Does the nucleation of clay minerals control the rate of diagenesis in sandstones?
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ABSTRACT: Nucleation is much more important for clay minerals than for other authigenic cements as clay crystals are very small, so that a very large number of clay crystals must be nucleated. The role of this difficult kinetic step in the diagenesis of sandstones has not been considered adequately as a rate-determining process. The relationship between pore-fluid supersaturation and the rate of nucleation of a mineral is very different from the relationship between supersaturation and the rate of crystal enlargement; thus the two processes will act at very different rates. A diagenetic model that predicts clay-mineral formation but omits the nucleation stage may make unreliable predictions. This may account partially for the discrepancy between numerical simulations of CO2 injection that predict high degrees of reaction between the CO2 and the host rock, and the results of studies of natural analogues that have much lower degrees of reaction.
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The rates of mineral reactions during weathering and diagenesis that have been determined experimentally can be several orders of magnitude greater than those determined using in situ studies of natural analogues (White & Brantley, 2003; Blum & Stillings, 1995). The rates of mineral reactions in sandstones have been used extensively in computer simulations of both natural diagenesis and of reactions induced by the injection of CO2 for storage or enhanced oil recovery. For example, Small (1993) used laboratory rates of illite growth to predict the complete illitization of sandstones in only ~100,000 years, whereas the reaction appears to be incomplete even after millions of years in deeply buried sandstones.

As the majority of rock-forming minerals are only sparsely soluble, the dissolution of one or more minerals must be accompanied by the precipitation of different minerals, given the relatively low water–rock ratios within a typical sandstone (e.g. Bjørlykke & Aagaard, 1992); e.g. an ‘unstable’ mineral such as feldspar cannot dissolve unless a more stable clay mineral can precipitate from the released solutes. If the nucleation of a clay mineral is the slowest step of a reaction, then it will be rate determining. If each clay crystal could grow to a ‘large’ size once nucleation was achieved, then enlargement could continue without more nucleation. However, clay crystals rarely enlarge beyond a certain (very small) size, so once each crystal has reached its maximum size, then more nucleation must occur for precipitation to continue. The aim of this paper is to suggest that the discrepancy observed between the rates of laboratory simulations of diagenetic reactions and the rates of comparable reactions in situ may be due to the effects of nucleation, thus proposing that the rate of nucleation of clay minerals should be incorporated into all models of diagenesis (including the injection of CO2 for engineered storage). Note that due to the availability of precursor surfaces, homogeneous nucleation probably never occurs in diagenesis; see Mullin (2001) for a review of nucleation. The rates of diagenetic reactions in shales are not considered here.
Nucleation

The classical (heterogeneous) nucleation theory of crystals has been described many times, e.g. Lasaga (1998). A cluster of ions (an embryo) that forms in a supersaturated solution is thermodynamically unstable with respect to the solution up to a critical size, known as the critical nucleus (Fig. 1, solid line). Above this critical nucleus size, the incipient crystal will grow spontaneously due to a progressive reduction in free energy with increasing crystal size, in a process that is referred to here as crystal enlargement. The importance of nucleation of clay minerals, when compared to other common authigenic cements such as quartz, can be illustrated by considering the number of times that a crystal must nucleate to form cements in typical abundances. Consider a 1 cm$^3$ block of sandstone, in which 1% of cement will form. There are $\sim$100–10$^6$ quartz grains, so that an overgrowth would ‘nucleate’ a maximum of 10$^6$ times if an overgrowth were to form on each grain. In contrast, in 1 cm$^3$ of sandstone, 1% of kaolinite with a crystal diameter of 10 $\mu$m and length of 1–10 $\mu$m has 10$^7$–10$^8$ crystals. For illite, using fundamental particle dimensions reported by Wilkinson et al. (2014), 1% of illite would comprise $\sim$3 ± 2 $\times$ 10$^{13}$ fundamental particles (1 standard error). The number of crystals (or fundamental particles) of clay minerals, within a given volume of rock is very large compared to other common authigenic cements, from which it is deduced that crystal nucleation will be a stronger control on mineral growth for clay minerals than for other authigenic cements. The generic control on the crystal size of clay minerals is not known, though for illite it is proposed to be due to the strain energy inherent in the stacking of the 2:1 layers (Radoslovich, 1959). This can be interpreted in terms of the Gibbs energy of formation of the crystals becoming asymptotic to some limiting value (Fig. 1, dashed line).

