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Abstract. In this paper quantitative weighted matrix estimates for vector valued extensions of $L^p$-Hörmander operators and rough singular integrals are studied. Strong type $(p, p)$ estimates, endpoint estimates, and some new results on Coifman-Fefferman estimates assuming $A_\infty$ and $C_p$ condition counterparts are provided. To prove the aforementioned estimates we rely upon some suitable convex body domination results that we settle as well in this paper.

1. Introduction

We recall that given $p > 1$, a non negative locally integrable function $w$ is an $A_p$ weight if

$$[w]_{A_p} = \sup_Q \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w^{-1} \right)^{p-1} < \infty$$

and an $A_1$ weight if

$$[w]_{A_1} = \sup_Q \inf_{y \in Q} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w(x)w^{-1}(y)dx < \infty.$$ 

These classes were introduced by Muckenhoupt to characterize the weighted $L^p(w)$ boundedness of the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function. Soon after Muckenhoupt’s seminal work, a number of authors such as Muckenhoupt himself, Wheeden, Hunt, Coifman, Fefferman, among others devoted some works to study the relationship between singular integrals and these classes of weights.

The theory of weights has been a fruitful area of research since then, with the study of a number of operators and settings too wide for us to be able to sum it up in a few lines. For a long time the results in the literature of the area were qualitative, in the sense that the dependence of the inequalities on the weight or weights involved was not quantified in any sense. However, in the last decade it became a trending topic in the area the study of the so called quantitative estimates, namely estimates in which the dependence constants $[w]_{A_p}$ and $[w]_{A_1}$ was made explicit and in which the best dependence in some sense was pursued. One of the fundamental problems in this field that has motivated a large amount of research, was solved by Hytönen in [14], in which the so called $A_2$ conjecture was settled. That conjecture, now theorem, says that for every Calderón-Zygmund operator $T$,

$$\|Tf\|_{L^2(w)} \leq c_{d,T}[w]_{A_2} \|f\|_{L^2(w)}.$$ 

The efforts to understand better this question led to the development of the sparse domination theory, that started with the seminal work of Lerner [23], which has proved to be a powerful tool to study quantitative estimates.

Also the study of quantitative estimates lead to try to acheive a further understanding of those questions deriving in estimates in terms of the $A_p$ constants and the $A_\infty$ constant. We recall that the $w \in A_\infty = \bigcup_{p \geq 1} A_p$ if and only

$$[w]_{A_\infty} = \sup_Q \frac{1}{w(Q)} \int_Q M(\chi_Q w) < \infty.$$ 

This constant was introduced by Fujii [12] and rediscovered by [44] and was shown to be an interesting object of study for quantitative estimates for first in [15], due to the fact that as it was shown in that work, if $w \in A_p$ then $[w]_{A_\infty} \leq c_d [w]_{A_\infty}$. Since that work also a number of papers have been
devoted to study as well properties of spaces of functions and boundedness of operators in terms of $[w]_A$. Vector valued extensions are one of the possible generalizations of the classical scalar theory. That field of research has received the attention of a number of authors during the last years. See for instance [10] for a very recent extension of the theory to the biparametric setting.

Let $W : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be a matrix weight, namely a matrix function such that $W(x)$ is self-adjoint and positive definite a.e.

Given $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^n$ and $1 < p < \infty$, we define

$$
\| \bar{f} \|_{L^p(W)} = \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| W^{\frac{1}{p}}(x)f(x) \right|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.
$$

Let $1 < p < \infty$. We say that a matrix weight $W$ is an $A_p$ weight if

$$
[W]_{A_p} = \sup_Q \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \left| W^{\frac{1}{p}}(x)W^{-\frac{1}{p}}(y) \right|^{p'} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} dx < \infty
$$

and if $p = 1$ that $W \in A_1$ if

$$
[W]_{A_1} = \sup_Q \operatorname{ess inf}_{y \in Q} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |W(x)W^{-1}(y)|_{op} dx.
$$

Above and throughout the remainder of the paper $|A|_{op}$ stands for the norm as an operator of the matrix $A$, namely

$$
|A|_{op} = \sup_{e \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} \frac{|Ae|}{|e|}.
$$

Treil and Volberg [42] were the first in studying these weights and their connection with singular integrals. Later on Goldberg [13] further explored that connection and provided results for certain maximal functions, and also Nazarov and Treil [37] and Volberg [43] further studied the boundedness of Calderón-Zygmund operators. At this point we would like to note that the definition of the $A_p$ class that we have just presented here seems to have appeared for first in [39] and is equivalent to the definitions in the aforementioned works. The definition of the matrix $A_1$ condition is due to Roudenko and Frazier [11].

Contrary to what happens in the scalar case, it is not known whether for $p = 2$ the dependence on the matrix $A_2$ constant of Calderón-Zygmund operators is linear or not. The current record is due to Nazarov, Petermichl, Treil and Volberg [36], who showed that

$$
\| T\bar{f} \|_{L^2(W)} \leq c_{n,T}[W]_{A_2}^{\frac{2}{n}} \| \bar{f} \|_{L^2(W)}.
$$

That estimate was generalized for $p \neq 2$ in [6]. The aforementioned results rely upon a suitable adaption of the sparse domination, the so called convex body domination. In the case of the maximal function, the dependence of the scalar case was retrieved in [19], and the only case of singular operator up until now in which the sharp dependence has been settled is the square function [17].

In the case of estimates in terms of the $A_1$ constant, the best dependences have been retrieved for several operators in [21].

2. Main results

In this paper our purpose is to provide strong type and and endpoint weak type quantitative estimates for vector valued extensions of rough singular integrals and $L^{r'}$-Hörmander operators. Some results had already been obtained for maximal rough singular integrals in [9]. In the case of $L^{r'}$-Hörmander operators we are not aware of any result in this direction.

We will also provide Coifman-Fefferman estimates going beyond the $A_\infty$ condition and providing a counterpart of the $C_p$ condition in this setting.

A key ingredient in our proofs is convex body domination for all the aforementioned operators. We will as well provide convex body domination results for both $L^{r'}$-Hörmander operators and rough singular integrals, relying upon ideas of [36, 9, 24, 30].
To present our results we need a few more definitions.

We say that $T$ is an $L^r$-Hörmander singular operator if $T$ is bounded on $L^2$ and it admits the following representation

$$Tf(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(x, y)f(y)dy$$

provided that $f \in C_c^\infty$ and $x \notin \text{supp}\ f$ where $K : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{(x, x) : x \in \mathbb{R}^d\} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a locally integrable kernel satisfying the $L^r$-Hörmander condition, namely

$$H_{r,1} = \sup_Q \sup_{x,z \in Q} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (2^k l(Q))^d \left\| (K(x, \cdot) - K(z, \cdot)) \chi_{2^k Q \setminus 2^{k-1} Q} \right\|_{L^r(2^k Q)} < \infty.$$

$$H_{r,2} = \sup_Q \sup_{x,z \in Q} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (2^k l(Q))^d \left\| (K(\cdot, x) - K(\cdot, z)) \chi_{2^k Q \setminus 2^{k-1} Q} \right\|_{L^r(2^k Q)} < \infty.$$

Given $\Omega \in L^\infty(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$ with $\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \Omega = 0$, we define the rough singular integral $T_\Omega$ as

$$T_\Omega(f) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{|x-y| > \varepsilon} \frac{\Omega(x-y)}{|x-y|^d} f(y)dy.$$

Let $p \geq 1$. We say that a weight $W \in A_{\infty, p}^{sc}$ if

$$[W]_{A_{\infty, p}^{sc}} = \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \left\| \frac{\Omega(x-y)}{|x-y|^d} f(y)dy \right\|_{L^p(\Omega)} < \infty.$$

We recall that given a linear operator $T$ and an orthonormal basis $e_j$ of $\mathbb{R}^n$ we define the vector valued extension of $T$ by

$$T(\vec{g})(x) = \sum_j T(\langle \vec{g}, e_j \rangle)(x)e_j.$$

It is worth noting that this expression is independent of the basis chosen.

In the following subsections we gather the statements of our main results and some further comments.

2.1. $A_p$ estimates. The results in this subsection provide counterparts for rough singular integrals and $L^r$-Hörmander of the estimates obtained in [36] and [6] for Calderón-Zygmund operators. Since we push forward techniques in those papers also, as one may expect, the estimates obtained do not match with the ones obtained in the scalar case.

**Theorem 1.** Let $\Omega \in L^\infty(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$ with $\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \Omega = 0$ and $1 < p < \infty$. Then if $W \in A_p$ we have that

$$\|T_\Omega \vec{f}\|_{L^p(W)} \lesssim \|\Omega\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})} [W]_{A_{\infty, p}^{sc}} \min \left\{ [W]_{A_{\infty, p}^{sc}}, [W^{-\frac{d}{p'}}]_{A_{\infty, p}} \right\} \|\vec{f}\|_{L^p(W)}.$$

Note that this estimate was sketched for the case $p = 2$ in [9, Remark 6.6]. Here we provide a full proof and extend the result to every $p > 1$.

**Theorem 2.** Let $1 < r < p < \infty$ and let $T$ be a $L^r$-Hörmander operator. Then if $W \in A_{p/r}$ we have that

$$\|T\vec{f}\|_{L^p(W)} \lesssim [W]_{A_{p/r}^{sc}} \min \left\{ [W]_{A_{\infty, p/r}^{sc}}, [W^{-\frac{d}{p'}}]_{A_{\infty, p/r}} \right\} \|\vec{f}\|_{L^p(W)}.$$

2.2. $A_1$ and $A_2$ estimates. Our results here are the counterparts for rough singular integrals and $L^r$-Hörmander operators of the results obtained in [21]. In these cases we recover as well the optimal estimates known in the scalar case (see [32]). We remit the interested reader to [21], to read about further references about the motivation to study this kind of estimates.

**Theorem 3.** Let $W \in A_1$. We have that
• If \( \Omega \in L^\infty(S^{d-1}) \) with \( \int_{S^{d-1}} \Omega = 0 \) and \( 1 < p < \infty \) then

\[
\| T \Omega f \|_{L^p(W)} \leq c_{n,p,d} \| \Omega \|_{L^\infty(S^{d-1})} [W]^{\frac{1}{p}}_{A^1} [W]^{\frac{1}{p}}_{A^\infty,1} \| \tilde{f} \|_{L^p(W)}
\]

• If \( T \) is a \( L^r' \)-Hörmander operator and \( p > r \), then

\[
\| T \tilde{f} \|_{L^p(W)} \leq c_{n,T,p,d} \left( \frac{p}{r} \right)^\gamma [W]^{\frac{1}{p}}_{A^q} [W]^{\frac{1}{p}}_{A^\infty,q} \| \tilde{f} \|_{L^p(W)}
\]

\textbf{Theorem 4.} Let \( 1 < q < p \) and \( W \in A_q \). We have that

• If \( \Omega \in L^\infty(S^{d-1}) \) with \( \int_{S^{d-1}} \Omega = 0 \) then

\[
\| T \Omega f \|_{L^p(W)} \leq c_{n,p,d} \| \Omega \|_{L^\infty(S^{d-1})} [W]^{\frac{1}{p}}_{A^q} [W]^{\frac{1}{p}}_{A^\infty,q} \| \tilde{f} \|_{L^p(W)}
\]

• If \( T \) is a \( L^r' \)-Hörmander operator and \( \frac{p}{r} > q \), then

\[
\| T \tilde{f} \|_{L^p(W)} \leq c_{n,T,p,d} \left( \frac{p}{rq} \right)^\gamma [W]^{\frac{1}{q}}_{A^q} [W]^{\frac{1}{q}}_{A^\infty,q} \| \tilde{f} \|_{L^p(W)}
\]

\textbf{2.3. Coifman-Fefferman estimates.} We recall that the classical Coifman-Fefferman inequality asserts that if \( T \) is a Calderón-Zygmund operator, \( 0 < p < \infty \) and \( w \in A_\infty \)

\[
\| T f \|_{L^p(w)} \lesssim c_w \| M f \|_{L^p(w)}.
\]

Note that a quantitative version with \( c_w = [w]_{A_\infty} \) was obtained in [38]. In the case of rough singular integrals the corresponding quantitative counterpart was settled in [32] and for \( L^r' \)-Hörmander operators, for instance in [18]. Our vector valued counterpart is the following result.

\textbf{Theorem 5.} Let \( p > 1 \). Then

1. If \( W \in A^\infty_{\infty,p} \) and \( T \) is a Calderón-Zygmund operator

\[
\| W^\frac{1}{p} T(W^{-\frac{1}{p}} \tilde{f}) \|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim [W]^{\frac{1}{p}}_{A^\infty,p} \left\| \sup_Q \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |W_{p,q} W^{-\frac{1}{p}} \tilde{f}| \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}.
\]

2. If \( W \in A^\infty_{\infty,p} \) and \( \Omega \in L^\infty(S^{d-1}) \) with \( \int_{S^{d-1}} \Omega = 0 \) then

\[
\| W^\frac{1}{p} T \Omega (W^{-\frac{1}{p}} \tilde{f}) \|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim [W]^{\frac{1}{p}}_{A^\infty,p} \left\| \sup_Q \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |W_{p,q} W^{-\frac{1}{p}} \tilde{f}| \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}.
\]

3. If \( W \in A^\infty_{\infty,p} \) and \( T \) is a \( L^r' \)-Hörmander operator and \( p > r \) then

\[
\| W^\frac{1}{p} T(W^{-\frac{1}{p}} \tilde{f}) \|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim [W]^{\frac{1}{p}}_{A^\infty,p} \left\| \sup_Q \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |W_{p,q} W^{-\frac{1}{p}} \tilde{f}|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}.
\]

\textbf{Remark 1.} At this point we would like to note that even though the dependence may look better than in the scalar case, the maximal operator in the right hand side is a weighted maximal operator, in contrast with the situation in the classical setting. Hence, in some sense, the “missing” piece of constant is in disguise “inside” the maximal operator. In any case, in this setting, due to the non-linearity of the maximal function, that leads to study weighted versions of it, those inequalities seem a suitable candidate.

