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The spectral properties of itinerant 2D systems with (nearly) ferromagnetic ground state are studied within the spin-fermion and the classical s-d exchange models. While the former model describes the effect of collective magnetic excitations on the electronic properties, the latter one considers the effect of local moments. We use the equation of motion approach combined with the $1/M$ and $1/z$ expansions ($M$ is the number of spin components, $z$ is the coordination number) to investigate spectral functions. In both models the spectrum splitting occurs at low temperatures $T$ in the renormalized classical regime due to strong magnetic fluctuations. For the interaction $J$ between electronic and magnetic degrees of freedom comparable to the bandwidth (the intermediate-coupling regime) the $1/z$ expansion predicts full splitting of electronic spectrum below some temperature $T_c$. At the same time, the spectrum remains only partly split in the $1/M$ expansion with the quasiparticle structure which becomes fully coherent at low $T$.

I. INTRODUCTION

The narrow-band d-systems pose the problem of magnetism of electronic systems, where the electron-electron interactions are comparable to the bandwidth. Two different points of view on magnetic excitations in such systems can be considered. On one hand, magnetic excitations can be treated as collective excitations of itinerant electrons\cite{1}. On the other hand, in many d- and especially f-electron systems one can consider localized (magnetic) and itinerant excitations as two independent degrees of freedom, interacting with each other.

The latter viewpoint is convenient, e.g., for transition metals containing both conducting and localized electrons. For the description of such systems the s-d exchange model was introduced about 50 years ago by Vonsovskii\cite{2}. The corresponding one-impurity model was applied later for the explanation of the Kondo effect\cite{3}; the periodic version of this model was used for the description of the anomalous properties of strongly-correlated f-electron systems, e.g., heavy-fermion compounds\cite{4}. The strong-coupling (“double-exchange”) limit of the s-d model was applied for treating the interaction between localized moments and current carriers in a narrow d-band in ferromagnetic semiconductors (e.g., chalcogenide spinels)\cite{5} and manganates\cite{6,7}.

The strong interaction between the itinerant and localized degrees of freedom in the above-mentioned class of systems can lead to incoherent structures in the spectral functions, in particular, the gap in electronic spectrum can arise due to local correlations. Such a situation occurs e.g. in the s-d exchange model which shows a metal-insulator transition with increasing the interaction of conduction electrons with localized spins\cite{8}. In more than three dimensions the local $d \to \infty$ picture\cite{9} is expected to give a qualitatively correct description of the physics near the metal-insulator transition, while the situation in three dimensions may be more involved. In particular, it was proposed that the appreciable short-range magnetic order in $d = 3$ may lead to the magnetic splitting above the Curie temperature $T_C$\cite{10,11}. Such a splitting, which can not be described within the local theory, was presumably observed in strong itinerant magnets Fe and Ni\cite{12}.

At the same time, in the peculiar $d = 2$ (or quasi-two-dimensional) case which is physically relevant for the layered magnetic systems with small interlayer hopping (layered manganites, cuprates, and other compounds with the perovskite structure), the strong short-range magnetic order takes place. It is especially pronounced in the so-called “renormalized classical” temperature regime which arises above the magnetically ordered ground state and is characterized by an exponentially large correlation length $\xi \propto \exp(A/T)$ ($A$ is some constant)\cite{13}. Strong magnetic correlations in this regime result in the structure of the electronic spectrum, which is similar to that in the ordered phase\cite{14,15,16}. As a result, a quasi-splitting of the Fermi surfaces or a pseudogap can occur at low enough temperatures due to ferromagnetic (FM)\cite{17} or antiferromagnetic (AFM)/charge density wave (CDW) fluctuations\cite{18}. These features of spectral functions have clearly different nature as compared to the above-discussed Mott gap, since the finite ($d = 2$) dimension is crucial for their appearance; they are also expected to be relevant for quasi-2D systems with small interlayer hopping above the magnetic transition temperature.

Recently, the pseudogap structures have been observed in layered manganite compound La$_{1.2}$Sr$_{1.8}$Mn$_2$O$_7$\cite{19}. These structures are present both above and below $T_C$ and possibly originate from the CDW fluctuations\cite{7}. The FM fluctuations however may be responsible for the part of the pronounced shift ($\sim 250$ meV) of the spectral weight maxima off the Fermi level above the Curie temperature.

The separation of conduction and localized magnetic degrees of freedom can be also “dynamic” and arise in...
purely itinerant electronic systems due to strong short-range magnetic order. In particular, it was pointed out that even purely itinerant electronic systems in the regime of strong magnetic correlations can be described by an effective classical s-d model [20].

To treat the effect of collective magnetic degrees of freedom on the electronic properties and describe properties of 2D systems near magnetic quantum phase transitions, another, spin-fermion model, was introduced [20, 21, 22]. Although this model was originally proposed as a phenomenological model for systems with strong antiferromagnetic (AFM) fluctuations, the systems with strong ferromagnetic (FM) fluctuations can be treated within this model as well [23, 24].

The comparison of the spectral properties of systems where magnetic fluctuations are induced by local moments (described within the s-d model) or collective magnetic fluctuations (described within the spin-fermion model) in the regime of strong magnetic fluctuations is of certain interest. The spectral properties of the 2D systems with strong magnetic fluctuations were investigated previously within the spin-fermion model in the Eliashberg approximation [22, 23], which neglects vertex corrections and in the quasistatic approach, performing summation of all the types of diagrams, but neglecting the effect of dynamic spin fluctuations [21, 24].

Contrary to the spin-fermion model, where the length of the spin vector describing magnetic degrees of freedom at each lattice site is not fixed, the s-d model considers fixed spin length. The result for the spectral weight $A(k_F, \nu) \sim \nu^2$ in the zero-bandwidth limit of the spin-fermion model [21, 24] shows that the spectral weight vanishes at $\nu = 0$ only, and a true metal-insulator transition is not expected to occur. Such a transition is however expected to be described by the s-d model [27, 26, 27].

Treating of electronic properties near this transition is reminiscent of the problem of considering the effect of magnetic fluctuations in strongly-correlated Hubbard model. Although a number of approaches were proposed recently which extend the dynamical mean-field theory (DMFT) [6] to account for the short-range [28] and the long-range [29, 30, 31, 32] correlations, the development of analytical approaches to this problem is also of certain interest.

To study the magnetic fluctuations in the regime of the intermediate and strong interaction between localized and itinerant subsystems, the $1/z$ expansion, where $z$ is the coordination number, can be used. This approach has been applied before to the ferro- and paramagnetic s-d model (see Refs. [8, 25, 26, 27]) as well as to the paramagnetic state in the Hubbard model [25, 33]. Its extension to describe the paramagnetic state with strong magnetic correlations is of certain interest.

