Correlation of Cerebroplacental Ratio (CPR) With Adverse Perinatal Outcome in Singleton Pregnancies
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**Abstract.** Background/Aim: For many years clinical research has been concerned with doppler sonography as a non-invasive tool for intrauterine fetal status assessment. A new focus is now placed on the measurement of cerebroplacental index (CPR) as a predictor of fetal outcome. Our aim was to investigate the relationship between the cerebroplacental ratio (CPR), the delivery mode and the fetal outcome in singleton pregnancies.

Patients and Methods: A retrospective cohort study of pregnancies in which doppler sonography of middle cerebral artery (MCA) and umbilical artery (UA) was conducted up to 9 weeks before delivery took place. Patients with pathological (CPR≤1.0) and normal CPR (>1.0) were compared by umbilical cord pH, APGAR scores, birth weight, delivery week and delivery mode. Results: A total of 2,270 singleton pregnancies were included. The APGAR score for 1, 5 and 10 minutes and the gestational age at delivery were significantly lower in the group of patients with pathological CPR (p<0.001). Overall, 50% of the cohort had a cesarean section, the difference between the groups was statistically significant (p<0.001), with a higher amount of cesareans in the group of patients with pathological CPR. The multiple regression analysis showed a significantly improved pH of delivery when cesarean section (p<0.001), female sex of fetus (p=0.013) and higher CPR (p=0.035) were present. Conclusion: The measurement of CPR is an important, non-invasive predictive parameter and leads to the identification of a risk collective even in the non-selected patient population and thus probably to a reduction of perinatal morbidity.

For many years clinical research has been concerned with doppler sonography as a non-invasive tool for intrauterine fetal status assessment. A new focus is now placed on the measurement of cerebroplacental index (CPR) as a predictor of fetal outcome. The cerebro-placental ratio is the ratio of the two doppler sonographic parameters, the pulsatility index (PI) of the middle cerebral artery (MCA-PI) and PI of the umbilical artery (UA-PI). Furthermore, it shows even discrete changes in the perfusion of only one of the two vessels as an index of two different vessels. The CPR values are nearly constant throughout the last ten weeks of pregnancy (1), however, it is known that pathological CPR is associated with an increased risk of perinatal complications, in particular, neonatal acidosis, lower birth weight, and a poorer APGAR score (1-4).

The purpose of this study was to investigate the relationship between the cerebroplacental ratio (CPR), the delivery mode and the fetal outcome in singleton pregnancies in a tertiary center institution.

Patients and Methods

This is a hospital based retrospective analysis of pregnant patients who underwent an ultrasound examination up to 9 weeks before delivery at the department of gynecology and obstetrics at the University Hospital of Cologne between 2011 and 2018. The inclusion criteria were: i) a non-anomalous singleton pregnancy, ii) the absence of fetal or maternal contraindications concerning vaginal delivery and iii) a recorded UA PI and MCA PI. The following prenatal parameters were evaluated: i) maternal age, ii) gestational age at point of ultrasound examination determined by last menstrual period, iii) estimated fetal weight, iv) MCA PI and UA PI. The doppler measurement of MCA and UA was performed in a standardized procedure by an investigator, graded DEGUM level II or III (6).

Furthermore, umbilical arterial pH, APGAR score at 1, 5 and 10 minutes, mode of delivery, fetal sex, birth weight were analyzed. According to the PROTO study, pathological CPR was defined as <1.0 (7).

Statistical analysis was performed using IBM SPSS, Version 24. For the mean comparisons of the two groups of interval scaled variables, a t-test for independent samples was used. With a
sample size of more than 30 subjects per subgroup, an asymptotic normal distribution is assumed. The APGAR score at 1, 5, and 10 minutes between patients with a pathological and a normal CPR was compared as an ordinal variable with the Mann-Whitney-\textit{U} test. Furthermore, a chi-square test was used to analyze differences in the acidosis frequency between patients with the pathological and the normal cerebroplacental index. The comparison of the umbilical cord pH value in three groups (primary, secondary cesarean or vaginal delivery) was carried out with a one-factorial variance analysis with a Bonferroni correction in Post-\textit{hoc} tests in the case of homogeneous variances and a Games-Howell correction of the alpha error for inhomogeneous variances. The APGAR score for 1, 5 and 10 minutes was tested for these three groups using the Kruskal-Wallis test. A multivariate linear regression analysis assessed the influence of the variable delivery mode, the pediatric gender, the CPR and the patient’s age on the pH value. A \textit{p}-value <0.05 was considered significant for all calculations.

