Extrasynaptic neurotransmission in the modulation of brain function. Focus on the striatal neuronal–glial networks
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Extrasynaptic neurotransmission is an important short distance form of volume transmission (VT) and describes the extracellular diffusion of transmitters and modulators after synaptic spillover or extrasynaptic release in the local circuit regions binding to and activating mainly extrasynaptic neuronal and glial receptors in the neuroglial networks of the brain. Receptor-receptor interactions in G protein-coupled receptor (GPCR) heteromers play a major role, on dendritic spines and nerve terminals including glutamate synapses, in the integrative processes of the extrasynaptic signaling. Heteromeric complexes between GPCR and ion-channel receptors play a special role in the integration of the synaptic and extrasynaptic signals. Changes in extracellular concentrations of the classical synaptic neurotransmitters glutamate and GABA found with microdialysis is likely an expression of the activity of the neuron-astrocyte unit of the brain and can be used as an index of VT-mediated actions of these two neurotransmitters in the brain. Thus, the activity of neurons may be functionally linked to the activity of astrocytes, which may release glutamate and GABA to the extracellular space where extrasynaptic glutamate and GABA receptors do exist. Wiring transmission (WT) and VT are fundamental properties of all neurons of the CNS but the balance between WT and VT varies from one nerve cell population to the other. The focus is on the striatal cellular networks, and the WT and VT and their integration via receptor heteromers are described in the GABA projection neurons, the glutamate, dopamine, 5-hydroxytryptamine (5-HT) and histamine striatal afferents, the cholinergic interneurons, and different types of GABA interneurons. In addition, the role in these networks of VT signaling of the energy-dependent modulator adenosine and of endocannabinoids mainly formed in the striatal projection neurons will be underlined to understand the communication in the striatal cellular networks.
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INTRODUCTION
The discovery of the central dopamine (DA), noradrenaline (NA), and 5-hydroxytryptamine (5-HT) pathways was made in 1963–1965 with the Falck-Hillarp technique (Falck et al., 1962) and represented my thesis work (see Fuxe, 1965; Fuxe et al., 2007a). These monoamine brainstem systems were unique in having ascending monosynaptic connections with the tel- and diencephalon including the cerebral cortex giving rise to global networks all over the tel- and diencephalon with collaterals forming terminal networks in the lower brainstem. They also produced descending connections to the spinal cord innervating its entire gray matter at all rostro-caudal levels. The locus coeruleus built up of NA cell bodies could even send out both ascending and descending NA projections as well as NA projections to the cerebellum resulting in a global modulation of the entire central nervous system (CNS) from a single nerve cell nucleus through the vast NA nerve terminal plexa formed. This unique architecture of the central monoamine neurons gave thoughts on if in fact these neurons communicated via synaptic transmission.

In 1969–1970 we observed the appearance of extraneuronal diffuse (catecholamine) fluorescence around midbrain DA nerve cell bodies after amphetamine, a catecholamine releasing drug,
in reserpine-nialamide-L-dopa treated rats (Fuxe and Ungerstedt, 1970a). In parallel, a similar diffuse extraneuronal 5-HT fluorescence was found among the 5-HT cell bodies of the dorsal raphe nucleus after 5-HT uptake blockade with chlorimipramine in reserpine and nialamide pretreated rats (Fuxe et al., 1970b).

We did not, in the beginning, understand these observations but we asked the question if they reflected a communication different from the synaptic one. We also observed that microinjected DA in the striatum could diffuse (Ungerstedt et al., 1969). This observation was confirmed in 1992 with DA immunohistochemistry (Agnati et al., 1992).

Such observations and the existence of global monoamine terminal networks together with several other observations in the literature, especially the demonstration of non-junctional monoamine varicosities by Descarries et al. (1975) led Agnati et al. (1986) to propose the concept of volume transmission (VT). The major observation in this paper was the failure to see a correlation between the regional distribution of central encephalin and beta-endorphin immunoreactive terminal networks and of central opioid receptors. The existence of two main modes of intercellular communication in the CNS was proposed and called wiring transmission (WT; prototype: synaptic transmission) and VT (Agnati et al., 1986). The major criterion for this classification was the different characteristics of the communication channel with physical boundaries well delimited in the case of WT (axons and their synapses; gap junctions) (Froes et al., 1999; Ozog et al., 2002; Bennett et al., 2003; Duan et al., 2004; Schools et al., 2006) but not in the case of VT (the extracellular fluid (ECF) filled tortuous channels of the extracellular space (ECS) and the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) filled ventricular space and sub-arachnoidal space). Of special importance for us was the demonstration of relative transmitter-receptor mismatches in the opioid peptide systems (Agnati et al., 1986; Fuxe et al., 1988c). In parallel, Descarries et al. (1991) developed his concept of diffuse transmission based on the existence of non-junctional monoamine varicosities which is in line with our concept of VT.

VOLUME TRANSMISSION

Volume transmission is defined as “A widespread mode of intercellular communication that occurs in the ECF and in the CSF of the CNS with VT signals moving from source to target cells via energy gradients leading to diffusion and convection.” The communication channels are diffuse; there exists a reserved or private communication mainly mediated via high affinity G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs); the safety of communication is low as a result of the diffusion process and the connectivity of the diffusion channels is dynamic from open to closed (see Fuxe and Agnati, 1991b; Agnati et al., 2000, 2006; Chen et al., 2002a; Fuxe et al., 2005, 2007a). There may exist three subtypes of VT: extrasynaptic fast VT (100 msec-sec), the long-distance slow VT (sec-hour), and the roamer type of VT-mediated via microvesicles (Agnati et al., 2010a; Fuxe et al., 2010a). Two modes of microvesicle release exist namely the exocytosis of internal luminal vesicles formed in the multivesicular bodies (exosomes) and the direct budding of small vesicles from the plasma membrane (shedding vesicles). Exosomes represent a subclass of such membrane vesicles which are released by cells upon fusion of the multivesicular bodies with the plasma membrane (Guescini et al., 2012).

Migration (diffusion and flow) of VT signals (transmitters, modulators, trophic factors, ions, etc.) takes place via concentration gradients, temperature gradients, and pressure waves.

Wiring transmission, the prototype being synaptic transmission is characterized by private channels (axons) and a private or reserved communication mainly mediated by ion-channel receptors and operates with high speed (msecs); the safety is high and the connectivity static and/or dynamic. One subtype of WT is the gap junction the communication of which has no privacy but can be described as having a broadcasting character.

The overall evidence indicates that vast majority of neurons possess the fundamental property of operating via both WT and VT but the balance between them varies from one nerve cell population to the other and with the dynamic state of the neuron. In contrast, glial cells and endothelial cells operate exclusively via VT. The source of the neuronal VT signal is mainly from nerve terminal networks but also from soma and dendrites.

