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ABSTRACT

We derive exact formulas for circular Wilson loops in the $N = 4$ and $\mathcal{N} = 2^*$ theories
with gauge groups $U(N)$ and $SU(N)$ in the $k$-fold symmetrized product representation.
The formulas apply in the limit of large $k$ and small Yang-Mills coupling $g$, with fixed
effective coupling $\kappa \equiv g^2 k$, and for any finite $N$. In the $SU(2)$ and $U(2)$ cases, closed
analytic formulas are obtained for any $k$, while the $1/k$ series expansions are asymptotic.
In the $N \gg 1$ limit, with $N \ll k$, there is an overlapping regime where the formulas
can be confronted with results from holography. Simple formulas for correlation functions
between the $k$-symmetric Wilson loops and chiral primary operators are also given.
1 Introduction

Understanding the properties of extended operators in gauge field theories is important as they can encode aspects of the strong coupling dynamics, such as the emergence of confinement or other phases of the theory. One prominent example is the Wilson line, supported on a line $C$, which is the trace in a certain representation $\mathcal{R}$ of the gauge group of the holonomy of the gauge field along $C$. Wilson line operators can probe fine details of the theory, including global properties of the gauge group.

Wilson loop operators may as well be regarded as defects in the ambient gauge theory. From this point of view, they define defect quantum field theories and can be studied by standard tools. One such tool is the large charge expansion (see [1] for an introduction and references). In the particular case of 4d gauge theories with $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetry, the sector of chiral primary operators (CPO’s) with large $R$-charge $k$ enjoys special simplifications in a double-scaling limit, where $k \to \infty$ and the Yang-Mills (YM) coupling $g \to 0$, with fixed $g^2 k$ [2] (see also [3, 4, 5]). The existence of this limit is not obvious a priori, since it requires a specific, dominant dependence $k^L$ for any given loop order $L$ in correlation functions. It was later shown in [6] that this limit can be viewed as a ’t Hooft limit of an auxiliary matrix model.
Some of the large charge techniques have been recently imported to the study of defect QFT’s in [7], where RG flows on defects in the Wilson-Fisher theory near 4d and 6d have been studied. Morally speaking, the idea is to consider a large number of coincident defects, so that some of the large charge methods can be deployed. This defect may be regarded as an effective description of a large spin impurity [8, 9].

The Wilson loop computes a phase in the partition function induced by the sweep of a charged particle in a representation $\mathcal{R}$ along a line $C$. In view of the previous discussion, it is natural to wonder whether Wilson loops in large representations enjoy special simplifications in very much the same spirit. Motivated by this, here we will study circular supersymmetric Wilson loops in large $k$-symmetric representations in $\mathcal{N} = 2$ theories with gauge group $U(N)$ and $SU(N)$. The insertion of these operators admits a description in terms of a defect QFT, as discussed in [10, 11, 12, 13]. In particular, in [13] a $k$-symmetric representation non-supersymmetric Wilson loop was considered in the double-scaling limit of large $k$ and fixed $g^2 k$ to study the RG flows in the defect theory. Other interesting aspects of the defect theory associated with non-supersymmetric Wilson loops are discussed in [14, 15, 16, 17]. The double-scaling limit was also considered very recently in [8] to study $k$-symmetric Wilson loops in $SU(2) \mathcal{N} = 2$ superconformal QCD.

2 Wilson loops in the $\text{Sym}^k(\Box)$ representation and localization

We are interested in circular Wilson loops in the $\text{Sym}^k(\Box)$ representation in $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric gauge theories in four spacetime dimensions, with unitary ($U(N)$ or $SU(N)$) gauge group. In general $\mathcal{N} = 2$ gauge theories, these loops can be computed through supersymmetric localization [18]. The vacuum expectation value of a Wilson loop in a representation $\mathcal{R}$, placed on the equator of $S^4$, is then obtained by

$$\langle W_{\mathcal{R}} \rangle = \langle \text{Tr}_\mathcal{R} e^{2\pi \phi} \rangle, \quad (2.1)$$

where $\phi = \text{diag}(a_1, ..., a_N)$ parametrizes the Coulomb moduli. For a gauge group $U(N)$, the average is computed by the integral

$$\langle W_{\mathcal{R}} \rangle = \frac{1}{Z_{U(N)}} \int d^N a \prod_{i<j} (a_i - a_j)^2 Z_{1\text{-loop}} Z_{\text{inst}} e^{\frac{-8\pi^2}{g^2} \sum_{i=1}^N a_i^2} W_{\mathcal{R}}, \quad (2.2)$$

with

$$Z_{U(N)} = \int d^N a \prod_{i<j} (a_i - a_j)^2 Z_{1\text{-loop}} Z_{\text{inst}} e^{\frac{-8\pi^2}{g^2} \sum_{i=1}^N a_i^2}. \quad (2.3)$$

When the gauge group is $SU(N)$, one needs to take into account the extra constraint $\sum_i a_i = 0$, as usual.

In the above expressions, $Z_{1\text{-loop}}$ is the one-loop determinant and $Z_{\text{inst}}$ is the factor that contains the instanton contributions (it is worth recalling that $Z_{1\text{-loop}}$ and $Z_{\text{inst}}$ are
symmetric under the permutations of the \(a_i\)'s). Both factors depend on the specific \(\mathcal{N} = 2\) theory. In this note we will focus on \(\mathcal{N} = 4\) and \(\mathcal{N} = 2^*\) theories (the generalization of our results to any other \(\mathcal{N} = 2\) theory with unitary gauge group is straightforward). In particular, for the \(\mathcal{N} = 4\) theory,

\[
Z_{\text{1-loop}}^{\mathcal{N}=4} = Z_{\text{inst}}^{\mathcal{N}=4} = 1. \tag{2.4}
\]

For the \(\mathcal{N} = 2^*\) theory, obtained as usual by adding a mass term for the hypermultiplet, one has

\[
Z_{\text{1-loop}}^{\mathcal{N}=2^*} = \prod_{i<j}^N \frac{H(a_i - a_j)^2}{H(a_i - a_j + M)H(a_i - a_j - M)}, \tag{2.5}
\]

where

\[
H(x) \equiv e^{-(1+\gamma)x^2}G(1 + ix)G(1 - ix) = \prod_{n=1}^\infty \left(1 + \frac{x^2}{n^2}\right)^n e^{-\frac{x^2}{\pi}}, \tag{2.6}
\]

where \(G(x)\) is the Barnes \(G\)-function.

In the case of the \(\mathcal{N} = 4\) theory, the partition function reduces to that of the Gaussian matrix model and one obtains \[20\]

\[
Z_{U(N)} = \frac{g^{N^2}}{2^{N^2}(2\pi)^{N(2N-1)}}G(N + 2), \tag{2.7}
\]

\[
Z_{SU(N)} = 2\sqrt{2}\pi N \frac{g^{N^2-1}}{2^{N^2}(2\pi)^{N(2N-1)}}G(N + 2). \tag{2.8}
\]

Lastly, we need to specify the insertion \(W_R\) corresponding to the Wilson loop in the desired representation (e.g. \[21\] \[22\] \[23\] \[24\] \[25\]), which basically corresponds to its the character. In our case, let us denote by \(W_k\) the Wilson loop in the \(k\)-symmetric representation. To find that, note that the maximal torus of \(U(N)\) is \(U(1)^N\). Denoting by \(z_i\) the fugacity associated to the \(i\)-th torus, the character of the fundamental representation of \(U(N)\) is \(\sum_{i=1}^N z_i\). The generating function for the symmetrized products is then

\[
F_S(t) = \text{PE}\left[t \sum_{i=1}^N z_i\right] = \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{1 - t z_i}, \tag{2.9}
\]

where \(\text{PE}\) is the plethystic exponential.\(^3\) By definition, the coefficient of \(t^k\) in the expansion of \(F_S\) is the character of the \(k\)-fold symmetrized product of fundamental representations. One can extract \(W_k\) by using the formula

\[
W_k = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int \frac{dt}{t^{k+1}} F_S(t). \tag{2.10}
\]