\textbf{Remark 2.} The estimate in the case of \( L^r' \)-Hörmander operators in terms of an \( L^r \) maximal function seems the best one may expect in view of the fact that this is the same that happens with scalar \( L^r' \)-Hörmander operators. We remit the reader to [33] for more details.
In the scalar case, Muckenhoupt [34] showed that $A_\infty$ is not necessary for the Coifman-Fefferman estimate to hold. He showed that if $p > 1$ and (2.5) holds for the Hilbert transform and a certain weight $w$ then there exists $c, \delta > 0$ such that for every cube $Q$ and every measurable subset $E \subset Q$ we have that

$$w(E) \leq c \left( \frac{|E|}{|Q|} \right)^\delta \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} M(\chi_Q)^p|w|.$$

In the 80s Sawyer [40] extended that result to higher dimensions and also showed that for $p > 1$ the $C_{p+\epsilon}$ was sufficient for (2.5) to hold. It is still unknown whether $C_p$ is sufficient for (2.5) to hold.

In the last years several advances have been made, for instance the extension in [5] to the full range $0 < p < \infty$ and other operators relying upon [45, 22] and sparse domination techniques, the characterization of the good weights for the weak type counterpart of (2.5) in [25], and the quantitative results introduced in [3] and further explored in [4].

In [3, Theorem 2.5] the following reverse Hölder type inequality was settled for $C_p$ weights. There exists $r > 1$ such that

$$\left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w^r \right)^\frac{1}{r} \leq \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} M(\chi_Q)^p|w|.$$

In the matrix setting the right hand side of that expression seems difficult to “reproduce”. We recall that the matrix $A_\infty$ conditions are introduced via scalar $A_\infty$ and frequently arise in reverse Hölder inequalities. Taking that into account a definition in terms of a certain reverse Hölder inequality seems reasonable. Those ideas motivate the following definition. Given $1 \leq p < q$ we say that $W \in C_{p,q}$ if there exists $\gamma > 1$ such that

$$\langle |W|^{-\frac{1}{q}}W^\frac{1}{q}|\gamma\rangle_Q \leq \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} M(\chi_Q)^q.$$

We remit the reader to Section 4 for the precise definition of $W_{p,q}$.

**Theorem 6.** Given $1 < p < q$

1. If $W \in C_{p,q}$ and $T$ is a Calderón-Zygmund operator

$$\|W^\frac{1}{p}T(W^{-\frac{1}{p}}\vec{f})\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim \sup_Q \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |W_{p,q}W^{-\frac{1}{p}}\vec{f}|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

2. If $W \in C_{p,q}$ and $\Omega \in L^\infty(S^{d-1})$ with $\int_{S^{d-1}} \Omega = 0$ then

$$\|W^\frac{1}{p}T\Omega(W^{-\frac{1}{p}}\vec{f})\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim \sup_Q \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |W_{p,q}W^{-\frac{1}{p}}\vec{f}|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

3. If $r > 1$ and $W \in C_{p,q}$ and $T$ is a $L^{r'}$-Hörmander operator and $p > r$ then

$$\|W^\frac{1}{p}T(W^{-\frac{1}{p}}\vec{f})\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim \sup_Q \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |W_{p,q}W^{-\frac{1}{p}}\vec{f}|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}.$$

2.4. **Endpoint estimates.** The study of endpoint estimates for vector valued extensions was initiated in [7]. It is not clear how to make sense of a matrix weight in the role of a density. Note that to study strong type weighted inequalities such as

$$\|T(\vec{f})\|_{L^p(W)} \lesssim c_W \|\vec{f}\|_{L^p(W)}$$

we usually rewrite the problem as

$$\|W^\frac{1}{p}T(W^{-\frac{1}{p}}\vec{f})\|_{L^p} \lesssim c_W \|\vec{f}\|_{L^p}.$$
of endpoint estimates it seems reasonable to study unweighted estimates of “weighted” operators, namely, to study

\[ \|W^{\frac{1}{r}} T(\frac{1}{r} \tilde{f})\|_{L^{1,\infty}} \lesssim c_W \|f\|_{L^1}. \]

Quantitative estimates in this direction still seem to be far from the optimal estimates known in the scalar case. The current record for Calderón-Zygmund operators in terms of the \( A_1 \) constant is \( |W|_{A_1}^2 \) (see [7]) while in the scalar setting the sharp bound has already been achieved and is \([w]_{A_1} \log(e + [w]_{A_1})\) (see [28, 29, 27]).

Before presenting our results for rough singular integrals and \( L^{r'} \)-Hörmander operators, we would like to note that in the scalar setting this kind of estimates are the so called mixed weak type inequalities. First results in this direction are due to Muckenhoupt and Wheeden [35] and Sawyer [41] and a number of contributions have been made in the last years. We remit, for instance, to [1] and to [31] and the references therein for some of those contributions.

Now we present our results.

**Theorem 7.** If \( \Omega \in L^\infty(S^{d-1}) \) with \( \int_{S^{d-1}} \Omega = 0 \), then

\[ \|W(x)T(\frac{1}{r} f)(x)\|_{L^{1,\infty}} \lesssim \|\Omega\|_{L^\infty(S^{d-1})} |W|_{A_1} [W]_{A_{1,1}^\infty} \max \left\{ \log([W]_{A_1} + e), [W]_{A_{1,1}^\infty} \right\} \|f\|_{L^1}. \]

**Theorem 8.** Let \( W \in A_1 \) and let \( T \) be a \( L^{r'} \)-Hörmander operator. Then

\[ \|W^{\frac{1}{r}}(x)T(\frac{1}{r} \tilde{f})(x)\|_{L^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim [W]_{A_1}^{\frac{1}{r}} [W]_{A_{1,1}^\infty} \|\tilde{f}\|_{L^{r'}}. \]

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. Section 3 is devoted to the presentation of convex body domination results for rough singular integrals and \( L^{r'} \)-Hörmander operators. In Section 4 we provide some further definitions and lemmata. The remainder of the sections are devoted to settle the main results.

### 3. Convex Body Domination Results

We recall that a family of cubes \( S \) is \( \eta \)-sparse for some \( \eta \in (0,1) \) if for each \( Q \in S \) there exists \( E_Q \subset Q \) such that the sets \( E_Q \) are pairwise disjoint and \( \eta |Q| \leq |E_Q| \). As it was shown in [26] a family \( S \) is \( \eta \)-sparse if and only if \( S \) is \( \frac{1}{\eta} \)-Carleson that is, if for each \( Q \in S \)

\[ \sum_{P \subseteq Q, P \in S} |P| \leq \frac{1}{\eta} |Q|. \]

Convex body domination was introduced by Nazarov, Petermichl, Treil and Volberg who settled in [36] a “pointwise” domination result for Calderón-Zygmund operators (see [8] for a “bilinear” version of that result). Those techniques where also explored for commutators in [7, 21, 20] and the idea of relying upon convex bodies to control maximal rough singular integrals was exploited by Di Plinio, Hytönen and Li [9]. We shall begin borrowing some definitions from the latter.

Let \( 1 \leq p < \infty \). For every \( |\tilde{f}| \in L^p_{\text{Loc}}(\mathbb{R}^d) \) and each cube \( Q \) in \( \mathbb{R}^d \), we define

\[ \langle \langle \tilde{f} \rangle \rangle_{p,Q} = \left\{ \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \tilde{f} \varphi \, dx : \varphi : Q \to \mathbb{R}, \varphi \in B_{L^{p'}(Q)} \right\}. \]

where

\[ B_{L^{p'}(Q)} = \left\{ \phi \in L^{p'}(Q) : \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |\phi|^{p'} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \leq 1 \right\}. \]

Note that each set \( \langle \langle \tilde{f} \rangle \rangle_{p,Q} \) is a compact, convex and symmetric set.

**Theorem 9.** Let \( \Omega \in L^\infty(S^{d-1}) \) with \( \int_{S^{d-1}} \Omega = 0 \) and \( r > 1 \). Then we have that for each \( \tilde{f} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \) with compact support and each \( g \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^d) \) there exists a sparse family \( S \) such that

\[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle T_\Omega \tilde{f}, g \rangle \leq c_{n,d} \|\Omega\|_{L^\infty(S^{d-1})} r' \sum_{Q \in S} \langle \langle \tilde{f} \rangle \rangle_{1,Q} \langle \langle g \rangle \rangle_{r,Q} |Q|. \]
Let $r > 1$ and let $T$ be a $L'$-Hörmander operator. Then we have that for each $|f| \in L'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with compact support and each $|g| \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ there exists a sparse family $S$ such that
\begin{equation}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| \langle T \vec{f}, \vec{g} \rangle \right| \leq c_{n,d,T} \sum_{Q \in S} \langle (\langle f \rangle)_{r,Q} \rangle \langle (\langle g \rangle)_{1,Q} \rangle |Q|
\end{equation}

Given two convex, compact, symmetric sets $A, B$, the product $AB = \{ (a,b) : a \in A, b \in B \}$ is a closed bounded interval. We shall interpret $AB$ as it right endpoint. That will be the case for the products in (3.2) and (3.3).

**Remark 3.** With the available techniques it would be possible to improve (3.3) to a “pointwise” domination result in the spirit of [36]. However since it is not clear that the dependences in our applications derived from the bilinear result can be substantially improved having that result at our disposal we decided to provide just the bilinear domination result for the sake of brevity.

### 3.1. Proofs of the sparse domination results.

#### 3.1.1. A convex body domination principle.

This section is devoted to settle the convex body domination principle that we will rely upon in order to settle the results in the preceding section. We shall borrow some ideas and notation from [24]. Given a sublinear operator $T$ we define the bi-sublinear operator $\mathcal{M}_T$ as
\[ \mathcal{M}_T(f,g)(x) = \sup_{Q \ni x} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |T(f \chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus 3Q})| |g|. \]
We would also like to recall the John ellipsoid property. If $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a symmetric, closed, convex set, then there exists an ellipsoid $\mathcal{E}_K$, such that
\[ \mathcal{E}_K \subset K \subset \sqrt{n} \mathcal{E}_K \]
where $c_A = \{ ca : a \in A \}$.

Before presenting and settling our sparse domination principle we need to borrow a Lemma from [9, Lemma 6.2].

**Lemma 1.** Let $f = (f_1, \ldots, f_n) \in L^p_\text{loc}$ suppose that $\mathcal{E}_{\langle f \rangle_{p,Q}} = B$ where $B$ stands for the unit ball $B = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| \leq 1 \}$. Then
\[ \sup_{j=1,\ldots,N} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f_j|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \sqrt{n} \]

We are now in the position to state and prove our sparse domination principle.

**Theorem 11.** Let $1 \leq q \leq r$ and $s \geq 1$. Assume that $T$ is a linear operator of weak type $(q,q)$ and that $\mathcal{M}_T$ maps $L^r \times L^s$ into $L^{r',\infty}$ where $\frac{1}{r'} = \frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{q}$. Then, for each $\vec{f}$ with compact support such that $|\vec{f}| \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and for each $|\vec{g}| \in L^s_\text{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, there exists a sparse family $S$ such that
\[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| \langle T \vec{f}, \vec{g} \rangle \right| \leq c_{n,d} \left( \| \mathcal{M}_T \|_{L^r \times L^s \to L^{r',\infty}} + \| T \|_{L^r \to L^{r',\infty}} \right) \sum_{Q \in S} \langle (\langle f \rangle)_{r,Q} \rangle \langle (\langle g \rangle)_{s,Q} \rangle |Q| \]

Our argument will rely upon a combination of ideas in [9, 8, 24].

**Proof of Theorem 11.** Fix a cube $Q_0$. We claim that there exists a family of pairwise disjoint cubes $\{ P_j \}$ contained in $Q_0$ with $\sum_j |P_j| \leq \frac{1}{2} |Q_0|$ such that
\begin{align}
\int_{Q_0} \left| \langle T(f \chi_{3Q_0}), \vec{g} \rangle \right| &\leq c_{n,d}(A_1 + A_2) \langle (\langle f \rangle)_{r,3Q_0} \rangle \langle (\langle g \rangle)_{s,3Q_0} \rangle |3Q_0| \\
&\quad + \sum_j \int_{P_j} \left| \langle T(f \chi_{3P_j}), \vec{g} \rangle \right|
\end{align}

(3.4)
We begin observing that for $\tilde{f}$ and $\tilde{g}$, there exist matrices $M_1, M_2 \in GL_n(\mathbb{R})$ such that $M_1 \tilde{f} = \tilde{f}$ and $M_2 \tilde{g} = \tilde{g}$ and the John ellipsoid of $(\langle \tilde{f} \rangle)_{r,3Q_0}$ and $(\langle \tilde{g} \rangle)_{s,3Q_0}$ is the closed unit ball $B$ (see [8]).

For $Q_0$ let us call

$$M_{T,Q_0} \left( \tilde{f}_i, \tilde{g}_i \right)(x) = \sup_{Q \ni x, Q \subset Q_0} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |T(\tilde{f}_i \chi_{3Q_0})| |\tilde{g}_i| dy$$

Consider the sets

$$E^i_1 = \{ x \in Q_0 : |T(\tilde{f}_i \chi_{3Q_0})(x)| > A_1(\tilde{f}_i)_{q,3Q_0} \}$$

and

$$E^i_2 = \{ x \in Q_0 : |M_{T,Q_0}(\tilde{f}_i, \tilde{g}_i)(x)| > A_2(\tilde{f}_i)_{r,3Q_0} \langle \tilde{g}_i \rangle_{s,3Q_0} \}$$

We begin observing that that we can choose $A_1, A_2 > 0$ such that

$$(3.5) \quad |\Omega| \leq \frac{1}{2^{d+2}} |Q_0|$$

where $\Omega = E_1 \cup E_2$, $E_1 = \bigcup_{i=1}^n E^i_1$ and $E_2 = \bigcup_{i=1}^n E^i_2$.

