Modifiable and non-modifiable risk factors for obstetric anal sphincter injury in a Norwegian Region: a case–control study
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Abstract

**Background:** Obstetric anal sphincter injury (OASI) is a common and severe complication of vaginal delivery and may have short- and long-term consequences, including anal incontinence, sexual dysfunction and reduced quality of life. The rate of OASI varies substantially between studies and national birth statistics, and a recent meta-analysis concluded that there is a need to identify unrecognized risk factors. Our aim was therefore to explore both potential modifiable and non-modifiable risk factors for OASI.

**Methods:** We performed a case–control study in a single center maternity clinic in South-Eastern Norway. Data were extracted retrospectively from an institutional birth registry. The main outcome measure was the occurrence of the woman's first-time 3rd or 4th degree perineal lesion (OASI) following singleton vaginal birth after 30 weeks' gestation. For each woman with OASI the first subsequent vaginal singleton delivery matched for parity was elected as control. The study population included 421 women with OASI and 421 matched controls who gave birth during 1990–2002. Potential risk factors for OASI were assessed by conditional logistic regression analyses.

**Results:** The mean incidence of OASI was 3.4% of vaginal deliveries, but it increased from 1.9% to 5.8% during the study period. In the final multivariate regression model, higher maternal age and birthweight for primiparous women, and higher birthweight for the multiparous women, were the only non-modifiable variables associated with OASI. Amniotomy was the strongest modifiable risk factor for OASI in both primiparous (odds ratio [OR] 4.84; 95% confidence interval [CI] 2.60–9.02) and multiparous (OR 3.76; 95% CI 1.45–9.76) women, followed by augmentation with oxytocin (primiparous: OR 1.63; 95% CI 1.08–2.46, multiparous: OR 3.70; 95% CI 1.79–7.67). Vacuum extraction and forceps delivery were only significant risk factors in primiparous women (vacuum: OR 1.91; 95% CI 1.03–3.57, forceps: OR 2.37; 95% CI 1.14–4.92), and episiotomy in multiparous women (OR 2.64; 95% CI 1.36–5.14).

**Conclusions:** Amniotomy may be an unrecognized independent modifiable risk factor for OASI and should be further investigated for its potential role in preventive strategies.
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Introduction

Most women experience perineal trauma of varying severity when giving vaginal birth [1]. Severe perineal lesions, referred to as obstetric anal sphincter injury (OASI), are diagnosed in as many as 11% of vaginal deliveries, but with significant variation between studies and national birth statistics [1–5]. The true incidence rate
may be as high as 26% because the injuries can be overlooked at the delivery wards or be occult [4, 6]. Apart from the immediate perineal pain, OASI often has short- and long-term consequences including negative impact on sexual life and quality of life in general, including anal incontinence [7–10].

Adequate clinical examination following delivery is pivotal in the diagnosis of OASI [11, 12], and increased awareness and training of health care personnel have resulted in a doubling of detection rates [2, 12]. Alongside the focus on detection, prevention has gained increasing attention. Obstetric training programs for midwives with emphasis on potential preventive measures, such as attention to birth position and perineal massage during the second stage of labor, have been suggested as ways of decreasing the risk of OASI [13–15]. Implementation of a preventive program in five maternity clinics in Norway resulted in a decreased prevalence of OASI [16], as has similar programs in more recent studies in other European countries [17–20]. However, the evidence of persistent efficacy of preventive programs is weak, partly because the existing studies were assessed shortly after their introduction [21]. In a study involving the four large Nordic countries over seven years, a lasting reduction was only observed in Norway [22].

Established risk factors for OASI include primiparity, vaginal birth after caesarean delivery, advanced maternal age, high birthweight, fetal occiput posterior presentation, induction and augmentation of labor, instrumental delivery, increased duration of second stage of labor, episiotomy, and Asian ethnicity [1, 2, 23, 24]. A meta-analysis published in 2020 showed that the incidence of OASI remains high, and the need to search for hitherto unrecognized and potentially modifiable risk factors was highlighted [1]. We aimed at exploring both modifiable and non-modifiable risk factors in a large retrospective case–control study based on a regional cohort where detailed information related to maternal, pregnancy, delivery, and fetal characteristics had been collected prospectively.

