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Abstract

Magnetic manganese ferrite (MnFe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4}) nanoparticles with approximately 100 nm in diameter were used to improve the performance of an immunoassay for detecting influenza infections. The synthesized nanoparticles were tested for long-term storage to confirm the stability of their thermal decomposition process. Then, an integrated microfluidic system was developed to perform the diagnosis process automatically, including virus purification and detection. To apply these nanoparticles for influenza diagnosis, a micromixer was optimized to reduce the dead volume within the microfluidic chip. Furthermore, the mixing index of the micromixer could achieve as high as 97% in 2 seconds. The optical signals showed that this nanoparticle-based immunoassay with dynamic mixing could successfully achieve a detection limit of influenza as low as 0.007 HAU. When compared with the 4.5-μm magnetic beads, the optical signals of the MnFe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4} nanoparticles were twice as sensitive. Furthermore, five clinical specimens were tested to verify the usability of the developed system.

From the Clinical Editor: In this study, magnetic manganese ferrite nanoparticles were used to improve the performance of a novel immunoassay for the rapid and efficient detection of influenza infections.
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Viral infectious diseases have been a serious threat to human health worldwide. The notorious acquired immunodeficiency syndrome instigated by the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), for example, has caused the death of more than 10 million people for more than three decades.\textsuperscript{1} Another serious disease is the severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS), caused by SARS coronavirus, which broke out in 2002.\textsuperscript{2} More recently, in the winter of 2012, both norovirus and influenza virus caused
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widespread illness and infected millions of people. People infected with norovirus can develop acute gastroenteritis and become ill with influenza, causing sudden fever and whole-body aches, as well as lung and heart diseases. Furthermore, the influenza virus, which causes the flu, caused several historic pandemics; for instance, the Spanish Flu of 1918 claimed 27 million lives worldwide. The Hong Kong Flu in 1968 and the Bird Flu in 2004 also caused numerous deaths worldwide. The Swine Flu outbreak in June 2009 in Mexico caused serious concern because of the hydride genome of that virus. Therefore, the capability to detect influenza infections rapidly and provide immediate and appropriate clinical treatment is an important need that needs to be addressed.

To diagnose influenza infection accurately, various types of diagnostic methods, such as viral culture, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), fluorescence immunoassay (FIA), and molecular diagnosis using a real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR), have already been developed and applied in hospitals and laboratories. However, they are labor-intensive processes performed by well-trained personnel, and also require a substantial amount of bench-top equipment. Recently, with the development of micro-electro-mechanical systems (MEMS) technologies, an increasing number of miniature biomedical systems have demonstrated the potential for virus detection. For instance, a nano-cantilever beam operating as a mass detector was developed for the detection of viral particle; this type of microsystem was further used for virus-specific antibodies to selectively detect pathogens. However, sample pre-treatment and virus purification are still challenging to achieve in the cantilever beam sensing system.

In recent years, magnetic bead-based immunoassays have been integrated with microfluidic systems to realize effective micro-total-analysis system or lab-on-a-chip systems for pathogen detection. Magnetic bead-based immunoassays were modified based on the sandwich-like immunoassays. Specific antibodies were coated on beads, which enabled the specific isolation and collection of target cells or viruses by using a magnetic force. For instance, magnetic systems demonstrating the capability of executing separations and detection of DNA fragments with small amounts of reagents in a single microfluidic device were achieved. Rapid viral detection has also been demonstrated by several research groups. For example, a microfluidic device with bead-based viral purification and on-chip reverse transcriptase PCR capabilities was applied to specifically detect dengue virus and enterovirus. An integrated micro-flow cytometry using the bead-based immunoassay approach has demonstrated automatic detection of the dengue virus down to a concentration of \(10^3\) PFU/mL in 40 min. Yet another integrated microfluidic system has achieved rapid detection in as little as 15 min which has been realized while using clinical specimens. However, the magnetic beads used in previous studies are approximately 4.5 \(\mu\)m in diameter, which is much bigger than the influenza virus (approximately 100 nm in diameter). The incomparable size between beads and viruses can affect the detection signal and cause relatively high background noise. Using beads with smaller sizes and larger surface area may be beneficial for target detection.