Implications for the rates of diagenetic reactions

Many computer models of diagenesis (which are largely calibrated to experimentally determined reaction rates) attempt to correct for the degree of disequilibrium and, typically, use a linear relationship between growth rate and supersaturation (e.g. Gaus et al., 2005; Lander & Bonnell, 2010; Klein et al., 2013). While this has a sound theoretical basis if the rate-limiting step in crystal growth is crystal enlargement, it may not be correct if the rate-limiting step is crystal nucleation, illustrated here for illite. Equation 1, derived from Wilkinson & Haszeldine (2002), following Nielson (1964) in Lasaga (1998), shows that for heterogeneous nucleation of a single, 10 $\AA$ thick illite layer, the rate of nucleation has a very strong dependence upon supersaturation.

$$I = C \exp(-\Delta G^*/N_a/R T)$$

and $\Delta G^* = 3N_a[\sigma_{xxx} (a + b)^2/RT(\ln \Omega)]$

Where $I$= rate of nucleation per unit area; $C$ is a constant; $N_a$ is the Avagadro number; $R$ is the gas constant; $T$ is the temperature in Kelvin; $\Delta G^*$ is the activation of nucleation; $\sigma_{xxx}$ is the free energy of the xxx fluid-crystal interface; $a$, $b$ and $c$ are the dimensions of the unit cell and $\Omega$ is the supersaturation of the pore-fluid.

Using the same approach, it can be shown that a hemispherical nucleus on a planar precursor surface
has a lower activation energy, so is presumably more likely to form, when:

$$\Delta G^* = N_\Sigma \pi / 3(a \cdot b \cdot c)^2(2\sigma_{(00)} - \sigma_{(01)})^3 / RT \ln (\Omega)^2$$

(2)

To illustrate the difference between the control of growth rate by nucleation and enlargement, the nucleation model described above is contrasted with that of Lander & Bonnell (2010). The latter uses a nucleation rate equation that assumes that nucleation is fundamentally similar to growth (i.e. the rate is proportional to pore-fluid supersaturation, equation 3) and is chosen here so that both predicted rate curves could be plotted together (Fig. 2). Other authors have used the same rate-supersaturation relationship to describe the rate of crystal enlargement (Gaus et al., 2005; Klein et al., 2013).

$$I = A \exp \left(-\Delta G^*/RT\right)(\Omega - 1)$$

(3)

where $I$ is the rate of formation of crystal nuclei per unit area; $\Delta G^*$ is the activation energy for nucleation, assumed to be a constant (73 kJ/mol) and $A$ is the so-called pre-exponential constant (8000 sites/cm$^2$/Ma; Lander & Bonnell, 2010). Figure 2 shows the contrast between the predicted nucleation rates for the hemispherical nucleus and the growth-inspired model of Lander & Bonnell (2010) – the dependence upon pore-fluid supersaturation is fundamentally different. As a consequence, if an experimentally determined reaction rate, obtained at far-from-equilibrium conditions, is corrected to near-equilibrium conditions for application to the subsurface, then the choice of saturation-rate model will be crucial.

Other suggested reasons for the discrepancy between experimental and real-world reaction rates from the literature can be re-interpreted in terms of crystal nucleation. For in situ rocks the reactive area may be only a small proportion (<1%) of the total mineral surface area due to, amongst others, adsorbed organic or other compounds (Pačes, 1983; White & Peterson, 1990; Maher et al., 2006) or thin mineral precipitates such as chlorite and micro-quartz which are effective barriers to the formation of diagenetic quartz overgrowths (Heald & Larese, 1974). Surface coordination, in terms of the adsorption and desorption of $H^+$ and
OH$^-$, may not be reproduced adequately in the laboratory but has been shown experimentally to be related to the rate of dissolution (e.g. Brady & Walther, 1989). In experiments the sample preparation will have generated fresh surfaces that are free from absorbed chemicals and may have high strain energy with surface features such as kinks, ledges and small-area terraces, unless these were removed by sample pre-treatment (Pačes, 1983). Even if an experimental rock sample is prepared with minimal interference, it will have been cored or sawn to shape and in extreme cases, the rock will have been crushed. Regardless of the preparation procedure, the reactive surface area (per unit volume) of rock samples in experiments will exceed that of the in situ conditions, potentially, by orders of magnitude. Consequently, the rate of heterogeneous nucleation, which is proportional to the available surface area, will probably be enhanced substantially in experiments compared to in situ conditions.

Pore-fluid flow rates, which are usually higher for experiments than for in-situ rocks, may also control nucleation as described by Mullin (2001). Hence, experiments with high (laboratory timescale) pore-fluid flow rates may have more rapid nucleation rates than deeply buried rocks where pore-fluid flow is very slow (mm/year). Finally, the assumption that dissolution rates can be used as a proxy for precipitation rates also fails to capture the importance of crystal nucleation. Dissolution has no nucleation step (unless the formation of etch pits is an exception, though Lasaga & Luttge (2001) suggested that these form on lattice defects), so, while the rate of dissolution may be an adequate proxy for the rate of crystal enlargement, the experimentally determined dissolution rate of a mineral has no bearing on the rate of growth of a mineral where the rate-limiting step is crystal nucleation. In addition, Arvidson & Luttge (2010) showed that the non-steady-state dissolution rate of a crystal surface “reflects reactivity inherited from earlier episodes of undersaturation” – i.e. the history of the mineral surface. The same may be true of a surface from a nucleation perspective.

