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Oxygen Exposure During Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation Is Associated With Cerebral Oxidative Injury in a Randomized, Blinded, Controlled, Preclinical Trial
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BACKGROUND: Hyperoxia during cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) may lead to oxidative injury from mitochondrial-derived reactive oxygen species, despite guidelines recommending 1.0 inspired oxygen during CPR. We hypothesized exposure to 1.0 inspired oxygen during CPR would result in cerebral hyperoxia, higher mitochondrial-derived reactive oxygen species, increased oxidative injury, and similar survival compared with those exposed to 21% oxygen.

METHODS AND RESULTS: Four-week-old piglets (n=25) underwent asphyxial cardiac arrest followed by randomization and blinding to CPR with 0.21 (n=10) or 1.0 inspired oxygen (n=10) through 10 minutes post return of spontaneous circulation. Sham was n=5. Survivors received 4 hours of protocolized postarrest care, whereupon brain was obtained for mitochondrial analysis and neuropathology. Groups were compared using Kruskal-Wallis test, Wilcoxon rank-sum test, and generalized estimating equations regression models. Both 1.0 and 0.21 groups were similar in systemic hemodynamics and cerebral blood flow, as well as survival (8/10). The 1.0 animals had relative cerebral hyperoxia during CPR and immediately following return of spontaneous circulation (brain tissue oxygen tension, 85% [interquartile range, 72%–120%] baseline in 0.21 animals versus 697% [interquartile range, 515%–721%] baseline in 1.0 animals; \( P=0.001 \) at 10 minutes postarrest). Cerebral mitochondrial reactive oxygen species production was higher in animals treated with 1.0 compared with 0.21 (\( P<0.03 \)). Exposure to 1.0 oxygen led to increased cerebral oxidative injury to proteins and lipids, as evidenced by significantly higher protein carbonyls and 4-hydroxynoneals compared with 0.21 (\( P<0.05 \)) and sham (\( P<0.001 \)).

CONCLUSIONS: Exposure to 1.0 inspired oxygen during CPR caused cerebral hyperoxia during resuscitation, and resultant increased mitochondrial-derived reactive oxygen species and oxidative injury following cardiac arrest.
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Thousands of children each year experience a pediatric cardiac arrest (CA).\(^1,2\) Despite improvements in survival,\(^3\) neurologic disability is common.\(^4\) Exposure to hyperoxia during and after cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) has been implicated as a potential mediator of postarrest neurologic dysfunction. The developing brain may be particularly susceptible to hyperoxia,\(^5\) and resuscitation with room air is now the standard of care for neonatal asphyxia, on the basis of translational and clinical studies.\(^6\) In contrast, current neonatal CA guidelines add supplemental inspired oxygen (FiO\(_2\)) during CPR, and pediatric and adult CPR guidelines recommend 1.0 FiO\(_2\);\(^7,8\) despite a paucity of data to support this practice.
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Observational clinical studies in adults establish that arterial hyperoxia after arrest is associated with worse survival and neurologic outcomes.\textsuperscript{9,10} Several animal studies of CA demonstrate worse brain injury and neurologic outcomes with 1.0 FiO\textsubscript{2} compared with room air, but these prior models were unable to differentiate whether the injury occurs from hyperoxic exposure during CPR and the first several minutes after return of spontaneous circulation (ROSC) versus prearrest and postarrest hyperoxic exposures.\textsuperscript{11,12} This important gap in knowledge is especially pertinent for the timing of interventions to mitigate brain injury.

Although the evidence overall suggests an association between hyperoxia and worse outcomes from CA, the underlying mechanisms and time course remain poorly defined. Alterations in mitochondrial bioenergetics and mitochondrial-derived reactive oxygen species (mtROS) may play a critical role.\textsuperscript{13–16} To this end, we conducted a randomized blinded trial of 0.21 FiO\textsubscript{2} compared with 1.0 FiO\textsubscript{2} focused on the CPR period in a high-fidelity, large animal model of pediatric asphyxia-associated ventricular fibrillation (VF) CA. In an effort to understand the relationship between hyperoxia, alterations in mitochondrial function, oxidative injury, and neurologic injury, we continuously monitored cerebral oxygen tension and cerebral microdialysis biomarkers and performed ex vivo analyses of cerebral mtROS, mitochondrial bioenergetics, oxidative injury, and mitochondrial RNA expression. We hypothesized that relative to treatment with 0.21 FiO\textsubscript{2}, exposure to 1.0 FiO\textsubscript{2} during CPR would result in cerebral hyperoxia, higher cerebral mtROS production, and worse cerebral oxidative injury.

**METHODS**

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request. The Children’s Hospital of Philadelphia Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee approved all procedures in accordance with the National Institutes of Health Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. Twenty-five 4-week-old female Yorkshire piglets (Sus scrofus domestica) were block randomized into 3 groups: (1) CA with CPR in 0.21 fraction of inspired oxygen (CA21; n=10), (2) CA with CPR in 1.0 fraction of inspired oxygen (CA100; n=10), and (3) anesthetized sham with no CA (n=5). Animals were randomized in blocks of 5. CA animals were randomized in a 1:1 manner, with 2 animals per CA group in each block, and 1 sham animal (eg, AABB, whereby A=CA100, B=CA21, and C=sham). To account for attrition and exclusion, additional animals (n=7) were randomized in a final block without unblinding the original treatment allocation at
any point. All study personnel were blinded to the randomization, except for one team member who determined the assignments and personally set the blinded, randomized FiO₂ during each experiment. The FiO₂ and pulse oximetry were concealed during CPR and through 10 minutes post-ROSC and could not be determined by other laboratory personnel. A separate study team member not present during the resuscitation performed the mitochondrial analyses.

**Model**

We selected a pediatric porcine model to study CA-related ischemia-reperfusion injury in the immature brain because piglet central nervous system development, gross anatomical features, and cerebral physiological features closely mirror those of human children. From a CPR mechanics standpoint, swine are commonly used for CPR research because porcine and human chests have comparable anterior-posterior diameter and stiffness. Our protocol was devised to simulate a CA following a respiratory deterioration in a young child, which is the most common cause and age group for pediatric in-hospital CA. We studied 4-week-old, 10-kg piglets to model the human toddler in weight, body mass index, and brain structure and development. We chose a 7-minute period of asphyxia followed by 10 minutes of hemodynamic-directed CPR to model both a clinically relevant insult and administration of high-quality CPR. In keeping with our prior published experiments, VF was induced to maintain a consistent, 10-minute minimum duration of CPR to evaluate alternative CPR techniques, because most in-hospital CPR is ≥10 minutes in duration and because effective CPR for asphyxia-induced CA often results in ROSC within 2 to 4 minutes. Therefore, this method ensured a controlled CA in which to study the treatment interventions. We maintained the blinded, randomized oxygen therapy for only 10 minutes post-ROSC to isolate the exposure to the CPR period yet simulate a clinically plausible scenario. After the treatment period, oxygen therapy was titrated to maintain normoxemia, as described below. Comprehensive descriptions of the CA model and hemodynamic-directed CPR are available in our previous publications.

