Successful Adaptation of Targeted Gene Panel Next-Generation Sequencing in Regional Hospital in Hong Kong: Genomic Diagnosis of SCN2A-Related Seizure Disorder
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To the Editor: To date, epilepsy is one of the most common neurological disorders globally, affecting more than 50 million people worldwide. It is debilitating and represents a large health-care and economic burden, especially when treatment may often be expensive and not necessarily effective. It has been suggested that the burden of lifetime epilepsy has been significantly increasing in China in recent decades.[1] The International League Against Epilepsy has defined epilepsy in 2014 as a neurological disorder with at least two unprovoked seizures occurring more than 24 h apart, one unprovoked seizure and a high probability of further seizures, or diagnosis of an epilepsy syndrome. Although the condition could also be caused by structural, infectious, metabolic, and/or immune etiologies, it has recently been estimated that a genetic etiology could be established in up to 40% of such patients.[2] Molecular testing with a genomic approach would allow delineation of the precise genetic cause, treatment stratification, as well as further detection of pharmacogenetic variants to avoid severe adverse drug reactions toward antiepileptic drugs, which is of particular clinical importance in Asian populations. A precise genetic diagnosis of the patient would also guide genetic counseling on the prognosis.

The numerous genes associated with epilepsy and the significant genetic heterogeneity necessitated a high-throughput technique for clinical laboratories in the genomic era. Here, we reported a case of SCN2A-related seizure disorder, in which the likely pathogenic variant was only possible to be detected with the use of targeted gene panel with next-generation sequencing. This exemplary case demonstrated the value of genetic testing with a genomic approach in this group of genetically heterogeneous disorder.

Our patient was a male born full-term as the first child to nonconsanguineous Chinese parents. There was uneventful antenatal history and no remarkable family history. At 24 months of age, he first presented with recurrent afebrile convulsions, which were apparently more severe during episodes of febrile illness. Semiology ranged from generalized tonic-clonic convulsions to myoclonic jerks. He was also noted to have autistic features and severe language delay and depressed social interaction. Physical examination showed no focal neurological deficit or dysmorphic feature. Electroencephalography at 25 months once captured a clinical attack with ictal discharges beginning at bilateral anterior regions and evolving to generalized epileptiform discharges followed by generalized suppression of activities. Biochemical investigations, including urine organic acids, plasma and cerebrospinal fluid amino acid profiling, cerebrospinal fluid neurotransmitters, plasma and urine creatine, and guanidinoacetate, were all unrevealing. Previous genetic testing for common mitochondrial DNA point mutations was negative.

Approval for the study was obtained from the Kowloon West Cluster Clinical Research Ethics Committee, Hospital Authority (No. KW-EX-09-155), and written informed consent was obtained from the family. Next-generation sequencing was performed with targeted gene capture using TruSight One Sequencing Panel (Illumina; San Diego, CA, USA), which covers more than 4800 genes associated with specific clinical phenotypes, on a MiSeq Sequencing System (Illumina; San Diego, CA, USA). Target regions of interest were restricted to the coding regions and the 10-bp flanking regions of the selected genes. The sequencing data were aligned to GRCh37/hg19, and variants were identified within 74 selected genes associated with genetic epilepsies using NextGEnie sequencing analysis software (version 2.4.1; State College, PA, USA). Variants identified were annotated with VariantStudio software (version 2.2.1; Illumina; San Diego, CA, USA) and filtered with Excel spreadsheet (2010; Microsoft, Richmond, VA, USA). Pathogenic and likely pathogenic variants were subsequently confirmed by Sanger sequencing in the proband and in the mother. Since the parents were divorced, no sample could be collected from the father.

The coding exons and flanking regions (10-bp) of the 74 selected genes were sequenced with a mean depth of coverage of 93.8x.
reads, and 93.9% of the targeted bases were covered by at least 20 reads. The patient was found to harbor a heterozygous insertion-deletion NM_021007.2:c.2350_2365delinsTGTACT ATCCAACAGATACT (NP_066287.2:p.(Thr784Cysfs*45)) in the SCN2A gene, which was confirmed by Sanger sequencing [Figure 1]. The variant was not detected in the mother, who did not have a history of convulsion or autism. This variant was not previously reported as disease-causing and not listed in the Human Gene Mutation Database (Professional 2018.1) and ClinVar at the time of reporting. This likely pathogenic variant was predicted to cause a frameshift and premature termination of the protein. At the time of reporting, the variant was absent from controls in the Exome Sequencing Project, 1000 Genomes Project, and Genome Aggregation Database. Although the variant was not reported and functional study data were not yet available, other frameshift and null variants in SCN2A were described previously in patients with seizures and considered disease-causing.[3] This variant was not found in the proband’s mother. Unfortunately, genotyping of the father was not performed due to unavailability. The variant was thus considered likely pathogenic according to the standard variant interpretation guidelines.