The ECS is characterized by three parameters (see Nicholson and Sykova, 1998). Volume fraction is the relative size of the ECS compared to total brain tissue volume. Tortuosity is the increase in path length that is imposed on a migrating molecule by cellular structures and extracellular matrix components. These two parameters are unit-less. Then, there is the clearance which is a constant that reflects the removal or disappearance of a compound from the ECS, and has the unit per second. Also a mathematical theory of diffusion in the brain with dual-probe microdialysis has been developed and the theory was able to fit the experimental data (Chen et al., 2002b; Hoistad et al., 2002). The diffusion of 3H-mannitol using dual-probe microdialysis enabled a quantification of the classical diffusion parameters discussed above in the rat striatum.

EXTRASYNAPTIC TRANSMISSION (A SUBTYPE OF VT)

Extrasynaptic VT including persynaptic transmission is linked to synaptic transmission and likely often takes place due to incomplete diffusion barriers (transmitter spillover or extrasynaptic release) with the synaptic transmitter reaching extrasynaptic domains of the pre and postsynaptic membrane of the synapse, the astroglia, and even adjacent synapses (Vizi, 1980; Vizi et al., 2004; Harvey and Svoboda, 2007). Other terminal varicosities fail to form synapses and are asymptic and release the transmitter directly into the ECS. This subtype of VT operates at the local circuit level mainly through binding and activation of extrasynaptic high affinity GPCRs on neuronal and glial cells (Carmignoto, 2000) but also receptor tyrosine kinase, ion-channel receptors, and cytokine receptors are involved in linking together regulation of gene expression, firing and trophism, blood flow, and immune responses in the neuralglial networks of the CNS. In this integrative process, receptor–receptor interactions in different types of receptor heteromers play a major role (see Fuxe et al., 2007a; Borroto-Escuela et al., 2010, 2011; Fuxe et al., 2010a,b; Kenakin et al., 2010).

Extrasynaptic glutamate and GABA transmission

The classical synaptic transmitters glutamate and GABA can be measured extracellularly with microdialysis (see Ferraro et al., 1998) and reach receptors at surrounding astroglia, and at neighboring synapses, modulating the synaptic transmission.
process and leading to astroglial release of glutamate being dependent on the efficacy of the glial and neuronal transporters of glutamate (see Del Arco et al., 2003; Fuxe et al., 2007b). Thus, the extrasynaptic transmission can be blocked by glial sheaths and extracellular matrix through their formation of diffusion barriers e.g., perineuronal nets and the astrocytic isolation may change over a short or long time-scale. The fate of escaping transmitters is determined not only by the transporters but also by inactivating enzymes. It seems clear that one and the same transmitter, like glutamate and GABA, can be released both as a synaptic signal and as an extrasynaptic signal producing a rapid form of VT.

As an extrasynaptic signal, glutamate can increase calcium mediated astroglial glutamate release via activation of astroglial metabotropic glutamate receptors which may involve both exocytosis and transporters (Del Arco et al., 2003). The increase of extracellular glutamate levels can be detected by microdialysis (Del Arco et al., 2003). In this way, metabolic and trophic adjustments can develop in the neuron-astrocytic unit upon activation of its glutamate synapses including increases in blood flow.

Recently, imaging of extrasynaptic glutamate VT has been accomplished by Okubo et al. (2010) during synaptic activity. The glutamate optical sensor (EOS) is a hybrid-type fluorescent indicator consisting of the glutamate-binding domain of the AMPAR subunit GluR2 and a fluorescent small molecule conjugated near the glutamate-binding pocket. EOS changes its fluorescence intensity upon binding of glutamate, for which it has both high affinity and high selectivity. The electron microscopy analysis indicated that labeled K716A-EOS was distributed throughout the extracellular surface of cells without any accumulation in the synaptic cleft, and that >97% of the indicator molecules were present extrasynaptically. They imaged the K716A-glutamate optical signal (EOS) fluorescence at various depths of the brain slice in response to parallel fiber stimulation. The magnitude and duration of the extrasynaptic dynamics were adequate for the activation of the extrasynaptic glutamate receptors and crosstalk between synapses was established (Okubo et al., 2010; Okubo and Iino, 2011).

**Extrasynaptic 5-HT transmission**

Double immunolabeling of 5-HT immunoreactivity (IR) in nerve terminals and 5-HT2A IR in apical dendritic shafts of pyramidal nerve cells of the cerebral cortex have demonstrated their relationships (Jansson et al., 2001). In the horizontal sections, the mean distance from a 5-HT2A-IR apical dendrite to the closest 5-HT-IR terminal-like varicosity was calculated to be 7.7 μm. Thus, these observations indicate the existence of an extrasynaptic 5-HT2A mediated VT in the cerebral cortex. The 5-HT terminal-extrasynaptic 5-HT receptor subtype relationships have been reviewed by Jansson et al. (2002). These observations are in line with the early work of Fuxe et al. (1970b) on 5-HT diffusion in the raphe dorsalis and of Descaries et al. (1975) on the existence of large numbers of non-junctional 5-HT terminal varicosities which vary from one region to the other (Descaries and Mechawar, 2000). De-Miguel and Trueta (2005) have also demonstrated extrasynaptic exocytosis of 5-HT involving multiple mechanisms including both clear vesicles and dense core vesicles. Somatic exocytosis of 5-HT in cultured leech neurons takes place exclusively from dense core vesicles (Trueta et al., 2003). It is of substantial interest that 5-HT released from cultured leech neurons produces inhibition of the firing of the 5-HT neurons through activation of 5-HT autoreceptors (Cercos et al., 2009).

**Extrasynaptic DA transmission**

Dopamine transmitter/D1 receptor mismatches have been observed by receptor autoradiography and dual immunolabeling of the pre and postjunctional structures of the ascending DA neurons (Fuxe et al., 1988a,b). Thus, release of DA is often observed not to be in strict contiguity with postsynaptic membranes, and a high incidence of non-junctional DA terminal varicosities is observed in the neostriatum (Descaries et al., 1996; Descaries and Mechawar, 2000). The ultrastructural analysis, based on transmitter and receptor immunocytochemistry, has repeatedly demonstrated short distance transmitter/receptor mismatches for the DA transmitter with the major part of the D1 and D2 receptor labeling being outside the DA synapses in the local striatal circuits, in which the DA synapses and/or non-junctional DA terminals are found (Dana et al., 1989; Levey et al., 1993; Sesack et al., 1994; Smiley et al., 1994; Hersch et al., 1995, 1997; Yung et al., 1995; Caille et al., 1996; for review see Jansson et al., 2002). The evidence therefore indicates that DA mainly acts as a VT transmitter being directly released into the ECF or reaching it via leaking DA synapses (Fuxe and Agnati, 1991a,b; Zoli and Agnati, 1996; Fuxe et al., 2007a). Rice and Cragg (2008) have modeled DA spillover after quantal release based on a large number of experimental data. In the updated DA synapse the DA release is unconstrained by the extrasynaptic dopamine transporter (DAT), the diffusion process being too fast for the DAT which mainly increases clearance of DA and thus its half-life. A cloud of DA is formed and can reach the extrasynaptic DA receptors which are in majority. Thus, the primary mode of DA communication is VT. The effective radius for high affinity DA receptors is 7–8 μm which is compatible with extrasynaptic VT. This is the extrasynaptic short distance subtype of VT. The spheres obtained would encompass tens to thousands of synapses and the action of DA involves the activation of extrasynaptic receptors on terminals, dendrites, soma, and axons.

