Characteristics of leaching agents for heavy metal extraction and safe utilisation of pig farm biogas residues
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Abstract. The continuous development of biogas engineering in recent years has been accompanied by an increasing number of biogas-residue types in the environment. The existence of various heavy metal elements in biogas residues, particularly excessive amounts of zinc and copper in pig farm biogas residues, hinders their safe utilisation. Several studies have focused on an effective composition for biogas residues or on their effects on soil and plants. However, few investigations regarding the removal of harmful substances from biogas residues have been conducted. The characteristics of heavy metals leached from pig farm biogas residues using eight types of leaching agents were analysed in this study. It is expected that, based on this study, different uses for biogas residues can be developed under the premise of security. The results showed that the most suitable extractant of heavy metals from pig farm biogas residues was EDTA-2Na. The leaching rates increased over time. The leaching rates of copper and zinc after 2 h were 21.91% and 48.66%, respectively. After being leached for 2 h by EDTA-2Na, the concentration of zinc met the requirements for moderate alkaline soil, according to the Control Limits against Harmful Substances in Composts for Agricultural Use (DB44/T 361-2006) standard.

1 Introduction

The existence of heavy metals in anaerobic fermentation residues has been widely discussed, becoming a public fact domestically and abroad [1, 2]. During the evaluation of potential risks derived from heavy metals in biogas slurry irrigation, Liu [3] observed that when additives were used in the pig feed, the heavy metal contents were higher than in the cattle slurry. Elements, such as copper, zinc, chromium, cadmium, lead, arsenic, nickel, manganese, and selenium were investigated by Ma [4], who observed that there was a certain risk without any effective treatment.

Biogas residues contain a lot of useful elements such as nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, but the simultaneous existence of potentially harmful substances hinders its rapid and large effective use [5]. Neng-min Zhu [6] suggested that high heavy metal content in biogas residues will inevitably have negative effects on the soil and might lead to a fertiliser with a lower nutrient level. Therefore, the agro-ecological effects of various heavy metals should be evaluated. Copper and zinc should be carefully considered since their total contents were beyond the permissible values. Most studies focus on the effective composition of biogas residues or the effects of fertilisation on soils and plants [7-11]. However, there are only few studies on the removal of the cited harmful substances.

Leaching is the process of extracting soluble active ingredients from raw materials using suitable solvents and methods [12]. It is often carried out in batch tanks or by dispersing crushed solids in a liquid [13]. Extraction of heavy metals from soils has long been reported. There is an extensive range of extraction agents. Commonly used agents include: weak base solution, weak acid solution (arsenic extraction), buffer solution, neutral salt solution, and chelating agent. Their effects vary according to the soil characteristics. For example, different soil pH can lead to different extraction results.

Li and Xu [14] used hydrochloric acid, ethylene diamine tetraacetic acid (EDTA), and diethylene triamine pentaacetic acid (DTPA) as extractant agents. The results showed that the release rate of available copper significantly increased after adding the leaching agents, and the activation effect was achieved after adding the leaching agent for more than 2 h. The amount of available cadmium and zinc in the soil samples increased with the addition of the leaching agent, even when the activation was not evident. In a short period, the release of lead in the soil samples was significantly higher than that in the control sample, which indicated that the extract had a clear and rapid activation effect on lead.

To some extent, biogas residues are similar to sediments. The bioavailability of heavy metals in sediments is reduced after desorption [15]. There are not as many studies on the extraction of biogas residues, but it is worth to analyse them as well. In this paper, we selected eight extractants, including distilled water, to be applied to the residue. The extractants were selected based on experience of other researchers and the characteristics of biogas residue. The next look for a
variety of biogas residue utilisation of the way out, being aimed at seeking different roads to use the biogas residues under the premise of security.

2 Subjects and methods

2.1 Test materials and reagents

The biogas residue used in this experiment was collected from the Fumin Farm, in Chongming County, Shanghai. Pig manure was used as the raw material for fermentation. Some of the contents from the fresh biogas residue are as follows (Table 1).

Seven extractants were selected, and deionised water was used for the experiments. All the reagents were purchased from Sinopharm Group Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. The configurations of the leaching agents are shown in Table 2.

