Structure-Function Analysis of the Endoplasmic Reticulum Oxidoreductase TMX3 Reveals Interdomain Stabilization of the N-terminal Redox-active Domain*†1
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Disulfide bond formation in the endoplasmic reticulum is catalyzed by enzymes of the protein disulfide-isomerase family that harbor one or more thioredoxin-like domains. We recently discovered the transmembrane protein TMX3, a thiol-disulfide oxidoreductase of the protein disulfide-isomerase family. Here, we show that the endoplasmic reticulum-luminal region of TMX3 contains three thioredoxin-like domains, an N-terminal redox-active domain (named a) followed by two enzymatically inactive domains (b and b’). Using the recombinantly expressed TMX3 domain constructs a, ab, and abb’, we compared structural stability and enzymatic properties. By structural and biochemical methods, we demonstrate that the reduced a domain has features typical of a globular folded domain that is, however, greatly destabilized upon oxidation. Importantly, interdomain stabilization by the b domain renders the a domain more resistant toward chemical denaturation and proteolysis in both the oxidized and reduced form. In combination with molecular modeling studies of TMX3 abb’, the experimental results provide a new understanding of the relationship between the multidomain structure of TMX3 and its function as a redox enzyme. Overall, the data indicate that in addition to their role as substrate and co-factor binding domains, redox-inactive thioredoxin-like domains also function in stabilizing neighboring redox-active domains.

For many proteins of the secretory pathway and those targeted to the cell surface or secreted, correct folding depends on the formation of native disulfides. The formation of short range disulfide bonds found in some proteins likely requires little catalysis, whereas other proteins rely on the catalyzed formation and rearrangement of disulfides that must be introduced in a nontrivial pattern. The first ER enzyme found to promote these processes was PDI3 (1). In vitro, PDI can catalyze oxidation of free cysteines as well as reduction and isomerization of incorrect disulfide bonds (2–4). It is now clear that a whole family of PDI-like proteins of almost 20 members exists in the mammalian ER (5, 6). The proteins of the PDI family all contain at least one domain with a thioredoxin fold, where the secondary structure elements are typically arranged as β1-α1-β2-α2-β3-α3-β4-α4. Thioredoxin-like domains that encompass a reactive CXXC tetrapeptide sequence are classified as a-type domains and otherwise as b-type domains. For instance, PDI contains four thioredoxin-like domains named a, b, b’, and a’, where a and a’ are redox-active, and b and b’ show no such activity. The redox-active domains generally show a high level of sequence conservation, whereas the b-type domains display comparatively little sequence similarity. The function of many b-type domains remains unknown. It is clear, however, that the b’ domain in PDI confers substrate binding (7) and that the corresponding domain in ERp57, the closest homolog of PDI, interacts with the lectin chaperones calnexin and calreticulin that bind glycoprotein substrates (8, 9).

PDI-like proteins with one or more a-type domains can oxidize free cysteine residues and reduce disulfides in in vitro assays. The same is the case for recombinantly expressed a-type domains in isolation (10). However, at least in the case of PDI and ERp57, efficient isomerization requires the presence of one or more b-type domains (11–13).

Although PDI and ERp57 are quite well characterized and the crystal structures of Saccharomyces cerevisiae PDI (Pdi1p) and a human ERp57 bb’ construct were recently solved (9, 14), relatively little is known about the cellular functions performed by the human ER oxidoreductases. A plausible explanation for the presence of so many oxidoreductases in the same organelle is that individual PDI homologs interact with discrete or only partially overlapping sets of substrates. An indication that this is indeed the case comes from the recent finding that substrates of ERp57 generally belong to only a subset of glycoproteins characterized by multiple disulfides and a low level of regular secondary structure elements (15).
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Most human PDIs are soluble, but four have a transmembrane region and are grouped in the TMX (thioredoxin-related transmembrane protein) subfamily (5). No substrates are known for the TMX proteins, but it is tempting to speculate that their substrate selectivity is governed by the membrane association. All have a single α-type domain facing the ER lumen, except perhaps TMX2, for which the membrane orientation is unclear (16). No experimental characterization has been published for TMX4. The TMX protein has thiol-disulfide exchange activity in vitro and can suppress brefeldin A-induced apoptosis when overexpressed (17, 18).

