**Medicago truncatula** and **Glycine max**: Different Drought Tolerance and Similar Local Response of the Root Nodule Proteome
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**ABSTRACT:** Legume crops present important agronomical and environmental advantages mainly due to their capacity to reduce atmospheric N₂ to ammonium via symbiotic nitrogen fixation (SNF). This process is very sensitive to abiotic stresses such as drought, but the mechanism underlying this response is not fully understood. The goal of the current work is to compare the drought response of two legumes with high economic impact and research importance, **Medicago truncatula** and **Glycine max**, by characterizing their root nodule proteomes. Our results show that, although **M. truncatula** exhibits lower water potential values under drought conditions compared to **G. max**, SNF declined analogously in the two legumes. Both of their nodule proteomes are very similar, and comparable down-regulation responses in the diverse protein functional groups were identified (mainly proteins related to the metabolism of carbon, nitrogen, and sulfur). We suggest lipoxygenases and protein turnover as newly recognized players in SNF regulation. Partial drought conditions applied to a split-root system resulted in the local down-regulation of the entire proteome of drought-stressed nodules in both legumes. The high degree of similarity between both legume proteomes suggests that the vast amount of research conducted on **M. truncatula** could be applied to economically important legume crops, such as soybean.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Grain and forage legumes are grown on around 15% of the Earth’s arable surface. The grain legume **Glycine max** (soybean) is an important source of protein for humans and animals, as well as vegetable oil. Soybean’s economic importance and the large number of researchers who work on it have contributed to the development of molecular, genetic, and genomic tools for the species. Similarly, in recent decades, **Medicago truncatula**, a forage legume, has emerged as a useful model for molecular studies. The availability of the complete genome sequences of **M. truncatula** and the soybean plant has facilitated the genomic and proteomic studies of these two species. Having the genome available is key for protein identification by mass spectrometry and, consequently, for proteomic research. Diverse proteome studies of different plant organs, cell cultures, and organelles have been conducted on **M. truncatula** and **G. max** under different abiotic stress conditions (for a review, see refs 7 and 8, respectively). The plant fraction of **M. truncatula** nodules subjected to drought has been characterized, with a nodule proteome database for this species being established. Additionally, a brief study on soybean nodule proteins under drought stress has also been documented. Apart from this, the drought response of legume plants has hardly been investigated at the proteome level.

Legumes have the ability to carry out symbiotic nitrogen fixation (SNF) with nitrogen-fixing soil bacteria known as rhizobia. Legumes can be classified into amide or ureide exporters according to the compounds used for transporting the fixed N. In general, amide-exporting legumes, such as **M. truncatula**, contain indeterminate-type nodules and originated in temperate regions. They transport amides, mainly in the form of asparagine and glutamine. Ureide-exporting legumes, such as soybeans, are mostly tropical legumes with determinate-type nodules and transport mainly allantoin and allantoic acid. Despite the agronomical and environmental advantages of legume crops, their production is limited by environmental constraints, drought being one of the most harmful stresses. The regulation of SNF under drought conditions involves various factors, mainly internal oxygen availability, N-feedback regulation, and carbon limitation. Despite research in the field, the molecular-level interactions between the cited factors and the SNF regulation mechanism(s) are not fully understood. Recently, the local regulation of SNF in diverse legumes, including **M. truncatula** and soybean plants, has been demonstrated under drought stress. These studies
dismiss the generally accepted role of amides and ureides as being the molecules involved in inhibiting SNF under drought conditions. However, it remains unclear whether there are local changes in the nodule proteome, as in the SNF process, or whether systemic signals are involved. Moreover, it has been reported that SNF is a more drought-sensitive process in ureide-exporting nodules, such as those of soybean plants, than in the amide exporters such as *Medicago*. Nevertheless, reports concerning the possibly distinct responses to drought stress of ureide- versus amide-exporting legumes are rare.

In the present study, the drought response of the nodule proteome of two model legumes, *M. truncatula* and *G. max*, is compared, leading to the identification of shared stress responses as well as unique proteome features of the two legumes. By using a split-root system (SRS), whereby watered and drought-treated nodules shared the same aerial part, one can observe the local effect of drought stress in the legume nodule proteome resulting in a greater understanding of the molecular mechanisms regulating SNF. Additionally, this is the first study demonstrating that comparative proteomics across related species can improve the functional proteome characterization. The work demonstrates a strategy for determining how molecular data may be transferable between legume species, such as from model legumes to crop legume species, which is an important step forward for the legume research community.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Plant Growth Conditions, Split-Root System, and Drought-Stress Treatment**

Nodulated *M. truncatula* Gaertn. cv. Jemalong A17 and *G. max* (L.) Merr. plants were grown under controlled environmental conditions (14 h photoperiod; 400 (μmol m⁻² s⁻¹) light intensity; 22 °C and 16 °C day and night temperature; 60 to 70% relative humidity) for 12 and 6 weeks, respectively, in intensity; 22 °C. The *M. truncatula* and *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* strain UPM752 inoculated with N₂ were grown under controlled environmental conditions (14 h photoperiod; 200 (μmol m⁻² s⁻¹) light intensity; 22 °C and 16 °C day and night temperature; 60 to 70% relative humidity) for 12 and 6 weeks, respectively, in intensity; 22 °C. The *M. truncatula* and *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* strain UPM752 inoculated with N₂ were grown under controlled environmental conditions (14 h photoperiod; 200 (μmol m⁻² s⁻¹) light intensity; 22 °C and 16 °C day and night temperature; 60 to 70% relative humidity) for 12 and 6 weeks, respectively, in intensity; 22 °C.

