Generalized Einstein-Maxwell theory: Seeley-DeWitt coefficients and logarithmic corrections to the entropy of extremal and non-extremal black holes
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ABSTRACT: We present a consolidated manual of Euclidean gravity approaches for finding the logarithmic corrections to the entropy of the full Kerr-Newman family of black holes in both extremal and non-extremal limits. Seeley-DeWitt coefficients for the quadratic fluctuations of a concern gravity theory appear to be the key ingredients in this manual. Following the manual, we calculate the first three Seeley-DeWitt coefficients and logarithmic corrections to the entropy of extremal and non-extremal black holes in a generalized Einstein-Maxwell theory minimally-coupled to additional massless scalar, vector, spin-1/2 Dirac and spin-3/2 Rarita-Schwinger fields. We finally employ the Seeley-DeWitt data to reproduce the logarithmic entropy corrections for extremal black holes in all $N \geq 2$ Einstein-Maxwell supergravity via an alternative local supersymmetrization method.
1 Introduction

In any quantum gravity model, including string theory, it has been found that the leading quantum correction to the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy formula of black holes carrying large charges\(^1\) is proportional to the logarithm of horizon area, called the logarithmic correction [1–19]. These quantum corrections are special features of black hole entropy that can

\(^1\) In the large-charge limit, the charge, angular momentum, mass and other black hole parameters are scaled so that the black hole becomes large (i.e., the horizon area \(A_H \gg l_P^2\), \(l_P\) is the Planck length), keeping different dimensionless ratios unchanged.
be entirely computable macroscopically only using IR or low-energy gravity data (i.e., the massless fields and their couplings to the black hole background), without any prior knowledge about the UV completion of the gravity theory [20–34]. Also, the logarithmic entropy corrections are quite robust for being unaffected by the massive fields as well as the classical higher-derivative corrections [20]. Logarithmic corrections to the Bekenstein-Hawking formula must match with the entropy calculated from the UV complete microscopic side (via logarithm of microstate degeneracy) and hence serve as a strong "infrared window" into the microphysics of black holes.

On the macroscopic side, quantum corrections to the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy of black holes are generally realized by different loop contributions in the saddle point expansion [20–23, 26–31] of the partition function describing the black hole geometry. Logarithmic corrections are filtered by tracking only massless states in the one-loop, requiring evaluation of the one-loop quantum effective action. Euclidean gravity approaches have been an excellent success in computing logarithmic entropy corrections for the extremal [20–31] as well as non-extremal [32–34] black holes. For extremal black holes, the most popular and efficient approach is the quantum entropy function formalism [35–37] that only demands data from the finite part of Euclideanized extremal near-horizon geometry.\footnote{Readers are also encouraged to review [38], where the formalism of entropy function for extremal near-horizon black holes is identical to Sen’s original work [35–37] but uses only the surface term (Gibbons-Hawking-York type) of the gravitational action.} For non-extremal black holes, we cast the strategy developed in [32] that analyzes the whole Euclideanized black hole geometry, including the near-horizon. The main technical aspects of these Euclidean gravity approaches are – (i) easy to track down the appropriate logarithmic terms, (ii) not limited to any particular type of space-time, and (iii) a special treatment to deal with the zero-mode contributions to the one-loop effective action.

A conventional way to estimate the necessary one-loop effective action is the heat kernel treatment. In this treatment, one-loop effective action is represented as the proper time integral [39, 40] of heat kernel of the kinetic operator controlling fluctuations in the one-loop. One can further expand the heat kernel perturbatively in terms of the Seeley-DeWitt expansion coefficients [41–47] for a short proper time. Therefore, the computation of logarithmic corrections now involves finding these coefficients (especially the third Seeley-DeWitt coefficient $a_4(x)$ introduced in (2.7)) for massless fluctuations around the black hole background. In this paper, we will follow a standard but indirect approach [47] to compute the Seeley-DeWitt coefficients. The major highlight of this computation approach is that the Seeley-DeWitt coefficients are expressed only into different invariants induced from the background fields and background geometry. Henceforth one can utilize the results for any arbitrary black hole background of the concerned theory without any limitations.

Einstein-Maxwell theory (EMT), also known as electrovacuum, is a typical source-free gravitational field theory. The most general, static/stationary solutions to the EMT field equations describe the Kerr-Newman family of black holes.\footnote{See [48] for a review.} In this family, the Kerr-Newman background is rotating and electrically charged, while its non-rotating-uncharged, non-rotating-charged and rotating-uncharged limits respectively describe the Schwarzschild,
Reissner-Nordström and Kerr black holes. Quantum corrections to the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy of Kerr-Newman family of black holes play a vital role in macroscopic (low-energy) analysis of any quantum theory of gravity. For example, in the works [21–28, 33], Kerr-Newman black holes are interpreted as solutions to the supergravity-embedded Einstein-Maxwell theories and corresponding logarithmic entropy corrections are obtained. These supergravity theories are low-energy string theory models (generally type-II string theory compactified on a Calabi–Yau three-fold [49, 50]), where the EMT serves as a basic building block. So it will always be fundamental to investigate quantum corrections to the entropy of black holes in a simple EMT.

Logarithmic corrections to the entropy of black holes in a simple four-dimensional ($d = 4$) EMT are not new; results for the extremal Kerr-Newman black holes are already achieved in [30] via the quantum entropy function formalism. The current paper aims to extend the work [30] for both extremal and non-extremal black holes in a more generalized EMT. We investigate a “minimally-coupled” EMT where the simple four-dimensional Einstein-Maxwell system is coupled minimally to additional massless scalar, vector (a.k.a. the Maxwell field or U(1) gauge field), spin-1/2 Dirac, spin-3/2 Rarita-Schwinger fields. If the couplings were set as “non-minimal”, the generalized theory would have new black hole solutions beyond the Kerr-Newman family. For example, in the non-minimally coupled Einstein-Maxwell-scalar models [51], various dilatonic and scalarised black holes are possible. But, the “minimally-coupled” EMT is structured so that the fields are minimal and fluctuate around the pure Einstein-Maxwell backgrounds. Thus, there will not be any new type of black hole solutions except the Kerr-Newman family of black holes. As a result, the minimally-coupled massless fields will give rise to additional contributions to the pure EMT results (both Seeley-DeWitt coefficients and logarithmic entropy corrections), and our primary goal in this paper is to evaluate all these contributions.

The technical aim of this paper is three-fold. First, we design a consolidated and compact logarithmic correction manual based on the Euclidean gravity approaches [32, 35–37], followed by the standard Seeley-DeWitt computation approach [47]. The manual is global for the full Kerr-Newman family of black holes, which does not even depend on supersymmetry. In the second part, we calculate the first three Seeley-DeWitt coefficients for the fluctuations of the $d = 4$ “minimally-coupled” EMT and employ them in obtaining logarithmic entropy corrections for both the extremal and non-extremal Kerr-Newman family of black holes. Finally, we generalize the “minimally-coupled” EMT for arbitrary numbers of fields. The generalized Seeley-DeWitt coefficients and logarithmic correction results are recorded in eqs. (4.1), (4.5), (4.8), (4.11) and (4.12). The non-extremal results exhibit a perfect match with that of [32], where the $a_4(x)$ coefficients for individual fields are not evaluated directly but arranged from secondary data provided in some earlier research works and schemes [52–56]. In contrast, our work follows a generic path: we set up the action of concerned theory, analyze the quadratic fluctuations, calculate all the first three Seeley-DeWitt coefficients and finally find the logarithmic corrections. The contributions due to vector and

---

4EMT describes the bosonic-sector of supergravity multiplet of the Einstein-Maxwell supergravity theories (e.g., see [22, 28, 33]).

5The minimally-coupled fields are only coupled to gravity via the metric, without any other interactions.
Rarita-Schwinger fields in the Schwarzschild formula (4.12) perfectly match the results obtained in [57] via the tunneling approach. All the corrections to extremal black hole entropy are found to be new reports. The calculated “minimally-coupled” EMT results have crucial utility in derivations of various minimally-coupled sectors of supergravity-embedded Einstein-Maxwell theories. As an indirect application, we locally supersymmetrize the generalized $a_4(x)$ formula (4.1) and derive logarithmic entropy corrections for the extremal Kerr-Newman, Kerr and Reissner-Nordström black holes in $\mathcal{N} \geq 2, d = 4$ Einstein-Maxwell supergravity theories (see eqs. (4.18) and (4.20)).

The plan of this paper is as follows. Section 2 serves as an effective manual of computing logarithmic correction to the entropy of extremal and non-extremal Kerr-Newman family of black holes. In section 3, we calculate necessary Seeley-DeWitt coefficients and logarithmic corrections to the entropy of extremal and non-extremal Kerr-Newman family of black holes in the $d = 4$ “minimally-coupled” Einstein-Maxwell theory. We end in section 4 by summarizing and discussing the generalized results. Appendix A discusses the treatment of separating the local and zero-mode contributions of Euclidean one-loop quantum effective action that eventually leads to the working formula for logarithmic corrections. Appendix B derives the Einstein equation as well as includes some particular identities for the four-dimensional Einstein-Maxwell background. In appendix C, we briefly present the cumbersome trace calculations for the Einstein-Maxwell sector.

2 An effective manual for logarithmic correction to black hole entropy

In this section, we present a consolidated manual of how Euclidean gravity approaches provide a standard path to evaluate logarithmic correction to the entropy of extremal and non-extremal Kerr-Newman family of black holes using the Seeley-DeWitt coefficients.

2.1 The working formula

Let us consider a four-dimensional Euclidean gravitational theory with the matter fields $\xi$ and the metric $g$ describing corresponding space-time geometry over a compact manifold. If we fluctuate $g$ and $\xi$ around an arbitrary classical background solution $(\bar{g}, \bar{\xi})$ for small quantum fluctuations $\tilde{g}_m = \{\tilde{g}, \tilde{\xi}\}$,

$$g = \bar{g} + \tilde{g}, \quad \xi = \bar{\xi} + \tilde{\xi}, \quad (2.1)$$

then the action $S[g, \xi]$ describing the theory is expanded perturbatively as

$$S[g, \xi] = S[\bar{g}, \bar{\xi}] + \delta^2 S[\tilde{\xi}_m] + \text{higher order terms.} \quad (2.2)$$

The quadratic-fluctuated action $\delta^2 S[\tilde{\xi}_m]$ can take the schematic form,

$$\delta^2 S[\tilde{\xi}_m] = \int d^4 x \sqrt{|\det g|} \tilde{\xi}_m A \tilde{\xi}_n, \quad (2.3)$$

All the tensor indices of the fluctuations have been suppressed here for simplicity. We will consider these labelings from section 2.3 onward.
where $\Lambda$ is the kinetic differential operator that characterizes the quadratic fluctuations. We can now introduce the heat kernel $K(x, y; s)$ that encodes all the data about the spectrum of the operator $\Lambda$ [20–22, 29, 47],

$$K(x, y; s) = \sum_i e^{-\lambda_i s} f_i(x) f_i(y),$$

followed by the trace of the heat kernel, called the heat trace $D(s)$,

$$D(s) = \text{tr} (e^{-s\Lambda}) = \int d^4x \sqrt{\text{det} \bar{g}} K(x, x; s).$$

Here $\{f_i\}$ are the eigenfunctions of the operator $\Lambda$ with eigenvalues $\{\lambda_i\}$ and $s$ is a proper time with units of $(\text{length})^2$, called the heat kernel time. By the proper time representation [39, 40], the quantum corrected one-loop effective action $W$ is then expressed in terms of the heat trace $D(s)$ as [26]

$$W = -\frac{\chi}{2} \int_{\epsilon}^{\infty} \frac{ds}{s} D(s),$$

where $\chi = \pm 1$ for bosonic and fermionic fluctuations, respectively; $\epsilon$ is a UV cutoff, restricted by $\epsilon \sim l_p^2 \sim G_N$.\(^7\) In order to evaluate $K(x, x; s)$ and $D(s)$, one can cast the Seeley-DeWitt expansion as $s \to 0$,

$$K(x, x; s) \sim \sum_{n=0}^\infty s^{n-2} a_{2n}(x),$$

where the coefficients $a_{2n}(x)$ of the perturbative expansion are known as the Seeley-DeWitt coefficients [41–46]. The $s$ independent part of the expansion (2.7) allows us to find a logarithmic term from the integration (2.6) in the range $\epsilon \ll s \ll A_H$ ($A_H$ is the black hole horizon area),

$$\int_{\epsilon}^{\infty} \frac{ds}{s} \chi D(s) = \cdots + \int d^4x \sqrt{\text{det} \bar{g}} a_4(x) \ln \left( \frac{A_H}{G_N} \right) + \cdots .$$

In any Euclidean gravity approach, this logarithmic term corrects the black hole entropy if one integrates out only massless modes in the one-loop effective action $W$ [20–26, 28–34]. Therefore, the logarithmic correction to black hole entropy is calculated by the general formula,\(^8\)

$$\Delta S_{\text{BH}} = \frac{1}{2} (C_{\text{local}} + C_{zm}) \ln \left( \frac{A_H}{G_N} \right),$$

with the following local ($C_{\text{local}}$) and zero-mode ($C_{zm}$) contributions

$$C_{\text{local}} = \int d^4x \sqrt{\text{det} \bar{g}} a_4(x),$$

$$C_{zm} = \sum_{\xi_m} \chi (\beta E - 1) n_{\xi_m}^0,$$

\(^7\)This work considers the units $\hbar = c = k_B = 1$.

\(^8\)See appendix A of how a revised form of $W$ leads to the $\Delta S_{\text{BH}}$ formula (2.9).
where $n_\xi^0_{m}$ serves the zero-mode counts for a particular fluctuation $\xi_m$ having scaling dimension $\beta_\xi$.

It is also reasonable to investigate whether the universal nature of black hole entropy sustains after incorporating the logarithmic corrections. In the describe Euclidean gravity framework, the logarithmic corrections $\Delta S_{\text{BH}}$ are obtained as $C \ln \left( \frac{A_H}{G_N} \right)$ where the prefactor $C = \frac{1}{2} (C_{\text{local}} + C_{\text{zm}})$ is termed as the coefficient of logarithmic correction. The $\ln \left( \frac{A_H}{G_N} \right)$ part is global in any generic gravity theory, while the coefficient of logarithmic correction $C$ is generally “geometric” (i.e., depends on the black hole geometric characteristics like mass, charge, angular momentum, etc.). But $C$ may also become “non-geometric”, then the particular logarithmic correction result is fully universal.

2.2 Computation of the zero-mode part of logarithmic entropy correction

The contributions of various fields to the zero-mode correction $C_{\text{zm}}$ are not new; they have been computed and analyzed in many works [21, 22, 29, 32]. A generalized and concise review can also be found in [33]. For both the scalar and spin-1/2 fields $n_1^0 = n_{1/2}^0 = 0$, hence they have no contribution in the $C_{\text{zm}}$ formula (2.9c). The four-dimensional vector fields have $\beta_1 = 1$, so they also contribute nothing to $C_{\text{zm}}$ via the formula (2.9c). For a spin-3/2 field $\beta_{3/2} = 3$ in $d = 4$; $n_{3/2}^0 = -4$ for BPS solutions in $N \geq 2, d = 4$ supergravities and 0 for all kinds of non-supersymmetric black holes. The four-dimensional metric has $\beta_2 = 2$ with $n_2^0 = -3 - K$ for the extremal case and $n_2^0 = -K$ for the non-extremal case. Here $K$ (number of rotational isometries) is 3 for the non-rotating black holes and 1 for the rotating black holes. In summary, $C_{\text{zm}}$ receives a contribution from only the metric for non-supersymmetric black holes, while both the metric and gravitino field contribute for BPS black holes. And $\chi$ in the formula (2.9c) takes care of the bosonic and fermionic nature of the fields. Make sure to set $\chi = -1$ for fermions and $\chi = +1$ for bosons.

2.3 Computation of the local part of logarithmic entropy correction

We will now outline computation strategies of the local correction $C_{\text{local}}$ for both the extremal and non-extremal Kerr-Newman family of black holes. This analysis is twofold – a standard computation approach of Seeley-DeWitt coefficients for any arbitrary gravity theory and the appropriate limits of necessary background invariants over the extremal and non-extremal Kerr-Newman black hole geometries.