The protein in focus of the current study, TMX3, is expressed in a variety of human tissues, with the highest levels in heart and skeletal muscle (19). The redox state of the active site cysteines in TMX3 is predominantly reduced in vivo (19), with ~30% of TMX3 molecules present in the oxidized form (20). Here, we have performed structural, biophysical, and biochemical studies of TMX3 to learn more about the structure-function relations in the protein. Notably, we find that in the three-domain structure of the protein, the redox-active N-terminal domain is stabilized considerably in the context of the full-length protein.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Plasmids and Vectors—pRSET-miniT/TMX3lum (19) was used for the expression of the entire ER luminal region of TMX3 (TMX3lum, residues 25–373; all TMX3 residue numbers in this study refer to the human sequence including the predicted signal peptide, accession number NP_061895). For the expression of TMX3 domain constructs containing one (TMX3 a; residues 25–131), two (TMX3 ab; residues 25–234), or three domains (TMX3 abc; residues 25–344), the TMX3 cDNA clone hj01608 (KIAA1830 protein) obtained from Kazusa DNA Research Institute served as a PCR template. The forward primer 3-BAB4-Trx-3 (5′-GGGGATCCAAAGGATTTGTAGAAAGAAGTTTAG-3′) was used for all constructs, and the following reverse primers were used to generate TMX3 a, TMX3 ab, and TMX3 abc, respectively: 4-BAB4-Trx-5 (5′-CGCGGAATTCCTACCATAGCAAGGTAATTCTG-3′), 112-TM3txr2-5 (5′-CGCGGACTCTCTATAGTAAAGGTTTAGAAAGAAGTTTAG-3′), and 113-TM3lum Oh-5 (5′-CGCGGAATTCCTACCATAGTAAAGGTTTAGAAAGAAGTTTAG-3′). The PCR products were inserted into the pRSET-miniT expression vector (21) using the BamHI and EcoRI restriction sites to yield pRSET-miniT/TMX3a, pRSET-miniT/TMX3ab, and pRSET-miniT/TMX3abc. These constructs were used to express domain constructs of TMX3 containing a 17-amino acid N-terminal affinity tag including six consecutive histidines and a thrombin cleavage site. After thrombin cleavage of the affinity tag, a GS dipeptide remains at the N terminus of the TMX3 domain constructs. The sequences of all generated plasmids were verified by DNA sequencing.

Protein Expression and Purification—Protein expression from pRSET-miniT-derived constructs was done as described previously for TMX3lum (19). In brief, the Rosetta(DE3) Escherichia coli strain (Novagen) was transformed with expression plasmid and grown in LB medium containing ampicillin and chloramphenicol until an A600 of ~0.75 was reached. Protein expression was induced with isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside, and the culture was grown for an additional hour, before the cells were harvested by centrifugation. The 15N-labeled TMX3 a was expressed essentially in the same manner, with the exception that the transformed bacteria were grown in Cetone-N complete medium (Spectra Stable Isotopes) instead of LB medium for isotope labeling. The proteins were purified as described previously (19). Briefly, cell pellets were resuspended in buffer containing 6 M guanidine hydrochloride, and the cleared supernatant was then applied onto a Ni2⁺-charged nitrilotriacetic acid metal affinity chromatography column (Qiagen), refolded while bound on the column by applying a linear gradient into an aqueous buffer, and eluted with a gradient of imidazol-containing aqueous buffer. Fractions containing TMX3 protein were pooled and cleaved with thrombin (Sigma). Finally, the protein was subjected to anion exchange chromatography using a SOURCE15Q column (GE Healthcare), and the purity was assessed by Coomassie staining of SDS-PAGE gels. Protein purification under non-denaturing conditions was done by resuspending the bacterial pellet in a buffer containing 25 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 100 mM NaCl, 5 mM 2-mercaptoethanol, 0.2 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF) followed by cell rupture using a French press. After centrifugation for 45 min at 12,000 X g, the cleared supernatants were loaded directly on the affinity chromatography column. Further processing was the same as described above.

Protein Concentration Determination—The concentration of the purified TMX3 proteins was determined from their absorbance at 280 nm by using the molar extinction coefficients calculated by the method of Gill and von Hippel (22). An extinction coefficient of 45,920 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹ was used for TMX3lum and TMX3 abc', 40,800 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹ for TMX3 ab, and 23,590 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹ for TMX3 a.

Limited Proteolysis of TMX3lum—Limited proteolysis was carried out in 10 μM solution of TMX3lum that had been reduced with dithiothreitol (DTT) prior to dialysis into 100 mM KH2PO4/KOH (degassed and flushed with N2). The reactions were performed at pH 7.0 at 30 °C using the concentrations of trypsin (Sigma), proteinase K (Roche Applied Science), or subtilisin (Roche Applied Science) indicated in Fig. 1. The digests were stopped at various time points by adding PMSF to 10 mM. The samples were analyzed by SDS-PAGE with subsequent Coomassie staining or blotted onto a polyvinylidene difluoride membrane and subjected to N-terminal sequencing. Alternatively, after treatment with PMSF, the samples were separated by size exclusion chromatography on a Superdex S75 HR 10/30 column (GE Healthcare). Fractions containing protein were incubated at 4 °C for 30 min with 0.02% (w/v) deoxycholate and overnight with 10% (w/v) trichloroacetic acid. After centrifugation, the pellets were washed with 70% aceton and analyzed by mass spectrometry (FGCZ Analytical Services, Switzerland).

