Integrating the Readiness and IS-Impact Constructs in the Rural Area Context: A Model Development
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Abstract. The purpose of this research is to develop a new model by integrating the impact and readiness of the model that has been done before. The steps are taken a start from adopting, combining and adapting the previous model, namely by identifying the impact of ICT readiness in the countryside. The researchers developed the model based on the input-process-output logic and the processional and causal model of the IS impact models. This study has identified 9 variables and 45 indicators that have a relationship between impact and readiness. The findings of this study are the limited number of human resources who control ICT, the inequality of networks, the adequacy of institutional needs and the existence of budget support from authorized institutions and information systems that have not accommodated all service needs and have not been implemented in all villages. The conclusion of this study is combination model by integrating four preparedness model variables and five variables from the success model. In addition to the development process, clarity of coherent relationships between models, variables, indicators, and questions from each indicator are also presented in this study.

1. Introduction
The development of ICT is an important topic of interest, nowadays, we can see this from the research that has been carried out in academic journals and international conferences with the same theme. The development of ICT in Indonesia in 2018 has had an influence in almost all areas of life. The social, economic, political and cultural fields are the aspects that are most affected, both directly and indirectly. Electronic media such as mobile phones, the Internet, and social media applications such as Facebook, Twitter, Youtube, Whatsapp have become the necessities of daily living, this can be seen based on a survey conducted by APJII in 2017, namely 143.26 million internet users or 54.7% of Indonesia's population. However, if viewed from the viewpoint of Indonesia's diversity which stretches from Sabang to Merauke, the development of ICT infrastructure and content in Indonesia is still concentrated in urban areas. Only a small proportion is absorbed in the countryside. At a more practical level, the use of ICT is allegedly not able to answer the real problems of society. The use of ICT for example, has not yet had an impact on improving the welfare of the community [1]. The phenomenon of ICT in the midst of people's lives, from several pieces of literature, has been known to have played many roles in improving the quality of human civilization, especially in relation to life activities in the field of communication and information. Telephones, photographs, films, videos, radio, and television, may be examples of the form of ICT products that used to be so big in the daily lives of people. However, the appearance of these ICT products has become old-fashioned or old ICT when rapid and sophisticated technological developments have succeeded in realizing modern ICT products capable of carrying out a digital revolution through internet media [2]. ICTs have a major influence on rural development. The villages...
that have been marginalized in ICT maps are now slowly becoming known globally. Digitizing ICTs supported by the development of cellular communication network infrastructure opens access to connectivity between villages and other communities. This condition is like answering Marshall McLuhan's predictions when introducing the idea of a global village (global village) half a century ago. The global village explains that there is no time limit or place. Information generated from ICT can also be referred to as Information Systems (SI), where information can move from one place to another in a very short time. The concept of a global village in context has actually occurred in the rural communities of Indonesia today as a result of SI [3]. SI is an integral part of the business. Therefore the SI strategic plan must be in accordance with the strategic plan of the village government office [4].

The success of SI is an important topic of interest, nowadays, we can see this from the research that has been carried out in academic journals and international conferences with the same theme. These findings suggest that methodological empirical studies are dominant, especially the notion of "success" represented by individual benefits, where the DeLone & McLean model as the basis [5, 6]. The research on combining the technology readiness model in the success model of information systems that has been done by previous researchers states that most IS models are developed by adopting, combining, and adapting previously, where the development of models is based on input-process-output logic and processional and causal models from the IS success model [7, 8]. Based on this, the researchers assumes that the constructs are interrelated between one another, and some constructs are combined with others. For example, the theory of technology readiness and acceptance of models [9-12]. Therefore, researchers assume that this phenomenon is interesting to study further, how to continue the study of the impact of IS readiness by developing a new model that was developed through stages of adoption, combination or adaptation of the existing IS models.

