Dynamic mRNA and miRNA expression of the head during early development in bighead carp (*Hypophthalmichthys nobilis*)
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**Abstract**

**Background:** Head of fish species, an exquisitely complex anatomical system, is important not only for studying fish evolution and development, but also for economic values. Currently, although some studies have been made on fish growth and body shapes, very limited information is available on the molecular mechanism of head development.

**Results:** In this study, RNA sequencing (RNA–Seq) and small RNA sequencing (sRNA–Seq) technologies were used to conduct integrated analysis for the head of bighead carp at different development stages, including 1, 3, 5, 15 and 30 Dph (days post hatch). By RNA-Seq data, 26 pathways related to growth and bone formation were identified as the main physiological processes during early development. Coupling this to sRNA–Seq data, we picked out six key pathways that may be responsible for head development, namely ECM receptor interaction, TNF signaling pathway, osteoclast differentiation, PI3K–Akt signaling pathway, Neuroactive ligand–receptor interaction and Jak–STAT signaling pathway. Totally, 114 important candidate genes from the six pathways were obtained. Then we found the top 20 key genes according to the degree value by cytohubba, which regulated cell growth, skeletal formation and blood homeostasis, such as pik3ca, pik3r1, egfr, vegfa, igf1 and itga2b. Finally, we also acquired 19 key miRNAs playing multiple roles in the perfection of various tissues in the head (such as brain, eye and mouth) and mineralization of head bone system, such as let–7e, miR–142a–5p, miR–144–3p, miR–23a–3p and miR–223.

**Conclusions:** Results of this study will be informative for genetic mechanisms of head development and also provide potential candidate targets for the interaction regulation during early growth in bighead carp.
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**Introduction**

The head of vertebrate is an extremely complex organ, which is one of the classic research topics of evolutionary morphology and developmental biology [1]. Species evolved into different head shapes and sizes (skull morphologies) to adapt to their living environment [2]. The evolutionary morphology and developmental biology of head have been reported in a variety of animals, such as dogs [3, 4], bird [5, 6], and ruminant [7, 8]. Head shapes and sizes of fish are very important not only for the studies on fish evolution and development, but also for the economic value of aquaculture industry, which directly affect the fillet yield of economic fish [2]. For most aquaculture fish, smaller head and uniform body shape provide a higher percentage of meat yield. Therefore, selecting smaller head and streamlined body shape is of great significance in aquaculture [9]. Because skull morphology of fish is one of the commercial traits, it is very important to study the genetic regulation of head...
development. The ultimate goal of researchers is to produce fish with very large or very small head for ornamental or economic value [10]. Genome-wide association study (GWAS) and quantitative trait loci (QTL) mapping of head shapes and sizes were performed in fish species, including common carp [10, 11], German mirror carp [12] and catfish [2]. Although some studies have been made on the genetic architecture of the shape and size of fish head, little is known about the genetic control basis of the head development in aquaculture fish.

Bighead carp (Hypophthalmichthys nobilis) is one of the most important cultured fishes in Asia, which provides a large amount of high-quality protein for human beings [13]. Principally produced in China, the global production of bighead carp reached 3.14 million tons in 2018 (FAO). For most aquaculture fish, smaller head and uniform body shape provide a higher percentage of meat yield [9]. Unlike other fish, the head of bighead carp is a raw material of many traditional Chinese dishes (Steamed Fish Head with Diced Hot Red Peppers, and so on), and the head is more popular than its fillet with even higher economic value. In addition, the nutrients of brain pulp, phospholipid and the soft skin with collagen in the head of bighead carp are rich, and therefore the head has higher nutritional value [14]. To meet the market demand for bighead carp, selection for fast-growing and big-headed varieties is prevalent among current breeding programs. At present, although some researchers have made some progresses on the genetic basis of fish growth and body shape, there are few reports on the molecular mechanisms of head development.

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are small, endogenous and noncoding RNAs, about 22 nucleotides long; MiRNAs regulate gene silencing through partial messenger RNA (mRNA) excision and translation inhibition by binding to target mRNA [15]. Generally, a single miRNA regulates multiple target genes, and a single gene is also regulated by multiple miRNAs, so the interaction between miRNAs and target genes is complicated [16]. MiRNAs play important roles in regulation and control of growth, development, immunity and reproduction [17–20].

Benefiting from the advancement of sequencing technology, next-generation sequencing technology (NGS) provides powerful tools for studies on fish life activities and environmental adaptation, such as RNA sequencing (RNA-Seq) and microRNA sequencing (sRNA-Seq) [21]. Currently, the integrated analysis of mRNA and miRNA expression profiles has been performed on several fish species, including zebrafish [19, 22], blunt snout bream [18], Japanese flounders [21, 23], largemouth bass [24] and yellowfin seabream [20].

