Fetal nasal bone hypoplasia in the second trimester and risk of abnormal karyotype in a population of Chinese pregnant women
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Abstract

Introduction: To evaluate the association of hypoplastic nasal bone and fetal chromosomal abnormalities in a prescreened sample of Chinese pregnant women.

Materials and methods: This retrospective study followed women whose fetuses shown hypoplastic nasal bone. Fetal karyotyping was performed and pregnancy outcomes were followed. The relationship between hypoplastic nasal bone with abnormal karyotype was evaluated stratified by whether other ultrasound soft markers or structural abnormalities were also observed.

Results: A total of 56707 singleton pregnancies were initially included. After exclusion of unqualified cases, a total of 65 (65/56707, 1.15%) fetuses with hypoplastic nasal bone were included in the analyses, among which 8 (8/65, 12.31%) were determined to have chromosomal abnormalities. Hypoplasia of nasal bone in association with other structural abnormalities had a higher rate of abnormal karyotypes [66.67% (6/9) vs. 9.09% (2/22), Fisher’s exact test χ² =8.256 p=0.004] compared with cases associated with other ultrasound soft markers.

Conclusion: Hypoplastic nasal bone, when associated with structural abnormalities in a fetus, is associated with chromosomal abnormalities.
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Certified physician sonologists carried out the examination according to guidelines of the International Society of Ultrasound in Obstetrics & Gynecology (ISUOG) [9]. Ultrasound soft markers included: absence or hypoplasia of nasal bone, increased nuchal fold thickness (≥6 mm), short femur or humerus, ventriculomegaly (≥10 mm), intestinal hyperechogenicity, choroid plexus cyst, echocardiographic atrial septal defect, mild pylectasis (≥5 mm), aberrant right subclavian artery, absence of the middle phalanx of the fifth digit and short mandible.

Two-dimensional images of the fetal head in the sagittal plane were used to assess fetal nasal bone length. Images were enlarged to include nose, lips, maxilla and mandible with an approximately 45 degrees or 135 degrees angle between the insonation beam and the nasal axis to more sharply define the edges of the nasal bone (Figure 1). Three measurements of the fetal nasal bone length were taken, and the largest value was recorded. Measurements of nasal bone length less than the 2.5th percentiles [10], as well as those cases with unilateral absence of nasal bone, were considered short. Pregnant women suspected of abnormal fetal nasal bone were further evaluated by senior sonologists.

Ammniocentesis was performed once the diagnosis was confirmed, quantitative fluorescence polymerase chain reaction and karyotyping were carried out to detect for chromosomal abnormalities. Since January 2015, human whole genome single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) genotyping was applied to evaluate cases with additional risk factors. Neonatal pediatricians observed general situations and clinical signs of those newborns that did not receive prenatal invasive diagnostic procedures. In addition, family history of neonates was considered.

Statistical analyses

Median with range was summarized for continuous variables, and frequency or rate was calculated for categorical variables. Differences between groups were compared by Chi-square test or Fisher’s exact test when appropriate. All analyses were performed by SPSS 16.0 for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). All significance tests were two sided; p value of less than 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

Results

Of the 56707 fetus examined, 73 presented abnormal nasal bone development at second trimester ultrasound assessment. Of those 73 cases, three had termination of pregnancy because of multiple structural abnormalities and did not receive genetic amniocentesis, three were confirmed as measurement errors by senior sonologists on further assessment, one underwent termination of pregnancy and did not test for karyotype, and one were one of the twins. Thus, a total of 65 cases with hypoplastic nasal bone were included for final analyses. The prevalence rate of hypoplastic nasal bone was 1.15% (65/56707) in our study population.

The median maternal age was 30 (range: 24-43) years of the 65 pregnant women with hypoplastic fetal nasal bone, and the median gestational weeks at the diagnosis was 22.4 (range: 18.2-23.7) weeks. Of those 65 pregnant women, 50 underwent genetic amniocentesis (76.92%, 50/65). For those 15 cases that did not undergo amniocentesis, no signs of Down syndrome were observed during postnatal examination and thus were considered normal. There was a total of 8 cases (12.31%, 8/65) diagnosed to have chromosomal abnormalities, including 5 cases of trisomy-21 (62.50%), one trisomy-18 (12.50%), one Klinefelter syndrome (47, XXY) (12.50%), and one case of micro-deletions (in 4p) (12.50%) (Table 1 and Figure 2).

