Filler dependent microstructural and optical properties of PVDF/PEG blends versus PVDF/GO nanocomposites
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Abstract
The micro-structural features and the optical properties of polymer blends (PB) of polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) base with polyethylene glycol (PEG) and polymer nanocomposites (PNC) of PVDF base with graphene oxide (GO) as fillers are compared. Different weight fractions of PVDF/PEG and PVDF/GO samples were prepared through the solution casting followed by spin coating method. The microstructure of PVDF/PEG blends and PVDF/GO nanocomposites shows formation of immiscible/compatible/homogenous microstructures over different extents of loading of the filler components. UV–visible spectrometry revealed an increase in absorbance with the amount of filler and the absorbance was found to be lesser and highly dispersive for the PB as compared to PNC, attributed to the heterogeneity/electrical conductivity of the respective fillers of the two different systems. Spectroscopic ellipsometry was used to study the various optical constants (e.g. refractive index, extinction co-efficient, etc.) and their dispersion behavior over the visible range of wavelengths. The parameters show large variation as a function of component fillers due to the different extent of interaction of matrix/filler. The optimized parameters were obtained for the 20% PB and the 5% PNC samples respectively, suggesting PNC with nano fillers are always to be a better choice for developing them as materials suitable for various optical and other related applications.
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1 Introduction
Polymer nano composites [PNC] based on various types of polymers, are found to be very important materials in recent years because of their large number of applications in various fields, such as; polymer dielectrics, polymer ferroelectrics, polymer piezoelectrics, polymer magneto-electrics, polymer magneto-dielectrics, flexible optical/optoelectronics materials, electromagnetic interference shielding applications, mechanical applications,
electrical applications, etc. (Dang 2018; Huang and Zhi 2016; Hussain and Mishra 2018; Uchino 2017; Szabo 2017; Koo 2017; Nath et al. 2021; Ravindren et al. 2019; Mondal et al. 2017a, 2017b; Katheria et al. 2022; Panda et al. 2019). These materials are finding interest due to their possible commercial applications for various devices, such as; sensors, actuators, transducers, capacitors, memory devices, etc. The importance of these materials is mainly due to the complex interfacial region between the filler/polymer giving rise to tremendous variation in their intended physical properties, required for various multifunctional applications, due to the large surface area of the fillers. They have become very smart materials from the point of energy storage (Nath et al. 2021; Ravindren et al. 2019; Mondal et al. 2017a, 2017b; Katheria et al. 2022; Panda et al. 2008a, 2008b, 2010, 2011, 2019; Liang et al. 2021; Panda 2017, 2021; Altaf et al. 2022; Sun et al. 2021; Zhang et al. 2021a), ferroelectrics (Panda and Trivedi 2020), multiferroics/magneto-electrics (Panda et al. 2016; Mendez and Martins 2017; Martins and Méndeze 2019), magneto-dielectrics (Chandrasekhar et al. 2009), optical/optoelectronics (Srivastava et al. 2008; Roppolo et al. 2016; Donya et al. 2020; Rahman and Chung 2013; Mutlay and Tudoran 2014; Panda et al. 2021; David et al. 2017; Islam et al. 2020; Patel et al. 2015; Takahashi et al. 2012; Ahmed et al. 2019), biomedical (Hassan and Hashim 2018), photovoltaic (Schmiedova et al. 2015) or any other multifunctional applications (Lingjie et al. 2021; Xia et al. 2022; Dastan et al. 2015; Zhang