Lidocaine Suppresses Cell Proliferation and Aerobic Glycolysis by Regulating circHOMER1/miR-138-5p/HEY1 Axis in Colorectal Cancer
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Background: Increasing evidence has uncovered the anticancer activity of lidocaine in many cancers. However, the role and the underlying molecular mechanism of lidocaine in colorectal cancer (CRC) remain poorly understood.

Materials and Methods: Cell viability and apoptosis were measured by cell counting kit-8 assay and flow cytometry. Western blot was used to detect the protein of p53, CyclinD1, Pro-caspase-3, Cleaved-caspase-3, Pro-caspase-9, Cleaved-caspase-9, and hes-related family bHLH transcription factor with YRPW motif 1 (HEY1). Glycolytic metabolism was calculated by measuring the glucose consumption, lactate production and adenosine triphosphate (ATP) contents. The expression of circRNA homer scaffold protein 1 (circHOMER1), microRNA (miR)-138-5p and HEY1 mRNA was detected by quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction. The interaction between miR-138-5p and circHOMER1 or HEY1 was analyzed using the dual-luciferase reporter assay. In vivo experiments were performed using the murine xenograft model.

Results: Lidocaine suppressed CRC cell viability and aerobic glycolysis but promoted cell apoptosis in vitro as well as hindered tumor growth in vivo. CircHOMER1 was elevated in CRC tissues and cells, while lidocaine decreased circHOMER1 expression in CRC cells. Additionally, circHOMER1 overexpression reversed the anti-tumor activity of lidocaine in CRC cells. miR-138-5p was confirmed to interact with circHOMER1 and HEY1 in CRC cells directly, and circHOMER1 regulated HEY1 expression through repressing miR-138-5p expression. Besides, rescue assay indicated the anti-tumor activity mediated by lidocaine could be regulated by circHOMER1/miR-138-5p/HEY1 axis.

Conclusion: Lidocaine mediated CRC cell viability loss, apoptosis induction and aerobic glycolysis inhibition by regulating circHOMER1/miR-138-5p/HEY1 axis, providing a novel treatment option for lidocaine to prevent the progression of CRC.

Keywords: circHOMER1, miR-138-5p, HEY1, CRC, lidocaine, aerobic glycolysis

Introduction

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is the third most common lethal malignancies worldwide and results in massive cancer-related deaths each year. Despite the roughly double of the average survival time in advanced CRC with the improvement of multimodality treatment methods, such as surgical resection combined with chemotherapy or radiotherapy, its 5-year survival rate is lower than 14% once metastasis occurs. Rapid and sustained proliferation of cancer cells is the linchpin of the malignant enlargement, which results in the organ compression and subsequent migration and growth.
invasion. Thus, a better understanding of the molecular mechanisms of CRC cell growth is necessary for developing novel therapeutic strategies against CRC.

Retrospective studies have documented multiple cancer patients can benefit from regional anesthesia to improve the long-term survival rate of patients after surgery and decline cancer recurrence. Lidocaine is a commonly used local anesthetic in clinical. However, in addition to multiple anesthetic effects, emerging evidence has identified the antitumor effects of lidocaine in many cancers. For example, lidocaine suppressed lung cancer cell proliferation by modulating the inhibition of GOLT1A. Lidocaine performed anti-proliferative and apoptotic effects by regulating p53 expression in hepatocarcinoma cells to impede tumor growth. Besides that, Qu et al discovered that lidocaine suppressed proliferation and stimulated apoptosis in CRC cells through regulating miR-520a-3p/EGFR, suggesting the possible effects of lidocaine on CRC cell growth. Nevertheless, the function and underlying mechanisms of lidocaine in CRC cells in vitro and in vivo remain vague. So far, it has been revealed that most cancer cells prefer to taking adenosine triphosphate (ATP) through aerobic glycolysis rather than oxidative phosphorylation regardless of oxygen available to meet their metabolic needs for sustained cell proliferation. Suppression of aerobic glycolysis is an effective therapeutic method to repress tumor growth. In addition, a recent study showed propofol, an intravenous anesthetic, could inhibit aerobic glycolysis in CRC cells to impede tumor growth. Therefore, we speculated lidocaine might also involve in the regulation of aerobic glycolysis in CRC.

