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The reproductive phase-dependent and sex-related differential expression of leptin (*lep*) and its receptor (*lepr*) in primary and secondary lymphoid organs of a highly nutritive economically important *Channa punctata* preempts the involvement of sex steroids in modulating intra-immuno-leptin system. This hypothesis was strengthened when plasma testosterone (T) and estradiol (E2) levels in male and female fish of reproductively active spawning and quiescent phases were correlated with *lep* and *lepr* expression in their immune organs. Splenic *lep* and *lepr* showed a negative correlation with T in both male and female, while with E2 there was a positive correlation in male and negative in female *C. punctata*. In head kidney, a contrasting correlation was observed as compared to spleen. To validate the implication of sex steroids in regulating leptin system in immune organs, *in vivo* and *in vitro* experiments were performed with DHT and E2. Upon administration, *lep* and *lepr* expression in tissues of either sex was downregulated. In addition, *in vitro* results with either of the sex steroids exemplified their direct involvement. Overall, this study, for the first time, reports correlation between sex steroids and transcript expression of leptin system in immune organs of a seasonally breeding vertebrate.

Leptin encoded by obese gene *Lep* also known as *Ob* is a 16 kDa non-glycosylated protein belonging to class I cytokine family and is primarily produced from adipose tissue in mammals. It is reported to act through long form of membrane-bound leptin receptor (*Lepr*). Besides acting as a central link between feeding, adiposity and energy homeostasis, leptin regulates several other physiological functions in mammals including reproduction and immunity. The orthologs of *Lep* and *Lepr* have been identified in several teleosts in which liver, and not the adipocytes, is reported to be the major leptin-expressing organ. Regarding physiological significance, hepatic *lep* is suggested to be majorly involved in orchestration of energy trade-off rather than regulating food intake in fishes. In addition to liver, expression of *lep* is shown in other tissues, including immune organs. Since immune defence varies with state of reproductive activity in seasonally breeding vertebrates, an effort needs to be made to examine correlation between leptin, immunity and reproduction.

In addition, sexual dimorphism is unveiled in levels of leptin in blood plasma and adipose tissue in mammals, being significantly higher in females than males. Interestingly, these sex-related differences in leptin protein and mRNA levels exist regardless of the amount of body fat. This led to hypothesize the involvement of sex steroids in modulating leptin expression. With regard to sexually dimorphic expression of leptin receptor, reports in mammals are limited and present contradictory results. In teleosts, studies on sex-related variation in plasma levels of leptin or expression of *lep* and *lepr* have been meagrely explored. In recent years, efforts have been made to understand the role of sex steroids in dimorphic variation in expression of *lep* and *lepr* in liver of fishes. Regarding reproductive phase-dependent expression of *lep* and its receptor, a single study is available in teleost. However, sex-related reproductive state-dependent expression of leptin and leptin receptor
and their transcriptional regulation remain unexplored in immune organs of vertebrates in spite of the fact that leptin is reported to coordinate seasonal immune responses as a neuroendocrine mediator31. In view of this, the present study was aimed to investigate the differential expression of lep and lepr in primary as well as secondary lymphoid organs, head kidney and spleen, respectively, depending on reproductive phases in both the sexes of spotted snakehead Channa punctata. Also, attempt was made to demonstrate sex-related variation in expression of lep and lepr, and their transcriptional regulation by sex steroids in the immune organs of C. punctata.

Results

Reproductive phase-dependent expression in male and female lymphoid organs. Spleen. The expression of lep and lepr during different reproductive phases in both, male and female C. punctata, exhibited marked variation depending on their reproductive state (one-way analysis of variance, ANOVA, p < 0.0001; Fig. 1a,b). In male, lowest lep transcripts level was observed during preparatory and spawning phases. Compared to these reproductively active phases, lep expression was considerably (p < 0.05) higher in reproductively quiescent phases, i.e., postspawning phase and resting phase. An appreciable (p < 0.05) increase in its expression observed during postspawning phase further increased significantly (p < 0.05) in resting phase (Fig. 1a). The lep expression in female was largely comparable to male, considerably (p < 0.05) lower during reproductively active than quiescent phases (Fig. 1a). In case of phase-dependent expression of lepr, unlike lep, minimal expression was recorded during postspawning phase in both the sexes (Fig. 1b). Nonetheless, maximum lepr transcript level was observed during resting phase in male as well as female. After resting phase, a sharp (p < 0.05) decline in lepr expression was detected during preparatory phase. In spawning phase, an appreciable (p < 0.05) increase in lepr level was observed compared to preparatory phase, though the level was significantly (p < 0.05) lower than resting phase in both the sexes.

