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ABSTRACT

Objectives This study aims to understand the caregiver burden experienced by the primary caregivers of patients with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS), and to explore the factors influencing caregiver burden.

Design A cross-sectional survey design was used.

Setting This study was conducted with ALS inpatients and follow-up outpatients at the neurology department of a tertiary general hospital in Taiyuan, Shanxi, China and their caregivers.

Participants Patients with ALS and their caregivers (N=120 pairs) participated in a face-to-face interview.

Primary and secondary outcome measures Primary outcome measures included the Zarit Burden Interview scores and personal/role burden scores. There were no secondary outcomes.

Results Multiple linear and logistic regression analyses were performed to examine the factors influencing burden in ALS patient's caregivers. Multiple linear regression showed that caregivers with higher Anxiety Index (AI) experienced greater personal burden (β=0.089, p<0.001), role burden (β=0.065, p<0.001) and overall burden (β=0.200, p<0.001). Logistic regression analysis showed that AI (p=0.025; OR 1.351, 95% CI 1.038 to 1.759) and disease knowledge level (p=0.033; OR 0.305, 95% CI 0.107 to 0.593) are the influencing factors of ALS load classification.

Conclusions Higher AI scores were associated with greater caregiver burden. Caregiver burden of caregivers who had no knowledge of the patient's disease was 305 times that of those who had good knowledge. The level of disease knowledge and AI score can serve as key predictors of caregiver burden in ALS.

INTRODUCTION

Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) is an idiopathic and fatal neurodegenerative disease affecting the upper and lower motor neurons. It is a rapidly progressing disease, with no effective treatment so far; patients often die within 3–5 years of disease onset. Therefore, the treatment offered to patients with ALS is mainly palliative care, with a focus on symptom management, which aims to maximise the quality of life of patients and caregivers and minimise the burden of disease.

Most patients with ALS receive home care, and their caregivers are often their partners and children. Long-term care provision may affect caregivers' work performance and life, and they are likely to experience symptoms such as fatigue and sleep-related problems. An average of two caregivers are required by each patient with ALS, and the average time dedicated to care per day is 9.5 hours, most of which is spent on housework, and feeding and grooming the patient. As the disease progresses, worsening symptoms may induce increased caregiver stress, worry and burden, preventing them from enjoying their own leisure activities and taking care of their own needs. Furthermore, when the disease progresses to a more critical stage, patients' respiratory function is often impaired and mechanical ventilation is required, which has been shown to result in even heavier caregiver burden an average of 14.4 hours of care and 2.4 wakeups per day and night, respectively. Such burdens lead 30% of caregivers to believe that their own quality of life is inferior to that of the...
patient’s. Additionally, a Japanese study suggests that caregiver burden for ALS is higher than that for other chronic diseases, such as Parkinson’s and multiple system atrophy.

In addition to time and energy, caregivers have to witness the fatal disease and bear the increasingly heavy responsibilities. Moreover, they are riddled with endless worry and horror about the future resulting in psychological struggle. Caregivers have also been found to suffer from anxiety and depression, which are correlated with each other. The patient’s worsening condition may lead to the corresponding deterioration of caregiver’s mental health and the quality of life, and they are more likely to feel anxious and depressed due to the increasing care burden. Furthermore, some even need to take antidepressant drugs.

Therefore, it is important to study the caregiver burden of primary caregivers of patients with ALS and its influencing factors. Nevertheless, studies in China and abroad on this topic are limited, with most having used univariate analyses, and lacking in-depth analysis. This study investigated the caregiver burden and its influencing factors among primary caregivers of patients with ALS receiving home care in China, to generate evidence to effectively reduce the burden of caregivers and formulate scientific intervention measures.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Participants

Convenience sampling was used to recruit 124 pairs of patients with ALS and their primary caregivers. The patients were inpatients and follow-up outpatients at the neurology department of a tertiary general hospital in Taiyuan, Shanxi between January 2019 and May 2020.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria for patients with ALS

