The FHA-containing protein GarA acts as a phosphorylation-dependent molecular switch in mycobacterial signaling
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Abstract

Fork-head associated (FHA) domains are widely found in bacteria, but their cellular functions remain unclear. Here, we focus on Mycobacterium tuberculosis GarA, an FHA-containing protein conserved in actinomycetes that is phosphorylated by different Ser/Thr protein kinases. Using various physicochemical approaches, we show that phosphorylation significantly stabilizes GarA, and that its FHA domain interacts strongly with the phosphorylated N-terminal extension. Altogether, our results indicate that phosphorylation triggers an intra-molecular protein closure, blocking the phosphothreonine-binding site and switching off the regulatory properties of GarA. The model can explain the reported functions of this mycobacterial protein as regulator of glycogen degradation and glutamate metabolism.

Structured summary:

MINT-6804218: GarA (uniprotkb:P64897) and GarA (uniprotkb:P64897) bind (MI:0065) by isothermal titration calorimetry (MI:0065)
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1. Introduction

Modular protein adaptors act in concert with Ser/Thr/Tyr protein kinases and phosphatases to setup dynamic signaling cascades and protein–protein interaction networks [1]. Long believed to be a distinctive feature of higher eukaryotes, these sophisticated regulation and signaling mechanisms are also emerging as fundamental features in some bacterial species. This is notably the case for one of the deadliest human pathogens, Mycobacterium tuberculosis. The mycobacterial genome [2] revealed the presence of many “eukaryotic-like” signaling elements, including several genes coding for Ser/Thr protein kinases and phosphatases [3] as well as various proteins containing fork-head associated (FHA) domains [4,5].

FHA domains are protein modules composed of 100–120 residues folded into a characteristic 11-strand beta-sandwich fold.

These domains act as phosphorylation-dependent protein–protein interaction modules that preferentially bind to phospho-threonine residues in their targets and seem to be particularly prevalent in proteins involved in cell cycle control [6], although their molecular functions are still poorly understood. Here, we focus on M. tuberculosis GarA, a protein that is highly conserved in mycobacteria and actinomycetes, but totally absent in eukaryotes. M. tuberculosis GarA is a 162-residues protein harboring a C-terminal FHA domain preceded by an N-terminal peptide extension of about 50 residues (Fig. 1). GarA was found to be the optimal substrate of the Ser/Thr protein kinase PknB in mycobacterial protein extracts [7], and both GarA and its Corynebacterium glutamicum ortholog, OdhI (82% sequence identity), were shown to be phosphorylated by protein kinase Pnk [8,9]. In both cases, the protein is specifically phosphorylated on a single Thr residue in a highly conserved ETTS motif at the middle of the N-terminal peptide extension (Fig. 1).

We report here the effect of GarA phosphorylation on the physico-chemical and structural properties of the protein. Our results point to a mechanism by which phosphorylation at the ETTS motif induces an intra-molecular shutdown of the regulatory properties of the protein, through a tight self-recognition of the phosphorylated N-terminal extension by the C-terminal FHA domain. The ensuing model is consistent with, and can account for, the apparent functional promiscuity of GarA in actinomycetes [8–10].
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2. Materials and methods

2.1. Production of proteins and peptides

The gene encoding *M. tuberculosis* GarA was cloned into the
pDEST17 expression vector (Invitrogen). Transformed *Escherichia coli*
BL21(DE3)-pLYS cells were grown in HDM2 medium in
70 ml micro-fermentors, first at 30°C until a OD400 nm of 20, then
for 16 h at 14°C after addition of 1 mM IPTG (final OD400 nm be-
tween 60 and 70). The C-terminal FHA domain of GarA (GarA−FHA,
comprising residues 44–162) was cloned into the pET-28A(+) vec-
tor (Invitrogen) using T4 DNA ligase (Biolabs). Transformed *E. coli*
BL21(DE3)-pLYS cells were grown in LB medium supplemented
with kanamycin and chloramphenicol, first at 30°C.

