, cardiovascular damage, and neurogenic hypertension [131,132,145–148,150,151]. Remarkably, while autophagy inhibition might exacerbate the effects of ACE2 loss- and Ang II-induced pro-inflammatory responses and mitochondrial alterations [130,152,153], immunoproteasome inhibition rescues autophagy and ameliorates Ang II-related hypertension, cardiac hypertrophy, and myocarditis [126,131,132,145–148,154]. These pieces of evidence support the opposite role of autophagy and immunoproteasome in COVID-19-related multisystem complications, which remains to be experimentally confirmed (Figure 4).
Autophagy impairment is known to trigger unconventional pathways aimed at getting rid of overwhelming intracellular cargoes through the release of either free or exosome-engulfed material [151]. Exosomes derive from intraluminal vesicles that are generated in the MVB compartment, following late endosomal membrane inward budding. MVBs can either fuse with autophagosomes and lysosomes where cargo degradation eventually occurs, or can fuse with the plasma membrane to release exosomes into the extracellular space [155]. On the one hand, this might be considered as a natural mechanism that cells have preserved to communicate with each other and share essential cell constituents within a common environment. On the other hand, when suppression of autophagosome maturation or fusion with the lysosome occurs, inadequate digestion of intracellular cargoes might promote the exosomal release of potentially deleterious material [48,155]. Thus, promoting autophagy flux through the fusion of MVBs with autophagosomes and lysosomes might reduce exosome biogenesis and secretion [48,142,156,157].

This is crucial since the intrapulmonary spreading of respiratory viruses, including CoVs, might occur through exosomes containing viral particles and also the proteasome subunits, which might spread either closely or at distant sites through the blood-stream or body fluids [156,157]. Thus, the spreading of SARS-CoV-2 particles might further occlude the autophagy machinery to produce severe inflammation in the wall of blood vessels, leading to vasculitis, which mainly affects highly perfused organs. This is supported by evidence showing that autophagy impairment might increase vascular inflammation, platelet aggregation, and atherosclerosis, which can be healed by autophagy activation [68,158]. Again, activation of autophagy is a beneficial process during cardiovascular damage induced by...

**Figure 4.** ACE2 degrades angiotensin II (Ang II, vasoconstrictor) to angiotensin 1–7 (Ang-1-7, vasodilator), while ACE promotes the synthesis of Ang II. Increased levels of Ang II occur following ACE2-SARS-CoV-2 binding and ACE2 endocytic internalization. This leads to a reduction of ACE2 available on the membrane surface and abnormal activation of the Ang-II/AT1R axis, which occludes the protective effects of ACE2, thus, promoting vasoconstriction, fibrosis, inflammation, mitochondrial damage, and apoptosis, meanwhile recruiting the immunoproteasome, and impairing autophagy.
mechanical overload, ischemia, and oxidative stress in various animal models, where it ensures the preservation of ATP content and degradation of damaged mitochondria \[45,133,159–162\]. Autophagy activation is also beneficial in models of metabolic syndrome and diabetes \[161,163\], which are frequently associated with atherosclerosis and ischemic heart disease and represent an important risk factor for developing severe and critical forms of COVID-19 \[164\].

Exosome-based virus spreading might also recruit peripheral nerves as a gateway to enter the CNS. Such involvement might go beyond neuro-vasculitis to recruit in a site-specific fashion those nuclei that receive projection from viral-exposed organs. As reported for SARS-CoV, the vagal innervation of the lung might spread the virus within cardiorespiratory target nuclei of the brainstem \[25\], such as the dorsal vagal nucleus, the ala cinerea, the area postrema forming the dorsal respiratory group, as well as the interconnected ventral respiratory group where the pre-Boetzinger complex is placed. This engagement is consistent with the high expression of ACE2 mRNA and protein levels in the nucleus of tractus solitarius/dorsal motor nucleus of the vagus and the ventrolateral medulla \[27\].

ACE2 expression in brain areas involved in the control of cardiovascular function suggests that the carboxypeptidase might play a role in the central regulation of blood pressure and diseases involving the autonomic nervous system, such as hypertension. This might explain the abnormal cardiorespiratory activity adding to the peripheral involvement of the blood vessels in the lung and the heart, eventually contributing to cardiopulmonary fatalities occurring in COVID-19. Similarly, olfactory-related brain structures might be recruited when the virus progresses through the olfactory nerve to infect and promote the degeneration of neurons in the olfactory bulb and piriform cortex, as documented in SARS-CoV-infected mice expressing the receptor ACE2 \[25\]. Such a phenomenon might explain the typical anosmia that is documented in COVID-19 patients \[165\].

The extracellular spreading of the virus following impairment of autophagy–lysosome fusion is compatible with the trans-cellular diffusion of microvesicles that takes place in the CNS, to communicate physiological signals or spread disease through danger-associated molecular pathways (DAMPs). In fact, besides cell lysis, an autophagy impairment in virus-infected cells might promote the extracellular release of undigested, vesicular-packed DAMPs such as HMGB1 \[166–168\]. Once released extracellularly, HMGB1 initiates inflammation in either neighbor or distant host cells, via the activation of TLR4 and RAGE receptors, fuelling NLRP inflammasome activation and pro-inflammatory cytokine release \[166,167\]. These events might converge on further immunoproteasome recruitment and autophagy impairment in different kinds of cells, eventually promoting systemic inflammation and immune-mediated cytotoxicity \[41,110,111,133–136,166\]. This substantiates the hypothesis that excessive immunoproteasome recruitment going along with an autophagy impairment might contribute to sustaining the multisystem COVID-19 pathogenesis (Figure 5). Such a hypothesis is further supported by experimental evidence showing that the downregulation of immunoproteasomes, through activation of autophagy-related pathways, does counteract sepsis in animal models \[69\]. Additionally, this is supported by evidence from COVID-19 patients when focusing on CD8+ and CD4+ T-cell responses, which are bound to immunoproteasome- and autophagy-dependent antigen presentation, respectively. In fact, higher levels of CD8+ T-cells are detected in COVID-19 patients compared with controls, and a dramatic reduction of CD4+ T helper cells occurs in the most severe rather than mild COVID-19 cases \[169\].

Altogether, these pieces of evidence suggest that enhancing autophagy along with blunting immunoproteasome activity might be beneficial against excessive inflammatory conditions. In search of natural-based, potentially safe autophagy/(immuno-)proteasome modulators, in the following sections, we focus on some selected nutraceutical compounds that are known to provide antiviral and anti-inflammatory effects in the frame of respiratory, cardiovascular, and neuronal alterations, which might be relevant for COVID-19 multisystem pathogenesis.
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immunoproteasome- and autophagy-dependent antigen presentation, respectively. In fact, higher levels of CD8+ T-cells are detected in COVID-19 patients compared with controls, and a dramatic reduction of CD4+ T helper cells occurs in the most severe rather than mild COVID-19 cases [169].

Figure 5. Summary of the potential mechanisms underlying SARS-CoV-2-induced alterations of autophagy and (immuno-)proteasome. SARS-CoV-2 is internalized within host cells (left side of the cartoon) upon the interaction of spike proteins with ACE2 and is first stored within the endosomal compartment. From here it releases the viral RNA upon membrane fusion to initiate the viral replication. Translation and eventual packaging of mature virions occur within the ER and Golgi (not shown). While increasing the number of autophagosomes to replicate herewith, SARS-CoV-2 blocks their fusion with lysosomes through a proteasome-dependent mechanism. This is likely due to the standard proteasome (SP) since immunoproteasome (IP) might be hijacked by the virus to avoid activation of the adaptive immune response. Impairment of autophagy flux eventually occludes the degradation of virions and viral components, while promoting their propagation from cell-to-cell, via exocytosis. Once released extracellularly, exosomes containing indigested viruses and viral material can reach distant tissues, besides neighboring cells (right side of the cartoon). SARS-CoV-2 also leads to ACE2 downregulation via AngII/AT1R-dependent internalization and lysosomal digestion. At the same time, a cascade of intracellular events (Ang-II-ATR1, PKC, NF-kB, JAK/STAT, AKT/AMPK/mTOR, NLRP/HMBG1) takes place to promote pro-inflammatory/oxidative events, while recruiting the immunoproteasome. These same events exacerbate the autophagy failure, which is induced by SARS-CoV-2, eventually promoting the extracellular release of virions and DAMPs along with activation of immunoproteasome depended cytotoxic CD8+ T-cell response. In this way, DAMPs and cytokines further alter cell-clearing systems within host cells via binding to RAGEs, TLR4, and IFN receptors, while promoting systemic inflammation.
3. Anti-Viral and Anti-Inflammatory Effects of Phytochemicals: Is There a Role for Cell-Clearing Systems?

In the context of COVID-19, phytochemical formulations and flavonoids from THM are now actively employed as an adjunct strategy to antiviral drugs [56,59,60,67]. Some encouraging results emerged for THM formulas that are rich in resveratrol, quercetin, and kaempferol [56,59,60,63,66]. This is documented in experimental models and patients affected by either sterile lung injury or respiratory viral infections, including SARS-CoV-2 [56,59,60,63,65,66]. This is also supported by network-based studies that identified resveratrol, quercetin, and kaempferol as “hub” components of THM formulas being employed in human respiratory viral infections, including influenza virus and SARS-CoV-2 [62,64]. These specific phytochemicals are predicted to exert the most effective pharmacological activity against influenza virus and SARS-CoV-2, by synergistically intervening in key pathways that are implicated in both viral replication and virus-induced inflammation, chemokine production, vascular permeability, and oxidative stress-induced apoptosis [62,64]. Similar mechanisms of action are reported for other less known, though potentially beneficial THM phytochemicals such as baicalein/baicalin, and cordycepin [57,61]. The list of nutraceuticals and herbal compounds that possess potential antiviral and anti-inflammatory activity is much longer. Here, we chose as an example only a few, specific, naturally-occurring compounds, to discuss evidence linking their mechanisms of action with autophagy and the (immuno)-proteasome. This might be relevant for various steps of SARS-CoV-2 infection, where autophagy and immunoproteasome are involved, ranging from viral replication to inflammation-related multisystem pathogenesis.

3.1. Resveratrol

As widely documented in the literature, the stilbene resveratrol induces autophagy and promotes autophagy flux through either AMPK/SIRT1 or TFEB activation, or PI3K/AKT/mTORC1 inhibition [68,161,162,170–177]. Besides induction of autophagy proteins such as LC3II, BECN1, Rab7, and ATG16L, potentiation of autophagy flux induced by resveratrol is evident by the enhanced clearance of autophagy–lysosomal substrates, including p62 and intracellular pathogens, and it is confirmed by the administration of autophagy/lysosome inhibitors, which indeed occlude the beneficial effects of resveratrol [68,161,170–177]. Resveratrol-induced autophagy is associated with anti-inflammatory, anti-oxidant, and anti-apoptotic effects in a variety of inflammatory-related conditions, including bacterial infection, sepsis, acute lung injury, platelet aggregation, pulmonary thrombosis, hypertension, vascular endothelial inflammation, myocardial and hepatic injury, arthritis, subarachnoid hemorrhage-induced neuronal injury, and toxicant-induced neuroinflammation [68,161,162,170–177]. Resveratrol-induced autophagy is also associated with the rescue of Nrf2, which is involved in mitophagy (the selective removal of senescent/dysfunctional mitochondria by autophagy) and mitochondrial biogenesis, protection from oxidative stress, and caspase-dependent apoptosis, as well as downregulation of the HMGB1/TLR4/MyD88/NF-κB pathway, NLRP3 inflammasome, and pro-inflammatory cytokines production [68,171–177].

Remarkably, resveratrol also blunts immunoproteasome activation, and this is associated with autophagy induction and protective effects in the experimental models of sepsis and cardiac hypertrophy [69,127]. In detail, resveratrol, through immunoproteasome downregulation, prevents immunoproteasome-dependent PTEN degradation to foster autophagy induction in vivo, while inhibiting the expression of NF-kb, NLRP inflammasome, and pro-inflammatory cytokines in vitro [69,127]. As shown in the LPS-treated monocytes and cells of sepsis patients, resveratrol acts mainly through downregulation of the LMP7 (B5i) immunoproteasome subunit, which is responsible for its enhanced chymotrypsin-like activity [69]. The efficacy of resveratrol is comparable to that of the selective LMP7 inhibitor ONX-0914, though its effects on immunoproteasome inhibition are milder and occur in the absence of toxicity, as compared to ONX-0914.

Resveratrol also possesses anti-viral effects, though direct evidence linking cell-clearing pathways with the anti-viral effects of resveratrol is missing so far. However, resveratrol, either in vitro or in vivo,
counteracts replication of the influenza virus, SARS-CoV, or MERS-CoV, through inhibition of the p38MAPK and PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathways, which are involved in autophagy impairment, besides virus-induced apoptosis and inflammation [89,178–181]. The in vivo and in vitro anti-influenza viral effects of resveratrol are already achieved at low, non-toxic concentrations of 1 mg/kg/day for 7 days and 62.5 µM, respectively [179,181]. The antiviral efficacy of resveratrol is reduced when the cells are treated with neutralizing anti-IFN-β antibodies, suggesting that it acts synergistically with IFN-β, to inhibit influenza virus replication, while promoting the activation of the host immune response [180].

Noteworthy, a recent study indicated a key role for resveratrol against the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein and human ACE2 receptor complex affinity and stability [182]. By using molecular dynamics simulation and binding free energy analysis based on molecular docking, resveratrol was found to rank first among those compounds showing the best affinity for spike protein—the ACE2 receptor complex. Thus, resveratrol holds potential as an anti-COVID-19 drug candidate, by acting through disruption of the spike protein—the ACE2 complex [182].

Some recent papers discussed evidence that resveratrol might provide beneficial multisystem effects in COVID-19 pathogenesis by rescuing downregulation of ACE2 and counteracting Ang II increase, which is expected to occur following viral infection [183,184]. This is associated with SIRT1-dependent downregulation of AT1R and Ang II levels [183,184]. In line with this, resveratrol also counteracts downstream events that are synergistically induced by Ang II and TNF-α in experimental acute pulmonary thromboembolism and pulmonary artery hypertension, including activation of p38MAPK, NF-kB, and monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 (MCP-1) [185,186]. Given the links among resveratrol, cell-clearing systems, and Ang II, it might be inferred that these effects are closely related to autophagy activation and immunoproteasome downregulation. However, this appears paradoxical when considering that ACE2 downregulation following SARS-CoV infection is bound to AT1R-induced ACE2 internalization and degradation into lysosomes, which does not support a beneficial role for autophagy inducers [147]. However, resveratrol might act at an earlier step of ACE2 internalization, either by blocking the SARS-CoV-2-spike protein—ACE2 receptor complex [182] or through Ang II-AT1R downregulation. This is expected to prevent ACE2 translocation to lysosomes while preserving both ACE2 and the autophagy activity. At the same time, resveratrol, through Ang II-AT1R downregulation, is supposed to blunt Ang II-related complications that are bound to immunoproteasome hyper-activation.

