Oxidative stress generated by hemorrhagic shock recruits Toll-like receptor 4 to the plasma membrane in macrophages
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Oxidative stress generated by ischemia/reperfusion is known to prime inflammatory cells for increased responsiveness to subsequent stimuli, such as lipopolysaccharide (LPS). The mechanism(s) underlying this effect remains poorly elucidated. These studies show that alveolar macrophages recovered from rodents subjected to hemorrhagic shock/resuscitation expressed increased surface levels of Toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4), an effect inhibited by adding the antioxidant N-acetylcysteine to the resuscitation fluid. Consistent with a role for oxidative stress in this effect, in vitro H$_2$O$_2$ treatment of RAW 264.7 macrophages similarly caused an increase in surface TLR4. The H$_2$O$_2$–induced increase in surface TLR4 was prevented by depleting intracellular calcium or disrupting the cytoskeleton, suggesting the involvement of receptor exocytosis. Further, fluorescent resonance energy transfer between TLR4 and the raft marker GM1 as well as biochemical analysis of the raft components demonstrated that oxidative stress redistributes TLR4 to lipid rafts in the plasma membrane. Preventing the oxidant–induced movement of TLR4 to lipid rafts using methyl-β-cyclodextrin precluded the increased responsiveness of cells to LPS after H$_2$O$_2$ treatment. Collectively, these studies suggest a novel mechanism whereby oxidative stress might prime the responsiveness of cells of the innate immune system.
including myeloid differentiation primary response gene 88 (MyD88) and IRAK (7). Recently, several factors have been identified that contribute to the responsiveness of TLR4. These include receptor dimerization, mobilization to lipid rafts, and formation of a TLR4 activation cluster including other proteins, such as heat shock proteins 70 and 90, chemokine receptor 4, and growth differentiation factor 5 (8, 9). The total number of functional TLR4 molecules expressed on the cell surface may also influence LPS response. Cells lacking TLR4 or expressing a mutant receptor exhibit marked hyporesponsiveness to LPS (10), and overexpression of surface TLR4 was shown to augment LPS responsiveness (11, 12). Collectively, these observations imply that physiological/pathophysiological alterations in the expression of surface TLR4 in vivo may be one mode of influencing LPS responsiveness. In this regard, Nomura et al. (13) reported that induction of LPS tolerance in peritoneal macrophages correlated with the ability of LPS to down-regulate surface TLR4. We have previously reported that S/R prevented the LPS-induced reduction in TLR4 protein and mRNA levels in whole lung tissue (14). However, TLR4 levels did not exceed baseline in shock plus LPS-treated animals, making it unlikely that total cellular TLR4 per se influenced LPS reactivity. These observations raised the hypothesis that S/R might alter cellular distribution of the TLR4 receptor complex as a mechanism underlying increased responsiveness to LPS.

In these studies, we demonstrate that S/R causes translocation of TLR4 in AMs from the cytoplasm to the plasma membrane, thus elevating TLR4 surface expression. The central role of oxidative stress in this process was shown by the ability of NAC to prevent this translocation in vivo and by the ability of exogenously added H2O2 to recapitulate the translocation in RAW 264.7 cells in vitro. We also show that TLR4 is mobilized into lipid rafts and that this is essential for oxidant-induced translocation. Finally, the ability of oxidative stress to induce the formation of a surface TLR4 receptor complex within lipid rafts contributed to the augmented responsiveness to LPS. Collectively, these findings suggest a novel mechanism whereby oxidative stress generated during S/R, a form of global ischemia/reperfusion, might prime the innate immune system for an exaggerated response to inflammatory stimuli and hence for increased tissue and organ injury.

RESULTS

Resuscitated shock induces cell surface TLR4 expression in AMs

To determine the effect of resuscitated hemorrhagic shock on TLR4 distribution, we isolated AMs from sham and S/R rats. At the end of resuscitation, total cell numbers obtained by bronchoalveolar lavage and percentage of macrophages (>90%) did not differ from sham animals (5). Phase microscopy shows that AMs from sham and S/R animals exhibited similar morphology (Fig. 1 A). Immunofluorescence staining of permeabilized cells revealed that in sham cells, TLR4 was distributed throughout the cytoplasm. In contrast, in cells from S/R animals, there was peripheralization of TLR4 with diminished cytoplasmic staining. This phenomenon was observed in 58.2 ± 4.1% of cells from S/R animals compared with <20% in sham groups (Fig. 1 B). The redistribution of TLR4 to the periphery in S/R cells was confirmed by confocal microscopy (Fig. 1 C, compare top row with middle row). To confirm specificity of the TLR4 antibodies used in our studies, we performed immunostaining on macrophages from C57BL10/ScCr animals, known to be null for TLR4 expression. No fluorescence was observed in these cells (not depicted). In addition, on Western blot the H-80 antibody reacted with a protein at the appropriate molecular mass of TLR4 (not depicted). Fig. 1 E demonstrates that the observed immunofluorescence staining pattern was indeed due to binding of the TLR4 antibody.