The D2 receptors are of special interest since the KiH (the dissociation constant of the high affinity state of the receptor) value for DA at [3H] raclopride-labeled D2-like receptors in dorsal striatum was 12 nM (Marcellino et al., 2011), and this can help explain PET findings that amphetamine-induced increases in DA release can produce an up to 50% decrease of [11C] raclopride binding in the dorsal striatum in vivo (Dewey et al., 1993; Laruelle, 2000; Seneca et al., 2006). These combined results give indications for the existence of striatal D2-like receptor-mediated extrasynaptic form of DA VT at the local circuit level in vivo in the human striatum (Marcellino et al., 2011). However, in Parkinson disease also a long-distance form of DA VT likely develops due to the development of DA receptor supersensitivity (Fuxe et al., 2003, 2010c). Thus, larger distances of diffusion of released DA can still maintain DA transmission since the supersensitive DA receptors are sensitive to very low concentrations of DA. Parkinson disease develops when too few DA terminal networks remain and distance from the DA release site to the vast majority of DA becomes too distant for DA receptor activation (Fuxe, 1979). At this stage l-DOPA
treatment and/or D2 receptor agonist treatment are introduced producing antiparkinson actions through activation of the supersensitive striatal DA (Fuxe et al., 2003, 2010c). Thus, this treatment substitutes for the loss of DA VT in Parkinson disease.

**Extrasynaptic noradrenaline transmission**

The low synaptic incidence of cortical NA nerve terminal plexa has been demonstrated in the rat and monkey being in the order of 7–18% (Seguela et al., 1990; Aoki et al., 1998), which is clearly lower than the synaptic incidence in cortical (56–92%) and neostriatal (30–40%) DA nerve terminal plexa in the rat (Descarries and Mechawar, 2000).

The relationships of NA nerve terminal to extrasynaptic adrenergic receptors have been established (Aoki et al., 1998). Dual immunolabeling of β-adrenergic receptors and CA nerve terminal networks in the cerebral cortex using electron microscopic immunocytochemistry have demonstrated membrane contacts between CA nerve terminals rich in vesicles and β-adrenergic IR astrocytes, giving evidence for neuroglia communication via VT (Aoki, 1992; Aoki and Pickel, 1992). It was of interest that these β-adrenergic receptor IR astrocytes also surrounded asymmetric axo-spinous synapses, where the astroglia β-adrenergic receptors may modulate e.g., glutamate spillover by modulating the activity of the glial glutamate transporters and/or the permeability of the astroglial gap junctions, and thus the sphere of astroglia activation (Aoki, 1992). In another study with similar techniques, Aoki et al. (1998) also demonstrated that prefrontal NA terminal networks can interact via VT with astroglia, dendritic shafts, and axon terminals through their α2-adrenergic receptors as well as via synaptic transmission through α2-adrenergic receptors located in postsynaptic membranes at spines of pyramidal cells.

A dysfunction of the locus coeruleus NA system together with meso-cortical DA system may contribute to attention deficit hyperactivity disorders (ADHD). High levels of D4 IR have been found in many cortical regions like motor, somatosensory, temporal association, and cingulate cortices with synaptic and extrasynaptic localizations (Rivera et al., 2008). In addition, these receptors have a high affinity for NA (Newman-Tancredi et al., 1997), and the D4 IR is in fact more closely related to the widespread NA terminal plexa than the restricted DA terminal plexa (mainly limbic cortex).

It should therefore be considered that CAs may be released from the cortical NA nerve terminals and may, via extrasynaptic VT, reach and activate dopamine D4 receptors located on pyramidal and non-pyramidal nerve cells in many regions of the cerebral cortex (Rivera et al., 2008). Thus, methylphenidate drugs used in the treatment of ADHD are known to release DA and NA and may therefore act in part by restoring synaptic and extrasynaptic DA and NA transmission in the cerebral cortex (see Smiley et al., 1992; Smiley et al., 1997) involving not only classical D1, D2, and adrenergic receptors but also D4 receptors which may be activated also by extrasynaptic NA transmission (Rivera et al., 2008).

**Extrasynaptic acetylcholine transmission**

Widespread plexa of cholinergic nerve terminals exist in the CNS with high densities especially in the striatum and have, like the NA and 5-HT nerve terminal networks, been found to have a low synaptic incidence in the cerebral cortex and neostriatum and thus mainly operate via volume (diffuse) transmission (Descarries and Mechawar, 2000). This was in the beginning found to be surprising since acetylcholinesterase was believed to quickly degrade acetylcholine in the synaptic cleft. The explanation is that this effective degradation is performed by the A12 isofrom of acetylcholinesterase while brain acetylcholinesterase mainly consists of the G4 isofrom (Gisiger and Stephens, 1988) as discussed by Descarries et al. (1997). Thus, the extrasynaptic form of VT can develop but long distance VT is prevented by the brain acetylcholinesterase.

**Extracellular monoamine and acetylcholine transmission and vesicular glutamate transporters**

Large numbers of monoamine and acetylcholine neurons have been shown to contain a vesicular glutamate transporter (VGLUT; see El Mestikawy et al., 2011) which may have relevance for extrasynaptic monoamine and acetylcholine transmission. Thus, the VGLUT is mainly driven by the vesicular transmembrane potential component of the proton gradient which leads to more acidified vesicles. This allows the vesicular monoamine transporter (VMAT2) and vesicular acetylcholine transporters (VChT) to accommodate higher amounts of monoamines and acetylcholine, respectively since these transporters are mainly dependent on the pH gradient over the vesicular membrane (El Mestikawy et al., 2011). Three types of VGLUT exist namely VGLUT1, VGLUT2, and VGLUT3 and are present in central monoamine and acetylcholine neurons besides GABA and glutamate neurons. This has led to discussions on whether glutamate can be co-transmitter in these neurons enabling glutamate co-release. It has been especially discussed if glutamate in DA neurons is linked to the formation of junctional DA terminals (synapses; Descarries et al., 2008). However, it appears to be largely a developmental feature since double labeling of tyrosine hydroxylase (TH) and VGLUT2 in DA terminals is hardly observed in adult rats. It is of substantial interest that a heterogeneity in DA, 5-HT and acetylcholine terminals may exist with regard to co-expression of VGLUTs and VMAT2 or VChT (see El Mestikawy et al., 2011). In some of them it seems possible that there may exist synaptic vesicles containing both VMAT2 and VGLUT2 (DA varicosity), VMAT2 and VGLUT3 (5-HT varicosity), and VChT and VGLUT3 (acetylcholine varicosity). In fact, evidence exists that the presence of VGLUT can lead to so-called vesicular synergy meaning increased accumulation of the primary transmitter (monoamine or acetylcholine; Gras et al., 2008; Amilhon et al., 2010; Hnasko et al., 2010). The molecular mechanism for vesicular synergy is likely that the VGLUT is driven by the vesicular transmembrane potential with the accumulation of glutamate (acid) leading to an acidification of the vesicle. In view of the fact that the VMAT2 and VChT is dependent on a pH gradient over the vesicular membrane this will allow increased amounts of monoamines and acetylcholine to accumulate in the monoamine and acetylcholine vesicles (El Mestikawy et al., 2011). This is likely of relevance for the extrasynaptic monoamine and acetylcholine transmission since higher amounts of transmitter can be released into the ECF directly or via synaptic spillover from these varicosities and a larger volume can be reached with sufficient concentrations of monoamines and acetylcholine.
acetylcholine to activate their extrasynaptic high affinity receptors. Increased quantal contents of vesicles of the primary transmitter with increased release has in fact been shown for VGLUT3 in 5-HT and acetylcholine neurons (Gras et al., 2008; Amilhon et al., 2010). Thus, an increase in extrasynaptic transmission is obtained. The VGLUT research will represent an exciting field for understanding VT in the CNS.

