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Abstract: Carbapenem resistance among Enterobacteriaceae, especially *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and *Escherichia coli*, has remained a challenge in infectious disease management. Although several resistance mechanisms have been documented that neutralize the efficacy of carbapenems, the expression of carbapenemases is the most prominent. Carbapenemase producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae* has been identified as a major public health threat because of the potential for rapid plasmid mediated spread of the resistance genes among bacterial species and resulting limited therapeutic options available. These pathogens could express resistance to almost all available antibiotics and are associated with high morbidity and mortality. This cross-sectional study was carried out in the University of Port Harcourt Teaching Hospital, Nigeria. A total of 225 clinical isolates of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* from wounds, urine, blood, sputum and cerebrospinal fluid were recruited. All isolates were screened for Carbapenem resistance using Ertapenem (10µg; Oxoid, England) according to CLSI guidelines. All non-susceptible isolates were then tested for phenotypic carbapenemase production using the Modified Hodge test (MHT). The MHT positive isolates were thereafter, subjected to Polymerase Chain Reaction to detect the presence of *blaKPC* resistance gene. The study showed that 8.4% (19/225) of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolates were not susceptible to Ertapenem. Out of these, 6.2% (14/225) expressed carbapenemase production by the modified Hodge test. However, on molecular analysis, only six (6) of these isolates possessed the *blaKPC* gene giving a 2.7% genotypic prevalence of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* carbapenemase among the isolates. Critically ill patients are more likely to develop infections by *blaKPC*-producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. It is therefore pertinent that the approach to prevention and control of infections by multi-drug resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolates must be multi-pronged to effectively counteract the various mechanisms that may be responsible. From control of prescribing and dispensing patterns, rational use of antimicrobials, institution of antimicrobial stewardship programs, optimization of infection prevention and control measures to effective surveillance; a well-coordinated approach is necessary to reduce the prevalence and spread of this pathogen since the *blaKPC* resistance gene is plasmid mediated and associated with high rates of both inter and intra-species transfer among bacteria.
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1. Introduction

The world’s greatest threats in current management of bacterial infections is the emergence of multi-drug resistant (MDR) bacteria which has resulted in limited treatment options even to the seemingly uncomplicated and easy to treat infections [1, 2]. This phenomenon is more pronounced among infections caused by Gram negative organisms especially of the Enterobacteriaceae family, and it is increasingly being reported both in hospital and community settings worldwide [3]. *Klebsiella* species colonize the
human gastrointestinal tract, upper respiratory tract, bladder and skin, and could multiply and survive in moist areas in the healthcare environment [4]. Most strains of this organism accumulate plasmids that carry virulence and resistance genes which facilitate the wide transfer of these genes within (intra) and between (inter) species conferring them with the ability to resist the major antibiotics used for their treatment such as cephalosporins, carbapenems, penicillins, aminoglycosides or fluoroquinolones [5].

Carbapenem antibiotics are known for their wide range of activity against gram negative organisms; particularly those producing extended-spectrum β-lactamase enzymes, as well as a wide range of Gram-positive bacteria. This quality earned it the tag “the antibiotics of last resort” [6]. However, this attribute had come under great threat following the emergence and increasing spread of carbapenemase producing bacteria [6]. Carbapenemases have emerged in various parts of the world, including Europe, the United States of America (USA), and eastern countries [7]. Carbapenem-resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae are strains of Klebsiella pneumoniae expressing the carbapenemase enzymes which confer them with resistance to all or nearly all available antibiotics, including carbapenems [8]. The Ambler class-A carbapenemase, KPC is the most commonly reported [7]. Klebsiella pneumoniae carbapenemase (KPC)-producing clinical isolates have been associated with several hospital outbreaks in the USA, Asia, Europe, South Korea and Central and South America [9]. In Africa, various studies have shown increasing prevalence of KPC producing Klebsiella pneumoniae in South Africa and Egypt [10]. In Nigeria, a prevalence of 16.7% was found in a study done in Jos, Plateau state [11].

The spread of antimicrobial resistance is not limited by boundaries neither does it discriminate between the specimens from which the organisms were isolated especially in the setting of poor infection control practices [12]. The blaKPC gene is a plasmid encoded gene that is easily transferred to susceptible bacteria at a high frequency and limited by only adequate and coordinated infection prevention and control practices [13].

