**Construction and analysis of SSH cDNA library of human vascular endothelial cells related to gastrocarcinoma**
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**Abstract**

**AIM:** To construct subtracted cDNA libraries of human vascular endothelial cells (VECs) related to gastrocarcinoma using suppression subtractive hybridization (SSH) and to analyze cDNA libraries of gastrocarcinoma and VECs in Cancer Gene Anatomy Project (CGAP) database.

**METHODS:** Human VECs related to gastric adenocarcinoma and corresponding normal tissue were separated by magnetic beads coupled with antibody CD31 (Dynabeads CD31). A few amount of total RNA were synthesized and amplified by SMART™ PCR cDNA Synthesis Kit. Then, using SSH and T/A cloning techniques, cDNA fragments of differentially expressed genes in human VECs of gastric adenocarcinoma were inserted into JM109 bacteria. One hundred positive bacteria clones were randomly picked and identified by colony PCR method. To analyze cDNA libraries of gastrocarcinoma and VECs in CGAP database, the tools of Library Finder, cDNA xProfiler, Digital GENE Expression Displayer (DGED), and Digital Differential Display (DDD) were used.

**RESULTS:** Forward and reverse subtraction cDNA libraries of human VECs related to gastrocarcinoma were constructed successfully with SSH and T/A cloning techniques. Analysis of CGAP database indicated that no appropriate library of VECs related to carcinoma was constructed.

**CONCLUSION:** Construction of subtraction cDNA libraries of human VECs related to gastrocarcinoma was successful and necessary, which laid a foundation for screening and cloning new and specific genes of VECs related to gastrocarcinoma.
confined pathologically and from normal gastric tissues 7 cm away from the edge of the adenocarcinoma in same patients who were admitted to Henan Provincial Hospital were put into RNA protecting solution (RNAlater™, Ambion Company) after being rinsed with PBS immediately after resection.

Methods
Separation of ECs with Dynabeads CD31 About 1 cm² of tissue cut from tissues stabilized by RNAlater was put into a mortar with a little RNAlater RNA Stabilization Reagent, and then was scissors and ground with scissors and pestle. To make cell suspension, 1 ml RNAlater RNA Stabilization Reagent was added to it, then filtered through a sterile 80-µm nylon filter. 25 µl washed Dynabeads™CD31 beads were added to the suspension for 30 minutes at 2-8 °C. For identification, slide was made with one drop of this mixture and stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E). Then bead-bound cells were separated in a magnetic device (Dynal MPC™).

Isolation of total RNA
Rnaseeasy Mini Kit and Rnase-Free Dnase set (QIAGEN Company) were used to extract the total RNA from bead-bound cells. RNA purified by Rnaseasy Column was analyzed for integrity and size by formaldehyde agarose gel electrophoresis and quantification and purity of RNA by OD value.

Synthesis, amplification and purification of cDNA
About 100 ng total RNA was used to synthesize the first strand of cDNA with SMART™ PCR cDNA Synthesis Kit (Clontech Company), then amplified by LD-PCR with 15, 18, 21, 24, 27 cycles separately and analyzed through 1.2 % agarose gel electrophoresis in order to get the perfect cycle number with which we harvested a suitable amount rather than a superfluous one to build the library. Placental total RNA was performed as control. CHROMA SPIN-100 Column was used to purify the cDNA.

Digestion with RsaI and purification of digested products
Sample, cDNA from VECs of gastrocarcinoma, and sample II, cDNA from VECs of normal stomach tissue, and sample III, cDNA from VECs of placental tissue were treated with enzyme RsaI respectively. From each sample, 10-µl solutions was taken before digestion and 1 h, 3 h and 3.5 h after digestion, and 1.2 % agarose gel electrophoresis was performed for identification of digestion efficiency. Digested products were purified by QIAquick PCR purification Kit (QIAGEN Company) and subsequently concentrated to 6.7 µl by ethanol precipitation method.