In the present study, we used NGST to conduct the RNA-Seq and sRNA-Seq from the head of bighead carp at different development stages, including 1Dph (days post hatch) group, 3Dph group, 5Dph group, 15Dph group and 30Dph group. This is the first report on integrated analysis of RNA-Seq and sRNA-Seq in bighead carp and as such offers deeper insight into the genetic mechanisms of head development. Furthermore, we identified a set of differentially expressed unigenes (DEGs) and differentially expressed miRNA (DEmiRs) from early growth, bone formation and blood homeostasis signaling pathways, which potentially services as valuable resources for future molecular breeding studies on head-related traits in fish species.

Results
Sample characterization
To identify cues controlling molecular pathways associated with head development and skull morphology in bighead carp, we characterized differentially expressed mRNAs and miRNAs of the head in bighead carp samples from different development stages. To select suitable head tissue samples, the total length (TL), body length (BL), and head length (HL) of 15 bighead carp individuals in each development stage, including 1Dph (days post hatch) group, 3Dph group, 5Dph group, 7Dph group, 10Dph group, 15Dph group, 20Dph group, 30Dph group and 50Dph group, were measured in this study (Table 1). The ratios of HL and BL (HL/BL) from these different development stages were calculated simultaneously. The HL/BL value showed an initial increase from 1Dph to 3Dph, then slowly increased from 3Dph to 15Dph, reached the peaking at Dph30 (Fig. 1). During the development stages from 3Dph to 5Dph, the HL/BL values were similar while the morphological characterizations changed greatly, such as the transformation of food habit from endogenous to exogenous, the progressive

| Item   | TL (mm) | BL (mm) | HL (mm) | HL/BL |
|--------|---------|---------|---------|-------|
| Dph1   | 6.85 ± 0.23 | 2.28 ± 0.97 | 4.57 ± 1.25 | 2.04 ± 0.35 |
| Dph3   | 7.51 ± 0.51 | 3.02 ± 1.29 | 4.49 ± 1.60 | 1.48 ± 0.53 |
| Dph5   | 8.95 ± 0.33 | 3.67 ± 1.04 | 5.28 ± 1.31 | 1.59 ± 0.43 |
| Dph7   | 9.35 ± 0.45 | 4.10 ± 1.35 | 5.25 ± 1.56 | 1.31 ± 0.42 |
| Dph10  | 10.49 ± 1.29 | 4.73 ± 1.56 | 5.76 ± 1.71 | 1.26 ± 0.42 |
| Dph15  | 12.25 ± 0.97 | 5.41 ± 1.95 | 6.84 ± 2.03 | 1.21 ± 0.41 |
| Dph20  | 19.89 ± 3.02 | 7.51 ± 2.36 | 12.38 ± 3.67 | 1.65 ± 0.43 |
| Dph30  | 21.56 ± 2.28 | 8.14 ± 2.56 | 13.42 ± 3.75 | 1.65 ± 0.43 |
| Dph50  | 32.34 ± 3.67 | 10.41 ± 3.91 | 22.93 ± 4.23 | 2.20 ± 0.43 |

Dph days post hatch, TL total length, BL body length, HL head length, HL/BL: the ratio of HL and BL. HL/BL of Dph1 was the ratio of HL/TL. Different lowercase letters meant significant differences of HL/BL among different development stages (P < 0.05).
development of feeding and digestive system and the accumulation of pigment cells. Based on morphological characterizations and the HL/BL values (Fig. 1), the whole head in the samples of five different developmental stages (1Dph, 3Dph, 5Dph, 15Dph and 30Dph) were selected for the integrated analysis of RNA-seq and sRNA-Seq in bighead carp.

**Global analysis of head transcriptome during different developmental stages**

15 Illumina RNA-Seq libraries constructed from the whole head in the samples of five different developmental stages (1Dph, 3Dph, 5Dph, 15Dph and 30Dph) of bighead carp were sequenced. After trimming process and filtering out the low-quality reads, a total of 136.97 G clean reads were produced from all samples. Totally, 27.53 Gb, 26.85 Gb, 26.60 Gb, 32.65 Gb and 23.34 Gb of clean bases were generated in 1Dph group, 3Dph group, 5Dph group, 15Dph group and 30Dph group, respectively. The Q30 value of each sample was up to 94.35%, and the GC distribution of each sample ranged from 45.83 to 46.66% (Table S1). With the Trinity de novo assembler, a total of 360,144 transcripts were generated, with an N50 of 2894 bp. A total of 162,698 unigenes were further generated with an N50 of 1749 bp. The size distribution of these unigenes was shown in Fig. S1. A total of 143,591 unigenes were successfully annotated through alignment to reference databases. In total, 36,086 (22.17%), 134,161 (82.46%), 20,695 (12.71%), 33,510 (20.59%), 42,701 (26.24%) and 15,710 (9.65%) unigenes could be annotated by NR, NT, KO, Swiss-Prot, Pfam, GO and KOG database, respectively, with 143,591 (88.25%) unigenes annotated in at least one Database. Annotation information of unigenes from RNA-Seq was shown in Table S2.

