The Validation of Nematode-Specific Acetylcholine-Gated Chloride Channels as Potential Anthelmintic Drug Targets
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Abstract

New compounds are needed to treat parasitic nematode infections in humans, livestock and plants. Small molecule anthelmintics are the primary means of nematode parasite control in animals; however, widespread resistance to the currently available drug classes means control will be impossible without the introduction of new compounds. Adverse environmental effects associated with nematocides used to control plant parasitic species are also motivating the search for safer, more effective compounds. Discovery of new anthelmintic drugs in particular has been a serious challenge due to the difficulty of obtaining and culturing target parasites for high-throughput screens and the lack of functional genomic techniques to validate potential drug targets in these pathogens. We present here a novel strategy for target validation that employs the free-living nematode <i>Caenorhabditis elegans</i> to demonstrate the value of new ligand-gated ion channels as targets for anthelmintic discovery. Many successful anthelmintics, including ivermectin, levamisole and monepantel, are agonists of pentameric ligand-gated ion channels, suggesting that the unexploited pentameric ion channels encoded in parasite genomes may be suitable drug targets. We validated five members of the nematode-specific family of acetylcholine-gated chloride channels as targets of agonists with anthelmintic properties by ectopically expressing an ivermectin-gated chloride channel, AVR-15, in tissues that endogenously express the acetylcholine-gated chloride channels and using the effects of ivermectin to predict the effects of an acetylcholine-gated chloride channel agonist. In principle, our strategy can be applied to validate any ion channel as a putative anti-parasitic drug target.

Introduction

Nematode parasites are a major source of disease in both humans and livestock and are a significant crop pest. According to a 2014 report from the World Health Organization, over 1.5 billion people are infected with nematode parasites worldwide [1]. Nematode parasites also devastate crops across the globe [2], and the majority of cattle and sheep farms around the world are plagued by nematode parasites [3]. While available anthelmintic drugs have been
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successful in controlling animal parasites, their continued effectiveness is threatened by the evolution of drug resistance [3–7]. Controlling resistant nematode parasites with currently available anthelmintic drugs has become challenging, which highlights the need for continuous development of new compounds that act on novel targets so as to avoid receptor-mediated mechanisms of cross-resistance.

Many anthelmintic drugs have been identified in whole organism screens of compound libraries using death, paralysis, or developmental arrest as endpoints [8–10]. These primary screens require extensive secondary screens to identify the subset of compounds: 1) with novel targets and thus not subject to cross-resistance with existing drugs, and 2) with no adverse off-target effects. An alternative strategy is to first identify protein targets that have desirable characteristics and subsequently screen for drugs that specifically act on these targets, a strategy that has been the mainstay of industry drug discovery for over 20 years. Mechanism-based screening is particularly challenging for parasitic nematodes due to difficulties in culturing parasitic species and our current inability to manipulate parasitic genomes and transcriptomes with available technological platforms.

Among the criteria for an ideal anthelmintic drug target are: 1) that it be absent from host organisms, 2) that it is not the target of an existing compound and therefore not subject to cross-resistance with existing drugs, 3) that it belongs to a multi-gene family which would increase the likelihood of identifying a drug with multiple targets, thereby potentially increasing the efficacy and possibly slowing the evolution of resistance, and 4) that the target is essential to the life-cycle of the organism. The first three criteria can be evaluated using bioinformatics and available genome databases [11–22]. However, identifying targets essential to overall fitness of the target species requires experimental validation.

Genetic strategies have the potential to validate a target in vivo [23–26]. If the goal were to identify an antagonist/blocker of the target, the phenotype of a corresponding loss-of-function or knockout mutation of the target would reflect the physiological response to an antagonist. In some instances, however, phenotypes may only be deleterious with an agonist or modulator of the target activity, in which case a hypermorphic or gain-of-function mutation would better reflect the drug response. Ideally, the gain-of-function should be inducible so that dominant lethal phenotypes and phenotypes at different stages of development can be tested. The difficulty of identifying a mutation that produces the desired hypermorphic or gain-of-function effect is a significant obstacle to success in the target-based approach and we currently lack other functional genomic tools to allow us to predict the effects of agonist drugs.

