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Abstract
Objective: Apatinib mesylate is a novel vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 2 (VEGFR-2) inhibitor, which has exhibited good safety and efficacy in several types of solid tumors. The present study aimed to assess the clinical efficacy and safety of apatinib combined with chemotherapy and concurrent chemo-brachytherapy (CCBT) in patients with recurrent and advanced cervical cancer.

Methods: A total of 52 patients with first diagnosed recurrent or untreated International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics stage IBV cervical cancer admitted at Shandong Cancer Hospital and Institute between July 2016 and May 2018 were analyzed in the current randomized controlled trial. The patients were randomly divided into 2 groups: the apatinib-treated group and the control group. Patients with recurrent cervical cancer in the apatinib-treated group were administered apatinib and carboplatin-paclitaxel as first-line chemotherapy. Patients with advanced cervical cancer were administered apatinib in combination with CCBT. In control group, patients with recurrent cervical cancer were treated with chemotherapy alone while patients with advanced cervical cancer received CCBT.

Results: The progression-free survival was significantly prolonged in apatinib group compared with control group (10.1 months; 95% confidence interval (CI), 8.42–11.79 vs 6.4 months; 95% CI, 3.88–8.92; P < .01; hazard ratio (HR), 0.44; 95% CI, 0.25–0.78; P < .01). The objective response rate in apatinib group was obviously higher than that in control group (64.3% vs 33.3%, P < .05). Proteinuria, hand–foot syndrome, mucositis, and hypertension in all Grades were statistically more common in apatinib group than in control group. Apatinib did not obviously aggravate other radiotherapy or chemotherapy side effects.

Conclusion: Apatinib exhibited promising clinical efficacy in cervical cancer patients, resulting in an improved response rate and prolonged progression-free survival compared with the control group, and had manageable side effects. Our study revealed that apatinib combination therapy, adenocarcinoma, and bone metastasis

Abbreviations: BMI = body mass index, CCBT = concurrent chemo-brachytherapy, CCRT = concurrent chemo-radiotherapy, CI = confidence interval, CR = complete response, DCR = disease control rate, ECOG = Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group, FIGO = International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics, HIF-1α = hypoxia-inducible factor-1α, HR = hazard ratio, IC-BT = intra-cavity brachytherapy, ORR = overall response rate, OS = overall survival, PD = progression disease, PFS = progression-free survival, PI3K = phosphoinositide 3-kinase, PR = partial response, SD = stable disease, TR = tumor response, VEGFR-2 = vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 2.
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Highlight
- The efficacy of apatinib in recurrent and advanced cervical cancer was inspiring.
- Apatinib addition, adenocarcinoma, and bone metastasis were independent prognostic factors.
- Side effects of apatinib were manageable.

1. Introduction
Cervical cancer (CC) is one of the most common malignancies in females,\(^{[11]}\) with 570,000 new cases and 311,000 mortalities reported in 2018 worldwide.\(^{[22]}\) CC is the leading cause of cancer-associated mortalities in female malignant genital tumors and the fourth leading cause of cancer-associated mortalities in women.\(^{[22]}\) This highlights the requirement for novel therapeutic strategies for the disease. Early stage disease (stage IA-IB1) is generally treated surgically. Locally advanced disease (IB2-IVA) may be treated with concurrent chemo-radiotherapy (CCRT),\(^{[31]}\) and recurrent and advanced disease (stage IVB) is treated with chemotherapy and palliative radiotherapy including intra-cavity brachytherapy (IC-BT).\(^{[3,4]}\) The early detection and prevention of recurrent and advanced disease remains poor.\(^{[3]}\) Cisplatin-based chemotherapy was previously the standard of care for patients with recurrent and metastatic cervical cancer.\(^{[6]}\) However, a recent systematic literature review revealed that a combination of carboplatin and paclitaxel is as equally effective and less toxic than cisplatin and paclitaxel.\(^{[7]}\) Brachytherapy, an important treatment modality to achieve sufficient doses to the periphery and central part of the cervical carcinomas, can improve remission rate, recurrence rate, and survival rates of all types CC.\(^{[8]}\) Therefore, brachytherapy should be considered in the treatment of stage IVB CC.\(^{[7,9]}\) Targeted therapy is an area of ongoing research and may provide alternatives to traditional treatment modalities in cancer.\(^{[10]}\)

