Quality of Life in Newly Diagnosed Moderate-to-Severe Ulcerative Colitis: Changes in the MOSAIK Cohort Over 1 Year

Ik Hyun Jo¹, Kang-Moon Lee¹, Dae Bum Kim¹, Ji Won Kim², Jun Lee³, Yoon Tae Jeen⁴, Tae-Oh Kim⁵, Joo Sung Kim⁶, Jae Jun Park⁷, Sung Noh Hong⁸, Dong Il Park⁹, Hyun-Soo Kim¹⁰, Yoo Jin Lee¹¹, and Youngdoo Kim¹²

¹Department of Internal Medicine, St. Vincent’s Hospital, College of Medicine, The Catholic University of Korea, Suwon, ²Department of Internal Medicine, SMG-SNU Boramae Medical Center, Seoul National University College of Medicine, Seoul, ³Department of Internal Medicine, Chosun University Hospital, Gwangju, ⁴Department of Internal Medicine, Korea University Anam Hospital, Seoul, ⁵Department of Internal Medicine, Inje University Haeundae Paik Hospital, Busan, ⁶Department of Internal Medicine and Liver Research Institute, Seoul National University College of Medicine, ⁷Department of Internal Medicine, Severance Hospital, Yonsei University College of Medicine, ⁸Department of Internal Medicine, Samsung Medical Center, ⁹Department of Internal Medicine, Kangbuk Samsung Hospital, Seoul, ¹⁰Department of Internal Medicine, Wonju Severance Christian Hospital, Wonju, ¹¹Department of Internal Medicine, Keimyung University Dongsan Hospital, Daegu, and ¹²Clinical Research Science Team, Medical Affairs, Janssen Korea Ltd., Seoul, Korea

Background/Aims: Improving quality of life has been gaining importance in ulcerative colitis (UC) management. The aim of this study was to investigate changes in health-related quality of life (HRQL) and related factors in patients with moderate-to-severe UC.

Methods: A multicenter, hospital-based, prospective study was performed using a Moderate-to-Severe Ulcerative Colitis Cohort in Korea (the MOSAIK). Changes in HRQL, evaluated using the 12-Item Short Form Health Survey (SF-12) and Inflammatory Bowel Disease Questionnaire (IBDQ), were analyzed at the time of diagnosis and 1 year later.

Results: In a sample of 276 patients, the mean age was 38.4 years, and the majority of patients were male (59.8%). HRQL tended to increase in both the IBDQ and SF-12 1 year after diagnosis. A higher partial Mayo score was significantly related to poorer HRQL on the IBDQ and SF-12 in a linear mixed model (p<0.01). Inflammatory markers such as C-reactive protein (CRP) or erythrocyte sedimentation rate also showed a negative correlation on HRQL (p<0.05). Patients whose IBDQ score improved by 16 or more (71.2%) in 1 year were younger, tended to be nonsmokers, and had a lower partial Mayo score and CRP than those whose IBDQ score did not. There was no significant association between HRQL and disease extent, treatments at diagnosis, or the highest treatment step during the 1-year period.

Conclusions: Optimally controlled disease status improves HRQL in patients with moderate-to-severe UC. The partial Mayo score and inflammatory markers may be potential indicators reflecting the influence of UC on patient’s daily lives. (Gut Liver 2022;16:384-395)
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INTRODUCTION

Ulcerative colitis (UC) is a chronic disease that involves repeated exacerbation and remission while showing non-specific inflammation of the colonic mucosa.¹ Patients may experience difficulties in daily life due to frequent symptoms such as diarrhea, bloody stool, stool urgency, and abdominal pain. They can suffer from various adverse events as a result of treatments, ranging from mild to life-threatening.² ³ Since the disease course requires patients to receive constant treatment, it can interfere with their daily performance and ability to work.⁴ ⁵ Causing not only physical and social problems, UC can be also harmful to mental health. Repeated cycles of remission and exacerbation cause patients to fear recurrence, resulting in anxiety, depression, and even social isolation.⁶ ⁷ In chronic diseases...
such as UC, all these physical, psychological, and social dysfunctions eventually impact a patient's quality of life. The concept of quality of life has been gaining importance not only in terms of compliance, but also as a crucial goal of treatment. Considering that UC is a difficult disease to cure, the goal of treatment for UC should be to improve the quality of life of the patient, and not just provide symptom relief. Since adherence to treatment for chronic diseases is affected by the quality of life, quality of life should be emphasized during the treatment process.

