Rilmenidine promotes MTOR-independent autophagy in the mutant SOD1 mouse model of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis without slowing disease progression
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ABSTRACT

Macroautophagy/autophagy is the main intracellular catabolic pathway in neurons that eliminates misfolded proteins, aggregates and damaged organelles associated with ageing and neurodegeneration. Autophagy is regulated by both MTOR-dependent and -independent pathways. There is increasing evidence that autophagy is compromised in neurodegenerative disorders, which may contribute to cytoplasmic sequestration of aggregation-prone and toxic proteins in neurons. Genetic or pharmacological modulation of autophagy to promote clearance of misfolded proteins may be a promising therapeutic avenue for these disorders. Here, we demonstrate robust autophagy induction in motor neuronal cells expressing SOD1 or TARDBP/TDP-43 mutants linked to amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS). Treatment of these cells with rilmenidine, an anti-hypertensive agent and imidazoline-1 receptor agonist that induces autophagy, promoted autophagic clearance of mutant SOD1 and efficient mitophagy. Rilmenidine administration to mutant SOD1G93A mice upregulated autophagy and mitophagy in spinal cord, leading to reduced soluble mutant SOD1 levels. Importantly, rilmenidine increased autophagosome abundance in motor neurons of SOD1G93A mice, suggesting a direct action on target cells. Despite robust induction of autophagy in vivo, rilmenidine worsened motor neuron degeneration and symptom progression in SOD1G93A mice. These effects were associated with increased accumulation and aggregation of insoluble and misfolded SOD1 species outside the autophagy pathway, and severe mitochondrial depletion in motor neurons of rilmenidine-treated mice. These findings suggest that rilmenidine treatment may drive disease progression and neurodegeneration in this mouse model due to excessive mitophagy, implying that alternative strategies to beneficially stimulate autophagy are warranted in ALS.

INTRODUCTION

Neurodegenerative disorders are characterized by intraneuronal or extracellular accumulation and aggregation of misfolded proteins, which are implicated in specific death of neuronal populations in defined regions of the CNS. In amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS), a progressive, paralyzing and fatal disease, motor neurons are lost in selective regions of the brain, brainstem and spinal cord [1]. While ALS is mainly sporadic, familial cases can be attributed to mutations in a growing number of genes and their products, notably SOD1 (superoxide dismutase 1), TARDBP/TDP-43 (TAR DNA binding protein), FUS (fused in sarcoma) and C9orf72 (chromosome 9 open reading frame 72) [1]. A pathological hallmark of ALS is the presence of cytoplasmic ubiquitin-positive protein aggregates in affected motor neurons, which may contain misfolded forms of SOD1, TARDBP, FUS or dipeptide repeats of C9orf72 RAN translation products [2,3]. These proteins are present in protein aggregates of both familial and sporadic ALS, suggesting common pathological mechanisms. The accumulation of these proteins in motor neurons suggests that defects in intracellular protein...
clearance or handling may contribute to ALS. In addition, mutations in UBQLN2 (ubiquilin 2), OPTN (optineurin), SQSTM1/p62 (sequestosome 1), VCP/p97 (valosin containing protein) and TBK1 (TANK binding kinase 1), which mediate protein degradation pathways, are causative in ALS [4], [5] providing further support to the concept that a failure of proteostasis may play an important role in ALS pathogenesis.

Eukaryotic cells have 2 major intracellular protein degradation pathways: the ubiquitin-proteasome system (UPS) and autophagy. The UPS is highly selective for degradation of short-lived proteins [6]. The narrow pore of the proteasome prevents the clearance of large protein aggregates [7] and there is evidence of proteasomal inhibition in mutant SOD1 cell and mouse models due to aggregation of SOD1 protein [8,9].

Macroautophagy involves the formation of a cytoplasmic double-membrane structure known as the autophagosome (AP) where cargoes such as protein aggregates, damaged organelles or even intracellular bacteria are engulfed and delivered to the lysosome for degradation. Mitophagy is a subtype of macroautophagy involving autophagic turnover of defective mitochondria. Target recognition in macroautophagy is mediated by interactions of SQSTM1-tagged cytosolic substrates with MAP1LC3B/LC3B (microtubule associated protein 1 light chain 3 beta) on phagophores, the precursors to APs. Two other autophagy pathways, chaperone-mediated autophagy (CMA) and microautophagy exist with AP-independent mechanisms of substrate delivery to lysosomes [10].

There is increasing evidence for compromised autophagy in ALS. Protein inclusions containing SQSTM1 accumulate in motor neurons of ALS patients [11] and transgenic SOD1G93A mice [12], implying defective autophagic clearance of substrates. Accumulation of autophagic vacuoles, including APs, occurs in motor neurons of ALS patients [13] and mutant SOD1 mice [14], consistent with impaired autophagic flux. There is also upregulation of lysosomal proteases such as cathepsins in affected motor neurons in ALS [15] and mutant SOD1 mice [16], indicative of lysosomal defects. Last, there is increased LC3-II processing, indicating autophagy activation in spinal cords of ALS patients [17] and mutant SOD1 mice [18]. Importantly, SOD1 and TARDBP are substrates of autophagy [19,20] and their accumulation in motor neurons in ALS implies defective autophagic clearance. Genetic or chemical modulation of autophagy to promote degradation of misfolded proteins therefore presents an attractive therapeutic approach for ALS.

In contrast to other models of neurodegeneration, interventions aiming to stimulate autophagy in ALS models have generated conflicting outcomes. Treatment of ALS patients and mutant SOD1 mice with lithium was initially reported to be beneficial by upregulating autophagy [21], although these findings were not reproduced by others [22]. Administration of rapamycin, which inhibits MTOR (mechanistic target of rapamycin [serine/threonine kinase]) leading to autophagy induction, has consistently produced no positive benefit in mutant SOD1 mice. Rapamycin treatment either exacerbates motor neuron loss and disease progression [14,23] or has no impact on survival [24]. However, the influence of MTOR on non-neuronal cells and pathways other than autophagy regulation may account for these largely negative effects of rapamycin. For example, one study demonstrated that rapamycin was only beneficial to mutant SOD1 mice when they lacked mature T cells [25].