Increasing studies have shown that circular RNAs (circRNAs) play important roles in a wide variety of cancers through involving in the oncogenesis and the malignant behavior of cancer cells, such as cell migration, cell cycle, proliferation, apoptosis and drug sensitivity. CircRNA homer scaffold protein 1 (circHOMER1) is a novel identified circRNA molecule in CRC. Li et al investigated that circHOMER1 was up-regulated in CRC tissues, and potentially involved in the pathogenesis of CRC; besides, circHOMER1 could target microRNAs (miRNAs), which implicated in the KEGG pathway of CRC and miRNAs in cancer. In addition, some circRNAs have been found to participate in the regulation of aerobic glycolysis to affect tumor cell growth and progression. However, the role of circHOMER1 in aerobic glycolysis in CRC is still poorly unclear.

In this study, we mainly concentrated on studying the role of lidocaine in CRC cell proliferation and aerobic glycolysis, explored the relationship between lidocaine and circHOMER1 as well as the molecular mechanisms of circHOMER1 in CRC cell tumorigenesis.

Materials and Methods

Clinical Specimens

Tumor tissues and adjacent normal tissues from 30 CRC patients who underwent surgical resection at the Affiliated Yantai Yuhuangding Hospital of Qingdao University were collected and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen until further analysis. All patients were diagnosed by histopathological examination. Then, the clinical features, including age, gender, tumor site, tumor size, TNM stages, and lymph node metastasis, were collected from the recruited cases. This study was permitted by the Ethics Committee of the Affiliated Yantai Yuhuangding Hospital of Qingdao University and written informed consent had been signed by all subjects.

Cell Culture, Lidocaine Treatment and Transfection

Human CRC cell line (SW480 and LoVo), and normal colon cells (FHC) were obtained from Shanghai Academy of Life Science (Shanghai, China) and grown in the Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM; Gibco, Carlsbad, CA, USA) harboring with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (Gibco) with 5% CO₂ at 37°C. Lidocaine (Lido) was obtained from Sigma (St. Louis, MO, USA), followed by dissolved in serum-free medium. SW480 and LoVo cell monolayers were treated with different dosages of lidocaine (50, 100, 500, and 1000 μM) in the viability assay for 24 h, 48 h, or 72 h. SW480 and LoVo cells were exposed with 500 μM lidocaine for 48 h in cell viability analysis, apoptosis analysis, Western blot, and glycolysis analysis. For some experiments, cells were pretransfected with plasmids or miRNAs listed as followed for 48 h, followed by incubation with (500 μM) lidocaine. Cells treated by the same volume of PBS were considered as blank controls.

Small interfering RNA (siRNA) targeting circHOMER1 (si-circHOMER1), siRNA targeting hes-related family bHLH transcription factor with YRPW motif 1 (HEY1) (si-HEY1), siRNA negative control (si-NC), the empty vector (pcDNA-NC), and pcDNA-circHOMER1 overexpression vector (pcDNA-circHOMER1) were synthesized by
GenePharma (Shanghai, China). The mimic or inhibitor targeting miR-138-5p (miR-138-5p or anti-miR-138-5p) and their corresponding control (miR-NC or anti-miR-NC) were generated by RIBOBIO (Guangzhou, China). The transfection was conducted using Lipofectamine™ 2000 transfection reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA).

**Cell Viability**

SW480 and LoVo cells were cultivated into a 96-well plate (5,000 cells per well) with 100 μL culture medium and treated with different concentrations of lidocaine, and then 10 μL cell counting kit-8 solution (CCK-8) (Dojindo Molecular Technologies, Japan) was added to per well and incubated with cells at 37°C for 2 h. Finally, the absorbance value at 450 nm was analyzed by a microplate reader.

**Western Blot**

Total proteins were isolated from transfected and/or treated cells through using the RIPA buffer (Beyotime, Shanghai, China), and quantified with a bicinchoninic acid (BCA) protein quantification kit. Then, approximately 30 μg of protein was separated by sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis, and then transferred onto polyvinylidene fluoride membranes. Subsequently, membranes were interacted with primary antibodies against p53 (1:1000, ab131442, Abcam, Cambridge, MA, USA), CyclinD1 (1:20,000, ab134175, Abcam), Pro-caspase-3 (1:1000, ab32150, Abcam), Cleaved-caspase-3 (1:1000, ab2302, Abcam), Pro-caspase 9 (1:10,000, ab32539, Abcam), Cleaved-caspase-9 (1:1000, ab2324, Abcam), HEY1 (1:5000, ab22614, Abcam), glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GADPH) (1:10,000, ab8245, Abcam) and the secondary HRP-conjugated antibody (1:5000, ab20272, Abcam). Finally, protein bands were detected using electrochemiluminescence.

**Cell Apoptosis**

Cells with 1 mL culture medium were seeded into a six-well plate and exposed to 500 μM lidocaine for 48 h. After that, cells were collected and resuspended in binding buffer, followed by staining with Annexin V-fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) and propidium iodide (PI) (10 μL) (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA) for 15 min in the dark. Finally, the apoptotic cells were measured by FlowJo software with flow cytometry.