Methods
Study design, setting and participants
At Innlandet Hospital Trust, Lillehammer, Norway, detailed information on maternal health, pregnancy, delivery, and the postpartum period until discharge is prospectively registered in a perinatal database. This hospital covers virtually all births in a region with a population of around 90,000 people at the time of the study; around 23,000 lived in the city Lillehammer and the others in rural areas with small towns. The women were registered in the perinatal database at 18–20 weeks’ gestation when they met for the routine ultrasound assessment. This study included all deliveries that occurred from January 1st, 1990 through December 31st, 2002. From the database we identified singleton vaginal deliveries with gestational age (GA) > 30 weeks where women for the first time were diagnosed with perineal rupture. The data were quality assured and expanded by scrutinizing delivery protocols, charts, and patient records. Women with 3rd and 4th degree OASI were defined as cases, and we selected the next vaginal singleton delivery with the same parity and GA > 30 weeks without OASI as a matched control. Writing of the manuscript was done according to the STROBE checklist for the reporting of cohort, case–control and cross-sectional studies. The research project was approved by the Norwegian Social Science Data Services (project number: 2614) and The Norwegian Data Protection Authority (reference code: 95/2691–2 GSO). The study was financed through Innlandet Hospital Trust research fund, grant number 150434.

Definitions and interventions
OASI was diagnosed according to the International Classification of Diseases (ICD) 9 definition 664.2 and 664.3 (similar to ICD 10 codes O70.2 and O70.3). The included cases were diagnosed at the time of the tear by the midwife or physician in charge of the delivery and subsequently confirmed by a specialist in obstetrics and gynecology. Consequently, women with potential delayed diagnosis of OASI are not included.

In addition to degree of perineal rupture, modifiable and non-modifiable variables regarding the infant, mother and birth process were registered. Non-modifiable variables included birth weight (gram), length (cm), head circumference (cm), gestational age (GA) (weeks), and maternal age (years), parity, duration of the first and second stage of labor (minutes), and fetal presentation (occiput posterior, occiput anterior, deep transverse, breech). Modifiable variables included the mother’s birth position (supine/sitting, side bearing, standing, kneeling, or on stool), induction of labor (yes/no), amniotomy (yes/no), episiotomy (mediolateral, yes/no), augmentation with oxytocin (yes/no), and instrumental delivery by vacuum extraction (yes/no) or forceps (yes/no).

Methods used for induction of labor were based on the Bishop scores and included membrane sweeping, transcervical Foley catheter, prostaglandin vaginal tablets, amniotomy or/and augmentation with oxytocin. Amniotomy was performed in births with a spontaneous onset when continuous surveillance of the fetus with a scalp-electrode or an examination of the amniotic fluid was considered necessary. Furthermore, amniotomy was employed before augmentation with oxytocin in cases of labor dystocia. Indications for performing an episiotomy included imminent fetal asphyxia, preterm birth.
Instrumental vaginal delivery included vacuum extraction and the use of forceps at the physician’s discretion. Birthweight was categorized into quartiles: < 3300, 3300–3659, 3660–4039, and ≥ 4040 g. Crown-heel length and head circumference were measured according to protocol. GA was estimated according to routine ultrasonography at 18–20 weeks of gestation at Lillehammer Hospital. Maternal age was categorized into the following three groups: < 25, 25–29, and ≥ 30 years. The cases and controls were stratified to primiparous (first birth) or multiparous (≥ second birth).