2.3.1 A standard approach for computing Seeley-DeWitt coefficients

In order to compute $a_4(x)$, we will pursue a standard and efficient approach reviewed in [47]. The basic technical set-up of this approach is briefly depicted as follows. First, one needs to adjust (up to a total derivative$^9$) the quadratic-fluctuated action (2.3) so that the

---

$^9$In our choice of $d = 4$ compact manifold (without boundary), all the total derivative terms emerge as boundary terms in the integration (2.5) via the relation (2.7) and hence contribute nothing. This particular setting is useful in dealing with quantum fluctuations around the boundary of asymptotically flat black holes (e.g., Kerr-Newman family of black holes).
where the redefined covariant derivative and the background metric are prescribed as
\[ \tilde{\xi}_m \tilde{\Lambda}^{\tilde{m}} \tilde{\xi}_n = \pm \tilde{\xi}_m \left( (D_{\rho} D^{\rho}) I \tilde{\xi}_n + (N^\rho D_{\rho}) \tilde{\xi}_n + P \tilde{\xi}_n \right), \quad (2.10) \]

Here \( D_{\rho} \) is a Christoffel-spin-connected covariant derivative. \( I \) is an arbitrary matrix induced from combinations of the background metric \( \bar{g} \) and the identity operator in spin space. \( I \) acts as an effective metric that simultaneously contracts all the indices of any particular fluctuation. \( N^\rho, P \) are also arbitrary matrices in terms of background fields \( \tilde{\xi} \) and the background metric \( \bar{g} \). The standard form (2.10) can be generalized further so that it incorporates interactions between the fluctuations. The generalized operator form is prescribed as
\[ \tilde{\xi}_m \tilde{\Lambda}^{\tilde{m}} \tilde{\xi}_n = \pm \tilde{\xi}_m \left( (D_{\rho} D^{\rho}) I \tilde{\xi}_n + E \tilde{\xi}_n \right), \quad (2.11) \]

where the redefined covariant derivative \( D_{\rho} \), the gauge connection \( \omega_{\rho} \), the commutator curvature \( \Omega_{\rho \sigma} \equiv [D_{\rho}, D_{\sigma}] \) and the matrix-valued potential \( E \) are defined as
\[ D_{\rho} \tilde{\xi}_m = D_{\rho} \tilde{\xi}_m + (\omega_{\rho}) \tilde{\xi}_n, \quad (2.12a) \]
\[ (\Omega_{\rho \sigma}) \tilde{\xi}_m \tilde{\xi}_n = [D_{\rho}, D_{\sigma}] \tilde{\xi}_m \tilde{\xi}_n + D_{\rho} \omega_{\sigma} \tilde{\xi}_m \tilde{\xi}_n + [\omega_{\rho}, \omega_{\sigma}] \tilde{\xi}_m \tilde{\xi}_n, \quad (2.12b) \]
\[ E \tilde{\xi}_m \tilde{\xi}_n = P \tilde{\xi}_m \tilde{\xi}_n - (D_{\rho} \omega_{\rho}) \tilde{\xi}_m \tilde{\xi}_n - (\omega_{\rho}) \tilde{\xi}_m \tilde{\xi}_n (\omega_{\rho}) = \tilde{\xi}_n. \quad (2.12c) \]

Note that all the matrices are labeled by “\( \tilde{\xi}_m \tilde{\xi}_n \)”, describing with which pair of fluctuations the matrices are contracted where \( \tilde{\xi}_m \) includes particular fluctuations-types along with their tensor indices. Any fluctuation is considered as the “minimally-coupled” if it evaluates \( \omega_{\rho} = 0 \) (i.e., no other interactions except the one with background gravity via the \( \sqrt{\det \bar{g}} \) term in its quadratic-fluctuated action). The commutations of covariant derivatives acting on the scalar \( \phi \), vector \( a_{\mu} \), spin-1/2 Dirac \( \lambda \), spin-3/2 Rarita-Schwinger \( \psi_{\mu} \) and metric \( h_{\mu \nu} \) fluctuations have the following standard definitions
\[ [D_{\rho}, D_{\sigma}] \phi = 0, \quad (2.13a) \]
\[ [D_{\rho}, D_{\sigma}] a_{\mu} = R_{\mu}^{\nu, \rho \sigma} a_{\nu}, \quad (2.13b) \]
\[ [D_{\rho}, D_{\sigma}] \lambda = \frac{1}{4} \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\beta R_{\alpha \beta \rho \sigma} \lambda, \quad (2.13c) \]
\[ [D_{\rho}, D_{\sigma}] \psi_{\mu} = R_{\mu}^{\nu, \rho \sigma} \psi_{\nu} + \frac{1}{4} \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\beta R_{\alpha \beta \rho \sigma} \psi_{\mu}, \quad (2.13d) \]
\[ [D_{\rho}, D_{\sigma}] h_{\mu \nu} = R_{\mu}^{\alpha, \rho \sigma} h_{\alpha \nu} + R_{\nu}^{\alpha, \rho \sigma} h_{\mu \alpha}. \quad (2.13e) \]

With all these data, the formulae for the first three Seeley-DeWitt coefficients are listed as [47]
\[ a_0(x) = \frac{\chi}{16\pi^2} \text{tr}(I), \]
\[ a_2(x) = \frac{\chi}{16\pi^2 \times (6 + RI)}, \]
\[ a_4(x) = \frac{\chi}{16\pi^2 \times 360} \left( 60RE + 180E^2 + 30\Omega_{\rho \sigma} \Omega^{\rho \sigma} + (2R_{\mu \nu \rho \sigma} R^{\mu \nu \rho \sigma} - 2R_{\mu \nu} R^{\mu \nu} + 5R^2)I \right), \quad (2.14) \]
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where $\chi = +1, -1$ and $-1/2$ for the fluctuation of bosons, Dirac spinors and Majorana spinors, respectively. The above described approach naturally recognizes any fermionic fluctuation as a Dirac spinor [22, 28, 33]. Majorana spinors have half the degrees of freedom of Dirac fermions, and hence for casting them via the current approach, one needs to employ an additional $1/2$ factor in the formulae (2.14). Weyl spinors are prohibited in this approach due to having both the right and left chiral states and must be redefined into Dirac or Majorana forms. The crucial benefit of the present Seeley-DeWitt computation approach is that after taking quadratic fluctuations around a classical background, we have direct formulae to calculate the Seeley-DeWitt coefficients in terms of background invariants like $R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}, R_{\mu\nu} R^{\mu\nu}, R^2, R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}, \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}, (\tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu})^2$, etc. Thus the results are global and not limited to any particular background of the theory. Apart from several useful applications, the Seeley-DeWitt coefficients have essential utility in one-loop quantum corrections. Since the logarithmic corrections are evaluated by $a_4(x)$, we find it sufficient to calculate the Seeley-DeWitt coefficients only up to this order.

2.3.2 Strategies for extremal and non-extremal Kerr-Newman black holes

Kerr-Newman black holes are the most general stationary solutions to the equations of motion of Einstein-Maxwell theory [48]. In standard spherical coordinates $(t, r, \psi, \phi)$, a Kerr-Newman black hole with charge $Q$, mass $M$ and angular momentum $J$ is characterized by the metric [30],

$$ds^2 = \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu = \frac{r^2 + b^2 \cos^2 \psi - 2Mr + Q^2}{(r^2 + b^2 \cos^2 \psi)} dt^2 + \frac{r^2 + b^2 \cos^2 \psi}{(r^2 + b^2 - 2Mr + Q^2)} dr^2 + \frac{(r^2 + b^2 \cos^2 \psi)(r^2 + b^2) + (2Mr - Q^2) b^2 \sin^2 \psi}{(r^2 + b^2 \cos^2 \psi)} \sin^2 \psi d\phi^2 + (r^2 + b^2 \cos^2 \psi) d\psi^2 + \frac{2(Q^2 - 2Mr)b}{(r^2 + b^2 \cos^2 \psi)} \sin^2 \psi dt d\phi, \tag{2.15}$$

with the following geometric invariants [58, 59]

$$R_{\mu\nu} R^{\mu\nu} = \frac{4Q^4}{(r^2 + b^2 \cos^2 \psi)^4},$$

$$R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = \frac{8}{(r^2 + b^2 \cos^2 \psi)^6} \left( Q^4 (7r^4 - 34r^2 b^2 \cos^2 \psi + 7b^4 \cos^4 \psi) ight.$$

$$- 12MQ^2 r (r^4 - 10r^2 b^2 \cos^2 \psi + 5b^4 \cos^4 \psi)$$

$$+ 6M^2 (r^6 - 15b^2 r^4 \cos^2 \psi + 15b^4 r^2 \cos^4 \psi - b^6 \cos^6 \psi) \right),$$

where $b = J/M$. One can achieve the metric forms of Schwarzschild, Kerr and Reissner-Nordström black holes in appropriate limits of the Kerr-Newman metric (2.15). We are now going to discuss two separate strategies for calculating $C_{\text{local}}$ corrections to the entropy of extremal and non-extremal Kerr-Newman family of black holes. For both the strategies, all the charges of the Kerr-Newman black hole need to be scaled by a common large scale, say $L$, so that the angular momentum, charge and horizon area of the black hole are scaled as $J \sim L^2$, $Q \sim L$ and $A_H \sim L^2$ (the large-charge limits) [30, 32].
Strategy A (for extremal black holes):

For the extremal Kerr-Newman family of black holes, we follow a strategy that casts the quantum entropy function formalism \[35–37\]. This Euclidean gravity approach is quite efficient in calculating the one-loop quantum corrections of extremal black holes by only using the near-horizon geometry data \[20–23, 26–31\]. The near-horizon geometry of an extremal black hole is structured as $\text{AdS}_2 \times K$ ($K$ is a compact space that includes the angular coordinates) and can be described by a Euclidean path integral partition function $Z_{\text{AdS}_2}$ of various fields asymptotically approaching the classical near-horizon background. $Z_{\text{AdS}_2}$ could be expressed in the form $e^{-\alpha \ell} \times Z_{\text{finite}}^{\text{AdS}_2}$ for some constant $\alpha$ and boundary length $\ell$ of the regulated $\text{AdS}_2$. Then the principles of $\text{AdS}_2/\text{CFT}_1$ correspondence allow the quantum entropy function formalism to identify the finite part $Z_{\text{finite}}^{\text{AdS}_2}$ as an alternative definition of the quantum degeneracy for extremal black holes from the macroscopic side. Therefore, the $C_{\text{local}}$ formula for extremal black holes becomes

$$C_{\text{local}}^{\text{extremal}} = \int_{\text{near-horizon}} d^4 x \sqrt{\det \bar{g}} \, a_4(x),$$

where the $a_4(x)$ coefficient needs to be integrated only over the extremal near-horizon geometry (of the form $\text{AdS}_2 \times K$) by dropping all the terms proportional to the boundary of IR regulated $\text{AdS}_2$ \[21, 22, 27–30\]. Now for the fluctuations of Einstein-Maxwell theories, $a_4(x)$ is always electro-magnetic dual invariant (i.e., the $R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \bar{F}^{\mu\nu} \bar{F}^{\rho\sigma}$ and $(\bar{F}^{\mu\nu})^2$ terms are absent in the final expression\[10\]) and the theories also satisfy the $R = 0$ condition\[11\] for any background solution. Therefore, one needs only the “finite” near-horizon extremal limits of Ricci and Riemann tensor squares for calculating logarithmic corrections to the entropy of extremal Kerr-Newman black holes \[30\],

$$\int_{\text{near-horizon}} d^4 x \sqrt{\det \bar{g}} R_{\mu\nu} R^{\mu\nu} = -4\pi^2 \left( 3\mathcal{B} + (8b'^2 + 5)\mathcal{B}' \right),$$

$$\int_{\text{near-horizon}} d^4 x \sqrt{\det \bar{g}} R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = -16\pi^2 \left( 3\mathcal{B} - (8b'^6 + 20b'^4 + 8b'^2 - 1)\mathcal{B}' \right),$$

where

$$b' = b/Q = J/MQ,$$

$$\mathcal{B} = \frac{2b'^2 + 1}{b'(b'^2 + 1)^{5/2}} \tan^{-1}\left( \frac{b'}{\sqrt{b'^2 + 1}} \right),$$

$$\mathcal{B}' = \frac{1}{(b'^2 + 1)^2(2b'^2 + 1)}.$$

The strategy of finding $C_{\text{local}}$ for the extremal Kerr-Newman family of black holes involves the following algorithm

1. Calculate $a_4(x)$ pursuing the standard technique of section 2.3.1 for the quadratic fluctuations of massless fields in the theory embedded with Einstein-Maxwell backgrounds.

---

\[10\] For example, see the $a_4(x)$ results in section 3.1 of this paper and also in \[27, 28\].

\[11\] See appendix B.
2. Simplify the final form of \( a_4(x) \) only into the curvature invariants \( R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \) and \( R_{\mu\nu}R^{\mu\nu} \) using appropriate equations of motion of the Einstein-Maxwell backgrounds (refer to appendix B).

3. Compute \( C_{\text{local}} \) for an extremal Kerr-Newman black hole by employing the \( a_4(x) \) result into the formula (2.17), along with the limits (2.18).

4. For extremal Kerr \(( Q = 0, J \neq 0)\) and Reissner-Nordström \(( Q \neq 0, J = 0)\) black holes, set \( b' \rightarrow \infty \) and \( b' \rightarrow 0 \) respectively in the Kerr-Newman \( C_{\text{local}} \) result. The undetermined value of \( b' \) for Schwarzschild black holes \(( Q = 0, J = 0)\) justifies that the extremal-Schwarzschild limit is not possible.

**Strategy B (for non-extremal black holes):**

In **Strategy A**, the quantum entropy function formalism exactly predicts the degeneracy of extremal black holes by alternatively defining a near-horizon partition function. But for the generic non-extremal Kerr-Newman family of black holes, we cast the Euclidean gravity approach developed in [32] where a special treatment\(^{12}\) is used to extract out the particular black hole partition function by eliminating the thermal gas contribution of all particles (massless and massive) present in the theory. This treatment effectively leads to the logarithmic corrections for a particular choice of integration range of the heat kernel time \( s \) and writes the following \( C_{\text{local}} \) formula for non-extremal black holes

\[
C_{\text{local}}^{\text{non-extremal}} = \int_{\text{full geometry}} d^4x \sqrt{\det \bar{g}} a_4(x), \tag{2.19}
\]

where \( a_4(x) \) needs to be integrated over the full black hole geometry. Now the Seeley-DeWitt coefficient \( a_4(x) \) encodes all the trace anomaly data related to the logarithmic corrections via (2.19) and can be written in the following form

\[
a_4(x) = \frac{c}{16\pi^2} W_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} W^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} - \frac{a}{16\pi^2} E_4, \tag{2.20}
\]

where the anomalies \( W_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} W^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \) and \( E_4 \) are recognized as Weyl tensor square and 4D Euler-Gauss-Bonnet density, respectively, for the constant coefficients \( c \) and \( a \) (a.k.a. the central charges of corresponding conformal anomalies). For any arbitrary backgrounds, one can use the standard forms –

\[
W_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} W^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} - 2 R_{\mu\nu} R^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{3} R^2,
\]

\[
E_4 = R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} - 4 R_{\mu\nu} R^{\mu\nu} + R^2. \tag{2.21}
\]

The standard definition of four-dimensional Euler characteristic suggests the integral of \( E_4 \) is a pure number for non-extremal black holes, i.e.,

\[
\frac{1}{32\pi^2} \int_{\text{full geometry}} d^4x \sqrt{\det \bar{g}} E_4 = 2. \tag{2.22}
\]

\(^{12}\)All technical details are elaborately depicted in section 2.3 of [32]. Also, see the note mentioned in appendix A of this paper.
On the other hand, the integral of $W_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}W^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$ over the full non-extremal Kerr-Newman geometry (2.15) can be evaluated in terms of different dimensionless ratios of black hole parameters as [32]

$$\int_{\text{full geometry}} d^4x \sqrt{|\det g|} W_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}W^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = 64\pi^2 + \frac{\pi \beta^2 Q^4 B''}{b^6 r_H^4 (b^2 + r_H^2)},$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.23a)

where

$$r_H = M + \sqrt{M^2 - Q^2 - b^2},$$

$$\beta = \frac{32\pi^2}{\sqrt{M^2 - Q^2 - b^2}} \left( 2M^2 - Q^2 + 2M \sqrt{M^2 - Q^2 - b^2} \right),$$

$$B'' = 3b^5 r_H + 2b^3 r_H^3 + 3br_H^5 + 3(b^2 - r_H^2)(b^2 + r_H^2)^2 \tan^{-1}\left( \frac{b}{r_H} \right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.23b)

Thus, we arrive at a modified working formula of $C_{\text{local}}$ for the non-extremal black holes,

$$C_{\text{local}}^{\text{non-extremal}} = \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \left( c \int_{\text{full geometry}} d^4x \sqrt{|\det g|} W_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}W^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} - a \int_{\text{full geometry}} d^4x \sqrt{|\det g|} E_4 \right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.24)

The strategy of finding $C_{\text{local}}$ for the non-extremal Kerr-Newman family of black holes involves the following algorithm –

1. Calculate $a_4(x)$ following the method of section 2.3.1, and express them only into $R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$ and $R_{\mu\nu}R^{\mu\nu}$ invariants of the Einstein-Maxwell backgrounds.

2. Compare the obtained $a_4(x)$ result with the standard form (2.20) and extract out the coefficients of trace anomalies or the central charges $(c,a)$.

3. Evaluate $C_{\text{local}}$ for a non-extremal Kerr-Newman black hole entropy by employing the $(c,a)$ data into the formula (2.24), along with the limits (2.22) and (2.23).

4. For non-extremal Reissner-Nordström $(Q \neq 0, J = 0)$ black holes, set $b \to 0$ in the Kerr-Newman $C_{\text{local}}$ result, while put $Q = 0$ for both non-extremal Kerr $(Q = 0, J \neq 0)$ and Schwarzschild $(Q = 0, J = 0)$ black holes.

We, therefore, have all the necessary ingredients for evaluating logarithmic entropy corrections for all the Kerr-Newman family of black holes. The “Strategy A” and “Strategy B” provide the local corrections $(C_{\text{local}})$ for the extremal and non-extremal cases, respectively.\(^{13}\) The zero-mode corrections $(C_{\text{zm}})$ can be extracted using the inputs of section 2.2

\(^{13}\)At any point, one can also solve eqs. (2.22) and (2.23) for the integrals of $R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$ and $R_{\mu\nu}R^{\mu\nu}$ over the Kerr-Newman geometry and proceed with the primary formula (2.19).

\(^{14}\)In principle, one can use “Strategy B” for the extremal Kerr-Newman black holes. But it will be challenging to achieve the finite integration values of $W_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}W^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$ and $E_4$ (or $R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$ and $R_{\mu\nu}R^{\mu\nu}$) in the extremal limit $M = \sqrt{Q^2 + b^2}$. In contrast, the quantum entropy function formalism [35–37] used in “Strategy A” is a popular trick that easily determines the logarithmic entropy corrections for extremal black holes using finite near-horizon limits of the relevant background-geometric invariants.
in the general formula (2.9c). Finally, the central formula (2.9a) evaluates the necessary logarithmic correction results for the Kerr-Newman family of black holes. It is important to highlight that the whole framework 2.3 of calculating logarithmic corrections does not rely on supersymmetry and hence entirely appropriate for all extremal and non-extremal black holes in supergravity embedded Einstein-Maxwell theories.

