Introduction

Patients with severe mitral valve (MV) disease can develop alterations in left atrial (LA) geometry and function, a process known as LA remodeling. LA remodeling refers to a time-dependent adaptive regulation of cardiac myocytes to maintain homeostasis against external stressors such as valvular disease. 1) LA remodeling is often accompanied by a change in LA function with a progressive increase in interstitial fibrosis. Increased LA size and change in LA function with fibrosis are associated with subsequent development of atrial fibrillation (AF), stroke, acute myocardial infarction, congestive heart failure, as well as cardiac and all-cause mortality. 2-5) However, LA remodeling is reversible, and MV surgery can relieve LA pressure and volume overload, thereby reducing LA size and improving LA function; i.e., LA reverse remodeling. 6) The direct impact of reversing LA remodeling on cardiovascular outcomes remains to be seen, but the evidence, at least indirectly, suggests that the risk of certain outcomes, such as AF and stroke, can be significantly reduced. If the factors associated with LA fibrosis and reverse remodeling can be determined, especially by non-invasive methods, they could be valuable for clinical evaluation, risk stratification, and earlier therapeutic intervention for patients with MV disease. This study was con-

Background and Objectives: Left atrial (LA) fibrosis is a main determinant of LA remodeling and development of atrial fibrillation. However, non-invasive prediction of LA fibrosis is challenging. We investigated whether preoperative LA strain as measured by speckle tracking echocardiography could predict the degree of LA fibrosis and LA reverse remodeling after mitral valve (MV) surgery.

Subjects and Methods: Speckle tracking echocardiography and LA volume measurements were performed in 50 patients one day before MV surgery. LA tissues were obtained during the surgery, and the degrees of their interstitial fibrosis were measured. LA volume measurements were repeated within 30 days after surgery (n=50) and 1-year later (n=39).

Results: Left atrial global strain was significantly correlated with the degree of LA fibrosis (r=-0.55, p<0.001), and its correlation was independent of age, underlying rhythm, presence of rheumatic heart disease and type of predominant MV disease (B=-1.37, 95% confidence interval -2.32 - -0.41, p=0.006). The degree of LA fibrosis was significantly correlated with early (r=-0.337, p=0.017) and 1-year (r=-0.477, p=0.002) percent LA volume reduction after MV surgery, but LA global strain was not significant.

Conclusion: Left atrial strain as measured by speckle tracking echocardiography might be helpful for predicting the degree of LA fibrosis in patients with MV disease. (Korean Circ J 2012;42:311-318)
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ducted to determine predictors for the degree of LA fibrosis as determined by histopathology and reverse remodeling after MV surgery using speckle tracking echocardiography.

Subjects and Methods

Patients and study protocol

From May 2007 to July 2008, 53 cases were enrolled. Exclusion criteria included previous MV replacement or repair, concomitant severe aortic valve disease, congenital heart disease, coronary artery disease, chronic liver disease, chronic renal disease, uncontrolled thyroid disease, poor echocardiographic window, and insufficient myocardial tissue. Among them, three cases were excluded due to poor echo image qualities (n=2) and insufficient tissue to be analyzed (n=1). Finally, 50 patients (mean age, 56±12 years) were scheduled for MV surgery due to severe MV stenosis (mitral stenosis (MS), n=28) or regurgitation (mitral regurgitation (MR), n=22) with primary causes of rheumatic disease (n=32) and degenerative disease (n=18) were studied. All patients provided written informed consent, and the institutional review board at the University Health System approved this study. Atrial tissue samples were obtained from the LA free wall near the interatrial septum during MV surgery, which were quickly frozen in liquid nitrogen, and were stored at -80°C until use. Conventional transthoracic echocardiography and two-dimensional (2D) speckle tracking echocardiography were performed preoperatively on the patients. All the patients underwent repeated echocardiography including LA volume measurements within 30 days after surgery and LA volume measurements at 1.4±0.5 years after surgery were taken for 39 patients.

