A surgical heater–cooler unit has been implicated as the source for *Mycobacterium chimaera* infections among cardiac surgery patients in several countries. We isolated *M. chimaera* from heater–cooler units and patient infections in the United States. Whole-genome sequencing corroborated a risk for these units acting as a reservoir for this pathogen.

*Mycobacterium chimaera* is a species in the *Mycobacterium avium* complex (MAC) (1). MAC is the most frequently reported cause of nontuberculous mycobacterium (NTM) infection in the United States, although disseminated *M. chimaera* infections are relatively rare (2). In 2012, investigators in Switzerland found that some patients with disseminated *M. chimaera* infections had undergone open-chest cardiac surgeries, during which they were exposed to heater–cooler units (HCUs) (3). These devices, Stöckert 3T Heater–Cooler Units (LivaNova PLC, https://www.livanova.com; formerly Sorin Group Deutschland GmbH), manufactured in Germany, were unknowingly contaminated with *M. chimaera* (4,5). In the same year, a Pennsylvania hospital identified a cluster of invasive *M. chimaera* infections among open-chest cardiac surgery patients exposed to LivaNova 3T HCUs contaminated with *M. chimaera* (6), which prompted notification of ≈1,300 patients with exposure to these units (7). Additional cases of disseminated *M. chimaera* infection among cardiac surgery patients have emerged worldwide, with evidence implicating bioaerosols produced by contaminated Liva-Nova 3T HCUs as the source of post–cardiac surgery *M. chimaera* infections (8,9). We report the relationships among HCU-associated isolates from patients and LivaNova 3T HCUs in the United States and their context among the global outbreak.

The Study

During 2015–2016, we collected NTM isolates from 3T HCU water (n = 38 isolates) and suspected patient cases (n = 24 isolates) from 8 US locations. We identified isolates and conducted high-throughput whole-genome sequencing using the Illumina Miseq system (https://www.illumina.com). We selected Pennsylvania isolate 2015-2271 (USA_PA_PAT_9) for Pacific Biosciences (https://www.pacb.com) single-molecule real-time sequencing (10). We downloaded publicly available *M. chimaera* genomes from isolates collected in Australia, Denmark, Italy, New Zealand, the United Kingdom, and Switzerland from the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) Sequence Read Archive (SRA). We included Zürich CHE_HCU_1 isolate as a representative of the genotype isolated from HCUs, patients, and manufacturing sites in Europe (9). For each isolate, we mapped the sequence reads to the *M. chimaera* strain CDC 2015-22-71 reference genome (GenBank accession no. NZ_CP019221.1) to detect single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) (Appendix, http://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/25/3/18-1282-App1.pdf).

We reconstructed phylogenetic relationships among *M. chimaera* isolates collected from post–cardiac surgery patients and HCUs in 8 locations across the United States, as well as HCU-associated strains from Australia, New Zealand, and Europe (Table; Appendix Figure 1). We compared all HCU-associated isolates with 7 *M. chimaera* respiratory isolates obtained from US patients with no history of cardiac surgery. We identified 18,190 SNPs in the 3.82-Mb core genome (62.8% of the reference genome) among 126 *M. chimaera* isolates.

The NeighborNet splitstree (Appendix) of *M. chimaera* showed 3 groups (HCU1, HCU2, and non-HCU; Figure 1). Clade HCU1 (n = 112 isolates; Figure 2) is a discrete cluster composed entirely of HCU-associated isolates from case-patients and HCUs (mean pairwise distance 4 SNPs, range 0–23 SNPs; Appendix Figure 2) from Australia,
Table. *Mycobacterium chimaera* isolated from HCUs, suspected patient case(s), and non–HCU-associated *M. chimaera* isolates in Australia, Europe, New Zealand, and the United States*

