Study of the Interfacial Interaction Performance of Branched Bonding Agents and CL-20
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Abstract: Adding bonding agents to the formulation is an effective way to solve the dewetting of 2,4,6,8,10,12-hexanitro-2,4,6,8,10,12-hexaazaisowurtzitan (CL-20) in the binder matrix. For the design and selection of the structure of a bonding agent suitable for CL-20, a series of branched polyether bonding agents with terminal groups substituted by cyano, ester and hydroxyl functional groups were employed. Contact angle, Fourier transform infrared spectra (FTIR) and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) measurements were performed, and the results were compared with that of common bonding agent, the boron trifluoride triethanolamine (TEA·BF₃). The results revealed that the nitramine reinforcement of the three polar groups to CL-20 was in the order cyano group > hydroxyl group > ester group. It is proposed that CBPE-10,10 (cyano-terminated branched polyether bonding agent) had the strongest interfacial interaction with CL-20. The adhesion work value was 105.37 mN·m⁻¹ and the adhesion degree was 41.04%. The bonding properties of CBPEs/CL-20 were better than those of TEA·BF₃/CL-20.
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1. Introduction

It is well established that 2,4,6,8,10,12-hexanitro-2,4,6,8,10,12-hexaazaisowurtzitan (C₆H₆N₁₂O₁₂, CL-20) is one of the most important energetic materials. CL-20 has emerged as a powerful alternative to hexahydro-1,3,5-trinitro-1,3,5-triazine (RDX) and octahydro-1,3,5,7-tetranitro-1,3,5,7-tetrazocine (HMX) because of its superior oxygen balance, higher heat of formation, and higher density [1–4]. Composites based on nitramine such as RDX, HMX and CL-20, suffer from a problem known as dewetting, that is, the weak interfacial adhesion of nitramine crystals/binders may cause the binder to detach from the nitramine surface under stress, and thus induce further crack damages. Dewetting adversely affects the processability [5], sensitivity [6] and mechanical properties [7,8] of the composite propellants and plastic bonded explosives (PBXs). Therefore, it is crucial to strengthen the interfacial interaction between CL-20 and the binder for a wide application of CL-20. However, previous studies have shown that CL-20 is more difficult to wet than HMX [9–11], in other words, dewetting is a more serious problem in CL-20-containing high energetic composites.

Many studies have shown that the addition of bonding agents significantly enhances the interfacial adhesion interactions of the nitramine/binder, thus effectively raising the mechanical properties of the propellants [12,13]. Bonding agents contain functional groups that have a favorable affinity for nitramine crystals and can chemically bond to the binder matrix during the curing process [8]. Consequently, bonding agents play a vital role in improving the mechanical properties of high energetic composites. The commonly-used small molecule bonding agents are aziridine, alkanolamine, polyamine and their derivatives, etc. The boron trifluoride triethanolamine complex (TEA·BF₃), an alcoholic amine bonding agent, is a frequently-used bonding agent in propellants. It has been
demonstrated that TEA·BF$_3$ had good interfacial interaction with CL-20, and it has been applied in hydroxyl-terminated polybutadiene (HTPB) propellant [14–16]. Kim firstly proposed neutral polymeric bonding agents (NPBAs) in the 1990s. NPBAs not only solved the problem of small molecule bonding agents easily dissolving in the polar plasticizer, but also increased the number of polar groups in the bonding agents. Kim confirmed that NPBAs with cyano, ester and hydroxyl groups had a high affinity for the HMX particles, and the addition of NPBAs to HMX-filled poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG) binder remarkably increased the strength of the propellant [12,17]. Since then, many types of macromolecular bonding agents have been synthesized and applied [18–21], following the design idea of NPBAs. Chen [22] synthesized a series of dodecylamine-based bonding agents with the end groups substituted with cyano, ester and hydroxyl groups, which showed a good affinity with RDX and enhanced the mechanical properties of the HTPB propellant.

Although previous studies have shown that the bonding agents with cyano, ester or hydroxyl groups had significant nitramine reinforcement, barely any studies have reported on the bonding agent of CL-20. Moreover, the affinity of different polar group to CL-20 is virtually unexplored. In this paper, a series of branched polyether bonding agent, with terminal groups substituted by cyano, ester and hydroxyl functional groups, were used. Branched polymers, containing many modifiable terminal active sites [23,24], are suitable as bonding agents for the chemical modification of terminal groups into different polar groups in order to evaluate the effects of the type and number of polar groups on the interfacial interaction performance of the bonding agent/CL-20. This study aimed to demonstrate the affinity of different polar groups to CL-20, thus providing a basis for the design and selection of the structure of the bonding agent. The static contact angle, FTIR and XPS were utilized as test methods to demonstrate the interfacial interaction between the branched bonding agents and CL-20, and compared with that of boron trifluoride triethanolamine complex (TEA·BF$_3$).

