Infrared-finite graviton two-point function in static de Sitter space
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I. INTRODUCTION

The interest in phenomena in de Sitter space is increasing recently, especially due to its relevance to the inflationary cosmology\textsuperscript{[1–5]}, which recently has gained strong support from observation\textsuperscript{[6]}. In addition, current observations indicate that our Universe is expanding in an accelerated rate and may approach de Sitter space asymptotically\textsuperscript{[7, 8]}. Physics in de Sitter space is also attracting attention because of the dS/CFT correspondence\textsuperscript{[9]}.

The analysis of gravitational perturbations in de Sitter space is important particularly for the inflationary cosmology, but the infrared (IR) properties of the graviton two-point function in de Sitter space have remained a source of controversies over the past 30 years. The main source of these controversies is that the graviton mode functions natural to the spatially-flat (or Poincaré) patch of de Sitter space behave in a manner similar to those for minimally-coupled massless scalar field\textsuperscript{[10]}, which allows no de Sitter-invariant vacuum state because of IR divergences\textsuperscript{[11, 12]}. Ford and Parker found that this similarity leads to IR divergences in the graviton two-point function although they found no IR divergences in the physical quantities they studied\textsuperscript{[10], [11]}. (In fact their work deals with a more general Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker spacetime.)

However, since linearized gravity has gauge invariance, it is important to determine whether or not these IR divergences are a gauge artifact. Indeed, the IR divergences and breaking of de Sitter symmetry they cause in the free graviton theory have been shown to be a gauge artifact in the sense that they can be gauged away if we allow nonlocal gauge transformations\textsuperscript{[13, 14]}. This point has recently been made clearer by explicit construction of an IR-finite two-point function\textsuperscript{[15]}. The authors of Ref.\textsuperscript{[15]} also pointed out that a local gauge transformation is sufficient to render the two-point function finite in the infrared in a local region of the spacetime. It is also worth noting that the two-point function of the linearized Weyl tensor computed using a de Sitter noninvariant propagator with an IR cutoff exhibits no IR divergences\textsuperscript{[10]} and agrees with the result\textsuperscript{[17]} calculated using the covariant propagator\textsuperscript{[18, 19]}. In fact, in a recent gauge-invariant formulation of free gravitons\textsuperscript{[20]}, the Weyl-tensor and graviton two-point functions have been shown to be equivalent in de Sitter space\textsuperscript{[21]}. It has also been argued recently\textsuperscript{[22]} that there is a de Sitter-invariant Hadamard state for free gravitons defined in a way similar to the scalar case\textsuperscript{[20]}.

Gravitational perturbations in de Sitter space have been analyzed mainly in the Poincaré patch for two reasons. Firstly, this patch is the most relevant to the inflationary cosmology. Secondly, the graviton mode functions in this patch are the simplest. But there have been some works using other patches. It has been known for some time that in the global patch of de Sitter space the free graviton field theory has no IR divergences and that there is a de Sitter-invariant vacuum state\textsuperscript{[23]} analogous to the Bunch-Davies vacuum\textsuperscript{[24]} for the scalar field theory (see also Ref.\textsuperscript{[25]}). As a result there is an IR-finite graviton two-point function in this patch\textsuperscript{[26]}. An IR-finite graviton two-point function has also been found in the hyperbolic patch of de Sitter space\textsuperscript{[27]}. However, there has been little work on quantum gravitational perturbations in the static patch, which is of physical importance because it represents the region causally accessible to an inertial observer.

In this paper we use the formalism developed by Kodama and Ishibashi\textsuperscript{[28]} to study quantum gravitational perturbations in the static patch of de Sitter space. In particular, we demonstrate that there is an IR-finite graviton two-point function in the Bunch-Davies-like state in this patch. We emphasize that this two-point function is time-translation invariant unlike that in the global patch\textsuperscript{[26]}. Thus, if linearized gravity is treated as a thermal field theory inside the cosmological horizon\textsuperscript{[20]}, then one finds no IR divergences or secular growth of the kind encountered in the Poincaré patch. Although it has been shown that IR divergences are a gauge artifact in the sense mentioned above, it is useful to demonstrate explicitly that there is an IR-finite and time-translation invariant graviton two-point function since...
there are objections to the existence of de Sitter invariant Bunch-Davies-like state in de Sitter space \[30, 31\].

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Sec. \[II\] we give a brief review of the gauge-invariant perturbation formalism, summarizing some properties of the three types of perturbations: scalar, vector, and tensor, in the background spacetime, which is de Sitter space of \(n + 2\) dimensions. The solutions of the linearized Einstein equations that these three types of perturbations satisfy are presented. (These solutions were obtained previously by Natario and Schiappa \[32\].) In Sec. \[III\] we construct the graviton two-point function, starting by the normalization of the modes for each type of perturbations with respect to symplectic inner product. In particular, we show that the two-point function is IR-finite in a suitably chosen gauge. In Sec. \[IV\] we present the mode functions in the \(3 + 1\) dimensional case explicitly and find a simplified expression for the graviton two-point function. In Sec. \[V\] we summarize the results found in this paper and discuss their possible implications. In Appendices \[A\] and \[B\] we provide some details of the calculations to normalize the vector- and scalar-type modes, respectively. In Appendix \[C\] we compute the two-point function for the minimally-coupled scalar field, which is discussed for comparison with the graviton case. In Appendix \[D\] we show that the graviton two-point function vanishes identically if one of the points is at the origin. This result shows clearly that the values of the graviton two-point function themselves have no physical significance. Throughout this paper we use the metric signature \(- + + \cdots +\) and units such that \(c = \hbar = 1\).

II. GRAVITATIONAL PERTURBATIONS IN THE STATIC PATCH

A. Background Spacetime

In this section we revisit the classical gravitational perturbation studied in Ref. \[32\]. The background spacetime will be de Sitter in \(n + 2\) dimensions with \(n \geq 2\) and the line element will take the form

\[
\mathrm{d}s^2 = g_{\mu\nu} \mathrm{d}x^\mu \mathrm{d}x^\nu = -(1 - \lambda r^2) \mathrm{d}t^2 + \frac{\mathrm{d}r^2}{1 - \lambda r^2} + r^2 \mathrm{d}\sigma_n^2,
\]  

where

\[
\mathrm{d}\sigma_n^2 = \gamma_{ij} (x) \mathrm{d}x^i \mathrm{d}x^j
\]

is the line element on the \(n\)-sphere \(S^n\). Thus we are working inside the cosmological horizon in the so-called static coordinate system. We shall put \(\lambda = 1\) for simplicity. We shall use the notation established in Refs. \[28, 33\], with the exception of quantities of the background spacetime, for which we use Greek indices. We define the line element of the two-dimensional orbit space by

\[
\mathrm{d}s_{\text{orb}}^2 = g_{ab} \mathrm{d}x^a \mathrm{d}x^b = -(1 - r^2) \mathrm{d}t^2 + \frac{\mathrm{d}r^2}{1 - r^2}.
\]

We denote the covariant derivatives compatible with the full metric represented by the line element \(\mathrm{d}s^2\), the two-dimensional metric represented by \(\mathrm{d}s_{\text{orb}}^2\), and the metric on \(S^n\) represented with \(\mathrm{d}\sigma_n^2\) by \(\nabla, D_a, \text{ and } \hat{\nabla}\), respectively. The Greek indices are used for spacetime indices running from \(0\) to \(n + 1\), the first Latin indices \(a, b, \ldots\) are for \(t\) and \(r\) and the \(i, j, k, \ldots\) are for \(S^n\). The connection coefficients for \(\mathrm{d}s^2\), \(\mathrm{d}s_{\text{orb}}^2\) and \(\mathrm{d}\sigma_n^2\) are denoted by \(\Gamma^\mu_{\nu\rho}, \Gamma^\mu_{x}(t, r)\) and \(\Gamma^\mu_{jk}(x)\), respectively.

What we will do next in this section is to consider perturbations in the metric, which can be expanded in terms of harmonic tensors of ranks \(0, 1, \text{ and } 2\). These perturbations are called the scalar-, vector- and (rank-2) tensor-type perturbations, respectively.

B. Scalar-type perturbations

The scalar-type perturbations can be expanded in terms of harmonic functions \(S^{(l)\sigma}\) on the \(n\)-sphere which satisfy

\[
(\hat{\Delta}_n + k_S^2)S^{(l)\sigma} = 0,
\]

where \(\hat{\Delta}_n\) is the Laplace-Beltrami operator on \(S^n\). The set of eigenvalues takes the form

\[
k_S^2 = l(l + n - 1).
\]

The label \(l\) is a non-negative integer and \(\sigma\) represents all labels other than \(l\). The harmonic modes of the metric perturbation are given by

\[
h^{(S)\sigma}_{ab} = f^{(l)\sigma}_{ab}g^{(l)\sigma},
\]

\[
h^{(S)\sigma}_{ai} = r f^{(l)\sigma}_{a}g^{(l)\sigma},
\]

\[
h^{(S)\sigma}_{ij} = 2r^2 (\gamma_{ij} H_L^{(l)}g^{(l)\sigma} + H_T^{(l)}g^{(l)\sigma}),
\]

where

\[
S^{(l)\sigma}_i = -\frac{1}{k_S^2} D_i S^{(l)\sigma},
\]

\[
S^{(l)\sigma}_{ij} = -\frac{1}{k_S^2} D_i D_j S^{(l)\sigma} + \frac{1}{n} \gamma_{ij} S^{(l)\sigma},
\]

and the coefficients \(f^{(l)\sigma}_{ab}, f^{(l)\sigma}_{ai}, H_L^{(l)}\) and \(H_T^{(l)}\) are all functions of \(t\) and \(r\) and are gauge-dependent quantities. Notice that the tensors \(S^{(l)\sigma}_{ij}\) are chosen to be traceless.

