Creating locally interacting Hamiltonians in the synthetic frequency dimension for photons
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The recent emerging field of synthetic dimension in photonics offers a variety of opportunities for manipulating different internal degrees of freedom of photons such as the spectrum of light. While nonlinear optical effects can be incorporated into these photonic systems with synthetic dimensions, these nonlinear effects typically result in long-range interactions along the frequency axis. Thus, it has been difficult to use the synthetic dimension concept to study a large class of Hamiltonians that involves local interactions. Here we show that a Hamiltonian that is locally interacting along the synthetic dimension can be achieved in a dynamically modulated ring resonator incorporating \( \chi(3) \) nonlinearity, provided that the group velocity dispersion of the waveguide forming the ring is specifically designed. As a demonstration we numerically implement a Bose–Hubbard model and explore photon blockade effect in the synthetic frequency space. Our work opens new possibilities for studying fundamental many-body physics in the synthetic space in photonics, with potential applications in optical quantum communication and quantum computation.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The concept of the synthetic dimension enables one to explore higher-dimensional physics in lower-dimensional systems [1–14]. In photonics [15,16], the synthetic dimension can be achieved by exploiting various degrees of freedom of light such as its frequency [17,18], spatial mode [19], or orbital angular momentum [20], and by specifically controlling the coupling between these degrees of freedom [21–23]. While initially explored mostly theoretically [24–30], very recently there have been a number of important experimental developments, including the first experimental demonstration of topological insulators with synthetic dimensions [19], the observation of the effective gauge potential in two independent synthetic dimensions [31], the measurement of band structure along the frequency axis of light [32], and the control of the light spectrum in synthetic space [33,34].

Most of the existing theoretical and experimental works on the synthetic dimension in photonics concern linear processes without photon-photon interactions. Certainly, it would be of interest to consider nonlinear systems where there is photon-photon interaction. Moreover, since one of the major objectives by going into synthetic dimension is to create a platform to study specific interacting Hamiltonians that are of physical significance, it would be important to develop a strategy to synthesize these interacting Hamiltonians. A very large class of interesting interacting Hamiltonians have local interactions [35–39]. For example, in the Bose–Hubbard Hamiltonian

\[
H_{BH} = -\hbar J \sum_m (\hat{a}_m^\dagger \hat{a}_{m+1}) + \text{h.c.} - \frac{\hbar g}{2} \sum_m \hat{a}_m^\dagger \hat{a}_m \hat{a}_m^\dagger \hat{a}_m,
\]

where \( \hat{a}_m^\dagger (\hat{a}_m) \) are the creation (annihilation) operators for photons on the \( m \)th lattice site, \( J \) is the coupling coefficient, and \( g \) is the local nonlinear strength. The interaction term \( \sum_m \hat{a}_m^\dagger \hat{a}_m \hat{a}_m^\dagger \hat{a}_m \) consists only of on-site interaction. Attempts to achieve such locally interacting Hamiltonians in synthetic space have been made in photonics in the geometric angular coordinate [40] and also in ultracold atoms with spin being the internal degree of freedom [41]. On the other hand, as is known and we will briefly reiterate below, the standard form of nonlinear optics typically leads to a form of interaction that is nonlocal in the synthetic space [42,43], i.e., the Hamiltonian contains terms such as \( \sum_{m,n,p,q} g_{m,n,p,q} \hat{a}_m^\dagger \hat{a}_n \hat{a}_p^\dagger \hat{a}_q \), which describe interactions of photons at different lattice sites. How to achieve a Hamiltonian where the interactions are completely local in
the synthetic space for photons thus represents an important open theoretical question.

In this paper, we consider a synthetic frequency dimension of light, formed in a ring resonator incorporating a modulator. To create photon-photon interaction we consider \(\chi^{(3)}\) processes in the waveguide forming the ring. We show that a local photon-photon interaction in the frequency dimension can be achieved with a careful design of the group velocity dispersion of the waveguide [Fig. 1(a)]. As a demonstration we show that this system can be used to demonstrate a Bose–Hubbard model and achieve photon-blockade effects along the synthetic axis.

