In April 2018, Carlo Casolo sent the other authors detailed answers to some of the questions in the first version of the paper [1], and we immediately invited him to join us. He was very dedicated and curious about integrals and inverse group theory problems. In fact, the current paper is in large part Carlo’s work, together with the fruits of a meeting in Florence in February 2020. Carlo passed away not long after. He was very generous and kind to all of us and is sorely missed. We dedicate this paper to his memory.

Abstract

An integral of a group $G$ is a group $H$ whose derived group (commutator subgroup) is isomorphic to $G$. This paper continues the investigation on integrals of groups started in the work [1]. We study:

- A sufficient condition for a bound on the order of an integral for a finite integrable group (Theorem 2.1) and a necessary condition for a group to be integrable (Theorem 3.2).
• The existence of integrals that are $p$-groups for abelian $p$-groups, and of nilpotent integrals for all abelian groups (Theorem 4.1).

• Integrals of (finite or infinite) abelian groups, including nilpotent integrals, groups with finite index in some integral, periodic groups, torsion-free groups and finitely generated groups (Section 5).

• The variety of integrals of groups from a given variety, varieties of integrable groups and classes of groups whose integrals (when they exist) still belong to such a class (Sections 6 and 7).

• Integrals of profinite groups and a characterization for integrability for finitely generated profinite centreless groups (Section 8.1).

• Integrals of Cartesian products, which are then used to construct examples of integrable profinite groups without a profinite integral (Section 8.2).

We end the paper with a number of open problems.

1 Introduction

In our recent paper [1], we defined an integral of a group $G$ to be a group $H$ whose derived group is isomorphic to $G$, and called a group $G$ integrable if it has an integral.

We traced this idea back to a paper of Bernhard Neumann [13] in 1956, but it is much older. In 1913, Burnside published a paper [2] in which he considered the question (in our language) of whether a given $p$-group has an integral which is a $p$-group. We call such a group $p$-integrable, and devote a section to such groups below. Every abelian $p$-group is $p$-integrable, but it follows from Burnside’s results that there are $p$-groups which are integrable but not $p$-integrable (the smallest being the quaternion group of order 8).

We treat a number of further topics. The longest part (represented by Sections 4 and 5) of the paper considers integrals of infinite abelian groups in some detail. We also examine profinite groups in Section 8 where we show that if a profinite group $G$ is integrable, and if either $G$ is finitely generated (as profinite group) or $G$ has finite index in some integral, then $G$ has a profinite integral. We also give a characterization for integrability in the case of finitely generated profinite centreless groups and then provide examples of integrable profinite groups without a profinite integral.
An important question left open in [1] is to find an explicit bound in terms of \( G \) for the order of some integral of the finite group \( G \) (if it has one). Such a bound would give us an algorithm for testing integrability of a finite group. We were able to give bounds in some special cases, including abelian groups and centreless groups. In this paper, we push the analysis further in Section 2. We show that, to bound the order of some integral of \( G \), it suffices to bound the exponent of the centre of some integral of \( G \) in terms of \( G \).

We observe that Bettina Eick has a characterization for groups that are Frattini subgroups of other groups and look for a similar characterization, obtaining a necessary condition for integrability in Section 3.

In Sections 6 and 7 we work on integrals of groups from a given variety showing that such class forms a variety and tackling the question of whether it is finitely based. We also look at whether there are varieties of integrable groups beyond that of abelian groups and also study classes of groups so that, whenever we have an integrable group \( G \) in such a class \( \mathcal{C} \), then \( G \) has an integral in \( \mathcal{C} \).

In Section 9 we discuss the solution, by Efthymios Sofos, to Question 10.1 from our work [1], and give some more open questions.

In this paper, we use Car and Dir for the (unrestricted) Cartesian product and the direct sum, respectively, of a family of groups.

## 2 Bounding the order of an integral

A problem left open in the first paper [1] is to find a bound for the integral of an integrable finite group \( G \) in terms of \( G \). If such a bound can be found, then we have at least a computable test for the integrability of \( G \) (though not a very efficient test): compute all groups of order divisible by |\( G \)| up to the bound, and decide for each group whether its derived group is isomorphic to \( G \).

We have now an argument that reduces this problem to the problem of finding a bound for the exponent of \( Z(H) \) (for some integral \( H \) of \( G \)) in terms of \( G \). It is still open how to find such a bound, if it exists.

**Theorem 2.1** Suppose there is a function \( F \) from finite groups to natural numbers such that, if \( G \) is an integrable finite group, then \( F(G) \) is a bound for the exponent of the centre of some integral \( H \) of \( G \). Then there is a function \( F^* \) from finite groups to natural numbers such that, if \( G \) is an integrable finite group, then \( G \) has an integral of order at most \( F^*(G) \).
Proof Let $G$ be a finite group, $H$ an integral of $G$. We can assume $H$ to be finite by [1, Theorem 2.2].

The proof proceeds by three reductions:

**Step 1** Let $K = C_H(G)$. Then $H/K \leq \text{Aut}(G)$. So it suffices to bound $|K|$.

**Step 2** It suffices to bound $|Z(H)|$.

To see this, let $h_1, \ldots, h_t$ generate $H$. We know that $t$ is bounded in terms of $G$: $t \leq 2\mu(G)$, where $\mu(G)$ is the maximal size of a minimal generating set for $G$. This is because $G$ is generated by commutators $[h, h']$ for $h, h' \in H$; choose a minimal set of commutators which generate $G$, and replace $H$ by the subgroup generated by the elements appearing in those commutators.

Next, for $i = 1, \ldots, t$, define $\phi_i : K \to Z(G)$ by

$$\phi_i : x \mapsto [x, h_i].$$

Note that $[x, h_i] \in K \cap G = Z(G)$.

Take any $x, y \in K$. Then $[y, h_i] \in G$, so this element commutes with $y$. So a standard commutator identity shows that $[xy, h_i] = [x, h_i][y, h_i]$, that is, $\phi_i$ is a homomorphism. Its kernel is $C_K(h_i)$ and its image is contained in $Z(G)$. So $|K/C_K(h_i)| \leq |Z(G)|$.

It follows that

$$|K/\bigcap_{i=1}^t C_K(h_i)| \leq |Z(G)|^t.$$

Now $\bigcap_{i=1}^t C_K(h_i) = C_K(H)$ (since $H = \langle h_1, \ldots, h_t \rangle$) and $C_K(H) = K \cap Z(H) = Z(H)$, because $Z(H) \leq C_H(G) = K$. Thus we conclude that $|K/Z(H)| \leq |Z(H)|^t \leq |Z(G)|^{\mu(G)/2}$, proving our claim.

**Step 3** It suffices to bound the exponent of $Z(H)$ in terms of $G$.

We show that, without loss of generality, $\text{rk}(Z(H)) \leq \text{rk}(Z(G))$, where $\text{rk}(A)$ is the rank of the abelian group $A$, (the minimal number of generators). It follows that

$$|Z(H)| \leq (\exp(Z(H)))^{\text{rk}(Z(G))},$$

and the step is complete.
Suppose that \( \text{rk}(Z(H)) > \text{rk}(Z(G)) \). Then there is a subgroup \( N \) of \( Z(H) \) with \( Z(G) \cap N = 1 \). Then

\[
N \cap G \leq N \cap (Z(H) \cap G) \leq N \cap Z(G) = 1.
\]

So

\[
(H/N)' = H'/N \cong G/(G \cap N) \cong G,
\]

so \( H/N \) is a smaller integral of \( G \) and we can use that instead. This reduction terminates with \( \text{rk}(Z(H)) \leq \text{rk}(Z(G)) \).

At this point, we hit an obstruction:

**Example 2.2** For every \( n \geq 3 \), the group \( C_2 \) has an integral

\[
G_n = \langle a, b \mid a^{2n-1} = b^2 = 1, b^{-1}ab = a^{2n-2+1} \rangle
\]

of order \( 2^n \), with \( Z(G_n) \) cyclic of order \( 2^{n-2} \). Every proper subgroup or factor group of the group \( G_n \) is abelian, so it is not at all clear how we could “reduce” it to a group with smaller cyclic centre, although clearly such groups do exist.

### 3 Towards a characterization of integrable groups

Bettina Eick \cite{Eick} proved the following remarkable theorem. Here \( \Phi(G) \), \( \text{Aut}(G) \) and \( \text{Inn}(G) \) denote the Frattini subgroup, automorphism group, and inner automorphism group of the group \( G \).

**Theorem 3.1 (Eick \cite{Eick})** The finite group \( G \) is the Frattini subgroup of some group \( H \) if and only if \( \text{Inn}(G) \leq \Phi(\text{Aut}(G)) \).

This gives a test, involving looking only at \( G \), to decide whether a group is a Frattini subgroup.

However, this is false if we replace “Frattini subgroup” by “derived subgroup”. We showed in \cite{Eick} that the non-abelian group \( G \) of order 27 and exponent 9 is not integrable; but its inner automorphism group is contained in the derived group of its automorphism group. (The automorphism group of \( G \) has order 54; its derived group has order 27, so is a normal Sylow-3-subgroup and contains all 3-subgroups of \( \text{Aut}(G) \), including \( \text{Inn}(G) \) which has order 9.)
An analogue of Eick’s result for the derived group holds for various classes of groups, including abelian groups and perfect groups. Moreover, the test works in general one way round:

**Theorem 3.2** If the group $G$ is integrable, then $\text{Inn}(G) \leq \text{Aut}(G)'$; indeed $\text{Inn}(G)$ has an integral within $\text{Aut}(G)$.

**Proof** Let $H$ be an integral of $G$, and $K = C_H(G)$; then $H/K$ embeds in $\text{Aut}(G)$, and $K \cap G = Z(G)$. We have
\[
(H/K)' = H'K/K = GK/K \cong G/(G \cap K) = G/Z(G) \cong \text{Inn}(G),
\]
so $H/K$ is an integral of $\text{Inn}(G)$ and is contained in $\text{Aut}(G)$. Moreover, $\text{Inn}(G) = (H/K)' \leq \text{Aut}(G)'$ since $H/K \leq \text{Aut}(G)$. □

### 4 $p$-integrals

We say that a $p$-group (finite or infinite) is *$p$-integrable* if it has an integral which is a $p$-group.

As noted in [1], Guralnick [8] observed that an abelian group $A$ of order $n$ has an integral of order $2n^2$, namely $A \wr C_2$. So any finite abelian 2-group has a 2-integral.

More generally, any abelian $p$-group has a $p$-integral. We give a more general argument which will be used in the next section also.

**Theorem 4.1** (a) Every abelian group has an integral which is nilpotent of class 2.

(b) Every finite abelian $p$-group has a $p$-integral which is finite and nilpotent of class 2.

(c) Every abelian $p$-group has a $p$-integral which is a nilpotent $p$-group of class 2.

(d) Every periodic abelian group has an integral that is periodic and nilpotent of class 2.

**Proof** Let $A$ be an abelian group. Recall that a group is nilpotent of class 2 if and only if its derived group is nontrivial and central.
Suppose first that $A$ is the additive group of a ring $R$ with identity. Then, as remarked in [1], the group $G$ of upper unitriangular $3 \times 3$ matrices over $R$ is nilpotent of class 2 and satisfies $G' \cong A$.

Not every abelian group is the additive group of a ring with identity. For if $A$ is the additive group of $R$, then the exponent of $A$ is equal to the additive order of the identity of $R$; so a torsion abelian group of unbounded exponent will fail this property. However, two classes of groups which do have the property are

- Finitely generated abelian groups; such a group is a finite direct sum of cyclic groups, and a cyclic group is the additive group of the ring of integers or of integers mod $n$, according as its exponent is infinite or finite. (Part (b) of the theorem follows from this, since if $A$ is finite then $|G| = |A|^3$.)

- Free abelian groups. For let $A$ be a free abelian group. By the previous case, we can assume that $A$ is not finitely generated. If its rank is the cardinal number $\lambda$, then it is the additive group of the ring of polynomials in $\lambda$ indeterminates over $\mathbb{Z}$.

Now, let $A = F/R$ be an abelian group, where $F$ is free abelian. Let $T$ be an integral of $F$, with $F = T' \leq Z(T)$. Then $R \leq Z(T)$, so $R$ is normal in $T$; setting $H = T/R$ we have $H' = F/R = A$.

The proof of (c) requires a little more care. Let $A$ be an abelian $p$-group, and write $A = F/R$, where $F$ is free abelian, say $F = \text{Dir}_{i \in \Lambda} \langle f_i \rangle$. There is an epimorphism $\theta : F \to A$. Let the order of $f_i \theta$ be $p^{r_i}$. Now let $G = \text{Dir}_{i \in \Lambda} C_i$, where $C_i = \langle g_i \rangle$ is a cyclic group of order $p^{r_i}$, and let $\phi$ be the epimorphism from $F$ to $G$ defined by $f_i \phi = g_i$. We show that $\theta$ factors through $\phi$. Let $f = \sum n_i f_i$ (a finite sum) belong to the kernel of $\phi$. Then $\sum n_i g_i = 0$, so $p^{r_i} | n_i$ for all $i$; but this implies that $f \theta = \sum n_i (f_i \theta) = 0$, so $\sum n_i f_i \in \ker(\theta)$. In other words, $\ker(\phi) \leq \ker(\theta)$.

