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Abstract

The general \(d\)-dimensional twisted group lattice is solved. The irreducible representations of the corresponding group are constructed by an explicit procedure. It is proven that they are complete. All matrix representation solutions to the quantum hyperplane equations are obtained.
I. Introduction

The general $d$-dimensional twisted group lattice is a particular kind of group lattice. A group lattice is a lattice constructed from a discrete group $G$ and a subset $NN$ of $G$. The subset $NN$ is called the nearest-neighbor set and is not necessarily a subgroup. Recall that a lattice is determined by specifying sites and bonds. For each element $g \in G$, one associates a site. Hence, the number of lattice sites is the number of elements of $G$. This is the order of $G$ and is denoted by $o(G)$. The identity element $e$ of $G$ is by definition associated with the origin of the lattice. The subset $NN$ is used to determine the bonds of the lattice. A site $g'$ is a nearest-neighbor site of $g$ if $g'g^{-1} \in NN$. Hence, the nearest-neighbor sites of a site $g$ are the elements in the right-coset $NNg$, so that the bonds $B$ of the lattice are $B = \{ [hg, g] , \text{ such that } g \in G, h \in NN \}$. Here, the pair $[hg, g]$ indicates that a bond goes between sites $hg$ and $g$. One requires that if $h \in NN$ then $h^{-1} \in NN$ so that if $g'$ is a nearest neighbor of $g$ then $g$ is also a nearest neighbor of $g'$. For more discussion on group lattices, see Refs. [1]–[4].

Group lattices are interesting for the following reason. If the irreducible representations of $G$ are known, then one can compute the free propagation of a particle through the lattice. The particle is allowed to move between nearest-neighbor sites. Hopping parameters are introduced to control the ease or difficulty of moving over a bond. The formalism is given in Ref. [1] both for bosons or fermions. It is also possible to define field theories on group lattices. The free field theory is exactly solvable and a perturbative expansion exists for interacting theories. For details of the solution method, see Ref. [1].

The group lattice is a general concept and is expected to have many physical applications. An example occurs for $C_{60}$. The carbon atoms sit at the sites of a group lattice based on the alternating group $A_5$. Using some approximations, a theoretical computation of the electronic structure of $C_{60}$ can be performed. There is good agreement between the group-lattice results and experiments.

The twisted group lattices form a particular class of general group lattices. To define the $d$-dimensional twisted group lattice, we must specify $G_d$ and $NN$. The group $G_d$ has $d$ generators, denoted by $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_d$. They satisfy several relations. First, $x_i^{L_i} = e$, for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, d$, where $L_i$ are positive integers. Secondly, it is useful to define

$$z_{ij} \equiv x_j^{-1}x_i^{-1}x_jx_i , \quad \text{for } i < j . \quad (1.1)$$

The $z_{ij}$ commute with the $x_k$, and consequently with themselves. In addition, $z_{ij}^{N_{ij}} = e$, where $N_{ij}$ are non-negative integers. Consistency requires that $N_{ij}$ and $N_{ji}$ divide $L_i$ for $j \neq i$. Let us summarize these statements:
Firstly, the group $G_d$ associated with the $d$-dimensional twisted group lattice is

$$G_d = \left\{ x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2} \ldots x_d^{n_d} \prod_{i<j} z_{ij}^{n_{ij}}, \text{ such that } n_i = 0, 1, \ldots, L_i-1, \right\}.$$  

$$n_{ij} = 0, 1, \ldots, N_{ij}-1, \quad x_j x_i x_j^{-1} x_i^{-1} = z_{ij} \text{ for } i < j, \quad x_k z_{ij} = z_{ij} x_k,$$

$$z_{ij} z_{kl} = z_{kl} z_{ij}, \quad x_i^{L_i} = z_{ij}^{N_{ij}} = e, \quad N_{ij} \text{ divides } L_i \text{ and } L_j \text{ for all } i < j \right\}. \quad (1.2)$$

We remark that the group $G_d$ is an iterated semidirect product of cyclic groups. First observe that $G_d$ contains an abelian normal subgroup, $A_d \triangleleft G_d$, with

$$A_d = \left\{ x_1^{n_1} \prod_{i=1}^{d-1} z_{id}^{n_{id}} \right\} = \mathbb{Z}_{L_d} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{N_{1d}} \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{N_{d-1d}}. \quad (1.3)$$

From this it is clear that the quotient group $G_d/A_d$ is just the group associated to the twisted group lattice in $d-1$ dimensions,

$$G_d/A_d = G_{d-1} \subset G_d. \quad (1.4)$$

One says that $G_d$ is an extension of $G_{d-1}$ by $A_d$. Since $G_{d-1}$ is also a subgroup of $G_d$, one has $G_{d-1} \cap A_d = \{e\}$, and $G_d$ is the semi-direct product of $G_{d-1}$ and $A_d$,

$$G_d = G_{d-1} \cdot A_d. \quad (1.5)$$

By iterating this construction, one arrives at

$$G_d = (\ldots (G_1 \cdot A_2) \cdot A_3) \ldots ) \cdot A_d, \quad (1.6)$$

with $G_1 = \mathbb{Z}_{L_1}$ and $A_i$ given by Eq. (1.3).

Secondly, the nearest-neighbor set $NN$ associated with $G_d$ is chosen to be

$$NN = \{x_1, x_1^{-1}, x_2, x_2^{-1}, \ldots, x_d, x_d^{-1}\}. \quad (1.7)$$

Apparently, a different group lattice is obtained for different values of $N_{ij}$ and $L_i$. The center of the group $G_d$ is generated by the $z_{ij}$. The number of elements in $G$ is

$$o(G_d) = \left( \prod_{i=1}^{d} L_i \right) \left( \prod_{i<j} N_{ij} \right). \quad (1.8)$$

The general form of an element is

$$g = x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2} \ldots x_d^{n_d} \prod_{ij} z_{ij}^{n_{ij}}, \quad (1.9)$$
where \( n_i \) ranges from 0 to \( L_i - 1 \) and \( n_{ij} \) ranges from 0 to \( N_{ij} - 1 \). Associate with a site \( g \) of the form in Eq. (1.9) the point

\[
(n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_d; n_{12}, n_{13}, \ldots, n_{d-1,d})
\]

in the \( d(d + 1)/2 \) dimensional hypercubic lattice. We call the first \( d \) coordinates the space coordinates and the last \( d(d - 1)/2 \) coordinates the internal coordinates.

The nearest neighbors of \( g \) are obtained by multiplying by \( x_i \) or \( x_i^{-1} \). When \( g \) in Eq. (1.9) is multiplied by \( x_i \), the exponent of \( x_i \) increases by one and becomes \( n_i + 1 \). This corresponds to a movement of one unit in the \( i \)th direction. When \( g \) in Eq. (1.9) is multiplied by \( x_i^{-1} \), the exponent of \( x_i \) decreases by one and becomes \( n_i - 1 \). This corresponds to a movement of one unit in the negative \( i \)th direction. If all \( N_{ij} = 1 \), then the regular periodic hypercubic lattice in \( d \) dimensions is obtained, i.e. \( A_i = \mathbb{Z}_{L_i} \) and \( G_d = \bigotimes_{i=1}^{d} \mathbb{Z}_{L_i} \). The twisted group lattices, for which \( N_{ij} \geq 2 \), differ from regular lattices in that one does not necessarily return to the same element when going around a closed path. For example, going around an elementary plaquette in the \( i-j \) plane starting at the origin corresponds to \( x_{j-1} x_{i-1} x_j x_i \), for \( i < j \). This path does not return to the origin but to \( z_{ij} \). In general, a path starting at the origin returns to the origin if and only if the region projected onto each of the \( i-j \) planes has an area which is 0 (mod \( N_{ij} \)). When particles propagate on a twisted group lattice, this constraint needs to be taken into account.

