Synergistic interactions between Cajal bodies and the miRNA processing machinery
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ABSTRACT Cajal bodies (CBs) are subnuclear domains involved in the formation of ribonucleoproteins (RNP) including small nuclear RNP (snRNP). CBs associate with specific gene loci, which impacts expression and provides a platform for the biogenesis of the nascent transcripts emanating from these genes. Here we report that CBs can associate with the C19MC microRNA (miRNA) gene cluster, which suggests a role for CBs in the biogenesis of animal miRNAs. The machinery involved in the formation of miRNAs includes the Drosha/DGCR8 complex, which processes primary-miRNA to precursor miRNA. Further processing of precursor miRNA by Dicer and other components generates mature miRNA. To test if CBs influence the expression and formation of miRNAs, we examined two representative miRNAs (miR-520 h and let-7a) in conditions that disrupt CBs. CB disruption correlates with alterations in the level of primary and mature miRNA and the let-7a mRNA target, HMGA2. We have also found that the processing of some small CB-specific RNAs (scaRNAs) is directly mediated by the Drosha/DGCR8 complex. ScaRNAs form scaRNPs, which play an important role in snRNP formation. Collectively, our results demonstrate that CBs and the miRNA processing machinery functionally interact and together contribute to the biogenesis of miRNAs and snRNPs.

INTRODUCTION
Drosha and DGCR8 are well-characterized components of the microRNA (miRNA) processing pathway that produce precursor-miRNA from primary-miRNA (Bernstein et al., 2001; Grishok et al., 2001; Huvagner et al., 2001; Ketting et al., 2001; Knight and Bass, 2001; Lee et al., 2003; Denli et al., 2004; Zeng et al., 2005). Interestingly, stem/loop fragments derived from certain scaRNAs (small Cajal body [CB]-specific RNAs) are approximately the same size (70–80 nt) (Tycowski et al., 2004) as precursor-miRNAs generated by Drosha/DGCR8, suggesting that some scaRNAs may be unorthodox substrates for the miRNA processing machinery. We have published data in support of this hypothesis (Logan et al., 2018). CBs are subnuclear domains involved in small nuclear ribonucleoprotein (snRNP) biogenesis (Darzacq et al., 2002; Kiss, 2004). Modifications to the snRNA component of snRNPs are required for proper snRNP and spliceosomal function (Yu et al., 1998). These modifications are guided by scaRNAs as part of scaRNPs in CBs (Darzacq et al., 2002; Kiss, 2004). In cell types lacking CBs, modification of snRNA takes place in the nucleolus (Liu et al., 2009; Makarova and Kramerov, 2011; Deryusheva et al., 2012; Maneef et al., 2014). In addition to RNP formation, CBs physically associate with many different genes and gene clusters (Frey et al., 1999). This CB/gene association impacts expression and biogenesis of the nascent transcripts (Frey et al., 1999; Sawyer et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2016). No studies have shown that CBs associate with miRNA gene clusters. In the human genome, there are 153 gene clusters encoding 468 miRNAs (Kabekkodu et al., 2018). One of these miRNA gene clusters is C19MC (Bortolin-Cavaille et al., 2009). C19MC is expressed primarily in placental tissue and is maternally imprinted (Noguer-Dance et al., 2010; Bellmer et al., 2012). Using a placental line (JEG-3), RNA fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) showed that Drosha and DGCR8 colocalize with the primary-miRNA transcript at the paternally expressed C19MC locus (Bellmer et al., 2012). We hypothesize that CBs associate with miRNA gene clusters including...
C19MC, and this association influences miRNA biogenesis. We further hypothesize that CBs and the miRNA processing machinery functionally interact, with each of these entities contributing to the activity of the other.

