We present interferometric observations of the isolated, low-mass protostellar double cores CG 30 and BHR 71 in the $N_2H^+ (1–0)$ line and at 3 mm dust continuum, using the Australian Telescope Compact Array (ATCA). The results are complemented by infrared data from the Spitzer Space Telescope. In CG 30, the 3 mm dust-continuum images resolve two compact sources with a separation of $\sim 21.7''$ ($\sim 8700$ AU). In BHR 71, strong dust-continuum emission is detected at the position of the mid-infrared source IRS 1, while only weak emission is detected from the secondary mid-infrared source IRS 2. Assuming the standard gas-to-dust ratio and optically thin 3 mm dust-continuum emission, we derive hydrogen gas masses of $0.05$–$2.1 M_\odot$ for the four subcores. $N_2H^+ (1–0)$ line emission is detected in both CG 30 and BHR 71. By simultaneously fitting the seven hyperfine line components of $N_2H^+$, we derive the velocity fields and find symmetric velocity gradients in both sources. Estimated virial masses of the subcores range from $0.1$ to $0.6 M_\odot$. Spitzer images show the mid-infrared emission from all four subcores, which is spatially associated with the 3 mm dust-continuum emission. All four sources appear to drive their own outflows, as seen in the Spitzer 4.5 $\mu$m images. Based on the ATCA and Spitzer observations, we construct spectral energy distributions (SEDs) and derive temperatures and luminosities for all cores. We suggest that the subcores in CG 30 were formed by initial fragmentation of a filamentary prestellar core, while those in BHR 71 could originate from rotational fragmentation of a single collapsing protostellar core.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Although statistical properties of binary stars have been determined over the past two decades (see, e.g., Reipurth et al. 2007 for recent reviews), many key questions concerning their origin are still poorly understood. What is the formation mechanism of binary/multiple systems? How are mass and angular momentum distributed during their formation? What is the difference between cores forming binaries and those forming single stars? To answer these questions, direct observations of the earliest, deeply embedded phase of binary star formation are needed. This phase is unfortunately not accessible to optical and near-infrared (NIR) wavelengths, due to the large amounts of circumstellar material present. Observations of the gas and optically thin dust emission at millimeter wavelengths are therefore needed to probe the system kinematics and individual envelope masses. However, these observations were long hampered by the low angular resolution of millimeter telescopes, and only recently have the earliest phases of binary star formation been observationally identified and studied in detail thanks to the availability of large (sub-) millimeter interferometers, although the number of known systems is still very small (Looney et al. 2000; Launhardt 2004).

To search for binary protostars and to derive their kinematic properties, we have started a systematic program to observe, at high angular resolution, a number of isolated low-mass prestellar and protostellar molecular cloud cores. The initial survey was conducted at the Owens Valley Radio Observatory (OVRO) millimeter array (Launhardt 2004; Chen et al. 2007, hereafter Paper I; R. Launhardt et al., in preparation), and is now continued with the Australia Telescope Compact Array (ATCA) and the IRAM Plateau de Bure Interferometer (PdBI) array. In this paper we present ATCA observations of two southern protobinaries in the $N_2H^+ (1–0)$ molecular line and at 3 mm dust continuum, together with complementary mid-infrared (MIR) data from the Spitzer Space Telescope.

CG 30 (also known as BHR 12 or DC 253.3–1.6) is a bright-rimmed cometary globule located in the Gum Nebula region. The distance toward CG 30 is somewhat uncertain, with estimates ranging from 200 pc (Knude et al. 1999) to 400 pc (Brandt 1971; Reipurth 1983). For consistency with earlier papers (e.g., Henning & Launhardt 1998), we use here 400 pc. The globule harbors an elongated protostellar core seen in the single-dish millimeter dust-continuum image (R. Launhardt et al., in preparation). Higher resolution submillimeter-continuum observations (SCUBA) resolve the source into two subcores with a projected separation of $\sim 20''$ ($\sim 8000$ AU) and masses of $0.17 \pm 0.05$ and $0.14 \pm 0.05 M_\odot$ (Henning et al. 2001). The northern core is associated with a NIR source, which drives the Herbig-Haro flow HH 120.
Millimeter interferometric observations at 95 GHz of CG 30 and BHR 71 were carried out using ATCA with five 22 m telescopes in 2005 May and August. Observations were obtained in two separate array configurations (H168 and H75) with projected baselines ranging from 22 to 180 m. All antennas were equipped with cooled SIS receivers, which provided average system temperatures of 200–350 K at the observing frequency. A digital correlator was used with two independent spectral windows. The narrow window (bandwidth ~8 MHz), with a channel width of 0.019 MHz, was centered on the N$_2$H$^+$(1–0) line at 93.17 GHz. The broad window (bandwidth ~128 MHz) was centered at 95.0 GHz and was used to measure the 3.1 mm dust-continuum emission simultaneously with N$_2$H$^+$. The two sources were observed with two-point mosaics each. The primary beam size at 93 GHz is ~38″. Amplitude and phase were calibrated through frequent observations of quasars near to each source (0745–330 for CG 30 and 1057–797 for BHR 71), typically every 20 minutes, resulting in an absolute position uncertainty of <0.2″.

Flux densities were calibrated using the secondary calibrator 1253+000 as a zero-point source. The large-scale common envelope, detected in the submillimeter and millimeter waves, is seen at this position (see Launhardt et al. 2001). The driving source is associated with IRAS 6452 12 01 36.5, 200 pc (Bourke et al. 1997, hereafter B01). IRS 1 and IRS 2 each drive a CO outflow; the well-known large-scale collimated bipolar outflow is driven by IRS 1, and another fainter and smaller bipolar outflow is driven by IRS 2 (see B01 and Parise et al. 2006). Only IRS 1 appears to be associated with a substantial amount of circumstellar material, but neither is directly detected at NIR wavelengths (B01).

2. OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTION

2.1. ATCA Observations

Mid-infrared data of CG 30 and BHR 71 were obtained from the Spitzer Science Center. CG 30 was observed on 2004 April 9 with the Multiband Imaging Photometer for Spitzer (MIPS; AOR key 9426688) and May 26 with the Infrared Array Camera (IRAC; AOR key 5097216). BHR 71 was observed on 2004 June 10 with IRAC (AOR key 5107200) and 2005 March 7 with MIPS (AOR key 9434112). Both sources were observed as part of the Cores to Disks (c2d) Legacy program (Evans et al. 2003).

