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ABSTRACT A dairy-originated probiotic bacterium, \textit{Propionibacterium freudenreichii} subsp. \textit{freudenreichii} B3523 (PF) was found to be effective in reducing multidrug-resistant \textit{Salmonella} Heidelberg (MDR SH) colonization in turkey poults (2-week-old) and growing (7-week-old) and finishing (12-week-old) turkeys. In this study, we explored the potential for microbiome modulation in the cecum of turkeys of different age groups due to PF supplementation in conjunction with MDR SH challenge. One-day-old commercial turkey poults were allocated to 3 treatment groups: negative control (N; turkeys without PF supplementation or SH challenge), SH control (S; turkeys challenged with SH without PF supplementation), and test group (P; turkeys supplemented with PF and challenged with SH). Turkeys were supplemented with \(10^{10}\) CFU PF in 5-gallon (18.9 L) water until 7 or 12 week of age. At the 6th or 11\textsuperscript{th} wk, turkeys were challenged with SH at \(10^6\) and \(10^8\) CFU/bird by crop gavage, respectively. After 2 and 7 d of challenge (2-d postinoculation [PI] and 7-d PI, respectively), cecal samples were collected and microbiome analysis was conducted using Illumina MiSeq. The experiments were repeated twice with 8 and 10 turkeys/group for 7- and 12-wk studies, respectively. Results indicated that the species richness and abundance (Shannon diversity index) was similar among the treatment groups. However, treatments caused apparent clustering of the samples among each other (\(P < 0.05\)). Firmicutes was the predominant phylum in the growing and finishing turkey cecum which was evenly distributed among the treatments except on wk 12 where the relative abundance of Firmicutes was significantly higher in P than in N (\(P = 0.02\)). The MDR SH challenge resulted in modulation of microflora such as \textit{Streptococcus}, \textit{Gordonia}, and \textit{Turicibacter} (\(P < 0.05\)) in the S groups compared with the P and N groups, known to be associated with inflammatory responses in birds and mammals. The supplementation of PF increased the relative abundance of carbohydrate-fermenting and short-chain fatty acid–producing genera in the P group compared with the S group (\(P < 0.05\)). Moreover, the results revealed that PF supplementation potentially modulated the beneficial microbiota in the P group, which could mitigate SH carriage in turkeys.
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INTRODUCTION

The poultry digestive tract harbors a diverse group of microorganisms. The complex interactions of the enteric microorganisms with the host and the environment maintain bird health by influencing the host immune system, modulating biochemical functions associated with break down of nutrients, strengthening intestinal morphology and physiology, and handling toxins and pathogens (Pan and Yu, 2014). The digestive tract of poultry is short compared with other livestock, and therefore, the full transit of digesta in poultry is less than 3.5 h (Hughes, 2008) favoring the survival of microorganisms with high adhesion capacity on the gut mucosa, especially in the cecum (Pan and Yu, 2014). The ceca are located between the small and large intestines and away from the main flow of the GIT. In addition, the discharge from the cecum is infrequent, happening...
twice daily in turkeys (Duke et al., 1969; Pan and Yu, 2014; Svihus, 2014). These factors make the cecum an ideal environment for survival of diverse microorganisms of nutritional and health importance to the birds, as high as $10^{10}$ to $10^{11}$ CFU/g (Svihus, 2014).

The healthy cecal microflora reduces the likelihood of foodborne pathogens colonizing there (Salanitro et al., 1974; Beery et al., 1988; Hinton et al., 1990; Vasudevan et al., 2005). However, heavy loads of pathogenic microbes or infections result in alterations in intestinal immunity, potentially altering the microbial community. The production of antimicrobial substances and inflammatory response molecules such as nitric oxide radicals, reactive oxygen species, bacterial siderophores, chelators, and proteases act not only against invading pathogens but also against host-commensal microflora and affects intestinal integrity consequently resulting in an unbalanced microbiome, termed dysbiosis (Stecher et al., 2007). In addition, the external environment influences the poultry gut microbiome; most data are applied in this regard and geared toward better feed efficiency, especially with the use of feed additives (Wei et al., 2013).

