Obesity and Risk of Hip Fracture in Adults: A Meta-Analysis of Prospective Cohort Studies
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Abstract

Background: Many observational studies assessed the association between obesity and risk of hip fracture in adults, but reported controversial results. Our goal was to evaluate the association between obesity and risk of hip fracture in adults by conducting a meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies.

Methods: Three databases, PubMed, Embase and Web of Science, were searched through May 2012 to identify eligible cohort studies. Either a fixed- or a random-effects model was used to calculate the pooled relative risk (RR) with its 95% confidence interval (95%CI).

Results: Fifteen prospective cohort studies involving a total 3,126,313 participants were finally included into this meta-analysis. Overall, adults with obesity compared with the normal weight group had a significantly decreased risk of hip fracture (RR: 0.66, 95% CI 0.57 to 0.77, P<0.001). Meta-analyses by the adjusted status of RRs also suggested adults with obesity compared with the reference group had a significantly decreased risk of hip fracture (adjusted RR: 0.48, 95% CI 0.39 to 0.58, P<0.001; unadjusted RR: 0.66, 95% CI 0.56 to 0.78, P<0.001). Subgroup analyses by gender suggested individuals with obesity had a significantly decreased risk for developing hip fracture compared with the reference group in both men (RR 0.54, 95% CI 0.48 to 0.60, P<0.001) and women (RR 0.70, 95% CI 0.58 to 0.84, P<0.001). No evidence of publication bias was observed in this meta-analysis.

Conclusions: This meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies suggests that obesity significantly decreases the risk of hip fracture in adults, and obesity is probably a protective factor of hip fracture in adults.

Introduction

Osteoporotic fractures are a major and increasing cause of morbidity, and they have caused a serious burden to health services in the world [1,2]. Hip fracture is a major part of osteoporotic fractures, and is associated with low independence, excess morbidity and high mortality [3,4]. With the increasing ageing population and the high prevalence of osteoporosis, hip fracture is causing more serious damage to the public health [1,5]. Thus, to decrease the prevalence of hip fracture, multifaceted interventions for preventing hip fracture are urgently needed [6–8]. To make more effective therapeutic or lifestyle interventions, we need have a better knowledge of both the risk factors and the protective factors of hip fracture [9–11]. Low body mass index (BMI) is a recognized risk factor for fracture, particularly for the hip fracture [7,12]. However, whether obesity is protective against fracture has recently been challenged, and the association between obesity and fracture is controversial [13,14]. As obesity has risen in adults (BMI >30 kg/m²), so has the number of studies examining the association between obesity and fracture risk [7,14]. Many epidemiologic studies have indicated the association between obesity and risk of hip fracture, but the magnitudes of the association varied among those studies [15–29]. Furthermore, whether obesity is an independent protective factor or merely a silent marker of hip fracture remains unclear [13,14]. An improved understanding of this issue may have important public health and clinical implications given more effective therapeutic or lifestyle interventions can be made [13,14]. Thus, to evaluate the association between obesity and risk of hip fracture in adults, we performed a meta-analysis of 15 prospective cohort studies [15–29]. We performed the meta-analysis by following the PRISMA in the systematic review and meta-analysis [30].

Methods

Search strategy

We conducted a literature search in PubMed and Embase databases through May 6, 2012 for relevant studies that tested the association between adult obesity and risk of hip fracture. The following key words were used the literature search: 1) obesity, body mass index, BMI, or overweight; 2) fracture, or fractures; and 3) cohort study, cohort studies, prospective study, or prospective studies or longitudinal study. There was no language restriction in...
the literature search. In addition, we reviewed the reference lists of retrieved papers and recent reviews for additional studies.

**Study selection**

We first performed an initial screening of titles or abstracts. A second screening was based on full-text review. Studies were considered eligible if they met the following criteria: 1) the study design was a prospective cohort study; 2) the exposure of interest was obesity; 3) the outcome of interest was hip fracture; and 4) relative risk (RR) and the corresponding 95% confidence interval (95%CI) (or data to calculate them) were reported.

