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Introduction

Tea drink is widely accepted and second most consumed drink worldwide after water (Venditti et al. 2010; Carloni et al. 2013). Tea has been shown to possess several health benefits which could be attributed to its content of polyphenols. Tea polyphenols include catechins, myricetin, and quercetin. Tea catechins are efficient free radical scavengers due to their one-electron reduction potential (Ananingsih et al. 2013). Catechins have antioxidative, anticarcinogenic, antimicrobial, antiviral, anti-inflammatory, and antidiabetic properties (Ananingsih et al. 2013).

Ginger is also a very popular plant with worldwide acceptance. It has found various uses as spices, nutraceutical and pleasure drink. The health benefits of ginger are attributed to their polyphenols. The ginger polyphenols include gingerols, shogaols, and catechins. Ginger has been identified as an herbal medicinal product with pharmacological effect (Shirin and Jamuna 2010). Ginger possesses anti-atherogenic and antihypertensive property (Bhandari et al. 1998; Ghayur et al. 2008).

Since tea and ginger are widely accepted there is possibility of producing a functional drink by combining tea and ginger extracts. A combination of different redox-active compounds (antioxidants) may be needed for proper protection against oxidative stresses (Halvorsen et al. 2006) due to the synergistic benefits that could be obtained (Karna et al. 2011). A cardioprotective functional drink containing apple, blueberry and cranberry juice, and ginger extract has been formulated by Gunathilake et al. (2013). Adesokan et al. (2013) reported that the sensory attributes of Hibiscus sabdariffa plant were enhanced by the use of ginger and garlic. This suggests that combination of plant extracts from different sources not only produces
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Abstract
A response surface approach was used to investigate the effects of temperature, concentration, and time on the antioxidant properties (total flavonoid (TF), total phenol (TP), peroxide scavenging activity (PS), iron chelating activity (IC), DPPH radical-scavenging ability (DPPH), ABTS assay (ABTS)) of aqueous extract of tea-ginger (2:1) powder. Color indices, pH, and redox potential of the tea-ginger powder were also measured and used as independent variables for the prediction of antioxidant properties of the extract using ordinary least square (OLSR), principal component (PCR), and partial least square (PLSR) regression. The R² values for TP, TF, ABTS, and PS response surface models were 0.8873, 0.9639, 0.6485, and 0.5721, respectively. The OLSR, PCR, and PLSR were able to provide predictive models for DPPH, TP, and TF of the tea-ginger extract (P < 0.05). The PLSR gave the most parsimonious model with an R² of 0.851, 0.736, and 0.905 for DPPH, TP, and TF, respectively.
synergistic effect in terms antioxidant activity but also in terms of sensory attributes of the resulting product.

Quality control is an essential part of any drink production factory to prevent product deviation from the specified standard. Rapid quality control techniques are essential today to keep pace with the large volume of products being churned out of the factory. The quality control techniques must not only be rapid but also affordable and clean. Color, pH, and redox potential are properties of food that can be rapidly estimated with little or no need for extensive sample preparation. These properties of food are also expected to change with process conditions, thus they could be exploited for rapid estimation of the antioxidant content of tea-ginger drinks. Makanjuola et al. (2010) reported that canned whole tomatoes packed in CaCl2 juice were lighter than tomatoes packed in ordinary juice. Color is an important attribute in shelf life and product quality determinations; because color analysis is nondestructive, and is easy and quick to perform, color parameters are often monitored alone or in tandem with chemical concentrations of a target compound (Li et al. 2013). Li et al. (2013) developed a mathematical model for the color degradation kinetics of epigallocatechin gallate (EGCG). EGCG is a major catechin in tea.

The popular methods for assay of antioxidant capacity are ferric reducing/antioxidant power (FRAP), 2,2-azino-bis(3-ethylbenz-thiazoline-6-sulfonic acid) (ABTS) or Trolox equivalent antioxidant capacity (TEAC), 2,2-diphenyl-1-picyrlylhydrazyl (DPPH), and oxygen radical absorbance capacity (ORAC). It is recommended that at least two, and preferably all of these assays be combined if possible, so as to provide comprehensive information on the total antioxidant capacity of a foodstuff, taking into account the pros and cons of each assay as well as their applicability (Pérez-Jiménez et al. 2008). As an example in the analysis of antioxidant capacity of walnut, red grape pomace and fucoidan, red grape pomace had the greatest antioxidant capacity in FRAP, ORAC, and DPPH assays, while walnut had the greatest antioxidant capacity in the ABTS assay (Pérez-Jiménez et al. 2008). The antioxidant capacities of herbal extracts have also been shown to rank differently in TEAC and DPPH assays (Tsai et al. 2008).