Probiotic supplementation may help to maintain the normal microflora in the poultry gut and may enhance immunity (Callaway et al., 2008). Different challenge experiments in poultry have revealed the efficacy of therapeutically supplementing of probiotics against enteric pathogens, especially Salmonella in poultry (Pascual et al., 1999; La Ragione and Woodward, 2003; Tsai et al., 2005; Menconi et al., 2011; Yang et al., 2018). However, most of these challenge studies did not explore the effect of probiotic supplementation on poultry cecal microbiome populations, and their potential modulation in the presence of a pathogen challenge, especially in turkeys.

Previous studies conducted in our laboratory revealed that a dairy-originated probiotic bacterium, Propionibacterium freudenreichii subsp. freudenreichii B3523 (PF) was effective against multidrug-resistant Salmonella Heidelberg (MDR SH) in poults (2-week-old), growing (7-week-old), and finishing (12-week-old) turkeys (Nair et al., 2018a, 2019; Nair and Kollanoor Johny, 2018; Nair et al., 2020). The PF supplementation resulted in 1.6–2.2 log$_{10}$ CFU/g reduction of MDR SH in the cecum of 2-week-old poults (Nair and Kollanoor Johny, 2018). Similarly, PF supplementation through water yielded 1 to 1.3 and 1.7 to 2.2 log$_{10}$ CFU/g reduction of MDR SH in growing and finishing turkeys, respectively (Nair et al., 2018a, 2019, 2020). Even though the use of non–host-specific (allochthonous) and yet host gut-adaptable probiotic strains such as PF are encouraged over host-specific probiotics by the industry, the effect of such strains on the turkey microbiome and gut health has not been explored in relation to MDR SH carriage in turkeys.

The hypothesis of this study was that PF supplementation would modulate the beneficial microbiota in the cecum of turkeys to reduce MDR SH colonization. The objective was to determine the population shifts in the cecal microbiome of poults, growing and finishing turkeys (2-, 7-, and 12-wk-long studies in turkeys,respectively) in response to MDR SH challenge and PF supplementation.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Bacterial Strains and Culture Conditions**

**Multidrug-Resistant Salmonella Heidelberg** After 3 subsequent subcultures of MDR SH in Trypticase soy broth (catalog no.C7141, CRITERION, Hardy Diagnostics, Santa Maria, CA), 16 h broth cultures grown to $10^9$ CFU/mL MDR SH were washed in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS; pH=7.2) after centrifugation at 3,600 × g for 15 min at 4°C. The bacterial pellets were diluted in appropriate amount of PBS to obtain $10^5$, $10^6$, and $10^7$ CFU/mL of SH. For turkey poult studies, 2 mL of $10^6$ CFU/mL was administered to the turkey poult by passing a gavage tube through the mouth leading to the crop (crop gavage). Similarly, 10 mL of $10^6$ and $10^7$ CFU/mL MDR SH was administered to growing and finishing turkeys to obtain $10^6$ CFU/growing turkey and $10^7$ CFU/finishing turkey, respectively, by crop gavage (Nair and Kollanoor Johny, 2018; Nair et al., 2018a, 2019).

**Propionibacterium freudenreichii** Propionibacterium freudenreichii subsp. freudenreichii (B3523 USDA ARS NRRL Culture Collection, Peoria, IL) was used in the study. After 3 successive subcultures of PF in de Man, Rogosa and Sharpe (catalog no. C5932, CRITERION, Hardy Diagnostics, Santa Maria, CA) agar at 37°C, 24 h broth cultures of PF (approximately $10^{10}$ CFU/mL viable cells) in 1 L of de Man, Rogosa and Sharpe agar were washed in PBS centrifuging at 15,000 rpm for 15 min at 4°C. For the poult study, $10^{10}$ CFU/mL PF was supplemented per gallon of drinking water continuously for 14 d. For feeding growing and finishing turkeys, $10^{12}$ CFU PF was supplemented to the one-day-old turkeys through drinking water (5 gallons or 18.9 L) for 7 and 12 wk, respectively.

**Experimental Design and Sample Collection**

**Bird Housing and Management** Turkey experiments were conducted with the approval from the IACUC at the University of Minnesota (Protocol #1803-35686A). For turkey poult study, day-of-hatch poult (Hybrid Converter), male and female in equal, were allocated to 3 different isolators in the Research Animal Resources biocontainment (isolation) units at the University of Minnesota for 14 d. For growing and finishing turkey studies, day-old female poult (Hybrid Converter) were housed in 3 different pens in the Poultry Teaching and Research Facility at the University of Minnesota until the end of 6 and 11 wk of age, respectively. On wk 7 and 12, these birds were transferred to isolation units for conducting the challenge experiments. The birds were transferred in sterile boxes in a sanitized truck to isolation units (0.5 miles away from the growth facility) and
ensured that they were experiencing minimal stress during transfer and handling by trained assistants. In addition, similar conditions were provided in the climate-controlled isolation units specifically constructed for controlled challenge studies. In all experiments, the birds were provided with age-appropriate light, heat, and floor space (2 ft²/bird for poult studies, and 3 ft²/bird until 12 wk of age). The birds were supplied with Salmonella-free feed and water ad libitum specified by NRC recommendations.