**Glycolysis Analysis**

Cells were cultivated into a 6-well plate. After transfection and/or treatment, supernatants of cell culture media were collected to detect the levels of glucose and lactate using the Glucose Uptake Assay Kit and l-Lactate Assay Kit (Sigma, St Louis, MO, USA) according to the protocol of the manufacturer. Glucose consumption and lactate production were calculated according to the percentage of the control group and normalized by the protein concentration of samples. The ATP levels in cells were measured by an ATP Assay Kit (Sigma) according to the protocol of the manufacturer. Cells were lysed and then incubated with ATP reaction mix for 30 min. Finally, the optical density at 570 nm was measured by a microplate reader.

**Quantitative Real-Time Polymerase Chain Reaction (qRT-PCR)**

Whole-RNA was prepared using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen) following the standard procedure. Then, isolated RNA was reversely transcribed into complementary DNA (cDNA) by PrimeScript™ RT reagent Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA), and then quantitative PCR was carried out with SYBR Premix Ex Taq (Qiagen). The following cycling conditions were used: pre-denaturation at 95°C for 5 min; 50 denaturation cycles at 95°C for 15 s, and then 60°C for 30 s. Relative transcription alterations were detected by 2−ΔΔCt method. GAPDH and U6 small nuclear B noncoding RNA (U6) were employed as internal controls. The specific primer sequences were presented as follows: cirHOMER1: F 5′-CTCAGAGGCAAGGCTGAC-3′, R 5′-GGTGCAATTTTGGAAGACATGAGC-3′; miR-138-5p: F 5′-GCTTAAGGCA CGCGG-3′, R 5′-GTGCAGGTCGAGCGGAGG-3′; HEY1: F 5′-TGATCACCTGAATGTGGT-3′, R 5′-CGAAAATCCCA AACTCCGATA-3′; ΔΔCt method. GAPDH: F 5′-GATATGTTGCGCATCAATGAC-3′, R 5′-TGATTTTGAGGAGGATCTCG-3′; U6: F 5′-CTCGGCTCGGCAGCACA-3′, R 5′-ACGCTTC AGAATTTCGCT-3′.

**Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay**

The wild-type (WT) or mutant (MUT) cirHOMER1/HEY1 3′UTR containing miR-138-5p binding sequences were amplified and cloned into the pmirGLO Vector (Promega, Shanghai, China) to generate wild-type pmirGLO-cirHOMER1-WT /pmirGLO-HEY1 3′UTR-WT or the mutated cirHOMER1-MUT/HEY1 3′UTR-MUT. Then, SW480 and LoVo cells were co-transfected with these constructed vectors and...
miR-138-5p or miR-NC using Lipofectamine 2000 reagent (Invitrogen). Finally, a dual-luciferase reporter assay kit (Promega) was used to measure the relative luciferase activity.

Xenograft Experiments in vivo
The animal experiment procedures were approved by the Animal Research Committee of the Affiliated Yantai Yuhuangding Hospital of Qingdao University and were performed according to the National Institutes of Health guidelines. BALB/c nude mice (female, 4–6 weeks old, N=6) were purchased from Jinan Pengyue Animal Center (Jinan, China). SW480 cells were treated with 500 μM lidocaine or the same volume of PBS for 48 h, and then were subcutaneously injected into the flanks of the nude mice. After 6 days following the tumor implantation, the tumor volume was measured and calculated every 3 days. On day 21, all mice were killed and tumor masses were weighed and harvested for further molecular analysis.

Statistical Analysis
Significant differences were analyzed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) or Student’s t-test with GraphPad Prism 7 software (GraphPad Inc., San Diego, CA, USA). The correlation analysis was performed using Pearson correlation analysis. Data were presented as the mean ± standard deviation (SD) of the mean of three replicates. P value less than 0.05 was suggested statistically significant.