**Animal Preparation**

**Anesthesia**

All animals received anesthesia with intramuscular ketamine (20–40 mg/kg) and xylazine (1.5–3 mg/kg) followed by inhaled isoflurane in 1.0 FiO₂ via a snout mask. Following tracheal intubation, mechanical ventilation was provided in a mixture of 0.21 FiO₂ and isoflurane (1%–2%) to maintain anesthesia. Animals were ventilated with tidal volumes of 10 mL/kg, positive end-expiratory pressure of 6 cm H₂O, and respiratory rate adjusted to achieve end-tidal carbon dioxide values between 38 and 42 mm Hg. Rectal temperature, 3-lead ECG, peripheral capillary oxygen saturation, and end-tidal carbon dioxide were continuously monitored and recorded (NICO; Novametrix Medical Systems Inc, Wallingford, CT).

**Neuromonitoring**

A brain tissue oxygen catheter (Licox; Integra LifeSciences, Plainsboro, NJ) was inserted via a frontal burr hole ≈1 cm into the brain to terminate at the gray-white matter junction. A second burr hole for intracranial pressure monitoring (NEUROVENT PTO; Raumedic, Germany) was created in the right parietal bone. A laser Doppler probe (Periflux; Perimed Inc, Ardmore, PA) to measure cerebral blood flow was inserted into a third burr hole and affixed atop intact cortical dura. Brain tissue oxygen tension (PbtO₂), intracranial pressure, and cerebral blood flow were continuously monitored and recorded (Moberg CNS, Moberg ICU Solutions, Ambler, PA). A cerebral microdialysis catheter (CMA 71 Elite; mDialysis, Sweden) was placed 1 cm deep in the brain parenchyma through a fourth burr hole in the right parietal bone. Sterile saline was perfused at 1 μL/min, and after a calibration period, samples were collected in 30-minute intervals throughout the experiment and immediately frozen at −80°C. Pyruvate, lactate, glycerol, and glucose levels were analyzed using the automated ISCUS Flex Microdialysis Analyzer (mDialysis) and processed using LABpilot software (mDialysis).

**Hemodynamic Monitoring**

Bilateral femoral veins and one femoral artery were percutaneously cannulated (Cordis Corporation, Fremont, CA) under ultrasound guidance. High-fidelity, solid-state, micromanometer-tipped catheters (Millar Instruments, Houston, TX) were advanced to thoracic positions to obtain continuous aortic and right atrial pressures (PowerLab; ADInstruments, New Zealand). A normal saline (0.9% sodium chloride) bolus of 20 mL/kg was administered intravenously to all animals to account for fluid losses during the preexperimental fast.

**Experimental Protocol: CA Animals**

Summarized in Figure 1A, following initiation of fentanyl for analgesia and baseline measurements, asphyxia was induced in CA animals by clamping their endotracheal tube and disconnecting the
ventilator, and confirmed by the absence of exhaled CO₂. During this time, the ventilator was immediately set to either 1.0 or 0.21 FiO₂ by a single study team member not involved in the resuscitation. The remainder of the investigative team was blinded to both the ventilator settings and peripheral capillary oxygen saturation. After 7 minutes of asphyxia, VF was induced via transthoracic intracardiac needles. On confirmation of VF, the endotracheal tube was unclamped and reconnect ed to the ventilator delivering the randomized FiO₂, and CPR was conducted in accordance with the hemodynamic-directed CPR algorithm.²⁶ During CPR, animals were mechanically ventilated with a respiratory rate of 10 breaths per minute, positive end-expiratory pressure of 6 cm H₂O, tidal volume of 10 mL/kg, and, depending on their blinded randomization group, either 1.0 or 0.21 FiO₂. After 10 minutes of CPR, an initial biphasic defibrillation attempt was performed by delivering 50 J (5 J/kg). CPR was continued until sustained ROSC occurred or no ROSC occurred after 20 minutes of CPR. Animals who obtained ROSC received protocolized post-CA intensive care for 4 hours before euthanasia.

Post-ROSC, animals remained in their blinded, randomized CA groups for 10 minutes. After 10 minutes, the FiO₂ was set to 0.21 in all animals and the peripheral capillary oxygen saturation was unblinded to allow titration of FiO₂ to attain a peripheral capillary oxygen saturation of 92% to 96% for the duration of the post-ROSC period. On ROSC, the respiratory rate was returned to its prearrest setting and titrated to maintain normocapnia. Intravenous epinephrine (infusion and bolus dosing) was administered if needed to maintain mean arterial pressure (MAP) ≥45 mm Hg. Inhaled isoflurane was restarted and titrated to inhibit pincho reflex response. Post-ROSC care was maintained for 4 hours.

---

**Figure 1.** Experimental protocol and study flow diagram.

A. During hemodynamic-directed cardiopulmonary resuscitation (HD-CPR), depth was titrated to achieve systolic blood pressure >90 mm Hg, and vasopressors were given if coronary perfusion pressure <20 mm Hg. Dosing order was epinephrine (0.02 mg/kg), epinephrine, and vasopressin (0.4 µg/kg). Dosing interval was a minimum of 1 minute after epinephrine and 2 minutes after vasopressin. B. *Excluded animals were rerandomized without unblinding the original treatment allocation at any point. CA21 indicates cardiac arrest (CA) with CPR in 0.21 fraction of FiO₂; CA100, CA with CPR in 1.0 fraction of FiO₂; ETT, endotracheal tube; FiO₂, inspired oxygen; ROSC, return of spontaneous circulation; and VF, ventricular fibrillation.*
Experimental Protocol: Sham Animals

Animals block randomized to the sham group received identical procedures and monitoring but did not undergo asphyxia or CA. Sham animals were maintained with the same protocol and for the same duration of anesthesia as CA animals.

Cerebral Mitochondrial Assessment

A bilateral craniectomy was performed to expose the brain following 4 hours of post-ROSC intensive care or equivalent duration of anesthesia in sham animals. Fresh tissue samples from cortex and hippocampus were rapidly extracted and prepared for mitochondrial assessment, according to established protocols.\(^{24,27}\)

Measurement of ROS

MtROS production from cortex and hippocampus was measured simultaneously in side-by-side chambers on a high-resolution respirometer fitted with optical sensors (O2k-Fluo LED2-Module; OROBOROS Instruments, Austria). Hydrogen peroxide (H\(_2\)O\(_2\)) was detected using an Amplex UltraRed assay (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). Amplex Red is a nonfluorescent, colorless substrate that reacts with H\(_2\)O\(_2\) in the presence of horseradish peroxidase to produce red-fluorescent resorufin on oxidation. The most proximal ROS produced by mitochondrial respiration is superoxide.\(^{28}\) Superoxide dismutase was therefore added to transform all mitochondrial superoxide into H\(_2\)O\(_2\) for direct measurement by fluorometry. Following Amplex Red, horseradish peroxidase, and superoxide dismutase, 100 nmol/L of H\(_2\)O\(_2\) was added for instrument calibration before addition of 22 μL of homogenized brain tissue. H\(_2\)O\(_2\) flux was measured concurrently with mitochondrial respiration using DatLab software (OROBOROS Instruments).

Measurement of Mitochondrial Respiration

As described in our previous publications, assessment of mitochondrial respiration was performed using a high-resolution respirometer (Oxygraph-2k; OROBOROS Instruments), as previously described.\(^{13,24,27}\) Oxygen consumption was recorded with DatLab (OROBOROS Instruments) and expressed as pmol/(s/mg of tissue homogenate). A substrate-uncoupler-inhibitor titration protocol optimized for porcine brain tissue\(^{24}\) was used to assess the individual respiratory capacities of complex I (CI) and complex II (CII), as well as convergent electron flow (CI+CII) in the electron transfer system. The substrate-uncoupler-inhibitor titration protocol was identical for sham and injured tissues.

