Soft Computing Paradigms to Find the Numerical Solutions of a Nonlinear Influenza Disease Model
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Abstract: The aim of this work is to present the numerical results of the influenza disease nonlinear system using the feed forward artificial neural networks (ANNs) along with the optimization of the combination of global and local search schemes. The genetic algorithm (GA) and active-set method (ASM), i.e., GA-ASM, are implemented as global and local search schemes. The mathematical nonlinear influenza disease system is dependent of four classes, susceptible $S(u)$, infected $I(u)$, recovered $R(u)$ and cross-immune individuals $C(u)$. For the solutions of these classes based on influenza disease system, the design of an objective function is presented using these differential system equations and its corresponding initial conditions. The optimization of this objective function is using the hybrid computing combination of GA-ASM for solving all classes of the influenza disease nonlinear system. The obtained numerical results will be compared by the Adams numerical results to check the authenticity of the designed ANN-GA-ASM. In addition, the designed approach through statistical based operators shows the consistency and stability for solving the influenza disease nonlinear system.

Keywords: influenza disease system; Adams methods; artificial neural networks; active-set method; genetic algorithms; statistical performances

1. Introduction

There are various serious diseases produced by viruses, of which influenza is one of them that primarily attacks the upper respiratory portions, bronchi, nose, throat and sometimes disturbs the lungs. The influenza is not a fatal illness, and most people recover within one to two weeks without medical care. This disease is a serious risk to older people or those with serious illnesses such as cancer, diabetes, heart, kidney problems and lung disease. Among these people, infection can lead to serious problems of primary diseases, such as pneumonia causing death. The epidemic rate of influenza is reported as between 5% and 15% per year of the population, which is affected by upper respiratory tract infections. Worldwide, the annual epidemics are witnessed between 3 and 5 million cases of serious illness and the number of deaths is reported to be around 250,000 and 500,000 [1]. Many mathematical epidemiological models are illustrated by the ordinary nonlinear autonomous differential systems, which designate the assumptions of the model that the
parameters are time independent. In such systems, variables refer to recovered, infected, transmitted and susceptible disease vectors.

Astutí et al. [2] suggested a step-by-step differential transformation approach to solving the disease-resistant influenza virus model. Erdem et al. [3] presented mathematical investigations of a susceptible–infectious–quarantine–recovered (SIQR) influenza model with imperfect quarantine. Alzahrani and Khan [4] introduced a numerical technique (please see [20,21] and citation therein). Based on these well-known applications, the Few key factors of the ANN-GA-ASM are briefly given as:

- The designed ANN-GA-ASM is effortlessly implemented to solve the nonlinear influenza system using the ANN-GA-ASM.
- Stochastic numerical methods have the stochastic capabilities of artificial neural networks (ANNs), genetic algorithms (GA) and the active set method (ASM), i.e., ANN-GA-ASM.
- The absolute error (AE) values are in the good agreements, indicating the reliability of the proposed ANN-GA-ASM.
- Consistent, stable and reliable outcomes from the nonlinear influenza system validate the value of the proposed ANN-GA-ASM.
- The performance is certified through ANN-GA-ASM using different statistical observations to solve the nonlinear influenza system for thirty independent trials.
- The proposed ANN-GA-ASM provides effective solutions of the nonlinear influenza system with smooth operations, inclusive and easy to understand.

The influenza disease nonlinear system has four categories, susceptible \((S(u))\), infectious \((I(u))\), recovered \((R(u))\) and cross-immune \((C(u))\). The mathematical design of the nonlinear influenza disease system is written as follows [13]:

\[
\begin{align*}
S'(u) &= \mu - (\beta I(u) + \mu)S(u) + \gamma C(u), \\
I'(u) &= \beta (S(t) + \sigma C(u))I(u) - (\alpha + \mu)I(u), \\
R'(u) &= \alpha I(u) + \beta (1 - \sigma)C(u)I(u) - (\mu + \delta)R(u), \\
C'(u) &= \delta R(u) - (\gamma + \beta I(u) + \mu)C(u),
\end{align*}
\]

where \(\beta\) shows the transmission rate for the susceptible to the infected individual, and \(a_1, a_2, a_3\) and \(a_4\) are the initial conditions. The infected, infectious and cross-immune are signified as \(\gamma^{-1}, \delta^{-1}\) and \(\alpha^{-1}\), respectively. \(\sigma\) shows the exposed cross-immune individuals, who are shifted in a unit time to transmittable subpopulations [14]. The recently reported studies addressing the different aspect of influenza nonlinear modelling can be seen in [15–19].

The aim of this work is to solve the above nonlinear influenza disease model using the stochastic capabilities of artificial neural networks (ANNs), genetic algorithms (GA) and the active set method (ASM), i.e., ANN-GA-ASM. Stochastic numerical methods have been implemented to solve a great number of applications, such as singular fractional models, COVID-19-based susceptible–infectious–treatment–recovered (SITR) dynamics, the delay singular functional model, the prey–predator model, singular higher order nonlinear models, the dengue fever nonlinear system, multi-singular differential models and the mosquito release nonlinear system based on the heterogeneous environment (please see [20,21] and citation therein). Based on these well-known applications, the authors are interested in solving the nonlinear influenza system using the ANN-GA-ASM. Few key factors of the ANN-GA-ASM are briefly given as:

- The proposed ANN-GA-ASM provides effective solutions of the nonlinear influenza system.
- Consistent, stable and reliable outcomes from the nonlinear influenza system validate the value of the proposed ANN-GA-ASM.
- The absolute error (AE) values are in the good agreements, indicating the reliability of the proposed ANN-GA-ASM.
- The performance is certified through ANN-GA-ASM using different statistical observations to solve the nonlinear influenza system for thirty independent trials.
- The designed ANN-GA-ASM is effortlessly implemented to solve the nonlinear influenza system with smooth operations, inclusive and easy to understand.
The other paper parts are as follows. Section 2 shows the methodology and statistical measures. Section 3 demonstrates the simulation of the outcomes. Section 4 provides the final remarks and future research remarks.