LONG DISTANCE VT

1. Long distance DA VT up to 30–50 μm may also exist in the striatum of the intact rat. Thus, we have observed substantial D1-TH terminal mismatches (in the maximal range of 30–50 μm) in the nucleus accumbens shell with D1 rich areas surrounded by highly dense DA nerve terminal plexa (Jansson et al., 1999). It may be that here the existence of energy gradients, especially temperature gradients created by the uncoupling protein 2 (UCP2) in the DA terminal regions, increase the migration of DA into the D1 rich and TH poor region (Rivera et al., 2006). UCP2 produces a disappearance of the H+ gradient in the mitochondria with generation of heat leading to a temperature gradient vs. the D1 receptor rich cellular network. This may lead to mass movement of the ECF (flow) carrying DA into the mismatch region which is more rapid than DA diffusion alone. It should be mentioned that DA can also be released into the portal blood vessels from the tuberoinfundibular DA neurons (Fuxe, 1963, 1964; Fuxe et al., 1967; MacLeod and Lehmeyer, 1974; Andersson et al., 1981) to activate the D2 receptors on the prolactin cells of the anterior pituitary. Thus, DA can act as a synaptic transmitter, VT transmitter, and as a hypothalamic hormone. 

2. Long distance peptide VT and CSF VT

Peptide neurons likely operate via long distance VT with distances over 1 mm involving also flow in the CSF (Fuxe et al., 2010a). One of the best examples is CSF delivered beta-endorphin (2500 pmol in 5 μl) which could accumulate in nerve cell body subpopulations and their dendrites all over the paraventricular hypothalamus as seen 15 min after the CSF injection (Agnati et al., 1992; Bjelke and Fuxe, 1993). The likely mechanism is that beta-endorphin is internalized into discrete subependymal and periventricular nerve terminal plexa and then undergoes retrograde transport to the nerve cell bodies developing beta-endorphin IR. These results indicate that beta-endorphin CSF VT may exist which – via opioid receptors located on periventricular nerve terminal plexa – may become internalized and retrogradely transported to potentially modulate the gene expression and firing of such neurons (Agnati et al., 1992). Striately microinjected beta-endorphin can also reach the CSF as an intact peptide as shown with mass-spectrometry (Hoistad et al., 2005). So, beta-endorphin may not only employ long distance diffusion in the ECF but also CSF mediated VT as a complementary communication pathway, to also reach and activate distant opioid receptors. These results are in line with the work of Duggan’s group on beta-endorphin based on antibody microprobes (MacMillan et al., 1998). They observed that beta-endorphin IR could be detected in CSF and remote brain areas lacking beta-endorphin IR terminals, like the cerebral cortex, 60–90 min after the initial stimulation of the arcuate nucleus where the beta-endorphin cell bodies are located.

These results taken together give strong indications that beta-endorphin could migrate for long distances in the ECF and CSF after its release from beta-endorphin IR nerve terminal networks. These results are also of relevance for understanding cotransmission in monoamine neurons often containing neuropeptides (see book by Hökfelt et al., 1986). The release of neuropeptides may allow the monoamine neurons to send VT signals to cellular networks further away from the monoamine terminals. Peptides and proteins may have a high stability and/or act via active peptide fragments which make long distance VT possible involving also CSF VT (Fuxe et al., 2010a and see above). The temporal code of VT related to dynamic changes in release of transmitters is likely to exist especially at short distances, while with long distances of diffusion/convection observed with peptides/proteins the dynamic changes in VT modulating the wired networks may be less pronounced. However, it seems likely that peptide release may be exceptionally enhanced with burst firing based on the work of Lundberg (1991) indicating that long-distance peptides/protein VT can be markedly increased under specific physiological conditions.

In the case of monoamine/peptide co-storing neurons it thus seems likely that the co-released monoamines and peptides from the same nerve terminal networks only interact via receptor-receptor interactions in the range of short diffusion distances. At long distances the migrating peptides/proteins probably along preferential VT channels (paravascular ECF channels or ECF channels along myelinated fiber bundles) instead activate receptors which interact with receptors stimulated by transmitters belonging to other types of neurons.

UNDERSTANDING THE ROLE OF EXTRASYNAPTIC AND LONG DISTANCE VT IN THE FUNCTIONAL MODULES (NEURONAL NETWORKS) OF THE CNS GIVING RISE TO THE NEURONAL CIRCUITS

The neuronal–astroglial networks form functional modules which give rise to several outputs/efferences that is several types of axon bundles forming fiber tracts that influence in various ways other functional modules linked to it. The resulting activity changes in these functional modules will, by forming dynamic brain circuits, have an impact on brain function e.g., behavioral changes. A major role of the VT signals is to modulate the WT, especially the synaptic transmission, in the neuronal networks forming the functional modules. In this way, via changes in VT, it becomes possible for the same neuronal network to change the balance of activity in its different projection neurons to other functional modules (neuronal networks) and thus in their brain circuits and in brain function. The mechanism involved is likely the ability of the diffusing VT signals in ECF to upregulate and/or downregulate synaptic transmission in different parts of the neuronal network via activation of e.g., monoamine, acetylcholine, and/or peptide receptors mainly located in the local circuits of the neuronal–astroglial network. Both neuronal extrasynaptic and long distance VT signaling can be involved and the architecture of the diverse high affinity receptor distributions on the neurons and glia will determine the outcome on the balance of activity in the different types of projection neurons from the functional module. These neuronal VT
signals can be released from terminal networks within the functional module with nerve cell bodies located outside the functional module or from interneurons within the module. Also the projection neurons of the module can mainly via recurrent collaterals give rise to VT signals. There also exists soma-dendritic extrasynaptic excytosis of VT signals within the neurons of the module contributing inter alia to the activation and regulation of the high affinity soma-dendritic autoreceptors at this level (see De-Miguel and Trueta, 2005). Finally, VT signals arise also from the glial cells of the module like adenosine (Ferre and Fuxe, 2000) and kynurenic acid (Schwarcz and Pellicciari, 2002) from the astroglia. The major molecular mechanism appears to be the modulation of synaptic receptors through activation of extrasynaptic receptors by VT signals which modulate the synaptic receptors via allosteric receptor–receptor interactions in receptor heteromers built up of synaptic and extrasynaptic receptors (Agnati et al., 2010a; Fuxe et al., 2010a). The formation of receptor heteromers by synaptic ion-channel receptors like NMDA and GABA receptors and GPCRs have been demonstrated by Fang Liu and colleagues (see Liu et al., 2000; Lee et al., 2002; Liu et al., 2006). In addition, also VT signals can interact and integrate their signaling via GPCR–GPCR interactions in heteromers e.g., A2A-D2 heteromers at the extrasynaptic level (Fuxe et al., 1998, 2010b).