Based on the annotation, hundreds of genes and KEGG pathways related to head development and skull morphology were identified in the head transcriptome (Table 2), such as TGF-β signaling pathway (tgfb1), Insulin-like growth factors (Igf) signaling pathway (Igf1) and BMP pathway (bmp3). A correlation analysis and principal component analysis (PCA) were performed on these 15 samples and the repeatability of samples from five time points was acceptable (Fig. 2).

**Identification and function analysis of DEGs of head transcriptome during different developmental stages**

Based on the criteria of \(|\text{fold-change}| \geq 2\) and \(\text{FDR} \leq 0.01\), the differentially expressed unigenes (DEGs) were identified of head transcriptome during different developmental stages. Comparison of adjacent development stages, Dph3 vs Dph1, Dph5 vs Dph3, Dph15 vs Dph5 and Dph30 vs Dph15 detected 7324, 833, 4238 and 16,061 DEGs, with 95 overlapping DEGs detected in all the four comparison groups (Fig. 3). Besides the comparison of adjacent development stages, we also compared the DEGs in nonadjacent development stages. Dph5 vs Dph1, Dph15 vs Dph1, Dph30 vs Dph1, Dph15 vs Dph3, Dph30 vs Dph3 and Dph30 vs Dph5 identified 9703, 15,945, 28,055, 7024,
25,314 and 22,919 DEGs, respectively. The highest number of DEGs was observed in Dph30 vs Dph1 comparison group, which suggested significantly morphological shifts from Dph1 to Dph30. These extensive differences of DEGs in adjacent and nonadjacent development stages had close relationship with the morphological and physiological variation, which further proved that selected 5 developmental stages was typical morphological period during head development of bighead carp.

### Table 2  Main regulatory pathways of head development in bighead carp

| Number | Pathway Name                      | Number | Pathway Name                      |
|--------|-----------------------------------|--------|-----------------------------------|
| 1      | AMPK signaling pathway            | 14     | NF–kappa B signaling pathway      |
| 2      | Calcium signaling pathway         | 15     | Notch signaling pathway           |
| 3      | cAMP signaling pathway            | 16     | Osteoclast differentiation        |
| 4      | cGMP–PKG signaling pathway        | 17     | PI3K–Akt signaling pathway        |
| 5      | ECM–receptor interaction          | 18     | PPAR signaling pathway            |
| 6      | ErbB signaling pathway            | 19     | Rap1 signaling pathway            |
| 7      | Estrogen signaling pathway        | 20     | Ras signaling pathway             |
| 8      | FoxO signaling pathway            | 21     | Regulation of actin cytoskeleton   |
| 9      | Hedgehog signaling pathway        | 22     | TGF–beta signaling pathway        |
| 10     | Insulin signaling pathway         | 23     | Thyroid hormone signaling pathway |
| 11     | Jak–STAT signaling pathway        | 24     | TNF signaling pathway             |
| 12     | MAPK signaling pathway            | 25     | VEGF signaling pathway            |
| 13     | Neuroactive ligand–receptor interaction | 26   | Wnt signaling pathway             |

**General miRNA Analysis of head during different developmental stages**

A total of 22.8 M, 20.5 M, 23.1 M, 20.9 M, and 20.1 M raw reads were collected from the whole head in samples of five different developmental stages (1Dph, 3Dph, 5Dph, 15Dph and 30Dph) of bighead carp, respectively. After discarding low-quality reads, 21.7 M, 20.2 M, 22.8 M, 20.7 M, and 19.7 M clean small RNA reads were obtained in 1Dph group, 3Dph group, 5Dph group, 15Dph group and 30Dph group, respectively. In total, 949 miRNAs
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were identified, of which 249 were known miRNAs and 700 were novel miRNAs.