The vascular endothelial growth factor (VGEF) inhibitor bevacizumab was approved by the Food and Drug Administration in 2014 for patients with recurrent or advanced CC.\(^{[11]}\) A combination of platinum-based chemotherapy and bevacizumab improved the median overall survival (OS) of patients with recurrent or advanced CC by 3.5 months compared with chemotherapy alone.\(^{[12]}\) However, the use of bevacizumab is limited by severe side effects, including gastrointestinal perforations and rectovaginal and vesicovaginal fistulas.\(^{[13]}\) Apatinib mesylate (YN968D1) is a tyrosine kinase inhibitor that has a high selectivity for vascular endothelial growth factor receptor-2 (VEGFR-2). Apatinib inhibited endothelial cell migration and proliferation, decreased tumor microvascular density and prevented the formation of new blood vessels in tumor tissue and exerted anticancer effects in several types of cancer, including gastric cancer, breast cancer, non-small-cell lung cancer, hepatocellular carcinoma, and ovarian cancer.\(^{[15-20]}\) In addition, in a study of pancreatic cancer cells, apatinib inhibited the expression of hypoxia-inducible factor-1α (HIF-1α) and markers of the phosphoinositide 3-kinase/Akt/mTOR signaling pathway, which increased the levels of reactive oxygen species in vitro.\(^{[21]}\) A recent study revealed that apatinib significantly increased the paclitaxel sensitivity of cervical cancer cells in vitro and in the mouse model.\(^{[22]}\) Furthermore, the anti-tumor effects of apatinib in cervical cancer have been demonstrated in other studies.\(^{[22]}\)

In order to further evaluate the efficacy and safety of apatinib, the current randomized controlled clinical trial investigated the potential synergistic anti-tumor activity of apatinib and chemotherapy or concurrent chemo-brachytherapy (CCBT) in recurrent or untreated stage IVB CC.

2. Methods

2.1. Patients
Patients with a first recurrence of CC or with stage IVB of the disease were analyzed in the current randomized controlled trial between July 2016 and May 2018 in Shandong Cancer Hospital and Institute (Jinan, China).

The criteria for inclusion were as follows:
- i) histologically confirmed cervical cancer;
- ii) age ≥18 year;
- iii) ≥1 measurable lesion according to Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (RECIST; version 1.1);
- iv) Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) performance status ≤3
- v) no serious heart, liver, or kidney insufficiency; and
- vi) patients with a first recurrence of CC or stage IVB.

The criteria for exclusion were as follows:
- i) patients allergic to apatinib;
- ii) patients with active hemorrhage;
- iii) patients with intestinal perforation or bowel ileus;
- iv) patients within 30 days of major surgery; and
- vi) patients with uncontrolled hypertension.

The current study was approved by the Medical Ethical Committee of Shandong Cancer Hospital and Institute (SDTHTC201607004) and written informed consent was obtained from all patients. The current trial was registered with the Chinese Clinical Trials Registry (number ChiCTR1900024143; http://www.chictr.org.cn/showproj.aspx?proj=39833).

2.2. Grouping and treatment
All patients (n=59) who met eligibility criteria were randomly divided into 2 groups (1:1 ratio): the apatinib group (n=30) and the control group (n=29). Patients with recurrent CC in the apatinib group were administered apatinib and carboplatin-paclitaxel as first-line chemotherapy. Patients with stage IVB CC in the apatinib group received apatinib and CCBT. In the control group, patients with recurrent CC were treated with carboplatin-paclitaxel chemotherapy and patients with advanced CC received CCBT. Patients in both groups received 135 to 175mg/m² paclitaxel (diluted in 500ml of 0.9% saline and infused intravenously over 3 hours) on day 1 and carboplatin AUC 5 (diluted in 500ml of 0.9% saline solution and infused intravenously over 30 minutes) on day 2 every 3 weeks, for 6 cycles. Patients in both groups with stage IVB CC received IC-BT in between chemotherapy cycles as a form of palliative radiotherapy. Patients with recurrent CC would not received BT. A high dose rate iridium-192 source based brachytherapy was used in the current clinical trial. IC-BT was performed...
2.3. Efficacy and safety assessments

Efficacy evaluation was assessed according to RECIST\textsuperscript{[23]} criteria. Tumor responses were divided into the following groups:

i) complete response (CR);
ii) partial response (PR);
iii) stable disease (SD); and
iv) progressive disease (PD).

The overall response rate (ORR) was calculated as the sum of CR and PR and the disease control rate (DCR) was calculated as the sum of CR, PR, and SD. Toxicities were reviewed and confirmed through medical history, laboratory and imaging examinations, and telephone follow-up, and were evaluated according to the National Cancer Institute Common Toxicity Criteria for Adverse Events\textsuperscript{[25]} (version 4.0), ranging from 0 to 4.

