The Enduring Health Consequences of Combat Trauma: a Legacy of Chronic Disease
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BACKGROUND: A better understanding of the long-term health effects of combat injury is important for the management of veterans’ health in the Department of Defense (DoD) and Veterans Affairs (VA) health care systems and may have implications for primary care management of civilian trauma patients.

OBJECTIVE: To determine the impact of traumatic injury on the subsequent development of hypertension (HTN), diabetes mellitus (DM), and coronary artery disease (CAD) after adjustment for sociodemographic, health behavior, and mental health factors.

DESIGN: Retrospective cohort study of current and former US military personnel with data obtained from both the DoD and VA health care systems.

PARTICIPANTS: Combat injured (n = 8727) service members between 1 February 2002 and 14 June 2016 randomly selected from the DoD Trauma Registry matched 1:1 based on year of birth, sex, and branch of service to subjects that deployed to a combat zone but were not injured.

MAIN MEASURES: Traumatic injury, stratified by severity, compared with no documented injury. Diagnoses of HTN, DM, and CAD defined by International Classification of Diseases 9th or 10th Revision Clinical Modification codes.

KEY RESULTS: After adjustment, severe traumatic injury was significantly associated with HTN (HR 2.78, 95% CI 2.18–3.55), DM (HR 4.45, 95% CI 2.15–9.18), and CAD (HR 4.87, 95% CI 2.11–11.25), compared with no injury. Less severe injury was associated with HTN (HR 1.14, 95% CI 1.05–1.24) and CAD (HR 1.62, 95% CI 1.11–2.37).

CONCLUSIONS: Severe traumatic injury is associated with the subsequent development of HTN, DM, and CAD. These findings have profound implications for the primary care of injured service members in both the DoD/VA health systems and may be applicable to civilian trauma patients as well. Further exploration of pathophysiologic, health behavior, and mental health changes after trauma is warranted to guide future intervention strategies.
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INTRODUCTION

An emerging body of evidence indicates that trauma is associated with chronic medical conditions.1, 2 This complements evidence in other populations of patients that suffer acute insults. For example, acute kidney injury has been associated with chronic kidney disease (CKD),3 end-stage renal disease,3 heart failure,4, 5 hypertension (HTN),1, 6 and long-term mortality.7, 8 Similarly, sepsis in general and pneumonia specifically have been associated with cardiovascular disease, cognitive impairment, and long-term mortality.9–12 However, these associations are complicated by the high prevalence of psychiatric morbidity in critically ill patients,13, 14 which has in turn been correlated with poor long-term outcomes such as cardiovascular disease.15

The primary limitation of the literature so far is selection bias: patients who become acutely ill are fundamentally different from those that do not. From this lens, combat casualties are a particularly interesting group to study. In contrast to other cohorts, there are relatively strict health requirements for both military service and for deployment to a combat theater. Therefore, there is no a priori reason to believe that injured patients are significantly different from non-injured patients at baseline. Furthermore, the continuity of care between the Department of Defense (DoD) and Veterans Affairs (VA) health systems allows for robust follow-up and adjustment for other covariates. Since randomized controlled trials are not possible, retrospective studies from combat casualties are likely to provide the best evidence. Additionally, findings from combat casualties are not only relevant to military service members and veterans but also may elucidate important public health implications for similar civilian trauma such as gun violence.16, 17
In the present study, we randomly selected a large cohort of combat casualties and matched them with a population that was deployed to a combat location, but not injured. We hypothesized that combat injured patients would have higher rates of HTN, coronary artery disease (CAD), and diabetes mellitus (DM) compared with uninjured patients after adjustment for demographic, health behavior, and mental health factors.

