Spatially resolved X-ray spectra of the galactic SNR G18.95-1.1: SRG/eROSITA view
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ABSTRACT

Aims. We study the X-ray emission of the galactic supernova remnant (SNR) G18.95-1.1 with the eROSITA telescope on board the Spectrum Röntgen Gamma (SRG) orbital observatory. In addition to the pulsar wind nebula that was previously identified and examined by ASCA and Chandra, we study the X-ray spectra of the bright SNR ridge, which is resolved into a few bright clumps.

Methods. The wide field of view and the large collecting area in the 0.2–2.3 keV energy range of SRG/eROSITA allowed us to perform spatially resolved spectroscopy of G18.95-1.1.

Results. The X-ray ridge of G18.95-1.1 is asymmetric, indicating either supernova ejecta asymmetry or their interaction with a cloud. The X-ray dim northern regions outside the pulsar wind nebula can be described by a thin thermal plasma emission with a temperature ∼0.3 keV and a solar composition. The X-ray spectra of a few bright clumps located along the southern ridge may be satisfactorily approximated by a single thermal component of the Si-rich ejecta at the collisional ionization equilibrium with a temperature of about 0.3 keV. The bright ridge can be alternatively fit with a single component that is not dominated by equilibrium ejecta with T ∼ 0.6 keV. The high ratio of the derived Si/O abundances indicates that the ejecta originated in deep layers of the progenitor star. The plasma composition of a southern Si-rich clump and the bright ridge are similar to what was earlier found in the Vela shrapnel A and G.
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1. Introduction

Supernova remnant (SNR) G18.95-1.1, which is located in the Galactic plane within the central 90° of the Milky Way, was discovered by Fuerst et al. (1985) in the course of the 2.695 GHz Galactic plane survey (Reich et al. 1984). Later on, it was studied at other frequencies in the radio band by Odegard (1986), Barnes & Turtle (1988), Patnaik et al. (1988), Fuerst et al. (1989), Fuerst et al. (1997), Reich (2002), and Sun et al. (2011). The radio and X-ray observations revealed that G18.95-1.1 belongs to the class of the composite-type SNR with a central peak emission. The central region is dominated by a pulsar wind nebula (PWN) that was studied with the Chandra X-ray Observatory (Tüllmann et al. 2010). In the optical, the H_γ imaging of the G18.95-1.1 region was performed by Stupar & Parker (2011). In X-rays, G18.95-1.1 was observed with the ROSAT (Aschenbach et al. 1991; Fuerst et al. 1997), ASCA (Harrus et al. 2004), and Chandra (Tüllmann et al. 2010) orbital X-ray observatories. The γ-source FL8Y J1829.5-1254 in the Fermi-LAT catalog (Acero et al. 2016) may be associated with this supernova remnant.

The ROSAT X-ray spectrum of G18.95-1.1 was fit by Aschenbach et al. (1991) with an absorbed thermal emission model with N_H = 3.4 × 10^{21} cm^{-2} and T = 0.43 keV. Their analysis also revealed two other χ²-statistics minima (reduced χ² < 1) with parameter values N_H = 9.5 × 10^{20} cm^{-2}, T = 0.2 keV, and N_H = 5.2 × 10^{20} cm^{-2}, T = 1.1 keV, which were rejected. Fuerst et al. (1997) obtained a slightly different result with best-fit parameters values N_H = 3.4 × 10^{21} cm^{-2}, T = 0.95 keV (χ²/d.o.f. = 0.84), and N_H = 12.5 × 10^{21} cm^{-2}, T = 0.25 keV (χ²/d.o.f. = 0.86). They adopted the lower value of N_H = (3.4 ± 1.5)×10^{21} cm^{-2}, which is consistent within the 90% confidence level errors with the N_H = (2.0–2.2) × 10^{21} cm^{-2} obtained from the HI radio observations (Fuerst et al. 1997). On the other hand, analyzing a joint fit of the ROSAT and ASCA spectra, Harrus et al. (2004) obtained higher values of N_H for a few various plasma emission models: collisional ionization equilibrium (CIE), the thermal MEKAL (Mewe-Gronenstrich-Kaastra) model by Mewe et al. (1985); Kaastra & Jansen (1993), and thermal emission with nonequilibrium ionization (NEI), the PSOCH model by Borkowski et al. (2001). The best-fit model parameters were N_H = 8.4 × 10^{21} cm^{-2}, T = 0.58 keV for the CIE and N_H = 9.4 × 10^{21} cm^{-2}, T = 0.9 keV for the NEI models with solar abundances. However, for the single-component models, the reduced χ² values were rather high (χ²/d.o.f. = 1.76, d.o.f. = 89 for NEI and χ²/d.o.f. = 3.15, d.o.f. = 90 for CIE models), which might be evidence that more complicated models of the source emission are required that allow abundance variation or additional components. The distance estimates to SNR G18.95-1.1 were discussed in detail by Fuerst et al. (1989), Harrus et al. (2004), and Tüllmann et al. (2010). It was suggested that the most plausible distance is ~2 kpc, which agrees with the HI measurements by Fuerst et al. (1989). The higher N_H value obtained from the X-ray spectral analysis might be...
explained when molecular H$_2$ or dust are assumed to contribute substantially to absorption in the direction to G18.95-1.1. This is discussed in more detail at the end of Sect. 2.

