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Abstract. We investigated the influence of free-standing GaN (FS-GaN) substrates on the performance of ultraviolet light-emitting-diodes (UV-LEDs) grown on top by atmospheric pressure metal-organic chemical vapor deposition. High-resolution double-crystal x-ray diffraction (HRDCXD) analysis demonstrated high-order satellite peaks and clear fringes between them for UV-LEDs grown on the FS-GaN substrate, from which the interface roughness was estimated. In addition, the full width at half maximum of the HRDCXD rocking curve in the (0002) and the (1012) reflections were reduced to below 90 arc sec. The Raman results indicated that the GaN-based epilayer of strain free was grown. Additionally, the effect of the FS-GaN substrate on the crystal quality of the UV-LEDs was examined in detail by transmission electron microscopy (TEM). The TEM characterizations revealed no defects and V-pits were found in the scanned area. Based on the results mentioned above, the light output power of UV-LEDs on the FS-GaN substrate can be enhanced drastically by 80% and 90% at 20 and 100 mA, respectively. Furthermore, an ultralow efficiency degradation of about 3% can be obtained for the UV-LEDs on the FS-GaN substrate at a high injection current. The use of an FS-GaN substrate is suggested to be effective for improving the emission efficiency and droop of UV-LEDs grown thereon. © The Authors. Published by SPIE under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported License. Distribution or reproduction of this work in whole or in part requires full attribution of the original publication, including its DOI. [DOI: 10.1117/1.JNP.8.083081]
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1 Introduction

The 350 to 400 nm InGaN-based ultraviolet light-emitting diodes (UV-LEDs) have received enormous attention for practical applications, such as biosensors, high density storage, and short-distance optical fiber communications.1–3 In addition, the white-light LEDs can be realized by using InGaN-based UV-LEDs chip excited the phosphor of red, green, and blue (RGB).4–6 Compared with the case of white-light emission from blue LEDs chip coated with yellow phosphors, many researches pointed out that has many excellent properties, such as lower wavelength shift as increasing injection current, high luminous efficiency, white point determined by phosphor only, better color rendering and stable light color.7 Nevertheless, there is still lack of a suitable substrates for InGaN-based UV-LEDs devices to fully develop their advantages.
Typically, InGaN-based UV-LEDs were grown on sapphire by hetero-epitaxial techniques, such as metal-organic chemical vapor deposition (MOCVD). However, the epitaxial layer of InGaN-based UV-LEDs still contains a high defect density (around $10^8$ to $10^{10}$ cm$^{-2}$) and large strain-induced piezoelectric field due to the large lattice mismatch and the difference in thermal expansion coefficients of GaN-based films and sapphire substrates, resulting in the reduction in the internal quantum efficiency (IQE) of InGaN-based UV-LEDs devices. On the other hand, the external quantum efficiency (EQE) decreases drastically below the wavelength of 400 nm. It is well known that in low indium content InGaN quantum wells (QWs), an AlGaN barrier is necessary for carrier confinement. But the two materials of AlGaN and InGaN are very different in growth temperature, which strongly affects strongly the quality of material and device performances. In order to overcome the above mentioned problem, our group has replaced the AlGaN barrier with an InAlGaN quaternary material in an InGaNGaN multiple quantum wells (MQWs) system, which demonstrated an improvement of 55% in output power and a droop of 13% in efficiency. Additionally, it is believed that the optical and electrical properties of InGaN-based UV-LEDs were very sensitive to the defect density in the epilayer, suggesting a high crystalline quality epilayer could dramatically improve the device’s performance. The recent availability of free-standing GaN (FS-GaN) substrates with a low defect density (about $10^6$ cm$^{-2}$) could perhaps facilitate this development, which can enhance the light output power, the IQE, and the EQE of InGaN-based UV-LEDs.