| Location   | No. isolates | No. clinical | No. HCU | Status | Genotypes/Location | No. HCU1 genotypes (%) | NCBI BioProject no. | Reference |
|------------|--------------|--------------|---------|--------|-------------------|------------------------|---------------------|-----------|
| Iowa 1     | 9            | 3            | 6       | HCU    | 1                 | 9 (100)                | PRJNA345021         | (11); this study |
| Iowa 2     | 3            | 0            | 3       | HCU    | 1                 | 3 (100)                | PRJNA345021         | This study      |
| Kentucky   | 11           | 0            | 1       | HCU    | 1                 | 1 (100)                | PRJNA345021         | This study      |
| Massachusetts 1 | 4   | 0            | 4       | HCU    | 1                 | 4 (100)                | PRJNA345021         | This study      |
| Michigan   | 17           | 8            | 9       | HCU    | 1                 | 17 (100)               | PRJNA345021         | This study      |
| Minnesota  | 1            | 1            | 0       | HCU    | 1                 | 1 (100)                | PRJNA345021         | This study      |
| Pennsylvania 1† | 25  | 10           | 15      | HCU    | 2                 | 23 (92)                | PRJNA344472         | (11); this study |
| Virginia   | 2            | 2            | 0       | HCU    | 1                 | 2 (100)                | PRJNA345021         | This study      |
| Australia 1| 6            | 0            | 6       | HCU    | 1                 | 6 (100)                | PRJEB15375          | (12)         |
| Australia 2| 13           | 1            | 12      | HCU    | 3                 | 11 (84.6)              | PRJEB15375          | (12)         |
| Australia 3| 7            | 2            | 5       | HCU    | 3                 | 5 (71.4)               | PRJEB15375          | (12)         |
| Australia 4| 10           | 2            | 8       | HCU    | 2                 | 9 (90)                 | PRJEB15375          | (12)         |
| Denmark    | 4            | 0            | 4       | HCU    | 1                 | 4 (100)                | PRJEB18427          | (13)         |
| New Zealand 1| 2   | 0            | 2       | HCU    | 1                 | 2 (100)                | PRJEB15375          | (12)         |
| New Zealand 2| 3   | 0            | 3       | HCU    | 1                 | 3 (100)                | PRJEB15375          | (12)         |
| New Zealand 3| 5   | 0            | 5       | HCU    | 1                 | 5 (100)                | PRJEB15375          | (12)         |
| New Zealand 4| 2   | 0            | 2       | HCU    | 1                 | 2 (100)                | PRJEB15375          | (12)         |
| United Kingdom| 3  | 0            | 3       | HCU    | 1                 | 3 (100)                | PRJNA324238         | (13)         |
| Zürich     | 2            | 0            | 2       | HCU    | 2                 | 1 (50)                 | PRJNA313770         | (9)          |
| Italy      | 1            | 1            | 0       | Non-HCU| 1                 | 0                      | PRJEB18427          | (9)          |
| Maryland   | 1            | 1            | 0       | Non-HCU| 1                 | 0                      | PRJNA345021         | This study |
| Massachusetts 2| 1  | 1            | 0       | Non-HCU| 1                 | 0                      | PRJNA319839         | This study |
| North Carolina| 1  | 1            | 0       | Non-HCU| 1                 | 0                      | PRJNA345021         | This study |
| Pennsylvania 2| 1  | 1            | 0       | Non-HCU| 1                 | 0                      | PRJNA345021         | This study |
| Tennessee  | 2            | 2            | 0       | Non-HCU| 1                 | 0                      | PRJNA319839         | This study |
| Texas      | 2            | 2            | 0       | Non-HCU| 1                 | 0                      | PRJNA345021         | This study |
| Total      | 38           | 38           | 90      |        |                   | 38 (95)               |                     |            |

*US isolates were collected during 2015–2016. Status refers to HCU-associated isolates (HCU) collected directly from Stöckert 3T Heater–Cooler Units (LivaNova PLC, https://www.livanova.com; formerly Sorin Group Deutschland GmbH) or from patients with suspected HCU-derived *M. chimaera*, and isolates from pulmonary NTM patients without history of HCU exposure (non-HCU). HCU, heater–cooler unit; NCBI, National Center for Biotechnology Information.

