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Abstract

Background: Studies have shown a direct association between angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) and diabetic neuropathies. As such, ACE gene polymorphisms could be a risk factor for cardiac autonomic neuropathy (CAN) in patients with diabetes. The objective of our study was to investigate the association of the ACE I/D gene polymorphism with the development of CAN in Iraqi patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM).

Results: This is a cross-sectional study that included 142 patients with T2DM comprising 62 males and 80 females, and 100 volunteers served as a healthy control group. Cardiac autonomic functions were tested using four standard Ewing's noninvasive tests. Blood samples were taken for genetic evaluation of an ACE gene I/D polymorphism. Analyzing ACE gene polymorphism revealed that the D allele was far more frequent among patients with diabetes than healthy control subjects (76.07% vs. 62.67%). The frequency of I/I, I/D, and D/D genotypes in patients with diabetes was 8.55%, 30.77%, and 60.68%, respectively, compared with 18.67%, 37.33%, and 44%, respectively, in controls with a significant difference in mutant homozygous genotype. However, there were no significant differences in these genotypes between patients with and without CAN. Although patients with CAN showed a much higher frequency of D allele than those without CAN, the difference did not reach significance (p = 0.054).

Conclusion: The DD genotype and D allele of the ACE I/D gene polymorphism can be a risk factor for T2DM, and the D allele of this polymorphism can even be associated with the development of CAN in these patients.
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Background

Neurovascular complications affect more than 60% of patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM), among which is cardiac autonomic neuropathy (CAN) [1]. According to the Subcommittee of the Toronto Consensus Board on Diabetic Neuropathy, CAN was defined as the impairment of cardiovascular autonomic control in patients with diabetes after the elimination of other causes [1].

Diabetic neuropathies, in general, have complex disease etiologies, the most important of which is chronic hyperglycemia, which may contribute to its pathogenesis by causing changes in gene expression, inflammation, and oxidative stress [2, 3].

Gene sequencing technologies have identified several genes such as TCF7L2, APOE, and ACE, which may influence the development of T2DM and CAN [4].

Angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) is a zinc-dependent relatively nonspecific peptidase encoded by the ACE gene. The ACE protein is capable of cleaving a wide range of substrates. By cutting angiotensin I at a specific location, the renin-angiotensin system (RAS) will...
ACE distribution and association of Iraqi population. We thereby aimed to investigate the different populations, there are no such studies among polymorphisms with diabetes has been extensively studied in different populations (II homozygote, ID heterozygote, and DD homozygote) [8]. It was reported that individuals with the DD genotype have higher tissue and plasma ACE concentrations than either ID or II genotypes [9].

Various reports have been published suggesting inter-ethnic variations in the frequency of allelic forms of the ACE genes [10, 11]. Conflicting results have been reported regarding the association between the ACE polymorphism and the development of CAN in patients with T2DM [12–14].

Although the association of ACE gene I/D polymorphisms with diabetes has been extensively studied in different populations, there are no such studies among Iraqi population. We thereby aimed to investigate the distribution and association of ACE gene I/D polymorphism in Iraqi diabetic patients with CAN.

Methods
A cross-sectional study was conducted from November 2018 to August 2019. One hundred forty-two patients with T2DM who were attending the diabetic clinic at Al-Imamain Al-Kadhumain Medical City/Baghdad/Iraq, comprising 62 males and 80 females with an age range from 41 to 68 (53.35 ± 7.83) years were enrolled in this study. The duration of their illness ranged from 1 to 15 (5.39 ± 4.75) years. Diagnosis of T2DM was based on symptoms of diabetes plus a random blood glucose concentration of ≥ 200 mg/dL, fasting plasma glucose ≥ 126 mg/dL, and 2-h plasma glucose ≥ 200 mg/dL during an oral glucose tolerance test.

Patients with uncontrolled hypertension, ischemic heart disease, valvular heart disease, arrhythmia, including atrial fibrillation heart failure, severe illness, such as malignancy and severe infection, liver cirrhosis, prostatic enlargement, gestational diabetes, severe hypoglycemia, alcoholism, and those on beta-blocker medication were excluded from the study.