Chandra observations of G18.95-1.1 by Tüllmann et al. (2010) were dedicated to study the PWN, and the Chandra field of view (FOV) only permitted studying its immediate surroundings. The temperature of the plasma emission component in the PWN region was found to be $T = 0.48 \text{ keV}$, and the power-law component index was found to vary from 1.4 to 1.9, depending on the size of the considered emission region. The relatively hard power-law index and the elongated shape of the PWN may indicate that the bow shock-type nebula is produced by the interaction with the flow behind the reverse shock that passed through the PWN, as was proposed for the Vela PWN by Chevalier & Reynolds (2011) and Bykov et al. (2017).

The location of G18.95-1.1 in the inner galactic plane makes the spectral analysis rather difficult because of the uncertainty and inhomogeneity of the background radiation and the contamination by the emission from point sources projected at the SNR. The brightest X-ray point source is the ROSAT emission excess J182848-130055 discussed by Fuerst et al. (1997) and Harrus et al. (2004). In the ASCA map, this source is located at the position J182849.9-130107.44. Harrus et al. (2004) discussed the possible coincidence of this source with the star J182850.08-130120.3. Another point source is CXOU J182913.1-125113, which is located at the edge of the PWN region and is a pulsar candidate. However, it has no radio or optical counterpart (Tüllmann et al. 2010). There are also other point sources in the vicinity of the SNR. The Chandra observatory is ideally suited for identifying and excising the point sources, but its FOV covers only a small part of the G18.95-1.1 remnant. ASCA and ROSAT observations covered the whole remnant, but the exclusion of the point sources is more difficult due to their lower angular resolution. The nonuniformity of the background emission and limited ASCA and ROSAT FOV areas mean that obtaining background spectra was difficult as well.

The extended Roentgen Survey with an Imaging Telescope Array (eROSITA) telescope (Predehl et al. 2021) on board the recently launched SRG observatory (Sunyaev et al. 2021) provided a good opportunity for studying this remnant. eROSITA has an excellent sensitivity in the 0.5–2.3 keV energy band, an on-axis angular resolution of 16 arcsec half-power diameter (HPD) at 1.5 keV, and a very good spectral resolution $\sim 70$ eV full width at half maximum (FWHM) below 1 keV. During the performance verification (PV) phase, it observed an area of $\sim 25$ square degrees in the Galactic plane centered at $l = 20^\circ$ with a nearly uniform exposure of $\approx 7$ ks. These observations fully covered G18.95-1.1 and its surrounding area. This enables us to accurately measure the background spectrum and to exclude point sources. In this paper, eROSITA data are used to study the SNR G18.95-1.1.

2. SRG/eROSITA observations of G18.95-1.1

The $\sim 25$ square degree area of the Galactic ridge around the latitude $l = 20^\circ$ was observed by eROSITA in October 2019 during the PV phase. The region was observed in the raster scan mode, which permitted us to obtain an almost uniform exposure of the scanned region with an effective (vignetting-corrected) exposure of $\approx 3.3$ ks (0.5–2.3 keV). G18.95-1.1 is located toward the edge of the scanned region. For this reason, the exposure varied across the remnant from $\sim 2.1$ ks in the south to $\approx 3.7$ ks in the north. The accurate knowledge of the telescope vignetting (Predehl et al.