The purpose of this study is to explore further the effect of technological readiness on the construction of success of IS and develop a model of Information System Readiness Impact (ISRI) by adopting, combining, and adapting technological readiness [13] and the IS success model [14]. Based on the explanation above, two research questions were obtained, which were then proposed to guide the implementation of this exploration research, namely:

RQ1. How to explore the study of conditions for rural ICT readiness?
RQ2. How do you know the impact of readiness for the success of ICT in rural areas?

This research is divided into five parts, the first part explains the introduction of research. Then in the second part followed by a literature review, the third part is the research method, the fourth part is the result and discussion, and the fifth part is the conclusion.

Bouwman defines that ICT is a combination of telecommunications and computer technology [15]. ICT can be interpreted as Information System (SI), this was demonstrated by Xu in his research stating that how to support the integration of business processes and services within an organization [16]. Information Systems (IS) has a very important role and significantly influence the business world [17]. Therefore, IS can have a good impact that is beneficial to the owner, but they must be successful in implementing it [18, 19]. This proves that the success of IS is a challenge for users before getting the benefits of the system [16]. In his research, Irani stated that the success of the system must be thoroughly examined, this is done to ensure that the benefits of the system can be realized [6]. The impact of IS indirectly can be influenced by many factors, such as humans, organizations and the environment [17]. The relationship between readiness and the impact of IS success can be illustrated sequentially throughout a retrospective analysis of usability, satisfaction, readiness, acceptance, and success constructs, for example, a computer-based system [20]. In the case of system integration, IS can be successfully developed technically, even though it has not yet received optimal benefits. Therefore to find out the factors that influence integration is the initial stage of integration itself [21]. At present IS Integration has become the focus of many people and institutions, so that it is inevitable that integration is very important [22], in terms of function, autonomy, diversity, and business distribution problems between organizations [23]. But in reality, many organizations ignore aspects of internal readiness in developing their IS [13].

Model development can be done by adopting, combining, and adapting the previous IS model, this is the researchers' assumption based on indications that are consistent with the tendency of research on the IS model [8, 24-31].
2. Research Method

The researchers developed the model based on the input-process-output logic and the processional and causal model of the IS impact models. This model development study was performed throughout its four main stages. First, the preliminary study (S1) was conducted by reviewing retrospectively the behavioural, of the IS studies, e.g., the readiness, success, and the impact themes [5, 8, 32-34]. Second, was also performed to formulate the research programs. The stage was then followed by the modelling works in the second stage (S2). The developed model then was broke down into the research instrument level in the operationalization stage (S3). Finally the developed research model and its data collecting instrument were then proposed within the reporting stage, in terms of the research implementation (S4). In simplifying the path to research on the development of this model, in this study it was divided into several strategies. This strategy is carried out to facilitate the course of research, namely by dividing the four main stages of research, these four stages consist of S1, S2, S3, and S4. (See Figure 1).

![Figure 1. The Research Procedure [35]](attachment:figure1.png)

The first strategy is represented by S1, which is a preliminary study, namely conducting studies by reviewing retrospectively by studying the themes of behavior, organization, and social IS, for example, usability, satisfaction, readiness, acceptance, and success [6, 7, 13, 17, 36]. This retrospective review of the literature was carried out in order to formulate a research program. The second strategy is represented by S2, which is to develop modeling. At the development stage this model is further divided into 4 sub-stages, starting from the first sub-stage which is represented by (S2.1) which is useful in developing assumptions based on the theory used, which is based on assumptions found in research on model readiness technology developed by Parasuraman and Colby [13], In addition to the readiness model technology, it also refers to the IS success model developed by DeLone and McLean [14], then the researcher adopts, merges, and adapts in the second sub-stage which is represented by (S2.2), combining is done in the third sub-stage represented by (S2.3), then the adaptation is done in the fourth sub-stage which is represented by (S2.4). The third strategy represented by S3 is a transformation process from the sub-stage of model development to the operational stage, namely by using research instruments. The fourth or final strategy is represented by (S4), which is a research model that will be developed, in which there is a data collection instrument which is then proposed in the implementation of this research. (See Table 1).
### Table 1. List of the basic models and theories

| The Basic Models and Theories                      | References          |
|---------------------------------------------------|---------------------|
| Information processing theory                     | [8, 37]             |
| IS success model                                  | [8, 14, 17, 38-40]  |
| Technology readiness Model                        | [13]                |
| Processional and causal models of a model development | [6, 17, 41-43]      |

