Photoimmunotherapy and biodistribution with an OC125-chlorin immunoconjugate in an in vivo murine ovarian cancer model
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Summary Photodynamic therapy (PDT) is an experimental approach to the treatment of neoplasms in which photosensitizers (PSs) accumulated in malignant tissues are photoactivated with appropriate wavelengths of light to target selectively PSs that may be impenetrated by inflammatory cells or host tissues. Monoclonal antibodies (MAbs) can mediate the delivery of therapeutic agents to neoplasms in which PSs are highly concentrated. Here, we describe a murine ovarian cancer model using an OC125-chlorin immunoconjugate, which is a promising treatment modality for ovarian cancers.

Ovarian cancer is the second most common gynaecological malignancy in Western women, and it is currently the fourth leading cause of cancer death (Boring et al., 1993). It is a disease that is largely localised to the peritoneal cavity and, therefore, may be amenable to localised therapies which have tumour cell selectivity such as photodynamic therapy (PDT). PDT is an experimental approach to the treatment of neoplasms which may alleviate some of the problems associated with the lack of specificity of conventional therapies and may be particularly appropriate in the treatment of ovarian cancer because of the generally localised nature of the disease. In PDT, non-toxic photoactivatable compounds, photosensitisers, are accumulated in normal and malignant tissues (Dougherty, 1987). Exposure to the appropriate wavelength of light causes photoactivation by the production of cytotoxic species, such as singlet oxygen (Weishaupt, 1976). PDT provides some increased selectivity by a combination of photosensitiser localisation to the tumour and the spatial control of illuminated areas. In principle, this should minimise toxicities to normal tissues.

Currently, the majority of clinical applications use haematoporphyrin derivative (HPD), a mixture of porphyrins, the relative more purified form of which is Photofrin (PF). Reasonable success without side-effects such as prolonged skin photosensitivity using PF or HPD has been obtained in only a few clinical situations (Marcus, 1992). Of particular interest and relevant to ovarian cancer is a study by Tochner et al. (1985, 1986) in the treatment of murine intraperitoneal ovarian ascites tumour with HPD and 514 nm irradiation. These investigators showed effective ascitic photodestruction in 17 of 20 animals with four treatments of HPD using intraperitoneal irradiation. These results prompted human phase I PDT studies using PF in the treatment of disseminated intraperitoneal malignancies (Delaney et al., 1993). During these trials, in addition to the cutaneous phototoxicity, which could persist for up to 60 days, small bowel perforations developed at anastomotic sites. These problems were attributed to non-specific localisation of the photosensitiser, and have stimulated the search for new photosensitisers with improved specificity (Gomer, 1991).

An alternative way to improve target specificity of phototoxic compounds is to link them with carrier molecules such as monoclonal antibodies (Mew et al., 1983, 1985; Oserrcoff et al., 1986; Hasan et al., 1989a–c; Jang et al., 1990; Rakestraw et al., 1990). One of the main problems associated with the use of antibodies is their large size and high immunoglobulin system (RES) capture. In addition to systemic toxicity, this process greatly reduces the antibody available to the target. Intracellular administration of antibody or conjugates in the target region reduces such RES capture in the first pass post administration and is possibly the more realistic application of antibody conjugates. We have therefore, initiated a programme aimed at photochemical targeting of ovarian cancer cells using monoclonal antibody–photosensitiser conjugates administered intraperitoneally. The photosensitiser chlorin e6 (CMA) was conjugated to the antibody via carbodiimide monoethylendiamine monomamide (CMA) was conjugated site specifically via polyglutamic acid (PGA) to a murine monoclonal antibody, OC125 (Goff et al., 1991). OC125 recognises the antigen CA 125 (Bast et al., 1981), which is expressed on 80% of non-mucinous ovarian cancers (Bast et al., 1981; Davis et al., 1986; Miotti et al., 1987). The conjugate was significantly more phototoxic to ovarian ascites cells in vivo (cell lines) and ex vivo (from cancer patients) (Goff et al., 1991, 1992), while exhibiting no phototoxicity to CA 125-negative cells.