Conventional transthoracic echocardiography

Each patient underwent a standard complete transthoracic echocardiography study using a Vivid 7 apparatus (GE Medical Systems, Milwaukee, WI, USA) with a 2.5-MHz phased-array transducer. Left ventricular (LV) dimensions and LV ejection fraction were measured as recommended by the American Society of Echocardiography guidelines. According to these guidelines, LA maximal volume was measured using the prolate ellipsoidal method at the point of MV opening when the LA size was maximum and LA minimal volume was measured at the point of LA closure when LA size was minimum. LA reservoir fraction was calculated as (LA maximal volume-LA minimal volume)/LA minimal volume. We estimated the degree of LA reverse remodeling as the percent change in LA maximal volume index (LAVI/Max) after MV surgery. In the case of LA volume increase after surgery, we used the negative value of percent LA volume reduction. All LA volume values were obtained as the mean of three consecutive beats and were adjusted for body surface area, due to the influence of body size on LA volume.

Speckle tracking echocardiography

For the LV speckle tracking analysis, apical four- and two-chamber view images were obtained using conventional gray scale echocardiography (Vivid 7; GE Medical Systems). For the LA speckle tracking analysis, LA-focused images in apical four-chamber and two-chamber views were obtained. Two consecutive heart cycles were recorded and averaged, and five consecutive heart cycles were averaged for AF patients. A minimum frame rate of 40 frames per second was required for the reliable operation of this program. Recordings were performed using acoustic-tracking software (EchoPAC v8.0; GE Medical Systems), allowing offline, semi-automated analysis of speckle-based strain. For 2D speckle tracking strain analysis, a line was manually drawn along the LA endocardial border of the apical four- and two-chamber views after contraction, when the LA was at its minimum volume, using the point-and-click approach. The software then automatically generated additional lines near the atrial epicardium and mid-myocardial line, with the narrowest region of interest (ROI). The ROI then included the entire LA myocardial wall, and a click feature increased or decreased the widths between endocardial and epicardial line for thicker or thinner walls, respectively. The software generated strain curves for each atrial segment. A total of 12 segments were analyzed for each patient for whom the imaging results were of adequate quality. To trace the ROI in the discontinuity of the LA wall corresponding to the pulmonary veins and LA appendage, the directions of the LA endocardial and epicardial surfaces at the junction with these structures were extrapolated. The peak atrial longitudinal global strain was generated using the peaks of entire LA segments and the mean values obtained in the four- and two-chamber views (Fig. 1).

Assessments of reproducibility

The reproducibility of 2D speckle tracking strain measurements were assessed using the data of 10 randomly selected patients. Two independent investigators analyzed patient data to obtain interobserver variability using Bland-Altman analysis and 95% limits of the equal-tailed Jeffrey’s prior interval. The same investigators analyzed the same patient data to assess intra-observer variability.

Histology

To measure the fibrosis area, tissue was cleaned with phosphate buffered saline (Gibco BRL, Carlsbad, CA, USA) to remove the blood. Samples were fixed in 10% formalin solution (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) for 24 hours at 4°C. Then, a paraffin block was made, and 5 µm-thick sections were prepared for Masson’s trichrome staining. Slides were deparaffinized and hydrated with distilled wa-
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Hydrated samples were mordant in Bouin’s solution preheated to 58°C for 15 minutes. After cooling at room temperature, the sections were washed in running water until the yellow color disappeared and then rinsed in two changes of distilled water. Samples were then stained with modified Weigert’s iron hematoxylin for 5 minutes, washed briefly in running water, and rinsed in two changes of distilled water. Slides were placed in 0.5% hydrochloric acid in 70% alcohol for 5 seconds, washed in running tap water for 30 seconds, and rinsed in two changes of distilled water. Biebrich scarlet-acid fuchsin solution was used to treat the samples for 5 minutes. Slides were rinsed in three changes of distilled water and treated with phosphomolybdic-phosphotungstic acid solution for 5 minutes and aniline blue solution for 20 minutes. Finally, the samples were treated with acetic acid solution for 10 seconds, rinsed, dehydrated in alcohol, cleared in graded alcohols, and mounted with synthetic resin. The ratio of the purple fibrosis area to the total surface area of each section was used to assess the degree of LA fibrosis (% as (fibrosis area-total area) × 100.