et al. 2021b; Han et al. 2021; Yin et al. 2021; Wei et al. 2021; Liang et al. 2022), due to their inherent flexibility solving the most complicated designing problems. The various types of nano fillers used in these PNC are e.g. metal, carbon black, CNT, ceramics, graphene and graphene derivatives, various types of carbon nanostructures, etc. (Dang 2018; Huang and Zhi 2016; Hussain and Mishra 2018; Uchino 2017; Szabo 2017; Koo 2017; Nath et al. 2021; Ravindren et al. 2019; Mondal et al. 2017a, 2017b; Katheria et al. 2022; Panda et al. 2008a, 2008b, 2010, 2011, 2016, 2019, 2021; Liang et al. 2021, 2022; Panda 2017, 2021; Altaf et al. 2022; Sun et al. 2021; Zhang et al. 2021a, 2021b; Panda and Trivedi 2020; Mendez and Martins 2017; Martins and Méndeze 2019; Chandrasekhar et al. 2009; Srivastava et al. 2008; Roppolo et al. 2016; Donya et al. 2020; Rahman and Chung 2013; Mutlay and Tudoran 2014; David et al. 2017; Islam et al. 2020; Patel et al. 2015; Takahashi et al. 2012; Ahmed et al. 2019; Hassan and Hashim 2018; Schmiedova et al. 2015; Lingjie et al. 2021; Xia et al. 2022; Dastan et al. 2015; Han et al. 2021; Yin et al. 2021; Wei et al. 2021). In the development of polymer dielectrics/ferroelectrics, the varieties of metal and ferroelectric ceramic nano particles have been introduced into the PVDF matrix (Panda et al. 2008a, 2008b, 2010, 2011, 2019; Liang et al. 2021; Panda 2021, 2017). In developing these PNC, the higher crystallinity, the ferroelectricity and high K of PVDF has made it as a suitable material as a superior solution for multifunctional applications, as far as all other polymeric materials are concerned (Altaf et al. 2022; Sun et al. 2021; Zhang et al. 2021a; Panda and Trivedi 2020; Panda et al. 2016; Mendez and Martins 2017; Martins and Méndeze 2019; Chandrasekhar et al. 2009). In development of the polymer multiferroics/magneto-electrics/magneto-dielectrics, the particulate composites based on various fillers also have been introduced (Panda et al. 2016; Mendez and Martins 2017; Martins and Méndeze 2019; Chandrasekhar et al. 2009). Similarly in the development of PNC for optical/optoelectronic applications, metal nanofillers and various types of carbon nanostructures, are introduced to the polymer matrices (Srivastava et al. 2008; Roppolo et al. 2016; Donya et al. 2020; Rahman and Chung 2013; Mutlay and Tudoran 2014; Panda et al. 2021; David et al. 2017; Islam et al. 2020; Patel et al. 2015; Takahashi et al. 2012; Ahmed et al. 2019). In preparation of these PNC, the ferroelectric polymers, e.g. polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF), poly[(vinylidene-difluoride-trifluoroethylene] [P(VDF-TrFE)], Poly(vinylidene fluoride-hexafluoropropylene) [P(VDF-HFP)], etc. are always taken
as preferred polymers for developing them for various applications with the preferred fillers of various types of carbon nanostructures, such as graphene oxide (GO), carbon nanotube (CNT), etc. (Dang 2018; Huang and Zhi 2016; Hussain and Mishra 2018; Uchino 2017; Szabo 2017; Koo 2017; Nath et al. 2021; Ravindren et al. 2019; Mondal et al. 2017a, 2017b; Katheria et al. 2022; Panda et al. 2008a, 2008b, 2010, 2011, 2016, 2019, 2021; Liang et al. 2021, 2022; Panda 2021, 2017; Altarf et al. 2022; Sun et al. 2021; Zhang et al. 2021a, 2021b; Panda and Trivedi 2020; Mendez and Martins 2017; Martins and Méndez 2019; Chandrasekhar et al. 2009; Srivastava et al. 2008; Roppolo et al. 2016; Donya et al. 2020; Rahman and Chung 2013; Mutlay and Tudoran 2014; David et al. 2017; Islam et al. 2020; Patel et al. 2015; Takahashi et al. 2012; Ahmed et al. 2019; Hassan and Hashim 2018; Schmiedova et al. 2015; Lingjie et al. 2021; Xia et al. 2022; Dastan et al. 2015; Han et al. 2021; Yin et al. 2021; Wei et al. 2021).

