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Abstract—

C5-FK (fluoroketone) and C4-FN (fluoronitrile) in mixtures with a background gas such as CO2 and O2 or air are used as alternatives to SF6 as the insulating and switching medium in high voltage gas insulated switchgear due to their good switching and dielectric insulation performance and comparatively low GWP. Like SF6, C5-FK and C4-FN are stable, non-flammable molecules. Like most complex molecules, they decompose exothermically when exposed to sufficiently high temperatures and do not recombine after decomposing.

In this work we use a custom-built test device to experimentally investigate the conditions and gas compositions under which a decomposition reaction can proceed to completion in C5-FK- and C4-FN-based gas mixtures after being initiated by an electric arc. We also present the related theory. The results show that, for properly selected mixtures (with regard to O2 concentration and/or O2: C5-FK or C4-FN mole fraction ratio), even under extreme failure conditions—internal arc faults—complete, rapid decomposition of the C5-FK or C4-FN does not occur.

Index Terms—Switchgear, arc discharges, SF6 alternatives, internal arc, C5 fluoroketone, C4 fluoronitrile

I. INTRODUCTION

GAS-INSULATED SWITCHGEAR (GIS) designed for high voltage power transmission and medium voltage power distribution applications usually employs SF6 as the insulating medium. SF6 is also used in circuit breakers, load break switches, disconnectors, and earthing switches as the current interrupting medium. SF6 has many advantages in addition to its high dielectric strength and excellent current interruption properties: It is non-toxic and stable, recombines to a large extent after decomposition, has a relatively low boiling point—allowing its use in electrical equipment even at low temperatures—and has no ozone depletion potential. However, it also has a key disadvantage: its high global warming potential (GWP), which is 23,500 times higher than that of the same mass of carbon dioxide (CO2) for a time horizon of 100 years [1]. Although SF6 is contained in sealed vessels and leakage rates of less than 0.5 % per year are required by the IEC 62271-203 standard [2], to which many manufacturers of electrical equipment certify their products, it is possible that restrictions will be placed on the use of SF6 in the future. For instance, SF6 gas inventory may need to be tracked, SF6 may be subject to special taxation, or SF6 may be banned outright in certain categories of equipment. Recently, the work to develop alternatives to SF6, which began in the 1980s in an effort to find a candidate with even better performance (and before its GWP was a major concern), has intensified.

A number of candidates have been investigated in depth, including synthetic air and CO2 [3][4][5]. However, while these two gases (or gas mixtures), like SF6, are non-toxic, are chemically stable, recombine after arcing, have low boiling points, and have no ozone depletion potential, they also have a comparatively low dielectric strength and, especially in the case of synthetic air, relatively poor current interruption performance [5]. It is possible to use these gases in electrical equipment, but this generally leads to the need for larger switchgear to address the same voltage ratings. If pure air is used, then a different current interruption principle, such as a vacuum circuit breaker, is needed. Furthermore, increasing the size of equipment may require the use of more material, which may have a negative impact on the total carbon footprint [6]. The short circuit current rating of circuit breakers may need to be reduced or these may need to be redesigned to achieve the same performance as SF6.

A number of other candidates that have been considered—including CF3, c-C4F8, C2F6, or C3F8—have good current interruption properties and/or relatively high dielectric strengths and are also relatively stable (CF3, for example, recombines after arcing) [7]. However, these gases also have a high global warming potential (only roughly an order of magnitude lower than the one of SF6). Therefore, they are not regarded as suitable for replacing SF6.

CF3I, which has a global warming potential comparable to that of CO2, and a dielectric strength that approaches or even exceeds that of SF6, has also been the subject of several experimental and theoretical investigations [8][9][10]. These investigations have shown that solid, conducting iodine is formed when CF3I decomposes in an electric arc, making it unsuitable for use in electrical equipment where the gas is used as the switching medium (or at best, requiring the use of a method to remove the iodine) [9]. CF3I also differs from some of the other gases mentioned above in that it cannot be used in pure form at high pressures and low operating temperatures. The relatively high boiling temperature of CF3I

P. C. Stoller (e-mail: patrick.stoller@hitachi-powergrids.com) is with Hitachi ABB Power Grids Switzerland Ltd. in Zurich, Switzerland. C. B. Doiron (e-mail: charles.doiron@ch.abb.com) is with ABB Switzerland Ltd. in Baden-Dättwil, Switzerland. S. Scheel (e-mail: saskia.scheel@hitachi-powergrids.com), J. Lehmann (e-mail: joerg.lehmann@hitachi-powergrids.com), and M. Schwinne (e-mail: michael.schwinne@hitachi-powergrids.com) are with Hitachi ABB Power Grids Switzerland Ltd. in Baden-Dättwil, Switzerland. J. Owens (e-mail: jowens@mnm.com) and A. Xiao (e-mail: axiao3@mnm.com) are with 3M in St. Paul, MN, USA.
leads it to condense at the lowest operating temperatures for the filling pressures typically used in high voltage equipment. Therefore, it is generally used in a mixture with CO₂ [11].

