rs1944919 on chromosome 11q23.1 and its effector genes COLCA1/COLCA2 confer susceptibility to primary biliary cholangitis
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Primary biliary cholangitis (PBC) is a chronic, progressive cholestatic liver disease in which intrahepatic bile ducts are destroyed by an autoimmune reaction. Our previous genome-wide association study (GWAS) identified chromosome 11q23.1 as a susceptibility gene locus for PBC in the Japanese population. Here, high-density association mapping based on single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) imputation and in silico/in vitro functional analyses identified rs1944919 as the primary functional variant. Expression-quantitative trait loci analyses showed that the PBC susceptibility allele of rs1944919 was significantly associated with increased COLCA1/COLCA2 expression levels. Additionally, the effects of rs1944919 on COLCA1/COLCA2 expression levels were confirmed using genotype knock-in versions of cell lines constructed using the CRISPR/Cas9 system and differed between rs1944919-G/G clones and -T/T clones. To our knowledge, this is the first study to demonstrate the contribution of COLCA1/COLCA2 to PBC susceptibility.

Primary biliary cholangitis (PBC) is a chronic, progressive cholestatic liver disease in which intrahepatic bile ducts are destroyed. PBC is considered an organ-specific autoimmune disease for the following reasons: (1) existence of autoreactive T and B cells from PBC patients and well-defined autoantigens such as the E2 component of the pyruvate dehydrogenase complex, (2) high frequencies of complications of other autoimmune diseases, (3) overlap of many disease susceptibility gene loci with those of other autoimmune diseases, and (4) an overwhelming female predominance1–5. Both adaptive immune responses (including CD4+ T cells, CD8+ T cells, and B cells) and innate immune responses (including natural killer (NK) cells) mediate destruction of small bile ducts via reactions against biliary epithelial cells5–7.

Previous family-based studies ascertained the strong involvement of genetic factors in PBC development8,9. Recently, human leukocyte antigen (HLA) and 30 non-HLA loci were associated with PBC susceptibility in individuals of European descent through genome-wide association studies (GWASs), ImmunoChip analyses, and subsequent meta-analyses10–17. Additionally, our previous GWAS identified 8 susceptibility loci for PBC in the Japanese population18–20. 17 loci were also identified as susceptibility loci for PBC in the Chinese population by another group21. These results indicate that the genetic background related to antigen presentation and T cell-/B cell-mediated inflammation plays an important role in PBC development.
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Candidate genes with well-known functions that are located near top-hit disease susceptibility single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) are often selected as disease susceptibility genes in GWASs. However, the contribution of susceptibility loci to pathogenesis can be understood by the identification of effector genes regulated by primary functional variants located in disease susceptibility loci.

Here, effector gene and primary functional variant in a PBC susceptibility gene locus chromosome 11q23.1 were identified in the present study. In order to analyze the disease susceptibility of all genetic variation including the primary functional variant in this locus, we carried out high-density association mapping of chromosome 11q23.1 based on SNP imputation using data from a whole-genome sequence reference panel of 1070 Japanese individuals and our previous GWAS18,19,22. We then carried out in silico and in vitro functional analyses to identify primary functional variants. Finally, we attempted to identify effector genes and elucidate the molecular mechanism through which functional variants confer PBC susceptibility using expression-quantitative trait locus (e-QTL) analyses and gene editing using the CRISPR/Cas9 system.

Results
SNP imputation and high-density association mapping. In our previous GWAS using the Affymetrix Axiom Genome-Wide ASI1 array, only 11 SNPs exhibited P-values < 0.001 for PBC susceptibility at the chromosome 11q23.1 locus18,19. Therefore, to perform high-density association mapping for PBC susceptibility using all SNPs on chromosome 11q23.1, SNP imputation was performed using genotype data from our previous GWAS and a phased whole-genome sequencing reference panel of 1070 Japanese individuals [1KJPN; Tohoku Medical Megabank Organization, Tohoku University, Japan18,19,22. After SNP imputation, 143 SNPs exhibited P-values < 0.001 for PBC susceptibility at this locus.

In addition to the SNPs that were installed on the Affymetrix Axiom Genome-Wide ASI1 array, 39 SNPs exhibited P-values < 5.0 × 10^-4 by SNP imputation, probably due to linkage disequilibrium (LD) at this locus. Among these SNPs, 29 were located in the 5′ intergenic region of POU class 2 associating factor 1 (POU2AF1) and 13 were located in the first intron of POU2AF1; however, no SNPs were located in exons or splice site (Table 1). Therefore, disease susceptibility in this locus appears to be associated with genetic variation affecting gene expression levels.