With the advancement of nanotechnology, numerous nanomaterials have been developed for their unique properties. For instance, fullerenes and carbon nanotubes show high heat conductivity, electrical conductivity, and relative low chemical activity. They have even been used for medical studies associated with bacteria, viruses, and cancer cells. Nanoparticles have also been developed for detecting avian flu in red blood cells or alpha-fetoprotein in serum because of their uniform distribution, long-term stability and bio-compatibility. Among them, magnetic nanoparticles have been applied in molecular imaging because of their outstanding magnetic-spin structures such that they could enhance magnetic resonance imaging. Moreover, magnetic nanoparticles have advantages including multiplexing, reduced analysis time and selectivity control. Furthermore, with the development of microfluidic systems, magnetic nanoparticles have been used for immunoassay applications that involved a simple microfluidic chip. However, only immunoglobulin G was used as a test model and no disease detection was demonstrated. For instance, superparamagnetic nanoparticles have been used to capture pathogenic microorganisms or nanometer-size particles, such as virions. Immunoglobulin E detection in diagnosing allergies is another application of magnetic nanoparticles. The high capture rate, throughput, and sample pre-concentration, as compared with the traditional assay, have been demonstrated.

In this study, it is the first attempt to apply nanoparticles, by using an integrated microfluidic system that involves characterized micro-devices working with magnetic MnFe\(_2\)O\(_4\) nanoparticles for influenza detection with a FIA. By using this approach, the detection limit of the diagnosis is expected to improve because of the large surface-to-volume ratio of nanoparticles. These well-produced stable magnetic MnFe\(_2\)O\(_4\) nanoparticles are further combined with a layer-by-layer (LBL) surface modification process to reduce the background noise due to non-specific adhesion of nanoparticles. An integrated microfluidics system for detection of influenza infectious in 20 min has been demonstrated and may be promising for rapid diagnosis in the near future.

**Methods**

**Working principle of the diagnosis assay**

Figure 1 shows a simplified diagram illustrating the diagnosis assay used in this study. The magnetic nanoparticles were surface-coated with anti-influenza A nucleoprotein (α-A-NP) monoclonal antibodies (mAbs), pre-loaded in a micromixer, and incubated with purified viral particle samples for 5 min (Figure 1, A). The purification of nanoparticle–virus complexes was realized by washing out non-specific interferences when an external magnetic field was applied for 2 min (Figure 1, B). After washing, the direct-conjugated R-phycocerythrin (PE) developing mAbs (α-A-NP–PE mAbs) was transported into the micromixer to incubate with the nanoparticle–virus complexes for 5 min (Figure 1, C). The non-binding interferences were washed away and the purification of the nanoparticle–virus-developing mAb complexes with the similar process. Finally, an optical detection module was used to detect the optical signal of the purified nanoparticle–virus-developing
mAbs complexes (Figure 1, D). All detailed information about the on-chip experimental procedures regarding the magnetic nanoparticle bead-based microfluidic immunoassay is listed in Supplemental Table 1.

Fabrication of microfluidic chip and surface modification

The microfluidic chip in the current study was composed of three layers: one thick poly(dimethylsiloxane) (PDMS) air-channel layer, one thin PDMS liquid-channel layer, and one glass substrate spin-coated with PDMS (Figure 2, A). A schematic illustration of the developed microfluidic chip composed of washing buffer chambers, α-A-NP–PE mAbs chambers, micropumps, normally closed microvalves, and micromixers for performing the entire diagnosis process is shown in Figure 2, B, which consisted of eight reaction units and could test eight samples in one chip. Figure 2, C shows a photograph of the chip with dimensions measured to be 73 mm × 55 mm. Note that the light red color indicates the air channels and the light blue color indicates the liquid channels in this figure.