Based on a corrected P value (p-adjust/padj) < 0.05, we identified 195 (112 up-regulated and 83 down-regulated), 20 (20 up-regulated and 0 down-regulated), 100 (68 up-regulated and 32 down-regulated) and 85 (35 up-regulated and 50 down-regulated) differentially expressed miRNAs in adjacent pairwise comparisons of Dph3 vs Dph1, Dph5 vs Dph3, Dph15 vs Dph5 and Dph30 vs Dph15. Compared with these adjacent pairwise comparisons, nonadjacent pairwise comparisons obtained more differentially expressed miRNAs (Table 3). Through Venn diagram analysis, 7 and 110 overlapped differentially expressed miRNAs were identified in the successive development stages and the following four development stages using Dph1 as reference stage, respectively (Fig. 4).

### Analysis of miRNA-mRNA integration at five developmental stages

From the first day to the 30th day after hatching, significant morphological changes have taken place in the head of bighead carp, including the continuous perfection of various tissues in the head (such as brain, eye, mouth and other tissues) and the mineralization of the head skeletal system (brain skeleton and pharyngeal bone). Head development of bighead carp involves many vital movements, such as cell growth and death, organ development (such as immune system), signal transduction and nutrition metabolism (such as carbon metabolism), which indicates the complexity of head development of bighead carp.

In the significant enrichment pathways of different comparison groups, 10 pathways and 12 pathways overlapped with the above 26 pathways (Table 2) from transcriptome and small RNA data were shown in Fig. 5a and Fig. 5b, respectively. The common six pathways enriched by differential genes and target genes of differential miRNA (Fig. 5) were ECM–receptor interaction, TNF signaling pathway, osteoclast differentiation,
PI3K–Akt signaling pathway, neuroactive ligand receptor interaction and JAK–STAT signaling pathway. These six pathways were recognized as the key pathways of head development. A total of common 114 gene were obtained from differential genes and target genes of differential miRNA in the key pathways. Using string online
database, the protein interaction map of these genes was drawn (Fig. 6). Based on the protein interaction map, the top 20 key genes (hub genes of 114 candidate genes) were found according to the degree value by cytohubba, which regulated cell growth, skeletal formation and blood homeostasis (Fig. 7a). The heatmap of key genes was shown in Fig. S2. Finally, we constructed the miRNA-mRNA interaction network based on the 19 differential miRNAs whose target genes were the top 20 key genes using Cytoscape software (Fig. 7b).

**Quantitative analysis of miRNA and mRNA expression**

To validate the RNA-Seq and sRNA-Seq results, qRT-PCR was performed to detect the expression of 6 randomly selected differentially expressed mRNAs and 6 miRNAs. As shown in Fig. S3, the results of qRT-PCR were consistent with those of RNA-Seq and sRNA-Seq at 1Dph, 3Dph, 5Dph, 15Dph and 30Dph, respectively (Fig. S3).

**Discussion**

Unlike other intensively-cultured fish, the head of bighead carp is a raw material of many traditional Chinese dishes, such as fish head with minced peppers, fish head hot pot, casserole fish head soup and fish head tofu soup. Therefore, the head is more valued than its fish meat [14]. To explore the molecular mechanisms of head development, we examined the RNA-Seq and sRNA-Seq data of bighead carp at different development stages, including 1Dph, 3Dph, 5Dph, 15Dph and 30Dph. Firstly, 26 pathways were identified as important pathways during early stages in the RNA-Seq data based on previous studies, which were closely related to growth development and bone formation [10, 18, 25, 26]. Then the common six pathways enriched by differential genes and target genes of differential miRNA were obtained. The top 20 key genes were found from the 114 candidate genes in the six key pathways. Finally, we also acquired 19 key miRNAs playing multiple roles in the perfection of various tissues in the head (such as brain, eye and mouth) and mineralization of head bone system.

**Key pathways regulating head development**

The common six pathways enriched by differential genes and target genes of differential miRNA (Fig. 5) were ECM–receptor interaction, TNF signaling pathway, osteoclast differentiation, PI3K–Akt signaling pathway, neuroactive ligand receptor interaction and JAK–STAT signaling pathway. These six pathways were identified as the key pathways of head development in bighead carp. Wu et al. (2020) studied the transcriptome of breast muscle of Jinghai yellow chicken with different growth rate at early growth stage, and found that ECM–receptor interaction pathway was one of
the enrichment pathways of differentially expressed genes, indicating that this pathway may play an important role in the growth and development of chicken [27]. As an important cytokine, tumor necrosis factor (TNF) can induce apoptosis, cell survival, inflammation and immunity. Wang and Guo (2021) studied the effects of TNF – α on the autophagy and NF – κappaB signaling pathway of fibroblast like synoviocytes in rheumatoid arthritis. The results showed that inhibition of autophagy can improve the imbalance of proliferation / apoptosis of fibroblast like synoviocytes aggravated by TNF – α to a certain extent, thus delaying the degree of rheumatoid arthritis [28]. In addition, Hurem et al. (2017) also found TNF – α took part in regulation of γ radiation on the early development of zebrafish [29]. Osteoclasts are the multi-nuclear red cells from the mononuclear macrophage line, responsible for bone absorption [30], and participate in the development and regeneration of organs. PI3K - Akt signaling pathway is activated by a variety of cellular stimuli or toxic damage, and regulates basic cellular functions such as transcription, translation, proliferation, growth and survival. TNF signaling pathway, osteoclast differentiation and PI3K – Akt signaling pathway are involved in the formation of intermuscular bone in blunt snout bream, and play important roles in bone formation and development [25].

