Seizures and epilepsy in the acute medical setting: presentation and management
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Epileptic seizures are a common cause for presentation to acute medical services. Whether presenting with an isolated, unprovoked seizure or with status epilepticus, a good understanding of seizures and their mimics ensures appropriate investigation and treatment. This article describes the practical aspects of the management of patients presenting with seizures to the emergency department or the acute medical unit.

Introduction

Seizures are one of the commonest causes of transient loss of consciousness presenting to acute medical services and epileptic seizures are the commonest cause of short admissions among the neurological conditions. While not all seizures are associated with lost consciousness, most requiring acute medical care will involve impaired awareness and responsiveness. Approximately 1% of the UK population are treated for epilepsy, with around 0.5 new cases per 1,000 population every year. Acute medical and emergency department physicians need a good working knowledge of the management of seizures and to be aware of seizure mimics.

Transient loss of consciousness

Transient loss of consciousness (T-LOC) is a common complaint that may present to many different specialties under various labels, including ‘blackouts’, ‘seizure’, ‘blank spells’, and ‘falls’. The term T-LOC itself is non-specific and includes all causes of self-limited loss of consciousness, regardless of mechanism. Syncope is the commonest cause of T-LOC, followed by epileptic seizures and non-epileptic seizures. A thorough history including a witness account will allow a diagnosis to be made in the majority of patients. Distinguishing seizures from syncope and functional non-epileptic seizures can be difficult, but these are equally important diagnoses to make. Patients with functional non-epileptic seizures are often exposed to inappropriate interventions and treatment with antiepileptic drugs. Diagnosing functional non-epileptic attack disorder and its management are covered in detail elsewhere in this issue. Syncope may be associated with a high mortality rate, likely reflecting a high-risk subgroup of patients and not uncommonly presents with myoclonic jerks, head turning, and other motor phenomena often associated with epileptic seizures. Sheldon et al. proposed a series of questions that they demonstrated would distinguish seizures from syncope with 94% sensitivity and specificity (see Table 1). Raised prolactin (within 30 min) or lactate following loss of consciousness can contribute to the distinction between bilateral tonic-clonic seizures and syncope or a non-epileptic attack, but are less helpful in the differentiation of non-convulsive episodes and are generally not recommended. Where the history is lacking, atypical, or inconclusive, early involvement of specialists experienced in the investigation and management of T-LOC improves diagnosis.

First seizures

According to the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidance, anyone with a suspected first seizure should be referred for specialist assessment and seen within 2 weeks. Most patients with a single self-terminating seizure who have made a full recovery can be managed as outpatients through local first-seizure pathways.

Key points

- Transient loss of consciousness is common, with syncope, epileptic seizures, and non-epileptic seizures accounting for most presentations
- History, including a witness account where possible, is key
- Consider urgent brain imaging in specific circumstances
- Seizures may be provoked or unprovoked and identifying the underlying cause has implications for treatment and onward referral
- Status epilepticus is a medical emergency; timely treatment, delivered in appropriate doses are paramount
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Antiepileptic medication is not indicated for a single seizure, unless investigations indicate a high risk of seizure recurrence. Patients with abnormal imaging, prolonged or recurrent events, or incomplete recovery may justify a brief admission for more urgent (inpatient) medical and neurological assessment.

### Box 1. Indications for urgent brain imaging and/or hospital admission

| Indications for urgent brain imaging and/or hospital admission |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Acute head trauma |
| New onset focal neurologic deficit |
| Altered mental status persists (behaviour or cognition) |
| Recurrent events |
| Persistent headache |
| Anticoagulation |
| A history of immunodeficiency or malignancy |
| Fever |
| Focal seizure (partial seizure) |
| New neurological symptoms prior to the seizure |

Patients in whom follow-up cannot be ensured.

### Acute symptomatic seizures

This encompasses all seizures that occur in close association with a brain insult. The International League Against Epilepsy (ILAE) has proposed specific parameters where seizures can be called acute symptomatic (see Table 2). In these circumstances treatment should not be initiated unless there are multiple seizures, or if the patient is in status epilepticus, followed by prompt specialist input with respect to continuation. The risk of seizure recurrence is often minimal so long-term treatment with antiepileptic drugs is not required.

### Seizures in known epilepsy

This is an extremely common scenario. Patients can present reporting deterioration in their usual frequency for a range of reasons including:

- patient/family anxiety about perceived changes
- intercurrent infections / systemic illnesses
- medication related: eg poor adherence, changes in formulation/brand, during planned withdrawal / changes to medication, drug interactions with other prescribed and over the counter medications
- new acute symptomatic seizures, for example due to a head injury.