In order to further improve the performance of the UV-LEDs with InGaN/InAlGaN MQWs devices, in the present study we will introduce a FS-GaN substrate for the homo-epitaxial growth of high quality InGaN-based UV-LEDs devices with InAlGaN quaternary barrier. In addition, the effects of the FS-GaN substrate on the InGaN-based UV-LEDs grown by atmospheric pressure metal-organic chemical vapor deposition (AP-MOCVD) are systematically analyzed. Detailed analyses of the grown InGaN-based UV-LEDs will be demonstrated, and electro-optical properties of the UV-LEDs based on a FS-GaN substrate will also be discussed.

### 2 Experimental Procedure

All UV-LEDs with an InGaN/InAlGaN MQWs epitaxial structure were grown using a commercial AP-MOCVD system (Model: Nippon SR4000, Japan) with a horizontal reactor in the same run. The MO compounds of TMGa, TMIn, TMAI, and gaseous NH$_3$ were employed as the reactant source materials for Ga, In, Al, and N, respectively, and H$_2$ and N$_2$ were used as the carrier gases. The substrates employed here were 2-inch FS-GaN substrates with a thickness of 300 μm, which were provided by Sumitomo Electric Industries, Ltd., in Japan and was manufactured from GaAs substrates. The epitaxial structure of the UV-LEDs investigated is depicted in Fig. 1, comprising a 2.5-μm-thick n-GaN epilayer grown at 1150°C, a ten-period InGaN/InAlGaN MQWs active layer grown at 830°C, 15-nm-thick Mg-doped Al$_{0.3}$Ga$_{0.7}$N and 10-nm-thick Mg-doped Al$_{0.1}$Ga$_{0.9}$N electron-blocking layers were grown at 1030°C, a 55-nm-thick p-GaN layer was grown at 1030°C, and a 5-nm-thick p-InGaN contact layer was grown at 830°C. In addition, the growth of the UV-LEDs with the InGaN/InAlGaN MQWs epitaxial structure on an un-doped GaN/sapphire template was also conducted for comparison.

After epitaxial growth, the indium tin oxide film (180 nm) was first deposited on the UV-LEDs as a transparent contact layer. Then, the surfaces of the UV-LEDs were partially etched until a 1.5-μm depth of the n-GaN layers was exposed. We subsequently deposited Cr/Pt/Au (50 nm/50 nm/150 nm) onto the exposed n-GaN and p-GaN layers to serve as the n-type contact. The schematic of the UV-LEDs with InGaN/InAlGaN MQWs structures grown on a FS-GaN substrate is shown in Fig. 1.

---

**Fig. 1** Schematics of ultraviolet light-emitting-diodes (UV-LEDs) with InGaN/InAlGaN MQWs structures grown on free-standing GaN (FS-GaN) substrate.
and p-type electrodes, respectively. Finally, the UV-LEDs were cut into square pieces with dimensions of \(300 \times 300 \mu m^2\).

The crystalline quality and interface of our epitaxial structures were evaluated by high-resolution double-crystal x-ray diffraction (HRDCXD) using \(CuK_{\alpha}\) radiation as the x-ray source (\(\lambda = 1.54056 \text{ Å}\)). Room temperature Raman scattering was used to analyze the residual strains of the GaN epitaxial layers and UV-LEDs. The distribution and threading behaviors of dislocations in the epilayer were then studied by transmission electron microscopy (TEM). Moreover, the interfacial microstructures of the epilayer were observed by high-resolution TEM (HRTEM). The optical properties were investigated by electroluminescence measurements performed at room temperature. Finally, the light-current-voltage (L-I-V) characteristics of the UV-LEDs devices were measured at room temperature under continuous-wave (CW) operation.