**Statistical analyses**

Data were summarized as the mean±standard deviation for continuous variables and as frequency (percentage) for categorical variables. We compared demographic variables and medically related variables between the MS and MR groups using Student’s t-test for continuous variables and Fisher’s exact test for categorical variables. Simple linear regression analyses and correlation analyses were performed to examine the relationships between each predictor and LA reverse remodeling or LA fibrosis. Furthermore, a multiple linear regression was performed to determine important predictors of LA fibrosis and LA reverse remodeling. Clinical data variables such as age, rhythm, predominant disease, and operation method were included in the analysis. These variables were selected according to their clinical relevance and potential impact on LA function, as shown in earlier studies. The inclusion p was <0.05, and the exclusion p was >0.10. For estimating inter-observer variability and intra-observer variability, Pearson’s correlation was calculated and Bland-Altman analysis was performed. All analyses were performed using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) ver. 13.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

**Results**

**Baseline characteristics**

Baseline characteristics of the patients are summarized in Table 1.
Of the 50 patients, 28 and 22 underwent MV surgery due to predominant MS and predominant MR, respectively. Thirty-two patients (64%) had AF, with a higher prevalence found in the MS group. Thirty-five patients (70%), mostly in the MS group, underwent MV replacement surgery and 15 patients underwent MV repair. Sixteen patients (32%) underwent the Maze procedure or cryoablation for AF during surgery.

Preoperative echocardiography and speckle tracking imaging

Data on conventional echo-Doppler and 2D strain measurements before MV surgery are presented in Table 1. The mean LV ejection fraction was 58.3±10.9% and the global longitudinal LV strain of MS patients was significantly lower than that of MR patients, despite similar LV ejection fractions. The mean LAVImax was 89.0±42.9 mL/m², with no significant difference between the two groups. The mean LA global strain was 11.3±6.2%, with a higher value in MR patients than in MS patients (15.8±5.9% vs. 7.9±3.2%, p=0.002). The mean LA global systolic strain rate was 0.58±0.28 1/s. LA global strain was significantly correlated with LAVImax (r=-0.403, p=0.005), LV global strain (r=-0.681, p<0.001), LA reservoir fraction (r=-0.422, p=0.003) and LA global systolic strain rate (r=-0.276, p<0.001). The regional strain value was higher in the basal and mid segments compared to the apical segments. Lateral, anterior, and inferior segment strain values were higher than septal strain values. Like global strain values, regional strain values were higher in the MR group compared to the MS group (Table 2).

Degree of left atrial fibrosis and its correlates

The mean degree of LA fibrosis was 29.3±18.7%, with higher degrees in the MS group than in the MR group (36.5±20.4% vs. 20.1±11.0%, p=0.001). Table 3 shows correlates of LA fibrosis. AF, MS rather than MR, rheumatic heart disease, LA reservoir fraction (r=-0.314, p=0.027), LA global strain (r=−0.546, p<0.001), and LA systolic global strain rate (r=−0.276, p<0.001) were significantly correlated with degree of LA fibrosis. But, LAVImax did not correlate with degree of fibrosis. Among 12 LA segments, mid-septal strain...
correlated best with the degree of fibrosis ($r=-0.510$, $p<0.001$). However, preoperative LA VImax and the LV global strain did not correlate with the degree of LA fibrosis. In multivariate analysis, the degree of LA fibrosis was significantly correlated with the LA global strain even after adjustment for age, underlying rhythm, rheumatic heart disease, and predominant disease. In subgroup analysis according to predominant disease, LA global strain was better correlated with degree of LA fibrosis in patients with predominant MS than in subjects as a whole ($r=-0.639$, $p<0.001$) (Fig. 2). However, LA VImax did not correlate with the degree of fibrosis. In MR-predominant patients, LA global strain was not significantly correlated with degree of fibrosis ($r=-0.370$, $p=0.099$). In subgroup AF and sinus rhythm subgroups, LA global strain correlated with degree of fibrosis but degree of correlation was not significantly different due to small sample size (Table 4).

Determinants of left atrial reverse remodeling after mitral valve surgery

Mean LA VImax decreased by 61.7±31.7 mL/m² (immediate after surgery) and 50.7±20.7 mL/m² (1-year follow-up; 55±12 years, 21 MS patients). The mean percent change in LA VImax was 29.4±14.8% and 36.6±16.6%, respectively. The degree of LA fibrosis was significantly correlated with percent LA volume reduction after MV surgery ($r=-0.337$, $p=0.017$ immediately after surgery; $r=-0.477$, $p=0.002$ 1-year later). Table 4 and 5 shows the correlations between preoperative echocardiographic measurements and degree of LA volume reduction after MV surgery. LA reservoir fraction was related to small sample size (Table 4).

