Characteristics of Glycerolized Chitosan: NH$_4$NO$_3$-Based Polymer Electrolyte for Energy Storage Devices with Extremely High Specific Capacitance and Energy Density Over 1000 Cycles
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Abstract: In this work, plasticized polymer electrolyte films consisting of chitosan, ammonium nitrate (NH$_4$NO$_3$) and glycerol for utilization in energy storage devices was presented. Various microscopic, spectroscopic and electrochemical techniques were used to characterize the concerned electrolyte and the electrical double-layer capacitor (EDLC) assembly. The nature of complexation between the polymer electrolyte components was examined via X-ray diffraction analysis. In the morphological study, field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM) was used to investigate the impact of glycerol as a plasticizer on the morphology of films. The polymer electrolyte (conducting membrane) was found to have a conductivity of $3.21 \times 10^{-3}$ S/cm. It is indicated that the number density ($n$), mobility ($\mu$) and diffusion coefficient ($D$) of ions are increased with the glycerol amount. The mechanism of charge storing was clarified, which implies a non-Faradaic process. The voltage window of the polymer electrolyte is 2.32 V. It was proved that the ion is responsible for charge-carrying via measuring the transference number (TNM). It was also determined that the internal resistance of the EDLC assembly lay between 39 and 50 $\Omega$. The parameters associated with the EDLC assembly are of great importance and the specific capacitance ($C_{sp}$) was determined to be almost constant over 1 to 1000 cycles with an average of 124 F/g. Other decisive parameters were found: energy density (18 Wh/kg) and power density (2700 W/kg).
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1. Introduction

Various resources of electrical energy are accounted worldwide: 42% from coal, 21% from natural gas, 15% from nuclear, 5% from oil and 3% from renewable energy devices [1]. The decay of natural gas and oil is estimated to reach a maximum over the next few decades [2]. Moreover, the problem of energy obtained from coal is the emission of CO$_2$ into the air, resulting in global warming [3].

To avoid these problems, the development of renewable energy devices, such as electrochemical capacitors, namely supercapacitors (SCs) and ultracapacitors, has been focused on by several research groups [4–7]. SC is a general term for electrical double-layer capacitors (EDLCs), pseudocapacitors (PCs) or hybrid capacitors. They are characterized by their fast charging process, relatively high power density, excellent cyclability and ease of fabrication [8–10]. EDLCs are considered as a potential alternative to conventional batteries. The principle of EDLCs is based on the non-Faradaic process that energy is stored in the form of charge accumulation at the interfacial region between the carbon-based electrode and electrolyte [11–13].

In comparison, EDLCs are characterized by relatively high power density, electrochemical and thermal stabilities, plausible reversibility, cheapness, safety and simplicity of fabrication, which is superior to Faradaic capacitors (PCs) [14–17]. As stated previously, EDLCs are superior over other energy devices in terms of utilizing carbon materials as inexpensive materials in the fabrication of electrodes [18]. The desired property of the activated carbon (AC) materials is micro-porosity, which provides a high surface area to expose to the interfacial region to encompass as many ions as possible. For example, it was documented that the high specific area of activated carbon ranged from 1879 to 3313 m$^2$/g [19]. To enrich the interfacial region with ions, inorganic acids, such as sulfuric acid (H$_2$SO$_4$) and phosphoric acid (H$_3$PO$_4$), have been widely used. Nowadays, ammonium salts have been used as a strong alternative to inorganic acids. This is owing to the chemical degradation of these inorganic acids in EDLC devices [20].

Biodegradable polymer electrolytes are considered as a good candidate to be employed in energy devices such as EDLCs and reduce electronic waste. These materials are essential for applications as ionic conductive and flexible membranes [21–23]. Polymer electrolyte is superior to liquid electrolytes, which possess better mechanical properties, ease formation of thin film, are an appropriate size and have appropriate contact between the electrode and the electrolyte [24,25]. It is interesting to notice that the presence of electronegative atoms, for instance, oxygen and nitrogen, within the monomer of the chain of polymers enhances the solvent properties to dissolve salts and then to provide ions. Fortunately, there are oxygen and nitrogen in chitosan (CS), which thereby encourages researchers to focus on this fascinating polymer material [26–28]. Consequently, it is possible to synthesize polymer electrolytes by dissolving metal salts in polymeric matrices. This methodology makes polymers conductive ionically, which has intensive applications in electrochemical devices [29,30].