The shape and size of the detection and incubation chambers were especially designed for controlling the magnetic nanoparticles. The original incubation chambers contained microfluidic side channels, where nanoparticles might adsorb along the edges with the dead-volume regions.19,20 Therefore, the micromixer was modified into a circular shape to reduce the adsorption of the magnetic nanoparticles.34 However, the previous circular-micromixer had a large mixing area, which limited the magnetic nanoparticles collection. In this study, the circular diameter of the micromixer was reduced from 15,000 μm to 2,600 μm, indicating that the working PDMS membrane area was also reduced. Consequently, both positive and negative forces were provided for efficient mixing. Therefore, a negative gauge pressure of −80 kPa and a positive gauge pressure of 10 psi were used in this study.

In addition to the microfluidic structures, this study applied poly(ethyleneimine) (PEI) and poly(acrylic acid) (PAA) with subsequent cross-linking for long-term surface modification on the PDMS channel surface such that it may remain hydrophilic.35 As shown schematically in Figure 2, D, a surface modification process was performed to form functional groups on the PDMS surface. Firstly, poly(ethylene oxide)–poly(propylene oxide)–poly(ethylene oxide) triblock copolymer (Pluronic P123, MW 5750, 2.5% [wt/vol]) in 99% aqueous ethanol, was used to cover the PDMS surface for 15 min. Secondly, the PDMS surface with a thin layer of the Pluronic P123 was layered with PAA (MW 100,000, 1% [wt/vol], in
deionized [DI] water) for 15 min. Thirdly, the PDMS surface was coated with a PEI solution (MW 75,000, 0.25% [wt/vol], in DI water) for 15 min. This sequence of surface modification was repeated twice to obtain the desired thickness of polyelectrolyte multilayers and the native hydrophobic PDMS surface could be surface-modified to hydrophilic.

Synthesis and characterization of nanoparticles

In order to target influenza viruses that infected on mock cells, the targeted materials (the surface of MnFe$_2$O$_4$ nanoparticles will be conjugated with influenza A anti-body) must be hydrophilic. Based on the process reported in the literature, the method of MnFeO nanoparticles synthesis is in hydrophobic phase. Therefore, the hydrophobic MnFeO nanoparticles must be transferred to the hydrophilic phase and then conjugated with influenza A anti-body. In a typical synthesis procedure, iron (III) acetylacetonate [Fe(acac)$_3$, 1.4 g, Aldrich], manganese (II) acetate [Mn(ac)$_2$, 0.346 g, Alfa Aesar], oleic acid (90%, 3.36 mL, Aldrich) and trioctylamine (98%, 15 mL, Aldrich) were first mixed in a two-neck round bottom (RB) flasks (precursor 1) and heated up to 305 °C at a heating rate of 33 °C/min and maintained at this temperature for 30 min, and then reduced to 270 °C. The average particle size of nanoparticles obtained in this treatment was 40 nm (Figure 3, A). Another solution (precursor 2) with a total volume of 12 mL was prepared in a separate RB by mixing Fe(acac)$_3$ (0.84 g), Mn(ac)$_2$ (0.208 g), oleic acid (90%, 6.12 mL) and trioctylamine (98%, 5 mL) and heated up to 150 °C, and then maintained at this temperature for 20 min. The precursor 2 was then added into the precursor 1 and heated up to 305 °C for 30 min, and then reduced to 270 °C. The process of adding the precursor 2 and temperature programming was repeated four times and particle size obtained in each step was 57, 63, 75 and 95 nm, respectively (Figure 3, A). The final products were separated by 8000-rpm centrifugation for 10 min, washed three times with ethanol and dispersed in toluene. A series of transmission electron microscope (TEM) images of the magnetic MnFe$_2$O$_4$ nanoparticles are shown in Figure 3. The size of the synthesized nanoparticles can be fine-tuned to an average size of 98 ± 19.5 nm with this approach.

