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Abstract

Background: This is the first review to analyze literature identifying risk factors for a multidrug-resistant urinary tract infection (MDR UTI). Risk factors for other infections involving multidrug-resistant organisms have been evaluated in other reviews, but they do not assess urinary tract infections. The purpose of this study is to collect currently published data to determine the most commonly and consistently identified risk factors for UTIs.

Material and methods: For this study, 3 independent researchers searched PubMed, Embase, and Cochrane database from 1966 to February 2016 for articles identifying risk factors for MDR UTI.

Results: A total of 25 studies including 31,284 patients with positive cultures provide evidence for 12 possible risk factors for MDR UTI. The most commonly identified risk factor was previous antibiotic usage as evidenced in 16 of the 20 studies that evaluated this possible risk factor. The time range utilized to define previous antibiotic usage ranged from 2 days to 365 days. Other risk factors with the strongest supporting data were urinary catheterization, previous hospitalization, and nursing home residence.

Conclusion: We identified 12 different possible risk factors for a MDR UTI, however several risk factors have minimal or conflicting evidence. The definitions of the risk factors varied widely among the studies, and should be standardized for future studies.

© 2018 The Authors. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of King Saud University. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).
1. Introduction

Urinary tract infections (UTIs) are the most frequently reported infections and drive antibiotic use around the world (Anthony, 2002; Klevene et al., 2002). UTIs are the fourth most common type of healthcare-associated infection (Magill et al., 2014). Multidrug-resistant organisms (MDRO) are predominantly bacteria, that are resistant to one or more classes of antimicrobial agents. Sulfamethoxazole-trimethoprim resistance has been shown throughout the world for E. coli and has led to expanded use of fluoroquinolones and cephalosporins. Gram negative extended-spectrum beta lactamase producing enterobacteriaceae are an increasing concern in regards to antibiotic resistance and their potential cause of serious infections which are difficult to treat (Shaikh et al., 2015). Throughout the world there is increasing antimicrobial drug resistance, therefore it is important to identify factors that place patients at increased risk for a multidrug-resistant infection, so that broad spectrum antibiotics can be reserved for use in these patients. Limiting broad spectrum empiric antibiotics to patients with proven risk factors can help slow the prevalence of resistance to these antibiotics. The concern that our study addresses is how to define and identify the patients who are at increased risk of infection by these multidrug-resistant organisms in regards to a UTI.

This is the first review to analyze the literature identifying risk factors for a multidrug-resistant (MDR) urinary tract infections (UTIs). Risk factors for other infections involving multidrug-resistant organisms have been evaluated in other reviews, but these studies do not assess infections of the urinary tract system. Resistance those studies that follow the 2011 international consensus panel’s expert proposal definition for acquired resistance were also assessed (Magiorakos et al., 2012).

2. Material and methods

2.1. Data sources and search strategy

A literature search was completed independently by three authors using PubMed, Embase, and Cochrane databases. Search was conducted from January 1966 up to February 31st 2016. The following keywords were used as search terms: ([drug AND resistance AND multiple] OR [multidrug AND resistance]) AND ([urinary AND tract AND infection] OR pyelonephritis OR cystitis) AND (risk AND factors). Reference lists of included articles were also reviewed for eligible studies. We categorized the risk factors evaluated in the studies into 3 categories: Probable risk factor, possible risk factor, and unlikely risk factor or further research needed (Table 2). Due to the likeliness of variable definitions of multidrug resistance those studies that follow the 2011 international consensus panel’s expert proposal definition for acquired resistance were also assessed (Magiorakos et al., 2012).

2.2. Study selection

Studies were considered eligible for inclusion if the study identified and reported any risk factors associated or not associated with MDR UTIs in patients with positive cultures. Studies were eligible for inclusion only if published in English. Studies were limited to those reporting on human adult or pediatric patients. Titles and abstracts were reviewed for identification of risk factors for MDR UTIs. Articles deemed relevant were reviewed in full to determine inclusion in our analysis. All articles were evaluated for inclusion by three authors and a consensus was achieved whenever there was a disagreement on inclusion. The primary outcome assessed was the association of different risk factors with MDR UTIs.

