Proteome evaluation of human cystic echinococcosis sera using two dimensional gel electrophoresis
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ABSTRACT

Aim: Detection of protein expression changes in human cystic echinococcosis sera by 2D gel electrophoresis.

Background: Diagnosis and successful treatment of cystic echinococcosis (CE) is a major challenge, up to now. Identification of related expressed proteins using proteomics tools and bioinformatics analysis of patients’ sera have not been investigated, so far.

Methods: Sera from eight confirmed CE patients and three healthy controls were collected, tested by 2-DE for total protein separation of serum and analyzed using proteomics and bioinformatics methods. The gels were stained by Coomassie blue followed by scan imaging of the gels. The protein spots in each gel were analyzed using progenesis same spots software. Proteins names were obtained from TagIdent server.

Results: A total of 263 protein spots with different expression were detected in both normal and diseased samples. Comparison between diseased and normal gels showed the expression of 45 up-regulated protein spots with fold≥2 in diseased gel of which 10 were new proteins with statistical difference by normal gel (p-value<0.05). On the other hand, the expression of 50 down-regulated protein spots were observed of which 11 proteins have been suppressed. Clustering of all detected sera proteins (263) using correlation analysis, divided the proteins into 2 clusters based on up-regulated and down-regulated expression of proteins. Clustering results were approved by principal component analysis (PCA).

Conclusion: Significant protein expression changes in human CE sera which is demonstrable by application of proteomics and bioinformatics analysis makes it an impressive tool for diagnosis of CE patients.

Keywords: Proteomics, cystic echinococcosis, Cluster, Hydatid cyst, 2-D electrophoresis (2-DE).

(Please cite as: Sadjjadi FS, Rezaie-Tavirani M, Ahmadi NA, Sadjadi SM, Zali H. Proteome evaluation of human cystic echinococcosis sera using two dimensional gel electrophoresis. Gastroenterol Hepatol Bed Bench 2018;11(1):75-82).

Introduction

Cystic echinococcosis (CE)/hydatidosis is one of the most serious parasitic diseases in human and animals around the world including the Middle East (1). It is caused by the larval stage of Echinococcus granulosus (E. granulosus), a zoonotic Platyhelminthes. Human can be infected accidentally by ingesting the contaminated water or vegetables with E. granulosus eggs. The highest rate of infection is in the Mediterranean region that Iran is a country in this area. The disease has a significant impact on human and animal health and cause social and economic problems in endemic areas (2). The annual surgical cases of human CE in Iran are reported to be 1–2 per 100,000 people (3). Diagnosis and treatment of CE is a most challenge, up to now (4). CE in humans is asymptomatic in the early stages, but over time some symptoms in patient have been appeared (5). The diagnosis of CE is based on serological methods including ELISA, CCIEP and imaging methods such as radiography, ultrasound sonography (US), CT, MRI (6,7) However, when the cysts are newly formed, radiology and ultrasound methods are
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not satisfactory (8). Serologic diagnosis is difficult due to cross-reaction with other diseases. In this regard, different antigens including B antigen in its native and recombinant forms has been used for serological diagnosis of human CE (9). But investigation of serum proteome in the view of proteomics could be desirable. Rapid detection leading to biomarkers serves as strong tool to prevent and control the progression of infectious diseases (10). Investigation of specific antibodies or antigens in human sera is of interest for diagnosis of CE patients. Reliable methods and protocols are required for accurate diagnosis of human CE (11). A novel approach has been employed for identification of candidate antigens for specific immunodiagnosis of human CE. The employed strategy has taken the advantage of the fact that a database of *E. granulosus* genome is now available which allows an immunoproteomics approach to be undertaken using sera from human CE patients (12). On the other hand, identification of expressed proteins using protein function prediction methods help the researchers to assign biological or biochemical roles of proteins. One of the most frequently used techniques for characterization of the protein components of biological materials is two-dimensional gel electrophoresis (2-DE). It is used to compare changes in protein level, modification, and degradation between case and control or treated and untreated samples (13). Proteomics changes can be revealed by gel image analysis after visualization by staining and identification of protein species using cluster analysis of proteins (14). In recent years, a few studies have been conducted on the proteome of CE fluid, protoscoleces, and adult stage of worm and most of them introducing proteins. Identification of proteins with high or less expression in CE patients’ serum, may introduce new candidates protein for serological diagnostics, drug and vaccine candidates (15-18). On the other hand, detailed proteomics analysis can lead to the identification of biomarkers for diagnosis or detection of CE in early stages. One of the main applied methods in proteomics study for separation of proteins is two dimensional gel electrophoresis (2DE). The information which is obtained from 2DE is huge, so application of this method which has been used for a number of infectious diseases (19-21), makes it an impressing tool for diagnosis of CE patients. On the other hand, new analysis methods for data mining is necessary for such diagnosis (22). Using strong software and servers for identification of new patterns in the data set could help the researchers in time and results. Clustering, principle component analysis (PCA) and correlation analysis could be performed with software. In the clustering, proteins are clustered into different groups with co-expression so that in each cluster the biological correlation could be detected (23). The present study used proteomics techniques followed by gel analysis bioinformatics methods on CE patients’ sera and healthy controls in the proteome level to explain the differences and similarities in sera of this important zoonotic disease in human.

