Elucidating the selenium and arsenic metabolic pathways following exposure to the non-hyperaccumulating Chlorophytum comosum, spider plant
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Abstract

Although many studies have investigated the metabolism of selenium and arsenic in hyperaccumulating plants for phytoremediation purposes, few have explored non-hyperaccumulating plants as a model for general contaminant exposure to plants. In addition, the result of simultaneous supplementation with selenium and arsenic has not been investigated in plants. In this study, Chlorophytum comosum, commonly known as the spider plant, was used to investigate the metabolism of selenium and arsenic after single and simultaneous supplementation. Size exclusion and ion-pairing reversed phase liquid chromatography were coupled to an inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometer to obtain putative metabolic information of the selenium and arsenic species in C. comosum after a mild aqueous extraction. The chromatographic results depict that selenium and arsenic species were sequestered in the roots and generally conserved upon translocation to the leaves. The data suggest that selenium was directly absorbed by C. comosum roots when supplemented with SeVI, but a combination of passive and direct absorption occurred when supplemented with SeIV due to the partial oxidation of SeIV to SeVI in the rhizosphere. Higher molecular weight selenium species were more prevalent in the roots of plants supplemented with SeIV, but in the leaves of plants supplemented with SeVI due to an increased translocation rate. When supplemented as AsIII, arsenic is proposed to be passively absorbed as AsIII and partially oxidized to AsV in the plant root. Although total elemental analysis demonstrates a selenium and arsenic antagonism, a compound containing selenium and arsenic was not present in the general aqueous extract of the plant.
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Introduction

In addition to the natural geological release of arsenic into groundwater and soil, anthropogenic activities such as the industrial production of pesticides, herbicides, wood preservatives, and mining have increased arsenic levels beyond natural concentrations, causing worldwide environmental concern (Bhattacharya et al., 2007). Arsenic present in soil can enter the food chain via plant accumulation. Some of the most common arsenic species in the environment include arsenite (AsIII), arsenate (AsV), monomethylarsonate (MMA), and dimethylarsinate (DMA), in order of decreasing toxicities (Wang and Mulligan, 2006). General phytoremediation efforts, utilizing plants to remove toxins from the environment, have focused on hyperaccumulating plants for the depletion of arsenic (Ma et al., 2001). Arsenic metabolism should be studied in a variety of plants in order to assess environmental risk accurately and to continue developing more effective phytoremediation strategies using alternative plants.

Selenium is considered to be one of the most widely distributed elements on Earth, having an average soil abundance of 0.09 mg kg−1. Further, considerable concentration variability exists from one location to another, such
as high selenium concentrations occurring in a few localized regions (Kopsell and Kopsell, 2007). As with arsenic, selenium contained in the soil environment can enter the food chain through plant accumulation. Although selenium has been identified as a necessary element to animal life and possesses cancer chemopreventive properties from clinical trials, (Combs et al., 2001), its narrow range between deficiency and toxicity deem the uptake and accumulation of selenium worthy of extensive investigation (Brown and Arthur, 2001). While essential to mammalian health, the question of selenium necessity as a micronutrient in plants remains unanswered (Terry et al., 2000). In order properly to assess environmental danger and continue to develop more effective phytoremediation strategies using alternate plants, the metabolism of selenium should be studied in a variety of plants.

In past studies, selenium has been shown to have an antagonistic affect on toxic elements in plants (He et al., 2004). Investigations over half a century ago provided evidence for a detoxifying or protective effect after toxic concentrations of selenium and arsenic were simultaneously administered to rats (Dubois et al., 1940). More recently, the structure elucidated for the interaction of selenium and arsenic in a mammalian system was described as selenobis(S-glutathionyl) arsenium ion ([GS]$_2$AsSe) (Gailer et al., 2000). Although the effects of selenium and arsenic have independently been studied in various plant matrices, little research has been devoted to provide information on a potential selenium and arsenic interaction at the molecular level within plants. If observed, an antagonism between selenium and arsenic may prove useful for further phytoremediation studies.