The modes with \(l = 0, 1\) are special cases (and some of the coefficients above are not defined). For \(l = 0\) the perturbed spacetime will be spherically symmetric, but the only such solutions to the Einstein equations are Schwarzschild-de Sitter spacetime by Birkhoff’s theorem \[28\]. Thus, in our case the only perturbation with \(l = 0\) will be the change in the background spacetime to introduce a small black hole, which would inevitably be non-perturbative and singular at the origin. Hence, we exclude this case. For \(l = 1\) one finds that there is no corresponding nonzero gauge-invariant perturbation as
shown in Appendix B of Ref. [28]. Hence we can impose the condition \( l \geq 2 \).

It can be shown that the perturbations can be related to a master variable \( \Phi^{(l)}_S \), which, for the scalar case, obeys the following equation:

\[
\Box \Phi^{(l)}_S - \frac{V_S}{1-r^2} \Phi^{(l)}_S = 0, \tag{11}
\]

where the effective potential is given by

\[
V_S = \frac{1}{4r^2} \left[ 4l(l + n - 1) + n(n - 2) \right. \\
- \left. (n - 2)(n - 4)r^2 \right]. \tag{12}
\]

The \( \Box \) is the d’Alembertian operator in the two-dimensional orbit spacetime with line element \( ds^2_{\text{orb}} \):

\[
\Box = -\frac{1}{1 - r^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (1 - r^2) \frac{\partial}{\partial r}. \tag{13}
\]

The details for obtaining Eq. (11) involves defining gauge-invariant quantities (for modes with \( l \geq 2 \)), which are given in terms of the gauge-dependent quantities by

\[
F^{(l)} = H^{(l)}_T + \frac{1}{n} H^{(l)}_R + \frac{1}{r} D^a (r X^{(l)}_a), \tag{14}
\]

\[
F^{(l)}_{ab} = f^{(l)}_{ab} + D_a X^{(l)}_b + D_b X^{(l)}_a, \tag{15}
\]

with

\[
X^{(l)}_a = \frac{r}{k_S} \left( f^{(l)}_a + \frac{r}{k_S} D_a H^{(l)}_T \right). \tag{16}
\]

Then, the functions \( F^{(l)} \) and \( F^{(l)}_{ab} \), defined by Eqs. (14) and (15), respectively, are given in terms of the master variable \( \Phi^{(l)}_S \) as follows:

\[
r^{n-2} F^{(l)} = \frac{1}{2n} \Box + 2 (r^{n-2} F^{(l)}_S), \tag{17}
\]

\[
r^{n-2} F^{(l)}_{ab} = D_a D_b (r^{n-2} F^{(l)}_S) - \left( \frac{n-1}{n} \Box + \frac{n-2}{n} \right) r^{n-2} \Phi^{(l)}_S g_{ab}. \tag{18}
\]

The vector-type perturbations

The vector-type perturbations are expanded in terms of harmonic vectors \( \nu^{(l)\sigma} \), which satisfy

\[
(\hat{\Delta}^{n} + k^2_V) \nu^{(l)\sigma} = 0, \tag{20}
\]

\[
\hat{D}_j \nu^{(l)\sigma} = 0. \tag{21}
\]

Here,

\[
k^2_V = (l(l + n - 1) - 1, \tag{22}
\]

where \( l = 1, 2, \ldots \) and \( \sigma \) again represents all labels other than \( l \). The metric perturbations of the vector type read

\[
h^{(V;\sigma)}_{ab} = 0, \tag{23}
\]

\[
h^{(V;\sigma)}_{ia} = r f^{(l)}_a \nu^{(l)\sigma}, \tag{24}
\]

\[
h^{(V;\sigma)}_{ij} = 2r^2 H^T \nu^{(l)\sigma}, \tag{25}
\]

with

\[
\nu^{(l)\sigma} = -\frac{1}{2k_V} (\hat{D}_j \nu^{(l)\sigma} + \hat{D}_V \nu^{(l)\sigma}). \tag{26}
\]

For \( l = 1 \), the tensors \( \nu^{(l)\sigma} \) vanish, rendering the coefficient \( F^{(l)}_a \) undefined. In this case one defines a new gauge-invariant quantity and this gives rise to a rotational perturbation, parametrized by a constant, similar to the Myers-Perry solution [28] [35] if the black hole mass is nonzero. This means that in our case with no black hole, there is no nonzero gauge-invariant vector-type perturbation with \( l = 1 \).

As in the scalar case, we define a gauge-invariant quantity for \( l \geq 2 \) as follows:

\[
F^{(l)}_a = f^{(l)}_a + \frac{r}{k_V} D_a H^T. \tag{27}
\]

This quantity is related to a master variable \( \Phi^{(l)}_V \) by

\[
r^{n-1} F^{(l)a} = \epsilon_{ab} D_b (r^{n-2} \Phi^{(l)}_V), \tag{28}
\]

where \( \epsilon_{ab} \) is the Levi-Civita tensor of the two-dimensional orbit spacetime. The master variable obeys the following wave equation:

\[
\Box \Phi^{(l)}_V - \frac{V_V}{1-r^2} \Phi^{(l)}_V = 0, \tag{29}
\]

with

\[
V_V = \frac{1}{1-r^2} \left[ l(l+n-1) + \frac{n(n-2)}{4}(1-r^2) \right]. \tag{30}
\]

The solutions of Eq. (29) regular at the origin are

\[
\Phi^{(l)}_V (t, r) = A^{(w)}_V e^{-i\omega t} r^{l+n/2} (1-r^2)^{i\omega/2} \\
\times F \left( \frac{1}{2} (i\omega + l + n - 1); \frac{1}{2} (i\omega + l + 2); l + \frac{n+1}{2}; r^2 \right), \tag{31}
\]

where the function \( F(\alpha, \beta; \gamma; z) \) is Gauss’ hypergeometric function [34]. The normalization constants \( A^{(w)}_S \) will be determined later.
D. Tensor-type perturbations

It is a well-known fact that solutions to Eqs. (32), (33) and (34) do not exist on $S^4$ [36,37]. A concise proof of this fact can be found in Ref. [38]. Thus, we do not have tensor-type modes for gravitational perturbations in 3+1 dimensions. For $n \geq 3$, the tensor-type perturbations of the metric can be expanded in terms of symmetric harmonic modes of second rank $T^{(l)\sigma}_i$. They obey the following equations:

$$\Box_T\Phi_T^{(l)} - \frac{V_T}{1 - r^2}\Phi_T^{(l)} = 0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (40)

where the effective potential is

$$V_T = \frac{1 - r^2}{r^2}\left[\ell(l + n - 1) + \frac{n(n - 2)}{4}\right].$$  \hspace{1cm} (41)

The solutions of Eq. (40) regular at the origin are given by

$$\Phi_T^{(l)}(t,r) = A_T^{(l)}e^{-i\omega t}r^{l+n/2}(1-r^2)^{i\omega/2}$$

$$\times \left\{ \frac{1}{2}(i\omega + l + n + 1), \frac{1}{2}(i\omega + l); l + \frac{n + 1}{2}, r^2 \right\},$$  \hspace{1cm} (42)

where the normalization constants $A_T^{(l)}$ will be determined later.

III. GRAVITON TWO-POINT FUNCTION

A. Quantization and the Two-Point Function

Let us explain how to construct the physical two-point function in a free field theory with gauge invariance such as linearized gravity (see, e.g. Refs. [20, 21]). Suppose the theory is described by a Lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}$, where $\mathcal{L}$ is a local function of $h_{\mu\nu}$ and $\nabla_\lambda h_{\mu\nu}$. (Though we use a symmetric tensor field theory in our explanation for an obvious reason, the construction works for any other linear field theories.) If there are only terms quadratic in the derivative $\nabla_\lambda h_{\mu\nu}$ in the Lagrangian, then the part of the Lagrangian involving $\nabla_\lambda h_{\mu\nu}$ is written as

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{der}} = \frac{\sqrt{-g}}{2}\kappa_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma\rho\sigma}^{\lambda\rho\sigma} \nabla_\lambda h_{\mu\nu} \nabla_\lambda h_{\mu'\nu'}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (43)

where $\kappa_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma\rho\sigma}^{\lambda\rho\sigma}$ then we define the conjugate momentum current $p^{\lambda\mu\nu}$ by

$$p^{\lambda\mu\nu} = \kappa_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma\rho\sigma}^{\lambda\rho\sigma} \nabla_\lambda h_{\mu'\nu'}. $$  \hspace{1cm} (44)

For any two solutions $h_{\mu\nu}$ and $h'_{\mu\nu}$ to the Euler-Lagrange equations and their conjugate momentum currents $p^{\lambda\mu\nu}$ and $p^{\lambda\mu'\nu'}$ we define their symplectic product by

$$\Omega(h, h') = -\int_\Sigma d\Sigma n_\alpha (\bar{h}_{\mu\nu}p^{\alpha\mu\nu} - p^{\alpha\mu\nu}\bar{h}'_{\mu\nu}),$$  \hspace{1cm} (45)

where $\Sigma$ is a Cauchy surface and $n_\alpha$ is the future-directed unit normal vector to $\Sigma$. It can readily be shown that $\Omega(h, h')$ is independent of the choice of $\Sigma$ [39].