The main focus is devoted to utilizing natural polymers as environmentally friendly and impressive characteristic solid polymer electrolytes in electrochemical devices, such as electrochromic devices, sensors and fuel cells [31,32]. In addition, recently, polymer electrolytes have been widely employed in batteries, which can have both economic and environmental benefits [33,34]. Herein, some examples of biodegradable and biocompatible natural polymers in polymer electrolytes are given [35]. The most abundant and utilized one is chitosan (CS), which is the deacetylated product (i.e., a derivative) of chitin, in addition to cellulose [36,37]. The main natural source of CS is shrimp waste, in which extraction has been performed extensively [38]. In addition to all these superior properties of CS, there is the enrichment of structure with polar groups, such as NH$_2$ and OH. These polar groups serve as conjunction sites, providing an association with some transition metal ions [39,40]. Another attractive property of CS is the capacity for molding into a range of forms, such as hydrogels and porous scaffolds [41].

The present study is aimed at preparing polymer electrolyte using biodegradable host polymer (CS) with ammonium nitrate (NH$_4$NO$_3$) as a source of ions. The salt possesses relatively low lattice
energy of 642 kJ/mol, which means a high degree of dissociation [42]. To further enhance conductivity, CS:NH₄NO₃: glycerol has also been used as a relatively high conducting electrolyte between the electrodes in the fabricated EDLC.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Sample Preparation

The raw materials, CS (average molecular mass: 310,000–375,000) and ammonium nitrate (NH₄NO₃), were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). To prepare the polymer electrolytes, 1 g of CS was dissolved in 60 mL of 1% acetic acid with stirring for 3 h until a homogeneous solution was gained at ambient temperature. In the preparation of CS:NH₄NO₃ electrolytes, 40 wt.% of NH₄NO₃ was added into the CS solution with vigorous stirring. Afterwards, the coding process of prepared polymer electrolyte was performed in a way CSNHG1, CSNHG2, CSNHG3 and CSNHG4 for CS:NH₄NO₃ plasticized with 10, 20, 30 and 40 wt.% of glycerol, respectively. After leaving these polymer electrolytes, dry films formed at ambient temperature. Then, casting in Petri dishes was carried out, and to produce the solvent-free film, the films were put into a desiccator. All these preparations were conducted under the conditions of ~50% and relative humidity at 25 °C.

2.2. X-ray Diffraction (XRD) Study

It is essential to know about the nature of the complexation between electrolyte components using XRD. The patterns of XRD were acquired using an X-ray diffractometer (Bruker AXS, Karlsruhe, Germany) with operating voltage and current of 40 kV and 40 mA, respectively, at ambient temperature. The scanning over the samples was carried out using a monochromatic beam (X-radiation of wavelength (λ = 1.5406 Å) at glancing angles in the range of 5° ≤ 2θ ≤ 80° with a step size of 0.1°. The degree of crystallinity (Xc) was calculated using the following equation [43].

\[
X_c = \left( \frac{A_c}{A_c + A_a} \right) \times 100\% \tag{1}
\]

where \( A_c \) and \( A_a \) are areas under the peaks of crystalline and amorphous peaks, respectively.

2.3. Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscopy (FESEM)

The surface images of the produced dry films were obtained with a field-emission microscope, using a Hitachi SU8220 (Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan) at 500× magnifications.

2.4. Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy (EIS)

Complex impedance spectroscopy as a powerful technique is not only for determining the electrical properties of materials but also for investigating the interfacial region between the electronically conducting electrodes and the electrolytes. As described in the experimental section, the separating phase was obtained from the solid polymer electrolyte (SPE) films by cutting them into small discs (2 cm diameter) and sandwiching them between two stainless steel electrodes using spring pressure. The impedance of the films was measured using a HIOKI 3531 Z Hi-tester (HIOKI, Nagano, Japan), which was controlled by a computer in the frequency range from 50 Hz to 5000 kHz. Software was used to measure the real (Zr) and imaginary (Zi) parts of impedance. To present the impedance spectra of Zr and Zi, a Nyquist plot was used, and an analysis of the spectra was performed from the determination of the intercept of the plot with the real impedance axis to gain the bulk resistance. All conductivity values were also calculated using the equation shown below [24,25].

\[
\sigma_{dc} = \left( \frac{1}{R_b} \right) \times \left( \frac{t}{A} \right) \tag{2}
\]
where \( t \) and \( A \) are the thickness and surface area of the film, respectively.