First we note that

$$|E^i_1| = |x \in Q_0 : |T(\tilde{f}_i \chi_{3Q_0})(x)| > A_1(\tilde{f}_i)_{q,3Q_0}| \leq \frac{1}{(A_1(\tilde{f}_i)_{q,3Q_0})^q} \| T \|_{L^q \rightarrow L^{q,\infty}}^{q} |\tilde{f}_i|_{L^q(3Q_0)}^{q}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{A_1^q} \frac{1}{|\tilde{Q}|} \| T \|_{L^q \rightarrow L^{q,\infty}}^{q} |\tilde{Q}|^{\frac{d}{q}} \int_{\tilde{Q}} |\tilde{f}_i|_{L^q(3Q_0)}^{q} dx$$

$$= \frac{1}{A_1^q} \| T \|_{L^q \rightarrow L^{q,\infty}}^{q} |\tilde{Q}|^{\frac{d}{q}}$$

Hence, choosing $A_1 = \| T \|_{L^q \rightarrow L^{q,\infty}}^{q} 3^2 2^{d+3} n^{\frac{1}{q}}$ we have that $|E_1| \leq \frac{1}{2^{d+2}} |Q_0|$.

Next, we observe that

$$|E^i_2| = |x \in Q_0 : |M_{T,Q_0}(\tilde{f}_i, \tilde{g}_i)(x)| > A_2(\tilde{f}_i)_{r,3Q_0} \langle \tilde{g}_i \rangle_{s,3Q_0}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{A_2^r} \frac{1}{|\tilde{Q}|} \| M_T \|_{L^r \rightarrow L^{r,\infty}}^{r} |\tilde{Q}| \| \tilde{f}_i \|_{L^r(3Q_0)}^{r} \| \tilde{g}_i \|_{L^r(3Q_0)}^{r}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{A_2^r} \frac{1}{|\tilde{Q}|} \| M_T \|_{L^r \rightarrow L^{r,\infty}}^{r} |\tilde{Q}|^{\frac{d}{r}} \int_{\tilde{Q}} |\tilde{f}_i|_{L^r(3Q_0)}^{r} dx$$

and choosing $A_2 = \| M_{T,Q_0} \|_{L^r \rightarrow L^{r,\infty}}^{r} 3^4 2^{d+3} n^{\frac{1}{r}}$ we have that $|E_2| \leq \frac{1}{2^{d+2}} |Q_0|$. Combining the estimates above (3.5) readily follows.

Now we form the Calderón-Zygmund decomposition with respect to $Q_0$ of $\chi_{\Omega}$ at height $\frac{1}{2^{d+2}}$. We obtain a family of pairwise disjoint cubes $P_j \in \mathcal{D}(Q_0)$, such that

$$\frac{1}{2^{d+1}} |P_j| \leq |P_j \cap \Omega| \leq \frac{1}{2} |P_j|$$

$$|\Omega \setminus \bigcup_j P_j| = 0$$

$$\sum_j |P_j| \leq \frac{1}{2} |Q_0|$$

$$P_j \cap \Omega \neq \emptyset$$

Having that family of cubes at our disposal we continue our argument as follows.

$$\int_{Q_0} \langle T(\tilde{f} \chi_{3Q_0}), \tilde{g} \rangle = \int_{Q_0 \setminus \bigcup_j P_j} \langle T(\tilde{f} \chi_{3Q_0}), \tilde{g} \rangle + \sum_j \int_{P_j} \langle T(\tilde{f} \chi_{3Q_0}), \tilde{g} \rangle$$
\[
\int_{Q_0 \setminus \cup P_j} \left| \langle T(\tilde{f} \chi_{3Q_0}), \tilde{g} \rangle \right| + \sum_j \int_{P_j} \left| \langle T(\tilde{f} \chi_{3Q_0}), \tilde{g} \rangle \right| + \sum_j \int_{P_j} \left| \langle T(\tilde{f} \chi_{3P_j}), \tilde{g} \rangle \right|
= I + II + III
\]

First we deal with \( I \).

\[
\int_{Q_0 \setminus \cup P_j} \left| \langle T(\tilde{f} \chi_{3Q_0}), \tilde{g} \rangle \right| = \int_{Q_0 \setminus \cup P_j} \left| \langle T(M_1 f \chi_{3Q_0}), M_2 \tilde{g} \rangle \right| \leq \int_{Q_0 \setminus \cup P_j} \left| \langle M_1 T(f \chi_{3Q_0}), M_2 \tilde{g} \rangle \right|
\]

\[
= \int_{Q_0 \setminus \cup P_j} \left| \sum_{i,k_1,k_2=1}^n M_1^{i,k_1} M_2^{i,k_2} T(\tilde{f}_i \chi_{3Q_0}) \tilde{g}_i \right|
\]

\[
\leq \sup_{1 \leq k_1, k_2 \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n M_1^{i,k_1} M_2^{i,k_2} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{Q_0 \setminus \cup P_j} T(\tilde{f}_i \chi_{3Q_0}) \tilde{g}_i \right|
\]

Since \( |\Omega \setminus \cup P_j| = 0 \), we can continue as follows

\[
\sup_{1 \leq k_1, k_2 \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n M_1^{i,k_1} M_2^{i,k_2} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{Q_0 \setminus \cup P_j} T(\tilde{f}_i \chi_{3Q_0}) \tilde{g}_i \right|
\]

\[
\leq \sup_{1 \leq k_1, k_2 \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n M_1^{i,k_1} M_2^{i,k_2} \sum_{i=1}^n A_1 \langle f_i \rangle_{q,3Q_0} \int_{Q_0} \tilde{g}_i \right|
\]

\[
\leq \sup_{1 \leq k_1, k_2 \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n M_1^{i,k_1} M_2^{i,k_2} \sum_{i=1}^n A_1 \langle f_i \rangle_{q,3Q_0} \int_{3Q_0} \tilde{g}_i \right|
\]

\[
\leq \sup_{1 \leq k_1, k_2 \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n M_1^{i,k_1} M_2^{i,k_2} \sum_{i=1}^n A_1 \langle f_i \rangle_{q,3Q_0} (\tilde{g}_i)_{3Q_0} \tilde{g}_i \left| \right| Q_0 \right|
\]

By Lemma 1 we have that \( \sup_{i=1,\ldots,N} \langle f_i \rangle_{q,3Q_0} \leq \sqrt{n} \) and also that \( \sup_{i=1,\ldots,N} \langle g_i \rangle_{q,3Q_0} \leq \sqrt{n} \). Therefore, the last part of the right term of the inequality is bounded by a dimensional constant, namely,

\[
\sup_{1 \leq k_1, k_2 \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n M_1^{i,k_1} M_2^{i,k_2} \sum_{i=1}^n A_1 \langle f_i \rangle_{q,3Q_0} (\tilde{g}_i)_{3Q_0} \tilde{g}_i \left| \right| Q_0 \right| \leq \sup_{1 \leq k_1, k_2 \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n M_1^{i,k_1} M_2^{i,k_2} \right| A_1 C_{n,d} |Q_0|.
\]

It remains to provide an estimate for \( \sup_{1 \leq k_1, k_2 \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n M_1^{i,k_1} M_2^{i,k_2} \right| \).

We claim that

\[
(3.6) \quad \left| \sum_{i=1}^n M_1^{i,k_1} M_2^{i,k_2} \right| = |\langle M_1 e_{k_1}, M_2 e_{k_2} \rangle| \leq \langle \langle f \rangle \rangle_{r,3Q_0} \langle \langle g \rangle \rangle_{s,3Q_0} \quad 1 \leq k_1, k_2 \leq n
\]

where \( e_k \) is the \( k \)-th coordinate vector. Indeed, fix \( 1 \leq k_1, k_2 \leq n \). Since \( e_{k_1} \) belongs to the unit ball \( B = \langle \langle f \rangle \rangle_{r,3Q_0} \) there exists \( \varphi_1 \in B_{L^r(3Q_0)} \) such that

\[
e_{k_1} = \frac{1}{|3Q_0|} \int_{3Q_0} \tilde{f} \varphi_1
\]

Therefore,

\[
M_1 e_{k_1} = \frac{1}{|3Q_0|} \int_{3Q_0} M_1 \tilde{f}_i \varphi_1 = \frac{1}{|3Q_0|} \int_{3Q_0} \tilde{f} \varphi_1 \in \langle \langle f \rangle \rangle_{r,3Q_0}.
\]

Analogously for \( e_{k_2} \), we have that \( M_2 e_{k_2} \in \langle \langle g \rangle \rangle_{s,3Q_0} \) and hence (3.6) holds.
Combining the estimates above we have that
\[ I = \int_{Q_0 \cup P_j} \left| \left\langle T(M_1 f \chi_{3Q_0}), M_2 \tilde{g} \right\rangle \right| \leq A_1 C_{n, d}|Q_0|\langle \langle \tilde{f} \rangle \rangle_{r, 3Q_0} \langle \langle \tilde{g} \rangle \rangle_{s, 3Q_0} \]

For II we begin arguing as we did for I. Since \( \tilde{f} = M_1 f \) and \( \tilde{g} = M_2 \tilde{g} \) we have that
\[ \sum_{j} \int_{P_j} \left| \left\langle T(\tilde{f} \chi_{3Q_0 \setminus P_j}), \tilde{g} \right\rangle \right| = \sum_{j} \int_{P_j} \left| \left\langle T(M_1 f \chi_{3Q_0 \setminus P_j}), M_2 \tilde{g} \right\rangle \right| \leq \sum_{j} \int_{P_j} \left| \left\langle M_1 T(\tilde{f} \chi_{3Q_0 \setminus P_j}), M_2 \tilde{g} \right\rangle \right| = \sum_{j} \int_{P_j} \left| \sum_{i, k_1, k_2 = 1}^{n} M_1^{ik_1} M_2^{ik_2} T(\tilde{f} \chi_{3Q_0 \setminus P_j}) \tilde{g}_i \right| \leq \sup_{1 \leq k_1, k_2 \leq n} \left| \sum_{i = 1}^{n} M_1^{ik_1} M_2^{ik_2} \sum_{j = 1}^{n} \int_{P_j} |T(\tilde{f} \chi_{3Q_0 \setminus P_j}) \tilde{g}_i| \right| \]

At this point since \( P_j \cap \Omega^c \neq \emptyset \) and also \( \sum_j |P_j| \leq \frac{1}{2}|Q_0| \) we have that
\[ \sum_{j} \int_{P_j} |T(\tilde{f} \chi_{3Q_0 \setminus P_j}) \tilde{g}_i| \leq c_d \sum_{j} A_2 \langle \tilde{f} \rangle_{r, 3Q_0} \langle \langle \tilde{g} \rangle \rangle_{s, 3Q_0}|P_j| \leq c_d A_2 \frac{1}{2} \langle \tilde{f} \rangle_{r, 3Q_0} \langle \langle \tilde{g} \rangle \rangle_{s, 3Q_0}|Q_0| \]

Arguing as above by Lemma 1 the right term of the inequality above is bounded by a dimensional constant. Combining the estimates above
\[ \sum_{j} \int_{P_j} \left| \left\langle T(M_1 f \chi_{3Q_0 \setminus P_j}), M_2 \tilde{g} \right\rangle \right| \leq \sup_{1 \leq k_1, k_2 \leq n} \left| \sum_{i = 1}^{n} M_1^{ik_1} M_2^{ik_2} \sum_{j = 1}^{n} \int_{P_j} |T(\tilde{f} \chi_{3Q_0 \setminus P_j}) \tilde{g}_i| \right| \]

which combined with (3.6) yields that
\[ II \leq A_2 C_{n, d}|Q_0| \langle \langle \tilde{f} \rangle \rangle_{r, 3Q_0} \langle \langle \tilde{g} \rangle \rangle_{s, 3Q_0}|Q_0| \]

Taking into account the estimates for I, II and the properties of the family \( \{P_j\} \) the claim (3.4) at the beginning of the proof is settled.

It is not hard to check that iterating the claim leads to the construction of a family of cubes \( F \) contained in \( Q_0 \) which is \( \frac{1}{2} \)-sparse and such that
\[ \int_{Q_0} \left| \left\langle T(\tilde{f} \chi_{3Q_0}), \tilde{g} \right\rangle \right| \leq c_{n, d}(A_1 + A_2) \sum_{Q \subset F} \langle \langle \tilde{f} \rangle \rangle_{r, 3Q_0} \langle \langle \tilde{g} \rangle \rangle_{s, 3Q_0}|3Q_0| \]

Relying upon the preceding estimate we show now how to end the proof. Take a partition of \( \mathbb{R}^n \) by cubes \( R_j \) such that \( \text{supp}(\tilde{f}) \subset 3R_j \) for each \( j \). For example, take a cube \( Q_0 \) such that \( \text{supp}(\tilde{f}) \subset Q_0 \) and cover \( 3Q_0 \) by \( 3^n - 1 \) congruent cubes \( R_j \). Each of them satisfies \( Q_0 \subset 3R_j \). Next, in the same way cover \( 9Q_0 \setminus 3Q_0 \) and so on. The union of resulting cubes, including \( Q_0 \), will satisfy the desired property. Therefore, applying (3.7) to each \( R_j \) as follows
\[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| \left\langle T(\tilde{f} \chi_{3Q_0}), \tilde{g} \right\rangle \right| = \sum_{j} \int_{R_j} \left| \left\langle T(\tilde{f} \chi_{3R_j}), \tilde{g} \right\rangle \right| \leq c_{n, d}(A_1 + A_2) \sum_{Q \subset \bigcup_j F_j} \langle \langle \tilde{f} \rangle \rangle_{r, Q} \langle \langle \tilde{g} \rangle \rangle_{s, Q}|Q| \]

Note that the family \( \bigcup_j F_j \) is \( \frac{1}{2} \)-sparse as a disjoint union of \( \frac{1}{2} \)-sparse families. Hence, setting \( S = \{3Q : Q \in \bigcup_j F_j\} \), we obtain that \( S \) is \( \frac{1}{2^{3^n}} \)-sparse. This ends the proof of the Theorem.

