Abstract – Legacy Digital Transformation is modernizing or migrating systems from non-digital or older digital technology to newer digital technologies. Digitalization is essential for information reading, processing, transforming, and storing. Social media, Cloud, and analytics are the major technologies in today's digital world. Digitalization (business process) and Digital Transformation (the effect) are the core elements of newer global policies and processes. Recent COVID pandemic situation, Organizations are willing to digitalize their environment without losing business. Digital technologies help to improve their capabilities to transform processes that intern promote new business models. Applications cannot remain static and should modernize to meet the evolving business and technology needs. Business needs time to market, Agility, and reduce technical debt. Technology needs consist of APIs, better Security, Portability, Scalability, Cloud support, Deployment, Automation, and Integration. This paper elaborates different transformation/modernization approaches for Legacy systems written in very long or End of Life (EOL) systems to newer digital technologies to serve the business needs. EOL impacts application production, supportability, compliance, and security. Organizations spend money and resources on Digital Transformation for considering Investment versus Return on Investment, Agility of the System, and improved business processes. Migration and Modernization are critical for any Legacy Digital Transformation. Management takes decisions to proceed with Digital Transformation for considering Total Cost Ownership (TCO) and Return on Investment (ROI) of the program. The paper also includes a TCO-ROI calculator for Transformation from Legacy / Monolithic to new architectures like Microservices.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Organizations are currently investing money and resources in transforming their existing systems into feature-ready digital technologies. Each has a different business strategy to grow. They are adopting Digital Transformation by planning and implementing new digital systems for business changes in the Organization with employees' participation. Transformations help the Organization lower the operating cost considerably by adopting automation, innovation, and creativity rather than traditional methods. The best example of Digital Transformation is cloud computing in the Organization, which reduces the owned hardware cost and maintenance and increases the trust in subscription-based models. Digitalization provides opportunities to transform business models, consumption, legal and policy measures, and cultural barriers. Renovating and optimizing business processes is the success of digital Transformation. Organizations must factor in the cultural changes concerning workers, and organizational leaders adjust to adopting and relying on unfamiliar technologies while planning for the Digital Transformation strategy. The revenues, profits, and opportunities increase upside after Transformation.
completes. Lack of innovation impacts the business's long-term competitiveness and profits. Automation also plays a critical role in increasing Business Efficiency and Agility up to 50-60%. EOL also a significant objective for migrating the applications to a new technology stack. The majority of the software EOL ranges from 10-15 years. Major domains involved in Digital Transformation were Hospital Management, E-Commerce, Banking, Insurance, Training, Education, and Health care.

Legacy-based applications constantly face challenges like high maintenance and upgrades cost, unsupported hardware, resource cost, flexibility, scalability, and integration to the external system. Legacy application is an IT-critical day-to-day operations system build on outdated technologies. Several applications are running for the last 20-30 years in the world with legacy technologies. The applications use mainframe and other 3GL languages for core business operations. Many companies continuing legacy systems regardless of the age and technology of the application used. Such legacy applications’ running cost is very high in maintaining and support—time to modernize such applications with proper planning and strategy. Legacy modernization is also called software or platform modernization. Legacy Modernization approach consists of two major phases called the Assessment phase and Implementation phase. Organizations are migrating their existing legacy applications to modern technologies with the help of microservices architecture patterns [28] [24] [22] [18] [15]. Microservices architecture promises high maintainability for software modernization [30], including decomposing the application into microservices-based on experience. Concluded due to higher efforts, the process is viable for large migrations and complex systems with high business value.

This paper organized as follows: Section II we describe Literature Review, Section III we describe Modernization Approaches, Section IV describes TCO_ROI Analysis, and Section V Concludes the paper

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

This section describes the literature review of the work done in this area. The research carried out [1] digital transformation capability maturity model on staged way using science research approach. Decomposition method [2] for migrating monolithic to microservices applications. Identify similar semantically operations and cluster together for a microservice. Evaluation metrics used to identify the right microservices candidate. Legacy system challenges [3] highlight along with solutions. The proposed legacy modernization uses Rehosting, Replacing, Mitigation, Retargeting, Revamping, Wrapping, and Program translation. Systematic [4] review was conducted on legacy system modernization papers and concluded the importance of integrating quality. Explains different modernization types as Complex migration, increased migration, and partial migration and compared the modernization strategies like partial migration, complete migration, and wrapping. System Migration Life Cycle [5] proposed for step-by-step migration strategy from legacy to cloud platforms. Framework includes three stages – pre-migration, migration, and post-migration. Studied [6] the cultural readiness of the executive is important. Studied [7] different papers on legacy migrations and identified the factors – Process Aspects, Human Aspects, and Organization Aspects. Proposed 5 phased migration approach called Plan for Modernization, System Requirement Gathering, Design & Development, Testing, and Execution. Explains [9] what next in the FinTech industry after Digitalization using AI, Blockchain, Quantum computing, IoT, and Smart contracts. Explains disruptive innovations in trading, crypto, and monetary in FinTech systems. Proposed different process to [8] [12] [13] identifying the microservices from monolithic applications and uses of blue-green [10] strategy for faster deployment.