**Turkey Poults (2-Week-Long) Study**

Day-old poults were purchased from a commercial hatchery in Minnesota, and the study was conducted for 14 d. The day-of-hatch poults (N = 36) were randomly distributed in 3 isolator pens with 12 birds each. The experiment was repeated twice. The treatment groups were negative control (N; poult without PF supplementation or SH challenge), SH control (S; poult challenged with SH and without PF supplementation), and test group (P; poult supplemented with PF and challenged with SH). On day 1, the poults in the P group were inoculated with 10¹⁰ CFU/mL PF using crop gavage method. On subsequent days, 10¹⁰ CFU/mL PF was supplemented per gallon of drinking water continuously for 14 d. On day 7, the S and P groups were challenged with SH at 10⁹ CFU/mL through crop gavage. Two and 7 d post-SH inoculation (2-d postinoculation [PI] and 7-d PI, respectively), cecal contents were collected after euthanizing the poult (Nair and Kollanoor Johny, 2018). Cecal contents were collected from 3 and 4 poults from each group on 2-d PI and 7-d PI, respectively, for microbiome analysis.

**Growing Turkey (7-Week-Long) Study**

Day-old poults were purchased from a commercial hatchery in Minnesota, and the study was conducted for 7 wk. Day-old poults (N = 24) were randomly distributed into 3 pens with 8 birds each. The experiment was repeated twice. The 3 treatment groups were N, P, and S, similar to the poult study. The turkeys in the P groups were supplemented with 10¹² CFU/mL of PF on alternate day through drinking water (5 gallons or 18.9 L) for 6 wk. The turkeys in the N and S groups did not receive any PF supplementation. At 6 wk of age, after moving turkeys to isolation facility, the S and P groups were challenged with SH (10⁹ CFU/turkey) by crop gavage method. After the challenge, PF was supplemented to the P group daily for the next 7 d. Two d and 7 d after challenge, 4 turkeys from each group were euthanized and cecal samples were collected for microbiome analysis. Two independent studies were conducted. A total of 8 samples per group were collected for both sampling days for microbiome analysis (Nair et al., 2019).

**Finishing Turkey (12-Week-Long) Study**

Day-old poults were purchased from a commercial hatchery in Minnesota, and the study was conducted for 12 wk. Day-old poults (N = 30) were randomly distributed into 3 pens with 10 birds each. The experiment was repeated twice. The 3 treatment groups were N, P, and S. The turkeys in the P groups were provided with 10¹² CFU/mL of PF on alternate days through drinking water (5 gallons or 18.9 L) for 11 wk in the Poultry Teaching and Research Facility. The turkeys in the N and S groups did not receive any PF supplementation. At 11 wk of age, the S and P groups were challenged with SH (10⁸ CFU/bird) by crop gavage method at the isolation facility. After the challenge, PF was supplemented to the P group daily for the next 7 d. Two d and 7 d after challenge, 5 turkeys from each group were euthanized and cecum samples were collected for microbiome analysis. A total of 10 samples per group were collected for both sampling day in 2 independent experiments (Nair et al., 2018a).

**Microbiome Analysis**

After euthanizing the birds, necropsy was conducted under aseptic conditions in the Veterinary Diagnostic Laboratory. Cecal contents were aseptically collected in sterile 50-mL tubes and stored at −80°C until further analysis. The V4 hypervariable region of the 16S rRNA gene was amplified after extracting DNA from the cecal samples, individually, using PowerSoil DNA extraction kits (Qigong, Valencia, CA), following previously referenced protocols (Gohl et al., 2016; Johnson et al., 2018). Sequencing was performed at the University of Minnesota Genomic Center using Illumina MiSeq paired-end 2 × 250 bp technology.