3 Seeley-DeWitt coefficients and logarithmic entropy corrections in the "minimally-coupled" Einstein-Maxwell theory

A pure or simple EMT casts a vector field \( A_\mu \) coupled minimally to metric \( g_{\mu\nu} \) in four dimensions via the action \((G_N = 1/16\pi)\),

\[
S_{EM} = \int d^4x \sqrt{|det g|} L_{EM}, \quad L_{EM} = (\mathcal{R} - F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}), \tag{3.1}
\]

where \( \mathcal{R} \) is the Ricci scalar constructed from \( g^{\mu\nu} \) and \( F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu \) is the field strength tensor of \( A_\mu \). The Einstein equation for any general classical background solution \((\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}, \bar{A}_\mu)\) to (3.1) is\(^\text{15}\)

\[
R_{\mu\nu} = 2\bar{F}_{\mu\rho}\bar{F}_\rho^{\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}\bar{F}_{\rho\sigma}\bar{F}^{\rho\sigma}, \quad R = 0, \tag{3.2}
\]

where \( \bar{F}_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \bar{A}_\nu - \partial_\nu \bar{A}_\mu \) is the background field strength, \( R_{\mu\nu} \) and \( R \) are background Ricci parameters induced from \( \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} \). We now turn to a generalization of the simple EMT: a massless scalar field \( \phi \), an additional massless vector field \( a'_\mu \), a massless spin-1/2 Dirac field \( \lambda \) and a massless spin-3/2 Rarita-Schwinger field \( \psi_\mu \) (Majorana form) are minimally coupled to the pure Einstein-Maxwell system (3.1). The generalized theory is structured such that all the additionally-coupled fields must fluctuate around the background of the pure Einstein-Maxwell system for the requirement of sharing the common Kerr-Newman family of solutions. The action describing the resultant \( d = 4 \) “minimally-coupled” Einstein-Maxwell theory, denoted as \( S_{EM(mc)} \), can be structured by coupling the free actions of the massless fields minimally to the pure Einstein-Maxwell action (3.1),

\[
S_{EM(mc)} = \int d^4x \sqrt{|det g|} L_{EM(mc)}, \tag{3.3}
\]

\[
L_{EM(mc)} = L_{EM} + D_\rho \phi D^\rho \phi - \frac{1}{4} f'_{\mu\nu} f'^{\mu\nu} + i\bar{\lambda} \gamma^\rho D_\rho \lambda - \bar{\psi}_\mu \gamma^{\mu\rho\nu} D_\rho \psi_\nu,
\]

where \( f'_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu a'_\nu - \partial_\nu a'_\mu \), \( \bar{\lambda} = \lambda^\dagger \), \( \bar{\psi}_\mu = \psi_\mu^\dagger \), and \( \gamma^{\mu\rho\nu} \) is an antisymmetrized product\(^\text{16}\) of Euclidean gamma matrices \( \gamma^\mu \) which follow the 4D Clifford algebra (with the identity matrix \( I_4 \)),

\[
\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu + \gamma^\nu \gamma^\mu = 2g^{\mu\nu}I_4. \tag{3.4}
\]

For investigating quadratic fluctuation of the content in the “minimally-coupled” EMT (3.3), we consider the following fluctuations –

\(^{15}\)The derivation of the Einstein equation (3.2) is provided in appendix B.

\(^{16}\)In our convention, the antisymmetrized products of gamma matrices are defined as \( \gamma^{\alpha_1\alpha_2...\alpha_n} = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_P (-1)^P \gamma^{\alpha_1} \gamma^{\alpha_2} ... \gamma^{\alpha_n} \), where \( P \) stands for the type (i.e., even or odd) of permutations.
(a) the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ and vector field $A_\mu$ fluctuate around the classical background $(\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}, \bar{A}_\mu)$ of the pure Einstein-Maxwell system (3.1) for small fluctuation $(\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}, \tilde{A}_\mu)$,

$$
\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} = \sqrt{2}h_{\mu\nu}, \quad \tilde{A}_\mu = \frac{1}{2}a_\mu,
$$

which yields (up to quadratic order),

$$
\sqrt{\det g} = \sqrt{\det \bar{g}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}h_\mu^\mu - \frac{1}{2}h_\mu^\nu h_\nu^\mu + \frac{1}{4}(h_\mu^\mu)^2\right),
$$

$$
g_{\mu\nu} = \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} - \sqrt{2}h_{\mu\nu} + 2h_\mu^\rho h_\rho^\nu, \quad F_{\mu\nu} = \bar{F}_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}f_{\mu\nu},
$$

where $f_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu a_\nu - \partial_\nu a_\mu$.

(b) all other minimally-coupled fields ($\phi, a'_\mu, \lambda, \psi_\mu$) are supposed to have no background values and must fluctuate around the Einstein-Maxwell background $(\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}, \bar{A}_\mu)$.

As a result, the “minimally-coupled” EMT (3.3) satisfies the same Einstein equation (3.2) and the other equations of motion as the simple EMT (3.1) for the common background solution $(\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}, \bar{A}_\mu)$. We then execute the quadratic fluctuation of the action (3.3). The particular kind of couplings allows us to distribute the fluctuations $\tilde{\xi}_m = \{h_{\mu\nu}, a_\mu, \phi, a'_\mu, \lambda, \psi_\mu\}$ into various sectors,

$$
\delta^2 S_{\text{EM}(mc)}[\tilde{\xi}_m] = \delta^2 S_{\text{EM}}[h_{\mu\nu}, a_\mu] + \delta^2 S_{\text{scalar}}[\phi] + \delta^2 S_{\text{vector}}[a'_\mu] + \delta^2 S_{\text{Dirac}}[\lambda] + \delta^2 S_{\text{RS}}[\psi_\mu],
$$

where the quadratic-fluctuated Einstein-Maxwell sector $\delta^2 S_{\text{EM}}$ and the additionally-coupled field sectors $\delta^2 S_{\text{scalar}}, \delta^2 S_{\text{vector}}, \delta^2 S_{\text{Dirac}}, \delta^2 S_{\text{RS}}$ are expressed as well as analyzed in sections 3.1 to 3.5. Furthermore, the “minimally-coupled” EMT does not give rise to new black holes beyond the Kerr-Newman family of solutions, and hence the minimally-coupled fields provide additional contributions to the logarithmic correction results of the pure Einstein-Maxwell system. Our purpose is to compute all these logarithmic correction contributions for the Kerr-Newman family of black holes in both extremal and non-extremal limits. For that, we need to analyze the quadratic fluctuated action components and evaluate the Seeley-DeWitt coefficients. Following the prescription of section 2, we now pursue this direction further. Note that all the significant terms and data relevant to the pure Einstein-Maxwell sector and the additionally-coupled scalar field, vector field, spin-$1/2$ Dirac field, spin-$3/2$ Rarita-Schwinger field sectors are respectively labeled by “EM”, “scalar”, “vector”, “Dirac” and “RS”.

### 3.1 Contributions of the Einstein-Maxwell sector

Investigating the Einstein-Maxwell part of the action (3.3) via the Seeley-DeWitt approach is not an easy task; it involves a lengthy but systematic process. The initial challenge is preparing the quadratic order fluctuated action for the fluctuations (3.5) and expressing
it into the prescribed Laplace-type form (2.10). Then one needs to encounter a mountain of tedious trace calculations. For the quadratic fluctuations $\xi_m = \{h_{\mu\nu}, a_\mu\}$, the Einstein-Maxwell sector in the action (3.3) can be decoupled into two separate subparts,

$$\delta^2 S_{\text{EM}}[h_{\mu\nu}, a_\mu] = \delta^2 S_{\text{Ricci}}[h_{\mu\nu}] + \delta^2 S_{\text{Maxwell}}[h_{\mu\nu}, a_\mu], \quad (3.8a)$$

where $\delta^2 S_{\text{Ricci}}$ and $\delta^2 S_{\text{Maxwell}}$ respectively denote the quadratic fluctuated Ricci scalar part and Maxwell part:

$$\delta^2 S_{\text{Ricci}}[h_{\mu\nu}] = \int d^4x \delta^2(\sqrt{\det g} R), \quad (3.8b)$$

$$\delta^2 S_{\text{Maxwell}}[h_{\mu\nu}, a_\mu] = -\int d^4x \delta^2(\sqrt{\det g} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}). \quad (3.8c)$$

**The Ricci scalar part**

We begin by expressing the standard form of Christoffel symbol $\Gamma^\rho_{\mu\nu}$ in terms of the fluctuated $g_{\mu\nu}$ and $g^{\mu\nu}$,

$$\Gamma^\rho_{\mu\nu} = (\bar{g}^{\rho\sigma} - \sqrt{2} h^{\rho\sigma} + 2 h^{\rho\alpha} h_\alpha^{\quad \sigma}) \left( \tilde{\Gamma}_{\sigma\mu\nu} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} (D_\mu h_{\nu\sigma} + D_\nu h_{\mu\sigma} - D_\sigma h_{\mu\nu} + 2 \bar{\Gamma}^\alpha_{\mu\nu} h_{\alpha\sigma}) \right), \quad (3.9)$$

where the background Christoffel symbol $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\sigma\mu\nu}$ and the covariant derivative $D_\rho$ operating on the metric fluctuation $h_{\mu\nu}$ are defined as

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_{\sigma\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \bar{g}_{\nu\sigma} + \partial_\nu \bar{g}_{\mu\sigma} - \partial_\sigma \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}),$$

$$D_\sigma h_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\sigma h_{\mu\nu} - \tilde{\Gamma}^\alpha_{\mu\sigma} h_{\nu\alpha} - \tilde{\Gamma}^\alpha_{\nu\sigma} h_{\mu\alpha}. \quad (3.10)$$

One can now execute the product (3.9) by eliminating all the terms higher than second order of the fluctuation $h_{\mu\nu}$ and express,

$$\Gamma^\rho_{\mu\nu} = \tilde{\Gamma}^\rho_{\mu\nu} + \delta \Gamma^\rho_{\mu\nu}, \quad (3.11)$$

which adjusts the expression of Ricci tensor $R_{\mu\nu} = g^{\rho\sigma} R_{\rho\sigma\mu\nu}$ up to quadratic order by avoiding all the total derivative terms as

$$R_{\mu\nu} = R_{\mu\nu} + D_\rho (\delta \Gamma^\rho_{\mu\nu}) - D_\nu (\delta \Gamma^\rho_{\mu\nu}) + \delta \Gamma^\alpha_{\mu\nu} \delta \Gamma^\rho_{\alpha\nu} - \delta \Gamma^\alpha_{\mu\rho} \delta \Gamma^\rho_{\alpha\nu},$$

$$= R_{\mu\nu} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} (D_\rho D_\mu h^\rho_\nu + D_\rho D_\nu h^\rho_\mu - D_\rho D^\rho h_{\mu\nu} - D_\rho D_{\mu\nu} h^\rho_\rho)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} (h_{\mu\sigma} D_\rho h_{\nu\rho} + 2 h_{\mu}^{\quad \sigma} D_\rho D_\sigma h_{\nu\rho} - 2 h_{\nu}^{\quad \sigma} D_\rho D^\rho h_{\mu\sigma}$$

$$- h_{\nu}^{\rho} D_\rho D_{\mu} h_{\nu}^{\sigma} - h_{\mu}^{\rho} D_\nu D_{\rho} h_{\mu}^{\sigma} + h_{\mu\nu} D_\rho D^\rho h_{\nu}^{\sigma}), \quad (3.12)$$

Here $R_{\mu\nu}$ is the background Ricci tensor induced from $\tilde{\Gamma}^\rho_{\mu\nu}$. Further, contracting the $R_{\mu\nu}$ expression (3.12) by the fluctuated $g^{\mu\nu}$ form (3.6), one can achieve a simplified quadratic
fluctuated Ricci scalar $\mathcal{R}$ form as
\[
\mathcal{R} = R + \sqrt{2} \left( D_\mu D_\nu h^{\mu\nu} - D_\rho D^\rho h^{\mu}_\mu - R_{\mu\nu} h^{\mu\nu} \right) \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \left( h_{\mu\nu} D_\rho D^\rho h^{\mu\nu} + h^{\mu}_\mu D_\rho D^\rho h^{\nu}_\nu - 2 h^{\rho\nu} D_\mu D_\nu h^{\mu}_\rho + 4 R_{\mu\nu} h^{\mu\nu} h^\rho_\rho \right),
\]
where $R = \tilde{g}^\mu\nu R_{\mu\nu}$ is the background Ricci scalar. We, therefore, obtain,
\[
\delta^2 (\sqrt{\det g} \mathcal{R}) = \sqrt{\det \tilde{g}} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} h^{\alpha}_\alpha - \frac{1}{2} h^{\alpha}_\beta h^\beta_\alpha + \frac{1}{4} (h^\alpha_\alpha)^2 \right) \mathcal{R},
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\det \tilde{g}} \left( h_{\mu\nu} D_\rho D^\rho h^{\mu\nu} - h^{\mu}_\mu D_\rho D^\rho h^{\nu}_\nu - 2 h^{\rho\nu} D_\mu D_\nu h^{\mu}_\rho + 2 h^{\mu\nu} D_\mu D_\nu h^{\alpha}_\alpha \\
+ 2 R_{\mu\nu} \left( 2 h^{\mu\nu} h^\rho_\rho - h^\alpha_\alpha h_{\mu\nu} \right) - R \left( h^{\mu\nu} h^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} (h^\alpha_\alpha)^2 \right) \right),
\]
where only second-order product terms of the metric fluctuation $h_{\mu\nu}$ are considered. At this stage, we can choose a harmonic gauge $D_\mu h^{\rho\rho} - \frac{1}{2} D^\rho h^\alpha_\alpha = 0$ in the form of the gauge-fixing term,
\[
- \sqrt{\det \tilde{g}} \left( D_\mu h^{\rho\rho} - \frac{1}{2} D^\rho h^\alpha_\alpha \right) \left( D^\rho h_{\nu\rho} - \frac{1}{2} D_\rho h^\beta_\beta \right),
\]
which provides the following simplified, gauge-fixed and quadratic fluctuated form of the Ricci scalar part (3.8b)
\[
\delta^2 S_{\text{Ricci}} [h_{\mu\nu}] = \frac{1}{2} \int d^4 x \sqrt{\det \tilde{g}} \left( h_{\mu\nu} D_\rho D^\rho h^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} h^{\mu}_\mu D_\rho D^\rho h^{\nu}_\nu \\
+ 2 R_{\mu\rho\sigma} h^{\mu\nu} h^{\rho\sigma} + 2 R_{\mu\rho\sigma} h^{\mu\rho} h^{\nu}_\sigma - 2 R_{\mu\nu} h^{\mu\nu} h^{\rho}_\rho \right).
\]
Derivation of the above quadratic fluctuated form involves the elimination of all total derivative terms, the use of the commutation relation (2.13e), and the condition $R = 0$ for the "minimally-coupled" EMT background.