The main goal of the current work is to solve the general \( d \)-dimensional group lattice. This requires that one obtains the irreducible representations of \( G_d \) in Eq. (1.2). In principle, all irreducible representations of \( G_d \) can be constructed from those of \( G_{d-1} \) by using the method of induced representations. However, the explicit iteration of this method based on Eq. (1.6) becomes rather involved. In this paper, we have developed a different and more direct approach. In Sect. 2, unitary representations are found. In Sect. 3, it is proven that the representations obtained in Sect. 2 are irreducible and complete. With this solution, one can use the formulas in Refs. [1, 8] to compute interesting results. The free propagation of particles on a \( d \)-dimensional twisted group lattice can be solved. If interacting field theories are put on these group lattices, a perturbative expansion can be performed.

The cases of \( d = 2 \), \( d = 3 \) and \( d = 4 \) have been solved in Refs. [3, 4, 8]. The solution method of the current work serves to reproduce the results for \( d = 2 \), \( d = 3 \) and \( d = 4 \) in a unified framework. For general \( d \), we uncover an interesting connection with alternating bilinear forms on free \( \mathbb{Z}_N \)-modules of finite rank. We develop this and the corresponding mathematics in Sect. 2. Because of the interesting physical character of the twisted group lattices there are likely to be applications in physics.

\footnote{The exponents of the \( z_{ij} \) may also change.}
One possibility worth exploring is generalized spin. The gamma matrices $\Gamma_i$ of the euclidean Dirac equation satisfy $\Gamma_i \Gamma_j + \Gamma_j \Gamma_i = \delta_{ij}$. This system of equations is closely related to the twisted group lattice with $N_{ij} = 2$ for all $i < j$. Indeed, gamma matrix structure has been shown to arise naturally for this case. Generalized gamma matrices for which $\Gamma_i \Gamma_j \pm \Gamma_j \Gamma_i = \delta_{ij}$ for various signs may be of interest. The results of Sect. 2 allow one to construct the irreducible representations for this algebra. Equations such as $\Gamma_i \Gamma_j + \exp(i\phi_{ij}) \Gamma_j \Gamma_i = 0$, where $\exp(i\phi_{ij})$ are roots of unity, are of interest in parastatistics. Again, the results of Sect. 2 may be of use.

At an intermediate step in our solution method, we are lead to the equation

$$\Gamma_i \Gamma_j = \Gamma_j \Gamma_i \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i n_{ij}}{N}\right), \quad (1.11)$$

where $N$ and $n_{ij} = -n_{ji}$ are integers, and where $\Gamma_i$ are matrices. The equations in Eq. (1.11) enter in several mathematical and physical contexts. When $\Gamma_i$ are regarded as elements of an abstract algebra, (1.11) corresponds to the quantum hyperplane, which arises in regard to quantum groups. Hence, matrix solutions to (1.11) are matrix representations of the quantum hyperplane algebra. When the $\Gamma_i$ are $N \times N$ matrices, where $N$ is the parameter on the right-hand side of Eq. (1.11), there are several applications: (i) the solution to self-dual Yang-Mills equations on a hypertorus with twisted boundary conditions [13], (ii) Witten’s work [14] on contraints on supersymmetry breaking, (iii) the twisted Eguchi-Kawai model [15] and (iv) the twisted Eguchi-Kawai model at finite temperature [16, 17]. Eq. (1.11) is also relevant for certain $d$-dimensional crystals with screw dislocations.

Problem (i) was considered by G. ’t Hooft in connection with quark confinement in an $SU(N)$ gauge theory. In Ref. [18], solutions were found in $d = 4$ for the special case in which $N$ is not divisible by a prime-number squared. Complete solutions in arbitrary dimensions were found in refs. [19, 20]. Our results for the intermediate problem governed by Eq. (1.11) are equivalent to those in refs. [19, 20].

The solution to Eq. (1.11) for $\Gamma_i$ of arbitrary size makes use of $N \times N$ matrices $P\,(N)$ and $Q\,(N)$ satisfying

$$P\,(N) \ Q\,(N) = Q\,(N) \ P\,(N) \ \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{N}\right) \quad (1.12)$$

An explicit realization is

$$P\,(N) = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}.$$
\[ Q_{(N)} = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & e^{2\pi i/N} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & e^{4\pi i/N} & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & e^{2(N-1)\pi i/N}
\end{pmatrix}, \tag{1.13}
\]

where the subscript \((N)\) indicates the size of the matrix. The \(N \times N\) identity matrix is denoted by \(I_{(N)}\).

Because of the need for elaborate notation, we summarize some additional conventions here. When curly brackets \{\} appear around a variable with \(ij\) indices, it means that \(ij\) range over the \(d(d-1)/2\) values \(ij = 12, 13, \ldots, d-1d\). Integers \(k_i\) and \(k_{ij}\) are used for representation labels. The letter \(p\) indicates a prime number. The integer \(\ell\) labels different prime sectors. These and other superscripts are enclosed in parenthesis to avoid possible confusion with an exponent. The letters \(r, s, t\) and \(u\), with or without subscripts and superscripts, are reserved for integer powers. The reader should be aware that the use of the exponents \(r\) and \(u\) differs from Ref. [8]. Representations are denoted by \((r)\) and \((s)\) and are enclosed in parenthesis to distinguish them from the exponents \(r\) and \(s\). For the corresponding dimension and character, the letters \(d\) and \(\chi\) are used. A capital greek \(\Omega\) indicates a two-form. The associated antisymmetric matrix is denoted by \(\omega\). The standard one-form basis is \(dx^1, dx^2, \ldots, dx^d\). The greek letters \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\) stand for general one-forms. The corresponding component, i.e., the coefficient of \(dx^i\), is denoted by using the equivalent latin index \(a_i\) and \(b_i\). With a subscript \(i\) or \(ij\), \(n\) stands for a power of a group element factor as in Eq. (1.9). Without a subscript, it labels different tensor product sectors. In the \(\ell\)th prime sector, \(n\) ranges from 1 to \(N_\ell\). One should not confuse \(N_\ell\) with the twisting integers \(N_{ij}\) which determine the group \(G_d\) in Eq. (1.2). Modular arithmetic is indicated with the symbol “mod”. Because the construction procedure is algebraically tedious, we have provided an example of the method in an appendix.

II. Irreducible Representation Construction

This section finds the complete set of irreducible representations for the \(d\)-dimensional twisted group lattice defined by the set \(\{N_{ij}, L_i\}\). The representations are determined by the two sets of integers \(k_{ij}\), for \(1 \leq i < j \leq d\), and \(k_i\), for \(i = 1, \ldots, d\). Hence, \(d(d+1)/2\) integers specify a representation \((r)\). The \(k_{ij}\) are “internal momenta” associated with the \(z_{ij}\) group elements and range from 0 to \(N_{ij}-1\). The \(k_i\) are space-time momenta and take on values from 0 to \(L_i-1\). However, some sets of \(k_i\) lead to equivalent representations. Equivalent sets are related by a group \(E\) which is specified below. It turns out that the dimension \(d_{(r)}\) of \((r)\) depends only on the ratios \(k_{ij}/N_{ij}\) and not on the \(k_i\).
Since the $z_{ij}$ commute with all elements, the corresponding representation matrices can be chosen to be diagonal

$$z_{ij} \to I_{(d_r)} \exp \left(2\pi i \frac{k_{ij}}{N_{ij}}\right). \quad (2.1)$$

The phases in Eq. (2.1) are fixed by the requirement that $z_{ij}^{N_{ij}}$ be the identity element. The matrix representations of $x_j$ are of the form

$$x_j \to \Gamma_j \exp \left(2\pi i \frac{k_j}{L_j}\right), \quad (2.2)$$

where the $\Gamma_i$ are $d_r \times d_r$ matrices satisfying

$$\Gamma_j \Gamma_i = \Gamma_i \Gamma_j \exp \left(2\pi i \frac{k_{ij}}{N_{ij}}\right), \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i < j \leq d, \quad (2.3)$$

and

$$\Gamma_i^{L_i} = 1, \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \ldots, d. \quad (2.4)$$

The key problem is to find $\Gamma_i$ satisfying Eqs. (2.3) and (2.4). Note that the $k_i$ no longer appear.