Recent attention has shifted to modulation of MTOR-independent pathways of autophagy activation as a potential therapeutic target in neurodegeneration [26]. Treatment with progesterone prolongs survival of mutant SOD1 mice and is associated with enhanced autophagy in spinal cord [27], although mutant SOD1 clearance was not reported. Administration of trehalose to mutant SOD1 mice promotes autophagic degradation of mutant SOD1 in spinal cord and extended life span in some studies [28,29] but not another [30]. Interestingly, a recent study demonstrated that the mechanism of trehalose action involves inhibition of glucose transporters leading to AMP-activated protein kinase activation which may influence MTOR-dependent autophagy [31]. Further contributing to the conundrum, treatment with chloroquine, which blocks lysosomal acidification and therefore autophagy, normalizes proteostasis in spinal motor neurons of SOD1G93R mice [32], although these mice express a SOD1-YFP transgene. Most recently, treatment with n-butylidenephthalide in SOD1G93A mice, which abrogates autophagy, prolongs survival [33]. Thus, there is a pressing reason to evaluate whether additional agents that stimulate MTOR-independent pathways of autophagy have beneficial effects in models of ALS.

Rilmenidine is a clinically approved anti-hypertensive agent that acts by activating ADRA2/a2-adrenoceptors and imidazoline-1 receptors (I1Rsa) in the brain and periphery [34]. Interestingly, activation of I1Rs leads to induction of macroautophagy by a cAMP and inositol trisphosphate (IP3)-dependent signaling pathway [26]. Rilmenidine promotes MTOR-independent autophagic clearance of mutant SNCA/a-synuclein in vitro [26] and pathological expanded HTT (huntingtin) from the brain, and improves motor symptoms in a mouse model of Huntington disease (HD) [34]. I1Rs are also highly expressed by motor neurons in the spinal cord and motor cortex [35,36] raising the possibility that rilmenidine-induced stimulation of autophagy may counter mutant SOD1 accumulation, toxicity and disease progression in ALS. Therefore, the aim of this study was to investigate whether MTOR-independent autophagy stimulation by rilmenidine affected mutant SOD1 accumulation, symptom progression and motor neuron pathology in the SOD1G93A mouse model of ALS.

**Results**

**Autophagy activation in NSC-34 cells expressing different ALS-linked misfolded proteins**

We first determined whether autophagy activation occurs in motor neuronal NSC-34 cells expressing different ALS-linked proteins. The levels of key macroautophagy and CMA markers in NSC-34 cells transfected with human WT or mutant SOD1 were determined using immunoblotting. LC3 was used as a marker for macroautophagy. Endogenous LC3 was detected as 2 bands at approximately 16 kDa and 18 kDa, corresponding to cytosolic LC3-I and phagophore or AP-bound LC3-II [37], respectively (Figure 1A). The ratio of LC3-II to ACTB correlates with AP number and is a robust marker of autophagy
LC3-II levels normalized to ACTB were significantly increased by 120% (p<0.05) and 150% (p<0.05) in NSC-34 cells expressing mutant SOD1 G85R or G93A, respectively, compared to NSC-34 cells expressing WT SOD1 (Figure 1B). Accumulation of SQSTM1 was similar in cells transfected with WT or mutant SOD1 (Figure 1C). The levels of the CMA receptor, LAMP2A (lysosomal-associated membrane protein 2A) were increased by 75% and 60% in cells expressing the G85R or G93A mutant, respectively, relative to WT SOD1 cells expressing WT SOD1 (Figure 1D). Finally, the CMA chaperone HSPA8 (heat shock protein 8) expression was similar in all cells (Figure 1E).

Autophagy activation was then examined in NSC-34 cells transfected with either WT or mutant TARDBP (Figure 1F). LC3-II levels were significantly elevated in cells expressing the Q331K (85%, p<0.01) and M337V (70%, p<0.05) mutants, compared to WT TARDBP (Figure 1G). SQSTM1 levels were also significantly higher in cells expressing Q331K (50%, p<0.05) and M337V (40%, p<0.05) than WT TARDBP (Figure 1H). LAMP2A levels were 30% higher in cells expressing the Q331K mutant (p<0.05) compared to WT TARDBP, but were only elevated by 10% in M337V-expressing cells (Figure 1I). Again, HSPA8 levels were similar in cells expressing WT or mutant TARDBP (Figure 1J). These results indicate that macroautophagy is induced by accumulation of SOD1 and TARDBP mutants. Thus, agents such as rilmenidine, which potentiate autophagy, may be beneficial in ALS.
Rilmenidine enhances macroautophagy and clears mutant SOD1 protein from NSC-34 cells

We evaluated the autophagic activity of rilmenidine using NSC-34 cells expressing WT or mutant SOD1 (Figure 2A). The SOD1A4V mutation was studied because of its high propensity to aggregate in vitro [39]. Treatment of cells with 10 µM rilmenidine induced macroautophagy by 45% (p<0.05) demonstrated by increased LC3-II levels in WT SOD1-expressing cells (Figure 2B). SQSTM1 levels were also significantly elevated by 15% (p<0.05) by rilmenidine treatment (Figure 2C). In SOD1A4V cells, LC3-II levels were elevated by 15% by 10 µM rilmenidine treatment.
immunoblots normalized to untreated cells. Data represent mean ± SD, n = 3 independent experiments.

LC3-II and SQSTM1 accumulation may also reflect impaired autophagy flux caused by a failure of AP and lysosome fusion. We therefore utilized a tandem mCherry-GFP-LC3B reporter construct to accurately gauge autophagy flux induced by rilmenidine treatment. In untreated NSC-34 cells, there were few mature autolysosomes defined by red puncta (arrows), compared to autophagosomes identified by yellow puncta (arrowheads) (Figure 2D). Treatment with rilmenidine significantly increased the proportion of autolysosomes by ∼2-fold, compared to untreated cells (p < 0.05) (Figure 2E), suggesting that rilmenidine enhances autophagy flux.

We also treated SOD1A4V-transfected NSC-34 cells with rilmenidine in the presence of the vacuolar-type H+-ATPase inhibitor bafilomycin A1 to distinguish autophagy flux augmentation from impairment. Rilmenidine significantly increased LC3-II levels in the presence of bafilomycin A1 (p < 0.05) (Figure 2F and Figure 2G), indicating that rilmenidine elicited LC3-II induction is due to increased synthesis, rather than decreased degradation caused by inhibition of autophagy flux. These results collectively emphasize that rilmenidine is an autophagy-inducing agent.