Inclusion criteria: patients with ALS must (1) meet the El Escorial World Federation of Neurology criteria for ALS revised in 1998 and (2) give informed consent to participate in the study. Exclusion criteria: patients with (1) serious mental illness and cognitive impairment or (2) comorbid severe organ failure, malignant tumours and other serious diseases.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria for caregivers for patients with ALS

Inclusion criteria: Caregivers must (1) be relatives of the patient (including spouse, parent, child, son or daughter-in-law, sibling, etc); (2) be responsible for primary caregiving duties during and after the patient’s hospitalisation; (3) have basic communication and reading skills and (4) provide informed consent to participate in the study. Exclusion criterion: (1) Individuals with severe mental illness and cognitive impairment who were unable to complete the questionnaire.

Instruments

General information questionnaire

A general information questionnaire was developed, including the patient’s general information (eg, gender, age, education level, marital status, healthcare payment methods, disease duration) and the caregiver’s general information (eg, gender, age, education level, marital status, relationship with patient, employment status, health status, economic status, place of residence, average daily time of care, years of care, level of disease knowledge).

ALS Functional Rating Scale-Revised

The ALS Functional Rating Scale-Revised (ALSFRS-R) was used to assess the functional level of patients with ALS through 12 items: speech clarity, salivation, swallowing, handwriting, handling utensils, dressing and hygiene, turning in bed and adjusting bedding, walking, climbing stairs, dyspnoea, orthopnoea and use of assisted mechanical ventilation. Each item is rated on a 5-point scale (0–4 points; total possible score=48 points). Lower scores indicate more severe functional impairment. Disease severity is categorised into mild (37–48 points), moderate (25–36 points) and severe (0–24 points). In Dan Geng’s study, Cronbach’s coefficient of the ALSFRS-R for the Chinese ALS population was 0.88.

Zarit Burden Interview

The Zarit Burden Interview (ZBI) was used to assess the level of burden experienced by caregivers of patients with ALS through five aspects of the caregiver: physical health, mental status, financial status, social life, and overall assessment. It comprises 22 items, divided into personal strain (12 items), role strain (6 items), independent items (3 items) and overall burden perceived by the caregiver (1 item), scored on a 5-point scale (‘Never’=0, ‘Rarely’=1, ‘Sometimes’=2, ‘Quite Frequently’=3, ‘Nearly Always’=4). Higher scores indicate heavier caregiver burden, which is classified as follows: little or no burden (0–20 points), mild to moderate burden (21–40 points), moderate to severe burden (41–60 points) and severe burden (61–88 points). The Chinese version of the ZBI to evaluate the care burden of the chief caregivers of patients with Alzheimer’s, disability and schizophrenia showed acceptable internal consistency, with Cronbach’s coefficient ranging from 0.87 to 0.903.

36-Item Short-Form Health Survey

The 36-Item Short-Form Health Survey (SF-36) was used to assess the quality of life of patients with ALS and their caregivers. The scale comprises 36 items; one item is related to the indicators of health transition in the past year, while the other 35 can be divided into eight dimensions: physical functioning, role limitations due to physical problems, bodily pain, general health, vitality (VT), social functioning, role limitations due to emotional problems and mental health. The eight dimensions are classified into two major categories: physical component summary
constituting physical problems, bodily pain and general health; and mental component summary constituting VT, social functioning, role limitations due to emotional problems and mental health. Each dimension is scored using its score calculation table; scores range from 0 (lowest quality of life) to 100 (highest quality of life). The scale is widely used in both domestic and international contexts. The Chinese version of the SF-36 questionnaire was used to measure the postsurgical quality of life of patients with arthritis and cervical spondylosis showed a Cronbach’s $\alpha$ coefficient of 0.76–0.93.\textsuperscript{21,22} 