In both cases, the cells were harvested by centrifugation,
resuspended in buffer A (25 mM HEPES, 500 mM NaCl, 20%
glycerol, 1 mM DTT, 20 mM imidazole, pH 8.0) and lysed at
4°C with an Emulsiflex C5 high-pressure homogenizer (Avestin).
The supernatant was cleared by centrifugation and passage
through a Minisart 0.2 µm filter (Sartorius). The proteins were
first purified by metal-affinity chromatography on a nickel-
loaded HisTrap HP column (GE Healthcare). Protein-containing
fractions were dialysed against buffer B (25 mM HEPES, 100 mM NaCl, 5%
glycerol, 1 mM DTT, pH 8.0), and the His$_{6}$ tag
was removed by incubation for 24 h at 18°C in the presence of
His$_{6}$-tagged TEV endoprotease at a 1:70 ratio followed by separa-
tion on Ni-NTA agarose column (Qiagen). The untagged recombi-
nant proteins were then further purified by size-exclusion
chromatography on a Superdex 75 column (GE Healthcare) equil-
ibrated in buffer B. All concentrations were determined by UV/
visible spectrophotometry and amino acid analysis after 6 N
HCl hydrolysis, using a norleucine standard.

Two HPLC-purified peptides of sequence SDEVTVETSFRADFL,
containing either a Thr or a phosphoThr residue at the central po-

2.2. Phosphorylation assays

To obtain monophosphorylated GarA (*GarA$_{Thr22}$*), the protein
(250 µM) was incubated with *M. tuberculosis* Ser/Thr protein ki-
nase PknB (15 µM) for 5 h at 30°C in buffer B supplemented
with 5 mM MgCl$_{2}$ and 0.5 mM ATP. The completeness of phosphoryla-
tion was assessed by controlling that the GarA band was totally
shifted upwards in a 15% acrylamide SDS–polyacrylamide gel el-
trophoresis (PAGE) gel, and that a single peak corresponding to
phosphorylated GarA was detected by mass spectrometry
(surface-enhanced laser desorption ionization time-of-flight
(SELDI-TOF), Ciphergen Bio-Rad).

2.3. Limited proteolysis

GarA (180 µg/ml) was incubated with papain (0.45 µg/ml), elast-
tase (1.4 µg/ml), or endoproteinase Glu-C (1.25 µg/ml) for 90 min
at 25°C. The buffer used was 10 mM phosphate, pH 7.0 (papain,
endo-Glu-C) or 50 mM HEPES, pH 8.0 (elastase). Proteolysis was
stopped with 1 mM iodoacetamide (papain) or 1 mM PMSF (ela-
tase, endoGlu-C), and the reaction products analysed by SDS–PAGE.

N-terminal peptide sequences were determined by Edman degra-
dation on an Applied Biosystems 473A sequencer. The molecular
mass of the fragments was determined by SELDI-TOF spectrometry,
after adsorption on an H4 reverse phase ProteinChip Array
(Ciphergen Bio-Rad).

2.4. Analytical ultracentrifugation (AUC)

Experiments were conducted at 25°C in a ProteomeLab XL-I
analytical ultracentrifuge (Beckman–Coulter) equipped with an
interference optical detection system. For sedimentation velocity
experiments, protein samples (57, 19 and 6.3 µM, in 25 mM HEPES,
100 mM NaCl, pH 8.0) were spun at 50000 rpm for 4 h, using an
AnTi50 eight-hole rotor and 1.2 cm path-length double-sector alu-
iminium center pieces. Interference fringe displacement profiles
were monitored at 1-min intervals, and data were analyzed with the
SEDFIT software (www.analyticalultracentrifugation.com),
using a model for continuous sedimentation coefficient distribu-
tion c(s) with deconvolution of diffusional effects. Distributions
were calculated with maximum entropy regularization at a pre-
determined confidence level of one standard deviation.

For sedimentation equilibrium experiments, protein samples
(75, 25 and 8.3 µM in 25 mM HEPES, 100 mM NaCl) were sequen-
tially spun at 18000, 24000 and 30000 rpm. After reaching equi-
librium at each speed (typically after 18 h), 10 successive scans
were recorded and the protein radial distributions were globally
analyzed with the Origin7 (OriginLab) and SEDPHAT softwares.

2.5. Multi-angle light scattering (MALS)

Protein samples (25 µM in 25 mM HEPES, 100 mM NaCl, pH 8.0)
were injected onto a Biosuite 125 HPLC size-exclusion column
(Waters) connected with a DAWN-EOS multi-angle light scattering
detector and an OptilabRex refractometer (Wyatt). The HPLC col-
umn and the detectors were equilibrated at 25°C in the sample
buffer at a flow rate of 0.5 ml/min. The detector responses were
calibrated and normalized by measuring the signal for monomer
bovine serum albumin. A value of 0.185 ml/g was assumed for the
refractive index increment (dn/dc) of the protein.