The primary endpoint in the current study was progression-free survival (PFS). The secondary endpoints included ORR, DCR, and OS. PFS was defined as the interval between the first day of chemotherapy and disease progression or mortality, whichever occurred first. OS was defined as the time period between the first day of chemotherapy and mortality from any cause or the last follow-up visit. Two oncologists assessed tumor responses at the end of each treatment cycle or when significant signs of progression had occurred. All patients should accept pelvic examination from oncologists after each treatment cycle, especially for patients with stage IVB CC. Furthermore, serum Carcinoembryonic Antigen (CEA) and Squamous Cell Carcinoma Antigen (SCCA) levels were measured and imaging assessments including abdominal and pelvic ultrasound or CT scan were performed at the end of each treatment cycle. Patient information was collected through telephone follow-ups as well as medical records.

2.4. Statistical analysis

All data were analyzed using SPSS software (version 24.0). The continuous data were analyzed using an unpaired $t$ test. The categorical data, including adverse events, were analyzed using a $\chi^2$ test. The survival analyses were performed by the Kaplan–Meier method and log-rank test. The Cox risk regression model was used to perform univariate and multivariate analyses and to assess the hazard ratio (HR) and the 95% confidence interval (CI). $P < .05$ was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

3. Results

3.1. Patient characteristics

A total of 59 patients with recurrent and advanced CC were included in the current study randomly divided into the apatinib group (n = 30) and the control group (n = 29). One patient in apatinib group and 2 in control group separately discontinued the clinical trial before the first evaluation. A total of 4 patients were lost to follow-up (1 patient in apatinib group and 3 in control group). The main reasons were severe toxicities, disease progression, and personal factors. Eventually, 52 patients were analyzed (28 in apatinib group and 24 in control group). Baseline characteristics, including age, ECOG Score, body mass index, metastatic sites, number of metastatic sites, grade of differentiation, pathological type, surgical history, and treatment received, are shown in Table 1.

### Table 1: Patient characteristics.

| Characteristic | Apatinib group, n (%) | Control group, n (%) | P-value |
|---------------|-----------------------|----------------------|---------|
| Age (yr)      |                       |                      |         |
| Median        | 49 (5)                | 51 (1)               | .451    |
| Range         | 28–62                 | 30–69                |         |
| ECOG Score    |                       |                      |         |
| ≤1            | 16 (57.1)             | 12 (50%)             | .887    |
| >1            | 12 (42.9)             | 12 (50%)             |         |
| BMI           |                       |                      |         |
| Median        | 24 (8)                | 22.7                 | .122    |
| Range         | 17.6–31.6             | 18.1–32.0            |         |
| Metastatic organ |                   |                      |         |
| Lymph nodes   |                       |                      |         |
| Yes           | 23 (82.1)             | 18 (75)              | .53     |
| No            | 5 (17.9)              | 6 (25.0)             |         |
| Lung          |                       |                      |         |
| Yes           | 8 (28.6)              | 5 (20.8)             | .521    |
| No            | 20 (71.4)             | 19 (79.2)            |         |
| Bone          |                       |                      | .958    |
| Yes           | 6 (21.4)              | 5 (20.8)             |         |
| No            | 22 (78.6)             | 19 (79.2)            |         |
| No. of metastatic sites |     |                      |         |
| ≤2            | 9 (32.1)              | 9 (57.5)             | .686    |
| >2            | 19 (67.9)             | 15 (62.5)            |         |
| Differentiation |                   |                      |         |
| Low           | 16 (57.1)             | 14 (58.3)            | .855    |
| Medium–high   | 12 (42.9)             | 10 (41.7)            |         |
| Pathological type |               |                      | .812    |
| Squamous–carcinoma | 24 (85.7) | 20 (83.3)            |         |
| Adenocarcinoma | 4 (14.3)              | 4 (16.7)             |         |
| Surgical history |                 |                      | .91     |
| Yes           | 5 (17.9)              | 4 (16.7)             |         |
| No            | 23 (82.1)             | 20 (83.3)            |         |
| Treatment methods |               |                      | .657    |
| Chemotherapy  | 11 (36.7)             | 8 (33.3)             |         |
| CCBT          | 17 (56.7)             | 16 (56.7)            |         |
| Initial condition |              |                      | .274    |
| Firstly diagnosed recurrence | 11 (39.3) | 6 (25.0)              |         |
| Stage IVB untreated | 17 (56.7) | 18 (75)               |         |

BMI = body mass index, CCBT = concurrent chemo-brachytherapy, ECOG = Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group.
were similar in the 2 groups (Table 1). All patients were non-smokers and did not consume alcohol.

