Subtractive hybridization identifies novel differentially expressed ncRNA species in EBV-infected human B cells
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ABSTRACT
Non-protein-coding RNAs (ncRNAs) fulfill a wide range of cellular functions from protein synthesis to regulation of gene expression. Identification of novel regulatory ncRNAs by experimental approaches commonly includes the generation of specialized cDNA libraries encoding small ncRNA species. However, such identification is severely hampered by the presence of constitutively expressed and highly abundant ‘house-keeping’ ncRNAs, such as ribosomal RNAs, small nuclear RNAs or transfer RNAs. We have developed a novel experimental strategy, designated as subtractive hybridization of ncRNA transcripts (SHORT) to specifically select and amplify novel regulatory ncRNAs, which are only expressed at certain stages or under specific growth conditions of cells. The method is based on the selective subtractive hybridization technique, formerly applied to the detection of differentially expressed mRNAs. As a model system, we applied SHORT to Epstein–Barr virus (EBV) infected human B cells. Thereby, we identified 21 novel as well as previously reported ncRNA species to be up-regulated during virus infection. Our method will serve as a powerful tool to identify novel functional ncRNAs acting as genetic switches in the regulation of fundamental cellular processes such as development, tissue differentiation or disease.

INTRODUCTION
In the recent past, the importance of the surprisingly diverse class of non-coding RNA molecules (ncRNAs) has been widely recognized (1–4). The key feature of all ncRNAs is that they are not translated into proteins but rather exert their functions at the RNA level. NcRNAs have been identified in unexpectedly large numbers, with present estimates—based on bioinformatical approaches—in the range of many thousands per eukaryal genome (5,6). They play key roles in a variety of fundamental processes in all three domains of life, that is Eukarya, Bacteria and Archaea. Their functions include DNA replication and chromosome maintenance, regulation of transcription, RNA processing, translation and stability of mRNAs, and even regulation of stability and translocation of proteins (7–10). Many ncRNAs have been discovered fortuitously, suggesting they merely represent the tip of the iceberg.

One of the most prominent and abundant ncRNA classes consists of 21–23-nt long RNA species designated as microRNAs (miRNA) (7). MiRNAs play a crucial role in the posttranscriptional regulation of protein-coding genes during development, differentiation and cellular growth (7). In addition, viral or cellular encoded miRNAs were found to be involved in infections by DNA or RNA viruses (11–14). In the past, only very few ncRNAs, in addition to miRNAs, have been identified, which might be involved in the regulation of viral infections. We thus investigated the small ncRNA transcriptome of human B cells to identify ncRNAs expressed from the viral or cellular genome upon Epstein–Barr virus (EBV) infection.

Epstein–Barr virus, a DNA virus of the herpesviridae family with a linear double-stranded genome of ~172 kb, is associated with a heterogeneous group of human tumors including Burkitt’s lymphoma (15). Until now, a total of 25 EBV-encoded ncRNAs, including 23 EBV-encoded miRNAs (16,17)—as well as two ~170-nt long ncRNA species, designated as EBER 1 and EBER 2 (18,19), have been identified.
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In general, identification of novel regulatory ncRNA species can be achieved by bioinformatical or experimental approaches or a combination of both (1, 2, 20). For experimental approaches, the generation of specialized cDNA libraries has been shown to represent a powerful tool (20). However, identification of novel ncRNAs is often masked by a background of highly abundant cellular ‘house-keeping’ ncRNAs, such as ribosomal RNAs, small nuclear RNAs or tRNAs. Thus, for identification of novel differentially expressed ncRNA candidates, e.g. those expressed upon viral infections only, novel experimental methods are required.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cells and EBV strains

The non-adherent EBV-transformed B cell lines B95.8-CBL (21) and BL41 (22) were cultured in RPMI 1640 supplemented with 10% FCS and antibiotics (100 U penicillin ml⁻¹ and 100 μg streptomycin ml⁻¹). BL41 is an EBV-negative Burkitt’s lymphoma line, B95.8-CBL was established by transformation of primary human cord blood lymphocytes (CBLs) using the B95.8 strain of EBV.

