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Abstract: Problem statement: Nanotechnology is already a large sector of industry and science research and it is expected to continue to grow at very fast rate. The determination of absolute measurements of length at the nanometer scale and below is very difficult and expensive. So the nanoscale metrology standard is needed. Approach: The laser-focused atomic deposition is a new way to establish nanoscale pitch standards. When the atoms pass through laser standing wave field, the atoms will change the moving trajectory and be focused to the node (or antinode) of the laser standing wave according to the detuning of laser frequency and atomic resonant frequency. Because of the period of the laser standing wave, laser mask will form the analogue of an array of cylindrical lens. If a substrate is positioned at the focal plane of this lens array, a periodic structure is depositing onto the surface. The period of this structure is \( \frac{\lambda}{2} \) of laser. Results: In this letter, a 425 nm laser light standing wave is used to focus a beam of chromium atoms to fabricate the nanoscale pitch standards sample of 213±0.1 nm. The height was 4 nm. The (FWHM) width of 64±6 nm. Conclusion/Recommendations: The period of this structure is \( \frac{\lambda}{2} \) of laser, whose spatial period can be traced directly to an atomic transition frequency and the uncertainty possibility is \( 10^{-5} \), which is fitted to be as the nanopitch standards.
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INTRODUCTION

Nanotechnology is already a large sector of industry and science research and it is expected to continue to grow at very fast rate (SAI, 1997). The only difference between nanotechnology and many other fields of science or engineering is that of size. Scientists and engineers want to exploit new physical phenomena that appear when the dimensions of the system are reduced to the nanometre range. Therefore, the precise measurement and control for dimensions of very small objects is the key issue of nanotechnology in which the dimensions of these objects are below 100 nm and the precision requested frequently is of the order of 0.1 nm. To demonstrate that any product or manufacturing process meets a specified functional demand requires quantitative measurements traceable to an agreed metrology scale. The precision was no longer sufficient; sample-to-sample bias variation is rapidly becoming a significant component of measurement uncertainty. The determination of absolute measurements of length at the nanometer scale and below is very difficult and expensive. In addition, it is difficult to transfer a well-defined macroscopic length standard to the nanometer scale because uncertainties that may be insignificant on the larger scale can become dominant in the transfer process (Postek et al., 1997; Dixon et al., 1999). The technique of laser-focused atomic deposition is a new way to establish nanoscale pitch standards. Artifacts made by this method can be traced directly to an atomic transition frequency. McClelland et al. (2003), have made chromium lines as a highly accurate nanoscale length standard by laser-focused atomic deposition and demonstrated that pitch standards with absolute uncertainties of a few parts in \( 10^{-5} \) are possible with this technique (McClelland et al., 2003).

In this article we will discuss our experiments which are using neutral chromium atoms to write...
periodic nanometerscale structures by laser-focused atomic deposition (Timp et al., 1992; McClelland et al., 1993; Sligte et al., 2004; McGowan et al., 1995; Rehse et al., 2000; Fioretti et al., 2005). In these experiments atoms are focused to the desired pattern by the optical dipole force which results from intensity gradients in a light field.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Materials:** $^{52}$Cr is used to deposit on a silicon wafer (SiO$_2$/Si(100)) with dimensions 15*3 mm/0.6 mm thick as the atom optical material. Cr has good sticking properties on surfaces and the shape of the structure is not expected to level off due to surface diffusion. $^{52}$Cr has a transition at $\lambda = 425.5$ nm from $^7S_3 \rightarrow ^7P_4^o$ which can be used for the dipole force manipulation as well as for beam preparation with laser cooling techniques. The transition with a natural line width of $\Gamma = 2\pi \times 5$ MHz is accessible with a laser system as such: A frequency-doubled CW single-mode Ti: Sapphire laser system, pumped with 10 W by a LD-pumped solid-state laser, typically produced 226 mW of blue light at 425.5 nm. The laser was locked to the atomic transition ($^7S_3 \rightarrow ^7P_4^o$) using a laser-induced fluorescence technique with stability less than 0.28 MHz (<5 MHz) (Yan et al., 2006). All experiments of depositing nanopitch standards were carried out in a turbo-molecular pumped vacuum system with typical pressure $10^{-5}$ Pa. The chromium atomic beam is produced by thermal evaporation out of an orifice ($\Phi = 1$ mm) of a ceramic crucible in a MBE oven at 1650°C. This leads to a deposition rate of typical 0.0125 nm sec$^{-1}$ at a distance of 0.8 m.