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials

CL-20 (γ-polymorph, 20 µm, white crystal) was obtained from Liaoning Qingyang Chemical Industry Co., Ltd., China and dried at 60 °C before use. The branched polyether bonding agents (cyano-terminated branched polyether (CBPEs) and branched polyether (BPEs)) were synthesized in our laboratory. The functionality of the groups and the molecular weight for branched polyether bonding agents are shown in Table 1. TEA·BF$_3$ was supplied by Xi’an New Chemical Material Company, China. Ethanol (analytical grade) was obtained from Beijing Chemical Plant, China. Diiodomethane (analytical grade) was purchased from Shanghai Macklin Biochemical Co., Ltd., China. Formamide (analytical grade) was purchased from Tianjing Fuchen Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd., China. Ethylene glycol was purchased from Beijing Tongguang Fine Chemicals Company, China.

2.2. Preparation of CL-20 Coated with Bonding Agents

CL-20 (0.002 mol) was mixed with the bonding agent (0.001 mol) and dissolved in ethanol (10 mL). After being continually stirred for one hour at room temperature, the mixture was filtered, and the precipitate was washed with ethanol several times to remove the surface bonding agent. After this, the CL-20 samples coated with different bonding agents were kept in a dry oven at 60 °C for two days.
Table 1. Parameters of the branched polyether bonding agents.

| Sample      | f_{(-CN)} | f_{(-COO)} | f_{(-OH)} | M_n (g mol⁻¹) |
|-------------|-----------|------------|-----------|---------------|
| CBPE-3,3    | 3         | 3          | 3         | 642           |
| CBPE-4,4    | 4         | 4          | 2         | 709           |
| CBPE-5,5    | 5         | 5          | 1         | 776           |
| CBPE-6,6    | 6         | 6          | 6         | 1450          |
| CBPE-8,8    | 8         | 8          | 4         | 1586          |
| CBPE-10,10  | 10        | 10         | 2         | 1722          |
| CBPE-3,6    | 3         | 6          | 3         | 684           |
| CBPE-4,7    | 4         | 7          | 2         | 751           |
| BPE-6       | 0         | 0          | 6         | 441           |
| BPE-12      | 0         | 0          | 12        | 1053          |

1 The functionality of the polar groups in cyano-terminated branched polyether bonding agents (CBPEs) and branched polyether bonding agents (BPEs).
2 Number average molecular weight of CBPEs and BPEs.
3 The number represents the functionality of hydroxyl in CBPEs.

2.3. Characterization

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) measurements were performed with a thermal field emission scanning electron microscope instrument (JSM-7610F, JEOL, Tokyo, Japan) at an operating voltage of 5 KV. An ultrathin conductive coating was deposited before it was analyzed.

The contact angle was measured using an OCA contact angle analyzer (Dataphysics Co, Stuttgart, Germany). Diiodomethane, formamide, and ethylene glycol were selected as the test fluids. The drop volume was 1 µL and the drop flow was at medium speed.

Fourier transform infrared spectra were recorded (Nicolet FTIR-8700, Thermo, Waltham, Massachusetts, USA) with a wavenumber resolution of 4 cm⁻¹ and a single average of 64 scans at room temperature. KBr pellets of the samples were used.

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy of pure CL-20 and CL-20 coated with bonding agents were recorded with an ESCALAB 250Xi electron spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific Co, Waltham, Massachusetts, USA). Monochromatic Al-Kα irradiation at 72 W (12 kV at 6 mA) was used for the target (1486.6 eV) and under a vacuum of less than 10⁻⁶ MPa. The beam spot size was 50 µm. Survey scans were recorded with a 1 eV step and 150 eV pass energy and the high-resolution regions were recorded with a 0.1 eV step and 20 eV pass energy. Before data analysis, the high-resolution measurements were charge corrected using the C 1s signal at 284.8 eV. The XPS-PEAK software was used to process the data, including curve smoothing, deconvolution, background subtraction, normalization and curve fitting.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Morphology of CL-20 and CL-20 Coated with Bonding Agents

The SEM images of the CL-20 particles and CL-20 coated with bonding agents are shown in Figure 1. The surface of CL-20 became rough after coating with bonding agents (see Figure 1).
Figure 1. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) pictures of (a) 2,4,6,8,10,12-hexanitro-2,4,6,8,10,12-hexaazaisowurtzitane (CL-20); (b) CBPE-3,3/CL-20; (c) CBPE-4,4/CL-20; (d) CBPE-5,5/CL-20; (e) CBPE-6,6/CL-20; (f) CBPE-8,8/CL-20; (g) CBPE-10,10/CL-20; (h) CBPE-3,6/CL-20; (i) CBPE-4,7/CL-20; (j) BPE-6/CL-20; (k) BPE-12/CL-20; (l) TEA·BF₃/CL-20.

