Resistance to the crayfish plague, *Aphanomyces astaci* (Oomycota) in the endangered freshwater crayfish species, *Austropotamobius pallipes*
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Abstract

The pathogen *Aphanomyces astaci* Schikora 1906 is responsible for the decline of the native crayfish species of Europe, and their current endangered status. This pathogenic species is native to North America and only colonizes aquatic decapods. The North American crayfish species have a high resistance to this pathogen, while species from other regions are highly susceptible. However, recent field and laboratory observations indicate that there might exist some populations with resistance against this disease. The objective of this study was to test the susceptibility of 8 selected native European crayfish populations of *Austropotamobius pallipes* Lereboullet 1858 from the Pyrenees. We challenged them against the genome sequenced strain AP03 of *A. astaci* isolated from a North American red swamp crayfish, *Procambarus clarkii* Girard 1852, in the Garrotxa Natural Park, Girona. The results showed that there are significant differences (*P*<0.001) among populations, although most of them show high mortality rates after the zoospore challenge with *A. astaci*. However, one population from Girona exhibited a 100% survival during a four-month monitoring period under the experimental conditions tested. Histological analyses revealed a high immune reaction in tissues examined, *i.e.*, encapsulation and melanization of hyphae, similar to that found in North American resistant crayfish species. These results represent the first observation of a native European crayfish population showing high resistance towards the most virulent genotype of this pathogen, *i.e.*, genotype Pc. The identification of this population is of key importance for the management of these endangered species, and represents a crucial step forward towards the elucidation of the factors involved in the immune reaction against this devastating pathogen.

Introduction

Fungal and fungal-like emerging infectious diseases (EIDs) are seriously affecting endangered wildlife species and present a major concern for biodiversity conservation. As a result, EIDs
are currently attracting an important number of scientific efforts and attention [1–3]. So far, one of the most devastating EIDs is the crayfish plague caused by the organism Aphanomyces astaci Schikora 1906 (Oomycota). This pathogen is listed among the 100 World’s Worst Invasive Alien Species [4] and is responsible for the destruction of European freshwater crayfish populations since its introduction in Europe in the late 19th century [5].

The crayfish plague constitutes a classic example of a disease emergence as consequence of introducing alien species into a new biogeographic region [6]. This pathogen originates from North America, where it coexists with their natural hosts, i.e., the freshwater crayfish [1]. In North American crayfish, their interaction with A. astaci seems to be a balanced relationship as a result of a shared history of coevolution [7]. Thus, the pathogen is usually unable to entirely colonize its host because their immune system can encase the pathogen within the cuticle by increasing its phenoloxidase activity [8]. This enzyme produces melanin as an end product, which has both fungitoxic and fungistatic activity [8]. The equilibrium prevents the pathogen from overtaking its host but instead the host becomes chronically infected. However, in native European crayfish species, the immune system does not respond as efficiently to prevent the spread of A. astaci and are, therefore, highly vulnerable to the disease [9]. Thus, in susceptible species, this pathogen can kill up to 100% of the individuals infected [7]. The hyphae of the pathogen can colonize the host almost without opposition and results in death within a few days [10]. In this case, no melanization, i.e., immune system response, is usually seen, and there are only few reports of partial melanization of the colonizing hyphae. Most of these cases have been reported in the native European species, Astacus astacus, Linnaeus 1758 originating from Northern Europe, and these cases seem to be caused by low virulent strains of A. astaci [11–13].

So far, 5 genotypes of Aphanomyces astaci have been characterized, i.e., As, PsI, PsII, Pc and Or [14–16]. The original hosts of these genotypes are North American crayfish species; however, the original host of the genotype As has not yet identified [14–16]. The original host of strains corresponding to genotypes PsI and PsII is the crayfish species Procambarus leniusculus Dana 1852 [14], while the original hosts of the genotypes Pc and Or are Procambarus clarkii Girard 1852 and Orconectes limosus Rafinesque 1817, respectively [15, 16]. Isolates corresponding to the genotype As are thought to be responsible for the first outbreaks of the crayfish plague in Europe during the 19th century [14] as a consequence of deliberated introduction of freshwater crayfish from North America into Europe. Recent studies indicate that some isolates of this genotype possess low virulence [11].

