Decarboxylation mechanisms of C4 photosynthesis in *Saccharum* spp.: increased PEPCK activity under water-limiting conditions
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Abstract

Background: C4 plants have been classified into three subtypes based on the enzymes used to decarboxylate C4 acids in the bundle sheath cells (NADP-ME, NAD-ME and PEPCK pathways). Evidences indicate that, depending on environmental factors, C4 plants may exhibit a certain degree of flexibility in the use of the decarboxylation mechanisms. In this context, the objective was to extend the knowledge on the degree of flexibility between the pathways of decarboxylation in sugarcane, a NADP-ME species, at different levels of water deficit.

Results: An experiment was carried out with two cultivars - RB92579 (tolerant to water deficit) and SP80–3280 (susceptible to water deficit) subjected to moderate level (−1.5 to −1.8 MPa), severe level (below −2.0 MPa) and recovery (48 h after rehydration) and changes in the activities of the enzymes involved in the three C4 mechanisms and in gene expression were investigated. Our results showed that sugarcane uses the PEPCK pathway as a decarboxylation mechanism in addition to the NADP-ME, which was more evident under water deficit conditions for both cultivars.

Conclusions: The results obtained here, show that sugarcane increases the use of the PEPCK pathway as a decarboxylation mechanism, in addition to the NADP-ME pathway, under conditions of water deficit, particularly in the tolerant cultivar.
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Background

Sugarcane, like other species classified as having C4 photosynthetic pathways, developed anatomical and physiological adaptations to optimize CO₂ fixation for carbohydrate synthesis [1]. Plants of the C4 photosynthetic pathway are grouped in three biochemical subtypes, according to whether they contained high levels of NADP-malic enzyme (NADP-ME, EC 1.1.1.40), phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase (PEPCK, EC 4.1.1.49) or NAD-malic enzyme (NAD-ME, EC 1.1.1.39) for the decarboxylation of the C4 acids. The decarboxylation in the bundle sheath cells can occur in distinct cellular compartments, since NADP-ME occurs in chloroplasts, NAD-ME in mitochondria, whereas PEPCK exhibits cytosolic activity [2]. Currently, sugarcane is classified as a NADP-ME subtype [3].

CO₂ is initially fixed in the mesophyll cells by phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase (PEPC), a common enzyme in all three in C4 subtypes (Additional file 1: Figure S1). The product formed is oxaloacetate (OAA), which can be either reduced to malate by the NADP-dependent malate dehydrogenase (NADP-MDH) or converted to aspartate by the aspartate aminotransferase (AspAT). In the NAD-ME subtype, the malate is transported to the bundle sheath cells where it is converted to pyruvate, releasing CO₂. Next, pyruvate returns to the mesophyll
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cells and the orthophosphate pyruvate dikinase (PPDK) catalyzes the formation of phosphoenolpyruvate (PEP). In the NAD-ME and PEPCK subtypes, aspartate is transported to the bundle sheath cells and converted back into OAA in the mitochondria and cytosol, respectively. In the NAD-ME subtype, OAA is reduced to malate by NADP-MDH, and then NAD-ME catalyzes the oxidative conversion of malate into pyruvate, releasing CO₂ into the mitochondria [4, 5].

In the PEPC subtype, most of the OAA is converted into PEP by the enzyme PEPC and CO₂ is released in the cytosol of the bundle sheath cells. The NAD-ME enzyme provides the reducing equivalents as NADH for the generation of ATP for the PEPC reaction. The resulting pyruvate can be converted to alanine by the enzyme alanine aminotransferase (AlaAT), which returns to the mesophyll cells (Additional file 1: Figure S1) [4, 5]. Whether PEPC is an independent biochemical subtype or whether it is essentially similar to NAD-ME or NADP-ME species remains unresolved.

Several pieces of molecular, biochemical and physiological information indicate that C₄ plants of the NADP-ME subtype, such as sugarcane, maize and sorghum, could exhibit a certain level of flexibility in the use of the three decarboxylation subtypes (NADP-ME, NAD-ME and PEPCK) and that these subtypes may not be genetically determined in a rigid manner [5]. Flexibility, in this context, can be defined as the variation in the flux of more than one C₄ acid decarboxylation pathway in the same plant depending on the environmental conditions.

Indeed, the evidence of mixed pathways of decarboxylation was detected very early, in which approximately 25% of the C¹⁴-labeled CO₂ was incorporated into aspartate in species classified as NADP-ME subtype [6]. In addition, in experiments with maize, significant amounts of labeled aspartate were produced and isolated bundle sheath cells were able to use aspartate and oxoglutarate to generate CO₂ to maintain photosynthesis [7]. High PEPC expression levels were detected in bundle sheath cells of maize plants [8]. PEPC activity was verified in bundle sheath cells in maize, Echinochloa colona, E. crus-galli, Digitaria sanguinalis and Paspalum notatum, categorized as NADP-ME species, but not observed in NAD-ME subtype species [9]. Specifically, in sugarcane, a study using the SAGE (Serial Analysis of Gene Expression) technique showed that PEPC transcript was more abundant than that of NADP-ME in bundle sheath cells [10]. Recently, was reported the activity of the three decarboxylases (NADP-ME, NAD-ME and PEPC) in sugarcane plants and that shading caused increases in the decarboxylation through PEPC [11]. This flexibility in the use of enzymes of different C₄ subtypes has direct consequences on energy requirements for photosynthesis and, consequently, for the acclimation to fluctuating environmental conditions [5, 11–13].

The C₄ photosynthetic pathways can be differentially controlled depending on different factors such as environmental conditions and plant developmental stages. In a study using three different C₄ grasses, Paspalum dilatatum (NADP-ME subtype), Cynodon dactylon (NAD-ME) and Zoysia japonica (PEPC), it was demonstrated that moderate leaf dehydration had a species-specific effect on the C₄ decarboxylases, mostly by increasing the PEPC activity in all three species [14]. In view of these studies, it is apparent that both NADP-ME and PEPC enzymes are present in the bundle sheath cells in NADP-ME species, using malate and/or aspartate as the C₄ translocated acid to generate CO₂ to support photosynthesis [12, 15].

