Evaluation of the effect of chiropractic manipulative treatment on oxidative stress in sacroiliac joint dysfunction

Turgut Kültür, Aydın Çiftçi, Müyesser Okumuş, Murat Doğan, Şenay Arıkan Durmaz, Salim Neşelioğlu, Özcan Erel

1Department of Physical Therapy and Rehabilitation, Kırıkkale University Faculty of Medicine, Kırıkkale, Turkey
2Department of Internal Medicine, Kırıkkale University Faculty of Medicine, Kırıkkale, Turkey
3Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Endocrinology and Metabolic Diseases, Kırıkkale University Faculty of Medicine, Kırıkkale, Turkey
4Department of Medical Biochemistry, Yıldırım Beyazıt University Faculty of Medicine, Ankara, Turkey

Received: July 03, 2018 Accepted: December 13, 2018 Published online: May 18, 2020

ABSTRACT

Objectives: This study aims to investigate the effect of chiropractic manipulative treatment on sacroiliac joint dysfunction (SIJD) and its relationship to oxidative stress (OXS) parameters.

Patients and methods: Thirty-three patients diagnosed with SIJD (20 males, 13 females; mean age 36.3±9.7 years; range, 18 to 60 years) and 30 healthy volunteers (20 males, 10 females; mean age 36.4±12.2 years; range, 20 to 57 years) were included in this cross-sectional, case-control study conducted between February 2017 and September 2017. Manipulation was applied to the patients once a week for a duration of four weeks. The patients were evaluated at pre-treatment and one month after treatment with visual analog scale, SIJD test, and total thiol, native thiol, disulphide, and ischemia-modified albumin (IMA) as OXS indicators.

Results: Prior to treatment, we demonstrated that serum native thiol (µmol/L) and total thiol (µmol/L) levels in the patient group were lower compared to control subjects (p=0.03 and p=0.02, respectively). Serum IMA levels were higher in the patient group (p=0.01). There was no change in OXS parameters after manipulative treatment in the patient group.

Conclusion: Manipulation is useful in SIJD. Thiol/disulphide homeostasis and serum IMA levels may be used to measure the OXS in patients with SIJD.
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The excessive increase of free oxygen radicals produced as a defense mechanism may result in oxidative stress (OXS) damaging the body. Cancer, heart disease, diabetes, vascular disease, and musculoskeletal diseases such as osteoarthritis, rheumatoid arthritis (RA), and fibromyalgia (FM) may cause OXS. Moreover, OXS may contribute to the development or worsening of these diseases. [1-4]

Thiol-disulphide balance is a new method for determining OXS. [5] The thiols can form disulphide bonds by entering into the oxidation reaction via oxidants. Increased OXS can lead to the reversible formation of mixed disulphides between thiols and protein thiol groups. The resulting disulphide bonds can be reduced back to thiol groups and thiol-disulphide homeostasis can be protected. Thus, the thiol/disulphide ratio has been shown to play a critical role in detoxification, antioxidant protection, signal transduction, regulation of enzymatic activity, apoptosis, and cellular signaling. [5-7]

Human serum ischemia-modified albumin (IMA) has been studied and regarded as a sensitive biomarker for diagnosis of many OXS-related clinical conditions. Human serum albumin converted to IMA when the albumin N terminus changed due to OXS or ischemia. In addition to cardiovascular events, serum IMA levels were found to be significantly elevated in painful chronic musculoskeletal conditions such as FM, ankylosing spondylitis (AS), and RA. [8-10] Sacroiliac (SI) pain due to impaired joint function...
Oxidative stress in sacroiliac joint dysfunction

in SI dysfunction may be the cause of chronic low back pain.[11] Oxidative stress increases production of cytokines such as interleukin-1beta (IL-1β), tumor necrosis factor-alpha, and IL-6. Moreover, in OXS, nuclear factor kappa B (NF-kB) activates the genes of these cytokines by causing up-regulation of toll-like receptor 4 (TLR-4). Nuclear factor-κB and TLR-4 inhibit sirtuin 1 (SIRT1) gene. SIRT1 has antiinflammatory and anti-oxidative effects.