Analytical Size Exclusion Chromatography—Analytical size exclusion experiments were performed by applying 100 μl of protein sample in 50 mM KH2PO4/KOH, pH 7.4, 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM DTT on a Superdex S75 HR 10/30 column that was run at a flow rate of 0.6 ml/min. The results were analyzed by plotting for a set of standard proteins using a low molecular weight gel filtration kit (GE Healthcare), the partition coefficient Kav.
where \( V_c \) is the elution volume, \( V_0 \) is the void volume, and \( V_t \) is the column volume, against the logarithm of the molecular weight. A linear fit of \( K_{sv} \) values for the standard proteins then served as a calibration curve to calculate the apparent molecular mass of the TMX3 domain constructs.

**CD Measurements**—CD measurements were performed on a Jasco J-715 spectropolarimeter in a cell of 1-mm pathlength at 25 °C. The spectra were averaged from 10 scans, and the buffer base line was subtracted. The TMX3 a domain was used at a concentration of 27 \( \mu \text{M} \) in a buffer containing 50 mM KH$_2$PO$_4$/KOH, pH 7.0. The protein was reduced or oxidized by dialyzing overnight against the same buffer containing 0.5 mM DTT or 0.1 mM GSSG, respectively.

**NMR Spectroscopy**—NMR spectra were measured on a Bruker DRX600 spectrometer at a proton resonance frequency of 600 MHz using an inverse triple resonance $^1$H,$^15$N,$^13$C probe equipped with an actively shielded z-gradient coil. The 5-mm NMR tubes were filled with 550 ml of a solution of reduced TMX3 a domain in 95% H$_2$O, 5% D$_2$O containing 50 mM phosphate buffer at pH 8.0. Proton homonuclear one-dimensional spectra and two-dimensional nuclear Overhauser effect spectroscopy spectra (23) were recorded at a temperature of 20 °C. Using the same experimental conditions, a $[^1$H,$^15$N] correlated two-dimensional spectrum (24) was measured on a sample of 0.86 mM $^{15}$N-labeled TMX3 a domain.

**Protein Stability Measurements**—Protein stability was measured at different concentrations of ultra pure urea (Sigma) in 100 mM KH$_2$PO$_4$/KOH, pH 7.0, 1 mM EDTA, and either 10 mM GSH or 2 mM GSSG using a Varian Cary Eclipse spectrofluorometer. Urea concentrations were determined with a refractometer. Prior to denaturation, the native protein was incubated at room temperature in the presence of 10 mM GSH or 2 mM GSSG for at least 1 h. After adding urea from a concentrated stock solution, the samples were again allowed to equilibrate for 1 h at room temperature, because pilot experiments had demonstrated complete denaturation within this time frame. To test the reversibility of denaturation, samples at the same concentration of urea were produced from native and fully denatured protein, respectively. Pairs of samples generated this way showed nearly identical fluorescence emission spectra. Fluorescence experiments were performed at 25 °C, with a scan rate of 300 nm/min and excitation and emission slits of 5 nm. After excitation at 280 nm, an emission scan was recorded between 300 and 400 nm. Each spectrum was corrected for background fluorescence. The final protein concentration was 0.2 \( \mu \text{M} \). The unfolding profile of each TMX3 domain construct was determined in at least two independent experiments. The presented values were calculated from the experiments shown in Fig. 4.

Data processing was performed as described by Monsellier and Bedouelle (25). Thus, each spectrum was approximated by a Taylor expansion between 320 and 380 nm to the following equation,

\[
Y(\lambda, x) \approx a(x) + [(\lambda - \lambda_{\text{max}})^2/2]b(x) + [(\lambda - \lambda_{\text{max}})^3/6]c(x)
\]  
*(Eq. 2)*

where \( Y \) is the measured fluorescence intensity at the wavelength \( \lambda \), \( \lambda_{\text{max}} \) is the wavelength at which the intensity \( Y \) is maximal, \( x \) is the urea concentration, \( a(x) = Y(\lambda_{\text{max}}, x) \), \( b(x) = (6\lambda^2/\delta\lambda^3) (\lambda_{\text{max}}, x) \), and \( c(x) = (6\lambda^4/\delta\lambda^4) (\lambda_{\text{max}}, x) \), with \( a, b, c \), and \( \lambda_{\text{max}} \) as floating parameters.