Several MDR Klebsiella pneumoniae isolates have been identified in the Medical Microbiology laboratory of the University of Port-Harcourt teaching hospital (UPTH), notably from samples received from the neonatal and adult intensive care units. Also, risk factors known to predispose to spread of KPC, which includes (but not limited to) irrational use of antibiotics both in the hospital and community, sub-optimal infection prevention and control practices, use of invasive devices, prolonged hospital stay and a myriad of immunosuppressive conditions are common in our setting [6]. This study was therefore carried out to determine the distribution of Klebsiella pneumoniae carbapenemases among clinical isolates of Klebsiella pneumoniae in UPTH.

2. Methods
2.1. Study Design

This was a cross-sectional study conducted in the Department of Medical Microbiology and Parasitology, University of Port Harcourt Teaching Hospital. Rivers state, Nigeria, between December 2017 and April 2018.

2.2. Sampling and Specimen Collection

Klebsiella pneumoniae isolates from patients on hospital admission were recruited consecutively till the sample size of 225 was achieved. The minimum sample size of 225 (using a prevalence of 16.7%) [12] was derived based on the calculation for cross-sectional descriptive studies [14]. Source samples include urine, wound swabs, body fluid aspirates, blood and Cerebrospinal fluid from patients admitted into pediatric and adult medical and surgical wards as well as the Intensive care units.

2.3. Data Collection

Basic demographic information were obtained from the laboratory forms and include Age, Sex, Ward/clinic and Provisional diagnosis. Relevant information not contained in the laboratory form was obtained from patients’ folders or patients/care-givers.

2.4. Specimen Analyses

Specimens including urine, blood, cerebrospinal fluid, wound and body fluid aspirates submitted from in-patients to the Medical Microbiology and Parasitology laboratory for microscopy, culture and sensitivity testing were inoculated unto MacConkey agar plates and incubated aerobically at 35-37°C for 16-18 hours. Pure colonies of isolates were subjected to Gram staining, motility and biochemical tests. Lactose-fermenting Gram negative, non-motile, citrate positive and indole negative bacilli were presumptively identified as Klebsiella pneumoniae. These were confirmed using MICROBACT 12A identification kits (Oxoid, UK), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. A total of six hundred and fifty (650) clinical specimens were analyzed from which 225 Klebsiella pneumoniae isolates required for the study were derived. Only one isolate was recruited from each patient.

Antimicrobial susceptibility testing to the carbapenems using Ertapenem (10μg disc, Oxoid England) as a surrogate, was performed on all isolates of Klebsiella pneumoniae using the modified Kirby Bauer disk diffusion technique according to Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute guidelines [15]. Zones of inhibition were measured and interpreted as resistant, intermediate or susceptible using criteria recommended by CLSI [16]. All Klebsiella pneumoniae isolates which were found to be intermediate or resistant to Ertapenem were considered to be suspected carbapenemase producers and these were subjected to phenotypic confirmatory testing by the modified Hodge test [17] using 10μg Ertapenem disk. Klebsiella pneumoniae ATCC BAA-1706 and Klebsiella pneumoniae ATCC BAA-1705 were used as negative and positive control respectively for all diagnostic processes.

2.5. Molecular Studies

Following extraction and quantification, KPC genes from
the isolates were amplified using the KPC forward (5'-GCT CAG GCG CAA CTG TAA G-3') and reverse primers (5'-AGC ACA GCG GCA GCA AGA AAG-3') on an ABI Thermal cycler 9700 (Applied Biosystems) at a final volume of 40µl comprising 20µl master mix, 0.64µl forward primer, 0.64µl reverse primer, 2µl template and 16.72µl nuclease-free water for 35 cycles. Each run contained negative control (nuclease-free water) and KPC-positive control (K. pneumoniae ATCC strain BAA-1705). The product was resolved on a 1% agarose gel at 130V for 30 minutes and visualized on a UV transilluminator for a 150bp product size.

PCR conditions included: Initial Denaturation (95°C, 5 minutes); Denaturation (95°C, 40 seconds); Annealing (51°C, 40 seconds); Extension (72°C, 40 seconds) and Final extension (72°C, 5 minutes).

