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Abstract— The purpose of this research was to analyze the mathematical literacy of the student teachers to solve the PISA’S on 4th, 5th, and 6th levels based on the level of the creativity. This research is a descriptive research. The results of research will be described both kuantitatif and qualitative. The processing of the literacy is described in quantitatively, while the problem solving that is viewed from the level of the creativity of student teachers is described qualitatively. The Subject of the research is the student teachers of STKIP PGRI Sidoarjo third semester. In this research, the subject will do the question of the PISA on 4th, 5th, and 6th levels. Besides that, the subject will do the algebraic question to be grouped the level of their creativities. In this research, the subjects on 1st, 2nd and 3rd levels of the creativity and the questions identify both the facts and the question. The subjects 1st level of the creativity do not the problem solving, do not choose the strategy to solve the problem, and do not check the result. While the subjects with the creativity on 2nd and 3rd levels plan problem solving, choose the strategy to solve the problem, and recheck the result that has been obtained. These show that the subjects formulate situations mathematically, apply the concept, fact, the procedure, the mathematical reasoning and evaluate the mathematical result.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Organization for Economic Cooperation And Development (OECD: 2012) The mathematical literacy is a person's ability to formulate, implement and interpret mathematics in a variety of the context, including the ability to perform reasoning mathematically and using the concept, the procedure, and the fact to describe, to explain, or to predict an occurrence [1,2]. Mathematical literacy helps someone to understand the role or the usefulness of the math in everyday life while using it to make the right decision. For example, someone who wants to share something on one of her friends by using division rules so that finite items given equally with other members, it is also one of the learning mathematics in everyday life.

Be using the mathematical literacy someone can apply knowledge and the mathematical skill to solve the problem. According to Hayat, the students who are 15 years old have not been able to learn much, but they must have a strong mathematical base for use in life. The foundation is about the understanding of the process and the principle that can be used various situations to deal with the problems relating to mathematics. The studying of the PISA is done out to measure the ability of students a cross-disciplinary related to the real life and the understanding of the important principles in the mathematic, not only to test students' mastery of the knowledge but also to solve the real problem [3,4].

The input of the student PGRI STKIP Sidoarjo are very diverse, both in terms of the ability and the social aspect. Thus the background of the student of the mathematics education courses also diverse, not only students come from the majors of MIPA, but also there are even some students who come from vocational schools. It becomes a heavy burden for lecturers of mathematics education. Therefore, the researchers analyzed the mathematical literacy of the student teachers to solve the PISA’s problem based on the level of the creativity. As a student teacher, the students have to master everything about the literacy, in addition to design the lecturers learning is important to know the level of the creative thinking of the student teachers of the level of the creativity.

II. METHOD

This study is the descriptive research both quantitative and qualitative approaches. This research describes the mathematical literacy of the student teachers indicated with formulating, applying and interpreting mathematics in the content and the context that have been determined. The subject of this research is the third semester student of STKIP PGRI Sidoarjo which amounted to 49 people. From one class of students are tested with the question of the PISA on 4th, 5th and 6th with the general context, while the content is using the quantity. The next three students are taken on the level of the thinking creative of each one of the level of the creativity, which is one student with a level of the creativity 1, a student with a level of the creativity 2, and one student with a level of the 3. Three student are noticed their mathematical abilities in the assessment of the process of the mathematics, namely the problem solving ability. The problem solving steps based on the problem
solving steps according to Rudnic & Klurik and Polya which have been stated above. Before doing the PISA question, students are given a problem to classify the students based on their levels of the creativity. The question that used to test the student is about the algebra. The written test is essay which amounted three questions with the same content and context based on 4th, 5th, 6th adapted from adapted from the PISA questions. Interview is conducted to all students after completing the PISA question based on the level of the creative thinking. Intervies were conducted to obtain deeper data about literacy of the student teachers to solve the PISA’s problem based on the level of the creativity.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The number of student teachers of STKIP PGRI Sidoarjo who work the PIS Aquestion at 4th, 5th, 6th levels and being interviewed is about 49 people. The following is the recapitulation of the student teachers activity in the process of mathematical literacy.

a) Level 4

TABLE 1. THE MATHEMATICAL LITERACY PROCESS AND STUDENT TEACHER’S ACTIVITY (PISA LEVEL 4)

| The Process of the literacy | The activity to Conducting the literacy activities (%) | The number of the subject conducting the literacy activities (%) |
|----------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Formulate                  |                                  |                                                               |
| 1                          | 1                                | 80                                                            |
| 2                          | 2                                | 80                                                            |
| 3                          | 3                                | 73.9                                                          |
| 4                          | 4                                | 73.9                                                          |
| Employed                   |                                  |                                                               |
| 1                          | 1                                | 80                                                            |
| 2                          | 2                                | 71, 8                                                         |
| 3                          | 3                                | 73.9                                                          |
| Interpret                  |                                  |                                                               |
| 1                          | 1                                | 80                                                            |
| 2                          | 2                                | 71, 8                                                         |
| 3                          | 3                                | 80                                                            |

Based on the table 1 it is seen that 80% of the do the process of formulating the situation mathematically. In practice of applying the the fact, the concept, and the procedure there are four student teachers who do not use mathematical tool and technology to obtain the solution and three student teachers do not apply the mathematical facts, rules, algorithms, and the form correctly when getting the solution. While in the interpreting stage there are four student teachers who do not understand both the extension and boundary of the concept and the solution of the mathematics.