Moderate-to-severe UC patients with severe endoscopic mucosal findings at the time of diagnosis are expected to have a worse prognosis, such as a more aggressive progression, poorer response to medical therapy, and greater need for surgery than those who are not. Accordingly, a high standard of treatment and monitoring is required in managing moderate-to-severe UC patients. A patient's experience of rapid improvement in symptoms and subsequent improvement in quality of life in the initial phase of treatment may have a positive effect on adherence to treatment, and furthermore, the future treatment prognosis. Therefore, it is worthwhile to pay attention to the early pattern of quality of life and its changes in moderate-to-severe UC patients. This study aimed to investigate changes in health-related quality of life (HRQL) of patients with moderate-to-severe UC, based on 1-year follow-up cohort data. We also tried to identify any related factors that may contribute to HRQL improvements in the early period after diagnosis.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

1. **The MOSAIK cohort**

The MOSAIK cohort is a nationwide, multicenter, prospective, observational cohort of moderate-to-severe UC that includes participants from 30 teaching hospitals in Korea. Patients who met the following criteria were enrolled in the cohort: (1) age 7 or older; (2) newly diagnosed with moderate-to-severe UC; (3) diagnosed at a tertiary referral hospital within 4 weeks from the visit or diagnosed at a primary or secondary referral hospital with subsequent confirmation by a tertiary center within 8 weeks; and (4) informed consent. Those who had participated in other interventional clinical trials with biologics, corticosteroids, or other medications for UC, had a history of colectomy, or no definite diagnosis of UC were excluded.

Patients were diagnosed with UC when met at least three of the following four criteria: (1) symptoms such as abdominal pain, diarrhea, hematochezia, or pus in stools; (2) endoscopic findings of continuous mucosal inflammation, which involve rectum and may include part or all of the colon; (3) microscopic findings consistent with UC; and (4) the exclusion of Crohn's disease and indeterminate colitis. The severity of UC was classified according to the Mayo scale. A total Mayo score of 6 to 10 was classified as moderate, and a score of 11 or higher was classified as severe UC.

This study complies with the Declaration of Helsinki, and written consent was obtained from each enrolled patient. The study was registered at www.clinicaltrials.gov (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: NCT02229344) and was approved by the institutional review boards of every participating medical institution (approval number: VC13ESME0219).

2. **Evaluation of HRQL**

HRQL at the time of diagnosis and 1 year after diagnosis was investigated using two questionnaires: the Inflammatory Bowel Disease Questionnaire (IBDQ) and the 12-Item Short Form Health Survey (SF-12). IBDQ is a tool to measure disease-specific HRQL for patients with inflammatory bowel disease. It consists of 32 items in four dimensions, and the total score ranges from 32 to 224. A higher IBDQ score indicates better HRQL. We used a verified Korean version of the IBDQ, which has been used in several previous studies. Since Gregor et al. had claimed an increase of IBDQ score by 16 or higher as a criterion for meaningful HRQL improvement, this criterion has been used by other researchers.

We investigated whether the IBDQ score of the total cohort rose by 16 or more after 1 year from diagnosis.

The SF-12 is a well-known generic HRQL measuring tool that is simplified by selecting only 12 items from the SF-36. Eight health concepts can be scored according to the results of the self-reported questionnaire. By calculating eight scores with a certain method, a total score between 0 and 100 is obtained, where a higher score indicates a better quality of life. In addition, eight individual scores were divided into two groups and used to measure physical component summary (PCS) and mental component summary (MCS). 22

3. **Clinical variables of the subjects**

The MOSAIK cohort collects standardized clinical data through periodic follow-up visits for 5 years from baseline at the time of diagnosis of participating patients. During the follow-up period, self-reported questionnaires, regular colonoscopies, and blood tests were conducted according to the study plan. HRQL results and demographic information were investigated. The patient's health burden due to comorbidity was calculated using the Charlson comorbidity index. Other UC-related factors were also investigated: the extent of the disease, disease activity, relevant
laboratory findings, and the details of the treatment process. Because a patient’s treatment may fluctuate throughout the 1-year period, we analyzed UC treatments from only two perspectives: the highest treatment step during the 1-year period and the UC treatment at each visit (baseline and 1 year after diagnosis). The highest treatment step was defined as the higher treatment level from four therapeutic potency levels: 5-aminosalicylic acid, corticosteroid, immune modulators, and biologics. The UC treatment at each visit was defined as the type of the highest treatment step during the 12 weeks before visit.

