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Abstract

More force must be applied when dragging a wet compared with a dry cloth lying on the table. Increased force is needed because the fluid between the cloth and the surface of the table produces an adhesion force. In this paper, we study the adhesion force between a wet cloth and the surface of an object. To compute the adhesion force, we used the adhesion force model used in textile research based on real-world experiments and also considered the effect of wrinkles, which, to our knowledge, has not been investigated in previous work. Furthermore, we studied the phenomenon in which a wet cloth adheres to the surface of an object and that in which a wet cloth adheres to itself when undergoing self-collision.
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1. Introduction

In computer graphics research, cloth simulation has been studied for a long time. There were many topics about cloth simulations like the motions of cloth, collision detection with other objects or acceleration of a simulation. However, most cloth simulations have studied dry cloth. The study of wet cloth was less studied than a study of dry cloth.

The wet cloth has various characteristics than a dry cloth. When a dry cloth gets wet, it adheres to the body and makes more wrinkles. Many studies have investigated simulations of wet cloth that has different characteristics than dry cloth. Most wet cloth simulations have used the fluid-cloth two-way coupling method considering both fluid and cloth simulations. The first two-way coupling method proposed did not consider the diffusion of the fluid throughout the cloth [5]. Afterwards, one study [6] proposed the two-way coupling method of a porous object and cloth, and another [7] proposed a method in relation to how fluid diffuses in cloth. Until recently, research on wet cloth has proposed a two-way coupling method considering both fluid and cloth simulations [8]. These studies have proposed a method of diffusion focusing...
on fluid simulation; however, they have not discussed the motion of wet cloth on the surface of an object.

Unlike previous researches, several studies have proposed various methods to simulate wet cloth without considering fluid particles. By using the feature that many wrinkles are created when cloth gets wet, [10] proposed a novel buckling method that produced numerous wrinkles in the cloth of a virtual avatar that looked similar to those in wet cloth. They simulated the wet cloth without considering the additional force of fluid, but considered a simplified mass saturation model that computes the mass of the cloth when it gets wet and a skin-to-cloth friction model based on real-world experiments. [12] also simulated the wet cloth without considering fluid particles and showed the varying motions of wet cloth under different humidity environments by using the adhesion force model based on real-world experiments in textile research.

Similar to the presented works, we simulate the wet cloth without considering fluid simulation. If we consider both fluid and cloth simulations, the simulation will be complex and time-consuming because we need to compute some values about not only cloth vertices but also fluid particles and consider exchanging physical quantities between fluid particles and cloth vertices. Because one of our purpose is that showing more realistic result than previous works through easier method, we do not consider fluid particles simulation in our experiments. As we do not consider fluid particles, we must compute the force that fluid gives to cloth. Thus, we use the adhesion force that has been modeled in textile research based on real-world experiments and show the phenomenon that wet cloth sticks to a surface of an object. In previous work [12], they used this adhesion force model to express the wet cloth and computed the force based on the area of the cloth contacting the surface of an object. They could express the adhesive phenomenon of wet cloth by using adhesion force model, but there were some artifacts that part of the wet cloth contacting the surface of an object totally adhered to the floor because the contacting area had same adhesion force. Differing from their work, we compute the adhesion force based on the vertex of the cloth, so each cloth vertex can have different adhesion force values. Through this, we produce natural wrinkles in the part of the cloth contacting the surface of an object. Furthermore, by expressing object-cloth adhesion effects and cloth-cloth adhesion effects that occur when the cloth collides with itself, we show more realistic results of the collisions of a wet cloth.

2. Related work

2.1 Cloth simulation

Cloth simulation has been studied for a long time in computer graphics research. Based on the elastic theory, [1] primally expressed time-varying cloth dynamics. In addition, [2] proposed a mass-spring cloth model that is widely used as the basic structure of cloth. They modeled the cloth structure as vertices that have a mass and spring that join two vertices, controlled the tension and the damping of the springs by an internal force, and limited the length of the springs to be shorter and longer than a certain length using a distance constraint. To resolve the problem in previous works that used a small time step to ensure the numerical stability of simulation, [3] used an implicit integration method that allowed them to use a large time step and maintain simulation stability. [4] resolved the post-buckling problem that was not resolved by the implicit method by using the semi-implicit method.