The plants were randomly separated into three sets: controls (C) received a daily supply of nutrient solution to which water and nutrients. In partial drought plants (PD), one half of the root system was kept at −80 °C for 30 min. Supernatants were collected, and soluble proteins were precipitated overnight at −20 °C after adding 5 volumes of precooled acetone. Pellets were dried, and the pellets were stored at −80 °C until use.

**Physiological Characterization**

Transpiration was gravimetrically determined on a daily basis. Leaf water potential (Ψleaf) was measured using a pressure chamber (Soil Moisture Equipment, Santa Barbara, CA). The water potential of detached nodules (Ψnodule) was measured in CS2 sample chambers coupled to a Wescor HR-33T Dew Point Microvoltmeter (Wescor, Logan, UT). SNF was measured as apparent nitrogenase activity (ANA) using an electrochemical H₂ sensor (Qubit System).

**Proteomic Analysis, Composite Protein FASTA File Generation, and Combined Mapman Mapping File**

Frozen nodules (0.1 g of fresh weight) were homogenized in a mortar and pestle with 2 mL of extraction buffer (50 mM Hepes pH 7.5, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM KCl, 2 mM MgCl₂, 2.5% (w/v) PVP, and 1 mM PMSF). The homogenates were centrifuged at 20000g at 4 °C for 30 min. Supernatants were collected, and soluble proteins were precipitated overnight at −20 °C after adding 5 volumes of precooled acetone. Pellets were redried and resuspended in 300 μL of solubilization buffer (8 M urea buffer, 100 mM NH₄HCO₃, pH 8–8.5, 5 mM DTT).

The samples were diluted (1:4) in buffer (25 mM NH₄HCO₃, pH 8–8.5, 10% (v/v) acetonitrile and 5 mM CaCl₂) and aliquots containing 100 μg of protein were digested overnight at 37 °C under rotation using Poroszyme-immobilized trypsin beads (1:20, v/v, Applied Biosystems, Life Technologies). After centrifugation for bead removal, the obtained peptide mixtures were desalted using SPEC C18 columns according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Varian, Agilent Technologies). Finally, the desalted digest solutions were dried, and the pellets were stored at −80 °C until use.

Prior to the mass spectrometric measurement, the protein digest pellets were dissolved in 0.1% (v/v) formic acid. The protein digests (5 μg) were analyzed via shotgun nano-LC-ultra (Eksigent system, Axel Semrau GmbH) using a monolithic reversed-phase column (Chromolith 150 × 0.1 mm; Merck, Darmstadt) directly coupled to an LTQ-Orbitrap XL mass spectrometer (Thermo Scientific, Rockford, IL) operated with Xcalibur (2.0.7 SP1) as described elsewhere. The peptides were eluted with a 10 µmin duration from 5% to 60% acetonitrile. An Orbitrap-FT analyzer was used for precursor MS1, and an LTQ-MS/MS was used for MS2 mass analyses, respectively. CID was performed at a normalized collision energy of 35. Dynamic exclusion settings were as described in ref 18. The mass window for precursor selection was set to 10 ppm with a resolution of 30,000 in a mass range from 400 to 1,800 m/z.

After the performance of the mass spectrometric analyses, the raw files were searched against a composite protein FASTA file using the Sequest algorithm. The composite protein FASTA file was created by fusing the following databases. For *M. truncatula*: (1) Uniprot UniRef100 Medicago; origin: www.uniprot.org. The search was performed on May 7, 2013; 54 246 entries. (2) IMGA; origin: http://medicago.org/, 64 123 entries. (3) DCFI; origin: http://compbio.dfci.harvard.edu/, 59 601 entries, For *G. max*: (1) Uniprot UniRef100 G. max; origin: www.uniprot.org. The search was performed on May 15, 2013; 70 318 entries. (2) Gmax_189_protein_annotated.fasta; from phytomize/v9.0/Gmax/assembly/JGI assembly with 73 320 entries. (3) DCFI; origin: http://compbio.dfci.harvard.edu/. Uniprot accession or gene names missing in the originally used version of the FASTA file were added for those proteins presented in the tables in cases when this was available in May 2015.

All nucleotide FASTA files were translated into amino acid sequences selecting only the longest ORF per accession number using “sixpack” from the Jemboss 1.5 toolbox. The three *M. truncatula* protein FASTA files described above, as well as the common contaminants, were combined, producing a new FASTA containing 130 824 entries, which will henceforth be referred to as MT-fasta. Similarly, the three *G. max* FASTA files described above were combined, producing a new FASTA containing 209 273 entries, which will be referred to as GM-fasta.