For the rest of this section, fix the values of the $\{k_{ij}\}$. Since it is only the ratio $k_{ij}/N_{ij}$ which enters Eqs. (2.1) and (2.3), we reduce this fraction by removing common factors:

$$k_{ij}/N_{ij} = k'_{ij}/N'_{ij}, \quad (2.5)$$

where

$$\gcd \left(k'_{ij}, N'_{ij}\right) = 1. \quad (2.6)$$

When $k_{ij} = 0$, we set $k'_{ij} = 0$ and define $N'_{ij} = 1$. Let $N'$ be the least common multiple of the $N'_{ij}$,

$$N' = \text{lcm} \left\{N'_{ij}\right\}. \quad (2.7)$$

At this point we use the prime factorization idea of Ref. [8]. Factorize $N'$ and the $N'_{ij}$ into primes $p_\ell$ using

$$N' = \prod_{\ell=1}^L p_\ell^{s_\ell}, \quad \text{where } s_\ell \geq 1. \quad (2.8)$$

and

$$N'_{ij} = \prod_{\ell=1}^L p_\ell^{l_{ij}(\ell)}, \quad \text{where } l_{ij}(\ell) \geq 0. \quad (2.9)$$
The $L$ prime numbers which enter in $N'$ are $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_L$. The integer $t_{ij}^{(\ell)}$ is defined to be zero when $p_\ell$ does not appear in $N'_{ij}$. Eq. (2.7) implies that $s_\ell$ is the maximum value of the $t_{ij}^{(\ell)}$ as $ij$ ranges over $1 \leq i < j \leq d$, i.e.,

$$s_\ell = \max_{ij} \left\{ t_{ij}^{(\ell)} \right\} . \quad (2.10)$$

The ratio in Eq. (2.3) can be written as a sum of “prime fractions” via

$$\frac{k'_{ij}}{N'_{ij}} = \sum_{\ell=1}^L \frac{\omega_{ij}^{(\ell)}}{p_\ell^{s_\ell}} . \quad (2.11)$$

Eq. (2.11) defines the integers $\omega_{ij}^{(\ell)}$. It may happen that the fraction $\omega_{ij}^{(\ell)}/p_\ell^{s_\ell}$ can be reduced, in which case $p_\ell | \omega_{ij}^{(\ell)}$, but if $t_{ij}^{(\ell)} = s_\ell$ for a particular value of $ij$, then, as consequence of Eqs. (2.8)–(2.10), $\gcd(\omega_{ij}^{(\ell)}, p_\ell) = 1$. In short, there is at least one $\omega_{ij}^{(\ell)}$ that is relatively prime to $p_\ell$.

The results in the previous paragraph allow one to factorize the $\Gamma_i$. Write $\Gamma_i$ as a tensor product of $L$ factors via

$$\Gamma_i = \Gamma_i^{(1)} \otimes \Gamma_i^{(2)} \otimes \ldots \otimes \Gamma_i^{(L)} . \quad (2.12)$$

If

$$\Gamma_j^{(\ell)} \Gamma_i^{(\ell)} = \Gamma_i^{(\ell)} \Gamma_j^{(\ell)} \exp \left( 2\pi i \frac{\omega_{ij}^{(\ell)}}{p_\ell^{s_\ell}} \right) \quad (2.13)$$

and

$$\left( \Gamma_i^{(\ell)} \right)^{L_i} = 1 , \quad (2.14)$$

then Eqs. (2.3) and (2.4) are satisfied as a consequence of Eqs. (2.11)–(2.14), as one can easily check. The converse is also true. In summary, the problem has been reduced to finding representations of Eqs. (2.13) and (2.14), i.e. of a single prime-factor sector $\ell$.

Since it is sufficient to consider a single sector, let us temporarily simplify notation by doing away with the index $\ell$. Define

$$\omega_{ij} \equiv \omega_{ij}^{(\ell)} , \quad s \equiv s_\ell , \quad p \equiv p_\ell , \quad (2.15)$$

so that

$$\Gamma_j^{(\ell)} \Gamma_i^{(\ell)} = \Gamma_i^{(\ell)} \Gamma_j^{(\ell)} \exp \left( 2\pi i \frac{\omega_{ij}}{p^{s_\ell}} \right) , \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq d . \quad (2.16)$$

The $\omega_{ij}$ are integers modulo $p^s$. One has

$$\gcd(\{\omega_{ij}\}, p) = 1 \quad \text{for at least one value of } ij . \quad (2.17)$$
If is convenient to define
\[ \omega_{ji} \equiv -\omega_{ij} \quad (\text{mod } p), \quad \text{for } i < j. \quad (2.18) \]
Then, the integers \( \omega_{ij} \) comprise an antisymmetric \( d \times d \) matrix, \( \omega \). Such a matrix can be associated with a formal two-form \( \Omega \) defined by
\[ \Omega \equiv \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq d} \omega_{ij} \, dx^i \wedge dx^j. \quad (2.19) \]
From Eq. (2.17), one concludes \( \Omega \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p} \).

We now begin to decompose the two-form \( \Omega \). More precisely, we shall show that there exist \( 2M \) independent one-forms \( \alpha^{(1)}, \beta^{(1)}, \alpha^{(2)}, \beta^{(2)}, \ldots, \alpha^{(M)}, \beta^{(M)} \), such that
\[ \Omega = \alpha^{(1)} \wedge \beta^{(1)} + \alpha^{(2)} \wedge \beta^{(2)} + \ldots + \alpha^{(M)} \wedge \beta^{(M)} + \Omega' \pmod{p^s}. \quad (2.20) \]
Here, \( M \) is the smallest integer such that
\[ \Omega \wedge (M+1) \equiv \left. \underbrace{\Omega \wedge \cdots \wedge \Omega}_{\text{M+1 times}} \right\} = 0 \pmod{p}, \quad (2.21) \]
and
\[ \Omega' = 0 \pmod{p}. \quad (2.22) \]
We note that \( 2M \) is equal to the rank of the matrix \( \omega \) \( \pmod{p} \). It makes sense to consider the rank of a matrix modulo \( p \) because the integers modulo \( p \) constitute a field. It is also a well-known result that the rank of an antisymmetric matrix is an even integer. If we write
\[ \Omega' \equiv \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq d} \omega'_{ij} \, dx^i \wedge dx^j, \quad (2.23) \]
then Eq. (2.22) implies that
\[ p \mid \omega'_{ij}, \quad \text{for all } i < j. \quad (2.24) \]
We express Eq. (2.24) compactly as \( p \mid \Omega' \). When we say that one-forms \( \omega^{(1)}, \omega^{(2)}, \ldots, \omega^{(N)} \) are independent, we mean that the only solution to the equation \( \sum_{n=1}^{N} c_n \omega^{(n)} = 0 \pmod{p} \) is \( c_n = 0 \pmod{p} \).\footnote{Equivalently, \( \omega^{(1)}, \omega^{(2)}, \ldots, \omega^{(N)} \) are independent if and only if \( \omega^{(1)} \wedge \omega^{(2)} \wedge \ldots \wedge \omega^{(N)} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p} \).}

