Frequency and Risk Factors of Pneumonia and Urinary Tract Infection during Hospitalization in Acute Stroke Patients.
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Abstract:

Background: Acute stroke patients are at risk of developing a wide range of complications. Among these medical complications the most common are infections, including pneumonia and urinary tract infection (UTI). This study was designed to see the frequency and risk factors of pneumonia and UTI after acute stroke in hospitalized patients.

Methods: This prospective observational study was done in the Department of Neurology and Department of Medicine, Sylhet M.A.G Osmani Medical College Hospital, from May 2014 to November 2014. After hospitalization, a total number of 80 acute stroke patients were enrolled in this study. All patients of both sexes, presented with acute stroke, were confirmed by CT scan of head; vascular risk factors were recorded and relevant investigations were done.

Results: Among the study subjects urinary tract infection was found in 23 (28.8%) patients. Statistically significant risk factors for UTI were: > 65 years age (OR=2.926; 95% of CI=1.044-8.202; p=0.037), female gender (OR=0.327; 95% of CI=0.120-0.889; p=0.026), diabetes (OR=2.015; 95% of CI=1.019-7.780; p=0.042), Severe stroke (OR=3.331; 95% of CI=1.217-9.116; p=0.017), Foley tube catheterization (OR=4.229; 95% of CI=1.492-11.982; p=0.005). Pneumonia developed in 17 (21.2%) patients and no pneumonia in 63 (78.8%) patients.

Conclusion: UTI and pneumonia are common occurrence after acute stroke during stroke hospitalization. Older age, female gender, diabetes mellitus, severe stroke at presentation and urinary catheterization were found the risk factors of UTI; whereas older age, severe stroke at presentation, nasogastric tube feeding, oropharyngeal suction and difficulty in swallowing were found the risk factors of pneumonia in acute stroke.
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Introduction:

Stroke is a crisis in cerebrovascular circulation and central nervous system function with focal neurologic dysfunction¹. Stroke is the leading cause of major long-term disability in adults and the third leading cause of death after heart disease and cancer²,³. The annual incidence of stroke in the community is about 2 per 1,000 population,⁴ and it remains a tremendous public health burden. Patients who have had an acute stroke are at risk of developing a wide range of complications, including pneumonia, urinary tract infection (UTI), pressure ulcer, falls, venous thromboembolism (VTE), and severe constipation⁵-⁷. These complications are important because they may cause death,⁸-¹⁰ or can extend the hospital length of stay¹¹-¹² worsen stroke outcomes, and increase cost of care¹³. Among these medical complications the most common are infections, including pneumonia and urinary tract infection (UTI)¹⁴.
A prospective cohort study (n=609) showed that 59% of patients had a complication during the mean hospital stay of 37 days. Infectious complications were the third most common (after falls and skin breaks) and were predominantly urinary tract infections (UTI) (16%) and chest infections (12%). A multicentre study (n=1386) confirmed that amongst infectious complications at 7 days after the acute ischemic stroke onset, pneumonia (7.4%) and UTI (6.3%) are the most frequent.

Aslanyan et al. reported that higher baseline National Institute of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS) and age, male gender, history of diabetes and stroke subtype predicted pneumonia, which occurred in 13.6% of patients. Female gender and higher baseline NIHSS and age predicted UTI, which occurred in 17.2% of patients. Pneumonia was associated with poor outcome by mortality (hazard ratio, 2.2; 95% confidence interval, 1.5–3.3), Barthel index (<60) (odds ratio, 3.8; 2.2–6.7), NIHSS (4.9; 1.7–14) and Rankin scale (e¹2) (3.4; 1.4–8.3). UTI was associated with Barthel index (1.9; 1.2–2.9), NIHSS (2.2; 1.2–4.0) and Rankin scale (3.1; 1.6–4.9). Pneumonia and UTI are independently associated with stroke poor outcome. Therefore, prevention and prompt treatment of these infections in stroke patients might improve outcome.

So, this study is designed to see the Frequency and Risk Factors of pneumonia and UTI after acute stroke.