2.3. Data extraction and quality assessment

The three reviewers independently extracted data from all eligible studies and agreed on any discrepancies by consensus. The extracted data for each study when available was placed into an Excel spreadsheet and included the country, study type, year of publication, number of patients, type of UTI (pyelonephritis vs. cystitis, complicated vs. uncomplicated), organism cultured, study setting (community, inpatient hospital, emergency department), drugs of focus in study, and all risk factors reviewed for association. No uniform use of a specified definition was utilized. Studies were included regardless of how the information that was collected was defined.

Studies included for analysis were rated using the Newcastle-Ottawa Quality Assessment Scale (O’Connell, 2002). The NOS contains eight items, categorized into three dimensions including selection, comparability, and outcome. The NOS ranges between zero and nine. Each study was reviewed independently by two of the authors and assessed for quality using the NOS. Authors discussed any discrepancies in quality assessment and came to a consensus with the assistance of a third reviewer.

Descriptive statistics were used to quantitatively describe features of the studies when analyzed collectively. Studies were chronologically assessed by the age of the study to determine relevance and/or changes in MDR UTI risk factors.

3. Results

The review identified 25 studies including 31,284 patients with positive cultures that identify possible risk factors for multidrug-resistant UTI (Allen et al., 1999; Arslan et al., 2005; Brown et al., 2002; Burman et al., 2003; Colgan et al., 2008; Colodner et al., 2004; Ena et al., 1995; Esthie et al., 2015; Faine et al., 2015; Ganguancco et al., 2015; Hertz et al., 2016; Ho et al., 2007; Ilram et al., 2015; Jadoon et al., 2015; Johnson et al., 2008; Kang et al., 2015; Khawcharoenporn et al., 2013; Killgore et al., 2004; Lee et al., 2010; Metlay et al., 2003; Osthoff et al., 2015; Yoon, 2014; Talan et al., 2008; Toner et al., 2015; Wright et al., 1999). There has been an increasing trend in the number of articles published regarding risk factors for developing an MDR UTI in recent years. Individual study characteristics are described in Table 1. There were 13 retrospective studies, 11 prospective studies, and 1 study with both retrospective and prospective components. 14 studies took place in the inpatient setting, 7 in the community setting, and 4 had mixed settings. Study sizes ranged from 66 to 21,414 and 23 of the 25 studies had less than 1000 participants. The percent of positive cultures in the studies included that identified E. coli as the causative pathogen ranged from 29.2 to 100%.
The studies were good to moderate based on the scoring from the NOS. All the studies scored a 7 or 8 out of 9 on the quality assessment with the exception of one study from Spain with 105 patients with positive cultures scoring a 5.

Some risk factors have been assessed much more often and much more consistently than other risk factors Fig. 1). Table 3 shows the risk factors stratified based on amount and consistency of supporting literature. The most commonly identified risk factor was previous antibiotic usage as seen in 16 of the 20 studies that evaluated this possible risk factor. The concern with the risk factor identification in the studies is that the time range utilized in studies to define previous antibiotic usage ranged from 2 days to 365 days. The other 11 risk factors identified in at least 2 different studies included: minority ethnicity, recent travel, nursing home residence, urinary catheterization, previous hospitalization, age, previous UTI’s, both male and female gender, immunocompromised patients, and diabetes.

Three of the risk factors are shown to be positive in all the studies in which they were assessed: nursing home residence (5/5), recent travel (3/3), and minority ethnicity (3/3). The three studies that assessed ethnicity were based out of the United States and

Table 1
Background information of studies included in the review.

