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Abstract

The noncommutative (NC) massive quantum electrodynamics in $2 + 1$ dimensions is considered. We show explicitly that the one-loop effective action arising from the integrating out the fermionic fields leads to the ordinary NC Chern-Simons and NC Maxwell action at the long wavelength limit (large fermion mass). In the next to leading order, the higher-derivative contributions to NC Chern-Simons are also obtained. The gauge invariance of the outcome action is also carefully discussed.
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1 Introduction

Low-dimensional field theories were recognized, a long time ago, as serving as laboratories where important theoretical ideas are tested in a simple setting, specially on condensed matter systems. Besides, these studies were also driven with the wishful thought that in a simpler setting we can learn useful things about the well-recognized four-dimensional problems. Furthermore, including to that, the fact that a field theory defined in a three-dimensional space-time contains a highly interesting inner structure, due to the odd space-time dimensionality, it is rather natural to investigate as theoretical options [1–4].

Due to the improvement concerning the precision of the measurements of experiments (LHC, ILC, etc) investigating particles properties, the possibility of observing direct evidence of new physics has captured and led to a major interest in the development and understanding of the physics in higher scales, for instance at Planck scale. A conscientious point in the majority of the analyses is that the nature of the space-time may change at Planck scale. This fact had strong influence in thinking that the physics at Planck scale may suitably be described by a noncommutativity between the coordinates of the space-time. Direct motivations supporting to consider models constructed on noncommutative space-time are many, and come from the string theory, quantum gravity, and Lorentz breaking [5–7].

In recent years, we have witnessed an enormous activity involving the development of noncommutative (NC) gauge theories in high-energy physics [5,8]. The noncommutativity of space-time, whose structure is determined by $[x^\mu, x^\nu] = i\theta^{\mu\nu}$, has provided a better understanding about the quantum nature of space-time. On the other hand, it has been also...
studied how noncommutativity affects established properties of conventional theories, i.e., studying NC extensions of well studied quantum field theories and to look then for NC effects on its deviations, since it is generally found that such extensions behave in a very interesting and nontrivial ways. Despite its close relation with the space-time structure, no consistent gravitational theory defined on noncommutative space-time has been established yet [9].

In fact, various studies in analysing three-dimensional gauge theories defined in a noncommutative space-time have uncovered deviations of known phenomena and interesting new properties of these theories [10–16]. One of the most exploited features of a three-dimensional gauge theory is that, in one side, if you started with a theory with massless fermionic fields interacting with Chern-Simons gauge fields, a mass is generated dynamically by radiative corrections; on the other side, if you started with a theory with massive fermionic fields interacting with an external gauge field, the Chern-Simons action is also induced by radiative corrections. These properties are usually related to nonperturbative phenomena due to the space-time dimensionality.

The generation of the Chern-Simons action in a noncommutative gauge theory was subject of several analyses, with the most different purposes [14,16–21]. However, no higher-derivative extensions of the Chern-Simons action have been considered. This subject was first discussed in great details by considering the full Abelian action, consisting in the Chern-Simons added by the Maxwell theory [1], adjoined by higher-derivative contributions appearing from a (\(\partial/m\)) perturbative expansion of the effective action of QED \(^3\) [22,23]. Our main aim in the present paper is to treat the latter phenomenon in full details when formulated within the framework of noncommutative gauge theory.

In this paper, we discuss, within the effective action approach, the one-loop properties of the three-dimensional noncommutative QED. For this purpose, we follow the idea outlined in Ref. [24] in which noncommutative fermionic effective actions were considered. Although the Seiberg-Witten map is perturbative by nature [5], remarkably, it suffices to consider the existence of an exact Seiberg-Witten map, valid to all order in \(\theta\), in a formal way that the noncommutative effects into the resulting outcome are in fact nonperturbative. For this purpose, in Sec. 2 we review the basic ideas consisting in this nonperturbative approach (in \(\theta\)) to the NC QED\(^3\), obtaining the main objects of our analysis at one-loop effective action. Furthermore, in Sec. 3 we perform explicitly the calculation for two, three and four gauge fields of the one-loop effective action. In particular, we will consider the long wavelength limit (large fermion mass) and consider the terms in the expansion \(O(m^0), O(m^{-1})\) and \(O(m^{-2})\), that correspond exactly to the NC Chern-Simons action, NC Maxwell action, and higher-derivative extension to the NC Chern-Simons action, respectively. Also, the gauge invariance of the higher-derivative extension is discussed. In Sec. 4 we summarize the results, and present our final remarks.

---

1 This theory is the so-called topologically massive electrodynamics [1], and describes a helicity \(\pm 1\) mode.
\section{General discussion}

In this section, we will introduce our basic notation and describe the analysis method. Let us consider the noncommutative extension of fermionic fields interacting in a presence of an external gauge field. For this, we shall consider the following action

\[ S = \int d^3x \left[ \bar{\psi} \star i\gamma^\mu D^\mu \psi - m\bar{\psi} \star \psi \right], \tag{2.1} \]

in which the covariant derivative is defined as \( D^\mu \psi = \partial^\mu \psi - igA^\mu \star \psi \). The action is invariant under the infinitesimal gauge transformations,

\[ \delta A^\mu = \partial^\mu \lambda - ig [A^\mu, \lambda]_\star, \quad \delta \psi = ig\lambda \star \psi. \tag{2.2} \]

Moreover, it should be emphasized that we are working with a two-component representation for the fermionic fields. In this representation, the \(\gamma\)-matrices satisfy \( \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu = \eta^{\mu\nu} - i\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha} \gamma_\alpha \).

