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Abstract
Chirality arises universally across many different fields. Recent advancements in artificial nanomaterials have demonstrated chiroptical responses that far exceed those found in natural materials. Chiroptical phenomena are complicated processes that involve transitions between states with opposite parities, and solid interpretations of these observations are yet to be clearly provided. In this review, we present a comprehensive overview of the theoretical aspects of chirality in light, nanostructures, and nanosystems and their chiroptical interactions. Descriptions of observed chiroptical phenomena based on these fundamentals are intensively discussed. We start with the strong intrinsic and extrinsic chirality in plasmonic nanoparticle systems, followed by enantioselective sensing and optical manipulation, and then conclude with orbital angular momentum-dependent responses. This review will be helpful for understanding the mechanisms behind chiroptical phenomena based on underlying chiral properties and useful for interpreting chiroptical systems for further studies.

Introduction
Chiral objects are widespread in nature, with some examples being DNA and protein. A chiral object or system is defined as one for which the structure and its mirror image (enantiomer) are not superimposable. Although most of their physical properties are the same, a chiral object and its enantiomer may exhibit different responses. One can directly determine whether an object is chiral from its geometry alone; in other words, geometric chirality is a qualitative, binary property. In contrast, chiroptical effects are quantitatively measurable. Some commonly measured chiroptical phenomena include optical rotatory dispersion (ORD), measured by the degree of polarization rotation, and circular dichroism (CD), the difference in the absorption of left-circularly polarized (LCP) and right-circularly polarized (RCP) light. However, to the best of our knowledge, geometric chirality cannot be quantified, and no universal rule exists for predicting the relationship between the geometric chirality of an object and its chiroptical responses without the use of numerical tools.

Although chirality itself is a qualitative property, chiroptical systems can be quantitatively modeled by chiroptical parameters, as shown in Fig. 1. Light cannot be chiral in the conventional sense, as it possesses no geometry, but the chirality of light can be defined with certain parameters. For LCP or RCP light, chiral properties arise from the rotating electric and magnetic vector fields as the wave propagates. Light can also carry orbital angular momentum, which creates a helical geometry on the wavefront. The amount of chirality that light carries can be expressed in terms of chiroptical parameters such as the spin-density fluxes (Lz and Lm), optical helicity fluxes (Φχ and Φh), and orbital and spin angular momenta (Lz and Sz). These parameters allow us to define chiral light. Similarly, the chirality of an object can be quantified in...
terms of the chirality parameter ($\kappa$) and chiral polarizability tensor ($\alpha_c$).

To understand these chiroptical parameters, we should first consider parity symmetry $\mathcal{P}$ and time-reversal symmetry $\mathcal{T}$. The $\mathcal{P}$ operator transforms physical quantities as $x \rightarrow -x$, $t \rightarrow t$, $E \rightarrow -E$, and $H \rightarrow H$, whereas the $\mathcal{T}$ operator transforms them as $x \rightarrow x$, $t \rightarrow -t$, $E \rightarrow E$, and $H \rightarrow -H$, where $x$ is a space coordinate, $t$ is time, $E$ is the electric field, and $H$ is the magnetic field. Since geometric chirality is given by broken space-parity symmetry, the chiroptical observables of interest are parity-odd and time-even pseudoscalars. This symmetry-based framework provides a guideline to determine which parameters contribute to chiroptical phenomena. Chiroptical phenomena can also be observed in nonreciprocal systems (e.g., Faraday rotation), and such phenomena are termed false chirality. Here, we focus on the true chirality of reciprocal systems.

Chirality and chiral phenomena associated with electromagnetic (EM) waves have recently been covered in many review papers, mostly focused on various designs and fabrication methods of chiral metamaterial systems to increase chiral responses. Here, we concentrate on the theoretical framework that describes chiral systems and chiral EM fields and on advances in the quantification of EM field chirality and introduce examples of exploiting chiral light–matter interactions for specific applications. We aim to present the importance of understanding the properties that give light chirality, light–matter interactions, and the resultant chiroptical phenomena in applications such as chiral sensing.

In this review, we present theoretical frameworks for describing continuous chiral media, chiral particle systems, and chiral EM fields. We continue by explaining two chiroptical phenomena: chiroptical manipulation and the chiral light–matter interactions produced by orbital angular momentum. We conclude by summarizing the quantifiable chiral parameters and finally provide our perspective on future directions for research in the field of chirality.

**Strong artificial structural chirality**

Natural materials generally exhibit very weak chiroptical properties due to the large mismatch between their atomic feature sizes and optical wavelengths; however, strong handedness-dependent responses have been observed for structured materials such as the scarab beetle *Chrysina gloriosa*, which selectively reflects LCP light, and for artificially engineered chiral structures. Several review papers have recently covered the experimental realization of these artificial chiral plasmonic systems. In this section, we discuss how the EM chirality of continuous chiroptical media and microscopic chiral particles are modeled and how to interpret chiroptical phenomena using the framework.

**Continuous chiroptical media: chiral metamaterials**

First, we briefly discuss light propagation in a continuous chiroptical medium, which has been extensively studied in the context of chiral molecular media and chiral metamaterials. By introducing the chirality parameter $\kappa$, a reciprocal isotropic chiral medium can be modeled by the constitutive relations:

$$\begin{pmatrix} D/\varepsilon_0 \\ c\vec{B} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_r & \text{i}k \\ -\text{i}k & \mu_r \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \vec{E} \\ \eta_0\vec{H} \end{pmatrix} \tag{1}$$

where $D$ is the electric displacement field, $B$ is the magnetic induction field, $c$ is the speed of light, $\varepsilon_0$ is the vacuum permittivity, $\varepsilon_r$ is the relative permittivity, $\mu_r$ is the relative permeability, $\kappa$ is the chirality parameter, and $\eta_0 = (\mu_0/\varepsilon_0)^{1/2}$ is the vacuum wave impedance. Note that field quantities are expressed using SI units throughout this review. The refractive indices of LCP and RCP light in this chiral medium are $n\pm = (c\varepsilon_0/\mu_0)^{1/2} \pm \kappa$. Since $\kappa$ relates two quantities with opposite parities (i.e., $D$ and $H; B$ and $E$), it is parity-odd and therefore contributes to chiroptical phenomena. The ORD and CD of light propagating in a homogeneous chiral medium are related to $\kappa$ as follows: $\text{ORD} \propto \text{Re}(\kappa)l$ and $\text{CD} \propto \text{Im}(\kappa)l$, where $l$ is the optical path length. The real and imaginary parts of $\kappa$ (CD and ORD) are connected by the Kramers–Kronig relationship. This description (Eq. 1) has been widely used to study EM phenomena involving chiral media to predict photonic topological materials and negative refractive indices.
Small chiral particles: dipole approximation and polarizability

Effectively continuous chiral media can be achieved by using subwavelength chiral particles as meta-atoms, but small scattering systems (e.g., chiral molecules and subwavelength nanoparticles) usually exhibit very weak chiral responses because subwavelength particles are generally dominated by the electric dipole response, and chiroptical responses originate from transitions between modes with opposite parities\(^{16}\). Surprisingly, strong chiroptical responses far exceeding those in naturally occurring chiral systems have been observed for subwavelength plasmonic chiral systems, including asymmetric tetrahedral\(^{17}\) and helical assemblies of nanospheres\(^{18}\) and twisted nanorod dimers\(^{19}\) (Fig. 2). Their chiroptical effects are observed near their localized surface plasmon resonance wavelengths in the visible regime and are reversed with a change in their geometric handedness. Such colloidal chiral particles are typically fabricated using the DNA self-assembly method whereby achiral plasmonic nanoparticles are assembled into chiral systems. Although the particles are highly anisotropic, they are randomly dispersed and therefore exhibit orientation-averaged responses.