**Statistical Analysis**

Separate experiments were conducted in poult, growing turkeys, and finishing turkeys to determine cecal microbiome modulations associated with MDR SH challenge and PF supplementation in turkeys. A completely randomized experimental design was followed to conduct the study and the experiments were repeated twice for each age group. The 2-wk long poult study was used as a preliminary study with 3 and 4 samples obtained from 2-d PI and 7-d PI, respectively. There were 48 and 60 cecal samples included for 7- and 12-wk studies, respectively.

For the microbiome analysis, sequencing was performed using Illumina MiSeq paired-end 2 × 250 bp technology. Sequences were filtered and clustered using the DADA2 workflow (Callahan et al., 2016) and the downstream analysis of samples was performed using R version 3.3. Alpha diversity was calculated using Shannon indices to measure the species richness and evenness. The effect of treatment on α diversity was then analyzed using one-way ANOVA. Tukey’s test was used to perform posthoc comparisons in growing and finishing
turkey studies. For poults study, Kruskal-Wallis rank-sum test was used to analyze \( \alpha \) diversity. Beta diversity was estimated as the difference in bacterial composition among different samples by coupling Bray-Curtis dissimilarity with principal coordinate analysis. Furthermore, the effect of treatments on the bacterial community composition was analyzed using permutational multivariate analysis (PERMANOVA, Adonis function, 99 permutations). Finally, the differentially abundant taxa driving the microbial shift between treatments were determined by characterizing species indicator values or Indval (Reis et al., 2019; Stubbington et al., 2019). From the probability table (Supplementary Tables 3A, 3B, 3C and 3D), a genus with indicator value \( > 0.5 \) was selected, and Tukey’s test was used to identify changes in the relative abundance of these indicator taxa among treatments. The significance was detected at \( P < 0.05 \).

RESULTS

The quality details of the sequences are included in the Supplementary Table 1. The rarefaction curves tended to attain the saturation plateau, indicating that there were enough reads for each sample to represent most of microbiome community (Supplementary Figures 1–3).

Turkey Poults (2-Week-Long) Study

All treatment groups taken together, the most abundant phyla in poults at 2-d PI were Firmicutes and Proteobacteria, which occupied 88.6 and 10.8%, respectively (Figure 1A). Clostridia (95.8%) and Bacilli (4.2%) were the abundant classes among the Firmicutes. Gammaproteobacteria was most abundant among the Proteobacteria. Seven days after the challenge, Firmicutes and Proteobacteria continued as abundant phyla, where 94.6% bacterial population was Firmicutes, and 4.5% Proteobacteria, irrespective of treatments (Figure 1B). Among the Firmicutes, \( \sim 99\% \) abundance was observed for Clostridia.

There was no significant difference in \( \alpha \) diversity among the 3 treatment groups in the study (N, S, and P) at 2-d PI and 7-d PI (\( P > 0.05 \)) (Figures 2A and 2B; Supplementary Table 2). The PERMANOVA analysis on the beta diversity indices at 2 d after SH challenge indicated that the treatments contributed to 46.8% variability among the samples resulting in apparent clustering with overlaps observed between the N and S groups which were different from the P group (\( P = 0.011 \)) (Figure 3A). At 7-d PI also, the clustering was evident, and the treatment effects contributed to the variability of 27.3% to the samples (\( P = 0.034 \)) (Figure 3B).

Growing Turkey (7-Week-Long) Study

Shannon diversity index indicated that there was no significant difference in \( \alpha \) diversity among the 3 treatment groups in the study after 2 d of SH challenge (\( P > 0.05 \)) (Figure 4A; Supplementary Table 2). However, after 7 d of SH inoculation, an increase in \( \alpha \) diversity was observed in the S group compared with the N group (\( P < 0.05 \)) (Figure 4B; Supplementary Table 2). The beta diversity indices at 2 d after SH challenge indicated that treatments contributed 17.7% variability among

Figure 1. The relative abundance of major phyla in 2-d (A) and 7-d (B) postinoculation samples among different treatment groups in 2-week-long poult study. From the day of hatch, the poults were allocated into N, P, and S groups. Abbreviations: N, Negative control (poults without SH challenge or PF supplementation); P, test group (poults supplemented with PF for 14 d and challenged with SH on day 7); PF, Propionibacterium freudenreichii; S, SH control (poults challenged with SH on day 7 and without PF supplementation); SH, Salmonella Heidelberg.
The samples resulting in apparent clustering with overlaps between the N and S groups \((P = 0.001)\) (Figure 5A). At 7 d after challenge, the clustering was more evident, and the treatment effects contributed to variability of 24.1% to the samples \((P = 0.001)\) (Figure 5B).