### Table 1. Regions we used for the spectral analysis.

| Region | $\alpha$ | $\delta$ | Size/radius, (arcmin) |
|--------|---------|---------|----------------------|
| SNR    | 18:29:26.7940 | -12:58:03.993 | 18               |
| Bkg    | 18:29:26.7940 | -12:58:03.993 | 18–24             |
| C1     | 18:30:18.5314 | -12:58:43.695 | 4                 |
| box2   | 18:30:02.635 | -13:06:56.58 | 6.9–8.9           |
| C3     | 18:29:24.6016 | -13:11:10.213 | 4                 |
| C4     | 18:28:56.2220 | -13:06:27.934 | 4                 |
| C5     | 18:29:46.7551 | -12:52:55.426 | 4                 |
| C6     | 18:29:34.5075 | -12:45:33.797 | 4                 |
| C7     | 18:28:52.5042 | -12:47:09.432 | 4                 |
| box8   | 18:28:41.0472 | -12:57:17.460 | 2.5–6.4           |
| box9   | 18:28:54.8106 | -12:55:16.133 | 2.9–7.8           |
| PWN    | 18:29:17.146 | -12:54:52.76 | 2.8–4.1           |

Notes. J2000 coordinates (RA/Dec) are listed. For the background region (Bkg), the inner and outer radii of the annulus are given. For the box regions, we give the sizes, and for the elliptical PWN region the semi-axis. The composite SR region shown in Fig. 2 is not listed in the table.

2021) means that the collected data allow detailed imaging and spectral analysis of the SNR. We present this below.

2.1. Image analysis

The eROSITA detectors are most sensitive in the 0.5–2.3 keV energy range. The X-ray eROSITA map constructed in this energy band is shown in Fig. 1 together with H$_{\alpha}$ contours from the SHASSA survey (Gaustad et al. 2001), other mission FOVs, and the regions we used for the spectral analysis. The $H_{\alpha}$ map is rather complicated in the area surrounding the remnant, but the northeast arc in the $H_{\alpha}$ map, which is very well correlated with the X-ray SNR shell, and the excess in $H_{\alpha}$ emission correlated with X-ray emission region C3 may be evidence of the SNR shell $H_{\alpha}$ emission.

Seven regions in the shell (C1, box2, C3, C4, C6, C7, and box8), the first four of which coincide with clumps of enhanced X-ray emission, were chosen for the spectral analysis. An SNR region including the whole remnant and the southern ridge (SR) region, encompassing regions box2, C3, and C4, were also used in the analysis. An elliptical region in the central part of the remnant, which is almost identical to the region $e3$ from Tüllmann et al. (2010), was used to study the PWN emission. These regions are listed in Table 1 and shown in Figs. 1 and 2. Point sources that are projected onto the source and background regions were excluded from the analysis. These sources are shown in Fig. 1 and listed in Table 2.

The position of the SRG brightest point source (1) is slightly shifted ($\sim 13''$) from the position in ASCA and is almost within the typical 12" ASCA 90% error circle radius. It also coincides (within $1''$) with the position of the star J182850.08-130120.3 from 2MASS$^1$ catalog, which was suggested as the counterpart of the ASCA brightest point source by Harrus et al. (2004).

2.2. Spectral analysis

The XSPEC$^2$ package v. 12.11.1 was used for the spectral analysis (Arnaud 1996). Thermal emission of optically thin plasma in an

---

1. http://irsa.ipac.caltech.edu/Missions/2mass.html
2. http://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/xanadu/xspec/

---
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SNR is typically described with spectral models either assuming collisional ionization equilibrium or nonequilibrium ionization. Harrus et al. (2004) applied both types of models to study the X-ray emission of the G18.95-1.1. The same model types are used in our analysis as well: the APEC CIE spectral model, which calculates the emission spectrum of collisionally ionized diffuse gas using atomic data from the AtomDB\(^3\) database, and the

PShock NEI model, which is a constant-temperature plane-parallel shocked-plasma emission model (e.g., Borkowski et al. 2001). APEC spectral data v.3.0.9 and eigenfunction data v.3.0.4 were used in XSPEC for the simulations. A TBABS interstellar absorption model with corresponding abundances (Wilms et al. 2000) was used to calculate the interstellar absorption.

The combined data from eROSITA telescope modules 1–4 and 6, which are unaffected by light leakage (Predehl et al. 2021), were used in the analysis. Most of the spectra were grouped to have more than 30 counts in a bin with the grppha FTOOLS\(^4\)

---

\(^{3}\) http://www.atomdb.org/

\(^{4}\) http://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/f tools/
Table 3. One-component CIE (APEC) spectral models for a low-temperature local $\chi^2$ minimum.