We use the mass-spring model previously introduced for modelling the basic structure and dynamics of cloth and compute the position and the velocity of vertices in the next time step by the semi-implicit Euler integration method.

2.2 Wet cloth simulation

Studies of wet cloth simulation only began relatively recently. Wetting cloth by fluid is the same as the cloth interacting with fluid, so most studies with simulations of the wet cloth consider both fluid and cloth simulations. [5] proposed a two-way coupling method for interactions between fluid and a shell using a smoothed particle hydrodynamics (SPH) method that formulates the fluid dynamics, but there was a limit in that the fluid could not pass through the shell. Unlike previous works, [6] proposed the porous object-fluid interaction method using Darcy’s law and showed how a fluid flows out of a porous object such as cloth using fluid simulation. [7] extended the previous two-way coupling method by using the fluid diffusion model obtained from Fick’s laws of diffusion and proposed a novel two-way coupling method focusing on how a fluid diffuses in a cloth. Similar to these works, wet cloth simulation that considers both fluid and cloth simulation has been recently studied [8]; however, because that study focused on the interaction between fluid and cloth, the motion of the wet cloth when lying on the surface of the object was not investigated.

Some studies proposing a method to simulate the collisions of wet cloth have not considered the fluid particle used in fluid simulation. [9] used fractional derivatives to study the collisions of cloth underwater without considering fluid simulation. Using the feature that cloth gains more wrinkles when wet, [10] proposed a novel
buckling method that added many wrinkles to show that wet cloth appears with wrinkles in a similar manner as real-world wet cloth. However, when cloth gets wet in the real-world, it tends to adhere to the surfaces of objects because of the fluid between the cloth and the surface of the object it contacts. They did not consider this feature and simply expressed that the wet cloth had more wrinkles, so they could not express the adhesive phenomenon that the cloth adheres to the surface of objects. [11] thought that a cause of the adhesive phenomenon is the difference in pressure between the closed space by wet cloth and the atmosphere, so they attempted to show that the adhesive phenomenon causes the wet cloth to stick to the surface of the object. However, the result of applying this difference in pressure showed a sharp and concave tent-shape when pulling up the center of the cloth on the table rather than the adhesive phenomenon of wet cloth. [12] considered using the adhesion force model based on real-world experiments in textile research to express wet cloth adhering to the surface of an object and showed various motions of the wet cloth according to different humidity environments. They showed the adhesive phenomenon of the wet cloth sticking to the surface of the object, but part of the cloth that was sticking to the surface of the object adhered to the floor entirely. In addition, [13] introduced a method by using rendering without any additional force to make the cloth appear wet. Similar to these previous works, we express wet cloth without a fluid simulation. To do so, we use the adhesion force model that is theoretically modeled in textile research based on real-world experiments. Although previous work[12] has also used this adhesion force model, our study differs in terms of the application of the adhesion force and the production of natural wrinkles in the part of the cloth sticking to the surface of the object. Moreover, we show the phenomena where the wet cloth adheres to the surface of an object, and to itself when undergoing self-collision.

3. Algorithm

3.1 Basic algorithm of the wet cloth

Mass-spring model We use the mass-spring model of the cloth proposed by [2] to express the basic dynamics of the cloth. We make the structure of the cloth by composing \(n \times n\) vertices that have mass and massless springs that join two adjacent vertices. Springs connecting \(P(i, j)\) and \(P(i+1, j)\), \(P(i, j+1)\), or \(P(i, j - 1)\) are called structural springs, springs connecting \(P(i, j)\) and \(P(i+1, j+1)\), \(P(i+1, j-1)\), \(P(i-1, j+1)\), or \(P(i+1, j-1)\) are called shear springs, and springs connecting \(P(i, j)\) and \(P(i+2, j)\), \(P(i-2, j)\), \(P(i, j+2)\), or \(P(i, j-2)\) are called flexion springs, see [Figure 1]. Structural springs express the expanding and contracting of the cloth, shear springs express the shear stress of the cloth, and flexion springs express the bending stress of the cloth. The internal force of the springs consists of tension and damping terms, so the force is computed as Eq. (1).

\[
F_{\text{internal}}(P_i) = \sum_j \left(k_{\text{stiffness}}(l_{ij} - l_{ij}^0) + k_{\text{damping}}v_{ij}l_{ij}ight)
\]

(1)

where \(j\) is an index of vertices that are linked with \(P_i\) by a spring, \(k_{\text{stiffness}}\) and \(k_{\text{damping}}\) are constants of stiffness and spring damping, \(l_{ij}\) is a position difference vector between two vertices, \(P_i\) and \(P_j\), i.e., \(l_{ij} = P_j - P_i\), and \(l_{ij}^0\) are the current and initial lengths of two linked vertices, and \(v_{ij}\) is the velocity difference between two linked vertices.

