Wide Band Stop Response Using Interdigital Capacitor/CSRR DGS in Elliptical Microstrip Low-Pass Filter
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Abstract—In this paper, a compact elliptic-response microstrip low pass filter (LPF) is presented with a wide stop-band using stepped impedance resonators. With high attenuation in the stop-band, the overall size reduction of the proposed filter is achieved using a novel defected ground structure technique using an interdigital capacitor and complementary split ring resonator (CSRR). A 4.2 GHz LPF is designed and simulated on FR4 substrate and a stop band of 8.8 GHz is obtained by utilizing interdigital and complementary split ring resonator. Results further show that the use of U-shaped high impedance line on the top layer of filter enhances the stop-band bandwidth by 2.2 GHz. In the final design, the passband insertion loss is found below 0.5 dB, and –10 dB is obtained over a band from 5.06 GHz to 17.06 GHz between input and output ports. The normalized circuit size of the filter is 0.417×0.202 and the figure of merit is calculated about 55 at the cut-off frequency. These proposed LPFs have promised significant advantages in the stop-band characteristics with an acceptable roll-off rate for spurious-free communications.

Index Terms—Complementary Split Ring Resonator (CSRR), Defected Ground Structure (DGS), High impedance line, Microstrip filter, Mitering, Size reduction.

I. INTRODUCTION

Microwave filter is designed to filter out undesired signals like outside band frequencies, harmonics, and spurious mixing products to reduce the system noise. Filters generally have frequency responses like low-pass, high-pass, band-pass and band-stop. Practically, it is difficult to obtain ideal characteristics of the filter such as zero insertion loss in the passband, infinite attenuation in stop-band with sharp transition region from passband to stop-band [1]. A stepped impedance or high-low (hi-lo) microstrip low-pass filter (LPF) is usually preferred due to properties like low insertion loss, easier design and fabrication. However, such microstrip filters have some disadvantages like gradual cut-off frequency ($f_c$) response, larger size due to inductance realization, harmonics of higher order and poor stop-band characteristics [1]-[3]. To eliminate such disadvantages, many novel types of microstrip LPFs have been explored for improved stop-band performance. These LPFs involve modifications like folding the high impedance line into meandered line [4], U-shaped line [5], U-shaped resonator for gradual transition [6], spiral loaded tapered compact microstrip resonator cell [7], stepped-impedance hairpin resonator [8], novel patch resonator [9], the composition of a circular hairpin resonator and a pair of coupled parallel stepped impedance resonators (SIRs) [10], the stub-loaded coupled-line hairpin unit [11], tapered resonator [12] etc.
Most of these designs either suffer with low attenuation (<20 dB) range in the stop-band or have larger size. In addition, for better roll-off rate as well as compactness, an elliptical-function response is used in many LPFs which are based on several structures, such as stepped-impedance hairpin resonator [8], tapered resonator [12], slit-loaded tapered compact microstrip resonator cell [13], symmetrically loaded radial-shape patches and meandered transmission line [14], triangular patch resonators and radial patch resonators [15], symmetrically loaded triangular and high–low impedance resonators [16], P-shaped resonators [17], novel asymmetric structures for resonator and suppressor [18], wide stop-band using tri-section stepped impedance resonator [19] etc.

Recently, a microstrip low-pass filter made using a rectangular resonator and high impedance elements is reported with a wide stop-band bandwidth of $18.7f_C$ for $f_C = 1.01$ GHz [20]. To increase the compactness of designed filter with better harmonic control, sometime a slot is made on the ground (bottom) conductor to create extra parasitic elements. Such structure is known as a defected ground structure (DGS) [21]. Various kinds of DGS designs are proposed for different filter types and explored the desired properties like compactness [2],[22],[23], sharp rejection [24], wide stop-band [25], multi-band response [26]. In some applications, sharp transition region plays a vital role for rejection of intermodulation products and so that the roll-off rate should be analyzed for these applications [27],[28]. Also, the resonant frequency of the slot can be varied by changing the number of metal fingers which are incorporated in the slot instead of changing the size of slot [29]-[32]. Also, a square-shaped complementary split ring resonator (CSRR) filtering can be used for isolation improvement [33]. Such CSRR offers high filtering (band-rejection) capability which is generally required for coupling suppression along with compact size and ease of fabrication. In this way the search for compact filter design structure with smooth curve of group delay and wide stop-band can over to use in the extensively spread microwave and millimeter-wave systems. To achieve such ideal response, approach of combining the interdigital capacitor and CSRR DGS are used.