Now, suppose that the symplectic product $\Omega$ is non-degenerate, i.e. that there are no solutions $h_{\mu\nu}^{(\text{null})}$ satisfying $\Omega(h, h_{\mu\nu}^{(\text{null})}) = 0$ for all solutions $h_{\mu\nu}$. Suppose further that $h_{\mu\nu}^{(n)}$, where $n$ represents all (continuous and discrete) labels for solutions, and their complex conjugates $\overline{h}_{\mu\nu}^{(n)}$ form a complete set of solutions such that $\Omega(h^{(n)}, h^{(m)}) = 0$ for all $n$ and $m$ i.e. $\Omega$ is nonzero only between $h_{\mu\nu}^{(n)}$ and $\overline{h}_{\mu\nu}^{(m)}$ and define the inner product of two solutions by

$$\langle h^{(m)}, h^{(n)} \rangle = i\Omega(h^{(m)}, h^{(n)}).$$  \hspace{1cm} (46)

Now, expand the quantum field $\hat{h}_{\mu\nu}(y)$, where $y$ represents all spacetime coordinates, as

$$\hat{h}_{\mu\nu}(y) = \sum_n [a_n h_{\mu\nu}^{(n)}(y) + a_n^\dagger \overline{h}_{\mu\nu}^{(n)}(y)].$$  \hspace{1cm} (47)

Then, the equal-time canonical commutation relations for the operators $\hat{h}_{\mu\nu}(y)$ are equivalent to

$$[a_m, a_n^\dagger] = (M^{-1})_{mn},$$  \hspace{1cm} (48)

\footnote{The word “physical” is used here in the sense that all gauge degrees of freedom are fixed.}
where $M^{-1}$ is the inverse of the matrix $M^{mn} = \langle h^{(m)}, h^{(n)} \rangle$, and $[a_m, a^*_n] = [a^*_m, a_n] = 0$.

Unfortunately, linearized gravity cannot be quantized in this manner because the matrix $M$ defined by Eq. (40) is degenerate due to the gauge invariance: a pure-gauge solution of the form $h^{(g)}_{\mu\nu} = \nabla_{\mu}A_{\nu} + \nabla_{\nu}A_{\mu}$ has vanishing symplectic product with any solution. However, if we fix the gauge completely so that the matrix $M$ is non-degenerate when restricted to the solutions satisfying the gauge conditions, then we can expand the field operator $\hat{h}_{\mu\nu}(y)$ using only the solutions satisfying the gauge conditions in Eq. (41) and quantize this field by requiring the commutation relations given by Eq. (48). This procedure is the gauge-fixed version of the gauge-invariant quantization formulated in Ref. [20].

Note that, if we normalize the solutions in a given gauge by requiring $M^{mn} = \delta^{mn}$ in Eq. (40), then we have $[a_m, a^*_n] = \delta_{mn}$. Then, on the vacuum state $|0\rangle$ annihilated by the operators $a_n$, the two-point function is

$$\langle 0|\hat{h}_{\mu\nu}(y)\hat{h}^{*}_{\mu'\nu'}(y')|0\rangle = \sum_n h^{(n)}_{\mu\nu}(y)\hat{h}^{*}\_{\mu'\nu'}(y'),$$

for example. In the next subsections we normalize the gravitational perturbations found in Sec. II so that we have $M^{mn} = \delta^{mn}$. This will make the construction of the two-point function straightforward.

**B. Inner Product**

With a suitable normalization of the gravitational perturbation $h_{\mu\nu}$ the part of the Lagrangian density involving derivatives of $h_{\mu\nu}$ reads (after some integration by parts)

$$\mathcal{L} = -g \left[ \nabla_\mu h^{\mu\lambda} \nabla_\nu h_{\mu\lambda} - \frac{1}{2} \nabla_\lambda h^{\mu\nu} \nabla^\lambda h_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \nabla^\mu h - 2 \nabla^\nu h_{\mu\nu} \right) \nabla_\mu h + \text{terms involving just } h_{\mu\nu} \right].$$

Hence, the conjugate momentum current is

$$p^{\lambda\mu} := \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\nabla_\mu h_{\nu})} = g^{\lambda\mu} \nabla_\kappa h_{\kappa\nu} + g^{\lambda\mu} \nabla_\kappa h^{\kappa\nu} - \nabla^\lambda h_{\mu\nu} + g^{\mu\nu} \left( \nabla^\lambda h - \nabla^\kappa h^{\kappa\lambda} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \left( g^{\mu\nu} \nabla^\kappa h - g^{\mu\lambda} \nabla^\nu h \right).$$

Then the inner product (40) between two solutions $h^{(m)}_{\mu\nu}$ and $h^{(n)}_{\mu\nu}$ is

$$\langle h^{(m)}, h^{(n)} \rangle := -i \int d\Sigma_{\lambda} \left( h^{(m)}_{\mu\nu} p^{(n)\lambda\mu\nu} - h^{(n)}_{\mu\nu} p^{(m)\lambda\mu\nu} \right),$$

where the integration is to be carried out on a $t =$ constant Cauchy surface of the static patch of de Sitter space. Next we find the normalization constants such that the inner product (52) is simply $\delta^{mn}$ (which also involves Dirac’s delta function because $\omega$ is a continuous label). The calculation will closely follow Ref. [40].

**C. Normalization of the tensor-type modes**

For the tensor-perturbations, which we denote by $h^{(T;\omega\sigma)}_{\mu\nu}$, we have

$$p^{(T;\omega\sigma)\lambda\mu\nu} = -\nabla^\lambda h^{(T;\omega\sigma)\mu\nu}$$

because $h^{(T;\omega\sigma)}_{\mu\nu}$ given by Eqs. (36)-(38) are transverse ($\nabla^\mu h_{\mu\nu} = 0$) and traceless ($h^{\mu\mu} = 0$). Noting that $r = 1$ is the position of the horizon, we find the inner product defined by Eq. (52) to be

$$\langle h^{(T;\omega\sigma)}, h^{(T;\omega'\sigma')} \rangle = \left( \omega + \omega' \right) \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 1} \int_0^\rho dr \frac{n^\mu}{1 - r^2} \times \int d\Omega_n h^{(T;\omega\sigma)ij}_0 h^{(T;\omega'\sigma')ij},$$

where $d\Omega_n$ is integration over the unit hypersphere $S^n$. Noting that

$$\int d\Omega_n T_{ij}(r') h^{(T;\omega\sigma)ij} = \frac{1}{r^4} \delta^{\sigma\sigma'},$$

we have

$$\langle h^{(T;\omega\sigma)}, h^{(T;\omega'\sigma')} \rangle = 4 \left( \omega + \omega' \right) \delta^{\sigma\sigma'} \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 1} \int_0^\rho dr \frac{n^\mu}{1 - r^2} \Phi_{T}^{(\omega\sigma')}(r) \Phi_{T}^{(\omega')}(r).$$

We have to evaluate the following integral:

$$I_{\rho} = \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 1} \int_0^\rho dr \frac{n^\mu}{1 - r^2} \Phi_{T}^{(\omega\sigma)} \Phi_{T}^{(\omega')}.$$  

Using Eq. (40) satisfied by $\Phi_{T}^{(\omega\sigma)}$ and $\Phi_{T}^{(\omega')}$, we find

$$\frac{\omega^2 - \omega^2}{1 - r^2} \Phi_{T}^{(\omega\sigma)} \Phi_{T}^{(\omega')} = \frac{d}{dr} \left[ \Phi_{T}^{(\omega\sigma)}(1 - r^2) \frac{d}{dr} \Phi_{T}^{(\omega')} \right] - \Phi_{T}^{(\omega\sigma)}(1 - r^2) \frac{d}{dr} \Phi_{T}^{(\omega')}.$$  

Integrating the above equation from 0 to $\rho$ and then taking the limit $\rho \rightarrow 1$, we find

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 1} \int_0^\rho dr \frac{n^\mu}{1 - r^2} \Phi_{T}^{(\omega\sigma)} \Phi_{T}^{(\omega')} = \frac{1}{\omega^2 - \omega^2} \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 1} \left( 1 - r^2 \right) \times \left( \Phi_{T}^{(\omega\sigma)} \frac{d}{dr} \Phi_{T}^{(\omega')} - \Phi_{T}^{(\omega')} \frac{d}{dr} \Phi_{T}^{(\omega\sigma)} \right)_{\rho = \rho},$$  

where we have used that $\Phi_{T}^{(\omega\sigma)}(0) = \Phi_{T}^{(\omega')}(0) = 0$. We can write, for $r \approx 1$,

$$\Phi(\sigma) = A_T^{(\omega)} \left[ B^\sigma_{\omega} - (1 - r^2)^{-\omega/2} + B^\sigma_{\omega}(1 - r^2)^{\omega/2} \right],$$  

(59)
where
\[ B_\omega^l = \frac{\Gamma(l + \frac{n+1}{2})\Gamma(i\omega)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}(l + i\omega)\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}(l + i\omega + n + 1)\right)}. \] (60)

Then we have
\[
I_\rho = \int_0^\rho \frac{dr}{1 - r^2} \frac{1}{\Phi(\omega^l)} \Phi(\omega'^l) 
= \frac{i|A_T(\omega)|^2}{\omega' - \omega} \left[ B_{\omega'}^l B_{\omega}^l \exp\left[ \frac{i}{2}(\omega' + \omega) \ln(1 - \rho^2) \right] - B_{\omega'}^l B_{\omega}^l \exp\left[ \frac{i}{2}(\omega' - \omega) \ln(1 - \rho^2) \right] + \frac{i|A_T(\omega)|^2}{\omega' - \omega} \left[ B_{\omega'}^l B_{\omega}^l \exp\left[ \frac{i}{2}(\omega' - \omega) \ln(1 - \rho^2) \right] - B_{\omega'}^l B_{\omega}^l \exp\left[ \frac{i}{2}(\omega' + \omega) \ln(1 - \rho^2) \right] \right], \]
noting that \( B_{\omega'}^l = B_{\omega}^l \). Dropping the terms rapidly oscillating as functions of \( \omega \) and \( \omega' \) in the \( \rho \to 1 \) limit, we find
\[
I_\rho = \frac{2|A_T(\omega)|^2|B_{\omega}^l|^2}{\omega' - \omega} \sin \left( \frac{\omega' - \omega}{2} \ln \left( \frac{1}{1 - \rho^2} \right) \right). \] (62)

Using that
\[
\lim_{L \to \infty} \frac{\sin Lx}{Lx} = \pi \delta(x), \]
we have
\[
I_1 = \lim_{\rho \to 1} I_\rho = 2\pi |A_T(\omega)|^2|B_{\omega}^l|^2 \delta(\omega' - \omega). \] (64)

Now, we choose
\[
|A_T(\omega)|^2 = \frac{1}{16\pi\omega|B_{\omega}^l|^2}, \]
\[
= \frac{\sinh \pi\omega}{\omega \sinh \pi\omega} \left[ \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}(l + i\omega)\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}(l + i\omega + n + 1)\right) \right]^2, \]
where we have used
\[
|\Gamma(i\omega)|^2 = \frac{\pi}{\omega \sinh \pi\omega}. \] (66)

Then, the inner product between two modes of the tensor type is just
\[
\langle h^{(T;\omega)} \rangle, h^{(T;\omega';\sigma')} = \delta^{\ell\ell'} \delta^{\sigma\sigma'} \delta(\omega - \omega'). \] (67)

D. Normalization of the vector-type modes

For the vector-type modes, first let us show that we can choose a gauge such that the components \( h_{ij} \) vanish.