2.6. Data Analysis

Data obtained was analyzed using the statistical package for social sciences (SPSS) version 25. The level of significance was set at 0.05. Chi square test was used to estimate the possible association between blaKPC genes and the source sample and ward. Ethical Approval was obtained from the Ethical Committee of the University of Port Harcourt Teaching Hospital.

3. Results

Table 1 shows that 73.3% of isolates were from adults, 16% from children (<18 years) and 10.67% from neonates. 52.44% and 47.56% were from male and female patients respectively. The highest number of isolates were derived from urine samples and from patients on the surgical wards.

Table 1. Socio-demographic Distribution of Patients, Source Samples and Hospital Units.

| Characteristic | Frequency (n=225) | Percentage (%) |
|----------------|------------------|----------------|
| Age range      |                  |                |
| ≥18 years      | 165              | 73.33          |
| <18 years      | 36               | 16.00          |
| ≤28 days       | 24               | 10.67          |
| Gender         |                  |                |
| Male           | 118              | 52.44          |
| Female         | 107              | 47.56          |
| Source Unit    |                  |                |
| SCBU           | 25               | 11.1           |
| ICU            | 29               | 12.89          |
| Paediatrics    | 32               | 14.22          |
| Medicine       | 61               | 27.11          |
| Surgery        | 78               | 34.67          |
| Sample         |                  |                |
| Urine          | 115              | 51.1           |
| Wound swab     | 45               | 20             |
| Blood          | 31               | 13             |
| Sputum         | 21               | 9.3            |
| Body fluid aspirate | 10 | 4.4 |
| CSF            | 5                | 1.3            |

Table 2. Expression of Carbapenemases by non-susceptible K. pneumoniae isolates on MHT.

| Modified Hodge Test (MHT) | Resistant | Intermediate | Chi-square (P-value) |
|--------------------------|-----------|--------------|----------------------|
| Positive                 | 14 (93.3) | 0 (0.0)      | 14.18 (0.00001)*     |
| Negative                 | 1 (6.7)   | 4 (100)      |                      |
| Total                    | 15 (100.0)| 4 (100.0)    |                      |

*Distribution is statistically significant (p<0.05)

Six (6) Klebsiella pneumoniae isolates only, expressed the blaKPC gene on PCR therefore the prevalence of blaKPC gene was 2.7% (Figure 2).

Table 3 shows that more than half of the K. pneumoniae isolates that were not susceptible to Ertapenem by the

Figure 1 shows that 8.4% (19/225) isolates were not susceptible (intermediate or resistant) to Ertapenem, the surrogate used for the carbapenems.
conventional disc diffusion technique, did not express carbapenemase production as shown by both phenotypic and genotypic confirmatory methods.

**Table 3. Relationship between Phenotypic and Molecular findings.**

| blaKPC Gene | Number of isolates (%) | MHT positive (%) | Carbapenem non-susceptible (%) |
|-------------|------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|
| Positive    | 6 (2.7)                | 6 (42.9)         | 6 (31.6)                      |
| Negative    | 219 (97.3)             | 13 (57.1)        | 13 (68.4)                     |
| Total       | 225 (100.0)            | 14 (100)         | 19 (100)                      |

4. Discussion

The distribution of carbapenem resistant isolates according to source samples showed that 45.8% of the isolates were from urine, 29.2% from wounds and 12.5% from sputum. This is similar to the findings of Nagaraj et al. [18] who reported 42% of their KPC producing isolates were from urine, and 18% from wound infections. Schwaber et al. [19] also found that the most common clinical site of KPC producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae* infection is the urinary tract. This is probably due to frequent and prolonged urethral catheter usage as well as poor catheter insertion and management procedures in health care facilities.

The ICU and surgical wards were the highest contributors, accounting for 6.9% and 4% of the isolates respectively. This tallies with the report of Da Silva et al. [20] that the ICU has the highest percentage of carbapenem producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolates per ward in the health care facility. This is most likely related to the tendency of patients admitted into the ICU to have co-morbidities and to require a number of medical and surgical interventions including prolonged exposure to broad spectrum antibiotics which predispose them to infection by multi-drug resistant pathogens.