Thus, there is an epimorphism $\psi : G \to A$ such that $\phi \psi = \theta$. (For $g \in G$, define $g \psi = f \theta$, where $f$ is a preimage of $g$ under $\phi$; the condition on kernels shows that this is well-defined.) So we have $A \cong G/S$ for some $S$.

For each $i \in \Lambda$, let $D_i$ be a group isomorphic to the group of upper unitriangular matrices of dimension 3 over $\mathbb{Z}/p^{r_i} \mathbb{Z}$; its centre, which is equal to its derived group, is cyclic of order $p^{r_i}$, and we identify this group with $\langle f_i \theta \rangle$. Let $H = \text{Dir}_{i \in \Lambda} D_i$. Then $H$ is a $p$-group, and $H' = G$. Also $S \leq Z(H)$,
so $S < H$; and $S \leq H'$, so

$$(H/S)' = H'/S = G/S \cong A,$$

and we are done.

Part (d) is a consequence of part (c), since a periodic abelian group is a direct sum of $p$-groups.

For non-abelian $p$-groups, some are $p$-integrable, for there are $p$-groups of arbitrarily large derived length. But of the groups of order 8, the three abelian groups are 2-integrable; the dihedral group is not integrable; and the quaternion group is integrable (it has an integral $\text{SL}(2, 3)$ of order 24) but not 2-integrable. Indeed, the following two theorems were proved by Burnside [2]. Either of them deals with $Q_8$.

**Theorem 4.2 (Burnside [2])**

(a) A non-abelian $p$-group with cyclic centre is not $p$-integrable.

(b) A non-abelian $p$-group whose derived group has index $p^2$ is not $p$-integrable.

Another open problem is to find the smallest $p$-integral of a given $p$-integrable group. For the three abelian groups of order 8, the smallest 2-integral of the cyclic group has order 32, and for the other two the smallest 2-integral has order 64.

We consider further the question of the smallest 2-integral of an elementary abelian 2-group, since this is relevant for the discussion of integrals of infinite abelian groups in the next section. Any elementary abelian 2-group of order $n > 4$ has an integral of order $n^2$, namely a *Suzuki 2-group*, see Higman [10]. However, we can do substantially better.

Let $A$ be an elementary abelian 2-group of order $2^n$. We start with an example. Logarithms are in base 2.

**Example 4.3** Suppose that $A$ is elementary abelian of order $2^n$, and let $k$ be a positive integer with $k > \log n$. Let $H$ be an abelian 2-group of order $2^k$ and consider the standard wreath product

$$W = C_2 \wr H = B \rtimes H,$$
where \( C_2 \) is a cyclic group of order 2, and \( B \) is the base group of the product. We have that \( W' \leq B \) is an elementary abelian 2-group of index 2 in \( B \); hence
\[
|W'| = 2^{[H]-1} = 2^{2^k-1} \geq 2^n.
\]
Since \( W \) is nilpotent, it admits a normal subgroup \( N \leq W' \), with \( |W'/N| = 2^n \); we let \( G = W/N \). Then \( G' = W'/N \cong A \), so \( G \) is an integral of \( A \), and
\[
|G| = 2^n 2^k.
\]
Now, we may well take \( k = \lfloor \log n \rfloor + 1 \), and obtain
\[
|G| \leq |A| 2^{\lfloor \log n \rfloor + 2}.
\]
Thus, \( f(n) \leq n + \lfloor \log n \rfloor + 2 \), where \( f(n) = \log F(A) \) is the function defined in Theorem 2.1.

Observe that the inequality above implies
\[
|G/A| \leq 4 \log |A|.
\] (1)

Now, we aim at a lower bound for \( f(n) \). We require the following results [1, Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2].

**Lemma 4.4** Let \( H \) be a 2-group acting by automorphisms on the finite elementary abelian 2-group \( A \), then
\[
|A/[A,H]| \geq |A|^{1/[H]}.
\]

**Theorem 4.5** Let \( A \) be a finite elementary abelian 2-group, and \( G \) a 2-group such that \( G' = A \); write \( H = G/A \), then
\[
|H| \log^2 |H| \geq 2 \log |A|.
\] (2)

**Corollary 4.6** Let \( A \) be a 2-group, with \( A/A^2 \) infinite, and let \( G \) be a 2-group such that \( A = G' \). Then \( G/A \) is infinite.

**Proof** As \( A^2 \) is characteristic in \( A \) and \( (G/A^2)' = A/A^2 \), we may well assume \( A^2 = 1 \), so that \( A \) is an infinite elementary abelian 2-group.

Suppose, by contradiction, that \( G/A \) is finite. Given any finite index subgroup \( H \leq A \), its normal core \( H_G \) in \( G \) has finite index too, so by taking finite index subgroups of \( A \) of increasingly larger order, we find subgroups \( N \leq A \) with \( N \leq G \) and \( A/N \) finite and arbitrarily large. But \( A/N \) is the derived subgroup of \( G/N \), and this contradicts Lemma 4.5. \( \square \)
According to GAP [9], the order of the smallest \( p \)-integral of an elementary abelian group \( A \) is \( 8|A| \) for \( |A| = 2^k \) with \( 2 \leq k \leq 5 \), and \( 9|A| \) for \( |A| = 3^k \) with \( 1 \leq k \leq 4 \). However, as we have seen above, such bounds cannot hold in general. A small open problem: find the largest value of \( k \) for which one of them holds. For example, if \( p = 2 \), the above result shows that, if the elementary abelian 2-group of order \( 2^k \) has index 8 in its smallest 2-integral, then \( 2^3 \cdot 3^2 \geq 2^k \), so \( k \leq 36 \). What is the exact value?

We will see in Corollary 5.3 an estimate of the smallest order of a \( p \)-integral of a finite abelian \( p \)-group when \( p \) is an odd prime number.

5 Integrals of abelian groups

We know that every abelian group has an integral. Here, we are concerned with the existence of integrals of an abelian group that are in some sense ‘close’ to the group.

5.1 Nilpotent integrals

We have seen that every abelian group has an integral which is nilpotent of class 2, in Theorem 4.1 above. Let us add some observations in the finite case.

Lemma 5.1 Let \( A \) be a finite abelian \( p \)-group of rank \( d \) and exponent \( p^n \). Then there exists a finite \( p \)-group \( G \) such that \( A = G' \) and \( |G| = |A|p^{n+d} \). If \( p = 2 \), \( |G| = |A|2^{d+1} \).

Proof Let \( A = \langle x_1 \rangle \times \ldots \times \langle x_d \rangle \), with \( |x_1| = p^n \). Then consider a group \( N = \langle y_1 \rangle \times \ldots \times \langle y_d \rangle \), where, for every \( i = 1, \ldots, d \), \( y_i^p = x_i \); let \( \alpha \) be the automorphism of \( N \) defined by \( y_i^\alpha = y_i^{p+1} \); thus, \( |\alpha| = p^2 \). (A simple induction shows that \( (1 + p)^{p^n} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^{n+1}} \).) Finally, set \( G = N \rtimes \langle \alpha \rangle \). Then \( |G| = |N||\alpha| = |A|p^d p^n \), and

\[
G' = [N, \alpha] = \{ [y_i, \alpha] \mid i = 1, \ldots, d \} = \{ y_i^p \mid i = 1, \ldots, d \} = A.
\]

If \( p = 2 \), the automorphism \( \alpha \) above may be taken to be the inverse map, yielding \( |G| = |A|2^{d+1} \). \( \square \)
We do not claim that for a single abelian $p$-group $A$ this construction yields a nilpotent integral of smallest possible order: for instance, if $A$ is the direct sum of $p - 1$ cyclic groups of order $p^n$, then $A$ is isomorphic to the derived subgroup of $H = C_{p^n} \wr C_p$, and $\|H\| = |A|p^{n+1}$. It however provides a smallest nilpotent integral when $A$ is cyclic and $p \neq 2$.

**Lemma 5.2** Let $p$ be an odd prime and $G$ a finite non-abelian $p$-group such that $G'$ is cyclic of order $p^n$. Then $|G| \geq p^{2n+1}$.

**Proof** Let $G$ be a finite $p$-group such that $A = G'$ is cyclic of order $p^n$, with $n \geq 1$. Now $G/C_G(A)$ is cyclic, so setting $C = C_G(A)$, there exists $y \in G$ such that $G = C\langle y \rangle$.

Suppose first that $C'' = A$. Then, since $A$ is cyclic of prime power order, $A = \langle [a, b] \rangle$ for some $a, b \in C$, and $[a, b, b] = 1$; hence $1 \neq \langle a, b \rangle^{p^n-1} = [a, b^{p^n-1}]$, and so $b^{p^n-1}$ does not belong to $C_C(a) \geq A \langle a \rangle > A$. So the elements $1, b, b^2, \ldots, b^{p^n-1}$ belong to distinct cosets of $A \langle a \rangle$. This yields $|C| \geq p^n |A \langle a \rangle| \geq p^{2n+1}$.

We may then assume $C' < A$. Since $A = (C \langle y \rangle)' = C[C, \langle y \rangle]$ is cyclic, we deduce that there exists $x \in C$ such that $A = \langle [x, y] \rangle$. Clearly, we may also suppose $G = \langle x, y \rangle$. In this setting we prove, by induction on $n$, that $\langle x, y^{p^{n-1}} \rangle \neq 1$. The case $\langle x, y, y \rangle = 1$ has already been proved above, and includes the case $n = 1$. Thus, let $n \geq 2$ and $K = [A, y, y] = \langle [x, y, y, y] \rangle$; observe that $|K| \leq p^{n-2}$, hence it is properly contained in $\langle [x, y]^p \rangle$. Now, $\langle x, y \rangle = x^{y-1} = x^{(y-1)(1+y+\cdots+y^{p-1})} = x^{1+\cdots+y^{p-1}+1+y+\cdots+y^{p-1}}$, thus, by standard commutator calculus,

$$[x, y^p] = [x, y^p][x, y]^{(y-1)(1+y+\cdots+y^{p-1})},$$

then by standard commutator calculus,

$$[x, y^p] = [x, y^p][x, y]^{(y-1)(1+y+\cdots+y^{p-1})} = [x, y^p][x, y]^{1+\cdots+y^{p-1}},$$

$$\equiv [x, y^p][x, y]^{p^{(p+1)/2}} \equiv [x, y^p] \pmod{K}.$$ 

(as $[x, y^p] \equiv [x, y, y] \pmod{K}$). Since $p$ is odd and $A = \langle [x, y] \rangle$ is cyclic, we deduce

$$\langle [x, y^p] \rangle = \langle [x, y]^p \rangle. \quad (3)$$

Now, $\langle [x, y^p] \rangle$ is the commutator subgroup of $\langle x, y^p \rangle$, which, by (3) has order $p^{n-1}$. Therefore, by the inductive assumption,

$$[x, y^{p^{n-1}}] = [x, (y^p)^{p^{n-2}}] \neq 1, \quad (4)$$
as wanted.

Now, since $C_G(x) \geq \langle A, x \rangle$, (4) implies $y^{p^{a-1}} \not\in \langle A, x \rangle$; therefore,

$|G| \geq p^n |\langle A, x \rangle| \geq p^{n+1} |A| = p^{2n+1}$

thus completing the proof. \qed

This allows to find an exact general bound for odd primes.

**Theorem 5.3** For every positive integer $k \geq 1$, let $f_p(k)$ denote the smallest positive integer such that every abelian group of order $p^k$ has an integral of order $p^{f_p(k)}$. Then, if $p$ is an odd prime, $f_p(k) = 2k + 1$.

**Proof** Let $k \geq 1$ and let $A$ be an abelian group of order $p^k$. If $d$ is the rank of $A$ and $p^n$ its exponent, then $p^k \geq p^{n+d-1}$. By Lemma 5.1 there exists an integral $G$ of $A$ such that

$|G| = |A| p^{n+d} \leq p^{2k+1}$.

This bound is sharp by Lemma 5.2 \qed

For $p = 2$, Lemma 5.2 fails, as seen by consideration of dihedral 2-groups, and we do not have yet the exact value of $f_2(k)$ (see also [1]).

**Remark 5.4** It is clear that a finite $p$-group (for $p$ a prime number) has a nilpotent integral if and only if it has a finite integral that is a $p$-group. However, not every integrable $p$-group has a nilpotent integral; the quaternion group of order 8 being the smallest example of an integrable nilpotent group that does not have a nilpotent integral. Indeed, by Theorem 4.2, no non-abelian nilpotent group with cyclic centre has a nilpotent integral.

### 5.2 Finitely integrable abelian groups

This section deals with Problem 10.8 of [1]. We say that a group $A$ is *finitely integrable* if there exists a group $G$ such that $A \cong G'$ and $|G : G'|$ is finite.