Head kidney. Although expression of lep and lepr in head kidney of male and female C. punctata showed significant variation depending on reproductive phases (one-way ANOVA, p < 0.0001; Fig. 1c,d), their expression pattern was different with that of spleen. The lowest expression of lep was observed during resting phase in both male and female C. punctata (Fig. 1c). Thereafter, lep expression highly (p < 0.05) increased during preparatory phase in both the sexes. Although level of lep declined considerably (p < 0.05) during spawning phase in male as well as female, it remained unaltered until postspawning phase in male while significantly (p < 0.05) increased in female. Regarding reproductive phase-dependent expression of lepr (Fig. 1d), the expression pattern in both the sexes was found to be quite similar, with maximum transcript levels during spawning phase and minimum during preparatory and postspawning phases. In resting phase, lepr expression was considerably (p < 0.05) higher than preparatory and postspawning phases but significantly (p < 0.05) lower than spawning phase.

Sexual dimorphism in expression of lep and lepr in lymphoid organs. Sex-related differential expression of lep and lepr in spleen and head kidney during spawning and resting phases showed marked (p < 0.02) difference in their transcripts level in both the lymphoid organs only during reproductively active spawning phase (Fig. 2). During active phase, expression of lep was 2.5 to 3-fold higher in spleen (p < 0.0001) and head kidney (p = 0.0052) of male than that in respective tissues of female C. punctata (male vs female lymphoid tissue, unpaired t-test, Fig. 2a,b). Regarding sexually dimorphic expression of lepr, contrasting results were observed between primary and secondary lymphoid organs. In case of spleen, transcript level of lepr was considerably (~0.4 fold, p = 0.0115) higher in female than male while in head kidney it was 2.5-fold (p = 0.0012) higher.
in male than female fish (Fig. 2c,d). Unlike reproductively active phase, no sex-related difference in mRNA levels of lep and lepr was observed during reproductively quiescent resting phase in any lymphoid organ (Fig. 2).

Plasma level of sex steroids in reproductively active and quiescent phase female. The level of sex steroids, 17β-estradiol (E2) and testosterone (T), in female C. punctata was considerably (unpaired t-test, p < 0.01, Table 1) higher in reproductively active spawning phase as compared to quiescent resting phase.

Correlation analyses. Correlation of lep and lepr expression with sex steroids. The plasma levels of sex steroids, T and E2, in male and female C. punctata during reproductively active spawning and quiescent resting phases correlated with expression of lep and lepr in spleen and head kidney showed differential results depending on sex, specific sex steroid and type of lymphoid tissue (Fig. 3). In male, a strong positive correlation was observed between level of plasma E2 and expression of splenic lep (r = 0.8295, p = 0.0002) as well as lepr (r = 0.7761, p = 0.0011) (Fig. 3c,d) while an insignificant negative correlation was seen between plasma T and splenic lepton system (lep: r = −0.3824, p = 0.2754; lepr: r = −0.697, p = 0.051; Fig. 3a,b). Contrary to spleen, a marked negative correlation between plasma E2 levels and lep (r = −0.8285, p = 0.0003) / lepr (r = −0.6929, p = 0.006) expression (Fig. 3k,l) while a positive correlation between T and lep (r = 0.4097, p = 0.2397) / lepr (r = 0.6573, p = 0.0389) was observed in head kidney (Fig. 3i,j). In case of female, plasma levels of both the sex steroids exhibited a negative correlation with splenic lep and lepr (E2: lep: r = −0.6530, p = 0.0565; E2: lepr: r = −0.6828, p = 0.0427; T: lep: r = −0.5650, p = 0.0556; T: lepr: r = −0.6353, p = 0.0264; Fig. 3e–h) while a positive correlation with expression of lepton system in head kidney (E2: lep: r = 0.5490, p = 0.1258; E2: lepr: r = 0.7528, p = 0.0192; T: lep: r = 0.3260, p = 0.3011; T: lepr: r = 0.6779, p = 0.0154; Fig. 3m–p).

Correlation between expression of lep and its receptor (lepr). No significant correlation was observed between relative expression of lep and lepr in any of the immune organs of either male or female C. punctata during different reproductive phases (Supplementary Table S3).

Role of sex steroids in regulation of lep and lepr expression in lymphoid organs. In vivo experiment. Effect of dihydrotestosterone (DHT) on lep and lepr expression in male fish. The male C. punctata receiving varying doses of DHT (9, 45 and 90 ng per day/fish for 3 days) during resting phase exhibited dose- and tissue-related differential effect of non-aromatizable androgen on lep and lepr expression in spleen and head kidney (ANOVA, p < 0.01, Fig. 4a,b). Compared to splenic lep expression in vehicle-injected control, a marked (p < 0.05) decrease in its expression was observed after treatment with low dose (9 ng/fish/day) of DHT. The DHT-induced down-regulation became severely pronounced with an increase of its dose to 45 ng/fish/day (9 ng vs 45 ng, p < 0.05; Fig. 4a). However, the highest dose of DHT (90 ng/fish/day) was seen ineffective in influencing splenic lep expression. In case of head kidney, all the doses of DHT were effective in significantly (p < 0.05) reducing lep expression, though maximal inhibition was observed at the moderate dose of 45 ng/fish/day (Fig. 4b). Unlike lep that was inhibited by DHT in both the lymphoid organs, expression of lepr after DHT treatment showed contradictory results, a marked (p < 0.05) decline in spleen while a robust (p < 0.05) increase in head kidney. However, only the moderate dose of DHT (45 ng/fish/day) was found to be effective in modulating lepr expression in spleen whereas the lowest dose (9 ng/fish/day) in case of head kidney (Fig. 4).