3.2. The Adhesion Work and Interfacial Tension between CL-20 and the Bonding Agents

Table 2 shows the contact angles (θ) of the reference liquid on the bonding agents. The values of contact angles less than 90° indicated that the reference liquids could wet the samples, and the closer the values were to 0°, the easier the samples were wetted. By comparing the values of the contact angles of CBPE-3,6/CBPE-6,6, CBPE-3,6/CBPE-3,3, and BPE-6/BPE-12, set out in Table 2, an increase in the number of cyano, ester and hydroxyl groups decreased the values of the contact angle of the bonding agents. Furthermore, the change in the number of cyano groups had the greatest influence on the value of the contact angle, which made it easier to improve the wettability of the bonding agents.
According to the formulas in Equations (1) and (2) [25], the surface tension ($\gamma_s$) of the bonding agents, polar component ($\gamma_d^s$) and nonpolar component ($\gamma_p^s$) [26] were calculated using the contact angles and are shown in Table 3.

\[
1 + \cos \theta = 2\left(\frac{\gamma_d^s}{{\gamma}_l}^{1/2}\left(\frac{\gamma_d^l}{{\gamma}_l}^{1/2}\right) + \frac{\gamma_p^s}{{\gamma}_l}^{1/2}\left(\frac{\gamma_p^l}{{\gamma}_l}^{1/2}\right)\right)
\]

\[\gamma_s = \gamma_p^s + \gamma_d^s \tag{2}\]

where $\gamma_l$, $\gamma_d^l$ and $\gamma_p^l$ are the surface tension, nonpolar part, and polar part of surface tension for test fluids, respectively, according to [27].

By comparing the surface properties of CBPE-3,3 with CBPE-3,6 according to Table 3, we found that the increase in the number of ester groups decreased the polar component of the surface tension and increased the non-polar component of the surface tension, thus decreasing the surface tension overall. Similarly, the surface properties of CBPE-3,6 and CBPE-6,6 were compared, as well as BPE-6 and BPE-12. It was indicated that with the increase in the number of cyano and hydroxyl groups, the polar and non-polar components of the surface tension were increased by 43% and 25%, respectively. The changes in the number of cyano, ester and hydroxyl groups affected the surface properties of the bonding agents. In addition, the surface properties of the components in high energy composites should be matched to optimize the interface properties. It was concluded that the surface properties of the branched bonding agents could be adjusted to be more compatible in composites by changing the number of three polar groups. The values of the interfacial tension ($\gamma_{AB}$) and adhesion work ($W_{AB}$) between the bonding agents and CL-20 were obtained using the formulas in Equations (3) and (4) [28,29] and are shown in Table 4.

\[
\gamma_{AB} = \left[\left(\frac{\gamma_d^A}{{\gamma}_l}^{1/2} - \left(\frac{\gamma_d^B}{{\gamma}_l}^{1/2}\right)\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\gamma_p^A}{{\gamma}_l}^{1/2} - \left(\frac{\gamma_p^B}{{\gamma}_l}^{1/2}\right)\right)^2\right]^{1/2}
\]

\[
W_{AB} = \gamma_A + \gamma_B - \gamma_{AB} \tag{4}
\]

where $\gamma_A$, $\gamma_d^A$ and $\gamma_p^A$ are the surface tension, nonpolar part and polar part of the surface tension for bonding agents. $\gamma_B$, $\gamma_d^B$ and $\gamma_p^B$ are the surface tension, nonpolar part and polar part of the surface tension for CL-20. As shown in Table 4, the values of the interfacial tension of CBPEs/CL-20 and BPEs/CL-20 were lower than that of the TEA-BF$_3$/CL-20, which indicated the better wettability of the CBPEs and BPEs.

Table 2. Contact angles ($\theta$) of the reference liquids on the bonding agents and CL-20.