METHODS

The study was reviewed and approved by the David Grant USAF Medical Center Institutional Review Board (IRB), the University of Utah IRB, and the Research Review Committee of the VA Salt Lake City Health Care System. We derived two cohorts of military personnel: (1) combat injured and (2) deployed to Iraq or Afghanistan, but not injured. The injured cohort was composed of service members that were wounded in combat operations in Iraq or Afghanistan from 1 February 2002 to 14 June 2016 from the Department of Defense Trauma Registry (DoDTR). A random sample of 10,000 patients was selected from the DoDTR that were injured during the study period. These were matched 1:1 with the control group based on birth year (± 1 year), sex, and branch of service (Army, Air Force, Marines, Navy, and Coast Guard) utilizing the Veterans Affairs/DoD Identity Repository (VADIR). This uninjured group had no documented combat injury in DoDTR and did not have a combat injury separation from service. We opted not to include a group of military members that were not deployed because non-deployed groups have been shown to have higher rates of chronic disease, likely because deployments are deferred for medical reasons. Additional data were queried from multiple data systems, including the Military Health System Data Repository (MDR), the Joint VA-DoD Suicide Data Repository (SDR), the Defense Manpower Data Center (DMDC), and the Veterans Informatics and Computing Infrastructure (VINCI).

Data on birth year, sex, rank, and service branch were derived from DoDTR for injured patients and VADIR for uninjured patients. Given the low number of subjects in the Coast Guard, they were included with the Navy for analysis. Rank was categorized into junior enlisted, senior enlisted, and officers as a proxy for socioeconomic status. Data on race/ethnicity were derived primarily from DMDC. If race/ethnicity was missing from DMDC, it was obtained from VADIR; if missing from VADIR, race/ethnicity was derived from MDR. Data on marital status were obtained from MDR. Injury characteristics for the injured cohort were obtained from DoDTR, including injury type, injury mechanism, and Injury Severity Score (ISS). The ISS is a validated, anatomically based scoring system to quantify injury severity, with scores ranging from 1 to 75. For the purposes of analysis, injury was considered a categorical variable: not injured for the uninjured cohort, and 1–24 (minor to moderate) or ≥25 (severe) for the injured cohort. We obtained mortality data from SDR. Subjects were considered tobacco users if they had any evidence of this health behavior in MDR. Patients were considered to have the outcome of HTN, CAD, or DM if they had an International Classification of Diseases 9th or 10th Revision Clinical Modification (ICD-9/10-CM) diagnosis code(s) for a condition of interest in either MDR or VINCI (Supplemental Table 1). To define the presence of the outcome, we utilized a previously published method. If the diagnosis occurred in an inpatient setting, they were considered to have the outcome. However, if the diagnosis occurred in an outpatient setting, a second code within the next 2 years was required for confirmation. We also considered the development of other ICD-9/10-CM conditions as time-dependent covariates in our analyses, including adjustment disorders, anxiety disorders, insomnia, post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), depression, and alcohol dependence (Supplemental Table 1). A patient was considered to have one of these diagnoses if they had two encounters at least 7 days apart with the appropriate diagnosis code. Obesity was also considered as a time-varying covariate. A patient was considered obese if they had a diagnosis of overweight, obesity, or morbid obesity in the medical record (Supplemental Table 1).

Patients were excluded from the analysis if they could not be matched, died within 90 days of the index date, did not have an encounter in the study period or after the index date, had a pre-existing health condition (HTN, DM, CAD, or CKD) prior to index date, or had a missing variable of interest. Categorical variables are presented as percentages and compared by chi-squared tests. Continuous variables are presented as medians and interquartile ranges (IQR) based on data distribution and compared using Wilcoxon rank-sum tests. For our primary analyses, we utilized Fine and Gray competing risk models. The index date was the injury date in the injured cohort. For each non-injured patient, the index date was the injury date for the subject they were matched to in the injured cohort. Patients followed until they had the outcome of interest, died, were lost to follow up, or 14 June 2016 (whichever came first). Stratified models were run for each outcome of interest. These included univariate models and three nested multivariable models: (1) injury status and demographics (age, race/ethnicity, rank, and marital status), (2) injury status, demographics, and health behavior (alcohol dependence, tobacco use, and obesity), and (3) injury status, demographics, health behavior, and mental health factors (adjustment disorder, anxiety disorder, insomnia, PTSD, and depression). Data are presented graphically utilizing cumulative incidence functions. All statistical analyses were performed in SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

RESULTS

Of the original 10,000 patients obtained from DoDTR, 346 could not be linked to administrative records. This left 9654
subjects that were matched 1:1 based on age, sex, and service to uninjured subjects. Of these 9654 pairs, 138 (1.4%) were excluded for death within 90 days of the index date, 64 (0.6%) for no encounter during the study period, 578 (5.9%) for no encounter after index date, 118 (1.2%) for pre-existing conditions, and 29 (0.3%) for a missing variable of interest. The final study population was composed of 8727 subjects in the injured and uninjured cohorts, for a total N of 17,454.

