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Abstract
For the first time, a concise lithostratigraphic scheme for the lower and middle Miocene (Ottnangian – Badenian) of the northern and central Vienna Basin is proposed, which is based on the integration of core-material, well-log data and seismic information from OMV. For all formations and members type sections are proposed, geographic distribution and thickness are provided, typical depositional environments and fossils are described and age constraints are discussed. This time frame allows for a more reliable calculation of sedimentation rates. This in turn might be important for the reconstruction of the tectonic history of the Vienna Basin as we do not see fundamental differences between the piggy-back stage and the subsequent pull-apart regime. Following lithostratigraphic units are formalized herein and/or are newly introduced: Bockfließ Formation (Ottnangian), Aderklaa Formation, Gänserndorf Member and Schönkirchen Member (Karpatian), Baden Group, Rothneusiedl Formation and Mannsdorf Formation (lower Badenian), Auersthal Formation, Matzen Formation, Baden Formation, Leitha Formation (middle Badenian) and Rabensburg Formation (upper Badenian).

1 Introduction
Since the early 19th century the Vienna Basin was one of the most intensively investigated Neogene Basins of the world. Especially during the pioneer phase of geology and paleontology the deposits and their fossil content served as base for international stratigraphic correlations. A renaissance of geological research was sparked during the 20th century when the Vienna Basin was recognized as the largest oil and gas field of onshore Europe (Hamilton et al., 2000). Geological information from hundreds of drillings and more and more information from 2D and 3D seismics increased our knowledge on the stratigraphic and tectonic situation of the Neogene basin fill of the Vienna Basin (e.g. Kreutzer, 1971, 1974, 1978; Weissenbäck, 1995, 1996). Numerous papers dealt with tectonics, structural geology and depositional environments of the Vienna Basin (e.g. Jiříček and Tomek, 1981; Royden, 1985; Lankreijer et al., 1995; Kováč et al. 2004; Hinsch et al., 2005; Wessely, 2006; Hözl et al., 2008, 2010; Lee and Wagreich, 2017 and references therein). Very little focus, however, was laid on bringing order into the confused lithostratigraphy of the basin. A major stumbling stone for this task was the historically grown mixture of biostratigraphic, lithostratigraphic and chronostratigraphic terminology in the stratigraphic charts. For example, lower Miocene strata of the northern Vienna Basin were defined by foraminiferal content (e.g. Cyclammina-Bathyphison-Schlier), whereas coeval strata in the central Vienna Basin were named after their geographic occurrence (Bockfließ beds). Similarly, middle Miocene (Badenian) strata were defined according to their foraminiferal assemblages (“eco-biozones” of Grill, 1941, 1943) into which local lithostratigraphic units were squeezed in (e.g. Matzen Sand). Especially, the strongly deviating understanding of authors concerning the content and definition of the eco-biozones increased confusion enormously (e.g. Lower versus Upper Lagenidae Zone) (e.g. Kapounek et al. 1965; Cicha et al., 1998; Hohenegger et al., 2014).

Stratigraphic tables for the Vienna Basin using information from oil industry have been proposed and compiled early by Austrian geologists, such as Janoschek (1942, 1943, 1951), Grill (1943, 1960, 1968), Kapounek et al. (1965), Papp et al. (1973), Kreutzer (1986, 1992, 1993) and many others. The last synthesis of these data was presented by Piller et al. (2004) in the “Stratigraphic Chart of Austria 2004”. Simultaneously, Slovak geologists, such as Buday (1946), Buday and Cicha (1956), Špička and Zapletalova (1964) and Špička (1966) developed the foundation of lithostratigraphic schemes for the Slovak part of the Vienna Basin, which was refined later by Vass (2002), Kováč et al. (2004) and Fordinál et al. (2012) (see Fordinál et al. 2012 for much more references). All these papers...
discussed lithostratigraphic units but none of these formations and members were established properly to fulfill the criteria for a formalization following Hedberg (1976), Salvador (1994) and Steininger and Piller (1999). Therefore, nearly all lithostratigraphic units listed by Piller et al. (2004), Kováč et al. (2004) and Fordinál et al. (2012) for the Vienna Basin are, so far, only informal terms. Exceptions are Sarmatian and Pannonian formations, which have been formalized by Bartek (1989), Čtyroky (2000), Elečko and Vass (2001) and Harzhauser and Piller (2004). More recently, formations and members were established by Harzhauser et al. (2019) for the lower Miocene deposits of the Mistelbach Halfgraben in the NW Vienna Basin, west of the Steinberg Fault.

Tectonically, the Vienna Basin passed through four distinct phases starting from an early Miocene piggy-back basin stage, a middle to late Miocene pull-apart basin stage, a late Miocene to Pliocene compressional phase with basin inversion, followed by Quaternary basin formation (see Lee and Wagreich, 2017 for references). The changes in tectonic regime are expected to be reflected in changes of regional subsidence and in variations of sedimentation rates (Hölzel et al., 2008; Lee and Wagreich, 2017).

2 Geographic and stratigraphic frame

Geographically we focus on the Austrian part of the northern Vienna Basin ranging from the Steinberg Fault in the west to the Czech/Austrian border in the north and east down to the Matzen/Spannberg Ridge in the south and the central Vienna Basin spanning from the Bisamberg Fault in the west to the Slovak/Austrian border in the east, and from the Matzen/Spannberg Ridge in the north to the Schwechat depression in the south (Fig. 1A). In addition, we discuss lateral equivalents in neighboring areas of the North Alpine-Carpathian Foreland Basin (NACFB), the Mistelbach Halfgraben, the southern Vienna Basin and the Eisenstadt-Sopron Basin. The Mistelbach Halfgraben is separated from the northern Vienna Basin by the Steinberg Fault (Harzhauser et al., 2019); its Miocene lithostratigraphy was described in detail by Harzhauser et al. (2019) and is not repeated herein.

Stratigraphically, we describe all formations resting on the pre-Neogene basement of the Vienna Basin from the Ottnangian to the upper Badenian. Eggenburgian deposits, which have been frequently reported from the Vienna Basin (e.g. Kováč et al., 2004 and references therein), have been based on outdated stratigraphic concepts and represent basal Ottnangian strata (Harzhauser et al., 2017, 2019). Note that nannoplankton fossils described by Andrejeva-Grigorovitch and Halásová (2000) point to the presence of the NN3 Zone in the Vienna Basin, which would range partly within the Eggenburgian, according to the zone concept of Raffi et al. (2006). Own data, however, suggest that reworking is a major problem in nannoplankton stratigraphy in the Vienna Basin.

We do not discuss the Sarmatian and Pannonian strata of the Vienna Basin, because their lithostratigraphy has already been formalized and their biostratigraphy is largely solved (see Harzhauser et al., 2004 and Harzhauser and Piller, 2004).

Since the use of stratigraphic terminology and the mixture of different stratigraphic classification schemes produced glaring confusion we try to summarize and explain the most widely used terms. The historical development of Neogene stratigraphy of the Vienna Basin and Central Paratethys was depicted by Papp et al. (1968a, b) and summarized: Burdigalian = Eggenburgian, Lower Helvetian (Helvetian s. str.) = Ottnangian, Upper Helvetian = Karpatican (Carpathian), Tortonian = Badenian, Sarmatian, Pannonian. In addition to this stage concept a “Series” concept was introduced where the “Luschitzer Serie” represents the Upper Eggenburgian and Ottnangian, the “Laer Serie” the Karpatican, the “Bader Serie” the Badenian, the “Sarmatische Serie” the Sarmatian, and the “Pannonische Serie” the Pannonian. The “Series” concept is a lithostratigraphic concept and a series includes several lithostratigraphic units which represent beds (= formations). The series are today, however, intimately linked with chronostratigraphy. In terms of biostratigraphy a correlation with the Mediterranean region was attempted by using planktic microfossils and also molluscs. This correlation works well in the lower Miocene Eggenburgian to Karpatican but due to a high degree of endemism problems arise in the Badenian, Sarmatian and Pannonian and required a local-regional biozonation. The resulting biozones were ecologically defined zones which are only of limited geographical use. Here we will focus only on the Badenian: the pioneer for establishing a Badenian biostratigraphy was Grill, who distinguished 1941 five zones in the Badenian (Tortonian) based on the foraminiferal fauna (from base to top):

1. “Reiche marine Fauna mit sehr starker Betonung der Lageniden u. mit Planulina wuellerstorfi (Lanzendorfer Fauna)” (rich marine fauna with high share of lagenids and with Planulina wuellerstorfi (Lanzendorf Fauna)) (Grill, 1941: 600).
2. “Reiche marine Fauna mit starker Betonung der Lageniden, Robulus cultratus” (marine fauna with high share of lagenids, Robulus cultratus) (Grill, 1941: 600).
3. “Reiche marine Fauna mit Spiroplectammina carinata, wenig Lageniden” (rich marine fauna with Spiroplectammina carinata, few lagenids) (Grill, 1941: 600).
4. “Marine Fauna mit Bolivina dilitata” (marine fauna with Bolivina dilitata) (Grill, 1941: 600).
5. “Oberstes artenarmes Torton mit Rotalia beccarii und Nerita picta” (uppermost species-poor Tortonian with Rotalia beccarii and Nerita picta) (Grill, 1941: 600).

In 1943 Grill introduced the term Lageniden-Zone (p. 37), Lagenidenzone (p. 38) (Lagenidae zone). Papp and Küpper (1952) defined the Unter-Torton as “Lagenidenzone”, the Mittel-Torton as “Zone der Sandschaler” and the “Bolivinenzone = Buliminenzone” and the Ober-Torton as “Rotalienzone”. Papp and Turnovsky (1953)
splitted the Lagenidenzone into an “Untere Lagenidenzone = Lanzendorfer Fauna” (sensu Grill, 1941) (p. 124), but also mentioned it as the “Niveau der U. macrocarinata (= untere Lagenidenzone)” (p. 37) and an “obere Lagenidenzone = Niveau des „Badener Tegels s. str. “” Overall, they differentiated five biostratigraphic zones based on the foraminifer genus _Uvigerina_: untere Lagenidenzone, obere Lagenidenzone, untere Sandschalerzone, obere Sandschalerzone, Buliminen-Bolvinen-Zone.

Similarly, Kapounek et al. (1965) subdivided the “Badener Serie” into the “Untere Lagenidenzone mit _Uvigerina macrocarinata_”, the “Obere Lagenidenzone mit _Uvigerina acuminata_”, the “Sandschalerzone (einschließlich _Matzena Sand_)” and the “Rotalien- und Buliminenzone”.

This subdivision is partly used herein where the Lower Lagenidae Zone represents the lower Badenian, the Upper Lagenidae Zone and the _Spirorutilus_ Zone the middle Badenian and the _Bulimina-Bolvina_ Zone the upper Badenian. The _Rotalia_ Zone, representing the uppermost Badenian, is preserved only in restricted occurrences. The _Spirorutilus_ Zone is also known as _Spiroplectammina_ Zone ( _Spiroplectammina carinata_ Zone) (after an older generic affiliation for _Spirorutilus_ or “Sandschaler Zone” or Zone of agglutinated foraminifera. The _Rotalia_ Zone ( _Rotalia_ is used as an older synonym for _Ammonia_) is often fused with the _Bulimina-Bolvina_ Zone to _Bulimina-Rotalia_ Zone, but it is also termed “Verarmungszone” (Zone of pauperization, Impoverished Zone).

In addition to these various stratigraphy systems oil industry (OMV) uses a specific terminology for sand and sandstone horizons because they represent the most important oil reservoirs there (Kreutzer, 1971). For the Badenian the “1.-16. Tortonhorizont” (TH, Tortonian Horizon), for the Sarmatian the “1.-10. Sarmathorizont” (SH, Sarmatian Horizon) and for the Lower Pannonian the “1.-5. Unterpannonhorizont” (UP, lower Pannonian Horizon) are defined. Lower numbers represent higher stratigraphic positions; the highest horizon is the 16. TH. The middle Badenian contains the 11. TH and the 13.-16. TH, the upper Badenian the 1.-10. TH and the 12. TH. All these horizons are used as important correlation markers. In addition to these sand horizons, in the Badenian of the Matzen field also horizons with enriched coralline red algae occurrences are identified and termed “Nullipora Horizonte” (NPH, Lithothamnian horizons; _Nullipora_ is the name used by Reuss (1847) for the first description of a coralline red alga which later was renamed to _Lithothamnion_ (Piller, 1994)). The NPHs widely coincide with the TH horizons and occur usually on top of the TH horizons. The most pronounced NPHs occur in the upper Badenian (Kreutzer, 1978).

### 3 Material and Methods

All proposed formations are based on core material and well-log data from several OMV wells (Fig. 1B). 46 boreholes in the Austrian part of the Vienna Basin have been sampled by us and 595 sediment samples have been analyzed for microfossils (mainly foraminifers, ostracods, otoliths) and macrofossils, such as mollusks, balanids, echinoderms and bryozoans. The full inventory will be published elsewhere. Herein, we refer only to the biostratigraphically and/or paleoecologically relevant taxa.

The well-log data set provided complete spontaneous potential (SP) and resistivity (RES) logs. In addition, 3D seismic data have been made available to us by OMV during analysis. For all wells and designated type sections, material is stored in the core-shed of the OMV in Gänserndorf (Austria). In addition, well-logs, lithological and paleontological data have been integrated from Hladecék (1965), Papp (1967), Kreutzer (1971, 1974, 1978, 1986, 1992, 1993), Fuchs (1990), Fuchs et al. (2001), Weissenbäck (1995, 1996), Harzhauser et al. (2017, 2018, 2019) and internal OMV reports.

### 4 Results

#### 4.1 Ottnangian

4.1.1 Bockfließ Formation (Figs. 2–3)

**Derivation of name:** After the village Bockfließ in the central Vienna Basin (N 48°21’40.53” , E 16°36’5.57”). Papp et al. (1973, p. 195) introduced the term “Bockfließer Schichten” (Bockfließ Beds).

**Synonyms:** “brachyhaline Serie” or “brachyhaline facies with _Rzehakia_” (Hladecék, 1965; Kapounek et al., 1965). Brachyhaline Schichten mit _Rzehakia_ = „_Oncoephora_ -Schichten” (Papp et al., 1973), Bockfließer Schichten.

**Type section:** Designated herein (Fig. 2): Well Matzen 269 (2245–2754 m; cores: 17/6 2270.00–2279.00 m, 18/2 2279.00–2281.70 m, 19/6 2281.70–2290.00 m, 20/4 2315.00–2321.50 m, 21/7 2321.50–2325.30 m, 22/1 2325.30–2333.30 m, 23/6 2354.00–2363.00 m, 24/6 2480.00–2489.00 m, 25/6 2560.00–2569.00 m, 26/7 2659.00–2668.00 m, 27/7 2668.00–2677.00 m, 28/7 2677.00–2686.00 m, 29/7 2686.00–2695.00 m, 30/6 2715.00–2724.00 m, 31/7 2724.00–2733.00 m) (N 48°22’59.37” , E 16°42’22.09”)(Figs 1–2).