Similar to this study, neuroactive ligand receptor interaction was also found in the development of chicken crowns [31] and biomineralization and shell formation of pearl oyster Pinctada [32], indicating that this pathway plays an important role in animal development and biomineralization. JAK–STAT signaling pathway is one of the few pleiotropic cascade pathways, which is used for development and steady-state signal transduction in a variety of animals from human to fly [33]. In mammals, JAK–STAT signaling pathway is the main signaling mechanism of a series of cytokines and growth factors. Therefore, these six pathways play important roles in the growth, development and bone formation of animals. They may be involved in the continuous perfection of various tissues in the head (such as brain, eye, mouth and other tissues) and the mineralization of head bone system (cranial skeleton and pharyngeal bone).
Key genes regulating head development

The top 20 key genes (Fig. 7a) regulating cell growth, skeletal development and blood homeostasis were obtained from the 114 important candidate genes: phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate 3-kinase catalytic subunit alpha (pik3ca), phosphoinositide-3-kinase regulatory subunit 1 (pik3r1), epidermal growth factor receptor (egfr), vascular endothelial growth factor A (vegfa), signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (stat3), insulin like growth factor 1 (igf1), fibronectin 1 (fn1), coagulation factor II, thrombin (f2), glucagon receptor (gcgr), integrin alpha-IIb (itga2b), integrin beta-3 (itgb3), kinase insert domain receptor (kdr), von willebrand factor (vWF), hepatocyte growth factor (hgf), coagulation factor II thrombin receptor (f2r), thrombospondin 1 (thbs1), platelet derived growth factor receptor beta (pdgfrb), mast/stem cell growth factor receptor kit (kit), janus kinase 1 (jak1) and signal transducer and activator of transcription 1 (stat1).

Phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate 3-kinase catalytic subunit alpha (PIK3CA) and phosphoinositide-3-kinase regulatory subunit 1 (PIK3R1) are members of PI 3-Kinases [34, 35], which are involved in many cellular functions, including growth factor receptor signaling, cytoskeletal organization, apoptosis and so on [36]. Epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) is a kind of cell surface protein binding epidermal growth factor, which can promote cell proliferation by inducing receptor dimerization and tyrosine autophosphorylation [37]. Insulin like growth factor 1 (Igf1) and hepatocyte growth factor (Hgf) are closely associated with growth and development, which play important roles in cell growth, cell motility and morphogenesis [38, 39]. Studies on Igf1 gene have shown that its mutation can affect the growth and development and reduce body size and weight of animal, such as human, dog and mouse [3, 40, 41]. Mast/stem cell growth factor receptor kit (Kit) acts as a cell surface receptor. It regulates many essential biological processes, including cell survival and proliferation, hematopoiesis, stem cell maintenance and melanogenesis [42]. These kinases, growth factors and growth factor receptors may play important roles in the head development of bighead carp by regulating cell proliferation, cell growth, cell motility and morphogenesis.

Fibronectin 1 (Fn1) is capable of binding cell surface and various compounds, such as fibrin, collagen, actin, DNA and heparin. Fn1 regulates cell adhesion, cell movement, wound healing and so on [43]. Moreover, Fn1 plays an important role in the process of osteoblast compaction, which is necessary for osteoblasts mineralization [44]. Integrin alpha-IIb/beta-3 (Itgb2b/Itgb3) acts as a receptor for multiple proteins, such as fibronectin, prothrombin, and thrombospondin. It is involved in chondrocyte growth and proliferation [45]. Signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (STAT3) protein changes the expression level of various genes to adapt to cell stimuli from many cytokines and growth factors, including HGF, EGF, BMP2 and IL5 [46]. It has been reported that Stat3 gene plays a key part in cell growth and apoptosis [47]. Stat1 gene is also identified in the head development of bighead carp, which is an important paralog of stat3. Studies on thrombospondin 1 (THBS1) protein have shown that THBS1 plays an important role in mediating cell-to-cell and cell-to-matrix interactions [48]. Nie et al. (2019) found Stat1, Thbs1 and thrombospondin at the early stage of intermuscular bone development, believing that they play an active role in osteoblast differentiation and intermuscular bone formation [25]. The identification of genes regulating bone formation suggested that development and mineralization of bone system coordinated with head development of bighead carp.