Water deficit is one of the main constraints that restricts the capacity of sugarcane plants to perform important biochemical functions during crop development. One of the first responses to water limitation is the reduction of the photosynthetic rate due to the stomatal closure and decline in CO₂ assimilation, which in turn alters the activity of enzymes such as Rubisco, PEPC, PPDK, NADP-ME and others [16]. Under water deficit, non-stomatal limitations, such as reduced substrate supply to carboxylases, may cause sufficient metabolic inhibition in the C₄ grasses to reduce CO₂ assimilation by approximately 40% [17]. Additionally, there is evidence that abiotic disturbances, such as drought, affects not only the activities of the enzymes associated with C₄ photosynthesis but also the transcriptional levels of the genes encoding these enzymes [18]. These are all regulatory mechanisms that may alter in response to abiotic stresses but that are still undefined at the molecular level in sugarcane. Thus, the flexibility of the C₄ decarboxylation mechanism to maintain photosynthetic efficiency could be an important factor in plants grown under environmental stressful conditions, such as water deficit [5, 12].

Therefore, the objective of this work was to study the enzymes involved in the three decarboxylation C₄ subtypes (NAD-ME, NADP-ME e PEPC) in sugarcane plants to verify the possible flexibility between the pathways in this important NADP-ME crop species subjected to different water deficit levels. For this, we (a) characterized two sugarcane cultivars with distinct responses to water deficit conditions (RB92579, tolerant, and SP80–3280, susceptible to water deficit), (b) established comparative analyses of the activities of the enzymes that typify the three subtypes and (c) measured the changes in the transcripts of genes encoding enzymes involved in the C₄ acid decarboxylation mechanisms.
Results

Water status, leaf gas exchange and biomass analyses

Water deficit was assessed daily by measuring the leaf water potential values ($\psi_w$). The plants reached the pre-established moderate water deficit level ($\psi = -1.5$ to $-1.8 \text{ MPa}$) three days after the onset of the water deprivation, while the severe condition ($\psi = -2.0 \text{ MPa}$) occurred nine days after attaining the previous water deficit level. We only observed differences in the water potential values between the susceptible and tolerant plants at the end of the experimental period (12 days) when the plants reached the maximum applied water deficit level. SP80–3280 plants showed a reduction in $\psi_w$ ($-2.3 \text{ MPa}$) compared with RB92579 ($-2.0 \text{ MPa}$), confirming its lower tolerance to water deprivation. After re-watering, both cultivars recovered the $\psi_w$ values recorded in plants under normal irrigation conditions (Fig. 1).

The water deficit reduced the leaf gas exchange in both sugarcane cultivars (Fig. 2). The tolerant plants (RB92579) showed higher CO$_2$ assimilation rate ($A$) under normal water supply condition. However, when subjected to water deficit, RB92579 and SP80–3280 showed reduced CO$_2$ assimilation of 7.56 and 7.92µmol CO$_2$ m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$, respectively, but without significant differences between them and between the moderate and severe water deficit levels within cultivars (Fig. 2a).

The susceptible plants (SP80–3280) showed low photosynthetic activity (around 50% of the irrigated control) in the recovery. On the other hand, the CO$_2$ assimilation also declined in the tolerant plants after water deprivation, but the photosynthesis level was reestablished at similar levels as the irrigated control plants at the beginning of the experiment (Fig. 2a).

A decline in stomatal conductance ($G_s$) was observed until the end of the water deficit treatment in both cultivars (Fig. 2b). This decline was observed in plants under the moderate water deficit, in which these values ranged from 0.05 to 0.03 mol H$_2$O m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ on RB92579 and SP80–3280, respectively (Fig. 2b). After 12 days of water deprivation (severe level) the stomatal conductance reached values as low as 0.01 mol H$_2$O m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ for the susceptible plants (SP80–3280), but no significant difference was found between the two cultivars at that water deficit level. In recovery, the tolerant plants RB92579 showed higher stomatal conductance values (Fig. 2b). Transpiration levels ($E$) of the tolerant and susceptible plants were 60 and 68% lower in moderate water deficit, respectively, compared with those in the irrigated treatment. Upon increased water deprivation (12 days), both cultivars reduced their transpiration rate by 95% in relation to the irrigated treatment. Upon recovery, the increase in $E$ was higher in tolerant plants (Fig. 2c).

The susceptible plants showed significantly greater leaf area ($LA$) than RB92579 at the normal water supply condition (Table 1). However, the susceptible plants (SP80–3280) showed superior reduction in $LA$ when subjected to water deficit for 3 days and 12 days (about 16 and 38%, respectively). Under these same conditions, the tolerant plants (RB92579) showed a leaf area decrease of approximately 13 and 34%. We did not observe significant differences in leaf ($LDW$) and root dry weight ($RDW$) between the two cultivars in all water deficit regimes (irrigated, moderate and severe levels) (Table 1). However, as observed for $LA$, the RB92579 plants had a smaller reduction in $LDW$ and $RDW$ after withholding the water supply for 3 and 12 days (19 and 45%, respectively) compared with the susceptible plants under these same water deficit periods (7% for $LDW$ and 52% for $RDW$). The susceptible plants (SP80–3280) were characterized by having higher stalk dry weight ($SDW$) under normal water supply condition, which was also reflected in its higher total dry weight ($TDW$) (Table 1). However, when exposed to water deficit, these plants showed larger reduction in $SDW$ (36 and 44%, under the moderate and severe water deficit conditions, respectively).
compared with the tolerant plants (RB92579). At the end of 12 days of water deprivation (severe level), the total dry weight accumulation was lower in SP80–3280 (42%) than in the tolerant plants (30%) compared to the values in the respective irrigated control plants. No significant differences were observed between the recovery and severe water deficit treatments, showing that 48 h of rehydration was not enough to cause changes in the biomass of the sugarcane plants (Table 1).