Computing the integral, one obtains (\(z_i = e^{2\pi a_i}\))

\(^3\)The plethystic exponential is defined as \(\text{PE}[f(x_1, x_2, \cdots)] = e^{\sum_{i=1}^\infty \frac{f(e^{x_1}, e^{x_2}, \cdots)}{i^k}}\).
\( W_k = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{e^{2\pi(N-1)a_i + 2k\pi a_i}}{\prod_{j \neq i}(e^{2\pi a_i} - e^{2\pi a_j})}. \)  
(2.11)

This formula is equivalent to the expected result (see e.g. [22, 24])

\[ W_k = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 \leq i_2 \cdots \leq i_k \leq N} e^{2\pi a_{i_1} + 2\pi a_{i_2} + \cdots + 2\pi a_{i_k}}. \]  
(2.12)

The dimension of the \( k \)-symmetric representation is

\[ d_k = \dim S_k = \frac{(N-1+k)!}{(N-1)!k!}. \]

A natural choice of normalization is to define the operator \( W_k \) by adding the extra factor \( 1/d_k \). Here we will follow the conventions of [19] and normalize by adding a factor \( 1/N \). Thus, using (2.11), the VEV of \( W_k \) (2.2) takes the form

\[ \langle W_k \rangle = \frac{1}{N Z_N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int d^N a \prod_{k<l} (a_k - a_l)^2 Z_{1-loop} Z_{\text{inst}} e^{-\frac{8\pi^2}{g^2} \sum_{m=1}^{N} a_m^2} \frac{e^{2\pi(k+N-1)a_i}}{\prod_{j \neq i}(e^{2\pi a_i} - e^{2\pi a_j})}. \]

By symmetry, the \( N \) terms in the sum are equal, therefore we get

\[ \langle W_k \rangle = \frac{1}{Z_{U(N)}} \int d^N a \prod_{k<l} (a_k - a_l)^2 Z_{1-loop} Z_{\text{inst}} e^{-\frac{8\pi^2}{g^2} \sum_{m=1}^{N} a_m^2} \frac{e^{2\pi(k+N-1)a_N}}{\prod_{j \neq N}(e^{2\pi a_N} - e^{2\pi a_j})}. \]  
(2.13)

When the gauge group is \( SU(N) \), the same formula applies upon imposing the constraint \( \sum_{i=1}^{N} a_i = 0 \) in the integral.

Our goal is to study the formula (2.13) in the double-scaling limit

\[ g \to 0, \quad k \to \infty, \quad g^2 k = \kappa = \text{fixed}. \]  
(2.14)

We shall see below that in this limit the integral can be computed exactly by the saddle point method. An important simplification is that instanton contributions vanish exponentially in this limit, since they are proportional to \( e^{-\frac{8\pi^2\kappa}{g^2}} = e^{-k\frac{8\pi^2\kappa}{g^2}} \). Since the instanton moduli space does not depend on \( k \), there can be no compensating effect to the exponential suppression of the instanton action. This is the same mechanism as in the large charge limit of [2]. Therefore, upon taking the limit one can set \( Z_{\text{inst}} = 1 \).

3 \( \langle W_k \rangle \) in the \( \mathcal{N} = 4 \) theory with \( SU(2) \) and \( U(2) \)

Let us start with the \( SU(2) \) case, where \( a \equiv a_1 = -a_2 \). From (2.11) we get
\[ W_k = \frac{e^{2\pi(k+1)a} - e^{-2\pi(k+1)a}}{e^{2\pi a} - e^{-2\pi a}} = \frac{\sinh(2\pi(k+1)a)}{\sinh(2\pi a)}. \] (3.1)

We shall first consider the computation of \( \langle W_k \rangle \) in the \( \mathcal{N} = 4 \) theory. As mentioned, in this case \( Z_{1-\text{loop}} = 1 \) and \( Z_{\text{inst}} = 1 \). The VEV of the loop in the \( k \)-symmetric representation is, therefore,

\[ \langle W_k \rangle = \frac{1}{2 Z_{SU(2)}} \int da \, 4a^2 e^{-\frac{4\pi^2}{g^2}a^2} W_k. \] (3.2)

Substituting the explicit form of \( W_k \), we obtain

\[ \langle W_k \rangle = \frac{2I_{k+1}}{Z_{SU(2)}}, \] (3.3)

where

\[ I_k \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} da \, \frac{a^2 \sinh(2\pi ka)}{\sinh(2\pi a)} e^{-ba^2}, \quad b = \frac{16\pi^2}{g^2}. \] (3.4)

Remarkably, the integral \( I_k \) can be carried out exactly for any integer \( k \) in terms of elementary functions. We obtain

\[ k = 2n + 1, \quad I_{2n+1} = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2b^n} \left( b + 2 \sum_{r=1}^{n} e^{\frac{4r^2}{b}} (b + 8r^2\pi^2) \right), \] (3.5)

\[ k = 2n + 2, \quad I_{2n+2} = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{b^n} \sum_{r=0}^{n} e^{\frac{4r(r+1)}{2b}} \left( b + 2(2r + 1)^2\pi^2 \right), \] (3.6)

with \( n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \). Then

\[ \langle W_{2n} \rangle = \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{r=1}^{n} e^{\frac{r^2}{4}} \left( 1 + \frac{r^2 g^2}{2} \right), \] (3.7)

\[ \langle W_{2n+1} \rangle = e^{\frac{g^2}{2}} \sum_{r=0}^{n} e^{\frac{r(r+1)}{4}} \left( 1 + (2r + 1)^2 \frac{g^2}{8} \right), \] (3.8)

where we used \( Z_{SU(2)} = g^3/(32\pi^2) \). In particular,

\[ \langle W_1 \rangle = e^{\frac{g^2}{2}} \left( 1 + \frac{g^2}{8} \right). \] (3.9)

As a check, \( \langle W_1 \rangle \) can be compared with the known formula for the circular Wilson loop computed by Drukker and Gross in [26]. For \( SU(N) \),

\[ \langle W_1 \rangle_{DG} = \frac{2e^{-\frac{g^2}{8}\frac{(1+N)}{8N}}}{N!g} \int_{0}^{\infty} dt e^{-tN^{-\frac{1}{2}}} I_1(\sqrt{tg}) = \frac{e^{-\frac{g^2}{8N}(N+1)}}{N} L_{N-1}^1(-g^2/4). \] (3.10)
For $N = 2$, we get

$$\langle W_1 \rangle_{DG} = \frac{e^{-3t^2/16}}{g} \int_0^\infty dt e^{-t^3/2} I_1(\sqrt{t}g) = \frac{e^{2}}{2} L_1^1(-g^2/4) = e^{\frac{g^2}{16} (1 + \frac{g^2}{8})}$$

in agreement with our result (3.9).

One can compute correlation functions of Wilson loops (see [28] for other examples).

Owing to the identity

$$\sinh(2\pi (n+1)a) \sinh(2\pi a) \sinh(2\pi (m+1)a) \sinh(2\pi a) = \sum_{k=|n-m|/2}^{n+m} \frac{\sinh(2\pi (2k+1)a)}{\sinh(2\pi a)},$$

it follows that correlation functions between two Wilson loops satisfy the general relation

$$\langle W_n W_m \rangle = \sum_{k=|n-m|/2}^{n+m} \langle W_{2k} \rangle,$$

where we formally denote $\langle W_0 \rangle = 1$.