Identification of the primary functional variant in chromosome 11q23.1. From 42 SNPs on chromosome 11q23.1 exhibiting P-values < 5.0 × 10^-4, 5 SNPs (rs7952497, rs6589227, rs1944919, rs6589226, and rs4356268) (Table 1) located in DNase I hypersensitivity clusters and histone acetylation regions such as H3K27Ac in at least one cell type in the UCSC genome browser were selected as candidates conferring PBC susceptibility23. Additionally, the most significant SNP on chromosome 11q23.1 identified from high-density association mapping (rs4358534) (Table 1) was also selected as a susceptibility-conferring candidate.

EMSA were performed to evaluate the effect of candidate SNPs on the binding affinity of transcription factors. Among candidate SNPs, a shift in mobility between major and minor alleles was detected for rs1944919 in Jurkat cells (human T lymphocytes) (Fig. 1A) and HepG2 cells (human liver carcinoma cells) (Fig. 1B). However, no differences in mobility were observed for the other candidate SNPs (rs4938534, rs7952497, rs6589227, rs6589226, and rs4356268) (Fig. 1A, B).

To assess differences in transcription efficiency between major and minor alleles of candidate SNPs, luciferase reporter assays were performed in HepG2, Jurkat, and Raji cells. In all cell lines, the luciferase activity 24 h after transfection with a reporter construct containing the G allele (i.e., the PBC susceptibility allele24) of rs1944919 was significantly higher than that after transfection with a reporter construct containing the T allele (Fig. 1D–F). However, consistent with EMSA results, no differences in luciferase activity were observed for the other candidate SNPs (Supplementary Fig. 2). These results indicated that the primary functional variant on chromosome 11q23.1 was rs1944919.

Identification of effector genes of rs1944919. In order to assess the effect of rs1944919 on gene expression, endogenous expression levels of all genes in the human genome in 47 organs and 2 transformed cell lines derived from healthy individuals were compared using the GTEx portal database25. Different rs1944919 genotypes significantly affected the expression of colorectal cancer-associated 1 (COLCA1; P = 3.8 × 10^-29), COLCA2 (P = 6.5 × 10^-22), and POU2AF1 (P = 1.0 × 10^-10) in the esophageal mucosa (Fig. 2A–C).

Although the difference of e-QTL was observed only in the esophageal mucosa, whole blood is the mixture of several immune cell subsets, and the EBV-transformed cell line is an cancer cell line. Therefore, we checked endogenous expression levels in each immune cell subset. Among immune cell subsets (i.e., CD4+ and CD8+ T cells, B cells, NK cells, and monocytes), significant associations between COLCA1 and COLCA2 expression levels in B cells and rs1944919 genotypes were observed (COLCA1: P = 0.00023; COLCA2: P = 0.00040)27. Consistent with luciferase assay results, higher expression levels were observed in individuals with the G allele compared to individuals with the T allele.
In general, due to LD, both primary functional variants and other genetic variants are associated with gene expression levels in e-QTL analyses. Therefore, the effect of rs1944919 on gene expression levels was assessed using rs1944919 genotype knock-in versions of Raji (human B lymphocytes) and Jurkat cell lines constructed using the CRISPR/Cas9 system. Among candidate effector genes, COLCA1 and COLCA2 were differentially expressed between rs1944919-T/T and -G/G clones (P < 0.01; Mann–Whitney U test) [Fig. 3A,B (Raji); Fig. 3D,E (Jurkat)]. Similar to the result of genome editing using the CRISPR/Cas9 system, rs1944919 exhibited the strongest associations with COLCA1 and COLCA2 expression levels among all genetic variations in the human genome by e-QTL association mapping analysis with the GTEx portal database (Fig. 2D,E). Additionally, despite a distance of 100 kb between the COLCA genes and rs1944919, chromatin interactions between the 5-kb window