†Denotes the location from which the 2 samples (USA_PA_HCU_0, 2015–06–01; and USA_PA_PAT_10, 2015–22–65) did not pass the genomics quality control assessment and were excluded from the analyses.

‡Percentage was derived from the number of HCU1 genotype isolates in the number of isolates collected directly from LivaNova 3T HCU or suspected patient cases.

HCU-associated patient isolates from Australia (mean non–HCU-associated isolate pairwise SNPs 52, range 3–111 SNPs; Appendix) were unclustered. The mean distance between HCU1 and international HCU isolates was 13.58 SNPs (range 0–521 SNPs; Appendix Figure 3); the mean distance between HCU1 and non–HCU-associated isolates was 510.5 SNPs (range 506–610 SNPs; Appendix).

Figure 1. Neighbor Net splitstree of *Mycobacterium chimaera* isolates: relationships between *M. chimaera* isolates (n = 124) mapped against the *M. chimaera* strain CDC 2015–22–71 heater–cooler unit (HCU) reference genome (18,190 single-nucleotide polymorphisms [SNPs] in 3,815,639 core positions). Isolates were grouped with a threshold of ≤500 SNPs to the nearest cluster. Clustered HCU isolates, including the reference strain CDC 2015–22–71, comprise the HCU1 cluster (n = 112) and HCU2 (n = 3). Unclustered isolates include Australian (AUS) HCU isolates (n = 2), USA non-HCU isolates (n=8), and the type strain FI-01069. Scale bar indicates SNPs.
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In comparison, the mean distance between HCU2 and non-HCU isolates was 17,130.7 SNPs (range 17,057–17,221 SNPs). Of the 117 HCU-associated isolates we analyzed, 112 (95.7%) were HCU1 cluster, 3 (2.6%) were HCU2 cluster, and 2 isolates (1.7%) were not in a major clade.

Whole-genome sequencing of US HCU-associated *M. chimaera* isolates and their comparisons with global HCU-associated isolates provides further evidence for point-source contamination and worldwide dissemination of a *M. chimaera* strain (3–5). Twenty-two of 24 (92%) US patient isolates associated with HCU exposure during cardiac surgery phylogenetically clustered with international HCU-derived and post–cardiac surgery patient isolates, including those from Australia, Europe, and New Zealand (HCU1). None of the 8 US non–HCU-associated isolates were genetically similar to the HCU1 or HCU2 clusters. Isolates from US post–cardiac surgery patients were genetically more similar to isolates derived from international LivaNova 3T HCUs (mean pairwise distance 4 SNPs) than *M. chimaera* isolates from US patients without a history of cardiac surgery (mean pairwise distance 511 SNPs). This evidence supports the hypothesis that US post–cardiac surgery *M. chimaera* infections were acquired from exposure to factory-contaminated HCUs rather than local populations of waterborne *M. chimaera* in each hospital.

Figure 2. Maximum-likelihood phylogenetic relationships between HCU1 and US non-HCU–associated isolates (651 single-nucleotide polymorphisms [SNPs] in 4,024,718 core positions) as a circular phylogeny. From the center to the perimeter, colored circles indicate the country of origin, isolate source, and HCU genotype(s). Clinical isolate labels use country abbreviation: Australia (AUS), Denmark (DNK), Florence, Italy (FI), New Zealand (NZL), Switzerland (CHE), United Kingdom (GBR), United States (USA); HCU or PAT; isolate number. Non-HCU–associated isolates are from respiratory patients without a history of cardiac surgery. Suspected cases are isolates from blood or tissue samples collected from post–cardiac surgery patients; HCU are isolates collected from hospital HCUs (swabs, water, bioaerosols). Scale bar indicates SNPs. HCU, heater–cooler unit; PAT, suspected case-patient.
Our analyses revealed that all US *M. chimaera* isolates associated with LivaNova 3T HCU exposure genetically cluster with HCU1 genotype isolates implicated in the global outbreak of post–cardiac surgery *M. chimaera* infections. The HCU2 cluster was not observed in the United States but included 2 isolates from HCUs in Australia, as well as a representative genotype of *M. chimaera* found in HCUs in Europe and at the HCU production site. Consistent with previous findings, this finding suggests the international circulation of a second, less plentiful, strain in the manufacturing site water system (8).

