Microcystin-LR (MCLR) Induces a Compensation of PP2A Activity Mediated by α4 Protein in HEK293 Cells
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Abstract

Protein phosphatase 2A (PP2A) is a major protein phosphatase with important cell functions. Known and utilized as a potent inhibitor of PP2A, microcystin-LR (MCLR) targets PP2A as a core element that affects numerous cellular mechanisms. But apart from direct inhibition, the exact effect of MCLR on PP2A in cell is largely unknown, specifically with regard to cellular response and autoregulation. Here, we show that a low concentration of MCLR stimulates, rather than inhibits, PP2A activity in HEK293 cells. Immunoprecipitation and immunofluorescence assays reveal that the catalytic subunit and a regulatory subunit of PP2A, termed α4, dissociate from inactive complex upon MCLR exposure, suggesting that the released catalytic subunit regains activity and thereby compensates the activity loss. At high concentrations of MCLR, PP2A activity decreases along with dissociation of the core enzyme and altered post-translational modification of its catalytic subunit. In addition, the dissociation of α4 and PP2A may contribute to destabilization of HEK293 cells cytoskeleton architecture, detachment to extracellular matrix and further anoikis. Our data provide a novel PP2A upregulation mechanism and challenge the recognition of MCLR only as a PP2A inhibitor in cells.
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Introduction

Microcystin-LR (MCLR) is one of the most toxic secondary metabolites produced by freshwater cyanobacteria. Because of its presence in aquatic blooms, the molecule causes a world-wide environmental problem. MCLR in drinking water is implicated in the development of liver cancer and damage to multiple organs including liver, kidney, intestines and brain [1]. The mechanism of MCLR toxicity has been widely accepted as it inhibits two important protein phosphatases (PPs), PP1 and PP2A, as well as causes oxidative stress, in cell [2-3]. Moreover, proteomic studies by our group and others have found that MCLR and MCRR, another microcystin that is also a potent inhibitor of PPs, comprehensively affect various cellular mechanisms, which are mostly regulated by PP2A [4-6]. Indeed, it is acknowledgeable that the effect of MCLR follows a “MCLR - PPs - cellular mechanism” paradigm. However, cellular autoregulation of PPs in response to MCLR is a missing part and still remains elusive.

Protein phosphatase 2A (PP2A) is a major protein phosphatase in the cell that is involved in diverse cellular processes including RNA transcription, DNA repair, cell proliferation and apoptosis [7-9]. Typically, the PP2A holoenzyme is comprised of a 36-kDa catalytic subunit (PP2A/C), a 65-kDa scaffold subunit...
(PP2A/A), and one of a variety of regulatory subunits (PP2A/B). The regulatory subunit binds to the core enzyme (AC) to form the holoenzyme and thus determines the specific functions of PP2A [10]. PP2A activity is mainly regulated by its interacting proteins, its regulatory subunits and the post-translational modification of PP2A/C. PP2A/C is also a target of numerous toxins including MCLR, possibly because it is one of the most evolutionarily conserved enzymes in eukaryotic cells [2]. It is clear so far that the covalent binding of MCLR directly and potently inhibits PP2A/C catalytic activity. But we also previously reported that MCLR upregulated the protein level of PP2A/A, which may suggest a more intricate effect of MCLR on PP2A in cell [11]. When considering the post-translational modification of PP2A as well as the interaction among its subunits, the effect of MCLR on PP2A could become even more complicated.

Interestingly, a fraction of PP2A/C was found to form a complex with the α4 protein, the homolog of Tap42 in yeast. The amount of Tap42 associated PP2A/C was predicted to be about 2% of the whole PP2A/C pool. However, there were only 28% shared sequence identity between α4 and Tap42, thus the amount of α4 associated PP2A/C was still unknown to our knowledge [12]. It is notable that the complex of α4 and PP2A/C is independent of the A and B subunits, as the binding sites between α4 and PP2A/C partially overlap with that of the PP2A A and C subunits [13]. Classically, the α4 binding form of PP2A is believed to either be inactive or show low phosphatase catalytic activity [14-15]. However, α4 has been reported to have important functions regulating PP2A, as it manages PP2A core enzyme assembly and PP2A/C ubiquitination [12, 16-17]. Moreover, α4 was reported to implement many cellular functions through PP2A, including control of cytoskeleton architecture and anti-anoikis/apoptosis [18-21]. Thereby, when PP2A is under the insult of MCLR, whether α4, the essential PP2A regulator in cell, participates in the cellular regulation of PP2A is an interesting question.

