Functionally graded coating (silver/yttria) multi layers by pulsed laser deposition technique on 316L stainless steel substrate
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Abstract: Biomaterial surface modifications are crucial for matching the dynamics of the biological system and improving bioimplant efficiency. Surface modifications that are tailored to the material’s bio compatibility, bondability, and host cell associations can significantly improve the material’s biocompatibility, bondability, and host cell associations. In this investigation, silver, yttria and silver yttria thin covers preparation and antimicrobial characteristics on St.St. 316L by pulsed laser deposition (PLD). The mechanical property of the coating has been evaluated by Vickers micro-hardness test, surface characterization studies of the coatings such as LOM and an antibacterial test has been conducted to ensure the coating’s antibacterial efficacy.

1. Introduction:
Biomaterials have been utilized successfully in medical applications for decades to improve the quality and length of life of many people. Their diverse spectrum of functions includes anything from drug distribution to removing, augmenting, or restoring tissues, organs, or body functions. However, these capabilities have been only attained after several attempts, research projects, and historical developments [1]. Biomaterials are synthetic materials that are inserted into living organisms to replace body organs and perform traditional functions of the entire body for a prolonged period of time or even for the rest of one's life [2]. Metallic materials, ceramics, polymers, and composites are the materials utilized to make biomedical devices (orthopaedic, dental, bone cements, and so on) [3]. Despite the potential risks of toxic chromium (Cr) and nickel (Ni) ions being released into physiological media, 5austenitic stainless steel AISI 316 L is one of the most common and cost-impactive implant materials [4]. Austenitic stainless steel (AISI 316L, ASTM F-55, and F-138) is commonly utilized in medicine and contains 17–20 percent Cr, 12–15 percent Ni, 2–3 percent molybdenum, and small quantities of other components [5]. 316L stainless steel has mechanical characteristics identical to human bone and is less expensive than titanium implants [6]. Sarcomas, fibrous encapsulations, osteolysis, genotoxicity, carcinogenicity, sand metal sensitivity have all been linked to leached metal ions [4]. A bioactive material coating will be applied to the implant metal surface to solve the issue of surface...
energy variation [7]. On the surface of implants, bioactive ceramic coatings with the ability to facilitate favourable physiological interactions are added [8]. Ceramics depending on zirconia (ZrO$_2$), such as Yttria-stabilized zirconia (YSZ), have long been regarded as critical components in medical devices [9]. Yttria-stabilized-zirconia (YSZ) in particular has a high refractive index and low losses, as well as excellent thermal stability and corrosion resistance [10].

2. Experimental Part:
A laser is a versatile instrument that can be utilized in a variety of situations. It's particularly useful in the material processing industry. This instrument is utilized in many scientific research projects and experiments due to its narrow frequency bandwidth, coherence, and high power density. Frequently, the light beam is powerful enough to vaporize even the most heat-resistant materials [18]. A target and substrate are placed inside a vacuum chamber and connected to a series of vacuum pumps in a simple PLD setup. A schematic diagram of a simple PLD system is demonstrate in Figure 1. Other inlet and auxiliary ports may be utilized to bring reactive gas and auxiliary attachments into the system. Outside the chamber, a factalizing lens is utilized to focus the laser source on the surface of the target [19].
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**Figure 1.** A schematic diagram of the pulsed laser deposition setup [20].

2.1. Preparation of St.St.316L Substrates
In this step, a plate of 316L St.St. with thickness of 1mm has been cut in to (2.5cm,4cm). Before coating, the specimens have been ground with successive Al$_2$O$_3$ papers (120–1515 grit) and polished. Specimens have been then ultrasonically cleaned in ethanol alcohol for 10 min utilizing ultra sonic cleaning device.

2.2. Targets Impact of yttria and silver
In this step, a 5 g and 13 g from micro powder of both silver and yttria, as a binding material, it has been combined with polyvinyl alcohol (PVA). Then, the mixture powder has been mold in (30 mm) and (20mm) diameters for silver and yttria by compressing moulds, and pressed at pressure (150,75 MPa) respectively utilizing compacted device, to get targets handable. The moulds has been pre-lubricated to reduce friction and to release the compact models easily. Next, the targets has been dried utilizing the dry box at 100°C for 3 hrs for dehumidify and PVA liberating.