More recently, several other fluids that can only be used in high voltage electrical equipment in mixtures with a carrier gas that has a much lower boiling point (such as nitrogen, synthetic air, or CO₂) have been investigated. These fluids include fluoroketones (specifically CF₃C(O)CF(CF₃)₂), abbreviated C5-FK or C₃F₃O₂, also known under its trade name 3MTM Novec™ 5110 Insulating Gas) [12], fluoronitriles (specifically (CF₃)₂CFCN, abbreviated C4-FN or C₃F-N, also known under its trade name 3MTM Novec™ 4710 Insulating Gas) [13][14], and hydrofluorourolefins (HFO) [15]. C5-FK is characterized by a high dielectric strength of 180 kV/cm at a pressure of 100 kPa (breakdown electric field in a homogeneous electrode arrangement) [16], a boiling point at standard pressure of 26.9 °C, and a negligible GWP of less than one. C4-FN has a slightly higher dielectric strength (2.2 times higher than that of SF₆), a more favorable boiling point at standard pressure of -4.7 °C, and a GWP of roughly 2100[17][18][19][20]. Both C5-FK and C4-FN are classified as non-flammable. Hydrofluorourlefins are a class of compounds that have been engineered as low-GWP, low ozone-depletion alternatives to hydrochlorofluorocarbon-based refrigerants. One of these compounds, HFO-1234ze(E), has been investigated in more detail for use in medium voltage switchgear. This compound has a dielectric withstand comparable to the one of SF₆, a low GWP-100 of 6, and very low acute toxicity. It is however mildly flammable [17][21], and cannot be used in application where current interruption also takes place in the gas [15] [17], for example in load break switches or in gas circuit breakers.

All of these fluids have in common that, unlike SF₆, they do not recombine after they are dissociated. Even SF₆ does not recombine completely after arcing, resulting in the formation of small quantities of decomposition products, some of which, such as SF₄ or SO₂F, can be toxic or may be corrosive to the materials used in electrical equipment through the formation of HF in the presence of water [22]. In addition, under internal arc fault conditions SF₆ can react exothermically with vaporized aluminum to form AlF₃.

In this work we address the decomposition of C5-FK and C4-FN and the corresponding pressure rise that results from high current arcing in controlled laboratory tests. Only very limited data have been published on this topic. Prévé et al. studied the decomposition of C5-FK and C4-FN in a model of a medium voltage load break switch [29], albeit under conditions (energy input to volume ratio) that are not realistic for such equipment. Hyrenbach et al. noted that an internal arc fault in medium voltage primary GIS in a mixture of synthetic air and C5-FK resulted in an exothermic reaction and associated additional energy input, but the resulting increase in pressure could readily be managed by modifying the design [30]. Here, we focus on eco-efficient mixtures where the concentration of the fluorinated additive is representative of that used in high voltage equipment. We measure the pressure and temperature rise associated with the exothermic decomposition reaction and detect the products. The laboratory tests were generally conducted under more extreme conditions than found in real high voltage switchgear in order to obtain a better understanding of the decomposition process. We compare a simple model based on equilibrium thermodynamics with the experimental results and show that this model suffices to roughly describe the measured end composition of the gas. We also perform standard flammability estimations to demonstrate that under normal conditions C5-FK and C4-FN gas mixtures used in electrical equipment are not flammable. We discuss the implications of our results and show that triggering a self-sustaining reaction requires a higher volume- and pressure-normalized energy input than is seen in real high voltage equipment, even during short-circuit current interruption in a circuit breaker. We also show that appropriate gas mixtures can be used to avoid a self-sustaining reaction even under severe internal arc fault conduction and note that small design changes are sufficient to mitigate any additional energy input from an exothermic reaction.

II. THEORETICAL CONSIDERATIONS

A. Modelling of high current arcs in SF₆-insulated switchgear

The standard approach [31] for modelling the pressure buildup during an internal arcing event in SF₆-insulated switchgear assumes that the only effect of the electrical energy input from the high current arc is to heat up the simulated volume using a fraction kₑ of the arc energy. Typical kₑ-values for SF₆ lie in the range of 0.5 to 0.7. In the case of exothermic reactions between SF₆ and the encapsulation (made of aluminum, for example), the additional energy input is taken into account by a higher effective kₑ factor, which can even become larger than unity.

Such an approach to model the effect of exothermic reactions is only applicable if the additional energy input due to the chemical reaction is proportional to the arc energy. This can be the case if one of the reactants involved is electrode material (from the contacts or the enclosure), such as aluminum. The additional energy from the reaction is then roughly proportional to the amount of electrode material that reacts. The amount of evaporated material available for the reaction is, to a good approximation, proportional to the arc current [32]. Furthermore, if the arc voltage is approximately
constant (a reasonable assumption), then the arc energy is proportional to the arc current. Therefore, the amount of evaporated material and, correspondingly, the amount of energy released in the exothermic reaction, is indeed proportional to the arc energy input [33].

However, in the case of the reactions addressed in this work, which occur between constituents of the gas, this assumption breaks down. Thus, a k.o. based approach will not be suitable for modeling the pressure build-up.