In general, extensive effort has been put forth to understand the metabolic pathways of contaminants such as arsenic (Fayiga et al., 2008) and selenium (Freeman et al., 2006) in hyperaccumulating plants. However, few studies have investigated the metabolism of such contaminants in non-hyperaccumulating plants, which could act as a model for general environmental exposure. When considering the potential of contaminant remediation by genetically modified or native plants (wild type), the metabolism pathways and any variation in metabolism should be fully understood for accumulating and non-accumulating plants, as investigated in a previous study (Mounicou et al., 2006b). Considering the increasing level of global contamination, studies on the metabolism of selenium and arsenic in non-hyperaccumulating plants are imperative to provide vital information about general environmental effects.

Size exclusion chromatography (SEC) provides a general molecular weight range of the varying species in the soluble portion of a plant matrix, such as extracted proteins (Navaza et al., 2006). SEC has previously been used to monitor selenium and arsenic in various matrices such as *Allium schoenoprasum* (chives) and Antarctic krill (Li et al., 2005; Kapolna et al., 2006). While SEC can provide information on possible interactions between molecules, poor analyte resolution causes the technique to be unsuitable for small molecule speciation. In the past, the two most frequently employed techniques to speciate and thus identify different selenium and arsenic species have been ion exchange and ion-pairing reversed phase chromatography (IPRP) (B’Hymer and Caruso, 2004, 2006). The most common arsenic and selenium species previously found in plants and soil were As$^{3+}$, As$^{5+}$, MMA, DMA, selenite (Se$^{4+}$), selenate (Se$^{6+}$), selenomethione (SeMet), and selenocystine (SeCys$_2$) (Bujdos et al., 2005; Wang and Mulligan, 2006). A recent method displayed the ability to separate all eight species in a timely and sensitive manner using ion-pairing reversed phase chromatography with inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (IPRP-ICPMS) for online detection (Afton et al., 2008). In addition, the fast, multi-elemental detection at trace levels allowing for the sensitivity and selectivity provided by ICPMS has previously been used for selenium and arsenic speciation in plant matrices (Pedro et al., 2007; Bluemlein et al., 2008).

In this study, the selected plant species is the *Chlorophytum comosum*, commonly known as the spider plant. *C. comosum* is generally known to be robust in varying cultivation conditions allowing for ease of care and possesses an extensive root system beneficial for nutrient and contaminant absorption. Further, earlier studies in this laboratory have shown preferential segregation of metal toxins in the plant roots (Mounicou et al., 2006a; Yathavalkilla and Caruso, 2007). The two main plant compartments, leaves and roots, were monitored for the absorption and translocation of selenium and arsenic metabolites. This study probes the potential effects of single and simultaneous addition of selenium and arsenic within *C. comosum* plants.

**Materials and methods**

**Instrumentation**

High-performance liquid chromatography: Chromatographic separations were accomplished with an Agilent 1100 liquid chromatograph by Agilent Technologies (Santa Clara, CA) equipped with a vacuum de-gasser system, a binary HPLC pump, an autosampler, and a thermostated column compartment. The column used for SEC was a Superdex Peptide 10/300 GL (10 mm×300 mm×13 μm) from Amersham Pharmacia Biotech AB (Uppsala, Sweden) and was calibrated with the following standards: cytochrome C, 12.5 kDa; insulin chain B oxidized, 3.5 kDa; and vitamin B$_{12}$, 1.4 kDa obtained from Sigma-Aldrich Co. (St Louis, MO). Reversed phase chromatography was carried out with a ZORBAX Eclipse XDB-C18 column (5 μm×4.6 mm id×250 mm) from Agilent Technologies (Santa Clara, CA).

Inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry: The ICPMS used for specific element detection was an Agilent 7500ce by Agilent Technologies (Santa Clara, CA). The instrument was equipped with a microconcentric nebulizer made by Glass Expansion (Pocasset, MA), a Scott double channel spray chamber (cooled to 2 °C), a shielded torch,
an octopole collision/reaction cell with hydrogen gas pressurization (purity of 99.999%), a quadrupole mass analyser and an electron multiplier for detection.