In the presented work, the interdigital capacitor is placed as a DGS at the bottom layer to increase the stop-band region for a fifth order elliptical low-pass filter accompanied with CSRR and a wide stop band is achieved. With optimization of interdigital/CSRR DGS on the bottom layer and U-shaped high impedance line on the top layer using in CST microwave studio, we obtained the maximum stop-band of about 12 GHz (relative to – 10 dB) on a physical size of only 22.22 mm × 9.5 mm including 50 Ω feed lines.

II. 5th ORDER HIGH LOW ELLIPTICAL LPF (HL-ELPF)

Design of a 5th order HL-ELPF is accomplished using two capacitive low-impedance elements and three inductive high-impedance elements. Filter specifications are chosen as a cut-off frequency ($f_C$) of 4 GHz, maximum stop-band insertion loss of 30 dB, 100 Ω and 20 Ω as high and low impedances, respectively and a FR4 material is taken as a substrate which has a dielectric constant ($\varepsilon_r$) of 4.5 and thickness of 1.5 mm along with copper layer thickness of 35 μm.
For the 5th order LPF design, the values of inductance \( L_i \) and capacitor \( C_i \) can be obtained from the immittance \( g_i \) values using the following equations [2], [21].

\[
L_i = \frac{1}{2\pi f_c} Z_o g_{Li} \tag{1}
\]

and

\[
C_i = \frac{1}{2\pi f_c} \frac{1}{Z_o} g_{Ci} \tag{2}
\]

where

\[ g_{Li} & g_{Ci} = \text{normalized element values (} g_i \text{) of the low-pass prototype filter} \]

and, \( Z_o = \text{filter impedance (50 } \Omega) \)

These lumped elements are realized by high \((Z_h)\) and low \((Z_l)\) impedance lines, whose electrical lengths can be obtained by equations (3) & (4) [2], [21].

For high-impedance line,

\[
\beta l_{Li} = \frac{L_i*Z_o}{Z_h} \tag{3}
\]

For low-impedance line,

\[
\beta l_{Ci} = \frac{C_i*Z_l}{Z_o} \tag{4}
\]

So, the physical lengths of these lines are obtained as follows [2], [21].

For high-impedance transmission line length,

\[
l_{Li} = \frac{\lambda_{gL}(f_c)}{2\pi} \sin^{-1} \left( 2\pi f_c \frac{L_i}{Z_h} \right) \tag{5}
\]

For low-impedance transmission line length,

\[
l_{Ci} = \frac{\lambda_{gC}(f_c)}{2\pi} \sin^{-1} \left( 2\pi f_c Z_j C_i \right) \tag{6}
\]

The schematic of the HL-ELPF is shown in Figure 1 and details with dimensions are given in Table I for filter designed using FR4 substrate, where \( g_0 \) and \( g_6 \) represent the source and load sections included for matching purpose.

![Fig. 1. Schematic of fifth order HL-ELPF.](image-url)
As we can see from Table I, a combination of high and low-impedance lines can be used to realized $L_2/C_1$ and $L_4/C_2$ resonators [1],[2], which offers high reflections due to unwanted reactance and susceptance at their junctions, respectively. In addition, these combinations will have a large size [2].

To realize the shunt connected series $L$-$C$ for a three-pole symmetric stepped impedance LPF with the elliptic reponse, the low impedance lines ($C$’s) are connected in series with high impedance CPW line ($L$’s) as DGS to ground plane [34]. In order to make a symmetrical structure, initially the following approximation formula is used to estimate a single transmission line for $L_2/C_1$ and $L_4/C_2$ resonators [2].

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi f L_2)^{1/2} (2\pi f C_1)^{1/2}} = B_2(f) + \Delta B_{123}(f)$$

(7)

where $B_2(f)$ represents a “compensated” susceptance formed by the line elements $L_2$ and $C_1$, and $\Delta B_{123}(f)$ represents an unwanted total equivalent susceptance due to the first three inductive line elements ($L_1$, $L_2$ and $L_3$) [2].

