Artificially cooling of onion bulbs stored in brickwork-patterned vertical silos
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ABSTRACT

Onion is the third most consumed vegetable worldwide, such as potatoes and tomatoes. Its conservation is influenced by temperature and humidity, and greatest losses occur at postharvest due to lack of storage infrastructure. Thus, the aim of this study was to evaluate the effect of partial cooling in vertical silo on postharvest conservation of cured onion bulbs cultivar Bola Precoce. The experimental design was completely randomized, with six replicates, arranged in split plot scheme. Plots consisted of two storage conditions: onion bulbs stored in silo 1 at room temperature (T1) and bulbs stored in silo 2 with artificial cooling (T2). Subplots were two periods of bulb removal from storage (0 and 14 days). We evaluated peel color, using chroma (C*) and internal and external luminosity (L*); relative water content (TRA) of peel and pulp of bulbs and fresh mass loss (PMF) when the experiment was installed and after 14 days for both experiments and at 21 days for T2. The average temperatures obtained in both silos were 30.4 and 16.4°C, respectively. Bulbs of T1 had 14 days shelf life whereas, bulbs of T2 had a shelf life of 21 days. Bulbs of T1 showed lighter peel on day zero. The 14-day storage made the bulbs lighter in T2. In relation to pulp color, storage period made pulp lighter in both treatments. On day 14, bulbs of T1 showed lighter pulp color comparing to bulbs of T2. Both treatments showed similar behavior on day zero for internal chroma. After 14 days storage, internal and external chroma was higher in bulbs of T2. Storage period reduced internal hue only in bulbs of T2 which showed lower internal hue in both evaluation periods. TRA was higher in T1 in both evaluation periods. PMF was higher according to storage period; however loss did not differ between treatments. Storage of onion bulbs in cooled silos increased their viability in 7 days, in relation to storage without refrigeration.
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RESUMO

Resfriamento artificial em bulbos de cebola armazenados em silos verticais de alvenaria

A cebola é a terceira hortaliça mais consumida no mundo, ao lado da batata e do tomate. Sua conservação é influenciada pela umidade e temperatura e, as maiores perdas pós-colheita ocorrem devido à falta de infraestrutura no armazenamento. O objetivo do presente trabalho foi avaliar o efeito do resfriamento parcial de silo vertical na conservação pós-colheita de bulbos curados de cebola, cultivar ‘Bola Precoce’. O delineamento experimental utilizado foi inteiramente casualizado, com seis repetições, em esquema de parcelas subdivididas. As parcelas foram constituídas de duas condições de armazenamento [bulbos de cebola armazenados em silo 1 com temperatura ambiente (T1) e bulbos armazenados em silo 2 resfriado (T2)] e as subparcelas foram consideradas dois períodos de retirada dos bulbos do armazenamento (0 e 14 dias). Foram avaliados a cor da casca, a partir do croma (C*) e luminosidade (L*); teor relativo de água (TRA) da casca e da polpa dos bulbos e perda de massa fresca (PMF) no momento de instalação do experimento e após 14 dias para ambos os experimentos e aos 21 dias para o T2. As médias de temperaturas obtidas em ambos os silos foram 30,4 e 16,4°C, respectivamente. A vida de prateleira dos bulbos do T1 foi 14 dias enquanto do T2 foi 21 dias. Os bulbos do T1 apresentam-se com casca mais clara no dia 0. O tempo de 14 dias de armazenamento tornou os bulbos mais claros no T2. Em relação à cor da polpa, o tempo de armazenamento tornou a polpa mais clara em ambos tratamentos. No dia 14 os bulbos do T1 apresentaram polpa mais clara que os do T2. Os tratamentos apresentaram comportamento semelhante no dia zero para croma interno. Após quatorze dias de armazenamento, o croma interno e externo foi maior nos bulbos do T2. O tempo de armazenamento reduziu o Hue interno apenas no T2 que apresentou menor Hue interno que o T1 em ambos os períodos de avaliação. O TRA foi maior no T1 que no T2 em ambos os períodos de avaliação. A PMF foi maior com tempo de armazenamento, no entanto, não diferiu entre tratamentos. O armazenamento de bulbos de cebola em silo resfriado aumentou em 7 dias a viabilidade dos mesmos, em relação ao silo sem refrigeração.
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Onions (Allium cepa) are grown due to its therapeutic value, its flavor and aroma which give them exceptional qualities such as condiments (Carvalho, 1980). Bulbs have great versatility of uses: fresh, cooked, chopped, dehydrated and in processed foods.