| Region | $N_H$ \((10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2})\) | $T$ (keV) | d.o.f. | $\chi^2$/d.o.f. |
|-------|-----------------|-----------|--------|-----------------|
| SNR   | 1.38$^{+0.03}_{-0.02}$ | 0.30$^{+0.01}_{-0.01}$ | 315 | 1.77 |
| C1    | 1.17$^{+0.06}_{-0.06}$ | 0.30$^{+0.02}_{-0.02}$ | 126 | 1.08 |
| box2  | 1.29$^{+0.08}_{-0.06}$ | 0.28$^{+0.02}_{-0.02}$ | 117 | 1.38 |
| C3    | 1.28$^{+0.07}_{-0.06}$ | 0.29$^{+0.02}_{-0.02}$ | 93 | 1.03 |
| C4    | 1.08$^{+0.07}_{-0.08}$ | 0.31$^{+0.02}_{-0.02}$ | 100 | 1.18 |
| C5    | 1.67$^{+0.13}_{-0.13}$ | 0.30$^{+0.04}_{-0.04}$ | 89 | 1.26 |
| C6    | 1.76$^{+0.26}_{-0.27}$ | 0.27$^{+0.07}_{-0.07}$ | 38 | 0.97 |
| C7    | 1.51$^{+0.24}_{-0.18}$ | 0.39$^{+0.09}_{-0.09}$ | 62 | 1.08 |
| box8  | 1.23$^{+0.21}_{-0.15}$ | 0.43$^{+0.11}_{-0.11}$ | 55 | 1.06 |
| box9  | 1.74$^{+0.25}_{-0.24}$ | 0.25$^{+0.07}_{-0.06}$ | 84 | 0.86 |
| SR    | 1.27$^{+0.05}_{-0.03}$ | 0.29$^{+0.02}_{-0.02}$ | 227 | 1.45 |

**Notes.** All errors are shown with 90% confidence level.

Table 4. One-component NEI (PHS luckily) spectral models for a high-temperature local $\chi^2$ minimum.

| Region | $N_H$ \((10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2})\) | $T$ (keV) | $\tau_u$ (s cm$^{-3}$) | d.o.f. | $\chi^2$/d.o.f. |
|-------|-----------------|-----------|----------------|--------|-----------------|
| SNR   | 1.08$^{+0.03}_{-0.04}$ | 0.62$^{+0.03}_{-0.03}$ | 3.6$^{+0.9}_{-0.7}$ $\times$ 10$^{11}$ | 314 | 1.72 |
| box2  | 0.92$^{+0.08}_{-0.08}$ | 0.73$^{+0.11}_{-0.09}$ | 1.9$^{+1.1}_{-0.7}$ $\times$ 10$^{11}$ | 116 | 1.19 |
| C3    | 0.94$^{+0.53}_{-0.10}$ | 0.63$^{+0.09}_{-0.38}$ | 3.4$^{+1.6}_{-0.5}$ $\times$ 10$^{11}$ | 92 | 1.17 |
| C4    | 0.85$^{+0.15}_{-0.10}$ | 0.57$^{+0.08}_{-0.12}$ | 5.1$^{+3.1}_{-1.8}$ $\times$ 10$^{11}$ | 99 | 1.13 |
| C5    | 1.25$^{+0.12}_{-0.13}$ | 0.71$^{+0.15}_{-0.11}$ | 4.7$^{+3.2}_{-2.0}$ $\times$ 10$^{11}$ | 88 | 1.25 |
| SR    | 0.93$^{+0.05}_{-0.05}$ | 0.67$^{+0.05}_{-0.04}$ | 3.1$^{+1.0}_{-0.8}$ $\times$ 10$^{11}$ | 226 | 1.18 |

**Notes.** Only regions with a high-temperature local $\chi^2$ minimum are listed. All errors are shown with 90% confidence level.

Varying the element abundances enables a significant improvement of the fits. We obtain a $\chi^2$/d.o.f. $\leq$ 1.03 for box2 and the SR regions and a $\chi^2$/d.o.f. $\approx$ 1.2 for the SNR. The fitting results are shown in Table 5. The abundances of Ne, Mg, Si, Fe, and Ni were varied (Ni and Fe abundances were assumed to be equal). Si is significantly overabundant, while the overabundance of Ne and Mg is moderate and is compatible with the solar value with a 90% confidence level for the spectrum of the box2 region. The Fe (and Ni) abundance is typically below the solar value. The discussed spectra are shown in Fig. 3. The spectrum of the SR region allows approximation by both low- and high-temperature models with similar values of the $\chi^2$/d.o.f. $\approx$ 1.03. The spectra of the box2 region and of the whole remnant allow only low-temperature fits ($\chi^2$/d.o.f. $= 1.0$ for box2 and $\chi^2$/d.o.f. $= 1.17$ for the SNR).

