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Objectives. To understand the current risk of HIV infection and transmission and further elucidate the underlying risk factors among men who have sex with men and women (MSMW) in China. Methods. Following PRISMA guidelines, we conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis of searching through Chinese and English available literature databases between January 2000 and June 2014 to identify articles. Results. Thirty-six articles (including 19,730 MSMW and 53,536 MSMO) met the selection criteria and the aggregated results found that MSMW have significantly higher HIV prevalence than MSMO (6.6% versus 5.4%, OR = 1.27, 95% CI = 1.01–1.58). A higher proportion of MSMW had commercial male partners in the past 6 months (18.3% versus 12.2%, OR = 1.56, 95% CI = 1.01–2.42). Additionally, substance use in the past 6 months was significantly more frequent among MSMW than MSMO (alcohol use: 27.1% versus 13.1%, OR = 2.53, 95% CI = 2.14–2.99; illicit drug use: 5.3% versus 2.5%, OR = 2.09, 95% CI = 1.48–2.95). Conclusion. A higher proportion of commercial sex and substance use among MSMW may be a potentially indicative factor for significantly higher HIV prevalence compared to MSMO. Targeted interventions should aim at increasing the frequency of HIV/STIs screening and preventing high risk commercial sex and substance use among MSMW to decrease their HIV transmission to the general population.

1. Introduction

Men who have sex with men (MSM) are considered as a group of high risk for HIV infection in many countries. According to The Joint United Nations Programme on HIV and AIDS (UNAIDS), the prevalence of HIV infection among MSM in capital cities from nearly 80 countries is on average 13 times higher than that of general populations in these countries [1]. This disparity is also prominent in China; a recent meta-analysis reported that almost 6.5% of MSM were living with HIV [2], which was more than 100 times higher than that in the general population (0.058%) [3]. The latest national report revealed that the proportion of newly diagnosed HIV cases due to male homosexual contact has increased from 12.2% in 2007 to 23.4% in 2014 [4, 5].

Homosexuality is still highly discriminated against in China, which partly results in bisexual behavior becoming one of the biggest obstacles in implementing HIV/AIDS prevention and control interventions among MSM [6, 7]. Additionally, marriage is a family obligation of Chinese traditional culture, which prompts over 80% of MSM eventually getting married to female to hide their real sexual identity.
and/or carry on family name [8, 9]. Only one in ten MSMW disclosures homosexuality to their female partners [10, 11], and unprotected sexual behaviors with female sex partners were prevalent among MSMW [12], which may bridge HIV from the MSM population to the general population.

Previous studies have estimated per action transmission probability of HIV during anal or vaginal sex among MSM [13, 14]. Clear understanding of the relative risk may help inform MSM of the potential risk which may in turn render them to avoid high risk sexual behaviors, especially among those who make condom use decisions based on penetrative sex type and role in anal sex (receptive or insertive anal sex) and self-reported HIV status of partner. However, until now little literature had rigorously compared the relative risk of HIV infection among MSMW, based on all available data in China.

Existing studies had contradictory findings on the relative risk of HIV infection comparing MSMW and MSMO in China. A recent published national cross-sectional survey of 47,231 MSM from 61 cities in China indicated that MSMW have a lower HIV prevalence than MSMO [15]. However, this conclusion is contradictory to a previous meta-analysis study, which found that MSMW in China have a significantly higher HIV prevalence than MSMO [16]. Until now, no new studies indicated whether or not Chinese MSMW really have higher risk of HIV infection than MSMO. An explicit assessment of the risk of HIV infection in MSMW populations is useful for understanding the dynamic of national HIV epidemic among MSM and developing targeted interventions. Additionally, many new researches on bisexual behavior of MSMW have been published in recent years. As such, we also include the latest extensive literature in order to gain a more comprehensive and current understanding of national HIV epidemic among MSMW in China.

Besides, previous meta-analysis on bisexual behavior among MSMW in China failed to explore the underlying differences in HIV-related behavioral risk factors between MSMW and MSMO, which are essential to contextualize behavioral interventions of high risk subpopulations among MSM in China. Therefore, we conducted this systematic review and meta-analysis to compare the disparities in HIV prevalence between MSMW and MSMO and examine behavioral factors underlying the disparities. Three research questions were addressed: (1) do MSMW in China have significantly higher HIV prevalence than MSMO; (2) what are the differences between risk behavioral factors of MSMW and MSMO in China; (3) do MSMW engage in more risk sexual behaviors in different proportions than MSMO that might help to explain the differences in the effect size for HIV prevalence?