For \( s = 1 \), Eq. (2.20) reduces to the standard decomposition of a two-form over a number field (in this case \( \mathbb{Z}_p \)). When \( s > 1 \), it is non-standard because the abelian ring \( \mathbb{Z}_{p^s} \) contains zero divisors, namely \( p^u \) for \( u = 1, \ldots, s-1 \). By hiding the zero divisor part of \( \Omega \) in \( \Omega' \), we can apply the standard decomposition to \( \Omega - \Omega' \).
A constructive proof of Eqs. (2.20)–(2.22) is as follows. If $M = 0$, let $\Omega' = \Omega$. Then, there is nothing to show: If $M = 0$ then $\Omega = 0 \pmod{p}$ so that $\Omega' = 0 \pmod{p}$. Thus, assume $M \geq 1$. Since $\text{rank } \omega \pmod{p} = 2M$, there exists a least one entry $\omega_{ij}$ such that $\omega_{ij} \neq 0 \pmod{p}$. By relabelling indices we may assume that $ij = 12$ without loss of generality. Let

$$a_1^{(1)} \equiv 1, \quad a_2^{(1)} = b_1^{(1)} \equiv 0,$$

$$a_i^{(1)} \equiv -\omega_{2i}/\omega_{12} \pmod{p^s}, \quad i \geq 3,$$

$$b_i^{(1)} \equiv \omega_{1i}, \quad i \geq 2. \quad (2.25)$$

In defining $a_i^{(1)}$, it makes sense to divide by $\omega_{12}$, because $\omega_{12}^{-1}$ exists since $\gcd(\omega_{12}, p) = 1$. Take

$$\alpha^{(1)}(m) = \sum_{i=1}^{d} a_i^{(m)} dx^i, \quad \beta^{(1)}(m) = \sum_{i=1}^{d} b_i^{(m)} dx^i. \quad (2.26)$$

Note that $\gcd(b_{2}^{(1)}, p) = \gcd(\omega_{12}, p) = 1$. Define the remainder two-form $\hat{\Omega}$ by

$$\hat{\Omega} = \Omega - \alpha^{(1)} \wedge \beta^{(1)} \quad (2.27)$$

A short computation shows that

$$\hat{\Omega} = \sum_{3 \leq i < j \leq d} \hat{\omega}_{ij} dx^i \wedge dx^j \quad (2.28)$$

so that $\hat{\Omega}$ involves only the differentials $dx^3, dx^4, \ldots, dx^d$. Repeat the previous construction for $\hat{\Omega}$ and continue until the process terminates. The process must terminate because the rank of $\omega$ is finite. Eventually, some remainder matrix has zero rank modulo $p$. One then obtains the desired result in Eq. (2.20). After a relabelling of the index $i$ for $dx^i$, one sees that $\alpha^{(m)}$ involves the differentials $dx^{2m-1}, dx^{2m+1}, dx^{2m+2}, \ldots, dx^d$, and that $\beta^{(m)}$ involves the differentials $dx^{2m}, dx^{2m+1}, dx^{2m+2}, \ldots, dx^d$. Hence, the forms $\alpha^{(1)}, \beta^{(1)}, \alpha^{(2)}, \beta^{(2)}, \ldots, \alpha^{(M)}$ and $\beta^{(M)}$ are independent modulo $p$. As a consequence, their total wedge product is non-zero modulo $p$, proving that, modulo $p$, $\Omega^{M} \neq 0$ but $\Omega^{(M+1)} = 0$. This completes the proof.

An examination of this proof reveals that it is both inductive and constructive. Take $a_i^{(m)}, b_i^{(m)}, m = 1, \ldots, M$, to be

$$\alpha^{(m)} = \sum_{i=1}^{d} a_i^{(m)} dx^i, \quad \beta^{(m)} = \sum_{i=1}^{d} b_i^{(m)} dx^i. \quad (2.29)$$

Define $d$-component vectors $\vec{a}^{(m)}$ and $\vec{b}^{(m)}$ by

$$\vec{a}^{(m)} = \left( a_1^{(m)}, a_2^{(m)}, \ldots, a_d^{(m)} \right), \quad \vec{b}^{(m)} = \left( b_1^{(m)}, b_2^{(m)}, \ldots, b_d^{(m)} \right).$$
Then, the $2M$ vectors $\vec{a}^{(m)}, \vec{b}^{(m)}$ are independent modulo $p$. The rank of $\omega$ modulo $p$ is the number of $\vec{a}^{(m)}$ and $\vec{b}^{(m)}$ vectors, as one can verify. Hence, this is indeed $2M$ modulo $p$. We call the process of obtaining $\alpha^{(1)}, \beta^{(1)}, \alpha^{(2)}, \beta^{(2)}, \ldots, \alpha^{(M)}, \beta^{(M)}$ the Sympletic Construction for $\Omega$.

The decomposition of $\Omega$, as in Eq. (2.20), is not yet complete since, modulo $Z_p$, the remainder $\Omega'$ is nonzero. However, we may continue after dividing $\Omega'$ by $p$, which must be possible according to Eq. (2.22). Repeated applications of Eqs. (2.20)–(2.22) leads to the result that any two-form $\Omega$ (as in Eq. (2.19)) can be decomposed as

$$\Omega = \sum_{n=1}^{N} p^{u_n} \alpha^{(n)} \wedge \beta^{(n)} \mod p^s$$, (2.30)

where $0 \leq u_n \leq s - 1$, $u_1 = 0$, and $\alpha^{(n)}, \beta^{(n)}$ are independent one-forms.

It is noteworthy that the $d \times d$ matrix of row vectors $\vec{a}^{(1)}, \vec{b}^{(1)}, \ldots, \vec{a}^{(N)}, \vec{b}^{(N)}$ (filled up with additional null vectors if $2N < d$) constitutes essentially the transformation matrix $X$ employed in refs. [19, 20].

For a proof, apply the sympletic construction to $\Omega$ to obtain

$$\Omega \equiv \Omega_0 = \alpha^{(1)} \wedge \beta^{(1)} + \alpha^{(2)} \wedge \beta^{(2)} + \ldots + \alpha^{(M_0)} \wedge \beta^{(M_0)} + \Omega'_0 \mod p^s$$ . (2.31)

Put

$$u_1 = u_2 = \ldots = u_{M_0} = 0$$.

Note that $M_0 \geq 1$ because $\Omega_0 \neq 0 \mod p$. Let

$$\Omega_1 = \Omega'_0/p \mod p^{s-1}$$.

It makes sense to divide by $p$ because $p | \Omega'_0$ since $\Omega'_0 = 0 \mod p$, i.e. every element of the matrix $\omega'_0$ is divisible by $p$. Apply the sympletic construction to $\Omega_1$:

$$\Omega_1 = \alpha^{(M_0+1)} \wedge \beta^{(M_0+1)} + \ldots + \alpha^{(M_0+M_1)} \wedge \beta^{(M_0+M_1)} + \Omega'_1 \mod p^{s-1}$$.

It may be that $M_1 = 0$ because $\Omega_1 = 0 \mod p$ already; then $\Omega_1 = \Omega'_1$. If $M_1 > 0$, put

$$u_{M_0+1} = u_{M_0+2} = \ldots = u_{M_0+M_1} = 1$$.

Since $\Omega'_1 = 0 \mod p$, one can define

$$\Omega_2 = \Omega'_1/p \mod p^{s-2}$$

and apply the sympletic construction to $\Omega_2$, and so on. At each stage, $M_u$ counts the number of $u_n$-values equal to $u$. The process must terminate with $\Omega'_{s-1} = 0$ and $\sum_{u=0}^{s-1} M_u \equiv N$, because the maximum number of independent one-forms is $d$. Hence,
\( N \leq \frac{d}{2} \) for \( d \) even and \( N \leq \frac{d-1}{2} \) for \( d \) odd. By these means, sympletic constructions for \( \Omega_u, u = 0, \ldots, s-1 \), are obtained, with \( 2M_u = \text{rank} \omega_u \pmod{p} \). One reconstructs \( \Omega'_u \) from \( \Omega'_{u+1} \) by using \( \Omega'_u = p\Omega_{u+1} \pmod{p^s-u} \) for \( u = s-1, \ldots, 0 \), beginning with \( \Omega'_{s-1} = 0 \). Then, one recursively expresses these two-forms as summands \( \alpha^{(n)} \wedge \beta^{(n)} \) to arrive at Eq. (2.30). The proof is completed.