**Materials and Methods:**

It was a hospital based prospective observational study. The study was done in the Department of Neurology and Department of Medicine, Sylhet M.A.G Osmani Medical College Hospital, Sylhet. Consecutive admitted acute stroke patients in different Medicine and Neurology units of Sylhet M.A.G Osmani Medical College Hospital, Sylhet, during the study period and fulfilling the inclusion criteria, the study was done from May 2014 to November 2014.

**Sampling technique:**

Purposive sampling was employed as sampling technique in this study.

**Data Collection Procedure:**

Immediately after admission of a patient of suspected acute stroke, a proper diagnostic work up by taking detail history and clinical examination were done. A CT scan of brain was done to confirm acute stroke. Those, who met the inclusion criteria, were taken as sample.

Informed written consent was obtained from the patients or guardians after full explanation of the details of the disease process and purpose of the study.

Past medical and personal history for cigarette smoking, arterial hypertension, diabetes mellitus, and ischaemic heart disease and other associated disease condition were also sought. All the data were recorded in a standard and pretested structured questionnaire.

Stroke severity at admission was determined using the modified National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale and stroke was classified as mild (score 0-5), moderate (score 6-14) and severe (score 15-31) on mNIHSS scale (Appendix-II).

Pneumonia was diagnosed by the attending clinician and based on the presence of three of the following variables: fever (>38°C), productive cough with purulent sputum, abnormal respiratory examination (tachypnea [>22/min], tachycardia, inspiratory crackles, bronchial breathing), abnormal chest radiograph, arterial hypoxemia (PO₂<70 mm Hg), and isolation of a relevant pathogen (positive gram stain and culture). UTI was diagnosed if patients presenting with any of the following symptoms: dysuria, frequency, urgency, gross hematuria, or hypogastric pains with positive urine culture. In the absence of a urine culture, the laboratory diagnosis of UTI can be determined by the presence of significant pyuria defined as: (a) 8 or more pus cells/mm³ of uncentrifuged urine; or (b) 5 or more pus cells/hpf of centrifuged urine. CA-UTI was diagnosed as “the presence of symptoms or signs compatible with UTI with no other identified source of infection along with >10³ colony-forming units (cfu)/mL of 1 bacterial species” from a catheterized or previously catheterized (d<48 hours) urine sample.
Results:
The outcome of the study was as follows: Urinary tract infection was found in 23 (28.8%) patients. Distribution of patients by frequency of urinary tract infection was shown in figure 1.

Pneumonia developed in 17 (21.2%) patients. Distribution of patients by frequency of pneumonia in stroke patients was shown in figure-2.

Fig.-1: Distribution of patients by frequency of urinary tract infection (n=80)

| UTI 23 (28.8%) | No UTI 57 (71.2%) |
|----------------|-------------------|

The mean age of the patients of UTI was significantly higher than that of no UTI (t=2.075; p=0.041). Relationship between age and development of UTI was shown in table I. The age of the patient 65 years or higher significantly increased the risk of development of UTI compared to those aged under 65 years (OR=2.926; 95% of CI=1.044-8.202; X²=4.334; p=0.037).

Table I

| Age      | UTI group (n=23) | No UTI group (n=57) | Odds Ratio (95% of CI) | p value |
|----------|------------------|---------------------|------------------------|---------|
| e65 years | 16 (69.6)        | 25 (43.9)           | 2.926 (1.044-8.202)    | *p=0.037|
| <65 years | 7 (30.4)         | 32 (56.1)           |                        |         |
| Mean ± SD | 70.96 ±19.93     | 62.53±14.86         |                        | †p=0.041|

*Chi-Square (χ²) Test and †unpaired’t’ test were applied to analyze the data. Figure in the parenthesis indicates corresponding percentage. CI= confident interval, SD=standard deviation. Relationship between gender and development of UTI was shown in table-II. Development of UTI was significantly reduced in male gender compared to that female gender (OR=0.327; 95% of CI=0.120-0.889; X²=4.334; p=0.026).