Lyophilization and digestion: A Flexi-Dry MP lyophilizer (Stoneridge, NY) was used for freeze-drying purposes. The microwave system used for digestion was an Intelligent Explorer/Discover system produced by the CEM Corporation (Mathews, NC). The microwave system was programmable for time, temperature, power, and pressure, and equipped with a 24 vial autosampler and a self-contained microwave chamber.

A summary of all instrumental conditions can be found in Table 1.

Reagents and standards

All the solutions were prepared in 18 MΩ cm⁻¹ doubly deionized water (DDW) processed by Sybron/Barnstead (Boston, MA). Standards used for supplementation and identification were the following: disodium methyl arsonate hexahydrate (MMA) purchased from Chem Service (West Chester, PA); L(+)-selenomethionine (SeMet), the form commonly found within biological samples such as plants (Iwaoka et al., 2008), obtained from Acros Organics (Morris Plains, NJ); sodium (meta)arsenite (AsIII), cacodylic acid (DMA), and seleno-L-cystine (SeCys2) acquired from Fluka (Milwaukee, WI); potassium arsenate (AsV), potassium selenate (SeVI), and sodium selenite (SeIV) purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St Louis, MO).

For total elemental analysis, digestion of plant biomass was accomplished using nitric acid (HNO₃) obtained from Pharmco Products Inc. (Brookfield, CT) and hydrogen peroxide (30%) from Fisher Scientific (Fair Lawn, NJ). Claritas PPT selenium and arsenic elemental standards used for quantification were acquired from SpexCertiPrep (Metuchen, NJ). Calibration standards of 1.0 μg l⁻¹ to 500 μg l⁻¹ were prepared through dilution from a stock solution with 2% v/v HNO₃.

The following depicts the preparation of mobile phases used for plant extraction and chromatographic separation. The mobile phase for SEC and general plant biomass extraction was made by dissolving tris(hydroxymethyl) aminomethane hydrochloride (TRIS-HCl) from Fisher Scientific (Fair Lawn, NJ) in DDW and adjusting the pH with hydrochloric acid. For IPRP-ICPMS, mobile phase A contained 5 mmol l⁻¹ tetrabutylammonium hydroxide (TBAH) from Fluka (Milwaukee, WI) and 2.5 mmol l⁻¹ ammonium phosphate from Sigma-Aldrich Co. (St Louis, MO) at pH 6.0. Mobile phase B contained 10 mmol l⁻¹ ammonium sulphate from Sigma-Aldrich Co. (St Louis, MO) at pH 6.0. The pH was adjusted with phosphoric acid for mobile phase A and ammonium hydroxide for mobile phase B. A summary of the mobile phase conditions are depicted in Table 1. All samples were filtered through a 0.2 μm membrane syringe filter by Econofilters from Agilent Technologies, Inc. (Santa Clara, CA) before being injected into the HPLC-ICPMS.

| Table 1. Instrumental conditions in this study |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| **ICP-MS**                                    |
| Forward power                                 | 1500 W |
| Plasma gas flow                               | 15.0 l min⁻¹ |
| Carrier gas flow                              | 0.96 l min⁻¹ |
| Makeup gas flow                               | 0.14 l min⁻¹ |
| Collision gas                                 | 3.5 ml min⁻¹ H₂ |
| Quadrupole bias                               | -16.0 V |
| Octopole bias                                 | -18.0 V |
| Monitored isotopes                            | ⁷⁵As, ⁷⁷Se, ⁷⁸Se, ⁶⁰Se, ⁶²Se |
| Dwell time                                    | 100 ms per isotope |
| **HPLC**                                      |
| **SEC**                                       |
| Mobile phase                                  | 100 mmol l⁻¹ TRIS-HCl (pH 7.5) |
| Flow rate                                     | 0.60 ml min⁻¹ |
| Injection volume                              | 100 μl |
| **IPRP**                                      |
| Mobile phase (A)                              | 5 mmol l⁻¹ TBAH in 2.5 mmol l⁻¹ (NH₄)₂PO₄ (pH 6.0) |
| Mobile phase (B)                              | 10 mmol l⁻¹ (NH₄)₂SO₄ (pH 6.0) |
| Flow rate                                     | 1.0 ml min⁻¹ |
| Injection volume                              | 100 μl |
| **Gradient programme**                       |
| Time (min)                                    | 0   | 0.5 | 1.5 | 5  | 6  | 18 |
| % A                                          | 100 | 100 | 0   | 0  | 100| 100 |
| % B                                          | 0   | 0   | 100 | 100| 0  | 0  |