2. Methods

2.1. Literature Search. This systematic review and meta-analysis adheres to the PRISMA guidelines [17, 18]; comprehensive literature search was conducted using the following databases for literature published between January 2000 and June 2014 to identify articles: PubMed, Web of Knowledge, Google Scholar, Chinese National Knowledge Infrastructure, VIP, and Wanfang Data. In addition, the reference lists of pertinent articles were examined for additional relevant studies. The combinations of search terms include “bisexual behavior,” “bisexual men,” “MSMW,” “men who have sex with men and women,” “BBM,” “behaviorally bisexual men,” “MSM,” “men who have sex with men,” “homosexual men,” “gay,” “MSMO,” “men who have sex with men only,” “HIV” and “risk behaviors,” “risk factor,” “unprotected sex,” and “condom use.”

2.2. Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria. Studies were included in the meta-analysis if they reported quantitative data on HIV prevalence and risky behaviors rate among both MSMW and MSMO. Studies were excluded if (1) they were duplicate reports; (2) they failed to report risky behaviors or HIV prevalence among both MSMW and MSMO; (3) HIV status was self-reported and not confirmed by tests; (4) they did not mention the period of the recall window of risk behaviors; and (5) they were postintervention studies. For research data repeatedly be published in multiple articles, the most comprehensive article was included in the meta-analysis.

2.3. Quality Assessment. The quality of studies was assessed using the quality assessment checklist for observational studies (QATSO score), a validated quality assessment tool for HIV prevalence/risk behaviors among MSM [19]. Items were scored as 1, 0, and NA, which represents “yes,” “no,” and “not applicable,” respectively. The total score of each eligible study must have been above 33% (0% and 33%, 33% and 66%, and 67% and 100%, corresponded to “bad,” “satisfactory,” and “good quality,” resp.).

2.4. Data Extraction. Bisexuality was operationalized using a classification of MSMW or MSMO over any timeframe (behavior recall window) assessed by researchers. Data from eligible studies were extracted with the following information by two reviewers independently: (1) general information about each selected article was extracted (e.g., first author, publication year, study period, study location, method of recruitment, sampling method, and behavior recall window). (2) HIV infection: the prevalence of HIV infection. (3) Behavioral information: (1) condom use: unprotected anal intercourse (UAI) with males, unprotected receptive anal intercourse with males (UIAI), and unprotected insertive anal intercourse with commercial male partner (UIAI) with males and UAI with commercial male partner; (2) anal sex role: mainly insertive anal intercourse (IAI), mainly receptive anal intercourse (RAI), and both of the two roles; (3) male partner: multiple (≥2) male partners have casual male partners and have commercial male partner (include purchasing sex from male sex workers (money boys and MBs) or selling sex to males); (4) substance use: alcohol use and ever illicit drug use (including opiate, cocaine, amphetamine-type stimulants (ATS), cannabis, and hallucinogens [20]).

2.5. Statistical Analysis. To assess the differences in HIV infection and related risky behaviors between MSMW and MSMO, the random/fixed effect models were used to compute the pooled effect rates and odds ratios (OR) (i.e., prevalence and OR of HIV infection and proportion and OR
of condom use, anal sex role, sex partners, and substance use) and relevant 95% confidence intervals (CI). Statistical heterogeneity was qualitatively tested using Cochran’s Q statistic ($p < 0.10$ indicates significant heterogeneity) and quantified by the $I^2$ index ($I^2 < 25\%$, low heterogeneity; $I^2 = 25\text{–}50\%$, moderate heterogeneity; $I^2 > 75\%$, high heterogeneity). If significant homogeneity was detected ($I^2 < 75.0$, $p \geq 0.10$), fixed effect models were employed to calculate the pooled effect rates and ORs; otherwise random effects models were employed [21].

There were many factors that may have affected the homogeneity between studies, such as sample characteristics and methodological differences. Therefore, we performed subgroup analyses to explore the potential sources of between-study heterogeneity on (1) study region: Southwest China, East China, Northeast, North China, and multiple regions; (2) data collection period: prior to 2009, 2009 and later, and unidentified; (3) data collection method: interviewer-administered, self-administered, and unidentified.

A sensitivity analysis was conducted by omitting each study one at a time to assess the influence of each study on the overall estimate. To investigate publication bias, we utilized Egger’s regression test and examined the symmetry of funnel plots for each comparative meta-analytic domain. All the statistical analyses were done using STATA V11.2.