In the next step, the maximal intensity \( \lambda_{\text{max}} \), as a function of the urea concentration \( x \) was fitted either to a three-state model

\[
\lambda_{\text{max}}(x) = [\lambda_n + \lambda_r \exp(-\Delta G_{\text{NI}} - m_{\text{NI}}x)/RT] + \exp(-\Delta G_{\text{NI}} - m_{\text{NI}}x)/RT)
\]  
*(Eq. 3)*

or to a two-state model

\[
\lambda_{\text{max}}(x) = [\lambda_n + \lambda_r \exp(-\Delta G_{\text{NI}} - m_{\text{NI}}x)/RT)]/ [1 + \exp(-\Delta G_{\text{NI}} - m_{\text{NI}}x)/RT]
\]  
*(Eq. 4)*

with the floating parameters \( \lambda_n \), \( \lambda_r \) and \( \lambda_r \), being the intensity maxima of fluorescence of the native, intermediate, and unfolded state, respectively; \( \Delta G_{\text{NI}}, \Delta G_{\text{NU}} \), and \( \Delta G_{\text{NU}} \) are the free energies for the conversions extrapolated to \( x = 0 \); and \( m_{\text{NI}}, m_{\text{IN}}, m_{\text{NU}}, \) and \( m_{\text{UN}} \) are the values for the cooperativity of the respective conversions.

The midpoint of conversion, \( x_{1/2} \), i.e., the concentration of urea at which \( \lambda_{\text{max}}(x) \) reached half its maximal value, was calculated as follows.

\[
x_{1/2} = \Delta G/m
\]  
*(Eq. 5)*

Because the recorded value of \( \lambda_{\text{max}}(x) \) is not a linear function of the molar fractions of the folded and unfolded states, \( x_{1/2} \) does not represent half-advancement of the unfolding reaction. Hence, the midpoint of denaturation, \( x_{1/2} \), was calculated by introducing a corrective term as detailed in Ref. 25.

**Peptide Oxidation Assay**—The ability of TMX3 domain constructs to oxidize dithiols was investigated by following the fluorescence change upon oxidation of the decapptide NRCSEQGCSCWY, as described earlier (19). Data analysis was performed as detailed in Ref. 26.

**Reaction Kinetics of TMX3 Domain Constructs with GSSG**—Pseudo first order rate constants and apparent second order rate constants for the reaction between reduced TMX3 domain constructs and GSSG were determined by measuring the fluorescence change upon oxidation of the protein essentially as described in Ref. 27. Proteins used in this assay were reduced for 1 h at room temperature with 2 mM DTT before the reducing agent was removed on a Micro Bio-Spin 30 column (Bio-Rad), pre-equilibrated in 50 mM Tris, pH 7.0, 50 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA (degassed and flushed with N$_2$). Finally, protein samples were diluted to \( \sim 0.25 \mu \text{M} \) in the same buffer. Fluorescence emission at 328 nm, upon excitation at 280 nm, was then monitored in a stirrable quartz cuvette that had been silanized to minimize adsorption to the glass surface, as observed in particular for the TMX3 a domain upon oxidation. After a stable base line was attained, the reaction was initiated by the addition of a molar excess of GSSG (final concentrations, 16-355 \( \mu \text{M} \)) from a
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Concentrated stock solution. Pseudo first order rate constants were determined by fitting single exponential functions to the data points. In particular for TMX3 a, we observed a drop in the fluorescence signal when full oxidation was approached, most likely because of glass adsorption as mentioned above. In these cases, we only included data points for the fit corresponding to ~90% completion of oxidation. An apparent second order rate constant was determined from a linear fit to a series of experiments with varying GSSG concentrations. Two sets of independent experiments were performed with similar results.

**Molecular Modeling**—A working model of TMX3 ab was constructed with the program Modeller (28) using default settings and the alignment shown in supplemental Fig. S1. The model comprises residues 25–340 and is based on the NMR solution structure of the PDI a domain (Protein Data Bank code 1MEK) (29) for TMX3 a and the crystal structure of rabbit skeletal muscle calsequestrin (Protein Data Bank code 1A8Y) (30) for the TMX3 bb region. When constructing the model, an overlapping and similar region between the two templates was included in the alignment. This way the templates were aligned to preserve the relative domain orientation in calsequestrin.

The quality of the model was assessed by submitting it to the ProQ server (www.sbc.su.se/~bjornw/ProQ/), which has been designed to evaluate protein homology models (31). The evaluation produced scores that were indicative of a reliable, high quality model (LGscore mass 4.09, MaxSub 0.48). Analysis of the backbone dihedral angles in the model using the MolProbity server (molprobity.biochem.duke.edu) (32) showed that 94% of the residues were in the most favored regions, and 99% were within allowed regions. Only three outliers (Leu68, Pro116, and Ser139) were marginally outside the allowed regions. Overall, this is comparable with the quality of the NMR template (PDI a).