In this study, we seek to investigate the influence of process parameters (temperature, concentration, and time) on the antioxidant content of tea-ginger extract and also present rapid quality control techniques for estimation of the antioxidant content of the tea-ginger extract.

Materials and Methods

Plant material and processing

Tea (Camellia sinensis) leaves and ginger (Zingiber officinale) rhizomes were processed as described by Makanjuola et al. (2015). The tea leaves were processed into black tea. Tea leaves obtained from Obudu Mountain in Cross River state in Nigeria were sun-dried, ground, and passed through a 1.4 mm sieve. Ginger rhizomes were obtained from Kaduna state in Nigeria. The ginger rhizomes were peeled, sundried, and ground. The tea and ginger samples were passed through a 1.4 mm sieve. The obtained powders were wrapped in aluminum foil and stored under refrigerated condition (4°C) for further analysis.

Extraction

A classical extraction was employed. The extraction was done in a conical flask placed on temperature-controlled magnetic stirrer (UC 152; Bibby Scientific, Staffordshire, UK). The stirrer speed was set at scale 3. Water was then introduced into the conical flask. The flask was covered with aluminum foil to minimize light penetration. To ensure the accuracy of the extraction temperature, a temperature controller (SCT 1, Bibby Scientific, Staffordshire, UK.) was placed inside the conical flask and connected to the temperature-controlled magnetic stirrer. Once the required extraction temperature was reached, the required weight of blended powder sample of tea-ginger (2:1) was introduced into the conical flask. Tea-ginger (2:1) powder was selected after some preliminary investigation which revealed that the tea-ginger (2:1) extract had a higher total flavonoid content compared to the tea-ginger (1:1) and tea-ginger (1:2) extracts. The extraction was continued until the required extraction time was achieved. The extract was then filtered to remove the residues.

Response surface methodology

A face-centered central composite design with three independent variables was used. The design consisted of 20 experimental runs: eight factorial points, six axial points, and six central points. The range of the independent variables investigated were: extraction temperature (TEM: 30–96°C), powder to solvent ratio (CON: 0.12–2.10 g per 100 mL), extraction time (TIM: 5–90 min). The response variables were antioxidant properties of the extracts. The antioxidant properties were: total flavonoid content (TFC), total phenol content (TPC), ABTS radical activity, DPPH radical activity, peroxide scavenging activity (PSA), and iron chelating activity (ICA). Data were fitted to different models. RSM models considered were linear, two-factor interaction and quadratic. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was carried out to choose the best model. The best model that was chosen was further subjected to backward regression to remove redundant variables. Both single response and multiresponse optimization were done using the desirability concept. The optimization was set to maximize
all the antioxidant properties and the process conditions were set to be within the experimental range. The antioxidant properties were all given an equal weighting of one for the optimization. The quality of the model was evaluated using the lack-of-fit, the coefficient of determination \( R^2 \), adjusted \( R^2 \), predicted \( R^2 \), and adequate precision.

**Prediction of antioxidant properties from color and absorbance property of the extract**

Color (CIE \( L^* \), \( a^* \), \( b^* \)) and sample absorbance at 510 nm (A510) and 610 nm (A610) of the extracts were determined. From \( a^* \) and \( b^* \) values, the hue and chroma of the extracts were calculated. The hue index value was also estimated from A510 and A610. Hue index has been used in the caramel industry as an indicator of its color (Kamuf et al. 2003). The suitability of hue index in evaluating color of tea has also been reported (Goodner and Wampler 2008). A multivariate regression analysis was carried out on the obtained data. The dependent variables were the antioxidant properties. The independent variables were: \( L^* \), \( a^* \), \( b^* \), hue, chroma, A510, A610, A510/A610, and hue index. The multivariate statistics used were: ordinary least square regression (OLS), principal component regression (PCR), and partial least square regression (PLSR). The data were scaled and centered before running the regression analysis. In the PCR analysis, the regression was run for components that explained between 90% to 99% of the variation in the independent variables. The dependent variables were also subjected to some transformation (log, square root, and inverse square root) to check for improvement in the quality of the model.

