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ABSTRACT

Recently, among many kinds of chemosensors, the development of fluorescent chemosensors for the sensing of environmentally toxic heavy metal ions has drawn continuous interest in fields of chemistry, materials, biological and environmental sciences due to the low cost, simple operation, high sensitivity and specificity, real-time monitoring and short response time. Upon interactions with the metal ions, the fluorescence intensity and/or fluorescence band shift of the chemosensors change and the metal ions may be detected quantitatively and quantitatively. Many methods employ techniques related to metal ion sensing. The quenching photoluminescence of carbon-based nanodots has been focused on monitoring metal ions. The employ g-C3N4 as fluorescence chemical sensors for heavy metal ions detection has caused increased attention largely due to its fluorescent property. Several groups have demonstrated the use of different g-C3N4 nanostructures for fluorescent metal ions sensing. Considering the properties of present chromogenic/fluorescent receptors, it seems that nanostructured g-C3N4 would be a promising alternative. The electronic structure of g-C3N4 is adjustable by coupling events of protons or metals to the surface. Therefore, the strong coordination of the nitrogen sites of g-C3N4 to metal ions, causes fluorescence quenching via photoinduced electron transfer and static quenching leading to the qualitative and quantitative detection of metal ions. It has been brought into some brilliant applications. Specially for detection of Cu2+, Fe3+, Hg2+ and Ag+ has been developed based on the fluorescence quenching because of the photoinduced electron transfer (PET) from g-C3N4 to metal ion and also it is best alternate than other material for detection of metal ion because of its low-cost, good biocompatibility, high quantum yield, excellent stability and non-toxicity.
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1. Introduction

The monitoring of heavy metals within the environment, drinking water, food, and biological fluids has become essential due to the raising of environmental awareness and increasingly stringent regulations for pollution control. Because of environmental pollution by heavy metal ions has increased due to the increase the use of explosive growth of industry. Heavy metals are present in all types of ecosystems. Their existence is mainly due to anthropogenic sources such as industrial and agricultural activities [1]. The waste from such activities contributes to the pollution of water bodies and soil, affecting human being. The presence of heavy metals in various samples such as soil, diet, water and natural medicinal products has frequently been reported [2]. Heavy metal ions refer metallic elements, having a relative high density, such as iron, cobalt, copper, manganese, molybdenum, zinc, mercury, plutonium and lead. Heavy metals are dangerous because they tend to bioaccumulate. Excess exposure to toxic metal ions can cause neurological, reproductive, cardiovascular, and developmental disorders [3]. Therefore finding the simple and sensitive detection method is very important.

Accurate detection of heavy metal ions is becoming increasingly important to the regulatory agencies, the regulated community and the general public. The development of very sensitive and precise instruments is a big challenge. A variety of analytical methods available to determine the trace toxic heavy metals ions, such are inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) [4], flame atomic absorption spectrometry (FAAS) [5], graphite flame atomic absorption spectrometry (GFAAS), and inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES) [6]. Most of these methods showed highly selective and sensitive, but they were time-consuming and required sophisticated instrumentation or highly trained operators, which limited their own field practical application. Thus,
there are alternative chemical sensors that have been developed for detection of heavy metal ion. These kinds of sensor are simple, low cost, highly sensitive, real time response and selective assay for heavy metal ion detection. In recent years, fluorescent probes for the detection of environmentally toxic metal cations have received extensive attention for designing and development of colorimetric or fluorescent chemosensors.

Nanotechnology-derived products have provided a wide range of material candidates that can be used to increase portability and enhance stability, selectivity and sensitivity of sensors and analytical measurement technologies. Nanotechnology is most widely used in electronics, sensing, biomaterials and catalysis [7, 8]. A nanosensor is a sensor on the nanoscale, which exhibits several advantages, such as low cost, high sensitivity and high level of device integration capability. These devices that bind selectively and reversibly to the analyte with concomitant change in one or more properties of the system, such as fluorescence or color.