Cerebral Oxidative Injury

Measurement of Protein Carbonyls

A protein carbonyl ELISA kit (No. ALX-850-312-KI01; Enzo Life Sciences, Farmingdale, NY) was used to measure protein carbonyls from isolated mitochondrial fraction from frozen tissue samples. Samples were diluted 10:1 in ELISA buffer for a protein concentration of 4 mg/mL, and procedures were performed in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions.

Measurement of Lipid Peroxidation

Western blot analysis was used to measure 4-hydroxynoneal, an index of lipid peroxidation. Using frozen tissue whole cell lysates, equal amounts of protein were denatured in 4× Laemmli sample buffer with 1:10 dithiothreitol (D0632-1G; Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) and resolved by SDS-PAGE (12% Bis-Tris; No. NP0349BOX; Thermo Fisher, Carlsbad, CA) and transferred to nitrocellulose membranes (No. 88024; Thermo Scientific, Rockford, IL). Membranes were blocked with Odyssey Blocking Buffer (No. 927-40000; Li-Cor, Lincoln, NE) and incubated with primary antibody overnight for 4-hydroxynoneal (1:1000; AB5605; Millipore Sigma, Burlington, MA). After washing, membranes were stained with IRDye secondary antibody (1:15000; No. 925-32211; Li-Cor) for 1 hour, then imaged using an Odyssey Scanner (Li-Cor). Relative band densities were determined by digital densitometry using Li-Cor Odyssey Application Software v3.

Mitochondrial RNA Expression

RNA sequencing was performed to assess mitochondrial genes related to respiratory function. As previously described,\(^{29}\) total RNA was isolated from flash frozen cortex using an automated RNA extraction robot (QIAasympohony; Qiagen, Hilden, Germany), reverse transcribed, and RNA depleted, followed by sequencing using a HiSeq instrument (Illumina, San Diego, CA) in 2×150 paired end configuration. Reads were aligned to the SusScrofa11.1 reference genome. Total RNA was extracted using a QIAasympohony automated RNA extraction robot and reverse transcribed with a high-capacity cDNA reverse transcription kit with RNase inhibitor (catalog No. 4387406; Life Technologies, NY). rRNA depletion was conducted using a Ribozero rRNA Removal Kit (human/mouse/rat probe) (Illumina), followed by library preparation with NEBNext Ultra RNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina (NEB, Ipswich, MA). Sequencing was performed in 2×150 paired end configuration with an Illumina HiSeq instrument. Reads were aligned to the SusScrofa11.1 reference genome using STAR.\(^{30,31}\) Transcripts at the gene level were quantified with featureCounts from the subreads package.\(^{32}\)
Specialized statistical analysis was performed with igraph33 and ggplot.34 Downstream analyses were conducted with the R packages DESeq2.35

Statistical Analysis
Sample Size Calculation
A sample size of 10 animals in each group provided >80% power to detect a 20% reduction in mean mtROS between CA groups, using a 10% SD derived from pilot mitochondrial data from prior experiments (unpublished data; Kilbaugh, TJ 2018). Five sham animals were chosen a priori. Type I error, set at 0.05, was not corrected for multiple comparisons across the 3 randomized arms.

Statistical Analysis
Descriptive statistics were used to characterize baseline variables. A skewness-kurtosis test was performed to assess normality of continuous variables. Differences between groups were evaluated with Student t test or ANOVA for normally distributed variables and Wilcoxon–rank sum or Kruskal–Wallis with Dunn’s multiple comparison tests for nonnormally distributed variables, and expressed as mean±SEM or median with interquartile range, as appropriate. The method of generalized estimating equations fitted with an exchangeable correlation structure was used to assess continuous variables while accounting for clustering of data points within individual animals. PbtO2 and cerebral blood flow were described as percentage baseline. Categorical outcomes (eg, mortality and ROSC) were reported with Fisher’s exact testing. Differential expression analysis was performed for RNA sequencing data using physiologic variables and RNA quality as covariates, and adjusted P<0.05 was considered significant (Benjamini-Hochberg procedure for controlling false discovery rate). Some cerebral microdialysis data points were coded as missing if they were deemed inaccurate by a blinded team member who processed the microdialysis and reviewed it for quality control. Missing values were not imputed/replaced, and therefore sample sizes used to compare groups at various time points were likewise updated to include only animals with accurate data. Analyses were conducted using STATA (Version 15.1; Statacorp LP, College Station, TX), GraphPad Prism (Version 7; GraphPad, San Diego, CA), and DESeq2 package for R (Version X; R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Austria). The block randomization was only revealed after statistical analysis.

RESULTS
Of 32 initial animal experiments, there were 2 prerandomization attritions and 5 exclusions (Figure 1B), resulting in 25 successful experiments (n=10 in each treatment group; n=5 in sham group). Animals were excluded for vascular access-associated hemorrhage (n=2), pneumothorax (n=1), delayed ability to induce VF (n=1), and aortic catheter malfunction (n=1). Rates of survival were not different, with 8 of 10 (80%) in both CA21 and CA100 treatment groups achieving sustained ROSC and 4-hour survival.

Systemic Physiological Characteristics
Prerandomization characteristics are described in Table 1. There were no differences in weight, heart rate, arterial blood pressure (BP), coronary perfusion pressure (CoPP), PaO2, or PaCO2 at baseline or during asphyxia. After 6 minutes of asphyxia, animals were hypotensive (mean MAP, 28±17 mm Hg) and hypoxemic (mean PaO2, <10 mm Hg). Pulseless electrical activity occurred in 9 of 20 (45%) before VF induction and was not different between treatment groups.

During CPR, there were no differences in hemodynamics, chest compression mechanics, or the number of vasopressor doses administered between CA21 and CA100 treatment groups (Table 2). Survivors achieved higher CoPP (20.6±2.8 versus 12.8±2.5 mm Hg; P<0.01) and higher diastolic BP (30.1±3.0 versus 22.1±2.7 mm Hg; P<0.01) compared with nonsurvivors, consistent with previous investigations with hemodynamic-directed CPR.13,23 Systemic oxygenation was not significantly different between groups during CPR (PaO2, 55 [interquartile range {IQR}, 54–80] mm Hg in CA21 versus 77 [IQR, 64–191] mm Hg in CA100; P=0.10).

At 10 minutes post-ROSC, CA21 animals had an increase in arterial oxygen tension following CPR; however, they remained normoxemic, with PaO2 of 90 (IQR, 82–100) mm Hg. CA100 animals were hypoxemic and had significantly increased arterial oxygen tension (409 [IQR, 398–448] mm Hg) compared with CA21 (P<0.001). No CA21 animals were hypoxemic (lowest PaO2, 79 mm Hg) post-ROSC. During the 4 hours post-ROSC, there were some hemodynamic differences between treatment groups (Table 3). At 1 hour post-ROSC, CA100 animals had higher BP compared with CA21 animals (MAP, 57.6±3.19 mm Hg in CA21 versus 70.6±3.75 mm Hg in CA100; P=0.02) and had lower heart rate (193.1±14.3 beats per minute in CA21 versus 156.4±10.6 beats per minute in CA100; P=0.06), but these differences did not persist at later time points post-ROSC. All BPs remained above the a priori goal MAP ≥45 mm Hg.