**The Maxwell part**

With the help of fluctuations (3.6) and considering up to the second-order fluctuated terms for the fluctuations $\xi_m = \{ h_{\mu\nu}, a_\mu \}$, we obtain
\[
\delta^2 (\sqrt{\det g} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}) = \sqrt{\det \tilde{g}} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} h^\rho_\rho - \frac{1}{2} h^\rho_\sigma h^\sigma_\rho + \frac{1}{4} (h^\rho_\rho)^2 \right) g^{\mu\alpha} g^{\nu\beta} F_{\mu\nu} F_{\alpha\beta},
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\det \tilde{g}} \left( \frac{1}{2} f_{\mu\nu} f^{\mu\nu} + 4 \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}_{\alpha\beta} h^{\mu\alpha} h^{\nu\beta} + 8 \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} h^{\rho\rho} h^{\sigma\sigma} \\
- 4 \sqrt{2} \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} h^{\mu\alpha} f^{\nu}_\alpha + \sqrt{2} \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} h^{\mu\rho} f^{\nu}_\rho - 4 \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} h^{\rho\rho} h^{\mu\mu} \\
- \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} \left( h^{\alpha\beta} h^{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2} (h^\rho_\rho)^2 \right) \right),
\]
where only second-order product terms of the metric and gauge field fluctuations are considered. Furthermore, up to a total derivative and with the help of $a_\mu$ commutation relation (2.13b), one can also express
\[
- \frac{1}{2} f_{\mu\nu} f^{\mu\nu} = \tilde{g}^{\mu\nu} a_\mu D_\rho D^\rho a_\nu - a_\mu R^{\mu\nu} a_\nu + (D_\mu a^\mu)^2.
\]
After substituting the forms (3.17) and (3.18), we gauge fix the action (3.8c) by choosing a Lorenz gauge $D_\mu a^\mu = 0$ in the form of the following gauge-fixing term\(^\text{17}\)

\[
-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\det g}(D_\mu a^\mu)^2, \tag{3.19}
\]

and obtain the simplified, gauge-fixed and quadratic fluctuated form of the Maxwell part (3.8c) as

\[
\delta^2 S_{\text{Maxwell}}[h_{\mu\nu}, a_\mu] = \frac{1}{2} \int d^4x \sqrt{\det g} \left( a_\mu D_\rho D^\rho a^\mu - a_\mu R^\mu a_\nu + 4\sqrt{2} F_{\mu\nu} h^{\mu\alpha} f_\alpha^\nu - \sqrt{2} \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} h^\rho f_\rho^\mu - 4 F_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}_{\alpha\beta} h^{\mu\alpha} h^{\nu\beta} - 8 F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\alpha} h^{\nu\beta} h_{\alpha\beta} + 4 \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}_{\alpha\beta} h^{\mu\alpha} + \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\alpha} \left( h_{\alpha\beta} h^{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2} (h^\rho_\rho)^2 \right) \right). \tag{3.20}
\]

We now need to recombine $\delta^2 S_{\text{Ricci}}$ (3.16) and $\delta^2 S_{\text{Maxwell}}$ (3.20) parts in order to present the Einstein-Maxwell sector’s contribution in the quadratic fluctuation of the action (3.3),

\[
\delta^2 S_{\text{EM}}[h_{\mu\nu}, a_\mu] = \frac{1}{2} \int d^4x \sqrt{\det g} \left( h_{\mu\nu} D_\rho D^\rho h^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} h^{\mu}_{\mu} D_\rho D^\rho h^{\nu}_\nu + a_\mu D_\rho D^\rho a^\mu - a_\mu R^\alpha_\beta a_\beta + h_{\mu\nu} \left( 2 R^\mu\alpha\nu\beta + g^{\mu\alpha} R^{\beta\nu} - 3 g^{\nu\beta} R^{\mu\alpha} - 4 F^{\mu\rho} F_{\rho\beta} \right) + \frac{1}{2} F_{\rho\sigma} F^{\rho\sigma} \left( g^{\mu\beta} g^{\alpha\beta} - 2 g^{\mu\alpha} g^{\nu\beta} \right) h_{\alpha\beta} + 2\sqrt{2} h_{\mu\nu} \left( 2 g^{\nu\rho} F^{\mu\alpha} - 2 g^{\alpha\nu} F^{\mu\rho} - g^{\mu\nu} F_{\rho\sigma} \right) (D_\rho a_\alpha) \right). \tag{3.21}
\]

We further perform multiple customizations over the above form to extract the necessary Laplace-type operator $\Lambda$. This includes bypassing the kinetic term $h^{\mu}_{\mu} D_\rho D^\rho h^{\nu}_\nu$ via casting an effective metric, neglecting all total derivative terms, and considering all symmetric properties of the fluctuations and their all possible pairs. All these lead to the following Laplace-type structure for the Einstein-Maxwell fluctuations $\xi_m = \{h_{\mu\nu}, a_\mu\}$,

\[
\delta^2 S_{\text{EM}}[\xi_m] = \frac{1}{2} \int d^4x \sqrt{\det g} \left( I h^{\mu\nu} - P a_\mu \right) \Lambda \xi_m \xi_n, \tag{3.22}
\]

where $I$, $P$ and $\omega_\rho (= \frac{1}{2} N_\rho)$ hold the forms,

\[
I^{h^{\mu\nu}} = \frac{1}{2} \left( g^{\mu\alpha} g^{\nu\beta} + g^{\mu\beta} g^{\nu\alpha} - g^{\mu\nu} g^{\alpha\beta} \right), \tag{3.23a}
\]

\[
P^{a_\alpha a_\beta} = g^{a_\alpha a_\beta}, \tag{3.23b}
\]

\[
P^{h^{\mu\nu}} = R^{\mu\alpha\nu\beta} + R^{\mu\beta\nu\alpha} - 2 (\tilde{F}_{\mu\alpha} F^{\nu\beta} + \tilde{F}_{\nu\alpha} F^{\mu\beta} - F^{\mu\nu} F_{\alpha\beta}) - 2(\tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} h^{\mu\alpha} + \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} h^{\nu\beta} h_{\alpha\beta} + 4 \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}_{\alpha\beta} - 4 F_{\mu\nu} F^{\rho\sigma} h_{\rho\sigma} h_{\alpha\beta}), \tag{3.23c}
\]

\[\text{17}\text{The ghost contributions compensating the effects of the gauge-fixing terms (3.15) and (3.19) are provided later in the form of action (3.27).}
\[ -\frac{1}{2} \bar{F}_{\rho\sigma} \bar{F}^{\rho\sigma} (g^{\mu\alpha} g_{\nu\beta} + g^{\rho\beta} g_{\nu\alpha} - g^{\mu\nu} g^{\alpha\beta}) \]
\[ -\frac{1}{2} (g^{\mu\alpha} R_{\nu\beta} + g^{\rho\beta} R_{\nu\alpha} + g^{\nu\alpha} R_{\mu\beta} + g^{\nu\beta} R_{\mu\alpha}), \quad (3.23c) \]
\[ P_{\mu\alpha} a_{\beta} = -F^{\rho\alpha}, \quad (3.23d) \]
\[ P_{h\mu\nu} a_{\sigma} = P_{\rho\sigma} h_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( (D^\mu F^{\rho\nu}) + (D^\nu F^{\rho\mu}) \right), \quad (3.23e) \]
\[ (\omega^\rho)^{h\mu\nu} a_{\alpha} = (\omega^\rho)^{a_{\alpha} h\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( g^{\rho\alpha} \bar{F}^{\rho\mu} + g^{\rho\mu} \bar{F}^{\rho\nu} - \bar{g}^{\rho\alpha} \bar{F}^{\rho\mu} - \bar{g}^{\rho\mu} \bar{F}^{\rho\nu} \right). \quad (3.23f) \]

According to the formulae (2.12), the above data provide us the following results for \( E \) and \( \Omega_{\rho\sigma} \)

\[ \xi_{\mu} E \xi_{\mu} \xi_{\nu} = h_{\mu\nu}(R^{\mu\alpha\beta} + R^{\rho\beta\alpha} - g^{\mu\nu} R_{\alpha\beta} - g^{\alpha\beta} R^{\mu\nu}) h_{\alpha\beta} + \frac{3}{2} g^{\alpha\beta} a_{\alpha} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} a_{\beta} \]
\[ + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} h_{\mu\nu}(D^\mu F^{\rho\nu} + D^\nu F^{\rho\mu}) a_{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} a_{\alpha} (D^\mu F^{\rho\nu} + D^\nu F^{\rho\mu}) h_{\mu\nu}, \quad (3.24a) \]
\[ \xi_{\mu} (\Omega_{\rho\sigma}) \xi_{\mu} \xi_{\nu} = h_{\mu\nu}\left( \frac{1}{2} (\bar{g}^{\mu\alpha} R^{\rho\beta} + \bar{g}^{\rho\beta} R^{\mu\alpha} + \bar{g}^{\nu\beta} R^{\mu\alpha} \rho_\sigma) \right) \]
\[ + (\omega^\rho) h_{\mu\nu} a_{\alpha} (\omega_\alpha) a_{\beta} - (\omega^\rho) a_{\alpha} h_{\mu\nu} (\omega_\alpha) h_{\nu\alpha} a_{\beta} \]
\[ + h_{\mu\nu} (D_\rho \omega_\sigma) h_{\mu\nu} a_{\alpha} - (D_\sigma \omega_\rho) h_{\mu\nu} a_{\alpha} \]
\[ + a_{\alpha} (D_\rho \omega_\rho) h_{\mu\nu} h_{\alpha\beta} - (D_\sigma \omega_\rho) h_{\mu\nu} h_{\alpha\beta} h_{\mu\nu}, \quad (3.24b) \]

where the appropriate forms of \((\omega^\rho)^{h\mu\nu} a_{\alpha}\) and \((\omega^\rho)^{a_{\alpha} h\mu\nu}\) in the result (3.24b) can be arranged from eq. (3.23f). From here, our next challenge is to calculate the crucial trace values \(\text{tr}(I)\), \(\text{tr}(E)\), \(\text{tr}(E^2)\) and \(\text{tr}(\Omega_{\rho\sigma} \Omega_{\rho\sigma})\) as urged by the formulae (2.14) for finding the Seeley-DeWitt coefficients. Appendix C contains a detailed outline of these lengthy trace calculations. In terms of background invariants, the trace results are recorded as

\[ \text{tr}(I) = 14, \]
\[ \text{tr}(E) = 6 \bar{F}_{\mu\nu} \bar{F}^{\mu\nu}, \]
\[ \text{tr}(E^2) = 3 R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} - 7 R_{\mu\nu} R^{\mu\nu} + 9 (\bar{F}_{\mu\nu} \bar{F}^{\mu\nu})^2 + 3 R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \bar{F}^{\mu\nu} \bar{F}^{\rho\sigma}, \quad (3.25) \]
\[ \text{tr}(\Omega_{\rho\sigma} \Omega^{\rho\sigma}) = -7 R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} + 56 R_{\mu\nu} R^{\mu\nu} - 54 (\bar{F}_{\mu\nu} \bar{F}^{\mu\nu})^2 - 18 R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \bar{F}^{\mu\nu} \bar{F}^{\rho\sigma}, \]

providing the following Seeley-DeWitt results (without the ghost contribution)

\[ a_{0}^{\text{EM, no-ghost}}(x) = \frac{7}{8 \pi^2}, \]
\[ a_{2}^{\text{EM, no-ghost}}(x) = \frac{3}{8 \pi^2} \bar{F}_{\mu\nu} \bar{F}^{\mu\nu}, \quad (3.26) \]
\[ a_{4}^{\text{EM, no-ghost}}(x) = \frac{1}{16 \pi^2 \times 180} (179 R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} + 196 R_{\mu\nu} R^{\mu\nu}). \]
In addition, we must include appropriate ghost fields for counteracting the effect of gauge-fixing terms (3.15) and (3.19). All these ghost fields can be described via a combined action [20].

\[
\delta^2 S_{\text{EM,ghost}} = \frac{1}{2} \int d^4x \sqrt{\det g} \left( \bar{g}^{\mu\nu} b_\mu D_\rho D^\rho c_\nu + \bar{g}^{\mu\nu} c_\mu D_\rho D^\rho b_\nu + b_\mu D_\rho D^\rho c \right) + c D_\rho D^\rho b + b_\mu R^{\mu\nu} c_\nu + c_\mu R^{\mu\nu} b_\nu - 2b F^{\rho\nu} (D_\rho c_\nu) - 2c_\mu F^{\mu\nu} (D_\rho b),
\]

(3.27)

where \(b_\mu, c_\mu\) are vector ghosts that arise due to diffeomorphism invariance of metric fluctuation \(h_{\mu\nu}\), and \(b, c\) are scalar ghosts induced due to \(U(1)\) gauge invariances of gauge fluctuation \(a_\mu\). The quadratic fluctuated form (3.27) is of Laplace-type and hence, one can read off \(I, P\) and \(\omega_\rho(= \frac{1}{2} N_{\rho})\) for the ghost fluctuations \(\tilde{\xi}_m = \{b_\mu, c_\mu, b, c\}\) as

\[
\begin{align*}
\tilde{\xi}_m I \tilde{\xi}_m \tilde{\xi}_n &= b_\mu \bar{g}^{\mu\nu} c_\nu + c_\mu \bar{g}^{\mu\nu} b_\nu + bc + cb, \\
\tilde{\xi}_m P \tilde{\xi}_m \tilde{\xi}_n &= b_\mu R^{\mu\nu} c_\nu + c_\mu R^{\mu\nu} b_\nu, \\
\tilde{\xi}_m (\omega_\rho) \tilde{\xi}_m \tilde{\xi}_n &= -b F^{\nu}_{\rho} c_\nu - c_\mu F^{\mu}_{\rho} b.
\end{align*}
\]

(3.28)

which further yields the following results for \(E\) and \(\Omega_{\rho\sigma}\)

\[
\begin{align*}
\tilde{\xi}_m E \tilde{\xi}_m \tilde{\xi}_n &= b_\mu R^{\mu\nu} c_\nu + c_\mu R^{\mu\nu} b_\nu, \\
\tilde{\xi}_m (\Omega_{\rho\sigma}) \tilde{\xi}_m \tilde{\xi}_n &= b_\mu R^{\mu\nu}_{\rho\sigma} c_\nu + c_\mu R^{\mu\nu}_{\rho\sigma} b_\nu + b(D^{\nu} F_{\rho\sigma}) c_\nu - c_\mu (D^{\mu} F_{\rho\sigma}) b.
\end{align*}
\]

(3.29)

Then the needful trace results are calculated as

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{tr}(I) &= 10, \\
\text{tr}(E) &= 0, \\
\text{tr}(E^2) &= 2 R^{\mu\nu} R^{\mu\nu}, \\
\text{tr}(\Omega_{\rho\sigma} \Omega^{\rho\sigma}) &= -2 R^{\mu\nu}_{\rho\sigma} R^{\mu\nu}_{\rho\sigma},
\end{align*}
\]

(3.30)

which serves the following Seeley-DeWitt results for the ghost action (3.27)

\[
\begin{align*}
a_0^{(\text{EM,ghost})}(x) &= -\frac{5}{8\pi^2}, \\
a_2^{(\text{EM,ghost})}(x) &= 0, \\
a_4^{(\text{EM,ghost})}(x) &= \frac{1}{16\pi^2 \times 18} (2 R^{\mu\nu}_{\rho\sigma} R^{\mu\nu}_{\rho\sigma} - 17 R^{\mu\nu} R^{\mu\nu}).
\end{align*}
\]

(3.31)

Note that here we have set \(\chi = -1\) in the Seeley-DeWitt formulae (2.14) to account for the reverse spin-statistics of the ghosts. Finally, combining \(a_2^{(\text{EM, no-ghost})}\) and \(a_2^{(\text{EM, ghost})}\), we obtain the net result for the first three Seeley-DeWitt coefficients of the Einstein-Maxwell sector,

\[
\begin{align*}
a_0^{(\text{EM})}(x) &= \frac{1}{4\pi^2}, \\
a_2^{(\text{EM})}(x) &= \frac{3}{8\pi^2} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}, \\
a_4^{(\text{EM})}(x) &= \frac{1}{16\pi^2 \times 180} (199 R^{\mu\nu}_{\rho\sigma} R^{\mu\nu}_{\rho\sigma} + 26 R^{\mu\nu} R^{\mu\nu}).
\end{align*}
\]

(3.32)
| Black hole type         | Limits          | $\Delta S_{\text{BH}}/\ln A_H$ |
|------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|
| Kerr-Newman            | extremal        | $-\frac{1}{720} \left(1233 B + (463 - 3080 b^2 - 7960 b^4 - 3184 b^6) B' + 1440\right)$ |
|                        | non-extremal    | $\frac{1}{180} \left(308 + \frac{411 \beta Q^4 B''}{32 \pi b^5 r_H^4 (b^2 + r_H^2)}\right)$ |
| Kerr                   | extremal        | $\frac{19}{90}$               |
|                        | non-extremal    | $\frac{77}{45}$               |
| Reissner-Nordström     | extremal        | $-\frac{241}{45}$             |
|                        | non-extremal    | $\frac{1}{90} \left(64 + \frac{411 \beta Q^4}{10 \pi r_H^4}\right)$ |
| Schwarzschild          | non-extremal    | $\frac{32}{45}$               |

**Table 1:** Logarithmic correction contributions ($\Delta S_{\text{BH}}$) of the Einstein-Maxwell sector to the entropy of Kerr-Newman family of black holes in the $d = 4$ “minimally-coupled” EMT.

Corresponding coefficients of trace anomalies (introduced in relation (2.20)) are then extracted from the above $a_4(x)$ result as

\[
(c, a)^{\text{EM}} = \frac{137}{60}, \frac{53}{45}.
\]  

(3.33)

Employing the Seeley-DeWitt and trace anomaly data into “Strategy A” and “Strategy B”, we find the local corrections to the extremal and non-extremal Kerr-Newman black hole entropy due to the Einstein-Maxwell sector. The results are

\[
C^{\text{EM, extremal}}_{\text{local}} = -\frac{1}{360} \left(1233 B + (463 - 3080 b^2 - 7960 b^4 - 3184 b^6) B'\right),
\]  

(3.34a)

\[
C^{\text{EM, non-extremal}}_{\text{local}} = \frac{1}{90} \left(398 + \frac{411 \beta Q^4 B''}{32 \pi b^5 r_H^4 (b^2 + r_H^2)}\right).
\]  

(3.34b)

Also, the zero-mode corrections receive the only contribution from metric fluctuation in the formula (2.9c). $C_{zm}$ values are $(-4, -4, -6)$ in extremal limit and $(-1, -1, -3, -3)$ in non-extremal limit of Kerr-Newman, Kerr, Reissner-Nordström and Schwarzschild black holes. The combined formula (2.9a) finally provides the logarithmic correction contributions of the Einstein-Maxwell sector to the entropy of the Kerr-Newman family of black holes that are recorded in table 1. The $a_4^{\text{EM}}(x)$ coefficient (3.32) and the extremal logarithmic corrections exactly match with the results given in [30].
3.2 Contributions of the minimally-coupled scalar field

In the fluctuation of the action (3.3), the quadratic fluctuated part of the minimally-coupled massless scalar field $\phi$ is

$$\delta^2 S_{\text{scalar}}[\phi] = \int d^4 x \delta^2 \left( \sqrt{\det g} D_\rho \phi D^\rho \phi \right), \quad (3.35a)$$

where we can adjust up to a total derivative,

$$\delta^2 \left( \sqrt{\det g} D_\rho \phi D^\rho \phi \right) = -\sqrt{\det \bar{g}} \phi D_\rho D^\rho \phi, \quad (3.35b)$$

and reexpress into the following form of the Laplace-type operator $\Lambda$

$$\delta^2 S_{\text{scalar}}[\phi] = \int d^4 x \sqrt{\det \bar{g}} \Lambda \phi,$$

$$\Lambda = -D_\rho D^\rho. \quad (3.36)$$

Comparing it with the standard schematic (2.10), we read off $P = N^ρ = 0$ and then write down the results for the matrices $I, E, \omega_ρ$ and $\Omega_ρσ$

$$I = 1, \ E = 0, \ \omega_ρ = 0, \ \Omega_ρσ = 0, \quad (3.37)$$

along with their trace values,

$$\text{tr}(I) = 1, \ \text{tr}(E) = 0, \ \text{tr}(E^2) = 0, \ \text{tr}(\Omega_ρσ \Omega_ρσ) = 0. \quad (3.38)$$

Inserting all the trace data in the formulae (2.14), we find the first three Seeley-DeWitt coefficients for the massless scalar field fluctuation as

$$a_0^\text{scalar}(x) = \frac{1}{16\pi^2},$$
$$a_2^\text{scalar}(x) = 0,$$
$$a_4^\text{scalar}(x) = \frac{1}{180 \times 16\pi^2} (R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} - R_{\mu\nu} R^{\mu\nu}), \quad (3.39)$$

followed by the coefficients of trace anomalies,

$$(c, a)^{\text{scalar}} = \frac{1}{120}, \frac{1}{360}. \quad (3.40)$$

The $a_4^\text{scalar}(x)$ coefficient (3.39) and trace anomaly results (3.40) encourage us to follow “Strategy A” and “Strategy B” and calculate the scalar field contribution in the logarithmic correction to the entropy of extremal and non-extremal Kerr-Newman family of black holes. The results are provided in table 2, where there is no $C_2m$ contribution for the particular scalar field.\(^{18}\)

\(^{18}\)Refer to the discussions of section 2.2.
Black hole type & Limits & $\Delta S_{BH}/\ln A_H$ \\ 
Kerr-Newman & extremal & $-\frac{1}{1440}(9B - (1 + 40b^2 + 80b^4 + 32b^6)B')$ \\ & non-extremal & $\frac{1}{180}(2 + \frac{33Q^4}{64\pi r_H^5 (b^2 + r_H^2)})$ \\ 
Kerr & extremal & $\frac{1}{90}$ \\ & non-extremal & $\frac{1}{90}$ \\ 
Reissner-Nordström & extremal & $\frac{1}{180}(2 + \frac{3Q^4}{10\pi r_H^5})$ \\ & non-extremal & $\frac{1}{90}$ \\ 
Schwarzschild & non-extremal & $\frac{1}{90}$ \\

$\textbf{Table 2:}$ Logarithmic correction contributions ($\Delta S_{BH}$) of the massless scalar field to the entropy of Kerr-Newman family of black holes in the $d = 4$ “minimally-coupled” EMT.

