Oral microbiome and obesity in a large study of low-income and African-American populations
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ABSTRACT

Few studies have evaluated the relationship of oral microbiome with obesity. We investigated the oral microbiome among 647 obese and 969 non-obese individuals from the Southern Community Cohort Study, through 16S rRNA gene sequencing in mouth rinse samples. We first investigated 16 taxa in two probiotic genera, *Bifidobacterium* and *Lactobacillus*. Among them, eight showed nominal associations with obesity ($P<0.05$). Especially, *Bifidobacterium* (odds ratio [OR] = 0.67, 95% confidence interval [CI]: 0.54, 0.83) and *Bifidobacterium longum* (OR = 0.57, 95% CI: 0.45, 0.73) were significantly associated with decreased obesity prevalence with false-discovery rate (FDR)-corrected $P$ of 0.01 and $5.41 \times 10^{-4}$, respectively. Multiple other bacterial taxa were also significantly associated with obesity prevalence at FDR-corrected $P<0.05$. Among them, five in *Firmicutes* and two respectively in *Actinobacteria* and *Proteobacteria* were significantly associated with increased obesity prevalence. Significant associations with decreased obesity prevalence were observed for two taxa respectively in *Actinobacteria* and *Firmicutes*. Most of these taxa were associated with body mass index at study enrollment and weight gain during adulthood. Also, most of these associations were observed in both European- and African-American individuals. Our findings indicate that multiple oral bacterial taxa, including several probiotic taxa, were significantly associated with obesity.

Obesity has become a domestic and global pandemic [1], with adult overweight and obesity rates at nearly 36.5% in the USA [2]. Obesity is a major risk factor for a wide range of chronic diseases, including type 2 diabetes, cardiovascular diseases, some cancers, renal diseases, and irritable bowel disease [3]. Host genetic factors [4], high-calorie diets [5] and physical inactivity [3] are associated with increased risk of obesity.

Humans are supraorganisms with approximately 90% of cells being commensal microorganisms known as microbiota [6]. The gut microbiome has been associated with obesity [5]. Based on these findings, multiple bacterial taxa in the genera *Bifidobacterium* (belonging to the phylum *Actinobacteria*) and *Lactobacillus* (belonging to the phylum *Firmicutes*) [7], have already been used as probiotics to decrease body weight in human clinical trials [8,9].

The oral microbiota is the second most complex microbial community in the human body after the colon [10]. Oral microbes play important roles in maintaining oral and systemic health through colonization resistance [10], nutrient digestion [11] and immunity response [12]. It has been suggested that worse oral health may be associated with increased risk of obesity [13,14]. Multiple studies, including ours [15], have showed that the oral microbiome was associated with various diseases, such as pancreatic cancer [16], head and neck squamous cell cancer [17] and colorectal cancer [15,18]. However, evidence linking the oral microbiome to obesity remains scarce. Previous studies have used DNA probe hybridization technique to profile saliva/sub-gingival bacterial community among overweight/obese and non-obese individuals. They found multiple oral bacteria showing significant associations with overweight/obesity [19,20], suggesting that the oral microbiome may play a role in the pathophysiology of obesity. However, because of the limited availability of bacteria-specific probes, only dozens of microbes were investigated in these studies though hundreds of oral microbes were observed. In addition, these studies were conducted with a small sample size (N < 350) and primarily among European-ancestry populations. In the present study, we evaluated the relationship between oral microbial composition and obesity via deep sequencing in a large low-income and African American populations from the Southern Community Cohort Study (SCCS), in which the prevalence of obesity is high.
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Materials and methods

Study population and data collection

The SCCS is designed to investigate health disparities in low-income populations, predominantly African Americans. Details of the study have been described elsewhere [21,22]. In short, more than 85,000 adults aged 40 to 70 were recruited from 12 southeastern states between 2002 ~ 2009. Approximately 86% of the enrollment occurred in community health centers (CHCs), institutions mainly in underserved areas to provide basic health care and disease prevention. Recruitment of the remaining 14% of individuals were fulfilled through mail-based general population sampling. At the time of enrollment, mouth rinse samples were obtained from ~34,100 participants. The institutional review boards at Vanderbilt University Medical Center and Meharry Medical College reviewed and approved the study, and all participants provided written informed consent.

