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The hip joint is one of the most surgically exposed joints in the body [1]. The posterior approach is known to have a slightly higher risk of dislocations [2], whilst the anterior [3] and lateral approaches [4] allow for preservation of the posterior soft tissue envelope. I had developed a modification of the Posterior Approach at a time when the regular Posterior Approach was plagued with the problem of dislocation. Hence this modification was devised after cadaveric tests confirming superiority by increasing its stability and thus prevent dislocation of the Hip joint. This is a posterior trochanter splitting approach to the Hip Joint by maintaining all the advantages of the Posterior Approach with excellent visualization of the Hip joint. This was then used in patients with a fracture neck femur for insertion of an endoprosthesis.

The detailed Clinical Technique stepwise in 11 steps is as described below:

There were no dislocations nor instability noted in the followup of these patients. This approach was then described by Mark Conventry [5] in the year book of Orthopaedics, Terry Canale of Campbell’s Operative Orthopaedics (1992) [6] till finally by my respected teacher Mr.F.H. Beddow in the Rheumatoid Arthritis Surgical Society (1990) [7]. Thereafter many people like James Shaw [8] did appreciate this approach and it was mentioned in books by John J Callaghan MD, Aaron G Rosenberg MD, Harry E Rubash in The Adult Hip (1998) [9] and Surgery of the Hip by Raymond Tonzo [10] and finally in Minimally Invasive Total Joint Arthroplasty (2004) [11] by William J Hozak, Martin Kirsmer, Michael Hogler, Peter M Bonutti, Franz Rachbauer, Jonathan L Scaffer, William J Donnelly. Above all Thomas Stahelin [12] of Zurich, Switzerland finally acknowledged the value of this approach. Deepa Iyer (2006) [13] and Robert H Cofield [14] of Mayo clinic (2005) in the USA did acknowledge this approach by carrying out their studies with reporting their results in the Acta Orthopaedica Belgica [15]. The main pupose of this modification was to avoid dislocation of the Hip Joint and yet retain all the advantages of the posterior approach Figures 1-14.
In the end, my book The Hip Joint (2nd Edition) [16] by Jenny Stanford Publishing (Singapore) which contains 32 important chapters was released in June 2021.

The basic attempts at this have been numerous Modifications to the Posterior Approach with an aim of adding more stability by numerous soft tissue enhancement procedures to the Hip Joint posteriorly.

Comments by Sushnma PT, Hyderabad, India

Modified posterior approach of hip is definitely a great option than posterior approach or any other approaches because this technique provides greater stability and reduce the chances of dislocation. Most importantly, there is No muscle or nerve damage. For instance, Lateral Rotators, Gluteus Medius are not cut, Sciatic nerve is saved, Abductor mechanism is intact.
So patients will recover pretty soon unlike other approaches where they might take many weeks to recover. Not only physio but also patient and their family members work will reduce drastically if there is no damage to muscles and nerves and less chances of joint dislocation. Moreover, early recovery makes more confidence and daily living activities will improve sooner in those patients who undergo this particular surgery.

Physiotherapy Treatment/ Physical Therapy plays a vital role in patient’s recovery. Physiotherapist mainly works on reducing pain and swelling, restoring and maintaining full range of motion, improving muscle strength and endurance, muscle stretching exercises, flexibility, balance exercises, weight bearing activities, promoting independence, other functional activities and many more.

Physiotherapy will continue for a number of weeks after the surgery. This depends on overall situation of the patient and the approach used by Orthopedic Surgeon. If the surgeon uses the modified posterior approach technique, definitely length of the postoperative recovery phase reduces and patient can lead his normal life as soon as possible.

Clinical observations made by the physiotherapist Ritika Sharma bachelors of physiotherapy, Delhi, India

The posterior approach is the most common surgical approach used internationally for THAs as there are more than 100 described cases in literature. There is no book written on the title (Posterior Approach to the Hip Joint) only but are in chapters in books on the Hip Joint or Approaches to the Hip Joint. This book will be published eventually by CRC Press (Taylor & Francis,UK) and it has chapterwise contributions from all over the world to include China, Japan, India, UK, USA, Australia, France and Germany which shows the evolution and progress this approach has made since its Start.

1. This modification helps to increase stability of the posterior aspect of the Hip Joint by trochanteric osteotomy of the greater trochanter as noted by its cadaveric tests and hence decreases the risk of dislocation of the Hip Joint.