SCN2A encodes the alpha subunit of voltage-gated sodium channel Na\(_{1.2}\), which is predominantly expressed in the brain. SCN2A-related disorders are inherited in an autosomal dominant manner with incomplete penetrance and variable expressivity, while de novo variants are not uncommon.[3] Pathogenic variants in SCN2A have been associated with a phenotypic spectrum that includes benign neonatal/infantile seizures, Ohtahara syndrome, epilepsy of infancy with migrating focal seizures, West syndrome, Lennox-Gastaut syndrome, myoclonic-atonic epilepsy, electrical status epilepticus during sleep, and intellectual disability and/or autism without epilepsy.[3] The diagnosis of a SCN2A-related disorder in this patient could explain his epilepsy as well as his autism and developmental delay. Sodium channel blockers, for example, phenytoin, carbamazepine, and lamotrigine usually represent the first-line treatment for SCN2A-related epileptic disorder, although recently it has been suggested that null variants in SCN2A might be associated epilepsies with a later onset and treatment resistance to sodium channel blockers.[3] This molecular-level diagnosis also facilitates appropriate genetic counseling, screening of at-risk family members, and prenatal diagnosis for future pregnancies in the family.

The Department of Pathology, Princess Margaret Hospital, has been providing clinical molecular testing service for more than 20 years. Our laboratory was among the first clinical laboratory to provide targeted gene panel next-generation sequencing since June 2016 within the Hospital Authority of Hong Kong, China, which

**Figure 1:** The variant is visualized in Integrative Genomics Viewer (Version 2.3.68; Broad Institute). Due to limitation of the software, the heterozygous indel variant (c.2350_2365delinsTGTACTATCCAACAGATACT) is detected as a combination of insertions and substitutions. The electrophoretogram showing confirmation of the variant c.2350_2365delinsTGTACTATCCAACAGATACT by Sanger sequencing. Note the insertion of TGTACTATCCAACAGATACT (20 nt) in place of ACGGAGCAGTTCAGCA (16 nt) on the variant allele.
The panel testing could be initiated as a referral by the case clinician or as part of investigations by pathologists. The chemical pathologists endorse or choose the panel based on clinical details provided by the clinician, as well as information accessible on electronic patient records, including results of biochemical tests, histopathology, electrophysiology investigations, and/or radiological imaging, as well as clinical response toward specific medications. Additional testing or reanalysis could be performed if deemed relevant before the panel testing.

Library preparation, sequencing on instrument, and postsequencing data analysis take 2.0 days, 1.5 days, and 0.5 day, respectively; thus, a list of annotated variants could become available for interpretation by chemical pathologist around 4.0 days after receiving the sample. When variants of uncertain significance are detected, further confirmatory tests could be promptly added, for example, further review of histological slides or blood films, or additional immunohistochemistry, with collaboration with other pathology specialties, so that reclassification of the variants is possible before reporting. Interpretative comments with clinical correlation could be included in the reports, for example, treatment strategies or suitability of reproductive options for the patient.

Reflex testing of other panels could be initiated by the chemical pathologist if deemed appropriate, for example, when the first panel turns out unrevealing or when new clinical information becomes available. Cascade testing and genetic counseling could also be arranged, which may provide co-segregation data. Successful implementation of genomic testing in regional hospitals requires clinicians and various pathology specialties to work closely as a team.

In conclusion, we have successfully implemented targeted panel genomic testing by next-generation sequencing in clinical diagnostic service in a regional hospital in Hong Kong (China) with the use of commercially available clinical exome capture kit and bioinformatics software. This combination provides flexibility and could be exemplary for implementation of genomic testing in small-to-medium-scaled clinical diagnostic laboratory service.
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