The problem of validating targets for which an agonist is required is particularly acute in the case of anthelmintics. Most available anthelmintics, such as levamisole, pyrantel, ivermectin, and monepantel, are agonists that activate members of the pentameric ligand-gated ion channel (pLGIC) superfamily [27]. The superfamily of pLGICs in nematodes is large, diverse, and comprises many channel subunits that are specific to invertebrates or to the phylum nematoda [28]. Among these are the acetylcholine-gated chloride (ACC) channels. The ACC channel clade was first identified in C. elegans and comprises eight subunit genes: acc-1, acc-2, acc-3, acc-4, lgc-46, lgc-47, lgc-48, and lgc-49 [29]. Features of the ACC channel family that make its members attractive anthelmintic drug targets include: 1) the ACCs appear to be nematode-specific, 2) the ACCs are not targets of current anthelmintics, 3) though they bind acetylcholine, their pharmacology is distinct from nicotinic-type receptors, including the nematode levamisole receptors, and 4) the eight subunit genes suggest a multichannel, and thus multi-target, family [29]. It remains only to verify that a drug acting on the ACCs would be sufficiently toxic to qualify as an anthelmintic compound.

Here, we take a genetic approach to the validation of the ACC channels as suitable anthelmintic drug targets. By analyzing the phenotypes of ACC knockouts, which should mimic the
effects of ACC channel antagonists, we show that antagonists of these channels would likely not be sufficiently deleterious to the health or behavior of the worm to be suitable anthelmintics. By contrast, ivermectin-induced activation of ectopically expressed chloride channels (ivermectin receptors) in ACC-expressing tissues, which should mimic the effect of an ACC channel agonist, resulted in paralysis and developmental arrest. We therefore conclude ACC channels would be suitable targets for anthelmintic channel agonists. This strategy, which has been previously used to evaluate the behavioral effects of inhibiting neural circuits [30], has general utility for validating agonists of ion channels as effective anthelmintics.

Methods

Ethics Statement

We have used *Xenopus laevis* oocytes to express ion channels. Tricaine methanesulfonate (MS222) was used an anesthetic administered to the frogs for oocyte extraction. Frogs were monitored daily for two weeks for appetite as well as for any complications such as dehiscence or infection of the surgical site. All animal care protocols are in compliance with McGill’s animal care Standard Operating Procedures; protocol number 2006–5284. All animal care procedures were approved by the McGill Facility Animal Care Committee (FACC).

Phylogeny

*C. elegans* ACC protein sequences were used to query the NCBI database (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/) of nematode sequences. The orthologous sequences from parasites (S1 Table) were aligned (ClustalW), GeneDoc was used to create a percent homology table (Table 1) and PhyML 3.0 [31] was used to create the phylogenetic tree with 100 bootstrap repetitions (Fig 1). We named the ACC homologues according to Beech et al. [32] based on the resulting tree.

Plasmids

We used PCR to amplify regions of the ACC promoters from *C. elegans*. The specific primers used are listed in S2 Table. We made operon constructs of the different ACC promoters with an SL2 trans-splicing domain from the *gpd* gene operon between the promoter and the
AVR-15 open reading frame tagged with YFP in the intracellular loop of the subunit. A modified pPD49.26 vector (Fire Vector Kit, Addgene) was used as a starting point, which has an SL2 transsplicing domain cloned into the multiple cloning site along with the AVR-15::YFP. This vector was then cut with BamHI and MscI (New England Biolabs) and the promoters for the ACC genes were cloned into the vector using the Infusion cloning system (Clontech).

Oocyte Expression and Electrophysiology

PCR-amplified GFP variants with Kpn I sites encoded in the primers were cloned into the Kpn I site of AVR-15 cDNA constructs in the pT7 vector [33]. The vectors were linearized with BamHI to synthesize cRNA using the mMESSAGE mMACHINE T7 kit (Ambion) for injection into oocytes.

Oocytes were harvested according to McGill Standard Operating Procedures for amphibian surgery. Oocytes were kept in standard ND96 solution unless otherwise noted and the solution was changed at least once a day while the oocytes were in culture. Oocytes were injected with 25ng of in vitro synthesized RNA (50nL at 500ng/μl). Injections were performed using the Nanoject system (Drummond Scientific, Broomall, PA) and injected oocytes were incubated at 15°C for approximately 40h before measurements were taken.

Unless otherwise indicated all Two-Electrode Voltage Clamp (TEVC) experiments were performed using a Maltese Cross chamber (ALA Scientific Instruments, Westbury, NY) as described in Purtrenko et al. [29]

Recordings were done using the AxoClamp 2B amplifier (Axon Instruments, Foster City, CA). Data were acquired at 1 kHz using the Clampex 8.1 software (Axon Instruments, Foster City, CA) and analyzed using the Clampfit 8.1 software (Axon Instruments, Foster City, CA). Hill curves were fit to the concentration-response data using the IGOR Pro 6.0.2.4 Software (Wavemetrics Inc., Portland, OR).