Methods

Sample collection

The sera used in this study were obtained from Iranian CE patients as well as healthy controls after having specified informed consents. All the subjects were new cases, with no pregnancy, without taking medication, lack of other disease, and cysts in the liver.

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences (ethical code: IR.SBMU.RETECH.REC.1396.537). A total of eight sera samples from CE patients were collected from CE patients who were undergone surgery for removal of their cyst and confirmed by pathology. A total three sera samples from healthy persons were used as control ones. CE patients and control sera have been frozen until use.

Protein separation (2D gel electrophoresis)

The frozen sera were defreezed in room temperature while they were vortexed on ice surface. All eight diseased samples and control sera were pooled separately and transferred into another microtubes and vortexed again. Protein concentration determined using 2D Quant kit (GE Healthcare). The first dimension of 2D electrophoresis was performed using 11 cm immobilized pH gradient (IPG) strip (pH 3-10). Before separation IPGs should be rehydrated. For rehydration 18 μl of sample was added to 180 μl of rehydration buffer consist of 7M urea, 2M thiourea,
2% CHAPS, 0.5% ampholite, 40 mM DTT and 0.002% bromphenol blue. The protein mixture and rehydration buffer were loaded to IPG strip for 20 minutes. After rehydration, the first dimension has been performed with several voltage and time as (150v, 1hrs), (300v, 3hrs), (1000v, 1hrs), (6000v gradient, 2hrs) and (6000v, 2.5hrs). In the first dimension, the proteins were separated based on their isoelectric point. Next, the gels were equilibrated twice in equilibration buffers: (urea 7.2 gr, SDS 0.4 gr, Tris pH 8.8 1 ml, glycerol 3.428 ml) once by DTT 0.06 gr and once by IA 0.75 gr) in room temperature for 15 minutes. The second dimension of 2D electrophoresis was done using 2D HPE Double gel 12.5% (SERVA cat No.43862.00). IPG strips were transferred to the second dimension of gel. The gel was transferred into Flat Top tower device to separate the proteins based on their molecular weight. Then electric current was connected for four steps including: (100V, 7 mA, 1W, 30 min), (200V, 13mA, 3W, 30min), (300V, 20mA, 5W, 10min) and (1000V, 40mA, 25W, 2hrs) in 15° C temperature.