The present study is an extension of our previous in vitro and ex vivo photodynamic experiments and reports the results of our initial in vivo investigations. As a first step in this direction, we investigated the biodistribution and tumour uptake of the OC125-IC in a murine ascites ovarian cancer model by photosensitiser extraction and fluorescence spectro-
scopy. Preliminary studies evaluating the effectiveness of PDT using this conjugate were also conducted in the same model.

**Materials and methods**

**Tumour cells**

NIH:OVCAR3 cells were a generous gift from Centocor (Malvern, PA, USA). This cell line was derived from the ascites of a patient with ovarian cancer (Hamilton et al., 1983). Cells were grown in RPMI-1640 medium supplemented with heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum and kept in an incubator at 37°C in an atmosphere of 5% carbon dioxide. For tumour transplantation, cells were trypanosed (trypsin–EDTA, Gibco, Grand Island, NY, USA), centrifuged at 1,000 r.p.m. for 10 min (model 6000B, Sorvall Centrifuges, Dupont, Wilmington, DE, USA) and resuspended in 1 ml of phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) (Gibco) for intraperitoneal injection.

**Tumour model**

Experiments were carried out in a murine model for ovarian cancer developed by Hamilton et al. (1984). Balb c athymic nude mice (Charles River Breeding Laboratories) were given intraperitoneal injections of 30 × 10⁶ NIH:OVCAR3 cells. This results in the development of serosal metastases similar to that of ovarian cancer in humans. Disease progression is characterised by the development of massive ascites and extensive intraperitoneal tumours. Within 6 weeks, animals develop clinical evidence of ascites, at which time biodistribution studies are performed.

**Photosensitisers**

CMA was obtained from Porphyrin Products (Logan, UT, USA) and the PGA was obtained from Sigma (St Louis, MO, USA). The monoclonal antibody OC125 was a gift from Centocor, and was coupled site specifically at its carbohydrate moiety with CMA-bound PGA as previously described to form the OC125-PGA-CMA IC (Goff et al., 1991).

**Biodistribution**

CMA was injected intraperitoneally at a dose of 2.0 mg per kg body weight; the IC and PGA-CMA were injected at CMA equivalents matched for identical absorption at 650 nm with the free CMA solution. Following injection of the photosensitiser, animals (n = 5–7) were sacrificed by cervical dislocation at 3, 6, 12, 24, 48, 76 and 168 h. The ascites containing tumour cells, kidney, liver, skin, small intestine and plasma were harvested from the animals. For the intestine specimen, the whole wall including mucosa, submucosa, muscle and serosa was sampled. Wet tissue samples were weighed and frozen at −70°C. For extraction of the photosensitiser the samples were homogenised (homogeniser model PT 10/35, Brinkman Instruments, Westbury, NY, USA) in 3 ml of 0.1 m sodium hydroxide and centrifuged at 17,000 r.p.m. (Sorvall RC-5B, refrigerated superspeed centrifuge, Dupont Instruments) for 20 min (Bachor et al., 1992). The fluorescence of the supernatant was measured with a spectrofluorimeter (Fluorolog 2, Spex Industries, Edison, NJ, USA) and compared with that of a standard solution of CMA, PGA-CMA or OC125-PGA-CMA (IC). The excitation wavelength was 400 nm and emission spectra were monitored between 500 and 700 nm. The fluorescence of tissue samples from untreated animals was used for background correction. The quantitative nature of the extraction procedure was established by spectrophotometric readings of successive extracts and by comparison with extracts of known mixes of PSSs and tissues.