B. Exothermic reactions in switchgear using alternatives to SF\textsubscript{6} as insulation medium

The decomposition process for a complex molecule is generally exothermic and results in the net production of gas molecules; the associated temperature and pressure rise of the gas should be considered. This is especially important if a significant fraction of the gas is decomposed during a switching event (as could be the case during the interruption of a short-circuit current) or an internal arc fault. In the worst case of an internal arc fault, the exothermic reaction could become self-sustaining (i.e., the heat released by the decomposition reaction could become sufficient to heat the surrounding gas to the decomposition temperature, allowing a deflagration, or, in the absence of oxygen, a decomposition front to propagate) and result in complete decomposition of the complex molecule and a high pressure and temperature build-up [34].

At this time, a complete, detailed theoretical description of the kinetic processes involved in the thermal decomposition of the different fluorinated insulation gases in the presence of oxygen is not available in the literature. It is, however, possible to use instead chemical equilibrium considerations to gain some information about the overall reaction enthalpies associated with this chemical process. Such chemical equilibrium models are commonly used in the context of circuit breaker applications [35], and recently have been applied to different C5-FK containing gas mixtures [36][37].

1) Input to the equilibrium calculations

We use the standard approach based on the minimization of the Gibbs free energy to compute the chemical equilibrium composition of C4-FN and C5-FK containing mixtures [35]. To use this technique, the temperature-dependence of the entropy and enthalpy of the different compounds that could be formed must be known. We have used as input for most compounds the data from the NIST-JANAF thermodynamic database [38], including updates to the enthalpy of formation from the active thermochemical tables [39], and supplemented it with the thermodynamic properties of C5-FK, C4-FN, and 22 other small C,F,O,N containing compounds, computed using the correlation consistent composite approach (ccCA) [40]. The enthalpy of formation at 298.15 K for C5-FK and C4-FN were estimated via the ccCA-S4 to be approximately −2250 kJ/mol for C5-FK and −1350 kJ/mol for C4-FN. These calculations were carried out using the April 20, 2017 version of the GAMESS software [41]. For both molecules, the enthalpy of formation calculated using the ccCA-S4 approach was a few tens of kJ/mol higher than the one obtained using the alternative G3(MP2,CCSD(T)) approach implemented in GAMESS. The enthalpy of formation of C5-FK is also comparable to the one obtained using the G4 approach [37]. For the purposes of the calculations done in this paper, this small difference can be safely ignored. For all compounds, the temperature-dependence of the specific heat was computed using the results of the B3LYP/cc-pVTZ density functional theory calculation that is used for the geometry optimization in the ccCA approach.

2) Room temperature equilibrium considerations

The results of equilibrium composition calculations at 298.15 K are shown in Fig. 1 for mixtures containing 5 mol% C5-FK (top panel) and C4-FN (bottom panel) in CO\textsubscript{2} and O\textsubscript{2}, as a function of the total oxygen content.

If C5-FK decomposes to its thermodynamic equilibrium products, the following overall reaction applies in the presence of sufficient oxygen:

\[
C_2\text{F}_6\text{O} + 2 \text{O}_2 \rightarrow 2.5 \text{CO}_2 + 2.5 \text{CF}_4 \quad (\Delta H_r = -1063 \text{ kJ/mol})
\]

It is also possible that the following reaction takes place (due to reaction kinetics that prefer this reaction to the equilibrium reaction):

\[
C_2\text{F}_6\text{O} + 2 \text{O}_2 \rightarrow 5 \text{COF}_2 \quad (\Delta H_r = -783 \text{ kJ/mol}),
\]

where \(\Delta H_r\) is the molar enthalpy of reaction. The enthalpy of formation data from the active thermochemical tables [39] were used for CO\textsubscript{2} (−286.517 kJ/mol), CF\textsubscript{4} (−238.692 kJ/mol), and COF\textsubscript{2} (−606.59 kJ/mol).

In the absence of additional oxygen, the formation of soot (solid carbon) is predicted by the equilibrium composition model. In this case, the reactions are:

\[
C_2\text{F}_6\text{O} \rightarrow 2 \text{C(s)} + 0.5 \text{CO}_2 + 2.5 \text{CF}_4 \quad (\Delta H_r = -276 \text{ kJ/mol})
\]

\[
\text{C(s)} + \text{CF}_4 \rightarrow 2 \text{C(s)} + \text{COF}_2 + 2 \text{CF}_4 \quad (\Delta H_r = -220 \text{ kJ/mol}).
\]

The first reaction represents the reaction to thermodynamic equilibrium, while the latter reaction is an alternate possibility that may be favored by the reaction kinetics. In both cases, the enthalpy of reaction is reduced versus the case where oxygen is present in sufficient amounts. Note that the enthalpy of formation of graphite, solid carbon C(s), is defined to be 0 kJ/mol.