The data were processed by the Spitzer Science Center using their standard pipeline (ver. S14.0) to produce Post–Basic Calibrated Data (P-BCD) images, which are flux-calibrated into physical units (MJy sr$^{-1}$). Flux densities in the IRAC bands were measured with aperture photometry in the IRAF APPHOT package, using the radial, background aperture annuli, and aperture corrections recommended by the Spitzer Science Center. The results were compared to c2d, which used PSF fitting, and found to be within the uncertainties. Flux densities in the MIPS bands were measured with GILDAS, because sources in the MIPS images are not fully resolved (see § 3.3). Further analysis and figures were completed with GILDAS.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Dust Continuum

The 3 mm dust-continuum image of CG 30 (Fig. 1a) shows two compact sources with an angular separation of 21.7″ ± 0.6″, corresponding to a projected linear separation of 8700 ± 240 AU at a distance of 400 pc. Following Henning et al. (2001), we refer to the northern source as CG 30N and to the southern source as CG 30S. From Gaussian $uv$-plane fitting, we derive flux densities of 15.8 ± 3.2 mJy$^2$ for source N and 6.0 ± 1.3 mJy$^2$ for source S. The large-scale common envelope, detected in the submillimeter single-dish maps with a radius of ~14,000 AU and a flux density

| Object Name | IRAS Source | R.A., Decl. (J2000) | Distance (pc) | Array Configuration | HPBW$^b$ (arcsec) | rms$^a$ (mJy beam$^{-1}$) |
|-------------|-------------|---------------------|---------------|---------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| CG 30       | 08076–3556  | 08 09 33.0, −36 05 01.00 | 400 | H75 + H168 | 4.6 × 3.3 | 65/0.5 |
| BHR 71      | 11590–6452  | 12 01 36.5, −65 08 49.49 | 200 | H75 + H168 | 3.6 × 2.9 | 20/2.0 |

Note.—Units of right ascension are hours, minutes, and seconds, and units of declination are degrees, arcminutes, and arcseconds.

$^a$ Reference position for figures and tables in the paper (except Spitzer images).

$^b$ Synthesized FWHM beam sizes at N$_2$H$^+$(1–0) line/3 mm dust continuum with robust weighting 1.

$^c$ 1 σ noise at N$_2$H$^+$(1–0) line/3 mm dust continuum.

1 During the observations the sky frequency changed by less than one channel due to the lack of Doppler tracking at ATCA, and corrections were applied offline to obtain correct frequencies and LSR velocities.

2 See Web site at http://www.iram.fr/IRAMFR/GILDAS.

3 See Web site at http://ssc.spitzer.caltech.edu.

4 The error bar is derived from $\sqrt{\sigma_{\text{meas}}^2 + \sigma_{\text{cal}}^2}$, where $\sigma_{\text{meas}}$ is the uncertainty from calibration (~20% of flux density) and $\sigma_{\text{cal}}$ is the uncertainty from Gaussian fitting.

| Distance (pc) | Array Configuration | HPBW$^b$ (arcsec) | rms$^a$ (mJy beam$^{-1}$) |
|---------------|---------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| 400           | H75 + H168          | 4.6 × 3.3      | 65/0.5 |
| 200           | H75 + H168          | 3.6 × 2.9      | 20/2.0 |
of \( \sim 7.4 \) Jy (Henning et al. 2001), is resolved out by the interferometer at 3 mm. Source positions and deconvolved FWHM sizes of the two embedded sources, derived from Gaussian \( \nu \tau \) plane fitting, are listed in Table 2.

In the 3 mm dust-continuum image of BHR 71 (Fig. 1b), strong emission is detected at the position of IRS 1, and only weak emission \( \sim 3 \) \( \sigma \) level is detected at the position of IRS 2. The flux densities of IRS 1 and IRS 2 are derived to be 140 \( \pm 28 \) and 2.8 \( \pm 2.1 \) mJy, respectively. The large-scale envelope detected in the 1.3 mm single-dish map, with a radius of \( \sim 9000 \) AU and a flux density of \( \sim 3.7 \) Jy (B97), is also resolved out here. Positions and FWHM sizes of the sources are listed in Table 2. The angular separation of 17\( '' \) \( \pm 1'' \) between IRS 1 and IRS 2 corresponds to a projected linear separation of 3400 \( \pm 200 \) AU at a distance of 200 pc. We also note that IRS 1 is elongated northwest–southeast and consists of two separate peaks in the region enclosed by the 5 \( \sigma \) level (see Fig. 1b). The main peak is spatially coincident with the MIR source and the fainter peak is located \( \sim 2'' \) southeast of IRS 1 (see below § 3.3).

Assuming that the 3 mm dust-continuum emission is optically thin, the hydrogen gas mass \( M_{\text{H}} = M(\text{H}) + 2M(\text{H}_2) \) in the circumstellar envelope (excluding helium) was calculated with the same method as described in Launhardt & Henning (1997). We adopt an interstellar hydrogen-to-dust mass ratio of 110 and a dust opacity \( \kappa_{3\text{mm}} = 0.2 \) cm\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\) (using \( \kappa_{1.3\text{mm}} = 0.8 \) cm\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\) and \( \kappa \propto \nu^4 \)), a fairly typical value for dense protostellar cores (Ossenkopf & Henning 1994). Dust temperatures are derived from SED fitting (§ 4.1) and are listed in Table 7. The derived hydrogen gas masses (0.05–2.1 \( M_\odot \)), together with mean volume densities \( \left( 0.4–2.6 \right) \times 10^7 \) cm\(^{-3}\) and column densities \( \left( 1.5–9.9 \right) \times 10^{20} \) cm\(^{-2}\), are listed in Table 2. The resulting optical depths \( \tau_{3\text{mm}} \sim (0.4–3) \times 10^{-3} \), thus justifying the optically thin approximation.

### TABLE 2

| SOURCE | R.A.\(^a\) (J2000.0) | Decl.\(^a\) (J2000.0) | \( S_\nu \) (mJy) | maj. \( \times \) min. (arcsec) | P.A. (deg) | \( M_{\text{H}} \) (\( M_\odot \)) | \( \langle n_\text{H} \rangle \)\(^b\) (\( \times 10^{10} \) cm\(^{-3}\)) | \( N_{\text{H}^0} \)\(^c\) (\( \times 10^{23} \) cm\(^{-2}\)) |
|--------|-----------------|-----------------|----------|-----------------|----------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|
| CG 30N | 08 09 33.12     | −36 04 58.12    | 15.8 ± 3.2 | 5.1 \( \times \) 3.1 | 89 ± 7   | 1.10 ± 0.26 | 1.11            | 4.51            |
| CG 30S | 08 09 32.67     | −36 05 19.09    | 6.0 ± 1.3  | 4.8 \( \times \) 3.1 | 74 ± 15  | 0.33 ± 0.10 | 0.37            | 1.45            |
| BHR 71 IRS 1 | 12 01 36.81 | −65 08 49.22    | 140 ± 28   | 7.8 \( \times \) 7.1 | −73 ± 20 | 2.12 ± 0.41 | 2.64            | 9.94            |
| BHR 71 IRS 2 | 12 01 34.09     | −65 08 47.36    | 2.8 ± 2.1  | 2.6 \( \times \) 2.1 | 76 ± 40  | 0.05 ± 0.02 | 2.18            | 2.58            |