Furthermore, we introduce the Moyal star product between the functions \( f \) and \( g \) defined as

\[ f(x) \star g(x) = f(x) \exp \left( \frac{i}{2} \theta^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \right) g(x), \tag{2.3} \]

where we assume that the noncommutative structure of the space-time is determined by \([x^\mu, x^\nu] = i\theta^{\mu\nu}\), in which \(\theta^{\mu\nu} = -\theta^{\nu\mu}\) are constant parameters. The one-loop effective action can be readily obtained by integrating out the fermionic fields of (2.1),

\[ i\Gamma[A] = \ln \frac{\det (\slashed{D} + im)}{\det (\slashed{\partial} + im)} = -\sum_n \frac{1}{n} \text{tr} \left[ (\slashed{\partial} + im)^{-1} igA \right]^n. \tag{2.4} \]

The differential operator in (2.4) is identified as being the fermionic propagator,

\[ (\slashed{\partial} + im)^{-1} \delta(x - y) = \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{i (p + m)}{p^2 - m^2 + i\varepsilon} e^{-ip \cdot (x - y)}. \tag{2.5} \]

Nevertheless, we can rewrite (2.4) in a more suitable form as for perturbative computation,

\[ i\Gamma[A] = \sum_n \int d^3x_1 \ldots \int d^3x_n A_{\mu_1}(x_1) A_{\mu_2}(x_2) \ldots A_{\mu_n}(x_n) \Gamma^{\mu_1\mu_2\ldots\mu_n}(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n), \tag{2.6} \]

where we have written the one-loop contributions from the noncommutative gauge field such as

\[ \Gamma^{\mu_1\mu_2\ldots\mu_n}(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) = -\frac{(-g)^n}{n} \int \prod_i \frac{d^3p_i}{(2\pi)^3} (2\pi)^3 \delta(\sum_i p_i) \exp(\sum_i p_i x_i) \times \exp \left( -\frac{i}{2} \sum_{i < j} p_i \times p_j \right) \Xi^{\mu_1\mu_2\ldots\mu_n}(p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_{n-1}), \tag{2.7} \]
in which we have introduced the notation \( p \times q = \theta^\mu \nu p_\mu q_\nu \), and by simplicity we have also defined the one-loop contributions in the form,

\[
\Xi_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \cdots \mu_n} = \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} tr \left[ \frac{(q' + p_1 + m) \gamma^{\mu_1} (q' + m) \gamma^{\mu_2} (q' - p_2 + m) \gamma^{\mu_3} \cdots (q' - \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} p_i + m) \gamma^{\mu_n}}{(q + p_1)^2 - m^2} \left[ \frac{q^2 - m^2}{(q - p_2)^2 - m^2} \right] \cdots \left[ \frac{(q - \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} p_i)^2 - m^2}{(q - n-1 \sum_{i=2}^{n-2} p_i)^2 - m^2} \right] \right].
\]

(2.8)

It should be stressed that in order to rewrite (2.4) into the form (2.6), we have made use of the general result,

\[
\int \frac{d^3x}{(2\pi)^3} \, [O_1(x) \star O_2(x) \cdots \star O_n(x)] = \int \prod_i d^3x_i \prod_i \frac{d^3p_i}{(2\pi)^3} \, [O_1(x_1) O_2(x_2) \cdots O_n(x_m)] \times \exp\left(-i \sum_i p_i \cdot x_i\right) \exp\left(-\frac{i}{2} \sum_{i<j} p_i \times p_j\right) \delta\left(\sum_i p_i\right).
\]

(2.9)

Now that we have concluded with our formal development and presented all the necessary informations, we will proceed in evaluating explicitly the contributions for \( n = 2, 3, 4 \) gauge fields in (2.6). Initially we will consider and evaluate the general expressions for such contributions; however, by means of illustration we will consider in particular the resulting expressions in the long wavelength limit (i.e., \( m^2 \gg p^2 \), where \( p \) is an external momenta). Moreover, we will concentrate on the leading contributions \( \mathcal{O}(m^0) \), \( \mathcal{O}(m^{-1}) \), and \( \mathcal{O}(m^{-2}) \), which are responsible to generate the noncommutative Chern-Simons, Maxwell, and HD Chern-Simons extension, respectively.

### 3 Perturbative effective action

We shall now proceed in evaluating explicitly the one-loop contributions of (2.6) for two, three and four gauge fields. Actually, the contribution of one gauge field of (2.6), is identically vanishing. Among all the terms from such contributions, we will show that at the long wavelength limit the complete three-dimensional action for the noncommutative Chern-Simons and Maxwell theory is generated; moreover, we also discuss the higher-derivatives extension for the noncommutative Chern-Simons action.\(^2\)

\(^2\) Indeed, a complete discussion of all higher-derivative contributions at order \( \text{sgn}(m)/m^2 \) is presented (and generalized) in the Appendix A.
3.1 AA-term contribution

In order to evaluate the first nonvanishing contribution, let us take \( n = 2 \) in the one-loop contribution (2.8), which is shown in Fig. 1:

\[
\Xi_{\mu\nu}(p) = \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{\text{tr} \left[ (q' + p + m) \gamma^\mu (q' + m) \gamma^\nu \right]}{[(q + p)^2 - m^2] [q^2 - m^2]}. \tag{3.1}
\]

This expression can be put into a more convenient form, which facilitates the evaluation of the momentum integration. Thus, we can make use of the Feynman parametrization, which combined with the change of variables \( q \rightarrow q + xp \) results into

\[
\Xi_{\mu\nu}(p) = \frac{1}{4 \pi m^2} \int_0^1 dx \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{\text{tr} \left[ (q + (1 - x)p + m) \gamma^\mu (q - xp + m) \gamma^\nu \right]}{[q^2 + x(1 - x)p^2 - m^2]^2}. \tag{3.2}
\]