3. Results

3.1. Study Selection. As shown in Figure 1, a total of 2425 relevant articles were identified, of which 42 articles entered further screening, and 36 articles (16 published in English and 20 in Chinese, including 19,730 MSMW and 53,536 MSMO) were finally included in our systematic review and meta-analysis (Figure 1) [15, 22–56]. The characteristics of these included studies are summarized in Table 1.
| Author            | Publication year | Location       | Study periods | Setting                      | Sampling method                  | Survey method          | Recall window (months) | QACO score (%) |
|-------------------|------------------|----------------|---------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| Tang [55]         | 2007             | Harbin         | N/A           | Multiple recruiting methods  | Snowball sampling                | Interviewer-administered| 6                      | 40               |
| Chen [51]         | 2008             | Fuzhou         | 2008          | MSM venue                    | Multiple recruiting methods      | Interviewer-administered| 6                      | 60               |
| Ouyang et al. [50]| 2008             | Chongqing      | 2006          | Multiple recruiting methods  | Snowball sampling                | Interviewer-administered| 6                      | 33               |
| Bai and Feng [43] | 2010             | Liuzhou        | 2008          | Multiple recruiting methods  | N/A                              | Interviewer-administered  | 6                      | 75               |
| Han et al. [35]   | 2012             | China          | 2008          | N/A                          | N/A                              | Interviewer-administered  | 6                      | 60               |
| She et al. [34]   | 2012             | 4 cites        | 2008          | N/A                          | Snowball sampling                | Interviewer-administered  | 6                      | 60               |
| Li et al. [48]    | 2009             | Chongqing      | 2006          | MSM venue                    | Snowball sampling                | Interviewer-administered  | 6                      | 40               |
| Zhang et al. [31] | 2012             | Wuhan          | N/A           | MSM venue                    | Stratified cluster sampling      | Interviewer-administered  | 6                      | 80               |
| Ruan et al. [47]  | 2009             | Jinan          | 2008          | N/A                          | RDS*                            | Interviewer-administered  | 6                      | 60               |
| Chow et al. [29]  | 2013             | Changsha,Tianjin | 2011         | MSM venue                    | Convenience sampling             | Interviewer-administered  | 6                      | 75               |
| Cai et al. [24]   | 2014             | Shenzhen       | 2010          | Community-based Recruiting by NGO** | RDS         | CASI*                  | 6                      | 80               |
| Liao et al. [41]  | 2011             | 4 cities in Shandong Province | 2008-2009 | Multiple recruiting methods  | Multiple sampling methods        | Interviewer-administered  | 6                      | 80               |
| Wang et al. [52]  | 2007             | Harbin         | 2006          | MSM venue                    | N/A                              | Interviewer-administered  | 6                      | 60               |
| Ruan et al. [53]  | 2007             | Beijing        | 2005          | N/A                          | Multiple sampling methods        | Interviewer-administered  | 6                      | 80               |
| Xu et al. [44]    | 2010             | Liaoning       | 2006          | Recruiting by NGO**          | N/A                              | Interviewer-administered  | 12                     | 60               |
| Lau et al. [49]   | 2008             | Kunming        | 2003–2005     | Multiple recruiting methods  | Convenience sampling             | Interviewer-administered  | 6                      | 60               |
| Cao et al. [37]   | 2012             | 4 cities       | 2008          | Recruiting by NGO            | Snowball sampling                | Interviewer-administered  | 6                      | 80               |
| Liao et al. [22]  | 2014             | 4 cities in Shandong Province | 2011     | Multiple recruiting methods  | N/A                              | Interviewer-administered  | 6                      | 70               |
| Tao et al. [28]   | 2013             | Beijing        | 2010–2011     | Multiple recruiting methods  | Multiple sampling methods        | Interviewer-administered  | 12                     | 60               |
| Wu et al. [15]    | 2013             | 61 cities      | 2008-2009     | N/A                          | RDS + Snowball sampling          | Interviewer-administered  | 6                      | 90               |
| Guo et al. [36]   | 2012             | Beijing        | 2009          | Multiple recruiting methods  | N/A                              | Self-administered         | 6                      | 70               |
| Author          | Publication year | Location  | Study periods | Setting                  | Sampling method                  | Survey method          | Recall window (months) | QACO score (%) |
|-----------------|------------------|-----------|---------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| Wang et al. [33]| 2012             | Harbin    | 2006–2010     | Community-based          | Snowball sampling               | Self-administered      | 12                    | 65              |
| Cao et al. [30] | 2013             | Nanchang  | 2011          | Multiple recruiting methods | Multiple sampling methods | Interviewer-administered | 1 week               | 60              |
| Li et al. [56]  | 2014             | Beijing   | 2009–2011     | N/A                      | RDS                             | CASI                   | 12                    | 80              |
| Feng et al. [46]| 2010             | Chengdu   | N/A           | Multiple recruiting methods | Snowball sampling               | Interviewer-administered | 6                     | 50              |
| Wang et al. [27]| 2013             | Beijing   | 2008–2009     | Multiple recruiting methods | Multiple sampling methods | Interviewer-administered | 6                     | 80              |
| Zhang et al. [32]| 2012            | Chongqing | 2009          | N/A                      | RDS                             | CASI                   | 6                     | 80              |
| Zhang et al. [25]| 2013             | Harbin    | 2011          | Recruiting by NGO        | N/A                             | Interviewer-administered | 12                   | 60              |
| Cai et al. [23] | 2014             | Shenzhen  | 2009–2012     | N/A                      | Snowball sampling + RDS         | Interviewer-administered | 6                     | 75              |
| Liu et al. [40] | 2011             | Beijing   | N/A           | MSM venue                | Snowball sampling               | Interviewer-administered | 12                   | 50              |
| Wang et al. [26]| 2013             | Mianyang  | 2011–2012     | N/A                      | Snowball sampling               | Interviewer-administered | 6                     | 55              |
| Qu et al. [39]  | 2011             | Inner Mongolia | 2010         | Community-based          | Snowball sampling               | Interviewer-administered | 6                     | 60              |
| Xu et al. [45]  | 2010             | 4 cities  | N/A           | N/A                      | Snowball sampling + RDS         | Interviewer-administered | 6                     | 70              |
| Xi [38]         | 2011             | Hangzhou  | 2009–2010     | MSM venue                | Snowball sampling               | Interviewer-administered | 6                     | 50              |
| Chen et al. [42]| 2011             | Lanzhou   | 2006–2010     | MSM venue                | Snowball sampling               | Interviewer-administered | 6                     | 50              |
| Li [54]         | 2007             | Beijing   | 2005          | Multiple recruiting methods | Multiple sampling methods | Interviewer-administered | 6                     | 70              |