**Gel staining and gel de-staining**

Following electrophoresis, the gels were soaked in the Coomassie blue staining solution including Coomassie Brilliant Blue R250 0.3 gr, 85% orthophosphoric acid 18 ml and acetic acid 90 ml in 40° C temperature for 2 hr. Next, the gels were either destained overnight in destaining solution including 10% acetic acid while shaking.

**Bioinformatics analysis**

2DE gels were scanned by Densitometer GS-800 (BioRad). The gels were analyzed using Progenesis same spot software. The software compares the processed gels, detecting protein spots, measures the color intensity of spots, spots alignment and performs statistical analysis. Statistical significance changes in proteins expression achieved by analysis of variance ANOVA (P-value <0.05) and fold change (fold>2). Hierarchical clustering was used for comparing two groups and principal component analysis (PCA) was done for clustering confirmation. Significant spots identified using TagIdent tool (http://web.expasy.org/tagident/).

**Results**

2-DE was used for separating sera proteins in normal and disease samples. A total of 263 spots with different expression were identified in normal and disease samples by analysis of gels using Progenesis same spot software. The Comparison of protein spots between normal and CE patients showed that 134 and 129 spots had high and low expression in disease respectively (figure 1).

**Figure 1.** Proteins were separated on a pH 3-10 IPG strips in the first dimension and on 2D HPE Double gel 12.5% in second dimension 2D gel electrophoresis image scan of normal sample (A) and disease sample (B). Blue spots indicate up-regulated and red spots indicate down-regulated proteins in CE relative to healthy people (C).
Among these spots 95 proteins have significantly differences in both groups with p-value<0.05 and fold>=2 which 45 proteins have been high expressed in patient while 50 proteins had low expression. Also 10 new proteins have been identified and 11 proteins have been suppressed in patient sera are shown in figure 2. With more analysis significant spots of each group have been identified using TagIdent tool and the results are described in Table 1. The 3D images of these spots have been demonstrated in (figure 3). Differences between spot could be seen better in 3D shape. New spots, suppressed spots, up-regulated and down-regulated spots could be comprised clearly in 3D image.