Characteristics of the study population are presented in Table 1. Patients in the injured cohort were more likely to be married (49.0% vs 46.2%; \( p < 0.001 \)) and less likely to be junior enlisted personnel (58.8% vs 65.7%; \( p < 0.001 \)), compared with the uninjured cohort. Small differences in race/ethnicity were also seen, with injured patients more likely to be non-Hispanic White (75.8% vs 72.0%) and less likely to be non-Hispanic Black (8.5% vs 12.7%). After the index date, injured patients had significantly higher total incidence of HTN (19.4% vs 14.7%; \( p < 0.001 \)), DM (3.8% vs 2.9%; \( p < 0.001 \)), and CAD (1.7% vs 1.1%; \( p < 0.001 \)). Injured patients had higher incidence rates per 1000 person years for HTN (17.7 vs 14.2; \( p < 0.001 \)) and CAD (1.7% vs 1.2%; \( p = 0.015 \)). The median follow-up time was 8.4 years (IQR 5.3–10.6), which differed between groups. Patients in the injured cohort had longer follow-up times (median 8.8, IQR 5.7–10.8) compared with patients in the uninjured cohort (median 7.8, IQR 4.9–10.4; \( p < 0.001 \)). Injured patients had a median ISS of 6 (IQR 2–13) with 8.4% having an ISS ≥ 25 (denoting severe injury). Results for the univariate and fully adjusted multivariable models for the outcomes of interest are presented in Tables 2, 3, and 4. Results of additional nested multivariable modes are presented in Supplemental Tables 2 through 4. Results for the outcomes of interest are displayed graphically, stratified by injury status, in Figure 1.

Compared with the non-injured cohort (Table 2), severely injured patients had more than two times the unadjusted risk of HTN (hazard ratio (HR) 2.71, 95% confidence interval (CI) 2.17–3.40; \( p < 0.001 \)). Minor to moderately injured patients were also at increased risk in the unadjusted model (HR 1.26, 95% CI 1.18–1.35; \( p < 0.001 \)). The estimated risk (Supplemental Table 2) was not reduced after adjustment for either demographics (model 1) or demographics and health behavior (model 2). However, there was attenuation in the full model that also considered mental health diagnoses for mild to moderately injured patients (HR 1.14, 95% CI 1.05–1.24, \( p = 0.002 \)). The HR for severely injured patients was essentially

Table 1 Characteristics of the Study Population

| Characteristic                   | Combined cohort N = 17,454 | Combat injured N = 8727 | Deployed, not injured N = 8727 | \( p \) value |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------|
| Age (years at index date)        | 0.448                       | 1.000                   |                               |             |
| Sex (male, %)                    |                             |                         |                               |             |
| Male                             | 24 (22–29)                  | 24 (22–29)              | 24 (22–29)                    | 0.448       |
| Female                           |                             |                         |                               | 1.000       |
| Race/ethnicity (non-Hispanic White, %) |                   |                         |                               |             |
| Hispanic                         | 12,899 (73.9)               | 6616 (75.8)             | 6283 (72.0)                   | <0.001      |
| Non-Hispanic Black               | 1838 (10.5)                 | 937 (10.7)              | 901 (10.3)                    |             |
| Asian                            | 571 (3.3)                   | 284 (3.3)               | 287 (3.3)                     |             |
| Other                            | 296 (1.7)                   | 148 (1.7)               | 148 (1.7)                     |             |
| Service (Army, %)                |                             |                         |                               | 1.000       |
| Navy                             |                             |                         |                               |             |
| Rank (officer, %)                |                             |                         |                               | <0.001      |
| Military status (married, %)     |                             |                         |                               | <0.001      |
| Hypertension (HTN, %)**          | 2974 (17.0)                 | 1689 (19.4)             | 1285 (14.7)                   | <0.001      |
| Diabetes (DM, %)**               | 578 (3.3)                   | 329 (3.8)               | 249 (2.9)                     | <0.001      |
| Coronary artery disease (CAD, %)** | 243 (1.4)                  | 149 (1.7)               | 94 (1.1)                      | <0.001      |
| Median follow-up years, median (IQR) | 8.4 (5.3–10.6)          | 8.8 (5.7–10.8)          | 7.8 (4.9–10.4)                | <0.001      |