To determine TLR4 surface expression, TLR4 staining of nonpermeabilized cells was quantified using flow cytometry. In a representative study (Fig. 2 A), there was a marked increase in cell surface TLR4 in cells from S/R animals compared with sham. Over several studies, TLR4 surface level in S/R cells was significantly elevated (Fig. 2 B). Collectively, these findings demonstrate that S/R induces redistribution of TLR4 to the surface membrane, thus elevating TLR4 surface expression. The central role of oxidative stress in this process was shown by the ability of NAC to prevent this translocation in vivo and by the ability of exogenously added H2O2 to recapitulate the translocation in RAW 264.7 cells in vitro. We also show that TLR4 is mobilized into lipid rafts and that this is essential for oxidant-induced translocation. Finally, the ability of oxidative stress to induce the formation of a surface TLR4 receptor complex within lipid rafts contributed to the augmented responsiveness to LPS. Collectively, these findings suggest a novel mechanism whereby oxidative stress generated during S/R, a form of global ischemia/reperfusion, might prime the innate immune system for an exaggerated response to inflammatory stimuli and hence for increased tissue and organ injury.
TLR4 from the cytoplasmic compartment to the periphery, including onto the plasma membrane. Previous work from several groups suggests that oxidative stress generated during reperfusion of the gastrointestinal tract contributes to distant cellular priming and activation. NAC supplementation in the resuscitation fluid prevented both the S/R-induced increase of isoprostanes in the blood (a marker of oxidative stress) and priming of AMs (5, 15). To test the role of oxidants in the S/R-induced TLR4 redistribution, we added NAC to the resuscitation fluid. NAC blocked both the redistribution of TLR4 after S/R (Fig. 1, A and C, bottom, and B, right bar) and the increase in TLR4 cell surface expression (Fig. 2, A and B). To further substantiate the role of oxidative stress, we tested the effect of Trolox, a water-soluble vitamin E analogue that is a well-established antioxidant agent. Similar to NAC, Trolox added to the resuscitation fluid prevented the redistribution of TLR4 in AMs (Fig. 1 D). In addition, Trolox impaired lung neutrophil sequestration to the same extent as NAC (not depicted). To further substantiate the role of oxidative stress, we tested the effect of Trolox, a water-soluble vitamin E analogue that is a well-established antioxidant agent. Similar to NAC, Trolox added to the resuscitation fluid prevented the redistribution of TLR4 in AMs (Fig. 1 D). In addition, Trolox impaired lung neutrophil sequestration to the same extent as NAC (not depicted). We next asked whether exposure of cells to oxidants could mimic the effect of S/R on TLR4 distribution. Fig. 3 A demonstrates that a 1-h treatment of RAW 264.7 cells with 100 μM H₂O₂ induces peripherization of TLR4 in a pattern reminiscent of that observed in bronchoalveolar lavage cells after S/R. Treatment with 50 μM H₂O₂ appeared to accentuate a vesicular pattern of TLR4 distribution. By flow cytometry, H₂O₂ was shown to induce a dose-dependent increase in surface expression of TLR4, with modest effect at 50 μM and marked increase at 100–500 μM (Fig. 3 B, representative study shown in the left panels).

Concentrations of H₂O₂ up to 200 μM did not induce cell death as determined by trypan blue exclusion, whereas 500 μM caused ~50% cell death (not depicted). Quantitation of several studies confirmed the dose dependency (Fig. 3 B, right panel). A time course shows that 100 μM H₂O₂ induces a progressive increase in cell surface TLR4 starting as early as 1–5 min and peaking at 30–120 min (Fig. 3 C). We also tested the effect of alternative agents known to induce oxidative stress. Menadione accumulates in the cells and induces intracellular oxidative stress, whereas the xanthine/xanthine oxidase system is able to produce extracellular oxidants. Both agents caused a marked increase in TLR4 expression in the cell surface as shown by flow cytometry (Fig. 3 D). In aggregate, these findings are consistent with a role for oxidative stress in the increased surface expression of TLR4 after S/R. In subsequent studies, a dose of 100 μM H₂O₂ for 1 h will be studied. This protocol corresponds to conditions used in our previous studies showing that H₂O₂ primes RAW 264.7 cells for increased responsiveness to LPS (16, 17).

**Figure 2.** Resuscitated hemorrhagic shock increases TLR4 surface levels. AMs were stained live with anti-TLR4 and FITC-conjugated secondary antibody. Fluorescence was analyzed by flow cytometry as described in Materials and methods. (A) Profiles of fluorescence intensity of TLR4 staining (black line) or controls (secondary antibody only, solid). (B) Changes in TLR4 surface expression (mean channel fluorescence [MCF]) after the indicated treatments. Data are mean ± SEM. n = 4 animals per group. *, P < 0.05 for S/R versus all other groups.

