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Malignant tumors of the hard palate: Report of 4 cases and review of the literature
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A B S T R A C T

INTRODUCTION: Cancer of the hard palate is a fairly rare malignant tumor. Different histological types have been described in the hard palate, and that can affect its different structures.

Diagnosis is based on biopsy with histological examination and possibly on immunohistochemical markers to confirm the diagnosis and exclude other diagnostic hypotheses.

The aim of this study was to determine histopathologic, clinical and therapeutic characteristics of malignant tumors of the hard palate.

PATIENTS AND METHODS: A retrospective review of 4 patients who underwent surgical resection by trans oral approach was performed for different histological types of malignant tumors of the hard palate. These included squamous cell carcinoma (case 1 and case 2), mucosal melanoma (case 3), and adenocarcinoma (case 4).

RESULTS: The T stage was analyzed for all cases. Two cases were classified as T2 stage with a tumor size between 2 and 4 cm and the two others, given the extension to the maxillary and nasal cavity were classified as T4a. Cervical lymph node metastasis was found in three patients.

DISCUSSION: Surgical resection is the treatment of choice for malignant tumors of the hard palate. There is a variety of surgical procedures that can be used via a trans oral approach. Reconstruction of palatal defects with a prosthesis is sufficient, whereas larger defects will require a local, regional or even microvascular free tissue flap. The differences between these surgical techniques are presented, and indications are discussed.

CONCLUSION: The therapeutic management for malignant tumors of the hard palate is essentially surgical, with or without postoperative radiotherapy, discussed on a case-by-case basis. Survival rate depends on several factors, including early diagnosis, histological characteristic and appropriate management.

© 2020 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of IJS Publishing Group Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Hard plate cancer (HPC) is an uncommon malignant tumor. Etiologic factors dominated by alcohol and tobacco consumption, are similar to those of other oral cavity cancers [1].

The anatomical and histological constitution of the hard palate, with firm attachment of the mucosa to the underlying periosteum and the abundance of minor salivary glands, make the hard palate a site of different histopathologic type of neoplasms [2]. HPC represents approximately 1–3.5% of oral cavity cancers and is most often a squamous cell carcinoma [3].

A variety of treatments have been used to treat hard palate cancer, including surgery, radiotherapy, chemoradiation and combinations of these modalities.

Surgery is the treatment of choice. It is usually performed via a transoral approach without the need to use facial incisions. The choice of the technique is based on location and size of the tumor [4]. In our work we present four cases of HPC treated by a transoral procedure.

Cervical lymph node metastases are associated with decreased survival rates. The deciding factors for clinician’s decisions on whether an elective neck dissection is necessary or not, are the presence of cervical lymph nodes, the primary site of cancer, tumor size and histological study results [5,6].

For HPC, primary reconstruction surgery has been developed allowing an improvement of the quality of life after surgery and avoiding the predisposition to hyper nasal speech, leakage of
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foods and liquids into the nasal cavity, difficulty swallowing, and improved masticatory function [7,8].

The aim of this study was to determine histopathologic, clinical and therapeutic characteristics of malignant tumors of the hard palate. In addition, we want to highlight the importance of primary prevention; education on risks of alcohol/tobacco use and oral hygiene. Secondary prevention; Early biopsy of any ulceration of the hard palate that does not regress with medical treatment. This would allow early diagnosis and minimal treatment with less morbidity and better survival chances.

2. Patients and methods

Here we present four clinical cases of hard palate tumors treated with surgery and radiation therapy in the otorhinolaryngology – head and neck department of Casablanca university hospital. All surgeries were performed by a senior professor. Data was collected retrospectively. This work has been reported in line with the PRO-CESS 2018 criteria [30].

2.1. Case 1

A 53-year-old female, who was toothless and a former smoker, presented with an ulcerative painless lesion located at the mucosa of the hard palate. It gradually increased in size over the past year, with a slight discomfort when swallowing.

On physical examination a hard ulcerative lesion was noted in the middle part of the hard palate (Fig. 1). No adenopathy or cranial nerves deficit was observed.

CT scan revealed a mass of the hard palate, right paramedian, measuring $3 \times 2 \times 2$ cm, with bone erosion of the floor of the nasal cavity (Fig. 2).