These observations support the hypothesis that the LivaNova 3T HCU design provided suitable conditions for both NTM colonization and aerosolization, particularly by *M. chimaera*. Even though production site contamination with *M. chimaera* has been confirmed, the medical community needs to remain alert for HCU-associated NTM infections involving other species (4). HCUs are vulnerable to contamination from in-hospital water sources, use of improper water sources, and improper maintenance, each of which may increase the risk of infection by NTM (including *M. abscessus*, *M. chelonae*, and *M. gordonae*, in addition to *M. chimaera*) (6). Contaminated HCUs may contain NTM-contaminated biofilms. Furthermore, water from the LivaNova 3T HCUs can become aerosolized during normal function, leading to introduction of potentially infectious particles into the sterile field, onto graft materials, or into the open chest cavity during cardiac surgery. The death rate for HCU-associated *M. chimaera* infections has been reported to be 50%; the latent period to diagnosis can be up to 5 years postsurgery (4,6,7,9,10), further emphasizing necessary diligence on the part of physicians and cardiac surgery patients to monitor for symptoms of disseminated NTM infection.

Our study has some limitations in methodology. We did not obtain samples from every US hospital that reported LivaNova 3T HCU–associated *M. chimaera* cases; no submitting hospital collected all 3 types of samples (HCUs, non-HCU samples, and suspected case-patients); and HCU samples were not collected by a single person or according to a standardized collection protocol. Despite these limitations, this analysis of US HCU-associated *M. chimaera* isolates clearly shows the clustering of isolates from epidemiologically linked US cases to international LivaNova 3T HCU *M. chimaera* isolates and the HCU1 genotype found within the LivaNova manufacturing site.

In conclusion, the application of WGS has advanced our understanding of *M. chimaera* present in US LivaNova 3T HCUs and patient cases after the initial analysis of suspected cases in Pennsylvania and Iowa. Given the innate drug resistance and the high death rate of HCU-associated *M. chimaera* infections, it remains imperative for hospitals to follow Food and Drug Administration guidelines (9) and the manufacturer’s instructions to minimize the risk of patient infection. In addition, clinicians should monitor patients who have had cardiac surgery using LivaNova 3T HCUs for signs and symptoms of NTM infection to enable early diagnosis and treatment.
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etymologia revisited

Chimera

Chimera [ki-mir’ə]

From the Greek Khimaira, Latin Chimaera; she-goat. In Greek mythology: a composite creature with the body and head of a lion, a goat’s head rising from its back, and a serpent’s tail. In science: an individual organism whose body contains cell populations derived from different zygotes, of the same or different species. Each population of cells keeps its own character, and the resulting animal is a mixture of tissues. Chimera also refers to a substance created from proteins or genes of 2 species, as by genetic engineering. Chimerism is rare in humans; ≈40 cases have been reported.