3.1.2. Proof of Theorem 9. Given \( 1 \leq p \leq \infty \), we define the maximal operator \( M_{p, T} \) by
\[ M_{p, T} f(x) = \sup_{Q \ni x} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} |T(f \chi_{Q \setminus 3Q})|^p dy \right)^{1/p} \]

Note that in [24] it was shown that for every \( p \geq 1 \),
\[ \|M_{p, T}\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^1, \infty} \leq c\|\Omega\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})} p \]
Observe that taking that into account, we have that
\[ M_{T_1}(f,g)(x) = \sup_{Q \ni x} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |T_1(f \chi_{R^n \setminus 3Q})| \ |g| \]
\[ \leq \sup_{Q \ni x} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |T_1(f \chi_{R^n \setminus 3Q})|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} M_r(g) = M_{T^r}(f) M_r(g) \]

By Hölder inequality for weak type spaces, combined with (3.8)
\[ \|M_{T_1}(f,g)\|_{L^{\frac{r}{r-1},\infty}} \lesssim \|M_{T^r}(f)\|_{L^{1,\infty}} \|M_r(g)\|_{L^{r,\infty}} \lesssim \Omega \|f\|_{L^1} \|g\|_{L^r} \]

Taking into account that
\[ \|T_1\|_{L^1 \to L^{1,\infty}} \leq c_d \Omega \|L^{\infty,(S)}\| \]
and \( M_{T_1} \) Theorem 9 readily follows from Theorem 11.

3.1.3. Proof of Theorem 10. To settle the theorem it suffices to apply Theorem 11 combined with the fact that
\[ (3.9) \quad \|M_T(f,g)\|_{L^{\frac{r}{r-1},\infty}} \leq c_{T,f} \|f\|_{L^r} \|g\|_{L^1} \]
and that \( T \) is of weak type \((1,1)\) which is well known. Hence it remains to settle the latter. Note that
\[ M_T(f,g)(x) = \sup_{Q \ni x} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |T(f \chi_{R^n \setminus 3Q})| \ |g| \leq (Mg) \sup_{Q \ni x} \|T(f \chi_{R^n \setminus 3Q})\|_{L^\infty(Q)} = Mg M_{T,\infty}(f) \]

Then we have that by Hölder inequality for weak spaces,
\[ \|M_T(f,g)\|_{L^{\frac{r}{r-1},\infty}} \leq \|M_{T,\infty}(f)\|_{L^{r,\infty}} \|M_g\|_{L^{1,\infty}} \]

In [30], Li showed that \( \|M_{T,\infty}(f)\|_{L^{r,\infty}} \leq c_{n,T} \|f\|_{L^r} \). This fact combined with the well-known endpoint estimate for the maximal function, yields (3.9).

4. Some further definitions and Lemmata

We recall that norms on \( \mathbb{R}^n \) can be represented by positive definite self-adjoint matrices, namely, if \( \rho : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \) is a norm, then there exists a positive definite self-adjoint matrix \( A \) such that \( |Ax| \simeq \rho(x) \). We remit the reader to [43] for more details.

This fact is particularly useful when dealing with matrix weights. Given a matrix weight \( W \) and \( p \geq 1 \) we will call \( W_{p,Q} \) a matrix such that
\[ |W_{p,Q}A| \simeq \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |W_{p}^{\frac{1}{p}}(x)A| dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \]
and if \( p > 1 \) we will call \( W_{p',Q} \) a matrix such that
\[ |W_{p',Q}A| \simeq \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |W_{p'}^{\frac{1}{p'}}(x)A| dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}}. \]

Relying upon this definition we observe that the \( A_p \) condition can be expressed in terms of reducing matrices. This follows from the fact that
\[ \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |W_{p}^{\frac{1}{p}}(x)W_{p}^{-\frac{1}{p}}(y)| dy \right)^{\frac{p}{p'}} dx \simeq |W_{p,Q}W_{p',Q}|_{op} \]
for \( p > 1 \), and
\[ \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |W(x)W_{op}(y)|_{op} dx \simeq |W_{1,Q}W_{op}(y)|_{op} \]
for \( p = 1 \).
Another property related to matrix weights that will be fundamental for us is the reverse Hölder property. It was shown in [15] (see [16] for an alternative proof) that if \( w \in A_\infty \) then
\[
\left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w^r(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq 2 \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w(x)
\]
where \( r = 1 + \frac{1}{2^{\frac{n}{r}} - 1} \).

Recall that if \( W \in A_p \) then we have that \( |W^{-\frac{1}{p}} e|^p \) are scalar \( A_p \) weights uniformly on \( e \) and consequently, \( |W^{-\frac{1}{p}} e|^p \) are scalar \( A_\infty \) weights, with scalar \( A_\infty \) constants uniformly controlled by \([W]_{A_p}\). This fact allows to make sense of (2.1).

A consequence of those definitions is the following Reverse Hölder inequality that we will repeatedly throughout the remainder of the paper.

**Lemma 2.** Let \( A \) be a self-adjoint positive definite matrix and let \( 1 \leq p < \infty \). Assume that \( W \in A^{sc}_{\infty,p} \). Then, if \( r \leq 1 + \frac{1}{2^{\frac{n}{r}} - 1} [W]_{A^{sc}_{\infty,p}} \) we have that
\[
\left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |W^{-\frac{1}{p}}(x)A|_{op}^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \lesssim \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |W^{-\frac{1}{p}}(x)A|_{op}
\]

**Proof.** We fix some orthonormal basis \( \{e_j\} \) on \( \mathbb{R}^n \). Taking into account \( |W^{-\frac{1}{p}} e|^p \) satisfies the scalar reverse Hölder inequality uniformly on \( e \) for \( r \) due to the fact that \( W \in A^{sc}_{\infty,p} \) we have that
\[
\left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |W^{-\frac{1}{p}} A|_{op}^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \lesssim \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |W^{-\frac{1}{p}} A e_j|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq 2 \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |W^{-\frac{1}{p}} A e_j| \lesssim \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |W^{-\frac{1}{p}} A|_{op}
\]

**Remark 4.** Note that given two positive definite self-adjoint matrices, \(|AB|_{op} \simeq |BA|_{op}\), the estimate in the preceding lemma holds as well reversing the order of the matrices involved.

Now we gather some Lemmata that will be useful throughout the remainder of the paper. The first of them will help us to settle strong type estimates.

**Lemma 3.** Let \( p,r,s \geq 1 \) and let \( W \) be a weight. For each \( \eta \)-sparse family,
\[
\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{S}} \langle \langle W^{-\frac{1}{p}} h \rangle\rangle_{r,Q} \langle \langle W^{-\frac{1}{p}} g \rangle\rangle_{s,Q} |Q|
\]
\[
\leq \frac{1}{\eta} \sup_Q |V_Q U_Q| \| M_{V,W^{-\frac{1}{p}},r}(\tilde{h}) \|_{L^p} \| M_{U,W^{-\frac{1}{p}},s}(\tilde{g}) \|_{L^s} \| \tilde{h} \|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathbb{R}^n)} \| \tilde{g} \|_{L^s(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathbb{R}^n)}
\]
\[
\leq \frac{1}{\eta} \sup_Q |V_Q U_Q| \| M_{V,W^{-\frac{1}{p}},r}(\tilde{h}) \|_{L^p} \| M_{U,W^{-\frac{1}{p}},s}(\tilde{g}) \|_{L^s} \| \tilde{h} \|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathbb{R}^n)} \| \tilde{g} \|_{L^s(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathbb{R}^n)}.
\]

where
\[
M_{V,W^{-\frac{1}{p}},r}(\tilde{h})(z) = \sup_{x \in Q} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |(V_Q)^{-1} W^{-\frac{1}{p}}(x) \tilde{h}(x)|^r dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}
\]
\[
M_{U,W^{-\frac{1}{p}},s}(\tilde{g})(z) = \sup_{x \in Q} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |(U_Q)^{-1} W^{-\frac{1}{p}}(x) \tilde{g}(x)|^s dx \right)^{\frac{1}{s}}
\]
and \( \{U_Q\}_Q \{V_Q\}_Q \) are families of self-adjoint positive definite matrices.

**Proof.** First we observe that taking into account that each \( U_Q \) and each \( V_Q \) are self-adjoint positive definite matrices,
\[
\langle \langle W^{-\frac{1}{p}} h(x) \rangle\rangle_{r,Q} \langle \langle W^{-\frac{1}{p}} g(x) \rangle\rangle_{s,Q}
\]
Taking this into account, Lemma 4. from which the desired result readily follows.

\[
\sup_{\|\varphi\|_{L^p} \leq 1} \left\{ \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} W^{-\frac{1}{q}}(x)\varphi(x)dx, \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} W^{\frac{1}{q}}(x)\varphi(x)dx \right) \right\}
\]

\[
= \sup_{\|\varphi\|_{L^p} \leq 1} \left\{ \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} V_{Q}(V_{Q})^{-1}W^{-\frac{1}{q}}(x)\varphi(x)dx, \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} U_{Q}(U_{Q})^{-1}W^{\frac{1}{q}}(x)\varphi(x)dx \right) \right\}
\]

\[
\leq \sup_{\|\varphi\|_{L^p} \leq 1} \left\{ \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} \|V_{Q}(V_{Q})^{-1}W^{-\frac{1}{q}}(x)\varphi(x)|dx \right) \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} \|U_{Q}(U_{Q})^{-1}W^{\frac{1}{q}}(x)\varphi(x)|dx \right) \right\}
\]

\[
\leq \sup_{Q} |U_{Q}V_{Q}|_{op} \sup_{\|\varphi\|_{L^p} \leq 1} \left\{ \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} (V_{Q})^{-1}W^{-\frac{1}{q}}(x)\varphi(x)|dx \right) \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} (U_{Q})^{-1}W^{\frac{1}{q}}(x)\varphi(x)|dx \right) \right\}
\]

\[
\leq \sup_{Q} |U_{Q}V_{Q}|_{op} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} |(V_{Q})^{-1}W^{-\frac{1}{q}}(x)\varphi(x)|dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} |(U_{Q})^{-1}W^{\frac{1}{q}}(x)\varphi(x)|dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
\]

\[
\leq \sup_{Q} |U_{Q}V_{Q}|_{op} \inf_{z \in Q} M_{\varphi,W^{-\frac{1}{q}},r}(\tilde{h})(z) \inf_{z \in Q} M_{\varphi,W^{\frac{1}{q}},s}(\tilde{g})(z)
\]

Taking this into account,\[
\sum_{Q \in S} \langle \langle (W^{-\frac{1}{q}}\tilde{h}) \rangle \rangle_{r,Q} \langle \langle W^{\frac{1}{q}}\tilde{g} \rangle \rangle_{s,Q} |Q|
\]

\[
\leq \frac{1}{\eta} \sup_{Q \in S} |U_{Q}V_{Q}|_{op} \sum_{Q \in S} \inf_{z \in Q} M_{\varphi,W^{-\frac{1}{q}},r}(\tilde{h})(z) \inf_{z \in Q} M_{\varphi,W^{\frac{1}{q}},s}(\tilde{g})(z) |E_{Q}|
\]

\[
\leq \frac{1}{\eta} \sup_{Q} |U_{Q}V_{Q}|_{op} \int_{R^d} \inf_{z \in Q} M_{\varphi,W^{-\frac{1}{q}},r}(\tilde{h})(z) M_{\varphi,W^{\frac{1}{q}},s}(\tilde{g})(z) dx
\]

\[
\leq \frac{1}{\eta} \sup_{Q} |U_{Q}V_{Q}|_{op} \|M_{\varphi,W^{-\frac{1}{q}},r}(\tilde{h})\|_{L^p} \|M_{\varphi,W^{\frac{1}{q}},s}(\tilde{g})\|_{L^q}
\]

from which the desired result readily follows. \(\square\)

The following Lemma will allow us to reduce bumped weight conditions to \(A_p\) type conditions.

**Lemma 4.** Let \(q, r, s > 1\). Assume that

\[
|V_{Q}\tilde{e}| \simeq \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} |W^{-\frac{1}{q}}(x)\tilde{e}|^{q'} \right)^{\frac{1}{q'}}
\]

\[
|U_{Q}\tilde{e}| \simeq \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} |W^{\frac{1}{q}}(x)\tilde{e}|^{q} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}
\]

for every \(\tilde{e} \in \mathbb{R}^n\) and that

\[
\left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} |W^{-\frac{1}{q}}(x)\tilde{e}|^{q'} \right)^{\frac{1}{q'}} \leq \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} |W^{-\frac{1}{q}}(x)\tilde{e}|^{q} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}
\]

\[
\left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} |W^{\frac{1}{q}}(x)\tilde{e}|^{q} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} |W^{\frac{1}{q}}(x)\tilde{e}|^{q} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}
\]

for every \(\tilde{e} \in \mathbb{R}^n\). Then

\[
|V_{Q}U_{Q}\tilde{e}| \lesssim |W_{Q,d}W_{Q,s}\tilde{e}|.
\]
Lemma 6. We argue as follows

Proof. Note that, taking into account the reverse Hölder inequality in the hypothesis,

\[ |\mathcal{V}_Q \mathcal{U}_Q \bar{c}| \lesssim \left( \int_Q |W^{-\frac{1}{q}}(x)\mathcal{U}_Q \bar{c}|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q'}} \lesssim \left( \int_Q |W^{-\frac{1}{q'}}(x)\mathcal{U}_Q \bar{c}|^{q'} \right)^{\frac{1}{q'}} \]

\[ \simeq |\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{Q}_Q} \mathcal{U}_Q \bar{c}|. \]

Hence

\[ |\mathcal{V}_Q \mathcal{U}_Q|_{op} \lesssim |\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{Q}_Q} \mathcal{U}_Q|_{op}. \]

Now observe that \(|\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{Q}_Q} \mathcal{U}_Q|_{op} = |\mathcal{U}_Q \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{Q}_Q}|_{op} \). Then, again by the reverse Hölder inequality in the hypothesis,

\[ |\mathcal{U}_Q \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{Q}_Q} \bar{c}| \lesssim \left( \int_Q |W^{-\frac{1}{q'}}(x)\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{Q}_Q} \bar{c}|^{q'} \right)^{\frac{1}{q'}} \lesssim \left( \int_Q |W^{-\frac{1}{q}}(x)\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{Q}_Q} \bar{c}|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q'}} \simeq |\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{Q}_Q} \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{Q}_Q} \bar{c}| \]

and we are done.

The following Lemma can be derived from the arguments given for the proof of Lemma 2 in [21]. We will provide a proof here for reader’s convenience.