Another promising candidate for non-perturbative description of strongly-correlated electronic systems is the Ward-identity approach [24]. Although this approach was quite successful in describing the strongly FM ordered state [35], its generalization to the weak FM and paramagnetic situation meets difficulties, since the contribution of longitudinal spin fluctuations, which should be taken into account in these cases, makes the system of equations for the electronic self-energy and electron-(para)magnon vertices not closed.

This difficulty was overcome in a recently proposed combination of the Ward-identity approach with the $1/M$-expansion [17] ($M$ is the number of spin components, $M = 3$ for the s-d and Hubbard model). The use of the $1/M$ expansion gives a possibility to truncate the hierarchy of integral equations for the self-energy and electron-magnon vertices. To apply this method to a broader class of models, we consider in the present paper its generalization - the equation of motion approach combined with the $1/M$ expansion. Contrary to the $1/z$ expansion, which considers equations of motion for Hubbard operators, this method is applied to fermionic operators, which allows us to describe both weak- and strong-coupling regimes, as well as the crossover between them.

The plan of the paper is the following. In Sect. II we present the theoretical models describing interaction of electronic and magnetic degrees of freedom in strongly-correlated systems. In Sect. III we formulate the $1/z$ expansion for the s-d model. In Sect. IV we use the equation of motion method to derive the self-consistent equations for the self-energy and electron-magnon vertices within the $1/M$ expansion, the details of the derivation being presented in the Appendix. In Sect. V we investigate the electronic self-energy, spectral functions and electron-magnon vertices in different regimes. Finally, in Sect. VI we summarize the main results of the paper.

II. THE MODEL

We consider a correlated electronic system with itinerant and localized-moment subsystems, which is described by the generating functional

$$Z[\eta] = \int D[c, c^\dagger] D[S] \exp \{-S[c, S]/T - \langle c^\dagger c, \eta \rangle \}$$

(1)

where the fields $c$ and $c^\dagger$ correspond to the electronic and spin degrees of freedom, respectively. The action $S[c, S]$ has the form

$$S[c, S] = iT \int_0^{1/T} dt \sum_i A(S_i) \frac{\partial S_i}{\partial \tau} + \sum_k \left( i \nu_n - \varepsilon_k \right) c^\dagger_k c_k + \sum_q R_q S_{-q} + J \sum_{kk'\sigma\sigma'} S_{k-k'} \sigma \sigma' c^\dagger_{k\sigma} c_{k'\sigma}$$

(2)

where first three terms describe the electronic and spin subsystems, the last term proportional to $J$ corresponds
to the interaction between them; \( q = (q, i\omega_n) \), \( k = (k, i\nu_n) \), \( \omega_n = 2\pi nT \) and \( \nu_n = (2n + 1)\pi T \) are bosonic and fermionic Matsubara frequencies, \( \varepsilon_k \) is the electronic spectrum, \( \sigma, \sigma' \) are the Pauli matrices, the term proportional to \( R_q \) describes an indirect spin-spin exchange. Below we suppose that this exchange is ferromagnetic, i.e. \( R_{\text{q},0} \) has its minimum at \( \text{q} = 0 \).

Two different versions of the model (2) are considered in the paper. The first version, which is referred to as “s-d type models” has the measure of integration over the field \( S \)

\[
D[\mathbf{S}] = D_{\text{sd}}[\mathbf{S}] = \prod_i \delta(S_i^2 - S^2) d^3 S_i , \tag{3}
\]

so that the length of the field \( S \) is fixed to \( S \). This is, in particular, the case for the lattice analog of the standard s-d exchange model [2] (i.e. Kondo-lattice model). In this case the vector potential \( A(S) \) describes the precession of free spin and satisfies the equation \( \nabla_S \times A(S) \cdot S = 1, \nabla S = (\partial \partial S_x, \partial \partial S_y, \partial \partial S_z) \). In the classical limit \( S \to \infty \) this term fixes the field \( S \) to be static, \( \partial S / \partial \tau = 0 \), cf. Ref. [30]. For the s-d type of models the term proportional to \( R_q \) in Eq. (2) can be considered as arising, e.g., from the indirect spin-spin RKKY exchange.

The model (2) with \( D[\mathbf{S}] = D_{\text{sd}}[\mathbf{S}] \) and \( A(S) = 0 \) (which is referred to as an effective s-d model in the following) can be naturally obtained from the Hubbard model in the renormalized classical regime [16]. The quantity \( R_q \) is determined in this mapping by the RPA (random-phase approximation) expression for the inverse susceptibility in the ordered phase [16].

Another version of the model (2) we consider is the spin-fermion model [20, 21, 22] with

\[
D[\mathbf{S}] = \prod_i d^3 S_i , \quad A(S) = 0 \tag{4}
\]

Contrary to the s-d model, the field \( S \) describes in this case three independent Bose-like fields, i.e. corresponding operators \( S_q^\dagger S_p \) with different \( a \) commute with each other. The spin-fermion model can also be considered as that representing the low-energy electron and spin excitations of the Hubbard model in the quantum-critical regime [22, 37]. The quantity \( R_q \) corresponds in this case to the inverse susceptibility \( \chi_q^{-1} \) and enters as a phenomenological input parameter of the spin-fermion model.

Both the static case \( \partial S / \partial \tau = 0 \) and the case \( A(S) = 0 \) allow the generalization of the model (2) to \( M \)-component spins \( \mathbf{S}_i = (S_{i1}, ..., S_{iM}) \). This generalization will be used throughout the paper to perform the \( 1/M \)-expansion of the self-energy and electron-magnon vertices.

For purely static \( R_q = \delta_{ab} R_q \) the s-d and spin-fermion models are described by the Hamiltonian

\[
H = \sum_k \varepsilon_k c_k^\dagger \sigma \sigma' c_k^\sigma \sigma' + \sum_q R_q \delta_q S_q \hat{S}_q + H_{\text{int}}, \tag{5}
\]

\[
H_{\text{int}} = -J \sum_{kk'} \hat{S}_{k-k'} \sigma \sigma' c_{k'}^\dagger \sigma' c_k^\sigma \sigma'.
\]

Here the Fourier transformed operators \( \hat{S}_q \) which correspond to the fields \( \mathbf{S}_i \) in the continuum integral formalism, obey the standard \( SU(2) \) commutation relations and the condition \( S^2 = S(S+1) \) for the s-d model, while the components of \( \hat{S} \) commute with each other and \( \hat{S}^2 \) is not fixed in the spin-fermion model. In the present paper we discuss only the classical limit of the s-d model with commuting \( \hat{S} \)-operators, so that the difference between these two models is only in the additional restriction for the \( \hat{S}^2 \) for the s-d model (the quantum s-d model with the non-commuting spin operators will be considered elsewhere).