**Figure 3.** Oxidative stress induces increased TLR4 surface expression in RAW 264.7 cells. (A) RAW 264.7 cells, exposed to H₂O₂ for 1 h, were stained with anti-TLR4/MD2-FITC antibody. Representative fluorescence microscopy images (n = 4). (B and C) Dose and time dependence of the H₂O₂ effect. Cells were treated with the indicated concentration of H₂O₂ for 1 h (B) or with 100 μM H₂O₂ for the indicated time (C). TLR4 staining was analyzed using flow cytometry. In B, representative profiles of fluorescence intensity of TLR4 staining (gray solid) or unstained controls (black line) are shown (left panels). (D) RAW cells were treated with 50 μM menadione (Mena) or 100 μM H₂O₂ or 0.1 mM xanthine and 3 U/ml xanthine oxidase for 1 h. Surface TLR4 was measured as in B. The graphs show mean ± SEM of n = 3 (for D) or 4 (for B and C). *, P < 0.05 for points indicated versus control.
Effect of resuscitated hemorrhagic shock on localization of TLR4 to lipid rafts

Lipid rafts are considered to be important contributors to membrane recruitment and clustering of signaling molecules (18). Recent studies have reported that clustering of the LPS receptor complex upon LPS stimulation required lipid rafts (19–22). Therefore, we next evaluated the role of lipid rafts in the oxidative stress–induced TLR4 redistribution.

AMs from S/R animals were stained live using rhodamine–cholera toxin B (CTxB), and then fixed, permeabilized, and stained for TLR4. As shown in Fig. 4 A, AMs from sham animals displayed diffuse intracellular TLR4 staining, whereas GM1 ganglioside, a classical raft marker was mainly localized to the cell surface with minimal colocalization with TLR4. In contrast, TLR4 in cells from S/R animals displayed a peripheral staining (55 ± 2.3%, n = 3; Fig. 4 A, bottom row), and it colocalized with GM1 as shown by the overlay image. Supporting the critical role of oxidants in the effect, NAC added in the resuscitation fluid prevented TLR4 redistribution and its colocalization with GM1 (7.5 ± 0.5%, n = 3; Fig. 4 A, right).

The effect of oxidant stress on localization of TLR4 in lipid rafts was also examined in vitro by exposing RAW 264.7 cells to H2O2. Fig. 4 B illustrates that H2O2 induces colocalization of TLR4 with GM1 in the plasma membrane. We used methyl-β-cyclodextrin (MβCD) to deplete cellular cholesterol and disrupt lipid rafts (23) before adding H2O2 to RAW 264.7 cells. This treatment prevented movement of TLR4 to the cell surface (Fig. 4 B, right). The inhibitory effect of cholesterol depletion was also confirmed by flow cytometry. Live cells treated with MβCD either before or after H2O2, were stained with anti-TLR4/MD2. As shown in Fig. 4 C, when applied before H2O2 treatment, MβCD prevented the oxidant-induced TLR4 surface up-regulation. However, when oxidant exposure was performed before raft disruption with MβCD, increased TLR4 levels persisted on the cell surface (Fig. 4 C). MβCD may exert its effect through altering cellular responsiveness to H2O2. To examine this possibility, we tested the effect of H2O2 on p38 in MβCD-treated cells. As shown in Fig. 4 D, cholesterol depletion did not prevent H2O2-induced phosphorylation of p38. Although we cannot rule out that cholesterol depletion might interfere with some pathways, these data show that MβCD does not exert a global inhibition of oxidant–induced signaling.

To substantiate lipid raft localization of TLR4, we isolated raft fractions using discontinuous sucrose gradient ultracentrifugation of RAW264.7 cells (24). Effective isolation of membrane rafts was confirmed by the presence of GM1.
ganglioside in the nonsoluble portion, fractions 1–3 of the sucrose gradient (Fig. 5, top). H$_2$O$_2$ stimulation induced localization of TLR4 to raft fractions (Fig. 5, bottom). This effect was prevented by pretreatment with MβCD.