We now discuss the microscopic description of chiral particles and their strong chiroptical phenomena. The dipole approximation used to intuitively explain the optical responses of small particles also applies to small chiral particles. A point-like chiral system can be described by the dynamic polarizability tensor, which is a transition matrix that linearly maps the incident (excitation) field to the induced moments as:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
    \mathbf{p} / \epsilon \\
    \eta \mathbf{m}
\end{pmatrix} =
\begin{pmatrix}
    \alpha_e & i \epsilon_e \\
    -i \epsilon_e & \alpha_m
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
    \mathbf{E} \\
    \eta \mathbf{H}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

where \(\mathbf{p}\) is the induced electric dipole (ED) moment, \(\mathbf{m}\) is the induced magnetic dipole (MD) moment, \(\epsilon\) is the permittivity of the host medium and \(\eta\) is its wave impedance. The polarizability tensors \(\alpha_e\), \(\alpha_m\), and \(\alpha_c\) have dimensions of volume and are generally \(3 \times 3\) matrices. Under the space-parity operation, \(\mathbf{p}\) and \(\mathbf{m}\) transform as \(\mathcal{P}[\mathbf{p}] \rightarrow -\mathbf{p}\) and \(\mathcal{P}[\mathbf{m}] \rightarrow \mathbf{m}\). \(\alpha_e\) relates two quantities with opposite parities (i.e., \(\mathbf{E}\) and \(\mathbf{m}\); \(\mathbf{H}\) and \(\mathbf{p}\)), so it is parity-odd and contributes to chiroptical phenomena. In some of the literature, polarizabilities are defined using \(\mathbf{B}\) instead of \(\mathbf{H}\), but this does not affect the main results. In the quasi-static limit, the polarizabilities of a chiral sphere of radius \(R\) are\(^{13,20}\):

\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha_e &= 4\pi R^3 \frac{\epsilon_t - 1}{\epsilon_t + 2} \frac{\mu_t + 2}{\mu_t} - \frac{k^2}{\kappa^2} \\
\alpha_m &= 4\pi R^3 \frac{\epsilon_t + 2}{\epsilon_t} \frac{\mu_t - 1}{\mu_t + 2} - \frac{k^2}{\kappa^2} \\
\alpha_c &= 4\pi R^3 \frac{3\kappa}{\epsilon_t + 2} \frac{\mu_t + 2}{\mu_t} - \frac{k^2}{\kappa^2}
\end{align*}
\]

These dynamic polarizabilities have been widely used to describe small chiral particles or molecules to study chiral optomechanical\(^{20,21}\) and scattering processes\(^{22–25}\). The dynamic polarizability tensors of general nonspherical particles can also be retrieved\(^{26,27}\). For a deeper discussion, please refer to ref.\(^{28}\).

Strong chiroptical effects from small plasmonic chiral particles are allowed due to the interplay between the ED and MD\(^{29}\), i.e., the magnetoelectric polarizability \(\alpha_c\). High refractive index dielectric nanostructures also rely on this intermode transition\(^{30}\). Using exemplary plasmonic particles, we show how chiroptical properties and phenomena are embedded in the dynamic polarizabilities (Fig. 3). Specifically, extinction (Abs) and CD are considered, which are calculated as \(\text{Abs} = (\sigma_+ + \sigma_-)/2\) and \(\text{CD} = \sigma_+ - \sigma_-\).
- $\sigma_\alpha$, where $\sigma_\alpha$ is the extinction cross-section of LCP and RCP incident light.

Chiroptical responses are often classified into intrinsic chirality and extrinsic chirality; the former originates from the geometric chirality of the system, and the latter originates from the illumination conditions. Intrinsic chirality is observed from chiral particles, such as a helical assembly of Au nanospheres (Fig. 3a), which exhibits a nonzero $\langle CD \rangle_\Omega$, where $\langle \cdots \rangle_\Omega$ is an orientation-averaged quantity. $\langle CD \rangle_\Omega$ is directly related to the nonorthogonal ED and MD and can be determined by the imaginary part of the trace of $\alpha_\epsilon$. $\langle \alpha_\epsilon \rangle_\Omega = \text{Im}[\text{Tr}(\alpha_\epsilon)] = (\alpha_{\epsilon,xx} + \alpha_{\epsilon,yy} + \alpha_{\epsilon,zz})/3$; analogously, $\langle \text{Abs} \rangle_\Omega$ is related to $\text{Im}[\text{Tr}(\alpha_\epsilon)]$ in the same way. This property is visually confirmed by the spectra of $\langle CD \rangle_\Omega$ and $\text{Im}[\text{Tr}(\alpha_\epsilon)]$ (Fig. 3a), which exhibit a significant feature at $\sim 520$ nm. Likewise, the spectra of $\langle \text{Abs} \rangle_\Omega$ and $\text{Im}[\text{Tr}(\alpha_\epsilon)]$ also exhibit a resonance at $\sim 520$ nm. Plasmonic nanoparticles generally have highly anisotropic responses, which can be analyzed using the complete set of $\alpha$ tensor components.

Although the $\langle CD \rangle_\Omega$ of an achiral object is zero, a chiroptical response has been observed when the incident wave vector $\mathbf{k}$ does not lie on the plane of symmetry. This chiroptical phenomenon, called extrinsic chirality, has been studied for a split-ring resonator (SRR), which is apparently achiral due to its mirror symmetry planes (i.e., the $xy$- and $yz$-planes) (Fig. 3b). An SRR is a canonical meta-atom with a magnetoelectric response: an incident electric field polarized in the $x$-direction, $E_x$, generates a current loop, which subsequently generates an MD mode oriented in the $z$-direction $m_z$; this transition corresponds to a nonzero $(\alpha_\epsilon)_{xz}$ $^{26}$. An SRR exhibits an asymmetric response arising from the LCP and RCP light that propagates outside of the mirror planes (Fig. 3b). The CD spectrum peak at 580 nm corresponds to $\text{Im}[\langle \alpha_\epsilon \rangle_{xx}]$, and the Abs peaks at 480 and 580 nm correspond to $\text{Im}[\langle \alpha_\epsilon \rangle_{xy}]$ and $\text{Im}[\langle \alpha_\epsilon \rangle_{yx}]$, respectively. Interestingly, the extrinsic chirality originates from $(\alpha_\epsilon)_{xz}$, in which the ED mode in the $x$-direction is involved, although $(\alpha_\epsilon)_{yy}$ is stronger than $(\alpha_\epsilon)_{xx}$.