| **Microwave**                                 |
| Power (W)                                     | 125 | 125 | 150 |
| Ramp (min)                                    | 1:00 | 1:00 | 1:00 |
| Hold (min)                                    | 1:00 | 2:00 | 2:00 |
| Temperature (°C)                              | 120 | 175 | 170 |

Plant growth and supplementation

The C. comosum was cultivated from seed at the University of Cincinnati greenhouse, Department of Biological Sciences, Cincinnati, OH. The general purpose potting soil used to cultivate the plants was Premier Pro-Mix (Riviere-du-Loup, Quebec, Canada). During the growth period, plants were fertilized with 25% Hoagland solution as needed (Hoagland and Arnon, 1938). After 9 months of growth, the plants were split into six groups and supplemented with varying combinations of NaAsO₂, K₂SeO₄, and Na₂SeO₃ at 25 ml d⁻¹ for 4 d as depicted: Group I, 30 mg l⁻¹ SeIV; Group II, 30 mg l⁻¹ SeVI; Group III, 20 mg l⁻¹ AsIII; Group IV, 30 mg l⁻¹ SeIV and 20 mg l⁻¹ AsIII; Group V, 30 mg l⁻¹ SeVI and 20 mg l⁻¹ AsIII; Group VI, control. AsIII was chosen for supplementation based on prior studies depicting the formation of a selenium and arsenic complex within a mammalian system after simultaneous supplementation with selenium (Gailer et al., 2000). Subsequently, the plants were allowed to mature for one additional week before harvesting. The health of each plant was visually...
indifferent to the supplementation type given. During the process of harvesting, the plants were separated into roots and leaves, washed with DDW, and lyophilized. Finally, the plants were homogenized into a powder and stored at −20 °C to prevent any further enzymatic activity leading to interspecies conversion, therefore changing the native distribution.

Total selenium and arsenic determination

For the determination of total selenium and arsenic in C. comosum, a closed vessel microwave digestion system was used. Three replicates of lyophilized plant biomass for each supplementation type were subjected to the following three stage digestion programme, which is summarized in Table 1. Briefly, 1 ml of HNO3 was added to approximately 50 mg of plant biomass and digested by Stage 1 and Stage 2 conditions. Subsequently, 0.2 ml of 30% H2O2 were added to the solution and digested by Stage 3 conditions. Following the microwave digestion sequence, the resulting solutions were diluted with DDW to 50 ml and analysed by ICPMS in continuous flow sample introduction mode. Of the selenium isotopes monitored, 78Se was found to give the lowest limits of detection.

Extraction procedures for plant tissues

A mild extraction procedure was incorporated in order to preserve the labile compounds in C. comosum plant tissue. In summary, 30 mg of homogenized plant biomass from the root or leaf were combined with 1.5 ml of 20 mmol l⁻¹ TRIS-HCl (pH 7.5) and stirred at room temperature for 1.5 h. The solution was then centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 15 min. The supernatant was decanted, filtered through a 0.2 μm filter and 100 μl were injected into the SEC-ICPMS and IPRP-ICPMS. The chromatographic mobile phase conditions can be found in Table 1. In addition, total elemental analysis of the supernatant via ICPMS was performed. Extraction efficiencies were calculated as a percentage of the total elemental analysis of the lyophilized plant tissue. A similar treatment was used for all plant supplementation types.