Lemma 5. Let \(A, B\) be self-adjoint positive definite matrices and let \(0 < \alpha < 1\). Then

\[ |A^\alpha B^\alpha|_{op} \lesssim |AB|_{op}^\alpha \]

Proof. Let \(e_j\) be an orthonormal basis of eigenvalues \(\lambda_j\) of \(B\), then by the classical Hölder-McCarthy inequality (see [2] Lemma 2.1)

\[ |A^\alpha B^\alpha|_{op} \lesssim \sum_{j=1}^n |A^\alpha B^\alpha \lambda_j| = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j^\alpha |A^\alpha e_j| \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j^\alpha |A e_j|\]

\[ = \sum_{j=1}^n |A \lambda_j e_j|^{\alpha} = \sum_{j=1}^n |AB e_j|^{\alpha} \lesssim |AB|_{op}^\alpha \]

We end this section with two results that will help us to handle certain parameters in order to obtain the quantitative estimate we aim for.

Lemma 6. Let \(\rho > 1\) and \(\beta > 1\), then we have that

\[ \left( \frac{\rho'}{(\rho \beta)^\gamma} \right)' \leq \rho' \]

and also that

\[ \frac{1}{(\rho \beta)^\gamma} = \frac{1}{\beta'} + \frac{1}{\rho' \beta} \]

Furthermore, if \(\gamma > 1\) and \(\beta = 1 + \frac{1}{\tau \kappa}\), with \(\tau > 2\) and \(\kappa \geq 1\) then

\[ \left[ \left( \frac{\rho'}{(\rho \beta)^\gamma} \right)' \right] \left[ (\beta') \right]^{-\frac{1}{\gamma'}} \lesssim \kappa^{-\frac{1}{\gamma'}} \]

Proof. We argue as follows

\[ \left( \frac{\rho'}{(\rho \beta)^\gamma} \right)' = \frac{\rho'}{(\rho \beta)^\gamma - 1} = \frac{\rho'}{(\rho' - (\rho \beta)^\gamma - (\rho' - \rho \beta)^\gamma} = \frac{\rho'}{(\rho(\beta - 1) - \rho \beta)} = \frac{\rho'}{(\rho \beta - \rho' - \rho \beta)} \]

\[ = \frac{\rho'(\rho \beta - 1)}{(\rho' - 1)(\rho \beta - \rho')} = \frac{\rho'(\rho \beta - 1)}{(\rho' - 1)(\rho' - \rho \beta - \rho')} = \frac{\rho'(\rho \beta - 1)}{(\rho' - 1)(\rho' - \rho \beta - \rho')} = \frac{\rho'(\rho \beta - 1)}{\rho'(\beta - 1)} = \frac{\rho \beta - 1}{\beta - 1} \]
For the second identity first note that
\[
(\rho \beta)' = \frac{\rho \beta}{\rho \beta - 1} = \frac{\rho' \beta}{\rho' \beta - 1} = \frac{\rho' \beta}{\rho' \beta - (\rho' - 1)}
\]
and taking this into account
\[
\frac{1}{(\rho \beta)'} = \frac{\rho' \beta - (\rho' - 1)}{\rho' \beta} = \frac{\rho' \beta - \rho' + 1}{\rho' \beta} = \frac{\rho' (\beta - 1) + 1}{\rho' \beta} = \frac{1}{\beta} + \frac{1}{\rho' \beta}.
\]
For the last estimate note that
\[
\beta' = 1 + \frac{1}{\tau \kappa} = \tau + 1
\]
Then, taking into account the preceding estimate
\[
\left[ \left( \frac{p'}{(p \beta)'} \right)' \right]^{\frac{1}{\tau \delta}} \leq [p (\tau \kappa + 1)]^{\frac{1}{\tau \delta}} \leq 2p \tau \kappa \frac{1}{\kappa} \leq 2e p \tau \kappa \frac{1}{\kappa}
\]
and we are done. \(\square\)

Lemma 7. Let \(p > 1\) and \(s, \beta > 1\) such that
\[
p' > s(p \beta)'
\]
\[
\beta = 1 + \frac{1}{\left( \frac{p' + 1}{2} \right) \tau \delta}
\]
and \(\beta s = 1 + \frac{1}{\tau \delta}\). Then
\[
\left( \frac{p'}{s(p \beta)'} \right)' \leq 2p \tau \delta
\]
Proof. First note that
\[
\left( \frac{p'}{s(p \beta)'} \right)' = \frac{p'}{p' - s(p \beta)'} = \frac{p'}{p' - s \frac{p \beta}{p \beta - 1}} = \frac{p'(p \beta - 1)}{p'(p \beta - 1) - s p(p - 1) \beta}
\]
\[
= \frac{p'(p \beta - 1)}{p'(p \beta - 1) - s p(p - 1) \beta}
\]
It is not hard to check that
\[
(p \beta - 1) - s(p - 1) \beta = \frac{1}{(p' + 1) \tau \delta}
\]
and then we can end the argument as follows
\[
\left( \frac{p'}{s(p \beta)'} \right)' = \frac{p \beta - 1}{(p \beta - 1) - s(p - 1) \beta} = (p \beta - 1) (p' + 1) \tau \delta \leq 2 \left( p + \frac{1}{\left( \frac{p' + 1}{2} \right) \tau \delta} \right) - 1 \right) p' \tau \delta
\]
\[
= 2 \left( p - 1 + \frac{p}{\left( \frac{p' + 1}{2} \right) \tau \delta} \right) p' \tau \delta \leq 2p \tau \delta + \frac{2 pp' \tau \delta}{\left( \frac{p' + 1}{2} \right) \tau \delta} \leq 2p \tau \delta
\]
\(\square\)
5. Proofs of strong type estimates

Note that as we pointed out in Subsection 2.4, to settle
\[ \|T(f)\|_{L^p(W)} \lesssim c_W \|f\|_{L^p(W)} \]
is equivalent to prove
\[ \|W^{\frac{1}{p'}} T(W^{-\frac{1}{p}} f)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim c_W \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}. \]
In every proof in this section we shall settle the latter.

5.1. Proof of Theorem 1. Taking into account Theorem 9 and Lemma 3 we have that there exists a sparse family \( \mathcal{S} \) such that
\[
\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle W^{\frac{1}{p'}} T_{\Omega} \left(W^{-\frac{1}{p}} \tilde{h}\right), \tilde{g}\rangle \, dx \right| = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left\langle T_{\Omega} \left(W^{-\frac{1}{p}} \tilde{h}\right), W^{\frac{1}{p'}} \tilde{g}\right\rangle \, dx \right| 
\leq c_{n,d} \|\Omega\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} s \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{S}} \|\langle W^{-\frac{1}{p}} \tilde{h}\rangle\|_{1,Q} \|\langle W^{\frac{1}{p'}} \tilde{g}\rangle\|_{s,Q} |Q| 
\leq \frac{1}{n} c_{n,d} \|\Omega\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} s \sup_Q |\mathcal{U}_Q \mathcal{V}_Q|_{op} \|M_{V,W^{-\frac{1}{p}},1}\|_{L^p} \|M_{U,W^{\frac{1}{p'}},s}\|_{L^p} \|\tilde{h}\|_{L^p} \|\tilde{g}\|_{L^p}. 
\]

where \( \{\mathcal{U}_Q\} \) and \( \{\mathcal{V}_Q\} \) are families of self-adjoint positive definite matrices. Hence it suffices to show that for suitable choices of \( \{\mathcal{V}_Q\} \), \( \{\mathcal{U}_Q\} \) and \( s > 1 \)

\[
(5.1) \quad \sup_Q |\mathcal{U}_Q \mathcal{V}_Q|_{op} \|M_{V,W^{-\frac{1}{p}},1}\|_{L^p} \|M_{U,W^{\frac{1}{p'}},s}\|_{L^p} \lesssim [W]_{A_p} [W]_{A_{\infty,p}}^{1+\frac{1}{p'}} [W^{-\frac{1}{p'}}]_{A_{\infty,p'}}. 
\]

Let us choose \( \mathcal{V}_Q \) such that for every \( \bar{c} \)

\[
(5.2) \quad |\mathcal{V}_Q \bar{c}| \simeq \left( \int_Q |W^{-\frac{1}{p}}(x)\bar{c}|^{r'} \right)^{\frac{1}{r'}} 
\]

where \( r = 1 + \frac{1}{2d+11[W^{-\frac{1}{p'}}]_{A_{\infty,p'},\infty}} \) and \( \mathcal{U}_Q \) such that for every \( \bar{c} \),

\[
(5.3) \quad |\mathcal{U}_Q \bar{c}| \simeq \left( \int_Q |W^{\frac{1}{p'}}(x)\bar{c}|^{s \gamma_p} \right)^{\frac{1}{s \gamma_p}} 
\]

where

\[
\gamma = 1 + \frac{1}{\left(\frac{p'+1}{2}\right)\tau_d[W]_{A_{\infty,p',\infty}}} \quad \text{and} \quad s = \left(\frac{p'+1}{2}\right) \frac{1 + \frac{1}{\tau_n[W]_{A_{\infty,p',\infty}}} + \frac{1}{\tau_d[W]_{A_{\infty,p',\infty}}} \right). 
\]

Consequently \( s \gamma = 1 + \frac{1}{2d+11[W]_{A_{\infty,p'},\infty}} \) and \( s' \lesssim p[W]_{A_{\infty,p'}} \). For these choices an application of Lemma 4 and the definition of the \( A_p \) condition yields

\[
(5.4) \quad \sup_Q |\mathcal{V}_Q \mathcal{U}_Q|_{op} \lesssim [W]_{A_p}^{\frac{1}{p}}. 
\]

Now we focus on \( \|M_{V,W^{-\frac{1}{p}},1}\|_{L^p} \). We are going to show that

\[
(5.5) \quad \|M_{V,W^{-\frac{1}{p}},1}\|_{L^p} \lesssim [W^{-\frac{1}{p'}}]_{A_{\infty,p'}}^{\frac{1}{p'}}. 
\]

First we observe that taking into account (5.2)
Arguing as above essentially exchanging the roles of $\Omega$ and also that by Lemma 6 allows us to conclude that
\[
\left[ \left( \frac{p}{(p')'} \right)^{\frac{1}{s(p')'}} \right] \lesssim |W^{-\frac{1}{p}}|_{A_{s,p}^c}.
\]
This shows that (5.5) holds.

At this point we turn our attention to $\|M_{t, \overline{W}^\frac{1}{p},s} \|_{L_{p'}}$. We are going to show that
\[
\|M_{t, \overline{W}^\frac{1}{p},s} \|_{L_{p'}} \lesssim p'[W]_{A_{s,p}^c}.
\]
Taking into account (5.3)
\[
\left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int |U_Q^{-1}W^{\frac{1}{p}}(y)\overline{g}(y)|^s dy \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \leq \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int |U_Q^{-1}W^{\frac{1}{p}}(x)|^{|s(p')|} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{s(p')}} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int |\overline{g}^{s(p')'}(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{s(p')'}}
\]
\[
\sim |U_Q^{-1}U_Q|_{op} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int |\overline{g}^{s(p')'}(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{s(p')'}} = \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int |\overline{g}^{s(p')'}(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{s(p')'}}
\]
Consequently
\[
\|M_{t, \overline{W}^\frac{1}{p},s} \|_{L_{p'}} \lesssim \|M_{s(p')'} \|_{L_{p'}} \lesssim \left[ \left( \frac{p'}{s(p')'} \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{s(p')'}}.
\]
Now we observe that by Lemma 7
\[
\left( \frac{p'}{s(p')'} \right)^{\prime} \leq 2p'r_n[W]_{A_{s,p}^c},
\]
and also that by Lemma 6 choosing $\beta = \gamma$ and $\rho = p'$
\[
\frac{1}{s(p')'} = \frac{1}{s\gamma'} + \frac{1}{sp'\gamma} \leq \frac{c_d}{[W]_{A_{s,p}^c}} + \frac{1}{p'}.
\]
Hence, combining the estimates above
\[
\left( \frac{p'}{s(p')'} \right)^{\prime} \lesssim p[W]_{A_{s,p}^c}^{\frac{1}{s(p')'}}.
\]
Combining this with (5.8) yields (5.7). Finally taking into account our choice for $s$, (5.4), (5.5) and (5.7) we conclude that (5.1) holds.

For the other estimate note that since $T_{\Omega}^s$ is also a rough singular integral,
\[
\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle W^{-\frac{1}{p}} T_{\Omega} (W^{-\frac{1}{p}} \overline{f}), \overline{g} \rangle dx \right| = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle W^{-\frac{1}{p}} \overline{f}, T_{\Omega}^s (W^{\frac{1}{p}} \overline{g}) \rangle dx \right|
\]
\[
\leq c_{n,d} \|\Omega\|_{L_{s}([s-1])} s' \sum_{Q \in S} \langle (W^{-\frac{1}{p}} \overline{f}) \rangle_{s,Q} \langle (W^{\frac{1}{p}} \overline{g}) \rangle_{1,Q} |Q|
\]
Arguing as above essentially exchanging the roles of $\overline{f}$ and $\overline{g}$ we have that
\[
s' \sum_{Q \in S} \langle (W^{-\frac{1}{p}} \overline{f}) \rangle_{s,Q} \langle (W^{\frac{1}{p}} \overline{g}) \rangle_{1,Q} |Q| \lesssim [W]_{A_{s,p}^c}^\frac{1}{s} [W]_{A_{s,p}^c}^\frac{1}{p} [W]_{A_{s,p}^c}^\frac{1}{s} [W]_{A_{s,p}^c}^\frac{1}{p}.
\]
This ends the proof.
5.2. **Proof of Theorem 2.** Taking into account Theorem 10 and Lemma 3 we have that there exists a sparse family $S$ such that

$$
\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left\langle W^{\frac{1}{p}} T \left( W^{-\frac{1}{p}} \tilde{h} \right), \tilde{g} \right\rangle \, dx \right| = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left\langle T \left( W^{-\frac{1}{p}} \tilde{h} \right), W^{\frac{1}{p}} \tilde{g} \right\rangle \, dx \right|
$$

$$
\leq c_{n,d,T} \sum_{Q \in S} \langle (W^{-\frac{1}{p}} \tilde{h}) \rangle_{r,Q} \langle (W^{\frac{1}{p}} \tilde{g}) \rangle_{1,Q} |Q|
$$

$$
\leq \frac{1}{\eta} c_{n,d,T} \sup_{Q} |U_Q V_Q|_{op} \| M_{V,W^{-\frac{1}{p}},r} \|_{L^p} \| M_{U,W^{\frac{1}{p}},1} \|_{L^{p'}} \| \tilde{h} \|_{L^p} \| \tilde{g} \|_{L^{p'}}
$$

where $\{U_Q\}$ and $\{V_Q\}$ are families of self-adjoint, positive definite matrices. Hence it suffices to show that for suitable choices of $\{V_Q\}$, $\{U_Q\}$

$$
(5.9) \sup_{Q} |U_Q V_Q|_{op} \| M_{V,W^{-\frac{1}{p}},r} \|_{L^p} \| M_{U,W^{\frac{1}{p}},1} \|_{L^{p'}} \lesssim \left[ W \right]_{A^\alpha_p}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[ W^{-\frac{r}{p'}} \right]_{A^{\alpha_p}_{\infty}}^{\frac{1}{p'}} \left[ W \right]_{A^\alpha_{\infty,p}}^{\frac{1}{p}}
$$

We choose $U_Q = A_Q^\frac{1}{p}$ where

$$
|A_Q \tilde{e}| \simeq \left( \int_Q |W^{\frac{1}{p'}} \tilde{e} |^{(\frac{r}{p'}) \alpha} \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{(\frac{r}{p'}) \alpha}}
$$

and $\alpha = 1 + \frac{1}{\tau_d [W^{-\frac{1}{p'}}]_{A^{\alpha}_{\infty,p}}}$. and $U_Q = B_Q^\frac{1}{p}$ such that

$$
|B_Q \tilde{e}| \simeq \left( \int_Q |W^{\frac{1}{p'}} \tilde{e} |^{\beta \frac{1}{p}} \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta \frac{1}{p}}}
$$

where $\beta = 1 + \frac{1}{\tau_d [W]_{A^{\alpha}_{\infty,p}}}$.