#N/A: not available, RDS: respondent-driven sampling, CASI: computer-assisted self-administered interview, NGO: Non-Governmental Organizations.

### 3.2. Quantitative Data Synthesis

#### 3.2.1. HIV Prevalence Analysis and Comparison

As seen in Table 2, across 23 samples, a significantly higher prevalence of HIV was found among MSMW compared with MSMO (6.6% versus 5.4%, OR = 1.27, 95% CI = 1.01–1.58) (Figure 2). Subgroup analysis by region showed that in the Southwest China, the difference in HIV prevalence between MSMW and MSMO was the highest (14.8% versus 6.7%, OR = 2.43, 95% CI = 1.58–3.72), followed by Northeast China (6.5% versus 5.7%, OR = 1.27, 95% CI = 0.89–1.82), the East China (2.7% versus 5.7%, OR = 0.67, 95% CI = 0.32–1.42), North China (5.7% versus 4.1%, OR = 1.32, 95% CI = 0.89–1.94), and other regions (6.3% versus 6.0%, OR = 1.03, 95% CI = 0.78–1.36). For studies that collected data in 2009 and later, the gap in HIV prevalence between MSMW and MSMO was larger than in other periods (8.3% versus 5.6%, OR = 1.59, 95% CI = 1.08–2.33). The prevalence of HIV for studies recruiting participants through RDS and all other sampling methods was 6.9% versus 6.3%, OR = 1.16, 95% CI = 0.65–2.09 and was 6.7% versus 5.2%, OR = 1.30, 95% CI = 1.05–1.61, respectively.

#### 3.2.2. Possible Explanatory Behavioral Factors for Significant Difference in HIV Infection Risk

As seen in Table 3, for anal sex role with male, MSMW were more likely to have insertive anal intercourse (IAI) and less likely to have receptive anal intercourse (RAI) than MSMO (IAI: 53.2% versus 41.1%, OR = 1.74, 95% CI = 1.26–2.42; RAI: 23.7% versus 38.7%, OR = 0.42, 95% CI = 0.28–0.64). No significant differences were found in condom use between MSMW and MSMO (UIAI: 63.9% versus 58.3%, OR = 1.19, 95% CI = 0.89–1.60; URAI: 45.9% versus 53.3%, OR = 0.73, 95% CI = 0.50–1.06; UAI with commercial male partner: 53.2% versus 41.1%, OR = 1.07, 95% CI = 0.76–1.50). In comparison with sex partners in the past 6 months, MSMW were significantly more likely to engage
Table 2: The odds and prevalence of HIV among MSMW and MSMO by study and design characteristics.