Not every abelian group is finitely integrable: it is proved in [1] that an infinite direct sum of cyclic 2-groups with pairwise distinct orders is not finitely integrable. On the other hand, we have the following simple fact.

**Proposition 5.5** For every abelian group $A$, the direct sum $A \times A$ is finitely integrable.
Proof Consider the automorphism $\alpha$ of $A \times A$ defined by 
$$(x, y) \mapsto (y, y - x)$$
for every $(x, y) \in A \times A$. Then the order of $\alpha$ divides 6. Moreover, for each $(x, y) \in A \times A$, $[(x, y), \alpha] = (y - x, -x)$, hence $[A \times A, \alpha] = A \times A$. Letting $G = (A \times A) \rtimes \langle \alpha \rangle$, we have that $G' = A \times A$ has finite index in $G$. $\square$

Remark 5.6 If $A$ contains no elements of order 3, we can use the automorphism $(x, y) \mapsto (y, -x - y)$, with order 3, instead.

Corollary 5.7 Every free abelian group is finitely integrable.

5.2.1 Periodic groups

In this subsection we consider periodic abelian groups, aiming at a description of the finitely integrable ones. Clearly, if $A$ is a periodic abelian group with no elements of order 2, then $A$ is finitely integrable via the inversion automorphism; thus, the question reduces to characterizing abelian 2-groups that are finitely integrable.

Another immediate reduction is to reduced groups. An abelian $p$-group $A$ is divisible if and only if $A^p = A$, and it is reduced if it contains no non-trivial divisible subgroup. Any abelian $p$-group $A$ has a unique (hence characteristic) maximal divisible subgroup $D$, and $A = D \times B$ with $B$ reduced. For $x \in D$, choose $y \in D$ with $y^2 = x$; then $[y^{-1}, \alpha] = x$, where $\alpha$ is the inversion automorphism; so $[D, \alpha] = D$. It follows that $A$ is finitely integrable if and only if the reduced group $B \cong A/D$ is finitely integrable.

So it is enough to consider reduced 2-groups.

We need the following lemma on reduced $p$-groups (only in the case $p = 2$).

Lemma 5.8 Let $A$ be a reduced abelian $p$-group, and suppose that $A/A^p$ is finite. Then $A$ is finite.

Proof Let $\sigma$ be the $p$th power map on $A$. Then $\sigma$ induces maps (which we also denote $\sigma$) as follows:

$$A/A^p \to A^p/A^{p^2} \to \cdots \to A^{p^m}/A^{p^{m+1}} \to \cdots$$

All these maps are surjective homomorphisms. Since $A/A^p$ is finite, there exists $m$ such that, for all $n \geq m$, the map $\sigma : A^{p^n}/A^{p^{n+1}} \to A^{p^{n+1}}/A^{p^{n+2}}$ is an isomorphism.
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Suppose first that $A^p_m > A^p_{m+1}$, and choose an element $x \in A^p_m \setminus A^p_{m+1}$. Then successively applying $\sigma$ to $x$ any number $n-m$ of times gives an element which is non-trivial modulo $A^p_{n+1}$, and hence non-trivial; so $x$ has infinite order, a contradiction.

So we must have

$$A^p_m = A^p_{m+1} = A^p_{m+2} = \cdots.$$ 

But then the subgroup $A^p_m$ is divisible. Since $A$ is assumed reduced, this implies that $A^p_m = 1$. But

$$|A| = |A : A^p_m| \leq |A/A^p| \cdot |A^p : A^{p^2}| \cdots |A^{p^{m-1}} : A^p_m| \leq |A : A^p|^{m-1},$$

so $A$ is finite, as required. \qed

If $G$ is an abelian $p$-group, and $n$ a non-negative integer, we set

$$G[p^n] = \{ x \in G \mid x^{p^n} = 1 \} \quad \text{and} \quad G^p = \{ x^{p^n} \mid x \in G \}.$$ 

These are characteristic subgroups of $G$ and $G/G[p^n] \cong G^p$. We also write $G[p^\omega] = \bigcap_{n \geq 0} G[p^n]$.

The simplest reduced groups are direct sums of cyclic $p$-groups. The following is essentially proved in [1].

Lemma 5.9 Let $A$ be a homocyclic 2-group of rank $k \geq 2$: that is $A = \text{Dir}_{i \in I} H_i$, with $H_i \cong C_{2^n}$ for some fixed $n \geq 1$, and $|I| = k \geq 2$. Then $A$ has an integral of type $A \rtimes Q$, with $|Q|$ dividing 21.

Proof If $k$ is finite, this is done in [1], in the construction at the start of Section 4 (p. 159) (see also Remark 5.6 above); indeed, the construction shows that, if $k$ is even, we may take $|Q| = 3$ (see below).

If $k$ is an infinite cardinal, we may find a partition $I = J \cup J'$ with $|J| = |J'| = k$. If $j \mapsto j'$ is a bijection from $J$ to $J'$, then $A = \text{Dir}_{j \in J} (H_j \times H_{j'})$ and there is an automorphism $\alpha$ of order 3 of $A$, (again by Remark 5.6), fixing every $H_j \times H_{j'}$ and such that $[H_j \times H_{j'}, \alpha] = H_j \times H_{j'}$, so that $A$ is the derived subgroup of $A \rtimes \langle \alpha \rangle$. \qed
5.2.2 Ulm–Kaplansky invariants

In this subsection, we suggest another approach to the question of which abelian 2-groups are finitely integrable, using the concept of Ulm–Kaplansky invariants.

Let \( p \) be a prime number and \( A \) an abelian \( p \)-group. If \( \sigma \) is an ordinal we define \( A^{p^{\sigma+1}} = (A^{p^\sigma})^p \), while for a limit ordinal \( \sigma \), we set \( A^{p^\sigma} = \bigcap_{\lambda < \sigma} A^{p^\lambda} \). Thus, for example
\[
A^{p^\omega} = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A^{p^n}.
\]
If \( A \) is reduced then there exists a smallest ordinal \( \tau \), that we call the height of \( A \), such that \( A^{p^\tau} = 1 \).

Let \( A \) be a reduced abelian 2-group of height \( \tau \); then for every ordinal \( \sigma < \tau \) we define the Ulm section
\[
U_\sigma(A) = \frac{A^{2^\sigma} \cap A[2]}{A^{2^{\sigma+1}} \cap A[2]}.
\]
Clearly, \( U_\sigma(A) \) is a characteristic section of \( A \), and is an elementary abelian 2-group. The cardinal number \( f_\sigma(A) = \text{rk}(U_\sigma(A)) \) is called the \( \sigma \)-th Ulm–Kaplansky invariant of \( A \).

For the next result, we remind the reader of a result about coprime action: if \( H \) is a \( p' \)-group of automorphisms of a finite abelian \( p \)-group \( G \), then \( G = C_G(H) \times [G,H] \) (see, for example, \([7, \text{Theorem 5.2.3}]\)).

**Theorem 5.10** Let \( A \) be a reduced 2-group which is finitely integrable. Then

(a) only finitely many Ulm–Kaplansky invariants of \( A \) are equal to 1;

(b) if \( A \) has height \( \tau > \omega \) then \( f_\sigma(A) \neq 1 \) for every ordinal \( \omega \leq \sigma < \tau \).

**Proof** (a) Let \( A \) be a reduced 2-group of height \( \tau \), and let \( G \) be an integral of \( A \) with \( G/A \) finite. Let \( G/A = H/A \times Q/A \), where \( H \) is a 2-group and \( Q/A \) a finite group of odd order. By coprime action (since \( Q/A \) is finite and \( A \) an abelian 2-group), \( A = [A,Q] \times C \), where \( C = C_A(Q) \). As \( [A,Q] \leq G \), we have \( (H/[A,Q])' = A/[A,Q] \), hence \( C \cong A/[A,Q] \) is finitely 2-integrable and so, by Corollary 4.6 \( C/C^2 \) is finite. Since \( C \) is reduced, Lemma 5.8 shows that \( C \) is finite. Then, in particular, \( C \cap A[2] \) is finite.

Now the action of \( Q \) on any section of \( A[2] \) is completely reducible; so, if \( C \cap A^\sigma \cap A[2] = C \cap A^{p^{\sigma+1}} \cap A[2] \), then \( Q \) acts fixed-point-freely on \( (A\sigma \cap \)
A[2])/(A^σ+1 \cap A[2]) = U_σ(A). Hence only a finite number of sections U_σ(A) (with \( \sigma < \tau \)) may be centralized by Q, and so only a finite number of such sections are cyclic.

(b) Let A be a reduced 2-group of height \( \tau > \omega \), and suppose that \( f_\sigma(A) = 1 \) for some ordinal \( \omega \leq \sigma < \tau \). Let \( Q \leq \text{Aut}(A) \) be a finite group of odd order. Then \( A = C \times B \), where \( C = C_A(Q) \) and \( B = [A,Q] \). Now, Q centralizes the factor \( U_\sigma(A) \), hence, in particular, \( C \cap A^{2\sigma} \neq 1 \). But, clearly, \( A^{2\sigma} = C^{2\sigma} \times B^{2\sigma} \), hence \( C^{2\sigma} \neq 1 \), which implies, in particular, that C is an infinite reduced group. But then, by Corollary 4.6, \( A/B \cong C \) is not 2-integrable. This shows that A is not finitely integrable. \( \square \)

However, the converse is not true in general (even for countable groups).

**Example 5.11** For every positive integer \( n \geq 1 \), let \( \langle a_n \rangle \) by a cyclic group of order \( 2^n \), and write \( H = \text{Dir}(\langle a_n \rangle) \). Let

\[
N = \langle a_n^{2^n-1} a_n^{2^n} | n \geq 1 \rangle,
\]

and \( H_* = H/N \). Now, for every \( n \geq 1 \),

\[
(a_{n+1}N)^{2^n} = a_{n+1}^{2^n}N = (a_nN)^{2^{n-1}} = \ldots = a_1N;
\]

thus

\[
\langle a_1N \rangle = \bigcap_{n \geq 1} H_{{2^n}}^* = H_{{2^\omega}}^*.
\]

It is not difficult to show that \( H_*/H_{{2^\omega}}^* \cong H \), and that \( f_\sigma(H_*) = 1 \) for every finite ordinal \( \sigma \leq \omega \) (while \( f_n(H) = 1 \) for \( n \) a finite ordinal and \( f_\omega(H) = 0 \)).

We consider \( A = H_1 \times H_2 \times H_\omega \), with \( H_1 \cong H_2 \cong H_* \). Then \( f_n(A) = 3 \) for every finite ordinal \( n \), and \( f_\omega(A) = 2 \).

We claim that A is not finitely integrable. Suppose, by contradiction, that there exists a group G with \( A = G' \) and \( G/A \) finite, and let Q be the odd order component of \( G/A \). Now Q acts on A and we may suppose that the action is faithful. Then Q acts on every section \( U_\sigma(A) \). As these sections are elementary abelian of order \( 2^3 \) or \( 2^2 \), we have that, for each \( \sigma \leq \omega \), \( Q/C_Q(U_\sigma(A)) \) is cyclic of order 1, 3 or 7. Since, by coprime action,

\[
\bigcap_{\sigma \leq \omega} C_Q(U_\sigma(A)) = C_Q(A[2]) = C_Q(A) = 1,
\]
we conclude that $Q$ is the direct sum of cyclic groups of order 3 or 7.

Suppose that $A^{2^\omega}$ is centralized by $Q$; then, writing $Y = C_A(Q)$,

$$[A, Q]^{2^\omega} \leq A^{2^\omega} \cap [A, Q] \leq [A, Q] \cap Y = 1.$$ 

It thus follows from the decomposition $A = [A, Q] \times Y$ that $A^{2^\omega} = Y^{2^\omega}$; in particular, $Y$ is infinite and, since it is also reduced (because $A/A^{2^\omega} = W/B$ is reduced and $A^{2^\omega}$ finite), $Y/Y^2$ is infinite. On the other hand, $Y \cong A/[A, Q]$ is finitely 2-integrable and so, by Corollary 4.6, $Y/Y^2$ is finite, which is absurd.

Thus, $C_Q(A^{2^\omega}) < Q$ and so, as $A^{2^\omega}$ is elementary abelian of order 4, there is an element $x$ of order 3 in $Q$ such that $[A^{2^\omega}, x] = A^{2^\omega}$. Let $C = C_A(x)$ and $K = [A, x]$. Then $A^{2^\omega} \leq K$ and so, arguing as before, $K$ is infinite and, in particular, $K[2]$ is infinite. As $x$ acts fixed point freely on $K$ we deduce that every section $U_\sigma(K)$ (with $\sigma \leq \omega$) is either trivial or of rank 2. In particular, there are infinitely many positive integers $n$ such that $f_n(K) = 2$. Now, for every positive integer $n$,

$$3 = f_n(A) = f_n(C) + f_n(K),$$

hence there are infinitely many $n$ such that $f_n(C) = 1$. Since $C$ is reduced, it follows from Proposition 5.10 that $C$ is not finitely integrable. However, $C \cong A/K = (G/K)'$, and this is the final contradiction.