### 2.5. Transference Number Measurement (TNM)

Both ion \((t_{\text{ion}})\) and electron \((t_{\text{el}})\) transference numbers were measured from cell polarization of stainless steel (SS) | relatively high conducting SPE | SS by holding the voltage at 0.20 V. A V&A Instrument DP3003 digital DC power supply (V & A Instrument, Shanghai, China) was used in the data acquisitions. The ion and electron transfer numbers of the films were obtained using the equations shown below [25–27].

\[
t_{\text{ion}} = \frac{I_i - I_{\text{ss}}}{I_i}
\]

\[
t_{\text{el}} = 1 - t_{\text{ion}}
\]

where \( I_i \) and \( I_{\text{ss}} \) are the initial and the steady-state currents, respectively.

### 2.6. Linear Sweep Voltammetry (LSV)

The electrochemical stability of the SPE system was determined using linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) at ambient temperature. The cell design for recording LSV consisted of SS | relatively high conducting SPE | SS. A Digi-IVY DY2300 potentiostat (Neware, Shenzhen, China) was used for recording the LSV at a sweep rate of 10 mV/s and at ambient temperature.

### 2.7. EDLC Fabrication

The dry mixing process was used in constructing electrodes for EDLC by adding 0.25 g of carbon black to 3.25 g of activated carbon in a planetary ball miller. A solution of polyvinylidene fluoride (PVdF) was prepared by dissolving 0.50 g in 15 mL N-methyl pyrrolidone (NMP) at ambient temperature. All materials were purchased from Sigma-Aldritch (St. Louis, MO, USA). Afterwards, the dry mixture of activated carbon-carbon black powders was dispersed in PVdF-NMP solution for 90 min. Then, stirring of the mixture for a few hours was carried out until a thick black solution was obtained. The current collector (aluminium foil) was coated with a black solution with the aid of a doctor blade followed by drying of the coated aluminium foil in an oven at 60 °C. To ensure the dryness of these electrodes and maintain a geometric surface area of 2.01 cm\(^2\) and thickness of ~0.02 cm, a desiccator containing silica gel was used. The cell design of EDLC was as follows: AC electrode | relatively high conducting SPE | AC electrode. This designed cell was packed in CR2032 coin, and all electrochemical measurements of the fabricated EDLC were performed using a Digi-IVY DY2300 potentiostat (10 mV/s sweep rate).

### 3. Results and Discussion

#### 3.1. X-ray Diffraction (XRD)

The XRD spectra of the plasticized CS-based electrolyte films are exhibited in Figure 1. Previously, it was proved for pure CS that there were many crystalline peaks, in addition to the broad, amorphous peak centres at \( \theta \) ranges from 33° to 45° [24,39]. The XRD spectrum for pure CS is shown in Figure 1a. The crystalline peak centres at \( \theta = 11.2^\circ \), which can be related to the \((0 \ 2 \ 0)\) reflection plane, and one around \( 20^\circ \) can be ascribed to the contribution of two peaks as observed in the doped samples at 18.16° and 22.7°. These could be correlated to the reflections of the \((2 \ 0 \ 0)\) and \((2 \ 2 \ 0)\) planes. Moreover, another peak appearing near 15° has already been recorded to be a demonstration of the existence of relatively regular crystal lattice (110) of CS [44]. Figure 1b,c shows the XRD pattern for CS:NH\(_4\)NO\(_3\) electrolytes incorporated with 20 wt.% and 40 wt.% glycerol. The appearance of peaks for pure CS around \( \theta = 15^\circ \) and \( 20^\circ \) are seen as distorted in the form of broad humps in the CS:NH\(_4\)NO\(_3\):glycerol electrolytes. It is seen that the central peak of CS appearing at around \( \theta = 20^\circ \) is distributed over two peaks with a broad linewidth feature. The strong evidence of a developing amorphous phase
in the CS:NH$_4$NO$_3$:glycerol electrolytes upon insertion of glycerol are thus lowering in intensity and broadening of the diffraction peaks as shown in the XRD pattern [39,45,46]. The developing amorphous phase in CS:NH$_4$NO$_3$:glycerol electrolyte is mainly caused by the complexation between functional groups of the polymer/plasticizer and cation of the salt as a result of electrostatic interactions [25,26]. This finding indicates the effectiveness of glycerol as a plasticizer in lowering the crystalline phase of the CS polymer. In addition, glycerol as a plasticizer is characterized by cheapness, high natural abundance, less toxicity and is environmentally friendly.