### 3.1 The large $k$ limit

We now consider the double-scaling limit (2.14). In this large $k$ limit, the integral can be computed by the saddle-point method. We have

$$\langle W_k \rangle_{SU(2)} = \frac{2}{Z_{SU(2)}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} da \frac{a^2}{\sinh(2\pi a)} e^{-\frac{16\pi^2}{32} a^2 + 2\pi a(k+1)}.$$  (3.14)

There is a saddle point at

$$a_* = \frac{g^2 (k+1)}{16\pi}.$$  (3.15)

Using

$$Z_{SU(2)} = \frac{\kappa^3}{32 \pi^2 k^{\frac{3}{2}}},$$

we find

$$\log \langle W_k \rangle_{SU(2)} = \frac{k \kappa}{16} + \log \frac{k \kappa}{16} + \frac{k \kappa}{8} - \log \left( \sinh \frac{k \kappa}{8} \right) + O \left( k^{-1} \right).$$  (3.17)

It is worth pointing out some salient aspects of the expansion in powers of $1/k$. Introduce a new integration variable, $x = a - a_*,$

$$I_k = e^{\frac{k \kappa}{16}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \frac{(x + a_*)^2}{\sinh(2\pi(x + a_*))} e^{-\frac{16\pi^2}{32} k x^2}.$$  (3.18)
The $1/k$ series is generated by expanding the factor multiplying the exponential in powers of \( x \). With the change of variable, \( x^2 = t \), one may put this integral in the familiar form used in the Borel analysis. The convergence properties of the $1/k$ expansion can be deduced by studying the singularities of the integrand. The integrand has poles in the complex plane at

\[
x = -a_* + \frac{in}{2}, \quad n = \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots
\]  

This implies that the series expansion of \( 1/\sinh (2\pi (x + a_*)) \) around \( x = 0 \) has a finite radius of convergence, given by \( r_0 = \sqrt{a_*^2 + 1/4} \), corresponding to the value of \( |x| \) where the integrand has the first poles at \( n = \pm 1 \). The integral over \( x \) in each term of the series is of the form \( \int dx \, x^{n-1} e^{-bx^2} \) and gives an extra \( n! \) for the \( n \)-th term. This proves that the $1/k$ series is asymptotic.

It is interesting to contrast the asymptotic series representation with the compact form given by the exact integration given in (3.7), (3.8). The compact form, though it involves a finite number of terms, is not in the form of a $1/k$ series, because the summation limit involves \( k \) itself.

In resurgence theory, asymptotic series may indicate missing non-perturbative contributions. In the present case of the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ theory, instanton sectors are not responsible of the asymptotic behavior of the perturbation series because in this case there are no instantons. The semiclassical field configurations contributing to discontinuities across the Stokes lines are in correspondence with semiclassical solutions for the constant part of the scalar field of the vector multiplet in the one-loop effective action $^{29}$.

In the $\mathcal{N} = 2^*$ theory, there are instanton contributions of order \( e^{-8\pi^2 |n|/g^2} = e^{-8\pi^2 |n|k/\kappa} \). On the other hand, the $1/k$ series is now different, and much more complicated, since the integrand gets corrected by the 1-loop determinant factor, which itself leads to new singularities in the Borel plane. It would be interesting to understand how the resurgence analysis works in this case, and the interplay between instanton contributions and the new singularities, in particular, whether instanton contributions may resurge by a proper treatment of the $1/k$ expansion.

### 3.2 The $U(2)$ case

When the gauge group is $U(2)$, the relevant VEV of the Wilson loop operator in the $k$-symmetric representation is obtained by setting $N = 2$ in (2.13). This gives

\[
\langle W_k \rangle = \frac{J_k}{2Z_{U(2)}},
\]

where

\[
J_k = 2 \int da_1 da_2 (a_1 - a_2)^2 e^{-\frac{8\pi^2}{g^2} (a_1^2 + a_2^2)} e^{\frac{2\pi (k+1)a_2}{e^{2\pi a_2} - e^{2\pi a_1}}}.
\]
By introducing new integration variables, \(a_1 = x - y, a_2 = x + y\), \(J_k\) takes the form

\[
J_k = 8 \int dy y^2 e^{-2\pi(k+1)y} \sinh(2\pi y) \int dx e^{-\frac{16\pi^2}{x^2}} x^2 e^{2\pi k x}.
\]

(3.22)

Computing the Gaussian integral in \(x\), we obtain

\[
J_k = I_{k+1} \frac{2 ge^{\frac{\pi^2}{16}}}{\sqrt{\pi}}.
\]

(3.23)

Using that

\[
Z_{U(2)} = Z_{SU(2)} \frac{g}{2\sqrt{\pi}},
\]

(3.24)

we arrive at

\[
\langle W_k \rangle_{U(2)} = e^{\frac{\pi^2}{16}} \langle W_k \rangle_{SU(2)}.
\]

(3.25)

Substituting into this formula the expression \(\langle W_k \rangle_{SU(2)}\) for \(\langle W_k \rangle_{SU(2)}\), we obtain the large \(k\), fixed \(\kappa\) asymptotics, which reads (modulo \(1/k\) corrections)

\[
\langle W_k \rangle_{U(2)} = \frac{k \kappa e^{\frac{\pi^2}{16}}}{16 \sinh^{\frac{\pi}{8}}}. 
\]

(3.26)

One can reproduce the same result from a saddle-point evaluation of (3.22).

4 \(\langle W_k \rangle\) in the \(N = 4\) theory with \(U(N)\) and \(SU(N)\)

4.1 \(\langle W_k \rangle\) in the \(U(N)\) gauge theory

It is convenient to write the formula (2.13) for the VEV of the loop as follows:

\[
\langle W_k \rangle = e^{\frac{k\pi}{8} (1 + \frac{N-1}{k})^2} Z_{U(N)} \int d^N a \prod_{k<l} (a_k - a_l)^2 e^{-\frac{\pi^2}{8} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} a_i^2} \left( \frac{e^{-\frac{\pi^2}{8k} (a_N - a_N)^2}}{\prod_{j \neq N} (e^{2\pi a_N} - e^{2\pi a_j})} \right),
\]

(4.1)

where \(\kappa = g^2 k\) and

\[
a_N^* \equiv \frac{\kappa}{8\pi} \left( 1 + \frac{N-1}{k} \right).
\]

(4.2)

Let us now take the double-scaling limit (2.14) involving \(g \to 0\) and \(k \to \infty\). There is a saddle point for \(a_N\) at

\[
a_N^* = \frac{\kappa}{8\pi}.
\]

(4.3)

In order to compute the integrals over \(a_i\), with \(i = 1, \ldots, N-1\), it is convenient to introduce new coordinates \(x_i = a_i/g\), and expand the integrand in powers of \(g\). Because only even
powers of $x_i$ survive the integration, the next to leading contribution is of order $O(g^2) = O(1/k)$ and can be ignored in the limit $k \to \infty$. In this limit, $\langle W_k \rangle$ is exactly determined by the leading term, given by

$$
\langle W_k \rangle = \frac{e^{k\kappa (1+\frac{N-1}{k})^2}}{Z_U(N)} \int d^{N-1}a \prod_{k<l<N} (a_k - a_l)^2 e^{-k\kappa \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} a_i^2} \int da_N a_N^{2(N-1)} e^{-\frac{k\kappa}{2} (a_N - \frac{\kappa}{k})^2} \left( \frac{e^{2\pi a_N}}{e^{2\pi a_N} - 1} \right)^{N-1},
$$

(4.4)

where we have restored the $a_i$ variables. Note that, in the Vandermonde determinant, we have replaced $|a_N - a_i|^2$ by $|a_N|^2$, since the difference is an $O(1/k)$ contribution. This approximation assumes finite $N$. In the infinite $N$, 't Hooft limit, the Vandermonde determinant provides a repulsion between the eigenvalues and the scale for $a_i$ is of order $\sqrt{\lambda} = g \sqrt{N}$, which is finite in the 't Hooft limit. We shall discuss the case of large $N$ below.

We have kept a term of order $N^{-1}k$ in the exponential factor outside the integral. This is because it is multiplied by $k$; it will lead to a finite contribution in the final formula for $\log \langle W_k \rangle$.