Configuration method weigh the reagent; add the right amount of deionised water; after fully dissolved, transfer to a 1000 ml volumetric flask; shake the sample; store in reagent bottles; stand-by.

| Element | As     | Cd    | Cr    | Cu    | Mn    | Ni    | TP       | Pb       | Zn     |
|---------|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|----------|----------|--------|
| Total amount (dry weight) | 7.38   | Undetected | 8.57  | 776.87 | 557.13 | 5.10  | 28452.42 | 2.05     | 3493.84 |

Table 1. Content of heavy metals in biogas residues from experiments (mg/kg)

| Name                | Target level (mol/L) | Amount of reagent (reagent name)(g) | Constant volume(ml) |
|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|
| Citric acid         | 0.1                  | 21.014 (monohydrate citric acid)    | 1000                |
| Oxalic acid         | 0.1                  | 12.607 (sodium oxalate dihydrate)   | 1000                |
| Tartaric acid       | 0.1                  | 15.009 (l--tartaric acid)           | 1000                |
| EDTA-2Na            | 0.05                 | 18.612 (ethylene diamine tetraacetic acid) | 1000            |
| Ammonium chloride   | 0.1                  | 5.349 (ammonium chloride)           | 1000                |
| Sodium thiosulfate  | 0.1                  | 24.818 (sodium thiosulfate pentahydrate) | 1000            |
| Trisodium citrate dihydrate | 0.1 | 29.410 (trisodium citrate dihydrate) | 1000 |

Table 2. Selection and preparation of extractants

2.2 Extraction method

Twenty grams of fresh biogas residue (including water) were placed in a 250 ml plastic bottle. Then, 100 ml of the extractant was added to the sample, which was shaken at 180 r/min on a constant shaker, at 20 °C. At intervals of 15 min, 30 min, 45 min, and 60 min, 12–13 ml were sampled, then centrifuged at 5000 r/min for 3 min. After that, the sample was filtered through a 0.45 μm water-based membrane filter.

2.3 Determination method

Arsenic, cadmium, chromium, copper, nickel, lead, and zinc were determined by ICP-AES. The Agilent 720ES instrument was used with the following parameters: 15.0 L/min plasma flow; 0.75 L/min nebuliser flow; 1.5 L/min cooling gas flow; 1.2 KW radio frequency power; 15 r/min pump speed; 10 s flush time; 20 s delay time; 15 s read time; 45 s wash time; three replicates (each sample was read four times). Analyses were performed at 188.98 nm, 214.43 nm, 267.72 nm, 327.35 nm, 231.60 nm, 220.35 nm, and 213.88 nm, for arsenic, cadmium, chromium, copper, nickel, lead, and zinc, respectively.

2.4 Data analysis method

The heavy metal concentrations in the biogas residues were compared with the standards for environmental quality of soil, organic fertilisers, and anaerobic digested fertilisers. The goal is to not exceed the soil environmental quality standards.

For that, the contents of heavy metals before and after leaching were analysed. The feasibility of safe utilisation of the biogas residue will be discussed. IBM SPSS Statistics 22.0 was applied to analyse the results. The limits of heavy metal concentration from three standards are shown in Table 3.

3 Results and analysis

3.1 Comparative analysis before treatment

From Table 4, it can be observed that the maximum values of arsenic, cadmium, chromium, and lead were not exceeded before treatment. In contrast, the contents of copper and zinc exceeded the maximum limit for the environmental quality standard for soils. Therefore, a long-term, unplanned, or unlimited fixed-point return can lead to a risk of excessive copper and zinc in the soil.
Table 3. Limits of heavy metal according to three standards (mg/kg)

