Evaluation of procalcitonin, C-reactive protein, interleukin-6 & serum amyloid A as diagnostic biomarkers of bacterial infection in febrile patients
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Background & objectives: Early identification of bacterial infection in patients with fever is important for prompt treatment. However, the available parameters such as C-reactive protein (CRP) and leukocyte counts are not very specific. This study was aimed to assess the diagnostic value of procalcitonin (PCT), CRP, interleukin-6 (IL-6) and serum amyloid A (SAA) for bacterial infection in febrile patients.

Methods: Serum samples were collected from febrile patients between January and December 2012 and processed for blood cultures. PCT, IL-6, CRP and SAA levels were measured. The patients were divided into three groups according to the final diagnosis: bacteraemia group (group 1), bacterial infection with negative blood culture (group 2) and non-bacterial infection group (group 3).

Results: There were significant ($P<0.05$) difference in the levels of PCT, CRP, IL-6 and SAA among the three groups. The PCT levels of patients with Gram-positive bacterial infections were lower than Gram-negative bacterial infections (0.53 vs 2.13, $P<0.01$). The best cut-off value to detect bacterial infections was 0.26 ng/ml for PCT. PCT, CRP, IL-6 and SAA had areas under the curve of 0.804, 0.693, 0.658 and 0.687, respectively.

Interpretation & conclusions: Our results showed PCT as a valuable marker of bacterial infections in febrile patients. PCT was superior to CRP, IL-6 or SAA in the early identification of bacterial infection. More prospective and large scale studies are warranted to confirm these findings.
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Promp identification of early bacterial infection in fever is very important, since appropriate aetiological treatment and avoidance of unnecessary antimicrobial therapy could not only reduce the morbidity, mortality and costs to patients, but also can reduce the emergence of antibiotic-resistant bacteria. The traditional diagnostic tools, such as C-reactive protein (CRP) and leukocyte count, are not specific enough for differentiating bacterial infections from viral infections and systemic inflammation. Microbiologic culture requires at least 24-48 h, and negative cultures do not exclude the presence of infection. Moreover, only 5-10 per cent of blood cultures performed in hospitals show microorganisms. Therefore, there is an obvious need...
for more specific biomarkers of bacterial infections in febrile patients.

Procalcitonin (PCT), the precursor of the hormone calcitonin, is produced by C-cells of the thyroid gland or neuroendocrine cells in the lung or intestine, and its level in blood of healthy people is less than 0.01 ng/ml. Levels of PCT rise dramatically during bacterial infections, whereas low levels were detected during viral infections or non-infectious febrile conditions. Many studies showed the diagnostic property of PCT superior to CRP. Despite many studies, it remains unclear whether PCT adds significantly to the discriminative properties of the already used set of diagnostic biomarkers, and further studies need to be done to determine the specific diagnostic cut-off value. Though the negative cut-off value for PCT is generally believed to be < 0.5 ng/ml, it is not consistent since “negative” PCT value has been observed in some patients with bacterial infection. Interleukin-6 (IL-6) is an important proinflammatory cytokine in the early phase of inflammation, which increases in local and blood circulation after stimulus such as infection, surgery and trauma. Serum amyloid A (SAA) is an acute phase response protein and produced by activated macrophages and fibroblasts after stimulus such as IL-1, IL-6 and tumour necrosis factor (TNF), which, similar to CRP, is a sensitive index for early inflammatory disease. Therefore, the detection of PCT, CRP, IL-6 and SAA may be a better combination to identify early bacterial infection in febrile patients.

We, therefore, undertook this study to compare the diagnostic properties and assess the optimum cut-off values of PCT, CRP, IL-6 and SAA to detect bacterial infections early in febrile patients presenting to the Infectious Diseases department.

Material & Methods

Study design and settings: The study was conducted between January and December, 2012, in the Center of Infectious Diseases, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, PR China. The Ethics Committee for Research and Clinical Practice in West China Hospital approved the protocol for this research. Written informed consent was obtained from every enrolled patient.

Patients: All adult patients (aged 18 to 85 yr) with fever (temperature >38.0°C) in the Infectious Diseases department of West China Hospital, Sichuan University within 24 h after admitting to the ward were enrolled. Exclusion criteria were temperature < 38.0°C, absence of informed consent, pregnancy, thyroid tumour, discharge diagnosis for fever of unknown origin (FUO) and fungal infection. Some cases of fever of unknown origin (FUO) who remained unsolved at the time of discharge, were also excluded.