Among those 65 cases of nasal bone hypoplasia, 34 were isolated nasal bone hypoplasia cases, 22 had other soft ultrasound markers, and nine cases were with additional structural abnormalities (Table 2). The karyotype was normal in 34 cases of isolated nasal bone hypoplasia. There were no differences in chromosomal abnormality rates between isolated hypoplastic fetal nasal bone group and nasal bone hypoplasia with other ultrasound soft marker group [0% (0/34) vs. 9.09% (2/22), \( \chi^2=1.109 \), p=0.292] (Table 2). A higher rate of abnormal karyotype [66.67% (6/9) vs. 9.09% (2/22), Fisher’s exact test \( \chi^2=8.256 \), p=0.004] was observed among fetuses with hypoplastic nasal bone detected in association with structural abnormalities (Table 2).

Figure 3 displays nasal bone measurements in chromosomal abnormalities across gestational age.

Discussion

It is suggested that hypoplastic nasal bone may be caused by nasal bone hypoplasia or delayed ossification. Studies have shown that the normal ranges of second trimester fetal nasal bone length vary significantly among different ethnic groups [11]. Sonnek, et al. [12] using the definition of nasal hypoplasia when the nasal bone length is below 3 mm at 16 weeks and 4.5 mm at 20 weeks since the 2.5th percentiles and 5th percentiles in the second trimester are quite consistent across studies, reported that the occurrence of absent or hypoplastic fetal nasal bone was 3.66% in their pregnant population at 15-24 weeks of gestation, and the incidence of abnormal nasal bone among Down syndrome cases was 60%. In our study, using 2.5th percentiles, the rate of hypoplastic fetal nasal bone was only 1.15%, lower than other studies (4.34%-43.48%) [13-15], possibly because the current study was carried out in a prescreened sample with lower risk than the general population, while other studies reported results from high-risk populations. This discrepancy may also be related to ethnic differences. There might be observer variance in our study. The exact length of the fetal nasal bone would be measured when hypoplastic nasal bone was suspected by the observer. So, we cannot rule out the possibility of observer’s subjective factors when determining short fetal nasal bone; and it is likely some fetuses with slight short nasal bone or close to the cut-off value might be missed out. To improve this shortcoming, we are planning a prospective study which will measure the exact length of each fetal nasal bone to establish the range of normal fetal nasal bone length during second trimester in our region. However, our
Table 1. Summary of the cases identified with chromosomal abnormalities by genetic amniocentesis (n=24)

| Case Number | Maternal age (Year) | Gestational age (week) | Ultrasound marker of nasal bone | Other ultrasound markers | Embryonic karyotype |
|-------------|---------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|
| 1           | 34                  | 22.3                   | Nasal bone length=3.9 mm       | Short femur, small head circumference | Trisomy-21        |
| 2           | 32                  | 23.5                   | Nasal bone length=4.1 mm       | Enlarged posterior fossa   | Trisomy-21        |
| 3           | 32                  | 20.4                   | Nasal bone length=3.4 mm       | Short femur, strawberry-shaped skull, absence of septum pellucidum, bilateral choroid plexus cyst, short mandible, large ventricular septal defect, bilateral overriding fingers | Trisomy-18        |
| 4           | 27                  | 22.3                   | Nasal bone length=3.8 mm       | Small cerebellum           | Klinefelter syndrome (47,XXY) |
| 5           | 38                  | 22.6                   | Nasal bone length=3.9 mm       | Fetal growth retardation, possible tracheoesophageal fistula, complex congenital heart disease | Micro-deletion (aerdp16.3 p16.1×1) |
| 6           | 38                  | 22.6                   | Nasal bone length=3.9 mm       | Possible tracheoesophageal fistula | Trisomy-21        |
| 7           | 42                  | 23.1                   | Nasal bone length=3.4 mm       | Tetralogy of Fallot, absence of corpus callosum, increased nuchal fold thickness | Trisomy-21        |
| 8           | 43                  | 23.6                   | Nasal bone length=2.6 mm       | Duodenal stenosis or atresia, hydramnios | Trisomy-21        |