Table 2. Segmental left atrial strain values

|               | Total | MS       | MR       | p*  |
|---------------|-------|----------|----------|-----|
| Basal septum  | 13.0±12.8 | 8.5±8.7  | 19.2±15.0 | 0.004 |
| Mid septum    | 13.0±11.5 | 9.3±8.3  | 17.9±13.5 | 0.012 |
| Apical septum | 12.1±13.7 | 9.2±12.5 | 14.8±13.4 | 0.017 |
| Basal lateral | 18.5±14.8 | 12.9±12.0 | 26.2±15.2 | 0.002 |
| Mid lateral   | 11.7±12.1 | 10.3±12.2 | 13.5±12.0 | 0.386 |
| Apical lateral| 3.5±7.7  | 1.8±6.8  | 5.9±8.4  | 0.080 |
| Basal anterior| 18.8±21.0 | 13.4±13.7 | 25.4±26.5 | 0.080 |
| Mid anterior  | 13.3±14.8 | 7.2±12.8 | 20.9±13.8 | 0.003 |
| Apical anterior| 2.2±19.1 | 0.5±12.0 | 4.3±25.7 | 0.559 |
| Basal inferior| 21.0±26.1 | 10.3±6.9  | 34.2±34.3 | 0.004 |
| Mid inferior  | 17.9±19.6 | 12.7±10.0 | 24.4±26.1 | 0.066 |
| Apical inferior| -1.2±10.3 | 1.8±9.3  | -4.9±10.7 | 0.045 |

All the values are presented as %. *Comparison between MS and MR. MS: mitral stenosis, MR: mitral regurgitation

Table 3. Correlates for degree of LA fibrosis

|                  | Univariate analysis | Multivariate analysis |
|------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
|                  | R       | p       | B (95% CI) | p       |
| Age              | 0.535   | 0.089  | 0.28 (-0.11 - 0.66) | 0.155  |
| Rhythm (sinus rhythm=0, AF=1) | 0.316   | 0.025  | -4.19 (-16.91 - 8.53) | 0.510  |
| Predominant disease (MS=0, MR=1) | -0.440  | 0.001  | -13.06 (-29.87 - 3.75) | 0.125  |
| Rheumatic heart disease | 0.417   | 0.003  | 4.25 (-14.40 - 22.90) | 0.648  |
| LV ejection fraction | 0.024   | 0.870  |                      |        |
| LV global strain  | 0.157   | 0.332  |                      |        |
| LA volume index   | 0.167   | 0.246  |                      |        |
| LA global strain  | -0.546  | <0.001 | -1.37 (-2.32 - 0.41) | 0.006  |
| LA reservoir fraction* | -0.314  | 0.027  |                      |        |
| LA global systolic strain rate* | -0.276  | <0.001 |                      |        |

*LA global systolic strain rate and LA reservoir fraction were not included in multivariable analysis due to significant collinearity with LA global strain. CI: confidence interval, AF: atrial fibrillation, MS: mitral stenosis, MR: mitral regurgitation, LV: left ventricular, LA: left atrial

Table 4. Determinants of LA fibrosis and postoperative LA volume reduction according to baseline rhythm

| Degree of fibrosis | Immediately after surgery | 1-year after surgery |
|-------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|
|                   | NSR (n=18) | AF (n=32) | NSR (n=18) | AF (n=32) | NSR (n=15) | AF (n=24) |
| LV global strain  | 0.24* (0.36) | 0.09 (0.64) | -0.02 (0.94) | -0.14 (0.46) | 0.29 (0.34) | -0.18 (0.40) |
| LA reservoir fraction | -0.34 (0.17) | -0.14 (0.45) | 0.45 (0.07) | 0.38 (0.03) | -0.02 (0.95) | 0.04 (0.98) |
| LA global strain  | -0.49 (0.04) | -0.39 (0.03) | 0.34 (0.20) | 0.20 (0.30) | 0.48 (0.09) | 0.13 (0.31) |
| LA global systolic strain rate | -0.26 (0.15) | -0.15 (0.09) | 0.08 (0.79) | 0.18 (0.34) | 0.16 (0.62) | 0.22 (0.32) |
| LA fibrosis       | -0.38 (0.12) | -0.25 (0.17) | -0.68 (0.006) | -0.27 (0.21) |          |          |

*Correlation coefficient ($p$). NSR: normal sinus rhythm, AF: atrial fibrillation, LV: left ventricular, LA: left atrial
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to with percent LA volume reduction immediately after MV surgery ($r=0.449$, $p=0.001$) but LA global strain failed to reach significance ($r=0.258$, $p=0.074$).