Enzymatic activities
The decarboxylating enzymes NADP-ME, NAD-ME and PEPCK, and the aminotransferases AspAT and AlaAT, present only in the NAD-ME and PEPCK subtypes, were assayed.

In the tolerant plants (RB92579), the activity of the NADP-ME enzyme was increased in plants under the severe water deficit regime (6.33 μmol min⁻¹ mg⁻¹ Chl). In recovery, the activity of this enzyme declined (25%) to values similar to the control plants. In susceptible plants, the highest activity of this enzyme was observed in the recovery of leaves after the water deficit treatment (5.71 μmol min⁻¹ mg⁻¹ Chl) (Fig. 3a).

Despite a slight increase in the moderate water deficit compared with irrigated plants, the activity of NAD-ME did not differ significantly between the cultivars in these water regimes. On the other hand, the activity of NAD-ME was stimulated in the tolerant plants after rehydration (5.11 μmol min⁻¹ mg⁻¹ Chl), while susceptible plants (SP80–3280) showed

Table 1 Biomass of tolerant (RB92579) and susceptible (SP80–3280) sugarcane plants grown under irrigated and two water deficit conditions

| Cultivar | RB92579 | SP80–3280 |
|----------|---------|-----------|
|          | Irrigated | 3 days | Moderate | 12 days | Severe | Irrigated | 3 days | Moderate | 12 days | Severe |
| LA (cm²) | 2658.51 Ba ±114.36 | 2133.00 Ba ±62.84 | 1727.82 Bb ±117.22 | 2776.84 Aa ±110.66 | 2058.72 Ac ±25.65 |
| LDW (Kg) | 0.12 Aa ±0.001 | 0.11 Aa ±0.001 | 0.09 Ab ±0.001 | 0.12 Aa ±0.003 | 0.11 Aa ±0.003 | 0.09 Ab ±0.005 |
| RDW (Kg) | 0.14 Aa ±0.005 | 0.12 Aa ±0.002 | 0.08 Ab ±0.008 | 0.16 Aa ±0.015 | 0.12 Ab ±0.004 | 0.08 Ac ±0.003 |
| SDW (Kg) | 0.20 Ba ±0.004 | 0.16 Ab ±0.004 | 0.14 Ab ±0.004 | 0.24 Aa ±0.002 | 0.15 Ab ±0.004 | 0.13 Ac ±0.004 |
| TDW (Kg) | 0.46 Ba ±0.009 | 0.39 Ab ±0.002 | 0.32 Ac ±0.011 | 0.52 Aa ±0.012 | 0.39 Ab ±0.009 | 0.30 Ac ±0.007 |

Leaf area (LA, cm²), leaf dry weight (LDW, kg), root dry weight (RDW, kg), stalk dry weight (SDW, kg) and total dry weight (TDW, kg). Different upper case and lower letters indicate statistical differences between cultivars in each treatment and between water deficit treatments (irrigated, 3 and 12 days of water deficit) for each cultivar, respectively, according to Tukey test (P < 0.05). Values presented as mean ± SE (n = 3)
the highest activity under severe water deficit (5.92 μmol min⁻¹ mg⁻¹ Chl), maintaining similar values at 48 h after irrigation (Fig. 3b).

Besides showing the highest activity among all the enzymes analyzed under normal water supply, PEPCK also presented a large increase in both cultivars when exposed to deficit conditions. The susceptible plants (SP80–3280) showed a gradual increase in the PEPCK activity until the severe water deficit level, maintaining the high activity state at the recovery treatment (24.78 μmol min⁻¹ mg⁻¹ Chl). Likewise, compared to the irrigated condition, tolerant plants (RB92579) showed a significant increase in PEPCK activity in their leaves at both the severe water deficit (91%) and recovery (138%), with the highest enzyme activity (28.09 μmol min⁻¹ mg⁻¹ Chl) associated with this latter condition (Fig. 3c).

In relation to the aminotransferases, the AspAT activity in the leaves of the tolerant plants showed an increase at each level of water deficit, with the greatest activity at 48 h after rehydration (3.61 μmol min⁻¹ mg⁻¹ Chl). In the susceptible plants (SP80–3280), the activity of this enzyme was higher in the severe water-deprived plants (4.86 μmol min⁻¹ mg⁻¹ Chl), followed by a 20% decline in recovery (Fig. 3d). The activity of AlaAT in the leaves of the tolerant plants (RB92579) was higher than in SP80–3280 plants under irrigated and moderate water deficit regimes, reaching similar values at the severe water restriction level (circa 1.32 μmol min⁻¹ mg⁻¹ Chl). When rehydrated, AlaAT activity in susceptible plants (SP80–3280) returned to the level detected in plants under normal water supply (~ 80% decline), while those of the tolerant plants also decreased, but to a lesser extent (~ 23%) (Fig. 3e).
Analysis of gene expression by RT-qPCR

The mRNA profiles of the genes encoding NADP-ME, NAD-ME, PEPCK, AspAT, and AlaAT were significantly altered in sugarcane leaves of both plant types under water deficit (Fig. 4).

NADP-ME transcripts were more expressed in leaves of both irrigated and water-deprived plants of the susceptible plants relative to the tolerant one, mainly at the severe water deficit and recovery conditions. The number of transcripts of NADP-ME in the tolerant plants (RB92579) had only a small increase under the most severe water deficit level and in the recovery condition when compared to the watered plants (Fig. 4a).

The two NAD-ME isoforms (both mitochondrial) were more expressed in the susceptible plants (SP80–3280) in all water regimes. For the NAD-ME1, we detected a similar pattern in susceptible plants at all levels of water deficit, whereas an increase in transcript level was associated to the stress intensity in the NAD-ME2, with a noticeable drop 48 h after rehydration. The tolerant plants (RB92579) exhibited even smaller transcriptional activities for the NAD-ME1 and NAD-ME2 isoforms compared with was observed in the susceptible ones (Fig. 4b, c).