C. elegans Strains

A complete list of strains used is found in S4 Table. The Δacc-1 deletion strain carries the allele tm3268. This knockout was generated by the National BioResource Project (Tokyo, Japan), which is part of the International C. elegans Gene Knockout Consortium. The Δacc-2 strain VC1757 acc-2(ok2216) and the Δlgc-49 strain VC40013 lgc-49(gk246966) were made by the C. elegans Reverse Genetics Core Facility at the University of British Columbia, which is part of the international C. elegans Gene Knockout Consortium. The Δlgc-47 strain RB2187 lgc-47 (ok296) was made by the C. elegans Gene Knockout Project at OMRF, which is part of the international C. elegans Gene Knockout Consortium. These strains were outcrossed at least seven times to wild type N2 worms and the respective deletions were confirmed using primers described in S3 Table. S1 Fig illustrates the acc gene deletions, which we predict to be loss-of-functions alleles.

All of the Pacc::AVR-15:YFP strains were made by injecting plasmids into JD369 (avr-14, avr-15, glc-1, glc-3) worms. Constructs were microinjected into worms along with a transfection marker, rol-6, as described by Mello et al. [34]. All ACC promoter constructs were
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Fig 1. Phylogenetic tree of ACCs in C. elegans and parasitic nematode species. A maximum likelihood tree made from predicted protein sequences identified using C. elegans (cel) ACCs as BLAST queries for similar sequences in Haemonchus contortus (Hco), Ancylostoma ceylanicum (Ace), Necator americanus (Nam), Strongyloides ratti (Sra), Brugia malayi (Bma), Wuchereria bancrofti (Wba), Loa loa (Llo), Ascaris suum (Asu), Trichuris suis (Tsu), Trichuris trichiura (Tr), and Trichinella spiralis (Tsp). Bootstrap values out of 100 are indicated at ACC clade-defining branches. The tree was rooted to the α1 subunit of the torpedo nicotinic AChR [81]. Representative subunits from other C. elegans chloride-selective pLGIC clades (LGC-50, LGC-51, LGC-52, MOD-1, EXP-1, AVR-15, GAB-1, and GGR-2) were also included to ensure that predicted ACC orthologs from other species grouped with the celACCs instead of other C. elegans chloride channels. Inset: Phylogenetic relationship of nematodes species. Branch lengths are approximate.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0138804.g001
injected at concentrations ranging from 20–50 ng/μL DNA in water. Progeny of the injected animals were screened for the roller phenotype and singled 2–3 independent stable (F2) strains were kept for each Pacc:: AVR-15::YFP strain and the extrachromosomal arrays were integrated into the genome by gamma-irradiation [35].

Ivermectin Assays

Worm culture dishes were made from standard NGM media [36]. Before pouring the plates, IVM dissolved in DMSO was added to the molten agar mixture to a final concentration of DMSO of 1%. IVM concentrations varied from 0.1 ng/mL to 500 ng/mL in the agar NGM mixture, with low IVM concentrations acting as control conditions due to our need to take the log of the smallest value for our analysis. All plates were seeded with HB101 bacteria.

C. elegans eggs were harvested by standard alkaline-bleach techniques [37] and the eggs were plated by pipetting a fixed volume onto IVM plates seeded with HB101 bacteria. The plates were left at room temperature for 3 days and worms that had developed beyond the first larval stage (L1) were scored as surviving. Each IVM concentration was tested in triplicate for each strain. All results are given as percent survival, averaged over experiments, and normalized to the plate with the greatest number of survivors. For assays using adults, eggs were placed on NGM plates with HB101 bacteria for two days and then transferred to IVM plates, also seeded with HB101, as adults. Worms were scored as dead when they were no longer moving, their pharynxes were not pumping and they did not respond to gentle prodding with a platinum worm pick [38].

Data Analysis

For each transgene, two transgenic strains representing independent genome integration events were tested (see S4 Table for complete strain list) and the resulting data was averaged to calculate EC50, paralysis or survival rate for each acc promoter::AVR-15 fusion combination. Hill curves were fit to the IVM L1 escape data using the IGOR Pro 6.0.2.4 Software (WaveMetrics Inc., Portland, OR) to obtain EC50 values. The base and maximum values were manually set to 0 and 1, respectively. Statistical analysis of the various acc mutant phenotypes was done in SigmaPlot 12.0 (Systat Software Inc.). A t-test was used to compare N2 values to the mutant strain values.