Let us now restore the index \( \ell \). For each \( \ell \) there is a two-form \( \Omega^{(\ell)} \) of the form

\[
\Omega^{(\ell)} = \sum_{n=1}^{N_\ell} p_{\ell n}^{u_{\ell n}} \alpha^{(\ell n)} \wedge \beta^{(\ell n)} \pmod{p_{\ell n}^{s_{\ell n}}}.
\]

We define \( a_{i}^{(\ell n)} \) and \( b_{i}^{(\ell n)} \) via

\[
\alpha^{(\ell n)} \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{d} a_{i}^{(\ell n)} dx^i, \quad \beta^{(\ell n)} \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{d} b_{i}^{(\ell n)} dx^i.
\]

Actually, for a fixed \( \ell \), by relabelling the index \( i \), one may assume, without loss of generality, that the sum in \( i \) for \( \alpha^{(\ell n)} \) starts at \( 2n-1 \) and the sum in \( i \) for \( \beta^{(\ell n)} \) starts at \( 2n \) and that

\[
a_{2n-1}^{(\ell n)} = 1, \quad a_{2n}^{(\ell n)} = 0, \quad \gcd(b_{2n}^{(\ell n)}, p) \neq 0.
\]

These results follow from the details of the symplectic construction and imply that, within the \( \ell \)th sector, the vectors \( \vec{a}^{(\ell n)}, \vec{b}^{(\ell n)} \), for \( n = 1, \ldots, N_\ell \), are independent modulo \( p \).

We now claim that

\[
\Gamma_i^{(\ell)} = \bigotimes_{n=1}^{N_\ell} \left( Q_{p_{\ell n}^{r_{\ell n}}}^{a_{i}^{(\ell n)}} P_{p_{\ell n}^{\ell n}}^{b_{i}^{(\ell n)}} \exp \left[ -i\pi \left( L_i - 1 \right) \frac{a_{i}^{(\ell n)} b_{i}^{(\ell n)}}{p_{\ell n}^{r_{\ell n}}} \right] \right)
\]

is a solution to Eqs. (2.13) and (2.14). Here, \( \bigotimes_{n=1}^{N_\ell} \) indicates a tensor product of \( N_\ell \) matrices, and the powers \( a_{i}^{(\ell n)} \) and \( b_{i}^{(\ell n)} \) of the matrices \( Q_{p_{\ell n}^{r_{\ell n}}} \) and \( P_{p_{\ell n}^{\ell n}} \) are given by Eq. (2.33). The dimension \( d_\ell \) of the representation for the \( \ell \)th sector is

\[
d_\ell = \prod_{n=1}^{N_\ell} p_{\ell n}^{r_{\ell n}},
\]

where

\[
r_{\ell n} \equiv s_{\ell} - u_{\ell n}.
\]
of the group $G_d$ associated with the $d$-dimensional twisted group lattice is obtained via Eqs. (2.1) and (2.2). The total dimension $d_r$ of the representation is

$$ d_r = \prod_{\ell=1}^{L} d_\ell . $$

(2.38)

In the next section, we show that Eq. (2.35) gives a complete set of irreducible representations. Hence, our solution for $\Gamma_\ell^{(i)}$ is unique up to equivalence.

A different representation is obtained for different values of the $k_{ij}$. This is not the case for the $k_i$, however, since some sets $\{k_i\}$ lead to equivalent representations. Such $\{k_i\}$ are related by a group $E$. Hence, the (equivalence classes of) irreducible representations are uniquely labelled by $\{k_i\} \pmod{E}$ and $\{k_{ij}\}$.

We define a group $E_\ell$, which is associated with the $\ell$th sector of the tensor product in Eq. (2.12), by specifying its generators. There are $2N_\ell$ generators, and they act on the $n$th factor in Eq. (2.35) by conjugation. They are

$$ E_{P_{\ell n}}^{(\ell n)} : \text{conjugation by } P_{(p_{\ell n} \ell)} \text{ on the } n\text{th factor in the } \ell\text{th sector} , $$

$$ E_{Q^{-1}_{\ell n}}^{(\ell n)} : \text{conjugation by } Q^{-1}_{(p_{\ell n} \ell)} \text{ on the } n\text{th factor in the } \ell\text{th sector} , $$

(2.39)

where $n = 1, 2, \ldots, N_\ell$. A simple calculation shows that the effect of these conjugations is to shift the momenta $k_i$ in the following way:

\begin{align*}
\text{for } E_{P_{\ell n}}^{(\ell n)} : & \quad k_i \rightarrow k_i + \frac{a_i^{(\ell n)} L_i}{p_{\ell n}^{\ell}} \pmod{L_i} , \\
\text{for } E_{Q^{-1}_{\ell n}}^{(\ell n)} : & \quad k_i \rightarrow k_i + \frac{b_i^{(\ell n)} L_i}{p_{\ell n}^{\ell}} \pmod{L_i} .
\end{align*}

(2.40)

Sets of momenta $\{k_i\}$ which are related by repeated shifts of Eq. (2.40) lead to equivalent representations. Because the $a_i^{(\ell n)}$ and $b_i^{(\ell n)}$ are independent, the number of identifications made under $E_\ell$ in Eq. (2.40) is $\prod_{n=1}^{N_\ell} p_{\ell n}^{2\ell} = d_\ell^2$.

The full group $E$ is the tensor product of the groups $E_\ell$. Since different primes are relatively prime, the identifications in Eq. (2.40) for different $\ell$ are independent. The total number of identifications under $E$ is

$$ \prod_{\ell=1}^{L} d_\ell^2 = d_r^2 . $$

(2.41)

III. Proof of Irreducibility and Completeness
To prove irreducibility and completeness of the representations, it suffices to verify two equations. The first is
\[ \sum_r d^2_{(r)} = o(G_d), \]  
and the second is the orthogonality of characters
\[ \sum_{g \in G_d} \chi^{(r)}(g) \chi^{(s)}(g^{-1}) = \delta^{(r)(s)} o(G_d). \]  

Recall that the representations are characterized by the \( \{ k_{ij} \} \), which range from 0 to \( N_{ij} - 1 \), and the \( \{ k_i \} \) modulo \( E \).

To prove Eq. (3.1), note that the number of identifications under \( E \) is \( d^2_{(r)} \), according to Eq. (2.41). The dimension of a representation depends only on the \( \{ k_{ij} \} \) and not on the \( \{ k_i \} \). Since each \( k_i \) ranges from 0 to \( L_i - 1 \), there are
\[ \prod_{i=1}^d L_i \] independent values of the \( \{ k_i \} \). Consequently,
\[ \sum_{(r)} d^2_{(r)} = \sum_{\{k_{ij}\} \{k_i\} \mod E} d^2_{(r)} = \sum_{\{k_{ij}\}} \prod_{i=1}^d L_i d^2_{(r)} = \left( \prod_{i<j} N_{ij} \right) \left( \prod_i L_i \right) = o(G_d). \]  

This is Eq. (3.3).

It is more tedious to test Eq. (3.2). To distinguish the two representations in Eq. (3.2), we use the superscripts \( (r) \) and \( (s) \). Hence, the representations \( (r) \) and \( (s) \) associated with the characters correspond to the sets \( \{ \{ k_{ij}^{(r)} \}, \{ k_i^{(r)} \} \} \) and \( \{ \{ k_{ij}^{(s)} \}, \{ k_i^{(s)} \} \} \). We also append superscripts \( (r) \) and \( (s) \) to the other quantities obtained in the constructions of Sect. 2.