**The principle of fabricating nanoscale pitch standards:** The interaction of near-resonant laser fields with atoms can be shown as (Berggren et al., 1994):

$$\Gamma = \text{Natural line width of the atomic transition (2}\pi\times5\text{ MHz for chromium)}$$

$$\Delta = \text{Detuning of the laser frequency and atomic transition frequency}$$

$$I = \text{Laser intensity}$$

$$I_s = \text{Saturation intensity of the atomic transition (83 W m}^{-2}\text{ for chromium)}$$

$$v_x = \text{Atomic velocity of x direction}$$

$$k = \text{Wave vector of laser}$$

The force in Eq. 1 can be think as two part: velocity-dependent and conservative terms. The velocity-dependent terms, named as dissipative force, which arise from Doppler shifts experienced by the atom and from nonadiabatic effects, have been utilized extensively for laser cooling. Another term is called dipole force, which is the interaction of the induced atomic dipole with a gradient in the electric field of laser. The dipole force can be used to focus atoms. The velocity-dependent terms can be ignored when $\Delta >> \Gamma$ is matching.

The basic principle of the laser-focused atomic to the desired pattern is to use the light-induced force as light mask on free atoms to create nanostructures on a substrate, as Fig. 1 shown (McClelland et al., 1993). When the atoms collimated pass though near-resonant laser standing wave field, the atoms will change the moving trajectory and be focused to the node(or antinode) of the laser standing wave according to the detuning of laser frequency and atomic resonant frequency. Because of the period of the laser standing wave, laser will form the analogue of an array of cylindrical lense. If a substrate is positioned at the focal plane of this lens array, a periodic structure is depositing onto the surface. The period of this structure is $\lambda/2$ of laser, whose spatial period can be traced directly to an atomic transition frequency and the uncertainty possibility is $10^{-5}$, which is fitted to be as the nanopitch standard.

Fig. 1: Schematic of fabricating nanopitch standard
**The method of fabricating nanopitch standard:** The set up of fabrication of nanopitch standard is shown in Fig. 2. \( \text{Cr}^{52} \) is a particularly good atom for the study of atom lithography. In Fig. 2 the frame represents the vacuum chamber. The Cr beam is produced using a radiatively heated tantalum crucible with a 1mm circular aperture. Typically operating temperature is 1600°C. This beam is mechanically precollimated in the transverse direction to a divergence of about 4.5mrad with a 1mm square aperture 445 mm from the crucible.

The laser configuration required is within the range of current technology: Chromium has an optical transition from the \( ^{7}S_{0} \) ground state to the \( ^{7}P_{0} \) excited state at a vacuum wavelength of \( \lambda = 425.5 \) nm. This transition, with a natural line width of \( \Gamma = 2\pi *5\text{MHz} \), is accessible with a laser system as such: a 532 nm LD-pumped intracavity frequency-doubled laser (Coherent Verdi10) with a maximum power output of 10W pumps a titanium-sapphire laser (Coherent MBR100) with a maximum output of 1.4W, tuned at 851 nm. The laser beam at a wavelength of 425.55 nm is obtained by frequency so-called second harmonic generation of a 851 nm beam (Coherent MBD200) with a maximum output 220 mW. The 425 nm beam output from MBD200 is split 3 parts. The first part is used to stabilize the frequency of 425 nm laser at \( \pm 0.26 \) MHz away from the Cr atom resonance by home-made stabilization unit based on the detection of a Laser-Induced Fluorescence (LIF) signal from the chromium beam (Yan et al., 2006). It is because only the red-detuned laser can cool atom and in order to get an optimal performance of the laser cooling. The second part of laser beam is used to collimate Cr beam transversely. Before it enter into the vacuum chamber, the laser beam is expanded to a \( 1/e^2 \) width of 24 mm along the atom beam and a \( 1/e^2 \) width of 3mm transverse to the atom beam using cylindrical lens L1\((f = 12.7)\) and L2 \((f = 150 \text{ mm})\). This beam profile produces our best atomic beam collimation. Then it enter the vacuum chamber and align itself perpendicular to the atomic beam to better than 1mrad.

