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Abstract: Soybean is one of the most important food crops in the world. However, with the environmental change in recent years, many environmental factors like drought, salinity, heavy metal, and disease seriously affected the growth and development of soybean, causing substantial economic losses. In this study, we screened a bZIP transcription factor gene, *GmbZIP152*, which is significantly induced by *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* (*S. sclerotiorum*), phytohormones, salt-, drought-, and heavy metal stresses in soybean. We found that overexpression of *GmbZIP152* in Arabidopsis (OE-*GmbZIP152*) enhances the resistance to *S. sclerotiorum* and the tolerance of salt, drought, and heavy metal stresses compared to wild-type (WT). The antioxidant enzyme related genes (including *AtCAT1*, *AtSOD*, and *AtPOD1*) and their enzyme activities are induced by *S. sclerotiorum*, salt, drought, and heavy metal stress in OE-*GmbZIP152* compared to WT. Furthermore, we also found that the expression level of biotic- and abiotic-related marker genes (*AtLOX6*, *AtACS6*, *AtERF1*, and *AtABI2*, etc.) were increased in OE-*GmbZIP152* compared to WT under *S. sclerotiorum* and abiotic stresses. Moreover, we performed a Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assay and found that GmbZIP152 could directly bind to promoters of ABA-, JA-, ETH-, and SA-induced biotic- and abiotic-related genes in soybean. Altogether, *GmbZIP152* plays an essential role in soybean response to biotic and abiotic stresses.
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1. Introduction

Soybean is famous for its high content of oil and protein over the world. It is one of the most critical dicot crops and the primary source of edible vegetable oil and high-protein livestock feed [1]. However, many biotic and abiotic stresses seriously affect its growth and development [2,3]. Plants have evolved complex signaling transduction pathways and mechanisms to survive in extreme environments [4–6]. Transcription factors (TFs) affect the tolerance to abiotic and biotic stresses through interacting with cis-elements in the promoter region of downstream genes to activate or repress their expression [7]. The basic leucine zipper (bZIP) transcription factor is a large TF family in the plant. Members of bZIP have two conserved structures, (1) A DNA-binding region that includes 18 amino acid residues and contains an invariant motif N×7-R/K×9. (2) A leucine zipper region, used for recognition and dimerization, is composed by a heptad repeat of leucine or other bulky hydrophobic amino acids, which creates an amphipathic helix [8,9].
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bZIP genes play essential roles in many biological processes, including plant growth, development, and flowering [10–12] in soybean. The bZIP transcription factor FDc1 affects node number, plant height, and flowering time by physically interacting with Dt1 in soybean [13]. GmbZIP5, as the additional cofactor of GmMYB176, controls isoflavonoid biosynthesis in soybean [14]. GmFT2a and GmFT5a induce the expression of floral identity genes in soybean through physical interaction with and transcriptional upregulation of the bZIP TF GmFDL19 [15]. bZIP proteins are also involved in biotic and abiotic stress responses. GmbZIP2, a drought stress-related gene in soybean, overexpression can enhance drought tolerance and salt tolerance in transgenic Arabidopsis via improving the expression of the stress-responsive genes GmMYB48, GmWD40, GmDHN15, GmGST1 and GmLEA [16].

GmbZIP5 plays a positive role in pathogen resistance in soybean by relying on phytohormone signaling [17]. In addition, the overexpression of GmbZIP15 in soybean could reduce the tolerance to abiotic stresses associated with declined expression of stress-related genes, defective stomatal aperture regulation, and lower antioxidant enzyme activities [18]. GmbZIP19 can regulate disease defense and abiotic stress tolerance as a multi-functional TF in Arabidopsis [19]. We analyzed 160 full-length bZIP genes from soybean and found that at least 75.6% of bZIP genes displayed transcriptional changes after drought and flooding treatment [20]. Among these genes, the expression of GmbZIP152 was induced by multiple stress responses and has not been investigated yet [20].

In this study, we identified and cloned the GmbZIP152 from soybean. The expression profile indicated that the expression of GmbZIP152 was induced by S. sclerotiorum infection and the treatment of salt, drought, and heavy metal stresses. Furthermore, we found that OE-GmbZIP152 enhanced the resistance of S. sclerotiorum and the tolerance of salt, drought, and heavy metal stresses compared to wild-type (WT). In summary, our results verified that GmbZIP152 plays an important role in biotic and multiple abiotic stress responses. These results reveal that the GmbZIP152 gene may be necessary for developing and increasing production in soybean plants under long-term stress conditions.