2.3. Deposition Procedure and Parameters
To meet the work's goals, the parameters for Ag/YSZ thin cover deposition are described in table (1) and (2).
Table 1. demonstrate Ag/YSZ deposition parameters

| Parameters          | Selected magnitude of Ag | Selected magnitude of YSZ |
|--------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Power              | 100 Mj                   | 180 Mj                   |
| Frequency          | 6 HZ                     | 6 HZ                     |
| Pulses             | 500 P                    | 700 P                    |
| Vacuum pressure    | $10^{-5}$ mbar           | $10^{-5}$ mbar           |
| Temperature        | 300 °C                   | 300 °C                   |
| Distance between the target and specimen | 3.5 cm | 3.5 cm |

Table 2. specimen coding

| Specimen code | Thin cover |
|---------------|------------|
| A             | Ag         |
| B             | YSZ        |
| C             | Ag/YSZ     |
| D             | YSZ/Ag     |
| E             | Ag/YSZ/Ag  |
| F             | YSZ/Ag/YSZ |

3. Tests
The following experiments have been conducted in this investigation to assess the efficiency of thin cover (silver/yttria) on specimens.

3.1. Light Optical Microscope (LOM) test
Involved identification and measurement of the phase's shape and grain size are some characteristics of grain boundaries. Each of these has distinct characteristics. The microstructure evaluated with (400-x) magnification utilizing Olympus microscope manufactured by Japan.

3.2. Thickness Test
The thickness measuring device has been utilized to measure the thickness of silver/yttria thin covers on 316l st.st. substrates, in (Babylon/College of Material Engineering/University of Babylon).

3.3. Surface roughness test
The surface roughness of a silver/yttria coated 316l st.st. specimen has been measured by utilizing the (TR-100 surface roughness tester), which is located at the University of Babylon, Faculty of Materials Engineering. The device passes on the specimen surface to measure the surface roughness. The device has a sensor that records the roughness of the specimen surface and takes the reading directly from the device screen. The accuracy of the device ($\pm \mu 0.01$).

3.4. Hardness test
Vickers Hardness (TH-717 Digital Micro Vickers Hardness Tester) has been measured the hardness of silver/yttria thin covers, at load (300g) and holding time 15 seconds in (college of Material engineering/University of Babylon).

3.5. Antibacterial test
When biomaterials, particularly metallic implants, are utilized inside the human body, they are exposed to germs that cause infections in nearby live cells. Therefore, it must be known if there are bacteria present or not on (silver/yttria) thin cover by the antibacterial test. This test has been performed by has beenhing the specimen in Petri dish with mannitol salt agar and it stayed in dish for 5 minutes. The solution has been then divided into 0.5 ml and put in another petri dish to be incubated at 37 °C for 24 hours.
4. Findings and Discussion

4.1 Light Optical Microscope (LOM)

The microstructure of silver and yttria coating on 316l st.st. plate with number of laser pulses (500 and 700) respectively utilizing the magnification of the microscope (400x) are demonstrated in figure (2).

From figure (2, A) can see the small grains of silver deposited on substrate, in figure (2, B) can see the small grains of yttria deposited on substrate, while in figures (2, C, D, E, F) illustrated mix of silver and yttria grains deposited on substrate.
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Figure 2. demonstrate the microstructure of specimens (A, B, C, D, E and F)

4.2. Thickness Findings

For A specimen the thickness is 2.76 μm, the thickness increased to 2.92 μm for B specimen because the nature of ceramic materials are easy to deposit compared with metals, and then the thickness reach to 5.45 μm for C specimen, D specimen the thickness is 5.79, while thickness of E and F specimens are 7.63 and 7.97 respectively. Anyhow with increasing number of coated layer, the deposition rate increased and the thickness increased due to increase the deposition layer also as demonstrate in Table (3).
Table 3. The Thickness of coated Layers of silver/yttria

| Code of specimens | Thickness (μm) |
|-------------------|---------------|
| A                 | 2.76          |
| B                 | 2.92          |
| C                 | 5.45          |
| D                 | 5.79          |
| E                 | 7.63          |
| F                 | 7.97          |

4.3. Roughness Findings
The roughness of silver thin cover for A specimen is 0.432 μm, and it increased until reached 0.485 μm for B specimen for yttria, and then the roughness reach to it is high magnitude 0.571 μm for F specimen. The impact of number of layer coated and kind of coated materials on the surface roughness of coating is demonstrating in table (4).