Figure 3. Three dimensional images of significant protein spots with different expression in two groups. New spots or suppressed spots can be seen in image clearly.
Hierarchical clustering of all detected sera proteins (263) using correlation analysis divided the proteins into 2 subgroups based on up-regulated expression of proteins and down-regulated expression of proteins (figure 4). The protein expression pattern in each cluster was resembled. So, the proteins of each cluster may have similar function or common signaling pathways. To confirm the clustering of expressed proteins using Principal Component Analysis on both normal and disease groups of samples. The PCA platform of normal and diseased groups have been shown in figure 5.
Immunoproteomics approach for diagnosis of human infectious diseases are a new and impressing tool (10, 24, 25). The present study which investigates proteomics and cluster analysis of human cystic echinococcosis sera using two dimensional gel electrophoresis, proteins name was predicted by TagIdent server other than experimental method. Due to the applied method, comparing to the results of experimental method is not possible in such studies (26). Proteomics tools and bioinformatics analysis of patients’ sera on protein expression levels related to the disease could help the scientists for more reliable diagnosis and follow up of the disease (27). In this regards, we performed proteomics analysis of sera from confirmed CE patients by 2DE for proteins which separated 263 proteins in the serum of CE patients. So, CE causes changes in protein expression in patients’ sera which could be used as a suitable method for antibody/antigen detection for diagnosis or follow up of CE patients. This has been already shown in pancreatic carcinoma, diabetes, gastric cancer and etc. (28-30). Diagnosis of the released molecules into patient’s sera especially in infectious diseases could be used as diagnostic or treatment targets (31). So, analysis of sera in CE patients and comparing it with normal people sera may help to a better understanding of the host–parasite relationship. Our gel analysis demonstrated 263 spots of which 45 spots were up-regulated and 50 spots were down-regulated significantly in patient sera. This shows that CE can change protein expression level in patients’ sera which could be a criteria for identification of infected people. Similar finding has been reported leptospirosis serum patients (19). Recent advances in 2DE and bioinformatics have provided significant tools for high-throughput data analyzing in proteomics approaches (32). Application of 2DE method for separation of proteins in the serum of CE patients and healthy people followed by bioinformatics analysis as has also been used for several infectious diseases such as schistosomiasis, hepatitis C and etc. (20, 21). Present study showed that 10 new proteins in CE patients. On the other hand, the expression of 11 proteins was suppressed in patients’ sera. Chemale and his colleagues also used 2DE for proteomics study on larval stage of E. granulosus and showed 15 prominent protein spots which shows that 2DE can be used for study of several aspect of E. granulosus (33). Also using 2DE combine MALDI-TOF/TOF on excretory/secretory products (ES) and antigenic proteins of E. granulosus adult worms has been led to identify 6 antigenic proteins (34). In our study, TagIdent server was used to identify proteins that changed their expression. TagIdent is a server that used for identification purposes in proteins by specification of their pI and Mw as estimated from the 2-D gel followed by specification of their error margins that reflect the known accuracy of these estimates. This method has been successfully used by Wilkins et al. (35). Among the expressed proteins, some maybe belong to parasite that has been secreted to patient’s sera and can be considered as antigenic proteins. To determine molecular mechanism of disease, protein
identification with experimental methods such as mass spectrometry or bottom-up methods is necessary (36). Separated proteins have been analyzed with Progenesis same spot software which is a powerful tool for protein clustering and correlation analysis in many groups. Using correlation analysis divided the protein spots into 2 clusters based on up-regulated and down-regulated expression of proteins such that the protein expression pattern in each cluster was similar (37). This part is similar to the works on Malignant Gliomas in human which the authors reported that each cluster may have resembled and similar function or common signaling pathways (38). Principal component analysis (PCA) is a statistical technique that used to emphasize variation of data. This method often used to probe and visualize data easily. As has been shown in the result section, principal component analysis (PCA), which is usually used for outlier data and confirm clustering was applied to our data analysis and showed that there is no outlier in protein data set of both groups. Proteomics and metabolomics investigations used PCA to classify their data set that have been already used for metabolomics and proteomic data analysis of Staphylococcus aureus to classify its proteins and metabolites that responses to prolong stress (39).

In conclusion, using proteomics methods and bioinformatics analysis on sera of human CE patients comparing to healthy controls, the protein expression has been significantly shown to change in human CE sera and such alterations in serum protein expression levels which occurs in CE sera is demonstrable by bioinformatics analysis. These proteins will provide a better understanding of the disease and will help to predict new drug targets, new diagnostic biomarker and control strategies; although more researches are necessary.

Acknowledgment

The authors are thankful to the Proteomics Research Center and Vice-Chancellor for Research in Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences for their financial support (grant number 8.1.12599).

Conflict of interests

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

1. Sadjjadi SM. Present situation of echinococcosis in the Middle East and Arabic North Africa. Parasitol Int 2006;55(SUPPL.):S197-S202.

2. Ahmadi N, Dalimi A. Characterization of Echinococcus granulosus isolates from human, sheep and camel in Iran. Infec Genet Evol 2006;6:85-90.

3. Ahmadi NA, Hamidi M. A retrospective analysis of human cystic echinococcosis in Hamedan province, an endemic region of Iran. Ann Trop Med Parasitol 2008;102:603-9.

4. Barnes TS, Deplazes P, Gottstein B, Jenkins DJ, Mathis A, Siles-Lucas M, et al. Challenges for diagnosis and control of cystic hydatid disease. Acta Trop 2012;123:1-7.

5. Eckert J, Deplazes P. Biological, epidemiological, and clinical aspects of echinococcosis, a zoonosis of increasing concern. Clin Microbiol Rev 2004;17:107-35.