Table 1. Plasma levels of 17β-estradiol (E2) and testosterone (T) in adult female C. punctata.

| Reproductive phase                          | E2 level (mean ± SEM in pg/ml) | T level (mean ± SEM in pg/ml) |
|---------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Spawning (reproductively active)            | 987.0269 ± 179.70              | 207.6 ± 53.38                 |
| Resting (reproductively quiescent)          | 134.1134 ± 5.910               | 31.61 ± 0.5421                |

Figure 2. Sex-related differential expression of (a,b) lep and (c,d) lepr in lymphoid organs during reproductively quiescent resting phase (RP) and active spawning phase (SP) of C. punctata. The relative fold change was calculated considering female as reference. Student’s unpaired t-test was employed and graphs are represented as mean fold change ± SEM (N = 8). Asterisk ‘*’ above error bars indicates significant (p < 0.02) difference in gene expression in a lymphoid tissue of male and female during same reproductive phase.
Figure 3. Showing correlation of lep and lepr expression in lymphoid organs (a–h) spleen; (i–p) head kidney of male and female with levels of their plasma sex steroids, testosterone (T) and 17β-estradiol (E2), in resting and spawning phases. The significant (p < 0.05) Pearson’s correlation coefficient (r) value is marked by asterisk (*).

Figure 4. In vivo effect of different doses of (a,b) dihydrotestosterone (DHT) and (c,d) E2 on lep and lepr expression in lymphoid organs of male and female C. punctata, respectively (N = 8 for each dose of sex steroid in male or female). The control groups received comparable volume of vehicle. The expression was calculated in fold change and expressed as mean ± SEM. Data in fold change expression was analysed by one-way ANOVA and compared by SNK test. Different alphabets or numerals on error bars indicate significant (p < 0.05) difference between the expression of lep or lepr, respectively, in different groups.

Effect of 17β-estradiol (E2) on lep and lepr expression in female fish. Treatment of female C. punctata with different doses of E2 during resting phase resulted in a marked (one-way ANOVA, p = 0.0035) decrease of lep expression in spleen at all the doses (50, 250 or 500 ng E2/fish/day for 3 days), though a significant (p < 0.05) decline in splenic lepr was observed only at the dose of 250 ng/fish/day when compared to that of vehicle-injected female control (Fig. 4c). Unlike spleen, in head kidney E2 treatment failed to affect lep expression (p > 0.05) at any of its dose while considerably (one-way ANOVA, p = 0.0012) increased lepr expression at the doses of 50 and 250 ng/fish/day (Fig. 4d).
Figure 5. Criss-cross in vivo experiments showing effect of (a,b) male sex steroid DHT in female and (c,d) female sex steroid E₂ in male, on lep and lepr expression in lymphoid organs (N = 8 for each dose of sex steroid in male or female). Values expressed in fold change (mean ± SEM) bearing different superscripts (alphabets for lep and numerals for lepr) on error bars differ significantly (one-way ANOVA followed by SNK test, p < 0.05).

Figure 6. In vitro effect of different concentrations of (a,b) DHT and (c,d) E₂ on lep and lepr expression in lymphoid organs of male and female C. punctata, respectively. The culture was run in hexaplicate (N = 6) for 12 h. The expression is shown as mean fold change ± SEM where different superscripts (alphabets for lep and numerals for lepr) on error bars indicate significant (p < 0.05) difference between the groups (one-way ANOVA followed by SNK test).

Criss-cross experiment: effect of DHT in female and E₂ in male fish. The administration of DHT in female fish of resting phase led to a significant (p < 0.0001) decrease of lep as well as lepr expression in both the immune organs, spleen and head kidney when compared to vehicle-injected female control, with an exception at the dose of 90 ng/ fish/day for lepr in head kidney where no marked alteration in its expression was observed (Fig. 5a,b). Like inhibitory effect of the DHT in female, E₂ administration in male caused a marked (p < 0.01) decline in lep and lepr expression in spleen as well as head kidney when compared to their expression in respective immune organ of vehicle-injected male fish of resting phase (Fig. 5c,d).