To confirm the stability of these nanoparticles, these products were tested under TEM and UV–Vis spectrum analysis. The
crystalline structures were further identified using an X-ray diffractometer (XRD) analysis with nanoparticles preserved for three months. TEM analysis was performed using JEOL 2100 F at 200 kV and PHILIPS CM-200 at 200 kV (Hitachi H-7500, Japan). UV–Vis optical absorption spectra were recorded on a spectrophotometer (Hewlett-Packard Company, Palo Alto, CA, USA). The XRD spectrum used Cu Kα radiation (\(\lambda = 1.54056 \text{ Å}\)) at 30 kV and 30 mA (Shimadzu XRD-7000S X-Ray Diffractometer, Japan).

Preparation of reagents, virus and cell lines

This microfluidic system demonstrated its specificity in capturing the influenza virus by using the specific mouse α-A-NP mAbs (H16L-10-4R5 cell line [HB-65], ATCC, USA). They were conjugated onto the surface of MnFe₂O₄ nanoparticles to form α-A-NP mAb-conjugated nanoparticles. The process for these custom-developed mAbs and α-A-NP–PE mAbs was used to conjugate the PE fluorescent dye directly by using a commercial kit, the EasyLink R-Phycocerythrin Conjugation Kit (Abcam, UK). Note that magnetic beads (M450, Dynabeads®, M-450 Epoxy, Invitrogen, USA stock concentration = 4 \times 10^8 beads/mL, 4.5-μm diameter) were also used in this study for comparing the performance of developed MnFe₂O₄ nanoparticle assays. Detailed information on this measurement process conjugating MnFe₂O₄ nanoparticles and M450 beads with α-A-NP mAbs and α-A-NP–PE mAbs is shown in Supplemental Information (SI) section II and could be also found in a previous study.²⁰

Influenza A/H1 (subtype of H1N1, 97N510H1) was used to verify the performance of the integrated microfluidic system with magnetic nanoparticles. All of the tested influenza viruses were infected in Mardin–Darby canine kidney (MDCK) cells.³⁹ Detailed information about viral culture, purification and tittering methods could be found in the SI section III. Clinical specimens were obtained from the Microbiology and Immunology Laboratory of National Cheng Kung University (NCKU) and the Department of Pathology of NCKU Hospital in Taiwan. A total of five clinical samples were collected. Two of them were known negative samples and the other three samples were positive samples. All viral samples were stored at −80 °C until tested. The influenza viruses were diluted in serial dilutions four times to determine the limit of detection (LOD). They were diluted with a 4 °C 1× PBS buffer to form various viral concentrations ranging from 1:128 with a 4⁻² dilution (8 HAU/50 μL) to 1:128 with a 4⁻⁷ dilution (0.007 HAU/50 μL). The 1× PBS buffer was used as negative control (NC) for background noise testing.

Experimental setup and statistical analysis

A custom-made, programmable control system, electromagnetic valves (EMVs, SD70M-6BG-32, SMC, Japan), a digital controller (8051 microcontroller, model AT89C51 24PC, ATME, USA), a vacuum pump (UN-90 V, UNI-CROWN Inc., Taiwan), and an air compressor (Gast Manufacturing Inc., USA) were used for the automatic process of the microfluidic system. These experimental setups were used to drive all of the microfluidic components, including micropumps and micromixers. The driving frequencies of the EMVs were regulated for the mixing index testing. The red ink was pre-loaded in the micromixer to calibrate the mixing index. Detailed information on this measurement process, the mixing index measurement and calculation results can be found in the SI section V and also in previous work.¹⁹