| Sample       | Diiodomethane | Formamide | Ethylene Glycol |
|--------------|---------------|-----------|-----------------|
| CL-20        | 26.9          | 34.3      | 43.4            |
| CBPE-3,3     | 66.3          | 58.1      | 48.7            |
| CBPE-4,4     | 58.3          | 49.4      | 40.6            |
| CBPE-5,5     | 50.3          | 40.2      | 35.2            |
| CBPE-6,6     | 40.1          | 36.5      | 30.0            |
| CBPE-8,8     | 20.1          | 19.7      | 18.2            |
| CBPE-10,10   | 10.5          | 12.9      | 10.2            |
| CBPE-3,6     | 58.9          | 60.2      | 59.1            |
| CBPE-4,7     | 50.3          | 54.1      | 50.3            |
| BPE-6        | 68.1          | 73.0      | 59.0            |
| BPE-12       | 65.3          | 55.6      | 49.2            |
| TEA-BF$_3$   | 68.5          | 53.4      | 49.8            |
The values of the adhesion work can be used to study the interfacial bonding strength [30]. The higher the value of the adhesion work, the stronger the interfacial interaction. As shown in Table 4, the values of the adhesion work of the CBPEs/CL-20 were higher than those of the TEA-BF$_3$/CL-20 and increased with the increasing number of polar groups (cyano and ester groups). The maximum adhesion work was CBPE-10,10/CL-20, with a value of 105.37 mN·m$^{-1}$. By varying the number of each kind of polar group in the bonding agents, the affinity between these polar groups to CL-20 can be accessed by comparing the change in adhesion work. The number of ester groups in CBPE-3,6 was doubled compared with CBPE-3,3, and the adhesion work of CBPE-3,6/CL-20 increased by 3% compared with CBPE-3,3/CL-20. Similarly, the number of cyano groups was doubled, and the adhesion work of CBPE-6,6/CL-20 increased by 18% compared with that of CBPE-3,6/CL-20. The adhesion work increased by 8% by the doubling of the hydroxyl number (comparing BPE-12/CL-20 with BPE-6/CL-20). It was concluded that the affinity between the three polar groups and CL-20 was in the order cyano group > hydroxyl group > ester group. Although the interfacial bonding strength between the BPEs and CL-20 could be improved by increasing the number of hydroxyl groups, including an excessive amount of hydroxyl groups in the propellant formulation could lead to the over-crosslinking of bonding agents with the binder, which could adversely affect the mechanical properties of the propellant [31]. Therefore, we focused on the interfacial interactions of the cyano and ester groups with CL-20 in the following sections.

3.3. Interaction between CL-20 and the Bonding Agents Studied by Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR)

The position changes of the characteristic peaks in the infrared spectra can sensitively characterize the interfacial interaction between two phases [20]. FTIR was chosen to investigate the interaction
between the bonding agents and CL-20. The FTIR spectra of CL-20 and CL-20 coated with bonding agents are shown in Figure 2, and the assignment of the characteristic peaks are listed in Table 5.

![Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR) spectra of CL-20 and CL-20 coated with bonding agents.](image)

**Figure 2.** Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR) spectra of CL-20 and CL-20 coated with bonding agents: (a) CL-20 coated with CBPEs; (b) CL-20 coated with CBPE-3,6, CBPE-4,7 and TEA·BF₃.

**Table 5.** Characteristics of CL-20 and CL-20 coated with bonding agents in the FTIR spectra.

| Sample              | $\tau$ (NO₂) | $\nu$ (NO₂) | $\nu$ (the ring) | $\nu$ (N-N) | $\nu_s$ (NO₂) | $\nu_{as}$ (NO₂) |
|---------------------|--------------|-------------|------------------|-------------|---------------|------------------|
| CL-20               | 651          | 751         | 883,943,1049     | 1383        | 1295,1328     | 1590             |
| CBPE-3,3/CL-20      | 651          | 751         | 883,943,1049     | 1383        | 1291,1325     | 1588             |
| CBPE-4,4/CL-20      | 651          | 751         | 883,943,1049     | 1383        | 1287,1322     | 1586             |
| CBPE-5,5/CL-20      | 651          | 751         | 883,943,1049     | 1383        | 1285,1320     | 1584             |
| CBPE-6,6/CL-20      | 651          | 751         | 883,943,1049     | 1383        | 1285,1318     | 1582             |
| CBPE-8,8/CL-20      | 651          | 751         | 883,943,1049     | 1383        | 1280,1317     | 1582             |
| CBPE-10,10/CL-20    | 651          | 751         | 883,943,1049     | 1383        | 1278,1316     | 1581             |
| CBPE-3,6/CL-20      | 651          | 751         | 883,943,1049     | 1383        | 1297,1330     | 1591             |
| CBPE-4,7/CL-20      | 651          | 751         | 883,943,1049     | 1383        | 1298,1331     | 1592             |
| TEA·BF₃/CL-20       | 651          | 751         | 883,943,1049     | 1383        | 1295,1331     | 1592             |

In the FTIR spectrum of CL-20, 651 cm⁻¹ and 751 cm⁻¹ were assigned to the bending and stretching vibration peak of -NO₂, whereas the signal at 883 cm⁻¹, 943 cm⁻¹ and 1049 cm⁻¹ were assigned as the stretching modes of the ring [32]. The signal at 1383 cm⁻¹ was the stretching vibration peak of N-N [13]. 1295 cm⁻¹ and 1328 cm⁻¹ were assigned as symmetric stretching modes ($\nu_s$) of -NO₂, while 1590 cm⁻¹ was assigned as the asymmetric stretching modes ($\nu_{as}$) of -NO₂ [33]. As shown in Figure 2, in the new absorption bands, 1749 cm⁻¹ was assigned to C=O [34], which indicated that the CL-20 was successfully coated with CBPEs.

