Convergent evolution and multi-wave clonal invasion in H3 K27-altered diffuse midline gliomas treated with a PDGFR inhibitor
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Abstract

The majority of diffuse midline gliomas, H3 K27-altered (DMG-H3 K27-a), are infiltrating pediatric brain tumors that arise in the pons with no effective treatment. To understand how clonal evolution contributes to the tumor's invasive spread, we performed exome sequencing and SNP array profiling on 49 multi-region autopsy samples from 11 patients with pontine DMG-H3 K27-a enrolled in a phase I clinical trial of PDGFR inhibitor crenolanib. For each patient, a phylogenetic tree was constructed by testing multiple possible clonal evolution models to select the one consistent with somatic mutations and copy number variations across all tumor regions. The tree was then used to deconvolute subclonal composition and prevalence at each tumor region to study convergent evolution and invasion patterns.

Somatic variants in the PI3K pathway, a late event, are enriched in our cohort, affecting 70% of patients. Convergent evolution of PI3K at distinct phylogenetic branches was detected in 40% of the patients. 24 (~ 50%) of tumor regions were occupied by subclones of mixed lineages with varying molecular ages, indicating multiple waves of invasion across the pons and extrapontine. Subclones harboring a PDGFRA amplicon, including one that amplified a PDGR-FA7849C mutant allele, were detected in four patients; their presence in extrapontine tumor and normal brain samples imply their involvement in extrapontine invasion. Our study expands the current knowledge on tumor invasion patterns in DMG-H3 K27-a, which may inform the design of future clinical trials.

Introduction

Diffuse midline glioma, H3 K27-altered (DMG-H3 K27-a) is a newly recognized pediatric tumor entity in the fifth edition of WHO Classification of Tumors of the Central Nervous System that includes the majority of brainstem tumors previously termed diffuse intrinsic pontine glioma [1]. DMG-H3 K27-a is a lethal disease with a median overall survival of approximately 10 months [2]. DMG-H3 K27-a accounts for nearly 10–15% of all pediatric brain tumors and 60–80% of all pediatric brain stem gliomas [3, 4]. Surgical intervention is not possible due to the infiltrative nature of the tumor. Diagnostic biopsies are controversial and, at the time this study was initiated, were rarely performed due to the anatomical
location of the tumor [5]. Radiotherapy remains the only standard treatment, improving life expectancy by several months [6]. While over 200 clinical trials have been conducted using chemotherapy, none has proven effective in improving life expectancy [5].

Genomic profiling of tumor samples collected from individual or multiple tumor regions of DMG-H3 K27-a patients has identified major genetic drivers. The most prevalent and earliest event is the acquisition of somatic K27M mutation of histone H3 proteins [7–9] most commonly encoded by H3F3A and HIST1H3B. Mutations in TP53, PPM1D and ACVR1 are also reported to be present from diagnosis to end-stage disease, while those affecting the PI3K pathway are late and often subclonal events [7, 8, 10–12].

Aberrant activation of platelet-derived growth factor receptor (PDGFR) signaling is another common event with 20–30% prevalence. Activation occurs via gene amplification or acquisition of point mutations in subclones of DMG-H3 K27-a, and has been directly implicated in driving pediatric glioma formation in vivo [13–16]. Despite the known intratumoral heterogeneity of its somatic alterations, PDGFRα is considered a therapeutic target based on abated growth upon treatment with PDGFRA inhibitors and reduced viability after genetic knockout of PDGFRA in models of DMG-H3 K27-a glioma [14,16]. In a recently completed phase I clinical trial of crenolanib, a selective inhibitor of PDGFR activity, no significant difference in overall survival was observed in comparison to historical controls in pediatric patients with newly diagnosed DMG-H3 K27-a or recurrent DMG-H3 K27-a [17]. To gain insight into convergent evolution and patterns of tumor cell invasion, we performed whole exome sequencing (WES) followed by ultra-deep sequencing and SNP array profiling on 49 tumor samples acquired at autopsy from 11 patients enrolled in this trial. Our interest in studying the invasion pattern was motivated in part by a serendipitous finding: while examining tumor-in-normal contamination in DMG-H3 K27-a as part of a clinical pilot study, we discovered an invasive subclone in a histologically normal brain sample distant from the primary tumor [18]. Consequently, we profiled multiple histologically normal brain samples from the same patient in this study so that these samples could serve the dual purpose of providing normal controls for somatic variant analysis and mapping rare subclones involved in extrapontine invasion. These rare subclones can be identified by employing our newly-developed method for in-silico error suppression in deep sequencing data [19].

Mapping of subclonal composition and prevalence at each tumor region is a prerequisite for understanding tumor cell invasion patterns. Such analysis has not been carried out systematically in published multi-region tumor studies including those of DMG-H3 K27-a [20–25]. As a result, there is limited knowledge about subclonal population admixture, which can be caused by migration of tumor cells descending from different phylogenetic branches. To overcome this limitation, we developed a new approach which tests multiple possible clonal evolution models, selecting the one that is consistent with somatic variants (including SNVs/indels and CNVs) across all tumor regions. The resulting data not only showed interesting patterns of convergent evolution, but also revealed co-occupancy of tumor cells descending from different evolution branches in ~50% of the tumor regions. The admixed subclonal populations were found in both pontine and extrapontine tumor samples, and intriguingly, in rare tumor populations detected in historically normal brain tissues. These results indicate multiple waves of DMG-H3 K27-a invasion within the pons and across multiple extrapontine regions. This process of continued clonal evolution and invasion poses a considerable challenge to therapeutic advancement which must be considered during the design of targeted therapy for this deadly disease.

Materials and methods

Patient samples and clinical information

Eleven patients with clinical and radiologic diagnosis of diffuse intrinsic pontine glioma with H3 K27M mutation, now classified as H3 K27-altered diffuse midline glioma (DMG-H3 K27-a), were enrolled in a clinical trial of the PDGFR inhibitor crenolanib and analyzed for this study. Median age at diagnosis was approximately 8 years (range 5.7–12.3 years). 49 tumor samples and 19 normal samples were acquired by autopsy and subjected to genomic analysis (Fig. 1). Demographical and histopathological characteristics of all specimens are presented in Additional file 3: Table S1. The tumor sample positions were based on the fractional location (e.g. 0.5 corresponds to 6-clock position) within the transverse plane of the pons as reported by the pathologist performing the autopsy. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of St. Jude Children’s Research Hospital (protocol XPD-09-018) using patient samples banked with informed consent.