However, it is always interesting to observe the other ways/process of development of these typical optical materials, out of which one process is by forming the blends of one polymer with the other polymers, e.g. ferroelectric polymers [e.g. PVDF] to form polymer blends with other polymers, which are under rapid development, for finding their suitability for optical applications (David et al. 2017; Islam et al. 2020; Patel et al. 2015; Takahashi et al. 2012; Ahmed et al. 2019; Hassan and Hashim 2018; Schmiedova et al. 2015; Lingjie et al. 2021). It is always interesting to introduce novel types of polymers with higher optical activity into the polymer matrix such as PVDF matrix by novel synthesis method to produce highly homogenous thin films to have enhanced optical properties. Since, polyethylene glycol (PEG) is a flexible, non-toxic, hydrophilic polymer with higher optical activity, the blends of PVDF with PEG are expected to show better optical properties.

Hence, with the aim of development of both PB/PNC flexible material with better optical properties based on PVDF matrix, the comparison of the optical properties of PVDF/PEG PB versus PVDF/GO PNC have been undertaken as a case study with their respective innovative combinations/compatibility to find their optical performances. The results show interesting homogeneous/heterogeneous microstructures formed from their combinations over different extent of the loading of their component fillers. Interesting optical properties in terms of absorbance, psi/delta [storage/loss/phase variation], refractive index, extension coefficient, real/imaginary dielectric constants, in the region of visible wavelengths are explored and are compared for finding their suitability for optical applications.

2 Experimental details

GO was prepared through the modified Hummar’s method (Hummers and Offeman 1958), and the details of the preparation are given in the recently published article (Panda et al. 2021). One series of PB based on PVDF with varying weight fractions of PEG from 0.0 to 0.4 (Table 1) and PNC based on PVDF with varying weight fractions of GO from 0.0 to 0.2 were prepared (Table 2). The PVDF/PEG blends and PVDF/GO nanocomposites were prepared through the two steps of solution casting followed by advantageous spin coating method over other techniques (Dastan et al. 2015) for various device applications. Spin coating is a very simple and cost effective technique to prepare highly homogenous samples within very less time. In order to prepare the thin films, a square shaped glass substrate is fixed on the spin arm of the spin coater by high suction pump and a small amount of a solution is applied to the substrate by a micro pipette, which is then rotated at high speed for 20 s in order to spread it using centrifugal forces, making a dry thin film available on
the substrate. The details of sample preparation and experimental characterizations can be found from the recent reference (Panda et al. 2016). The microstructure investigation was carried out using Thermo Fisher Nova SEM 450. The UV–visible spectroscopic measurements were made with the help of Thermo Fisher Evolution 301 in the wavelength range of 400–700 nm. The ellipsometric measurements were carried out with the help of J.A. Wolfram M-2000 spectroscopic ellipsometer.

### Results and discussion

#### 3.1 Morphological microstructures

The FESEM micrographs for observing the morphology of the samples, such as; pure PVDF (Fig. 1a) and PVDF/PEG PB with varying weight fractions of 0.2 and 0.4 are shown in Fig. 1b–d. The large created unfilled like structures and higher heterogeneity and immiscibility is clearly observable from the micrographs for the sample 0.2 (Fig. 1b), while for PB with 0.4 of PEG becomes more compatible and homogenous (Fig. 1c, d), due to the comparable amount of both component polymers, suggesting the homogenousness of PB at higher loadings of PEG. The diameter of the created structures in the PB, are of the order of ~0.1 μm. From these micrographs of PVDF/PEG PB, it is clear that various types of interfaces have appeared in the blends, which can result in an increase of the absorbance. The FESEM images of PVDF/GO composites with different weight fractions 0.02, 0.05,
Fig. 1 Scanning Electron Micrographs of PVDF/PEG PB with varying weight% of PEG a 0% b 20% c 40% [lower resolution] and d 40 wt% [higher resolution] and for PVDF/GO PNC with varying weight% of GO e 2% f 5% g 10% & h 20 wt%, respectively
0.10, 0.20 are also shown in the Fig. 1e–h. All these micrographs of PVDF/GO nanocomposites, interestingly show excellent homogenous microstructures of uniformly dispersed GO of the order of 1 μm range. With the increase of loading of GO, the homogeneity increases from 0.0 to 0.05 (Fig. 1e–f) and then the homogeneity decreases from 0.05 to 0.2, resulting in the agglomeration of GO (Fig. 1g–h). This also clearly indicates that on addition of GO, that may result to increase in absorbance of these PNC, due to higher electrical conductivity of GO, in comparison to the PB.