For a gauge transformation \( h_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow h_{\mu\nu} + \nabla_\mu A_\nu + \nabla_\nu A_\mu \) with
\[
A_0 = 0, \ A_i = r^2 \phi V_i, \] (68)
we find
\[
H_T^{(l)} \rightarrow H_T^{(l)} - k_V \phi, \] (69)
\[
f_a^{(l)} \rightarrow f_a^{(l)} + r D_a \phi. \] (70)

We can readily see that \( F_a^{(l)} \) defined by Eq. (27) is invariant under this gauge transformation. Thus, by letting \( \phi = H_T^{(l)}/k_V \) we have \( H_T^{(l)} = 0 \) and \( F_a^{(l)} = f_a^{(l)} \). This choice of gauge leads to
\[
\langle h^{(V;\omega)} \rangle, h^{(V;\omega';\sigma')} = 2i \int d\Omega_n d\varpi^n \]
\[
\times \left( \frac{\rho^{(V;\omega)} \ln \rho^{(V;\omega';\sigma')}}{\rho^{(V;\omega')} \ln \rho^{(V;\omega)}} \right) \delta(\omega - \omega'). \] (74)

The details of this calculation can be found in Appendix A. We then require that \( h_{\mu\nu}^{(V;\omega)} \) satisfy the same normalization condition as \( h_{\mu\nu}^{(T;\omega)} \), i.e. Eq. (67), to determine the normalization constants \( A_T^{(\omega)} \). With the same reasoning as in the tensor case we find
\[
|A_T^{(\omega)}|^2 = \frac{\sinh \pi\omega}{8\pi^2(l + n + 1)} \left[ \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}(l + n + 1)\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}(l + n + n + 1)\right) \right]^2. \] (75)

E. Normalization of the scalar-type modes

Now, we shall find the normalization factors \( A_T^{(\omega)} \) for the scalar-type modes. We first choose a convenient
where the conserved current perturbations will be

\[ f^{(l)}_{ab} \rightarrow f^{(l)}_{ab} + D_a \psi_b + D_b \psi_a, \]

\[ f^{(l)}_a \rightarrow f^{(l)}_a + r D_a \left( \frac{\phi}{r^2} - \frac{k_S}{r} \psi_a \right), \]

\[ H^{(l)}_T \rightarrow H^{(l)}_T - \frac{k_S}{r^2} \phi, \]

\[ H^{(l)}_L \rightarrow H^{(l)}_L + \frac{k_S}{{n/r^2} + \frac{D^a r}{r} \psi_a}. \]

Hence by choosing

\[ \phi = \frac{r^2}{k_S} H^{(l)}_T, \]

\[ \psi_a = r \left( \frac{1}{k_S} f^{(l)}_a + \frac{r}{k_S} D_a H^{(l)}_T \right), \]

we can set the functions \( f^{(l)}_a \) and \( H^{(l)}_T \) to zero. Then the perturbations will be

\[ k^{(S; \lambda)}_{ab} = 0, \]

\[ k^{(S; \lambda)}_{ab} = F^{(l)}_{ab} G^{(l, \lambda)}, \]

\[ k^{(S; \lambda)}_{ij} = 2i r^2 \gamma_l F^{(l)}_{ij} G^{(l, \lambda)}, \]

where \( F^{(l)} \) and \( F^{(l)}_{ab} \) are given in terms of the master variable \( \Phi^{(l)}_S \) by Eqs. [17] and [18], respectively.

The conserved inner product [52] with the conjugate momentum current defined by Eq. [51] can be found as

\[ \langle h^{(S; \lambda)}, h^{(S; \lambda)} \rangle = 2i \int d\Sigma n_a J^a, \]

where the conserved current \( J^a \) is given by

\[ J^a = G^{(l', \lambda')} G^{(l, \lambda)} \left[ \frac{2}{r} \partial^b F^{(l)}_{bc} F^{(l', \lambda')} - F^{(l', \lambda')} F^{(l)}_{bc} F^{(l)} \right] \]

\[ - \frac{1}{2} \left( F^{(l)}_{bc} D^a F^{(l', \lambda')}_{bc} - F^{(l', \lambda')} D^a F^{(l)}_{bc} \right) \]

\[ + 2(2 - n) \left( F^{(l)} D^a F^{(l', \lambda')} - F^{(l', \lambda')} D^a F^{(l)} \right) \].

We note that a further coordinate transformation, \( u = -\log(\rho - \eta) \) and \( r = \rho - \eta \), would result in the standard metric in the Poincaré patch, \( ds^2 = \eta^{-2} (-d\eta^2 + d\rho^2 + \rho^2 d\sigma^2) \), with \( 0 \leq \rho \) and \( \eta < 0 \). From this we see that the \( r=\text{constant} \) hypersurface with \( r > 1 \) is almost a Cauchy surface. It is not quite a Cauchy surface because the timelike line \( \rho = 0 \) does not intersect it. However, we expect the data on this hypersurface completely describe the gravitational perturbations because only one point in the future infinity is removed from it. We work under this assumption. We calculate the symplectic inner product only for the perturbations that tend to zero as \( u \rightarrow 0 \) so that we can integrate by parts with respect to \( u \). We believe this is sufficient because perturbations not satisfying this condition can be considered as limiting cases of those satisfying them.

On the future cosmological horizon we have \( ds^2_{orb} = -2dudr \) with \( -\infty < u < \infty \). Hence, if \( \Sigma \) is the constant-\( r \) hypersurface, then in the limit \( r \rightarrow 1 \), i.e. as it approaches the future cosmological horizon, we have

\[ \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} d\Sigma n^\lambda = d\Omega_n du \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \right)^\lambda. \]

Thus, the inner product [52] can be evaluated on the future cosmological horizon as

\[ \langle h^{(S; \lambda)}, h^{(S; \lambda)} \rangle = -2i \int d\Omega_n du J^a. \]

One can readily see that the first term in the conserved current \( J^a \) does not contribute, because, on the horizon, we have \( D^r = -\left( \partial/\partial u \right)^a \) and

\[ \left( \partial/\partial u \right)_a D^r \left( F^{(l)}_{bc} F^{(l', \lambda')} - F^{(l', \lambda')} F^{(l)}_{bc} \right) = 0. \]

(This equality follows just from the fact that \( F^{(l)}_{ab} \) is a symmetric tensor on the two-dimensional orbit spacetime.) Then, after dropping terms that are total derivatives with respect to \( u \), which do not contribute in the integral [53], we find that the current \( J_u \) on the horizon can be written as

\[ J_u = \left[ \frac{2}{r} \partial^b F^{(l)}_{ua} F^{(l')} - F^{(l)} F^{(l')} F^{(l')} F^{(l)}_{ua} \right] \]

\[ - \frac{1}{2} \left( F^{(l')}_{bc} D^a F^{(l')}_{bc} - F^{(l)}_{bc} D^a F^{(l)}_{bc} \right) \]

\[ + 2(2 - n) \left( F^{(l)} D^a F^{(l')} - F^{(l')} D^a F^{(l)} \right) \]

\[ \times G^{(l', \lambda')} G^{(l, \lambda)} \left( F^{(l')} \partial_u F^{(l')} - F^{(l')} \partial_u F^{(l)} \right) \].

where the relation \( F^{(l')}_{ua} = -2(n - 2) F^{(l)} \), which can readily be verified using Eqs. [17] and [18], has been used. On the horizon we find from Eqs. [17] and [18]

\[ F^{(l)}_{rr} = D_r D_r \left( r^{n/2} \Phi^{(l)}_S \right) = \partial_r \left( r^{n/2} \Phi^{(l)}_S \right), \]

\[ F^{(l)}_{uu} = D_u D_u \left( r^{n/2} \Phi^{(l)}_S \right) = \left( \partial_u^2 - \partial_u \right) \Phi^{(l)}_S, \]

\[ F^{(l)} = \frac{r^{2-n}}{2n} \left[ (\partial_u + 1) \left( 1 + \frac{2}{n} \partial_r \right) - \frac{2}{n} \right] \Phi^{(l)}_S, \]
where we have used $\Box = 2(\partial_a + 1)\partial_a$ on the horizon. We substitute these formulae into Eq. (95) and use Eq. (11) satisfied by $\Phi^{(l)}_S$ on the horizon. We then find

$$ J_n = \frac{1}{n} \frac{n-1}{n} (l-1)(l+n-1)(l+n) \times \left( \Phi^{(l)}_S \partial_a \Phi^{(l')} S \right) \times \left( \Phi^{(l')} S \partial_{a'} \Phi^{(l)}_S \right) S(l)^{l(l')} S(l'). $$

(99)

Details of this calculation can be found in Appendix B.