2. Results

2.1. Bioinformatics Analysis of GmbZIP152

GmbZIP152 cDNA consists of 1266 bp (Figure S1A) and encodes a protein with a conserved bZIP domain (Figure S1B). The relative molecular mass is 16.93 kDa, and the theoretical isoelectric point (pI) is 5.21. According to the gene structure and conserved motif analyses, the genes without intron are classified into the subgroup S [9]. GmbZIP152 was categorized into subgroup S and has not been functionally characterized. The homologs of GmbZIP152 are GmbZIP33 from soybean (Glycine max), OsbZIP38 from rice (Oryza sativa), and AtbZIP53 from Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana) (Figure S1C). Homology analysis shows that they share a conserved bZIP DNA-binding domain and a leucine zipper dimerization motif. The basic DNA binding region is conserved and contains a 52-amino acid long basic region (N-x7-R/K-x9). They all belong to the members of subgroup S. Among them, GmbZIP33 might be involved in the processes of abiotic stress [21]. OsbZIP38 was a molecular switch in low-temperature signaling [22]. AtbZIP53 was involved in the regulation of plant responses to abiotic stresses by affecting the transcriptional activation of proline dehydrogenase (ProDH), which was catalyzing the first step in proline degradation [23]. These researches suggest that GmbZIP152 possesses a potential function in response to abiotic stress.

2.2. Expression Profile of GmbZIP152 in Response to Various Stresses

Considering the potential involvement of GmbZIP152 in stress responses, we investigated the distribution of stress-related cis-elements in their promoter regions (2.5 kb region upstream of the transcription start site) using PlantCARE (http://bioinformatics.psb.ugent.be/webtools/plantcare/html/, accessed on 30 January 2020). GmbZIP152 possessed five stress response elements, G-box recognition site (CACGAC), involved in light-responsive element [24]; TC-rich repeat (G/ATTCTCT), involved in defense and stress response [25];
MYB (CAACTG), involved in drought-inducibility [26]; TCA-element (CCATCTTTTT), involved in salicylic acid responsiveness [27]; and CGTCA-motif (CGTCA), involved in the MeJA-responsiveness [28] (Figure S1D), indicating that expression of GmbZIP152 is associated with the biotic and abiotic stresses in plant development.

Two-week-old soybean seedlings were treated with various stresses, and the leaves were used to further explore and evaluate the function of GmbZIP152 using qRT-PCR. Our results showed that the expression of GmbZIP152 increased strikingly in response to all tested stimuli (Figure 1). Specifically, the expression of GmbZIP152 increased dramatically after hormone stimulation (Figure 1F–I). At the same time, the peak appeared at 12 h after ABA treatment (Figure 1G,H), 6 h after ETH and MeJA treatment, and 24 h after SA treatment. After the infection of S. sclerotiorum, the transcript level of GmbZIP152 repressed significantly within the first 24 but increased at 48 h (Figure 1A). Meanwhile, under salt treatment, the expression of GmbZIP152 increased within 12 h, followed by a decrease, and reached its maximum at 48 h. Further, GmbZIP152 mRNA accumulated and reached a maximum level of 24 h under drought stress. Copper and cadmium stresses induced GmbZIP152 transcripts and reached a maximum level at 48 h (Figure 1D,E). In addition, the expression of GmbZIP152 also was induced by NaCl, mannitol, heavy metals and hormones in the mature soybean leaves (Figure S2). These findings indicated that GmbZIP152 might regulate multiple stresses during soybean development.
(F–I) GmbZIP152 expression in response to various hormone treatments [1.0 μM Abscisic acid (ABA), 150μM Methyl jasmonic acid (MeJA), 400 μM Ethylene (ETH), and 250 μM Salicylic acid (SA)]. Errors bars indicate ± SD of three biological replicates. Asterisks indicate significant differences for the indicated comparisons based on a Students’ *t*-test (** p < 0.01; 0.01 < * p < 0.05).

2.3. OE-GmbZIP152 Enhances Resistance to S. sclerotiorum Infection in Arabidopsis

In this experiment, we did the pathogenicity assay to investigate the GmbZIP152 gene response to the pathogen. The rosette leaves of three-week-old wild-type (WT) and overexpressed GmbZIP152 transgenic Arabidopsis plants (OE-GmbZIP152-2 and OE-GmbZIP152-5, two independent transgenic lines), OE-GmbZIP152 were inoculated with the same concentration of S. sclerotiorum for 12 h. After the inoculation treatment, we observed and calculated the relative lesion areas of infected leaves with Image J software. The results showed that the leaves of OE-GmbZIP152 plants significantly increased resistance to S. sclerotiorum than the WT (Figure 2A,C).