Vascular endothelial growth factor A (VEGFA) encodes a heparin-binding protein, which exists as a disulfide-linked homodimer [49]. Kinase insert domain receptor (KDR), known as vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 2, acts as a cell-surface receptor for VEGFA, VEGFC and VEGFD [50]. VEGFA and KDR play essential roles in the regulation of angiogenesis, vascular development, vascular permeability, and embryonic hematopoiesis [51]. In this study, we also identified coagulation Factor II, thrombin (f2) [52], coagulation factor II thrombin receptor (f2r) [53], von willebrand factor (vWF) [54], janus kinase 1 (Jak1) [55] and glucagon receptor (gcgr) [56], which function in blood homeostasis, inflammation and wound healing. Platelet derived growth factor receptor beta (PDGFRB) is essential for normal development of the cardiovascular system and rearrangement of the actin cytoskeleton, and has roles in the regulation of many biological processes including embryonic development, angiogenesis, cell proliferation and differentiation [57]. Angiogenesis, vascular development, blood homeostasis and inflammation are involved in the tissue/organ development of animals. These genes associated with angiogenesis may regulate head development of bighead carp, providing blood homeostasis for the perfection of various tissues (brain, muscles, lipids, etc.) and the development of head bone system.

Key miRNAs regulating head development

Finally, we also acquired 19 key miRNAs (Fig. 7b) playing multiple roles in the regulation of cellular proliferation and differentiation, metabolism, immunity, regeneration and many others. lenti-7e and lenti-7i belonging to the let-7 family demonstrated to be associated with the development of cancer [58, 59]. Hu et al. reported that let-7i was highly correlated with physiological and growth characteristics of velvet antlers
MiR-223 is a hematopoietic cell-derived miRNA that plays multiple roles in regulation of monocyte-macrophage differentiation, neutrophil recruitment, innate immunity, cancer and optic nerve regeneration [22, 61]. Xie et al. have reviewed miR-223 exerts various effects on bone metabolism, especially in the processes of osteoclast and osteoblast differentiation and highlighted the potential clinical applications of miR-233 in bone metabolism disorders [62].

miR-23a-3p and miR-23b-5p were recognized as candidate miRNAs regulating gene expression related to physiological responses to hypoxia in the liver of largemouth bass [63]. miR-23a-3p played roles in osteoblast proliferation and differentiation [64], cartilage regeneration [65] and inflammatory response [66]. microRNA-23b-5p regulated cell proliferation of hepatocellular carcinoma [67] and brown adipogenesis and thermogenic program in enhanced brown adipose tissue [68]. MiR-142a-3p was involved in the inflammatory response in grass carp [69], cute hypoxic stress in largemouth bass [63] and vascular development and integrity in zebrafish [70]. miR-142a-5p played critical roles in inflammation and tumorigenesis. Yuan et al. (2020) reported that miR-142a-5p promoted osteoblast differentiation via targeting nuclear factor IA [68].

Some studies have confirmed that the teleost-specific miR-462/731 cluster was involved in some biological processes, such as the immune responses to viral pathogens in Atlantic Salmon [71] and Atlantic cod [72], hypoxia [73] in zebrafish and blunt snout bream [74]. Huang et al. (2020) found significant reduction of digestive organs, especially liver and exocrine pancreas after the miR-462/miR-731 knockdown during zebrafish liver development, and those phenotypes could be partially rescued by corresponding miRNA duplex [75]. Besides these nine key miRNAs mentioned above and two new miRNAs which are not reported in above and two new miRNAs which are not reported in the literature, the rest eight miRNAs also played roles in inflammation and tumorigenesis. Yuan et al. (2020) reported that miR-142a-5p promoted osteoblast differentiation via targeting nuclear factor IA [68].

Materials and methods
Ethics statement
All experimental protocols involved in fishes in this study were conducted in strict accordance with the recommendations in the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of the Institute of Hydrobiology and ARRIVE guidelines (https://arriveguidelines.org). This study was also approved by the Ethics Committee of Experimental Animals of the Hubei Provincial Committee for Animal Welfare. All efforts were made to minimize suffering of the fish.