The general definition of a chiral object, i.e., one that is not superimposable onto its mirror image using only rotations and translations, has been useful for predicting whether chiral objects have intrinsic chirality. However, it fails to explain the extrinsic chirality of achiral objects for which only orientation-averaged chiroptical responses are observed, such as for randomly dispersed chiral molecules and colloids. As the examples above show, the origins of both intrinsic and extrinsic chirality can be unambiguously explained using the $\alpha_\epsilon$ of subwavelength plasmonic particles. The dipole approximation is the simplest form of the so-called multipole approach, which has been used to extensively explain nanophotonic phenomena, including directional scattering $^{34}$ and Fano resonances $^{35}$, and allows group-theoretical analysis due to the well-defined symmetries of multipoles $^{36}$. However, the dipole approximation must be used with caution. Recently investigated coupled plasmonic clusters $^{37}$, high refractive-index particles $^{38}$, and particles under near-field interactions $^{39,40}$ involve higher-order multipolar transitions, which need to be treated using the higher-order polarizability tensor $^{27}$ or $T$-matrix method $^{41}$. The chiroptical effects of plasmonic

Fig. 3 Multipole-based approach to describe intrinsic chirality and extrinsic chirality. a Intrinsic and b extrinsic chirality of subwavelength plasmonic chiral nanoparticles. a Schematic, (Abs)$_\Omega$ and (CD)$_\Omega$, Tr($\alpha_\epsilon$), and Tr($\alpha_\epsilon$) of a helical assembly of nine Au nanospheres with a major radius of 1.7 nm, a minor radius of 0.5 nm, and a helical pitch of 5.7 nm. b Schematic, Abs and CD, $\alpha_{\epsilon,xx}$, $\alpha_{\epsilon,yy}$, and $\alpha_{\epsilon,zz}$ of a Ag SRR with a major radius of 30 nm, a minor radius of 10 nm, and a split angle of 60°. Solid lines represent the imaginary part and dashed lines represent the real part of $\alpha_\epsilon$ and $\alpha_c$. Panel a was calculated using Mie theory, and panel b was calculated using the commercial FEM solver COMSOL Multiphysics 5.4.
particles are sensitive to their geometry\textsuperscript{16,42} and illumination conditions (i.e., highly anisotropic)\textsuperscript{43}, so an ensemble-averaged response may strongly differ from a single-particle response\textsuperscript{44,45}. Formulations for higher-order multipoles are discussed in ref.\textsuperscript{46}, and anisotropic and higher-order transitional aspects of chiroptical responses are discussed in ref.\textsuperscript{47}.

Maximally chiral particles

The chiroptical parameters ($\alpha_c$ and $\kappa$) provide a method of clearly interpreting chiroptical phenomena. This theoretical framework also allows us to define and study the concept of maximally chiral systems\textsuperscript{48}. Under the dipole approximation, maximally chiral particles (MCPs) have polarizabilities that satisfy $\alpha_c = \alpha_m = \pm \alpha$, and interact with LCP light while being completely transparent to RCP light (or vice versa depending on the sign). In addition, an MCP is dually symmetric; that is, it is excited by and emits light with identical helicity\textsuperscript{49,50}. Therefore, an MCP must be completely decoupled from its enantiomer because photons radiated from the former cannot excite the latter. Using these properties, MCPs could be used as helicity filtering media, which scatter light with one helicity while being completely transparent to light with the other helicity. Similar to the dipolar particle case, a formulation that provides a local description of such systems is $\epsilon_r = \mu_r = \pm \kappa$\textsuperscript{48}. Such systems have yet to be realized experimentally; however, this is an example of how a strong theoretical framework can guide research towards potential limits and applications.

Interpretation of plasmonic chiral assemblies: plasmon hybridization theory and coupled-mode theory

In general, assemblies of discrete plasmonic nanoparticles exhibit much stronger chiroptical responses than single continuous plasmonic nanoparticles. Under the multipole framework, this observation can be explained by the increased higher-order multipolar transitions of the coupled plasmonic clusters\textsuperscript{37}, but it does not explain the EM interactions between the discrete particles that amplify the chiroptical responses. These interparticle EM interactions have been interpreted using plasmon hybridization theory\textsuperscript{51} and coupled-mode theory\textsuperscript{52}.

Plasmon hybridization theory provides an intuitive picture of the coupled configuration based on the hybridization of isolated plasmonic modes\textsuperscript{53,54}. Two twisted plasmonic nanorods (Fig. 4a) exhibit splitting of isolated plasmonic modes into bonding and antibonding states that asymmetrically interact with light with different helicities. This framework provides the charge density distribution for the hybridized modes and produces an acceptable prediction of the coupled state eigenfrequency; however, it is based on an electrostatic approach, so its accuracy decreases as the system size increases.

Coupled-mode theory, also known as the coupled oscillator model, allows for a quantitative analysis of the interparticle EM interactions. The constituent particles are treated as oscillators coupled to each other with coupling strengths acquired through a fitting procedure. Notably, the Born–Kuhn model, which consists of two identical coupled oscillators, has been used to accurately interpret simple chiral systems such as twisted nanorods (Fig. 4b)\textsuperscript{53}. This model accurately reconstructs the bisignate chiral signatures and the CD and ORD that are related by the Kramers–Kronig relation. This process can be extended to more complicated systems where many particles are coupled (Fig. 4c), resulting in an excellent quantitative analysis. However, due to the fitting procedure, it is not possible to predict an unknown response from known constituents using this method.
Chiroptical sensing and plasmon-enhanced circular dichroism

Enantioselective spectroscopy is a potentially useful technique for distinguishing the handedness of chiral molecules in pharmaceutics and synthetic chemistry, but molecular chiroptical signals are intrinsically weak due to the large size mismatch between molecules and the wavelength of light. Research on the ultrasensitive measurement of a small number of chiral biomolecules near plasmonic nanostructures has been undertaken\(^{55–59}\). An enhancement factor of \(10^{660}\), a sensitivity of zepto-\((10^{-21})\) \(M\)\(^{61,62}\), and analysis of the higher-order structure of large biomolecules\(^{63}\) have been reported. The experimental aspects of the platforms used to sense chirality have been covered in numerous reviews\(^{10,64}\); thus, here, we focus on the theoretical aspects of chiroptical molecular sensing, including the plasmonic antenna effect, local field gradients, superchiral fields, and structural perturbations in plasmonic systems.

Near-field radiative coupling and antenna effect

Within the semiclassical approach, molecules excited by an off-resonant weak EM field are approximated to a two-level point-like system (i.e., a quantum resonator)\(^{65}\). The polarizabilities of chiral molecules are\(^{66}\) \(\alpha_e(\omega) = e^{-i f(\omega)(P_{12} \otimes P_{21})}\) and \(\alpha_m(\omega) = -i f(\omega)(P_{12} \otimes m_{21})\), where \(P_{ij}\) and \(m_{ij}\) are the electric and magnetic transition dipole matrix elements, respectively, \(i, j = \{1, 2\}\), and 1 and 2 are the initial and final states, and \(f(\omega)\) is the molecular dispersion linewidth with information on molecular resonances. Molecular resonances due to electronic modes occur in the UV regime where electronic CD is observed; likewise, vibronic CD is observed in the IR regime due to vibronic modes. The semiclassical theory of the CD effect of a single chiral molecule states that \(CD \propto \text{Im}(P_{12} \cdot m_{21})\), which is related to \(\text{Im}[\text{Tr}(\alpha_m)]\). This framework within the dipole approximation has also been used to model chiral molecules to study plasmon-enhanced circular dichroism\(^{23}\), helical dichroism\(^{67}\) and optical forces on chiral molecules\(^{68}\), and has been extended to higher-order multipolar transitions that couple to rapidly varying fields\(^{69–71}\).