In vitro experiment. Effect of DHT on male lymphoid organs. The pieces of spleen incubated with different concentrations of DHT (p < 0.0001) showed concentration-related dual effects on lep expression, stimulatory (p < 0.05) at the lowest concentration while inhibitory (p < 0.05) at the highest concentration when compared to that incubated in medium alone (control). However, on splenic lepr expression, DHT had marked (p < 0.0001) inhibitory effect at all the concentrations (Fig. 6a). In case of head kidney, expression of both, lep and lepr, considerably (p < 0.0001) decreased after incubation with varying concentrations of DHT, except 34.4 μM for lepr (Fig. 6b).

Effect of E₂ on female lymphoid organs. The expression of lep and lepr in spleen and head kidney incubated with varying concentration (0.36, 3.67 and 36.7 μM) of E₂ exhibited differential results (Fig. 6c,d). In spleen, E₂ significantly (p < 0.05) inhibited the expression of lepr at all the concentrations while it appreciably (p < 0.05) augmented the expression of lep at the lowest concentration and failed to alter at the subsequent higher concentrations (Fig. 6c). In contrast to spleen, in head kidney E₂ markedly (p < 0.05) reduced lep expression at all the concentrations though failed (p = 0.2039) to affect lepr expression at any of its concentration (Fig. 6d).

Criss-cross experiment: effect of DHT on female and E₂ on male lymphoid organs: The fragments of lymphoid organs from female incubated with different concentrations (0.68, 3.44 and 34.4 μM) of DHT showed an
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|     | Control | DHT concentration (µM) |     | Control | E₂ concentration (µM) |     | Control | E₂ concentration (µM) |
|-----|---------|------------------------|-----|---------|------------------------|-----|---------|------------------------|
|     | 0.68    | 3.44                   |     | 0.36    | 3.67                   |     | 0.36    | 3.67                   |
| a   | 0.68    | 3.44                   | b   | 0.68    | 3.44                   | a   | 0.36    | 3.67                   |
| a,1 | 0.68    | 3.44                   | b,1 | 0.68    | 3.44                   | a   | 0.36    | 3.67                   |
| a,1 | 0.68    | 3.44                   | b,1 | 0.68    | 3.44                   | a   | 0.36    | 3.67                   |

Figure 7. Criss-cross in vitro experiments where (a,b) splenic and head kidney tissues from female were incubated with different concentrations of DHT while (c,d) male tissues were incubated with varying concentrations of E₂. For each concentration of DHT/E₂/control, culture was run in hexaplicate (N = 6). Effect of sex steroids on lep and lepr expression in spleen and head kidney of both the sexes are expressed in fold change. Data were analysed by one-way ANOVA, compared by SNK test and represented as mean ± SEM. Values with different superscripts (alphabets for lep and numerals for lepr) indicate significant (p < 0.05) differences between the groups.

appreciable (p < 0.05) increase in splenic lep and lepr expression only at the lowest concentration (Fig. 7a) as their expression at subsequent higher concentrations were comparable to that incubated in medium alone (control). Unlike spleen, DHT significantly inhibited the expression of both, lep (p < 0.0001) and lepr (p = 0.0013), in head kidney (Fig. 7b). In case of male, pieces of lymphoid organs incubated with varying concentrations of E₂ exhibited dual effects (p < 0.0001) on splenic lep expression, stimulatory at lower concentration (0.36 µM; p < 0.05) while inhibitory at higher concentrations (3.67 and 36.7 µM; p < 0.05). However, expression of splenic lepr decreased considerably (p < 0.0001) at all the concentrations of E₂ when compared to control (Fig. 7c). With regard to expression of leptin system in head kidney, an inconsistent effect of E₂ was observed on lep expression, inhibitory (p < 0.05) at 0.36 and 36.7 µM concentrations while no effect at 3.67 µM. On lepr, an appreciable (p < 0.05) increase was recorded at the highest concentration of E₂ (Fig. 7d).

Discussion

The present study aimed to investigate the reproductive phase-dependent and sex-related variations in expression of leptin and leptin receptor in primary and secondary lymphoid organs, head kidney and spleen, respectively, of an adult Channa punctata. The sexually dimorphic expression of lep and lepr during reproductively active but not during the quiescent phase, led the authors to hypothesize the involvement of sex steroids in modulating transcript level of leptin and its receptor. Therefore, in vivo and in vitro experiments were undertaken to elucidate the role of dihydrotestosterone and 17β-oestradiol on the expression of lep and lepr in spleen as well as head kidney of adult male and female C. punctata.