### 3.3 Contributions of the minimally-coupled vector field

The quadratic fluctuated part of the additionally-coupled vector field $a'_\mu$ in the fluctuation of the action (3.3) is presented as

$$
\delta^2 S_{\text{vector}}[a'_\mu] = -\frac{1}{4} \int d^4x \, \delta^2 (\sqrt{\det g} f'_{\mu\nu} f'^{\mu\nu}), 
$$

with

$$
\delta^2 (\sqrt{\det g} f'_{\mu\nu} f'^{\mu\nu}) = 2 \sqrt{\det g} \left( -a'_\mu D_\rho a'^\mu + a'_\nu R^{\mu\nu} a'_\mu - D_\mu a'^\mu D_\nu a'^\nu \right),
$$

where we have omitted all total derivative terms and also used the commutation relation (2.13b) for the vector field fluctuation $a'_\mu$. We then gauge fix the action (3.41) by incorporating the gauge fixing term,

$$
-\frac{1}{2} \int d^4x \, \sqrt{\det g} (D_\mu a'^\nu)(D_\nu a'^\mu).
$$

The gauge-fixed action (without ghost) provides the desired Laplace-type operator $\Lambda$ as

$$
\delta^2 S_{\text{vector}}[a'_\mu] = \frac{1}{2} \int d^4x \sqrt{\det g} \, a'_\mu \Lambda a'_\mu a'_\nu,
$$

$$
\Lambda a'_\mu a'_\nu = g^{\mu\rho} D_\mu D_\nu - R^{\mu\nu},
$$

yielding $(N^\rho) a'_\rho a'_\nu = 0$, $P^\rho a'_\rho a'_\nu = -R^{\mu\nu}$ in the schematic (2.10). With the aid of the formulae (2.12), one obtains results for the useful matrices,

$$
I^\rho a'_\rho a'_\nu = \bar{g}^{\mu\nu}, \ (\omega^\rho) a'_\rho a'_\nu = 0, \ E^\rho a'_\rho a'_\nu = -R^{\mu\nu}, \ (\Omega_{\rho\sigma}) a'_\rho a'_\nu = R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma},
$$
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along with their following trace results

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{tr}(I) &= 4, \\
\text{tr}(E) &= 0, \\
\text{tr}(E^2) &= R_{\mu\nu}R^{\mu\nu}, \\
\text{tr}(\Omega_{\rho\sigma}\Omega^{\rho\sigma}) &= -R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}.
\end{align*}
\]

(3.45)

Putting everything together in the formulae (2.14), one can come up with the Seeley-DeWitt coefficients for the additionally-coupled vector field (without ghost),

\[
\begin{align*}
a_{0}^{\text{vector, no-ghost}}(x) &= \frac{1}{4\pi^2}, \\
a_{2}^{\text{vector, no-ghost}}(x) &= 0, \\
a_{4}^{\text{vector, no-ghost}}(x) &= -\frac{1}{180 \times 16\pi^2} (11R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} - 88R_{\mu\nu}R^{\mu\nu}).
\end{align*}
\]

(3.46)

The particular kind of gauge-fixing term (3.42) demands to account for the following ghost action \[20\]

\[
\delta^2 S_{\text{vector, ghost}} = \frac{1}{2} \int d^4x \sqrt{\det \bar{g}} \left( bD_{\rho}D^{\rho}c + cD_{\rho}D^{\rho}b \right),
\]

(3.47)

where \(b\) and \(c\) are corresponding scalar ghosts. Since \(b\) and \(c\) are scalars and minimally coupled to the background metric \(\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}\), their total Seeley-DeWitt contribution is -2 times the free massless scalar field result (3.39), i.e.,

\[
a_{2n}^{\text{vector, ghost}}(x) = -2a_{2n}^{\text{scalar}}(x),
\]

(3.48)

where the minus sign is included due to the fact that ghost fields follow the reverse of usual spin-statistics. Then the complete Seeley-DeWitt coefficient results for the vector field, adding the contributions from the gauge-fixed part (3.46) and the ghost part (3.48), are

\[
\begin{align*}
a_{0}^{\text{vector}}(x) &= \frac{1}{8\pi^2}, \\
a_{2}^{\text{vector}}(x) &= 0, \\
a_{4}^{\text{vector}}(x) &= -\frac{1}{180 \times 16\pi^2} (13R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} - 88R_{\mu\nu}R^{\mu\nu}).
\end{align*}
\]

(3.49)

Also, the trace anomaly form (2.20) of \(a_{4}^{\text{vector}}(x)\) specifies the following \((c, a)\) data

\[
(c, a)^{\text{vector}} = \frac{1}{10}, \frac{31}{180}.
\]

(3.50)

In a similar fashion, one can use the data (3.49) and (3.50) respectively into “Strategy A” and “Strategy B” and compute the logarithmic correction contribution of the minimally-coupled vector field to the entropy of Kerr-Newman family of black holes. The extremal and non-extremal results are recorded in table 3. As per the discussion of section 2.2, the logarithmic correction results do not include any zero-mode correction from the vector field.
Table 3: Logarithmic correction contributions ($\Delta S_{BH}/\ln A_H$) of the massless additionally-coupled vector field to the entropy of Kerr-Newman family of black holes in the $d = 4$ "minimally-coupled" EMT.

### Contributions of the minimally-coupled spin-1/2 Dirac field

In the quadratic fluctuation of the action (3.3), the contribution of the minimally-coupled massless Dirac spinor $\lambda$ is

$$
\delta^2 S_{\text{Dirac}}[\lambda] = \int d^4x \delta^2(\sqrt{\det g} \bar{\lambda} \gamma^\rho D_\rho \lambda),
$$

(3.51)

where

$$
\delta^2(\sqrt{\det g} \bar{\lambda} \gamma^\rho D_\rho \lambda) = \sqrt{\det g} \bar{\lambda} \gamma^\rho D_\rho \lambda.
$$

(3.52)

The Seeley-DeWitt coefficients for the fluctuation of an elementary free spin-1/2 Dirac field around an arbitrary background have already been reported in our earlier work [60]. We find it worthy of reviewing the work [60] in order to obtain necessary results from the quadratic fluctuated action (3.51). Unlike bosons, the quadratic fluctuations of fermions are characterized by first-order operators. After correctly identifying the first order Dirac-type operator $\hat{\theta}$ for the spin-1/2 fluctuation (3.51), we structure the required Laplace-type operator $\Lambda$ as following

$$
\delta^2 S_{\text{Dirac}}[\lambda] = \int d^4x \sqrt{\det g} \bar{\lambda} \hat{\theta} \lambda,
$$

(3.53)

where $\hat{\theta} = i\gamma^\rho D_\rho$, $\Lambda = \hat{\theta}^\dagger \hat{\theta} = -i_4 D_\rho D^\rho$, we have employed various gamma matrix identities, the spin-1/2 commutation relation (2.13c) and the $R = 0$ condition for the Einstein-Maxwell background. The particular
form of $\Lambda$ yields $P = N^\mu = 0$, which further expresses the forms of matrices defined in eq. (2.12) as

$$I = I_4, \omega^\rho = 0, E = 0,$$

$$\Omega_{\rho\sigma} = \frac{1}{4} \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\beta R_{\rho\sigma\alpha\beta}.$$  \hfill (3.54)

We then compute the following trace results

$$\text{tr}(I) = 4, \text{tr}(E) = 0, \text{tr}(E^2) = 0,$$

$$\text{tr}(\Omega_{\rho\sigma}\Omega^{\rho\sigma}) = -\frac{1}{2} R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma},$$  \hfill (3.55)

and find the first three Seeley-DeWitt coefficients for the minimally-coupled spin-1/2 Dirac field fluctuation,

$$a^\text{Dirac}_0(x) = -\frac{1}{4\pi^2},$$

$$a^\text{Dirac}_2(x) = 0,$$

$$a^\text{Dirac}_4(x) = \frac{1}{360 \times 16 \pi^2} (7R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} + 8R_{\mu\nu} R^{\mu\nu}).$$  \hfill (3.56)

Notice that we have used $\chi = -1$ in the formula (2.14) to encounter the Dirac spinor condition. The coefficients of trace anomalies are extracted from the $a^\text{Dirac}_4(x)$ result as

$$(c, a)^\text{Dirac} = \frac{1}{20}, \frac{11}{360}. \hfill (3.57)$$

Finally, the logarithmic correction contribution of the minimally-coupled spin-1/2 Dirac field to the entropy of extremal and non-extremal Kerr-Newman black family of holes are calculated as in table 4. In these corrections, the minimally-coupled spin-1/2 Dirac spinor contributes nothing to the $C_{zm}$ formula (2.9c).

### 3.5 Contributions of the minimally-coupled spin-3/2 Rarita-Schwinger field

The quadratic fluctuated part of the minimally-coupled massless Rarita-Schwinger field $\psi_\mu$ (Majorana type) is expressed as

$$\delta^2 S_{RS}[\psi_\mu] = -\int d^4x \delta^2 (\sqrt{\text{det} g} \bar{\psi}_\mu \gamma^{\mu\rho\sigma} D_\rho \psi_\nu),$$  \hfill (3.58a)

where one can adjust,

$$\delta^2 (\sqrt{\text{det} g} \bar{\psi}_\mu \gamma^{\mu\rho\sigma} D_\rho \psi_\nu) = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\text{det} g} \bar{\psi}_\mu \left( \gamma^\rho \gamma^\nu - \gamma^\nu \gamma^\rho \right) D_\rho \psi_\nu,$$  \hfill (3.58b)

with the following gauge-fixing term for casting the gauge $\gamma^\rho \psi_\rho = 0$

$$\frac{1}{2} \int d^4x \sqrt{\text{det} g} \bar{\psi}_\mu \gamma^{\mu\rho\sigma} \gamma^\rho D_\rho \psi_\nu.$$  \hfill (3.59)

We again review the work [60] where Seeley-DeWitt coefficients for a free Rarita-Schwinger Dirac spinor are evaluated around an arbitrary background, without considering any ghost
| Black hole type          | Limits          | \(\Delta S_{BH}/\ln A_H\) |
|-------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|
| Kerr-Newman             | extremal        | \(-1\frac{1}{720}\left(27B + (17 - 40b^2 - 140b^4 - 56b^6)B'\right)\) |
|                         | non-extremal    | \(\frac{1}{180}\left(7 + \frac{9\beta Q^4 B''}{32\pi b'r_H^2(b^2 + r_H^2)}\right)\) |
| Kerr                    | extremal        | \(\frac{7}{180}\)            |
|                         | non-extremal    | \(\frac{7}{180}\)            |
| Reissner-Nordström      | extremal        | \(-\frac{11}{180}\)          |
|                         | non-extremal    | \(\frac{1}{180}\left(7 + \frac{9\beta Q^4}{5\pi r_H^2}\right)\) |
| Schwarzschild           | non-extremal    | \(\frac{7}{180}\)            |

**Table 4:** Logarithmic correction contributions (\(\Delta S_{BH}\)) of the massless spin-1/2 Dirac field to the entropy of Kerr-Newman family of black holes in the \(d = 4\) “minimally-coupled” EMT.

contribution for the particular gauge-fixing term chosen. But we aim to calculate the complete (gauge-fixed (3.60) and ghost (3.65)) Seeley-DeWitt coefficient results for the quadratic fluctuated minimally-coupled spin-3/2 Majorana spinor (3.58). After combining (3.58) and (3.59), the gauge-fixed action extracts a first-order Dirac-type\(^{19}\) operator \(\bar{\mathcal{D}}\) that further structures the second-order Laplace-type operator \(\Lambda\) as following

\[
\delta^2 S_{RS}[\psi] = \int d^4x \sqrt{\det g} \bar{\psi}_\mu \mathcal{D}\bar{\psi}_\mu \psi_\nu,
\]

\[
\bar{\mathcal{D}}\bar{\psi}_\mu = i \frac{1}{2} \gamma_\mu \gamma_\nu \gamma_\rho D_\rho,
\]

\[
\Lambda\bar{\psi}_\mu \psi_\nu = (\bar{\mathcal{D}}\bar{\psi}_\mu \psi_\nu) + \mathcal{D}\bar{\psi}_\mu \psi_\nu = -\mathbb{I}_4 g^{\mu\nu} D_\rho D_\rho + \mathbb{I}_4 R^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \gamma^{\alpha\beta} R^{\mu\nu}_{\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{2} \gamma^{\mu\nu} R^{\alpha\alpha} - \frac{1}{2} \gamma^{\nu\alpha} R^{\mu\alpha},
\]

where various gamma identities, spin-3/2 commutation relation (2.13d), and \(R = 0\) condition are employed to obtain the last line equality. As per the schematic (2.10), we read off \(N^\rho\) and \(P\),

\[
(N^\rho)\bar{\psi}_\mu \psi_\nu = 0,
\]

\[
P\bar{\psi}_\mu \psi_\nu = -\mathbb{I}_4 R^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} \gamma^{\alpha\beta} R^{\mu\nu}_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2} \gamma^{\mu\nu} R^{\alpha\alpha} + \frac{1}{2} \gamma^{\nu\alpha} R^{\mu\alpha},
\]

\(^{19}\)The Seeley-DeWitt computation approach (section 2.3.1) sets the spin-3/2 spinor as Dirac one, which is restored back into the original Majorana form at the final result (3.64) by taking \(\chi = -1/2\).
and then find the matrices $I$, $\omega$, $E$ and $\Omega$,

$$
I\tilde{\psi}_\mu \psi_\nu = \mathbb{I}_4 \xi^{\mu\nu}, \quad (\omega^\rho)\tilde{\psi}_\mu \psi_\nu = 0,
$$
$$
E\tilde{\psi}_\mu \psi_\nu = -\mathbb{I}_4 R^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\beta R^{\mu\nu} \alpha_\beta - \frac{1}{2} \gamma^\mu \gamma^\alpha R^{\mu\alpha} + \frac{1}{2} \gamma^\nu \gamma^\alpha R^{\nu\alpha},
$$
$$
(\Omega_{\rho\sigma})\tilde{\psi}_\mu \psi_\nu = \mathbb{I}_4 R^{\mu\nu}_{\rho\sigma} + \frac{1}{4} \bar{g}^{\mu\nu} \gamma^\alpha R_{\rho\sigma\alpha\beta}.
$$

(3.62)

The relevant trace values are evaluated as

$$
\text{tr}(I) = 16, \quad \text{tr}(E) = 0
$$
$$
\text{tr}(E^2) = 2 R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma},
$$
$$
\text{tr}(\Omega_{\rho\sigma}\Omega_{\rho\sigma}) = -6 R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}.
$$

(3.63)

By setting $\chi = -\frac{1}{2}$ and the above trace data in the formulae (2.14), we present the Seeley-DeWitt results (without ghost contribution) for the spin-3/2 Majorana spinor as

$$
a_{RS,\text{no-ghost}}^0(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi^2},
$$
$$
a_{RS,\text{no-ghost}}^2(x) = 0,
$$
$$
a_{RS,\text{no-ghost}}^4(x) = -\frac{1}{180 \times 16\pi^2} \left( 53 R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} - 8 R_{\mu\nu} R^{\mu\nu} \right).
$$

(3.64)

It is also customary to include a ghost action that counter the gauge-fixing term (3.59),

$$
\delta^2 S_{RS,\text{ghost}} = \frac{1}{2} \int d^4 x \sqrt{\text{det} \bar{g}} \left( \bar{b}_\gamma D_\rho \bar{c} + \bar{c}_\gamma D_\rho \bar{b} \right),
$$

(3.65)

where $\bar{b}$, $\bar{c}$, and $\bar{e}$ are three minimally-coupled bosonic ghosts (i.e., spin-1/2 Majorana spinors) [20]. The combined Seeley-DeWitt contribution of these ghosts is equivalently obtained as

$$
a_{2n}^{RS,\text{ghost}} = (-1) \times 3 \times \frac{1}{2} \times a_{2n}^{\text{Dirac}},
$$

(3.66)

where the minus sign is included for the reverse spin-statistics of ghosts, 3 serves the ghost multiplicity and 1/2 factor converts the single spin-1/2 Dirac results $a_{2n}^{\text{Dirac}}$ (recorded in eq. (3.56)) into the Majorana kind. After combining $a_{2n}^{RS,\text{no-ghost}}$ and $a_{2n}^{RS,\text{ghost}}$ contributions, the complete Seeley-DeWitt results for the minimally-coupled Rarita-Schwinger field fluctuation are

$$
a_{0}^{RS}(x) = -\frac{1}{8\pi^2},
$$
$$
a_{2}^{RS}(x) = 0,
$$
$$
a_{4}^{RS}(x) = -\frac{1}{720 \times 16\pi^2} \left( 233 R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} - 8 R_{\mu\nu} R^{\mu\nu} \right),
$$

(3.67)

along with the following trace anomaly data

$$
(c, a)^{RS} = \frac{77}{120} - \frac{229}{720}.
$$

(3.68)
Table 5: Logarithmic correction contributions ($\Delta S_{BH}$) of the massless spin-$3/2$ Majorana field to the entropy of Kerr-Newman family of black holes in the $d = 4$ “minimally-coupled” EMT.

