Induction of Macrophage M2b/c Polarization by Adipose Tissue-Derived Mesenchymal Stem Cells
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Background. Adipose-derived mesenchymal stem cells (ADMSCs) can promote healing and inhibit inflammation/immune response in local tissues, while the detailed mechanism remains unknown. Results. ADMSCs and peritoneal macrophages were collected from C57BL/6 mice. The culture medium (CM) from ADMSCs (24 hours cultured) was collected. The CM was added to the macrophage culture system with lipopolysaccharide (LPS) or IL-4/IL-13 or blank. And those macrophage cultures without adding CM were used as controls. A series of classification markers and signaling pathways for macrophage polarization were detected by using flow cytometry, RT-PCR, and western blotting. Furthermore, the cell viability of all the groups was detected by CCK8 assay. After CM induction in different groups, M1-Mφ markers and M2a-Mφ were decreased; however, M2b/c-Mφ markers increased. STAT3/SOCS3 and STAT6/IRF4 were suppressed in all 3 CM-treated groups. Moreover, the cell viability of all 3 groups which were induced by CM significantly increased as compared to that of the control groups without adding CM.

Conclusion. ADMSCs can induce nonactivated macrophage and M1-Mφ into M2b/c-Mφ. Downregulation of the STAT3 and STAT6 pathway may involve in this process. This data shows that the anti-inflammatory role of ADMSC in local tissues may be partly due to their effect on Mφ to M2b/c-Mφ.

1. Introduction

Stem cells are characterized by their self-renewal ability and differentiation potential. Most adult stem cells are minor populations found in adult organs. They cannot give rise to an organism and only differentiate to specific cell lineages. Mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) belong to this group, but some recent studies suggest that their paracrine action [1] and immunosuppressive properties [2] might be more meritorious than transdifferentiation. This phenomenon raises an intriguing question: What is the impact of MSC on the other cells in local tissues.

Resident tissue macrophages can rapidly respond to the microenvironment with the process of Mφ activation. The classically activated Mφ (M1-Mφ) is generated in response to IFN-γ and TNF-α signal and resulted in the hypersecretion of IL-12. M1-Mφ can be induced by bacteria or their products lipopolysaccharide (LPS). These cells kill intracellular pathogens and secrete a battery of inflammatory cytokines. In contrast, M2-Mφ mainly involved in wound repair (M2a-Mφ) and immune response (M2b/c-Mφ) and M2a activation depends mainly on IL-4 and IL-13 [3].

The mononuclear phagocyte system is of hematopoietic origin, and a part of monocyte subsets differentiates into tissue macrophages [4]. Then, certain cytokines and microbial products will make a conversion in these cells from resting to more functionally active cells. These active cells can be divided into three types according to their function [5]: classically activated macrophages (M1-Mφ), wound-healing macrophages (M2a-Mφ), and regulatory macrophages (M2b/c-Mφ). Different activated macrophages play a different role in local inflammation or systemic inflammation and multiple-organ dysfunction, which makes Mφ valuable therapeutic targets [6]. The report on the transformation between M1 and M2-Mφ shows this conception more realistic significance [7]. Meanwhile, the report on the MSC as
salvage therapy for steroid-refractory acute graft-versus-host disease [8] shows that their paracrine action and immunosuppressive properties are highly recognized, suggesting the existence of the relationship between MSC and immune cells.

Based on the findings above, we used a series of approaches to explore the effect of ADMSC on activation of Mφ and find out which signal pathway might be involved. This will help us to understand the role of ADMSC in immunosuppression in the local tissue.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Isolation of ADMSCs and Collection of the Culture Medium. Adipose tissue was obtained from 6 to 8-week-old C57BL/6 male mice (SLRC Laboratory Animal Company, Shanghai, China). Prior to the collection of the adipose tissue, mice were killed by cervical dislocation. The adipose tissue was excised from the iliac region and minced before digesting in DMEM (low glucose, Gibco-BRL, NY, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Gibco-BRL, NY, USA) and 1 mg/ml collagenase type I solution (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) in a vibration culturing box for 1 hour at 37°C. After 3 washings by centrifugation at 1200 rpm for 5 minutes in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), cells were cultured (37°C, 5% CO₂) in DMEM plus 10% FBS. After 24 hours of adhesion, nonadherent cells were removed and anchorage-dependent cells were cultured to passage 2 and reached 85% confluence. The medium was refreshed and collected after 24 hours, and cells were detected by flow cytometry. The collected culture medium (CM) was filtered with a 22 μm filter to eliminate the cell debris.