Chiral molecules adsorbed on plasmonic nanoparticles exhibit strongly amplified chiroptical responses in the visible regime where the localized surface plasmon resonates. This phenomenon has been theoretically reconstructed by placing a chiral molecule near a plasmonic nanoparticle\(^{23,24}\) or inside a plasmonic dimer\(^{25}\), where the chiral molecules and nanoparticles are radiatively coupled in the near-field. An \(\alpha\)-helix near a Ag nanoparticle (Fig. 5a) shows plasmon-enhanced circular dichroism (PECD) near 400 nm, as well as molecular chiroptical signals near 200 nm. This PECD comes from the electrostatic Coulomb interaction between the chiral molecule and the plasmonic nanoparticle. Similarly, the amplified chiroptical responses near plasmonic structures have been reconstructed for chiral molecular media using the finite element method\(^{72–74}\) (Fig. 5b). To recognize the microscopic origin of PECD, the observed CD is decomposed into \(\text{CD} = \text{CD}_{\text{abs}} + \text{CD}_{\text{sca}} = \text{CD}_{\text{abs}}^{\text{NP}} + \text{CD}_{\text{sca}}^{\text{molecule}} + \text{CD}_{\text{sca}}^{\text{NP}}\), where \(\text{CD}_{\text{abs}}\) originates from absorptive losses, \(\text{CD}_{\text{sca}}\) from scattering losses, \(\text{CD}_{\text{abs}}^{\text{NP}}\) from absorptive losses of the nanoparticle, and \(\text{CD}_{\text{sca}}^{\text{molecule}}\) from absorptive losses of the molecule. Extinction due to weak scatterers (i.e., molecules) occurs dominantly via absorption because their radiative scattering loss is negligible; however, the theoretically observed PECD for a system of chiral molecules and a plasmonic nanoantenna has a strong scattering contribution from the plasmonic nanoantenna\(^{71,74}\). These theoretical studies suggest that enantioselective perturbation of the nanoparticle by the chiral molecule could be essential for ultrasensitive chiral sensing, where the nanoparticle acts as a nanoantenna that amplifies the weak molecular chiroptical signals. PECD studies generally considered chiral molecules that are off-resonant with plasmonic nanoparticles, but molecules that are on-
resonant with nanostructures have been recently studied using an Al nanoparticle resonant at a UV wavelength and a cavity resonant at a mid-IR wavelength.

**Local field gradients**

Although contributions from the ED–EQ transition to chiroptical responses are comparable to the ED–MD contributions, small chiral scattering systems have generally been modeled based on ED–MD transitions because the ED–EQ contribution vanishes due to orientation averaging. Because ED–EQ transitions take local field gradient into account, the ED–EQ contribution to chiroptical responses may not be negligible near plasmonic nanogaps. Plasmonic nanogaps support field enhancement of several orders of magnitude at a singular point, so the fields vary rapidly, and a strong local field gradient is present. This local field gradient was studied for a Ag dimer with an ED–EQ transition (Fig. 5c), where higher-order transitions could be excited and yield strongly increased chiroptical responses, far exceeding those from ED–MD transitions alone.

**Superchiral fields**

Another widely studied mechanism for enantioselective molecular sensing is the superchiral field. The quantification of the chirality of EM fields has been conceptualized only recently as optical chirality. This pseudoscalar field quantity, also called Lipkin’s 00-zilch, was discovered decades ago, but its physical meaning was not fully understood. In a vacuum, optical chirality is locally conserved by the continuity equation:

\[ \nabla \cdot \Phi_x + \partial_t \chi = - (\mathcal{J} \cdot \nabla \times \mathcal{E} + \mathcal{E} \cdot \nabla \times \mathcal{J}) / 2 \]  

and the optical chirality density and its flux can be expressed as:

\[ \chi = (e_0 \mathcal{E} \cdot \nabla \times \mathcal{E} + \mu_0 H \cdot \nabla \times H) / 2 \]

\[ \Phi_x = [\mathcal{E} \times (\nabla \times H) - H \times (\nabla \times \mathcal{E})] / 2 \]

where \( \mathcal{E}(r, t) \) and \( \mathcal{H}(r, t) \) are the electric and magnetic fields in the time domain, respectively. For monochromatic fields, the time-averaged optical chirality density and its flux can be expressed as:

\[ \langle \chi \rangle = \omega / (2 c^2) \text{Im}(\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{H}^*) \]

\[ \langle \Phi_x \rangle = \text{Re}[\mathbf{E} \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{H}^*) - \mathbf{H}^* \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{E})] / 4 \]

\[ = (\omega / 4) \text{Im}(\epsilon_0 \mathbf{E}^* \times \mathbf{E} + \mu_0 \mathbf{H}^* \times \mathbf{H}) \]

where \( \mathbf{E}(r, \omega) \) and \( \mathbf{H}(r, \omega) \) are the complex, time-harmonic fields in the frequency domain.

Generalized expressions for the optical chirality dissipation and density in a lossy medium in the frequency domain were recently introduced by comparing these conservation laws with the Poynting theorem (Table 1). These expressions allow the optical chirality dissipation to be estimated by measuring the optical chirality flux in the far field. Most recently, optical chirality has been generalized to dispersive materials, including dielectric, plasmonic, and negative index media. These expressions will be especially helpful for characterizing the optical chirality density inside or near lossy plasmonic nanostructures. The optical chirality density may allow us to determine and even quantify the chirality of EM fields. Based on these conservation laws, optical chirality has been interpreted as an intrinsic physical quantity that can be transferred (dissipated) to discrete objects just as EM energy can be transferred between objects and EM fields.

The optical chirality density appears in the expressions for enantioselective absorption. Under the dipole approximation, the time-averaged extinction rates of an isotropic chiral molecule under LCP (+) and RCP (−) illumination are:

\[ \langle A \rangle^\pm = (\omega / 2) \text{Im}(\mathbf{E}^* \cdot \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{B}^* \cdot \mathbf{m}) \]

\[ = (\omega \epsilon_0 / 2) \text{Im}(\alpha_e) |\mathbf{E}|^2 + (\omega \mu_0 / 2) \text{Im}(\alpha_m) |\mathbf{H}|^2 \]

\[ \pm (\omega / c) \text{Im}(\alpha_e) \text{Im}(\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{H}^*) \]

and the dissymmetry in the extinction rates is proportional to the optical chirality density as

### Table 1 Comparison between electromagnetic field energy and optical chirality for dispersive, lossy media in the frequency domain

| Energy | Optical chirality |
|--------|------------------|
| \( \nabla \cdot \Phi_x + 2i \omega (u_e - u_m) = - J^* \cdot \mathbf{E} \) | \( \nabla \cdot \Phi_x + 2i \omega (\chi_e - \chi_m) = -(J^* \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{E} \times \nabla J^*) / 4 \) |
| Dissipation \( \nabla \cdot \Phi_x = 2 \text{Im}(u_e - u_m) = -(\omega / 2) [\text{Im}(\epsilon) |\mathbf{E}|^2 + \text{Im}(\mu) |\mathbf{H}|^2] \) | Dissipation \( \nabla \cdot \Phi_x = 2 \text{Im}(\chi_e - \chi_m) = -(\omega / 2) \text{Im}(\epsilon \mu) \text{Im}(\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{H}^*) \) |
| Density \( \langle u \rangle = \text{Re}(u_e + u_m) = [\text{Re}(\epsilon) |\mathbf{E}|^2 + \text{Re}(\mu) |\mathbf{H}|^2] / 4 \) | Density \( \langle \chi \rangle = \text{Re}(\chi_e + \chi_m) = (\omega / 2) \text{Re}(\epsilon \mu) \text{Re}(\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{H}^*) \) |