---

**Figure 3.** A schematic diagram of the MnFe₂O₄ nanoparticle production process used in this study. Fe(acac)₃, Mn(ac)₂, oleic acid, and trioctylamine were first mixed and heated to 305 °C. After Fe(acac)₃, Mn(ac)₂, oleic acid, and trioctylamine were added four times into the synthesis solution in different concentrations, the size of nanoparticles grew to approximately 100 nm. Subsequently, the nanoparticles were transformed from hydrophobic to hydrophilic with CHCl₃, NaOH, PAH and PEI reaction.
In the optical detection process, a fluorescence microscope, combined with a photo-multiplier tube (PMT, R928, Hamamatsu, Japan), a mercury lamp (MODEL C-SHG1, Nikon, Japan), several optical components containing a collimation lens, an objective lens (Nikon LU Plan 10×/0.30 A, Nikon, Japan), and three fluorescence filters (Nikon G-2A, Nikon, Japan) were used. The mercury lamp was used to excite the PE dye, and the excited fluorescent signals were first emitted through an excitation filter (535/25-nm band-pass [BP], Nikon, Japan). Subsequently, the emitted signals from the PE dye were passed through a dichroic mirror (565-nm cut-off wavelength, Nikon, Japan) and other signals were filtered by using a barrier filter (590-nm long-pass [LP], Nikon, Japan). The fluorescence decay analysis of nanoparticle in PE was shown in SI section VIII.

Figure 4. (A) A series of TEM images for magnetic MnFe2O4 nanoparticles from 1 to 3 months. (B) Optical absorption spectra of the nanoparticles for three months. (C) X-ray diffraction (XRD) spectrum of nanoparticles samples. (D) A series of microscopic images for testing the specific mAbs activity using the MDCK cell line. The cells were infected by influenza virus and PE fluorescent signals were detected in the dark field.
One-way analysis of variance (one-way ANOVA) by using Microsoft Excel software was applied to test the optical signal difference between negative specimens (specimens 1 and 2) and positive specimens (specimens 3, 4 and 5). Detailed ANOVA analysis and calculation results can be found in the SI section IX.

**Results**

**Nanoparticles characterization**

First, the long-term stability of these magnetic MnFe₂O₄ nanoparticles was tested under a TEM, an UV–Vis spectrum, and an XRD spectrum analysis after a storage period of 1, 2, or 3 months. As shown in Figure 4, A, under the TEM analysis, these nanoparticles were measured to be approximately 100 nm in diameter and showed to no little aggregation and truncation. Furthermore, even after a 3-month preservation, these nanoparticles still maintained reasonable uniformity. Figure 4, B shows the UV–Vis spectrum of magnetic nanoparticles, which exhibits an absorption range from 200 to 600 nm, with a maximum absorption at 300–350 nm. Three-month preservation results also show no significant band shift. In addition, XRD analysis in Figure 4, C shows a consistent diffraction pattern of the magnetic nanoparticles for the 3-month analysis. The eight peaks observed at 2θ —30.54° (220), 36.00° (311), 37.64° (222), 43.76° (400), 54.33° (422), 57.91° (511), 63.62° (440), and 75.30° (533)—indicated intact crystalline structures.

Figure 5. (A) A schematic illustration of the working principle for the micromixer operated with both vacuum and compressed air. (B) Normalized concentration profile across the micromixers. (C) Mixing index while operated at different driving frequencies. (D) Contact angle measurement for different surfaces: (D-1) non-modified PDMS, 98.4° ± 5.4°; (D-2) P123 modified surface, 48.0° ± 2.6°; (D-3) P123/PAA modified surface, 68.6° ± 4.6°; (D-4) P123/PAA/PEI modified surface, 103.3° ± 0.9°; (D-5) P123/PAA2/PEI modified surface, 101.7° ± 2.7°; (D-6) P123/PAA2/PEI2 modified surface, 111.4° ± 3.2°. (E-1–E-6) After surface modification, the non-specific adsorption of nanoparticles in the micromixer could be observed.
α-A-NP mAbs binding performance

The binding activity of mAbs after conjugating onto nanoparticles was tested against influenza-infected MDCK cells. After viral infection, the viral particles remained on the cells. By further modifying the mAbs with PE fluorescent dye, the binding of mAb–nanoparticle complex to the cells can be visualized. As shown in Figure 4, D, since the cells were