Among many kinds of chemosensors, the development of fluorescent chemosensors for the recognition and sensing of the biologically and environmentally toxic metal ions has drawn continuous interest in fields of chemistry, materials, biological and environmental sciences due to the low cost, simple operation, high sensitivity and specificity, real-time monitoring and short response time [9-13]. Upon interactions with the metal ions, the fluorescence intensity and/or fluorescence band shift of the chemosensors change and the metal ions may be detected qualitatively and quantitatively [14]. The development of sensitive chemosensors, which selectively recognize cations, specifically metal ions [15-19]. Many methods employ techniques related to metal ion sensing. The quenching photoluminescence of carbon-based nanodots has been focused on monitoring metal ions, such as Hg$^{2+}$, Pb$^{2+}$, Cu$^{2+}$, Fe$^{3+}$, and Cr$^{3+}$ [20-24]. The employ g-C$_3$N$_4$ as sensors for heavy metal ions detection has caused increased attention largely due to its fluorescent property.

Graphitic carbon nitride is the most stable polymorphic analog of carbon nitride and has been the subject of great attention in the last 6 year. It is readily synthesized by calcining abundant nitrogen rich precursors such as melamine, dicyandiamide and urea. Graphitic carbon nitride (g-C$_3$N$_4$), it can be easily combined with other compounds by ultrasonic dispersion method, deposition-precipitation method and so on. The g-C$_3$N$_4$ with a band gap of 2.7 eV is suitable to implement host/guest n/n junction architecture with other metal oxides of appropriate flat band potentials to affect electron transfer.

In addition, because of its high nitrogen content, g-C$_3$N$_4$ can provide more active reaction sites than the other CN material and its lamellar structure benefits the transport of electron. g-C$_3$N$_4$ has been extensively applied in sensing [25]. It is a new type of carbon-based material with high fluorescence quantum yield. Compared with other materials, g-C$_3$N$_4$ possesses various advantages such as low-cost, good biocompatibility, high quantum yield, excellent stability and non-toxicity. The electronic band structure and band gap of g-C$_3$N$_4$ depend on the degree of condensation of the material. It was also proposed that the band gap can be tuned to lower or higher values by protonation or synthesis of inclusion complex with metal cations such as Zn$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{3+}$ [26].

Recently, several groups have demonstrated the use of different g-C$_3$N$_4$ nanostructures for fluorescent heavy metal ions sensing [27-29]. Considering the properties of present chromogenic/fluorescent receptors, it seems that nanostructured g-C$_3$N$_4$ would be a promising alternative. As in the above described, the electronic structure of
g-C₃N₄ is adjustable by coupling events of protons or metals to the surface. The surface functionalities of g-C₃N₄, that is, -N-site, those are important for high adsorption capacity for metal ions through chelation. Based on the unique PL property, g-C₃N₄ nanosheets have a strong response to some heavy metal ions. It has been brought into some brilliant applications.

For examples, synthesized ultrathin g C₃N₄ nanosheets as a rapid and ultrasensitive fluorescence sensor for detection-Cu²⁺ studied [28]. The other also developed a fluorescence sensor for Fe³⁺ and Cu²⁺ based on the fluorescence quenching of g-C₃N₄ [30]. Ultrathin graphitic C₃N₄ nanofibers for selective detection of Fe³⁺, Hg²⁺ and Ag⁺ are also reported [31, 32]. Generally graphitic carbon nitride is an interested material for detection of heavy metal as fluorescence chemical sensor.

2. Mechanism of Fluorescence Chemical Sensor for Heavy Metal Ions Detection

2.1. Introduction

Thus, considering the increasing environmental threat posed by heavy metals and harmful chemicals, measures to remove and control these toxicants, before their emission in significant levels into the ecosystem, are urgently required. Concurrently, rapid, accurate measuring techniques and devices must be developed to monitor the progress; that is, it is essential to build a pollution prevention system wherein we can accurately and quickly assess the extent of heavy metals or harmful chemical contamination, either in the field where these pollutants are released or in the laboratory. Then, if pollutants are detected, we can take steps to prevent their release.

Therefore, sensor is a best technique for monitoring of heavy metal ions, under carefully controlled operating conditions, the analyte signal may be independent of other sample components, thus allowing the determination of the analyte without any major preliminary treatment of the sample. The role of important components in sensors is to transmit the signal without any amplification from a selective compound or from a change in a reaction. Eventually, the development of fluorescent chemosensors for the recognition and sensing of environmentally hazardous metal ions has drawn continuous interest in fields of chemistry, materials and environmental sciences due to the low cost, simple operation, high sensitivity and specificity, real-time monitoring and short response time [9,33]. Based upon interactions with the metal ions, the fluorescence intensity or fluorescence band shift of the chemosensors change and the metal ions may be detected qualitatively and quantitatively. Generally fluorescent chemosensors for detection transition and heavy metal ions have drawn continuous interest for many years because these ions toxic effect to human specially at high concentration.

