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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Spinal Cord Injury (SCI) is a devastating disease with poor clinical outcomes. Animal models provide great opportunities to expand our horizons in identifying SCI pathophysiological mechanisms and introducing effective treatment strategies. The present study introduces a new murine contusion model.

Methods: A simple, cheap, and reproducible novel instrument was designed, which consisted of a body part, an immobilization piece, and a bar-shaped weight. The injury was inflicted to the spinal cord using an 8-g weight for 5, 10, or 15 minutes after laminectomy at the T9 level in male C57BL/6 mice. Motor function, cavity formation, cell injury, and macrophage infiltration were evaluated 28 days after injury.

Results: The newly designed instrument minimized adverse spinal movement during injury induction. Moreover, no additional devices, such as a stereotaxic apparatus, were required to stabilize the animals during the surgical procedure. Locomotor activity was deteriorated after injury. Furthermore, tissue damage and cell injury were exacerbated by increasing the duration of weight exertion. In addition, macrophage infiltration around the injured tissue was observed 28 days after injury.

Conclusion: This novel apparatus could induce a controllable SCI with a clear cavity formation in mice. No accessory elements are needed, which can be used in future SCI studies.
1. Introduction

Spinal Cord Injury (SCI) is a major medical problem with severe disability and a high mortality rate. Because of the important role of the spinal cord as the connecting pathway for most neural control mechanisms, its injuries cause dysfunction of motor, sensory, and autonomic systems (Khan, Havey, Sayers, Patwardhan, & King, 1999; Yip & Malaspina, 2012). SCI is created not only by primary mechanical damage but also through secondary mechanisms. Despite all scientific and technological advances, no effective treatment has been found for SCI. Therefore, animal models help study damage mechanisms and evaluate novel treatment strategies. All SCI research centers require an economical and reproducible device without using complex surgical procedures by experienced surgeons to minimize variations in damage to the spinal cord. In this study, a simple, cheap, and reproducible novel instrument for SCI induction is introduced. The instrument consists of various parts, including a body part, an immobilization piece, and a bar-shaped weight. An 8-g weight was used for 5, 10, or 15 minutes to inflict injury to the spinal cord. Behavioral and tissue studies indicated that SCI could be induced in rodents in different severity without other elements. This instrument can be used in future investigations for SCI studies, including tissue engineering, stem cell therapy, and drugs delivery to access effective treatment.

Highlights

- A simple and precise method has been introduced for creating Spinal Cord Injury (SCI) in mice by a novel device.
- The device consists of a body part, an immobilization piece, and a bar-shaped weight.
- Assessment of locomotor activity, tissue damage, and macrophage infiltration confirmed the capability of the new SCI method.
- Reduction of adverse spinal movements and working without any accessory elements are the key points of this new animal model of SCI.

Plain Language Summary

Spinal Cord Injury (SCI) is a medical problem that can cause the permanent motor and sensory dysfunction. Traffic accidents, falls, and violence are the most frequent causes of SCI, often affecting young people. Patients and even their families may encounter other problems, including reducing life quality, psychological burden, and enormous medical costs. Despite scientific and technological advances, no effective treatment has been found for SCI. Therefore, animal models help study damage mechanisms and evaluate novel treatment strategies. All SCI research centers require an economical and reproducible device without using complex surgical procedures by experienced surgeons to minimize variations in damage to the spinal cord. In this study, a simple, cheap, and reproducible novel instrument for SCI induction is introduced. The instrument consists of various parts, including a body part, an immobilization piece, and a bar-shaped weight. An 8-g weight was used for 5, 10, or 15 minutes to inflict injury to the spinal cord. Behavioral and tissue studies indicated that SCI could be induced in rodents in different severity without other elements. This instrument can be used in future investigations for SCI studies, including tissue engineering, stem cell therapy, and drugs delivery to access effective treatment.
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The compression injury can be created by calibrated forceps (McDonough, Monterrubio, Ariza, & Martinez-Cerdeño, 2015; Plemel et al., 2008), aneurysm clips (Rivlin & Tator, 1978), or putting weight directly on to the spinal cord (Wu, Shibuya, Miyamoto, Itano, & Yamamoto, 2005). Compression is similar to contusion in pathological features and neurological impairment. The severity of an injury can be increased by enhancing compression power; aneurysm clips can apply greater pressure or increase the duration of the spinal cord compression (Cheriyan et al., 2014). Calibrated forceps are a simple, inexpensive, consistent and highly reproducible method. However, recording the amount of force is not possible.