This work here significantly broadens the range of physics phenomena that can be studied in photonic synthetic space and may lead to new opportunities in quantum simulations and in the manipulation of light.

2. MODEL

We start with a brief review of the ring resonator under the dynamic modulation as shown in Fig. 1(b) [24,44], which naturally leads to a synthetic dimension of light along the frequency axis. We consider a ring composed by a single-mode waveguide with an effective refractive index \(n_{\text{eff}}\) and a length \(l\). For simplicity, assuming zero group velocity dispersion in the waveguide, the ring resonator supports resonant modes at the resonant frequencies \(\omega_m = \omega_0 + m\Omega\), where \(\Omega \equiv 2\pi c/n_{\text{eff}}l \ll \omega_0\) is the free spectral range (FSR) for the ring. We place a phase modulator inside the ring and choose the modulation frequency to be equal to the FSR. The transmission of light passing through the modulator can be described by a time-dependent transmission coefficient [45]

\[
T = e^{2\alpha \cos(\omega t)},
\]

where \(\alpha\) is the modulation amplitude. We assume that the field experiences small changes for each round-trip. Then, after one round-trip, the change of the field amplitude \(E_m\) for the \(m\)th resonant mode can be written as [24]

\[
\frac{2\pi}{\Omega} \frac{\partial E_m}{\partial \tau} \equiv \Delta E_m = i\alpha(E_{m+1} + E_{m-1}),
\]

where \(\tau\) is the slow time variable [46]. Equation (3) is valid when \(\alpha \ll 1\), so one can safely neglect the generation of sidebands with orders larger than 1 for light passing through the modulator. The dynamics of Eq. (3) is described by an effective Hamiltonian:

\[
H_0 = -\hbar J \sum_m (a_m^\dagger a_{m+1} + \text{h.c.}).
\]

Here \(J = a\Omega/2\pi\) is the modulation strength and \(a_m (a_m^\dagger)\) is the annihilation (creation) operator for the \(m\)th resonant mode. The Hamiltonian in Eq. (4) describes a one-dimensional tight-binding model along the synthetic frequency axis [see Fig. 1(b)]. With this approach, a wide variety of noninteracting Hamiltonians with different connectivities and topological properties can be created [15]. However, there have been far less works on creating important interacting Hamiltonians.

As an illustration of the general difficulty as well as our approach of creating locally interacting Hamiltonians in the frequency synthetic dimension, we aim to synthesize the effective Bose–Hubbard Hamiltonian \(H_1 = H_{\text{BH}}\) in Eq. (1) in the synthetic frequency dimension. Although nonlinear optical phenomena in many photonic materials have been extensively studied, creating the Hamiltonian of Eq. (1) in the frequency synthetic space is in fact nontrivial [42]. The introduction of the nonlinearity typically leads to long-range interactions over all synthetic lattice sites. For example, the dynamically modulated ring as shown in Fig. 1(b), with a third-order nonlinear susceptibility \(\chi^{(3)}\), is described by an interacting Hamiltonian [43]

\[
H_2 = -\hbar J \sum_m (a_m^\dagger a_{m+1} + \text{h.c.)}) - \frac{\hbar g}{2} \sum_{m,n,p,q} a_m^\dagger a_n^\dagger a_p a_q - \frac{\hbar g}{3} \sum_{m,n} (a_m^3 + a_n^3 + \text{h.c.)}),
\]

as can be derived using the rotating wave approximation. In Eq. (5), the second and third terms describe two four-wave-mixing (FWM) effects. The second term describes the hyperparametric oscillation process involving four modes with frequency relationship \(\omega_m + \omega_n = \omega_p + \omega_q\). The third term describes the third-harmonic generation (THG) process with \(\omega_m = 3\omega_n\). Comparing Eq. (1) with Eq. (5), we see that the interacting term in Eq. (1) corresponds to the self-phase modulation (SPM) process. In Eq. (5), however, in addition to the SPM process, other terms that describe the cross-phase modulation (XPM) process, other hyperparametric processes,
and the THG process result in long-range interactions between different resonant modes in the ring (see Fig. 2). While here for illustration purposes we consider a specific type of nonlinearity, the observation is in fact rather general: standard nonlinearity does not lead to local interactions in the synthetic frequency dimension.