For definiteness we assume \( J > 0 \); the results in the classical limit are the same for positive and negative \( J \).

### III. THE 1/z EXPANSION FOR THE s-d MODEL

The \( 1/z \) expansion considers perturbation theory around the atomic limit of the model (\( \varepsilon_k = \text{const} \)). The corresponding sequence of equations of motion for the electronic Green’s function was considered in Refs. [25, 26]. To zeroth order in \( 1/z \) we obtain the result of the Hubbard-I approximation

\[
G_k(\nu) = \frac{1}{F_0(\nu) - \varepsilon_k} \tag{6}
\]

where \( F_0(\nu) = \nu - I^2/\nu, I = JS \). To first order in \( 1/z \) one has to replace \( F_0(\nu) \to F_k(\nu) \)

\[
F_k(\nu) = \nu \left[ 1 + \frac{J^2MT}{\nu} \sum_q \chi_q \frac{\chi_{q+k}}{F_k(\nu) - \varepsilon_{k+q}} \right]^{-1} \tag{7}
\]

and \( \chi_q \) is the non-uniform spin susceptibility of the model [5]. Despite the result (7) is obtained within the strong-coupling expansion of the s-d model, it reproduces correctly in the second order in \( J \) the corresponding perturbation theory result for the electronic self-energy [3].

The result (7) does not guarantee, however, correct analytical properties of the electronic Green’s function at arbitrary \( J \). To obtain analytical results, we consider self-consistent approximation of Hubbard-III type [21, 20]

\[
F_k(\nu) = \frac{1 + \left[ F_0(\nu) - F_k(\nu) \right] \tilde{G}_k(\nu)}{1 + \left[ \nu - F_k(\nu) \right] \tilde{G}_k(\nu)} \tag{8}
\]

where

\[
\tilde{G}_k(\nu) = \frac{MT}{S^2} \sum_q \chi_q \frac{\chi_{q+k}}{F_k(\nu) - \varepsilon_{k+q}}. \tag{9}
\]

The solution of the Eq. (8) yields

\[
F_k(\nu) = \nu + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{1 + 4\tilde{G}_k^2(\nu)} \tag{10}
\]
The result for the electronic self-energy $\Sigma_k(\nu) = \nu - \hat{F}_k(\nu)$, obtained from the Eq. (10) with $\chi_q = S^2/T$, coincides with the result of the solution of single-impurity model of the dynamical mean-field theory (DMFT) for the classical s-d model, the Eq. (9) serving then as a self-consistency condition.

IV. THE SELF-CONSISTENT EQUATIONS FOR THE SELF-ENERGY AND VERTICES WITHIN THE 1/M EXPANSION

To find the electronic self-energy in the model within the 1/M expansion, we consider the equation of motion for the fermionic operator $\hat{c}_k(\tau)$ in the Heisenberg representation (see, e.g. Ref. [38])

$$(\partial/\partial \tau + \varepsilon_k)\hat{c}_k(\tau) = [H_{\text{int}}, \hat{c}_k](\tau)$$

where we have used the notation $F_k = \chi_k - \chi_{k,\sigma}$. Applying this equation to the electronic Green’s function, we find the expression for the electronic self-energy

$$\Sigma_k = \frac{1}{J} \sum_q \langle \Gamma_{k,q}^{\dagger} + \Gamma_{k,q} \rangle G^0_{k+q}$$

where we have used the notation

$$\sum_q' \equiv T J^2 \sum_q \sum_n.$$ 

The vertices $\Gamma$ describe scattering of an itinerant electron by the magnetic excitation (cf. Ref. [34]),

$$\Gamma_{k,q}^m = \int d\tau_1 d\tau_2 e^{i\nu_n (\tau_1 - \tau_2)} G^0_{k+q}(\tau_2) \Gamma_{k,q}^m(0) G^{-1}_{k+q}((\tau_1 - \tau_2))^{-1}$$

$T[...]$ stands for the imaginary-time ordering, $G^0_k$ and $G_k$ are the bare and full fermionic Green’s functions, respectively, $m = +, -, or z$. Note that, despite the similarity of the definition of the vertex (13) with that used for the Hubbard model in Ref. [34], $\hat{S}^\dagger$ denotes in our case the spin-subsystem operator rather than the spin-density operator of itinerant electrons.

The vertices (13) can be found by applying equation of motion (11) once more. For the following consideration, it is convenient to introduce the corresponding one-particle irreducible vertex with amputated bosonic and fermionic leg (cf. Ref. [34])

$$\gamma_{k,q}^m = G^0_{k+q} \Gamma_{k,q}^m / (J \chi_q^m G_{k+q})$$

where $\chi_q^m$ is the dynamic spin susceptibility. The equation of motion relates the vertices (14) to the one-particle irreducible vertices of the interaction of the electron with two (para-) magnons. This vertex is in turn related to the vertex of an electron interaction with three magnons and so on (see Appendix for additional details). In the following we denote the vertex of an interaction of an electron with $n$ paramagnons as $\gamma_{k,q_1,...,q_n}^m$ where $m_j = +, -, or z$ are the paramagnon spin indices, $k$ is the vector of the incoming fermion momentum and frequency, $q_1,...,q_n$ are the vectors of the paramagnon momenta and frequencies. To truncate the resulting hierarchy of equations for the vertices, we follow the approach of Ref. [17] and retain only the terms which contribute to the self-energy in the zeroth and first order in 1/M (see Appendix).

The resulting system of equations for the self-energy and vertices reads

$$\Sigma_k = M \sum_q \gamma_{k+q} G_{k+q} \chi_q$$

$$\gamma_k = 1 + \sum_q' \left[ (2 - M) \gamma_{k+q}^2 G^2_{k+q} + \gamma_{k+q} \gamma_{k+q}^z G_{k+q} \right] \chi_q$$

$$\gamma_{k,z} = M \sum_q' \left[ 2 \gamma_{k+q}^3 G_{k+q}^3 + \gamma_{k+q} \gamma_{k+q}^z G_{k+q}^2 \right] \chi_q$$

$$\gamma_{k,z} = -2M \sum_q' \left[ \gamma_{k+q}^4 G_{k+q}^4 + \gamma_{k+q}^z \gamma_{k+q} G_{k+q}^3 \right] \chi_q$$

where $G_k = [\nu_n - \varepsilon_k - \Sigma_k]^{-1}$ is the full (dressed) electronic Green’s function, $\gamma_{k+q}^m = \gamma_{k+q}^m G_{k+q}$ are the electron-paramagnon vertices at zero paramagnon momenta, $\gamma_k = \gamma_{k;0} = \gamma_{k;0}^z, \chi_q = \chi_q^0$, $\alpha = 0$ for the spin-fermion model and $\alpha = -2$ for the s-d model.

