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Introduction
Adolescents constitute 20% of the world population and are estimated to be 1.13 billion by the year 2025 [1,2]. Adolescence is a period of rapid growth and development by which up to 45% of skeletal growth takes place and 15 to 25% of adult height is achieved [3]. In addition to the increased nutritional requirements during adolescence period, poor dietary diversity and dietary inadequacies are more likely threats among adolescents due to their erratic eating pattern and having specific psychosocial factors [4]. Malnutrition passes from generation to generation, because adolescent girls that enter pregnancy with poor nutrient store are more likely to give birth to low birth weight or intrauterine growth restricted baby that is more vulnerable to metabolic disorders later in life [5]. So, adolescence period is a unique opportunity to break a range of vicious cycles of structural problems that are passed from one generation to the next, such as poverty, gender discrimination, violence, poor health, and nutrition [4].

Methods
A cross-sectional study design was employed. The study was conducted in 5 secondary school in Juba city, South Sudan. The school included: Chinese Friendship, Juba Diocesan Model SS, Juba Girls, Juba commercial S.S, and St Daneil Comboni.

Study population
This study was conducted among adolescents aged between 13 and 18 years attending secondary school in Juba city, South Sudan.
Sample size and sampling procedure: A simple random sampling technique was used to pick the five schools and a systematic sampling was used to obtain the number of children from each school. A sampling frame derived from a list of pupils aged between 13-18 years in the randomly selected schools was then used.

Data analysis: Data analysis for this study was conducted using STATA ver. 14. Standardization of BMI was done using the WHO growth standards for BMI using the STATA zanthro package. Frequency distributions, summary statistics using means and standard deviation as well as results of a logistic regression was done to establish risk factors for underweight among adolescents in Juba city. Underweight was classified based on the BMI for Age Z-score (WHO) where BMI for age Z-score of ≤ -1 and > -2SD was categorized as grade 1, BMI for age Z-score ≤ -2 and >-3SD classified and grade 2 and a BMI for age ≤ -3SD was categorized a grade 3

Ethical consideration: All participants consented to participate in the study and it was made very clear that all information will be kept confidential. All participants were given feedback and advised accordingly on the measurement taken and their BMI.

Results

Characteristic of the target population

Demographic sex ratio of the participants (Male: Female) was 1:1; meaning males and females participated equally. The study considered 210 students. These students were nearly equally distributed between the five schools of St Daniel Comboni (21.9%), Chinese friendship (22.85%), Juba Diocesan model SS (21.9%), Juba Girls (16.67%) and juba commercial SS (16.67%) (Figure 1).

Amount of pocket money

Majority (50%) of the student received between 16 to 30 pounds of pocket money. 22.4% received between 46 to 60 Pounds, 11.9% received over 60 pounds, 8.1% received between nothing to at most 15 pounds and the least (7.6%) received between 31 to 45 pounds (Figure 2).

Level of physical activity of respondents

Majority (86%) of the participants were engaged in a physical activity at least once and only 13.8% engaged in a physical activity at least two times (Figure 3).

Means of transport to school

Most (71.9%) of the students went to school by bus, a considerable fraction (23.5%) went to school on foot about 4.2% used motorcycles and the least proportion (0.4%) went to school on a bicycle (Figure 4).

Inclusion of green vegetables and meat in diet

A comparatively smaller proportion (20%) of the participants had green vegetables in their diets and a sizable proportion (48.1%) had meat in their diets (Figure 5).

Nutrition status of the participants

The average weight of the students was 52.76 Kg (SD=7.99), the Height 1.68 meters (SD=0.08) and the average BMI was 18.77 (SD=2.45). The average Age of the students in the study was 16.6 years (SD=1.149) (Table 1).

About 39.5% of the students in the study were underweight while 60.5% were within the normal range of BMI (Table 2).

About one quarter the participants (25.2%) were grade 1 underweight and the majority (58.1%) had normal weight. However 7.6% were grade 2 underweight and the least 6.7% were grade 3 underweight (Table 3).

Summary of frequency of risk factors and their association with underweight

Female students were half as likely to be underweight (OR=0.46, p-value=0.00) compared to their male counterparts. In-take of greens (p-value=0.98) and meat (p-value=0.95) was not associated with underweight. Engagement in physical activity was not associated with underweight (p-value=0.26). Though not significantly associated with underweight, those that walked to school or those that used bicycles were 28% more likely to be underweight compared to those that went to school by either car or bus. Spending leisure time doing sports was not associated

![Figure 1: Gender of respondents and the distribution of students among the different target schools.](https://clinical-nutrition.imedpub.com/)
with being underweight (p-value=0.31). The number of meals in a day was not associated with underweight. Those that had physical activity at least twice were 15% more likely to be underweight compared to those that had it only once. This was however not significant (p-value=0.84). The amount of pocket money received by the student was not associated with underweight (Table 4).