2.2. Isolation, Activation, and CM Induction of Peritoneal Macrophages. Peritoneal macrophages were harvested by 5 peritoneal washes with 1 ml of PBS containing 3 U/ml heparin. The obtained cell suspension was centrifuged at 1200 rpm for 5 minutes. Cells were suspended in the RPMI 1640 culture medium containing 10% FBS and cultured (37°C, 5% CO₂) for 8 hours. Then, the nonadherent cells were removed. The anchorage-dependent cells were detected by flow cytometry or activated and induced by the CM. The grouping of macrophages is detailed in Table 1. Cells were cultured (37°C, 5% CO₂) in DMEM plus 10% FBS with reagents mentioned above; LPS (lipopolysaccharide), IL-4, and IL-13 were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA) and 1 mg/ml collagenase type I solution (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) in a vibration culturing box for 1 hour at 37°C. After 3 washings by centrifugation at 12000 rpm for 15 min at 4°C. The supernatant was collected, and protein concentrations were determined by BCA protein assay. Proteins were subjected to SDS-PAGE analysis, transferred to nitrocellulose membranes, and incubated with the primary antibodies against STAT3, p-STAT3 (Cell Signaling Technology, MA, USA), IL10, IL27 Rα (Abcam, Cambridge, UK), IL-12b p40, and SOCS3 (Bioworld Technology, Nanjing, China). Protein bands were detected using an enhanced chemiluminescence reagent (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) following incubation with horseradish peroxidase- (HRP-) conjugated goat anti-rabbit secondary antibodies (Bioworld Technology, Nanjing, China). The expression levels of the proteins were compared to the control based on the relative intensities of the bands.

2.3. Real-Time PCR Analysis. Total cellular RNA was extracted from the different groups using the TRizol reagent (Gibco-BRL). Subsequently, mRNA was reversely transcribed to single-stranded cDNA using the First-Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Thermo, Waltham, MA, USA). Real-time PCR was conducted with the ABI 7500 Thermal Cycler Dice™ real-time system using the SYBR® Green Real-time PCR Master Mix (Toyobo, Osaka, Japan). These primer pairs were presented in Table 2, partly referred to Edwards and colleagues’ research [3]. For each sample, the relative mRNA expression levels were derived from the ratio of their expression to GAPDH expression as an internal standard using the 2-ΔΔCt method.

2.4. Western Blot Analysis. Mφ after activation and CM induction were harvested and lysed in lysis buffer containing protease and phosphatase inhibitors. The lysates were centrifuged at 12000 rpm for 15 min at 4°C. The supernatant was collected, and protein concentrations were determined by BCA protein assay. Proteins were subjected to SDS-PAGE analysis, transferred to nitrocellulose membranes, and incubated with the primary antibodies against STAT3, p-STAT3 (Cell Signaling Technology, MA, USA), IL10, IL27 Rα (Abcam, Cambridge, UK), IL-12b p40, and SOCS3 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA), GAPDH, IRF-4, and SOCS3 (Bioworld Technology, Nanjing, China). Protein bands were detected using an enhanced chemiluminescence reagent (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) following incubation with horseradish peroxidase- (HRP-) conjugated goat anti-rabbit secondary antibodies (Bioworld Technology, Nanjing, China). The expression levels of the proteins were compared to the control based on the relative intensities of the bands.