In line with this, resveratrol protects against experimental ALI, pulmonary embolism-induced cardiac injury, as well as cerebrovascular and neuronal inflammation, by mitigating signaling pathways that occur during viral infection and which are bound to a concomitant immunoproteasome activation and autophagy impairment, including RAGEs, HMGB1, TLR4, NF-kB, and NLRP3 [161,176,177,187,188]. Resveratrol-related inhibition of neuro-inflammation goes along with microglia polarization towards the anti-inflammatory M2 phenotype and it occurs through SIRT1 and PGC-1α activation [189,190], which are both bound to autophagy stimulation.

While experimental studies appear necessary to investigate whether these events occur in SARS-CoV-2, the evidence discussed here supports the hypothesis that resveratrol might play an active role against key events characterizing multisystem COVID-19 pathogenesis, at least in part, by targeting alterations in autophagy and immunoproteasome pathways.

3.2. Quercetin and Kaempferol

Both quercetin and kaempferol, two natural flavonoids, were shown to produce anti-inflammatory effects through autophagy induction [70,71,191,192]. In a mice model of severe progressive pulmonary fibrosis, kaempferol significantly inhibits pulmonary inflammation and inflammatory cells infiltration, through the restoration of LC3 lipidation and a concomitant increase in autophagy flux. In fact, blocking autophagy flux through autophagy/lysosome inhibitors antagonizes the anti-inflammatory effects of kaempferol during pulmonary fibrosis [70]. Again, kaempferol counteracts in vivo neuroinflammation and provides neuroprotection, by inhibiting NLRP3 inflammasome activation and IL-1β secretion [191].
These effects are associated with a rescue of autophagy and potentiation of autophagy flux in microglia, and subsequent degradation of ubiquitinated NLRP3, which is prevented by either ATG5 knockdown or treatment with autophagy/lysosome inhibitors [191].

Similarly, quercetin and its O-glycoside quercitrin are both able to induce autophagy producing anti-oxidant and anti-inflammatory effects, which are abolished by pharmacological autophagy inhibition in animal models of atherosclerosis [71, 192]. Quercetin induces autophagy through mTOR inhibition, which is associated with an improvement of aortic ultrastructural morphology and decreased levels of TNF-α, IL-1β, IL-18 [71]. Quercetin, through autophagy induction, also inhibits NLRP3 activation while protecting mitochondrial integrity and inhibiting ROS production, upon bacterial infection in vitro [193]. Similar to resveratrol, quercetin activates the autophagy-related AMPK/SIRT1 axis to counteract HSV-1 neuro-infection, propagation, and subsequent increases in neuropathological protein aggregates [194]. As the main constituents of a hydroalcoholic extract from Fragaria vesca leaves, quercetin and kaempferol produce nitric oxide scavenging and anti-inflammatory activity in LPS-treated macrophages, which is associated with proteasome inhibition and autophagy induction [195].

This is in line with several studies suggesting that both quercetin and kaempferol, especially in their glycosylated forms, produce beneficial effects in a variety of conditions that might be relevant for COVID-19 multisystem pathogenesis, including lung inflammation and pulmonary fibrosis, coronary heart disease, myocardial infarction, diabetes, and neuroinflammation [70, 196–199]. These effects are associated with a reduction of blood pressure, and inhibition of pro-inflammatory cytokine release, platelet aggregation, and ACE-Ang-II [198, 199]. Neuroprotective effects of quercetin and kaempferol are widely documented in various experimental models, which are associated, either directly or indirectly, with modulation of autophagy and immunoproteasome pathways. These include reduction of oxidative stress and neuroinflammation, induction of M2 microglia polarization, improvement of BBB function, prevention of AGE/RAGE-related neuronal damage, inhibition of HMBG1/TLR4 axis, and activation of AMPK and Nrf2 [200–206]. Both quercetin and kaempferol, through inhibition of cytochrome P-450-dependent catalysis, prevent the hepatic metabolism of 17 beta-estradiol [207], whose effects are bound to autophagy activity [208] and are potentially relevant in the frame of either influenza virus or COVID-19 pathogenesis [209, 210].

Remarkably, both quercetin and kaempferol possess anti-viral activity, although associations with cell-clearing systems are poorly investigated. Quercetin and kaempferol are among the most active antiviral components of Aloe vera, which effectively reduces the viral replication of the influenza virus in vitro, which is bound to the inhibition of autophagy [211]. In line with the binding affinity of quercetin and kaempferol for the M2 protein of the influenza virus, quercetin- and kaempferol derivatives were also identified as potential inhibitors of the 3C-like protease (3CL(pro)) of SARS-CoV [212] and SARS-CoV-2 [213]. Recent molecular binding studies suggest that both quercetin and kaempferol can block the interaction sites on the SARS-CoV-2 spike—ACE2 [214, 215]. Quercetin and its derivatives quercetin-3-rhamnoside and isoquercetin, effectively inhibit the replication of the influenza virus both in vitro and in vivo [216–218]. In vitro, quercetin-3-rhamnoside inhibits the influenza virus replication with a higher efficiency, as compared with oseltamivir, though such an antiviral effect is potentiated when either quercetin, quercetin-3-rhamnoside, or isoquercetin are co-administered with oseltamivir [216, 218]. Compared to other polyphenols that exert antiviral activity in vitro, isoquercetin shows the lowest values for the effective dose, for a 50% reduction on viral replication (ED50) and toxic dose for 50% cell death (TD50), namely 1.2 uM and 46 uM, respectively. During influenza virus infection, isoquercetin pre-administration at either 2 or 10 mg/kg/day decreases the influenza virus titers, pro-inflammatory markers, and pathological changes in the lung of infected mice [217]. Similar effects occur following pre-treatment with quercetin 20 mg/kg b.w., which reduces oxidative damage in the lungs and liver of infected mice [219]. In summary, quercetin and kaempferol counteract the replication of respiratory viruses and protect against viral-induced apoptosis, which warrants further studies investigating their potential efficacy in SARS-CoV-2 infection. This is also supported by
the widespread, anti-inflammatory, and autophagy-related effects of quercetin and kaempferol in a variety of conditions that might be relevant for multisystem COVID-19 pathogenesis.

3.3. Cordycepin

Pioneering studies of the late 1970s’ showed that cordycepin, the main bioactive compound of the Cordyceps mushroom genus, selectively inhibits the replication of the influenza virus by occluding the intracellular viral RNA synthesis [220,221]. Since then, there is a paucity of studies on the effects of cordycepin against respiratory viruses, despite several ones documenting its antiviral activity in human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), murine leukemia virus, and Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) [222]. Nonetheless, cordycepin is widely used in the THM for the treatment of respiratory diseases and it appears beneficial in human lung diseases [57]. This is confirmed in animal models of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, where cordycepin improves tissue morphological changes such as thinning of the airway walls, infiltration of inflammatory cells, and sub-epithelial fibrosis [223]. It also reduces the accumulation of macrophages, neutrophils, and lymphocytes in the bronchoalveolar fluid, while downregulating the inflammatory cytokines TNF-α, IL-8, TGF-β1 [223]. Similar effects are recapitulated through in vitro and in vivo in models of pulmonary inflammation and lung fibrosis, where cordycepin suppresses IL-1β and IL-18 secretion through inhibition of NLRP3 inflammasome, both in human-derived macrophages and in mice lung tissues [224,225]. At the same time, cordycepin restores superoxide dismutase (SOD) expression, while inhibiting ROS production in TGF-β1-treated lung fibroblasts and epithelial cells [225]. In LPS-activated macrophages and experimental ALI mice, cordycepin inhibits NF-kB, while reducing the expression of COX2, TNF-α, IL-6, and IL-1β [226,227]. This is associated with protection against alveolar epithelium damage and edema, and reduced infiltration of inflammatory cells in the bronchoalveolar fluid of ALI mice [227]. Remarkably, cordycepin was shown to induce autophagy and promote autophagy flux, as evident by the upregulation of BECN1 and LC3-II and downregulation of p62, which is associated with beneficial effects in experimental mice of diabetic nephropathy [72]. While reversing histopathological injuries in the kidney of diseased mice, cordycepin suppresses inflammation, apoptosis, and renal fibrosis by decreasing IL-1β, IL-6, and IL-18, as well as TUNEL staining, and the expression of fibrosis markers [72]. All these effects are abolished by the autophagy inhibitor 3-MA [72]. This is recapitulated in salt-sensitive rats that are fed via a high-salt diet, where cordycepin-induced autophagy is associated with lifespan extension and protection of hypertension-sensitive organs, including the brain, heart, kidney, and liver [228]. Morphologically, neurons, cardiomyocytes, glomerular podocytes, renal epithelial cells, and hepatocytes are all improved in cordycepin-treated rats featuring reduced levels of AKT/mTOR, increased levels of AMPK, and decreased levels of p62 witnessing for autophagy flux progression, as compared to the untreated controls [228]. A cordycepin derivative, through AMPK activation, also alleviates atherosclerosis in High-Fat Diet-Fed ApoE-KO mice, by protecting the vascular endothelial cells from inflammatory and oxidative damage [229]. Thus, cordycepin might be a potential modulator of autophagy to be tested in the frame of SARS-CoV-2 infection.

3.4. Baicalein and Baicalin

The two major flavonoids of Scutellaria baicalensis, baicalein and its aglycone metabolite baicalin, possess widespread biological activities, including anti-bacterial, anti-viral, anti-inflammatory, anti-oxidant, neurotrophic, and neuroprotective effects [67,230]. Baicalein and baicalin show inhibitory effects on various strains of influenza virus and SARS-CoV, both in vitro and in vivo. Oral administration of baicalein to mice infected with the influenza virus increases the mean time to death, inhibits lung inflammation, and reduces the lung virus titer in a dose-dependent manner [231]. These effects are likely due to baicalin, the metabolite of baicalein, which was shown to inhibit both influenza virus and SARS-CoV replication in vitro [231,232]. In detail, baicalin showed in vitro antiviral activity against nine strains of SARS-CoV collected from patients’ lung tissue biopsy, urine, and nasopharyngeal aspirates [232]. The inhibitory effects of baicalin are similar to those of leukocytic
IFN-α, IFN-β-1a, ribavirin, lopinavir, and rimantadine, though some differences in terms of efficacy are observed, depending on the cell lines employed [232]. The range of the effective concentration of baicalin required to reduce the plaque-forming unit (cytopathic effect) by 50% (EC50) was estimated as 11 µg/mL, and the cytotoxic concentration that reduced cell viability to 50% (CC50) was >100 µg/mL [232].

Baicalin was shown to inhibit influenza virus replication through TRAF6-dependent expression of Type-I IFNs, which correlated with protection against ALI in infected mice [233]. This is interesting since, in SARS-CoV, similar to what was observed in the influenza virus, inhibition of TRAF6-dependent expression of Type-I IFNs was associated with an abnormal UPS-dependent degradation, eventually leading to alterations in mitochondrial homeostasis and autophagy [234]. This fits with evidence documenting an inhibitory effect of baicalin on the chymotrypsin-like activity of the proteasome [73].

Remarkably, baicalin, sodium baicalin, and baicalein counteract the influenza virus infection, both in vitro and in vivo, by directly inhibiting the neuraminidase surface glycoprotein that is necessary for viral replication and the release of virions from infected cells [235,236]. Sodium baicalin is also effective against oseltamivir-resistant mutant influenza virus strains [236] while baicalein enhances the anti-viral effects of the neuraminidase inhibitor zanamivir [237]. The anti-neuraminidase activity of baicalin is accompanied by a downregulation of TNF-α, IL-6, and IL-8, which is associated with inhibition of the NF-kB and PI3K/AKT pathways, suggesting a potential activation of autophagy [237]. This is in contrast to studies associating the antiviral activity of baicalin with an attenuation of influenza virus-induced autophagy [238]. Such controversy might be related to either a viral-strain specificity or to the same paradoxical mTOR-related effects that are observed during SARS-CoV-2 infection [31]. Nevertheless, this calls for considering potential misinterpretations of the autophagy status since influenza virus was confirmed to block autophagy–lysosome fusion similar to CoVs [86]. This also fits with evidence showing that baicalin directly targets the NS1 protein of the influenza virus [239], which is shown to impair autophagy through PI3K/AKT activation [85,88]. Remarkably, baicalein can bind the N-terminus and C-terminus of the homology model of the SARS-CoV-2 proteins Nsp14 and 3CLpro, providing a potential candidate drug against SARS-CoV-2, for further study [240,241].

In addition to viral infections, baicalin and baicalein produce antioxidant and anti-inflammatory effects in several experimental inflammatory conditions, including diabetes, atherosclerosis, cardiovascular diseases, inflammatory bowel disease, rheumatoid arthritis, as well as liver-, kidney-, psychiatric- and neurodegenerative- diseases [67,242,243]. This is largely bound to key molecular pathways being implicated in the same antiviral activity of these compounds, namely attenuation of the NF-kB pathway and pro-inflammatory cytokines and chemokines such as TNF-α, IL-6 and IL-8, and MCP-1, ROS scavenging, and improvement of antioxidant status, as well as inhibition of COX2 and lipoxygenases [243]. The anti-inflammatory and anti-apoptotic effects of baicalin/baicalin are also bound to autophagy stimulation. In a model of arthritis consisting of IL-1β-treated chondrocytes, baicalin rescues autophagy to confer protection through the up-regulation of BECN1 and LC3 II/LC3 I ratio, and potentiation of autophagy flux, as evident by the decreased p62 levels [244]. In experimental models of hyperglycemia-induced myocardial damage, autophagy flux inhibitors occlude the anti-oxidant and anti-apoptotic effects of baicalin [245]. These findings are in line with evidence on baicalein acting as an inducer of autophagy flux, as documented by luciferase-based reporter assays [246].