We can evaluate the trace in (3.2) and writing into a dimensional regularization form. Hence, with the known results for a two-dimensional representation,

\[
\text{tr} (\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu) = 2 \eta^{\mu\nu}, \quad \text{tr} (\gamma^\mu \gamma^\beta \gamma^\nu) = 2i \epsilon^{\mu\beta\nu}, \quad \text{tr} (\gamma^\alpha \gamma^\mu \gamma^\beta \gamma^\nu) = 2 \left( \eta^{\alpha\mu} \eta^{\beta\nu} - \eta^{\alpha\beta} \eta^{\mu\nu} + \eta^{\alpha\nu} \eta^{\mu\beta} \right) \tag{3.3}
\]

we obtain

\[
\Xi_{\mu\nu}(p) = \frac{1}{4 \pi m^2} \int_0^1 dx \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} \left( \frac{1}{4} - 1 \right) q^2 \eta^{\mu\nu} - 2x (1 - x) p^\mu p^\nu + i mp_\alpha \epsilon^{\alpha\mu\nu} + (m^2 + x(1 - x)p^2) \eta^{\mu\nu} \right] \left[ q^2 + x(1 - x)p^2 - m^2 \right]. \tag{3.4}
\]

Here \( d \) denotes the dimension of the space-time. The detailed evaluation of the momentum integral is rather direct, taking the limit \( d \to 3 \) and the resulting expression reads,

\[
\Xi_{\mu\nu}(p) = -\frac{1}{4 \pi m^2} \int_0^1 dx \frac{1}{(m^2 - x(1 - x)p^2)^{d/2}} + i \frac{1}{2 \pi} (p^2 \eta^{\mu\nu} - p^\mu p^\nu) \int_0^1 dx \frac{x(1 - x)}{(m^2 - x(1 - x)p^2)^{3/2}}. \tag{3.5}
\]

3.1.1 Higher derivative contribution

Let us take a closer look at the expression (3.5). The higher-derivative contributions are obtained by considering the long wavelength limit \( p^2 \ll m^2 \). Thus expanding the expression...
and performing the remaining integrals, we obtain

\[ \Xi^{\mu\nu}(p) = -\frac{sgn(m)}{4\pi} (p_\alpha \epsilon^{\alpha\mu\nu}) \left( 1 + \frac{p^2}{12m^2} \right) + \frac{i}{12\pi|m|} \left( p^2 \eta^{\mu\nu} - p^\mu p^\nu \right) + \mathcal{O}\left( \frac{p^4}{m^4} \right), \tag{3.6} \]

in which, \( sgn(m) \equiv \frac{m}{|m|} \) is the sign function. As it is easily seen, the first term corresponds to the kinetic term of the Chern-Simons term, \( \mathcal{O}(m^0) \), and its HD extension, \( \mathcal{O}(m^{-2}) \), while the second one is the kinetic part of the noncommutative Maxwell action, \( \mathcal{O}(m^{-1}) \). By means of illustration, let us consider the first term from (3.6) which corresponds to the Chern-Simons contribution

\[ \Xi^{\mu\nu}_{cs}(p) = -\frac{sgn(m)}{4\pi} (p_\alpha \epsilon^{\alpha\mu\nu}) \left( 1 + \frac{p^2}{12m^2} + \mathcal{O}\left( \frac{p^4}{m^4} \right) \right). \tag{3.7} \]

Substituting it into the expression (2.7) and after some integral manipulation, we find

\[ \Gamma^{\mu\nu}_{cs}(x_1, x_2) = -\frac{g^2}{2} \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \exp[-ip.(x_1 - x_2)] \Xi^{\mu\nu}_{cs}(p), \]

\[ = -i \frac{g^2}{8\pi} sgn(m) \epsilon^{\alpha\mu\nu} \left( 1 - \frac{\Box}{12m^2} \right) \partial_\alpha \delta(x_1 - x_2). \tag{3.8} \]

Finally, we substitute (3.8) into (2.6) to then obtain the expression for the Chern-Simons effective action

\[ i\Gamma_{cs}[AA] = i \frac{g^2}{8\pi} sgn(m) \epsilon^{\mu\alpha\nu} \int d^3x \left[ A_\mu(x) \left( 1 - \frac{\Box}{12m^2} \right) \partial_\alpha A_\nu(x) \right]. \tag{3.9} \]

As it is well-known, the expression for two gauge fields (3.9) does not display effects from noncommutativity, since the phase factor from (2.7) vanishes for \( n = 2 \). Furthermore, one can perform the same manipulation as above and realize that the second term in (3.6) when written in terms of the effective action is the quadratic part of the noncommutative Maxwell action

\[ i\Gamma_{m}[AA] = i \frac{g^2}{24\pi|m|} \int d^3x \left[ \partial_\nu A_\mu \partial_\nu A_\mu - \partial_\nu A_\mu \partial_\mu A_\nu \right]. \tag{3.10} \]

### 3.2 AAA-term contribution

In the same way as the previous calculation, we start by evaluating the one-loop contribution (2.8) for the case \( n = 3 \), represented in Fig. 2

\[ \Xi^{\mu\nu}_{\sigma}(p, k) = \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} \text{tr} \left[ (q + \gamma + m) \gamma^\mu (q + m) \gamma^\nu (q - \gamma' + m) \gamma^\sigma \right]. \tag{3.11} \]