The impact of crayfish plague in Europe has been devastating and has decreased not only crayfish populations and their fisheries but also altered the ecology of freshwater ecosystems [17, 18]. In Spain, since the introduction in 1973 of P. leniusculus and P. clarkii, the native crayfish, Austropotamobius pallipes Lereboullet 1858, have drastically declined [18]. Currently, this species is listed as “risk of extinction” or “endangered” because of the limited number of remaining populations, and the constant threat and spread of crayfish plague carriers [19–21]. There are no efficient treatments to overcome this disease, and the only efficient measures to control it are, so far, those that aim to prevent the spread of their carriers. A better understanding of the mechanism of disease resistance represents one of the first steps to designing new strategies to prevent disease development. Increased activation of the innate and adaptive immune defenses by pathogen exposure has recently been described for diverse species, e.g., amphibians or rodents. Thus, some amphibians native to Australia, Panama and South Africa have developed an increased resistance to the fungus Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis Long-core, Pessier & D.K. Nichols 1999 [22, 23], and some Australian rabbits have increased their resistance towards hemorrhagic disease virus (RHDV) by implementing of a whole complex of inter-related defenses [24].
Recent studies on native European crayfish appear to show that some Finnish wild populations might have an increased resistance towards the less virulent genotype of *A. astaci* [11, 25]. During the last decade we have observed a number of crayfish plague events involving native Pyrenean populations of *A. pallipes*. Interestingly, Pc infected specimens from these populations show melanization and have longer survival [21] (Jokin Larumbe and JoanMontserrat, personal communication). However, there are no comparative studies regarding pathogen resistance in European crayfish populations towards the *A. astaci* Pc genotype. Lack of dedicated studies precipitated our investigation into a number of potential resistant European populations against *A. astaci*. Therefore, the objective of this study is to test the susceptibility and resistance of geographically isolated native populations of *A. pallipes* from diverse Pyrenean areas, to the Pc highly virulent strain of *A. astaci*.

**Material and methods**

**Ethical statement**

All experimental procedures and animal manipulations, as well as field sampling, were performed according to the EU and Spanish legislation. All experiments were approved and carried out according to the regulations of Spanish Ministry MINECO and Local Authorities of the Gobierno de Navarra and Generalitat de Catalunya. Furthermore, the crayfish specimens used in this study were provided by Gobierno de Navarra (2 populations from the Western Pyrenees) and by Generalitat de Catalunya (6 populations from the Eastern Pyrenees) which are the Authorities entitled to collect samples of endangered species, *i.e.*, *Austropotamobius pallipes*, in Spanish watercourses. No additional permits were required for the described field or laboratory studies, since the ethics approval in the Spanish law is not required for working with arthropod invertebrates. Moreover, this study was carried out in strict accordance with the recommendations and the protocols established in previous studies to minimize suffering, *i.e.*, euthanised by exposure to chloroform vapours [26].

**Crayfish sampling**

Specimens of the native European crayfish, *A. pallipes*, were collected from eight selected native populations in the Pyrenees mountain range (Spain) (Fig 1A). None of the selected populations included in this study cohabit with any North American crayfish species. Some of these populations, *i.e.*, Doneztebe, La Muga, were suspected to have higher resistance to *A. astaci* based on reports from staff of the Biodiversity Service, Government of Navarra and Natural Park of La Garrotxa, Girona (Jokin Larumbe and Joan Montserrat, personal communication). Populations were obtained from Eastern (six) and Western (two) Pyrenees (Fig 1B and 1C and Table 1) and only males were used in the experiments. Each population was marked by clipping with scissors the lateral tips of selected dorsal abdomen segments. Specimens from each population were first kept in separated 0.80m x 0.50m x 0.40 m aquaria each containing 50 liters of aerated filtered pond water and hiding places. The specimens were maintained for two weeks to ensure the acclimatization and were fed weekly with potato and monitored daily to remove dead crayfish or excess dirtiness.