Express the element \( g \) as in Eq. (1.9). The sum over \( g \) in Eq. (3.2) then becomes a sum over the powers \( n_{ij} \) and \( n_i \):
\[ \sum_{g \in G_d} \chi^{(r)}(g) \chi^{(s)}(g^{-1}) = \sum_{\{n_i\} \{n_{ij}\}} \chi^{(r)}(x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2} \ldots x_d^{n_d} \prod_{i<j} z_{ij}^{n_{ij}}) \chi^{(s)}(x_d^{-n_d} \ldots x_2^{-n_2} x_1^{-n_1} \prod_{i<j} z_{ij}^{-n_{ij}}). \]  

Equation (2.1) implies
\[ \chi^{(r)}(g) \chi^{(s)}(g^{-1}) \propto \exp \left[ 2\pi i \sum_{i<j} \frac{\Delta k_{ij} n_{ij}}{N_{ij}} \right], \]  

Equation (3.5) implies
where $\Delta k_{ij} \equiv k_{ij}^{(r)} - k_{ij}^{(s)}$. By summing over the $n_{ij}$ in Eq. (3.4), one concludes that
\[
\sum_{\{n_{ij}\}} \chi^{(r)}(g) \chi^{(s)}\left(g^{-1}\right) = 0, \quad \text{unless } \Delta k_{ij} = 0 \text{ for all } i < j.
\] (3.6)

If $k_{ij}^{(r)} \neq k_{ij}^{(s)}$ for some $ij$, then the representations $(r)$ and $(s)$ are different, Eq. (3.5) is zero, and Eq. (3.2) is satisfied. Hence, for the remainder of this section we may assume that
\[
k_{ij}^{(r)} = k_{ij}^{(s)}, \quad \text{for all } i < j.
\] (3.7)

With all $\Delta k_{ij} = 0$, the sum over $n_{ij}$ in Eq. (3.4) produces a factor of
\[
\sum_{\{n_{ij}\}} 1 = \prod_{i<j} N_{ij}.
\] (3.8)

Since the $k_{ij}$ are now the same for both representations, almost all the quantities defined in Sect. 2 are the same for $(r)$ and $(s)$:
\[
k_{ij}^{(r)} = k_{ij}^{(s)} , \quad N_{ij}^{(r)} = N_{ij}^{(s)} , \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i < j \leq d ,
\]
\[
\Gamma_{j}^{(r)} = \Gamma_{j}^{(s)} , \quad \Omega_{j}^{(r)} = \Omega_{j}^{(s)} , \quad \text{for each } \ell \text{ sector}.
\] (3.9)

As a consequence, the exponents in Eqs. (2.29) and (2.37) as well as the vector components in Eq. (2.33) in each $\ell$ sector are the same:
\[
u_{\ell n}^{(r)} = \nu_{\ell n}^{(s)} , \quad r_{\ell n}^{(r)} = r_{\ell n}^{(s)},
\]
\[
a_{i}^{(\ell n)(r)} = a_{i}^{(\ell n)(s)} , \quad b_{i}^{(\ell n)(r)} = b_{i}^{(\ell n)(s)} , \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \ldots, d.
\] (3.10)

for all $n$. The only difference between the matrices of the two representations is in the $k_j$ in the exponent of Eq. (2.2).

The matrices $P_{(N)}$ and $Q_{(N)}$ in Eq. (1.13) satisfy the property that $\text{Tr} \left( P_{(N)}^{n} Q_{(N)}^{n'} \right)$ vanishes unless $n = 0 \pmod N$ and $n' = 0 \pmod N$. Likewise in a tensor product, $\text{Tr} \left( \otimes_{m} Q_{(N_{m})}^{n_{m}} P_{(N_{m})}^{n'_{m}} \right)$ is zero unless $n_{m} = 0 \pmod N_{m}$ and $n'_{m} = 0 \pmod N_{m}$ for all $m$. Using Eqs. (2.2), (2.12) and (2.35), one concludes that the nonzero terms in the $n_i$ sums in Eq. (3.4) occur exactly when
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{d} a_{i}^{(\ell n)} n_{i} = \sum_{i=1}^{d} b_{i}^{(\ell n)} n_{i} = 0 \pmod {p_{\ell}^{(\ell n)}},
\] (3.11)

for all $n$ and all $\ell$. These constraints need to be imposed on the $n_i$ in the sums of Eq. (3.4). When they are satisfied, one has
\[
\chi^{(r)}(g) \chi^{(s)}\left(g^{-1}\right) = d_{r}^{2} \exp \left[ 2\pi i \sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\Delta k_{i} n_{i}}{L_{i}} \right],
\] (3.12)
where $\Delta k_i \equiv k_i^{(r)} - k_i^{(s)}$. Incorporating Eq. (3.8), we have

$$\sum_{g \in G_d} \chi^{(r)}(g) \chi^{(s)}(g^{-1}) = d_{(r)}^2 \delta_{k_i^{(r)} k_i^{(s)}} \left( \prod_{i<j} N_{ij} \right) \sum' \left\{ n_i \right\} \exp \left[ 2\pi i \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{\Delta k_i}{L_i} n_i \right], \quad (3.13)$$

where the prime indicates that the $n_i$ sums are constrained by Eq. (3.11).

In Ref. [8], a trick was found to handle the constraints in Eq. (3.11). By introducing additional summation variables $m^{(\ell n)}$ and $\overline{m}^{(\ell n)}$, the constraints can be implemented by inserting into free $n_i$ sums the factor

$$\prod_{\ell} \left\{ \prod_{n=1}^{N_\ell} \frac{1}{p^{(\ell n)}_{\ell}} \sum_{m^{(\ell n)}=1}^{p^{(\ell n)}_{\ell}} \exp \left[ -2\pi i \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{m^{(\ell n)}(a_i^{(\ell n)}) n_i}{p^{(\ell n)}_{\ell}} \right] \right\} \times \prod_{\ell} \left\{ \prod_{n=1}^{N_\ell} \frac{1}{p^{(\ell n)}_{\ell}} \sum_{m^{(\ell n)}=1}^{p^{(\ell n)}_{\ell}} \exp \left[ -2\pi i \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{\overline{m}^{(\ell n)}(b_i^{(\ell n)}) n_i}{p^{(\ell n)}_{\ell}} \right] \right\}, \quad (3.14)$$

Hence, we insert Eq. (3.14) in Eq. (3.13) and sum over the $n_i$ freely. When the $n_i$ sums are done before the $m^{(\ell n)}$ and $\overline{m}^{(\ell n)}$ sums one finds a non-zero result if and only if

$$\Delta k_i = L_i \sum_{\ell} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{N_\ell} \left( \frac{m^{(\ell n)}(a_i^{(\ell n)})}{p^{(\ell n)}_{\ell}} + \frac{\overline{m}^{(\ell n)}(b_i^{(\ell n)})}{p^{(\ell n)}_{\ell}} \right) \right) \pmod{L_i}, \quad (3.15)$$

for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, d$. Recall that different sectors $\ell$ are associated with different primes, which are, of course, relatively prime. Combining this fact with an explicit examination of $a_i^{(\ell n)}$ and $b_i^{(\ell n)}$ of Sect. 2 reveals that there is at most one $m^{(\ell n)}$ and one $\overline{m}^{(\ell n)}$ which solve Eq. (3.15). Hence, at best, one term among the auxiliary summation variables $m^{(\ell n)}$ and $\overline{m}^{(\ell n)}$ contributes. On the other hand, if the momenta $k_i^{(r)}$ and $k_i^{(s)}$ differ as in Eq. (3.15), then they are related by an element of $E$ involving the generators raised to $m^{(\ell n)}$th and $\overline{m}^{(\ell n)}$th powers,

$$\prod_{\ell} \left\{ \prod_{n=1}^{N_\ell} \left( E_P^{(\ell n)} \right)^{m^{(\ell n)}} \left( E_Q^{-1} \overline{m}^{(\ell n)} \right) \right\}, \quad (3.16)$$

as can be seen from Eqs. (2.39) and (2.40). We have thus shown that $(r) \sim (s)$ if a non-zero result in Eq. (3.2) is to be obtained.

It remains to check whether the normalization in Eq. (3.2) is correct when $(r)$ is equivalent to $(s)$. When $(r) \sim (s)$, there is a unique non-zero term in the $m^{(\ell n)}$ and

---

3The interchange of the order of sums is permitted because all sums involve a finite number of terms.
m^{(m)} sums which contributes. It satisfies Eq. (3.15). For this term, the phase factors in Eqs. (3.13) and (3.14) cancel. Then the sums over the $n_i$ merely produce

\[ \prod_{i=1}^{d} L_i , \]

and the $1/p_{r_{\ell}}$ factors in Eq. (3.14) give

\[ \prod_{\ell} \prod_{n=1}^{N_{\ell}} \frac{1}{p_{2r_{\ell}n}} = \frac{1}{d(r)} . \]

When these results are inserted into Eq. (3.13), one finds

\[ \sum_{g \in G} d \chi^{(r)} (g) \chi^{(s)} (g^{-1}) = d^{2(r)} \delta^{(r)(s)} \prod_{i=1}^{d} \frac{L_i}{d_i^{2(r)}} \left( \prod_{i<j} N_{ij} \right) \]

\[ = \delta^{(r)(s)} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{d} L_i \left( \prod_{i<j} N_{ij} \right) \right) = \delta^{(r)(s)} o(G_d) . \] (3.17)

This is Eq. (3.2).

