Study of mandibular foramen from different bony landmarks in dry human mandibles
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Abstract
Mandibular foramen is an irregular foramen located just above the center of the medial surface of the ramus of the mandible. Mandibular foramen is leading to mandibular canal through which inferior alveolar nerve and vessels will transmit and supply the teeth of lower jaw.1 The inferior alveolar nerve block is the commonest local anesthetic technique which is used for anesthetizing the lower jaw in various surgical & dental operations on the mandible and mandibular teeth such as removal of impaction, reductions of fractures and extraction of teeth. The success of this technique highly depends on the proximity of the needle tip to the MF at the time of the anesthetic injection. The aim of the study was to find exact location of mandibular foramen from different anatomical landmark. In present study we took 60 dry human mandibles & all the distances were measured from center of mandible to different landmark on both sides. The mean of MF-AB distance on right side is 15.6mm & on left side is 15.3mm. Mean of MF-PB distance are 12.0mm & 11.0mm on right side and left side respectively, MF-MB distance 23.4 mm and 22.9 mm are the mean of MF-MN on right and left side respectively. The mean of MF-AG is 23.2mm on right side & 24.2mm on left side. There is great variation found in the position of MF in previous studies. The present study helps in dental anaesthesia and also would help dental surgeons to avoid complications.
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Introduction
Mandibular foramen is an irregular foramen located just above the center of the medial surface of the ramus of the mandible. Mandibular foramen is leading to mandibular canal through which inferior alveolar nerve and vessels will transmit and supply the teeth of lower jaw.1 Placement of mandibular endosseous implants can be problematic especially in the area of neurovascular bundles. The complications, such as altered sensation, numbness and pain, often occurred if these vital structures, such as inferior alveolar nerve (IAN) and foramen, are not properly identified. In addition, damage of related blood vessels (e.g., inferior alveolar or lingual artery) may lead to excessive bleeding. Damage to these vital structures often arise from clinician’s surgical mistakes as well as fail to identify these structures.2 Hence, it is critical to determine the location and configuration of the mandibular canal (MC) and related anatomical structures so these types of damages can be minimized.3

The MF leads into the mandibular canal (MC), a canal which traverses the body of the mandible. The inferior alveolar nerve block is the commonest local anesthetic technique which is used for anaesthetizing the lower jaw in various surgical & dental operations on the mandible and mandibular teeth such as removal of impaction, reductions of fractures and extraction of teeth. Unfortunately the failure rate of this technique is high and commonest cause being inaccurate localization of mandibular foramen.4 The success of this technique highly depends on the proximity of the needle tip to the MF at the time of the anesthetic injection.5 IAN block failure is not uncommon and it occurs even with experienced hands.6,7 Failure with this procedure could be as high as 45%.

The aim of this study is to determine the position of the mandibular foramen from various anatomical landmarks in several dry adult human mandibles and provide valuable information to our clinician and dental practitioners.

Materials and Method
The study was designed and performed in department of Anatomy, JIUS, IIMSR Warudi, Badnapur. 60 dry human mandibles were selected from the skeletal collection of the department of Anatomy, IIMSR Warudi, Badnapur. All were adult mandibles and the exact ages of which were not known. Only those mandibles were selected which had first or second molars or canine tooth present on the same side.