Table II

| Gender | UTI group (n=23) | No UTI group (n=57) | Odds Ratio (95% of CI) | p value |
|--------|------------------|---------------------|------------------------|---------|
| Male   | 10 (43.5)        | 40 (70.2)           | 0.327 (0.120-0.889)    | *p=0.026|
| Female | 13 (56.5)        | 17 (29.8)           |                        |         |
| Total  | 23 (100.0)       | 57 (100.0)          |                        |         |

*Chi-Square (χ²) Test was applied to analyze the data. Figure in the parenthesis indicates corresponding percentage. CI= confident interval, SD=standard deviation. Relationship between type of stroke and development of UTI was shown in table-III. There was no significant relationship between type of stroke and development of UTI (OR=2.234; 95% of CI=0.450-11.098; X²=1.008; p=0.316).
Table-III

Relationship between type of stroke and development of UTI

| Type of stroke  | UTI group (n=23) | No UTI group (n=57) | Odds Ratio (95% of CI) | p value |
|----------------|-----------------|---------------------|------------------------|---------|
| Ischaemic      | 21 (91.3)       | 47 (82.5)           | 2.234 (0.450-11.098)   | *p=0.316|
| Haemorrhagic   | 2 (8.7)         | 10 (17.5)           |                        |         |
| Total          | 23 (100.0)      | 57 (100.0)          |                        |         |

*Chi-Square ($\chi^2$) Test was applied to analyze the data. Figure in the parenthesis indicates corresponding percentage. CI= confident interval, SD=standard deviation.

Relationship between common risk factors of stroke and development of UTI shown in Table-IV. Risk factors did not have significant role in the development of UTI.

Table-IV

Relationship between risk factors of stroke and development of UTI

| Common risk factors of stroke | UTI group (n=23) | No UTI group (n=57) | Odds Ratio (95% of CI) | p value |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|------------------------|---------|
| Current smoker               | 14 (60.95)      | 27 (47.4)           | 1.278 (0.645-4.631)    | p=0.274 |
| Hypertension                  | 14 (60.9)       | 31 (54.4)           | 1.305 (0.487-3.498)    | p=0.597 |
| Diabetes mellitus             | 11 (47.8)       | 14 (24.6)           | 2.015 (1.019-7.780)    | p=0.042 |
| Atrial fibrillation           | 4 (17.4)        | 3 (5.3)             | 3.789 (0.776-18.501)   | p=0.082 |
| IHD                           | 4 (17.4)        | 6 (10.5)            | 1.789 (0.455-7.045)    | p=0.401 |
| Dyslipidaemia                 | 12 (52.2)       | 29 (50.9)           | 1.053 (0.400-2.776)    | p=0.916 |

*Chi-Square ($\chi^2$) Test was applied to analyze the data. Figure in the parenthesis indicates corresponding percentage. CI= confident interval, SD=standard deviation.

Relationship between severity of stroke and development of UTI was shown in Table-V. In UTI group, 13 (56.5%) patients had severe stroke; while in no UTI group 16 (28.1%) patients had severe stroke. Severe stroke significantly increased the risk of development of UTI (OR=3.331; 95% of CI=1.217-9.116; $X^2=5.740$; p=0.017).

Table-V

Relationship between severity of stroke and development of UTI

| Severity of stroke   | UTI group (n=23) | No UTI group (n=57) | Odds Ratio (95% of CI) | p value |
|----------------------|-----------------|---------------------|------------------------|---------|
| Severe               | 13 (56.5)       | 16 (28.1)           | 3.331 (1.217-9.116)    | *p=0.017|
| Mild to moderate     | 10 (43.5)       | 41 (71.9)           |                        |         |
| Total                | 23 (100.0)      | 57 (100.0)          |                        |         |

*Chi-Square ($\chi^2$) Test was applied to analyze the data. Figure in the parenthesis indicates corresponding percentage. CI= confident interval, SD=standard deviation.