In concert with enhanced macroautophagy induction by rilmenidine, WT SOD1 levels were significantly reduced by 20% (p < 0.05) with 10 μM rilmenidine treatment compared to untreated cells (Figure 2H and Figure 2I). Importantly, 10 μM rilmenidine also significantly reduced mutant SOD1 accumulation by 20% (p < 0.05), implying increased autophagic degradation (p < 0.05). The ability of rilmenidine to stimulate macroautophagic clearance of mutant SOD1 suggests that it may be a promising agent to stimulate autophagy in vivo.

To confirm the concentration of rilmenidine employed in this study was not harmful to NSC-34 cells, we conducted a dose-response study of rilmenidine modulation of cell viability. Unlike the mitochondrial complex I inhibitor rotenone, which elicited significant cell death, rilmenidine was well tolerated even at high concentrations (Figure 2I), suggesting rilmenidine treatment does not impair cell viability.

**Rilmenidine does not promote CMA in NSC-34 cells**

To evaluate a potential impact of rilmenidine on other autophagy pathways, we analyzed CMA markers in NSC-34 cells transfected with WT SOD1 and treated with 10 μM rilmenidine. Rilmenidine treatment did not modulate the expression levels of LAMP2A or HSPA8 (Figure 3A, Figure 3B and Figure 3C). Wild-type SNCA was previously shown to be a CMA substrate [40,41]. We therefore investigated whether rilmenidine can reduce endogenous SNCA levels in NSC-34 cells, showing no effect of rilmenidine on SNCA accumulation (Figure 3D). Hence, rilmenidine specifically promotes macroautophagy in NSC-34 cells.

**Rilmenidine enhances macroautophagy in human embryonic stem cell-derived motor neurons**

To confirm whether the autophagy-inducing effects of rilmenidine are similar in neurons, we generated human embryonic stem cell (hESC)-derived spinal motor neurons and treated them with rilmenidine. Motor neurons positive for both MNX1/HB9 (Figure 4A) and ISL1 (Figure 4B) were generated from hESCs and were subsequently differentiated into mature spinal motor neurons as validated by CHAT (Figure 4C) and TuJ1 (Figure 4D) expression. Motor neuron differentiations were highly efficient as shown by 69 ± 6% MNX1/HB9⁺ and 80 ± 15% CHAT⁺ cells (mean ± SD).

Mature motor neurons were transfected with a plasmid expressing WT SOD1 and treated with 10 μM rilmenidine, which significantly increased LC3-II levels (p < 0.05) (Figure 4E and Figure 4F) and significantly decreased SQSTM1 accumulation (p < 0.05) (Figure 4G), consistent with macroautophagy induction. Thus, rilmenidine stimulates autophagy in both cell lines and human neurons in this study.

**Rilmenidine enhances MTOR-independent macroautophagy and mitophagy in spinal cords of mutant SOD1 mice**

To address the effects of rilmenidine-induced autophagy stimulation in vivo, SOD1G93A mice were treated with rilmenidine from postnatal day 60 (P60), using a dose regimen (10 mg/kg, 4-times a week, i.p. injection) reported to be effective in transgenic HD mice [34]. Similar to observations of Rose and colleagues (2010) [34], we noted that rilmenidine treatment induced transient hypeactivity in mice. This effect persisted up to 3 h following injections and most likely resulted from ADRA2α-adrenoceptor activation [34]. Therefore, mice were weighed and rotarod performance was assessed on non-injection days. We first investigated whether rilmenidine enhanced

---

**Figure 3.** Rilmenidine has no effect on the CMA pathway in NSC-34 cells. (A) Immunoblot analysis of CMA markers and substrate SNCA in NSC-34 cells transiently transfected with wild-type (WT) SOD1 and treated with rilmenidine (Ril) for 18 h post-transfection. Quantification of (B) LAMP2A, (C) HSPA8 and (D) SNCA protein levels from immunoblots normalized to untreated cells. Data represent mean ± SD, n = 3 independent experiments.
autophagy in spinal cords of SOD1<sup>G93A</sup> mice collected at symptom onset (P90) (Figure 5A) or endstage (Figure 5B).

At symptom onset, LC3-II levels were 55% higher in spinal cords of rilmenidine-treated mice, than in vehicle-treated mice (Figure 5C). SQSTM1 levels were also elevated by 10% in spinal cords (p < 0.05) of rilmenidine-treated mice compared to vehicle-treated mice (Figure 5D). Expression of CMA markers was not affected in rilmenidine-treated mice (Figure 5A). Levels of VDAC1, a marker of mitochondrial turnover and a macroautophagy substrate, were significantly lower (30%; p < 0.05) in the spinal cords of rilmenidine-treated mice, than in vehicle-treated mice (Figure 5E), consistent with enhanced mitophagy. Thus, rilmenidine stimulates efficient macroautophagy and mitophagy in spinal cords at symptom onset, the target tissue affected in SOD1<sup>G93A</sup> mice.

At end stage, LC3-II levels were 55% higher in spinal cords of rilmenidine-treated mice relative to vehicle-treated mice (p < 0.05) (Figure 5C), implying sustained autophagy induction. SQSTM1, LAMP2A, HSPA8 and VDAC1 levels were similar in spinal cords of rilmenidine- and vehicle-treated mice (Figure 5B, Figure 5D and Figure 5E). Hence, macroautophagy remains elevated in spinal cords in SOD1<sup>G93A</sup> mice treated with rilmenidine until end stage disease.

To demonstrate that rilmenidine promotes MTOR-independent autophagy, serine 2448 phosphorylation of MTOR (p-MTOR) was measured using immunoblotting in mice.
Analysis of spinal cords showed the expected p-MTOR and MTOR bands at approximately 289 kDa (Figure 5B). MTOR activation, determined by the p-MTOR:MTOR ratio, was similar in spinal cords of rilmenidine- and vehicle-treated SOD1G93A mice (Figure 5F), confirming that macroautophagy induction by rilmenidine occurs by a MTOR-independent pathway.

**Rilmenidine increases autophagosome number in spinal cord motor neurons of mutant SOD1 mice**

Rilmenidine acts through I1Rs, which are highly expressed by spinal motor neurons, to induce macroautophagy [26]. Therefore, to confirm rilmenidine action on spinal motor neurons, the AP and lysosome content of these neurons, identified by their size, ventral horn location and RBFOX3/NeuN immunoreactivity, was assessed with immunohistochemistry. LC3-positive APs were diffusely distributed throughout the cytoplasm of motor neurons of vehicle-treated SOD1 mice (Figure 6A, arrows). APs were more prominent in spinal motor neurons of rilmenidine-treated SOD1 mice and quantification demonstrated a significant increase in AP number in motor neurons (Figure 6C), consistent with autophagy stimulation based on western blotting findings (Figure 5A and Figure 5B). LAMP2-positive lysosomes were located in the cytoplasm of motor neurons of vehicle- and rilmenidine-treated SOD1 mice (Figure 6B, arrows). Quantification revealed similar numbers of lysosomes in motor neurons between treatment groups (Figure 6D). These results establish that induction of macroautophagy occurs in target spinal motor neurons of SOD1G93A mice.