**Data extraction and quality assessment**

Two authors independently conducted the studies selection and data extraction, and any disagreements were resolved by discussion. The key exposure variable was the presence or absence of obesity at baseline. In most studies, people with normal weight served as the reference group. Outcome of interest in this study was hip fracture. Data extraction was then performed using a standardized data-collection form. We extracted any reported RRs, hazard ratios, or incidence density ratios of outcomes for patients with obesity compared with the reference group. We also extracted study characteristics for each trial, and data were recorded as follows: first author’s last name, year of publication, country of origin, study period and duration of follow-up, characteristics of study population and age at baseline, number of participants with obesity, number of participants with normal weight, number of hip fracture events, ascertainment of obesity, and statistical adjustments for confounding factors. To clarify that all papers were based on different cohorts, we carefully checked the basic characteristics of included studies including the country of origin, study period, duration of follow-up, characteristics of study population and age at baseline. Quality assessment for cohort studies in this meta-analysis was assessed using the Newcastle Ottawa scale (NOS) as recommended by the Cochrane Non-Randomized Studies Methods Working Group [31]. Given the variability in quality of observational studies found on our initial literature search, we considered studies that met 5 or more of the NOS criteria as high quality.

**Statistical analyses**

RR with its 95%CI was used as a common measure of the association between obesity and risk of hip fracture across studies. Homogeneity of RRs across studies was tested by the $I^2$ statistic, which is a quantitative measure of inconsistency across studies [32]. The pooled RR were computed using either fixed-effects models [33] or, in the presence of heterogeneity ($I^2 >50.0\%$), random-effects models [34]. To find the possible heterogeneity, we performed subgroup analyses by gender. We also used meta-regression to investigate if there is a difference in effect between men and women. Because characteristics of populations, and adjustments for confounding factors were not consistent between studies, we further conducted a sensitivity analysis to explore possible explanations for heterogeneity and to examine the influence of various exclusion criteria on the overall pooled RR. We investigated the influence of a single study on the overall risk.
estimate by omitting 1 study in each turn. Potential publication bias was assessed by visual inspection of the Begg’s funnel plots in which the logRRs was plotted against their standard errors (SEs) [35]. We also performed the Begg’s rank correlation test and Egger’s linear regression test to assess the publication bias [35,36]. All analyses were performed using STATA version 11.0 (StataCorp LP, College Station, Texas). A P value <0.05 was considered statistically significant, except where otherwise specified.

Results

Study characteristics

We initially retrieved 1326 unique citations from the PubMed and Embase databases. Of these, the majority were excluded after the first screening based on abstracts or titles, mainly because they were reviews, case-control studies, cross-sectional studies, or not relevant to our analysis. 23 full-text papers were preliminarily included into this study. After full-text review of those papers, 5 studies were excluded for lack of necessary data and 3 studies were excluded because they used a retrospective cohort design. Finally, 15 studies with a total of 3,126,313 participants were included in our meta-analysis (Supply figure 1) [15–29]. The characteristics of the 15 prospective cohort studies are presented in Table 1. These studies were published between 1993 and 2011 [15–29]. The sizes of the cohorts ranged from 3050 to 9,006 (total 3,126,313). Outcome assessments were from a variety of sources, including medical record, self-report, and hospital database. Seven studies reported adjusted RRs, and the others mainly including age, diabetes, cholesterol, and smoking. According to the NOS system, thirteen studies were considered as high quality (meeting 5 or more of the NOS criteria) [16–19,21–26,28,29].

Adult obesity and risk of hip fracture

Overall, there was substantial heterogeneity observed among those studies ($I^2$ = 83.8%). Figure 1 showed the results from the random-effects model combining the RRs for hip fracture (Figure 1). Individuals with obesity compared with the reference group had a significantly decreased risk for developing hip fracture (RR: 0.66, 95% CI 0.57 to 0.77, P<0.001) (Figure 1). Further exclusion of any single study did not materially alter the overall combined RR.