\(^a\) Center position and FWHM sizes of the continuum sources derived from Gaussian \( \nu \tau \) plane fitting.
\(^b\) Assuming a spherical morphology for the objects, the mean volume density of hydrogen atoms \( n_\text{H} = n(\text{H}) + 2n(\text{H}_2) \) was calculated by \( n_\text{H} = M_\text{H}/m_\text{H}V \), with \( V \sim \pi(\theta_\text{maj} D)^2 \) being volume.
\(^c\) The hydrogen column density \( N_{\text{H}} = N(\text{H}) + 2N(\text{H}_2) \) was derived from the flux densities by \( N_{\text{H}} = S_\nu/[n_\text{H}\nu(\Omega_2 R_2)\pi(\nu_2 T_2^2)/1(m_\text{H} M_\odot)/M_\odot] \), where \( \Omega_2 \) is the solid angle of the objects and \( m_\text{H} \) is the proton mass.
Figure 2.—(a) Image of the N$_2$H$^+$ (1–0) intensity integrated over the seven hyperfine components for CG 30. Contours start at $\sim 3\sigma$ ($1\sigma \sim 60 \text{ mJy}$) with steps of $\sim 2\sigma$. The arrows show the directions of protostellar jets (see § 3.3). The gray dashed contours represent the 50%, 75%, and 99% levels of the N$_2$H$^+$ (1–0) emission observed with Mopra single-dish telescope (P. Barnes et al., in preparation). (b) The same for BHR 71 (1 $\sigma \sim 20 \text{ mJy}$). The solid and dashed arrows show the directions of the blueshifted and redshifted CO outflows (see § 3.3). The crosses in both images represent the peaks of 3 mm dust-continuum emission. Synthesized ATCA beams are shown as gray ovals.

Figure 2b shows the integrated N$_2$H$^+$ intensity image of BHR 71. Two cores are found to the east and west of IRS 1 (see below and discussion in § 4.4). We refer to these as BHR 71E and BHR 71W, respectively. The two cores are elongated in the north–south direction. Several smaller clumps are also seen north and south of the two main cores, along both sides of the large-scale CO outflow (see Fig. 2b). For BHR 71, the Mopra N$_2$H$^+$ map (P. Barnes et al., in preparation) again shows a large-scale cloud core with one peak ($\sim 15''$ offset IRS 1), and does not line up well with the two dust-continuum sources (see Fig. 2b).

Figure 3 shows the N$_2$H$^+$ spectra at the peak positions of CG 30 and BHR 71$^5$. The spectra were fitted using the hyperfine program in CLASS. The fitting results, such as LSR velocities ($V_{\text{LSR}}$), intrinsic line width ($\Delta v$; corrected for instrumental effects),

$^5$ During the observations toward BHR 71, the correlator was not well centered due to an uncertainty in the Doppler correction calculation, resulting in the N$_2$H$^+$ $JF_1F = 101–012$ component not being covered. However, the absence of this line component did not affect our final results.

Fig. 3.—N$_2$H$^+$ spectra at the peak positions of the two cores in CG 30 (left) and BHR 71 (right). Thin dotted curves show the results of hyperfine-structure line fitting. Fit parameters are given in Table 3. [See the electronic edition of the Supplement for a color version of this figure.]
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total optical depths ($\tau_{\text{tot}}$), and excitation temperatures ($T_{\text{ex}}$), are listed in Table 3.

Figure 4 shows the mean velocity fields of CG 30 and BHR 71, derived from the N$_2$H$^+$ line maps with the fitting routine described in Paper I. The jet/outflow information is also shown in each map. In CG 30, the southern core shows a well-ordered velocity field, with gradient parallel to the outflow direction. The northern core shows a more complicated velocity field, with gradient parallel to the outflow direction. In BHR 71, there seems to be a general velocity gradient across the two N$_2$H$^+$ cores, which is approximately perpendicular to the axis of the large-scale CO outflow. This may indicate that the two cores are actually part of one physical structure. (See discussion in §4.4.) A least-squares fitting of the mean velocity gradients has been performed using the routine described in Goodman et al. (1993). The results are summarized in Table 4 and discussed in §4.2.

Figure 5 shows the spatial distribution of N$_2$H$^+$ line widths for both sources. The line widths are roughly constant within the interiors of the cores, which is consistent with the observational results in Paper I. The mean line widths were derived through Gaussian fitting to the distribution of line widths versus solid angle area in the maps (see Fig. 6). We find that the subcores in each object have roughly equal line width, but the mean line width in CG 30 ($\sim$0.5 km s$^{-1}$) is $\sim$1.7 times larger than that in BHR 71 ($\sim$0.3 km s$^{-1}$).

Assuming that the observed N$_2$H$^+$ line widths are not dominated by systematic gas motions, the virial mass of the cores has been calculated as

$$M_{\text{vir}} = \frac{5}{8 \ln 2} \frac{R \Delta v_{\text{ave}}^2}{\alpha \nu G},$$

where $G$ is the gravitational constant, $R$ is the FWHM core radius, and $\Delta v_{\text{ave}}$ is the line width of the emission from an “average” particle with mass $m_{\text{ave}} = 2.33$ amu (assuming gas with 90% H$_2$ and 10% He). The coefficient $\alpha = (1 - p/3)/(1 - 2p/5)$, where $p$ is the power-law index of the density profile, is a correction for deviations from constant density (see Williams et al. 1994). In our calculations, we assume $p = 1.5$ (see André et al. 2000) and use $\alpha = 1.25$; $\Delta v_{\text{ave}}$ is derived from the observed spectra by

$$\Delta v_{\text{ave}}^2 = \frac{\Delta v_{\text{obs}}^2}{8 \ln 2} + 2 \frac{kT_{\text{ex}}}{m_{\text{ave}}} \left( \frac{1}{m_{\text{ave}}} - \frac{1}{m_{\text{obs}}} \right),$$

where $\Delta v_{\text{obs}}$ is the observed mean line width of N$_2$H$^+$ and $m_{\text{obs}}$ is the mass of the emitting molecule (here we use $m_{\text{N}_2\text{H}^+} = 29$ amu). We derive virial masses between 0.1 and 0.6 $M_\odot$. The results are listed in Table 5.

### Table 3

| Source       | $v_{\text{LSR}}$ (km s$^{-1}$) | $\Delta v$ (km s$^{-1}$) | $\tau_{\text{tot}}$ | $T_{\text{ex}}$ (K) | $\Delta v_{\text{ave}}$ (km s$^{-1}$) | $\Delta v_{\text{NT}}$ (km s$^{-1}$) |
|--------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| CG 30 N      | 6.64 ± 0.02                   | 0.53 ± 0.03              | 1.0 ± 0.1            | 4.66 ± 0.09         | 0.51 ± 0.01                          | 0.47                             |
| CG 30 S      | 6.48 ± 0.01                   | 0.52 ± 0.02              | 1.4 ± 0.1            | 6.81 ± 0.05         | 0.52 ± 0.01                          | 0.48                             |
| BHR 71 E     | -4.35 ± 0.02                  | 0.44 ± 0.10              | 1.9 ± 0.4            | 4.44 ± 0.13         | 0.28 ± 0.01                          | 0.20                             |
| BHR 71 W     | -4.42 ± 0.02                  | 0.38 ± 0.06              | 2.5 ± 0.2            | 3.91 ± 0.05         | 0.33 ± 0.01                          | 0.27                             |

a Value at the intensity peak. The error represents 1 $\sigma$ error in the hyperfine fitting.
b Mean line width obtained through Gaussian fitting to the distribution of line widths vs. solid angle areas.
c Nonthermal line width at the given dust temperature (see Table 7).