![Fig. 1 Equilibrium composition at 298.15 K (pressure: 100 kPa) for CO\textsubscript{2}-O\textsubscript{2} mixtures containing 5 mol% C5-FK (top panel) and C4-FN (bottom panel), as a function of the initial O\textsubscript{2} content.](attachment:image.png)
FN containing mixtures. In this case, N₂ is also predicted to be found:
\[
\text{C}_x\text{F}_y\text{N} \rightarrow 7/4 \text{ CF}_4 + 9/4 \text{ C(s)} + 0.5 \text{ N}_2 \\
(\Delta H = -283 \text{ kJ/mol})
\]
\[
\text{C}_x\text{F}_y\text{N} + 9/4 \text{ O}_2 \rightarrow 7/4 \text{ CF}_4 + 9/4 \text{ CO}_2 + 0.5 \text{ N}_2 \\
(\Delta H = -1168 \text{ kJ/mol})
\]

Since the temperature of the arc generally exceeds the temperature at which even small molecules are decomposed to atoms and radicals, the reactions above (either to \(\text{CO}_2\) and \(\text{CF}_4\) or to \(\text{COF}_2\)) are expected to be a relatively good approximation for the overall decomposition process in the arc region and its vicinity. These reactions occur in many steps (a model for the exothermic reaction in the presence of oxygen of the very similar molecule \(\text{C}_x\text{F}_y\text{O} [\text{CF}_3\text{CF}_2\text{C(O)CF}_3\text{F}_2]\) in the presence of a hydrocarbon flame took into account 1482 simple reaction steps and 180 species [34]), but the chemical energy released can be estimated from the overall reaction.

As will be discussed in Section III.E, Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectroscopy and gas chromatography – mass spectrometry (GC-MS) gas analyses show that \(\text{CO}, \text{COF}_2\) and \(\text{CF}_4\) form when arcing occurs, and that other decomposition products are found in low concentrations (\(\text{CO}_2\) production could not be quantified due to its presence in the background gas). This confirms the validity of using the overall reactions above to determine the increase in temperature and pressure that results from decomposition.

Of the main decomposition products, \(\text{CO}_2\), \(\text{CO}\) and \(\text{CF}_4\) are clearly compatible with the materials used in the construction of switchgear; the latter gas has been used in some low temperature applications in mixtures with \(\text{SF}_6\). \(\text{CO}_2\) and \(\text{CF}_4\) are asphyxiants at high concentration (similar to \(\text{SF}_6\)), but neither is generally considered to be toxic [42]. If water vapor is present during exothermic reaction, \(\text{HF}\) will be formed [22][42][44]. To avoid the formation of toxic and corrosive \(\text{HF}\) it should be ensured that humidity in the switchgear is minimized; this is already the case in \(\text{SF}_6\) equipment on the market today, which uses desiccants such as zeolites to remove water vapor from the insulating gas. \(\text{CO}\) and \(\text{COF}_2\), the other main decomposition by-products, are toxic [42]. It is important to emphasize that during normal operation and even after repeated short-circuit current interruption the gas mixture remains practically non-toxic, as has been experimentally demonstrated in recent studies [27][43].

3) Adiabatic flame calculations

A constant volume adiabatic flame calculation can be used to estimate the temperature and pressure increase due to decomposition from purely thermodynamic considerations (given the heats of formation of the reactants and products). This calculation assumes that all of the \(\text{C}_5\)-\(\text{FK}\) or \(\text{C}_4\)-\(\text{FN}\) in a given volume decomposes completely to its thermodynamic equilibrium products, and that all of the chemical energy released increases the temperature and pressure of the gas (no heat transferred out of the gas). Such a calculation corresponds to finding the thermodynamic equilibrium state of a gas for fixed volume (density) and internal energy.

In reality, the complex kinetics of the reaction must be considered. It is possible that when the reaction stops any fraction—from all to none—of the \(\text{C}_5\)-\(\text{FK}\) has been decomposed. The thermodynamic calculation can only predict the worst case in which the reaction proceeds to completion. It should also be noted that under realistic conditions a significant fraction of the energy released will be transmitted to the chamber walls, since these are never perfectly adiabatic. Therefore, the adiabatic flame calculation again only gives an upper limit on the pressure and temperature increase due to decomposition. Nevertheless, the adiabatic flame calculation is very useful in calculating worst-case scenarios and in assessing to what degree the decomposition reaction occurred in a specific experiment. Fig. 2 illustrates the increase in temperature and pressure predicted by the calculation for different mixtures of \(\text{C}_5\)-\(\text{FK}\) and \(\text{C}_4\)-\(\text{FN}\) in a background gas of \(\text{CO}_2\) and \(\text{O}_2\) as a function of \(\text{O}_2\) concentration. It can be seen that the maximum temperature and pressure increases significantly with increasing \(\text{O}_2\) concentration until sufficient \(\text{O}_2\) is available to completely oxidize the \(\text{C}_5\)-\(\text{FK}\) to \(\text{CO}_2\) and \(\text{CF}_2\). In Fig. 3, the gas composition at the pressure and the temperature obtained from the constant volume adiabatic flame calculation is plotted for the example of a mixture of 5% \(\text{C}_5\)-\(\text{FK}\) (mole fraction). Most the compounds that are seen experimentally when analyzing the arced gas (refer to Section III.E) are predicted to be formed under these conditions.

Fig. 4 illustrates that the ratio of pressure increase \(\Delta p\) to initial pressure \(p_i\) is almost independent of \(p_i\).
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respectively, with regards to a simplification approach for calculation of the LFL and UFL of organic substances in oxygen [42], due to the similarity of critical reaction temperatures among organic substances. In other words, the mixture is not flammable when the CAFT is lower than 1500 K at fuel lean scenario, or 1800 K at fuel rich conditions.