Spectral changes were observed for the samples of CBPEs/CL-20. The symmetric stretching and asymmetric stretching peaks of -NO₂ in CL-20 shifted to lower wavenumbers after being coated with CBPEs containing the same number of cyano and ester groups, yet shifted to higher wavenumbers after being coated with CBPE-3,6, CBPE-4,7 and TEA·BF₃. The nitro groups, as an active group in CL-20, could hydrogen bond with the hydroxyl groups in the bonding agents leading to the shift towards a lower wavenumber. Aside from this, -CN induced -NO₂ and the O acted as an electron acceptor, because the electronegativity of the N in -CN was lower than that of O in -NO₂, causing the symmetric and asymmetric stretching peaks of -NO₂ to shift towards lower wavenumbers [35]. However, the ester groups in CBPEs and fluorine atoms in TEA·BF₃ enabled the induction of -NO₂ and the N played a role of the electron donor, which resulted in the stretching vibration of -NO₂ shifting to
higher wavenumbers. It was considered that the variation was decidedly attributed to the combined action of hydrogen bonding, electronic effects and induction effects.

The extent of shift of the nitro absorption peaks can indicate the strength of interaction between the polar groups and the nitro groups. The symmetric stretching and asymmetric stretching vibration peaks of $-\text{NO}_2$ in CBPE-6,6/CL-20 had a shift of 10 cm$^{-1}$, 7 cm$^{-1}$ and 6 cm$^{-1}$, respectively, to lower wavenumbers compared to CL-20 coated by CBPE-3,6. However, CBPE-3,6/CL-20 had a shift of 6 cm$^{-1}$, 5 cm$^{-1}$ and 3 cm$^{-1}$ to higher wavenumbers compared to CL-20 coated with CBPE-3,3. It was concluded from the extent of movement that the interaction between the cyano group and the nitro group was stronger than that of the ester group, agreeing with the results obtained using contact angle measurement. The schematic diagram of the hydrogen bonding effects, electronic effect and induction effects can be deduced, as shown in Figure 3 (the arrow indicates the direction in which the electronic cloud moves).

![Figure 3. Interaction between CL-20 and polar groups.](image)

#### 3.4. Interaction between CL-20 and the Bonding Agents Studied by X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS)

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy was used to describe the interfacial interaction between CL-20 and the bonding agents. The adhesion performance between the bonding agents and CL-20 was characterized by analyzing the change in nitrogen content on the sample surface. The interfacial interaction between the bonding agents and CL-20 was analyzed by the change in the chemical shift of the nitrogen element. The energy spectrum peak of N1s was used to investigate the change in the nitrogen content, and the adhesion degree (R) was calculated using the formula in Equation (5) [36]:

$$R = \frac{A_{N1s}}{B_{N1s}}$$

where $A_{N1s}$ refers to the special nitrogen atom peak area in the bonding agent coated on the surface of CL-20 in a narrow scan, and $B_{N1s}$ refers to the N1s total peak area of CL-20. The results are summarized in Table 6. The fitted N1s spectra of CL-20 and CL-20 coated with bonding agents are all shown in Figure 4. The data were processed using the XPS-PEAK software, which utilized a least square approximation method, which maintained the peak position of the same bond as close as possible.
The strong electronegativity of the fluorine atom in TEA·BF3 resulted in changes in the binding energy, which was consistent with the results of the contact angle and FTIR spectroscopy. According to the changes in the binding energy, it was considered that the affinity of the cyano groups to the nitro group for CBPE-6,6/CL-20 decreased by 0.64 eV compared to CBPE-3,3/CL-20. The decrease in the electron cloud density for –NO2 increased the binding energy. On the contrary, the electron-withdrawing effect of the cyano groups on the nitro group was greater than the induction effect of the cyano group. Therefore, the emission peaks of the nitro group for CBPE-3,6/CL-20 increased by 0.39 eV compared with CBPE-3,3/CL-20.

As shown in Table 6, the peaks at 401.95 eV and 407.10 eV were assigned to the -N< and -NO2 in the nitro group for CBPEs and tertiary –BF3-N–. The peaks in the range 399.51 eV to 401.10 eV were assigned to –CN in the CBPEs.