The history is key. Is the seizure pattern unusual for the patient? Ask how often seizures occur at their worst or their best. Confusion about the patient’s normal seizure pattern can occur in new environments, for example, a stranger may have called an ambulance unnecessarily. If the above precipitants have been excluded, fluctuations in seizure frequency may occur simply due to the natural variability of epilepsy. If adherence has been poor, try to establish why, in order to address the root cause (eg side effects needing a change in drug/dose, forgetfulness – would a blister pack help?)

### Table 1. The Sheldon questionnaire. The patient has seizures if point score ≥1, and syncope if score is <1. The same questions can be asked of a witness

| Questions shown to distinguish seizures from syncope | Points (if yes) |
|------------------------------------------------------|----------------|
| At times do you wake with a cut tongue after your spells? | 2 |
| At times do you have a sense of déjà vu or jamais vu before your spells? | 1 |
| At times is emotional stress associated with losing consciousness? | 1 |
| Has anyone noted your head turning during a spell? | 1 |
| Has anyone ever noted that you are unresponsive, have unusual posturing or have jerking limbs during your spells or have no memory of your spells afterwards? (Score as yes for any positive response) | 1 |
| Has anyone ever noted that you are confused after a spell? | 1 |
| Have you ever had lightheaded spells? | –2 |
| At times do you sweat before your spells? | –2 |
| Is prolonged sitting or standing associated with your spells? | –2 |

Scores as yes for any positive response.

Reproduced with permission.© Royal College of Physicians 2018. All rights reserved.
Status epilepticus (SE) is defined by 2 time points: the first time point is when a seizure has failed to self-terminate and has become ‘abnormally prolonged’ leading to the second time point when there is a risk of neurological damage and long-term consequences. SE may be convulsive or non-convulsive. The classification of seizures and the epilepsies has recently been updated. A comprehensive review of the new classification is available on the ILAE website (www.ilae.org). Increasingly, one will see, and should start to use, the following terms in clinical practice:

- ‘partial’ is replaced by the term: ‘focal’; this can be further qualified by whether awareness is retained or not, so that ‘complex partial’ becomes ‘focal unaware’ and ‘simple partial’ becomes ‘focal aware’
- ‘secondarily generalised’ is replaced by ‘focal to bilateral tonic clonic’
- For generalised onset seizures, seizures can be described as ‘generalised motor’, which would include tonic clonic seizures, or ‘generalised non-motor’, which refers to ‘absences’
- ‘Unknown onset’ and ‘undclassified’ have been added, acknowledging that, not uncommonly, seizure onset and aetiology cannot be defined.

Conclusion

Seizures and seizure-mimics are common presentations to emergency departments and acute medical units, either as isolated events or as a medical emergency in status epilepticus. The history is key in the former situation, while speed in delivering appropriate treatment cannot be over-emphasised in the latter. Central to this is early input from the neurology or epilepsy team, while speed in delivering appropriate treatment need urgent intervention with anaesthetic agents and management on an intensive care unit with local neurology input.

Non-convulsive status epilepticus (NCSE) is a more difficult diagnosis usually made based on EEG. Unlike CSE where early intervention is essential, aetiology is the principal determinant of outcome in NCSE rather than duration of seizures and thus escalating treatment prior to EEG is not indicated without specialist advice. Treatment usually involves the use of intravenous benzodiazepines under EEG control.

Table 2. Parameters for acute symptomatic seizures proposed by the International League against Epilepsy

| Provoking insult | Time-frame |
|------------------|------------|
| Stroke/hypoxia    | <1 week    |
| Traumatic brain injury without subdural haematoma | <1 week |
| Traumatic brain injury with subdural haematoma | Up to 1 month |
| Intracranial surgery | <1 week |
| Arteriovenous malformation at time of haemorrhage | <1 week |
| CNS infection     | Until laboratory and clinical signs of infection have resolved |
| Multiple sclerosis | <1 week (of relapse) |
| Alcohol withdrawal | 7–48 hours from last alcoholic drink |
| Serum glucose (within 24 hours) | <36 mg/dL (2 mM) or >650 mg/dL (25 mM) and ketoacidosis |
| Serum sodium (within 24 hours) | <115 mg/dL (<5 mM) |
| Serum calcium (within 24 hours) | <5 mg/dL (<1.2 mM) |
| Serum magnesium (within 24 hours) | <0.8 mg/dL (<0.3 mM) |
| Urea nitrogen (within 24 hours) | >100 mg/dL (>35.7 mM) |
| Creatinine (within 24 hours) | >10 mg/dL (>884 uM) |

> Adapted with permission from Beghi et al. 2010. CNS = central nervous system.

Investigations: ECG and screening bloods for sepsis and metabolic causes should include antiepileptic drug (AED) levels where possible to assess adherence. Try to resist the temptation for brain imaging unless there is a clear clinical indication (see Box 1) and EEG is rarely useful in this situation.