2. Methodology

The methodology of the proposed ANN-GA-ASM structure to solve the nonlinear influenza disease system is defined in two steps: an objective function is designed to solve the ANN parameters and some crucial settings are provided to improve the objective function based on the GA-ASM.

2.1. ANN Structure

In this section, the mathematical design is presented to solve each category of the susceptible (S), infectious (I), recovered (R) and cross-immune (C) groups based on the influenza disease system. The proposed outcomes of these classes are \( \hat{S}, \hat{I}, \hat{R} \) and \( \hat{C} \) given as:

\[
[\hat{S}(u), \hat{I}(u), \hat{R}(u), \hat{C}(u)] = \begin{bmatrix}
\sum_{k=1}^{m} p_{S,k} v(w_{S,k} u + q_{S,k}) \\
\sum_{k=1}^{m} p_{I,k} v(w_{I,k} u + q_{I,k}) \\
\sum_{k=1}^{m} p_{R,k} v(w_{R,k} u + q_{R,k}) \\
\sum_{k=1}^{m} p_{C,k} v(w_{C,k} u + q_{C,k})
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(2)

\[
[\hat{S}’(u), \hat{I}’(u), \hat{R}’(u), \hat{C}’(u)] = \begin{bmatrix}
\sum_{k=1}^{m} p_{S,k} v’(w_{S,k} u + q_{S,k}) \\
\sum_{k=1}^{m} p_{I,k} v’(w_{I,k} u + q_{I,k}) \\
\sum_{k=1}^{m} p_{R,k} v’(w_{R,k} u + q_{R,k}) \\
\sum_{k=1}^{m} p_{C,k} v’(w_{C,k} u + q_{C,k})
\end{bmatrix}
\]

where \( m \) is number of neurons, and \( W \) is the unknown weight vector, with its components for \( S, I, R \) and \( C \) are defined as \( W_S, W_I, W_R \) and \( W_C \), respectively, and are given mathematically as follows:

\[
W = [W_S, W_I, W_R, W_C], \quad W_S = [p_{S,1}, p_{S,2}, \ldots, p_{S,m}], \quad W_I = [p_{I,1}, p_{I,2}, \ldots, p_{I,m}], \quad W_R = [p_{R,1}, p_{R,2}, \ldots, p_{R,m}], \quad W_C = [p_{C,1}, p_{C,2}, \ldots, p_{C,m}]
\]

(3)

The updated form by applying the log-sigmoid function \( v(\mu) = (1 + \exp(-\mu))^{-1} \) is provided in Appendix A for the interested readers. To find the optimization measures, an error based objective function becomes:

\[
E = E_1 + E_2 + E_3 + E_4 + E_5
\]

(4)

\[
E_1 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \left[ \hat{S}_k - \mu + (\beta \hat{I}_k + \mu) \hat{S}_k - \gamma \hat{C}_k \right]^2,
\]

(5)

\[
E_2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \left[ \hat{I}_k - \beta \hat{S}_k \hat{I}_k + \mu \hat{I}_k + \sigma \hat{I}_k - \sigma \hat{C}_k \hat{I}_k \right]^2,
\]

(6)

\[
E_3 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \left[ \hat{R}_k - a \hat{I}_k - \beta (1 - \sigma) \hat{C}_k \hat{I}_k + (\mu + \delta) \hat{R}_k \right]^2,
\]

(7)

\[
E_4 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \left[ \hat{C}_k - \delta \hat{R}_k + (\gamma + \beta \hat{I}_k + \mu) \hat{C}_k \right]^2,
\]

(8)

\[
E_5 = \frac{1}{4} \left[ (\hat{S}_0 - a_1)^2 + (\hat{I}_0 - a_2)^2 + (\hat{R}_0 - a_3)^2 + (\hat{C}_0 - a_4)^2 \right],
\]

(9)
where $\hat{S}_k = S(u_k)$, $\hat{I}_k = I(u_k)$, $\hat{R}_k = R(u_k)$ and $\hat{C}_k = C(\mu_k)$. In the above network $E_1$, $E_2$, $E_3$ and $E_4$ indicate the objective functions related to system (1), while $E_5$ is designed on the basis of the initial conditions of system (1).

2.2. Optimization Performances: GA-ASM

In this section, the ANN-GA-ASM performance is presented for solving the influenza disease nonlinear system. The designed ANN structure through GA-ASM for solving the influenza disease nonlinear system is depicted in Figure 1. The block structure of the proposed methodologies is illustrated by defining the problem, mathematical modeling, the formulation of the fitness function on mean square error sense, the workflow of GA and ASM.

