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Abstract: The influence of individual acromial morphology on the pathogenesis of rotator cuff tears remains controversial. Since Neer first described the impingement syndrome, several authors have described the morphology of the acromion. In this study, we try to find a physiopathological correlation between the anatomical morphology of the acromion based on these two recently described parameters (Acromial index and Critical Shoulder Angle) and rotator cuff tears. Thirty-six consecutive conventional rotator cuff repair patients were retrospectively reviewed at our institution. Standardized, true anteroposterior radiographs with the arm in the neutral position were used to assess the acromion index, and the critical shoulder angle according. On standardized outlet views, the acromion morphology was classified according to Bigliani and Morrison. The acromion was flat in 2 patients (6%), curve (type 2) in eight patients, and hooked. The mean acromial index was 0.7. The mean critical shoulder angle was 37°. Acromial morphology plays without any doubt an important role in the pathogenesis impingement and its evolution to cuff rotator tears. The results of our study confirm the importance of a more comprehensive evaluation of the morphology of the acromion, which take in consideration not only acromial shape, but especially its lateral extension evaluated by the acromial index and the critical angle of the shoulder.
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1. Introduction

Impingement syndrome and rotator cuff tears have been related to the morphology of the acromion. Since Neer [1] first described the impingement syndrome in 1972, several authors have described the morphology of an acromion, with regards to its shape [2], anterior slope [3], lateral acromion angle [4], and relationship with rotator cuff integrity. Recently, Nyffeler et al. [5] introduced an acromion index (AI) as a new biomechanical value and hypothesized that a large lateral extension of an acromion predisposes the supraspinatus tendon to degenerate because of its impingement between an acromion and the higher ascending force of a deltoid muscle. Moor et al. [6] described another parameter which quantifies the extent of acromial cover and the inclination of the glenoid without being influenced by a flattening of the humeral head or excessive bony erosion of the posterior of the glenoid and they called it the critical shoulder angle (CSA). In this study, we try to find a

physiopathological correlation between the anatomical morphology of the acromion based on these two parameters (IA and CSA) and rotator cuff tears.

2. Method

2.1. Patient Selection

Thirty-six consecutive conventional rotator cuff repair patients were retrospectively reviewed at our institution. We included patients with available preoperative true anteroposterior and standardized lateral (outlet) views. Patients with inflammatory disease or a history of trauma or previous surgery were excluded. There were 26 men and 10 women (ratio sex: 2.5). The mean age was 53 years [27- 80].

2.2. Radiologic Assessment

Standardized, true anteroposterior radiographs with the arm in the neutral position were used to assess the acromion index (AI) as described by Nyffeler et al. [5] (Figure 1), and
the critical shoulder angle according to Moor et al. [6] (Figure 2). On standardized outlet views, the acromion morphology was classified according to Bigliani and Morrison into type I (flat), type II (curved), and type III (hooked). [2]

Figure 1. Measuring of acromion index: GH: Glenohumeral Distance, GA: Glenoacromial Distance.

Figure 2. Measuring of critical shoulder angle.

3. Results

According to Bigliani and Morisson classification, acromion was flat (type 1) in 2 patients (6%), curve (type 2) in eight patients (22%), and hooked (type 3). The mean acromial index was 0.7 (± 0.057). The mean critical shoulder angle was 37° (± 1.95). (Table)

Table 1. Radiologic assessment.

| Patients | Age | Sex | Bigliani | IA   | CSA |
|----------|-----|-----|----------|------|-----|
| 1        | 27  | F   | 3        | 0,68 | 37  |
| 2        | 31  | H   | 2        | 0,72 | 36  |
| 3        | 45  | H   | 1        | 0,62 | 34  |
| 4        | 56  | H   | 2        | 0,6  | 33  |
| 5        | 42  | F   | 3        | 0,6  | 35  |
| 6        | 39  | H   | 3        | 0,73 | 36  |
| 7        | 47  | H   | 1        | 0,58 | 33  |
| 8        | 38  | F   | 3        | 0,7  | 38  |