---

Fig. 4.—N$_2$H$^+$ velocity field maps of CG 30 (left) and BHR 71 (right). Contours are the same as in Fig. 2. The white arrows in CG 30N and CG 30S show the directions of the protostellar jets. The red and blue arrows in the BHR 71 map show the directions of CO outflows, while the white arrow shows the direction of the gradient across the two main N$_2$H$^+$ clumps.
The $N_2H^+$ column density has been calculated independently from the line intensity using the equation given by Benson et al. (1998):

$$N(\text{N}_2\text{H}^+) = 3.3 \times 10^{11} \frac{\tau \Delta v T_{\text{ex}}}{1 - e^{-4.47/T_{\text{ex}}}} \text{ (cm}^{-2}).\quad (3)$$

where $\tau$ is the total optical depth, $\Delta v$ is the intrinsic line width in km s$^{-1}$, and $T_{\text{ex}}$ is the excitation temperature in degrees kelvin. The gas-phase $N_2H^+$ mass of the core was then calculated from $M_\text{N_2H^+} \approx N(\text{N}_2\text{H}^+) \text{peak} \rho \text{d} \Omega_{\text{FWHM}}$, where $d$ is the distance from the Sun and $\Omega_{\text{FWHM}}$ is the solid angle enclosed by the FWHM contours for each core.

Assuming that the gas mass and virial mass derived from the $N_2H^+$ data are the same, we derived the average fractional abundance of $N_2H^+$ in each core (see Table 5). The average value $\langle X(\text{N}_2\text{H}^+) \rangle \sim 3.0 \times 10^{-10}$ for CG 30 and BHR 71 is close to the mean value found in Paper I ($\sim 3.3 \times 10^{-10}$) for nine other protostellar cores.

3.3. Spitzer Images

Figure 7 shows the Spitzer images of CG 30. The infrared emission from CG 30N and CG 30S is detected at all IRAC bands (3.6–8.0 $\mu$m). Figure 7a shows a wide-field IRAC band 2 (4.5 $\mu$m) image. Centered at CG 30S is a highly collimated bipolar jet, with P.A. $\sim 40^\circ$. The knots in the jet are labeled with the same numbers as in Hodapp & Ladd (1995). The most distant knot (knot 8) is $\sim 90^\circ$ away from CG 30S. Assuming a typical jet speed of 100 km s$^{-1}$ (Reipurth & Bally 2001), an inclination angle of $90^\circ$, and a distance of 400 pc, the dynamical age of the jet is estimated to be $\sim 1700$ yr. CG 30N appears to be the driving source of HH 120, which is $\sim 5^\circ$ in size and extends to the west. Knot 6, located to the east of CG 30N, is probably ejected by CG 30N and part of the same outflow as HH 120.

Figures 7b and 7c show enlarged views of the two sources, overlaid with the contours from the ATCA 3 mm dust continuum and $N_2H^+$ images. The two infrared sources are spatially coincident with the 3 mm dust continuum and $N_2H^+$ sources. However, when viewed in detail, CG 30S is elongated at the infrared bands and the continuum source is located at the apex of the infrared emission, implying that the infrared emission at IRAC bands from CG 30S is due to scattered light in a cavity evacuated by the jet/outflow. In contrast, CG 30N shows a pointlike structure at all IRAC bands coincident with the circumstellar millimeter dust emission peak, suggesting that the source is directly detected at NIR wavelengths ($\lambda < 5$ $\mu$m). The $N_2H^+$ emission from CG 30N spatially follows the direction of the protostellar jet and the long extension to the west matches exactly the HH 120 flow (see Fig. 7c), indicating that the jet has a strong effect on the morphology of the $N_2H^+$ emission.

In the Spitzer MIPS 1 (24 $\mu$m) image shown in Figure 7d, CG 30 is again resolved in two sources, but the emission is dominated by CG 30N and only weak emission is found at the position of CG 30S. In the MIPS 2 (70 $\mu$m) image (see Fig. 7e), the two sources are not fully resolved, but two peaks, with flux ratio $\sim 2:1$, can be clearly distinguished. Flux densities of CG 30N and CG 30S in the IRAC and MIPS bands are measured (see § 2.2) and listed in Table 6.

---

**TABLE 4**

| Source          | Mean Velocity (km s$^{-1}$) | $g$ (km s$^{-1}$ pc$^{-1}$) | $\Theta_g^a$ (deg) | $g_s$ (km s$^{-1}$) | $J/M$ ($\times 10^{-3}$ km s$^{-1}$ pc) | $\beta_{\text{rot}}$ |
|-----------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------|
| CG 30 N         | 6.62                        | $<24.4 \pm 0.2$             | $-79.7 \pm 0.4$     | 0.32                | $<0.30$                                | $<0.019$        |
| CG 30 S         | 6.45                        | $<17.8 \pm 0.2$             | 33.9 $\pm 0.3$      | 0.29                | $<0.35$                                | $<0.014$        |
| BHR 71 IRS 1    | $-4.39$                     | $\sim 1.8 \pm 0.5$          | $-104 \pm 2.0$      | 0.23                | $\sim 0.51$                            | $\sim 0.020$    |

$^a$ East of north in the direction of increasing velocity.

$^b$ Assuming that the two $N_2H^+$ cores found in BHR 71 are part of a physical structure around IRS 1 with a radius of $\sim 3000$ AU (see Fig. 2b) and a mean line width of 0.33 km s$^{-1}$ (see Table 3).

---

**Fig. 5.**—Spatial distribution of $N_2H^+$ line widths in CG 30 (left) and BHR 71 (right), as derived from the HFS line fitting. Contours and symbols are the same as in Fig. 2.
The Spitzer images of BHR 71 are shown in Figure 8, with the same sequence as in Figure 7. The infrared emission from IRS 1 and IRS 2 is detected at all IRAC bands. A large-scale (~160'' in length) bipolar jet, centered at IRS 1 with a P.A. of 165°, is seen in the IRAC images (Fig. 8a). The northern jet, spatially coincident with the redshifted CO outflow, is S-shaped, while the southern jet, containing the HH object HH 321 (Corporon & Reipurth 1997), shows a V-shaped structure at the apex. This V-shaped structure may represent a conical cavity evacuated by the successive bow shocks traced by the infrared emission (Fig. 8a) and the blueshifted CO outflow (see B97 and Parise et al. 2006). Another bipolar jet, at P.A. ~30°, is found with IRS 2 being in the center. Its northwest lobe, containing another HH object HH 320 (Corporon & Reipurth 1997), also shows a V-shaped structure at the apex and could be explained in the same way.