2.2. Chemical Sensor

A chemical sensor can be defined as “a portable miniaturized analytical device, which can deliver real-time and on-line information in presence of specific compounds or ions in complex samples“. It is a device that transforms chemical information, ranging from the concentration of a specific sample component to total composition analysis, into an analytically useful signal. The chemical information may originate from a chemical reaction of the analyte or from a physical property of the system investigated. A chemical sensor consists of a chemically selective layer, of which either the chemical or physical properties changes on interaction with an analyte, and a transducer.
Chemical sensors form a simple integrated analytical system that integrates the reaction and measurement steps, without a break in continuity. Simple and innovative chemical sensor designs have led to the development of low-cost analytical instrumentation, coupled with fast and robust analytical procedures. All chemical sensor designs comprise of two equally important parts: the receptor or recognition element and the transducer. The receptor is the area in which the chemical reaction occurs and produces a signal, which could transmit as a colour change, light emission, electrical potential, electron flow etc. The transducer responds to the recognition signal and translates the magnitude of the signal into a measure of the amount of analyte [34].

2.3. Fluorescence Chemical Sensor

Compounds incorporating a binding site, a fluorophore, and a mechanism for communication between the two sites are called fluorescent chemosensors. In the appropriate molecular design, fluorescent chemosensors are capable of making sensitive measurements using signals that are easy to monitor. Since these chemical tools are typically constructed using modular approaches, simple structural modifications can be made to adapt the sensor for a variety of different applications and circumstances.

Fluorescence corresponds to the relaxation of the molecule from the singlet excited state to the singlet ground state with emission of light. Fluorescence has short lifetime (~10^-9 sec), so that in many molecules it can compete favorably with collisional deactivation, intersystem crossing and phosphorescence. The wavelength (and thus the energy) of the light emitted is dependent on the energy gap between the ground state and the singlet excited state. It is the molecular absorption of light energy at one wavelength and its nearly instantaneous re-emission at another, usually longer wavelength. Fluorescent compounds have two characteristic spectra: An excitation spectrum (the wavelength and amount of light absorbed) and an emission spectrum (the wavelength and amount of light emitted). These spectra are often referred to as a compound's fluorescence signature or fingerprint.

This difference between absorption and fluorescence wavelength (maxima) is also known as Stokes shift and can be understood in the following way: in addition to the change of electronic structure absorption can also lead to the excitation of vibrational levels, which requires more energy or light of shorter wavelength. In some molecules like benzene, this leads to a distinct pattern (vibrational progression) in the absorption spectrum. In solution, the vibrational energy is very quickly dissipated by collisions with the solvent and the molecule adopts a new equilibrium configuration from where emission takes place. Emission can again populate excited vibrational states, this time however, in the electronic ground state. In contrast to the excitation process, the energy gaps are now smaller, leading to a shift of the fluorescence to longer wavelength [33].

2.4. Fluorescence Chemical Sensor for Detection of Heavy Metal Ion

Recently, fluorescence techniques have been widely used to detect changes in the concentration of heavy metal ions in the very narrow space. Typically, a system (molecule or atom) is excited by irradiation at a particular wavelength of light. The irradiated species gains energy by absorbing a photon and enters an excited state. By losing this energy, the system can relax by emitting a photon. The difference in wavelength between absorption and emission bands has been widely applied in the development of various chemical sensors since it enables analysis with high
sensitivity as compared with a number of spectroscopic detection methods based on absorption phenomena. Thus, fluorescence spectrometry is well known to have approximately one million times more sensitivity than absorption spectroscopy. Although photo-induced electron transfer (PET), electronic energy transfer (EET), Förster resonance energy transfer (FRET), and other methods have been used to develop fluorescence-based chemical sensors, only hazardous-metal-ion-detecting sensors based on PET were described [34]. When the electron located in the LUMO relaxes to the HOMO as shown in Figure 1a below, the corresponding energy difference is converted into one of several forms, including light, chemical reaction, or heat.