**Antioxidant analysis**

ABTS was oxidized using the method of Miliauskas et al. (2004), as described by Spradling (2008). Phosphate buffer solution (PBS) was prepared by mixing 95 mL of sodium phosphate monobasic (2.98 g per 100 mL) and 405 mL of sodium phosphate dibasic (15.6 g per 500 mL), followed by 8.04 g of sodium chloride and filled to volume (1 l). The pH was adjusted to 7.4 with 2 mol/L NaOH. ABTS stock solution was prepared by mixing 44.8 mg of ABTS, 8.12 mg potassium persulfate, and 20 mL of distilled water. The solution was allowed to react in the dark for 12 h. An ABTS working solution was prepared by mixing 5 mL of the ABTS mother solution with 145 mL of PBS. Trolox was used as standard. To 2900 \( \mu \)L of the ABTS working solution, 100 \( \mu \)L of each extract or standard was added and allowed to react for 15 min before reading spectrophotometrically at 734 nm against a blank solution.

DPPH was evaluated using the method of Sompong et al. (2011). The reaction mixture consisted of 1.5 mL DPPH working solution (4.73 mg of DPPH in 100 mL ethanol analytical-grade) and 300 \( \mu \)L extract. The mixture was shaken and left to stand for 40 min in the dark at room temperature. The absorbance was read at 515 nm relative to a control (as 100%) using a spectrophotometer. The percentage of radical-scavenging ability was calculated by using the formula:

\[
\text{Scavenging ability} \% = \left(1 - \frac{A_{\text{sample}}}{A_{\text{control}}} \right) \times 100
\]

where \( A_{\text{control}} \) = Absorbance at 515 nm of control, \( A_{\text{sample}} \) = Absorbance at 515 nm of sample.

Iron chelating activity was determined by the method of Dinis et al. (1994) as described by Ozena et al. (2011). The samples were added to a solution of 2 mmol/L FeCl\(_2\) (0.05 mL). The reaction was initiated by the addition of 5 mmol/L ferrozine (0.2 mL) and the mixture was incubated at room temperature for 10 min. The absorbance of the solution was measured at 562 nm. The iron chelating activity was calculated by the given formula:

\[
\text{Iron chelating effect} \% = \left(1 - \frac{A_{\text{sample}}}{A_{\text{control}}} \right) \times 100
\]

where \( A_{\text{control}} \) = Absorbance at 562 nm of control, \( A_{\text{sample}} \) = Absorbance at 562 nm of sample.

Peroxide scavenging activity was evaluated using the method of Smirnoff and Cumbes (1989) as described by Ozena et al. (2011). Peroxide radicals were produced by mixing of FeSO\(_4\) and H\(_2\)O\(_2\). The reaction mixture contained 1 mL FeSO\(_4\) (1.5 mmol/L), 0.7 mL H\(_2\)O\(_2\) (6 mmol/L), 0.3 mL sodium salicylate (20 mmol/L), and appropriate volume of extracts. This mixture was incubated for 1 h at room temperature. The absorbance of the hydroxylated salicylate complex was measured at 562 nm. The percentage peroxide scavenging effect was calculated as:

\[
\text{The peroxide scavenging activity} \% = \left[1 - \frac{A_i - A_j}{A_i} \right] \times 100
\]

where \( A_i \) is the absorbance of the control (without extract or standards), \( A_j \) is the absorbance including the extract or standard, and \( A_i \) is the absorbance without sodium salicylate.

Total flavonoid content was determined using the method of by Prommuaka et al. (2008). A 0.5 mL of the extracted samples or catechin solutions was mixed with 1.5 mL of 95% ethanol (v/v), 0.1 mL of 10%
The A610 and A510 values were determined by measuring the absorbance of the extract against a distilled water blank in a spectrophotometer.

**Software**

The response surface analysis was carried out using Design Expert v 7.0.0 (Stat-Ease, Minneapolis, USA). The multivariate statistics were done with XLSTAT Pro, 2013 (Addinsoft, Paris, France).