4. Discussion

The influence of individual scapular morphology on the pathogenesis of rotator cuff tears (RCTs) remains controversial. Although some authors place great importance on anatomic variants, particularly those of the acromion, other authors have suggested that these changes are the result of rather than the cause of degenerative rotator cuff disease. [7] - [10] So the pathogenesis of rotator cuff tears has been considered the result of a combination of intrinsic and extrinsic factors. Degenerative change [8], hypovascularity [11], and micro-structural collagen fibre abnormalities [12] are recognized as the intrinsic factors, and subacromial impingement [13], [14], tensile overload [15], and the repetitive use [16] are recognized as the extrinsic factors. Neer [1] Based on cadaveric dissection and intra-operative observation, introduced the term impingement syndrome to describe mechanical conflict between the anterior acromion and the rotator cuff. He attributed 95% of all RCTs to the pathological shape of the anterior acromion. Bigliani and Morrison [2] identified three distinct acromial shapes that were more or less likely to be associated with RCTs. Balke et al. [17] supported the finding that the hook acromion is the predisposing factor to degeneration of supraspinatus tendon by publishing that, compared to the control group, significant portion of patients with subacromial pathology had the hook acromion. However, the result of Jacobson et al. [18] and that of Zuckerman et al. [19] reported the poor intra- and inter-observer agreement of this classification. Hamid et al. [20] also confirmed that Bigliani acromial morphology
classification system lacked inter-observer reliability despite a standardized fashion with a precise radiographic protocol by specially trained staff. So although used in practice, this classification is controversial because of its high inter-observer variability. This variability is due to the lack of a clear definition of the terms "flat", "hooked" and "curve" and to the random quality of radiographic images, which are particularly sensitive to minor variations in the cranio-caudal angulation of the x-ray tube. [21] Recently, Nyffeler et al. [5] introduced an acromion index. Which is measured by drawing three parallel lines and measuring the distances between those lines. The first line connected the superior and inferior osseous margins of the glenoid cavity. The second is tangential to the lateral border of the acromion, and the third line is tangential to the most lateral part of the proximal part of the humerus. The distance from the glenoid to the acromion was divided by the distance from the glenoid to the lateral aspect of the humeral head, and the resulting value was called the acromion index. Using a ratio rather than absolute values permits to avoid magnification errors on radiographic images and to compare individuals of different sizes. Since the introduction of the AI by Nyffeler in 2006, his concept was strengthened by the contribution of several studies. [17], [22] - [26] Torrens et al [25] confirmed the relationship between RCTs and a large coverage of the humeral head. Although those authors used a slightly modified technique to quantify the lateral extension of the acromion, their results were comparable to those of Nyffeler et al. A high AI was then found to be a risk factor for a re-tear after rotator cuff repair as well as an associated factor for progression of the tear size. Contrary to these findings, Hamid et al [20] found no association between a large lateral extension of the acromion and presence of rotator cuff disease. Xinyu Li [27] in a more recent three-dimensional analysis, find that the acromial morphological variation is related with subacromial impingement. Kim et al in an MRI study showed that a higher AI was detected more frequently in patients with large-to-massive rotator cuff tears than in patients with partial-thickness articular side tears on MRI. [22] In our study the AI was at mean 0.7, which means the existence of an important lateral acromial overhang in the patients with RCTs. This result confirms that AI is an important parameter to consider in RCTs.

Moor [6] described the critical shoulder angle. This is an angle formed between the line from the lower edge of the glenoid to its upper edge and another line from the lower edge of the Glenoid at the lateral edge of the acromion. This angle combines the acromion index and the inclination of the glenoid fossa. According to the results of Moor’s [6] study, this angle is between 30° and 35° in the population without RCTs or osteoarthritis. He considers this as the better parameter to evaluate the lateral extension of the acromion and according to him; this must be taken into consideration in any treatment of RCTs. In Population with RCTs, this angle measures more than 35°. In another recent study, Moor demonstrated that there is an excellent correlation between the AI and CSA. His finding imply that the lateral extension of the acromion represents the more relevant risk factor for rotator cuff disease. [10] Garcia et al. In a retrospective study, make a postoperative ultrasound examination after arthroscopic repair of RCTs. They find that higher CSA significantly increased the risk of a full thickness rotator cuff retear after arthroscopic repair. But for Kirsch et al. [28] while the CSA is a strong radiographic predictor of rotator cuff pathology, it does not appear to have a predictive effect on outcomes after arthroscopic repair of atraumatic full-thickness tears.

In our study, we find that CSA is superior to 35°. Therefore, we confirm that high CSA is correlated with an important risk of rotator cuff tears.

5. Conclusion

Acromial morphology plays without any doubt an important role in the pathogenesis impingement and its evolution to cuff rotator tears. The results of our study confirm the importance of a more comprehensive evaluation of the morphology of the acromion, which take in consideration not only acromial shape, but especially its lateral extension evaluated by the acromial index and the critical angle of the shoulder. A larger comparative study is necessary to confirm these results.
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