| Category                        | Subgroup                               | Comparison group | Number of studies | Prevalence estimate (%) and 95% CI | Heterogeneity $\chi^2 (\%)$ | OR and 95% CI      | $p$ value | Heterogeneity $\chi^2 (\%)$ | $p$ value |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------|----------------------------|-----------|
|                                 | Overall MSMW                           | MSMW             | 23                | 6.6 (5.3, 7.8)                     | 89.2                      | 1.27 (1.01, 1.58) | 0.018     | 74.4                      | <0.001    |
|                                 | Overall MSMO                           | MSMO             | 23                | 5.4 (4.7, 6.2)                     | 83.9                      | 1.27 (1.01, 1.58) | 0.018     | 74.4                      | <0.001    |
| Regions                         | Southwest China                        | MSMW             | 4                 | 14.8 (9.3, 20.3)                   | 79.5                      | 2.43 (1.58, 3.72) | 0.004     | 53.3                      | 0.093     |
|                                 | East China                             | MSMW             | 3                 | 2.7 (0.4, 4.9)                     | 87.4                      | 0.67 (0.32, 1.42) | 0.236     | 61.2                      | 0.076     |
|                                 | Northeast China                        | MSMW             | 3                 | 6.5 (2.3, 10.7)                    | 76.1                      | 1.27 (0.89, 1.82) | 0.101     | 0.0                      | 0.740     |
|                                 | North China                            | MSMW             | 7                 | 5.2 (4.0, 6.5)                     | 20.0                      | 1.32 (0.89, 1.94) | 0.088     | 43.0                      | 0.104     |
|                                 | Several cities from different regions or those that cannot be classified into the above four categories | MSMW             | 6                 | 6.3 (4.5, 8.2)                     | 82.3                      | 1.03 (0.78, 1.36) | 0.304     | 61.6                      | 0.023     |
|                                 |                                        | MSMO             | 6                 | 6.0 (4.8, 7.2)                     | 72.0                      | 1.03 (0.78, 1.36) | 0.304     | 61.6                      | 0.023     |
|                                 | Sampling method                        | RDS              | 5                 | 6.9 (3.8, 10.0)                    | 95.5                      | 1.16 (0.65, 2.09) | 0.218     | 88.9                      | <0.001    |
|                                 |                                        | MSMO             | 5                 | 6.3 (5.0, 7.6)                     | 85.4                      | 1.16 (0.65, 2.09) | 0.218     | 88.9                      | <0.001    |
|                                 |                                        | MSMW             | 18                | 6.7 (5.1, 8.3)                     | 84.8                      | 1.30 (1.05, 1.61) | 0.037     | 47.4                      | 0.044     |
|                                 |                                        | MSMO             | 18                | 5.2 (4.2, 6.3)                     | 83.3                      | 1.30 (1.05, 1.61) | 0.037     | 47.4                      | 0.044     |
|                                 | Data collection period                 | Before 2009      | MSMW             | 7                                 | 4.9 (2.8, 6.9)            | 94.4                      | 0.91 (0.63, 1.32) | 0.103     | 75.1                      | <0.001    |
|                                 |                                        | MSMO             | 7                                 | 5.8 (4.2, 7.4)           | 92.6                      | 0.91 (0.63, 1.32) | 0.103     | 75.1                      | <0.001    |
|                                 |                                        | MSMW             | 10                                | 8.3 (5.9, 10.7)          | 71.8                      | 1.59 (1.08, 2.33) | <0.001 | 65.3                      | 0.002     |
|                                 |                                        | MSMO             | 10                                | 5.6 (4.1, 7.1)          | 77.4                      | 1.59 (1.08, 2.33) | <0.001 | 65.3                      | 0.002     |
|                                 |                                        | MSMW             | 6                                 | 6.5 (4.6, 8.5)           | 75.8                      | 1.33 (0.97, 1.84) | 0.376     | 48.8                      | 0.082     |
|                                 |                                        | MSMO             | 6                                 | 5.0 (3.8, 6.2)           | 61.9                      | 1.33 (0.97, 1.84) | 0.376     | 48.8                      | 0.082     |
|                                 | Data collection method                 | Interviewer-administered | MSMW             | 19                                | 6.3 (5.1, 7.9)            | 90.5                      | 1.21 (0.94, 1.56) | 0.245     | 771                       | <0.001    |
|                                 |                                        | MSMO             | 19                                | 5.6 (4.8, 6.5)           | 84.3                      | 1.21 (0.94, 1.56) | 0.245     | 771                       | <0.001    |
|                                 |                                        | MSMW             | 2                                 | 5.4 (2.8, 8.1)           | 23.5                      | 1.37 (0.91, 2.08) | 0.211     | 0.0                      | 0.567     |
|                                 |                                        | MSMO             | 2                                 | 3.9 (2.9, 4.8)           | 0.0                      | 1.37 (0.91, 2.08) | 0.211     | 0.0                      | 0.567     |
|                                 |                                        | Not reported or cannot be classified into the above two categories | MSMW             | 2                                 | 8.5 (3.4, 13.6)          | 72.7                      | 1.58 (1.01, 2.50) | 0.030     | 0.0                      | 0.354     |
|                                 |                                        | MSMO             | 2                                 | 5.7 (0.1, 11.2)          | 89.2                      | 1.58 (1.01, 2.50) | 0.030     | 0.0                      | 0.354     |
Table 3: The odds and proportion of HIV-related risky behaviors among MSMW and MSMO.