The histological analysis of the biopsy showed a squamous cell carcinoma.

Treatment was based on an inferior maxillectomy (Fig. 3) without neck dissection. The defect was repaired with a dental prosthetic obturator (Fig. 4A and B). Treatment was completed with adjuvant radiotherapy. The patient is disease free on her nine months follow-up with no major swallowing dysfunction.

2.2. Case 2

An 84-years-old man, heavy smoker, presented with a three months history of a painless mucosal lesion of the palate.

Intraoral examination found an ulcerative budding lesion of the right hemi palate. The tumor did not exceed the midline. The patient had poor oral hygiene. No lymphadenopathies were found.
CT scan demonstrated a large, hypodense and heterogeneous mass of the right hard palate, measuring 34 × 29.2 × 30.4 mm with bone erosion. Ib level lymphadenopathy was seen.

We did a biopsy of the palatal lesion and the histological study showed a well differentiated, keratinizing and infiltrating squamous cell carcinoma.

A partial lateral maxillectomy with at least a 1-cm margin was performed as well as bilateral selective neck dissection (levels I to III) and adjuvant radiation therapy.

The post-operative defect was managed with obturator prosthesis (Fig. 5).

After 8 weeks, the patient unfortunately experienced a local recurrence with a rapidly progressing tumor then was lost to follow-up (Fig. 6).

2.3. Case 3

A non-smoker 56-years-old woman, with no medical history, presented with a painless bluish macule of the palate which were progressing for 6 months.

The lesion was irregular, measuring approximately 3 cm, with no extension beyond the midline. The patient had poor oral hygiene.

Extraoral examination did not reveal any lymphadenopathy. The patient was in a good overall physical condition.

Computed tomography (CT) scan demonstrated a 30 × 20 mm homogeneous enhanced lesion invading the left maxillary sinus and destroying its bony walls without detectable lymph node invasion (Fig. 7).

A positron emission tomography (PET) scan showed the hypermetabolic lesion of the hard palate with a hypermetabolic left cervical lymphadenopathy without hypermetabolic focus identifiable elsewhere.

Histological and immunohistochemical studies of the biopsy showed a malignant tumor proliferation expressing diffusely Melan A, PS100 and HMB45, which was suggestive of melanoma.

A total left maxillectomy, level I to III selective neck dissection were performed as well as adjuvant radiation therapy. Postoperatively, the patient underwent prosthetic rehabilitation with good outcomes at her one-year follow-up.

2.4. Case 4

A 66-year-old woman with no relevant medical history, was referred to our Institution for a painless palatal mass that has slowly been growing over 18 months.

Clinical examination revealed a budding lesion, taking up the entire hard palate and extending to the hard/soft palate junction (Fig. 8). The oral hygiene was poor. There were hard and fixed right level I lymphadenopathies.

Face and neck CT scans showed a huge mass of 4 × 4 × 3 cm with significant bone destruction, cortical bone expansion and enlarged lymph nodes in the I and II levels (Fig. 9).

Histological examination of the biopsy showed a polymorphous low-grade adenocarcinoma, with the following positive immunohistochemical markers: Anticytokeratin, clone AE1/AE3.

On the basis of the immunohistochemical results, a diagnosis of polymorphous low-grade adenocarcinoma was established.
A total maxillectomy with large surgical margins was carried out along with a right-side level I to III neck dissection and post-operative radiotherapy. The patient has benefited from a prosthetic rehabilitation.

3. Discussion

The hard palate (also known as the ‘roof of the mouth’), forms a division between the nasal and oral cavities, the palatine process of the maxillary bone and the horizontal plate of the palatine bone constitute the skeleton of the hard palate. It is 1 of 7 subsites of the oral cavity [1].

Oral cancer is the sixth most common malignancy worldwide. The most common tumors of the oral cavity involve the oral tongue and the floor of the mouth however it is estimated that 1–3.5% of oral cancers are located at the hard palate [3,9].

Several risk factors linked to cancer of the hard palate have been described in epidemiological studies around the world. Major risk factors are cigarette smoking and alcohol misuse. Although poor oral hygiene and poor dentition have been implicated in a few epidemiological studies [10,11].