### 3 Results and Discussion

Figure 2 shows the HRDCXD rocking curves in the (0002) and the (10\(^{-}12\)) reflections, obtained from the UV-LEDs grown on the FS-GaN and sapphire substrates. The full widths at half maximum (FWHM) of the UV-LEDs on the FS-GaN substrate are only 83 arc sec for (0002), and 77 arc sec for (10\(^{-}12\)), respectively. Both the FWHM values of the UV-LEDs on the FS-GaN substrate are narrower than that of UV-LEDs on a sapphire substrate by a factor of 4 to 5. In wurtzite GaN-based films, the FWHM of the (0002) rocking curve is associated with the density of the screw or mix dislocations, whereas the FWHM of the (10\(^{-}12\)) rocking curve is affected by all dislocations. Therefore, we can calculate the total defect density with the formula from Ref. 19. The calculated total defect density is about \((6\text{ to }7) \times 10^8 \text{ cm}^{-2}\) for the UV-LEDs on the sapphire substrate and \((7\text{ to }10) \times 10^8 \text{ cm}^{-2}\) for the UV-LEDs on the FS-GaN substrate. This result shows that the defect density of the UV-LEDs can be reduced dramatically by introducing FS-GaN as a substitute for epitaxy of InGaN/InAlGaN MQWs UV-LEDs. In addition, it is clear that the rocking curves in the (0002) and the (10\(^{-}12\)) reflections contain the additional peaks by Gauss function, in which peak 1 is 72.01 arc sec for (0002) and peak 2 is 48.44 arc sec for (10\(^{-}12\)), in addition to that of the main peak of the FS-GaN substrate, respectively. These additional peaks that are peak 1 and peak 2 in the (0002) and the (10\(^{-}12\)) reflections, respectively, correspond to the internal structural grain boundary with a very low angle (tilt angle \(\leq 1\text{ arcmin}\)), where tilt angle of peak 1 is 72.01 arc sec for (0002) and for peak 2 is 48.44 arc sec for (10\(^{-}12\)) by Gauss function from the adjoining regions, respectively. The HRDCXD results were attributed to the hexagonal inverse pyramidal pits which are constructed by \(\{11\bar{2}2\}\) facets of the FS-GaN substrate.

Figure 3(a) shows the HRDCXD \(\omega/2\theta\) scan for the UV-LEDs epitaxial structures grown on the FS-GaN and sapphire substrates. As can be seen, all the HRDCXD patterns demonstrate periodical structures, which can be attributed to the InGaN/InAlGaN MQWs that were grown. The strongest peak is due to the GaN layer and the spectra for the UV-LEDs on the FS-GaN substrate clearly show high-order InGaN/InAlGaN MQWs diffraction peaks with

![Fig. 2 High-resolution double crystal X-ray diffraction (HRDCXD) rocking curves of UV-LEDs grown on FS-GaN and sapphire substrate in the (a) (0002) and (b) (10\(^{-}12\)) reflection.](image-url)
the fourth-order satellite peak still being observable, indicating good layer periodicity. In addition, the InGaN/InAlGaN MQWs period can be determined from the positions of the InGaN/InAlGaN MQW satellite peaks. This suggests that the specimen of the UV-LEDs on the FS-GaN substrate also has abrupt interfaces between the InAlGaN barrier and the InGaN well. The interface roughness (IRN) of the InGaN/InAlGaN MQWs structures grown on the FS-GaN substrate was further analyzed by using the following equation:\textsuperscript{21,22}

\[
W_n = W_0 + \ln(2)^\frac{1}{2} n \frac{\Delta \theta_M}{\Lambda} \sigma \Lambda;
\]

where \(n\) is the order of the satellite; \(\Lambda\) and \(\sigma / \Lambda\) are the periods of satellite peak and IRN, respectively; \(\Delta \theta_M\) is the angle distance between adjacent satellite peaks; and \(W_0\) and \(W_n\) are the FWHM of the zeroth- and \(n\)’th-order peaks, respectively.

Figure 3(b) shows the FWHM of the satellite peak in InGaN/InAlGaN MQWs as a function of the order of the satellite peak. As can be seen, the IRN of the UV-LEDs on a sapphire substrate is about 5.16%. However, the IRN can further be reduced to 1.55% with the UV-LEDs grown on the FS-GaN substrate. As indicated in Ref. 21, the IRN of MQWs is affected by the defects, microstructure, and phase separation in MQWs. Therefore, our x-ray analysis results might indicate that the crystalline quality of the InGaN/InAlGaN MQWs epitaxial structure grown on the FS-GaN substrate is superior to those grown on sapphire substrates.