Conducted survey [11] with specialists to identify valuable criteria for identifying microservices from legacy systems. Use of Architectural Trade-off Analysis Method [14] for Architecture evaluation of old legacy system. The proposed [15] architecture strategy around business functionality concepts comprises 5 phases – Functional analysis, Business functionalities identification, Business functionalities analysis, Business functionalities assignment, and Microservice creation. [16] [17] [21] [29] case study and survey conducted for migrating monolithic to microservices for large-scale industries to identify issues, solutions, challenges, strategies, and risks. VUCA [20] explains how the digitally immature organizations in the COVID pandemic. VUCA, acronym of Volatility, Uncertainty, Complexity, and Ambiguity to identify the unpredictable external environments. Concludes that COVID made digital transformation for all businesses and all sectors. Explains [22] three challenges for legacy migration to microservices are Multitenancy, Stateful, and Data Consistency. The three challenges addressed in microservices to develop stateful systems, implement multitenancy systems, and solving data consistency issues Proposed [23] reference model for Digital Transformation (DT) applications using Business process Management Contextual Factors and DT. Presented [25] lessons learned during migration - functional approach, norms and standards, microservices granularity, and integration outcomes are critical aspects described in the paper. Serverless compute architecture [26] [32] was proposed for migrating monolithic applications with benefits. Suggested boundary context approach [31] that extends static and dynamic analysis for decompositions of microservices. Recommended algorithm approach [33] for extracting microservices migration.

Introduced generic model [40] allows incorporating the characteristics of relevant dynamics that instantiated for specific characterizations. Studied [35] 20 migration techniques from literature, and results show that DB migration is the challenge. Address [37] migration issue by adding two questions – the cost of decomposition and
domain entries. Conducted survey [38] on motivational drivers for migration and concluded maintainability and long-term returns are primary factors. Reported [42] open-source research on modularization and modernization process. The process decompositions of web as well as technical components. Adopted CORAL (Collaborative Reengineering and Modularization Approach) for modernization process from legacy to microservices. Presented [36] high available application use case for ticket booking application modernization to microservice architecture and identified several benefits—choose preferred technology, no dependency on hardware, and new feature enablement using DevOps. Presented real-world financial case study [18] to demonstrate scalability by migrating monolithic to microservices architecture. Technical problems addressed using repeatable migration process adoption in Danske Bank legacy application to microservices architecture. The reengineering approach discussed reduced complexity, lower coupling, higher cohesion, and a simplified integration. Technical lessons [24] learned discussed as part migration process in MGDIA SA company spending around 3 years with 17,300 person-days. Demonstrated the Return on Investment for the migration with an Increase in Revenues, Replacement facilitated, Performance increase, and lower level of support. The proposed [28] candidates to show the relationship between extracted and whole structure. Analyze the relationships between program groups and data for preparing microservices.

3. MODERNIZATION APPROACHES

Legacy Modernization approach consists of two major phases—The assessment phase and the implementation phase. The assessment phase consists of IT portfolio Assessment, To-Be Architecture definition, modernization strategy, and business case justifications. The implementation phase considers modernization Application Transformation, Database Transformation, Infrastructure Transformation, and Operational Transformation. Below are the challenges considerations while modernizing the applications

- Lack of portfolios across applications
- Poor management of systems documentation
- Large application maintenance
- Cost and duration of modernization
- Legacy and new systems coexistence
- Commitment from stakeholders
- Adoption of new technology platforms
- Cultural change adoption
- Adopting a new way of working (E.g., Work from home options)
- Retain and enhance the application with business needs
- Business value and ROI

Application Modernizations is not a rewriting of code from one technology to another. Following principles has to take into consideration while doing modernizations.

- Clear Organization road map to get early ROI
- Developing new capabilities
- Adoption of Open standards rather than vendor lock-in
- Adoption of microservices design rather monolithic
- API version support for all service calls
- Use of integration layer for seamless external system integrations
- Identify new business channels and models to build new digital channels
- Balance between Business and Technology for time to market
- Effort and cost optimizations
- End-user OR customer delight
- Adoption of Agile and DevOps

Good architecture, design and coding, vendor-neutral, maintain open standards, and security compliance with measurable KPIs are the critical factors for successful application modernization. The assessment phase recommends the applications have to be Retain, Retire/Rationalize, Rehosting, Replace, Refactor, Re-architecture, and Rebuild / Rewrite (7-R’s). Based on the assessment recommendation, the transformation strategy derives as per the Organization’s goals. Legacy Applications categorization is based on the number of users, criticality, benefit, maintenance cost, risk, and feasibility. Reactor or Rewrite’s legacy application decision is to study existing source code analysis and understand cloud-native compliance based on 12-factor anti-patterns and categorize applications. Adopt Agile and DevOps for a new way of working in the digital world. Table-1 describes each modernization approach with their benefit.