**Rilmenidine promotes disease progression and augments motor neuron degeneration while having no effect on glial pathology in mutant SOD1 mice**

The impact of rilmenidine treatment on body weight, motor performance and disease progression in mutant SOD1 mice was next determined. Rilmenidine treatment had no effect on the age of weight loss onset (Figure 7A) or the onset of locomotor deficits as measured by weekly rotarod analysis (Figure 7B). However, survival objectively defined by the onset of hind limb paralysis was significantly decreased in rilmenidine-treated mutant SOD1 mice (154 ± 6 days, mean ± SD), compared to vehicle-treated mice (159 ± 6 days) (p<0.05) (Figure 7C). Thus, despite robust autophagy induction in spinal cords and motor neurons, rilmenidine worsens the clinical outcome in mutant SOD1 mice.
To account for the diminished survival of rilmenidine-treated mutant SOD1 mice, neuropathology was examined in spinal cords. Motor neuron counts in lumbar spinal cords at 90 days of age revealed a significant 20% reduction in neuronal number in rilmenidine-treated mutant SOD1 mice ($p < 0.01$), compared to the vehicle group (Figure 7D and Figure 7E), suggesting rilmenidine-induced autophagy stimulation augments motor neuron degeneration in spinal cord.

The effect of rilmenidine treatment on inflammatory glial cell activation in spinal cords was next investigated. Astrocyte activation assessed by GFAP labeling (Figure 7F) and microgliosis, determined by ITGAM/CD11b immunoreactivity (Figure 7G) were similar in spinal cords of rilmenidine- and vehicle-treated mutant SOD1 mice. These data suggest that the absence of I$_1$R expression in astrocytes and microglia precludes a direct effect of rilmenidine on these cell types. Thus, accelerated disease progression in rilmenidine-treated SOD1 mice is likely to reflect intrinsic motor neuron pathology, rather than influence by surrounding glial cells.

Figure 6. Rilmenidine treatment increases autophagosome number in spinal motor neurons of SOD1$^{G93A}$ mice. (A) LC3 immunohistochemical analysis in lumbar spinal cords of vehicle- and rilmenidine-treated SOD1$^{G93A}$ mice. In vehicle-treated mice, there is diffuse distribution of autophagosomes in the cytoplasm of RBFOX3/NeuN-positive motor neurons. In rilmenidine-treated mice, there is accumulation of autophagosomes (arrows) in motor neurons. (B) LAMP2 immunohistochemical analysis in lumbar spinal cords of vehicle- and rilmenidine-treated SOD1$^{G93A}$ mice. In vehicle- and rilmenidine-treated mice, lysosomes (arrows) are distributed in the cytoplasm of motor neurons. Quantification of (C) LC3-positive autophagosomes and (D) LAMP2-positive lysosomes in motor neurons. Data represent mean ± SD, $n = 3$ mice per group, *$p < 0.05$ compared to vehicle-treated mice using an unpaired t-test.
Rilmenidine stimulates pathological mutant SOD1 accumulation and aggregation in spinal motor neurons

We next determined whether rilmenidine-induced stimulation of autophagy in spinal cords affected mutant SOD1 protein load. Western blot analysis with a human SOD1-specific antibody showed a band at approximately 20 kDa corresponding to human SOD1 in spinal cords of mice at 90 days of age. Soluble mutant SOD1 levels were reduced by 15% in spinal cords of rilmenidine-treated mice, compared to vehicle mice (Figure 8B, p < 0.01), supporting our findings obtained with NSC-34 cells (Figure 2H and Figure 2I).

Figure 7. Rilmenidine treatment worsens disease progression and neurodegeneration in SOD1G93A mice. (A) Onset of body weight decline and (B) locomotor activity were similar in rilmenidine- and vehicle-treated SOD1G93A mice. (C) Survival was significantly reduced by rilmenidine treatment compared to vehicle-treated animals. Data represent mean ± SD, n = 10 mice per group. *p < 0.05 using the log-rank test. (D) Photomicrographs of ventral horns stained with cresyl violet in lumbar spinal cords of mice at 90 days of age. (E) Motor neuron counts in ventral horns of lumbar spinal cords of vehicle- and rilmenidine-treated mice. Motor neuron numbers in rilmenidine-treated mice were significantly decreased compared to vehicle-treated mice. Data represent mean ± SD, n = 5 mice per group, **p < 0.01 compared to vehicle-treated mice using an unpaired t-test. Immunohistochemical analysis of (F) astrocytes using GFAP and (G) microglia using ITGAM/CD11b in lumbar spinal cords of mice at end stage. Astrocyte and microglial activation appear similar in spinal cords of rilmenidine- and vehicle-treated mice. Images are representative of 3 mice per group.
Western blotting with a misfolded human SOD1-specific antibody also revealed a band at 20 kDa corresponding to misfolded SOD1 (misSOD1) protein levels normalized to vehicle-treated mice. This finding prompted us to investigate the level of insoluble human SOD1 protein in the pellet fraction of spinal cords. Western blot analysis with the human SOD1-specific antibody revealed a corresponding and significant increase of 15% in levels of insoluble mutant SOD1 in rilmenidine-treated mice (Figure 8D and Figure 8E, *p* < 0.01). These data suggest that rilmenidine reduces the amount of soluble mutant SOD1 by increasing misfolded and insoluble mutant SOD1 species, termed pathological SOD1 here.