Post-harvest diseases, sprouting, rooting and mass loss through dehydration are primary causes of product deterioration (Matos, 1987). Onion storage involves both pre-harvest and post-harvest factors. Among these factors are storage potential of the cultivar, cultural practices used in post-harvest, appropriate harvest and cure, as well as temperature and relative humidity for storage (Proctor et al., 1981; Pretopoulous et al., 2017). Sekara et al. (2017) highlight that besides the degree of bulb maturity at harvest and storage conditions, genetic factor is determinant in shelf life and is related to bulb size and dry mass content.

Sprouting is one of the first symptoms of post-harvest deterioration of onions (Vidigal et al., 2010), bulb dormancy period comes to an end and reflects presence of diseases, making this product inappropriate for consumption (Brewster, 1994).

High-quality onions have to present firm bulbs, dry peel adhering to bulb, without damages or diseases (Moretti, 2004). Inappropriate pre and post-harvest practices cause high losses, reaching 27%, as reported by Moura Guerra et al. (2014) in Santarém-PA, and all the collected onions showed some kind of damage, being 55% microbiological damages, 28% mechanic damages and 17% physiological damages.

Some producers wait for a lower offer and better prices to commercialize onion bulbs (Vidigal et al., 2010). That is why knowing onion storage technology is essential, since it allows more efficient production storage, ensuring posterior gradual distribution, keeping constant product flow throughout the year (Matos, 1987). However, the difficulty in storing vegetables generates large price fluctuations. For onions, the seasonal instability of prices is due to the lack of infrastructure of warehouses throughout the country and the excessive intermediation of the product along the marketing chain (Zaidhaft, 1984).

In Brazil, three types of storage for onions can be verified: warehouses or storage under natural conditions; ventilated warehouses; and refrigerated warehouses. The most commonly used is storage in ordinary cameras, in conventional warehouses or in rustic systems of small properties. Among these cameras, the best results are obtained in refrigerated warehouses, however, this type of storage needs high investment and maintenance cost is high (Volkind et al., 1993).

A low-cost method to be used is the ventilation system, which can be used to cure and store bulbs, being the most economic way to control environmental conditions and post-harvest loss reduction (Jamieson, 1980). Thus, the aim of this study was to evaluate the effect of partial cooling in vertical silo for post-harvest conservation of cured onion bulbs cultivar ‘Bola Precoce’.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

The onion bulbs (Allium cepa) cultivar Bola Precoce were from Guiricema-MG. The experiment was carried out from 2010 to February 2011, at Laboratório de Pós-colheita do Departamento de Fitotecnia and at Laboratório de Equipamentos e Ensaios do Departamento de Engenharia Agrícola, UFV.

The experimental design was completely randomized, with six replicates, arranged in split plot scheme; plots consisted of two storage conditions (T1= silos at room temperature, T2= silos with artificial cooling system) and at subplots, two periods of bulb removal from storage (0 and 14 days).

After natural cure in a shed (Cardoso et al., 2016), bulbs were selected, defective and rotten bulbs were removed.

In T1, the authors used 400 g of bulbs in a vertical cylinder silo, 1-m diameter and 1.5-m height, with perforated bottom plate, closed top (Ferreira et al., 2015). In T2, the authors used 400 g of bulbs stored in silos, with air cooler coupled to the silo floor. The air cooler was developed by the company COOLSSED and reduces the temperature by up to 10°C relative to room temperature. Air cooler was activated every two days in the morning during 3 hours until the internal temperature of the silo reached 15°C.