The expression of leptin and its receptor has been demonstrated in lymphoid organs of a few fishes. Likewise, an intense expression of lep and lepr was observed in spleen and head kidney of C. punctata though the pattern varied from secondary to primary lymphoid organ. Recent studies in fishes have also shown marked difference between spleen and head kidney with respect to expression pattern of immune-related genes and their respective proteins in response to bacterial infection. These differences have been attributed to differential role of primary and secondary lymphoid organs as teleostean spleen is proposed to be majorly involved in cellular responses while head kidney in humoral responses. Another possibility could be due to differences in mRNA turnover of lep and lepr between two lymphoid organs as mRNA turnover has been suggested to be dependent on RNA binding proteins (RBPs) and expression of these RBPs is reported to vary from tissue to tissue in humans. Nonetheless, expression of lep and lepr in spleen and head kidney point towards the localized role of leptin system in fish immune organs. Till date, only two studies have been conducted in fishes highlighting the direct implication of leptin in immune responses. Leptin knockdown in zebrafish caused an increase in bacterial load due to marked reduction in ability to fight pathogens. Another study reports decreased superoxide production from blood leucocytes of trout when incubated with homologous leptin under in vitro condition. Moreover, in mammals, role of leptin in regulating immune functions is well demonstrated where it is shown to modulate both the arms of immunity, innate as well as adaptive. Taken together, presumptive immunomodulatory role of leptin is speculated in C. punctata.

Interestingly, lep and lepr expression during different reproductive phases has not been estimated so far in lymphoid organs of fishes or any other seasonally breeding vertebrate despite the fact that immune defence process varies depending on season. The current study for the first time reports reproductive phase-dependent variation in lep and lepr expression in lymphoid organs of vertebrates. In spleen of male C. punctata, expression of lep remained considerably low during preparatory and spawning phases while high in resting and postspawning phases. The reproductive phase-dependent pattern of splenic lep expression in female C. punctata was largely comparable to that of male. Also, male and female C. punctata exhibited similar expression pattern for splenic lepr, being high in resting and low in preparatory and postspawning phases. In case of male head kidney, level of
leptin remained low during resting, spawning and postspawning phases while substantially high during preparatory phase. The pattern of leptin expression in head kidney of female during different reproductive phases was similar to that of male, except postspawning phase. Regarding head kidney lepr, the expression pattern in both the sexes was found to be identical along the reproductive phases. These observations in the present study led to speculate a correlation between reproductive phase-dependent variations in expression of leptin and lepr in lymphoid organs and relative concentration of androgen and estrogen in male and female C. punctata. In male C. punctata, a peak of T and 11-ketotestosterone was attained during preparatory phase while E2 in resting phase.30 Both T and E2 remained high during preparatory phase of female C. punctata. Further, our assumption gets support from studies in mammals where male and female sex steroids are shown to have opposite effects on leptin production from adipose tissue.17,18,41. As far as interrelation between levels of plasma sex steroids and leptin system in immune organs of seasonally breeders is concerned, leptin did not correspond to lepr in both male and female C. punctata depending on reproductive phase and lymphoid tissue. Similar to the findings of present study, expression pattern of leptin has been reported to be opposite to lepr in liver of Oryzias latipes, Salmo salar, Pelteobagrus fulvidraco, Epinephelus coioides and Oreochromis niloticus.13 Although possible explanation for opposite expression pattern of leptin and lepr has not been proposed in these studies, one could speculate the implication of an unknown receptor-mediated mechanism as suggested by Farooqi and colleagues.44 They observed that leptin-deficient patients show more severe phenotypes as compared to lepr receptor-deficient ones. Moreover, difference between half-life of ligand and its receptor mRNA and/or alteration in binding affinity of lepr receptor depending on reproductive phase could be taken into account for reverse pattern between ligand and its receptor during postspawning phase in C. punctata.

The sexual dimorphism in plasma levels of leptin and its soluble receptor is well demonstrated in mammals. Regarding their sex-related expression in tissues, studies are largely confined to adipocytes, skeletal muscle and hypothalamus.46 The levels of leptin and its receptor were reported to be higher in females than males. However, no attempt has been made to investigate the sexually dimorphic expression of leptin and leptin receptor-deficient ones. Moreover, a contrasting expression pattern of lepr in lymphoid organs of fishes. In the present study, sexually dimorphic expression of leptin and lepr in immune organs of males. In fishes, a number of studies are available on sex-related dimorphism in levels of leptin and its receptor, and the results are inconsistent.23–26. The plasma levels of leptin in LOTa lota is shown to be high in female as compared to male before, during and after spawning season while no sex-related difference in plasma leptin levels is reported in Cyprinus carpio and Capoeta trutta.24 It is to be noted that the reproductive phase during which plasma leptin was assayed in C. carpio and C. trutta is not highlighted in the report. The efforts have also been made to examine sex-related differential expression of leptin and lepr in various tissues (brain, liver, hypothalamus, pituitary and gonads) during different stages of germ cell development in male and female Megalobrama amblycephala.25 The results varied depending on tissues and stages of spermatogenesis/ folliculogenensis. Similarly, tissue-wise sex-related marked difference in leptin expression is demonstrated in several tissues (brain, liver, gills, intestine, kidney, heart, muscle and spleen) but adipocytes in sexually immature, and also in gonads of sexually mature Tanichthys albonubes.26 This is the only study in fishes that reports sexual dimorphism in expression of leptin in lymphoid organs in which leptin transcript level is shown to be high in male than female.26 However, sex-related differential expression of lepr has not been explored so far in primary or secondary lymphoid organs of fishes. In the current study, sexually dimorphic expression of leptin and lepr was observed in spleen as well as head kidney of C. punctata during reproductively active phase when level of sex steroids remain high and not in quiescent phase when their levels remain basal. As observed in immature T. albonubes, Splenic leptin was high in male than female C. punctata. A similar pattern of leptin expression was also seen in head kidney. However, a contrasting expression pattern of leptin receptor was observed between primary and secondary lymphoid organs of C. punctata as level of lepr was recorded higher in spleen of female while head kidney of male. Taken together, we speculated the involvement of sex steroids in sexually dimorphic expression of leptin and lepr in immune organs of C. punctata.