### 3. Result and Discussion

The ISRI model is the model proposed in this study, presented in Figure 2. The development of the ISRI model was inspired by the development of the model carried out by previous researchers [6], the following is a model development carried out by Anfara and Mertz [44] and Research conducted by Belout and Gauvreau [45], where the research indicates that most SI research models tend to be developed practically using previous models rather than based on empirical studies. In general, the model is developed through several stages, namely by adopting, combining, and adapting technological readiness [13] and IS model success [14] with the nine variables, namely, Optimism (OPT), Innovativeness (INV), Discomfort (DCF), Insecurity (ISC), Impact of Individuals (II), Organizational Impact (OI), Quality System (SQ), Information Quality (IQ), and Impact of System Integration Success (IS-Impact). The first four variables were adopted from the Technology Readiness Model [13] and others from the IS success model [6, 8, 14, 17].

**Figure 2. The proposed model ISRI**

IS integration can be done with the Input, Process, Output (IPO Logic) [37, 41], this is based on research on model development that has been carried out by previous researchers [8, 18, 26, 28, 30]. Whereas in the context of the professional and causal dimensions of the model about the IS success model in the process and output on the dimensions of the IPO logic the researcher refers to the Delone and McLean models [14].

Viewed from a more detailed perspective, system development and system usage dimensions are assumed in one process, this is the life cycle of a product [43]. Here, an integrated system can be assumed as the output of the process. Whereas in professional and causal models of system integration,
researchers believe that system readiness is also one of the input factors [13, 46, 47]. In other words, technological readiness [13] and IS success [14] can be done through construction and then adopting, combining and adapting research into the development of the ISRI model to assess system readiness factors for the success of IS integration.

Referring to previous research [13, 46, 47] there are indications that IS implementation can be influenced by preparedness construction. While in the context of IPO logic towards IS integration, construction is assumed to be a dimension of logic input. Therefore, in terms of adoption and combination of technological readiness [13] and IS Success [14], hence the researcher assumes that each variable from the input dimension affects each variable from the output process. The dimensions of system creation will affect the use of a system, this is revealed by research on the success of the information system carried out by DeLone and McLean [14]. This assumption is similar to the research conducted by Jugdev and Muller [43]. Therefore researchers assume that every dimension in system development affects system variables. Referring to previous research [8, 14, 17, 37, 41] about developing professional and causal models, revealing the output dimension is influenced by the dimensions of the process. So the researcher hypothesizes that each variable from the process dimension will affect the output dimension variable. For further variables and indicators to be defined in the following table 2, table 3 and table 4.

### Table 2. List of The Variables [13, 14, 40]

| Variable | Definition |
|----------|------------|
| OPT      | Level to believe that IS might occur |
| INV      | The level to see that IS is the advanced level of the system |
| DCF      | The level of knowledge that IS is an uncomfortable thing |
| ISC      | The degree of distrust that IS integration can be properly implemented and concerns about potentially dangerous consequences |
| II       | The level of benefits received by the recipient IS because of the IS application |
| OI       | The level of company benefits received by the organization due to the SI application |
| SQ       | The desired level of characteristics of the IS application |
| IQ       | The desired level of characteristics of the system output |
| ISI      | The level of achievement of IS is based on the planning of its implementation |