EXTRASYNAPTIC AND LONG DISTANCE VOLUME TRANSMISSION IN THE NEURONAL–ASTROGLIAL NETWORKS OF THE MATRIX OF THE DORSAL STRIATUM

Projection neurons

The analysis of this striatal network gives a beautiful example of the interplay of synaptic transmission and VT in the regulation of the neuronal–astroglial networks of the CNS. Around 95% of the nerve cells represent projection neurons, the so-called GABAergic medium spiny neurons (MSN). There exist mainly two subtypes: The enkephalin containing striato-pallidal GABA neurons (indirect pathway; external globus pallidus) and the dynorphin and substance P containing striato-entopeduncular (internal globus pallidus) and striato-nigral GABA neurons (direct pathway). The MSN GABA neurons give rise to axon collaterals which may have a significant feedback effect with a reduction of the overall activity in the striatal matrix through GABA synapses (Tepper et al., 2008).

Opioid peptides. The axon collaterals of the striato-pallidal GABA neurons forming functional and non-functional varicosities likely release enkephalins into ECF extrasynaptically or via synaptic spillover (Agnati et al., 1986; Fuxe et al., 1988c), to activate mu and delta opioid receptors located on terminals (mainly delta opioid receptors) and dendrites (mainly mu opioid receptors) of the striatal local circuits including the DA nerve terminals (Eghbali et al., 1987; Arvidsson et al., 1995). Enkephalin immunoreactive terminals in double immunolabeling experiments are closely associated with these two types of opioid receptors and therefore the enkephalin transmission likely mainly involves extrasynaptic VT (Arvidsson et al., 1995). It is of substantial interest that D1-mu-opioid receptor heteromers have been demonstrated in cellular models (Juhasz et al., 2008) and morphine induced locomotion requires D1 receptor activation (Hnasko et al., 2005). In view of the fact that the vast majority of the D1 receptors exist in the striato-entopeduncular/nigral GABA neurons (direct pathway) lacking D2 receptors one important function of the released enkephalins from the axon collaterals, and possibly dendrites of the striato-pallidal GABA neurons, may be to diffuse to and enhance signaling in the D1-mu-opioid receptor heteromer located in the dendrites of the direct pathway increasing its activity. D1 and mu opioid receptors are also co-located in the striatum (Juhasz et al., 2008). Thus, the extrasynaptic enkephalin signaling of the striato-pallidal GABA neurons causing motor inhibition may inter alia facilitate cross-modulation of the adjacent neurons of the direct pathway which increases motor initiation. In this way the unbalance of activity in the two main efferent pathways will be reduced and assisting in counteracting excessive motor inhibition. There may exist also D4-mu opioid receptor heteromers in the striatum especially in the striatal islands (striosomes) where the D4 and mu opioid receptors are enriched and co-located (Gago et al., 2007; Fuxe et al., 2008c; Rivera et al., 2008) with D4 activation markedly antagonizing morphine induced transcription factor expression in the striatum (Gago et al., 2011).

In contrast, the dynorphin immunoreactive terminals of the direct pathway are in proximity with the dendritic kappa opioid receptors (Elde et al., 1995) likely representing a kappa opioid receptor-mediated extrasynaptic dynorphin transmission. It can be speculated that this partially represents a reciprocal regulation by the direct pathway of the indirect pathway, the striato-pallidal GABA neurons which are enriched in D2 receptors and may possess kappa opioid receptors. It seems likely that these dynorphin immunoreactive terminals also may contain substance P immunoreactivity (Bolam et al., 1983) and also operate via extrasynaptic substance P transmission. There does also exist a DA D1-D2 receptor heteromer signaling pathway in dynorphin/enkephalin MSN but they have a low incidence in the caudate putamen (Perreault et al., 2010; Hashi et al., 2011).

Neurotensin peptides. The striatal NT tissue IR and mRNA levels are increased by antipsychotic drugs through their D2 receptor blocking actions (see Frey et al., 1986; Augood et al., 1991). These results indicated that the acute administration of D2 DA receptor antagonists increased NT levels in the striatum and nuc. accumbens, and that antipsychotic drugs (clozapine, fluperlapine) showing a relative lack of extrapyramidal side effects may be characterized by a failure to maintain increased NT levels in the basal ganglia upon long-term treatment in contrast to chronic haloperidol, chlorpromazine, and sulpiride (Frey et al., 1986). Instead, the D1 receptor antagonist 23930 acutely reduced the striatal NT tissue levels (Frey et al., 1986). The signs of increased NT storage and synthesis in the striatum – at least after long-term treatment with haloperidol – have been found to be associated with reduced NT IR efflux into the ECF in the ventral striatum (Gruber et al., 2002). The NT mRNA signals as studied with in situ hybridization are normally sparsely distributed (Schifffmann and Vanderhaeghen, 1993) and markedly increased by D2 antagonist treatment in the MSN representing the projection neurons (Augood et al., 1991). Thus, NT peptides may be synthesized and released from the D2 enriched striato-pallidal and D1 enriched striato-nigral GABA neurons to activate NTS1 receptors through extrasynaptic transmission. Antagonistic NTS1-D2 receptor–receptor interaction in
putative NTS1-D2 heteromers have been repeatedly demonstrated in the striatum (Agnati et al., 1983a; Von Euler and Fuxe, 1987; von Euler, 1991; Li et al., 1994b; Diaz-Cabiale et al., 2002) located on the striatal DA terminals (NTS1-D2 autoreceptor interactions, Tanganelli et al. (1989)) and at the postjunctional level (Fuxe et al., 1992, 1995; Tanganelli et al., 1994; Li et al., 1995a) as indicated from microdialysis studies. A major achievement by Ferraro et al. (1995, 2011, 2012) has also been the discovery that NT peptides – via facilitatory NTS1-NMDA receptor-receptor interactions – can enhance the synaptic glutamate transmission. In this way, extrasynaptic and synaptic signals can become integrated as previously shown by Liu and colleagues through demonstration of D5–GABA, D1-NMDA, and D2-NMDA heteromer formation and their receptor–receptor interactions (Liu et al., 2006; Lee et al., 2002, 2005). Specifically, the NT peptides when released can enhance the glutamate synaptic signaling onto the striato-pallidal GABA neurons through the enhancing NTS1-NMDA receptor–receptor interaction (Tanganelli et al., 2012). This increased activation of the striato-pallidal GABA neurons is further strengthened by the antagonistic D2-NTS1 receptor–receptor interactions at the postjunctinal level of the DA transmission reducing D2 signaling and its inhibitory action on the striato-pallidal GABA neurons (Tanganelli et al., 2012). The antagonistic NTS1-D2 autoreceptor interaction at the DA terminal level in contrast will increase DA release which via diffusion can increase D1 receptor signaling in the direct pathway since antagonistic D1-NTS1 receptor–receptor interactions do not exist. The net result of the NT extrasynaptic signaling will therefore be an increase in the activity of the striato-pallidal GABA neurons. This leads to increased motor inhibition balanced by increased activity of the direct pathway mediating motor initiation via increasing D1 receptor activity in the striato-entopeduncular-nigral GABA pathway.