**Results and Discussion**

**Single response optimization**

Response surface methodology (RSM) is used to study how variation in independent variables affects the dependent variables with the aim of optimizing the process conditions. In this study, we investigated the effect of temperature, concentration, and time on the antioxidant properties of tea-ginger 2:1 extract. Temperature, concentration, and quadratic effect of concentration, significantly affected \( P < 0.05 \) polyphenol content of the extract (Table 1). Temperature, concentration, time, temperature-concentration interaction, and quadratic effects of temperature and time significantly affected flavonoid content of the aqueous extracts of the tea-ginger blend. Concentration, time, concentration-time interaction, and quadratic effect of time had significant effects on ABTS radical-scavenging activity. Concentration, time, and quadratic effects of concentration and time had significant effects on peroxide scavenging activity of the tea-ginger extract. The \( R^2 \) values for total phenol content, total flavonoid content, ABTS radical-scavenging activity, and peroxide scavenging activity were 0.8873, 0.9639, 0.6485, and 0.5721, respectively. These models also have insignificant lack of fit \( P > 0.05 \). Very low \( R^2 \) values were obtained for DPPH radical-scavenging activity and iron chelating activity. Concentration had the highest regression coefficient in all the significant models obtained in this investigation. This points to the fact that concentration had more impact on the antioxidant properties of tea-ginger extract compared to temperature and time. A similar pattern was observed in the work of Xu et al. (2013), where concentration had the highest significant influence compared to extraction temperature and time; on the polyphenol content and FRAP values of extracts obtained during the optimization of extraction conditions for tea (*Camellia sinensis* L.) fruit peel.

The total flavonoid content of the tea-ginger ginger extract increased rapidly as the extraction temperature increased from 30°C to 80°C and moderately increased as the extraction temperature increased from 80°C to about 92°C before a decline in the flavonoid concentration was
observed (Fig. 1A). The effect of extraction time on the flavonoid extraction was lower compared to that of the extraction temperature. The change in extraction time from 5 to 54 min at concentration of 2.10 g per 100 mL and temperature of 96°C led to a about 20% increase in the total flavonoid content, whereas a change in extraction temperature from 30°C to 96°C at same concentration of 2.10 g per 100 mL at an extraction time of 54 min brought about a 209% increase in the total flavonoid content of the extract (Fig. 1A). There was a rapid increase in the total phenol content of the extract as the powder concentration moved from 0.12 to 1.61 g per 100 mL and then followed by a slight increase in the total phenol content to a powder concentration of about 1.9 g per 100 mL before a decline in concentration was noticed (Fig. 1B). A probable reason for the reduction in the extraction yield at very low solvent to powder ratio (high powder concentration) could be that increasing the solid mass leads to a decrease in the surface area available for the solvent to penetrate the substrate and solubilize the target molecules (Destandau et al. 2013). An increase in the ABTS radical-scavenging activity of the extract was observed as the powder concentration moved from 0.12 to 1.4 g per 100 mL (Fig. 1D). At concentration above 1.4 g per 100 mL, a decline in the peroxide scavenging activity was observed.

Tea leaves (*Camellia sinensis*) and ginger rhizomes (*Zingiber officnale*) have high antioxidant potential. Studies in our laboratory showed that tea leaves have ABTS radical-scavenging activity, peroxide scavenging activity, iron chelating activity, and DPPH radical-scavenging activity of 0.90 mg TE/L, 82.50%, 90.62%, and 37.16%, respectively, when extracted under optimized extraction conditions. Also ginger had ABTS radical scavenging activity, peroxide scavenging activity and iron chelating activity of 0.92 mg TE/L, 73.49%, 65.30%, and 69.72%, respectively, when extracted under optimized conditions. (unpublished data). The maximum values for the antioxidant properties of tea-ginger extract obtained from the response surface plots (Fig. 1) were: 13227.8 mg CE/L (total flavonoid content), 2140 mg GAE/L (total phenol content), and 0.96 mg TE/L (ABTS) and 57.56% (peroxide scavenging activity). The maximum values for the antioxidant properties of ethanolic tea-ginger 2:1 extract obtained from response surface plots (Makanjuola et al. 2015) were: 1.00 TE/L (ABTS), and 78% (peroxide scavenging activity). This result indicated that ethanolic extract of tea-ginger 2:1 extract has higher ABTS radical scavenging activity and peroxide scavenging activity compared to the aqueous extract. However, the merit of the aqueous extraction of tea-ginger 2:1 powder is that it presents a lesser food safety concern compared to the ethanolic extraction. The aqueous extraction of flavonoids from the tea-ginger 2:1 powder was maximized at a temperature,
concentration, and time of, 91.45°C, 2.09 g per 100 mL, 53.99 min, respectively (Figure 1A). The extraction of polyphenols was maximized at a temperature of 96°C and a concentration of 1.84 g per 100 mL (Figure 1B). The ABTS radical-scavenging activity was maximized at a concentration of 0.12 g per 100 mL and a temperature of 80.80 min (Figure 1C). A concentration of 1.27 and temperature of 89.77 min was required to maximize the peroxide scavenging activity of the aqueous extraction of tea-ginger powder (Figure 1D). A high temperature was required to maximize the extraction of flavonoid and polyphenols from the tea-ginger blend in this study. Some studies done on aqueous