**Photodynamic treatment in vivo**

Mice were injected with 30 million NIH:OVCAR3 cells. Seven days after injection, animals were given an intraperitoneal injection of IC or CMA (at 0.5 and 2.0 mg kg⁻¹ CMA equivalent as described above) followed by irradiation 24 h later. Controls were injected with sterile PBS. Animals in one group (PS dose 2.0 mg kg⁻¹) were immobilised and given external whole-abdomen irradiation (field size approximately 3.5 cm diameter) with an argon ion pumped dye laser (Coherent, Palo Alto, CA, USA) at a wavelength of 656 nm (λmax for CMA). External irradiation was used in these initial studies because there was no requirement for sedation or violation of the peritoneal cavity. Also, in nude mice, the abdominal wall is thin (<2 mm) and presents minimal barrier to 656 nm irradiation (Svaasand et al., 1990). The power density measured at the skin surface was 40–70 mW cm⁻², and the fluence administered was 20 and 30 J cm⁻². The second group (PS dose 0.5 mg kg⁻¹) was sedated with sodium pentobarbital and intraperitoneal irradiation was carried out according to the method of Tochner et al. (1985, 1986) at 656 nm, 50 mW and 1 min per quadrant. Animals were treated with PBS and light every 48 h for a total of three treatments. A quantitative assessment of the extent of ovarian cancer cell destruction was performed ex vivo for both groups of animals. One hour after irradiation the ascites was harvested with a 15 gauge needle. RBCs were lysed with 0.83% ammonium chloride (Aldrich Chemical, Milwaukee, WI, USA). Ovarian cancer cells were washed in sterile Dulbecco’s PBS (Gibco, Grand Island, NY, USA) and placed in 35 mm Petri dishes at a concentration of 150,000 cells ml⁻¹ in RPMI-1640 medium (Gibco) containing 10% fetal bovine serum. Forty-eight hours after plating, the survival of treated and control ex vivo cultures was determined by the 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5 diphenyl terazolium bromide (MTT) colorimetric assay (Mosmann, 1983).

**Results**

**Photosensitisers**

The qualitative UV–visible absorption spectra for free CMA and the OC125-PGA-CMA (IC) are shown in Figure 1. The spectra are essentially similar, though the IC shows a 3–5 nm blue shift compared with free CMA.

**Biodistribution**

The tumour content of CMA, PGA-CMA and IC as a function of time are shown in Figure 2. The peak concentra-

![Figure 1 UV–visible absorption spectra of CMA and IC dissolved in PBS. The CMA concentration was 7.1 µM in PBS and the IC concentration was arbitrarily adjusted to display the spectrum clearly.](image-url)

Figure 1
tion for CMA occurs at 24 h and slowly declines over time. The tissue distribution of free CMA is shown in Table I. For blood, there is a brief peak at 6 h, following which the level drops to almost zero. For liver and kidney there is almost no uptake of CMA. In the skin and intestines there is some uptake initially at 3, 6 and 12 h, but the tissue concentrations drop off by 24 h. The biodistribution of PGA-CMA is shown in Table II. Peak tumour tissue concentrations of PGA-CMA are found at 3 h and slowly decline over time. As for other tissues, blood, liver and kidney have minimal uptake. However, skin and intestine retain significant amounts of PGA-CMA and have tissue concentrations similar to tumour levels. The tumour to skin and intestine ratios were in general close to 1 at most time points. At 3 and 24 h, tumour to skin ratios of up to 3 were obtained. At these time points, the tumour to intestine ratios were 1.6 and 0.8 respectively. The tissue distribution of the IC is shown in Table III. The peak tumour concentration (3.18 ± 1.38 nmol per g of tissue) also occurs at 24 h, as with CMA (Figure 2); however, the mean tissue concentration of the IC is twice that of free CMA. As levels decline in the tumour, liver and kidney concentrations increase, with peak tissue concentration of IC occurring at 168 h in these tissues (1.03 ± 0.34 nmol g⁻¹ for liver and 0.69 ± 0.35 for kidney). Blood concentrations are low at all time points. The levels in the intestine are modestly elevated and peak at 12 h. At 24 h, the concentration of IC in tumour is 3.5 times greater than in the intestines, but IC remains in the intestines even at 33 h.

Tumour to non-tumour ratios for free CMA, PGA-CMA and IC are presented in Table IV for all measured time points and in Figure 3 for the 3, 12 and 24 h time points. For the IC, the peak tumour concentration (3.18 ± 1.38 nmol g⁻¹ tissue) occurs at 24 h. At that time, tumour to non-tumour ratios are 6.8 for blood, 6.5 for liver, 7.2 for kidney, 5.7 for skin and 3.5 for intestine. At 48 and 72 h tumour to non-tumour ratios decrease for all tissues except blood; however, the ratios are all still above 2. For CMA, tissue concentrations are generally about half those for IC; peak tumour concentrations (1.67 ± 0.40 nmol g⁻¹ tissue) occur at 24 h, as with the IC. Tumour to non-tumour ratios appear to be high. However, the lower absolute concentrations border the detection limits.