| Reference Standard | As ≤ | Cd ≤ | Cr ≤ | Cu ≤ | Ni ≤ | Pb ≤ | Zn ≤ |
|--------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Level | Soil pH | Paddy field | Dryland | Paddy field | Dryland | Farm lan d etc. | Orchard |
| Environmental quality standard for soils [16] | | | | | | | |
| I | Natural background | 15 | 15 | 0.20 | 90 | 90 | 35 | - | 40 | 35 | 100 |
| | < 6.5 | 30 | 40 | 0.30 | 250 | 150 | 50 | 150 | 40 | 250 | 200 |
| II | 6.5–7.5 | 25 | 30 | 0.30 | 300 | 200 | 100 | 200 | 50 | 300 | 250 |
| | > 7.5 | 20 | 25 | 0.60 | 350 | 250 | 100 | 200 | 60 | 350 | 300 |
| III | > 6.5 | 30 | 40 | 1.0 | 400 | 300 | 400 | 400 | 200 | 500 | 500 |
| Organic fertiliser [17] | 15 | 3 | 150 | - | - | 50 | - |
| Anaerobic digested fertiliser [18] | 15 | 3 | 150 | - | - | 50 | - |

Note: I : Soil limits for the protection of regional natural ecology, to maintain the natural background; II : Soil limits for the protection of agricultural production, to maintain human health; III : Soil limits to ensure agricultural and forestry production and normal plant growth.

Table 4. Limits of heavy metal according to three standards (mg/kg)

| Name | Target level (mol/L) | Amount of reagent (reagent name)(g) | Constant volume (ml) |
|------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|
| Citric acid | 0.1 | 21.014 (monohydrate citric acid) | 1000 |
| Oxalic acid | 0.1 | 12.607 (sodium oxalate dihydrate) | 1000 |
| Tartaric acid | 0.1 | 15.009 (l-tartaric acid) | 1000 |
| EDTA-2Na | 0.05 | 18.612 (ethylene diamine tetraacetic acid) | 1000 |
| Ammonium chloride | 0.1 | 5.349 (ammonium chloride) | 1000 |
| Sodium thiosulfate | 0.1 | 24.818 (sodium thiosulfate pentahydrate) | 1000 |
| Trisodium citrate dihydrate | 0.1 | 29.410 (trisodium citrate dihydrate) | 1000 |

3.2 Results and statistical analysis of extraction

3.2.1 Concentration of extracted elements

Concentrations of nickel, cadmium, and lead were not detected. The extraction results of arsenic, chromium, zinc, and copper are shown in Fig.1.

Fig. 1. Histogram of extract concentration

Arsenic concentrations after leaching by citric acid, oxalic acid, tartaric acid, and sodium thiosulfate were below the detection limit. Arsenic leaching by trisodium citrate increased with time. Arsenic leaching by EDTA-2Na occurred at 30 min, by ammonium chloride at 120 min, and by distilled water at 60 min.

Cadmium leaching by EDTA-2Na increased with longer times. Cadmium leaching by citric acid occurred at 60 min, and by ammonium chloride at 30 min.

From the zinc extraction results, EDTA-2Na presents considerable advantages compared with other extracts. Apart from oxalic acid, extraction increased with longer extraction time. Because oxalic acid is easily decomposed, it led to leaching instability.

From the copper extraction results, EDTA-2Na also showed good results compared with other extractants. To extract copper from biogas residue, salts are more effective than acids.

3.2.2 Statistical analysis of extract concentration

Table 5 demonstrates the statistical analysis of the effects of different extractants on heavy metals at different times. It shows the extractant leaching range (maximum and minimum) and the discretisation of extract concentration (standard deviation).

Since the contents of nickel and lead were very low, and cadmium was not detected, nickel, lead, and cadmium were considered "undetected" after the leaching process.
3.3 Results and statistical analysis of extraction rate

3.3.1 Results of extraction rate

The arsenic extraction rate by EDTA-2Na was 19.63% at 30 min. EDTA-2Na also showed a good balance between chromium (106.11%), zinc (48.24%), and copper (21.91%) leaching at 120 min. The extraction rate increased with extraction time. The leaching of arsenic by trisodium citrate also showed an increase trend with time. The extraction rate was 24.16% at 120 min, but there was not a good removal efficiency for other metals. Distilled water was slightly more effective for copper extraction than EDTA-2Na, but there was no competitive advantages for the removal of other metal elements.