Fig. 5 illustrates the ternary flammability diagram for C5-FK, O₂, and CO₂ mixture at 100 kPa and 298.15 K. It can be seen that a C5-FK mixture is not flammable when the C5-FK concentration in O₂ is lower than 12% or higher than 43.8%. The LOC determines the minimum concentration of oxygen (displaced by an inert gas i.e. N₂, CO₂, etc.) capable of supporting combustion. A mixed gas having an O₂ concentration below 39.5% is not capable of supporting combustion.

Fig. 6 shows the ternary flammability diagram for C4-FN, O₂, and CO₂ mixture at 100 kPa and 298.15 K. A C4-FN mixture is not flammable when the C4-FN concentration in O₂ is lower than 6.0% or higher than 43.8%. A C4-FN gas mixture having an O₂ concentration of less than 33.9% is not capable of supporting combustion.
III. EXPERIMENTAL INVESTIGATIONS

As noted in the previous section, due to the complexity of performing a calculation that takes into account the reaction kinetics involved in C5-FK or C4-FN decomposition—especially when an arc and the sometimes complex geometry of electrical equipment must be taken into account in the simulation—the extent to which the decomposition reaction proceeds can only be determined experimentally. It is possible that an arc will result only in local decomposition of C5-FK or C4-FN and that the accompanying pressure and temperature rise will be small compared to that of the energy input due to the arc itself. On the other hand, under certain conditions, a self-propagating reaction can be initiated, and all of the C5-FK or C4-FN may be decomposed and the increase in pressure and temperature due to decomposition may even be larger than that due to arcing alone.

A. Test device and test circuit

A simple experimental setup was used to assess the decomposition-related pressure and temperature build-up that can be observed under different conditions. The test device, which was constructed of aluminum, except for the tank, which was made of steel (to minimize the possibility of a reaction with aluminum), represents a greatly simplified model of a circuit breaker. It is illustrated in Fig. 8 and consists of an arc generating zone—two contacts separated by a PTFE nozzle, an exhaust volume that receives the jet of hot gas from the arc zone, and a large tank designed to represent the enclosure containing the circuit breaker. The total volume of the test device is 82 L. An ignition wire is inserted between the contacts to initiate the arc. The exhaust volume and the tank were each equipped with a 2000 kPa pressure sensor (Kistler 4075A20).

A simple LC circuit (C = 40 mF and L = 167 μF) was used to generate the short-circuit current; it is illustrated in Fig. 8. The capacitance, inductance, and charging voltage were selected to achieve the desired peak current, frequency, and arcing time for each test. The nominal LC frequency (neglecting damping) of this circuit is 61.6 Hz.

The test device was evacuated at the beginning of each test and equipped with a new ignition wire between the contacts. Then, the test device was filled with the defined gas mixture. After filling, the capacitor in the LC circuit was charged to a defined voltage and a closing switch (vacuum circuit breaker) was used to start current flow and initiate arcing. The current was interrupted at a zero-crossing by the same vacuum circuit breaker to achieve the defined arcing time. The pressure in the test device (refer to the sketch in Fig. 7) was measured together with the arc voltage and the current. After each test, the test device was evacuated before re-filling with the next gas mixture. After every four test shots, the nozzles were replaced. The contacts and exhaust tube were replaced when excessive wear was detected.
B. Test conditions

We consider two important extreme cases in assessing the impact of an exothermic reaction on the performance of high voltage GIS. 1.) The most severe operating condition that can be encountered in a high voltage circuit breaker with regard to the decomposition of C5-FK is the interruption of the maximum rated short circuit current. The arc that results is cooled and rapidly extinguished (within at most about 20 ms). 2.) An internal arc fault inside the switchgear results in an unblown arc with a high short circuit current. The arcing time is often much longer than in the case of a switching operation. This condition may therefore be even more severe than when a high voltage circuit breaker interrupts a short circuit current. It should be emphasized that an internal arc is not a normal operating condition and that controlled discharge of gas from the equipment is permitted under such conditions.

The maximum amount of energy input into a GIS compartment during short circuit current interruption by a circuit breaker or during an internal arc fault can vary depending on the rating and design of the equipment. Therefore, the energy input by the short circuit arc into the test device we used was varied by changing the initial charging voltage of the capacitor in the LC circuit. The energy was increased to a level where self-sustaining exothermic reaction of the C5-FK and C4-FN was initiated. Then it was reduced to a level at which self-sustaining exothermic reaction did not occur. This yields a rough estimate of the minimum energy density (arc energy per unit gas volume) needed to initiate a self-sustaining reaction in the test device.

Table I lists the energies and energy densities at which the tests were conducted. For reference, we give a rough estimate of the arc energy per unit volume that is input into the circuit breaker compartment of a GIS with a short circuit current rating of 40 kA_{rms}. During type-testing of high voltage switchgear, the T100a test duty (terminal fault with asymmetry and 100% of the rated short circuit current) specified in [45] is designed to represent the extreme condition with regard to arc energy input. For a circuit breaker with a total volume of 500 l, a filling pressure of 700 kPa, an average arc voltage under T100a conditions of 100 V, and an arcing time of 20 ms, this results in a rough estimate of the maximum energy input of 80 kJ, corresponding to an energy density of 160 kJ/m³, or 0.23 MJ/m³/MPa when normalized to both the volume and the pressure. It is clear that the normalized values for this example are far below those given in Table I.