In our 4 cases a poor buccal hygiene is present in all the patients. Other risk factors were described, including diet and nutrition, socio-economic status, indoor air pollution and ethnicity and race [12].

Different histological types have been described in the hard palate, and that can affect its different structures. The most common malignant salivary gland tumor (MSGT) is adenoid cystic
carcinoma, followed by mucoepidermoid carcinoma, adenocarci-
mona, and polymorphous low-grade adenocarcinoma. While most
malignant epithelial tumors are squamous cell carcinomas. Sev-
eral studies have reported that adenoid cystic carcinoma (ACC) and
mucoepidermoid carcinoma (MEC) are the most common mali-
gnant MSGTs located at the hard palate [13].

Although minor salivary gland malignant tumors occur fairly
frequently in this area, squamous cell carcinoma remains also most
common malignancy affecting the hard palate according to other
studies [14].

Moreover, primary mucosal melanomas are extremely rare and
aggressive malignancies involving several anatomic districts, the
incidence of hard palate melanoma represents about 1.4% of all
melanomas [15].

All of our patients have benefited from an examination of the
oral cavity using a bright light, tongue depressors, and suction. It
is paramount in determining the extent and characteristics of the
mass; location, size of mass, and search for loose dentition. The
usual clinical presentation in our case included bluish macules,
ulcerative or budding lesions.

The endoscopic examination allows to take biopsies that are
systematic, which alone can confirm the tumor and also look for
synchronous cancer present in nearly 10% of cases [16,17].

CT and MR imaging are the most frequently used imaging
modalities. CT is useful for evaluating adjacent bone erosion or
destruction. Therefore, MR imaging can be used to evaluate the
differential diagnosis and extent of the tumor in soft tissue and deep
facial spaces. CT and MR images thus can characterize staging of
malignant palatal tumors [18].

In our patient we noted T2 staged in 2 cases with a tumor size
between 2 and 4 cm and 2 cases classified as T4a extending to the
maxillary sinus for one and to the nasal cavity for the other.

Adenoid cystic carcinoma can appear as a malignant lesion have
a proclivity to show destructive growth and invasion of the under-
lying bone. On T2-weighted images, high-grade tumors show hypo
intensity whereas low-grade tumors show hyperintensity.

The imagery features of adenocarcinoma are non-specific. It can
potentially cause bone resorption, medullary infiltration, and inva-
sion of nearby nerves and blood vessels. Advanced tumors often
extend to the maxillary sinus, nasal cavity, and oropharynx and are
accompanied with extensive hard palate bone destruction [19].

Squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) of the hard palate extend often
superiorly to involve the nasal cavity and maxillary sinuses best
evaluated with CT scan. However, perineural spread of palatine
lesions is best evaluated with MR imaging [21].

Adenocarcinoma of the hard palate may be misdiagnosed be-
due to its similarities to other histological types, leading to
inappropriate treatment. For this reason, immunohistochemical
markers can be used to reach the diagnosis. Polymorphous low-
grade adenocarcinoma is composed by only one cell type that
expresses cytokeratins 7, sometimes 14, and also vimentin, but not
muscle-specific actin. In our case, the markers that have been used
are cytokeratin confirming the diagnosis of adenocarcinoma [20].
This allows to differentiate it from cystic adenoid carcinoma,
whose immunohistochemical expression is characterized in the
luminal cells by the expression of cytokeratins 7, 8, 14 and 19 while
all remaining cells are of myoepithelial origin, which are negative
for cytokeratins (except for cytokeratin 14 sometimes) and positive
for vimentin and muscle-specific actin [20].

In our study cervical lymph node metastases were found in three
patients, of whom one has Level I lymph node metastasis with
polymorphous low-grade adenocarcinoma and two had Level III
lymph node metastasis with squamous cell carcinoma and mucosal
melanoma as histological types.

The surgical treatment of malignant tumors of the hard palate
must completely remove, with at least 1 cm margin, all the involved
tissues with en bloc resection of the mucosa and the underlying
bone of the hard palate [22,23].