Subtractive hybridization of ncRNA transcripts (SHORT)

Total RNA was isolated from the Burkitt’s lymphoma cell line BL41 (driver RNA) and from CBLs immortalized with the Epstein–Barr virus strain B95-8 (target RNA) by the TRIzol method. From 150 to 200 μg total RNA were separated by denaturing 8% polyacrylamide gels and size-fractionated by the excision of RNAs in the size range between 20–70 nt (small fraction) and 70–500 nt (large fraction). RNAs were passively eluted into 12 ml 0.3 M NaOAc (pH 5.2) overnight at 4°C, the eluate filtered through a 0.2 μm syringe filter and concentrated to ~200 μl with the vivaspin 15 concentrator (Sartorius, Goettingen, Germany) at 4°C. Following ethanol precipitation and lyophilization of the RNA pellet, the nuclear RNAs or tRNAs. Thus, for identification of novel ‘house-keeping’ ncRNAs, such as ribosomal RNAs, small RNA template was hydrolyzed by adding 5 μl 1 M NaOH and incubation at 70°C for 20 min. Subsequently to the neutralization by adding 45 μl H2O and 5 μl 1 M HCl, the single stranded target cDNA was purified (QIAGEN), eluted into 50 μl H2O, lyophilized and dissolved in 15 μl H2O.

For the subtractive hybridization, 5 μl of the single stranded target cDNA was used to redissolve the pellet of the 5’-biotinylated driver DNA. The molar ratio between driver and target was calculated to be ~5000:1. After the addition of 5 μl of H2O, the driver and target were allowed to hybridize by heating to 98°C for 3 min, followed by incubations at 65°C for 2 min and 42°C for 15 min. Subsequently the volume was increased to 20 μl and the buffer adjusted to 5 mM Tris/Cl (pH 7.5), 1.0 M NaCl and 0.5 mM EDTA before mixing the sample with 10 μl strepavidin coated magnetic beads (Dynal Biotech, Oslo, Norway). The sample was vortexed for 15 min at 25°C before the tube was placed in a magnet for 3 min to allow bead separation. The supernatant, containing single stranded target cDNA that was not captured via the 5’-biotinylated driver, was removed and used for a consecutive round of subtractive hybridization using fresh 5’-biotinylated driver DNA. After the fifth round, the target cDNA was PCR amplified using the forward and reverse primer pair GTAAGCAATCCTCAAGCG (primer d) and AGGAGCCTCGTATGTCG (primer e), respectively, and cloned into the pGEM-T vector (Promega, Mannheim, Germany). This experimental design, applying five rounds of SHORT, can be performed in one working day. Theoretically more rounds can be envisioned, however it is of note that with every round of SHORT, the problem of target cDNA dilution increases. In addition we found that the bands in the control PCRs done after every round of SHORT did not change significantly after the fourth round (data not shown).
DNA sequencing and sequence analysis
cDNA clones were sequenced and the sequences were analyzed with the LASERGENE sequence analysis program package (DNASTAR, Madison, WI, USA) as described (23).

Northern blot analysis
Total RNA (20–30 µg) from the non-infected B cell line BL41 or the EBV-infected cell line B95.8-CBL were separated on 8% denaturing polyacrylamide gels (7 M urea, 1× TBE buffer), transferred onto nylon membranes and probed with 5'-[32P] end-labeled antisense DNA probes as described (23). Northern blot signals were quantified using a Molecular Dynamics Storm PhosphorImager (Image quant software version 5.0). All quantified northern blot signals were normalized to the band intensities of the 5.8 S rRNA loading control. Comparison of the quantified northern blot bands form EBV-infected to the uninfected control revealed the level of up-, or down-regulation.