The nucleation control of clay growth may explain a long-standing puzzle from the North Sea – the lack of zero-age illite. Glasmann et al. (1992) observed that the youngest recorded K–Ar ages are in the range of 17–25 Ma despite the fact that the basin is currently at its maximum burial depth and, most likely, its maximum temperature. It has been proposed that illite formation was more-or-less continuous during deep burial, but that illite separated for dating is a mixture of recent (zero-age) and older crystals, and that young illite is largely formed as overgrowths on older illite cores (e.g. Hamilton et al., 1989). Here, it is proposed that illite nucleation can only occur during events such as rapid fluid flow, perhaps associated with fault movement, or hydrocarbon charging (Wilkinson & Haszeldine, 2002). The tectonically quiescent, thermally subsiding North Sea may have simply lacked the crucial fluid flow events to trigger nucleation during the last 20 Ma or so. Equally, a large proportion of the source rocks are very mature or post-mature, so that active charging of traps with hydrocarbons may not be occurring within the depth range of current hydrocarbon exploration. It is proposed that illite nucleation was more common ca. 20–60 Ma ago when the Kimmeridge Clay source rock was at peak maturity, leading to hydrocarbon migration and, hence, hydrocarbon charging of fields.

Reaction rates in carbon capture and storage

The rates of mineral reactions have implications for industry as they are used within computer models to predict the extent of reaction between artificially injected CO$_2$ and the fluid/host-rock system in geological carbon storage, as a part of Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS). The ability to predict both the short-term (10’s years) and the long-term (in this case only 1000’s years) fate of the CO$_2$ confidently may determine whether regulators allow the storage of anthropogenic CO$_2$ in sedimentary basins, or potentially reject the technology as too uncertain. Published examples of computer models of CO$_2$ injection during CCS often suggest that a high proportion of the CO$_2$ will react with the rock and form stable carbonate minerals (see Wilkinson et al., 2009 for a review). Mineral reaction is desirable from the perspective of the institution that is injecting the CO$_2$, as the solid carbonate minerals are effectively immobile and, as such, cannot escape into the atmosphere and contribute to climate change. However, studies of natural analogues of CO$_2$ storage suggest that, even after geological periods of time, only a relatively small proportion of the CO$_2$ will have reacted to form solid minerals (e.g. Wilkinson et al., 2009; Lu et al., 2011). This leaves a large proportion as a free phase, which could, at least in theory, escape from the reservoir and eventually enter the atmosphere. It should be noted that many natural geological accumulations of CO$_2$ have persisted for millions of years and that these give a high confidence in the security of engineered storage sites.

The Miller oil field in the UK sector of the North Sea is an example of long-term storage of CO$_2$ in a natural
CO2 is sequestered as calcite, the remainder is as a consequence of injecting CO2 into clastic sediments. Hence, it seems likely that many of the reactions that will occur as a consequence of injecting CO2 into clastic sediments will involve the precipitation of clay minerals. However, without the hydrolysis of silicate minerals to supply cations, then the precipitation of carbonate minerals will be extremely limited and unless the pH of pore-waters is buffered by silicate mineral reactions, then the injection of CO2 into a sandstone will acidify the pore-waters and cause the net dissolution of carbonate minerals, not their precipitation. If the rate-limiting step of these reactions is the precipitation of clay minerals, then computer simulations that do not include this step, or that model it using inappropriate rates, will not correctly predict the fate of the injected CO2. If the nucleation of clay minerals is a process that requires unusual conditions, and if these conditions are not met during injection, then the so-called sequestration reactions will not occur and the undissolved CO2 will remain as a free phase within the pore space. This is not inherently a problem for Geological Carbon Storage, as storage sites should have adequate structural trapping to ensure that leakage does not occur even if absolutely no long-term reaction takes place between the CO2 and the rock.

CONCLUSIONS AND IMPLICATIONS

While experiments provide valuable (and indeed indispensable) data to many branches of the Earth Sciences, experimentally determined rates of mineral reactions often cannot be reconciled easily with the rates of geological reactions estimated from natural systems. It is argued here that for the diageneric of sandstones, an explanation lies with the nucleation kinetics of clay minerals, which may be the rate-limiting step in some diagenetic reactions.

During diagenesis, the rate of dissolution of sparingly soluble detrital minerals such as feldspars may be controlled by the rate of clay-mineral nucleation – if clay minerals cannot precipitate under typical subsurface conditions, then the feldspars will not dissolve as the pore-fluids will hold very little solute. Existing clay mineral crystals cannot simply continue to enlarge as maximum crystal sizes are limited, so that continued precipitation requires nucleation of many new crystals. Hence the dissolution of detrital minerals requires the nucleation of clay minerals.

The nucleation control of clay growth may explain the lack of zero-age illite in the North Sea. Tectonic quiescence and post-mature source rocks may not generate the crucial fluid flow events such as hydrocarbon charging that might otherwise have triggered nucleation during the last ~20 Ma.

Numerical models of diagenesis, including those of carbon capture and storage, should include a nucleation stage for clay cements. The very rapid rates of mineralization predicted by many models, when compared to natural analogues, may be a consequence of omitting this rate-limiting process.
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