Protein sequences that were 100% identical in sequence and length were combined by subsequently adding one header after the other, separating them using the following characters “
A new Mapman mapping file was created from the newly generated FASTA files of the two species, using Mercator.22 The two mapping files were then added together to make a single, combined mapping where the two species were distinguished by assigning MT accessions as “P” (usually used for proteins) and GM protein entries as “T” (normally used to display transcripts).

**BLAST Table Generation**

MT-fastas were blasted against GM-fastas and vice versa. The latter task was performed using the standalone NCBI blastp version 2.2.26+ with the default matrix (Blosum 62). Using these results, tables for *Medicago*-against-*Glycine* and *Glycine*-against-*Medicago* results were created. Only hits below an e value of 0.001 were considered; if subsequent hits yielded the same e value as the previous one, they were included; a column was introduced indicating if the query and hit pair of one results table was equivalent in the other. With the exception of BLAST, all data was processed using Python version 2.7.3 employing in-house scripts.

**Statistical Analysis**

For physiological measurements, the normal distribution of the samples was checked via Shapiro–Wilk tests and the homogeneity of variances via Levene’s test. Significant differences between treatments were determined using one-way ANOVA. If significant differences between means were obtained, then comparisons between each treatment and its control were performed using the LSD test. Differences were considered to be significant at \( P \leq 0.05 \).

Relative changes in protein abundance were calculated for the proteomic data. Using the average spectral count values from five biological replicates, the log2 ratios (treatment/control) were calculated. Proteins that were found in at least one treatment and in at least three replicates were used for quantification. Those proteins showing more than a twofold change in relative abundance and significance differences (Student’s t test, \( P \leq 0.05 \)) between treatments were used in the Venn diagram and to illustrate relationships between the various treatments.

**RESULTS**

SRS experiments were performed to examine the response of one side of the root system to the water deprivation experienced by the other side and to identify both local and systemic changes of the nodule proteome in response to drought stress. Any changes occurring in the untreated side of the root system result from the altered water status of the other root fraction, denoting that systemic responses are taking place. A local response occurs in those organs directly exposed to drought conditions.

**Physiological Characterization of Plants Subjected to Partial Drought**

A scheme of the main physiological responses observed in both species in partial drought conditions is presented in Figure 2. The physiological characteristics of both legumes, the amide exporter *M. truncatula* and the ureide exporter *G. max*, are discussed together to highlight the similarities and the differences between both plants after 7 days without being irrigated. Detailed time-course studies describing the physiological responses of both legumes showed a local SNF drought response.10,13

D plants showed a significant decline in \( \Psi_w \) while PD plants maintained their \( \Psi_w \) in the same range as C plants. Just as with leaves, a decline in \( \Psi_w \) was also ascertained for D nodules compared to C in both legumes. PDD nodules also suffered a significant decline in \( \Psi_w \) reaching similar values to those of the D nodules in *M. truncatula*, while in soybean plants the drop was slightly less. On the irrigated side of PD plants, however, PDC nodules had similar \( \Psi_w \) values to C in both species. It is worth noting that the leaf and nodule \( \Psi_w \) in C conditions were different for each legume, the \( \Psi_w \) of soybean plants being closer to zero. The transpiration rate was higher in soybean plants than in *M. truncatula*, but the decreasing trend shown in both species was similar. After 7 days of water deprivation, transpiration rates decreased in D plants compared to those in C conditions. However, in PD plants, the decline was less and similar in both (26% in soybean plants and 25% in *M. truncatula*).
Similar to the pattern observed for $\Psi_{\text{nodule}}$, differential ANA behavior was observed between the PDC and PDD root systems. Drought stress caused a 90% and a 95% reduction of ANA in *M. truncatula* PDD and D nodules, respectively, when compared to C plants, while PDC had values close to those of C. In soybeans, however, the decline of 93% in D was similar to that seen in *M. truncatula*, while the 46% decrease seen in PDD was less. PDC maintained similar ANA values to C, just as was observed in *M. truncatula*.

**Nodule Proteome Profile and Functional Classification**

The nodule proteins were analyzed to compare the response to drought stress of both legumes. The mass spectra obtained were searched against MT-fasta and GM-fasta. This resulted in a stringent identification of 304 *M. truncatula* plant nodule proteins and 341 soybean plant nodule proteins. The complete lists of identified proteins and their spectral count values for relative quantification are included in the Supporting Information in tables in the electronic appendix (Table S2 for *M. truncatula* proteins and Table S3 for soybean proteins).

**Figure 2.** Overview of the effect on leaf water potential, transpiration rate, nodule water potential, and apparent nitrogenase activity in *M. truncatula* and *G. max* plants exposed to 7 day partial drought treatment. Values represent mean ± SE ($n = 3$). For each parameter and species, significant differences ($P \leq 0.05$) between treatments were denoted by different letters. Red arrows indicate a decreasing trend of different parameters, and the check mark symbols denote unchanged parameters.

