The Effects of Massage Therapy on a Patient with Migraines and Cervical Spondylosis: a Case Report
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Background: Migraines involve moderate-to-severe neck and face pain that lasts four to 72 hours, and are followed by fatigue and stiffness. Migraines are treated using medications, massage therapy (MT), and non-pharmacological alternatives. Cervical spondylosis (CS) is characterized by degeneration of the intervertebral discs, neck pain, and involvement of soft tissues in the cervical area. CS is treated using medications and manual therapy, including MT.

Objective: To determine the effects of MT on cervical range of motion and daily function in a patient with migraines and CS.

Case Presentation: The patient was an active 56-year-old female diagnosed with migraines and CS. Initial evaluation included cervical range of motion (ROM), goniometry, reflexes, myotomes, dermatomes, local sensation testing and orthopedic tests. Assessment was followed by five MT treatments. Swedish massage, myofascial trigger point release, and proprioceptive neuromuscular facilitation (PNF) stretching were applied to the back, neck, head, and face. The Headache Disability Index (HDI) was administered on the initial and final visits to evaluate patient function. Cervical ROM was measured pre- and posttreatment using a universal goniometer. Treatment was conducted by a second-year MT student at the MacEwan Massage Therapy Teaching Clinic in Edmonton, Alberta.

Results: All cervical ranges of motion improved. The Headache Disability Index score decreased, but was not considered significant. The patient reported decreased stiffness in the upper back and shoulders, reduced migraines, and better sleeping patterns after the MT intervention.

Conclusion: MT was effective in increasing cervical ROM, but had no significant effect on daily function. Further research is warranted on effects of MT on CS and migraines.
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INTRODUCTION

Migraines involve moderate-to-severe head and neck pain. They affect an estimated 11% of the population worldwide, with 1% of that population experiencing chronic migraines.[1,2] Symptoms of migraines include attacks lasting four to 72 hours, with a unilateral location, pulsating quality, and pain which causes avoidance of regular physical activity.[3] Migraines can be preceded by an aura, which consists of visual or auditory disturbances, nausea, and vomiting.[3] Around 60% of patients experience symptoms such as depression, cognitive changes, fatigue, neck pain, and stiffness immediately after migraines.[3]

Migraines are treated using medications, lifestyle changes, trigger management, and complementary alternative medicine.[4,5] Massage therapy (MT), yoga, acupuncture, cognitive therapy, nerve stimulation, and dietary supplements are non-pharmaceutical treatments for migraine.[5,6] MT is effective in reducing the frequency, intensity, and duration of migraine headaches.[7-11] Manual therapies, including reflexology and MT techniques of trigger point therapy and manual lymphatic drainage, are used for the relief of migraine attacks.[7-11] Spinal manipulation techniques have been shown to reduce migraine-associated pain, but are out of the MT scope of practice.[9]

Cervical spondylosis (CS) is characterized by age-related spinal degenerative changes of the spinal intervertebral discs,
neck pain, and involvement of cervical soft tissue. Symptoms of CS include: “cervical pain aggravated by movement; referred pain; retro-orbital or temporal pain; cervical stiffness; vague tingling, numbness, or weakness in the upper limbs; dizziness or vertigo; poor balance; [and] rarely can trigger migraine”. CS can present with osteophyte formation, and sometimes results in cervical radiculopathy. CS affects 85% of people over the age of 60.

CS is treated using physiotherapy, chiropractic manipulations, and medications including NSAIDS, opioid analgesics, muscle relaxants, antidepressants, anticonvulsants, and corticosteroids. Alternative treatments include Chinese Herbal Medicine, acupuncture, MT, and surgery. Myofascial release, trigger point release, Swedish deep tissue techniques, and cervical traction have been shown to be effective in temporarily relieving pain and dysfunction in CS patients. There is limited research on the effects of massage for individuals with both migraines and CS. The objective of this paper is to determine the effects of MT on cervical range of motion (ROM) and daily function in a patient with migraines and CS.

METHODS

Participant

The patient was a 56-year-old retired female with migraines and CS. She was diagnosed with migraines by her family physician 20-30 years prior to treatment. Two years pre-treatment, her physician diagnosed multi-level CS and degenerative changes involving multiple facet and uncovertebral joints bilaterally. X-rays showed narrowing of the C5-C6 and C6-C7 disc interspaces, mild narrowing of the C4-C5 disc interspace, and multi-level CS.

