The Potential Therapeutic Role of Proton Pump Inhibitors in COVID-19: Hypotheses Based on Existing Evidences
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Introduction
Proton pump inhibitors (PPIs) are one of the most widely prescribed group of drugs for the treatment of various gastric-acid associated disorders such as peptic ulcer disease and gastro-oesophageal reflux disease (GERD). They have been shown to have multiple therapeutic effects besides on gastric acid diseases. One factor which might contribute to this pleiotropic nature of the compounds is the presence of benzimidazole scaffold in their structure, which is considered a privilege since it imparts the ability to simultaneously target various biological molecules [1].

Considering the current pandemic of COVID-19 and the necessity to find a drug for its treatment, it is of utmost importance that the antiviral potencies of the already approved drugs be explored in order to consider them for re-purposing. Since SARS-CoV-2 shows a high degree of phylogenetic similarities with previously identified coronaviruses such as SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV, it might be a possibility that drugs which show effects on these viruses may be potential candidates for screening against the novel coronavirus. We hereby explore the possibility of re-purposing PPIs against SARS-CoV-2, the pathways through which it might be possible and summarize the evidences generated till date.

General antiviral properties of PPIs
Currently, there are very few antiviral agents available for the treatment for viral infections. Conventionally used antiviral drugs such as zidovudine, acyclovir and foscarnet have shortcomings in terms of
limited applications, serious adverse reactions and development of resistance. A patent registered in as early as 1999 by Moorman et al. showed glimpses of a potential future role of PPIs as antiviral agents by demonstrating their ability to inhibit viral serine protease [2].

**As an anti-inflammatory and anti-fibrotic agent**

PPIs might be associated with anti-inflammatory and antioxidative actions [3–5]. An in vitro study has shown that they can inhibit the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines such as IL-6, IL-8 and TNF-α [3]. Further, there are evidences to support protective role of omeprazole and lansoprazole to reduce oxidative stress in human gastric epithelial and endothelial cells [4]. Lansoprazole has been shown to reduce the number of monocytes expressing ICAM-1 in the peripheral blood [6]. Additionally, omeprazole can reduce cytokine production in duodenal epithelial cells as was observed in an in vivo study [7].

PPIs have also been associated with antiproliferative and antifibrotic properties [8]. There has been a growing rationale behind considering antifibrotic agents in COVID-19 treatment based on findings suggestive of pulmonary fibrotic diseases ranging from fibrosis related to organizing pneumonia to severe acute lung injury with massive fibrotic changes [9]. Autopsy findings have also shown pulmonary fibrosis (PF) in fatal COVID-19 cases [10]. Although it might be too early to comment on the prevalence of post-COVID PF, early analysis of patients who have been discharged from hospital is indicative of a high rate of fibrosis-associated lung function abnormalities [11].

The available antifibrotic therapies in the form of pirfenidone and nintedanib have been postulated to attenuate pro-fibrotic pathways in COVID-19. Moreover, pirfenidone has been considered for a phase 3 trial against SARS-CoV-2 [12]. Additionally, mTOR is an emerging therapeutic target in Idiopathic Pulmonary Fibrosis and PPIs have been shown to downregulate the mTOR pathway [13]. Considering the emerging concerns of PF in COVID-19, this adds on to the reasons for repurposing PPIs against SARS-CoV-2.

**Action on vacuolar ATPase and impact on pH**

Located on the plasma membrane and on the surface of acidic organelles such as lysosomes and endosomes, vacuolar ATPase (v-ATPase) is one of the key factors controlling vesicular pH [14]. It is a vacuolar-type proton pump which maintains the acidic pH inside organelles such as secretory granules, endosomes, lysosomes and trans-Golgi network and act as the major proton-extruding pump. It is expressed ubiquitously and performs a wide range of biological functions through vesicular, luminal and extracellular acidification [15]. Their activity could be inhibited by PPIs, leading on to cytosolic acidification and endolysosomal alkalinisation [15, 16].

v-ATPase mediated acidification of endosome is an important step for entry of several viruses including coronaviruses [17]. Majority of the enveloped viruses utilize the endocytic pathway leading to their fusion with the membranes of the host cell organelles such as endosomes and lysosomes. A key requirement for the normal endocytic pathway functioning is to have an acidic vesicular pH. The viruses utilize pH sensing as an evolutionary strategy to monitor the endosomal maturity. Two major sensing strategies utilized by the viruses for induction of membrane fusion are direct sensing of the pH leading to conformational changes in the membrane glycoproteins and enzyme-mediated proteolytic cleavage [18]. Increase in this pH can hence lead to inhibition of viral fusion and replication. Besides, modulation of pH is important for exocytosis as well, leading to viral dissemination [19].