### Table 3. List of the Indicators [13, 14, 28, 40]

| Indicators      | Definitions |
|-----------------|-------------|
| Easiness (OPT1) | The level is related to the ability of information and communication technology in providing freedom from obstacles, difficulties, and problems |
| Connectivity (OPT2) | The level of the system's ability to connect successfully with other systems |
| Efficiency (OPT3) | The level associated with achieving the system to produce output compared to the resources needed to achieve output |
| Effectiveness (OPT4) | Levels related to the ability of the system to achieve its intended use |
| Productivity (OPT5) | The level associated with the support of the system to produce output compared to the resources needed to produce output |
| Problem Solving (INV1) | Levels related to system support to find solutions to problems |
| Independence (INV2) | The level associated with the system's ability to support its users is free of control or influence |
| Challenge (INV3) | The level associated with system support is to successfully deal with or achieve something in a difficult situation or problem |
| Stimulation (INV4) | Levels related to system support to encourage things to happen, develop, or improve |
| Competitiveness (INV5) | Levels related to system capabilities to support users to be more successful than their competitors |
| Indicators       | Definitions                                                                                           |
|-----------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Complexity (DCF1) | The levels associated with system features are confusing or difficult to understand                   |
| Difficulty (DCF2) | Levels related to system conditions that cannot be operated easily                                     |
| Dependence (DCF3) | The level associated with the condition of the system that requires another party to operate it      |
| Lack of Support (DCF4) | Levels associated with systems that do not have, or are sufficient, from support in their operations |
| Inappropriateness (DCF5) | Level associated with inappropriate conditions                                                          |
| Failure (ISC1) | The level associated with the possibility that an unpleasant or dangerous system might occur           |
| Threat (ISC2) | Levels related to system situations that can cause danger or threats                                    |
| Reducing Interaction (ISC3) | The level associated with the implementation of the system makes human interaction less in size, number, and importance |
| Distraction (ISC4) | The level associated with using the system gets attention and prevents people from concentrating on something else |
| Incredulity (ISC5) | The level associated with the system is hesitant about its utilization                                 |
| Learning (II1) | The level of effort is made to get something new                                                     |
| Awareness (II2) | The level of feeling knowing or remembering                                                           |
| Decision effectiveness (II3) | The level of decision making that produces decisions that can solve problems                             |
| Individual productivity (II4) | Levels related to Determine productivity and direct behavior                                           |
| Organizational cost (OI1) | The level associated with the initial costs incurred to make the company                             |
| Staff requirements (OI2) | Levels related to job categories or job codes for parts of the day, day, or shift.                   |
| Cost reduction (OI3) | Levels related to processes used by companies to reduce costs and increase their profits.              |
| Overall productivity(OI4) | The level of the overall ability to produce goods or services                                           |
| Improved outcome (OI5) | The level of quality and cost target of health care organizations is trying to be improved            |
| Easy of Learning (SQ1) | The degree of freedom by IS from obstacles, difficulties, and problems during its use               |
| Easy of use (SQ2) | The level associated with obtaining, checking, or retrieving (data or files)                         |
| Access (SQ3) | The level of documents that are usually used in software engineering that determines what software users expect to do |
| User requirements (SQ4) | Levels that are related to the typical nature of goods or services that distinguish them from similar items |
| System feature (SQ5) | The situation or fact becomes very important or valuable.                                             |
| Importance (IQ1) | Quality can be used or obtained.                                                                     |
| Availability (IQ2) | The extent to which something can or is suitable for use.                                             |
| Usability (IQ3) | The way in which something is set.                                                                    |
| Format (IQ4) | The level of how data is collected and is usually assessed by comparing several measurements from the same or different sources |
| Content accuracy (IQ5) | The level associated with the comparison of the value of the IS output and the resources needed to reach the output |
| IS Efficiency (ISI1) | The level associated with the ability of the system's ability to meet the needs of users to achieve their goals |
| IS Effectiveness (ISI2) | The extent to which IS helps users create value for their business                                   |
Indicators | Definitions
--- | ---
Productivity improvement (ISI4) | The level associated with system support is to increase output compared to the resources needed to produce output.
Competitive Advantage (ISI5) | Levels associated with favorable positions of IS users are integrated to compete in business competitions.