Fluorescent resonance energy transfer (FRET) analysis was applied to examine the spatial proximity of TLR4 to GM1 ganglioside in lipid rafts. TLR4 was labeled using anti-TLR4 primary and an FITC-coupled Fab fragment secondary antibody, and GM1-ganglioside was stained with a rhodamine-coupled CTxB. In the first series of experiments, we validated the system and determined how much of the fluorescence was due to other non–FRET–related factors to correct for them. We considered two potential problems. First, the excitation light used in these experiments (480 ± 5 nm) might also excite rhodamine and thus give a falsely high quantitation of FRET. To test this possibility, we stained cells with rhodamine-CTxB only and measured emission at >590 nm after exciting with 480 ± 5 nm light (settings used for FRET). Fig. 6A shows that H$_2$O$_2$ treatment did not alter the background fluorescence of unstained cells. Emission in the rhodamine-stained cells increased by 23 ± 4% compared with unstained cells. However, this increase was identical in the untreated and H$_2$O$_2$-treated cells. This verifies that H$_2$O$_2$ treatment does not interfere with the fluorescent measurements. To account for the fluorescence resulting from rhodamine excitation by the 480 ± 5 nm wavelength, the emission measured in double-stained cells during the FRET experiments was corrected by 23%. Second, we investigated whether part of the fluorescence detected at ≥590 nm could originate from residual FITC emission at the rhodamine emission wavelength. Indeed, control experiments revealed a linear correlation between green fluorescence intensity and the resulting bleed through emission detected at ≥590 nm (not depicted). Because H$_2$O$_2$ treatment increases TLR4 surface expression, the bleed through can be different in untreated and treated cells. Therefore, we carefully compensated for any bleed through of FITC into the emission wavelength used for FRET. The fluorescence of similarly treated single-(FITC only) and double–(FITC and rhodamine) stained cells was determined for each experiment under identical conditions. To obtain the value of real FRET, we first corrected the fluorescence of the double-stained cells by 23% (to compensate for the emission of rhodamine excited by the 480 ± 5 nm light; see above). The difference between the corrected fluorescence of the double-stained cells and the fluorescence measured in single-stained cells (i.e., the bleed through of FITC emission into ≥590 nm) is therefore attributed to the FRET between FITC-labeled anti-TLR4 and rhodamine-labeled GM1. Fig. 6B illustrates that H$_2$O$_2$ treatment caused an approximately fivefold increase in FRET emission. This result is consistent with the conclusion that treatment with H$_2$O$_2$ induced molecular proximity of TLR4 with the raft marker GM1 ganglioside. Collectively, these results provide evidence that oxidant stress induces translocation of TLR4 to plasmaemmal lipid rafts. Moreover, the integrity of lipid rafts appears to be essential for this process.

Role of exocytosis in TLR4 translocation to the plasma membrane after oxidative stress

Exocytosis represents a general mechanism for delivery of molecules from cytoplasmic compartments to the plasma membrane. To study the role of exocytosis in TLR4 up-regulation after oxidative stress, we applied two strategies to inhibit exocytosis. First, RAW 264.7 cells were exposed to jasplakinolide, a marine sponge toxin that induces excessive actin polymerization (25) and has been shown to physically interfere with exocytosis (26). Second, cytosolic Ca$^{2+}$, a requisite for exocytosis, was chelated by loading the cells.
with 1,2-bis-(2-aminophenoxy)ethane-N,N,N',N'-tetraacetic acid tetra(acetoxymethyl) ester (BAPTA/AM) in a Ca²⁺-free medium (27). To verify that these manipulations indeed abrogated exocytosis in cells, we tested the up-regulation of CD11b, which is known to be delivered to the cell surface through exocytosis. Fig. 7 (A and B) shows that both jasplakinolide and BAPTA prevented the H₂O₂- and LPS-induced CD11b up-regulation in RAW 264.7 cells. Next, we examined the effect of these strategies on oxidative stress–induced redistribution of TLR4. As shown in Fig. 7 (C and D), both jasplakinolide and calcium depletion prevented H₂O₂-stimulated increase in TLR4 surface level. Collectively, these findings suggest a role for exocytosis in the oxidant-induced increase in cell surface TLR4.

Effect of oxidant stress on the assembly of TLR4/MyD88 signaling molecules

Formation of a multi-molecular signaling complex containing cell surface TLR4 and adaptor molecules such as MyD88 is an important early step in LPS-induced signaling leading to downstream events, such as nuclear translocation of NF-κB (28). Having shown that oxidative stress induces movement of TLR4 to the plasma membrane, we examined whether oxidative stress might cause colocalization of MyD88 with TLR4 in a signaling complex, possibly in lipid rafts. Fig. 8 A shows that similar to TLR4, S/R also causes peripheralization of MyD88. Colocalization of Myd88 and TLR4 is indicated by the fluorescenceoverlay pictures. Further, inhibition of MyD88 peripheralization by NAC confirmed a role for oxidative stress in the effect (Fig. 8 A, right panels). The addition of H₂O₂ to RAW 264.7 cells also caused translocation of MyD88 to the membrane (Fig. 8 B). The specificity of the anti-MyD88 antibody was confirmed using a blocking peptide (Fig. 8 C). Next, recruitment of MyD88 into lipid rafts was examined. As shown in Fig. 8 D, MyD88 was detected in the raft fractions after H₂O₂ treatment, an effect that was prevented by cholesterol depletion before oxidative stress.

