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Abstract

BACKGROUND: Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) is a respiratory disease caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2. High mortality rate due to COVID-19 has become a serious health problem globally. At present, there is no definitive therapy for COVID-19.

AIM: The objective of this study is to evaluate convalescent plasma therapy (CPT) in COVID-19 patients.

METHODS: The study was conducted in prospective experimental design with sample population of COVID-19 inpatient in Dr. M. Djamil General Hospital, Padang, isolation ward. This study was involving 20 patients consisted of 10 patients of experimental group who received standard therapy and CPT and 10 patients of control group who received standard therapy only, 10 males and 10 females. Differences in laboratory results in both groups were analyzed by T-test or Mann–Whitney U-test.

RESULTS: Twenty subjects included in this study with average of age 56.50 (9.606) years. The mean of C-reactive protein (CRP) serum of the experimental group on day 1 (CRP +1) after CPT was 17.50 (25.343) while the control group was 77.50 (75.177) with p = 0.028 (p < 0.05). However, there were no differences in sequential organ failure assessment, hemoglobin, leukocyte, platelet, partial pressure of oxygen, D-dimer, procalcitonin, interleukin-6, lactate dehydrogenase, ferritin, aspartate aminotransferase, alanine aminotransferase, urea, creatinine, glomerular filtration rate, bilirubin, cycle threshold values, and chest X-ray finding between both groups.

CONCLUSION: There was a significant difference in CRP +1 between the experimental group and control group, while no significant differences found in other parameters between both groups. As CRP is an inflammatory indicator, CPT showed benefit in reducing inflammation in COVID-19 patient.

Introduction

Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) is a respiratory disease caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) and was first reported in Wuhan city, Hubei Province, China. As 2021, the World Health Organization has been informed of more than 167 million cases of COVID-19, with 3.47 million deaths documented worldwide [1], [2], [3].

As of May 2021, there have been 1.6 million positive COVID-19 cases in Indonesia, reaching 46,496 deaths, placing Indonesia as country with highest mortality rate in Southeast Asia. In West Sumatera, number of positive cases of COVID-19 reached 38,261 with 2.18% mortality rate and 834 deaths. High mortality rate due to COVID-19 has become a serious health problem in Indonesia, even in the world. However, currently, there is no definitive therapy for COVID-19 [4].

Convalescent plasma therapy involves the administration of antibodies against a given agent to a susceptible individual for the purpose of preventing or treating an infectious disease due to that agent. In contrast, active vaccination requires the induction of immune response that takes time to develop, thus convalescent plasma therapy (CPT) providing immediate immunity to susceptible persons. CPT has a storied history going back to the 1940s of treating certain infectious diseases before antimicrobial therapy. In the early 20th century, convalescent plasma...
(CP) is used to stem outbreaks of viral diseases such as poliomyelitis, measles, mumps, and influenza. CPT has been shown to be effective in several cases of viral infections, including SARS-CoV, H5N1 avian influenza, Ebola, Middle-East Respiratory Syndrome, and H1N1 influenza [5], [6], [7], [8], [9], [10].

A study in China documented 10 patients with severe COVID-19 showed clinical improvement, decreasing viral load to negative seroconversion on the 2nd and 3rd day after transfusion. This study also showed a good clinical outcome; three cases discharged while seven cases in much improved status [11]. Therefore, with the development of CPT and promising result from its study, this research was carried out to improve quality of life of COVID-19 patients in Indonesia, especially in the West Sumatera region.

Methods

This study conducted with a clinical trial design in the isolation ward of Dr. M Djamil Hospital, Padang, held in August 2020–January 2021. The diagnosis of COVID-19 was confirmed by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) swab. Subjects were divided into two groups, the recipient group with standard therapy and CPT administration and the control group with standard therapy only. The population of this study is hospitalized patients with COVID-19 in isolation ward of Dr. M. Djamil Hospital. The samples are the patients who met inclusion criteria such as age over 18 years, positive PCR test results of COVID-19 from naso-oropharyngeal swab, and patients with moderate, severe, or critical degree of disease. Recipient exclusion criteria were patients with incomplete clinical data, allergic history to plasma or other blood components, critical degree COVID-19 with sequential organ failure assessment (SOFA) score >11 and 53% mortality risk, and if the patients or family did not agree to participate in the study. Meanwhile, voluntary CP donors were recruited based on the following criteria: Aged 18–60 years, have recovered from COVID-19 confirmed by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) swab, patients or family did not agree to participate in the study. In the experimental group, 6 were men (60%) and 4 are women (40%), with average age of 56.50 (9.606) years, while in the control group, there were 4 men (40%) and 6 women (60%), with an average age 50.60 ± 1274.75 years.