### Table 4. List of the questionnaire statements

| Variable | Statements of the questionnaires |
|--- | --- |
| OPT1 | The system is free of obstacles, difficulties, and problems |
| OPT2 | The system can be easily connected with other systems |
| OPT3 | The system operates in minimal resources |
| OPT4 | The system operates in maximum output |
| OPT5 | The system can be operated efficiently and effectively |
| INV1 | System is a problem-solving tool for users |
| INV2 | System helps users to be free from control or influence |
| INV3 | The system supports users to achieve goals in difficult situations or problems |
| INV4 | The system encourages users to reach the destination |
| INV5 | System supports users to be more successful than their competitors |
| DCF1 | System confuses users in their operations |
| DCF2 | The system cannot be operated easily |
| DCF3 | The system cannot be operated freely |
| DCF4 | The system is operated without full support operation |
| DCF5 | The system is not in accordance with the development plan |
| ISC1 | The system is not successfully operated in accordance with its development planning |
| ISC2 | System in situations that can cause danger or danger |
| ISC3 | The system makes users less in interaction |
| ISC4 | The system makes users not focus on their interests |
| ISC5 | This system is doubtful to use |
| II1 | The level of effort is made to get something new |
| II2 | The level of feeling knowing or remembering |
| II3 | The level of decision making that produces decisions that can solve problems |
| II4 | Levels related to Determine productivity and direct behavior |
| OI1 | Initial costs incurred to make the company |
| OI2 | Degrees related to job categories or job codes for parts of the day, day or shift. |
| OI3 | Levels related to processes used by companies to reduce costs and increase their profits. |
| OI4 | The level of the overall size of the ability to produce goods or services |
| OI5 | The level of quality and cost targets of health care organizations is trying to be improved |
| SQ1 | The level of activity or process of gaining knowledge or skills by learning, practicing, being taught, or experiencing IS |
| SQ2 | The level of freedom by IS from obstacles, difficulties, and problems during its use |
| SQ3 | Degrees related to obtaining, checking, or retrieving (data or files) |
Regarding the research question mentioned earlier, the following description explain and respond to the two questions. First, the relationship between technological readiness and construction of IS success can be illustrated by the sequence in all retrospective analyzes of the usefulness, satisfaction, readiness, acceptance, and construction of the success of computer-based systems. For example, research conducted by Robey [20] illustrates that the user's psychological reactions and organizational factors contribute to the success of the system. While in terms of model development, Venkatesh and Davis [48] expand the theory of acceptance and usability by combining the two constructs in a combination model. On the other hand, research conducted by Lin, Shih, and Sher [10] has integrated technology readiness and construction acceptance in an integrated model. Similarly, adoption of system usage and user satisfaction factors can also be seen in the IS success model carried out by DeLone and McLean [14]. DeLone and McLean explain that technological readiness and construction of IS success are connected in the context of sequential influences. This is consistent with the indications of previous research [8, 24-31] which shows that adoption, combination, or adaptation of the previous model in social studies is the development of a general model, in terms of exploring new models.

Second, the ISRI model developed (Figure.2) is one of the developments of new models. Adoption, combination, and adaptation techniques of technological readiness [13] and the IS success model [14] applied by researchers based on the input-process-output (IPO) assumption [37, 41], as also presented by previous research [8, 18, 26, 28, 30]. In the context of the assessment of IS integration, the model developed was also broken down into several data collection instruments by adopting and adapting the context of the study. Based on this, it can be clearly seen that the development of the ISRI model proves the possibility of developing new models by combining, adopting, and adapting technological readiness [13] and success of IS [14]. Despite the fact that, the study of the development of an exploration model carried out by researchers in understanding themselves by adopting, combining, and adapting the two previous models [13, 14] based on selected assumptions (Table 1), this research can contribute theoretically by proposing an ISRI model. Practically, the transparency of the development of the proposed models and models and the data collection instruments may be useful for further research. In addition, the transparency of the model development process and the credibility of the basic model and the theory used can present the points of trust of the research [42]. On the other hand, the basic assumptions of developing models, research methods, and understanding of researchers may be a

