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Abstract

Background: It is imperative to have a thorough assessment of the existing distribution of oral healthcare facilities and understand potential accessibility when planning for expansion of oral health services. In the present study, an attempt to measure geographic accessibility to oral healthcare, by locating the availability of dental practitioners in the coastal districts of Karnataka state, India using a geographical information system (GIS), has been made.

Methods: For the study, data on public and private oral health centres were collected for the three coastal districts of Karnataka state, India. Population and income data were collected, along with geographic attributes (latitudes and longitudes) of the practitioners’ addresses. Descriptive statistical analyses and dentist-to-population ratios (D:P) were calculated. Correlation between the number of clinics with population and D:P with per capita income were analyzed using Pearson’s correlation coefficient. Chi-square test applied to analyze any association between D:P and urbanization.

Results: Among 340 clinics, 8.5% are public and 91.5% are private clinics catering to a population of 4,704,179. Average D:P for the three coastal districts is 1:13,836. There is an uneven urban-rural distribution of dentists with lower D:P in rural areas. Rural population in four taluks have only one dentist for over a lakh population. Six taluks have only one dentist for every 50000 – 100000 population in rural areas. Six rural areas had only public centers to cater to their oral health.

Conclusions: From the study, it is concluded that oral health services were concentrated in areas with higher annual income per-capita,
increased urbanization and population density.
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Introduction

Health For All has become a cornerstone of public health, ever since the International Conference on Primary Healthcare and declaration of Alma Ata in 1978. Health for all is not just being free from diseases; it is a promise for the provision of basic services to every single person in the world. Right to healthcare is a basic human necessity and includes dental healthcare within its umbrella of holistic cares.1

One of the principles of primary health care is to provide social equity universally. The word equity refers to just and fair distribution of health care services all around the globe.1 There is a special emphasis on providing access, which is people’s ability to avail healthcare related services as and when they are needed.2 Access to healthcare has an important role to play in the overall health system, in reducing the burden of disease.2 Access to health care has two important geographical perspectives:

1. Accessibility (potential of approach) – how conveniently a particular service can be approached and the means of accessing the facility; and

2. Utilization (actual frequency of approach) – actual use of services at hand.

It is very well acknowledged that, the healthcare based resources need to be planned in a specific way that, they are utilized to the maximum. They need to be located conveniently for the majority of the population to be able to access them. It is also important to accommodate for different growth rates of different geographic areas and population clusters. Some areas are dynamic and mutate, evolve and grow with time. This uneven growth rate of population clusters is straining an already stressed utility provision system. The prime objective for healthcare providers is to have an adequate road map during the establishment of new healthcare centres so that it caters to maximum number of people.3

Given the above criteria, geographic information system (GIS) based accessibility interpretation is the most scientific and succinct method that can be used to calculate the extent to which geographical access is obtained.4,5 In short, GIS is the ‘digitization of cartogram’. It is a modern information system with capabilities of accepting, recording, analysing, managing and presenting the spatial referenced data (that is, the data is linked to a geographic location).6 It uses data that is attached to a unique location (geo-referencing) to create a multi-tier map showing individual attributes that can be superimposed. GIS allows and aids in cartographical representation and comparison of data that can be used in formulating better and focused healthcare plans. Pictorial representation of statistical data is much more lucid and easier to interpret in comparison to other formats of data representation.7 Density maps/Heat maps aid in finding density of health centres, cases, vectors, risk factors, etc. Kernel density calculates the density of features in a neighbourhood and around those features, per unit area, in a raster format. It can be used with both the point and line data.7

In terms of geographical extent, India enjoys the position of being the seventh largest country worldwide, with a population of 1.3 billion. In India, dental healthcare is provided by a combination of private sector and public institutions. As one of the major signatories of the United Nations (UN) charter for health, India is committed to provide basic dental health provision, starting at the level of Community Health Centres. Since the inception of India’s first dental college in Kolkata in the year 1920, it has been an uphill task to train sufficient number of dentists to meet the demands of an ever-growing population. Annual government funding for health services is meagre 1.6% of our total GDP, with no separate allocation for oral health.8 With 22% of the population living below poverty line, providing basic dental health services is a mammoth task.9