**Table 1. Extracted List of 14 Proteins (From All Identified Proteins) With High Sequence Similarity ($e$ value $\leq 0.001$) between *M. truncatula* and *G. max* Databases for Improved Functional Annotation**

| MEDTR accession | protein description | GLYMA accession | protein description |
|------------------|---------------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| A2Q2 V1          | citrate lyase a-subunit | I1L0Q8          | uncharacterized protein |
| B7FL39           | 12-oxophytodienoate reductase | I1JAQ7          | uncharacterized protein |
| B7FL28           | similar to putative uncharacterized protein | Q06453          | 14-3-3-like protein D |
| G7I8P7           | 26S proteasome regulatory particle triple-A ATPase protein | I1NJ15          | uncharacterized protein |
| A0A072VE66       | glutamate synthase | Q01915          | ATP synthase subunit a |
| A0A072VT43       | elongation factor EF-2 | I1KU21          | uncharacterized protein |
| G7I9Q9           | uncharacterized protein | C6T7F3          | uncharacterized protein |
| G7IAA2           | adenosine kinase | I1LD52          | uncharacterized protein |
| G7IAJ4           | neutral invertase-like protein | C6SWY6         | uncharacterized protein |
| G7INB7           | ABA-responsive protein ABR17 | I1KJ12         | uncharacterized protein |
| G7JN9            | cysteine synthase | Glyma02g15640.1 | uncharacterized protein |
| G7KXR2           | transaldolase | I1KJ12          | uncharacterized protein |
| G7L970           | alanine aminotransferase | I1NHW4         | uncharacterized protein |
| Q1RSH4           | chaperonin CPN60--2 | I1NHW4         | uncharacterized protein |

*The full list of highly similar proteins (∼60) is available in Table S1.*
For an overview of the proteome in control conditions, the Mapman database was employed and proteins were classified into 20 functional groups (Figure 1). The largest groups for number of identified proteins were protein regulation, amino acids and N-metabolism, and redox and stress. In general, the distribution of the proteins in the different functional groups was similar in both legumes with the exception of lipid metabolism and nucleotide metabolism, which were much more abundant in soybeans (Figure 1). In the context of relative protein abundance, both in M. truncatula and G. max, proteins from the redox and glycolysis functional groups were the most abundant (Figure 1). According to the BLAST comparison, from the protein ID list for the two species, 134 proteins were found to have a high degree of similarity (e value ≤ 0.001) between M. truncatula and G. max (Table S1), with 14 proteins being unique; that is to say that they only matched with one protein from the other species (Table 1). Among the 134 highly similar proteins, 54 changed significantly in the drought comparisons in either M. truncatula or G. max (Table S1 in bold).

Local Changes in the Proteome Profiles of M. truncatula and G. max Subjected to Partial Drought

Venn diagrams were employed to examine the drought response of the nodule proteomes (Figure 3). The Gene Ontology Annotation Database from UniProt was utilized to classify proteins into ten functional groups for both M. truncatula and G. max. These diagrams provided an important overview of whether the changes represented specific or common responses. Of the relatively quantified proteins in Medicago, 28 changed significantly and exclusively in the total drought comparison (D/C), 17 proteins were altered exclusively in the partial drought comparison (PDD/PDC), and 16 proteins were shared between both comparisons (Figure 3). In soybean, however, 20 proteins varied significantly and exclusively in the total drought comparison (D/C), 15 were altered in the partial drought comparison (PDD/PDC), and 4 were shared between both comparisons (Figure 3). The main functional groups that changed in the total (D/C) and partial drought (PDD/PDC) comparisons in both legumes were the glycolysis and TCA cycle and amino acid metabolism, followed by redox- and stress-related proteins (Figure 3). It should be noted that in soybean, the proteins with an unknown function represent almost 49% of the studied proteins while in M. truncatula, these are around 6%. The identified proteins that change exclusively in total or partial drought comparisons are listed in Table 2 for M. truncatula and Table 3 for G. max; unknown proteins are not displayed. A common pattern was observed in all the identified proteins: a decrease in the relative content of proteins from the treatments directly subjected to drought (D and PDD) in comparison with their controls (C and PDC) (Tables 2 and 3 and Figure 3). Glycolysis and TCA was the most numerous group that changed in total and partial drought comparisons, and similar proteins were altered significantly in both legumes (mainly sucrose synthase (SuSy), fructose-bisphosphate aldolase (FBPA), phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase (PEPC), and malate dehydrogenase (MDH) (Tables 2 and 3). Amino-acid metabolism was the second largest protein functional group and included nitrogen assimilation enzymes such as aspartate aminotransferase (AAT), glutamate synthase (GOGAT), glutamine synthetase (GS), and asparagine synthetase (AS); as well as enzymes involved in the metabolism of sulfur-containing amino acids (Tables 2 and 3). In M. truncatula, protein synthesis and degradation and nitrogen fixation-related proteins were also numerous, while this latter functional group was almost absent in soybean plants (Figure 3 and Tables 2 and 3). Additionally in soybean, in contrast to M. truncatula, some exceptions were...
Table 2. Changes in *M. truncatula* Nodule Proteins after Drought Treatment