IV. Conclusion

In this work, we have obtained the representations of the group associated with the general $d$-dimensional twisted group lattice. A proof that all the irreducible representations have been found was given in Sect. 3.

Our work solves the general $d$-dimensional twisted group lattice. The partition function $Z$ for a free charged bosonic theory is given by Eqs. (4.5)–(4.7) of Ref. [8], i.e.,

\[ Z = \prod_{(r)} \left[ \det \left( \sum_{h \in NN_e} \lambda_h D^{(r)} (h) \right) \right]^{-d(r)} , \] (4.1)

where

\[ \sum_{h \in NN_e} \lambda_h D^{(r)} (h) = \lambda_e I_{(d(r))} \]

\[ + \sum_{j=1}^{d} \left[ \lambda_h \Gamma_j \exp \left( \frac{2\pi ik_j}{L_j} \right) + \lambda_{h^{-1}} \Gamma_j^\dagger \exp \left( -\frac{2\pi ik_j}{L_j} \right) \right] , \] (4.2)

and where $NN_e = \{e\} \cup NN$, $\lambda_e$ is a mass parameter, and the $\lambda_h$ for $h \in NN$ are hopping parameters. Here, $\{k_{ij}, k_{i}\}$ are the representation labels associated with $(r)$. 
The gamma matrices $\Gamma_j$ in Eq. (4.2) are given in Eqs. (2.12) and (2.35). The product in Eq. (4.1) is over all the irreducible representations $(r)$ of $G_d$, i.e.,

$$
\prod_{(r)} = \prod_{\{k_{ij}=0\}} \prod_{\{k_i\}} (\text{mod } E), \quad (4.3)
$$

where the group $E$ is specified in Eq. (2.40). The partition function $Z$ corresponds to a gas of closed oriented loops, where the loops can be regarded as particle trajectories. The loops must satisfy the constraint that the area projected onto the $i-j$ plane has zero area (mod $N_{ij}$), for all $i < j$. To perform a perturbative expansion of an interacting theory, one needs the propagator. It involves the inverse of the matrix in Eq. (4.2). The precise formula is given in Eq. (4.9) of Ref. [1]. The partition function for the free fermionic case is given by Eq. (1.1) with the exponent $-d_{(r)}$ replaced by $d_{(r)}$.

The solution methods obtained in Refs. [3, 4, 8] for the $d = 2$, $d = 3$ and $d = 4$ cases appear to be quite different. However, it can be verified that the two-form approach of the current work reproduces the constructions for these cases. Our method provides a unifying framework for understanding these systems for different dimensions.

The twisted lattices can be used as discrete models of Euclidean space-time. When all $L_i$ are equal and all $N_{ij}$ are equal, the twisted lattices possess the same discrete rotational symmetries as regular lattices. This was shown in Ref. [8]. Compared to regular lattices, discrete translations no longer commute. Hence, a twisted group lattice corresponds to a non-commutative geometry of a discrete Euclidean space-time.

Finally, we would like to remark that because Eq. (1.11) appears in many contexts, it is likely that our construction method for the case in which the dimension of the matrices $\Gamma_i$ is arbitrary will find future uses.

In summary, we have solved the general $d$-dimensional twisted group lattice and provided a few applications. Further investigations will hopefully uncover additional uses for our research.
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Appendix

In this appendix, we illustrate the method of constructing the $\Gamma_i$ of Sect. 2 for a six-dimensional twisted lattice. Since the $\Gamma_i$ depend only on $k'_{ij}$ and $N'_{ij}$, it suffices to specify these primed quantities. We select

\[
\begin{align*}
  k'_{13} = k'_{14} = k'_{23} = k'_{24} = k'_{26} = k'_{56} = 0, \\
  k'_{12} = k'_{15} = k'_{16} = k'_{25} = k'_{34} = k'_{35} = k'_{45} = k'_{46} = 1, \\
  k'_{36} = 3, \\
  N'_{13} = N'_{14} = N'_{23} = N'_{24} = N'_{26} = N'_{56} = 1, \\
  N'_{12} = N'_{15} = N'_{16} = N'_{25} = N'_{45} = N'_{46} = 2, \\
  N'_{34} = N'_{35} = N'_{36} = 4.
\end{align*}
\]

(A.1)

The least common multiple $N'$ of the $N'_{ij}$ is 4, so that we are dealing with a single prime case. It is therefore not necessary to specify the prime sector, and we drop the label $\ell$. The quantities in Eq. (2.8) are

\[
N' = 2^2, \quad p = 2, \quad s = 2.
\]

(A.2)

From Eqs. (A.1) and (A.2), one obtains the $\omega_{ij}$. Then, the matrix $\omega = (\omega_{ij})$ is computed from Eq. (2.15). One finds

\[
\omega = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 2 \\
2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 3 \\
0 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 2 & 2 \\
2 & 2 & 3 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\
2 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix} \pmod{4}.
\]

(A.3)

The next step is to achieve the decomposition of Eq. (2.30). The entry $\omega_{34}$ satisfies $\omega_{34} \neq 0 \pmod{2}$. For the symplectic construction, it was assumed that $\omega_{12} \neq 0 \pmod{2}$. Hence, one performs the label interchanges $1 \leftrightarrow 3, \ 2 \leftrightarrow 4$, constructs the $a_{i}^{(1)}$ and the $b_{i}^{(1)}$ using the method of the Lemma, and then interchanges the labels back. The results are $a_{3}^{(1)} = 1, a_{4}^{(1)} = 0, a_{1}^{(1)} = -\omega_{41}/\omega_{34} = 0, a_{2}^{(1)} = -\omega_{42}/\omega_{34} = 0, a_{5}^{(1)} = -\omega_{45}/\omega_{34} = -2 = 2 \pmod{4}, a_{6}^{(1)} = -\omega_{46}/\omega_{34} = -2 = 2 \pmod{4}, b_{1}^{(1)} = 0, b_{4}^{(1)} = \omega_{34} = 1, b_{1}^{(1)} = \omega_{31} = 0, b_{2}^{(1)} = \omega_{32} = 0, b_{5}^{(1)} = \omega_{35} = 1, b_{6}^{(1)} = \omega_{36} = 3$. Hence, the one-forms in Eq. (2.26) are

\[
\alpha^{(1)} = dx^3 + 2dx^5 + 2dx^6, \quad \beta^{(1)} = dx^4 + dx^5 + 3dx^6.
\]

(A.4)
and the corresponding vectors $\vec{a}^{(1)}$ and $\vec{b}^{(1)}$ read

$$\vec{a}^{(1)} = (0, 0, 1, 0, 2, 2), \quad \vec{b}^{(1)} = (0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 3). \quad (A.5)$$

Following Eq. (2.27), $\hat{\Omega} = \Omega - \alpha^{(1)} \wedge \beta^{(1)}$. A straightforward computation gives the corresponding matrix $\hat{\omega}$:

$$\hat{\omega} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{4}. \quad (A.6)$$

The entries involving indices 3 and 4 are zero, as expected since we have performed the construction procedure using $\omega_{34}$.