Subgroup analyses were firstly performed by the adjusted RRs or unadjusted RRs. Figure 2 showed the results from the random-effects model combining the adjusted RRs for hip fracture (Figure 2). Individuals with obesity compared with the reference group had a significantly decreased risk for developing hip fracture (adjusted RR: 0.48, 95% CI 0.39 to 0.58, P<0.001). Further exclusion of any single study also did not materially alter the overall combined RR. Figure 3 showed the results from the study.
Figure 2. Forest plot showed an association between adult obesity and decreased risk of hip fracture (Analysis of adjusted RRs). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0055077.g002

Figure 3. Forest plot showed an association between adult obesity and decreased risk of hip fracture (Analysis of unadjusted RRs). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0055077.g003
random-effects model combining the unadjusted RR for hip fracture (Figure 3). Individuals with obesity compared with the reference group had a significantly decreased risk for developing hip fracture (unadjusted RR: 0.66, 95% CI 0.56 to 0.78, P<0.001). Further exclusion of any single study also did not materially alter the overall combined RR.

Subgroup analyses were then performed by gender. Individuals with obesity compared with the reference group had a significantly decreased risk for developing hip fracture in both men (RR 0.54, 95% CI 0.48 to 0.60, P<0.001) and women (RR 0.70, 95% CI 0.58 to 0.84, P<0.001). Further exclusion of any single study did not materially alter the overall pooled RRs above. Besides, meta-regression was performed to investigate if there was a difference in effect between men and women, but we found that there was no obvious difference in effect between men and women (P>0.05).

### Risk of publication bias

Visual inspection of the Begg funnel plot did not identify substantial asymmetry (Figure 4). The Begg rank correlation test and Egger’s linear regression test also indicated no evidence of publication bias (Begg’s rank correlation test, P = 0.89; Egger’s linear regression test, P = 0.73). Besides there was also no evidence of publication bias in the subgroup analyses (Table 2). Thus, there was no obvious risk of publication bias in this meta-analysis.

### Discussion

There is rapidly growing interest in the association between obesity in adults and risk of hip fracture. Many observational studies have been published to evaluate the association between obesity in adults and risk of hip fracture, but controversial results are reported. To comprehensively evaluate the association between obesity in adults and risk of hip fracture, we conducted

![Figure 4. Begg's funnel plot did not identify substantial asymmetry in the meta-analysis of total 15 studies. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0055077.g004](image)

### Table 2. Summary of the results in the meta-analysis of adult obesity and risk of hip fracture.

| Outcomes       | No of studies | RR(95% CI)     | P value | Pooled model | $i^2$ | $P_{Egger test}$ |
|----------------|---------------|----------------|---------|--------------|------|-----------------|
| **Total population** |               |                |         |              |      |                 |
| Total studies   | 18            | 0.66(0.57–0.77) | <0.001  | Random       | 83.8%| 0.732           |
| Unadjusted RR   | 17            | 0.66(0.56–0.78) | <0.001  | Random       | 84.6%| 0.726           |
| Adjusted RR     | 9             | 0.48(0.39–0.58) | <0.001  | Random       | 77.5%| 0.364           |
| **Women**       |               |                |         |              |      |                 |
| Total studies   | 10            | 0.70(0.58–0.84) | <0.001  | Random       | 86.6%| 0.845           |
| Unadjusted RR   | 9             | 0.71(0.57–0.89) | <0.001  | Random       | 87.6%| 0.851           |
| Adjusted RR     | 5             | 0.51(0.40–0.66) | <0.001  | Random       | 88.4%| 0.256           |
| **Men**         |               |                |         |              |      |                 |
| Total studies   | 4             | 0.54(0.48–0.60) | <0.001  | Fixed        | 26.9%| 0.912           |
| Unadjusted RR   | 4             | 0.54(0.48–0.60) | <0.001  | Fixed        | 26.9%| 0.912           |
| Adjusted RR     | 1             | 0.30(0.12–0.77) | 0.012   | Fixed        | NA   | NA              |

(NA = not applicable).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0055077.t002
a meta-analysis of 15 prospective cohort studies with a total of 3,120,313 participants [15–29]. Our meta-analysis provides evidence that obesity in adults is significantly and independently associated with a decreased risk of hip fracture. Adults with obesity compared with the reference group had a significantly decreased risk for developing hip fracture (RR: 0.66, 95% CI 0.57 to 0.77, P < 0.001; unadjusted RR: 0.66, 95% CI 0.56 to 0.78, P < 0.001). Subgroup analyses by gender suggest with obesity compared with the reference group have a significantly decreased risk for developing hip fracture in both men (RR 0.54, 95% CI 0.48 to 0.60, P < 0.001) and women (RR 0.70, 95% CI 0.58 to 0.84, P < 0.001). Thus, our meta-analysis suggests that obesity in adults significantly decreases the risk of hip fracture, and obesity is probably a protective factor of hip fracture in adults.

