Chronic trigger finger outpatient basis hypodermic percutaneous release and functional outcome
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Abstract
Trigger finger is a stenosing tenosynovitis producing triggering/clicking on finger movements along with pain and swelling. This condition although most often involves the thumb, however, any finger of the hand can be involved. Fibrocartilaginous metaplasia of the tendon near the A1 pulley causes nodule formation, resulting in triggering of the finger on finger movements. Treatment of this condition starts with inflammatory medication, finger and hand splint use of fine tenotome and tumours and steroid injection. However, when the conservative treatment fails, surgical release of A1 is the treatment of choice with known complications like infection, nerve injury, scar tenderness and contracture. Percutaneous release of trigger finger was first described in 1958 with the use of fine tenotome, followed by the use of a hypodermic needle in 1992 with high success rates and avoiding complications associated with open release. This study aimed to evaluate the clinical outcome of percutaneous needle release of the chronic trigger digits on an outpatient basis.

Introduction
Trigger finger or stenosing tenosynovitis is a condition characterized by pain, swelling, limitation of the finger movements and triggering/clicking sensations in the finger. This condition generally involves thumb and ring finger but can be seen in other fingers as well. The primary pathology is the thickening of the A1 pulley with resultant entrapment of the flexor tendon, forming a stenosing/triggering mechanism. There is a mismatch between the tendon sheath and tendon passing through it. With time there is a nodule formation at A1 pulley due to fibrocartilaginous metaplasia, resulting in entrapment of flexor tendon and forming a triggering mechanism.

Most often the trigger digit is idiopathic in aetiology, predominantly occurring in middle-aged females. The other etiological factors include direct repetitive tendon trauma, diabetes mellitus, carpal tunnel syndrome, de Quervain tenosynovitis, rheumatoid arthritis, hypothyroidism, mucopolysaccharidosis, amyloidosis, gout, hypertension, tumours and neoplasia.

The initial treatment of trigger digits is conservative, including non-steroidal anti-inflammatory medication, finger and hand splints, and steroid injections with satisfactory outcome. However, when the conservative treatment fails, surgical release of A1 is the treatment of choice with known complications like infection, nerve injury, scar tenderness and contracture. Percutaneous release of trigger finger was first described in 1958 with the use of fine tenotome, followed by the use of a hypodermic needle in 1992 with high success rates and avoiding complications associated with open release. This study aimed to evaluate the clinical outcome of percutaneous needle release of the chronic trigger digits on an outpatient basis.
Materials and Methods
This was a hospital-based outpatient study of chronic trigger finger/digits of the patients treated with percutaneous release of A1 pulley using a hypodermic needle (18 G) between May 2016 to December 2017. 30 digits in 28 patients were included in the study, with 20 females and 9 males. The age group range was from 25 to 68 years. The thumb was involved in 19 cases, ring finger in 8 cases, middle finger in 2, and index finger in 1 case. The duration of triggering in the finger was an average of 6 months, ranging from 2 months to 3 years. The patients included in this study were satisfying the following criteria
1. The cases are unresponsive to the conservative treatment including at least one injection of local steroid injection.
2. Triggering of finger for more than 3 months duration.
3. Patient convinced for the surgery, after explaining the nature, pathology and treatment modalities of the trigger finger.

The triggering of the digits was graded as per the grading system of Ha Ki19 (table1) Patients with grades II, III and IV who have not responded to conservative treatment with a duration of symptoms of more than 6 months were taken for this study.

Surgical procedure
After proper informed consent and explanation about the surgical procedure, the patients were prepared on Outpatient Basis for the percutaneous hypodermic Needle release of the A1 pulley. Baseline investigations and serology for viral markers were done. The procedure was performed under local Anaesthesia. The point of triggering of the digit was localized by digital palpation, and the proximal and distal edge of the A1 pulley was noted. The proximal edge of the A1 pulley corresponds to the metacarpal-phalangeal crease of the thumb, the proximal crease of the index finger, halfway between the proximal and distal palmar crease of the ring and little finger. The finger was held hyperextended at the metacarpophalangeal joint which causes flexor tendon sheath to lie directly anteriorly just beneath the skin, and allows the digital neurovascular bundle to displace to either side. The needle is introduced into the Middle of the A1 pulley with the bevelled end of the needle lying along the long axis of the finger. The needle is then swivelled forward and backwards through the pulley, feeling the resistance and continued till the resistance is lost and the patient is instructed to flex and extend the finger as before the symptoms appear.