Comparing Eqs. (15) with the result (10) of the 1/z expansion we see that these expansions treat vertex corrections in different ways. While the 1/M expansion treats accurately the momentum dependence of the vertices, the “average” vertex of the 1/z expansion interpolates between the weak- and strong coupling regimes. Both approaches, however, are expected to be applicable in the weak- and intermediate coupling regime. Below we consider the result of application of these approaches to calculation of spectral functions in the s-d and spin-fermion models.

V. THE RESULTS FOR THE SPECTRAL FUNCTIONS, SELF-ENERGY, AND VERTICES

Equations (15) give a possibility to investigate the evolution of the spectral properties with varying electron-spin coupling $J$ and the strength of magnetic correlations. For practical calculations, one has to specify an explicit form of the magnetic susceptibility $\chi_q$. In the following we consider the low-temperature regime with strong magnetic fluctuations, where we employ an ansatz

$$\chi_q = \frac{1}{M} |q|^2 \frac{A}{q^2 + \xi^2 \delta_0}, \quad |q| < 1$$

(16)
for the classical s-d exchange model and
\[
\chi_q = \frac{1}{M} \frac{A}{q^2 + \xi^{-2} + r|\omega_n|/|q|}, \quad |\omega_n|/v_F \ll |q| \ll 1
\]
for the effective s-d and the spin-fermion models (we have picked out explicitly the factor 1/M for further convenience). While the constant \( A \) is determined by the stiffness of spin excitations and can be arbitrary, the correlation length \( \xi \) for the s-d type models is chosen to fulfill the sum rule
\[
MT \sum_q \chi_q = S^2
\]
(17)

For the spin-fermion model the correlation length is an independent parameter, which, however, can be related to the quantity \( \Delta \) according to
\[
MT \sum_q \chi_{(q,0)} = (\Delta/J)^2
\]
(19)

For the ferromagnetically ordered ground state \( \Delta \) is expected to be almost temperature independent at low \( T \). We also consider the high-temperature regime with \( \chi_q = S^2/(MT)\delta_{q0} \).

Note that the ansatz (16) and (17) neglects the non-analytical corrections to the spin susceptibility [40] which are expected to be not too important in the renormalized classical regime.

A. \( T = 0 \) and high-temperature results

We start investigating the solutions of Eqs. (9) and (13) from the \( \xi \to \infty \) (\( T \to 0 \)) limit. In this case the dominant contribution to momenta sums comes from the vicinity of \( q = 0 \) point. Neglecting the momentum and frequency transfer \( q \) in the Green's functions and the vertices in the Eqs. (9) and (15) we obtain for the s-d model in the both 1/z and 1/M expansion,
\[
\Sigma_k = \frac{I^2}{\varphi}, \quad \gamma_k = 1 - \frac{I^2}{\varphi^2}
\]
(20)

where \( \varphi = \nu - \varepsilon_k + i0. \) The result (20) with \( \varphi = \nu \) coincides with that in the atomic limit of the classical s-d model, where the spin dynamics can be indeed neglected and there are two energy levels at \( \nu = \pm I \) for parallel and antiparallel projections of the spins of itinerant electron and localized moment. In the \( \xi \to \infty \) case the two poles of the Green's function correspond to the splitting of the Fermi surface by strong magnetic fluctuations.

The result (20) can be compared to the corresponding result of the 1/M expansion for the spin-fermion model [21]:
\[
\Sigma_k = \frac{M}{2} \left( \Delta_0^2 + \sqrt{\varphi^2 - \alpha_1 \Delta_0^2 \sqrt{\varphi^2 - \alpha_2 \Delta_0^2}} \right)
\]
\[
\gamma_k = \frac{M}{2} \left( \Delta_0^2 + \sqrt{\varphi^2 - \alpha_1 \Delta_0^2 \sqrt{\varphi^2 - \alpha_2 \Delta_0^2}} \right)
\]
(21)

where \( \Delta_0 = \Delta(T \to 0), \alpha_{1,2} = 1 + 4(1 \pm \sqrt{1 + M/2})/M; \) the branch \( \Im\sqrt{z} \geq 0 \) of the square roots is chosen to guarantee the correct analytical properties for \( \Sigma \) and \( \gamma \). The result (21) yields also the two-peak structure of the spectral function with peaks at \( \nu \sim \pm \Delta_0 \) and a finite gap \( \Delta_{sf} \sim \Delta_0/2 \) at the Fermi level. As discussed in Refs. [21, 24], the finite gap in the spectral function in this case is however an artifact of the first-order approximation in 1/M, since the actual spectral function in the atomic limit has a behavior at small frequencies \( A(\nu) \sim |\nu|^{M-1} \) which is non-analytic in 1/M (see Refs. [21, 24]). However, as it will be shown below, the gap disappears quickly at finite \( \xi \), making the situation in this case more favorable for the application of 1/M expansion.

Now we study the solutions to the equations (15) at finite \( \xi \) (and \( T \)). At high temperatures the correlation length is small and the \( q \)-dependence of the magnetic susceptibility can be neglected, \( \chi_q = S^2/(MT)\delta_{q0} \). The criterion for this behavior is \( T \gg J^2/v_F \) for the s-d exchange model and \( T \gg v_F \) for the spin-fermion model (\( v_F \) is the Fermi velocity). The latter criterion is never fulfilled in real systems (which is a consequence of the fact that the local moments are not formed in the spin-fermion model, i.e. the magnetism formation mechanism is essentially Stoner-like), so that we consider the s-d model only. In the abovementioned limit we obtain in the 1/z expansion
\[
\Sigma_\nu = \left[ \sqrt{1 + 4I^2(G_{\nu}^{loc})^2} - 1 \right]/(2G_{\nu}^{loc})
\]
(22a)
\[
G_{\nu}^{loc} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \rho_0(\nu')(|\nu' - \Sigma_\nu|^{-1}) \]
(22b)

where \( \rho_0(\nu) \) is the non-interacting density of states for the dispersion \( \varepsilon_k \). As mentioned in Sect. III, Eq. (22a) coincides with the result of the solution of the corresponding impurity model of DMFT, while Eq. (22b) is the self-consistency condition. The result (22) is correctly reproduced by the 1/M expansion in the \( d \to \infty \) limit which is obtained by replacing the non-local Green's functions by the local one, \( G_{\nu}^{loc} = \sum_k G_k \). At finite \( d \) this expansion leads, however, to different result, which we do not consider in this paper.