Isolation of specially expressed cDNA fragments
Based on the instructions of Clontech PCR-Select™ cDNA Subtraction Kit, sample I and sample II were used as test 1 and driver 1, correspondingly sample II and sample I were used as test 2 and driver 2. Mixture of sample III and ωI/EcoR Hae III DNA was treated as test 3, correspondingly sample III alone was performed as driver 3. Each sample test was divided into two parts, and each part was ligated separately with Adaptor 1 and Adaptor 2, and then hybridized with the corresponding sample driver. The mixture of two parts was hybridized with corresponding sample driver again. The fragments with both Adaptor 1 and Adaptor 2, namely specially expressed cDNA fragments in sample test rather than in sample driver, were amplified by nested PCR. Adeptors possessed outside primer and inside primer. So forward and reverse subtraction fragments were obtained. Subtraction efficiency was checked by G3PDH, a housekeeping gene, according to PCR cycles needed in subtracted sample and unsubtracted sample, with which the gene could be observed on agarose/EtBr gel.

Purification of subtraction fragments
QIAquick PCR Purification Kit was utilized to purify the subtraction fragments.

Clone and screening of subtraction fragments
1 µl, 2 µl, 3 µl PCR fragments of subtraction and non-subtraction and 2 µl control DNA were respectively taken to ligate with 1 µl pGEM-T easy Vector. 10-µl ligation reaction solutions were transformed into 150 µl competent cells JM109.

Identification of positive recombination of vector
Select 100 white colonies separately from forward and reverse library and replant 5 ml LB/Amp solution. Then it was shaken at 37 °C overnight. Take 1 µg culture solution as model and Nested Primer 1 and Nested Primer 2R to amplify the insert and test it by electrophoresis.

Storage of library
Select white colonies separately from forward and reverse library and inoculate 5 ml LB/Amp solution. Then it was shaken at 37 °C overnight. Add 700 µl culture solution into 1.5 ml EP tube containing 50 % glycerin and keep it at -80 °C.

Analysis of related library
cDNA library of stomach cancer tissue, normal stomach tissue and vascular endothelial cell were analyzed using GLS, cDNA xProfiler, DDD, DGED and Library Finder in Cancer Genome Anatomy Project (CGAP), dbEST was categorized using the GLS tool of CGAP. We considered precancer libraries as cancer libraries. All of the cDNA libraries were categorized according to tissue type (tissue origin), tissue histology (cancerous, or fetal), and the library preparation method (microdissected, bulk, cell line, or flow cytometric sorted).

RESULTS
Through Dynabeads™CD31, about 8×10⁶ endothelial cells were obtained with almost 100 % purity. Slides were made and stained with H&E (Figure 1).

Figure 1

Endothelial cell attached with several beads.

The amount of RNA extracted from stomach cancer and normal stomach tissue was respectively 1.0 µg and 0.85 µg with OD₂₆₀/OD₂₈₀ ratio 2.10 and 1.96. By formaldehyde agarose gel electrophoresis, the integrity and size were analyzed and clear bands of 18s and 28s were seen (Figure 2).
Figure 2 Lane 1, total RNA of stomach cancer. Lane 2, total RNA of normal stomach tissue. Lane 3, marker.

Figure 3 Lanes 1, 2, 3 demonstrate 21, 24, 27 PCR cycles of cDNA products of stomach cancer tissue. Lanes 4, 5, 6 demonstrate 21, 24, 27 PCR cycles of cDNA products of normal stomach tissue. Lanes 7, 8, 9 demonstrate 15, 18, 21 PCR cycles of cDNA products of placental tissue. Lane 10, marker.

Synthesis and amplification of cDNA
The first strands of cDNA have been amplified by LD-PCR with different cycles: 15, 18, 21, 24, and 27. The products could be viewed on 2% agarose gel electrophoresis (Figure 3). For both normal tissue and cancer tissue, a total of 27 cycles were performed, respectively, and for placental tissue, totally 18 cycles were performed.

Analysis of RsaI digestion efficiency
cDNA, before digestion with RsaI, appeared as a smear of 0.5-10 kb on 1% agarose gel electrophoresis, and after digestion the average cDNA size was smaller (0.1-2 kb) (Figure 4).

Analysis of ligation efficiency
That the intensity ratio of PCR products determined by G3PDH primer 3' and Adaptor primer 1 to PCR products determined by G3PDH primer 3' and 5' was over 1:4 on 2.0% agarose/EB gel showed that ligation efficiency was above 25% (Figure 5).

Analysis of differentially expressed cDNA with nest PCR
The second hybridization products were amplified by PCR with different cycles: 18, 23, 28, and 33. After second PCR with inside primers, several bands could be seen clearly among the smears. Based on the manual, the experiment was successful (Figure 6).