Photodynamic therapy

Once the biodistribution studies had identified 24 h following injection of CMA or IC as an appropriate starting time for irradiation, in vivo-in vitro experiments were carried out to assess the extent of photodynamic destruction of the ascites tumour cell population. Ascites harvested from tumour-bearing animals after treatment with IC or CMA and light was assayed for survival by the MTT assay and compared with untreated controls. The results are presented in Table V. Animals were initially treated with a single external light exposure. At a dose of 2 mg kg⁻¹ and 20 J cm⁻² 40% of the cells treated with the IC and 70% of cells treated with CMA survived. At 30 J cm⁻², cell survival was 14% and 56% for IC and CMA treatment respectively. However, even at the lower light dose, 30-50% of the animals treated with IC or with CMA died secondary to treatment toxicity. Histological profiles of dead animals 24 h post PDT showed vascular congestion in liver, kidney, lung and spleen similar to those

---

**Table I** Mean concentrations (nmol g⁻¹ tissue) of free CMA in mice* (± s.d.)

| Time (h) | Ascites | Blood | Liver | Kidney | Skin | Intestine |
|----------|---------|-------|-------|--------|------|-----------|
| 3        | 0.91 ± 0.37 | 0.18 ± 0.16 | 0.07 ± 0.04 | 0.04 ± 0.03 | 0.31 ± 0.27 | 0.54 ± 0.78 |
| 6        | 1.39 ± 0.73 | 0.43 ± 0.05 | 0.08 ± 0.05 | 0.06 ± 0.04 | 0.32 ± 0.19 | 0.37 ± 0.17 |
| 12       | 1.28 ± 0.79 | 0.01 ± 0.007 | 0.07 ± 0.05 | 0.03 ± 0.03 | 0.21 ± 0.13 | 0.12 ± 0.12 |
| 24       | 1.67 ± 0.40 | 0.02 ± 0.02 | 0.06 ± 0.04 | 0.03 ± 0.03 | 0.09 ± 0.05 | 0.11 ± 0.12 |
| 48       | 1.50 ± 0.86 | 0.01 ± 0.01 | 0.05 ± 0.03 | 0.02 ± 0.02 | 0.06 ± 0.05 | 0.07 ± 0.08 |
| 72       | 1.12 ± 0.34 | 0.005 ± 0.005 | 0.03 ± 0.02 | 0.02 ± 0.02 | 0.06 ± 0.04 | 0 |

*Balb/c nude mice (n = 5–7) with ovarian ascites tumours were injected intraperitoneally with 2 mg kg⁻¹ CMA. Animals were sacrificed at various times. The amount of CMA was quantitated by extraction followed by fluorescence spectroscopy.

**Table II** Mean concentrations (nmol g⁻¹ tissue) of PGA-CMA in mice* (± s.d.)

| Time (h) | Ascites | Blood | Liver | Kidney | Skin | Intestine |
|----------|---------|-------|-------|--------|------|-----------|
| 3        | 3.91 ± 2.51 | 0.55 ± 0.58 | 0.55 ± 0.03 | 0.30 ± 0.46 | 1.45 ± 0.37 | 2.46 ± 0.38 |
| 6        | 2.44 ± 0.33 | 0.67 ± 0.3 | 0.65 ± 0.46 | 0.23 ± 0.32 | 2.88 ± 1.45 | 2.44 ± 2.45 |
| 12       | 2.42 ± 1.42 | 0.14 ± 0.10 | 1.08 ± 0.63 | 0.47 ± 0.41 | 3.32 ± 1.02 | 1.14 ± 0.44 |
| 24       | 2.18 ± 0.39 | 0.28 ± 0.05 | 0.46 ± 0.13 | 0.24 ± 0.26 | 1.30 ± 0.78 | 2.75 ± 0.24 |
| 48       | 1.22 ± 0.29 | 0.20 ± 0.12 | 0.60 ± 0.15 | 0.14 ± 0.25 | 1.25 ± 0.11 | 0.91 ± 0.53 |
| 72       | 1.34 ± 0.05 | 0.46 ± 0.044 | 0.42 ± 0.10 | 0.13 ± 0.12 | 1.87 ± 0.42 | 1.93 ± 1.06 |
| 168      | 0.51 ± 0.10 | 0.16 ± 0.09 | 0.32 ± 0.10 | 0.09 ± 0.16 | 1.10 ± 0.70 | 2.78 ± 1.56 |