**Zoospore production and *Aphanomyces astaci*-challenge experiments**

Five individuals from each population were transferred and pooled into four experimental aquaria (40 specimens each, five specimens from each population) maintained under the same conditions as described above. In order to ensure a suitable temperature, oxygen levels and water quality, the aquaria were checked daily before and during the experiment. Water was
replaced weekly to remove excess dirtiness and to avoid cannibalism, dead individuals were removed once they were found. During the acclimatization period some individuals died. For this reason, the experiments had different number of individuals (Table 1).

For the challenge experiments, the AP03 strain of *A. astaci*, recently genome sequenced (GenBank accession number KX405004), was used [27]. This strain was isolated from specimens of a population of *P. clarkii* located in the surroundings of the Garrotxa Natural Park [27]. This strain belongs to the Pc genotype and is deposited at the RJB-CSIC culture collection. Zoospores were produced by following the protocol described by Dieguez-Uribeondo et al. 1995 [15] and added gently into three of the four aquaria, maintained as above (replicates 1, 2 and 3, hereafter), until reaching a concentration of 10^2 zoospores mL^\text{-1}. The number of crayfish from each population is listed in Table 1. A control was also run in a separated aquarium that had 40 crayfish (five specimens from each population). No zoospores were added into this aquarium (Table 1). The experiment was followed for 120 days and the aquaria were examined on a daily basis.

For further microscopic and molecular analyses, surviving crayfish from replicates 1, 2 and 3, as well as the crayfish from control aquarium were euthanized by exposure to chloroform vapors [26] after 120 days from the challenge experiments.

### Macroscopic and microscopic examination

The crayfish did not show any melanization before the experiments. All crayfish were checked upon arrival to the laboratory and before being challenged with zoospores. Crayfish were

### Table 1. Location and number of the selected *Austropotamobius pallipes* populations of the Pyrenees.

| Location       | Replicate 1 | Replicate 2 | Replicate 3 | Control |
|----------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---------|
| Pop 1          | La Fábriga  | 5           | 5           | 5       |
| Pop 2          | Escaramart  | 4           | 4           | 5       |
| Pop 3          | La Plana    | 5           | 5           | 5       |
| Pop 4          | Santa Llúcia| 5           | 5           | 5       |
| Pop 5          | Falgars     | 5           | 5           | 5       |
| Pop 6          | La Muga     | 5           | 5           | 5       |
| Pop 7          | Doneztebe   | 5           | 5           | 5       |
| Pop 8          | Sunbilla    | 3           | 5           | 1       |
| **Total**      | 37          | 39          | 36          | 40      |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0181226.t001
checked daily for disease symptoms and dead crayfish were removed and examined for the presence of melanized areas both macroscopically and microscopically. For microscopic examination, the subabdominal cuticle was removed and observed using an inverted microscope Olympus CKX41SF (Olympus Optical, Tokyo, Japan). Light micrographs were captured using a Qimaging Micropublisher 5.0 digital camera (Qimaging, Burnaby, BC, Canada). Digital image analysis was performed using the software Syncroscopy-Automontage (Microbiology International Inc., Frederick, MD) as described in Diéguez-Uribeondo et al. 2003 [28]. After 120 days of the challenge experiments, live crayfish were euthanized [26] and examined as described above.