### Table 6. Specification and contents of the sample N1s spectra.

| Sample          | Attribution of N Atom | Binding Energy (eV) | Content (%) | R (%) |
|-----------------|-----------------------|---------------------|-------------|-------|
| CL-20           | -NO2                  | 407.10              | 45.08       | 0     |
|                 | -N<                   | 401.95              | 54.92       |       |
| CBPE-3,3/CL-20  | -NO2                  | 406.80              | 38.21       |       |
|                 | -N<                   | 401.20              | 43.28       |       |
|                 | -CN                   | 399.20              | 18.51       |       |
| CBPE-4,4/CL-20  | -NO2                  | 406.74              | 37.98       |       |
|                 | -N<                   | 401.11              | 42.10       |       |
|                 | -CN                   | 398.62              | 19.91       |       |
| CBPE-5,5/CL-20  | -NO2                  | 406.64              | 39.13       |       |
|                 | -N<                   | 401.10              | 36.68       |       |
|                 | -CN                   | 399.57              | 24.19       |       |
| CBPE-6,6/CL-20  | -NO2                  | 406.55              | 38.29       |       |
|                 | -N<                   | 400.70              | 31.76       |       |
|                 | -CN                   | 399.10              | 34.20       |       |
| CBPE-8,8/CL-20  | -NO2                  | 406.50              | 38.44       |       |
|                 | -N<                   | 401.23              | 26.70       |       |
|                 | -CN                   | 399.60              | 34.86       |       |
| CBPE-10,10/CL-20| -NO2                  | 406.00              | 37.27       |       |
|                 | -N<                   | 400.74              | 21.69       |       |
|                 | -CN                   | 399.20              | 41.04       |       |
| CBPE-3,6/CL-20  | -NO2                  | 407.19              | 37.68       |       |
|                 | -N<                   | 401.65              | 42.53       |       |
|                 | -CN                   | 400.15              | 19.78       |       |
| CBPE-4,7/CL-20  | -NO2                  | 407.22              | 34.37       |       |
|                 | -N<                   | 401.57              | 42.67       |       |
|                 | -CN                   | 400.12              | 22.95       |       |
| TEA·BF3/CL-20   | -NO2                  | 407.18              | 40.84       |       |
|                 | -N<                   | 401.43              | 48.94       |       |
|                 | -BF3-N-               | 399.51              | 10.21       |       |

**Figure 4.** XPS N1s spectra fitting curves of pure CL-20 and CL-20 coated with bonding agents: (a) CL-20; (b) CBPE-3,3/CL-20; (c) CBPE-4,4/CL-20; (d) CBPE-5,5/CL-20; (e) CBPE-6,6/CL-20; (f) CBPE-8,8/CL-20; (g) CBPE-10,10/CL-20; (h) CBPE-3,6/CL-20; (i) CBPE-4,7/CL-20; (j) TEA·BF3/CL-20.
As shown in Table 6, the peaks at 401.95 eV and 407.10 eV were assigned to the -N< and -NO₂ in pure CL-20 [37]. The peaks in the range 399–400 eV were assigned to -CN in the CBPEs and tertiary nitrogen in the TEA-BF₃ [22].

The emission peaks of tertiary nitrogen (401.95 eV) in CL-20 shifted to a lower binding energy after coating with CBPEs. It was found that the emission peaks of the nitro group for CBPE-3,6/CL-20 increased by 0.39 eV compared with CBPE-3,3/CL-20, because the electron-withdrawing effect of ester groups on the nitro group was greater than the induction effect of the cyano group. Therefore, the decrease in the electron cloud density for –NO₂ increased the binding energy. On the contrary, the binding energy of the nitro group for CBPE-6,6/CL-20 decreased by 0.64 eV compared to CBPE-3,6/CL-20 due to the domination by the induction effect of the cyano groups. According to the changes in the binding energy, it was considered that the affinity of the cyano groups to the nitro groups was stronger than that of the ester groups, which was consistent with the results of the contact angle and FTIR spectroscopy. The strong electronegativity of the fluorine atom in TEA-BF₃ resulted in the increased binding energy of the nitro group by 0.08 eV compared to that of pure CL-20. The value of the adhesion degree for CBPE-10,10/CL-20 was the highest shown in Table 6 at 41.04%.

4. Conclusions

In this work, the interfacial interaction performance between the bonding agents (CBPEs, BPEs and TEA-BF₃) and CL-20 particles was studied. The SEM images show that CL-20 particles were coated with bonding agents. The results of the interfacial tension measurement indicated that the CBPEs and BPEs showed a higher ability to wet CL-20 than TEA-BF₃. The affinities of the polar groups to CL-20 were in the order–CN > -OH > -COO. Furthermore, the change in the number of cyano groups had the greatest influence on the reduction of the values of the contact angle, which makes it easier to improve the wettability of the bonding agents. According to the FTIR and XPS results, the interaction mainly originated from the interaction between the -NO₂ and the polar groups in the bonding agents, and the interaction between the -CN and -NO₂ was stronger than the interaction between the –COO and -NO₂. The shift of the characteristic peak positions of -NO₂ is attributed to hydrogen bonding, electronic effects and induction effects. The results of the interfacial characteristics suggest that the bonding agent CBPE-10,10 shows the strongest interfacial bonding interaction with CL-20, as the adhesion degree reaches 41.04%.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, G.L.; and X.W.; methodology, X.L., X.W. and Y.Z.; data curation, Y.Z.; investigation, Y.Z.; writing—original draft preparation, Y.Z.; writing—review and editing, Y.Z. and X.W.; project administration, Y.L. and G.L.

Funding: This research was funded by the Joint Fund of the National Natural Science Foundation of China and the China Academy of Engineering Physics (NSAF).