*Balb/c nude mice (n = 5–7) with ovarian ascites tumours were injected intraperitoneally with 2 mg kg⁻¹ PGA-CMA (CMA equivalent determined by matching 656 nm absorbance to a standard CMA solution). Animals were sacrificed at various times. The amount of PGA-CMA was quantitated by extraction followed by fluorescence spectroscopy.
Table III Mean concentrations (nmol g\(^{-1}\) tissue) of IC in mice\(^a\) (± s.d.)

| Time (h) | Asctes | Blood | Liver | Kidney | Skin | Intestine |
|---------|--------|-------|-------|--------|------|----------|
| 3       | 2.84 ± 2.07 | 0.36 ± 0.25 | 0.43 ± 0.29 | 0.35 ± 0.25 | 0.31 ± 0.30 | 1.34 ± 1.03 |
| 6       | 2.58 ± 1.99 | 0.34 ± 0.26 | 0.47 ± 0.34 | 0.27 ± 0.14 | 0.69 ± 0.39 | 0.86 ± 0.60 |
| 12      | 2.36 ± 1.10 | 0.40 ± 0.36 | 0.47 ± 0.26 | 0.57 ± 0.28 | 0.59 ± 0.26 | 2.14 ± 1.04 |
| 24      | 3.18 ± 1.38 | 0.47 ± 0.19 | 0.49 ± 0.16 | 0.44 ± 0.30 | 0.56 ± 0.23 | 0.92 ± 0.44 |
| 48      | 2.25 ± 1.10 | 0.19 ± 0.11 | 0.74 ± 0.48 | 0.50 ± 0.28 | 1.03 ± 0.27 | 0.91 ± 0.62 |
| 72      | 2.21 ± 0.55 | 0.14 ± 0.09 | 0.64 ± 0.32 | 0.48 ± 0.30 | 0.58 ± 0.37 | 1.11 ± 0.95 |
| 128     | 0.80 ± 0.45 | 0.04 ± 0.05 | 1.03 ± 0.34 | 0.69 ± 0.25 | 0.95 ± 0.17 | 1.30 ± 0.45 |
| 336     | 0.32 ± 0.01 | 0.13 ± 0.01 | 0.70 ± 0.19 | 0.32 ± 0.04 | 0.31 ± 0.18 | 0.91 ± 0.70 |

\(^a\)Ballo nude mice (n = 3–7) with ovarian ascites tumours were injected intraperitoneally with 2 mg kg\(^{-1}\) IC (OC125-PGA-CMA at CMA equivalent determined by absorbance matching at 656 nm). Animals were sacrificed at various times. The amount of IC was quantitated by extraction followed by fluorescence spectroscopy.

Table IV Tumour to non-tumour ratios\(^a\)

| Time (h) | Blood | Liver | Kidney | Skin | Intestine |
|---------|-------|-------|--------|------|----------|
| CMA     |       |       |        |      |          |
| 3       | 7.1   | 7.1   | 11.1   | 2.7  | 1.6      |
| 6       | 3.6   | 3.7   | 10.6   | 0.8  | 1.0      |
| 12      | 7.3   | 2.2   | 5.1    | 0.7  | 2.1      |
| 24      | 7.8   | 4.7   | 9.0    | 3.0  | 0.4      |
| 48      | 6.1   | 2.0   | 8.7    | 1.0  | 1.3      |
| 72      | 5.7   | 5.8   | 18.7   | 1.3  | 1.3      |
| 128     | 3.1   | 1.6   | 5.6    | 0.5  | 0.2      |

\(^a\)Numbers represent the ratio of CMA, PGA-CMA, or OC125-PGA-CMA (IC) in ascites tumour cells compared with normal tissue.