Furthermore, with increasing the quantity of glycerol, an amorphous phase increasingly appears that is accompanied by the disappearance of crystalline peaks of CS. Origin 8 software was used to measure the degree of crystallinity ($X_c$) of the fabricated membranes, using calculation of area under the peaks for both amorphous and crystalline phases. The values of $X_c$ were calculated using Equation (1). It is interesting to notice that the $X_c$ lowers effectively upon the addition of more glycerol, as shown in Table 1. This is associated with the disruption of interaction within the polymer crystalline phase [47]. Herein, the degree of crystallinity of pure CS is 15.97%, which is close to that documented in the literature (15.1%) [48].

**Figure 1.** X-ray diffraction (XRD) spectra for (a) pure chitosan (CS), (b) CSNHG2 and (c) CSNHG4 electrolyte films.
Table 1. The degree of crystallinity calculated from deconvoluted XRD pattern.

| Electrolyte  | Degree of Crystallinity (%) |
|--------------|----------------------------|
| Pure CS      | 15.97                      |
| CSNHG2       | 10.98                      |
| CSNHG4       | 6.93                       |

The relatively crystalline structure of CS originates from the existence of both intramolecular and intermolecular hydrogen bonding [49,50]. However, the plasticized CS samples were characterized by dominance of an amorphous phase, as confirmed using XRD. This development in the amorphous phase results in an enhancement in ionic conductivity. Figure 1 confirms the abundance of the amorphous nature of CS solid electrolyte samples from these Gaussian-shaped broad peaks, as examined by Alves et al. [51].

3.2. Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscopy (FESEM)

Morphological study of the films could support the XRD results. For this purpose, FESEM was used to have surface images of films with 500x magnification. Figure 2a–d show the FESEM of all film samples. A few protrudes over the film were seen with the addition of 10 and 20 wt.% glycerol into the electrolyte system, as shown in Figure 2a,b. It is interesting to notice that there are no protrudes as the glycerol quantity was increased from 20 to 40 wt.%, as presented in Figure 2c,d. In other words, the plasticized electrolyte samples are characterized by surface smoothness and uniformity with the absence of any phase separation. As a consequence, the FESEM and the XRD results support each other. It was documented that the appearance of smooth morphology is associated with the amorphous phase enhancement in the electrolyte samples [50]. The smooth surface electrolytes can facilitate ion conduction, thereby, an increase of DC conductivity value was achieved [50].

Figure 2. Field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM) images for (a) CSNHG1, (b) CSNHG2, (c) CSNHG3, and (d) CSNHG4 electrolytes.
3.3. Impedance and Ion Transport Parameters Study

In the study of electric properties and mechanism of ion transport and electron transfer in polymer electrolytes and conjugated polymers, it is appropriate to use an impedance spectroscopic technique [52]. The complex impedance Nyquist plots (Z’ versus Z") of plasticized CS:NH₄NO₃ electrolyte film samples at ambient temperature are shown in Figure 3a–c. It is seen that only a distinct tail exists in the Nyquist plots. In the literature, it is emphasized that the impedance spectra of low ionic conductivity polymer electrolytes are characterized by a half semicircle and a tail at high frequency and low-frequency regions, respectively [15,24,30,45,46]. In the earlier studies, this tail showed that DC conductivity values ranged from 10⁻⁴ to 10⁻³ S/cm [53–55] for the polymer electrolytes. At the low-frequency region, the spike would be related to the capacitor obtained as a result of the double-layer formation from charge accumulation at the electrode/electrolyte interfacial region [56–59].

It is well-defined that the tail at low frequency has deviated from the imaginary axis results from ion moving when the electrode polarization occurs [56,58,60]. From the analysis of the intercept between the spike at the low-frequency region and the real axis in the complex impedance plots, the electrical bulk resistance (Rb) of the samples can be extracted. If the area and thickness of the electrodes and Rb are known, it is straightforward to calculate the DC ionic conductivity using Equation (2). The values of DC ionic conductivity continuously increase with increasing glycerol content, as presented in Table 2. From Table 2, it is clear that proton (H⁺) ion conductivity was influenced by glycerol concentration.