It seems that cholecystokinin (CCK) peptides from glutamate synapses and NT peptides from MSN have similar functional and neurochemical actions by reducing and signaling of the D2 protomers in putative CCK2-D2 and NTS1-D2 heteromers, respectively but with the difference that NTS1 may also form heteromers with NMDA receptors. In line with these observations, threshold doses of CCK and NT peptides have been found to produce synergistic effects on D2 receptor affinity and DA release as seen in microdialysis studies (Tanganelli et al., 1993).

**Afferents**

*Cortico-striatal and thalamo-striatal glutamate synapses.* These glutamate synapses have a major impact on the firing of the striatal projection neurons and the striatal interneurons (Bennett and Bolam, 1994; Surmeier et al., 2007; Tepper et al., 2007; Gerfen and Surmeier, 2011). It is of interest that CCK immunoreactivity exists in the cortico-striatal glutamate neurons (Morino et al., 1994). CCK peptides may therefore be released from these glutamate synapses via spillover or extrasynaptic release to modulate the activity of the striatal local circuits via extrasynaptic transmission. In view of the demonstration of antagonistic CCK2-D2 receptor–receptor interactions in putative CCK2-D2 heteromers (Fuxe et al., 1981, 1983; Agnati et al., 1983b; Li et al., 1995b; Dasgupta et al., 1996) in the striato-pallidal GABA neurons (postjunctional CCK2 receptors) and on the striatal DA afferents (Tanganelli et al., 1990, 2001) one role of the CCK peptides released upon firing of the cortico-striatal neurons may be to enhance glutamate signaling on the D1 enriched direct pathway versus the D2 enriched striato-pallidal GABA pathways eliciting motor inhibition. The existence of striatal nerve cells coexpressing CCK2 and D2 receptor mRNAs have in fact been demonstrated (Hansson et al., 1998). Thus, CCK2 activation by the diffusing CCK peptides in the local circuit will increase DA release by inhibiting the signaling over the D2 autoreceptor and the postjunctural D2 but not D1 signaling will be reduced. This will result in increased D1 signaling in the D1 enriched direct pathway favoring motor initiation.

It is of substantial interest that DA D1 receptors are involved in the modulation of DA D2 receptors induced by CCK receptor subtypes in rat neostriatal membranes (Li et al., 1994a), in view of the recent demonstration of DA D1–D2 heteromers by George and O’Dowd (2007) operating via a calcium signaling pathway. They have now been shown to exist in DA D1 expressing neurons especially in nuc accumbens (20–30%) and in the globus pallidus (59%; Hasbi et al., 2011). Our findings in 1994 (Li et al., 1994a), which demanded the coexistence of D1 and D2 receptors in the same striatal neurons, indicated that CCK-8 can reduce or increase the affinity of DA D2 receptors in rat neostriatal membrane preparations depending on the activity at the D1 receptors which when activated caused the CCK-8 to increase the affinity of the D2 receptors instead of reducing it. Thus, D1 receptors exert a switching role in the modulation of D2 receptors by CCK receptors. Our hypothesis is that the molecular basis for this phenomenon is the existence of CCK2-D1-D2 trimeric heteromers or trimeric receptor mosaics in which the allosteric receptor–receptor interaction between CCK2-D2 receptors becomes markedly altered through the activation of the D1 receptor. In view of the fact that CCK2 receptor activation does not alter the binding characteristics of the D1 receptors it may be that the receptor mosaic has a triangular geometry.

It should be noticed that antipsychotic drugs, after subchronic treatment, increase the CCK octapeptide tissue levels in striatum and the meso-limbic system and remain increased even 4 weeks after cessation of treatment (Frey, 1983). Thus, the blockade of the D2 receptors results in a sustained increase in the expression of CCK peptides which if leading to increased release may reduce the affinity of the D2 receptors which may assist in the maintenance of antipsychotic actions (see Fuxe et al., 2009).

*DA, 5-HT and histamine afferents.* The highly dense DA nerve terminal plexa in the dorsal striatal cellular network originate from the substantia nigra in the ventral midbrain (see Anden et al., 1964; Fuxe et al., 1970b,c, 2007a), the moderately dense 5-HT nerve terminal plexa from the midbrain raphe (Anden et al., 1965, 1966; see Fuxe et al., 1970b,c, 2007a) and the histamine nerve terminal plexa from the tubero-mammillary nucleus in the hypothalamus (Haas and Panula, 2003). These three terminal networks originating from the brainstem mainly operate via extrasynaptic transmission to modulate the dorsal striatal cellular networks using various subtypes of dopamine (D1–D5), 5-HT (Di Matteo et al., 2008) and histamine (mainly H2–H3) receptors with extrasynaptic receptors in dominance, see special issue on the basal ganglia (Fuxe et al., 2008a). These receptors are located both on projection
neurons and interneurons as well as on the afferent nerve terminal networks. The DA and 5-HT signals can in part become integrated via the existence of D2-5-HT2A heteromers (Borroto-Escuela et al., 2010; Lukasiewicz et al., 2010; Albizu et al., 2011) mainly located in the striato-pallidal GABA neurons. We found that the 5-HT2AR-mediated phospholipase C (PLC) activation was synergistically enhanced by the concomitant activation of the D2LR and costimulation of D2LR and 5-HT2AR within the heteromer led to inhibition of the D2LR functioning, thus suggesting the existence of a 5-HT2AR-mediated D2LR trans-inhibition phenomenon (Borroto-Escuela et al., 2010). Furthermore, 5-HT2AR expression is required to obtain the complete blockade of the D2R antagonist haloperidol on the MK-801-induced increase in locomotion (Albizu et al., 2011).

The DA and histamine signals can instead become integrated by the existence of DA D1-histamine H3 receptor heteromers giving a selective link to MAPK signaling in the striato-entopeduncular-nigral GABA neurons forming the direct striatal pathway (Moreno et al., 2010).