Coastal Karnataka includes the districts of Udupi, Dakshina Kannada and Uttara Kannada in the South Western part of India. This area has its own ethnic population and customs and boasts of a population of roughly 4.7 million, spread over an area of 18730 square kilometer. The settlements vary greatly from commercial and urban clusters of Mangalore to inaccessible villages of Dandeli. This uneven distribution of population, and living standards warrants individual surveys for planning. (GIS)-based model is been applied in other countries to estimate the number of new oral health facilities needed based on the geographical proximity or distance to nearest health care facility.3,6,10
This thorough assessment of the existing distribution of oral healthcare facilities to understand potential accessibility when planning for expansion of oral health services. We hypothesized that dentists are concentrated to areas with high population density, easy geographic access and a higher wealth distribution.

Hence, this study aimed to map and calculate objectively, spatial accessibility to the oral health care facilities in coastal districts of Karnataka state, India: Udupi, Uttara Kannada and Dakshina Kannada, and its association with population density and socio-economic conditions. Objectives were:

1. to analyze the geographic distribution of private and public dental healthcare providers with respect to population, per-capita income and urbanization, in GIS environment;
2. to identify the poorly served areas in the three coastal districts of Karnataka and
3. to investigate any association between oral health care services and socio-economic conditions.

**Methods**

The three coastal districts of Karnataka stretch to a length of 435 km and a width of 225 km. These Districts are further divided into administrative sub-units known as taluks. There are a total of 1807 villages in 20 Taluks of these 3 Districts. We chose administrative districts as our geographical regions, since these match the population census files in the same format, as required for geo-mapping and analysis of geographical data. This cross-sectional study was conducted over a duration of twelve weeks between September and November 2020. *Figure 1* gives the location map of the study area, with reference to India.

**Collection of data on public and private oral health services**

Indian oral health care delivery system comprises predominantly of a private sector and a smaller public sector. Data on the public and private oral health centres were collected.

Public health care centers: Oral health delivery in public sector is integrated into the existing network of public hospitals in India and is organized in a hierarchy based on administrative units and population size. Oral healthcare is provided by Community Health Centres (CHC’s), district hospitals and government teaching institutions spread across the country. The address of each of these centers with dental clinics were obtained from the official portal of Karnataka State Health Ministry.11

Private dental clinics: Private delivery system has been the predominant format of care in India. There is no official database maintained for the private dental clinics in our country. Therefore, the addresses were obtained from the largest

![Figure 1. Location map of study area.](image-url)
dental non-governmental organization in India (Indian Dental Association branches of the three districts). We also hand-searched for any other private clinics through Google Search and advertisements.

Teaching Dental Hospitals: Addresses of all the private dental schools with attached tertiary hospitals available in these districts were also included.

To test for accuracy of the geocoded dental practices, 2% of all practices with geocoding were chosen randomly and tested with Google Maps and open street maps and further web searched to attest to the authenticity of the data.

Population statistics & socioeconomic data
Population based statistics were obtained from the most recent National Census of India (2011). Urban-rural divide of the population was obtained from Primary Census Abstract Data Highlights of Karnataka.

Per-capita income at the district level was extracted from India Human Development Survey II (2011-12).

Geo-coding
The geographic extent of the study area is from 15.5252°N to 12.8437°N latitude and 74.0852°E to 75.2479°E longitude covering an area of 18,931 square km. Geo-coding of all the public and private oral health care services were completed by plotting on the free access geo-coding website from Google (OpenStreetMap). Geographic attributes (latitudes and longitudes) were then designated to the practitioners’ addresses with 90% being at the level of the building. Exclusion of duplicate addresses were done. After recording and cleaning, database files were transferred to the Quantum Geographic Information Systems (QGIS version 3.14, QGIS Development Team, GNU General Public License, Essen, Germany), with World Geodetic System 1984 (WGS 84) standard of coordinate referencing for geo-mapping.