Figure 2. Biotic stress analysis of GmbZIP152 transgenic Arabidopsis plants in response to Sclerotinia sclerotiorum (S. sclerotiorum). (A,B) Phenotype observation of GmbZIP152 transgenic plants in response to S. sclerotiorum and Diaminobenzidine (DAB) staining. Bar = 1 cm. (C) Lesion area measurement. (D) The relative content H2O2. GmbZIP152 transgenic Arabidopsis plants (OE-GmbZIP152-2 and OE-GmbZIP152-5, two independent transgenic lines). The error bars indicate ± SD (n = 3 replicates). Asterisks indicate significant differences for the indicated comparisons based on a Students’ *t*-test (** p < 0.01).

Plants will produce a large amount of ROS under biotic and abiotic stresses, and the increase of ROS like H2O2 and O2− can significantly damage plant cells [17,29]. To confirm the biotic stress tolerance in OE-GmbZIP152 under S. sclerotiorum treatment, we used DAB staining to visualize H2O2 accumulation in three-week-old OE-GmbZIP152 and WT leaves after pathogen infection. And the OE-GmbZIP152 and WT leaves were decolorized using 75% alcohol (Figure 2B). In leaves of OE-GmbZIP152 plants, brown precipitates were substantially less than WT after being infected with fungus. The H2O2 content can indicate the degree of leaf damage (Figure 2D) [17]. The result indicates that OE-GmbZIP152 plants improved the tolerance to S. sclerotiorum.
2.4. OE-GmbZIP152 Confers Salt, Drought, Heavy Metal Tolerance and Decreased Sensitivity to Plant Hormones in Arabidopsis

The expression pattern of GmbZIP152 suggested that GmbZIP152 may play an important role in multiple stresses. To examine whether GmbZIP152 is involved in the processes of plant stress response, we handled OE-GmbZIP152 plants with three stress treatments (salt, drought, and heavy metals). The seeding of OE-GmbZIP152 and WT were planted in 1/2 MS media as the control group. We used the concentration of 100 and 150 mM NaCl to simulate salt treatment. Then, the medium containing 250 and 350 mM mannitol mimics drought treatment. CuSO4 and CdSO4 simulated heavy metal treatment. The results showed that there were no noticeable differences in the phenotype between OE-GmbZIP152 plants and WT plants in the control condition. However, when the OE-GmbZIP152 plants and WT plants were exposed to NaCl, mannitol, and heavy metal, the WT seedlings were severely repressed by all treatments compared to OE-GmbZIP152 plants (Figure 3). At the same time, the fresh weight (Figure 3B) and root length (Figure S3B) of WT were significantly decreased under 150 mM NaCl, 350 mM mannitol, 50 uM CuSO4, and 50 uM CdSO4 treatment. In addition, we continuously watered the three-week-old plants with 150 mM NaCl, 350 mM mannitol, 100 uM CuSO4, and 100 uM CdSO4 for 18 days. It was found that the leaves of WT plants gradually lost greenness, and the growing situation was severely inhibited (Figure S4). These results suggest that OE-GmbZIP152 seedlings showed higher tolerance than WT plants when it was exposed to salt, drought, and heavy metal stress.

![Figure 3. Phenotypic analysis of GmbZIP152 transgenic Arabidopsis plants in response to salt, drought, heavy metal, plant hormone treatments in Arabidopsis. (A)](image)

To further assess the response of GmbZIP152 to plant hormone, seeds of OE-GmbZIP152-2 and OE-GmbZIP152-5 were planted on 400 uM ETH, 200 uM JA, and 1.0 uM ABA 1/2 MS agar medium for seven days. Under normal conditions, OE-GmbZIP152 plants were not different from WT. However, after being exposed to exogenous ETH, JA, and ABA, the transgenic plants suffered less impairment than WT plants. The root length and fresh weight of WT seedlings were decreased compared with OE-GmbZIP152 (Figures 3B and S3B). These results showed that OE-GmbZIP152 plants are less sensitive than WT to plant hormones.