Oxidative stress decreases SIRT1 activity, leading to increased NF-κB activity. Nuclear factor-κB causes inflammatory responses. Increased cytokines and inflammation may lead to damage in lipids, protein and deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) and have devastating effects on cellular function. Chronic inflammatory tissue damage and elevated levels of cytokines play an important role in the pathogenesis of low back pain. In addition, OXS may contribute to the development of chronic pain by enhancing neuronal activity, central and peripheral sensitivity, and affecting the interneurons of the spinal cord dorsal horn. In pain, increased neuronal metabolism and enhanced use of the metabolic substrates can produce OXS. Inflammation, pain, and OXS stress can increase the need for oxygen. Oxidative stress and pain may be exacerbated by increased ischemia. The result is a vicious cycle of pain, inflammation, and OXS that trigger each other. Thus, pain and OXS may be chronic.[1-4,11-13] In this context, sacroiliac joint dysfunction (SIJD) may cause OXS or may implicate oxidant, antioxidant balance.

We found only two studies that investigate the relationship between OXS and manual therapy in the literature. According to these studies, manual treatment could reduce OXS by increasing the antioxidant enzyme capacity and decreasing the formation of products causing OXS.[12,13] High-velocity low amplitude manipulation (HVLAM) is an effective treatment for SIJD described by the fast and short pulse applied at the end of the passive range of movement.[11,14-17] In our study, we aimed to investigate not only the effectiveness of HVLAM but also the relationship between SIJD, as a chronic pain and the oxidative system. We also intended to identify the factors associated with IMA, dynamic thiol/disulphide homeostasis, and thiol oxidation and the effects of treatments to reduce joint dysfunction in SIJD patients on OXS. Therefore, in this study, we aimed to investigate the effect of chiropractic manipulative treatment on SIJD and its relationship to OXS parameters.

**Patients and Methods**

This cross-sectional, case-control study was conducted at Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation Department of Kirikkale University Faculty of Medicine between February 2017 and September 2017. The study included 33 patients (20 males, 13 females; mean age 36.3±9.7 years; range, 18 to 60 years) diagnosed with SI dysfunction in the outpatient clinic. Patients complained of pain in the SI joint region at least for the last three months. Patients’ visual analog scale (VAS) score was at least 60 (median 81; range, 60 to 100). The required sample size was calculated by using a G*power version 3.1.9.2, (Heinrich-Heine-Universität Düsseldorf, Düsseldorf, Germany). The power of the study was calculated as 92.40%. This power is sufficient for a minimum of 80% power. The number of n was calculated as 25, which would provide a standard effect size of 0.8 in the conditions of alpha 0.05 and beta 0.20. Patients with chronic disorders (malignancy, thyroid dysfunction, obesity, hypertension, diabetes), neurological disease, infection, inflammatory rheumatologic disease, history of major lumbar and lower extremity surgery, pregnancy, spondylolisthesis and those using drugs (vitamin supplements, steroids, and nonsteroidal antiinflammatory, immunosuppressive) that can affect osteoporosis and OXS were excluded. In our study, palpation tests (Gillet test, standing and sitting flexion tests) and specific provocation tests (Gaenslen’s and flexion abduction external rotation tests, and posterior shear or thigh thrust tests) were used. These tests had high clinical validity and reliability in patients with SI dysfunction.[18-21] The control group consisted of age- and gender-matched 30 healthy individuals (20 males, 10 females; mean age 36.4±12.2 years; range, 20 to 57 years) from the same center without being used in another study. They were without low back pain and systemic disease whose SI examination findings were normal. No payments were demanded from these persons or from their health insurance institutions. The study protocol was approved by the Kirikkale University Clinical Research Ethics Committee (01/10-03.01.2017). A written informed consent was obtained from each participant. The study was conducted in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki.