All participants took the baseline survey through a comprehensive questionnaire during enrollment. Information about lifestyle factors, disease history, anthropometric characteristics, medication use, oral health, socioeconomic status and dietary intake was collected. Study participants’ weight at study enrollment, weight at 21 years and maximal lifetime weight were obtained at the baseline survey with the questions: ‘How much do you weigh?’, ‘What is your weight at age 21?’ and ‘What is the most you have ever weighed?’, respectively. Height was collected by either taking a measurement at enrollment or through the self-reported baseline survey question: ‘How tall are you?’. Body mass index (BMI) at enrollment was calculated according to the formula: weight (kg)/height² (m²). Participants were categorized to two groups: obese (BMI of ≥ 30) and non-obese (BMI < 30). Weight change was calculated as: weight (kg) at enrollment (aged 40 to 70) – weight (kg) at 21 years. Total energy intake (kcal/day) was derived from the food frequency questionnaire. Alcohol consumption was classified into ‘None’, ‘Light’, ‘Moderate’ and ‘Heavy’ based on the answers to a series of questions about quantity and frequency of alcohol consumption. Tabaco smoking was reported as ‘current’, ‘former’, ‘never’. Oral health was assessed by tooth loss, which was grouped to ‘None’, ‘1 to 4’, ‘5 to 10’, ‘More than 10 but not all of them’, and ‘All of them’ through answering the question ‘About how many adult teeth you have lost in your lifetime due to tooth decay or gum disease?’.

After recruitment, participants follow-up was performed through record linkage and surveys via mail or telephone. Major health outcomes such as cancer incidence were ascertained via linkage with state cancer registries and/or from the National Death Index mortality records. In the present study, we included participants who had been selected for four nested case-control studies to investigate the oral microbiome and incident cases (diagnosed after mouth rinse sample collection) of type 2 diabetes, lung cancer, upper aerodigestive tract cancer and colorectal cancer. In these case-control studies, controls were matched to cases by age (± 2 years), race, sex, smoking status (current, former or never), date of mouth rinse sample collection (± 90 days), recruitment source and the community health center recruitment site. After removing individuals who reported a history of antibiotics usage during the year before sample collection, totally 1,616 individuals, of which 647 were obese and 969 non-obese, were included in the current analysis. All these participants were disease-free at the study enrollment when the mouth rinse sample was collected.

DNA extraction and 16S rRNA gene sequencing

Qiagen’s QIAmp DNA kit (Qiagen Inc., Germantown, MD) was used to isolate DNA from mouth rinse samples and NEXTflex 16S V4 Amplicon-Seq Kit (Bioo Scientific, Austin, TX), designed to sequence approximately 253 bp of bacterial 16S rRNA’s fourth hypervariable (V4) domain [23,24] used for sequencing the library preparation, guided by the manufacturer’s protocol. Sequencing was performed for two batches. For the first batch, 150 bp pair-end data were generated using Illumina MiSeq 300 (Illumina, San Diego, CA) at the VANderbilt Technologies for Advanced Genomics Core. For the 2nd batch, sequencing was performed at pair-end 250 bp using Illumina HiSeq System at the BGI Americas (Cambridge, MA). For both batches, on each 96-well plate, two duplicated quality control samples (QCs) and one negative control sample were included. In total, samples from the same single participant were sequenced six times and the microbiome profiles were very similar. For example, for the Shannon index and the Simpson index, i.e. measurements of alpha diversity within each sample, the coefficient of variability among the six samples were 1.7% and 0.3%, respectively. In addition, the correlations of phylum-level microbial relative abundance among these six samples were very high, with Pearson correlation coefficients range from 97.8% to 99.9%.