Results
The Effects of Lidocaine on CRC Cell Viability, Apoptosis and Aerobic Glycolysis in vitro
The effects of lidocaine on CRC cell growth were evaluated. First, the favorable concentration of lidocaine and treatment time were analyzed. As shown in Figure 1A, treatment with 50, 100, 500, and 1000 μM lidocaine led to a significant decrease in SW480 and LoVo cell viability, whereas lower concentrations of lidocaine (50 μM) had no effect. Furthermore, Figure 1B exhibits that 500 μM lidocaine treatment for 24, 48 or 72 h reduced the viability of SW480 and LoVo cells. Thus, lidocaine suppressed CRC cell viability in a dose- and time-dependent manner. Subsequently, exposure with 500 μM lidocaine for 48 h declined SW480 and LoVo cell viability to 65 ± 7% and 61 ± 6% (Figure 1C); therefore, 500 μM lidocaine was chosen for further experiments. After that, Western blot analysis showed 500 μM lidocaine treatment increased the expression of anti-proliferative proteins p53, but decreased the level of pro-proliferative protein Cyclin D1 in SW480 and LoVo cells (Figure 1D). Besides that, the apoptotic SW480 and LoVo cells were increased in the presence of 500 μM lidocaine (Figure 1E), and the up-regulation of pro-apoptotic proteins Cleaved-caspase-3 and Cleaved-caspase-9 was observed in SW480 and LoVo cells stimulated with 500 μM lidocaine (Figure 1F). Thus, lidocaine treatment suppressed the proliferation and induced apoptosis in CRC cells. More importantly, 500 μM lidocaine exposure decreased glucose consumption (Figure 1G), lactate production (Figure 1H), and ATP levels (Figure 1I). Therefore, lidocaine treatment inhibited aerobic glycolysis to impeded cell proliferation.

Lidocaine Decreases circHOMER1 Expression in CRC Cells
The expression levels of circHOMER1 in CRC tumor tissues and corresponding normal tissues were detected. We found circHOMER1 was highly expressed in CRC tumor tissues relative to corresponding normal tissues (Figure 2A). Subsequently, patients were divided into two groups according to the median expression level of circHOMER1: a high circHOMER1 expression group and a low circHOMER1 expression group, and the correlation between circHOMER1 expression and clinicopathological features in 30 CRC patients was analyzed. Results showed higher circHOMER1 expression was correlated with Lymph node metastasis and advanced TNM stages (P < 0.05, Table 1). Moreover, by contrast with normal colon FHC cells, circHOMER1 expression was also elevated in SW480 and LoVo cells (Figure 2B), indicating the potential carcinogenic roles of circHOMER1 in CRC. In addition, we also found lidocaine exposure reduced the level of circHOMER1 in CRC cells (Figure 2C), suggesting circHOMER1 decrease induced by lidocaine treatment might be related to the anti-tumor activity of lidocaine in CRC.

Lidocaine Inhibits CRC Cell Proliferation, Aerobic Glycolysis and Induces Apoptosis by Modulating circHOMER1 Expression
To investigate the impact of circHOMER1 on lidocaine-induced SW480 and LoVo cell viability arrest, apoptosis elevation and aerobic glycolysis inhibition, pcDNA-circHOMER1 was transfected into SW480 and LoVo cells before lidocaine treatment. As expected, circHOMER1 expression was dramatically up-regulated in SW480 and LoVo cells after transfection (Figure 3A). After that,
Figure 1 The effects of lidocaine on CRC cell viability, apoptosis and aerobic glycolysis in vitro. (A) Cell viability was detected using CCK-8 assay in SW480 and LoVo cells treated with 50, 100, 300, and 1000 μM lidocaine for 48 h. (B) Cell viability was detected using CCK-8 assay in SW480 and LoVo cells treated with 500 μM lidocaine for 24 h, 48 h and 72 h. After treatment with 500 μM lidocaine for 48 h, (C) cell viability was analyzed using CCK-8 assay; (D) the protein expression of p53 and Cyclin D1 in SW480 and LoVo cells was measured by Western blot; (E) the apoptosis of SW480 and LoVo cells was detected by flow cytometry; (F) the levels of Pro-caspase-3, Cleaved-caspase-3, Pro-caspase-9 and Cleaved-caspase-9 in SW480 and LoVo cells were examined using Western blot; (G–I) the glucose consumption (G), lactate production (H), and ATP levels (I) in SW480 and LoVo cells were analyzed using a glucose, lactate and ATP assay kit, respectively. *P<0.05.

Abbreviations: CRC, colorectal cancer; CCK-8, cell counting kit-8; ATP, adenosine triphosphate.
CCK-8 assay displayed that lidocaine-induced inhibition of SW480 and LoVo cell viability was evidently abated by circHOMER1 overexpression (Figure 3B), which was accompanied with the up-regulation of CyclinD1 level and down-regulation of p53 level (Figure 3C). Besides, circHOMER1 overexpression abrogated lidocaine-induced apoptosis of SW480 and LoVo cells (Figure 3D), reflected by the reduction of pro-apoptotic proteins Cleaved-caspase-3 and Cleaved-caspase-9 (Figure 3E and F). What is more, by contrast with lidocaine single group, the glucose consumption (Figure 3G), lactate production (Figure 3H), and ATP levels (Figure 3I) of SW480 and LoVo cells in lidocaine + pcDNA-circHOMER1 group were notably increased. Taken together, the level of circHOMER1 was associated with CRC cell viability loss, apoptosis induction and aerobic glycolysis suppression mediated by lidocaine.