**Remark 5.12** The isomorphism type of a countable reduced abelian $p$-group is determined by its Ulm–Kaplansky invariants (see [5], Theorem 77.3), thus, in principle, the finite integrability of a countable reduced 2-group should be readable from the sequence of its Ulm–Kaplansky invariants.

### 5.2.3 Torsion-free groups

The case of abelian torsion-free groups seems much more involved, and we have at the moment little to say.

**Proposition 5.13** There exist torsion-free abelian groups that are not finitely integrable.

**Proof** For every prime $p$ let $A_p = \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]$ (written multiplicatively), and $A = \text{Dir}_p A_p$. For every $p$, $A_p$ is the largest $p$-divisible subgroup of $A$ and is therefore characteristic. This implies that every automorphism of finite order of $A$ is an involution. Observe also that $A/A^2$ is infinite.
Now, suppose there exists an integral \( G \) of \( A \) such that \(|G : A|\) is finite and write \( C = C_G(A) \). By what was observed above, as the action of \( G/C \) is by automorphisms on \( A \) which are involutions, \( G/C \) is a finite 2-group. Moreover, \( Z(C) \) has finite index in \( C \) and so \( C' \) is finite. As \( A \) is torsion-free, we thus have \( C' \cap A = 1 \) and we may well suppose \( C' = 1 \). Let \( K = C^2 \); we then have \( K/A^2 \cong (C/A^2)[2] \geq A/A^2 \) (via the homomorphism \( cA^2 \mapsto c^2A^2 \)); in particular, \( C/K \) is infinite and so \( AK/K \) is infinite. But then \( G = G/K \) is a 2-group with \( \overline{G} = AK/K \) infinite elementary abelian, which (by Corollary 4.6) implies that \( G/G' \cong G/AK \) is infinite, which is a contradiction. \( \square \)

**Theorem 5.14** Let \( G \) be a torsion–free abelian group. If \( G \) admits an automorphism \( \alpha \) of odd order \( n \) (possibly trivial) such that \( C_G(\alpha)/C_G(\alpha)^2 \) is finite, then \( G \) is finitely integrable.

**Proof** Let \( G \) be an abelian torsion-free group and let \( \alpha \) be an automorphism of odd order \( n \) of \( G \) such that \( C_G(\alpha)/C_G(\alpha)^2 \) is finite. Then, for every \( g \in G \),

\[
g^n[g, \alpha] \cdots [g, \alpha^{n-1}] = g^{1 + \alpha + \ldots + \alpha^{n-1}} \in C_G(\alpha);
\]

hence \( G^n \leq C_G(\alpha)[G, \alpha] \). Then, since \( n \) is odd,

\[
\frac{G}{[G, \alpha]G^2} \cong \frac{C_G(\alpha)[G, \alpha]G^2}{[G, \alpha]G^2} \cong \frac{C_G(\alpha)}{C_G(\alpha) \cap [G, \alpha]G^2}
\]

is finite by hypothesis. Denote by \( \lambda \) the inversion automorphism of \( G \); then \( \beta = \alpha \lambda \) is a fixed-point-free automorphism of order \( 2n \) of \( G \), whence \( [G, \beta] \cong G/C_G(\beta) = G \). But

\[
[G, \beta] \geq [G, \alpha][G, \lambda] = [G, \alpha]G^2
\]

has finite index in \( G \). Thus, by letting \( H = G \times \langle \beta \rangle \), we have \( H' = [G, \beta] \cong G \), showing that \( G \) is finitely integrable. \( \square \)

**Corollary 5.15** Every torsion–free abelian group of finite rank is finitely integrable.

Proposition 5.14 implies, in particular, that a torsion-free abelian group admitting a fixed-point-free automorphism of odd order is finitely integrable. However, because of the abundance of indecomposable torsion–free abelian groups, nothing similar to Theorem 5.10 is to be expected in this case. For
instance, examples of indecomposable (as direct sums) torsion-free groups admitting a fixed-point-free automorphism of order 3 may be found in Chapter XVI of [5]: e.g. Example 2 at page 272. That group is indeed of rank 2 and so it is finitely integrable anyway by Corollary 5.15; it is however possible to extend that construction to obtain indecomposable groups of infinite rank with a fixed-point-free automorphism of order 3.

**Example 5.16** Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a partition into an infinite number of infinite disjoint subsets of the set of all primes $q \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$. For every $I \in \mathcal{P}$, let $A_I$ be a torsion-free group as constructed, with respect to the set of primes in $I$, in Example 2 of page 272 in [5]. The groups $A_I$ (for $I \in \mathcal{P}$) are indecomposable, pairwise non-isomorphic, and admit a fixed point free automorphism of order 3. The direct sum $G = \operatorname{Dir}_{I \in \mathcal{P}} A_I$ of these groups admits a fixed-point-free automorphism of order 3, hence it is finitely integrable, but it is not decomposable as the direct sum of two (or more) isomorphic subgroups, and is such that $G/G^2$ is infinite.

### 5.3 Finitely generated integrals

We know (see [1]) that a finitely generated abelian group has a finitely generated integral (and even a nilpotent one, by Theorem 4.1). On the other hand it is well known that the derived subgroup of a finitely generated group need not be finitely generated: (for instance, consider the derived subgroup of the non-abelian free group of rank 2), and thus it makes sense to ask which abelian groups have an integral which is finitely generated; a question that goes back to P. Hall [9]. In his paper, Hall found necessary conditions for an abelian group to occur as a normal subgroup with polycyclic factor of a finitely generated group. Much later, in [11, Theorem 1.1], Mikaelian and Olshanski proved that the class of abelian groups described by Hall is precisely that of groups which are isomorphic to a subgroup of the derived group of a finitely generated (in fact, 2-generated) metabelian group. In the same paper (Theorem 1.3 and Example 5.1), Mikaelian and Olshanskii show that not all such groups may be embedded as the derived group of a finitely generated group.

For every set $\pi$ of primes, denote by $D_\pi$ the set of all rational numbers whose denominator is a positive $\pi$-number: also, set $D_\emptyset = \mathbb{Z}$. From [11] it is not difficult to retrieve the following necessary condition.
Proposition 5.17 Let $G = T \times D$ where

- $T$ is a finite or countable abelian group of finite exponent, and
- $D$ is the direct sum of a family of groups \( \{ D_{\pi_i} \mid i \in I \} \), with $I$ finite or countable and $\bigcup_{i \in I} \pi_i$ finite.

Then there exists a finitely generated group $H$ such that $G \cong H'$.

We have no idea whether this condition is also necessary; thus, a full characterization of abelian groups that have a finitely generated integral seems to be still open.

6 Varieties of groups

6.1 The integral of a variety

The starting point of this subsection was Problem 10.13 of \cite{[1]}. We know that, if $V$ is a variety of groups, then the class of all integrals of groups in $V$ is a variety \cite{[1]}. In fact we can say a bit more.

Proposition 6.1 The class of integrals of groups in the variety $V$ is a variety; indeed it is the product variety $VA$, where $A$ is the variety of abelian groups.

Proof The product variety $VA$ consists of all groups which have a normal subgroup in $V$ with quotient in $A$; it is a variety (Neumann \cite{[14]} 21.11). Clearly, if $H$ is an integral of a group $G \in V$ then $H \in VA$.

Conversely, suppose that $H \in VA$, then there is a subgroup $N \trianglelefteq H$ with $N \in V$ and $H/N$ abelian; so $H' \leq N$ and so $H' \in V$, since $V$ is subgroup-closed. \qed

We call $VA$ the integral of $V$.

Let $G$ be a finite group, $V$ the variety generated by $G$. Then $V$ is finitely based, by the Oates–Powell Theorem, see \cite{[14]} 52.11. Is the integral $W$ of $V$ also finitely based?

A basis for $W$ consists of the identities

\[ v(x_1, \ldots, x_m) = 1, \]
where \( v \) is an identity of \( V \) and \( x_1, \ldots, x_m \) are elements of the relevant free group which are products of commutators [14, 21.12]. This set is infinite. So, to get a finite basis for the identities, we would require that there is a positive integer \( k_0 \) with the property that, if each identity \( v(u_1, \ldots, u_r) \) holds when each \( u_i \) is a product of at most \( k_0 \) commutators, then it holds in general without this restriction.

This leads us to the following definition. Let \( S \) be a symmetric subset of a group \( G \) (that is, \( S = S^{-1} \)). Let

\[
B_k(S) = \bigcup_{i=0}^{k} S^i
\]

be the ball of radius \( k \) in the Cayley graph of \( G \) with respect to \( S \).

We say that a group identity \( w = 1 \) has gauge \( k \) if, whenever \( S \) is a symmetric generating set for a finite group \( G \) and the identity \( w = 1 \) holds in \( B_k(S) \), then the identity holds in \( G \).

The gauge of a variety \( V \) is the smallest \( k \) such that, if the identities \( w = 1 \) defining the variety all hold in \( B_k(S) \), where \( S \) is a symmetric generating set for a group \( G \), then \( G \in V \). (This is in general weaker than requiring that all the identities defining \( V \) have gauge at most \( k \). But if \( V \) is finitely based, then it is defined by a single identity, and we can require this identity to have finite gauge.)

**Remark 6.2** Why symmetric? First, it makes a difference. Consider the symmetric group \( S_n \) with the usual generating set \( a = (1, 2) \) and \( b = (1, 2, \ldots, n) \). Consider the metabelian identity \( [[[x, y], [z, w]]] = 1 \). If we use the generating set \( S = \{a = a^{-1}, b, b^{-1}\} \), and we substitute \( x = a, y = b, z = a, w = b^{-1} \), the identity does not hold. But if we use the generating set \( \{a, b\} \), any substitution of generators satisfies one of \( x = y; z = w; \{x, y\} = \{z, w\} \). In each case the identity is satisfied.

Second, in our application, the generating sets that arise will be symmetric. For the derived group of a group \( G \) is generated by all commutators \( [x, y] \) for \( x, y \in G \), and \( [x, y]^{-1} = [y, x] \).

Our earlier considerations give the following result.

**Theorem 6.3** Let \( V \) be a variety which is finitely based and has finite gauge. Then the integral of \( V \) is finitely based.
Proposition 6.4 Each of the following varieties has gauge 1: the variety $A$ of abelian groups, the variety $A_m$ of abelian groups of exponent dividing $m$, and the variety $N_c$ of nilpotent groups of class at most $c$.

Proof If the generators of $G$ commute, then $G$ is abelian; if in addition the generators have order dividing $m$, then $G$ has exponent dividing $m$.

The variety $N_c$ is defined by the identity $[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{c+1}] = 1$, where the commutator is left-normed, defined inductively by

$$[x_1, \ldots, x_{k+1}] = [[x_1, \ldots, x_k], x_{k+1}]$$

for $k \geq 2$. The proof is by induction on $c$, the first part of the proposition giving the case $c = 1$.

So let $G$ be a group with symmetric generating set $S$ satisfying the identity $[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{c+1}] = 1$. This identity shows that the element $[x_1, \ldots, x_c]$, for $x_1, \ldots, x_c \in S$, commutes with every generator, and so belongs to $Z(G)$. This shows that $G/Z(G)$ with generating set $\overline{S} = SZ(G)/Z(G)$ has the property that all generators satisfy $[x_1, \ldots, x_c] = 1$; by induction, $G/Z(G)$ is nilpotent of class at most $c - 1$, so $G$ is nilpotent of class at most $c$. □

Proposition 6.5 The identity $x^2 = 1$ has gauge 2 (and not 1).

Proof If $x^2 = y^2 = 1$, then $(xy)^2 = 1$ if and only if $x$ and $y$ commute. So, if all generators and their pairwise products have order 2, then all pairs of generators commute, and $G$ is abelian of exponent 2. But of course there are non-abelian groups generated by elements of order 2. Moreover, it is straightforward to verify that if $g^2 = 1$ for every $g \in B_2(S)$, then $g^2 = 1$ for every $g \in G$. □

In the other direction, we have the following:

Proposition 6.6 The variety of metabelian groups has infinite gauge.

Proof Let $R = \langle a, b \rangle$ be a non-abelian simple group, and consider the restricted wreath product $G = R \wr \langle x \rangle$, where $x$ is an element of infinite order. Denote by $E$ the base of the wreath product (i.e. the direct sum of all coordinate subgroups $R^{x_z}$ for $z \in \mathbb{Z}$); thus $E = G'$ and $G$ is the semidirect product $E \rtimes \langle x \rangle$.  
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Let \( n \) be a positive integer, and \( N = 4n + 1 \). Let \( c = b^N \); then
\[
S = \{a, a^{-1}, c, c^{-1}, x, x^{-1}\}
\]
is a symmetric set of generators of \( G \). As introduced earlier, for \( k \geq 1 \) denote by \( B_k = \bigcup_{i=0}^{k} S^i \) the ball of radius \( k \) and, for \( g \in G \), by \( \ell(g) \) the length of \( g \) as a word in \( S \), that is, its distance from the identity in the Cayley graph of \( G \) with generating set \( S \).