| Behaviors                                      | Number of studies | Comparison group | Prevalence estimate (%) and 95% CI | Heterogeneity | OR and 95% CI   | p value | Heterogeneity |
|------------------------------------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|---------|--------------|
| Condom use in the past 6 months                |                   |                  |                                    |               |                 |         |              |
| UAI with male                                   | 9                 | MSMW             | 56.7 (46.2, 67.2)                  | 94.6          | <0.001          | 1.19 (0.99, 1.26) | 0.123 | 40.7         | 0.096 |
|                                                |                   | MSMO             | 57.8 (50.4, 65.2)                  | 95.0          | <0.001          | 1.19 (0.99, 1.26) | 0.123 | 40.7         | 0.096 |
| UAI with male                                   | 3                 | MSMW             | 63.9 (57.8, 70.0)                  | 0             | 0.857           | 1.19 (0.89, 1.60) | 0.101 | 23.2         | 0.272 |
| UITAI with male                                 | 3                 | MSMW             | 58.3 (46.2, 70.4)                  | 94.3          | <0.001          | 0.73 (0.50, 1.06) | 0.317 | 35.9         | 0.210 |
| UITAI with male                                 | 3                 | MSMO             | 45.9 (13.4, 78.4)                  | 95.1          | <0.001          | 0.73 (0.50, 1.06) | 0.317 | 35.9         | 0.210 |
| URAI with male                                  | 3                 | MSMW             | 53.3 (32.9, 73.8)                  | 98.0          | <0.001          | 0.73 (0.50, 1.06) | 0.317 | 35.9         | 0.210 |
| UAI with commercial male partner               | 3                 | MSMW             | 53.2 (22.8, 83.5)                  | 90.1          | <0.001          | 1.07 (0.76, 1.50) | 0.785 | 35.7         | 0.211 |
|                                                |                   | MSMO             | 41.1 (10.2, 72.6)                  | 94.7          | <0.001          | 1.07 (0.76, 1.50) | 0.785 | 35.7         | 0.211 |
| Anal sexual role                                |                   |                  |                                    |               |                 |         |              |
| Mainly insertive                                | 8                 | MSMW             | 53.2 (37.5, 68.9)                  | 97.9          | <0.001          | 1.74 (1.26, 2.42) | 0.004 | 81.6         | <0.001 |
|                                                |                   | MSMO             | 41.1 (29.5, 52.8)                  | 98.4          | <0.001          | 1.74 (1.26, 2.42) | 0.004 | 81.6         | <0.001 |
| Mainly receptive                                | 8                 | MSMW             | 23.7 (13.9, 33.4)                  | 96.8          | <0.001          | 0.42 (0.28, 0.64) | 0.033 | 83.9         | <0.001 |
|                                                |                   | MSMO             | 38.7 (23.0, 54.5)                  | 99.2          | <0.001          | 0.42 (0.28, 0.64) | 0.033 | 83.9         | <0.001 |
| Both                                           | 5                 | MSMW             | 59.4 (53.9, 64.9)                  | 96.8          | <0.001          | 0.92 (0.69, 1.24) | 0.256 | 62.2         | 0.032 |
|                                                |                   | MSMO             | 35.8 (20.3, 51.3)                  | 98.7          | <0.001          | 0.92 (0.69, 1.24) | 0.256 | 62.2         | 0.032 |
| Sex partner in the past 6 months                |                   |                  |                                    |               |                 |         |              |
| Multiple (>2) male partners                     | 5                 | MSMW             | 57.0 (40.8, 73.2)                  | 95.3          | <0.001          | 1.19 (0.85, 1.67) | 0.090 | 69.6         | 0.010 |
|                                                |                   | MSMO             | 51.9 (42.8, 60.9)                  | 94.7          | <0.001          | 1.19 (0.85, 1.67) | 0.090 | 69.6         | 0.010 |
| Having casual male partners                     | 4                 | MSMW             | 65.3 (56.8, 73.7)                  | 78.6          | 0.003           | 1.16 (0.85, 1.58) | 0.141 | 56.6         | 0.075 |
|                                                |                   | MSMO             | 61.6 (53.1, 70.1)                  | 94.5          | <0.001          | 1.16 (0.85, 1.58) | 0.141 | 56.6         | 0.075 |
| Having commercial male partners                 | 10                | MSMW             | 18.3 (11.6, 25.1)                  | 95.3          | <0.001          | 1.56 (1.01, 2.42) | 0.023 | 86.8         | <0.001 |
|                                                |                   | MSMO             | 12.2 (8.1, 16.4)                   | 96.8          | <0.001          | 1.56 (1.01, 2.42) | 0.023 | 86.8         | <0.001 |
| Substance use in the past 6 months              |                   |                  |                                    |               |                 |         |              |
| Alcohol use                                     | 6                 | MSMW             | 271 (15.7, 38.2)                   | 96.6          | <0.001          | 2.53 (2.14, 2.99) | <0.001 | 33.5         | 0.185 |
|                                                |                   | MSMO             | 13.1 (7.6, 18.6)                   | 96.7          | <0.001          | 2.53 (2.14, 2.99) | <0.001 | 33.5         | 0.185 |
| Illicit drug use                                | 3                 | MSMW             | 5.3 (4.1, 6.5)                     | 0             | 0.538           | 2.09 (1.48, 2.95) | <0.001 | 0            | 0.435 |
|                                                |                   | MSMO             | 2.5 (1.9, 3.1)                     | 0             | 0.538           | 2.09 (1.48, 2.95) | <0.001 | 0            | 0.435 |
3.3. Sensitivity Analysis and Publication Bias. The pooled rates and ORs were not significantly affected in the sensitivity analysis, suggesting that the results were robust. The funnel plot (dots nearly symmetrically distributed) and Egger’s test (all p values for Egger’s test > 0.05) reflected that there was no evidence of publication bias in any comparison model (data not provided).