Molecular analyses
All the crayfish were tested for the presence of *A. astaci* before and after the challenge experiment by applying molecular PCR-based tests [26] to selected tissues: a walking leg before the challenge and subabdominal cuticle, telson, swimmerets, and eye after it, in order to certify that all the crayfish used in the challenge experiment were crayfish plague free. Genomic DNA was extracted by using an E.Z.N.A.® Insect DNA Kit (Omega bio-tek, Norcross, Atlanta, USA). DNA extractions and *A. astaci* diagnostic primers 42 [26] and 640 [29] (including the ITS1 and ITS2 surrounding the 5.8S rDNA, and anchored in ITS1 and ITS2 regions, respectively) were used for a single round PCR according to the assay described by Oidtmann et al. 2006 [26]. Positive and negative controls (containing DNA extracted from a AP03 pure culture and containing no DNA, respectively) were included. Three-μl aliquots of the amplification product were analyzed by running an electrophoresis in 1% agarose TAE gels stained with SBYR® Safe (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Double strand PCR positive products were sequenced using an automated sequencer (Applied Biosystems 3730xl DNA, Macrogen, Netherlands). Each sequence strand was assembled and edited using the program Geneious v6.14 [30]. We ran a BLAST search to check the nature of the generated sequences in order to verify the coincidence with the strain used in the zoospore challenge, *i.e.*, AP03 isolate.

Assessment of cumulative mortality rates
Cumulative mortality rates were followed over a period 120 days after challenging the crayfish with *A. astaci* zoospore. Cumulative mortality rates were calculated as the number of dead crayfish per total crayfish challenged. In order to minimize secondary infections from diseased dead crayfish, these were removed from the aquarium immediately after death and the water was changed every week.

Statistical analyses
A Kaplan–Meier Log-Rank (Mantel-Cox) was performed in R Development Core Team to evaluate differences in cumulative mortality rates among populations. A General Linear Model Test (GLM) was performed in SAS to compare population means across replicates, as well as a Least Significance Difference (LSD) t-test to determine differences between populations at selected time periods.

Results
Macroscopic and microscopic examinations and assessment of cumulative mortality rates
Crayfish specimens tested from all populations challenged with zoospore of *A. astaci* start dying on day 7 and the period of crayfish mortality lasted 102 days after the initiation of the
experiment. However, all specimens from the population of La Muga (Pop 6) and the control survived for the whole experimental period of 120 days. One main mortality event occurred between day 7 and 14 after zoospore challenge. In this period, a total of 66 crayfishes died (16 crayfish from replicate 1, 30 crayfishes from replicate 2, and 30 crayfishes from replicate 3). Subsequent isolated deaths were observed till the end of the experiment (8 from replicate 1 and one individual from replicate 2) (Fig 2). At day 120 after zoospore challenge, three crayfishes from the population of Falgars, two from population of Doneztebe, and all from population of La Muga and the control survived.

Macroscopic examination of dead crayfish from all periods and all populations did not reveal the presence of melanized spots on the cuticle. Microscopic observations showed the presence of abundant non-melanized hyphae with round tips and homogenous diameter, ca 10 μm, characteristic of *A. astaci* infection, growing within the subabdominal cuticle (Fig 3A). The presence of melanized hyphae and micro-melanized spots of a ca 30 μm were only seen occasionally (Fig 3B). Macroscopic examinations of specimens from La Muga (Pop 6) showed

---

**Fig 2. Cumulative mortality in *Austropotamobius pallipes* populations using *Aphanomyces astaci* zoospores.** Challenge experiments were carried out in eight selected populations of *Austropotamobius pallipes* (Pop 1: La Fábrega, Pop 2: Escaramart, Pop 3: La Plana, Pop 4: Santa Llúcia, Pop 5: Falgars, Pop 6: La Muga, Pop 7: Doneztebe and Pop 8: Sunbilla) during 120 days after the zoospore challenge. No crayfish from the control died during the experimental period of 120 days. The control is not represented in the figure.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0181226.g002
the presence of melanized patches on the joints of the walking legs, carapace, uropods and soft ventral cuticle (Fig 3C and 3D). Microscopically, the subabdominal cuticle of these specimens possessed strongly melanized hyphae characteristic of an A. astaci chronic infection (Fig 3E). Different stages of hyphal encapsulation by haemocytes (Fig 3F) were seen, ranging from weak melanized to completely melanin-covered hyphae (Fig 3G and 3H).

Surviving crayfish from Falgars (Pop5) and Doneztebe populations (Pop7) did not show macroscopic signs of melanization. At microscopic level, melanized spots were often seen, and when hyphae were observed, they always appeared partially melanized.