Statistical analyses
The extracted data sets were then transferred to Microsoft Excel 2010 (v 2019, Microsoft Redmond Campus, Redmond, Washington, United States). Data was subjected to statistical analysis using Statistical package for social sciences (SPSS v 26.0, IBM). Descriptive statistical analyses (including number of dental practices within each district) and dentist-to-population ratios (D:P) were calculated. Correlation between the number of dental clinics with population and D:P with per capita income were analyzed using Pearson’s Correlation coefficient. Chi-square test was applied to analyze any association between D:P and urbanization.

Results
Geographic distribution of dental clinics
We located 340 clinics functioning currently in the 20 taluks of the 3 districts, 29 (8.5%) of which are public and 311 (91.5%) are private clinics and cater to a population of 4,704,179. Overall, there are 255 (75%) urban and 85 (25%) rural clinics in the three districts as shown in Table 1.

Among the 11 taluks in Uttara Kannada, almost all (n=9) have less than 10 clinics and 10-20 clinics in 3 taluks. In contrast, one taluk each of Udupi and Dakshina Kannada districts have 40-50 clinics as shown in Table 2.

Average D:P for the three coastal districts is 1:13,836. Udupi district has lowest D:P of 1:10801, followed by Dakshina Kannada with ratio of 1:12742 and Uttara Kannada with highest D:P of 1: 21450. Taluk-wise ratios showed lowest D:P in Mangalore taluk (1:9656) while Mundgod has the highest D:P (1:53087). Mangalore has the highest number of dental clinics (N=103), of which 96 (93.2%) were private clinics, which is also the taluk with highest per-capita income. Likewise, Mundgod taluk that has highest D:P (1:53087) has lowest per-capita income as shown in Table 3.

Table 1. District-wise distribution of dental workforce by practice type and location.

| District          | Total No. of dental clinics | Total population | Private clinics | Public clinics | Dental schools | Urban clinics | Rural clinics | Urban population | Rural population |
|-------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|----------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Uttara Kannada    | 67                          | 1437169          | 59             | 8            | 0             | 42           | 25            | 418981          | 1018188         |
| Udupi             | 109                         | 1177361          | 101            | 8            | 1             | 74           | 35            | 334061          | 843300          |
| Dakshina Kannada  | 164                         | 2089649          | 151            | 13           | 5             | 139          | 25            | 996086          | 1093563         |
| Total             | 340                         | 4704179          | 311            | 29           | 6             | 255          | 85            | 1749128         | 2955051         |
Figure 2 gives the geo-map for the three coastal districts. Bourgeoning of private dental clinics and dental schools in a few areas is distinctly evident. Out of 340 clinics in the three districts, 103 were situated in Mangalore taluk. Further, Mangalore taluk alone houses five dental schools of the total seven dental schools in three districts. Uttara Kannada district does not have any dental schools.

**Identification of poorly served areas**

There is an uneven urban-rural distribution of dentists with lower D:P in rural areas. Rural population in four taluks have only one dentist for over a lakh population. Six taluks have only one dentist for every 50,000 – 100,000 population in rural areas. Six rural areas had only public centers to cater to their oral health. Highest concentration of dental schools was seen in Dakshina Kannada district, with four schools in Mangalore taluk. Uttara Kannada district has no dental schools, in spite of being the biggest district of the three (Table 3). Identification of poorly served areas in the three coastal districts of