We estimated the dimensions of low impedance lines ($C$’s) to represent the shunt connected series $L$-$C$ using equation (7) Similar approach has been applied for the line elements $L_4$ and $C_2$ to be realized with a single transmission line. The approximate lengths of all sections are given in Table I. An HL-ELPF with these dimensions is designed on Computer Simulation Technology (CST) software as shown in Figure 2(a) where top layer is synthesized for the symmetrical filter. The optimized dimensions (width W’s and length L’s) are given in Table I. This complete LPF design has dimensions of 25.59 mm × 11.6 mm.
As can be seen in Figure 2(b), the cut-off frequency \( f_c \) is obtained as 4.22 GHz and in the passband centered at 2 GHz, the maximum return loss (RL) is 18 dB and the insertion loss (IL) is 0.41 dB. The bandwidth of stop-band is obtained from 5.1 to 11.2 GHz i.e. 6.1 GHz corresponding to 10 dB attenuation.

![Diagram of HL-ELPF](image)

Fig. 2. (a) Fifth order HL-ELPF on CST (Design 1); (b) Simulated S-Parameter response of HL-ELPF.

### III. HL-ELPF WITH DEFECTED GROUND STRUCTURE

#### A. Simulation of HL-ELPF with slot and interdigital capacitor DGS

For an improvement in the stop-band, we introduced a simple rectangular shape slot as a defected ground structure (DGS) just below the three high-impedance lines of top layer [3]. On the bottom layer, the two side slots are made of size of 4.90 mm width \( \times \) 3.70 mm length whereas the center slot has the size of 4.90 mm width \( \times \) 5.60 mm as illustrated in Figure 3(b) [32]. The etched rectangular slots individually act as a shunt LC resonator, in which the etched area size is controlling the inductance and capacitance is controlled by the distance between the edges. On increment of the etched area series inductance is enhanced with corresponding decrease in the shunt capacitance due to increment in between the edges. Due to the introduction of slots, additional shunt LC resonators are developed shown in Figure 3(c), which alters the current distribution on the bottom layer and so the frequency response also varied with slightly change in the attenuation poles.
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Fig. 3. (a) Top and (b) bottom layers of HL-ELPF (Design 2) and (c) equivalent lumped prototype of bottom layer.

Fig. 4. Simulated S-Parameter response of HL-ELPF with DGS (Design 2).

In respect to the equivalent circuit shown in Figure 3(c), the input impedance $Z_{in}$ of the bottom layer is modeled using the lumped elements [35, 36] as given in the equation (8),
The values of equivalent lumped elements are obtained as: $L_1=L_2=L_3=6.12$ nH, $L_4=9.26$ nH, $C_1=C_3=0.0297$ pF, $C_2=0.0196$ pF. These lumped values provide the resonant frequencies of 11.8 GHz and 11.814 GHz, respectively due to first (and third) and second resonators. So, as the slot dimensions generated the resonant frequencies of about 11.8 GHz, the reflections are found to increase at 11.2 GHz and beyond. So, in 11.8 to 14.25 GHz range, the $S_{21}$ response is flattened to about -7dB. Consequently, stop-band BW increases to 6.8 GHz, as shown in Figure 4. In addition, a maximum insertion loss (IL) of 0.53 dB is found in passband. At $f_c = 4.25$ GHz, the phase delay and group delay are obtained as 0.107 ns and 0.179 ns, respectively. In such slot DGS, changing the slot dimensions can shift the frequency however further reduction in transmission loss is less expected. To further improve the stop-band BW, the DGS can be modified with incorporation of a band stop filter with sufficient bandwidth at the bottom layer.

In the next modification, an interdigital capacitor (IDC) is used as a DGS in place of the rectangular slot resonator as shown in Figure 5(a) and (b) [32]. Here, the metal finger has a dimension of 0.49 mm × 3.2 mm for targeting high reflection near 12.5 GHz and beyond. First, we placed IDC at the center i.e. in place of the second slot of Design 2 whereas in the second modification on the bottom layer as in Figure 5(b), IDC of same dimensions is placed on two side slots by 90° rotation and center rectangular slot is kept same [32]. The equivalent circuits of bottom layer with such modifications are shown in Figure 5(c) and (d), respectively.  Here, IDC is presented by a series $RLC$ resonator with two shunt capacitor.