Figure 6. A series of optical images for magnetic MnFe₂O₄ nanoparticle complexes with different concentration of the influenza A virus. (A) 1 × PBS as negative control (NC), (B) 0.007, (C) 0.03, (D) 0.125, (E) 0.50, (F) 2.0 and (G) 8.0 HAU. (H) The comparison of optical signals between M450 beads and nanoparticles, from NC to 8.0 HAU: the measurements of nanoparticle tests were 440 ± 39, 557 ± 88, 860 ± 135, 1449 ± 183, 2032 ± 279, 2500 and 2500 mV, and the measurements of M450 were 368 ± 15, 390 ± 22, 462 ± 29, 531 ± 37, 774 ± 52, 1199 ± 155 and 2198 ± 233 mV. (I) A series of fluorescence images for nanoparticle complexes with clinical specimens. (J) Optical intensity histogram of pre-clinical tests from nanoparticles was measured to be 1225 ± 191, 1140 ± 212, 2295 ± 120, 2500 and 1964 ± 258 mV (n = 3), with one-way ANOVA analysis, F(1,3) = 27.52 > 10.13, P = 0.01 < 0.05, showing that negative and positive specimens were significantly different. *Note that the high-concentration influenza viral samples, (H) 2.0 and 8.0 HAU and (J) specimen 4 exhibit optical signals that are higher than the maximum value of the optical detection system (2500 mV).
infected by the influenza virus, nanoparticles bound with α-A-NP–PE mAbs captured the influenza-infected cells. This shows that fluorescent signals could be detected successfully. Detailed information about other control testing of the non-viral cells and infected cells with control nanoparticles is provided in Supplemental Figure 1.

Characterization of the integrated microfluidic system and surface modification

This integrated microfluidic system equipped with the modified micromixer for the dynamic mixing of nanoparticles was further tested. Figure 5, A shows the cross-sectional view of the micromixer. Note that both vacuum and compressed air were used to drive these micromixers. Micromixers with diameters of 2000 μm, 2300 μm, and 2600 μm were tested and the mixing index was evaluated by measuring the concentration distribution along a cross section of the mixing chamber. Note that 1 μL of red ink and 50 μL of DI water were pre-loaded into the mixing chamber to measure the mixing index of the micromixer. Figure 5, B shows the normalized concentration (C+) across the normalized location (D+) of the micromixer (X′-Y′ line). In the unmixed state, C+ shows significant difference from low to high ink concentration; after mixing, C+ value is the same for all area within the mixing chamber. The detailed information regarding the calculation of mixing index could be found in the SI section V. The optimization of the micromixer was also explored by measuring the mixing index at different driving frequencies (0.5, 1.0, 2.0, and 4.0 Hz, respectively) under an applied gauge pressure of −80 kPa and 10 psi. As shown in Figure 5, C, the experimental data revealed that a mixing index of as high as 97% can be realized after mixing for 2 seconds. The micromixer was then determined to be actuated at 4.0 Hz with an applied gauge pressure of both −80 kPa and 10 psi for performing the nanoparticle immunoassay. Consequently, efficient mixing within the mixing chamber can be generated for incubating the bio-samples.

In order to minimize non-specific adsorption of positively charged nanoparticles to PDMS, the PDMS surfaces in the microfluidic device were modified with P123, PAA, and PEI to form the polyelectrolyte multilayers. The formation of the multilayers was verified by the contact angle method. Comparing the contact angles between the cases before and after surface modifications (Figures 5, D-1 to D-6), the contact angle was measured according to the different layers of surface modification from 98.4° ± 5.4° in a non-modified PDMS (Figure 5, D-1), 48.0° ± 2.6° in a P123-modified surface (Figure 5, D-2), 68.6° ± 4.6° in a P123/PAA-modified surface (Figure 5, D-3), 103.3° ± 0.9° in a P123/PAA/PEI-modified surface (Figure 5, D-4), 101.7° ± 2.7° in a P123/PAA2/PEI-modified surface (Figure 5, D-5) to 111.4° ± 3.2° in a P123/PAA2/PEI2-modified surface (Figure 5, D-6). Importantly, non-specific adsorption of the nanoparticles was explored for the cases before and after the surface modification. Figures 5, E-1 to E-6 clearly show that non-specific adsorption of MnFe2O4 nanoparticles was evident on the non-modified surface, but became substantially reduced on the P123/PAA2/PEI2-modified surface (Figure 5, E-6). It was therefore determined to perform the diagnostic assay in this condition.