In the present study, we used HEK293 (Human Embryonic Kidney 293) cells because kidney is an important target organ of MCLR; while the nephrotoxicity of MCLR is much less understood than its hepatotoxicity. We tested the PP2A activity as well as other biochemical features of PP2A in HEK293 cells, together with cellular behavior after different concentration of MCLR treatment. Surprisingly, PP2A activity in HEK293 cells was stimulated, not inhibited, by low-concentration MCLR treatment, which may be due to the dissociation of α4 and PP2A/C. Under high-concentration MCLR exposure, PP2A activity decreased along with the disruption of the core enzyme and alternation of post-translational modifications on the C subunit. Moreover, the dissociation of α4 and PP2A/C is correlated with disruption of cytoskeleton, cell detachment and possible anoikis induced by MCLR, suggesting the consequences after α4 and PP2A/C dissociation.

Materials and Methods

HEK293 Cell Culture and Treatment

HEK293 cell line was purchased from Cellbank of Chinese Academy of Sciences (Catalog No. GNHu18). HEK293 Cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium supplemented with 10% FBS, in flasks, dishes, or plates, with suitable inoculum ratio according to the amount of cells needed in one experiment, at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere with 5% CO2. Cells were allowed to attach and grow for 24 h before the media was replaced with fresh media containing MCLR (Alexis, Switzerland). MCLR was resolved and diluted precisely in order to keep the treatment concentrations accurate. At cell treatment, the control groups of each assay were replaced with fresh media without MCLR. The beginning and ending of MCLR treatment were within the logarithmic phase of cell growth. Prior to any cell lysate preparation, cells were washed twice with ice cold PBS, harvested, and gently rinsed with PBS four times via pelleting in microtubes by centrifugation in order to fully remove MCLR in media.

Western blotting

The protein levels and post-translational modification of interested proteins were tested using Western blotting. Cell extracts were prepared in lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 15 mM EDTA, 0.1% TritonX-100, 1 mM PMSF) with protease inhibitor complex (Roche) on ice for 30 min. After protein concentration was detected using the Bradford method [22], 60 μg of cell extract were loaded on SDS-PAGE for electrophoresis and the gels produced were transferred to nitrocellulose membranes. Primary antibodies applied at 4°C overnight were: anti-PP2A/A mouse mAb (Cell Signaling Technology), anti-PP2A/C rabbit mAb (Cell Signaling Technology), anti-Caspase-3 rabbit mAb (Cell Signaling Technology), anti-p-Y307 PP2A/C rabbit mAb (Abcam), anti-methyl-L309 PP2A/C mouse mAb (Abcam), anti-Mid 1 rabbit poly Ab (Abcam), anti-α4 mouse mAb (Upstate), anti-MCLR mouse mAb (Alexis), anti-β-actin mouse mAb (Santa Cruz), anti-Bcl-2 rabbit mAb (Santa Cruz), anti-Bad rabbit mAb (BioWorld), and anti-GAPDH mouse mAb (KANGCHEN). The
concentration recommended by producers was used as were the standard Western blotting procedures. Figures representing three independent assays are shown. Densitometric data were collected by Image J software (National Institutes of Health) for further statistical analysis.

**PP2A Activity Assay**

PP2A activity was measured by two methods, both determine the amount of free phosphate by measuring the absorbance of a molybdate: malachite green: phosphate complex. First was with a serine/threonine phosphatase assay kit (Promega). Briefly, cells were collected from 6 well plates and washed before lysis on ice in lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 0.05% Triton X-100, 0.1 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM PMSF, 0.05% β-mercaptoethanol, 10% glycerol) with protease inhibitor complex (Roche) at 4°C for 18 min. After incubation, 50 µl of molybdate dye/additive mixture was added, and the color was allowed to develop for 20 min. The optical density of the samples was read using a 96-well plate reader at 630-nm. All determinations were performed in duplicate, and the absorbance of the reactions was corrected by determining the absorbance of control reactions without phosphoprotein substrate. The amount of phosphate released (pmol) was calculated from a standard curve (0-2000 pmol) and normalized using the controls. The second method was using an antibody against PP2A/C to immunoprecipitate PP2A/C before measuring its activity, specifically [16]. Briefly, 300µg of total cell lysate from each group was prepared as described above. PP2A/C was precipitated from cell lysate using 3 µg of PP2A/C antibody (clone 1D6, Upstate) with rotation for 12 h at 4°C, and then 40 µl of Protein A agarose beads were added for 2 h rotation at 4°C. Noteworthy, this PP2A/C antibody does not block phosphatase activity. PP2A activity was measured by incubating the immunoprecipitated protein with the substrate RRA(pT)VA for 5 min at 37°C, with 1000rpm shaking. Afterwards, 25 µl of reacted solution was mixed with 100 µl molybdate dye/additive in a 96 well plate. The analysis of yielded free phosphate from each group was as previously described. Finally, the phosphatase activity was normalized to the relative amount of immunoprecipitated PP2A/C referring to the Western blotting quantification analysis. Data were from three independent assays of each method.