**Remarks:** Papp et al. (1973) discussed well Bockfließ 78 (N 48°21’48”, E 16°36’34”) as being characteristic for the Bockfließ Fm. but referred only to a very short interval of 5 m (1948–1953 m) of the total thickness of 323 m (1935–2258 m). Therefore, the short note of Papp et al. (1973) does not meet the requirements for the designation of a type section.

**Thickness:** The Bockfließ Fm. fills a paleo-relief and is truncated by erosion. Thus, its thickness varies considerably. The maximum thickness of about 500 m is documented from well Matzen 269 (2245–2754 m).

**Lithology:** The base of the Bockfließ Fm. comprises few meters of flysch clasts, representing a basal transgressive conglomerate (e.g. Spannb erg 11: 2441–2447 m). Lignite is documented from the basal units in wells Spannb erg 8 (2546 m) and Matzen 260 (2750 m). Upsection follows light and dark grey, mica-rich marly clay with intercalations of marly silt and sand. Some of these pelitic intervals and sand intercalations are continuous and
have been used by Hladecek (1965) for cross-correlations between the various wells.

**Well-log characteristics:** The SP and RES logs of the Bockfließ Fm. display a rather uniform pattern with high amplitudes in the base followed by a shale line interval, which is overlain by a rapid succession of high amplitude logs consisting of partly amalgamated funnel-shaped units, indicating a general coarsening upward trend.

**Fossils:** The Bockfließ Fm. is rich in fossils, including calcareous nanoplankton, foraminifers, mollusks and decapods. Most data, however, are only available from unpublished internal OMV reports (e.g. Fuchs, 1990) or presented in the unpublished thesis of Hladecek (1965). These data have been re-evaluated by us and supplemented with own results. The foraminiferal assemblages are dominated by *Ammonia tepida* along with *Elphidium matzenense* (e.g. Bockfließ 78: 1867–1882 m, Bockfließ 90: 1813–1822 m, 1846–1854 m). Planktonic species are represented by *Globigerina otthangensis*, *G. praebulloides* and *Globoturbo rotalialia* woodi (Matzen 270: 2330–2345 m, Bockfließ 78: 201–2020 m). Typical mollusks are the bivalves *Nuculana* sp., *Ostrea digitalina*, *Papillicardium papillosum*, *Corbula gibba*, and *Macoma elliptica* (e.g. Matzen 269: 2480–2489 m, 2650–2659 m, 2668–2677 m, 2677–2686 m). Similar bivalve assemblages with *Crassostrea gryphoides*, *Parvicardium* sp., *Tellina* sp., *Pitaria* sp., *Venus* sp., *Lutraria* sp., and *Lucina columbella* have been documented from Bockfließ 78 (2006–2014 m), Raggendorf 8 (1897–1902 m, 2015–2018 m, 2225–2231 m), Matzen 267 (2314–2332 m, 2487–2500 m) and Matzen 270 (2357–2366 m). Gastropods are comparatively rare and represented by *Terebralia* sp. (Matzen 269: 2750–2759 m), *Turritella teretrialis* (Spannberg 14: 2462–2471 m) and *Nassarius* sp., *Bittium* sp. and *Granulolabium* sp. from Spannberg 14 (2409–2418 m).

**Depositional environment:** Lagoonal-coastal environments are reflected by the lignite bearing basal parts of the formation, yielding mudflat gastropods, such as *Terebralia* sp. The abundance of infaunal bivalve taxa in most parts of the Bockfließ Fm. documents soft bottom conditions in a
fully marine environment. Additionally, the occurrence of *Magallana gryphoides* indicate the presence of a riverine inlet providing abundant nutrient input and salinity fluctuation in the lagoon (Stenzel, 1971). For all mollusk species a depth-range from the shoreface down to the outer shelf is documented (e.g. Marquet, 2004). Typically, however, *Papillicardium papillosum* is found in coastal shallow water environments, such as lagoons, delta-influenced settings and within the rhizome layers of sea grass (Albano and Sabelli, 2012; Giacobbe, 2012; Weber and Zuschin, 2013). *Corbula gibba* is a specialist for instable bottom conditions, being able to withstand dysoxic conditions (Talman and Keough, 2001). Paratethyan assemblages with high contributions of nuculanids are characteristic for shallow to medium deep sublittoral environments (Báldi, 1973). *Ostrea digitalina* was confined to fully marine conditions (Mandic and Harzhauser, 2003) and is documented to have formed bioherms in protected lagoons with level-bottom conditions (Zuschin et al., 2007). Therefore, a fully marine, inner neritic lagoonal environment with occasionally stressed bottom conditions may be expected as depositional environment. Transport of the mollusk shells can be excluded as *Papillicardium* and some tellinds are found articulated in life-position. The lagoon did not become fully isolated from the open sea, indicated by the sporadic occurrence of globigerinids in upper parts of the formation (e.g. Matzen 270, 2330–2335 m).

**Age:** Early Miocene (Burdigalian – early Ottnangian).

**Biostratigraphy:** The nannofossil assemblages point to the nannoplankton zones NN3 or lower NN4; *Sphenolithus conicus* has its last occurrence in NN3 (Bergen et al., 2017) and *Sphenolithus belemnos* ranges from the upper NN2 to the lower NN4 (Hilgen et al., 2012). Overall, the assemblage points to an early Ottnangian age. Nevertheless, reworking is frequent in lower Miocene deposits of the VB and nannoplankton data have to be treated with caution. The foraminiferal and mollusk assemblages are of little biostratigraphic significance but do not contradict this interpretation.

**Remarks:** The occurrence of the bivalve *Rzehakia,* which was described by Papp et al. (1973) as typical for the Bockfließ Fm., could not be detected herein and was also critically discussed by Schultz (2005). Papp et al. (1973) used the alleged occurrence of *Rzehakia* to correlate the Bockfließ Fm. with the upper Ottnangian “*Rzehakia beds*” of Upper Austria (= Oncophora Formation; Pipperr et al., 2018; = Pixendorf Group of Gebhardt et al. (2013) in Lower Austria). The presence of this bivalve genus, however, has little biostratigraphic significance as it ranges from the Ottnangian to the Badenian (Schultz, 2005).
Sequence stratigraphy: The Bockfließ Fm. has not been interpreted in terms of sequence stratigraphy so far. Based on its correlation with the Lužice Fm. we assume that the Bockfließ Fm. represents the transgressive systems tract and early high stand systems tract of the 3rd order TB 2.1. cycle of Haq et al. (1988), which is in agreement with Piller et al. (2007).

Underlying units: Pre-Neogene basement (Rhenodanian Flysch, Mesozoic nappes of the Northern Calcareous Alps).

Overlying units: Aderklaa Fm. south of the Matzen/Spannberg Ridge (Raggendorf-Matzen-Bockfließ-Schönkirchen areas); Badenian units (Matzen Fm., Baden Fm.) north of the Matzen/Spannberg Ridge in the Spannberg area.

Lateral equivalents: The Lužice Fm. of the northern Vienna Basin and Alpine-Carpathian Foredeep is a lateral equivalent and represents more offshore conditions. Based on the nannofossils, the Bockfließ Fm. is probably a time equivalent of the Mistelbach and Kettlasbrunn members of the Lužice Fm. as defined by Harzhauser et al. (2019).

Geographic distribution: The Bockfließ Fm. is restricted to subsurface drillings in the central Vienna Basin and is documented in numerous wells in the area of Spannberg, Prottes, Matzen, Bockfließ, Raggendorf, Schönkirchen, Straßhof, Deutsch-Wagram, Tallesbrunn, and Glinzendorf. North of the Matzen/Spannberg Ridge, the occurrence is limited to a narrow strip. A continuation in eastern direction into the Gajary depression on Slovak territory was described by Kováč et al. (2004, fig. 7a). The Aderklaa High and Aderklaa-Bockfließ Fault form the western boundary and into southern direction, the Bockfließ Fm. pinches out in the Gänserndorf area (Fig. 3A).

Wells: Bockfließ 78 (1948–1953 m), Matzen 125 (2310–2490 m), Matzen 130 (2270–2680 m), Matzen 269 (2245–2754 m), Matzen 267 (2260–2520 m), Matzen 270 (2325–2685 m), Matzen 375 (2330–2720 m), Schönkirchen T1 (2870–3190 m), Schönkirchen T2 (2730–2810 m), Schönkirchen T25 (2720–2935 m), Schönkirchen T3 (2700–2920 m), Spannberg 8 (2400–2643 m), Spannberg 10 (2150–2290 m), Spannberg 14 (2390–2548.6 m); additional core records: Bockfließ 90 (1813–1822 m), Matzen 100 (2072–2079 m), Prottes 16 (2104–2285 m), Prottes 20 (2170–2171 m), Raggendorf 1 (1963–1968 m), Raggendorf 11 (1885–1891 m), Raggendorf 3 (2010–2051 m), Raggendorf 7 (1873–1876 m), Spannberg 11 (2332–2441 m), Spannberg 4 (2150–2155 m) (for additional records see Hladecek, 1965).

4.1.2 Lužice Formation (Fig. 4)
Derivation of name: After the village Lužice in the northern Vienna Basin in the Czech Republic (N 48°50'27.19", E 17°4'17.07").
Synonyms: Neusiedl Schlier (Kapounek et al., 1965).
Type section: well Maustrenk MTW1 (1300–1505 m; cores: 7/5 1300.00–1305.00 m, 8/1 1350.00–1355.00 m, 9/5 1380.00–1385.00 m, 10/5 1420.00–1424.70 m, 11/5 1458.00–1463.00 m, 12/6 1480.00–1485.00 m) (N 48°34'32.08", E 16°42'29.77").
Remark: The Lužice Formation is defined in the Mistelbach Halfgraben by its members: Maustrenk Mb., Mistelbach Mb., Kettlasbrunn Mb. and Hobersdorf Mb. (Harzhauser et al., 2019). The designated type section is also type section of the Mistelbach Mb. For description see Harzhauser et al. (2019).
**Thickness:** The maximum thickness of the Lužice Fm. attains about 1000 m in the Mistelbach Halfgraben (Harzhauser et al., 2019). East of the Steinberg Fault, in the northern Vienna Basin, the Lužice Fm. is tilted and strongly eroded. Therefore, only lower parts of the formation are preserved with thicknesses ranging around few hundreds of meters (e.g. ~200 m in the Bernhardsthal field).

**Lithology:** Laminated grey calcareous clays, silt and siltstones with intercalations of sands, referred to as “Schlier” (Kováč et al., 2004).

**Well-log characteristics:** Shale-line and low amplitude SP- and RES-log patterns are typical.

**Fossils:** Rich foraminifer assemblages with Bathysiphon taurinensis, B. filiformis, Cyclammina praecancellata along with Haplophragmoides vasiceki and Trilobatus trilobus (Cicha et al., 1998; see Harzhauser et al., 2015, 2018 for details).

**Depositional environment:** Open marine pelagic, partly bathyal environments with high nutrient flux and high sedimentation rates (Grunert et al., 2013, Harzhauser et al., 2019).

---

**Figure 4:** Stratigraphic correlation of Mühlberg, Bernhardsthal, Rabensburg and Ringelsdorf wells in the northern Vienna Basin and a Spannberg well at the northern flank of the Matzen/Spannberg Ridge. Logs are aligned along the boundary between Baden and Rabensburg formations. Red lines indicate sequence boundaries, blue lines are flooding surfaces. Right curve represents the SP-, left curve the RES-log in each well. Pre-Neogene basement is left blank. The maximum flooding surface of the middle Badenian, which is an important marker for OMV correlations is marked as “mfs”. Type sections are written in bold.
et al., 2017). A distinct shallowing trend is indicated by the development of Lenticulina, Cibicides and Elphidium-dominated foraminiferal assemblages in the upper part of the Lužice Fm.

Age: Early Miocene (Burdigalian – early Ottnangian).

Biostratigraphy: Early Ottnangian is based on the co-occurrence of Bathysiphon filiformis, Cyclammina bradyi and Reticuloplagium karpanicum (Cicha et al., 1998; Harzhauser et al., 2017). An Ottnangian age is also supported by the occurrence of the bivalve Pecten hornensis in basal parts of the Lužice Fm. (Harzhauser et al., 2019).

Sequence stratigraphy: The Lužice Fm. comprises a full 3rd order cycle. Based on its age, it is correlated with the TB 2.1. cycle of Haq et al. (1988) and Piller et al. (2007).

Underlying units: Rhenodanubian Flysch.

Overlying units: The lower Miocene Laa Formation follows in the Mistelbach Halfgraben; in the Austrian part of the northern Vienna Basin, the middle Miocene Mannsdorf or Baden formations discordantly overlay the Lužice Fm.

Lateral equivalents: Bockfließ Fm. in the central Vienna Basin; Wildendürnbach Fm. and Zogelsdorf Fm. in the NACFB (Palzer-Khomenko et al., 2018a, b; Harzhauser et al., 2019).

Geographic distribution: Austrian, Czech and Slovak territories of the northern Vienna Basin and Mistelbach Halfgraben (Buday and Cicha, 1956; Vass, 2002; Kováč et al., 2004; Harzhauser et al., 2018, 2019).

Wells: Mühlberg T1 (3140–3340 m), Bernhardsthal 4 (2340–275 m), Bernhardsthal 5 (2385–2590 m). For drillings in the Mistelbach Halfgraben see Harzhauser et al. (2017, 2019).

Based on its foraminiferal faunas, the investigated samples from these wells can be correlated with the Mistelbach Mb. and parts of the Kettlasbrunn Mb. of the Lužice Formation.

4.2 Kärpätan

4.2.1 Aderklaa Formation (Fig. 2)

Derivation of name: After the village Aderklaa in the central Vienna Basin (N 48°17’7.11’’; E 16°32’18.96’’).

The deposits of the Aderklaa Fm. were recognized during hydrocarbon exploration in the 1940ies. Originally, these sediments were vaguely termed as “schlierähnliche Serie” (schlier-like series at Aderklaa) (Janoschek, 1942, 1943), “Aderklaaer Schlier (Janoschek, 1951)”, “Liegendserie von Aderklaa” (Grill, 1943), Schichten von Aderklaa (Grill, 1960; Janoschek, 1960), “terrestrisch-lacustrische Serie” (Hladecek, 1965), “limnische Serie” (Hladecek, 1965), Gänserndorfer Schichten p.p. (Papp et al., 1973), Aderklaaer Schichten p.p. (Papp et al., 1973), Aderklaa Formation (Weissenbäck, 1996).