3.2. Efficacy
The median follow-up time was 14.0 months (interquartile range, 9.6–19.3 months). By the end of April 2019, 27 patients (11 and 16 patients in the apatinib and control groups, respectively), accounting for 51.9% of the total number patients, had succumbed. The median PFS was significantly increased in the apatinib group compared with the control group (10.1 months, 95% CI 8.42–11.79 vs 6.4 months, 95% CI 3.88–8.92; P < .01; HR, 0.44, 95% CI, 0.25–0.78; P < .01; Fig. 1A). Stratified analyses revealed that the median PFS in patients who received chemotherapy was 10.1 months in the apatinib group and 6.4 in the control group. (C) Stratified analyses demonstrated that the median PFS in patients receiving CCBT was 10.3 months in the apatinib group and 6.1 in the control group (log-rank test P < .01). (D) The median overall survival in the full analysis set was 14.7 months in the control group compared with 12.8 in the apatinib group (log-rank test P = .719). CCBT = concurrent chemo-brachytherapy, PFS = progression-free survival.

There was no significant difference in the median OS between the 2 groups (14.7 months; 95% CI 13.46–15.95 vs 12.8 months; 95% CI 11.0–14.6; P = .712; HR 0.90, 95% CI 0.51–1.59; P = .720; Fig. 1D and Table 2). The ORR in the apatinib group was 64.3% and 33.3%, respectively (P < .05). The DCR in the apatinib group was slightly higher than in the control group (85.7% and 83%, respectively; P = .812; Table 2).

The associations between the clinical baseline variables and OS were analyzed by univariate analysis. Variables yielded P < .10 were subsequently included in multivariate analysis to predict the factors affecting survival outcomes. Variables drawn into multivariate analysis included lymph node metastasis (P < .05), bone metastasis (P < .01), pathological type (P < .001), and apatinib combination therapy (P < .05). Multivariate analysis with adjustment for confounding factors in the Cox regression model revealed that bone metastasis (P < .001), adenocarcinoma
(P < .05), and apatinib combination therapy (P < .01) were independent prognostic factors, while lymph node metastasis was excluded (P = .188). Unlike bone metastasis and pathological type of adenocarcinoma, which led to poorer PFS, apatinib combination therapy served as a protective factor and increased the PFS (Table 3).

For the evaluation of PFS, data for patients who had not progressed or who had succumbed at the time of analysis were censored at the final assessment according to RECIST 1.1 criteria. Overall survival was calculated from the date of recurrence or advanced cervical cancer diagnosis to the date of death from any cause or censored at the last recorded date which patients were known to have survived.

3.3. Adverse events

The apatinib dose was reduced to 250 mg/day for 3 patients due to severe treatment-associated toxicity, including hypertension and hand-foot syndrome. A total of 11 patients temporarily withdrew apatinib treatment in a short time primarily due to severe hematologic and non-hematologic toxicity following chemotherapy. Each patient withdrew therapy for a maximum of 2 times and the total withdrawal time was <14 days in each treatment cycle. Severe hypertension and hand-foot skin syndrome was adequately relieved when the drug dose was reduced or when patients were given symptomatic treatment to support medication adherence and apatinib tolerance.

In the apatinib group, the side effects with the highest incidence were neutropenia and anemia, followed by diarrhea, nausea and vomiting, proteinuria, hand-foot syndrome, fatigue, mucositis, hypertension, dysuria, urinary urgency and frequency, alopecia, thrombocytopenia, and liver toxicity (see Table 4). The incidence of adverse effects in the control group were as follows: neutropenia, anemia, diarrhea, nausea and vomiting, fatigue, dysuria, urinary urgency and frequency, alopecia, hand-foot skin syndrome, proteinuria, thrombocytopenia, mucositis, liver toxicity, and hypertension (see Table 4).

Apatinib did not significantly increase the incidence of neutropenia compared with the control group (75% vs 75%; P = .726), anemia (75% vs 66.7%; P = .842), diarrhea (57.1% vs 41.6%; P = .683), nausea and vomiting (57.1% vs 37.5%; P = .276), fatigue (50.0% vs 25.0%; P = .220), dysuria, urinary urgency, and frequency (28.6% vs 25%; P = .960), alopecia (28.6% vs 25%; P = .620), thrombocytopenia (25.0% vs 16.7%; P = .663), and liver toxicity (14.3% vs 12.5%; P = .677). However, proteinuria (53.6% vs 16.7%; P < .05), hand-foot syndrome (50% vs 16.7%; P < .05), mucositis (46.4% vs 12.5%; P < .05), and hypertension (42.8% vs 8.3%; P < .05) in all severities were significantly more common in the apatinib group compared with the control group.