In order to synthesize the Bose–Hubbard model where the interaction is local along the frequency dimension, we propose a ring consisting of two sections of waveguides. The two sections have the same $\chi^{(3)}$ nonlinear susceptibility. However, they have opposite GVD as illustrated in Fig. 1(a). In the linear regime of light propagation, for each complete round-trip as light goes around the ring, the dispersion effect in the two waveguide sections cancels. Hence, this ring also features equally spaced resonances along the frequency axis, same as a ring with zero GVD, and a one-dimensional synthetic frequency dimension can be created in this ring by applying the dynamic modulation. On the other hand, in each waveguide section, due to the GVD, the only phase-matched processes are the SPM and XPM processes. A similar argument is also valid for the THG process, where the higher-order terms in Eq. (7), one can write the field amplitude of light after it propagates through a waveguide with the length $L$:

$$A(z = L, \omega) = A(z = 0, \omega) e^{-i\beta_0 L - i(\omega-\omega_0)L/2}.$$  

Note that the GVD induces a frequency-dependent phase delay.

Next, we explore the light propagating through the waveguide section having a positive GVD ($\beta_2 > 0$) and $\chi^{(3)}$ as shown in Fig. 1(a). For simplicity, we consider two incident waves with the frequencies $\omega_1$ and $\omega_2$ in the vicinity of the reference frequency $\omega_0$. We look for the solution for a general FWM process where output fields are generated at frequencies $\omega_3$ and $\omega_4$, satisfying the energy conservation relation $\omega_3 + \omega_4 = \omega_1 + \omega_2$ [see Fig. 3(a)]. We also assume the narrowband limit, i.e., $|\omega_3 - \omega_0| \ll \omega_0$, $m = 1, 2, 3, 4$. The resulting coupled-mode equations under the slowly varying amplitude approximation are [42]

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{A}_1}{\partial z} = i \frac{\omega_0^2}{2\beta_0^2 e^{2\chi^{(3)}}} \tilde{A}_1 \tilde{A}_2 \tilde{A}_2^* e^{-i\Delta k z},$$  

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{A}_4}{\partial z} = i \frac{\omega_0^2}{2\beta_0^2 e^{2\chi^{(3)}}} \tilde{A}_1 \tilde{A}_2 \tilde{A}_2^* e^{+i\Delta k z},$$

where $\tilde{A}_m = A_m e^{i\beta_0 z}$ and the momentum mismatch is

$$\Delta k = \frac{\beta_2}{2} \left[ (\omega_3 - \omega_0)^2 + (\omega_4 - \omega_0)^2 - (\omega_1 - \omega_0)^2 - (\omega_2 - \omega_0)^2 \right].$$

At frequencies around $\omega_0$, the phase-matching condition ($\Delta k = 0$) is satisfied if $\omega_1 = \omega_2 = \omega_3 = \omega_4$, which corresponds to the SPM process, or $\omega_1 = \omega_3(\omega_4)$ and $\omega_2 = \omega_4(\omega_3) \neq \omega_1$, which correspond to the XPM process. For other FWM processes with the phase-mismatching condition $\Delta k \neq 0$, the efficiency for frequency conversion is proportional to the phase-mismatch factor, i.e., $\sin^2(\Delta k L)$, as plotted in Fig. 3(b). For $|\Delta k L| \geq \pi$, the conversion efficiency is significantly suppressed. In particular, for $|\Delta k L| = n\pi$ with $n$ being the positive integer, $\sin^2(\Delta k L) = 0$, meaning that FWM processes other than SPM and XPM vanish completely. A similar argument is also valid for the THG process, where the

---

**Fig. 2.** Four-wave-mixing processes in a ring with a third-order nonlinear susceptibility including the hyper-parametric processes (SPM, XPM, and others) and the THG process.
conversion efficiency of THG is also strongly reduced due to the phase mismatching.