**Immunoprecipitation**

Immunoprecipitation was used to test the interaction between interested proteins in this study. Cells were collected from dishes before lysed in RIPA buffer (Millipore) with 0.05% β-mercaptoethanol, 1 mM PMSF and protease inhibitor complex (Roche) at 4°C for 40 min. After 14000 x g centrifugation for 20 min to precipitate the pellet, ~1 mg cell lysate from each group was incubated, with rotation, with 10 µl anti-PP2A/C rabbit monoclonal antibody (Cell Signaling Technology) at 4°C overnight. 100 µl Protein A agarose beads (Santa Cruz) were added and the mixture was rotated for an additional 3 h. Beads were washed four times with RIPA buffer and once with PBS, resuspended in SDS loading buffer and boiled prior to Western blotting. Three selected independent assays are shown.

**Immunofluorescence**

Immunofluorescence was used in this study to investigate the localization of interested proteins. About 50% to 60% confluent cells on coverslips were washed by PBS, fixed with 4% formaldehyde 10 min and blocked with 10% FBS/PBS (v/v) for 20 min. Cells were then incubated with following primary antibodies: anti-PP2A/C rabbit mAb (Cell Signaling Technology), anti-MCLR mouse mAb (Alexis), anti-α4 mouse mAb (Upstate), anti-vinculin mouse mAb (Abcam). Solutions were diluted to the recommended concentration in 0.1% saponin/FBS/PBS (w/v) at 4°C overnight. After washing with 10% FBS/PBS, secondary antibodies of Alexa Fluor 488 goat anti-rabbit IgG conjugate and Alexa Fluor 549 goat anti-mouse IgG conjugate (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories) were applied. Nuclei and F-actin were visualized by staining with DAPI (Sigma) and Phalloidin conjugates (Molecular Probes). Images were captured on a Zeiss microscope (LSM 510 META); figures representing 10 randomly chosen fields are shown.

**Cell Detachment Assay**

Cell detachment assay was applied in this study to measure the detachment of cells after MCLR treatment. Equal amounts of cells were seeded onto 12-well plates. Each experimental group, which would further be washed by PBS, corresponded to a control group. After treatment, cells in control groups were trypsinized and harvested in order to measure the total amount of cells. Cells in experimental groups were washed three times in PBS at room temperature.
and shaken gently for 10 s. Adherent cells were then trypsinized and harvested. All cell samples were counted with a Beckman Coulter cell counter. The extent of cell detachment is defined as (the number of control group - the number of experimental group) / (the number of control group). In brief, cell detachment was quantified by counting only adherent cells [23]. Data were from three independent assays.

**MTT assay**

MTT assay was used to test the changes of cellular viability after MCLR treatment. HEK293 cells were seeded at equal number in 24 well plates. After treatment, media were replaced with fresh media containing 0.5mg/ml 3- (4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT), and further incubated for 4 h. Following aspirating the culture medium, the resulting formazan was dissolved with dimethylsulfoxide. Plates were placed on a shaker for 10 min and read immediately at 490 nm with a microplate reader. Data were from three independent assays.

**Statistical analysis**

All data are presented as the mean ±SD from three independent experiments (n=3). Pairwise comparisons between means were tested by a Student’s t-test, two-tailed analysis. n.s. not significant; *, p<0.05; **, p<0.01; and ***, p<0.001.

