CASE HISTORY

Uterine artery rupture during labour
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Abstract
Spontaneous rupture of uterine vessels, particularly intra-partum, is a rare cause of maternal death during pregnancy. Awareness about this condition, may help to avoid delayed diagnosis and facilitate early resuscitation. This case is reported to highlight the importance of considering common as well as rarer life-threatening causes of obstetric shock in the differential diagnosis.

Introduction
Spontaneous rupture of uterine vessels is a rare, life-threatening condition that causes high maternal and perinatal mortality[1]. Most reported cases have occurred during antenatal and postnatal period but is less reported during labour[2]. Most (75 %) of the ruptures were within the broad ligament. They present with sudden abdominal pain, hemodynamic collapse with decrease in hemoglobin and hematocrit levels[3].

The aetiology of spontaneous rupture of utero-ovarian vessels during pregnancy remains poorly understood. However, several aetiologic hypotheses have been postulated, based on the previously reported cases: arteriovenous malformation, uterine artery aneurysm[4], endometriosis[5], increase in venous pressure, free anastomosis of uterine and ovarian vessels within the broad ligament and absence of valves in the ovarian veins. Further, estrogen induced intimal changes, the tortuous path of uterine and ovarian veins and distention with intraluminal pressure may predispose them to bleed spontaneously[6-9].

Dextro-rotation of uterus and left occipital position of the fetus could be the possible predispositions for the more frequent left sided involvement[10].

Case Report
A 35-year-old mother in her fifth pregnancy with four previous vaginal deliveries was admitted for confinement in the 38th week of gestation. She had both pre-existing diabetes mellitus and pre-existing hypertension and was on metformin, insulin, nifedipine and low dose aspirin from her 10 weeks of gestational age. Her blood pressure and diabetes were well controlled.
The uterine cervix was primed with Foley’s catheter followed by 1 mg of vaginal prostaglandin. Ten hours after vaginal prostaglandin, she developed uterine contractions. Two hours after admission to labour room, the oxytocin infusion was commenced to augment the poor progress of labour. Two hours later her blood pressure increased to 178/84 mmHg and it was controlled with a single dose of intravenous hydralazine. The labour progressed well thereafter.

She delivered a four kg baby by forceps delivery, 5 hours and 20 minutes after admission to the labour room. She developed mild dyspnea and became pale 10 minutes postpartum. Her pulse rate was found to be 110 beats/minute with elevated blood pressure of 170/110 mmHg. Lungs showed equal air entry with no crepitations. The uterus was well contracted and below the umbilical level. The blood pressure was controlled with a second dose of intravenous hydralazine.

Thereafter, her condition deteriorated quickly. She became more dyspneic and paler than previously. Her pulse rate increased to 140 beats per minute and blood pressure dropped to 133/97 mmHg. Lungs still had no crepitations and uterus remained well contracted. No excessive bleeding per vagina was noted. The drop in blood pressure with tachycardia was attributed to the hydralazine administration or a myocardial infarction or amniotic fluid embolism. The hypovolemia due to bleeding was not suspected. Patient was transferred to the intensive care (ICU) unit within 10 minutes where she developed a cardiac arrest. Cardio pulmonary resuscitation (CPR) failed to bring her back to life.

Postmortem report revealed right side uterine artery rupture, without uterine wall rupture, into the broad ligament with about 900 ml of blood clot. The rupture did not open into the peritoneal cavity.

Discussion

Spontaneous rupture of uterine vessels has been reported during pregnancy and less commonly in postpartum period. This case occurred during intrapartum. The clinical manifestation is mostly sudden abdominal pain with hemodynamic collapse along with a decrease in hemoglobin and hematocrit levels[3].

The diagnosis of ruptured uterine vessels has rarely been made preoperatively, especially in cases detected after delivery[10]. This case was diagnosed post-mortem. The volume of blood clot was only 900ml. As 900 ml of blood loss would not have been lethal, other contributory mechanisms such as neurogenic shock due to stretching of broad-ligament stimulating parasympathetic nerves of pelvis resulting in hypotension is postulated in this case.

The case under reference developed shock soon after forceps delivery and died due to unsuspected uterine artery rupture. When her condition deteriorated rapidly, the tachycardia with hypotension was falsely attributed to the treatment of hypertension with intravenous hydralazine. The possibility of hypovolemia was not considered and overlooked resulting in the death.

This case is being reported to emphasize the need for careful post-delivery monitoring not only for revealed postpartum hemorrhage, but also for other rarer life-threatening causes of obstetric shock.
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