Statistical analyses
Missing data were treated by listwise deletion. Continuous variables were tested for distributions of normality and described by means and standard deviations. Categorical variables were described by frequencies and proportions. We performed separate analyses for the primi- and multiparous pregnancies. In addition, we performed subgroup regression analyses for cases and controls giving their 3rd birth or more. For the variables within each group, we analyzed differences between cases and controls with t-tests, Kruskal–Wallis or Chi²-tests. Significance level was set at 5%. Correlation matrices demonstrated covariation between weight, length, and head circumference of the infant, and only birthweight was used in logistic regression analyses. We used univariate conditional logistic regression analyses when assessing associations between exposure variables and OASI. Apart from birth length and head circumference, all registered modifiable and non-modifiable variables were tested in the univariate regression analyses.

Subsequently, we built risk-factor models for OASI by using multivariate conditional logistic regression analyses progressing with a stepwise procedure. In this analysis, we included variables that were significantly different between the cases and controls in the univariate analyses. We assessed multicollinearity by using variance inflation factor (VIF). We assessed interactions between amniotomy and the following variables: augmentation with oxytocin, episiotomy, and instrumental delivery by vacuum or forceps. STATA 16.1 software (STATA, College Station, TX, United States: StataCorp, 2020) was used for all the analyses.

Results
During this 13-year period, 12,883 women gave birth at the study hospital, and 11,374 of them had a vaginal delivery. The mean incidence of first time OASI after vaginal delivery was 3.4% (n = 421), but the rate increased gradually from 1.9% in 1990 to a maximum of 5.8% in 2002 (Fig. 1). Of the 421 women with OASI and their matched controls, 275 (65%) were primiparous and 146 (35%) multiparous (104 had their 2nd, 33 their 3rd, 5 their 4th, 3 their 5th, and one her 6th child). Both the primiparous and multiparous women with OASI also had a higher rate of episiotomy (Table 1).
In the final multivariate conditional logistic regression model, higher maternal age and birthweight for the primiparous women and birthweight for the multiparous women were the only non-modifiable variables associated with rates of OASI (Tables 2 and 3).

Of the modifiable variables, amniotomy was strongly associated with OASI, both in the primiparous (OR 4.84, Table 1