Results

ACC Phylogeny

To determine whether drugs targeting ACCs could be broad-spectrum anthelmintics within the nematode clade, we conducted BLAST searches of available nematode genomes using C. elegans ACC protein sequences as queries. The available genomes represent the major nematode clades and reflect the diversity of the nematode phylum [39]. To identify ACC orthologs, a phylogenetic tree was constructed (Fig 1). The ACCs are highly conserved across nematode species, as summarized in Table 1, with orthologs of most C. elegans ACC subunits in many nematode species. We did not find any ACCs in the Onchocerca volvulus or Onchocerca ochengi genomes in their current state of completion. S1 Table lists the genes used in the phylogenetic analysis, and includes new ACC names for all of the genes, using nomenclature as suggested by Beech et al. [32] From these results, we conclude that a drug targeting the ACCs would likely affect most nematode species.
Antagonists of ACCs would likely not be potent anthelmintics

To understand the likely effects of an ACC channel antagonist, we examined strains of C. elegans with mutations in four of the eight ACC genes: acc-1, acc-2, lgc-47, and lgc-49. All mutant worms were viable and fertile. We investigated three phenotypes that are characteristic of existing anthelmintic drug classes in more detail: development (benzimidazoles, levamisole, macrocyclic lactones), pharyngeal pumping (macrocyclic lactones) and egg-laying (macrocyclic lactones) [40,41]. acc-1 but not acc-2 mutant worms showed slightly slower pharyngeal pumping rates, 244.8 ± 5.8 and 273.1 ± 7.4 pumps per minute respectively, when compared to N2 with 262.2 ± 4.6 pumps per minute (Fig 2A). acc-1 but not lgc-47 mutant worms also showed significantly decreased egg-laying frequency compared to N2, with acc-1 laying 24.2 ± 2.7 eggs in 4h, lgc-47 laying 36.6 ± 2.3 eggs in 4h, compared to 41.1 ± 2.1 eggs laid in 4h for N2 (Fig 2B). acc-2 and lgc-49 mutants had slightly slowed development compared to N2 (Fig 2C and 2D).

None of the phenotypes associated with mutations in these acc genes were severe enough to be
substantially detrimental to the overall health or fertility of the worm; therefore we conclude
that an ACC antagonist would likely not be an effective anthelmintic.

ACC Agonists would make potent anthelmintics

Most existing anthelmintics that act on pLGICs are agonists (e.g. levamisole, macrocyclic lactones, monepantel). We therefore wanted to determine if agonists of ACC channels could be expected to exhibit toxicity to nematodes. We reasoned that an ACC agonist would have the same effect on the cells that express them as an agonist of the structurally similar glutamate-gated chloride channels (GluCls) ectopically expressed in those same cells (Fig 3). Ivermectin is a pseudo-irreversible agonist of the GluCls, which are the physiologically relevant targets of its nematocidal action [33,42,43]. The binding of ivermectin to GluCls in the muscles and nervous system generates permanently shunting chloride currents, which result in tissues that are refractory to excitation. By expressing the GluCl subunit AVR-15 under the control of various ACC promoters and treating the resulting transgenic animals with IVM, we can determine the effects of over-inhibiting the ACC-expressing tissues and should be able to estimate the potential toxicity of a corresponding ACC agonist. Although any inducible chloride channel could in principle be used, the properties of AVR-15 are well suited to our experimental approach: it forms a homomeric ivermectin-gated channel, it expresses ectopically in C. elegans, ivermectin is permeable to the C. elegans cuticle and AVR-15 belongs to the same pentameric receptor superfamily [33] as the ACCs and therefore would be expected to show similar cell biological properties.

To confirm that the AVR-15 GluCl channel is expressed under the control of the heterologous ACC promoters, we created an AVR-15 cDNA construct with a fluorescent protein tag in

Fig 3. Schematic representation of our strategy to validate the ACCs as targets of agonist drugs. Wild-type C. elegans express the IVM-targeted GluCls in essential tissues and thus are sensitive to IVM. The strain JD369 lacks functional IVM-targeted GluCl channels, and is thus insensitive to IVM. By selectively reintroducing the GluCl channel subunit AVR-15 under the control of various ACC promoters, we are able to generate strains that have IVM-gated channels exclusively in tissues that endogenously express the ACC channels. We can then treat these strains with IVM to predict the effects of a direct ACC agonist. Green neurons represent endogenous GluCl-expressing neurons. Red neurons indicate ACC-expressing neurons. Grey neurons indicate loss of GluCl expression in endogenous cells. Yellow neurons indicate GluCl AVR-15::YFP transgene expressed in ACC-expressing cells.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0138804.g003
the intracellular loop. When expressed in *Xenopus* oocytes, the homomeric channels formed by the tagged AVR-15 behaved electrophysiologically like the untagged AVR-15, demonstrating that the fluorescent protein tag does not affect channel assembly or overall function (Fig 4). We then made a similar YFP-tagged AVR-15 (AVR-15::YFP) construct with synthetic introns for expression in *C. elegans*. To increase the likelihood that the ACC promoters would faithfully reflect endogenous ACC expression, we included from one to five of the first introns of the corresponding channel subunits. To ensure portions of the ACC open reading frames from the ACC exons were not fused to the AVR-15 open reading frame, possibly interfering with AVR-15 function, we inserted an SL2 splice site between the promoter and AVR-15, making the ACC and AVR-15 gene behave as an operon. The *Pacc::avr-15::YFP* constructs were microinjected into worms that lack the four endogenous ivermectin-sensitive channel subunits (*avr-14, avr-15, glc-1, glc-3* quadruple mutant strain JD369), which display resistance to ivermectin up to 50μg/mL. The resulting transgenic strains express AVR-15 exclusively in ACC-expressing tissues, as determined by the different ACC promoters. IVM exposure activates the AVR-15 chloride channels in tissues that endogenously express the ACC channels, thus mimicking the effects of a direct ACC agonist. Because JD369 worms lack the IVM targets and thus survive exposure to IVM, a return of IVM sensitivity in the *Pacc::avr-15* strains indicates that the ACCs are expressed in critical tissues and could be good targets for new anthelmintics.