Resistance to the most commonly used antimicrobials has increased greatly among gram-negative bacteria, particularly of the Enterobacteriaceae family. Hitherto, ESBL production was the most worrisome form of resistance exhibited by this family [9]. Organisms with such gene(s) become multi-resistant hence limiting treatment options to only the Carbapenems [21]. It has however, now been shown that some ESBL genes also code for resistance to other classes of antibacterials.

Studies across the world have reported increased production of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* carbapenemases which hydrolyse all β-lactam antibiotics including the carbapenems [2]. This study revealed a 6.2% prevalence of carbapenemase phenotype and 2.7% prevalence of *blaKPC* among isolates of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* recruited. The low prevalence of KPC could be due to minimal usage of carbapenems in our setting as a result of their high cost and the non-availability of oral formulations which has protected them from being frequently subjected to abuse within and outside of the healthcare facility.

Among the non-susceptible isolates, only about one-third (31.6%) were carbapenemase producing which suggests that other mechanisms of resistance (other than carbapenemase production) may be implicated. These other mechanisms of resistance to these antibiotics of last resort are not as much of a global public health concern because they are not plasmid mediated and as such transfer of these resistance genes within and between bacterial species is unlikely [22]. One of these mechanisms is porin loss which results in reduced permeability of the outer membrane and thereby hinders entry of the carbapenems into the cell [12]. This form of non-enzymatic resistance is frequent but its spread is limited because it is chromosomally mediated [23]. Resistance to Carbapenems could also be as a result of over expression of β-lactamases such as ESBLs and AmpC enzymes which express low-level carbapenemase activity [24]. This scenario is likely the case in this study since all the isolates that were intermediate susceptibility to Ertapenem and MHT positive, were negative for the *blaKPC* gene.

Among the phenotypic carbapenemase producers found in this study using the MHT, only 42.9% (6/14) possessed the KPC gene, which implies that other carbapenemase genes which we did not test for, may have accounted for their carbapenem phenotype. A similar study from Greece also reported that only 38% of their carbapenemase-producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolates were found to be harboring KPC genes [7]. Our finding of 2.7% prevalence of *blaKPC* was higher than the zero prevalence reported by Mansoume et al. [25] Anderson et al. [26] and Vasalkar et al. [27] as well as the 0.2% prevalence reported by Ehrhard et al. [28]. The low prevalence in these studies could be due to the fact that these were mainly surveillance studies conducted among asymptomatic persons. One wonders if it could also be due to restricted use of antibiotics in those countries as opposed to Nigeria where antibiotics are easily available over the counter, even without prescription. Alternatively, carbapenemase genes other than KPC could be the prevalent genes in their settings. It was however similar to the 2.9% prevalence reported by Parisi et al. [29] in Italy but lower than the 3.8% prevalence reported in a similar study in Uganda [30], 31.1% [31] in Egypt and 44.4% [32] in Latin America. Nigerians tend to embark on more medical tourism trips to health care facilities in Asian countries due to lower travel and health care costs and this region has been reported to have a lower prevalence of KPC genes than Western countries where KPC enzymes are predominant [33].

As regards the disparity between the phenotypic and genotypic expression of carbapenemases by isolates in our study, Wang et al. reported that false positive results observed using the MHT probably occur due to low level hydrolysis of Ertapenem by ESBLs particularly those of the CTX-M type or high level AmpC β-lactamases [34]. These mechanisms probably contributed to some of the MHT
positive, blaKPC negative isolates in our study. This is also similar to the findings of Anderson et al [26].

5. Conclusion

Critically ill patients are more likely to develop infections by blaKPC-producing Klebsiella pneumoniae. It is therefore pertinent that the approach to prevention and control of infections by multi-drug resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae isolates must be multi-pronged to effectively counteract the various mechanisms that may be responsible. From control of prescribing and dispensing patterns, rational use of antimicrobials, institution of antimicrobial stewardship programs, optimization of infection prevention and control measures to effective surveillance; a well-coordinated approach is necessary to reduce the prevalence and spread of these pathogens since the blaKPC resistance gene is plasmid mediated and associated with high rates of both inter and intra-species transfer among bacteria.
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