| SNP_ID | GWAS or Imputation | Position (Chr.11) | P* | OR | UCSC | Location |
|--------|-------------------|------------------|----|----|------|----------|
| rs4938534 | GWAS | 111275133 | 1.25E−08 | 1.35 | × | 5 of POU2AF1 |
| rs12362038 | Imputation | 111270882 | 1.42E−08 | 1.35 | × | 5 of POU2AF1 |
| rs10891261 | Imputation | 111273686 | 1.93E−08 | 1.35 | × | 5 of POU2AF1 |
| rs4938541 | Imputation | 111280208 | 2.87E−08 | 1.34 | △ | 5 of POU2AF1 |
| rs7952176 | Imputation | 11127228 | 3.78E−08 | 1.34 | △ | 5 of POU2AF1 |
| rs1944926 | Imputation | 111287287 | 4.52E−08 | 1.34 | △ | 5 of POU2AF1 |
| rs1123066 | Imputation | 111269435 | 6.17E−08 | 1.33 | × | 5 of POU2AF1 |
| rs4936432 | Imputation | 111269243 | 1.02E−07 | 1.33 | × | 5 of POU2AF1 |
| rs7952176 | Imputation | 111270281 | 1.23E−07 | 1.33 | ○ | 5 of POU2AF1 |
| rs4938518 | GWAS | 111267394 | 1.57E−07 | 1.32 | × | 5 of POU2AF1 |
| rs1944927 | Imputation | 111287404 | 3.41E−07 | 1.32 | △ | 5 of POU2AF1 |
| rs10891261 | Imputation | 111276085 | 7.46E−07 | 1.31 | × | 5 of POU2AF1 |
| rs6589227 | Imputation | 111249367 | 1.39E−06 | 1.30 | ○ | POU2AF1 intron 1 |
| rs1944919 | GWAS | 111259876 | 1.64E−06 | 0.78 | ○ | 5 of POU2AF1 |
| rs11231871 | Imputation | 111261112 | 1.67E−06 | 1.29 | × | 5 of POU2AF1 |
| rs1806294 | Imputation | 111264941 | 1.73E−06 | 0.78 | △ | 5 of POU2AF1 |
| rs6589226 | Imputation | 111249226 | 1.80E−06 | 1.30 | ○ | POU2AF1 intron 1 |
| rs1806397 | GWAS | 111264915 | 1.83E−06 | 0.78 | △ | 5 of POU2AF1 |
| rs7947229 | Imputation | 111289354 | 1.93E−06 | 1.29 | △ | 5 of POU2AF1 |
| rs4593359 | Imputation | 111294127 | 1.93E−06 | 1.30 | × | 5 of POU2AF1 |
| rs4489781 | Imputation | 111248177 | 2.01E−06 | 1.30 | △ | POU2AF1 intron 1 |
| rs6589224 | Imputation | 111246382 | 2.17E−06 | 1.29 | × | POU2AF1 intron 1 |
| rs12799202 | Imputation | 111244756 | 2.48E−06 | 1.29 | × | POU2AF1 intron 1 |
| rs12799471 | Imputation | 111244633 | 2.75E−06 | 1.29 | × | POU2AF1 intron 1 |
| rs4622303 | Imputation | 111248514 | 2.76E−06 | 1.29 | × | POU2AF1 intron 1 |
| rs4938508 | Imputation | 111245343 | 2.84E−06 | 1.29 | × | POU2AF1 intron 1 |
| rs4938510 | Imputation | 111251476 | 2.85E−06 | 1.29 | × | 5 of POU2AF1 |
| rs10891264 | Imputation | 111293399 | 2.98E−06 | 0.78 | × | 5 of POU2AF1 |
| rs34563638 | GWAS | 111253801 | 3.22E−06 | 1.29 | × | 5 of POU2AF1 |
| rs4245182 | Imputation | 111245074 | 3.31E−06 | 1.29 | × | POU2AF1 intron 1 |
| rs1944918 | Imputation | 111261995 | 3.53E−06 | 0.78 | × | 5 of POU2AF1 |
| rs4245183 | Imputation | 111245186 | 3.65E−06 | 1.29 | × | POU2AF1 intron 1 |
| rs12293898 | Imputation | 111257068 | 3.70E−06 | 1.29 | × | 5 of POU2AF1 |
| rs7947717 | Imputation | 111289738 | 3.90E−06 | 1.29 | × | 5 of POU2AF1 |
| rs4556268 | Imputation | 111250650 | 3.94E−06 | 1.29 | ○ | 5 of POU2AF1 |
| rs12800418 | Imputation | 111257069 | 3.95E−06 | 1.29 | × | 5 of POU2AF1 |
| rs7116862 | Imputation | 111238440 | 4.02E−06 | 1.28 | △ | POU2AF1 intron 1 |
| rs6589225 | Imputation | 111246861 | 4.02E−06 | 1.29 | × | POU2AF1 intron 1 |
| rs45299010 | Imputation | 111243102 | 4.13E−06 | 1.28 | △ | POU2AF1 intron 1 |
| rs3802843 | Imputation | 111250214 | 4.19E−06 | 1.28 | × | 5 of POU2AF1 |
| rs7946785 | Imputation | 111305419 | 4.52E−06 | 1.29 | × | 5 of POU2AF1 |
| rs35646619 | Imputation | 111295769 | 5.00E−06 | 1.28 | × | 5 of POU2AF1 |