The functional significance of lipid raft integrity in oxidative stress–induced macrophage priming

The LPS–induced aggregation of a signaling complex within lipid rafts appears to be a prerequisite for LPS-mediated NF-κB translocation in macrophages (21). We have shown that oxidative stress primed macrophages for earlier and increased responsiveness to subsequent LPS challenge (29). Therefore, we hypothesized that induction of assembly of the LPS receptor complex by oxidative stress might represent a mechanism for the priming of macrophages to subsequent challenge with LPS. We designed experiments to separate the role of TLR4 accumulation in lipid rafts in LPS signaling from the ability of oxidant stress to mobilize TLR4 to lipid rafts as a priming mechanism. In control studies, LPS caused a time-dependent
increase in NF-κB translocation with minimal translocation observed by 15 min and >80% of cells showing translocation by 30 min (Fig. 9 B). MβCD treatment caused an almost 40% drop in the cellular cholesterol content (Fig. 9 A) and prevented LPS-induced NF-κB translocation. Repletion of membrane cholesterol restored LPS responsiveness (Fig. 9, A and B). These studies established that cholesterol repletion after antecedent MβCD treatment permitted normal LPS signaling.

Consistent with our prior studies, Fig. 9 C shows that oxidative stress hastens NF-κB translocation in response to LPS, occurring by 15 min (17, 29). To test whether lipid raft integrity was required for this H₂O₂-induced priming, we treated cholesterol-depleted cells with H₂O₂, where TLR4 movement to lipid rafts was prevented. Next, we restored cholesterol levels to permit normal LPS signaling (as shown in Fig. 9 B). As illustrated in Fig. 9 C, MβCD treatment before H₂O₂ exposure followed by cholesterol repletion prevented the augmented p65 translocation by LPS observed in H₂O₂-treated cells at 15 min. Moreover, this was comparable to the effect of LPS alone added for 15 min. This was not due to toxicity or a nonspecific effect of MβCD because by 30 min, p65 translocation approximated that seen for the combined H₂O₂/LPS treatment. Importantly, cholesterol measurements showed that H₂O₂ treatment did not interfere with cholesterol repletion (Fig. 9 A). Moreover, cholesterol depletion does not interfere with H₂O₂-induced signaling (Fig. 4 D). Thus, inhibition of TLR4 cell surface translocation by MβCD appeared to prevent the primed macrophage phenotype. These experiments strongly suggest that lipid rafts are not only integral for LPS-mediated signaling but also critical for oxidant-induced macrophage priming for LPS responsiveness.

**DISCUSSION**

The clinical scenario of resuscitated hemorrhagic shock is known to render patients susceptible to the development of a systemic inflammatory response and organ dysfunction. Although several mechanisms undoubtedly contribute to this, the ability of antecedent S/R to prime inflammatory cells for increased responsiveness to a second stimulus, such as Gram-negative bacterial LPS, has provided a mechanistic framework for the investigation of post-resuscitation organ injury. These studies focus on the regulation of surface TLR4 expression after ischemia/reperfusion, a pathological process known to sensitize innate immune responses for enhanced LPS signaling both in vitro and in the in vivo setting (4, 5, 30). These experiments demonstrate that S/R, through the generation of oxidative stress, causes recruitment of TLR4 to the cell surface and that through the formation of these TLR4-containing receptor complexes, the cell becomes poised for increased responsiveness to LPS stimulation. These studies are the first to show that oxidative stress generated during ischemia/reperfusion alters subcellular TLR4 distribution in vivo, and thus they provide insights into the cellular mechanism whereby oxidative stress primes for enhanced LPS responsiveness.

Recent reports have suggested an important role for lipid rafts, detergent-insoluble cell membrane microdomains, in LPS signaling. Specifically, the clustering of TLR4/MD2 and other molecules, including CD14, heat shock proteins 70 and 90, the chemokine receptor CXCR4, and growth/differentiation factor 5, within lipid rafts and their confinement in these microdomains were determined as early steps in LPS signaling. Raft-disrupting agents, such as nystatin and MβCD, inhibited both enrichment of TLR4 in lipid rafts and LPS-stimulated downstream signaling via the MyD88/NF-κB pathway.
pathway (21). In these studies, we used both biochemical approaches and cell imaging techniques to demonstrate that oxidative stress generated by S/R in vivo or by H$_{2}$O$_{2}$ in vitro was able to induce migration of TLR4 into lipid rafts. Coupled with the immunofluorescence microscopy and the flow cytometry data demonstrating increased surface TLR4 expression after oxidative stress, these findings suggest movement of TLR4 from the cytoplasmic compartment to lipid rafts in the plasma membrane. Because lipid rafts are known to be present in various intracellular compartments, such as the Golgi apparatus or vesicles, it is possible that TLR4 may first move to one or more of these locations en route to the plasma membrane. It also appears that the integrity of the lipid raft microdomains is critical for TLR4 recruitment to the plasma membrane because disruption of these domains with MβCD prevented the oxidant-induced increase in TLR4 cell surface expression. This observation is also consistent with our finding that oxidant-induced exocytosis was involved in the translocation of TLR4 to the plasma membrane.