In addition to addressing the cause, management may involve simple reassurance. Seek advice from the patient’s usual treating team or local neurology services, but try to avoid escalating the dose of existing medications without specialist advice. If short-term rescue medications are required for safe discharge, consider using clobazam 10 mg at night as a temporary add-on therapy prior to specialist advice.
Muscular = intravenous; LFT = liver function; FBC = full blood count; IV = intravenous; IVFBC = intravenous full blood count; IVLFT = intravenous liver function test; IVTCA = intravenous calcium; IVTMA = intravenous magnesium; IVU+E = intravenous urea and electrolytes; IM = intramuscular.

Immediate measures

Stabilise patient
Secure airway (recovery position) and give oxygen
Call for help
Monitor vital signs and institute cardiac monitoring
Establish IV access and take venous blood samples for glucose, LFT, U+E, Mg2+, CA2+, FBC, toxicology screening and antiepileptic drug levels

If there are concerns about hypoglycaemia, poor nutrition or alcohol excess, give 250 mg of thiamine IV, followed by 50 mL of 50% glucose IV over 10 minutes (consider 1 mg IM glucagon if IV access not available)

Give IV lorazepam 0.1 mg/kg (max 4 mg) over a few seconds
If lorazepam is not available give diazepam 10 mg IV (0.15 mg/kg)
If IV access is not possible give buccal midazolam 10 mg
If no response repeat after 10 min and inform intensive care unit (ITU)

Give phenytoin IV (20 mg/kg)
or one of:
sodium valproate IV (30–40 mg/kg, max dose 3,000 mg)
levetiracetam IV (40–60 mg/kg, max dose 4,500 mg)

If no response

Give IV lorazepam 0.1 mg/kg (max 4 mg) over a few seconds
If lorazepam is not available give diazepam 10 mg IV (0.15 mg/kg)
If IV access is not possible give buccal midazolam 10 mg
If no response repeat after 10 min and inform intensive care unit (ITU)

Give IV lorazepam 0.1 mg/kg (max 4 mg) over a few seconds
If lorazepam is not available give diazepam 10 mg IV (0.15 mg/kg)
If IV access is not possible give buccal midazolam 10 mg
If no response repeat after 10 min and inform intensive care unit (ITU)

Give IV lorazepam 0.1 mg/kg (max 4 mg) over a few seconds
If lorazepam is not available give diazepam 10 mg IV (0.15 mg/kg)
If IV access is not possible give buccal midazolam 10 mg
If no response repeat after 10 min and inform intensive care unit (ITU)

Give IV lorazepam 0.1 mg/kg (max 4 mg) over a few seconds
If lorazepam is not available give diazepam 10 mg IV (0.15 mg/kg)
If IV access is not possible give buccal midazolam 10 mg
If no response repeat after 10 min and inform intensive care unit (ITU)

Give IV lorazepam 0.1 mg/kg (max 4 mg) over a few seconds
If lorazepam is not available give diazepam 10 mg IV (0.15 mg/kg)
If IV access is not possible give buccal midazolam 10 mg
If no response repeat after 10 min and inform intensive care unit (ITU)

Give IV lorazepam 0.1 mg/kg (max 4 mg) over a few seconds
If lorazepam is not available give diazepam 10 mg IV (0.15 mg/kg)
If IV access is not possible give buccal midazolam 10 mg
If no response repeat after 10 min and inform intensive care unit (ITU)

Give IV lorazepam 0.1 mg/kg (max 4 mg) over a few seconds
If lorazepam is not available give diazepam 10 mg IV (0.15 mg/kg)
If IV access is not possible give buccal midazolam 10 mg
If no response repeat after 10 min and inform intensive care unit (ITU)

Give IV lorazepam 0.1 mg/kg (max 4 mg) over a few seconds
If lorazepam is not available give diazepam 10 mg IV (0.15 mg/kg)
If IV access is not possible give buccal midazolam 10 mg
If no response repeat after 10 min and inform intensive care unit (ITU)

Give IV lorazepam 0.1 mg/kg (max 4 mg) over a few seconds
If lorazepam is not available give diazepam 10 mg IV (0.15 mg/kg)
If IV access is not possible give buccal midazolam 10 mg
If no response repeat after 10 min and inform intensive care unit (ITU)

Give IV lorazepam 0.1 mg/kg (max 4 mg) over a few seconds
If lorazepam is not available give diazepam 10 mg IV (0.15 mg/kg)
If IV access is not possible give buccal midazolam 10 mg
If no response repeat after 10 min and inform intensive care unit (ITU)

If no response

Refractory status epilepticus
Intubate, give general anaesthesia and admit to ITU
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