In both sugarcane cultivars, PEPCK gene was down-regulated in water deprived and rehydrated plants in relation to those grown under normal water supply conditions. The susceptible plants (SP80–3280) expressed higher amounts of transcripts of the PEPCK gene than RB92579 in all water regimes (Fig. 4d).

The two AspAT gene isoforms responded differently to the water regimes used in this study (Fig. 4e, f). RT-qPCR analysis revealed that there were more transcripts of the AspAT1 isoform (cytosolic) than AspAT2 (mitochondrial) in the sugarcane leaves. AspAT1 showed increased mRNA expression at all water deficit levels in both plant types, but was down-regulated in susceptible plants (SP80–3280) after re-watering. On the other hand, AspAT2 maintained similar expression levels to the well-watered condition at the moderate and severe drought in both cultivars. The mRNA levels of AspAT2 increased only 48 h after re-irrigation, and this was more noticeable in the case of the susceptible plants.

Compared with the transcription profiles of the other genes studied here, the AlaAT showed the greatest increase in transcripts number after water deprivation. AlaAT gene was substantially up-regulated in the sugarcane leaves at the severe water deficit condition in the two plant types (up to 15 fold increase in SP80–3280 under severe level). In the recovery treatment, the mRNA levels of AlaAT severely declined in both susceptible and tolerant plants (Fig. 4g).

Discussion

Leaf water potential ($\psi_w$) can be considered a good indicator of the water status that maximizes the photosynthetic activity of the sugarcane plant [16, 19]. Water deficit-tolerant plants are able to maintain higher $\psi_w$ values compared to the more susceptible ones under the same water restriction level [20]. Likewise, in this study, the tolerant plants (RB92579) showed a weaker $\psi_w$ reduction when subjected to severe water deficit for a period of twelve days (Fig. 1).

Both cultivars showed a reduction in CO2 assimilation rates ($A$) when subjected to water deficit. However, it is interesting to note that tolerant plants also presented a higher assimilation rate compared to susceptible plants under normal water supply conditions (control treatment) (Fig. 2a). In support of these data, it has been previously shown that RB92579 plants achieved higher CO2 assimilation, as well as high stalks biomass and sugar content in conditions without water restriction [21]. After recovery, the susceptible plants maintained low CO2 assimilation values, indicating that a period of 48 h after rehydration was not sufficient to recover its CO2 assimilation capacity, unlike the tolerant plants. This
A response was observed in previous studies where some sugarcane plants subjected to water deficit showed a minimal increase in the photosynthetic rate following re-watering, demonstrating less ability to return to the original homeostasis [22, 23].

Irrespective of the cultivar, the reduction in Gs was more accentuated than the decline in A, indicating that the inhibition of photosynthesis by the water deficit was most likely related to stomatal closure in plants under moderate water deficit (Fig. 2a, b). In sugarcane plants under water restriction, the decrease in photosynthesis occurs due to the reduction of stomatal conductance (Gs) [24, 25]. As expected, Gs values were reduced according to water deficit severity, but there were no significant differences between the plant types in the moderate and severe levels (Fig. 2b), similarly to what was reported in other cultivars [26].

Sugarcane plants subjected to water deficit conditions have significantly lower total dry weight, including dry weight of leaves, roots and stalk, in addition to leaf area compared with non-stressed plants [27]. As expected, the applied water deficit negatively affected the biomass of the two sugarcane plant types used in this study (Table 1). Lower biomass accumulation is associated with foliar gas exchange rates, mainly with reductions in A and Gs [28, 29]. Interestingly, the less tolerant plants presented higher leaf area and stalk dry weight under irrigated conditions, although its photosynthetic rate was lower than in RB92579, possibly due to counteracting effects linked to compensation mechanisms between leaf area and photosynthetic capacity. It is known that plants with fewer leaves may comparatively show higher photosynthetic rates. Increase of the photosynthetic activity is achieved mainly by enhancing the stomatal conductance but also by an increase of the mesophyll conductance [30, 31]. However, water deprivation resulted in a higher biomass reduction in the susceptible SP80–3280 compared with the tolerant plants.

In sugarcane, it has been reported that the Calvin cycle processes and the C4 pathway are not affected by moderate water stress, but the activities of C4 enzymes are significantly reduced under severe stress [24]. In the present study, the activities of the NADP-ME, NAD-ME, PEPCK, AspAT and AlaAT enzymes showed an increase in both cultivars under severe water deficit, notably the PEPCK enzyme (Fig. 3). A substantial NAD-ME activity was detected in our study, with values similar to those observed for NADP-ME, especially in sugarcane plants under the severe water deficit treatment (Fig. 3a, b). It has been speculated that this enzyme provides the necessary ATP to increase PEPCK activity for sugarcane plants under stress [11, 32]. Western Blot analyses showed that plant species that use predominantly PEPCK have significant levels of NAD-ME [33]. We also detected an increase in the activities of AspAT and AlaAT in both cultivars when exposed to severe water deficit (Fig. 3d, e). In Z. mays, the bundle sheath cells showed aspartate decarboxylation capacity and that this process is apparently performed by a sequence of enzymatic activities initiated by AspAT, followed by the activity of the NADP-MDH that generates malate, which is then decarboxylated by NADP-ME in the chloroplast [34]. This aspartate decarboxylation may account for about 20% of the maximum decarboxylation of malate, contributing partially to the total CO2 released into bundle sheath cells [7].