**Self-rating Anxiety Scale**
The Self-rating Anxiety Scale (SAS)\textsuperscript{23} was used to measure the anxiety level of ALS patients’ caregivers. The scale comprises 20 items scored using a 4-point scale (‘Never or a little of the time’=1, ‘Some of the time’=2, ‘Good part of the time’=3, ‘Most or all of the time’=4). The total score ranges from 0 to 80; higher scores indicate more severe anxiety. The total scores were converted into an Anxiety Index (AI) (<50=no anxiety, 50–59=mild anxiety, 60–69=moderate anxiety and 70=severe anxiety). The SAS for assessing anxiety in preschool children’s caregivers has demonstrated good internal consistency, with a Cronbach’s $\alpha$ coefficient of 0.890.\textsuperscript{24} 

**Self-Rating Depression Scale**
The Self-Rating Depression Scale (SDS)\textsuperscript{25} was used to measure the depression level of ALS patients’ caregivers. It comprises 20 items scored using a 4-point scale based on the same method as the SAS. Higher scores indicate more severe depression (<30=no depression, 30–42=mild depression, 43–52=moderate depression and ≥53=severe depression). The SDS for assessing depression in preschool children’s caregivers has demonstrated good reliability, with a Cronbach’s $\alpha$ coefficient of 0.919.\textsuperscript{24} 

**Procedure**
Two investigators with standardised training conducted face-to-face surveys with patients with ALS and their primary caregivers. The survey included the general information questionnaire, ALSFRS-R, ZBI, SF-36, SAS and SDS. A set of valid questionnaires comprised fully filled questionnaires by both the patient and their caregiver. Out of the 124 questionnaires distributed, 120 valid questionnaires were returned (response rate: 96.77%). In the course of the investigation, we obtained written informed consent from all the persons under investigation.

**Statistical analyses**
Data processing and analysis were performed using SPSS V.22.0 (IBM) for Windows. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was performed to confirm the normality of measurement data. Normally distributed data were described using mean±SD; between-group differences were tested using t-test or analysis of variance. Count data were described using frequency (composition ratio); between-group differences were tested using $\chi^2$ test. Multiple linear regression analysis was performed to examine the factors influencing the different subscale scores and the total score of the caregivers’ self-perceived burden. Ordinal logistic regression was performed on the factors influencing the classification of self-perceived burden. The significance level was set at two tailed. A $p<0.05$ indicated statistical significance.

Family members of patients with ALS were recruited as participants. All participants signed written informed consent forms and volunteered to participate in the study. The study data were collected via a face-to-face questionnaire survey conducted between the researcher and the study participants.

**RESULTS**

**Patient and public involvement**
Patients or the public were not involved in the design, conducting, reporting, or dissemination plans of our research.

**General information of patients with ALS and primary caregivers**
A total of 120 patients and their caregivers were enrolled in this study. Among caregivers, the male to female ratio was 1:1.4; the mean age was 50.23±8.45 years; most were married (83.3%, 100/120); 80 (66.7%) caregivers were the patients’ spouses; more than 95% had average to good health; most caregivers (91.7%, 110/120) did not employ care workers or nannies; most had a monthly income less than RMB3000/month; 62.5% (75/120) lived in urban areas, the average care time was 4–8 hours per day; the distribution of the years of care provided was relatively dispersed; and most had at least some knowledge of the patient’s disease. Among patients, the male to female ratio was 1:1, their average age was 52.21±5.58 years, their education level was mostly primary to junior middle school, 87.5% (105/120) were married, 62.5% (75/120) had medical insurance, and the average disease duration was 2.5 years (range: 1–3 years).

**Analysis of factors influencing the caregiver burden score of caregivers of patients with ALS**

**Univariate analysis**
Univariate analyses were performed using the caregivers’ total ZBI score and their personal/role burden scores as the dependent variables, and the general information, SF-36, SAS, SDS and ALSFRS-R scores of the patients with ALS and their caregivers as the independent variables (table 1, only meaningful results were included).