\*In years at index date

\*Including Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander

\*Including multi-racial

**Onset after index date

††> 90 days after index date
### Table 2: Univariate and Final Multivariable Competing Risk Models for the Outcome of Hypertension

| Race/ethnicity       | Univariate Model 3 | HR  | 95% CI    | p value |
|----------------------|--------------------|-----|-----------|---------|
| NH White             | Ref                |     |           |         |
| Hispanic             | 0.90               | 0.78–1.05 | 0.175   |         |
| NH Black             | 1.61               | 1.42–1.83 | < 0.001 |         |
| Asian                | 1.21               | 0.95–1.56 | 0.130   |         |
| Other                | 1.19               | 0.85–1.66 | 0.316   |         |
| Rank                 |                    |     |           |         |
| Enlisted (Jr)        | Ref                |     |           |         |
| Enlisted (Sr)        | 1.07               | 0.95–1.20 | 0.271   |         |
| Officer              | 0.81               | 0.68–0.97 | 0.023   |         |
| Married              | 1.16               | 1.05–1.28 | 0.003   |         |
| ISS                  |                    |     |           |         |
| Not injured          | Ref                |     |           |         |
| ≥ 25                 | 1.26               | 1.18–1.35 | < 0.001 |         |
| Alcohol dependence   | 1.71               | 1.43–2.01 | < 0.001 |         |
| Tobacco              | Yes                | 1.34 | 1.20–1.50 | < 0.001 |
|                     | No                 |     |           |         |
|                     | Unknown            | 1.14 | 1.02–1.26 | 0.020   |
| Obesity              | 1.35               | 1.10–1.65 | 0.004   |         |
| Adjustment disorder  | 1.54               | 1.39–1.70 | < 0.001 |         |
| Anxiety disorder     | 1.85               | 1.66–2.05 | < 0.001 |         |
| Insomnia             | 1.91               | 1.72–2.11 | < 0.001 |         |
| PTSD                 | 1.84               | 1.68–2.02 | < 0.001 |         |
| Depression           | 1.81               | 1.64–2.00 | < 0.001 |         |

*Junior (Jr), senior (Sr), injury severity score (ISS), post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), non-Hispanic (NH)*

*Per each 1-year increase in age*

### Table 3: Univariate and Final Multivariable Competing Risk Models for the Outcome of Diabetes Mellitus

| Race/ethnicity       | Univariate Model 3 | HR  | 95% CI    | p value |
|----------------------|--------------------|-----|-----------|---------|
| NH White             | Ref                |     |           |         |
| Hispanic             | 2.90               | 1.70–4.93 | < 0.001 |         |
| NH Black             | 1.36               | 0.93–1.99 | 0.109   |         |
| Asian                | 3.10               | 1.31–7.33 | 0.010   |         |
| Other                | 5.76               | 1.67–19.84 | 0.006  |         |
| Rank                 |                    |     |           |         |
| Enlisted (Jr)        | Ref                |     |           |         |
| Enlisted (Sr)        | 0.88               | 0.62–1.24 | 0.449   |         |
| Officer              | 0.66               | 0.36–1.18 | 0.160   |         |
| Married              | 1.33               | 0.99–1.78 | 0.060   |         |
| ISS                  |                    |     |           |         |
| Not injured          | Ref                |     |           |         |
| ≥ 25                 | 1.22               | 1.00–1.49 | 0.048   |         |
| Alcohol dependence   | 1.78               | 0.98–3.24 | 0.060   |         |
| Tobacco              | Yes                | 1.33 | 0.97–1.83 | 0.077   |
|                     | No                 |     |           |         |
|                     | Unknown            | 1.16 | 0.84–1.60 | 0.363   |
| Obesity              | 1.64               | 0.95–2.82 | 0.077   |         |
| Adjustment disorder  | 1.74               | 1.31–2.33 | < 0.001 |         |
| Anxiety disorder     | 1.66               | 1.22–2.25 | 0.001   |         |
| Insomnia             | 1.97               | 1.46–2.66 | < 0.001 |         |
| PTSD                 | 2.50               | 1.86–3.37 | < 0.001 |         |
| Depression           | 3.58               | 2.48–5.17 | < 0.001 |         |