At initial assessment, the patient’s main complaint was severe migraines, occurring six times per month. She rated her migraines on a pain scale from zero to ten, as seven or eight usually, and ten at worst (zero being no pain and ten being the worst pain imaginable). The most severe episodes required hospitalization. She described migraines as sharp and sore, and always located in her right eye. Major shifts in barometric pressure triggered her migraines. She was unaware of other triggers and experienced migraines throughout the year. She reported light, sound, and heat aggravated her symptoms, while ice, Axert® and darkness relieved them. Migraines were sometimes preceded by an aura of sparkly blue lights two hours prior, and occurred most often when the patient was going to sleep. Eighty per cent of her migraines occurred in the evening. She would wake in the middle of the night with sharp, stabbing pain in her right eye. She took 12.5 mg of Axert® as the aura occurred or if she felt a migraine starting, to prevent extreme symptoms. Symptoms normally remained for two days, followed by fatigue, and light and sound sensitivity. The patient reported difficulty reading and performing daily activities depending on the severity of the migraine. The patient had no family history of migraines.

The patient complained of neck and upper back stiffness with occasional pain. Her neck and upper back pain was usually one or two, zero at least and seven at worst. Pain was aggravated by sitting, reading, and sudden neck movements, and relieved by heat, massage, Tylenol®, and lying supine. Neck pain remained constant throughout the day, and she experienced cervical muscle fatigue in the evening. She reported limited ability to check blind spots over her shoulder while driving, occasional tension headaches with a skull-crushing feeling travelling up the posterior neck, and tightness under the skull. The patient perceived the tension headaches and neck pain to be linked, with one often causing the other.

Her past history included right medial epicondylitis in 2014, which resolved with physiotherapy and acupuncture. She experienced carpal tunnel bilaterally, which was resolved surgically in 2013. She practiced yoga three to four times per week, and enjoyed walking and reading. At the time of treatment, she was awaiting a cortisone injection to address tenosynovitis of her right thumb.

The patient’s treatment goals were to reduce migraines, improve cervical ROM, and relieve stiffness in her neck and upper back. She specified that no treatment was to be applied to her thumb; migraines were her main concern.

Assessment

A cervical scan was performed to determine the origin of the patient’s pathology
and rule out other conditions.\textsuperscript{(15,16)} The scan included active cervical ROM with overpressure, myotomes, biceps, triceps, and brachioradialis reflexes, C1-T2 dermatomes, and active movements of all upper extremity peripheral joints. Goniometry measurements revealed limitations of active cervical side flexion and rotation bilaterally. Passive cervical ROM in supine was performed; all ranges were full, with normal end feels. All isometric cervical movements were strong. Local temperature sensation of the neck was normal. Foraminal compression and distraction tests were used to rule out nerve root impingement. Hautant's and Kemp's tests were used to rule out vascular and articular problems, respectively; all tests were negative. The patient’s right shoulder was slightly elevated compared to the left in sitting. Hypertonicity of the upper trapezius (right worse than left), levator scapulae, splenius capitus, splenius cervicis, infraspinatus, and upper erector spinae muscles was noted on palpation.

\textbf{Assessment Measures}

The therapist used a universal goniometer to measure active cervical ROM in sitting at the initial and final assessments, and before and after each treatment.\textsuperscript{(17)} A goniometer has been found to be reliable and valid for measuring active cervical ROM.\textsuperscript{(17-19)} The Henry Ford Hospital headache disability inventory (HDI) is a questionnaire used to determine disability in terms of function and emotion caused by headaches.\textsuperscript{(20)} It consists of 25 statements—answered with yes, sometimes, or no—to determine how the statement applies to the patient. Answers of yes are given four, sometimes are given two, and no are given zero points. Points are totalled out of 100; higher scores indicate greater disability.\textsuperscript{(20)} A 29-point change is required between initial and final assessment to be considered clinically significant. The HDI was applied at the beginning of the first and sixth visits, and found to be reliable and valid.\textsuperscript{(20,21)}

The therapist was a student in her fifth semester of a six-semester, 2200-hour MT diploma program at MacEwan University. The treatment took place in the Robbins Health Learning Centre in Edmonton, Alberta, in the Massage Therapy Teaching Clinic. It had a receptionist, waiting area, 15 hydraulic adjustable high-low MT tables, linens, pillows, oils, assessment tools, and various hydrotherapy modalities.

