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Microstructure and Properties of Thermal Electrode Material Si₃N₄–MoSi₂ Composite Ceramics

Lichao Feng 1,2,*, Pengfei Guan 1, Xuemei Yu 1,2 and Yiqiang He 1

1 School of Mechanical and Ocean Engineering, Huaihai Institute of Technology, Lianyungang 222005, China; gpf543210@163.com (P.G.); yuxuemei_hit@126.com (X.Y.); gali_hit@126.com (Y.H.)
2 Marine Resources Development Institute of Jiangsu, Lianyungang 222001, China
* Correspondence: fenglchhit@hhit.edu.cn; Tel.: +86-518-85895330; Fax: +86-518-85895326

Received: 11 May 2018; Accepted: 9 June 2018; Published: 11 June 2018

Abstract: With good high temperature and corrosive resistance performance, ceramic based composites can be used as promising materials to replace metal thermocouple materials. In this study, Si₃N₄–MoSi₂ composites were prepared via hot pressing technology. X-ray diffraction (XRD), optical microscopy (OM), and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) were used to analyze the microstructure of the composites. The mechanical properties and electrical conductivity were tested. The results showed that the composites were composed of β-Si₃N₄, MoSi₂, a small amount of Mo₅Si₃, and an amorphous glassy phase. The MoSi₂ phase was evenly distributed in the matrix. The percolation network was formed with increasing MoSi₂ content. The strength of the composites reached its maximum value when the MoSi₂ content reached a critical point. The electrical conductivity behaved like a typical percolation phenomenon. The percolation threshold was about 30% to 45%.

Keywords: thermal electrode materials; hot pressing; microstructure; mechanical properties; electrical conductivity

1. Introduction

The thermocouple is the most widely used temperature testing device in many industrial areas [1–3]. To realize accurate temperature testing in a high temperature and corrosive environment, a corrosive and high temperature resistance tube has to be used to protect the metallic thermocouple material [4–8]. However, the application of the protection tube will reduce the stability and accuracy of the thermocouples due to the change of thermo-electrical potential resulting from the variation of the physical status of the metal electrode materials.

With increasing requirements from metallurgy, chemical, and aerospace engineering, the stable properties of thermocouple materials, which can be used in high temperature and corrosive environments, is still a big challenge in materials science, especially when they are used in molten salt electrolysis as the temperature testing device. Apart from the temperature range, the testing accuracy is another important factor that needs to be considered. As a result, a traditional metallic thermocouple is not able to satisfy the requirements when they are exposed to the above-mentioned working environments. Based on this condition, ceramic based composites, which have good high temperature and corrosive resistance performance, have been used as candidate materials to replace metallic thermocouple materials [9–13].

Disordered ceramic composites are composed of at least two types of ceramics, which combines the advantages of each component. Previous studies have demonstrated that Si₃N₄–MoSi₂ composites have good mechanical, anti-oxidation, and corrosive resistance performances [14–18]. As a result, they are able to be used as candidate materials to fabricate thermocouple electrode materials. In this study, the mechanical and electrical properties and microstructure of Si₃N₄–MoSi₂ composites were
tested and analyzed to shed light on their potential application as new high temperature and corrosive resistance thermocouple materials.

2. Experimental

The Si$_3$N$_4$ powders were provided by Shinuorui Co. Ltd., Fuzhou, China and the MoSi$_2$ powder was provided by Yantai Torch Special High Temperature Ceramics Co. Ltd., Yantai, China. The chemical contents are listed in Table 1, and the microstructures are depicted in Figure 1. All materials were used as received without further purification.