**Table 1** Association of circHOMER1 Level with Clinicopathologic Features in CRC Patients

| Characteristic       | Total n= 30 | circHOMER1 Expression* | P value |
|----------------------|-------------|------------------------|---------|
|                      | Low n= 14   | High n= 16             |         |
| Gender               |             |                        |         |
| Male                 | 18          | 7                      | 11      | 0.296 |
| Female               | 12          | 7                      | 5       |       |
| Age (years)          |             |                        |         |
| ≤60                  | 10          | 5                      | 5       | 0.796 |
| >60                  | 20          | 9                      | 11      |       |
| Tumor site           |             |                        |         |
| Colon                | 17          | 9                      | 8       | 0.431 |
| Rectum               | 13          | 5                      | 8       |       |
| Tumor size (cm)      |             |                        |         |
| ≥5cm                 | 19          | 11                     | 8       | 0.105 |
| <5cm                 | 11          | 3                      | 8       |       |
| Lymph node metastasis|             |                        |         |
| Negative             | 14          | 10                      | 4       | 0.011*|
| Positive             | 16          | 4                      | 12      |       |
| TNM stage            |             |                        |         |
| I–II                 | 10          | 8                      | 2       | 0.010*|
| III–IV               | 20          | 6                      | 14      |       |

Notes: *P < 0.05. *Using median expression level of circHOMER1 as cutoff.

circHOMER1 Is a Sponge of miR-138-5p in CRC Cells

To explore the underlying mechanism of how circHOMER1 participated in lidocaine mediated regulation of CRC malignancy. The target miRNAs of circHOMER1 were investigated. By searching online software program StarBase3.0, miR-138-5p was found to have the potential to bind to circHOMER1 (Figure 4A). Subsequently, the decline of luciferase activity in SW480 and LoVo cells co-transfected with circHOMER1-WT and miR-138-5p confirmed the direct interaction between circHOMER1 and miR-138-5p (Figure 4B and C). Moreover, we also discovered miR-138-5p expression was increased by the down-regulation of circHOMER1 but was decreased by the up-regulation of circHOMER1 in SW480 and LoVo cells (Figure 4D). These data confirmed that circHOMER1 targetedly repressed miR-138-5p expression in CRC cells. After that, the level of miR-138-5p was detected. Results indicated miR-138-5p was decreased in CRC tumor tissues and cell lines relative to corresponding normal tissues and FHC cells (Figure 4E and F), and lidocaine exposure elevated the level of miR-138-5p in CRC cells (Figure 4G), hinting the possible roles of miR-138-5p increase caused by lidocaine in the anticancer activity of lidocaine on CRC.
Lidocaine Exerts Anti-Tumor Activity by Regulating circHOMER1/miR-138-5p Axis in CRC Cells

We further studied the influence of circHOMER1/miR-138-5p axis on lidocaine-stimulated cell viability loss, apoptosis elevation and aerobic glycolysis inhibition in CRC cells. First, SW480 and LoVo cells were transfected with pcDNA-NC, pcDNA-circHOMER1, pcDNA-circHOMER1 + miR-NC, pcDNA-circHOMER1 + miR-138-5p before lidocaine treatment, and qRT-PCR analysis showed miR-138-5p expression was reduced by circHOMER1 overexpression, while was restored by following miR-138-5p mimic transfection (Figure 5A), which indicated the successful transfection. After that, data in Figure 5B exhibited miR-138-5p overexpression reversed the anti-viability effect of circHOMER1 on SW480 and LoVo cells treated with lidocaine, which were accompanied with the increased protein level of p53 and decreased protein level of Cyclin D1 (Figure 5C). Additionally, relative to the lidocaine + pcDNA-circHOMER1 + miR-NC group, the number of apoptotic SW480 and LoVo cells was significantly elevated in lidocaine + pcDNA-circHOMER1 + miR-138-5p group (Figure 5D), and Western blot also displayed that Cleaved-caspase-3 and Cleaved-caspase-9 were increased in lidocaine + pcDNA-circHOMER1 + miR-138-5p group in SW480 and LoVo cells (Figure 5E and F). Besides that, miR-372 overexpression attenuated circHOMER1 up-regulation-mediated promotion on glucose consumption (Figure 5G), lactate production (Figure 5H), and ATP levels (I) in SW480 and LoVo cells. These results illustrated circHOMER1/miR-138-5p axis was concerned with lidocaine-induced CRC cell viability loss, apoptosis induction and aerobic glycolysis suppression.