Results and discussion

Total element accumulation

Total C. comosum accumulation of selenium and arsenic was determined via microwave digestion and subsequent analysis by continuous flow ICPMS. The resulting selenium and arsenic concentrations of the leaves and roots for the varying supplementation types are depicted in Fig. 1. The error bars represent one standard deviation of three replicates for each supplementation type. Overall, the results show a sequestering of selenium and arsenic species in the C. comosum roots, which agrees with previous studies demonstrating species sequestering in the roots after supplementation of selenium in Brassica oleracea (Pedrero et al., 2007) and arsenic in Brassica juncea (Pickering et al., 2000).

The total concentration of selenium in the roots of the Se⁴⁺ supplemented plants was 18.7 μg g⁻¹, which displays the inability of C. comosum to accumulate large concentrations of selenium. The difference in accumulation and translocation of selenium between different supplementation types was ascertained by the total selenium concentrations of 1.7 μg g⁻¹ for the leaves and 18.7 μg g⁻¹ for the roots after Se⁴⁺ supplementation, whereas after Se⁶⁺ supplementation, concentrations were 7.2 μg g⁻¹ for the leaves and 10.8 μg g⁻¹ for the roots. These findings suggest an increased rate of selenium translocation from roots to leaves in C. comosum after supplementation with Se⁶⁺ versus Se⁴⁺, which is in agreement with previous plant studies (Shrift, 1969). General consensus defines plants as non-accumulators that accumulate less than 25 μg g⁻¹ of environmental contaminants, which classifies C. comosum as a selenium non-accumulator. In contrast to selenium uptake, greater arsenic accumulation was observed. The total concentration of arsenic in roots of the As⁢III supplemented plants was 51.9 μg g⁻¹, which demonstrates the capability of C. comosum for arsenic accumulation. In the leaves of the As⁢III
supplemented plants, the total concentration of arsenic was 9.1 µg g⁻¹, therefore showing a considerable resistance to arsenic translocation. General consensus defines plants that accumulate 25–100 µg g⁻¹ of environmental contaminants as secondary absorbers, which is the case for *C. comosum*.

For Se⁴⁺ and As³⁺ supplemented plants, 10.4 µg g⁻¹ of selenium and 38.9 µg g⁻¹ of arsenic were observed in the roots, exhibiting a 44.4% and 25.0% decrease in accumulation, respectively, compared to single elemental supplementation. For Se⁶⁺ and As³⁺ supplemented plants, 6.1 µg g⁻¹ of selenium and 15.2 µg g⁻¹ of arsenic were detected in the roots showing a 43.5% and 70.7% decrease, respectively, compared to single element supplementation. These findings suggest a mutual antagonism between selenium and arsenic upon simultaneous *C. comosum* supplementation. In accordance with individual supplementation, the degree of accumulation in the roots or leaves of *C. comosum* varied according to the form of selenium supplemented to the soil.

Overall, selenium and arsenic antagonism may occur by several pathways. The selenium and arsenic species may bind and form an insoluble complex, such as orpiment (As₂Se₃), resulting in a biologically unavailable selenium and arsenic species. Bacteria have been shown to reduce selenium and sulphur from selenate and sulphate to selenide and sulphide, respectively (Nelson *et al.*, 1996; Zehr and Oremland, 1987). It has also been demonstrated that sulphide, when produced abiotically or microbially, can chemically reduce arsenic resulting in the formation of As₂S₃ (Stolz and Oremland, 1999). These findings support a possible formation of As₂Se₃ in the soil environment after simultaneous supplementation of selenium and arsenic. Another possibility allowing for mutual detoxification of the two environmental contaminants may be through the formation of an arsenic–selenium complex similar to that observed in the mammalian system: seleno-bist(S-glutathionyl) arsinium ion [(GS)₂AsSe]⁻ (Gailer *et al.*, 2000). In order to investigate further a possible selenium and arsenic-containing species in *C. comosum*, SEC-ICPMS and IPRP-ICPMS were utilized.