b) Level 5

TABLE 2. THE PROCESS OF THE MATHEMATICS LITERACY AND THE STUDENT TEACHERS ACTIVITY (PISA LEVEL 5)

| The Process of the literacy | The activity to Conducting the literacy activities (%) | The number of the subject conducting the literacy activities (%) |
|----------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Formulate                  |                                  |                                                               |
| 1                          | 1                                | 80                                                            |
| 2                          | 2                                | 80                                                            |
| 3                          | 3                                | 71, 8                                                         |
| 4                          | 4                                | 71, 8                                                         |
| Employed                   |                                  |                                                               |
| 1                          | 1                                | 71, 8                                                         |
| 2                          | 2                                | 71, 8                                                         |
| 3                          | 3                                | 71, 8                                                         |

A. The Level of The Creativity

In the creative thinking of the student teachers, 67.3% people are on the level 1, 22.4% persons are on the level 2, and 10.2%
people have the level of creative thinking on the level 3. No student teachers who have the level of creative thinking 1 and 4. Further, the following is an analysis of the problem solving student teachers to solve the PISA question at 4th, 5th, and 6th levels based on the level of the creativity, each level is taken of the student teachers to analyzed further troubleshooting. The troubleshooting steps in this research to the problem steps of Polya, Khurik and Rudnik.

a) The level 1 of the creativity

The student teacher with the level 1 of the creativity when solving the PISA question on the level 4: on the stage of understanding (reading and thinking), the subject identifies both the fact and the question; the stage of planning (exploring and planning) the subject plans the problem solving by writing the numeral of the community; the stage of solving the problem with the plan (choosing a strategy, finding and answering) choosing a strategy by using the numeral of the community and doing the computing; the stage of re-examining the results obtained (reflecting and expanding) the subject does not recheck the answers obtained. For the PISA question on the level 5; at the stage of understanding (reading and thinking), subject identifies the fact does not identify the question; the stage of planning (exploring and planning) the subject does not planning the problem solving; the stage of solving the problem with the plan (choosing a strategy, finding and answering) the subject does not choose a strategy, a subject directly write the answers both trial and error; the stage of re-examine the results obtained (reflecting and expanding) the subject does not recheck the answers obtained. While the question of the PISA on the level 6; at the stage of understanding (reading and thinking), the subject identifies both the fact does not identify the question; the stage of planning (exploring and planning) the subject does not planning the problem solving; the stage of solving the problem with the plan (choosing a strategy, finding and answering) the subject does not choose a strategy, the subject directly write down the answers both trial and error; the stage of re-examine the results obtained (reflecting and expanding) the subject does not recheck the answers obtained.

b) The level 2 of the creativity

The student teacher with the level 2 of the creativity when solving the PISA question on the level 4: at the stage of understanding (reading and thinking), the subject identifies both the fact and the question; the stage of planning (exploring and planning) the subject plans the problem solving by writing the numeral of the community; the stage of solving the problem with the plan (choosing a strategy, finding and answering) choosing a strategy by using the numeral of the community and doing the computing; the stage of re-examining the results obtained (reflecting and expanding) the subject recheck the answers obtained. For the PISA question on the level 5; at the stage of understanding (reading and thinking), the subject identifies both the fact and the question; the stage of planning (exploring and planning) the subject plans the problem solving; the stage of solving the problem with the plan (choosing a strategy, finding and answering) the subject does not choose a strategy, the subject chooses a strategy that is expected to solve the problem; the stage of re-examine the results obtained (reflecting and expanding) the subject recheck the answers obtained. While the question of the PISA on the level 6; at the stage of understanding (reading and thinking), the subject identifies both the fact and the question; the stage of planning (exploring and planning) the subject plans the problem solving; the stage of solving the problem with the plan (choosing a strategy, finding and answering) the subject chooses a strategy that is expected to solve the problem; the stage of re-examine the results obtained (reflecting and expanding) the subject recheck the answers obtained. Based on the analysis above the student teacher’s mathematical literacy based on the level of the creativity can be written as bellow.

| The Step of Problem Solving | The Level of the Creativity | The Literacy of the Process |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| **Understanding (reading and thinking)** | Identify both the fact and the question | Formulating the situation mathematically (Formulate) |
| **Exploring and planning the problem solving** | Not any planning the problem solving | Planning the problem solving |

Table 4. The Mathematical Literacy Student Teachers Based On The Level Of The Creativity
TABLE 4. THE MATHEMATICAL LITERACY STUDENT TEACHERS BASED ON THE LEVEL OF THE CREATIVITY, CONT.

| The Step of Problem Solving | The Level of Creativity | The Literacy of the Process |
|-----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Do not choosing a strategy that can be used to solve the problem | 1 | Applying the concept, the fact, the procedure, and mathematical reasoning |
| Not any checking | 2 | (employ) Interpret, using and evaluating of mathematical results |
| another way, not any checking for other possible solutions, and not any interpreting the answer | 3 | (interpret) |
| have been obtained | |

IV. CONCLUSION

The students teachers who have the creativity level 1 identify both the fact and the question, do not plan the problem solving, do not choose the strategies to solve the problem, and do not recheck results have been obtained. These show that the student teachers formulate the mathematical situation, but do not apply the concepts, the fact, the procedure, and the mathematical reasoning, and do not evaluate the mathematical results. The student teachers who have the creativity on the level 2 identify both the fact and the questions, plan the problem solving, choose a strategy to solve the problem, recheck the results that have been obtained. These show that the student teachers formulate the mathematical situation, apply the concept, the fact, the procedure, and the mathematical reasoning and evaluate mathematical results. The student teachers with the creativity on the level 3 identify both the fact and the question, plan the problem solving, choose a strategy to solve the problem, and recheck the results have been obtained. These show that the student teachers formulate the mathematical situation, apply the concepts, the facts, the procedures, the mathematical reasoning and evaluate mathematical results.
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