4. Discussion

To our knowledge, this is the first systematic review to compare both HIV prevalence and various risk behaviors between Chinese MSMW and MSMO. It provides an insight into the latest HIV epidemic of MSMW and specific HIV transmission risk from MSM to general population and proposes a crucial suggestion for China’s health department to make more effective prevention strategy and policy in the future. Building on our earlier research, we integrated the latest extensive 31 additional published pieces of literature and further validated that Chinese MSMW have a significantly higher HIV prevalence than MSMO, which is similar to our previous conducted meta-analysis results [16]. Remarkably, our present systematic review further clarified risk behavioral differences among MSMW and MSMO in China, which have not yet been disclosed before.

The aggregated ORs among MSMW were 1.3 times more likely to be HIV-positive than MSMO, which is quite different in commercial sex than MSMO (18.3% versus 12.2%, OR = 1.56, 95% CI = 1.01–2.42). Yet a marginally significant higher proportion of MSMW have multiple male partners (57% versus 51.9%, OR = 1.19, 95% CI = 0.85–1.67, p = 0.090) and casual male partners (65.3% versus 61.3%, OR = 1.16, 95% CI = 0.85–1.58) in the past 6 months. Additionally, substance use was significantly more frequent among MSMW than MSMO (alcohol use in the past 6 months: 27.1% versus 13.1%, OR = 2.53, 95% CI = 1.48–2.95; illicit drug use in the past 6 months: 5.3% versus 2.5%, OR = 2.09, 95% CI = 1.48–2.95).
from a meta-analysis of American MSM suggesting that HIV prevalence among MSMW is somewhat lower than MSMO (OR = 0.41, 95% CI: 0.31–0.54) [57]. These divergences may be attributed to relatively lower rates of risky behavior of MSMW than MSMO in the United States, such as fewer UAI and URAI exposures. On the contrary, higher rates of commercial sex and substance use were found in Chinese MSMW in present systematic review.