Synonyms: “schlierähnliche Serie” (Janoschek, 1942, 1943), Aderklaaer Schlier (Janoschek, 1951), “Liegendserie von Aderklaa” (Grill, 1943), Schichten von Aderklaa (Grill, 1960; Janoschek, 1960), “terrestrisch-lacustrische Serie” (Hladecek, 1965), “limnische Serie” (Hladecek, 1965), Gänserndorfer Schichten p.p. (Papp et al., 1973), Aderklaaer Schichten p.p. (Papp et al., 1973), Aderklaa Formation (Weissenbäck, 1996).

Type section: Designated herein: Well Schönkirchen T1 (1980–2870 m; cores: 4/8 2020.00–2027.00 m, 5/6 2132.00–2137.00 m, 6/6 2227.00–2232.00 m, 7/6 2308.50–2313.50 m, 8/6 2625.00–2630.00 m, 9/5 2730.00–2735.00 m, 10/5 2838.00–2843.00 m) (N 48°21’30.71’’, E 16°43’5.50’”) (Figs 1–2).

Thickness: The maximum thickness of 1258 m is documented from Wittau U1 from the Schwechat Basin. Overall, the thickness varies considerably due to the paleo-relief formed by the underlying Bockfließ Fm. and due to post sedimentary truncation and erosion. A thickness of 500 to 800 m is typical for the Matzen and Schönkirchen areas.

Age: Early Miocene (Burdigalian – Kärpätan).

Lithostratigraphic subdivision: The Aderklaa Fm. is completely subdivided into the Gänserndorfer Member and Schönkirchen Member.

Sequence stratigraphy: The Aderklaa Fm. has not been interpreted in terms of sequence stratigraphy so far and we note that the application of sequence stratigraphy in lacustrine deposits is debatable. The Kärpätan stage has been correlated by Piller et al. (2007) with the 3rd order sea level cycle TB 2.2. of Haq et al. (1988). Herein, we interpret the Gänserndorfer Mb. as proximal expression of a lowstand systems tract, whereas the Schönkirchen Mb. represents the transgressive and highstand systems tracts including a marine flooding of the wetlands during the maximum flooding (see Discussion chapter). Based on the large thickness, we tentatively consider the whole sequence as 3rd order cycle.

4.2.1.1 Gänserndorfer Member (Fig. 2)

The deposits of the Gänserndorf Member were studied by Hladecek (1965) and termed “terrestrisch-lacustrische Serie”. Papp et al. (1973) introduced the term Gänserndorfer Schichten (Gänserndorf beds) for this unit, which was accepted by subsequent authors (e.g. Grill and Janoschek 1980; Rupp, 1986; Jiřiček and Seifert, 1990; Kreutzer, 1993). Weissenbäck (1995) performed a detailed sedimentological analysis and provided first interpretations of the depositional environment. Kováč et al. (2004) discussed this lithostratigraphic unit at formation rank. Herein we define the Gänserndorf beds as member of the Aderklaa Fm.

Derivation of name: After the town Gänserndorf in the central Vienna Basin (N 48°20’26.31’’; E 16°43’3.26’’) (Fig. 1).

Synonyms: terrestrisch-limnische Schichten (Hladecek, 1965), Gänserndorfer Schichten (Papp et al., 1973), Gänserndorf Formation (Weissenbäck, 1996; Wessely, 2006).
Type section: Designated herein: Well Schönkirchen T1 (2610–2870 m; cores: 8/6 2625.00–2630.00 m, 9/5 2730.00–2735.00 m, 10/5 2838.00–2843.00 m) (N 48°21′30.71″; E 16°43′5.50″) (Fig. 2: ST1).

Thickmess: The unit attains its maximum thickness with 363 m in the well Wittau U1. In the Schöcniken field it attains a thickness of up to 260 m (Schönkirchen T1), becomes reduced to few meters in most Matzen wells (130, 125, 167, 269, 270) and is already completely missing in Raggendorf 8 and Matzen 375. The strongly varying thickness results partly from the paleo-relief that truncated and eroded the underlying Bockfließ Fm.

Lithology: The lithology and sedimentology of the Gänserndorf Mb. was described in detail by Weissenbäck (1995) and is summarized in the following: The deposits are characterized by a rapid alternation of conglomerates, sandstones, marly silty clays and subordinate breccias. Conglomerates are monomictic (dolostones) or polymictic (limestones, dolostones, quartz, schist, sandstones, etc.), poorly sorted and moderately rounded with components of up to 10 cm diameter. The light grey fine to medium grained sandstone is usually poorly to moderately sorted, often immature and intercalated with mm-thin clay layers. Flaser- and ripple bedding occasionally occurs in the variegated silty marly clay. Characteristic successions consist of fining-upward-units of thick conglomerates passing into sand-silt and marly clay intercalations. Pieces of anhydrite appear at the base of the formation in the wells Matzen 267 and Bockfließ 78. Continuous layers of evaporites, however, have not been documented so far. The Gänserndorf Mb. passes without unconformity into the Schönkirchen Member (upper part of the Aderklaa Fm) and is separated from the later by its high amount of coarse grained clastics.

Well-log characteristics: SP-logs are characterized by generally rather low amplitudes, which are opposed by strongly serrated RES patterns with high RES-values. This pattern results from the high degree of compaction and cementation of the conglomerates (Weissenbäck, 1995). Overall, an upsection trend towards lower RES values is seen in the studied logs.

Fossils: The Gänserndorf Mb. is poor in fossils. Wells Matzen 267 (2250–2268 m) and Schönkirchen T1 (2840 m, 2730–2735 m, 2625–2630 m) yielded large ostracods (Canodonta, Cytherideidae), hydroid, planorbid and helicid gastropods and oogonia of Characeae (Hladec, 1965; own data).

Depositional environment: The depositional environment of the Gänserndorf Mb. was interpreted by Weissenbäck (1995) as a transition from alluvial fans in its basal part to a braided river system with the main transport direction from SW to NE. Parts of the succession represent overbank-deposits and probably wetland ponds. This is indicated by the frequent occurrence of hydroid gastropods and large freshwater ostracods, which were adapted to lentic environments and did not live in the fast flowing river channels. Paleosol formation and the presence of helicid gastropods clearly indicate terrestrial conditions within the floodplains. Moreover, the formation of anhydrite pebbles is a hint to rather dry climatic conditions.

Age: Early Miocene (Burdigalian – Karpatian).

Biostratigraphy: No biostratigraphic marker species are known from the Gänserndorf Mb. It discordantly overlies the Ottnganian Bockfließ Fm. and passes conformably into the overlying Schönkirchen Mb., which is of Karpatian age. Therefore, we assume a Karpatian age for the Gänserndorf Mb. In addition, the indication for rather dry and warm climate is a hint to a Karpatian rather than an Ottnganian age of this formation. As shown by stable isotope data of oyster shells by Harzhauser et al. (2010) and floral data by Kern et al. (2010), based on high resolution pollen analysis, the Karpatian was characterized by very dry and hot summer seasons, thus differing from the still warm but slightly cooler Ottnganian with higher precipitation (Grunt et al., 2014).

Lithostratigraphically higher rank unit: Aderklaa Formation.

Underlying units: In all herein studied wells, the Gänserndorf Mb. discordantly overlies the Bockfließ Fm.

Overlying units: In all herein studied wells, the Gänserndorf Mb. is overlain by the Schönkirchen Mb.

Lateral equivalents: In the Slovak part of the Vienna Basin, the Šaštín Mb. of the Závod Fm. was discussed by Kováč et al. (2004) to be a lateral equivalent based on the occurrence of anhydrite in both units. Harzhauser et al. (2019) defined the Závod Formation as a member (Závod Member) of the Laa Fm. The coastal marine Ťmec Sand (Špička and Zapletalová, 1964) might be a further equivalent on Slovak territory.

Geographic distribution: The Gänserndorf Mb. is only known from subsurface drillings. It is restricted to an about 10 to 20 km wide SW-NW trending area in the central Vienna Basin and was drilled mainly in the Schönau, Andlersdorf, Breitenstetten, Breitensee, Matzen, Schönkirchen and Bockfließ wells (Weissenbäck, 1995). It pinches out towards the Matzen/Spainbnberg Ridge in the north, towards the Aderklaa High in the northwest, towards the Zwernsdorf High in the west and disappears in southeastern direction between the Markgrafneusiedl and the Kopfstetten faults. In the west and southwest, the formation reaches into the Schwechat Basin without reaching up to the Oberlaa High. In total, the Gänserndorf Mb. covers roughly 550 km² on Austrian territory (Fig. 3B).

Wells: Bockfließ T1 (2500–2720 m), Matzen 269 (2230–2268 m), Matzen 270 (2300–2320 m), Schönkirchen T1 (2610–2870 m), Schönkirchen T2 (2530–2730 m), Schönkirchen T3 (2550–2700 m), Schönkirchen T41 (2611–2618 m); additional core records: Aderklaa 3 (2683–2701 m), Bockfließ 78 (1810–1940 m), Breitenlee 1 (2814–2823, 2888–2893 m), Deutsch Wagram 3 (3022–3027, 3109–3114 m), Fischamend T1 (3038–3043 m), Gänserndorf T1 (2916–2921 m), Glinzendorf T1 (3113–3118 m), Marchegg 1 (2010–2015 m), Markgrafneusiedel NT1 (3150–3155 m), Straßhof T1 (2743–2748 m), Straßhof T2 (2905–2910 m).
4.2.1.2 Schönkirchen Member (Fig. 2)

This lithostratigraphic unit was introduced by Papp et al. (1973) as Aderklaa Schichten and treated so far as Aderklaa Fm. in the more recent literature (e.g. Weissenbäck, 1995; Kováč et al., 2004). By integrating also the Gänserndorf Mb. in the Aderklaa Fm., it became necessary to define this unit as new member.

**Derivation of name:** After the village Schönkirchen in the central Vienna Basin (N 48°21′49.98″, E 16°42′3.09″).

**Synonyms:** Aderklaa Schlier p.p. (Jánoschek, 1951), limnische Serie (Hladecek, 1985), Limnische Schichten mit Congerien (Papp et al., 1973), Aderklaa Schichten (Papp et al., 1973), Aderklaa Formation (Weissenbäck, 1996; Wessely, 2006), ?Grillenberger Kohleserie (Brix and Plöchinger, 1988), ?Hauerbergschichten (Brix and Plöchinger, 1988), Láb beds (Láb Member) in Slovakia (Buday, 1955; Kováč et al., 2004).

**Type section:** Designated herein: Well Schönkirchen T1 (1980–2610 m; cores: 4/8 2020.00–2027.00 m, 5/6 2132.00–2137.00 m, 6/6 2227.00–2232.00 m, 7/6 2308.50–2313.50 m) (N 48°21′30.71″, E 16°43′5.50″) (Figs 1–2).

**Thickness:** A maximum thickness of 1066 m is developed in the Schwechat depression in well Aderklaa 85 (Weissenbäck, 1995). A very high thickness of 895 m is also documented from the well Wittau U1. In the Matzen area, the thickness ranges around 500 m.

**Lithology:** The lithology and sedimentology of the Schönkirchen Mb. was described in detail by Weissenbäck (1995) and is summarized in the following. Drill cores reveal a rather monotonous alternation of strongly cemented light grey to greenish sandstones with marly silt and marly clay; thin gravel layers are occasionally intercalated. The sand is moderately to moderately well sorted, often with graded bedding and frequent plant debris. Lenticular and flaser bedding are typical. Reworked andesitic tuff within the Schönkirchen Mb. was detected in well Orth 1 (3130–3135 m) by Kapounek and Papp (1969).

**Well-log characteristics:** SP-logs in the Matzen-Schönkirchen area indicate a subdivision into a strongly serrated basal interval with high amplitudes and an overall bell-shaped outline. Bundles of high-amplitude values form serrated intervals separated by short shale line intercalations (intervals 4 and 5 in Fig. 2). This lower part is separated by an up to 100 m thick shale line interval from an upper part with at least three high amplitude bundles of cylindrical to funnel-shaped outline (intervals 1, 2 and 3 in Fig. 2) alternating with shale line intervals. The RES-logs mirror this pattern largely but with lower amplitudes. In the Aderklaa area, this subdivision is less prominent and low amplitude patterns prevail.

**Fossils:** Large ostracods are the most common fossils in the Schönkirchen Mb. (Canodonidae, Cytherideidae, Hemicythereidae). Mollusks are represented by the terrestrial helicid gastropod *Megalotachaea silvana* (e.g. Matzen 273: 2070 m). The pachychilid gastropod *Tinneya lauraea* along with hydroids are known from Schönkirchen T1 (2020–2027 m). Hydroids are also documented from Matzen 269 (2056–2065 m). The neritid gastropods *Theodoxus crenulatus* and *Vitta pachii* were documented from Raggendorf 8 (1770–1792 m). Bivalves are documented by the dreissenid *Andrusoviconcha neumayri* (e.g. Aderklaa 78: 2757–2766 m, Bockfließ 90: 1770–1779 m, Straßhof 1: 2405–2414 m) and *Trigonipraxis cf. kucici* (= *Congeria antecroatica* sensu Papp, 1967) (Aderklaa 78: 2757–2766 m); unidentified dreissenids occur also in Raggendorf 8 (1770–1792 m) (Hladecek, 1965; Papp, 1967; own data). An important finding are marine foraminifera in Matzen 112 (1805 m) comprising *Ammonia beccari*, *Bulimina schischkin-skaya*, *Globigerina bulloides*, *Globigerinella regularis*, *Globorotalia transsylvenica*, *Hanzawa buoana*, and *Nonion commune*. Hydrobids gastropods, dreissenid bivalves and a low diverse foraminiferal fauna was also recorded from the Láb beds on Slovak territory (FordináI et al., 2012).

**Depositional environment:** The detailed sedimentological analysis by Weissenbäck (1995) revealed the Schönkirchen Mb. as deposits of a meandering river system with channels and flood plains. According to Weissenbäck (1995), the sandy intervals represent largely point bars and pelitic intervals formed in oxbow lakes. A flood plain depositional environment is also indicated by the fossil content with large sized ostracods and hydroids, which might have dwelled in the flood plain lakes. Inhabitants of fluval environments are represented by the gastropod Tinneya. The most diverse fauna derives from the basal parts of the Schönkirchen Mb. in the Raggendorf 8 well, where dreissenid bivalves, hydroids and theodoxids have been detected along with the neritid Vitta pachii. This gastropod is occurring in the Korneuburg Basin in coastal mudflats and is unknown from freshwater settings (e.g. Zuschin et al., 2014). A comparable assemblage was described by Harzhauser et al. (2016) from Rupelian marsh land deposits of Turkey, where dreissenids, hydroids and Vitta co-occurred in the landward part of the mangrove fringe. Therefore, its occurrence in the basal parts of the Schönkirchen Mb. indicates the proximity to the shoreline. At least one short marine flooding of the wetland by the Paratethys Sea is documented by the occurrence of marine foraminifers in well Matzen 112.