Recent studies have reported that lipid rafts are involved in regulated exocytosis in various cell types based on the observation that cholesterol depletion prevents exocytosis (31). The mechanism of this raft-dependent exocytosis in part involves the clustering of SNARE proteins within raft domains. Conclusions regarding whether TLR4-containing vesicles translocate to the plasmalemmal rafts directly or migrate to the raft fraction after delivery to the plasma membrane will require further investigation.

The data suggest that mobilization of TLR4 into cell surface lipid rafts after oxidative stress plays an important role in the augmented cellular responsiveness to LPS stimulation. When oxidant-induced TLR4 mobilization to lipid rafts was prevented using the cholesterol-depleting agent MβCD, the increased responsiveness of the cells to LPS was prevented. One alternate explanation is that H$_{2}$O$_{2}$ might act through the activation of signaling cascades that are dependent on the integrity of lipid rafts, and thus its inability to prime after MβCD treatment may be due to an effect on H$_{2}$O$_{2}$-induced signaling. Data regarding p38 kinase activation by H$_{2}$O$_{2}$ with and without MβCD presented in Fig. 4 D demonstrate that MβCD does not globally inhibit H$_{2}$O$_{2}$-induced signaling. In addition, although these studies do not rule out every conceivable pathway, wherein H$_{2}$O$_{2}$ might have influenced LPS signaling in a raft-dependent manner, it does provide a clear relationship between oxidative stress, increased surface TLR4, involvement of lipid rafts in this TLR4 redistribution, and priming for LPS signaling. Recruitment of TLR4 to plasma membrane lipid rafts may have resulted in augmented signaling by several distinct mechanisms. First, the number of surface TLR4 molecules has been shown to generally correlate with LPS responsiveness. Overexpression of TLR4 in transgenic mice amplifies susceptibility to LPS treatment, both in vivo and in vitro (11). In these studies, there was a substantial increase in surface TLR4 expression after oxidative stress, potentially accounting for the enhanced NF-κB translocation after LPS treatment. Second, LPS-induced formation of the receptor complex within lipid rafts appears to be necessary to transduce the LPS signal. We propose that antecedent oxidant stress induces formation of the complex, even before exposure to LPS, such that the receptor complex is poised to respond rapidly when exposed to LPS. Although we did not measure the myriad of proteins known to localize in the LPS receptor complex, the adaptor protein MyD88, known to be enlisted to the complex during LPS signaling, was shown to colocalize with both TLR4 and the lipid raft fraction after oxidant exposure. Although early studies investigating an effect of oxidants on cellular activation clearly demonstrated that oxidative stress was able to induce NF-κB nuclear translocation (32), enrichment of TLR4 as well as MyD88 in lipid rafts in response to H$_{2}$O$_{2}$ treatment in these present studies was not sufficient to induce downstream signaling in the form of increased NF-κB translocation (Fig. 9 C), despite the fact that H$_{2}$O$_{2}$ was shown to activate other cell signaling pathways (Figs. 4 D and 7 A). This finding is likely due to the relatively low dose of H$_{2}$O$_{2}$ used in these studies and the early time course of observation for NF-κB. In our prior work, we showed that 100 μM H$_{2}$O$_{2}$ was able to induce a modest rise in NF-κB translocation at a delayed time point (1 h). This dose was chosen because it had been shown to be a priming dose for LPS stimulation in this system (17, 29). Similarly, the oxidant-dependent NF-κB translocation occurring in the lung after S/R is delayed and modest compared with LPS or the combination of shock plus LPS (5). This is consistent with the low-dose oxidant priming of AMs occurring in this setting and the effects on TLR4 redistribution seen in these studies. Besides the TLR4 redistribution per se, recent studies evaluating the responsiveness of cells to LPS and its analogues have also shown that the protein composition of the LPS receptor complex may vary depending on the stimulus and may influence downstream signaling (9). Oxidative stress may potentially induce an LPS receptor complex that diverts LPS signaling along an alternative pathway, leading to enhanced NF-κB translocation. In this regard, we recently reported that exposure of RAW 264.7 cells to H$_{2}$O$_{2}$ reprogrammed cells, such that LPS signaling was dependent on activation of src family kinases and involved the PI3-kinase/Akt pathway (17, 29). Src kinases are activated by oxidant stress (33) and move into lipid rafts to facilitate signaling (34). Further investigation evaluating the signaling pathways required for the oxidant-induced effects on TLR4 and the components of the oxidant-induced LPS receptor complex will provide insight into these possibilities.