4. Discussion

Patients presenting with recurrent and metastatic CC have traditionally received platinum-based doublet chemotherapy in combination with palliative radiotherapy, resulting in poor patient outcomes.[26] Clinical trials have demonstrated that the
addition of anti-angiogenic agents improves the outcome of the aforementioned patients.\textsuperscript{14,27} The Gynecologic Oncology Group (GOG) 240 trial revealed that the combination of bevacizumab and cisplatin-paclitaxel chemotherapy significantly improved the median PFS compared with chemotherapy alone (8.2 vs 6.0 months; HR 0.68; 95% CI, 0.56–0.84; \( P < .0002 \)).\textsuperscript{11} Apatinib mesylate is a novel type of VEGFR-2 inhibitor, which has exhibited good clinical efficacy in solid tumors, including hepatocellular carcinoma and gastric, breast, non-small-cell lung, and ovarian cancer, since its introduction in China in 2014.\textsuperscript{14–20,28} Apatinib demonstrated good anti-tumor activity in cervical cancer cell lines and an in vivo mouse model.\textsuperscript{12} However, evidence of efficacy and safety of apatinib in patients with recurrent or advanced CC is limited.\textsuperscript{22}

The present randomized controlled study evaluated the efficacy of apatinib combination treatment with chemotherapy or CCBT in patients with firstly diagnosed recurrent or stage IVB CC. The results of the current study were promising compared with the outcome of the GOG 240 trial.\textsuperscript{11}

The present study demonstrated that 64.3% of patients with recurrent or advanced CC treated with apatinib in combination with chemotherapy or CCBT achieved the ORR, compared with 33.3% of patients in the control group (\( P < .05 \)). The median PFS was significantly increased in the apatinib group compared with the control group (10.1 months; 95% CI, 8.42–11.79 vs 6.4 months; 95% CI 3.88–8.92; \( P < .01 \); HR, 0.44; 95% CI, 0.25–0.78; \( P < .01 \)). This result demonstrated that apatinib demonstrated antitumor effects in cervical cancer and suggested that apatinib may exhibit synergistic effects with radiotherapy and chemotherapy. The mechanisms underlying this potential synergism require further investigation. The median OS in the apatinib group was increased compared with the control group, although not significantly so (14.7 months; 95% CI 13.46–15.95 vs 12.8 months; 95% CI, 11.0–14.6; \( P = .712 \); HR 0.90; 95% CI 0.51–1.59; \( P = .720 \)). The lack of significance may be due to the limited sample size, short-term follow-up of certain patients and patients lost to follow-up in the current study. Future studies with a larger sample size are therefore required to substantiate the results obtained in the current study.

The multivariate analysis performed in the current study revealed that patients with recurrent and advanced CC with bone metastasis and adenocarcinoma had a poorer PFS and a significantly increased risk of mortality, similar to results obtained in previously published studies.\textsuperscript{29–32} Additionally, lymph node metastasis was associated with poor prognosis in the current study, but was not an independent prognosis predictor factor. Combination treatment with apatinib served as a protective factor that significantly prolonged the PFS and decreased the risk of mortality compared with controls.

Previous clinical trials have reported that hand–foot syndrome, hypertension, and proteinuria are the most common adverse events associated with apatinib.\textsuperscript{19,20,22,33} In the present study, proteinuria, hand–foot syndrome, mucositis, and hypertension were significantly more common in the apatinib group compared with the control group, which was consistent with previous studies investigating gastric, breast, and ovarian cancer.\textsuperscript{14–36} The addition of apatinib to chemotherapy or CCBT in the current study did not affect the incidence of neutropenia, anemia, diarrhea, nausea and vomiting, fatigue, dysuria, urinary urgency and frequency, alopecia, thrombocytopenia, and liver toxicity. Notably, apatinib did not aggravate radiotherapy and chemotherapy-associated side effects and caused manageable common adverse effects. Furthermore, apatinib is administered orally without the need for hospital admission, resulting in improved patient compliance and economic feasibility.

In conclusion, the present study revealed that apatinib in combination with chemotherapy or CCBT exhibited promising efficacy and manageable toxicities in patients with firstly diagnosed recurrent or stage IVB CC. Based on the results of the current study, a phase 3 trial to further investigate the effects of apatinib is warranted.
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