**Survivable statistical contrasts**

The survival analysis (Kaplan-Meier Log-Rank (Mantel-Cox) established statistically significant differences between the cumulative rates of the 8 populations studied (Pop 1-Pop 8) ($\chi^2 = 62.4$ on 7 degrees of freedom, $p = 4.91\times10^{-11}$) during the 120 days experiment. The GLM model determined statistically significant differences ($F = 9.42, p<0.0001$). A closer look showed statistically significant differences between populations ($F = 26.70; p<0.0001$) and replicates ($F = 4.96, p<0.009$), but the non-interaction between replicates and populations ($F = 1.41; p>0.05$) allowed us to combine the data from the three replicates. LSD t-test, for comparing population means, showed significant differences between populations ($p<0.05$), allowing the establishment of different groups according to the survivorship (Table 2).

**Molecular analyses**

All the crayfish tested negative for the presence of A. astaci when using specific PCR Test before the challenge with A. astaci zoospores. Tissues from dead and sacrificed crayfish of the 3 replicates exposed to A. astaci zoospores challenge tested positive for A. astaci when using the specific PCR Test. Tissues of the control crayfish always tested negative. Alignment of 112 obtained DNA sequences from positive crayfish revealed that all were identical to the isolate of A. astaci used for the zoospore challenge experiment and a BLAST search of the sequence of isolates showed 100% similarity to AP03 isolate.

**Discussion**

This study identifies for the first time a population of native European freshwater species showing a 100% survival against the infection by the crayfish plague pathogen A. astaci. This A. astaci-resistant population was first suspected in nature and now confirmed under laboratory conditions with the most virulent strain known [15]. After A. astaci zoospore challenge, all crayfish from population of La Muga tested positive for the pathogen. The immune response observed in the crayfish cuticle, i.e., encapsulation and strong melanization of hyphae, is similar to that exhibited by North American freshwater crayfish [31]. This shows that crayfish from La Muga became infected by the pathogen and its colonization was eventually contained.

The high resistance in North American crayfish seems to be the consequence of a constantly activated pro-Phenoloxidase system (pro-Po system) [10]. This immune response enables them to react rapidly against pathogens [10, 32]. In European crayfish populations, however, the activation of the pro-Po system is not efficient enough against this pathogen and crayfish eventually die from this disease [10]. The key mechanisms that enable the crayfish from La Muga to become resistant are still unknown and need to be further investigated. The enzymes related to the formation of melanin deposits are potential candidates to be investigated [33]. Thus, enzymes from the cascade of serine proteinases that control the cleavage of the pro-form of the pro-Po system-activating enzyme (pro-ppA) into active ppA, should be tested in the
Fig 3. *Aphanomyces astaci* colonization and immune reaction in susceptible and resistant crayfish *Austropotamobius pallipes*. Micrographs of susceptible crayfish dying after being challenged with *A. astaci* zoospores: (A) soft abdominal cuticle.
showing the growth of non-melanized hyphae (arrow); and (B) melanized spots (ms) and hyphae (h) occasionally seen in susceptible crayfish. Macroscopic signs of infection in crayfish with increased-resistance against A. astaci: (C) strong immune reaction, i.e., melanin formation, against infection by A. astaci visualized as large melanized patch (arrows) on the joint of a chela, and also on a walking leg; and (D) a melanized patch (arrow) in the telson. Micrographs showing the immune response process against A. astaci in crayfish with increased-resistance: (E) general view of an infected cuticle showing aggregation of haemocytes (ha) and strong melanization (sm) of an infected area; (F) haemocytes (ha) aggregating (ag) and encapsulating (en) A. astaci hyphae (h) that eventually produce melanin (m) deposits; (G) general view of an infected area showing hyphal growth (hg) inhibition by producing heavy melanin (m) deposits; and (H) detailed view of heavily melanized hyphae (mh). Bar = 100 μm.

near future [33]. Moreover, comparative studies on functional genomics can now be performed and facilitate the elucidation of the mechanisms and factors favoring the defense against this severe pathogen.