Source: Dorland’s illustrated medical dictionary, 31st edition. Philadelphia: Saunders; 2007; http://www.merriam-webster.com; http://www.medicinenet.com/script/main/hp.asp

https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/eid/article/14/11/e1-1411_article
Appendix

Whole Genome Sequencing

In 2015 and 2016, nontuberculous mycobacterium (NTM) isolates from heater–cooler unit (HCU) water, HCU bioaerosols, and suspected patient cases were collected from 8 US locations (Table). These isolates were sequenced at National Jewish Health and the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). For isolates sequenced at CDC, species identification was performed by matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS) (Bruker Daltonics Inc., https://www.bruker.com/) with minimum scores of 2.0. Confirmed colonies were subcultured in 7H9 broth (Becton Dickinson, http://www.bd.com) at 37°C with 5% CO2 until turbid. We extracted mycobacterial DNA from the 7H9 broth using the Maxwell 16 MDX (Promega, https://www.promega.com/) instrument and the Maxwell 16-cell LEV DNA purification kit. We constructed high-quality DNA libraries using NuGEN (https://www.nugen.com/) Ovation Ultralow library prep kits and sequenced using a 500-cycle Illumina (https://www.illumina.com) MiSeq reagent kit v2 for 2 × 250 bp paired-end sequence reads. For isolates sequenced at National Jewish Health (Denver, CO), we extracted mycobacterial DNA using a modified protocol (1), employing a column DNA clean in lieu of a phenol chloroform and ethanol precipitation. We determined species identification of HCU isolates through the amplification and sequencing of a 723 bp segment of the RNA polymerase β subunit (rpoB) gene, also known as region 5 (2). We trimmed sequences for quality and compared them against rpoB sequences deposited in the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) GenBank using the BLAST algorithm (https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast). Definitions of species by rpoB were those of the Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute (3). The National Jewish Health Human Subject Institutional Review Board (HS no. 2674) approved sequencing of NTM strains derived from patients. We constructed DNA libraries using Nextera XT (Illumina)
library prep kits, normalized manually for pooling, and sequenced using a 600-cycle Illumina MiSeq reagent kit v3 for 2 × 300 bp paired-end sequence reads.

**Publicly Available *M. chimaera* Genome Retrieval**

We downloaded a subset of sequence reads corresponding to publicly available *M. chimaera* genomes from isolates collected in Australia and New Zealand (4,5), Denmark (6), Italy (7), the United Kingdom (8), and Switzerland (7) from the NCBI Sequence Read Archive (SRA) using the SRA toolkit (9). These are listed in the Table in the main article.

**Phylogenomic Analyses**

Sequence reads were trimmed of sequencing adapters and with a quality Phred score threshold of 30 (10) and mapped to the *M. chimaera* strain CDC 2015–22–71 reference genome (GenBank accession no. NZ_CP019221.1) using the Single Nucleotide Variant Phylogenomics (SNVPhyl) pipeline for paired-end Illumina data (11). The paired-end reads were aligned to the reference genome to generate read pileups (SMALT v.0.7.5; 5; http://www.sanger.ac.uk/science/tools/smalt-0) followed by quality filtering of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and coverage estimations. From each pileup, the variant calling, variant consolidation and SNP alignment generation of the final phylogeny was run through PhyML (12) using maximum likelihood. Only isolates that had a minimum of 80% of the reference genome, at 20× or greater coverage, and/or had less than 10% undetermined bases (N) or insertions/deletions (indels) introduced during SNP calling were included in subsequent analyses. The resulting phylogenetic tree was visualized using SplitsTree5 (13) and the R package GGTREE (14).

Genomes sequenced in this project are available online on the NCBI network under accession PRJNA345021 (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/345021).

**References**

1. Käser M, Ruf M-T, Hauser J, Marsollier L, Pluschke G. Optimized method for preparation of DNA from pathogenic and environmental mycobacteria. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2009;75:414–8. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01358-08

2. Ben Salah I, Adékambi T, Raoult D, Drancourt M. rpoB sequence-based identification of *Mycobacterium avium* complex species. Microbiology. 2008;154:3715–23. http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.2008/020164-0
3. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Interpretive criteria for identification of bacteria and fungi by DNA target sequencing. 1st ed. CLSI guideline MM18-A. Wayne, PA: The Institute, 2008.