| Accession no. | SC | Protein description |
|---------------|----|---------------------|
| **Nitrogen fixation** | | |
| Similar to G7K03 | | Leghemoglobin 1 |
| G7K159 | | Leghemoglobin |
| G7K65 | | Leghemoglobin 2 |
| G7K79 | | Leghemoglobin |
| A0A4I7VG05 | | Early nodulin |
| G7K02 | | Leghemoglobin |
| G7K127 | | Leghemoglobin |
| **Glycolysis/TCA** | | |
| Q78M6 | | Succrose synthase |
| G78L2 | | Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase |
| A0A4I7VVG3 | | Similar to Fruuctose-bisphosphate aldolase |
| G7190 | | Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase |
| G7186 | | Phosphoglycerate kinase |
| G7157 | | Carbonic anhydrase |
| G7K84 | | Fruuctose-bisphosphate aldolase |
| B7P9 | | Malate dehydrogenase |
| Contig_69163 | | Phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase |
| A0A4I7VMC4 | | Homologue to Malate dehydrogenase |
| G7K71 | | UTP-glucose 1-phosphate uridylyltransferase |
| G7187 | | Phosphoenolpyruvate-carboxylase |
| A0A4I7VQ67 | | Malate dehydrogenase |
| G7185 | | 6-phosphofructokinase |
| G7183 | | 2,3-bisphosphoglycerate-independent phosphoglycerate mutase |
| A0A4I7V8P8 | | Similar to Lectin-related polypeptide |
| A0A4I7VT40 | | Homologue to Sucrose synthase |
| A0A4I7VUQ9 | | Phosphorylase |
| G7T7Z4 | | Aconitate hydratase |
| **Protein synthesis and degradation** | | |
| B7P9 | | 14-3-3 protein |
| G71P2 | | Peptidyl-prolyl cis-trans isomerase |
| G7187 | | Alpha-tubulin |
| A0A4I7V8 | | Alpha-tubulin |
| A0A4I7VUQ9 | | Elongation factor EF-2 |
| G7187 | | 14-3-3-like protein |
| G7187 | | 14-3-3-like protein |
| G7187 | | Elongation factor 1-alpha |
| G7187 | | Cysteine proteinase |
| **Lipid biosynthesis** | | |
| G7187 | | Lipoygenase |
| G7187 | | Lipoygenase |
| **Nucleotide metabolism** | | |
| B7F8 | | Adenylate kinase B |
| T1881B0 | | Nucleoside diphosphate kinase 1 |
| G7T882 | | PIkB family carbohydrate kinase |
| **Redox and Stress** | | |
| G738 | | Cytosolic ascorbate peroxidase |
| G718R2 | | Heat shock protein |
| G718J0 | | Heat shock protein |
| G718T9 | | Heat shock protein |

“Proteins exhibiting significant changes exclusively in the total (D/C, in normal black) and partial (PDD/PDC, in blue italics) drought comparisons from Venn diagrams are listed below (n = 5, P ≤ 0.05, and fold change ≥ 2). Unknown proteins are not displayed. Proteins shared between both groups are shown in bold red. Protein accessions (UniprotKB) and gene codes are given if available. SC refers to the average spectral counts for each treatment: C is shown in black, PDC is striped grey, PDD is striped white, and the D samples are white.

They found to the general pattern of decline in D and PDD nodules. A total of three redox- and stress-related proteins presented a higher relative content in PDD nodules in comparison with PDC (an In2-1 protein and two ATP synthase proteins) (Table
The proteins that showed the highest significant fold-change were two SuSys (P13708; Glyma13g17421.1 and I1L1U4; Glyma09g08550.5) in soybean plants and one PEPC (G7IH71), two AS (TC180197; O24483; and G7JZK0) and a lipoxygenase (G7LIY0) in M. truncatula.

Systemic Changes in the Proteome Profiles of M. truncatula and G. max Subjected to Partial Drought

The main feature of the SRS experimental setup is that it enables the identification of systemic changes. Proteins responding systemically have been categorized by comparing the proteins from C nodules with those from PDC nodules. Therefore, significant changes in the PDC nodules in comparison with those in C conditions were understood as systemic changes caused by drought stress. The proteins that changed systemically are listed in Table 4; unknown proteins are excluded. From the relatively quantified proteins, 25% changed systemically in Medicago and 10% in soybean (Figure 3). An overall and marked increase in the relative quantification of PDC proteins compared with C conditions was seen as being a general systemic response in both legumes (Table 4). The only exception to this general pattern was an uncharacterized soybean protein (I1KK66 and Glyma07g16910.1) (Table S3). The rest of the proteins that varied significantly in the systemic comparison (PDC/C) also altered significantly in the total drought comparison (D/C: 2 proteins in M. truncatula and 7 proteins in soybean), in the partial drought comparison (PDD/PDC: 19 proteins in M. truncatula and 4 proteins in soybean) or were divided among the three comparisons (one protein in soybean and 6 in M. truncatula) (Figure 3).

