The luminosity-dependent contribution from the broad line region to the wavelength-dependent lags in Mrk 110

F. M. Vincentelli,1,2*, I. M. Hardy,2, J. V. Hernández Santisteban3, E. M. Cackett4, J. Gelbord5, Keith Horne3, J. A. Miller4, A. Lobban6
1Villanova University, Department of Physics, Villanova, PA 19085, USA
2Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Southampton, SO17 1BJ, UK
3SUPA Physics and Astronomy, University of St. Andrews, North Haugh, KY16 9SS, UK
4Wayne State University, Department of Physics & Astronomy, 666 W Hancock St, Detroit, MI 48201, USA
5Spectral Sciences Inc., 4 Fourth Avenue, Burlington, MA 01803, USA
6European Space Agency (ESA), European Space Astronomy Centre (ESAC), 28691 Villanueva de la Cañada, Madrid, Spain

Accepted XXX. Received YYY; in original form ZZZ.

ABSTRACT
We have measured the wavelength-dependent lags between the X-ray, UV and optical bands in the high accretion rate ($L/L_{Edd} \approx 40\%$) Active Galactic Nucleus Mrk 110 during two intensive monitoring campaigns in February and September 2019. We divide the observations into three intervals with different X-ray luminosities. The first interval, already published in Vincentelli et al. (2021), has the lowest X-ray luminosity and did not exhibit the U-band excess positive lag, or the X-ray excess negative lag that is seen in most AGN. However, these excess lags are seen in the two subsequent intervals of higher X-ray luminosity. Although the data are limited, the excess lags appear to scale with X-ray luminosity. Our modelling shows that lags expected from reprocessing of X-rays by the accretion disc vary hardly at all with increasing luminosity. Therefore, as the U-band excess almost certainly arises from Balmer continuum emission from the broad line region (BLR), we attribute these lag changes to changes in the contribution from the BLR. The change is easily explained by the usual increase in the inner radius of the BLR with increasing ionising luminosity.
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1 INTRODUCTION
Active galactic nuclei (AGN) are believed to originate from the accretion of matter onto super-massive black holes at the center of galaxies (Padovani et al. 2017; Event Horizon Telescope Collaboration et al. 2019). The multi-wavelength emission generated from these objects shows a complex spectral energy distribution arising from different physical processes. The two main emitting components usually invoked to explain the emission from optical to hard X-rays are a multi-color black-body spectrum arising from the accretion disc (peaking in optical and UV) and non-thermal radiation (with an X-ray power-law spectrum) from a hot compact inflow close to the central black hole (Shakura & Sunyaev 1973; Haardt & Maraschi 1991; Padovani et al. 2017; Noda & Done 2018). However, the exact geometry of the accretion flow and its behaviour are still not fully understood.

The strong variability of these objects can be used to constrain the structure of the accretion flow of these systems (see e.g. Uttley et al. 2014). A particularly insightful method is the study of time delays between the emission in different bands, which can be interpreted as the light travel time distance between different emitting regions (Blandford & McKee 1982; Cackett et al. 2021b). This technique, known as “reverberation mapping”, was initially developed to study delays between the optical-UV continuum, originating in the accretion inflow, and the emission lines (Peterson 1993; Peterson et al. 2004) from a region of orbiting and/or outflowing gas, also known as the broad-line region (BLR). Thanks to the improvement of the photometric monitoring campaigns of AGN, reverberation mapping studies have significantly grown in the last few years, focusing on the accretion disc and opening a new way to measure the geometry of the accretion inflow (Cackett et al. 2018, 2020; Edelson et al. 2015, 2017, 2019; Fausnaugh et al. 2016, 2018; McHardy et al. 2014, 2018; Hernández Santisteban et al. 2020; Vincentelli et al. 2021).