An in-vitro screening of 60 FDA approved drugs revealed anti-viral potency of omeprazole, supporting its repurposing against COVID-19 [20]. Omeprazole, along with Vonoprazan (a potassium competitive acid blocker), was proved to be associated with increase in pH inside endosomes and golgi apparatus. The postulated hypotheses are that it happens either by blocking v-ATPase pumps or by acting as a pH buffer. Such modifications of pH would interfere with the processing of the spike (S1) protein by the endosomal proteases and restrict the egress of SARS-CoV-2 infection.

Quite interestingly, a study has shown that in cells treated with lysosomotropic agents such as NH4Cl or Bafilomycin A1 (inhibitor of endosomal/lysosomal v-ATPase) which causes increase in the vesicular pH, ACE2 receptors present on the cell surface get trapped within perinuclear vacuoles, suggesting that function of ACE2 might be inhibited by these agents and hence, prevent the entry of SARS-CoV-2 into the host cell [21].

Omeprazole can block the function of v-ATPase in renal cortical cells and medullary endosomes as seen in a study on rats [22]. Evidence also points towards a potential activity of lansoprazole as a v-ATPase inhibitor in gastric mucosa [23]. More recently, reports are suggestive that omeprazole and esomeprazole are capable of altering the localisation of the v-ATPase inside the cells as well [24]. This strengthens the claim about the antiviral potency of PPIs and the need for further studies regarding their possible re-purposing for COVID-19.

**Targeting ESCRT**

Many of the enveloped viruses utilize a host factor, the endosomal sorting complex required for transport (ESCRT), for their progression from an infected cell. Coronavirus encode classical motifs which interact with ESCRT, one such example being P(T/S)AP [25]. This motif engages a protein Tsg101 in ESCRT-I, leading to delivery of ESCRT-III to viral budding sites, causing release of the virus particles by membrane scission. A study showed that tenatoprazole and esomeprazole, PPIs which are prodrugs, target a cysteine residue (C73) in the N-terminal domain of Tsg101, disrupting its binding with ubiquitin and preventing its localization to the plasma membrane budding site, thus inhibiting viral replication [26]. Tsg101 and ESCRT have been found to help in replication of Ebola virus [27] and Dengue virus [28] besides a few others. Like tenatoprazole and esomeprazole, other PPIs in the market also target C73 in Tsg10138, leading to a hypothesis that these compounds could be effective against a broad spectrum of viruses by inhibiting replication, including SARS-CoV-2.

**Learnings from COPD**

Patients who experience frequent exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) show high susceptibility to viral infections and poor ability to prevent their replication [29]. PPIs have shown to have impact on such viral infections (herpes virus, rhinovirus), displaying potential to prevent COPD exacerbations [30]. A randomized trial showed that lansoprazole use could
be associated with reduction of frequency of common cold and COPD exacerbation, thus attenuating chances of contracting viral infections [31].

GERD is often found to be associated with COPD as a comorbidity, with its prevalence being higher in COPD patients as compared to healthy controls [32]. It often leads to frequent COPD exacerbation in patients. PPIs are the first-line drug of choice for managing GERD. Although there are mixed evidences, a recent nationwide study with 3,485 patients of COPD having symptomatic GERD showed that PPI use is associated with a better outcome in terms of risk of acute exacerbation of COPD and mortality [33]. By doing so, it might indirectly lead to protection of such patients against viral infections.

**Actions on ACE2**

SARS-CoV-2 uses ACE2 as its receptor for entry into human body. The glandular cells of gastrointestinal epithelium, mainly of the stomach, duodenum and rectum, express ACE2 protein and have been shown to exhibit the SARS-CoV-2 viral nucleocapsid protein in patients with COVID-19 [34]. ACE2 enzyme activity varies with pH. A pH of 7–7.5 is said to be optimal for its functioning [35]. As mentioned earlier, PPIs tend to alkalinise the intraluminal environment by inhibiting v-ATPase. Since major reduction in the activities of ACE2 occurs at a pH beyond 7.5 [36], the use of PPIs which leads to a more basic pH [15] would render them less functional, thereby hindering the entry of SARS-CoV-2 into the cells.