| District         | Taluk     | No. of clinics | Total population | D:P ratio | Per capita income |
|------------------|-----------|----------------|------------------|-----------|------------------|
| **Uttara Kannada** | Karwar    | 12             | 155213           | 12934     | 34259            |
|                  | Supa      | 1              | 52012            | 52012     | 27812            |
|                  | Haliyal   | 4              | 119357           | 29839     | 33966            |
|                  | Yellapur  | 2              | 78662            | 39331     | 26813            |
|                  | Mundgod   | 2              | 106174           | 53087     | 44326            |
|                  | Sirsi     | 11             | 186908           | 16992     | 29742            |
|                  | Ankola    | 4              | 107332           | 26833     | 30086            |
|                  | Kunta     | 13             | 154280           | 11868     | 44899            |
|                  | Siddapur  | 3              | 97322            | 32441     | 30989            |
|                  | Honavar   | 6              | 166264           | 27711     | 27216            |
|                  | Bhatkal   | 6              | 161576           | 26929     | 44251            |
|                  | Dandeli   | 3              | 52069            | 17356     | 51765            |
| **Total**        |           | 67             | 1437169          | 21450     | 35767            |
| **Udupi**        | Kundapura | 39             | 398471           | 10217     | 73676            |
|                  | Udupi     | 55             | 562799           | 10233     | 60920            |
|                  | Karkal    | 15             | 216091           | 14406     | 94716            |
| **Total**        |           | 109            | 1177361          | 10801     | 62120            |
| **Dakshina Kannada** | Mangalore | 103            | 994602           | 11051     | 54572            |
|                  | Bantval   | 26             | 395380           | 15207     | 50267            |
|                  | Beltangadi | 9              | 266589           | 44432     | 53245            |
|                  | Puttur    | 21             | 287851           | 13707     | 81882            |
|                  | Sulya     | 5              | 145227           | 29045     | 34259            |
| **Total**        |           | 264            | 2089649          | 13533     | 66936            |
| **Total**        |           | 340            | 4704179          | 13836     | 54941            |

D:P – Dentist-to-population ratio.
Figure 2. Geo-mapping of the private and public oral health care facilities and Dental school distribution in the three coastal districts of Karnataka.

Figure 3. Heat map/Density Map showing the concentration of Oral health care facilities of 3 districts.
Karnataka was facilitated with the aid of heat maps/density maps as shown in Figure 3. Kernel Density Estimation algorithm is used for creating heat map. This method is basically a Probability Density Function (PDF) which estimates or predicts an unknown value at a location from known value by means of interpolation.

**Association of dental clinic distribution with population, urbanization and socio-economic conditions**

Significant positive correlation is seen between taluk-wise population and number of dental clinics (Pearson’s correlation coefficient = 0.984) and also with D:P and per-capita income (Pearson’s correlation coefficient = -0.548). Chi-square test applied to determine association between D:P and urbanization is found to be significant (p < 0.000).

**Discussion**

Universal healthcare facilities are one of the pillars of the healthcare planning process. Access to healthcare is different from the geographic accessibility, in that, the former encompasses both:

1. spatial components (availability and accessibility) and
2. aspatial components (acceptability and affordability).

Hence, in our study we tried to measure geographic accessibility by locating the availability of dental practitioners in the coastal districts of Karnataka using GIS. A geographic information system (GIS) is a computerized system, that is created to analyse and display geographically referenced information as a layered map. According to Padminee K et al., Karnataka has the largest number of Dental practitioners for any state in India (34,768). For our work, geo-coordinates of the public and private oral health centres were collected and this data was used for GIS analysis using the open-source software, QGIS 3.14.

In the present study the average D:P was 1:12836. This is in accordance with the national D:P of 1:10,271. World Health Organization (WHO) recommendations state the ideal dentist to population ratio should be 1:7500. The above data is in sync with that of other developing countries. In India there are more than 300 dental colleges with 24,000 dental graduates adding to the pool every year. This ratio is similar to the studies conducted by Periera I et al. and Hosny G et al. in Sri Lanka and Egypt, respectively, but more than that of the study conducted by Omogunloye OG et al. but much lesser than the ratio reported in studies conducted in Australia and the United States (US).

There is a severe misallocation of dentists in terms of urban and rural distribution. A high proportion of dental professionals are concentrated in the urban agglomerations. Only a small Indian population of 15 – 20% have access to dental health services through national schemes. The average per capita public health funding for a year in India is a meagre 2.6$. According to the current study, a meagre 25% of the dental practitioners were practicing in the rural areas, serving 37.2% of coastal population, making D:P in the rural areas to 1:39,401. This is very similar to the national estimates of rural D:P of 1:30,000. This contrasts with an urban D:P of 1:6859. These values are very similar to the national urban D:P of 1:4,000. This distribution pattern is common to many countries. Brazil and Taiwan (as developing nations) report an average D:P of 1:735 and 1:1603, respectively, but show uneven distribution between urban and rural areas. The solution for this uneven distribution lies in bringing all dentists under the umbrella of primary health-care system. In India, providing dental care starts at the level of community health centers. Employment of dental manpower at the primary health center level can help reduce this burden. The dental practitioner to population ratio has markedly improved from 1:301,000 in the 1960s to 1:9992 in the present times and yet, the state-wise distribution of dentists is disproportionate.