**Figure 8.** Rilmenidine treatment increases the burden of pathological and aggregated SOD1 in motor neurons of SOD1G93A mice. (A) Immunoblot analysis of soluble SOD1 protein levels in spinal cords of vehicle- and rilmenidine-treated SOD1G93A mice at end stage. Quantification of (B) human SOD1 (HsSOD1) and (C) misfolded SOD1 (misSOD1) protein levels normalized to vehicle-treated mice. (D) Immunoblot analysis of insoluble SOD1 protein levels in spinal cord pellet fractions of vehicle- and rilmenidine-treated SOD1G93A mice at end stage. (E) Quantification of HsSOD1 protein level in pellet fraction normalized to vehicle group. Data represent mean ± SD, *n* = 5 mice per group, "*p" < 0.01 compared to vehicle-treated mice using an unpaired t-test. (F, H and I) Misfolded SOD1 immunohistochemical analysis of lumbar spinal cords of vehicle- and rilmenidine-treated SOD1G93A mice at 90 days of age. (F-H) In vehicle-treated mice, misfolded SOD1 shows diffuse staining in the cytoplasm of RBFOX3/NeuN-positive motor neurons (arrows). In rilmenidine-treated mice, SOD1 immunoreactivity is increased with accumulation of SOD1 into cytoplasmic aggregates in motor neurons. SOD1 accumulates into (H) Lewy body-like or (I) skein-like inclusions (arrows) in motor neurons. (G) Quantification of misfolded SOD1 aggregates in motor neurons. Data represent mean ± SD, *n* = 5 mice per group, "*p" < 0.01 compared to vehicle-treated mice using an unpaired t-test.
The subcellular distribution of misfolded SOD1 was next examined in spinal cord sections of mice using immunohistochemistry. In spinal motor neurons of vehicle-treated SOD1 mice, misfolded SOD1 distributed to small focal aggregates throughout the ventral horn (Figure 8F). In rilmenidine-treated SOD1 mice, there was a drastic increase in misfolded SOD1 accumulation and aggregation in ventral horns, particularly in the cytoplasm of motor neurons (Figure 8F, arrows), consistent with western blot findings. At higher magnification, we observed striking formation and accumulation of misfolded SOD1 into classic Lewy body-like (Figure 8H, arrows) or skein-like (Figure 8I, arrow) inclusions in the cytoplasm of motor neurons in rilmenidine-treated SOD1 mice, unlike vehicle mice. Quantification of misfolded SOD1 inclusions indicated that rilmenidine treatment led to a 3-fold increase in inclusion burden in motor neurons, compared to vehicle-treated mice (Figure 8G, p<0.01). These data demonstrate that MTOR-independent autophagy induced by rilmenidine unexpectedly triggers accumulation of soluble SOD1 into pathological SOD1 species, forming large inclusions in spinal motor neurons, which may drive accelerated motor neuron loss and disease progression in mutant SOD1 mice.

_Rilmenidine induces mutant SOD1 aggregation outside APs and lysosomes_

To determine whether rilmenidine-induced SOD1 aggregates occur in the autophagy pathway, we examined APs and lysosomes for SOD1 inclusions. In vehicle-treated SOD1 mice, misfolded SOD1 was diffusely distributed in the cytoplasm of motor neurons and occasionally colocalized with LC3 puncta (Figure 9A, arrowheads), suggesting mutant SOD1 translocation into APs. In contrast, large cytoplasmic misfolded SOD1 aggregates in rilmenidine-treated mutant SOD1 mice did not colocalize with LC3 puncta (Figure 9A, arrows), implying APs are unable to encapsulate misfolded SOD1.

In vehicle-treated SOD1 mice, misfolded SOD1 and LAMP2 were colocalized in the cytoplasm of motor neurons (Figure 9B, arrowheads), consistent with lysosomal uptake and catabolism of mutant SOD1. Again, in contrast, large misfolded SOD1 aggregates did not colocalize with LAMP2 puncta in motor neurons of rilmenidine-treated SOD1 mice (Figure 9B, arrows). These data collectively suggest that the autophagic machinery cannot engage large misfolded SOD1 aggregates induced by rilmenidine treatment, suggesting their accumulation occurs outside the autophagy system.

_Rilmenidine induces excessive mitophagy resulting in mitochondrial depletion in spinal motor neurons_

Our western blotting findings suggest rilmenidine induces robust mitophagy in spinal cords of mutant SOD1 mice. To characterize this effect further, we used a mitochondrial localized Rosella reporter to measure mitophagy in NSC-34 cells. In control cells, all mitochondria were identified as yellow puncta, indicative of low-level basal mitophagy (Figure 10A). Treatment of cells with the protonophore carbonyl cyanide m-
chlorophenyl hydrazone (CCCP), which depolarizes mitochondria and triggers mitophagy, significantly increased the proportion of red puncta signifying mitochondria fused with lysosomes in 68% of the cells (Figure 10B, \( p < 0.001 \)). Rilmenidine induced significant accumulation of red puncta in 55% of cells (\( p < 0.01 \)), an effect similar to CCCP, suggesting that rilmenidine induces very efficient mitophagy.

To correlate these findings in vivo, we examined mitochondrial content in spinal motor neurons of SOD1\(^{G93A}\) mice treated with rilmenidine. In vehicle treated SOD1\(^{G93A}\) mice, mitochondria identified by TOMM20 were highly enriched in the cytoplasm of motor neurons (Figure 10C). Rilmenidine treatment induced a severe 50% depletion of mitochondria in motor neurons of SOD1\(^{G93A}\) mice, suggesting excessive mitochondrial turnover (Figure 10D). Together, these findings suggest that rilmenidine may induce excessive mitophagy in motor neurons, which could drive disease progression in mutant SOD1 mice.

**Discussion**

Autophagy is regulated by both MTOR-dependent and -independent processes and in this study, we report evidence of autophagy induction in ALS models. Treatment with the antihypertensive agent rilmenidine, which stimulates autophagy by \( \text{I} \text{R} \) activation, induced macroautophagy and mitophagy, leading to reduced levels of soluble mutant SOD1 in cell culture and mouse models of ALS. However, there was a concomitant accumulation and aggregation of misfolded SOD1 and mitochondrial depletion in motor neurons of mutant SOD1\(^{G93A}\) mice, which was linked to accelerated motor neuron degeneration driving disease progression. This evidence collectively points to rilmenidine treatment inducing mitochondrial degradation leading to hastened neuronal death and progression in this mouse model.