![Figure 1. The SEM morphologies of the original powders. (a) α-Si$_3$N$_4$; (b) MoSi$_2$; (c) Y$_2$O$_3$; (d) La$_2$O$_3$.](image)

| α Phase-Si$_3$N$_4$/wt % | MoSi$_2$/wt % | La$_2$O$_3$/wt % | Y$_2$O$_3$/wt % |
|--------------------------|--------------|------------------|-----------------|
| purity >92               | purity >99   | purity >99       | purity >99      |
| N  >38.5                 | Si >36.5     | REO >94.5        | REO >94.5       |
| O  <1.5                  | O <0.85      | CaO <0.15        | CaO <0.15       |
| C  <0.1                  | Fe <0.09     | Fe$_2$O$_3$ <0.10 | Fe$_2$O$_3$ <0.10 |
| Fe <200 ppm              | C <0.01      | CeO$_2$ <0.05    | CeO$_2$ <0.05   |

As can be seen from the chemical contents and microstructures, the purity of the α-Si$_3$N$_4$ was higher than 92% with an average size of 1 µm. The purity of La$_2$O$_3$, and MoSi$_2$ was higher than 99% with size of 10 µm, and the purity of Y$_2$O$_3$ was higher than 99% with an average size of 15 µm, as shown in Table 1.

As listed in Table 2, the contents of MoSi$_2$ were 30 wt %, 45 wt %, and 60 wt %, with 5 wt % of La$_2$O$_3$, 5 wt % of Y$_2$O$_3$ and the rest of Si$_3$N$_4$. Before the hot pressing process, the powders were ball-milled in dehydrated ethyl alcohol for 10 h, and subsequently dried in a vacuum furnace at 100 ºC. After drying, the temperature was raised to 1750 ºC with a heating rate of 30 ºC/min, followed by
hot pressing with 25 MPa and a 60 min soak in a graphite mold. The furnace chamber was purged with 0.5 atm of argon gas from the start of the hot pressing procedure. The relative densities of the prepared samples were tested according to the Archimedes method. The flexural strengths were tested through a three point bending method in a universal testing machine (Instron-5569, Instron, Norwood, CO, USA). The size of the samples were $3 \times 4 \times 36 \text{ mm}^3$, and the flexural strength were calculated according to the following equation:

$$\sigma = \frac{3FL}{2bh^2}$$

(1)

where $F$ is the fracture loading; $L$ is the space between the two points; and $b$ and $h$ are the width and thickness of the samples, respectively. The loading rate was 0.5 mm/min. The final results were the arithmetic average value of three samples. Before testing, the surfaces of the samples were polished to avoid stress concentration.

### Table 2. Constituents of samples.

| No. | Si$_3$N$_4$/wt % | MoSi$_2$/wt % | La$_2$O$_3$/wt % | Y$_2$O$_3$/wt % |
|-----|------------------|---------------|------------------|-----------------|
| #1  | 60               | 30            | 5                | 5               |
| #2  | 45               | 45            | 5                | 5               |
| #3  | 30               | 60            | 5                | 5               |

Thermal shock resistance is one of the most important properties of ceramic materials. It reflects the fracture resistance of a material with exposure to sharp temperature change conditions. In general, the thermal shock resistance can be characterized by the strength remaining rate after thermal shock testing, expressed as [19]:

$$\eta_{rem} = \frac{\sigma_T}{\sigma_0} \times 100\%$$

(2)

where $\eta_{rem}$ is the flexural strength remaining rate; $\sigma_T$ is the flexural strength of the material experienced $n$ time thermal shocking at temperature $T$; and $\sigma_0$ is the original flexural strength. The samples for the remaining strength tests were air cooled at various temperatures 10 times. The final results were the arithmetic average value of three samples.

The phase structures were determined by X-ray diffraction pattern (XRD) on a Rigaku D/max-rA X-ray diffractometer with Cu Ka radiation ($\lambda = 1.5406 \text{ Å}$) (Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo, Japan). The surface morphologies of the samples were observed by scanning electron microscopy with energy dispersive spectroscopy (SEM/EDS), performed on a Hitachi S-4700 scanning electron microscope (Hitachi Corporation, Tokyo, Japan). After that, the cross sectional optical microscopy was observed on Zeiss-MC80-DX (Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany).

### 3. Results and Discussion

#### 3.1. XRD Results and Optical Microstructure

Figure 2 shows the XRD pattern of the Si$_3$N$_4$–MoSi$_2$ composites. As demonstrated in this figure, the composites after hot compressing were mainly composed of the $\beta$-Si$_3$N$_4$ and MoSi$_2$ phase. Neither Y nor La compounds were detected. Based on this result, it can be claimed that during the hot pressing process, the original $\alpha$-Si$_3$N$_4$ changed to $\beta$-Si$_3$N$_4$, the sintering additives, Y$_2$O$_3$ and La$_2$O$_3$, reacted with the Si and O$_2$ content and formed an amorphous glassy phase. In addition, small amounts of the Mo$_5$Si$_3$ phase could also be detected.
Figure 2. XRD pattern of the Si₃N₄–MoSi₂ composites.