Somatic variant analysis

WES at ~100X coverage for tumor and normal samples was performed as described previously [26] and the sequencing data were aligned to GRCh37-lite with BWA [27]. Somatic SNVs and insertion/deletion (indels) were first identified by Bambino [28] followed by a post-processing process to filter alignment artifacts, sequencing errors, and paralogs as previously described [29]. We
were able to design targeted capture for 78% of curated somatic variants which were subjected to capture-based deep sequencing on tumor and normal samples at the average coverage of > 2500X and the overall validation rate was ~ 94%. To improve the accuracy of detection of mutations with very low variant allele fraction (VAF), we performed computational error suppression using CleanDeepSeq [19] to remove reads likely containing sequencing errors. The cleaned BAM files were used to calculate reference and the mutant allele read counts for targeted SNVs and indels. The indel read counts were based on indelPost, which improves indel allele counts by harmonizing ambiguities via realignment and read-based phasing [30].

Copy number analysis
We profiled 45 tumors and 11 normal samples by Affymetrix SNP 6.0 array, and somatic copy number variations (CNVs) were derived by incorporating optimal reference normalization and circular binary segmentation [31]. For the four tumor samples that lacked SNP array data (i.e. 326_A1, 324_A1, 325_A1 and 756_A5), we ran CNVkit [32] on paired tumor-normal WES to obtain somatic CNVs.

---

**Fig. 1** Somatic alterations in multi-region tumor samples acquired from DIPG patients treated with a PDGFR inhibitor. A Somatic alterations affecting known DIPG driver genes in 49 tumor samples from 11 DIPG patients treated with crenolanib under stratum A (for newly diagnosed DIPG) or stratum B (for progressive DIPG). Each column represents a single tumor sample from a tumor region in the numeric order of A1, A2, etc.; tumor samples from the same patient are grouped together and labeled by patient ID at the top. Patient and sample metadata are shown at the top while somatic alterations colored by their variant type are shown at the bottom. Within the same patient, presence of a different mutation in the same gene is marked by an asterisk '*' while admixture of multiple mutations in the same sample is marked by '#' . Treatment duration varies broadly ranging from 15 to 658 days. B Somatic SNV/indel burden of each patient stratified by their presence in multi-region tumor samples including truncal (detected in all samples), shared (detected in multiple but not all samples) and private mutations (detected in a single sample) shown as the count (top panel) and percentage (bottom panel) of mutations in each patient. C Somatic arm-level or whole-chromosome CNVs of each patient shown in the same style as B. Only samples profiled from SNP arrays are included and BAF-adjusted CNVs were used for plotting.
With the exception of bi-allelic duplication, somatic CNV or copy-neutral loss-of-heterozygosity (LOH) causes a deviation of B-allele fractions (BAFs) of germline heterozygous single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) from the expected BAF of 0.5 in autosomes. The extent of the deviation, referred to as allelic imbalance (AI), is dependent on the cellular prevalence (CP, representing the fraction of all cells—whether tumor or normal—in the sample with the somatic alteration) of the CNV. We used AI to calibrate CPs of somatic CNVs as shown in the example presented in Additional file 2: Fig. S1; details are described in Additional file 1: Supplementary Methods.

A general model for intra-tumor heterogeneity
Our analysis is built on a core concept of cellular composition of a sample profiled by a molecular assay (e.g. genomic sequencing or SNP array). A tumor sample is comprised of tumor cells and normal cells with their fractions defined as $t$ (which corresponds to tumor purity) and $1−t$, respectively. Tumor cells are heterogeneous as somatically acquired variants, including copy number variation and sequence mutations, are not always present in all tumor cells. At the sample level BAF-adjusted copy number variation (CNV) is a composite value contributed by the diploid normal cells ($1−t$ fraction of diploid cells) and tumor cells ($t$ fraction) with CNV ($f$) or without CNV ($1−f$). Similarly, variant allele fraction (VAF) of a somatic mutation, under a scenario of being acquired after CNV, is affected by the same set of variables as well as the fraction ($g$) of CNV cells that harbor the mutant allele. We used this general model as a starting point for modeling intratumor heterogeneity and clonal evolution presented in subsequent sections.

Tumor purity estimation
Tumor purity ($t$) can be determined by the clonality of a founder mutation which is expected to be present in every cancer cell. In this study, we used the clonality of $H3F3A$ or $HIST1H3B$ K27M mutations for tumor purity estimation as these are known founder mutations in DMG-H3 K27-a [9]. We also examined the cancer cell fraction (CCF) of truncal mutations and CNVs which are present in all tumor regions to ensure the absence of a "superclone" (i.e. having CCF > 1) due to underestimation of tumor purity [33]. An example is presented in Additional file 2: Fig. S2 and details are described in Additional file 1: Supplementary Methods.

To compare our founder mutation-based tumor purity estimate with alternative approaches, we ran two publicly available algorithms, ASCAT and ABSOLUTE. Germline SNPs were based on whole-exome analysis and ASCAT was run using the default setting [34]. We ran ABSOLUTE [24] following the online instructions (https://software.broadinstitute.org/cancer/cga/absolute_run). Copy number segments greater than 1 MB were included to reduce noise and to avoid an out of bounds error when the input data generate excessive segments.

Using allelic imbalance to model CNV evolution
Although most CNVs can be assigned to a phylogenetic tree based on their presence or absence in the multi-region tumors profiled in each case, a few required focused analysis to evaluate different models. This process is illustrated through the modeling of chr17p loss, which often accompanies TP53 mutation to cause bi-allelic inactivation of TP53. An example is case 325 which is shown in Additional file 2: Fig. S3, details are described in Additional file 1: Supplementary Methods.

Calculating cancer cell fractions of somatic mutation clusters and CNVs
Under the general model, copy number variation ($c$) in an autosome is a composite value contributed by the diploid normal cells ($1−t$ fraction of diploid cells) and tumor cells ($t$ fraction) with or without CNV:

$$c = (1−t)2 + t [ (1−f)2 + fM ]$$

where $M$ is the integer representing CNV state ($M \in \{0,1,2,3,\ldots\}$) and $f$ is the CCF of tumor cells with a CNV, defined as follows:

$$f = \frac{c−2}{(M−2)t}$$

Similarly, the VAF ($v$) of a somatic mutation acquired in $g$ fraction of CNV-positive tumor cells, is determined by tumor purity ($t$), fraction of tumor cells with CNV ($f$), fraction of CNV-cells with the mutation ($g$), and multiplicity of the mutant allele ($n$) normalized by the regional copy number state as follows:

$$v = tfgn/c$$

And this leads to:

$$g = vf/tfn$$

Note the following condition: $f,g \in <0,1>$ must be satisfied or the model will be rendered incorrect and an alternative model should be considered. In this analysis, we assume that one mutation belongs to a single lineage, as re-mutation must be extremely rare given a median background mutation rate of $7 \times 10^{-7}$ per base pair in this cohort. Consequently, we require that a correct model be consistent with empirical data in all tumor regions that harbor the mutation.