ACE2 is a zinc metalloprotease and chronic PPI use is known to reduce zinc levels in the body by depleting zinc stores as well as by preventing absorption of supplemental zinc [37]. The dose of PPI required to exert anti-SARS-CoV-2 effect has been estimated to be very high. The plasma omeprazole concentration that has been shown to interfere with the cytopathogenic effects of SARS-CoV-2 (IC$_{50}$ 34 µM) [38] is far beyond its therapeutic plasma concentration of approximately 8 µM required for gastric use [39]. Although not toxic, such a high systemic concentration might be associated with transient adverse drug reactions such as confusion, drowsiness, tachycardia, dry mouth, headache and hypomagnesemia but without any serious adverse outcomes [40]. Additionally, their use at such high levels could also lead to zinc deficiency despite being used for shorter duration. As per a study, omeprazole administered in therapeutic dose (60 mg/day) for seven days reduced the intestinal absorption of zinc and hence, its plasma levels [41]. Serum ACE activity in rats and guinea pigs has been shown to be affected adversely by zinc deficiency [42]. This is important since ACE2 exhibits substantial structural homology to ACE and is in fact also known by the name ACEH (ACE homolog).

On the contrary, presence of zinc has shown to reduce the ability of ACE2 to metabolize its substrate [43]. Its effect on the function of ACE2 as a receptor for SARS-CoV-2 is not yet clear. Moreover, other metalloproteinases like matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) and metallothionein are also affected by zinc deficiency in mice, wherein their levels are reduced and oxidative stress response is enhanced [44].

**Role as a clinical add-on**

In addition to the direct antiviral effects, PPIs might also be used as an add-on to certain therapeutic agents. In an in silico study, omeprazole increased the efficacy of aprotinin, a serine protease inhibitor, and remdesivir by 2.7-fold and 10-fold respectively [38]. Thus, combination of aprotinin and remdesivir with omeprazole might be a potential therapy candidate for the treatment of COVID-19. Combining PPIs with non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) having antiviral properties such as indomethacin has also been proposed as a therapeutic option in COVID-19 [45].

**Clinical evidences**

It is worth mentioning that in a retrospective case-control study on 179 elderly patients, those on PPIs were 2.3 times less likely (Odds Ratio [OR] = 0.4381, 95 % confidence interval (CI) [0.2331–0.8175], p = 0.0053) to be infected by SARS-CoV-2 and develop COVID-19 as compared to those not taking PPIs [46]. Further, an R&D blueprint for experimental treatments, which has been developed by the World Health Organization (WHO) in order to join hands globally and accelerate the process of finding therapeutics against SARS-CoV-2, mentions the probable roles of omeprazole, lanstroprazole and rabeprazole, either alone or in combinations with other drugs, for the treatment of COVID-19 [47].

However, recent evidences suggest otherwise. A population-based online survey carried out in the United States of America revealed a dose-response relationship between intake of PPIs and getting tested as COVID-19 positive; those taking PPIs once daily (OR = 2.15, 95 % CI [1.90–2.44]) or twice daily (OR = 3.67; 95 % CI [2.93–4.60]) showed significantly higher odds for reporting a COVID-19 positive test as compared to the ones not taking PPIs [48]. Another retrospective study on 152 COVID-19 patients showed treatment with PPIs to be associated with an increased risk of secondary infection (OR = 2.37, 95 % CI [1.08–5.22], P = 0.032) and acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) (48.4 % vs. 12.2 %, P = 0.020) along with a significantly higher index mortality (19.4 % vs. 5.6 %, P = 0.010) [49]. However, both of these studies had limitations; while the former is an observational study with possible protopathic bias, the latter is a retrospective analysis considering only hospitalized COVID-19 patients and ignoring the impact of the duration of PPI intake. Another retrospective study on 154 hospitalized adults with COVID-19 concluded on similar lines, showing that PPIs have no therapeutic role in this disease [50].

Since none of the studies could be considered as confirmatory, we need to initiate larger studies in the form of RCTs to come to a definite conclusion.

**Conclusions**

Although the possibilities of considering PPIs as therapeutic options in COVID-19 have been proposed and discussed in some of the recent publications [45, 51, 52], the clinical evidence for the same is a mix bag. We have hypothesized their potential roles based on specific cellular mechanisms and summarized all the relevant findings and information till date to get a well-balanced and holistic view on the topic. Multiple pathways (▶ Fig. 1), as discussed, could be targeted with these drugs, leading on to disruption of the egress of COVID-19. However, the real effectiveness needs to be
understood through large scale pragmatic RCTs and registry-based studies to comment on the therapeutic roles of PPIs in COVID-19 with a certain degree of confidence.
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