Moreover, we can use the Feynman parametrization and the change of variables \( q \rightarrow q - (xp - zk) \equiv q - s \) to obtain,

\[ \Xi^{\mu\nu}_{\sigma}(p, k) = 2 \int d\xi \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} \text{tr} \left[ (q - \gamma' + \gamma + m) \gamma^\mu (q - \gamma' + m) \gamma^\nu (q - \gamma' - \gamma' + m) \gamma^\sigma \right] \left[ q^2 + A^2(p, k) - m^2 \right]^3, \tag{3.12} \]
Hence, by simple power counting, we consider those terms that are linear in action and its HD extension are those associated with terms of order the terms from the expression (3.13). Nevertheless, the contributions for the Chern-Simons those from the AA

where we have introduced the notation

and by sake of notation, we have introduced the quantities, with \( s = x p - z k \mu \),

by sake of notation, we have introduced the quantities, with \( s = x p - z k \mu \),

and

As usual, we could analyze in details the full contribution of (3.13), but since we are interested in particular cases that induce the AAA noncommutative parts of the Chern-Simons and Maxwell action, we will retain our attention into the \( \mathcal{O}(m^0) \), \( \mathcal{O}(m^{-1}) \) and \( \mathcal{O}(m^{-2}) \) contributions.

### 3.2.1 Higher derivative contribution

The contributions for the effective action from AAA gauge fields are more complicated than those from the AA fields, this is due to the structure of the denominator and numerator of the terms from the expression (3.13). Nevertheless, the contributions for the Chern-Simons action and its HD extension are those associated with terms of order \( \mathcal{O}(m^0) \) and \( \mathcal{O}(m^{-2}) \). Hence, by simple power counting, we consider those terms that are linear in \( m \) from (3.14),

and
and those that are linear and cubic in \( m \) from (3.15),

\[
B_{CS}^{\mu\nu\sigma} (p, k; x, z) = \text{mtr} \left[ (\not{s} - \not{p}) \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma^\sigma \right] + \text{mtr} \left[ (\not{s} - \not{p}) \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma^\sigma \right] + \text{mtr} \left[ \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu (\not{s} + \not{k}) \gamma^\sigma \right] + m^2 \text{tr} \left[ \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma^\sigma \right],
\]

(3.17)

while, for the Maxwell action we shall consider the terms \( \mathcal{O} (m^{-1}) \), which are those terms independent of \( m \) in (3.14),

\[
A_{M}^{\mu\nu\sigma} (p, k; x, z) = \text{tr} \left[ \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma^\sigma \right] - \text{tr} \left[ \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma^\sigma \right] - \text{tr} \left[ \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma^\sigma \right] + \text{tr} \left[ \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma^\sigma \right],
\]

(3.18)

and quadratic in \( m \) from (3.15),

\[
B_{M}^{\mu\nu\sigma} (p, k; x, z) = -m^2 \text{tr} \left[ \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma^\sigma \right] + m^2 \text{tr} \left[ \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma^\sigma \right] - m^2 \text{tr} \left[ \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma^\sigma \right] - m^2 \text{tr} \left[ \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma^\sigma \right],
\]

(3.19)

Let us focus our attention now to the Chern-Simons contributions. We can simplify the above equations by means of the Clifford algebra \( \{ \gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu \} = 2 \eta^\mu\nu \) and the identities (3.3), resulting into the following expressions

\[
A_{CS}^{\mu\nu\sigma} (p, k; x, z) = -6i m \epsilon^{\mu\nu\sigma},
\]

(3.20)

and

\[
B_{CS}^{\mu\nu\sigma} (p, k; x, z) = im \tilde{B}_{CS}^{\mu\nu\sigma} (p, k; x, z) + 2im^3 \epsilon^{\mu\nu\sigma},
\]

(3.21)

where we have defined the quantity

\[
\tilde{B}_{CS}^{\mu\nu\sigma} (p, k; x, z) = 2 \left( 2p^\mu k_\alpha - s^\mu s_\alpha - 2s^\mu k_\alpha \right) \epsilon^{\alpha\nu\sigma} + 2 \left( s_\alpha s^\nu - 2s^\nu p_\alpha - p_\alpha k^\nu \right) \epsilon^{\alpha\nu\sigma} - 2 \left( s_\alpha s^\sigma + 2p_\alpha k^\sigma \right) \epsilon^{\alpha\nu\sigma} + 2p_\alpha k_\beta \eta^{\nu\sigma} \epsilon^{\alpha\mu\beta}.
\]

(3.22)

Hence, replacing the expressions (3.20) and (3.21) back into (3.13), we find the following expression for the Chern-Simons contribution,

\[
\Xi_{CS}^{\mu\nu\sigma} (p, k) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \text{sgn} (m) \int d\xi \left[ \epsilon^{\mu\nu\sigma} + \frac{1}{8m^2} \left( 6\epsilon^{\mu\nu\sigma} A^2 (p, k) + \tilde{B}_{CS}^{\mu\nu\sigma} (p, k; x, z) \right) \right].
\]

(3.23)

From the expression (3.23) we see that, differently from the contributions appearing in the \( AA \)-term, (3.7), we now have HD terms with different indices in the anti-symmetric Levi-Civita tensor, this shows that these HD terms arising from \( \tilde{B}_{CS}^{\mu\nu\sigma} \) contribute in a more involving form in terms of derivatives than those arising from \( \epsilon^{\mu\nu\sigma} A^2 \). Nonetheless, the remaining integrals in (3.23) can be readily evaluated to give,

\[
\int d\xi A^2 (p, k) = \frac{1}{12} (p^2 + (p.k) + k^2).
\]