2.5. Immunofluorescent Staining for Assessment of Cell Morphology. Different groups of Mφ were washed with PBS and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 10 min. After permeabilizing with PBS containing 0.3% Triton X-100 for 10 min and soaking in 10% goat serum (Solarbio, Beijing, China) with PBS at 37°C for 30 min, cells were incubated with the IL10, IL-12b p40, and IL27 Rα antibody in PBS overnight. Subsequently, cells were then washed with PBS, and the secondary antibody solution mixed with PBS was incubated at 37°C for 1 hour in the dark room. After washing the cells three times with PBS, they were covered with DAPI working solution (Roche Science, Mannheim, Germany). Finally, they were examined using an DM4000B automated upright microscope system (Leica, Wetzlar, Germany).

2.6. Cytokinetics Analysis by CCK-8. Mφ were plated in 96-well plates at 3 × 10⁴ cells/ml. Macrophages were activated according to the methods above. Subsequently, 100 μl DMEM plus 10 μl of CCK-8 (Dojin Chemicals Co., Kumamoto, Japan) were added to each well and incubated at 37°C for 30 min. The optical density (OD) of each well was then measured using the Varioskan microplate reader (Thermo, Waltham, MA, USA) at a 450 nm wavelength.

2.7. Flow Cytometry. ADMSCs were identified for surface markers by using CD90.2 and CD105 antibodies (eBioscience,
San Diego, CA, USA). Mφ was identified by using CD11b and F4/80 antibodies (eBioscience). Mφ after activation and CM induction were stained using CD197 (eBioscience, CA, USA) and CD206 (BioLegend, CA, USA) at 4°C for 30 min. Cells were washed by PBS then detected by flow cytometry. All experiments were repeated three times.

Table 2: Primers used in real-time PCR analysis.

| Gene      | Accession number | Primers                                      |
|-----------|------------------|----------------------------------------------|
| GAPDH     | NC_000072.6      | 5′-AGTGGTCCGTTGATGCTGACATGCTG-3′             |
|           |                  | 5′-TGTAGACCATGTGATTGAGGCTC-3′               |
| Arg-1     | NC_000076.6      | 5′-GCTCCTGAGATCCAGATGCTCAGTCGCTG-3′         |
|           |                  | 5′-AGTCTCTCATGAGATCCAGATGCTCAGTCGCTG-3′     |
| FIZZ1     | NM_020509        | 5′-GCTCCTGAGATCCAGATGCTCAGTCGCTG-3′         |
|           |                  | 5′-AGTCTCTCATGAGATCCAGATGCTCAGTCGCTG-3′     |
| IGF-1     | NC_000076.6      | 5′-GCTCCTGAGATCCAGATGCTCAGTCGCTG-3′         |
|           |                  | 5′-AGTCTCTCATGAGATCCAGATGCTCAGTCGCTG-3′     |
| IL-10     | NC_000067.6      | 5′-GCTCCTGAGATCCAGATGCTCAGTCGCTG-3′         |
|           |                  | 5′-AGTCTCTCATGAGATCCAGATGCTCAGTCGCTG-3′     |
| IL-12 p40 | NM_008352        | 5′-GCTCCTGAGATCCAGATGCTCAGTCGCTG-3′         |
|           |                  | 5′-AGTCTCTCATGAGATCCAGATGCTCAGTCGCTG-3′     |
| IL-27 p28 | NC_000073.6      | 5′-GCTCCTGAGATCCAGATGCTCAGTCGCTG-3′         |
|           |                  | 5′-AGTCTCTCATGAGATCCAGATGCTCAGTCGCTG-3′     |
| iNOS      | NM_010927        | 5′-GCTCCTGAGATCCAGATGCTCAGTCGCTG-3′         |
|           |                  | 5′-AGTCTCTCATGAGATCCAGATGCTCAGTCGCTG-3′     |
| SPHK1     | NM_011451        | 5′-GCTCCTGAGATCCAGATGCTCAGTCGCTG-3′         |
|           |                  | 5′-AGTCTCTCATGAGATCCAGATGCTCAGTCGCTG-3′     |
| TNFα      | NC_000083.6      | 5′-GCTCCTGAGATCCAGATGCTCAGTCGCTG-3′         |
|           |                  | 5′-AGTCTCTCATGAGATCCAGATGCTCAGTCGCTG-3′     |