Table 1. SNPs associated with susceptibility to PBC in the Japanese population in chromosome 11q23.1 by high-density association mapping. aSNPs with underlines were the final candidate primary functional variants. bGenotyped by our previous GWAS (Kawashima M et al. 2017) or the imputed genotypes by the high-density association mapping in the present study. cPosition of the SNPs in hg19. dP values calculated by Pearson’s Chi-square test for the allelic model. eOdds ratio (OR) of minor allele from the two-by-two allele frequency table. fProbability of the functional damages checked by UCSC genome browser.
that contains rs1944919 and upstream sequences of the COLCA genes were detected in GM12878 cells (a line of transformed B cells isolated from a Caucasian individual) (Fig. 4). These results suggest that COLCA1 and COLCA2 are effector genes of rs1944919.

In contrast, although POU2AF1 also exhibited a stronger association with rs1944919 than most of the other genetic variations, rs1944919 genotype knock-in versions of Raji and Jurkat cell lines did not show differences in POU2AF1 expression level between rs1944919-T/T clones and -G/G clones (Fig. 3C (Raji) and 3F (Jurkat)). Additionally, rs1944919 was not the top hit of POU2AF1 expression level by e-QTL association mapping analysis (top-hit SNP: rs4356268) (Supplementary Fig. 3).

Therefore, POU2AF1 expression might be regulated by other genetic variations located near POU2AF1.

Discussion

In the present study, although rs1944919 was located near POU2AF1, this variant affects the expression of other genes such as COLCA1 and COLCA2, which are located 100 kb upstream from the SNP. In contrast to gene expression promoter regions that are located upstream of the transcription start site, expression enhancer regions are sometimes located more than 100 kb from the regulated genes. For example, rs12946510, which is located near IKZF3 on chromosome 17q12-21, regulates the expression of ORMDL3 and GSDMB, which are 200 kb away from rs12946510 at that locus. Therefore, our approach for identifying the primary functional variant and effector gene consisting of high-density association mapping using SNP imputation analysis and subsequent in silico/in vitro functional analyses could overcome the difficulties of genetic analysis that are caused by the complicated DNA second structure.

COLCA1 and COLCA2 were initially identified as genes whose expression levels are significantly associated with colorectal cancer (CRC) susceptibility SNP by Peltekova et al. In that report, characteristic differences in the histological pattern of lymphocyte infiltration in the lamina propria of the colon tissue were shown to be associated with COLCA1 and COLCA2 expression. Although the functions of COLCA1 and COLCA2 have yet to be fully elucidated, they are specifically expressed in human B cells among lymphocytes (Fig. 5). Mice harboring a mutation in Colca2 exhibit increased numbers of leukocytes. These results suggested that COLCA1 and
COLCA2 might be involved in PBC development via the contribution to generate pathological B cell clones. This is the first study to indicate the possible contribution of COLCA1 and COLCA2 to PBC development. Further investigation of COLCA1 and COLCA2 as targets for PBC treatment is warranted.

rs4938534 is a SNP located at the 5′ end of POU2AF1 and exhibited the most significant association with PBC susceptibility in the Japanese population in our previous GWAS.18,19. POU2AF1 (also known as OBF-1, BOB-1, or OCA-B) is a transcriptional cofactor of Oct-1 (also known as POU2F1) and Oct-2 (also known as POU2F2), particularly in immunoglobulin (Ig)-secreting cells.34–36 Spi-B, which is an important mediator of both early T cell lineage differentiation and B cell receptor signaling and plays a role in IL7R-induced CD40 expression, was also identified as a direct target of POU2AF1.37–40 Furthermore, pou2af1−/− mice failed to form germinal centers following challenge with a T cell-dependent antigen due to loss of binding to octamer-containing promoter 2 (P2) of Spi-B, which is essential for germinal center formation and maintenance.39 Additionally, defects in B cell development and immune responses were reported in pou2af1−/− mice.41 Therefore, POU2AF1, which was a “mapped gene” at this locus, tends to be considered as the “effector gene,” which was directly regulated by the primary functional variant at this locus. In the present study, although rs1944919 was significantly affected with POU2AF1 expression in e-QTL analysis (Fig. 2), rs1944919 did not directly regulate POU2AF1 expression in the genotype knock-in versions of cell lines constructed using the CRISPR/Cas9 system (Fig. 3). This contradiction in e-QTL analysis was probably caused by LD between rs1944919 and other unknown variation that directly regulates POU2AF1 expression without conferring significant PBC susceptibility. E-QTL analysis is a powerful and efficient approach for the speculation of the function of disease susceptibility genes and variations. However, our study suggested that accurate interpretations of each variation using functional evaluations are important to avoid misrepresentation by e-QTL analysis.