(3.24)
and
\[
\int d\xi B^\mu_\nu^\sigma_{CS} = \frac{1}{6} \left[ \epsilon^\alpha_{\nu\sigma} \left( \frac{5}{2} p^\mu k_\alpha - p^\mu p_\alpha + \frac{1}{2} k^\mu p_\alpha + 3 k^\mu k_\alpha \right) + \epsilon^\alpha_{\nu\mu} \left( -3 p_\alpha p^\nu - k_\alpha p^\nu + k_\alpha k^\nu - 3 p_\alpha k^\nu \right) \\
- \epsilon^\alpha_{\mu\nu} (p_\alpha p^\sigma - k_\alpha p^\sigma + k_\alpha k^\sigma + 5 p_\alpha k^\sigma) \right] + \epsilon^\alpha_{\mu\nu} \eta^\nu^\sigma p_\alpha k_\beta.
\]

Finally, to obtain the second part of the Chern-Simons and its HD extension contributions to the effective action we should replace the above results, first (3.24) and (3.25) into (3.23), and then substituting the resulting expression back into (2.6) for the case \( n = 3 \), with the choice \( p_1 = p \) and \( p_2 = k \) then \( p_3 = -p - k \),

\[
i_{CS}[AAA] = \frac{g^3}{3} \int d^3 x_1 d^3 x_2 d^3 x_3 A_\mu(x_1) A_\nu(x_2) A_\sigma(x_3) \\
\times \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \, \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \, \exp[-ip.(x_1 - x_3) - ik.(x_2 - x_3)] \exp[-\frac{i}{2}(p \times k)] \Xi^{\mu\nu\sigma}_{CS},
\]

thus, after some manipulation involving the momentum factors becoming derivatives, and integrations involving the noncommutativity between the gauge fields, we are able to find the final expression for the Chern-Simons effective action for the AAA-gauge fields,

\[
i_{CS}[AAA] = \frac{g^3}{12\pi} \text{sgn}(m) \int d^3 x \, \epsilon^{\mu\nu\sigma} A_\mu(x) \star A_\nu(x) \star A_\sigma(x) \\
- \frac{g^3}{48\pi m^2} \text{sgn}(m) \int d^3 x \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\sigma} \left[ \Box A_\mu(x) \star A_\nu(x) \star A_\sigma(x) + \partial_\alpha A_\mu(x) \star \partial^\alpha A_\nu(x) \star A_\sigma(x) \right] \\
+ A_\mu(x) \star \Box A_\nu(x) \star A_\sigma(x) \right\} + \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma} \eta^{\mu^\nu} \partial_\alpha A_\mu(x) \star \partial_\beta A_\nu(x) \star A_\sigma(x) \\
+ \frac{1}{6} \epsilon^{\alpha\nu\sigma} \left[ \frac{5}{2} \partial^\mu A_\mu(x) \star \partial_\alpha A_\nu(x) \star A_\sigma(x) - \partial_\alpha \partial^\mu A_\mu(x) \star A_\nu(x) \star A_\sigma(x) \right] \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \partial_\alpha A_\mu(x) \star \partial^\mu A_\nu(x) \star A_\sigma(x) + 3 A_\mu(x) \star \partial^\mu \partial_\alpha A_\nu(x) \star A_\sigma(x) \right] \\
+ \frac{1}{6} \epsilon^{\alpha\mu\sigma} \left[ -3 \partial_\alpha \partial^\mu A_\mu(x) \star A_\nu(x) \star A_\sigma(x) - \partial^\mu A_\mu(x) \star \partial_\alpha A_\nu(x) \star A_\sigma(x) \right] \\
+ A_\mu(x) \star \partial_\alpha \partial^\mu A_\nu(x) \star A_\sigma(x) - 3 \partial_\alpha A_\mu(x) \star \partial^\mu A_\nu(x) \star A_\sigma(x) \right] \\
+ \frac{1}{6} \epsilon^{\alpha\nu\mu} \left[ - \partial_\alpha \partial^\mu A_\mu(x) \star A_\nu(x) \star A_\sigma(x) + \partial^\mu A_\mu(x) \star \partial_\alpha A_\nu(x) \star A_\sigma(x) \right] \\
- A_\mu(x) \star \partial_\alpha \partial^\mu A_\nu(x) \star A_\sigma(x) - 5 \partial_\alpha A_\mu(x) \star \partial^\mu A_\nu(x) \star A_\sigma(x) \right\}.
\]

The first term in (3.27) is the known AAA-part of the NC Chern-Simons action, while the remaining terms are those of HD contributions. Moreover, as aforementioned, the HD contributions of the AAA-fields, (3.27), are more involving than those from AA-term, (3.7). These HD terms give new types of derivative-interactions.
The extended Chern-Simons action, the sum of (3.9) and (3.27), can be cast conveniently into the following form

\[ i\Gamma_{\text{CS}} = i\Gamma_{\text{CS}}^{(0)} + i\Gamma_{\text{CS}}^{\text{HD}}, \]

where we have defined, with \( \mathcal{A}_\mu = -igA_\mu \), the NC Chern-Simons action

\[ i\Gamma_{\text{CS}}^{(0)} = \frac{i}{8\pi} \text{sgn}(m) \varepsilon^{\mu\sigma} \int d^3x \left[ \mathcal{A}_\mu(x) \partial_\nu \mathcal{A}_\sigma(x) + \frac{2}{3} \mathcal{A}_\mu(x) \star \mathcal{A}_\nu(x) \star \mathcal{A}_\sigma(x) \right], \]