The inner product is obtained by substituting Eq. (99) into Eq. (93). This inner product can be rewritten as

$$ \langle \Phi^{(l)}_S, \Phi^{(l')} S \rangle = i \frac{(n-1)(l-1)(l+n-1)(l+n)}{n} \times \lim_{r \to 1} \int d\Sigma n^\Lambda S(l)^l S(l').$$

(100)

Now, evaluating this on a $t = \text{constant}$ Cauchy surface in the original $t r$ coordinates, we have

$$ \langle \Phi^{(l)}_S, \Phi^{(l')} S \rangle = i \frac{l(l-1)(l+n-1)(l+n)}{n} \times \lim_{r \to 1} \int d\Sigma n^\Lambda S(l)^l S(l').$$

(101)

We then require the same normalization condition as in the tensor case, i.e. Eq. (67). Then the normalization constants $A^{(l)}_S$ defined by Eq. (19) can be determined as

$$ |A^{(l)}_S|^2 = \frac{\pi \omega}{2} \left| \frac{\Gamma(l + \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(l + n + 2)} \right|^2.$$

(102)

F. Infrared finite two-point function

In this subsection we write down the graviton two-point function in the state analogous to the Bunch-Davies vacuum in the gauge we have chosen. Let us first recall the normalized mode functions we obtained. The tensor-type modes are

$$ h^{(l)}_{ij} = 2^{1/2} \frac{4-n}{2} \frac{\Omega^{(l)}_T}{\Omega^{(l')} S} \gamma^{l(l')}, $$

(103)

with all other components vanishing, where $\Phi^{(l)}_T$ is given by Eq. (42) with the normalization constants given by Eq. (65). The vector-type modes are given by

$$ h^{(l)}_{ai} = \frac{1}{r^{n-2} \epsilon_{ab} D_b} \left( \frac{4-n}{2} \Phi^{(l)}_V \right) \gamma^{l(l')} S, $$

(104)

with all other components vanishing. The master variable $\Phi^{(l)}_V$ is given by Eq. (31) with the normalization constant $A^{(l)}_V$ given by Eq. (76). Finally, the scalar-type modes are given by

$$ h^{(l)}_{ai} = 0, $$

$$ h^{(l)}_{ab} = \frac{4-n}{2} \frac{D_a D_b}{r^{n/2} \Phi^{(l)}_S} \left( \frac{n-2}{2} \Omega^{(l)}_S \right) S(l)^l S(l'), $$

(105)

$$ h^{(l)}_{ij} = \frac{4-n}{n} \gamma^{l(l')} S_2 \left( \frac{4-n}{2} \Phi^{(l)}_S \right) S(l)^l S(l'), $$

(106)

where $\Omega^{(l)}_S$ is given by Eq. (104) with the normalization constants $A^{(l)}_S$ given by Eq. (102).

Let us first examine the low-$\omega$ behavior of the normalized mode functions $h^{(l)}_{ij}$, $h^{(l)}_{ai}$, and $h^{(l)}_{ab}$, which coincides with the behavior of the master variables $\Phi^{(l)}_T$, $\Phi^{(l)}_V$, and $\Phi^{(l)}_S$. We readily find that they all behave like $\omega^{-1/2}$ in the limit $\omega \to 0$ since $l \geq 2$. This is to be contrasted with the behavior of the normalized minimally-coupled massless-scalar modes, which behave like $\omega^{-1/2}$ for $l = 0$, as shown in Appendix C. It is interesting to note that the normalization constants $A^{(l)}_S$ are the same as those for the minimally-coupled massless-scalar modes for each $l$. The only difference is that the angular momentum quantum number $l$ is restricted to be greater than or equal to 2 for the gravitational perturbations whereas in the massless-scalar case it can take the value $l = 0$, which is responsible for the IR divergences as shown in Appendix C.

Now, it is well known that the vacuum state with the two-point function (19) is unphysical because it will have singularities in the stress-energy tensor on the horizon. This state is analogous to the Rindler vacuum in Minkowski space and the Boulware vacuum in Schwarzschild spacetime. A physically acceptable state is the de Sitter-invariant Bunch-Davies state, which is the thermal state with temperature $H/2\pi$, where $H$ is the Hubble constant. This state is analogous to the Hartle-Hawking state in Schwarzschild spacetime. (Strictly speaking, this result has been shown explicitly only for scalar field, but it is expected that, for example, a general proof of Kay and Wald can be extended to the graviton field with a suitable definition of the Hadamard state.)

Now, we expand the graviton field $h_{\mu\nu}(y)$ as in Eq. (47):

$$ h_{\mu\nu}(y) = \sum_{P=S, V, T} \sum_{l=2}^{\infty} \sum_{\sigma} \int_0^{\infty} d\omega \left[ a^{(P)_l}_{\sigma}(\omega) h^{(P, l)}_{\mu \nu}(\sigma)(y) + a^{(P, l)}_{\sigma}(\omega) h^{(P, l)}_{\mu \nu}(\sigma)(y) \right]. $$

(108)

(There is no tensor-type contribution for $n = 2$.) In the thermal state of temperature $1/2\pi$ — recall that we have
The function $\Phi_{\omega l}(t, r)$ is now:

$$
\Phi_{\omega l}(t, r) = A_{\omega l}(t) e^{-i\omega t} r^{l+1} (1 - r^2)^{i\omega/2}
$$

(118)

The solutions to Eqs. (20) and (21) for the vector harmonics on the $S^2$ can be written as:

$$
Y_i^{(l,m)}(\theta, \phi) = \frac{\epsilon_{ij}}{\sqrt{l+1}} \partial_j Y_{Y_i}^{(l,m)}(\theta, \phi),
$$

(120)

where $\epsilon_{ij}$ is the totally antisymmetric tensor defined by:

$$
\epsilon_{ij} = \epsilon_{j\theta} = 0, \quad \epsilon_{i\phi} = -\epsilon_{\theta\phi} = \sin \theta.
$$

(121, 122)

Then, the vector-type perturbations are given by

$$
h_{\omega l i}^{(V \omega l m)} = Y_i^{(l,m)}(\theta, \phi) (1 - r^2) \partial_t \left( r \Phi_{\omega l}^V \right),
$$

(123)

$$
h_{\omega l r}^{(V \omega l m)} = \frac{Y_i^{(l,m)}(\theta, \phi)}{1 - r^2} \partial_r \left( r \Phi_{\omega l}^V \right),
$$

(124)

with all other components vanishing. The master variable $\Phi_{\omega l}^V$ is given by

$$
\Phi_{\omega l}^V(t, r) = A_{\omega l}^V e^{-i\omega t} r^{l+1} (1 - r^2)^{i\omega/2}
$$

(125)

where the normalization constants $A_{\omega l}^V$ are now

$$
|A_{\omega l}^V|^2 = \frac{\sin \pi \omega \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(i\omega + l + 1)) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(i\omega + l + 2))}{8\pi^2(l-1)(l+2)} |1 + \frac{2}{l}r^2|^2.
$$

(126)

We note that $\Phi_{S}^{\omega l}$ and $\Phi_{V}^{\omega l}$ are essentially the same, with the precise relation between them being

$$
\Phi_{V}^{\omega l} = \frac{l(l+1)}{8} \Phi_{S}^{\omega l}.
$$

(127)

Next, we simplify our graviton two-point function in $3 + 1$ dimensions. Let us first consider the contribution from the scalar-type modes. We define the following tensor differential operators motivated by how the

$$
d\sigma^2 = d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2.
$$

(117)
mode functions $h_{\mu \nu}^{(S\omega l)}$ are given in terms of $\Phi_S^{(\omega l)}$ (see Eqs. 113-116):

$$D_{tt}^{(S)} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \partial_t^2 + (1 - r^2)^2 \partial_t^2 \right], \quad (128)$$

$$D_{rr}^{(S)} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \partial_r^2 + \frac{1}{(1 - r^2)^2} \partial_t^2 \right], \quad (129)$$

$$D_{ij}^{(S)} = \partial_r \partial_t + \frac{r}{1 - r^2} \partial_t, \quad (130)$$

$$D_{ij}^{(S)} = \frac{r^2}{2} \gamma_{ij}(\Box + 2), \quad (131)$$

with all other components vanishing. If $\theta' = 0$ in $y = (t', r', \theta', \phi')$, then the contribution to the graviton two-point function (111) with $n = 2$ from the scalar-type modes reads

$$\Delta_{\mu \nu \mu' \nu'}^{(S)}(y, y') = \mathcal{D}_{\mu \nu}^{(S)} \mathcal{D}_{\mu' \nu'}^{(S)} G(y, y'), \quad (132)$$

where

$$G(y, y') = \sum_{l=2}^{\infty} Y^{(l, 0)}(\theta' = 0, \phi') Y^{(l, 0)}(\theta, \phi) \int_0 d\omega \left[ \frac{r \Phi_S^{(wl)}(t, r) \Phi_S^{(wl)}(t', r')}{e^{2\pi \omega} - 1} + \frac{r \Phi_S^{(wl)}(t, r) \Phi_S^{(wl)}(t', r')}{1 - e^{-2\pi \omega}} \right], \quad (133)$$

because $Y^{(l, m)}(\theta' = 0, \phi') = 0$, unless $m = 0$. We shall find a simplified expression for $G(y, y')$ next.