The CCF of a mutation (SNV or indel) can be derived subsequently as follows:
CCF = gf

An example of calculating CCF of a PDGFRA amplicon harboring PDGFRA Y849C is presented in Additional file 2: Fig. S4 and the details are described in Additional file 1: Supplementary Methods.

Construction of phylogenetic trees and clonal decomposition for each tumor sample

For each patient, somatic mutations across all tumor regions were clustered by running PyClone [35] using VAFs of SNVs and indels derived from deep sequencing after error suppression, BAF-adjusted somatic CNVs and tumor purity derived from histone H3 K27M mutations. Phylogenetic trees were constructed using the CCF of mutation clusters following two principles described previously, namely the sum’s rule (or the pigeonhole principle) to account for CCFs of mutation clusters in each tumor, and the crossing rule to account for CCFs across all tumor samples [25, 36]. For a mutation cluster detected in multiple tumor regions, the tumor sample likely to produce the most accurate measure of CCF (high tumor purity and high VAF) was prioritized for lineage construction. Additional modeling was performed to further refine the phylogenetic trees as described in Additional file 1: Supplementary Methods.

Clonal composition of each tumor region was mapped based on the CCFs of mutational clusters on each node of the phylogenetic tree. A founder clone consisting only of truncal variants was added if the summed clonal composition derived from its descending nodes was < 1.0. It should be noted that under this circumstance a founder clone can also represent a rare descendant subclone whose lineage-specific mutations were not detectable by WES.

The tumor samples with low purity (< 5%) were not included for phylogenetic tree construction. Their clonal compositions were inferred from matching mutation clusters determined from high purity samples, which can lead to an underestimate of the real clonal diversity in these regions.

Assessment of residual tumor content in normal brain samples

To determine whether anatomically-normal brain samples contained residual tumor, we first assessed the presence of the founder mutation H3F3A K27M using the deep sequencing data generated from normal samples of the 9 patients that had H3F3A K27M. This was followed by performing FDR tests of the founder mutation and the relevant mutation clusters. The details are described in Additional file 1: Supplementary Methods and the results are presented in Additional file 2: Fig. S5.

Directional allelic imbalance in CNV

The maternal/paternal allele imbalance was calculated by The REpeat Chromosomal changes Uncovered by Reflection (RECUR) software which compares BAF shifts across multiple tumor samples in two possible directions, reflecting an increased or decreased abundance of the maternal haplotype, relative to the paternal [37]. Tumor BAFs with increased or decreased abundance of the maternal haplotype (relative to the paternal haplotype) were visualized using a two-color scheme and by a shift in the directions of the expected BAF values [37].

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was carried out using R (www.r-project.org) [38] and python with scipy, numpy, and pandas libraries from https://numpy.org/ and python data analysis library (pydata.org) [39].

Results

Mutational profile of DMG-H3 K27-a patients treated with PDGFR inhibitor

A total of 68 autopsy samples, 49 tumor (45 pons and 4 extrapontine) and 19 normal, were obtained from eleven patients; a median of 4 tumor samples (range 3–7) per patient was analyzed. The patient cohort was comprised of 6 newly diagnosed and 5 progressive DMG-H3 K27-a patients enrolled under stratum A and B, respectively, in a phase I clinical trial investigating the efficacy of crenolanib (Fig. 1A, Additional file 3: Table S1). The median treatment duration is 79 days (range 15–658 days), and approximately half (55%) of the patients had a relatively short treatment duration < 100 days. A total of 1,359 somatic SNVs and indels were identified from paired tumor-normal WES data, 80% of which had their variant allele fraction (VAF) determined across all samples by targeted deep sequencing at an average coverage of > 2500X. Three tumor regions had very low purity (< 0.05 based on the VAFs of somatic mutations) and were thus excluded from the data summary.

Across the 46 tumor samples with tumor purity > 0.05, the median mutation rate was 0.7/Mb (range 0.3–1.58/Mb; Additional file 3: Table S2), slightly higher than the 0.5/Mb (range 0.1–0.9/Mb) reported in previous studies [40]. Somatic copy number variations (CNVs), identified by SNP array or exome analysis, also exhibit intratumor heterogeneity (Additional file 2: Fig. S6). Somatic variants identified in each patient were classified as truncal (i.e. present in all sequenced tumor regions), shared (i.e. in ≥ 2 tumor regions but not all regions), or private (i.e. exclusive to a single tumor region). Truncal SNV/indel mutations had the lowest percentage across all patients (median of 18%, range 1.8–88%) (Fig. 1B) while truncal CNVs are more frequent (median of 44%, range...
13–100%) (Fig. 1C). Interestingly, patients with longer treatment duration (>100 days) have higher proportion of truncal CNVs compared with those with shorter treatment duration (median 0.85 versus 0.22, p value is 0.03 by Fisher's exact test), indicating possible selection for specific CNV-defined lineages during treatment.

Considering previously reported driver genes discovered by genomic landscape mapping of DMG-H3 K27-a [21], all 11 patients had truncal histone mutations in H3F3A (n = 9) or HIST1H3B (n = 2), which co-occurred with mutations in TP53 (n = 6 patients), ACVR1 (n = 1), PTPN11 (n = 2), or PPM1D (n = 2) (Fig. 1A). These co-occurring mutations were truncal except in case 325 which had two non-truncal TP53 mutations (R248W, R273H) in different tumor regions. PI3K/MAPK pathway alterations occurred in every patient such as through activating mutations in PIK3CA, PIK3R1, and PDGFRα; loss of function mutations in PTEN and NF1; and focal amplification of PDGFRα and MET. All except for a focal amplification of MET in a single case were non-truncal variants, suggesting that activation of these pathways is a late event. Overall, the temporal order of mutational acquisition, inferred in our cohort was consistent with previous multi-region studies of DMG-H3 K27-a evolution [21–23, 41].

High mutational prevalence and convergent evolution leading to activation of the PI3K pathway
Eight of 11 (72%) cases harbored at least one mutation in PIK3CA or PIK3R1, significantly higher (p value = 0.04 by Fisher’s exact test) than the 28–44% prevalence reported in previous studies [22, 23, 41]. Convergent evolution leading to activation of the PI3K pathway was found in four patients as different PI3K mutations were present in different tumor regions or co-existed in the same tumor region of the same patient (Fig. 1A; see asterisks and hash marks). The most striking pattern was found in case 756 where four distinct PI3K mutations were detected amongst the five tumor regions, including two SNVs in PIK3CA (H1047R and E545G) and two hotspot in-frame indels (D569ED and N453del) in PIK3R1. Interestingly, convergent evolution was observed only in patients with relatively short treatment duration (<100 days) although the association was not significant due to small sample size (p value is 0.14 by Fisher’s exact test).