Thus, baicalin and baicalein represent potential modulators of cell-clearing systems that deserve to be investigated in the frame of the multisystem COVID-19 pathogenesis. A summary of the anti-inflammatory, anti-viral, anti-apoptotic, and cell-clearing-related effects of phytochemicals discussed so far is provided in Table 1.
Table 1. Summary of the anti-inflammatory, anti-viral, anti-apoptotic, and cell-clearing-related effects of phytochemicals.

| Phytochemical and Experimental Model | Autophagy-Related Effects | UPS-Related Effects | Anti-Inflammatory Effects | Anti-Viral Effects | Anti-Apoptotic Effects |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|
| **Resveratrol**                     | ↑LC3II/LC3I ratio ↑BECN1  | ↑LMP7, LMP2        | ↓ICAM-1 ↓NF-κB           | Influenza virus   | ↓caspase-3/9/12         |
| TNF-α-induced endothelial inflammation, and endothelial oxidative injury [68,171,247] | ↑Rab7 ↑ATG16L1 ↑PTEN | immunoproteasome      | ↓IL-1β, IL-6, IL-8 ↓TNF-α ↓IFN-γ | MERS-CoV [181] | ↓BAX/Bcl-2 ↓TUNEL |
| LPS-treated monocytes and microglia [69,190] | ↑SIRT1/AMPK ↑TFEB | ↓flux ↓p62 ↑xenophagy | ↓ICAM-1 ↓NF-κB           | ↓ACE2-SARS-CoV-2 S protein binding [182] | ↓p-p38 MAPK |
| Cardiac hypertrophy/ Ang-II-treated cardiomyocytes [127] | | | | | |
| Intracellular bacterial infection [170] | | | | | |
| Monocytes from chronic kidney disease (CKD) patients [173] | | | | | |
| Pulmonary thrombosis, pulmonary artery hypertension, and platelet aggregation [174,185,186] | | | | | |
| Subarachnoid hemorrhage, hypoxic/ischemia brain injury, and spinal cord injury [175,177,248] | | | | | |
| Plasma cells from gout patients [176] | | | | | |
| AGEs-induced inflammation [188] | | | | | |

**Quercetin and derivatives**

| Phytochemical and Experimental Model | Autophagy-Related Effects | UPS-Related Effects | Anti-Inflammatory Effects | Anti-Viral Effects | Anti-Apoptotic Effects |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|
| Pulmonary fibrosis [70] | ↑LC3II/LC3I ratio ↑BECN1  | ↓Chymotrypsin-like activity [195] | ↓IL-1β, IL-6, IL-8 ↓TNF-α ↓NLRP3 | Herpes simplex virus type-1 (HSV-1) [194] | ↓caspase-3 |
| Oxidized low-density lipoprotein-treated endothelial cells [192] | ↑Akt ↑AMPK/Sirt1 ↑flux | [70,192–195] | ↓TNF-α ↓IFN-γ ↓IL-1β | MERS-CoV [181] | ↓BAX/Bcl-2 ↓TUNEL |
| Intracellular bacterial infection [193] | | | | | |
| Viral neuro-infection [194] | | | | | |
| LPS-triggered macrophages [195] | | | | | |
| Lung hypoxia [196] | | | | | |
| LPS-induced neuroinflammation [204] | | | | | |
| Spinal cord injury [205] | | | | | |
Table 1. Cont.

| Phytochemical and Experimental Model | Autophagy-Related Effects | UPS-Related Effects | Anti-Inflammatory Effects | Anti-Viral Effects | Anti-Apoptotic Effects |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
| **Kaempferol**                     |                           |                    |                          |                   |                       |
| Atherosclerosis [71]                | ↑LC3II/LC3I ratio         | ↓Chymotrypsin-like activity [195] | ↓IL-1β, IL-18, IL-6, IL-8 | Influenza virus [211] | ↓caspase-1 [191]    |
| LPS-triggered macrophages [195]    | ↑IL-6, IL-8, IL-18        |                    | ↑TNF-α, ↓NLRP3            | Interaction on SARS-CoV-2 proteins [214] |                       |
| LPS-, IFN-γ-, and SNCA-induced neuronal inflammation and neurodegeneration [191,200,201,203] | ↑AMPK/Nrf2 [71,191,195,201] | ↓chymotrypsin-like activity [195] | ↓NOS, ↓COX-2, ↓MCP-2 | ↓ACE2-SARS-CoV-2 S protein binding [215] |                       |
|                                     | ↑flux                     |                    | ↓TLR4/MyD88/NF-κB         |                   |                       |
|                                     | ↑AMPK                     |                    | ↓HMBG1 release [71,191,195,200,201,203] |                   |                       |
| **Cordycepin**                     |                           |                    |                          |                   |                       |
| Diabetic nephropathy [72]          | ↑LC3II/LC3I ratio         | ↓Chymotrypsin-like activity [195] | ↓IL-1β, IL-6, IL-8, IL-18 | Influenza virus [220] | ↓caspase-3           |
| Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, pulmonary inflammation, and fibrosis [223–225] | ↑BECN1                    |                    | ↓NLRP3, ↓NF-κB, ↓iNOS, ↓COX-2 | Epstein-Barr virus [222] | ↓BAX/Bcl-2           |
| LPS-triggered macrophages [226]    | ↑flux, ↑p62               |                    | ↓IL-1β, IL-6, IL-8, IL-18 |                   |                       |
| LPS-induced acute lung injury [227] | ↑AMPK                     |                    | ↓NLRP3, ↓NF-κB, ↓iNOS, ↓COX-2 |                   |                       |
| Hypertension-induced multi-organ damage [228] | ↑Cathepsin D              |                    | ↓IL-1β, IL-6, IL-8, IL-18 |                   |                       |
| Atherosclerosis [229]              | ↑mitochondrial function/mitophagy [72,228,229] |                    | ↓NLRP3, ↓NF-κB, ↓iNOS, ↓COX-2 |                   |                       |
| **Baicalin/ baikalein**            |                           |                    |                          |                   |                       |
| High glucose-induced vascular inflammation [242] | ↑LC3II/LC3I ratio         | ↓Chymotrypsin-like activity [73] | ↓Type I IFN [231]       | Influenza virus [231,233,235–239] | ↓caspase-3           |
| Arthritis [243]                    | ↑BECN1                    |                    | ↓ICAM-1, ↓NF-κB, ↓IL-8, ↓MCP-1 | SARS-CoV [232] | ↓BAX/Bcl-2           |
| Hyperglycemia-induced cardiovascular alterations [244] | ↑flux, ↑p62              |                    | ↓ICAM-1, ↓NF-κB, ↓IL-8, ↓MCP-1 | Interaction on SARS-CoV-2 proteins |                       |
|                                     | ↓p62                      |                    | ↓ICAM-1, ↓NF-κB, ↓IL-8, ↓MCP-1 |                   |                       |
|                                     | ↓PI3K/AKT                 |                    | ↓ICAM-1, ↓NF-κB, ↓IL-8, ↓MCP-1 |                   |                       |
|                                     | [237,244,245]             |                    | ↓ICAM-1, ↓NF-κB, ↓IL-8, ↓MCP-1 |                   |                       |
4. Conclusions

The current understanding of COVID-19 pathogenesis suggests uncontrolled host immune response and cytokine storm, though randomized trials providing evidence for any effective therapies against the disease are still lacking [249,250]. The absence of evidence-based medicine and approved drugs has shifted the focus on clinical insights and patient management through collaborative efforts in hospitals around the globe [249]. In the present review, we discussed evidence supporting the hypothesis that COVID-19 multisystem pathogenesis is bound to alterations of cell-clearing pathways within a variety of potentially affected tissues, including lungs, blood vessels, heart, and brain. The molecular hypothesis is grounded on data showing how viral particles within infected cells are entrapped within abundant autophagy-like vacuoles, which are actually impaired to merge with the lysosomes, thus, altering the clearance of the virus itself. Coupled with the spreading of pro-inflammatory reactions, this leads to a further autophagy impairment, while persistently recruiting the immunoproteasome. These events converge in spreading the virus to neighboring and distant sites on the one hand, while perpetuating systemic pro-inflammatory and cytotoxic immune reactions, on the other. Despite the significance of such an alteration still being unclear in the context of COVID-19, it seems to take place in each kind of affected/infected cell. In fact, it might explain the significance of myocardial alterations as well as the damage to blood vessels and neurons, where autophagy is critical to promote cell survival and modulation of inflammatory phenomena. In this scenario, it is fascinating how concepts from immune-hematology invading neuroscience might contribute to dissecting the role of cell-clearing systems during COVID-19 pathogenesis. Just like that described for viral infections, both autophagy and proteasome are key to prevent the accumulation and propagation of prionoids, such as alpha-synuclein, in either the CNS milieu or distant organs [251], while the immunoproteasome recruited under pro-inflammatory conditions cleaves alpha-synuclein, specifically within antigenic sites [141]. While serving as an alarmin for immune defense recruitment, this makes neurons and glia susceptible to cytotoxic immune attack [141]. Similar to NLRP/HMGB1, which propagates following an autophagy impairment during viral infections, prionoids and AGEs behave as DAMPs to trigger inflammatory reactions within host cells, via RAGEs and TLRs [48].

These concepts might also explain the widespread beneficial effects of a variety of phytochemical compounds that enhance autophagy, while blunting the (immuno-)proteasome. When focusing individually on each compound, shared molecular targets emerge bridging the pathogenesis of inflammation with autophagy and immunoproteasome alterations, including p38MAPK, PTEN/AKT/mTOR, NF-kB, and HMGB1/NLRP/TLR/RAGE axis. This might support the potentially synergistic, beneficial effects of phytochemical-rich formulations in multisystem viral infections involving the respiratory, cardiovascular, and nervous system, where the cell-clearing pathways are ubiquitously altered. Specific phytochemical-induced molecular pathways implicated in autophagy activation are also involved in blunting immunoproteasome activity. This suggests that the interplay occurring between autophagy and UPS/immunoproteasome also deserves to be investigated in the light of their contribution to pneumonia, ALI/ARDS, myocarditis, vasculitis, and neuro-inflammation, which take place during COVID-19. Despite COVID-19 representing a novel scenario that remains to be fully characterized from a molecular viewpoint, the findings here discussed suggest that polyphenol-rich formulations might counteract viral infections by promoting anti-inflammatory and immuno-modulatory effects that are bound, at least in part, to the tuning of autophagy and proteasome pathways. In addition to the specific compounds reviewed here, a plethora of additional herbal and nutraceutical compounds show anti-viral and widespread anti-inflammatory/anti-oxidant effects that are associated with cell-clearing systems [31,86,252,253]. These include, among others, spermidine, curcumin, epigallocatechin-gallate, melatonin, eugenol, and vitamin D, which similarly deserve to be investigated. Experimental and clinical studies subjected to rigorous scientific scrutiny are needed to confirm whether these phytochemicals might provide prophylactic or adjunct therapeutic support in COVID-19 pathogenesis, potentially by acting as natural-based, non-toxic autophagy/UPS modulators.
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Abbreviations

3-MA 3-Methyladenine
ACE(2) angiotensin-converting enzyme (type 2)
AGE advanced glycation end products
AKT protein kinase B
ALI acute lung injury
AMBRA1 activating molecule in beclin-1 regulated autophagy
AMPK 5′ AMP-activated protein kinase
Ang II angiotensin II
ARDS acute respiratory distress syndrome
AT1R angiotensin II receptor type 1
ATG1/ULK1 Unc-51 like autophagy activating kinase-1
BBB blood-brain barrier
BECN1 beclin 1
CNS central nervous system
COVID-19 coronavirus disease 2019
CoVs coronaviruses
COX2 cyclooxygenase 2
DAMP damage-associated molecular patterns
ER endoplasmic reticulum
ERK/MAPK extracellular signal-regulated kinase/mitogen-activated protein kinase
HMGB1 high mobility group box 1
IFN interferon
IL interleukine
JAK/STAT janus kinase/signal transducer and activator of transcription
LMP7 low-molecular mass protein-7 also known as B5i
LPS lipopolysaccharide
MCP-1 monocyte chemoattractant protein-1
MERS-CoV middle east respiratory syndrome coronavirus
MHC-I/II Major histocompatibility molecules class I/II
MODS multiple organ dysfunction syndrome
mTORC1 mammalian target of rapamycin complex 1
MVBs multivesicular bodies
NF-κB nuclear factor kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells
NLRP3 NOD-, LRR- and pyrin domain-containing protein 3
Nrf2 nuclear factor erythroid 2-related factor 2
p38MAPK p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase
PGC-1α peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma coactivator 1-alpha
PI3K phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase
PKC protein kinase C
PTEN phosphatase and tensin homolog
Rab GTPases G coupled Ras-related proteins in brain
RAGE receptor for advanced glycated end products
RAS renin-angiotensin-system
Rb retinoblastoma
RhoA ras homolog gene family member A
ROS reactive oxygen species
SARS-CoV severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus
SARS-CoV-2 severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2
SIRT1 sirtuin-1
SNARE soluble N-ethylmaleimide-sensitive factor attachment protein receptor
SQSTM1/p62 sequestosome 1
STX17 syntaxin-17
TFEB transcription factor EB
THM traditional herbal medicine
TLR toll-like receptor
TNF tumor necrosis factor
TRAF6 TNF receptor-associated factor
UPS ubiquitin-proteasome system
VPS34 vacuolar protein sorting 34
vRNP viral ribonucleoprotein

References
1. Sun, X.; Wang, T.; Cai, D.; Hu, Z.; Chen, J.; Liao, H.; Zhi, L.; Wei, H.; Zhang, Z.; Qiu, Y.; et al. Cytokine storm intervention in the early stages of COVID-19 pneumonia. *Cytokine Growth Factor Rev.* 2020, 53, 38–42. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
2. Wang, D.; Hu, B.; Hu, C.; Zhu, F.; Liu, X.; Zhang, J.; Wang, B.; Xiang, H.; Cheng, Z.; Xiong, Y.; et al. Clinical Characteristics of 138 Hospitalized Patients With 2019 Novel Coronavirus-Infected Pneumonia in Wuhan, China. *JAMA* 2020, 323, 1061–1069. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
3. Xu, Z.; Shi, L.; Wang, Y.; Zhang, J.; Huang, L.; Zhang, C.; Liu, S.; Zhao, P.; Liu, H.; Zhu, L.; et al. Pathological findings of COVID-19 associated with acute respiratory distress syndrome. *Lancet Respir. Med.* 2020, 8, 420–422. [CrossRef]
4. Nicholls, J.M.; Poon, L.L.; Lee, K.C.; Ng, W.F.; Lai, S.T.; Leung, C.Y.; Chu, C.M.; Hui, P.K.; Mak, K.L.; Lim, W.; et al. Lung pathology of fatal severe acute respiratory syndrome. *Lancet* 2003, 361, 1773–1778. [CrossRef]
5. Ackermann, M.; Verleden, S.E.; Kuehnel, M.; Haverich, A.; Welte, T.; Laenger, F.; Vanstapel, A.; Werlein, C.; Stark, H.; Tzankov, A.; et al. Pulmonary Vascular Endothelialitis, Thrombosis, and Angiogenesis in Covid-19. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 2020, 383, 120–128. [CrossRef]
6. Akhmerov, A.; Marbán, E. COVID-19 and the Heart. *Circ. Res.* 2020, 126, 1443–1455. [CrossRef]
7. Madjid, M.; Safavi-Neiini, P.; Solomon, S.D.; Vardeny, O. Potential Effects of Coronaviruses on the Cardiovascular System: A Review. *JAMA Cardiol.* 2020, 5, 831–840. [CrossRef]
8. Yao, X.H.; Li, T.Y.; He, Z.C.; Ping, Y.F.; Liu, H.W.; Yu, S.C.; Mou, H.M.; Wang, J.H.; Zhang, H.R.; Fu, W.J.; et al. A Pathological Report of Three COVID-19 Cases by Minimal Invasive Autopsies. *Zhonghua Bing Li Xue Za Zhi* 2020, 49, 411–417. [CrossRef]
9. Mao, L.; Jin, H.; Wang, M.; Hu, Y.; Chen, S.; He, Q.; Chang, J.; Hong, C.; Zhou, Y.; Wang, D.; et al. Neurologic Manifestations of Hospitalized Patients With Coronavirus Disease 2019 in China. *JAMA Neurol.* 2020, 77, 683–690. [CrossRef]
10. Helms, J.; Kremer, S.; Merdji, H.; Clerc-Jehl, R.; Schenck, M.; Kummerlen, C.; Collange, O.; Boulay, C.; Fafi-Kremer, S.; Ohana, M.; et al. Neurologic Features in Severe SARS-CoV-2 Infection. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 2020, 382, 2268–2270. [CrossRef]
11. Clerkin, K.J.; Fried, J.A.; Raikhelkar, J.; Sayer, G.; Griffin, J.M.; Masouni, A.; Jain, S.S.; Burkhoff, D.; Kumaraiah, D.; Rabbani, L.; et al. COVID-19 and Cardiovascular Disease. *Circulation* 2020, 141, 1648–1655. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
12. Han, H.; Xie, L.; Liu, R.; Yang, J.; Liu, F.; Wu, K.; Chen, L.; Hou, W.; Feng, Y.; Zhu, C. Analysis of heart injury laboratory parameters in 273 COVID-19 patients in one hospital in Wuhan, China. *J. Med. Virol.* 2020, 92, 819–823. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
13. Shi, S.; Qin, M.; Shen, B.; Cai, Y.; Liu, T.; Yang, F.; Gong, W.; Liu, X.; Liang, J.; Zhao, Q.; et al. Association of Cardiac Injury with Mortality in Hospitalized Patients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China. *JAMA Cardiol.* 2020, 5, 802–810. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
14. Onder, G.; Rezza, G.; Brusaferro, S. Case-Fatality Rate and Characteristics of Patients Dying in Relation to COVID-19 in Italy. *JAMA* 2020, 323, 1775–1776. [CrossRef]