Table 2. Number and rate of chromosomal abnormalities associated with abnormal nasal bone (aNB)

| Type of chromosomal abnormalities | NB hypoplasia (n=65) | Isolated hNB (n=34) | hNB+other marker (n=22) | hNB+ structural abnormalities (n=9) |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Trisomy-21 (n, %)                 | 0 (0%)               | 2 (9.09%)           | 3 (33.33%)             |                                   |
| Trisomy-18 (n, %)                 | 0 (0%)               | 0 (0%)              | 1 (11.11%)             |                                   |
| 47, XXY (n, %)                    | 0 (0%)               | 0 (0%)              | 1 (11.11%)             |                                   |
| Micro-deletion (n, %)             | 0 (0%)               | 0 (0%)              | 1 (11.11%)             |                                   |
| Total abnormalities (n, %)        | 0 (0%)               | 2 (9.09%)           | 6 (66.67%)             |                                   |
| Normal/Presumed normal (n, %)     | 34 (100%)            | 20 (90.91%)         | 3 (33.33%)             |                                   |

hNB: Hypoplastic nasal bone

Figure 2. Characterization of 65 cases with nasal bone hypoplasia

Study protocol strictly follows ISUOC guidelines and the sonologists were rigorously trained. Standard image of each fetal nasal bone was taken and stored, every three months a senior sonologist (Yingliu Yan) would randomly select 20-30 images of each sonologist to review for quality control purpose. In addition, each abnormal fetal nasal bone case detected during second trimester ultrasound examination would be further evaluated by senior sonologists to ensure the accuracy of the final diagnosis of abnormal fetal nasal bone development.

The current study observed no chromosomal abnormalities among fetuses with isolated hypoplastic nasal bone development; while additional soft ultrasound markers were present, the detection rate was...
Abnormal fetal nasal bone development may be associated with multiple chromosomal abnormalities [16-18]. The most common fetal chromosomal abnormality detected in the current study was Down syndrome (62.5%, 5/8), other chromosomal abnormalities were Trisomy-18 (3 cases), 47, XXY (1 case), and micro-deletion (1 case). Human whole genome SNP genotyping has been routinely applied for amniocentesis in our hospital. Although the prognosis of isolated hypoplastic fetal nasal bone was relatively good, a detailed fetal morphology scan is still necessary when hypoplastic fetal nasal bone is detected at the second trimester ultrasound screening.

Figure 3. Nasal bone measurements in chromosomal abnormalities across gestational age

9.09% (2/22). When hypoplastic nasal bone was presented in association with structural abnormalities, the detection rate increased to 66.67% (6/9). Therefore, although the prognosis of isolated hypoplastic fetal nasal bone was relatively good, a detailed fetal morphology scan is still necessary when hypoplastic fetal nasal bone is detected at the second trimester ultrasound screening.

Abnormal fetal nasal bone development may be associated with multiple chromosomal abnormalities [16-18]. The most common fetal chromosomal abnormality detected in the current study was Down syndrome (62.5%, 5/8), other chromosomal abnormalities were Trisomy-18 (3 cases), 47, XXY (1 case), and micro-deletion (1 case). Human whole genome SNP genotyping has been routinely applied for amniocentesis in our hospital. Although the prognosis of isolated hypoplastic fetal nasal bone was relatively good, a detailed fetal morphology scan is still necessary when hypoplastic fetal nasal bone is detected at the second trimester ultrasound screening.

This retrospective study did not measure nasal bone length of all fetuses, therefore, we cannot rule out the possibility of false negative cases to bias our results. In addition, karyotyping could not be performed on 8 fetuses with abnormal fetal nasal bone due to various reasons, which may also affect our study results.

In conclusion, hypoplastic nasal bone in fetus, in combination with structural abnormalities, is strongly associated with chromosomal abnormalities, whereas isolated nasal bone hypoplasia is not. Detailed prenatal diagnosis is advisable to rule out abnormal karyotype when hypoplastic nasal bone is detected.
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