Electric field energy density \( u_e = (\mathbf{D}^* \cdot \mathbf{E}) / 4 \), magnetic field energy density \( u_m = (\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{H}^*) / 4 \), electric optical chirality density \( \chi_e = (\mathbf{D}^* \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{E} \times \nabla \mathbf{D}^*) / 8 \), magnetic optical chirality density \( \chi_m = (\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{H}^* + \mathbf{H}^* \times \nabla \mathbf{B}) / 8 \), electromagnetic field energy flux (Poynting vector) \( \Phi_x = (\mathbf{E} \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{H}^*) - \mathbf{H}^* \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{E})) / 4 \).
A+ – A− ≈ Im(αc)χ. A similar expression can be found for the local absorption in a chiral medium:

\[ \langle Q \rangle ^ c = \frac{1}{2} \Im(\epsilon) |E|^2 + \Im(\mu) |H|^2 \]
\[ \pm \frac{1}{2} \Im(\kappa) \Im(\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{H}^*) \]

The optical chirality density in the far field is limited to that of the circularly polarized light but can be enhanced near nanostructures. This so-called superchiral field was suggested as a possible mechanism for the ultrasensitive detection of chiral molecules near nanostructures. High local optical chirality density has been observed near chiral nanostructures\(^{86-89}\) (Fig. 6a). However, the chirooptical signals from the chiral nanostructures overwhelm the molecular signals, so the structure background must be compensated for using two opposite\(^{60,62}\) or complementary chiral nanostructures\(^{90}\). Note that achiral plasmonic nanostructures also support enhanced local optical chirality in the near-field\(^{91,92}\) (Fig. 6b). Plasmonic nanostructures enhance the electric field much more strongly than the optical chirality\(^{93}\), so the achiral part of the absorption (the first term of Eq. 9) increases more significantly than the chiral part (the last term of Eq. 9). The dissymmetry factor, defined as the ratio between the chiral and achiral components of the absorption, is therefore lowered. Therefore, superchiral light may also refer to fields with a large local field dissymmetry, defined as the local optical chirality divided by the local electric field intensity\(^{22}\).

The volume-averaged optical chirality \(\langle \chi \rangle\) cannot be high near small plasmonic nanoparticles due to their quasi-static nature\(^{94}\); \(\langle \chi \rangle\) may become strong near large chiral structures\(^{95}\) but is generally limited due to spatially flipping signs of the local \(\chi\). Strong \(\langle \chi \rangle\) has been theoretically predicted near high refractive-index dielectric nanostructures with simultaneous electric and magnetic resonances\(^{95-101}\) (Fig. 6c). These dielectric nanostructures exhibit strong optical chirality with a weaker electric field enhancement than plasmonic nanostructures. However, the enhancement of the molecular CD from dielectric nanostructures is, to the best of our knowledge, yet to be observed experimentally.

In addition to these limitations, the optical chirality enhancement only explains the enhancement factor of CD\(^{\text{molecule}}\), which is only a small portion of the total CD enhancement factor for a chiral molecule described under the dipole approximation. The local field gradient may explain why ultrasensitive chiral molecular sensing has been demonstrated using plasmonic structures, despite the optical chirality enhancement being limited to \(10^2\) and high refractive-index dielectric structures exhibiting strong \(\langle \chi \rangle\)\(^{91}\).

Although, based on its conservation laws, optical chirality has been suggested as a measure of field chirality, whether it represents a physical property of an EM field is still controversial\(^{102}\). Optical helicity is another potential field quantity that could be used to describe the chirality of EM fields. The optical helicity operator is defined as the spin operator projected onto the linear momentum operator\(^{103}\), and optical helicity is interpreted as the number difference between the 1 and −1 spin-polarized photons\(^{102}\). In a vacuum, optical helicity is locally conserved as \(\nabla \cdot \Phi_h + \partial_t \hbar = 0\), where \(\hbar = \langle \mathbf{r}_0 ^ {\text{A}} \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B} - \mathbf{r}_0 ^ {\text{C}} \cdot \mathbf{D} \rangle / 2\) is the optical helicity density and \(\Phi_h = \langle \mathbf{r}_0 ^ {\text{E}} \times \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{r}_0 ^ {\text{H}} \times \mathbf{C} \rangle / 2\) is its flux, expressed by the electric and magnetic vector potentials\(^{104}\), with \(\mathbf{D} = -\nabla \mathbf{C} = -\varepsilon_0 \partial_t \mathbf{A}\) and \(\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A} = -\mu_0 \partial_t \mathbf{C}\). In a vacuum, \(\Phi_h\) coincides with the spin angular momentum density flux\(^{102}\), and for monochromatic fields, the time-averaged expressions are \(\langle h \rangle = \Im(\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{H}^*) / (2\omega)\) and \(\langle \Phi_h \rangle = c/2 \omega \Im(\varepsilon_0 \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{E} + \mu_0 \mathbf{H}^* \times \mathbf{H})\). For monochromatic fields, \(\hbar\) is proportional to \(\chi\), but they are different distinguishable quantities in general\(^{102,105}\). \(\hbar\) has been generalized to lossless dispersive media, where dispersion-modified quantities are considered. In such media, \(\Phi_h\) and the spin angular momentum density flux, which are proportional to each other in nondispersive media,
become completely unrelated\textsuperscript{105}. A recent paper\textsuperscript{106} reviewed optical chirality and optical helicity in detail.

**Structural perturbations**

Structural perturbations in plasmonic systems arising from chiral molecules have led to strong chiroptical responses originating from the induced structural chirality\textsuperscript{29}, where the resulting handedness of the dimerized plasmonic nanorods depends on the handedness of the molecules (Fig. 7a). Theoretical investigations of this phenomenon did not directly include the chiroptical properties of the chiral molecules because the chiroptical signals from the plasmonic systems far exceed the molecular signals. Because the shapes of the dimerized nanorods are not identical, the responses from an individual particle and an ensemble of particles are different. Recently, this was investigated at the single-particle level, where an ensemble of nanorods (Fig. 7b) exhibited a stronger, broader chiroptical response than that of a single nanorod when coupled to chiral molecules (Fig. 7c)\textsuperscript{107}. The statistical nature of this phenomenon may dominate when plasmonic systems are structurally unstable.

Recently, enantioselective molecular sensing assisted by Ag chiral nanoparticles (AgCNPs) was also demonstrated in the deep-UV region\textsuperscript{108}, where the effects of superchirally fields are absent. A helical arrangement of core/surface AgCNPs cannot generate a localized surface plasmon resonance (LSPR) at this wavelength range, even though they exhibit plasmonic chirality in the visible spectrum. Here, the enantioselective CD amplification is attributed to the enantiospecific change in the dihedral angle of the binaphthyl chromophore when adsorbed on the AgCNPs through the Ag–S bicontacts. Similarly, this kind of chirality transfer of the helical topography from the AgCNPs to the adsorbed molecules also enables the formation of supramolecular chiral complexes such that the CD signal of the molecules is increased\textsuperscript{109}.

**Chiroptical manipulation**

This section discusses the momentum exchange in light–matter interactions that produces optical forces and torques. First, we introduce the Maxwell stress tensor, which enables the calculation of optical forces and torques. Then, we derive the formulas of the optical force and torque exerted on a chiral sphere, examine how the optical force and torque affect a chiral object and review experimental demonstrations of light-driven particle control.