In the present work H⁺ cation is provided by the dissolved NH₄NO₃ salt. To investigate the electrical impedance spectroscopy of polymer electrolytes, it is straightforward and rapid to use the electrical equivalent circuit (EEC) model [61,62]. From the Nyquist plots and modelling the electrical circuit (equivalent circuit) of the polymer electrolytes, the Rb for the charge carriers and a constant phase element, i.e., CPE, are obtained, as shown in the inset of Figure 3a–c. The EEC fitting parameters are shown in Table 3. The Zₐ CPE impedance is mathematically expressed as follows [53–55,62].

\[ Z_{CPE} = \frac{\cos(\pi n/2)}{C \omega^n} - j \frac{\sin(\pi n/2)}{C \omega^n} \]  

(5)

where C is the CPE capacitance and \( \omega \) and n are angular frequency and the vertical axis deviation of the plot, respectively, in the complex impedance plots.

It is confirmed from the Nyquist plot of polymer electrolyte that the only the resistive component is evident. The polymer can act as an insulator, and the CPE was connected in series with Rb, as shown in the inset of Figure 3a–c. It is worth mentioning that the values of \( Z_r \) and \( Z_i \) of the EEC can be mathematically expressed as follows [53–55]:

\[ Z_r = R + \frac{\cos(\pi n/2)}{C \omega^n} \]  

(6)

\[ Z_i = \frac{\sin(\pi n/2)}{C \omega^n} \]  

(7)

| Designation | Conductivity (s cm⁻¹) |
|-------------|-----------------------|
| CSNHG1      | 7.14 × 10⁻⁴           |
| CSNHG2      | 1.61 × 10⁻³           |
| CSNHG3      | 2.14 × 10⁻³           |
| CSNHG4      | 3.21 × 10⁻³           |
Figure 3. Cont.
As the impedance data composed of a tail only, the ionic transport parameters of the diffusion coefficient ($D$), mobility ($\mu$) and number density ($n$) of ions are computed by the given equations [12].

The $D$ of the ion carriers of the systems is computed using the relation,

$$D = D \cdot \exp\left(-0.0297[\ln D]^2 - 1.4348 \ln D - 14.504\right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

where

$$D_\omega = \left(\frac{4kT}{Rb^4\omega_{\min}^{-3}}\right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

where $l$ is the electrolyte thickness and $\omega_{\min}$ is the angular frequency corresponding to the minimum $Z_i$.

The mobility ($\mu$) of the ion carriers is calculated using the relation below.

$$\mu = \left(\frac{eD}{k_bT}\right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

where $T$ is the absolute temperature and $k_b$ is the Boltzmann constant.

Since $DC$ conductivity of ions is presented by

$$\sigma_{DC} = ne\mu,$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

thus, the number density of ion carriers ($n$) is computed using Equation (11).

Table 4 shows the ion transport parameters and the $\omega_{\min}$ values for the electrolyte systems. Based on Table 4, the value of $D$ is observed to increase when the glycerol concentration increases from 10 to 40 wt.%. The same trend is shown by $\mu$, as seen in Table 4, where $\mu$ increases. The increase of $\mu$ and $D$ is attributed to the chain flexibility enhancement with the glycerol existence. When the

![Figure 3. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) plots for (a) CSNHG1, (b) CSNHG2, (c) CSNHG3 and (d) CSNHG4 electrolyte films.](image-url)
glycerol concentration is increased, the values of $D$, $\mu$ and $n$ are increased, which causes the value of conductivity to increase. This is because the extra glycerol addition dissociates more salts to free ions, thus increasing the number density of ion carriers [12].

| Sample      | $\omega$ (rad s$^{-1}$) | $D$ (cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$) | $\mu$ (cm$^2$ V$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$) | $n$ (cm$^{-3}$) |
|-------------|-------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------|
| CSNHG1      | $1.70 \times 10^6$      | $1.13 \times 10^{-7}$  | $4.39 \times 10^{-6}$           | $1.01 \times 10^{21}$ |
| CSNHG2      | $1.95 \times 10^6$      | $1.71 \times 10^{-7}$  | $6.67 \times 10^{-6}$           | $1.08 \times 10^{21}$ |
| CSNHG3      | $1.26 \times 10^6$      | $1.86 \times 10^{-7}$  | $7.26 \times 10^{-6}$           | $1.84 \times 10^{21}$ |
| CSNHG4      | $1.13 \times 10^6$      | $2.72 \times 10^{-7}$  | $1.06 \times 10^{-5}$           | $1.89 \times 10^{21}$ |

3.4. Electrochemical Investigations

3.4.1. Transference Number Measurement

It is of vital importance to determine the activity and identity of the charge carrier in the polymer electrolyte samples using transference number measurement (TNM). At a constant voltage of 0.2 V, both ions and electrons contributed to the whole conductivity process in the polymer electrolyte samples. It is essential to know the extent of ionic conductivity in the electrolytes in use in batteries and SCs [32].