The evolution of the self-energy \( \Sigma_\nu \), the spectral function at the Fermi surface \( A(\nu) = -\Im G_{k,\nu}/\nu \), and the density of states \( \rho(\nu) = -\Im G_{\nu}^{loc}/\pi \) with increasing \( I \) calculated according to Eq. (22) for the Bethe (semi-elliptic) bare density of states \( \rho_0(\nu) = 2\sqrt{D^2 - \nu^2}/(\pi D^2) \)
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FIG. 1: The spectral functions (a), the interacting density of states ρ(ν) (b), and real and imaginary parts of the self-energy (c,d) of the s-d model in the high-temperature regime at I = 0.1νF, n = 1...5 (a,b) (from below to above), n = 2...7 (c) and n = 1...6 (d) (from above to below).

is shown in Fig. 1 (D is half of the bandwidth). Although the spectral functions have one-peak structure at small enough I, one can observe that the quasiparticle picture is in fact invalid at arbitrarily small I since the real part of the self-energy has positive slope and |ImΣν| is maximum at the Fermi level. These features are very similar to those observed earlier in the spin-fermion model in the presence of strong magnetic correlations [24], although their physical origin in the present case is different. Above a critical value I > Ic = 0.5D both the real and imaginary parts of the self-energy diverge at the Fermi level and the spectral functions have the two-peak structure. The density of states ρ(ν) acquires a gap at the Fermi level above Ic, which corresponds to a metal-insulator transition.

### B. Low-temperature results in the weak-coupling regime

Now we investigate the low-temperature regime with large correlation length ξ and the susceptibility ansatz [10] or [17]. First we consider the contribution of the static spin fluctuations with zero bosonic Matsubara frequencies ωn = 0 only (so-called static approximation). The effect of the dynamic spin fluctuations with non-zero bosonic Matsubara frequencies, which are less important in the renormalized classical regime, is considered below. In the static approximation and for the nearly constant Fermi velocity vF in the vicinity of the Fermi momentum kF, both the self-energy and vertices are actually functions of iωn − εk only:

\[
\Sigma_k = \Sigma(i\omega_n - \epsilon_k); \quad \gamma_k^{m_1...m_n} = \gamma^{m_1...m_n}(i\omega_n - \epsilon_k) \quad (23)
\]

The integration over momenta can be then simplified by introducing an auxiliary variable a = vFq(kF/kF) (cf. Ref. [24]),

\[
T \sum_q (\gamma_k^{m_1...m_n})^p G_{k+q}^n \chi_a = \frac{AT}{4\pi M} \int_{-D}^D da \sqrt{a^2 + i\nu_F^2} \xi^{-2} \times \frac{[\gamma^{m_1...m_n}(\nu - a)]^p}{[\nu - a - \Sigma(\nu - a) + i0]^n} \quad (24)
\]

where we have performed analytical continuation iωn → ν + i0 and restricted the integration over a by ±D to account for the effect of finite band width.

To solve Eqs. (9), (10), and (15) numerically we parametrize the energy dependence of the self-energy Σ(ν) and vertices \(\gamma^{m_1...m_n}(\nu)\) by a set of values at the points \(\nu_r\) suitably chosen on the real axis (we choose \(N_e \sim 800 \div 1000\) and iterate these equations with some initial condition until the convergence is reached. In the following we put \(A = 1/v_F\) for definiteness.

First we consider the results of the solution of these equations for the s-d model. The frequency dependence of the self-energy Σν and the spectral functions within the 1/z expansion is shown in Fig. 2, the corresponding results for the self-energy, spectral functions and the vertex \(\gamma_\nu\) within the 1/M expansion are shown in Fig. 3.

One can observe that the form of the spectral functions in 1/z and 1/M expansions is similar. In particular, we find that the imaginary part of the self-energy has a Lorentz-like form with \(|Im\Sigma(0)| \sim T\xi\), the real part of the self-energy acquires a large positive slope at the Fermi level, \(\partial Re\Sigma/\partial\nu \sim T\xi^2\), so that the quasiparticle picture is invalid. With decreasing inverse correlation length to the values \(\xi^{-1} \sim \Delta_0/v_F\), the structure of the spectral functions changes from the one-peak to two-peak form. At \(\xi^{-1} < \xi^{-1}\) the electronic spectrum and corresponding Fermi-surface are quasi-split due to strong magnetic fluctuations.

These results are similar to the previously obtained results for the spin-fermion model within the quasistatic approach, Ref. [18, 21, 24]. The results of the 1/M-expansion for the spin-fermion model are shown in Fig. 4. At large ξ we obtain \(\Delta = [(AT/4\pi) \ln(D\xi/v_F)]^{1/2}\), which approaches a constant value \(\Delta_0\) (which is
the analog of the interaction $I$ in the s-d model) in the $T \to 0$ limit in the renormalized-classical regime. The qualitative behavior of the self-energy, spectral functions and vertices is very similar to those of Fig. 3 for the s-d model and the results of Ref. [24]. In particular, the spectral functions have two-peak form at small enough $\xi^{-1}$ with the peaks being, however, wider than for the s-d model.

Now we consider the effect of the dynamic spin fluctuations with nonzero bosonic Matsubara frequencies. In the approximation of constant Fermi velocity $v_F$ the self-energy and vertices depend on the difference $iv_n - \epsilon_k$. After calculation of the self-energy at the imaginary-frequency axis we use the Padé approximants to perform analytical continuation of the results to the real axis [33]. Alternatively, one can perform calculations directly on the real axis, the results of both approaches being almost identical. The integration over momenta in Eqs. [15] can be performed similar to Eq. [24],

$$
T \sum_q \sum_{\omega_n} \langle \gamma_{k+q} \gamma_n \rangle^n G_{k+q}^n = \frac{AT}{4\pi M}
$$

$$
\times \sum_{\omega_n} \int_{D/v_F}^D da f_{\omega_n}(a) \frac{[i \nu_n + i \omega_n - a - \Sigma(i \nu_n + i \omega_n - a)]^n}{[i \nu_n + i \omega_n - a - \Sigma(i \nu_n + i \omega_n - a)]^n}
$$

$$
f_{\omega_n}(a) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{|a|/v_F}^{D/v_F} \frac{dq}{\sqrt{q^2 - (a/v_F)^2}} \frac{A}{q^2 + \xi^{-2} + r|\omega_n|/q}
$$

The results for the self-energy and spectral functions in the renormalized classical regime of the effective s-d model with different bandwidth are shown in Fig.