HEY1 Is a Target of miR-138-5p in CRC Cells

To further study the molecular mechanisms of lidocaine action in CRC cells, the direct target of miR-138-5p was searched using StarBase3.0 program. The predicted results exhibited the binding sites between miR-138-5p and HEY1 (Figure 6A). Immediately, a dual-luciferase reporter assay was performed in CRC cells and results showed the

Figure 3 Lidocaine inhibits CRC cell proliferation, aerobic glycolysis and induces apoptosis by modulating circHOMER1 expression. pcDNA-circHOMER1 or pcDNA-NC was transfected into SW480 and LoVo cells before lidocaine treatment. (A) The transfection efficiency was determined using qRT-PCR. After treatment with 500 μM lidocaine for 48 h, (B) CCK-8 analysis of SW480 and LoVo cell viability was conducted; (C) the protein expression of p53 and Cyclin D1 in SW480 and LoVo cells was detected using Western blot; (D) the number of apoptotic SW480 and LoVo cells was determined by flow cytometry; (E and F) Western blot was used to measure the levels of Pro-caspase-3, Cleaved-caspase-3, Pro-caspase-9 and Cleaved-caspase-9 in SW480 and LoVo cells; (G-I) the glucose consumption (G), lactate production (H), and ATP levels (I) in SW480 and LoVo cells were detected by using the glucose, lactate and ATP assay kit, respectively. *P<0.05.

Abbreviations: qRT-PCR, quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction; circHOMER1, circRNA homer scaffold protein 1; NC, negative control; CRC, colorectal cancer; ATP, adenosine triphosphate; CCK-8, cell counting kit-8.
luciferase activity was significantly reduced in SW480 and LoVo cells co-transfected with HEY1 3'-UTR-WT and miR-138-5p, but there was no obvious impact on HEY1 3'-UTR-MUT-type compared with the control group (Figure 6B and C). These results suggested the direct interaction between miR-138-5p and HEY1. Subsequently, the expression of HEY1 was explored and results showed HEY1 was increased in CRC tumor tissues and cell lines at mRNA and protein levels relative to corresponding normal tissues and FHC cells (Figure 6D–G); besides, lidocaine treatment reduced the level of HEY1 in SW480 and LoVo cells (Figure 6H and I). In addition, we also observed that miR-138-5p inhibited HEY1 expression, while this inhibition was rescued by circHOMER1 overexpression in SW480 and LoVo cells (Figure 6D and K), more importantly, a negative correlation between miR-138-5p and HEY1 (r=−0.554, P < 0.0001) (Figure 6M) or circHOMER1 (r=−0.555, P < 0.0001) (Figure 6L), and a positive correlation between HEY1 and circHOMER1 (r=0.625, P < 0.0001) (Figure 6N) were confirmed. Altogether, circHOMER1 could regulate HEY1 expression by directly binding to miR-138-5p in CRC cells.

**Lidocaine Mediates CRC Cell Viability Loss, Apoptosis Induction and Aerobic Glycolysis Suppression by Regulating miR-138-5p/HEY1 Axis**

The effects of miR-138-5p/HEY1 axis on lidocaine-stimulated inhibition of CRC cell malignant behaviors were further investigated. SW480 and LoVo cells were transfected with anti-NC, anti-miR-138-5p, anti-miR-138-5p + si-NC, or anti-miR-138-5p + si-HEY1 before treatment with lidocaine. Then, we found HEY1 expression was increased by miR-138-5p inhibition but was rescued by
following HEY1 knockdown (Figure 7A and B), indicating the successful transfection. Afterwards, functional experiments were conducted. As presented in Figure 7C, miR-138-5p inhibition reversed lidocaine treatment-mediated CRC cell viability loss, and this reversion also was verified by decreased p53 level and increased CyclinD1 level in the lidocaine + anti-miR-138-5p group (Figure 7D). Moreover, results in Figure 7E exhibited lidocaine-stimulated SW480 and LoVo cell apoptosis elevation was notably mitigated by miR-138-5p inhibition, which was accompanied with the decrease of Cleaved-caspase-3 and Cleaved-caspase-9 protein in both SW480 and LoVo cells (Figure 7F). Additionally, the inhibition of glucose consumption (Figure 7H), lactate production (Figure 7I), and ATP levels (Figure 7J) in SW480 and LoVo cells induced by lidocaine treatment also was abolished by silencing miR-138-5p. Therefore, we confirmed miR-138-5p inhibition could reverse lidocaine-mediated CRC cell viability loss, apoptosis induction and aerobic glycolysis suppression. However, rescue assay also displayed HEY1 deletion could rescue the pro-tumor activities mediated by miR-138-5p inhibition via repressing the viability (Figure 7C and D) and aerobic glycolysis (Figure 7H–J), as well as enhancing apoptosis (Figure 7E–G) in lidocaine-induced SW480 and LoVo cells. In all, these data confirmed lidocaine performed anti-tumor function by regulating miR-138-5p/HEY1 axis in CRC cells.