The HIV prevalence among MSMW varies according to geographic differences: the extraordinarily high HIV prevalence of MSMW in the Southwest compared to other regions in China (Southwest China: 12.9%; Northeast China: 6.5%; North China: 5.1%; East China: 2.7%). Due to the fact that Southwest China includes several high HIV prevalence areas in China such as Guangxi and Yunnan [3, 58], MSMW in this region engaged in similar sexual risk behaviors may have higher HIV prevalence than in other regions of China. So targeted measures should be taken, which consider the risk profile of MSMW in high HIV prevalence areas in China in order to curb the spread of HIV.

Moreover, our findings further showed that Chinese MSMW have a high rate of commercial sex in the last 6 months. As MSMW feared exposing their homosexual orientation to their female spouse or partner, some may choose to buy sex from MBs [59]. On the other hand, MBs in China often sell sex to both males and females to increase their income [24]. MBs have become one of the emerging high risk subgroups of MSM communities in recent years [60–62], so higher rate of commercial sex in MSMW was associated with HIV transmission. The current systematic review also estimated that MSMW were 2.1 and 3.0 times more likely to engage in alcohol use than MSMO. Moreover, MSMW more likely use illicit drugs in this study. Such social environments may lead MSMW to hide their sexual orientation by unwillingly engaging in heterosexual relationships, given that they may seek out substance use (alcohol/illicit drugs) in an attempt to modulate pressure from reality [22]. As substance use may relax safer sex norms and increase unprotected anal sex, a higher substance use rate in MSMW may increase the risk of HIV transmission [63–65]. Our findings are consistent with other studies that MSMW prefer insertive anal sex role [28, 30, 31, 34, 57, 66–68], but we failed to find significant differences in condom use with male partners between MSMW and MSMO; hence, insertive anal sex role reducing the risk of HIV transmission may be offset by significant higher rate of commercial sex and using alcohol/illicit drugs.

The findings yielded in this study have some important implications for national HIV prevention and care planning and intervention development. The results suggest that MSMW have composed a significant special subproportion of the population of MSM who are both vulnerable to HIV infection from other higher risk MSM and also in high risk of bridging HIV epidemic to their female partners. However, there are currently no HIV prevention targeted interventions policies for MSMW in China. Given this challenge, we should develop specific prevention strategies targeting MSMW from the following three aspects. First, strategies should focus on increasing HIV/STIs screening programs for MSMW subpopulations to help them to learn their HIV infection status. We could promote and implement VCT or STIs clinic-based interventions to increase the frequency of HIV/STIs screening among MSMW through more frequent calling or sending of text message to remind them with their clinic visits [69]. Second, we should take measure of MSMW on preventing high risk commercial sex and substance use; meanwhile, we should enhance their consciousness of protecting themselves as well as their partners (both male and female). Third, given high risk of HIV infection among MSMW, MSMW’s family is also a potential source of HIV discordant couples in China [8, 70–72]. In recent years a number of international studies have shown that the early initiation of antiretroviral therapy (ART) could reduce rates of sexual transmission of HIV-1 and clinical events [73–75], in China; early ART initiation was also reported that may reduce HIV transmission in discordant couples [76]. Hence, early ART initiation for HIV-positive MSMW should also be a good candidate strategy to improve the quality of MSMW’s lives and decrease their HIV transmission risk in discordant couples. Additionally, it is essential to actively advocate for the respect and social equality of people with bisexual and homosexual orientations and reduce the social discrimination and family pressures for MSM.

This systematic review and meta-analysis has several important limitations. First, the paucity of existing research did not allow for subgroup analyses of HIV prevalence by race/ethnicity and other demographic differences both within MSMW and compared to MSMO. Second, though we attempted to be as inclusive as possible, our available database searches may have excluded relevant studies from this systematic review and meta-analysis. Third, the majority of included articles of this study recruited participants in urban locations, so rural representation was limited. Fourth, for lack of data from Northwest and other areas in China, it is difficult to reflect the comprehensive national HIV epidemic. Fifth, the results of this study suggest that a higher proportion of commercial sex and substance use among MSMW may be a potentially indicative factor for significantly higher HIV prevalence compared to MSMO, but whether commercial sex and/or substance use significantly moderated HIV prevalence differences between MSMW and MSMO should also be verified in future research.

More emphasis needs to be placed on Chinese MSMW in order to develop more targeted prevention measures for these potentially hidden MSM. Strategies targeting MSMW focused on increasing the frequency of HIV/STIs screening, preventing high risk commercial sex and substance use, encouraging safe sex practices, and actively carrying out early antiretroviral therapy for HIV-positive MSMW; meanwhile, actively advocating for the respect and social equality of people with bisexual and homosexual orientations and reducing the social discrimination and family pressures for MSM could help to slow the spread of HIV/AIDS.
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