15. Inciardi, R.M.; Lupi, L.; Zaconte, G.; Italia, L.; Raffo, M.; Tomasoni, D.; Cani, D.S.; Cerini, M.; Farina, D.; Gavazzi, E.; et al. Cardiac Involvement in a Patient with Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19). *JAMA Cardiol.* 2020, 5, 819–824. [CrossRef]

16. Li, H.; Liu, L.; Zhang, D.; Xu, J.; Dai, H.; Tang, N.; Su, X.; Cao, B. SARS-CoV-2 and viral sepsis: Observations and hypotheses. *Lancet* 2020, 395, 1517–1520. [CrossRef]

17. Chen, L.; Li, X.; Chen, M.; Feng, Y.; Xiong, C. The ACE2 expression in human heart indicates new potential mechanism of heart injury among patients infected with SARS-CoV-2. *Cardiovasc. Res.* 2020, 116, 1097–1100. [CrossRef]

18. Thum, T. SARS-CoV-2 receptor ACE2 expression in the human heart: Cause of a post-pandemic wave of heart failure? *Eur. Heart J.* 2020, 41, 1807–1809. [CrossRef]

19. Yashavantha Rao, H.C.; Jayabaskaran, C. The emergence of a novel coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2) disease and their neuroinvasive propensity may affect in COVID-19 patients. *J. Med. Virol.* 2020, 92, 786–790. [CrossRef]

20. Paniz-Mondolfi, A.; Bryce, C.; Grimes, Z.; Gordon, R.E.; Reidy, J.; Lednicky, J.; Sordillo, E.M.; Fowkes, M. Central nervous system involvement by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2). *J. Med. Virol.* 2020, 92, 699–702. [CrossRef]

21. Natoli, S.; Oliveira, V.; Calabresi, P.; Maia, L.F.; Pisani, A. Does SARS-CoV-2 invade the brain? Translational lessons from animal models. *Eur. J. Neurol.* 2020, 27, 1764–1773. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

22. Glass, W.G.; Subbarao, K.; Murphy, B.; Murphy, P.M. Mechanisms of Host Defense following Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome-Coronavirus (SARS-CoV) Pulmonary Infection of Mice. *J. Immunol.* 2004, 173, 4030–4039. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

23. Ding, Y.; He, L.; Zhang, Q.; Huang, Z.; Che, X.; Hou, J.; Wang, H.; Shen, H.; Qiu, L.; Li, Z.; et al. Organ distribution of severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) associated coronavirus (SARS-CoV) in SARS patients: Implications for pathogenesis virus transmission pathways. *J. Pathol.* 2004, 203, 622–630. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

24. Li, Y.C.; Bai, W.Z.; Hashikawa, T. The neuroinvasive potential of SARS-CoV2 may play a role in the respiratory failure of COVID-19 patients. *J. Med. Virol.* 2020, 92, 552–555. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

25. Netland, J.; Meyerholz, D.K.; Moore, S.; Cassell, M.; Perlman, S. Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus Infection Causes Neuronal Death in the Absence of Encephalitis in Mice Transgenic for Human ACE2. *J. Virol.* 2008, 82, 7264–7275. [CrossRef]

26. Harmer, D.; Gilbert, M.; Borman, R.; Clark, K.L. Quantitative mRNA expression profiling of ACE 2, a novel homologue of angiotensin converting enzyme. *FEBS Lett.* 2002, 532, 107–110. [CrossRef]

27. Doobay, M.F.; Talman, L.S.; Obr, T.D.; Tian, X.; Davission, R.L.; Lazartigues, E. Differential expression of neuronal ACE2 in transgenic mice with overexpression of the brain renin-angiotensin system. *Am. J. Physiol.-Regul. Integr. Comp. Physiol.* 2007, 292, R373–R381. [CrossRef]

28. Cottam, E.M.; Whelband, M.C.; Wileman, T. Coronavirus NSP6 restricts autophagosome expansion. *Autophagy* 2014, 10, 1426–1441. [CrossRef]

29. Zhang, R.; Chi, X.; Wang, S.; Qi, B.; Yu, X.; Chen, J.L. The regulation of autophagy by influenza A virus. *Biomed Res. Int.* 2014, 498083. [CrossRef]

30. Gassen, N.C.; Niemeyer, D.; Muth, D.; Corman, V.M.; Martinelli, S.; Gassen, A.; Hafner, K.; Papes, J.; Mösbauer, K.; Zellner, A.; et al. SKP2 attenuates autophagy through Beclin1-ubiquitination and its inhibition reduces MERS-Coronavirus infection. *Nat. Commun.* 2019, 10, 1–16. [CrossRef]

31. Gassen, N.; Papes, J.; Bajaj, T.; Dethloff, F.; Emanuel, J.; Weckmann, K.; Heinz, D.; Heinemann, N.; Lennarz, M.; Richter, A.; et al. Analysis of SARS-CoV-2-controlled autophagy reveals spermidine, MK-2206, and niclosamide as putative antiviral therapeutics. *bioRxiv* 2020. [CrossRef]

32. Yang, N.; Shen, H.M. Targeting the endocytic pathway and autophagy process as a novel therapeutic strategy in COVID-19. *Int. J. Biol. Sci.* 2020, 16, 1724–1731. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

33. Yu, G.-Y.; Lai, M.M.C. The Ubiquitin-Proteasome System Facilitates the Transfer of Murine Coronavirus from Endosome to Cytoplasm during Virus Entry. *J. Virol.* 2005, 79, 644–648. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

34. Longhitano, L.; Tibullo, D.; Giallongo, C.; Lazzarino, G.; Tartaglia, N.; Galimberti, S.; Li Volti, G.; Palumbo, G.A.; Liso, A. Proteasome Inhibitors as a Possible Therapy for SARS-CoV-2. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2020, 21, 3622. [CrossRef]
35. Carmona-Gutierrez, D.; Bauer, M.A.; Zimmermann, A.; Kainz, K.; Hofer, S.J.; Kroemer, G.; Madeo, F. Digesting the crisis: Autophagy and coronaviruses. Microb. Cell 2020, 7, 119–128. [CrossRef]
36. Edelstein, C.L.; Venkatchalam, M.A.; Dong, Z. Autophagy inhibition by chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine could adversely affect acute kidney injury and other organ injury in critically ill patients with COVID-19. Kidney Int. 2020, 98, 234–235. [CrossRef]
37. Benvenuto, D.; Angeletti, S.; Giovanetti, M.; Bianchi, M.; Pascarella, S.; Cauda, R.; Ciccozzi, M.; Cassone, A. Evolutionary analysis of SARS-CoV-2: How mutation of Non-Structural Protein 6 (NSP6) could affect viral autophagy. J. Infect. 2020, 81, e24–e27. [CrossRef]
38. Rubinszttein, D.C.; Bento, C.F.; Deretic, V. Therapeutic targeting of autophagy in neurodegenerative and infectious diseases. J. Exp. Med. 2015, 212, 979–990. [CrossRef]
39. Limanaqi, F.; Biagioni, F.; Busceti, C.L.; Ryskalin, L.; Soldani, P.; Frati, A.; Fornai, F. Cell clearing systems bridging neuro-immunity and synaptic plasticity. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2019, 20, 2197. [CrossRef]
40. Münz, C. Autophagy proteins in antigen processing for presentation on MHC molecules. Immunol. Rev. 2016, 272, 17–27. [CrossRef]
41. Deretic, V.; Levine, B. Autophagy balances inflammation in innate immunity. Autophagy 2018, 14, 243–251. [PubMed][PubMed]
42. Ferrington, D.A.; Gregerson, D.S. Immunoproteasomes: Structure, function, and antigen presentation. In Prog. Mol. Biol. Transl. Sci. 2012, 109, 75–112. [CrossRef]
43. Dasari, V.; Rehan, S.; Tey, S.K.; Smyth, M.J.; Smith, C.; Khanna, R. Autophagy and proteasome interconnect to coordinate cross-presentation through MHC class I pathway in B cells. Immunol. Cell Biol. 2016, 94, 964–974. [PubMed][PubMed]
44. Limanaqi, F.; Biagioni, F.; Gaglione, A.; Busceti, C.L.; Fornai, F. A sentinel in the crosstalk between the nervous and immune system: The (immuno)-proteasome. Front. Immunol. 2019, 10, 6282. [CrossRef]
45. Sciarretta, S.; Maiejma, Y.; Zablocki, D.;Sadoshima, J. The Role of Autophagy in the Heart. Annu. Rev. Physiol. 2018, 80, 1–26. [CrossRef]
46. Sosulski, M.L.; Gongora, R.; Danchuk, S.; Dong, C.; Luo, F.; Sanchez, C.G. Deregulation of selective autophagy during aging and pulmonary fibrosis: The role of TGFβ1. Aging Cell 2015, 14, 774–783. [CrossRef]
47. Schmidt, M.; Finley, D. Regulation of proteasome activity in health and disease. Biochim. Biophys. Acta- Mol. Cell Res. 2014, 1843, 13–25. [CrossRef]
48. Limanaqi, F.; Biagioni, F.; Gambardella, S.; Familiari, P.; Frati, A.; Fornai, F. Promiscuous roles of autophagy and proteasome in neurodegenerative proteinopathies. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2020, 21, 3028. [CrossRef]
49. Sanders, J.M.; Monogue, M.L.;Jodlowski, T.Z.; Cutrell, J.B. Pharmacologic Treatments for Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19): A Review. JAMA 2020, 323, 1824–1836. [CrossRef]
50. Das, L.; Bhauunik, E.; Raychaudhuri, U.; Chakraborty, R. Role of nutraceuticals in human health. J. Food Sci. Technol. 2012, 49, 173–183. [CrossRef]
51. Mani, J.S.; Johnson, J.B.; Steel, J.C.; Broszczak, D.A.; Neilsen, P.M.; Walsh, K.B.; Naiker, M. Natural product-derived phytochemicals as potential agents against coronaviruses: A review. Virus Res. 2020, 284, 197989. [CrossRef][PubMed]
52. Somerville, V.S.; Braakhuis, A.J.; Hopkins, W.G. Effect of flavonoids on upper respiratory tract infections and immune function: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Adv. Nutr. 2016, 7, 488–497. [CrossRef][PubMed]
53. McCarty, M.F.; DiNicolantonio, J.J. Nutraceuticals have potential for boosting the type 1 interferon response during acute kidney injury and other organ injury in critically ill patients with COVID-19. Kidney Int. 2020, 98, 234–235. [CrossRef]
54. Limanaqi, F.; Biagioni, F.; Busceti, C.L.; Soldani, P.; Frati, A.; Fornai, F. Phytochemicals bridging autophagy induction and alpha-synuclein degradation in parkinsonism. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2019, 20, 3274. [CrossRef]
55. Wang, Z.; Chen, X.; Leng, S.X. Connection between systemic inflammation and neuroinflammation underlies neuroprotective mechanism of several phytochemicals in neurodegenerative diseases. Oxid. Med. Cell. Longev. 2018, 2018, 1972714. [CrossRef]
56. Wang, Z.; Chen, X.; Lu, Y.; Chen, F.; Zhang, W. Clinical characteristics and therapeutic procedure for four cases with 2019 novel coronavirus pneumonia receiving combined Chinese and Western medicine treatment. Biosci. Trends 2020, 14, 64–68. [CrossRef]
57. An, X.; Zhang, A.L.; May, B.H.; Lin, L.; Xu, Y.; Xue, C.C. Oral chinese herbal medicine for improvement of quality of life in patients with stable chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: A systematic review. *J. Altern. Complement. Med.* 2012, 18, 731–743. [CrossRef]

58. Wu, A.H.; He, L.; Long, W.; Zhou, Q.; Zhu, S.; Wang, P.; Fan, S.; Wang, H. Novel mechanisms of herbal therapies for inhibiting HMGB1 secretion or action. *Evid.-Based Complement. Altern. Med.* 2015, 2015, 456305. [CrossRef]

59. Luo, E.; Zhang, D.; Luo, H.; Liu, B.; Zhao, K.; Zhao, Y.; Bian, Y.; Wang, Y. Treatment efficacy analysis of traditional Chinese medicine for novel coronavirus pneumonia (COVID-19): An empirical study from Wuhan, Hubei Province, China. *Chin. Med.* 2020, 15, 34. [CrossRef]

60. Yang, Y.; Islam, M.S.; Wang, J.; Li, Y.; Chen, X. Traditional Chinese medicine in the treatment of patients infected with 2019-new coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2): A review and perspective. *Int. J. Biol. Sci.* 2020, 16, 1708–1717. [CrossRef]

61. Yang, R.; Liu, H.; Bai, C.; Wang, Y.; Zhang, X.; Guo, R.; Wu, S.; Wang, J.; Leung, E.; Chang, H.; et al. Chemical composition and pharmacological mechanism of Qingfei Paidu Decoction and Ma Xing Shi Gan Decoction against Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19): In silico and experimental study. *Pharmacol. Res.* 2020, 157, 104820. [CrossRef]

62. Song, J.; Zhang, F.; Tang, S.; Liu, X.; Gao, Y.; Lu, P.; Wang, Y.; Yang, H. A module analysis approach to investigate molecular mechanism of TCM formula: A trial on Shu-feng-jie-du formula. *Evid.-Based Complement. Altern. Med.* 2013, 2013, 731370. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