Figure 1: Flow cytometry analysis of two kinds of isolated cell surface antigen. CD105 and CD90.2 double positive cells of about 58.22% in isolated ADMSCs. CD11b and F4/80 double positive cells of about 80.14% in isolated Mφ.
2.8. Statistical Analysis. Statistical analysis was conducted using the SPSS software version 19.0. The analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to test the difference in the means between groups. Differences were considered statistically significant at $P < 0.05$ ($\ast$) or 0.01 ($\ast\ast$).

3. Results

3.1. Identification of Isolated ADMSCs and Macrophages. By using the flow cytometer, the isolated ADMSCs and macrophages were identified. CD105 and CD90.2 double positive cells, regarded as ADMSCs, are of about 58% (Figure 1(a)); CD11b and F4/80 double positive cells, regarded as macrophages, are of about 80% (Figure 1(b)).

3.2. Morphology of Induced Mφ. The changes of Mφ in morphology are obvious and stable. After LPS or IL4/13 induction, the morphological changes of Mφ are consistent with the previous report [9]. Then, those cells were stretched with many cell membrane protuberances, and a part of them became irregular (b, d, f).
became irregular, following ADMSC-CM induction (Figure 2). These changes imply the activation of M2-Mφ by ADMSC-CM.

3.3. Flow Cytometry. Flow cytometry was also used to observe the effects of the ADMSC on macrophage. After LPS induction, a part of peritoneal macrophages showed the appearance of the CD197 positive which is an important marker of M1-type macrophages. Then, the CD197+Mφ was significantly decreased following ADMSC-CM induction (Figures 3(c) and 3(d)). There was no significant difference between CD197+Mφ in the non-CM-induced groups

![Flow Cytometry Analysis](https://via.placeholder.com/150)

**Figure 3:** Flow cytometry analysis of different groups of Mφ. Compared with the other groups, after LPS induction, the fluorescence intensity of CD206 in Mφ decreased and obviously, there was a group of independent CD197+ Mφ (c). This group of CD197+ Mφ disappeared after ADMSC-CM induction (d).
LPS induction. Both the nonactivated Mφ and the LPS-induced M1-Mφ downregulate mRNA expression of iNOS after ADMSC-CM induction. The fluorescence intensity of the IL and IL-CM groups does not exceed the fluorescence threshold in 40 cycles in RT-PCR.

and the control group. Mφ induced by ADMSC-CM and IL-4/13 have no difference in the expression of CD197 or CD206.

Meanwhile, killing function of macrophage depends heavily on nitric oxide. Upregulated mRNA expression of iNOS was found in LPS-induced M1 from nonactivated Mφ. After ADMSC-CM induction, the downregulation of mRNA expression of iNOS both in the nonactivated Mφ and the LPS-induced M1-Mφ was detected (Figure 4). IL-12 is an important marker links to M1 activation of macrophage. And WB was used to detect the expression of IL-12. The trend of the expression of IL-12 was same to that of iNOS mRNA in each group (Figure 5). At the same time, immunofluorescence results also showed that the expression of IL-12 was effectively reduced after ADMSC-CM induction. The change of fluorescence intensity of non-ADMSC-CM-induced groups was not obvious.

3.4. ADMSC-Induced M2bic-Mφ. According to the macrophage classification standard of Mosser and Edwards [5], we also tested a series of M2 markers. The mRNA expression of IL-10 and SPHK-1, as M2b/c activation markers, was upregulated following ADMSC-CM induction in nonactivated Mφ and IL-4/13-induced Mφ (M2). IL-10 mRNA expression in LPS-induced M1-Mφ was upregulated following ADMSC-CM induction (Figure 6).