Having treated a light propagation effect in a single waveguide section, we now discuss the ring in Fig. 1(a). The waveguide A with the length \( L = l/2 \) has a positive GVD (\( \beta_{2,A} > 0 \)). The waveguide B with the length \( L \) has a negative GVD (\( \beta_{2,B} < 0 \)), and we assume that \( \beta_{2,B} = -\beta_{2,A} \). The quadratic term of the phase delay in Eq. (8) due to the dispersion effect is therefore compensated once the light propagates through the entire ring. Hence, this ring supports equally spaced resonant modes at the resonant frequencies \( \omega_m \) with the FSR \( \Omega \). As light goes through each round-trip, its amplitude experiences a small change due to both the nonlinearity and the dynamic modulation. After a round-trip, the change of the field amplitude \( \tilde{A}_m \) for the \( m \)th mode at frequency \( \omega_m \) can be written from Eqs. (3), (9), and (10):

\[
\frac{2\pi \Omega \tilde{A}_m}{\Omega - \omega} = i\alpha(\tilde{A}_{m+1} + \tilde{A}_{m-1}) + i\frac{\alpha_0}{2\beta_0c} \chi^{(3)}|\tilde{A}_m|^2\tilde{A}_m + i\sum_{n\neq m} \frac{2\alpha_0^2}{\beta_0^2c^2} \chi^{(3)}|\tilde{A}_m|^2\tilde{A}_n \tag{12}
\]

where \( \tau \) is the slow time variable. The corresponding effective Hamiltonian from Eq. (12) reads

\[
H_3 = -\hbar \sum_m (d_m^\dagger d_{m+1} + h.c.) - \frac{\hbar g}{2} \sum_m d_m^\dagger d_m d_m^\dagger d_m^\dagger
\]

\[
- \hbar g \sum_{m\neq n} d_m^\dagger d_m d_n^\dagger d_n^\dagger \tag{13}
\]

Here \( g = \frac{3\hbar \omega_0^3 \chi^{(3)}/V n_0^3 c_0}{\hbar \epsilon_0} \) describes the strength of the nonlinearity [48,49], where \( V \) is the effective mode volume, \( n_0 \approx n(\omega_0) \), and \( \epsilon_0 \) is the permittivity of free space.

In a Hilbert space where the total number of photons \( N \) is conserved in the system, Eq. (13) can be further simplified by noting that

\[
\sum_{n\neq m} d_m^\dagger d_m d_n^\dagger d_n^\dagger = \sum_m d_m^\dagger d_m (\sum_m d_m^\dagger d_m)
\]

\[
= \sum_m d_m^\dagger d_m (N - a_m^\dagger a_m)
\]

\[
= N^2 - N - \sum_m a_m^\dagger a_m a_m^\dagger a_m^\dagger \tag{14}
\]

The resulting Hamiltonian reads

\[
H_4 = -\hbar \sum_m (a_m^\dagger a_{m+1} + h.c.) + \frac{\hbar g}{2} \sum_m a_m^\dagger a_m a_m^\dagger a_m^\dagger
\]

\[
- \hbar g (N^2 - N). \tag{15}
\]

One notices that the third term \( \hbar g (N^2 - N) \) is just a constant shift in energy. The change of sign in the second term does not affect the physical observables for bosonic systems. Hence, the Hamiltonian Eq. (15) [or the Hamiltonian Eq. (13)] describes the same physics as our desired Hamiltonian in Eq. (1). We have therefore shown that a Bose–Hubbard model [50–52] with local interaction in the synthetic frequency dimension can be achieved by the ring shown in Fig. 1(a).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