![Diagram](image_url)
As the width of the metal finger is directly related to the capacitance of the IDC so, the increment of width of the capacitor leads to decrease in the equivalent capacitance. If the number of fingers is increased with keeping width of the fingers and space between fingers constant, then capacitance of IDC increases with corresponding decrease in the quality factor. With increasing space between the fingers, then the capacitive effect of the IDC is increased. The similar effect can also be observed on the equivalent inductance of the bottom layer. Equivalent circuit of IDC suggested the extension of stop-band by higher capacitance or reduced inductance as IDC is a multi-conductor structure with passband and stop-bands [37]. With the equivalent circuit model as shown in Figure 5(c) [35, 36, 38], the input impedance formula as shown in equation (9) is obtained as,

$$Z_{in} = \frac{j\omega L_1}{1-\omega^2 L_1 C_1} + \frac{1}{j\omega (C_{p1}+C_{p2})} + j\omega L_s + \frac{1}{j\omega C_s} + R_s + \frac{j\omega L_2}{1-\omega^2 L_2 C_2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

The values of equivalent lumped elements of bottom layer (Design 3) are found as: $L_1=L_2= 6.122$ nH, $C_1=C_2= 0.0297$ pF, $R_s=0.7003$ Ω, $L_s=0.8796$ nH, $C_s= 28.9821$ pF, $C_{p1}=C_{p2}= 0.17593$ pF. From these lumped element values, the resonant frequencies are obtained as 11.8 GHz (passed) and 9.1 GHz (stopped) for the shunt and series/shunt LC resonators, respectively due to the end slots and IDC, with the given dimensions on the bottom layer (Figure 5a).

For the equivalent circuit with 90° rotation in the side slots shown in Figure 5(d), $Z_{in}$ is written as [35, 36, 38],

$$Z_{in} = \frac{1}{j\omega (C_{p1}+C_{p2})} + j\omega L_{s1} + \frac{1}{j\omega C_{s1}} + R_{s1} + \frac{j\omega L_1}{1-\omega^2 L_1 C_1} + \frac{1}{j\omega C_s} + R_s + \frac{j\omega L_{s2}}{1-\omega^2 L_2 C_2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

Figure 6. (a) simulated S-parameter response of HL-ELPF with Design 3 (Figure 5a), and (b) simulated S-parameter response of HL-ELPF with Design 4 (Figure 5b).
The lumped element values are obtained for the bottom layer as: \( L_1 = 9.348 \, \text{nH}, C_1 = 0.0194 \, \text{pF}, R_{11} = 1.7429 \, \Omega, L_{11} = L_{12} = 1.117 \, \text{nH}, C_{11} = C_{12} = 13.546 \, \text{pF}, C_{p1} = C_{p2} = C_{p3} = C_{p4} = 0.17593 \, \text{pF}. \) With these values the small transmission at 11.8 GHz is possible due to the center (second) slot and beyond it, the side IDCs support the non-transmission of higher frequency. The simulated responses of Design 3 and Design 4 are given in Figure 6(a) and (b), respectively.

As the values of inductance and capacitance generated in the side slots have offered a passband around 11.8 GHz and afterwards the stop-band with minor change in \( f_c \) (4.255 GHz), IL and RL in pass band as can be seen in Figure 6(a). This modification has slightly decreased the BW of stop-band to 6.5 GHz due to a transmission peak of -7 dB at 11.8 GHz due to first and third slots. For the second modification on the bottom layer as in Figure 5(b), the stop-band BW is further reduced to 6.1 GHz with a resonance peak at 11.5 GHz (shown in Figure 6b). However, the reflections are higher beyond this frequency due to two side IDCs till the negative reflection peak at 13.9 GHz. Miniaturization of IDC beyond above mentioned dimensions is difficult, which restricts shifting of passband and stop-band towards higher frequency. So, if the peak at 11.8 GHz can be addressed by other means, the increase in stop-band BW is possible.

B. Simulation of HL-ELPF with IDC/CSRR

To achieve stop frequency as 11.8 GHz, we have incorporated a complementary split ring resonator (CSRR) arranged in a mirror orientation and IDC, respectively on the place of the side slots and on the centre slot with slight change in dimensions. The physical dimensions of CSRR are taken as follows: width and length of fingers are 0.49 mm and 4.9 mm, respectively with a gap of 0.4 mm. The top layer, bottom layer and equivalent circuit of bottom layer are shown in Figure 7.