63. Tao, Z.; Gao, J.; Zhang, G.; Xue, M.; Yang, W.; Tong, C.; Yuan, Y. Shufeng Jiedu Capsule protect against acute lung injury by suppressing the MAPK/NF-κB pathway. *Biosci. Trends* 2014, 8, 45–51. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

64. Wang, Y.X.; Ma, J.R.; Wang, S.Q.; Zeng, Y.Q.; Zhou, C.Y.; Ru, Y.H.; Zhang, L.; Lu, Z.G.; Wu, M.H.; Li, H. Utilizing integrating network pharmacological approaches to investigate the potential mechanism of Ma Xing Shi Gan Decoction in treating COVID-19. *Eur. Rev. Med. Pharmacol. Sci.* 2020, 24, 3360–3384. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

65. Yuan, Y.; Liao, Q.; Xue, M.; Shi, Y.; Rong, L.; Song, Z.; Tong, Z.; Zheng, W.; Zhu, Q.; Cui, X.; et al. Shufeng Jiedu Capsules Alleviate Lipopolysaccharide-Induced Acute Lung Inflammatory Injury via Activation of GPR18 by Verbenalin. *Cell. Physiol. Biochem.* 2018, 50, 629–639. [CrossRef]

66. Ji, S.; Bai, Q.; Wu, X.; Zhang, D.W.; Wang, S.; Shen, J.L.; Fei, G.H. Unique synergistic antiviral effects of Shufeng Jiedu Capsule and oseltamivir in influenza A viral-induced acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Biomed. Pharmacother.* 2020, 121, 109652. [CrossRef]

67. Huang, Y.; Tsang, S.Y.; Yao, X.; Chen, Z.Y. Biological properties of baicalein in cardiovascular system. *Curr. Drug Targets Cardiovasc. Haematol. Disord.* 2005, 5, 177–184. [CrossRef]

68. Huang, F.C.; Kuo, H.C.; Huang, Y.H.; Yu, H.R.; Li, S.C.; Kuo, H.C. Anti-inflammatory effects of resveratrol in human coronary arterial endothelial cells via induction of autophagy: Implication for the treatment of Kawasaki disease. *BMC Pharmacol. Toxicol.* 2017, 18, 3. [CrossRef]

69. Silswal, N.; Reddy, N.S.; Qureshi, A.A.; Qureshi, N. Resveratrol downregulates biomarkers of sepsis via inhibition of proteasome’s proteases. *Shock* 2018, 50, 579–588. [CrossRef]

70. Liu, H.; Yu, H.; Cao, Z.; Gu, J.; Pei, L.; Jia, M.; Su, M. Kaempferol Modulates Autophagy and Alleviates Silica-Induced Pulmonary Fibrosis. *DNA Cell Biol.* 2019, 38, 1418–1426. [CrossRef]

71. Cao, H.; Jia, Q.; Shen, D.; Yan, L.; Chen, C.; Xing, S. Quercetin has a protective effect on atherosclerosis via enhancement of autophagy in ApoE-/- mice. *Exp. Ther. Med.* 2019, 18, 2451–2458. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

72. Cao, T.; Xu, R.; Xu, Y.; Liu, Y.; Qi, D.; Wan, Q. The protective effect of Cordycepin on diabetic nephropathy through autophagy induction in vivo and in vitro. *Int. Urol. Nephrol.* 2019, 51, 1883–1892. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

73. Wu, Y.X.; Sato, E.; Kimura, W.; Miura, N. Baicalin and Scutellarin Are Proteasome Inhibitors That Specifically Target Chymotrypsin-like Catalytic Activity. *Phyther. Res.* 2013, 27, 1362–1367. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

74. Menon, M.B.; Dhamija, S.; Beclin 1 phosphorylation-at the center of autophagy regulation. *Front. Cell Dev. Biol.* 2018, 6, 137. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

75. Limanafi, F.; Biagioni, F.; Gambardella, S.; Ryskalin, L.; Fornai, F. Interdependency Between Autophagy and Synaptic Vesicle Trafficking: Implications for Dopamine Release. *Front. Mol. Neurosci.* 2018, 11, 299. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
76. Zhou, J.; Tan, S.H.; Nicolas, V.; Bauvy, C.; Yang, N.D.; Zhang, J.; Xue, Y.; Codogno, P.; Shen, H.M. Activation of lysosomal function in the course of autophagy via mTORC1 suppression and autophagosome-lysosome fusion. Cell Res. 2013, 23, 508–523. [CrossRef]

77. Pietrocola, F.; Mariño, G.; Lissa, D.; Vacchelli, E.; Malik, S.A.; Niso-Santano, M.; Zamzami, N.; Galluzzi, L.; Maiuri, M.C.; Kroemer, G. Pro-autophagic polyphenols reduce the acetylation of cytoplasmic proteins. Cell Cycle 2012, 11, 3851–3860. [CrossRef]

78. Lin, Y.; Wu, C.; Wang, X.; Liu, S.; Zhao, K.; Kemper, T.; Yu, H.; Li, M.; Zhang, J.; Chen, M.; et al. Glucosamine promotes hepatitis B virus replication through its dual effects in suppressing autophagic degradation and inhibiting mTORC1 signaling. Autophagy 2020, 16, 548–561. [CrossRef]

79. Ou, X.; Liu, Y.; Lei, X.; Li, P.; Mi, D.; Ren, L.; Guo, L.; Guo, R.; Chen, T.; Hu, J.; et al. Characterization of spike glycoprotein of SARS-CoV-2 on virus entry and its immune cross-reactivity with SARS-CoV. Nat. Commun. 2020, 11, 1–12. [CrossRef]

80. Chen, X.; Wang, K.; Xing, Y.; Tu, J.; Yang, X.; Zhao, Q.; Li, K.; Chen, Z. Coronavirus membrane-associated papain-like proteases induce autophagy through interacting with Beclin1 to negatively regulate antiviral innate immunity. Protein Cell 2014, 5, 912–927. [CrossRef]

81. Nour, A.M.; Modis, Y. Endosomal vesicles as vehicles for viral genomes. Trends Cell Biol. 2014, 24, 449–454. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

82. Prentice, E.; Jerome, W.G.; Yoshimori, T.; Mizushima, N.; Denison, M.R. Coronavirus Replication Complex Formation Utilizes Components of Cellular Autophagy. J. Biol. Chem. 2004, 279, 10136–10141. [CrossRef]

83. Cottam, E.M.; Maier, H.J.; Manifava, M.; Vaux, L.C.; Chandra-Schoenfelder, P.; Gerner, W.; Britton, P.; Ktistakis, N.T.; Wileman, T. Coronavirus nsP6 proteins generate autophagosomes from the endoplasmic reticulum via an omegasome intermediate. Autophagy 2011, 7, 1335–1347. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

84. Snijder, E.J.; van der Meer, Y.; Zevenhoven-Dobbe, J.; Onderwater, J.J.M.; van der Meulen, J.; Koerten, H.K.; Mommaas, A.M.; Snijder, E.J. SARS-Coronavirus Replication Is Supported by a Reticulovesicular Network for Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus infection as identified by temporal kinome analysis. J. Virol. 2018, 92, 3119–3130. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

85. Yuan, X.; Yao, Z.; Wu, J.; Zhou, Y.; Shan, Y.; Dong, B.; Zhao, Z.; Hua, P.; Chen, J.; Cong, Y. G1 phase cell cycle arrest induced by SARS-CoV 3a protein via the Cyclin D3/pRb pathway. Am. J. Respir. Cell Mol. Biol. 2007, 37, 9–19. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

86. Godbole, N.M.; Sinha, R.A.; Tiwari, S.; Pawar, S.D.; Dhole, T.N. Analysis of influenza virus-induced perturbation in autophagic flux and its modulation during Vitamin D3 mediated anti-apoptotic signaling. Virus Res. 2020, 282, 197936. [CrossRef]

87. Gannagé, M.; Dormann, D.; Albrecht, R.; Dengjel, J.; Torossi, T.; Rämer, P.C.; Lee, M.; Strowig, T.; Arrey, F.; Conenello, G.; et al. Matrix Protein 2 of Influenza A Virus Blocks Autophagosome Fusion with Lysosomes. Cell Host Microbiol. 2009, 6, 378–380. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

88. Kindrachuk, J.; Ork, B.; Hart, B.J.; Mazur, S.; Holbrook, M.R.; Frieman, M.B.; Traynor, D.; Johnson, R.F.; Dyall, J.; Kuhn, J.H.; et al. Antiviral potential of ERK/AKT/mTOR signaling modulation for Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus infection as identified by temporal kinome analysis. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 2015, 59, 1088–1099. [CrossRef]

89. Knoops, K.; Kikkert, M.; van den Worm, S.; Zevenhoven-Dobbe, J.; van der Meer, Y.; Koster, A.J.; Mommaas, A.M.; Snijder, E.J. SARS-Coronavirus Replication Is Supported by a Reticulovesicular Network for Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus infection as identified by temporal kinome analysis. J. Virol. 2007, 80, 1979–1990. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

90. Lin, Y.; Wu, C.; Wang, X.; Liu, S.; Zhao, K.; Kemper, T.; Yu, H.; Li, M.; Zhang, J.; Chen, M.; et al. Glucosamine promotes hepatitis B virus replication through its dual effects in suppressing autophagic degradation and inhibiting mTORC1 signaling. Autophagy 2020, 16, 548–561. [CrossRef]

91. Kindrachuk, J.; Ork, B.; Hart, B.J.; Mazur, S.; Holbrook, M.R.; Frieman, M.B.; Traynor, D.; Johnson, R.F.; Dyall, J.; Kuhn, J.H.; et al. Antiviral potential of ERK/AKT/mTOR signaling modulation for Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus infection as identified by temporal kinome analysis. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 2015, 59, 1088–1099. [CrossRef]

92. Kuroki, T.; Osari, S.; Nagata, K.; Kawaguchi, A. Influenza a virus NS1 protein suppresses JNK1-dependent autophagosome formation mediated by Rab11a recycling endosomes. Front. Microbiol. 2018, 9, 3120. [CrossRef]

93. Chen, C.-J.; Sugiyama, K.; Kubo, H.; Huang, C.; Makino, S. Murine Coronavirus Nonstructural Protein p28 Arrests Cell Cycle in G0/G1 Phase. J. Virol. 2004, 78, 10410–10419. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
94. Jiang, W.; Wang, Q.; Chen, S.; Gao, S.; Song, L.; Liu, P.; Huang, W. Influenza A Virus NS1 Induces G0/G1 Cell Cycle Arrest by Inhibiting the Expression and Activity of RhoA Protein. *J. Virol.* 2013, 87, 3039–3052. [CrossRef]
95. Mathiassen, S.G.; De Zio, D.; Cecconi, F. Autophagy and the cell cycle: A complex landscape. *Front. Oncol.* 2017, 7, 51. [CrossRef]
96. Tian, Y.; Wang, M.L.; Zhao, J. Crosstalk between Autophagy and Type I Interferon Responses in Innate Antiviral Immunity. *Viruses* 2019, 11, 132. [CrossRef]
97. Song, J.; Hu, Y.; Li, J.; Zheng, H.; Wang, J.; Guo, L.; Shi, H.; Liu, L. Suppression of the toll-like receptor 7-dependent type I interferon production pathway by autophagy resulting from enterovirus 71 and coxsackievirus A16 infections facilitates their replication. *Arch. Virol.* 2018, 163, 135–144. [CrossRef]
98. Chen, W.; Han, C.; Xie, B.; Hu, X.; Yu, Q.; Shi, L.; Wang, Q.; Li, D.; Wang, J.; Zheng, P.; et al. Induction of Siglec-G by RNA viruses inhibits the innate immune response by promoting RIG-I degradation. *Cell* 2013, 152, 467–478. [CrossRef]
99. Zhao, K.; Zhang, Q.; Li, X.; Zhao, D.; Liu, Y.; Shen, Q.; Yang, M.; Wang, C.; Li, N.; Cao, X. Cytoplasmic STAT4 Promotes Antiviral Type I IFN Production by Blocking CHIF-Mediated Degradation of RIG-I. *J. Immunol.* 2016, 196, 1209–1217. [CrossRef]
100. Du, Y.; Duan, T.; Feng, Y.; Liu, Q.; Lin, M.; Cui, J.; Wang, R.F. LRRC25 inhibits type I IFN signaling by targeting ISG15-associated RIG-I for autophagic degradation. *EMBO J.* 2018, 37, 351–366. [CrossRef]
101. Chakrabarti, A.; Ghosh, P.K.; Banerjee, S.; Gaughan, C.; Silverman, R.H. RNase L Triggers Autophagy in Response to Viral Infections. *J. Virol.* 2012, 86, 11311–11321. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
102. Richetta, C.; Faure, M. Autophagy in antiviral innate immunity. *Cell Microbiol.* 2013, 15, 368–376. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
103. Thornbrough, J.M.; Jha, B.K.; Yount, B.; Goldstein, S.A.; Li, Y.; Elliott, R.; Sims, A.C.; Baric, R.S.; Silverman, R.H.; Weissa, S.R. Middle east respiratory syndrome coronavirus NS4b protein inhibits host RNase L activation. *MBio* 2016, 7. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
104. Yang, Y.; Zhang, L.; Deng, H.; Huang, B.; Guo, Y.; Zhao, Z.; Tan, W. The structural and accessory proteins M, ORF 4a, ORF 4b, and ORF 5 of Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) are potent interferon antagonists. *Protein Cell* 2013, 4, 951–961. [CrossRef]
105. Perot, B.P.; Boussier, J.; Yatim, N.; Rossman, J.S.; Ingersoll, M.A.; Albert, M.L. Autophagy diminishes the early interferon-β response to influenza A virus resulting in differential expression of interferon-stimulated genes. *Cell Death Dis.* 2018, 9, 1–15. [CrossRef]
106. Pengo, N.; Scolari, M.; Oliva, L.; Milan, E.; Mainoldi, F.; Raimondi, A.; Fagioli, C.; Merlini, A.; Mariani, E.; Pasqualetto, E.; et al. Plasma cells require autophagy for sustainable immunoglobulin production. *Nat. Immunol.* 2013, 14, 298–305. [CrossRef]
107. Liang, Q.; Seo, G.J.; Choi, Y.J.; Kwak, M.J.; Ge, J.; Rodgers, M.A.; Shi, M.; Leslie, B.J.; Hopfner, K.P.; Ha, T.; et al. Crosstalk between the cGAS DNA sensor and Beclin-1 autophagy protein shapes innate antimicrobial immune responses. *Cell Host Microbe.* 2014, 15, 228–238. [CrossRef]
108. Loi, M.; Garnagé, M.; Münz, C. ATGs help MHC class II, but inhibit MHC class I antigen presentation. *Autophagy* 2016, 12, 1681–1682. [CrossRef]
109. Ni, L.; Ye, F.; Cheng, M.L.; Feng, Y.; Deng, Y.Q.; Zhao, H.; Wei, P.; Ge, J.; Gou, M.; Li, X.; et al. Detection of SARS-CoV-2-Specific Humoral and Cellular Immunity in COVID-19 Convalescent Individuals. *Immunity* 2020, 52, 971–977.e3. [CrossRef]
110. Xue, Z.; Zhang, Z.; Liu, H.; Li, W.; Guo, X.; Zhang, Z.; Liu, Y.; Jia, L.; Li, Y.; Ren, Y.; et al. lincRNA-Cox2 regulates NLRP3 inflammasome and autophagy mediated neuroinflammation. *Cell Death Differ.* 2019, 26, 130–145. [CrossRef]
111. Lai, M.; Yao, H.; Ali Shah, S.Z.; Wu, W.; Wang, D.; Zhao, Y.; Wang, L.; Zhou, X.; Zhao, D.; Yang, L. The NLRP3-Caspase 1 inflammasome negatively regulates autophagy via TLR4-TRIF in prion peptide-infected microglia. *Front. Aging Neurosci.* 2018, 10, 116. [CrossRef]
112. Tang, Y.; Cai, Q.H.; Wang, Y.J.; Fan, S.H.; Zhang, Z.F.; Xiao, M.Q.; Zhu, J.Y.; Wu, D.M.; Lu, J.; Zheng, Y.L. Protective effect of autophagy on endoplasmic reticulum stress induced apoptosis of alveolar epithelial cells in rat models of COPD. *Biosci. Rep.* 2017, 37, BSR20170803. [CrossRef]
113. Zhang, D.; He, Y.; Ye, X.; Cai, Y.; Xu, J.; Zhang, L.; Li, M.; Liu, H.; Wang, S.; Xia, Z. Activation of autophagy inhibits nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain-like receptor protein 3 inflammasome activation and attenuates myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury in diabetic rats. *J. Diabetes Investig.* 2020, 11, 1126–1136. [CrossRef]