Measurement: Clinical data were collected, routine laboratory measurements were performed. Blood samples (20 ml) were aseptically collected for aerobic and anaerobic blood cultures. Bacterial culture was done in clinical microbiology laboratory of our hospital. All samples were collected within 24 h after registration to the department of Infectious Diseases. MicroScan WalkAway-96 System (Siemens, USA) was used to identify the bacterial species. PCT levels were detected by a chemiluminescence sandwich immunoassay and were measured by the Roche Elecsys E170 electrochemiluminescence analytical instruments (Roche Diagnostics GmbHt Mannheim, Germany). The lower limit of detection of the assay was 0.05 ng/ml. The concentration of CRP in serum was determined by IMMAGE 800 specific protein analysis system (Beckman Coulter company, USA). IL-6 levels were measured by the Roche Elecsys E170 electrochemiluminescence analytical instruments. The concentration of SAA in serum was determined by BN II System (Dade Behring Marburg GmbH, Germany).

Group classification: The patients were divided into three groups according to the final diagnosis. The patients with bacterial infection were divided into two groups (groups 1 and 2) according to the result of blood culture. Group 1 represented patients with bacteraemia defined by a positive blood culture. Group 2 represented patients with bacterial infections but with negative blood culture. The patients in group 3 had no bacterial infection. The groups were comparable in age and sex. Groups 1 and 2 were pooled against group 3 prior to drawing ROC (receiver operating characteristic) curves.

Statistical analysis: Data were analyzed by SPSS version 17.0 software package (SPSS Inc., Chicago, USA). The Shapiro-Wilk normality test was performed for all quantitative variables. In the presence of non-normally distributed data, non-parametric statistical methods were used. Significance testing was carried out using the Kruskal-Wallis H test and Wilcoxon two-sample test. The association between PCT and CRP or SAA was tested based on Spearman’s rank correlation. ROC curves were analysed with the Medcale software version 11.5.1.0 (Medcale software bvba, Belgium). ROC curves were plotted for PCT, CRP, IL-6 and SAA. Diagnostic accuracy was assessed by calculating...
the areas under the ROC curves (AUC). ROC curve analysis was carried out using the method of DeLong et al\textsuperscript{15} An AUC of > 0.9 was considered excellent; 0.8-0.9, very good; 0.7-0.8, good; 0.6-0.7, average; < 0.6, poor\textsuperscript{16}. The best cut-off point is the point where sum of specificity and sensitivity is maximum, when equal weight is given to both\textsuperscript{17}. A two-tailed \( P < 0.05 \) was considered significant.

**Results**

A total of 326 febrile patients (mean age: 45.26 ± 19.03 yr; 179 male) were included in this study. Demographic and laboratory characteristics of patients with fever in this study are listed in Table I. Bacteraemia was confirmed in 58 patients (17.79%), bacterial infection was excluded in 50 patients (15.34%). The final diagnoses are shown in Table II, most common diagnosis was bacterial pneumonia (128/326, 39.26%). Of the 276 patients with bacterial infection, there were 161 cases (58.33%) of hospital acquired infection and 115 cases (41.67%) of community acquired infection. There were significant differences in the levels of PCT, CRP, IL-6 and SAA among the three groups (\( P < 0.001 \)) (Table I). The correlation between PCT and CRP was moderate (\( r = 0.532 \)), and those between PCT and SAA (\( r = 0.331 \)) or IL-6 (\( r = 0.419 \)) were weak.

The pathogens isolated from cultures are shown in Fig. 1. The most frequently isolated pathogen was *Escherichia coli* (25/276, 9.06%), followed by *Acinetobacter baumannii/calcoaceticus* (21/276, 7.61%). PCT, CRP, IL-6 and SAA concentrations according to pathogens in patients with bacterial infection are shown in Table III. The PCT levels in Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacterial infections were 0.53 ng/ml and 2.13 ng/ml, respectively, with the levels significantly higher in Gram-negative bacterial infections (\( P < 0.01 \)).

The ROC curves of PCT, CRP, IL-6 and SAA concentrations for discrimination between patients in the bacterial infection group and non-bacterial infection group are shown in Fig. 2. The best cut-off value to detect bacterial infection was 0.26 ng/ml for PCT. The AUC values for the studied biomarkers are listed in Table IV. PCT was better than CRP (\( P < 0.05 \)), IL-6 (\( P < 0.001 \)) and SAA (\( P < 0.01 \)) for detecting bacterial infection.

**Discussion**

Early identification of bacterial infection in patients with fever is important for the therapy, however, laboratory parameters, such as CRP level and leukocyte counts have less value for early diagnosis. Many previous studies have shown that PCT level can be used to identify bacterial infections in patients with sepsis\textsuperscript{18-20} and in patients admitted to intensive care units (ICUs)\textsuperscript{20} or the emergency department (ED)\textsuperscript{8,21}.