For the low-temperature NEI models, the ionization timescale $\tau_u$ is consistent with longest timescales covered by NEI models in XSPEC, and it is unconstrained on the lower side. The typical lower limits on $\tau_u$ are comparable to or higher than $\sim 10^{-2}$ s cm$^{-3}$ for most regions. In this case, the use of CIE APEC (or VAPEC) models is justified and appropriate. These CIE models are listed in Table 3. The lowest allowed values of $\tau_u$ ($\sim 4 \times 10^{-11}$ s cm$^{-3}$) are found for regions C1, box8, and C7. The best-fitting values of $\tau_u$ for these three regions are $9.0 \times 10^{11}$, $3.9 \times 10^{11}$, and $2.4 \times 10^{13}$ s cm$^{-3}$, respectively. While the value of $\tau_u$ is unconstrained on the upper side for these regions as well, it cannot be excluded that NEI effects play a role in these spectra. More observations are needed to clarify this point. For the higher-temperature PSO CIE (or VPS) models, we obtain $\tau_u \sim 3 \times 10^{11}$ s cm$^{-3}$ (Table 4), therefore it is preferable to use NEI models in this case. Below, we therefore used CIE and NEI models to describe low- and high-temperature models, respectively.

Multitemperature spectral models can also improve spectral fits for the regions of box2 and the SNR in comparison with the single-component models with fixed solar abundances. Table 6 presents the examples of spectral fitting results for the two-component models. The derived temperatures generally agree, but are somewhat below those obtained by Harrus et al. (2004) from the combined ROSAT PSPC and ASCA GIS analysis of the SNR. The multicomponent models can be justified in case of the extended SNR G18.95-1.1 emission. The single-component models with varied abundances allow describing emission spectra with a lower $\chi^2$/d.o.f. ratio for localized regions that can be associated with the stellar ejecta.

Despite the rather good model approximations obtained with VAPEC (VPSHOCK) models, there are notable residuals in the spectra of the whole SNR, box2, and SR regions. They are shown in Fig. 3. The residuals have several characteristic peaks at the energies, suggesting that they are caused mostly by the emission lines of Fe, Si, and possibly Ne, which are imperfectly reproduced by the simple one-component model used above (Fig. 3). The excess at $\sim 1.2$–$1.3$ keV is most interesting here because it indicates a connection with the Fe L emission line complex. This requires further investigation.

For the spectral analysis of the emission from the PWN region, which is almost identical to region e3 from Tüllmann et al. (2010), a two-component spectral model of $\text{thabs} + \text{apec} + \text{power law}$ was used. The model parameters for this fit are listed in Table 6 and its spectrum is shown in Fig. 4. The power-law index agrees within the statistical errors with the...
Table 5. One-component CIE (VAPEC) and NEI (VPSHOCK) spectral models with variable abundances.

| Model parameters | SR \[ tbabs * vpshock \] | SR \[ tbabs * vapec \] | box2 \[ tbabs * vapec \] | SNR \[ tbabs * vapec \] |
|------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| \( N_{\text{H}} \), \( 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2} \) | 0.74^{+0.09}_{-0.09} | 1.00^{+0.09}_{-0.10} | 0.92^{+0.15}_{-0.14} | 1.07^{+0.08}_{-0.06} |
| \( T \), keV | 0.59^{+0.07}_{-0.08} | 0.31^{+0.02}_{-0.02} | 0.30^{+0.04}_{-0.04} | 0.31^{+0.02}_{-0.01} |
| Ne | 2.3^{+1.6}_{-0.9} | 1.5^{+0.9}_{-0.5} | 1.8^{+2.2}_{-1.8} | 1.1^{+0.5}_{-0.3} |
| Mg | 2.7^{+2.0}_{-1.1} | 1.7^{+1.5}_{-0.6} | 2.2^{+2.1}_{-1.3} | 1.6^{+0.8}_{-0.5} |
| Si | 3.6^{+3.8}_{-1.8} | 5.4^{+5.0}_{-2.3} | 8.5^{+28.5}_{-3.5} | 3.9^{+2.3}_{-1.3} |
| Fe | 1.4^{+0.9}_{-0.5} | 0.9^{+0.6}_{-0.3} | 1.0^{+2.0}_{-0.5} | 0.8^{+0.3}_{-0.2} |
| \( \chi^2/\text{d.o.f.} \) | 234 | 235 | 122 | 332 |
| \( \tau_{\text{eq}} \), s cm\(^{-3} \) | 4.1^{+1.6}_{-1.1} \times 10^{11} | -- | -- | -- |
| Norm. \( \frac{10^{14}}{4\pi D^2} \int n_e n_{\text{H}} dV \) | \( 6.3^{+5.2}_{-2.4} \times 10^{-3} \) | \( 4.0^{+3.2}_{-1.9} \times 10^{-2} \) | \( 6.7^{+11.3}_{-5.2} \times 10^{-3} \) | \( 1.1^{+0.6}_{-0.4} \times 10^{-1} \) |

Notes. Models for SR, box2 and the whole SNR regions. All errors are shown with 90% confidence level. \( n_e \) and \( n_{\text{H}} \) are electron and H densities (cm\(^{-3} \)), and \( D \) is the distance to the source.