In this study, the global search GA is one of optimization process that is executed to solve the influenza disease nonlinear system. GA is pragmatic to standardize the specific population for solving the various complicated models using the optimal training. To achieve the best system outcomes, GA operates through a selection operator, a crossover process, reproduction practice and a mutation procedure. Recently, GA has been applied in the hospitalization expenditure system [22], for feature assortment in cancer microarray [23], brain tumor images [24], air blast prediction [25], monorail vehicle dynamics [26], cloud service optimization [27] and liver disease prediction [28].

The active-set method is one of the rapid local search optimization approaches that works to solve the constrained/unconstrained models broadly. ASM is executed in numerous optimizations models of numerous complex and non-stiff systems. Recently, ASM is applied to execute the real-time optimal control [29], the pricing of American better-of option on two assets [30], the pressure-dependent model of water distribution systems [31], overcurrent relays in microgrid optimization [32], embedded model predictive controls [33] and elastodynamic frictional contact problems [34]. To control the slowness of GA, the process of hybridization into GA-ASM is provided in Table 1 for training or learning of the decision variables, i.e., the unknown weights of ANNs, while the parameter settings of GA and ASM are handled using the ‘optimset’ routine of the Matlab optimization toolbox. The setting of the parameters, i.e., fitness function tolerance (TolFun), constraints tolerance (TolCon), population size (PopSiz), generations, Stall generation limits (StallLimit), decision variable tolerance (TolX), iteration and maximum number of fitness function evaluations (MaxFunEvals), is done with care to avoid the premature convergence of the optimization mechanism.

2.3. Performance Measures

The mathematical representations using the statistical operators containing “variance account for (VAF)”, “mean absolute deviation (MAD)”, “semi-interquartile (S.I.R)” and “Theil’s inequality coefficient (TIC)” along with their Global representations are presented to solve the influenza disease nonlinear model and are provided in Appendix B.
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Figure 1. Proposed structure of the present ANN-GA-ASM for solving the nonlinear influenza disease model.
Table 1. Pseudocode of optimization through ANN-GA-ASM to solve the influenza disease nonlinear system.

| GA process starts |
|-------------------|
| **Inputs**: Select the chromosomes with the same numbers as decision variable of ANN models for solving influenza disease system: $W = [a, w, b]$ |
| **Population**: The chromosomes set is given as: $W = [W_s, W_f, W_R, W_C]$, for $W_s = [p_s, \omega_s, q_s]$, $W_f = [p_f, \omega_f, q_f]$, $W_R = [p_R, \omega_R, q_R]$ |
| **Output**: Global vectors are $W_{B-GA}$ |
| **Initialization**: For variables selection, modify the weight vector. |
| **Fitness calculation**: Determine the fitness $E$ of each member of the population ($P$) using Equations (4)–(9) |
| **Stopping standards**: Terminate if $[E = 10^{-21}]$, $[\text{TolCon} = \text{TolFun} = 10^{-21}]$, $[\text{StallLimit}=140]$, $,[\text{PopSize}=210]$ and $[\text{Generations}=120]$ are achieved. |
| **Move to storage** |
| **Ranking**: Rank precise weight vector in the designated population based on appropriateness on fitness $E$, i.e., better the fitness more be the rank of the individual. |
| **Storage**: Save $W_{B-GA}$, iterations, FIT, function counts and time. |
| **End of GA** |

**ASM**

| Inputs: $W_{B-GA}$ |
| Output: $W_{GA-ASM}$ is the best GA-ASM weight vectors. |
| Initialize: $W_{B-GA}$, iterations, assignments and other values. |
| **Termination**: Stop if $[E = 10^{-20}]$, $[\text{Iterations}=1200]$, $[\text{TolX} = \text{TolCon} = 10^{-20}]$ $[\text{TolFun} = 10^{-21}]$ and $[\text{MaxFunEvals} = 279,000]$ meets. |
| **Evaluation of FIT**: Calculate $W$ and $E$ using networks 4–9. |
| **Adjustments**: Adjust ‘fmincon’ for ASM, calculate $E$ using 4–9 systems. |
| **Accumulate**: Transmute $W_{GA-ASM}$, function counts, time iterations and FIT |

**ASM End**

3. Simulations and Results

The relative presentations of the results obtained using the Adams method are used to certify or analyze the accuracy of the ANN-GA-ASM. Moreover, the statistical performance is testified for the precision, reliability and accuracy of the proposed structure. The updated form of the nonlinear model of influenza illness using the appropriate parameters as defined in reported study [13]:

\[
\begin{align*}
S'(u) &= 0.02 - (50I(u) + 0.02)S(u) + 0.5C(u), \\
I'(u) &= 50(S(u) + 0.05C(u))I(u) - 73.02I(u), \\
R'(u) &= 73I(u) + 47.5C(u)I(u) - 1.02R(u), \\
C'(u) &= R(u) - (0.52 + 50I(u))C(u),
\end{align*}
\]