Our study suggested PCT as a valuable biomarker in detecting bacterial infection in febrile patients. This observation is consistent with the findings of

| Parameters                      | All        | Group 1                        | Group 2                        | Group 3                        |
|---------------------------------|------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
|                                 | All        | Bacteraemia                    | Bacterial infection with negative blood culture | Non-bacterial infections |
| Number                          | 326        | 58                             | 218                            | 50                             |
| Sex (male vs female)            | 179:147    | 34:24                          | 118:100                        | 27:23                          |
| Age (yr) (Mean ± SD)            | 45.26 ± 19.03 | 46.88 ± 17.13                  | 49.46 ± 17.82                  | 43.04 ± 19.1                   |
| Procalcitonin (PCT) (ng/ml) Median (IQR) | 0.37 (0.16-2.13) | 3.19 (0.43-10.33)              | 0.41 (0.18-2.04)               | 0.13 (0.06-0.23)               |
| C-reactive protein (CRP) (mg/l) Median (IQR) | 88.30 (32.20-143.00) | 121.00 (86.23-174.25)         | 87.70 (33.45-143.00)           | 36.90 (12.60-89.20)           |
| Interleukin-6 (IL-6) (pg/ml) Median (IQR) | 45.73 (18.56-107.20) | 72.15 (27.98-202.35)         | 44.37 (19.72-107.2)            | 23.22 (9.44-57.37)            |
| Serum amyloid A (SAA) (mg/l) Median (IQR) | 290.50 (85.15-529.00) | 335.50 (211.25-539.75)     | 292.00 (102.50-561.00)         | 84.25 (24.15-321.75)          |

\( P < 0.001, \text{PCT, CRP, SAA, IL-6. Significant difference among groups; IQR, inter-quartile range} \)
Table II. Final diagnosis of enrolled patients (n=326) with fever

| Bacterial infection groups (groups 1 and 2) | Non-bacterial infection group (group 3) |
|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Diagnosis                                  | Diagnosis                             |
| Bacterial sepsis focus unknown             | Adult Still’s disease                 |
| Bacterial pneumonia                        | Lymphoma                              |
| Urinary tract infection                    | Arthritis                             |
| Tuberculosis                               | ANCA-positive vasculitis              |
| Liver abscess                              | Allergodermia                         |
| Bacteria meningitis                        | Viral meningitis                      |
| Osteomyelitis                              | Viral respiratory tract infection     |
| Endocarditis                               | Myeloma                               |
| Cholecystitis                              | Infectious mononucleosis             |
| Bacterial gastroenteritis                  | Hepatitis E                           |
| Bacterial peritonitis                      | Lung cancer                           |
| Bacterial lymph node inflammation          | Polychondritis                        |
| Infectious arthritis                      | Aplastic anaemia                      |
| Cellulitis                                 | Behcet’s syndrome                     |
| Nasosinuitis                               | Sweet syndrome                        |
| Paratyphoid fever A                        |                                       |
| Anorectal abscess                          |                                       |
| **Total**                                  | **276**                               |
| **ANCA, anti-neutrophil cytoplasmic antibodies** | **50**                               |

Fig. 1. Bacterial pathogens isolated from the enrolled febrile patients.
Table III. Differences of PCT, CRP, IL-6 and SAA concentrations based on Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacterial infections

| Pathogen       | No. | Procalcitonin (PCT) (ng/ml) | C-reactive protein (CRP) (mg/l) | Interleukin-6 (IL-6) (pg/ml) | Serum amyloid A (SAA) (mg/l) |
|----------------|-----|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|
| Gram-positive  | 35  | 0.53                        | 110.50 (84.48-178.00)          | 64.81 (25.20-180.25)         | 457.50 (236.75-716.25)       |
| Gram-negative  | 74  | 2.13**                      | 112.50 (44.63-174.00)          | 54.67 (24.09-147.15)         | 301.00 (170.50-577.75)       |

**P<0.01 compared to Gram-positive

Fig. 2. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve demonstrating sensitivity as a function of one-specificity for distinction between patients in the bacterial infection groups (group 1 and 2) and patients in the non-bacterial infection group (group 3) based on procalcitonin (PCT), C-reactive protein (CRP), interleukin-6 (IL-6) and serum amyloid A (SAA) levels. PCT, CRP, IL-6 and SAA had areas under the ROC curve of 0.804, 0.693, 0.658 and 0.687, respectively.

