Patient Perceptions of COVID-19 Precautions and Their Effects on Experiences With Hand Surgery
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Purpose: The purpose of this study is to evaluate patient perceptions of COVID-19 precautions and how these precautions have affected their hand and upper extremity surgery experience.

Methods: We sent an 18-item survey to 1,213 patients who underwent elective hand and upper extremity surgery at 1 academic institution from October 2020 to January 2021. The survey consisted of questions related to patient demographics, treatment delays due to COVID-19, and patient perceptions of COVID-19 precautions. Descriptive statistics were performed to analyze the survey responses. Responses for patients aged 18–50 and 51+ were compared using a chi-square analysis for categorical variables and a Student t-test for continuous variables.

Results: Out of 1,213 invitations, 384 survey respondents completed the survey (31.6%). Of the respondents, 16.8% reported delaying medical treatment for an average of 123.2 days because of COVID-19. The preventative measures were found to be adequate by 95% of patients. Only 2.6% of patients reported experiencing surgical delays due to preoperative COVID-19 testing or other COVID-19-related precautions. COVID-19 testing was seen as necessary by 88% of patients, and 74% did not find COVID-19 testing to be a barrier to their surgery. Patients aged 51+ were more likely to delay seeking medical treatment than younger patients (19.3% vs 9.1%, respectively). Furthermore, those that did delay seeking treatment waited longer on average than their younger counterparts (136.1 vs 72.9 days, respectively).

Conclusions: In conclusion, patients undergoing hand and upper extremity surgery typically do not find COVID-19 precautions to be a significant barrier to care and understand their importance. Despite this, many patients, particularly older ones, are delaying medical care for extended periods of time. It is important for hand surgeons to acknowledge their patients’ perspectives and work to educate patients on evolving surgical safety guidelines.

Clinical relevance: Patient perspectives of current COVID-19 precautions can help inform hand surgeons on areas for continued patient education.

As the COVID-19 pandemic began to impact the United States in March 2020, the health care system was forced to reevaluate the dissemination of resources. Ultimately, widespread recommendations were made to limit nonemergent and elective surgeries.1 As the pandemic has progressed, elective surgeries have resumed, with a wide variety of testing protocols and guidelines in place to minimize the spread of the coronavirus.2–6 Key tenets of these guidelines are to prioritize patient safety while also limiting the economic burden stemming from the widespread cancellation of elective surgeries.7–8 The burden of these cancellations is especially considerable in orthopedic surgery, with an estimated backlog of more than 1 million orthopedic cases.9 For this reason, there has been an extensive push to safely resume elective orthopedic surgery.10,11
Despite protocol implementation, it is unclear how patients view these precautions or whether they impact surgical experience and patient care. Several studies in other specialties have explored the patient perspective on resuming elective procedures during the pandemic, with mixed results. There are also several orthopedic-specific studies that have examined patient perspectives, with most of them focusing on total joint arthroplasty. Studies have shown varying impacts on patient well-being, progression of disease, and anxiety surrounding elective procedures in the COVID-19 era. It remains unclear, however, how these protocols alter the patient experience for hand and upper extremity surgery. The purpose of this study is to evaluate patient perceptions of COVID-19 precautions and how these precautions have affected the hand and upper extremity surgery experience.

Materials and Methods

This study was designed as a descriptive study at a single institution. Between October 2020 and January 2021, all patients at the Rothman Orthopaedic Institute, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, who were 18 years or older and who underwent elective hand and upper extremity surgery at our institution were sent an invitation to complete an 18-item anonymous survey. For our study, we defined elective surgery as any nonemergent surgery. Patients who were scheduled for a surgical procedure at a future date by 1 of 13 surgeons at our institution were included in our hospital system database. On the first Tuesday following their procedure, patients were emailed a single invitation to complete the anonymous survey. The survey consisted of questions related to patient demographics, treatment delays due to COVID-19, and patient perceptions of COVID-19 precautions. The full contents of the survey can be seen in Appendix 1 (available online on the Journal’s website at www.jhsgo.org). COVID-19 precautions at our institution consisted of: (1) mandatory masks worn at all times by all staff, patients, and visitors, with masks provided at the entrance if needed; (2) temperature checks and screening questions for everyone entering the surgical center; (3) additional cleaning and disinfection of the entire facility; (4) patient family/friends/visitors required to wait outside of the building unless necessary to deliver safe care (e.g., parents of a minor child or person with disabilities requiring special assistance); and (5) patients were required to have a negative COVID-19 test taken 48 to 72 hours prior to surgery, followed by self-quarantine.