The edentulous, damaged mandibles and mandibles with tilted occlusal plane of the molars were excluded from the study. The positions of the mandibular foramen from various landmarks were recorded on both the sides of the mandibular ramus, so total 120 measurements were taken from 60 mandibles. The measurements were taken with the help of a compass asthesio meter. For anatomical study of the mandibular foramen, the measurement were taken from the anterior border of the mandibular foramen to the anterior border of the ramus of mandible and designated as (MF-AB) and from the posterior border of the mandibular foramen to the posterior border of the ramus (MF-PB). Similarly, distance from the superior border of the
mandibular foramen to the mandibular notch (MF-MN), from posterior inferior border mandibular foramen to the angle of mandible (MF-AG) and distance from the superior border of the mandibular foramen to the base of the mandible (MF-MB) were measured and recorded [Fig. 1]. The distances from the mandibular foramen to various landmarks were calculated as a mean of two measurements recorded independently by two people. All the measurements were recorded in millimeters with accuracy of 0.01 mm. The height of ramus was calculated by adding the (MF-MB) and (MF-MN) distances and the horizontal width of ramus was measured from the anterior border of the ramus of mandible to the posterior border of the ramus passing through the middle of the mandibular foramen (AB-PB). The width of the mandibular foramen was calculated by subtracting, the sum of the distances of (MF-AB) and (MF-PB) from the distance between anterior border of ramus to the posterior border of ramus of the mandible (AB-PB). The midpoint of horizontal width and vertical height of the ramus was calculated and the position of mandibular foramen with respect to the midpoint of horizontal width and vertical height was calculated.

### Results

The mean distance for each measurement of both sides of the mandible, range and the standard deviation (SD) is tabulated in [Table 1]. Measurements of ramus of mandible and mandibular foramen are summarized in [Table 2]. The mean distance from the mandibular foramen to the anterior border of the ramus was 15.6 ± 1.66 mm and 15.3 ± 1.99 mm on the right and left sides respectively and from the mandibular foramen to the posterior border of the ramus was 12.0 ± 1.65 mm and 11.0 ± 1.82 mm on the right and left sides respectively. The Mandibular foramen is positioned at a mean distance of 17.70 ± 2.56 mm on the right side and 17.0 ± 2.17 mm on the left side, from the mandibular notch. Likewise, the mean distance between superior border of the mandibular foramen and mandibular base is 23.4 ± 3.25 mm on the right side and 22.9 ± 3.05 mm on the left side. The mean distance from mandibular foramen and angle of the mandible was found to be 23.2 ± 3.80 mm on the right side and 24.2 ± 3.17 mm on the left side [Table 1].

### Table 1: Distances (in mm) from the mandibular foramen to various landmarks of the mandible

| Measurements | Side | Range     | Mean  | Standard deviation (SD) |
|--------------|------|-----------|-------|-------------------------|
| MF-AB        | Right | 12.75-19.18 | 15.6  | 1.66                    |
|              | Left  | 12.48-19.44 | 15.3  | 1.99                    |
| MF-PB        | Right | 09.40-15.60 | 12.0  | 1.65                    |
|              | Left  | 09.30-15.00 | 11.0  | 1.82                    |
| MF-MN        | Right | 13.80-20.14 | 17.7  | 2.56                    |
|              | Left  | 13.40-21.30 | 17.0  | 2.17                    |
| MF-MB        | Right | 15.14-26.60 | 23.4  | 3.25                    |
|              | Left  | 15.20-25.90 | 22.9  | 3.50                    |
| MF-AG        | Right | 13.00-26.60 | 23.2  | 3.80                    |
|              | Left  | 12.60-27.20 | 24.2  | 3.17                    |

The average height of the mandibular ramus was found to be 43.15 ± 5.85 mm of right side and 42.70 ± 5.78 mm of left side. Likewise average width of the ramus was found to be 30.23 ± 2.15 mm of right side and 30.02 ± 2.0 mm of left side.

### Table 2: Measurements (in mm) of ramus of the mandible and mandibular foramen

| Measurements       | Side | Range     | Mean  | Standard deviation (SD) |
|--------------------|------|-----------|-------|-------------------------|
| Height of ramus    | Right | 30.06-54.0 | 43.15 | 5.85                    |
|                    | Left  | 30.0-53.2  | 42.70 | 5.78                    |
| Width of ramus     | Right | 25.88-35.4 | 30.23 | 2.15                    |
|                    | Left  | 25.79-35.8 | 30.02 | 2.05                    |
| Diameter of MF     | Right | 1.50-4.89  | 2.5   | 1.00                    |
|                    | Left  | 1.75-3.8   | 2.2   | 0.75                    |
Discussion
Anatomic variations of the mandible may result in clinical complications if not properly identified, revealing the importance of studying the incidence of these variations. Restoration of form and function without violating important anatomic structures is a fundamental goal in the surgical management of patients.