Analogously, we estimate the energy that can be input in the case of an internal arc fault. For an arcing time of 300 ms, an arc voltage of 500 V, a short circuit current of 40 kA, a filling pressure of 700 kPa, and a total volume of 250 l, the arc energy input is 6 MJ. Normalized to the volume, this corresponds to 24 MJ/m³, or, normalized to both the volume and the pressure, to 34 MJ/m³/MPa. Normalized to both the volume and the pressure, the energy input is comparable to the values used in our tests (Table I). In an internal arc fault test the burst disk opens if a sufficiently high pressure is reached, so that the actual increase in pressure is lower than the one estimated for a closed volume.

We note further that, even if a self-sustaining exothermic reaction is triggered in the case of an internal arc fault, the upper limit for the additional normalized energy input is 24 MJ/m³/MPa, assuming a gas mixture with 5 mol% C5-FK, 10 mol% O₂, and 85 mol% CO₂. This energy normalized energy input is roughly 70% of the estimated normalized electrical energy input of the internal arc.

C. Gas mixture

As discussed in the previous section, the composition of the mixture defines how much exothermic energy can be released in the case of complete exothermic reaction. The different mixtures tested are summarized in Table I. The total pressure was 80 kPa for all of the mixtures tested. This low total pressure was selected to avoid exceeding the design pressure of the test device even in the case of the maximum (adiabatic) calculated pressure rise. The concentrations used in several of these mixtures were selected because they correspond roughly to the mole fractions used in electrical equipment. For example, in a gas mixture with a total pressure corresponding to the one used in high voltage switchgear (approximately 700 kPa), the maximum partial pressure of C5-FK and C4-FN that can be used at a minimum operating temperature of -5 °C and -25 °C, respectively, is roughly 30 kPa [12] and 40 kPa [14], respectively. These partial pressures correspond to mole fractions of 4% and 6%, respectively, again for a total pressure of 700 kPa. The oxygen molar concentration was double the molar concentration of C5-FK and C4-FN; this corresponds to the stoichiometry of the exothermic reaction for C5-FK in the presence of O₂ (refer to Fig. 1). The same O₂ concentration was used for C4-FN, even though the stoichiometry of the exothermic reaction in the presence of O₂ requires a slightly higher concentration (9/4 O₂ to C4-FN ratio; refer back to Fig. 1). Other mixtures with higher concentrations of C5-FK or C4-FN (again with twice as much oxygen by volume as C5-FK or C4-FN) were tested to determine if a self-sustaining exothermic reaction can occur in mixtures with a potentially higher flame temperature.
D. Experimental results: pressure build-up

Tests were performed with the gas mixtures and under the conditions described in Table I. The measured current and arc voltage for several tests are plotted in Fig. 9. The current and arc voltage were integrated to calculate the arc energy input into the test device (see Table 1).

Test 1 was conducted with an arc energy of 180 kJ for a mixture of 8 kPa C4-FN (mole fraction of 0.1), 16 kPa O2 (mole fraction of 0.2), and 56 kPa CO2 (mole fraction of 0.7). The resulting pressure inside the test device is shown in Fig. 10 (red curve). It should be noted that the first peak in the pressure (around 0 ms to 20 ms) is due to the arc burning inside the nozzle. In the case of test 1, the pressure drops rapidly after the arc is interrupted at a current-zero crossing. It then decreases slowly as the temperature of the gas drops back to the ambient level; the drop in temperature and pressure in the test device takes several minutes and cannot be seen in the recorded pressure signal. If the arc energy is increased by using a higher charging voltage for the capacitor bank, then exothermic reaction of the C4-FN can be observed, as demonstrated by test 2, which was performed with the same gas mixture as test 1. The arcing time was also held constant. This can be seen in Fig. 10 (green curve), where the pressure again decays rapidly after the initial arc-related spike in pressure, but then increases again. This broad pressure peak—well after arcing has stopped—is an indication of an exothermic reaction that spreads through the test device. Note that in the arc C4-FN (and C5-FK) always is decomposed or reacts with oxygen in an exothermic reaction. However, this reaction does not always propagate into the surrounding gas.

For the same arc energy input and arc energy, the C4-FN partial pressure was decreased in a subsequent test to 4 kPa (mole fraction of 0.05). The O2 concentration was held constant, and the CO2 partial pressure was increased to maintain the same total pressure. An exothermic reaction was triggered despite the lower C4-FN concentration. It can be seen that, as predicted by the adiabatic flame temperature calculation (refer back to Fig. 2), the pressure rise is not as high as when double the amount of C4-FN (8 kPa instead of 4 kPa) is present. Interestingly, if the ratio of oxygen to C4-FN is reduced to 2:1, which is close to the ratio defined by the stoichiometry of the exothermic reaction in the presence of O2 for C4-FN (9:4; see Fig. 1) then an exothermic reaction is not observed (test 4, yellow curve in Fig. 10). This indicates that the reaction kinetics benefit from a higher oxygen concentration and that more oxygen is favorable for allowing the exothermic reaction to propagate.