We report here that CBs associate with the C19MC miRNA gene cluster and disruption of CBs alters the amount of a representative C19MC miRNA, miR-520 h. Another miRNA encoded in clusters is let-7a, and we have found that CB alteration influences the level of primary-let-7a, mature let-7a, and a major let-7a target miRNA, HMGA2. These findings support a role for CBs in miRNA expression and biogenesis. Disruption of CBs was accomplished by reduction of coilin, the CB marker protein (Tucker et al., 2001; Strzelecka et al., 2010; SMN, the survival of motor neuron protein (Lorson and Androphy, 1998; Bertrand et al., 1999; Hebert et al., 2001; Jones et al., 2001; Pellizzoni et al., 2001; Mahmoudi et al., 2010; Broome and Hebert, 2012; Enwerem et al., 2014; Machyna et al., 2014); TDP-43, an RNA-binding protein that targets certain scaRNAs to CBs (Izumikawa et al., 2019); and WRAP53, which plays a role in scaRNP and telomerase formation (Richard et al., 2003; Tycowski et al., 2009; Venteicher et al., 2009). Importantly, alteration of miRNA levels is differentially impacted depending on which protein enriched in the CB is reduced. We have also found that the Drosha/DGCR8 complex can directly process a specific scaRNA, providing evidence in support of a regulatory pathway in which snRNP formation/activity is governed by miRNA component processing of scaRNAs. In summary, our findings indicate that CBs and the miRNA processing machinery synergistically interact and together contribute to miRNA and snRNP biogenesis.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

CB association with the expressed C19MC locus

To examine if CBs associate with miRNA gene clusters, we used JEG-3 cells and conducted RNA FISH to detect primary-miRNA from the C19MC locus and immunofluorescence (IF) to detect CBs. The association of CBs with the transcriptionally active C19MC cluster was observed in 24% of cells, a value equivalent to known CB association with other gene loci (Figure 1). Since previous work has shown that both Drosha and DGCR8 colocalize with the primary-miRNA transcript at the expressed C19MC locus (Bellemere et al., 2012), we sustained JEG-3 cells for DGCR8 and coilin (or a coilin-GFP construct). As shown in Supplemental Figure S1, associations of CBs with the expressed C19MC locus (as demarcated by DGCR8) are detected. Prior work has shown that expression of the C19MC cluster in nonplacental cells can be induced epigenetically with 5-aza-2′-deoxycytidine (5-aza, a DNA methylation inhibitor; Bellemere et al., 2012). We treated HeLa cells with 5-aza and verified that the C19MC cluster was de-repressed using qRT-PCR (Figure 1). We then conducted RNA FISH for the C19MC primary-miRNA transcript and IF to detect CBs. We observe associations of CBs with the C19MC cluster in 5-aza-treated HeLa cells as found in JEG-3 cells (Figure 1). These findings demonstrate that CBs can associate with a miRNA gene cluster and thus may play a role in the global expression of all miRNAs encoded in gene clusters (Kabekkodu et al., 2018).

Dysregulation of miR-520 h levels when CBs are disrupted

To generate additional evidence supporting a functional relationship between CBs and miRNA gene clusters, we investigated if CB disruption impacts the level of representative miRNAs. We first examined miR-520 h, which is one of the 46 miRNAs encoded by the C19MC miRNA gene cluster. For this analysis, JEG-3 cells were treated with control, coilin, or Drosha siRNA for 5 d followed by detection of primary and mature miR-520 h. Drosha knockdown does not impact CBs, but is a positive control for altered miRNA processing. Coilin knockdown abolishes canonical CBs (Lemm et al., 2006). As shown in Figure 2A, the relative level of primary-miR-520 h was slightly, but significantly, increased by coilin reduction compared with control. As expected, Drosha reduction results in a more dramatic increase in primary-miR-520 h. Analysis of mature miR-520 h shows that both coilin and Drosha knockdown result in the decrease of mature-miR-520 h (Figure 2B). These findings show that disruption of CBs as a consequence of coilin knockdown can impact the levels of a miRNA.
The level of miRNA let-7a and its target mRNA, HMGA2, are altered on CB protein reduction