Cerebral Physiological Characteristics
There were no differences in intracranial temperature, intracranial pressure, or cerebral blood flow between treatment groups at any time (Table 4). Figure 2
Table 1. Prerandomization Characteristics

| Variable                              | CA21 (n=10) | CA100 (n=10) | P Value |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|--------------|---------|
| Baseline                              |             |              |         |
| Weight, kg                            | 10.4        | 10.3         | 0.78    |
| Body temperature, °C                  | 37.4 (37.1–37.7) | 37.6 (37.3–37.7) | 0.54    |
| Heart rate, bpm                       | 138 (9.4)   | 120 (8.9)    | 0.18    |
| Systolic aortic BP, mm Hg             | 76.2 (3.2)  | 76.9 (3.4)   | 0.56    |
| Diastolic aortic BP, mm Hg            | 53.9 (51.1–56.3) | 51.1 (50.4–57.9) | 0.65    |
| Pao₂, mm Hg                           | 114 (108–119) | 115 (108–118) | 0.76    |
| SpO₂, %                               | 96.6 (0.44) | 96.6 (0.48)  | 0.95    |
| ETCO₂, mm Hg                          | 39.9 (38.5–43.5) | 39.1 (38.2–40.4) | 0.29    |
| Brain temperature, °C                 | 36.9 (36.4–37.0) | 37.0 (36.4–37.1) | 0.74    |
| Intracranial pressure, mm Hg         | 13.1 (2.3)  | 14.1 (1.3)   | 0.61    |

Asphyxia

| Variable                              | CA21 (n=10) | CA100 (n=10) | P Value |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|--------------|---------|
| Body temperature, °C                  | 37.4 (37.1–37.8) | 37.6 (37.5–37.7) | 0.40    |
| Heart rate, bpm                       | 108.7 (16.5) | 93.5 (16.9)  | 0.53    |
| Systolic aortic BP, mm Hg             | 38.2 (7.9)  | 41.3 (10.7)  | 0.82    |
| Diastolic aortic BP, mm Hg            | 23.6 (3.6)  | 21.4 (4.0)   | 0.69    |
| Mean arterial BP, mm Hg               | 28.5 (4.9)  | 28.0 (6.2)   | 0.95    |
| Achieved pulseless electrical activity, n (%) | 4 (40) | 5 (50) | 0.67 |
| Pao₂, mm Hg                           | 7.8 (1.3)   | 6.4 (1.9)    | 0.55    |
| SpO₂, %                               | 15.8 (7.4)  | 14.7 (5.9)   | 0.94    |
| Paco₂, mm Hg                          | 62.2 (4.5)  | 60.8 (4.6)   | 0.83    |
| ETCO₂, mm Hg                          | 0.94 (0.22–1.7) | 0.71 (0.21–2.88) | 0.88 |
| Brain temperature, °C                 | 37.0 (36.0–37.3) | 37.1 (36.7–37.2) | 0.82    |
| Intracranial pressure, mm Hg         | 11.9 (1.9)  | 13.8 (1.2)   | 0.38    |
| Brain tissue oxygen tension, % baseline | 8.2 (3.9) | 9.6 (3.3) | 0.80 |
| Cerebral blood flow, % baseline       | 27.3 (9.8)  | 22.7 (7.7)   | 0.72    |

Comparison between groups at baseline (mean values during 2 minutes preceding start of asphyxia) and during asphyxia, before induction of ventricular fibrillation and randomization to treatment group. Student t test is used for normally distributed data. Wilcoxon rank-sum test is used for nonnormally distributed data. Values are mean (SEM) or median (interquartile range). BP indicates blood pressure; bpm, beats per minute; CA21, cardiac arrest (CA) with CPR in 0.21 fraction of inspired oxygen (FiO₂); CA100, CA with CPR in 1.0 fraction of FiO₂; ETCO₂, end-tidal carbon dioxide; and SpO₂, peripheral capillary oxygen saturation.

Table 2. CPR Characteristics

| Variable                              | CA21 (n=10) | CA100 (n=10) | P Value |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|--------------|---------|
| Mechanics                             |             |              |         |
| Chest compression rate, bpm           | 100.4 (0.14) | 100.8 (0.16) | 0.05    |
| Chest compression depth, cm           | 42.3 (1.7)   | 38.5 (2.8)   | 0.29    |
| Chest compression fraction, %         | 96 (0.4)     | 96 (0.7)     | 0.28    |
| No. of vasopressors                   | 5 (4–5)      | 6 (3–6)      | 0.73    |
| Systemic hemodynamics                 |             |              |         |
| Systolic aortic BP, mm Hg             | 82.8 (2.2)   | 88.4 (3.2)   | 0.08    |
| Diastolic aortic BP, mm Hg            | 30.7 (2.5)   | 32.7 (3.6)   | 0.57    |
| Coronary perfusion pressure, mm Hg    | 20.9 (2.3)   | 22.4 (3.3)   | 0.65    |
| Paco₂, mm Hg                          | 55 (54–80)   | 77 (64–191)  | 0.10    |
| Pao₂, mm Hg                           | 45.2 (4.3)   | 49.7 (5.7)   | 0.54    |
| ETCO₂, mm Hg                          | 33.0 (2.7)   | 29.8 (3.9)   | 0.41    |
| Cerebral physiological features       |             |              |         |
| Brain temperature, °C                 | 36.4 (0.41)  | 36.2 (0.59)  | 0.72    |
| Intracranial pressure, mm Hg         | 17.7 (2.2)   | 20.3 (3.0)   | 0.38    |
| Brain tissue oxygen tension, % baseline | 37.1 (29.0) | 121.2 (40.0) | 0.04    |
| Cerebral blood flow, % baseline       | 58.8 (9.93)  | 48.9 (14.0)  | 0.48    |

Student t test was used for normally distributed data. Wilcoxon rank-sum test was used for nonnormally distributed data. Continuous physiologic variables were compared during the last 2 minutes of CPR using a generalized estimating equations regression model. Values are mean (SEM) or median (interquartile range). BP indicates blood pressure; bpm, beats per minute; CA21, cardiac arrest (CA) with CPR in 0.21 fraction of inspired oxygen (FiO₂); CA100, CA with CPR in 1.0 fraction of FiO₂; CPR, cardiopulmonary resuscitation; and ETCO₂, end-tidal carbon dioxide.

<10% baseline). During CPR, PbtO₂ was significantly higher in CA100 animals compared with CA21 animals starting at 5 minutes of CPR (34.4±19.4% baseline in CA21 versus 89.3±26.7% baseline in CA100; P=0.04). At 10 minutes post-ROSC, CA100 demonstrated significant cerebral hyperoxia compared with CA21 (PbtO₂, 85% [IQR, 72%–120%] baseline in CA21 versus 697% [IQR, 515%–721%] baseline in CA100; P=0.001).