The top 5 abundant phyla at 2-d PI samples in growing turkeys were Firmicutes, Tenericutes, Bacteroidetes, Actinobacteria, and Proteobacteria with a relative abundance of 73, 19.2, 5.2, 1.5, and 1.1%, respectively (Figure 6A). In 7-d PI samples, the relative abundance of those major phyla was 71.6, 22.5, 3.5, 1.5, and 0.9%, respectively (Figure 6B). The treatments (SH challenge, or SH challenge with PF supplementation) did not affect the abundance of Firmicutes in the growing turkey cecum at 2 or 7 d after SH challenge \((P > 0.05)\). The other phyla such as Tenericutes, Bacteroidetes, Actinobacteria, and Proteobacteria did not show any difference in abundance at 2 d after SH challenge when turkeys were inoculated with the pathogen on wk 6 \((P > 0.05)\). However, in 7-d PI samples, the abundance of the phylum Actinobacteria was high in the S group compared with the N group \((P = 0.015)\) (Figure 7A), whereas the distribution of Tenericutes was less in the S groups compared with the other 2 groups \((P = 0.02)\) (Figure 7B).

Furthermore, a potential indicator genus among the 2-d PI samples was explored. The relative abundance of *Subdoligranulum* was higher in the P group than in the N \((P = 0.02)\) and S \((P = 0.004)\) groups. Similarly, the relative abundance of genus *Faecalibacterium* was higher in the P group than in the N \((P = 0.03)\) and S \((P = 0.004)\) groups. However, the relative abundance of *Streptococcus* was lower in the P group than in the N \((P = 0.001)\) and S \((P = 0.037)\) groups. A higher relative abundance of *Turicibacter* was observed in the S group than in the N \((P = 0.02)\) and P \((P = 0.03)\) groups in growing turkeys at 2-d PI (Table 1).

At 7-d PI, the relative abundance of *Ruminococcaceae_UCG.014* was significantly higher in the N group.
SH, (turkeys challenged with SH at wk 6 and without PF supplementation); PF, test group (turkeys supplemented with PF for 7 wk and challenged with SH at wk 6); P, negative control (turkeys without SH challenge or PF supplementation); N, treatment groups 2- and 7-d after SH challenge (Figures 8A and 8B; Supplementary Table 2). The beta diversity determination was significantly higher in the P group than in the N and S groups ($P < 0.05$) at 7-d PI in growing turkeys. In addition, similar to growing turkeys, the genus Turicibacter showed higher relative abundance in the S group than in the N and P groups ($P < 0.05$) (Table 1).

The genera such as Ruminococcaceae_NK4A214_group, Ruminococcaceae_UGC_010, and Lactobacillus showed the higher distribution in the P group than in the N and S groups ($P < 0.05$) at 2 d after SH challenge in finishing turkeys. In addition, similar to growing turkeys, the genus Turicibacter showed higher relative abundance in the S group than in the N and P groups ($P < 0.05$) (Table 1).

The genera such as Leuconostoc, Erysipelatoclostridium, and Lactococcus showed higher relative abundance in the P group than in the N and S groups ($P < 0.05$) at 7-d PI in finishing turkeys. In addition, Butyricicoccus showed higher relative abundance in the P group than in the S group ($P = 0.012$). As previously detected, the relative abundance of Streptococcus and Turicibacter was high in the S group compared with the N and P groups ($P < 0.05$) (Table 1).

**DISCUSSION**

In light of the Federal regulations on the use of antibiotics in the United States, including turkeys, effective alternative approaches for pathogen control are warranted. Although many alternatives have been tested to control foodborne *Salmonella* in poultry, very rarely, they have discussed the microbiome shifts and potential restoration of imbalanced microbiota in response to the pathogen challenge. In addition, studies focusing beyond the growth phase in turkeys (7 wk) are rare (Wilkinson et al., 2017). In the present study, we have focused on a dairy-origin allochthonous probiotic, PF, on its ability to restore the microbial balance after MDR SH challenge.