The parameter data were compared between those groups. The parameter data consisted of Hb, leukocyte, thrombocyte, partial pressure of oxygen (PO2), D-Dimer, procalcitonin (PCT), interleukin (IL)-6, lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), ferritin, aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alanine aminotransferase (ALT), urea, creatinine, glomerular filtration rate (GFR), bilirubin, cycle threshold (CT) value, and chest X-ray findings. The laboratory parameters were examined at M. Djamil general hospital laboratory by clinical pathologist while the chest X-ray finding was interpreted by radiologist.

Data were processed and analyzed using SPSS 26. Different variables between the two groups were analyzed by Chi-square test and differences in laboratory findings were analyzed by t-test if the data distribution was normal. Mann-Whitney U-test was used when the data not normally distributed. p < 0.05 is declared as statistically significant. This study was approved by Health Research Ethics Committee, Dr. M Djamil Hospital, Padang (No.396/KEPK/2020).

Results

During the study period, 20 patients met the criteria. There were basic characteristics of research subject, as shown in Table 1.

Table 1: Subject characteristics data

| Characteristic       | Recipient (n = 10) | Control (n = 10) | p     |
|----------------------|-------------------|----------------|-------|
| Age (years)          |                   |                |       |
| Gender               |                   |                |       |
| Men                  | 6 (60)            | 4 (40)         |       |
| Women                | 4 (40)            | 6 (60)         |       |
| Degree               |                   |                |       |
| Severe               | 8 (80)            | 8 (80)         |       |
| Critical             | 2 (20)            | 2 (20)         |       |
| SOFA score           | 2.20 ± 3.293      | 3.60 ± 4.248   | 0.269 |
| Hb (g/dl)            | 12.0400 ± 1.18889 | 12.5500 ± 2.2172 | 0.117 |
| Leukocyte (mm<sup>3</sup>) | 14238.90 ± 6441.837 | 15877.05 ± 5545.016 | 0.261 |
| Platelet (mm<sup>3</sup>) | 213500.0 ± 60321.498 | 230000.0 ± 90580.842 | 0.372 |
| PO2 (mmHg)           | 86.6200 ± 36.51751 | 939.4700 ± 2472.2842 | 0.098 |
| D-dimer (ng/ml)      | 5142.70 ± 4054.066 | 514.80 ± 1305.420 | 0.041 |
| CRP (mg/l)           | 56.80 ± 52.002    | 97.00 ± 61.023  | 0.491 |
| PCT (mg/ml)          | 21.9180 ± 62.19930 | 10.6260 ± 31.30824 | 0.330 |
| IRL (mg/ml)          | 22.4380 ± 19.07589 | 939.4700 ± 2472.2842 | 0.040 |
| LDH (u/l)            | 723.59 ± 304.696  | 711.40 ± 171.847 | 0.186 |
| Ferritin (ng/ml)     | 1012.235 ± 463.16305 | 948.7400 ± 365.22286 | 0.777 |
| AST (u/l)            | 41.60 ± 26.031    | 514.80 ± 1305.420 | 0.041 |
| ALT (u/l)            | 48.80 ± 39.276    | 504.60 ± 1274.750 | 0.045 |
| Creatinine (mg/dl)   | 57.70 ± 35.517    | 90.50 ± 58.775  | 0.029 |
| C-reactive protein   | 13.950 ± 1.92611  | 5.9700 ± 11.02678 | 0.036 |
| CRP (mg/ml)          | 66.6200 ± 36.51751 | 65.8400 ± 39.49906 | 0.474 |
| CRP (mg/ml)          | 65.8400 ± 39.49906 | 65.8400 ± 39.49906 | 0.474 |
| CRP (mg/ml)          | 0.3720 ± 0.21872  | 0.4800 ± 0.45656 | 0.077 |
| CRP (mg/ml)          | 0.5000 ± 0.58500  | 0.8100 ± 0.83858 | 0.098 |
| CT Value             | 28.7130 ± 5.17977 | 27.8550 ± 3.61712 | 0.266 |
| Chest X-ray          | 0.475 ± 0.24     | 0.40 ± 0.17    | 0.453 |