Bulb mass temperature was measured using an Air Master model thermometry system equipped with thermocouple sensors, being the readings performed daily, always at the same time. T1 kept average temperature at 30.4°C and T2 at 16.4°C. Evaluated traits were: peel color, using chroma (C*) and external and internal luminosity (L*); water relative content (TRA) of peel and pulp of bulbs; fresh mass loss and shelf life. Data were collected when the experiment was installed, after 14 days for both treatments and at 21 days for T2.

The color of inner and outer parts of the bulbs, using six readings for each, was determined using the colorimeter Colortec-PCM (Minolta), where axis L (luminosity) shows maximum value 100 (white) and minimum 0 (black). Axes a and b do not show specific numbers: red is represented by +a, and green represented by -a, yellow by +b and blue, -b. Hue angle or color angle was determined using the expression tan–1 (b/a), being evaluated in degrees where +a (0) is red, –b (90) yellow, –a (180) green and –b (270) blue (MinoltaCorp., 1994).

To determine water relative content of bulbs (TRA), 11-mm diameter discs were taken from outer parts of onion bulbs, with the aid of a metal punch, determining fresh mass (MF). Afterwards, the discs were placed in 2-cm thick polyurethane foam saturated with water for 6 h (time required for maximum saturation), and weighed, obtaining turgid mass (MT). Right after, in order to determine dry mass (MS), the discs were placed in a hot air chamber at 70°C until constant mass. TRA was obtained using the equation of Weatherley (1950), TRA = [(MF – MS)/(MT – MS)x100].

Fresh mass loss (PMF) was determined by the difference between initial mass and final mass at storage, expressed in percentage.

Obtained data were submitted to analysis of variance and when significant
difference was detected, means were compared by Tukey test (p≤0.05). Statistics analyses were performed using Genes program (Cruz, 2013).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Bulbs of treatment T1 (silos at room temperature), were discarded after 14 storage days due to high incidence of black mold (*Aspergillus niger*) (90%) and sprouted bulbs (2%). Bulbs of T2 (silos with artificial cooling system), were kept stored for 21 days. After this period, 85% of bulbs showed black mold and 1.0% showed bud-sprouting (non-shown data).

Significant interaction was noticed using F test (p≤0.05), for all evaluated variables (Tables 1 and 2).

Significant difference between treatments was verified on day zero, for external luminosity, external chroma and internal hue (Table 1). In treatment T1, no time effect on external luminosity was verified, whereas in T2 external luminosity increased in 187.18% in 14 days (Table 1). Only on day zero, the treatments did not show any difference for luminosity; however, on day 14, T1 showed 10.91% higher luminosity than T2 (Table 1). The bulbs stored in cooled silos showed a small increase of values for internal luminosity throughout storage, up to 21 days, (data not shown), it means, that the bulbs showed lighter pulp when compared to bulbs stored in lower luminosity. This increase may have happened due to enzymatic actions occurring inside the bulb throughout storage, besides water loss caused by the passage of air under the bulbs. According to Berno (2013), the passage of air through bulbs causes greater loss of surface water and consequently changes in color.

Silos with artificial cooling system (T2) and at room temperature (T1) showed different behaviors, on day zero, for external chroma and the same for internal chroma (Table 1). After 14 days of storage, external chroma of bulbs was higher in T2 and internal chroma increased in both treatments (Table 1). Bulbs of T2 showed an increase in chromacity after 14 days of storage, being this increase for external and internal chroma of 5.5% and 29%, respectively, when compared to day zero and at 21 days (data not shown). Bulbs of T1 did not undergo changes in color of inner and outer parts.

Partially similar data were observed by Aca & Durigan (2004). These authors observed that internal bulb color was not affected by cooling storage period, however, when these bulbs were taken to room temperature conditions, non-significant tendency to reduce color angle and chromaticity (more yellowish coloration) was noticed.