Table 4. surface roughness findings of silver- yttria thin cover

| Specimen code | roughness (μm) |
|---------------|----------------|
| A             | 0.432          |
| B             | 0.485          |
| C             | 0.506          |
| D             | 0.517          |
| E             | 0.554          |
| F             | 0.571          |

4.4 Hardness finding
Hardness of uncoated specimens are improved after coating it with yttria. Furthermore increasing of number of layer from C to D to E and F specimens could improve the hardness from (264.80 HV) to (289.14 HV) to (237.89 HV) and (302.76 HV) respectively, this findings are agreement with P. Rajesh et.al, [21], Such improvement is due to the improvement in depth morphology, distribution and increasing in thickness which can be clearly observed in LOM findings, as in figure (2). Most likely the pulse increasing could implant more silver and yttria particles on the substrate surfaces. Table (5) demonstrate the hardness findings and obviously, silver reduce the hardness compared with substrate while yttria increases it compared to the substrate specimen because ceramic materials is more hardness than metals.

Table 5. Hardness findings of 316L and silver- yttria thin cover

| Specimen code | Hardness |
|---------------|----------|
| St.St. 316L   | 211.20   |
| A             | 197.68   |
| B             | 250.41   |
| C             | 264.80   |
| D             | 289.14   |
| E             | 237.89   |
| F             | 302.76   |
4.5. Antibacterial findings

After planted of specimens on the media such as Nutrient Agar (figure 3), Blood agar (figure 4), MacConkey agar, Mannitol salt agar, have been then described in culture by examining the colonies growing on the media mentioned above. After that, microscopically examining has done by staining them with Gram stain. This differential stain is utilized to distinguish between Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria. Finally, biochemical tests have been performed to confirm the diagnosis of the bacteria that appeared, such as the Catalase test, which is a test to diagnose the Gram-positive Staphylococcus aureus, while the IMVC test has been utilized to identify Gram-negative bacteria such as (Pseudomonas aueroginosa, Enterobacter sp) [21,22], as demonstrate in table (6).

Table 6. Demonstrates kinds of bacteria isolated from the specimens.

| specimens  | Kind of Bacteria |
|------------|------------------|
|            | Staphylococcus aureus | Enterobacter sp | Pseudomonas aueroginosa |
| 316l st.st. | +                | +                | +                  |
| A          | -                | -                | -                  |
| B          | -                | +                | -                  |
| C          | -                | -                | -                  |
| D          | +                | -                | -                  |
| E          | -                | -                | -                  |
| F          | -                | -                | -                  |

Conclusion:

Depending on the gained findings, the following conclusions are made:
1. The thickness of thin cover increased with increasing the number of materials kinds from (2.76 μm) for A specimen to (7.97 μm) for F specimen.
2. The surface roughness of thin cover increased with increasing the number of materials kinds from (0.432 μm) for A specimen to (0.571 μm) for F specimen.
3. The thin covers hardness increased form (211.20 HV) for uncoated specimen to (302.76 HV) for F specimen.
4. No bacteria in (A, C, E and F) specimens.
5. Another interesting increasing in biocompatibility has been gained throughout the work for the coated specimen compare to the uncoated st.st. specimen.