First we observe that by Lemma 5

$$
|U_Q V_Q|_{op} = \left| A_Q^{\frac{1}{p}} B_Q^{\frac{1}{p}} \right|_{op} \lesssim |A_Q B_Q|_{op}^{\frac{1}{p}}
$$

Now by Lemma 4 we have that

$$
|A_Q B_Q|_{op} \lesssim \left| W_{Q,p/r} W_{Q,p/r} \right|_{op}^{\frac{1}{p}}
$$

Consequently

$$
(5.10) \sup_{Q} |U_Q V_Q|_{op} \leq \left[ W \right]_{A^\alpha_p}^{\frac{1}{p}}
$$

Now we show that

$$
(5.11) \| M_{V,W^{-\frac{1}{p}},r} \|_{L^p} \lesssim \left[ W^{-\frac{r}{p'}} \right]_{A^{\alpha_p}_{\infty,p}}^{\frac{1}{p'}} \left[ W \right]_{A^\alpha_{\infty,p}}^{\frac{1}{p}}
$$

First we observe that taking into account Lemma 5 and Reverse Hölder inequality

$$
\left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \left| A_Q^{\frac{1}{p}} W^{-\frac{1}{p'}} (y) \tilde{h}(y) \right|^{\frac{r}{p}} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}
$$

$$
\leq \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \left| A_Q^{\frac{1}{p}} W^{-\frac{1}{p'}} (x) \right|^{(\frac{r}{p'}) \alpha} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{(\frac{r}{p'}) \alpha}} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \left| \tilde{h} \right|^{r((\frac{r}{p'}) \alpha)} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r((\frac{r}{p'}) \alpha)}}
$$

$$
= \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \left| A_Q^{\frac{1}{p}} W^{-\frac{1}{p'}} (x) \right|^{(\frac{r}{p'}) \alpha} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{(\frac{r}{p'}) \alpha}} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \left| \tilde{h} \right|^{r((\frac{r}{p'}) \alpha)} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r((\frac{r}{p'}) \alpha)}}
$$
≤ \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |A_Q^{-1} W^{-\frac{r}{(p \beta)'}}(x)||\xi|^{(p \beta)'dx} \right)^{\frac{1}{(p \beta)'}} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |\mathcal{E}|^{(p \beta)'dx} \right)^{\frac{1}{(p \beta)'}}
\simeq |A_Q^{-1} A_Q|_{op} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |\mathcal{F}|^{(p \beta)'dx} \right)^{\frac{1}{(p \beta)'}} = \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |\mathcal{F}|^{(p \beta)'dx} \right)^{\frac{1}{(p \beta)'}}
from which readily follows that

\|M_{\mathcal{V}, W^{-\frac{r}{(p \beta)'}}}(\mathcal{F})\|_{L^p} \lesssim \|M_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{F})\|_{L^p} \lesssim \left( \frac{1}{r(\frac{p}{(p \beta)'})'} \right)^{\frac{1}{(p \beta)'}} \|\mathcal{F}\|_{L^p}.

Now we observe that by Lemma 6 we can conclude that

\left[ \left( \frac{p}{r(\alpha(\frac{p}{(p \beta)'})')} \right)^{\frac{1}{(p \beta)'}} \right. \lesssim \left[ W^{-\frac{r}{(p \beta)'}} \right]_{A_{\infty}^{\frac{p}{(p \beta)'}}}

and hence (5.11) holds.

It remains to show that

\|M_{\mathcal{V}, W^{-\frac{r}{(p \beta)'}}(y)}\|_{L^p} \lesssim \left[ W^{-\frac{r}{(p \beta)'}} \right]_{A_{\infty}^{\frac{p}{(p \beta)'}}} \tag{5.12}

First note that

\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |B_Q^{-\frac{r}{(p \beta)'}}(y)\mathcal{G}(y)|dy \leq \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |B_Q^{-\frac{r}{(p \beta)'}}(x)||\mathcal{G}|^{(p \beta)'dx} \right)^{\frac{1}{(p \beta)'}} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |\mathcal{G}|^{(p \beta)'dx} \right)^{\frac{1}{(p \beta)'}}
\simeq |B_Q^{-1} B_Q|_{op} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |\mathcal{G}|^{(p \beta)'dx} \right)^{\frac{1}{(p \beta)'}} = \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |\mathcal{G}|^{(p \beta)'dx} \right)^{\frac{1}{(p \beta)'}}

Consequently

\|M_{\mathcal{V}, W^{-\frac{r}{(p \beta)'}}(y)}\|_{L^p} \lesssim \|M_{\mathcal{F}}\|_{L^p} \lesssim \left[ \left( \frac{p'}{(p \beta)'(p \beta)'} \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{(p \beta)'}}

By Lemma 6 we have that

\left[ \left( \frac{p'}{(p \beta)'(p \beta)'} \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{(p \beta)'}} \lesssim \left[ W^{-\frac{r}{(p \beta)'}} \right]_{A_{\infty}^{\frac{p}{(p \beta)'}}}

and (5.12) holds.

Gathering (5.10), (5.12) and (5.11) we obtain (5.9) and hence we are done.

5.3. **Proof of Theorem 3.** In virtue of Theorem 9 applied to $T_{\Omega}^*$ and Lemma 3 we may start arguing as follows.

\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left\langle W^{-\frac{r}{(p \beta)'}} T_{\Omega} \left( W^{-\frac{r}{(p \beta)'}} \right), \mathcal{G} \right\rangle dx \right| = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left\langle T_{\Omega} \left( W^{-\frac{r}{(p \beta)'}} \right), W^{-\frac{r}{(p \beta)'}} \mathcal{G} \right\rangle dx \right|
\leq c_{n,d} \|\Omega\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{S}} \left( \left\langle \mathcal{F} \right\rangle_{1, Q} \left\langle \mathcal{G} \right\rangle_{1, Q} \right)|Q|
\leq \frac{1}{n} c_{n,d} \|\Omega\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})} \sup_{Q} \mathcal{V}_Q \|M_{\mathcal{V}, W^{-\frac{r}{(p \beta)'}}(y)}\|_{L^p} \|M_{\mathcal{V}, W^{-\frac{r}{(p \beta)'}}(y)}\|_{L^p} \|\mathcal{F}\|_{L^p} \|\mathcal{G}\|_{L^p}

Hence it suffices to bound the latter.
We take $\mathcal{V}_Q = A_{Q}^{-\frac{1}{p}}$ where
\[ |A_Q \mathcal{V}| \approx \int_Q |W\mathcal{V}| dx \]
and $\mathcal{U}_Q = V_Q^{-1}$.

For those choices we have that $\sup_Q |\mathcal{U}_Q \mathcal{V}_Q|_{\text{op}} = 1$ First we show that
\[ (5.13) \]
\[ \| M_{V,W^{-\frac{1}{p}},s} \|_{L^p} \lesssim \| W \|_{A_1}^{\frac{1}{p}}. \]

For that purpose we observe that taking into account the definition of $A_1$ weight and Lemma 5
\[ \left( \int_Q |V_Q^{-1} W^{-\frac{1}{p}}(x) \mathcal{V}(x)| dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \]
\[ = \left( \int_Q |A_{Q}^{-\frac{1}{p}} W^{-\frac{1}{p}}(x) \mathcal{V}(x)| dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \left( \int_Q |A_{Q}^{-\frac{1}{p}} W^{-\frac{1}{p}}(x)|_{\text{op}} |\mathcal{V}(x)| dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \]
\[ \lesssim \left( \int_Q |V_Q W^{-1}(x) \mathcal{V}(x)| dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \approx \left( \int_Q \left( \int_Q |W(y) W^{-1}(x)| dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} |\mathcal{V}(x)| dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \]
\[ \leq \| W \|_{A_1}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \int_Q |\mathcal{V}(x)| dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \| W \|_{A_1}^{\frac{1}{p}} M_s \mathcal{V}(x) \]

Consequently
\[ \| M_{V,W^{-\frac{1}{p}},s} \|_{L^p} \lesssim \| W \|_{A_1}^{\frac{1}{p}} \| M_s \mathcal{V} \|_{L^p} \lesssim \| W \|_{A_1}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \| \mathcal{V} \|_{L^p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \]

and choosing for instance $s = \frac{p+1}{2} < p$ (5.13) holds.

To end the proof it suffices to show that
\[ (5.14) \]
\[ \| M_{U,W^{\frac{1}{p}},s} \|_{L^{p'}} \lesssim \| (p_{\mathcal{V}}) \|_{L^{p'}} \]

Let us call $\beta = 1 + \frac{1}{2d+11 \| W \|_{A_{1,\infty}}}$ Then we have that, taking into account Reverse Hölder inequality, and Lemma 5
\[ \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |U_Q^{-1} W^{\frac{1}{p}}(y) \mathcal{V}(y)| dy = \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |A_Q^{-\frac{1}{p}} W^{\frac{1}{p}}(y) \mathcal{V}(y)| \]
\[ \leq \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |A_Q^{-\frac{1}{p}} W^{\frac{1}{p}}(x)|_{\text{op}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |\mathcal{V}(x)| dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \]
\[ \leq \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |A_Q^{-\frac{1}{p}} W^{\frac{1}{p}}(x)|_{\text{op}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |\mathcal{V}(x)| dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \]
\[ \lesssim \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |A_Q^{-\frac{1}{p}} W(x)|_{\text{op}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |\mathcal{V}(x)| dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \]
\[ \approx |A_Q^{-1} A_Q|_{\text{op}} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |\mathcal{V}(x)| dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |\mathcal{V}(x)| dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \]

Consequently
\[ \| M_{U,W^{\frac{1}{p}},1} \|_{L^{p'}} \lesssim \| (p_{\mathcal{V}}) \|_{L^{p'}} \lesssim \left( \frac{p'}{(p\beta)} \right)^{\frac{1}{(p\beta)}} . \]
Now by Lemma 6 we have that
\[ \left[ \left( \frac{p'}{(p')'} \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{(p')'}} \lesssim [W]^{-\frac{1}{4}}_{A^{p,q}_{r,s}} \]
and hence (5.14) follows.

The proof of (2.2) is exactly the same we have just presented replacing the choice we made for \( s \) by \( r \), that satisfies \( r < p \).

5.4. **Proof of Theorem 4.** Again by Theorem 9 applied to \( T_\Omega^s \) and Lemma 3 we may start arguing as follows.

\[ \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle W^{-\frac{1}{p}} T_\Omega \left( W^{-\frac{1}{p}} \bar{h} \right), g \rangle \, dx \right| = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle T_\Omega \left( W^{-\frac{1}{p}} \bar{h} \right), W^{\frac{1}{p}} g \rangle \, dx \right| \]
\[ = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left\langle W^{-\frac{1}{p}} \bar{h}, T_\Omega^s \left( W^{\frac{1}{p}} g \right) \right\rangle \, dx \right| \]
\[ \leq c_{n,d} \| \Omega \|_{L_\infty(G^{d-1})} s \sum_{Q \in S} \| \langle W^{-\frac{1}{p}} \bar{h} \rangle \|_{s,Q} \| \langle W^{\frac{1}{p}} g \rangle \|_{1,Q} |Q| \]
\[ \leq \frac{1}{n} c_{n,d} \| \Omega \|_{L_\infty(G^{d-1})} s \sup_Q \left| U_Q V_Q \right|_{op} \| M_{\mathcal{U},W^{-\frac{1}{p}},s} \|_{L^p} \| M_{\mathcal{V},W^{\frac{1}{p}},1} \|_{L^p} \| \bar{h} \|_{L^p} \| g \|_{L^p} \]

and it will suffice to bound the latter. We choose \( V_Q = A_Q^{-\frac{s}{q}} \) where
\[ |A_Q \bar{e}| \approx \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int |W_{-\frac{1}{2}}(z) \bar{e} \bar{e}' \, dz \right) \]
and \( U_Q = V_Q^{-1} \). For those choices
\[ \sup_Q \left| U_Q V_Q \right|_{op} = 1 \]
so it remains to estimate for
\[ \| M_{\mathcal{V},W^{-\frac{1}{p}},s} \|_{L^p} \quad \text{and} \quad \| M_{\mathcal{U},W^{\frac{1}{p}},1} \|_{L^p} \]

First we show that
(5.15) \[ \| M_{\mathcal{V},W^{-\frac{1}{p}},1} \|_{L^p} \lesssim c_{p,q} \]

Let \( s > 1 \) such that \( 0 < \frac{q}{p} s < 1 \) for instance we may choose \( s = \frac{q+1}{q} \). Then we have that, taking that choice for \( s \) and Lemma 5 into account

\[ \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |V_Q^{-1} W^{-\frac{1}{p}} \bar{h}(x)|^q \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} = \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |A_Q^{-\frac{q}{p}} W^{-\frac{1}{p}} |^q |\bar{h}(x)|^q \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \]
\[ \leq \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |A_Q^{-\frac{q}{p}} W^{-\frac{1}{p}} |^q |\bar{h}(x)|^q \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |\bar{h}(x)|^q \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \]
\[ \leq \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |A_Q^{-1} W^{-\frac{1}{q}} |^q |\bar{h}(x)|^q \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |\bar{h}(x)|^q \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \]
\[ \leq \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |V_Q^{-1} W^{-\frac{1}{q}} |^q \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |\bar{h}(x)|^q \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \]
\[ \leq |A_Q^{-1} A_Q|^{q} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |\bar{h}(x)|^q \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq M_{sq} \bar{h}(x). \]
Consequently
\[ \| M_{V,W} \frac{1}{p}, l \|_{L^p} \lesssim \| M_{sq} l \|_{L^p} \lesssim \left( \frac{p}{sq} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \| l \|_{L^p} \]
and (5.15) holds.