If the energy is emitted as light, fluorescence or phosphorescence is observed. If the energy level of an orbital in another part of the molecule or outer substance is located between the HOMO and LUMO levels of the fluorophore, as shown in Figure 1b below, electron transfer can occur from the outer orbital to the HOMO, where a hole was created upon the absorbance of light. As the HOMO is then filled, the excited electron in the LUMO is transferred to the outer orbital, emitting heat. A representative example is the case when a chemical functional group, such as an amine with a nonbonding electron pair, is attached to a fluorophore; these molecules typically exhibit very weak fluorescence and release most of the absorbed light energy as heat. This phenomenon, in which the fluorescence of a well-known fluorophore is not observed, is called fluorescence quenching by the PET mechanism.

![Fig. 1. Schematic mechanistic representations of the relaxation process in a/ fluorescent materials, b/ fluorescent materials with an active quencher, and c/ fluorescent materials with an improper quencher.](image-url)

If the interaction between an analyte and the sensor molecule lowers the energy of the outer orbital below that of the HOMO, as shown in Figure 1c below, the energy level of the hole produced by absorption may be located above that of the electrons in the outer orbital. Thus, electron transfer does not occur and the fluorophore is able to emit light instead of heat. In the case of fluorescent metal ion sensors, fluorescence quenching generally does not occur when the fluorescent sensor molecule and the metal ion coexist in media. That is, we can facilitate detection by a
“turn-on” sensor, similar to the lighting of an incandescent lamp, for which fluorescence occurs only when the analyte is present. To detect representative transition metal ions such as Cr\(^{3+}\), Cu\(^{2+}\), Fe\(^{3+}\), Hg\(^{2+}\), Ag\(^+\) and Hg\(^{2+}\) that adversely affect the human body and environment, “turn-on” fluorescent chemical sensors based on PET through the organic connection of an amine with a light-emitter have been successfully developed [9].

Compounds incorporating a binding site, a fluorophore, and a mechanism for communication between the two sites are called fluorescent chemosensors. If the binding sites are irreversible chemical reactions, the indicators are described as fluorescent chemodosimeters. These two definitions as well as the term “fluorescent probe” have been used interchangeably and ambiguously over the past few decades therefore we have unified the area to describe them all as fluorescent chemosensors. Therefore, the development of more sensitive and selective fluorescent chemosensors, that can be used to efficiently evaluate the heavy metal ion levels in environmental and biological systems, is of great significance. Up to now, quite a lot of fluorescent chemosensors with various molecular structures and different recognition mechanisms have been developed for detection of heavy metal ions.

According to the recognition mechanism as well as the fluorescence response to heavy metal ion, the fluorescent chemosensors are classified into three categories: ‘on-off’, ‘off-on’ and ratiometric chemosensors.

2.4.1. ‘On-off’ Fluorescent Chemosensors

If the emission is efficient, the molecule may be termed a fluorophore. For example in the detection of Cu\(^{2+}\), its \(dx^2-y^2\) orbit only has one electron, and the energy of this orbital lies between those of the HOMO and LUMO of the excited fluorophore. Thus an electron transfer or energy transfer to the Cu\(^{2+}\) happens and leads to a rapid nonradiative decay of the excited fluorophore. Due to the intrinsic paramagnetic nature of Fe\(^{3+}\) and Cu\(^{2+}\), quite a large number of fluorescent chemosensors for Fe\(^{3+}\) and Cu\(^{2+}\) often show a fluorescence quenching to some extent. In other word, the free chemosensors are fluorescent, and their fluorescence is quenched upon interactions with Fe\(^{3+}\) and Cu\(^{2+}\). This type of chemosensors is often called ‘on–off’ fluorescent chemosensor. The ‘on-off’ fluorescent chemosensors are classified into small molecules, supramolecules and nanomaterials according to their structural characters.

2.4.1.1. Small molecular chemosensors

To date, a variety of fluorescent chemosensors for heavy metal ion based on small molecules have been reported. Usually, a fluorescent chemosensor consists of a molecule incorporating an ion-binding site, a chromophore or fluorophore, and a mechanism for communication between the two [35]. Herein, the small molecular chemosensors are discussed according to the recognition mechanisms including intramolecular charge transfer (ICT), photoinduced electron transfer (PET), aggregation induced emission (AIE), and soon.