4. Statistical methods

Linear mixed effects regression models, which have been recommended for analyzing longitudinal outcomes, were used to assess the effects of each demographic and clinical characteristic on the outcomes (IBDQ, SF-12 [MCS/PCS] scores) over time (baseline and 1 year). For all mixed effects models, the confounding covariates of age, sex, time, and partial Mayo score at each visit were included as fixed effects. For each independent variable, demographic or clinical characteristics, individual models using fixed effects and each independent variable were fitted, and independent variables that had an overall p-value ≥0.1 from the individual model were not included in the final model to ensure a parsimonious model. For considering multicollinearity of laboratory independent variables in the final model, representative variables were selected by correlation coefficients between variables and used for the final model.

p-values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant. All analyses were performed using the statistical software package SAS 9.4 (Statistical Analysis System, SAS-Institute, Cary, NC, USA).

Fig. 1. Flowchart for the composition of the study population. UC, ulcerative colitis.

Fig. 2. Proportion of the highest treatment step at each time point (baseline and 1 year after diagnosis). UC, ulcerative colitis; 5-ASA, 5-aminosalicylic acid.

Fig. 3. Differences in health-related quality of life indices between baseline and after 1 year. (A) Inflammatory Bowel Disease Questionnaire (IBDQ) score and (B) 12-Item Short Form Health Survey (SF-12). Data are presented as the means±SD. PCS, physical component summary; MCS, mental component summary.
RESULTS

1. Characteristics of the study cohort

A total of 368 patients were enrolled in the cohort, and 354 patients were finally diagnosed with moderate-to-severe UC. Of these, 78 patients were excluded, and a total of 276 patients were analyzed (Fig. 1). The mean age of the patients was 38.4 years, and there were more male patients than female patients. The majority of the patients had left-sided or extensive colitis (E2 and E3), and about a third had endoscopically severe disease. Within 12 weeks before the time of cohort enrollment, steroids were most

| Table 1. Demographic and Clinical Characteristics of the Study Population at Baseline and the 1-Year Follow-up |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Category [n=276] | No. of baseline/No. of 1 yr | Baseline | 1 yr |
| Age, yr | 276 | 38.4±15.6 |
| Sex | 276 | |
| Male | 165 [59.8] |
| Female | 111 [40.2] |
| BMI, kg/m² | 266/209 | 22.3±3.1 | 23.1±3.2 |
| Drinking history | 269/201 | |
| Never | 73 [27.1] | 52 [25.9] |
| Current | 114 [42.4] | 81 [40.3] |
| Former | 82 [30.5] | 68 [33.8] |
| Smoking history | 267/200 | |
| Never | 144 [53.9] | 110 [55.0] |
| Current | 24 [9.0] | 19 [9.5] |
| Former | 99 [37.1] | 71 [35.5] |
| CCI score | 260/253 | 0.15±0.60 | 0.07±0.31 |
| Disease extent | 270/105 | |
| E1 (proctitis) | 29 [10.7] | 13 [12.4] |
| E2 (left-sided colitis) | 125 [46.3] | 44 [41.9] |
| E3 (extensive colitis) | 116 [43.0] | 48 [45.7] |
| Partial Mayo score | 276/276 | 5.8±1.4 | 1.6±1.9 |
| Endoscopy | 276/86 | |
| Normal | 0 | 20 [23.3] |
| Mild disease | 0 | 30 [34.9] |
| Moderate disease | 195 [70.7] | 27 [31.4] |
| Severe disease | 81 [29.3] | 9 [10.5] |
| Laboratory test | | |
| ESR, mm/hr | 237/148 | 20.8±18.2 | 15.4±17.1 |
| CRP, mg/dL | 247/195 | 2.8±7.9 | 1.0±3.6 |
| WBC, 10³/µL | 268/200 | 12.2±60.1 | 6.7±2.2 |
| Hemoglobin, g/dL | 269/200 | 12.7±2.1 | 14.2±7.0 |
| Albumin, g/dL | 238/148 | 4.0±0.6 | 4.3±0.4 |
| UC treatment* | 93/103 | |
| 5-ASA | 31 [33.3] | 44 [42.7] |
| Steroid | 58 [62.4] | 21 [20.4] |
| Immune modulator | 4 [4.3] | 15 [14.6] |
| Biologics | 0 | 23 [22.3] |
| Highest treatment during the 1 yr | 276 | |
| 5-ASA | 71 [25.7] |
| Steroid | 87 [31.5] |
| Immune modulator | 66 [23.9] |
| Biologics | 52 [18.8] |
| Total IBDQ score | 274/207 | 136.7±38.2 | 173.2±33.3 |
| Change of IBDQ score ≥16 from baseline | 205 | 146 [71.2] |
| SF-12 | | |
| MCS | 274/206 | 41.9±11.5 | 48.5±10.1 |
| PCS | 273/206 | 45.9±8.3 | 49.9±7.6 |