Cerebral Mitochondrial Respiration and Cerebral Microdialysis

Maximal, coupled oxidative phosphorylation from CI and CI–driven respiration, compared per mg of tissue and normalized to citrate synthase, was not different between treatment groups (Table 5). However, maximal, coupled oxidative phosphorylation was significantly lower following CA in both treatment...
Table 3. Post-ROSC: Systemic Hemodynamics

| Variable                      | CA21 (n=8) | CA100 (n=8) | P Value |
|-------------------------------|------------|-------------|---------|
| 10 min*                       |            |             |         |
| Heart rate, bpm               | 161.0 (12.3)| 128.1 (3.39)| 0.02    |
| Systolic aortic BP, mm Hg     | 106.7 (11.6)| 120.6 (8.18)| 0.31    |
| Diastolic aortic BP, mm Hg    | 79.6 (8.45)| 91.0 (4.28) | 0.25    |
| Mean BP, mm Hg                | 88.7 (9.41)| 100.9 (4.87)| 0.27    |
| Pao2, mm Hg                   | 90 (82–100)| 409 (398–448)<0.001|
| PaO2, %                       | 94.0 (92.3–96.8)| 96.6 (95.2–98.7)| 0.17    |
| Paco2, mm Hg                  | 40.3 (2.57)| 40.4 (2.75) | 0.97    |
| ETCO2, mm Hg                  | 43.1 (2.30)| 42.7 (1.61) | 0.91    |
| 30 min                        |            |             |         |
| Heart rate, bpm               | 181.1 (11.8)| 158.0 (9.60)| 0.15    |
| Systolic aortic BP, mm Hg     | 76.4 (3.93)| 77.3 (3.49) | 0.87    |
| Diastolic aortic BP, mm Hg    | 59.0 (4.06)| 58.1 (3.35) | 0.87    |
| Mean BP, mm Hg                | 64.8 (3.98)| 64.5 (3.36) | 0.95    |
| Pao2, mm Hg                   | 90 (82–100)| 409 (398–448)<0.001|
| PaO2, %                       | 95.6 (94.5–96.7)| 95.7 (94.6–96.4)| >0.99  |
| Paco2, mm Hg                  | 40.3 (2.57)| 40.4 (2.75) | 0.97    |
| ETCO2, mm Hg                  | 38.9 (37.3–41.3)| 39.5 (38.0–41.5)| 0.53    |
| 1 h                           |            |             |         |
| Heart rate, bpm               | 193.1 (14.3)| 156.4 (10.6)| 0.06    |
| Systolic aortic BP, mm Hg     | 70.3 (3.48)| 86.1 (4.80) | 0.02    |
| Diastolic aortic BP, mm Hg    | 51.2 (3.31)| 62.9 (3.34) | 0.03    |
| Mean BP, mm Hg                | 57.6 (3.19)| 70.6 (3.75) | 0.02    |
| Pao2, mm Hg                   | 103.4 (5.46)| 108.3 (2.40)| 0.43    |
| PaO2, %                       | 96.8 (95.3–97.6)| 95.7 (95.2–96.5)| 0.29    |
| Paco2, mm Hg                  | 34.8 (0.82)| 35.1 (0.69) | 0.77    |
| ETCO2, mm Hg                  | 38.2 (1.00)| 39.9 (1.23) | 0.86    |
| 2 h                           |            |             |         |
| Heart rate, bpm               | 217.1 (14.1)| 189.1 (14.2)| 0.18    |
| Systolic aortic BP, mm Hg     | 80.3 (73.3–83.0)| 85.7 (81.0–91.8)| 0.07    |
| Diastolic aortic BP, mm Hg    | 56.0 (1.65)| 61.3 (3.07) | 0.15    |
| Mean BP, mm Hg                | 65.8 (60.9–66.5)| 67.5 (63.9–74.9)| 0.29    |
| Pao2, mm Hg                   | 97.4 (3.73)| 109 (3.19) | 0.03    |
| PaO2, %                       | 95.8 (92.9–97.9)| 96.3 (95.4–96.9)| 0.92    |
| Paco2, mm Hg                  | 36.5 (1.27)| 35.6 (1.41) | 0.64    |
| ETCO2, mm Hg                  | 39.8 (1.14)| 39.2 (3.98) | 0.77    |
| 3 h                           |            |             |         |
| Heart rate, bpm               | 209.4 (15.6)| 192.9 (11.9)| 0.42    |
| Systolic aortic BP, mm Hg     | 80.5 (3.72)| 88.2 (3.65) | 0.16    |
| Diastolic aortic BP, mm Hg    | 57.1 (2.90)| 60.8 (3.10) | 0.40    |
| Mean BP, mm Hg                | 64.9 (2.96)| 69.9 (3.13) | 0.26    |

Table 3. Continued

| Variable                      | CA21 (n=8) | CA100 (n=8) | P Value |
|-------------------------------|------------|-------------|---------|
| Pao2, mm Hg                   | 97.1 (3.35)| 105 (3.94) | 0.15    |
| PaO2, %                       | 94.9 (61.9–96.7)| 95.4 (95.1–96.5)| 0.67    |
| Paco2, mm Hg                  | 36.1 (0.93)| 36.6 (1.60) | 0.78    |
| ETCO2, mm Hg                  | 39.3 (83.3–42.0)| 39.5 (83.3–40.7)| 0.83    |
| 4 h                           |            |             |         |
| Heart rate, bpm               | 211.2 (15.9)| 193.2 (11.0)| 0.37    |
| Systolic aortic BP, mm Hg     | 78.2 (3.08)| 80.0 (4.36) | 0.75    |
| Diastolic aortic BP, mm Hg    | 54.4 (2.24)| 54.5 (4.38) | 0.98    |
| Mean BP, mm Hg                | 62.3 (2.23)| 63.0 (4.29) | 0.89    |
| Pao2, mm Hg                   | 96.3 (4.0)| 106.9 (5.03) | 0.12    |
| PaO2, %                       | 95.1 (84.4–96.4)| 95.6 (94.8–96.7)| 0.60    |
| PacO2, mm Hg                  | 37.3 (1.44)| 37.0 (1.35) | 0.92    |
| ETCO2, mm Hg                  | 40.6 (1.32)| 40.1 (1.14) | 0.75    |

BP indicates blood pressure; bpm, beats per minute; CA21, cardiac arrest (CA) with cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) in 0.21 fraction of inspired oxygen (FiO2); CA100, CA with CPR in 1.0 fraction of FiO2; ETCO2, end-tidal carbon dioxide; ROSC, return of spontaneous circulation; and SpO2, peripheral capillary oxygen saturation. *Groups were compared at indicated time points post-ROSC, and values were analyzed over the 10 minutes preceding each time point, except preceding the 10-minute post-ROSC time point when values were analyzed over 2 minutes. Student t test is used for normally distributed data. Wilcoxon rank-sum test is used for nonnormally distributed data. Values are mean (SEM) or median (interquartile range). Groups compared with sham in cortex (sham versus CA21, P<0.01; sham versus CA100, P<0.05) as well as in hippocampus (sham versus CA21, P<0.01; sham versus CA100, P<0.05). CI-driven respiration (oxidative phosphorylation capacity of CI) was also lower in both CA groups compared with sham in cortex (sham versus CA21, P<0.01; sham versus CA100, P<0.05) as well as in hippocampus (sham versus CA21, P<0.01; sham versus CA100, P<0.05). State 4° respiration without ATP production did not differ between CA groups or compared with sham for either region. Finally, the respiratory control ratio for maximal oxidative phosphorylation (maximal, coupled oxidative phosphorylation/ state 4° respiration without ATP production), which evaluates phosphorylation coupling efficiency and is a measure of global mitochondrial function, was significantly lower in CA groups compared with sham in cortex (sham versus CA21, P<0.001; sham versus CA100, P<0.01) as well as in hippocampus (sham versus CA21, P<0.01; sham versus CA100, P<0.05), but was not different between CA groups. Glucose, pyruvate, lactate, and glycerol were measured from extracellular fluid via a cerebral microdialysis catheter at predefined intervals throughout the experimental period. There were no differences in glucose, pyruvate, lactate, and glycerol.
in metabolites between CA21 and CA100 animals at any time points (Figure 3 and Table 6). During the first 30 minutes post-ROSC, lactate/pyruvate ratio (LPR) was increased in CA animals compared with sham (CA21, \( P = 0.2 \); CA100, \( P < 0.05 \)). Glycerol, a marker of neuronal injury, was also higher in CA animals compared with sham at 30 minutes post-ROSC (CA21, \( P < 0.05 \); CA100, \( P = 0.29 \)) in the sample drawn 30 minutes post-ROSC.