Low bone mineral density (BMD) has been considered one of the major causes of the increased risk of fracture in those with low weight, while higher BMD in adults is posited to mitigate risk of fractures [10,37]. Body weight is directly associated with BMD, and a low BMI has been identified as an important risk factor for lower BMD and predicts greater bone loss in older age [13]. On the other hand, BMI is moderately positively correlated with BMI, many obese individuals have relatively higher BMD, and bone strength may increase in proportion to increases in total or fat mass. An increased strain on the bones imposed by higher body mass may lead to increased BMD and improved structural integrity of the bones. The higher BMD and bone mineral content in obesity are attributed to multiple factors. These include a greater mechanical loading on bone and the altered hormonal milieu and higher serum levels of adipokines associated with obesity, which play an important role in influencing bone mass in obesity [13]. Thus, obesity is an important protective factor for fractures by an effect mediated predominantly through high BMD [13]. Besides, obesity is also widely believed to be protective against fracture because of the effect of increased soft-tissue padding. Individuals who carry more fat mass may benefit from cushioning of their hip by gluteofemoral adipose tissue, which reduces impact forces when they fall and hence their chance of fracture [13].

To our knowledge, this is the first comprehensive systematic review and meta-analysis on the effect of obesity on the risk of hip fracture in adults. We carried out an extensive quality assessment, review and meta-analysis on the effect of obesity on the risk of hip fracture, and obesity is probably a protective factor of hip fracture in adults. We carried out a meta-analysis of 15 prospective cohort studies with a total of 3,120,313 participants [15–29]. Our meta-analysis provides evidence that obesity in adults is significantly and independently associated with a decreased risk of hip fracture. Adults with obesity compared with the reference group had a significantly decreased risk for developing hip fracture (RR: 0.66, 95% CI 0.57 to 0.77, P < 0.001; unadjusted RR: 0.66, 95% CI 0.56 to 0.78, P < 0.001). Subgroup analyses by gender suggest with obesity compared with the reference group have a significantly decreased risk for developing hip fracture in both men (RR 0.54, 95% CI 0.48 to 0.60, P < 0.001) and women (RR 0.70, 95% CI 0.58 to 0.84, P < 0.001). Thus, our meta-analysis suggests that obesity in adults significantly decreases the risk of hip fracture, and obesity is probably a protective factor of hip fracture in adults.

Limitations of this systematic review include the obvious inconsistency across studies, especially in the confounding factors and lengths of follow up. Some confounding factors might account for the observed association between obesity and risk of hip fracture, which were not adjusted for in some of those included studies. Among those studies adjusted for confounding factors, those factors varied greatly among different studies. Besides, the length of follow up also varied greatly among different studies, ranging from 1 to 16.4 years. In addition, we did not try to contact the authors of those included studies for relevant information where it was not available in published form, and there was also possibility of having missed studies in the literature search and selective presenting of results from those included studies, therefore a meta-analysis of individual patients data is urgently needed to further provide a more precise estimation on the effect of obesity on hip fracture. Finally, we pooled data based on the original studies’ definitions of obesity. There was a problem of varying cut-offs of definitions of obesity between studies. All the inconsistency across studies could cause the obvious heterogeneity in this meta-analysis (Table 2).

In conclusion, this meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies suggests that obesity in adults significantly decreases the risk of hip fracture, and obesity is probably a protective factor of hip fracture in adults.

Supporting Information

Figure S1 Flow Diagram in this meta-analysis.

(DOC)

Table S1 PRISMA 2009 Checklist in this meta-analysis.

(DOC)

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: XT GL WY JS. Performed the experiments: XT GL WY JS. Analyzed the data: JK YH EJ. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: XT GL WY JS. Wrote the paper: XT GL WY JS.