Results
The average operation time was six minutes, ranging from 5 to 11 minutes including the application of local anaesthesia? After the release, the pressure was applied over the point of needle insertion for about 3 minutes for haemostasis and the antiseptic dressing was applied for one day only with full mobility of the finger advised. The patients were followed regularly with the first visit within one week to look for the persistence of the triggering and complications after the procedure, the final evaluation was done at 3 months after the release. The outcome was measured as per the Quinells criteria 21 (table 2).

The successful results were observed in 97% of cases with excellent results in 73% and good results in 24% of the cases. In one patient, there was the persistent triggering of the ring finger, the open surgical release was done, the distal edge of the A1 pulley was found intact and released, relieving the triggering. In our series there was no case with complaints attributable to the Neurovascular injury to the digit, no postoperative infection was seen in any patient.

Discussion
Stenosing tenosynovitis/ trigger finger is a condition with multiple treatment modalities, the use of NSAIDS, splinting and steroid injections at trigger finger is widely accepted in literature with a success rate ranging from 57% to 97% [8, 10, 22, 23, 24]. In a series of 108 trigger digits, Marlex and Gutter found a success rate of 92% with single use of steroid injection at local site and 97% cure rate with repeated injections. Corticosteroid injections seem more useful in acute to semi-acute cases of trigger digits than in chronic cases, the success rate being 88% when used within one month of the diagnosis of trigger digit and 65% when used at 6 months or after 6 months of the triggering of the digits [25]. Some studies even report only a 55% success rate when the finger is symptomatic for more than 6 months [26, 27]. Failure of the conservative treatment is the reason for the surgical release of the A1 pulley of the trigger finger/digit [28]. The open surgical release of the A1 pulley has a 100% satisfactory result with a 28% complication rate [28, 4, 20, 29]. Percutaneous release of trigger digits produced good results in almost all cases without any neurovascular complication in a series of 52 by Lorthier in 1958 [17]. Tanala et al. in a series of 210 trigger digits with the percutaneous release by fine scalpel reported 64.3% excellent, 9.5% good, 8.1% fair and 18.1% poor results30. Using a special blade with hook end, Ha et al. [19] reported a 92% (in 79 Patients) success rate, followed by Park et al. in 2004 [31] using the same knife reproduced success rate of about 91%. Percutaneous release of A1 pulley using 21 G hypodermic needle, Eastwood et al. reported a success rate of 94% in 199221. Contrary to the proximity of the Digital nerve to the A1 pulley of the thumb, there is the reproducible satisfactory clinical outcome in percutaneous A1 release of thumb [3, 5, 7, 9, 32, 15, 33, 19, 31, 34]. To prevent nerve damage, the needle is kept above the tendon in the middle of the thumb and the radial approach should be avoided15, the needle is inserted a few millimeters distal to the MCP flexion crease, the thumb is held in full extension during the procedure to make pulley and tendon to lie anterior to NV bundle and lastly, the thumb should be placed in hypersupination to keep the palmar surface of thumb in the horizontal plane for good orientation. The success rate with percutaneous release of A1 pulley is reported to be 91-100% with none having any evidence of nerve injury. We had a success rate of 97%, with one case presenting as incomplete symptom resolution, which needed open complete release of the pulley. There was no case of infection, nerve injury and bowstring effect after percutaneous Needle release. The percutaneous hypodermic needle release of A1 pulley is a convenient, easy, cost-effective, reproducible and well acceptable method of surgical release of chronic trigger finger, with no need of sophisticated Operation room set-up and anaesthetic machines. The procedure produces the same amount of pain sensation as with a simple needle prick at the time of local anaesthesia application, there onwards the procedure remains fairly painless and active finger movements help in ensuring adequate A1 release. Conclusion; percutaneous needle release for trigger digits is an easy, safe, reliable, reproducible, acceptable procedure on an outpatient basis.
| Grade of triggering | Symptoms | Functional outcome |
|---------------------|----------|-------------------|
| I                   | No triggering but uneven finger movements | Normal movement, no pain | Excellent |
| ii                  | Actively correctable triggering | Normal movement, occasional pain | Good |
| iii                 | Triggering usually corrected by other hand | Uneven movement | Poor |
| Iv                  | Locked digit | Intermediate locking, actively correctable | Poor |
| V                   | Locking, only passively correctable | Locking digit | Poor |

Table 1: Showing grades of severity of Triggering

Table 2: Quinell's criteria for functional outcome
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