Table 2. Confirmation runs under multivariate optimization conditions.

| Response                  | Prediction | 95% CI low | 95% CI high | Validation       |
|---------------------------|------------|------------|-------------|------------------|
| DPPH (%)                  | 11.151     | 5.06       | 17.23       | 26.73 ± 1.75     |
| Total Phenol (mg GE/L)    | 2118.74    | 1789.90    | 2508.74     | 1783.57 ± 128.79 |
| Total Flavonoid (mg CE/L) | 8400.71    | 7037.06    | 9910.72     | 9320.83 ± 956.74 |
| ABTS (mg TE/L)            | 0.89       | 0.85       | 0.93        | 0.89 ± 0.012     |
| Peroxide Scavenging (%)   | 56.50      | 48.07      | 64.91       | 72.96 ± 1.15     |
| Iron Chelating (%)        | 89.10      | 87.61      | 90.60       | 83.84 ± 2.84     |

CI, Confidence interval. n = 3. *Mean values were used as the prediction for DPPH radical scavenging and iron chelating activity, since no appropriate response surface model was found for them.
extraction of tea or ginger have indicated that a high temperature is required to optimize the extraction of their polyphenols. A study of the kinetics of extraction of the antioxidants from tea indicated that the best combinations of temperature and time extraction with water were 20–40 min, 80°C for epigallocatechin, epicatechin, and caffeine, and 80 min, 90°C for catechin, epicatechin gallate, and epigallocatechin gallate (Ziaedini et al. 2010). In the study of Perva-Uzunalic et al. (2006), the aqueous extraction of tea catechin, a major flavonoid in tea was favoured by high temperature extraction temperature of 80°C for 20 min or 95°C for 10 min. Gunathilake and Rupasinghe (2014), extracted fresh ginger rhizomes using hot water extraction. They reported optimum extraction condition for the ginger polyphenols will be at a temperature above 60°C and a time greater than 60 min.

**Multiresponse optimization**

The different antioxidant properties require different optimum conditions to maximize them (Fig. 1). This could lead to a situation such that as one of the antioxidant properties is being maximized another antioxidant property is being minimized. Hence, the need to employ a multiresponse optimization approach to maximize all the antioxidant properties of the tea-ginger extract. A temperature of 95.99°C, powder concentration of 1.68 g per 100 mL and extraction time of 90 min was required for the multiresponse optimization of aqueous extraction of antioxidant from tea-ginger powder (Fig. 2). A decline in multiresponse desirability was observed at concentration above 1.68 g per 100 mL. The temperature used for the confirmation run was approximated to the nearest whole number by taking into account the operating convenience of the temperature controller. The values obtained from the confirmation runs (except for DPPH radical scavenging activity and peroxide scavenging activity) fell within the confidence interval values (Table 2), which give an indication of the expected process average. The values of DPPH and peroxide scavenging activity were higher than the expected 95% CI high. However, since the goal of the multiresponse optimization is to maximize these antioxidant activities, these values were acceptable. The approximation of the extraction temperature to the nearest whole number may be responsible for the higher values of the DPPH radical and peroxide scavenging activities in the experimental run when compared to the expected prediction values. The higher value of the experimental DPPH radical scavenging activity when compared to the prediction value could also be due to the inability to obtain an appropriate response surface model, thus the mean value was used as the prediction target.