Treatment 1 showed higher internal hue values when compared to treatment 2, both on day zero after closing silos 1 and beginning the use of silo 2 with artificial cooling system, as at 14 days after storage. Only T2 showed a reduction of internal hue at 14 days (Table 1). Thus, control bulbs, at 14 days, showed more yellowish coloration and the bulbs in cooled silos showed yellowish red color. After 21 days, internal hue (45.79, non-shown data) decreased, so the bulbs kept in cooled silos presented darker reddish-yellow color. Since these values are between 0 (red) and 90 (-b yellow), the onions presented better internal appearance.

Table 1. Unfolding of the interaction of storage and time for internal and external coloration indexes of onions ‘Bola Precoce’ during storage. Viçosa, UFV, 2014.

| Treatment | External luminosity (**L***) | 0 days | 14 days | 0 days | 14 days | 0 days | 14 days |
|-----------|-------------------------------|--------|---------|--------|---------|--------|---------|
| T1        | 59.86A                        | 61.88A | 14.18 aB| 69.43 aA| 25.80 aA| 23.40 aA|
| T2        | 22.62 bB                      | 64.69 aA| 18.17 aB| 62.60 bA| 25.40 bB| 26.13 aA|
| CV (%) plot| 4.24                          | 9.42   | 16.28   |        |         |         |
| CV (%) subplot| 7.32                         | 9.77   | 13.32   |        |         |         |
| Internal chroma (**C***) | 14.18 aB                  | 15.47 aA| 101.50 aA| 101.25 aA|        |         |
| T2        | 24.35 aB                      | 28.00 aA| 81.99 bA| 61.63 bB|        |         |
| CV (%) plot| 31.91                         | 1.52   | 2.14    |        |         |         |
| CV (%) subplot| 36.31                       |        |         |        |         |         |

T1= silo at room temperature; T2= silo with artificial cooling; Means followed by same letters, uppercase (line) and lowercase (column), do not differ significantly by Tukey test, 5% probability.
as verified in onions of T1 at 14 days. Miguel & Durigan (2007) observed that bulb internal color was not affected by cooling storage period. Berno (2013) found oscillations of luminosity, chroma and hue angle values in relation to days and storage temperature in minimally processed purple onions, due to anthocyanin migration from the last layers to layers where this pigment was not verified throughout the storage. Both T1 and T2 bulbs showed a decrease in internal relative water content. The decrease observed in this was 45.7 and 44.1% for T1 and T2 (Table 2). After 21 days of storage, T1 did not show excessive loss of relative water content (9.89%) (Figure 1). Significant difference between T1 (103.04%) and T2 (19.89%) on day zero was verified, and after 14 days of storage, the values of 55.95% and 11.10% for T1 and T2, respectively, were obtained (Table 2); this occurred due to the passage of cooled air under the onion bulbs, removing moisture from the external leaves, resulting in drying these layers. According to Cardoso et al. (2016), ventilation and heat allow the external leaves of the bulbs to be dried offering more effective protection against water loss in inner layers.

Treatments T1 and T2 showed significant fresh weight loss over 14 days of storage (0.14 and 1.06%), as observed in Table 2. T2 showed greater weight loss than T1 after storage (Table 2), due to moisture removal from outer bulb catalogs, influenced by the genotype and plant maturation stage after harvest (Soares et al., 2004). Fresh weight loss was between 12.4 and 14.1% in onion bulbs cv. Texas Grano 502 PRR stored during 40 days under environmental conditions after curing under field conditions (Resende & Costa, 2008). The longer the shelf life at room temperature, the greater fresh weight loss (Miguel & Durigan, 2007). According to Garcia et al. (1977), weight loss is responsible for 30% of fungi in onions, mainly Aspergillus niger, a microorganism predominantly found in the treatments of this study along storage. Distinct behavior of daily bulb fresh weight loss is dependent of the cultivar, water vapor transfer rate from the interior of the bulb to the environment, bulb neck closure, moisture of pseudostem or bulb size and shape (Soares et al., 2004). Weight loss is a limiting factor to store this product, because besides resulting in serious economic losses, it is indicative of aging.

Storage of onion bulbs in cooled silos increased its availability in 7 days, when compared to storage of bulbs in silos with an artificial cooling system.
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