References

1. Cummings SR, Melton LJ (2002) Epidemiology and outcomes of osteoporotic fractures. Lancet 359 (9319): 1761–7.
2. Delmas PD (2002) Treatment of postmenopausal osteoporosis. Lancet 359 (9322): 2018–26.
3. Mayhew PM, Thomas CD, Clement JG, Loveridge N, Beck TJ, et al. (2005) Relation between age, femoral neck cortical stability, and hip fracture risk. Lancet 366 (9480): 129–35.
4. Orwig DL, Chan J, Magaziner J (2006) Hip fracture and its consequences: differences between men and women. Orthop Clin North Am 37 (4): 611–22.
5. Hartholt KA, Outhoorn C, Zielinski SM, Burgers PT, Panneman MJ, et al. (2011) The epidemic of hip fractures: are we on the right track? PloS One 6 (7): e22227.
6. Bischoff-Ferrari HA, Willett WC, Orav EJ, Lips P, Meunier PJ, et al. (2012) A pooled analysis of vitamin D dose recommendations for fracture prevention. N Engl J Med 367 (1): 40–9.
7. Naeije KM, Quinones Obio A, Silverman SL (2011) Identifying individuals at risk for fracture in Guatemala. PloS One 6 (11): e26042.
8. Tang BM, Edieck GD, Nowson C, Smith C, Benoussan A (2007) Use of calcium or calcium in combination with vitamin D supplementation to prevent fractures
and bone loss in people aged 50 years and older: a meta-analysis. Lancet 370 (9588): 637–66.
9. Chaudry AM, Aske MC, Gorman E, Al Tunaiji HO, Cressley KM (2012) Risk of hip fracture with hip or knee osteoarthritis: a systematic review. Clin Rheumatol 31 (5): 749–57.
10. Johnell O, Kanis JA, Oden A, Johansson H, De Laet C, et al. (2005) Predictive value of BMD for hip and other fractures. J Bone Miner Res 20 (7): 1183–94.
11. Visschedijk J, Achterberg W, Van Balen R, Hertogh C (2010) Fear of falling after hip fracture: a systematic review of measurement instruments, prevalence, interventions, and related factors. J Am Geriatr Soc 58 (9): 1739–48.
12. Sienkiewicz E, Majkos F, Arowa KN, Brinkoetter M, Chamberland JP, et al. (2011) Long-term metreleptin treatment increases bone mineral density and content at the lumbar spine of lean hypoleptinemic women. Metabolism 60 (9): 1211–21.
13. Shapers SA, Sukumar D (2012) Bone metabolism in obesity and weight loss. Am Rev Respir Dis 132 (2): 297–309.
14. Dimitri P, Bisog N, Walsh JS, Eastell R (2012) Obesity is a risk factor for fracture in children but is protective against fracture in adults: a paradox. Bone 50 (2): 457–66.
15. Haara M, Helovirta M, Impivaara O, Arkooppi JP, Manninen P, et al. (2006) Low metacarpal index predicts hip fracture: a prospective population study of 3,561 subjects with 15 years of follow-up. Acta Orthop 77 (1): 9–14.
16. Meyer HE, Tverdal A, Falch JA (1993) Risk factors for hip fracture in middle-aged Norwegian women and men. Am J Epidemiol 137 (11): 1203–11.
17. Compton JS, Watts NB, Chapurlat R, Cooper C, Boonen S, et al. (2011) Obesity is not protective against fracture in postmenopausal women: GLOW. Am J Med 124 (1): 1043–50.
18. Folose A, Kushi LH, Anderson KE, Mink PJ, Olsen JE, et al. (2000) Associations of general and abdominal obesity with multiple health outcomes in older women: the Iowa Women’s Health Study. Arch Intern Med 160 (14): 2117–20.
19. Meyer HE, Tverdal A, Falch JA (1995) Body height, body mass index, and fatal hip fractures: 16 years’ follow-up of 67,400 Norwegian women and men. Epidemiology 6 (3): 299–305.
20. Benetou V, Orlans P, Benetos IS, Pala V, Evangelista A, et al. (2011) Anthropometry, physical activity and hip fractures in the elderly. Injury 42 (2): 188–93.
21. Fernandez-Ruiz M, Guerra-Vales JM, Trincado R, Medrano MJ, Benito-Leon J, et al. (2011) Hip fracture in three elderly populations of central Spain: data from the NEDICES study. Intern Emerg Med.