Phylogeny and clonal diversity across multiple tumor regions
Tumor purity, estimated from the clonality of H3F3A or HIST1H3B K27M mutations, had a median of 0.8 across all 49 tumor regions (Additional file 3: Table S1) and was not significantly correlated with mutation burden (p value 0.15 by Pearson’s r test). Copy gain of histone H3.3 (H3F3A) via gain of chromosome 1 (chr1) or 1q, the chromosome arm where H3F3A is located, was present in 5 patients (i.e. cases 325, 311, 756, 309 and 313 in Additional file 2: Fig. S6), all duplicating the K27M mutant allele (see Additional file 2: Fig. S2 A-C for an example of modeling). 1q gain was also detected in the two cases (310 and 160) with a H3.1 (HIST1H3B) mutation.

For each patient, a phylogenetic tree was constructed based on CCF of somatic mutations and CNVs across all tumor regions. An overview of phylogenetic trees for all patients is shown in Fig. 2A, where the lengths of branches are proportional to the number of point mutations representing their molecular age. Truncal variants (represented by thick lines in Fig. 2A) are present ubiquitously at all tumor regions, and form the genetic basis of a founder clone, a.k.a. the most recent common ancestor. These founders may spawn one or more child nodes (or branches) representing the major lineages (thin lines in Fig. 2A) of subclonal populations detected in each patient. Multiple lineages were found in all except one patient, case 312, who had the longest treatment duration and harbored a H3.1 mutation (Fig. 2A). Strikingly, there is a positive correlation between the average CCF and the molecular age (defined by the number of mutations) of major lineages (R = 0.68, p value = 0.00016 by Pearson’s correlation test, Fig. 2B).

Amongst the 49 tumor regions, 25 were occupied by subclones descending from the same lineage while the remaining 24 were co-inhabited by those descending from admixed lineages. Amongst regions with multi-lineage occupancy, the predominant lineage accounted for 50–95% of CCF, indicating a high variability in the admixed subclonal populations (Additional file 3: Table S3). The median number of clones in each tumor region was 4 (range: 2–7, Fig. 2C). Considering all clones identified across multiple tumor regions for each patient, the median number of clones was 12 (range 4–15). The two patients with H3.1 mutations (160 and 312) had the lowest overall clonal diversity, with only 4 clones detected across the tumor regions. Interestingly, these two patients also lacked mutations in TP53 and PPM1D. To further investigate this observation, we analyzed a larger cohort of published data [21] and found that the number of clones in a tumor sample was lower in H3.1-mutant cases with marginal significance (median 4.5 in H3.1 versus 6.0 in H3.3-mutant patients, p value = 0.056). This trend was not detected in samples with the TP53/PPM1D mutation status.

Clonal invasive patterns, extrapontine invasion and convergent evolution
By superimposing the clonal compositions onto the anatomical locations of each tumor region, we were able
to depict the spatial occupancy pattern in each case, unveiling invasion of tumor cells within the pons and into extrapontine regions. Prominent patterns of invasion projected from admixed subclonal population in three representative cases are presented below (Figs. 3, 4, 5), with tumor samples acquired at autopsy from different regions of the same patient labeled arbitrarily as A1, A2, etc., and the histologically normal samples labeled as G1, G2, etc. Four extrapontine normal samples from two cases (311 and 326) bore low-level H3.3 (H3F3A) K27M mutations, which were further assessed for their tumor clonal composition (Additional file 1: Supplementary Methods, Additional file 2: Fig. S5).

Patient 325: early divergence defined by convergent evolution of TP53 mutations
Patient 325 was under crenolanib treatment for 27 days and was the only patient with two distinct somatic TP53 mutations, R273H and R248W, which were identified in the five autopsy samples analyzed. The presence of these different mutations was distinct spatially and associated with highly divergent CNV patterns (Additional file 2: Fig. S7A, B). Specifically, tumor regions A1 and A3 had clonal TP53R273H accompanied by extensive copy number gain affecting >50% of the cancer genomes. By contrast, the other three regions had an admixture of TP53R273H and TP53R248W mutations; one of these regions (A2) had a dominant clone of TP53R248W (CCF > 0.80) accompanied by absence of aneuploidy.

The phylogenetic tree of this patient had a very short trunk comprised of only two founder mutations in H3F3A and KIT as well as loss of 14q and 17p (Fig. 3). While 14q loss was omnipresent with an estimated clonality matching the tumor purity in each region (Additional file 2: Fig. S2D, E), CNVs on chromosome 17 had varying patterns of gain and loss at different tumor regions. Therefore, the timing of 17p loss was inferred based on fitting the empirical data against models for early versus late acquisition of this event (Additional file 1: Supplementary Methods, Additional file 2: Fig. S3).
Fig. 3  Early divergence defined by convergent evolution of TP53 mutations in patient 325. A A phylogenetic tree constructed from multi-region tumor samples with clones represented as cells and arrows indicating evolutionary branches. The founder clone comprised of truncal variants is shown in gray marked by a '*' from which the major branches are highlighted by distinct colors, i.e. the two major branches bearing TP53R273H and TP53R248W shown in blue and pink, respectively. The length of each branch is drawn proportional to the number of somatic SNVs/indels in its lineage-specific mutation cluster (details of the clusters are shown in Additional file 2: Fig. 7A). The clones are marked alphabetically by their corresponding mutation clusters with selected mutations labeled, along with chromosome/arm-level somatic CNV gains indicated with '+' and losses with '-' B Clonal composition of each tumor region. Each tumor region is marked by a dotted gray circle placed to its spatial position viewed from the top (transverse plane). Clones within each region are shown as circles colored and labeled by their lineages on the phylogenetic tree shown in (A). A founder clone marked by '*' may also represent an unknown subclone with undetected private mutations. The diameter of each circle corresponds to the size of the clone (legend at bottom). A1 was a tumor region within pons with unknown position and is shown outside the pons. Invasion of clone K of pink branch from A2 is shown by pink arrows. Tumor purity of each sample is indicated as a bar graph below.

The tree had two divergent branches bearing TP53R273H and TP53R248W (shaded blue and pink, respectively in Fig. 3A), representing two lineages resulting from an early divergence in tumor evolution. The TP53R273H lineage (labeled as lineage 1 in Fig. 2A) was twice as long as that of TP53R248W (labeled as lineage 2 in Fig. 2A) indicating an older molecular age. This inferred molecular age is consistent with the overall dominance of the TP53R273H lineage (marked in blue in Fig. 3B) as its average CCF is thrice as high as that of TP53R248W lineage (0.75 versus 0.25) in this patient.