2. There is minimal bleeding in this approach and no neurological deficit as the sciatic nerve is far away.

3. It does not interfere with the abductor mechanism as it leaves the abductor mechanism intact.

4. There is excellent visualization of all parts of the Hip Joint by this approach and hence useful in Hemiarthroplasty, Total Hip Replacement both primary and revision where there is good visualization of the femur also.

5. Early postoperative recovery due to early mobilization with reduced length of hospital stay.

Rehabilitation protocols in the Hips operated by the lateral and anterior approaches vary considerably as follows:

Hip positional precautions include no hip adduction past neutral, no hip internal rotation past neutral, and no hip flexion more than 90 degrees for a minimum of 12 weeks until
soft tissue stabilization can be expected but hip flexion may be increased gradually more than 90 from 6 weeks. An abduction pillow is used for the first 4 weeks while resting or sleeping in bed. For the bathroom an elevated seat is preferred at all times which can be discontinued from the 3rd month onwards. Use of assisted ambulation devices such as cane, walker, quad cane increases the confidence of the patient. Avoid sitting in conventional fashion while driving with the hips flexed more than 90 degrees to avoid posterior dislocation of hip in the event of a sudden stop. Car driving is usually permitted at 6 weeks post-operatively.

In Hips operated by the DAA approach, patient education is very important initially along with instructions on pre-op exercises includes instruction on home safety and pre-surgical lower limb exercises. The immediate postoperative instructions to include safety with mobilization and transfers with icing and elevation, home exercise examples such as ankle pumps, quadriceps exercises hamstring exercises, gluteal exercises, assisted heel slides, hip abduction, external and internal rotation to neutral exercises. The next step being protected motion with muscle activation phase during the next 4 weeks. This will also provide environment for proper healing of the incision site and prevention of postoperative complications. It will also minimize pain and swelling and eventually Improve functional strength and Improve functional hip range of movements which helps in gait.

The DAA can be done on a plain table or a fracture table according to each Surgeon’s wishes.

The emphasis should be made on quick mobilisation rather than the word dislocation. This can be used extensively in Fracture neck of femur for Hemiarthroplasty [17-19] rather than Primary or Revision Hip Replacement [20].

But with gradual understanding of the DAA, this appears to be a better substitute [21-24]. Rather than these modifications. The DAA may appear to have a very steep and difficult learning curve in the beginning, but with practice and using this DAA, it appears as an excellent substitute for the Posterior Approach to the Hip Joint.

The features of DAA should be shared actively with physio/occupational therapists to avoid the necessity for the use of a low chair for sitting purposes and avoid cross the legs in bed.

All HOD’s in Orthopaedics should impart teaching on DAA to their students at all levels in training so that they are aware of the DAA.

The ‘word dislocation’ has been looked as an unacceptable/ineffective banned as constituting a risk/ not acceptable to mention associated with mainly Posterior Approach in Surgical Approaches to the Hip Joint since over 5 decades which is extremely difficult to overcome in literature even till today. I had also described a Modified Approach to the Posterior Approach in 1981, which is well held in literature [25] and textbooks (https://kmohaniyer.com) of repute even till today but I feel that we should encourage the younger generations of Orthopaedic Surgeons in the world to embrace the DAA even for the most commonly seen Fracture neck of femur initially instead to straight embarking on Primary or Revision Total Hip Arthroplasty.

I had done a few cases in Hemiarthroplasty only [without using a fracture table] in selective patients which is not ideal and enough to write this chapter which has a difficult learning curve and specialised surgical skills with special instruments including a special operation table for this type of Surgery.

Actually Total Hip Surgery can be done as a day case as seen in my book “Hip Joint in Adults: Advances and Developments” in Chapter no.18 by Dr. med. Manfred Krieger and Dr. med. Ilan Elias, Wiesbaden, Frankfurt, Germany in 2018. Ideally this is best done by Dr. John O’Donnell, Melbourne Australia with whom I had several interactions saying that he is extremely comfortable with the DAA for his Hip Replacements and that he cannot imagine changing himself for another Surgical Approach that I developed an interest in the DAA. He has also been instrumental in giving me a forward for a small book written by me and published in 2018 by Lambert academic publishing, Germany.
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