Application Modernization has several options from Legacy to new Digital. Below are the top modernizations options

- Legacy to Open platforms
- Legacy (Cobol / native languages) to Microservices
- Monolithic to Microservices
- Commercial to Open-Source

Application modernization/migration done manually and tool-based.

Tool-based migration approach - Conversion tool to automatically convert the existing use cases into the target technologies to whatever extent the tool can support and then hand-finish the code to adhere to the “as-is” functionalities as shown in Fig 1. Migration tool to convert the existing use cases into target platform, and this option typically has two-step processes:

- Tool based conversion (up to 60-80% conversion)
- Hand finishes the missing content by the tool
Figure 1. Tool based migration approach

Figure 2. Manual based migration approach

Table 2. Manual and Automation migration comparisons

Legacy modernization has many benefits in terms of reduced cost, enhanced flexibility, and vendor options. Nowadays, the modernizations are widespread, from Legacy to Cloud-ready, DevOps, Automation, AI and Big Data, and Mobile First approach application. Migrating legacy applications to Cloud for better scalability, performance, and flexibility. DevOps simplifies the process of modernization by shared responsibility and a collaborative approach. Manual processes are the barriers to the Legacy applications. Organizations can reduce operational costs and increase efficiency by moving manual to automation. Digital Transformation uses AI-based tools for Data-driven decisions. Mobile-first approach development leads the business to serve the customer in a faster and flexible manner.

4. TCO-ROI ANALYSIS

TCO and ROI analysis help the right investment decisions for digital transformations. TCO is a cost spent OR One time cost spent for the modernization of the systems. Return on Investment is the ratio between investment gains and TCO. Application is migrating to Cloud the cost distributed among several years for hardware and software. The below use case provides details of TCO-ROI calculation for commercial to open-source transformation.

Calculate the cost incurred for the current environment concerning application scopes described in Fig 3 – Hardware, Operating System, Web & Applications Servers, Database Servers, Application Maintenance, and Other Integration Systems. Fig 3 provides the information about current environment cost.
Fig. 3. Current environment Cost

Calculate the one-time cost incurred for new environment concerning application scopes described in Fig 4 – Hardware, Operating System, Web & Applications Servers, Database Servers, Application Maintenance, Other Integration Systems, Migration Cost (Modernization efforts and time), Decommission cost, Parallel Run cost, and Maintenance. Fig 4 provides information about the new environment cost after migration.

Fig 4. New environment Cost

ROI calculated based on the one-time investment shown in Fig 5.

Fig 5. ROI Calculation

Return on Investment (ROI) = Overall Cost (Current Environment) – Overall Cost (New Environment)

From the above Fig 5 the Total Investment (TI) = One-time New Environment Cost – Current Cost (Software + Environment)

Total Investment
= $72,250.00 - $40,000.00 = $32,250.00

ROI Year-1 = Current Environment Cost (before migration) – New Environment Cost (after migration)

ROI Year-1 = $60,000.00 - $8,400.00 = $41,600.00

If the value is positive in Fig 5, the new environment maintenance cost of the product is less compared to the Old environment. Compare the overall cost between current and new environment. In the above case study, the difference between the new environment’s one-time cost and the current environment is $32,250.00. Investment made by the modernization of the application to a newer environment. From Year-1 onwards, the saving from New and Current environment is around $41,600.00. The Return on Investment made in the first year of the modernization.

Sometimes applications are digitalizing to new technology stack to support new business. Banking industry enabling of Mobile for the existing application to invest some amount to get ROI with the time frame. The ROI analysis helps the management team to identify the applications to be migrated first.

5. CONCLUSION

Legacy Digital Transformations are essential nowadays for Organizations to enabling the time-to-market in their business. While making any decisions on transformation or modernization, management has to calculate the TCO-ROI of the program. ROI calculation helps the Organization to spend the time and efforts in the right direction. Sometimes the ROI calculation is not direct and has to develop logic how much revenue growth performs for the new feature. The ROI has to return from 2-3 years, and then the TCO spend is perfectly utilized. The right R (Retain, Retire/Rationalize, Rehosting, Replace, Refactor, Re-architecture, and Re-build / Rewrite) approach has to choose while performing Digital Transformation consideration Agility using DevOps. The modernization program has to complete as per business agility. This paper highlights the TCO-ROI factors for any transformation or modernization, which influences the modernization strategy. There is scope to identify new transformation approaches for the newer business needs and technology transformations.
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