**Results**

**MCLR exists in HEK293 cells associated with PP2A C subunit**

Recent studies report that a group of hepatocyte uptake transporters termed organic anion transporting polypeptides (OATPs) are crucial for MCLR transfer into cells, and question the presence of MCLR in non-OATP cells [24-25]. To our knowledge, few immortalized kidney cell line expresses OATP, not HEK293 cells as well. Therefore as premise, we first verified the presence of MCLR in non-transfected HEK293 cells by Western blotting with an antibody against MCLR. HEK293 cells were treated with 0.5 μM-10 μM MCLR for 24 hours, and MCLR was then carefully removed from the cultures as described in Experimental Procedures. As shown in Fig. 1A, the bands of proteins recognized by anti-MCLR antibody showed a dose-dependent increase at the ladder of molecular weight of about 30 kDa to 39 kDa, similar to that seen in OATP-positive cells [24]. As the molecular of PP2A/C is about 36 kDa, the result possibly suggested the binding of MCLR to PP2A/C. To substantiate the prediction, Western blotting was applied at one membrane using antibodies against MCLR and PP2A/C, each with a different IRDye conjugat secondary antibody. As shown in Fig. 1B, there were up-shift bands about 1 kDa above the 36 kDa band in MCLR-treated groups. Because the molecular weight of MCLR is about 995Da, these bands might suggest the MCLR-conjunct bands of PP2A/C. Notably, these up-shift bands also showed a co-migration with the bands of MCLR, which strongly suggest the binding of MCLR and PP2A/C. Also, there were a portion of bands probed by anti-MCLR antibody that did not overlap with the bands of PP2A/C, suggesting other possible MCLR-bound proteins tested. An immunofluorescence assay (Fig. 1C) probed both PP2A/C and MCLR. Though a small amount of non-specific binding was detected, the merged images show that binding of MCLR and PP2A/C increases in a dose-dependent manner, consistent with the results above. Although pinocytosis rather than active transport may be responsible for MCLR uptake, and the quantity of MCLR in HEK293 cells could be much lower than OATP-positive cells, the presence of MCLR in non-OATP HEK293 cells is clear.

**PP2A activity undergoes opposite change with alterations of the protein level and modification of its subunits**

To measure the effect of MCLR on PP2A in the cell, HEK293 cells were exposed to 1 μM-10 μM MCLR for 24 hours before being harvested. The MCLR concentration and incubation time were decided according to previous studies performed by our, and other, groups [26-27]. To our surprise, PP2A activity increased at low concentrations of MCLR. After peaking at a 2 μM concentration at a rise of about 30%, PP2A activity decreased in a dose-dependent manner to about 20% for a 10 μM concentration (Fig. 2A), measured by a Promega kit. To confirm this result, we tested PP2A activity at 2μM and 10μM MCLR treatment, and control, by an immunoprecipitation phosphatase assay method. Shown in Fig. 2B, PP2A activity changed consistently to the above data. As shown above, MCLR is present in HEK293 cells through the whole range of concentrations used in the PP2A activity assay. Thus, rather than simply inhibition, the data might suggest a more complicated effect of MCLR on PP2A in HEK293 cells.

We tried to explain the opposing activities of PP2A on the protein level of core enzyme. As shown in Fig. 2C, PP2A/A maintains its protein level through the whole range of MCLR dosing. At the treatment of MCLR, the protein level of PP2A/C did not show a significant change. At a high concentration...
(5 μM and above) of MCLR, a band, which increases in a dose-dependent manner, is found approximately 1 kDa above the target 36 kDa. Conversely, the band at 36KDa displayed a dose-dependent decrease. The result might thereby, reconfirm the existence of MCLR in HEK293 cells. However, it could only explain the decrease in PP2A activity, not the increase at low concentrations of MCLR.

We next investigated the post-translational modification of PP2A/C, because reversible methylation and phosphorylation of its carboxy-terminal tail had been reported to regulate its catalytic activity: phosphorylation of PP2A/C at Tyr307 decreases its catalytic activity and methylation of PP2A/C at Leu309 affects its affinity to regulatory subunits. Therefore, antibodies against phosphorylation and methylation of PP2A/C at these exact sites were applied via Western blotting. However, even if post-translational modification regulates catalytic activity, the changes in PP2A activity can still only be partially explained by the decrease, rather than the increase. As shown in Fig. 2B, the proportion of phosphorylated PP2A/C slightly increased and methylated PP2A/C decreased at high concentrations (5 μM and above) of MCLR, while both remained constant in the 2 μM MCLR group. In addition, the anti-methylated-PP2A/C antibody almost failed to detect the up-shift bands. Because MCLR modification is more likely to be the reason for the loss of PP2A activity, we further discussed the theory in Discussion.