| Variable | Statements of the questionnaires |
|----------|----------------------------------|
| SQ4      | Levels of documents that are usually used in software engineering that determine what software users expect to do. |
| SQ5      | Degrees that have the characteristic of goods or services that distinguish them from similar items. |
| IQ1      | The situation or fact becomes very important or valuable. |
| IQ2      | Quality can be used or obtained. |
| IQ3      | The extent to which something can or is suitable for use. |
| IQ4      | The way in which something is set or set. |
| IQ5      | The level of how data is collected, and usually assessed by comparing several measurements from the same or different sources. |
| ISI1     | The level associated with the comparison of the value of the IS output and the resources needed to reach the output. |
| ISI2     | The extent to which IS helps users create value for their business. |
| ISI3     | Levels related to system support to increase output compared to the resources needed to produce output. |
| ISI4     | Levels associated with favorable positions from integrated IS users to compete in a business competition. |
limitation of this model development study. Differences in assumptions, methods, and understanding can produce different models. Thus, it is recommended that the limitations of this study be taken into consideration for further research.

4. Conclusion
This research shows how to understand the relationship between preparedness factors and the impact of successful use of IS and how to combine models of readiness and success in terms of information and communication technology. The researcher proposes a combination model by integrating four preparedness model variables and five variables from the success model. In addition to the development process, clarity of coherent relationships between models, variables, indicators, and questions from each indicator are also presented in this study. This study proposes and evaluates the measurement model for ICT use in rural areas. The focus of this research is on village ICTs in Indonesia. The findings from the model tests have shown that there is a significant relationship between the five dimensions of IS success and that the scale developed for each factor is rational and supported by other studies.

References
[1] Noor Asyikin, A., Fitri, R., and SBN, A.: ‘Pengukuran Tingkat Kesiapan Kantor Pemerintahan Desa Dalam Penerapan Masterplan Teknologi Informasi Dan Komunikasi (Tik) Perkantoran Desa Menggunakan Kerangka Kerja Cobit 4.1’, Jurnal Poros Teknik, 2015, 7(2), pp. 61-67
[2] Siswanto, S.: ‘Literasi Teknologi Informasi Dan Komunikasi (TIK) Masyarakat Desa Pantai, Survei Di Desa Kota Bengkulu, Pangkal Pinang, Jakarta’, Jurnal Studi Komunikasi dan Media, 16(2), pp. 81-110
[3] Badri, M.: ‘Pembangunan pedesaan Berbasis Teknologi Informasi dan Komunikasi (Studi Pada Gerakan Desa Membangun)’, RISALAH, 2016, 27 (2), pp. 62-73
[4] Asyikin, A.N., Fitri, R., and Nugroho, A.S.B.: ‘Masterplan Teknologi Informasi Dan Komunikasi (Tik) Kantor Pemerintah Desa’, Jurnal Poros Teknik, 2016, 8 (2), pp. 61-67
[5] Nguyen, T.D., Nguyen, T.M., and Cao, T.H.: ‘Information Systems Success: A Literature Review’, in Editor (Ed.)‘(Eds.): ’Book Information Systems Success: A Literature Review’ (Springer, 2015, edn.), pp. 242-256
[6] Alshardan, A., Goodwin, R., and Rampersad, G.: ‘A benefits assessment model of information systems for small organizations in developing countries’, Computer and Information Science, 2015, 9 (1), pp. 1
[7] Subiyakto, A.: ‘Development of The Readiness and Success Model for Assessing the Information System Integration’. Proc. International Conference on Science and Technology (ICOSAT) 2017, Jakarta, 08 October 2017 2017 pp. Pages
[8] Subiyakto, A., and Ahlan, A.R.: ‘Implementation of Input-Process-Output Model for Measuring Information System Project Success’, TELKOMNIKA Indonesian Journal of Electrical Engineering, 2014, 12 (7), pp. 5603-5612
[9] Larasati, N., and Santosa, P.I.: ‘Technology Readiness and Technology Acceptance Model in New Technology Implementation Process in Low Technology SMEs’, International Journal of Innovation, Management and Technology, 2017, 8, (2), pp. 113
[10] Lin, C.H., Shih, H.Y., and Sher, P.J.: ‘Integrating technology readiness into technology acceptance: The TRAM model’, Psychology & Marketing, 2007, 24 (7), pp. 641-657
[11] Lin, C.-W., Hsieh, P.-N., and Chuang, F.-H.: ‘A Study of E-Service Technology in Public Library Based on Technology Readiness and Technology Acceptance Model’, Journal of Library and Information Science Research, 2013, 7 (2)
[12] Marangunić, N., and Granić, A.: ‘Technology acceptance model: a literature review from 1986 to 2013’, Universal Access in the Information Society, 2015, 14, (1), pp. 81-95
[13] Parasuraman, A., and Colby, C.L.: ‘An updated and streamlined technology readiness index: TRI 2.0’, Journal of service research, 2015, 18 (1), pp. 59-74