Now we turn our attention to the remaining term. We show that
\[ (5.16) \quad \| M_{U,W} \frac{1}{p}, l \|_{L^{p'}} \lesssim [W]_{A_q}^{\frac{1}{p}} [W]_{A_{\infty,q}}^{\frac{1}{p'}} \]
Let \( \beta = 1 + \frac{q + 1}{p} [W]_{A_{\infty,q}} \). Then we have that by reverse Hölder inequality and Lemma 5,
\[
\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |U^{\frac{1}{p}} W^{\frac{1}{p'}} (y) \bar{g}(y)| dy \leq \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |A_Q W^{\frac{1}{p'}} (y) \bar{g}(y)| dy \\
\leq \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |A_Q W^{\frac{1}{p}} (x)|^{\frac{1}{p}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |\bar{g}(x)|^{\frac{1}{p'}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta'}} \\
\leq \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |A_Q W^{\frac{1}{p}} (x)|^{\frac{1}{q}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q'}} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |\bar{g}(x)|^{\frac{1}{p'}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
\lesssim \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |A_Q W^{\frac{1}{p}} (x)| dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |\bar{g}(x)|^{\frac{1}{p'}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
\lesssim [W]_{A_q}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |\bar{g}(x)|^{\frac{1}{p'}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}}.
\]
Hence,
\[
\| M_{U,W} \frac{1}{p}, l \|_{L^{p'}} \lesssim [W]_{A_q}^{\frac{1}{p}} \| M_{(p, \beta')}^{(p, \beta')} \|_{L^{p'}} \lesssim [W]_{A_q}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \left( \frac{p'}{(p, \beta)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}}
\]
By Lemma 6 it is not hard to conclude, as we did earlier in this section, that
\[ \left( \left( \frac{p'}{(p, \beta)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \lesssim [W]_{A_{\infty,q}}^{\frac{1}{p'}}. \]
Gathering the estimates above, (5.16) holds and we are done.

To settle (2.4) the proof is essentially the same we have just provided for (2.3) just replacing the choice we made for \( s \) by \( r \) and taking into account that by hypothesis \( 0 < \frac{2}{p} < 1 \).

6. Proofs of Coifman-Fefferman estimates

6.1. Estimates assuming \( A_{\infty} \) conditions. Let us settle each case. Let us deal with Calderón-Zygmund operators first. By the sparse domination result in [36] we have that arguing by duality,
\[
\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle W^{\frac{1}{p}} (x) T (W^{-\frac{1}{p}} \bar{f})(x), \bar{g}(x) \rangle dx \right| \\
\lesssim \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{S}} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |W_{p,Q} W^{\frac{1}{p}} \bar{f}| \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |W_{p,Q}^{1} W^{\frac{1}{p}} \bar{g}| \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} |Q| \\
\lesssim \left( \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{S}} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |W_{p,Q} W^{\frac{1}{p}} \bar{f}| \right)^{p} |Q| \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{S}} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |W_{p,Q}^{1} W^{\frac{1}{p}} \bar{g}| \right)^{p'} |Q| \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \\
\lesssim \left\| \sup_Q \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |W_{p,Q} W^{\frac{1}{p}} \bar{f}| \right\|_{L^p} \left( \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{S}} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |W_{p,Q}^{1} W^{\frac{1}{p}} \bar{g}| \right)^{p'} |Q| \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \left( \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{S}} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |W_{p,Q}^{1} W^{\frac{1}{p}} \bar{g}| \right)^{p} |Q| \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.
\]
Now we observe that if $W \in A^{sc}_{\欧, p}$ we have that choosing $r = 1 + \frac{1}{2^{s+1} |W|_{A^{sc}_{\欧, p}}}$
\[
\left\{ \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} |W_{p, Q}^{-1} W_{\frac{1}{r}} g|^{\frac{1}{q'}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q'}} \lesssim \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} |W_{p, Q}^{-1} W_{\frac{1}{r}} g|^{r p} \right)^{\frac{1}{r p}} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} |\bar{g}|^{r p} \right)^{\frac{1}{r p}}
\leq \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} |W_{p, Q}^{-1} W_{\frac{1}{r}} g|^{r p} \right)^{\frac{1}{r p}} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} |\bar{g}|^{r p} \right)^{\frac{1}{r p}}
\leq \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} |g|^{r p} \right)^{\frac{1}{r p}}
\]
and hence
\[
\left( \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{S}} (W_{p, Q}^{-1} W_{\frac{1}{r}} g)_{Q}^{r} \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \lesssim \|M_{r}(g)\|_{L^{p'}} \lesssim \left( \frac{p}{(rp)^{p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{r p}} \|g\|_{L^{p'}}.
\]
Since by Lemma 6
\[
\left( \frac{p}{(rp)^{p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{r p}} \lesssim [W]_{A^{sc}_{\欧, p}}
\]
we are done.

For $T_{\Omega}$, by Theorem 9 we have that
\[
\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} (W_{\frac{1}{r}}^{\Omega}(x)T_{\Omega}(W^{-\frac{1}{r}} \bar{f}) (x), \bar{g}(x)) dx \right|
\leq \|\Omega\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{S}} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} |W_{p, Q} W_{\frac{1}{r}} \bar{f}|^{p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} |W_{p, Q} W_{\frac{1}{r}} \bar{g}|^{s} \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} |Q|
\leq \left( \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{S}} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} |W_{p, Q} W_{\frac{1}{r}} \bar{f}|^{p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} |Q| \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{S}} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} |W_{p, Q} W_{\frac{1}{r}} \bar{g}|^{s} \right)^{\frac{s}{s}} |Q| \right)^{\frac{1}{s}}
\leq \|\Omega\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})} \sup_{Q} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} |W_{p, Q} W_{\frac{1}{r}} \bar{f}|^{p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{S}} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} |W_{p, Q} W_{\frac{1}{r}} \bar{g}|^{s} \right)^{\frac{s}{s}} |Q| \right)^{\frac{1}{s}}
\]
Choosing $s = \left( \frac{p'}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right)$ and $r = 1 + \frac{1}{2^{s+1} |W|_{A^{sc}_{\欧, p}}}$
\[
\left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} |W_{p, Q}^{-1} W_{\frac{1}{r}} g|^{s} \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \lesssim \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} |W_{p, Q}^{-1} W_{\frac{1}{r}} g|^{r p} \right)^{\frac{1}{r p}} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} |\bar{g}|^{r p} \right)^{\frac{1}{r p}}
\leq \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} |W_{p, Q}^{-1} W_{\frac{1}{r}} g|^{r p} \right)^{\frac{1}{r p}} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} |\bar{g}|^{s(r p)} \right)^{\frac{1}{s(r p)}}
\approx \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} |\bar{g}|^{s(r p)} \right)^{\frac{1}{s(r p)}}
\]
Hence arguing as we did to settle (5.8)
\[
\left( \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{S}} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} |W_{p, Q}^{-1} W_{\frac{1}{r}} g|^{r} \right)^{p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \lesssim \|M_{s(r p)}(g)\|_{L^{p'}} \approx [W]_{A^{sc}_{\欧, p}} \|g\|_{L^{p'}}
\]
and we are done.
Finally if $T$ is a $L^{r'}$-Hörmander operator
\[
\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle W_{\bar{r}} \bar{r} W_{\bar{r}}(x)T(W_{\bar{r}}^{-1} \bar{f})(x), \bar{g}(x) \rangle dx \right|
\]
\[\lesssim c_{n,d,T} \sum_{Q \in S} \left( \int_{Q} |W_{\bar{r}}^{-1} W_{\bar{r}}(x) \bar{f}(x)|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \int_{Q} |W_{\bar{r}}^{-1} W_{\bar{r}}(x) \bar{g}(x)|^{p'} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} |Q|
\]
\[\lesssim c_{n,d,T} \left( \sum_{Q \in S} \left( \int_{Q} |W_{p,Q} W_{\bar{r}}^{-1} \bar{f}(x)|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \sum_{Q \in S} \left( \int_{Q} |W_{p,Q} W_{\bar{r}}^{-1} \bar{g}(x)|^{p'} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} |Q|
\]
\[\lesssim c_{n,d,T} \left\| \sup_{Q} \left( \int_{Q} |W_{\bar{r}}^{-1} W_{\bar{r}}(x) \bar{f}(x)|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right\|_{L_{p'}} \left( \sum_{Q \in S} \left( \int_{Q} |W_{\bar{r}}^{-1} W_{\bar{r}}(x) \bar{g}(x)|^{p'} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} |Q|
\]
Taking into account Lemma 5 and Reverse Hölder inequality
\[\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} |W_{\bar{r}}^{-1} W_{\bar{r}}(x) \bar{g}(x)| \leq \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} |W_{\bar{r}}^{-1} W_{\bar{r}}(x) \bar{g}(x)|^{p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} |\bar{g}(x)|^{p' \alpha} \right)^{\frac{1}{p' \alpha}} \leq \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} |W_{\bar{r}}^{-1} W_{\bar{r}}(x) \bar{g}(x)|^{p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} |\bar{g}(x)|^{p'} \right)^{\frac{1}{p' \alpha}} \leq \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} |\bar{g}(x)|^{p'} \right)^{\frac{1}{p' \alpha}}.
\]
From this point arguing as we did for Calderón-Zygmund operators we deduce that
\[\left( \sum_{Q \in S} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} |W_{\bar{r}}^{-1} W_{\bar{r}}(x) \bar{g}(x)|^{p'} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \leq \left\| \sup_{Q} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} |W_{\bar{r}}^{-1} W_{\bar{r}}(x) \bar{g}(x)|^{\frac{1}{p}} \right\|_{L_{p'}} \lesssim [W]_{A_{\infty}} \|\bar{g}\|_{L_{p'}}
\]
and we are done.

6.2. **Estimates assuming $C_p$ type conditions.** Note that arguing by duality, exactly the same argument provided above works, provided we are able to adapt in each case the term involving $\bar{g}$. We begin with Calderón-Zygmund operators. Note that
\[\left( \sum_{Q \in S} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} |W_{p,Q} W_{\bar{r}}^{-1} \bar{g}(x)|^{p'} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \leq \left\| \sum_{Q \in S} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} |W_{p,Q} W_{\bar{r}}^{-1} \bar{g}(x)| \right) \chi_Q \right\|_{L_{p'}}
\]
Hence, arguing by duality
\[\sum_{Q \in S} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} |W_{p,Q} W_{\bar{r}}^{-1} \bar{g}(x)| \right) \hat{h} \]
\[= \sum_{Q \in S} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} |W_{p,Q} W_{\bar{r}}^{-1} \bar{g}(x)|^{p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int |\bar{g}(x)|^{p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int h |Q|
\]
\[\lesssim \sum_{Q \in S} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} |W_{p,Q} W_{\bar{r}}^{-1} \bar{g}(x)|^{p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int |\bar{g}(x)|^{p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int h |Q|
\]

\[
\lesssim \sum_{Q \in S} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} M(\chi_Q)^q \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int |\tilde{g}|^{(\gamma'p)'r} \right)^{\frac{r'}{r}} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int h|Q|
\]
\[
\lesssim \left( \sum_{Q \in S} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} M(\chi_Q)^q \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int h \right)^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \left( \sum_{Q \in S} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int |\tilde{g}|^{(\gamma'p)'r} \right)^{\frac{r'}{r}} |E_Q| \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}}
\]
\[
\lesssim \|h\|_{L^p} \|M_{\gamma}(\tilde{g})\|_{L^{r'}} \lesssim \|h\|_{L^p} \|\tilde{g}\|_{L^{r'}}
\]
where the bound for \( h \) in the last inequality follows from Lemma [4, Corollary 3.7] with \( w = 1 \).

Analogously, in the case of rough singular integrals, choosing \( s > 1 \) and \( \alpha > 1 \) such that \( 1 < \alpha s < \gamma \) and \( 1 < s(\alpha p)' < p' \) we have that
\[
\sum_{Q \in S} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} W_{p,Q}^{\frac{1}{p}} W_{p}^{\frac{1}{p}} |\tilde{g}|^s \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \int h
\]
\[
\leq \sum_{Q \in S} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} W_{p,Q}^{\frac{1}{p}} W_{p}^{\frac{1}{p}} |\tilde{g}|^{s(\alpha p)'} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int |\tilde{g}|^{(\gamma'p)'r} \right)^{\frac{r'}{r}} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int h|Q|
\]
\[
\leq \left( \sum_{Q \in S} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} M(\chi_Q)^q \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int h \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \left( \sum_{Q \in S} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int |\tilde{g}|^{(\gamma'p)'r} \right)^{\frac{r'}{r}} |E_Q| \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}}
\]
\[
\leq \|h\|_{L^p} \|M_{s(\alpha p)'}(\tilde{g})\|_{L^{r'}} \lesssim \|h\|_{L^p} \|\tilde{g}\|_{L^{r'}}
\]
where, again, the bound for \( h \) in the last inequality follows from Lemma [4, Corollary 3.7] with \( w = 1 \).