**Age:** Early Miocene (Burdigalian – Karpatian).

**Biostratigraphy:** No biostratigraphic marker species are known from the Schönkirchen Mb. Nevertheless, the occurrence of *Vitta pachii* is only known from Karpatian deposits so far (Harzhauser, 2002). In addition, *Andrusoviconcha neumayri* is also recorded from the Karpatian of the Laa Fm. (Harzhauser and Mandic, 2009) and *Trigonipraxis kucici* is a Karpatian species as well (de Leeuw et al., 2011). The foraminiferal assemblage has little biostratigraphic significance, but the presence of *Globorotalia transsylvenica* and *Globigerinella regularis* excludes an Ottnangian age (according to the ranges given in Cicha et al., 1998).

**Lateral equivalents:** The Láb beds (= Láb Ostracod member, Buday and Cicha, 1956) are a lateral equivalent in the Slovak part of the Vienna Basin (Kováč et al., 2004, FordináI et al., 2012). The Grillenberger Kohleserie (Brix and Plöchinger, 1988), representing lignites and marls in the area of Grillenberg, Kleinfeld and Jauling (Lower
Austria) along the southwestern margin of the Vienna Basin, might be a lateral equivalent of the Schönkirchen Mb., but a reliable dating is missing so far and Janoschek (1951, 556) even proposed a middle Miocene age. Similarly, the Hauerbergschichten (Brix and Plöchinger, 1988), representing up to 2 m of freshwater limestone at Gainfarn in the southern Vienna Basin might be a time equivalent.

The Závod Mb. is a time equivalent of upper parts of the Schönkirchen Member in the Mistelbach Halfgraben, the Korneuburg Basin and the Slovak part of the VB but represents shallow to middle neritic marine depositional environments (Kováč et al., 2004; Harzhauser et al., 2019).

**Lithostratigraphically higher rank unit:** Aderklaa Formation.

**Underlying units:** The Schönkirchen Mb. concordantly overlies the Gänserndorf Mb. or discordantly overlies the Bockfließ Fm.

**Overlying units:** In most of the studied wells, the Schönkirchen Mb. is discordantly overlain by the Rothneusiedl Fm. In some wells close to the Matzen/Spannbberg Ridge, it is overlain directly by the Matzen Fm.

**Geographic distribution:** The Schönkirchen Mb. is only known from subsurface drillings. In the north, it pinches out along the Matzen/Spannbberg Ridge. Towards the east, it reaches via the Suchorad Basin to the Záhorie Lowlands on Slovak territory (= Láb beds), where it is recorded from drillings at Malacky, Láb, Jakubov, Suchorad, Vysoká pri Morave, and Lozorno (Fördinal et al., 2012). Thus, the Malé Karpaty mountains form the easternmost barrier, whereas the Kopfstetten Fault and the Enzersdorf High delimit this member towards the southeast.

In the south, the Schönkirchen Mb. reaches into the Schwechat Basin attaining there its maximum thickness. The Aderklaa High and the Leopoldsdorf Fault represent northwestern and western boundaries of the Schönkirchen MB. Strauss et al. (2006) discuss a continuation of the Aderklaa Fm. (undifferentiated) into the southern Vienna Basin down to the northern margin of the Leitha Mountains. These deposits are documented in seismic surveys (Strauss et al., 2006) and drilled by well Wienerherberg 1, where these deposits attain a thickness of about 200 m. Including this southern distribution, the Schönkirchen Mb. covers an area of more than 1000 km².

**Wells:** Aderklaa 78 (2005–2810 m), Bockfließ T1 (2000–2500 m), Matzen 112 (1650–1960 m), Matzen 375 (1885–2330 m), Matzen 269 (1730–2235 m), Matzen 267 (1700–2250 m), Schönkirchen T1 (1980–2600 m), Schönkirchen T2 (1990–2530 m), Schönkirchen T3 (1960–2550 m), Schönkirchen T25 (1870–2410 m); additional core records: Baumgarten 6 (2578–2581 m), Breitenlee 1 (2435–2667 m), Glinzendorf T1 (2709–3070 m), Maria Ellend 1 (2650–2655 m), Orth 1 (2899–3135 m), Schönau 1 (2704–3021 m).

### 4.3 Badenian

#### 4.3.1 Baden Group

The various Badenian deposits of the Vienna Basin were united in the Baden Group on the "Stratigraphic Chart of Austria 2004" (Piller et al., 2004). The chart, however, listed only the most important formations and a formalization was missing so far.

**Derivation of name:** After the town Baden in the central Vienna Basin (N 48°00’29.00”, E 16°14’03.72”).

**Type section:** Designated herein: The 102-m-long scientific core from the abandoned brick yard Baden/Sooss near the town Baden (Lower Austria), south of Vienna (N 47°59’24”, E 16°13’44”) (see Baden Formation below for discussion and references).

**Thickness:** The maximum thickness of the Baden Group, based on the maximum thicknesses of its formations, ranges around 3300 m.

**Lithostratigraphic subdivision:** Auersthal Fm. (“Auersthaler Schichten”), Baden Fm., Leitha Fm., Mannsdorf Fm., Matzen Fm. (“Matzen Sand”), Rabensburg Fm., Rothneusiedl Fm. (“Rothneusiedler Konglomerat”, “Aderklaer Konglomerat”), Sandberg Mb.

Informal lithostratigraphic units: Aderklaa bentonite main marker (“Aderklaa Hauptmarker”), Aderklaa Sand (“Aderklaaer Sand”), Andlersdorf conglomerate (“Andlersdorfer Konglomerat”), Devinska Nová Ves Fm., Enzesfeld sand (“Enzesfelder Sande”), Gainfarn breccia (“Gainfarner Bekzie”), Gainfarn sand (“Gainfarner Sande”), Hrusky Fm. (“Hrušcecke vrstvy”), Iváň Fm., Jakubov Fm. (“Jakubovske vrstvy”), Kuty Member (“Kutskes vrstvy”), Lab sand, Lanžhot Fm. (“Lanžhotes vrstvy”), Lindabrunn conglomerate (“Lindabrunner Konglomerat”), Matzen bentonite main marker (“Matzen Hauptmarker”), St. Margarethen limestone (“St. Margarethener Kalksandstein”), Studienka Fm. (“Studienes vrstvy”), Vöslau (Baden) conglomerate (“Vöslauer (Badener) Konglomerat”), Žižkov beds (“Žižkovske vrstvy”), Zohor conglomerate, Zwedendorf sand (“Zwedendorfer Sand”).

**Fossils:** See description of formations below.

**Depositional environment:** See description of formations below.

**Age:** Middle Miocene (Badenian, Langhian – early Serravallian).

**Biostratigraphy:** The Baden Group spans the calcareous nannoplankton zones NN4 (upper part), NN5 and NN6 (Kováč et al., 2004), the foraminiferan plankton zones M5, M6 and M7 (Hohenegger et al., 2014) and comprises the regional benthic foraminiferal eco-biozones Lower and Upper Lagenidae Zone, *Spirorutilus* Zone and *Bulimina-Bolivina* Zone (Cicha et al., 1998).

**Sequence stratigraphy:** The Baden Group comprises three 3rd order cycles, termed Ba1, Ba2 and Ba3 by Strauss et al. (2006). These cycles are correlated with the global TB 2.3., TB 2.4. and TB 2.5. cycles of Haq et al. (1988) (Strauss et al., 2006; Piller et al., 2007; Hohenegger et al., 2014).

**Underlying units:** Pre-Neogene units (e.g. Rhenodanubian Flysch, Magura Flysch, Mesozoic nappes of the Northern Calcareous Alps); Ottnangian formations (Bockfließ Fm., Lužice Formation); Karpatian formations (Aderklaa Fm.).

**Overlying units:** Sarmatian formations (Holoc Fm.) in most of the distribution area; Quaternary deposits along basin margins and the southern Vienna Basin.

**Lateral equivalents:** Equivalents of the Baden Group are distributed throughout the area of the former Paratethys Sea. Herein we list only equivalents in adjacent depositional
areas: Grund Fm. and Mailberg Fm. in the Austrian part of the NACFB (Roetzl, 2009). Baden Clay Fm., Rákos Lime-stone and Szilágy Clay Marl Fm. in the Hungarian part of the Eisenstadt-Sopron Basin and the Hungarian Kisalföld basins (Császár, 1997). Špačince Fm. and Báhoň Fm. in the northern Danube Basin in Slovakia (Vass, 2002).

**Geographic distribution:** Throughout the Vienna Basin.

### 4.3.1.1 Rothneusiedl Formation

**Derivation of name:** After the village Rothneusiedl, a cadastral district of Vienna, in the central Vienna Basin (N 48°8’27.11”, E 16°22’28.10”).

This formation was first recognized by Janoschek (1943), who already discussed an unconformity at its base. Later, Janoschek (1951) introduced the terms “Rothneusiedl Konglomerat (Rothneusiedl Conglomerate)” and “Ader- klaaer Konglomerat” (Aderklaa Conglomerate) for this unit, which are synonyms. The term Aderklaa Conglomerate was adopted by all subsequent authors (e.g. Kapounek et al., 1965; Kapounek and Papp, 1966; Papp et al., 1973; Kreutzer and Hlavatý, 1990). The name Aderklaa Conglomerate Fm., however, is unfavorable as it is nearly eponymous with the Aderklaa Fm. Although the term “Aderklaa Konglomerat” is very well established in the geological literature and widely used in applied geology, we propose to replace it by its synonym Rothneusiedl Fm.

**Synonyms:** Aderklaaer Konglomerat (Janoschek, 1951), Aderklaa Conglomerate (Weissenbäck, 1996), Aderklaa conglomerate Member (Kováč et al., 2004), Rothneusiedler Konglomerat (Janoschek, 1951).

**Type section:** Designated herein (Fig. 2): well Schönkirchen T2 (1825–1980 m) (N 48°21’10.84”, E 16°41’52.24”) (Fig. 1–2). Papp et al. (1973) mentioned wells Aderklaa 96 and Breitenlee 3 as typical, without designating a formal type section.

**Thickness:** The maximum thickness was documented from the Schwechat and Marchfeld depressions attaining about 369 m in well Mannsdorf T1 and 350–360 m at Andlersdorf and Breitstetten (Kapounek and Papp, 1969). In the Schönkirchen area, a thickness of up to 150 m is typical, whereas the thickness decreases rapidly towards the Matzen/Spannberg Ridge.

**Lithology:** The lithology and sedimentology of the Rothneusiedl Fm. was described in detail by Weissenbäck (1995) and is summarized in the following. Moderately cemented to loose, massive, clast-supported conglomerates composed of poorly to moderately rounded, medium to coarse gravel with frequent cobbles. The sediment is polymict with limestones, dolostones and various crystalline components. Intercalations of fine sand and silty marly clay are subordinate but increase in thickness in the Ollersdorf-Prottes area, where sand nearly replaces the conglomerates (Kapounek and Papp, 1969). No bedding and sedimentary structures are recognizable in the conglomerates. The amount of limestones relative to crystalline components increases strongly from SW to NE.

**Well-log characteristics:** The Rothneusiedl Fm. is recognized easily in well-logs by the sudden increase in SP-logs with sharp boundary towards the underlying Aderklaa Fm. In profile, the SP-logs are either strongly serrated within a high negative amplitude spectrum or cylinder shaped with rare intercalations of lower values, probably correlating with thin sand layers. RES-logs are partly mirroring SP-patterns but often are interrupted by high amplitude intervals, which lack counterpart in the SP-log. These intervals might indicate strongly cemented conglomerate layers. The top of the Rothneusiedl Fm. is often indicated by a sudden transition into shale line logs (so-called Lower Lagenidae Zone in internal OMV-reports).

**Fossils:** Grill (1943) documented Badenian fully marine lagenid-assemblages from upper parts of the Rothneusiedl Conglomerate in the Oberlaa area. No detailed faunal lists are available.

**Depositional environment:** The main part of the Rothneusiedl Fm. was interpreted by Weissibenöck (1995) as deposits of a braided river with numerous amalgamating channels and bars. Increasing amount of sand intercalations in its northern distribution area and the decrease of crystalline components in northeastern direction suggests drainage into northern-northeastern direction. Marine influence in this distal area is documented by marine foraminifers in the Oberlaa area (Grill, 1943), heralding the first Badenian transgression.

**Age:** Middle Miocene (early Langhian – early Badenian). The age of the Rothneusiedl Fm. was discussed controversially. Kapounek et al. (1965), Kapounek and Papp (1969), Papp et al. (1973), and Jiříček and Seifert (1990) interpreted the unit as terminal phase of the Karpatian, whereas Janoschek (1943, 1951), Kreutzer and Hlavatý (1990), Kreutzer (1992), Kováč et al. (2004), and Strauss et al. (2006) discussed it as basal Badenian. The latter view was supported by seismic data, indicating a major unconformity and hiatus between the Rothneusiedl Fm. and the underlying Bockfließ and Aderklaa formations. Based on sequence stratigraphic models, Weissenbäck (1995, 1996) documented the basal Badenian position of the Rothneusiedl Fm. In addition, the presence of lagenid-rich foraminifer assemblages in the upper part of the Rothneusiedl conglomerate proof the Badenian age (Grill, 1943).

**Biostratigraphy:** Rögl et al. (2008) reported nannoplankton zone NN5 with *Helicosphaera waltrans* from the assumed upper part of Rothneusiedl Fm. in well Aderklaa 40 (2168 – 2248 m). This interval, however, is already part of the distinctly younger Auersthal Fm. Based on the stratigraphic position, we assume an early Badenian age for the Rothneusiedl Fm. predating the FOD of *Orbulina* and corresponding to nannoplankton Zone NN4.

In respect to the Vienna Basin ecobiostatigraphy it belongs to the Lower Lagenidae Zone.

**Sequence stratigraphy:** The Rothneusiedl Fm. represents lowstand systems tract deposits of the Badenian Sequence Ba1 of Strauss et al. (2006).

**Lithostratigraphically higher rank unit:** Baden Group.

**Underlying units:** Deposits of the Aderklaa Fm. underlay discordantly the Rothneusiedl Fm. in the central Vienna Basin and probably also in the southern Vienna Basin (Strauss et al., 2006).
Overlying units: The Rothneusiedl Fm. is generally overlain by other Badenian deposits. Pelites of the Mannsdorf Fm., usually referred to as "Lower Lagenindae Zone" in internal OMV reports (e.g. Kapounek et al., 1965; Kapounek and Papp, 1969; Weissenbäck, 1995, 1996), overly the Rothneusiedl Fm. in wells Matzen 375, Aderklaa 78, Wittau 1, Orth 1, and Mannsdorf 1 (among others). In the Matzen and Schönkirchen area, the Rothneusiedl Fm. is usually overlain by the Matzen Fm. and by the Leitha Fm. along the Leitha Mountains (e.g. Mannersdorf) (Wiedl et al., 2012).