Let-7a is part of the well-characterized let-7 miRNA family and can be encoded in clusters (Reinhart et al., 2000; Lee et al., 2016). A major target for let-7a is HMGA2 mRNA (Lee and Dutta, 2007). To examine if CB disruption impacts let-7a and HMGA2 levels, HeLa cells were treated for 5 d with control, colillin, or SMN siRNA. SMN knockdown has been shown to alter CBs (Lemm et al., 2006). Drosha knockdown served as a positive control for the detection of 1) increased primary-let-7a, 2) decreased mature-let-7a, and 3) increased HGMA2 mRNA. This was observed (Figure 2, C–E). Unlike Drosha knockdown, reduction of SMN or colillin results in a decrease of primary-let-7a (Figure 2C), suggesting that the disruption of CBs in HeLa cells affects miRNA formation upstream of the cropping step performed by Drosha/DGCR8. Similar to Drosha knockdown, however, reduction of SMN or colillin decreases the levels of mature let-7a (Figure 2D). Hence disruption of CBs, accomplished by the reduction of SMN or colillin, is correlated with decreased levels of let-7a. We next examined the level of HMGA2. As expected, Drosha knockdown results in increased levels of HMGA2 mRNA (Figure 2E). Significant increases in the level of HMGA2 mRNA were also observed in cells with reduced SMN or colillin (Figure 2F). The reduction of SMN and colillin (CB proteins) therefore impacts a well-characterized miRNA (let-7a) and alters the level of the main target for this miRNA, HMGA2 mRNA. We note that the 5-d knockdown conditions utilized here in HeLa cells did not significantly decrease snRNP resources, as evidenced by no significant changes in the amount of endogenous β-actin pre-mRNA (Supplemental Figure S2). We also did not detect a uniform increase in the amount of 5 different pre-mRNAs encoding intronic miRNAs (Supplemental Figure S2). In fact, colillin reduction results in a decrease in amplicons for three of the five pre-mRNAs investigated. One exception is the miR-15b-16-2 amplicon, which shows that SMN KD slightly increases the amount of this pre-mRNA (Supplemental Figure S2). Collectively, therefore, this analysis shows that the CB KD conditions used here do not drastically reduce splicing resources such that the splicing of β-actin pre-mRNA and the pre-mRNA of five host genes encoding miRNAs is uniformly reduced. Very interestingly, the release of intron-encoded miRNAs by Drosha/DGCR8 does not require intron excision by splicing before cropping takes place (Kim and Kim, 2007). Thus it is possible that even if the conditions we use here reduce the splicing of introns containing miRNAs, the release of these miRNAs by Drosha/DGCR8 would not be affected. Disrupted splicing, however, would be expected to decrease the production of intron-containing mirtrons which are generated by a Drosha-independent pathway. To further support a role for the CB in miRNA biogenesis, we monitored the level of primary-let-7a and mature-let-7a after 72 h of SMN or colillin KD. It is not expected that splicing resources would be significantly

FIGURE 2: Knockdown of CB-enriched proteins disrupts miRNA biogenesis. JEG-3 (A, B) and HeLa (C–H) cells were transfected with siRNA for 120 h followed by RNA isolation and qRT-PCR to detect primary-miR-520 h (A), mature-miR-520 h (B), primary-let-7a (C, F), mature-let7a (D, G), and HMGA2 mRNA (E, H). The relative amount of a given amplicon in the control siRNA condition was set to 1. Data were derived from multiple biological (at least three) and technical (at least two) repeats, and error bars denote SD. ****p < 0.0001, ***p < 0.001, **p < 0.005.
reduced with three days of disrupted CBs. As shown in Supplemental Figure S2 (G and H), although primary-let-7a levels are not significantly altered, we do detect a decrease in the amount of mature-let-7a with 72 h colin KD. Our studies of miR-520 h and let-7a thus support the hypothesis that CBs regulate miRNA expression.