We also found differences in cumulative mortality at individual level within two populations, i.e., Falgars and Doneztebe. Some specimens could survive longer periods than others, and even resist the whole period of the experiment, i.e., 120 days. Moreover, these crayfish also tested positive to A. astaci, and showed an evident immune response, i.e., melanized spots and partially melanized hyphae. This suggests that the mechanism for resistance might also be present not only in a particular population but also in some specimens from geographically isolated populations of A. pallipes.

Longer survival periods and an increased melanization response against A. astaci have been reported in populations of the also native European species A. astacus in Finland [11, 13, 25, 34]. Some crayfish survived for longer periods after the exposure to zoospore concentrations of the low virulent strains of A. astaci, i.e., genotype As [11, 25]. Moreover, recent studies on other native European crayfish species, e.g., A. astacus, Astacus leptodactylus Eschscholtz 1823 and Austropotamobius torrentium Schrank 1803 from Finland, Turkey, and Slovenia, respectively, detected some specimens that chronically carry the low virulent genotype As [11, 12, 35, 36]. This genotype seems to have been introduced into Europe during the 19th century [9, 14]. Some authors have speculated that a decrease virulence/increased immune response is the consequence of a rapid co-evolution of the introduced A. astaci and its new European host [36]. Because A. astaci is highly specialized on freshwater crayfish and highly virulent on susceptible species [9], low-virulent strains appear to have avoided evolutionary suicide by coexisting for a longer period with their hosts, in comparison with the high-virulent strains that rapidly kill their hosts [12]. This balance has already been observed in other host-pathogen interactions. For example, some Australian and Panamanian amphibian species have recently showed resistance to chytridiomycosis as a result of specific skin peptides that inhibit its growth [23].

Our investigation, however, presents a different finding since it identifies a crayfish population with increased resistance to a highly virulent strain, i.e., genotype Pc [15] in a species so

**Table 2. LSD t-test on survivorship rates of 8 selected populations of Austropotamobius pallipes**

| n  | Mean  | t-Grouping |
|----|-------|------------|
| Pop 6 | 15 | 90.000 | A |
| Pop 5 | 15 | 28.533 | B |
| Pop 7 | 15 | 23.600 | B C |
| Pop 4 | 15 | 16.400 | B C D |
| Pop 3 | 15 | 15.733 | B C D |
| Pop 2 | 13 | 14.077 | B C D |
| Pop 1 | 15 | 11.533 | C D |
| Pop 8 | 9  | 8.154  | D |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0181226.t002
far characterized as highly susceptible [9] and in a geographical location where the pathogen has been recently introduced, i.e., 1990’s [21]. At least two hypotheses can be raised to explain the rapid development of A. astaci-resistance in this population: (i) this might be an inherent genetic property of this population, since it belongs to a different lineage than the other populations tested [37]; and (ii) continuous crayfish plague events favored by the high density of P. clarkii in La Muga area [21] might have acted as a selective pressure promoting this resistant phenotype. Additional comparative analyses using other populations of la Muga A. pallipes lineage might confirm one or the other hypothesis.

The finding of a population of A. pallipes with high resistance to A. astaci can be very helpful for the conservation management of these endangered species in Europe, since: (i) it opens the window for the existence of other resistant populations and specimens so far overlooked, and sets the basis for the identifications of new resilient lineages; (ii) it allows designing breeding programs oriented to raise A. astaci-resistant lineages as a preventive measure against the extinction of this species, and (iii) it is a crucial step forward to the elucidation of the key factors involved in the defense reaction against this devastating pathogen as mentioned above. In a broader context, this discovery is also significant considering the current scenario of globalization that allows invasive species, such as A. astaci chronic carriers, to reach the areas of distribution of susceptible species, i.e., East Asia, South America, Madagascar, or Oceania, some of them identified as “hot spots” of crayfish biodiversity. Currently, breeding and conservation programs are being implemented by Generalitat de Catalunya to preserve this A. pallipes population, and to carry out further investigations in order to understand the resistant mechanisms and genetics involved in this resistant population.
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