After the insertion of CSRR, the lumped element values of inductator and capacitor decrease significantly in order to increase the stop band frequency from previous designs where only IDC and rectangular slot DGS have been placed. Thus, the characteristic impedance of the bottom layer for such filter structure is increased. From Figure 7(b), an equivalent lumped element circuit of the bottom layer can be designed as shown in Figure 7(c) [33, 38], where IDC is presented by a series RLC resonator with two shunt capacitors and mirrored CSRR is by two shunt LC resonators. The equivalent input impedance formula as shown in equation (11).

\[
Z_{in} = \frac{j \omega L_1}{1 - \omega^2 L_1 C_1} + \frac{j \omega L_2}{1 - \omega^2 L_2 C_2} + \frac{1}{j \omega (C_{p1} + C_{p2})} + j \omega L_s + \frac{1}{j \omega C_s} + R_s + \frac{j \omega L_3}{1 - \omega^2 L_3 C_3} + \frac{j \omega L_4}{1 - \omega^2 L_4 C_4}
\] (11)
The values of lumped elements are obtained as: $L_1=L_2=L_3=L_4=1.13\,\text{nH}$, $C_1=C_2=C_3=C_4=1.33\,\text{pF}$, $C_{p1}=C_{p2}=0.22\,\text{pF}$, $L_s=1.114\,\text{nH}$, $C_s=13.546\,\text{pF}$, $R_s=0.34\,\Omega$. These values suggested 4.4 GHz as a resonant stop frequency due to CSRR/IDC and so, its harmonics are stopped which will lead to the wide stop band.
In the simulation response of this design shown in Figure 8, the maximum RL of 16 dB and IL of 0.42 dB are obtained at 2.9 GHz i.e. ripples in passband are shifted. Although $f_c$ increases to 4.34 GHz due to CSRR, significant increase in stop-band BW is also noticed from 6.5 GHz to 8.8 GHz (i.e. 5.2 - 14 GHz). This happened due to increment of characteristic impedance by insertion of CSRR which slightly changes the resonant frequency of the overall filter structure as discussed above and the quality factor is also observed to reduce. The further rejection of band from 11.8 GHz to 14 GHz is observed due to CSRRs on the both side slots, which resulted in the wide stopband.

IV. SIMULATION OF HL-ELPF WITH U-SHAPED LINE AND IDC/CSRR

In order to address the compactness of filter, top layer is modified by inserting a U-shaped high-impedance line in place of the straight line as reported in [5]. On top layer of this filter, dimensions of low-impedance line are kept unchanged and on the bottom layer, IDC/CSRR DGS of Figure 7(b) is placed as shown in Figure 9(a). Also to mitigate the problems of parasitic capacitance due to reflections and accumulation of charges at the corners of U-shaped lines, a 50% mittering is performed with the dimension of 0.42 mm at the corners in all three U-shaped lines, which is shown in Figure 9(b) keeping the same bottom layer (as in Figure 7b). Lumped equivalent model of its bottom layer is same as mentioned in Figure 7(c), there is no significant change is expected in lumped element values due to U-shaped line in the top layer of the structure. However, the physical length of the filter is reduced. The initial simulated responses of such two designs showed a 3-dB $f_c$ close to 4 GHz, but with harmonics at the stop-band. Harmonics at the stop-band occurred due to the different $L$ values (sizes), so such modifications on top layer deteriorate the flatness of stop band, although the BW of stop-band increased beyond frequency of 16 GHz as reason is mentioned in previous design description. Harmonic reduction achieved by increasing IDC capacitance and shifting the CSRR are observed. The final optimized bottom layer with dimensions is shown in Figure 9(c).

In the simulation response of HL-ELPF with U-shaped line and optimized IDC/CSRR (Figure 10a), the values are obtained as follows: minimum RL in the pass band as 15 dB @ 2 GHz with IL of 0.54 dB, phase delay and group delay as 0.161 ns and 0.244 ns, respectively at $f_c = 4.07$ GHz and bandwidth of stop-band as 11.01 GHz (5.07 – 16.98 GHz). Increment in insertion loss is observed due to conductor loss of metal and dielectric loss of dielectric material used in CSRR instead of a slot, which basically depends on loss tangent of the material. Roll-off factor of the filter is also found to be increased upto 6.145 dB/GHz due to insertion of DGS elements as more number of elements increases the order of the overall filter i.e. sharpness as noticed after simulation. Here two attenuation poles are obtained at 8.484 GHz and 12.51 GHz due to variation of inductance lengths. Also, one resonance peak of -7.85 dB in $S_{11}$ is observed at 14.82 GHz. The overall dimension of the filter is reduced to 22.22 mm × 9.5 mm i.e. by 28.89% compared to the original design of Figure 2(a). Similar response
is observed for HL-ELPF with mitered U-shaped line, which is shown in Figure 10(b) except a peak of -8.35 dB in $S_{11}$ at 14.88 GHz. However, the advantage of this Design 7 is BW of 12.0 GHz for stop-band corresponding to 10 dB attenuation.