Public health centers are providing affordable oral care services, but the services are very limited. This in turn, compels the people to consult private health care facilities, resulting in excessive expenditures. This situation is the same as in other developing countries like Nepal, Taiwan and Brazil. In Nepal the current ratio is 1:16000 according to a population report by Central Bureau of Statistics. Only 8% of dentists work in public sector, and these values are even lower in comparison to countries like Denmark and South Africa. Though the ratio has improved to 1:24000 in 2010 from 1:120000 in 2000, it is much lesser when compared to countries like Singapore and United Kingdom. These countries provide holistic dental care via the National Health Service and have a strong network of public health centres for all its citizens.

Since the 1990s, there has been a boom in the number of dental schools, most of which are private. At present, 86% of all Indian dental schools are private colleges. We observed dissimilarity in the distribution of dental schools across the region. Four dental schools, all private, are located in a single taluk, with one district devoid of any dental schools. Privatization increases the divide between rich and poor, boosting the facilities richer while driving the poor to further
penury. This should not be acceptable to a civilized society. This wave of privatization has side-lined access to universal oral health services and has alienated the underprivileged.

The results of our study confirm the hypothesis that dentists are concentrated to areas with high population density, easy geographic access and a higher wealth distribution. This disparity in distribution of oral healthcare amongst the districts of Coastal Karnataka is similar to that of other countries, with dental services being more easily found in the large cities and along the coastal areas.

Our study has some limitations. Comprehensive data on the dental clinics were not available. Many countries around the world have an annually updated database of dentists in both private and public and sectors. The Indian database is substantially inadequate in this regard. For this study we collected all the data on private dental clinics via the registered dentists under Indian Dental Association. We also hand searched for any private clinics via Google search engine. The latest population data available to us was that of the National Census 2011, whereas, the dental clinics data is updated to 2019 and hence, there are possibilities of overestimation of clinics/D:P ratio. Also, the study cannot envisage the differences that may occur in the dental services utilization among urban and rural populations.

Conclusion

From our study, we came to the conclusion that oral health services were concentrated in areas with higher annual income per-capita, increased urbanization and population density. The same were unevenly spread across coastal districts. Rural population in four taluks have only one dentist for over a lakh population. Most of the rural areas have only public centres to cater to their oral health. Private clinics are unevenly distributed. Also, we could identify the poorly served areas in the three districts. Shockingly, the share of funds allocated for public healthcare provision is only 1% of the total GDP. Moreover, India lacks a separate and specific allocation for dental health. In the last financial India spent only 6% of its total GDP towards healthcare.

We anticipate that above problems can be solved with appropriate governmental support by the establishment of public health centers in inaccessible areas. Meticulous planning of survey data comprising of geographical distribution parameters and economic status of the surrounding population can give an accurate representation of the ease of accessibility of treatment.
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such subsections or combine them into a single section under appropriate headings.

3. I would strongly encourage the authors to include a site map showing the location of the
three districts with all the major cities clearly shown, and then also show a Karnataka map
(with just district boundaries) within the Indian map (state boundaries only). Include the
Arabian sea.

4. Revise Fig. 1 with the following changes:

   - Fix the legend heading, i.e., "Selected_points" is unnecessary. Figures should be clean
     without underscores.
   - Under three districts on the legend, it is not clear what the blue polygon represents as it
     stands without a label.
   - I would also include a very light base map of neighboring districts and the Arabian Sea for
     reference.

5. In the methods, briefly describe what technique (E.g., Kernel) / tool (E.g., Point Density tool)
   was used for density/heat mapping analysis.

6. It is not clear what software was used to run Pearson and Chi-square tests. Please include
   the appropriate citations.