114. Wang, Y.; Meng, C.; Zhang, J.; Wu, J.; Zhao, J. Inhibition of GSK-3β alleviates cerebral ischemia/reperfusion injury in rats by suppressing NLRP3 inflammasome activation through autophagy. *Int. Immunopharmacol.* 2019, 68, 234–241. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

115. Dong, W.; He, B.; Qian, H.; Liu, Q.; Wang, D.; Li, J.; Wei, Z.; Wang, Z.; Xu, Z.; Wu, G.; et al. RAB26-dependent autophagy protects adherens junctional integrity in acute lung injury. *Autophagy* 2018, 14, 1677–1692. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

116. Abdel Fattah, E.; Bhattacharya, A.; Herron, A.; Safdar, Z.; Eissa, N.T. Critical Role for IL-18 in Spontaneous Hypertension Caused by Autophagy Deficiency. *J. Immunol.* 2015, 194, 5407–5416. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

117. Lu, H.; Fan, Y.; Qiao, C.; Liang, W.; Hu, W.; Zhu, T.; Zhang, J.; Chen, Y.E. TFEB inhibits endothelial cell inflammation and reduces atherosclerosis. *Sci. Signal.* 2017, 10. [CrossRef]

118. McCarthy, M.K.; Weinberg, J.B. The immunoproteasome and viral infection: A complex regulator of inflammation. *Front. Microbiol.* 2015, 6, 21. [CrossRef]

119. Basler, M.; Kirk, C.J.; Gretentrup, M. The immunoproteasome in antigen processing and other immunological functions. *Curr. Opin. Immunol.* 2013, 25, 74–80. [CrossRef]

120. Liu, Q.; Hu, W.; Zhang, Y.L.; Hu, S.P.; Zhang, Z.; He, X.J.; Cai, X.H. Anti-viral immune response in the lung and thymus: Molecular characterization and expression analysis of immunoproteasome subunits LMP2, LMP7 and MECL-1 in pigs. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 2018, 502, 472–478. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

121. Josset, L.; Menachery, V.D.; Gralinski, L.E.; Agnihothram, S.; Sova, P.; Carter, V.S.; Yount, B.L.; Graham, R.L.; Baric, R.S.; Katzea, M.G. Cell host response to infection with novel human coronavirus EMC predicts potential antivirals and important differences with SARS coronavirus. *MBio* 2013, 4. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

122. Althof, N.; Goetzke, C.C.; Kespolh, M.; Voss, K.; Heuser, A.; Pinkert, S.; Kaya, Z.; Klingel, K.; Beling, A. The immunoproteasome-specific inhibitor ONX 0914 reverses susceptibility to acute viral myocarditis. *EMBO Mol. Med.* 2018, 10, 200–218. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

123. Zhao, J.; Zhai, B.; Gygi, S.P.; Goldberg, A.L. MTOR inhibition activates overall protein degradation by the ubiquitin proteasome system as well as by autophagy. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2015, 112, 15790–15797. [CrossRef]

124. Yun, Y.S.; Kim, K.H.; Tschida, B.; Sachs, Z.; Noble-Orcutt, K.E.; Moriarity, B.S.; Ai, T.; Ding, R.; Williams, J.; Chen, L.; et al. mTORC1 Coordinates Protein Synthesis and Immunoproteasome Formation via PRAS40 to Prevent Accumulation of Protein Stress. *Mol. Cell* 2016, 61, 625–639. [CrossRef]

125. Xie, X.; Bi, H.L.; Lai, S.; Zhang, Y.L.; Li, N.; Cao, H.J.; Han, L.; Wang, H.X.; Li, H.H. The immunoproteasome catalytic β5i subunit regulates cardiac hypertrophy by targeting the autophagy protein ATG5 for degradation. *Sci. Adv.* 2019, 5. [CrossRef]

126. Chen, C.; Zou, L.X.; Lin, Q.Y.; Yan, X.; Bi, H.L.; Xie, X.; Wang, S.; Wang, Q.S.; Zhang, Y.L.; Li, H.H. Resveratrol as a new inhibitor of immunoproteasome prevents PTEN degradation and attenuates cardiac hypertrophy after pressure overload. *Redox Biol.* 2019, 20, 390–401. [CrossRef]

127. Moura, R.R.; Agrelli, A.; Santos-Silva, C.A.; Silva, N.; Assunção, B.R.; Brandão, L.; Benko-Iseppon, A.M.; Crovella, S. Immunoinformatic approach to assess SARS-CoV-2 protein S epitopes recognised by the most frequent MHC-I alleles in the Brazilian population. *J. Clin. Pathol.* 2020. [CrossRef]

128. Kiyotani, K.; Toyoshima, Y.; Nemoto, K.; Nakamura, Y. Bioinformatic prediction of potential T cell epitopes for SARS-CoV-2. *J. Hum. Genet.* 2020, 65, 569–575. [CrossRef]

129. Zhao, X.; Zheng, J.; Yan, Y.; Ruan, Z.; Su, Y.; Wang, J.; Huang, H.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, W.; Gao, J.; et al. Angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 regulates autophagy in acute lung injury through AMPK/mTOR signaling. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* 2019, 672. [CrossRef]

130. Li, J.; Wang, S.; Zhang, Y.L.; Bai, J.; Lin, Q.Y.; Liu, R.S.; Yu, X.H.; Li, H.H. Immunoproteasome Subunit β5i Promotes Ang II (Angiotensin II)-Induced Atrial Fibrillation by Targeting ATRAP (Ang II Type I Receptor-Associated Protein) Degradation in Mice. *Hypertension* 2019, 73, 92–101. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
132. Li, F.; Nie, H.; Tian, C.; Wang, H.; Sun, B.; Ren, H.; Zhang, X.; Liao, P.; Liu, D.; Li, H.; et al. Ablation and Inhibition of the Immunoproteasome Catalytic Subunit LMP7 Attenuate Experimental Abdominal Aortic Aneurysm Formation in Mice. J. Immunol. 2019, 202, 1176–1185. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

133. Yu, Y.; Wang, L.; Delgust, F.; Durand, A.; Guillaume, A.; Rousselin, C.; Schmidt, A.M.; Tessier, F.; Boulanger, E.; Neviere, R. Advanced glycation end products receptor RAGE controls myocardial dysfunction and oxidative stress in high-fat fed mice by sustaining mitochondrial dynamics and autophagy-lysosome pathway. Free Radic. Biol. Med. 2017, 112, 397–410. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

134. Qureshi, N.; Morrison, D.C.; Reis, J. Proteasome protease mediated regulation of cytokine induction and inflammation. Biochim. Biophys. Acta-Mol. Cell Res. 2012, 1823, 2087–2093. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

135. Pla, A.; Pascual, M.; Renau-Piqueras, J.; Guerri, C. TLR4 mediates the impairment of ubiquitin-proteasome and autophagy-lysosome pathways induced by ethanol treatment in brain. Cell Death Dis. 2014, 5, e1066. [CrossRef]

136. Grimm, S.; Ott, C.; Hörlacher, M.; Weber, D.; Höhn, A.; Grune, T. Advanced-glycation-end-product-induced formation of immunoproteasomes: Involvement of RAGE and Jak2/STAT1. Biochim. J. 2012, 448, 127–139. [CrossRef]

137. Sixt, S.U.; Alami, R.; Hakenbeck, J.; Adamzik, M.; Kloß, A.; Costabel, U.; Jungblut, P.R.; Dahlmann, B.; Peters, J. Distinct proteasome subpopulations in the alveolar space of patients with the acute respiratory distress syndrome. Mediators Inflamm. 2012. [CrossRef]

138. Donadio, M.E.; Loiacono, E.; Peruzzi, L.; Amore, A.; Camilla, R.; Chiale, F.; Vergano, L.; Boido, A.; Conrieri, M.; Bianciotto, M.; et al. Toll-like receptors, immunoproteasome and regulatory T cells in children with Henoch-Schönlein purpura and primary IgA nephropathy. Pediatr. Nephrol. 2014, 29, 1545–1551. [CrossRef]

139. Ostrowska, H.; Kruszewski, K.; Kasacka, I. Immuno-proteasome subunit LMP7 is up-regulated in the ischemic kidney in an experimental model of renovascular hypertension. Int. J. Biochem. Cell Biol. 2006, 38, 1778–1785. [CrossRef]

140. Yan, W.; Bi, H.L.; Liu, L.X.; Li, N.N.; Liu, Y.; Du, J.; Wang, H.X.; Li, H.H. Knockout of immunoproteasome subunit β2i ameliorates cardiac fibrosis and inflammation in DOCA/Salt hypertensive mice. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 2017, 490, 84–90. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

141. Cebrían, C.; Zucca, F.A.; Mauri, P.; Steinbeck, J.A.; Studer, L.; Scherzer, C.R.; Kanter, E.; Budhu, S.; Mandelbaum, J.; Vonsattel, J.P.; et al. MHC-I expression renders catecholaminergic neurons susceptible to T-cell-mediated degeneration. Nat. Commun. 2014, 5, 1–14. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

142. Alirezaei, M.; Kiosses, W.B.; Flynn, C.T.; Brady, N.R.; Fox, H.S. Disruption of Neuronal Autophagy by Infected Microglia Results in Neurodegeneration. PLoS ONE 2008, 3, e2906. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

143. Nguyen, T.P.; Soukup, V.M.; Gelman, B.B. Persistent hijacking of brain proteasomes in HIV-associated dementia. Am. J. Pathol. 2010, 176, 893–902. [CrossRef]

144. Shen, X.Z.; Billet, S.; Lin, C.; Okwan-Duodu, D.; Chen, X.; Lukacher, A.E.; Bernstein, K.E. The carboxypeptidase ACE shapes the MHC class I peptide repertoire. Nat. Immunol. 2011, 12, 1078–1085. [CrossRef]

145. Wang, S.; Li, J.; Bai, J.; Li, J.M.; Che, Y.L.; Lin, Q.Y.; Zhang, Y.L.; Li, H.H. The immunoproteasome subunit LMP10 mediates angiotensin II-induced retinopathy in mice. Redox Biol. 2018, 16, 129–138. [CrossRef]

146. Wang, S.; Li, J.; Wang, T.; Bai, J.; Zhang, Y.L.; Lin, Q.Y.; Li, J.M.; Zhao, Q.; Guo, S.B.; Li, H.H. Ablation of Immunoproteasome β5i Subunit Suppresses Hypertensive Retinopathy by Blocking ATRAP Degradation in Mice. Mol. Ther. 2019, 28, 279–292. [CrossRef]

147. Cao, H.J.; Fang, J.; Zhang, Y.L.; Zou, L.X.; Han, X.; Yang, J.; Yan, X.; Li, P.B.; Wang, H.X.; Guo, S.B.; et al. Genetic ablation and pharmacological inhibition of immunosubunit β5i attenuates cardiac remodeling in deoxycorticosterone-acetate (DOCA)-salt hypertensive mice. J. Mol. Cell. Cardiol. 2019, 137, 34–45. [CrossRef]

148. Li, J.; Wang, S.; Bai, J.; Yang, X.L.; Zhang, Y.L.; Che, Y.L.; Li, H.H.; Yang, Y.Z. Novel role for the immunoproteasome subunit PSMB10 in angiotensin II-induced atrial fibrillation in Mice. Hypertension 2018, 71, 866–876. [CrossRef]

149. Wang, S.; Guo, F.; Liu, K.; Wang, H.; Rao, S.; Yang, P.; Jiang, C. Endocytosis of the receptor-binding domain of SARS-CoV spike protein together with virus receptor ACE2. Virus Res. 2008, 136, 8–15. [CrossRef]
150. Gheblawi, M.; Wang, K.; Viveiros, A.; Nguyen, Q.; Zhong, J.C.; Turner, A.J.; Raizada, M.K.; Grant, M.B.; Oudit, G.Y. Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme 2: SARS-CoV-2 Receptor and Regulator of the Renin-Angiotensin System: Celebrating the 20th Anniversary of the Discovery of ACE2. *Circ. Res.* **2020**, *126*, 1456–1474. [CrossRef]

151. Deshotels, M.R.; Xia, H.; Sriramula, S.; Lazartigues, E.; Filipeanu, C.M. Angiotensin II mediates angiotensin converting enzyme type 2 internalization and degradation through an Angiotensin II type I receptor-dependent mechanism. *Hypertension* **2014**, *64*, 1368–1375. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

152. Zhao, W.; Li, Y.; Jia, L.; Pan, L.; Li, H.; Du, J. Atg5 deficiency-mediated mitophagy aggravates cardiac inflammation and injury in response to angiotensin II. *Free Radic. Biol. Med.* **2014**, *69*, 108–115. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

153. Qi, G.M.; Jia, L.X.; Li, Y.L.; Li, H.H.; Du, J. Adiponectin suppresses angiotensin II-induced inflammation and cardiac fibrosis through activation of macrophage autophagy. *Endocrinology* **2014**, *155*, 2245–2265. [CrossRef]