**RESULTS**

**Determination of Domain Boundaries in the Luminal Region of TMX3**—To analyze the domain composition of TMX3 and the relative stability of domains toward proteolysis, we subjected the recombinant full-length luminal region (TMX3lum) from limited proteolysis of TMX3lum untreated GSKG 40,385 25–373

| Fragment number | Protease    | N-terminal sequence | Mass    | Fragment identity |
|-----------------|-------------|---------------------|---------|-------------------|
| TMX3lum         | Untreated   | GSKG                | 40,385  | 25–373            |
| 1               | Subtilisin  | GSKGF               | ND      | 25–7              |
| 2               | Subtilisin  | ND                  | 27,850  | ND                |
| 3               | Subtilisin  | GSKG                | 24,676  | 25–235            |
| 4               | Subtilisin  | GALI                | 12,233  | 131–233           |
| 5               | Proteinase K| GSKG                | ND      | 25–7              |
| 6               | Proteinase K| GSKG                | 24,532  | 25–234            |
| 7               | Proteinase K| AHRV                | 12,780  | 126–232           |
| 8               | Trypsin     | GSKG                | 38,409  | 25–355            |
| 9               | Trypsin     | GSKG                | 26,016  | 25–247            |
| 10              | Trypsin     | VSRA                | 23,455  | 25–225            |
| 11              | Trypsin     | VSRA                | 13,950  | 129–247           |

oxido-reductases. Indeed, all three proteases cleaved TMX3lum close to residue 131 (fragments 4, 7, and 11).

The remaining two thirds of TMX3lum are weakly similar to calsequestrin, a calcium-binding protein containing three redox-inactive thioredoxin-like domains. In TMX3lum, cleavage sites clustered in two areas in the C-terminal region: residues 225–235 (fragments 3, 4, 6, 7, and 10) and near residue 355 close to the C terminus (fragments 1, 5, and 8). In the case of

**FIGURE 1. Limited proteolysis of the luminal region of TMX3.** A, recombinantly expressed TMX3lum was digested with the indicated proteases at various concentrations and/or different periods of time. The digests were stopped by adding PMSF and separated by SDS-PAGE, and the gels were stained with Coomassie blue. B, overview of fragments generated under specific proteolytic conditions and selected for further analysis by N-terminal sequence analysis and mass spectrometry. The numbering of fragments corresponds with that in Table 1 and Fig. 1 C, S, subtilisin; PK, proteinase K; T, trypsin. For all gels the first lane shows a molecular mass marker with the individual bands labeled in kDa next to the gel. C, domain organization of TMX3. The schematic representation of TMX3lum (residues Lys25–Ser139) at the top shows the three thioredoxin-like domains (dark gray) and b and b' (light gray) as oval boxes. The nonnative GS dipeptide at the N terminus appears as a result of the cloning strategy for recombinant expression of the protein. The identified proteolytic fragments of TMX3lum are shown below the schematic representation of the protein. The numbers refer to the fragments listed in Table 1, and the positions of cleavage sites are indicated by arrows. For a mapping of the domain boundaries onto the TMX3 sequence and in relation to predicted secondary structure elements, see supplemental Fig. S1.
subtilisin, the short C-terminal fragment was removed even at low concentrations of protease where smaller stable fragments were not yet generated (Fig. 1A), indicating it to be a highly accessible flexible tail.

Overall, the results indicated that TMX3 contained three structural entities of about the same size. Secondary structure predictions (33) were consistent with the presence of two thioredoxin-like domains in TMX3 in addition to the N-terminal redox-active domain (supplemental Fig. S1). Moreover, the protease cleavage sites clustered in three distinct regions that correlated well with the expected positions of interdomain linkers and the sequence region between the most C-terminal domain and the transmembrane domain (supplemental Fig. S1). In accordance with common practice, the three thioredoxin-like domains in TMX3 were named a, b, and b'. These experiments also provided information about the relative stability of the different domains toward proteolysis. The only single domain detected was the b domain (fragments 4, 7, and 11). Four fragments comprised the ab double domain (fragments 3, 6, 9, and 10). Contrary to this, fragments containing the TMX3 bb' double domain were not detected. We concluded that the TMX3 b domain was most resistant toward proteolytic degradation and that upon the fast removal of the C-terminal tail the b' domain is degraded. Apparently the b domain provides significantly higher protection against proteolysis for the a domain than for the b' domain, indicating a role for the b domain in interdomain stabilization of the a domain.

Expression and Gel Filtration Analysis of TMX3 Domain Constructs—For further experiments, we used TMX3 constructs comprising the a domain (residues 25–131), the ab domains (residues 25–234), or all three domains (abb', residues 25–344). The domain boundaries were based on the results of the proteolysis experiments, as well as sequence alignments and secondary structure predictions that indicated the constructs to contain all regular secondary structure elements of a canonical thioredoxin fold (Fig. 3B and supplemental Fig. S1).