It is well known [23] that the two-point function for the conformally-coupled massless scalar field (i.e. $M^2 = 2$) is

$$\Delta^{(c)}(y, y') = \frac{1}{8\pi^2(1 - \cos \mu(y, y') + i\epsilon(t - t'))}, \quad (134)$$

where $\mu(y, y')$ is the geodesic distance between the two points $y = (t, r, \theta, \phi)$ and $y' = (t', r', \theta', \phi')$ if they are spacelike separated. For timelike separation of the points, $\cos \mu(y, y') = \cosh \mu_T(y, y')$, where $\mu_T(y, y')$ is the timelike geodesic distance of the two points. The term $i\epsilon(t - t')$, where $\epsilon$ is an infinitesimal positive number, indicates how the singularity at $\mu(y, y') = 0$ is avoided. This two-point function can be expressed in the static patch (by using Appendix C) as follows:

$$\Delta^{(c)}(y, y') = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^{l} Y^{(l, m)}(\theta, \phi) Y^{(l, m)}(\theta', \phi') \int_0 d\omega |N^{(\omega l)}|^2 R_{\omega l}(r) R_{\omega l}(r') \times \frac{e^{i\omega(t-t')}}{e^{2\pi \omega} - 1} + \frac{e^{-i\omega(t-t')}}{1 - e^{-2\pi \omega}}, \quad (135)$$

where

$$|N^{(\omega l)}|^2 = \frac{\sinh \pi \omega}{4\pi^2} \times \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}(i\omega + l + 1)\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}(i\omega + l + 2)\right)}{\Gamma(l + \frac{3}{2})}^2, \quad (136)$$

$$R_{\omega l}(r) = r^l(1 - r^2)^{i\omega/2} \times F\left(\frac{1}{2}(i\omega + l + 1), \frac{1}{2}(i\omega + l + 2); l + \frac{3}{2}; r^2 \right). \quad (137)$$

We have used the fact that $R_{\omega l}(r)$ and $\sum_{m=-l}^{l} Y^{(l, m)}(\theta, \phi) Y^{(l, m)}(\theta', \phi')$ are both real. Notice that by Eq. (119) we have

$$\left|A_{\omega l}^{(w)}\right|^2 = \frac{4|N^{(\omega l)}|^2}{(l - 1)(l + 1)(l + 2)}. \quad (138)$$

We multiply Eq. (135) by $Y^{(l, 0)}(\theta', \phi')$ and integrate over $S^2$. Using Eq. (138) we find by the orthonormality of the spherical harmonics

$$\int d\phi' d\theta' \sin \theta' \frac{Y^{(l, 0)}(\theta', \phi')}{1 - \cos \mu(y, y') + i\epsilon(t - t')} \times \frac{1}{2\pi^2(l - 1)(l + 1)(l + 2)} \times \left[ \Phi_S^{(wl)}(t, r) \Phi_S^{(wl)}(t', r') \frac{1}{e^{2\pi \omega} - 1} \right.$$

$$+ \frac{\Phi_S^{(wl)}(t, r) \Phi_S^{(wl)}(t', r')}{1 - e^{-2\pi \omega}} \left. \right] Y^{(l, 0)}(\theta, \phi). \quad (139)$$

Hence, by using the formula

$$Y^{(l, 0)}(\theta, \phi) = \sqrt{\frac{2l + 1}{4\pi}} P_l(\cos \theta), \quad (140)$$

we obtain

$$\frac{(2l + 1)r'^l}{8\pi^3(l - 1)(l + 1)(l + 2)} \times \int d\phi' d\theta' \sin \theta' \frac{P_l(\cos \theta')}{1 - \cos \mu(y, y') + i\epsilon(t - t')} \times \left[ \Phi_S^{(wl)}(t, r) \Phi_S^{(wl)}(t', r') \frac{1}{e^{2\pi \omega} - 1} \right.$$

$$+ \frac{\Phi_S^{(wl)}(t, r) \Phi_S^{(wl)}(t', r')}{1 - e^{-2\pi \omega}} \left. \right] Y^{(l, 0)}(\theta' = 0, \phi') Y^{(l, 0)}(\theta, \phi). \quad (141)$$

By comparing this expression and Eq. (135) we find

$$G(y, y') = r^2 r'^2 \int d\phi' d\theta' \sin \theta' \frac{Q(\theta')}{1 - \cos \mu(y, y') + i\epsilon(t - t')}, \quad (142)$$
respectively, in the cartesian coordinates. We denote the graviton two-point function can be given as

$$F_{ii'}(y,y') = \sum_{l=2}^{\infty} \sum_{m=\pm1} Y_{i}^{(l,m)}(\theta',\phi') Y_{i'}^{(l,m)}(\theta' = 0, \phi' = 0)$$

where

$$Q(\theta') = \frac{1}{8\pi^3} \sum_{l=2}^{\infty} \frac{2l+1}{(l-1)(l+1)(l+2)} P_l(\cos \theta').$$

It can be shown that this series is convergent for all $\theta'$.

Next, let us examine the contribution of the vector-type modes. If we let $\theta' = 0$ again, then it can be shown that only the modes with $|m| = 1$ contribute. We note first that

$$\sum_{m=\pm1} Y_{i}^{(l,m)}(\theta,\phi) Y_{i'}^{(l,m)}(\theta',\phi') = \frac{2l+1}{2\pi l(l+1)} P_l^1(\cos \theta) P_l^1(\cos \theta') \cos(\phi - \phi').$$

We choose $\phi' = 0$. This means that the $\theta'$-direction and $\phi'$-direction are identified with the $x'$- and $y'$-directions, respectively, in the cartesian coordinates. We denote the unit vectors in the $x'$- and $y'$-directions by $\hat{e}_{x'}$ and $\hat{e}_{y'}$, respectively. For small $\theta'$ we have

$$P_l^1(\cos \theta') \approx \frac{l(l+1)}{2} \sin \theta'.$$

Then, for $\theta' \to 0$ and $\phi' \to 0$ we find

$$\epsilon_{i,j}' \partial^{i'} [P_l^1(\cos \theta') \cos(\phi - \phi')] \to \frac{l(l+1)}{2} \epsilon_{i,j}'(\phi),$$

where

$$\epsilon_{i,j}'(\phi) = -\epsilon_{i,j}^1(\phi) \sin \phi + \epsilon_{i,j}^2(\phi) \cos \phi.$$  \hspace{1cm} (147)

Then, by Eq. (120) we obtain

$$\sum_{m=\pm1} Y_{i}^{(l,m)}(\theta,\phi) Y_{i}^{(l,m)}(\theta' = 0, \phi' = 0) = \frac{2l+1}{4\pi l(l+1)} \epsilon_{i,j}' \partial^{i'} \left[ P_l^1(\cos \theta) \epsilon_{i,j}'(\phi) \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{l(l+1)} \epsilon_{i,j}' \partial^{i'} \left[ Y_{i}^{(l,0)}(\theta,\phi) Y_{i}^{(l,0)}(\theta = 0, \phi' = 0) \epsilon_{i,j}'(\phi) \right].$$

where $\{\ldots\}$ indicates symmetrization. (This result is independent of the choice of $\phi'$.) The differential operators $D_{\mu\nu}^{(V)}$ and $D_{\mu\nu}^{(a)}$ are defined by Eqs. (128)-(131) and Eqs. (149)-(150), respectively, the function $G(y,y')$ is defined by Eq. (143), and the vector $\epsilon_{i,j}'(\phi)$ is defined by Eq. (147).

V. CONCLUDING REMARKS

In this paper we studied gravitational perturbations in the static patch, i.e. inside the cosmological horizon, of de Sitter space. In particular, we used a gauge-invariant formalism to construct the perturbations and found the symplectic inner product among these perturbations and the graviton two-point function with the gauge degrees of freedom fully fixed. This two-point function (111) was found to be finite in the infrared because the normalized perturbations $h_{\mu\nu}^{(r,\omega)}$ behave like $\omega^{-1/2}$ as $\omega \to 0$. By construction this IR-finite two-point function is invariant under a de Sitter boost which is the time translation with respect to the timelike Killing vector in the static patch of de Sitter space.

We note that the IR-divergent two-point function in the Poincaré patch grows as a function of time. The IR-finite two-point function in the global patch also grows as a function of time if the two points are kept at a
fixed physical distance. In contrast, the IR-finite two-point function obtained in this paper is invariant under time translation as mentioned above and, hence, does not grow as a function of time.

There have been many works reporting that de Sitter invariance is broken due to IR gravitons. For example, it is claimed in Refs. [66–68] that the Hubble constant would decrease in time because of IR gravitons. (See Refs. [69, 70] for a criticism of these works and the rebuttal.) There are also other works finding IR growth of geometrical fluctuations in inflationary spacetimes [71, 72]. It is also claimed that some coupling constants change in time in de Sitter background due to IR divergences of graviton propagators [54–57].

On the other hand, there are some works that suggest that even the IR divergences of minimally-coupled massless scalar field have light physical effect in inflationary cosmology [58–63]. It will be interesting to extend these efforts [64–67] to the IR-finite and time-translation invariant graviton two-point function found in this paper appears to be in conflict with these claims of de Sitter breaking. (We note Refs. [49, 50] for a criticism of these works and the rebuttal.) In this respect we believe that the “scheme dependence” in some of the de Sitter-breaking results [57] should be investigated further.

