Effect of Prophylactic Ondansetron on Norepinephrine Consumption for Spinal Anaesthesia induced Hypotension in Caesarean Section: A Prospective Study
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Abstract

Background and Aim: Subarachnoid block are the preferred anaesthesia technique for caesarean section, but associated hypotension and bradycardia may be deleterious to both parturient and foetus. Activation of Bezold-Jarisch reflex (BJR) by decreased venous return to right heart stimulates 5-hydroxytryptamine type 3 (5HT3) receptors located in cardiac wall, leading to vasodilation, bradycardia and hypotension. Ondansetron (5-HT3 antagonist), a widely used antiemetic premedicant has been reported to reduce Spinal Anaesthesia Induced Hypotension (SAIH) and bradycardia. The aim of the present study was to assess the effect of prophylactic intravenous ondansetron on norepinephrine consumption used for treatment of SAIH following spinal anaesthesia for caesarean delivery.

Methods: Sixty-three parturients undergoing elective caesarean delivery under spinal anaesthesia were randomly allocated into two groups using chit system. Group-O (n=21) received ondansetron 4mg and Group-M (n=42) received metoclopramide 10mg intravenously 5min before spinal anaesthesia. During surgery 8µg norepinephrine bolus was given intravenously to manage hypotension. Blood pressure, heart rate, duration of surgery, consumption of norepinephrine and side effects were recorded.

Results: Demographically both groups were comparable. Consumption of norepinephrine in Group-M and Group-O were 13.174±12.685µg and 18.857±15.4865µg respectively and was statistically comparable (P=0.1933). There was no significant difference in adverse effects between both groups. Heart rate, systolic & diastolic blood pressures were also comparable at all time intervals between both groups.

Conclusion: Premedication with intravenous ondansetron 4mg as compared to intravenous metoclopramide 10mg neither attenuates hypotension nor reduces consumption of norepinephrine significantly in parturients following spinal anaesthesia.
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Introduction

Spinal anaesthesia is a referred technique for elective caesarean section due to its simplicity, ability to provide adequate surgical anaesthesia, higher maternal satisfaction, ease of administration, faster onset of action, and safety [1]. The incidence of maternal hypotension after spinal anaesthesia during caesarean delivery is as high as 50-80% [2] which can be detrimental to both mother and foetus. Many techniques & various vasopressors have been tried and studied to manage hypotension following spinal anaesthesia, but no single method was found to be adequate or superior. Among the vasopressors (Ephedrine, Phenylephrine, Epinephrine and Mephentermine) none is conclusively better over the other [3,4]. Many studies suggested that injection norepinephrine managed hypotension effectively with more stable hemodynamic profile than other vasopressor [3,5].

5-HT is an important factor inducing Bezold-Jarisch Reflex (BJR) via 5-HT3 receptor located in intracardiac vagal nerve endings and cardiac wall. The BJR responds to noxious ventricular stimuli sensed by chemo receptors (SHT3 receptor) and mechanoreceptors within the ventricular wall of heart in the presence of decreased blood volume by inducing hypotension and bradycardia [6].

Ondansetron, a selective 5HT3 antagonist is widely used for the prevention and treatment of postoperative nausea & vomiting.
It has been demonstrated in various articles that prophylactic 4mg ondansetron injection alleviates hypotension in parturients undergoing caesarean delivery under spinal anaesthesia by antagonising 5-HT3 receptors & blunting the BJR, but the results of these studies were not consistent [2,7-14].

Therefore, this prospective, double blind study was designed to prove or disprove our hypothesis that prophylactic intravenous ondansetron decreases incidence of spinal anaesthesia induced hypotension & subsequent vasopressor requirement. Primary objective of the present study was to assess the effect of prophylactic intravenous ondansetron on norepinephrine consumption used for management of spinal anaesthesia induced hypotension and secondary objectives were to effect on maternal Sensory block and duration of surgery between both the groups till completion of surgery (Figure 1). The baseline induction HR was also comparable within the group and between the groups till completion of surgery (P=0.108). Post induction HR was also comparable within the group and between the groups till completion of surgery (Figure 1). The baseline systolic blood pressure (SBP) in Group-O and Group-M was 116±8.3574mmHg and 116.523±6.985mmHg (p=0.2205). The baseline diastolic blood pressure (DBP) was 69.33±9.3879mmHg

Sample size was calculated as per data from Wang M, et al. [2] Sample size was calculated as per data from Wang M, et al. [2] Efficacy of prophylactic intravenous ondansetron on prevention of hypotension during cesarean delivery: a dose -dependent study. Int J Clin Exp Med. 2014; 7(12):5210-5216; change in systolic blood pressure (Mean±SD) is 20.52±8.80 [2]. Taking this into consideration, with the help of nMaster software (Single Mean-Estimating the population mean-Absolute precision) with absolute precision=5 and desired confidence level=99% required sample size is 21. Twenty-one subjects were considered as cases and 42 subjects as controls (2 controls for each case) and hence total 63 parturients were included for this study. Statistical analysis was done by applying the unpaired student t test to compare the means of two independent samples. P value ≤ 0.05 was considered as significant and P value ≤ 0.001 as highly significant. Data were calculated with the help of Graph-pad in stat software.
in Group-O and 71.5952±5.151mmHg in Group-M (p=0.9621). The difference in SBP and DBP was statistically non-significant. Post induction SBP and DBP were also comparable within the group and between the groups till completion of surgery (Figure 2).