The fitness function for the influenza disease system (10) becomes:

\[
E = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( \begin{array}{l}
\left[ S'_i - 0.02 + 50\hat{S}_i\hat{I}_i + 0.02\hat{S}_i - 0.5\hat{C}_i \right]^2 \\
+ \left[ \hat{I}'_i - 50\hat{S}_i\hat{I}_i - 2.5\hat{C}_i\hat{I}_i + 73.02\hat{I}_i \right]^2 \\
+ \left[ \hat{R}'_i - 73\hat{I}_i - 47.5\hat{C}_i\hat{I}_i + 1.02\hat{R}_i \right]^2 \\
+ \left[ \hat{C}'_i - \hat{R}_i + 0.52\hat{C}_i - 50\hat{I}_i\hat{C}_i \right]^2 \\
+ \frac{1}{4} \left[ (\hat{S}_0 - 0.8)^2 + (\hat{I}_0 - 0.1)^2 + (\hat{R}_0 - 0.04)^2 + (\hat{C}_0 - 0.06)^2 \right]
\end{array} \right)
\]

\[(11)\]
Performance by optimization is demonstrated for the nonlinear influenza disease model using the proposed ANN-GA-ASM for 30 independent runs, i.e., sufficiently large multiple autonomous executions, having 30 numbers of hidden neurons in ANN models in set (3). The routine for the fitness function as given in Equations (4)–(9) is developed in the Matlab software package while the optimization is conducted as per procedure of pseudocode in Table 1 using the 'GA' and 'fmincon' routines of the optimization toolbox. The proposed solutions of the nonlinear influenza disease model are specified in the best weight vector form shown in the Equations (12)–(15) for each nonlinear influenza disease model. The graphical illustrations of these weight vectors are shown in Figure 2.

\[
S(u) = \frac{0.6622}{1 + e^{-(10.636u-1.1152)}} - \frac{1.3369}{1 + e^{-(3.6682u-7.9483)}} - \cdots + \frac{0.3752}{1 + e^{(-0.098u-4.1269)}}
\]  

(12)

\[
I(u) = \frac{-14.9676}{1 + e^{(-0.7029u-16.7745)}} - \frac{4.1637}{1 + e^{(-15.649u-16.512)}} - \cdots - \frac{15.4637}{1 + e^{(-19.797u-1.1137)}}
\]  

(13)

\[
R(u) = \frac{3.2898}{1 + e^{(-1.6034u-7.6633)}} - \frac{1.6034}{1 + e^{(-8.138u-11.8697)}} - \cdots - \frac{6.3094}{1 + e^{(-14.56u-10.9883)}}
\]  

(14)

\[
C(u) = \frac{-0.8800}{1 + e^{(-0.4162u-11.3515)}} - \frac{0.8127}{1 + e^{(-0.8127u-11.351)}} - \cdots - \frac{5.8006}{1 + e^{(-16.500u-4.6960)}}
\]  

(15)

The proposed outputs are obtained using the above systems ((12)–(15)) as provided in Appendix C for the 0−1 range with a 0.1 step size to present the solutions for each influenza disease category. The plots of the best weight vectors are derived in the Figure 2a–d. The mean and best results, i.e., the outcomes of the proposed methodology based on the fitness function as given in Equations (4)–(9) are developed for EVAF, MAD and TIC, respectively. The recovered best category lies in the range of 10−2−10−4 for EVAF, MAD and TIC, respectively. The infectious category lies in the range of 10−2−10−4, 10−3−10−6 and 10−2−10−4, respectively. Meanwhile, the AE mean values for the above categories of susceptible, infectious, recovered and cross-immune lie around 10−1−10−3, 10−2−10−4, 10−1−10−3 and 10−1−10−2, respectively. Figure 4 represents the performances based on the EVAF, MAD and TIC operators to solve each category of the influenza disease nonlinear model. It is designated that the best EVAF, MAD and TIC presentations of the susceptible category lie around 10−2−10−4, 10−2−10−3 and 10−6−10−8 for EVAF, MAD and TIC, respectively. The infectious best category lies in the range of 10−2−10−4, 10−3−10−4 and 10−7−10−8 for EVAF, MAD and TIC, respectively. The recovered best category lies in the range of 10−3−10−4, 10−2−10−3 and 10−6−10−8 for EVAF, MAD and TIC, respectively. The cross-immune best category lies in the range of 10−2−10−3, 10−2−10−3 and 10−7−10−8 for EVAF, MAD and TIC, respectively. These indications make it possible to affirm that the designed ANN-GA-ASM is precise and accurate.
Figure 2. Best set of weight vectors and comparison of proposed and reference solutions obtained by using Adams and optimization algorithm to solve the influenza nonlinear disease model.
Figure 2. Best set of weight vectors and comparison of proposed and reference solutions obtained by using Adams and optimization algorithm to solve the influenza nonlinear disease model.

Figure 3. AE values based on best and mean solutions for each category of the influenza disease nonlinear model.

Figure 4. Performances of EVAF, MAD and TIC operators to solve the influenza disease model.

(a) AE for the category $S(u)$

(b) AE for the category $I(u)$

(c) AE for the category $R(u)$

(d) AE for the category $C(u)$
The graphical representations based on the statistical procedures to authenticate the convergence performance are given in Figure 5 to solve the nonlinear influenza disease model. In the performance through TIC values using thirty trials to solve the nonlinear influenza disease model, it is seen that most of the trials based on the susceptible, infectious, recovered and cross-immune for the TIC values lie around $10^{-5}$–$10^{-7}$, $10^{-6}$–$10^{-8}$, $10^{-5}$–$10^{-8}$ and $10^{-5}$–$10^{-7}$, respectively. For the EVAF values, the performances of the susceptible, infectious, recovered and cross-immune lie around $10^{-1}$–$10^{-3}$. For the MAD values, the performances of the susceptible, infectious, recovered and cross-immune lie around $10^{-2}$–$10^{-4}$. These best presentations of the executions using the ANN-GA-ASM are calculated as suitable for the TIC, EVAF and MAD operators.