**Prediction models for estimation of antioxidant of aqueous tea-ginger (2:1) extract**

This study employed OLSR, PCR, and PLSR to develop models that could explain the relationship between the antioxidant properties of tea-ginger extract and rapid instrumental analysis (color, hue index, pH, redox potential) – with the aim of using them to establish rapid quality control protocol for the tea-ginger extract. The OLSR and PLSR resulted in models that could predict the total flavonoid content of tea-ginger extract. The PCR resulted in a model with poor predictive quality (\(Q^2 = -0.069\), Table 3). The PLSR model was able to use either the A510 or A610 of the extract to explain the total flavonoid content of the tea-ginger drink (Table 3). Using the A610 of the extract, we had a PLSR model with an \(R^2\), \(Q^2\), and RMSE of 0.905, 0.893, and 7.628. When the PLSR model made use of the A510 of the extract, we had a model having an \(R^2\) of 0.885, \(Q^2\) of 0.871, and RMSE of 8.376. The OLSR produced a model with a \(Q^2\) of 0.724 and RMSE of 8.767. Also the PCR could not give a good model for the prediction of total phenol content of the tea-ginger extract. However, the PLSR and OLSR were able to produce models for the prediction of total phenolic content of the tea-ginger extract.

The PLSR model was able to use either the A510 or A610 of the extract to predict its total phenol content (Table 3). The A510 model (\(R^2 = 0.736, Q^2 = 0.712, \text{RMSE} = 0.109\)) had a better quality than the A610 model (\(R^2 = 0.704, Q^2 = 0.672, \text{RMSE} = 0.115\)). The OLSR model had an \(R^2\), \(Q^2\), and RMSE of 0.864, 0.529, and 0.124, respectively. The model from the OLSR had the highest
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\(R^2\) (0.944), highest \(Q^2\) (0.762), and lowest RMSE (0.840) for the prediction of DPPH radical scavenging activity (Table 3). The PCR used the; \(L^*\), \(A510/610\), pH, and hue of the tea-ginger extract to explain the DPPH radical scavenging activity. This PCR model had a lower \(Q^2\) (0.578) and higher RMSE (0.978) when compared with the OLSR and PLSR. The PLSR model was able to predict the DPPH radical scavenging activity of the tea-ginger extract using the pH, \(L^*\), and \(a^*\) properties of the extract. This PLSR model had a \(Q^2\) of 0.719 and RMSE of 0.869 (Table 3).

The \(R^2\) of a model tends to increase with an increase in the number of variables in the model. With this situation a case may arise such that a model may have a very high \(R^2\) but a low predictive quality. This same pattern was observed in this study. All the OLSR models had the highest \(R^2\) (Table 3). The models with the best predictive quality (highest \(Q^2\)) were mostly the PLSR models (Table 3). Although the OLSR models had the highest \(R^2\), the predictive performance of the OLSR models in two of the three cases (total flavonoid content, total phenol content, DPPH) discussed above was not as strong as those of PLSR models. The OLSR models were probably suffering from overfitting. According to Cozzolino (2014), if too many independent variables are used to model a response, the solution can become overfitted – as the model will become very dependent on the data set and will give poor prediction results. This demerit of OLSR is where the advantage of PCR and PLSR modeling lies. The OLSR and PLSR allows for variable compression, thus avoiding overfitting. The drawback of the PCR modeling is that some score vectors may have very little in common with the response vectors (Ergon 2014). The PLSR model is able to overcome this PCR weakness by taking into consideration the covariance of the score vectors with the response vectors (Ergon 2014).

**Conclusion**

Extraction temperature, extraction time, and powder concentration influenced the antioxidant content of tea-ginger extract.
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(2:1) aqueous extract. Of these three investigated process factors, the powder concentration had the highest influence on the antioxidant content of the extract. We have also shown that A610, A510, pH, L*, and a* of the extract could be useful for rapid estimation of some of the antioxidant properties of the tea-ginger extract. Amongst the three regression techniques employed in this study, PLSR produced models that are parsimonious – and in most cases with better predictive quality.
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