7. I am not sure this paragraph fits into the scope of discussion. It would better fit in the
   methods section or perhaps even the introduction section where the study area is
described.

   "Hence, in our study we tried to measure geographic accessibility by locating the availability of
dental practitioners in the coastal districts of Karnataka using GIS. A geographic information
system (GIS) is a computerized system, that is created to analyse and display geographically
referenced information as a layered map. According to Padminee K et al., Karnataka has the
largest number of Dental practitioners for any state in India (34,768). For our work, geo-
coordinates of the public and private oral health centres were collected and this data was used
for GIS analysis using the open-source software, QGIS 3.14."

8. In your limitations, also acknowledge the differences that may exist in dental service
preferences among urban and rural populations. This applies to both dentist and patient behaviors.

9. In your conclusion section, refer to the sentence I have provided below. The statement is too strong/broad. Perhaps, rephrase to state how these problems can be better addressed with appropriate government support, along with support from private and non-governmental stakeholders.

“All the above mentioned problems can be solved with appropriate government support in key areas.”
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We have responded to each comment by the reviewer below:

Comment: In the introduction section, the authors introduce healthcare accessibility well but do not discuss the state of knowledge on dental care accessibility. Discuss previous works summarizing what similar studies (global, regional, local) have found. I have added references that may be helpful to the authors.
Response to reviewers: We have now added that, sir
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Comment: I would recommend not using 1 sentence paragraphs in the manuscript. For example, "socioeconomic data" has only one sentence. You can either add a few more sentences to such subsections or combine them into a single section under appropriate headings.
Response to reviewers: We have now done that sir
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Comment: I would strongly encourage the authors to include a site map showing the location of the three districts with all the major cities clearly shown, and then also show a Karnataka map (with just district boundaries) within the Indian map (state boundaries only). Include the Arabian sea.
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- I would also include a very light base map of neighboring districts and the Arabian Sea for reference.
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Comment: In the methods, briefly describe what technique (E.g., Kernel) / tool (E.g., Point Density tool) was used for density/heat mapping analysis.
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Comment: I am not sure this paragraph fits into the scope of discussion. It would better fit in the methods section or perhaps even the introduction section where the study area is described.
"Hence, in our study we tried to measure geographic accessibility by locating the availability of dental practitioners in the coastal districts of Karnataka using GIS. A geographic information system (GIS) is a computerized system, that is created to analyse and display geographically referenced information as a layered map 6. According to Padminee K et al., Karnataka has the largest number of Dental practitioners for any state in India (34,768).14 For our work, geo-coordinates of the public and private oral health centres were collected and this data was used for GIS analysis using the open-source software, QGIS 3.14."
Response to reviewers: Sir, we usually start with a small introductory paragraph in Discussion. Justifying the reason for conducting the study.
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Comment: In your conclusion section, refer to the sentence I have provided below. The statement is too strong/broad. Perhaps, rephrase to state how these problems can be better addressed with appropriate government support, along with support from private and non-governmental stakeholders.
"All the above mentioned problems can be solved with appropriate government support in key areas."
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We hope to have carried out revisions to the satisfaction of the reviewer. Please let us know if more revisions are required.
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In the present study, an attempt was made to measure geographic accessibility to oral health in the Indian state of Karnataka. The proposal was to use geoprocessing methods and tools available in open source geographic information systems to analyze the spatial distribution of oral health facilities in public and private spheres in urban and rural regions. Geographically, the data used were latitude and longitude extracted from the addresses, which made it possible to geocode the data using OpenStreetMap as a basis. Descriptive statistical analyzes were performed with the creation of one main index: the dentist-population ratio D:P, in addition to its correlations with per capita income and number of clinics where Pearson's correlation coefficient was used.

In addition to the location map of the oral health units, a density index map was produced. I believe that the authors could better explore the geoprocessing tools in future work, producing layer overlays or even thematic maps with the D:P index for each district, which would make it possible to carry out a deeper discussion of the results, making the research more relevant. I believe that the contribution of this article to the scientific society is important, with an impact on public health management, where it became evident that the understanding of spatial distributions is essential for a broad understanding of society, producing information that support decisions in the public health management.
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