**Optical forces and torques**

Light carries linear and angular momenta that can be exchanged with objects. Therefore, the use of light to
capture, trap, pull, push, rotate, and guide microscopic objects has been explored\textsuperscript{110-121}. It is a challenge to produce an analytic formula for complex systems that describes the optical forces and torques exerted on an object in an EM field, but fortunately, they can be calculated in a systematic way using stress tensors\textsuperscript{21,122-124}. The most commonly used is the Maxwell stress tensor, also known as the Minkowski stress tensor\textsuperscript{124-126}. In a homogeneous medium, it is defined as:

\[
\mathbf{T}(r,t) = \mathcal{D}\mathbf{E} + \mathcal{B}\mathbf{H} - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{\nabla}(\mathcal{D}\cdot \mathbf{E} + \mathcal{B}\cdot \mathbf{H})
\]  

(11)

where \(\mathcal{D}(r,t)\) and \(\mathcal{B}(r,t)\) are the electric displacement field and the magnetic induction field in the time domain, respectively, and \(\mathbf{T}\) is a unit tensor. In a region \(V\), a mechanical contribution to the force can then be expressed as:

\[
\mathbf{F}_{\text{mech}} = \frac{d\mathbf{P}_{\text{mech}}}{dt} = -\frac{1}{c^2} \oint_V \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{S} dV + \int_V \nabla \cdot \mathbf{T} dV
\]  

(12)

where \(dV\) is an infinitesimal volume of \(V\). The first term on the right-hand side is associated with the time derivative of the EM linear momentum and corresponds to an EM force term. Using the divergence theorem, the optical force can be obtained as:

\[
\mathbf{F}(r,t) = \frac{d\mathbf{P}_{\text{mech}} + \mathbf{P}_{\text{em}}}{dt} = \oint_S \mathbf{T} \cdot d\mathbf{a}
\]  

(13)

where \(d\mathbf{a}\) is an infinitesimal surface of \(V\). The time-averaged force \(\langle \mathbf{F} \rangle = \frac{1}{\tau} \int_0^\infty \langle \mathbf{T} \rangle \cdot d\mathbf{a} \) can be calculated using a surface integral over the time-averaged Maxwell stress tensor. Similarly, the torque can also be obtained by using the Maxwell stress tensor:

\[
\Gamma_{\text{mech}} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_V r \times (\mathcal{D}\times \mathcal{B}) dV - \oint_S \mathbf{n} \cdot (\mathbf{T} \times r) d\mathbf{a}
\]  

(14)

If we consider a steady state such as laser illumination, the first term on the right-hand side, which is a time-derivative term, becomes zero. Therefore, the time-averaged optical torque can be expressed as \(\langle \Gamma_{\text{mech}} \rangle = -\frac{1}{\tau} \oint_S \mathbf{n} \cdot (\mathbf{T} \times r) d\mathbf{a}\).

**Enantioselective optical forces and torques**

Circularly polarized light has been utilized as a tool to mechanically separate chiral objects with opposite handedness. Depending on the helicity of the incident light, it is scattered differently by the object. We examine the time-averaged optical force acting on an object induced by an arbitrary incident wave. For further simplicity, we consider the object to be isotropic and in the regime of the linear, harmonic and dipolar approximation\textsuperscript{127-129}. More detailed expressions of the optical force and torque can be found in other studies\textsuperscript{130,131}. The time-averaged optical force\textsuperscript{21,129} exerted on a sphere can be expressed as:

\[
\langle \mathbf{F} \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re} \left[ \nabla \mathbf{E}^* \cdot \mathbf{p} + \nabla \mathbf{B}^* \cdot \mathbf{m} - \frac{k_0^4}{6\pi\epsilon_0\epsilon_4} (\mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{m}^*) \right]
\]

(15)

where \(k_0\) is the wavenumber in a vacuum. Substitution of Eq. 2 into Eq. 15 gives the following equations:

\[
\langle \mathbf{F} \rangle = - \frac{c}{\epsilon_0} \langle \chi \mathbf{E} \rangle + c \nabla \times [\sigma_r(\mathbf{L}_e) + \sigma_m(\mathbf{L}_m)] + \frac{c^2}{\epsilon_0^2} \operatorname{Re} (\alpha_u \alpha_u^*) \langle \mathbf{L}_e \rangle + \frac{c^2}{\epsilon_0^2} \operatorname{Im} (\alpha_u \alpha_u^*) \langle \mathbf{L}_m \rangle - 4k_0^4 \operatorname{Im} (\alpha_u \langle \mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{E}^* \rangle)
\]

(16)

where \(\langle \chi \mathbf{E} \rangle = - \frac{c^2}{\epsilon_0^2} \operatorname{Re} (\alpha_u |\mathbf{E}|^2 - \frac{c^2}{\epsilon_0^2} \operatorname{Re} (\alpha_u)|\mathbf{H}|^2 + \frac{c^2}{\epsilon_0^2} \operatorname{Re} (\alpha_u) \operatorname{Im} (\mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{E}^*)\) is the free energy and \(\sigma = \sigma_r + \sigma_m - \frac{k_0^4}{\epsilon_0^2} [\operatorname{Re} (\alpha_u \alpha_u^*) - \alpha_u^2\alpha_u]\), where \(\sigma_r = k_0 \operatorname{Im} (\alpha_u)\) and \(\sigma_m = k_0 \operatorname{Im} (\alpha_m)\) are the extinction cross-sections for the electric dipole and magnetic dipole contributions, \(\langle \mathbf{L}_e \rangle = \frac{c^2}{\epsilon_0^2} \operatorname{Im} (\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{E}^*)\) and \(\langle \mathbf{L}_m \rangle = \frac{c_k}{\epsilon_0} \operatorname{Im} (\mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{H}^*)\) are the time-averaged spin-density fluxes, which manifest the polarization states of light, for example, \(\langle \mathbf{L}_e \rangle = \frac{c^2}{\epsilon_0^2} |\mathbf{E}|^2\) for LCP light, \(\langle \mathbf{L}_e \rangle = - \frac{c^2}{\epsilon_0^2} |\mathbf{E}|^2\) for RCP light and \(\langle \mathbf{L}_e \rangle = 0\) for linearly polarized light. The summation of the two time-averaged spin-density fluxes is directly associated with the time-averaged optical helicity flux in a vacuum: \(\langle \mathbf{L}_e \rangle + \langle \mathbf{L}_m \rangle = \langle \chi \mathbf{H} \rangle / c\). In Eq. 16, \(- \frac{c}{\epsilon_0^2} \langle \chi \mathbf{E} \rangle\) represents the gradient force, \(\frac{c}{\epsilon_0} \langle \chi \mathbf{L}_u \rangle\) represents the radiation pressure, \(c \nabla \times [\sigma_r(\mathbf{L}_e) + \sigma_m(\mathbf{L}_m)]\) represents curl-spin forces, which are related to the curl of the spin-density fluxes, and \(\frac{c^2}{\epsilon_0^2} \operatorname{Re} (\alpha_u \alpha_u^*) \langle \mathbf{L}_e \rangle\) represents a vortex force that originates from the energy flow vortex. The last two terms are spin-density forces, which are related to the coupling of the chiral object and spin-density fluxes.