In multivariate analysis, after adjusting for underlying rhythm, the degree of LA fibrosis was significantly related to percent LA volume reduction after MV surgery (regression coefficient, $B=-0.002$, 95% CI $-0.004$ to $-0.000$, $p=0.027$). However, LA global strain ($r=0.272$, $p=0.112$) and reservoir fraction ($r=0.118$, $p=0.301$) did not significantly correlate with percent LA volume reduction at the 1-year follow-up.

**Reproducibility of two-dimensional speckle tracking imaging**

Interobserver variability coefficients of LA global strain and global strain rate were 95% CI $0.258$ to $0.404$, percent error 5.3% and 95% CI $0.237$ to $0.370$, percent error 8.2%, respectively using Bland-Altman analysis. In intraobserver variability assessment, coefficients of LA global strain and global strain rate were 95% CI $0.237$ to $0.370$, percent error 3.2% and 95% CI $0.237$ to $0.370$, percent error 6.8%, respectively. Bland-Altman analysis showed no evidence of any systematic difference regarding interobserver and intraobserver variability.

**Discussion**

The major finding of this study is that LA global strain measured by speckle tracking imaging was reproducible and was significantly correlated with the degree of LA fibrosis in patients with MV disease. The strain curve evaluated by speckle tracking imaging closely follows LA physiology. During the period of the LA reservoir, the LA strain increases, reaching its peak at the end of LA filling from the pulmonary venous inflow, just before the MV opening. During the conduit phase, the LA strain decreases, showing a plateau during diastasis, and achieves a negative peak at the end of LA contraction. LA global strain is a positive strain during ventricular systole, but not during atrial systole, so a positive global LA strain represents LA stretching during ventricular systole. In this study, we focused on peak positive global LA strain and systolic strain rate as an index of LA reservoir function because it is an important determinant of LA stiffness, preload of LA, and pulmonary venous pressure in MV disease. Even in patients with AF, which is frequently encountered in MV disease, these reservoir functional indices could be reliably measured. This finding suggests that LA global strain can be used as an index of LA compliance or reservoir function. We also
Table 5. Determinants of postoperative percent LA volume reduction

|                      | Immediately after surgery (n=50) | 1-year after surgery (n=39) |
|----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|
|                      | R      | p       | R      | p       |
| Age                  | -0.026 | 0.860   | -0.259 | 0.111   |
| Rhythm (sinus rhythm=0, AF=1) | -0.238 | 0.056   | -0.010 | 0.949   |
| Predominant disease (MS=0, MR=1)  | 0.129   | 0.197   | 0.055  | 0.739   |
| Method of operation (MVR=0, Repair=1) | 0.103   | 0.248   | 0.209  | 0.201   |
| Rheumatic heart disease (nonRHD=0, RHD=1) | 0.144   | 0.322   | -0.185 | 0.259   |
| AF surgery           | 0.039  | 0.790   | 0.048  | 0.770   |
| LV ejection fraction | 0.107  | 0.507   | -0.031 | 0.853   |
| LV global strain     | 0.112  | 0.504   | -0.063 | 0.715   |
| LA reservoir fraction| 0.449  | 0.001   | 0.118  | 0.301   |
| LA global strain     | 0.258  | 0.074   | 0.272  | 0.113   |
| LA global systolic strain rate | 0.094   | 0.603   | 0.028  | 0.871   |
| LA fibrosis          | -0.337 | 0.017   | -0.477 | 0.002   |

AF: atrial fibrillation, MS: mitral stenosis, MR: mitral regurgitation, MVR: mitral valve replacement, RHD: rheumatic heart disease, LV: left ventricular, LA: left atrial

confirmed the significant correlation of the LA global strain value with LA reservoir fraction derived from LA volume change.\(^\text{18}\) Considering the limitations of other methods for assessment of LA function,\(^\text{18}\) assessments of the LA strain and strain rate by speckle tracking imaging may represent a relatively rapid and easy-to-perform technique to explore LA function.\(^\text{20}\) This approach may be of potential clinical importance in a number of pathophysiologic conditions typically associated with abnormal LA function, such as MS or MR and cardiomyopathies.