To demonstrate some of the physics effects along the synthetic frequency dimension in the Hamiltonian Eq. (13), we perform numerical simulations with photon number \( N = 2 \). External waveguides are coupled with the ring, where the photons are input into the ring from the through-port and the detection can be made at the drop-port waveguide [see Fig. 1(b)]. Hence, our system becomes open, and the photon transport property is described by the input-output formalism in the Heisenberg picture [53,54]:

\[
\frac{d a_m(t)}{d \tau} = i \hbar [H_3, a_m] - \gamma a_m(t) + i \sqrt{\gamma} c_{in,m}(t), \tag{16}
\]

\[
c_{out,m}(t) = c_{in,m}(t) - i \sqrt{\gamma} a_m(t), \tag{17}
\]

\[
d_{out,m}(t) = -i \sqrt{\gamma} c_m(t), \tag{18}
\]

where \( \gamma \) is the waveguide-cavity coupling strength, \( c_{in,m} \) and \( d_{out,m} \) are the input (out) annihilation operators for photons at the frequency \( \omega_m \) in the through-port and drop-port waveguides, respectively.

As the input state, we consider a strongly correlated photon pair [55]

\[
|\phi(p,q)\rangle = \int d\tau_1 d\tau_2 f \frac{t_1 + t_2}{2} b(t_1 - t_2) c_{in,p}(t_2) c_{in,q}(t_1) |0\rangle, \tag{19}
\]

which satisfies the normalization condition \( \langle \phi|\phi \rangle = 1 \). We further assume that \( b(t) \) has an extremely short temporal width. Hence, the input state Eq. (19) describes the scenario where two photons at the frequencies \( \omega_p + \Delta \omega \) and \( \omega_p + \Delta \omega \) are simultaneously injected into the through-port waveguide. We choose \( f(t) = e^{-i(t-t_0)^2/2\sigma^2} e^{-i\Delta \omega , t} \), where \( t_0 \) describes the timing of the incident photons, \( \Delta \sigma \) is the pulse temporal width, and \( \Delta \omega \) is the small frequency detuning. To excite the individual sites in the synthetic lattice along the frequency dimension, the condition \( 1/\Delta \sigma \ll \Omega \) is required.

To measure the quantum statistics of the photons inside the ring, we define the two-photon correlation function \( G_{m,n}(t, t') = \langle \phi | d_{out,m}^\dagger(t) d_{out,n}^\dagger(t') d_{out,n}(t') d_{out,m}(t) |\phi \rangle \) [56]. We then compute the two-photon correlation probability in which two output photons coincide in time.
dashed lines correspond to and in the synthetic frequency dimension. Frequencies. Again, we see a strong photon-blockade effect, little probability that the output photons exhibit the same frequencies. As the interaction increases, the two-photon correlation probability \( P_{m,n} \) can be tuned at the order of \( 0.1–1 \) GHz to make the system operate in the weak modulation regime. As an example, photonic crystal fiber filled with a high-density atomic gas has the potential to create a nonlinearity with \( g/2\pi \) up to \( \sim 1 \) GHz in the few-photon regime [60]. Moreover, the strong nonlinearity in optical resonators is potentially feasible with other nanophotonic systems [36,61–66], for example, nanophotonic waveguides incorporated with rubidium vapors [67–69]. Strong GVD is desired to bring the phase-mismatching condition \( |\Delta k L| = n\pi \), which can be simplified to \( |\beta_2 L/\Omega^2| \sim 2\pi \). The necessary high dispersion \( \beta_2 \sim 10^{15} \) s^2/m is possible with dispersion engineering in the photonic crystal fiber [70] or by exploiting mode crossing in coupled waveguides [71].