The mRNA expression of M2a markers, including IL-27, IGF-1, FIZZ-1, and Arg-1, in LPS-induced M1-Mφ, was downregulated following ADMSC-CM induction. IL-27 and IGF-1 mRNA expression in nonactivated Mφ was downregulated following ADMSC-CM induction, while the Arg-1 expression was upregulated. More intriguingly, after addition of ADMSC, IL-4/13-induced M2-Mφ upregulated FIZZ-1, IL-27, and Arg-1 mRNA expression. Only IGF-1 was downregulated.

The expression of IL-10 and IL-27 Rα was detected by WB. The expression of IL-10 in LPS-CM, IL, and IL-CM groups was higher than other control groups. After ADMSC induction, nonactivated Mφ and LPS-induced M1-Mφ increased their IL-10 expression (Figure 7(a)). On the contrary, IL-4/13-induced M2-Mφ downregulated IL-10 expression after ADMSC induction. IL-27 Rα is highly expressed in IL-4/13-induced M2-Mφ and was decreased after ADMSC induction (Figure 7(b)).

3.5. Effects of ADMSC on the Macrophage Jak/STAT Pathway. The Jak/STAT pathway is one of the most important pathways of the macrophage activation process. After ADMSC induction, nonactivated Mφ and LPS-induced M1-Mφ upregulated their expression of p-STAT6 and its downstream SOCS3 (Figures 8(b) and 8(c)). The expression of p-STAT3 in nonactivated Mφ showed no significant change after ADMSC induction, while the expression of SOCS3 decreased.

The JNK pathway, which can be activated by LPS, can phosphorylate STAT1 and cause M1 activation. As an important indicator of the JNK pathway, p-c-Jun is highly expressed in LPS-induced M1-Mφ, slightly expressed in IL-4/13-induced M2-Mφ, and almost not expressed in nonactivated Mφ and other groups which were induced by ADMSC-CM (Figure 8(g)). Therefore, ADMSC has an obvious inhibitory effect on the JNK pathway.

3.6. Viability of Mφ following ADMSC Induction. Macrophages have very strong adherence ability. As shown in Table 3, new isolated Mφ exist in 0.25% trypsin for 30 minutes and half of the cells remain adherent. But after 4 days of culture in vitro, nonactivated Mφ became less adherent. The LPS-induced Mφ group became even lower, and a large number of floating cells and cell debris appeared. On the contrary, Mφ in the LPS-CM group adhered more tightly than the control and LPS groups. And, Mφ in the CM, IL, and IL-CM groups has a strong adhesion as new isolated Mφ. This means that Mφ in the CM, LPS-CM, IL, and IL-CM groups are in good condition after 4 days of culture in vitro.

Based on the above phenomenon, Mφ viability and Bax expression were detected. The results show that IL-4 and IL-13 can decrease the expression of Bax, while MSC can improve the cell activity of macrophages. The cell viability of all the groups which were induced by ADMSC-CM increased based on the CCK8 assay, while Mφ in the IL and IL-CM groups downregulated the expression of Bax (Figure 9).

4. Discussion

4.1. M1-Mφ Induced to M2-Mφ by ADMSC. Induction to polarization of macrophages is a potential method of the treatment of inflammatory injury. MSC can secrete a variety of cytokines [10]; we wondered if these cytokines could affect
the activation of macrophages. For nonactivated Mφ and M1-Mφ, our data shows that ADMSC induction can decrease the M1 marker expression (iNOS and IL-12) and increase the M2b/c marker expression (IL-10 and SPHK-1). Previous reports show that MSCs directly inhibit inflammation by promoting macrophage polarization toward M2 [11, 12]. Our results further confirmed that M2-Mφ markers especially M2b/c increased significantly after adding ADMSC to LPS-induced M1-Mφ. This shows that ADMSC can inhibit the differentiation to M1-Mφ and induce the nonactivated Mφ/M1-Mφ to M2-Mφ.