2.5. OE-GmbZIP152 Enhances Antioxidant Enzyme in Arabidopsis

To confirm the abiotic stress tolerance in transgenic lines under salt, drought, and heavy metal treatment for 24 h, we determined the activities of the three main antioxidant enzymes involved in ROS scavenging, including catalase (CAT), superoxide dismutase (SOD), and peroxidase (POD). Under these treatments, the enzyme activities of CAT, SOD,
and POD in OE-GmbZIP152 plants were significantly higher than those of WT plants (Figure 4A–C). In addition, the mRNA levels of AtCAT1, AtSOD, and AtPOD1 of OE-GmbZIP152 plants were also significantly higher than WT (Figure 4D–F). These results demonstrate that overexpression of GmbZIP152 improves resistance to salt, drought, and heavy metal stresses by increasing the expression levels of antioxidant enzyme corresponding genes.

Figure 4. ROS scavenges enzyme activities of GmbZIP152 transgenic Arabidopsis plants under salt, drought, and heavy metal stresses. (A) catalase (CAT), (B) superoxide dismutase (SOD), and (C) peroxidase (POD) enzyme activity was directly determined from fresh leaves. The relative expression level of (D) AtCAT1, (E) AtSOD, and (F) AtPOD was analyzed by qRT-PCR. The error bars indicate ± SD (n = 3 replicates). GmbZIP152 transgenic Arabidopsis plants (OE-GmbZIP152-2 and OE-GmbZIP152-5, two independent transgenic lines). Asterisks indicate significant differences for the indicated comparisons based on a student’s t-test (** p < 0.01; 0.01 < * p < 0.05).

2.6. The Transcription Levels Analysis of Stress-Related Genes in OE-GmbZIP152 and WT Plants under Biotic and Abiotic Stresses

To further understand the causal factor behind the S. sclerotiorum, salt-, drought-, and heavy metal stresses high tolerance of OE-GmbZIP152 plants, we investigated the relative expression level of seven known disease- (AtLOX6, AtACS6, AtERF1, and AtABA2) [30–32], salinity- (AtABI2, AtABI5, AtSOS, AtCOR6, AtSOD2, and AtHARDY) [33–35], drought- (AtABI2, AtABI5, AtABF1, AtMYB96, AtDREB2A, AtPUB19) [36,37], copper- (AtSIZ1, AtYSL3, AtHMA5, AtSOD, AtCOPT1, and AtSYT2) [38,39], and cadmium- (AtPCS1, AtPCS2, AtATM3, AtABCC1, AtABCC2, and AtGSH1) [40] responsive genes in three-week-old leaves of transgenic lines and WT by qRT-PCR, heatmap were generated based on qRT-PCR results. Our data proved that stresses-responsive gene expression levels were upregulated in leaves of transgenic lines when exposed to these stresses and higher than in WT (Figure 5). According to the analytical data from the above experiments, GmbZIP152 overexpressed could increase the tolerance of disease, salt, drought, and heavy metal stresses by upregulating biotic and abiotic stress relative genes.
Figure 5. The expression profiles of stress–related genes in GmbZIP152 transgenic Arabidopsis plants and WT under disease, salt, drought, and heavy metal stresses. Heat−map was constructed from relative gene expression levels (qRT−PCR) under different stresses using TBtools. Sclerotinia. sclerotiorum (S. sclerotiorum), GmbZIP152 transgenic Arabidopsis plants (OE−GmbZIP152−2 and OE−GmbZIP152−5, two independent transgenic lines). The stars indicate that the expression of genes is different in OE−GmbZIP152 compared with WT at the same time. Asterisks indicate significant differences for the indicated comparisons based on a student’s t-test (** p < 0.01; 0.01 < * p < 0.05).

2.7. Transient Expression of GmbZIP152 Induces High Expression of Stress-Related Genes

In the GmbZIP152 soybean resistance pathway, Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) was performed using 35-GmbZIP152-GFP transient expression in two-week-old soybean. The transient overexpression levels of GmbZIP152 were examined using qRT-PCR (Figure S5). We examined the changes in the expression of biotic and abiotic stress-related genes. The biotic stress-related genes include the GmNPR3 and GmPR1, GmCOI1, GmETR1, GmERF7, and GmRD22 (Figure 6A). The abiotic stress-related genes include GmABI5, GmBIP, GmDREB1B, GmERD1, GmETR2, GmEIN2, GmPR2, and GmSOD (Figure 6B). They were also related to ABA (GmRD22 and GmABI5), JA (GmCOI1), ETH (GmETR1, GmETR2, GmERF7, and GmEIN2), and SA (GmNPR3, GmPR1, and GmPR2) signaling pathways. We designed the primers at both ends of the cis-acting element G-box of the relevant gene promoter, and ChIP-qPCR detected the expression levels of related genes. The results showed that the relative transcript levels of GmERD1, GmEIN2, GmPR2, and GmETR1, increased continuously during transient expression of GmbZIP152. This indicated that transient GmbZIP152 overexpression could enhance the resistance to disease infection and tolerance of abiotic stresses.
Figure 6. The Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) result of GmbZIP152 transient expressing soybean. (A) ChIP-qPCR analysis of GmbZIP152 binding to biotic stress-related genes using GFP antibody and 35S-GmbZIP152-GFP transient expressing soybean. (B) ChIP-qPCR analysis of GmbZIP152 binding to abiotic stress-related genes using GFP antibody and 35S-GmbZIP152-GFP transient expressing soybean. Three independent biological replicates were performed. The error bars indicate ± SD (n = 3 replicates). Asterisks indicate significant differences for the indicated comparisons based on a student’s t-test (** p < 0.01; 0.01 < * p < 0.05).