Note that $\hat{\omega}$ is divisible by 2. Hence, the remainder form $\hat{\Omega}$ equals $\Omega'$, and

$$\Omega = \alpha^{(1)} \wedge \beta^{(1)} + \Omega' \pmod{4}. \quad (A.7)$$

Following Eq. (2.30), we construct $\Omega_1$ by dividing by $p$: $\Omega_1 = \Omega'/2$. The corresponding matrix $\omega_1 \equiv \tilde{\omega}$ is

$$\tilde{\omega} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{2}. \quad (A.8)$$

The entry $\tilde{\omega}_{12}$ satisfies $\tilde{\omega}_{12} \neq 0 \pmod{2}$. We use this entry to construct $a_i^{(2)}$ and $b_i^{(2)}$. The method of Sect. 2 gives $a_1^{(2)} = 1$, $a_2^{(2)} = 0$, $a_3^{(2)} = -\tilde{\omega}_{23}/\tilde{\omega}_{12} = 0$, $a_4^{(2)} = -\tilde{\omega}_{24}/\tilde{\omega}_{12} = 0$, $a_5^{(2)} = -\tilde{\omega}_{25}/\tilde{\omega}_{12} = -1 = 1 \pmod{2}$, $a_6^{(2)} = -\tilde{\omega}_{26}/\tilde{\omega}_{12} = 0$, $b_1^{(2)} = 0$, $b_2^{(2)} = \tilde{\omega}_{12} = 1$, $b_3^{(2)} = \tilde{\omega}_{13} = 0$, $b_4^{(2)} = \tilde{\omega}_{14} = 0$, $b_5^{(2)} = \tilde{\omega}_{15} = 1$, $b_6^{(2)} = \tilde{\omega}_{16} = 1$. These results are summarized as

$$\alpha^{(2)} = dx^1 + dx^5, \quad \beta^{(2)} = dx^2 + dx^5 + dx^6, \quad (A.9)$$

or

$$\vec{a}^{(2)} = (1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0), \quad \vec{b}^{(2)} = (0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1). \quad (A.10)$$
The next remainder form, $\hat{\Omega}_1$, is calculated using $\hat{\Omega}_1 = \Omega_1 - \alpha^{(2)} \wedge \beta^{(2)}$. One finds that the corresponding matrix $\hat{\omega}_1$ is

$$\hat{\omega}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{2}, \quad (A.11)$$

so that

$$\hat{\Omega}_1 = \alpha^{(3)} \wedge \beta^{(3)}, \quad (A.12)$$

where

$$\alpha^{(3)} = dx^5, \quad \beta^{(3)} = dx^6, \quad (A.13)$$

and

$$\vec{a}^{(3)} = (0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0), \quad \vec{b}^{(3)} = (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1). \quad (A.14)$$

The computation terminates since all two-forms have been decomposed completely.

In summary,

$$\Omega = \alpha^{(1)} \wedge \beta^{(1)} + 2 \left( \alpha^{(2)} \wedge \beta^{(2)} + \alpha^{(3)} \wedge \beta^{(3)} \right) \pmod{4}, \quad (A.15)$$

where the $\alpha^{(n)}$ and the $\beta^{(n)}$ are given in Eqs. \((A.4), (A.9)\) and \((A.13)\). From Eq. \((A.15)\), one concludes that $M_0 = 1$ and $M_1 = 2$, implying $u_1 = 0$ and $u_2 = u_3 = 1$.

Hence, the powers $r_n = 2 - u_n$ are $r_1 = 2$, $r_2 = 1$, and $r_3 = 1$, and the sizes of the matrices in the tensor products are $2^2 = 4$, $2^1 = 2$ and $2^1 = 2$. The dimension of the representation is 16. Equation \((A.13)\) is the result of the decomposition \((2.30)\) for the two-form $\Omega$ associated with $\omega$ in Eq. \((A.3)\).

The matrices $\Gamma_i$ are constructed using Eq. \((2.33)\):

$$\Gamma_1 = I(4) \otimes Q(2) \otimes I(2), \quad \Gamma_2 = I(4) \otimes P(2) \otimes I(2), \quad \Gamma_3 = Q(4) \otimes I(2) \otimes I(2), \quad \Gamma_4 = P(4) \otimes I(2) \otimes I(2),$$

$$\Gamma_5 = Q^2(4)P(4) \otimes Q(2)P(2) \otimes Q(2), \quad \Gamma_6 = Q^2(4)P^3(4) \otimes P(2) \otimes P(2). \quad (A.16)$$

The powers of the $Q$ and $P$ matrices for the $n$th tensor factor are the components of the vectors $\vec{a}^{(n)}$ and $\vec{b}^{(n)}$, which were given in Eqs. \((A.5), (A.10)\) and \((A.14)\). The phases in Eq. \((2.33)\) for $\Gamma_5$ and $\Gamma_6$ in Eq. \((A.16)\) can be dropped for this example because 4 must divide $L_5$ and $L_6$. In other words, $\Gamma_i^{L_i} = I(16)$ holds for the $\Gamma_i$ in
Eq. (A.16) with or without the phase factor in Eq. (2.35). It is a useful exercise to verify that the $\Gamma_i$ do indeed satisfy

$$\Gamma_j \Gamma_i = \Gamma_i \Gamma_j \exp \left( 2\pi i \frac{k_{ij}'}{N_{ij}'} \right) \quad \text{for } j > i,$$

with the $k_{ij}'$ and $N_{ij}'$ chosen in Eq. (A.1).

References

[1] S. Samuel, Nucl. Phys. B350, 729 (1991).

[2] S. Samuel, Group Lattices and Statistical Mechanics, in the Proceedings of the XXth Conference on Differential Geometric Methods in Theoretical Physics, (World Scientific, Singapore, 1991).

[3] S. Samuel, Nucl. Phys. B360, 337 (1991).

[4] S. Samuel, Int. J. Mod. Phys. B6, 1631 (1992).

[5] S. Samuel, Int. J. Mod. Phys. B7, 3877 (1993).

[6] S. Samuel, Group Lattices with Applications to the Molecule $C_{60}$, in the proceedings of the XIX International Colloquium on Group Theoretical Methods in Physics, Salamanca, Spain (July, 1992), Anales de Fsica, Monografas, eds. M. A. del Olmo, M. Santander and J. Mateos Guilarte, Vol. II, p.394, (CIEMAT/RSEF, Madrid, 1993).

[7] J.-P. Serre, Linear Representations of Finite Groups, (Springer Graduate Texts in Mathematics 42, New York).

[8] O. Lechtenfeld and S. Samuel, Four-Dimensional Twisted Group Lattices, MPI-PhT/94-70, CCNY-94/07, ITP-UH-15/94, [hep-th/9411001] (October, 1994), to appear in Nuclear Physics B.

[9] Yu. I. Manin, Quantum Groups and Non-commutative Geometry, Montreal University preprint CRM–1561 (1988); Comm. Math. Phys. 123, 163 (1989).

[10] J. Wess and B. Zumino, Nucl. Phys. (Proc. Suppl. ) B18 (1990) 302.

[11] J. Wess, B. Zumino, O. Ogievetsky and W. B. Schmidke, Quantized Minkowski Space, in Spinors, Twistors, Clifford Algebras and Quantum Deformations, eds. Z. Oziewicz et al., p.249, (Kluwer Academic Press, the Netherlands, 1993).
[12] T. Filk, Univ. of Freiburg preprints, THEP 90/13 and THEP 90/14.

[13] G. ’t Hooft, Nucl. Phys. B153, 141 (1979).

[14] E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B202, 253 (1982).

[15] A. Gonzalez-Arroyo and M. Okawa, Phys. Lett. 120B, 174 (1983); Phys. Rev. D27, 2397 (1983).

[16] F. R. Klinkhamer and P. van Baal, Nucl. Phys. B237, 274 (1984).

[17] K. Fabricus and C. P. Korthals Altes, Nucl. Phys. B240, 237 (1984).

[18] G. ’t Hooft, Comm. Math. Phys. 81, 267 (1981).

[19] B. van Geemen and P. van Baal, Proc. K. Ned. Akad. Wet. B89, 39, 1986; J. Math. Phys. 27, 455 (1986).

[20] D. Lebedev and M. Polikarpov, Nucl. Phys. B269, 285 (1986).

[21] G. ’t Hooft, Nucl. Phys. B138, 1 (1978).

[22] M. Hamermesh, Group Theory and Its Application to Physical Problems, (Addison Wesley, Reading, MA, 1962).