Both the groups had transient hypotension and treated with bolus of intravenous 8µg norepinephrine. Norepinephrine consumption was statistically non-significant between both the groups (p=0.1933) (Table 2). The differences in Apgar score at 1st and 5th minute between both the groups were statistically non-significant. (Table 2). Besides nausea/vomiting, shivering, bradycardia, hypotension and headache (difference in incidence was statistically not significant between the groups), no other adverse effects were observed in the study population (Table 3).

Table 2: Norepinephrine consumption & Mean Apgar score.

|                | Group-O (Mean±SD) | Group-M (Mean±SD) | p-value |
|----------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------|
| Norepinephrine | 13+12.69          | 18.86+15.49       | 0.1933  |
| consumption (µg) |                  |                   |         |
| Apgar score    |                   |                   |         |
| 1Min           | 7.52+0.602        | 7.71+0.673        | 0.278   |
| 5Min           | 9.66+0.57735      | 9.69+0.51741      | 0.869   |

Discussion

Caesarean section under spinal anaesthesia is commonly associated with hypotension and bradycardia which can be detrimental to both the mother and the foetus. Vasodilatation following spinal anaesthesia leads to pooling of blood in periphery, relative hypovolemia & subsequently decreased venous return. Insufficient blood return may trigger BJR by ventricular mechanoreceptors, further leading to bradycardia, vasodilatation and hypotension [6,15,16].

Previously, various studies observed that prophylactic ondansetron injection alleviates hypotension in parturients undergoing cesarean delivery under spinal anaesthesia by antagonising 5-HT3 receptors & blunting the BJR, but very limited study has been done to assess the effect of prophylactic
ondansetron on consumption of norepinephrine [17]. Though various dosages of ondansetron were tried, 4mg of ondansetron preloading was found to be the optimal dose to prevent maternal hypotension and other adverse effects during caesarean delivery [2]. In our institute ondansetron 4mg or metoclopramide 10mg has been used to prevent nausea and vomiting secondary to spinal anaesthesia in caesarean section. Therefore, our primary outcome was to assess the effect of prophylactic intravenous 4mg ondansetron on norepinephrine consumption and secondary outcome was to observe the effect on maternal mean SBP, mean DBP, HR, Apgar score and incidence of adverse events.

Mean consumption of norepinephrine in Group-O and Group-M was 13.17±12.685µg and 18.85±15.4865µg, respectively (p= 0.1933). Karacaer F et al. [17] found significant reduction in mean consumptions of norepinephrine in ondansetron group (22.6±19.5µg vs 35.7±25.8µg; p=0.009). Our findings do not corroborate with those of Karacaer F et al. [17] who used 5µg norepinephrine as rescue vasopressor and gave 8mg ondansetron prophylactically without fluid preloading. In our study preloading with 500ml ringer lactate was done, which might have prevented activation of BJR by maintaining blood volume in ventricles of heart. Thus, by avoiding hypotension, consumption of norepinephrine might have decreased during spinal anaesthesia [18].

HR, SBP & DBP (baseline and after induction of spinal anaesthesia) were comparable within the group and between the groups till completion of surgery. Tabelsi W et al. [10], Karacaer F & Terkawi AS et al. [19] have observed similar findings. Wang M et al. [2] found that reduction in HR, SBP and DBP from baseline were more in saline groups as compared to ondansetron group & difference was statistically significant. This could be because they used phenylephrine 100µg as rescue vasopressor and coloading with crystalloid. Sahoo T et al. [9] stated that fall in heart rate was more common in saline group as compare to ondansetron group but statistically significant fall was observed only twice at 24min (P=0.031) and at 45min (P=0.02). They used phenylephrine 50µg to treat hypotension.

The difference in mean Apgar score between both the groups was statistically non-significant. No significant difference in Apgar score was observed in the studies of Wang M et al. [2], Wang Q et al. [13], Karacaer F et al. [17] & Terkawi AS et al. [19]. Hypotension was observed in 12 (57%) parturients of ondansetron group compared to 27 (64%) parturients in metoclopramide group which was statistically non-significant (P =0.5931). Karacaer F et al. [17] also found no significant difference in hypotension between the two groups (47/54 (87%) in ondansetron group vs 48/54 (88.9%) in saline group; p=0.767). However cumulative episodes of hypotension were greater in group S (P=0.009).

Bradycardia was statistically not significant in our study between both the groups (p=0.4787). Sahoo T et al. [9], Wang Q et al. [13], Karacaer F et al. [17] & Terkawi AS et al. [19] have observed similar findings. The incidence of nausea & vomiting was statistically not significant between both groups (p=0.8641). Karacaer F et al. [17] have observed similar findings. Wang M et al. [2], Sahoo T et al. [9] & Wang Q et al. [13] found significantly low incidence of nausea/vomiting in ondansetron group. There are some limitations of our study:

a) The occurrence of hypotension, bradycardia and consumption of norepinephrine were not compared with the administration of ondansetron alone.

b) Different definition of hypotension and bradycardia in various studies in parturients undergoing caesarean section under spinal anaesthesia affects the incidence of hypotension and makes it difficult to compare the results.

c) We studied ondansetron only at its 4mg dose.

d) Larger study group is needed to evaluate the effect of ondansetron on consumption of vasopressors. The effect of various doses of ondansetron on attenuation of hypotension in caesarean delivery in spinal anaesthesia with preloading and coloading of crystalloid in larger population can be studied in future [20].

Conclusion
Premedication with intravenous ‘ondansetron 4mg as compared to metoclopramide 10mg’ neither attenuates hypotension nor reduces consumption of norepinephrine significantly in parturients after spinal anaesthesia in caesarean delivery.
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