In the present study, rs1944919, located at the 5′ region of POU2AF1, was identified as the primary functional variant for PBC susceptibility. Genetic variations in chromosome 11q23.1 have been significantly associated with PBC susceptibility in the Japanese population but not in other populations.16–20 In individuals of European descent, chromosome 11q23.1 was identified as a susceptibility gene locus for Hodgkin’s lymphoma (most significant SNP: rs7111520) and eosinophil count (most significant SNP: rs6589229, which showed r² = 1 with
There are several possible explanations for this difference, one of which is the variation in LD among populations. In East Asian populations (including Han Chinese in Beijing, Japanese in Tokyo, Southern Han Chinese, Chinese Dai in Xishuangbanna, and Kinh in Ho Chi Minh City), rs1944919 (i.e., the primary functional variant identified in this study) exhibited stronger LD with rs4938534 ($r^2 = 0.6237$) compared with rs6589229 and rs7111520 ($r^2 = 0.3999$). In contrast, in European populations (including Utah residents with Northern and Western European ancestry, Toscani in Italy, Finnish in Finland, British in England and Scotland, and Iberians in Spain), rs1944919 exhibited stronger LD with rs6589229 and rs7111520 ($r^2 = 0.516$) compared with rs4938534 ($r^2 = 0.4497$) (Table 2). Another possible explanation for the difference in susceptibility association is variation in the allele frequency of rs1944919 among different populations. Compared with East Asians, individuals of European descent exhibited lower minor allele frequencies (Fig. 6). An analysis covering over 3,200 cases and 3,200 controls would be needed to detect associations of rs1944919 with approximately 80% power and $P$-value threshold of $5 \times 10^{-8}$ if rs1944919 shows the same level of OR in European descent as observed in the Japanese population. These data indicate that rs1944919 might affect susceptibility to Hodgkin’s lymphoma and eosinophil count, but a GWAS with much larger sample size is necessary to determine a significant association of chromosome 11q23.1 with PBC susceptibility in individuals of European descent.

There are some limitations to this study. Transcription factors that regulate COLCA1 and COLCA2 gene expression and their molecular interactions are still unknown. Additionally, molecular mechanisms of PBC susceptibility from COLCA1/COLCA2 must be delineated. In order to overcome these limitations, protein expression or interaction-based studies, such as molecular biological experiments including clinical samples or experimental animal models, are needed.

In conclusion, the present high-density association mapping of chromosome 11q23.1 based on SNP imputation and in silico/in vitro functional analyses revealed the molecular mechanism by which rs1944919 increases the transcriptional efficiency of COLCA1 and COLCA2. The results of the present study also demonstrated that effector genes are not always located near GWAS top-hit SNPs. Among PBC susceptibility gene loci, different “mapped gene” and “effector gene” pairs were observed on chromosome 3q13.33 and 17q12-21. A similar systematic analysis using the methods employed in the present study would be very useful in clarifying the molecular mechanism of disease development following comprehensive genetics analyses using approaches such as GWASs.

Materials and methods

Subjects and research ethics. We previously described the demographic and clinical characteristics of the participants in this study. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants. The study was approved by the Committee on Research Ethics of Hoshi University, National Center for Global Health and
Medicine, and the National Hospital Organization. All methods were performed in accordance with the ethical guidelines and regulations.

**SNP imputation.** A phased, whole-genome sequencing reference panel of 1,070 Japanese individuals (1KJPN) was used in this study\(^2\). SNP filtering and genotype imputation methods were described by Hitomi et al.\(^2\).