The results indicate that: (1) for personal burden, the burden on general health status disease knowledge level is greater. Additionally, the burden is heavier when: the anxiety classification is higher, the function level classification is severe, the AI/disease severity score is higher and the VT score is lower. Moreover, all of them reported significant statistical differences ($p<0.05$); (2) for role burden, male caregiver reported a higher burden, and the burden on general health status and
Table 1  Univariate analyses of the factors influencing the caregiver burden score of caregivers of patients with ALS (n=120)

| Variable                        | Group (n/%)                  | Personal burden | Role burden | ZBI (total score) |
|---------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|-------------|-------------------|
|                                 |                              | \(\bar{x} \pm s\) | T/P         | \(\bar{x} \pm s\) | T/P         | \(\bar{x} \pm s\) | T/P         |
| Caregiver's gender              | Male (50/41.7)               | 38.20±6.09      | 2.493/0.129 | 19.00±6.32       | 4.464/0.046 | 71.20±14.57 | 4.181/0.053 |
|                                 | Female (70/58.3)             | 33.57±7.69      |             | 14.21±4.79       |             | 58.21±15.85 |             |
| Health status                   | Good (60/50)                 | 32.92±5.96      | 4.134/0.031 | 13.33±4.91       | 6.728/0.006 | 55.92±12.89 | 6.265/0.007 |
|                                 | Average (55/45.8)            | 39.27±7.00      |             | 20.00±4.67       |             | 73.91±14.24 |             |
|                                 | Poor (5/4.2)                 | 25.00±3.78      |             | 9.00±4.24        |             | 43.00±13.18 |             |
| Presence of care workers or nannies | Yes (10/8.3)               | 27.50±0.71      | 2.823/0.107 | 8.50±3.54        | 4.306/0.050 | 41.50±4.95  | 4.615/0.043 |
|                                 | No (110/91.7)                | 36.23±7.20      |             | 16.91±5.56       |             | 65.64±15.53 |             |
| Level of disease knowledge      | No knowledge (10/8.3)        | 27.50±3.54      | 5.246/0.014 | 12.00±4.24       | 2.593/0.098 | 50.50±10.61 | 3.968/0.035 |
|                                 | Some knowledge (55/45.8)     | 39.73±7.07      |             | 18.91±5.56       |             | 72.55±15.83 |             |
|                                 | Good knowledge (55/45.8)     | 32.73±5.53      |             | 14.27±5.55       |             | 57.09±13.60 |             |
| Anxiety classification           | No anxiety (65/54.2)         | 31.38±5.81      | 5.618/0.006 | 12.46±3.95       | 10.490/0.001 | 53.00±11.28 | 10.397/0.001 |
|                                 | Mild anxiety (30/25.0)       | 38.67±2.94      |             | 19.00±3.41       |             | 71.33±7.31  |             |
|                                 | Moderate anxiety (15/12.5)   | 40.00±1.00      |             | 20.00±5.00       |             | 76.33±16.26 |             |
|                                 | Severe anxiety (10/8.3)      | 46.00±4.24      |             | 26.50±3.54       |             | 90.50±9.19  |             |
| Depression classification        | No depression (105/87.5)     | 35.05±7.65      | 0.634/0.435 | 16.24±6.19       | 0.004/0.949 | 63.00±17.19 | 0.237/0.631 |
|                                 | Mild depression (15/12.5)    | 38.67±3.51      |             | 16.00±3.61       |             | 68.00±9.54  |             |
| Functional level classification  | Severe (55/45.8)             | 31.55±5.99      | 7.282/0.003 | 12.36±4.30       | 8.290/0.002 | 53.18±11.72 | 10.001/0.001 |
|                                 | Moderate (25/20.8)           | 33.80±5.93      |             | 17.00±4.64       |             | 62.60±13.79 |             |
|                                 | Mild (40/33.3)               | 42.00±5.40      |             | 21.00±4.96       |             | 78.63±12.07 |             |
| AI score                        |                              | 0.734           | <0.001      | 0.789            | <0.001      | 0.817        | <0.001      |
| Depression Index Score          |                              | 0.108           | 0.617       | −0.077           | 0.722       | 0.046        | 0.831        |
| VT (caregiver)                  |                              | −0.451          | 0.027       | −0.531           | 0.008       | −0.568       | 0.004        |
| RE (caregiver)                  |                              | −0.288          | 0.172       | −0.411           | 0.046       | −0.406       | 0.049        |
| MH (caregiver)                  |                              | −0.361          | 0.083       | −0.476           | 0.019       | −0.485       | 0.016        |
| MCS (caregiver)                 |                              | −0.373          | 0.072       | −0.496           | 0.014       | −0.510       | 0.011        |
| Disease Severity Score          |                              | 0.588           | 0.003       | 0.603            | 0.002       | 0.629        | 0.001        |