Although SMN and colin are well-characterized proteins that are enriched in the CB, other components present in CBs, TDP-43, and WRAP53, may also impact miRNA biogenesis. To test this, HeLa cells were treated for 5 d with control, TDP-43, or WRAP53 siRNA, followed by assessment of primary-let7a, mature-let-7a, and HMG2 mRNA levels. Typical knockdown efficiencies are shown in Supplemental Figures S3 and S4. To serve as a positive control, cells were also treated with siRNAs to SYNCRIP (Synaptogamin Binding Cytoplasmic RNA Interacting Protein), a participant in primary-miRNA processing (Chen et al., 2020). Although previous work observed that SYNCRIP reduction does not alter primary-let-7a levels (Chen et al., 2020), we have found that SYNCRIP knockdown increases primary-let7a (Figure 2F). One possible explanation for this discrepancy is that we conducted knockdowns for 5 d whereas the previous report reduced SYNCRIP for 2 d (Chen et al., 2020). However, in agreement with previous work, we observe that mature-let-7a levels are decreased on SYNCRIP knockdown (Figure 2G) and HMG2 mRNA is correspondingly increased (Figure 2H). Unlike SYNCRIP and Drosha, reduction of TDP-43 and WRAP53 results in a similarly altered profile for let-7a and HMG2 RNA as observed for SMN and colin knockdown. Specifically, knockdown of TDP-43 and WRAP53 decreases primary let-7a and mature let-7a levels and increases HMG2 mRNA levels (Figure 2, F–H). Importantly, however, the magnitude of these changes varies depending on which protein enriched in the CB is reduced. In summary, we have identified that components of the CB contribute to the biogenesis of two different miRNAs (miR-520 h and let-7a) encoded by two different miRNA gene clusters. It will be of interest to determine the points of activity along the miRNA biogenesis pathway for SMN, colin, TDP-43, and WRAP53 and assess how these components interact with and/or impact the function of the Drosha/DGCR8 complex and the SYNCRIP/Drosha/DGCR8 complex.

Altered processing of ectopic scaRNA9 on TDP-43 and SYNCRIP reduction
Three scaRNAs, scaRNA2, scaRNA9, and scaRNA17, have been shown to be processed (Tycowski et al., 2004), resulting in the generation of fragments that are approximately the same size as precursor-miRNA generated by Drosha/DGCR8. One of these scaRNAs, scaRNA9, generates two different fragments: mgU2-19 and mgU2-30 (Tycowski et al., 2004) (Figure 3A). The nomenclature of these fragments reflects their target site of ribose methylation. Namely, mgU2-19 serves as a methylation guide for nucleotide 19 in U2 snRNA and mgU2-30 guides the ribose methylation of U2 snRNA at nucleotide 30 (Tycowski et al., 2004). We have published that the processing of primary-scaRNA9 is reduced on SMN or Drosha knockdown (Logan et al., 2018), which suggests that components of the CB and the miRNA processing machinery together contribute toward the processing of specific primary-scaRNAs. To extend these studies, we evaluated the level of the mgU2-30 fragment generated from ectopically expressed scaRNA9 by Northern blotting in conditions with reduced levels of TDP-43, SYNCRIP, or WRAP53 (Figure 3B). Quantification of the data in Figure 3B, and other experiments, is shown in Figure 3C. For this quantification, the mg-U2 signal for a given condition was divided by the full-length scaRNA9 signal in that exact lane. This value is then normalized to that obtained with control siRNA. From this analysis, we have found that TDP-43 knockdown reduces the mgU2-30 fragment/full-length scaRNA9 ratio relative to that obtained with control siRNA by approximately 25%. Additionally, knockdown of SYNCRIP, which has not previously been shown to impact CB function, likewise results in a slight decrease in the relative amount of the mgU2-30 fragment derived from primary-scaRNA9. In contrast, WRAP53 knockdown does not result in a significant change in the amount of the mgU2-30 fragment derived from ectopically expressed primary-scaRNA9. These findings demonstrate that CB proteins differentially impact the processing of a specific primary-scaRNA, and SYNCRIP, which is part of the miRNA biogenesis machinery, contributes toward scaRNA fragment generation as does Drosha (Logan et al., 2018).