Data are presented as the mean±SD or number (%).

BMI, body mass index; CCI, Charlson comorbidity index; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; CRP, C-reactive protein; WBC, white blood cell; UC, ulcerative colitis; 5-ASA, 5-aminosalicylic acid; IBDQ, Inflammatory Bowel Disease Questionnaire; SF-12, 12-Item Short Form Health Survey; MCS, mental component summary; PCS, physical component summary.

*Highest UC treatment during 12 weeks before each visit (baseline or 1 year).
frequently used as the highest treatment step, and no biologics were used. One year after the baseline, the highest treatment step mainly consisted of 5-aminosalicylic acid or biologics (Fig. 2). The partial Mayo score decreased dramatically at 1 year from baseline, and alleviation of inflammatory markers was confirmed. Both the IBDQ and SF-12 scores were also increased, indicating an improvement in HRQL through 1 year of treatment after enrollment (Fig. 3). However, the mean final SF-12 score did not exceed 50, and the score increase was less than that of the IBDQ. Details of the study cohort characteristics are listed in Table 1.

2. Clinical factors influencing changes of HRQL

During the year of follow-up, women tended to have lower IBDQ scores than men ($p=0.059$). A higher partial Mayo score was significantly related to lower HRQL. In the individual model, right colon involvement, a history of alcohol consumption, and increased inflammatory markers (white blood cell count, erythrocyte sedimentation rate [ESR], and C-reactive protein [CRP]) were all associated with a deterioration of HRQL, whereas hemoglobin and albumin levels were positively associated with HRQL. In the final model, higher partial Mayo scores, history of alcohol consumption, and higher CRP were significantly correlated with low IBDQ scores (Table 2).

The SF-12 score was negatively associated with the partial Mayo score in both MCS and PCS. In MCS, the partial Mayo score and ESR showed a negative correlation in the final model (Table 3), whereas the partial Mayo score, patient age, alcohol consumption history, serum CRP, and white blood cell count had negative effects on the PCS. High serum hemoglobin level showed a positive correlation with the PCS (Table 4).

3. Differences between patient groups according to IBDQ improvement

The IBDQ scores at the time of diagnosis and 1 year after diagnosis were confirmed in 205 subjects. In 146

| Table 2. Results from Linear Mixed Effects Models for IBDQ |
|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Variable          | Reference         | IBDQ individual model | IBDQ final model |
| Age               | -                 | Beta       SE       p-value | Beta       SE       p-value |
|                  |                   | 0.02       0.135     0.908 |
| Sex (female)      | Male              | -9.95      1.385     0.059 |
| Partial Mayo score| -                 | -6.99      1.222     <0.001 |
| Visit             | -                 | 1.12       1.594     0.485 |
| Disease extent [E2, left-sided colitis] | E1              | -1.41      2.251     0.533 |
| Disease extent [E3, extensive colitis] | -12.85          | 6.597      0.052     0.137 |
| Alcohol (current) | Never             | -9.47      4.381     0.026 |
| Alcohol (former)  | -                 | -12.35     4.490     0.038 |
| Laboratory test [ESR] | -               | -0.25      0.101     0.012 |
| Laboratory test [CRP] | -              | -0.66      0.245     0.008 |
| Laboratory test [WBC] | -               | -0.06      0.032     0.054 |
| Laboratory test [hemoglobin] | -              | 0.57       0.306     0.210 |
| Laboratory test [albumin] | -              | 10.48      3.219     0.001 |