In the present study, role of sex steroids in control of leptin and its receptor expression in immune organs of C. punctata was deduced based on observations during different reproductive phases in both the sexes and also between opposite sexes in the same reproductive phase. This inference was backed by correlation analysis between levels of plasma sex steroids and leptin as well as lepr expression in immune organs during reproductively active and quiescent phases in male and female C. punctata. Although efforts have not been made to examine an interrelationship between levels of plasma sex steroids and leptin system in immune organs of seasonally breeding vertebrates, studies in mammals have suggested that plasma leptin is negatively associated with androgens in males while positively with estradiol in females. In male C. punctata, a positive correlation between levels of plasma T and lepr was observed in primary lymphoid organ, i.e., head kidney while negative in case of secondary lymphoid organ, i.e., spleen. The relationship with E2 in male immune organs was contradictory to T, negative with lep and lepr in head kidney while positive with that in spleen. Interestingly, in female C. punctata, lep and lepr expression in spleen exhibited negative correlation with both T and E2 and vice versa in head kidney. This implies that leptin and lepr expression in immune organs depends on sex, prevalence of sex steroids and type of lymphoid organ.

The inference drawn from correlation analyses gets support from our in vivo study, including cross-experiments in which male and female C. punctata received E2 and DHT, respectively. Sex steroids, depending on dose, had either inhibitory or no effect on leptin and lepr expression in primary as well as secondary lymphoid organs of both the sexes, except on lepr in head kidney where DHT had marked stimulatory effect in male and E2 in female. Since the effect of sex steroids on lep and lepr expression remain unexplored in immune organs of vertebrates, we analysed our results in light of observations in other tissues of fishes and mammals. Our findings on leptin and lepr expression in immune organs of C. punctata are largely contrasting to a recent study in immature male Salmo salar in which testosterone is reported to increase leptin expression in liver and pituitary while plasma level of leptin has been shown to remain unchanged in androgen-treated fish. In another study, estradiol has been shown to upregulate hepatic leptin expression in a dose- and time-dependent manner in immature female as well as male T. albonubes. Regarding leptin receptor, testosterone is reported to stimulate the transcription
in pituitary but not in testis of immature male S. salar. However, 11-ketoandrostenedione had no effect on the expression of both, lep and its receptor, in any of the tissues. In mammals, male and female sex steroids have contrasting effects on expression and production of leptin from adipose tissue, inhibitory effect of androgen in male as well as female while stimulatory effect of estrogen in female. With regard to sex steroid-induced modulation of LEPR expression, studies are meagre and restricted to estrogen only. Estradiol is reported to stimulate hypothalamic LEPR expression in rat but not in heifers. Also, effect of E2 on the receptor expression is shown to vary with tissues in heifers as it has been inhibitory in uterine endometrium and mammary adipose tissue while ineffective in liver, muscle and subcutaneous adipose tissue. Based on these studies and our observations in C. punctata, it is evident that role of sex steroids in modulation of leptin and its receptor expression vary with sex, tissue and species.

In addition, in vitro experiments with DHT and E2 in the present study exemplifies direct implication of sex steroids in regulation of leptin and its receptor expression in lymphoid organs of male and female C. punctata. Although no such in vitro experiments are conducted with immune tissues of fishes or other vertebrates, culture of hepatocytes with androgen and estrogen, separately, has shown upregulation of lep expression in immature/adult male and immature female S. salar. In contrast, male as well as female sex steroids have been demonstrated to downregulate the expression of lep and its receptor in hepatocytes of immature female Cynoglossus semilaevis. Apart from fishes, direct role of sex steroids has been explored also in mammals where androgens and estrogen are shown to have differential effects, inhibitory, stimulatory or no effect, on leptin production from adipocytes. Surprisingly, in vitro study to demonstrate the effect of sex steroids on expression of leptin receptor is missing in mammals. In the current in vitro study, DHT and E2 downregulated the transcription of leptin and its receptor in spleen and head kidney of C. punctata, except DHT on lep and lepr in female spleen and E2 on lep in female spleen and lepr in male head kidney where upregulation in transcription was observed. Also, dual effects of sex steroids depending on concentration were observed on lep expression in male spleen. This largely ratify the in vivo observations that have evidenced variable role of sex steroids depending on dose/concentration, type of lymphoid organs and sex of fish, in modulating the leptin system.