It was well known that the traditional InGaN-based UV-LEDs exhibited large biaxial strain due to a large lattice-mismatch and thermal expansion coefficient incompatibility between the GaN epilayer and sapphire, resulting in a large piezoelectric field along the c-plane orientation, thus forming the quantum confined Stark effect in the MQWs which leads to the reduction in light output power and recombination rate for the electron and hole. As the c-plane FS-GaN substrate manufacturing from the GaAs substrate that will exhibit lower lattice-mismatch and less difference of thermal expansion coefficient\textsuperscript{17} than the FS-GaN substrate manufacturing from sapphire by hydride vapor phase epitaxy,\textsuperscript{23,24} the less residual stress in the FS-GaN substrate manufacturing from the GaAs substrate may be obtained. Thus, in this paper, we have used a complementary method for residual stress measurement, specifically Raman spectroscopy. The residual stress measurement using Raman spectroscopy is based on the peak position shift of observable Raman modes, which is directly proportional to the lattice-strain. Figures 4(a) and 4(b) show the Raman spectrum for the UV-LEDs grown on the sapphire and the FS-GaN substrates. The Raman spectra show two Raman shift peaks: one can be attributed to the \(E_2\) (high) mode of the GaN epilayer and the other can be attributed to the \(A_1\) [longitudinal optical (LO)] mode of the GaN epilayer. The \(A_1(LO)\) of Raman active modes refers to Ref. 25. According to the Harima\textsuperscript{26} report, the \(E_2\) (high) mode gives atomic displacement perpendicular to the c-axis, while the other \(A_1\) (LO) mode gives atomic displacement along the c-axis. Although two optical photon modes, \(E_2\) (high) and \(A_1\) (LO), are observed in the c-plane GaN epilayer back-scattering according to the selection rules, our study selected the nonpolar \(E_2\) (high) photon mode to
estimate the residual stress due to its sensitivity to strain in the basal plane (c-plane) and also
directly to the in-plane residual stress in the (0001)-oriented GaN epilayers. The Raman shift
peaks of $E_2$(high) for UV-LEDs grown on FS-GaN and sapphire substrates shown in Fig. 4(c)
were located at around 567.2 and 569.7 cm$^{-1}$, respectively. We can calculate the strain value of
the InGaN/InAlGaN MQWs by the following equation.\(^2\)

$$\Delta \omega_{E_2} (\text{cm}^{-1}) = -2.25 \sigma \text{ (GPa)},$$

where $\Delta \omega$ is the Raman shift peak difference between the strained GaN epilayer and the strain-
free GaN epilayer. The calculated in-plane compressive stress ($\sigma$) was about 0.31 and 1.12 GPa
for UV-LEDs grown on the FS-GaN and sapphire substrate, respectively. In other words, the
InGaN-based UV-LED was grown on the FS-GaN substrate using a homo-epitaxial technique,
i.e., the FS-GaN substrate can help the recombination rate of electron and hole and thus the light
output power is expected to rise. Hence, the results from the Raman analyses are in good agree-
ment with those presented by the studies of electric properties as described below.