Furbank (2011) proposes two hypotheses for the contribution of aspartate to the pool of CO2 in the NADP-ME species. The first hypothesis predicts that for PEPCk to be present in NADP-ME species, the oxaloacetate (OAA) produced by aspartate aminotransferase (AspAT) should be directly decarboxylated in the cytosol by PEPCk. This enzyme requires ATP from the chloroplast or mitochondria for decarboxylation to OAA. In the second hypothesis, OAA produced from aspartate is re-reduced to malate in the vascular bundle and then decarboxylated in the chloroplast by NADP-ME [7]. Following the decarboxylation of malate by NADP-ME, the produced NADPH can be used in the Calvin cycle, or it can be spent on reducing of OAA to malate. In this case, pyruvate can return directly to the mesophyll cells or is converted to alanine by the action of the alanine aminotransferase (AlaAT), which in turn is sent to the mesophyll cells. In mesophyll cells, alanine is converted back into pyruvate and, in both cases, there is a need for ATP for pyruvate to be converted to PEP [5, 12]. According to the above considerations, the increase in PEPCk activity could be supported by the increment in the activities of several enzymes: NAD-ME to provide ATP necessary for this decarboxylase, AspAT to form the oxaloacetate (OAA) and AlaAT for the production of alanine through pyruvate, which is, in turn, directed to mesophyll cells [5]. This hypothesis was reinforced in our study, as we detected an increased activity of these enzymes when the plants were exposed to the water deficit, particularly in the susceptible plants (SP80–3280) under severe water deprivation (Fig. 3). Collectively our data favor the second hypothesis for the contribution of aspartate to the pool of CO2 in the NADP-ME species [5]. The increased number of transcripts and enzyme activity (Figs. 3, 4) of AspAT to form OAA, PEPCk for decarboxylation of OAA forming PEP and AlaAT for production of alanine through pyruvate support this view. The flexible use of distinct C4 pathways may potentially allow the maintenance of greater photosynthetic efficiency under different environmental conditions, such as those applied in this study. This is because the use of only NADP-ME requires more energy for the
conversion of pyruvate into PEP in mesophyll cells, in addition to NADPH for conversion of OAA to malate. With the use of PEPCK, PEP has the ability to return directly to the mesophyll cells and is already used in the carboxylation, without any additional energy expenditure and without the need for the PPDK enzyme.

The rise in PEPCK activity can be modulated by various environmental factors [5, 11]. Specifically in sugarcane, shading caused increases in the decarboxylation by PEPCK, which shows that this enzyme is the main decarboxylase under low light conditions [11]. These authors showed that PEPCK decarboxylation uses less quanta per CO₂ fixed than NADP-ME, thus the increase in PEPCK activity advantageous contribute to maintaining or even increasing quantum efficiency of CO₂ assimilation under limiting light. Our data showed that by increasing water deficit level, the activity of the enzymes important for the reactions involved in PEPCK decarboxylation also increased (Fig. 3).

Given the evidence that the regulation of enzymes associated with C4 photosynthesis is affected by water restriction, we analyzed the transcriptional level of the genes encoding these enzymes [18]. The increase in the number of transcripts of the NADP-ME gene under severe water deficit in the susceptible plants (SP80–3280) can be explained by the fact that under these stress conditions the stomatal conductance is reduced and the amount of CO₂ entering in the mesophyll cells also decreases. In this case, as the CO₂ input is lower, there would be an increase in the expression of this enzyme to supply the CO₂ required for the Calvin cycle [35] (Fig. 4a). It has been reported that the PEPCK and AspAT transcripts are not significantly enriched under water deficit sugarcane plants at stalk elongation stage, whereas AlaAT was highly induced [36], which also occurred in our work. However, in contrast to that study, we observed that one of the isoforms encoding aspartate aminotransferase (AspAT1) exhibited high transcriptional activity under severe water restriction (nine days after irrigation suspension) (Fig. 4e). The high number of transcripts of the AspAT2 and AlaAT genes may be associated with the high activity of PEPCK observed in our work (Fig. 3c, 4f and g). In using this second decarboxylase, other enzymes involved in this pathway are more necessary to keep the decarboxylation mechanism working efficiently. This has already been demonstrated in Z. mays and Setaria viridis, species belonging to the NADP-ME subtype, where the AspAT and AlaAT genes were highly expressed in mesophyll and bundle sheath cells [37, 38].

Although the PEPCK showed high activity in sugarcane plants under severe water deprivation (Fig. 3c), the expression of the gene encoding this enzyme did not follow the same pattern and reduced the number of transcripts when the two cultivars were exposed to water deficit (Fig. 4d). The relationship between enzymatic activities and transcription levels of genes may be the result of various factors, such as circadian rhythms, transcript stability, transcription factors, enhancer activities, post-transcriptional and post-transduclional regulation pathways, substrate availability and the involvement of different isoforms in biological processes that occur at diverse temporal and spatial levels [39]. It is known that the activity of PEPCK is regulated by various factors, such as light, biotic and abiotic stress [40] and the phosphorylation of PEPCK has been observed in some C4 leaves [41]. Therefore, it is possible that the upregulation of the PEPCK decarboxylation activity in the sugarcane plants under water deprivation observed here might be mediated by via phosphorylation–dephosphorylation reactions is tempting to speculate that the upregulation of the PEPCK activity in the sugarcane plants under water deficiency observed here does not occur at the transcriptional level, but rather via modulation of phosphorylation–dephosphorylation reactions. The purification of this enzyme and cloning of the complete cDNA sequence of the PPK gene may be relevant for the identification of specific phosphorylation sites and their functional significance in sugarcane under conditions of water deficiency.

When sugarcane plants were subjected to water deficit, there was a large increase in the mRNA expression of one isoform of the NAD⁺ dependent malic enzyme (NADP-ME2) in the susceptible plants (SP80–3280), especially under the severe water deficit. However, the expression of this gene was still lower than that observed for NADP-ME under normal water supply conditions (control). In this case, six more cycles of amplification (Cq - quantification cycle) were required for the detection of the NADP-ME2 gene in comparison with the NADP-ME gene in both cultivars (Additional file 2: Figure S2). Even taking into account the difference in efficiency of the primers, these data show that the NADP-ME gene is more expressed (about 50-fold) than NADP-ME2 in plants under the non-stressing conditions (Fig. 4a, c). Also, the Cq values for the PEPCK mRNAs in plants under normal water supply were similar to those detected for NADP-ME in both cultivars (Additional file 2: Figure S2). The high expression of the PEPCK gene was also observed in bundle sheath cells of maize leaves, a species classified as NADP-ME subtype [42]. Therefore, the preferred expression of NADP-ME and NADP-ME genes may not be exclusively correlated with their corresponding subtype.