At the baseline, a detailed history was obtained from each participant; musculoskeletal and SI joint pain-specific examinations were conducted and demographic information was recorded. Visual analog scale was used to evaluate pre- and post-
treatment pain severity and intensity. Motion palpation and provocation tests were conducted at the beginning of the study and in the first month. Visual analog scale is a successful and commonly used method for assessing pain. In VAS, the severity of the pain is marked on a scale of 0-100 mm. Pre- and post-HVLAM evaluations (VAS, SIJD tests) were performed independently by the physician performing the manual treatment. In HVLAM, the patient was positioned on a treatment table in lateral recumbent position with the painful side up. The physiatrist stood against the patient. The physiatrist flexed the leg on the upper side until the lumbar spine was flexed and placed the foot in the popliteal fossa of the lower leg. Subsequently, the physiatrist seized the patient’s lower shoulder and arm, and then performed hemi-trunk side bending and rotation till motion was felt at the SI joint. The patient’s arms were put around the physiatrist’s arm. The patient was rolled toward the physiatrist while the setup was preserved. Thus, the spine was locked just above the SI joint, by creating a lever arm. Finally, the physiatrist pushed the SI joint posterior to anterior direction with high speed and low amplitude force (Figure 1). Usually, we used only one attempt for a single session that relieves the patient. Standards have not been defined in the manipulation therapy clearly and completely yet. Even so, according to analyses performed using various methods, manipulation applied to the SI region was a mean peak force of 210-240 N and a thrust phase duration of about 100 msn for effective and successful HVLAM. In addition, these values indicate that HVLAM manual therapy does not pose a risk when administered with appropriate indications. Although there is no clear rule, it was reported that the application of HVLAM once a week for four weeks was effective in the relief of symptoms.

Clinical improvement was considered due to the applied treatment if the SI tests were negative and the VAS score was less than 60. Patients who did not benefit from the treatment were excluded and the comparisons were repeated. A 5-mL blood sample before the treatment and a 5-mL after a week following the four weeks of manipulative therapy were collected from each patient with SIJD and control subject. All blood samples were taken after eight hours of fasting and centrifuged at 1500 rpm for 10 min without waiting. Serum was separated and stored at -80°C until analysis. All parameters were studied in separate serum samples. In this study, the thiol/disulphide balance and IMA concentration were evaluated by using a recently developed method.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed by using the SPSS version 16.0 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The confidence interval in the analysis was 95%. The normality of parameters was tested by Shapiro-Wilk test (p<0.05, the skewness-kurtosis tests and range between -1.96 and +1.96 assigned parametric as otherwise non-parametric). Parametric data were described as a mean±standard deviation, while non-parametric data were described as median (minimum-maximum). Parametric tests for dependence samples such as pre- and post-treatment were compared by using paired sample t-test. Parametric tests for independent samples such as patient and control groups were compared by using the two-independent samples t-test. Non-parametric dependent samples such as pre- and post-treatment VAS scores were analyzed by Wilcoxon test. Non-parametric independent samples such as body mass index (BMI) were compared by using the Mann-Whitney U test. Categorical parameters such as gender were compared by chi-square, while pre- and post-treatment SIJD scores were compared by the Fisher’s exact test. Categorical data were described as frequency and percentages. The correlations were assessed using Pearson’s correlation.

Figure 1. High velocity low amplitude manipulation in sacroiliac joint dysfunction.
Coefficient for normal distribution samples and Spearman’s correlation test for those not normally distributed. The way of the correlations was indicated as positive \((r)\) or negative \((-r)\). The evaluation of the correlation of \(r\)-value magnitudes was shown as very high \((0.90 < r \leq 1)\), high \((0.75 < r \leq 0.90)\), moderate \((0.50 < r \leq 0.75)\), low \((0.25 < r \leq 0.50)\), very low \((0 < r \leq 0.25)\). A \(p\) value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

In the patient group, the median duration of low back pain was 12 months (range, 3 to 12 months). There was no difference between the patient and control groups in terms of age, gender, or BMI (Table 1). In the patient group, VAS scores were decreased significantly after HVLAM \((p=0.01)\) (Table 2).