and the higher-derivative extended NC Chern-Simons action

\[ i\Gamma_{\text{CS}}^{\text{HD}} = \frac{-i}{96\pi m^2} \text{sgn}(m) \int d^3x \left\{ \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\sigma} \mathcal{A}_\mu(x) \partial_\nu \mathcal{A}_\sigma(x) + \partial_\nu \mathcal{A}_\mu(x) \star \mathcal{A}_\nu(x) \star \mathcal{A}_\sigma(x) + 3 \mathcal{A}_\mu(x) \star \partial_\nu \mathcal{A}_\nu(x) \star \mathcal{A}_\sigma(x) \right. \\
+ \frac{1}{3} \varepsilon^{\sigma\mu\nu} \left( 5\partial^\mu \mathcal{A}_\mu(x) \star \partial_\sigma \mathcal{A}_\nu(x) \star \mathcal{A}_\nu(x) \star \mathcal{A}_\sigma(x) - \partial_\sigma \mathcal{A}_\mu(x) \star \mathcal{A}_\mu(x) \star \mathcal{A}_\nu(x) \star \mathcal{A}_\sigma(x) \right) \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \partial_\sigma \mathcal{A}_\mu(x) \star \partial_\nu \mathcal{A}_\nu(x) \star \mathcal{A}_\sigma(x) + 3 \mathcal{A}_\mu(x) \star \partial_\nu \partial_\sigma \mathcal{A}_\nu(x) \star \mathcal{A}_\sigma(x) \\
+ \mathcal{A}_\mu(x) \star \partial_\nu \mathcal{A}_\nu(x) \star \mathcal{A}_\sigma(x) - 3 \partial_\sigma \mathcal{A}_\mu(x) \star \partial_\nu \mathcal{A}_\nu(x) \star \mathcal{A}_\sigma(x) \\
+ \frac{1}{3} \varepsilon^{\sigma\mu\nu} \left( - \partial_\sigma \mathcal{A}_\mu(x) \star \mathcal{A}_\mu(x) \star \mathcal{A}_\nu(x) \star \mathcal{A}_\nu(x) + \partial_\sigma \mathcal{A}_\mu(x) \star \partial_\nu \mathcal{A}_\nu(x) \star \mathcal{A}_\sigma(x) \\
- \mathcal{A}_\mu(x) \star \partial_\nu \mathcal{A}_\nu(x) \star \mathcal{A}_\sigma(x) - 5 \partial_\sigma \mathcal{A}_\mu(x) \star \partial_\nu \mathcal{A}_\nu(x) \star \mathcal{A}_\sigma(x) \right) \right\}. \]

As we know, the NC Chern-Simons action \( \Gamma_{\text{CS}}^{(0)} \) in (3.29) is explicitly gauge invariant under the infinitesimal gauge transformation \( \delta \mathcal{A}_\mu = \partial_\mu \lambda + [\mathcal{A}_\mu, \lambda] + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^2) \). However, the higher-derivative extended NC Chern-Simons action \( \Gamma_{\text{CS}}^{\text{HD}} \) in (3.30) is not gauge invariant unless we also consider the parity violating contributions arising from the AAAA- and AAAAA-part. The detailed proof of this statement is given in the appendix [A].

At last, just by means of complementarity, we can evaluate the Maxwell contributions from (3.18) and (3.19),

\[ A_{m\nu}^{\mu\sigma}(p, k; x, z) = 2 \left( (x - 1) p + (1 - z) k \right)^\sigma \eta^{\mu\nu} + 2 \left( (x - 1) p - (1 + z) k \right)^\mu \eta^{\nu\sigma} + 2 \left( (1 + x) p + (1 - z) k \right)^\nu \eta^{\mu\sigma}, \]

and

\[ B_{m\nu}^{\mu\sigma}(p, k; x, z) = 2m^2 \left( (1 - x) p + (1 + z) k \right)^\mu \eta^{\nu\sigma} - 2m^2 \left( (1 + x) p + (1 - z) k \right)^\nu \eta^{\mu\sigma} + 2m^2 \left( (1 - x) p + (z - 1) k \right)^\nu \eta^{\mu\nu}. \]
Finally, replacing these results back into (3.23), we obtain the AAA-fields part for the NC Maxwell action,
\[
Ξ^\mu\nu\sigma\rho (p, k) = \frac{i}{12\pi |m|} \left[ ( -p + k )^\sigma \eta^{\mu\nu} - ( p + 2k )^\mu \eta^{\nu\sigma} + ( 2p + k )^\nu \eta^{\mu\sigma} \right].
\] (3.33)

### 3.3 AAAA-term contribution

By means of complementarity, let us present here the resulting expression for the one-loop contribution (2.8) for the case \( n = 4 \), which is shown in Fig. 3. This contribution is important in a way to provide the remaining AAAA-fields piece for the NC Maxwell action. The general expression to be evaluated is
\[
Ξ^\mu\nu\sigma\rho (p, k, r) = \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{[(q + p)^2 - m^2] [(q - k)^2 - m^2] [(q - r - k)^2 - m^2]} \left[ (q - q' - m) \gamma^\mu (q' + m) \gamma^\nu (q' - k' + m) \gamma^\rho (q - q' - m) \gamma^\sigma \right].
\] (3.34)

Its analysis follows by the same guidelines as presented above for the previous contributions. Hence, in order to obtain the term of interest from the AAAA-contribution, let us consider an expansion \( m^2 \gg M^2 (p, k, r) \), (in which \( M^2 (p, k, r) \) is a function of the external momenta) this provides the following contributions at order \( O (m^{-1}) \),
\[
Ξ^\mu\nu\sigma\rho (p, k, r) = \frac{i}{12\pi |m|} \left[ \eta^{\mu\nu} \eta^{\sigma\rho} - \eta^{\mu\sigma} \eta^{\nu\rho} + \eta^{\mu\rho} \eta^{\nu\sigma} \right].
\] (3.35)

Therefore, by adding the pieces (3.10), (3.33), and (3.35) we find the complete expression of the NC Maxwell action, \( \Gamma_M \sim \frac{1}{|m|} \int d^3x \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} \ast \mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu} \), in which the field strength tensor has the following expression \( \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}A_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}A_{\mu} + [A_{\mu}, A_{\nu}]_c \).
4 Concluding remarks

In this paper we have studied the one-loop effective action of NC QED$_3$, fermionic fields interacting with an Abelian gauge field. After integrating out the fermionic fields, we obtained the effective action for the noncommutative gauge field, next we have computed explicitly the contributions of the $n = 2, 3, 4$ terms for the effective action. In addition, it should be remarked that the noncommutative effects into the resulting outcome are in fact nonperturbative. This fact is supported, in a formal way, in the existence of an exact Seiberg-Witten map, valid to all order in $\theta$.