In the end, we utilize the $a_4^{RS}(x)$ and trace anomaly data and achieve the logarithmic correction contributions of the minimally-coupled Rarita-Schwinger field to the entropy of extremal and non-extremal Kerr-Newman family of black holes. The results are presented in table 5. These corrections do not receive any zero-mode contribution from the non-supersymmetric Rarita-Schwinger field, as discussed in section 2.2.

4 Discussions

This section generalizes the $d = 4$ “minimally-coupled” EMT further by coupling any arbitrary numbers of massless fields, which leads to a set of generalized Seeley-DeWitt coefficient and logarithmic correction formulae for all the extremal and non-extremal Kerr-Newman family of black holes. We then employ the generalized “minimally-coupled” data in successful derivation of the logarithmic corrections to the entropy of extremal black holes in $\mathcal{N} \geq 2$, $d = 4$ Einstein-Maxwell supergravity theories. Finally, we conclude by summarizing and discussing the results.

4.1 Einstein-Maxwell theory minimally-coupled to arbitrary numbers of massless fields

Consider a generalized EMT with $n_{EM}$ numbers of Einstein-Maxwell sectors (each containing one metric and one vector field) is minimally coupled to $n_0$ numbers of scalar fields, $n_1$ numbers of additional vector fields, $n_{1/2}$ numbers of spin-$1/2$ Dirac fields and $n_{3/2}$ num-
bers of spin-3/2 Rarita-Schwinger fields (Majorana). Then the first three Seeley-DeWitt coefficients for the quadratic fluctuations of the field content of the generalized $d = 4$ “minimally-coupled” EMT are expressed as

$$a_0^{EM(mc)}(x) = \frac{1}{16\pi^2} (4n_{EM} + n_0 + 2n_1 - 4n_{1/2} - 2n_{3/2}),$$

$$a_2^{EM(mc)}(x) = \frac{3}{8\pi^2 n_{EM} R_{\mu\nu} R^{\mu\nu}},$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.1)

$$a_4^{EM(mc)}(x) = \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \frac{1}{360} \left\{ \left( 398 n_{EM} + 2n_0 - 26n_1 + 7n_{1/2} - \frac{233}{2} n_{3/2} \right) R_{\mu\nu\sigma\rho} R^{\mu\nu\sigma\rho} 
+ \left( 52n_{EM} - 2n_0 + 176n_1 + 8n_{1/2} + 4n_{3/2} \right) R_{\mu\nu} R^{\mu\nu} \right\},$$

followed by the trace anomaly data $(c, a)$,

$$c^{EM(mc)} = \frac{1}{360} \left( 822 n_{EM} + 3n_0 + 36n_1 + 18n_{1/2} - 231n_{3/2} \right),$$

$$a^{EM(mc)} = \frac{1}{360} \left( 424 n_{EM} + n_0 + 62n_1 + 11n_{1/2} - \frac{229}{2} n_{3/2} \right).$$ \hspace{1cm} (4.2)

The data $(4.1)$ and $(4.2)$ provide the following local corrections to the entropy of extremal and non-extremal Kerr-Newman black holes

$$C_{local}^{extremal} = - \frac{1}{360} \left\{ \left( 398 n_{EM} + 2n_0 - 26n_1 + 7n_{1/2} - \frac{233}{2} n_{3/2} \right) 
\times \left( 3B - (8b^6 + 20b^4 + 8b^2 - 1)B' \right) 
\right\},$$

$$C_{local}^{non-extremal} = \frac{1}{90} \left\{ \left( 398 n_{EM} + 2n_0 - 26n_1 + 7n_{1/2} - \frac{233}{2} n_{3/2} \right) 
\times \left( 3B + (8b^2 + 5)B' \right) \right\} \left( 13n_{EM} - \frac{n_0}{2} + 44n_1 + 2n_{1/2} + n_{3/2} \right),$$ \hspace{1cm} (4.3a)

$$C_{local}^{non-extremal} = \frac{\beta Q^4 B''}{64\pi b^n L_H (b^2 + \frac{n}{L_H})} \left( 822 n_{EM} + 3n_0 + 36n_1 + 18n_{1/2} - 231n_{3/2} \right).$$ \hspace{1cm} (4.3b)

On the other hand, the zero-mode correction only includes the contribution from metric in the formula $(2.9c)$ and should be added to the Einstein-Maxwell sector. For Kerr-Newman black holes,

$$C_{zm}^{extremal} = (2 - 1) \times (-3 - 1) = -4,$$ \hspace{1cm} (4.4a)

$$C_{zm}^{non-extremal} = (2 - 1) \times (-1) = -1.$$ \hspace{1cm} (4.4b)

The logarithmic corrections to the entropy of Kerr-Newman black holes in the generalized “minimally-coupled” EMT are

$$\Delta S_{BH}^{extremal} = - \frac{1}{720} \left\{ \left( 398 n_{EM} + 2n_0 - 26n_1 + 7n_{1/2} - \frac{233}{2} n_{3/2} \right) \right. 
\times \left( 3B - (8b^6 + 20b^4 + 8b^2 - 1)B' \right) 
\left. \right\} \left( 3B + (8b^2 + 5)B' \right) 
\times \left( 13n_{EM} - \frac{n_0}{2} + 44n_1 + 2n_{1/2} + n_{3/2} \right) + 1440 \ln A_H,$$ \hspace{1cm} (4.5a)
\[ \Delta S_{\text{BH}}^{\text{non-extremal}} = \frac{1}{180} \left\{ \left( 398 n_{\text{EM}} + 2 n_0 - 26 n_1 + 7 n_{1/2} - \frac{233}{2} n_{3/2} \right) + \frac{\beta Q^4 B''}{64 \pi b^4 r_H^4 (b^2 + r_H^2)} \left( 822 n_{\text{EM}} + 3 n_0 + 36 n_1 + 18 n_{1/2} - 231 n_{3/2} \right) - 90 \right\} \ln A_H. \]

(4.5b)

In particular limits (refer to section 2.3.2) of the Kerr-Newman results, one can extract the logarithmic entropy corrections for Kerr and Reissner-Nordström black holes as below:

- For the extremal and non-extremal \textit{Kerr} black holes, we need to set \( b' \to \infty \) and \( Q = 0 \) in the \( C_{\text{local}} \) results (4.3a) and (4.3b), respectively. This yields exactly the same extremal and non-extremal local corrections for the Kerr black hole,

\[ C_{\text{extremal}}^{\text{local}} = C_{\text{non-extremal}}^{\text{local}} = \frac{1}{90} \left( 398 n_{\text{EM}} + 2 n_0 - 26 n_1 + 7 n_{1/2} - \frac{233}{2} n_{3/2} \right). \]

(4.6)

The corresponding zero-mode corrections are obtained as

\[ C_{\text{extremal}}^{\text{zm}} = (2 - 1) \times (-3 - 1) = -4, \]

\[ C_{\text{non-extremal}}^{\text{zm}} = (2 - 1) \times (-1) = -1. \]

(4.7a)

(4.7b)

Hence, the logarithmic corrections to the entropy of Kerr black holes in the generalized \( d = 4 \) “minimally-coupled” EMT are

\[ \Delta S_{\text{BH}}^{\text{extremal}} = \frac{1}{180} \left( 398 n_{\text{EM}} + 2 n_0 - 26 n_1 + 7 n_{1/2} - \frac{233}{2} n_{3/2} - 360 \right) \ln A_H, \]

(4.8a)

\[ \Delta S_{\text{BH}}^{\text{non-extremal}} = \frac{1}{180} \left( 398 n_{\text{EM}} + 2 n_0 - 26 n_1 + 7 n_{1/2} - \frac{233}{2} n_{3/2} - 90 \right) \ln A_H. \]

(4.8b)

- For the extremal and non-extremal \textit{Reissner-Nordström} black holes, we need to set \( b' \to 0 \) and \( b \to 0 \) in the \( C_{\text{local}} \) results (4.3a) and (4.3b), respectively. This provides extremal and non-extremal local corrections for the Reissner-Nordström black holes,

\[ C_{\text{extremal}}^{\text{local}} = -\frac{1}{90} \left( 424 n_{\text{EM}} + n_0 + 62 n_1 + 11 n_{1/2} - \frac{229}{2} n_{3/2} \right), \]

(4.9a)

\[ C_{\text{non-extremal}}^{\text{local}} = \frac{1}{90} \left( 398 n_{\text{EM}} + 2 n_0 - 26 n_1 + 7 n_{1/2} - \frac{233}{2} n_{3/2} + \frac{\beta Q^4}{10 \pi r_H^2} \left( 822 n_{\text{EM}} + 3 n_0 + 36 n_1 + 18 n_{1/2} - 231 n_{3/2} \right) \right). \]

(4.9b)

The corresponding zero-mode corrections are obtained as

\[ C_{\text{extremal}}^{\text{zm}} = (2 - 1) \times (-3 - 3) = -6, \]

(4.10a)

\[ C_{\text{non-extremal}}^{\text{zm}} = (2 - 1) \times (-3) = -3. \]

(4.10b)
The logarithmic corrections to the entropy of Reissner-Nordström black holes in the generalized $d = 4$ “minimally-coupled” EMT are thus

$$
\Delta S^\text{extremal}_{\text{BH}} = -\frac{1}{180} \left( 424n_{\text{EM}} + n_0 + 62n_1 + 11n_{1/2} - \frac{229}{2} n_{3/2} + 540 \right) \ln A_H,
$$

(4.11a)

$$
\Delta S^\text{non-extremal}_{\text{BH}} = \frac{1}{180} \left( 398n_{\text{EM}} + 2n_0 - 26n_1 + 7n_{1/2} - \frac{233}{2} n_{3/2}
+ \frac{\beta Q^4}{10\pi r_H^4} \left( 822n_{\text{EM}} + 3n_0 + 36n_1 + 18n_{1/2} - 231n_{3/2} \right) - 270 \right) \ln A_H.
$$

(4.11b)

For the Schwarzschild black holes, we need to set $Q = 0$ in eq. (4.3b), which yields the same $C_{\text{local}}$ as the non-extremal Kerr result (4.6). Also, Schwarzschild black hole is non-rotating and hence the zero-mode correction $C_{\text{zm}}$ is the same as the non-extremal Reissner-Nordström result (4.10b). Hence, the logarithmic correction to the entropy of Schwarzschild black hole in the generalized $d = 4$ “minimally-coupled” EMT is

$$
\Delta S^\text{non-extremal}_{\text{BH}} = \frac{1}{180} \left( 398n_{\text{EM}} + 2n_0 - 26n_1 + 7n_{1/2} - \frac{233}{2} n_{3/2} - 270 \right) \ln A_H.
$$

(4.12)

The above results are our principal focus in this work. The corrections for extremal black holes (eqs. (4.5a), (4.8a) and (4.11a)) are novel reports to the literature. The non-extremal black hole results (eqs. (4.5b), (4.8b), (4.11b) and (4.12)) agree with [32], where the $a_4(x)$ formula\textsuperscript{20} is managed from a set of secondary data provided in [52–56]. Also, the Schwarzschild corrections due to vector and spin-3/2 Rarita-Schwinger fields in eq. (4.12) are entirely consistent with [57], where results are obtained via the tunneling approach.

4.2 A local method for logarithmic correction to the extremal black hole entropy in $\mathcal{N} \geq 2$ Einstein-Maxwell supergravity

Logarithmic entropy corrections for the black holes in Einstein-Maxwell embedded supergravity theories are already reported in various works [21–28, 33, 61]. The basic technical approach is common – analysis of relevant quadratic fluctuated action via the heat kernel method. But supergravity actions are incredibly complicated and unwieldy. The direct calculations are hardly manageable and so far only accomplished for $\mathcal{N} = 1, 2, 4, 8$ Einstein-Maxwell supergravity theories (EMSGTs). In [24, 28, 33, 61], the results are estimated for all $\mathcal{N} \geq 3, d = 4$ EMSGTs by considering the $\mathcal{N} \geq 3 \rightarrow \mathcal{N} = 2$ decompositions. For the derivations of the minimally-coupled EMSGT sectors, the “minimally-coupled” EMT results

\textsuperscript{20}One can find a mismatch of the $R_{\mu \nu} R^{\mu \nu}$ coefficient for spin-3/2 fields with [32]. But this is justified because the coefficient of $R_{\mu \nu} R^{\mu \nu}$ for the spin-3/2 field is not absolute, rather gauge dependent (while the coefficient of $R_{\mu \nu \rho \sigma} R^{\mu \nu \rho \sigma}$ is gauge-independent) [53]. After choosing the gauge $\gamma^\rho \psi_\rho = 0$, the coefficient of $R_{\mu \nu} R^{\mu \nu}$ in $360 (4\pi)^2 a_4(x)$ will always be 4 for a spin-3/2 Majorana spinor, which is also consistent with [54].
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of the previous section are found to have essential utility [28]. But, the quadratic fluctuated supergravity actions mostly include “non-minimal” coupling terms [21, 22, 26, 28, 33]. Consequently, one can not directly employ the “minimally-coupled” EMT data in the full reproduction of logarithmic entropy corrections in the EMSGTs. However, we find an alternative but indirect way of getting a supersymmetrized form of the $a_4(x)$ formula (4.1) for near-horizon backgrounds, which can be utilized for the full reproduction of logarithmic corrections to the entropy of extremal black holes in $N \geq 2, d = 4$ EMSGTs. The entire approach is depicted as follows.

At first, we need to express the $a_4(x)$ formula (4.1) in terms of the Weyl tensor square $W_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}W_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$ and Euler density $E_4$ (using the trace anomaly form (2.20)),

$$360(4\pi)^2 a_4^{EM(mc)}(x) = \left(822n_{EM} + 3n_0 + 36n_1 + 18n_{1/2} - 231n_{3/2}\right)W_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}W_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$$
$$- \left(424n_{EM} + n_0 + 62n_1 + 11n_{1/2} - \frac{229}{2}n_{3/2}\right)E_4. \quad (4.13)$$

The supersymmetric completion of the above expression entirely depends on the invariants $W_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}W_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$ and $E_4$. The Euler density $E_4$ is a topological invariant and hence self-supersymmetric. On the other hand, it is well reported that the supersymmetrization of $W_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}W_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$, evaluated on near-horizon black hole backgrounds [62–66], is surprisingly found to be the same as $E_4$ [67, 68]. Again, the quantum entropy function formalism [35–37] used in “Strategy A” requires only the near-horizon details of extremal black holes. All that mentioned suggests a simple and straightforward way to supersymmetrize any theory by analyzing only the Gauss-Bonnet term $E_4$ as well as bypassing the need for Weyl tensor square term $W_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}W_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$ and then achieve logarithmic entropy corrections for the extremal black holes in supergravity theories. As discussed, substituting $W_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}W_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = E_4$ in (4.13) will lead to the supersymmetrized $a_4(x)$ formula for near-horizon backgrounds in $N \geq 2, d = 4$ EMSGTs,

$$a_4^{N \geq 2}(x) = \frac{1}{16\pi^2 \times 360} \left(398n_{EM} + 2n_0 - 26n_1 + 7n_{1/2} - \frac{233}{2}n_{3/2}\right)E_4. \quad (4.14)$$

This necessarily yields a $C_{local}$ formula (via the “Strategy A”) for the extremal Kerr-Newman black holes,

$$C_{local}^{N \geq 2 extremal} = \frac{1}{90} \left(398n_{EM} + 2n_0 - 26n_1 + 7n_{1/2} - \frac{233}{2}n_{3/2}\right) \left(2b^6 + 5b^4 + 4b^2 + 1\right) \left(2b^2 + 1\right)^2 \left(2b^2 + 1\right), \quad (4.15)$$

where the equality is obtained after using the extremal near-horizon limits (2.18) in the form of $E_4$. In addition, one obtains the following zero-mode corrections using the formula (2.9c)

$$C_{zm}^{N \geq 2 extremal} = \begin{cases} 
(2 - 1) \times (-3 - 1) - (3 - 1) \times 0 = -4 & \text{for Kerr-Newman,} \\
(2 - 1) \times (-3 - 1) - (3 - 1) \times 0 = -4 & \text{for Kerr,} \\
(2 - 1) \times (-3 - 3) - (3 - 1) \times (-4) = 2 & \text{for Reissner-Nordström.} 
\end{cases} \quad (4.16)$$
Note that extremal Reissner-Nordström black holes with near-horizon geometry $AdS_2 \times S^2$ are the only possible BPS solutions in the four-dimensional $\mathcal{N} \geq 2$ EMSGTs. Summing all up, we write a combined logarithmic entropy correction formula that reads as follows

$$
\Delta S_{\text{BH}}^{\mathcal{N} \geq 2, \text{extremal}} = \frac{1}{180} \left( 398 n_{\text{EM}} + 2 n_0 - 26 n_1 + 7 n_{1/2} - \frac{233}{2} n_{3/2} \right) \times \left( \frac{2 b^6 + 5 b^4 + 4 b^2 + 1}{(b^2 + 1)^2 (2 b^2 + 1)} \right) \ln A_H + \frac{c_{\text{zm}}^{\mathcal{N} \geq 2, \text{extremal}}}{2} \ln A_H.
$$

(4.17)

By setting particular multiplicity values in the above formula, one can extract logarithmic corrections to the entropy of extremal Kerr-Newman, Kerr ($b' \to \infty$) and Reissner-Nordström ($b' \to 0$) black holes in all $\mathcal{N} \geq 2, d = 4$ EMSGTs.