154. Farini, A.; Gowran, A.; Bella, P.; Sitzia, C.; Scopece, A.; Castiglioni, E.; Rovina, D.; Nigro, P.; Villa, C.; Fortunato, F.; et al. Fibrosis Rescue Improves Cardiac Function in Dystrophin-Deficient Mice and Duchenne Patient–Specific Cardiomyocytes by Immunoproteasome Modulation. *Am. J. Pathiol.* **2019**, *189*, 339–353. [CrossRef]

155. Zhang, M.; Scheckman, R. Unconventional secretion, unconventional solutions. *Science* **2013**, *340*, 559–561. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

156. Gunasekaran, M.; Bansal, S.; Ravichandran, R.; Sharma, M.; Perincheri, S.; Rodriguez, F.; Hachem, R.; Fisher, C.E.; Limaye, A.P.; Omar, A.; et al. Respiratory viral infection in lung transplantation induces exosomes that trigger chronic rejection. *J. Heart Lung Transplant.* **2020**, *39*, 379–388. [CrossRef]

157. Pleet, M.L.; Branscome, H.; DeMarino, C.; Pinto, D.O.; Zadeh, M.A.; Rodriguez, M.; Sartyer, I.K.; El-Hage, N.; Khashanchi, F. Autophagy, EVs, and infections: A perfect question for a perfect time. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* **2018**, *8*, 362. [CrossRef]

158. Sergin, I.; Evans, T.D.; Zhang, X.; Bhattacharya, S.; Stokes, C.J.; Song, E.; Ali, S.; Dehestani, B.; Holloway, K.B.; Micevych, P.; et al. Exploiting macrophage autophagy-lysosomal biogenesis as a therapy for atherosclerosis. *Nat. Commun.* **2017**, *8*. [CrossRef]

159. Majojima, Y.; Kyoi, S.; Zhai, P.; Liu, T.; Li, H.; Ivessa, A.; Sciarretta, S.; Del Re, D.P.; Zablocki, D.K.; Hsu, C.P.; et al. Mst1 inhibits autophagy by promoting the interaction between beclin1 and Bcl-2. *Nat. Med.* **2013**, *19*, 1478–1488. [CrossRef]

160. Wang, B.; Yang, Q.; Sun, Y.Y.; Xing, Y.F.; Wang, Y.B.; Lu, X.T.; Bai, W.W.; Liu, X.Q.; Zhao, Y.X. Resveratrol-enhanced autophagic flux ameliorates myocardial oxidative stress injury in diabetic mice. *J. Cell. Mol. Med.* **2014**, *18*, 1599–1611. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

161. Gurusamy, N.; Lekli, I.; Mukherjee, S.; Ray, D.; Ahsan, M.K.; Gherghiceanu, M.; Popescu, L.M.; Das, D.K. Cardioprotection by resveratrol: A novel mechanism via autophagy involving the mTORC2 pathway. *Cardiovasc. Res.* **2010**, *86*, 103–112. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

162. Lim, H.; Lim, Y.M.; Kim, K.H.; Jeon, Y.E.; Park, K.; Kim, J.; Hwang, H.Y.; Lee, D.J.; Pagire, H.; Kwon, H.J.; et al. A novel autophagy enhancer as a therapeutic agent against metabolic syndrome and diabetes. *Nat. Commun.* **2018**, *9*, 1–14. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

163. Orioli, L.; Hermanns, M.P.; Thissen, J.P.; Maiter, D.; Vandeleene, B.; Yombi, J.C. COVID-19 in diabetic patients: Related risks and specifics of management. *Ann. Endocrinol.* **2020**, *81*, 101–109. [CrossRef]

164. Lechien, J.R.; Chiesa-Estomba, C.M.; De Siati, D.R.; Horoi, M.; Le Bon, S.D.; Rodriguez, A.; Dequanter, D.; Bleic, S.; El Afia, F.; Distinguin, L.; et al. Olfactory and gustatory dysfunctions as a clinical presentation of mild-to-moderate forms of the coronavirus disease (COVID-19): A multicenter European study. *Eur. Arch. Oto-Rhino-Laryngol.* **2020**, *277*, 2251–2261. [CrossRef]

165. Andersson, U.; Ottestad, W.; Tracey, K.J. Extracellular HMGB1: A therapeutic target in severe pulmonary inflammation including COVID-19? *Mol. Med.* **2020**, *26*, 42. [CrossRef]

166. Gambardella, S.; Limanaqi, F.; Ferese, R.; Biagioni, F.; Campopiano, R.; Centonze, D.; Fornai, F. CCF-mtDNA as a potential link between the brain and immune system in neuro-immunological disorders. *Front. Immunol.* **2019**, *10*, 1064. [CrossRef]
168. Coleman, L.G.; Maile, R.; Jones, S.W.; Cairns, B.A.; Crews, F.T. HMGB1/IL-1β complexes in plasma microvesicles modulate immune responses to burn injury. *PLoS ONE* **2018**, *13*, [CrossRef]  

169. Ganji, A.; Farahani, I.; Khansarinejad, B.; Ghazavi, A.; Mosayebi, G. Increased expression of CD8 marker on T-cells in COVID-19 patients. *Blood Cells Mol. Dis.* **2020**, *83*, 102437. [CrossRef]  

170. Al Azzaz, J.; Rieu, A.; Aires, V.; Delmas, D.; Chluba, J.; Winckler, P.; Bringer, M.A.; Lamarche, J.; Vervandier-Fasseur, D.; Dalle, F.; et al. Resveratrol-Induced Xenophagy Promotes Intracellular Bacteria Clearance in Intestinal Epithelial Cells and Macrophages. *Front. Immunol.* **2019**, *9*, 3149. [CrossRef]  

171. Chen, M.L.; Yi, L.; Jin, X.; Liang, X.Y.; Zhou, Y.; Zhang, T.; Xie, Q.; Zhou, X.; Chang, H.; Fu, Y.J.; et al. Resveratrol attenuates vascular endothelial inflammation by inducing autophagy through the AMP signaling pathway. *Autophagy* **2013**, *9*, 2033–2045. [CrossRef] [PubMed]  

172. Guixé-Muntet, S.; de Mesquita, F.C.; Vila, S.; Hernández-Gea, V.; Peralta, C.; García-Pagán, J.C.; Bosch, J.; Gracia-Sancho, J. Cross-talk between autophagy and KLF2 determines endothelial cell phenotype and microvascular function in acute liver injury. *J. Hepatol.* **2017**, *66*, 86–94. [CrossRef] [PubMed]  

173. Lin, I.F.; Lee, Y.H.; Hsu, Y.H.; Chen, Y.J.; Lin, Y.F.; Cheng, F.Y.; Chiu, H.W. Resveratrol-loaded nanoparticles conjugated with kidney injury molecule-1 as a drug delivery system for potential use in chronic kidney disease. *Nanomedicine* **2017**, *12*, 2741–2756. [CrossRef] [PubMed]  

174. Kim, Y.H.; Bae, J.U.; Kim, I.S.; Chang, C.L.; Oh, S.O.; Kim, C.D. SIRT1 prevents pulmonary thrombus formation induced by arachidonic acid via downregulation of PAF receptor expression in platelets. *Platelets* **2016**, *27*, 735–742. [PubMed]  

175. Guo, D.; Xie, J.; Zhao, J.; Huang, T.; Guo, X.; Song, J. Resveratrol protects early brain injury after subarachnoid hemorrhage by activating autophagy and inhibiting apoptosis mediated by the Akt/mTOR pathway. *Neuroreport* **2019**, *29*, 368–379. [CrossRef] [PubMed]  

176. Yang, Q.B.; He, Y.L.; Zhong, X.W.; Xie, W.G.; Zhou, J.G. Resveratrol ameliorates gouty inflammation via p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase and monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 signaling in rats. *Drug Des. Dev. Ther.* **2019**, *13*, 1843–1853. [CrossRef]
Antioxidants 2020, 9, 1105

187. Ding, S.; Wang, H.; Wang, M.; Bai, L.; Yu, P.; Wu, W. Resveratrol alleviates chronic “real-world” ambient particulate matter-induced lung inflammation and fibrosis by inhibiting NLRP3 inflammasome activation in mice. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* 2019, 182, 109425. [CrossRef]

188. Yu, W.; Tao, M.; Zhao, Y.; Hu, X.; Wang, M. 4‘-methoxyresveratrol alleviated AGE-induced inflammation via RAGE-mediated NF-κB and NLRP3 inflammasome pathway. *Molecules* 2018, 23, 1447. [CrossRef]

189. Moussa, C.; Hebron, M.; Huang, X.; Ahn, J.; Rissman, R.A.; Aisen, P.S.; Turner, R.S. Resveratrol regulates neuro-inflammation and induces adaptive immunity in Alzheimer’s disease. *J. Neuroinflamm. 2017, 14, 1.* [CrossRef]

190. Yang, X.; Xu, S.; Qian, Y.; Xiao, Q. Resveratrol regulates microglia M1/M2 polarization via PGC-1α in conditions of neuroinflammatory injury. *Brain. Behav. Immun. 2017, 64, 162–172.* [CrossRef]

191. Han, X.; Sun, S.; Sun, Y.; Song, Q.; Zhu, J.; Song, N.; Chen, M.; Sun, T.; Xia, M.; Ding, J.; et al. Small molecule-driven NLRP3 inflammation inhibition via interplay between ubiquitination and autophagy: Implications for Parkinson disease. *Autophagy 2019, 15, 1860–1881.* [CrossRef] [PubMed]

192. Zhi, K.; Li, M.; Bai, J.; Wu, Y.; Zhou, S.; Zhang, X.; Qu, L. Quercitin treatment protects endothelial progenitor cells from oxidative damage via inducing autophagy through extracellular signal-regulated kinase. *Angiogenesis 2016, 19, 311–324.* [CrossRef]

193. Xue, Y.; Du, M.; Zhu, M.J. Quercetin suppresses NLRP3 inflammasome activation in epithelial cells triggered by Escherichia coli O157:H7. *Free Radic. Biol. Med.* 2017, 108, 760–769. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

194. Leyton, L.; Hott, M.; Acuña, F.; Caroca, J.; Nuñez, M.; Martin, C.; Zambrano, A.; Concha, M.I.; Otth, C. Nutraceutical activators of AMPK/Sirt1 axis inhibit viral production and protect neurons from neurodegenerative events triggered during HSV-1 infection. *Virus Res. 2015, 205, 63–72.* [CrossRef] [PubMed]

195. Liberal, J.; Francisco, V.; Costa, G.; Figueirinha, A.; Amaral, M.T.; Marques, C.; Girão, H.; Lopes, M.C.; Cruz, M.T.; Batista, M.T. Bioactivity of Fragaria vesca leaves through inflammation, proteasome and autophagy modulation. *J. Ethnopharmacol. 2014, 158, 113–122.* [CrossRef] [PubMed]

196. Al-Rasheed, N.M.; Fadda, L.; Atta, H.A.; Sharaf, I.A.; Mohamed, A.M.; Al-Rasheed, N.M. Pulmonary prophylactic impact of melatonin and/or quercetin: A novel therapy for inflammatory hypoxic stress in rats. *Acta Pharm. 2017, 67, 125–135.* [CrossRef] [PubMed]

197. Dabeek, W.M.; Marra, M.V. Dietary quercetin and kaempferol: Bioavailability and potential cardiovascular-related bioactivity in humans. *Nutrients 2019, 11, 2288.* [CrossRef] [PubMed]

198. Patel, R.V.; Mistry, B.M.; Shinde, S.K.; Syed, R.; Singh, V.; Shin, H.S. Therapeutic potential of quercetin as a cardiovascular agent. *Eur. J. Med. Chem. 2018, 155, 889–904.* [CrossRef]

199. Perez-Vizzcaino, F.; Duarte, J.; Jimenez, R.; Santos-Buelga, C.; Osluna, A.; Concha, M.I.; Otth, C. Nutraceutical activators of AMPK/Sirt1 axis inhibit viral production and protect neurons from neurodegenerative events triggered during HSV-1 infection. *Virus Res. 2015, 205, 63–72.* [CrossRef] [PubMed]

200. Cheng, X.; Yang, Y.; Wang, Y.; Wang, Y.; Du, G.H. Kaempferol alleviates LPS-induced neuroinflammation and BBB dysfunction in mice via inhibiting HMGB1 release and down-regulating TLR4/MyD88 pathway. *Int. Immunopharmacol. 2018, 56, 29–35.* [CrossRef]

201. Velagapudi, R.; Jamshaid, F.; Lepiarz, I.; Katola, F.O.; Hemmings, K.; Olajide, O.A. The tiliroside derivative, 3-O-[(E)-(2-oxo-4-(p-tolyl) but–3–en–1–yl] kaempferol produced inhibition of neuroinflammation and activation of AMPK and Nrf2/HO-1 pathways in BV-2 microglia. *Int. Immunopharmacol. 2019, 77, 105951.* [CrossRef] [PubMed]

202. Li, W.H.; Cheng, X.; Yang, Y.L.; Liu, M.; Zhang, S.S.; Wang, Y.H.; Du, G.H. Kaempferol attenuates neuroinflammation and blood brain barrier dysfunction to improve neurological deficits in cerebral ischemia/reperfusion rats. *Brain Res. 2019, 1722, 146361.* [CrossRef] [PubMed]

203. Yang, Y.L.; Cheng, X.; Li, W.H.; Liu, M.; Wang, Y.H.; Du, G.H. Kaempferol attenuates LPS-induced striatum injury in mice involving anti-neuroinflammation, maintaining BBB integrity, and down-regulating the HMGB1/TLR4 pathway. *Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2019, 20, 491.* [CrossRef] [PubMed]

204. Lee, B.; Yeom, M.; Shim, I.; Lee, H.; Hahn, D.H. Protective Effects of Quercetin on Anxiety-Like Symptoms and Neuroinflammation Induced by Lipopolysaccharide in Rats. *Evid.-Based Complement. Altern. Med. 2020, 2020, 4892415.* [CrossRef]

205. Škandik, M.; Mrvová, N.; Bezek, Š.; Račková, L. Semisynthetic quercetin-quinone mitigates BV-2 microglia activation through modulation of Nrf2 pathway. *Free Radic. Biol. Med. 2020, 152, 18–32.* [CrossRef]
206. Fan, H.; Tang, H.B.; Shan, L.Q.; Liu, S.C.; Huang, D.G.; Chen, X.; Chen, Z.; Yang, M.; Yin, X.H.; Yang, H.; et al. Quercetin prevents necroptosis of oligodendrocytes by inhibiting macrophages/microglia polarization to M1 phenotype after spinal cord injury in rats. *J. Neuroinflamm.* 2019, 16, 1–15. [CrossRef]

207. Schubert, W.; Eriksson, U.; Edger, B.; Cullberg, G.; Hedner, T. Flavonoids in grapefruit juice inhibit the in vitro hepatic metabolism of 17β-estradiol. *Eur. J. Drug Metab. Pharmacokinet.* 1995, 20, 219–224. [CrossRef]

208. Varma, R.; Noori-Zadeh, A.; Rajaee, F.; Barati, S.; Bakhtiyari, S. 17 β-Estradiol oxidative stress attenuation and autophagy-induced dopaminergic neuroprotection. *Cell J.* 2019, 21, 1–6. [CrossRef]