5. Although the low-energy behavior of the self-energy and spectral functions (Figs. 5a,b) is similar to that found in the static approximation (Fig. 3), the self-energy at higher frequencies $\Delta_0 \ll |\nu| \ll D$ behaves as $\Sigma(\nu) \sim \nu^{2/3}$. At very high frequencies $|\nu| \gtrsim D$ the behavior of the self-energy $\Sigma(\nu) \sim 1/\nu$ is restored. The results for the spin-fermion model with the dynamic spin fluctuations are shown in Fig. 6 and have the same qualitative frequency dependence as for the effective s-d model; the low-energy behavior of the spectral functions (Figs. 6c,d) is close to the corresponding results of the static approximation, Fig. 4. Therefore, the effect of dynamic spin fluctuations in the renormalized classical regime is not pronounced, and the static approximation describes correctly the low-energy behavior of the self-energy and spectral functions.

A treatment of the dynamic spin fluctuations with nonzero bosonic Matsubara frequencies is necessary to consider the self-energy and spectral functions in the quantum critical regime. Although in that case the ansatz for the susceptibility [16] may not be correct because of the possible non-analytic corrections [40], it is still interesting to investigate the self-energy and spectral functions in this regime with this ansatz to clarify the role of dynamic spin fluctuations. In the quantum-critical regime the quantity $\Delta_0 = [\langle AT^2/4\pi \rangle \ln[D\xi/v_F]^{1/2}$ becomes temperature-dependent itself and one has to employ additional ansatz for the temperature dependence of correlation length. According to the Hertz-Millis theory [41] (which is valid in the absence of non-analytical corrections to susceptibility),

$$
\xi^{-1} = B(T/v_F)^{1/2},
$$

for definiteness we put $B = 1$.

The resulting self-energy and spectral functions are shown in Fig. 7. The frequency dependence of these
the behavior of the self-energy $\Sigma(\nu)$, so that the quasiparticle picture is valid. However, at the Fermi level, the spectral functions having one-peak structure and the imaginary part has a minimum at the positive frequencies ($\nu$).

The spectral functions (a), the imaginary and real parts of the self-energy (b-d) of the effective s-d model in the renormalized classical regime with account of the dynamic spin fluctuations at the bandwidth $D = v_F$ (a-c) and $D = 5v_F$ (d), $I = 0.1v_F$, and different values of temperature.

FIG. 7: The spectral functions (a,c) and the imaginary and real parts of the self-energy (b,d) of the spin-fermion model in the quantum-critical regime for different values of the correlation length.

C. Low-temperature results in the intermediate-coupling regime

With increasing the interaction $I$ in the s-d model one approaches the metal-insulator transition. To obtain numerical solutions in this regime, it appears necessary to use smooth cutoff corresponding to the finite bandwidth, e.g. introducing the cutoff function

$$w(a) = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \tanh \frac{2|a-D|}{D} \right)$$

in the integration over $a$ in the Eq. (24) and extending the limits of integration from $-\infty$ to $\infty$. The frequency dependence of the spectral functions $A(\nu)$ and the self-energy $\Sigma(\nu)$, obtained from the $1/z$ expansion for $D/v_F = 2, 1, 0.7$ is shown in Fig. 8. One can see that

quantities is determined by the interplay of static and dynamic fluctuations. At small $\xi^{-1}$ the dynamic fluctuations dominate, the real part of the self-energy has negative slope and the imaginary part has a minimum at the Fermi level, the spectral functions having one-peak structure, so that the quasiparticle picture is valid. However, the behavior of the self-energy $\Sigma(\nu) \sim \nu^{2/3}$ at intermediate frequencies $(T_F v_F)^{1/2} \ll |\nu| \ll D$ leads to strong damping of the quasiparticles, similar to the charge instability case [42]. At larger $\xi^{-1}$ the static fluctuations start to dominate and the low-frequency behavior found in Ref. [24] in the quasistatic approximation is restored: the imaginary part of the self-energy acquires maximum at the Fermi level, the real part having a positive slope; this invalidates completely the quasiparticle picture. Although the two-peak structure of the spectral functions is formed at intermediate $\xi^{-1}$, only a structure with one broad peak survives with increasing $\xi^{-1}$. The observed behavior of the self-energy is also very similar to that found for the Pomeranchuk instability case in Ref. [42]. This shows that the vertex corrections (which are different in the cases of charge and spin instabilities) are not too important in the quantum critical regime.
being some constant. The finiteness of the temperature $\nu$, $\xi$, and vertices $A$, $\gamma$, also vanishes at small $\nu$ and $T < T_s$, except for the point $\nu = 0$, where it has a $\delta$-function contribution $-a\delta(\nu)$, a being some constant.

The results of the $1/M$ expansion for the spectral functions $A(\nu)$ and the self-energy $\Sigma(\nu)$, and vertices $\gamma$, at the Fermi level where $M = 3$ are shown in Fig. 9. One can observe that at not too low temperatures the results of $1/M$ expansion are similar to the results of $1/\xi$ expansion. At low temperatures the $1/M$ expansion shows finite spectral weight at the Fermi level, but has additional spikes of $\text{Im} \Sigma(\nu)$ at $|\nu| = \nu_c \simeq \Delta_0$, at some temperature $T'_s$ we find $\text{Im} \Sigma(\nu_c) = 0$, $\text{Re} \Sigma(\nu_c) = \nu_c$, so that the pole of the electronic Green's function shifts to the real axis. At $T < T'_s$ the solution to the equations (15) becomes non-analytical in the upper half-plane. Although small damping of electronic excitations is expected to appear in higher orders of the $1/M$-expansion, the temperature $T'_s$ seems to correspond to a crossover to the regime with strongly coherent excitations at new preformed Fermi surfaces.

VI. CONCLUSION

We have investigated the problem of splitting of the electronic spectrum due to coupling of electronic degrees of freedom to local magnetic moments and collective magnetic modes in itinerant systems. In both regimes of weak- and intermediate coupling of magnetic and electronic degrees of freedom the spectral functions at sufficiently low temperatures have a two-peak form, arising as a pre-splitting of the energy spectrum. In the intermediate-coupling regime, the width of the peaks in the classical s-d model tends to zero at the temperature $T'_s$ in the first order of the $1/M$-expansion, making the excitations at the preformed Fermi surfaces coherent at $T < T'_s$. Although a small damping of the electronic excitations is expected to appear at finite $T$ in higher orders of the $1/M$-expansion, it is not expected to change qualitatively the form of the spectral functions. In $1/\xi$ expansion we observe the full splitting of electronic spectra in the intermediate coupling regime at sufficiently low temperatures.

The dynamic spin fluctuations do not change qualitatively the low-frequency behavior of the spectral functions in the renormalized-classical regime at weak-coupling. These fluctuations are nevertheless important.
in the quantum-critical regime, where they lead to a rich behavior of the spectral functions, which is determined by the interplay of static and dynamic fluctuations.