Table IV. Area under the curves (AUC) of the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) for procalcitonin (PCT), C-reactive protein (CRP), interleukin-6 (IL-6), serum amyloid A (SAA) and the best cut-off values to detect bacterial infection from febrile patients in the infectious diseases department

| Biomarker           | AUC (95%CI)         | Cut-off value | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) | Youden’s index (%) |
|---------------------|---------------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| Procalcitonin (PCT) (ng/ml) | 0.804 (0.757-0.846) | 0.26          | 64.50           | 84.00           | 48.5              |
| C-reactive protein (CRP) (mg/l) | 0.693 (0.639-0.742) | 73.80         | 62.00           | 72.00           | 34.0              |
| Interleukin-6 (IL-6) (pg/ml) | 0.658 (0.604-0.710)  | 37.67         | 59.10           | 70.00           | 29.1              |
| Serum amyloid A (SAA) (mg/l) | 0.687 (0.634-0.737) | 119.50        | 42.00           | 58.00           | 0                 |

*P<0.05, **<0.01, ***<0.001 compared to PCT
previous researches\textsuperscript{6,8-10} and may be explained by the fact that interferon-gamma (IFN-\(\gamma\)) inhibits IL-1 beta-induced calcitonin mRNA expression and PCT secretion, so serum PCT levels increase less in viral infections as compared with bacterial infections\textsuperscript{22}. Besides, CRP and IL-6 were also found to be of value in detecting bacterial infection in febrile patients. Previous studies have also used CRP level to identify bacterial infections in febrile patients\textsuperscript{23,24} and PCT was superior to CRP in identifying bacterial infection\textsuperscript{6,8-10}. Though CRP is the most commonly measured acute parameter in infection and sepsis, it is not a reliable marker in identifying bacterial infection because of its low sensitivity and specificity. There was no study using SAA as a marker for distinction between infectious and non-infectious fever. SAA has the same initial kinetics as CRP in the acute phase response. This study showed that SAA might not be a valuable biomarker of identifying bacterial infection in patients with fever. A previous study showed IL-6 as a better prognostic marker of bacterial infection than CRP in patients with febrile neutropenia\textsuperscript{25}. This inconsistency may be due to different testing time. In addition, there was no significant association between PCT and CRP or SAA or IL-6, which also might be related to the different peak time and plasma half-life of different biomarkers after a stimulus. In bacterial infections, IL-6 levels rise 2h after endotoxin administration, and then gradually decline\textsuperscript{26}. PCT is probably synthesized in the liver or monocytes, in response to cytokines such as IL-6 and TNF-\(\alpha\)\textsuperscript{27,28}. PCT increases in blood six hours after a stimulus, reaches a plateau between 12 and 48 h, and then decreases if the stimulus stops. CRP increased four hours later than PCT\textsuperscript{27}. In general, biomarker measurement has some disadvantages. Probably the combination of biomarkers would lead to a better sensitivity and specificity to predict bacterial infections.

In our study Acinetobacter baumannii/calcocacticus was isolated from 21 patients, as reported in previous studies also\textsuperscript{11,29}. Our hospital is a large general hospital, many elderly patients hospitalized in other departments or other hospitals, if suffer from fever during their hospitalization and with a suspicion of infection are then admitted to the Infectious Diseases department. Therefore, there were some patients with hospital acquired infection and some isolated pathogens were not common community acquired pathogens.

Our study showed that PCT levels in Gram-negative bacterial infections were significantly higher than that in Gram-positive bacterial infections, consistent with results of previous studies\textsuperscript{30,31}. The TNF-\(\alpha\) plays a pivotal role in the cytokine response to Gram-negative bacteria, and also in the release of PCT from various cells in bacterial infection\textsuperscript{10}. Previous experiment showed that PCT peak value was significantly higher in rats stimulated with lipopolysaccharide (LPS), a component of the outer membrane of the Gram-negative bacteria, than in those stimulated with muramyl dipeptide, a component of the outer membrane of the Gram-positive bacteria\textsuperscript{32}. Therefore, the PCT level was possibly related to the characteristics of the pathogen.

There were some limitations concerning this study. Firstly, the study was based on a large hospital (4300 ward beds) statistics and not on a specified population in a region, which might have caused selection bias. Second, the cut-off values from ROC curves are based on sensitivity and specificity which are sensitive to prevalence of a disease and the same is not known.

In conclusion, PCT may be a valuable biomarker of bacterial infections in febrile patients, with greater predictive value than CRP and other biomarkers of infection. SAA may not be a valuable marker of identifying bacterial infection. More prospective and large scale studies are needed to better define the usefulness of PCT in identifying the causes of fever and guiding the rational use of antibiotics.
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