| Study characteristics | Patient characteristics |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| Lead author, year of publication | Country | Prospective (P), retrospective (R), or both (B) | Definition of resistance | E. coli (%) | Number of patients with positive urine cultures | Female (%) |
| Allen, 1999 | Canada | P | Other | 100 | 548 | 65.6 |
| Arslan, 2005 | Turkey | P | Fluoroquinolone | 84.1 | 611 | 85.8 |
| Brown, 2002 | USA | R | TMP-SMX | 100 | 601 | 100 |
| Burman, 2003 | USA | B | TMP-SMX | 97.5 | 832 | 95.1 |
| Colgan, 2004 | USA | P | TMP-SMX | 83.5 | 103 | 100 |
| Colodner, 2004 | Israel | P | Other | 71.7 | 311 | 77.2 |
| Ena, 1995 | Spain | R | Fluoroquinolone | 6.4 | 105 | 58.1 |
| Eshette, 2015 | Ethiopia | P | ≥ 2 classes | 61.2 | 183 | 63.8 |
| Faine, 2015 | USA | P | Other | 33.3 | 360 | 83.6 |
| Guangsongco, 2015 | Philippines | P | TMP-SMX | 76.2 | 229 | 100 |
| Hertz, 2015 | Denmark | P | Other | 100 | 442 | 83.6 |
| Ho, 2010 | Hong Kong | P | ≥ 3 classes | 77 | 352 | 100 |
| Ikram, 2015 | New Zealand | R | ≥ 3 classes | 100 | 156 | 60.3 |
| Jadoo, 2015 | Pakistan | P | Fluoroquinolone | 100 | 66 | 75.3 |
| Johnson, 2008 | USA | R | Fluoroquinolone | 100 | 123 | 82.9 |
| Kang, 2015 | South Korea | R | Other | 29.2 | 1929 | 26.9 |
| Khawcharoenporn, 2013 | USA | R | Other | 72 | 431 | 81.4 |
| Killore, 2004 | USA | R | Fluoroquinolone | 100 | 66 | 75.3 |
| Lee, 2010 | South Korea | P | Other | 100 | 225 | 100 |
| Metlay, 2003 | USA | R | TMP-SMX | 62 | 393 | N/A |
| Orthoff, 2015 | Australia | R | ESBL+ ≥ 3 classes | 72.5 | 200 | 74.5 |
| Seung, 2014 | South Korea | P | Other | 31.5 | 413 | 39.1 |
| Talan, 2008 | USA | P | TMP-SMX | 88 | 689 | 90 |
| Toner, 2015 | UK | R | ≥ 3 classes | 84.6 | 21,414 | 77 |
| Wright, 1999 | USA | R | Fluoroquinolone | 85 | 448 | 83.7 |

Table 2
Study characteristics in determining risk factor supporting evidence.

| Probable risk factors requirements | Possible risk factor | Unlikely risk factor or more research needed |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------------|
| Number of studies ≥ 5            | Number of studies ≥ 5       | Number of studies ≤ 5                      |
| Number of patients ≥ 1500         | Number of patients ≥ 2000   | Number of patients ≤ 2000                  |
| Percent of patients in studies identified as positive for risk factor ≥ 70% | Percent of patients in studies identified as positive for risk factor ≥ 60% OR | Percent of studies identified as positive for risk factor <60% |
| Percent of studies identified as positive for risk factor ≥ 70% | Percent of studies identified as positive for risk factor ≥ 60% |

The studies were good to moderate based on the scoring from the NOS. All the studies scored a 7 or 8 out of 9 on the quality assessment with the exception of one study from Spain with 105 patients with positive cultures scoring a 5.

Some risk factors have been assessed much more often and much more consistently than other risk factors Fig. 1). Table 3 shows the risk factors stratified based on amount and consistency of supporting literature. The most commonly identified risk factor was previous antibiotic usage as seen in 16 of the 20 studies that evaluated this possible risk factor. The concern with the risk factor identification in the studies is that the time range utilized in studies to define previous antibiotic usage ranged from 2 days to 365 days. The other 11 risk factors identified in at least 2 different studies included: minority ethnicity, recent travel, nursing home residence, urinary catheterization, previous hospitalization, age, previous UTI’s, both male and female gender, immunocompromised patients, and diabetes.