The various studies performed in the last decade have shown that even though optical/UV emission lags do broadly follow the expectation of a standard optically thick, geometrically thin accretion disc (Shakura & Sunyaev 1973), more physical components are required to explain the correlated variability in X-ray, UV and optical. For instance, it has been solidly established that the emission around 3600Å (i.e. at the Balmer Jump) is dominated by the BLR, leading to the so called “U-band excess” in almost all the observed sources (Korista & Goad 2001, 2019; Cackett et al. 2018; Lawther et al. 2018). Moreover, the X-ray vs UVW2 lags seem to show a larger-than-expected amplitude when compared to standard accretion disc models (see also Li et al. 2021). This led to the development of several models attempting to reproduce the lag spectrum with reprocessing driven in a lamp-post model with a relatively high corona (Kammoun et al. 2021a), an extended reproc-
Recent intensive monitoring observations of the high accretion rate AGN Mrk 110 (40% Eddington ratio, Meyer-Hofmeister & Meyer 2011), showed behaviour consistent with a combination of an accretion disc and the BLR, but without an X-ray or U-band excess (Vincentelli et al. 2021). In this Letter, we investigate this combination further and, in particular, the variation of the BLR contribution to the lags as a function of luminosity. We present new Swift observations of Mrk 110, which we combine with all archival data. In Section 2 we describe the data reduction procedure. Section 3 is dedicated to the analysis of the variability and inter-band lags. Finally in Section 4 we discuss the results in terms of the currently accepted physical scenarios.

2 OBSERVATIONS.

2.1 Swift

The Neil Gehrels Swift Observatory (Swift here after Gehrels et al. 2004) has observed Mrk 110 on a number of occasions since its launch. For our analysis we extracted all the data from the archive for both XRT and UVOT. For the XRT, we generated a time series with all the PC observations in the 0.3-10 keV range using the online XRT build-product software using the snapshot binning procedure (Evans et al. 2007)\(^1\).

UVOT fluxes were extracted using the standard UVOT extraction software developed for UVOT lightcurves (Gelbord et al. 2015). UVOT observations can show a flux drop-off depending on the region of the detector where the source falls (Edelson et al. 2015; Hernández Santisteban et al. 2020). Observations affected by this problem were flagged from their position on the detector and excluded from the analysis.

Given the timescales involved, in order to evaluate reliable lags, intensive monitoring campaigns of at least \(\approx 1\) month with almost daily sampling are required. Therefore, we selected those datasets which had at least 30 datapoints over a period of 30 days. We identified 3 intensive monitoring time windows, which also correspond to our own proposals: the first one between MJD 58053 and 58143 (already published in Vincentelli et al. 2021), the second between 58534 and 58656 and the last between 58728 and 58797 (see Table 1)\(^2\). We will refer to these three epochs as E1, E2 and E3 respectively.

2.2 Ground Based observations

During E2, we obtained quasi-simultaneous coverage from the ground, with the Las Cumbres Observatory (LCO, Brown et al. 2013) and the Zowada Observatory.

LCO observed Mrk 110 in the SDSS griz\(_\lambda\) and Johnson BV bands from the 1m-telescope at McDonald Observatory, USA (details of the observations are presented in Vincentelli et al. 2021). The data were downloaded from the LCO archive which provides bias and flat-field corrected images (McCully et al. 2018). We used SExtractor (Bertin & Arnouts 1996) to perform background-subtracted aperture photometry within a 5\('

\(\) radius centred on the source and with a global background model. Absolute flux calibration was performed using the APASS catalogue for all filters (Henden et al. 2018) except for \(z\) where PANSTARRS was used (Flewelling et al. 2020).

The Zowada Observatory, a 20-inch robotic telescope in New Mexico, USA\(^3\), also observed Mrk 110 using SDSS griz\(_\lambda\) filters. We performed background-subtracted aperture photometry using a 5-pixel (4.4\(\) radius circular source aperture and annular background region with inner and outer radii of 20 and 30 pixels, respectively. We perform relative photometry using three nearby comparison stars that are 1-3 times brighter than the target. Exposures in g, r, and i were 100s, and 200s in \(z\) and taken in groups of 5 exposures per filter. The mean flux from exposures taken together are calculated.

We inter-calibrated the LCO and Zowada griz\(_\lambda\) lightcurves using observations separated in time by less than \(\approx 5h\). For each band we identified 8 such pairs of points and fitted a linear relation between the Zowada and LCO fluxes \((F_{\text{Zow}} = a F_{\text{LCO}} + b)\) obtaining the

\(^1\) [https://www.swift.ac.uk/user_objects/]

\(^2\) These two datasets are part of the joint VLA/Swift program VLA-19A-020. Radio data will be analysed in a future work.