Sequence data processing and quality controls

De-multiplexed raw sequencing was subjected to QC using Sickle [25] to remove low-quality bases and reads. The clean pair-end reads were then processed by BayesHammer [26] to go through sequencing error correction and PANDAseq [27] to be stitched together [28]. Assembled reads were processed by the Quantitative Insights Into Microbial Ecology (QIIME; v1.9.1) [29] pipeline using the default parameters. Chimeric
sequences were identified and removed using ChimeraSlayer, implemented in identify_chimeric_seqs.py and excluded using filter_fasta.py. Non-chimeric sequences were then clustered into operational taxonomic units (OTUs) at 97% sequence identity using the closed reference-based strategy with UCLUST against the Human Oral Microbiome Database (HOMD) [29]. OTU tables of samples from two studies were rarefied to 5,000 and 20,000 sequencing reads per sample, respectively, to account for any variation in sequencing depth using single_rarefaction.py in QIIME. Different depths were used for rarefaction because of the significant difference of overall sequencing depth between the two studies. Those OTUs observed less than two times were excluded using filter_otus_from_otu_table.py. Rarefied and singleton-removed OTU tables were merged together using merge_otu_tables.py. Finally, we estimated the correlation network based on the combined OTU table by utilizing the SparCC software [30] with the bootstrapping of 1,000 repetitions, during which only OTUs observed in at least 25% of the samples were used. The significant correlations, with an absolute correlation coefficient of ≥ 0.5 and a two-sided pseudo P < 0.001, were used for community structure detection, using the greedy optimization of a modularity algorithm [31], implemented in the R package ‘igraph’.

Statistical analyses

The microbial richness and evenness, known as alpha diversity, was evaluated using Shannon, Simpson, and phylogenetic diversity (PD) whole-tree indices. The differences between obese and non-obese participants were estimated using two-sample t-tests at greatest rarefaction depth, implemented in compare_alpha_diversity.py in QIIME. The relationship between overall oral microbiota composition and obesity was evaluated using MiRKAT [32], which utilized regression-based kernel association tests based on a calculation of three distance metrics: Bray-Curtis dissimilarity, unweighted UniFrac and weighted UniFrac.

Probiotics bacteria have been increasingly used in obesity prevention and treatment [9]. Several clinical trials [8,9,33–39] had suggested the anti-obesity or weight-losing potential of multiple probiotic bacterial taxa in the genera Bifidobacterium and Lactobacillus [7]. Hence, to estimate the relationship between bacterial taxa and obesity, we first investigated these two probiotic genera, i.e. Bifidobacterium and Lactobacillus, and all the species belonging to them.

Then, we investigated other bacterial taxa in association with obesity. We focused on the ‘common taxa’ with median relative abundance of ≥ 0.10% among the non-obese participants. In total, five phyla, 15 families, 15 genera and 28 species were analyzed. Each taxon was categorized into three groups (tertile) based on the relative abundance in non-obese individuals. Then, a logistic regression analysis was performed to test the association for the 2nd and 3rd tertile with the 1st tertile as a reference. We also tried the compositional analysis methodology [40], in which the taxon abundance was assessed via the sequencing read counts instead of relative abundance. Read count for each taxon was added by 1 and centered log-ratio transformation was used for normalization [41]. Then logistic regression analyses were conducted. The results were very similar to those observed in the analyses based on categorizing the data to tertiles. For microbial communities with at least five OTUs, which were identified in the community structure analyses, we also investigated them in association with obesity risk. Briefly, the relative abundance of each community was calculated as the sum of the relative abundance of all OTUs belonging to it. Then, the associations of the relative abundance of microbial communities with the risk of obesity were estimated using logistic regression following the same strategy as used for the analyses of common taxa.

For those ‘rare taxa’ with median relative abundance < 0.10% in non-obese individuals, we investigated the prevalence of these bacteria. Study participants were grouped into two groups, carriers and non-carriers, and then logistic regression analyses were conducted. Some taxa were very rare and were observed in only few individuals. There is no statistical power to investigate these bacteria. Thus, in the present study, we only analyzed those with prevalence > 30% among non-obese individuals. In total, three phyla, 16 families, 37 genera and 92 species were investigated for their prevalence in association with obesity.