Our study is the first to report upregulation of the spectrum of macroautophagy and CMA markers in mutant SOD1 and TARDBP cell culture models of ALS. Other ALS gene products such as mutant OPTN also trigger autophagy [42], suggesting autophagy is a common cellular response to diverse misfolded proteins in this disorder. Although LC3-II was upregulated indicative of autophagy induction, there was an associated accumulation of SQSTM1 in these cells, which may suggest inefficient AP fusion with lysosomes [43], part of complete autophagy flux. Our observation of increased levels of the CMA marker LAMP2A in both mutant SOD1- and TARDBP-expressing cells implies that SOD1 and TARDBP may be substrates for the CMA pathway, which warrants further investigation.
We evaluated rilmenidine, an I\(_1\)R agonist, which induces autophagy in mutant SOD1\(^{G93A}\) mice. Robust autophagy induction as indicated by LC3-II conversion in spinal cord and increased AP abundance in spinal motor neurons suggests efficacy was achieved in our mice treated with rilmenidine. A previous study of transgenic HD mice treated with rilmenidine detected increased autophagy induction in skeletal muscle, but not CNS [34]. Therefore, our study is the first to demonstrate rilmenidine-induced stimulation of autophagy activity in the CNS. Interestingly, autophagy induction by rilmenidine was pronounced in spinal cord, but not brain (data not shown), which overlaps with the anatomical distribution of target I\(_1\)Rs mediating the effects of rilmenidine on spinal motor neurons [35].

Rilmenidine was previously reported to increase autophagy by enhancing LC3-II levels [26]. Our observations of increased LC3-II levels and AP accumulation induced by rilmenidine treatment in vivo while consistent with autophagy induction, could also indicate potential autophagy blockade due to impaired autophagic flux. However, multiple lines of evidence including our own findings presented here suggest rilmenidine promotes autophagic flux. First, rilmenidine stimulates clearance of soluble mutant SNCA [26], HTT [34] and SOD1 in this study, all misfolded protein substrates of autophagy and suggesting functional autophagic degradation. Second, LC3-II accumulation can signal increased AP synthesis or impaired AP-lysosome fusion which can be distinguished using bafilomycin A\(_1\) in vitro. Our observation of enhanced LC3-II levels induced by rilmenidine in the presence of bafilomycin A\(_1\) as confirmed by others [34] supports increased AP formation, rather than autophagy inhibition, resulting from rilmenidine. Furthermore, our results confirming active AP-lysosome and mitochondrial-lysosome fusion induced by rilmenidine using mCherry-GFP-LC3 and m-Rosella reporter assays suggest rilmenidine potentiates autophagic flux. Finally, diminished levels of SQSTM1 in motor neuron cultures, and other autophagy substrates, VDAC1 and TOMM20, in spinal cords of SOD1\(^{G93A}\) mice with rilmenidine treatment, suggests that rilmenidine promotes autophagy. Collectively, this evidence across cell lines, human motor neurons and transgenic mice provides strong support that rilmenidine enhances autophagic flux.

In contrast, SQSTM1 accumulation was not reduced by rilmenidine treatment in NSC-34 cells and tissues of SOD1\(^{G93A}\) mice. Although SQSTM1 levels reflect autophagic status, it is well established that SQSTM1 is a multifunctional protein and its steady-state levels are influenced by many conditions, including starvation, physical exercise, oxidative stress and proteasomal inhibition [44], which may persist despite autophagy induction by rilmenidine, especially in SOD1\(^{G93A}\) mice. Therefore, we consider degradation of the autophagy substrates mutant SOD1 and VDAC1 as more appropriate and sensitive measures of autophagic flux, supported by evidence of autophagy induction using the mCherry-GFP-LC3 reporter.

Despite achieving pharmacological efficacy, rilmenidine treatment was unable to improve the phenotype of mutant SOD1 mice, instead worsening the outcome. This effect is unlikely to be attributed to other activities of rilmenidine such as anti-hypertensive effects mediated by ADRA2/\(\alpha_2\)-adrenoceptor activation. Given that mutant SOD1 mice have elevated blood pressure [45], rilmenidine would normalize blood pressure which is unlikely to be detrimental. Next, activation of I\(_1\)Rs by rilmenidine reduces cytoplasmic IP\(_3\) levels thereby blocking Ca\(^{2+}\) release from the ER [26], which would not promote motor neuron injury. Instead, our results point towards the autophagy-promoting activity of rilmenidine, particularly mitophagy, as key to our findings.

Rilmenidine triggered high level mitophagy in cell culture and mice, which could suggest very efficient mitochondrial turnover. However, the mitophagy-promoting effects of rilmenidine were comparable to the mitochondrial membrane uncoupler CCCP in NSC-34 cells, suggesting that rilmenidine may excessively stimulate mitophagy. A corresponding depletion of mitochondria was confirmed in spinal motor neurons of mutant SOD1\(^{G93A}\) mice treated with rilmenidine. Basal mitophagy, mitochondrial metabolism and maintenance of bioenergetic reserve is essential for cell survival [46]. However, excessive mitophagy in the absence of mitochondrial biogenesis will lead to bioenergetic deficits and subsequent cell death [46]. It is therefore likely that rilmenidine enhanced mitophagy with excessive removal of mitochondria and that reduced energy capacity underlies the acceleration of neurodegeneration and progression in SOD1\(^{G93A}\) mice. In support of this, excessive mitophagy and mitochondrial depletion is a mechanism contributing to neuronal loss in models of HD [47] and Parkinson disease [48]. Furthermore, VCP, which is mutated in ALS, regulates mitophagy [49], suggesting a direct role for mitophagy dysfunction in ALS.

Rilmenidine also provoked accumulation and aggregation of pathological SOD1 species in motor neurons of mutant SOD1 mice. These SOD1 aggregates induced by rilmenidine treatment are likely to be aggresomes, which develop when a threshold of misfolded protein load is exceeded in cells [50]. Interestingly, another study reported that modulation of excitability produced a dramatic accumulation of misfolded SOD1 content in motor neurons of SOD1\(^{G93A}\) mice [23]. Given mitochondrial metabolism and energy capacity are tightly coupled to motor neuron excitability, this evidence may provide a sound explanation for the effects of rilmenidine on SOD1 aggregation in this study.

Despite the outcome from this study, rilmenidine treatment of other ALS mouse models, which are less aggressive and perhaps more relevant to sporadic ALS pathology than mutant SOD1 mice may be warranted to fully determine whether autophagy stimulation using this drug can counter ALS-linked misfolded protein accumulation and slow disease progression. For example, autophagy induction may be a more relevant therapeutic strategy for TARDBP proteinopathy, as rapamycin treatment is beneficial in a FTLD-U mouse model with forebrain restricted TARDBP\(^{WT}\) expression [51]. Furthermore, stimulating autophagy improves TARDBP clearance and enhances survival in primary murine neurons and human stem cell-derived neurons [52]. The duration of drug treatments may also be another consideration as short-term treatment with clemastine, an autophagy enhancer, is beneficial in SOD1\(^{G93A}\) mice, whereas long-term treatment fails to ameliorate disease and triggers SOD1 aggregation [53] as reported in this study.
In conclusion, this study demonstrates negative consequences of promoting mitophagy by rilmenidine treatment in mutant SOD1 mice. We therefore suggest that autophagy enhancers promoting CMA or alternative intracellular pathways mediating misfolded protein clearance may be beneficial in ALS. Furthermore, autophagy enhancers may need to be combined with agents and approaches that restore function across the proteostasis network for efficacy, including the UPS and endosome-lysosome system.