In resolving the issue of whether or not there are gauge-invariant de Sitter breaking effects due to IR gravitons, it would be useful to develop perturbation theory for the gravitational field in the static point of view. Some progress has been made in the covariant analysis of scalar field theory [68–71]. It will be interesting to extend these results to perturbation theory for the gravitational field. As noted in Ref. [72] the static patch is closely related to the Euclidean vacuum field theory, which in turn is related to the covariant approach to de Sitter physics. We believe that our results will be useful in constructing the interacting field theory of gravity in the static patch, which is both physically relevant and related to the covariant approach to perturbative quantum gravity in de Sitter space.
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Appendix A: Calculation of the inner product for the vector-type modes

As the vector-type perturbations are traceless (h^aμ = 0), the conjugate momentum current is just:

\[ p^{(V)\lambda\mu} = g^{\lambda\mu} \nabla_\sigma h^{(V)\mu\sigma} + g^{\lambda\mu} \nabla_\nu h^{(V)\nu\sigma} - g^{\lambda\mu} \nabla_\nu h^{(V)\nu\lambda} - \nabla_\lambda h^{(V)\mu\nu} \]

(A1)

The inner product for the vector case can be written as:

\[ \langle h^{(V;\omega;\sigma)}; h^{(V;\omega';\sigma')} \rangle = 2i \int \Omega m d r r^n \]

\[ \times \left( \delta^{(V;\omega;\sigma)}_{(V;\omega';\sigma')} p^{(V;\omega;\sigma)_{(V;\omega';\sigma')}} - \delta^{(V;\omega;\sigma)}_{(V;\omega';\sigma')} h^{(V;\omega;\sigma)}_{(V;\omega';\sigma')} \right) \]

(A2)

with \( p^{(V;\omega;\sigma)} \) given by

\[ p^{(V;\omega;\sigma)_{(V;\omega';\sigma')}} = \delta^{\omega}_{\omega'} g^{\lambda\mu} \nabla_\lambda h^{(V;\omega;\sigma)}_{(V;\omega';\sigma')} - g^{\lambda\mu} \nabla_\lambda h^{(V;\omega;\sigma)}_{(V;\omega';\sigma')} \]

\[ = \delta^{\omega}_{\omega'} \left( g^{\lambda\mu} D_{\lambda} h^{(V;\omega;\sigma)}_{(V;\omega';\sigma')} + \eta^\lambda_{\lambda} - \lambda \right) \]

\[ - g^{\lambda\mu} \left( D^{\lambda}_{(V;\omega;\sigma)} - D^{\lambda}_{(V;\omega';\sigma')} \right) \]

(A3)

We have

\[ \overline{h^{(V;\omega;\sigma)}} p^{(V;\omega;\sigma)} \overline{\eta^{(V;\omega';\sigma')}} \overline{\eta^{(V;\omega';\sigma')}} = - \frac{\sqrt{(\eta)(\eta)'}}{\rho_n} D \Omega_{\eta}^{(\omega)} \overline{\eta} \overline{\eta} \]

\[ \times \left\{ \delta^{(V;\omega;\sigma)}_{(V;\omega';\sigma')} \left[ \epsilon_{\lambda\mu} g^{\lambda\mu} D_{\epsilon} \left( D^{\lambda}_{\lambda} \Omega_{\epsilon}^{(\omega)} \right) - \eta_{\lambda\mu} - \lambda \right] \right\} \]

(A4)

where \( \Omega_{\eta}^{(\omega)} = r^{2\omega} \Phi_{\eta}^{(\omega)} \). This can be simplified as

\[ \overline{h^{(V;\omega;\sigma)}} p^{(V;\omega;\sigma)} \overline{\eta^{(V;\omega';\sigma')}} \overline{\eta^{(V;\omega';\sigma')}} = - \frac{\sqrt{(\eta)(\eta)'}}{\rho_n} D \Omega^{(\omega)} \overline{\eta} \overline{\eta} \]

\[ \times \left( D^{\lambda}_{\lambda} D^{\lambda}_{\lambda} \Omega_{\epsilon}^{(\omega)} \right) \]

\[ - n^{(\omega)} + 2 \eta^{(\omega)} - n^{(\omega)} \left( D^{\lambda}_{\lambda} D^{\lambda}_{\lambda} \Omega_{\epsilon}^{(\omega)} \right) \]

(A5)
Now we calculate the integral
\[ I(\Omega_V', \Omega_V) = 2i \int_{\Sigma} d\Omega_n \lambda' \eta(V,\omega l') \eta(V,\omega l') \beta_i \] (A6)
\[
= 2i \delta^{ll'} \delta^{\sigma \sigma'} \left[ \int_0^1 dr r \Omega_V^{(\omega l')} \right] \\
\times \left( \frac{\partial_t}{\rho^{n-2}} + \Gamma_{l'}^l \frac{\partial_r}{\rho^{n-2}} \right) \Omega_V^{(\omega l')} \\
\times \left( \frac{\partial_t}{\rho^{n-2}} + \Gamma_{l'}^l \frac{\partial_r}{\rho^{n-2}} + 2 \frac{\partial_r}{\rho^{n-1}} \right) \Omega_V^{(\omega l')} \right), (A7)
\]
where we used the fact that \( \int d\Omega_n \frac{\nabla}{\nabla^2} \eta(V,\omega l') = \frac{1}{r^2} \delta^{ll'} \delta^{\sigma \sigma'} \).

We use the following equation to eliminate the term \( \partial_t \delta^{ll'} \Omega_V^{(\omega l')} \) in Eq. (A7):
\[
\partial_t \delta^{ll'} \Omega_V^{(\omega l')} = - \partial_r \left( \frac{\partial_r \Omega_V^{(\omega l')}}{\rho^{n-2}} + 2 \frac{\partial_r \Omega_V^{(\omega l')}}{\rho^{n-1}} \right) \\
+ \frac{[(l+1)(l+2) - n] \Omega_V^{(\omega l')}}{\rho^n}. (A8)
\]
We multiply this equation by \( \partial_r \delta^{ll'} \Omega_V^{(\omega l')} \) and integrate with respect to \( r \). We use integration by parts for the second term, dropping the boundary term because it oscillates rapidly as a function of \( \omega \) and \( \omega' \) unless \( \omega = \omega' \) and hence can be neglected as a distribution of \( \omega \) and \( \omega' \). We substitute the resulting expression into Eq. (A7) and find the inner product as:
\[
\langle h(V,\omega l'), h(V,\omega l') \rangle = I(\Omega_V, \Omega_V') - I(\Omega_V', \Omega_V) \\
= 2i \delta^{ll'} \delta^{\sigma \sigma'} (l-1)(l+n) \int_0^1 dr \\
\times \frac{\Omega_V^{(\omega l')} \delta^{ll'} \Omega_V^{(\omega l')}}{\rho^n} - \frac{\Omega_V^{(\omega l')} \delta^{ll'} \Omega_V^{(\omega l')}}{\rho^n}. (A9)
\]
i.e.
\[
\langle h(V,\omega l'), h(V,\omega l') \rangle = 2i \delta^{ll'} \delta^{\sigma \sigma'} (l-1)(l+n) \int_0^1 dr \\
\times \frac{\Omega_V^{(\omega l')} \delta^{ll'} \Omega_V^{(\omega l')}}{1-r^2} (\Phi_V^{(\omega l')} \partial_r \Phi_V^{(\omega l')} - \Phi_V^{(\omega l')} \partial_r \Phi_V^{(\omega l')}). (A10)
\]
From this equation we find the normalization constants \( A_{ll'}^{(\omega)} \) in Eq. (76) in the same way as in the tensor case.

**Appendix B: Calculation of the inner product for the scalar-type modes**

As we stated in Sec. [115E] we evaluate the inner product for the scalar-type modes on the future horizon. Let us first derive Eq. (92) on the future horizon. A future-pointing vector orthogonal to an \( r = \text{constant} \) hypersurface, which is spacelike if \( r > 1 \), is \(-\nabla_a r\). Then, the unit future pointing normal vector is
\[
n^a = (r^2 - 1)^{-1/2} \nabla_a r \\
= (r^2 - 1)^{-1/2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \right)^a + (r^2 - 1)^{1/2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right)^a. (B1)
\]
Now, the surface element of this hypersurface is
\[
d\Sigma = d\Omega_n du (r^2 - 1)^{1/2}. (B2)
\]
Hence
\[
d\Sigma n^a = d\Omega_n du \left[ \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \right)^a + (r^2 - 1) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right)^a \right]. (B3)
\]
Clearly, in the limit \( r \to 1 \) we have
\[
\lim_{r \to 1} d\Sigma n^a = d\Omega_n du \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \right)^a, (B4)
\]
which is Eq. (92).

Now, to express the conserved current in terms of the master variable \( \Phi_S^{(l)} \), we first simplify Eq. (98), which expresses \( F^{(l)} \) in terms of \( \Phi_S^{(l)} \), using the field equation (11), which reads on the horizon
\[
\Box \Phi_S^{(l)} = 2(\partial_u + 1) \partial_r \Phi_S^{(l)} = A_{n,l} \Phi_S^{(l)}, (B5)
\]
with
\[
A_{n,l} = (l + 1)(l + n - 2), (B6)
\]
as
\[
F^{(l)} = -\frac{1}{2} \left( \partial_u + 1 - \frac{A_{n,l}}{n} \right) \Phi_S^{(l)}. (B7)
\]
Then we find
\[
F^{(l)} \partial_u F^{(l')} = \frac{1}{4} \left( \partial_u + 1 - \frac{2}{n} \right) \Phi_S^{(l)}, (B8)
\]
\[
\times \partial_u \left( \partial_u + 1 - \frac{2}{n} \right) \Phi_S^{(l')}, (B9)
\]
\[
\approx - \frac{\partial_u \Phi_S^{(l')}}{4} \\
\times \left[ \partial_u^2 - \left( 1 - \frac{2}{n} - \frac{A_{n,l}}{n} \right)^2 \right] \Phi_S^{(l')} \right). (B8)
\]
Here we indicated the equivalence up to a total derivative with respect to \( u \) by \( \approx \) because we will integrate this quantity over \( u \) to obtain the symplectic product between two scalar-type modes that tend to zero as \( u \to \pm \infty \). Similarly we find
\[
2F^{(l)} \partial_u F^{(l')} - 4F^{(l')} F^{(l')} \approx 2\partial_u \Phi_S^{(l')} (\partial_u^2 + 3\partial_u + 2) \\
\times \left( \partial_u^2 + n\partial_u + \frac{n(n-2)}{4} \right) \Phi_S^{(l')} \right), (B9)
\]
we are going to differentiate this expression with respect to 