AI, Anxiety Index; ALS, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis; MCS, mental component summary; MH, mental health; RE, role limitations due to emotional problems; VT, vitality; ZBI, Zarit burden interview.
disease knowledge level is greater, and the presence of care workers or nannies is less of a burden. Furthermore, the burden is heavier when: the anxiety classification is higher, the function level classification is severe, the AI/disease severity score is higher, and the VT/RE/MH/MCS scores are lower. Moreover, all of them reported significant statistical differences (p<0.05); (3) for the total burden score, the burden on general health status and disease knowledge level is greater and the presence of care workers or nannies is less of a burden. Additionally, the burden is heavier when: the anxiety classification is higher, the function-level classification is severe, the AI/disease severity score is higher, and the VT/RE/MH/MCS scores are lower. Moreover, all of them reported significant statistical differences (p<0.05).

**Multiple linear regression analysis**

Multiple linear regression analysis was performed using the total ZBI score and subscale scores as the dependent variables, and statistically significant variables in the univariate analyses as the independent variables (table 2). After adjusting for confounding factors, the AI score was found to be an influencing factor of personal burden ($R^2=0.518$), role burden ($R^2=0.605$), and total burden score ($R^2=0.755$).

**Analysis of factors influencing the caregiver burden classification of caregivers of patients with ALS**

**Univariate analyses**

Univariate analyses were performed using the caregivers’ ZBI burden classification as the dependent variable, and the general information, SF-36, SAS, SDS and ALSFRS-R scores of the patients with ALS and their caregivers as the independent variables (table 3, only meaningful results are included).

The proportion of severe burden for high knowledge level versus general knowledge level versus no relevant knowledge was 0.0% vs 90.9% vs 36.4%, respectively, and the difference was statistically significant ($\chi^2=12.577$, p<0.05). VI and disease severity scores reported that severe disease burden was higher than medium disease burden, which was higher than light disease burden, and the difference was statistically significant ($T=8.352/5.305$, p<0.05). Similarly, the VT score of severe disease burden was higher than moderate disease burden, which was higher than mild disease burden, and the difference was statistically significant ($T=4.198$, p<0.05).

**Ordinal logistic regression analysis**

Ordinal logistic regression analysis was performed using the ZBI burden classification as the dependent variable,
and statistically significant variables in the univariate analyses and variables reported in the literature as the independent variables (table 4). After adjusting for confounding factors, AI score and level of disease knowledge were found to be influencing factors of ALS burden classification (p<0.05). More specifically, higher AI scores resulted in greater caregiver burden (OR=1.351, 95% CI: 1.038 to 1.759); the caregiver burden of those who had no knowledge of the patient’s disease was 0.305 (95% CI 0.107 to 0.593) times that of those who had good knowledge.