For a matter coupled $\mathcal{N} = 2, d = 4$ EMSGT, with the supergravity multiplet ($n_{\text{EM}} = 1, n_{3/2} = 2$) coupled to $n_V$ vector multiplets ($n_0 = 2, n_1 = 1, n_{1/2} = 1$) and $n_H$ hyper multiplets ($n_0 = 4, n_{1/2} = 1$), one obtains

$$
\Delta S_{\text{BH}}^{\mathcal{N} = 2, \text{Kerr-Newman}} = \frac{1}{12} \left( 11 - n_V + n_H \right) \left( \frac{2 b^6 + 5 b^4 + 4 b^2 + 1}{(b^2 + 1)^2 (2 b^2 + 1)} \right) \ln A_H - 2 \ln A_H,
$$

(4.18a)

$$
\Delta S_{\text{BH}}^{\mathcal{N} = 2, \text{Kerr}} = \frac{1}{12} \left( -13 - n_V + n_H \right) \ln A_H,
$$

(4.18b)

$$
\Delta S_{\text{BH}}^{\mathcal{N} = 2, \text{Reissner-Nordström}} = \frac{1}{12} \left( 23 - n_V + n_H \right) \ln A_H.
$$

(4.18c)

For $\mathcal{N} \geq 3, d = 4$ EMSGTs, it is possible to describe the extremal logarithmic entropy corrections via some generalized formulae (only in terms of $\mathcal{N}$). The field contents of the $\mathcal{N} \geq 3$ branch (recorded in table 6) are such that we can write a relation connecting their multiplicities with the corresponding $\mathcal{N}$,

$$
\frac{1}{180} \left( 398 n_{\text{EM}} + 2 n_0 - 26 n_1 + 7 n_{1/2} - \frac{233}{2} n_{3/2} \right) = 3 - \mathcal{N}.
$$

(4.19)

Substituting the above relation into the formula (4.17), we get

$$
\Delta S_{\text{BH}}^{\mathcal{N} \geq 3, \text{Kerr-Newman}} = (3 - \mathcal{N}) \left( \frac{2 b^6 + 5 b^4 + 4 b^2 + 1}{(b^2 + 1)^2 (2 b^2 + 1)} \right) \ln A_H - 2 \ln A_H,
$$

(4.20a)

$$
\Delta S_{\text{BH}}^{\mathcal{N} \geq 3, \text{Kerr}} = (1 - \mathcal{N}) \ln A_H,
$$

(4.20b)

$$
\Delta S_{\text{BH}}^{\mathcal{N} \geq 3, \text{Reissner-Nordström}} = (4 - \mathcal{N}) \ln A_H.
$$

(4.20c)

All these logarithmic entropy corrections (eqs. (4.18) and (4.20)) exhibit perfect matching with the available direct approach results in [21–24, 26, 28]. The whole process of estimating logarithmic entropy corrections for extremal Kerr-Newman, Kerr and Reissner-Nordström black holes in $\mathcal{N} \geq 2, d = 4$ EMSGTs is an indirect “local method”. It is strictly limited to the analysis of extremal black holes via “Strategy A”. As compared to the direct approaches, it is much simpler because one needs not required to deal with overly complicated quadratic fluctuated supergravity actions and execute a mountain of complex trace calculations.
\[
N \geq 3 \quad n_{EM} \quad n_0 \quad n_1 \quad n_{1/2} \quad n_{3/2}
\]

| \(N\) = 3, \(d = 4\) EMSGT | 1 | 0 | 2 | \(\frac{1}{2}\) | 3 |
| \(N\) = 4, \(d = 4\) EMSGT | 1 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 4 |
| \(N\) = 5, \(d = 4\) EMSGT | 1 | 10 | 9 | \(\frac{11}{2}\) | 5 |
| \(N\) = 6, \(d = 4\) EMSGT | 1 | 30 | 15 | 13 | 6 |
| \(N\) = 8, \(d = 4\) EMSGT | 1 | 70 | 27 | 28 | 8 |

**Table 6:** Multiplicities of the content in \(N \geq 3, d = 4\) EMSGTs. The fraction values in the fifth column arise while extracting out the Dirac spinors from the odd multiplicities of Majorana or Weyl content by diving a 1/2 factor. Note that \(n_{EM}\) already includes one vector field along with the metric.

### 4.3 Summary and conclusions

To sum up, we have provided a consolidated manual for investigating logarithmic correction to the entropy of Kerr-Newman family of black holes for both extremal and non-extremal limits. The whole framework is divided into two separate strategies (“Strategy A” and “Strategy B”) based on the Euclidean gravity approaches [32, 35–37]. Seeley-DeWitt coefficients are found to be the crucial and common ingredients in these strategies, where a standard method [47] computes them in various background invariants. Following this manual, we have calculated the first three Seeley-DeWitt coefficients for the fluctuations of the generalized \(d = 4\) “minimally-coupled” EMT and employ them in obtaining logarithmic correction to the entropy of extremal and non-extremal black holes in the theory. The investigation of a global platform for simultaneously calculating logarithmic corrections to the entropy of all the Kerr-Newman family (Kerr-Newman, Kerr, Reissner-Nordström and Schwarzschild) of black holes in both extremal and non-extremal limits is novel. The extremal black hole results are mostly new reports. The non-supersymmetric “minimally-coupled” data, generalized for arbitrary numbers of minimally-coupled fields, have essential utility in alternative derivations of the results for different \(N \geq 2, d = 4\) EMSGTs via both direct (e.g., [28]) and indirect local methods (see section 4.2).

This work reported the presence of both the geometric dependent as well as fully universal logarithmic entropy corrections \(\Delta S_{BH}\) for the black hole solutions in the \(d = 4\) “minimally-coupled” EMT. Our analysis showed that the quantum corrections for the charged (Kerr-Newman and Reissner-Nordström) black holes are geometric via the parameters \(Q, b, u', \beta, r_H\), while \(\Delta S_{BH}\) for the uncharged (Kerr and Schwarzschild) black holes have no dependence on their parameters, i.e., universal in both extremal and non-extremal
limits. But, we found an exception for the extremal Reissner-Nordström black holes that possess a universal form of logarithmic entropy corrections. Almost a similar outcome is exhibited by the extremal black holes in $\mathcal{N} \geq 2, d = 4$ EMSGTs. However, the extremal Kerr-Newman results show a slight deviation: universal only in $\mathcal{N} = 3$ EMSGT but have geometric dependence in all other $\mathcal{N} \geq 2$ EMSGTs. Any progress in searching the reason behind the typical patterns of $\Delta S_{\text{BH}}$ would be welcome. We have not witnessed any vanishing $\Delta S_{\text{BH}}$ for black holes in the $d = 4$ “minimally-coupled” EMT, as reported for extremal Reissner-Nordström black holes in the $\mathcal{N} = 4, d = 4$ EMSGT. One should not worry about the logarithmic correction contributions that are found to be negative. The total quantum corrected black hole entropy $\left(\frac{A_H}{4G_N} + \Delta S_{\text{BH}}\right)$ is always positive due to the presence of the leading positive Bekenstein-Hawking term $\frac{A_H}{4G_N}$ in the large charge limit. All the calculated $\Delta S_{\text{BH}}$ results as well as their particular characteristics are significant and can be served as ‘macroscopic experimental data’ to understand the microstructure of the general black holes in any quantum theory of gravity in the future.
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A Local and zero-mode contributions of one-loop effective action

Zero modes are described by the normalized eigenfunctions $f_i^0(x)$ of the kinetic differential operator $\Lambda$ that provide zero eigenvalues ($\lambda_i = 0$),

$$\Lambda f_i^0(x) = 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (A.1)

The total number of zero modes of the operator $\Lambda$ for all the fluctuations $\tilde{\xi}_m$, denoted by $n_{zm}$, can be introduced by rewriting the heat trace $D(s)$ (defined in eq. (2.5)) as

$$D(s) = \sum_i e^{-s\lambda_i} = \sum' e^{-s\lambda_i} + n_{zm},$$ \hspace{1cm} (A.2)

with

$$n_{zm} = \sum_i \int d^4x \sqrt{\det g} f_i^0(x) f_i^0(x),$$ \hspace{1cm} (A.3)

where the prime indicates that the summation is over non-zero modes only. The quantum corrected Euclidean path integral partition function $Z_{\text{1-loop}}$ corresponding to the one-loop effective action $W$ (defined in eq. (2.6)) has the following structure [69–74]

$$Z_{\text{1-loop}} = e^{-W} = \int D[\tilde{\xi}_m] \exp \left( - \int d^4x \sqrt{\det g} \tilde{\xi}_m \Lambda \tilde{\xi}_m \right) = (\det \Lambda)^{-\chi/2}.$$ \hspace{1cm} (A.4)
But for the case of zero modes, the functional integral (A.4) cannot sustain its Gaussian form, and hence one needs to remove the zero modes from $Z_{1\text{-loop}}$ to carry out the heat kernel treatment of $W$. However, we can add their contribution back by substituting the zero-mode part of $Z_{1\text{-loop}}$ with ordinary volume integrals over different asymptotic symmetries that induce the zero modes [20–22, 29]. As a result, the one-loop partition function $Z_{1\text{-loop}}$ is disintegrated into a product of two separate parts,

$$Z_{1\text{-loop}} = e^{-W} = (\det' \Lambda)^{-\chi/2} \cdot Z_{\text{zero}}(L),$$

where $\det' \Lambda$ is the determinant over non-zero modes of $\Lambda$ and $Z_{\text{zero}}(L)$ is the zero-mode integral that scales non-trivially with an overall length scale $L$ of the background metric. For this choice of scaling, the non-zero eigenvalues of the Laplace-type operator $\Lambda$ scale as $L^{-2}$, which essentially sets a new rescaled heat kernel parameter $\bar{s} = s/L^2$ of the integration range $\epsilon/L^2 \ll \bar{s} \ll 1$ (or equivalently $\epsilon \ll s \ll L^2$). Then, using the Seeley-DeWitt expansion (2.7) in the relation (2.5), we express the non-zero mode contribution to the one-loop effective action as

$$W' = \frac{\chi}{2} \ln \det' \Lambda = -\frac{\chi}{2} \int_\epsilon^{L^2} \frac{ds}{s} \left(D(s) - n_{zm}\right),$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} \left( \int d^4x \sqrt{\det g} a_4(x) - \chi n_{zm}\right) \ln \left(\frac{L^2}{G_N}\right) + \cdots. \quad (A.6)$$

Here “….” represents all non-logarithmic terms containing the other Seeley-DeWitt coefficients. On the other hand, the zero mode contribution to the path integral from all fluctuations $\tilde{\xi}_m$, each having $n^0_{\tilde{\xi}_m}$ zero modes, can be represented as [20–22, 29]

$$Z_{\text{zero}}(L) = L^{\sum \tilde{\xi}_m \chi \beta_{\tilde{\xi}_m} n^0_{\tilde{\xi}_m}} Z_0,$$

where $Z_0$ does not scale with $L$. $\beta_{\tilde{\xi}_m}$ are numbers that depend on the type of fluctuation and space-time dimensions. In a $d$-dimensional theory, it is found that $\beta_1 = \frac{d-2}{2}$ for vector, $\beta_2 = \frac{d}{2}$ for metric, $\beta_{3/2} = d - 1$ for gravitino, etc. Finally, substituting both (A.6) and (A.7) contributions in the relation (A.5) and using $n_{zm} = \sum \tilde{\xi}_m n^0_{\tilde{\xi}_m}$, we write the following restructured one-loop effective action form

$$W = -\frac{1}{2} \left( \int d^4x \sqrt{\det g} a_4(x) + \sum_{\tilde{\xi}_m} \chi (\beta_{\tilde{\xi}_m} - 1) n^0_{\tilde{\xi}_m}\right) \ln \left(\frac{L^2}{G_N}\right) + \cdots, \quad (A.8)$$

where the first part containing $a_4(x)$ coefficient is termed as the “local” contribution and the second part controlled by the zero-mode parameters $\beta_{\tilde{\xi}_m}, n^0_{\tilde{\xi}_m}$ is recognized as the “zero-mode” contribution. We refer the readers to [23, 75] for more details regarding the above analysis.

If $W$ is identified as the one-loop quantum effective action to the partition function describing the macroscopic horizon degeneracy of a black hole with horizon area $A_H$, then the form (A.8) provides the particular logarithmic correction formula (2.9). One needs to consider only the terms proportional to $\ln A_H$ in the relation $\Delta S_{\text{BH}} = \ln Z_{1\text{-loop}} = -W$ for the large-charge limit $A_H \sim L^2$. 

\[ -35 - \]
Note on the strategy for non-extremal black holes:

In the Euclidean gravity approach \cite{32}, the non-extremal black holes are in equilibrium with thermal gas present in the theory. In order to identify only the particular piece of black hole partition function, one must subtract the thermal gas contributions. The special treatment is to consider two black hole solutions (same type but different scaling) in the same theory –

**System 1**: black hole with the length parameter $a$ confined in a box of size $\zeta$, 

**System 2**: black hole with the length parameter $a'$ confined in a box of size $\zeta a'/a$.

It is argued in \cite{32} that the thermal gas contribution remains invariant in both systems and hence, the difference between corresponding one-loop effective actions becomes

$$\Delta W = W_1(BH_1 + \text{gas}) - W_2(BH_2 + \text{gas}) = W_1(BH_1) - W_2(BH_2). \quad (A.9)$$

Now the eigenvalues of system 2 are scaled in terms of those in system 1 as

$$\lambda'_i = \lambda_i a^2 / a'^2, \quad (A.10)$$

which appropriately fits the $\Delta W$ into the form (A.6) for an upper integration limit $\epsilon' = \epsilon / L^2$ with $L = a'/a$. This finally leads us to the same effective action form (A.8) and logarithmic correction formula (2.9) for the non-extremal black hole after extracting only the terms proportional to $\ln a^2$. The above note is mostly based on \cite{32, 76}.