Substituting this equation into Eq. (B10), we find

We can rewrite the first term, using Eq. (B5), as

Then, we have, noting that

A

Substituting this equation into Eq. (B10), we find

We now note that

To calculate \( \Box (r^2 \Phi^{(l)}_S) \), we write

with

and

It is important to note that \( r = 1 \) in Eq. (B14) because we are going to differentiate this expression with respect to \( r \). Then, we have, noting that \( A_{n,l} = B_{n,l} + C_{n,l} \) for \( r = 1 \),

Substituting Eq. (B17) into Eq. (B13) and using the resulting expression in Eq. (B12), we obtain

Substituting this equation into Eq. (B8), we find for the inner product between two scalar-type modes

Appendix C: The Two-Point Function for the Scalar Field

The minimally-coupled scalar field equation with mass \( M \),

\[ r^{-n} D_\alpha (r^n D^\alpha \phi) + \frac{1}{r^2} \partial_r D^r \phi - M^2 \phi = 0, \]  

(C1)
can readily be solved with the positive-frequency solutions being given by

\[ \phi^{(\omega l)}(y) = \mathcal{N}^{(\omega l)} e^{-i \omega l} (1 - r^2)^{i \omega / 2} F(\alpha_+, \alpha_+; \gamma; r^2) S^{(\sigma)}_{\omega l}, \]  

(C2)

where

\[ \alpha_+ = \frac{1}{2} \left( i \omega + l + \frac{n+1}{2} \pm \sqrt{\left( \frac{n+1}{2} \right)^2 - M^2} \right), \]  

(C3)

\[ \gamma = l + \frac{n+1}{2}. \]  

(C4)
The normalization constants \( \mathcal{N}^{(\omega l)} \) are determined by requiring

\[ \langle \phi^{(\omega l)}, \phi^{(\omega' l')} \rangle := i \int \mathcal{D}y \mathcal{D}y' \mathcal{D}x \mathcal{D}x' \phi^{(\omega l)}(y) \phi^{(\omega' l')}(y') = \delta^{l'l'} \delta^\sigma^\sigma' \delta(\omega - \omega'). \]  

(C5)
Proceeding in exactly the same way as in the graviton case, we find

\[ |N^{(\omega)}|^2 = \frac{\sinh \pi \omega |\Gamma(\alpha_-)\Gamma(\alpha_+)|^2}{4\pi^2 |\Gamma(l + \frac{n+1}{2})|^2}. \quad (C6) \]

The special case with \( n = 2 \) agrees with Ref. [40].

For \( M > 0 \) we find that the normalization constants \( |N^{(\omega)}|^2 \) tend to 0 like \( \omega^{1/2} \) as \( \omega \to 0 \). Now, for \( M = 0 \) we have

\[ |N^{(\omega)}|^2 \bigg|_{M=0} = \frac{\sinh \pi \omega |\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(i\omega + l))\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(i\omega + l + n + 1))|^2}{4\pi^2 |\Gamma(l + \frac{n+1}{2})|^2}. \quad (C7) \]

Thus, the mode functions \( \phi^{(\omega,l,\sigma)}(y) \) tend to zero like \( \omega^{1/2} \) for \( l \geq 1 \), but the \( l = 0 \) mode function diverges like \( \omega^{-1/2} \). The two-point function for the corresponding quantum field \( \hat{\phi}(y) \) is

\[
\langle \hat{\phi}(y)\hat{\phi}(y') \rangle = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\sigma} \int_0^{\infty} d\omega \left[ \frac{1}{e^{2\pi \omega} - 1} \phi^{(\omega,l,\sigma)}(y)\phi^{(\omega,l,\sigma)}(y') + \frac{1}{1 - e^{-2\pi \omega}} \phi^{(\omega,l,\sigma)}(y)\phi^{(\omega,l,\sigma)}(y') \right]. \quad (C8) 
\]

This is IR divergent for \( M = 0 \) because the \( l = 0 \) contribution to the integrand behaves like \( \omega^{-2} \) as \( \omega \to 0 \).

**Appendix D: Two-point function with one point at \( r = 0 \)**

In this Appendix we show that the two-point function vanishes if one of the two points is at \( r = 0 \). This shows clearly that the values of the graviton two-point function by themselves have no physical significance.

Since \( r = 0 \) is a coordinate singularity of spherical polar coordinates, we need to contract the indices of the two-point function at the origin with vielbein \( e^{(a)}_{i} \) satisfying

\[ e^{(a)}_{i} e^{(b)}_{\mu} \eta^{(a)(b)} = g^{\mu\nu} \quad (D1) \]

and

\[ g_{\mu\nu} e^{(a)}_{i} e^{(b)}_{\nu} = \eta^{(a)(b)}, \quad (D2) \]

where \( \eta^{(a)(b)} = \text{diag}(-1, 1, 1, ..., 1). \) At any point away from \( r = 0 \) we can choose the following vielbein \( e^{(a)}_{i} \):

\[ e^{(a)}_{(0)} = \left(1 - r^2\right)^{-1/2} (0, ..., 0), \quad (D3) \]

\[ e^{(1)}_{(1)} = \left(0, 1 - r^2\right)^{1/2} (0, ..., 0), \quad (D4) \]

\[ e^{(i)}_{(i)} = \left(0, 0, ..., \frac{1}{\sqrt{r^2 + \eta_{ij} r^2}}, 0, ..., 0\right), \quad (D5) \]

where the index \( i \) is not summed over. We take the limit \( r \to 0 \) after contracting the indices of the two-point function at the origin with this vielbein.

Now we examine the components \( e^{(a)}_{i} e^{(b)}_{\mu} h^{(P:\omega, l, \sigma)}_{\mu\nu} \) as \( r \) in \( y = (t, r, \theta, \phi, ...) \) tends to zero. If \( (a) \) and \( (b) \) are (0) or (1), then \( \lim_{r\to 0} e^{(a)}_{i} e^{(b)}_{\mu} h^{(P:\omega, l, \sigma)}_{\mu\nu} = h_{ab} \), where \( a \) and \( b \) on the right-hand side are \( t \) or \( r \). Hence we can examine the components \( h_{ab} \) directly. For the vector- and tensor-type perturbations this is trivially zero since \( h^{(S:\omega, l, \sigma)}_{ab} \) is not summed over. We take the limit \( r \to 0 \) as \( T \) in the gauge that we have chosen. For the scalar-mode types, we first note that \( r^{n/2} \Phi^{(S)}_{S} \) in Eq. (106) behaves like \( r^{1+n} \) as \( r \to 0 \). The derivative operators \( D_{\mu} D_{\nu} \) change the leading behavior to \( O(r^{l-1+n-2}) \). Then it can readily be seen that \( h^{(S:\omega, l, \sigma)}_{ab} \) tends to zero like \( r^{l} \) (\( l \geq 2 \)) or faster as \( r \to 0 \).

For \( (a) \) or (0) and \( (b) \) or (1), we find

\[ e^{(a)}_{i} e^{(i)}_{\mu} h^{(P:\omega, l, \sigma)}_{\mu\nu} = \left(1 - r^2\right)^{\text{sign}(a)/2} (r^2 \gamma_{ii} - 1/2) h_{ai}^{(P:\omega, l, \sigma)} \quad (D6) \]

where \( \text{sign}(a) = -1 \) if \( a \) is 0 and \( \text{sign}(a) = 1 \) if \( a = 1 \). Now, it is the tensor- and scalar-type perturbations that vanish identically in the gauge we have chosen. For the vector case, we find that \( r^{n/2} \Phi^{(S)}_{S} \) in Eq. (104) behaves like \( r^{l+1} \) as \( r \to 0 \). Then it can readily be seen that \( h^{(V:\omega, l, \sigma)}_{ii} \) and \( h^{(V:\omega, l, \sigma)}_{ij} \) behave like \( r^{l+1} \) and \( r^{l+2} \), respectively, with \( l \geq 2 \). Then Eq. (D6) shows that \( e^{(a)}_{i} e^{(i)}_{\mu} h^{(P:\omega, l, \sigma)}_{\mu\nu} \to 0 \) as \( r \to 0 \).

Finally, we calculate the components with \( (a) = (i) \) and \( (b) = (j) \) to find

\[ e^{(i)}_{i} e^{(j)}_{\mu} h^{(P:\omega, l, \sigma)}_{\mu\nu} = (\gamma_{ii} \gamma_{jj})^{-1/2} r^{-2} h^{(P:\omega, l, \sigma)}_{ij} \quad (D7) \]

The vector case is trivial since \( h^{(V:\omega, l, \sigma)}_{ij} = 0 \). The scalar case is

\[ e^{(i)}_{i} e^{(j)}_{\mu} h^{(S:\omega, l, \sigma)}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{\gamma_{ij} \gamma_{jj}}{(\gamma_{ii} \gamma_{jj})^{1/2}} \left(\frac{\Box + 2}{nm^{n-2}} r^{n/2} \Phi^{(S)}_{S} \right), \quad (D8) \]

which behave like \( r^{l} \), \( l \geq 2 \), as \( r \to 0 \). For the tensor case, we have

\[ e^{(i)}_{i} e^{(j)}_{\mu} h^{(T:\omega, l, \sigma)}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{2 T_{ij}}{(\gamma_{ii} \gamma_{jj})^{1/2}} r^{n/2} \Phi^{(S)}_{S}. \quad (D9) \]

Then these vielbein components for the tensor case behave like \( r^{l} \), \( l \geq 2 \), for small \( r \). Hence, it will vanish as \( r \to 0 \). Since all these components vanish for \( r \to 0 \), the two-point function itself vanishes in this limit.