The IMA \((\mu\text{mol/L})\) levels were higher in the patient group than the control group before the treatment \((0.9 \pm 0.1 \mu\text{mol/L} \text{ in pre-treatment patients}; 0.8 \pm 0.1 \mu\text{mol/L} \text{ in control subjects}; p=0.01)\) (Table 2). The IMA levels were still higher in the patient group than the control group after the treatment \((0.9 \pm 0.1 \mu\text{mol/L} \text{ in post-treatment patients}; 0.8 \pm 0.1 \mu\text{mol/L} \text{ in control subjects}; p=0.01)\). There was no difference compared to pre and posttreatment IMA levels \((p=0.25)\).

The mean native thiol levels \((\mu\text{mol/L})\) were lower than the control group before treatment \((321.2 \pm 48.8 \mu\text{mol/L} \text{ in pre-treatment patients}; 345.0 \pm 36.4 \mu\text{mol/L} \text{ in control subjects} \ p=0.03)\). In addition, the mean native thiol level was even further lower, also persisted decrease after manipulation therapy \((p=0.01)\). However, no significant difference was detected between the pre- and post-treatment of mean native thiol levels after manipulation therapy \((p=0.18)\), which was 305.6 \pm 35.6 \mu\text{mol/L} \text{ in posttreatment patients} and 321.2 \pm 48.8 \mu\text{mol/L} \text{ in pre-treatment patients group.}

Total thiol levels were significantly lower in the pre- and post-treatment groups compared to the control group \((360.6 \pm 46.9 \mu\text{mol/L} \text{ in pre-treatment patients}; 343.6 \pm 38.2 \mu\text{mol/L} \text{ in post-treatment patients}; 387.5 \pm 39.6 \mu\text{mol/L} \text{ in control subjects}; p=0.01 \text{ and } p=0.01, \text{ respectively})\). Total thiol levels did not differ significantly in pre- and post-treatment comparison \((p=0.12)\) (Table 2).

As the mean levels of disulphide compared between all three groups with each other \((22.6 \pm 8.9 \mu\text{mol/L} \text{ in control subjects}; 19.7 \pm 9.1 \mu\text{mol/L} \text{ in pretreatment patients}; 18.9 \pm 8.5 \mu\text{mol/L} \text{ in post-treatment patients})\), we have found any difference that, control and pretreatment group \((p=0.21)\), pre and pretreatment group \((p=0.78)\), control and pretreatment group \((p=0.10)\).

The disulphide/native thiol proportion \((SS/SH)\) found 6.6 \pm 2.6 in control subjects; 6.4 \pm 3.5 in pre-treatment and 6.3 \pm 2.9 in post-treatment patients. When compared the disulphide/native thiol proportion \((SS/SH)\) between control- pretreatment, pre-posttreatment, control-posttreatment groups there was no significant difference in between groups \((p=0.82; p=0.89, p=0.66 \text{ respectively})\) (Table 2).

Native thiol/total thiol proportion did not differ in any comparison between the control, pre- and post-treatment groups, similar to the SS/SH proportion \((89.1 \pm 0.0 \text{ in control subjects}; 89.0 \pm 5.4 \text{ in pre-treatment and } 89.1 \pm 4.7 \text{ in post-treatment patients}; p=0.88; p=0.95; p=0.95, \text{ respectively})\) (Table 2).
The VAS score was decreased significantly after treatment in the patient group. After the treatment, 78% of patients (n=26) recovered from SIJD. After the manipulation therapy, a significant decrease in VAS score was detected in those who had negative SIJD test. (p=0.01) (Table 2).

According to disease duration values, all patients had chronic pain and there was no correlation between the pain duration and the parameters before and after the treatment in the patient group. In the patient group, moderate negative correlations were determined between IMA and both native thiol (r=-0.52, p=0.01) and total thiol (r=-0.51, p=0.01).