As mentioned above, increased gene expression and activity of AspAT and AlaAT enzymes, which are not characteristic to the metabolic pathways used in the classical NADP-ME subtype [2, 4], were detected in
sugarcane under water deficit conditions. In agreement with that, in a transcriptome study with this species using the SAGE technique, no NADP-MDH transcripts were detected, while a high mRNA levels of PEPCK and AspAT genes were identified [10]. The observed lack (or low levels) of NADP-MDH transcription comparatively to the higher expression of PEPCK and AspAT suggests that the PEPCK decarboxylation pathway seems to function in sugarcane leaves, both under conditions irrigated as well as under water deficit conditions. Our data support recent research that indicates the occurrence of mixed decarboxylation C4 pathways in various plants previously considered to belong exclusively to a single subtype of C4 photosynthetic mechanism [12].

Added to the difficulty of classifying sugarcane in C4 subtypes only by the predominance of the corresponding enzymatic activity, is the remarkable fact that sugarcane is the only named NADP-ME species in which the presence of mestome sheath cells were reported to date [43, 44]. Plants of the NADP-ME subtype do not possess a mestome sheath, which is a layer of suberized non-photosynthetic cells located between the bundle sheath cells and the vascular bundles (Additional file 3: Figure S3). Sugarcane is the only interesting exception because the presence of mestome around its vascular bundles has been clearly detected [43–46]. In addition, it is worth mentioning that changes in chloroplast arrangement of bundle sheath cells, from centrifugal (feature found in the classical NADP-ME species) to evenly distributed (typical of the PEPCK subtype) were observed in sugarcane plants grown under shading possibly to increase CO2 diffusion between the sheath and mesophyll cells, reducing CO2 loss [11]. These authors suggested that such a rearrangement is important to maintain the efficiency of CO2 assimilation in suboptimal light conditions, which also may be the case during water shortage conditions.

Finally, although 13C flux measurements in a wider panel of contrasting cultivars are required to obtain direct evidence linking PEPCK to drought tolerance, the great increase in the use of the PEPCK pathway under water deficit conditions shows that this aspect needs to be further explored.

**Conclusion**

The enzymatic activity and gene expression analyses indicate that sugarcane uses the PEPCK pathway as a decarboxylation mechanism, in addition to the NADP-ME pathway. Under conditions of water deficit, a greater increase in the use of the PEPCK pathway was detected, particularly in the tolerant cultivar, which recommends additional investigations to examine the complementary use of the PEPCK decarboxylation pathway as a response and adaptation of other sugarcane plants to water deprivation.

**Methods**

**Plant material and water deficit treatment**

Sugarcane plants were produced from single node stalk segments (circa 7 cm in length) from cv. RB92578, tolerant to water deficit [47] and cv. SP80–3280, susceptible to water deficit [48]. Plants of a similar size (pruned to one stalk per plant) of each cultivar were grown in plastic pots (15 L) filled with 13 kg of eutrophic red-yellow argisool [49] under greenhouse conditions. Soil fertilization was performed according to chemical analysis by adding 5 g of 20–00–20 (NPK) per pot at 135 DAE (days after emergence) and again at 165 DAE [50]. All plants were watered daily to drip point until the beginning of the drought treatment.

The water deficit treatment started 210 days after shoot emergence at the stalk elongation phase. Plant water status was evaluated daily through the leaf water potential (ψw) measured with a pressure chamber (PMS 1000, PMS Instruments, USA) at midday in the third fully-expanded leaf. The treatments consisted of different levels of water deficit: control (normal conditions of water supply, ψw = −0.4 to −0.6 MPa), moderate level (ψw = −1.5 to −1.8 MPa) three days after the onset of the water deprivation, severe level (ψw = below −2.0 MPa) twelve days after the onset of the water deprivation and re-watered plants (48 h after rehydration, ψw = −0.6 to −1.0 MPa). In the control treatment, the pots were maintained at 100% field capacity, while for the water deficit treatment the soil water content was adjusted to 30% of field water holding capacity by daily watering according to evapotranspired water estimated by weighing the pots for twelve days. The two cultivars reached the established water deficit levels at the same time: the moderate condition occurred three days after the imposition of the water deficit, while the severe level occurred nine days after that date, totaling twelve days of water shortage.

**Gas exchange measurements**

Leaf gas exchange analysis was performed in the + 1 leaf (first leaf fully expanded from top to bottom [51]), when the plants reached the established water deficit levels (3 and 12 days after water withholding, and 48 h after rehydration), always at midday. The environmental conditions during the analysis were PAR (photosynthetic active radiation) 800 μmol m−2 s−1, air temperature between 28 and 29°C, average humidity 67 ± 3.3%, leaf temperature 31.7 ± 0.6°C and Vpdl. 2.4 ± 0.6 kPa. The measurements were made in the + 1 leaf under irradiation of 1600 μmol m−2 s−1 and CO2 concentration of 400 ppm−1. Leaf tissue was placed in the leaf chamber...
and briefly acclimated for 5 min prior to the measurements until the stabilization of the readings. CO₂ assimilation (A), stomatal conductance (Gs) and transpiration (E) were quantified using an infrared gas analyzer (model Li-6400XT, LI-COR, Lincoln, USA). The analyzed leaves were harvested, immediately frozen in liquid N and stored in ultrafreezer (model MDF-U33 V-PE VIP ULT, Panasonic, Loughborough, UK) at − 80 °C until the enzymatic and RT-qPCR analyses. After recovery, the plants were collected for the biomass analysis.