For each \( 0 \leq t \) let
\[
A_t = \langle a^{(z_t)} | |z| \leq t \rangle, \quad C_t = \langle c^{(x_t)} | |z| \leq t \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad W_t = \langle A_t, C_t \rangle.
\]
Observe that \( W_t^x \cup W_t^{x^{-1}} \subseteq W_{t+1} \).

**Claim:** \( B_t \cap E \subseteq W_{[t/2]} \). We prove this by induction on \( t \), the fact being clear for \( t = 1 \). Thus, let \( t \geq 2 \) and suppose \( u = vy \in B_t \cap E \) with \( v \in B_{t-1} \) and \( y \in S \). If \( y \in \{a, a^{-1}, c, c^{-1}\} \), then \( v \in B_{t-1} \cap E \) and we are done by induction. Let \( u = vx \); then since \( u \in E \) there is, in the writing of \( v \) as a word of length \( t-1 \) in \( S \), an occurrence of \( x^{-1} \) somewhere; that is
\[
u = v_1 x^{-1} v_2 x,
\]
with \( v_1, v_2 \in E \) and \( 1 \leq \ell(v_2) \leq t-2 \). By inductive assumption, \( v_2 \in W_{[t/2]-1} \) and so \( x^{-1} v_2 x \in W_{[t/2]} \); since \( v_1 \in E \cap B_{t-3} \), we have \( u \in W_{[t/2]} \), as wanted.

Now, observe that \( A_t, C_t \) are abelian for every \( t \); moreover, when \( t \leq 2n \), \( A_t \) and \( C_t \) have trivial intersection and commute element-wise, so that, for \( t \leq 2n \),
\[
W_t = \langle A_t, C_t \rangle = A_t \times C_t
\]
is abelian. Let finally \( g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4 \in B_n \); then \( [g_1, g_2], [g_3, g_4] \in B_{4n} \cap E \subseteq W_{2n} \), and so
\[
[[g_1, g_2], [g_3, g_4]] = 1.
\]
Thus the metabelian identity holds in \( B_n \) but not in the whole group, meaning that its gauge is greater than \( n \). This holds for all \( n \), so the gauge is infinite.

\( \square \)

However, a metabelian variety generated by a finite group may have finite gauge. For example, consider the variety \( V \) generated by the group \( S_3 \). It is known that \( V = A_3 A_2 \), and as a basis for the identities we may take
\[
x^6 = [x^2, y^2] = [x, y]^3 = [x^2, [y, z]] = [[x, y], [z, w]] = 1.
\]
We claim that this variety has gauge 1. For if the generators of a group satisfy these identities, then their squares and commutators commute and have order dividing 3, so generate a group in $A_3$; the quotient is in $A_2$.

### 6.2 Varieties with every group integrable

A possibly easier question [Problem 10.15], on which we have some results, is the following.

**Question 6.7** Is there a variety of groups, other than a variety of abelian groups, with the property that every group in the variety is integrable?

The class $B_p \cap N_2$ is a candidate for prime $p$, where $B_p$ is the variety of groups of exponent $p$, and $N_2$ the variety of nilpotent groups of class at most 2. We prove that it does indeed have the required property.

**Theorem 6.8** Let $p$ be an odd prime. Then every group in the variety of groups of exponent $p$ and nilpotency class at most 2 has an integral.

**Proof** Let $G$ be a group of exponent $p$, for $p$ an odd prime, and nilpotency class at most 2. The set $G$ becomes a Lie $GF(p)$-algebra $L_G$ by setting, for $x, y \in G$,

$$x + y = xy[x, y]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

and letting the group commutator be the Lie product (this is essentially the simplest case of Malcev’s correspondence) where by $y^{\frac{1}{2}}$ we mean the preimage of the isomorphism $a \mapsto a^2$.

If $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ is a minimal set of generators of the group $G$, then

$$L_G = L_1 \oplus L_2$$

where $L_1$ is the $GF(p)$-space spanned by $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ and $L_2 = [L_G, L_G]$. The map

$$x \oplus z \mapsto (-x) \oplus z$$

(for $x \in L_1$, $z \in L_2$) is an automorphism of $L_G$, to which it corresponds an automorphism $\alpha$ of the group $G$ of order 2. Thus, $\alpha$ induces the inversion map on $G/G'$, hence, letting $H = G \rtimes \langle \alpha \rangle$, we have $H' = G$. \qed
A different perspective, suggested by the proof of the result about the orders for which every group is integrable [1, Theorem 7.1], is to ask whether every group in the variety $A_p A_q$ is integrable, where $p$ and $q$ are primes with $q \nmid p - 1$. On this, we can prove the following:

**Theorem 6.9** Let $p, q$ be distinct primes such that $p \nmid q - 1$. Then every finite group in $A_q A_p$ has an integral.

We introduce the principal argument for the proof in a separate Lemma.

**Lemma 6.10** Let $p, q$ be distinct primes such that $p \nmid q - 1$ and $m = \text{ord}_p(q)$. Let $G$ be a finite group in $A_q A_p$, and let $Q$ be the largest normal $q$-subgroup of $G$ and suppose $Q \neq G$; then there exists an automorphism $\alpha$ of $G$ of order $m$ which acts as a non-trivial power on $G/Q$.

**Proof** We proceed by induction on $|G|$. Since $m \mid p - 1$, the claim is obvious if $Q$ is trivial since the map $\alpha(g) = g^{1/m}$ fits the requirements. Let $P$ be a Sylow $p$-subgroup of $G$. By assumption, $P$ is not trivial.

Suppose $C = C_P(Q) \neq 1$. Then $P = C \times P_1$, where $P_1 = [P, Q]$, and, by the inductive assumption, $G_1 = QP_1$ admits an automorphism $\alpha$ acting as a power of order $m$ on $G_1/Q$. Now, $G = C \times G_1$ and by letting $\alpha$ act on $C$ by the same power it acts on $G_1/Q$ we are done. Thus, we now suppose $C_P(Q) = 1$.

If $Q$ is indecomposable as $GF(q)P$-module, then $P$ is cyclic, $|Q| = q^m$, and $G = QP$ may be represented as a group of affine transformations of the field $GF(q^m)$. (The order formula for the general linear group shows that the group of affine transformations of $GF(q^m)$ contains a $p$-group whose order is the $p$-part of $GL(m, q)$. Thus $G$ is conjugate to a subgroup of this affine group.) Then a Galois automorphism of order $m$ induces an automorphism of $G$ that acts as a non-trivial power on $G/Q$.

Now, suppose $Q = Q_1 \times Q_2$, with $Q_1, Q_2$ non-trivial normal subgroups of $G$. For $i = 1, 2$, let $G_i = G/Q_i$. By inductive assumption, each $G_i$ admits an automorphism $\sigma_i$ of order $m$ acting as a power on $G_i$ modulo $Q_i$. By possibly replacing $\sigma_2$ with one of its powers, we have that $\sigma_1, \sigma_2$ induce the same power on the appropriate quotients. Then $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \in \text{Aut}(G_1 \times G_2)$ acts as a power automorphism on $G_1 \times G_2$ modulo its largest (normal) $q$-subgroup $Q_0$. Now, we have a natural injective homomorphism $\pi : G \to G_1 \times G_2$, and $\pi(G) > \pi(Q) = Q_0$. Since $\sigma$ acts as a power on $(G_1 \times G_2)/Q_0$, it in particular fixes $\pi(G)$. Hence $\sigma$ induces an automorphism of $G$ of order $m$ that acts as a power on $G/Q$. \qed
Proof of Theorem 6.9 Let $G = QP$ be a finite group in $A_qA_p$, where $Q$ is a normal (elementary abelian) $q$-subgroup and $P$ a Sylow $p$-subgroup of $G$. As, by coprime action, $Q = C_Q(P) \times [Q, P]$, we have $G = C_Q(P) \times [Q, P]P$. Hence we may well assume $Q = [Q, P]$, since $C_Q(P)$ is an abelian direct factor and so it is integrable.

Let $m = \text{ord}_p(q)$. By Lemma 6.10, $G$ admits an automorphism $\alpha$ of order $m$ acting as a non-trivial power on $G/Q$. By a standard fact, we may assume that $\alpha(P) = P$, so that $[P, \alpha] = P$. Let $H = G \rtimes \langle \alpha \rangle$, so that clearly $H' \leq G$. Then

$$H' \geq [Q, P][P, \alpha] = QP = G.$$ and we are done. 

7 Self-integrating classes of groups

We now consider integrals within certain classes of groups. This section is related to Problem 10.15 of [1].

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a class of groups. (We use this phrase to mean that $\mathcal{C}$ is isomorphism-closed.) The strongest property we might require is to ask the following: if a group $G \in \mathcal{C}$ is integrable, then every integral of $G$ is in $\mathcal{C}$. It is reasonable to require that $\mathcal{C}$ is subgroup-closed, otherwise there will be uninteresting examples such as the class of non-abelian groups. In this case, $\mathcal{C}$ contains the trivial group, and hence all abelian groups, and hence (by induction) all soluble groups. But certainly the class of all soluble groups has our properties. Again we then get uninteresting examples such as groups which have at most one nonabelian composition factor, this factor being $A_5$.

A more sensible definition is the following. We say that a class $\mathcal{C}$ of groups is self-integrating if, whenever $G$ is an integrable group in $\mathcal{C}$, then $G$ has an integral in $\mathcal{C}$.

We saw in [1] that the class of finite groups, and the class of finitely generated groups, are both self-integrating.

Obviously, the class of soluble groups is self-integrable, as well as every class which is closed by extensions by abelian groups (e.g. the class of amenable groups). However, not everything is so trivial.

Lemma 7.1 The following classes of groups are self-integrating.

(a) finite groups;
(b) finitely generated groups;

(c) polycyclic groups, and more generally groups satisfying Max;

(d) finitely generated, residually finite groups.

Proof (a) and (b) are true by [1, Theorem 2.2, Proposition 9.1]. As (c) follows easily from (b), we only consider (d).

Thus, let $G$ be a finitely generated residually finite group. For every $n \geq 1$, let $K_n$ be the intersection of all subgroups of $G$ of index at most $n$; then, each $K_n$ is characteristic. Moreover, since $G$ is finitely generated, $G/K_n$ is finite for every $n$, and, $\bigcap_{n \geq 1} K_n = 1$, because $G$ is residually finite.

Now, suppose that $G$ has an integral $H$, which, by point (d), we may suppose is finitely generated. For every $n \geq 1$, the commutator subgroup of $H/K_n$ is finite; hence, since $H/K_n$ is finitely generated, $Z_n/K_n = Z(H/K_n)$ has finite index in $H/K_n$ (see for instance exercise 14.5.7 in Robinson’s book). Also, $Z_n/K_n$ is a finitely generated abelian group, and so there exists a subgroup $C_n/K_n$ of $Z_n/K_n$ with $[Z_n : C_n]$ finite and $C_n \cap G = K_n$. For take $C_n/K_n$ to be a complement for the torsion subgroup of $Z_n/K_n$, noting that $G/K_n$ is contained in this torsion subgroup since it is finite. Observe that $C_n \leq H$ and that $[H : C_n]$ is finite. Setting $C = \bigcap_{n \geq 1} C_n$, we have $C \leq H$ and

$$C \cap G = \bigcap_{n \geq 1} (C_n \cap G) = \bigcap_{n \geq 1} K_n = 1.$$  

Thus, $(H/C)' = GC/C \cong G$, and we are done since $H/C$ is residually finite (and finitely generated).

Question 7.2 Which other “natural” classes of groups are self-integrating? For instance: periodic groups, torsion-free groups, linear groups, residually finite groups in general, virtually free groups, . . .

We show in this paper that the class of finite $p$-groups, and the class of residually finite groups, are both not self-integrating (Theorem 4.2 for $p$-groups, Lemma 8.12 and Proposition 8.13 below for residually finite groups).
8 Profinite groups and Cartesian products

8.1 Profinite and abstract integrals

Let $G$ be a compact topological group. Recall that $G$ is said to be profinite if the following equivalent conditions are satisfied (cf. [17, Lemma 2.1.1 and Theorem 2.1.3]):

(i) there exists an inverse system $\{G_i, \varphi_{ij}: G_i \to G_j \mid i,j \in I, i > j\}$ of finite groups such that $G = \varprojlim_{i \in I} G_i$, and $\{\ker(\varphi_i) \mid i \in I\}$ is a basis of open neighbourhoods of the identity, where $\varphi_i: G \to G_i$ denotes the canonical epimorphism for every $i \in I$;

(ii) there exists a basis of open neighbourhoods of the identity $U$ consisting of normal subgroups of $G$, such that $G = \varprojlim_{N \in U} G/N$.

(For the definition of inverse system and projective limit, see [17, § 1.1].)

Observe that a subgroup of a compact topological group is open if, and only if, it is closed and of finite index (cf., e.g., [17, Lemma 2.1.2]). For a profinite group $G$ and a subset $X \subseteq G$, $\overline{X}$ will denote the closure of $X$.