The 2-week-long turkey poult study was intended to understand the general trend in the compositional microbiome matrix and clustering as a result of the treatments. In this study, the Shannon diversity index was used for deciphering $\alpha$ diversity among the treatment groups 2 and 7 d after SH challenge in poulets. The $\alpha$ diversity indices represent species richness and abundance in an ecosystem (Morgan and Huttenhower, 2012). We found that the treatments did not significantly change $\alpha$ diversity after 2 d of inoculation, indicating that an apparent effect on the evenness and richness of the microbial population in cecum due to SH inoculation was not an immediate event in turkeys despite their age difference. However, after 7 d of inoculation in the growing

**Finishing Turkey (12-Week-Long) Study**

There was no difference in $\alpha$ diversity among the treatment groups 2- and 7-d after SH challenge ($P < 0.05$) (Figures 8A and 8B; Supplementary Table 2). However, at 7-d PI, the S group showed a trend of lower $\alpha$ diversity than the P ($P = 0.08$) and N ($P = 0.07$) groups (Figure 8B). The beta diversity determination 2 d after SH challenge revealed apparent clustering of samples based on the treatments with some overlap between the P and S groups (Figure 9A). The treatments contributed to a variability of 15.4% among the samples ($P = 0.001$). In 7 d after SH challenge, the clustering was more evident, and the treatments contributed to variability of 23.7% to the samples ($P = 0.001$) (Figure 9B).
Figure 6. The relative abundance of major phyla in 2 d (A) and 7 d (B) after inoculation samples among different treatment groups in growing turkeys. From the day of hatch, the poult's were allocated into N, P, and S groups for 7 wk. Abbreviations: N, Negative control (turkeys without SH challenge or PF supplementation); P, test group (turkeys supplemented with PF for 7 wk and challenged with SH at wk 6); PF, Propionibacterium freudenreichii; S, SH control (turkeys challenged with SH at wk 6 and without PF supplementation); SH, Salmonella Heidelberg.
and finishing turkeys, a potential shift due to SH challenge was evident. Because a significant increase in the diversity in the 7-week-old turkeys was observed, it can be speculated that the SH colonization over 7-day period might have altered the commensal network existed in the turkey cecum to accommodate the imminent pathogen challenge which was later restored by the supplementation of PF. Argiello et al. (2018) have reported that pathogens could result in apparent changes in the microbial flora. For example, *Salmonella Typhimurium* infection resulted in decreased abundance of multiple healthy commensal bacterial genus, including *Bifidobacterium*, *Lactobacillus*, and *Ruminococcus* in ileal mucosa of pigs. We also observed that the PF supplementation resulted in 1.0-1.3 log10 CFU/g reduction of MDR SH in the P group (Nair et al., ...

### Table 1. Differentially expressed indicator genus in the P and S groups.

| Study                  | Differentially expressed genus in the P group compared with the S and N groups (\(P < 0.05\)) | Differentially expressed genus in the S group compared with the P and N groups (\(P < 0.05\)) |
|------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Growing turkey; 2-d PI | Genus with increased abundance *Subdoligranulum*                                              | Genus with increased abundance *Turicibacter*                                                   |
|                        | *Faecalibacterium*                                                                             |                                                                                                |
|                        | Genus with decreased abundance *Streptococcus*                                                  |                                                                                                |
| Growing turkey; 7-d PI | No differentially expressed indicator genus were identified.                                   | Genus with increased abundance *Turicibacter*                                                   |
|                        | *Gordonibacter*                                                                                |                                                                                                |
|                        | *Flavonifractor*                                                                               |                                                                                                |
|                        | *Leuconostoc*                                                                                  |                                                                                                |
|                        | *Butyrivibrio*                                                                                 |                                                                                                |
| Finishing turkey; 2-d PI| Genus with increased abundance *Lactobacillus*                                                  | Genus with increased abundance *Turicibacter*                                                   |
|                        | *Ruminococcaceae_A211_ group*                                                                  |                                                                                                |
|                        | *Ruminococcaceae_UCG_010*                                                                      |                                                                                                |
| Finishing turkey; 7-d PI| Genus with increased abundance *Lactococcus*                                                   | Genus with increased abundance *Turicibacter*                                                   |
|                        | *Erysipelatochlostridium*                                                                       |                                                                                                |
|                        | *Leuconostoc*                                                                                  |                                                                                                |
|                        | *Butyrivibrio*                                                                                 |                                                                                                |

N, Negative control (turkeys without SH challenge or PF supplementation); S, SH control (turkeys challenged with SH without PF supplementation); P, (turkeys supplemented with PF and challenged with SH). 2-d PI and 7-d PI indicate 2- and 7-d postinoculation samples, respectively.