During all analyses, potential confounders such as age, sex, race, smoking, alcohol consumption, total energy intake, oral health, disease status during the follow-up and study batch were additionally adjusted. For association analysis of individual taxon and obesity, odds ratio (OR), 95% confidence interval (CI) and P value were estimated using glm of R. We used false discovery rate (FDR) to perform multiple testing correction for common taxa and rare taxa (including probiotic taxa) separately. For taxa showing an association with obesity with an FDR-corrected P < 0.05, we further investigated their associations with BMI at enrollment and weight change (between enrollment and 21 years old) via linear regression. The potential confounders included in the analyses for obesity were included in the analyses for BMI and weight change. For the analyses of weight change, weight at 21 years old was also included in the model as a covariate. We also conducted stratified analyses by African-Americans and European-Americans and the heterogeneity was estimated by the Cochran’s Q test.
Results

Characteristics of the study participants

The distribution of demographic characteristics for study participants is shown in Table 1. A total of 1,616 participants (647 obese and 969 non-obese) were included in the present investigation. Among them, 1,058 were of African-ancestry and 558 were of European-ancestry. The average weight change between weight at enrollment and weight at 21 years old was 32.52 kg among obese and 8.55 kg among non-obese participants. Obese participants had slightly lower total energy intake (an average of 2,250 vs. 2,664 kcal/day), lower smoking rate (percentage of never-smokers: 42.8% vs. 27.9%) and lower alcohol consumption rate (percentage of never-drinkers: 55.8% vs. 41.2%) than non-obese participants. Oral health status is comparable between obese and non-obese participants.

Association of overall microbial composition with obesity

Regarding the alpha-diversity, no significant differences were observed between obese and non-obese participants, with P values of 0.60, 0.48 and 0.84 for Shannon, Simpson and PD whole-tree index, respectively. We did not find any significant difference between obese and non-obese participants in beta-diversity either, as estimated by Bray-Curtis dissimilarity, unweighted UniFrac and weighted UniFrac distances with an omnibus P value of 0.36 as calculated by MiRKAT.

Associations of pre-identified and potential probiotic bacteria taxa with obesity

Multiple bacterial species belonging to the genera *Bifidobacterium* and *Lactobacillus* have been used as probiotics to decrease the risk of obesity in both animal studies and human clinical trials [8,9,33–39]. In this study, four species of *Bifidobacterium* and 12 species of *Lactobacillus* were observed. All these bacterial taxa showed lower prevalence in obese than in non-obese participants, with eight taxa reaching nominal significance (P < 0.05) (Table 2). The genera *Bifidobacterium* (OR = 0.67, 95% CI: 0.54, 0.83) and *Lactobacillus* (OR = 0.78, 95% CI: 0.63, 0.97) themselves were associated with decreased obesity prevalence with P values of 2.99 x 10^-4 and 0.03, respectively. Within the genus *Bifidobacterium*, the species *Bifidobacterium longum*, observed among 25.5% obese and 35.8% non-obese participants, showed the strongest association (OR = 0.57, 95% CI: 0.45, 0.73) with P = 4.13 x 10^-6. Additionally, two other species within the genus *Bifidobacterium*, including *Bifidobacterium scardovii* (OR = 0.74, 95% CI: 0.59, 0.92) and *Bifidobacterium subtilis* (OR = 0.55, 95% CI: 0.35, 0.86), were also associated with decreased obesity prevalence with P of 8.18 x 10^-3 and 9.85 x 10^-3, respectively. Within the genus *Lactobacillus*, three species, including *Lactobacillus fermentum* (OR = 0.72, 95% CI: 0.56, 0.93), *Lactobacillus panis* (OR = 0.74, 95% CI: 0.55, 0.98) and *Lactobacillus ultunensis* (OR = 0.61, 95% CI: 0.39, 0.95), were associated with decreased prevalence of obesity. After adjusted for 166 comparisons, including 18 probiotic taxa and 148 ‘rare’ taxa, using FDR, the genus *Bifidobacterium* and the species *B. longum* still showed an association with decreased prevalence of obesity, with FDR-corrected P of 0.01 and 5.41 x 10^-7, respectively.