Lateral equivalents: Within the Vienna Basin, the dolomitic Gainfarn breccia (Wessely et al., 2007) is a proximal and monomonic equivalent along the western margin of the southern Vienna Basin. In the SE Vienna Basin an equivalent was described from Mannersdorf (Fenc, 2005; Wiedl et al., 2012). The about 100-m-thick massive clastics in the Roggendorf 1 well, described by Corić and Rögl (2004), is an equivalent in the Alpine-Carpathian Foredeep. The incision and at least part of the sedimentary fill of the Mistelbach and Iván canyons, described by Dellung and Harzhauser (2012) and Harzhauser et al. (2017, 2019), are time equivalents as well. Vass (2002) correlated also the conglomerates and breccias with rare anhydrite of the Kütý Member (Kutske vrstvy; Špička, 1966) in the Kúty Graben in the Slovak Republic with the Rothneusiedl Fm., but these deposits may also be equivalents of the Auersthal Fm. (see below).

Geographic distribution: The Rothneusiedl Fm. is only known from subsurface drillings (aside from a potential local occurrence at Mannersdorf, Wiedl et al., 2012) and displays a wide distribution in the central and southern Vienna Basin (Fig. 3C). In the north, it is delimited by the Matzen/Spannberg Ridge and is already missing in several Matzen wells. It appears on the Aderklaa High in the northwest and the Zwendorf High in the northeast. In the west it is largely limited by the Leopoldsdorf Fault, aside from a small occurrence on the Oberlaa High, termed “Rothneusiedler Konglomerat” (Janoschek, 1951). The Rothneusiedl Fm. covers the Schwechat and Marchfeld depressions, crosses the Engelhardstetten Fault in the southeast and pinches out along the Leitha Mountains in the south (Kapounek and Papp, 1969; Weissenbäck, 1995; Strauss et al., 2006). Kröll (1984) reports its distribution in the southern Vienna Basin down to Wiener Neustadt. In total, the Rothneusiedl Fm. covers an area of at least 950 km2. Wessely et al. (2007) discussed the presence of the Rothneusiedl Fm. even along the western margin of the southern Vienna Basin in the Bad Vöslau area (based on seismic surveys), which might suggest an even much wider distribution of the formation.

Wells: Aderklaa 78 (1890–2000 m), BockfließT1 (1870–1990 m), Matzen 270 (1765–1810 m), Schönkirchen T1 (1895–1980 m), Schönkirchen T2 (1825–1980 m), Schönkirchen T3 (1845–1960 m), Wittau 1 (2690–2700 m); additional core records: Andlersdorf 1 (3111–3407 m), Breitensee Ü1 (1324–1329 m), Eckartsau 1 (1700–1702 m), Glinzendorf T1 (2475–2480 m), Orth 1 (2694–2699 m), Schönau 1 (2475–2580 m), Schönkirchen T26 (1850–1859 m).

4.3.1.2 Mannsdorf Formation (Figs 4–5)

Derivation of name: After the village Mannsdorf an der Donau in the Vienna Basin (N 48°09’09.90”, E 16°39’37.05”).

Synonyms: Tegel, Lower Lagenindae Zone p.p.

Type section: Designated herein: well Mannsdorf 1 (2440–2575 m; cores: 26/3 2477.00–2481.00 m, 27/1 2505.00–2509.00 m, 28/1 2570.00–2572.00 m) (N 48°9’42.97”, E 16°41’3.82”) (Figs. 1, 5).

Thickness: The maximum thickness of 685 m is documented from well Mühlgberg T1 followed by well Ringelsdorf 2 with 479 m. Both sections seem to be influenced by synsedimentary subsidence and, therefore, the recorded thickness might be untypical. The preserved thickness varies considerably from well to well ranging from few tens of meters, e.g. Matzen 375 (35 m), Rabensburg 10 (50 m) to < 300 m, e.g. Bernhardsthal 4 (120 m), Wittau 1 (240 m), Aderklaa 78 (280 m) and the formation is even missing in some wells (e.g., in the Matzen area (Fig. 2)).

Lithology: Blue-grey clay, marl and silt, partly with thin silt and fine-sand layers (“Tegel”), sandstone layers, rare tuffitic layers are recorded from well Bernhardsthal 4.

Well-log characteristics: Shale-line logs are typical in the central Vienna Basin, indicated by a sudden decrease in SP- and RES-logs from the underlying pre-Neogene units and the high amplitude Rothneusiedl Fm. Strongly serrated logs, indicating frequent sand layers, may also occur (e.g. Ad 78). Broad cylinder- and funnel-shaped well-logs, suggesting rapid sediment transport, occur at Mühlgberg T1 along the Steinberg Fault.

Fossils: The foraminiferan faunal composition is dominated by Lenticulina inornata, Paragloborotalia mayeri, Globigerinella regularis, Tenuitellinata angustiumbilicata, Globatorotalita druhy, Turborotalia quinqueloba, Lobatula lobatula, Trilobatus trilobus, and Globigerina praebulloides. A subtropical evergreen broadleaved forest was identified from the hinterland of the Vienna Basin at that time (Rybár et al., 2019).

Depositional environment: The foraminiferan fauna suggests an outer neritic to upper bathyal depositional environment with high nutrient content and connection to the open sea. No inner neritic to coastal assemblages were detected in the lower Badenian samples.

Age: Middle Miocene (early Langhian - early Badenian), between c. 15.2 and 14.8 Myr.

An absolute age is available for a tuff layer in well Bernhardsthal 4, which indicates an age of 15.12 ± 0.19 Ma (Be4 tuff of Sant et al., 2020). The Kuchyňa tuff, from a small outcrop along the western part of the Malé Karpaty Mountains at the eastern margin of the Vienna Basin was dated by Rybár et al. (2019) at 15.23 ± 0.04 Ma. Based on these data, Sant et al. (2020) discussed a flooding of the Vienna Basin around 15.2 Ma. The position of the Be 4 tuff within the Mannsdorf Fm., however, is unclear and there is no reason to assume that the tuff is at the base of the formation. Note that Sant et al. (2020, fig. 10) erroneously
placed the Be4 tuff into the “Aderklaa conglomerate” (= Rothneusiedl Fm.). Consequently, the scheme of Sant et al. (2020) strongly deviates from our scheme. In the text, however, it is clearly stated that the tuff is intercalated in clay with planktic foraminifers (Sant et al., 2020: 169). Thus, the Be4 tuff forms part of the Mannsdorf Fm. An age for the upper boundary of the Mannsdorf Fm. can be expected around 14.8 Ma, based on the absence of Orbulina and on the finding of an in-situ moldavite at Immendorf in the upper part of the coeval Grund Fm. (Roetzel, 2009). The FOD of Orbulina in the Mediterranean is recorded by laccarino et al. (2011) at 14.56 Ma. and the Ries Impact, which ejected the moldavite, is dated at around 14.8 Ma (Schmieder et al., 2018).

Biostratigraphy: Samples from the Mannsdorf Fm. were the base for the description of the Lanzendorf Fauna by Grill (1941) what later on became the Lower Lagenidae Zone. Uvigerina macrocarinata and Praeorbulina glomerosa circularis are index fossils for the Lower Lagenidae Zone according to Papp (1963) and Papp et al. (1978) but, in fact, these species are rare and were completely missing in all herein analyzed samples.

Sequence stratigraphy: The Mannsdorf Fm. represents the transgressive systems tract of the Badenian Sequence Ba1 of Strauss et al. (2006).

Lithostratigraphically higher rank unit: Baden Group.

Underlying units: Lower Ottnangian Lužice Fm. in Mühlberg and Bernhardsthal fields; Rothneusiedl Fm. in Matzen and Aderklaa fields; pre-Neogene basement in Schwechat and Mannsdorf area.

Overlying units: Baden Fm., Matzen Fm. in the northern Vienna Basin; Auersthal Fm. in the central Vienna Basin. Between the Mannsdorf Fm. and all overlying formations exists a hiatus.

Lateral equivalents: The Devinska Nová Ves Fm. (Fordinál et al., 2012; Rybár et al., 2019) is a terrestrial equivalent at the eastern margin of the Vienna Basin. The Grund Fm. represents an age equivalent in the North-Alpine Foreland Basin (but not the slightly younger Gaindorf and Mailberg formations).

In the Slovak part of the Vienna Basin, the lowermost Badenian deposits are united in the up to 600-m-thick Lanžhot Formation (Kováč et al., 2004). Fordinál et al. (2012) report Orbulina suturalis from basal parts of the Lanžhot Fm. and, therefore, it is most likely not a temporal equivalent of the Mannsdorf Fm. Similarly, Brzobohaty and Stráník (2012) report Orbulina suturalis from the Lanžhot Beds in the Sédlec well (Czech Republic).

Geographic distribution: No surface outcrops; the Mannsdorf Fm. occurs in numerous wells in the northern and central Vienna Basin. The distribution area, however, is discontinuous and even close-by wells differ strongly in thickness and cannot be clearly correlated.

Remarks: The distribution of the Mannsdorf Fm. is very patchy. In respect to the outer neritic to upper bathyal depositional environment, such a discontinuous distribution is unlikely, and a much wider original distribution area can be expected. Seismic flattening based on a mid-Badenian maximum flooding surface (mfs in Fig. 4), revealed the patches as small depressions of an early middle Miocene paleorelief. This former geometry was lost thereafter during the mid-Badenian tectonic reorganization of the Vienna Basin.

Wells: Mannsdorf 1 (2440–2575 m), Wittau 1 (2440–2680 m), Aderklaa 78 (1610–1890 m), Matzen 375 (1790–1825 m), Pirawarth 005 (2020–2100 m), Ringelsdorf 002 (3815–4294 m), Rabensburg 010 (2330–2380 m), Bernhardsthal 4 (2200–2330 m), Mühlberg T1 (2455–3140 m).

4.3.1.3 Auersthal Formation (Figs 2, 5)

Derivation of name: After the market town Auersthal in the central Vienna Basin (N 48°22’25.89”, E 16°38’10.05”).

The term “Auerstaler Konglomerat” (Auersthal Conglomerate) has been proposed by Papp et al. (1973, p. 198) for conglomerate and sand with flysch and crystalline components overlaying the Bockfließ, Aderklaa and Rothneusiedl formations.

Synonyms: Auerstaler Konglomerat (Papp et al., 1973), Au-
ersthaler Schichten (Kröll, 1984; Kreutzer, 1986), Auerstal Member (Kováč et al., 2004), Upper Lagenidae Zone p.p.; Slo-
vakia: Kúty Member of the Lanžhot Fm. (Fordinál et al., 2012).

Type section: Designated herein: well Matzen 269 (1675–1730 m: core: 13/1 1706.00–1708.50 m) (N 48°22’25.89”, E 16°38’10.05”) (Figs 1–2).
**Thickness:** The maximum thickness of about 160 m is known from the Schwechat area in Wittau 1. Typically, the Auersthal Fm. attains about 40 to 120 m in the Matzen, Aderklaa and Schönkirchen fields and generally pinches out towards the Matzen/Spannberg Ridge.

**Lithology:** A succession of conglomerates and sand with marl intercalations; fysch components prevail.

**Well-log characteristics:** Basal parts are frequently characterized by very broad, blocky cylinder shaped SP-logs, often opposed by strongly serrated RES-logs. Upsection passing abruptly into strongly serrated, amalgamated funnel-shaped logs frequently forming a rough fining upward trend; shale line intervals may also be developed (Wittau 1) suggesting a more distal position.

**Fossils:** A rich marine microfauna dominated by *Trilobatus* (Globigerinoides) triobus, *Spirorutilus carinatus*, *Heterolepa dutemplei*, *Lenticulina inornata*, *Nonion commune*, *Globigerina bulloides*, and *Orbulina suturals* was detected in Mannsdorf 1 (2428 m), Matzen 127 (1695 m) and Wittau 1 (2291 m).

**Depositional environment:** A coastal marine delta with channels (Kreutzer and Hlavatý, 1990; Kreutzer, 1993) shedding into an outer neritic marine habitat. The outer neritic foraminiferal assemblage is in strong contrast to the occurrence of freshwater ostracods reported by Wessely (2006). In respect to the deep erosion of the Auersthal Fm., which truncated parts of the freshwater deposits of the Karpatian Schönkirchen Mb., it is not unlikely that the freshwater fossils have been reworked from Karpatian strata. Similarly, indications for an alternation of marine and limnic conditions (Papp et al., 1973; Wessely, 2006) may result from reworking of Karpatian freshwater deposits.

**Age:** Middle Miocene (Langhian – middle Badenian); younger than 14.56 Ma based on the frequent occurrence of *Orbulina*.

**Biostratigraphy:** Nannoplankton zone NNS with *Heliocphaera waltraut*.

**Sequence stratigraphy:** The Auersthal Fm. represents the lowstand systems tract of the Badenian Sequence BA2 of Strauss et al. (2006).

**Lithostratigraphically higher rank unit:** Baden Group.

**Underlying units:** The Auersthal Fm. has a strong erosive base and displays a clear N-S trend. It rests directly on the Schönkirchen Mb. of the Aderklaa Fm. in the northern Matzen field, on the Rothneusiedl Fm. in the southern Matzen and Schönkirchen fields and on the lower Badenian Mannsdorf Fm. in the Aderklaa and Schwechat areas. In the Slovak territory, the probably equivalent Kúty beds overlay the Láb beds (=Schönkirchen Mb.) (Fordinál et al., 2012).

**Overlying units:** In all wells overlain by the Matzen or Baden formations.

**Lateral equivalents:** No lateral equivalents are known from the Austrian part of the Vienna Basin. Only the Anldersdorf conglomerate (Kapounek et al., 1965; Kapounek and Papp, 1969) might be a lateral equivalent, but this will need confirmation by seismic data. On Slovak territory, the about 150-m-thick Zohor conglomerate (Vass et al., 1988) from Devinska Nová Ves, correlated with the Calcareous Nannoplankton Zone NNS by Fordinál et al. (2012), might be a temporal equivalent. Similarly, the Kúty beds (Kutske vrstvy; Špička, 1966) may represent temporal and genetic equivalents in the northern and central Záhorie Lowlands in Slovakia. Fordinál et al. (2012) describe the Kúty Member as mixture of sandstone, gravel and variegated clays of up to 250 m thickness in the Malacky region. Like the Auersthal Fm., the Kúty Member already contains *Orbulina suturals* (Fordinál et al., 2012). The Kúty Member grades into the pelites of the Lanžhot Fm. In addition, the Žiškov Mb. of Buday (1946) and Fordinál et al. (2012) might represent a lateral equivalent.

**Geographic distribution:** Only subsurface in the central Vienna Basin, spanning from the Matzen/Spannberg Ridge south to the Schwechat depression and to the Mannsdorf region, where only a thickness of about 30 m is recorded.