We generated constructs driving AVR-15::YFP using six of the eight ACC promoters: *Pacc-1, Pacc-2, Pacc-3, Plgc-47, Plgc-49, and Plgc-48*, and injected them into JD369 worms. Expression of AVR-15::YFP in all strains appeared to be restricted to the nervous system. The YFP appeared to be localized to the plasma membrane, consistent with proper folding and trafficking of the AVR-15::YFP fusion protein. The LGC-48 ACC promoter (*Plgc-48*) drove expression only in two pairs of non-essential neurons, making it a suitable negative control for non-specific effects of the AVR-15::YFP transgene (Fig 5A and 5B). Notably, the other ACC transgenes appeared to be expressed in ventral cord neurons, as well as a variety of extrapharyngeal neurons (Fig 5C–5F).

**Fig 4.** Fluorophore-tagged AVR-15 behaves electrophysiologically similar to untagged AVR-15 in *Xenopus laevis* oocytes. (A) Dose–response curve with the normalized maximal response on the y-axis plotted against the glutamate concentration on a log scale on the x-axis. The curve represents the average of three oocytes for the untagged AVR-15 and four oocytes for the fluorescently tagged AVR-15; error bars ± 1 SEM. Oocytes were clamped at −80 mV unless otherwise indicated. (B) An oocyte treated with 1mM glutamate and subsequently with 10μM IVM. The arrow indicates when IVM was applied (exposure to IVM was continuous from this point on) and the bar indicates when glutamate was applied. This response is similar to the untagged AVR-15 response as shown in Dent, Davis, and Avery [33]. CFP = Cerulean Fluorescent Protein; VFP = Venus Fluorescent Protein.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0138804.g004
We tested the strains on NGM plates with increasing concentrations of IVM. Eggs were placed on plates and scored for L1 escape (Fig 6). The control strains of Plgc-48::AVR-15::YFP had an EC\textsubscript{50} = 293.0 ± 45.1ng/mL IVM while Pacc-1::AVR-15::YFP strains had an EC\textsubscript{50} = 52.6 ± 13.5ng/mL IVM, Pacc-2::AVR-15::YFP strains had an EC\textsubscript{50} = 28.4 ± 1.5ng/mL IVM, Pacc-3::AVR-15::YFP strains had an EC\textsubscript{50} = 13.57 ± 0.02ng/mL IVM, Plgc-47::AVR-15::YFP strains had an EC\textsubscript{50} = 19.3 ± 1.0ng/mL IVM, and Plgc-49::AVR-15::YFP strains had an EC\textsubscript{50} = 25.0 ± 1.7ng/mL IVM.

While there were Plgc-48::AVR-15::YFP transgenic progeny that survived on IVM concentrations greater than 500ng/mL, when we exposed worms with ACC-1, ACC-2, ACC-3,
LGC-47 and LGC-49 tissues expressing AVR-15 to the same concentrations of IVM, the worms died before reaching adulthood. Moreover, inactivating ACC-2-, ACC-3-, LGC-47-, and LGC-49-expressing tissues from hatching using 50ng/mL IVM resulted in very limited survival, and almost no L1 escape (S2 Fig). Worms with AVR-15 in ACC-1-expressing tissues survived at higher concentrations of IVM than the strains expressing AVR-15 in ACC-2-, ACC-3-, LGC-47-, and LGC-49-expressing tissues. We noticed, however, that at sub-lethal concentrations of IVM, the worms with AVR-15 in ACC-1-expressing tissues were paralyzed, a phenotype consistent with ACC-1 expression in the ventral nerve cord.