Tumor regions A1 (location unknown) and A3 were occupied exclusively by the TP53R273H lineage. The predominant clone in A3 (clone C in Fig. 3, CCF 0.7) had acquired additional PI3K/MAPK pathway mutations (PIK3CAH1047R and a focal PDGFA amplification, Additional file 2: Fig. S7C) evolutionarily late. The PDGFA amplicon was estimated to have a CCF of ~0.20 with ~5-copy gain based on modeling of VAF of the co-amplified KIT truncal mutation. The other three regions, A2, A4, and A5, were co-occupied by tumor cells from both TP53R273H and TP53R248W lineages with varying CCFs (Fig. 3B). A2, located opposite A3, was the only region dominated by the TP53R248W lineage; it also had ~5% of admixture of tumor cells from the TP53R273H branch. A4 and A5 were predominantly occupied by descendants of the TP53R273H branch but had 25% and ~5% of TP53R248W admixture, respectively; and the level of admixture was correlated with regional proximity to A2. The admixed subclonal populations in these tumor regions suggest two waves of occupation—one by the TP53R273H branch and another by the TP53R248W branch likely initiated at or near A2. The molecular age, inferred from the lineage-specific mutation count, indicates that TP53R273H lineage occupation within the pons may have preceded the TP53R248W lineage.

**Patient 311: extrapontine invasion to cerebellum and spinal cord involving tumor cells from different lineages**

Patient 311 was treated for 192 days and had the highest number of samples profiled which included 7 tumors (A1-A7) and 3 normal samples (G1-G3). The anatomical sites of tumor samples included the pons (A1-A4) and cerebellum (A5-A7 representing right cerebellum, vermis and left cerebellum, respectively) while the normal samples were collected from the frontal cortex, cerebellum
and upper spinal cord. Extensive aneuploidy was present in all tumor samples, some of which was caused by a bi-allelic duplication resulting an admixture of 2-copy and 4-copy chromosomes, including a gain of chromosome 4 (Additional file 2: Fig. S8).

The phylogenetic tree had a trunk with a substantive number of somatic alterations including 15 point mutations (e.g. H3F3A K27M, TP53 R248Q, and MET Y1209T), MET amplification, 17p LOH and copy number gains of 6 chromosomes or chromosome arms (Fig. 4A). Eight truncal variants were subclonal in all tumor regions (0.5 ≤ CCF < 1.0, Additional file 1: Supplementary Methods, Additional file 2: Fig. S8A). By an integrated modeling (Additional file 1: Supplementary Methods, Additional file 2: Fig. S4), we found that the focal amplification, an event occurring later than PDGFRAY849C, is in fact an ampiclon of PDGFRAY849C which increased the dosage of the mutant allele by sevenfold (Additional file 2: Fig. S8D). A node B’ was added to this branch to mark this late amplification event.

Tumor cells of the two long branches showed different patterns of spatial occupancy. The one bearing C7E69K (colored yellow in Fig. 4) had extensive sub-branches and was found to dominate all three extrapontine regions (i.e. A5-7 of left/right cerebellum and vermis), but only 1 out of the 4 regions within the pons (i.e. A2). This pattern indicates that the branch likely initiated at A2 within the pons followed by an invasion leading to clonal occupancy and continued evolution in the three extrapontine regions (Fig. 4B). By contrast, the THBS4 D558K bearing long branch (colored blue in Fig. 4) was present exclusively within the pons but admixed with tumor cells from
the other branches. The short branch (colored pink in Fig. 4) was primarily present within the pons with tumor cells from the PDGFRAY849C sub-branch (denoted B) accounted for a CCF of ~ 0.4 in A3 and A4. Interestingly, a subclone comprised entirely of tumor cells containing the PDGFRAY849C amplicon (marked B’ in Fig. 4) was admixed with subclones of C7E69K branch at the extrapontine sample A7 (left cerebellum) indicating that an extrapontine invasion occurred after clone B acquired the PDGFRAY849C amplicon. Therefore, two waves of extrapontine invasion likely occurred—one by the long C7E69K branch and another by a descendant of the short branch carrying the PDGFRAY849C amplicon.

Two of the three normal samples, G2 (normal cerebellum) and G3 (normal upper spinal cord), also harbored truncal mutations and were estimated to contain 2% and 12% tumor cells, respectively, based on the VAF of H3F3AK27M mutation from deep sequencing data (Additional file 2: Fig. S5A, Additional file 1: Supplementary Methods). PDGFRAY849C cells (mutation cluster B in Fig. 4) were detected at G2 and G3 with a high (0.50) and a low (0.10) CCF, respectively. G3 also contained admixed tumor cells from the C7E69K branch (mutation cluster C in Fig. 4) at the CCF of 0.10 (Fig. 4B, Additional file 2: Fig. S5B, C). Therefore, these “contaminating” tumor-in-normal cells corroborated the extrapontine invasion patterns detected in the extrapontine tumor regions.

Patient 756: extensive PI3K convergent evolution in primary tumors but monoclonal in PDX models

Patient 756 was treated for 24 days, and the phylogenetic tree of this patient was constructed from five tumor samples, four within the pons (A1–A4) and one extrapontine of unknown location (A5). In addition, we incorporated mutations from two PDX samples derived from A1 to verify and refine the clonal lineage and composition from the patient samples. The tree had a trunk comprised of 11-point mutations (e.g. H3F3AK27M, and PPM1DL523fs) as well as copy gains of 1q, 2 and 17q, and copy loss of 14q (Fig. 5A, Additional file 2: Fig. S9). The most striking feature of the tree is the presence of a distinct mutation in the PI3K pathway in each of its four major branches, thereby representing the most diverse example of PI3K convergent evolution in our cohort (Fig. 5A). Three of the four branches originated with a distinct PI3K pathway mutation (i.e. PIK3R1N453del, PIK3CAE545G and PIK3R1D569>ED for the branches colored blue, pink and purple in Fig. 5B).
green, respectively, in Fig. 5) while the fourth (yellow in Fig. 5) had one sub-branch with PIK3CA H1047R.

While the four pontine tumors had an admixture of 3 out of the 4 branches, the extrapontine tumor A5 was occupied by tumor cells from a single lineage, i.e. those descending from the shortest (blue in Fig. 5) branch with a CCF of 0.80 along with a minor population of the founder clone. The shortest branch had two lineage-specific mutations PIK3R1 N453del and PTPN11 E76K that are known to activate PI3K and RAS signaling pathways, respectively (Fig. 5). Notably, descendants from this branch were also present in all four pontine tumors as minor subclones (combined CCF ranging 0.10–0.15). The exclusive extrapontine-dominance of this branch implies a potential extrapontine origin, i.e. after an early escape of the ancestral clone from the pons. However, accounting for its broad prevalence within the pons implies a broad "reciprocal" invasion back into the pons, a phenomenon not supported by published literature. Alternatively, the extrapontine dominance can be explained by escape of a subclone originated within the pons, but having high migratory/invasive capabilities and an extraordinary fitness in the extrapontine region. Interestingly, the fitness advantage of descendants from this branch can also be discerned through the mutation profile of the two PDX models established from A1: a minor subclone with an estimated CCF of <0.10 at A1 from this branch is the predominant clone accounting for >0.90 CCF in both models (clone C in Fig. 5).