**Root extract characterization of selenium and arsenic species**

The utilization of SEC-ICPMS provided an overall molecular weight distribution of the selenium and arsenic containing compounds in *C. comosum*. Plant roots from varying supplementation combinations were analysed after a general extraction at near physiological pH. An example of the extraction efficiencies for the plant roots were calculated as 91±6% (³⁵As) and 31±4% (³²Se) with Se⁴⁺ and As³⁺ supplemented plants (n=3). Although these results display a near complete arsenic extraction, a large amount of selenium remained in the unextracted fraction of the root. The resulting chromatograms after injecting 100 µl of the water-soluble plant supernatant from the TRIS-HCl extraction into the SEC-ICPMS are represented in Fig. 2. The SEC column recovery was calculated as 108±6% (³⁵As) and 102±3% (³²Se) for Se⁴⁺ and As³⁺ supplemented plants (n=3) indicating negligible loss from analyte adsorption to the stationary phase. The predominant selenium and arsenic species eluted after the 1.4 kDa standard in all chromatograms, which indicates small molecules such as peptides or inorganic species. High molecular weight species were more prevalent in plants supplemented with Se⁶⁺ than Se⁴⁺, which suggests an alteration in the selenium metabolism depending on the supplementation form. The lack of a void volume peak in the arsenic profiles illustrates arsenic exclusion from macromolecules such as proteins. Overall, the profile consistency demonstrates a general conservation of selenium and arsenic species, whether singly or simultaneously supplemented.

While the overall selenium accumulation was reduced when arsenic was supplemented simultaneously, the consistency of the profile suggests that the metabolic pathway

---

![Fig. 2.](image-url) **Fig. 2.** ³²Se and ³⁵As SEC-ICPMS chromatograms of the root extracts from *C. comosum* after varying supplementation combinations; the profiles shown are highly similar to several other chromatograms collected from identically treated plant material.
remains predominantly unaltered. This same phenomenon is also observed in comparing the arsenic profile of the root extract from plants supplemented with arsenic including or excluding selenium. After investigation of the selenium and arsenic chromatograms, a lack of profile overlap demonstrates that a selenium and arsenic-containing molecule was not present in the plant roots regardless of the supplementation type. Whereas total elemental analysis provides evidence of a selenium and arsenic antagonism, the metabolic pathway of interaction did not result in a water-soluble selenium and arsenic-containing molecule in C. comosum.

To characterize further the selenium and arsenic-containing compounds in C. comosum root extracts after varying supplementation combinations, IPRP-ICPMS was incorporated and the resulting chromatograms are shown in Fig. 3. The calculated column recovery was $87\pm3\%$ ($^{75}\text{As}$) and $55\pm1\%$ ($^{78}\text{Se}$) for Se$^{IV}$ and As$^{III}$ supplemented plants ($n=3$) indicating a minimal loss of arsenic from analyte adsorption to the stationary phase; however, the selenium loss may be caused by non-eluting selenium macromolecular compounds. Although the amount of selenium and arsenic in the soil was not quantified, the control plants provide insight into the low molecular weight species metabolized after long-term exposure to selenium and arsenic concentrations naturally found in commercial soil over the 9 month cultivation period. Only inorganic selenium and arsenic species were observed in C. comosum control roots. In addition, plants supplemented with selenium or arsenic singly showed a decrease in abundance for arsenic or selenium species, respectively, which supports the proposed antagonistic effect between the two.