Three of the risk factors are shown to be positive in all the studies in which they were assessed: nursing home residence (5/5), recent travel (3/3), and minority ethnicity (3/3). The three studies that assessed ethnicity were based out of the United States and assessed the ethnic minority of Hispanics in 2 studies and Asians in 1 study. 17 of the 24 studies that reported the proportion of each gender had 75% or more of the study population as females. Three
studies evaluated immunosuppression as a risk factor, but all had different definitions (Burman et al., 2003; Faine et al., 2015; Jadoon et al., 2015). One study assessed patients with human immunodeficiency virus, another evaluated patients taking immunosuppressive agents, and the third considered patients actively receiving chemotherapy and use of systemic corticosteroids (>10 mg prednisone-equivalent daily) or biological agents. 20 out of the 25 studies included were single center studies, and the 5 multicenter studies ranged from 11 to 54 centers. Fig. 2 shows the data on the percentage of patients and the percentage of studies that positively identified each risk factor. These results are shown together as whether the data is broken down by reproducibility (number of studies) or by study size (number of patients) the results appear fairly similar.

Only 3 studies that utilized the 2011 international consensus panel’s expert proposal for the definition of acquired resistance (resistance of 1 antimicrobial from 3 different classes) were identified. These three studies did include the majority of the culture positive patients included in our review 21,922 of 31,284 (70%). Patients in these 3 studies were 77.3% female and 84.4% of isolated pathogens were *E. coli*. Risk factors identified in these studies with proper definition of multidrug resistance alone are shown in Table 4. Urinary catheterization, hospitalization in Previous 12 months, UTI in previous 12 months, previous antibiotics, nursing home resident, both genders, diabetes mellitus, and older age were evaluated as risk factors. Older age was the only risk factor for MDR UTI that was identified by all three studies. 2 of the 3 studies assessed and agreed that urinary catheterization and previous antibiotics were a risk factor. All other risk factors had only 1 of the 3 studies evaluating it or there was a disagreement between studies.

### Table 3

| Risk factors stratified based on amount and consistency of supporting literature. |
|---------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Number of studies assessing for risk factor (n) | Number of patients assessed for risk factor (n) | % of Patients in studies positively identifying risk factor (%) | % of Studies positively identifying risk factor (%) |
| **Probable risk factors** | | | |
| Urinary Catheter | 14 | 27,401 | 95.1 | 81.8 |
| Previous Hospitalization | 14 | 6353 | 84.8 | 72.7 |
| Previous Antibiotics | 20 | 6943 | 76.9 | 75 |
| Nursing Home Resident | 5 | 1959 | 100 | 100 |
| **Possible risk factors** | | | | |
| Age | 19 | 29,626 | 87.6 | 64.7 |
| Previous UTI | 15 | 4526 | 59.1 | 64.3 |
| Male Gender | 19 | 27,701 | 92.7 | 61.5 |
| **Unlikely risk factor or more research needed** | | | | |
| Diabetes | 6 | 1574 | 58.1 | 50 |
| Recent Travel | 3 | 1135 | 100 | 100 |
| Ethnicity | 3 | 1624 | 100 | 100 |
| Immunocompromised | 3 | 1255 | 28.6 | 33 |
| Female Gender | 19 | 27,701 | 2.7 | 23.1 |

### Table 4

| Risk factors assessment analysis of studies using resistance definition from 2011 international consensus panel’s expert proposal for interim standard definitions for acquired resistance (resistance to 3 or more different drug classes with 1 or more antimicrobials in each class). |
|---------------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Number of studies positive for risk factor/number of studies assessing risk factor (n/n) | Number of patients in studies positive for risk factor/number of patients assessed for risk factor (n/n) |
| **Urinary Catheter** | 2/2 | 21,570/21,570 |
| Hospitalization in Previous 12 months | 0/1 | 0/156 |
| UTI in Previous 12 months | 1/2 | 156/508 |
| Previous Antibiotics | 2/2 | 508/508 |
| Nursing Home Resident | 1/1 | 156/156 |
| Male Gender | 1/1 | 21,414/21,414 |
| Female Gender | 1/1 | 156/156 |
| Diabetes Mellitus | 1/1 | 156/156 |
| Older Age (>51 or >85 or “increasing age”) | 3/3 | 21,922/21,922 |

### Fig. 2

Proportion of studies and patients that positively identify the risk factor for a MDR UTI.