A/ Intramolecular charge transfer (ICT) based sensors

It is also known as photoinduced charge transfer (PCT). The PCT mechanism involves the transfer of an electron between the donor and acceptor functionalities in order to promote fluorescence [36, 37]. All the indicators have integrated ionophore, a fluorophore, as opposed to the PET indicators that have the electron donor moiety separated by spacer from the fluorophore. For this reason, with PCT indicators, the complexation of the metal ions give
rise to alterations in electron-energy levels causing fluorescence turn-off or turn-on and a variation in emission and absorption wavelengths, depending on the type of fluorophore, metal ion, and complexation mode [36]. When a fluorophores contains an electron-donating group (often an amino group) conjugated to an electron-withdrawing group, it undergoes intramolecular charge transfer (ICT) from the donor to the acceptor upon excitation by light. The consequent change in dipole moment results in a shift that depends of the microenvironment of the fluorophore. Usually the donor and acceptor groups are conjugated in the ground state and undergo significant charge transfer in the excited state [38].

**B/ Photoinduced electron transfer (PET) based chemosensor**

PET is one of the most extensively adopted mechanisms for metal ion reorganization. A typical PET system often consists of an aromatic fluorophore, an aliphatic amine and a short methylene chain as the linker. When a lone electron pair is located in an orbit of the fluorophore and the energy of this orbital lies between those of the HOMO and LUMO, efficient electron transfer of one electron of the pair to the hole in the HOMO created by light absorption may occur, followed by the non-luminescent process returning to the ground state. The PET often causes a decrease in fluorescence intensity or quenching of the chemosensor [39, 40]. PET type fluorescent response does not cause any spectroscopic shifts in the emission band regarding the complexation of the metal ions.

Fluorescence in a molecule is observed when an excited electron, for instance in the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO), goes to the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO), releasing the excess of energy as light. Over this, it might happen that an orbital from another part of the molecule or from another molecular entity could have energy between that of the HOMO and that of the LUMO of the fluorophore. When this “alien” orbital is full (for instance, if we have a donor group), a PET from this full orbital to the HOMO of the fluorophore can take place. A further electron transfer from the LUMO of the fluorophore to the external orbital retrieves the stable ground state. Following this sequence, fluorescence quenching occurs because the transition from the excited to the ground state takes place following a non-radiative path as illustrated in Figure 2. This process lead to a decrease of the emission intensity or no fluorescence at all.

![PET process](image)

**Fig.2.** PET process with the participation of the HOMO and LUMO of the fluorophore and an external molecular orbital
A similar process can take place when there is an empty orbital from another part of the molecule or from another molecular entity between both the HOMO and LUMO of the fluorophore. In this case, a PET from the excited LUMO to the empty orbital can occur, followed by a further electron transfer from this orbital to the HOMO of the fluorophore. Again, de-excitation occurs without radiation and fluorescence quenching is described in Figure 3. The design of fluorescence chemosensors tries to take advantage of such PET effects in such a way that the presence of the cation and anion should induce the appearance or the removal of energy levels between the HOMO and LUMO of the fluorophore inducing quenching or enhancement of the fluorescence emission [41].

![PET process with the participation of the HOMO and LUMO of the fluorophore and an empty external molecular orbital](image)

**Fig.3.** PET process with the participation of the HOMO and LUMO of the fluorophore and an empty external molecular orbital

**C/ Aggregation induced emission (AIE) based sensors**

Most fluorescent compounds suffer from the aggregation-caused quenching (ACQ) effect when dispersed in a poor solvent or fabricated into films in the solid state, which may limit their practical application. In contrast, the AIE phenomenon is precisely opposite to ACQ and provides a new strategy to broaden the application of fluorophores [19, 42].

**2.4.1.2. Supramolecular chemosensors**

Supramolecular fluorescent chemosensors are a biotic devices that produce a change in fluorescence by binding analyte by non-covalent interactions (e.g. hydrogen bonds or π-interactions for a molecule; coordination interactions for a metal ion; electrostatic interactions for an anion) [43]. In view of molecular weight, most of the supramolecular fluorescent chemosensors are small molecular chemosensors, while they have outstanding structural features different from the common small molecules. To date, a wide range of molecular structures such as crown ethers, calixarenes, helicenes, metal complexes, porphyrins, cyclodextrin, etc. have been used as host skeleton to devise various fluorescent chemosensors [44]. For example, the in fluorescent chemosensors for Cu$^{2+}$ of the representative supramolecules such as perazamacrocycle, cyclodextrin and calixarene are some important one.

**2.4.1.3. Fluorescent nanomaterials based chemosensors**

Fluorescent nanomaterials have attracted a great deal of interest due to their low cytotoxicity, easy surface functionalization, and high price competitiveness [45]. Such kind of materials can also be used to devise various
fluorescent chemosensors for heavy metal ion. For example, g-C₃N₄ fluorescence chemosensor for detection of heavy metal ion have been developed.