Figure 1. MCLR is associated with the PP2A C subunit in the cell. HEK293 cells were treated with MCLR at varying concentrations for 24 h. (A) Cell lysate was examined by Western blotting with an antibody against MCLR. (B) Cell lysate was tested by Western blotting with antibodies against MCLR (red) and PP2A/C (green) on one nitrocellulose membrane. Each antibody was further probed by IRDye 680 conjugated goat anti-mouse or IRDye 800CW conjugated goat anti-rabbit secondary antibody (LI-COR) before scanned. Merged imaged showed the co-migration of MCLR and PP2A/C. (C) Immunofluorescence assay of MCLR (red), PP2A/C (green) and nuclei (blue).
Figure 2. PP2A shows opposing activity with diffuse alternations of its core enzyme protein level and post-translational modification under MCLR treatment. HEK293 cells were exposed to varying concentrations of MCLR for 24 h before PP2A analysis. (A) PP2A activity was measured with a Promega serine/threonine phosphatase kit assay. Data represent means ±SD (n=3) *, p<0.05; **, p<0.01; ***, p<0.001 compared with control. (B) PP2A activity was measured by PP2A immunoprecipitation phosphatase assay. Data represent means ±SD (n=3) *, p<0.05; **, p<0.01 compared with control. (C) PP2A core enzyme subunits A and C, including phosphorylated and methylated subunit C, were examined by Western blotting, where β-actin was used as loading control. (D) Ubiquitination of PP2A/C was captured by Western blotting with an antibody against PP2A/C at different exposure time (short: 1min, long: 10min). (E) Immunoprecipitation assay was applied in HEK293 cell lysate using an anti-PP2A/C antibody. The association of PP2A/C with Mid 1 form precipitated material was detected by Western blotting.

Finally, we tested the ubiquitination of PP2A/C after MCLR exposure, because ubiquitination of PP2A/C has been predicted to be related with its decreased phosphatase activity [28]. We adjust the amount of loaded protein according to previous Western blotting assay to keep the total amount of PP2A/C approximately the same, as shown in shot-time exposure result (Fig. 2D left). At long-time exposure (Fig. 2D right), we captured a slight but observable ladder of band, differing in molecular mass about 8 kDa above the major band, which might suggest the monoubiquitination of PP2A/C [16-17]. Observably, this band decreased at 2 µM and 10 µM groups. Because ubiquitination of PP2A/C has been predicted to decrease PP2A activity, the loss of ubiquitination might suggest a possible correlation with the upregulation of PP2A activity at low dose of MCLR treatment. We further tried to reinforce the
ubiquitination result using immunoprecipitation to test the interaction between PP2A/C and its E3 ubiquitin ligase Mid 1, which mediate the binding of PP2A/C and ubiquitin. As shown in Fig. 2E, the binding of PP2A/C and Mid 1 decreased in both 2 μM and 10 μM groups, which is consistent with the previous result. Although the relationship between ubiquitination of PP2A/C and its activity is not clear yet, and our data might, therefore, only suggest a tenuous link between them, it gave us a hint to look into further evidence to explain the opposing PP2A activity change.

**α4 and PP2A C subunit dissociate under MCLR treatment**

Because the binding of PP2A/C and Mid 1 is reported to be managed by a special PP2A regulatory subunit, termed α4 [17], which is also an important PP2A activity regulator under cellular stress, we next focused our study to the interaction between PP2A/C and α4. To address the role of α4 in the regulation of PP2A in HEK293 cells with MCLR treatment, we at first examined the protein level of α4 after 2 μM-10 μM MCLR exposure. As shown in Fig. 3A, α4 was constantly expressed across all concentrations. Next, the binding of α4 and PP2A/C was measured by immunoprecipitation. As shown in Fig. 3B, the binding of α4 and PP2A/C decreased in a MCLR concentration-dependent manner; about 60% of the original complex dissociated at 2 μM and about 85% dissociated at 10 μM. The result confirmed the former PP2A ubiquitination assay and explained why PP2A activity is upregulated by a low concentration of MCLR: the PP2A/C released from α4 regains catalytic activity and thus compensate for the activity loss. The hypothesis was confirmed by probing PP2A/A from the immunoprecipitate. The interaction between PP2A A and C subunits showed a trend of 10% up-regulation in the 2 μM group. In the 10 μM group, a remarkable dissociation of core enzyme (AC) was seen; only about 20% of PP2A/A remained bound to PP2A/C. The result is not only consistent with the drastic PP2A activity loss, but confirms the important function of α4 in the assembly of the PP2A core enzyme, as recently reported [16]. The immunofluorescence assay was used to check the previous result by staining both α4 and PP2A/C. Consistently, α4 and PP2A/C showed visibly abated co-localization (Fig. 3C), as α4 increasingly located at nuclei, while PP2A/C kept locating mainly at cytoplasm. We conclude from these data that α4 and PP2A/C dissociate under MCLR exposure and may cause the opposite changes of PP2A activities at different MCLR concentrations.