4. Robinson JO, Coombs GW, Speers DJ, Keehner T, Keil AD, D’Abrera V, et al. Mycobacterium chimaera colonisation of heater-cooler units (HCU) in Western Australia, 2015: investigation of possible iatrogenic infection using whole genome sequencing. Euro Surveill. 2016;21:30396. http://dx.doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2016.21.46.30396

5. Williamson D, Howden B, Stinear T. Mycobacterium chimaera spread from heating and cooling units in heart surgery. N Engl J Med. 2017;376:600–2. http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMc1612023

6. Svensson E, Jensen ET, Rasmussen EM, Folkvardsen DB, Norman A, Lillebaek T. Mycobacterium chimaera in heater-cooler units in Denmark related to isolates from the United States and United Kingdom. Emerg Infect Dis. 2017;23:507–9. http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid2303.161941

7. van Ingen J, Kohl TA, Kranzer K, Hasse B, Keller PM, Katarzyna Szafrańska A, et al. Global outbreak of severe Mycobacterium chimaera disease after cardiac surgery: a molecular epidemiological study. Lancet Infect Dis. 2017;17:1033–41. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(17)30324-9

8. Chand M, Lamagni T, Kranzer K, Hedge J, Moore G, Parks S, et al. Insidious risk of severe Mycobacterium chimaera infection in cardiac surgery patients. Clin Infect Dis. 2017;64:335–42. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciw754

9. Leinonen R, Sugawara H, Shumway M, International Nucleotide Sequence Database Collaboration. The sequence read archive. Nucleic Acids Res. 2010;39(suppl1):D19–D21. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkq1019

10. Jiang H, Lei R, Ding S-W, Zhu S. Skewer: a fast and accurate adapter trimmer for next-generation sequencing paired-end reads. BMC Bioinformatics. 2014;15:182. http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-15-182

11. Petkau A, Mabon P, Sieffert C, Knox NC, Cabral J, Iskander M, et al. SNVPhyl: a single nucleotide variant phylogenomics pipeline for microbial genomic epidemiology. Microb Genom. 2017;3:e000116. http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/mgen.0.000116

12. Guindon S, Dufayard JF, Lefort V, Anisimova M, Hordijk W, Gascuel O. New algorithms and methods to estimate maximum-likelihood phylogenies: assessing the performance of PhyML 3.0. Syst Biol. 2010;59:307–21. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/syq010

13. Huson DH, Bryant D. Application of phylogenetic networks in evolutionary studies. Mol Biol Evol. 2006;23:254–67. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msj030
14. Yu G, Smith DK, Zhu H, Guan Y, Lam TTY. GGTREE: an R package for visualization and annotation of phylogenetic trees with their covariates and other associated data. Methods Ecol Evol. 2017;8:28–36. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.12628

**Appendix Figure 1.** Global locations of heater–cooler unit (HCU), suspected HCU patient case, and non-HCU–associated *Mycobacterium chimaera* isolate genomes included in this study. *M. chimaera* isolates from 13 US locations were collected for whole genome sequencing and compared against available HCU and non-HCU *M. chimaera* genomes from Australia, Denmark, New Zealand, Switzerland, and the United Kingdom.
Appendix Figure 2. Scatterplot of pairwise single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) between HCU1 and non-HCU–associated Mycobacterium chimaera isolates. SNPs were observed in the alignment of 4,024,718 core nucleotide positions (no Ns or indels) and categorized by the groups of genomes compared (HCU1 versus non-HCU isolates). Black line represents the mean SNP differences observed per categorical comparison.
Appendix Figure 3. Scatterplot of pairwise single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) between US HCU1, global HCU, and US non-HCU–associated *Mycobacterium chimaera* isolates. SNPs were observed in the alignment of 18,190 SNPs in 3,815,639 core positions (no Ns or indels) and categorized by the groups of genomes compared (HCU1 versus non-HCU isolates). Black line represents the mean SNP differences observed per categorical comparison.