As shown in Fig. 2, the leaching of zinc increased with time for all leaching agents except oxalic acid. It reached a maximum extraction of 48.24%. Oxalic acid fluctuations were relatively large. The removal rates by distilled water, trisodium citrate, sodium thiosulfate, and ammonium chloride at 120 min were 33.20%, 31.23%, 28.41%, and 28.55%, respectively. Before 60 min, the effect of trisodium citrate is slightly superior than that of sodium thiosulfate and ammonium chloride. The leaching rate of zinc by EDTA-2Na increased steadily with time, with a maximum of 48.66% at 120 min.

3.3.2 Statistical analysis of extraction rate

In general, the extraction rates vary significantly. The maximum amount of arsenic leaching was 24.16%. EDTA-2Na at 120 min achieved total chromium leaching. The maximum extraction of zinc and copper was 48.24% and 22.45%, respectively. The discretisation of the leaching rates is chromium > zinc > copper > arsenic = nickel = cadmium = lead.

### Table 5. Analysis of the results of heavy metal extraction by eight extractants

| Elements Parameter | As | Cr | Zn | Cu | Ni | Cd | Pb |
|--------------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| Average            | 0.0080 | 0.0647 | 35.095 | 3.427 | Undetected | Undetected | Undetected |
| SD                 | 0.0209 | 0.1793 | 15.499 | 2.782 | - | - | - |
| Variance           | 0.0004 | 0.0321 | 240.204 | 7.741 | - | - | - |
| Min                | Undetected | Undetected | 3.607 | Undetected | Undetected | Undetected | Undetected |
| Max                | 0.0785 | 0.8665 | 74.267 | 7.674 | Undetected | Undetected | Undetected |

The results showed that all eight leaching agents had different degrees of zinc leaching. The maximum leaching concentration was 74.27 mg/L by EDTA-2Na at 120 min, and the minimum was 3.61 mg/L by oxalic acid at 30 min. The maximum leaching concentration of copper was 7.67 mg/L by distilled water at 120 min, of arsenic it was 0.079 mg/L by trisodium citrate at 120 min. The discretisation of the extracts concentrations by all leaching agents was zinc > copper > chromium > arsenic = nickel = cadmium = lead.

3.4 Comparative analysis of concentrations of heavy metals in biogas residue after EDTA-2Na treatment

In this section, the concentrations of heavy metals in the biogas residue after EDTA-2Na extraction for 2 h are analysed and compared with the appropriate standards. The dry weight of heavy metals in the biogas residue after EDTA-2Na treatment is shown in Table 6.

3.4.1 Analysis and comparison with soil environmental quality standards

As observed, arsenic, cadmium, chromium, copper, and lead did not exceed the environmental quality standards for soils of class I, organic fertilisers, and biogas. Figure 3 shows the excess of copper and zinc compared to multiple soil environmental quality standards before and after treatment.

After treatment with EDTA-2Na, the concentration of zinc in the biogas residue that exceeded the environmental quality standard for soil of class I decreased from 34.94 times to 18.08 times. For soil of class III, it reduced from 6.99 times to 3.62 times. The excess of copper also declined.

If we consider that the biogas residue disposal is done through return to the farmland, and that they do not affect soil safety, the leaching treatment can increase the amount of biogas residue. The corresponding dilution factor can be reduced, and the maximum loading capacity of biogas residue can be increased.
Table 6. Analysis of extraction by eight extractants

| Parameter | As | Cr | Zn | Cu | Ni | Cd | Pb |
|-----------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| Average   | 2.46 | 11.80 | 22.80 | 10.03 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SD        | 6.42 | 27.00 | 10.07 | 8.14 | - | - | - |
| Variance  | 41.21 | 729.15 | 101.35 | 66.25 | - | - | - |
| Min       | 0 | 0 | 2.34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Max       | 24.16 | 106.11 | 48.24 | 22.45 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 6. Analysis of extraction by eight extractants

3.4.2 Analysis and comparison with the Guideline for Safety Application of Zinc Fertiliser and the Control Limits against Harmful Substances in Composts for Agricultural Use

The EDTA-2Na-treated biogas residues meet the requirements of allowable contents in agricultural chemical compost for neutral and alkaline soils (Table 7). Therefore, it is theoretically proved that the biogas residue compost is feasible for neutral and alkaline soils.