In clip compression, the force velocity and compression extent cannot be recorded (Abdullahi, Annuar, Mohammad, Aziz, & Sanusi, 2017). In the weight-drop compression model, the spinal cord is only briefly impacted, and thus, it does not reproduce the effect of prolonged cord compression. Considering these limitations, improvement of the current SCI animal models is required.

The present study introduces a feasible method for creating SCI in rodents using a simple, inexpensive, and well-designed novel instrument. For calibration, several factors were evaluated, including quantitative functional outcomes, size of tissue damage, rate of cell injury, and macrophage infiltration.

2. Methods

First, a new device was designed and built to induce SCI in rodents. Then to evaluate the effectiveness of our new device, 22 adult male BALB/C mice (26 to 30 g weight) were used to develop compression SCI. Mice were randomly divided into four groups, including one control (CNT) group, which underwent laminectomy (Cheriyan et al., 2014). The CNT group was used to assess outcomes, size of tissue damage, rate of cell injury, and macrophage infiltration.

Subsequently, behavioral and histological assessments were performed. The animals were purchased from the Center of Comparative and Experimental Medicine at the Shiraz University of Medical Sciences in Iran. They were housed in the Animal Lab of the School of Advanced Medical Sciences and Technologies in accordance with standard conditions of ambient temperature (22±2°C), 12:12 h light:dark cycle, and free access to water and food. All procedures were done following the institutional guidelines of Shiraz University of Medical Sciences for animal care and use.

SCI apparatus

As shown in Figure 1, the SCI induction device consists of a body part, an immobilization piece, and a bar-shaped weight. On each side of the body, a three-rod set is fixed on a 35×25 cm long plate to increase the stability of the device at the top of the instrument, and a 15×3.5 cm-long rectangular piece with a hole in the middle. The immobilization piece is similar to the aforementioned rectangle plus four prong-like pieces below. The immobilization piece can be moved up and down in a vertical line up to 2.5 cm. This part of the device is used to fix the spine and prevent its probable vertical and horizontal movements during the SCI procedure. The weight is an 8-g stainless steel metal rod with 27 cm in length and a 1×2 mm tip. The tip is curved to fit completely on the surface of the spinal cord. When used, the rod is passed through the holes in the middle of the upper part and the immobilization piece. A 10×32 cm plate is considered the animal bed, serving the purpose of keeping the animal under the device.

SCI induction

Before surgery, all surgical tools were sterilized to prevent any possible contamination. Firstly, the animal was weighed and then anesthetized by ketamine (90 mg/kg) and xylazine (10 mg/kg). Then, the mouse was placed on a surgical table, sterilized with 70% alcohol. A heat pad was used to maintain body temperature. To ensure proper anesthesia, the animal was checked for reflexes using the toe pinch. The dorsal side was covered by a surgical drape with an aperture above the surgical area. To prevent ocular damage, eye ointment was applied to the cornea. After shaving the dorsal area around the incision site, the skin was cleaned with Betadine solution, and a longitudinal incision was made using a scalpel blade to expose muscles and vertebral column at the T8–T12.

To keep the incision widely open, the skin was held back with a retractor, and muscles and fascia along the spinal cord were cut. The tissue was detached from the spinous process and posterior lamina of T9. Without any damage or pressure to the spinal cord, connections between the upper and lower vertebrae were slowly drilled, and the T9 posterior lamina was carefully removed with forceps to expose the spinal cord. Subsequently, the mouse was kept on the animal bed and transferred under the SCI device. After that, the immobilization piece was completely fixed on the upper surface of the spine so that the middle hole was placed adjacent to the exposed spinal cord. Then, the weight was passed through the holes in the middle of the upper part and the immobilization
piece and placed on the top of the exposed spinal cord for a defined period (Figure 2). After setting the weight on the spinal cord, sterile saline was applied to regain and keep homeostasis. The muscular layer and skin over the spinal cord were carefully sutured. One milliliter of saline was injected subcutaneously to prevent dehydration. When the animals were awakened, 1.5 mg/kg of tramadol was given and repeated every 12 hours for 48 hours. In addition, 4 mg/kg of gentamicin was administered once a day for 3 days. Mice were separately kept in cages in an environment with appropriate temperature and a 12-h light-dark cycle for 4 weeks after surgery. Mice were weighed on the first day after surgery and then at the end of each week. The bladder was manually