Figure 4. Performances of EVAF, MAD and TIC operators to solve the influenza disease model.

Figure 5. Convergence operators based on TIC, EVAF and MAD operators to solve the nonlinear influenza disease model.
The statistical presentations are shown in Tables 2–5 using the operators Minimum (Min), Mean, standard deviation (SD), S.I.R, Median (Med) and Maximum (Max) to validate the accurateness and precision for solving each category of the influenza disease nonlinear model. The Min values for the susceptible, infectious, recovered and cross-immune based influenza disease model lie around $10^{-3}$–$10^{-5}$, $10^{-3}$–$10^{-7}$, $10^{-3}$–$10^{-6}$ and $10^{-3}$–$10^{-5}$, respectively. The Max values for the susceptible, infectious, recovered and cross-immune based influenza disease model lie around $10^{-1}$–$10^{-3}$, $10^{-2}$–$10^{-4}$, $10^{-1}$–$10^{-2}$ and $10^{-2}$–$10^{-3}$, respectively. The Med, Mean and S.I.R values for the susceptible, infectious, recovered and cross-immune based influenza disease model lie around $10^{-2}$–$10^{-4}$, $10^{-2}$–$10^{-5}$, $10^{-2}$–$10^{-4}$ and $10^{-3}$–$10^{-4}$, respectively. The SD values for the susceptible, infectious, recovered and cross-immune based influenza disease model lie around $10^{-2}$–$10^{-3}$, $10^{-2}$–$10^{-4}$, $10^{-2}$–$10^{-3}$ and $10^{-2}$–$10^{-3}$, respectively. These calculated small values indicate the worth of the ANN-GA-ASM to solve each class of the nonlinear influenza disease model. One can observe through these obtained measures that the designed ANN-GA-ASM is precise, accurate and stable.

**Table 2. Statistical measures for the nonlinear influenza disease model based $S(u)$.**

| $u$   | Min       | Max        | Med       | Mean      | S.I.R      | SD          |
|-------|-----------|------------|-----------|-----------|------------|-------------|
| 0     | $5.6403 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.0752 \times 10^{-2}$ | $6.4347 \times 10^{-4}$ | $2.1832 \times 10^{-3}$ | $9.2647 \times 10^{-4}$ | $3.1586 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| 0.1   | $8.6933 \times 10^{-3}$ | $1.0204 \times 10^{-1}$ | $9.9764 \times 10^{-2}$ | $8.0571 \times 10^{-2}$ | $1.7817 \times 10^{-2}$ | $3.2865 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| 0.2   | $5.0136 \times 10^{-3}$ | $1.0330 \times 10^{-1}$ | $1.0171 \times 10^{-2}$ | $8.2758 \times 10^{-2}$ | $1.5101 \times 10^{-2}$ | $3.3698 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| 0.3   | $5.0721 \times 10^{-3}$ | $1.0168 \times 10^{-1}$ | $1.0031 \times 10^{-2}$ | $8.1157 \times 10^{-2}$ | $1.3434 \times 10^{-2}$ | $3.3551 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| 0.4   | $4.9908 \times 10^{-3}$ | $1.0007 \times 10^{-1}$ | $9.6958 \times 10^{-2}$ | $7.9203 \times 10^{-2}$ | $1.2838 \times 10^{-2}$ | $3.2084 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| 0.5   | $4.4433 \times 10^{-3}$ | $9.7345 \times 10^{-2}$ | $9.3189 \times 10^{-2}$ | $7.6406 \times 10^{-2}$ | $1.2362 \times 10^{-2}$ | $3.0706 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| 0.6   | $4.2461 \times 10^{-3}$ | $9.3883 \times 10^{-2}$ | $9.0095 \times 10^{-2}$ | $7.3296 \times 10^{-2}$ | $1.2040 \times 10^{-2}$ | $2.9743 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| 0.7   | $4.6397 \times 10^{-3}$ | $8.9911 \times 10^{-2}$ | $8.6530 \times 10^{-2}$ | $6.9938 \times 10^{-2}$ | $1.1763 \times 10^{-2}$ | $2.8846 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| 0.8   | $5.4428 \times 10^{-3}$ | $8.5574 \times 10^{-2}$ | $8.2248 \times 10^{-2}$ | $6.6416 \times 10^{-2}$ | $1.1654 \times 10^{-2}$ | $2.7814 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| 0.9   | $6.3978 \times 10^{-3}$ | $8.0976 \times 10^{-2}$ | $7.8024 \times 10^{-2}$ | $6.2713 \times 10^{-2}$ | $1.1469 \times 10^{-2}$ | $2.6591 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| 1     | $7.3410 \times 10^{-3}$ | $7.6194 \times 10^{-2}$ | $7.3517 \times 10^{-2}$ | $5.8825 \times 10^{-2}$ | $1.1127 \times 10^{-2}$ | $2.5110 \times 10^{-2}$ |