Conclusion

The expression of lep and lepr in immune organs of C. punctata preempts the direct role of leptin in regulation of immune system in fishes. Also, seasonal variation in expression of lep and lepr in primary as well as secondary lymphoid organs of C. punctata point towards an axis operating between reproduction-leptin-immunity in fishes. A marked sexual dimorphism in splenic and head kidney lep and lepr expression during reproductively active phase only suggested the involvement of sex steroids in regulating leptin system of lymphoid organs. This hypothesis was validated by in vivo and in vitro experiments with sex steroids. In view of this, sex steroids emerge as important modulator of leptin system in lymphoid organs of fishes.

Materials and Methods

Reagents and culture medium. The culture medium RPMI 1640 was supplemented with 0.1 mg/ml streptomycin sulphate and 40 µg/ml gentamycin sulphate. Stock solution of sex steroids, 5α-dihydrotestosterone (DHT) and 17β-estradiol 3-benzoate (E2), were made in ethanol (1 mg/ml). Their further dilutions ranging from 10 µg/ml to 100 ng/ml were prepared in phosphate buffered saline (PBS, pH 7.4) containing above said concentrations of streptomycin and gentamycin. Finally, working concentrations of sex steroids were made in culture medium. The media of control groups contained the maximum concentration of ethanol (0.001%). Estradiol and testosterone enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (EIA) kits were purchased from Cayman Chemical.

Animals and ethics statement. Fishes (90–120 g) were procured from wild population (National Capital Region of Delhi) and acclimated to laboratory conditions for a week at 12 L:12 D prior to experiments. They were fed ad libitum with minced chicken liver. An overdose of 2-phenoxyethanol (5 ml/L water) was used to sacrifice the fish. Our protocol has been approved by the Institutional Animal Ethics Committee (DU/ZOOL/IAEC/R/2017/06), Department of Zoology, University of Delhi. All experiments were carried out following relevant guidelines and regulations of IAEC.

Differential expression of lep and lepr. Reproductive phase-dependent. On the basis of histological observations of gonads, reproductive cycle has been broadly delineated into resting, preparatory, spawning and postspawning phases in male and female Channa punctata. Fishes were procured during the peak of each reproductive phase to examine differential expression of leptin (lep) and leptin receptor (lepr) in primary and secondary lymphoid organ, head kidney and spleen, respectively. In each reproductive phase, spleen and head kidney from male and female fish (N = 8 for each sex) were dissected out, quickly frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80 °C until RNA extraction.

Sex-dependent. To examine sex-related differential expression of lep and lepr in lymphoid organs, data of contrasting reproductive phases, spawning (active) and resting (quiescent), were selected. The expression of lep and lepr in spleen or head kidney of female during resting or spawning phase was compared with respective gene expression in the same lymphoid organ of male of that particular phase.

Experiment: Effect of sex steroids on lep and lepr expression in lymphoid organs. To examine the role of sex steroids in regulation of lep and lepr expression in spleen and head kidney, in vivo and in vitro experiments were performed with sex steroids during resting phase when plasma T and E2 remain at basal level in male and female C. punctata, respectively.
**In vivo experiment.** The range of doses for DHT and E₂ was determined based on plasma levels of T and E₂ in adult male and female *C. punctata*, respectively, during different reproductive phases. Each male fish of group I, II and III received 9, 45 and 90 ng of DHT/injection/day, respectively. Likewise, three groups of female fish were made to receive different doses of E₂ (50 ng, 250 ng and 500 ng per injection/day/fish).

Given the facts that female sex steroid E₂ plays critical role in regulation of male reproduction⁴⁰,⁵⁶ and vice versa for testosterone in female fish⁵⁷,⁵⁸, a criss-cross experiment was designed where male fish received different doses of E₂ (250 and 500 ng/injection/fish/day) while females were administered 45 and 90 ng of DHT/injection/fish/day. For controls, fishes of both the sexes were injected with comparable volume of vehicle (100 µl of 0.6% saline/injection/fish/day).

Fish of all the groups (N = 8 for each experimental group of male or female) received injections for three consecutive days. They were sacrificed after 18 h of the last injection. Their spleens and both side head kidneys were dissected out and used for gene expression analysis.