The present review of studies identifying MDR UTI risk factors has brought several key points to light. The foremost being the need of standardization of definitions for a risk factor so that the
The frequency of specific MDR pathogens causing a UTI may vary by hospital, patient population, exposure to antibiotics, type of ICU patient, and changes over time, emphasizing the need for timely, local surveillance data. When local studies are conducted, standardized, common definitions should be utilized so the data can be better generalized to other populations.

Our study shows a recent increase in the number of studies reporting risk factors for multidrug-resistant urinary tract infections. Only the United States and South Korea have published more than 1 study reporting risk factors for MDR UTI in their countries. The biggest study was a retrospective cohort done in the United Kingdom with 21,414 patients. This study alone accounts for 68.5% of the patients with positive cultures included in our study (Toner et al., 2015).

A major concern identified through our study was the lack of standardization of definitions. For example, when looking at previous antibiotic exposure, the definition varied from any time within their life for one study, to within the last 48 hours for another study. The most common time window considered was within the past 3 months, utilized by only 25% of the studies that assessed this risk factor. 75% of the studies and 70.4% of the patients in these studies used other variable time frames. The other aspect lacking a consistent definition was that of immunosuppression. If evaluating immunosuppression as a risk factor studies should consider account for various forms of immunosuppression which may have more severe immunosuppression such as active hematologic malignancy, transplantation, immunosuppressive therapy, chemotherapy, or radiotherapy or a more moderate to mild immunosuppression such as chronic systemic steroid therapy (prednisone ≥25 mg/day), active solid malignancy, splenectomy, or autoimmune disease.

Four of the risk factors need to have clear definitions developed: Age and previous hospitalization, antibiotic usage, and urinary tract infection. Ages used varied greatly among the 19 studies that assessed age as a risk factor. 10 of the 19 studies used age thresholds of greater than or equal to 50–65 years. 8 of the 10 studies including 4380 patients that used age range threshold of greater than or equal to anywhere between 50–65 supported that advanced age is a risk factor for developing a MDR UTI. Although the ATS pneumonia guidelines consider antibiotics within the previous 3 months, only 5 of the 20 studies that assessed previous antibiotics as a risk factor MDR UTI used 3 months in the definition of previous antibiotics (Kalil et al., 2016). For previous hospitalization, the ATS guidelines again use 3 months or 90 days as part of their definition. Studies included in our literature review used various durations again with 5 studies using the previous 12 months and 3 studies used 3 months and 1 month each. Lastly, Previous UTI had a much more uniform definition of time frame evaluated utilized. Of the 15 studies, 11 considered previous UTI’s in the past 12 months. Future studies should consider using this as their time frame to support and be uniform with the current literature.

The definition of multidrug resistance varied greatly as well. Only three of the studies followed the 2011 international consensus panel’s expert proposal for interim standard definitions for acquired resistance (Gupta et al., 2011). MDR is defined as resistance to greater than or equal to 3 classes and where resistance to a class is defined as greater than or equal to 1 resistant agent within that class. Although only 11 of the studies were published after the expert panel consensus was published.

Similar to other reviews, several limitations in present review should be of concern. Firstly, only studies published in English were included. Secondly, definitions used throughout the studies varied greatly limiting the ability to make clear recommendations and implementing data from large ranges into clinical practice. As an example, the definition of previous antibiotic usage ranged from 2 to 365 days. Previous antibiotic usage is very likely a risk factor,
but it is unknown where within this range the cutoff should be (i.e. 1 month or 3 months). Thirdly, this review is based on published articles, and publication bias may affect the results. Also, this review showed that there is an increasing trend in the number of publications regarding risk factors for a MDR UTI. This supports the information that the gain obtained from this review may quickly become outdated and another review may be required in the future. Lastly, the study has significant geographical difference that significantly decrease the generalizability of the study as resistance patterns and antibiotic usage rates vary based on geographic location.

5. Conclusion

Clear, universal definitions need to be used utilized in future studies when identifying risk factors for multidrug-resistant urinary tract infections. The risk factors with the most supporting data include previous hospitalization, previous antibiotic usage, urinary catheterization, and residence in a nursing home facility.
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