There are two notions of derived group in the class of profinite groups: either the abstract (the subgroup generated by commutators) or the topological (the closure of the preceding). We say that a profinite group $G$ has a profinite integral $K$ if $K$ is a profinite group and $G$ is the topological derived subgroup $K'$.

We show that a profinite group which has finite index in some integral has a profinite integral, and that a finitely generated profinite group which has an integral has a profinite integral. However, in general it is not true that an integrable profinite group has a profinite integral (see Theorem 8.6, Lemma 8.12 and Proposition 8.13).

We begin with a known remark that we will use throughout this section.

**Remark 8.1** Let $G$ be a topologically finitely generated profinite group. (Henceforth, “finitely generated profinite group” will be intended in the topological sense.) By a remarkable result of Nikolov and Segal (cf. [16]), $G$ boasts the following properties:

(i) the abstract derived subgroup of $G$ is closed, i.e., $\overline{G'} = G'$;

(ii) all the subgroups of finite index of $G$ are open, and there are only finitely many of them of a given index.
For every positive integer $n$, let $G(n)$ denote the intersection of all the subgroups of $G$ of index at most $n$. From property (ii), one deduces that $G(n)$ is a closed characteristic subgroup of finite index — and thus $G(n)$ is also open. It is straightforward to see that for every ascending sequence of positive integers $i_1 < i_2 < \ldots < i_n < \ldots$, the family $U = \{G(i_n) \mid n \geq 1\}$ is a basis of open neighbourhoods of the identity consisting of normal subgroups of $G$, and thus

$$G = \lim_{n \geq 1} G/G(i_n).$$

We use this notation throughout the present section.

**Proposition 8.2** Let $(I, \leq)$ be a directed set, and let $\{G_i, \varphi_{ij} \mid i, j \in I\}$ be an inverse system of finite groups with associated profinite group $G = \lim_i G_i$. Suppose that there exists an inverse system of finite groups $\{K_i, \psi_{ij} \mid i, j \in I\}$, with associated profinite group $K = \lim_i K_i$, such that one has an isomorphism $\tau_i : G_i \to K_i'$ for every $i \in I$. Then $G \simeq K'$.

**Proof** The short exact sequences of finite groups

$$1 \longrightarrow G_i \longrightarrow K_i \longrightarrow K_i/G_i \longrightarrow 1,$$

for every $i \in I$, yield a monomorphism of profinite groups $\tau : G \to K$ such that $\tau(G)$ is a closed normal subgroup of $K$, and $\psi \circ \tau = \tau_i \circ \varphi_i$ for every $i \in I$ (cf. [17, Proposition 2.2.4]). Moreover, $K/\tau(G)$ is an abelian profinite group, as every quotient $K_i/G_i$ is abelian. Therefore, one has the inclusion $\tau(G) \supseteq K'$.

On the other hand, for every $i \in I$ let $N_i$ be the kernel of the canonical epimorphism $\psi_i : K \twoheadrightarrow K_i$. Then $\{N_i \mid i \in I\}$ is a basis of open neighbourhoods of the identity. Now pick an arbitrary element $x$ of $\tau(G)$, and an arbitrary open neighbourhood $U \subseteq K$ of $x$. Thus, there exists $j \in I$ such that the coset $xN_j$ — which is an open neighbourhood of $x$ — is contained in $U$. Since the diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
G & \longrightarrow & K \\
\varphi_j \downarrow & & \downarrow \psi_j \\
G_j & \longrightarrow & K_j
\end{array}
$$


commutes, one has \((\psi_j \circ \tau)(G) \subseteq K'_i\). Up to rewriting the images of the homomorphism \(\psi_j\) as cosets of \(N_j\), it makes sense to write \(x N_j \in K'_j\). Since

\[ K'_i = (K/N_i)' = (K' \cdot N_i)/N_i \]

for every \(i \in I\), one has that \(x N_j = h N_j\) for some \(h \in K'\). Therefore \(h \in x N_j \subseteq U\) — in other words, every element of \(\tau(G)\) is arbitrarily close to \(K'\). Hence, \(\tau(G) \subseteq K'\).

\[\square\]

**Theorem 8.3** Let \(G\) be a profinite group and \(H\) an integral of \(G\) (as group) with \(|H : G|\) finite. Then there exists a profinite group \(K\) which is both a profinite integral and an abstract integral of \(G\), i.e., \(G = \overline{K'} = K'\).

**Proof** Pick a basis of open neighbourhoods of the identity \(U = \{N_i \mid i \in I\}\), with \((I, \leq)\) a directed set such that \(N_i \leq N_j\) for every \(i, j \in I\) such that \(i \geq j\), consisting of normal subgroups of \(G\), and set \(G_i = G/N_i\). Then \(G_i\) is a finite group for every \(i \in I\), and \(G = \varprojlim_i G_i\). For every \(i \in N\), set

\[ K_i := \bigcap_{h \in H/G} h^{-1} N_i h. \]

Since \(H/G\) is finite by hypothesis, \(K_i\) is the intersection of a finite number of open subgroups of \(G\), and thus it is open. Moreover, \(K_i \leq K_j\) for every \(i, j \in I\) such that \(i \geq j\), and for every open subset \(U\) of \(G\) there exists \(i \in I\) such that \(U \supseteq N_i \supseteq K_i\). Hence, \(K = \{K_i \mid i \in I\}\) is a basis of open neighbourhoods of the identity in \(G\), and \(G = \varprojlim_i G/K_i\).

For every \(i \in N\), one has that \([H : K_i] = [H : G][G : K_i]\) is finite. Thus, \(\{H/K_i, H/K_i \rightarrow H/K_j\ \text{for} \ K_i \leq K_j\}\) is an inverse system of finite groups, and we may define the profinite group \(K = \varprojlim_i H/K_i\), which has \(K\) as a basis of open neighbourhoods of the identity consisting of normal subgroups. Since \(\bigcap_{i \in I} K_i = \{1\}\), the definition of \(K\) yields a monomorphism of groups \(\phi: H \hookrightarrow K\), and hence \(H\) may be considered as a subgroup of \(K\), so that

\[ G = H' \leq K'. \]

Observe that \(G\) is an open (and thus also closed) subgroup of \(K\), as

\[ G = \bigcup_{gK_i \in G/K_i} gK_i \text{ for each } i \in I \]
and every \( gK_i \in G/K_i \) is an open subset of \( K \).

On the other hand, for every \( i \in I \) let \( \varphi_i : K \to H/K_i \) denote the canonical epimorphism. Then \( H/K_i \simeq K/\ker(\varphi_i) = K/K_i \), while \( G/K_i = (H/K_i)' \) by hypothesis. Altogether,

\[
\frac{K}{G} \simeq \frac{K/K_i}{G/K_i} \simeq \frac{H/K_i}{(H/K_i)'} \quad \text{for each } i \in I,
\]

hence \( K/G \) is abelian. Consequently, \( G \) contains \( K' \), and thus also \( K' \), as \( G \) is a closed subgroup of \( K \). Therefore, \( G = K' = K' \).

\[ \square \]

**Theorem 8.4** Let \( G \) be a finitely generated profinite group which is integrable as abstract group. Then there exists a finitely generated profinite group \( K \) which is both a profinite integral and an abstract integral of \( G \), i.e., \( G = K' = K' \).

**Proof** Let \( H \) be an integral of \( G \). Take a set of generators \( g_1, \ldots, g_s \) of \( G \) as profinite group. By the proof of [1, Proposition 9.1], we can find a finitely generated abstract subgroup \( T \) of \( H \) so that \( \langle g_1, \ldots, g_s \rangle = T' \). We define \( H^* := GT \) and \( L := (H^*)' \leq G \).

Let \( G(n) \) be defined as in Remark 8.1. For any \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) we observe that \( G(n) \leq G(n)L \leq G \), so \( G(n)L \) is a finite index subgroup of \( G \). By Remark 8.1, \( G(n)L \) is an open — and thus also closed — subgroup of \( G \). Moreover, since \( G(n)L \geq \langle g_1, \ldots, g_s \rangle \), we have

\[
G \geq G(n)L = \overline{G(n)L} \geq \overline{\langle g_1, \ldots, g_s \rangle} = G
\]

and so \( G = G(n)L \) for every \( n \in \mathbb{N} \).

Moreover, \( H^*/G = GT/G \cong T/(T \cap G) \) is finitely generated as an abstract group.

Notice that

\[
(H^*/G(n))' = L' \cdot G(n)/G(n) = G/G(n)
\]

is a finite group and so, by the same argument of the proof of [1, Theorem 2.2] we have that \( Z(H^*/G(n)) = Z_n/G(n) \) for a suitable subgroup \( Z_n \leq H^* \) so that \( H^*/Z_n \) is a finite group. Since \( H^*/G \) is finitely generated as an abstract group and \( G/G(n) \) is finite, we have that \( H^*/G(n) \) is finitely generated as an abstract group. Moreover, since \( Z_n/G(n) \) has finite index in \( H^*/G(n) \), then \( Z_n/G(n) \) is an abelian finitely generated abstract group.
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Let $N_2 \leq Z_2$ be such that $N_2 \cap G = G(2)$ and $[Z_2 : N_2] < \infty$ (for example, take $N_2/G(2)$ to be a complement to the torsion subgroup of $Z_2/G(2)$). Now assume we have constructed $N_n \leq N_{n-1} \leq \ldots \leq N_2$ so that $N_n \cap G = G(n)$ and $[H^n : N_n] < \infty$. Since $(Z_{n+1} \cap N_n)/G(n+1)$ is central and has finite index in $H^*/G(n+1)$, we can find $N_{n+1} \leq N_n$ so that

$$\frac{N_{n+1}}{G(n+1)} \leq \frac{Z_{n+1} \cap N_n}{G(n+1)}$$

and $N_{n+1} \cap G = G(n+1)$ and $[H^n : N_{n+1}] < \infty$.

Observe that $N_n$ is normal in $H^*$ for all $n \geq 2$, as $G(n) \leq N_n \leq Z_n$ and $Z_n/G(n)$ is a central factor of $H^*$.

By construction, the system of finite groups $\{H^*/N_n, \pi_{n,m} \mid n, m \geq 1\}$, where

$$\pi_{n,m} : \frac{H^*}{N_n} \to \frac{H^*}{N_m}, \quad \pi_{n,m}(hN_n) = hN_m, \quad m \leq n,$$

forms an inverse system and

$$\left( \frac{H^*}{N_n} \right)' = \left( \frac{H^*}{N_n} \right)' = \frac{L_N}{N_n} = \frac{L_G(n)N_n}{N_n} = \frac{GN_n}{N_n} \cong \frac{G}{G \cap N_n} = \frac{G}{G(n)}.$$

Set $K_n = H^*/N_n$ for every $n \geq 1$, and $K := \varprojlim_{n \geq 1} K_n$. Then $K$ is a profinite group, and since $K'_n \cong G/G(n)$ for every $n \geq 1$, Proposition 8.2 implies that $G \cong \overline{K}$ — recall that $G = \varprojlim_n G/G(n)$ (cf. Remark 8.1). Therefore, $K$ is a profinite integral of $G$. Observe that the definition of $K$ yields a homomorphism of groups $\phi : H^* \to K$ with kernel $\bigcap_{n \geq 1} N_n$.

Since

$$[H^n : GN_n][GN_n : N_n] = [H^n : N_n] < \infty$$

for every $n \geq 1$,

and $g_1, \ldots, g_s$ are the topological generators of the profinite group $G$, then we have that $g_1 N_n, \ldots, g_s N_n$ generate the finite group $GN_n/N_n$. Moreover, if $t_1, \ldots, t_r$ are the abstract generators of the abstract group $T$, then the cosets $t_1 GN_n, t_r GN_n$ generate the finite group $H^*/GN_n$. Therefore the cosets

$$t_1 N_n, t_r N_n, g_1 N_n, \ldots, g_s N_n$$

generate the finite group $H^*/N_n$ for every $n \geq 1$. Consequently, Lemma 2.4.1] implies that $K$ is (topologically) generated by the elements

$$\phi(t_1), \ldots, \phi(t_r), \phi(g_1), \ldots, \phi(g_s),$$

namely, $K$ is a finitely generated profinite group. In particular, $\overline{K'} = K'$ by Remark 8.1 and thus $K$ is both a profinite and an abstract integral of $G$. □
The obvious generalisation of these two theorems would assert that if a profinite group has an integral, then it has a profinite integral. But this is false, as we show in Section 8.2.

It is natural to ask whether the finitely generated profinite group $G$ has a profinite integral if and only if $G/G(n)$ is integrable for all $n \geq 1$. We can answer this question in the case when $Z(G) = 1$. We begin with a simple observation.

**Lemma 8.5** Let $N$ be a characteristic subgroup of the group $G$ with $Z(G) \leq N$. Then for every integral $H$ of $G$ there exists a uniquely defined section $H_1$ of $Aut(G)$ such that $H_1$ is an integral of $G/N$ and a homomorphic image of $H$.