Ten recipients and 10 controls enrolled in this study. In the experimental group, 6 were men (60%) and 4 were women (40%), with average age of 56.50 (9.606) years, while in the control group, there were 4 men (40%) and 6 women (60%), with an average age 50.60 ± 1274.75 years.
58.50 (10.937) years. Eight cases (80%) were classified as severe while 2 cases (20%) were critical cases in the recipient group. The control group consisted of 7 cases (70%) severe cases and 3 cases (30%) as critical cases. Based on laboratory parameter data, as shown in Figure 1, there was no difference in SOFA, Hb, leukocyte, thrombocyte, PO2, D-Dimer, PCT, IL-6, LDH, ferritin, AST, ALT, ureum, creatinine, GFR, bilirubin, CT value, and chest X-ray findings of the control group compared to the experimental group (p > 0.05) after administration of CP.

However, there was a significant difference of C-reactive protein (CRP) value on the 1st day after CP transfusion (CRP +1) between the experimental and control groups (p < 0.05). In the experimental group, mean value of CRP +1 was 17.50 (25.343), while in the control group was 77.50 (75.177).

![Figure 1: The experimental and control groups laboratory data during pre-transfusion (D-1), post-transfusion D+1, D+2, and D+7](image-url)
Discussion

This study compared the parameters between the recipient and control groups. The parameters examined were Hb, leukocyte, thrombocyte, PO2, D-Dimer, PCT, IL-6, LDH, ferritin, AST, ALT, urea, creatinine, GFR, bilirubin, CT value, and chest X-ray findings.

Mean CRP +1 value in the recipient group was 17.50 (25.343) mg/L, while in the control group was 77.50 (75.177) mg/L. According to Xia et al., CRP levels increased significantly in patients who did not respond to CP (non-responders) with consecutive results: 5.2 mg/L in responders, 25.9 mg/L in partial responders, and 73.1 mg/L in non-responders. It clearly showed that strong inflammatory reaction is associated with CP insensitive response. According to Hu et al., inflammatory indicators (CRP) significantly decreased (median 44 mg/L vs. 18 mg/L) after CP administration. COVID-19 is associated with severe inflammatory reaction, as in this study showed significant CRP reduction after CP administration. It could be stated that CP can reduce cytokine storms. Based on research conducted by Li et al., administration of CPT as standard therapy did not provide significant clinical improvement in 28 days. This study was conducted on 103 severe and critical cases of COVID-19 [12], [13], [14].

Simonovich et al. were also reported things that are consistent with the previous study. From 228 severe COVID cases, they found that there was no significant difference in clinical status or mortality rate between the experimental group and control. Another study by Agarwal et al. also shows similar results. This study was conducted on 235 moderate COVID-19, with PaO2/FiO2 criteria were 200–300 mmHg or respiratory rate more than 24 times/min in <93% oxygen saturation room air. The study stated that 28-day mortality in the CP group was 19% while in the control group was 18%. Therefore, this study concluded that CPT was not associated with declining progressivity or mortality rate. This study also reported that there was no difference in the inflammatory markers such as ferritin, CRP, D-Dimer, or LDH between the two groups. Actually, evidence of CPT as an immunomodulator cannot be suggested. According to RECOVERY 2021 study, there was no significant difference in the 28-day mortality rate between the CP group and the control group, with 24% mortality rate in both of groups. This study noted that CP did not improve survival rates or clinical outcome in hospitalized COVID-19 cases. A study by Agarwal et al. conducted on 40 cases COVID-19 with radiological evidence of pneumonia whom acquiring oxygen therapy also reported similar results. Primary outcomes stated in this study were need for mechanical ventilation, meanwhile, secondary outcomes were leukocytes, LDH, CRP, troponin, ferritin, D-Dimer, PCT, and 28-day mortality. There was no significant difference in both primary and secondary outcomes between the CP group and control group [15], [16]. The limitation of this study is the low number of samples.

Conclusion

There was a significant difference in CRP +1 value between the control and experimental groups, but there were no significant differences in SOFA scores, Hb, leukocyte, platelet, PO2, D-dimer, PCT, IL-6, LDH, ferritin, AST, ALT, urea, creatinine, LFG, bilirubin, CT value, and chest X-ray findings between the control and experimental groups. As CRP is an inflammatory indicator, CPT showed benefit in reducing inflammation in COVID-19 patient.
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