In completion to previous analyses, we have supplied with detailed calculation of the $n = 2, 3, 4$ terms for the effective action. Based in the exact calculation, we have considered, in particular, the long wavelength limit (large fermion mass) into the terms in the expansion $O(m^0)$, $O(m^{-1})$ and $O(m^{-2})$, that corresponded exactly to the NC Chern-Simons action, NC Maxwell action, and higher-derivative extension to the NC Chern-Simons action, respectively. Moreover, the gauge invariance of the higher-derivative extension was also discussed. Certainly a study considering the effects of these higher-derivative terms in the gauge fields into the theory’s quantities would be rather interesting [26], as well as a detailed study about the finite gauge invariance of these higher-derivative NC gauge fields [27,28]. Those aspects are currently under scrutiny.
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A Fixing the general structure of the HD-terms

In this appendix, we use the dimensional analysis to discuss carefully about the gauge invariance of the higher-derivative extended NC Chern-Simons action $\Gamma_{\text{CS}}^{\text{HD}}$ in (3.30). The mass dimension of the gauge and fermionic fields in $d$ dimensions is given by

$$[A] = \frac{d - 2}{2}, \quad [\psi] = \frac{d - 1}{2}. \quad (A.1)$$

For the case $d = 3$, we have $[A] = \frac{1}{2}$ and $[\psi] = 1$. Hence the mass dimension of the coupling constant $g$ (minimal coupling) would be $[g] = \frac{1}{2}$. For sake of our discussion it is useful to introduce $A_\mu = -igA_\mu$, that is concluded $[A] = 1$.

Now, considering the ordinary CS term: $A\partial A$ with $[A\partial A] = 3$, that other possible contribution with mass dimension of 3 is $A\partial AA$ (non-Abelian or noncommutative self-interacting gauge fields). Hence, by dimensional analysis, the CS action without higher-derivative (HD) terms is given by a linear combination of $A\partial A$ and $A\partial AA$.

What about the HD terms? Suppose that we know the structure of the HD terms appearing in AA-part, hence, we then try to guess recursively the general structure of HD terms needed
to be added into the AA-part in order to make a gauge invariant higher-derivative action. The mass dimension of the simplest HD contribution in AA-term is given by \( [\mathcal{A} \partial \Box \mathcal{A}] = 5 \), so we can make all of the possible contributions using \( \mathcal{A} \) and \( \partial \) with total mass dimension of 5. It is important to emphasize that due to the Levi-Civita tensor \( \varepsilon^{\mu \nu \lambda} \) and metric \( g^{\rho \sigma} \), the several terms are produced just like as those appearing in relation (3.30) at the order of \( \text{sgn}(m)/m^2 \):

\[
\begin{align*}
\Gamma^{(2)} : & \quad \mathcal{A} \partial \Box \mathcal{A}, \\
\Gamma^{(3)} : & \quad \mathcal{A} \partial \mathcal{A} \partial \mathcal{A}, \quad \Box \mathcal{A} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{A}, \\
\Gamma^{(4)} : & \quad \partial \mathcal{A} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{A}, \\
\Gamma^{(5)} : & \quad \mathcal{A} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{A}.
\end{align*}
\]

We then can conclude that these arguments suffice to determine the general structure of such terms, but only the explicit calculation of their contributions that allows us to determine the tensorial coefficients of these different terms, although the gauge invariance imposes some limitations.

To show the correctness of the above argument, let us consider the dimensional analysis of the loop integrals that we have mentioned, \( n = 4 \) in (2.8):

\[
\Xi_{\mu \nu \rho \sigma} \sim \int \frac{d^w q}{(2\pi)^w} \frac{1}{\omega^{(w+2)}} q^4 C^{\mu \nu \rho \sigma} + \frac{1}{\omega} q^2 D^{\mu \nu \rho \sigma} + E^{\mu \nu \rho \sigma} \frac{1}{[q^2 + M^2 - m^2]^4},
\]

in which each contribution labelled by its coefficient in the leading order is given by

\[
\begin{align*}
C : \int \frac{d^w q}{(2\pi)^w} \frac{q^4}{(q^2 + M^2 - m^2)^4} & \sim \frac{1}{(M^2 - m^2)^{4-2-\frac{2}{2}}} \sim \frac{1}{(m^2)^{2-3/2}} = \frac{1}{|m|}, \\
D : \int \frac{d^w q}{(2\pi)^w} \frac{q^2}{(q^2 + M^2 - m^2)^4} & \sim \frac{1}{(M^2 - m^2)^{4-1-\frac{2}{2}}} \sim \frac{1}{(m^2)^{3-3/2}} = \frac{1}{|m| |m^2|}, \\
E : \int \frac{d^w q}{(2\pi)^w} \frac{1}{(q^2 + M^2 - m^2)^4} & \sim \frac{1}{(M^2 - m^2)^{4-\frac{2}{2}}} \sim \frac{1}{(m^2)^{4-3/2}} = \frac{1}{|m| |m^4|}.
\end{align*}
\]

By means of dimensional analysis, it is deduced that:

- There is no any power of \( m \) in \( C^{\mu \nu \rho \sigma} \).
- There are a \( m \) term in \( D^{\mu \nu \rho \sigma} \) and \( m^3 \) in \( E^{\mu \nu \rho \sigma} \) that contribute to our result, which are corresponding to those terms with one-derivative structure: \( \partial \mathcal{A} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{A} \) in (A.4).