Other cases: extrapontine invasion, single-lineage and PI3K evolution patterns

Extrapontine invasion to medulla and midbrain detected in normal brain samples

Case 326 is another patient whose normal samples (G3 and G4 derived from medulla and midbrain, respectively) contained a low fraction (~10%) of the tumor cells (Additional file 2: Fig. S10). The lineage of the tumor cells in these normal samples could be tracked to the trunk and the short branch (Additional file 2: Fig. S10), indicating multiple waves of extrapontine invasion, to medulla (G3) and midbrain (G4).

Predominance of a single lineage across all tumor regions was identified in patients 309 (Additional file 2: Fig. S11) and 310 (Additional file 2: Fig. S12) while only a single lineage was detected in patient 312 who had the longest treatment duration (>600 days) in our cohort (Additional file 2: Fig. S13). The predominant lineage in 309 harbored PIK3CA H1047R mutation while that of case 310 had a sub-branch with a subclonal PDGFRA amplification present in all tumor regions.

PI3K mutations were detected in four additional patients including single-lineage evolution in patients 308 (Additional file 2: Fig. S14) and 324 (Additional file 2: Fig. S15), and convergent evolution in patients 160 and 313. Patient 160, despite having the lowest clonal diversity in the entire cohort (i.e. 3 clones identified amongst the three tumor samples profiled), had two independent PIK3CA mutations, including PIK3CA Q546K in A1 and PIK3CA E545K in A2 and A3 (Additional file 2: Fig. S16). One of the major branches of case 313 had two sub-branches with distinct mutations in PIK3CA and PIK3R1 (Additional file 2: Fig. S17).

Discussion

Our multi-region tumor profiling of DMG-H3 K27-a autopsy samples from 11 patients treated with crenolanib revealed a temporal order of mutational acquisition similar to previously published multi-region studies [21–23, 41]. Several findings unique in our cohort unveil new insights on DMG-H3 K27-a intratumor heterogeneity. First, the >70% prevalence of PI3K pathway mutations in our studies is significantly higher than prior studies. This suggests PI3K activation may be more prevalent in DMG-H3 K27-a than previously recognized. Second, convergent evolution of PI3K pathway mutations was detected in 4 patients (~40%), all of whom had short treatment duration (24–79 days). By contrast, 3 out of the 4 single-lineage mutations were found in patients with long treatment duration (174–191 days). This indicates a possibility for selecting a specific PI3K mutation under crenolanib treatment. However, significance of this pattern cannot be assessed due to the small size of the patient cohort. Third, the higher proportion of truncal CNVs in patients with long treatment history indicates selection for a specific lineage during treatment. Unfortunately, this could not be evaluated rigorously as all tumor samples profiled were obtained at autopsy of treated patients. Consequently, we were not able to identify mutational profile and invasion patterns associated with crenolanib treatment due to this limitation. Future studies designed to profile both untreated and post-treatment tissues would help to illuminate whether these mutations were pre-existing or acquired during treatment.

Nearly half of the cases showed extensive aneuploidy with copy losses and gains affecting >50% of the tumor genomes, making it imperative to incorporate CNV clonality into our analysis of tumor purity and CCF of the mutational clusters (Additional file 2: Fig. S6). The resulting data also enabled tracking the lineage of subclonal CNVs, which we believe is an important component of DMG-H3 K27-a intratumor heterogeneity, as extensive CNV heterogeneity was previously unveiled by single-cell RNA-seq of two DMG-H3 K27-a tumors [14]. We did not exclude any mutation clusters, as those of small size can be critical in constructing the phylogeny. For
example, the founder clone in patient 325 is comprised of two point mutations (Fig. 3) while a minor subclone distinguishable from its parent by only 1 SNV becomes the predominant population in the two PDX models established from case 756 (Fig. 5).

We noted that the purity estimates incorporating the founder mutation H3 K27M differed from those analyzed by popular tools such as ASCAT [34] and ABSOLUTE [24] (Addition file 3: Table S4). This may reflect that the assumption of a uniformed ploidy implemented by these programs may not be robust when modeling admixtures of tumor cells with different ploidy profile (e.g. sample 325_A4 in Fig. 3). Our model-based approach not only helps to resolve ambiguity in variants with uncertain lineage (e.g. the 17p loss in case 325, Addition file 2: Fig. S7), it can also lead to interesting findings. For example, we identified copy gains of the H3F3A mutant allele, rather than the wild-type allele, in all five patients with both H3F3A mutation and chr1 or 1q gain, indicating that increasing the dosage of the H3F3A mutant allele may affect tumorigenesis or progression. Interestingly, 1q gain may have an impact beyond the H3F3A mutant allele, as it was also found in two patients who had HIST1H3B mutation (located on chromosome 6) instead of H3F3A mutation via single-copy gain (case 160) or bi-allelic duplication (case 312). Our modeling of PDGFRA amplification present in four cases showed that these are subclonal events with high-level amplification reminiscent of those caused by extrachromosomal DNA (ecDNA) or homogeneous staining region (HSR). In patient 311, our modeling showed that PDGFRA amplification was a de facto PDGFRAY849C amplicon (Additional file 2: Fig. S8)—similar amplification-associated resistance mechanism was previously unveiled by in vitro cell-line or in vivo PDX model based experiments [43], suggesting this event may be relevant to therapeutic resistance.

Extrapontine tumor samples were profiled for two patients (311 and 756), and their clonal lineages can be tracked to at least one tumor region within the pons. Therefore, extrapontine invasion, previously considered an early event [21], can also involve late-evolving subclones. This is best illustrated by the presence of a subclone with the PDGFRAY849C amplicon, projected to be a late event based on our modeling, in the cerebellum of patient 311 (Additional file 2: Fig. S8). Interestingly, two of the four normal brain samples from this patient contained tumor-in-normal contamination, and the subclonal identity of the “contaminants” matches those detected in the extrapontine tumor samples including the one containing PDGFRAY849C. Therefore, the “contaminating tumor cells” in normal samples are analogous to trails of footprints left by extrapontine migration. Indeed, in all four such normal tissues not only founder clones but also their descendant subclones were detected, an observation consistent with a multi-wave invasion hypothesis (Fig. 4 and Additional file 2: Figs. S8 and S10). Overall, co-occupancy of tumor cells from different lineages was detected in ~50% (24/49) of the tumor regions profiled, indicating DMG-H3 K27-a invasion can be an ongoing process driven by continued clonal evolution and expansion.