Figure 4 Lanes 1, 2, 3, 4: sample I. Lanes 5, 6, 7, 8: sample II. Lanes 9, 10, 11, 12: sample III. Lane 13: marker. Lanes 1, 5, 9: PCR products using cDNA ligated Adaptor 1 as model, and G3PDH 3' primer and PCR Primer 1. Lanes 2, 6, 10: PCR products using cDNA ligated Adaptor 1 as model, and G3PDH 3' primer and 5' primer; Lanes 3, 7, 11: PCR products using cDNA ligated Adaptor 2 as model, and G3PDH 3' primer and primer 1. Lanes 4, 8, 12: PCR products using cDNA ligated Adaptor 2 as model, and G3PDH 3' primer and G3PDH 5' primer.

Figure 5 Lanes 1, 2, 3: sample I. Lanes 4, 5, 6: sample II. Lanes 9, 10, 11, 12: sample III. Lane 13: marker. Lanes 1, 5, 9: PCR products using cDNA ligated Adaptor 1 as model, and G3PDH 3' primer and G3PDH 5' primer; Lanes 3, 7, 11: PCR products using cDNA ligated Adaptor 2 as model, and G3PDH 3' primer and primer 1. Lanes 4, 8, 12: PCR products using cDNA ligated Adaptor 2 as model, and G3PDH 3' primer and G3PDH 5' primer.

Analysis of differentially expressed cDNA with nest PCR
The second hybridization products were amplified by PCR with different cycles: 18, 23, 28, and 33. After second PCR with inside primers, several bands could be seen clearly among the smears. Based on the manual, the experiment was successful (Figure 6).

Figure 6 Lanes 1, 2, 3 indicated subtracted samples I, II, III. Lanes 4, 5, 6 indicated unsubtracted sample I, II, III. Lane 7 showed the subtracted control provided by the kit. Lane 8: Marker.

Figure 7 Lanes 1, 2, 3 indicated the subtracted sample I was amplified by PCR with different cycles: 18, 23, 28, and 33. Lanes 6, 7, 8, 9 indicated the unsubtracted sample I was amplified by PCR with different cycles: 18, 23, 28, and 33. Lanes 10: Marker.

PCR analysis of subtraction efficiency
After PCR amplification, the housekeeping gene G3PDHs appeared at 18 cycles in unsubtraction samples and at 33 cycles in subtraction samples. This result indicated that G3PDHs expressed in both parts have been greatly decreased
through the subtraction method. If five cycles corresponded roughly to 20-fold cDNA enrichment, G3PDHs would have been decreased almost 300 times. It implied that other genes expressed in both tissues have been reduced the same fold and the specially expressed genes in the test sample were selected (Figure 7).

**Screening transformants for inserts**

720 white colonies were observed on two petri dishes of positive control with 7% presence ratio of blue colony. Two white colonies were observed on one petri dish of background control with 15 blue colonies. On one petri dish of transformation control, 160 white colonies without blue colony were observed. 992 white colonies appeared on 5 petri dishes of forward subtraction, and 890 white colonies appeared on 5 petri dishes of reverse subtraction. 60 white colonies were selected from forward and reverse subtractions, and amplified with nested Primer 1 and nested Primer 2R. 54 and 50 fragments ranged from 100 bp to 1 000 bp were selected from the corresponding forward and reverse subtraction libraries.

**Analysis of CGAP database**

dbEST was categorized using the GLS tool of the CGAP. All of the cDNA libraries were categorized according to tissue type (tissue origin), tissue histology (cancerous, normal, or fetal), and the library preparation method (microdissected, bulk, cell line, or flow cytometric sorted). Among 319 stomach cDNA libraries, all 73 libraries of normal stomach were from bulk tissues instead of cells and none was established by SSS method except those without label. Among the 245-cDNA libraries of stomach cancer, 28 originated from cell lines with 9 libraries built by SSH, and none of the other 217 libraries originating from tissue was built by SSH. All 15 vascular cDNA libraries came from normal tissue or cell line or cultured cells, and SSH method was not used. And appropriate VECs related to tumor have not been built into cDNA library. Among the libraries derived from cell lines, two derived from umbilical vein endothelium, one derived from aortic endothelium, another one came from endothelial cells of foreskin through primary culture of dermal microvascular endothelial cells. Among the 11 non-cell line libraries, 6 were from aorta, 2 umbilical veins, 1 unlabeled vein; another two were from choroidal plexus and basilar artery.