3. Discussion

Soybean is broadly used as edible oil, animal feed protein concentrates, and various industrial products. Climate variability has a big impact on crop yields [41]. Soybean production significantly losses yearly due to biotic and abiotic stresses during the growth process [42]. Nowadays, the human population is large and large, and the environmental condition has changed daily; therefore, improving soybean yield quality is an urgent problem to solve. The bZIP gene family is one of the largest transcription factor families in the plant. Many studies have shown the bZIP family of different crops like rice [43], soybean [16], cotton [44], and maize [45] have different ways of responding to biotic and abiotic stresses. In this study, we identified and cloned the GmbZIP152 gene from soybean and analyzed the function of this gene.

Transcriptional factors regulate the transcription of downstream genes through binding to cis-element in the promoter region. For example, bHLH106 confers salt tolerance on Arabidopsis by directly binding to the G-box in the target genes [24]. MYB recognition site is the binding site for the MYB transcription factor, which is involved in plant disease stress [46]. Our results showed that the promoter of GmbZIP152 has an abundance of stress-responsive cis-element in the 2500 bp promoter region, such as G-Box recognition site, MYB recognition site, TC-rich repeats, TCA-element, and CGTCA-motif (Figure S1). Cis-elements analysis suggests that GmbZIP152 may be regulated by disease defense, salt, drought, and other stress responses. The expression changes of GmbZIP152 in soybean leaves under different biotic and abiotic treatments were evaluated to test this hypothesis. Our result showed that GmbZIP152 responses to salt, drought, heavy metal (CuSO4 and CdSO4) stresses, and phytohormones (ABA, ETH, MeJA, and SA) (Figure 1), suggesting that GmbZIP152 may involve in stress responses. Therefore, to clarify the potential function of GmbZIP152 in response to different stresses, we overexpressed GmbZIP152 in Arabidopsis and revealed that OE-GmbZIP152 plants increased resistance to S. sclerotiorum, high salinity, drought, and heavy metal, significantly.

Plant growth is greatly affected by combine environmental stresses such as diseases, high salt, drought, and heavy metal. To adapt to the environment, plants derive several strategies, including the induction of antioxidant enzymes, plant hormones, and regulatory genes [47]. Previous research has shown that ETH and JA signaling pathways are considered the main pathways for plants to resist biological invasion, and ABA participates in the immune response of plants via regulating the ET/JA signaling pathway [48].

Figure 6. The Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) result of GmbZIP152 transient expressing soybean. (A) ChIP-qPCR analysis of GmbZIP152 binding to biotic stress-related genes using GFP antibody and 35S-GmbZIP152-GFP transient expressing soybean. (B) ChIP-qPCR analysis of GmbZIP152 binding to abiotic stress-related genes using GFP antibody and 35S-GmbZIP152-GFP transient expressing soybean. Three independent biological replicates were performed. The error bars indicate ± SD (n = 3 replicates). Asterisks indicate significant differences for the indicated comparisons based on a student’s t-test (** p < 0.01; 0.01 < * p < 0.05).
Overexpression of jasmonate-responsive OsbHLH034 can increase the tolerance to bacterial blight in rice [49]. The expression of GmbZIP152 in WT soybean was induced by ABA, SA, JA, and ETH (Figure 1F–I), and OE-GmbZIP152 plants were less sensitive to exogenous hormones than WT (Figure 3). We detected the expression of marker genes for multiple hormones in OE-GmbZIP152 and WT plants under S. sclerotiorum treatment. Among these genes, AtLOX6, a JA biosynthetic gene, has been reported to function in response to stress resistance [32]. ERF1 and ABI2, which participate in the ETH or ABA signaling pathways, were upregulated in OE-GmbZIP152 leaves upon S. sclerotiorum inoculation. These further confirmed the involvement of phytohormone signaling in regulating GmbZIP152-mediated pathogen resistance. Numerous studies have shown that hormones are essential in the process of bZIP transcription factors improving tolerance to abiotic stress. Overexpression of StbZIP65 in potato (Solanum tuberosum L.) enhanced salt tolerance by affecting JA signaling [50]. CaDILZ1, a member of the Capsicum annuum bZIP protein family, exhibited drought-tolerant phenotypes via ABA-mediated drought stress signaling in Arabidopsis plants [51]. In our study, the expression of GmbZIP152 was increased by salt, drought, and heavy metal (Figure 1B–E), suggesting that GmbZIP152 is involved in the abiotic response. Our phenotypic analysis showed that the tolerance of salt, drought, and heavy metal were significantly increased in OE-GmbZIP152 plants compared to WT (Figures 3 and S3). From the results of qRT-PCR, the expression of ABA-responsive marker genes, AtABI2, AtABI5, and AtABF1, were increased under salt and drought (Figure 5). These results suggested that GmbZIP152 responds to biotic and abiotic stresses by involving the hormone-responsive pathways.