**Cerebral mtROS and Cerebral Oxidative Injury**

MtROS production was significantly increased in CA100 animals compared with CA21 animals in cortical tissue (0.283 [IQR, 0.276–0.290] pmol H$_2$O$_2$/[s/mg] versus 0.224 [IQR, 0.205–0.239] pmol H$_2$O$_2$/[s/mg]; \( P < 0.05 \)). Hippocampal mtROS was also increased (0.197 [IQR, 0.152–0.236] pmol H$_2$O$_2$/[s/mg] in CA100 versus 0.176 [IQR, 0.158–0.186] pmol H$_2$O$_2$/[s/mg] in CA21; \( P = 0.08 \)), but did not reach significance. MtROS was higher in CA100 animals compared with sham in both regions (cortex, \( P < 0.001 \); hippocampus, \( P < 0.05 \)). MtROS was not significantly different between CA21 animals and sham (cortex, \( P = 0.20 \); hippocampus, \( P = 0.13 \)).

CA100 animals demonstrated significantly increased protein carboxylation (0.84 [IQR, 0.72–0.89] nmol/mg protein) compared with CA21 animals (0.52 [IQR, 0.50–0.56] nmol/mg protein; \( P < 0.05 \)) and compared with sham (0.35 [IQR, 0.31–0.38] nmol/mg protein;
CA100 animals demonstrated a significant increase in 4-hydroxynoneal (1.30 [IQR, 1.12–1.55] absorbance at 450 nm) compared with CA21 animals (0.74 [IQR, 0.64–0.99] absorbance at 450 nm; \(P<0.001\)) and compared with sham (0.39 [IQR, 0.30–0.43] absorbance at 450 nm; \(P<0.001\)). There were no significant differences in protein carbonyls or 4-hydroxynoneal between CA21 and sham (Figure 4).

Figure 2. Brain tissue oxygen tension (PbtO\(_2\)) during the experimental period. PbtO\(_2\), expressed as percentage baseline, is described between cardiac arrest (CA) with cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) in 0.21 fraction of inspired oxygen (FiO\(_2\)) (CA21) (blue) and CA with CPR in 1.0 fraction of FiO\(_2\) (CA100) (red) treatment groups during baseline, asphyxia, CPR, and through 25 minutes after return of spontaneous circulation (ROSC). Error bars represent SEM. Comparison between groups performed with generalized estimating equations regression model.

Table 5. Mitochondrial Respiration at 4 Hours Following CA

| Respiratory Parameters (Normalized to CS) | CA21 (n=8) | CA100 (n=8) | Sham (n=5) |
|------------------------------------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|
| **Cortex**                              |           |             |           |
| OXPHOS\(_{CI}\)                          | 2.29 (1.9–2.73)* | 2.42 (2.24–2.80)* | 4.05 (3.3–4.67) |
| OXPHOS\(_{CI+II}\)                       | 4.48 (4.26–4.82)* | 4.61 (4.31–5.08)* | 5.87 (5.62–6.3) |
| LEAK\(_{CI+II}\)                         | 0.76 (0.65–0.93) | 0.67 (0.29–0.74) | 0.77 (0.63–1.02) |
| ETC\(_{II}\)                             | 4.40 (4.38–4.44)* | 4.49 (4.37–4.51)* | 5.62 (5.47–5.79) |
| ETC\(_{III}\)                            | 3.17 (2.79–3.37)* | 3.33 (3.21–3.55)* | 3.89 (3.68–3.97) |
| CIV                                      | 8.05 (7.65–8.87)* | 8.24 (7.89–8.44)* | 9.35 (8.78–9.99) |
| RCR\(_{OXPHOS\(_{II}\)+CII}\)            | 5.29 (4.64–5.83)* | 5.82 (5.08–8.15)* | 9.42 (8.16–9.95) |
| **Hippocampus**                          |           |             |           |
| OXPHOS\(_{II}\)                          | 2.67 (2.13–3.57)* | 3.15 (2.62–3.77)* | 3.73 (3.06–3.99) |
| OXPHOS\(_{II+III}\)                      | 5.6 (5.15–6.33)* | 5.9 (5.57–6.22)* | 8.49 (8.43–9.3) |
| LEAK\(_{II+III}\)                        | 0.83 (0.69–0.94) | 0.86 (0.60–0.92) | 0.77 (0.75–1.0) |
| ETC\(_{II}\)                             | 5.51 (5.45–5.57)* | 5.79 (5.74–5.87)* | 8.22 (8.12–8.37) |
| ETC\(_{III}\)                            | 3.13 (3.02–3.28)* | 3.33 (3.12–3.38)* | 3.65 (3.41–3.69) |
| CIV                                      | 8.0 (7.2–8.4)* | 9.12 (8.4–8.7)* | 10.8 (10.1–11.3) |
| RCR\(_{OXPHOS\(_{II}\)+CII}\)            | 6.21 (5.56–6.59)* | 6.74 (5.93–7.45)* | 7.97 (7.75–8.06) |

CA indicates cardiac arrest; CA21, CA with cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) in 0.21 fraction of inspired oxygen (FiO\(_2\)); CA100, CA with CPR in 1.0 fraction of FiO\(_2\); CIV, complex IV; CS, citrate synthase; ETC, electron transport chain; LEAK\(_{II+III}\), state 4° respiration without ATP production; OXPHOS\(_{II}\), oxidative phosphorylation capacity of complex II; OXPHOS\(_{II+III}\), maximal coupled, phosphorylating respiration stimulated by both complex I and II substrates; and RCR\(_{OXPHOS\(_{II}\)+CII}\), respiratory control ratio for maximal, oxidative phosphorylation.

*\(P<0.05\) compared with sham. There were no differences between CA21 and CA100 treatment groups. Respiration is expressed per mg of tissue (pmol O\(_2\)/s/mg) normalized per CS activity, a marker of mitochondrial content. Wilcoxon rank-sum test was used for nonnormally distributed data. Values are median (interquartile range).
Mitochondrial RNA Expression

Thirteen mitochondrial-encoded genes were examined. There were no differences in gene expression between CA21 and sham animals. Compared with CA21 and sham, CA100 animals exhibited significantly reduced expression of >90% of the mitochondrial genes involved in oxidative phosphorylation, with CI predominately affected (Figure 5).