The purification protocol involved protein solubilization in a denaturing buffer followed by refolding on an affinity chromatography column and anion exchange chromatography. This protocol was employed because of its higher yields after having ensured that the refolded TMX3 a and ab constructs, initially purified under native conditions, showed no differences by CD or fluorescence spectroscopy to the natively purified proteins (data not shown). Likewise, no other experiments such as NMR or fluorescence spectroscopy to the natively purified proteins were necessary for the refolding process, as the refolded protein samples were generated and used to measure the one-dimensional and two-dimensional NMR spectra under reducing conditions. The proton one-dimensional spectrum displayed the features expected for a folded globular protein; several methyl and amide proton resonances were shifted well out of the bulk of the spectrum that had line widths expected for the size of TMX3 a (data not shown). For a more detailed characterization we measured a $^{1}$$H$,^{15}$N$ correlation spectrum of $^{15}$$N$-labeled reduced TMX3 a (Fig. 3A). In well structured proteins each backbone amide group, except the N-terminal one, is expected to be represented by one peak in this type of spectrum. Indeed, this is exactly what was observed, demonstrating that the whole protein is NMR-detectable. Moreover, the resonances were well dispersed, indicating a globular folded protein containing $\alpha$-helical and $\beta$-sheet secondary structure elements and only few residues in unstructured parts. A proton homonuclear two-dimensional nuclear Overhauser effect spectroscopy spectrum confirmed the impression of the other two spectra because the resonances were well dispersed in the two-dimensional plane, and the clustering of resonances typical for unfolded proteins was not seen (data not shown).

We also built a structural model of the TMX3 abb' fragment as described under “Experimental Procedures” and shown in its entirety in supplemental Fig. S2. Based on the 38% sequence identity and the predicted conservation of the positions of individual regular secondary structure elements between TMX3 a and PDI a (Fig. 3B), the model of the a domain is likely quite reliable. With a number of residues expected to play a role in catalysis conserved between the two proteins (Fig. 3B), we
focused our attention on the surface properties of TMX3 a. A hydrophobic patch is apparent around the active site cysteines, whereas the rest of the surface is covered with polar or charged residues (Fig. 3D). A similar pattern is seen for human PDI a (Fig. 3C) (29) and other oxidoreductases such as Pdi1p a and a’ (14), human and barley thioredoxin (34, 35), and DsbA (36). The hydrophobic patch likely interacts with short stretches of unfolded polypeptide chains in substrate proteins.

Far UV CD Spectroscopic Analysis of Reduced and Oxidized TMX3 a—We next studied the secondary structure content of TMX3 a by far UV CD spectroscopy under both reducing and oxidizing conditions (Fig. 4A). In accordance with the NMR data, the CD spectrum of reduced TMX3 a showed that the protein is well structured and contains both α-helices and β-sheets, as expected for a typical thioredoxin-like domain. However, upon oxidation, the negative maximum was blue-shifted and became less intense, indicating a conformational change with appreciable contribution from random coil conformation. A similar conformational change upon oxidization has previously been observed by CD spectroscopy for the PDI a’ domain (11) but not for ERp57 a and a’ (13).

Chemical Denaturation of TMX3 a, ab, and abb’—To learn more about the structural stability of the protein, we performed urea unfolding studies on all three domain constructs under reducing and oxidizing conditions. Fluorescence spectroscopy was used to follow the structural changes upon denaturation. After excitation at 280 nm, an emission spectrum was recorded between 300 and 400 nm, and the experimentally determined values of λmax, the wavelength of maximal intensity, were plotted versus the concentration of urea and fitted to obtain the midpoint of conversion, x0.2. This value, however, does not represent half-advancement of the unfolding reaction because the
FIGURE 4. Stability measurements of reduced and oxidized TMX3 fragments. A, far UV CD spectra of reduced (dashed line) and oxidized (solid line) TMX3 a. The protein was either reduced with 0.5 mM DTT or oxidized with 0.1 mM GSSG. B, 0.2 μM of TMX3 a (blue diamonds), TMX3 ab (red squares), or TMX3 abb’ (black circles), were reduced in the presence of 10 mM GSH (empty symbols) or oxidized in the presence of 2 mM GSSG (filled symbols) and subsequently denatured with urea. After excitation at 280 nm, fluorescence emission spectra were recorded between 300 and 400 nm. Each spectrum was background corrected, and the wavelength values at which maximal fluorescence emission was observed, \( \lambda_{\text{max}} \), were plotted in dependence of the urea concentration. The data points were fitted to a two-state model (TMX3 a) or a three-state model (TMX3 ab and TMX3 abb’) to obtain the midpoints of conversion \( x_{\text{1/2}} \). Note that the midpoints of denaturation, \( x_{\text{1/2}} \), given in the text were calculated by introducing a corrective term to compensate for the nonlinear relationship between the \( \lambda_{\text{max}} \) signal and the concentrations of native and denatured protein, which is why they are not exactly the same values that can be determined by visual inspection of the curves in this panel.