Acknowledgments: The support from the Joint Fund of the National Natural Science Foundation of China and the China Academy of Engineering Physics (NSAF) is gratefully acknowledged (U1630142). We sincerely thank Li Xiaoyu for his suggestions and discussion of this work.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References
1. Simpson, R.L.; Urtiew, P.A.; Ornellas, D.L.; Moody, G.L.; Scribner, K.J.; Hoffman, D.M. CL-20 performance exceeds that of HMX and its sensitivity is moderate. Propellants Explos. Pyrotech. 1997, 22, 249–255. [CrossRef]
2. Nair, U.R.; Sivabalan, R.; Gore, G.M.; Geetha, M.; Asthana, S.N.; Singh, H. Hexanitrohexaazaisowurtzitane (CL-20) and CL-20-based formulations (review). Combust. Explos. Shock Waves 2005, 41, 121–132. [CrossRef]
3. Nair, U.R.; Asthana, S.N.; Rao, A.S.; Gandhe, B.R. Advances in High Energy Materials (Review Paper). Def. Sci. J. 2010, 60, 137–151. [CrossRef]
4. Geetha, M.; Nair, U.R.; Sarwade, D.B.; Gore, G.M.; Asthana, S.N.; Singh, H. Studies on CL-20_ The most powerful high energy material. J. Therm. Anal. Calorim. 2003, 73, 913–922. [CrossRef]
5. Hoffman, D.M. Fatigue of 1x-14 and 1x-19 plastic bonded explosives. J. Energy Mater. 2000, 18, 1–27. [CrossRef]
6. Bolton, O.; Simke, L.R.; Pagoria, P.F.; Matzger, A.J. High Power Explosive with Good Sensitivity: A 2:1 Cocystal of CL-20: HMX. Cryst. Growth Des. 2012, 12, 4311–4314. [CrossRef]
7. Bellerby, J.M.; Ch, K. Explosive-Binder Adhesion and Dewetting in Nitramine-filled Energetic Materials. Propellants Explo. Pyrotech. 1989, 14, 82–85. [CrossRef]
8. Kim, C.S.; Noble, P.N.; Youn, C.H.; Tarrant, D.; Gao, A. The Mechanism of Filler Reinforcement from Addition of Neutral Polymeric Bonding Agents to Energetic Polar Propellants. Propellants Explo. Pyrotech. 1992, 17, 51–58. [CrossRef]
9. Doukkali, M.; Gauthier, E.; Patel, R.B.; Stepanov, V.; Hadim, H. Modifying the Wettability of Nitramine Explosives using Anionic, Cationic and Nonionic Surfactants. Propellants Explo. Pyrotech. 2017, 42, 1185–1190. [CrossRef]
10. Lu, Y.; Shu, Y.; Liu, N.; Lu, X.; Xu, M. Molecular dynamics simulations on ε-CL-20-based PBXs with added GAP and its derivative polymers. RSC Adv. 2018, 8, 4955–4962. [CrossRef]
11. Hoss, D.J.; Mukherjee, S.; Boudouris, B.W.; Beaudoin, S.P. Energetic Microparticle Adhesion to Functionalized Surfaces. Propellants Explo. Pyrotech. 2018, 43, 862–868. [CrossRef]
12. Kim, C.S.; Youn, C.H.; Noble, P.N.; Gao, A. Development of neutral polymeric bonding agents for propellants with polar composites filled with organic nitramine crystals. Propellants Explo. Pyrotech. 1992, 17, 38–42. [CrossRef]
13. Deng, J.; Wang, X.; Li, G.; Luo, Y. Effect of Bonding Agent on the Mechanical Properties of GAP High-Energy Propellant. Propellants Explo. Pyrotech. 2017, 42, 394–400. [CrossRef]
14. Zhang, B.; Luo, Y.J.; Tan, H.M. Interactional Mechanism of the Interface Between CL-20 and Some Bonding Agents. Chin. J. Explos. Propellants 2005, 28, 23.
15. Tang, H.X.; Liu, X.L. Action mechanism of special functional agents in composite solid propellant (IV)—Processability/mechanical properties. Gati Huqian jishu (J. Solid Rocket Technol.) 2004, 27, 193–197.
16. Kim, C.S. Filler Reinforcement of Polyurethane Binder Using a Neutral Polymeric Bonding Agent. Composites 1999, 20, 62.
17. Kim, H.-S. Improvement of Mechanical Properties of Plastic Bonded Explosive Using Neutral Polymeric Bonding Agent. Propellants Explo. Pyrotech. 1999, 24, 96–98. [CrossRef]
18. Landsem, E.; Jensen, T.L.; Kristensen, T.E.; Hansen, F.K.; Berneche, T.; Unneberg, E. Isocyanate-Free and Dual Curing of Smokeless Composite Rocket Propellants. Propellants Explo. Pyrotech. 2013, 38, 75–86. [CrossRef]
19. Zhang, X.L.; Liu, M.E.; Liu, W.Z.; Deng, J.R. Synthesis and Interfacial Adhesion Interaction of Borate Ester Bonding Agents Used for HTPB Propellants. Propellants Explo. Pyrotech. 2016, 41, 814–821. [CrossRef]
20. Liu, Y.F.; Yu, C.; Liang, S.; Yao, W.S. Synthesis of Three Novel Laurylamine-Derived Long-Chain Alkyl Bonding Agents and Their Interactions with RDX. Propellants Explo. Pyrotech. 2012, 37, 69–76. [CrossRef]
21. Landsem, E.; Jensen, T.L.; Hansen, F.K.; Unneberg, E.; Kristensen, T.E. Neutral polymeric bonding agents (NPBA) and their use in smokeless composite rocket propellants based on HMX-GAP-BuNENA. Propellants Explo. Pyrotech. 2012, 37, 581–591. [CrossRef]
22. Chen, Y.; Liu, Y.F.; Shi, L.; Yang, W.; Yao, W.-S. Study on the Synthesis and Interfacial Interaction Performance of Novel Dodecylamine-Based Bonding Agents Used for Composite Solid Propellants. Propellants Explo. Pyrotech. 2015, 40, 50–59. [CrossRef]
23. Lee, C.C.; Mackay, J.A.; Fréchet, J.M.J.; Szoka, F.C. Designing dendrimers for biological applications. Nat. Biotechnol. 2005, 23, 1517–1526. [CrossRef]
24. Hedrick, J.L.; Magbitang, T.; Connor, E.F.; Glauser, T.; Volksen, W.; Hawker, C.J.; Lee, V.Y.; Miller, R.D. Application of Complex Macromolecular Architectures for Advanced Microelectronic Materials. Chem.-A Eur. J. 2002, 8, 3308–3319. [CrossRef]
25. Chaudhury, M.K. Interfacial interaction between low-energy surfaces. Mater. Sci. Eng. R Rep. 1996, 16, 97–159. [CrossRef]
26. Li, F.; Ye, L.; Nie, F.; Liu, Y. Synthesis of boron-containing coupling agents and its effect on the interfacial bonding of fluoropolymer/TATB composite. J. Appl. Polym. Sci. 2007, 105, 777–782. [CrossRef]
27. Yang, J.X.; Yang, W.T.; Fang-Sheng, M.A.; Can-Qi, X.U.; Jia, Y.J.; Yang, L.X. Effect of RDX Particle Size on the Mechanical and Combustion Properties of Nitramine Gun Propellant. Chin. J. Energy Mater. 2017, 25, 706–711.
28. Stöckelhuber, K.W.; Das, A.; Jurk, R.; Heinrich, G. Contribution of physico-chemical properties of interfaces on dispersibility, adhesion and flocculation of filler particles in rubber. Polymer 2010, 51, 1954–1963. [CrossRef]
29. David, R.; Neumann, A.W. Contact Angle Patterns on Low-Energy Surfaces. *Adv. Colloid Interface Sci.* 2014, 206, 46–56. [CrossRef]