Morphological observation and Fish sample collection
Fish samples used in this study originated from one mixed family of bighead carp, which were cultured at the Zhangdu Lake Fish Farm (Wuhan, China). In May 2019, the offspring were collected at the following developmental stages, including 1Dph (days post hatch) group, 3Dph group, 5Dph group, 7Dph group, 10Dph group, 15Dph group, 20Dph group, 30Dph group and 50Dph group. The total length (TL), body length (BL), and head length (HL) of 15 bighead carp individuals in each development stage were measured in this study. The ratios of HL and BL (HL/BL) from these different development stages were calculated simultaneously. Based on morphological characterizations and the HL/BL values, the whole head in samples of five different developmental stages (1Dph, 3Dph, 5Dph, 15Dph and 30Dph) were selected for the integrated analysis of RNA-seq and sRNA-seq in bighead carp. After anesthetizing, the head of bighead carp from 1Dph, 3Dph and 5Dph were dissected by needles under a dissecting microscope (Zeiss Stemi 2000-C), while the head from 15Dph and 30Dph were dissected by scissors and tweezers. All head tissues (1Dph, 3Dph, 5Dph, 15 Dph and 30Dph) were sampled, quickly frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C prior to RNA extraction.

Total RNA extraction
Total RNA of mixed samples of head tissues during different developmental stages was extracted using TRIzol Reagent (Invitrogen, CA, USA) referring to a previous report [79]. The RNA degradation and concentration were first detected by 1% agarose gel electrophoresis and NanoDrop 2000 Spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, Wilmington, DE, USA), respectively. Then RNA quality was checked using the Agilent Bioanalyzer 2100 system (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) and only those samples with the RNA Integrity Number (RIN) value ≥8.0 were used for RNA library construction.
Transcriptome sequencing and analysis
Fifteen head RNA-Seq libraries from three each of mixed samples during five different developmental stages (1Dph, 3Dph, 5Dph, 15 Dph and 30Dph) were constructed using NEBNext™Ultra™ RNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina® (NEB, Ipswich, MA, USA) according to manufacturer’s recommendations. Then libraries were subjected to paired-end sequencing of 150 bp on the Illumina HiSeq Xten platform by Novogene Company (Beijing, China). Before assembly, raw sequencing reads were clipped by removing adapter sequences and ambiguous nucleotides. Then all clean reads of the libraries of head tissues from five different development stages were assembled into transcripts by Trinity software, respectively. After assembly, the TIGICL clustering software (J. Craig Venter Institute, Rockville, MD, USA) was used to cluster and remove redundant transcripts, and then the remaining sequences were defined as unigenes. All assembled sequences were used for BLAST searches and annotation against the NCBI non-redundant (Nr) protein, Swiss-Prot, gene ontology (GO), clusters of orthologous groups (COG), euKaryotic orthologous groups (KOG), eggNOG, and protein family (Pfam) databases using an e-value of 1e-5, and the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) orthology results were obtained by comparing with KEGG database using KOBAS 2.0 [80]. After predicting the amino acid sequence of unigenes, the software HMMER [81] was used to compare them with Pfam database to get the annotation information of unigenes.

Gene expression levels were estimated by RSEM (RNA-Seq by Expectation Maximization) software package using a generative model of RNA-Seq reads and the EM algorithm for each sample [82]. Read count statistics of genes were shown in Table S3. The mapped reads were normalized according to fragment per kilobase of exon model per million mapped reads (FPKM) for each unigene between different development groups. Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) between the two groups were identified by the DESeq2 package (samples with three biological replicates) [83]. The false discovery rate (FDR < 0.01) adjusted by Benjamini–Hochberg method was adopted to get DEGs. DEGs were defined as by parameters of FDR < 0.01 and the absolute value of the log2 ratio ≥ 1. FPKM of DEGs from RNA-Seq was shown in Table S4. In order to determine the potential functions and metabolic pathways of these DEGs, DEGs were annotated against the Nr protein, Swiss-Prot, GO, COG, KOG, eggNOG, Pfam, and KEGG databases. KOBAS 2.0 software [80] was used to performed the statistical enrichment of DEGs in KEGG pathways. The principal component analysis (PCA) and heatmap analysis were conducted by R (Version 3.0.3) ggplot2 package and heatmap package, respectively.