*Junior (Jr), senior (Sr), injury severity score (ISS), post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), non-Hispanic (NH)*

*Per each 1-year increase in age*
unchanged (2.78, 95% CI 2.18–3.55; p < 0.001). Other statistically significant factors in the fully adjusted model were age (HR 1.22, 95% CI 1.12–1.32; p < 0.001), non-Hispanic Black (HR 1.94, 95% CI 1.69–2.24; p < 0.001), alcohol dependence (HR 1.28, 95% CI 1.06–1.54; p = 0.011), anxiety disorder (HR 1.29, 95% CI 1.13–1.48; p < 0.001), insomnia (HR 1.40, 95% CI 1.24–1.59; p < 0.001), PTSD (HR 1.24, 95% CI 1.08–1.42; p = 0.002), and depression (HR 1.21, 95% CI 1.05–1.38; p = 0.007). Tobacco users were also at a risk of HTN after adjustment.

Results for DM are shown in Table 3. The estimated risk for minor to moderate injury was not attenuated until after the addition of mental health diagnoses (HR 1.15, 95% CI 0.86–1.53; p = 0.344). Conversely, the estimated risk for more severely injured patients was similar in the univariate (HR 4.50, 95% CI 2.14–9.44; p < 0.001) and fully adjusted (HR 4.45, 95% CI 2.15–9.18; p < 0.001) models. Other statistically significant variables in the fully adjusted model included Hispanic ethnicity (HR 3.93, 95% CI 1.94–7.95; p < 0.001), non-Hispanic Black race/ethnicity (HR 1.64, 95% CI 1.01–2.66; p = 0.047), and other race (HR 15.44, 95% CI 2.42–98.56; p = 0.004), compared with non-Hispanic Whites. Depression (HR 4.01, 95% CI 2.30–6.98; p < 0.001) was also associated with DM after adjustment.

Models for the outcome of CAD are shown in Table 4. In these models, minor to moderate injury was not attenuated in the fully adjusted model (HR 1.62, 95% CI 1.11–2.37; p = 0.013) compared with the unadjusted analysis (HR 1.50, 95% CI 1.18–1.91; p = 0.001). The estimated risk for more severely injured patients and CAD was also higher in the fully adjusted model (HR 4.87, 95% CI 2.11–11.25; p < 0.001) compared with the unadjusted model (HR 3.40, 95% CI 1.52–7.59; p = 0.003). Other than injury status, only age (HR 1.57, 95% CI 1.06–2.34; p = 0.025) was significantly associated with CAD in the fully adjusted model.

The Martingale-based Supremum test was used to assess the proportional hazards assumption, and injury status did not adhere to the strict assumption of proportionality for each outcome. 25, 26 The HRs for each outcome are larger earlier and smaller later in the observation period, but strong and significant throughout. After the first year of follow-up, the HRs are essentially proportional, suggesting that non-proportionalities do not constitute meaningful violations that render the results invalid. 26 Thus, we did not incorporate injury-time interactions in our final models.