30. Kingshott, P.; Griesser, H.J. Surfaces that resist bioadhesion. *Curr. Opin. Solid State Mater. Sci.* 1999, 4, 403–412. [CrossRef]

31. Qi, X.F.; Xie, W.X.; Yan, Q.L.; Liu, Q.; Liu, C. Interfacial Interaction Between NPBA and HMX. *Acta Armamentarii* 2017, 38, 1942–1949. [CrossRef]

32. Holtz, E.V.; Ornellas, D.; Foltz, M.F.; Clarkson, J.E. The Solubility of γ-CL-20 in Selected Materials. *Propellants Explos. Pyrotech.* 1994, 19, 206–212. [CrossRef]

33. Pan, Q.; Su, P.F.; Gao, H.X.; Wen, X.Y.; Pang, S.P.; Sun, C.H.; Gao, Z. Quantitative Determination of CL-20 Polymorphs by Mid-infrared Diffuse Reflectance Spectroscopy. *Chin. J. Energy Mater.* 2016, 24, 503–508.

34. Shanmugam, T.; Sivakumar, C.; Nasar, A.S. Hydroxyl-terminated Hyperbranched Aromatic Poly(ether-ester)s: Synthesis, Characterization, End-group Modification and Optical Properties. *J. Polym. Sci. Part A Polym. Chem.* 2010, 46, 5414–5430. [CrossRef]

35. Pan, B.F.; Luo, Y.J.; Tan, H.M. Interaction between HMX and Dendritic Bonding Agent. *Chin. J. Explos. Propellants* 2004, 27, 25–28.

36. Fu, W.; Yang, H.; Chang, L.; Li, M.; Bala, H.; Yu, Q.; Zou, G. Preparation and characteristics of core–shell structure nickel/silica nanoparticles. *Colloids Surf. A Physicochem. Eng. Asp.* 2005, 262, 71–75. [CrossRef]

37. Pan, B.F.; Luo, Y.J.; Tan, H.M. Study on Interaction between CL-20 and Dendritic Bonding Agent. *Energy Mater.* 2004, 12, 199–202.

© 2019 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).