In the case of \( L^p \)-Hörmander operators, we have that
\[
\sum_{Q \in S} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} W_{p,Q}^{\frac{1}{p}} W_{p}^{\frac{1}{p}} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h
\]
\[
= \sum_{Q \in S} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} W_{p,Q}^{\frac{1}{p}} W_{p}^{\frac{1}{p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int |\tilde{g}|^{(\gamma'p)'r} \right)^{\frac{r'}{r}} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int h|Q|
\]
\[
\leq \sum_{Q \in S} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} W_{p,Q}^{\frac{1}{p}} W_{p}^{\frac{1}{p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int |\tilde{g}|^{(\gamma'p)'r} \right)^{\frac{r'}{r}} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int h|Q|
\]
\[
\leq \sum_{Q \in S} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} M(\chi_Q)^q \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int |\tilde{g}|^{(\gamma'p)'r} \right)^{\frac{r'}{r}} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int h|Q|
\]
and the remainder of the proof is the same as in the case of Calderón-Zygmund operators.

7. PROOFS OF ENDPOINT ESTIMATES

7.1. Proof of Theorem 7. The argument is an adaption of the one used in [7] for the endpoint estimate of the commutator. We reproduce the full argument here for reader’s convenience.

Without loss of generality we may assume that \( \lambda = 1 \) and \( \|\tilde{f}\|_{L^1} = \|\Omega\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 1 \). If
\[
G = \{|W(x)T_\Omega(W^{-1}f)(x)| > 1\} \setminus \{M(|\tilde{f}|)(x) > 1\},
\]
then it will suffice to prove that
\[ |G| \leq c_n,d|W|A_1|W|_{A_{\infty,1}^\infty} \max \{ \log ([|W|A_1 + e), [W]_{A_{\infty,1}^\infty} \} + \frac{1}{2}|G|. \]

Let \( e_i \) the canonic basis in \( \mathbb{R}^n \) and let us consider \( g = \chi_G \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \). We then have that for \( s > 1 \) to be chosen, by sparse domination,
\[ |G| = \left| \left\{ x \in G : |W(x)T_{\Omega}(W^{-1}f)(x) > 1 \right\} \right| \leq \int_G |W(x)T_{\Omega}(W^{-1}f)(x)| \, dx \]
\[ \leq c_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left\langle |W(x)T_{\Omega}(W^{-1}f)(x), g(x) \right\rangle \, dx = c_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left\langle |T_{\Omega}(W^{-1}f)(x), W(x)g(x) \right\rangle \, dx \]
\[ \leq c_n,d||\Omega||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})} r' \sum_{Q \in S} \left\langle |(W^{-1}\tilde{f})|_{1,Q} \left\langle |(W\tilde{g})|_{r,Q} \right\rangle \right\rangle \]

Now we observe that choosing \( r = s = 1 + \frac{1}{3 \cdot 2^{d+1}|W|_{A_{\infty,1}^\infty}} \) we have that \( r' = s' \simeq |W|_{A_{\infty,1}^\infty} \) and that
\[ rs \leq 1 + \frac{1}{2^{d+1}|W|_{A_{\infty,1}^\infty}}. \]

Relying upon this choice we have that arguing similarly as we did to settle Lemma 3
\[ r'\left\langle |(W^{-1}\tilde{f})|_{1,Q} \left\langle |(W\tilde{g})|_{s,Q} \right\rangle \right\rangle \]
= \( r'\left\langle |(W_{1,Q}W^{-1}\tilde{f})|_{1,Q} \left\langle |(W_{1,Q}W\tilde{g})|_{r,Q} \right\rangle \right\rangle \)
\[ \leq r' \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} |W_{1,Q}W^{-1}\tilde{f}| \right) \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} |W_{1,Q}W\tilde{g}|^r \right) \]
\[ \leq c_n,d|W|A_1|W|_{A_{\infty,1}^\infty} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} |\tilde{f}| \right) \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} |W_{1,Q}W|^s \right) \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} |\tilde{g}|^s \right) \]
\[ \leq c_n,d|W|A_1|W|_{A_{\infty,1}^\infty} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} |\tilde{f}| \right) \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} g \right) \]
where \( g = \chi_G \), and consequently,
\[ |G| \leq c_n,d|W|A_1|W|_{A_{\infty,1}^\infty} \sum_{Q \in S} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} |\tilde{f}| \right) \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} g \right) \]

We may assume that \( S \) is \( \frac{1}{4} \)-sparse. Otherwise we may split the sparse family \( S \) by [26, Lemma 6.6] and deal just with the maximum of the resulting sparse family sums times a constant depending only on the sparse constant.

Being that reduction done we now split the sparse family as follows. We say that \( Q \in S_{k,j}, k, j \geq 0 \) if
\[ 2^{-j-1} < \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} |\tilde{f}(y)| \, dy \leq 2^{-j}, \quad 2^{-k-1} < \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} |g(y)| \, dy \right)^{\frac{1}{s'}} \leq 2^{-k}. \]
Then we can write
\[ |G| \leq c_n,d|W|A_1|W|_{A_{\infty,1}^\infty} \sum_{j=0}^\infty \sum_{k=0}^\infty \sum_{Q \in S_{k,j}} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} g(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{s'}} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} |f(y)| \, dy/Q \]
\[ := c_n,d|W|A_1|W|_{A_{\infty,1}^\infty} \sum_{k=0}^\infty \sum_{j=0}^\infty s_{k,j}. \]
We claim that
\[ s_{k,j} \leq c_{n,d}[W]_{A_1}[W]_{A_{\infty}^{-1}} \min \left\{ 2 \cdot 2^{-k}, c_{n,d}2^{-j}2^{k(r'-1)+r'}|G| \right\} := \alpha_{k,j}. \]
For the first bound we argue as follows. Let \( E_Q = Q \setminus \bigcup_{Q' \in S_{j,k}} Q' \). Then
\[
\int_Q |\tilde{f}(y)|dy = \int_{E_Q} |\tilde{f}(y)|dy + \int_{\bigcup_{Q' \in S_{j,k}} Q'} |\tilde{f}(y)|dy \\
\leq \int_{E_Q} |\tilde{f}(y)|dy + \sum_{Q' \in S_{j,k}} \int_{Q'} |\tilde{f}(y)|dy.
\]
For the second term on the right hand side, we have that since \( S \) is \( \frac{5}{4} \)-Carleson
\[
\sum_{Q' \in S_{j,k}} \int_{Q'} |\tilde{f}(y)|dy \leq 2^{-j} \sum_{Q' \in S_{j,k}} |Q'| \leq 2^{-j-2} |Q| \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_Q |\tilde{f}(y)|dy.
\]
Thus,
\[
\int_Q |\tilde{f}(y)|dy \leq 2 \int_{E_Q} |\tilde{f}(y)|dy,
\]
from which readily follows that
\[
s_{k,j} \leq 2 \sum_{Q \in S_{j,k}} \int_{E_Q} |\tilde{f}(y)|dy \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q g(x)dx \right)^{1/2} \\
\leq 2 \cdot 2^{-k} \sum_{Q \in S_{j,k}} \int_{E_Q} |f(y)|dy \leq 2 \cdot 2^{-k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(y)|dy = 2 \cdot 2^{-k}.
\]
For the second estimate of \( s_{k,j} \), let \( S_{j,k}^* \) denote the maximal cubes in \( S_{j,k} \). Then, taking into account again that \( S \) is \( \frac{5}{4} \)-Carleson,
\[
s_{k,j} \leq 2^{-j}2^{-k} \sum_{Q \in S_{j,k}} |Q| \leq 2^{-j}2^{-k} \sum_{Q \in S_{j,k}^*} \sum_{P \subseteq Q} |P| \\
\leq \frac{5}{4} 2^{-j}2^{-k} \sum_{Q \in S_{j,k}^*} |Q| = \frac{5}{4} 2^{-j}2^{-k} \left| \bigcup_{Q \in S_{j,k}} Q \right| \\
= \frac{5}{4} 2^{-j}2^{-k} \left| \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d : Mg(x) > 2^{-r's'-k} \right\} \right| \\
\leq c_d 2^{-j}2^{k(r's'-1)+r'}|G|;
\]
Combining the estimates above, we obtain
\[
|G| \leq c_{n,d}[W]_{A_1}[W]_{A_{\infty}^{-1}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{k,j}.
\]
Fix \( \gamma > 0 \), to be chosen later on. To complete the proof we decompose the double sum as follows.
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{k,j} = \sum_{j \geq \left\lceil \log_2 \left( [W]_{A_1}[W]_{A_{\infty}^{-1}} \right) \right\rceil + \left\lceil k(2s'-1)+2s' \right\rceil + k}^{\infty} \alpha_{k,j} \\
+ \sum_{j < \left\lceil \log_2 \left( [W]_{A_1}[W]_{A_{\infty}^{-1}} \right) \right\rceil + \left\lceil k(2s'-1)+2s' \right\rceil + k}^{\infty} \alpha_{k,j}.
\]
To estimate the first sum on the right, note that
\[
\sum_{j \geq \log_2 \left( [W_{A_1} | W_{A_\infty} \gamma] \right) + [k(r's-1)+r's'] + k} \alpha_{k,j}
\]
\[
\leq c_{n,d} [W_{A_1} | W_{A_{\infty,1}}^{\alpha_{sc}}] |G| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{k(r's-1)+r's'} \sum_{j \geq \log_2 \left( [W_{A_1} | W_{A_{\infty,1}}^{\alpha_{sc}}] \right) + [k(r's-1)+r's'] + k} 2^{-j}
\]
\[
= c_{n,d} [W_{A_1} | W_{A_{\infty,1}}^{\alpha_{sc}}] |G| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{k(r's-1)+r's'} 2^{-\left( \log_2 \left( [W_{A_1} | W_{A_{\infty,1}}^{\alpha_{sc}}] \right) + [k(r's-1)+r's'] + k \right)}
\]
\[
\leq c_{n,d} [W_{A_1} | W_{A_{\infty,1}}^{\alpha_{sc}}] \gamma |G| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-k} \leq \frac{2c_{n,d}}{\gamma} |G|.
\]

Therefore, it suffices to let \( \gamma = 4c_{n,d} \).

To estimate the second sum on the right, note that
\[
\sum_{j < \log_2 \left( [W_{A_1} | W_{A_{\infty,1}}^{\alpha_{sc}}] \right) + [k(r's-1)+r's'] + k} \alpha_{k,j}
\]
\[
\leq c_{n,d} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{1 \leq j < \log_2 \left( [W_{A_1} | W_{A_{\infty,1}}^{\alpha_{sc}}] \right) + [k(r's-1)+r's'] + k} 2^{-k} [W_{A_1} | W_{A_{\infty,1}}^{\alpha_{sc}}]
\]
\[
\leq c_{n,d} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \log_2 \left( [W_{A_1} | W_{A_{\infty,1}}^{\alpha_{sc}}] 4c_d \right) + krs \right) 2^{-k} [W_{A_1} | W_{A_{\infty,1}}^{\alpha_{sc}}]
\]
\[
\leq c_{n,d} [W_{A_1} | W_{A_{\infty,1}}^{\alpha_{sc}}] \max \left\{ \log \left( [W_{A_1} + e] , [W_{A_{\infty,1}}^{\alpha_{sc}}] \right) \right\}.
\]

If we now combine all the preceding estimates, we complete the proof.

7.2. Proof of Theorem 8. We will follow ideas in [32, p. 2544]. By duality for Lorentz-Bochner spaces
\[
\left| W_{H}^2 (x) T(W^{-\frac{1}{r}} f)(x) \right|_{L_r, \infty (\mathbb{R}^d)} = \sup_{\|\tilde{g}\|_{L_r, (\mathbb{R}^d)} = 1} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle W_{H}^2 (x) T(W^{-\frac{1}{r}} f)(x), \tilde{g}(x) \rangle dx \right|.
\]

Hence it suffices to bound the right-hand side. First note that by sparse domination
\[
\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle W_{H}^2 (x) T(W^{-\frac{1}{r}} f)(x), \tilde{g}(x) \rangle dx \right| = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle T(W^{-\frac{1}{r}} f)(x), W_{H}^2 (x) \tilde{g}(x) \rangle dx \right|
\]
\[
\leq c_{n,d,T} \sum_{Q} \left\langle \langle W_{H}^{-\frac{1}{r}} f \rangle \rangle_{r,Q} \langle \langle W_{H}^2 \tilde{g} \rangle \rangle_{1,Q} |Q| \right|.
\]

Now we observe that choosing \( \alpha = 1 + \frac{1}{2^d+11|W_{A_{\infty,1}}^{\alpha_{sc}}|} \) we can argue as follows
\[
\langle \langle W_{H}^{-\frac{1}{r}} f \rangle \rangle_{r,Q} \langle \langle W_{H}^2 \tilde{g} \rangle \rangle_{1,Q} |Q|
\]
\[
= \langle \langle W_{H}^{-\frac{1}{r}} f \rangle \rangle_{r,Q} \langle \langle W_{H}^{-\frac{1}{r}} W_{H}^2 \tilde{g} \rangle \rangle_{1,Q} |Q|
\]
\[
\leq \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} |W_{H}^{-\frac{1}{r}} W_{H}^2 \tilde{g}| \right) \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} |W_{H}^{-\frac{1}{r}} W_{H}^2 \tilde{g}| \right) |Q|\]
Taking these computations and our sparse domination result into account, we have

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle W^\frac{1}{r}(x)T(W^{-\frac{1}{r}}f)(x), \bar{g}(x) \rangle dx \leq \langle W^\frac{1}{r} \sum_{Q \in S} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |\bar{f}|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |\bar{g}|^{\alpha r} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha r}} |Q| \rangle
\]

Taking these computations and our sparse domination result into account,

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle W^\frac{1}{r}(x)T(W^{-\frac{1}{r}}f)(x), \bar{g}(x) \rangle dx \leq \langle W^\frac{1}{r} \sum_{Q \in S} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |\bar{f}|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |\bar{g}|^{\alpha r} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha r}} |Q| \rangle
\]

This ends the proof.
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