**In vitro experiment.** The experiment was designed to determine the direct role of sex steroids in regulation of *lep* and *lepr* expression in immune organs. Spleens and both side head kidneys from six adult male and same number of female *C. punctata* were dissected out, pooled sex-wise, washed and chopped into small pieces. Prior to incubation with sex steroids, tissue (10–15 mg/well) from spleen or head kidney was cultured in medium for 2 h in a 24-well culture plate. Thereafter, spent media was aspirated out and fresh media containing different concentrations of E₂ (0.36 µM, 3.67 µM, 36.7 µM) or DHT (0.68 µM, 3.44 µM, 34.4 µM) were added to each well of culture plate. For controls, tissues were incubated in medium alone. The culture was run in triplicate. After 12 h of incubation, tissues were collected, zap frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80 °C until RNA extraction.

**Relative gene expression using quantitative real-time PCR.** Total RNA was extracted from spleen and head kidney using TRI reagent following manufacturer’s instructions. RNA samples having optical density ratio (A₂₆₀/A₂₈₀) between 1.8 to 2.0 and optimal integrity were processed for cDNA preparation. In brief, one microgram RNA was incubated with 10U DNase I at 37 °C for 30 min. Thereafter, DNase was heat-inactivated at 65 °C for 10 min in the presence of EDTA (50 mM, pH 8.0). Further, random hexamer primer was used to reverse transcribe the DNase-treated RNA. The cDNA thus prepared was validated by reverse transcription Polymerase Chain Reaction (RT-PCR) using a housekeeping gene 18S ribosomal RNA (18S rRNA). Primers specific for leptin and leptin receptor (Supplementary Table S1) were designed using testicular transcriptome data of *C. punctata* (NCBI bioproject accession no. PRJNA304088). The obtained sequences (accession number: *lep* - MK039679, *lepr* - MK039680) were used to design the primers for quantitative PCR (qPCR, Supplementary Table S2). The percentage efficiency of qPCR primers is also listed in Supplementary Table S2. In parallel, as a reference gene, specific primers of 18S rRNA were used for qPCR. The reaction was carried out using power SYBR Green PCR Master Mix following manufacturer’s protocol. All the samples were run in duplicate and no template control were run with each reaction.

**Estimation of plasma sex steroids.** For estimation of E₂ and T during reproductively active spawning and quiescent resting phases in female fish (N = 6 for each reproductive phase), blood was collected and centrifuged at 2300g for 10 min. Plasma was extracted out and stored at −80 °C until steroid estimation. To extract the total steroid, 1 ml diethyl ether was added to 200 µl plasma sample, vortexed for 5 min, kept at room temperature for 15 min and ether phase was separated out. This procedure was repeated thrice to maximally extract total steroid from the same aliquot of a plasma sample. The collected ether phases were pooled, evaporated at room temperature, dried and kept at −20 °C until assayed for E₂ and T. Each sample was reconstituted in 200 µl of assay buffer and loaded in duplicates for estimation of E₂ and T using respective EIA kit. As per manufacturer’s protocol, minimum detection limit of E₂ and T was 19 and 6 pg/ml, respectively. The accuracy of kit was verified by percentage recovery and linearity of detection using serial dilutions of sample.

Our earlier report on plasma level of sex steroids in male *C. punctata*⁵⁹, showing high level of T and low level of E₂ during spawning than resting phase, was used in the present study for correlation analysis.

**Correlation analysis.** A correlation between expression of *lep* and *lepr* in lymphoid organs and plasma level of sex steroids was examined in male as well as female *C. punctata* during reproductively active and quiescent phases, spawning and resting, respectively. To understand immune organ-specific reproductive phase-dependent interrelation between expression of ligand and its receptor, a correlation analysis was also carried out between expression of *lep* and *lepr* in each lymphoid organ of male as well as female *C. punctata* along their reproductive phases.

**Statistical analysis.** After normalization with 18S rRNA expression, relative fold change was calculated following an optimized method⁶⁰. In case of *lep* expression in head kidney of male and female, fold change values were log-transformed to meet normality and heterogeneity of variance. One-way analysis of variance followed by Student-Newman-Keuls (SNK) multiple range test was employed to analyse expression of *lep* and *lepr* in each lymphoid organ of either sex depending on reproductive phases or after treatment (*in vivo/in vitro*) with sex steroids. Student’s unpaired t-test was applied for sex-related differential expression (male vs female, p < 0.05). Correlation analyses were carried out using Pearson’s correlation test to examine interrelation between levels of plasma sex steroids and expression of *lep* and *lepr* in spleen and head kidney during reproductively active and quiescent phases in male as well as female *C. punctata*. Also, a correlation between *lep* and *lepr* expression was analysed (Spearman’s correlation test at 95% confidence interval) in each lymphoid tissue of male as well as female along their reproductive phases. The statistical analyses were carried out using GraphPad Prism5 software.
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