Abbreviations: PF, *Propionibacterium freudenreichii*; SH, *Salmonella Heidelberg*. 

**Figure 7.** The distribution of Actinobacteria (A) and Tenericutes (B) in 7 d after inoculation samples among different treatment groups in growing turkeys. From the day of hatch, the poults were allocated into N, P, and S groups for 7 wk; \(^{ab}\) indicate \(P < 0.05\). Abbreviations: N, Negative control (turkeys without SH challenge or PF supplementation); S, SH control (turkeys challenged with SH at wk 6 and without PF supplementation); P, test group (turkeys supplemented with PF for 7 wk and challenged with SH at wk 6); PF, *Propionibacterium freudenreichii*. S, SH control (turkeys challenged with SH at wk 6 and without PF supplementation); SH, *Salmonella Heidelberg*.

**Figure 8.** Alpha diversity of cecal microbiome of finishing turkeys at 2 d (A) and 7 d (B) after inoculation represented as Shannon diversity index. From the day of hatch, the poults were allocated into N, P, and S groups for 12 wk; \(^{b}\) indicates \(P > 0.05\). Abbreviations: N, Negative control (turkeys without SH challenge or PF supplementation); S, SH control (turkeys challenged with SH at wk 11 and without PF supplementation); P, test group (turkeys supplemented with PF for 12 wk and challenged with SH at wk 11); PF, *Propionibacterium freudenreichii*. S, SH control (turkeys challenged with SH at wk 6 and without PF supplementation); SH, *Salmonella Heidelberg*. 

**Table 1.** Differentially expressed indicator genus in the P and S groups.
et al., 2015; Borda-Molina et al., 2016; Wilkinson et al., 2017) and 2-d PI in growing turkeys (Figure 8A). However, in 7-d PI samples, the relative abundance of the phylum Actinobacteria was high in the S group compared with the N and P groups (P = 0.015), whereas the distribution of Tenericutes was less in the S group than in the other 2 groups (P = 0.02).

While exploring at the genus level, a higher relative abundance of Subdoligranulum and Faecalibacterium was observed in the P group than in the S and N groups at 2-d PI in growing turkeys. These genera are part of Firmicutes, found in the healthy chicken cecum, and the members are known as butyrate producers (Bjerrum et al., 2006; Eeckhart et al., 2011). Ruminococcaceae. UCG.014, a member of the Firmicutes, was abundant in the P and N groups, whereas a decrease in abundance was noticed in the S group at 7-d PI in growing turkeys. Ruminococcaceae. UCG.014 is also a butyrate producer in Ruminococcaceae family and is considered a part of a healthy microbiome in animals (Huang et al., 2017; Gao et al., 2017). In addition, this bacteria has a role in reducing high-fat diet–induced obesity in rats (Zhao et al., 2017) and the relative abundance of these genera was found to be decreased during intestinal dysfunction and inflammation in rats (Huang et al., 2017). Moreover, the higher abundance of Romboutsia was observed in the PF-supplemented groups. Romboutsia belongs to the phylum Firmicutes (Lu et al., 2017a) and is associated with healthy intestinal tract of turkeys, humans, and rats (Ricaboni et al., 2016; Lu et al., 2017b). The increased relative abundance of these genera could potentially be associated with reduced MDR SH colonization and restoration of impaired microbiota in the P group compared with the S group.

Furthermore, a higher relative abundance of Streptococcus was found in the SH control (S group) in growing turkeys. Although Streptococcus are normal inhabitants in the turkey cecum (Schulz et al., 2015; Wilkinson et al., 2017), they are opportunistic pathogens (Collins et al., 2002; Pan and Yu, 2014). The high relative abundance of Streptococcus in the S group could be due to the change in the commensal network associated with SH-induced changes in the turkey cecum (Stecher et al., 2007; Argüello et al., 2018). In addition, a higher abundance of Turicibacter in the S group would indicate a potential impairment in the gut homeostasis. Turicibacter has been previously isolated from inflammatory conditions associated with human ulcerative colitis, human appendicitis, and swine infections with S. Typhimurium and Lawsonia intracellularis infections. In addition, these genera have been reported to produce anti-inflammatory effects in mice (Bosshard et al., 2002; Falk et al., 2007;
Werner et al., 2011; Borewicz et al., 2015). *Gordonibacter* was also identified from inflammatory bowel disease conditions in humans (Würdemann et al., 2009). The higher relative abundance of these genera in the SH challenge group could potentially indicate a resident inflammatory response to SH challenge. However, further research is warranted to specifically delineate the function of these intestinal genera as a result of pathogen challenge in turkeys.