IBDQ, Inflammatory Bowel Disease Questionnaire; SE, standard error; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; CRP, C-reactive protein; WBC, white blood cell.

| Table 3. Results from Linear Mixed Effects Models for SF-12 (MCS) |
|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Variable          | Reference         | MCS individual model | MCS final model |
| Age               | -                 | Beta       SE       p-value | Beta       SE       p-value |
|                  |                   | 0.05       0.043     0.223 |
| Sex (female)      | Male              | -2.76      1.385     0.047 |
| Partial Mayo score| -                 | -1.41      0.395     <0.001 |
| Visit             | -                 | 0.06       0.530     0.906 |
| Disease extent [E2, left-sided colitis] | E1              | -1.93      2.000     0.335 |
| Disease extent [E3, extensive colitis] | -4.34          | 2.052      0.035     0.137 |
| Laboratory test [ESR] | -              | -0.06      0.032     0.045 |
| Laboratory test [hemoglobin] | -              | 0.17       0.099     0.094 |
| Laboratory test [albumin] | -              | 1.99       1.047     0.059 |

SF-12, 12-Item Short Form Health Survey; MCS, mental component summary; SE, standard error; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate.
patients (71.2%), the IBDQ score rose above 16 and this group tended to be younger than the group that did not. The proportion of those with a smoking history was also smaller in the former group, and the baseline partial Mayo score was significantly lower. CRP and serum albumin differed according to the degree of change in the IBDQ score: CRP was lower and serum albumin was higher in the group with an IBDQ score change of 16 or more. As for SF-12, there was no difference in MCS and PCS scores between two groups (Table 5).

We performed a subgroup analysis according to baseline disease extent and severity. When the disease extent was divided into two groups (E1+E2 vs E3), results were similar to those of total study population. In the subgroup analysis according to baseline severity, the severe group showed a significant difference in the highest treatment step during the 1-year follow-up. Notably, the proportion of biologics use was higher in the group whose IBDQ score did not improve by 16 (41.7% vs 13.2%). However, for the highest UC treatment within 12 weeks from 1 year after diagnosis, biologics were used more often in the better IBDQ improvement group (26.1% vs 12.5%), but the difference was not statistically significant (Table 6).

**DISCUSSION**

The treatment response and subjective experience of a patient in the early phase of disease can affect adherence to long-term treatment, especially in chronic diseases such as UC. Therefore, we focused on HRQL in the early stages of UC. In this study, we confirmed that improvement of HRQL can be achieved through consistent treatment for 1 year, even in patients with moderate-to-severe UC. A large-scale, population-based study conducted in Europe also reported increasing HRQL for 1 year, similar to our result.26

Many studies have indicated that patients with IBD suffer a decline in quality of life compared to the non-patient population.27-29 However, it is suggested in several studies that the quality of life of patients is not always inferior to the general population.30,31 These different outcomes might arise in the study design: the quality of life was not usually different from the non-patient population in studies with long-term follow-up for more than several years. The proportion of patients whose disease is well controlled and in remission may have increased over a long time from the first diagnosis, and this may be reflected in the average quality of life for IBD patients. Possible evidence for this point was also found in our results. Even after a short follow-up period of 1 year, both disease-specific HRQL and generic HRQL of patients improved in our data. However, SF-12 did not reach 50 points after 1 year in MCS and PCS, and the increase was insignificant compared to IBDQ. This means that it may take a certain amount of time to achieve significant improvement in generic HRQL. Overall, preceding studies and our results suggest that, while patients with moderate-to-severe UC may face exacerbations in the disease course, their HRQL can be improved up to similar level of the non-patient population with appropriate treatment.