### 2.4.2. Off-On Type Fluorescent Chemosensors

Although various ‘on-off’ fluorescent chemosensors for heavy metal ion has been reported. For example, in the case of ‘on-off’ fluorescent chemosensors for Fe³⁺ and Cu²⁺ owing to the paramagnetic nature of Fe³⁺ and Cu²⁺, the ‘on-off’ fluorescence quenching might occur in intracellular environment by artefacts other than metal complexation and could give rise to false positive results. Thus, ‘off-on’ fluorescent Cu²⁺ chemosensors are favored over the ‘on-off’ ones and have been developed in recent years. Compared to the ‘on-off’ fluorescent chemosensor, the ‘off-on’ fluorescent Cu²⁺ chemosensor is non-fluorescent or its fluorescence is very weak in absence of Cu²⁺. Upon binding with Cu²⁺, the intensity of fluorescence increases greatly due to several mechanisms such as the chelation-enhanced fluorescence [33] and the yield of new fluorophore.

### 2.4.3. Ratiometric fluorescent chemosensors

‘On-off’ and ‘off-on’ fluorescent chemosensors are based on the changes in emission intensity at a single wave-length, which tend to be affected by a variety of factors such as the instrumental efficiency, the concentration of chemosensor, and the micro-environment. In contrast, the ratiometric fluorescent chemosensors exhibit changes in the ratio of the intensities of emission at two different wavelengths, and can be used to evaluate the analyte concentration and provide a build-in correction for environmental effects [46].

### 2.5. g-C₃N₄ Fluorescence Chemosensor for Detection of Heavy Metal Ion

Graphitic carbon nitride, as a new form of organic polymer-like material. The g-C₃N₄ structure includes Vander Waals interactions between adjacent sheets (Figure 4 below), and with strong covalent bonding within each sheet (nanosheets of tri-s-triazine connected via tertiary amines), it has very unique characteristics. Graphitic carbon nitride is the most stable polymorphic analog of carbon nitride and has been the subject of great attention in the last 5-6 year. It is often regarded as the most stable allotrope among the carbon nitride materials under ambient conditions [47]. Non planar geometry is similar but has three 6 - membered rings attached to the C-N rings, this provides sp³ rather than sp² hybridized bonding and results in buckling of the graphitic sheets. However, the planar g-C₃N₄ structure is more common and in referral to g-C₃N₄ the planar structure is assumed.

![Fig.4. Structures of the graphitic carbon nitride (g-C₃N₄)](image)

Graphitic carbon nitride is nontoxic semiconductor, exhibiting excellent photoactivity, photocatalysis and electron transport [48, 49]. This polymer-like semiconductor material (g-C₃N₄) is a cheap, stable material that is abundant
and has a controllable surface, so it can be treated as a new material for environmental applications and solar energy. It is well known that the g-C₃N₄ exhibits photoluminescence (PL) properties similar to many semiconductors materials. g-C₃N₄ emits a blue PL around 450 nm when dissolved in solvents under UV light irradiation due to its direct bandgap of 2.7 eV, which can be explained as the transition of the s-triazine ring [50].

Based on the unique PL property of g-C₃N₄, g-C₃N₄ nanosheets have a strong response to some heavy metal. For example, copper ions as turn-off chemical sensors. Besides copper ions, PL of g-C₃N₄ can also be quenched by other metal ions like Fe³⁺, Ag⁺, Hg²⁺, and Cr²⁺ [51-53]. The fabrication of g-C₃N₄ nanosheets for the selective detection to Cu²⁺ and Ag⁺ was also studied [54]. The successfully prepared the g-C₃N₄ QDs as effective fluorescent probes for the detection of Fe³⁺ and Cu²⁺. g-C₃N₄ nanodots via a microwave-assisted approach. The produced nanodots were utilized as turn-off sensors for mercury ions with a detection limit of 0.14 l M. g-C₃N₄ are very important for detection of toxic heavy metal ion [47], some of them are below.