FIGURE 5. Comparison of peptide oxidation by TMX3 fragments. Shown is the time-dependent fluorescence change of the peptide NRCSQGSCWNN upon oxidation. The data were recorded at 350 nm after excitation at 280 nm. The normalized peptide fluorescence given on the ordinate was obtained by normalizing to the value of the fully reduced peptide after subtraction of the protein fluorescence. The reaction was performed in 100 mM KH2PO4/KOH, pH 7.0, 2 mM EDTA, 2 mM GSH, and 0.5 mM GSSG with 3.4 mM oxidizing conditions and 1.6 mM urea for the oxidized state. The second unfolding step, which we attribute to the b domain, was independent of the redox state and took place at ~7 M urea.

Peptide Oxidation Activity of TMX3 a, ab, and abb’—Considering the different structural and biophysical properties of the TMX3 domain constructs, we decided to compare their redox activity. The catalytic activity of TMX3 a, ab, and abb’ was investigated by comparing their ability to oxidize a model peptide containing two cysteines (26). In this peptide, the formation of an intramolecular disulfide quenches the intrinsic fluorescence of a tryptophan residue to 81% when compared with the reduced peptide. Here, we added 0.2 μM protein to 3.4 μM reduced peptide substrate in a buffer with a neutral pH containing 2 mM GSH and 0.5 mM GSSG and monitored the progress of the reaction by fluorescence spectroscopy (Fig. 5). The reaction profiles all fit well to an exponential function with random residuals indicating the reaction to be first order toward substrate and thereby peptide oxidation to be rate-limiting (10) (see also supplemental Fig. S3). When previously performing this assay, we found reaction half-times of 270 s for TMX3 and 80 s for PDI (19). Here, we found all three domain constructs of TMX3 to be faster catalysts than the entire luminal domain with reaction half-times of ~150 s.

Determination of the Rate Constants for the Reaction between Reduced TMX3 Domain Constructs and GSSG—Finally, we determined the kinetics of the reaction between reduced TMX3 domain constructs and GSSG. We used a fluorescence-based assay taking advantage of the observation that upon excitation at 280 nm, TMX3 a, ab, and abb’ all showed ~1.3 times higher fluorescence emission at 328 nm in the reduced compared with the oxidized state (data not shown). Pseudo first order rate constants were obtained from fitting a single-exponential function to the fluorescence change of reduced TMX3 to a three-state model. As seen for the a domain in isolation, the first unfolding step was found to be sensitive to the oxidation state. However, with the higher stability evidently offered by the b and bb’ domains, the denaturation midpoint shifted to ~2.3 M urea under reducing conditions and ~1.6 M urea for the oxidized state. The second unfolding step, which we attribute to the b domain, was independent of the redox state and took place at ~7 M urea.

recorded value of \( \lambda_{\text{max}} \) is not a linear function of the molar fractions of the folded and unfolded states. The true midpoints of denaturation, \( x_{\text{1/2}} \), given in the text were calculated by introducing a corrective term to compensate for the nonlinearity (25), which is why they are not exactly equivalent to the values obtained by visual inspection of the curves in Fig. 4B.

Upon fitting the \( \lambda_{\text{max}} \) values recorded for the TMX3 a domain to a two-state model, midpoints of denaturation for the reduced and oxidized states of ~1.3 and 0.4 M urea, respectively, were calculated (Fig. 4B, blue curves). The partial loss of the reduced and oxidized state (data not shown). Pseudo first order rate constants were obtained from fitting a single-exponential function to the fluorescence change of reduced TMX3...
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**FIGURE 6.** Determination of rate constants for the reaction between reduced TMX3 domain constructs and GSSG. The reaction between 0.25 μM TMX3 ab and 16 μM GSSG in 100 mM potassium phosphate at pH 7.0 and 1 mM EDTA at 25 °C was monitored by following the change in fluorescence at 328 nm upon excitation at 280 nm. A pseudo first order rate constant $k' = 1.2 \text{ min}^{-1}$ was obtained by fitting a single exponential function to the data points. *Inset,* plot of the pseudo first order rate constants, $k'_{app}$, obtained from a series of equivalent experiments by varying [GSSG] between 16 and 355 μM, against [GSSG]. Linear fits yielded apparent second order rate constants of 84 mM$^{-1}$ min$^{-1}$ for TMX3 ab (diamonds, dotted line), 26 mM$^{-1}$ min$^{-1}$ for TMX3 ab (squares, dashed line), and 26 mM$^{-1}$ min$^{-1}$ for TMX3 abb' (circles, solid line).