![Fig. 9. (a) Top layer of HL-ELPF with U-shaped line (Design 6) and (b) top layer with mitered U-shaped line (Design 7), and (c) optimized bottom layer with IDC/CSRR DGS.](image)

![Fig.10. Simulated S-parameter response of (a) HL-ELPF with U-shaped line and (b) HL-ELPF with mitered U-shaped line.](image)
The characteristics of low-pass filter designs reported in this work are summarized in Table II as discussed in this section and previous section. The LPF performance parameters like, roll-off rate ($\beta$), relative stop-band (RSB) bandwidth for -20 dB return loss, normalized circuit size (NCS), and figure-of-merit (FOM) are calculated from the known relations [9, 18] and comparison of last three designs is given in Table III. As given in Table III, the performances of Design 6 and Design 7 are comparable to the earlier reported filters [8, 19, 21, 31]. In addition, the proposed filters in this work achieved wide stop-band of 8.15 GHz with high attenuation (> -20 dB) in the stop-band with the compact circuit size.

**TABLE II. CHARACTERISTICS SUMMARY OF HL-ELPF DESIGNS REPORTED IN THIS WORK.**

| S.No. | Designs | Cut-off frequency $f_c$ (GHz) | Roll-off rate (dB/GHz) | Stop band Bandwidth ($\times$-10 dB) | Phase delay (ns) | Group delay (ns) |
|-------|---------|-------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 1.    | Design 1 (Figure 2a) | 4.22 GHz | 4.2509 | 6.1 | 0.100 | 0.177 |
| 2.    | Design 2 (Figure 3ab) | 4.25 GHz | 4.753 | 6.8 | 0.107 | 0.179 |
| 3.    | Design 3 (Figure 5a) | 4.255 GHz | 4.724 | 6.5 | 0.102 | 0.178 |
| 4.    | Design 4 (Figure 5b) | 4.255 GHz | 4.2563 | 6.1 | 0.106 | 0.177 |
| 5.    | Design 5 (Figure 7) | 4.34 GHz | 4.23323 | 8.8 | 0.100 | 0.179 |
| 6.    | Design 6 (Figure 9a,c) | 4.07 GHz | 6.20611 | 11.01 | 0.161 | 0.244 |
| 7.    | Design 7 (Figure 9b,c) | 4.08 GHz | 6.14489 | 12.0 | 0.160 | 0.231 |

**TABLE III. PERFORMANCE COMPARISONS AMONG PROPOSED DESIGNS OF ELPF.**

| Design | $\beta$(dB/GHz) | RSB | NCS  | FOM  |
|--------|-----------------|-----|------|------|
| Design 5 | 4.23323 | 0.683 | 0.483×0.216 | 27.7753 |
| Design 6 | 6.20611 | 0.762 | 0.419×0.202 | 56.0297 |
| Design 7 | 6.14489 | 0.749 | 0.417×0.202 | 54.6361 |

V. CONCLUSION

A fifth order hi-lo elliptic LPF was simulated for the cut-off frequency of 4.2 GHz on FR4 substrate for a wide band stop response. The results showed that the insertion loss in the low frequency passband is less than 0.5 dB from DC to 4 GHz and the stop-band of 6.1 GHz BW is obtained corresponding to the 10 dB attenuation for the initial filter design. On improvisation using various DGSs like slot, interdigital capacitor and CSRR, the stop-band BW increases to 8.8 GHz without affecting other parameters of this filter. Further replacing high impedance line on top layer by...
U-shaped line with mitering leads to compactness of filter by about 29% and offered the wide stop-band BW of 12 GHz with attenuation level higher than -10 dB. The final compact proposed filter has the dimensions of 22.22 mm × 9.5 mm, in which RL, IL, roll-off rate and stop band BW are improved by about 9.7%, 26.5%, 42% and 80%, respectively. In future, modifications on the top layer like use of "S" or "C" shaped line for compact filter can be applied and to improve the stop-band response, slot on capacitive line of top layer or different shapes of slots in bottom plane such as elliptic, semicircular, triangular, pi-shaped can be incorporated.
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