![Figure 1: Basic structure of the mass-spring cloth model.](image_url)

Friction force We use the friction force model based on Coulomb’s friction model about both static and kinetic friction proposed by [15]. He proposed the velocity control method to express the friction model, and because the friction force acts to oppose the direction of motion of an object and is parallel to the contacting surface, they only controlled the tangential velocity, \(v_T\), of the cloth. The friction force is in the opposite direction as the pre-friction tangential velocity, \(v_T^{pre}\). If the friction velocity \(v_F\) is larger than \(v_T^{pre}\), then the cloth maintains its static state because of the static friction force or will stop because of the kinetic friction force. In other words, the tangential velocity \(v_T\) will be 0 in this state. We can obtain the final tangential velocity as follows.

\[
v_T = \max([v_T^{pre}] - |v_F|, 0) \cdot v_T^{pre}
\]

(2)

Mass saturation model When a cloth is wet due to fluid, its whole mass increases. To show this feature, we apply the mass saturation model based on real-world experiments proposed by [16],
Figure 2: (a) Cross-section of the theoretically modeled liquid bridge to compute the adhesion force proposed by [14]. (b) The liquid bridge. The adhesion force is computed based on this model.

which derives the equation of the amount of fluid absorbed by the cloth over time.

\[
A = B (1 - e^{-\frac{t}{c}}),
\]

(3)

where \( A(g) \) is the amount of fluid absorbed by the cloth at time \( t(\text{min}) \), \( B \) is weight constant in the saturated state per 1 g, and \( c \) is the hygroscopicity constant depending on the cloth material. This equation calculates the amount of the fluid absorbed by the cloth at time \( t \). The variation in the amount of the fluid absorbed by the cloth in a time step also needs to be calculated, so we compute this variation and apply it to the mass of the cloth in the next time step.

\[
\frac{dA}{dt} = \frac{B}{c} e^{-\frac{t}{c}},
\]

(4)

where \( B \) and \( c \) use values obtained from real-world experiments.

Table 1: The weight constant (\( B \)) and the hygroscopicity constant (\( c \)) obtained from real experiments in [16].

|            | Cotton | Coarse wool | High absorption fabric |
|------------|--------|-------------|------------------------|
| \( B \)    | 0.84   | 1.24        | 2.492                  |
| \( c \)    | 8.884  | 4.8936      | 3.3803                 |

3.2 Vertex-based adhesion force model

3.2.1 Adhesion force

The wet cloth tends to adhere to the surface of the object when the cloth contacts with the object because having fluid between the cloth and the object produces an adhesion force. When the cloth gets wet, the fluid makes a liquid bridge and an adhesion force. The force from the pressure difference between the liquid bridge and the atmosphere and that from the surface tension of the liquid bridge include the adhesion force that allows the wet cloth to adhere to the surface of the object.

[14] modeled the liquid bridge (see Figure 2) and proposed a theoretical adhesion force model based on two causes of adhesion force. We express the adhesive phenomenon between the cloth and the surface of the object using this adhesion force model to obtain the adhesion force as follows.

\[
F_{\text{adhesion}} = \pi r^2 \cdot \gamma \cdot \left( \cos \theta_a + \cos \theta_b \right) - \frac{\sin \theta_a}{h} + 2\pi r \cdot \gamma \cdot \sin \theta_a,
\]

(5)

where \( \gamma \) is the surface tension constant of the fluid that makes the liquid bridge, \( r \) is the radius of the circle that supposes that the contact surface between the cloth and the liquid bridge is a circle, \( h \) is the height of the liquid bridge, \( \theta_a \) and \( \theta_b \) are contact angles where the liquid bridge meets the cloth and contact surface, and \( R_1 \) and \( R_2 \) are the principal radii of curvature of the liquid bridge and the atmosphere. One of the causes of adhesion force is by a pressure difference between the air (atmosphere) and the fluid which makes the liquid bridge and the other is by a surface tension of the fluid. First term of the right side of Eq. (5) means the force made by a pressure difference between the air and the fluid which is computed according to Young-Laplace equation, and second term means the force made by the surface tension of the fluid.