Fig. 3. Spectra of the whole SNR, box2, and SR regions fit with spectrum models with variable abundances of Ne, Mg, Si, and Fe (the Ni abundance was assumed equal to Fe). Upper left panel: spectrum of the whole SNR with the VAPEC model. Upper right panel: spectrum of the box2 region with the VAPEC model. Lower left panel: spectrum of the SR region with the VAPEC model. Lower right panel: spectrum of the SR region with the high-temperature VPSHOCK model. Each panel shows the spectrum data (black) and model (red) in the upper graph and the residuals in the lower graph. The model parameters for these spectra are given in Table 5.
Table 6. Two-component spectral models.

| Region | $N_H$ (10$^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$) | $T_1$ (keV) | 10$^{-14}$ $\frac{d\phi}{dE}$ $\int n_e n_H dV$ | $T_2$ (keV) | 10$^{-14}$ $\frac{d\phi}{dE}$ $\int n_e n_H dV$ | $\tau_0$ (s cm$^{-3}$) | d.o.f. | $\chi^2$/d.o.f. |
|--------|----------------|-----------|---------------------------------|-----------|---------------------------------|----------------|--------|----------------|
| SNR    | 1.33$^{+0.04}_{-0.03}$ | 0.19$^{+0.01}_{-0.01}$ | 0.50$^{+0.14}_{-0.09}$ | 0.47$^{+0.02}_{-0.02}$ | 7.4$^{+1.0}_{-0.7}$ | 10$^{-2}$ | 313 | 1.33 |
| box2   | 1.10$^{+0.11}_{-0.12}$ | 0.24$^{+0.05}_{-0.05}$ | 1.62$^{+0.46}_{-0.18}$ | 2.5$^{+1.1}_{-1.3}$ | 3.0$^{+0.5}_{-0.2}$ | 10$^{11}$ | 114 | 1.09 |
| PWN    | 1.66$^{+0.10}_{-0.13}$ | 0.26$^{+0.02}_{-0.03}$ | 4.1$^{+2.5}_{-1.7}$ | – | – | – | 106 | 0.91 |

Notes. Models $\text{thabs}(\text{apec}+\text{power})$, $\text{thabs}(\text{apec}+\text{apec})$, and $\text{thabs}(\text{apec}+\text{pow})$ for box2, the whole SNR, and PWN regions, respectively. All errors are shown with 90% confidence level, $n_e$ and $n_H$ are electron and H densities (cm$^{-3}$), and $D$ is the distance to the source. The power-law component of the PWN region spectral model has index 3.1$^{+0.2}_{-1.2}$ and a normalization $6.1^{+2.0}_{-1.0} \times 10^{14}$ ph keV$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ at 1 keV.

3. Discussion

SNR G18.95-1.1 has a complex asymmetric morphology. The bright emission regions shown in Fig. 1 are located within a ring with an inner radius of about 9' and an outer radius of about 15'. The H$\alpha$ half-shell emission excess of the same width observed in the southeastern part of the remnant (SHASSA survey, Gaustad et al. 2001) coincides with the X-ray ridge (see Fig. 1).

The maximum X-ray surface brightness of the structures corresponds to the angular distance of about 12' from the apparent center of the SNR. Therefore the outer radius of the bright ridge is within a ring 7.5 pc $\leq R \leq$ 12 pc at 3 kpc distance.

The structure of the X-ray ridge in Fig. 1 has a clear large-scale asymmetry that is most prominent in the southeastern part of the SNR. The optical H$\alpha$ emission in Fig. 1 (bottom panel) is more homogeneous in the field of G18.95-1.1. The X-ray ridge is not apparent in the radio images at 1.4 and 4.9 GHz presented by Forst et al. (1989), where one bright arc-like structure is associated with the PWN, while the second bright structure is located well away from the X-ray ridge. It is difficult to search for the X-ray synchrotron emission filaments produced by the ultra-relativistic electrons accelerated at the supernova blast wave, which are more prominent in the 4–6 keV energy band, in the eROSITA observations with ~3 ks exposure, keeping in mind that it is most sensitive below 2.3 keV. The radio maps do not show any thin bright filaments that might be associated with a forward shock (Fuerst et al. 1997), however.