**MCLR destabilized HEK293 cells cytoskeleton**

We next studied the cellular effect brought by the dissociation of α4 and PP2A/C. Because α4 is reported to be essential for maintaining the stability of cytoskeleton architecture, especially regarding cellular actin polymerization and focal adhesion formation [19], we investigated the polymerization of actin and morphology of vinculin, an important focal adhesion protein. HEK293 cells were treated by 2 μM or 10 μM MCLR for 24 hours before immunofluorescence assay. As shown in Fig. 4A, decreased polymerization of actin was observed in 10 μM group. Moreover in 10 μM group, vinculin localized in focal adhesion at cell extensions was decreased (Fig. 4B). While no obvious changes were observed in 2 μM group. Given the knowledge that α4 plays an important role in regulating actin polymerization and focal adhesion, these data might suggest that the aggressive dissociation of α4 and PP2A/C might be related to the destabilization of cytoskeleton caused by MCLR.

**MCLR may induce anoikis in HEK293 cells**

Anoikis is a form of apoptosis induced by cell detachment from the extracellular matrix [29]. Because α4 plays an essential role in opposing anoikis via PP2A, we next investigated the anoikis-related changes accompanied with α4/PP2A/C dissociation after MCLR treatment. Photos were taken and detachment was measured by cell counting as described in Experimental Procedures (Fig. 5A, B). A trend of cell rounding was evident in the 10 μM group. Compared with the control group, cells in 5 μM and 10 μM groups were detached by the wash of PBS in a dose-dependent manner, while the 2 μM group showed nearly no additional detachment. In the MTT assay, we found the vitality of cells decreased accordingly to the detachment assay, as statistically positive vitality decrease was shown in 5 μM and above concentration groups (Fig. 5B). Next, we measured several proteins important to anoikis or apoptosis (Fig. 6A). Apoptotic protein Bax upregulated its protein level, while anti-apoptotic protein Bcl-2 decreased its protein level at high concentration MCLR treatment; the full length of caspase-3 remained its protein level, while cleaved caspase-3 increased in high concentration MCLR groups, as a hallmark of anoikis/apoptosis induced by MCLR [30]. The previous immunofluorescence result of decreased focal adhesion formation was also a symptom of anoikis [31]. We infer from these data that MCLR causes HEK293 cells detachment possibly further anoikis.
Figure 3. MCLR triggers α4/PP2A C subunit dissociation and affects PP2A core enzyme stability. HEK293 cells were treated with MCLR at varying concentrations for 24 h. (A) The α4 protein level was examined by Western blotting, where GAPDH was used as loading control. (B) Immunoprecipitation assay was performed in HEK293 cell lysate using an anti-PP2A/C antibody. The association of PP2A/C with α4 and PP2A/A form precipitated material was detected by Western blotting. Binding of PP2A/A or α4 to PP2A/C was quantified and the ratio of each complex was measured by comparing to total C subunit and displayed by comparing to non-MCLR treated group. Data represent mean ±SD (n=3) *, p<0.05; ***, p<0.001 compared with control. (C) Immunofluorescence assay using antibody against PP2A/C and α4 shows reduced binding between α4 and PP2A/C (green). Nuclei (blue) were stained with DAPI. The merged images showed the co-localization of α4 and PP2A/C.

Figure 4. MCLR destabilize HEK293 cells cytoskeleton. HEK293 cells were treated with MCLR at varying concentrations for 24 h before immunofluorescence assays. (A) F-actin (green) was stained with phallotoxins. (B) Vinculin (red) was stained with antibody against vinculin.
**Figure 5.** MCLR induces HEK293 cells morphology change, detachment and cell death. MCLR at varying concentrations was used to treat HEK293 cells for 24 h. (A) Microscope photos of live cells. Representative micrographs show morphological change of HEK293 cells in the 10 μM group. (B) Quantification of detachment and viability of HEK293 cells following MCLR exposure. Data represent mean ±SD (n=3) *, p<0.05; **, p<0.01; ***, p<0.001 compared with control.