**Enzymatic assays**

Extracts were obtained by grinding 20 mg of frozen leaf samples, removed from the middle third of the +1 leaf, in 1 mL of ice-cold extraction medium. For the enzymes NADP-ME, NAD-ME and PEPCK, the buffer contained 50 mM Bicine-KOH (pH 8.0), 1 mM EDTA, 50 mM Bicine-KOH (pH 7.2), 4 mM NAD⁺, 5 mM L-malate and 40 μL of leaf extract. For NAD-ME, a solution (1.5 mL) containing 50 mM Hepes-KOH (pH 7.2), 4 mM MnCl₂, 0.1 mM CoA, 4 mM NAD⁺, 5 mM L-malate and 40 μL of leaf extract was used for the quantification. In both analyses, the extract was incubated with all reagents, except the substrate, for 3 min at 25 °C, and the reaction was started with the addition of L-malate. The PEPCK was determined using a 1.5 mL solution containing 100 mM Hepes-KOH (pH 7.0), 100 mM KCl, 90 mM KHCO₃, 5 mM MgCl₂, 2 mM MnCl₂, 1 mM ADP, 0.2 mM NADH, 12 U malate dehydrogenase, 5 mM PEP and 40 μL of leaf extract. The reaction was started with the addition of PEP. In these three procedures, the absorbance was monitored by spectrophotometry (model UV-M51, Bel, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil) for 1 min at a wavelength of 340 nm.

The enzymatic activities of AspAT (EC 2.6.1.1) [53] and AlaAT (EC 2.6.1.2) [54] also were determined. For AspAT, reactions were performed in a solution containing 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.8), 50 mM L-aspartate, 10 mM 2-oxoglutarate, 0.07 mM pyridoxal phosphate, 0.1 mM NADH, 2 U malate dehydrogenase and 40 μL of leaf extract in a final volume of 1.5 mL. For AlaAT, a solution (1.5 mL) containing 10 mM L-alanine, 5 mM 2-oxoglutarate, 0.1 mM NADH, 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 5 U lactate dehydrogenase and 40 μL of leaf extract. In both analyses, the leaf extract was incubated with all reagents except 2-oxoglutarate for 3 min at 25 °C and the reaction was started with the addition of 2-oxoglutarate. The absorbance change was monitored by spectrophotometry at 340 nm for 3 min immediately after the addition of the substrate in two replicates for each of three biological replicates per treatment.

The enzymatic activities were based on the total chlorophyll content. For this, chlorophyll was determined from 20 mg of leaf tissue maintained in 80% acetone solution for 24 h at 4 °C and protected from light. The determination was performed by spectrophotometry at 645 nm and 663 nm [55].

**Biomass**

At the end of the experiment, the plants subjected to 3 days (moderate level) and 12 days (severe level) of water deficit were removed from the pots and divided into stalks plus leaves and roots for the evaluation of the biomass. The total leaf area was measured with a leaf area meter (LI-3000A, LI-COR, Lincoln, USA). The dry weight of leaves and roots were recorded and averaged after drying the samples in an air drier at 60 °C until obtaining a constant weight using a precision balance. The dry weight of the stalk also was evaluated [56].

**Selection, search and analysis of candidate gene sequences**

We evaluated the levels of mRNAs encoding the following enzymes: 1, NADP-ME (NADP-malic enzyme); 2, NAD-ME (NAD-malic enzyme); 3, PEPCK (phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase); 4, AspAT (aspartate aminotransferase); 5, AlaAT (alanine aminotransferase). These genes were chosen for analysis primarily due to their role in the three subtypes of C₄ photosynthesis [57, 58]. The identification of sugarcane transcripts related to the synthesis of the above-mentioned enzymes was done by identity to the corresponding sorghum (Sorghum bicolor) orthologs. The sequences from the coding region of each sorghum gene were used as queries against sugarcane ESTs from cv. SP80–3280 using the BLAST tools (https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi). The sugarcane ESTs were acquired from (1) a set of complete coding sequences obtained using 454 sequencing technology [59] and (2) the “Gene Indices Database”, available at ftp://occams.dfci.harvard.edu/pub/bio/tgi/data/Saccharum_officinarum/).

The selected sugarcane transcripts were then compared to the sorghum genome sequences available on GenBank using BLASTX option to ensure that the transcript was the best hit against its sorghum ortholog (accession, e-value, score). Additionally, the sorghum sequences were aligned with the contigs corresponding to the sugarcane genes through the ClustalW multiple alignment algorithm (https://www.genome.jp/tools-bin/clustalw) to assess the
| Gene       | Description                                      | ESTs 1 Sacccharum spp | Locus Sorghum bicolor | Primer forward (5′-3′) | Primer reverse (5′-3′) | Amplicon (bp) | Efficiency (%) |
|------------|--------------------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|------------------------|---------------|----------------|
| NADP-ME    | NADP-malic enzyme                                | comp88554_c0_seq1     | Sb03g003230           | GTGAGGCCCTGCCAGAAGTAT  | CTAGGACCCCTCCCCCTGTCC  | 84            | 99             |
| NAD-ME1    | NAD-malic enzyme                                 | comp79553_c1_seq2     | Sb01g017790           | TACAGGGGACAGCTGGAGTT   | CTCCCCACAACGAGATCTTT   | 102           | 95.5           |
| NAD-ME2    | NAD-malic enzyme                                 | comp83394_c0_seq4     | Sb02g033920           | CAGCAGTTCCCTGAAGATCA   | CGCTGGCCTTAATGGTATCGT  | 118           | 92.5           |
| PEPCK      | Phosphoenol-pyruvate carboxykinase              | comp81929_c0_seq5     | Sb01g040720           | CTGTCGCCAGAGAAGAACCC   | CAGATTTGTCCGCGTAGTCA   | 112           | 100            |
| AspAT1     | Aspartate aminotransferase                       | comp826581_c0_seq1    | Sb03g035220           | GCAGATCTCCATGCTCAA     | AATAGCCGACAGTGGCATTT   | 97            | 93             |
| AspAT2     | Aspartate aminotransferase                       | comp83051_c1_seq5     | Sb04g036060           | CGCGTTTACAAAGCAACAG    | CCGAGAGAAGCTGAATTGT    | 94            | 97             |
| AlaAT      | Alanine aminotransferase                         | TC113519              | Sb01g023750           | TGCCACAGAAAGAATTGAG    | GGACCAGACAAATCCAGTT    | 99            | 98.5           |
| G6PDH      | Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase         | SCBFL4116A05_g1       | –                     | CACGCCCACCTGAAGCATC    | TCCTCGGGCTCTGAGGCC     | 101           | 97.5           |