Limit of detection

Purified influenza viral particles were then used for testing the detection limit of the developed diagnostic assay. Virus with different concentrations in serial four-time dilutions (8.0, 2.0, 0.50, 0.125, 0.031, and 0.007 HAU, respectively) were tested. Note that the entire process can be performed within 20 min. Compared with a 1× PBS buffer as a negative control (NC) (Figures 6, A-G), the optical signals for the detection of influenza virus in the microfluidic system with different viral concentrations were shown. The optical signals for samples with concentrations of 8.0, 2.0, 0.50, 0.125, 0.031, 0.007 HAU and NC were measured to be 2500, 2500, 2032 ± 279, 1449 ± 183, 860 ± 135, 557 ± 88, and 440 ± 39 mV (n = 3), respectively. Note that the maximum optical signal of the PMT was 2500 mV. Therefore, the first two cases were saturated signals. The merged images also showed that the PE fluorescence was located in the magnetic nanoparticles. Therefore, influenza virus A could be successfully detected with this approach. The limit of detection was then determined to be 0.007 HAU.

Figure 6, H compares the limit of detection between the M450 magnetic beads and the magnetic nanoparticles. The detected optical signals with M450 beads for 8.0, 2.0, 0.50, 0.125, 0.031, 0.007 HAU and NC were 2198 ± 233, 1199 ± 155, 774 ± 52, 531 ± 37, 462 ± 29, 390 ± 22, and 368 ± 15 mV (n = 3), respectively. By using the magnetic nanoparticles, the optical signals were approximately two times stronger than those from the M450 magnetic beads. This indicates that the proposed new system can successfully detect the influenza infection with a higher sensitivity. Furthermore, two negative samples (specimens 1 and 2) and three positive samples (specimens 3, 4 and 5) were applied for pre-clinical test in order to verify the developed microfluidic system. The optical signal for pre-clinical test of specimens 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 were measured to be 1225 ± 191, 1140 ± 212, 2295 ± 120, 2500 and 1964 ± 258 mV (n = 3), respectively. The pre-clinical testing fluorescence images with nanoparticles were shown in Figure 6, I and the error bars of the signal from the nanoparticles were shown in Figure 6, J. These results show that the developed microfluidic system can distinguish the difference between the negative specimens and positive clinical specimens and further statistical analysis was shown in SI section IX.

Discussion

In this study, the nanoparticle production process was firstly applied to a nanosized-tunable MnFe2O4 nanoparticles synthesis. This process consisted of the size-control Fe3O4 and the shape-phase-control M–Fe–O nanoparticles production methods. However, only approximately 20-nm nanoparticles were produced in the previous studies, thus increasing the required rounds of thermal decomposition of the MnFe2O4 nanoparticles to grow to approximately 100 nm. By using different synthesis temperatures (150, 270, and 305 °C), the manual operation steps were relatively safer and the shape and size of nanoparticles could be further controlled effectively. While these 100-nm nanoparticles were detected under the UV–Vis spectrum, the UV absorbance
range showed specific features of approximately 300 ~ 350 nm, which enables perceiving the color of the MnFe2O4 nanoparticles involved. Note that they were different from the MnFe2O4 nanoparticles obtained by using another production process, which showed a green color.\textsuperscript{40}

XDR peaks showed the crystal phase pattern of the MnFe2O4. Compared with the standard MnFe2O4 pattern of JCPDS (10-0319), non-typical peaks were detected at 331, 531, and 444.\textsuperscript{41} However, further comparisons with JCPDS patterns of 73-1964, 74-2403, 75-0034, and 88-1965 showed other XRD patterns of MnFe2O4 with slight differences in the formation ratios of Mn and Fe.\textsuperscript{42–45} Future studies on nanocrystal formation and nanoparticle structures could benefit from using the MnFe2O4 nanoparticle synthesis method proposed in this study.