RESULTS
In order to identify differentially expressed ncRNAs (from the EBV genome or from the cellular genome), we have adapted an experimental approach, designated as ‘subtractive hybridization’, which previously has been applied to the identification of differentially expressed mRNAs (24). By this new method, which we named ‘SHORT’, differentially expressed ncRNAs, in the size range from 20 to 500 nt, are significantly enriched in a size selected cDNA library encoding small ncRNAs (for a detailed description see Figure 1 and Materials and Methods section). NcRNAs were subdivided into two fractions, in the size range between 20–70 nt (small fraction) and 70–500 nt (large fraction), since smaller cDNA species are known to be more efficiently cloned into plasmid vectors than longer cDNAs.

The general principle of the subtractive hybridization technique is the use of excess amounts of RNA transcripts from control cells (in our case from uninfected B cells, also designated as driver cells) for hybridization with limiting amounts of single-stranded cDNAs generated from RNA transcripts of the target cells (i.e. EBV-infected human B cells). The conceptual novelty of SHORT can be highlighted as follows: since ncRNAs are usually not poly-adenylated, for reverse transcription of RNAs poly(A) or poly(C) tails, respectively, were added at their 3'-ends (20) (Figure 1). In addition, adaptor sequences were added to the 5'-ends of both driver and target transcripts to generate PCR priming sites. As many cDNAs by an anchored oligo d(G) primer (step vii) and allowed to hybridize with excess of the single stranded and biotinylated driver DNA (step viii). Transcripts that are equally expressed in both driver and target cells form duplexes and thus were captured via the 5'-biotin moiety of the driver-DNA on streptavidin-coated magnetic beads (step ix). Target cell specific transcripts (red) remained single stranded and were therefore enriched in the supernatant (step x). In order to increase the selective efficiency of SHORT, subtractive hybridization (steps viii to x) was repeated four times.
ncRNAs are highly structured, which might impede hybridization and since, in addition, RNA is known to be thermally more unstable than DNA, we converted both the driver and target RNAs into single-stranded sense- or anti-sense cDNAs, respectively. The conversion of the driver RNA into cDNA has the additional advantage that driver transcripts can be amplified by RT-PCR prior to conversion into single-stranded sense cDNAs. Therefore, a large access of driver DNA over target DNA can be employed in the selective hybridization step. This might be especially important in cases where the amount of cellular RNA is limited. Subsequently, driver and target cDNAs were allowed to hybridize (Figure 1). cDNA species derived from ncRNAs that are equally expressed in both cells form duplexes. Since driver cDNAs were generated to contain a biotin moiety at their 5'-ends, cDNA duplexes could be captured on streptavidin-coated magnetic beads (Figure 1). Target cell specific transcripts remained single stranded and therefore became enriched in the supernatant.

To verify selective amplification of virus-induced ncRNAs, cDNAs in the supernatant were PCR-amplified and size-separated by PAGE and compared to the unsubtracted target cDNA pool from step vii) of Figure 1. In both analyzed size fractions (i.e. from 20 to 70 nt: small fraction and from 70 to 500 nt: large fraction), PCR-derived DNA patterns differed considerably in size and abundance compared to the unsubtracted target control sample (Figure 2a). This is consistent with the SHORT method reducing or eliminating abundant cDNA species, present in both driver and target pools.