Small RNA library sequencing and analysis
Fifteen head sRNA-Seq libraries from three each of mixed samples during five different developmental stages (1Dph, 3Dph, 5Dph, 15 Dph and 30Dph) were generated using NEBNext® Multiplex Small RNA Library Prep Set for Illumina® (NEB, USA) following manufacturer’s recommendations. Then the fifteen libraries were sequenced on an Illumina Hiseq 2500 platform and 50bp single-end reads were generated. Raw data of fastq format were firstly processed through custom perl and python scripts to obtain clean data. The clean sequence reads were mapped with miRBase 21.0, allowing a mismatch of one or two nucleotide bases, to identify the known miRNAs. The potential miRNAs and secondary structures were obtained by srna-tools-cli with “srna-tools.pl --tool hp_tool --longSeq --shortSeqs --out” parameter [84]. The available software miREvo [85] and mirdeep2 [86] were integrated to predict novel miRNA through exploring the secondary structure, the Dicer cleavage site and the minimum free energy of the small RNA tags unannotated in the former steps. Hairpin and mature sequences of novel miRNAs from sRNA-Seq was shown in Table S5. Predicting the target gene of miRNA was performed by miRandA [87]. Read count statistics of miRNAs were shown in Table S6. The expression levels of the identified miRNAs were calculated and normalized to TPM (tags per million). Differential expression analysis of the miRNAs was performed using the DESeq R package (v1.8.3) with default parameters, and miRNAs with a corrected P value (p-adjust/padj) < 0.05 were considered as differentially expressed miRNAs (DEmiRs). TPM of DEmiRs from sRNA-Seq was shown in Table S7. In order to determine the potential functions and metabolic pathways of the target gene candidates of differentially expressed miRNAs, GOseq [88] and KOBAS [89] were implemented for GO enrichment analysis and KEGG pathway enrichment analysis of the target gene candidates of differentially expressed miRNAs.

Quantitative PCR for miRNA and mRNA expression
In order to examine the reliability of the RNA-Seq and the sRNA-Seq results, 6 DEGs and 6 DEmiRs were randomly selected for validation by qRT-PCR method. Total RNA from 15 samples (three each of five different developmental stages) was extracted individually using TRIzol Reagent (Invitrogen, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instruction. 1 μg of total RNA for each sample was used to synthesize cDNA by using PrimeScript™ RT reagent Kit (TaKaRa, Dalian, China) for DEGs and miRNA first-strand cDNA synthesis kit (Vazyme,
Nanjing, China) with stem-loop reverse transcription primers for DEMiRs according to the manufacturer’s protocols, respectively. Compared with stem-loop reverse transcription primers of DEMiRs, the reverse transcription primers of U6 reference gene can be directly designed by conventional primer premier 5 software. The downstream primer of U6 can be input to make reverse transcription according to the manufacturer’s protocols (Vazyme, Nanjing, China). The PCR primers for DEGs and DEMiRs were list in Table S8. The qRT-PCR reaction solution was 20 μL, containing 10 μL of SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (TaKaRa, Dalian, China), 3 μL of forward and reverse primers (2 μmol/L), 2 μL of cDNA (500 ng) and 2 μL of sterile distilled water. qRT-PCR amplification was performed on a StepOne™ Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, USA) according to a previous report [79]. RNA samples of head tissues from five different developmental stages were run in three biological replicates and three technical replicates for qRT-PCR. The expression level of each DEG and DEMiR was normalized to that of the reference gene β-actin and U6 by using the 2-ΔΔCT method [90] to validate the results of RNA-seq and sRNA-seq, respectively.

Conclusions
This study represents the first application of RNA-Seq and sRNA-Seq in conducting integrated analyses for the head of bighead carp during different development stages, including 1Dph, 3Dph, 5Dph, 15Dph and 30Dph. Firstly, 26 pathways related to head development and bone formation were enriched and identified as the main pathways during early growth. Coupling this to sRNA-Seq data, we picked out six key pathways that may be responsible for head development, namely ECM receptor interaction, TNF signaling pathway, osteoclast differentiation, PI3K–Akt signaling pathway, Neuroactive ligand–receptor interaction and Jak–STAT signaling pathway. Totally, 114 important candidate genes were obtained from these six pathways. Then top 20 key genes were identified according to the degree value by cytohubba, which regulated cell growth, skeletal development and blood homeostasis, such as pik3ca, pik3r1, egfr, vegfa, igf1 and itga2b. Finally, 19 key miRNAs playing multiple roles in the perfection of various tissues in the head (such as brain, eye, muscle, lips and mouth) and mineralization of head bone system, such as let–7e, miR–142a–5p, miR–144–3p, miR–23a–3p and miR–223 were also acquired. Results of this study will shed lights on further understanding of genetic mechanisms underlying head development and also provide potential candidate targets for the interaction regulation during early growth in bighead carp.
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