Table V In vivo–in vitro experiments with ascites-bearing mice\(^a\) (2 s.d.)

| IC        | CMA     |
|-----------|---------|
| 2 mg kg\(^{-1}\) 20 J cm\(^{-2}\) | 40.3 ± 14.9 | 71.7 ± 4.8 |
| 2 mg kg\(^{-1}\) 30 J cm\(^{-2}\) | 14.1 ± 10.1 | 56.5 ± 24.3 |
| 0.5 mg kg\(^{-1}\) 5 J cm\(^{-2}\) i.p. | 5 ± 1.5 | 15 ± 5.9 |

\(^a\)Numbers represent percentage of cells which survived as determined by MTT assay as compared with untreated controls. Animals administered 2 mg kg\(^{-1}\) PS were irradiated externally. Animals treated with 0.5 mg kg\(^{-1}\) PS were irradiated intraperitoneally. In each group there were 7–10 animals.

Discussion

Monoclonal antibody immunoconjugates are being investigated for use in tumour localisation and treatment in a variety of malignant diseases. Indium-111-labelled OC125 has been used for tumour localisation in ovarian cancer patients (Chatel et al., 1989). Doxorubicin linked to OC125 has shown improved cytotoxicity in vitro against an ovarian cancer cell line compared with unconjugated doxorubicin (Sweet et al., 1989). Because ovarian cancer is usually limited to the peritoneal cavity, intraperitoneal injections of ICs may be the preferred route of administration, as demonstrated by several previous studies (Ward & Wallace, 1987; Ward et al., 1987; Ward & Piko, 1987). Indeed, with radioimmunoconjugates intraperitoneal injection results in higher tumour uptake, presumably because of the greater ability of IC to access its tumour antigenic target (Ward et al., 1987; Finkler et al., 1989; Thedrez et al., 1989) prior to RES capture. With intravenous injection of \(^{111}\)In-OC125 in an ascitic murine model similar to the one used in this study, uptake in tumour was significantly lower than after administration by the intraperitoneal route, while the RES uptake was high. Tumour to non-tumour ratios were also reported to be significantly lower after intravenous injection and the amount of the radioisotopic antibody in normal tissue, in particular the organs of the RES, was high, with consequent toxicity (Thedrez et al., 1989). Based on such rationale, radioimmunotherapy using intraperitoneally injected \(^{111}\)In-labelled OC125 has been studied in phase I trials to treat recurrent ovarian carcinoma (Finkler et al., 1989; Muto et al., 1992).
Toxicity was minimal, but tumour progression was noted in the majority of patients and no complete responses were obtained. For ligand doses which are curative or inhibit tumour progression, systemic toxicity from bound toxins or radioisotopes remains a significant problem because of the lack of specificity of most monoclonal antibodies. Clearly, other modes of treatments are required for effective and less toxic cure or palliation of ovarian cancer.

Using monoclonal antibodies to deliver photosensitisers selectively is a relatively recent treatment approach that has a potential for minimising normal tissue toxicity from antibody-bound toxic ligands. Since the first such study by Mew et al. (1983), the approach has been further developed (Hasan et al., 1989a-c; 1992, 1993; Jiang et al., 1992, 1993). However, reports of in vivo examination of this approach have been limited (Mew et al., 1983; Hasan et al., 1989a; Jiang et al., 1993). In the present study we characterise the biodistribution and toxicity of this IC in a murine ovarian cancer model that has many similarities to the clinical course of ovarian cancer in humans.

The tumour to non-tumour ratio may determine the optimal time for treatment. Ideally, there should be high photosensitiser concentration in tumours with low concentrations in surrounding normal tissues. Although the photosensitisers chosen for the PGA-CMA and the IC were similar, the tumour to non-tumour ratios for skin and intestine for PGA-CMA are close to unity at several time points (Figure 3). At points when tumour–skin ratios of close to 3 were obtained for PGA-CMA, the tumour–intestine ratios were low (1.6 and 0.8 at 3 and 24 h respectively). Since the phase I trials with HPD suggested bowel perforation as a major complication, this compound seemed unattractive for treatment because of increased risk of toxicity to these organs. For the IC the highest tumour to non-tumour ratios occurred at 24–48 h. These ratios are similar to what other investigators have found for radiolabelled OC125 (Theodorez et al., 1989). Apparently linking CMA to OC125 does not significantly affect the binding of the monoclonal antibody in vivo. The unexpected result from this study was the apparent very high tumour to non-tumour ratios seen with free CMA at 24, 48 and 72 h.