Materials and Methods

NSC-34 cell culture and stable transfection

Mouse neuroblastoma x spinal cord (NSC-34) cells (a gift from Prof. Neil Cashman, University of British Columbia, Vancouver) were cultured in Gibco Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (Life Technologies, 10313) with 10% (v:v) heat inactivated fetal bovine serum (Sigma-Aldrich, 12003C), 1% (v:v) Gibco glutamine (Life Technologies, 25030). pEGFP-N1 vector (Clontech, 6085-1) containing human wild-type SOD1 cDNAs with a C-terminal EGFP tag were generated as previously described [39]. pmCherry-N1 vector (Clontech, 632523) containing human WT or mutant (Q331K, M337V) SOD1 cDNAs with a C-terminal mCherry tag were generated as previously described [39]. Stable cell lines expressing SOD1-EGFP or TARDBP-terminal mCherry tag were generated as previously reported [54]. Stable cell lines expressing SOD1-EGFP or TARDBP-mCherry constructs were generated as previously described [54].

Rilmenidine treatment of NSC-34 cells

NSC-34 cells were subcultured in 6-well plates (5 x 10⁵ cells/well) overnight and transiently transfected with WT or A4V SOD1-EGFP constructs (2 µg/well) using Lipofectamine® 2000 (Invitrogen, 11668019) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. At 1 h post-transfection, cells were treated with 10 µM rilmenidine dihydrogen phosphate (a gift from Servier, lot number 30717) dissolved in sterile water. Cells were lysed at 18 or 24 h post-transfection. For the bafilomycin A₁ experiments, A4V SOD1-EGFP transfected NSC-34 cells were treated with 10 µM rilmenidine dihydrogen phosphate for 18 h and a saturating concentration (400 nM) of bafilomycin A₁ [34] (Sigma-Aldrich, B1793) was added to the cells in the last 4 h before harvesting. To analyze the fusion of APs with lysosomes, NSC-34 cells were grown on coverslips in 24-well plates (1 x 10⁵ cells/well) overnight and transfected with pDest-mCherry-GFP-LC3B plasmid (a gift from Dr Serhiy Pankiv, University of Tromsø, Norway) [55] (1 µg) using Lipofectamine® 2000 and 24 h later treated with 10 µM rilmenidine dihydrogen phosphate. A further 24 h later, cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA), stained with Hoechst 33342 (Invitrogen, 953557; 1:10,000) for 15 min and mounted on slides using fluorescence mounting medium (Dako, S3023) for microscopy using a FV 1000 confocal microscope (Olympus, Notting Hill, Australia). Percentage of mCherry-positive mature autolysosomes relative to total puncta per cell was calculated from at least 10 cells in 3 independent experiments.

Mitophagy assay

To analyze fusion of mitochondria with lysosomes, NSC-34 cells were subcultured in 24-well plates (1 x 10⁵ cells/well) overnight and transfected with pMito-DsRed.T3-pHluorin (mt-Rosella) plasmid (obtained from Professor Rodney Devenish, Monash University) [56] (1 µg) using Lipofectamine® 2000 for 24 h. Cells were treated with 10 µM CCCP (Sigma-Aldrich, 857815) or 10 µM rilmenidine dihydrogen phosphate for a further 24 h. Cells were visualized using a 60× oil objective on an Olympus FV 1000 confocal microscope. The percentage of cells containing DsRed.T3-positive mitochondria fused with lysosomes relative to the total number of transfected cells was determined from at least 15 cells in 2 independent experiments.

Cell viability assay

Cells were subcultured into 96-well plates (1 x 10⁴ cells/well) overnight and treated with rilmenidine at 0.01-100 µM or rotenone (Sigma-Aldrich, R8875) at 0.1-5 µM for 24 h. Cell viability was assessed by reduction of 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazolyl-2)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT; Sigma-Aldrich, M2128). Cells were treated with MTT (0.5 mg/mL) for 30 min at 37°C, medium aspirated and cells solubilized in DMSO (Sigma-Aldrich, D2650). Reduced MTT absorbance was detected at 530 nm. Cell viability was expressed as a percentage of cell cultures without drug treatment (100%) from 3 independent experiments.

Human embryonic stem cell differentiation and rilmenidine treatment

Motor neuron differentiation was performed as previously described [57] using H9 human embryonic stem cells (hESCs) [58]. Motor neuron spheres at DIV 19 were dissociated into single cells with Accutase (STEMCELL Technologies, 7920) and plated into 6-well plates (2 x 10⁵ cells/well) overnight and transiently transfected with a WT SOD1-EGFP construct (2 µg/well) using Lipofectamine® 2000. At 3 h post-transfection, cells were treated with 10 µM rilmenidine. Cells were lysed at 24 h post-transfection.

MNX1/HB9- and CHAT-positive cells were quantified as a percentage of total Hoechst-positive cells. Counting was conducted over 2 independent differentiations by selecting a field with approximately 100 cells/field. Three fields per experiment were counted.

Immunocytochemistry

Motor neuron spheres were sedimented in a 15-ml tube, fixed with 4% PFA for 15 min, cryoprotected in 20% sucrose (Sigma-Aldrich, S9378), embedded in optimal cutting temperature (OCT) medium (Scigen Scientific, 4583) and cryosectioned at 20 µm. Mature motor neurons were fixed with 4% PFA for 15 min. Immunostaining was performed as previously described [59]. Primary antibodies used were: HB9 (DSHB, 81.5C10; 5 µg/ml), ISL1 (DSHB, 40.2D6; 5 µg/ml), TUBB3/TuJ1 (Promega, G7121; 1:100) and CHAT (Merck Millipore,
ab143; 1:500) and imaged using an AxioObserver Z1 fluorescence microscope (Zeiss, North Ryde, Australia).