References:
[1] Dahman, Yaser. (2019). Biomaterials Science and Technology: Fundamentals and Developments. 10.1201/9780429465345.
[2] Mahajan, A. & Sidhu, Sarabjeet. (2017). Surface modification of metallic biomaterials for enhanced functionality: a review. Materials Technology. 1-13. 10.1080/10667857.2017.1377971.
[3] Hussein, M. A., Mohammed, A. S., & Al-Aqeeli, N. (2015). Wear characteristics of metallic biomaterials: a review. Materials, 8(5), 2749-2768. Miranda, M., Fernández, A., Díaz, M., Esteban-Tejeda, L., López-Esteban, S., Malpartida, F., ... & Moya, J. S. (2010). Silver-hydroxyapatite nanocomposites as bactericidal and fungicidal materials. International journal of materials research, 101(1), 122-127
[4] Balla, V. K., Das, M., Bose, S., Ram, G. J., & Manna, I. (2013). Laser surface modification of 316 L stainless steel with bioactive hydroxyapatite. Materials Science and Engineering: C, 33(8), 4594-4598.
[5] Talha, M., Behera, C. K., & Sinha, O. P. (2013). A review on nickel-free nitrogen containing austenitic stainless steels for biomedical applications. Materials Science and Engineering: C, 33(7), 3563-3575.
[6] Sivaraj, D., & Vijayalakshmi, K. (2019). Enhanced antibacterial and corrosion resistance characteristics of Ag substituted hydroxyapatite/functionlized multiwall carbon nanotube nanocomposite coating on 316L stainless steel for biomedical application. Ultrasonics sonochemistry, 59, 104730.
[7] Chakraborty, R., Seesala, V. S., Sen, M., Sengupta, S., Dhara, S., Saha, P., ... & Das, S. (2017). MWCNT reinforced bone like calcium phosphate—Hydroxyapatite composite coating developed through pulsed electrodeposition with varying amount of apatite phase and crystallinity to promote superior osteoconduction, cytocompatibility and corrosion protection performance compared to bare metallic implant surface. Surface and Coatings Technology, 325, 496-514.
[8] Mehdipour, M., Afshar, A., & Mohebali, M. (2012). Electrophoretic deposition of bioactive glass coating on 316L stainless steel and electrochemical behavior investigation. Applied surface science, 258(24), 9832-9839.
[9] Gautam, C. & Joyner, Jarin & Gautam, Amarendra & Rao, Jitendra & Vajtai, Robert. (2016). Zirconia Depending Dental Ceramics: Structure, Mechanical Characteristics, Biocompatibility and Applications. Dalton Trans. 45. 10.1039/C6DT03484E.
[10] Smith, Q. B. (2016). Impedance Spectroscopy Studies of Yttria Stabilized Zirconia Under Extreme Conditions.
[11] Miranda, M., Fernández, A., Díaz, M., Esteban-Tejeda, L., López-Esteban, S., Malpartida, F., ... & Moya, J. S. (2010). Silver-hydroxyapatite nanocomposites as bactericidal and fungicidal materials. International Journal of Materials Research, 101(1), 122-127.
[12] Ionita, D., Dilea, M., Titorencu, I., & Demetrescu, I. (2012). Merit and demerit impacts of silver nanoparticles in the bioperformance of an electrodeposited hydroxyapatite: Nanosilver composite coating. Journal of Nanoparticle Research, 14(10), 1-10.
[13] Qiu, Z. Y., Chen, C., Wang, X. M., & Lee, I. S. (2014). Advances in the surface modification techniques of bone-related implants for last 10 years. Regenerative biomaterials, 1(1), 67-79.
[14] Gengler, J. J., Muratore, C., Roy, A. K., Hu, J., Voevodin, A. A., Roy, S., & Gord, J. R. (2010). Yttria-stabilized zirconia-depending composites with adaptive thermal conductivity. Composites Science and Technology, 70(14), 2117-2122.
[15] Pradhaban, G., Kaliaraj, G. S., & Vishwakarma, V. (2014). Antibacterial impacts of silver–zirconia composite coatings utilizing pulsed laser deposition onto 316L SS for bio implants. Progress in biomaterials, 3(2-4), 123-130.
[16] Gnanavel, S., Ponnusamy, S., Mohan, L., & Muthamizhchelvan, C. (2018). In vitro corrosion behaviour of Ti–6Al–4V and 316L stainless steel alloys for biomedical implant applications. Journal of Bio-and Tribocorrosion, 4(1), 1-8.

[17] Deng, C., Kim, H., & Ki, H. (2019). Fabrication of functionally-graded yttria-stabilized zirconia coatings by 355 nm picosecond dual-beam pulsed laser deposition. Composites Part B: Engineering, 160, 498-504.

[18] Rao, M. C. (2013). Pulsed Laser Deposition—Ablation Mechanism and Applications. In International Journal of Modern Physics: Conference Series (Vol. 22, pp. 355-360). World Scientific Publishing Company.

[19] Mensah-Darkwa, K. (2012). Pulsed Laser Deposited Magnesium-Hydroxyapatite Composite Thin cover for Resorbable Magnesium Bone Implants (Doctoral dissertation, North Carolina Agricultural and Technical State University).

[20] Mittra, Joy & Abraham, Geogy & Kesaria, Manoj & Bahl, Sumit & Singh, Raman & Shivaprasad, Sonnada & Viswanadham, Chebolu & Kulkarni, Ulhas & Dey, G.. (2012). Role of Substrate Temperature in the Pulsed Laser Deposition of Zirconium Oxide Thin cover. Materials Science Forum. 710. 757-761. 10.4028/www.scientific.net/MSF.710.757.

[21] Winn Jr W 2006 Konemann’s color atlas and diagnostic text of microbiology Lippencott Williams Wilkins Publ. Philadelphia, PA, Ed. 6 945–1021

[22] Schau H 1986 JF MacFaddin, Media for Isolation-Cultivation-Identification-Maintenance of Medical Bacteria, Volume I. XI+ 929 S., 163 Abb., 94 Tab. Baltimore, London 1985. Williams and Wilkins. $90.00. ISBN: 0-683-05316-7