After five subtraction rounds of SHORT, we cloned PCR-amplified cDNAs and sequenced a small number of ~250 clones from each cDNA library (20). In total, we analyzed 506 sequences, which were grouped into 208 unique sequences (designated as contigs). Sequence analysis confirmed that SHORT changed the cDNA profile of the subtracted cDNA library compared to the unsubtracted control cDNA library: while in the unsubtracted library of the large ncRNA fraction 85% of all sequences originated from known ‘house-keeping’ ncRNAs (such as tRNAs, 28S rRNA, 5.8S rRNA and 5S rRNA), this group was significantly reduced to only 23% after SHORT (Figure 2b). Conversely, the fraction of potential novel ncRNA candidates and EBV-encoded ncRNAs increased from 10 to 40% of analyzed sequences. The fact that 7% of sequences in the subtracted cDNA library originated from the EBV-encoded transcripts EBER 1 and 2 served as a valuable internal control for specific ncRNA enrichment, since in the unsubtracted cDNA library control (in which cDNAs were also derived from EBV-infected cells), not a single EBV-encoded cDNA sequence could be identified (Figure 2b). Sequence analysis of the small fraction also revealed that house-keeping ncRNAs present in both driver and target pools could be reduced (data not shown). Notably, from 217 analyzable sequences of the small fraction, 81 sequences (or 37%) belong to the class of miRNAs. Twenty-three cDNA sequences (11%) could not be assigned to any known class of ncRNAs and thus represent potentially candidates for novel ncRNA species.
To investigate whether ncRNAs, identified by SHORT, are indeed differentially expressed upon EBV infection, we chose 14 candidates from the large and 23 candidates from the small fraction from the 'non-house-keeping' ncRNA classes and investigated their expression levels by northern blot analysis. Thereby, expression of 29% of ncRNAs from the large and 26% of the sequences from the small fraction libraries were shown to be significantly up-regulated in the EBV-infected cell line compared to uninfected cells (Figure 3).

In particular, host cell-encoded vault associated ncRNAs 1–3 (98, 88 and 88 nt in size, respectively), were up-regulated by at least 1200-, 20- and 40-fold, respectively, in EBV-infected cells (Figure 3). Interestingly, in our screen we also have identified a novel ncRNA candidate (termed CBL-3), which were 4-fold up-regulated in EBV-infected cells compared to uninfected cells (Figure 3 and Table 1). CBL-3 shares compelling sequence and structural similarities with the known vault RNAs (vRNAs) (Figure 4). Furthermore, vRNA 1 and this putative novel vRNA (CBL-3) were found to be significantly up-regulated also in another B cell line, namely when comparing non-infected to EBV-infected BL2 cells (data not shown). Expression of vRNAs does not appear to be a general cellular response mechanism to viral infections, since in HIV infected T-cells we could not detect a comparable up-regulation of vRNAs (data not shown).

In addition to vault ncRNAs, we also identified two other novel ncRNA candidates from the large cDNA library up-regulated in EBV-infected B cells. The first RNA is a 144-nt long ncRNA transcript encoded on chromosome 19 (CBL-1) whose expression was stimulated 4-fold upon EBV infection (Figure 3a and Table 1). Interestingly, 14 different gene copies of CBL-1 could be identified on chromosome 19 mapping within a region of 2.2 Mb which are encoded on the plus or minus strand, respectively (Figure 5). Sequence identities of the various paralogs range from 98.3 to 100%. The second novel

---

Table 1. Novel ncRNA candidates

| Sequence | cDNA (nt) | N. blot (nt) | Remarks |
|----------|----------|-------------|---------|
| CBL-1    | GGAGCCATTGTGGCTCAAGGCCGTTGCGTCCCTCCTCGG GGCCTCAGGAGGAGGGGTTCAGGACAGTCTGGA | 114 | 114 | Repeated locus on chromosome 19; intergenic |
| CBL-2    | ATAGACAGCGTGGTCTAGTGGTTAAGAGCACAAGGCTT GGGAGCCAGACTTCCTGGGTTTAACTCGAGTACCACCAATTAACGTCGCTGATT | 94 | 94 | Encoded in an intergenic locus on chromosome 8 |
| CBL-3    | CCCGGGTGGATTGAGTTAGCTCAACGGCTTATCTTCTCATG CCGACATTCTATCTGCTACCATCCTGCTACCATC | 104 | 100 | Encoded in an intergenic locus on chromosome 5; similarities to vRNAs |
| CBL-4    | AACAGCAACGAGGTCAGCTCAGCTCTGCTACCATCGAAGGAGAAGGTTAGTGGTGGTGATGGAACCGGCGG | 83 | 133 | Encoded in the second intron of the MATR3 gene on chromosome 5; Intergenic locus on chromosome 3 |
| CBL-5    | GGAGGTGGATTAAGGTTAGCTCAACGGCTTATCTTCTCATG CCGACATTCTATCTGCTACCATCCTGCTACCATC | 24 | 22 | |