Reactive oxygen (ROS) is the key signaling molecule produced under biotic and abiotic stress conditions and triggers various plant defense responses [52]. Studies have shown that plants will produce excessive ROS (H₂O₂ and O²⁻) after being subjected to a different stress condition, which affects the growth, development, and yield of plants [53,54]. To neutralize excess ROS under stress conditions, plants have synthesized several antioxidants, such as SOD, POD, and CAT, to scavenge ROS and restore cellular redox homeostasis [55–59]. Our results showed that the H₂O₂ content of OE-GmbZIP152 plants was less than WT plants under S. sclerotiorum treatment. And the activities of CAT, SOD, and POD were activated in OE-GmbZIP152 plants under salt, drought, copper, and cadmium stress (Figure 4A–C), indicating that enhanced ROS scavenging capability of OE-GmbZIP152 plants in comparison to WT plants. To understand the regulatory function of GmbZIP152, we check the transcript levels of antioxidant genes (AtCAT1, AtSOD, and AtPOD1). The qRT-PCR results showed that the expression levels of these genes were higher in the OE-GmbZIP152 leaves compared to WT leaves under stress conditions. Therefore, we hypothesized that GmbZIP152 regulates the activity of the ROS-scavenging enzyme by affecting the transcript level of the antioxidant genes.

Moreover, we performed qRT-PCR to investigate the expression level of several stress-related genes in OE-GmbZIP152 plants and WT controls (Figure 5). In our study, the expression levels of various stress-responsive genes, for example, AtHARDY, AtDREB2A, AtUBI19, AtCOPT1, GmSYT2, AtGSH1, and AtPCS1 et al., were significantly higher in OE-GmbZIP152 plants than those in WT plants under normal or stress conditions. These findings indicated that GmbZIP152 affects plant stress tolerance by altering the expression of stress-related genes.

To further explore the stress resistance of OE-GmbZIP152 in soybean, we screened out hormone-associated stress-related through previous reports. For example, Ding et al. stated that NPR3, a SA receptor plays a key role in transcriptional regulation of SA-induced defense gene expression [60]. PR2 comprised an important component in the SA defense signaling pathway as an SA-responsive gene [61]. COII, encoding a F-box protein, was involved in regulating the wounding response through JA-related processes [62]. GmRD22 is up-regulated by drought-, salinity-stress and exogenously supplied ABA [63]. GmBIP, is a molecular chaperone that increases drought tolerance in soybean by delaying leaf senescence [64]. GmSOD participates in encoding the antioxidant enzyme [65]. In the
result of ChIP-qPCR, GmbZIP152 directly binds to the promoter of GmABI5 and GmSOD. These genes were also differentially regulated in the OE-GmbZIP152 lines (Figure 5). The ChIP-qPCR analysis showed that GmbZIP152 directly binds to the promoter of hormone-, stress-, and antioxidant enzyme-related genes in soybean (Figure 6). These results are similar to the function of GmbZIP152 in Arabidopsis, indicating that GmbZIP152 may as a positive regulator in soybean response to abiotic and biotic stresses.