2.6. Dynamic light scattering (DLS)

Photon correlation experiments were performed at 25°C with a
DynaPro MS800 instrument (Wyatt). For each sample (25 µM in
25 mM HEPES, 100 mM NaCl, pH 8.0), the total light scattered at
an angle of 90° was collected using a 10 s averaging acquisition
time. Particle translational diffusion coefficients ($D_t$) were calcu-
lated from the autocorrelated light intensity data and converted
to hydrodynamic radii ($R_h$) with the Stokes–Einstein equation.
Distribution plots of intensity versus $R_h$ were done with the SEDFIT
software.

2.7. Circular dichroism (CD)

CD spectra were measured with an Aviv 215 spectropolarimeter
(Aviv Biomedical). Far-UV CD spectra were acquired at 25°C using
a cylindrical cell with a 0.02 cm path-length. The samples
(0.36 mg/ml in 25 mM phosphate, 100 mM NaF, pH 8.0), were
scanned over two adjacent spectral ranges, one from 260 to 200 nm (0.5 nm step) with an averaging time of 1 s per step, the second from 200 to 178 nm (0.5 nm step) with an averaging time of 4 s per step. Both regions were scanned consecutively three times. The individual spectra from the two regions were merged and the resulting three data files were averaged. The spectra, corrected using buffer baselines measured under the same conditions, were normalised to the molar peptide bond concentration and path-length (1 cm) as mean molar differential extinction coefficient per residue ($\Delta e$). Secondary structure estimations were derived using the CONTIN/LL method included in the CDPro software.

Near-UV CD spectra were measured between 350 and 250 nm, with a constant 0.5 nm step and 1 s per step averaging time, using a 1 cm path-length rectangular cell (proteins at 1.5 mg/ml in 25 mM HEPES, 100 mM NaCl, pH 8.0). Each protein spectrum was corrected by the corresponding averaged buffer background signal and normalised to the molar concentration of peptide chain.

Thermally induced unfolding of protein samples (20 μM in 25 mM phosphate, 100 mM NaF, pH 8.0) was monitored in a cuvette with an 0.2 cm path-length by following the change in CD signal at 215 nm over a temperature range of 25–90 °C, with a heating rate of 0.75 °C/min. The curves were fitted with a two-state model using previously described equations [11].

2.8. Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC)

Endotherms were measured using a VP-DSC calorimeter (MicroCal). Samples (40 μM in 25 mM phosphate, 100 mM NaF, pH 8.0) were degassed under vacuum for 10 min with gentle stirring prior to loading into the calorimetric cell (0.5 ml). After equilibration for 30 min at 25 °C, the samples were heated over a temperature range of 25–90 °C at a constant rate of 0.7 °C/min. After subtracting a buffer-reference thermogram, the resulting excess heat capacity curves were analyzed using a two-state model with the Origin7 software (OriginLab).

2.9. Isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC)

GarA$\Delta_{43}$ and the synthetic peptides were diluted in 25 mM HEPES, 100 mM NaCl, pH 8.0 and thoroughly degassed. GarA$\Delta_{43}$ (15 μM in the 1.4 ml cell) was then titrated at 25 °C by 5 μl injections of peptide (250 μM in the syringe), using a VP-ITC calorimeter (MicroCal). Raw data were normalized and corrected for heats of dilution of the peptides. Binding stoichiometries, enthalpy values and equilibrium dissociation constants were determined by fitting the corrected data to a bimolecular interaction model using the Origin7 software (OriginLab).

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Phosphorylation has little effect on the overall structure of GarA

The radial distributions obtained by AUC sedimentation/diffusion equilibrium studies could be optimally fitted using a single-ideal species model, yielding buoyant molecular masses of 16813 (±633) Da for GarA (theoretical MW: 17176) and 17278 Da for GarA$\Delta_{43}$.
(±855) Da for GarApT22 (theoretical MW: 17,258) (data not shown). The MALS experiment further confirmed these results, as both forms of the protein were eluted from the HPLC column as single peaks with virtually the same time retention and static light scattering plateaux corresponding to a molecular mass between 16500 and 17500 Da. Thus, the above studies showed that GarA behaves as a monomer in solution, and that protein phosphorylation does not change the oligomerization state of the protein.