Our study unveils important insights into DMG-H3 K27-a evolution and invasion. The temporal order of tumor clone invasion was inferred from modeling of mutational acquisition (e.g. PDGFRAY849C amplicon) as well as the relative molecular age of the major branches of the founder clone. The significant correlation between the average CCF and the molecular age in our cohort (Fig. 2A) provides support for this temporal inference, as a more expansive occupancy is likely driven by a lineage with longer evolution time. Due to lack of information on the precise location of the autopsy samples, we were unable to incorporate additional longitudinal data such as clinical imaging into our analysis, which could provide a definitive answer regarding invasions driven by multiple timepoints versus collaborative co-migration of clones from different lineages. Future studies designed with a goal of integrating longitudinal imaging data with genomics-based tumor clonal evolution analysis can potentially provide a more refined view of DMG-H3 K27-a evolution in response to therapeutic treatment.

**Abbreviations**

DMG-H3 K27-a: H3 K27-altered diffuse midline gliomas; PDGFRA: Platelet-derived growth factor receptor alpha; CNV: Copy number variations; WES: Whole exome sequencing; SNV: Single nucleotide variants; Indels: Small insertions/deletions; VAF: Variant allele fraction; BAF: B-allele fractions; SNPs: Single nucleotide polymorphisms; LOH: Loss-of-heterozygosity; CCF: Cancer cell fractions; PI3K: Phosphatidylinositol 3-kinases; AI: Allelic Imbalance.

**Supplementary Information**

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1186/s40478-022-01381-0.

**Additional file1.** Supplementary methods.

**Additional file2.** Supplementary figures.

**Additional file3.** Supplementary tables.

**Acknowledgements**

We thank Dr. Zoltan Patay for evaluating the potential for matching histological sites recorded on his spatial imaging data with autopsy sites documented on this DIPG cohort. We thank Mr. Michael Edmonson for his editorial assistance in preparing the final version of the manuscript. Ms. Delaram Rahbarinia and Mr. Brian Curran assisted us with data deposition to St. Jude Cloud.

**Author contributions**

Conceptualization: CJW, SJW and JZ; Investigation: SA, KS, SWB, XM, SJW and JZ; Modeling: KS and JZ; Data Analysis: SA, KS, SWB, XM, BJR, MM and JZ; Data generation: BS, BJ, HLM, JE, SJA and BAO; Writing—original draft: SA, KS and JZ; Writing—review and editing: SA, KS, SWB, XM, BAO, CT, SJW, CJW and JZ;
Project administration: JZ, SJB; Funding acquisition: JZ and SJB; Resources: BJ, BS, HLJ, ME, CS, SJA, BAO and C JW; Supervision: JZ. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding
This work was supported in part by National Cancer Institute (NCI) Grants to B.O., J.Z. and S.J.B. (P30CA012765 and P01CA096832). J.Z. was also supported by NCI via R01CA216391. All authors from St. Jude Children’s Research Hospital received support from American Lebanese Syrian Associated Charities (ALSAC).

Availability of data and materials
All data generated or analyzed in this manuscript are included in the article and its supplementary material. All request for data or supporting material may be sent to the corresponding author. Exome sequencing BAM files are available on St. Jude Cloud as part of the Pediatric Cancer Genome Project and can be accessed under the accession SJC-DS-1018 (https://platform. stjude.cloud/data/cohorts/dataset_accession=SJC-DS-1018).

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate
Human tissue samples: All human samples were collected at autopsy after the approval on the study (IRB# XPD-09-018).

Competing interests
The authors declare no potential conflicts of interest.

Author details
1. Department of Computer Science, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08540, USA
2. Department of Computational Biology, St. Jude Children’s Research Hospital, Memphis, TN 38105, USA.
3. Departments of Pathology, St. Jude Children’s Research Hospital, Memphis, TN, USA.
4. Clinical Development, Neoleukin, 188 East Blaine, Suite 450, Seattle, WA 98102, USA.
5. Department of Developmental Neurobiology, St. Jude Children’s Research Hospital, Room M3412, 262 Danny Thomas Place, Memphis, TN 38105, USA.