IRS 1 and IRS 2 are spatially coincident with the dust-continuum sources detected with ATCA (see Fig. 8b). We note that the IRS 2 dust-continuum source is located at the apex of the infrared emission and could be explained in the same way as CG 30S. The elongated structure and secondary peak found in the ATCA dust-continuum image match the left wall of the outflow cavity, suggesting they result from the jet/outflow action (for a similar case, see Gueth et al. 2003). The N2H+ emission is located on both sides of the large-scale CO outflow and basically matches the wall of the cavity (see Fig. 8c). At the MIPS 1 band, BHR 71 is barely resolved into two sources and the emission is dominated by IRS 1 (see Fig. 8d). The MIPS 2 image does not
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**Table 5**

| Source       | R (AU) | \( M_{\text{vir}} \) (\( M_\odot \)) | \( n_{\text{vir}} \) (\( 10^9 \text{ cm}^{-3} \)) | \( N(\text{N}_2\text{H}^+) \) (\( 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-2} \)) | \( M_{\text{N}_2\text{H}^+} \) (\( 10^{-10} \text{ M}_\odot \)) | \( X(\text{N}_2\text{H}^+) \) (\( 10^{-10} \)) |
|--------------|--------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| CG 30 N      | 1300   | 0.38                              | 6.2                             | 1.29                            | 0.51                            | 1.83                            |
| CG 30 S      | 1650   | 0.55                              | 4.5                             | 3.42                            | 1.94                            | 4.85                            |
| BHR 71 E     | 960    | 0.13                              | 5.3                             | 1.95                            | 0.34                            | 3.63                            |
| BHR 71 W     | 730    | 0.11                              | 10.3                            | 1.87                            | 0.21                            | 2.52                            |
| BHR 71 IRS 1 | 3000   | 0.49                              | 0.7                             | 1.90                            | 3.07                            | 8.55                            |

* Assuming that the two \( \text{N}_2\text{H}^+ \) cores found in BHR 71 are part of a physical structure around IRS 1 with a radius of ~3000 AU (see Fig. 2b) and a mean line width of 0.33 km s\(^{-1}\) (see Table 3).
Fig. 7.— *Spitzer* images of CG 30. (a) *Spitzer* IRAC band 2 (4.5 μm) image of CG 30. Sources CG 30N and CG 30S are labeled as “N” and “S,” respectively. Dashed arrows show the directions of the protostellar jets. (b) IRAC band 2 image overlaid with the ATCA 3 mm dust-continuum contours (reference position at R.A. = 08°09′04.082″, decl. = −36°00′55.53″, J2000.0). (c) Same, but overlaid with the ATCA N$_2$H$^+$ intensity contours. (d) *Spitzer* MIPS 1 (24 μm) image of CG 30, overlaid with the ATCA 3 mm dust-continuum contours. (e) *Spitzer* MIPS 2 (70 μm) image of CG 30, overlaid with the ATCA 3 mm dust-continuum contours.
resolve the two sources and the emission is peaked at the position of IRS 1\(^6\) (see Fig. 8e). Flux densities of IRS 1 and IRS 2 are listed in Table 6.

4. DISCUSSION

4.1. Spectral Energy Distributions and Evolutionary Stages

Figure 9 shows the spectral energy distributions (SEDs) of CG 30 N and S and BHR 71 IRS 1 and IRS 2, based on the infrared (ISOCAM, Spitzer, and IRS\(^7\)) and submillimeter (SCUBA) observations. The NIR data of CG 30 N are adopted from Persi et al. (1990). The SCUBA and SEST data for CG 30 are adopted from Henning et al. (2001) and Henning & Launhardt (1998), respectively. The ISOCAM and SEST data for BHR 71 are adopted from Bölling and B97, respectively. Here we do not explicitly list all flux values, but show graphically the SEDs. Since IRS\(^8\) observations could resolve neither CG 30 nor BHR 71, flux ratios at the IRS\(^9\) wavelengths of 10:1 (CG 30N:CG 30S) and 20:1 (BHR 71 IRS 1:IRS 2) were inferred from the Spitzer and ATCA observations.

In order to derive luminosities and bolometric temperatures, we first interpolated and then integrated the SEDs, always assuming spherical symmetry. Interpolation between the flux densities was done by a \(\chi^2\) graybody fit to all points at \(\lambda \geq 100 \mu\text{m}\), using

\[
S_\nu = B_\nu(T_d)(1 - e^{-\tau_\nu})\Omega,
\]

where \(B_\nu(T_d)\) is the Planck function at frequency \(\nu\) and dust temperature \(T_d\), \(\tau_\nu\) is the dust optical depth as a function of frequency \(\tau = \nu^{1.5}\), and \(\Omega\) is the solid angle of the source. A simple logarithmic interpolation was used between all points at \(\lambda \leq 100 \mu\text{m}\). The fitting results, such as dust and bolometric temperatures and submillimeter (\(\lambda \geq 350 \mu\text{m}\)) and bolometric luminosities, are listed in Table 7.

Based on these results, we try to address the evolutionary stages of CG 30 and BHR 71. A detailed definition and discussion for early stellar evolutionary phases can be found in André et al. (2000) and Froebrich (2005). The \(L_{\text{submm}}/L_{\text{bol}}\) ratios of all sources are \(>0.5\%\) (the standard boundary of Class 0 protostars; see André et al. 2000)\(^,\) and the four sources each drive a bipolar jet (see § 3.3). However, the bolometric temperature of CG 30N is \(~100\ K\), and the object is also directly detected at NIR wavelengths, suggesting CG 30N is a Class 1 young stellar object. In contrast, the low bolometric temperature (37 K) of CG 30S suggests it is a Class 0 protostar. In BHR 71, both IRS 1 and IRS 2 have bolometric temperatures less than 70 K (see Table 7). Nevertheless, IRS 1 might be directly detected at NIR wavelengths (see Fig. 8a), suggesting that it is a transition object between Class 0 and I, while IRS 2 could be a Class 0 protostar.

It must be noted that the analysis above does not take into account inclination effects; considering a protostar embedded in a circumstellar disk/envelope, its infrared emission could be detected through the outflow cavity when the system is face-on, but is not seen when it is edge-on. In BHR 71, the bipolar CO outflow powered by IRS 1 is lying roughly in the plane of sky, implying the latter case; the bipolar outflow driven by IRS 2 appears to favor the same situation (see Parise et al. 2006). In CG 30, however, the relative inclinations could not be easily distinguished, because the information about molecular outflows is still missing. The SED-based classification discussed above thus might be a result of both evolutionary stage and inclination. In particular, in CG 30 we cannot disentangle the two effects. It is quite possible that the different SEDs (and bolometric temperatures) actually reflect inclination effects rather than a difference in evolutionary stage.