A generalization of the results obtained in the present paper to the quantum s-d model is of great interest. This generalization will allow to describe analytically the formation of the Kondo resonance at the Fermi level near the metal-insulator transition in $d \to \infty$, as well as its interplay with magnetic degrees of freedom in finite-dimensional quantum Kondo lattices. Another problem, which is important for the theory of correlated magnetic metals, is the investigation of the local-moment formation and magnetic fluctuations in itinerant-electron systems (e.g., described by the Hubbard model).

We are grateful to P. Igoshev for careful reading of the manuscript. The research described was supported in part by Grant No. 4640.2006.2 (Support of Scientific Schools) and 07-02-01264a from the Russian Basic Research Foundation.

Appendix. The derivation of the equations for the self-energy and vertices

In this Appendix we consider the derivation of the system of integral equations for the self-energy and vertices. Using the equation of motion (11) we obtain

$$H_0(\partial_x)[T[c_x c_y S^m_1 S^m_2 S^m_3 ...] = \delta(x-y)$$

(28)

$$\times (T[S^m_2 S^m_3 S^m_4 ...] + J[T[c_x c_y (\sigma S_x) S^m_1 S^m_2 S^m_3 ...])$$

where $H_0(\partial_x)$ is $\partial_x + \varepsilon(\nabla)$, $x,y,a,b,$ and $c$ are the space-time coordinates. Translating this to the momentum-frequency space and combining the result with the Dyson equation $G^{-1} = -(H_0 + \Sigma)$ we find

$$\Sigma_k = TJ\sum_{q,m} \Gamma_k^{m} G^0_{k+q;+}$$

(29)

$$\Gamma_k^{m1...mn;\sigma\sigma'} = -G_k^{-1} \delta_{q_1+...+q_n} R_{k+q_1...q_n}^{m1...mn} - TJ$$

$$\times \sum_{q_{n+1},m_{n+1},\sigma''} \Gamma_{k+q_{n+1};m_{n+1},\sigma'';m_{n+1}}^{m1...mn;\sigma\sigma'}$$

$$\times G_{k+q_{n+1}+...+q_n;+}^{m^0}$$

where

$$G_k^0 = (i\nu_n - \varepsilon_k)^{-1},$$

$$\Gamma_{k+q_1...q_n}^{m1...mn;\sigma\sigma'} = -G_k^{-1}(G_{k+q_1+...+q_n})^{-1} \int_0^\beta \int_0^\beta d\tau_1...d\tau_n$$

$$\times (T[S_{q_1}(\tau_1)...S_{q_n}(\tau_n)] c_{k+q_1+...+q_n,\sigma(0)}) e^{i\nu_1 \tau_1+...+i\nu_n \tau_n}$$

(30)

$$\Sigma = \Gamma^z + \Gamma^{zz} + \Gamma^{zz}$$

$$\Sigma = \Gamma^z + \Gamma^{zz} + \Gamma^{zz}$$

FIG. 10: Diagram representation of Eqs. (33). Solid lines correspond to the bare electronic Green functions, the black circles stand for the one-particle reducible vertices (32), wiggly lines denote external legs of these vertices. The dashed circle correspond to the vertex $R_{q_1,q_2,q_3,q_4}^{m_1,m_2,m_3,m_4}$.

are the reducible vertices of the interaction of an electron with $n$ magnons,

$$R_{q_1...q_n}^{m_1...m_n} = \int_0^\beta d\tau_1...d\tau_n e^{i\nu_1 \tau_1+...+i\nu_n \tau_n}$$

$$\times \langle T[S_{q_1}^{m_1}(\tau_1)...S_{q_n}^{m_n}(\tau_n)] \rangle$$

(31)

is the spin correlation function.

To obtain the equations for 1-particle irreducible vertices we first define the connected vertices via the recursive relations

$$\Gamma_{k+q_1...q_n}^{m1...mn;\sigma\sigma'} = \Gamma_{k+q_1...q_n}^{m1...mn;\sigma\sigma'}$$

(32)

$$+ (G_k^0)^{-1} \delta_{q_1+...+q_n} R_{k+q_1...q_n}^{m1...mn}$$

$$- \sum_{s=2}^n \delta_{q_1+...+q_s} R_{k+q_1...q_s}^{m_1...m_s}$$

$$\times \Gamma_{k+q_{s+1}...q_n}^{m_{s+1}...mn;\sigma\sigma'}$$

where $I_s = \{i_r\}_{r=1}^s$ is the $s$-element ordered subset of $\{1...n\}$, $i_r | I_{s+1} = \{1...n\}\backslash I_s$, and the summation is taken over all subsets $I_s$. The equations for the vertices $\Gamma_{k+q_1...q_n}^{m1...mn;\sigma\sigma'}$ read

$$\Gamma_{k+q_1...q_n}^{m1...mn;\sigma\sigma'} = TJ \sum_{m_{n+1}} \{ \Gamma_{k+q_1...q_n}^{m1...m_{n+1}+1,\sigma\sigma'}$$

$$R_{k+q_1...q_n}^{m_1+1,m_{n+1}+1}$$

$$+ \sum_{s=1}^{n-1} \sum_{\sigma''} \Gamma_{k+q_1...q_s}^{m_1,...m_s,m_{n+1}+1,\sigma''}$$

$$\times G_{k+q_{s+1}...q_n;+}^{m_{n+1}+1,\sigma''}$$

$$\times \Gamma_{k+q_{s+1}...q_n}^{m_{s+1}...mn;\sigma''\sigma'}$$

(33)
where \( \tilde{R} \) denotes the connected part of \( R \). The diagram representation of the Eqs. (33) for \( n = 1 \ldots 3 \) and the Eq. (30) for the self-energy is shown in Fig. 11.

At the next step we express the vertices \( \Gamma_{k_1 q_1 \ldots q_n}^{m_1 m_2 m_3 m_4} \) through the corresponding one-particle irreducible vertices \( \gamma_{k_1 q_1 \ldots q_n}^{m_1 m_2 m_3 m_4} \) with the use of the Legendre transformation of the generating functional, see, e.g., Ref. [43]. To the first order in \( 1/M \) the equations for \( \Sigma_k, \gamma_{k q_1}, \gamma_{k q_1 q_2}, \) and \( \gamma_{k q_1 q_2 q_3}^{\perp} \) form closed system, see Fig. 11. The analytical form of these equations reads (below we omit the electronic spin indices of \( \gamma \) assuming that any of the equal nonzero spin components of the vertex is taken):

\[
\Sigma_k = M \sum_{q} \gamma_{k q} G_{k + q} \chi_q \tag{34}
\]

\[
\gamma_{k q_1} = 1 + \sum_{q_2} \left( (2 - M) \gamma_{k q_2} \gamma_{k + q_1 q_2} G_{k + q_2} \right) \frac{2}{k_{q_1 q_2}} \chi_{q_2} G_{k + q_1 + q_2} \tag{35}
\]