The simulation procedure follows the standard formalism [55] but in the synthetic frequency dimension. We consider the synthetic lattice in Fig. 1(b) involving 31 resonant modes \((m = -15, \ldots, 15)\) and set \( \gamma = 0.2 f, \ t_D = 20 f^{-1}, \) and \( \Delta t = 6.4 f^{-1}. \) We also choose \( \Delta \omega = g \) to compensate for the overall frequency shift in the effective Bose–Hubbard model. A photon pair \( |\phi(-4, 4)\rangle \) is injected into the waveguide. We consider the cases with \( g = 0, \ g = 2f, \) and \( g = 10f \) in the simulations. Normalized distributions of the two-photon correlation probability \( P_{m,n} \) for each choice of \( g \) are plotted in Figs. 4(a)–4(c). There is no photon interaction in the \( g = 0 \) case. Notice the existence of nonzero probability \( P_{m,n} \) where the output photons have the same frequency. As the interaction increases, the output photon statistics changes. For a large \( g = 10f \), the correlation probability \( P_{m,n} = 0 \) for all \( m \). High nonlinearity thus introduces a large blockade effect at each resonant mode along the synthetic frequency dimension.

We use next the photon pair \( |\phi(-15, -15)\rangle \) as the input and assume that there is a sharp boundary at \( \omega_{-16} \) in the synthetic frequency dimension. Such an artificial sharp boundary can be created by adding another ring to strongly couple only to the –16th resonant mode but no other modes [30]. In this input the two photons have the same carrier frequencies. Figures 4(d)–4(f) show the simulated normalized distributions of the two-photon correlation probability \( P_{m,n} \) for different \( g \). At \( g = 0 \), there is a strong probability for the two photons to have the same frequencies. In contrast, with a large nonlinearity \( g = 10f \), away from the input frequency at \( \omega_{-15} \), there is very little probability that the output photons exhibit the same frequencies. Again, we see a strong photon-blockade effect in the synthetic frequency dimension.

Simulations in Fig. 4 show the photon-photon interaction along the synthetic frequency dimension, which is an analog to the on-site nonlinear interaction in the spatial dimension [57–59]. Moreover, we note that simulation results in Fig. 4 are the same as results performed by simulating Eqs. (16)–(18) with \( H_3 \) replaced by \( H_1 \) in Eq. (1), indicating that the Hamiltonian \( H_3 \) in Eq. (13) indeed provides an implementation of the Bose–Hubbard model in the synthetic frequency dimension.

Our proposed system requires waveguides with strong nonlinearity and large GVD, which is experimentally challenging but may be achievable with the current state-of-the-art technology. For a ring with the length \( \sim 1 \) mm, \( \Omega/2\pi \) is \( \sim 10 \) GHz. The modulation strength \( f/2\pi \) can be tuned at the order of \( 0.1–1 \) GHz to make the system operate in the weak modulation regime. An example, photonic crystal fiber filled with a high-density atomic gas has the potential to create a nonlinearity with \( g/2\pi \) up to \( \sim 1 \) GHz in the few-photon regime [60]. Moreover, the strong nonlinearity in optical resonators is potentially feasible with other nanophotonic systems [36,61–66], for example, nanophotonic waveguides incorporated with rubidium vapors [67–69]. Strong GVD is desired to bring the phase-mismatching condition \( |\Delta k L| = n\pi \), which can be simplified to \( |\beta_2 L/\Omega^2| \sim 2\pi \). The necessary high dispersion \( \beta_2 \sim 10^{15} \) s^2/m is possible with dispersion engineering in the photonic crystal fiber [70] or by exploiting mode crossing in coupled waveguides [71]. The theoretical proposal here may also be implementable in the microwave frequency range using superconducting quantum circuits [72].

4. CONCLUSION

In summary, we show that a ring resonator undergoing dynamic modulation can be used to achieve an interacting
Hamiltonian where the interaction is local along the synthetic frequency dimension, provided that the ring incorporates $\chi^{(3)}$ nonlinearity, and with a specific design of the GVD of the ring. Our work complements other emerging efforts in creating locally interacting Hamiltonians in synthetic space, by showing that such locally interacting Hamiltonians can be achieved in a system that has been widely used in photonics. The possibility of creating locally interacting Hamiltonians in the synthetic frequency dimension is fundamentally important for future studies of photon-photon interactions and many-body physics with synthetic dimensions in photonics, with promising potentials for a variety of quantum optical applications such as quantum information science and quantum communication technology [35,73].

We noticed Ref. [41] when we were in the final stage of preparing this paper.
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