Associations of other bacterial taxa with obesity

As shown in Table 3, of the 63 ‘common taxa’ investigated, six taxa were associated with increased obesity prevalence after FDR correction. In Firmicutes, five taxa were associated with increased obesity prevalence. Among them, the family Carnobacteriaceae

Table 1. Characteristics of the participants in the southern community cohort study.

| Characteristic          | Group                  | Obese (N = 647) | Non-obese (N = 969) | Combined (N = 1,616) |
|------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| Age (years)            |                        | 55.61 ± 8.66    | 55.98 ± 8.81        | 55.83 ± 8.75         |
| BMI (kg/m²)            |                        | 36.37 ± 5.68    | 24.64 ± 3.07        | 29.34 ± 7.18         |
| Weight change (kg)     |                        | 32.52 ± 17.49   | 8.55 ± 3.07         | 18.03 ± 18.32        |
| Race (%)               |                        |                 |                     |                      |
| African-American       |                        | 449 (69.40%)    | 609 (62.85%)        | 1,058 (65.47%)       |
| European-American      |                        | 198 (30.60%)    | 360 (37.15%)        | 558 (34.53%)         |
| Total energy intake (kcal/day) |            | 2,250.24 ± 1,178.09 | 2,664.32 ± 1,430.18 | 2,497.17 ± 1,349.15 |
| Alcohol consumption (%)|                        |                 |                     |                      |
| None                   |                        | 352 (55.78%)    | 392 (41.22%)        | 744 (47.03%)         |
| Light                  |                        | 187 (29.64%)    | 287 (30.18%)        | 474 (29.96%)         |
| Moderate               |                        | 55 (8.72%)      | 125 (13.14%)        | 180 (11.38%)         |
| Heavy                  |                        | 37 (5.86%)      | 147 (15.46%)        | 184 (11.63%)         |
| Tobacco smoking (%)    |                        |                 |                     |                      |
| Never                  |                        | 277 (42.81%)    | 270 (27.86%)        | 547 (33.85%)         |
| Current                |                        | 143 (22.10%)    | 449 (46.34%)        | 592 (36.63%)         |
| Former                 |                        | 227 (35.09%)    | 250 (25.80%)        | 477 (29.52%)         |
| Tooth loss (%)         |                        |                 |                     |                      |
| None                   |                        | 84 (17.43%)     | 105 (18.04%)        | 189 (11.76%)         |
| 1 to 10                |                        | 225 (46.68%)    | 273 (46.91%)        | 498 (46.80%)         |
| >10, not all           |                        | 97 (20.12%)     | 117 (20.10%)        | 214 (20.11%)         |

^a^ For age, BMI, weight change and total energy intake, mean ± SD were reported.  
^b^ Weight at enrollment versus weight at 21 years old.  
^c^ Alcohol consumption: Light: < 1 drink/day; Moderate: 1–2 drink/day; Heavy: > 2 drink/day.
Table 2. Probiotic bacterial taxa showing a significantly higher prevalence in non-obese than in obese individuals.

| Taxa                                | Carriage               | OR (95% CI)* | P     | P*   |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------|-------|------|
| Phylum Actinobacteria                |                        |              |       |      |
| Species Bifidobacterium              | Obese (N = 647)        | 46.83%       | 55.73%| 0.67 | 0.54 (0.83) | 2.99 × 10⁻⁸ | 0.01 |
| Genus Lactobacillus                 | Non-obese (N = 969)    | 25.50%       | 35.81%| 0.57 | 0.45 (0.73) | 4.13 × 10⁻⁶ | 5.41 × 10⁻⁴ |
| Species Bifidobacterium longum      |                        | 31.68%       | 38.60%| 0.74 | 0.59 (0.92) | 8.18 × 10⁻⁵ | 0.13 |
| Species Bifidobacterium subtile     |                        | 4.95%        | 7.95% | 0.55 | 0.35 (0.86) | 9.85 × 10⁻⁵ | 0.13 |
| Phylum Firmicutes                   |                        |              |       |      |
| Species Lactobacillus               | Obese (N = 647)        | 52.55%       | 58.20%| 0.78 | 0.63 (0.97) | 0.03    | 0.18 |
| Genus Lactobacillus fermentum       | Non-obese (N = 969)    | 23.49%       | 28.69%| 0.72 | 0.56 (0.93) | 0.01    | 0.13 |
| Species Lactobacillus panis         |                        | 16.69%       | 19.61%| 0.74 | 0.55 (0.98) | 0.04    | 0.22 |
| Species Lactobacillus ultunensis    |                        | 4.79%        | 8.36% | 0.61 | 0.39 (0.95) | 0.03    | 0.21 |

*ORs, 95% CIs and P values were calculated via logistic regression. Sequencing batch as well as other covariates (age, race, gender, disease status, total energy intake, oral health, tobacco smoking and alcohol consumption) were adjusted.