In general, our results show that GmbZIP152 is a multi-functional transcription factor, which involves in disease defense and abiotic stress tolerance by regulating phytohormone-responsive genes, biotic and abiotic stress-responsive genes, and the antioxidant enzyme activities (Figure 7). As a result of the ChIP-qPCR, GmbZIP152 directly binds to the promoter of many hormone-related genes (Figure 6). It suggested that GmbZIP152 can directly regulate the tolerance of biotic and abiotic by the hormone signaling pathway. And GmbZIP152 directly regulates the antioxidant enzyme activities of SOD by GmSOD (Figure 6), but the antioxidant enzyme activities of CAT and POD are indirectly affected. In addition, GmbZIP152 improve the biotic and abiotic stress tolerance by indirectly regulating the biotic- and abiotic-related genes (Figure 5). However, much more work needs to be conducted to deeply understand the other components and molecular mechanisms that interact with the functions of the underlying GmbZIP152 under biotic and abiotic stress in the future.

![Figure 7. A schematic model of GmbZIP152 mediated biotic- and abiotic-stress tolerance in transgenic Arabidopsis. GmbZIP152 positively modulates the biotic- and abiotic-stress tolerance: GmbZIP152 positively regulates the expression of antioxidant enzyme, hormone, biotic, and abiotic-related genes. The dashed lines indicate indirect regulation, and solid lines indicate direct regulation. The arrows indicate induction or positive modulation.](image)

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. GmbZIP152 Gene Isolation, Vector Construction, and Arabidopsis Transformation

We used a RNA extraction kit (Omega Bio-Tek, Shanghai, China) to extract total RNA from the leaves of William 82 (Glycine max). The cDNA was synthesized using PrimerScript™RTase (TaKaRa Biotechnology, Beijing, China), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The full length of grape GmbZIP152 (Glyma.19G216200) open reading frame (ORF) was amplified by PCR using gene-specific primers (Supplemental Table S1). The PCR product was cloned into the pGWB 605 vector, and the plasmid (pGWB605-GmbZIP152) was sequenced to confirm sequence fidelity.

The plasmid with the targeted gene was introduced into A. tumefaciens strain GV3101 via electroporation and transformed into A. thaliana by using the floral dip method [66].
T₀ seeds were harvested and sown on the soil. After one week, we used 0.1% glufosinate ammonium (LIER-Chemical, Mianyang, China) to screen transgenic lines. We selected two lines (GmbZIP152-2 and GmbZIP152-5) from 10 independent lines, and three-week-old T₃ homozygous lines were generated and used for all further experiments. The relative expression level of GmbZIP152 was examined in Arabidopsis using qRT-PCR (Figure S5).

4.2. Cis-Element Analysis of GmbZIP152 Promoters

The 2.5 kb upstream sequence of the GmbZIP152 was retrieved from the Phytozome V12.1 (https://phytozome.jgi.doe.gov/pz/portal.html, accessed on 30 January 2020) and then submitted to Plant Cis-Acting Regulatory Element (PlantCARE, http://bioinformatics.psb.ugent.be/webtools/plantcare/html/, accessed on 30 January 2020) [67] to detect the presence of the following five regulatory elements [68] (Supplemental Table S2): G-box (CAC-GAC); TC-rich repeats (G/ATTCTCT); MYB (CAACTG); TCA-element (CCATCTTTTT); CGTCA-motif (CGTCA).

4.3. Plant Growth Conditions

Arabidopsis thaliana plants were grown on soil mixture 2:1 (v/v) peat moss: perlite under the following conditions: 22 °C, 65% humidity, and a 16-h light/8-h dark photoperiod. Soybean (William 82) seeds were sown in soil and the photoperiod was 16 h light/8 h dark at 25 °C in a greenhouse.

Seeds from each of two selected T₃ OE-GmbZIP152 lines and WT were sterilized in 95% ethanol for 5 min and then treated with 75% ethanol for 15 min, followed by four washes with sterilized distilled water. The seeds were then plated on 1/2 MS medium. After 7-days, transgenic and WT seedlings were transferred into the compost soil and used for further experiments.

4.4. Stress Tolerance Assays and Measurements of Physiological Indices

In preparation for the germination assays, ~100 seeds were surface-sterilized and sown on MS medium supplemented with different concentrations of NaCl (100 mM and 150 mM), mannitol (250 mM and 300 mM), CuSO₄ (50 uM and 100 uM), CdSO₄ (50 uM and 100 uM), ETH (400 uM), JA (200 uM), and ABA (1.0 uM). Seeds were vernalized at 4 °C for 3 days before growing in a growth chamber. The root length and fresh weight were measured on day 7 after growing.

For the plant growth assays, 7-day-old OE-GmbZIP152 and WT seedlings were transferred into the compost soil. We treated three-week-old WT and OE-GmbZIP152 plants with NaCl (100 mM and 150 mM), mannitol (250 mM and 300 mM), CuSO₄ (50 uM and 100 uM), and CdSO₄ (50 uM and 100 uM) for 18 days and measured the plant height. All experiments were repeated three times.