ScRNAs as noncanonical substrates for Drosha/DGCR8
Our previously published data (Logan et al., 2018), and that shown in Figure 3, support a role for Drosha and SYNCRIP in the processing of primary-scaRNA. To directly show that the Drosha/DGCR8 complex can process scaRNAs, we conducted in vitro processing assays using two different in vitro transcribed scaRNA9 transcripts. The wild-type (WT) scaRNA9 transcript contains the entire scaRNA9 353 nt sequence, plus an additional 129 nt downstream of the mgU2-30 domain. The scaRNA9 Δ3’ transcript does not contain this downstream sequence (Figure 4A). These two transcripts served as substrates in the Drosha in vitro processing assay, as previously described (Lee and Kim, 2007). Briefly, cells were cotransfected with myc-Drosha and FLAG-DGCR8 plasmids, followed by immunoprecipitation and complex capture with anti-FLAG beads. The beads were then incubated with transcripts followed by RNA isolation and processing was detected by Northern blotting using a probe that hybridizes to the mgU2-30 fragment of scaRNA9. If the Drosha/DGCR8 complex can process scaRNA9, we expect that a fragment corresponding in size to the mgU2-30 fragment (69 nt) would be detected after incubation with the Drosha/DGCR8 beads. This is what we have observed (Figure 4B). Incubation of the WT scaRNA9 substrate with water or FLAG beads from untransfected cells does not generate an appropriately sized fragment (lanes 2 and 3). However, WT scaRNA9 substrate incubated with FLAG-beads from Drosha/DGCR8 trans-fected-lysate generates a fragment approximately the same size as the mgU2-30 fragment (compare the 70 nt band in lanes 4 and 5 to that in lane 6). Lane 6 contains RNA isolated from cells transfected with scaRNA9 plasmid. Hence, in vitro processing of WT scaRNA9 transcript by Drosha/DGCR8 generates a fragment that is approximately the same size as the mgU2-30 fragment detected in cellular RNA. Since we are detecting these fragments by Northern blotting, the sequence of the fragment generated in vitro by Drosha/DGCR8 must be highly similar to the mgU2-30 fragment generated by cells. A fragment similar in size to mgU2-30 was not detected when reactions were conducted using Drosha/DGCR8 FLAG beads with the scaRNA9 Δ3’ substrate (lane 7). Thus sequences downstream of the end of scaRNA9 contain important cis element determinants for Drosha/DGCR8-mediated processing of scaRNA9. Previous reports have also emphasized the requirement for upstream and downstream cis elements in Drosha/DGCR8 processing (Lee and Kim, 2007), and we note that the scaRNA9 Δ3’ substrate lacks the CNNC element present in WT primary-scaRNA9. As an additional control, we set up processing reactions with Drosha/DGCR8 FLAG beads using the WT scaRNA9 transcript as described above, but with increasing salt concentration. Salt addition decreased the amount of processing, demonstrating that the mgU2-30 fragment is enzymatically generated by Drosha/DGCR8 (Figure 4C, compare the amount of 70 nt fragment present in lanes 4 and 5 to that in lane 2. Lane 6 contains RNA from cells transfected with scaRNA9 DNA).
We hypothesize that regulated processing of primary-scaRNA is a mechanism to control snRNA modifications and snRNP activity. Drosha/DGCR8 processing of certain scaRNAs (i.e., scaRNA2, scaRNA9 and scaRNA17) will control how much primary-scaRNA goes toward scaRNP formation (needed for snRNA modification) versus fragment generation. Previous reports have shown that scaRNA 2, 9, and 17 fragments are enriched in the nucleolus as well as the nucleoplasm (CB) (Tycowski et al., 2004), and we have suggested that these fragments form regulatory RNPs that influence snoRNP activity (Poole et al., 2017; Burke et al., 2018, 2019). Drosha/DGCR8 processing of specific scaRNAs, therefore, could also impact RNA modification. In regard to the contribution of CBs to miRNA biogenesis, recent work has implicated miRNA dysregulation as a contributor to spinal muscular atrophy (SMA), the leading genetic cause of infant mortality (Goncalves et al., 2018). Most cases of SMA are caused by insufficient levels of SMN, the survival of motor neuron protein (Lefebvre et al., 1995), and reduced amounts of Drosha are observed in SMA (Goncalves et al., 2018). The involvement of CBs in the formation of miRNAs encoded in gene clusters more clearly links SMN with the miRNA processing machinery. The elucidation of these pathways will thus provide insight into fundamental cellular functions and clarify the SMA disease state. A model of the interconnections between primary-miRNA and primary-scaRNA processing is shown (Figure 5). In cell types that lack CBs, we posit that the nucleoplasmic pool of SMN, colin, and other proteins enriched in the CB still participate in a miRNA biogenesis pathway, but the impact of these proteins on the efficiency of this process will likely be reduced. To test this hypothesis, we conducted experiments in the WI-38 primary cell line, which does not have a high percentage of cells with CBs. We have found that colin reduction in WI-38 cells for 5 d does not significantly decrease the levels of primary-let7a or mature-let7a as observed in HeLa cells (Supplemental Figure S5). However, as observed in the HeLa line, Drosha reduction for 5 d in the WI-38 line greatly increases primary-let7a and correspondingly decreases mature-let7a. These findings suggest that altered CB function is responsible for the dysregulation of miRNA biogenesis we have observed.