The patient did not present with osteophytes, which sometimes occur with CS, so there were no contraindications to passive stretching and cervical traction.\textsuperscript{(12,22)} Treatment of acute migraine headaches is contraindicated if there are severe symptoms.\textsuperscript{(23)} The use of heat hydrotherapy is contraindicated during an acute migraine attack.\textsuperscript{(23)} Medical attention is required for patients experiencing sudden onset of headache with no cause, headache with fever and neck rigidity, headache after head trauma, or other symptoms of severe pathology.\textsuperscript{(23)}

\textbf{Intervention}

Treatment consisted of six weekly sessions; the first session was for assessment and the remainder for treatment. Each treatment consisted of 5 min of reassessment followed by 55 min of massage. The same treatment plan was followed for all sessions. Subjective input from the patient was obtained regarding the number of migraines, severity of symptoms, and the presence of stiffness before and after treatments.

Treatment began with the patient in prone for 35 min. Longitudinal palmar gliding strokes and palmar, fingertip, and thumb kneading were applied for 10 min across the entire back to prepare the tissues for deeper work, and reduce hyper tonicity and pain.\textsuperscript{(24,25)} Muscle stripping, myofascial skin rolling, and ischemic compression trigger point (TrP) release were utilized to reduce pain, tissue restrictions, and hypertonicity of the upper back and neck muscles.\textsuperscript{(26-28)} There was a focus on upper erector spinae muscles, levator scapulae, upper and middle trapezius, splenius capitus, and splenius cervicis. Myofascial TrP release has been found to reduce headache symptoms and neck pain, and improve cervical ROM.\textsuperscript{(29-32)} Therefore, TrPs in the upper trapezius and levator scapulae were treated for 24 min. Longitudinal palmar gliding strokes were applied for 1 min to the entire back to flush out fluid and metabolites mobilized during the previous techniques, and reduce posttreatment soreness.\textsuperscript{(25,33)}

For the remaining 20 min, the patient was treated in supine. Massage techniques were applied to the upper trapezius, splenius capitus, splenius cervicis, sternocleidomastoid, suboccipital group,
and temporalis muscles. Longitudinal palmar gliding strokes were applied for the initial 5 min with the goal of decreasing stiffness, pain, and hypertonicity.\textsuperscript{24,25} Finger tip and knuckle kneading were applied to the posterior neck with the same goals as the initial strokes. Myofascial release was applied to the scalp and forehead to reduce restrictions and pain, and to relieve headache symptoms.\textsuperscript{29-33} Tension in the suboccipital group was released using fingertip kneading. Hypertonicity in this region can contribute to headaches.\textsuperscript{26,27} Manual neck traction was held for 30 sec to reduce pain and increase cervical ROM.\textsuperscript{21,22,34,35}

PNF stretching was applied to the upper trapezii, using the hold–relax technique. The patient was asked to elevate her shoulder for 6 sec against therapist resistance, then relax as the therapist passively laterally flexed the neck on exhalation. Three cycles of 6-second contractions with 6 sec in between contractions were used to increase ROM and flexibility.\textsuperscript{36} PNF promotes reflexive relaxation of the target muscle as the antagonist contracts, due to reciprocal inhibition.\textsuperscript{36} This allows the therapist to reach a deeper stretch and produces more significant increases in ROM than passive stretching. Longitudinal flushing strokes were used for the final minute of treatment, with the same goals as when the patient was in prone.\textsuperscript{25,33}

For home care, the patient was advised to drink an extra glass of water after the massage, and perform a stretch to target the upper trapezii and scalene muscles for 30 sec on each side, one to two times per day. The patient was instructed to sit and use one hand on her head to laterally flex the neck away from her other hand which was placed underneath the buttock.

**Ethical Review and Consent**

Written and verbal informed consent were obtained on initial assessment, and verbal consent was obtained prior to each treatment. Informed consent included discussion of risks and benefits of treatment, and the right to refuse treatment or disclose information, ask questions, request changes, or revoke consent. The therapist discussed findings and treatment options before obtaining consent. The aims of treatment were aligned with the patient’s goals to increase cervical ROM, decrease upper back and neck stiffness, and decrease migraines. Written consent to participate in the case report was also obtained.