3.2 UV–visible spectroscopy

The UV–visible spectroscopy is one of the methods of finding the optical activity/response of the samples by collecting the information of absorbance/transmittance/reflectance of the samples in the ultraviolet–visible spectral region. Generally, the light in the visible and adjacent [near-UV and near-infrared] ranges of wavelengths are passed through the samples. The light interacts with the samples [some possible interactions are molecules containing π-electrons or non-bonding electrons can absorb the energy in the form of ultraviolet or visible light to excite these electrons to higher anti-bonding molecular orbitals]. The result of light/matter interaction are represented in terms of various optical parameters, such as; absorbance/transmittance/reflectance as a function of wavelength in the ultraviolet–visible spectral region of interest. The more easily the electrons are excited, the longer is the wavelength of light that it can absorb. When light passes through or is reflected from a sample, the amount of light absorbed is the difference between the incident radiation ($I_0$) and the transmitted radiation ($I$). The amount of light absorbed is expressed as either transmittance or absorbance. Transmittance usually is given in terms of a fraction/as a percentage and is defined as $T = \frac{I}{I_0}$ or $%T = \frac{I}{I_0} * 100$. The absorbance is related to the transmittance and is defined as $\alpha = -\log T$, which is given in the Fig. 2. Figure 2 shows the UV–visible absorbance spectra of both the series of samples in the visible region of wavelength range of 400–700 nm of light. There is an increase of absorbance linearly with increase of PEG into the PB, and the absorbance reaches maximum as 0.25 for 0.4 (Fig. 2a). However, for all the PB, the absorbance is highly dispersive in nature, suggesting as well attributed to the structure of PB to be highly heterogeneous and incompatible.

![Fig. 2](image-url)  
*Fig. 2* Variation of absorbance with wavelength with varying weight % of their respective fillers a PVDF/PEG PB and b PVDF/GO PNC, respectively
confirmed from the Fig. 1a–d. A continuous decrease of absorbance with increasing wavelength up to 550 nm is also clearly visible. In a comparison to the PNC, the increase of absorbance also occurs linearly with increase of GO into the PNC, and the absorbance remains constant and nondispersive over whole visible region of wavelength range of 400–700 nm of light. From graph (Fig. 2b), it is observed that the absorbance of pure PVDF solution (black line) decreases with wavelength from approximately 0.2 at 400 nm to 0.04 at 700 nm. But with the increase of wt% of GO in the PNC, the absorbance approaches as high as 3.5 at 400 nm to 3.0 value at 700 nm for the PNC with 10%GO. It shows the distinct curves for different loadings of fillers of PB/ PNC and the absorbance decreases with wavelength in a systematic manner from higher to lower value with decreasing wt% of the fillers. The absorbance curves for PB are stiffer as compared to the PNC, attributed to their heterogeneity, confirmed from the microstructures (Fig. 1).

The increase in absorbance in both the cases, with increase of filler amount can be attributed to the electrical conductivity of the filler. Also, the higher conductivity of GO as compared to the PEG, is responsible for giving more than 10 times higher absorbance in case of PNC as compared to PB. The exclusive micro structure of both the series of samples confirms these interesting consequences also (Fig. 1).

### 3.3 Spectroscopic ellipsometric studies

Spectroscopic ellipsometry (SE) was used to study the variation of the optical parameters of the thin films of PB and PNC (Donya et al. 2020; Rahman and Chung 2013; Mutlay and Tudoran 2014). The SE is a highly precise and nondestructive powerful tool to extract the various optical parameters of the thin films with accurate and reliable results. Ellipsometry (reflection ellipsometry) measures the changes in the state of polarization of light upon reflection from a surface. As a non-invasive and non-destructive tool, ellipsometry requires only a low-power light source and, consequently, it does not affect most processes, which renders ellipsometry a convenient tool for in situ studies. Figure 3 shows the plot of ellipsometric parameters, psi (ψ) and delta (Δ) versus wavelength (λ) in the visible wavelength region from 500 to 800 nm for all the PB/PNC under investigation. The significant variation in the ellipsometric parameters Ψ and Δ for all the PB/PNC confirms, the significant change in the amplitude as well as phase ratio of S and P polarized light. Further the extent of variation of Ψ and Δ for all the PB samples with 0.0, 0.10, 0.3 and 0.4 is highly varying, while for the PB with 0.2, the Ψ and Δ remains almost constant throughout the wavelengths (Fig. 3a, b). However the extent of variation of Ψ and Δ for all the PNC samples with 0.0, 0.01, 0.02, 0.05 are remaining constant, while for the samples with higher loading of GO, i.e. for 0.1 and 0.2, the Ψ and Δ are highly varying throughout the wavelengths (Fig. 3c, d). The differences of these optical features are attributed to the extent of homogeneity of the samples and the differences of the electrical conductivity of their respective fillers. I found that the PB sample with 20% of PEG and PNC sample with 5% GO, show same value of ellipsometric parameters (Ψ ~ 30 and Δ ~ 5) and these values also remains constant over the whole wavelength range. Thus these optical parameters also demand that the 20% of PEG in the PB and 5% of GO in the PNC need to be highly homogenous, and these can be also well confirmed from the highly homogenous microstructures respectively (Fig. 1b and f). Thus the extent of variation in parameters Ψ and Δ confirms the homogeneity and the morphological changes of the PB/PNC, and find their suitability for optical applications.