The most numerous functional groups in the systemic comparison (Table 4) were glycolysis and TCA cycle and protein synthesis and degradation, which was in agreement with the local response of the proteome (Figure 3). From the 13 proteins that changed in the systemic comparison (Figure 3) in soybean plants, only two were characterized: a transketolase (Glyma03g03200.1) that also altered in the partial drought comparison and a peptidyl-prolyl cis–trans isomerase (K7LSN8 and Glyma12g02790.2) that was shared between all of the comparisons (Table 4).

**DISCUSSION**

Drought Tolerance of M. truncatula and G. max

The expansion of water-stressed areas as a result of an increased human population makes it essential to improve legume

---

Table 3. Changes in G. max Nodule Plant Proteins after Drought Treatment

| Accession no. | SC  | Protein description          | Glycolysis/TCA |
|---------------|-----|-----------------------------|----------------|
| I1L300; Glyma13g07710.1 | C   | Fructose-bisphosphate aldolase |                 |
| I1MTU1; Glyma17g10880.2  | C   | Malate dehydrogenase         |                 |
| I1KWM7; Glyma08g28320.1  | C   | 6-phosphogluconate dehydrogenase, decarboxylating | |
| Q0F925; Glyma13g35310.2  | C   | Phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase |            |
| Q0F372; Glyma13g28580.1  | C   | Glucan endo-1,3-beta-glucohydrolase |          |
| I1L1G1; Glyma13g21540.1  | C   | Fructose-bisphosphate aldolase |                 |
| K7MIY9; Glyma17g05067.1  | PDC | Sucrose synthase             |                 |
| P13700; Glyma13g17421.1  | C   | Sucrose synthase             |                 |
| I1L1U4; Glyma09g08550.5  | C   | Sucrose synthase             |                 |
| I1L300; Glyma03g14980.1  | C   | Fructose-bisphosphate aldolase |            |
| C6T5X6; Glyma03g04990.2  | C   | Cysteine synthase            |                 |
| H1C67; Glyma09g01530.1   | C   | Cysteine synthase            |                 |
| H1MRW7; Glyma17g04330.1  | C   | S-adenylylmethionine synthase |             |
| O6854E; Glyma09g08670.1  | C   | Aspartate aminotransferase    |                 |
| Q0F454; Glyma13g35600.1  | C   | Glutamine synthetase         |                 |

**Table 4. Changes in G. max Nodule Plant Proteins after Drought Treatment**

| Accession no. | SC  | Protein description          | Lipid Biosynthesis |
|---------------|-----|-----------------------------|-------------------|
| I1M20; Glyma03g03400.2 | C   | Lipoxygenase               |                   |
| I1K306; Glyma07g35910.2 | C   | Lipoxygenase               |                   |

**Table 5. Changes in G. max Nodule Plant Proteins after Drought Treatment**

| Accession no. | SC  | Protein description          | Redox and stress |
|---------------|-----|-----------------------------|------------------|
| Q0F375; Glyma13g35310.1 | C   | In-2-1 protein              |                  |
| I1N7N4; Glyma12g2990.1  | C   | ATP synthase subunit beta   |                  |
| Glyma12g41310.1         | C   | ATP synthase alpha/beta family protein | |

**Table 6. Changes in G. max Nodule Plant Proteins after Drought Treatment**

| Accession no. | SC  | Protein description          | Nucleotide metabolism |
|---------------|-----|-----------------------------|-----------------------|
| I1M20; Glyma03g04140.1 | C   | Inosine-5’-monophosphate dehydrogenase |             |
| Q7X86; Glyma12g2990.1  | C   | Glycinamide ribonucleotide transformylase |             |
| I1K306; Glyma07g24460.1 | C   | Phosphoribosylformylglycinamidine cyclo-ligase | |

3 and Figure 3). The proteins that showed the highest significant fold-change were two SuSys (P13708; Glyma13g17421.1 and I1L1U4; and Glyma09g08550.5) in soybean plants and one PEPC (G7IH71), two AS (TC180197; O24483; and G7JZK0) and a lipoxygenase (G7LIY0) in M. truncatula.
drought tolerance to ensure sustainable food production in the near future.\(^1\) Therefore, comparing the drought response of two economically important legumes is of interest to the research community. In this study, \(M.\) \(truncatula\) presented lower \(\Psi_w\) values compared to soybean plants in all treatments and in both organs, leaves, and nodules,\(^10,13\) indicating a higher tolerance of the amide-exporting legume to water de
dicit as previously suggested.\(^14\) Additionally, \(M.\) \(truncatula\) D plants showed greater stomatal closure and, consequently, enhanced control of water loss,\(^10,13\) something that could ultimately imply increased tolerance to more arid soils compared with soybean. The decline of \(\Psi_nodule\) in the partial drought treatment showed a similar pattern in both legumes, although the drop in \(M.\) \(truncatula\) PDD nodules was higher and closer to the D treatment than the decline observed in soybean PDD \(\Psi_nodule\).\(^10,13\) Differences in the nodule anatomy and metabolism of both legumes, determined in soybean and undetermined in \(M.\) \(truncatula\), may explain the observed variations. Research on nodule transport under water de
cit conditions, although somewhat scarce in the literature, could shed some light on the mechanism behind the observed differences.