**Figure 1. Spinal Cord Injury (SCI) apparatus**

The device used to create SCI in rodents is illustrated in A. The injury is made by a bar-shaped weight (blue arrow). The immobilization piece (B) can move up and down in a vertical line (yellow arrow). Four prong-like pieces (C, green arrow) are located below the immobilization piece for fixing the spine. The tip of the weight is curved (D, pink arrow), similar to the surface of the spinal cord. The device works without any accessories.

**Figure 2. Induction of Spinal Cord Injury (SCI) by the new device**

After laminectomy and exposing spinal cord (A), the animal was transferred under the SCI device (B), and the spine was fixed by prong-like pieces of immobilization piece (C).
expressed three times a day until bladder reflex occurred. In the first days, animals were monitored for infection (bloody or cloudy urine), weight loss, and mobility.

**Behavioral assessment**

We used the Basso Mouse Scale (BMS) locomotor scoring system. It is a sensitive, valid, and reliable preclinical screening tool to evaluate motor function recovery in mice. Its scores range from 0 (complete paralysis) to 9 (completely normal) for behavioral assessment (Basso et al., 2006). Animals were placed on a flat surface, and hindlimb motor function was scored by two independent, blinded observers one day after surgery and then once a week for 4 weeks.

**Tissue preparation**

At the end of the fourth week, the animals were anesthetized and sacrificed by intracardial perfusion of 0.9% cold normal saline followed by 1% Paraformaldehyde (PFA) in 0.1 M Phosphate-Buffered Saline (PBS) with

---

**Figure 3.** Locomotor behavior and body weight following Spinal Cord Injury (SCI)

A) The Basso Mouse Scale (BMS) scores were calculated every week to evaluate the locomotor behavior of mice after SCI. B) The animals’ bodyweight was assessed weekly in the different groups.

$\text{S: P<0.01 vs the other groups, @: P<0.001 vs the 10-min and 15-min groups, &: P<0.01 vs the 10-min group, *: P<0.05 vs the 10-min and 15-min groups}$

---

**Figure 4.** Lesion volume following Spinal Cord Injury (SCI)

By using Nissl-stained sections, the sizes of injury induced by the apparatus in the control (CNT) (A), 5-min (B), 10-min (C), and 15-min (D) groups were calculated, and quantitative results are illustrated in (E).

$\text{*: P<0.001 vs the 5-min and CNT groups, #: P<0.001 vs the 10-min group, Scale bar represents 200 μm.}$
a pH of 7.4. After opening dorsal skin and breaking the ribs, the spinal column related to the damaged area was removed, excess tissue around it was completely detached and placed in 1% PFA for 24 h at 4°C. After the fixation, the spinal cord was removed from the spinal column by scissors and forceps. For histological studies, the tissues were dehydrated in 70%, 80%, 96%, and 100% alcohol, respectively, cleared in xylene and impregnated in paraffin wax.

**Nissl staining and measuring the lesion volume**

To assess the volume of the lesion cavity, a series of 8-μm sections with an interval of 80 μm were prepared and stained with 1% cresyl violet (Nissl staining) as described elsewhere (Aligholi et al., 2014). The prepared slides were studied under a light microscope, and photos were taken. INFINITY ANALYZE software was used to analyze the photos, and the lesion volume was calculated by the following formula: 0.5D(A1+An)+D(A2+A+…+An-1), where A is the area of the cavity and D is the distance between sections (Guo et al., 2009).

**Evaluation of cell injury**

The Nissl-stained sections were used to evaluate cell injury. The cells with shrunken features, and corkscrew-like processes, were considered dark cells. The percentage of dark cells per defined area was calculated by the following formula using INFINITY software.