The integral over the $a_i$’s factorizes from the integral over $a_N$, giving a factor $Z_{U(N-1)}$, so we get

$$
\langle W_k \rangle = \frac{Z_{U(N-1)}}{Z_{U(N)}} e^{k\kappa (1+\frac{N-1}{k})^2} \int da_N \left( \frac{a_N^2}{e^{2\pi a_N} - 1} \right)^{N-1} e^{-\frac{k\kappa}{2} (a_N - \frac{\kappa}{k})^2}.
$$

(4.5)

Using (2.7) and computing the integral by saddle point, we obtain the following formula for the large $k$ asymptotics of $\langle W_k \rangle$:

$$
\langle W_k \rangle = \frac{1}{N!} \left( \frac{k\kappa}{4} \right)^{N-1} e^{\frac{k\kappa}{8} (1+\frac{N-1}{k})^2} e^{-\frac{\kappa}{4} (N-1)} \left( 1 - e^{-\frac{\kappa}{4}} \right)^{1-N},
$$

(4.6)

where we used the fundamental property of the Barnes $G$-function, $N!G(N+1) = G(N+2)$. Thus the first terms in the large $k$ expansion for $\log \langle W_k \rangle$ are

$$
\log \langle W_k \rangle = \frac{k\kappa}{8} + (N-1) \log \frac{k\kappa}{4} - \log N! - (N-1) \log \left( 1 - e^{-\frac{\kappa}{4}} \right) + O(k^{-1}).
$$

(4.7)

where terms $O(k^{-1})$ also stands for terms of order $(N-1)/k$.

The multiply wound loop

It is of interest to compare $\langle W_k \rangle$ with the VEV of the $k$-wound circular Wilson loop in the fundamental representation. This corresponds to the insertion of

$$
W_k^F = \sum e^{k2\pi a_i}.
$$

(4.8)
instead of $W_k$. It is clear that upon defining $a'_i = k a_i$, the computation is just analogous to that of the circular Wilson loop upon replacing $g$ by $g k$ [26]. Thus

$$\langle W_k^F \rangle = \frac{1}{N} L_{N-1}^1 \left(-\frac{k \kappa}{4}\right) e^{\frac{k \kappa}{8}} ,$$

(4.9)

where we have conveniently re-written the result in terms of $\kappa = g^2 k$. Let us now consider the large $k$ limit at fixed $\kappa$ and $N$ (we stress that this is a different regime than the one studied in [19]). We obtain

$$\langle W_k^F \rangle = \frac{1}{N!} \left(\frac{k \kappa}{4}\right)^{N-1} e^{\frac{k \kappa}{8}} (1 + \frac{N}{4}) e^{-\frac{\kappa}{N}} \left[1 - \frac{(N-1) \left((N-1) \kappa^2 - 32 N\right)}{8k\kappa} + \cdots\right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{N!} \left(\frac{k \kappa}{4}\right)^{N-1} e^{\frac{k \kappa}{8}} (1 + \frac{N}{4}) e^{-\frac{\kappa}{N}} \left(1 + \mathcal{O}(k^{-1})\right) .$$

(4.10)

This is to be compared with (4.6). Therefore, modulo corrections that vanish in the infinite $k$ limit, one finds the relation

$$\langle W_k \rangle = \left(1 - e^{-\frac{\kappa}{4}}\right)^{1-N} \langle W_k^F \rangle , \quad k \gg 1 .$$

(4.11)

In a small $\kappa$ expansion, one has $\langle W_k^F \rangle \approx \left(\frac{\kappa}{4}\right)^{N-1} \langle W_k \rangle$. In a large $\kappa$ expansion, $\langle W_k^F \rangle$ and $\langle W_k \rangle$ differ in an infinite series of exponentially small terms $e^{-n \kappa/4}$. We shall return to the interpretation of these exponential terms below.

### 4.2 Comparing with holography

The formula (4.7) for $\log \langle W_k \rangle$ can be extended to $N \gg 1$ provided that $k \gg N$. Define $S_k$ by

$$\langle W_k \rangle = \frac{e^{-S_k}}{\sqrt{2 \pi N}} .$$

(4.12)

Using Stirling’s approximation in (4.7), one obtains

$$S_k = -\frac{k \kappa}{8} - N \log \left(\frac{k \kappa}{4N}\right) - N + N \log \left(1 - e^{-\frac{\kappa}{4}}\right) + \mathcal{O}(k^{-1}) .$$

(4.13)

In terms of the standard ’t Hooft coupling $\lambda \equiv g^2 N$,

$$\kappa = \lambda \frac{k}{N} .$$

(4.14)

We will assume large $k$ and large $N$ with fixed and very small $\frac{N}{k}$. In this case the supergravity regime $\lambda \gg 1$ implies $\kappa \gg 1$ and we can neglect exponentially suppressed terms $\mathcal{O}(e^{-\kappa/4})$, so $\langle W_k \rangle \to \langle W_k^F \rangle$. Therefore, in the supergravity regime we get
\begin{align}
\langle W_k \rangle &= \frac{e^{-S_k}}{\sqrt{2\pi N}}, \quad S_k = -\frac{k\kappa}{8} - N \log \left(\frac{k\kappa}{4N}\right) - N + O\left(k^{-1}\right) + O(e^{-\kappa/4}). \tag{4.15}
\end{align}

This result may be compared against the holographic computation in [19], which predicts
\begin{equation}
S_k^{DF} = -2N \left[\tilde{\kappa} \sqrt{1 + \tilde{\kappa}^2 + \text{arcsinh} (\tilde{\kappa})}\right], \tag{4.16}
\end{equation}
where
\begin{equation}
\tilde{\kappa} = \frac{k\sqrt{\lambda}}{4N} \quad \sim \quad \tilde{\kappa}^2 = \frac{k}{16N}. \tag{4.17}
\end{equation}

This formula is obtained by using the fact that the Wilson loop in the \(k\)-symmetric representation corresponds to D3 branes with \(k\) units of electric flux, or, equivalently, with \(k\) non-interacting strings. Note that it assumes fixed \(\tilde{\kappa}\) and \(N \gg k \gg 1\). In this regime, in a \(1/N\) expansion, both the \(k\)-symmetric and \(k\)-wound loops give the same result (4.16) [10, 22].

A priori, it is not guaranteed that gauge theory and supergravity results should match, since the formula (4.15) requires \(k \gg N \gg 1\), a regime where back-reaction effects on the \(AdS_5 \times S^5\) geometry could be important. Nonetheless, we can examine the holographic formula (4.16) in the limit \(\tilde{\kappa} \gg 1\), although aware of this possible limitation. Expanding (4.16) for \(\tilde{\kappa} \gg 1\) and writing the result in terms of \(\kappa\), one finds
\begin{equation}
S_k^{DF} \sim -\frac{k\kappa}{8} - N \log \left(\frac{k\kappa}{4N}\right) - N. \tag{4.18}
\end{equation}
Notably, this coincides with the gauge theory result (4.15).