22. LeFleur J, McAdams-Marx C, Alder SS, Sheng X, Asche CV, et al. (2011) Clinical risk factors for fracture among postmenopausal patients at risk for fracture: a historical cohort study using electronic medical record data. J Bone Miner Res 26 (9): 1953–200.
23. Armstrong ME, Spencer EA, Cairns BJ, Banks E, Pirie K, et al. (2011) Body mass index and physical activity in relation to the incidence of hip fracture in postmenopausal women. J Bone Miner Res 26 (6): 1309–8.
24. Beck TJ, Peir MA, Wu G, LeBluff MS, Casley JA, et al. (2009) Does obesity really make the femur stronger? BMD, geometry, and fracture incidence in the women’s health initiative-observational study. J Bone Res 24 (8): 1369–79.
25. Nelson CM, Marshall LM, Adams AL, LeBlanc KS, Cawthon PM, et al. (2011) BMI and fracture risk in older men: the osteoporotic fractures in men study (MOF). J Bone Miner Res 26 (5): 1089–101.
26. Prieto-Alhambra D, Premaor MO, Fina Aviles F, Hermosilla E, Martinez-Laguna D, et al. (2012) The association between fracture and obesity is site-dependent: a population-based study in postmenopausal women. J Bone Miner Res 27 (2): 294–300.
27. Osterhacker KJ, Ostir GV, Peik MK, Goodwin JS, Markides KS (2002) Diabetes mellitus as a risk factor for hip fracture in mexican american older adults. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 57 (10): M648–53.
28. Stover P, Poss J, Cook R, Byer K, Hedges JP (2009) Risk factors for hip fracture in older home care clients. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 64 (3): 403–10.
29. Feskanchik D, Willett W, Colditz G (2002) Walking and leisure-time activity and risk of hip fracture in postmenopausal women. JAMA 288 (18): 2300–6.
30. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, PRISMA Group (2009) Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. PLoS Med 6 (7): e1000097.
31. Wells G, Shea B, O’connell D, Peterson J, Welch V, et al. (2012) The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) for assessing the quality of nonrandomised studies in meta-analyses. Ottawa Health Research Institute Web site.
32. Higgins JP, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, Altman DG (2003) Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. BMJ 327 (7414): 557–60.
33. Mantel N, Haernzel W (1959) Statistical aspects of the analysis of data from retrospective studies of disease. J Natl Cancer Inst 22 (4): 719–48.
34. DerSimonian R, Laird N (1986) Meta-analysis in clinical trials. Control Clin Trials 7 (3): 177–88.
35. Beggs CB, Mazzumdar M (1994) Operating characteristics of a rank correlation test for publication bias. Biometrics 50 (4): 1088–101.
36. Egger M, Davey Smith G, Schneider M, Minder C (1997) Bias in meta-analysis of associations of general and abdominal obesity with multiple health outcomes in older women: the Iowa Women’s Health Study. Arch Intern Med 160 (14): 2117–20.
37. Jamal SA, Hayden JA, Beyene J (2007) Low bone mineral density and fractures in long-term hemodialysis patients: a meta-analysis. Am J Kidney Dis 49 (5): 674–81.
38. Han JC, Lawder DA, Kimm SY (2010) Childhood obesity. Lancet 375 (9727): 1737–46.
39. Flouris G, Prodh’homme D (2012) Managing obesity in adults in primary care. CMAJ 184 (9): 1039–44.
40. Renchan AG, Tyson M, Egger M, Heller RF, Zawalen M (2000) Body-mass index and incidence of cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective observational studies. Lancet 357 (9216): 569–78.
41. Romero-Corral A, Montori VM, Somers VK, Kortenberg J, Thomas RJ, et al. (2006) Association of bodyweight with total mortality and with cardiovascular events in coronary artery disease: a systematic review of cohort studies. Lancet 368 (9536): 666–78.
42. Yoon KH, Lee JH, Kim JW, Cho JH, Choi YH, et al. (2006) Epidemic obesity and type 2 diabetes in Asia. Lancet 368 (9548): 1681–8.