**DISCUSSION**

We found that traumatically injured patients were more likely to develop HTN, DM, and CAD when compared with uninjured patients. The attributable risk within the injured group ranged from 0.6% for DM to 4.6% for HTN, equating to 55, 80, and 404 excess cases of DM, CAD, and HTN, respectively. Extended to the entire population of battle-injured service members, this would equate to an additional 2628 cases of HTN, 358 cases of DM, and 520 cases of CAD within a relatively young and previously healthy population. Mental

---

**Table 4 Univariate and Final Multivariable Competing Risk Models for the Outcome of Coronary Artery Disease**

| Variable          | Univariate Model 3 | Model 3 |
|-------------------|---------------------|---------|
|                   | HR                  | 95% CI  | p value | HR                  | 95% CI  | p value |
| Age               | 1.11                | 0.86–1.43 | 0.436   | 1.57                | 1.06–2.34 | 0.025   |
| Race/ethnicity    |                     |         |         |                     |         |         |
| NH White          | Ref                 |         |         | Ref                 |         |         |
| Hispanic          | 1.24                | 0.73–2.13 | 0.431   | 0.90                | 0.50–1.62 | 0.723   |
| NH Black          | 1.11                | 0.74–1.67 | 0.612   | 1.15                | 0.70–1.87 | 0.584   |
| Asian             | 0.58                | 0.10–3.35 | 0.540   | 0.43                | 0.08–2.35 | 0.329   |
| Other             | 2.15                | 0.33–13.93 | 0.421   | 1.47                | 0.20–10.76 | 0.707   |
| Rank              |                     |         |         |                     |         |         |
| Enlisted (Jr)     | Ref                 |         |         | Ref                 |         |         |
| Enlisted (Sr)     | 0.81                | 0.53–1.25 | 0.342   | 0.61                | 0.34–1.08 | 0.088   |
| Officer           | 0.44                | 0.23–0.82 | 0.010   | 0.40                | 0.17–0.92 | 0.032   |
| Married           | 1.06                | 0.76–1.48 | 0.736   | 1.16                | 0.74–1.80 | 0.522   |
| ISS               |                     |         |         |                     |         |         |
| Not injured       | Ref                 |         |         | Ref                 |         |         |
| 1–24              | 1.50                | 1.18–1.91 | 0.001   | 1.62                | 1.11–2.37 | 0.013   |
| ≥25               | 3.40                | 1.52–7.59 | 0.003   | 4.87                | 2.11–11.25 | <0.001 |
| Alcohol dependence| 2.14                | 1.09–4.21 | 0.027   | 1.07                | 0.49–2.32 | 0.867   |
| Tobacco           |                     |         |         |                     |         |         |
| Yes               | 1.26                | 0.86–1.84 | 0.229   | 1.14                | 0.70–1.84 | 0.605   |
| No                | Ref                 |         |         | Ref                 |         |         |
| Unknown           | 1.30                | 0.88–1.93 | 0.183   | 1.29                | 0.75–2.22 | 0.366   |
| Obesity           | 0.78                | 0.39–1.55 | 0.473   | 0.91                | 0.45–1.82 | 0.790   |
| Adjustment disorder| 1.77               | 1.24–2.52 | 0.002   | 1.01                | 0.61–1.68 | 0.958   |
| Anxiety disorder  | 2.05                | 1.40–2.99 | <0.001  | 1.21                | 0.66–2.21 | 0.531   |
| Insomnia          | 2.26                | 1.56–3.28 | <0.001  | 1.56                | 0.85–2.88 | 0.152   |
| PTSD              | 1.76                | 1.29–2.40 | <0.001  | 0.99                | 0.61–1.62 | 0.968   |
| Depression        | 1.92                | 1.35–2.73 | <0.001  | 1.27                | 0.76–2.15 | 0.363   |

*Per each 1-year increase in age

Junior (Jr), senior (Sr), injury severity score (ISS), post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), non-Hispanic (NH)
Figure 1 Results for the outcomes of interest. Hypertension (panel A), Diabetes Mellitus (panel B), Coronary Artery Disease (panel C).
health factors were the only variables that meaningfully attenuated the risk from trauma on chronic disease outcomes in the fully adjusted models, predominantly in the minor to moderate injury group.