**Wells:** Schönkirchen T32 (1765–1840 m), Aderklaa 28 (1740–1810 m), Aderklaa 40 (2168–2248 m), Mannsdorf 1 (2410–2440 m), Matzen 112 (1622–1650 m), Matzen 127 (1670–1790 m), Matzen 127 (1740–1820 m), Matzen 267 (1660–1700 m), Matzen 269 (1675–1730 m), Matzen 270 (1680–1750 m), Matzen 375 (1680–1790 m), Schönkirchen T1 (1785–1870 m), Schönkirchen T2 (1760–1820 m), Schönkirchen T25 (1765–1840 m), Schönkirchen T3 (1790–1845 m), Wittau 1 (2280–2440 m).

**Remarks:** Since Papp et al. (1973), the Auersthal Fm. has only rarely been mentioned (e.g. Kreutzer and Hlavatý, 1990; Kreutzer, 1986, 1992, 1993; Wessely, 2006). Kreutzer (1992, 1993) discussed the deposits as part of a Badenian lowstand systems tract and as incised valley fill.

### 4.3.1.4 Matzen Formation (Figs 2, 5)

Due to its economic importance as the most productive play in the Vienna Basin, this unit was discussed in numerous internal OMV reports and papers (e.g. Kölbl, 1959, 1966; Kreutzer and Hlavatý, 1990; Kreutzer, 1986, 1993; Hamilton and Johnson, 1999; Hamilton et al., 2000; Fuchs et al., 2001; Wessely 2006), usually referred to as “Matzen Sand”. In older literature, however, it was described as 16th TH (= “sixteenth Tortonian Horizon” based on an erroneous correlation of the Langhian/Serravallian strata of the Vienna Basin with the Mediterranean Tortonian).

**Derivation of name:** After the village Matzen in the central Vienna Basin (N 48°24’4.38” , E 16°41’42.33”).

**Synonyms:** Matzener Sand (Kröll, 1984), 16. Tortonian–Serravallian Vienna Basin (N 48°24’4.38” , E 16°41’42.33”).

**Type section:** Designated herein: well Matzen 269 (1619–1673 m; cores: 8/3 1624.00–1628.00 m, 9/7 1628.00–1636.80 m, 10/10 1636.90–1645.90 m, 11/10 1645.90–1655.00 m, 12/4 1655.00–1664.00 m) (N 48°22’59.37”, E 16°42’22.09”) (Figs 1–2).

**Designated herein:** well Matzen 269 (1619–1673 m; cores: 8/3 1624.00–1628.00 m, 9/7 1628.00–1636.80 m, 10/10 1636.90–1645.90 m, 11/10 1645.90–1655.00 m, 12/4 1655.00–1664.00 m) (N 48°22’59.37”, E 16°42’22.09”) (Figs 1–2).
**Thickness:** The Matzen Fm. typically attains a thickness around 20–50 m in the Bockfließ and Schönkirchen fields and grows to 70 m in the Matzen area (e.g. Matzen 270: 1602–1672 m). It pinches out in S-N direction towards the Matzen/Spannberg Ridge and appears again north of the Matzen/Spannberg Ridge, where it attains a thickness of about 105 m. The maximum thickness of the Matzen Fm. is 140 m (Kreutzer, 1986; Kreutzer and Hlavaty, 1990).

**Lithology:** Fine to coarse sand, moderately to well sorted, strongly bioturbated, often oil impregnated. Marl layers, silty fine-sand layers and ripple ripple-cross stratification may occur. Intercalations of thin corallineace limestone have been described by Kreutzer (1978).

**Well-log characteristics:** Broad, blocky-cylinder-shaped well logs with moderate serrations. SP-logs may indicate a minor, stepwise fining upward trend, opposed by strong RES-values. The top is distinctly indicated by the abrupt switch to the shale-line interval of the overlying marls of the Baden Fm.

**Fossils:** A rather low diverse, marine microfauna with *Heterolepa dutempeli, Lenticulina inornata, Spirorutilus carinatus, Cibicidoides ingerianus, Cibicidoides pachyderma, Martinotiella communis, Anomalinioides badenensis*, and *Melonis pompilioides*. Macrofossils are poorly preserved including frequent plant debris, pectinid and cardiac bivalves, balanids and tubes of Ditrupa. A detailed micro-paleontological study on the Matzen Fm. was published by Rupp (1986).

**Depositional environment:** Coastal marine environments with sand dunes and shoreface settings of a sand rich braid or fan delta (Fuchs et al., 2001). Rupp (1986) reported an inner neritic depositional environment, whereas the herein studied foraminiferal assemblages suggest a deeper setting in the middle to outer neritic. Rupp (1986), however, reported a rapid deepening within the Matzen Fm. and our samples may derive from its upper parts.

**Age:** Middle Miocene (Langhian - middle Badenian); younger than 14.56 Ma based on the frequent occurrence of *Orbulina suturalis*.

**Biostratigraphy:** In respect to the Vienna Basin ecoinosiostratigraphy it belongs to the *Spirorutilus Zone* (Kapounek et al., 1965: Sandschalerzone; Kreutzer, 1986: Sandschalerzone, *Spiroplectammina Zone*) or the Upper Lagenidae Zone – *Spirorutilus (Spiroplectammina) Zone* (Rupp, 1996; Weissenbäck, 1996).

**Sequence stratigraphy:** The Matzen Fm. represents the initial transgressive systems tract of the Badenian Sequence Ba2 of Strauss et al. (2006).

**Lithostratigraphically higher rank unit:** Baden Group.

**Underlying units:** The Matzen Fm. is a transgressive unit overlying older units discordantly from south to north starting with the Auерsthal Fm. in the Matzen to Schönkirchen fields, the Bockfließ and Aderklaa formations, in the Bockfließ field, and even Rhenodanubian Flysch along the Matzen/Spannberg Ridge.

**Overlying units:** The Matzen Fm. is overlain throughout by marls of the Baden Fm.

**Lateral equivalents:** The nearly 300-m-thick Zwerndorf Sand is a genetic equivalent of the Matzen Fm. (e.g. Zwerndorf 4: 1518–1800 m). It displays a NW–SE orientation between Dürrkurt and Zwerndorf and was transported from NW (Kreutzer, 1986). Its stratigraphic position is confined by the Matzen bentonite main marker, which lies both on the Zwerndorf Sand on top of the Matzen Fm. (Kreutzer, 1986). An equivalent of the Matzen Fm. reaches also into the Schwechat depression (Wittau 1: 2210–2278 m) and the Mannsdorf area (Mannsdorf 1: 2340–2410 m).

A surface outcrop of an equivalent of the Matzen Fm. with huge fossiliferous sand-dunes is exposed in the Eisenstadt-Sopron Basin at Donnerskirchen at Eisenstadt, representing the distal parts of the Hartl Fm. (Kroh et al., 2003). A time equivalent in the Slovak territory might be represented by the 150-m-thick Žižkov beds (Buday, 1946), which are described by Fordinál et al. (2012) (as Žižkov Member) as a transgressive unit of gravel, sand and clayey sand, partly with reworked Karpatin fossils. This correlation, however, will need confirmation. The distribution area of the Žižkov beds around Laksárska Nová Ves and Borský Mikuláš in the Záhorie Lowlands is about 30 km N-E of the distribution of the Matzen Fm. around the Matzen/Spannberg Ridge and both units will most probably represent separate sedimentary bodies.

**Geographic distribution:** Wide subsurface distribution in the central and southern Vienna Basin. Traditionally, only deposits in the Matzen area have been described as “Matzen Sand”. Herein, however, we include also its equivalents north of the Matzen/Spannberg Ridge (e.g. Spannberg 10: 1960–2066, Spannberg 14: 2233–2340), where the Matzen Fm. attains about 105 m thickness. These units share the same lithology, well-log patterns and lithostratigraphic position.

**Wells:** Bockfließ 78 (1615–1660 m), Bockfließ 003 (1632–1660 m), Matzen 112 (1603–1623 m), Matzen 267 (1602–1658 m), Matzen 269 (1619–1673 m), Matzen 270 (1602–1672 m), Matzen 127 (1700–1740 m), Matzen 325 (1607–1680 m), Schönkirchen T1 (1746–1782 m), Schönkirchen T25 (1682–1762 m).

**4.3.1.5 Baden Formation (Figs 4–5)**

**Derivation of name:** After the town Baden in the central Vienna Basin (N 48°00’29.00”, E 16°14’03.72”).

**Synonyms:** Badener Tegel, Badener Serie (Kapounek et al., 1960; Papp, 1963); Slovakia: Lanžhot Fm. p.p., Jakubov Fm. (Fordinál et al., 2012). Baden Clay Formation in the Hungarian part of the Eisenstadt-Sopron Basin (Császár, 1997).

**Type section:** Designated herein: The 102-m-long scientific core from the abandoned brick yard Baden/Sooss near the town Baden (Lower Austria), south of Vienna (N 47°59’24 “, E 16°13’44”). This core represents only the uppermost part of the formation and a relatively marginal position within the VB but is sedimentologically and paleontologically well studied and correlated by means of biostratigraphy and astrochronology (Báldi and Hohenegger, 2008; Rupp and Hohenegger, 2008)
Hohenegger et al. 2008, 2009a, b, 2014; Hohenegger and Wagreich, 2012).

Remarks: The brick yard Sooss (also: Baden-Sooss), c. 2 km S of the railway station of Baden, where “Badener Tegel” is outcropping, was designated by Papp (1963, p. 229) as type locality of the “Badener Serie” (former: “Tortonian in the Vienna Basin”). Later, Papp et al. (1978) when reorganizing the stratigraphic system of the Paratethys defined the “Badener Serie” as Badenian Stage. The brick yard Sooss was defined as holostratotype for this stage (Papp and Steininger, 1978). The brick yard was abandoned, used as a waste dump and offers currently very poor outcrops. In 2002, in the area of the former clay pit, a scientific core was drilled (Wagreich et al., 2008) (see above).

Reference section: As reference section for the Baden Fm. we designate herein well Ringelsdorf 2 (2770–3815 m; cores: 8/6 2823.00–2828.00 m, 9/6 2937.00–2942.00 m, 10/5 3027.00–3032.00 m, 11/5 3152.00–3157.00 m, 12/5 3243.00–3248.00 m, 13/6 3370.00–3375.00 m, 14/6 3471.00–3476.00 m, 15/6 3541.00–3546.00 m, 16/6 3592.00–3597.00 m, 17/6 3649.00–3654.00 m, 18/6 3767.00–3772.00 m) (N 48°32’11.32”, E 16°51’59.90”). This well section represents a full cycle in terms of sequence stratigraphy with a basal lowstand sand, a rapid deepening during the transgressive systems tract culminating in a distinct shale-line interval around the maximum flooding surface and a coarsening upward trend of the high-amplitude SP-logs and indicated by strongly serrated, high-amplitude SP-logs and serrated RES-logs of somewhat lower amplitudes.

Thickness: The maximum thickness of the Baden Fm. ranges around 1120 m in the Rabensburg field (Rabensburgburg 2: 1300–2420 m) and around 1045 m in the Ringelsdorf field (Ringelsdorf 2: 2770–3815 m). In the well Zistersdorf Übertief 2Aa a maximum thickness of 2450 m was recorded (OMV data).

Lithology: Blue-grey to green-grey clay and marl with silt and fine sand intercalations (so-called “Tegel”). Thin corallineacean limestones may be intercalated; bioturbation is frequent.

Well-log characteristics: The Baden Fm. has a characteristic well-log pattern, which allows a correlation across wide areas of the Vienna Basin. It is indicated by an abrupt transition from the blocky patterns of the Matzen Fm. into a long shale line interval with a nearly smooth SP-log and a low amplitude RES-log. Many wells show a clear fining upwards trend within this shale line interval culminating in a distinct incision, marking the maximum flooding surface. This horizon is one of the most characteristic and constant features in the Vienna Basin and is used as marker horizon by OMV geologists. Above follows a rapid coarsening indicated by strongly serrated, high-amplitude SP-logs and serrated RES-logs of somewhat lower amplitudes.

Fossils: This formation contains some of the most outstanding fossiliferous localities of the Vienna Basin, which are emblematic for the Badenian stage of the Central Paratethys. Hundreds of invertebrate and vertebrate species have been recorded since the 19th century from the localities Baden, Bad Vöslau, Baden-Sooss, Gainfarn (Austria) and Mikulov (Czech Republic) (see Papp et al., 1978 and Rögl et al., 2008 for an overview).

Depositional environment: Basinal settings represent normal marine inner to middle neritic environments, often with a rich benthic mollusk fauna; the type section reflects a mean water depth around 250 m (Hohenegger et al., 2008). Outer neritic to upper bathyal environments established along tectonically active zones such as the Steinberg Fault in the Mühlberg area (Harzhauser et al., 2019). Sea grass meadows are typical in nearshore environments (Zuschin et al., 2007; Harzhauser et al., 2019).

Age: Middle Miocene (late Langhian – middle Badenian); younger than 14.91 Ma (Nannoplankton Zone NNS5) and younger than 14.56 Ma (Planktonic Foraminifer Zone M6). The type section Baden-Sooss was astronomically tuned to 14.221 and 13.982 Ma by Hohenegger and Wagreich (2012). No absolute dating is available to support this estimate but based on the absence of Helicosphaera waltrans in the section, its maximum possible age is set to 14.357 Ma. The section is attributed to the Upper Lagenidae Zone by Papp et al. (1978).

The oil bearing plays, called 13th to 15th TH (= “Tortonian Horizons”) in OMV reports, are parts of the Baden Fm. (Kreutzer, 1971). The Baden Fm. contains two important bentonite layers. The “Matzen Hauptmarker (MHH)” (= Matzen bentonite main marker) is slightly above the Matzen Fm. in the base of the Baden Fm. (between 16th and 15th TH of OMV nomenclature) and the “Aderklaa Hauptmarker (AHH)” (= Aderklaa bentonite main marker) coincides roughly with the boundary from Lagenidae toSPIRORUTILUS Zone (Kreutzer, 1986). Both are recognized easily in well-logs by their high conductivity.

Biostratigraphy: The occurrence of the calcareous nanofossil *Sphenolithus heteromorphus* and the absence of *Helicosphaera ampliaperta* point at Zone NNS5 (Corić and Hohenegger, 2008), the occurrence of *Orbulina suturalis* indicates planktonic foraminiferal zone M6 (Rögl et al., 2008).

In terms of classical ecobiostratigraphy of the Vienna Basin the Upper Lagenidae Zone and the SPIRORUTILUS Zone (following the ideas of Grill, 1941) were based on samples of the Baden Fm.

Sequence stratigraphy: The Baden Fm. comprises sediments of the transgressive systems tract and the highstand systems tract of the Badenian Sequence Ba2 of Strauss et al. (2006).

Lithostratigraphically higher rank unit: Baden Group. Underlying units: Matzen Fm. in the central Vienna Basin, Bockfließ, Lužice and Mannsdorf formations in northern Vienna Basin. Overlying units: Rabensburg Fm. in all studied drillings; the Baden Fm. forms the landscape in the Baden region south of Vienna and is partly covered by Quaternary deposits.