Domain constructs (~0.25 μm) upon mixing with a molar excess of GSSG (16–355 μM). As an example, the reaction of TMX3 ab with 16 μM GSSG is shown in Fig. 6. From a series of reactions performed at varying concentrations of GSSG, apparent second order rate constants ($k'_{app}$) were determined. As illustrated in Fig. 6 (*inset*), the $k'_{app}$ values obtained with the TMX3 a and ab constructs (105 mM$^{-1}$ min$^{-1}$ and 84 mM$^{-1}$ min$^{-1}$, respectively) were faster than the value of 26 mM$^{-1}$ min$^{-1}$ for the TMX3 abb' construct.

**DISCUSSION**

In the multi-domain proteins of the PDI family, a particular combination of redox-active and noncatalytic thioerodoxin-like domains seems to be critical to ensure the specificity for distinct types of substrates. Moreover, noncatalytic domains in different enzymes must be able to fulfill different functions. Here, we have shown that TMX3 is composed of one redox-active domain (a) and two noncatalytic domains (b and b'). In addition, a roughly 30-residue linker sequence connects the b’ domain to the transmembrane region of the protein. This linker is predicted to form an amphipathic helix from Ile352 to Phe371. Because this sequence is located immediately N-terminal to the transmembrane region, which is predicted to start at Pro375, it might well mediate membrane association.

For the isolated TMX3 a domain, oxidation of the active site disulfide resulted in partial unfolding and destabilization. Judging by the CD spectra (Fig. 4A), a substantial conformational change takes place upon oxidation. Unfortunately, we could not characterize this change in more detail because we were unable to produce the oxidized a domain at the concentration needed for recording a $^1$H,$^15$N correlation spectrum because of problems of aggregation.

For TMX3 ab and abb’, denaturation studies revealed two separate transitions (Fig. 4B). The first likely reflects the denaturation of the a domain because it was clearly stabilized in the reduced ab and abb’ constructs. Moreover, the presence of b and bb’ notably stabilized the a domain regardless of its redox state (compare red and black curves with blue curves in Fig. 4B). The second transition represents the unfolding of the b domain. Because of the absence of tryptophan residues in TMX3 b’, its unfolding could not be followed by fluorescence spectroscopy. However, the presence of the b’ domain did not influence the stability of either transition. The results of the denaturation experiments correlated well with the proteolysis studies that revealed the b domain to be most protease-resistant and to have a protective effect on the a domain toward protease digestion.

Particularly for the oxidized domain constructs, the conventional use of fluorescence intensity in dependence of the denaturant concentration to calculate protein stability turned out not to be ideal. Likely because of protein aggregation and/or adsorption to the glass cuvette of oxidized TMX3 fragments, the intensity data scattered more than the wavelength maximum data used instead. This method gave a robust signal that had the advantage of being concentration-independent. Although the relationship between $\lambda_{max}$ and the fractions of folded and unfolded protein is not linear, the method reliably determines transition midpoints. Primarily because certain pretransition regions, especially that of the oxidized a domain, were too short to obtain nearly perfect fits, we did not use the thermodynamic stabilities, $\Delta G$, as quantitative measures of protein stability. Although transition midpoints are not exact representations of protein stability, they did allow valid semi-quantitative estimates to be made. Because the conclusions about the relative stabilities are independent of the exact numbers, the urea concentrations at midpoint served well as a measure of protein stability when comparing the TMX3 domain constructs.

The enzymatic characterization revealed that all TMX3 domain constructs catalyzed the oxidation of a model peptide faster than TMX3lum construct. (19). Because of the partial hydrophobic nature of the C-terminal tail present in the TMX3lum construct, it is conceivable that this region can compete for binding of the peptide substrate by interaction with the hydrophobic patch close to the active site in the a domain.

The TMX3 abb’ construct was oxidized a bit slower by GSSG than TMX3 a and ab (Fig. 6, inset; experiment performed at pH 7.0). The differences that we observed between TMX3 ab and TMX3 abb’ in peptide oxidation kinetics at concentrations of GSSG below ~250 μM (see supplemental Fig. S3) were in full agreement with the finding that TMX3 ab reacted faster than TMX3 abb’ with GSSG. Although it is presently unclear why TMX3 ab’ was oxidized slower than the two shorter domain constructs, it is interesting to note that the $k_{app}$ values determined here for the TMX3 constructs were all in the range reported for the PDI a and a’ domains of ~36 mM$^{-1}$ min$^{-1}$ at
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