We then asked whether phosphorylation could promote a detectable change of protein shape or conformation. The sedimentation coefficient distributions obtained using analytical centrifugation showed that only one species is present for each protein (Fig. 2A). Likewise, hydrodynamic radial distributions from quasi-elastic light scattering experiments showed a single species in each case, centered around a value of 2.6 nm for GarA and 2.2 nm for GarApT22 (Fig. 2B). The narrower peaks for the phosphorylated form of the protein in sedimentation velocity experiments indicate a less polydisperse, more compact shape, suggesting that phosphorylation may result in a loss of intrinsic flexibility of the protein.

The far-UV (178–250 nm) CD spectra of the protein, with a deep minimum in the 200–210 nm region confirmed that GarA consisted principally of β-sheets (Fig. 2C). Deconvolution of the spectra showed that GarA contained approximately 7% α-helix, 30% β-sheet, and 24% β-turn, with 39% of the residues belonging to structurally undefined regions. Upon phosphorylation, a slight blueshift and deepening of the minimum, as well as a sharpening of the maximum around 190 nm, was observed, which is consistent with a moderate increase in the content of secondary structure (9% α-helix, 34% β-sheet) at the expense of structurally undefined regions (34%). Furthermore, the near-UV (250–350 nm) CD spectra showed marked maxima and minima, indicating that most aromatic residues of the protein are positioned in well-structured tertiary environments (Fig. 2D). This observation is in agreement with a 3D homology model of the protein, based on the structure of the FHA domain of M. tuberculosis Embr [12] as template. The only Tyr residue in the GarA sequence and 6 (out of 8) Phe residues are found within the C-terminal FHA domain. Furthermore, phosphorylation of GarA induced only small changes of the spectra, suggesting that the local structural environments of the aromatic residues are not significantly modified by phosphorylation.

3.2. GarA phosphorylation significantly increases the thermal stability of the protein

Although the above studies indicate only moderate effects of PknB phosphorylation on the overall structure of GarA, the thermal stability of the protein was remarkably influenced by phosphorylation. The temperature-induced unfolding of GarA and GarApT22 was studied using CD and DSC. In all cases, the melting profiles correctly fit a simple two-state transition. Most interestingly, the
two methods showed that Thr22 phosphorylation promoted a significant increase (>15 K) in the melting temperature of GarA (Fig. 3A). The calorimetric enthalpies $\Delta H$ of unfolding are $70.4 \pm 0.4$ kcal mol$^{-1}$ for GarA and $109.6 \pm 1.7$ kcal mol$^{-1}$ for GarA$_{pT22}$. Assuming a $\Delta C_p$ value of 2.44 kcal mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$ [13], the estimated free energies of unfolding ($\Delta G$) are, respectively 2.8 kcal mol$^{-1}$ and 6.5 kcal mol$^{-1}$. Furthermore, a comparable increase in melting temperature was observed when GarA was phosphorylated by PknG on Thr21 (data not shown), indicating that phosphorylation of either Thr residue within the ETTS motif produces a similar protein stabilization effect.

The small effects of phosphorylation on the structural core of the FHA domain (Fig. 2) suggest that thermal stabilization might be primarily associated with the structuring of the N-terminal...
peptide extension upon phosphorylation. Two experimental observations lend strong support to this hypothesis. First, digestion of unphosphorylated GarA by three different proteases under mild conditions quickly produced smaller fragments of the protein, in all cases with the cleavage sites within the N-terminal extension, indicating a high accessibility of this region to proteases (Fig. 3B). As determined by peptide sequencing, endoGluC cleaved GarA after residues Glu20 and Glu32, while both elastase and papain treatments generated a single major fragment of 12.5 kDa, which starts at Ala44 and corresponds roughly to the C-terminal FHA domain. In contrast, phosphorylation significantly protected GarA against proteolysis by papain and endoproteinase Glu-C, as virtually no cleavage could be seen under the same experimental conditions over a time period of 90 min (Fig. 3B).

Secondly, we constructed a recombinant protein lacking the N-terminal region and including only the FHA fragment as defined by DSC and found to be very similar to those of full-length GarA unphosphorylated peptide (Fig. 4A). These experiments clearly could be detected under the same experimental conditions for the 0.985 ± 0.025) and a submicromolar affinity, whereas no interaction bind GarA

Furthermore, only the phosphorylated peptide is able to the N-terminal region of GarA and centered on the phosphorylatable threonine. As expected, only the phosphorylated peptide is able to the N-terminal extension playing a marginal role in maintaining the overall structure of the protein.