Interestingly, our study showed that the percentage of biologics among the highest treatment step received in 1 year was higher in the severe UC group who did not have any significant improvement (16 or over) of IBDQ for 1 year. A similar trend was also observed in a large-scale European study: poorer perception of HRQL was confirmed in UC patients who had been administered biologics.
within the first 1 year of the disease. Thus, it may appear as if the use of biologics is associated with poorer HRQL. However, we think that the reason for these results is that the HRQL was poorer in those who had a severe clinical course requiring biologics despite the relatively early stage of the disease. As evidence of our assumption, our data showed that the proportion of patients using biologics was higher in the group with an IBDQ score of 16 or more for the aspect of the highest ongoing treatment step at the point of 1 year. This result suggests that biologics may have a favorable action on HRQL in patients with early moderate-to-severe UC. In fact, in several studies currently

| Table 5. Clinical Characteristics of the Study Population at the 1-Year Follow-up According to the IBDQ Response |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Variable | n’ | Change IBDQ score from baseline ≥16 (n=59) | Change IBDQ score from baseline ≥16 (n=146) | p-value |
|----------|----|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------|
| Age, yr  | 59/146 | 42.9±16.2 | 37.9±15.1 | 0.037 |
| Sex (female) | 59/146 | 21 (35.6) | 67 (45.9) | 0.178 |
| BMI, kg/m² | 47/119 | 23.1±3.2 | 23.1±3.3 | 0.956 |
| Drinking history | 58/141 | | | 0.495 |
| Never | 14 (24.1) | 38 (27.0) | | |
| Current | 27 (46.6) | 53 (37.6) | | |
| Former | 17 (29.3) | 50 (35.5) | | |
| Smoking history | 58/140 | | | 0.017 |
| Never | 26 (44.8) | 83 (59.3) | | |
| Current | 3 (5.2) | 16 (11.4) | | |
| Former | 29 (50.0) | 41 (29.3) | | |
| CCI score | 57/136 | 0.11±0.41 | 0.07±0.31 | 0.749 |
| Disease extent | 32/55 | | | 0.344 |
| E1 (proctitis) | 6 (18.8) | 5 (9.1) | | |
| E2 (left-sided colitis) | 13 (40.6) | 21 (38.2) | | |
| E3 (extensive colitis) | 13 (40.6) | 29 (52.7) | | |
| Partial Mayo score | 59/146 | 2.4±2.2 | 1.5±1.8 | 0.005 |
| Endoscopy | 26/47 | | | 0.143 |
| Normal | 3 (11.5) | 14 (29.8) | | |
| Mild disease | 8 (30.8) | 18 (38.3) | | |
| Moderate disease | 12 (46.2) | 11 (23.4) | | |
| Severe disease | 3 (11.5) | 4 (8.5) | | |
| Laboratory test | | | | |
| ESR, mm/hr | 30/103 | 20.7±19.5 | 14.0±15.6 | 0.069 |
| CRP, mg/dL | 36/116 | 2.1±6.2 | 0.7±2.7 | 0.002 |
| WBC, 10⁹/μL | 38/117 | 7.1±2.6 | 6.6±2.2 | 0.368 |
| Hemoglobin, g/dL | 38/117 | 13.5±1.6 | 14.5±1.9 | 0.666 |
| Albumin, g/dL | 30/83 | 4.1±0.5 | 4.4±0.3 | 0.004 |
| UC treatment* | 26/53 | | | 0.560 |
| 5-ASA | 12 (46.2) | 18 (34.0) | | |
| Steroid | 7 (26.9) | 12 (22.6) | | |
| Immune modulator | 3 (11.5) | 10 (18.9) | | |
| Biologics | 4 (15.4) | 13 (24.5) | | |
| Highest treatment during the 1 yr | 59/146 | | | 0.105 |
| 5-ASA | 14 (23.7) | 30 (20.5) | | |
| Steroid | 13 (22.0) | 50 (34.2) | | |
| Immune modulator | 15 (25.4) | 43 (29.5) | | |
| Biologics | 17 (28.8) | 23 (15.8) | | |
| Total IBDQ score | 59/146 | 156.4±41.1 | 179.6±27.0 | <0.001 |
| SF-12 | | | | |
| MCS | 58/146 | 46.9±10.3 | 49.1±10.0 | 0.151 |
| PCS | 58/146 | 49.1±8.4 | 50.2±7.3 | 0.605 |

Data are presented as the mean±SD or number (%). n: number of subjects in the analysis set; n’: number of available subjects per variable; percentages were based on n’.