Despite the apparent high tumour to non-tumour ratios for free CMA (~10-fold), CMA was significantly less phototoxic to ovarian cancer cells in vivo. Tumour cell survival after in vivo treatment with identical doses of IC or CMA and light indicates that the percentage of tumour cells surviving after IC treatment is 2- to 4-fold less than with the free CMA (Table V). This reduced cytotoxicity of CMA to tumour cells could be caused by the smaller absolute CMA concentrations in tumour cells or possibly by the presence of a non-photoactive metabolite of CMA with similar spectral properties. For another chlorin derivative, mono-L-aspartyl-chlorin ε, Gomer and Ferrario (1990) found that the tumour concentration and tumour to non-tumour ratios were also not predictive of optimal treatment times and toxicity. In their murine model of subcutaneous mammary cancer, the most significant tumour necrosis occurred when plasma levels of the chlorin derivative were high, suggesting vascular-mediated damage as the primary target. Since ovarian cancer is a disease that spreads superficially along peritoneal surfaces and has minimal vascularity, particularly in the model used in this study, a primary vascular response is probably not relevant and cannot be responsible for the lower CMA-induced phototoxicity.

The apparent high preferential localisation of CMA in tumours would not have been anticipated from in vitro and ex vivo experiments in which CMA showed no selective cytotoxicity (Goff et al., 1991, 1992). The reasons for this observation are not obvious. The tumour cells being analysed in this study are ascitic and are either single-cell suspensions or clumps of cells. As such, the neovasculature and the lymphatic systems are probably not well developed and are therefore unlikely to be responsible for the preferential tumour retention. It is possible that preassociation with physiological macromolecular components in vivo causes this differential (Kongshaug et al., 1989). Alternatively, the extracted species that is being interpreted as CMA from fluorescence data is a metabolite with similar optical properties.

Comparison of in vivo and in vitro photodestruction reveals that in vivo PDT is inefficient compared with in vitro, probably because light delivery is more effective in vitro. For similar intracellular photosensitiser concentrations (~2 fmol per cell) and incident fluence, virtually a 100% cytotoxicity was observed for in vitro irradiations (Goff et al., 1991). These data also suggest a dominant cellular mechanism since no increased phototoxicity is observed in vivo, as is the case for sensitisers with a strong vascular component (Henderson & Dougherty, 1992).

In summary, our experiments show that OC125 phototoimmunoconjugates administered intraperitoneally may be useful in the palliative PDT of ovarian carcinoma. Because ovarian cancer is predominantly a disease with serosal spread limited to the peritoneal cavity, it is ideally suited for phototherapy. The peritoneal surface can be exposed to laser irradiation both intraoperatively and during laparoscopy. Small residual disease following cytoreductive surgery, microscopic disease or diaphragmatic studding could readily be treated by this modality.

Our study suggests that for optimal tumoricidal effect, PDT may need to be administered in multiple treatments. This is similar to the results of Tochner et al. (1985, 1986), who used haematoporphyrin-based PDT to treat a murine ovarian cancer model. With a single treatment, even at high sensitisers and light doses, approximately 50% of the tumour cells survived. Multiple treatments administered intra-peritoneally were significantly more effective at lower doses. More quantitative light delivery and dosimetry are also necessary to reduce phototoxic death seen in this study from the generalised external irradiation of the peritoneal cavity. There is also ample room for the improvement of tumour uptake of antibody-bound photosensitiser. The modest differential observed between tumour and non-tumour tissues with the IC in this study is probably due to the inefficiency of OC125. This antibody binds to some normal tissues (Niloff et al., 1984; Miotti et al., 1987; Talbot et al., 1989) and the antibody binds to the less efficiently. The use of more specific antibodies or antibody cocktails and fragments should improve the efficacy of PDT. Chimeric antibodies may further enhance uptake and reduce the human anti-mouse antibody response clinically (Muto et al., 1990). Aspects of the above issues are currently under investigation in our laboratory.
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