M1-Mφ is involved in cell-mediated immune response and accomplished during infection by producing a series of proinflammatory cytokines, which also lead to host-tissue damage. M2-Mφ with high phenotypic heterogeneity produced anti-inflammatory cytokines to attenuate inflammation [13]. After ADMSC induction, M1-Mφ downregulated the expression of p-c-Jun and iNOS and upregulated the expression of p-Stat3, p-Stat6, and IL-10. ADMSC induced M1-Mφ to M2-Mφ suggests that ADMSC directly regulates direction of macrophage polarization to participate in the inflammatory process.

4.2. ADMSC Induced “Not Fully Activated M2-Mφ” Similar to M2b/c-Mφ. M2 includes M2a, M2b, and M2c three subtypes each with different functions in the inflammation process [14]. However, the type of M2-Mφ induced by ADMSC is complex. At mRNA levels, all the M2a-Mφ markers still keep upregulated after adding CM; moreover, M2b/c markers were upregulated too. At the protein level, the expression of IL-10 and IL-27 decreased after addition of CM. Mφ seem to be induced to a kind of M2-like Mφ, which have an independent set point.

A previous research showed that the JNK pathway is associated with LPS-induced M1 activation, while the Jak/STAT6 pathway is mainly links to IL-4/13-induced M2 activation [15]. And, the Jak/STAT3 pathway is related to both of them [16, 17]. Our data shows that ADMSC
induction could activate the expression of STAT6 and its downstream IRF4 in nonactivated Mφ and M1-Mφ. Moreover, the expression of IL-10 also increased in both types of Mφ. This evidenced that the effects of ADMSC on nonactivated Mφ and M1-Mφ are reliable. However, ADMSC-induced M2-Mφ was different from IL-4/13-induced

Figure 6: The mRNA expression in different groups of Mφ. IL-10 and SPHK-1 are M2b/c markers. IL-27, IGF-1, FIZZ-1, and Arg-1 are M2a markers. Compared with the control, LPS, and IL groups, Mφ in the CM, LPS-CM, and IL-CM groups upregulated their M2b/c marker mRNA expression, except SPHK-1 mRNA expression of the LPS-CM group. Compared with the control and LPS groups, Mφ in the CM and LPS-CM groups downregulated their M2a markers mRNA expression, except FIZZ1-1 and Arg-1 mRNA expression of the control group. The IL-CM group upregulated their M2a marker mRNA expression, except IGF-1, compared with the IL group.

Figure 7: IL-10 and IL-27 expression in different groups of Mφ. IL-10 is highly expressed in the LPS-CM, IL, and IL-CM groups. Compared with the control and LPS groups, CM and LPS-CM increased their IL-10 expression, after ADMSC induction. But IL4/13-induced M2-Mφ decreased IL-10 expression, after ADMSC induction. On the contrary, IL-27 is highly expressed in IL4/13-induced M2-Mφ and was decreased after ADMSC induction.
**Figure 8:** The relative expression of a series of pathway proteins in different groups of macrophages. After ADMSC induction, nonactivated Mφ and LPS-induced M1-Mφ increased their expression of p-STAT6 and its downstream IRF4 (d and e). On the contrary, IL-4/13-induced M2-Mφ decreased their expression after ADMSC induction. On the other hand, after ADMSC induction, LPS-induced M1-Mφ and IL-4/13-induced M2-Mφ increased their expression of p-STAT3 and its downstream SOCS3 (b and c). The expression of p-STAT3 in nonactivated Mφ showed no significant change after ADMSC induction, while the expression of SOCS3 decreased.
M2-Mϕ; p-STAT6 and IRF-4 were downregulated in IL-4/13-induced M2-Mϕ but upregulated in ADMSC-induced M2-Mϕ as shown in (Figure 8). This data verifies our hypothesis that ADMSC-induced M2 is not a kind of "not fully activated M2-Mϕ", but a kind of M2-like Mϕ with its own set point.