6. Tamarozzi F, Sako Y, Ito A, Piccoli L, Grisolia A, Itoh S, et al. Recombinant AgB8/1 ELISA test vs. commercially available IgG ELISA test in the diagnosis of cystic echinococcosis. Parasite Immunol 2013;35:433-40.

7. Ahmadi NA, Badi F. Diagnostic, surgical, and medicinal approaches of human cystic echinococcosis: A review of 203 cases in Tehran, Iran. Infect Dis Clin Pract 2012;20:390-3.

8. Benabid M, Galai Y, Nouira R, Bouchoucha S, Bourabtine A, Aoun K. Contribution of specific anti-hydatid IgG subclasses in the diagnosis of echinococcosis primary infection and relapses. Clin Lab 2013;59:293-8.

9. Mohammadzadeh T, Sako Y, Sadjjadi SM, Sarkari B, Ito A. Comparison of the usefulness of hydatid cyst fluid, native antigen B and recombinant antigen B8/1 for serological diagnosis of cystic echinococcosis. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg 2012;106:371-5.

10. Khalilpour A, Kilic T, Khalilpour S, Álvarez MM, Yazdi IK. Proteomic-based biomarker discovery for development of next generation diagnostics. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 2017;101:475-91.

11. Zhang W, Wen H, Li J, Lin R, McManus DP. Immunology and immunodiagnosis of cystic echinococcosis: An update. Clin Dev Immunol 2012;2012.

12. Zheng H, Zhang W, Zhang L, Zhang Z, Li J, Lu G, et al. The genome of the hydatid tapeworm Echinococcus granulosus. Nat Genet 2013;45:1168-75.

13. Celis JE, Gromov P. 2D protein electrophoresis: can it be perfected? Curr Opin Biotechnol 1999;10:16-21.

14. Karp NA, Lilley KS. Design and analysis issues in quantitative proteomics studies. Proteomics 2007;7:42-50.

15. Aziz A, Zhang W, Li J, Loukas A, McManus DP, Mulvenna J. Proteomic characterisation of Echinococcus granulosus hydatid cyst fluid from sheep, cattle and humans. J Proteomics 2011;74:1560-72.