### 4.3 The \(SU(N)\) case

In order to compute the VEV of the Wilson loop \(W_k\) in the theory with gauge group \(SU(N)\) it is convenient to begin with the \(U(N)\) case, where
\begin{equation}
\langle W_k \rangle = \frac{1}{Z_{U(N)}} \int d^N a \prod_{k<l} (a_k - a_l)^2 e^{-\frac{8\pi^2}{g^2} \sum_{m=1}^{N} a_m^2} \frac{e^{2\pi (k+N-1) a_N}}{\prod_{j \neq N} (e^{2\pi a_N} - e^{2\pi a_j})}. \tag{4.19}
\end{equation}

Using that
\begin{equation}
\sum_{i=1}^{N} a_i^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \hat{a}_i^2 + \frac{1}{N} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} a_i\right)^2, \quad \hat{a}_i \equiv a_i - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} a_i, \tag{4.20}
\end{equation}
\(\langle W_k \rangle\) can be written as

\footnote{In fact, even the first \(1/N\) correction agrees between both for \(N \gg k \gg 1\) at fixed small \(\sqrt{\frac{k}{N}}\) [27].}
\[
\langle W_k \rangle = \frac{1}{Z_{U(N)}} \int d^N a \prod_{k<l} (\hat{a}_k - \hat{a}_l)^2 e^{-\frac{8\pi^2}{g^2} \sum_{m=1}^{N} \hat{a}_m^2} e^{-\frac{8\pi^2}{g^2} x^2 - 2\pi k x} e^{2\pi (k+N-1) \hat{a}_N} \frac{e^{2\pi N \hat{a}_N} - e^{2\pi \hat{a}_j}}{\prod_{j \neq N} (e^{2\pi \hat{a}_N} - e^{2\pi \hat{a}_j})},
\]

where \( x = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} a_i \) and \( \sum_{i=1}^{N} \hat{a}_i = 0 \). This leads to

\[
\langle W_k \rangle = \frac{1}{Z_{U(N)}} \int d^N \hat{a} \prod_{k<l} (\hat{a}_k - \hat{a}_l)^2 e^{-\frac{8\pi^2}{g^2} \sum_{m=1}^{N} \hat{a}_m^2} \frac{e^{2\pi (k+N-1) \hat{a}_N}}{\prod_{j \neq N} (e^{2\pi \hat{a}_N} - e^{2\pi \hat{a}_j})} \delta(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \hat{a}_i) \int dx e^{-\frac{8\pi^2}{g^2} x^2 - 2\pi k x}.
\]

Thus

\[
\langle W_k \rangle_{U(N)} = \left( \frac{Z_{SU(N)}}{Z_{U(N)}} \int dx e^{-\frac{8\pi^2}{g^2} x^2 - 2\pi k x} \right) \langle W_k \rangle_{SU(N)}.
\]

Computing the integral, we find

\[
\langle W_k \rangle_{U(N)} = e^{\frac{k}{\sqrt{N}}} \langle W_k \rangle_{SU(N)}.
\]

One can check this formula in two different ways: setting \( N = 2 \) we recover our result above with \( k \) arbitrary; setting \( k = 1 \) and arbitrary \( N \) we recover a formula given in [26].

It should be noted that even for arbitrarily large \( N \) (while still much smaller than \( k \) so that the approximation holds), the loops in the \( SU(N) \) and \( U(N) \) theory do not coincide. To understand this, let us look to the prefactor in (4.23). It can be written as follows

\[
\frac{Z_{SU(N)}}{Z_{U(N)}} \int dx e^{-\frac{8\pi^2}{g^2} x^2 - 2\pi k x} = \int da e^{-\frac{8\pi^2}{g^2} a^2 - 2\pi k \sqrt{N} a} \int da e^{-\frac{8\pi^2}{g^2} a^2}. \]

Thus, the prefactor corresponds to the contribution of a Wilson loop of the \( U(1) \) theory with charge \( \frac{k}{\sqrt{N}} \). As this is much larger than 1 in our limit, we see that the \( \text{Sym}^k(\square) \) Wilson loop has an overall factor originating from the extra \( U(1) \) that cannot be neglected even if \( N \gg 1 \). As a consequence, the loops in the \( U/SU \) theories are different already to leading order in the large \( k \) expansion. This produces an intriguing mismatch with the holographic formula when the gauge group is \( SU(N) \). One may therefore view \( \langle W_k \rangle \) with \( k \gg N \) as an observable that distinguishes the large \( N \) \( SU(N) \) theory from the large \( N \) \( U(N) \) theory.

\footnote{Note that the generator in \( U(1) \subset U(N) \) must be normalized with a \( N^{-\frac{1}{2}} \) so that \( \text{Tr} T^2 \sim 1 \).}
5 Correlation functions of $\text{Sym}^k(\Box)$ Wilson loops with CPO’s

As discussed in [30, 31, 32], computing correlation functions in $\mathbb{R}^4$ from the matrix model involves a conformal map from $S^4$ into $\mathbb{R}^4$. The $R$-charge is not conserved in correlation functions in $S^4$. This is possible because the theory on $S^4$ breaks the $U(1)_R$ symmetry. These mixtures are a reflection of the conformal anomaly in $S^4$. The four-sphere introduces a scale, the radius, which leads to a mixture of operators of different dimensions. The standard correlation functions of the theory in flat space can be recovered by a Gram-Schmidt procedure introduced in [30] (see appendix A for a lightning review), by which one can find orthogonalized operators in the sphere matrix model which map to the $\mathbb{R}^4$ operators. As shown in [32], the obtained operators can then be used to compute correlation functions of the CPO’s with circular Wilson loops (for a closely related approach, see [33]).

We are now interested in applying this method to the correlator of CPO’s with Wilson loops in the $k$-symmetric representation. As a first step, consider, in the $S^4$ matrix model,

\begin{equation}
\langle \text{Tr} \phi^{n_1} \cdots \text{Tr} \phi^{n_m} W_k \rangle = \frac{1}{Z_{U(N)}} \int d^N a \prod_{k<l} (a_k - a_l)^2 Z_{1\text{-loop}} Z_{\text{inst}} e^{-\frac{8\pi^2}{g^2} \sum_{m=1}^N a_m^2} \times \frac{e^{2\pi(N-1) a_N + 2k \pi a_N}}{\prod_{j \neq N} (e^{2\pi a_N} - e^{2\pi a_j})} \left( \sum_{i=1}^N a_i^{n_1} \right) \cdots \left( \sum_{i=1}^N a_i^{n_m} \right). \tag{5.1}
\end{equation}

For large $k$, exactly the same argument as in section 4 can be used: the $a_i$’s with $i \neq N$ will only contribute in the integration region very close to zero whereas the main contribution of the integral over $a_N$ will come from the region $a_N \sim \kappa/8\pi$. In the double-scaling limit, this approximation becomes exact. Therefore, we are led to

\begin{equation}
\langle \text{Tr} \phi^{n_1} \cdots \text{Tr} \phi^{n_m} W_k \rangle = \frac{Z_{U(N-1)}}{Z_{U(N)}} e^{\frac{1}{16} (1 + \frac{4\pi}{\kappa})} \int d a_N \left( \frac{a_N^2}{e^{2\pi a_N} - 1} \right)^{N-1} a_N^{n_1 + \cdots + n_m} e^{-\frac{8\pi^2}{g^2} (a_N - \frac{\kappa}{8\pi})^2}. \tag{5.2}
\end{equation}

This gives the simple result

\begin{equation}
\langle \text{Tr} \phi^{n_1} \cdots \text{Tr} \phi^{n_m} W_k \rangle = \left( \frac{\kappa}{8\pi} \right)^{n_1 + \cdots + n_m} \langle W_k \rangle. \tag{5.3}
\end{equation}

We now consider the orthogonalization process. The first few operators, using Gram-Schmidt, are

\begin{equation}
\mathcal{O}_1 = \text{Tr} \phi - \frac{\langle \text{Tr} \phi \rangle}{\langle \mathbb{I} \rangle} \mathbb{I}, \quad \mathcal{O}_2 = \text{Tr} \phi^2 - \frac{\langle \text{Tr} \phi^2 \rangle}{\langle \mathbb{I} \rangle} \mathbb{I} \quad \cdots. \tag{5.4}
\end{equation}

Here $\mathcal{O}_\Delta$ refers to the $\mathbb{R}^4$ operator. Then
\begin{align*}
\langle O_1 W_k \rangle &= \left[ \frac{\kappa}{8\pi} - \frac{\langle \text{Tr} \phi \rangle}{\langle 1 \rangle} \right] \langle W_k \rangle, \quad \langle O_2 W_k \rangle = \left[ \left( \frac{\kappa}{8\pi} \right)^2 - \frac{\langle \text{Tr} \phi^2 \rangle}{\langle 1 \rangle} \right] \langle W_k \rangle \quad \cdots. \quad (5.5)
\end{align*}

Now, the VEV’s $\frac{\langle \text{Tr} \phi \rangle}{\langle 1 \rangle}$ in the matrix model without the insertion of $W_k$ is proportional to $g^n$. Expressed in terms of $k$, this is equal to $\kappa^2 k^{-n}$. Thus, in limit of large $k$ with fixed $\kappa$, this is suppressed.