The densities of the prepared samples are shown in Figure 3. As demonstrated in this figure, the densities of Si₃N₄-30 wt % MoSi₂, Si₃N₄-45 wt % MoSi₂, and Si₃N₄-60 wt % MoSi₂ were 4.66 g/cm³, 4.31 g/cm³, and 3.99 g/cm³, respectively. After calculation, the relative densities of the samples were 93.5%, 94.9%, and 95.7%. As can be seen from these results, the samples were all well sintered with a relatively low porosity of less than 6.5%. Previous studies have demonstrated that the densification of Si₃N₄–MoSi₂ composites is still a challenge without sintering additives [20–23]. It was found that with the addition of Y and La, the densification could be significantly improved [24,25]. Figures 4 and 5 show the optical microscopy, backscattering SEM (BSE), and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images of Si₃N₄-30 wt % MoSi₂, Si₃N₄-45 wt % MoSi₂, and Si₃N₄-60 wt % MoSi₂, respectively. In the OM and BSE, the white areas are the MoSi₂ phase and the dark areas are the Si₃N₄ phase. As can be seen in these figures, these two phases were evenly distributed, and the interfaces between the two components were clear and smooth. Little microcracks could be observed. The size of the Si₃N₄ was about 3–8 µm, and the size of the MoSi₂ was about 3–5 µm with a uniaxial shape. In addition, the size of the Si₃N₄ slightly reduced with the increasing weight contents of MoSi₂.

Figure 3. Relative density of the Si₃N₄–MoSi₂ composites.
Figure 4. Optical microstructure of the Si$_3$N$_4$–MoSi$_2$ composites of (a) Si$_3$N$_4$-30 wt % MoSi$_2$, (b) Si$_3$N$_4$-45 wt % MoSi$_2$, and (c) Si$_3$N$_4$-60 wt % MoSi$_2$.

Figure 5. High magnification backscattering SEM of the Si$_3$N$_4$–MoSi$_2$ composites (a) Si$_3$N$_4$-30 wt % MoSi$_2$; (b) Si$_3$N$_4$-45 wt % MoSi$_2$; (c) Si$_3$N$_4$-60 wt % MoSi$_2$, and (d) TEM image.

3.2. Flexural Strength

Figure 6 shows the flexural strengths of the Si$_3$N$_4$–MoSi$_2$ composites. As illustrated in this figure, the flexural strength of the composites reached 942 MPa, which was higher than that of the pure Si$_3$N$_4$ (781 MPa), when the filling content of MoSi$_2$ reached 30 wt %. However, the flexural strength started to decrease with increasing MoSi$_2$ content over 30 wt %. The flexural strength decreased to 535 MPa when the MoSi$_2$ content reached 60 wt %.
Figure 6. Flexural strengths of the Si$_3$N$_4$-MoSi$_2$ composites.

Figure 7 shows the SEM morphology of the Si$_3$N$_4$–MoSi$_2$ composites. As demonstrated in this figure, the fracture of the composites was a typical inter-granular fracture. The grains of the Si$_3$N$_4$ were rod shaped and the grains of MoSi$_2$ were equiaxial. In sample #1, the grain size of MoSi$_2$ was relatively small with a size of about 3–5 µm. With an increase of MoSi$_2$ content, the grain size of MoSi$_2$ kept increasing slightly, and small amounts of randomly distributed rod shaped Si$_3$N$_4$ crystals were observed among the MoSi$_2$ crystals. It can be concluded that with the addition of MoSi$_2$, the flexural strength of the composites will start to decrease when the content of MoSi$_2$ is higher than a critical value due to the increase of porosity and residual stresses.

Figure 7. SEM microstructure of the fracture surfaces of Si$_3$N$_4$–MoSi$_2$ composites of sample (a) Si$_3$N$_4$-30 wt % MoSi$_2$; (b) Si$_3$N$_4$-45 wt % MoSi$_2$; (c) Si$_3$N$_4$-60 wt % MoSi$_2$.