The present studies demonstrate a marked change in TLR4 receptor density and distribution after oxidative stress, induced either by ischemia/reperfusion or by the addition of H$_{2}$O$_{2}$. In various other cell systems, oxidative stress may contribute to cell surface receptor density through altering the stability of newly synthesized protein in the endoplasmic reticulum, by inducing translocation of new proteins from the endoplasmic reticulum to the Golgi compartment and vesicular transport to the plasmalemma. With respect to the latter of these mechanisms, the glucose transporter GLUT4 is translocated...
from cytoplasmic vesicles to the cell surface by oxidative stress (35). Ichimura et al. (36) demonstrated that oscillations in calcium levels induced production of reactive oxygen species, which in turn promoted exocytosis of P-selectin. In pancreatic acinar cells, reactive oxygen species generated by the hypoxanthine/xanthine oxidase system were able to induce increased exocytosis of amylase (37). Consistent with this mechanism, two distinct strategies aimed at preventing exocytosis of CD11b-containing intracellular vesicular compartments in macrophages also preclude oxidant-induced up-regulation of TLR4. Specifically, polymerization of the actin cytoskeleton with jasplakinolide and calcium depletion inhibited translocation of TLR4 to the cell surface by H2O2. These and other studies suggest that the effect of oxidative stress on exocytosis may involve alterations in intracellular calcium levels.

The precise intracellular source of TLR4 requires further elucidation. Espevik et al. (38) recently showed that in an epithelial cell line, entire lipid raft fractions containing TLR4, CD14, and MD-2 continuously and rapidly recycle between the Golgi complex and the plasma membrane. In human monocytes, localization of TLR4 to perinuclear compartments was suggested to be evidence of its presence in the Golgi, although no formal localization studies have been performed (39). In RAW 264.7 cells as well as in rat AMs, the intracellular localization of TLR4 appears more diffuse, consistent with its presence in preformed vesicles. Further definition of the cytoplasmic localization of TLR4 in the cells of monocyte/macrophage lineage should provide insight into the preferred approaches to studying the mechanisms whereby oxidative stress induces an increase in surface TLR4 expression.

Alterations in the redox status of cells have been shown to affect a multitude of signaling pathways (40). With respect to LPS signaling, these present experiments provide evidence that oxidative stress generated during ischemia/reperfusion may exert its effects by regulating the distribution of the most proximal component of the signaling cascade, namely the LPS receptor complex. Future studies defining the mechanism of oxidant-induced TLR4 redistribution may provide fundamental insights into the regulation of TLR4 in monocytes/macrophages and suggest novel therapeutic approaches in disease states wherein the process of ischemia/reperfusion contributes to the pathogenesis of disease.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animal model. The animal protocol was approved by the Animal Care Committee of St. Michael’s Hospital (protocol no. ACC756). S/R was performed as described previously (14). In brief, male Sprague-Dawley rats were anesthetized, and the right carotid artery was cannulated for monitoring of mean arterial pressure, blood sampling, and resuscitation. Mean arterial pressure was reduced to 40 mmHg by blood withdrawal and maintained between 35 and 45 mmHg by additional blood withdrawal or infusion of Ringer’s lactate (Baxter Co.) if necessary. Shed blood was collected into 0.1 ml sodium citrate/ml blood. After 60 min, animals were resuscitated with their shed blood and an equivalent amount of Ringer’s lactate with or without 0.5 g/kg NAC (Mucormyst; Shire, Inc.) or 10 mg/kg Trolox over a 2-h period. Animals were killed by a pentobarbital (MTC Pharmaceuticals) overdose, and AMs were recovered by bronchoalveolar lavage with cold PBS (Invitrogen), followed by centrifugation at 300 x g (10 min).

Cell culture and activation. The murine macrophage cell line, RAW 264.7 (American Type Culture Collection), was cultured at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO2 in endotoxin-free DMEM (Invitrogen) with 100 U/ml penicillin, 100 μg/ml streptomycin (Invitrogen), and 10% FCS (Hyclone Lab, Inc.). Experiments were performed in HBSS (Invitrogen) supplemented with 2% FCS. The following treatments were applied: 100 μM (or the indicated concentration) H2O2, 0.1 μg/ml LPS (Escherichia coli 0111:B4; Sigma-Aldrich), 0.1 mM xanthine and 3 U/ml xanthine oxidase, and 50 μM menadione. In the functional studies, cells were exposed to H2O2 for 1 h, followed by LPS for 15–60 min. Where indicated, cells were treated with 10 μM BAPTA/AM (Calbiochem) for 10 min or 1 μM jasplakinolide (Invitrogen) for 30 min at 37°C before adding oxidants. Reactions were stopped by placing cells on ice.