The percentage of dark cells= \( \frac{\text{the number of dark cells}}{\text{total number of cells}} \times 100 \) (Ooigawa et al., 2006).

**Immunofluorescence assay**

The presence of macrophages around the injury site was investigated by measuring the expression of the CD68 (ED1) as a macrophage marker using immunofluorescence assay. Sections were incubated overnight at 4°C with rabbit anti-CD68 primary antibody (1/200, Abcam, Germany). Goat anti-rabbit secondary antibodies (1/600, FITC, Abcam, Germany) were applied for 1 h at room temperature. Slides were visualized using an Olympus microscope, and pictures were taken. Immunofluorescence was quantified by ImageJ software (Jafarian et al., 2019).

**Statistical analyses**

All data are presented as Mean±Standard Error of the mean. Statistical analyses were calculated using SPSS software, v. 23, Chicago, USA. For assessing changes over different groups, we used 1-way ANOVA followed by a pair-wise comparison of the groups using post hoc testing with LSD correction. A repeated measure ANOVA with a Greenhouse-Geisser correction was used to assess changes over time. In all analyses, the significance level for the overall group effect and individual pair-wise comparisons was set as P<0.05.

3. Results

**Effect of SCI on locomotor behavior**

As illustrated in Figure 3A, a repeated-measures ANOVA with a Greenhouse-Geisser correction indicated that
BMS mean score differed significantly between time points \( (F(7,44)=11.8; P<0.01) \). Placing the weight on the spinal cord for 5 minutes could cause mild hindlimb paralysis at day 1 post-injury. BMS mean score in this group was decreased to 7±0.4 on day 1 and recovered to 9±0 on day 28. BMS score in the 5-min group was significantly higher on days 1, 7, 14, 21, and 28 post-injury than that of 10- and 15-min groups (\( P<0.01 \)). On the other hand, complete hindlimb paralysis was achieved by placing the weight on the spinal cord for 10 and 15 min on day 1 post-injury. The recovery process was accelerated in the 10-min group from day 7 post-injury so that the BMS score of animals in the 10-min group was significantly different from that of the 15-min group on days 21 and 28 post-injury (\( P<0.01 \)).

**Effect of SCI on body weight**

The body weights of the mice were also evaluated at days 1, 7, 14, 21, and 28 post-injury \( (F_{(6,36)}=14; P<0.05) \). One day after surgery, all mice showed weight loss, which continued during the first week after surgery in the 15-min group. After that, the weight-gaining process was observed in all groups until 28 days after surgery. However, the body weights of animals in the 5- and 10-min groups were considerably higher than that of animals in the 15-min group on days 21 and 28 post-injury (\( P<0.01; \) Figure 3B).

**Effect of SCI on lesion volume**

The size of the cavity created by SCI was measured using Nissl-stained sections. The results showed that this parameter increased as the time of SCI induction increased (Figure 4). The size of cavity in the spinal cord of animals in 10-min group (0.25±0.02) was significantly more than that of animals in the 5 min group (0.013±0.0014, \( P<0.001 \)). In addition, the size of injury in the 15-min group (0.4±0.02) was considerably larger than that of the 5- and 10-min groups (\( P<0.001 \)).

**Effect of SCI on cell injury**

The percentage of dark neurons as an index of cell injury was evaluated by Nissl staining (Figure 5). The results showed that the number of dark neurons around the injury site in the 10-min group (32±0.8) was significantly more compared to the 5-min group (18.26±0.6, \( P<0.01 \)). Moreover, this index in the 15-min group (52±1.2) significantly increased compared to the 5-min and 10-min groups (\( P<0.001 \)). The percentages of dark cells in the 5-min, 10-min, and 15-min groups were remarkably higher than those of the CNT group (\( P<0.001 \)).

**Effect of SCI on macrophage infiltration**

The distribution of ED1 (macrophage marker) was studied by immunofluorescence assay. The results revealed that the distribution of macrophages was signifi-
stantly higher in the 5-min, 10 min, and 15 min groups than those of the CNT group (P<0.001). In addition, macrophage infiltration showed an increasing trend from the 5-min group to the 15 min group; however, there was no statistical significance among the groups (Figure 6).