Through these different types of brainstem afferents, the sensory-motor regulation of the dorsal striatum can obtain information from a motor system favoring movements (the nigral DA system), from an emotional system favoring an elevation of mood (midbrain raphe 5-HT system), and from a sleep-wakefulness system favoring arousal (the tubero-mammillary histamine system).

**Interneurons**

**Cholinergic interneurons.** The large aspiny cholinergic interneurons represent 1–3% if the striatal neurons and give rise to highly dense nerve terminal plexus all over the cellular networks of the striatum and possess a slow firing tonic nature (for recent reviews see Pisani et al., 2007; Oldenburg and Ding, 2011). This plexus mainly operates via extrasynaptic transmission (Descarries and Mechawar, 2000) and modulates the transmission of the medium spiny GABA projection neurons, the different types of striatal interneurons and the striatal afferents mainly via five subtypes of muscarinic receptors (M1–M5) but also via nicotinic receptors (Figure 1). The striatal M1 receptors are mainly present in the two major projection neurons, the D2 enriched striato-pallidal GABA neurons and the D1 enriched striato-entopeduncular-nigral GABA neurons (direct pathway). It is mainly coupled to Gq/11 and signal by increasing intracellular Ca levels and PLC and protein kinase C (PKC) activities. In contrast, M4 is predominantly expressed in the direct pathway and coupled to Gi/o reducing calcium channel activity and activity in the AC-PKA-CREB intracellular pathway. M1 excites the MSN and enhance their spiking by modulating potassium and Cav channels and M4 differentially shapes these actions in the striato-nigral GABA pathways by inhibiting the Cav channels (see Oldenburg and Ding, 2011). In addition, M2 and M3 receptor activation on glutamate synapses modulates the glutamate release in an inhibitory way also reducing the M1 induced excitatory effects on MSN. M4 receptors together with M2 receptor, which is linked to Gi/o as well, are also located on the cholinergic interneurons where they function as inhibitory autoreceptors.

**The role of endocannabinoids.** It is of substantial interest that another type of extrasynaptic transmission mediated by endocannabinoids is involved in mediating the actions of M1 mediated transmission of the cholinergic interneurons. Thus, long-term synaptic depression in MSN is modulated by cholinergic interneurons (Wang et al., 2006). M1 mediated actions at dendritic spines of MSN leads to reduced activity of Cav1.3 channels which brings down the formation and subsequent migration of endocannabinoids potentially located in microvesicles (Agnati et al., 2010a) with reduced activation *inter alia* of the extrasynaptic CB1 receptors on the glutamate terminals. In this way the synaptic glutamate excitatory transmission is increased. However, DA extrasynaptic transmission via D2 mediated inhibitory control of the cholinergic interneurons will restore the activation of the retrograde endocannabinoid signaling and LTD. The mGluR1/5 mediated extrasynaptic transmission of glutamate also plays an important role for LTD development since this receptor – through Gq/11 coupling leading to increased IP3 levels – will increase intracellular Ca levels followed by activation of PLC and DAG lipase, and increased formation of endocannabinoids and reduction of excitatory glutamate transmission.

The complexity of the M1 mediated cholinergic actions on formation of endocannabinoids, however, should be noticed since at inhibitory GABA synapses located on the shafts of MSN the M1 receptor activation leads to an increase in formation of endocannabinoid 2-arachidonoylglycerol (2-AG) with increased CB1 receptor activity at the GABA synapses and suppression of synaptic GABA transmission (Narushima et al., 2007). The reason is likely that in these microdomains the M1 excitatory coupling to PLC and DAG lipase dominates and here also M1 likely acts synergistically with mGluR1/5 to enhance 2-AG formation and thus the retrograde inhibitory signaling on the GABA synapses (see Uchigashima et al., 2007). It should be underlined that CB1 receptors also exist in the striato-pallidal GABA neurons and in the striato-entopeduncular-nigral GABA neurons (Martin et al., 2008) and endocannabinoids can therefore exert direct actions on these neurons reducing *inter alia* D2 signaling in the striato-pallidal GABA neurons through participation in CB1-D2 heteromers and CB1-D2-A2A trimers (Fuxe et al., 2008; Marcellino et al., 2008). This may be part of an inhibitory feedback since D2 receptor activation leads to an increased formation of anandamide (see Piomelli, 2003).

Recently muscarinic acetylcholine receptor homo- and heterodimerization has been observed in live cells (Goin and Nathanson, 2006). The existence of high affinity M1–M2, M2–M3, and M1–M3 mACHr heterodimers could be demonstrated and an increased agonist induced downregulation of M3 developed when these receptors were in fact M2–M3 heteromers. Based on these findings it will be of interest if in fact M2–M3 heteromers exist in the striatal glutamate terminals where they are colocalized. We are presently studying to which extent striatal DA and cholinergic transmission can become integrated through the formation of different types of heteromers of DA and muscarinic receptors, especially D1-M4 (Figure 1), D2-M1, and D2-M4 heteromers. Such discoveries would open up new possibilities for treatment of Parkinson disease and improve the use of muscarinic receptor antagonists in the treatment of this disease based on an increased activity of...
the cholinergic neurons related to a reduced activation of the D2 receptors located on these neurons (Pisani et al., 2007). It has been proposed that the adaptive changes taking place in PD involves an increase in the formation of RGS4, a regulator of G protein signaling which reduces the coupling of the M4 autoreceptor to the Cav2 calcium channels and to the potassium channels leading to overactivity of these interneurons (Ding et al., 2006; Pisani et al., 2007). A new hypothesis may be that the disruption of the M4 autoreceptor function may be caused by dysfunction of a D2-M4 heteromer located on the striatal interneurons due to the abnormal reduction of D2 signaling in the D2 protomer in Parkinson disease.

The reason that anticholinergic drugs like scopolamine can block catalepsy induced by both D2 and D1 receptor antagonists was in 1988 explained within the frame of the hypothesis of different striatal efferent pathways one being D2 enriched and the other D1 enriched (Ogren and Fuxe, 1988). The mechanism may be the preferential enrichment of inhibitory M4 receptors in the striato-entopeduncular-nigral GABA neurons vs. the striato-pallidal GABA neurons both of which are enriched in excitatory M1 receptors (see above). The results from the overall pharmacological analysis of the catalepsy obtained, including the use of benzodiazepine antagonists (Ogren and Fuxe, 1988), could be explained on the basis of a circuitry involving D2 regulated striato-pallidal GABA pathways and a D1 regulated circuitry involving D1 regulated nigro-thalamic GABA pathways. DA release and diffusion in the zona reticulata of the substantia nigra may take place from dendrites of nigral DA nerve cells via extrasynaptic transmission reaching inter alia D1 receptors on the striato-nigral GABA terminals enhancing the release of GABA with increased inhibition of the nigro-thalamic GABA pathway setting free the excitatory thalamo-cortical system from the motor thalamus (Ogren and Fuxe, 1988).
**GABA interneurons.** The calcium binding protein parvalbumin positive aspiny GABAergic interneurons exist mainly in two classes: the fast spiking interneurons and the low threshold spike (LTS) interneurons (Kawaguchi, 1995; Tepper et al., 2008). They are driven by cortico-striatal glutamate synapses and project to the MSN and mediate powerful feed-forward inhibition of the MSN via GABAergic synapses. These are striatal interneurons where synaptic high speed GABA transmission dominates and can profoundly bring down spiking in the MSN and controlling its timing.