[1](EST obtained in ftp://occams.dfci.harvard.edu/pub/bio/tgi/data/)

[2](GenBank EST)
pattern of conservation between the sequences (Table 2). To ensure a thorough analysis, MultiLoc2 [60] and TargetIP [61] were used to predict the subcellular localization of the proteins of each enzyme.

**Primer design**

Primers were designed using the program Primer3Plus [62] according to parameters established to obtain amplicons of 80 to 120 bp with a Tm of 57°C to 60°C, length 20 to 23 bp and GC 40 to 80% (Table 2). Sequences of the genes with more than one isoform (NAD-ME and AspAT) were aligned through the ClustalW program to identify conserved regions and to design primers specific for each isoform. To avoid non-specific annealing to other transcripts, all primer pairs were challenged against *Saccharum* spp. sequences deposited on GenBank using Primer-Blast (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/tools/primer-blast/).

**RNA extraction and cDNA synthesis**

Total RNA was extracted from 100 mg of leaf tissue removed from the middle third of the +1 leaf using the PureLink Plant™ RNA Reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) following the manufacturer's instructions. Contaminating DNA was removed using RNase-free DNase I (Turbo DNase, Ambion, Austin, TX). The yield of total RNA was assessed spectrophotometrically at 260 nm UV, its relative purity estimated by the absorbance ratio at 260/280 nm and the integrity examined on 1.2% (w/v) ethidium bromide-stained agarose gels. The absence of genomic DNA contamination was confirmed by the lack of genomic DNA amplification of the endogenous glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) gene by PCR.

cDNA was synthesized using SuperScript IV reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) from 2 μg total RNA according to the manufacturer's instructions. Specific products and amplicon length of all primer pairs were verified by PCR using a pool of all cDNA samples solutions under the following conditions: initial denaturation at 95°C for 5 min, followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 1 min, 57°C for 30 s, 72°C for 30 s, and a final extension step of 72°C for 2 min. The amplified products were subjected to electrophoresis on 1.5% (w/v) agarose gel to confirm the product size.

**RT-qPCR**

The RT-qPCR reactions were performed in a StepOnePlus™ Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems), using the SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems). Each reaction consisted of 1 μl of cDNA sample (1:5 diluted), 5 μl of SYBR Green PCR master mix, and 0.4 μl of each primer (5 μM) and nuclease-free water to a total volume of 10 μl. Thermal conditions were 95°C for 2 min, followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 30 s and 60°C for 30 s. All reactions were performed in triplicate for each of the three biological replicates, following the minimum information for publication of qPCR experiments (MIQE) [63]. Melting curves were analyzed to verify the presence of nonspecific products and primers were used only in the case of a single peak. The mean amplification efficiency of each primer pair was calculated by the LinRegPCR program [64] using a pool of cDNA derived from all samples (Table 2).

The relative quantification of the expression of each gene was calculated using the $(1 + E)^{-\Delta\Delta C_t}$ method [65]. In all analyses, the transcript levels of the target genes were normalized against the endogenous reference gene glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) [66]. The relative mRNA levels of each target gene in SP80–3280 plants grown under normal water supply conditions were used as calibrator.

**Statistical analysis**

The experiment was carried out in 2 × 4 factorial arrangement (cultivar x water regime), in a completely randomized design with three replicates within each water regime. Each replicate was composed of one plant per pot, totaling 24 plants. Data from the gas exchange measurements, biomass and enzymatic activities were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA), when significant, the means were compared by the Tukey test at 5% of probability using the statistical program SISVAR version 5.3 [67].

**Additional files**

- **Additional file 1:** Figure S1. Three biochemical subtypes of C4 photosynthesis. 1. Carbonic anhydrase (AC); 2. Phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase (PEPC); 3. NADP-malate dehydrogenase (NADP-MDH); 4. NADP-malic enzyme (NADP-ME); 5. Pyruvate orthophosphate dikinase (PDPK); 6. Aspartate aminotransferase (AspAT); 7. NAD-malic enzyme (NAD-ME); 8. Alanine aminotransferase (AAlaT); 9. Phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase (PEPCK). Metabolites: PEP - Phosphoenolpyruvate; OAA - Oxaloacetate, Asp - Aspartate; Ala - Alanine; Pyr - Pyruvate; Mal - Malate. Chl - Chloroplast (green); Mito - mitochondria (red); CC - Calvin cycle. (PDF 31 kb)

- **Additional file 2:** Figure S2. Cycles of quantification ($C_q$) of genes of the C4 pathway for the tolerant (RB92579) and susceptible (SP80–3280) sugarcane plants under the different water deficit regimes (12 replicates). The Boxplot chart shows the median values as rows in the box. The lower and upper boxes indicate quartiles 1 and 3, respectively. The bars represent the upper and lower limits. (PDF 242 kb)

- **Additional file 3:** Figure S3. Differences in leaf anatomy of C4 NADP-ME and PEPCK species. Abbreviations: MC - mesophyll cells; BS - bundle sheath; VB - vascular bundle; MS - mestome sheath. A. NADP-ME species show suberized BS, absence of MS and centrifugally arranged chloroplasts. B. PEPCK species have BS and MS suberized and chloroplasts show variable placement. (PDF 283 kb)
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