**Lidocaine Inhibits Tumor Growth in vivo**

The roles of lidocaine in tumor growth in vivo were elaborated by establishing mouse xenograft models. Results in Figure 8A and B displayed that lidocaine suppressed tumor growth in vivo, demonstrated by the decline of tumor volume and weight in lidocaine exposure groups. Additionally, the inhibition of glucose consumption (Figure 8C), lactate production (Figure 8D), and ATP levels (Figure 8E) in SW480 and LoVo cells induced by lidocaine treatment also was abolished by silencing miR-138-5p. Therefore, we confirmed miR-138-5p inhibition could reverse lidocaine-mediated CRC cell viability loss, apoptosis induction and aerobic glycolysis suppression. However, rescue assay also displayed HEY1 deletion could rescue the pro-tumor activities mediated by miR-138-5p inhibition via repressing the viability (Figure 8C and D) and aerobic glycolysis (Figure 8E–G), as well as enhancing apoptosis (Figure 8F–H) in lidocaine-induced SW480 and LoVo cells. Therefore, we confirmed lidocaine might hinder tumor growth in vivo by regulating circHOMER1/miR-138-5p/HEY1 axis in CRC cells.
Figure 6. HEY1 is a target of miR-138-5p in CRC cells. (A) The potential binding sites between HEY1 and miR-138-5p were listed through searching StarBase3.0 program. (B and C) Luciferase activity of SW480 and LoVo cells co-transfected with HEY1 3ʹUTR-WT or HEY1 3ʹUTR-MUT and miR-138-5p mimics or miR-NC was analyzed by a dual-luciferase reporter assay. (D–G) The expression levels of miR-138-5p in CRC tumor tissues and corresponding normal tissues (D and E), as well as CRC cell lines and normal colon FHC cells (F and G) were measured by qRT-PCR and Western blot. (H and I) HEY1 levels in SW480 and LoVo cells treated with lidocaine were detected using qRT-PCR and Western blot. (J and K) HEY1 levels in SW480 and LoVo cells transfected with miR-NC, miR-138-5p, miR-138-5p + pcDNA-NC, or miR-138-5p + pcDNA-circHOMER1 were determined by qRT-PCR and Western blot. (L–N) The correlation among circHOMER1, miR-138-5p and HEY1 was analyzed using Pearson correlation analysis. *P<0.05.

Abbreviations: qRT-PCR, quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction; circHOMER1, circRNA homer scaffold protein 1; UTR, untranslated regions; WT, wild-type; MUT, mutant; NC, negative control; CRC, colorectal cancer; HEY1, hes-related family bHLH transcription factor with YRPW motif 1.
Currently, growing researches have confirmed that lidocaine may perform anticancer effects on the therapy of diverse cancers through affecting tumor cell malignant behaviors, such as cell viability, apoptosis, migration and drug resistance. In fact, sustaining proliferation and resisting apoptosis are two significant hallmarks of cancer cells that contribute to cancer cell malignant enlargement and progression, and proliferation inhibition and apoptosis promotion of cancer cells are promising methods to hinder the development of human cancers.

**Figure 7** Lidocaine mediates CRC cell viability loss, apoptosis induction and aerobic glycolysis suppression by regulating miR-138-5p/HEY1 axis. SW480 and LoVo cells were transfected with anti-NC, anti-miR-138-5p, anti-miR-138-5p + si-NC, or anti-miR-138-5p + si-HEY1 before treatment with lidocaine. (A and B) The transfection efficiency was determined using qRT-PCR and Western blot. After treatment with 500 μM lidocaine for 48 h, (C) The viability of SW480 and LoVo cells was detected by using CCK-8 assay; (D) the protein expression of p53 and Cyclin D1 in SW480 and LoVo cells was tested using Western blot; (E) apoptosis of SW480 and LoVo cells was determined by flow cytometry; (F and G) Western blot was employed to examine the levels of Pro-caspase-3, Cleaved-caspase-3, Pro-caspase-9 and Cleaved-caspase-9 in SW480 and LoVo cells; (H–J) The glucose consumption (H), lactate production (I), and ATP levels (J) in SW480 and LoVo cells were measured with the glucose, lactate and ATP assay kit, respectively. *P<0.05.