Other striatal GABAergic interneurons instead coexpress also somatostatin, neuropeptide Y (NPY), and neuronal nitric oxide synthase (NOS) and likely operate via both synaptic (GABA) and VT (somatostatin, NPY, and NOS; see Figure 2).

They are evenly dispersed all over the striatum with widespread collaterals and are neurophysiologically characterized as LTS neurons with persistent depolarization (Kawaguchi, 1995). They receive cortical glutamate inputs and likely mediate feed-forward modulation of the striatal networks. Somatostatin and NPY peptides may modulate the activity of the neuronal and glial cell populations via extrasynaptic and long distance VT through their striatal receptor subtypes (Figure 2). Rocheville et al. (2000) have also demonstrated that somatostatin receptor 5 (SSTR5) can form heteromers with D2 receptors which are formed after agonist activation (Rocheville et al., 2000). Thus, again receptor-receptor interaction in heteromers can play a role in the integration of signals in the neuronal and glial networks of the brain (Agnati et al., 2010b; Fuxe et al., 2010a,b). Heteromers between NPY Y1 and alpha2 receptors have also been indicated (Fuxe et al., 2008c).

Also nitric oxide (NO) is formed in these NOS positive cells and diffuse into adjacent nerve, glial, and endothelial cells via VT (Fuxe et al., 2010a; Figure 2). Most of them likely express soluble guanylate cyclase which indicates that they are likely targets for NO produced by the NOS positive GABA interneurons (see Kawaguchi, 1995). This communication upon activation by glutamate synapses of these neurons modulates transmitter release and increases blood flow according to the pattern of cortico-striatal glutamate projection activation of these neurons. This is likely linked to the activation of discrete populations of striatal projection neurons. In this way the assemblies of activated glutamate projection neurons can perform their tasks in cellular networks that adapt to this task to achieve an optimal sensory-motor function.

**Adenosine as a VT signal in the striatal cellular networks.**

Adenosine is an endogenous nucleoside mostly formed as a degradation product of adenosine triphosphate (ATP) and to a lesser degree from S-adenosyl-L-homocysteine (SAH) metabolism and present in all cells of the striatal cellular networks and in the ECS (Ferre and Fuxe, 2000; Ciruela et al., 2011). Upon adenosine generation in neuronal and glial cells, it can be intracellularly phosphorylated to form AMP, react with L-homocysteine to form SAH or be eliminated out of the cells by means of ubiquitous
nitrobenzylthioinosine-sensitive equilibrative nucleoside transporters (ENTS; Cunha, 2005). In the cellular networks, extracellular adenosine can also derive from ATP released from nerve and glial cells including release from glutamate terminals together with glutamate. Intra- and extra-cellular adenosine can be deaminated to form inosine by the action of intra and ecto-adenosine deaminase, respectively. In the nervous system the extracellular concentration of adenosine raises as a function of the neuronal activity, it acts as an energy-dependent neuromodulator through combined presynaptic and postsynaptic actions through VT (Figure 3; Ferre and Fuxe, 2000; Sebastiao and Ribeiro, 2000; Fredholm et al., 2005; Ciruela et al., 2011). Therefore, extracellular adenosine regulates several functions in the brain, including neuronal viability, neuronal membrane potential, propagation of action potentials, astrocytic functions, microglia reactivity, primary metabolism in both neurons and astrocytes, and blood flow (Ferre and Fuxe, 2000; Fredholm et al., 2005; Ciruela et al., 2011).

The most abundant and homogeneously distributed adenosine receptor in the brain is the extrasynaptic inhibitory A1R, which is functionally coupled to members of the pertussis toxin-sensitive family of G proteins (Gi/o) and whose activation regulates the activity of membrane and intracellular proteins such as adenylate cyclase, Ca2+ channels, K+ channels, and phospholipase C. In contrast, the extrasynaptic A2AR is expressed at high levels in only a few regions of the brain, namely the dorsal striatum, the olfactory tubercle, and the nucleus accumbens. The A2AR is mostly coupled to Gs in the peripheral systems but mediates its effects predominantly through activation of Golf in the striatum (see Ciruela et al., 2011).

It is of substantial interest that adenosine receptor can form heteromers with extrasynaptic DA receptors and glutamate receptors to modulate striatal cellular networks (Fuxe et al., 1998; Ciruela et al., 2011). A1R has been found to heteromerize with the dopamine D1 receptor (D1R), this phenomenon being essential
for differential desensitization mechanisms and for receptor trafficking (Torvien et al., 2002). It also contributes to reduce EEG and behavioral arousal (Fuxe et al., 2007b). The heterodimer composed by the A1R and the metabotropic glutamate type 1α (mGlu1α) receptor seems to play a key role in preventing glutamate excitotoxicity (Giruela et al., 2001).

The A2AR possesses the ability to heteromerize with the DA D2 receptor (D2R; Figure 3; Hillion et al., 2002; Canals et al., 2003). The latter receptor–receptor interaction underlies the molecular mechanism behind the antagonistic adenosine-dopamine interactions that regulate the function of the GABAergic enkephalinergic striato-pallidal GABA neurons and reduces behavioral arousal (Fuxe et al., 2007b). Finally, the A2AR also heteromerizes with the metabotropic glutamate type 5 (mGlu5) receptor, and in this case, a synergistic functional interaction has been demonstrated at both biochemical and behavioral levels (Ferre et al., 2002). They also synergize to bring down D2 receptor function in A2A-D2-mGlu5 receptor mosaics located in the perisynaptic regions of the glutamate synapses representing integrative heteromers for extracellular adenosine, dopamine and glutamate signals (Cabello et al., 2009; Giruela et al., 2011) as early indicated in studies on receptor-receptor interactions (see Popoli et al., 2001; Fuxe et al., 2003). These extrasynaptic trimeric heteromers may represent centers for integration of VT signals. In the integration of the synaptic and extrasynaptic signals of the striatal networks the heteromeric complexes between GPCRs and ion-channel receptors in the synaptic regions play a special role inter alia D1-NMDA and D2-NMDA and D5-GABAA heteromers (Liu et al., 2000, 2006; Lee et al., 2002).

CONCLUSIONS

Evidence is accumulating that extrasynaptic and long distance VT plays a major role in communication within the neuronal–glial networks of the brain as exemplified here within the striatal cellular networks. The integration of synaptic with extrasynaptic and long distance VT signals may take place to a substantial degree via the formation of receptor heteromers between ion-channel receptors and GPCRs in the plasma membrane of synaptic regions. The integration among VT signals may to a substantial degree occur via GPCR heteromers including higher order heteromers in dendrites, axon terminals, and soma including extrasynaptic regions. This opens up a new way of understanding communication in the CNS.
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