**Proof** Let $K = C_H(G)$; then $H/K$ is (isomorphic to) a subgroup of $Aut(G)$. Since $K \cap G = Z(G) \leq N \leq H$, we have

$$
\left( \frac{H}{NK} \right)' = \frac{GK}{NK} = \frac{G}{G \cap NK} = \frac{G}{N(G \cap K)} = \frac{G}{N};
$$

thus $H_1 = H/NK$ is an integral of $G/N$.  

**Theorem 8.6** Let $G$ be a finitely generated profinite group with $Z(G) = 1$. Then the following are equivalent,

(a) $G/G(n)$ is integrable for every $n \geq 1$;

(b) $G$ has a profinite integral, i.e., there exists a profinite group $K$ such that $G = K'$.

**Proof** Since every $G(n)$ is a characteristic subgroup of $G$, (5) implies that $G/G(n) = (K/G(n))'$. Since $G$ is finitely generated, $G(n)$ is an open subgroup of $G$ for every $n$ (cf. Remark 8.1), and thus $G/G(n)$ is a finite subgroup of the profinite group $K/G(n)$. Hence, also $(K/G(n))'$ is a finite subgroup of $K/G(n)$, and thus

$$
(K/G(n))' = (K/G(n))'.
$$

— observe that every finite subgroup of a profinite group is closed, as profinite groups are totally disconnected (cf. [17, Theorem 2.1.3:(b)]). Therefore,
\[ \frac{G}{G(n)} = \left( \frac{K}{G(n)} \right)', \] and this shows the implication (b) \( \Rightarrow \) (a). So we proceed in proving (a) \( \Rightarrow \) (b).

Thus, let \( G \) be a finitely generated profinite group, and suppose that \( G/G(n) \) is integrable for every \( n \geq 1 \). For every \( n \geq 1 \), we write \( Q_n = G/G(n) \) and denote by \( \pi_n : G \to Q_n \) the natural projection.

Given an index \( i \geq 1 \) suppose that \( Z(Q_{i+n}) \not\subseteq \pi_{i+n}(G(i)) \) for every \( n \geq 0 \). Then, as \( G/G(i) \) is finite, there exists \( x \in G \), with \( 1 \neq xG(i) \in Z(Q_i) \) such that \( \pi_{i+n}(x) \in Z(Q_{i+n}) \) for infinitely many \( n \geq 0 \).

Then, as \( G/G(i) \) is finite, there exists \( x \in G \), with \( 1 \neq xG(i) \in Z(Q_i) \) such that \( \pi_{i+n}(x) \in Z(Q_{i+n}) \) for infinitely many \( n \geq 0 \).

Then \( [x, G] \leq \bigcap_{n \geq 0} G(i+n) = 1 \) and so \( x \) is a non-trivial central element of \( G \), which is a contradiction. Therefore, for every \( i \geq 1 \) there exists \( i^* \geq i+1 \) such that \( G(i)/G(j) \geq Z(Q_j) \) for every \( j \geq i^* \), and we may thus select an infinite subset \( I = \{i_1, i_2, \ldots \} \) of positive integers such that \( i_1 < i_2 < \ldots \) and \( \pi_j(G(i_n)) \geq Z(Q_{i_m}) \) for every \( i_n, i_m \in I \) with \( n < m \). For each \( n \geq 1 \), we write \( G_n = Q_{i_n} \); so that \( G_n \) is a quotient of \( G_{n+1} \) modulo a characteristic subgroup; we also set \( \mathcal{J}(G_n) = \{H \mid H \text{ is a section of } \text{Aut}(G_{n+1}) \text{ and } H' \cong G_n \} \).

By Lemma 8.5 and the fact that \( G_{n+1} \) is integrable, \( \mathcal{J}(G_n) \) is not empty, and finite; moreover, for every \( Y \in \mathcal{J}(G_{n+1}) \) there are a uniquely defined \( Y^* \in \mathcal{J}(G_n) \) and a surjective homomorphism \( Y \to Y^* \). For every \( n \geq 1 \), and every pair \( (H_n, H_{n+1}) \in \mathcal{J}(G_n) \times \mathcal{J}(G_{n+1}) \), we then write an arrow \( H_n \to H_{n+1} \) if \( H_n = H_{n+1}^* \). This gives rise to an infinite locally finite directed tree which, by König’s Lemma, has an infinite path

\[ H_1 \to H_2 \to \ldots \to H_n \to \ldots \]

Then (reversing the arrows) for each \( n \geq 1 \), there exists a surjective homomorphism \( H_{n+1} \to H_n \), and by taking compositions we have, for every \( 1 \leq n < m \), a surjective homomorphism \( \psi_{m,n} : H_m \to H_n \). Let

\[ K := \varprojlim H_n \]

be the profinite group associated to the inverse system thus defined. Since \( G_n = G/G(i_n) \cong H_n' \) for every \( n \geq 1 \), and

\[ G = \varprojlim G_n = \varprojlim G/G(i_n) \]
(cf. Remark 8.1), Proposition 8.2 implies that $G \cong K'$, and thus $K$ is a profinite integral of $G$. □

**Example 8.7** (finite groups) For $n \geq 2$, let $X_n$ be an elementary abelian 2-group of order $2^n$; the number of maximal subgroups of $X_n$ is $\nu(n) = 2^n - 1$. Let $M_1, M_2, \ldots M_{\nu(n)}$ be the distinct maximal subgroups of $X_n$; let $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_{\nu(n)}$ be distinct odd primes, and for each $i = 1, \ldots, \nu(n)$, let $\langle a_i \rangle$ be a cyclic group of order $p_i$. We let $X_n$ act on the cyclic group

$$A_n = \langle a_1 \rangle \times \cdots \times \langle a_{\nu(n)} \rangle$$

by setting $C_{X_n}(a_i) = M_i$ and $X_n/M_i$ act as the inversion map on $\langle a_i \rangle$, for every $i = 1, 2, \ldots, \nu(n)$. We now consider the semidirect product $H_n = A_n \rtimes X_n$. Then $H_n$ is an integral of the cyclic group $A_n$, and $H_n/A_n$ is $n$-generated.

But for every subgroup $R$ with $A_n \leq R < H_n$ we have $C_{A_n}(R) \neq 1$, and so $R' < A_n$. Thus, $H_n$ is a minimal integral of $A_n$ and, in particular, does not contain any integral of $A_n$ with less than $n$ generators.

**Example 8.8** (profinite groups) We first partition the set $\mathcal{D}$ of all odd primes in a countable union of disjoint sets $\mathcal{D} = \bigcup_{n \geq 2} \mathcal{D}_n$, with $|\mathcal{D}_n| = 2^n - 1$ for every $n \geq 2$. Then, for every $n \geq 2$, we consider the group $H_n$ as constructed in Example 8.7 — with $\{p_n, 1, \ldots, p_n, \nu(n)\} = \mathcal{D}_n$ and $A_n = \langle a_{n,1}, \ldots, a_{n,\nu(n)} \rangle$ —, and set $H = \varprojlim_{n \geq 2} H_n$. Then $H$, endowed with the product topology, is a profinite group. In particular, one has

$$H = \lim_{\leftarrow n} \left( \prod_{i=2}^n A_i \rtimes \left( \prod_{i=2}^n X_i \right) \right) = A \rtimes X,$$

where the epimorphisms $\prod_{i=2}^n A_i \twoheadrightarrow \prod_{i=2}^m A_i$ and $\prod_{i=2}^n X_i \twoheadrightarrow \prod_{i=2}^m X_i$, for $n \geq m$, are the canonical projections. Observe that $X := \varprojlim_{n} \left( \prod_{i=1}^n X_i \right)$ is a pro-$2$-Sylow subgroup of $H$; while

$$A := \lim_{\leftarrow n} \left( \prod_{i=2}^n A_i \right) \cong \left\{ (k_p)_{p \in \mathcal{D}} \in \varprojlim_{p \in \mathcal{D}} \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \right\},$$

whose cardinality is a supernatural number prime to 2. Moreover, since

$$\hat{\mathbb{Z}} = \left\{ (k_n)_{n \geq 2} \in \varprojlim_{n \geq 2} \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \mid k_n \equiv k_m \mod m \text{ for } m \mid n \right\},$$
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one has an epimorphism of profinite groups $\phi : \hat{\mathbb{Z}} \to A$, so that $A$ is pro-cyclic, generated (as a profinite group) by the “diagonal” element

$$\bar{a} := (a_{n,i})_{n \geq 2, 1 \leq i \leq \nu(n)} = \phi(1).$$

Since $A_n = H'_n$ for every $n \geq 2$ (cf. Example 8.7), one has $H' = A$ by Proposition 8.2.

On the other hand, we observe that $A$ does not coincide with $H'$, the abstract derived group of $H$. To see that, observe first that $H'$ is the subgroup generated by all commutators $[b, x]$ with $b \in A$, $x \in X$. We claim that $\bar{a}$ cannot be expressed as a product of a finite number of such commutators. Indeed, set $h = [b_1, x_1] \cdots [b_r, x_r]$ for some $b_1, \ldots, b_r \in A$ and $x_1, \ldots, x_r \in X$, and $r \geq 1$. Pick $n$ such that $r < \nu(n)$, and let $\pi_n : A \to A_n$ and $\tau_n : X \to X_n$ denote the projections onto the $n$-th component. Then

$$\pi_n(h) = [\pi_n(b_1), \tau_n(x_1)] \cdots [\pi_n(b_r), \tau_n(x_r)] = a_{n,i_1}^{s_{i_1}} \cdots a_{n,i_r}^{s_{i_r}},$$

for some $1 \leq i_j \leq \nu(n)$ and $1 \leq s_{i_j} \leq p_{n,i_j}$ for every $j = 1, \ldots, r$. Since $\pi_n(\bar{a}) = a_{n,1} \cdots a_{n,\nu(n)}$, and $r < \nu(n)$, the elements $a_{n,i_1}, \ldots, a_{n,i_r}$ are less than $\nu(n)$, and hence they are not enough to generate $\pi_n(\bar{a})$, so that $h \neq \bar{a}$. Therefore, $\bar{a}$ does not belong to the abstract derived group $H'$.

8.2 Products

In [1], we asked whether the group $D_8 \times D_8$ has an integral. Here, we answer this negatively, in a strong form: no finite direct power of a non-abelian dihedral group has an integral.

**Proposition 8.9** Let $n \geq 3$ and let $G$ be a normal subgroup of the group $H$, with $G \cong (D_{2n})^m$ (the direct product of $m$ copies of the dihedral group $D_{2n}$). Then

$$G \cap H' < G.$$

**Proof** For $i = 1, \ldots, m$, set

$$G_i = \langle y_i, x_i \mid y_i^2 = x_i^2 = 1, y_i^{r_i} = y^{-1} \rangle,$$

and $G = G_1 \times \cdots \times G_m$. Let $A = \langle y_1, \ldots, y_m \rangle$; then $A$ is a characteristic abelian subgroup of $G$, it is homocyclic of type $n^m$, and $C_G(A) = A$.
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Let $K = C_H(A)$; then $K \leq H$ and $K \cap G = A$. Moreover, $H/K$ embeds in $\text{Aut}(A)$, which is isomorphic to the group $\text{GL}(m, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ of all invertible $m \times m$ matrices over the ring $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$.

Let $\bar{x}_i$ be the image of $(x_1, 1, \ldots, 1)K$ in $\text{GL}(m, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$. Then $\bar{x}_i$ acts on $A$ as the matrix

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
-1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 1
\end{bmatrix},
$$

and in particular $\det(\bar{x}_i) = -1$. This means that $\bar{x}_i$ does not belong to the derived subgroup of $\text{GL}(m, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ and so it cannot possibly belong to the derived group $(H/K)' = H'K/K$. Thus, $(x_1, 1, \ldots, 1) \in G \setminus H'$. □

Observe that, in the previous Proposition, the group $H$ need not be finite.

**Corollary 8.10** For every $n \geq 3$ and $m \geq 1$ the direct sum $(D_{2n})^m$ does not have an integral.

Now we use the above result to construct a profinite group which has an integral, but does not have a profinite integral, or even a residually finite integral. Our group is

$$G = \text{Car}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} G_n$$

where, for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $G_n \cong D_8 = \langle y_n, x_n \mid y_n^4 = x_n^2 = 1, y_n x_n y_n^{-1} \rangle$, and $\text{Car}$ denotes the unrestricted Cartesian product — so, $G$ is profinite by Remark 8.11 below. We identify $G_n$ with the $n$-th coordinate subgroup of $G$, while for a generic element of $G$, we write $\bar{g} = (g_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$, with $g_n \in G_n$. We also set $u_n = y_n^2$, for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$; thus

$$Z(G) = G' = \text{Car}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle u_n \rangle.$$

**Remark 8.11** Let $H$ be a finite group, and set $G = \text{Car}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} G_n$, where $G_n \cong H$ for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and consider every $G_n$ as a discrete group. Then $G$, endowed with the product topology, is a profinite group — namely, every open subset $U$ of $G$ has the shape

$$U = \left( \text{Car}_{n \in I} U_n \right) \times \left( \text{Car}_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus I} G_n \right)$$
for some finite subset $I \subset \mathbb{Z}$, and some subset $U_n \subseteq G_n$ for every $n \in I$. One may see $G$ as the projective limit of a directed system of finite groups as follows. Write $\mathbb{Z} = \{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_n, \ldots\}$, and for every $n \geq 1$ set $P_n = \prod_{k=1}^{n} G_{i_k}$, endowed with the canonical projections $\varphi_{n,m} : P_n \to P_m$, with $\ker(\varphi_{n,m}) = \prod_{k=m+1}^{n} G_{i_k}$, for every $n > m$. Then \{\(P_n, \varphi_{n,m}\)\} makes up a directed system of finite groups, and $G = \lim_{\leftarrow n \geq 1} P_n$.