Table 3. Common bacterial taxa* showing a significantly higher prevalence in obese than in non-obese individuals.

| Taxa                                | Medium relative abundance | Tertile T2 | Tertile T3 | OR (95% CI)* | P trendb | P trendc |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|------------|------------|--------------|----------|----------|
| Phylum Firmicutes                    |                           |            |            |              |          |          |
| Genus Gemella                        | Obese (N = 647)           | 2.08%      | 1.56%      | 1.09 (0.83, 1.43) | 1.48 (1.14, 1.93) | 2.25 × 10⁻³ | 0.03 |
| Family Carnobacteriaceae             | Non-obese (N = 969)       | 1.26%      | 1.12%      | 1.42 (1.08, 1.86) | 1.74 (1.33, 2.27) | 5.79 × 10⁻⁵ | 1.59 × 10⁻³ |
| Genus Granulicatella                 |                            | 1.23%      | 1.07%      | 1.36 (1.04, 1.78) | 1.66 (1.28, 2.18) | 1.90 × 10⁻⁴ | 3.49 × 10⁻³ |
| Species Granulicatella adiacens      |                            | 1.19%      | 1.04%      | 1.30 (0.99, 1.70) | 1.74 (1.34, 2.27) | 3.68 × 10⁻⁵ | 1.59 × 10⁻³ |
| Species Streptococcus oligofermentans|                            | 0.32%      | 0.24%      | 1.34 (1.01, 1.77) | 1.50 (1.13, 1.98) | 5.50 × 10⁻³ | 0.04 |
| Phylum Actinobacteria                |                            |            |            |              |          |          |
| Species Actinomyces sp. oral taxon 180| Obese (N = 647)           | 0.22%      | 0.16%      | 1.37 (1.05, 1.79) | 1.48 (1.14, 1.94) | 4.38 × 10⁻³ | 0.03 |

*aFocusing on taxa with medium relative abundance of ≥0.1% among non-obese participants.
*bORs, 95% CIs and P values were calculated via logistic regression. Sequencing batch as well as other covariates (age, race, gender, disease status, total energy intake, oral health, tobacco smoking and alcohol consumption) were adjusted.
*cFDR-corrected P values.

along with two taxa within it, including the genus Granulicatella and the species Granulicatella adiacens, were associated with obesity with P of 5.79 × 10⁻⁵, 1.90 × 10⁻⁴ and 3.68 × 10⁻⁵, respectively. Two other taxa in this phylum, the genus Gemella and the species Streptococcus oligofermentans, were also positively associated with the prevalence of obesity, with P of 2.25 × 10⁻³ and 5.50 × 10⁻³, respectively. In the phylum Actinobacteria, the species Actinomyces sp. oral taxon 180 was associated with increased prevalence of obesity, with P = 4.38 × 10⁻³. All of these six taxa were still associated with obesity prevalence after FDR correction for 63 tests with an adjusted P < 0.05.

The community structure analyses led to the identification of 14 microbial communities (Figure 1), with five communities composed of at least five OTUs. These five communities included 23, 13, 10, six, and seven OTUs. Among them, we found that the community which was comprised of seven OTUs, including Lactobacillus crispatus, Lactobacillus reuteri, L. panis, L. fermentum, Lactobacillus gasseri, Lactobacillus oris, and Enterococcus casseliflavus, was associated with a decreased risk of obesity (OR = 0.93, 95% CI: 0.88, 0.98) with a P = 6.56 × 10⁻³.