**DISCUSSION**

**Analysis of caregiver burden among caregivers of patients with ALS**

Caregiver burden refers to the feelings of loss, loneliness and other emotional changes perceived by caregivers due to the provision of home care, which comes at a physical, mental, emotional, social and economic cost to caregivers. It emphasises the negative outcomes resulting from the home care process. The findings show that the total caregiver burden score was 63.6±16.36 points, which is classified as moderate to severe burden, and is higher than that reported in the USA, Italy and India. There may be several reasons for this finding. First, in this study, 66.67% (80/120) of patients with ALS experienced moderate to severe impairment of physical function, only one-third of the patients could partially or completely perform self-care in daily life, and most patients required partial or full assistance from their caregivers. Therefore, the burden experienced by caregivers was moderate to severe, which was relatively high. Second, ALS undergoes rapid progression in the later stage, with the majority of patients dying within 3–5 years of onset, and generally presenting a short disease duration. In this study, the disease duration of patients with ALS was 1–3 years. During the rapid progression of this disease, patients with ALS gradually lose physical functioning and their care needs increase sharply, implying that caregivers need to spend more time and effort in caring for the patient. Finally, the inpatient treatment of patients with ALS is mainly carried out in tertiary general hospitals in China, the aim of which is to resolve the periodic presentation of problems such as dysphagia and respiratory dysfunction, thus causing relatively long hospital stays. This is inconsistent with the average length of hospital stay based on the performance appraisal indicators of tertiary public hospitals in China. Therefore, patients with ALS receive home care most of the time. Furthermore, standards of community rehabilitation in China are relatively poor, and its ability to provide treatment and care for critically ill patients is limited. In fact, most areas in China have yet to include ALS community treatment within the scope of medical insurance reimbursement. The medical insurance system in developed countries is more comprehensive, compared with which, patients with ALS and their caregivers in China may have greater economic burden. In addition, evidence-based, multidisciplinary palliative care models for patients with ALS have gradually been established in other countries, and the more comprehensive social support may have reduced caregiver burden to some extent.

**Analysis of factors influencing the caregiver burden of caregivers for patients with ALS**

**Level of disease knowledge**

Caregiver’s knowledge of the disease was an influencing factor positively associated with caregiver burden. More specifically, the more knowledge the caregiver had of ALS, the heavier their caregiver burden. ALS is a fatal neurodegenerative disease. Patients tend to have a relatively late age of onset, generally around 60.7–64.3 years, and the time to diagnosis is 10.8–16.9 months. Its clinical presentation includes progressive muscle atrophy, weakness and cramps, eventually leading to respiratory failure. More than 60% of patients die within 3 years of disease onset. In recent years, ALS has been known by the non-medical media in China as ‘gradual freezing syndrome,’ and has received growing attention. People are no longer limited to traditional hospitals and medical institutions for their health inquiry activities; caregivers of patients with ALS can easily access disease knowledge and health information through the Internet and smartphones, at every stage of the disease, from its onset and diagnosis to its progression. This allows them to have a clearer understanding about the rapid progression, physical paralysis, future care needs and eventual pain of losing their loved ones involved in ALS, increasing their caregiver burden.
Caregivers’ state of psychological anxiety

State of psychological anxiety was a factor that influenced the burden of caregivers. More specifically, caregivers with greater anxiety experienced heavier caregiver burden, while those experiencing greater caregiver burden were more prone to anxiety. This finding is consistent with the results of several studies in other countries. ALS is a rapidly progressing disease with poor prognosis, in which patients often present with symptoms such as loss of speech and dysphagia in the later stages, eventually losing their self-care abilities and requiring caregivers to provide comprehensive care. In the later stages of ALS, some patients may display aggressive and obsessive-compulsive symptoms, and the caregiver burden resulting from cognitive and behavioural changes may be even greater than that caused by physical disability. Therefore, in the process of long-term home care, caregivers are faced with the difficulty of accepting the deterioration of their loved ones, while they may even need to give up their normal life and work, thus leading to changes in their family life and roles. This may have a serious impact on the mental health of caregivers, further exacerbating their caregiver burden.