LA compliance, like diastolic stiffness of LV, might be related to the degree of interstitial fibrosis, although the evident to date has been scant. Presently, LA expansion or peak lengthening strain during ventricular systole, represented by positive strain, was significantly correlated with the degree of LA interstitial fibrosis. The strength of this study is that we could confirm that the degree of LA fibrosis was significantly correlated with the preoperative LA global strain and early strain rate as representatives of reservoir function. The reservoir fraction from LA volume change was also correlated with degree of fibrosis, but was not superior to the global LA strain and took more time to measure. This finding is consistent with previous findings that LA strain rather than reservoir fraction, better predicts AF recurrence after cardioversion.\(^\text{19}\) Unlike the LA strain, preoperative LAV/Imax did not correlate with LA fibrosis in our study, suggesting that, although LA volume has been used as a reliable index for prognostication as a representative of long-term LV diastolic function, it is insufficient to provide mechanistic information about the left atrium itself. The applicability of LA volume in various disease entities still has some limitations. Therefore, combining LA volume with function by strain might provide better information in terms of atrial-ventricular coupling. It is also interesting that, although LA strain is significantly correlated with degree of LA fibrosis independent of predominant disease, the degree of correlation was far better in the MS group.

Although we could confirm that degree of LA fibrosis is related to degree of LA volume reduction after MV surgery, we could not find significant correlation between LA strain and LA volume reduction in spite of positive tendency. Therefore factors other than LA fibrosis, such as LV diastolic function, LV remodeling, operation method or concomitant AF surgery, might also significantly affect reverse remodeling of LA.

**Study limitations**

In our study, some limitations existed. First, since this study enrolled a relatively small number of patients with heterogeneous characteristics, additional recruitment of pure MR patients would be helpful to confirm the relationship within pure MR group. Our results still cannot be generalized to other disease entities other than MS. The observation that fibrosis was significantly higher in the MS group, rather than the MR group, might reflect a higher rheumatic involvement in the MS group and higher angiotension converting enzyme inhibitor or angiotensin receptor blocker use in MR patients. Likewise, as we had limited long-term follow-up data, larger scaled long-term follow-up studies would be helpful to achieve the statistical power necessary to ascertain the predictive value of LA strain for LA reverse remodeling. Second, consideration should be given to the difficulty in obtaining an accurate ROI close enough to the effective shape of the left atrium and to the risk of contamination by signal components arising from structures surrounding the left atrium. Higher frame rate using zoom mode might have provided a better quality of speckle tracking. Also, because there is no software specifically developed for LA strain analysis, we used software for LV analysis to assess the LA strain and strain rate. Third, only longitudinal function variables were evaluated in this study. The LA radial strain could not be calculated from apical four- and two-chamber views because the atrial wall was too thin to track the acoustic kernels associated with radial movement of the thin LA wall. Fourth, the strain rate value was not included in the segmental analysis as signals associated with radial movement of the thin LA wall. Finally, this study evaluated only limited long-term follow-up data, larger scaled long-term follow-up studies would be helpful to achieve the statistical power necessary to ascertain the predictive value of LA strain for LA reverse remodeling. Second, consideration should be given to the difficulty in obtaining an accurate ROI close enough to the effective shape of the left atrium and to the risk of contamination by signal components arising from structures surrounding the left atrium. Higher frame rate using zoom mode might have provided a better quality of speckle tracking. Also, because there is no software specifically developed for LA strain analysis, we used software for LV analysis to assess the LA strain and strain rate. Third, only longitudinal function variables were evaluated in this study. The LA radial strain could not be calculated from apical four- and two-chamber views because the atrial wall was too thin to track the acoustic kernels associated with radial movement of the thin LA wall. Fourth, the strain rate value was not included in the segmental analysis as signals associated with radial movement of the thin LA wall. Finally, this study evaluated only limited long-term follow-up data, larger scaled long-term follow-up studies would be helpful to achieve the statistical power necessary to ascertain the predictive value of LA strain for LA reverse remodeling.

In conclusion, 2D speckle tracking strain echocardiography represents a promising and reproducible non-invasive technique for assessing LA reservoir function in patients with MV disease. Analy-
ses of strain and strain rate of the left atrium might provide information regarding degree of LA fibrosis in patients with severe MV disease, especially in MS patients.
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