The sequence lengths of the five novel ncRNA candidates (CBL-1–5) in the cDNA library as well as the estimated lengths of the bands on the northern blot scans (N. blot) are indicated.
ncRNA (CBL-2) exhibits a size of 94 nt and was up-regulated 4-fold in EBV-infected B cells (Figure 3). CBL-2 is predicted to map to a locus encoding a MIR subclass of small interspersed nuclear elements (SINE), however expression of the repeat has not been experimentally confirmed, up till now.

From the small-size cDNA library (derived from ncRNAs sized from 20 to 70 nt), a highly up-regulated ncRNA (by 29-fold) in the EBV-infected cell line was identified as the host-encoded miRNA-155 (Figure 3). This miRNA species is processed from a larger RNA precursor transcript, previously designated as BIC RNA, and has recently been shown to be up-regulated up to 30-fold in human B cell lymphomas (26). The abundance of miRNA-155 in our SHORT cDNA library (represented by 25% of all cDNA clones from the small fraction library) clearly points to the potential of this method to selectively amplify, and thus identify, known over-expressed ncRNA transcripts of target B cells.
Moreover, miRNA-21 was also found to be up-regulated (by 7-fold) in EBV-infected cells (Figure 3). miRNA-21 is encoded on chromosome 17 and its up-regulation has been suggested to play a general role in cell differentiation (27,28). Recently it has been reported that miRNA-21 functions as an oncogene and modulates tumorigenesis in breast tumor tissues (29). To our knowledge, our findings are the first evidence of miRNA-21 being over-expressed upon viral infection in human lymphocytes.

Other up-regulated miRNAs were miRNA-146a (10-fold) and miRNA-29b (2-fold) (Figure 3). In addition to those known miRNA we also identified one potential novel miRNA candidate. Northern blotting revealed that the 22-nt long ncRNA CBL-5 was up-regulated upon EBV infection by 3-fold (Figure 3). The ncRNA candidate is encoded on chromosome 3, but lacks the canonical fold of a bona fide miRNA precursor structure (data not shown).

Besides host-encoded miRNAs, we also identified four of the previously described EBV-encoded miRNAs (13,16) (BART4, BART1-3p, BHFR1-1 and BHFR1-2) in our subtracted library (Figure 3). Lack of identification of the remaining 19 known EBV-encoded miRNAs is largely due to the EBV strain used (B95-8) lacking a genomic segment encoding 15 known miRNAs (17).

In addition to ncRNA species, up-regulated upon viral infection, we also observed novel ncRNA candidates in the SHORT subjected library whose expression did not change (CBL-4) (Table 1) or whose expression was even found to be down-regulated. MiRNAs miR-20b, miR-15a and miR-15b were found to be 3- to 4-fold less efficiently expressed in the EBV-infected cell line. This might be explained by the elimination of abundant ‘house-keeping’ ncRNAs by SHORT, which in turn generally increases the probability of identifying novel ncRNA species in cDNA libraries.

**DISCUSSION**

By applying the SHORT method to an EBV-infected B-cell line and subsequent sequence analysis of a rather small number of 506 cDNA clones, we have identified 208 unique ncRNA sequences, including five novel ncRNA candidates (Table 1). From these, we selected 37 ncRNAs and analyzed their expression levels by northern blot analysis. 21 ncRNAs (57%) were shown to be up-regulated upon EBV infection (Figure 3). It is strongly anticipated that SHORT in combination with high-throughput deep-sequencing analysis of the subtracted cDNA library will result in the identification of an even higher number of differentially expressed ncRNA candidates.