Another 100 age- and sex-matched healthy subjects comprising 39 males and 61 females served as a control group. Their ages ranged from 35 to 65 (51.73 ± 8.81) years.

Medical history and examination
A detailed medical history and clinical examination were performed by a senior dialectologist including signs and symptoms of DM. Body weight, height, body mass index (BMI), and waist-hip ratio (WHR) were obtained. General urine examination, albuminuria, fasting plasma glucose, glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1c), lipid profile, and renal function test (if available) were also performed.

Cardiac autonomic functions
Cardiac autonomic functions were tested using four standard Ewing’s noninvasive tests [15].

1. Parasympathetic autonomic function tests for cardiovagal regulation which includes the following:
   a) Heart rate (HR) response to deep breathing test

   While the subjects were in the supine position with all electrocardiography (ECG) leads attached, they were asked to breathe normally for 2 min, then asked to perform 6 maximum deep breaths in 1 min. The expiration: inspiration (E:I) ratio was obtained using the following formula:
   
   \[ E: I \text{ ratio} = \frac{\text{mean value of the longest } R-R \text{ interval during the expiration}}{\text{mean value of the shortest } R-R \text{ interval during inspiration}} \]

   b) Immediate heart rate (HR) response to standing: during ECG recording, the subject was asked to lie quietly for 3 min, then asked to stand up and remain motionless (a point was marked on the electrocardiogram (ECG) paper to identify the point of standing). The 30:15 ratio was calculated by taking the ratio of the R-R interval at the 30th beat and 15th beat after standing.

2. Sympathetic autonomic function tests for adrenergic vascular regulation which includes the following:
   a) Blood pressure (BP) response to standing: the patients’ BP was measured while they were lying down quietly and again when they stood up 1–2 min after standing. The postural fall after 2 min in BP was taken as the difference between BP (systolic and diastolic BP) lying and the BP (systolic and diastolic BP) standing.
   b) BP response to sustained handgrip test: the BP response to static exercise. The participants were asked to apply pressure on a handgrip for 1 min at
30% of maximal voluntary contraction, and simultaneously changes in BP were observed using a sphygomanometer. The difference between the diastolic BP just before the release of contraction and before the handgrip began was taken as a measure of the response.

The patients were asked to fast for 12 h and to avoid taking antidepressants, neuroleptics, caffeine, nicotine, or antihistamines before the procedure. The tests were carried out between 07:00 and 09:00 AM in a quiet environment with steady temperature levels between 22 and 24 °C [16].

Variation in HR during deep breathing (E:I ratio) were considered normal if its value was ≥ 1.21 and abnormal if it was ≤ 1.10; the value of the immediate HR response to standing was considered normal when it was ≥ 1.04 and abnormal if it was ≤ 1.00; the value of BP response to standing was considered normal when it was ≤ 10 and abnormal when it was ≥ 30, and the value of BP response to sustained handgrip was considered normal when it was ≥ 16 and abnormal when it was ≤ 10 [17].

Genetic study
Peripheral blood samples (2 mL) were taken from 117 patients with diabetes (62 without CAN and 55 with CAN) and 75 healthy control subjects, in labeled ethylenediamine-tetraacetic acid (EDTA) tubes for genetic evaluation of ACE gene I/D polymorphism.

Gene amplification and genotyping
Deoxyribonucleic acid was extracted from blood samples using a ready kit (gSYNC™ DNA Mini Kit Whole Blood Protocol/Geneaid/Korea) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The extracted DNA from blood samples was used in a polymerase chain reaction (PCR) for amplification of the ACE gene fragment corresponding to the I/D polymorphism identification.