DISCUSSION

In our study, IMA, as an oxidant source, was higher in the patient group than the control group before treatment that indicated the OXS. After treatment, IMA was still higher than the control group and there was no difference compared to pre-treatment levels. Thus OXS determined via IMA levels in the patients was more than the healthy control group. However, after our manipulative treatment, there was no significant difference in the patients. It was thought that OXS continued after treatment.

The disulphide bonds are formed by OXS. In terms of disulphide, there was no significant difference in levels among control subjects, and pre- and post-treatment patients. In other words, despite the decrease in substances of the antioxidant system, no increase was found in the disulphide oxidant. Therefore, the antioxidant system in patients with SIJD may decrease, while the oxidant system may not be influenced in pre- and post-manipulative treatment state.

The mean native thiol level, which is an antioxidant reservoir, was lower in the patient group than the control group before treatment. In addition, the mean native thiol level was even further lower after manipulative treatment. However, there was no difference between the pre- and post-treatment levels for native thiol levels. The results suggested that native thiol level was decreased in SIJD patients. Moreover, this condition persisted after manipulation therapy. In other words, this reserve was used in patients and continued to be used after manipulation.

In addition, total thiol levels were significantly lower in the pre-treatment patient group compared to the control group. In addition the mean total thiol levels were further decreased after the treatment. But
total thiol levels did not significantly differ when compared in pre and post-treatment groups. Whereas oxidative DNA damage is not the sum of native thiol and disulfide bonds, but it shows all thiol levels. The decrease in the total thiol group would be due to the decrease in native thiol group.

The SS/SH proportion indicates oxidant/antioxidant status. This ratio did not differ between the groups in any comparison. On the other hand, native thiol/total thiol indicates the ratio of free thiol bonds to all thiol bonds. Similar to SS/SH proportion, this ratio did not differ between the groups in any comparison. These show that the antioxidant system may decrease, while OXS may increase. However, the oxidant system may not influence chronic pain such as SIJD. Considering the VAS value, it was determined that manipulation reduced pain while it did not eliminate OXS.

Thiol/disulphide balance shows that substances in the antioxidant system (e.g. native and total thiol) decrease in a patient with chronic pain. Dogru et al. investigated the thiol/disulphide balance in 69 patients with AS and compared the results with 60 healthy controls. They found that the total thiol levels in the patient group were significantly lower than the control group. In this study, their patient group was divided into two groups as active and inactive, according to the Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index and VAS scores and the authors found that the levels of both native and total thiols were statistically lower in the active group. In addition, La Rubia et al. investigated OXS (lipid and protein peroxidation and oxidative DNA damage) in 45 females with FM and 25 healthy controls. In their study, the authors analyzed the total antioxidant capacity and antioxidant enzyme activity and its compounds. They found that oxidative damage and total antioxidant capacity and enzyme activities such as superoxide dismutase, glutathione peroxidase, and catalase decreased in the group with FM. In addition, Toker et al. in 59 FM patients found that serum IMA values were significantly higher than the healthy control group. Chronic pain affects the oxidative system and weakens the antioxidant defense system. This study has some limitations. First, the low number of patients may have affected the results. Second, the change in long-term oxidative parameters was not evaluated. Third, although local anesthetic injection in the SI joint is the gold standard method to evaluate SI dysfunction, the injection was not included in the study design because the majority of patients did not accept it.

In conclusion, serum total thiol, native thiol, disulphide, and IMA may be used to measure the oxidative and antioxidative systems in SIJD patients with chronic pain due to mild musculoskeletal problems. High-velocity low amplitude manipulation techniques can be beneficial for chronic pain in patients with SIJD. In addition, HVLAM is not traumatic or harmful to the organism. However, HVLAM
has not eliminated the OXS. Currently, the serum thiol/disulphide balance and IMA measurements are cost-effective and simple oxidative system parameters in musculoskeletal diseases such as SIJD.
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