\textbf{Results}

A total of 2,270 patients were included in this retrospective analysis, according to the inclusion criteria mentioned above. A pathological CPR (<1.0) in the cohort was found in 126, a normal CPR (>1.0) in 2144 patients. Patients’ characteristics are demonstrated in Table I.

The mean gestational week of delivery was 37 in the group of patients with pathological CPR and 39 in the group of patients with normal CPR. There was a significant difference between the two CPR groups, according to the gestational week at birth (\textit{p}<0.001).

\textbf{APGAR score.} The one-, five- and ten-minute APGAR score was investigated in both study cohorts. We noticed a significant difference in APGAR one, five and ten minutes between patients with pathological and normal CPR, where patients with pathological CPR displayed a lower APGAR score (\textit{p}<0.001).

\textbf{Acidosis.} In the group of pathological CPR, 21.5\% of the newborns showed an acidosis (pH ≤7.25) compared to 28.4\% in the normal group (\textit{p}=0.118). A severe acidosis (pH ≤7.10) was found in 4.1\% of all newborns, in the pathological CPR group compared to 2.5\% in the group with normal CPR 1.6\% (\textit{p}=0.452), respectively.

\textbf{Mode of delivery.} In total, 41.2\% of the patients had a spontaneous delivery, whereas 9.6\% had a vaginal operative delivery and 49.3\% underwent a cesarean section.

When we compared all three types of delivery mode of patients with pathological and normal CPR the difference was significant (\textit{p}<0.001).

The comparison of patients with spontaneous delivery \textit{versus} vaginal operative delivery showed no significant difference in the cerebroplacental index (\textit{p}=0.616).

\textbf{Fetal birthweight.} The fetal birthweight was significantly lower in patients with pathological CPR compared to patients with normal CPR (2,436 g \textit{versus} 3,136 g; \textit{p}<0.001).

\textbf{Multiple regression analysis.} In the multiple regression analysis we examined the influence of delivery mode, gender of fetus, CPR and patient age on the pH value. There was a significantly improved umbilical cord pH for patients with cesarean section (\textit{p}<0.001), a significantly improved umbilical cord pH in female fetuses (\textit{p}=0.013) and a positive influence of the CPR on the umbilical cord pH (\textit{p}=0.035); higher values for the CPR index was associated with a higher umbilical cord pH. The age of the patient had no significant influence on the pH (\textit{p}=0.745) (Table II).

\textbf{Discussion}

In this retrospective hospital-based study we analyzed 2,270 patients with singleton pregnancies according to their outcome depended on the CPR. The current analysis showed a significant difference between both groups with regards to the mode of delivery (\textit{p}<0.001). Fiona et al., have examined 1,902 singleton pregnancies undergoing induction of labor at ≥37 weeks’ gestation in a prospective analysis. They were able to demonstrate that low CPR, measured within 24 hours of induction of labor, is associated with increased risk of cesarean section for fetal distress and adverse neonatal outcome (8).

Furthermore, other authors have also confirmed that fetuses with an abnormal CPR that are appropriate for gestational age or have late-onset small gestational age SGA (>34 weeks of gestation) have a higher incidence of fetal distress in labor requiring emergency cesarean delivery (1, 9-15).

Apart from the maternal outcome we also analyzed the fetal outcome by examining the incidence of acidosis, the APGAR score and birthweight of neonates. We found significantly lower APGAR scores at 1, 5 and 10 minutes in the group of patients with pathologic CPR compared to the group of patients with normal CPR (\textit{p}<0.001). Reduced APGAR scores at delivery in patients with low CPR can be confirmed by Gramellini et al., who found that Apgar <7 at 5 min was significantly higher in patients with abnormal CPR 16.6\% \textit{versus} 2.7\% (\textit{p}<0.001) (1).