Of the 148 'rare' taxa evaluated, prevalence of three taxa were associated with obesity after FDR correction (Table 4). In the phylum Actinobacteria, the genus Alloscardovia was observed among 21.6% obese and 31.4% non-obese participants. Carrying this taxon was associated with decreased obesity prevalence (OR = 0.64, 95% CI: 0.50, 0.81) with P = 3.05 × 10⁻⁴. In the phylum Firmicutes, the genus Anaeroglobus was associated with a decreased prevalence of obesity (OR = 0.66, 95% CI: 0.52, 0.84) with P = 6.04 × 10⁻³. In the phylum Proteobacteria, the species Aggregatibacter sp. oral taxon 512 showed an association with increased obesity prevalence (OR = 1.47, 95% CI: 1.16, 1.87) with P = 1.50 × 10⁻³. All of these three associations retained significance (FDR P < 0.05) after correction for 166 tests (18 probiotic taxa and 148 'rare' taxa).

Most of these associations were observed in both African-Americans and European-Americans (Table S1-S3) and none of them showed a significant heterogeneity between these two ethnic groups. The sample size in African-Americans (N = 1,058) was almost double of that in European-Americans (N = 558). Thus, the statistical power was higher in African-Americans than in European-Americans. It is expected that some bacteria showed more significant associations in African-Americans, e.g. the genus Bifidobacterium and the species S. scardovii and L. ultunensis (Table S1), the species S. oligofermentans and Actinomyces sp. oral taxon 180 (Table S2), the genera Alloscardovia and Anaeroglobus, and the species Aggregatibacter sp. oral taxon 512 (Table S3).

For all bacterial taxa showing an association with obesity, the associations with BMI (Table S4-S6) were very similar to the associations with obesity (Table 2–4). In addition, most taxa that were associated with increased risk of obesity and higher BMI were also
associated with increased weight gain (Table S7-S9). These results suggested that these taxa were associated with BMI at enrollment (aged 40 to 70) but not at 21 years old. However, there are some exceptions. Four potential probiotic bacteria, B. scardovii and B. subtilis, genus Lactobacillus and species L. panis were associated with obesity at study enrollment but not with weight change (Table 1 and Table S7). Two rare genera, Alloscardovia and Anaeroglobus, showed more significant associations with obesity at enrollment with \( P \) values of \( 3.05 \times 10^{-4} \) and \( 6.04 \times 10^{-4} \) than the associations with weight change, with \( P \) values of 0.01 and 0.06, respectively (Table S9).

### Discussion

In the present study, we investigated the oral microbiome in relationship with obesity, BMI and weight gain using deep sequencing technology. We discovered multiple bacterial taxa, including several pre-identified and potential probiotic bacteria, significantly associated with obesity, BMI and weight gain.

Over the past several decades, probiotics bacteria have been increasingly used in the prevention and treatment of different disorders, including obesity [9]. Multiple bacterial taxa within the genera Bifidobacterium and Lactobacillus have been suggested to have anti-obesity or weight-losing potential [8,9]. These bacteria may inhibit dietary fat absorption, increase fat excretion [42], promote calories and fat burning [43], and decrease fat storage [44]. In the present study, we found that all taxa within the genera Bifidobacterium and Lactobacillus were associated with decreased obesity prevalence, lower BMI, and lower weight gain between aged at 40 to 70 and the age of 21, among both African-Americans

### Table 4. Rare taxa showing a significantly higher prevalence in obese or non-obese individuals.

| Taxa                              | Carriage         | OR (95% CI) | \( P \)  | \( \text{FDR} \) \( P \) |
|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------|----------|--------------------------|
| Phylum Actinobacteria             |                  |             |          |                          |
| Genus Alloscardovia               | Obese (N = 647)  | 21.64%      | 0.64 (0.50, 0.81) | 3.05 \times 10^{-4} | 0.01 |
|                                  | Non-obese (N = 969) | 31.37% |          |                          |
| Phylum Proteobacteria             |                  |             |          |                          |
| Species Aggregatibacter sp. oral taxon 512 | Obese (N = 647)  | 69.71%      | 1.47 (1.16, 1.87) | 1.50 \times 10^{-3} | 0.04 |
|                                  | Non-obese (N = 969) | 62.44% |          |                          |