\[
\gamma_{k q_1 q_2}^{zz} = M \sum_{q_3} \left( G_{k + q_1 + q_2 + q_3} \chi_{q_3} \right) \times \left[ \gamma_{k q_3} \gamma_{k + q_3 q_1} \gamma_{k + q_3 + q_1} G_{k + q_3} G_{k + q_3 + q_1} + \gamma_{k q_3} \gamma_{k + q_3 + q_1} G_{k + q_3 + q_1} \right] \tag{36}
\]

\[
\gamma_{k q_1 q_2}^{zz \perp} = M \sum_{q_4} \left( G_{k + q_1 + q_2 + q_4} \chi_{q_4} \right) \times \left[ \gamma_{k q_4} \gamma_{k + q_4 q_1} \gamma_{k + q_4 + q_1} G_{k + q_4} G_{k + q_4 + q_1} + \gamma_{k q_4} \gamma_{k + q_4 + q_1} G_{k + q_4 + q_1} \right] \tag{37}
\]

\[
\Gamma_{q_1 q_2 q_3}^{zz} = -2 \left( \frac{2}{q_1 q_2 q_3} \right) \chi_q \chi_{q' + q_1 + q_2} \tag{39}
\]

FIG. 11: Diagram representation of the Eqs. (34) - (38). White circles stand for the one-particle irreducible vertices \( \gamma_{k q_1 \ldots q_n}^{m_1 m_2 m_3 m_4} \), dashed circles for the vertex \( \Gamma_{q_1 q_2 q_3}^{zz \perp} \). Bold solid lines correspond to the full electronic Green function, wiggly lines - to the magnetic susceptibility \( \chi_q \).

e.g., Ref. [21] the vertex (33) was neglected. For the flat density of states it indeed vanishes in the limit of zero bosonic frequencies. Although it was pointed out that this vertex is singular at nonzero external frequencies and \( T = 0 \) [44], this result is of possible relevance at finite temperatures in the quantum-critical regime only, since in the renormalized classical and high-temperature regimes the most important contribution to the self-energy and vertices comes from the terms with zero bosonic Matsubara frequencies. In this regime the vertex may be non-negligible for the non-constant (especially singular) density of states. At the same time, the vertex does not vanish even for the flat density of states in the s-d model. To find this vertex we apply the \( 1/M \)-expansion to the spin part of the model [45].

to zeroth order in \( 1/M \) we find

\[
\Sigma = \cdots
\]

\[
\gamma^z = \cdots
\]

\[
\gamma^{zz} = \cdots
\]

\[
\Gamma_{q_1 q_2}^{zz} = \cdots
\]

To perform numerical calculations we consider two cases (see also the main text): (i) the susceptibilities \( \chi_q \) are static and momentum-independent, \( \chi_q = \delta_{q\alpha} \chi \), the electronic Green’s functions also do not depend on the momentum, \( G_k = G_{i\nu_n} \) (high-temperature regime); (ii) the susceptibilities have sharp maximum of the width \( \xi^{-1} \) at \( q = 0 \), the momentum and frequency dependence of the electronic Green’s functions being arbitrary (low-temperature regime).

In both the cases we approximate in the equation for the vertex \( \Gamma_{k q_1 \ldots q_n}^{m_1 m_2 m_3 m_4} \) (\( n = 0 \) for the self-energy) the Green’s functions and vertices at \( q_i \neq 0 \) by the corresponding quantities at the maximum total 4-momentum \( k + q_1 + \ldots + q_n \).
... + qₙ, i.e. put

\[ \gamma_{k+q_{1}+\ldots+q_{n+1}}^{m_{1}+\ldots+m_{r}} \simeq \gamma_{k+q_{1}+\ldots+q_{n+1}}^{m_{1}+\ldots+m_{r}} \]

\[ G_{k+q_{1}+\ldots+q_{n+1}} \simeq G_{k+q_{1}+\ldots+q_{n+1}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (40)

In addition we use the approximation

\[ \sum_{q_{3}} f_{q_{1}:q_{3}}^{} X_{q_{1}+q_{3}}^{} X_{q_{3}}^{} \Gamma_{q_{1}+q_{3}}^{+ \rightarrow \pm} \simeq f_{q_{1}:q_{3}}^{} \sum_{q_{3}} \Gamma_{q_{1}+q_{3}}^{+ \rightarrow \pm} X_{q_{1}+q_{3}}^{} X_{q_{3}}^{} \]

\[ = -2 f_{q_{1}:q_{3}}^{} \]  \hspace{1cm} (41)

where \( f_{q_{1}:q_{3}} \) is some function of electronic Green’s functions and vertices, and we have taken into account that \( \Gamma_{q_{1}+q_{3}}^{+ \rightarrow \pm} \) depends in fact only on two first momenta.

Eqs. (40) and (41) are exact in the regime (i) where the electronic Green’s functions and vertices are momentum-independent and the bosonic Matsubara frequencies can be put to zero. In the regime (ii) the approximation (40) underestimates slightly the effect of magnetic correlations, but treats them qualitatively correct and becomes exact in the limit of infinite correlation length (where only vertices with the momentum \( q = 0 \) enter). Approximation (41) is even more accurate in the regime (ii), since the product \( X_{q_{1}+q_{3}}^{} X_{q_{3}}^{} \) is even stronger peaked at \( q_{3} = 0 \).

Applying (40) and (41) to (34)–(37) we obtain the system of equations (15) of the main text.
[37] P. Igoshev, A. A. Katanin, and V. Yu. Irkhin, E-print \texttt{arXiv:0709.3219}

[38] A. Zagoskin, \textit{Quantum Theory of Many-Body Systems}, New York; Heidelberg: Springer, 1998.

[39] H. J. Vidberg and J. W. Serene, J. Low Temp. Phys. \textbf{29}, 179 (1977).

[40] D. Belitz, T. R. Kirkpatrick, and T. Vojta, Phys. Rev. B \textbf{55}, 9452 (1997); A. V. Chubukov and D. L. Maslov, Phys. Rev. B \textbf{68}, 155113 (2003); A. V. Chubukov, C. Pepin, and J. Rech, Phys. Rev. Lett. \textbf{92}, 147003 (2004).

[41] A. J. Millis, Phys. Rev. B \textbf{48}, 7183 (1993).

[42] L. Dell’Anna and W. Metzner, Phys. Rev. B \textbf{73}, 045127 (2006).

[43] L. H. Ryder, \textit{Quantum Field Theory}, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1985.

[44] Ar. Abanov and A. Chubukov, Phys. Rev. Lett. \textbf{93}, 255702 (2004).

[45] A. V. Chubukov, S. Sachdev, and J. Ye, Phys. Rev. B \textbf{49}, 11919 (1994).