Now, by completeness, we study the case \( n = 5 \) of (2.8):

\[
\Xi^{\mu \nu \rho \sigma \lambda} \sim \int \frac{d^w q}{(2\pi)^w} \frac{1}{\omega^{(w+2)}} q^4 C^{\mu \nu \rho \sigma \lambda} + \frac{1}{\omega} q^2 D^{\mu \nu \rho \sigma \lambda} + E^{\mu \nu \rho \sigma \lambda} \frac{1}{[q^2 + M^2 - m^2]^5},
\]

\footnote{For the contributions as (A.2) and (A.3) see (3.9) and (3.30), respectively.}
\[ C : \int \frac{dq}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{q^4}{(q^2 + M^2 - m^2)^2} \sim \frac{1}{(M^2 - m^2)^{4-\frac{3}{2}}} \sim \frac{1}{m^2}, \]

\[ D : \int \frac{dq}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{q^2}{(q^2 + M^2 - m^2)^2} \sim \frac{1}{(M^2 - m^2)^{4-\frac{3}{2}}} \sim \frac{1}{m^3}, \]

\[ E : \int \frac{dq}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{1}{(q^2 + M^2 - m^2)^2} \sim \frac{1}{(M^2 - m^2)^{4-\frac{3}{2}}} \sim \frac{1}{m^5}. \] (A.9)

We can then see that:

- There are a \( m \) term in \( C^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \), a \( m^3 \) in \( D^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \), and \( m^5 \) in \( E^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \) that contribute to our result. These contributions lead to those terms without any derivative: \( AA \AA \AA \AA \AA \) in (A.5).

Here, we would like to generalize this analysis for the generic higher-derivative terms that may appear in the next orders. Since we have expanded our result in powers of \( \frac{\Box m^2}{m^2} \) in (3.6), in general, we can call the order of the expansion \( \ell \) and start from the AA-part

\[ A\partial(\frac{\Box}{m^2})^\ell A = \frac{1}{m^{2\ell}} A\partial \Box^\ell A, \] (A.10)

in which the mass dimension of \( A\partial \Box^\ell A \) is given by

\[ [A\partial \Box^\ell A] = 3 + 2\ell. \] (A.11)

Therefore, we can determine the general structure of the different higher-derivative expressions with the mass dimension of \( 3 + 2\ell \) using combinations of \( A \) and \( \partial \). Actually, these expressions contribute to the gauge invariant NC Chern-Simons action \( \Gamma^t_\ell \) at order \( \ell \). Inserting the several values for \( \ell \), we obtain

- \( \ell = 0 \rightarrow [\Gamma^t_0] = 3 \rightarrow \Gamma^t_0 = \alpha_0 \Gamma_0^{(2)} + \beta_0 \Gamma_0^{(3)} \).

Here, \( t \) indicates the total action. We note that the case \( \ell = 0 \) corresponds to the ordinary noncommutative Chern-Simons action with \( \alpha_0 = 1 \) and \( \beta_0 = \frac{2}{3} \).

\[ \Gamma_0^{(2)} \sim A\partial A, \quad \Gamma_0^{(3)} \sim AA. \] (A.12)

- \( \ell = 1 \rightarrow [\Gamma^t_1] = 5 \rightarrow \Gamma^t_1 = \alpha_1 \Gamma_1^{(2)} + \beta_1 \Gamma_1^{(3)} + \rho_1 \Gamma_1^{(4)} + \sigma_1 \Gamma_1^{(5)}, \)

in which

\[ \Gamma_1^{(2)} \sim A\partial AA; \quad \Gamma_1^{(3)} \sim A\AA\AA, \quad \partial AA\partial AA; \quad \Gamma_1^{(4)} \sim \AA\AA\AA; \quad \Gamma_1^{(5)} \sim AA\AA\AA. \] (A.13)

- \( \ell = 2 \rightarrow [\Gamma^t_2] = 7 \rightarrow \Gamma^t_2 = \alpha_2 \Gamma_2^{(2)} + \beta_2 \Gamma_2^{(3)} + \rho_2 \Gamma_2^{(4)} + \sigma_2 \Gamma_2^{(5)} + \lambda_2 \Gamma_2^{(6)} + \xi_2 \Gamma_2^{(7)} \)
in which
\[ \Gamma_2^{(2)} \sim A\partial\Box^2A; \quad \Gamma_2^{(3)} \sim \Box^2AAA, \quad \partial\Box A\partial AA, \quad \Box A\Box AA; \quad (A.14) \]
\[ \Gamma_2^{(4)} \sim \Box\Box AAA, \quad \partial A\Box\Box AA, \quad \partial A\partial\Box\Box AA; \quad (A.15) \]
\[ \Gamma_2^{(5)} \sim \Box\Box\Box\Box AA, \quad \partial A\Box\Box\Box AA; \quad \Gamma_2^{(6)} \sim \Box\Box\Box\Box\Box AA; \quad \Gamma_2^{(7)} \sim \Box\Box\Box\Box\Box\Box AA. \quad (A.16) \]

All of the coefficients appearing in \( \Gamma_\ell^t \) are determined using the explicit calculations such that the gauge invariance of the total NC Chern-Simons action is preserved.
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