Figure 4 shows the polarization response of relatively high conducting CS:NH$_4$NO$_3$: glycerol electrolyte. It is seen that as the polarization begins, a substantial current ($I_0$) of 3 $\mu$A is recorded resulting from the charge conduction by both electrons and ions. The current then decreases sharply as time proceeds as a result of the decay of the number of ions within the plasticized polymer electrolytes [63]. In this complex electrochemical system, stainless steel (SS) was used as an electrode, which allows electron transfer to pass through and prohibits ion transport (ion-blocking electrode). As a consequence, the current decays until reaching 0.23 $\mu$A in the form of a plateau. The steady-state current is one of the electrochemical polarization responses [64]. Herein, to calculate the value of $t_{ion}$ and $t_{el}$, it is straightforward to use Equations (3) and (4). The calculation gives $t_{el}$ and $t_{ion}$ values to be 0.077 and 0.923, respectively. Accordingly, the main and primary charge carriers in the plasticized electrolyte are ion as the $t_{ion}$ is much higher than $t_{el}$. Both cations and anions contribute to charge transport within the electrolyte film systems [65].

Figure 4. Polarization of the highest conducting electrolyte at 0.2 V working voltage.
In EDLC devices, ion accumulation at the interface region is necessary to store charge as a form of energy. Othman et al. reported polymethyl methacrylate (PMMA)-lithium trifluoromethanesulfonate (LiCF$_3$SO$_3$) with an ion transference number of 0.93 to 0.98 [66]. Two factors govern the value of transference number: ion-ion and ion-polymer interactions. Vijaya et al. recorded a relatively high ion transference number ranging from 0.90 to 0.99 for ammonium salt-based polymer electrolytes [67].

3.4.2. LSV Analysis

To determine the electrochemical potential window of the electrolyte, it is informative to record linear sweep voltammetry (LSV). Figure 5 shows the LSV response of the relatively high conducting (CSNHG4) electrolyte at 10 mV/s. It is seen that below 2.32 V, there is no detectable current, indicating a non-electrochemical reaction within this potential range. However, beyond 2.32 V, the polymer electrolyte begins to decompose, showing an electrochemical reaction as a result of the polymer electrolyte decomposition [68]. Monisha et al. have stated that as current passes through a cell system, the voltage at the current value is called the threshold voltage [69]. In a previous study, it was documented that the lithium salt possesses a decomposition voltage of 2.10 V [70]. In the present study, the recorded voltage window for the polymer electrolyte under study is acceptable for utilization in energy storage devices.

3.5. EDLC Studies

3.5.1. Cyclic Voltammetry (CV)

Cyclic voltammetry as a straightforward technique was used in the estimation of the capacitance of the EDLC. The voltage window of the CV scan was in the range of 0 and 9 V at various sweep rates: 10, 20, 50 and 100 mV/s. The CV responses of the EDLC are shown in Figure 6. It is seen that there is no oxidation/reduction peak other than the adsorption of cation and anion of NH$_4$NO$_3$ salt, producing intercalation/deintercalation at the surface of the carbonic electrodes [26,27]. This means that a double layer formed as a result of ion accumulation at the interfacial region between the electrodes and electrolyte, producing capacitive current (non-Faradaic reaction) [71]. Moreover, the main feature of the CV response is a rectangular shape at relatively low sweep rates. The carbon porosity of the
electrodes results in relatively high internal resistance, which in turn results in the CV appearing in a leaf-like form [53–55].

Figure 6. Cyclic voltammetry responses of the relatively high conducting electrolyte at various sweep rates.