Table 7. Amount of heavy metals in the biogas residue after EDTA-2Na treatment (dry weight) (mg/kg)

| Elements | As | Cd | Cr | Zn |
|----------|----|----|----|----|
| Weight   | 7.38 | Not detected | Not detected | 606.66 |
| Cu       | 5.10 | 2.05 | 1808.39 |

Table 8 shows the limits for heavy metal content in manure from livestock and poultry [21] (dry fecal content) (mg/kg).

Table 8. Maximum allowable levels of chemical contaminants for agricultural composting [19] (g/kg)

| Project | For acid soil (pH<6.5) | For neutral and alkaline soils (pH≥6.5) |
|---------|------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| Total arsenic≤ | 75 | 75 |
| Total cadmium≤ | 5 | 20 |
| Total chromium≤ | 600 | 1000 |
| Total copper≤ | 500 | 1000 |
| Total nickel≤ | 100 | 200 |
| Total lead≤ | 200 | 500 |
| Total zinc≤ | 1000 | 2000 |

Zinc fertilisers should be applied to medium and below soils, particularly for soils with high pH and low sand or organic matter content. The amount of zinc applied to crop soils is determined by the soil capacity to supply zinc. Table 9 shows the recommended zinc dosage according to the standards.

Table 9. Limits of heavy metal content in manure made from livestock and poultry [21] (dry fecal content) (mg/kg)

| Project | Soil pH | Field crop | Rice | Fruit trees | Vegetables |
|---------|---------|------------|------|-------------|------------|
| Arsenic | <6.5    | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 |
|         | 6.5~7.5 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 |
|         | >7.5    | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| Copper  |         | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
|         |         | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 |
|         |         | 400 | 400 | 400 | 400 |
|         |         | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 |
| Zinc    |         | 2000 | 2000 | 2000 | 2000 |
|         |         | 900 | 900 | 900 | 900 |
|         |         | 1200 | 1200 | 1200 | 1200 |
|         |         | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 |

The amounts of zinc in the residue were 3493.84 mg/kg (dry) and 769.75 mg/kg (moist). The target amounts of zinc and the different reference units are shown in Table 10. The biogas residue can be dried as a base fertiliser and applied to soils with poor or medium zinc contents for high-zinc content crops.
The results by Guo [23] showed that the highest yield from organic manure, sheep manure, BGA, and biogas residue were 11.45, 7.90, 8.02, and 10.88 t/hm², respectively. Therefore, the amounts of biogas residue listed in Table 11 are reasonable.

**Table 10.** Recommended dosage of zinc [22] (convert zinc kg/hm²)

| Zinc supply capacity of soil | Highly sensitive crops to zinc | Sensitive to zinc | Not sensitive to zinc |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| Very low                    | 3.0                           | 1.5~3.0         | 0.75~1.5             |
| Low                         | 1.5~3.0                       | 0.75~1.5        | -                    |
| Medium                      | 0.75~1.5                      | -                | -                    |
| High                        | -                             | -                | -                    |

**Table 11.** Conversion of different units of the measurement amount of dry residue and wet slag according to the different goals of zinc consumption

| Target amount of zinc (kg/667 m²) | Reference unit (t/hm²) |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------|
| Dry biogas residue                | 3.0                    | 0.86                  |
| Wet biogas residue                | 3.0                    | 3.90                  |

Note: The water content of biogas residue for this research was 78%.

### 4 Discussion

#### 4.1 Rationality of EDTA-2Na as extractant of heavy metals

Through the data analysis above, leaching of various metals by EDTA-2Na is more even and has significant advantages compared with other extraction agents. Similar conclusions have been demonstrated in previous researchers. Four extraction reagents, HAc-NaAc (0.5 mol/L) buffer solution, CaCl₂, DTPA (0.005 mol/L), and EDTA-2Na (0.05 mol/L), have been used to leach copper, zinc, nickel, and cadmium from the soil by professors Yi and Zhang [24]. Their results also showed that EDTA-2Na was the most suitable extraction agent.