The collimation beam is retroreflected by a 0° mirror\((\text{reflection}>95\% )\) on the other side of the vacuum chamber and aligned parallel to itself to better than 1mrad. Due to the difficulty of perfectly collimating an elliptical beam the retroreflected laser beam expands an additional 5-10% as it travels the distance to the retroreflecting mirror and back to the interaction region \((\approx 50 \text{ cm})\). For the experimental parameters this additional expansion is inconsequential. The intensity of laser beam is 60mW. This beam and its retroreflected are used to removing the transverse kinetic energy from the atoms in one dimension, when Cr atoms pass them.

To obtain a standing light field which is spatially fixed to the substrate surface a laser beam with a beam waist of 100 \( \mu \)m is retro-reflect by a 0° mirror
(reflection>95%), which is directly against one face of right-angle prime and perpendicular to the substrate. The optics for the standing wave adjustment as well as the mirror and the substrate are mounted on a mount with five-dimension adjustment so that the standing wave is perpendicular to the atom beam axis. The transverse Gaussian intensity distribution of the standing wave is cut by the substrate in the centre in order to obtain the highest light intensity at the substrate.

To avoid excitation of the atoms in the standing light field, the frequency of the light is shifted with an Acousto-Optic Modulator (AOM) $\Delta = 250\text{MHz}$ to the blue side of the atomic resonance. Then the atoms are focused into the nodes of the standing wave.

**RESULTS**

Figure 3 AFM image (Top) of Cr features formed by laser-focused atomic deposition in a standing wave. The image covers a 5×5 µm region of the sample. The features are period grating with spacing 212.78 nm by PSD computation. Line profile is shown by the section analysis (Bottom), the location of being analyzed is indicated on the AFM image using white bright line. Lines are approximately 64±6 nm wide and 4nm height.

In Fig. 3 an atomic force microscope(AFM Veeco D3100) picture of a chromium nanostructure fabricated with light forces of a standing wave with $\lambda = 425.5$ nm is shown. The spacing of the parallel and periodic chromium lines is 213±0.1 nm, the period is $\lambda/2$ of the standing wave, which is known with much higher precision than the calibration of AFM. The (FWHM) width of 64±6 nm and the height of 4nm of the chromium lines are determined by averaging along the lines in this picture, which is not corrected for the shape of the AFM tip. This sample is also measured by NMM (Nanopositioning and Nanomeasuring) in SIMT. The result is shown in Table 1.

The total area with deposited periodic structure is 100×650µm². The area of the chromium structure in the direction of the lines is limited by the laser beam waist to about 100µm and perpendicular to the lines by the breadth of the mechanically-precollimated aperture(center) to 650 µm. And the structure in the whole area is uniform, which is got from the measurement of every 50 µm from the center of the structure. In Fig. 4 a 10×10 µm² area is monitored characterizing the uniformity of the periodic structure. The reason we cannot use large area to show the uniformity of the periodic structure is the little measuring range of AFM (45×45 µm) and the wide linewidth of grating.

---

Table 1: The result is measured by NMM

| Description                          | Value               |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------|
| Result for mean pitch in m:          | 2.1309e-007         |
| Standard deviation in m:             | 4.4651e-011         |
| Mean temperature X axis              | 21.1482 °C          |
| Mean temperature Y axis              | 21.0564 °C          |
| Mean temperature Z axis              | 20.4609 °C          |
| Mean air pressure:                   | 103137.7273 Pa      |
DISCUSSION

For improving the experiment results, the next job will be focused to theoretically analysis the effect of those key parameters on the results.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the technique of laser-focused atomic deposition is used to fabricate nanoscale pitch standard in this article. Chromium atoms are deposited onto a substrate by use of a standing wave. The observed pitch was determined to be 213±0.1 nm which coincided with λ/2 of the standing wave. The height was 4nm. The (FWHM) width of 64±6 nm.
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