Core-collapse supernovae are in many cases expected to expand into a wind bubble produced by a massive progenitor star (see, e.g., Chevalier & Liang 1989; Slane et al. 2000; Dwarkadas 2005; Chevalier & Fransson 2017, and the references therein).

http://dustmaps.readthedocs.io/en/latest/
The X-ray emitting mass in G18.95-1.1 can be estimated from the optical, radio, and X-ray bands by Fesen (2001), Milisavljevic & Fesen (2015), Slane et al. (2015), Arias et al. (2018), and Orlando et al. (2021) was explained as due to the NS kick in the asymmetric core collapse (see, e.g., Holland-Ashford et al. 2017; Laming & Temim 2020). Recently, asymmetric X-ray structures were studied with Chandra in shocked ejecta of core-collapsed SNR G320.4-1.2/MSH 15-52, where Ne-Mg rich ejecta were found (Borkowski et al. 2020).

The ionization timescale parameter in Table 4 for the clumps of the SR derived with the PSHOCK spectral model of the temperature $T \sim 0.6$ keV is consistent with the characteristic age of the shocked plasma of 4000–6000 yr, which was earlier estimated by Forst et al. (1989), Harrus et al. (2004), and Tüllmann et al. (2010). The spectral model with $T \sim 0.3$ keV requires a minimum ionization timescale of $\sim 10^{12}$ s cm$^{-3}$, which would rather imply an older age of $\geq 10^4$ yr.

The single-component spectral models presented in Table 5 clearly favor the high overabundance of Si compared to the solar composition in the SR regions. The NEI VPSHOCK model for the SR region have statistically acceptable fits for metal-rich ejecta with somewhat different temperatures of about 0.3 and 0.6 keV, respectively (and ionization timescales $\geq 10^{12}$ and $4.1^{+1.6}_{−1.0} \times 10^{11}$ s cm$^{-3}$), while the CIE VAPEC model allows only the low-temperature solution. The low-temperature NEI VPSHOCK fit (not shown in Table 5) requires a high value of the ionization timescale, so that the CIE VAPEC model is appropriate in this case. To study the composition of the SR and box2 regions, we fixed the abundances of C, N, O at the solar values because the available count statistics and relatively high $N_H$ values estimated for G18.95-1.1 do not allow us to obtain meaningful estimates of the C,N,O abundances. We found that a good CIE VAPEC model fit with a fixed O abundance provided Si/O and Si/Fe of $\sim 5$ and Si/Mg and Si/Ne of $\sim 3$. We recall the nearby Vela SNR (see, e.g., Miyata et al. 2001), where the situation was more favorable for studies of abundance variations, including that of the oxygen.

The X-ray image of the Vela SNR obtained with ROSAT by Aschenbach et al. (1995) discovered six extended X-ray features outside the forward shock. It was proposed that these features are fast-moving ejecta fragments formed by instabilities during the collapse of the progenitor star. Two of the fragments, dubbed shrapnel A and G, are located at the northeastern and southwestern edges of the Vela SNR, respectively. Using a single-temperature NEI model with $T \sim 0.5$ keV, Katsuda & Tsuemi (2006) estimated the abundances in shrapnel A to be $O \sim 0.3$, $Ne \sim 0.9$, $Mg \sim 0.8$, $Si \sim 3$, and $Fe \sim 0.8$ relative to their solar values. A similar Si-rich composition with relatively weak abundances of O, Ne, Mg, and Fe was derived with the two-temperature CIE and single-temperature NEI models from XMM-Newton spectra of shrapnel G Garcia et al. (2017). Moreover, the Si-group-illuminated jets or pistons in Cas A are very prominent in X-ray, optical, and infrared emission (see, e.g., DeLaney et al. 2010; Lopez & Fesen 2018). A possible way of formation of the bright rings of Si/S-rich material was demonstrated in the modeling of asymmetric ejecta of Cas A by Orlando et al. (2016). The high ratios of Si/O $\sim 5$–10 derived in shrapnels A and G in the Vela SNR and in the bright southern clumps in G18.95-1.1 may be understood if the observed ejecta material came from the deep inner layers of the progenitor star.