Moreover, the role of the electron is ignored due to the nonexistence of the redox peak. This suggests the occurrence of a non-Faradaic process, which is desired for the design of EDLCs [72]. The porous feature of the activated carbon and internal resistance facilitates double-layer formation [73]. From the CV, one can estimate the value of the specific capacitance ($C_{\text{spe}}$) of the EDLC assembly using the relationship shown below [53–55].

$$C_{\text{spe}} = \frac{\int_{V_1}^{V_2} I(V)dV}{2m(V_2 - V_1)(\frac{dV}{dt})}$$

(12)

where $\int_{V_1}^{V_2} I(V)dV$ is the area under CV response using Origin Pro 8.5 software and $m$ is the mass of active material (activated carbon), $(V_2 - V_1)$ is the voltage range and $\frac{dV}{dt}$ is the sweep rate. Table 5 presents the $C_{\text{spe}}$ of the EDLC assembly obtained from CV responses. In the next section, the $C_{\text{spe}}$ obtained from the CV response will be compared to that from the charge-discharge curve. It is interesting to compare the shape of the CV of the present work to that of biopolymer-based EDLC studies, which are relatively close to each other [74–76].

Table 5. Specific capacitance at various sweep rates.

| Scan Rate (mV/s) | Capacitance (F/g) |
|-----------------|------------------|
| 10              | 91.307           |
| 20              | 77.775           |
| 50              | 53.255           |
| 100             | 31.163           |

3.5.2. Galvanostatic Charge-Discharge Study

Figure 7 shows the charge-discharge response of the EDLC assembly by holding the current density at 0.5 mA cm$^{-2}$. It is seen that the slope of the discharge side response is almost linear,
confirming the capacitive behaviour of the EDLC assembly to a large extent [77]. From the slope value \( x \) of the discharge side, one can calculate the \( C_{spe} \) of the EDLC assembly using the following relationship:

\[
C_{spe} = \frac{i}{x \cdot m}
\]

where \( i \) is the applied current.

![Charge-discharge plot](image)

**Figure 7.** Charge-discharge plot of the electrical double-layer capacitor (EDLC) at selected cycles.

Figure 8 shows the fluctuation of \( C_{spe} \) values over a wide cycle number of the charging-discharging process. At the 1st cycle, the \( C_{spe} \) value was calculated and found to be 128 F g\(^{-1}\), which was quite close to that obtained from CV analysis at 10 mV/s. From this result, it has been concluded that the charging-discharging response is reliable in the estimation of \( C_{spe} \) of the EDLC assembly. At the 400th cycle, the \( C_{spe} \) lowers to 120 F g\(^{-1}\) and keeps a constant average value of 119 F g\(^{-1}\) up to the 1000th cycle. It is well established that the value of specific capacitance refers to the amount electrical charge stored at the interfacial region due to the electrostatic interaction between the electron in the electrode and ions in the electrolyte in the presence of an applied electric field. Due to the rapid charge-discharge process the number of free ions reduced (resulting from ion aggregation and ion pair formation), and thus the ion adsorption process is also affected. These will reduce the electrostatic interaction between ions and electrons at the interfacial region and lead to a little charge storage, which in turn causes a decrease in the value of specific capacitance. In the present study, the value of \( C_{spe} \) is much greater than that documented (61.7 F g\(^{-1}\)) for an ionic liquid-based gel polymer electrolyte reported by M. Tripathi and S.K. Tripathi [78]. Importantly, the specific capacitance is also greater than that recorded for gel-based polymer electrolytes by Boonen et al. [79] and by Łatoszyńska et al. [80], which were 87.3 F/g and 90 F/g, respectively. Interestingly, the high value of \( C_{spe} \) for the present EDLC assembly is comparable to that reported previously for different polymer electrolytes, as presented in Table 6.
After 1000 cycles, the estimation of efficiency ($\eta$) of the assembled EDLC is carried out. The efficiency ($\eta$) is also estimated from the charging time ($t_{cha}$) and discharging time ($t_{dis}$) using Figure 7 and the equation shown below.

$$\eta = \frac{t_{dis}}{t_{cha}} \times 100$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

From Figure 9, $\eta = 99.5\%$ is obtained at the 1st cycle. Interestingly, beyond the first cycle, the efficiency reached 92% and kept constant up to 1000 cycles. It is worth mentioning that at the steady-state, both the time of charging and discharging are almost the same, which is ideal for a capacitor. The slight reduction of efficiency beyond the first cycle could be due to the development of internal resistance. It is noticed that the decreasing in $\eta$ is harmonized with $C_s$ where it drops from 128 F/g to 119 F/g. Shukur et al. [88] have stated that a convinced EDLC is that which possesses $\eta$ in the 90–95% range. The authors also claimed that to achieve a high efficiency value, it is necessary to have compatibility electrolyte-electrode contact.
It is also critical to identify equivalent series resistance ($R_{esr}$) and then evaluate the internal resistance of the EDLC. The mathematical expression of $R_{esr}$ of the EDLC is shown below.