**Table 3. Statistical measures for the nonlinear influenza disease model based $I(u)$.**

| $u$   | Min       | Max        | Med       | Mean      | S.I.R      | SD          |
|-------|-----------|------------|-----------|-----------|------------|-------------|
| 0     | $2.5065 \times 10^{-3}$ | $9.9741 \times 10^{-2}$ | $9.6765 \times 10^{-2}$ | $2.1832 \times 10^{-3}$ | $1.8213 \times 10^{-2}$ | $3.2534 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| 0.1   | $2.0358 \times 10^{-4}$ | $3.8280 \times 10^{-3}$ | $2.6376 \times 10^{-3}$ | $8.0571 \times 10^{-2}$ | $2.9036 \times 10^{-2}$ | $7.8410 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| 0.2   | $1.0562 \times 10^{-4}$ | $1.5922 \times 10^{-3}$ | $2.8609 \times 10^{-4}$ | $8.2758 \times 10^{-2}$ | $9.2734 \times 10^{-5}$ | $3.2756 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| 0.3   | $7.9627 \times 10^{-6}$ | $7.5276 \times 10^{-4}$ | $1.4348 \times 10^{-4}$ | $8.1157 \times 10^{-2}$ | $1.0830 \times 10^{-2}$ | $1.7174 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| 0.4   | $5.8824 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.1786 \times 10^{-3}$ | $1.4911 \times 10^{-4}$ | $7.9023 \times 10^{-2}$ | $6.2778 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.5473 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| 0.5   | $5.2022 \times 10^{-7}$ | $8.4523 \times 10^{-5}$ | $8.5497 \times 10^{-5}$ | $7.6406 \times 10^{-2}$ | $5.2659 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.7651 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| 0.6   | $3.9676 \times 10^{-7}$ | $9.6438 \times 10^{-4}$ | $6.6933 \times 10^{-5}$ | $7.3296 \times 10^{-2}$ | $5.8891 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.9772 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| 0.7   | $1.4420 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.0347 \times 10^{-3}$ | $8.1183 \times 10^{-5}$ | $6.9938 \times 10^{-2}$ | $5.7567 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.2108 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| 0.8   | $1.3782 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.0473 \times 10^{-3}$ | $6.5095 \times 10^{-5}$ | $6.6416 \times 10^{-2}$ | $5.3914 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.9876 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| 0.9   | $1.0788 \times 10^{-6}$ | $9.9345 \times 10^{-4}$ | $6.9847 \times 10^{-5}$ | $6.2713 \times 10^{-2}$ | $4.8355 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.8417 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| 1     | $3.7397 \times 10^{-7}$ | $8.6629 \times 10^{-4}$ | $6.3295 \times 10^{-5}$ | $5.8825 \times 10^{-2}$ | $3.6254 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.5961 \times 10^{-4}$ |
Table 4. Statistical measures for the nonlinear influenza disease model based $R(u)$.

| $u$  | Min             | Max             | Med             | Mean            | S.I.R            | SD              |
|------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 0    | 6.2366 × 10⁻⁶  | 1.4851 × 10⁻²   | 1.8505 × 10⁻⁴   | 2.1832 × 10⁻³   | 3.8502 × 10⁻⁴   | 2.9318 × 10⁻³   |
| 0.1  | 2.4607 × 10⁻³   | 1.9038 × 10⁻¹   | 1.8634 × 10⁻¹   | 8.0571 × 10⁻²   | 3.2715 × 10⁻²   | 6.2894 × 10⁻²   |
| 0.2  | 2.3532 × 10⁻³   | 1.7746 × 10⁻¹   | 1.7489 × 10⁻¹   | 8.2758 × 10⁻²   | 2.4669 × 10⁻²   | 5.9498 × 10⁻²   |
| 0.3  | 2.3392 × 10⁻³   | 1.6039 × 10⁻¹   | 1.5817 × 10⁻¹   | 8.1157 × 10⁻²   | 2.0756 × 10⁻²   | 5.4314 × 10⁻²   |
| 0.4  | 1.7447 × 10⁻³   | 1.4608 × 10⁻¹   | 1.4106 × 10⁻¹   | 7.9023 × 10⁻²   | 1.8914 × 10⁻²   | 4.8288 × 10⁻²   |
| 0.5  | 1.4329 × 10⁻³   | 1.3259 × 10⁻¹   | 1.2623 × 10⁻¹   | 7.6406 × 10⁻²   | 1.7328 × 10⁻²   | 4.3191 × 10⁻²   |
| 0.6  | 6.3834 × 10⁻⁴   | 1.1983 × 10⁻¹   | 1.1406 × 10⁻¹   | 7.3296 × 10⁻²   | 1.5985 × 10⁻²   | 3.9221 × 10⁻²   |
| 0.7  | 1.0809 × 10⁻¹   | 1.0806 × 10⁻¹   | 1.0395 × 10⁻¹   | 6.9938 × 10⁻²   | 1.4990 × 10⁻²   | 3.5981 × 10⁻²   |
| 0.8  | 9.6811 × 10⁻⁴   | 9.7331 × 10⁻²   | 9.4041 × 10⁻²   | 6.6416 × 10⁻²   | 1.3740 × 10⁻²   | 3.3132 × 10⁻²   |
| 0.9  | 6.8779 × 10⁻⁴   | 8.7620 × 10⁻²   | 8.5302 × 10⁻²   | 6.2713 × 10⁻²   | 1.2596 × 10⁻²   | 3.0469 × 10⁻²   |
| 1    | 3.8749 × 10⁻⁴   | 7.8853 × 10⁻²   | 7.7195 × 10⁻²   | 5.8825 × 10⁻²   | 1.0930 × 10⁻²   | 2.7874 × 10⁻²   |

Table 5. Statistical measures for the nonlinear influenza disease model based $C(u)$.