For comparative analysis, patient responses were stratified into age groups 18–50 and 51 and older. This stratification was chosen based on data demonstrating that patients older than 50 had a greater infection fatality ratio due to COVID-19. Continuous variables were reported as means and standard deviations, and categorical variables were reported as counts and percentages of the total group. A Student t-test and a chi-square test were used for statistical analyses of continuous and categorical variables, respectively. Significance was established at a P value of < .05.

Results

The survey was completed by 384 respondents out of 1,213 total invitations (31.6% response rate). We excluded 32 responses due to incomplete surveys, leaving 352 surveys to be used in the final analysis.

Most respondents (75.0%) were 51 years or older; of the total cohort, 47.4% were men and 52.6% women. The most common self-reported medical problems were obesity (18.2%) and diabetes (10.2%). For 43.5% of respondents, the upper extremity issue had lasted over 6 months, and 47.2% reported that their issue was due to COVID-19, for an average of 123 days. Most patients (88.1%) agreed that COVID-19 testing prior to surgery is necessary, although 11.9% of patients disagreed with or
were neutral about the importance of COVID-19 testing prior to surgery. COVID-19 testing was seen as a barrier to surgery by 8.8% of patients, and 16.8% were neutral. Patients typically felt safer in the operating room knowing all patients are tested (86.1%). Despite this, patients had mixed responses when asked whether they should quarantine prior to surgery following a negative COVID-19 test. As shown in Table 3, 22.4% of patients asked whether they should quarantine prior to surgery (86.1%). Despite this, patients had mixed responses when operating room knowing all patients were tested before surgery. COVID-19 testing was seen as a barrier to surgery by 8.8% of patients, and 16.8% were neutral about the importance of COVID-19 testing prior to surgery.

Discussion

The purpose of this study was to evaluate patient perceptions of COVID-19 precautions and how these precautions affected the hand and upper extremity surgery experience. Of note, most of the patients who responded to the survey were over 50 years old. We believe that this cohort represents the perceptions of the average orthopedic hand surgery patient, as prior studies have found that surgical patients, specifically hand surgery patients, are well above the median age of the general population (55 vs 39 years old, respectively).

In our cohort, a number of patients were older than 50 and had medical conditions associated with increased COVID-19 severity, including cancer, obesity, and diabetes. A study by Chang et al found that patients with higher COVID-19 risk scores were less willing to pursue surgery during COVID-19. Therefore, it is unsurprising that 18% of patients in our study reported delaying care due to COVID-19, particularly those that were older than 50. Messaging in the United States media has highlighted that COVID-19 can be particularly deadly for those older than 50 or those with comorbidities. This has likely discouraged these populations from seeking medical care, as hospitals are viewed to be COVID-19 hot spots. However, recent data suggest that the implementation of preoperative COVID-19 screening and self-quarantining for elective orthopedic surgery has not been associated with any cases of COVID-19 as confirmed by polymerase chain reaction testing.

It is imperative for physicians and the general media to communicate that COVID-19 transmission is relatively rare when COVID-19 precautions are implemented. This messaging is vital, as delays in elective surgery are not without consequence. In our study, patients were delaying surgery for an average of 123 days, and 43% had their issue for over 6 months. Physicians should emphasize that elective surgery is not simply optional surgery, as even minor delays in these procedures are associated with increased risks of a surgical site infection and longer recovery time. Beyond surgical complications, delays can lead to a decreased quality of life and an increased likelihood of depression, particularly for chronic conditions. When planning surgical interventions, physicians should discuss with patients the chronicity of the issue, assess its impact on their quality of life, and alleviate apprehension regarding COVID-19 risks in order to allow patients to reach an informed decision.

The findings of our study underscore the need for the medical community to address false perceptions regarding COVID-19 safety precautions. While most patients understood the value of testing procedures, they often struggled with the inconvenience and perceived risk of preoperative COVID-19 screening and self-quarantining for elective orthopedic surgery.

Table 3
Patient Perceptions of COVID-19 Precautions

| Statement, n (%) | Strongly Agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly Disagree |
|----------------|---------------|-------|---------|----------|------------------|
| COVID-19 testing prior to surgery is necessary | 225 (63.92) | 85 (24.15) | 26 (7.39) | 8 (2.27) | 8 (2.27) |
| COVID-19 testing prior to surgery is inconvenient | 11 (3.13) | 51 (14.49) | 76 (21.59) | 84 (23.86) | 130 (36.93) |
| COVID-19 testing was a barrier to surgery | 11 (3.13) | 20 (5.68) | 59 (16.76) | 89 (25.28) | 173 (49.15) |
| I feel safer in the operating room knowing all patients are tested prior to surgery | 207 (58.81) | 96 (27.27) | 32 (9.09) | 11 (3.13) | 6 (1.70) |
| Quarantining after COVID-19 testing and prior to surgery is necessary | 123 (34.94) | 112 (31.82) | 76 (21.59) | 27 (7.67) | 14 (3.98) |
| If you have a negative COVID-19 test, you should not have to change your behavior before surgery | 19 (5.40) | 44 (12.50) | 78 (22.16) | 98 (27.84) | 113 (32.10) |
| I did not care that my family member/friend/significant other was not allowed to come to the operating room with me | 90 (25.57) | 131 (37.22) | 52 (14.77) | 49 (13.92) | 30 (8.52) |