A pair of primers specific for this single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) (forward, 5’-CTG GAG ACC ACT CCC ATC CTT TTC-3’ and reverse, 5’-GAT GTG GCC ATC ACA TTC GTC AGA-3) was used. The PCR was conducted on a Hybaid/England thermocycler in a total volume of 50 μL containing 0.4 μmol forward primer, 0.4 μmol reverse primer, DNA template (2 ng), 4 mmol-l MgCl2, Taq DNA polymerase (0.05 μL), and dNTPs (dATP, dCTP, dGTP, and dTTP, 0.4 mmol each) (Bioneer/Korea). The volume was adjusted to 50 by deionized water. Cycling parameters were as follows: an initial denaturation for 4 min at 95 °C followed by 45 cycles of denaturation at 94 °C, annealing at 61 °C for 35 s, and elongation at 72 °C, for 45 s. The final elongation was at 72 °C for 7 min. The expected fragment length was 490 bp for I allele and 190 bp for the D allele. To verify the results, 10% of PCR products were sent to Macrogen, Korea for direct sequencing.

Statistical analysis
Continuous variables were analyzed using the independent t test or with analysis of variance (ANOVA) and are expressed as mean standard deviation (SD), and dichotomous variables were analyzed using the chi-square test and are expressed as numbers and frequency. The risk association between the genotype and DM or CAN was estimated through the calculation of adjusted odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) using multivariate logistic regression.

For this analysis, subjects who were homogenous for the wild type allele (allele I) were considered as reference, polymorphisms as dependent variables, and other risk factors (including age, sex, BMI, WHR, and smoking status) as co-variables in the model. Chi-square was used to test the deviation of genotypes from the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE). A p value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results
Characteristics of the studied population
No significant difference was demonstrated between the patients with diabetes and the control group concerning age, weight, height, BMI, WHR, and current smoking (Table 1).

The cardiovascular autonomic tests for both studied groups are presented in Table 2. A significant difference between patients with DM and controls was demonstrated considering the HR response to deep breathing (E:I ratio), HR response to standing (30:15 ratio), orthostatic hypotension, and response to sustained handgrip (p < 0.001).

Table 1 Baseline characteristics of the study population

| Variable                  | Diabetic mellitus n = 142 | Controls n = 100 | p value |
|---------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|---------|
| Age (years)               | 53.35 ± 7.83               | 51.73 ± 8.81     | 0.109†  |
| Sex                       |                            |                  |         |
| Male                      | 62 (43.66%)                | 39 (39%)         | 0.469‡  |
| Female                    | 80 (56.34 %)               | 61 (61%)         |         |
| Weight (kg)               | 84.16 ± 10.64              | 82.85 ± 10.28    | 0.061†  |
| Height (cm)               | 170.6 ± 12.85              | 167.94 ± 14.09   | 0.116†  |
| BMI (kg/m²)               | 29.68 ± 3.78               | 29.16 ± 4.6      | 0.334†  |
| Waist/hip ratio           | 0.92 ± 0.074               | 0.93 ± 0.128     | 0.093†  |
| Current smoking           |                            |                  |         |
| Yes                       | 34 (23.94%)                | 18 (18%)         | 0.268‡  |
| No                        | 108 (76.06%)               | 82 (82%)         |         |

† chi-square

CAN = cardiac autonomic neuropathy, BMI = body mass index, † t test, ‡ chi-square
Association of the ACE I/D gene polymorphism with the development of CAN

PCR products were subjected to gel electrophoresis, from which three genotypes appeared: II, ID, and DD (Fig. 1). Furthermore, 10% of the PCR product underwent direct sequencing to verify the result of gel electrophoresis. There was complete agreement between the two methods.

The frequency of the different genotypes of this polymorphism in patients with diabetes and control subjects was in a good agreement with the HWE. The frequency of II, ID, and DD genotypes in patients with diabetes was 8.55%, 30.77%, and 60.68%, compared with 18.67%, 37.33%, and 44%, respectively, in the controls (Table 3) with a significant difference for the DD genotype (OR = 3.0, 95% CI: [1.2–7.45], p = 0.018). At the allelic level, the frequency of D allele was higher in the diabetics with CAN than those without CAN (81.82% vs. 70.97%); however, this difference did not reach the level of significance (OR = 1.84, 95% CI: [0.99–3.42], p = 0.054).