C5-FK exhibits a similar behavior, illustrated in the curves corresponding to tests 5 (cyan curve) and 6 (pink curve) in Fig. 10. As in the case of C4-FN, exothermic reaction was observed when a mixture of 4 kPa C5-FK and 16 kPa O2 (mole fraction of 0.2) was tested, but not when a mixture of 4 kPa C5-FK and 8 kPa O2 was tested. The arc energy and arcing time were the same as in the tests with C4-FN.

![Fig. 10](image-url)  
Fig. 10 Pressure rise (absolute pressure $P$) normalized to the filling pressure $P_{fill}$ for different mixtures of C5-FK and C4-FN with CO2 and O2. In the case of test number 1, the arc energy was slightly lower than in the other tests. The arcing time was held constant (to within the precision allowed by the experimental setup) at 17.6 ms ± 0.1 ms.

Fig. 10 illustrates that the pressure build-up is roughly the same for both C4-FN and C5-FK when mixtures with the same mole fractions are compared. There is a sharp peak in pressure during the period of roughly 14 ms when the gas is heated by the arc. For sufficiently high C5-FK concentrations (if enough O2 is present),...
present to permit complete oxidation) and input arc energy the decomposition reaction can propagate to consume a large fraction of the C5-FK in the volume.

The results presented here are consistent (in the case of tests 2, 3, and 5) with self-sustaining exothermic reaction of the C5-FK or C4-FN, which can be initiated when very high energies are input into a volume by a short circuit current arc. However, a quantitative comparison is not straightforward: The simple adiabatic flame calculation assumes that the arc energy and the energy of reaction go entirely into heating the gas. In reality, a fraction of this energy goes into heating of the metal walls. In addition, the self-sustaining exothermic reaction process may not completely consume the C5-FK or C4-FN in the entire volume. Further, the exothermic reaction may not proceed to thermodynamic equilibrium (as assumed by the adiabatic flame calculation).

The flame propagation speed can be estimated from the experimental results to be roughly 3 m/s. This speed is estimated by dividing the time needed to reach the pressure peak (roughly 150 ms in the case of test 2) by the length of the test device (approximately 0.5 m).

**E. Chemical analysis of the arc-exposed gas**

Before and after the high current arcing tests with an arc energy of roughly 220 kJ, gas samples were acquired from the test device. Chemical analysis of the gas was carried out using gas-chromatography mass-spectroscopy (GC-MS) and Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR), following the procedure detailed in [16].

Table II summarizes the measured concentrations of C4-FN and C5-FK before and after arcing. In the case of tests 2, 3, and 5, arcing led to very low concentrations of C4-FN and C5-FK. This confirms the conclusion that self-sustaining exothermic reaction occurred that spread through the test device. In the case of tests 4 and 6, a significant amount of C4-FN or C5-FK, respectively, remains. Note that the concentrations before and after arcing cannot be compared directly, since the creation of decomposition products leads to a change in the total number of gas molecules.

| Test | Fluorinated compound | Self-sustaining exothermic reaction | Concentration (mol%) |
|------|----------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|
|      |                      | Before arcing | After arcing |
| 2    | C4-FN                | Yes          | 10          | 0.26 |
| 3    | C4-FN                | Yes          | 5           | 0.07 |
| 4    | C4-FN                | No           | 5           | 3.33 |
| 5    | C5-FK                | Yes          | 5           | 0.04 |
| 6    | C5-FK                | No           | 5           | 1.85 |

Table II: Comparison of the concentration of the fluorinated additive before and after high current arcing for each test

The measured concentrations of the main products that formed as a result of decomposition of C5-FK and C4-FN are given in Table III. High concentrations of CO were observed in all of the tests—regardless of whether or not self-sustaining exothermic reaction occurred. This applied both in the cases of C4-FN and C5-FK. This suggests that CO forms, at least in part, when CO₂ is decomposed in and around the arc and does not recombine completely. The formation of CO was also observed in tests with a mixture of CO₂ and O₂ without any further additive gas. The concentrations of CF₃ and COF₂, on the other hand, correlate more clearly with whether or not a self-sustaining exothermic reaction took place. Further detected products of all tests were: C₂F₄, C₂F₆, C₃F₆, C₆F₆, and CF₆. In addition, C4-FN forms additional decomposition by-products related to the presence of nitrogen: trifluoroacetonitrile, pentafluoropropionitrile, and cyanogen. In test 2, a cyanogen concentration of slightly more than 1% was measured. It should be noted that CO₂ and O₂ could not be quantified—neither with GC-MS nor with FTIR. The concentration of CO₂ was too high to perform a quantification, and the gas chromatography retention time of O₂, N₂ and CO is the same.