On the other hand, we used TEM to investigate the crystalline quality of the UV-LEDs grown
on the FS-GaN and the sapphire substrates. In other words, the two-beam TEM images will be
used for quantifying the nature and density of defects. Heying et al.\(^1\) pointed out that the pure
delete edge and the mixed defects can be visible under a $g = (\overline{1010})$ two-beam condition; the pure
delete screw and the mixed defects can be visible under a $g = (0002)$ two-beam condition. In our
case, Figs. 5(a) and 5(b) show bright field scanning TEM images for UV-LEDs grown on sap-
pire and FS-GaN substrates, respectively. In addition, the two-beam TEM images were taken,
as shown in Figs. 5(c) and 5(d) for the UV-LEDs on the sapphire, and in Figs. 5(e) and 5(f) for
the UV-LEDs on the FS-GaN. When UV-LEDs were grown on sapphire, we clearly find that the
epilayer of the UV-LEDs grown on the sapphire exhibited many edges, screws, and mixed
defects. In addition, the defects can be seen radiating vertically from the interface between
the GaN-based epilayer and sapphire into the InGaN/InAlGaN MQWs region and the p-
AlGaN layer, as shown in Figs. 5(c) and 5(d). Therefore, quite a large number of defects
were presented in the whole film on the sapphire substrate, as shown in Fig. 5(a). From
Fig. 5(a), the edges, screws, and mixed defects were estimated to be $1.0 \times 10^8$, $3.6 \times 10^6$, $1.7 \times 10^7$.\(^2\)
and $4.5 \times 10^8 \text{ cm}^{-2}$, respectively, which led to a total defect density of about $5.5 \times 10^8 \text{ cm}^{-2}$. However, when the substrate for the epitaxy of the UV-LEDs was changed from sapphire to FS-GaN, it can be clearly seen that the crystallography of the UV-LEDs epilayer was drastically different from that of UV-LEDs epilayer on a sapphire substrate. No edges, screws, and mixed defects were observed throughout the observed area. As shown in Figs. 5(b), 5(e), and 5(f), the total defect density including edges, screws, and mixed types was considered to be $3.6 \times 10^6 \text{ cm}^{-2}$ or less, which agrees well with our HRDCXD rocking curve data, further proving that homo-epitaxial is an effective measure to improve the crystal quality of UV-LEDs.

To better understand the effect of defects on the formation of V-shape pits (V-pits) or microstructures or quantum dot (QD) structures of InGaN/InAlGaN MQWs, HRTEM images of the InGaN/InAlGaN MQWs region were obtained, as shown in Fig. 6. As can be seen, the differences for the InGaN/InAlGaN MQWs between the two specimens was relatively large. For UV-LEDs on the sapphire substrate, there are many V-pits created in the MQWs region, as shown in Fig. 6(a). In addition, the microstructures or the QD structures can be clearly observed, and the spacing between these microstructures or QD structures was estimated to be 1 to 2 nm, as shown in Fig. 6(b). However, when the InGaN/InAlGaN MQWs were grown on the FS-GaN substrate, the InGaN/InAlGaN MQWs exhibited a relatively perfect crystalline structure and without any V-pits or microstructures or QD structures to be observed, as shown in Figs. 6(c) and 6(d). The nonuniform distribution of indium and phase separation in the InGaN well was not the main reason for the difference of InGaN/InAlGaN MQWs between the

**Fig. 5** Bright field cross-sectional transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images from the UV-LEDs on (a) sapphire and (b) FS-GaN substrate. Two-beam TEM images was taken from the UV-LEDs on sapphire [(c) and (d)] and FS-GaN [(e) and (f)], where (c) and (e) dark field cross section, $g = 0002$; (d) and (f) dark field cross section, $g = 1010$. 
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two specimens since they were grown in the same run. The difference of the substrate for epitaxy of the UV-LEDs could be responsible for such a great difference in the InGaN/InAlGaN MQWs between the two specimens since the homo-epitaxial growth of the UV-LEDs on the FS-GaN substrate can reduce the defects in MQWs. In addition, the V-pits or microstructures or QD structures can result in rougher interfaces in InGaN/InAlGaN MQWs. Therefore, comparing the results in Fig. 6 with those in Fig. 5, we can demonstrate that the UV-LEDs with the InGaN/InAlGaN MQWs grown on the FS-GaN substrate exhibited a more orderly structure, better uniformity, and sharper interface due to a reduction in the defects including edges, screws, and mixed types radiating from the interface between the GaN-based epilayer and substrate.