Because drugs affecting nematode movement are used to help clear parasitic infections, we quantified acute effects of IVM on adult movement. After 1h on 500ng/mL IVM plates, 92 ± 3% of Pacc-1::AVR-15::YFP adults, 42 ± 21% of Pacc-2::AVR-15::YFP adults, 69 ± 10% of Pacc3::AVR-15::YFP adults, 95 ± 1% of Plgc-47::AVR-15::YFP adults, and 31 ± 15% of Plgc-49::AVR-15::YFP adults were paralyzed, compared to the control strain of Plgc-48::AVR-15::YFP worms which showed 2 ± 1% of adult worms paralysis (Fig 7A). These percentages increased with time, and by four hours of exposure to 500ng/mL IVM, most worms from all the strains, except for the Plgc-48::AVR-15::YFP strains, had stopped moving, with 100% of Pacc-1::AVR-15::YFP adults, 87 ± 6% of Pacc-2::AVR-15::YFP adults, 97 ± 3% of Pacc-3::AVR-15::YFP adults, 100% of Plgc-47::AVR-15::YFP adults, 68 ± 10% of Plgc-49::AVR-15::YFP adults and 9 ± 2% of Plgc-48::AVR-15::YFP adults paralyzed. To see if pACC::AVR-15::YFP-expressing strains recover from IVM treatment, after 4h on IVM the worms were transferred back onto seeded NGM plates, left overnight, and scored for recovery of movement. The severely paralyzed Plgc-47::AVR-15::YFP and Pacc-1::AVR-15::YFP adults did not recover (0.5 ± 0.3% and 3.5 ± 1.3% recovery respectively). The other strains had slightly higher recovery rates with 25.9 ± 5.1% of Pacc-2::AVR-15::YFP adults, 44.5 ± 7.5% of Pacc-3::AVR-15::YFP adults, 39.3 ± 4.0% of Plgc-49::AVR-15::YFP adults and 28.7 ± 10.4% of Plgc-48::AVR-15::YFP adults recovering the ability to move after being left to recover overnight from 4h IVM exposure (Fig 7B).
Since successful treatment of nematode parasitic infections requires killing or clearing animals of various developmental stages, we were also interested in whether an ACC agonist would be likely to have adulticidal activity. To test this, we placed IVM-naïve adults of the Pacc::AVR-15::YFP expressing strains on IVM. All of the strains placed on the IVM plates had adults that survived past day five on 500ng/mL IVM, except for the Plgc-47::AVR-15::YFP strains, which had extremely limited survival (1.1 ± 0.8%) after five days on IVM plates (Fig 8). Plgc-48::AVR-15::YFP adults were largely unaffected by 500ng/mL IVM with an average survival of 93 ± 1.6% after 5 days.

Pacc-2:: and Pacc-3::AVR-15::YFP adults struggled on IVM; however, most of the adults were still alive, exhibiting survival rates of 71.8 ± 9.6% and 86.2 ± 7.9%, respectively. Similarly, 72.5 ± 13.7% of Plgc-49::AVR-15::YFP adult worms survived 5 days of 500ng/mL IVM exposure. Pacc-1::AVR-15::YFP adult worms also had reduced survival (34.5 ± 13.7%) after five-day exposure to 500ng/mL IVM, and they were severely paralyzed.

**Discussion**

We developed a novel validation strategy for potential ion channel targets of anthelmintic drugs. We used the IVM-gated AVR-15 channel to validate five members of the acc gene family in *C. elegans* as appropriate targets for the development of new anthelmintics and believe this approach can be used to validate ion channel targets in any species that is amenable to transgenic manipulation. Our assay is an enabling technology that provides direct validation to support the development of novel ion channel-based drug screens.

**Drug Target Validation Strategies**

Target validation prior to undertaking high-throughput drug screens ensures that hit compounds will have the desired *in vivo* effect. Genetic approaches to target validation have
demonstrated their utility in systems as diverse as cholesterol metabolism [44] and HIV [45]. The underlying principle is that loss-of-function alleles of a gene (e.g. a knockout) mimic the effects of a small molecule antagonist and hypermorphic or pathway-activating mutations mimic agonists.

We were interested in developing a genetic strategy in *C. elegans* to validate ligand-gated ion channels as suitable targets for novel anthelmintic agonists or antagonists. Most successful anthelmintics are channel agonists, and the genetic evidence suggests that antagonists of ivermectin receptors and monepantel receptors would not be efficacious anthelmintics. Ivermectin is an agonist/activator of GluCls, which are themselves, non-essential targets; *avr-14, avr-15, glc-1, glc-3* quadruple mutants are insensitive to ivermectin, are viable and only display subtle behavioral phenotypes [33,46–53]. Similarly, the monepantel-resistant *C. elegans acr-23* knockout strains have no obvious phenotype [54], while monepantel-induced over-activation of ACR-23 is an effective anti-parasitic strategy. In contrast, levamisole-resistant mutants, primarily knockout alleles of the levamisole-sensitive nicotinic-type acetylcholine receptor subunits, have a non-lethal uncoordinated locomotion phenotype [55] consistent with their role mediating cholinergic neurotransmission at the neuromuscular junction. The knockout phenotype indicates that a levamisole receptor antagonist could be an effective anthelmintic, a prediction that was confirmed with the discovery of the anthelmintic derquantel [56] and the fact that paraherquamide and its analog 2-deoxy-paraherquamide are also competitive cholinergic antagonists [57]. Presumably, for neurotransmitter receptor ion channels the greater toxic potential of agonists is because an antagonist interferes with a subset of synapses, degrading information processing, whereas an agonist renders the entire excitable cell non-functional. However, if the synaptic transmission blocked by an antagonist is sufficiently widespread and/or critical to vital behaviors, as in the case of levamisole/derquantel receptors, an antagonist will also be an effective anthelmintic. Hence, we predict that the neurotransmitter receptors for which an antagonist will be an effective anthelmintic will always be a subset of the