| Study characteristics of included primi- and multiparous cases and controls |
|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| Primiparous women                                | Control (n = 275) | Case (n = 275)  | P                 | Multiparous women| Control (n = 146) | Case (n = 146)  | P                 |
| Non-modifiable variables                         | mean (SD) or n (%)| mean (SD) or n (%)|                  | mean (SD) or n (%)| mean (SD) or n (%)|                  |                   |
| Birthweight, gram                                | 3678 (512)        | 3468 (561)      | < 0.001           | 3975 (541)        | 3608 (673)        | < 0.001           |
| Birthweight quartiles                            | 275 (50%)         | 275 (50%)       | < 0.001           | 146 (50%)         | 146 (50%)         | 0.002             |
| < 3300 g                                         | 65 (11.8%)        | 102 (18.5%)     | 12 (4.1%)         | 32 (11.0%)        |                   |                   |
| 3300–3659 g                                      | 63 (11.5%)        | 78 (14.8%)      | 31 (10.6%)        | 38 (13.0%)        |                   |                   |
| 3660–4039 g                                      | 82 (14.9%)        | 54 (9.8%)       | 41 (14.0%)        | 36 (12.3%)        |                   |                   |
| ≥ 4040 g                                         | 65 (11.8%)        | 41 (7.5%)       | 62 (21.2%)        | 40 (13.7%)        |                   |                   |
| Length, cm                                        | 51.1 (2.1)        | 50.4 (2.5)      | < 0.001           | 51.9 (2.5)        | 50.8 (3.1)        | < 0.001           |
| Head circumference, cm                            | 35.2 (1.5)        | 34.9 (1.6)      | < 0.001           | 36.1 (1.3)        | 35.3 (1.6)        | < 0.001           |
| Gestational age, weeks                           | 40.3 (1.7)        | 39.8 (2.1)      | 0.002             | 40.5 (1.4)        | 39.8 (2.3)        | 0.002             |
| Gestational age categories                       | 274 (50.6%)       | 268 (49.4%)     | 0.004             | 145 (51.1%)       | 139 (48.9%)       | 0.603             |
| Preterm (< 37 weeks)                             | 6 (1.1%)          | 16 (1.1%)       | 4 (1.4%)          | 7 (2.5%)          |                   |                   |
| At term (37–42 weeks)                            | 234 (43.2%)       | 233 (43%)       | 131 (46.3%)       | 122 (43.0%)       |                   |                   |
| Post term (> 42 weeks)                           | 34 (6.3%)         | 19 (3.5%)       | 10 (3.5%)         | 10 (3.5%)         |                   |                   |
| Maternal age, years                              | 26.7 (4.2)        | 25.7 (4.7)      | 0.004             | 31.0 (4.6)        | 29.6 (4.4)        | 0.005             |
| Maternal age category                            | 275 (50%)         | 275 (50%)       | 0.014             | 146 (50%)         | 146 (50%)         | 0.087             |
| < 25 years                                       | 83 (15.1%)        | 115 (20.9%)     | 11 (3.8%)         | 18 (6.2%)         |                   |                   |
| 25–29 years                                      | 122 (22.2%)       | 107 (19.5%)     | 46 (15.8%)        | 57 (19.5%)        |                   |                   |
| > 30 years                                       | 70 (12.7%)        | 53 (9.6%)       | 89 (30.5%)        | 71 (24.3%)        |                   |                   |
| Fetal presentation                               | 275 (50%)         | 275 (50%)       | 0.116             | 146 (50.2%)       | 145 (49.8%)       | 0.365             |
| Occiput anterior                                 | 257 (46.7%)       | 257 (46.7%)     | 134 (46.1%)       | 138 (47.4%)       |                   |                   |
| Deep transverse                                  | 0                 | 0               | 0                 | 1 (0.3%)          |                   |                   |
| Occiput posterior                                | 14 (2.6%)         | 7 (1.3%)        | 9 (3.1%)          | 4 (1.4%)          |                   |                   |
| Breech                                           | 4 (0.7%)          | 10 (1.8%)       | 3 (1.0%)          | 2 (0.7%)          |                   |                   |
| Duration of 1st stage, minutes                   | 368.5 (195.8)     | 314.8 (186.3)   | < 0.001           | 268.4 (163.1)     | 197.3 (154.9)     | < 0.001           |
| Duration of 2nd stage, minutes                   | 46.6 (27.5)       | 41.4 (25.1)     | 0.037             | 29.3 (22.3)       | 19.2 (17.3)       | < 0.001           |
| Modifiable variables                             |                   |                 |                   |                   |                   |                   |
| Induction of labor                               | 26 (9.5%)         | 14 (5.1%)       | 0.049             | 13 (8.9%)         | 10 (6.9%)         | 0.515             |
| Amniotomy                                        | 63 (22.9%)        | 18 (6.6%)       | < 0.001           | 33 (22.6%)        | 11 (7.5%)         | < 0.001           |
| Augmentation with oxytocin                       | 165 (60%)         | 119 (43.3%)     | < 0.001           | 71 (46.7%)        | 36 (23.7%)        | < 0.001           |
| Episotomy                                        | 190 (69.1%)       | 171 (62.2%)     | 0.155             | 66 (45.2%)        | 34 (23.3%)        | < 0.001           |
| Maternal birth position                          | 275 (51.0%)       | 264 (49.0%)     | 0.596             | 146 (51.2%)       | 139 (48.8%)       | 0.150             |
| Supine/sitting                                   | 221 (41.0%)       | 203 (37.7%)     | 111 (39.0%)       | 97 (34.0%)        |                   |                   |
| Side bearing                                     | 19 (3.5%)         | 29 (5.4%)       | 12 (4.2%)         | 20 (7.0%)         |                   |                   |
| Standing                                         | 2 (0.4%)          | 2 (0.4%)        | 6 (2.1%)          | 4 (1.4%)          |                   |                   |
| Kneeling                                         | 15 (2.8%)         | 14 (2.6%)       | 5 (1.8%)          | 11 (3.9%)         |                   |                   |
| Stool                                            | 18 (3.3%)         | 16 (3.0%)       | 12 (4.2%)         | 7 (2.5%)          |                   |                   |
| Instrumental delivery                            |                   |                 |                   |                   |                   |                   |
| Vacuum extraction                                | 52 (18.9%)        | 22 (8.0%)       | < 0.001           | 17 (11.6%)        | 3 (2.1%)          | 0.001             |
| Forceps                                          | 41 (14.9%)        | 18 (6.6%)       | 0.002             | 8 (5.5%)          | 1 (0.7%)          | 0.018             |