3.3. Thermal Shock Resistance

Figure 8 presents the flexural strength remaining rate of the Si$_3$N$_4$–MoSi$_2$ composites at temperatures of 500 °C, 600 °C, and 700 °C after thermal shock testing 10 times. As demonstrated in this figure, the flexural strength remaining rates were 85.2%, 60.5%, and 23.4% corresponding to samples #1, #2, and #3, respectively, when the thermal shock temperature was 500 °C. When the thermal shock testing temperature increased to 600 °C and 700 °C, the flexural strength remaining rate was lower than 20%.
Figure 8. Flexural strength remaining rate of the Si₃N₄–MoSi₂ composites at various temperatures.

Figure 9 shows the SEM microstructure of the fracture surface of sample #1. As demonstrated in this figure, microcracks could be clearly observed when compared with the samples without thermal shock experience. In addition, the quantity and size of the microcracks increased with increasing thermal shock testing temperatures, which resulted from the internal stresses due to the thermal expansion mismatch. As a result, the flexural strengths decreased with the increasing internal stress and thermal mismatch of the two main constituents.

![Figure 9](image-url)

Figure 9. SEM microstructure of the fracture surfaces of the Si₃N₄–MoSi₂ composite samples. (a) Before thermal shock, (b) thermal shock at 500 °C, and (c) thermal shock at 600 °C.

3.4. Electrical Conductivity

Figure 10 illustrates the electrical conductivity of the Si₃N₄–MoSi₂ composites as a function of filling content of MoSi₂. As shown in this figure, the addition of the MoSi₂ could considerably increase the electrical conductivity of the composites. When the content of MoSi₂ reached 30 wt %, the electrical conductivity was $1.25 \times 10^{-5} \ \Omega^{-1} \cdot \text{m}^{-1}$; when the content increased to 45 wt %, the electrical conductivity increased seven orders of magnitude and reached $3.45 \times 10^{2} \ \Omega^{-1} \cdot \text{m}^{-1}$. After this value, the electrical conductivity increasing rate became flat. This phenomenon can be explained by the percolation theory [13]. Before the percolation threshold, which means that the content of MoSi₂ is relatively low, the MoSi₂ is randomly distributed in the matrix, but no percolation network can be formed. Under this condition, the electrical conductivity is mainly determined by the matrix material, and shows low electrical conductivity. When the MoSi₂ content increased above the percolation threshold, the percolation network of MoSi₂ formed, and as a result, the electrical conductivity
was mainly contributed by the MoSi$_2$ phase rather than the matrix, thus showing high electrical conductivity. In this study, it can be claimed that the percolation threshold is located between 30 wt % to 45 wt %.

![Figure 10. Electrical conductivity of the Si$_3$N$_4$–MoSi$_2$ composites as a function of MoSi$_2$ mass fraction.](image)

4. Conclusions

In summary, this study successfully prepared Si$_3$N$_4$–MoSi$_2$ composites with hot pressing technology. The main constituents of the hot pressed Si$_3$N$_4$–MoSi$_2$ composites were β-Si$_3$N$_4$ and MoSi$_2$, and a small amount of the Mo$_5$Si$_3$ phase and glassy phase containing La and Y. The SEM morphology of the Si$_3$N$_4$–MoSi$_2$ composites demonstrated that the fracture of the composites was a typical inter-granular fracture. The grains of the Si$_3$N$_4$ were rod shaped and the grains of MoSi$_2$ were equiaxial. The flexural strength remaining rate of the Si$_3$N$_4$–MoSi$_2$ composites at temperatures of 500 °C, 600 °C, and 700 °C after thermal shocking testing 10 times demonstrated that the flexural strength rates were 85.2%, 60.5%, and 23.4% corresponding to Si$_3$N$_4$-30 wt % MoSi$_2$, Si$_3$N$_4$-45 wt % MoSi$_2$, and Si$_3$N$_4$-60 wt % MoSi$_2$, respectively, when the thermal shock temperature was 500 °C. When the thermal shock testing temperatures increased to 600 °C and 700 °C, the flexural strength remaining rates were lower than 20%.
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