Relationship between catheterization and development of UTI was shown in Table-VI. In UTI group, 16 (69.6%) patients had Foley tube catheterization; while in no UTI group 20 (35.1%) patients. Foley tube catheterization significantly increased the risk of development of UTI (OR=4.229; 95% of CI=1.492-11.982; $X^2=7.178$; p=0.005).
### Table-VI

**Relationship between catheterization and development of UTI**

| Foley tube catheterization | UTI group (n=23) | No UTI group (n=57) | Odds Ratio (95% of CI) | p value |
|----------------------------|------------------|---------------------|------------------------|---------|
| Yes                        | 16 (69.6)        | 20 (35.1)           | 4.229 (1.492-11.982)   | *p=0.005|
| No                         | 7 (30.4)         | 37 (64.9)           |                        |         |
| Total                      | 23 (100.0)       | 57 (100.0)          |                        |         |

*Chi-Square ($\chi^2$) Test was applied to analyze the data. Figure in the parenthesis indicates corresponding percentage. CI= confident interval, SD=standard deviation.

Table VII shows the age of the patient 65 years or higher significantly increased the risk of development of pneumonia compared to those aged under 65 years (OR=4.062; 95% of CI=1.192-13.842; $X^2=5.496$; $p=0.019$).

### Table-VII

**Relationship between age and development of pneumonia**

| Age         | Pneumonia group (n=17) | No pneumonia group (n=63) | Odds Ratio (95% of CI) | p value |
|-------------|------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|---------|
| ≥65 years   | 13 (76.5)              | 28 (44.4)                 | 4.062 (1.192-13.842)   | *p=0.019|
| <65 years   | 4 (23.5)               | 32 (55.6)                 |                        |         |
| Mean ± SD   | 71.82 ± 13.33          | 63.10 ± 13.41             |                        | †p=0.020|

*Chi-Square ($\chi^2$) Test and †unpaired ‘t’ test were applied to analyze the data. Figure in the parenthesis indicates corresponding percentage. CI= confident interval, SD=standard deviation.

Relationship between gender and development of pneumonia was shown in table-VIII. In pneumonia group, 13 (76.5%) patients were male; while in no pneumonia 37 (58.7%) patients were male. There was no gender variation in the development of pneumonia (OR=2.284; 95% of CI=0.669-7.796; $X^2=1.798$; $p=0.180$).

### Table-VIII

**Relationship between gender and development of pneumonia**

| Gender | Pneumonia group (n=17) | No pneumonia group (n=63) | Odds Ratio (95% of CI) | p value |
|--------|------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|---------|
| Male   | 13 (76.5)              | 37 (58.7)                 | 2.284 (0.669-7.796)    | p=0.180 |
| Female | 4 (23.5)               | 26 (41.3)                 |                        |         |
| Total  | 17 (100.0)             | 63 (100.0)                |                        |         |

*Chi-Square ($\chi^2$) Test was applied to analyze the data. Figure in the parenthesis indicates corresponding percentage. CI= confident interval, SD=standard deviation.

Relationship between type of stroke and development of pneumonia shown in Table-IX. There was no significant relationship between type of stroke and development of pneumonia (OR=1.415; 95% of CI=0.279-7.171; $X^2=0.177$; $p=0.674$).
Table IX

| Type of stroke | Pneumonia group (n=17) | No pneumonia group (n=63) | Odds Ratio (95% CI) | p value |
|---------------|------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|--------|
| Ischaemic     | 15 (88.2)              | 53 (84.1)                 | 1.415 (0.279-7.171) | p=0.674|
| Haemorrhagic  | 2 (11.8)               | 10 (15.9)                 |                     |        |
| Total         | 17 (100.0)             | 63 (100.0)                |                     |        |

*Chi-Square ($\chi^2$) Test was applied to analyze the data. Figure in the parenthesis indicates corresponding percentage. CI= confident interval, SD=standard deviation

Relationship between common risk factors of stroke and development of pneumonia was shown in table-X. Risk factors did not have significant role in the development of pneumonia.