| $u$  | Min             | Max             | Med             | Mean            | S.I.R            | SD              |
|------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 0    | 1.1372 × 10⁻⁵   | 6.9224 × 10⁻³   | 2.1165 × 10⁻⁴   | 1.1227 × 10⁻³   | 6.5694 × 10⁻⁴   | 1.8441 × 10⁻³   |
| 0.1  | 1.5570 × 10⁻⁴   | 1.5199 × 10⁻²   | 7.0904 × 10⁻³   | 7.0882 × 10⁻³   | 3.7494 × 10⁻⁴   | 2.5091 × 10⁻³   |
| 0.2  | 2.3633 × 10⁻³   | 2.9209 × 10⁻²   | 2.4420 × 10⁻²   | 2.0540 × 10⁻²   | 4.4120 × 10⁻³   | 7.6724 × 10⁻³   |
| 0.3  | 2.5936 × 10⁻⁴   | 4.1491 × 10⁻²   | 3.9420 × 10⁻²   | 3.2301 × 10⁻²   | 7.0413 × 10⁻³   | 1.3088 × 10⁻²   |
| 0.4  | 1.8337 × 10⁻⁴   | 5.4041 × 10⁻²   | 5.1929 × 10⁻²   | 4.2548 × 10⁻²   | 8.7280 × 10⁻³   | 1.7298 × 10⁻²   |
| 0.5  | 1.6757 × 10⁻³   | 6.4379 × 10⁻²   | 6.2491 × 10⁻²   | 5.1205 × 10⁻²   | 9.0134 × 10⁻³   | 2.0582 × 10⁻²   |
| 0.6  | 1.7138 × 10⁻³   | 7.3162 × 10⁻²   | 7.1056 × 10⁻²   | 5.8351 × 10⁻²   | 9.3617 × 10⁻³   | 2.3249 × 10⁻²   |
| 0.7  | 1.6948 × 10⁻³   | 8.0311 × 10⁻²   | 7.8098 × 10⁻²   | 6.4106 × 10⁻²   | 9.7669 × 10⁻³   | 2.5506 × 10⁻²   |
| 0.8  | 1.5227 × 10⁻³   | 8.6049 × 10⁻²   | 8.3577 × 10⁻²   | 6.8593 × 10⁻²   | 1.0268 × 10⁻²   | 2.7492 × 10⁻⁴   |
| 0.9  | 1.1457 × 10⁻³   | 9.0577 × 10⁻²   | 8.7617 × 10⁻²   | 7.1938 × 10⁻²   | 1.0876 × 10⁻²   | 2.9302 × 10⁻²   |
| 1    | 5.6992 × 10⁻⁴   | 9.4077 × 10⁻²   | 9.1159 × 10⁻²   | 7.4264 × 10⁻²   | 1.1513 × 10⁻²   | 3.1009 × 10⁻²   |

The global performances of (G-TIC), (G-MAD) and (G-EVAF) operators for 30 trials to solve the proposed ANN-GA-ASM is shown in Table 6 to solve the nonlinear influenza disease model. These global MAD, TIC and EVAF performances based on Min lie around $10^{-2}$-$10^{-3}$, $10^{-6}$-$10^{-7}$ and $10^{-1}$-$10^{-2}$, respectively, while the global performances based on S.I.R lie around $10^{-2}$ to $10^{-3}$, $10^{-7}$-$10^{-8}$ and $10^{-1}$-$10^{-2}$ for each category of the influenza disease nonlinear model. These close ideal values obtained through global measures indicate the precision, correctness and accuracy of the designed ANN-GA-ASM.

Table 6. Global presentations via G-TIC, G-MAD and G-EVAF operators to solve the nonlinear influenza disease system.

| Index | (G-MAD)         | (G-TIC)         | (G-EVAF)        |
|-------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| $S(u)$| $8.2308 \times 10^{-2}$ | $1.1452 \times 10^{-2}$ | $3.5709 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| $I(u)$| $9.1646 \times 10^{-3}$ | $1.6069 \times 10^{-3}$ | $1.2032 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| $R(u)$| $1.1467 \times 10^{-2}$ | $1.6332 \times 10^{-2}$ | $5.1525 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| $C(u)$| $5.4491 \times 10^{-2}$ | $7.7396 \times 10^{-3}$ | $2.5843 \times 10^{-7}$ |
4. Conclusions

This study is associated with the submission of numerical studies of the non-linear influenza disease system. The influenza disease nonlinear system is dependent on four categories named as susceptible, infected, recovered and cross-immune. Artificial neural networks, as well as global and local research approaches, i.e., ANN-GA-ASM, are proposed to address each category of the influenza illness model. For finding numeric results, an objective function based on the differential system and initial conditions is optimized by the proposed ANN-GA-ASM. The log-sigmoid works as an activation function and 30 numbers of variables have been proposed to solve the influenza disease nonlinear model. The obtained numerical results through ANN-GA-ASM have been compared with the Adams solutions and the matching of the best/mean results have been found in each class of the nonlinear influenza disease model. The accurate matching of results enhances the reliability of the proposed ANN-GA-ASM. The precise performance of the ANN-GA-ASM through the statistical operators EVAF, TIC and MAD are observed using 30 runs to solve the nonlinear model of influenza disease. These statistical performances achieved higher accuracy levels to solve the influenza disease nonlinear model. Statistics by Min, SD, Mean, S.I.R, Max and Median further validate the value of the proposed ANN-GA-ASM. Furthermore, the global performance of the operators in good measures through Min and S.I.R prove the authenticity of the ANN-GA-ASM for the nonlinear influenza disease model.