At the type locality the Baden Fm. is discordantly overlain by Sarmatian pelitic sediments separated by a fault (Papp and Steininger, 1978, p. 140, Abb. 30).

Lateral equivalents: The “Aderklaa Sand” (Aderklaa Sand) is a sand rich intercalation within the Baden Fm. It is
overlain by the Aderklaa bentonite main marker and bears foraminifer assemblages typical for the Upper Lagenidae Zone (Kreutzer, 1986; Weissenbäck, 1996). 15th to 13th TH horizons of OMV nomenclature. The "Vöslauer (Badener) Konglomerat" (Vöslau (Baden) conglomerate) and the “Gainfarner Bekzie” (Gainfarn breccia) (Brix and Plöchinger, 1988) are local coarse clastic equivalents at the western margin of the Vienna Basin. The “Gainfarner Sande” (Gainfarn sand) and “Enzesfelder Sande” (Enzesfeld sand) are very fossil rich coastal deposits (Brix and Plöchinger, 1988).

Shallow water carbonate rocks of the Leitha Formation around the southern part of the Leitha Mountains and the margin of the Vienna Basin as well as on paleo-topographic highs in the northern Vienna Basin (e.g. Steinberg area) (see also below).

At least parts of the Lanzhót Fm., as described by Fordináel et al. (2012) and Brzobohať and Stránik (2012), which are correlated with the Lagenidae Zone and contain Orbubina suturalis, are time equivalents or even represent a full equivalent of the Baden Fm. Most probably, this part of the Lanzhót Fm. represents the shale–line interval of the transgressive systems tract of the Baden Fm. The up to 1000-m-thick pelitic Jakubov Fm. (Fordináel et al., 2012) is an equivalent of the Baden Fm. in the Záhorie Lowlands on Slovak territory. It corresponds to the Spirorutilus carinatus-dominated interval of the Baden Fm. Important lateral temporal equivalents are the landscape forming corallinacean limestones of the Leitha Fm. In the Czech part of the Vienna Basin, especially in the Mikulov area, the Hrušky Fm. of Picha et al. (2006) and Schultz et al. (2010) corresponds to the Baden Fm.

**Geographic distribution:** The Baden Fm. has a wide distribution and occurs throughout the investigation area and also in the Mistelbach Halfgraben (e.g. Hohenruppern: 24: 270–740 m) as well as in the southern Vienna Basin (where the type locality is located).

**Wells:** Mühlberg T1 (1740–2450 m), Bernhardtshal 5 (1610–2385 m), Bernhardtshal 4 (1580–2200 m), Rabensburg 1 (1590–2470 m), Rabensburg 2 (1300–2420 m), Ringelsdorf 2 (2770–3815 m), Matzen 127 (1605–1700 m), Aderklaa 78 (1385–1670 m), Schwechat 1 (1615–2160).

**4.3.1.6 Leitha Formation**

**Derivation of name:** Named after the hill range called “Leitha Mountains” between the river Leitha and Lake Neusiedl (Lower Austria – Burgenland). The name “Leithakalk” was already mentioned by Keferstein (1828), but he conmingled Badenian and Sarmatian limestones. The name was since then widely used and refers to and is restricted to the Badenian coralline limestones in the Vienna and Eisenstadt-Sopron basins (e.g. Tollmann, 1955, 1985; Steininger and Papp, 1978; Dullo, 1983; Piller et al., 1996; Wiedl et al., 2012, 2013).

**Synonyms:** Leithakalk, Nulliporenkalk, Nulliporakalk, Litothamnienkalk, Lithothamnion limestone, Corallinaceenkalk (e.g. Keferstein, 1828; Fuchs, 1894; Wessely, 1983; Brix and Plöchinger, 1988; Sauer et al., 1992; Piller, 1994).

**Remarks:** The term “Leitha Limestone” was also applied to Miocene corallineacean limestones outside the Vienna and Eisenstadt-Sopron basins; e.g. Studencki (1988) used the term to describe the lithofacies of the Polish Pinczow Limestones. Friebe (1990, 1991) was the first author, who applied a strict lithostratigraphic concept and introduced the Weißenegg Fm. as lithostratigraphic unit for the “Leitha Limestones” of the Styrian Basin (see also Riegl and Piller, 2000 for discussion).

**Type section:** Fenk quarry close to Großhöllein in Burgenland (N 47°50’45.78”, E 16°28’34.60”) (Eisenstadt-Sopron Basin, Burgenland, Austria). This section was proposed by Steininger and Papp (1978) as faciostratotype of the “Leitha Limestone” and described in detail by Riegl and Piller (2000). The Fenk quarry is abandoned but still accessible.

**Thickness:** According to Tollmann (1985), the Leitha Fm. attains a thickness of up to 50 m based on outcrop data in the southern Leitha Mountains. A maximum subsurface thickness of 120 m is reported by Dlabac (1971) from the Láb region in the Slovak part of the Vienna Basin.

**Lithology:** The Leitha Fm. is characterized by whitish to light-yellowish, usually well cemented, corallineacean limestones with coralline red algae in various growth forms, ranging from rhodolite facies to maërlik-types. Corals are subordinate, forming local coral carpets; Isogonomon/Hyotissa beds are frequent (Riegl and Piller, 2000), whereas marl intercalations are rare and thin. Some typical microfacies types are bioclastic algal debris facies, bioclastic rhodolite debris, bioclastic algal mollusk, and foraminiferal algal debris (Dullo, 1983; Piller and Klemann, 1991; Wiedl et al., 2012, 2013).

**Fossils:** The Leitha Fm. is exceptionally rich in fossils. Coralline red algae, such as Lithothamnion, Spongites, Mesophyllum, Lithophyllum, Phymatolithon, and Sporo lithon, are the most important rock-forming elements (Hrabovský, 2013) along with various foraminifers (e.g. Amphi stegina, Acervulina, millioliids, alveolinids) and cel leporiform bryozoans. Corals are represented mainly by Porites, accompanied by Tarbellastreae, Caulastrea, Acan thastrea, and Stylocora. Mullusks (Hyotissa, Isognonom, Gigantopoe ten, Pinna, Perigypa, Lithophaga, Phalado mya) and echinoderms (Clupeaster, Parascutella, Echinol ampas) are further important fossils; see Piller and Klemann (1991), Riegl and Piller (2000) and Wiedl et al. (2012, 2013) for more detailed surveys.

**Depositional environment:** Shallow marine, subtropical carbonate ramps and shoals with coral reefs, sea grass meadows, rhodolite pavements and maërlik bottoms. The typical depositional depth ranged from <10 m down to ~60 m in a generally low hydrodynamic setting (Riegl and Piller, 1991; Wiedl et al., 2012, 2013).

**Age:** Middle Miocene (late Langhian – middle Badenian); younger than 14.91 Ma based on correlation with calcareous nannoplankton Zone NNS (Wiedl et al., 2013).

**Biosтратigraphy:** The presence of the calcareous nannofossil Sphenolithus heteromorphus in a marly layer of the Fenk Quarry restricts the type locality to the NPZ NNS and the Upper Lagenidae – Spirorutilus zones (Wiedl et
al., 2013). Based on the foraminiferal species *Uvigerina venusta* and *U. liesingensis* Steininger and Papp (1978) placed the Fenk Quarry into the Upper Badenian *Bulimina-Bolivina* zone but the uvigerinid foraminifers seem less reliable markers (compare Haunold, 1995).

**Lithostratigraphically higher rank unit:** Baden Group.

**Underlying units:** In many cases, pre-Neogene units form the base of the Leitha Fm., e.g. Lower Austroalpine crystalline and Triassic dolomite in the Leitha Mountains (Pascher and Brix, 1994, Wiedl et al., 2013), Triassic dolomite breccias in Bad Vöslau (Piller and Vavra, 1991) and Rhenodanubian Flysch in the Steinberg area (Grill, 1968). In the Eisenstadt area (Eisenstadt-Sopron Basin), the middle Badenian siliciclastic Hartl Fm. (Kroh et al., 2003) underlies the Leitha Fm. In the Mannersdorf quarries, the Leitha Fm. develops above coarse siliciclastics of Badenian age (Wiedl et al., 2012).

**Overlying units:** The Leitha Fm. forms the surface of the landscape and overlying units have mostly been eroded aside from lower Sarmatian relics (“detrital Leitha Limestone, Holic Fm., Harzhauser and Piller, 2004).

In subsurface occurrences, the Leitha Fm. is overlain by unnamed upper Badenian deposits (e.g. Göttlesbrunn Horst, Strauss et al., 2006).

**Lateral equivalents:** The Baden Fm. is a pelitic equivalent in basinal settings. The Jakubov Fm. sensu Fordinál (1995) is the pelitic equivalent on Slovak territory and the limestones of the Stupava Member of the Jakubov Fm. are a full equivalent (Hrabovský and Fordinál, 2013). Coeval corallinacean limestones are the Mailberg Fm. in the Steinberg area (Grill, 1968; Čtyroky et al., 1985, Fuchs and Grill, 1984; Fordinál et al., 2012). Further occurrences formed on paleo-topographic highs (e.g. Maustrenk and Prinzendorf in the Steinberg area, Grill, 1968; Matzen field, own data). Subsurface occurrences are documented from the Göttlesbrunn Basin in the southern Vienna Basin (Strauss et al., 2006).

**Outcrops:** Central Vienna Basin: Prinzendorf Steinberg-cave (Lower Austria, N 48°35’1.01”, E 16°43’13.97”) (Mayer et al., 1989); Vrchná hora close to Stupava (Slovakia, N 48°15’39.13”, E 17°2’47.87”) (Hrabovský, 2013). Southern Vienna Basin: Baden Rauchstallbrunngaben (Lower Austria, N 48°0’0.86”, E 16°12’1.79”) (Piller and Vavra, 1991, Piller et al., 2007); Mannersdorf (Lower Austria, N 47°58’20.00”, E 16°36’51.02”) (Wiedl et al., 2012), Loretto Teufelsloch (Lower Austria, N 47°53’47.08”, E 16°31’46.21”) (own data). Eisenstadt-Sopron Basin: Müllendorf (Burgenland, N 47°51’30.23”, E 16°26’54.58”) (Wiedl et al., 2013); Großhöflein, Fenk quarry (Burgenland, N 47°50’45.78”, E 16°28’34.60”) (Rieggl and Piller, 2000).

### 4.3.1.7 Rabensburg Formation (Figs 4–5)

**Derivation of name:** After the village Rabensburg in the northern Vienna Basin (N 48°38’59.45”, E 16°54’04.27”).

**Remarks:** In the Slovak part of the Vienna Basin this formation is termed Studienkreis vrstvy (Studienka beds) by Špička, (1966) and Studienka Fm. by Kováč et al. (2004), Hyžný et al. (2012) and Fordinál et al. (2012), which was also adopted by Piller et al. (2004) and Harzhauser et al. (2017, 2019) for the Austrian part of the Vienna Basin. A formalization of the Studienka Fm., however, is lacking so far. A well described section in the Studienka Fm. is a clay-pit at Devinska Nová Ves near Bratislava (Kováčová and Hudáčková, 2009; Koštáč et al., 2018). Despite the good accessibility, this only 12-m-thick section is inadequate to serve as a type section for a formation of up to 1000 m thickness. Similarly, the only 20-m-thick section at Walbersdorf near Mattersburg (Burgenland) has been studied in detail by Bachmayer and Weinfurter (1965), Rögl and Müller (1976) and Rupp (1986) but is too short to serve as type section and is now recultivated. Therefore, we prefer to name this formation after the deposits in the Rabensburg and Bernhardsthal fields in the northern Vienna Basin, where the largest thickness is observed.

**Synonyms:** Badener Serie p.p. (Kreutzer, 1971), *Bulimina-Bolivina* Zone, Bulimina-Rotalia Zone, Rotalien-Zone, Studienka Formation. The 1st to 10th and maybe also the 12th TH (“Tortonian Horizon”) of OMV nomenclature are part of the Rabensburg Fm. (Kreutzer, 1971).

**Type section:** Designated herein: well Rabensburg 1 (690–1585 m; cores: 1/1 700.00–705.00 m, 2/1 1060.00–1065.00 m, 3/2 1103.50–1108.50 m, 4/1 1150.00–1155.00 m, 5/1 1200.00–1205.00 m, 6/2 1200.00–1253.50 m, 7/1 1250.00–1253.50 m, 8/2 1290.00–1295.00 m, 9/2 1355.00–1360.00 m, 10/2 1495.00–1500.00 m, 11/1 1530.00–1535.00 m) (N 48°38’53.86”, E 16°54’43.02”) (Figs 1, 4).

**Thickness:** A maximum thickness of 1000 m is recorded from the Paltendorf-Ringelsdorf field and up to 900 m are drilled in the Rabensburg field; usually, however, strong Sarmatian erosion reduces the thickness considerably. In the Záhorie Lowlands in Slovakia the equivalent Studienka Fm. attains up to 900 m thickness (Fordinál et al., 2012).

**Lithology:** Dark-grey to green-grey, partly laminated clay, marl and silty marl with sand and numerous corallinacean limestone intercalations (Kreutzer, 1978); gravel intercalations have been described especially from the upper parts in the Matzen field (Kreutzer, 1974). In the Bernhardsthal field, thin lignite seems and characeen-limestones occur in basal parts of the formation. Anhydrite was found in cuttings of the basal parts of the formation in the Mühlberg field (Harzhauser et al., 2018).

**Well-log characteristics:** The Rabensburg Fm. has a characteristic well log pattern consisting of a rapid succession of high amplitude and high frequency SP-logs opposed by similar, only slightly less serrated RES-logs. Shale line intervals are nearly absent and very short.

**Fossils:** The Rabensburg Fm. is exceptionally rich in marine fossils including numerous foraminifers, mollusks and vertebrates (e.g. Rupp, 1986; Kováčová and Hudáčková, 2009; Koštáč et al., 2018)
nian fauna, however, was correlated with the upper Bade-

Helicosphaera waltrans Schmid et al. (2001) dated the corallinacean
shoals (Wiedl et al., 2014). Coastal to inner neritic settings were often dominated by mudflats and
lagoonal environments with a rich mollusk fauna (Harz-
hauser et al., 2019) laterally alternating with agitated shoreface and foreshore settings (Hyžný et al., 2012).

Biostratigraphy: Based on Discoaster exilis the deposits in Devínska Nová Ves (Slovakia) were correlated with the Nannoplankton Zone NN6 (Jamrich and Halászová, 2010).

Sequence stratigraphy: The Rabensburg Fm. comprises sediments of the transgressive systems tract and the highstand systems tract of the Badenian Sequence Ba3 of Strauss et al. (2006).

Lithostratigraphically higher rank unit: Baden Group.