Table X

| Common risk factors of stroke | Pneumonia group (n=17) | No pneumonia group (n=63) | Odds Ratio (95% CI) | p value |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|--------|
| Smoker                        | 13 (76.5)              | 28 (44.4)                 | 1.22 (0.41-3.61)    | p=0.721|
| Hypertension                  | 11 (64.7)              | 34 (54.0)                 | 1.56 (0.51-4.75)    | p=0.428|
| Diabetes mellitus             | 7 (42.2)               | 18 (28.6)                 | 1.75 (0.58-5.31)    | p=0.320|
| Atrial fibrillation           | 3 (17.6)               | 4 (6.3)                   | 3.16 (0.63-15.75)   | p=0.143|
| IHD                           | 3 (17.6)               | 7 (11.1)                  | 1.71 (0.39-7.48)    | p=0.470|
| Dyslipidaemia                 | 11 (64.7)              | 30 (47.6)                 | 2.02 (0.66-6.12)    | p=0.211|

*Chi-Square ($\chi^2$) Test was applied to analyze the data. Figure in the parenthesis indicates corresponding percentage. CI= confident interval, SD=standard deviation

Relationship between severity of stroke and development of pneumonia was shown in table-XI. Severe stroke significantly increased the risk of development of pneumonia (OR=3.308; 95% of CI=1.095-9.995; $X^2=4.760$; p=0.017).

Table XI

| Severity of stroke | Pneumonia group (n=17) | No pneumonia group (n=63) | Odds Ratio (95% CI) | p value |
|--------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|--------|
| Severe             | 10 (58.8)              | 19 (30.2)                 | 3.308 (1.095-9.995) | *p=0.029|
| Mild to moderate    | 7 (41.2)               | 44 (69.8)                 |                     |        |
| Total              | 17 (100.0)             | 63 (100.0)                |                     |        |

*Chi-Square ($\chi^2$) Test was applied to analyze the data. Figure in the parenthesis indicates corresponding percentage. CI= confident interval, SD=standard deviation

Relationship between nasogastric (NG) tube feeding and development of pneumonia was shown in table-XII. Nasogastric tube feeding significantly increased the risk of development of pneumonia (OR=4.062; 95% of CI=1.192-13.842; $X^2=5.496$; p=0.019).
Relationship between nasogastric tube feeding and development of pneumonia

| NG tube feeding | Pneumonia group (n=17) | No pneumonia group (n=63) | Odds Ratio (95% of CI) | p value |
|----------------|------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|---------|
| Yes            | 13 (76.5)              | 28 (44.4)                 | 4.062 (1.192-13.842)   | 0.019   |
| No             | 4 (23.5)               | 35 (55.6)                 |                        |         |
| Total          | 17 (100.0)             | 63 (100.0)                |                        |         |

*Chi-Square ($\chi^2$) Test was applied to analyze the data. Figure in the parenthesis indicates corresponding percentage. CI= confident interval, SD=standard deviation

Relationship between oropharyngeal suction and development of pneumonia was shown in table-XIII. Oropharyngeal suction significantly increased the risk of development of pneumonia (OR=3.778; 95% of CI=1.207-11.827; $X^2$=5.602; p=0.018).

| Oropharyngeal suction | Pneumonia group (n=17) | No pneumonia group (n=63) | Odds Ratio (95% of CI) | p value |
|-----------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|---------|
| Yes                   | 8 (47.1)               | 12 (19.0)                 | 3.778 (1.207-11.827)   | 0.018   |
| No                    | 9 (52.9)               | 51 (81.0)                 |                        |         |
| Total                 | 17 (100.0)             | 63 (100.0)                |                        |         |

*Chi-Square ($\chi^2$) Test was applied to analyze the data. Figure in the parenthesis indicates corresponding percentage. CI= confident interval, SD=standard deviation

Relationship between difficulty in swallowing and development of pneumonia was shown in table-XIV, difficulty in swallowing significantly increased the risk of development of pneumonia (OR=3.942; 95% of CI=1.277-12.170; $X^2$=6.128; p=0.013).

| Difficulty in swallowing | Pneumonia group (n=17) | No pneumonia group (n=63) | Odds Ratio (95% of CI) | p value |
|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|---------|
| Yes                      | 11 (64.7)              | 20 (31.7)                 | 3.942 (1.277-12.170)   | 0.013   |
| No                       | 6 (35.3)               | 43 (68.3)                 |                        |         |
| Total                    | 17 (100.0)             | 63 (100.0)                |                        |         |

*Chi-Square ($\chi^2$) Test was applied to analyze the data. Figure in the parenthesis indicates corresponding percentage. CI= confident interval, SD=standard deviation

Discussion:
A total 80 patients with acute stroke of first attack were selected according to inclusion and exclusion criteria. Urinary tract infection (UTI) was found in 23 (28.8%) patients. This result was supported by Roth et al.\(^{22}\) and Ersoz et al.\(^{23}\) Roth et al.\(^{22}\) found that frequency of UTI among their stroke patients was 30.5% and Ersoz et al.\(^{23}\) observed the frequency of symptomatic UTI in 27.3% of their stroke patients.