3.3. Phosphorylation promotes self-recognition

Biochemically characterized FHA modules are known to bind pThr-containing peptides and proteins [14,15]. This is also the case for GarA, since we have previously demonstrated that the association of GarA with both PknB and PknG strongly depends on the phosphorylation state of these protein kinases, with their pThr residues acting as secondary docking sites for substrate recruitment [7,9]. Moreover, important residues for pThr-binding in FHA domains (such as Arg81, Ser95 and Arg96) are also highly conserved in GarA homologs from actinomycetes [7]. These observations strongly suggest that structuring of the N-terminal extension upon phosphorylation may involve the self-recognition of the phosphorylated N-terminal segment by the C-terminal FHA domain of GarA.

To validate this self-recognition model, we measured the interaction of GarA with two synthetic 17-residue peptides (either phosphorylated or unphosphorylated at Thr22) corresponding to the N-terminal region of GarA and centered on the phosphorylatable threonine. As expected, only the phosphorylated peptide is able to bind GarA with a 1:1 stoichiometry (experimental value 0.985 ± 0.025) and a submicromolar affinity, whereas no interaction could be detected under the same experimental conditions for the unphosphorylated peptide (Fig. 4A). These experiments clearly demonstrate that the FHA domain of GarA binds its own N-terminal peptide extension, but only when the latter is phosphorylated, suggesting that unphosphorylated GarA (i.e. with a vacant pThr-binding site) could interact with other phosphorylated proteins, besides protein kinases, as part of its cellular function(s).

3.4. Biological implications

The physico-chemical and structural studies described above lead strong support to a model of GarA acting as a molecular switch in phosphorylation-dependent regulation mechanisms. Importantly, GarA is a substrate not only of different protein kinases, but also of the M. tuberculosis protein phosphatase PstP in vitro (data not shown). Although in our hands the GarA dephosphorylation reaction is not as efficient as in the case of PstP-mediated dephosphorylation of PknB [16], genetic studies have recently shown that dephosphorylation of OdhI, the GarA homolog in C. glutamicum, is indeed catalyzed by ppp, the Corynebacterial PstP homolog [17], thus indicating that GarA/OdhI can undergo reversible phosphorylation in vivo.

According to our model, the unphosphorylated form of the protein displays a structurally flexible N-terminal peptide and a free pThr-binding site, both of which are accessible for interaction with (and modulation of) other proteins (Fig. 4B). The phosphorylation of the N-terminal extension of GarA by PknB at Thr22 (or PknG at Thr21) would then promote the tight intra-molecular interaction between the N-terminal pThr-containing region and the FHA domain, thus effectively switching off the regulatory function(s) of the protein. The phosphorylated N-terminal extension of GarA should outcompete other phosphorylated proteins for binding to the FHA domain due to the combined effect of: (1) a relatively high (submicromolar) binding affinity of the FHA domain for the separate N-terminal phosphopeptide (as shown in Fig. 4A), and (2) a higher effective local concentration because these two interaction partners are covalently linked in a single polypeptide.

Previous work demonstrated that M. smegmatis GarA is involved in the regulation of glycogen accumulation during the exponential phase of bacterial growth [10]. More recently, it was reported that C. glutamicum OdhI binds to and inhibits OdhA, the E1o subunit of 2-oxoglutarate dehydrogenase in the TCA cycle [8]. The inhibition is relieved when OdhI is phosphorylated by PknG, indicating that OdhI regulates glutamate production in corynebacteria [17]. We obtained similar results in mycobacteria, where the unphosphorylated form of GarA was found to bind and modulate not only the enzymatic activity of the OdhA homolog, α-ketoglutarate decarboxylase, but also that of a large NAD+-specific glutamate dehydrogenase [9]. Our proposed self-recognition model can explain these observations, because GarA was found to interact with its downstream partners only in its unphosphorylated form [9]. More generally, recent studies of bacterial phosphoproteomes tend to confirm the presence of a significant number of proteins that are phosphorylated at Ser/Thr at some point in their functional cycle [18–20]. Since many of these phosphoproteins could be the target of the GarA FHA domain, it could therefore be expected that forthcoming functional studies will unveil additional regulatory functions of GarA/OdhI acting as a phosphorylation-dependent molecular switch in actinomycetes.
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