**DISCUSSION**

Bio-behavior such as growth and metastasis of cancer is closely related with proliferation of microvessel. Newborn capillaries of cancer differ from normal ones in growth process or distribution. For instance, VECs of breast cancer can grow 50 times faster than VEC in normal tissue and vascular endothelial growth factors (VEGFs) play an important role in tumor angiogenesis and vascular endothelial cell proliferation. So increasing attention has been paid to VECs.

Differentially expressed genes between the corresponding normal and cancer tissue can help us understand the molecular basis of malignancy and potentially serve as biomarkers or prognostic markers of malignancy. The identification and characterization of human genes expressed exclusively or preferentially in microvascular system of tumor will hopefully shed light on the mechanisms of tumor development and provide useful genetic markers for screening, diagnosis, prognosis, therapeutic monitoring and development of therapeutic vaccines. There are many techniques that aim at producing an inventory of differential transcripts between two populations of mRNAs. High-throughput gene expression techniques (microarrays, genechips) to identify cancer-specific genes are becoming available. However, the technology is not cost effective for average laboratories. SSH method allows identifying overexpressed genes (designated forward +SSH) but also underexpressed genes (designated reverse -SSH) by exchanging the driver and tester populations during the procedure. Since this technique was established by Diatchenko, many new genes have been separated from almost all tissues, such as renal cell cancer, lung cancer, liver cancer, etc.

The CGAP database of the National Cancer Institute has thousands of expressed sequences, both known and novel, in the form of expressed sequence tags (ESTs). These ESTs derive from diverse normal and tumor cDNA libraries. In CGAP database, there are 8221 libraries from various tissues. Among these libraries, 54 libraries are based on SSH method, and 69 material samples are prepared through microdissection. Among those 54 libraries with SSH method, none of the material sample has been prepared through microdissection.

CGAP also offers different data-mining tools: tools of the G.L.S., the cDNA xProfiler, the D.D.D., and the DGED. With these tools and database in CGAP, differently expressed genes can be predicted too. Using DGED tool to compare normal stomach libraries and cancer libraries, 117 differently expressed genes can be found. But endothelial cells related to cancer have no appropriate library that can be matched and compared. So cDNA library of endothelial cells related to cancer needs to be built, and the more the better, just like prostate libraries.

To separate VECs from microvessel, tissues were usually treated with collagenase at 37°C for 30 minutes according to present common techniques, and magnetic beads coupled with monoclonal antibody CD31(Dyna beads CD31) have also been used. VECs separated by monoclonal antibody have been identified without change. Instead of using collagenase and cell culture, we separated VECs with Dyna beads CD31 after mechanically grinding tissue and filtering through a sterile 80-µm nylon filter. In this way, we obtained about 105 VECs, from which 1 µg total RNA was harvested. Although the amount of the cells was limited and even some cells were connected by fibers, they were relatively pure. The process of separating VECs from tissue with Danalbeads CD31 lasted almost 1 h, as a result RNA later, solution-inhibiting degradation of RNA, has been used to substitute PBS required by the Danalbeads CD31 Kit. Because the amount was far from 1-2 mg total RNA required by SSH method, Smart cDNA Synthesis Kit was introduced to synthesize and amplify cDNA from the relatively few RNA, which requires only 50 ng total RNA. In the process of amplification, after the first 15 cycles, for each three more cycles, a little sample was taken and tested in order to get suitable copies of cDNA, as more copies would add burden to later screening work.

In performing SSH, each step was operated exactly according to the manual of the kit and the results were verified correct before each following step. G3PDH was used to identify the forward and reverse subtractions. On agarose/EB gel, appearance of G3PDH band was 15 PCR cycles later in subtraction sample than in unsubtraction sample. It implied the amount of G3PDH decreased 300 times by subtraction technique. Finally, with T/A technique, subtracted PCR products were ligated to T vector and transformed into bacteria JM109. So, both the forward subtraction cDNA library containing cDNA fragments only expressed in VECs of stomach cancer but not normal stomach tissue, and the reverse subtraction cDNA library containing cDNA fragments not expressed in VECs of stomach cancer tissue but normal stomach tissue were built up successfully, which was a good beginning for researching into new genes of VECs related to gastrocarcinoma and gene therapy of gastrocarcinoma.
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