[14] DeLone, W.H., and McLean, E.R.: ‘The DeLone and McLean model of information systems success: a ten-year update’, Journal of management information systems, 2003, 19, (4), pp. 9-30

[15] Bouwman, H., Van Den Hooff, B., and Van De Wijngaert, L.: ‘Information and communication technology in organizations: adoption, implementation, use and effects’ (Sage, 2005. 2005)

[16] Xu, X., Zhang, W., and Barkhi, R.: ‘IT infrastructure capabilities and IT project success: a development team perspective’, Information Technology and Management, 2010, 11 (3), pp. 123-142

[17] Petter, S., DeLone, W., and McLean, E.: ‘Measuring information systems success: models, dimensions, measures, and interrelationships’, European journal of information systems, 2008, 17 (3), pp. 236-263

[18] Subiyakto, A., and Ahlan, A.R.: ‘A coherent framework for understanding critical success factors of ICT project environment’, in Editor (Ed.)'(Eds.): ‘Book A coherent framework for understanding critical success factors of ICT project environment’ (2013, edn.), pp. 342-347

[19] Chan, Y.E., and Reich, B.H.: ‘IT alignment: what have we learned?”, Journal of Information technology, 2007, 22 (4), pp. 297-315

[20] Robey, D.: ‘User attitudes and management information system use’, Academy of Management Journal, 1979, 22 (3), pp. 527-538

[21] Baskerville, R.L., and Wood-Harper, A.T.: ‘A critical perspective on action research as a method for information systems research’: ‘Enacting Research Methods in Information Systems: Volume 2’ (Springer, 2016), pp. 169-190

[22] He, W., and Da Xu, L.: ‘Integration of distributed enterprise applications: A survey’, IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics, 2014, 10, (1), pp. 35-42

[23] Hasselbring, W.: ‘Information system integration’, Communications of the ACM, 2000, 43, (6), pp. 32-38

[24] Azimi, A., and Manesh, F.S.: ‘A new model to identify and evaluate critical success factors in the IT projects; Case study: using RFID technology in Iranian fuel distribution system’, IJISM. January-June, 2010, pp. 99-112

[25] Purna Sudhakar, G.: ‘A model of critical success factors for software projects’, Journal of Enterprise Information Management, 2012, 25, (6), pp. 537-558

[26] Putra, S.J., Subiyakto, A., Ahlan, A.R., and Kartiwi, M.: ‘A Coherent Framework for Understanding the Success of an Information System Project’, TELKOMNIKA (Telecommunication, Computing, Electronics and Control), 2016, 14, (1), pp. 302-308

[27] Seddon, P., and Kiew, M.-Y.: ‘A partial test and development of DeLone and McLean's model of IS success’, Australasian Journal of Information Systems, 1996, 4, (1)

[28] Subiyakto, A., Ahlan, A.R., Kartiwi, M., and Putra, S.J.: ‘Measurement of the information system project success of the higher education institutions in Indonesia: a pilot study’, International Journal of Business Information System, 2016, 23, (2), pp. 229-247