It is clear that this argument will hold true for arbitrary operators: the $S^4$ mixing with lower operators arises through terms with $\langle \text{Tr} \phi^{\Delta_1} \cdots \text{Tr} \phi^{\Delta_l} \rangle$, which have no insertion of $W_k$ and are similarly suppressed in the large $k$ limit (here we assume $\Delta_i \ll k$). Hence we obtain the remarkably simple formula

$$\langle O_{\Delta} W_k \rangle = \left( \frac{\kappa}{8\pi} \right)^\Delta \langle W_k \rangle, \quad (5.6)$$

for any CPO of dimension $\Delta$. As a sanity check of this formula, one may compute

$$\langle O_2 W_k \rangle = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (Z_N \langle W_k \rangle) = \left( \frac{\kappa}{8\pi} \right)^2 \langle W_k \rangle, \quad x = -\frac{8\pi^2}{g^2}; \quad (5.7)$$

up to $\frac{1}{k}$ corrections.

It should be noted that $O_\Delta$ in (5.6) is normalized such that (see e.g. [34])

$$\langle O_\Delta(x) O_\Delta(0) \rangle = \frac{C_\Delta}{|x|^{2\Delta}}, \quad C_\Delta \equiv \frac{\Delta \lambda^\Delta}{(2\pi)^{2\Delta}}. \quad (5.8)$$

Introduce now $\hat{O}_\Delta = C_\frac{\Delta}{2} O_\Delta$, so that the CPO’s have a “canonical” normalization

$$\langle \hat{O}_\Delta(x) \hat{O}_\Delta(0) \rangle = \frac{1}{|x|^{2\Delta}}.$$

Then, we obtain the formula

$$\langle \hat{O}_\Delta W_k \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta}} \left( \frac{k\kappa}{16N} \right)^\frac{\Delta}{4} \langle W_k \rangle, \quad (5.9)$$

This can be compared with the similar formula derived for the multiply wound fundamental Wilson loop in [21, 34]. For large $\lambda$, the $k$-wound fundamental Wilson loop should give rise to the same correlation functions as the Wilson loop in the $k$-symmetric representation. Indeed, taking $\lambda \gg 1$ in the formulas of [34], we find agreement with (5.9).\footnote{See (5.9) and (5.10) in [34], taking into account the different definition of the parameter $\kappa$. In [34], $\kappa \equiv \frac{k\kappa}{16N}$, which, in our notation, corresponds to $\frac{\sqrt{\pi} \sqrt{k}}{4\sqrt{N}}$.}
6 $\mathcal{N} = 2^*$ theory

The method for computing $\langle W_k \rangle$ at large $k$, fixed $N$, used in the previous sections for the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ theory can be extended to any $\mathcal{N} = 2$ theory. As an example, here we shall consider the $\mathcal{N} = 2^*$ theory, defined as usual by giving a mass $M$ to the hypermultiplet. In this theory the coupling constant does not run and it is a parameter that characterizes the theory. The $\mathcal{N} = 2^*$ theory was thoroughly studied using supersymmetric localization in a series of papers, starting with [35, 36]. It was found that, in the decompactification limit where the radius $R$ of $S^1$ goes to infinity, the large $N$ theory undergoes an infinite number of phase transitions as the 't Hooft coupling is varied from 0 to infinity.

The first phase transition occurs at $\lambda_c \equiv 35.4$; then there is a second phase transition occurring at $\lambda \approx 83$, a third phase transition at $\lambda \approx 150$, followed by an infinite sequence of phase transitions, which for large $\lambda$ occur at critical values $\lambda \approx n^2 \pi^2$, with integer $n \gg 1$. The $SU(2)$ theory does not have phase transitions [37]. However, for any finite $N > 2$, there is evidence that at least the first transition must occur at the same $\lambda_c \approx 35.4$ [38]. Similar phase transitions are generically expected in massive $\mathcal{N} = 2$ theories. Another example is provided by massive SQED with $N_f < 2N$ at large $N$ [36] as well as for any $SU(N)$ gauge group with $N \geq 2$ [37, 39, 40].

In the limit considered in this paper, with $g \to 0$ and $N$ fixed, the 't Hooft coupling $\lambda = g^2N$ vanishes. Therefore the above phase transitions do not occur. An interesting question is whether there could still be phase transitions for the one-dimensional defect theory defined by the insertion of $W_k$, at critical values of the parameter $\kappa \equiv kg^2$.

The VEV of the Wilson loop for gauge group $U(N)$ is now

$$
\langle W_k \rangle_{\mathcal{N} = 2^*} = \frac{1}{Z_{N=2^*}} \int d^N a \prod_{k<l} (a_k - a_l)^2 Z_{1-loop} e^{-\frac{8\pi^2}{g^2} \sum_{m=1}^{N} a_m^2} e^{2\pi (k+N-1) a_N} \prod_{j \neq N} (e^{2\pi a_N} - e^{2\pi a_j}).
$$

(6.1)

where we have omitted the instanton factor as this is suppressed in the double-scaling limit (2.14). The one-loop factor is given by (2.5). The integrals can be computed by following the same procedure as in the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ case. We first separate the factors with $a_N$ dependence. Then (6.1) becomes

$$
\langle W_k \rangle_{\mathcal{N} = 2^*} = \frac{1}{Z_{N=2^*}} \int d^N a \prod_{i<j}^{N-1} \frac{(a_i - a_j)^2 H(a_i - a_j + M) H(a_i - a_j - M)}{H(a_i - a_j + M) H(a_i - a_j - M)} e^{-\frac{8\pi^2}{g^2} \sum_{m=1}^{N-1} a_m^2} \prod_{i=1}^{N-1} \frac{(a_i - a_N)^2 H(a_i - a_N + M) H(a_i - a_N - M)}{H(a_i - a_N + M) H(a_i - a_N - M)} e^{-\frac{8\pi^2}{g^2} (a_i a_N - a_N^2)} \prod_{j \neq N} (e^{2\pi a_N} - e^{2\pi a_j}).
$$

(6.2)

where $a_N^* = \kappa/(8\pi)$ just as in the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ case. Next, we introduce new integration variables $x_i = a_i/g$, $i = 1, ..., N-1$ and expand the factors in the integrand depending on $x_i$ in powers of $g$. In the limit $g \to 0$, we are left with the leading term, which, in terms of the original variables $a_i$, reads
particular, whether of the four-sphere. The dependence on \( a \) is restored by the radius \( \kappa \equiv g^2 k \). In the first line we recognize the partition function for the \( \mathcal{N} = 2^* \) theory with gauge group \( U(N - 1) \). In turn, the integral in the second line can be easily done through saddle point. Collecting all factors

\[
\langle W_k \rangle_{\mathcal{N} = 2^*} = \frac{1}{Z_{\mathcal{N} = 2^*}^U} \int d^{N-1} a \prod_{i<j} (a_i - a_j)^2 \frac{H(a_N)^2}{H(a_N + M)H(a_N - M)} e^{-\frac{8\pi^2}{\kappa} \sum m=1 a_m^2} \]

where \( \kappa \equiv g^2 k \). In the first line we recognize the partition function for the \( \mathcal{N} = 2^* \) theory with gauge group \( U(N - 1) \). In turn, the integral in the second line can be easily done through saddle point. Collecting all factors

\[
\langle W_k \rangle_{\mathcal{N} = 2^*} = \frac{Z_{\mathcal{N} = 2^*}^U(N-1)}{Z_{\mathcal{N} = 2^*}^U(N-1)} \frac{Z_{\mathcal{N} = 4}^U(N)}{Z_{\mathcal{N} = 2^*}^U(N)} \frac{H(a_N)^2}{H(a_N + M)H(a_N - M)} \]

Similarly, in the \( g \to 0 \) limit, one has

\[
Z_{\mathcal{N} = 2^*}^U(N) = \prod_{i<j} H(M)^2 \prod_{i<j} (a_i - a_j)^2 e^{-\frac{8\pi^2}{\kappa} \sum m=1 a_m^2} = \frac{Z_{\mathcal{N} = 4}^U(N)}{H(M)^{N(N-1)}}. \]

Thus we finally obtain

\[
\langle W_k \rangle_{\mathcal{N} = 2^*} = \left(\frac{H(a_N)^2 H(M)^2}{H(a_N + M)H(a_N - M)} \right)^{N-1} \langle W_k \rangle_{\mathcal{N} = 4}. \]

This is the main result of this section.