We use a surface tension constant of water as \( \gamma \) to express the wet cloth by water. If the positions of the vertices of the cloth model used in the experiments are regular, we suppose that \( r \) is half of the interval of two vertices. For example, if the interval of two vertices is 1 cm, then we compute the adhesion force with \( r \) as 0.5 cm. A liquid bridge has a non-zero small height when the cloth
totally adhered to the contact surface and is destroyed when the
distance between the cloth and the contact surface is longer than
the maximum height of the liquid bridge we defined. As the height of
the liquid bridge increases, the principal radii of curvature $R_1$ and
$R_2$ will also be increased, thereby increasing the contact angles, $\theta_a$
and $\theta_b$. So we defined the values of contact angles as 90 when the
liquid bridge has its maximum height and as non-zero small value
like 0.001 when the cloth totally adhere to the contact surface.

The adhesion force appears not on the whole part of the cloth,
but rather on the part of the cloth that contacts the object. Consider-
ing this feature, previous work [12] modified the adhesion force
model and computed the force based on the area where the wet
cloth contacts the object. They compute the area where the cloth
adheres to the contact surface, then compute the adhesion force
using this area, so the area is computed only once in a time step.
If the adhesion force is computed only once in a time step and is
applied to the whole cloth, it is contrary to the definition of adhe-
sion force because the adhesion force appears when part of the wet
cloth contacts the object. Even if the adhesion force is applied to
only the contacting area part, the wet cloth cannot make natural
wrinkles because the contacting part has the same adhesion force
distributed throughout its surface.

To solve this problem, we compute the adhesion force based on
each vertex rather than the area of the cloth that contacts the ob-
ject. First, we find the vertices that contact with the object and de-
fine them to the type of contact vertex (blue vertices in [Figure 3]).
Among these contact vertices, if there are vertices where the dis-

tance from the vertex to the surface of the object contacted by the
vertex is longer than the predefined maximum distance (height)
of the liquid bridge, then we switch the type of these vertices to
non-contact vertex (black vertices in [Figure 3]). Lastly, we sup-
posed that the vertices where the distance from the vertex to the
surface of the object that the vertex contacted is longer than the
predefined maximum distance (height) of the liquid bridge have
a liquid bridge, and the adhesion forces about these vertices were
calculated. Through our method, each contact vertex can have dif-
ferent height of the liquid bridge, and, unlike previous work, each
part of the area of the cloth that contacts the object can have dif-
ferent values of adhesion force. As a result, we can create natural
wrinkles in the area of the cloth contacts the object or the floor.

### 3.2.2 Self-adhesion effect

In the real world, similar to the adhesion force between the cloth
and the surface of the object that appears when the cloth and object
collide, the adhesion force also appears when the cloth collides
with itself. When the cloth collides with itself, i.e., self-collision
occurs, a liquid bridge is made between the cloth and the cloth; this
bridge makes the adhesion force. [10] proposed a buckling method
that makes many wrinkles in the wet cloth appear similar to wrin-
kles of real-world wet cloth. Because this work did not consider the
force that the fluid imposes onto the cloth, they did not show the
adhesive phenomenon of the wet cloth. Thus, neither the adhesive
phenomenon of the cloth adhering to the skin of the virtual avatar
nor the adhesive phenomenon of the cloth adhering to itself (called
self-adhesion effect) were expressed.

[12] introduced the concept of humidity, which was not men-
tioned in previous studies, and based on this, showed various mo-
tions of the wet cloth. In this work, they used the adhesion force to
express the phenomenon of the cloth adhering to the surface of the
object, but they did not address the self-adhesion effect. Instead,
they simply constrained the distance between two vertices so that
they would not get too close.