**Figure 6.** MCLR induces changes of anoikis-related proteins. (A) HEK293 cells were treated by MCLR for 24 h and lysed. Protein level of Bad, Bcl-2, full length caspase-3 (35KDA) and cleaved caspase-3 (17 and 19KDA) were examined by Western blotting, where β-actin was used as loading control.
Figure 7. Schematic representation of multiple cellular effects induced by low and high concentration of MCLR through α4 and PP2A.

Table 1. Protein levels of different proteins after MCLR treatment. Densitometric analysis was performed by Image J software. Results were expressed by mean ±SD from three independent experiments. The protein expression from control group was designated as 1 and the others were expressed as folds compared with the control. In case of multiple bands, the values represent all bands detected. The asterisk symbol represents statistical significance in comparison with the control value (*, p<0.05; **, p<0.01).

| MCLR (µM) | 0  | 2  | 5  | 8  | 10 |
|-----------|----|----|----|----|----|
| Fig. 2 PP2A/A | 1.00 | 0.89±0.11 | 1.13±0.16 | 0.87±0.17 | 0.94±0.13 |
| Fig. 2 PP2A/C | 1.00 | 1.03±0.11 | 0.96±0.29 | 0.83±0.17 | 0.80±0.19 |
| Fig. 2 p-PP2A/C | 1.00 | 0.97±0.14 | 1.06±0.13 | 1.31±0.20 | 1.30±0.13 |
| Fig. 2 methyl-PP2A/C | 1.00 | 1.12±0.05 | 0.66±0.10 | 0.50±0.13* | 0.28±0.07** |
| Fig. 3 α4 | 1.00 | 0.97±0.10 | 1.18±0.19 | 0.98±0.36 | 1.01±0.28 |
| Fig. 6 Bad | 1.00 | 1.60±0.36 | 2.49±0.47* | 2.84±0.44* | 3.51±0.47* |
| Fig. 6 Bcl-2 | 1.00 | 1.24±0.28 | 0.74±0.21 | 0.55±0.16* | 0.41±0.11* |
| Fig. 6 caspase 3 | 1.00 | 0.91±0.10 | 0.90±0.11 | 1.15±0.10 | 1.17±0.18 |
| Fig. 6 cleaved caspase 3 | 1.00 | 0.98±0.19 | 1.31±0.25 | 1.91±0.35* | 2.77±0.79* |

Discussion

The current study described multiple changes after MCLR treatment. Importantly, most of these effects are related to the function of α4 and the dissociation of α4 and PP2A/C. At low concentration treatment, the partial dissociation of α4 and PP2A/C may result in the compensational upregulation of PP2A activity. At high concentration MCLR treatment, the aggressive dissociation of α4 and PP2A/C may lead to the dissociation of PP2A core enzyme, destabilization of cytoskeleton, cell detachment and possibly further anoikis (Fig.7).

MCs, especially MCLR and MCRR, have been known and utilized as PP2A inhibitors for decades. But the effect of MCs on PP2A in cell, especially at relatively low concentration, has seldom been studied. Our results, for the first time show that besides inhibition, MCLR at relatively low concentration can activate PP2A in cells. The nonlinear effect is characteristic of hormesis, a dose-response phenomenon of by low-dose stimulation and high-dose inhibition. It has recently been reported that MCLR at low concentration stimulates proliferation, while at relatively high concentration decrease cellular viability, in another kidney cell line, Vero-E6 cells. Such phenomenon might seemingly resemble hormesis, though the term was not mentioned or proposed by the authors [26, 32]. Still, whether MCLR could cause hormesis and if PP2A activity is a potential mark for hormesis...
detection are two questions needed to be further studied.

It is well established that the a4/PP2A/C complex is inactive or has low catalytic activity [14-15]. In this study, the a4/PP2A/C complex compensates the loss of PP2A by dissociation at relatively low concentration of MCLR. Kong and colleagues recently reported that a4 is essential for PP2A core enzyme assembly and stability, and theorized that under cellular stress, the PP2A/C released from a4 is required for the adaptive increase in PP2A activity [16]. Our PP2A activity assay and immunoprecipitation results demonstrate these two important functions of a4. Further, we provide evidence of the relationship between the two seemingly diffuse functions: the disruption of the binding between a4 and C subunit increases PP2A activity, possibly indicating that the released C subunit has shifted to the A subunit; on the other hand, a4 is essential for the stable binding of the PP2A A and C subunit. So does the dissociation of the a4/PP2A/C complex enhance or destabilize the core enzyme? In our data, the relatively low MCLR concentration results in partial a4/PP2A/C dissociation, which may yield more core enzyme and increase PP2A activity. However, as the dissociation is aggravated by a relatively high MCLR concentration, the PP2A core enzyme is disrupted and facilitates drastic PP2A activity loss caused by MCLR. Therefore, we propose that partial dissociation of a4/PP2A/C could result in an adaptive increase of PP2A activity, but as the dissociation continues and crosses a certain limit, the core enzyme of PP2A becomes unstable. Certainly, we could not rule out the possibility that MCLR binding to PP2A/C directly disrupts the core enzyme. But because a4 is essential for core enzyme stability and assembly, it is more likely that MCLR affects PP2A core enzyme formation in this way.