### Discussion

In this study the prevalence of underweight among adolescents was 39.5% (25.2%, 7.6% and 6.7% grade 1, grade 2 and grade 3 respectively). These findings are comparable to the findings of a study that was curried by Bovet et al. [6] in the Seychelles. Where the prevalence of thinness among adolescents was 27.7%, 6.7% and 1.2% grade 1, 2 and 3 respectively based on the WHO cut-offs. According to Yohannes Adama Melaku et al. [7], the prevalence of thinness among adolescents students in Northern Ethiopia was 26.1% (boys = 32.4; girls = 21.6%; p = 0.017), respectively with boys having higher (AOR = 1.97; 95% CI: 1.19, 3.25) odds of
Table 4 Multivariable regression for underweight risk factors.

|                                      | n   | %  | Unadjusted |         | Adjusted |         |
|--------------------------------------|-----|----|------------|---------|----------|---------|
|                                      |     |    | OR         | P-value | OR       | P-value |
| Has greens vegetables in a diet      |     |    |            |         |          |         |
| no                                   | 168 | 80 | 1          |         | 1        |         |
| yes                                  | 42  | 20 | 1.05       | 0.89    | 0.99     | 0.98    |
| Has meat in diet                     |     |    |            |         |          |         |
| no                                   | 109 | 51.9 | 1         |         | 1        |         |
| yes                                  | 101 | 48.1 | 0.93     | 0.78    | 1.01     | 0.95    |
| Engaged in physical activity         |     |    |            |         |          |         |
| no                                   | 7   | 3.3 | 1          |         | 1        |         |
| yes                                  | 203 | 96.7 | 0.48   | 0.34    | 0.39     | 0.26    |
| Means of transport to school         |     |    |            |         |          |         |
| bus or motorcycle                    | 158 | 75.2 | 1         |         | 1        |         |
| bicycle or footing                   | 52  | 24.8 | 1.17     | 0.64    | 1.28     | 0.49    |
| Spends leisure time doing sports     |     |    |            |         |          |         |
| no                                   | 190 | 90.5 | 1         |         | 1        |         |
| yes                                  | 20  | 9.5  | 0.63      | 0.36    | 0.58     | 0.31    |
| No. of meals each day                |     |    |            |         |          |         |
| one                                  | 52  | 24.8 | 1         |         | 1        |         |
| two                                  | 134 | 63.8 | 0.39     | 0.47    | 0.89     | 0.75    |
| three or more                        | 24  | 11.4 | 1.36     | 0.53    | 2.34     | 0.17    |
| No. of times engaged in physical activity | 181 | 86.2 | 1       |         | 1        |         |
| once                                 | 29  | 13.8 | 1.09     | 0.83    | 1.15     | 0.84    |
| At least 2 times                     |     |    |            |         |          |         |
| Amount of pocket money               |     |    |            |         |          |         |
| 0 to 15                              | 17  | 8.1  | 1         |         | 1        |         |
| 16 to 30                             | 105 | 50   | 1.07     | 0.9     | 1.12     | 0.84    |
| 31 to 45                             | 16  | 7.6  | 0.86     | 0.83    | 0.95     | 0.95    |
| 46 to 60                             | 47  | 22.4 | 0.67     | 0.49    | 0.62     | 0.47    |
| over 60                              | 25  | 11.9 | 0.95     | 0.94    | 0.7      | 0.63    |
| Sex                                  |     |    |            |         |          |         |
| Male                                 | 125 | 48.1 | 1         |         | 1        |         |
| Female                               | 135 | 51.9 | 0.57     | 0.05    | 0.46     | 0       |
| Total                                | 210 | 100 |           |         |          |         |

Figure 4 Proportion of students using different means of transportation to school.
being thin compared to girls which relates to the findings from the present study where female students were half as likely to be underweight (OR=0.46, p-value=0.00) compared to their male counterparts.

**Conclusion & Recommendations**

Addressing adolescence nutrition challenges is of great importance due to the adverse negative effects of adolescent malnutrition to future offspring processes. The study indicates the need for adolescent focused nutrition interventions with results showing the prevalence of underweight among the participants being about 39.5%. Meal frequency, participation in physical exercise and consumption of greens/meat were not associated with underweight but this study provides a background to generating further studies to understand other risk factors associated with adolescent malnutrition. Much as these results may not be generalizable for the whole country, considerations on geographical regional differences, out of school adolescents and other socioeconomic factors may be important to inform policy formulation around adolescent nutrition. Findings from this study can also be used to design specific public health interventions to address adolescent nutrition needs incorporating special focus areas for both boys and girls.
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