*Focusing on taxa with median relative abundance < 0.1% among non-obese participants.
*ORs, 95% CIs and \( P \) values were calculated via logistic regression. Sequencing batch as well as other covariates (age, race, gender, disease status, total energy intake, oral health, tobacco smoking and alcohol consumption) were adjusted.
*FDR-corrected \( P \) values.
and European-Americans. Among them, B. longum, the anti-obesity and weight loss effects of which had been indicated in both rats [45] and human studies [46], showed the strongest association in our study. Within the genus Bifidobacterium, we also found associations for another two species, B. scardovii and B. subtilis, which had not been reported for anti-obesity and weight loss in any literature. In the genus Lactobacillus, three species, L. fermentum, L. panis and L. ultunensis, were also associated with a decreased prevalence of obesity. Consuming yogurt containing the species L. fermentum has been suggested to be associated with body fat loss and an increase of the abundance of Lactobacillus in the gut microflora [47]. However, there is no data available regarding the associations for L. panis and L. ultunensis in the literatures. Within the genus Lactobacillus, two other species, L. gasseri and Lactobacillus rhamnosus have been reported to induce weight loss or have anti-obesity potential [42,48,49]. In our study, both of these two taxa were associated with decreased obesity prevalence, although the associations did not reach statistical significance. However, given that the probiotic potential of these species was mostly found in the gut, since we do not have gut microbiome data, the associations we identified in oral samples may not directly reflect the role of these species in the gut.

We also found multiple other taxa that were significantly associated with obesity. Previous gut microbiome studies had shown that obese people/animals tend to have a higher portion of the phylum Bacteroidetes and a lower portion of the phylum Firmicutes. However, subsequent studies failed to replicate these findings, and some even reported opposite associations [5]. Our study did not find a significant association between oral Bacteroidetes or Firmicutes with obesity. We found five taxa within Firmicutes, one family (Carnobacteriaceae), two genera (Gemella and Granulicatella) and two species (G. adiacens and S. oligofermentans) were more abundant among obese participants than among non-obese participants. These taxa have not been reported in any literatures for their association with obesity. However, interestingly, most of these taxa, especially G. adiacens [50], S. oligofermentans [51] and several species of the genus Gemella [52,53], have been previously linked to infective endocarditis. In the phylum Actinobacteria, the species Actinomyces sp. oral taxon 180 was associated with increased obesity prevalence, while no studies have inspected its association with obesity. We also found three ‘rare taxa’ associated with obesity, BMI and weight change. Similar with many common taxa, no studies have reported their relationships with obesity.

Stratification analyses by race showed that most associations were observed among both African-Americans and European-Americans, however some taxa showed more significant associations in the former than in the latter. This may be due to the larger sample size of African-Americans in the present study. We also observed more significant associations in European-Americans than in African-Americans for some bacterial taxa. This may be due to the racial difference in the oral microbiome. Such racial difference has been reported in earlier studies of the vaginal microbiome [54,55].

This study, as far as we know, is the largest one to investigate the association between the oral microbiome and obesity. In the present study, 16S rRNA sequencing techniques were used to profile the oral microbiome, which has a higher resolution compared with the checkerboard DNA-DNA hybridization used in previous studies. A wide range of underlying covariates such as age, race, gender, tobacco smoking, alcohol consumption, oral health, and total energy intake were adjusted in all the subsequent analyses, which, to a great extent, minimized the effect of these confounders. In the present study, both African-Americans and European-Americans were included, which helped the investigation of racial disparity of the oral microbiome. However, there are several limitations in the present study. First, the present study has a cross-sectional design, hence we could not determine the causality of associations. On the other hand, body weight and height of the study participants were self-reported. However, in the SCCS, clinic-recorded weights were available for over 20% of the participants. Among them, the correlation between self-reported and clinic-recorded weight was greater than 0.95 [21]. In the analyses of the oral microbiome with BMI at age 21 and weight change between enrollment and age 21, we did not control the memory bias regarding weight at age 21, which is another limitation. We found strong associations, and especially, most of the bacterial taxa, which were associated with BMI at age 21, were also associated with weight gain during adulthood. Further, lacking a systematic assessment of oral health at the baseline examination when the samples were collected is a limitation as well.

In summary, we found that multiple bacterial taxa, including pre-identified and potential probiotic bacteria, in mouth rinse samples were associated with obesity. These results suggest that the oral microbiome may play an important role in obesity.
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