As observed with growing turkeys, some of the beneficial genera in Firmicutes were significantly higher in abundance in the P groups in finishing turkeys at 2 d PI. Among the beneficial genera, *Ruminococcaceae* are cellulose and starch degraders which are associated with SCFA production (Duncan et al., 2007). *Ruminococcaceae* is generally present in the turkey gut. More specifically, *Ruminococcaceae UCG-010* and *Ruminococcaceae UCG-014* are mucosa-attaching carbohydrate-utilizing bacteria which are associated with elevated SCFA production (Song et al., 2017). *Ruminococcaceae NK4A214* group is also health-related bacteria that ferment carbohydrates in digesta (Song et al., 2017). *Lactobacillus* in the phylum Firmicutes include beneficial bacteria and are found to be effective against

---

**Figure 10.** The relative abundance of major phyla at 2 d (A) and 7 d (B) after inoculation samples among different treatment groups in finishing turkeys. From the day of hatch, the poults were allocated into N, P, and S groups for 12 wk. Abbreviations: N, Negative control (turkeys without SH challenge or PF supplementation); P, test group (turkeys supplemented with PF for 12 wk and challenged with SH at wk 11); PF, *Propionibacterium freudenreichii*; S, SH control (turkeys challenged with SH at wk 11 and without PF supplementation); SH, *Salmonella* Heidelberg.
enteric pathogens, including *Salmonella* (Pascual et al., 1999; Higgins et al., 2008; Kizerwetter-Swida and Binek, 2009). A higher abundance of *Turicibacter* in the S group was also observed, indicating a potentially impaired cecal microbiome as a result of SH inoculation in the absence of PF supplementation.

In finishing turkeys, at 7 d PI, a significantly higher abundance of Firmicutes and Tenericutes populations were observed in the PF-supplemented group (P group). As indicated above, these 2 are associated with the healthy gut of animals and have a significant role in apparent crude fiber digestibility (Niu et al., 2015). Higher abundances of *Leuconostoc*, *Lactococcus*, and *Butyricoccus* were observed in the P group at 7 d PI in finishing turkeys. *Leuconostoc* is a genus that is normally isolated from poultry and is known for their inhibitory activity against enteric pathogens such as *Salmonella* (Sorrells and Speck, 1970). In addition, *Leuconostoc* ferments carbohydrates and modulate other beneficial microorganisms such as *Bifidobacterium* and *Lactobacillus* (Chung, 1995). In addition, these butyrate-producing bacteria have a role in improving GI epithelial barrier function in humans and have shown promising results in inflammatory bowel syndrome (Eeckhaut et al., 2013; Jin et al., 2014). The relative abundance of *Butyricoccus* was previously positively correlated with anti-inflammatory cytokine, interleukin-10 in the poultry cecum, that controls the inflammatory response associated pathogen infections in mammals (Oakley and Kogut, 2016; Wu et al., 2016).

In the present study, the relative abundance of *Erysipelatoclostridium* genus was found to be increased when dairy-originated PF supplemented in turkeys and the finding is corroborated with the previous study where consumption of dairy-based foods such as milk, cheese, and yogurt was positively correlated with increased abundance of *Erysipelatoclostridium* in children (Smith-Brown et al., 2016). As previously observed with growing turkeys and finishing turkeys, *Streptococcus* and *Turicibacter* were present in the S groups.

Overall, the results indicated that PF supplementation maintained the ecological balance and diversity in the growing and finishing turkeys after MDR SH challenge. Continuous supplementation of PF in turkeys could also enrich carbohydrate-fermenting and SCFA-producing genera in the turkey ceca. These beneficial organisms could be playing significant roles in reducing *Streptococcus*, *Gordonibacter*, and *Turicibacter*, which are associated with an inflammatory response in birds and mammals. The results suggest that PF, an allochthonous dairy-origin probiotic bacterium, could be used as an antibiotic alternative to control MDR SH infections in turkeys by potentially modulating beneficial microbiota.

**SUPPLEMENTARY DATA**

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found in the online version at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psj.2020.09.091.
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