Table 4 illustrates the frequency of different genotypes and alleles of ACE I/D polymorphism in patients with and without CAN. There was a non-significant higher frequency of DD genotype in patients with CAN (69.1%) in comparison with 53.23% in those without CAN (OR = 2.7, 95% CI: [0.64–11.24], p = 0.176). At the allelic level, the frequency of D allele was higher in the diabetics with CAN than those without CAN (81.82% vs. 70.97%); however, this difference did not reach the level of significance (OR = 1.84, 95% CI: [0.99–3.42], p = 0.054).

Discussion

The focus has been placed on the early identification of intervention with patients genetically susceptible to diabetes because DM is a disease with serious chronic complications. The ACE gene polymorphism was investigated in Iraqi patients with T2DM in the present study.

A strong link between the DD homozygous genotype and the D allele of the ACE I/D gene polymorphism with T2DM was identified. The logistic regression analysis showed that the DD genotype was associated with a threefold increase in the risk of developing DM compared with healthy controls. Moreover, the D allele was far more frequent among patients than in controls. This denotes that the DD genotype and the D allele of the ACE gene I/D polymorphism may contribute to the relatively high prevalence of DM in the Iraqi population [18]. It was well documented that individuals’ homozygous for the D allele have plasma ACE levels about twice as high as those homozygous for I allele [19]. Within the RAS, ACE will convert Ang I to Ang II. Clinical studies have demonstrated that the relatively high Ang II may contribute to impaired insulin secretion and insulin resistance, thereby increasing the risk for T2DM. The detrimental effects of Ang II on insulin secretion seem to be mediated by a reduction in pancreatic blood flow and induction of islet fibrosis, oxidative stress, and inflammation, whereas both impaired skeletal muscle function (disturbances in skeletal muscle blood flow, insulin signaling, and mitochondrial function) and adipocyte dysfunction (adipocyte hypertrophy, inflammation, and impairments in lipid metabolism) may underlie Ang II-induced insulin resistance [20].

---

**Table 2** Cardiovascular autonomic tests of the study population

| Test                        | DM n = 142 | Controls n = 100 | p value | chi-square |
|-----------------------------|------------|-----------------|---------|------------|
| HR response (E:I ratio)     |            |                 |         |            |
| Abnormal                    | 71 (50%)   | 4 (4%)          | < 0.001†|            |
| Normal                      | 71 (50%)   | 96 (96%)        |         |            |
| HR response (30:15 ratio)   |            |                 |         |            |
| Abnormal                    | 60 (42.25%)| 1 (1%)          | < 0.001†|            |
| Normal                      | 82 (55.75%)| 99 (99%)        |         |            |
| BP response to standing     |            |                 |         |            |
| Abnormal                    | 35 (24.65%)| 0 (0%)          | < 0.001†|            |
| Normal                      | 107 (75.35%)| 100 (100%)     |         |            |
| BP response to sustained handgrip |        |                 |         |            |
| Abnormal                    | 21 (14.79%)| 0 (0%)          | < 0.001†|            |
| Normal                      | 121 (85.21%)| 100 (100%)     |         |            |

DM diabetes mellitus, HR heart rate, E:I expiration:inspiration, BP blood pressure, † chi-square

---

Fig. 1 Gel electrophoresis of ACE ID gene polymorphism amplified with a specific pair of primers using conventional PCR. The PCR products were stained with ethidium bromide. Lanes 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, and 10: homozygous DD genotypes; lanes 3, 6, 8, and 11: heterozygous ID genotypes; lane 9: homozygous II genotypes

---
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In agreement with the present study, many reports worldwide disclosed this link between ACE I/D polymorphism and T2DM, i.e., in Turkish hypertensive diabetics [21], Taiwanese [22], Iranians [23], Malaysians [24], Egyptians [25], Chinese [26], and Saudi populations [27]. By contrast, many studies failed to show this association [28, 29], some studies even showed an inverse association [30]. Similarly, the ACE I allele was found to be associated with T2DM in Japanese [31] and the Kuwaiti populations [32].

The contradictory results regarding the involvement of the ACE I/D polymorphism in T2DM are likely to be due to variance in ethnicity, heterogeneity of populations, sampling bias, and potentially other ecologic factors [33, 34]. Furthermore, some environmental factors such as nutrition and physical activity have been associated with modification in epigenetic status [35, 36]. Therefore, such interaction between epigenetic modifications and polymorphisms describes the complexity of the genetic architecture that can underlie the inconsistency in association studies observed with the ACE I/D polymorphism in different populations.