![Fig 8. Adulticidal IVM activity.](https://example.com)
receptors for which an agonist will be effective. Consistent with that prediction, we showed that knockouts of individual ACCs had only modest effects on viability in *C. elegans* and therefore an ACC antagonist is less likely to be an effective anti-parasitic drug. It is possible, however, that unlike the GluCls, the ACCs have redundant essential functions and that a drug that inactivated many ACC channels simultaneously would have deleterious effects on the worm.

Hypermorphic or gain-of-function alleles can, in principle, reveal the effect of an agonist, however the technology for generating hypermorphs, specifically the ability to predict what mutations will be hypermorphic, is often inaccessible. In addition, a mutation present from birth raises issues of adaptation or developmental effects that can affect the interpretation of phenotypes, leading to the development of conditional mutations. We have ectopically expressed the IVM-activated chloride channel AVR-15 under control of ACC promoters and treated the worms with IVM, allowing precise temporal and spatial control of tissue inactivation. This approach was used to infer the effects of an ACC-agonist on a model nematode, and could be used to validate other ion channels as suitable targets of agonist drugs.

The ACCs are Validated Targets of Agonists

Our results indicate that that the ACCs are promising targets for anthelmintic discovery. Constitutive activation of a chloride channel in ACC-2-, ACC-3-, LGC-47- and LGC-49-expressing tissues was lethal or resulted in arrested or severely delayed development of *C. elegans* larvae. These ACC targets therefore have potential as larvicides for treatment of filariases and microfilariae. Treatment of infections with gastrointestinal nematodes requires expulsion of the adults from the gastrointestinal tract, which can be achieved by affecting the motility of the worms. We found that ivermectin-induced inhibition of tissues expressing ACC-1, or LGC-47, resulted in rapid and severe paralysis. Based on the AVR-15::YFP expression patterns, both of these subunits appear to be expressed in neurons innervating the ventral nerve cord, a key circuit in coordination of locomotion. Thus, we predict agonists of ACC-1 and/or LGC-47 would be efficacious against infections with gastrointestinal nematodes. It is also important to note that we validated individual ACC subunits. A drug that acted on many members of the structurally similar ACC family would likely exhibit greater efficacy and would be less susceptible to the evolution of receptor-mediated drug resistance, although ultimately, the efficacy of an ACC agonist would depend on the physiological roles of the tissues in which the ACCs are expressed.

Interestingly, the of potency of the AVR-15 expressing strains varied significantly, even though the potency might be expected to reflect the affinity of ivermectin for AVR-15, which should be the same in all strains. Instead, the variation in potency, as measured by whole organism phenotypes, may reflect the pharmacokinetics of ivermectin–internal tissues may experience different ivermectin concentrations at a given cuticular ivermectin exposure. Similarly, the recovery from ivermectin treatment (Fig 7B) may be an indication of pharmacokinetics; strains recovering from ivermectin treatment may express AVR-15 in tissues that experience lower ivermectin concentrations or higher rates of detoxification.

Adulticidal compounds that are not potent larvicides are also of interest for the control of filariases as part of mass drug administration programs. Ivermectin, which is currently the cornerstone of mass drug administration programs for the control of onchocerciasis, only kills microfilaria (larvae). A compound that would also kill adult parasites, which can live up to a decade, would obviate the need for repeat treatments to control symptoms caused by microfilaria. Our results indicate that an LGC-47 agonist would be the most potent adulticide, albeit after prolonged drug exposure. However, the efficacy of inhibition of larval growth was still much greater than the adulticidal activity, indicating that ACC agonists would likely be more effective larvicides than adulticides.
C. elegans as a Model for Validation of Potential Anti-Parasitic Drug Targets