**Prediction tools, statistical tools, and databases.** The probability that a candidate functional variant might affect transcription regulation was evaluated using the UCSC genome browser (http://genome.ucsc.edu/index.html)\(^2\). The allele frequency of rs1944919 in each population and linkage disequilibrium (LD) data were obtained from LDlink (https://ldlink.nci.nih.gov/)\(^4\). Hi-C data for chromosome 11q23.1 were obtained from the 4C browser (http://promoter.bx.psu.edu/hc/virtual4c.php)\(^2\). Statistical power was calculated using

**Figure 4.** Chromatin interactions between the 5-kb window containing rs1944919 and upstream sequences of COLCA genes. Vertical line indicates the strength of interaction, which is denoted by a red circle. Interaction of the DNase high-sensitivity site (DHS; Thurman et al. 2012) also indicated an interaction between the two regions.
GW A power calculator (http://csg.sph.umich.edu/abecasis/gas_power_calculator/index.html)44. Transcription factor binding was predicted using TRANSFAC Professional (QIAGEN, Valencia, CA, USA; http://www.gene-regulation.com/pub/databases.html)24. TAF1 and EP300 gene expression levels in each cell line were determined using data available from GeneCards (http://www.genecards.org/)25. Correlations of all of SNPs in this locus with gene expression and splicing levels in each organ and immune cell subset were examined using data from the GTEx portal database, version 8 (http://gtexportal.org/home/), along with previously reported data (Ishigaki et al.)26,27. Expression data of COLCA1 and COLCA2 in lymphocytes from healthy individuals were obtained from DICE (https://dice-database.org/)31.

Electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA). According to the manufacturer’s instructions, EMSA was performed using the LightShift Chemiluminescent EMSA kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) and biotinated double-strand oligonucleotide probes which were corresponded to each major and minor allele (Supplementary Table 1). 10 fmol/μL of oligonucleotide probes were incubated with 2.5 μg/mL of nuclear extract of HepG2 or Jurkat cells (Nuclear Extract Kit; Active Region, Carlsbad, CA, USA) for 30 min at 25 °C.

Luciferase reporter assay. Human genomic DNA sequences surrounding candidate SNPs (rs1944919, rs4938534, rs7952497, rs6589227, rs6589226, and rs4356268) at the 5’ end of POU2AF1 were amplified using specific PCR primers. (Supplementary Table 2). Amplicons made by PCR were subcloned into the pGL4.23 (luc2/minP) luciferase reporter vector (Promega, Madison, WI, USA). 500 ng of pGL4.23 constructs of each allele and 50 ng of pGL4.74 (hRluc/TK) vector as an internal control were transfected into Jurkat, HepG2, and Raji cells using Lipofectamine 3000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Using the Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay system (Promega), luciferase activity was measured. Relative luciferase activity between major and minor alleles of each SNP were compared using Student’s t test (statistically significant level: P ≤ 0.05). Data in each figure represent the mean ± standard deviation of triplicate assays in a single experiment.
Gene editing using CRISPR/Cas9. gRNA target sequences (Supplementary Table 3) were subcloned into the pGuide-it-ZsGreen1 vector (Clontech Laboratories, Mountain View, CA, USA) following the manufacturer’s protocol. pGuide-it-ZsGreen1 constructs of each target sequence and donor DNA for each allele were transfected into Jurkat and Raji cells using Lipofectamine 3000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Transfected clones were isolated from bulk transfectant by the BD FACS Aria II cell sorter (BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA). After single-cell cloning and extraction of genomic DNA using the PureLink Genomic DNA Mini Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific), gene editing of target sites was checked by Sanger sequencing (ABI prism 3730-XL, Thermo Fisher Scientific) using primer sets shown in Supplementary Table 3. All knock-in clones that were derived from gene editing using CRISPR-Cas9 were used for quantitative RT-PCR analyses.

Quantitative reverse-transcription PCR. The methods of quantitative reverse-transcription PCR were previously described20,29. For Raji and Jurkat clones, total RNA was extracted using the RNeasy kit (Qiagen) and first-strand complementary DNA was synthesized using the High-Capacity Complementary DNA Reverse-Transcription Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Reverse-transcription PCR to detect each transcript was performed using primers shown in Supplementary Table 3 and FastStart Taq polymerase (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA). To achieve linear amplification, 21, 27, 29, and 31 cycles were found to be optimal in preliminary experiments for GAPDH, POU2AF1, COLCA1, and COLCA2, respectively. Quantitation of each transcript was performed using the Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA, USA). These experiments were repeated 3 times with essentially identical results.
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