**RESULTS**

The patient’s active cervical ROM increased in all movements from initial to final visits (Table 1). The HDI results

---

**Table 1.** Cervical Goniometry of Active ROM Following Treatment\textsuperscript{a}

| Movement        | Week 1 | Week 4 | Week 6 |
|-----------------|--------|--------|--------|
| Right side flexion | 40     | 50     | 60     |
| Left side flexion | 30     | 40     | 50     |
| Right rotation   | 30     | 40     | 50     |
| Left rotation    | 20     | 30     | 40     |
| Flexion          | 10     | 20     | 30     |
| Extension        | 0      | 10     | 20     |

\textsuperscript{a}Measured using a universal goniometer; posttreatment values are listed.
decreased by 18 points, while a decrease of 29 points is considered clinically significant (Table 2).

The patient indicated the frequency of migraines decreased by 30%–40% throughout treatment, and no longer affected her reading ability. She reported decreased stiffness in her upper back and neck, and reduced soreness in her shoulders. Hypertonicity and myofascial TrPs were decreased on final assessment. The patient did her prescribed stretch two to three times per day. After each treatment, she reported less tossing and turning at night, and also feeling rested in the morning. She reported increased cervical ROM and improvement in her ability to shoulder check. She experienced no tension headaches over the course of the sessions, and was pleased with her results.

DISCUSSION

Treatment of TrPs, myofascial restrictions, and hypertonic tissues effectively reduced stiffness and improved cervical ROM. Treatment was partially effective in reducing migraines. It has been hypothesized that the presence of myofascial TrPs and hypomobility of upper facet joints often found in CS are associated with migraines. In this instance, the patient demonstrated increased ROM and improved function following MT treatment, possibly due to PNF stretching. The results of this study support those of previous studies, when TrPs were released and cervical ROM improved, migraines decreased.

It is possible that the techniques applied in this study increased tissue extensibility by reducing fascial restrictions, improving tissue blood flow, and mechanical releasing of hypertonic muscles, which improved cervical ROM. This supports the findings of other studies, which saw improvements in ROM following massage.

Reduced subjective stiffness following MT supports the results of other studies. It is feasible MT reduced myofascial restrictions, hypertonicity and TrPs, thus decreasing stiffness. Based on the hypomobility found at initial assessment, the MT used in this case report focused primarily on the upper trapezius, levator scapulae, posterior cervicals, and suboccipitals. Additional MT to other muscles implicated in cervicogenic headaches, such as the sternocleidomastoid and temporalis muscles, may have produced different results.

The frequency of migraines decreased over the course of treatment, but not by a clinically significant amount according to the HDI. Results may have been negatively affected by fluctuating weather conditions prevalent in the region during the winter. This study was limited by a short duration and lack of follow-up. A follow-up two to three months after the study would help determine if results were sustained after treatment was discontinued. Chronic conditions can take longer to show improvements with manual therapy; the patient had migraines for decades before the study, which could have negatively affected results. Another limitation was the absence of a pain scale recording at final assessment. Results cannot be generalized from this case report to a larger population. A larger sample size would provide more data for interpretation.

Future studies should utilize a longer duration with more measurement tools to evaluate frequency and duration of headaches; a Visual Analog Scale (VAS) is frequently utilized to measure migraine intensity. The VAS has been used extensively and found to be reliable and valid. Further research should be directed at the effects of MT on CS so it can be utilized as a non-pharmacological alternative. The MT profession would benefit from larger scale research involving patients experiencing CS in combination with migraines. Research would allow for more comprehensive understanding of the effects of specific massage techniques, like myofascial release, TrP release, and PNF stretching, on these conditions.

| TABLE 2. Headache Disability Index Scorea |
|------------------------------------------|
| Questionnaire Score | 35 | 30 | 25 | 20 | 15 | 10 | 5 | 0 |
| Initial visit score | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ |
| Final visit score | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ |

aScored from 0–100, 0 being low emotional and functional effects, and 100 being high; a 29-point reduction is required to be clinically significant.
This study suggests that MT can have positive effects on improving ROM, decreasing subjective stiffness, and reducing reported migraine frequency in a patient with both CS and migraines. Further research is needed to support the potential benefits of massage in this population. MT should be considered as a treatment option for patients with CS and migraines.
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