As a proinflammatory signaling pathway, the activation of JNK signaling facilitates a high expression of proinflammatory factors such as NO, TNF-α, and IL-1β [18]. Our data shows that p-c-Jun is highly expressed in M1-Mϕ and slightly expressed in M2-Mϕ, but almost no expression in nonactivated Mϕ and all ADMSC-induced Mϕ. Lower expression of p-c-Jun indicates lower inflammatory response of ADMSC-induced Mϕ. Considering the protein expression of IL-10 and IL-27, ADMSC-induced Mϕ also was different from classic IL-4/13-induced M2-Mϕ. This is another reason for not considering ADMSC-induced Mϕ as a kind of "not fully activated M2-Mϕ”.

Compared with M2a-Mϕ, ADMSC-induced Mϕ was more similar to M2b/c-Mϕ, which is a potent cell type of inhibiting inflammation. The most prominent feature of M2b/c-Mϕ is the secretion of a large number of IL-10, which can inhibit the production and activity of various proinflammatory cytokines [13, 19]. Consistent with this, our results showed that all of the ADMSC-induced macrophages upregulated the mRNA expression of IL-10. Although M2b/c-Mϕ can produce many proinflammatory cytokines, the production of IL-10 let M2b/c-Mϕ show the main function of inhibiting inflammation.

4.3. Potential ADMSC-Secreted Cytokine Induced M2b/c-Mϕ.
Previous studies indicate that ADMSC secrete a couple of cytokines including IL-2, IL-6, IL-8, FGF, MCP-1, RANTES, and VEGF (Figure 10) [20, 21]. Among these, IL-6 is a major cytokine and exerts activity by regulating JAK/STAT signal transduction [20–22]. Our data confirmed the obvious change of the JAK/STAT pathway in ADMSC-induced macrophage (Figure 8). Moreover, the M2b activation is mainly a response to IL-6 accompanying high expression of IL-10 [13, 20]. Our results found the high expression of IL-10 in

| Attachment efficiency | In culture medium | 5-10 min | In 0.25% trypsin | 30-40 min |
|-----------------------|------------------|----------|----------------|----------|
| Control               | 80-90%           | <10%     | >40%           |          |
| CM                    | >95%             | >40%     | >40%           |          |
| LPS                   | 70-80%           | <10%     | >40%           |          |
| LPS-CM                | 90-95%           | <10%     | <40%           |          |
| IL                    | >95%             | <40%     | >40%           |          |
| IL-CM                 | >95%             | <40%     | >40%           |          |

Figure 9: CCK-8 assay and Bax expression of a series of pathway proteins in different groups of macrophages. (a) The relative viability of all groups. The relative viability of all the groups which were induced by ADMSC increased. (b) Bax expression of groups. Bax expression in the IL and IL-CM groups was decreased.
ADMSC-induced macrophage. Furthermore, the regulation on macrophage polarization is induced by multiple cytokines secreted by ADMSC. For example, IL-8 secreted by MSC induced macrophage to M2 macrophage and VEGF probably affect transformation of M1 macrophages into M2 macrophages [23, 24]. Considering the mentioned above, the polarization of Mφ to M2b/c-Mφ may be induced by ADMSC-secreted cytokines especially IL-6.

The regulation of macrophage activation is one of the regulatory mechanisms of ADMSC downregulation of inflammation. In some severe inflammation, the activation of a large number of white blood cells, including macrophages, will release a lot of inflammatory cytokines [25]. Based on the phenomenon we observed, all the M2-Mφ not only downregulated the inflammation but also prevent cell apoptosis and maintain a strong adherent ability. IL-4/13 or ADMSC-induced Mφ decreased Bax expression, while increased cell viability. The combination of IL-4/13 and ADMSC has an important application value.

In summary, the anti-inflammatory role of ADMSC in local tissues may be due to their effect on Mφ and induce them to M2b/c-Mφ. Then, those M2b/c-Mφ play a pivotal role in regulating the progression of the inflammatory process. This mechanism is a reasonable explanation for why ADMSC can treat immunological/inflammatory diseases, such as the allergic [26], rheumatoid [27, 28], and graft-versus-host diseases [8]. As a differentiated cell, ADMSC has a powerful regenerative function. But the immune regulation ability of ADMSC just like adding wings to a tiger greatly improves the application value of ADMSC (Figure 10).
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