Translating the \(M.\) \(truncatula\) Proteome to That of the Soybean

Despite some encouraging messages,\(^23\) it has been questioned whether the advances in \(M.\) \(truncatula\) "omics" can be applied to crop legumes.\(^24,25\) The genome conservation between \(M.\) \(truncatula\) and crop legumes has been examined\(^26,27\) and considerable synteny between \(M.\) \(truncatula\) and the pea (\(Pisum\) \(sativum\))\(^26,28\) and between \(M.\) \(truncatula\) and \(G.\) \(max\) has been found.\(^26,29,30\) Our results show that both nodule proteomes are highly similar (Table 1 and Table S1), and that the distribution of proteins within the 20 functional groups in control conditions was comparable (Figure 1). A total of two main differences were observed in the nodule proteome of soybean plants: a large number of lipid and nucleotide metabolism-related proteins as well as numerous uncharacterized proteins (Figure 1). The large number of lipid metabolism proteins could be partly explained by the high number of lipoxygenases (LOX), proteins that catalyze the oxygenation of polyunsaturated fatty acids.\(^31\) In soybean plants, this family contains 19 genes, each encoding one particular subtype of LOX.\(^32\) Likewise, the higher number of nucleotide metabolism proteins identified may be related to the fact that soybean is a ureide-exporting legume. Ureide biosynthesis involves the incorporation of amino acids through the purine pathway to ultimately form ureides,\(^33\) in contrast to \(M.\) \(truncatula\), an amide-exporting legume, that assimilates the reduced N\(_2\) into amino acids (for a review, see ref \(^34\)).

Comparing the \(M.\) \(truncatula\) and soybean databases it can be seen that, although the soybean genome is almost completely sequenced\(^6\) and extensive work is being conducted for developing diverse molecular tools,\(^35\) the soybean protein databases are not fully annotated. The vast database comparison undertaken in our study and the finding of a high degree of similarity between protein sequences from GM-
Local Nodule Proteome Regulation in the Drought-Stressed M. truncatula and Soybean

Previous studies showed that the inhibition of SNF in various legumes, including the pea, *M. truncatula*, and soybean, was local but the origin of the regulation, local or systemic, of diverse metabolic processes is still unknown. This proteomic study is aimed at clarifying whether the changes in the nodule proteome of soybean and *M. truncatula* are local or systemic in origin, and we discuss the similarities and differences between the two proteomes.

Within the set of proteins found to be highly similar in both species, several changed significantly under drought stress (Table 1 and Table S1). This reinforces the resemblances between the two legumes, not only at the proteome level and in the distribution of proteins among the functional groups (Figure 1) but also in the relative protein abundance changes in both legumes in response to drought. In this sense, in the two legumes there was a general local decrease (in the partial and total drought treatments) in nodule proteins after 7 days of water deprivation. Our research showed that carbon, nitrogen, and sulfur metabolism were the most altered processes in the drought-stressed nodules of *M. truncatula* and soybean plants. The global decline in the relative content of proteins linked with the glycolysis and TCA cycle in the total and partial drought treatments (Figure 3 and Tables 2 and 3), including SuSy, FBPA, PEPC, and MDH, among others, which catalyze the transformation of sucrose to malate to supply the bacteroids with carbon (for a review, see ref 34), points to a reduction in carbon metabolism and, therefore, a diminution in the energy source for SNF in the drought-treated nodules of both legumes. The role of carbon metabolism in the regulation of SNF under drought stress has been reviewed elsewhere, and the decrease in activity of the cited proteins in diverse grain-legume nodules when water-deprived has been widely demonstrated.

The proven key role of SuSy in the inhibition of SNF in drought-stressed soybean nodules seems secondary in forage legumes. In our results, three SuSys were significantly affected in D and PDD soybean nodules, as well as a further one in *M. truncatula* (Tables 2 and 3). Similarly, many amino-acid-metabolism-related proteins declined in the PDD and D nodules, denoting a down-regulation in nodule nitrogen assimilation when plants are subjected to drought stress. In addition to a decrease of primary nitrogen assimilation proteins, a local down-regulation of nodule proteins related to sulfur metabolism was recorded in both legumes (Tables 2 and 3). Cysteine biosynthesis in plants marks the connection between nitrogen and sulfur metabolism. Sulfur metabolism is known to be very active in legume nodules and its involvement in the response to water deficit in *M. truncatula* nodules was suggested for the first time by. Here, the decrease in relative content of Cysteine synthase and S-adenosylmethionine synthase (SAMS) in D and PDD nodules in both legumes was measured (Tables 2 and 3) because this had been noted previously in diverse legume roots and nodules. SAMS, which responds to various stress conditions in plants, catalyzes the adenosylation of methionine to form S-adenosylmethionine, a precursor of polyamines and ethylene. It could be presumed that ethylene is reduced under drought stress, and that this could have possible implications in SNF signaling and regulation. However, the question of whether ethylene acts as a signaling mechanism to regulate SNF during drought stress remains unanswered.