IBDQ, Inflammatory Bowel Disease Questionnaire; BMI, body mass index; CCI, Charlson comorbidity index; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; CRP, C-reactive protein; WBC, white blood cell; UC, ulcerative colitis; 5-ASA, 5-aminosalicylic acid; SF-12, 12-Item Short Form Health Survey; MCS, mental component summary; PCS, physical component summary.

*Highest UC treatment during 12 weeks before each visit (baseline or 1 year).
| Variable                        | Endoscopy (moderate) | Endoscopy (severe) |
|--------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
|                                | n'  | Change IBHQ score from baseline <16 (n=47) | Change IBHQ score from baseline ≥16 (n=93) | p-value | n'  | Change IBHQ score from baseline <16 (n=12) | Change IBHQ score from baseline ≥16 (n=53) | p-value |
| Age, yr                        | 47/93 | 43.0±15.9 | 38.1±14.8 | 0.083 | 12/53 | 42.9±18.3 | 37.5±15.7 | 0.357 |
| Sex (female)                   | 47/93 | 15 (31.9) | 46 (49.5) | 0.048 | 12/53 | 6 (50.0) | 21 (39.6) | 0.534 |
| BMI, kg/m²                      | 39/76 | 23.1±3.0 | 23.1±3.1 | 0.897 | 8/43  | 23.0±4.3 | 22.9±3.7 | 0.887 |
| Drinking history               | 46/89 | 0.701 | 12/52 | 0.850 |
| Smoking history                | 46/89 | 0.011 | 12/51 | 0.781 |
| Age, yr                        | 47/93 | 43.0±15.9 | 38.1±14.8 | 0.083 | 12/53 | 42.9±18.3 | 37.5±15.7 | 0.357 |
| Sex (female)                   | 47/93 | 15 (31.9) | 46 (49.5) | 0.048 | 12/53 | 6 (50.0) | 21 (39.6) | 0.534 |
| BMI, kg/m²                      | 39/76 | 23.1±3.0 | 23.1±3.1 | 0.897 | 8/43  | 23.0±4.3 | 22.9±3.7 | 0.887 |
| Drinking history               | 46/89 | 0.701 | 12/52 | 0.850 |
| Smoking history                | 46/89 | 0.011 | 12/51 | 0.781 |
| Disease extent                 | 45/88 | 0.13±0.46 | 0.09±0.36 | 0.658 | 12/48 | 0.00±0.00 | 0.04±0.20 | 0.494 |
| Partial Mayo score             | 47/93 | 2.4±2.1 | 1.7±1.9 | 0.050 | 12/53 | 2.5±2.6 | 1.1±1.4 | 0.147 |
| Endoscopy                      | 23/31 | 1.085 | 3/16 | 1.000 |
| ESR, mm/hr                     | 23/62 | 23.5±20.3 | 14.9±15.9 | 0.031 | 7/41  | 11.3±14.3 | 12.6±15.2 | 0.473 |
| CRP, mg/dL                     | 27/69 | 2.6±7.1 | 0.8±3.0 | 0.002 | 9/47  | 0.3±0.5 | 0.7±2.0 | 0.516 |
| WBC, 10³/μL                    | 29/70 | 7.8±2.7 | 6.7±2.4 | 0.038 | 9/47  | 5.1±1.1 | 6.5±1.9 | 0.027 |
| Hemoglobin, g/dL               | 29/70 | 13.5±1.6 | 13.5±1.7 | 0.857 | 9/47  | 13.6±1.5 | 15.9±14.1 | 0.461 |
| Albumin, g/dL                  | 22/49 | 4.1±0.5 | 4.4±0.3 | 0.009 | 8/34  | 4.2±0.4 | 4.4±0.4 | 0.171 |
| UC treatment*                  | 18/30 | 0.193 | 8/23 | 0.545 |
| 5-ASA                          | 10/55.6 | 9 (30.0) | 2 [25.0] | 9 [39.1] | 0.516 |
| Steroid                        | 4/22.2 | 6 (20.0) | 3 (37.5) | 6 [26.1] | 0.027 |
| Immune modulator               | 1/5.6 | 8 [26.7] | 2 [25.0] | 21 [39.6] | 0.534 |
| Biologics                      | 3/16.7 | 7 [23.3] | 1 [12.5] | 6 [26.1] | 0.887 |
analyzing biologics administration and quality of life, it has been reported that biologics administration significantly improves the quality of life of patients.\textsuperscript{32-35}