Table III: Concentration (in mol%) of the main decomposition products seen in the arc samples. The CO and COF₂ concentrations were determined using FT-IR; the CF₃ concentration was measured using GC-MS. The gas sample obtained after test 6(*) could not be analyzed using GC-MS due to a technical problem with the instrument.

| Test | Self-sustaining exothermic reaction | CF₃ (%) | CO (%) | COF₂ (%) |
|------|------------------------------------|---------|--------|----------|
| 2    | Yes                                | 3.8     | 12.7   | 4.2      |
| 3    | Yes                                | 1.8     | 6.7    | 1.6      |
| 4    | No                                 | 0.6     | 8.2    | 0.2      |
| 5    | Yes                                | 4.6     | 7.7    | 3.7      |
| 6    | No                                 | *       | 5.1    | 0.3      |

IV. DISCUSSION

The results presented in the previous section show that whether or not self-sustaining exothermic reaction occurs when an arc burns in a gas mixture containing C4-FN or C5-FK and oxygen depends on the specific composition of the mixture and the arc energy input. Reducing the amount of C4-FN or C5-FK or reducing the ratio of the mole fractions of O₂ to the mole fraction of C4-FN or C5-FK reduces the likelihood of a self-sustaining reaction. Other parameters, such as the arcing time, the total pressure, and the geometry of the test device are also likely to play a role (these can influence the initiation and propagation of the self-sustaining reaction). Since the specific composition of the mixture influences the onset of self-sustaining exothermic reaction, it is important to ensure that filling of test devices designed to perform internal arc fault (or similar) experiments is carried out correctly using equipment that ensures the correct mixture is achieved. This can be ensured, for instance, by using the equipment and procedures outlined in [47].

It is important to emphasize that the pressure-reduced energy densities used in the tests described here exceed those typically seen in circuit breakers (even for faults with the maximum short-circuit current) by at least an order of magnitude (refer back to Table I). In addition, the concentration of C5-FK and C4-FN used in the tests is somewhat higher and the ratio of oxygen to C5-FK or C4-FN is at most equal to or lower than that used in real equipment. The tests described in this work were designed to find the limit at which a self-sustaining reaction could be triggered and not to investigate realistic cases.
In a series of tests with high voltage circuit breakers filled with C5-FK or C4-FN based mixtures, self-sustaining exothermic reaction never occurred (including under T100a conditions) [48][14]. It is important to stress that circuit breakers must always undergo rigorous type-tests in which they are required to successfully interrupt the highest short circuit currents to which they can be exposed. These tests also directly serve to verify that any C5-FK or C4-FN used in the interruption medium does not undergo a self-sustaining reaction even when the maximum short-circuit current is interrupted.

As mentioned above, the decomposition of C5-FK or C4-FN must also be taken into account abnormal conditions that can arise in electrical equipment, the worst case being an internal arc fault. In this case, however, the constraints are very different from the case of normal operation. Since an internal arc fault represents a catastrophic failure of the equipment, including exhausting of the gas to the surroundings, a self-sustaining exothermic reaction can be tolerated, as long as the burst disk is able to cope with the additional pressure rise and prevent catastrophic failure of the equipment.

It should be noted that the energy input by the arc during a typical internal arc fault is far higher than in a circuit breaker operation. The short circuit currents may be similar in magnitude, but a circuit breaker arc is interrupted within several ten milliseconds or less, while an internal arc fault can continue, for roughly 100 ms (until it is interrupted by a circuit breaker) or, in the worst case, for several hundred milliseconds to 500 ms. The higher arc energy input, however, reduces the relative contribution of the exothermic reaction (should it take place).

Regardless of whether SF6 or a complex molecule that does not recombine after arcing (such as C5-FK or C4-FN) is used, it is important to dimension switchgear and any burst disks with which it is equipped to ensure that the opening of the burst disk relieves the pressure in a controlled manner without additional structural damage to the equipment. The additional energy due to exothermic decomposition of a component of the insulating medium may require changes to the design of the switchgear, especially if the energy input from the decomposition reaction is concentrated early during the internal arc fault (before opening of the burst disk has led to a significant drop in pressure), leading to a more rapid rise in pressure and temperature. The toxicity of the decomposition products (especially HF and COF₂) must also be considered, since these are released directly to the surroundings if an internal arc fault occurs. For medium voltage equipment, these topics have been addressed in [30], which illustrates that with a few design changes these issues can be addressed successfully. In the case of high voltage equipment, the lower concentration of C5-FK (which is less favorable for a self-sustaining exothermic reaction) may obviate the need for design changes [26]. As already mentioned above, using a sufficiently low oxygen concentration can also be used to avoid a self-sustaining exothermic reaction.

V. CONCLUSIONS

The mixtures of C5-FK or C4-FN with O₂ and an inert gas like CO₂ or N₂ used in electrical equipment provide strong current interruption and dielectric performance, have a low GWP compared to SF₆, are stable in electrical equipment under normal operating conditions, and are non-flammable. In this paper, we have shown that for properly selected, practically relevant gas mixtures (with regard to C5-FK or C4-FN and O₂ concentration), even an internal arc fault, the worst case in terms of energy input, cannot initiate a self-sustaining reaction. Even for gas mixtures that permit a self-sustaining reaction under internal arc fault conditions, the contribution of the energy from the exothermic reaction is often smaller than the contribution of the arc itself (electrical energy) for practical applications. Therefore, small design changes are sufficient to compensate for the additional energy input (should it arise) in such cases.

It needs to be emphasized that care should be taken when designing and performing experiments under laboratory conditions to avoid the possibility of a self-sustaining exothermic reaction or to dimension test equipment to withstand the maximum possible pressure and temperature. Special attention should be paid to the composition and correct filling of the gas mixture to be used.
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