The variation of real and imaginary parts of complex refractive index ($\tilde{n} = n + ik$) (Fig. 4) is shown for all the PB/PNC, where ‘n’ and ‘k’ represents the refractive index
and the extinction coefficient of the PB/PNC films with wavelengths in the range 500–800 nm. It can be observed that there is a significant change in the refractive index and extinction coefficient for all PB/PNC samples as a function of weight % of the filers in the PB/PNC over the wavelengths in the range of 500–800 nm. Similar type of observation as that of variation of $\Psi$ and $\Delta$ was found for ‘$n$’ and ‘$k$’ for both PB/PNC samples. In the case of PB, 10% and 20% of PEG in the PB sample, show higher value of refractive index [$n \sim 1.1–1.3$] with low extinction coefficient [$k \sim 0.0–0.05$] was observed and are found to be constant in the region of wavelength of 500–800 nm (Fig. 4a, b). However for the PNC with wt% of GO as 0.02, 0.03 and 0.05, higher value of refractive index [$n \sim 1.2–1.3$] with low extinction coefficient [$k \sim 0.0–0.1$] was observed and are found to be constant in the region of wavelength of 500–800 nm [Fig. 4c, d]. Hence these respective fluctuations and constancy of the optical parameters can be attributed to the extent of heterogeneity/homogeneity of the PB/PNC samples respectively (Fig. 1).

Similarly, the variation of real and imaginary parts of complex dielectric function ($\varepsilon = \varepsilon' + i\varepsilon''$) (Fig. 5) are shown for all the PB/PNC, where ‘$\varepsilon'$’ and ‘$\varepsilon''$’ represents the real part and the imaginary parts of the complex dielectric function of the PB/PNC films with wavelengths in the ranges of 500–800 nm. From Fig. 5, the similar types of observations were recorded for both PB/PNC as that of Figs. 3 and 4. The real and imaginary parts of complex dielectric function remains constant for the samples with wt% as 0.20, while large variations were obtained for all other PB/PNC (Panda et al. 2019, 2011, 2010, 2008a, 2008b; Liang et al. 2021, 2022; Panda 2017, 2021; Altaf et al. 2022; Sun et al. 2021; Zhang et al. 2021a, 2021b; Han et al. 2021; Yin et al. 2021; Wei et al. 2021).
Similarly, the real and imaginary parts of complex dielectric function remains constant for the samples with wt% as 0.03 and 0.05, while large variations were obtained for all other PNC. From all the graphs (Figs. 3, 4, 5), it can be observed that all the optical parameters of the PB sample with 20 wt% of PEG and for PNC sample with GO as 0.05, are highly stable over the complete wave length region and these achieved stabilized values are also attributed to the excellent homogeneity of the prepared samples (Fig. 1b, f).

4 Conclusions

In conclusion, the micro structural and optical properties of PVDF/PEG PB and PVDF/GO PNC prepared through spin coating technique have been studied. The effects of the two fillers on the structural and optical properties like absorbance, refractive index, extinction coefficient real and imaginary parts of complex dielectric function etc. were investigated. The UV–visible absorbance spectra of both PB/PNC samples revealed an increase in absorbance with addition of fillers. Further, the value of absorbance with 5% PNC was nearly 10 times higher than that of 40% PB, attributed to the higher electrical conductivity of GO. From this it can be concluded that PVDF/GO PNC are more optically active in comparison to PVDF/PEG PB. Similarly, the elipsometric parameters were also found to be dependent on the loading of the fillers in the case of both PB and PNC. The optical and dielectric parameters of the PB sample with...
20 wt% of PEG and for PNC sample with GO as 5%, are highly stable over the complete wavelength region and also are of the same order of the value for all optical parameters. Hence on the basis of flexibility, homogeneity and optical activity, the two samples, i.e. 20 wt% of PEG among all the PB samples and 5% of GO among all the PNC samples may be the effective materials for optical/optoelectronic applications. Even if more specifically, by looking at the observed excellent homogeneity, uniformity of absorbance and other optical parameters, the 5% of GO PNC is an interesting and comparatively a better material developed for optical/optoelectronic applications.
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