The effects of different extracts on acid, neutral, and alkaline soils, and the correlations between different heavy metals and soil types were analysed by Li [25]. The results showed that EDTA-2Na was the most suitable extractant, with superior extraction efficiency for copper, lead, and chromium in three typical soils. The leaching results of copper and chromium were consistent with the present study. The lead content was not compared because it was too low in the biogas residue.

As a disodium salt of EDTA, EDTA-2Na has been reported to have good metal extraction properties. The morphology of copper and zinc in six types of biogas residues by CaCl₂, EDTA, one-step extraction method using HOAc, and an improved BCR three-step extraction method, have been studied. The results showed that the extraction efficiency of copper and zinc was EDTA > HOAc > CaCl₂, in which EDTA extraction efficiency can be up to 70%, and the mobility of zinc is greater than that of copper [26]. The extraction of copper and lead in soils by solutions of EDTA, CIT, SDS, and RL2 was studied by Liu [27]. The results showed that 0.01 mol/L EDTA was the optimum concentration, and the leaching percentage of heavy metals was 63.73% for copper and 88.48% for lead, which shows that EDTA is more effective for lead than for copper removal. EDTA has been widely reported as a leaching agent, but the solubility of EDTA in water is small, and its operation is not easy. However, according to the experimental results of this study, EDTA-2Na is stable, easy to operate, and effective. These are important conclusions considering the usual shortcomings. Therefore, EDTA-2Na can be established as a leaching agent that can comprehensively remove most heavy metals.

#### 4.2 Factors affecting the extraction efficiency

1) Leaching agent species: As it can be observed by the data provided in this paper, the extraction effects of different leaching agents on the same metal are significantly different. In addition, different leaching agents have different leaching mechanisms. Shao [15] studied desorption of heavy metals in river sediments by chelating agents. The results showed that the effect of various factors on the desorption rate was chelant type > chelating agent concentration > desorption time.

2) Extraction time: According to the results, increased extraction time improved most extraction effects of the leaching agents, except for oxalic acid, which had wide fluctuations over time. According to the study by Xu [28], the extraction rates of cadmium, lead, copper, and zinc in contaminated soils increased with time. The leaching rates in moderate-polluted soils were higher than those in low-polluted soils. After 720 min reaction, the desorption rates of cadmium, lead, copper, and zinc in the moderate- and low-polluted soils were 45.16% and 30.88%, 51.32% and 26.45%, 17.86% and 14.23%, and 23.31% and 19.63%, respectively. The leaching rate in moderate-contaminated soils was higher than in low-contaminated soils. The rates decreased with prolonged desorption time.

3) Concentration of extractant: Pingan and Nanhui[29] observed that with increased tartaric acid, acetic acid, citric acid, and malic acid, the extraction rates of cadmium, lead, and zinc from the soil increased. The effects of these four organic acids on soil heavy metals were cadmium > lead > zinc. The results also showed that 0.5 mol/L of tartaric acid had the best extraction efficiency of soil cadmium, lead, and zinc, with extraction rates of 99.39%, 57.65%, and 43.52%, respectively.

4) Other ancillary measures: Extraction efficiency can be improved through a combination of extraction agents and other physical, chemical, or biological methods. Eun Jung Kim [30] showed that the combination of dithionite and EDTA can effectively and simultaneously extract arsenic and heavy metals from...
soils under a wide range of pH conditions. The most economical method for metal recovery from biosorption on bacterial surface is elution by addition of appropriate solvents such as HNO3, HCl, or H2SO4. The amount of leaching is not determined by the type of mineral acid, but by the concentration of H+ [31].

4.3 Safe utilisation of biogas residue

The main components of biogas residues include unfermented raw materials, microorganisms that are involved in the anaerobic fermentation process, as well as new microorganisms produced during fermentation. Biogas residues are rich in nutrients, have a high value in use, and a variety of purposes.