In the present study, the mean age of the patients of UTI was significantly higher than that of no UTI ($t=2.075$; p=0.041). This result was correlated with the study of Stott et al.\(^{16}\) that the mean age of the patients with UTI was significantly higher than that of with no UTI (75.7 (SD 10.5) vs 66.4 (SD 14.0)
years; p<0.001]). But Chen et al. did not find significant difference between the patient of UTI and that of no UTI [73.5 (SD 6.3) vs 73.2 (SD 5.8); p>0.05].

In the current study, the age of the patient 65 years or higher significantly increased the risk of development of UTI compared to those aged under 65 years (OR=2.926; 95% of CI=1.044-8.202; p=0.037). This results was nearly correlated with the study of Ovbiagele et al. that older aged patients with stroke significantly increased the risk of development of UTI (OR=1.20; 95% of CI=1.01-1.43; p=0.04). Ersoz et al. that 19.6% patients were aged under 65 years and 35.2% patients aged 65 years or higher developed UTI (p=0.067). This study showed development of UTI was significantly reduced in male gender compared to that female gender (OR=0.327; 95% of CI=0.120-0.889; p=0.026). This result was in line with the study of Ovbiagele et al. that male patients with stroke decreased the risk of UTI (OR=0.50; 95% of CI = 0.33, 0.74; p=0.0006). But this result was different from the study of Chen et al. and Stott et al. found that 43.3% of UTI patients were male and 50.3% of patients with no UTI were male (p>0.05). Stott et al. reported that 41.5% of UTI patients were male and 51.3% of patients were male in patients with no UTI (p=0.149).

There was no significant relationship between type of stroke and development of UTI (OR=2.234; 95% of CI=0.450-11.998; p=0.316). Similar result was observed in the study of Stott et al. that type of stroke did not differ between UTI group and non-UTI group (p=0.847).

The difference between diabetic and non-diabetic was statistically significant (OR=2.015; 95% of CI=1.019-7.780; p=0.042). Chen et al. and Stott et al. supported this result.

In the current study 13 (56.5%) patients had severe stroke in UTI group; while in no UTI group 16 (28.1%) patients had severe stroke. Severe stroke significantly increased the risk of development of UTI (OR=3.331; 95% of CI=1.217-9.116; p=0.017). In this regards previous studies reported that baseline mNIHSS score was significantly higher in UTI group than that of group with no UTI, and higher baseline NIHSS score was a predictor of UTI in acute stroke patients.

In this study 16 (69.6%) patients had Foley tube catheterization in UTI group; while in no UTI group 20 (35.1%) patients had Foley tube catheterization. Foley tube catheterization significantly increased the risk of development of UTI (OR=4.229; 95% of CI=1.492-11.982; p=0.005). This result was correlated with the study of Chen et al. that indwelling catheter in 87.1% of patients of UTI group and 42.3% of patients of non-UTI group (p<0.05). Ersoz et al. also supported this result (p=0.041).

In the present study pneumonia developed in 17 (21.2%) patients and no pneumonia in 63 (78.8%) patients. This result was supported by other studies. Chen et al. observed the frequency of pneumonia in acute ward was 23.8% and Sellars et al. found frequency of pneumonia among their stroke patients was 18.9%. But others reported lower frequency of pneumonia among their stroke patients. Ovbiagele et al. found pneumonia in 10% of stroke patients during stroke hospitalization and Aslanyan et al. found pneumonia in 10.9% in first week of stroke.