Another unique feature of this study involved successfully applying the appropriate PDMS surface modification methods for the MnFe2O4 nanoparticles. The final nanoparticles hydrophilic process caused them to carry a positive charge, thereby showing non-specific adhesion in the micromixer chamber. It even caused a high background signal of approximately 708 mV, as shown in Supplemental Figure 2. Subsequently, P123, PAA, and PEI were applied, and the PDMS surface modification method also produced a positive charge in the micromixer chamber. By applying this LBL self-assembly structure, these nanoparticles can mix well in the micromixer and lower the background noise to 440 mV. The findings of this research provide a new approach for nanoparticle application of dynamic mixing in a microfluidic system. Many types of surface modification methods should be adapted for different nanoparticles from different synthesis processes. Other PDMS surface modification methods should also demonstrate their function after further testing and produce stronger dynamic nanoparticle mixing signals and lower background noise.\textsuperscript{46,47}

On average, the nanoparticles signal was twice stronger than that of the M450 micro-beads. To compare the signals between the M450 beads and the MnFe2O4 nanoparticles, the similar weight of beads/nanoparticles (approximately 6.1 μg per reaction) coated with α-A-NP mAbs (5 μg, as shown in section 2.4) were used. The difference in diameter between the two types of particles (4.5 μm for M450 beads versus 100 nm for nanoparticles) results in a difference of 2025 times in their surface areas. However, the number of applied M450 beads was totally 8.0 × 10\textsuperscript{5}, which was much less than the number of MnFe2O4 nanoparticles of the same weight (totally 2.2 × 10\textsuperscript{9}). These predicted results indicate that the nanoparticles contain approximately 10 times the reaction surface area of the M450 beads (1 × 2.2 × 10\textsuperscript{9}: 2025 × 8.0 × 10\textsuperscript{4} ≈ 10:1). Therefore, there are two aspects of this research requiring further discussion. First, the collection of magnetic nanoparticles should be performed by comparing it with previous work on M450 beads.\textsuperscript{19,20} Although the fluorescence signals were located in the nanoparticles, as the merged images shown in Figures 6, A–G, the nanoparticles may not be completely focused for better signals. Second, the error bar of the signal from the nanoparticles shown in Figure 6, H is higher than M450, and the background noise of 1× PBS control is also higher than the error bar of M450. Effective focusing and collection are the next concerns for applying dynamically mixed nanoparticles and combining dielectrophoresis; otherwise the AC electro-osmosis force may provide the additional force for nanoparticle collection.\textsuperscript{48,49} In addition, a well-designed customer-made control system, which was composed of a temperature control module, an air compressor, several EMVs, and an optical detection module, might also reduce optical signal loss and automatically perform the entire diagnostic process.\textsuperscript{50} Note that in this study only the limited number of clinical samples was applied and a much greater number of samples should need to be tested to fully validates this system for medical diagnosis in the near future.

This study is the first attempt to demonstrate an integrated microfluidic system that involves using dynamically mixed magnetic MnFe2O4 nanoparticles to detect the influenza infection with FIA. By using this approach and combining with LBL surface modification, the LOD of the on-chip diagnoses was improved to be as low as 0.007 HAU. The employment of the nanoparticles increased the FIA signal by approximately two-fold when compared with commercial 4.5-μm magnetic beads because of the large surface-to-volume ratio of the nanoparticles. This microfluidic system can automatically perform an immunoassay to detect the influenza infections within 20 min, thus showing promise for rapidly diagnosing infectious diseases.
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