Note that \( f \equiv -\log \langle W_k \rangle_{\mathcal{N} = 2^*} \) represents the free energy of the one-dimensional defect theory on \( S^1 \). An interesting question regards the behavior of \( f \) as a function of \( \kappa \). In particular, whether \( f(\kappa) \) exhibits non-analytic behavior in the infinite volume theory.

The decompactification limit corresponds to sending \( MR \to \infty \), \( R \) being the radius of the four-sphere. The dependence on \( R \) is restored by \( M \to MR \), \( a_N^* \to Ra_N^* \), i.e. \( a_N^* = \kappa/(8\pi R) \). The expansion of the function \( H(x) \) for large argument is derived from the asymptotic expansion of the Barnes G-function. One finds

\[
\log H(x) = -\frac{1}{2} x^2 \log x^2 + \left( \frac{1}{2} - \gamma \right) x^2 + O(\log x^2). \]

Thus

\[
\log \langle W_k \rangle_{\mathcal{N} = 2^*} \approx \log \langle W_k \rangle_{\mathcal{N} = 4} + 2(N-1) \log H(a_N^*) - \frac{1}{2} (N-1) R^2 \left[ 2M^2 \log(MR)^2 \right.
\]

\[
\left. - (M - a_N^*)^2 \log(M - a_N^*)^2 R^2 - (M + a_N^*)^2 \log(M + a_N^*)^2 R^2 \right] + (1 - 2\gamma)(N-1)(Ra_N^*)^2. \]

(6.8)
A potential non-analytic behavior is at $a_N^* = \pm M$, that is, $\kappa = 8\pi MR$. Since $R \to \infty$, this point is not reached for any finite $\kappa$. Indeed, at large $R$, $a_*$ is small compared to $M$, so in $\mathbb{R}^4$ we effectively have

$$
\log\langle W_k \rangle_{\mathcal{N}=2^*} \to \log\langle W_k \rangle_{\mathcal{N}=4} + (N-1) \left( 2 \log H\left( \frac{\kappa}{8\pi} \right) + \frac{\kappa^2}{32\pi^2} \left[ 2 - \gamma + \log (MR) \right] \right).
$$

where $\log\langle W_k \rangle_{\mathcal{N}=4}$ is the function of $\kappa$ given in (4.7). Note the logarithmic infrared divergence, which is due to the presence of massless particles. The resulting “free energy” $f$ of the defect theory is a smooth function of $\kappa$.

In conclusion, we have computed $\langle W_k \rangle$ in the large $k$ limit for the $\mathcal{N}=2^*$ $U(N)$ theory on $S^4$. The resulting expression (6.6) exhibits an interesting interplay between the two scales $a_N^* = \kappa/(8\pi R)$ and $M$. At infinite volume, one has $a_*/M \to 0$ and the VEV of the loop becomes identical to the case of the $\mathcal{N}=4$ theory computed in previous sections. Consequently, the associated free energy $f(\kappa) = -\log\langle W_k \rangle_{\mathcal{N}=2^*}$ of the defect theory does not exhibit non-analytic behavior.

7 Discussion

In this note we have studied circular Wilson loops in the $k$-symmetric representation in 4d $\mathcal{N}=2$ theories with gauge group $U(N)$ or $SU(N)$ using a double-scaling limit. This limit gives rise to exact results for any finite $N$, which include all perturbative contributions. Gauge instanton contributions exponentially vanish in the limit. The VEV of the Wilson loop contains contributions from the 1-loop determinant, which is generically expressed in terms of Barnes G-functions (see (6.6) for the case of the $\mathcal{N}=2^*$ theory). The resulting formula represents the resummation of infinitely many Feynman diagrams in standard perturbation theory.

The limit studied here corresponds to $k \to \infty$ while $\kappa \equiv g^2k$ fixed at finite $N$. Effectively, this implies $k \gg N$. Clearly, this is different from taking the large $N$ limit at fixed $k/N$, but there is a region of overlapping. Indeed, one can study the large $N$ behavior of the expressions obtained by the double-scaling limit (2.14), as long as $\frac{N}{k} \ll 1$. For the $U(N)$ $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM theory, we have found agreement with the most familiar large $N$ limit at fixed $k/N$, which has been studied in the literature, both from the QFT matrix model perspective and holographically.

An interesting aspect of the limit at fixed $N$ discussed here is that it distinguishes between the $U(N)$ and the $SU(N)$ theory, even if $N \gg 1$; see (4.24). This result opens the door to new precision tests of holography, as it can be used to probe holographic properties of the diagonal $U(1)$ in $U(N)$. In particular, it would be very interesting to see if the $SU(N)$ result, including the (leading!) prefactor in (4.24), can be recovered using holography, upon adding the suitable boundary conditions. The idea is as follows. Recall that the global properties of the gauge group are encoded in the topological sector of Type IIB supergravity on $AdS_5$ after reduction on the $S^5$. This results on a BF theory in the
bulk with
\[ S = (2\pi)^{-1} N \int_{AdS_5} C_2 \wedge dB_2, \]
where \( C_2 \) and \( B_2 \) are the RR and NS 2-form potentials respectively. As discussed in [41], this action has to be supplemented with appropriate boundary terms to impose the desired boundary conditions that define the \( U(N) \) or \( SU(N) \) theory (or other quotients by the center). To make contact with our discussion, recall that the Wilson loop in the \( k \)-symmetric representation is holographically represented by a D3 brane with electric flux dissolving \( k \) fundamental strings [19]. This is a source for the RR 2-form potential \( C_2 \) entering in the BF topological theory controlling the global properties of the gauge group. Therefore, we expect that one can match the \( SU(N) \) gauge theory result by adding suitable boundary terms. While these boundary contributions should be negligible for \( k_N \ll 1 \), they should be relevant in the limit \( k_N \gg 1 \).

The formula (4.7) for \( \log \langle W_k \rangle \) exhibits some features that are inherent to the \( k \)-symmetric representation, such as the presence of an infinite series of exponentially terms of the form \( e^{-n\kappa/4} \) in a large \( \kappa \) expansion. These contributions are associated with the massive particles at the point in moduli space that dominates the path integral, i.e. at the saddle point [42]. One can understand this feature from the spectrum. In general, for any \( \mathcal{N} = 2 \) SYM, the spectrum contains massive vector multiplets with masses
\[ M_{ij}^V = |a_i - a_j|. \]
At the saddle point, there are \( N - 1 \) vector multiplets with masses
\[ M_{ij}^V = |a_\ast|. \]
(7.1)
In addition, there are massive hypermultiplets at the saddle point. The masses depend on the case. For the \( \mathcal{N} = 4 \) theory, because of supersymmetry, their masses coincide with the above mass spectrum of the vector multiplets. The action of a particle with mass \( m = |a_\ast| = \kappa/8\pi \) circulating around the equator of \( S^4 \) is \( S = 2\pi m = \kappa/4 \). In addition, there are BPS electric particles of masses \( n|a_\ast| \) corresponding to BPS bound states. Thus one expects an infinite series of contributions \( e^{-n\kappa/4} \), which indeed appear in the formula for \( \log \langle W_k \rangle \), multiplied by \( N - 1 \), which is the correct degeneracy.