Surgical resection is performed trans-orally in almost all cases.
The external approach is necessary for very advanced malignant
neoplasms and when extending into the parapharyngeal space,
pterygo-palatal fossa or masticatory space [2].

Approaches to the surgical management of cancer limited to
the hard palate and alveolar ridge include: Partial lateral maxillectomy
which is tailored for small tumors of the lateral maxillary alveolar
ridge and hard palate, that involve the maxillary sinus and nasal
cavity. Inferior maxillectomy for tumors of the hard palate limited
to the floor of the maxillary sinus that does not involve the nasal
cavity and complete maxillectomy for advanced stages extended
into the maxillary sinus or nasal cavity and have significant bilateral
extension [4,23].

Therapeutic neck dissection should be reserved for clinically
and radiographically positive cervical lymph nodes. When unclear
margins or perineural or perivascular invasion is present, with cervical
lymph node involvement.

Neck dissection (levels I to III) is ipsilateral for tumors that do not
involve the midline. Bilateral neck dissection should be performed
for tumors involving the midline [6,23].

The indication also depends on the histological type, squamous
cell carcinoma of the hard palate should be treated aggressively,
and neck dissection should be considered because of the high rate
of lymph node metastasis [24].

Patients treated for hard palate cancer require a means of restor-
ing the oral-nasal separation lost by removal of the palate and
alveolar bone in order to improve patient’s quality of life.

Four major means of rehabilitation have been described in
the literature. It includes: dental prosthetic when the cancer does not
involve more than half the hard palate, mucoperiosteal local flap of
palatal island flap, regional flaps by temporalis flap allows imme-
diate reconstruction and can be used to correct unilateral and total
defects. Otherwise, microvascular free tissue transfer is an option
for larger defects [7,25].

Prosthetic rehabilitation for palatal defects offers signif-
icant advantages. The prosthesis is well tolerated during
radiation therapy and permits visualization of disease recur-
rence [26]. All our patients have benefited from a prosthetic
rehabilitation.

Postoperative radiation therapy is recommended in cases in
which there is a large lesion; high-grade T4 and T3, and microscopic
criteria; large blood vessel or nerve invasion; or close margins and
cervical metastasis [27,28]. Our use of radiation therapy was simi-
lar in patients with high-grade T4 and T3 or in which there was
significant cervical metastases.

Tumor size > T2, the presence of distant metastases and the
positive margins of resection, are factors associated with poor
prognosis. The exact site of the tumor does not influence the sur-
vival outcome [20]. Bone invasion does not necessarily have much
impact on the survival rate [1,5].

Regarding mucosal melanoma, the overall 5-year survival is 15%.
A better survival rate was reported for patients diagnosed with a
localized or regional disease in comparison to patients with distant
disease [28].

Prognostic factors of hard palate carcinoma are mainly the
stage, perineural invasion and resection margins. While there is
no convincing evidence for the prognostic significance of per-
ineural invasion, it seems that resection margin involvement may
lead to a higher rate of recurrence. Even in case of recurrence,
the prognosis is excellent in terms of survival. the 5- and 10-
year disease-specific survival rates are ranging between 93 and
100% [29].

Given the relative rarity of hard palate tumors and the diverse
histological types, we need larger prospective multicentric studies
to further detail risk and prognosis factors, and figure out a tailored therapeutic regimen for each patient.

4. Conclusion

Squamous cell carcinoma is the most common malignant tumor of the hard palate. The clinical diagnosis is based on a search of risk factors, a complete ENT examination and endoscopic examination. CT scan allows a better analysis of bone invasion, while MRI retains its place in the evaluation of local and regional tumor extension. Surgical biopsy with immunohistochemical study confirms the diagnosis and exclude another diagnostic hypothesis.

Surgical excision is the treatment of choice. All our cases have been treated through an intraoral approach with elective neck dissection when pathological lymph nodes are found. Radiotherapy is considered in case of advanced stage disease, buccal extension, positive margins, perineural spread, or multiple lymph node metastases.

The reconstruction of defect after surgical resection is advisable. It restores almost immediately the phonetic and masticatory functions and it allows direct visualization of the primary site for recurrence detection as in case 2.
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