From an embryological perspective variations of number in the mandibular canal can be explained because in the early development, the inferior alveolar nerve innervates the anterior teeth group, premolar and the molar region in an independent way. The mandibular accessory foramina were related from its first description with the irrigation and the veined drainage of the temporary teeth’s system and of the alveolar processes in formation. From birth, this canal suffers a gradual obliteration during the first year of life. In adults, when these foramina remain, it is considered as an anatomical variation.

Greatest variation are found in the position of MF with different landmark. The variability of the position of MF makes it difficult to anaesthetize the inferior alveolar nerve. variations may be due to different age, sex, and difference in the methods used to measure the distance. A study on 34 adult Turkish mandibles showed that the AB-MF was 16.9 mm and 16.78 mm on the right and left sides respectively. The PB-MF was found to be 14.09 mm on the right side and 14.37 mm on the left side. The MI-MF was 22.37 mm on the right side and 22.17 mm on the left side. The of MF-MB is 30.97 mm on the right side and 29.75 mm on the left side. According to the present study, the mean AB-MF is 16.31±2.51mm on right side & 16.33±2.54mm on left side.PB-MF is 14.74±2.47mm & 14.64±2.41mm on right & left side respectively. MN-MF is 22.98±3.09mm & 23.06±3.15 on right & left side. AG-MF is 26.45±3.51mm on right side and 26.03±3.62mm found on left side. Other variation found compare to present study are given in following chart.

Karthikeya Patil et al. studied 25 Indian dry human adult mandibles and found that average mandibular ramus width was 30.2 mm. In our study average mandibular ramus width was found to be 30.23 ± 2.15 mm on the right side and 30.02 ± 2.0 mm on the left side which is close to the results of our study.

Table 3: Studies on mandibular foramen by various authors

| Author                        | Side | MF-AB     | MF-PB     | MF-MN     | MF-AG     |
|-------------------------------|------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Pranjanaparamitasamanta       | Right| 15.72±2.92| 13.29±1.74| 22.70±3.0 | 21.54±2.92|
| Prado et al (2010)            | Left | 16.23±2.88| 12.73±2.04| 22.27±2.92| 21.13±3.43|
| Qudusia Sultana et al. (2016) | Right| 17.69±2.7  | 11.39±1.9 | 23.87±4.5 | 17.9±3.5  |
| Anil Sastya1, Preeti. (2016)  | Left | 17.94±2.7  | 22.39±3.1 | 23.66±4.3 | 17.6±3.7  |
| Peresnt study                 | Right| 15.6±1.66  | 12.0±1.65 | 17.70±2.56| 23±3.8    |
|                               | Left | 15.3±1.99  | 11.10±1.82| 17.0±2.17 | 24±3.17   |

Conclusion
Precise localization of mandibular foramen is clinically very important to achieve effective inferior alveolar nerve block, prior to dental surgeries in the lower jaw like osteotomy, orthognathic reconstruction surgeries of the mandible and dental implant procedures and to avoid injury to the neurovascular contents passing through it. Accessory mandibular foramina will serve as a route for spread of infection and tumor cells. The present study concludes that the pinpoint knowledge on the position of mandibular foramen with its normality and laterality is important for planning and conducting dental surgeries, which will help for effective management, better result and prognosis. Since some investigators have stated that anaesthesia is essential for both the patients and their dentists, quoting that the opinion of the patients about local anaesthesia, it was preferred to infiltrate the anaesthetic solution in close proximity to the MF in the IA nerve block.

Fig. 1: Measurements of various landmarks from mandibular foramen
a. Anterior border of the mandibular foramen to the anterior border of the ramus of mandible (MF-AB)
b. Posterior border of the mandibular foramen to the posterior border of the ramus (MF-PB).
c. Superior border of the mandibular foramen to the mandibular notch (MF-MN),
d. Mandibular foramen to the angle of mandible (MF-AG)
e. Superior border of the mandibular foramen to the base of the mandible (MF-MB)
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