We used the adhesion force model that is theoretically modeled
to express the adhesive phenomenon between the cloth and the sur-
Figure 4: Comparison between with and without the self-adhesion force. (a) If two parts of the cloth is closed to each other, (b) the self-collision is occurred. (c) Without self-adhesion force, unlike the real-world wet cloth, the cloth does not adhere to itself after self-collision and returns to its original position, as shown in the results of the dry cloth. (d) With self-adhesion force, like the real-world wet cloth, the cloths adhere to itself.

4. Experiment

4.1 Experiment environment

We experimented with an Intel Core i7 7700 K desktop computer with 16 GB RAM and simulated the wet cloth interactions through C++ programming using the OpenGL library. We conducted various experiments to express the features of the wet cloth interactions. In the adhesion force used to express the adhesive phenomenon, the maximum height of the liquid bridge was defined as 0.1 cm. If the cloth model had the regular spacing of all vertices, we defined the spacing of two vertices as 1 cm and the radius of the liquid bridge \( r \) as 0.5 cm, or half of the spacing of two vertices.

We defined the contact angles \( \theta_a \) and \( \theta_b \) as non-zero small values when the liquid bridge had the maximum height and as 90 when it had the minimum height. To simulate cloth wetted by water, we defined the surface tension constant \( \gamma \) of water for computing adhesion force as 75.75, and to simulate cotton cloth, we defined the weight constant \( B \) as 0.84 and the hygroscopicity constant \( c \) as 8.884 for computing variations in the mass of the cloth.

Table 2: Experimental environments and parameters by each result. In the case of [Figure 6], we did not define the resolution of the cloth model because it is not regular.

| Figure # | Resolution of cloth | # of point | Total mass (g) | Scaling factor of adhesion force |
|----------|---------------------|------------|----------------|----------------------------------|
| Figure 5 | 60 × 60             | 3,600      | 662            | 2                               |
| Figure 6 | -                   | 3,077      | 566            | 3                               |
| Figure 7 | 50 × 50             | 2,500      | 460            | 1.5                             |
| Figure 8 | 40 × 40             | 1,600      | 294            | 1                               |
| Figure 9 | 20 × 40             | 800        | 147            | 1                               |

4.2 Experimental result

We used the theoretical adhesion force model based on real experiments in textile research. Differing from the previous work that computed the adhesion force based on area, we computed the adhesion force based on the vertex so that the vertices contacting the object had different adhesion force values. [Figure 5] shows the result of a wet cloth dropping on a static ball. In previous work, the adhesion force was computed only once per time step, so all vertices in the area of the cloth contacting the object had the same adhesion force. As a result, this area was completely adhered to the floor. We then computed the adhesion force based on the vertex. The results show that the vertices in the area of the cloth contacting...
the object have different liquid bridge heights and adhesion forces. Through this method, we could express the realistic wrinkles that were not expressed in the previous work in the area where the cloth contacts the floor.

Previous work [10] has proposed a novel buckling method to the wet cloth that explains why many wrinkles are made when moving. However, their whole method did not consider the force from the fluid, so the wet cloth in the result having the same motion as the dry cloth. [Figure 6] is the result of starting and stopping the wind of with same size and same direction as the dressed avatar. Dry cloth returns to the position before the wind blows because there is no force to adhere it to the avatar, but wet cloth adheres to the skin of the avatar just like the real-world wet cloth, even after the wind stops, because of the adhesion force.

The wet cloth is heavier than the dry cloth because the wet cloth contains fluid. Thus, when dropping the cloth on a ball, the wet cloth sagged more than the same cloth when it was dry; see [Figure 7(a)]. The adhesion force of the wet cloth exists in the areas of contact with the floor and ball, so when the ball is rotated, the wet cloth tends to adhere more to the ball than to the dry cloth. When these balls are rotated at the same time, the wet cloth has more wrinkles than the dry cloth because of the increased adhesion force between the wet cloth and both the ball and the floor [Figure 7(b)]. More force was needed when moving the wet compared with the dry cloth. This is because the wet cloth tends to adhere to the floor as a result of the liquid bridge between the cloth and the floor that makes the adhesion force. [Figure 8] shows the result of applying the same 4000 N vertical force to the dry and wet cloths. When pulling up on the center of both cloths with the same force, the height of the wet cloth was lower than that of the dry cloth because of the adhesion force.