Lithostratigraphic subdivision: The heterogenous litho-

dromorphic boundary (Weissenbäck, 1995; Wessely, 2000; Harzhaus-
er et al., 2019). The micro- and macrofaunas of both for-

No marine deposits of Karpatian age were detected
in the Mistelbach Halfgraben (e.g. Poysdorf 1: 310–485 m). Surface outcrops are exposed close to Bratislava (Devínska Nová Ves: Jamrich and Halászová, 2010; Hyžný et al., 2012).

Wells: Mühlb erg 77 (1110–1760 m), Mühlb erg T1 (1160–1740 m), Mühlb erg 100 (1160–1700 m), Bernhardsthal 5 (1160–1700 m), Bernhardsthal 7 (1120–1590 m), Bern-
hardsthal 6 (1120–1550 m), Rabensburg 1 (690–1585 m), Rabensburg 2 (995–1300 m), Rabensburg 011 (1950–2150 m), Paltendorf 1 (1805–2800 m), Paltendorf T1 (1775–2770 m), Ringelsdorf 2 (1820–2770 m), Spannb erg 8 (1560–2010 m), Aderklaa 78 (1320–1385 m), Matzen 126 (1180–1540 m).

5 Discussion

The lowermost Neogene units found in the central Vienna Basin can be attributed to the coastal-lagoonal Bockfluß Fm., which is of early Ottnangian age. In the Bernhardsthal-Mühlberg-Rabensburg area in the north-
ern Vienna Basin, the oldest deposits are represented by the open marine Lužice Fm. A transitional position is represented by the Steinberg wells, where deeper marine faunas indicate the transition from the shallow lagoonal conditions of the Bockfluß Fm. towards the open marine Lužice Fm. The geographic gap between both occurrences is most probably a result from later erosion during tectonic movements around the Karpatian-Badenian boundary (Weissenbäck, 1995; Wessely, 2000; Harzhaus-
er et al., 2019). The micro- and macrofaunas of both for-

The foraminiferal fauna, however, was correlated with the upper Bade-
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gastropods in basal parts of the Schönkirchen Mb. and by the occurrence of Karpatian foraminifers in a single horizon of the Schönkirchen Mb. The position of this horizon in the wire-logs suggests a coincidence with a flooding of the wetlands. This in turn, allows for the first time to interpret the Aderklaa Fm. from the viewpoint of sequence stratigraphy. Thus, the Gänserndorf Mb. corresponds to a LST, which passes into the TST of the lower Schönkirchen Mb. This is also reflected by the fining upward trends in the wire-logs. The full flooding of the wetlands is expressed by the maximum flooding surface and the occurrence of marine plankton. The subsequent HST is indicated by the onset of coarsening upward patterns in the wire logs but is frequently truncated by erosion.

The total thickness of this cycle attains up to 1400 m, which rivals or even surpasses the thickness of the Karpatian Loo Fm. in the Mistelbach Halfgraben and Alpine-Carpathian Foreland Basin. Therefore, we tentatively assume, that the Aderklaa Fm. spans the entire Karpatian because restricting the Aderklaa Fm. only to the late Karpatian would result in an extraordinarily high sedimentation rate of 2 m/kyr. Biostratigraphic data to support either of these interpretations, however, are missing.

Tectonic movements around the Karpatian-Badenian boundary and in the early Badenian, termed Styrian Tectonic Phase (Stille, 1924; Rögl et al., 2007; Hohenegger et al., 2009), coincided with strong uplift and tilting of lower Miocene strata. After this erosive phase, sedimentation started with the lower Badenian Rothneusiedl Fm. (Fig. 6). The stratigraphic position strongly suggests that these braided river deposits can be linked to the canyon and channel systems of the Mistelbach Halfgraben and North Alpine-Carpathian Foreland Basin, as described by Dellmour and Harzhauser (2012) and Harzhauser et al. (2017, 2019). The Roggendorf conglomerates in the NACFB (Ćorić and Rögl, 2004) are most probably an equivalent, representing a W-E trending feeder.

The Matzen/Spannberg Ridge forms the northern limit of the Rothneusiedl Fm. Along this tectonic feature the formation pinches out. Therefore, the Matzen/Spannberg Ridge developed during tectonic movements in the early middle Miocene and was no barrier towards the central and southern Vienna Basin during the early Miocene as suggested in nearly all stratigraphic schemes (e.g. Kreutzer, 1993).

The lower Badenian Mannsdorf Fm. represents open marine settings, partly indicating even upper bathyal conditions. Its geographic patchiness and the absence of any shallow water deposits, suggests, that only erosional relics of a formerly widely distributed deposit are preserved. Flattening of the marked reflector indicating the middle Badenian maximum flooding surface (mfs in Fig. 4) clearly proofs this interpretation and reveals the remnants as lower Badenian paleobasins.

In terms of sequence stratigraphy, the lower Badenian deposits indicate a LST, represented by the Rothneusiedl Fm. and the subsequent transgression reflected by the marls of the Mannsdorf Fm. (BA1 sequence of Strauss et al., 2006). A separation into TST, mfs and HST of the Mannsdorf Fm. based only on well-logs is difficult due to the insufficient data available.

Note that the exact timing of the onset of sedimentation is still unclear. The age of the early Badenian can be constrained for the first time by the tuff age from the Bernhardsthal field (15.2 Ma., Sant et al., 2020), the occurrence of a Ries-Impact moldavite at Immendorf (Grund Fm.) in the NAFB (Ries-Impact = 14.8 Ma. Schmieder et al., 2018), and the absence of Orbulina (< 14.56 Ma., Iaccarino et al., 2011). In addition, the age of the Kuchyňa tuff (15.2 Ma.), at the eastern margin of the Vienna Basin supports the estimate (Rybár et al., 2019).

Therefore, the sedimentation of the Mannsdorf Fm. started before 15.2 Ma. Taking the 350 m thick Rothneusiedl Fm. into account, requires additional time. Herein, we draw the onset of the Badenian sedimentation at

Figure 6: Seismic interpretation of a N-S seismic line showing the tilted lower Miocene strata along the Matzen/Spannberg Ridge; note that the Matzen Fm. is not depictable due to the low thickness.
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Figure 7: New lithostratigraphic scheme for the Austrian parts of the northern and central Vienna Basin and correlation with adjacent basins (after Harzhauser et al., 2019); W. Fm.: Wildendürnbach Fm. (Palzer-Khomenko et al., 2018a); 1: Straning tuff (Roetzel et al., 2014); 2: Kuchyňa tuff (Rybár et al., 2019); 3: Be 4 tuff (Sant et al., 2020); 4: Ries Impact (Schmieder et al., 2018); 5: FOD Orbulina in the Mediterranean Sea (Iaccarinio et al., 2011); 6: Baden-Soos core (Hohenegger and Wagreich, 2012). Note that the spatial relation between the marine Mannsdorf Fm. and the terrestrial Devinská Nova Ves Fm. is hypothetical.

Note 1: The Badenian of the Mistelbach Halfgraben is not well resolved yet; during the Badenian, the Mistelbach Halfgraben was an uplifted block and sedimentation was strongly discontinuous.

Note 2: Karpatian deposits are missing in the Austrian part of the northern VB, but are represented by the Laa Fm. in the Slovak part of the basin (Kováč et al., 2004).
around 15.4 Ma (Fig. 7) but a slightly younger age cannot be excluded with the available data.

Another hiatus is obvious between the early and middle Badenian; this is indicated by strong erosion and tilting of the lower Badenian Mannsdorf Fm. The middle Badenian cycle starts with the coarse siliciclastics of the Auersthal Fm., which can be dated for the first time to be younger than 14.56 Ma based on the occurrence of Orbulina. It is rapidly overlain by the sand of the Matzen Fm., which quickly passes into the pelites of the Baden Fm. The depositional environment of the Baden Fm. ranged from upper bathyal to outer neritic to coastal marine but open marine conditions prevailed in the Vienna Basin. In terms of sequence stratigraphy, the Auersthal Fm. can be explained as LST, overlain by the sands of the initial TST (Ba 2 sequence of Strauss et al., 2006). The rapid deepening is indicated by the long shale line interval seen in all wire-logs, culminating in a pronounced mfs, which is used as marker throughout the Vienna Basin in seismic analysis by OMV (mfs in Fig. 4). The HST is indicated by the serrated high amplitude SP-logs.

Interestingly, the frequently used ecobiozones Upper Lagenidae Zone and Spirorutilus Zone fall both completely within the middle Badenian and are both present in the Baden Fm. These “zones” form an ecological succession due to the deepening of the basin. In the southern Pannonian Basin, however, the Spirorutilus Zone has been magnetostratigraphically constrained to 13.8—13.6 Ma (Mandic et al., 2019). Consequently, the Spirorutilus zone in the southern Pannonian Basin largely coincides with parts of the upper Badenian.

The upper Badenian is represented by the up to 1000-m-thick Rabensburg Fm. The depositional environment was generally shallower compared to the middle Badenian and middle to inner neritic settings prevailed. In wire-logs and seismic surveys, it is characterized by high amplitude and high frequency patterns and grading sigmoidal sedimentary bodies. In terms of sequence stratigraphy, the upper Badenian represents a single cycle (Ba3 of Strauss et al., 2006) with a short TST, moderately developed mfs and thick HST, which is often truncated in thickness by the subsequent Sarmatian deposits. The LST of the upper Badenian cycle is difficult to detect in wire-logs, due to the weak separation from the HST logs of the middle Badenian. Regionally, the occurrence of lignite and anhydrite indicates the onset of the upper Badenian (Harzhauser et al., 2018).

The Badenian stage is traditionally subdivided into a lower, middle and upper Badenian (Papp et al., 1978; Strauss et al., 2006; Piller et al., 2007). Hohenegger et al. (2014) followed a subdivision into an early, mid and late Badenian age but distinguished only two substages. This concept was also adopted by Sant et al. (2020). These papers lacked seismic information and were mainly (though not exclusively) based on surface outcrops. Our seismic and well-log data, however, clearly document three depositional cycles in the Vienna Basin. Therefore, we prefer to maintain the threefold system.

**Sedimentation rates**

Assuming that the 510-m-thick Bockfließ Fm. spans about half of the Ottnangian, would result in a sedimentation rate of ~1.0 m/kyr. This estimate is not unrealistic compared to the sedimentation rate of the Lužice Fm. in the adjacent Mistelbach Halfgraben, which ranges around 0.9 m/kyr (Harzhauser et al., 2019).

Given a duration of the Karpatian of 1.2 Ma, a thickness of 1400 m would result in a gross sedimentation rate of ~1.2 m/kyr. This rate will surely be an overestimate, as the maximum thickness of the alluvial fans of the Gänserndorf Mb., might be local phenomena. Excluding these deposits results still in a high sedimentation rate of ~0.9 m/kyr. As the depositional environment was a nearly flat floodplain slightly above sea level, this rate requires a significant subsidence during the piggy-back stage of the Vienna Basin as also indicated by Lee and Wagreich, (2017) who calculated subsidence rates of >1 m/kyr.

We assume that the early Badenian deposition in the central and northern Vienna Basin ranged roughly from ~15.5 to ~14.7 Ma corresponding to a duration of ~0.8 Myr. Given a maximum thickness of at least 685 m for the Mannsdorf Fm. results in a sedimentation rate of ~0.9 m/kyr. As the total thickness of the Mannsdorf Fm. is most probably underestimated, the sedimentation rate might have been even higher. Applying the assumed age for the early Badenian flooding at 15.2 Ma of Sant et al. (2020) would even require sedimentation rates up to 1.4 m/kyr.

The maximum thickness of middle Badenian deposits in the central Vienna Basin attains ~1300 m. This estimate, however, is based on wells in a tectonically active position and might be untypical. A more representative succession is the Rabensburg 2 well in which the well-logs capture a full succession of LST, TST, mfs and HST. In this well, the middle Badenian attains about 900 m thickness. The middle Badenian in our stratigraphic model has a duration of about 0.8 Myr, which results in a high sedimentation rate of ~1.1 m/kyr. This value is nearly identical with the estimate of Hölzel et al. (2008) but is in contrast with results of Hohenegger and Wagreich (2012), who calculated a sedimentation rate of ~0.5 m/kyr for the upper part of the Baden Fm. in the Baden-Sooss core, which has a marginal position, even with a gravel horizon in between, and near to the marginal faults of the basin.

This low sedimentation rate would result in a total thickness of about 400 m for the entire Baden Fm., which is an obvious underestimation in respect to the well data (for the northern and central Vienna Basin). Applying the sedimentation rate of Hohenegger and Wagreich (2012) to Rab 2 would result in a duration of 1.8 Myr for the middle Badenian, which is distinctly too long.

Thus, the estimate of Hohenegger and Wagreich (2012) is not applicable to a basinal setting in the subsiding lows. In contrast, our data support the calculations of Lee and Wagreich (2017), who assumed subsidence rates of up to 1 m/kyr for the middle Badenian.

The time frame of the late Badenian is constrained by the Langhian/Serravallian boundary at 13.82 Ma and by
the Badenian/Sarmatian boundary around 12.7 Ma. Thus, the late Badenian had a maximum duration of ~1 Myr, which results in a sedimentation rate of up to 1 m/kyr in the northern Vienna Basin.

6 Conclusions
For the first time, we propose a concise lithostratigraphic scheme for the northern and central Vienna Basin (Fig. 7). The lower Miocene can be separated into two Ottnangian formations (Lužice Fm., Bockfließ Fm.). Upper Ottnangian deposits seem to be missing either due to non-deposition or subsequent erosion. The Karpatian is represented in the central Vienna Basin by the Aderklaa Fm. and its members (Gänserndorf Mb., Schönkirchen Mb.) but was eroded largely in the northern Vienna Basin. The middle Miocene Baden Group reveals a complex succession of fluvial, coastal neritic to open marine deposits, which are separated herein into the Rothneusiedl Fm., the Mannsdorf Fm., the Auersthal Fm., the Matzen Fm., the Baden Fm., the Leitha Fm., and the Rabensburg Fm.

Major disruptions of sedimentation coinciding with tilting and erosion took place during the terminal Ottnangian, the Karpatian/Badenian boundary and the terminal early Badenian. The erosion between the middle and upper Badenian and upper Badenian and Sarmatian are comparatively less severe and sedimentary contacts are generally conformable.

Our results suggest high sedimentation rates ranging roughly around 1 m/kyr for the marine Ottnangian, the lacustrine Karpatian and the marine early, middle and late Badenian. We do not see major differences between the piggy-back stage and the pull-apart stage of the basin. Therefore, these results challenge the subsidence analyses by Lankrejer et al. (1995), Hölzel et al. (2008) and Lee and Wagreich (2017), who propose major shifts in sedimentation rates with several “pulses”. The vague chronostatigraphic constraints and numerical ages in these analyses might partly have led to misinterpretations.

Concluding, the strict stratigraphic concept as proposed herein is a proper base for new calculations of subsidence histories.
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