Interestingly, in plants a subnuclear structure known as the Dicing body contains the miRNA processing machinery (Fang and Spector, 2007). However, some components of the RNAi pathway are present in plant CBs, such as argonaute 4 (Li et al., 2006; Pontes and Pikaard, 2008). Additionally, colin negative CBs have been identified in plants. These structures contain some components normally found in CBs, such as SmB and SmD3, and the plant miRNA processing components DCL1, HYL1, and SE (Pontes and Pikaard, 2008). These findings demonstrate the variety of methods employed to efficiently generate miRNAs in plants, and our findings suggest that connections between CBs and miRNA processing components also exist in animals.

To unambiguously demonstrate that Drosha/DGCR8 can generate the scaRNA9 mgU2-30 fragment in vitro, we conducted a Drosha/DGCR8 processing reaction using the WT scaRNA9 substrate followed by gel electrophoresis, band excision of the 70 nt region, RNA isolation, and RNA sequencing. As shown in Figure 4D, numerous reads spanning scaRNA9 were obtained, but many reads demarcate the mgU2-30 region. In fact, RNA sequencing shows that the 5′ and 3′ ends of the mgU2-30 fragment generated by in vitro Drosha/DGCR8 processing closely align with that described previously (Figure 4D, bottom panel, arrows) (Tycowski et al., 2004). These findings prove that Drosha/DGCR8 can process scaRNA9, and recapitulate in vitro that observed in cells. Moreover, we have also found by in vitro processing assays that Drosha/DGCR8 can generate the mgU2-19 fragment of scaRNA9 (Figure 4E). Thus Drosha/DGCR8 can, in vitro, generate the mgU2-30 and mgU2-19 fragments from primary-scaRNA9.

**Functional interaction between CBs and the miRNA processing machinery**

The data presented in this report describe, for the first time, that components of the miRNA processing machinery can utilize scaRNA as a substrate, and CBs (or CB proteins) impact miRNA biogenesis. We hypothesize that regulated processing of primary-scaRNA is a