The X-ray emitting mass in G18.95-1.1 can be estimated from the apparent angular sizes of the SR clumps given in Table 1 and the X-ray flux normalization factors derived in the single-component spectral models listed in Table 5. Assuming some simplified geometry of the clumps and given the uncertainties

![Fig. 5. Hydrogen column density maps in the direction to SNR G18.95-1.1 derived from the bayestar19 data cubes (Green et al. 2019) using the DUSTMAPS Python package (Green 2018) for the two distances of 2 kpc (upper panel) and of 3 kpc (bottom panel). The contours of the X-ray emission as detected by SRG eROSITA in Fig. 1 are overlaid in both panels. The color-map bars show the estimated $N_H$ values in units of $10^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$.](image-url)
mentioned above, we can estimate the mass of X-ray emitting plasma as a few solar masses. Most of the emission from the SR region comes from the cores of three clumps (C1, box2, and C3). All of them have comparable values of the $\tau_{26}$ parameter of $\sim 3 \times 10^4$ s cm$^{-3}$ derived in the Table 4 and radii about 2 arcm. Assuming the age of G18.95-1.1 to be younger than 10 000 yr and a distance of 3 kpc, we can estimate the X-ray emitting clump masses to be just above one solar mass, which is consistent with the mass estimates obtained from the spectrum normalization given in Table 5. Deep multiwavelength observations of the SNR G18.95-1.1 ridge are required to reduce the uncertainties in the spectral model and obtain more accurate mass estimation.

There are some known uncertainties (see, e.g., Greco et al. 2020) in supernova ejecta mass estimations from the plasma emission measurement due to the degeneracy between the derived best-fit values of element abundances (namely a possibility of helium-rich ejecta, which is hard to constrain from X-rays). Therefore it is difficult to estimate the metal ejecta mass. Only the total X-ray emitting mass and the relative abundances of some elements can be estimated at the current level. The presence of the PWN (see Tüllmann et al. 2010) and the ejecta mass of a few $M_\odot$ estimated above are consistent with a type Ib or Ibc SN from a moderately massive progenitor star with an initial mass below 20 $M_\odot$.

We found in the spectra of the clumps (box2, C1, and C3), the SR, and the whole SNR G18.95-1.1 marginally significant spectral features at photon energies in the range 1.2–1.3 keV. If real, these spectral features could be attributed to the emission of Fe XVII - Fe XX from the Fe L complex (Gu et al. 2019), or as an alternative explanation, the 1.2–1.3 keV residuals could be the emission of Ne X Ly$_g$, Ly$_\gamma$, and Ly$_\delta$ lines (Cumbee et al. 2016). However, more observations are needed to confirm or reject the apparent spectral excess.

4. Summary

The X-ray eROSITA image of G18.95-1.1 revealed a complex asymmetric structure with a bright ridge of emission located mainly in the SE part of the remnant and a bright radially elongated structure. This structure was found in the radio imaging, and Chandra observations later confirmed that it is most likely a PWN. The apparent position and shape of the bow-shock-type PWN indicate a pulsar proper velocity of a few hundred km s$^{-1}$. The asymmetric shape of the X-ray ridge may be understood as the result of a recoil of the material that is ejected after the core collapse and given the direction of apparent motion of the pulsar due to its initial kick.

The wide FOV of eROSITA and the scanning observation mode provided a fairly uniform exposure across the SNR. This allowed us to study different background models. The column density values $N_H \sim (7.5 - 10) \times 10^{19}$ cm$^{-2}$ derived here from the spectra of the clump regions and the whole SNR generally agree with that obtained from the ASCA data analysis by Harrus et al. (2004) and Chandra studies of the PWN region by Tüllmann et al. (2010). These $N_H$ values are greater than those that were estimated earlier in a ROSAT data analysis (Aschenbach et al. 1991; Fuerst et al. 1997) and HI radio observations by Fuerst et al. (1989). The high $N_H$ values obtained in the X-ray data analysis of ASCA, Chandra, and eROSITA suggest that the distance to G18.95-1.1 is about 3 kpc, as illustrated in Fig. 5, while the issue requires further study.

The good spectral resolution of eROSITA revealed a double-peaked spectral structure just below 1 keV, where two spectral features of Fe-L and Ne lines at energies about 0.8 and 0.9 keV are clearly separated. In the eROSITA data analysis of G18.95-1.1, we have modeled the X-ray emission from the spatially resolved structures with both the collisional ionization equilibrium and nonequilibrium ionization XSPEC models. The single thermal CIE model with variable abundances provides satisfactory fits for both the dim northern region and the X-ray bright SR with a temperature about 0.3–0.4 keV. However, while the northern regions with temperatures $\sim$0.4 keV allow for a solar composition, the bright southern regions require a strong silicon overabundance with a lower temperature $\sim$0.3 keV. Alternatively, the southern regions can be fitted with a single-temperature NEI model with a temperature $\sim$0.6 keV and a strong Si overabundance as well. The Si-rich clumps in G18.95-1.1 are similar to the ejecta shrapnel A and G discovered in the Vela SNR. The X-ray morphology and spectra of G18.95-1.1 detected with eROSITA can be understood in the scenario of a core-collapse supernova with Si-rich ejecta fragments that expanded into the wind of the massive progenitor star.
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