Data are presented as mean (SD) or n. Missing data: Length = 15; Head circumference = 10; Gestational age = 16; Fetal presentation = 1; Duration of 1st stage = 1; Episotomy = 2; Maternal birth position = 18
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95% CI 2.60–9.02) and multiparous (OR 3.76, 95% CI 1.45–9.76) women, as was augmentation with oxytocin (OR 1.63, 95% CI 1.08–2.46 and 3.70, 95% CI 1.79–7.67, respectively, Tables 2 and 3). Instrumental delivery was associated with OASI in the primiparous women (Table 2) and episiotomy with OASI in the multiparous women (Table 3). The same trend, but not statistically significant, was found for the non-modifiable and modifiable variables when limiting the conditional regression analysis to the subgroup of women who gave birth to their 3rd or later child (n = 84). We found no significant interactions or multicollinearities.

Discussion

In this unselected population, OASI was associated with known non-modifiable factors like high maternal age, first pregnancy, and large babies. Of potentially modifiable factors, OASI was associated with induction of labor and instrumental vaginal delivery in primiparous women, and with amniotomy and augmentation with oxytocin in both primi- and multiparous women, procedures that are primarily initiated to accelerate delivery.

The major strengths of this study were the unselected population, the large number of participants, and completeness of data. We also consider the inclusion of only
According to the Medical Birth Registry of Norway, we speculate that amniotomy may disrupt the normal physiologic process of gradual adaptation of the birth canal and thereby a higher risk of trauma. Thus, our findings indicate that untimely use of amniotomy may act in line with other established indicators of pathologic birth mechanics such as high birth weight, large head circumference, fetal occiput presentation, prolonged second stage of labor, augmentation with oxytocin, episiotomy, and instrumental delivery [1].

In the present study, we also found that augmentation with oxytocin was an independent risk factor for OASI for both primiparous and multiparous women. This is in accordance with previous studies [1]. Augmentation with oxytocin is widely used when labor is delayed, and probably more than half of women in labor worldwide receive oxytocin augmentation [25, 27]. However, the use of augmentation varies widely between countries and within the same country. In our study, 60% of the primiparous and 47% of the multiparous women were augmented with oxytocin, which is in line with current rates in maternity wards in Norway [27]. Increased frequency and intensity of contractions are known potential adverse effect of augmentation of labor with oxytocin [30]. We suggest that the effects of augmentation with oxytocin are similar to that of amniotomy in that the birth progress may be more rapid than the natural adaptation of the birth canal.

Instrumental vaginal delivery is a well-established risk factor for OASI [1, 2, 24]. However, this was only an independent risk factor in primiparous women in our study. Instrumental delivery was also associated with OASI in multiparous women in the unadjusted analysis, and the reason for no significant association in the adjusted analysis may partly be that the study lacked power to detect a risk since instruments were rarely used in this group.

In conclusion, the study suggests that indications for and timing of amniotomy and augmentation of the birth process with oxytocin need to be readdressed in order to reduce the risk of severe perineal ruptures.
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