Inorganic selenium species were predominately observed in the selenium supplemented C. comosum roots. Specifically in plants supplemented with Se$^{IV}$, the concentration of Se$^{IV}$ and Se$^{VI}$ in root extracts was 14.3% and 74.6% of the total, respectively. The specific percentages reported in the manuscript for IPRP-ICPMS chromatograms are qualitative and used to aid visual interpretation. While the chromatograms were reproducible, no statistical analysis was performed. The conversion of the selenium species to a more oxidized form than originally supplemented is contradictory to the suggested metabolic pathway of selenium in a plant (Terry et al., 2000). This finding suggests that oxidation occurred in the rhizosphere, the dynamic microenvironment immediately surrounding the plant roots, and may provide conditions significantly different from the adjacent bulk soil (Wenzel et al., 1999). The difference in bulk soil pH may be described by the pH values for the solutions administered during supplementation: NaAsO$_2$ (9.15), K$_2$SeO$_4$ (7.17), and Na$_2$SeO$_3$ (8.77). In order to acquire the necessary anions for biological processes, mmols of OH$^-$ can be released from the plant roots creating a potential difference between the root-soil interface, which allows for the absorption of anions such as NO$_3^-$, Cl$^-$, SO$_4^{2-}$ and H$_2$PO$_4^-$, to maintain the charge balance. The overall process generates rhizosphere alkalinity (Nye, 1981; Hedley et al., 1982). In addition, a prior study found Se$^{VI}$ to be the major form of selenium in environmental water sources at higher pH values (Bujdos et al., 2005).

After the initial supplementation with Se$^{IV}$, the selenium species may have oxidized to Se$^{VI}$ due to an alkaline pH shift during nutrient uptake, which would allow for direct absorption of selenium into the plant root through the sulphate pathway. Plants supplemented with Se$^{VI}$ revealed a similar selenium chromatographic profile in general; however, Se$^{IV}$ and Se$^{VI}$ made up 0.5% and 98.8% of the total concentration, respectively, which provides evidence for the storage of inorganic selenium to favour Se$^{VI}$. The lack of Se$^{IV}$ observed after Se$^{VI}$ supplementation suggests a passive induction of Se$^{IV}$ into C. comosum roots after Se$^{IV}$ supplementation instead of through a reduction pathway in

![Fig. 3. $^{78}\text{Se}$ and $^{75}\text{As}$ IPRP-ICPMS chromatograms of the root extracts from C. comosum after varying supplementation combinations; the profiles shown are highly similar to several other chromatograms collected from identically treated plant material.](image)
the plant root. The findings suggest a direct absorption of selenium if *C. comosum* is supplemented with SeV, but a combination of passive and direct absorption of selenium if *C. comosum* is supplemented with SeIV.

In the root extract of AsIII-supplemented plants, AsIII and AsV made up 82.1% and 17.9% of the total concentration, respectively. These data suggest that the oxidation of the arsenic species from AsIII to AsV may occur in the rhizosphere and subsequently be reduced to AsIII after absorption through the phosphate pathway in the plant root. A prior study showed considerable amounts of AsIII found in *Solanum lycopersicum* (tomato), *Zea mays* (corn), *Pisum sativum* (pea), and *Cucumis melo* (melon) after supplementation with AsV (Nissen and Benson, 1982). As an alternative metabolic pathway, AsIII may be passively absorbed in the root with subsequent partial oxidation to AsV. Previous work has shown that AsIII oxidation and AsV reduction can occur in plant roots (Tu et al., 2004). Although past studies have shown the production of phytochelatins as a means of arsenic detoxification within a plant (Schulz et al., 2008), *C. comosum* utilizes an alternate detoxification pathway. However, the production of phytochelatins may facilitate arsenic transport to the vacuole for storage in plant cells, as previously shown during a plant's heavy metal detoxification process (Shaw et al., 2006).