---

**FIGURE 3: Altered processing of ectopic scaRNA9 on TDP-43 or SYNCRIP knockdown.**

(A) Schematic of full-length scaRNA9 annotating the mgU2-19 and mgU2-30 domains and other sequence elements (Enwerem et al., 2015; Poole et al., 2016, 2017). (B) Detection of the mgU2-30 fragment and full-length (FL) scaRNA9 by Northern blotting. RNA was isolated from cells transfected with siRNA then subsequently transfected with plasmid encoding scaRNA9. The bottom panel is a transformed section of the top panel containing the mgU2-30 signal. (C) Histogram generated from the quantification of the data shown in B and other experiments. For each condition, the mgU2-30 signal was divided by the FL scaRNA9 signal in that lane and normalized to that obtained with control siRNA. N = 3 biological repeats, *p < 0.05, error bars represent SD.
FIGURE 4: In vitro processing of primary-scaRNA9 by Drosha/DGCR8. (A) WT and Δ3’ scaRNA in vitro transcribed substrates. (B) Northern blot of RNA isolated from the in vitro Drosha/DGCR8 processing assays. FL scaRNA9 and the mgU2-30 fragment were detected with a probe that hybridizes to the mgU2-30 region. Substrate was incubated with FLAG beads from Drosha/FLAG-DGCR8 transfected lysate (Trans, lanes 4, 5, and 7). Control reactions include substrate (lane 1), substrate incubated with water alone (lane 2), substrate incubated with FLAG beads from nontransfected cell lysate (lane 3), and a positive control of ectopically expressed scaRNA9 RNA (lane 6). (C) Processing assay with Drosha/DGCR8 FLAG beads using WT scaRNA9 substrate and increasing amounts of salt (lanes 3–5). Ectopically expressed scaRNA9 RNA is shown in lane 6. (D) RNA sequencing reads of in vitro Drosha processed scaRNA9. Arrows indicate the 5’ and 3’ ends of mgU2-30 as described previously (Tycowski et al., 2004). (E) Northern blot of RNA generated from in vitro Drosha processing assay and probed with a scaRNA9 mgU2-19 probe. A band around 79 nts appears in lanes 4 and 5, consistent with the mgU2-19 fragment. This gel was organized as described in B.

FIGURE 5: Model of the interconnections between CBs and the miRNA processing machinery. Although miRNAs were not identified in the coilin interactome (Machyna et al., 2014), we hypothesize that proteins enriched in the CB, such as SMN and coilin, transiently participate in early steps of miRNA and scaRNA biogenesis while Drosha/DGCR8 perform cropping reactions on both primary-miRNAs and certain primary-scaRNAs. We further hypothesize that the activity of Drosha/DGCR8 on primary-scaRNA will indirectly influence snRNP activity by regulating scaRNP levels.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell lines, plasmids, transfections, and treatments

HeLa, JEG-3, and WI-38 cell lines were obtained from American Type Culture Collection. Cells were cultured as previously described (Enwerem et al., 2014). All siRNAs were obtained from Integrated DNA Technologies (Corvalle, IA) and utilized with RNAiMax (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) per the manufacturer’s protocol. TDP-43: forward, 5'-UCAAUAUUGAGCAAGGCAAGGAAAG-3; reverse, 5'-UCAAUAUUGAGCAAGGAAAGGAAAG-3; SYNCRIP, forward: 5'-GCUCUCUUCUAGGGAAACCC-3; reverse, 5'-UUGGUGUCCUCUAGGGAAAGGCCCC-3. Negative control, SMN, Drosha, colin, and WRAP53 siRNAs were previously described (Poole et al., 2016; Logan et al., 2018). For 120-h transfections, cells were transfected at day 0, transfected again at day 3, and then harvested at day 5, resulting in 120 h of transfection. For siRNA/ DNA transfection combination, HeLa cells were treated with negative control, TDP-43, SYNCRIP, or WRAP53 siRNA for 48 h and then transfected with scaRNA9 pcDNA3.1+ (as previously described in Poole et al., 2018) for 24 h resulting in 72 h knockdown and 24 h scaRNA9 expression.

Northern blotting

Total RNA was isolated using TRI-REAGENT (Molecular Research Center, Cincinnati, OH) according to the manufacturer’s suggested protocol. Reactions were set up with 50 ng total RNA in Brilliant II SYBR Green qRT-PCR master mix (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA) using an Agilent MX3000P qRT-PCR system. Amplification rates, Ct values, and dissociation curve analyses of products were determined using MxPro (version 4.01.1 software). Relative expression was determined using the 2-ΔΔCt method (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001). Microsoft Excel was used for post-hoc statistical analysis using the Student’s t test with p < 0.05 considered significant. Histograms were prepared using GraphPad Prism. Oligonucleotides used were obtained from Integrated DNA Technologies (Corvalle, Iowa) and are as follows: GAPDH, previously described (Burke et al., 2009); C19MC primary (exons) transcript, forward 5'-ACTGTGGT- GTCCCTGTGCTGAAGCTCAAGTGAC-3, reverse 5'-CCAGAG- AGAATGGCGGTAGTCTCATGAGAA-3 (Bortolini-Cavaille et al., 2009); primary-miR-520 h, forward 5'-CCAGAGTGCTGGAGGCAAG- AAG-3, reverse 5'-CAGCATAACATCTCACTGC-3.