**Lemma 8.12** The unrestricted wreath product $D_8 \wr \mathbb{Z}$ is an integral of $G$.

**Proof** By a result of Peter Neumann [15, Corollary 5.3], the derived subgroup of the unrestricted wreath product $D_8 \wr \mathbb{Z}$ is exactly the base group, which by definition is isomorphic to $G$. □

**Proposition 8.13** No integral of $G$ is residually finite.

**Proof** Let

$$L = \{y_n^{\pm 1} \bar{u} \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}, \bar{u} \in Z(G)\};$$

then easy considerations show that $L$ is the set of all elements $\bar{g} \in G$ such that $|\bar{g}| = 4$ and $\langle \bar{g} \rangle \lhd G$.

Suppose that the group $H$ is an integral of $G$. Then, for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $h \in H$, $y_n^h \in L$, that is, $y_n^h = y_j^{\pm 1} \bar{u}$, for some $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\bar{u} \in Z(G)$. Consequently,

$$u_n^h = (y_n^h)^2 = u_j.$$

This proves that, by conjugation, $H$ acts as a group of permutations on the set $X = \{u_n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$.

Assume now, for a contradiction, that $H$ is residually finite. Let $N$ be a normal subgroup of finite index in $H$ such that $G_0 \cap N = 1$, and $M = G \cap N$.

Then, for every $h \in N$, $y_0^{-1} y_0^h = [y_0, h] \in M$, that is, $y_0^h = y_0 \bar{g}$, with $\bar{g} \in M$. On the other hand, as observed before, $y_0^h = y_j^{\pm 1} \bar{u}$, for some $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\bar{u} \in Z(G)$. Suppose that $j \neq 0$. Then, since $M$ is normal in $G$, it contains

$$[\bar{g}, x_0] = (y_0^{-1} y_0^h)^{-1} (y_0^{-1} y_0^h) x_0 = (y_0^{-1} y_j \bar{u})^{-1} (y_0^{-1} y_j \bar{u}) x_0 = y_0 y_j^{-1} \bar{u} \cdot y_0 y_j \bar{u} = u_0,$$

which is a contradiction. Thus, $y_0^h = y_0^{\pm 1} \bar{u}$, and $u_0^h = (y_0^h)^2 = u_0$. Therefore, $N \leq C_H(u_0)$; since $|H : N|$ is finite, the $H$-orbit of $u_0$ by conjugation is finite.
Let $I$ be the finite subset of $\mathbb{Z}$ such that $u_0^H = \{u_i \mid i \in I\}$; then write $D = \text{Dir}_j G_j$ and $Z_s = \text{Car}_j \langle u_j \rangle$. As $Z_s$ is central in $G$, we have $Z_s \leq G$. We claim that $D_s = DZ_s = DZ(G)$ is normal in $H$.

For every $i \in I$ and $h \in H$ we have $y_i^h = y_i^{h+1} \bar{u}$, for some $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\bar{u} \in Z(G)$; as $u_i^h = (y_i^h)^2 = u_i$ belongs to $u_i^H = u_0^H$, we have $t \in I$, whence $y_i^h \in DZ(G) = D_*$. Consider now $x_i (i \in I)$ and $y_j$ with $j \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus I$; let $h \in H$, then there exists $k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus I$ such that $[y_j, x_i^h] = [y_j^{h-1}, x_i^h] = [y_k \bar{u}, x_i^h] = [y_k, x_i^h] = 1$.

Therefore, if $Y = \text{Car}_{j \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus I} \langle y_j \rangle$, we have $x_i^h \in C_G(Y) = D \times Y$, and since $|x_i^h| = 2$,

$$x_i^h \in D \times Y^2 = D \times Z_s = D_*.$$  

As $D = \langle y_i, x_i \mid i \in I \rangle$, we have proved that $D^h \subseteq D_*$ for every $h \in H$, and consequently we have $D_s \leq H$. Now,

$$\frac{D_s}{Z_s} = \frac{DZ_s}{Z_s} \cong \frac{D}{D \cap Z_s} = D$$

is the direct sum of $|I|$ copies of the dihedral group $D_8$, and so, by Proposition 8.9 $D_*/Z_s$ is not contained in the derived group of $H/Z_s$. Thus, $D_* \not\leq H' = G$, which is the final contradiction. \hfill $\square$

### 8.3 Cartesian products and periodic integrals

By [15, Corollary 5.3], we see that the unrestricted wreath product of $S_3$ with $\mathbb{Z}$ is an integral of the Cartesian product, thus we investigate periodic integrals of profinite groups in this section.

**Proposition 8.14** Let $G_n \cong S_3$ for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then the group $G = \text{Car}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} G_n$ does not have periodic integrals.

**Proof** For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $G_n = \langle y_n, x_n \mid y_n^3 = x_n^2 = 1, y_n x_n = y_n^{-1} \rangle$. We identify $G_n$ with the $n$-th coordinate subgroup of $G$, while for a generic element of $G$, we write $\bar{g} = (g_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$, with $g_n \in G_n$. Let $S = \langle \langle y_n \rangle \mid n \in \mathbb{Z} \rangle$; then $S$ is the set of all normal subgroups of $G$ of order 3, thus, in particular, every automorphism of $G$ permutes the elements of $S$.  
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Let the group $H$ be an integral of $G$, and assume, by contradiction, that $H$ is periodic. Now, since it is contained in $G = H'$, $x_0$ is the product of a finite number of commutators in $H$, so there exists $G < N \leq H$ with $x_0 \in N'$ and $N/G$ finitely generated, and, because $H/G$ is periodic abelian, $N/G$ is finite. As $\langle y_0 \rangle \in S$, it follows that the $N$-conjugation orbit of $\langle y_0 \rangle$ is finite.

Let $I$ be the finite subset of $\mathbb{Z}$ such that $\{ \langle y_i \rangle \mid i \in I \}$ is the $N$-orbit of $\langle y_0 \rangle$, and set $D = \text{Dir}_{i \in I} G_i$.

Let $C = \text{Car}_{j \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus I} \langle y_j \rangle$ so that $C \times D' = \text{Car}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle y_n \rangle = G' = H''$. We show that $D_* := DC = D \times C$ is normal in $N$. We have just observed that $CD'$ is normal in $H$; thus, consider $x_i$ with $i \in I$, and $g \in N$. Now, for every $j \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus I$, $\langle y^{g^{-1}} \rangle = \langle y_k \rangle$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus I$, whence

$$y_j^g = (y_j^{g^{-1}})^{x_i g} = (y_j^{g^{-1}})^g = y_j.$$

Therefore, $x_i^g \in C_{G(C)} = D \times C = D_*$. Moreover, if $h \in N$ is such that $\langle y_i \rangle = \langle y_0 \rangle^h$, that is $y_0^h = y_i^\epsilon$ with $\epsilon \in \{1, -1\}$, then

$$(y_i^\epsilon)^{x_i} = y_i^{-\epsilon} = (y_0^{-1})^h = (y_0^h)^{x_0^\epsilon} = (y_0^\epsilon)^{x_0},$$

showing that $x_0^h \in x_i C_{D_*}(y_i)$; similarly, $x_0^h \in \bigcap_{i \neq k \in I} C_{D_*}(y_k) = G_i G'$, and therefore $x_0^h \in x_i G'$, yielding in particular $x_i \in N'$, since $G' \leq N'$ and $x_0^h \in N'$. Hence $x_i^g \in N' \cap D_*$ for every $g \in N$.

Since $D_*$ is generated by $G' \leq N'$ and $\{ x_i \}_{i \in I} \subseteq N'$ and that all of their $N$-conjugates still live in $D_*$, then $D_* \leq N'$ is normal in $N$. Finally,

$$\frac{D_*}{C} = \frac{DC}{C} \cong \frac{D}{D \cap C} = D$$

is the direct sum of $|I|$ copies of the dihedral group $S_3$, and so by Proposition 8.9, $D_*/C$ is not contained in the derived group of $N/C$, a contradiction to the fact that $D_* \leq N'$.

\section{Questions}

We begin with a solution to Question 10.1 in our previous paper \[\text{[1]}\] by Efthymios Sofos. We are grateful to him for permission to publish it here.
Theorem 9.1 (Sofos) The number of integers $n$ with $1 < n < x$ for which every group of order $n$ is integrable is asymptotically

$$e^{-\gamma} \frac{x}{\log \log \log x},$$

where $\gamma$ is the Euler–Mascheroni constant.

Proof (outline) This follows by a modification of the proof of the result of Erdős [4] for the number of integers $n$ for which every group of order $n$ is cyclic. This can be found as Theorem 11.23 in the book [12] (which we follow closely). One has to replace property (i) by “$n$ is cube-free” and leave property (ii) as is. Then define $A_p(x)$ as the number of “integrable” $n \leq x$ such that the least prime divisor of $n$ is $p$. It is shown in pages 387 and 388 that

$$\sum_{p \leq \log \log x} A_p(x) = O(x (\log \log x)^{-2}),$$

but in fact only property (ii) is used for this. So the same proof holds for our case as well.

The rest of the proof needs only small modification: replace

If $n$ does not satisfy (i), there is a prime $p$ with $p^2 | n$. The number of such $n \leq x$ is at most $\lfloor x/p^2 \rfloor \leq x/p^2$. Hence the total number of $n$ in $\Phi(x, y)$ for which (i) fails is not more than $x \sum_{p > y} p^{-2} \ll x/(y \log y)$.

by

If $n$ does not satisfy (i), there is a prime $p$ with $p^3 | n$. The number of such $n \leq x$ is at most $\lfloor x/p^3 \rfloor \leq x/p^3$. Hence the total number of $n$ in $\Phi(x, y)$ for which (i) fails is not more than $x \sum_{p > y} p^{-3} \leq \sum_{p > y} p^{-2} \ll x/(y \log y)$. \hfill \Box

Now we turn to some further open questions arising from this paper.

Question 9.2 (Section 2) Find a bound, or a procedure for calculating one, for the order of the integral of an integrable finite group of order $n$.

Question 9.3 (Section 3) Find classes of groups $G$ for which the condition $\text{Inn}(G) \leq \text{Aut}(G)'$ is sufficient for integrability. We note that this is true in two extreme cases, abelian groups and perfect groups.
Question 9.4 (Section 4) Theorem 4.2(b) shows that a non-abelian $p$-group whose derived group has index $p^2$ is not $p$-integrable. Is there a non-abelian $p$-integrable $p$-group whose Frattini subgroup has index $p^2$ (that is, one which is 2-generated)?

Question 9.5 (Section 5) Is the following true? The finite or countable abelian 2-group $G$ is finitely integrable if and only if it has subgroups $A, B, F$ such that

$$G \cong A \times A \times A \times B \times B \times F,$$

where $F$ is the direct product of a divisible group and a finite group.

In particular, is it true that a direct sum of finite cyclic groups is finitely integrable if and only if the set of natural numbers $n$ for which the cyclic group $C_{2^n}$ has multiplicity 1 in the product is finite?

Question 9.6 (Section 5) Is it true that integrability of a reduced $p$-group of arbitrary cardinality is determined by its Ulm–Kaplansky invariants?

Question 9.7 (Section 6) Let $V$ be a finitely based variety of groups. We know that the class of all integrals of groups in $V$ is a variety. Is it finitely based?

Question 9.8 (Section 6)

(a) Is it true that all groups of exponent $p$ and class at most $p - 1$ are integrable?

(b) Is it true that, if $p$ and $q$ are primes with $p \nmid q - 1$, then every group in the variety $A_qA_p$ is integrable?

Question 9.9 (Section 8) Let $G$ be a finitely generated profinite group $G$ and let $G(n)$ be as in Remark 8.1. Does $G$ have a (profinite) integral if and only if $G/G(n)$ has an integral for every $n \geq 1$? (Theorem 8.6 shows that this is true if $Z(G) = 1$.)

Question 9.10 (Section 8)

(a) Does there exist a countable integrable locally finite group which does not have a periodic integral?

(b) Is it true that every integrable finitely generated periodic group has a periodic (finitely generated) integral?

(c) Which infinite periodic groups have a (periodic) integral? For example, what about Grigorchuk’s first group?
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