The predominant species observed in root extracts of the SeIV and AsIII supplemented plants were SeV, AsIII and, to a lesser extent, SeIV, SeMet, SeCys2, and AsV. The major metabolites detected in the root extracts from the SeVI and AsIII supplemented *C. comosum* were SeVI and AsIII with AsV as a minor species. For selenium species, the overall concentration of SeVI was similar in the plants supplemented with SeIV compared with the SeIV and AsIII supplementation at 74.6% of the total selenium concentration. A similar trend was noted for SeVII supplemented plants compared with SeV and AsIII supplementation. However, the overall concentration of SeIV was reduced by more than half in plants supplemented with SeIV compared with SeIV and AsIII supplementation at 6.6% and 14.3%, respectively, of the total extracted selenium concentration. These results suggest a greater restriction on the passive absorption of SeIV in the roots of *C. comosum* than the direct absorption of SeVI, which may have been caused by an interaction with arsenic in the rhizosphere. For arsenic species, the overall concentration set as a ratio of AsV/AsIII yielded 21.9% for AsIII supplemented plants, but 7.2% and 8.6% for AsIII and SeIV and AsIII and SeVI supplemented plants, respectively. The observed loss of AsV suggests the metabolic pathway used by *C. comosum* for arsenic absorption and metabolism. If AsIII was oxidized in the rhizosphere to AsV, then subsequently absorbed directly

*Fig. 4.* 75Se and 75As SEC-ICPMS chromatograms of the leaf extracts from *C. comosum* after varying supplementation combinations; the profiles shown are highly similar to several other chromatograms collected from identically treated plant material.

*Fig. 5.* A summary of the metabolism pathway for the water-soluble selenium and arsenic species after varying supplementation types in soil, rhizosphere, roots, and leaves of *C. comosum*. HMW, high molecular weight compounds; Seorg, organic selenium species.
through the phosphate pathway before being reduced to As\textsuperscript{III}, a decrease in the arsenic concentration absorbed from the simultaneous addition of selenium should decrease the amount of As\textsuperscript{III} observed. Since the contrary was found, the supplemented form of arsenic, As\textsuperscript{III}, is suggested to be absorbed passively as As\textsuperscript{III} and partially oxidized to As\textsuperscript{V} in the plant root.

Leaf extract characterization of selenium and arsenic species

In order to monitor the selenium and arsenic species after translocation and possible further metabolism in the leaf compartment, 100 µl from the TRIS-HCl extraction of \textit{C. comosum} leaves were injected into the SEC-ICPMS and the resulting chromatograms are depicted in Fig. 4. As noted in the chromatograms from the root extract, the major selenium and arsenic species in the leaf extract eluted after the 1.4 kDa standard, thus depicting small molecules such as peptides or inorganic species. Upon observing the selenium and arsenic chromatographic profile similarities and the decrease in elemental abundance from root to leaf regardless of supplementation type, it is suggested that compounds metabolized in \textit{C. comosum} roots are not readily translocated nor further metabolized in the leaves, which supports the earlier total elemental analysis results.

However, an exception was observed for plants supplemented with Se\textsuperscript{VI}. In contrast to observations made from the plant root extracts, high molecular weight species were more prevalent in the leaves of plants supplemented with Se\textsuperscript{VI} than Se\textsuperscript{IV} indicating an alteration in the selenium metabolism. The reason may simply be due to the increased solubility of Se\textsuperscript{VI} versus Se\textsuperscript{IV}, which allows for greater mobility resulting in an increased rate of translocation. In addition, the lack of a void volume peak in the selenium plant profile when supplemented with Se\textsuperscript{IV} indicates sequestering high molecular weight selenium species (greater than 12 kDa) in the roots of \textit{C. comosum}.

In comparing the selenium and arsenic profiles of the plant leaves supplemented singly versus simultaneously with selenium and arsenic, several similar peaks were observed. While the overall concentration of selenium and arsenic was reduced during simultaneous supplementation, the chromatographic peak profile consistency illustrates that the metabolic pathway remained predominantly unaffected. After further investigation of the selenium and arsenic profiles, a lack of chromatographic peak overlap reveals that a selenium and arsenic containing molecule was not present in the plant leaves regardless of the supplementation administered. Considering the low concentration and general conservation of translocated selenium and arsenic species in \textit{C. comosum} leaves, IPRP-ICPMS was not performed. A summary of the proposed metabolic pathways after arsenic or selenium supplementation in \textit{C. comosum} can be found in Fig. 5. Future studies will work towards a universal model by elucidating the metabolism of selenium and arsenic in other non-hyperaccumulating plants.
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