In the current study the mean age of the patients of pneumonia was 71.82 ± 13.33 years and that of no pneumonia was 63.10 ±13.41 years. The mean age of the patients of pneumonia was significantly higher than that of no pneumonia (p=0.020). Sellars et al. supported this result that the mean age of the patients of pneumonia was significantly higher than that of no pneumonia (75.9 ± 11.4 years versus 64.9 ± 13.9 years; p<0.001). Ovbiagele et al. also supported this result. But Chen et al. did not find significant difference between the mean age of the patients of pneumonia and that of no pneumonia.

In this study 13 (76.5%) patients were aged 65 years or higher in pneumonia group; while in no pneumonia group 28 (44.4%) patients were aged 65 years or higher. The age of the patient 65 years or higher significantly increased the risk of development of pneumonia compared to those aged under 65 years (OR=4.062; 95% of CI=1.192-13.842; p=0.019). In this regards Ovbiagele et al. found
that increasing age per decade increased the risk of development of pneumonia (OR=1.35; 95% of CI=1.20-1.52; p<0.0001).

In this study 13 (76.5%) patients were male in pneumonia group; while in no pneumonia group 37 (58.7%) patients were male. There was no gender variation in the development of pneumonia (OR=2.284; 95% of CI=0.669-7.796; p=0.180). This result was consistent with the study of Ovbiagele et al.\textsuperscript{25} that there was no gender variation in the development of pneumonia (OR=1.11; 95% of CI=0.66-1.87; p=0.70). Chen et al.\textsuperscript{24} and Sellars et al.\textsuperscript{26} also supported this result.

In the current study 15 (88.2%) patients had ischemic and 2 (11.8%) had hemorrhagic stroke in pneumonia group, while in no pneumonia group 53 (84.1%) patients had ischemic and 10 (15.9%) had hemorrhagic stroke. There was no significant relationship between type of stroke and development of pneumonia (OR=1.415; 95% of CI=0.279-7.171; p=0.674). Chen et al.\textsuperscript{24} supported this result that there was no significant relationship between type of stroke and development of pneumonia.

This study showed that 7 (42.2%) patients had diabetes mellitus in pneumonia group; while 18 (28.6%) patients had diabetes mellitus in no pneumonia group. The difference between the two groups was statistically not significant (OR=1.75; 95% of CI=0.58-5.31; p=0.320). Ovbiagele et al.\textsuperscript{25} supported this result that diabetes mellitus did not increase the risk of development of pneumonia (OR=1.56; 95% of CI=0.83-2.95; p=0.17). Chen et al.\textsuperscript{24} and Sellars et al.\textsuperscript{26} also supported this result.

This study showed that 13 (76.5%) patients were current smoker in pneumonia group; while 28 (44.4%) patients were current smoker in no pneumonia group. The difference between the two groups was statistically not significant (OR=4.062; 95% of CI=0.41-3.61; p=0.721).

In this study severe stroke significantly increased the risk of development of pneumonia (OR=3.308; 95% of CI=1.095-9.995; p=0.017). This regards previous study by Sellars et al.\textsuperscript{26} reported that baseline mNIHSS score was significantly higher in pneumonia group than that of no pneumonia group.

Nasogastric tube feeding significantly increased the risk of development of pneumonia (OR=4.062; 95% of CI=1.192-13.842; p=0.019). Chen et al.\textsuperscript{24} supported this result that nasogastric tube feeding was significantly higher in pneumonia group than that of no pneumonia group.

Oropharyngeal suction significantly increased the risk of development of pneumonia (OR=3.778; 95% of CI=1.207-11.827; p=0.018). Other available studies did not report the relationship between current smoker and development of UTI. But oropharyngeal suction was required in those patients who had difficulty in swallowing and thereby increases the risk of aspiration.

Difficulty in swallowing significantly increased the risk of development of pneumonia (OR=5.942; 95% of CI=1.277-12.170; p=0.013). Sellars et al.\textsuperscript{26} supported this result that dysphagia was significantly higher in pneumonia group than that of no pneumonia group.

**Conclusion**

UTI and pneumonia are common occurrence after acute stroke during stroke hospitalization. Older age, female gender, diabetes mellitus, severe stroke at presentation and urinary catheterization are the risk factors of UTI; whereas older age, severe stroke at presentation, nasogastric tube feeding, oropharyngeal suction and difficulty in swallowing are risk factors of pneumonia in acute stroke.
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