[Figure 9] is the result of performing the simulation with and without self-adhesion force in the same frame. Because a liquid bridge is made between the cloth and the object when they collide, and is also made between the cloth and the cloth when it collides with itself, the cloth adheres to itself. If there is no self-adhesion force, after self-collision, the cloth does not adhere to itself, as demonstrated by the dry cloth, although the cloth-object adhesion force and the mass saturation model are applied. However, there is an adhesion force between the cloth and the cloth in the real world, so we express this phenomenon by applying the self-adhesion force to the existing wet cloth simulation.

4.3 Discussion

We expressed the adhesive phenomenon between the wet cloth and the object using a theoretically modeled adhesion force model and
expressed the adhesive phenomenon after the cloth is collided with itself, the self-adhesion effect, for more realistic results. Differing from previous work that computed the adhesion force based on area, we computed the adhesion force based on the vertex, supposing that each contact vertex has a liquid bridge. Through this method, we can make natural wrinkles that were not expressed in previous work.

We used the mass saturation model to express the increased total mass of the cloth when it is wetted. Because we applied this model to each contact vertex, the cloth model with many vertices was heavy. Thus, we should have adjusted the adhesion force in the model.

5. Conclusion

We have proposed a method that computes the adhesion force based on the vertex. To compute the adhesion force, we used the theoretically-based model of the liquid bridge proposed by [14]. Unlike previous work, in which the adhesion force was computed based on the area and had the same adhesion force for all contacting parts of the cloth, we computed the adhesion force based on the vertex and made different adhesion forces possible for each vertex. Through our method, we can express natural wrinkles at the contact area that were not expressed in previous work. By expressing both the cloth-object and the self-adhesive phenomena, we could achieve a more realistic result.

In fact, wet cloth can show more wrinkles as the object moves. Here we have shown results with simple movements such as ball rotation, but later, we would like to express more realistic wrinkles through interactions with complex moving objects or avatars. In addition, the rendering issue is also important in wet cloth simulation, so we plan to complement the visual results through the method introduced by [13].
Figure 6: The result of starting and stopping the wind with the same size and direction in the dressed avatar. We expressed the phenomenon that the wet cloth adheres to the skin or an object when it is wetted. Therefore, when the cloth comes into contact with the avatar, the wet cloth adheres to the avatar, even if the wind blows, causing adhesion between the cloth and the avatar. Previous work [10] made the cloth look like a wet cloth by making many wrinkles in it, but it behaves the same as a dry cloth because the previous work did not consider any force given by the fluid.

Figure 6: The result of starting and stopping the wind with the same size and direction in the dressed avatar. We expressed the phenomenon that the wet cloth adheres to the skin or an object when it is wetted. Therefore, when the cloth comes into contact with the avatar, the wet cloth adheres to the avatar, even if the wind blows, causing adhesion between the cloth and the avatar. Previous work [10] made the cloth look like a wet cloth by making many wrinkles in it, but it behaves the same as a dry cloth because the previous work did not consider any force given by the fluid.

Figure 7: (a) When dry and wet cloths are dropped on the ball, you can check the mass difference between the two cloths. (b) When two balls are rotated, the wet cloth adheres to the ball and the floor, so more wrinkles are created because the wet cloth tends to maintain its original state more than the dry cloth.

Figure 7: (a) When dry and wet cloths are dropped on the ball, you can check the mass difference between the two cloths. (b) When two balls are rotated, the wet cloth adheres to the ball and the floor, so more wrinkles are created because the wet cloth tends to maintain its original state more than the dry cloth.

Figure 8: The result of applying the same 4000 N vertical force to dry and wet cloths. The same force is applied to both cloths, but because of the adhesion force, the height of the wet cloth is lower than that of the dry cloth.

Figure 8: The result of applying the same 4000 N vertical force to dry and wet cloths. The same force is applied to both cloths, but because of the adhesion force, the height of the wet cloth is lower than that of the dry cloth.
Figure 9: The results of the simulations with and without the self-adhesion force. (a) If there is a cloth-object adhesion force only, the cloth becomes flat like a dry cloth even though it is wet, but (b) if there is a self-adhesion force, the cloth adheres to itself after a self-collision.
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