16. Hidalgo C, García MP, Stoore C, Ramírez JP, Monteiro KM, Hellman U, et al. Proteomics analysis of Echinococcus granulosus protoscoleox stage. Vet Parasitol 2016;218:43-5.
17. Longuespée R, Casadonte R, Kriegsmann M, Wandernoth P, Lisenko K, Mazzucchelli G, et al. Proteomic investigation of human cystic echinococcosis in the liver. Mol Biochem Parasitol 2017;211:9-14.
18. Cui SJ, Xu LL, Zhang T, Xu M, Yao J, Fang CY, et al. Proteomic characterization of larval and adult developmental stages in echinococcus granulosus reveals novel insight into host-parasite interactions. J Proteomics 2013;84:158-75.
19. Srivastava R, Ray S, Vaibhav V, Gollapalli K, Jhaiveri T, Taur S, et al. Serum profiling of leptospirosis patients to investigate proteomic alterations. J Proteomics 2012;76:56-68.
20. Da'dara AA, Siddons G, Icaza M, Wang Q, Skelly PJ. How schistosomes alter the human serum proteome. Mol Biochem Parasitol 2017;215:40-6.
21. Gouthamchandra K, Kumar A, Shwetha S, Mukherjee A, Chandra M, Ravishankar B, et al. Serum proteomics of hepatitis C virus infection reveals retinol-binding protein 4 as a novel regulator. J Gen Virol 2014;95:1654-67.
22. Beranova-Giorgianni S. Proteome analysis by two-dimensional gel electrophoresis and mass spectrometry: Strengths and limitations. TrAC Trends Anal Chem 2003;22:273-81.
23. Lancashire L, Schmid O, Shah H, Ball G. Classification of bacterial species from proteomic data using combinatorial approaches incorporating artificial neural networks, cluster analysis and principal components analysis. Bioinformatics 2005;21:2191-9.
24. Walker JM. The proteomics protocols handbook. Totowa, N.J: Humana Press; 2005.
25. Etebar F, Jaloussian F, Hosseini SH, Kordafshari S, Najafi A. Immunoproteomics approach for EPC1 antigenic epitope prediction of G1 and G6 strains of Echinococcus granulosus. Parasitol Res 2013;112:3129-35.
26. Gasteiger E, Hoogland C, Gattiker A, Duvaud S, Wilkins MR, Appel RD, et al. Protein Identification and Analysis Tools on the ExPASy Server. In: Walker JM, editor. The Proteomics Protocols Handbook. Totowa, N.J: Humana Press; 2005:571-607.
27. Çelik T, Akarsu GA, Gungür Ç, Çolak C, Örmeci N. Evaluation of antibodies against hydatid cyst fluid antigens in the post-treatment follow-up of cystic echinococcosis patients. Med Sci Monit 2009;15:CR170-6.
28. Sun Z, Zhu Y, Wang F, Chen R, Peng T, Fan Z, et al. Serum proteomic-based analysis of pancreatic carcinoma for the identification of potential cancer biomarkers. Biochim Biophys Acta 2007;1774:764-71.
29. Takahashi E, Unoki-Kubota H, Shimizu Y, Okamura T, Iwata W, Kajio H, et al. Proteomic analysis of serum biomarkers for prediabetes using the Long-Evans Agouti rat, a spontaneous animal model of type 2 diabetes mellitus. J Diabetes Invest 2017;8:661-71.
30. Ebert MPA, Krüger S, Fogeront M-, Lamer S, Chen J, Pross M, et al. Overexpression of cathepsin B in gastric cancer identified by proteome analysis. Proteomics 2005;5:1693-704.
31. Virginio VG, Monteiro KM, Drumond F, De Carvalho MO, Vargas DM, Zaha A, et al. Excretory/secretory products from in vitro-cultured Echinococcus granulosus protoscoleces. Mol Biochem Parasitol 2012;183:15-22.
32. Saia-Cereda VM, Aquino A, Guest PC, Martins-de-Souza D. Two-dimensional gel electrophoresis: A reference protocol. Adv Exp Med Biol 2017;974:175-82.
33. Chemale G, Van Rossum AJ, Jefferies JR, Barrett J, Brophy PM, Ferreira HB, et al. Proteomic analysis of the larval stage of the parasite Echinococcus granulosus: Caustive agent of cystic hydatid disease. Proteomics 2003;3:1633-6.
34. Wang Y, Xiao D, Shen Y, Han X, Zhao F, Li X, et al. Proteomic analysis of the excretory/secretory products and antigenic proteins of Echinococcus granulosus adult worms from infected dogs. BMC Vet Res 2015;11:119.
35. Wilkins MR, Gasteiger E, Tonella L, Ou K, Tyler M, Sanchez J, et al. Protein identification with N and C-terminal sequence tags in proteome projects. J Mol Biol 1998;287:599-608.
36. Feist P, Hummon AB. Proteomic challenges: Sample preparation techniques for Microgram-Quantity protein analysis from biological samples. Int J Mol Sci 2015;16:3537-63.
37. Oreno CA, Michie AD, Jones S, Jones DT, Swindells MB, Thornton JM. CATH - A hierarchic classification of protein domain structures. Structure 1997;5:1093-108.
38. Hashemi M, Pooladi M, Abad SKR, Movafagh A, Entezari M. Proteomic cluster analysis of malignant gliomas in humans. Middle East J Cancer 2016;7:31-40.
39. Alreshidi MM, Dunstan RH, Macdonald MM, Smith ND, Gottries J, Roberts TK. Metabolomic and proteomic responses of Staphylococcus aureus to prolonged cold stress. J Proteomics 2015;121:44-55.