1) Use as fertiliser

In addition to being used as a zinc fertiliser, biogas residues are commonly used to produce other fertilisers. Yu [32] studied compound fertilisers composed by a mix of biogas residues from Municipal Solid Waste, fly ash, urea, diammonium phosphate, and potassium chloride at various ratios. The results showed that the organic-inorganic compound fertiliser had significant effect on flowering. Xie [33] observed that a mixing ratio of 60% biogas and 40% chemical fertiliser was conducive to plant growth and could reduce accumulation of heavy metals in the soil. Duan [34] observed that biogas fertilisers contain a certain amount of heavy metals. Among them, the contents of copper and zinc in the test soils were significantly higher than in the control sample after long-term application. However, they did not exceed the environmental quality standard for soils. The content of arsenic and chromium in the biogas fertiliser was not high. After long-term application, their content in the soil showed a slight decrease trend or no obvious change. The evaluation of soil quality showed that the quality of all sampling sites did not change after the application of the biogas fertiliser. In addition, all of them met grade I or II of the national soil environmental quality standard. Comparing the biogas residues from chicken, cow, and pig manure, the nutrient content in the cow manure biogas fertiliser was the highest and in the chicken manure biogas fertiliser was the lowest. However, after long-term application, the most significant one was the swine manure biogas fertiliser.

The results of this study showed that biogas residues can meet the requirements to avoid chemical pollution from agricultural compost for application on neutral and alkaline soils. The pig manure was safe for use after anaerobic fermentation and leaching.

2) Biogas residue use as artificial substrate

A cultivation test conducted by Wei [5] demonstrated that cow dung residues can replace peat completely. Pig manure and chicken manure can partially replace peat. Their effects on seedling raising is better than of peat, vermiculite, and perlite. Zhao [35] mixed corn stover fermentation residue with vermiculite, changing the breathable matrix. The ratio of biogas residue to vermiculite was 1:2 and 1:3. They promoted root development and accumulated more dry matter. Zhu [36] used biogas residue and slag as raw materials. It was observed that biogas residues can increase the substrate values of pH and EC, leading to a higher number of microbes in the matrix, enhanced matrix enzyme activity, superior root growth, increased height, stem diameter, and leaf number of tomato plants, and so on.

3) Biogas residue for soil improvement

Luo [37] modified lime with organic fertiliser. The study showed that a combination of pond mud, residue (liquid), and fresh green manure can reduce pH, decrease calcium and magnesium contents, and enhance soil erosion capacity.

Wang [38] observed that after the application of residue from anaerobic fermentation, vegetable growth status and chromium residues were better than the control treatment. Cabbage yield increased by 66.2% on average. Residual chromium decreased by 42.9%. After the anaerobic fermentation residue entered the chromium-contaminated soil, the chromium morphological distribution changed. The ratio of water-soluble and exchangeable chromium in the soil decreased. The proportion of sediments and residual state increased, and the effects of chromium in the soil decreased. Nitrogen mineralisation and adsorption of phosphorus and potassium were affected by the anaerobic fermentation residue. The soil capacity to supply nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium was improved at different degrees.

Swine residue can contain hazardous substances which are harmful to the environment, hindering its use. However, after reasonable treatment, an acceptable safety degree can be achieved.

Check with the conference organiser whether or not this is the case. If the print version will be black and white only, you should check your figure captions carefully and remove any reference to colour in the illustration and text. In addition, some colour figures will degrade or suffer loss of information when converted to black and white, and this should be taken into account when preparing them.

5 Conclusion

1) EDTA-2Na can evenly extract heavy metals from biogas residues with a significant removal efficiency.

2) After 2 h of EDTA-2Na extraction, the biogas residue from pig farming achieved the maximum allowable contents required by the Control Limits against Harmful Substances in Composts for Agricultural Use (DB44/T 361-2006) for neutral and alkaline soils. The use of biogas residues from pig farms as fertilisers for fruit trees in alkaline soils is feasible. The use for dry crops in neutral and alkaline soils can also be considered. After EDTA-2Na extraction and composting treatment, the use in alkaline soils is feasible.

3) The content of copper and zinc in the biogas residue exceeded the environmental quality standard for soil, so there is a risk of direct field return. The biogas residue after EDTA-2Na treatment can be directly applied to the soil after dilution with 3.6 times soil (or other equivalent method), though strict control and monitoring of the zinc content in the soil are necessary.
4) According to the Guideline for Safety Application of Zinc Fertiliser, the biogas residue can be dried and used as a base fertiliser to be applied in soils with low or medium zinc content for crops with high zinc demands.
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