DISCUSSION

In this randomized, blinded, preclinical trial comparing oxygenation strategies during pediatric CPR, animals treated with 1.0 FiO2 had relative cerebral hypoxia during and immediately following CPR, higher mtROS production, and downstream oxidative injury in the brain 4 hours following ROSC. Notably, animals treated with 0.21 FiO2 during CPR were equally likely to survive and had similar intra-arrest systemic and cerebral hemodynamics compared with animals treated with 1.0 FiO2. Both groups had significantly decreased cerebral mitochondrial bioenergetics 4 hours after ROSC compared with sham, but there were no detectable differences between 21 and 1.0 FiO2. Cumulatively, these findings suggest that minimizing exposure to potentially injurious high concentrations of FiO2 or adding a therapeutic intervention to mitigate hyperoxic cerebral injury during CPR may improve outcomes from pediatric CA. Perhaps, attempting to mitigate oxygen toxicity after ROSC may be too late.

Animal models of adult VF CA have compared lower FiO2 fractions against 1.0, reporting equivalent survival rates with room air.12,36,37 These findings may not be generalizable to a pediatric CA scenario, in which respiratory deterioration is typically the inciting event.38 This study of pediatric asphyxia-associated CA adds relevance for the pediatric population by mimicking severe systemic hypoxemia and inadequate tissue perfusion immediately before CPR and by showing equal survival with 0.21 FiO2. Although systemic oxyg enation was not significantly different between groups during CPR, there was a trend toward higher PaO2 in the CA100 group (P=0.10). This may have reached significance with a larger sample size. Although the precise oxygen fraction required to achieve ROSC is unknown,39 animal and human data have established that CoPP and aortic diastolic pressure (the main determinant of CoPP) are the critical drivers for myocardial blood flow, ROSC, and long-term survival.40,41 As described above, our study provided protocolized, hemodynamically targeted CPR. We found that CoPP and diastolic BP, not systemic oxygenation (ie, PaO2, Mixed Venous Oxygen Saturation (SvO2), acid-base balance), were the only parameters that were significantly associated with survival. In the setting of high-quality CPR, it is reasonable to conclude that 0.21 FiO2 may be safe and effective for some patients and may limit neurologic injury.

Given that most children with CA initially survive but many of them have neurologic morbidity or do not survive to hospital discharge, often because of neurologic injury,4 we sought to understand the impact of oxygen resuscitation strategies on brain tissue oxygenation during CPR, cerebral mitochondrial function, and metabolic markers of cerebral damage. Compared with sham animals, animals in both CA groups had significantly lower CI and CII–driven oxidative phosphorylation and respiratory control ratio. This is consistent with previous reports of mitochondrial bioenergetics failure in preclinical models of CA.13,24 Animals resuscitated with 1.0 FiO2 had cerebral hyperoxia during and following CPR compared with the 0.21 FiO2 group,
demonstrating a relationship between oxygen resuscitation strategy and brain tissue oxygenation. However, the 2 CA groups did not differ in either cerebral cortex or hippocampus mitochondrial respiration, or in cerebral metabolism measured via real-time cerebral microdialysis markers. These findings demonstrate that reducing oxygen supply does not necessarily impair mitochondrial bioenergetics or precipitate a metabolic crisis.

The correlation between mitochondrial dysfunction and microdialysis markers of metabolic crisis has been previously reported in a preclinical model of traumatic brain injury and in cardiac surgical models of deep hypothermic circulatory arrest, but to our knowledge, this is the first in CA. In this study, LPR and glycerol were increased following CA. These biochemical markers have been associated with mortality and poor neurologic outcome in adult traumatic brain injury. LPR reflects the extent of anaerobic glycolysis, which may occur because of disturbed oxygen delivery (ischemia) or use (mitochondrial dysfunction). Our data suggest that the primary driver of increased LPR following CA may be mitochondrial bioenergetics failure and not ischemia, as LPR was inversely proportional to mitochondrial function, but unaffected by cerebral oxygen tension following reperfusion. Other explanations for increased lactate production could be increased cell metabolism, glycolysis-stimulating effects of circulating epinephrine following ROSC, or inactivation of the pyruvate dehydrogenase complex by oxidative injury during reperfusion. Invoking this latter mechanism, hyperoxic resuscitation has been shown to exacerbate loss of pyruvate dehydrogenase complex activity and increase brain tissue lactate levels in hippocampus. In contrast, we did not observe differences in LPR between CA groups. This may be attributed to differences in technique (microdialysis versus nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy), location (cortex versus hippocampus), or duration of reperfusion hyperoxia exposure (10 minutes versus 1 hour post-ROSC). More important, impairments in mitochondrial bioenergetics observed in this study are not fully explained by altered metabolism, because our protocol provided mitochondria with substrates at saturating concentrations, including pyruvate dehydrogenase complex–independent substrates malate, glutamate, and succinate. Last, our data show that increasing systemic oxygenation and cerebral extracellular oxygen tension (ie, PaO₂ and PbtO₂) above normal levels does not improve mitochondrial function or cerebral metabolism, at least early after CA. Thus, there does not appear to be a metabolic benefit with the administration of 1.0 compared with 0.21 FiO₂ during CA measured at these time points.

During ischemia, the respiratory chain and electron carrier pools become maximally reduced, and mitochondria become progressively compromised because of ATP depletion, loss of ion homeostasis, calcium overload, and pH changes. On reperfusion with oxygenated blood, the inappropriately reduced and damaged mitochondrial components will spill electrons onto oxygen to form ROS. Mitochondria are both the primary producers of ROS during reperfusion and the primary target of ROS–induced damage. Therefore, therapeutic strategies that minimize mtROS production may ultimately preserve mitochondrial integrity and neurological function following CA. Animals treated with 1.0 FiO₂ had higher levels of mtROS compared with those treated with 0.21 FiO₂ and the sham group. Notably, mtROS did not significantly differ between those treated with 0.21 FiO₂ and the non-CA sham group, which did not undergo CA. Exposure to excessive oxygen has been shown in vitro to accelerate mtROS production from the electron transport chain and in vivo to cause mitochondrial dysfunction and upregulate mtROS expression. These findings indicate that a hyperoxic environment may cause exacerbation of ROS-related damage and mitochondrial dysfunction, which may hinder the restoration of energy metabolism and cerebral function after ROSC.