Received: 10 March 2022 Accepted: 12 May 2022 Published online: 31 May 2022

References
1. Louis DN, Perry A, Wesseling P, Brat DJ, Cree IA, Figarella-Branger D et al. (2021) The 2021 WHO classification of tumors of the central nervous system: a summary. Neuro Oncol 23:1231–1251
2. Hoffman LM, Van Zanten SEMV, Colditz N, Baugh J, Chaney B, Hoffman M et al. (2018) Clinical, radiologic, pathologic, and molecular characteristics of long-term survivors of diffuse intrinsic pontine glioma (DIPG): a collaborative report from the International and European Society for Pediatric Oncology DIPG registries. J Clin Oncol 36:1963
3. Hagraye D, Bartels U, Bouffet E (2006) Diffuse brainstem glioma in children: critical review of clinical trials. Lancet Oncol. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(06)70615-5
4. Ramos A, Hilario A, Lagares A, Salvador E, Perez-Nuñez A, Sepulveda J et al. (2013) Brainstem Gliomas. Semin Ultrasound, CT MRI 34:1016/S1470-2045(06)70615-5
5. Robison NJ, Kieran MW (2014) Diffuse intrinsic pontine glioma: a reassessment. J Neurooncol https://doi.org/10.1007/s11060-014-1448-8
6. Warren KE (2012) Diffuse intrinsic pontine glioma: poised for progress. Front Oncol. https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2012.00205
7. Schwartzenzuber J, Koschunov A, Liu XY, Jones DTW, Papoff F, Jacob K et al. (2012) Driver mutations in histone H3.3 and chromatin remodelling genes in paediatric glioblastoma. Nature 482:226
8. Buczko wicz P, Hoeman C, Rakopoulos P, Pajovic S, Letourneau L, Dzamba M et al (2014) Genomic analysis of diffuse intrinsic pontine glioma identifies three molecular subgroups and recurrent activating ACVR1 mutations. Nat Genet 46:451
9. Wu G, Broniscer A, McEachron TA, Lu C, Paugh BS, Beckstead J et al. (2012) Somatic histone H3 alterations in pediatric diffuse intrinsic pontine gliomas and non-brainstem glioblastomas. Nat Genet 44:251
10. Wu G, Diaz AK, Paugh BS, Rankin SL, Ju B, Li Y et al. (2014) The genomic landscape of diffuse intrinsic pontine glioma and pediatric non-brainstem high-grade glioma. Nat Genet 46:444
11. Fontebasso AM, Papillon-Cavanagh S, Schwartzenzuber J, Nikbakht H, Gerses N, Fixet PO et al. (2014) Recurrent somatic mutations in ACVR1 in pediatric midline high-grade astrocytoma. Nat Genet 46:462
12. Taylor RK, Mackay A, Truffaux N, Butterfield YS, Morozova O, Philippe C et al. (2014) Recurrent activating ACVR1 mutations in diffuse intrinsic pontine glioma. Nat Genet. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.2925
13. Dai C, Celestino JC, Okada Y, Louis DN, Fuller GN HE. PDGF autocrine stimulation dedifferentiates cultured astrocytes and induces oligodendrogliomas and oligoastrocytomas from neural progenitors and astrocytes in vivo.
14. Filiberti T, Vojcich P, Lhovestadt V, Shaw ML, Escalante LE, Mathewson ND et al. (2018) Developmental and onco genic programs in H3K27M gliomas dissected by single-cell RNA-seq. Science 360:360
15. Larson JD, Kasper LH, Paugh BS, Jin H, Wu G, Kwon CH et al. (2019) Histone H3 K27M accelerates spontaneous brainstem glioma and drives restricted changes in bivalent gene expression. Cancer Cell 35:140
16. Paugh BS, Zhu X, Qu C, Endersby R, Diaz AK, Zhang J, et al. (2013) Novel oncogenic PDCGFRA mutations in pediatric high-grade gliomas. Cancer Res. https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-13-1491
17. Tinkle CL, Broniscer A, Chiang J, Campagne O, Huang J, Orr BA et al. (2021) Phase 1 study using crenolanib to target PDGFR kinase in children and young adults with newly diagnosed DIPG or recurrent high-grade glioma, including DIPG. Neuro-Oncol Adv. https://doi.org/10.1093/noan/nvaa179
18. Rusch M, Naktandwe J, Shurtleff S, Newman S, Zhang Z, Edmonson MN et al. (2018) Clinical cancer genomic profiling by three-platform sequencing of whole genome, whole exome and transcriptome. Nat Commun. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-06485-7
19. Ma X, Shao Y, Tan L, Flasch DA, Mulder HL, Edmonson MN et al. (2019) Analysis of error profiles in deep next-generation sequencing data. Genome Biol 20:50
20. Gerlinger M, Rowan AJ, Horswell S, Larkin J, Endesfelder D, Gronroos E et al. (2012) Intratumor heterogeneity and branched evolution revealed by multiregion sequencing. N Engl J Med. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJOC.2012.07.036
21. Vinci M, Burbad A, Molinari V, Kessler D, Popov S, Clarke M et al. (2018) Functional diversity and cooperation between subclonal populations of pediatric glioblastoma and diffuse intrinsic pontine-glioma cells. Nat Med. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-018-0086-7
22. Hoffman LM, DeWire M, Ryall S, Buczko wicz P, Leach J, Miles L et al. (2016) Spatial genomic heterogeneity in diffuse intrinsic pontine and midline high-grade glioma: implications for diagnostic biopsy and targeted therapeutics. Acta Neuropathol Commun. https://doi.org/10.1186/ s40478-016-0283-x
23. Nikbakht H, Panditharatna E, Mikael LG, Li R, Gayden T, Osmond M et al. (2016) Spatial and temporal homogeneity of driver mutations in diffuse intrinsic pontine glioma. Nat Commun. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms11185
24. Marcotte SI, Cibulskis K, Helman E, McKenna A, Chen H, Zack T et al. (2012) Absolute quantification of somatic DNA alterations in human cancer. Nat Biotechnol 30:413–421
25. Jamal-Hanjani M, Wilson GA, McGranahan N, Birkbak N, Watkins TB, Veeriah S et al. (2017) Tracking the evolution of non-small-cell lung cancer. N Engl J Med United States 376:2109–2121
26. Ma X, Edmonson M, Vergeau D, Muzny DM, Hampton OA, Rusch M et al. (2017) Rise and fall of subclones from diagnosis to relapse in pediatric B-acute lymphoblastic leukaemia. Nat Commun. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms17604
27. Li H (2010) Aligning new-sequencing reads by BWA. BWA: Burrows-Wheeler Aligner. Slides
28. Edmonson MN, Zhang J, Yan C, Finney RP, Meerzaman DM, Buetow KH (2011) Bambino: a variant detector and alignment viewer for next-generation sequencing data in the SAM/BAM format. Bioinformatics https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btr032
29. Zhang J, Ding L, Holmfeldt L, Wu G, Heatley SL, Payne-Turner D et al (2012) The genetic basis of early T-cell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukaemia. Nature 481:157–163

30. Hagiwara K, Edmonson MN, Wheeler DA, Zhang J (2021) indelPost: harmonizing ambiguities in simple and complex indel alignments. Bioinformatics 38:549

31. McCarroll SA, Kuruvilla FG, Korn JM, Cawley S, Nemesh J, Wysoker A et al (2008) Integrated detection and population-genetic analysis of SNPs and copy number variation. Nat Genet 40:1166–1174. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.238

32. Táleiva J, Shain AH, Botton T, Bastian BC (2016) CNVkit: genome-wide copy number detection and visualization from targeted DNA sequencing. PLoS Comput Biol 12:e1004873. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1004873

33. Tarabichi M, Salcedo A, Deshwar AG, Ni Leathlobhair M, Wintersinger J, Wedge DC et al (2021) A practical guide to cancer subclonal reconstruction from DNA sequencing. Nat Methods 18:144–155

34. Van Loo P, Nordgard SH, Linnerød OC, Rissnes HG, Rye IH, Sun W et al (2010) Allele-specific copy number analysis of tumors. Proc Natl Acad Sci 107:16910–16915

35. Roth A, Khattria J, Yap D, Wan A, Laks E, Biele J et al (2014) PyClone: statistical inference of clonal population structure in cancer. Nat Methods. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2883

36. Jiao W, Vembu S, Deshwar AG, Stein L, Morris Q (2014) Inferring clonal evolution of tumors from single nucleotide somatic mutations. BMC Bioinform 15:35. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-15-35

37. Jakubek YA, San Lucas FA, Scheet P (2019) Directional allelic imbalance profiling and visualization from multi-sample data with RECUR. Bioinformatics 35:2300–2302

38. Team RC (2013) R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Austria, Vienna

39. McKinney W (2010) Data structures for statistical computing in python. In: Proc 9th Python Sci Conf. Austin, TX. p. 51–6

40. Gröbner SN, Worst BC, Weischenfeldt J, Buchhalter J, Kleinheinz K, Rudneva VA et al (2018) The landscape of genomic alterations across childhood cancers. Nat England 555:321–327

41. Koschmann C, Farooqui Z, Kasaian K, Cao X, Zamler D, Stallard S et al (2017) Multi-focal sequencing of a diffuse intrinsic pontine glioma establishes PTEN loss as an early event. npj Precis Oncol. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41698-017-0033-y

42. Heldin C-H, Lennartsson J (2013) Structural and functional properties of platelet-derived growth factor and stem cell factor receptors. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol 5:a009100

43. Ercan D, Zejnullahu K, Yonesaka K, Xiao Y, Capelletti M, Rogers A et al (2010) Amplification of EGFR T790M causes resistance to an irreversible EGFR inhibitor. Oncogene 29:2346–2356

Publisher’s Note
Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.