The partial Mayo score was identified as a possible predictor of HRQL in moderate-to-severe UC, and it showed a consistent tendency in both the IBDQ and SF-12. The relationship between disease activity and HRQL was dealt with in previous studies. A prospective study of mild-to-moderate UC patients reported a negative correlation between the IBDQ and the Mayo score.\textsuperscript{36} In addition, several studies have shown that the disabilities of UC patients, which closely affects the quality of life, worsened as the disease activity index increased.\textsuperscript{37-39} We also found correlations between inflammatory markers (CRP, ESR, and white blood cell count) and HRQL. As far as we know, there are few studies that have attempted to directly correlate laboratory findings and HRQL results. We found that a more accurate prediction could be made by integrating multiple laboratory findings rather than focusing on one specific item when trying to estimate the HRQL. Several studies have reported a correlation between fatigue level and ESR or CRP in UC patients,\textsuperscript{40,41} and some clinical trials suggested that the higher CRP group had a lower IBDQ score or more severe level of emotional anxiety and depression.\textsuperscript{42,43} These results indirectly show that inflammatory markers can reflect HRQL.

Women, older age, and a history of smoking or alcohol consumption were also correlated with poorer HRQL in our data. In other inflammatory bowel disease studies, females had a higher rate of poor HRQL like our results.\textsuperscript{31,44} We thought this may be aroused from pregnancy and childbearing problems, as well as fewer opportunities in workplace and social activities. In patients of elderly IBD, the pattern in which the patient’s age affects HRQL is unique. For example, there was a report that elderly patients have more severe deterioration in quality of life in terms of physical rather than mental aspect.\textsuperscript{9} Alcohol and smoking have been associated with poor quality of life in previous studies.\textsuperscript{38,46} Excessive alcohol consumption may have a negative effect in remission, so this point may be related to HRQL.\textsuperscript{47} A study reported active smoking may be associated with lower adherence to treatment, while other researchers have approached in terms of medical cost burden due to tobacco use.\textsuperscript{48,49}

To the best of our knowledge, few studies have focused on changes in the HRQL of IBD patients in the early stages of disease. Since patients with mild UC at the time of diagnosis were excluded, we were able to minimize the effect of disease severity on HRQL. In addition, because the MOSAIK cohort was a well-organized, prospective cohort that was composed of single-country and Asian patients,
confounders caused by regional and racial heterogeneity within the cohort were minimized. As for survey methodologies for HRQL, we chose a patient-reported on-site questionnaire. Patients were asked to fill out the questionnaire at their regular hospital visits. A medical staff member who was acquainted with the study contents in advance was present to assist the patient in filling out the questionnaire if necessary. Through this, the limitations of other survey methods such as low response rates and difficulty in controlling respondents could be overcome.

This study has some limitations. First, a fundamental limitation of the questionnaire-based survey exists. IBDQ and SF-12 were used at the regular follow-up appointments, and there was an inevitable time interval between real life and the point when the questionnaire was completed, which could provoke unpredictable interventions such as recall bias. Currently, app-based, daily self-reporting systems by patients themselves have been used for accurate evaluation of both disease and patient status in the clinical field. We hope future studies using newly invented methods will compensate for these methodological limitations. Second, since the population of the MOSAIK cohort mainly consists of Koreans, there is the possibility of racial, regional, or cultural bias; further, accessibility for diagnosis and treatment may be different from that of other countries. Lastly, we were unable to access “before-the-diagnosis” factors such as time interval from the first manifestation to the diagnosis, which may have influence on HRQL in the early phase of the disease.

In conclusion, patients with moderate-to-severe UC showed significant improvement in HRQL over the course of 1 year after diagnosis when the disease activity is well controlled. In the future, the disease course of moderate-to-severe UC patients and their HRQL fluctuations will require further long-term data accumulation and analysis.
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