Two factors contribute to the helicity dependent force. The first originates from the polarization states of light. If circularly polarized light illuminates an achiral object, then the nonzero spin-density fluxes give rise to a curl-spin force and a spin-density force in a direction that depends on the spin-density fluxes. The second contribution results from the \(\alpha_u\) of an object. Under the same illumination, a chiral object and its enantiomer experience different vortex and spin-density forces, as implied by the \(\alpha_u\) term in Eq. 16.

Light also carries angular momentum and therefore can apply a torque. The time-averaged optical torque exerted
on an arbitrary object can be expressed as\cite{21,128}:

\[
\Gamma = \frac{1}{2} \, \text{Re}(\mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{E}^* + \mathbf{m} \times \mathbf{B}^*) + \frac{k_0^2}{12\pi} \left[ \frac{1}{\varepsilon_0} \text{Im}(\mathbf{p}^* \times \mathbf{p}) + \mu_0 \text{Im}(\mathbf{m}^* \times \mathbf{m}) \right]
\] (17)

Then, the optical torque can be expressed in terms of the polarizabilities and EM fields by substituting Eq. 2 into Eq. 17 to yield:

\[
\Gamma = \left[ \frac{2}{3} \text{Im}(\alpha_e) - \frac{k_0^2}{3\pi} \text{Re}(\alpha_e \alpha_e^*) - \frac{k_0^2}{3\pi} \text{Re}(\alpha_m \alpha_m^*) \right] (\Phi_u) + \frac{k_0^2}{6\pi} \text{Im}(\alpha_e \alpha_e^*) - \frac{k_0^2}{6\pi} \text{Im}(\alpha_m \alpha_m^*) \text{Im}(\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H}^*)
\]

\[
+ \frac{\omega k_0^2}{3\pi} \alpha_e \alpha_e^* + \frac{\omega k_0^2}{3\pi} \alpha_e \alpha_e^* - 2\omega \text{Im}(\alpha_e) \right] (\mathbf{L}_e) + \frac{\omega k_0^2}{3\pi} \alpha_m \alpha_m^* + \frac{\omega k_0^2}{3\pi} \alpha_e \alpha_e^* - 2\omega \text{Im}(\alpha_m) \right] (\mathbf{L}_m)
\] (18)

The first term is the radiation torque, which is exclusively determined by the coupling of the chiral object and the Poynting vector. The second term is associated with the alternating flow of the stored energy and is zero for a plane wave due to the term \(\text{Im}(\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H}^*)\). The last two terms correspond to the electric and magnetic contributions to the spin torque arising from the spin-density fluxes, respectively.

Similarly, the helicity dependent optical torque is attributed to two factors, the polarization of light and the \(\alpha_e\) of an object. Therefore, enantioselective control of an object with light is possible in three distinct instances: (1) achiral light with a chiral object, (2) chiral light with an achiral object, and (3) chiral light with a chiral object. In the following section, we review numerical investigations and experimental demonstrations of these three cases.

**Experimental demonstrations of enantioselective separation**

Achiral linearly polarized light interacts with chiral objects and their enantiomers differently. An interesting example is a light-driven motor\cite{118}. Linearly polarized light can rotate a gammadion-shaped gold structure embedded in a silica block as a motor (Fig. 8a). The planar
gammadion structure is achiral\[^{132}\], but the broken in-plane mirror symmetry gives rise to a chiral distribution of the Poynting vector and a resultant optical torque. The increased cross-section at the resonant frequencies leads to rotation of the whole microsized sample (Fig. 8b), where the rotation velocity and direction are controlled by tuning the incident wavelength. Interactions between achiral light and chiral objects also give rise to another type of enantioselective optical force. A linearly polarized plane wave in an isotropic medium has constant field amplitude and time-averaged Poynting vector. Closer examination of the optical forces (Eq. 16) shows that no enantioselective optical force exists. A chiral object would only experience a longitudinal force associated with the radiation pressure\[^{133}\]. However, a chiral object placed on a substrate experiences an additional lateral optical force (Fig. 8c). The reflected fields break the symmetry of the Poynting vector (Fig. 8d), which leads to a lateral radiation pressure. Achiral light has also been used to detect enantiomers of naturally occurring chiral materials, for example, gas molecules using linearly polarized light\[^{134,135}\], and carbon nanotubes using unpolarized light\[^{135}\].

The second instance occurs when chiral light interacts with an achiral object. An evanescent field can also apply a lateral force on an achiral object\[^{136}\]. A propagating wave has momentum and spin associated with the wave vector and polarization, respectively. The spin momenta of the propagating waves cancel out due to symmetry. In contrast, a single evanescent wave has a momentum component determined by the circular polarization of the wave. The spin of the evanescent wave is independent of the polarization while it is transverse to the wave vector. Vertical inhomogeneity of the evanescent fields makes the spin momentum depend on the transverse helicity. This inhibits the cancellation of the spin momenta and thus produces a helicity dependent lateral force and torque.

Optical forces and torques also occur when the light and object are both chiral. In such cases, enantioselective separation occurs as a result of the spin-density fluxes of the light and the \( \alpha_c \) of the object. Helicity dependent sorting of microsized objects with broken mirror symmetry was experimentally demonstrated in a fluidic environment\[^{137}\]. Another lateral force mechanism is associated with a direct interaction between circular polarization and a chiral object\[^{138}\]. The direction of the lateral force is perpendicular to the propagation direction of evanescent waves. A chiral object in an evanescent field experiences a lateral force, where the direction depends on the handedness. These helicity dependent lateral forces suggest the possibility of enantiomer-selective sorting.

Circularly polarized light can also trap a chiral object enantioselectively. Three-dimensional trapping of a chiral liquid crystal droplet under two counterpropagating circularly polarized beams has been verified in both numerical simulations and experiments\[^{139}\]. The trapping depends on the helicity of the incident fields. Droplets are captured when they have the same handedness as the light, but droplets of a certain size are trapped if their helicity is opposite to that of the light.

The enantioselective optical force and torque facilitate light-driven capturing, pushing, pulling, guiding and even sorting of chiral objects, which is extremely challenging to achieve by other means. These chiroptical manipulations can be applied to control targets from microsized artificial objects to molecules and solid, liquid or gaseous objects. The chiroptical force and torque are weak and thus only affect microscale targets. To be applicable to practical applications involving the control of larger and heavier objects, the chiroptical force and torque could be increased using surface plasmon polaritons. This improvement would provide an effective way to control larger chiral objects in a variety of applications in physics, chemistry, and biology.

**Interactions between chiral matter and helical electromagnetic fields**

**Orbital angular momentum of light**

Another property that gives chiral properties to light is orbital angular momentum (OAM)\[^{140}\]. This extra degree of freedom enriches light–matter interactions or light–light interactions and generates many intriguing phenomena, such as spin-orbit interactions or helical dichroism. In this section, we discuss how OAM is related to circular polarization and how it interacts with chiral objects.