[29] Subiyakto, A., Ahlan, A.R., Kartiwi, M., and Sukmana, H.T.: ‘Measurement of Information System Project Success Based on Perceptions of the Internal Stakeholders’, International Journal of Electrical and Computer Engineering (IJECE), 2015, 5, (2), pp. 271-279

[30] Subiyakto, A., Ahlan, A.R., Putra, S.J., and Kartiwi, M.: ‘Validation of Information System Project Success Model’, SAGE Open, 2015, 5, (2), pp. 1-14

[31] Subiyakto, A., Ahlan, A.R., and Sukmana, H.T.: ‘An Alternative Method for Determining Critical Success Factors of Information System Project’, TELKOMNIKA Telecommunication, Computing, Electronics and Control, 2014, 12, (3), pp. 665-674
[32] Salemink, K., Strijker, D., and Bosworth, G.J.J.o.R.S.: ‘Rural development in the digital age: A systematic literature review on unequal ICT availability, adoption, and use in rural areas’, 2017, 54, pp. 360-371

[33] Rohayani, A.H.J.P.C.S.: ‘A literature review: Readiness factors to measuring e-Learning readiness in higher education’, 2015, 59, pp. 230-234

[34] Cocchia, A.: ‘Smart and digital city: A systematic literature review’: ‘Smart city’ (Springer, 2014), pp. 13-43

[35] Subiyakto, A.a.: ‘Development of the Readiness and Success Model for Assessing the Information System Integration’, in Editor (Ed.)(Eds.): ‘Book Development of the Readiness and Success Model for Assessing the Information System Integration’ (2017, edn.), pp.

[36] Elias, N.F.: ‘Using a deductive approach in validating a measurement model’, Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences, 2012, 6, (8), pp. 329-336

[37] Davis, W.S., and Yen, D.C.: ‘The Information System Consultant’s Handbook: Systems Analysis and Design’ (CRC press, 1998. 1998)

[38] Urbach, N., and Müller, B.: ‘The updated DeLone and McLean model of information systems success’: ‘Information systems theory’ (Springer, 2012), pp. 1-18

[39] Nguyen, T.D., Nguyen, T.M., and Cao, T.H.: ‘A Conceptual Framework for IS Project Success’, in Editor (Ed.)(Eds.): ‘Book A Conceptual Framework for IS Project Success’ (Springer, 2016, edn.), pp. 142-154

[40] Gable, G.G., Sederer, D., and Chan, T.: ‘Re-conceptualizing information system success: The IS-impact measurement model’, Journal of the association for information systems, 2008, 9, (7), pp. 377

[41] Kellogg, W.: ‘Logic model development guide’, Michigan: WK Kellogg Foundation, 2004

[42] Eddy, D.M., Hollingworth, W., Caro, J.J., Tsevat, J., McDonald, K.M., and Wong, J.B.: ‘Model transparency and validation a report of the ISPOR-SMDM Modeling Good Research Practices Task Force–7’, Medical Decision Making, 2012, 32, (5), pp. 733-743

[43] Jugdev, K., and Müller, R.: ‘A retrospective look at our evolving understanding of project success’, Project Management Journal, 2005, 36, (4), pp. 19-31

[44] Anfara Jr, V.A., and Mertz, N.T.: ‘Theoretical frameworks in qualitative research’ (Sage publications, 2014. 2014)

[45] Belout, A., and Gauvreau, C.: ‘Factors influencing project success: the impact of human resource management’, International journal of project management, 2004, 22, (1), pp. 1-11

[46] Waheduzzaman, W., and Miah, S.J.: ‘Readiness assessment of e-government: a developing country perspective’, Transforming Government: People, Process and Policy, 2015, 9, (4), pp. 498-516

[47] Maladzur, S.I., and Salim, J.: ‘Information System Integration Factors in Organization: Towards Government Information Systems Sustainability’, Journal of Theoretical & Applied Information Technology, 2015, 71, (2)

[48] Venkatesh, V., and Davis, F.D.: ‘A theoretical extension of the technology acceptance model: Four longitudinal field studies’, Management science, 2000, 46, (2), pp. 186-204