**B** The four-dimensional Einstein-Maxwell background: EOMs and identities

It is necessary to achieve the Einstein equation for background solutions of the particular four-dimensional Einstein-Maxwell theory (3.1). At first, we need to reshape the action (3.1) as

$$S_{EM} = \int d^4x \sqrt{|\det g|} R + S_M, \quad (B.1)$$

where $S_M$ is the action for the matter term,

$$S_M = -\int d^4x \sqrt{|\det g|} F_{\rho\sigma} F^{\rho\sigma}. \quad (B.2)$$

The Einstein equation satisfying the classical solution ($\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}, \bar{A}_\mu$) is defined as

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} R = \frac{1}{2} T_{\mu\nu}, \quad (B.3)$$

where the energy-momentum tensor $T_{\mu\nu}$ for the matter term is

$$T_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{|\det g|}} \frac{\delta S_M}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}} \bigg|_{(\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}, \bar{A}_\mu)}. \quad (B.4)$$

\footnote{Refer to section 2.3, appendix B of \cite{32} and section 2.2.3 of \cite{76} for the detailed analysis.}
Avoiding all the boundary terms, one can obtain
\[ \delta S_M = - \int d^4x \left( \delta(\sqrt{\det g}) F_{\rho\sigma} F^{\rho\sigma} + \sqrt{\det g} \delta(g^{\mu\nu} g^{\rho\sigma} F_{\rho\sigma} F_{\mu\nu}) \right) \]
\[ = \int d^4x \sqrt{\det g} \left( \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} F_{\rho\sigma} F^{\rho\sigma} - 2 F_{\mu\rho} F_{\nu}^{\rho} \right) \delta g^{\mu\nu}, \] (B.5)
and finally express the particular Einstein equation,
\[ R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} R = 2 \bar{F}_{\mu\rho} \bar{F}_{\nu}^{\rho} - \frac{1}{2} \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} \bar{F}_{\rho\sigma} \bar{F}^{\rho\sigma}, \] (B.6)
where \( \bar{F}_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \bar{A}_\nu - \partial_\nu \bar{A}_\mu \). Trace over (B.6) allows us to consider the constraint \( R = 0 \) for the Einstein-Maxwell theory. Therefore all the terms proportional to the background Ricci scalar \( R \) vanish, and this argument is used throughout this paper. For the four-dimensional Einstein-Maxwell background, the relevant Riemannian identities, Bianchi identities and equations of motion are listed as
\[ \bar{F}_{\mu\rho} \bar{F}_{\nu}^{\rho} = \frac{1}{2} R_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{4} \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} \bar{F}_{\rho\sigma} \bar{F}^{\rho\sigma}, \] (C.2a)
\[ R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} R = 2 \bar{F}_{\mu\rho} \bar{F}_{\nu}^{\rho} - \frac{1}{2} \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} \bar{F}_{\rho\sigma} \bar{F}^{\rho\sigma} \] (C.2b)
\[ (D_\rho \bar{F}_{\mu\nu})(D_\nu \bar{F}^{\rho\mu}) = \frac{1}{2} R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \bar{F}_{\rho\sigma} - \frac{1}{2} R_{\mu\nu} R^{\rho\sigma}. \] (C.2c)

C Trace calculations for the pure Einstein-Maxwell sector

The trace calculations for obtaining the Seeley-DeWitt contribution of the Einstein-Maxwell sector (3.22) are extremely tedious and complex compared to the minimally-coupled fields’ contributions. For the pure Einstein-Maxwell fluctuations \( \tilde{\xi}_m = \{ h_{\mu\nu}, a_\mu \} \), we set up the following traces
\[ \text{tr}(E) = \text{tr}(E_{h_{\mu\nu}}^{h_{\alpha\beta}} + E_{h_{\alpha\beta}}^{h_{\mu\nu}} + E_{a_\alpha}^{a_\alpha} + E_{h_{\mu\nu}}^{a_\alpha}), \] (C.1a)
\[ \text{tr}(E^2) = \text{tr}(E_{h_{\mu
u}}^{h_{\alpha\beta}} E_{h_{\alpha\beta}}^{h_{\rho\sigma}} + E_{\alpha\alpha}^{a_\mu} E_{\beta\beta}^{a_\rho} + E_{h_{\alpha\beta}}^{a_\mu} E_{h_{\rho\sigma}}^{a_\rho} + E_{h_{\mu\nu}}^{a_\mu} E_{h_{\rho\sigma}}^{a_\rho} + E_{h_{\mu\nu}}^{a_\rho} E_{h_{\rho\sigma}}^{a_\beta}), \] (C.1b)
\[ \text{tr}(\Omega_{\rho\sigma} \Omega^{\rho\sigma}) = \text{tr}((\Omega_{\rho\sigma})^{h_{\alpha\beta}} h_{\alpha\beta} \Omega_{\rho\sigma}^{h_{\alpha\beta}} + (\Omega_{\rho\sigma})^{a_\alpha} a_\alpha \Omega_{\rho\sigma}^{a_\beta} + (\Omega_{\rho\sigma})^{h_{\alpha\beta}} a_\alpha h_{\alpha\beta} + (\Omega_{\rho\sigma})^{a_\alpha} a_\alpha h_{\alpha\beta}). \] (C.1c)
Simplifying eq. (3.24a) further, the following components of \( E \) are extracted as
\[ E_{h_{\mu\nu}}^{h_{\alpha\beta}} = \bar{R}_{\alpha\beta}^{\mu\nu} + \bar{R}_{\alpha\beta}^{\nu\rho} - \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} \bar{R}_{\alpha\beta}, \] (C.2a)
\[ E_{a_\alpha}^{a_\beta} = \frac{3}{2} \bar{g}_{\beta}^a F_{\mu \nu} \bar{F}_{\mu \nu}, \]  
\[ E_{h_\alpha}^{h_\mu} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} D^\mu \bar{F}_{\alpha}^{-\nu} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} D^{-\nu} \bar{F}_{\alpha}^{\mu}, \]  
\[ E_{h_\alpha}^{h_\mu} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} D_\mu \bar{F}_{\alpha}^{\nu} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} D_\nu \bar{F}_{\alpha}^{-\mu}. \]  

Also, all the components of \( \Omega_{\rho \sigma} \) are extracted from eq. (3.24b) as

\[ (\Omega_{\rho \sigma})^{h_\mu}_{h_\alpha} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \bar{g}_{\alpha}^a R^{\nu}_{\beta \rho \sigma} + \bar{g}_{\beta}^a R^{\nu}_{\alpha \rho \sigma} + \bar{g}_{\sigma}^a R^{\mu}_{\beta \rho \alpha} + \bar{g}_{\sigma}^a R^{\mu}_{\alpha \beta \rho} \right) \]
\[ - \frac{1}{2} \left( \bar{g}_{\rho}^{\mu \theta} \bar{F}_{\rho}^{\nu} + \bar{g}_{\rho}^{\mu \theta} \bar{F}_{\rho}^{-\nu} - \bar{g}_{\rho}^{\mu \theta} \bar{F}_{\rho}^{\nu} - \bar{g}_{\rho}^{\nu \theta} \bar{F}_{\rho}^{-\mu} - \bar{g}_{\rho}^{\nu \theta} \bar{F}_{\rho}^{-\mu} \right) \]
\[ \times \left( \bar{g}_{\alpha}^a \bar{F}_{\sigma \beta} + \bar{g}_{\beta}^a \bar{F}_{\alpha \sigma} - \bar{g}_{\alpha}^a \bar{F}_{\sigma \beta} - \bar{g}_{\beta}^a \bar{F}_{\alpha \sigma} \right) \]  
\[ + \frac{1}{2} \left( \bar{g}_{\rho}^{\mu \theta} \bar{F}_{\rho}^{\nu} + \bar{g}_{\rho}^{\mu \theta} \bar{F}_{\rho}^{-\nu} - \bar{g}_{\rho}^{\mu \theta} \bar{F}_{\rho}^{\nu} - \bar{g}_{\rho}^{\nu \theta} \bar{F}_{\rho}^{-\mu} - \bar{g}_{\rho}^{\nu \theta} \bar{F}_{\rho}^{-\mu} \right) \]
\[ \times \left( \bar{g}_{\alpha}^a \bar{F}_{\rho \beta} + \bar{g}_{\beta}^a \bar{F}_{\rho \alpha} - \bar{g}_{\alpha}^a \bar{F}_{\rho \beta} - \bar{g}_{\beta}^a \bar{F}_{\rho \alpha} \right), \]  
\[ (\Omega_{\rho \sigma})^{a_\alpha}_{a_\beta} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \bar{g}_{\alpha}^a R^{\alpha}_{\beta \rho \sigma} \right) \]
\[ - \frac{1}{2} \left( \bar{g}_{\rho}^{\mu \alpha} \bar{F}_{\rho}^{\nu} + \bar{g}_{\rho}^{\mu \alpha} \bar{F}_{\rho}^{-\nu} - \bar{g}_{\rho}^{\nu \alpha} \bar{F}_{\rho}^{\mu} - \bar{g}_{\rho}^{\nu \alpha} \bar{F}_{\rho}^{\mu} \right) \]
\[ \times \left( \bar{g}_{\alpha}^a \bar{F}_{\sigma \beta} + \bar{g}_{\beta}^a \bar{F}_{\alpha \sigma} - \bar{g}_{\alpha}^a \bar{F}_{\sigma \beta} - \bar{g}_{\beta}^a \bar{F}_{\alpha \sigma} \right) \]  
\[ + \frac{1}{2} \left( \bar{g}_{\rho}^{\mu \alpha} \bar{F}_{\rho}^{\nu} + \bar{g}_{\rho}^{\mu \alpha} \bar{F}_{\rho}^{-\nu} - \bar{g}_{\rho}^{\nu \alpha} \bar{F}_{\rho}^{\mu} - \bar{g}_{\rho}^{\nu \alpha} \bar{F}_{\rho}^{\mu} \right) \]
\[ \times \left( \bar{g}_{\alpha}^a \bar{F}_{\rho \beta} + \bar{g}_{\beta}^a \bar{F}_{\rho \alpha} - \bar{g}_{\alpha}^a \bar{F}_{\rho \beta} - \bar{g}_{\beta}^a \bar{F}_{\rho \alpha} \right), \]  
\[ (\Omega_{\rho \sigma})^{h_\nu}_{a_\alpha} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \bar{g}_{\alpha}^a D_{\rho} \bar{F}_{\sigma}^{\nu} + \bar{g}_{\alpha}^a D_{\rho} \bar{F}_{\sigma}^{-\nu} - \bar{g}_{\sigma}^a D_{\rho} \bar{F}_{\alpha}^{\nu} - \bar{g}_{\sigma}^a D_{\rho} \bar{F}_{\alpha}^{-\nu} - \bar{g}_{\mu}^a D_{\rho} \bar{F}_{\sigma}^{\nu} \right) \]
\[ - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \bar{g}_{\alpha}^a D_{\sigma} \bar{F}_{\rho}^{\nu} + \bar{g}_{\alpha}^a D_{\sigma} \bar{F}_{\rho}^{-\nu} - \bar{g}_{\sigma}^a D_{\rho} \bar{F}_{\alpha}^{\nu} - \bar{g}_{\sigma}^a D_{\rho} \bar{F}_{\alpha}^{-\nu} - \bar{g}_{\mu}^a D_{\sigma} \bar{F}_{\rho}^{\nu} \right), \]  
\[ (\Omega_{\rho \sigma})^{a_\alpha}_{h_\mu} = - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \bar{g}_{\alpha}^a D_{\rho} \bar{F}_{\sigma}^{\nu} + \bar{g}_{\alpha}^a D_{\rho} \bar{F}_{\sigma}^{-\nu} - \bar{g}_{\mu}^a D_{\rho} \bar{F}_{\alpha}^{\nu} - \bar{g}_{\mu}^a D_{\rho} \bar{F}_{\alpha}^{-\nu} \right) \]
where $\tilde{m}$ acts as an effective metric corresponding to any two arbitrary matrices $A \tilde{m}$ and $B \tilde{m}$. The traces can be simplified and reduced to different background invariants with the help of identities (B.7). Following the systematic process (C.4) and the relations (B.7), we then calculate,

\begin{align}
\text{tr}(X_1) &= 0, \\
\text{tr}(X_2) &= 6 \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}, \\
\text{tr}(X_3) &= 0, \\
\text{tr}(X_4) &= 0, \\
\text{tr}(X_1 X_1) &= 3R_{\mu\rho\sigma\tau} \tilde{R}^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} - 4R_{\mu\nu} \tilde{R}^{\mu\nu}, \\
\text{tr}(X_2 X_2) &= 9(\tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu})^2, \\
\text{tr}(X_3 X_4) &= \frac{3}{2} R_{\mu\rho\sigma\tau} \tilde{R}^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} - \frac{3}{2} R_{\mu\nu} \tilde{R}^{\mu\nu}, \\
\text{tr}(Y_1 Y_1) &= -6R_{\mu\rho\sigma\tau} \tilde{R}^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}, \\
\text{tr}(Y_2 Y_2) &= 7R_{\mu\nu} \tilde{R}^{\mu\nu} - 3(\tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu})^2, \\
\text{tr}(Y_3 Y_3) &= 7R_{\mu\nu} \tilde{R}^{\mu\nu} - 3(\tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu})^2, \\
\text{tr}(Y_4 Y_4) &= -R_{\mu\rho\sigma\tau} \tilde{R}^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}, \\
\text{tr}(Y_5 Y_5) &= 7R_{\mu\nu} \tilde{R}^{\mu\nu} - 3(\tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu})^2, \\
\text{tr}(Y_6 Y_6) &= 7R_{\mu\nu} R^{\mu\nu} - 3(\tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu})^2, \\
\text{tr}(Y_1 Y_2) &= 0, \\
\text{tr}(Y_1 Y_3) &= 0, \\
\text{tr}(Y_2 Y_3) &= -R_{\mu\nu} \tilde{R}^{\mu\nu} - 9(\tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu})^2, \\
\text{tr}(Y_4 Y_5) &= R_{\mu\nu} \tilde{R}^{\mu\nu}, \\
\text{tr}(Y_4 Y_6) &= R_{\mu\nu} \tilde{R}^{\mu\nu}, \\
\text{tr}(Y_5 Y_6) &= 4R_{\mu\nu} R^{\mu\nu} - 12(\tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu})^2, \\
\text{tr}(Y_7 Y_8) &= 6R_{\mu\nu} \tilde{R}^{\mu\nu} - 6R_{\mu\nu\sigma\tau} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\rho\sigma}, \\
\text{tr}(Y_7 Y_10) &= \frac{3}{2} R_{\mu\rho\sigma\tau} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}\tilde{F}^{\rho\sigma} - \frac{3}{2} R_{\mu\nu} \tilde{R}^{\mu\nu}, \\
\text{tr}(Y_8 Y_9) &= \frac{3}{2} R_{\mu\rho\sigma\tau} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}\tilde{F}^{\rho\sigma} - \frac{3}{2} R_{\mu\nu} \tilde{R}^{\mu\nu}, \\
\text{tr}(Y_8 Y_{10}) &= 6R_{\mu\nu} \tilde{R}^{\mu\nu} - 6R_{\mu\nu\sigma\tau} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\rho\sigma}, \\
\text{tr}(Y_9 Y_{10}) &= 6R_{\mu\nu} \tilde{R}^{\mu\nu} - 6R_{\mu\nu\sigma\tau} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\rho\sigma},
\end{align}

and find the following results

\begin{align}
\text{tr}
\left(E_{\mu\nu}^{h_{\alpha\beta}} \right) &= \text{tr}(X_1) = 0, \\
\text{tr}
\left(E_{\alpha\mu}^{a_{\alpha\beta}} \right) &= \text{tr}(X_2) = 6 \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}, \\
\text{tr}
\left(E_{\mu\nu}^{h_{\alpha\beta}} \right) &= \text{tr}(X_3) = 0, \\
\text{tr}
\left(E_{\alpha\mu}^{a_{\alpha\beta}} \right) &= \text{tr}(X_4) = 0, \\
\text{tr}
\left(E_{\mu\nu}^{h_{\alpha\beta}} \right) &= \text{tr}(X_1)^2 = 3R_{\mu\rho\sigma\tau} \tilde{R}^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} - 4R_{\mu\nu} \tilde{R}^{\mu\nu}, \\
\text{tr}
\left(E_{\alpha\mu}^{a_{\alpha\beta}} \right) &= \text{tr}(X_2)^2 = 9(\tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu})^2, \\
\text{tr}
\left(E_{\mu\nu}^{h_{\alpha\beta}} \right) &= \text{tr}(X_3)^2 = 3R_{\mu\rho\sigma\tau} \tilde{R}^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} - 4R_{\mu\nu} \tilde{R}^{\mu\nu}, \\
\text{tr}
\left(E_{\alpha\mu}^{a_{\alpha\beta}} \right) &= \text{tr}(X_4)^2 = 9(\tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu})^2, \\
\text{tr}
\left(E_{\mu\nu}^{h_{\alpha\beta}} \right) &= \text{tr}(X_5)^2 = 3R_{\mu\rho\sigma\tau} \tilde{R}^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} - 4R_{\mu\nu} \tilde{R}^{\mu\nu}, \\
\text{tr}
\left(E_{\alpha\mu}^{a_{\alpha\beta}} \right) &= \text{tr}(X_6)^2 = 9(\tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu})^2, \\
\text{tr}
\left(E_{\mu\nu}^{h_{\alpha\beta}} \right) &= \text{tr}(X_7)^2 = 3R_{\mu\rho\sigma\tau} \tilde{R}^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} - 4R_{\mu\nu} \tilde{R}^{\mu\nu}, \\
\text{tr}
\left(E_{\alpha\mu}^{a_{\alpha\beta}} \right) &= \text{tr}(X_8)^2 = 9(\tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu})^2, \\
\text{tr}
\left(E_{\mu\nu}^{h_{\alpha\beta}} \right) &= \text{tr}(X_9)^2 = 3R_{\mu\rho\sigma\tau} \tilde{R}^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} - 4R_{\mu\nu} \tilde{R}^{\mu\nu}, \\
\text{tr}
\left(E_{\alpha\mu}^{a_{\alpha\beta}} \right) &= \text{tr}(X_{10})^2 = 9(\tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu})^2, \end{align}

\begin{align}
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( g_{\mu \nu} D_\sigma F_{\rho \nu} + g_{\nu \rho} D_\sigma F_{\mu \nu} - g_{\mu \mu} D_\sigma F_{\nu \nu} - g_{\nu \nu} D_\sigma F_{\mu \mu} \right). \\
\end{align}
\[ \text{tr}(X_3 X_4) = \frac{3}{2} R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F_{\mu\nu} F_{\rho\sigma} - \frac{3}{2} R_{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu}, \]
\[ \text{tr}\left((\Omega_{\rho\sigma})^{h_{\mu\nu}}_{a_{\alpha} a_{\beta}} (\Omega^{\rho\sigma})^{h_{\alpha\beta}}_{h_{\alpha\beta}}\right) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} \text{tr}(Y_i Y_j) \]
\[ = -6 R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} + 12 R_{\mu\nu} R_{\mu\nu} - 24 (\bar{F}_{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu})^2, \]
\[ \text{tr}\left((\Omega_{\rho\sigma})^{a_{\alpha} a_{\gamma}}_{a_{\alpha} a_{\beta}} (\Omega^{\rho\sigma})^{a_{\beta}}_{a_{\beta}}\right) = \sum_{i,j=4}^{6} \text{tr}(Y_i Y_j) \]
\[ = - R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} + 26 R_{\mu\nu} R_{\mu\nu} - 30 (\bar{F}_{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu})^2, \]
\[ \text{tr}\left((\Omega_{\rho\sigma})^{h_{\mu\nu}}_{a_{\alpha} a_{\beta}} (\Omega^{\rho\sigma})^{a_{\alpha} h_{\mu\nu}}_{a_{\beta}}\right) = \sum_{i=7}^{8} \sum_{j=9}^{10} \text{tr}(Y_i Y_j) = 9 R_{\mu\nu} R_{\mu\nu} - 9 R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F_{\mu\nu} \bar{F}_{\rho\sigma}. \]

The above results produce the exact list (3.25) via the definitions (C.1).
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