4.2. Gas Kinematics

The thermal contribution to the \(N_2H^+\) line width is calculated by \(\Delta v_{\text{th}} = 8 \ln 2 kT_k/m_{\text{b}}\), where \(k\) is the Boltzmann constant, \(T_k\) is the kinetic gas temperature, and \(m_{\text{b}}\) is the mass of the observed molecule. Assuming that at the high densities of \(10^6\ \text{cm}^{-3}\) (see Table 2) the kinetic gas temperature is equal to the dust temperature derived in § 4.1 (~20 K), the nonthermal contributions to the line widths \(\Delta v_{\text{NT}} = [\Delta v_{\text{th}}^2 - \Delta v_{\text{th}}^2]^{1/2}\) were then calculated to be \(~0.5\ \text{km s}^{-1}\) in CG 30 and \(~0.2\ \text{km s}^{-1}\) in BHR 71 (see Table 3). These nonthermal line widths suggest that turbulence, the main contribution to the nonthermal line width (Goodman et al. 1998), cannot be ignored in the protostellar cores. On the other hand, the thermal line width of an “average” particle of mass \(2.3\ m_{\text{H}}\) (assuming gas with 90\% H\(_2\) and 10\% He), which represents the local sound speed, is \(~0.62\ \text{km s}^{-1}\) at 20 K. The derived nonthermal contributions to the \(N_2H^+\) line width in both CG 30 and BHR 71 are smaller than this local sound speed (i.e., the turbulent motion is subsonic). We also note that the mean line widths derived for BHR 71 (\(~0.3\ \text{km s}^{-1}\)) are 3 times smaller than those measured by single-dish observations in Mardones et al. (1997; \(~0.9\ \text{km s}^{-1}\)). Taking into account the systematic velocity variation across the core (\(~0.3\ \text{km s}^{-1}\); see Table 4), the combined line width in our maps is still smaller than the result from single-dish observations. It means that high-level (supersonic) turbulence occurs mainly in the extended envelope which is resolved out by the interferometer, but the inner core is much more

| Source       | R.A. (J2000.0) | Decl. (J2000.0) | \(S(3.6 \mu\text{m})\) (mJy) | \(S(4.5 \mu\text{m})\) (mJy) | \(S(5.8 \mu\text{m})\) (mJy) | \(S(8.0 \mu\text{m})\) (mJy) | \(S(24 \mu\text{m})\) (mJy) | \(S(70 \mu\text{m})\) (mJy) |
|--------------|---------------|----------------|----------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| CG 30N.......| 08 09 33.20   | -36 04 58.17   | 55.7 ± 1.4                 | 121.4 ± 2.1   | 256.1 ± 3.0   | 395.8 ± 3.8   | 3400 ± 100     | 8700 ± 430     |
| CG 30S.......| 08 09 32.68   | -36 05 20.38   | 6.7 ± 0.5                  | 16.8 ± 0.8    | 19.6 ± 0.8    | 9.6 ± 0.6     | 50 ± 5         | 4200 ± 340     |
| BHR 71 IRS 1 | 12 01 36.57   | -65 08 49.52   | 32.4 ± 1.1                 | 82.4 ± 1.7    | 123.3 ± 2.1   | 210.2 ± 2.8   | 5000 ± 300     | 84000 ± 800    |
| BHR 71 IRS 2 | 12 01 34.05   | -65 08 47.03   | 4.5 ± 0.4                  | 12.4 ± 0.7    | 15.4 ± 0.7    | 9.3 ± 0.6     | 90 ± 30        | ...            |

Note: — Flux densities in the IRAC and MIPS bands were measured using IRAF APPHOT and GILDAS, respectively (see § 2.2).

\(a\) Peak position of infrared sources measured at the IRAC band 3 (5.8 \(\mu\text{m}\)).
Fig. 8.—Same as Fig. 7, but for BHR 71 (reference position at R.A. = 12°01'36.349\", decl. = -65°08'37.50\", J2000.0).
“quiescent.” This is consistent with what we found in Paper I, namely that nonthermal motions are quickly damped from large-scale to smaller inner cores (see, e.g., Fuller & Myers 1992).

The velocity fields of CG 30N, CG 30S, and BHR 71 show systematic velocity gradients (see Fig. 4). As discussed in Paper I, systematic velocity gradients are usually dominated by either rotation or outflow. In CG 30, the gradients in both cores are parallel to the jets. Although there is no molecular outflow information available yet for CG 30, these gradients are likely the results of outflows, and we treat them as upper limits of underlying rotation velocity gradients. In BHR 71, the velocity gradient across the two N2H+ cores is roughly perpendicular to the axis of the large-scale CO outflow and could be explained by rotation. (Here we assume that the two cores are associated with IRS 1 [see § 4.4].)

The velocity gradients measured in CG 30N, CG 30S, and BHR 71 are $<24.4$, $<17.5$, and $7.8$ km s$^{-1}$ pc$^{-1}$, respectively (see Table 4). Both the velocity gradient in BHR 71 as well as the upper limits for CG 30N and CG 30S are consistent with those found in Paper I.

Assuming that the velocity gradients summarized in Table 4 are due to core rotation, the specific angular momentum $J/M$ of the objects was calculated with the following equation:

$$J/M = \alpha_{\text{rot}} R^2 = \frac{2}{3} \frac{3 - p}{5 - p} \frac{g}{\sin i} R^2 \approx \frac{2}{7} g R^2,$$

(5)

**TABLE 7**

| Source                | $T_{\text{dust}}$ (K) | $T_{\text{bol}}$ (K) | $L_{\text{bol}}$ ($L_\odot$) | $L_{\text{submm}}$ ($L_\odot$) | $L_{\text{submm}}/L_{\text{bol}}$ (percent) | Classification |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|
| CG 30N                | 22                    | 102                   | 13.6 ± 0.8                    | 0.49 ± 0.10                   | 3.6                             | Class I         |
| CG 30S                | 27                    | 37                    | 4.3 ± 0.5                     | 0.32 ± 0.05                   | 7.4                             | Class 0         |
| BHR 71 IRS 1          | 25                    | 44                    | 13.5 ± 1.0                    | 0.49 ± 0.05                   | 3.6                             | Class 0/1       |
| BHR 71 IRS 2          | 26                    | 58                    | 0.5 ± 0.1                     | 0.02 ± 0.01                   | 3.4                             | Class 0         |

Fig. 9.—Spectral energy distribution of CG 30N (top left), CG 30S (top right), BHR 71 IRS 1 (bottom left), and BHR 71 IRS 2 (bottom right). Error bars (1σ) are indicated for all data points, but are mostly smaller than the symbol sizes. Open squares represent IRAS data points, where flux densities are divided into two subcores with ratios assumed in § 4.1. While most data points represent total fluxes, the 3 mm fluxes were measured from interferometric maps which resolved out the envelope and thus represent lower limits only. Solid lines show the best-fit for all points at $\lambda \geq 100$ μm using a gray-body model. Dashed lines at $\lambda \leq 100$ μm show the simple logarithmic interpolation used to derive the luminosity. The fitting results are summarized in Table 7.
where the coefficient \( \alpha_{\text{rot}} = \frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{3}{2} - p \right) / \left( 5 - p \right) \), \( p \) is the power-law index of the radial density profile (\( p = 1.5 \); see § 3.2), \( g \) is the velocity gradient, and \( i \) is the inclination angle to the line-of-sight direction (here we assume \( i = 1 \)). The derived \( J/M \) for CG 30N, CG 30S, and BHR 71 are listed in Table 4. It should be noted that for CG 30 we derive only upper limits. The ratio of rotational energy to the gravitational potential energy was calculated by \( \beta_{\text{rot}} = E_{\text{rot}}/E_{\text{grav}} \approx 0.19 R^2(GM/c^2) \), where \( E_{\text{rot}} = \frac{1}{2} I \omega^2 = \frac{5}{4} \alpha_{\text{rot}} M^2 \omega^2 \) and \( E_{\text{grav}} = \frac{1}{2} \alpha_{\text{grav}} GM^2/c^2/R \) (the masses and radii used in the equations are virial masses and radii listed in Table 5). The estimated \( \beta_{\text{rot}} \) values for CG 30N, CG 30S, and BHR 71 are \( <0.019 \), \( <0.014 \), and \( \sim 0.020 \), respectively.