In the future, the ANN proposed with the GA-ASM is capable of solving nonlinear biological models, singular systems of higher order and fluid dynamics models. Moreover, the provided ANN-GA-ASM can be implemented to those problems which are still considered to be stiff for traditional deterministic computing schemes.
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Nomenclature

ANN Artificial Neural Networks
SIQR Susceptible–infectious–quarantine–recovered
(SITR) Susceptible–infectious–treatment–recovered
ANN-GA-ASM ANN optimized with GA-ASM
TIC Theil’s inequality coefficient
S.I.R Semi interquartile
Min Minimum
Med Median
G.MAD Mean of MAD
GA Genetic Algorithms
ASM Active-set Algorithm
GA-ASM Hybrid of GA and ASM
MAD Mean Absolute deviation
VAF Variance account for
EVAF  Error in VAF
Max  Maximum
G.TIC  Mean of TIC
G.EVAF  Mean of EVAF

Appendix A

The updated form of the networks as given in set of Equation (2) using the log-sigmoid function are given as follows:

\[
[S(u), I(u), R(u), C(u)] = \left[ \begin{array}{c}
\sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{1}{1 + e^{-p_{ik}}} \, S_{ik} \\
\sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{1}{1 + e^{-p_{ik}}} \, I_{ik} \\
\sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{1}{1 + e^{-p_{ik}}} \, R_{ik} \\
\sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{1}{1 + e^{-p_{ik}}} \, C_{ik}
\end{array} \right],
\]

\[
[S'(u), I'(u), R'(u), C'(u)] = \left[ \begin{array}{c}
\sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{1}{1 + e^{-p_{ik}}} \, S_{ik} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\sigma_{i} + \sigma_{j})} \\
\sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{1}{1 + e^{-p_{ik}}} \, I_{ik} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\sigma_{i} + \sigma_{j})} \\
\sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{1}{1 + e^{-p_{ik}}} \, R_{ik} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\sigma_{i} + \sigma_{j})} \\
\sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{1}{1 + e^{-p_{ik}}} \, C_{ik} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\sigma_{i} + \sigma_{j})}
\end{array} \right].
\]

(A1)

Appendix B

Mathematical relations for performance indices TIC, MAD, VAF and EVAF are given in this section as follows:

\[
[VAF_S, VAF_I, VAF_R, VAF_C] = \begin{bmatrix}
1 - \frac{\text{var}(S_i)}{\text{var}(S_j)} \\
1 - \frac{\text{var}(I_i)}{\text{var}(S_j)} \\
1 - \frac{\text{var}(I_i)}{\text{var}(I_j)} \\
1 - \frac{\text{var}(R_i)}{\text{var}(R_j)}
\end{bmatrix} \times 100,
\]

(A2)

\[
[EVAF_S, EVAF_I, EVAF_R, EVAF_C] = \begin{bmatrix}
100 - VAF_S, 100 - VAF_I, \\
100 - VAF_R, 100 - VAF_C
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

(A3)

\[
[S I R] = -\frac{1}{2} (Q_1 - Q_3),

Q_1 = 1^{st} \text{ quartile} \quad \text{and} \quad Q_3 = 3^{rd} \text{ quartile},
\]

\[
[TIC_S, TIC_I, TIC_R, TIC_C] = \begin{bmatrix}
\sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (S_i - \bar{S})^2} \\
\sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (I_i - \bar{I})^2} \\
\sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (R_i - \bar{R})^2} \\
\sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (C_i - \bar{C})^2}
\end{bmatrix},
\]

(A4)

\[
[MAD_S, MAD_I, MAD_R, MAD_C] = \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |S_i - \bar{S}|, \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |I_i - \bar{I}|, \\
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |R_i - \bar{R}|, \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |C_i - \bar{C}|
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

(A5)

In the above system, \( \hat{P}, \hat{S}, \hat{Q}_T \) and \( \hat{Q}_S \) show the approximate solutions.

Appendix C

The proposed solutions of ANN-GA-ASM are for reproduction of the results.
\[ S(u) = \frac{0.6622}{1 + e^{-0.8885 - 1.31532}} - \frac{1.3369}{1 + e^{-1.1968 - 0.94607}} + \frac{2.6556}{1 + e^{-1.6849 - 2.2019}} + \frac{9.3591}{1 + e^{-3.9306 - 2.0890}} \]  
(A6)

\[ I(u) = -\frac{14.9676}{1 + e^{-10.8960 - 20.77477}} + \frac{4.1637}{1 + e^{-11.1411 - 13.87391}} + \frac{17.5900}{1 + e^{-11.0818 - 14.69511}} - \frac{4.8889}{1 + e^{-11.0818 - 14.69511}} \]  
(A7)

\[ R(u) = \frac{3.2998}{1 + e^{-7.8765 - 6.90107}} - \frac{1.4034}{1 + e^{-9.3110 - 11.18007}} - \frac{6.47733}{1 + e^{-9.1860 - 10.00007}} - \frac{12.8102}{1 + e^{-9.1860 - 10.00007}} \]  
(A8)

\[ C(u) = -\frac{0.8880}{1 + e^{-13.7890 - 5.50555}} - \frac{0.8127}{1 + e^{-12.4910 - 5.50555}} + \frac{1.6459}{1 + e^{-12.4910 - 5.50555}} + \frac{2.7450}{1 + e^{-12.4910 - 5.50555}} \]  
(A9)
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