**Cell lysis**

Cells were lysed in buffer containing 20 mM Tris-Cl, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1% Triton-X 100 (Merck, 9036-19-5), 1% (v:v) protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma-Aldrich, P8340) and phosphatase inhibitors (50 mM NaF and 10 mM Na$_3$VO$_4$) for 20 min on ice. Lysates were centrifuged at 15,800 g for 20 min at 4°C to collect supernatants. Proteins were quantified using the bicinchoninic acid assay kit (Pierce, 23225) using bovine serum albumin standards.

**Transgenic mice and rilmenidine treatment**

All animal experiments conformed to the Australian National Health and Medical Research Council published Code of Practice and were approved by the Howard Florey Institute Animal Ethics Committee (permit number 13–038). Transgenic SOD1/C3G93A mice (B6.Cg-Tg(SOD1/C3G93A)1Gur/J line, stock number 002726) were purchased from the Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME, USA) and maintained on a C57BL/6 background. Starting from postnatal day 60 (P60), male SOD1/C3G93A mice received intraperitoneal (i.p.) injections of 10 mg/kg rilmenidine or Gibco Dulbecco’s phosphate-buffered saline (DPBS, Life Technologies, 14190) vehicle solution 4-times a week as previously described [34]. Rilmenidine was freshly prepared in sterile DPBS before each injection. The experimenter was blind to the treatment groups throughout the study.

**Behavioral analysis**

Mice were weighed weekly and locomotor function was assessed on non-injection days using an accelerating mouse Rota-Rod 47600 (Ugo Basile, Italy) weekly from P60. Before testing, a training period was conducted with one steady session and two ramping sessions at 40 rpm over 5 min with 10 min rest intervals in between each. Testing was conducted with 2 sessions at 40 rpm over 5 min with a 10 min rest interval and the average latency to fall recorded (sec). The onset of weight loss was defined as the mean age of peak body weight and onset of motor deficit was defined as the age of peak rotarod performance as previously described [60]. For survival analysis, SOD1/C3G93A mice were killed at the time of clinical end point onset defined by failure to splay hind limbs due to paralysis. Mice were killed at P90 (pre-symptomatic) or clinical endpoint by lethal injection (sodium pentobarbitone, 100 mg/kg, i.p.).

**Histology**

Mice were transcardially perfused with 100 mM PBS (pH 7.4) followed by 4% PFA in 0.1 M phosphate buffer at pH 7.4. Dissected lumbar spinal cords were post-fixed in 4% PFA for 2 h. For the misfolded SOD1 (C4F6) antibody immunostaining, spinal cords were fresh dissected and post-fixed in 4% PFA for 24 h. All tissue was cryoprotected in 30% sucrose in PBS at 4°C until tissue was sunk. Tissues were embedded in OCT compound (Tissue-Tek, 4583) and sectioned at 10 microns. Sections were stained using sheep SOD1 (1:4,000; Merck, 574597), rabbit LAMP2A (1:500; Abcam, ab18528), mouse HSPA8/HSC70 (1:500; Enzo Life-sciences, ALX-804-067), mouse SQSTM1 (1:1,000; BD Transduction Labs, 610786), rabbit VDAC1 (1:1,000; Abcam ab15895), rabbit MTOR (1:1000; Cell Signaling Technology, 2983), rabbit phospho-MTOR (1:1000; Cell Signaling Technology, 2971), mouse human-specific SOD1 (1:2,000; R&D Systems, MAB3418), mouse misfolded SOD1 (C4F6) (1:250; Medinab, MM-0070-2-P) or mouse ACTB (1:2,000; Sigma-Aldrich, A5316) antibodies in 3% (w:v) BSA (Sigma-Aldrich, A3912) in TBST overnight at 4°C. Blots were washed 3 times in TBST for 10 min and incubated with IRDye 680- or 800CW-conjugated secondary antibodies (1:10,000; LI-COR Biosciences) in TBST for 30 min, followed by 3 washes in TBST for 10 min, and detected on the Odyssey Classic infrared imaging system (LI-COR Biosciences, USA). Blots were quantified using ImageJ software (Rashband WS, NIH, Bethesda, MD, http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij/) by taking the mean gray value of bands for the target protein normalized to ACTB levels, after subtracting background intensity. Phospho-MTOR bands were normalized to the level of MTOR. Results were expressed as percentage of WT, untreated or vehicle-treated controls (100%).
2 investigators who were blinded to the treatment groups, and averages were obtained.

**Immunohistochemistry**

Tissue sections were permeabilized in 0.4% Triton X-100 in PBS for 10 min, blocked in 5% skim milk in PBS for 30 min and incubated with antibodies to rabbit RBFOX3/NeuN (1:500; Abcam, ab104225), mouse GFAP (1:200; Merck Millipore, MAB360), mouse CD11B (1:100; AbD Serotec, MCA275GA), mouse LC3 (1:100; NanoTools, LC3-5F10), rabbit LC3B (1:50; Sigma-Aldrich), rat LAMP2 (1:50; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, sc-20004), rabbit TOMM20 (1:50; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, sc-11415) or mouse misfolded SOD1 (C4F6) (1:50) antibodies in 5% skim milk and 3% donkey serum overnight at 4°C. Next, sections were incubated with Alexa Fluor-conjugated secondary antibodies (1:100, Jackson ImmunoResearch, donkey anti-rabbit-488, 711-545-152; donkey anti-rabbit-594, 711-585-152; donkey anti mouse-488, 715-545-151; donkey anti mouse-594, 715-585-151; donkey anti rat-488, 712-585-153) in 5% skim milk and 3% donkey serum for 2 h, stained with Hoechst 33342 (1:10,000) for 15 min and mounted on slides using fluorescence mounting medium for microscopy and imaged using a confocal microscope. Identical exposure and gain settings were used to capture the images, and the negative controls without primary antibodies produced no staining. Misfolded SOD1 aggregates were counted from at least 20 motor neurons per mouse with 5 mice per treatment group. LC3-positive APs and LAMP2-positive lysosomes were counted from at least 10 motor neurons per mouse and averaged with n = 3 mice per treatment group. Analysis of TOMM20 staining was performed using ImageJ software. Images were converted to grayscale and the area above threshold was determined. The same threshold brightness level was used for all images. Ten images per mouse were analyzed with 4 mice per genotype. Image analysis was restricted to nuclear RBFOX3/NeuN-positive motor neurons only.

**Statistical analysis**

Western densitometry data, motor neuron counts, weight loss and rotarod deficits were compared using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Tukey’s posthoc test or an unpaired t-test. Survival data were analyzed using Kaplan-Meier survival analysis with the log-rank test. All statistical tests were performed with GraphPad Software (version 6.0, GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA).
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