In the current study, there was a remarkable non-significant increment in the D allele frequency among diabetics with CAN compared with those without CAN. This implies that diabetics who carry D allele may be more prone to develop CAN compared with those carrying I allele.

Several studies demonstrated that the homozygous DD genotype of the I/D polymorphism could be considered as a risk factor for diabetic peripheral neuropathy (DPN). For example, the D allele was associated with an increased risk of DPN in females, but not in male patients with T2DM [37]. Similarly, in Turkey, a study compared 235 unrelated patients with T2DM with DPN and 281 unrelated healthy controls, and demonstrated that the DD genotype was associated with DPN [38].

Likewise, in Egyptian patients with T2DM (47 with DPN), logistic regression analysis showed that the ACE D/D genotype was associated with a threefold increase in the risk of developing DPN compared with healthy controls [39]. Further, a study conducted on the Asian population described a protective role of the ACE II genotype against the development of DPN. According to the study, the prevalence of the II genotype was significantly higher than that of the DD genotype in patients with T2DM without DPN [40]. Moreover, the heterozygous genotype was found to be independently associated with a decreased risk of DPN in a population of North Catalonia [41].

**Limitations**

The main limitations of our study are the relatively small sample size that not all noninvasive tests for CAN diagnosis were employed and there was no follow-up. So, no data were available to determine the effect of CAN on clinical outcomes, and its long-term prognostic relevance. Moreover, the necessary data to evaluate the potential association between CAN and microvascular

| Table 3 | Frequency of different genotypes and alleles of the ACE ID polymorphism in patients with diabetes and controls |
|---------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| ACE ID polymorphism | DM n = 117 | Controls n = 75 | p value | OR (95% CI) |
| **Genotypes** | | | | |
| II | 10 (8.55%) | 14 (18.67%) | 0.039 | 1.0 ref. |
| ID | 36 (30.77%) | 28 (37.33%) | 0.225 | 1.8 (0.7–4.65) |
| DD | 71 (60.68%) | 33 (44%) | 0.018* | 3.0 (1.2–7.45) |
| HWE | 0.094 | 0.08 | | |
| **Alleles** | | | | |
| I | 56 (23.93%) | 56 (37.33%) | 0.005** | 1.0 ref. |
| D | 178 (76.07%) | 94 (62.67%) | 1.89 (1.21–2.96) | |

DM diabetes mellitus, HWE Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (using logistic regression), *significant at 0.05, **significant at 0.001

| Table 4 | Frequency of different genotypes and allele of ACE ID polymorphism in patients with and without cardiac autonomic neuropathy |
|---------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| ACE ID polymorphism | Diabetic patients n = 117 | | OR (95% CI) |
| With CAN n = 55 | Without CAN n = 62 | p value | |
| **Genotypes** | | | | |
| II | 3 (5.45%) | 7 (11.29%) | 0.196 | 1.0 ref. |
| ID | 14 (25.45%) | 22 (35.48%) | 0.608 | 1.5 (0.33–6.71) |
| DD | 38 (69.1%) | 33 (53.23%) | 0.176 | 2.7 (0.64–11.24) |
| HWE | 0.284 | 0.274 | | |
| **Alleles** | | | | |
| I | 20 (18.18%) | 36 (29.03%) | 0.054 | 1.0 ref. |
| D | 90 (81.82%) | 88 (70.97%) | 1.84 (0.99–3.42) | |

CAN cardiac autonomic neuropathy, HWE Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (using logistic regression)
complications such as nephropathy and retinopathy were not available.

Conclusion
Despite the somewhat small sample size of the current study compared with other epidemiologic and association studies, the results confirm the assumption that D allele is strongly associated with T2DM. The DD genotype was associated with a threefold increase in the risk of developing DM compared with healthy controls. Patients with diabetes who carry the D allele can be at greater risk for developing CAN as compared with those carrying the I allele.
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