\(^3\) [https://clas.wayne.edu/physics/research/zowada-observatory]
slope $a$ and intercept $b$. Using the best-fit parameters (reduced $\chi^2$ was always close to unity), we re-scaled the Zowada fluxes to match the LCO lightcurve. The result is insensitive to inverting the order of the lightcurves, and also consistent with intercalibrations derived using pyCALU (Li et al. 2014).

We note that Mrk 110 has a well known foreground nearby star ($\approx 5.5^\circ$; see e.g. Fig 1 in Bischoff & Kollatschny 1999) which is at least 1 magnitude fainter than the AGN in all our bands. Given our aperture radius of $\approx 4.4-5^\circ$, we expect a contribution no larger than 10% to our target’s flux. Furthermore, such a constant flux contribution will not affect the estimation of the lag.

3 ANALYSIS

3.1 Lag analysis

As already done for E1 (Vincentelli et al. 2021), we evaluated the lags with respect to the UVW2 band of the X-ray band and the other UV/optical filters for E2 and E3. Given the irregular order of the data, we applied the method known as “FR/RSS” to interpolate the gaps and compute the cross-correlation function (CCF) between each band and the UVW2 (see e.g. Peterson et al. 2004). From the randomization of the values of the interpolated data we then recovered a distribution of the interpolated CCFs centroid, which was then used to calculate the lag and its uncertainty$^4$. In particular for E3 we removed the long term trend present in the data with a linear fit to the time series (see also Vincentelli et al. 2021). The distribution of the lag centroid for each band and the resulting lag spectra are shown in Fig. 1 (right panel) and Fig. 2 respectively. The lag spectrum shows a similar shape for all the observations, apart from the U-band, where a clear difference is seen between the three epochs, being noticeably larger in E2, where the luminosity is highest. During E2, the g band as well shows a significant deviation from E1.

To confirm this result, we also computed the lags in E2 and E3 using the JAVELIN software (Zu et al. 2013), which evaluates the lags through an MCMC simulation of top-hat impulse responses driven by a damped random walk. To avoid affecting the model, no filtering was applied in this case. The resulting lags with both methods are summarized in Table 2: these are found to be consistent within each other, confirming the evidence of an evolution of the lags with respect to the UVW2 band, in the X-ray and U-band.

3.2 X-ray spectral analysis

We also analysed the time average X-ray spectra for the three epochs. Following Vincentelli et al. (2021) and Porquet et al. (2021) we used a phenomenological model, fitting the data with Comptonisation emission plus a black-body component for the soft excess. Moreover we also added a Gaussian component to reproduce the iron line contribution at $\approx 6.4$ keV. The overall model was $\text{ZPHABS} \times [\text{PEKRAY+ZGAUSS+ZBBODY}]$. The spectra do not display any significant absorption throughout the three epochs, but show a clear change in flux which is modelled fairly straightforwardly just by changes in the normalisations of the power-law and soft-excess (see Table 3). Thus the change in flux which we observe in each of the three epochs and which we plot in Fig. 4 represents a change in the ionising luminosity of the source.

4 DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

We have measured wavelength-dependent lags, from the X-rays through to the $z_{\beta}$-band, in the narrow line Seyfert I galaxy Mrk 110 on three separate epochs, each at different average flux levels. In the first epoch E1 (Vincentelli et al. 2021), which had the lowest flux level, we did not detect any U-band excess lag, such as is usually attributed to reprocessed light from the BLR (e.g. Korista & Goad 2001, 2019; Cackett et al. 2018; Edelson et al. 2019). We also did not find any excess lag between the X-rays and UVW2 band which is, again, commonly found in AGN. This lag spectrum was fully consistent with standard accretion disc predictions. However in epochs E2 and E3, where the average flux level was higher (highest in E2), excess lags were seen in both the U-band and X-ray band. Moreover, we find that both lags increase with increasing X-ray luminosity (Fig.4).