Together with the above-cited proteins, the relative content of LOX proteins declines significantly in the D and PDD nodules of both legumes (Tables 2 and 3). Furthermore, in *M. truncatula*, one of the nodule proteins that decreased the most after the water deprivation treatment was a LOX (G7LIY0) (Table 2 and Table S2). LOX proteins have been identified in numerous legume nodules, and it has been suggested that they play a role in nodule development. However, this is the first time LOX proteins have been implicated in the response of the nodule proteome to drought stress. LOXs catalyze the oxygenation of polyunsaturated fatty acids, which can be further metabolized into volatile aldehydes and jasmonates in plants. These molecules play important signaling roles in defense processes, responses to biotic and abiotic stresses, and in plant growth and development. It is known that nodules express a variety of LOXs in diverse tissues indicating their possible different functions. However, more research needs to be conducted to further understand the implication of LOX in the metabolism of legume nodules when subjected to drought stress.

The major differences in the responses of the two nodule proteomes to drought was the large number of SNF, protein synthesis, and degradation enzymes that changed significantly in *M. truncatula* and that were absent in soybean plants or were, at least, not annotated. Once again, it should be pointed out that the soybean database had a high number of uncharacterized proteins (Figure 3 and Table S3). The decline in protein biosynthesis components in drought-stressed nodules reinforces the hypothesis that amino acids accumulate in drought-stressed *M. truncatula* nodules due to their reduced incorporation into proteins.

New Metabolic Arrangement in Nodules on the Watered Side of Legumes in Partial-Drought Conditions

Along with the local drought-driven proteome changes, the SRS allows the systemic changes occurring at the proteome level after a drought treatment to be revealed. Changes are considered systemic when significant variations in the PDC/C ratios are observed. In our work, there was no systemic reduction of *M. truncatula* and soybean PDC nodule proteins similar to that found for the local inhibition of the SNF process; instead, there was a significant increase in the relative content of the proteins in the PDC-treated nodules compared with C (Table 4).

The up-regulation of glycolysis- and TCA-related proteins in PDC nodules could be indicative of a higher energy demand and consumption on that side of the root system. Furthermore, it could be a response to guaranteeing the SNF and, therefore, the nitrogen supply required in the aerial part. Carbon consumption is limited in the drought-treated part of the root system, and this may favor a switch of photosynthate supply to the watered root of PD plants to enhance the PDC root system activity and, therefore, the nitrogen supply to the...
shoot. The active metabolism in PDC nodules could promote the growth of the root and nodules in response to the nitrogen demand of the aerial part, which can only be supplied by the PDC root system. Although not significant, the nodule dry weight for the PDC treatment was higher than in C conditions in both M. truncatula and soybeans (data not shown). The new metabolic arrangement proposed would require active plant cell growth. This suggestion is in line with the increase in PDC nodules when compared with C conditions of the relative content of diverse ribosomal proteins (G7ZUR7; G7JM6; Contig_55945; G7JYX5; and G7J4S6), enzymes known to play important roles in protein synthesis, and a peptidyl-prolyl cis–trans isomerase (Glyma12g02790.2), which is related to protein folding and is implicated in stress-response signaling and tolerance59–61 (Table 4). Similarly, the quantity of diverse actin (G7IL85 and G7JAX5) and tubulin β chain proteins (G7JSS8) increased in PDC nodules when compared with C treatment. These components of the plant cytoskeleton are involved in several subcellular processes, including cell division, cell elongation, cell trafficking, and cell-wall formation.62–64 The increase of the relative content of the above-mentioned proteins in PDC nodules reflects the general adaptive response of the root system to the partial drought treatment applied to the other side of the root, pointing to an enhanced metabolism in the watered side of the PD root system aiming for cell growth.

Taken together, the M. truncatula and soybean proteomes had a high degree of similarity, and comparable responses in the diverse functional groups were identified in the SNF process that showed the same pattern of decline in both legumes, although M. truncatula seemed more tolerant to drought stress. In nodules directly subjected to drought stress, a local down-regulation of the metabolic processes was observed. Carbon, nitrogen, and sulfur metabolism were the most down-regulated processes, and SuSy, in soybean plants, and AS, in G. max, were identified as the enzymes showing the greatest relative abundance changes. Furthermore, new evidence in the regulation of SNF has come to light, such as the implication of LOX and protein turnover that seem to be important in the response of legume nodules to drought stress. Water deprivation in partial-drought conditions leads to a new metabolic arrangement in PDC nodules, increasing diverse metabolic processes involved in energy supply and cell growth. The high degree of similarity between M. truncatula and soybean proteomes suggest, in our view, that the vast amount of research conducted on M. truncatula could be extended to economically important crop legumes such as soybean.
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