209. La Vignera, S.; Cannarella, R.; Condorelli, R.A.; Torre, F.; Aversa, A.; Calogero, A.E. Sex-specific SARS-CoV2 mortality: Among hormone-modulated ace2 expression, risk of venous thromboembolism and hypovitaminosis D. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2020, 21, 2948. [CrossRef]

210. Robinson, D.P.; Lorenzo, M.E.; Jian, W.; Klein, S.L. Elevated 17β-estradiol protects females from influenza a virus pathogenesis by suppressing inflammatory responses. *PLoS Pathog.* 2011, 7, e1002149. [CrossRef]

211. Choi, J.G.; Lee, H.; Kim, Y.S.; Hwang, Y.H.; Oh, Y.C.; Lee, B.; Moon, K.M.; Cho, W.K.; Ma, J.Y. Aloe vera and its Components Inhibit Influenza A Virus-Induced Autophagy and Replication. *Am. J. Chin. Med.* 2019, 47, 1307–1324. [CrossRef][PubMed]

212. Chen, L.; Li, J.; Luo, C.; Liu, H.; Xu, W.; Chen, G.; Liew, O.W.; Zhu, W.; Puah, C.M.; Shen, X.; et al. Binding interaction of quercetin-3-β-galactoside and its synthetic derivatives with SARS-CoV 3CLpro: Structure-activity relationship studies reveal salient pharmacophore features. *Bioorganic Med. Chem.* 2006, 14, 8295–8306. [CrossRef][PubMed]

213. Abian, O.; Ortega-Alarcon, D.; Jimenez-Alesanco, A.; Ceballos-Laita, L.; Vega, S.; Reyburn, H.T.; Rizzuti, B.; Velazquez-Campoy, A. Structural stability of SARS-CoV-2 3CLpro and identification of quercetin as an inhibitor by experimental screening. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* 2020, 164, 1693–1703. [CrossRef][PubMed]

214. Vijayakumar, B.G.; Ramesh, D.; Joji, A.; Jayachandra prakasan, J.; Kannan, T. In silico pharmacokinetic and molecular docking studies of natural flavonoids and synthetic indole chalcones against essential proteins of SARS-CoV-2. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 2020, 886, 173448. [CrossRef]

215. Pandey, P.; Rane, J.S.; Chatterjee, A.; Kumar, A.; Khan, R.; Prakash, A.; Ray, S. Targeting SARS-CoV-2 spike protein of COVID-19 with naturally occurring phytochemicals: An in silico study for drug development. *J. Biomat. Struct. Dyn.* 2020, 1, 1–11. [CrossRef]

216. Choi, H.J.; Song, J.H.; Park, K.S.; Kwon, D.H. Inhibitory effects of quercetin 3-rhamnoside on influenza A virus replication. *Eur. J. Pharm. Sci.* 2009, 37, 329–333. [CrossRef]

217. Kim, Y.; Narayanan, S.; Chang, K.O. Inhibition of influenza virus replication by plant-derived isoquercetin. *Antivir. Res.* 2010, 88, 227–235. [CrossRef]

218. Chen, C.; Jiang, Z.-Y.; Yu, B.; Wu, X.-L.; Dai, C.-Q.; Zhao, C.-L.; Ju, D.-H.; Chen, X.-Y. Study on the anti-H1N1 virus effects of quercetin and oseltamivir and their mechanism related to TLR7 pathway. *J. Asian Nat. Prod. Res.* 2012, 14, 877–885. [CrossRef]

219. Savov, V.M.; Galalov, A.S.; Tanicheva, L.P.; Mileva, M.M.; Pavlova, E.L.; Stoeva, E.S.; Braykova, A.A. Effects of rutin and quercetin on monooxygenase activities in experimental influenza virus infection. *Exp. Toxicol. Pathol.* 2006, 58, 59–64. [CrossRef]

220. Mahy, B.W.J.; Cox, N.J.; Armstrong, S.J.; Barry, R.D. Multiplication of influenza virus in the presence of cordycepin, an inhibitor of cellular RNA synthesis. *Nat. New Biol.* 1973, 243, 172–174. [CrossRef]

221. Bridgen, C.L. Influenza virus RNA's in the cytoplasm of chicken embryo cells treated with 3′-deoxyadenosine. *J. Virol.* 1976, 18, 356–360. [CrossRef][PubMed]

222. Ryu, E.; Son, M.; Lee, M.; Lee, K.; Cho, J.Y.; Cho, S.; Lee, S.K.; Lee, Y.M.; Cho, H.; Sung, G.H.; et al. Cordycepin is a novel chemical suppressor of Epstein-Barr virus replication. *OncoScience* 2014, 1, 866–881. [CrossRef][PubMed]

223. Yang, L.; Jiao, X.; Wu, J.; Zhao, J.; Liu, T.; Xu, J.; Ma, X.; Cao, L.; Liu, L.; Liu, Y.; et al. Cordyceps sinensis inhibits airway remodeling in rats with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Exp. Ther. Med.* 2018, 15, 2731–2738. [CrossRef][PubMed]

224. Huang, T.T.; Lai, H.C.; Ko, Y.F.; Oçius, D.M.; Lan, Y.W.; Martel, J.; Young, J.D.; Chong, K.Y. Hirustella sinensis mycelium attenuates bleomycin-induced pulmonary inflammation and fibrosis in vivo. *Sci. Rep.* 2015, 5, 15282. [CrossRef]
225. Huang, T.T.; Chong, K.Y.; Ojcius, D.M.; Wu, Y.H.; Ko, Y.F.; Wu, C.Y.; Martel, J.; Lu, C.C.; Lai, H.C.; Young, J.D. Hirsutella sinensis mycelium suppresses interleukin-1β and interleukin-18 secretion by inhibiting both canonical and non-canonical inflammasomes. Sci. Rep. 2013, 3, 1374. [CrossRef]

226. Park, S.Y.; Jung, S.J.; Ha, K.C.; Sin, H.S.; Jang, S.H.; Chae, H.J.; Chae, S.W. Anti-inflammatory effects of Cordyceps mycelium (Paecilomyces hepalii, CBG-CS-2) in Raw264.7 murine macrophages. Orient. Pharm. Exp. Med. 2015, 15, 7–12. [CrossRef]

227. Fu, S.; Lu, W.; Yu, W.; Hu, J. Protective effect of Cordyceps sinensis extract on lipopolysaccharide-induced acute lung injury in mice. Biosci. Rep. 2019, 39, BSR20190789. [CrossRef]

228. Takakura, K.; Ito, S.; Sonoda, J.; Tabata, K.; Shiozaki, M.; Nagai, K.; Shibata, M.; Koike, M.; Uchiyama, Y.; Gotow, T. Cordyceps militaris improves the survival of Dahl salt-sensitive hypertensive rats possibly via influences of mitochondria and autophagy functions. Helion 2017, 3, e00462. [CrossRef]

229. Wang, M.J.; Peng, X.Y.; Lian, Z.Q.; Zhu, H. The cordycepin derivative IMM-H007 improves endothelial dysfunction by suppressing vascular inflammation and promoting AMPK-dependent eNOS activation in high-fat diet-fed ApoE knockout mice. Eur. J. Pharmacol. 2019, 852, 167–178. [CrossRef]

230. Limanaqi, F.; Biagioni, F.; Buscetti, C.L.; Polzella, M.; Fabrizi, C.; Forai, F. Potential Antidepressant Effects of Scutellaria baicalensis, Hericium erinaceus and Rhodiola rosea. Antioxidants 2020, 9, 234. [CrossRef]

231. Xu, G.; Dou, J.; Zhang, L.; Guo, Q.; Zhou, C. Inhibitory effects of baicalein on the influenza virus in vivo is determined by baicalein in the serum. Biol. Pharm. Bull. 2010, 33, 223–243. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

232. Chen, F.; Chan, K.H.; Jiang, Y.; Kao, R.Y.T.; Lu, H.T.; Fan, K.W.; Cheng, V.C.C.; Tsui, W.H.W.; Hung, I.F.N.; Lee, T.S.W.; et al. In vitro susceptibility of 10 clinical isolates of SARS coronavirus to selected antiviral compounds. J. Clin. Virol. 2004, 31, 69–75. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

233. Li, R.; Wang, L. Baicalin inhibits influenza virus A replication via activation of type I IFN signaling by reducing miR-146a. Mol. Med. Rep. 2019, 20, 5041–5049. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

234. Shi, C.-S.; Qi, H.-Y.; Boularan, C.; Huang, N.-N.; Abu-Asab, M.; Shelhamer, J.H.; Kehrl, J.H. SARS-Coronavirus Open Reading Frame-9b Suppresses Innate Immunity by Targeting Mitochondria and the MAVS/TRAF3/TRAF6 Signalsome. J. Immunol. 2014, 193, 3080–3089. [CrossRef]

235. Ding, Y.; Dou, J.; Teng, Z.; Yu, J.; Wang, T.; Lu, N.; Wang, H.; Zhou, C. Antiviral activity of baicalin against influenza A (H1N1/H3N2) virus in cell culture and in mice and its inhibition of neuraminidase. Arch. Virol. 2014, 159, 3269–3278. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

236. Jin, J.; Chen, Y.; Wang, D.; Ma, L.; Guo, M.; Zhou, C.; Dou, J. The inhibitory effect of sodium baicalin on oseltamivir-resistant influenza A virus via reduction of neuraminidase activity. Arch. Pharm. Res. 2018, 41, 664–676. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

237. Sithisarn, P.; Michaels, M.; Schubert-Zsilavecz, M.; Cinatl, J. Differential antiviral and anti-inflammatory mechanisms of the flavonoids biochanin A and baicalein in H5N1 influenza A virus-infected cells. Antivir. Res. 2013, 97, 41–48. [CrossRef]

238. Zhu, H.Y.; Han, L.; Shi, X.L.; Wang, B.L.; Huang, H.; Wang, X.; Chen, D.F.; Ju, D.W.; Feng, M.Q. Baicalin inhibits apoptosis induced by influenza virus H3N2. Antivir. Res. 2015, 113, 62–70. [CrossRef]

239. Nayak, M.K.; Agrawal, A.S.; Bose, S.; Naskar, S.; Bhowmick, R.; Chakrabarti, S.; Naskar, S.; Chawla-Sarkar, M. Antiviral activity of baicalin against influenza virus H1N1-pdm09 is due to modulation of NS1-mediated cellular innate immune responses. J. Antimicrob Chemother. 2014, 69, 1298–1310. [CrossRef]

240. Liu, C.; Zhu, X.; Lu, Y.; Zhang, X.; Jia, X.; Yang, T. Potential Treatment of Chinese and Western Medicine Targeting Nep14 of SARS-CoV-2. J. Pharm. Anal. 2020. [CrossRef]

241. Su, H.X.; Yao, S.; Zhao, W.F.; Li, M.J.; Liu, J.; Shang, W.J.; Xie, H.; Ke, C.Q.; Hu, H.C.; Gao, M.N.; et al. Anti-SARS-CoV-2 activities in vitro of Shuanghuanglian preparations and bioactive ingredients. Acta Pharmacol. Sin. 2020, 41, 1167–1177. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

242. Ku, S.K.; Bae, J.S. Baicalin, baicalein and wogonin inhibits high glucose-induced vascular inflammation in vitro and in vivo. BMB Rep. 2015, 48, 519–524. [CrossRef]

243. Dinda, B.; Dinda, S.; DasSharma, S.; Banik, R.; Chakraborty, A.; Dinda, M. Therapeutic potentials of baicalin and its aglycone, baicalein against inflammatory disorders. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 2017, 131, 68–80. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

244. Li, Z.; Cheng, J.; Liu, J. Baicalin Protects Human OA Chondrocytes Against IL-1β-Induced Apoptosis and ECM Degradation by Activating Autophagy via MiR-766-3p/AIFM1 Axis. Drug Des. Devel. Ther. 2020, 14, 2645–2655. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
245. Wang, G.; Liang, J.; Gao, L.R.; Si, Z.P.; Zhang, X.T.; Liang, G.; Yan, Y.; Li, K.; Cheng, X.; Bao, Y.; et al. Baicalin administration attenuates hyperglycemia-induced malformation of cardiovascular system. *Cell Death Dis.* 2018, 9, 234. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

246. Aryal, P.; Kim, K.; Park, P.H.; Ham, S.; Cho, J.; Song, K. Baicalein induces autophagic cell death through AMPK/ULK1 activation and downregulation of mTORC1 complex components in human cancer cells. *FEBS J.* 2014, 281, 4644–4658. [CrossRef]

247. Zhou, X.; Yang, J.; Zhou, M.; Zhang, Y.; Liu, Y.; Hou, P.; Zeng, X.; Yi, L.; Mi, M. Resveratrol attenuates endothelial oxidative injury by inducing autophagy via the activation of transcription factor EB. *Nutr. Metab.* 2019, 16, 42. [CrossRef]

248. Wang, P.; Jiang, L.; Zhou, N.; Zhou, H.; Liu, H.; Zhao, W.; Zhang, H.; Zhang, X.; Hu, Z. Resveratrol ameliorates autophagic flux to promote functional recovery in rats after spinal cord injury. *Oncotarget* 2018, 9, 8427–8440. [CrossRef]

249. Segal, G.; Mevorach, D.; Elis, A.; Dicker, D. Clinical Insights and Management Recommendations for COVID-19 Patients Hospitalized in Internal Medicine Departments: Recommendations by the Corona Department Heads in Israel. *Isr. Med. Assoc. J.* 2020, 22, 275–277.

250. Perzon, O.; Abutbul, A.; Svir, S.; Mevorach, D. Characterizations of Cytokine Storm Associated with COVID19 [abstract]. *Arthritis Rheumatol.* 2020, 72. Available online: https://acrabstracts.org/abstract/characterizations-of-cytokine-storm-associated-with-covid19/ (accessed on 29 October 2020).

251. Ryksalin, L.; Busceti, C.L.; Limanaqi, F.; Biagion, F.; Gambardella, S.; Fornai, F. A focus on the beneficial effects of alpha synuclein and a re-appraisal of synucleinopathies. *Curr. Protein Pept. Sci.* 2018, 19, 598–611. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

252. Nguyen, T.T.H.; Woo, H.J.; Kang, H.K.; Nguyen, V.D.; Kim, Y.M.; Kim, D.W.; Ahn, S.A.; Xia, Y.; Kim, D. Flavonoid-mediated inhibition of SARS coronavirus 3C-like protease expressed in Pichia pastoris. *Biotechnol. Lett.* 2012, 34, 831–838. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

253. Grant, W.B.; Lahore, H.; McDonnell, S.L.; Baggerly, C.A.; French, C.B.; Aliano, J.L.; Bhattoa, H.P. Evidence that vitamin D supplementation could reduce risk of influenza and covid-19 infections and deaths. *Nutrients* 2020, 12, 988. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

**Publisher’s Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.