**Abbreviations:** qRT-PCR, quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction; circHOMER1, circRNA homer scaffold protein 1; NC, negative control; CRC, colorectal cancer; HEY1, hes-related family bHLH transcription factor with YRPW motif 1; ATP, adenosine triphosphate; CCK-8, cell counting kit-8.

**Discussion**

Currently, growing researches have confirmed that lidocaine may perform anticancer effects on the therapy of diverse cancers through affecting tumor cell malignant behaviors, such as cell viability, apoptosis, migration and drug resistance. In fact, sustaining proliferation and resisting apoptosis are two significant hallmarks of cancer cells that contribute to cancer cell malignant enlargement and progression, and proliferation inhibition and apoptosis promotion of cancer cells are promising methods to hinder the development of human cancers.
might result in the proliferation repression and apoptosis induction in CRC cells. p53 is considered as an important tumor suppressor, which can mediate the inhibition of proliferative or survival capacity of cells with DNA damage or inappropriate cell-cycle progression.\textsuperscript{24} This study also found lidocaine elevated the protein of p53, thus suppressing the CRC cell proliferation and viability. Aerobic glycolysis has been demonstrated to be a hallmark of tumor cells. Tumor cells preferably take energy by aerobic glycolysis, which in turn allows tumor cells to successfully compete with normal cells for glucose uptake to sustain uninterrupted growth.\textsuperscript{25} In this study, we observed a decrease in glucose consumption, lactate production, and ATP levels in CRC cells treated with lidocaine, indicating the inhibition of CRC cell viability mediated by lidocaine through suppressing aerobic glycolysis. In addition, in vivo xenograft model also confirmed lidocaine impeded tumor growth in vivo.

The impacts of noncoding RNAs (ncRNAs) dysregulation on cancer cell growth and apoptosis have been widely demonstrated.\textsuperscript{26} Previous studies have shown that lidocaine could participate in the regulation of cancer cell progression by modulating ncRNAs. For example, lidocaine performed anti-proliferation and pro-apoptosis in cervical cancer cells through regulating MEG3/miR-421/BTG1 axis.\textsuperscript{27} Lidocaine suppressed gastric cancer cell tumorigenesis via increasing miR-145 expression.\textsuperscript{28} Lidocaine repressed lung cancer cell proliferation and metastasis by modulating miR-539/EGFR pathway.\textsuperscript{29} In CRC, Qu et al discovered that lidocaine suppressed proliferation and stimulated apoptosis via the regulation of miR-520a-3p/EGFR.\textsuperscript{10} Therefore, the underlying ncRNAs pathway in the action of lidocaine on CRC cells was investigated.

In this study, we confirmed lidocaine down-regulated the expression of circHOMER1 and HEY1, but increased the expression of miR-138-5p in CRC cells. Besides, we confirmed miR-138-5p directly interacted with circHOMER1 and HEY1 in CRC cells, and circHOMER1 regulated HEY1 expression through repressing miR-138-5p expression.
CircHOMER1 has been identified to be associated with the pathogenesis of CRC. Zhao et al revealed that miR-138-5p functioned as a tumor suppressor to inhibit CRC cell growth via decreasing PD-L1. In this study, we demonstrated, lidocaine-induced cell viability loss, apoptosis induction and aerobic glycolysis suppression in CRC could be attenuated by circHOMER1 overexpression or miR-138-5p inhibition. Moreover, miR-138-5p overexpression reversed circHOMER1 induced carcinogenic effects on lidocaine-induced CRC cells. HEY1 is a downstream effector of Notch signaling, which initiate is related to the modulation of pathological processes. Additionally, HEY1 was found to act as an oncogene in the tumorigenesis of CRC. In the current study, we also found miR-138-5p/HEY1 axis involved in the anticancer activity of lidocaine on CRC cells.

However, the data presented are based on a limited number of cell or animal experiments, regarding the shortcomings of the present study, the function of lidocaine and circHOMER1 in healthy cell lines should be examined before the application of them to clinical use to ensure the safety and efficiency, and then a larger cohort of the disease is necessary to validate these conclusion.

In conclusion, this study demonstrated that lidocaine inhibited CRC cell viability and aerobic glycolysis, but induced apoptosis in vitro and impeded tumor growth in vivo through circHOMER1/miR-138-5p/HEY1 axis, indicating new avenues for the development of anticancer therapies in CRC.
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