Additionally, we were able to show that pregnancies with lower CPR were associated with lower birthweight. Although this has also been described by Flood et al., it has to be stressed that patients with low CPR delivered significantly at an earlier stage, which could also explain the lower birthweights (7). Surprisingly, the incidence of acidosis was not statistically different between the two groups, which is contrast to the findings of Morales-Rossello et al. They analyzed whether SGA and appropriate-for-gestational-age (AGA) term fetuses with a low CPR have a worse neonatal...
predictive parameter of adverse fetal outcome and enables has to be considered as a strength of the study. In conclusion, the measurement of CPR is an important non-invasive limitation of this study. The data of this study were collected population due to the status of this hospital. Nevertheless, has to be taken into consideration for planning the delivery.

The retrospective character has to be considered as a strength of the study cohort. The study population of Morales-Rosello et al., had an ultrasound scan within 14 days of delivery in contrast to the present study, which included examination up to 8 weeks before delivery (16).

The retrospective character has to be considered as a limitation of this study. The data of this study were collected during a non-routine procedure in Germany. The rate of SGA fetuses might be slightly higher compared to the overall population due to the status of this hospital. Nevertheless, the high quality of measurements by experienced physicians and the analysis of data obtained from a tertiary care hospital has to be considered as a strength of the study. In conclusion, the measurement of CPR is an important non-invasive predictive parameter of adverse fetal outcome and enables identification of high-risk patients even in the non-selected patient population, which could possibly lead to a reduction in perinatal morbidity. Pathological CPR makes it necessary to discuss the mode of delivery and admit patients to specialized delivery units with neonatal care units in order to prevent postpartum transfers. As the mode of delivery seems to correlate with the arterial umbilical cord pH, this has to be taken into consideration for planning the delivery.
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**Table I. Patient characteristics.**

|                      | All patients | Pathological CPR | Normal CPR | p-Value |
|----------------------|--------------|------------------|------------|---------|
| Maternal age at delivery (years) | 32.42±5.42  | 31.98±5.6       | 32.44±5.4  | 0.354   |
| Gestational age at delivery (weeks) | 38.13±1.92  | 36.53±2.53      | 38.22±1.83 | <0.001  |
| Fetal birthweight (g) | 3097±659     | 2436±760        | 3155±632   | <0.001  |
| Umbilical cord pH    | 7.284±0.079  | 7.286±0.074     | 7.283±0.079| 0.786   |
| Severe fetal acidosis* n | 37 (4.1%)   | 3 (2.5%)        | 34 (1.6%)  | 0.452   |
| Fetal acidosis all forms* n | 620 (27.3%) | 26 (21.5%)      | 594 (28.4%)| 0.118   |
| Male gender (n)     | 1154 (50.8%) | 67 (53.2%)      | 1087 (50.8%)| 0.798   |
| APGAR                |              |                  |            |         |
| 1 min APGAR         | 8.17±1.53    | 7.44±1.82       | 8.22±1.50  | <0.001  |
| 5 min APGAR         | 9.21±1.24    | 8.56±1.47       | 9.24±1.22  | <0.001  |
| 10 min APGAR        | 9.56±0.89    | 9.21±1.13       | 9.58±0.87  | <0.001  |
| Delivery mode       |              |                  |            |         |
| Spontaneous delivery| 935 (41.2%)  | 27 (21.4%)      | 908 (42.4%)| <0.001  |
| Vaginal operative delivery | 217 (9.6%) | 9 (7.1%)        | 208 (9.7%) |         |
| Cesarean section    | 1118 (49.3%) | 90 (71.4%)      | 1028 (47.9%)|         |

*Severe fetal acidosis (pH≤7.10); fetal acidosis all forms (pH≤7.25).

**Table II. Multiple regression analysis.**

|                      | Regression coefficient | p-Value |
|----------------------|------------------------|---------|
| Vaginal delivery versus cesarean section | 0.04 | 0.000 |
| Gender               | 0.01                   | 0.013   |
| CPR                  | 0.01                   | 0.035   |
| Age of patients      | 0.01                   | 0.745   |
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