Alternatively, one can interpret \( \langle W_k \rangle \) in terms of degrees of freedom on the 1d defect theory on \( S^1 \). From (4.7), we have
\[ \langle W_k \rangle = \frac{1}{N!} e^{\pi mk} (Z_m)^{N-1}, \quad Z_m = \frac{2\pi mk}{1 - e^{-2\pi m}}, \quad m \equiv \frac{\kappa}{8\pi}, \]
(7.3)
where \( (Z_m)^{N-1} \) is to be interpreted as the partition function for \( N - 1 \) bosons on the one-dimensional defect \( S^1 \) (see similar discussions in [11, 12, 13]).

Here we have focused on \( \mathcal{N} = 4 \) SYM with unitary gauge group and its massive deformation –the \( \mathcal{N} = 2^* \) theory–, even though a similar limit exists for other \( \mathcal{N} = 2 \) theories and other gauge groups (see [8] for a study of \( SU(2) \) SQCD). In particular, it would be interesting to study the double-scaling limit for Wilson loops in symmetric representations
in quiver gauge theories, also including correlation functions involving CPO’s. Such corre-
lation functions can be computed from a matrix model [43] and it would be interesting to
study its potential applications to Wilson loops.

A more ambitious goal would be to study Wilson loops in large representations in non-
supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory, by a similar double-scaling limit at fixed $N$ in the UV,
where $g$ is small. Obtaining exact results for these observables could reveal interesting new
features of Yang-Mills theory.
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## A Correlation functions for CPO’s using localization

In this appendix we briefly review the computation of correlation functions for chiral pri-
mary operators (CPO’s) through supersymmetric localization following the construction
in [30]. Recall that, in Lagrangian theories, CPO’s correspond to operators $O_{\Delta}$ made out
of scalar fields in vector multiplets. Conformal invariance dictates that 2-point functions
in $\mathbb{R}^4$ must be of the form

$$\langle O_{\Delta_1}(x)O_{\Delta_2}(0) \rangle = \frac{g_{\Delta_1,\Delta_2}}{|x|^2} \delta_{\Delta_1,\Delta_2}. \quad (A.1)$$

Thus, all the non-trivial information resides in the Zamolodchikov metric $g_{\Delta_1,\Delta_2}$, which in
general depends on the marginal couplings. To further proceed, one notes that one can
extract $g_{\Delta_1,\Delta_2}$ by considering

$$4^{\Delta_1} \left\langle \lim_{|x| \to \infty} \frac{(1 + |x|^2)^{\Delta_1}}{4} O_{\Delta_1}(x)O_{\Delta_2}(0) \right\rangle = g_{\Delta_1,\Delta_2} \delta_{\Delta_1,\Delta_2}. \quad (A.2)$$

We recognize the conformal factor mapping the plane into the sphere. Therefore, the
relevant information of correlation function in $\mathbb{R}^4$ can be computed through a correla-
tion function in the sphere, where the CPO’s are inserted in the North/South poles:

$$\langle O_{\Delta_1}(N)O_{\Delta_2}(S) \rangle_{S^4} = 4^{-\Delta_1} g_{\Delta_1,\Delta_2} \delta_{\Delta_1,\Delta_2}; \quad (A.3)$$

As shown in [30], due to the supersymmetric properties of the CPO’s, this correlation
function coincides with the one corresponding to the insertion of the integrated (super)
field. This justifies the use of supersymmetric localization to compute the sphere 2-point

---

*A Correlation functions for CPO’s using localization*
functions through the corresponding matrix model. The construction is as follows. We begin by defining operators $O_{\Delta}$ on $S^4$ as

$$O_{\Delta} \equiv \text{Tr}\phi^{p_1} \cdots \text{Tr}\phi^{p_P}, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{P} p_i = \Delta,$$

where $\phi$ is the adjoint scalar field of the $N = 1$ vector multiplet. Localization reduces the functional integral of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $U(N)$ gauge theories to a finite $N$-dimensional integral over the moduli space parametrized by the diagonal expectation value $\phi = \text{diag}(a_1, ..., a_N)$ [18]. Correlation functions of operators $O_{\Delta_i}$ can then be computed by

$$\langle O_{\Delta_1}(N) O_{\Delta_2}(S) \rangle_{S^4} = 4^{-\Delta_1} \int d^N a \prod_{i<j}^{N} (a_i - a_j)^2 e^{-\frac{8\pi^2}{g^2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} a_i^2}$$

$$\left[ \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} a_i^{p_1} \right) \cdots \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} a_i^{p_P} \right) \right] \left[ \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} a_i^{q_1} \right) \cdots \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} a_i^{q_Q} \right) \right].$$

This correlation function is non-zero for operators $O_{\Delta_i}$ of different dimensions, which shows that the $O_{\Delta_i}$ cannot be identified with the operators $O_{\Delta_i}$ in (A.3). The underlying reason for why the correlation functions of the operators of different dimensions can be nonzero is the conformal anomaly of the theory on the four-sphere. The sphere has an intrinsic scale –its radius $R$. When mapping $\mathbb{R}^4$ operators to $S^4$ operators, operators of different dimensions get mixed. On general grounds, one obtains a relation of the form

$$O_{\Delta}^{\mathbb{R}^4} = O_{\Delta}^{S^4} + \frac{\alpha_2}{R^2} O_{\Delta-2}^{S^4} + \frac{\alpha_4}{R^2} O_{\Delta-4}^{S^4} + \cdots. \quad (A.5)$$

The key insight of [30] is that the standard two-point correlation functions in $\mathbb{R}^4$ proportional to $\delta_{\Delta_1,\Delta_2}$ can be recovered by a Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization procedure. For instance, for $U(2)$ $N = 4$, on $\mathbb{R}^4$ one has the CPO’s $O_{1}^{\mathbb{R}^4} = \text{Tr}\phi$ and $O_{2}^{\mathbb{R}^4} = \text{Tr}\phi^2$ (at larger dimensions there are only multitraces), while in the sphere the relevant operators are $1$, $\text{Tr}\phi_{S^4}$, and $\text{Tr}\phi_{S^4}^2$. One then has

$$O_{1}^{\mathbb{R}^4} \rightarrow \text{Tr}\phi_{S^4} - \frac{\langle \text{Tr}\phi_{S^4} \rangle}{\langle 1 \rangle} 1,$$

$$O_{2}^{\mathbb{R}^4} \rightarrow \text{Tr}\phi_{S^4}^2 - \frac{\langle \text{Tr}\phi_{S^4}^2 \rangle}{\langle 1 \rangle} 1; \quad (A.6)$$

where the $\langle \cdot \rangle$ is to be computed in the matrix model. Since in the matrix model only mixtures between operators of dimensions differing by an even number can be non-zero (by symmetry of the integral), one has $\langle \text{Tr}\phi_{S^4} \rangle = 0$, thus recovering the structure in (A.5). Finally, once the correct –i.e. orthogonalized– candidates for $O_{\Delta}^{\mathbb{R}^4}$ have been identified, the different entries of the Zamolodchikov metric $g_{\Delta_1,\Delta_2}$ are obtained by computing $\langle O_{\Delta_1}^{\mathbb{R}^4} O_{\Delta_2}^{\mathbb{R}^4} \rangle$ in the matrix model.

21
A by-product of having identified the correct $O_{\Delta_1}^{R_4}$ in terms of matrix model operators is that one can then also compute correlation functions between CPO’s and circular Wilson loops [32]. To that matter one simply evaluates $\langle O_{\Delta_1}^{R_4} W \rangle$ in the matrix model using the properly orthogonalized $O_{\Delta_1}^{R_4}$.
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