4. Discussion

The present study introduced a novel, simple, reproducible, and economical method to produce a mice model of SCI. The efficiency of the method was confirmed by the worsening of locomotor activity scores, increasing tissue damage, and cell injury indices after an increase in injury induction time.

Different models and devices have already been introduced for SCI induction (McDonough & Martinez-Cerdeño, 2012; Plemel et al., 2008; Rivlin & Tator, 1978). One of the most commonly used models is the weight-drop technique established by Allen in 1911 (Allen, 1911). After that, efforts were made to create more precise, controllable models that led to the creation of some complex and expensive devices (Deep et al., 2015). Here, we designed and introduced a simple instrument that can be made easily. One of the advantages of this new device is that one can induce SCI without additional devices, like the stereotaxic apparatus. In this sense, the immobilization part of the device helps us to induce SCI with the least adverse spinal movement. Moreover, the induction time and the weight can be changed to create SCI models with different intensities. Furthermore, the device is applicable for induction of SCI in other rodents like rats.

This model of SCI affects the motor function of the hindlimb and the function of the urinary system. After a manual massage of the bladder, the bladder reflex generally returned during the first week after the injury. Using analgesics drugs in the first few days after SCI helps the animal to tolerate pain and facilitate seeking for water and food. The behavioral assessment revealed that hindlimb motor dysfunction correlated with the compression time. In other words, BMS scores reduced when compression time increased. Based on the results of the BMS test, 5 min compression created a weak SCI that was completely recovered after 28 days. However, 10 min and 15 min compression created a moderate to severe SCI, respectively, which remained for 28 days.

Whole-body weight as a general indication of health was measured in this study. We observed weight loss that usually occurs after SCI (Landry, Frenette, & Guertin, 2004). Almost all mice had 1-2 g body weight loss one day after injury due to the direct effect of surgery. In the 5-min group, mice compensated for this weight loss quickly during the first week after injury, depending on the injury and consuming enough water and food. In the 10-min group, weight gaining was slower, but the mice compensated for their weight loss around the second week and then followed the natural process of weight gaining. Although the weight gaining was very slow in the 15-min group, there was no excess weight loss after the first week after the injury.

The formation of a cavity after SCI is one of the main pathological features that occurs following secondary injury (Ahuja et al., 2017; Chen et al., 2016; Osaka et al., 2010). During the first hours after primary SCI, the secondary injury starts through several mechanisms, including an increase in intracellular calcium ion, activation of proteases, activation of autophagy, secretion of inflammatory elements, activation of microglia, and migration of macrophages and astrogliosis. Although the first aim of these mechanisms is supporting the damaged tissue, they act as a double-edged sword. After activation of the secondary mechanisms and elimination of the damaged tissue, a cavity is formed during the early weeks following SCI. Thus, the formation of a cavity is one of the necessities in properly establishing animal models of SCI (Deep et al., 2015; Sroga, Jones, Kigerl, McGaughy, & Popovich, 2003; Sorey, Berry, Logan, Bicknell, & Ahmed, 2014). In this study, we observed cavity formation in all three groups. The cavity was very small in the 5-min group, but by increasing the induction time, the cavity became larger so that they were more obvious in the 10- and 15-min groups. It is worth pointing out that cavity formation in animal models of SCI is an interesting option for scientists’ working on neuro-regeneration using tissue engineering.

In the present study, macrophage infiltration was evaluated after SCI. In this sense, the presence of macrophages around the damaged tissue was observed 4 weeks after SCI. Spinal cord injury is associated with macrophage activation; however, the effect of this phenomenon has remained controversial. Like previous reports, the activation of these cells was maximum between 3 and 7 days post-injury (Carlson, Parrish, Springer, Doty, & Dossett, 1998; Zhang, Krebs, & Guth, 1997), but Wu et al. demonstrated that they were observed at 4 weeks and reduced around 12 weeks after injury in rats (Wu, et al., 2005). Thus by using our model of SCI, the activation of macrophages can be evaluated up to 4 weeks post-injury in mice.
5. Conclusion

In conclusion, using this new and simple apparatus, a controllable SCI can be induced in rodents without accessory elements. The creation of compression injury with a clear cavity can be helpful in future tissue engineering-based investigations for SCI.
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