### 2.5.1. Copper Sensing

In 2013, Sun and co-workers developed new applications of g-C₃N₄ in fluorosensor, they prepared ultrathin g-C₃N₄ nanosheets comprised of only about three C−N layers by ultrasonication-assisted liquid exfoliation of bulk C₃N₄ [52]. The nanosheets exhibit high fluorescence, which might be quenched obviously by Cu²⁺. As shown below Figure 5, as the redox potential of Cu²⁺/Cu⁺ lies between the conduction band (CB) and valence band (VB) of g-C₃N₄, the photoinduced electron transfer from the CB to the complexed Cu²⁺ might occur and lead to fluorescence quenching. g-C₃N₄ exhibited high selectivity towards Cu²⁺ with a detection limit as low as 0.5 nM, and has been used in determination of Cu²⁺ in real water samples. g-C₃N₄/LDH films are used as a reusable luminescent sensor for Cu²⁺ [55].

![Fig.5. The quenching principle of the g-C₃N₄ nanosheets for Cu²⁺](image)

### 2.5.2. Mercury Sensing

Graphitic carbon nitride also important for rapid sensing of mercury, the fluorescence quenching behaviour of the GCNNs by Hg²⁺ was reported as described in figure 6 [56]. The fluorescence quenching mechanism may be due to the static quenching from the formation of a stable non-fluorescent complex between the GCNNs and Hg²⁺. A simple and straightforward “turn-off” fluorescent sensor, where they functionalized g-C₃N₄ sheets with DNA also
studied. In this sensing system, g-C₃N₄ sheets worked as fluorophore, which selectively binds with the Hg²⁺. The fluorescence quenching was due to the electron transfer from g-C₃N₄ sheets to Hg²⁺ through the formed structure provided for fluorescence “turn-off” based strategy. The sensing response was measured with increasing the concentration of the Hg²⁺, which revealed the gradual and linear decrease in the fluorescence intensity [57, 58].

![Figure 6](image6.png)

**Fig. 6.** Schematic illustration of fluorescence sensing of Hg²⁺ [56]

### 2.5.3. Silver Sensing

Graphitic carbon nitride nanosheets served as an effective fluorescent probe. As shown below Figure 7, the g-C₃N₄ sensor can be used for sensitive detection of Ag⁺. The conduction band of g-C₃N₄ nanosheets to Ag⁺ led to fluorescence quenching because of the photoinduced electron transfer (PET) [32]. The potential of g-C₃N₄/LDH films as a reusable luminescent sensor for Ag⁺ are developed [31].

![Figure 7](image7.png)

**Fig. 7.** Schematic of g-C₃N₄ nanosheets sensing to Ag⁺

### 2.5.4. Iron Sensing

Graphitic carbon nitride nanosheets also served as an effective fluorescent probe for detection of Fe³⁺. The introduction of Fe³⁺ in to the dispersion leads to an obvious decrease of fluorescence in intensity, indicating that Fe³⁺ can effectively quench the fluorescence of g-C₃N₄ nanofibers [59]. The binding brings g-C₃N₄ and Fe³⁺ into close proximity with each other. Because the redox potential of Fe³⁺/Fe²⁺ lies between the conduction band (CB) and valence band (VB) of g-C₃N₄, photoinduced electron transfer from the CB to the complexed Fe³⁺ occurs, leading to fluorescence quenching.
3. Conclusion and Future Perspective

The finding of simple and sensitive detection method for toxic heavy metal ions is very important due to dangerous effect when they tend to bioaccumulate. Conventional analytical techniques for heavy metal ion detection have been developed, such as ICP-MS, GFAAS, FAAS and ICP-OES, but they were time-consuming and required sophisticated instrumentation or highly trained operators, which limited their on-field practical application. Thus, there are alternative fluorescence chemical sensors that has been developed for detection of heavy metal ion, Specially g-C₃N₄ fluorescence chemosensor for detection of Cu²⁺, Fe³⁺, Hg²⁺ and Ag⁺ has been developed based on the fluorescence quenching because of the photoinduced electron transfer (PET) from g-C₃N₄ to metal ion.

The research topic in fluorescent chemosensors is still in the developing stage, there are many challenges to be solved in the future. For the practical applications, an ideal chemosensor should have many features such as high sensitivity and selectivity, fast response time, ease of preparation, low toxicity, water solubility, and so on. Although many fluorescent chemosensors for heavy metal ion have been reported, they are still limited in practical applications to some extent. In addition to further improvements of these properties, other important scientific issues to pursue in this field include: to develop fluorescent chemosensors for metal ion in living organ, to study the relationship between the molecular structures and properties; and to develop heavy metal ion-promoted reaction based chemosensors.
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