$$R_{esr} = \frac{V_d}{i}$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

In Figure 10, a small drop voltage ($V_d$) occurs before the discharging process. The voltage drop lies in the range 0.039–0.05 V as a consequence of the internal resistance in the EDLC, as shown in Figure 11. It exhibits the $R_{esr}$ of the EDLC over 1000 cycles. It is seen that the $R_{esr}$ of the EDLC is in the range of 39 to 50 $\Omega$.
In this work, the voltage of EDLC assembly was held at 0.9 V. Over 1000 cycles, the $E_{\text{den}}$ and $P_{\text{den}}$ of the EDLC were estimated as shown in Figures 12 and 13, respectively. At the 1st cycle, the $E_{\text{den}}$ and $P_{\text{den}}$ values are 18 Wh/kg and 2630 W/kg, respectively. It is noticed that both parameters slightly drop to 16.3 Wh/kg and 2300 W/kg, respectively, at the 500th cycle. Beyond the 500th cycle, the energy density and power density become constant with recording values of 16 Wh/kg and 2050 W/kg, respectively.

The high value of $E_{\text{den}}$ obtained in this work is compared to that previously recorded for various polymer electrolytes, as shown in Table 6. For example, the present value of $E_{\text{den}}$ using plasticized polymer electrolyte is higher than the $E_{\text{den}}$ reported for gel SCs using gel polymer electrolyte as documented by Lee et al. [84]. The authors used an ionic liquid-based polymer gel electrolyte in gel SCs, recording an $E_{\text{den}}$ of 15.7 Wh kg$^{-1}$ [84]. However, gel SCs often have higher $E_{\text{den}}$ than solid-state SCs.
This phenomenon of electrolyte depletion is due to the rapid charging-discharging process in which free ions in the bulk electrolyte recombine. Under this condition, potential energy developed at the surface of the carbon electrodes decreases enormously [73]. However, gel SCs often have higher energy density and power density than solid-state SCs.
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Figure 12. Energy density of the EDLC throughout the 1000 cycles.

Figure 13. Power density of the EDLC throughout the 1000 cycles.

In earlier studies [26–28,53–55], the EDLC-based CS electrolyte as the electrode separator gave lower $E_{den}$ and $P_{den}$ than that achieved in this work. This is owing to a lower degree of crystallinity compared to our previous study. It is well-documented that ion conduction is facilitated in amorphous phases [92]. Furthermore, the ion conduction towards the electrode is much enhanced in plasticized electrolytes compared to polymer/salt electrolytes alone. To pinpoint, high EDLC performance can be attributed to the extensive development of double charge layers. It has been documented that the decreasing performance of EDLC, i.e., lowering $C_s$, $\eta$, $E_{den}$ and $P_{den}$, results from electrolyte depletion. This phenomenon of electrolyte depletion is due to the rapid charging-discharging process in which...
free ions in the bulk electrolyte recombine. Under this condition, potential energy developed at the surface of the carbon electrodes decreases enormously [73].

4. Conclusions

In summary, proton-conducting solid polymer electrolytes based on CS:NH₄NO₃ doped with various quantities of glycerol were used in energy storage electrochemical double-layer capacitor (EDLC) applications. The 40 wt.% of glycerol addition provides relatively high DC conductivity of the polymer electrolyte, which is desired. Enrichment of the amorphous domain is also achieved via incorporation of glycerol plasticizer. The maximum conducting plasticized polymer electrolyte possesses a minimum degree of crystallinity. It is also seen that at a relatively high quantity of glycerol, the film is characterized by smoothness and homogeneity of surface morphology. The dominance of ion over electron conductions in the electrolyte was verified as expected. The value of specific capacitance ($C_{spe}$) of the EDLC assembly was nearly the same from both the CV response and charge-discharge curve. The voltage window of the electrolyte of interest is satisfactory for utilization in EDLC assembly. The mechanism of charge storing at the interfacial region is rationalized via significant double-layer charging formation.
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