To explore the expression profile of GmbZIP152, two-week-old soybean seedling leaves were infected with S. sclerotiorum and seedlings were treated with 150 mM NaCl for salt conditions, 400 mM mannitol for drought conditions, 150 uM CuSO₄, and 150 uM CdSO₄ for heavy metal conditions, 400 uM ETH, 150 uM JA, 1.0 uM ABA, and 250 uM SA. In addition, the eight-week-old mature soybean was treated with NaCl, mannitol, CuSO₄, CdSO₄, ETH, JA, ABA, and SA. The leaves detected the expression level of GmbZIP152.

The three-week-old plants were treated with SOD, CAT, and POD Activity Detection kit (Solarbio, Beijing, China) for 24 h to measure the physiological indices, according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

4.5. Pathogens and Inoculation Procedures

For S. sclerotiorum treatments, the fungal strains preserved at 4 °C were subcultured on potato dextrose agar medium for two days first. Then, we excised the new marginal hyphae using a 7 mm puncher and closely upended them onto the surface of leaves from three-week-old plants. The inoculated leaves were placed in a square petri dish and transferred
into a growth chamber that allowed disease symptoms development. The disease spot area was measured after 2 days using ImageJ [69]. All experiments were repeated three times.

4.6. RNA Extraction and Quantitative Real-Time PCR

Samples were collected after treatment, and two independent seedlings were randomly harvested and frozen by liquid nitrogen immediately, then stored at −80 °C for RNA extraction. Total RNA was extracted using the RNA plant extraction Kit (Omega Bio-Tek, Shanghai, China) following the manufacturer’s protocol. The obtained RNA concentrations range from 100 to 500 ng/µL, and the OD260/OD280 ratios ranged from 1.8 to 2.0. According to the supplier’s instructions to use AMV reverse transcriptase (Takara), 1 µg of purified total RNA was reverse transcribed to cDNA in a 20 µL reaction volume [70]. Subsequent quantitative real-time PCR was performed with gene specific primers according to the manufacturer’s instructions on the Bio-Rad Real-time PCR system (Foster City, CA, USA). The specific primers used in this experiment are given in Supplemental Table S3. The PCR program was set: 95 °C for 30 s; 40 cycles of 95 °C for 5 s and 60 °C for 34 s; 95 °C for 15 s. In each case, three technical replicates and at least three independent biological replicates were performed [20,71]. Relative expression was calculated using the 2−∆∆Ct method [72]. Data were analyzed using a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) (Supplemental Tables S4 and S5).

4.7. Transient GmbZIP152 Expression Assay

GV3101 carrying the pGWB 605-GmbZIP152 vector was cultured to OD600 = 1.0 induction medium [10 mM ethanesulfonic acid (pH 5.7), 10 mM MgCl2, 200 mM acetosyringone] and diluted to OD600 = 0.8. This was injected into two-week-old soybean leaves (William 82) and transferred to soybean plants into a growth chamber for 2 days. The injected leaves were then harvested for further use.

4.8. Chromatin Immunoprecipitation (ChIP) Analysis

For the Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) experiment, approximately 4 g of two-week-old soybean leaves transiently overexpressing GmbZIP152 were used. Samples were formaldehyde cross-linked [69]. Crosslinked chromatin was fragmented with 0.2 units of micrococcal nuclease (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) in 1 mL of MNase digestion buffer [10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 50 mM NaCl, 1 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 0.1% NP-40, 1 mM CaCl2, and protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche)]. Chromation stopped digestion using 5 mM EDTA. ChIP was performed using an anti-GFP antibody (Abcam, Cambridge, U.K.). Relative enrichment of associated DNA fragments was analyzed by qPCR. All primers used in the ChIP experiments are given in Supplemental Table S6. Each ChIP experiment was repeated twice, and the presented data are from one representative experiment.

5. Conclusions

In this study, we cloned and characterized soybean GmbZIP152. Our results showed that overexpression of GmbZIP152 will increase resistance to disease infection and tolerance of abiotic stresses by regulating phytohormone-responsive genes, biotic and abiotic stress-responsive genes, and the antioxidant enzyme activities. These findings deepen the understanding of the role of the soybean GmbZIP152 transcription factor in the molecular mechanisms of complex biotic and abiotic stress. They provided a theoretical basis for the functional characterization of GmbZIP152 genes in different plant species.
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