Primary let-7, forward 5'-GATTCCCTTTCACCATTTCCGGT- GATGT-3, reverse 5'-TTTCTATCAGACCGCCTGATGGAGA- CTTT-3 (Heo et al., 2008); HMGA2, forward 5'-CACCAGAGTCTCTTCCCCTGAGACG-3 (Lee and Dutta, 2007), reverse 5'-CTCTTCTGGGAGCTCTTTG- GAGGA-3 (Agostini et al., 2008). To assess if splicing is disrupted in HeLa cells after 5 d of CB component knockdown, isolated RNA was subjected to qRT-PCR to detect β-actin unspliced and spliced RNA. The primers used are as follows:

β-actin, exonic forward 5'-GGGGGCGTCTTCCCCTCCATGTGTG3, spliced reverse 5'-GATTTCTCAGAGGTTGAGTCTTCTCTTTG-3, intronic reverse 5'-CACCAGAGTCTCTCACTTG-3.

Quantitative real-time PCR

Photoshop Elements 7 were used in the preparation of images, as previously described (Poole et al., 2016).

Spliced β-actin mRNA was detected using exonic forward and exonic reverse primers. Unspliced β-actin pre-mRNA was detected using exonic forward and intronic reverse primers. We also examined the splicing of five different messages that encode intronic miRNAs by qRT-PCR. Primers described previously were used (Kim and Kim, 2007). These primers bind exonic and intronic regions such that the encoded miRNA is flanked by the primers. The following amplicons were analyzed: MCM7 (miR-106b region), CTDSP1 (miR-26b region), PANK1 (miR-107 region), EGF7 (miR-126 region), and SMC4 (miR-15-16-2 region).

Northern blotting

Total RNA was isolated using TRI-REAGENT (Molecular Research Center, Cincinnati, OH). For total RNA, typically 10–15 μg was run on a 6% denaturing polyacrylamide gel (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) in 1× Tris-Borate-EDTA (TBE) at 200V. The gel was then washed in 1× TBE and then transferred onto a positively charged nylon membrane.
RNA sequencing

An in vitro Drosha/DGCR8 processing assay using scaRNA9 substrate was conducted as described above. RNA isolated from the reaction was run on a denaturing polyacrylamide and a region of the gel corresponding to 70 nts (the size of mgU2-30 and mgU2-19) was excised and the RNA in this gel fragment was purified with the ZR small-RNA Page Recovery Kit (Zymo Research, Irvine, CA). The isolated RNA was then provided to the University of Mississippi Medical Center (UMMC) Molecular and Genomics Core Facility (www.umc.edu/genomicscore). Samples underwent an initial quality control step to determine RNA concentration (Qubit Fluorimeter) and integrity (QiAxDx Advanced System). Subsequently, samples (along with control samples) were used to develop a small RNA library using Illumina TruSeq Small RNA Sample Preparation Kit (Illumina, San Diego, CA) with modification to excise appropriate band in the 160–200 bp range (as opposed to miRNA in the 140–160 bp range). The library was sequenced using a Seq Reagent Kit on Illumina iSeq platform. The sequencing reads (1,590,886) were uploaded, evaluated for quality, and small RNA adaptor trimmed using Illumina BaseSpace Cloud Computing platform. The trimmed FASTQ sequence was aligned to the human reference genome (hg19 [RefSeq]) using RNA-Seq Alignment Application. The aligned reads (BAM files) were visualized using Integrative Genomics Viewer.
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