Severity of the disease

International studies have shown that the severity of the disease in patients with ALS affects the degree of caregiver burden, which is consistent with the univariate analysis results of this study. Most of the patients with ALS in this study were middle aged; 87.5% of them were married and bore a heavy financial burden and responsibilities. As the illness worsens, patients become more dependent on their caregivers; this in turn aggravates caregivers’ negative emotions. Psychological disorders, such as anxiety and depression, in patients are strongly correlated with caregivers’ anxiety and depression severity. The increasing negative emotions in patients increase the severity of family members’ anxiety and caregivers’ burden. As the disease continues to worsen, the psychological and care burden on caregivers should also be given more attention, to strengthen follow-up care services, and opportunities for communication should be created.

Social support

As a source of strength outside the family, social support can alleviate caregivers’ pressure and help them regulate their emotions. The univariate analysis showed that in families with care workers or nannies, the burden of care is relatively low. However, in our study, the financial status of the family does not affect the burden of care, since most families in our sample reported a low monthly income level of less than RMB3000. Social support for families with patients with ALS is often reflected in how the medical bills are paid. Advances in medical care and treatment have brought about prolonged survival of patients; at the same time, higher medical expenses have also increased the pressure on families. Caregivers have to invest considerable time and energy into caregiving and bear not only caregiving-related pressure but also the accumulating financial burden. In China, the monthly cost of riluzole treatment for ALS is more than US$700, unaffordable for Chinese people in view of their average income. Yet, this cost has not been covered by medical insurance. Therefore, society and communities should provide policy-related support for patients and their families as much as possible and reduce their financial burden through various measures, such as medical insurance, commercial insurance and community medical services, to improve patients’ and their families’ quality of life.

Limitations

This study has some limitations. First, the sample size is limited as all participants were recruited from a hospital in Shanxi province, China. Future studies should use larger sample sizes for more in-depth analysis. Cases from the more economically developed regions of central and eastern China and the less economically developed regions of western China could be investigated in further research. Second, as the measurement scales used were based on self-ratings, the subjective emotional interference of the participants may have led to recall bias. However, to minimise bias, the investigators were rigorously trained, the participants were given a detailed explanation of the survey purpose before filling out the questionnaires, and the questionnaires were completed in a quiet environment.

CONCLUSION

The caregivers of patients with ALS experienced moderate to severe levels of caregiver burden. Caregivers with more disease knowledge and greater psychological anxiety experienced greater caregiver burden. Thus, the level of disease knowledge and caregiver’s state of psychological anxiety can serve as key predictors of caregiver burden in ALS.

These findings point to several measures that can help ease caregiver burden. Nurses should extend their clinical nursing practice from the hospital to home care. Additionally, group networks should be established for caregivers of patients with ALS with severe symptoms in the later stages, guide caregivers to actively participate in the patient’s symptom management, help analyse the patients’ situation, and clarify the focal points of home care, thereby reducing the physical and mental burden experienced by caregivers. In terms of policy, there is an urgent need for a robust health insurance system and a comprehensive social support system. On the one hand, regional ALS centres can be established, staffed by teams comprising neurologists, physiotherapists, occupational therapists, speech pathologists, respiratory therapists, nutritionists, psychologists, assistive device experts, nurses and social workers. These teams can perform the comprehensive evaluation and timely observation of the patient’s...
condition and help caregivers to jointly formulate and complete the patient's treatment plan, thus providing caregivers with a certain level of care support. On the other hand, ALS should be included within the scope of medical insurance reimbursement, which can partially alleviate the financial pressure on caregivers and protect their physical and mental health. Introducing interventional support at different levels can reduce the caregiver and economic burden of caregivers of patients with ALS, thereby promoting the physical and mental health of caregivers.
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