The linear momentum of light is defined as\[^{136}\]:

\[
P = \epsilon_0 \int E \times B \ dV
\]  
\[
\text{(19)}
\]

This formula naturally gives the angular momentum of light \( J \):

\[
J = \epsilon_0 \int r \times (E \times B) dV
\]

\[
= \epsilon_0 \int \sum_i E_i (r \times \nabla) A_i dV + \epsilon_0 \int E \times A \ dV
\]  
\[
\text{(20)}
\]

\( J \) can be separated into two parts: orbital (L) and spin (S), often called OAM and spin angular momentum (SAM), respectively\[^{141,142}\]. Since the choice of gauge can change OAM and SAM while not affecting their sum, the validity of the separation has been debated\[^{143–147}\]. Equation 20 shows the gauge-independent form of OAM and SAM, and both momenta are no longer regarded as physical and measurable quantities.
Formulation of helical fields

To see how the angular momenta are related to the propagation properties of light, we express the field profile of light propagating along the z-axis in cylindrical coordinates within the paraxial approximation as\(^{148}\):

\[
E_{\ell l}(r, \varphi, z, t) = \hat{e}u(r)e^{i(kz-\omega t)}e^{-il\varphi}
\]

where \(u(r)\) is a scalar function that determines the radial distribution, and \(l\) is an integer often called the "topological charge" and is associated with OAM. The \(z\)-component of the OAM operator can be defined as \(\hat{L}_z = -i\frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi}\) analogous to quantum mechanics. The eigenmodes of \(\hat{L}_z\) are vortices with eigenvalue \(L_z = il\hbar\). Thus, \(\hat{L}_z\) represents the OAM that the light carries. The radial scalar function is mostly described in terms of the Laguerre–Gaussian mode\(^{149}\) and Bessel modes\(^{150,151}\), both of which converge to the same value at the beam axis. \(\hat{e}\) is a polarization vector associated with SAM. For circularly polarized light, the polarization vector is \(\hat{e} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1 \hat{x} - i \hat{y})\), where \(\sigma = 1\) for LCP light and \(\sigma = -1\) for RCP light. Circularly polarized light carries \(S_z = \sigma \hbar\) of SAM per photon, where \(S_z\) is the eigenvalue of the \(z\)-component of the SAM operator \(\hat{S}_z\) and is directly related to the polarization state. Light that carries a nonzero \(\sigma\) is chiral in the sense that the tip of the electric field rotates either clockwise or counterclockwise in space and time as the wave propagates, which, when drawn, results in enantiomeric spirals. If \(l = 0\), then the cross-sectional phase distribution of the fields is constant because of the plane wavefront, and the intensity distribution has a circular shape (Fig. 9a). In contrast, if \(l \neq 0\), then the wavefront is helical and is often called 'twisted light'. The wavefront and cross-sectional phase and intensity profiles when \(l = -1\) and \(l = +1\) are plotted in Fig. 9b, c. The spatially varying phase profile along the transverse plane originates from the azimuthal phase profile term \(e^{-il\varphi}\), and the intensity profile is toroidal as a result of the singularity on the beam axis.

Neither OAM nor SAM are a measure of the total angular momentum, as their names may imply. Instead, the sum of OAM and SAM is the total angular momentum of light\(^{141,142,152}\) and becomes a generator of a simple rotation. The inherent link between the two angular momenta, often called the spin–orbit interaction, produces many interesting chiral phenomena that involve OAM. Without even considering the total angular momentum, we can imagine that twisted light interacts differently with chiral objects depending on \(l\), as circularly polarized light does, because the helical wavefront of twisted light lacks mirror symmetry (Fig. 9b, c, top row).

Interactions between SAM and chiral objects can be proven within the dipole description. However, the subject of whether light with OAM interacts with chiral objects within the dipole approximation has been controversial. Considering only electric and magnetic dipoles, some theoretical papers have predicted coupling between OAM and chirality\(^{150,153,154}\), whereas others have reached the opposite conclusion where the dipolar contributions cancel\(^{148,155–157}\). The latter result has been verified experimentally\(^{158,159}\). However, by taking higher-order terms such as the electric quadrupole moments into account, the existence of an interaction between OAM and chirality has been proven theoretically and is comparable to spin-induced chirality\(^{155,160}\).

Helical dichroism

OAM has been generally excluded when discussing chiral responses such as CD. However, these chiral responses are also subject to OAM. Twisted light incident on a plasmonic helix exhibits strongly enhanced circular dichroism, which is induced by the OAM–chiral interaction\(^{161}\). In other words, the absorption difference between light with opposite SAM and the same nonzero OAM can be strongly amplified or shifted. As in circular dichroism, which is a manifestation of the interaction between circularly polarized light and a chiral object, oppositely twisted light interacting with a chiral object may show an absorption difference. Recently, helical dichroism or OAM dichroism, as an analog of circular dichroism, has been defined as the transmission or absorption difference of oppositely twisted beams interacting with chiral objects. The chiral object interacts strongly with one OAM state and barely interacts with the opposite state. The different strengths of these light–matter interactions result in different absorptions, as illustrated in Fig. 9d. Helical dichroism has been theoretically\(^{67,162}\) and experimentally\(^{163}\) demonstrated. Recently, numerical studies on helical dichroism for single photon absorption revealed that helical dichroism depends on \(\ell\) and is therefore invariant when both SAM and OAM are flipped\(^{160}\): \((\sigma, l) \rightarrow (–\sigma, –l)\). Furthermore, a chiro-optical response has been observed in the interaction of twisted beams and achiral structured objects\(^{164,165}\) and even achiral atomic matter\(^{166}\). The possibility of trapping a chiral object using light with OAM has also been suggested\(^{139}\). Understanding the OAM transfer from light to chiral objects may deepen our understanding of chiral matter interactions and may enable practical applications, including the sensing of enantiomers and the detection of molecular chirality.

Conclusions

In this review, we presented theoretical frameworks of EM chiral systems and descriptions of chiroptical
phenomena. The EM chirality of microscopic chiral particles and macroscopic chiral media is modeled by the magnetoelectric coupling terms ($\alpha_c$ and $\kappa$), and the chirality of light is characterized by the local handedness density ($\chi$ or $h$) and its flux ($\Phi_\chi$ or $\Phi_h$). Several different chiroptical phenomena were discussed in terms of the chiroptical parameters of light and matter defined above. We further discussed light carrying OAM, chiroptical forces and torques, and OAM-dependent scattering.

In general, studies on chiroptical effects only consider geometrically chiral systems (intrinsic chirality), but chiroptical effects are also present in achiral systems (extrinsic chirality). Both intrinsic and extrinsic chirality can be interpreted using magnetoelectric terms such as $\alpha_c$. In addition, globally achiral light can be locally chiral near nanostructures or surfaces, so chiral objects undergo different light–matter interactions. This participation of achiral systems and fields in enantioselective light–matter
interactions indicates that conventional concepts of chiroptical systems need to be extended. Firm theoretical backgrounds are necessary for interpreting the mechanisms behind complicated chiroptical effects, as illustrated in the discussion about the controversies in plasmon-enhanced chiral sensing processes.

Not only absorptive and scattering phenomena but also optomechanical effects can be explained using this theoretical framework. Other chiroptical effects, such as the photothermal effect\(^{167,168}\), magnetic circular dichroism\(^{169}\), and nonlinear chirality\(^{170,171}\), could also be interpreted based on these same outlines. We expect this review to provide a clear understanding of the underlying theory of chiroptical systems and help guide research on and applications of chiroptical phenomena in a theoretically robust manner. Furthermore, we anticipate that the concept of machine learning will be utilized for the automatic design and optimization of chiral structures with desired optical properties\(^{172–175}\). This will provide a new perspective to understand and facilitate chiroptical phenomena and devices, and further promote the applications of chiroptical platforms, especially in the fields of, but not limited to, metamaterials\(^{176,177}\), sensing\(^{178}\), spintronics\(^{179}\), and stereochemistry\(^{180}\).
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