Table 6. Cerebral Microdialysis

| Parameter          | CA21                     | CA100                    | Sham                    |
|--------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Lactate/pyruvate ratio | 8.99 (1.23) (n=9)        | 11.1 (3.81) (n=9)        | 9.43 (1.52) (n=3)        |
| CPR                | 19.3 (2.59) (n=8)        | 19.1 (3.35) (n=9)        | 10.8 (2.83) (n=4)        |
| Post-ROSC 30 min   | 21.0 (1.60) (n=7)        | 24.5 (3.59) (n=8)        | 11.3 (3.93) (n=4)        |
| Post-ROSC 1 h      | 12.9 (2.88) (n=6)        | 14.0 (3.21) (n=8)        | 11.3 (2.94) (n=5)        |
| Post-ROSC 2 h      | 11.8 (2.56) (n=5)        | 7.59 (2.15) (n=6)        | 8.12 (0.92) (n=4)        |
| Post-ROSC 3 h      | 11.0 (1.28) (n=4)        | 10.4 (3.15) (n=5)        | 8.23 (3.18) (n=3)        |
| Post-ROSC 4 h      | 13.3 (2.83) (n=3)        | 12.8 (5.38) (n=2)        | 6.22 (2.55) (n=3)        |
| Glycerol           |                         |                         |                          |
| Baseline           | 19.2 (2.63) (n=7)        | 20.2 (2.55) (n=10)       | 24.9 (4.90) (n=5)        |
| CPR                | 24.8 (3.76) (n=8)        | 24.6 (2.64) (n=9)        | 33.6 (11.8) (n=5)        |
| Post-ROSC 30 min   | 80.6 (14.3) (n=6)        | 60.1 (6.61) (n=7)        | 29.5 (15.9) (n=5)        |
| Post-ROSC 1 h      | 94.0 (13.6) (n=7)        | 70.6 (14.1) (n=7)        | 38.0 (17.7) (n=5)        |
| Post-ROSC 2 h      | 57.1 (9.64) (n=7)        | 58.8 (12.8) (n=8)        | 33.9 (14.8) (n=5)        |
| Post-ROSC 3 h      | 43.3 (10.3) (n=7)        | 44.2 (10.5) (n=8)        | 36.3 (11.5) (n=5)        |
| Post-ROSC 4 h      | 39.9 (14.2) (n=7)        | 32.2 (7.83) (n=7)        | 42.6 (14.7) (n=4)        |

There were no differences in lactate/pyruvate ratio or glycerol between CA21 and CA100 at any time points. Comparisons between groups performed using ANOVA with Bonferroni correction. Values are mean (SEM). CA21 indicates cardiac arrest (CA) with CPR in 0.21 fraction of inspired oxygen (FiO₂); CA100, CA with CPR in 1.0 fraction of FiO₂; CPR, cardiopulmonary resuscitation; and ROSC, return of spontaneous circulation.

*P<0.05 compared with sham.
This may occur because oxygen is a substrate for superoxide (\(O_2^-\)) production, and mitochondrial oxygen concentration is determined by extracellular tissue oxygen tension. These data establish that excessive oxygen during resuscitation can lead to harmful mtROS production, and that this may

Figure 4. Mitochondrial-derived reactive oxygen species (mtROS) and oxidative brain injury across groups.

MtROS (hydrogen peroxide \([H_2O_2]\) production) during maximal oxidative phosphorylation was significantly increased in cardiac arrest (CA) with cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) in 1.0 fraction of inspired oxygen \((FiO_2)\) (CA100) compared with CA with CPR in 0.21 fraction of \(FiO_2\) (CA21) \((P=0.03)\) and sham \((P<0.001)\) in cerebral cortex (A) and significantly increased in CA100 compared with sham \((P=0.02)\) in hippocampus (B). MtROS was not significantly different in CA21 compared with sham in either region.

C. Protein carboxylation (4-hydroxynoneal \([4-HNE]\) measured in cortex. CA100 animals demonstrated a significant increase in protein carboxylation compared with animals treated with CA21 \((P<0.05)\) and sham \((P<0.001)\).

D. Lipid peroxidation measured by 4-HNE absorbance. CA100 animals demonstrated a significant increase in 4-HNE compared with animals treated with CA21 \((P<0.05)\) and sham \((P<0.001)\).
be prevented by avoiding cerebral hyperoxia during and immediately after resuscitation.

Animals treated with 1.0 FiO₂ had worse oxidative injury, evidenced by increased protein carbonylation and lipid peroxidation in this group. A striking finding was that in CA100 animals, nearly all mitochondrial-encoded genes were downregulated, potentially serving to dampen oxidative stress produced by damaged mitochondria in a high oxygen environment. These findings augment existing data¹²,¹⁵,¹⁶,³⁷ by correlating mtROS measurements and downstream pathologic effects, revealing oxidative injury even over the short time frame of these experiments.⁵³ Although this may initially reduce oxidative stress, persistently decreased CI activity may ultimately limit cerebral recovery and promote neurodegeneration, thus contributing to long-term cognitive dysfunction post-CA.¹³,¹⁶,⁵⁴–⁵⁷ In this study, 1.0 FiO₂ was continued post-ROSC for a relatively brief period (ie, 10 minutes). It is plausible that children who are exposed to 1.0 FiO₂ for longer periods post-ROSC may have exaggerated mtROS production and oxidative brain injury, because most mtROS production occurs during reperfusion.¹⁴,¹⁶ Further investigation into the precise time course of mtROS production and oxidative injury under varying oxygen exposure is needed. Given the known benefits of titrating resuscitation efforts to patient-specific pathophysiological features in real-time, future work should focus on titrating intra-arrest oxygen therapy to actual markers of cerebral bioenergetics and metabolism.

This study has limitations. First, although we observed no differences in survival between CA groups, our study was not powered a priori to detect survival differences. Second, our subjects were a relatively homogeneous group of healthy female swine with normal lungs. Children with significant lung disease who experience CA may require higher FiO₂ because of ventilation-perfusion mismatch, diffusion restriction, and possibly pulmonary vasoreactivity. However, respiratory arrest leading to CA can occur without significant lung pathological features, as in mucous plugging, endotracheal tube dislodgement, and other airway obstructions. Future experiments will focus on understanding which subsets of patients could achieve ROSC with lower FiO₂. Third, time course may play an important role in the interplay between mtROS, oxidative stress, and mitochondrial bioenergetic changes following CA. Last, mitochondrial

Figure 5. Mitochondrial RNA expression is downregulated in cardiac arrest (CA) with cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) in 1.0 fraction of inspired oxygen (FiO₂) (CA100). This volcano plot is a type of scatterplot used to quickly visualize significant genes in RNA-sequencing results. It displays statistical significance against magnitude of change (ie, fold change [FC]). The gray line indicates threshold of significance (adjusted P<0.05, using Benjamini-Hochberg correction for multiple comparisons). The negative log of the P values is used for the y axis so that the smallest P values (most significant) are at the top of the plot. Therefore, the clustering in the upper left corner of the graph displays significant downregulation of multiple mitochondrial respiratory chain genes in CA100 animals compared with CA with CPR in 0.21 fraction of FiO₂ (CA21) and sham.
respiration, microdialysis markers of cerebral metabolism, and mtROS are markers of brain damage but only surrogates for brain function. Nevertheless, these metrics have been correlated with functional neurologic outcome post-CA,13 are important for mechanistic understanding of hyperoxia-induced brain injury, and represent potential targets for intervention. In this model, we prioritized invasive neuromonitoring and measurement of fresh brain tissue mitochondrial bioenergetics and mtROS, which required a craniotomy. Thus, for these initial proof-of-concept studies, we focused on short-term time course to investigate mechanisms. With our findings, the next critical steps will be to assess longer-term behavior, neuroimaging, and biomarkers to simulate a clinical trial.

In conclusion, immature swine treated with 1.0 FiO2 during CPR had increased cerebral mtROS and oxidative injury in the brain compared with animals treated with 0.21 FiO2. The 0.21 FiO2 group was equally likely to survive and had similar intra-arrest hemodynamics, mitochondrial bioenergetics, and cerebral metabolism compared with animals treated with 1.0 FiO2.
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