Table 4
Delaying Treatment in Younger Patients Versus Older Patients

| Variable, n (%) | Patients 18–50 Years Old | Patients 51+ Years Old | P Value |
|----------------|--------------------------|------------------------|---------|
| Subjects, n    | 88                       | 264                    | .951    |
| Sex            |                           |                        | .008*   |
| Male           | 42 (47.73)               | 125 (47.35)            |         |
| Female         | 46 (52.27)               | 139 (52.65)            |         |
| Duration of upper extremity issue |               |                        |         |
| 1 day to 1 week | 7 (7.95)                 | 24 (9.09)              | .010    |
| 1 week to 1 month | 39 (44.32)              | 54 (20.45)             |         |
| 1 month to 6 months | 17 (19.32)              | 58 (21.97)             |         |
| >6 months      | 25 (28.41)               | 128 (48.48)            |         |
| Did you delay seeking medical treatment due to COVID-19 |               |                        | .010    |
| Yes            | 8 (9.09)                 | 51 (19.32)             |         |
| No             | 80 (90.91)               | 213 (80.68)            |         |
| How many days did you delay treatment? Mean ± SD |               |                        | <.001*  |
| 72.92 ± 41.27 | 136.09 ± 45.59           | |         |

* Significant at ≤ .05.
prior to surgery, 12% were neutral or disagreed about its necessity. Most concerning were the discordant views surrounding the significance of preoperative quarantining. The value of quarantining prior to elective surgery must be stressed to patients, as it relies on patient compliance and is vital to minimizing COVID-19 transmission. This is supported by a study conducted during the severe acute respiratory syndrome outbreak, which found that people who understood the importance of quarantining were more likely to comply with regulations. Furthermore, a recent Gallop poll found that hospitals and health agencies were the most trusted source for COVID-19-related information. Health care providers should identify patients with COVID-19 misconceptions and capitalize on this established trust to correct them.

Although our study only reported that a minority of patients found COVID-19 testing inconvenient and a barrier to surgery, it is imperative to address these sentiments. Our institution required a negative COVID-19 test 48–72 hours prior to surgery, and even though delays in surgery due to COVID-19 testing were rare, the limitations of this practice should still be recognized. When agreeing to schedule surgery, patients may need several days off work for preoperative COVID-19 testing and the subsequent quarantine. Further, if a patient cannot comply with testing regulations, their surgical case is cancelled, with limited ability to schedule another patient in their place. While this testing window is necessary to reduce the risk of COVID-19 exposure in surgical centers, physicians should recognize the inconvenience it can present and accommodate surgical scheduling when possible.

The limitation of visitors can place a tremendous emotional toll on patients and families as they navigate health challenges. In our study, 77.5% of our respondents did not care or were neutral about the no-visitor policy implemented at our institution. These data are comparable to those in a Howard et al study that showed 84.2% of patients undergoing elective orthopedic surgery were willing to comply with strict self-isolation for 2 weeks before and after the procedure and understood the no-visitor policy when it was explained. These results again demonstrate the willingness of patients to comply with precautions when they are adequately educated on the rationale.

We are aware of a few limitations associated with our study. Our study only captured patients that ultimately decided to present for elective surgery, potentially underrepresenting the percentage of patients that chose to delay intervention. Delays in intervention may have been further underrepresented by our choice of a definition for elective surgery. Patients who had nonemergent fractures, such as wrist fractures, may have felt that they had no choice but to present for care. Additionally, the study had a relatively low response rate (31.6%) and may have been subject to recall bias, as some of the questions required recollection of the patient’s upper extremity condition. Finally, this study was done through 1 division at a single institution in the United States roughly 7 to 10 months into the pandemic. Protocols implemented at our institution at that time may have differed compared to those at other global institutions.

In conclusion, hand and upper extremity surgery patients typically do not find COVID-19 precautions a significant barrier to care, and understand their importance. Despite this, many patients are delaying medical care for extended periods of time, particularly older patients. It is important for hand surgeons to acknowledge their patients’ perspectives and work to educate patients on evolving surgical safety guidelines.
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