4.3. How Did the Cores Fragment?

Recent numerical simulations and observations support the hypothesis that the fragmentation of molecular cloud cores is the main mechanism for the formation of binary/multiple stellar systems, although the exact \textit{when}, \textit{where}, \textit{why}, and \textit{how} are still under debate (see reviews by Bodenheimer et al. 2000, Tolhine 2002, and Goodwin et al. 2007). In this section, we try to examine the origin of the subcores in both CG 30 and BHR 71, i.e., whether they formed by initial cloud fragmentation prior to protostellar collapse or by prompt rotational fragmentation of a single core after the initial collapse.

In CG 30, our previous single-dish submillimeter maps have shown a large-scale hourglass-shaped common envelope around the two subcores (Henning et al. 2001; see also Fig. 1a). The separation between the subcores is \( \sim 8700 \text{ AU} \), which is roughly 2 times the typical Jeans length \( (R_{\text{Jeans}} = 0.19 \text{ pc} [T/10^4 \text{ K}]^{-1/2} [n_{\text{H}_2}/10^4 \text{ cm}^{-3}]^{-1/2} \); see Stahler & Palla 2004) in prestellar cores \( (\sim 4000 \text{ AU} at T = 10 \text{ K} and n_{\text{H}_2} = 10^4 \text{ cm}^{-3}) \). The radial velocity difference between the two subcores is \( \sim 0.16 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) (see Table 3). If we assume that the total binary mass is \( 1.4 \times 1.36 M_\odot \) (see Table 2; the factor 1.36 accounting for \( H_2 \) and heavier elements) and the orbit is perpendicular to the plane of sky, the orbit velocity difference in a bound system with the separation of \( 8700 \text{ AU} \) should be \( \sim 0.44 \text{ km s}^{-1} \), about 3 times larger than the observed value. Furthermore, from this observed velocity difference, we estimate the \( \beta_{\text{rot}} \) of \( \sim 0.008 \) for the large-scale cloud core which contains the two subcores (radius \( \sim 8000 \text{ AU} \); see Fig. 2a). This \( \beta_{\text{rot}} \) is less than the typical boundary suggested by a series of numerical simulations (see, e.g., Boss 1999 and Machida et al. 2005) for rotational fragmentation. Based on the morphology and velocity structure, we suggest that the two subcores in CG 30 were formed by initial fragmentation\(^9\) of a large-scale filamentary prestellar core.

In BHR 71, the two subcores have a separation of \( \sim 3400 \text{ AU} \) (less than the typical Jeans length) and are also surrounded by a large common envelope (Fig. 1b). Unfortunately, the observed velocity structure is mainly associated with IRS 1, and kinematic information for IRS 2 is missing. Here we can only speculate on the basis of separation that the two subcores could be formed by prompt rotational fragmentation of a collapsing protostellar core.

\(^9\) The basic idea of this initial fragmentation is that the collapse is initiated in a large-scale molecular cloud core which contains multiple Jeans masses in a weakly condensed configuration, e.g., a prolate or filamentary Gaussian distribution with several Jeans masses along the long axis and one Jeans mass across the short axis; with some initial angular momentum, provided by either slow rotation (Bonnell et al. 1991) or turbulence (Goodwin et al. 2007); the large cloud core fragments at Jeans scale into several dense cores, in which the separate protostellar collapse then starts and proceeds more quickly than across the whole structure (see, e.g., Mundy et al. 2001 and reference therein).

Numerical simulations also predict that the material collapses along the magnetic field lines while the fragmentation occurs in a plane perpendicular to the magnetic field. This is supported by our previous submillimeter polarimetric observations toward CG 30 (Henning et al. 2001). In contrast to a simple assumption that the angular momenta of two components will be parallel in the fragmentation, we find that the outflows, and hence the angular momenta (assumed to be parallel to the outflows), of the subcores are not aligned, neither in CG 30 nor in BHR 71. This phenomenon is also found in other binary protostars studied recently, e.g., CB 230 and L723 (Launhardt 2004; R. Launhardt et al., in preparation). This could mean that during core fragmentation the initial angular momentum is not evenly (in value and direction) divided between the subcores, although the mean direction is preserved all the time.

4.4. \( \text{N}_2\text{H}^+ \) vs. Dust vs. CO

From our observations toward Class 0 protostars conducted at OVRO (Paper I), ATCA (this work), and IRAM-PdBI (X. P. Chen et al., in preparation), we find that in most objects the millimeter-continuum source lies within the half-maximum level of the \( \text{N}_2\text{H}^+ \) emission. This good general agreement indicates that \( \text{N}_2\text{H}^+ \) is spatially associated with thermal dust in dense protostellar cores and cannot be significantly depleted like, e.g., CO and CS (see Bergin et al. 2001 and Caselli et al. 1999).

Figure 10 shows that the dust mass (converted into hydrogen gas mass) is in general correlated with both the \( \text{N}_2\text{H}^+ \) gas mass and the virial mass (both derived from the \( \text{N}_2\text{H}^+ \) emission). However, there is a significant scatter in both correlations, indicating that the agreement holds only within a factor of 2–2.5 (1σ scatter). This could be due to the fact that the millimeter dust-continuum emission traces mainly the dense structures (e.g., inner envelope or disk), while \( \text{N}_2\text{H}^+ \) emission traces the larger scale envelope (see, e.g., Figs. 1a and 2a) and hence reflects different masses on different scales. We also note that the \( \text{N}_2\text{H}^+ \) gas mass depends on the specific source morphology and chemistry, since it is quickly destroyed where CO is released from dust grain into the gas phase (see below). The estimated virial mass has also significant uncertainties because several sources are driving bipolar outflows and are probably no longer in virial equilibrium.