Immunofluorescence microscopy. AMs or RAW264.7 cells on coverslips were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (Canemco-MARivac) for 30 min, permeabilized with 0.2% Triton X-100 in PBS for 20 min, blocked with 5% bovine serum albumin for 1 h, and incubated with the indicated antibody. The following primary antibodies were used: anti-TLR4 antibody (H-80; 1:200; for rat AMs), anti-MyD88 (F-19; 1:200), and anti-p65 (1:50) from Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.; and FITC-conjugated anti-TLR4/MD2 (MTS510; for RAW 264.7 cells) from StressGen Biotechnologies. The two anti-TLR4 antibodies differ insofar as the H-80 antibody recognizes TLR4, whereas the MTS510 recognizes the MD-2/TLR4 complex. FITC- or Cy3-conjugated Fab fragment secondary antibodies were from Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, and Alexa 488-labeled anti-goat antibody was from Invitrogen. GM-1 ganglioside, a component of lipid rafts, was detected by incubating live cells with rhodamine-TRITC-conjugated CTxB (1:500; List Biological Laboratories) before fixation. The coverslips were mounted using DAKO medium (DakoCytomation) and visualized with a Nikon TE200 fluorescence microscope (100x objective) coupled to an Orca 100 camera (Hamamatsu Photonics) driven by Simple PCI software (Compix Inc.). Where indicated, a Zeiss LSM 510 confocal microscope with a 100x objective was used to acquire 0.5-μm-thick serial optical slices. Images of representative focal planes are shown. Image analysis was performed using the ScionImage software. Peripheralization was defined as a ≥80% increase in the fluorescence intensities at the cell periphery and the cytosol (at 0.5 μm distance from the membrane). The percentage of cells with peripheralized TLR4 under various conditions was calculated by scoring 100 cells. NF-kB translocation was analyzed as described previously (17). The percentage of cells with nuclear p65 staining was determined by counting an average of 100 cells for each group. During quantitation, the observer was blinded to the experimental group.

Flow cytometry. Cell surface expression of TLR4 and CD11b was detected by flow cytometry of live cells stained with anti-TLR4 antibody (H-80), anti-TLR4/MD2 (MTS510), or anti-CD11b/CD18 (Mac-1; Cedarlane Laboratories) and the corresponding FITC-conjugated secondary antibody where required. 10,000 cells/condition were analyzed in a FACScan (Becton Dickinson) using FL1 525 nm Band Pass detector at an excitation wavelength of 488 nm. Results were expressed as mean channel fluorescence.

FRET. For FRET experiments, live RAW264.7 cells were stained at 4°C with anti-TLR4 antibody (H-80) followed by an FITC-conjugated anti-rabbit Fab fragment and rhodamine-CT.B. The fluorescence was determined at 37°C using a DeltaRam illumination system from Photon Technologies, Inc. operated by FELIX software. The excitation wavelength was 480 ± 5 nm and emission was tested at 510 ± 10 nm (green emission) and at >590 nm (red emission) for at least six cells/coverslip. For each condition, at least 20 cells from three to four coverslips were measured.

Manipulation and measurement of cellular cholesterol. Cholesterol was depleted and repleted in RAW264.7 cells using a modified procedure of
Furuchi et al. (41) and Roy et al. (42). In brief, cells were treated with 10 mM MβCD for 30 min at 37°C in HBSS. Cholesterol repletion was achieved by incubation with 80 µg/ml cholesterol and 0.2% MβCD for 30 min at 37°C. The total cholesterol content was determined using the Amplex red cholesterol assay kit from Invitrogen.

**Isolation and detection of lipid rafts.** Lipid rafts were isolated as described previously (24). RAW 264.7 cells (2 x 10⁷ cells/ml) were lysed in 0.25 ml TKM buffer (50 mM Tris, pH 7.4, 25 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, and 1 mM EDTA) containing 0.5% w/v/Brij58 (Sigma-Aldrich) and protease inhibitors (Roche Diagnostics) on ice for 30 min. Lysates were mixed with an equal volume cold 80% wt/vol sucrose in TKM and overlaid with 4.3 ml equal volume cold 80% wt/vol sucrose in TKM and overlaid with 4.3 ml 10 µl TBS-B buffer (20 mM Tris, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, and 0.05% Tween 20) containing 0.1% Triton X-100 and loaded onto Protran Nitrocellulose Sheet (0.45-μm pore; Schleicher & Schuell) using Dot Blot (Bio-Rad Laboratories). The membrane was blocked in 5% milk in TBS-T, and the membrane was incubated with anti-TLR4 (H-80), or anti-MyD88 (F-19) for 1 h. Where required, horseradish peroxidase–conjugated secondary antibody (1:3,000 dilution for 1 h) was used. Labeling was visualized using enhanced chemiluminescence (PerkinElmer).

**Western blotting.** Proteins were detected using Western blotting as described previously (43). Protein concentration was determined using the Bradford protein assay (Bio-Rad Laboratories). Equal loading was verified using Ponceau S staining of the membranes. The phospho-p38 antibody was from Cell Signaling.

**Statistical analysis.** Data are presented as mean ± standard error of n determinations as indicated in the figure legends. Data were analyzed by one-way analysis of variance, and post hoc testing was performed using the Bonferroni modification of the t test with significance at P < 0.05. Blots and images shown are representatives of at least three separate determinations.
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