It is reported that a4 is important for maintaining cytoskeletal architecture and opposing anoikis/apoptosis [19-20]. In our data, aggressive dissociation of a4 and PP2A/C at high concentration MCLR treatment also correlated with the destabilized cytoskeleton, cell detachment and possible anoikis. Given the major role a4 played in these cellular processes, we propose the aggressive dissociation of a4 from PP2A/C renders its ability to maintain cytoskeletal stability and cell attachment, and further opposing anoikis; while partial dissociation may still preserve most of these functions, like the proposed model of PP2A activity regulation.

In addition to the dual effect on PP2A activity, we demonstrate in this study that MCLR affects the modification of PP2A/C protein. (i) The 1kDa up-shift of PP2A/C possibly suggests MCLR-conjunct band of PP2A/C, which may also be related with the inhibition of PP2A activity. (ii) While the anti-p-PP2A/C antibody picks up both bands of PP2A/C, the relative strengths of the upper bands to lower bands observably increase with MCLR treatment. However, the anti-methyl-PP2A/C antibody nearly does not recognize the upper band, with only a very slight band at highest MCLR concentration group. Such phenomenon might suggest that phosphorylation of PP2A/C, rather than methylation of PP2A/C, favors MCLR-conjunct band. (iii) The increase of phosphorylated of PP2A/C is correlated with loss of PP2A activity. Our date might thereby, provide evidence for the cause-and-effect relationship between the two [33-34]. Since PP2A inhibition by MCLR is likely the more initial and direct cause of PP2A activity loss, our data might suggest that the loss of PP2A activity might render its ability to reacivate itself by auto-dephosphorylation, as reported [34].

The results of the present study suggest that MCLR triggers a compensation in PP2A activity; a former inactive complex of a4 and PP2A/C dissociates upon exposure to MCLR, and the newly released PP2A/C may overturn the inhibition exerted by MCLR. However, as the inhibition rises with a higher MCLR concentration, the released PP2A/C is limited for the full recovery of PP2A activity loss, and PP2A activity decreases aggressively. Moreover, we find that the dissociation of a4 and PP2A/C is correlated with cytoskeleton destabilization, cell detachment and possible anoikis, which are preserved or opposed by a4. Thus the multiple cellular changes may also indicate the consequences followed by the dissociation. Together, these results place a4 not only in the heart of PP2A activity regulation but also in an overall cellular response encountering MCLR in HEK293 cells.

Biochemical analysis would require a4 over-expression and knock-down to further illuminate the exact role of a4 in regulating PP2A and other cellular processes under the exposure to MCLR. However, considering the potential toxicity of transfection, and the report that knock-down of a4 causes direct apoptosis, we stick to genetically un-modified cells. Nevertheless, our results for the first time show that MCLR at low concentration can stimulate cellular PP2A activity, and further illustrate that a4 and PP2A/C dissociation may be one reason, if not the only reason, contributed to this unexpected phenomena.

Recent studies of PP2A as a tumor suppressor have nudged it to the brink of being a potential target for cancer therapy [8, 35-36]. Increasing numbers of PP2A activators have been found, such as FTY720, forskolin, ceramide and D-erythro-S [37-38], and some
of them have been proposed for drug development without actually knowing the activation mechanism [36]. By contrast, MCLR has long been ruled out of such a list because of its similar inhibition on both PP1 and PP2A activity (as shown in in vitro assay). Here with PP2A activation induced by its very own inhibitor MCLR and recently reported doxorubicin, it is possible that the in cell or in vivo influence of chemicals on PP2A may not be as simple as what it shows in vitro. So far as the activation of PP2A is concerned, the compensation mechanism provides a hint for the understanding of a general PP2A activation mechanism.
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Figures

**Figure S1.** Standard Curve from PP2A activity assays. Different amount of free phosphate standard from Promega serine/threonine phosphatase assay system were diluted and measured in 96-well plate, for the use of standard curve.