Especially intriguing was the identification of the B cell-encoded three vault ncRNAs shown to be extensively up-regulated during EBV infection (by up to 1200-fold). vRNAs have been reported previously to serve as integral parts of a so-called vault complex, a large hollow barrel-shaped ribonucleo-protein complex (RNP) with a size of 13 MDa (30). The vault RNP particle is found in numerous higher eukaryal species as diverse as mammals, avians, amphibiahs, fish, echinoderms, mollusks as well as in lower eukaryal such as the slime mold Dictyostelium discoideum and in protozoa (30).

The vault complex consists of multiple copies of three different highly conserved proteins and at least six copies of vRNAs (31). At present the expression of three vRNA genes (HVG1-3) has been demonstrated experimentally while a fourth putative vRNA gene (HVG4) has been identified by homology search whose expression could not be observed (32). The putative novel vRNA (CBL-3) that we have identified this study (see above and Figure 4), however, is not related to the HVG4 locus.

vRNAs have been reported to locate at the two cap structures closing the barrel-shaped mid-section of the vault complex. RNase-treatment of vault complexes has been shown to have no apparent effect on morphology of the vault RNP, implying that the vRNAs might possess functional rather than structural roles in the vault complex (31). The vault RNP has previously been proposed to be involved in nucleo-cytoplasmic transport and implicated in intracellular detoxification processes and hence in multidrug resistance in cancer cells (33,34). For localization of vRNAs, we performed fluorescence in situ hybridization experiments employing antisense probes directed against vRNA 1. These experiments demonstrated that vRNA 1 localizes close to the nucleus in EBV-infected B cells (data not shown), consistent with earlier findings indicating that vault RNP associates with nuclear pores in various mammalian cell lines (30,35–38). It is tempting to speculate that vRNAs might be involved in anti-viral defense and/or transport mechanisms.

We also observed up-regulation (by up to 29-fold) from seven previously reported miRNAs, as well as a novel miRNA candidate not previously annotated. Two of these miRNA species, miR-21 and miR-155, have previously been implicated in human cancers (26,29). Our data point to the possibility that they might also be involved in viral infections. MiRNAs have been shown to regulate the gene expression in mammals, including humans, by binding to the 3'-untranslated region (UTR) of mRNAs thus repressing their translation (7). Thereby, single miRNA species were suggested to target up to 100–200 different UTRs within mRNAs, however for the majority of predicted targets experimental evidence is lacking (39,40). For example, by employing the miRNA-target search tool from the Sanger Centre (http://microrna.sanger.ac.uk/targets/v4/), genes involved in tumor suppression, such as the tropomyosin 1 gene (TPM1), were identified as potential targets for miR-21. In addition, RGS1, a gene encoding B cell activation protein BL34, was identified as a potential target for miR-23a. It will be interesting to assess experimentally whether predicted miRNAs, targeted by miRNAs identified in our screen, are directly involved in viral infection and/or defense mechanisms.

In summary, the considerable advantage of SHORT, compared to standard experimental RNomics approaches (20), is that it focuses on differentially expressed ncRNA genes and therefore avoids the time-consuming and cost-intensive multiple sequencing of already known and constitutively expressed ncRNAs. Furthermore, removal
of the majority of these 'house-keeping' transcripts by SHORT increases the chance to identify low abundant and so far unidentified ncRNA candidates, an advantage that cannot be used in ncRNA micro array screens.

We demonstrate that SHORT can be applied to enrich ncRNAs of human B cells in the size range from 22 to 300 nt, a range that covers many of the known functional small ncRNA classes from miRNAs (21 nt), snoRNAs (≈80–200 nt) up to 7SL RNA (≈300 nts). Since SHORT is performed exclusively in vitro, requires only minimal amounts of starting ncRNAs and does not depend on the source of the ncRNA transcripts, it is reasonable to assume that this technique can be applied to other cells, tissues or organisms as well. Thus, this procedure will likely result in the identification of novel regulatory ncRNA species involved in diseases like cancer as well as those which regulate fundamental cellular processes such as development or tissue differentiation.
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