The choice of C. elegans as a model for parasitic nematodes is subject to several caveats. First, the target must be widely conserved among nematodes. The conservation of homologous genes among C. elegans and parasitic nematodes varies across the diverse phylum, ranging from 35% -70% [58]. Importantly, we show that the ACCs are highly conserved, even in the most distantly related parasitic species (e.g. Trichinella spiralis). Second, the anatomy among nematodes should be conserved. Neural anatomy of parasites has not been sufficiently well-characterized to make a clear determination in this regard, although, Haemonchus contortus amphid neurons have been reconstructed by electron microscopy and appear to have close correspondence with C. elegans amphid neurons [59]. Similarly, the ventral cord anatomy of Ascaris suum has been characterized electrophysiologically and is analogous to that of C. elegans [60–62]. Finally, target expression must be broadly conserved across nematode species. Using GluCls as an example, while GluCl ortholog expression patterns are not perfectly conserved between Haemonchus contortus and C. elegans, their expression in essential tissues and overall functions appear to be conserved [63,64]. Moreover, C. elegans and H. contortus both show inhibition of pharyngeal pumping at comparable, physiologically-relevant, ivermectin concentrations in vitro [65–67], although C. elegans appears more sensitive to the locomotor effects [65]. The size of the GluCl family and the conservation of specific subunit orthologs, however, has also been shown to vary across nematode species [22,47]. Changes in the size and/or expression patterns of the GluCl gene family may explain the differences in sensitivity of C. elegans and the filarial species to the effects of IVM on locomotion in microfilaria and adults as well as effects on larval development in vitro [68–72]. Although IVM kills adult C. elegans, but not adult filaria, it does so preventing pharyngeal pumping resulting in starvation [33], a mechanism that predictably would not be relevant to subcuticular parasites that may not require the pharynx to absorb nutrients in vivo, even if GluCl expression in the pharynx is conserved. If the sterilizing effect of IVM in adult female filaria in vivo is primarily a result of inhibition of male mating [69, 72], then the paralysis of C. elegans males by IVM may reflect the conservation of GluCl expression in the male mating/locomotor circuit. Finally, to the extent that an anthelmintic exerts its antiparasitic effect by altering the interaction of the parasite with its host or with a symbiont, those mechanisms will not be reflected in the C. elegans model [68,71,73].

The use of the AVR-15 channel to mimic activation of an endogenous chloride channel also has associated caveats. For instance, the transgenic strains we used contain multiple copies of the transgene (extrachromosomal arrays), and therefore the transgene is typically overexpressed, possibly resulting in an overestimate of the severity of the phenotype when compared to an agonist of the endogenous channel. However, C. elegans neurons are thought to be nearly isopotential, and have high enough input resistance, that a single ion channel is sufficient to change the membrane potential of a neuron [74]. Thus, even a modestly-expressed ion channel target, when activated by a drug with comparable effectiveness to IVM, is likely to clamp the membrane to an unexcitable potential and/or act as a potent shunt of excitation. Similarly, endogenous channels may be synaptically targeted, resulting in localized effects, whereas AVR-15::YFP appears to be uniformly distributed in the membrane. However, again, the putative isopotentiality of neurons likely minimizes synapse-specific effects. Finally, leaky expression of AVR-15 from our promoter fusions may lead to over-estimation of the sensitivity of the transgenic strains to activation of endogenous channels. The Plgc-48::avr-15::YFP strain allowed us to estimate an upper limit on the lethality attributable to general, low-level, leaky expression of the AVR-15::YFP construct, and we propose that the added lethality seen in our other Pacc::
avr-15::YFP strains is exclusively due to inactivation of those ACC-expressing tissues. Overexpression of the transgenes, and the associated potentially negative consequences, could be addressed by creating strains with targeted, single-copy insertions of the transgenes, especially now that the technology for such fine-tuned genetic manipulation has become available in many different species [75–80].

In order to use IVM in our assay, we had to perform all the experiments in an IVM-resistant quadruple GluCl mutant background (strain JD369). As previously mentioned, JD369 worms are viable, fertile and only have very subtle phenotypes. Despite this fact, the IVM-resistant background necessary for these experiments may complicate the translation of this technique for validation of chloride channel targets in other species with endogenous IVM targets. While these factors will tend to result in less conservative estimates of target sensitivity to agonists, we are reassured by the similarity of the ACC neuronal expression patterns to endogenous anthelminthic targets such as AVR-14, another pLGIC subunit targeted by IVM [46].

There remain many uncharacterized pLGICs in nematodes and, along with the subunits that have been characterized but are not currently targets of anthelmintics, it is clear that many novel nematode pLGIC subunits could be validated as suitable drug targets. In principle the technique that we presented here to validate the ACCs could be used to validate agonists of other chloride-selective ion channels in any organism or strain amenable to creation of transgenics and that is not otherwise ivermectin sensitive. The validation strategy we describe expands opportunities to employ target-selective screening of ion channels.
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