The LED devices fabricated on the basis of the material structure of UV-LEDs with InGaN/InAlGaN MQWs grown on the FS-GaN and the sapphire substrates were characterized. Figure 7(a) gives the light output power as a function of the forward current for all the UV-LEDs fabricated. Generally, the commercialized UV-LEDs operate at a forward current of 20 mA for 300 × 300 μm² chip size. However, as exhibited in Fig. 7(b), the I-V characteristics of the UV-LEDs grown on the sapphire substrate differ somehow from those of the UV-LEDs grown on FS-GaN substrates. Hence, in our case, the light output power of the UV-LEDs was obtained as follows. For the UV-LEDs grown on the FS-GaN and the sapphire substrates, the
light output power was obtained under the forward currents of 20 and 100 mA. The light output powers obtained from the UV-LEDs grown on the sapphire substrate are 6.0 mW at 20 mA and 24.3 mW at 100 mA. However, the light output power goes up to 10.8 mW at 20 mA and 57 mW at 100 mA for the UV-LEDs grown on the FS-GaN substrate. In other words, compared to the UV-LEDs grown on the sapphire, the light output power for the UV-LEDs grown on the FS-GaN substrate was improved by 80% at 20 mA and 90% at 100 mA. Evidently, the light output of the UV-LEDs grown on the FS-GaN substrate is superior to those grown on sapphire, which helps to further recognize the merit of using the FS-GaN substrate for the fabrication of UV-LEDs.

Finally, Fig. 8 shows the EQE as a function of forward current for the UV-LEDs grown on the FS-GaN and the sapphire substrates. The EQE of these two types of the UV-LEDs are under CW operations. The maximum EQE ($\eta_{\text{peak}}$) of the UV-LEDs grown on the FS-GaN substrate appear at an injection current of 53 mA, which was much higher than that for the UV-LEDs grown on sapphire substrate (at 20 mA). More interestingly, the efficiency droop, defined as $\left(\eta_{\text{peak}} - \eta_{100 \text{ mA}}\right) / \eta_{\text{peak}}$, was reduced from 20% in the UV-LEDs grown on the sapphire substrate to 3% in the UV-LEDs grown on the FS-GaN substrate. Recently, the results of Yu et al.\textsuperscript{27} pointed out that the lower carrier localization and better uniformity in MQWs will show lower droop behavior. Hence, this significant improvement in efficiency can be mainly attributed to the improvement of crystal quality and uniformity in MQWs and better heat dissipation in the case of the UV-LEDs grown on the FS-GaN substrate. Therefore, the FS-GaN substrates should be a better choice for high power UV-LED applications.

**4 Conclusions**

In summary, we have grown UV-LEDs epitaxial structure on the FS-GaN substrates manufactured from a GaAs substrate by AP-MOCVD. The HRDCXD $\omega/2\theta$ measurements showed that the UV-LEDs structure grown on the FS-GaN substrate exhibited sharp interfaces between the InAlGaN barrier and InGaN well and a uniform QW period of InGaN/InAlGaN. In addition, the IRN of the InGaN/InAlGaN MQWs structure grown on the FS-GaN substrate was estimated to be 1.56%. For crystal quality, the FWHM of the HRDCXD rocking curve in the (0002) and the $(10\bar{1}2)$ reflections were about 83 and 77 arc sec, respectively. Moreover, cross-sectional TEM observations revealed that the UV-LEDs grown on the FS-GaN substrate surpass those grown on the sapphire substrates in material quality. Hence, the total defect density including edges, screws, and mixed types was considered to be $3.6 \times 10^6$ cm$^{-2}$ or less. The device consisting of the UV-LEDs grown on the FS-GaN substrate show a light output power of 10.8 mW at 20 mA and 57 mW at 100 mA for $300 \times 300 \mu$m$^2$ chip size. In other words, the light output power of the UV-LEDs on the FS-GaN substrate is higher than that of UV-LEDs on sapphire by 80% at 20 mA and 90% at 100 mA. In addition, the efficiency droop was reduced from 20% in UV-LEDs grown on a sapphire substrate to 3% in UV-LEDs grown on the FS-GaN substrate.
Conclusively, the use of a c-plane FS-GaN substrate suggests an effective technique to fabricate high-power UV-LEDs.
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