On the other hand, we also find that in most objects the morphology of the \( \text{N}_2\text{H}^+ \) emission is directly related to the jet/outflow actions. For example, in BHR 71, two \( \text{N}_2\text{H}^+ \) cores, located to the east and west of the outflow-driving source IRS 1, are rotating perpendicular to the outflow axis, and there is no \( \text{N}_2\text{H}^+ \) emission detected at the origin and along the large-scale CO outflow. These features suggest a large \( \text{N}_2\text{H}^+ \) hole has been formed, and the two cores may be the remnant of a \( \text{N}_2\text{H}^+ \) envelope.\(^10\) It is likely that a large amount of \( \text{N}_2\text{H}^+ \) in the way of the outflow has been depleted by CO molecules, which is one of the main destroyers of \( \text{N}_2\text{H}^+ \) in the gas phase (Aikawa et al. 2001). For this reason, we think that the emission at the position of IRS 2 (see Fig. 2b) is part of the structure around IRS 1 and does not originate from IRS 2.

Based on the observational results, we speculate that there are three stages of the interaction between \( \text{N}_2\text{H}^+ \) and jets/outflows.

1. When jets are ejected from a protostar, \( \text{N}_2\text{H}^+ \) molecules in the envelope are entrained and show a jetlike morphology in the images, e.g., L723 VLA 2 (Paper I) and CG 30N (this work).

2. Molecular outflows, following the jets, release CO from grain

\(^10\) For similar cases see low-mass protostars L483 (Jørgensen 2004) and IRAM 04191 (Belloche & André 2004).
surfaces back into the gas phase and start destroying the N₂H⁺ molecules on the way, leading to the observed hourglass structure perpendicular to the CO outflow axis, e.g., IRAS 03282+3035, IRAS 04166+2706, and CB 224 (see Paper I). (3) Large N₂H⁺ holes form in the envelopes, e.g., in BHR 71 IRS 1 (this work), L483 (Jørgensen 2004), and IRAM 04191 (Belloche & André 2004). However, there seems to be no clear correlation between this N₂H⁺/jet scenario and standard evolutionary scenario from Class 0 to Class I. For example, IRAM 04191 is a young Class 0 protostar but appears in the last stage, while CG 30N is a Class I object but appears in the first stage. We speculate that the appearance of the N₂H⁺ emission is strongly affected by outflow-envelope interaction, which depends on the specific envelope morphology and source multiplicity properties.

Fig. 10.—(a) N₂H⁺ gas mass (derived from N₂H⁺ line emission) vs. hydrogen gas mass (derived from 3 mm dust-continuum emission; the dust-continuum data of OVRO sample are taken from R. Launhardt et al. (in preparation), and (b) virial mass (derived from 3 mm dust-continuum emission; the dust-continuum data follow in this work).

5. SUMMARY

We have presented ATCA and Spitzer observations of the two isolated protostellar double cores CG 30 and BHR 71 in the southern sky. The main results of this work are summarized as follows.

1. The 3 mm dust-continuum image of CG 30 resolves two compact sources with a separation of ~21″ (8400 AU). In BHR 71, one strong dust-continuum source is detected at the position of mid-infrared source IRS 1, while only weak emission is detected from the secondary mid-infrared source IRS 2. The separation between IRS 1 and IRS 2 is ~17″ (3400 AU). Assuming optically thin dust emission, we derive hydrogen gas masses of 1.1 $M_\odot$ and 0.33 $M_\odot$ for northern and southern sources in CG 30, and 2.1 $M_\odot$ and 0.05 $M_\odot$ for IRS 1 and IRS 2 sources in BHR 71.

2. N₂H⁺ (1–0) emission is detected in both CG 30 and BHR 71. In CG 30, the two dust-continuum sources are directly associated with N₂H⁺ cores. In BHR 71, two N₂H⁺ cores are around the primary dust-continuum source, probably part of one large envelope, but no N₂H⁺ is detected at the position of the dust source. The secondary IR source is not detected in N₂H⁺.

3. The excitation temperatures of the N₂H⁺ line are 4.7–6.8 K for CG 30 and 3.9–4.4 K for BHR 71. The FWHM radii of N₂H⁺ cores range from 730 to 1700 AU. The average fractional abundances of N₂H⁺, derived from the ratio of N₂H⁺ gas mass to virial mass, is ~3.0 × 10⁻¹⁰, which is consistent with the results obtained in our previous study of the cores in northern sky. The observed mean N₂H⁺ line widths are ~0.5 km s⁻¹ for CG 30 and ~0.3 km s⁻¹ for BHR 71. The line widths are roughly constant within the interiors of the cores and large line widths only occur at the edges of the cores. The derived virial masses of the N₂H⁺ cores range from 0.1 to 0.6 $M_\odot$.

4. We derive the N₂H⁺ radial velocity fields for CG 30 and BHR 71. The two N₂H⁺ cores in CG 30 show systematic velocity gradients of ~24.4 and ~17.8 km s⁻¹ pc⁻¹ that are parallel to the outflow directions and could be affected by the outflows. In BHR 71, a systematic velocity gradient of ~7.8 km s⁻¹ pc⁻¹ across the two cores is perpendicular to the large-scale outflow and could be explained by rotation.

5. Assuming that the observed velocity gradients are due to core rotation (if perpendicular to outflow) or place an upper limit on rotation (if parallel to outflow), we estimate specific angular momenta of <0.30, <0.35, and ~0.51 × 10⁻¹² km s⁻¹ pc for CG 30N, CG 30S, and BHR 71, respectively. The ratios for the rotational energy to the gravitational potential energy for CG 30N, CG 30S, and BHR 71 are estimated to be <0.019, <0.014, and ~0.020, respectively.

6. Infrared emission from both subcores in both CG 30 and BHR 71 is detected at Spitzer IRAC bands and MIPS bands. Each source is driving its own outflow, as seen in the shock-excited 4.5 μm infrared images. CG 30N is associated with a Herbig-Haro flow, while the southern source is driving a large bipolar jet. In BHR 71, both IRS 1 and IRS 2 are associated with Herbig-Haro objects and driving bipolar jets which coincide spatially with the CO outflows.

7. By fitting the spectral energy distributions, we derive the dust temperature, bolometric temperature, and bolometric luminosity of the sources. We find that CG 30N is a Class I object while the southern source is a Class 0 protostar. In BHR 71, the properties of IRS 1 resemble a Class 0/I transition object, while IRS 2 is a Class 0 protostar. We speculate that the sources may nevertheless be coeval but that this evolutionary discrepancy is due to unequal masses and evolutionary speeds.
8. Based on the morphologies and velocity structures, we suggest that the double cores in CG 30 were formed by initial fragmentation of a filamentary prestellar core, while BHR 71 may originate from rotational fragmentation of a single collapsing protostellar core. We also find that the angular momenta of the subcores are not aligned in either pair of sources.

9. Our observations conducted at OVRO and ATCA show a close correlation between thermal dust emission and N$_2$H$^+$. The N$_2$H$^+$ emission in most sources is spatially associated and quantitatively correlated with the dust-continuum emission. However, we also find a strong relationship between the morphology of the N$_2$H$^+$ emission and the jet/outflow actions. Outflows first seem to entrain N$_2$H$^+$ and then gradually destroy it, which leads to the observed jetlike hourglass-shaped intensity maps and N$_2$H$^+$ hole.
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