Prior work by our group in a cohort of critically injured combat casualties demonstrated that increasing ISS was associated with HTN, CAD, DM, and CKD.1 Another group has also observed increased risk of cardiovascular disease27 and DM28 in civilian patients with burn and non-burn trauma. Similar effects have been seen in other critically ill patient populations. One group examining two large cohorts found that patients with pneumonia were more likely to develop cardiovascular disease9 and new onset heart failure.12 Another study found that sepsis survivors were at an increased risk for both mortality and major adverse cardiovascular events.10

A variety of mental health outcomes have been associated with critical illness.14, 29, 30 Furthermore, mental health diagnoses have been associated with HTN,31, 32 cardiovascular disease,32 and DM.33 However, ours is the first study to comprehensively examine the magnitude and timing of mental health on subsequent medical comorbidities with long-term follow-up and a non-historical control group. We found that PTSD and anxiety were associated with HTN in the fully adjusted models. Insomnia, a condition associated with both chronic disease and mental health diagnoses,34 was associated with HTN after adjustment. Depression was associated with both HTN and DM. Our prior work on this topic has suggested that PTSD and injury severity are independent risk factors for the subsequent development of HTN.15 However, the present study suggests that may only be true for more severely injured patients.

Our study also highlights the importance of consolidating DoD and VA data to examine veterans’ health. Utilizing only data from the DoD would have decreased our follow-up time from 8.4 years (IQR 5.3–10.6) to 5.0 years (IQR 2.1–8.9). To our knowledge, only 10 studies have combined data from the DoD and VA data for the purposes of examining veterans’ health.35-44 While administrative hurdles exist in combining data from two different federal agencies, the primary issue is one of data integration. Different formats and data dictionaries are compounded by the deep institutional knowledge necessary to properly interpret the individual variables in order to ensure a basic minimum level of semantic interoperability. Future studies will be made much easier by the planned integration of the VA and DoD electronic health records (EHR) systems. However, this will not help those that served prior to the implementation of the common EHR. Therefore, continued efforts to consolidate data between the DoD and VA are warranted. The young men and women that volunteered to serve their country, and in many cases were injured, deserve nothing less.

The implications of these findings may not be limited to the US military. The underlying tenants of our framework might be applicable to civilian trauma. Even if the attributable risk in the civilian population was half of what is estimated in this study, that would imply a meaningful public health burden. Our findings also have important implications for health disparities research given that gun violence disproportionately impacts certain racial/ethnic and socioeconomic groups which are already known to be at an increased risk of these chronic diseases.45

The strengths of our analysis include its large matched cohort design, long follow-up period, and young and healthy population at baseline. However, our work does have some important limitations. First, while our injured and uninjured groups are both unlikely to have significant health problems at baseline, they were not perfectly matched, as evidenced by differences in race/ethnicity, rank, and marital status. While we adjusted for these variables, this variation implies that the injured and uninjured groups might be different in unmeasured ways. For example, we do not have access to measured blood pressure prior to deployment, which could have differed between groups. Second, our analysis is retrospective and relied on ICD-9/10-CM diagnosis codes for both the outcomes and some predictor variables. ICD codes are generally considered to have greater specificity than sensitivity; therefore, we may be missing diagnoses in our cohorts. Third, our data on tobacco use was limited and was unknown for a large proportion of patients (37.5%). Fourth, we do not have data on therapies used to treat injured patients that might have had an impact on the outcomes (e.g., NSAIDs). Fifth, there were differences in follow-up between the injured and non-injured groups. While this is mitigated by our use of a time-to-event analysis and the fact that the uninjured cohort had a long follow-up period, it is important to note that this might result in some bias. Lastly, the results in our cohort of combat casualties may not be generalizable to other populations with higher prevalence of comorbidities at baseline.

We found that combat injury is associated with the subsequent development of HTN, DM, and CAD. These findings are consistent with the hypothesis that the pathophysiologic processes involved in poor long-term outcomes after traumatic injury are a combination of pre-, peri-, and post-injury health promoting and health compromising factors. Many of these health promoting and health compromising factors are modifiable, allowing for targeted interventions aimed at long-term risk reduction and improved quality of life. Since diagnosis, screening, and risk modification will be done in the primary care setting, it is important for clinicians to be aware of the association between injury and chronic disease. This will be especially important as these patients age and are at a greater risk of developing these conditions, particularly DM and CAD, which may take more time to manifest.
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