Incidentally, we note here that we measure all lags relative to UVW2. The reason is that most previous work (e.g. see summary in Figures 16 and 17 of McHardy et al. 2018), shows that the lag spectrum in the UV and optical bands is relatively smooth, with no large discontinuities and, apart from scaling with mass and accretion rate, is similar in most AGN, indicating a similar origin for the light in those bands. However there is often a discontinuity in this lag spectrum when extended to the X-ray bands, and that discontinuity differs from one AGN to another. Thus there may be differences in structure between AGN which leads to different X-ray to UVW2 lags.

Considering first the U-band excess lag, our disc modelling, which we have used in a number of previous papers, shows that the ionising luminosity is not a critical parameter in determining lags from accretion discs. For example, increasing the ionising luminosity by a factor 3 increases the model lags by less than 1 per cent (see e.g. McHardy et al. 2018, caption to Table 3). A very similar result is shown in Kammoun et al. (2021a, see also the X-ray vs UVW2 lag prediction in Fig. 4). Although no U-band excess was seen in the

---

$^4$ The calculation was done with our private FORTRAN code. The software has already been tested in multiple occasions (see McHardy et al. 2014, 2018; Pahari et al. 2020; Vincentelli et al. 2021).

---

**Table 1.** Summary of the properties of the three epochs. Cadence of the observations is as the average number of observations per day. Last column reports the X-ray fractional variance (see Vaughan et al. 2003)

| Epoch | MJD Start | MJD End | UVOT cadence (days$^{-1}$) | XRT cadence (days$^{-1}$) | Ground-based cadence (days$^{-1}$) | X-ray $F_{\text{var}}$ (%) |
|-------|-----------|---------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|
| E1    | 58053     | 58143   | 2.5                       | 2.8                       | 1.9                           | 17.6                    |
| E2    | 58534     | 58656   | 0.4                       | 0.5                       | 0.7                           | 16.6                    |
| E3    | 58728     | 58797   | 0.6                       | 0.9                       | –                             | 8.9                     |

---

**Fig.** 1. The X-ray to UVW2 lag spectra for Mrk110, for epochs E1, E2, and E3 (left panel). The lag spectrum shows a clear difference between the three epochs, being noticeably larger in E2, where the luminosity is highest. During E2, the g band as well shows a significant deviation from E1.

---

**Fig.** 2. The UV to UVW2 lag spectra for Mrk110, for epochs E1, E2, and E3 (right panel). The lag spectrum shows a similar shape for all the observations, apart from the U-band, where a clear difference is seen between the three epochs, being noticeably larger in E2, where the luminosity is highest. During E2, the g band as well shows a significant deviation from E1.

---

**Fig.** 3. The X-ray to UVW2 lag spectra for Mrk110, for epochs E1, E2, and E3 (left panel). The lag spectrum shows a clear difference between the three epochs, being noticeably larger in E2, where the luminosity is highest. During E2, the g band as well shows a significant deviation from E1.

---

**Fig.** 4. The X-ray to UVW2 lag spectra for Mrk110, for epochs E1, E2, and E3 (right panel). The lag spectrum shows a similar shape for all the observations, apart from the U-band, where a clear difference is seen between the three epochs, being noticeably larger in E2, where the luminosity is highest. During E2, the g band as well shows a significant deviation from E1.
Due to the large X-ray flare (Vincentelli et al. 2021), the optical lightcurves showed a very long response to the X-ray flare, with increasing ionising luminosity very likely to be due to an increase in the BLR inner radius with increasing ionising luminosity (e.g. Peterson et al. 2002; Bentz et al. 2013; Ilić et al. 2017).

In principle, with enough measurements of lags at different luminosities, it should be possible to disentangle the separate contributions to the lags as the disc component is essentially constant and the BLR contribution will vary as $L_{\text{ion}}^{1/2}$. However the number of epochs is not sufficient to perform a more detailed analysis.

We next consider the observation that the X-rays come before the UV and optical bands), the peak correlation coefficient, the lag computed with the FR/RSS method and the lag evaluated through JAVELIN.

The statistics of the data, following Vincentelli et al. (2021) and Porquet et al. (2021), we fixed the following parameters: $N_H = 1.27 \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$; $z = 0.035$; $E_{\text{cut}} = 120$ keV; ref. fract. = 0.2; He abund (elements heavier than He) = 1; Fe abund=1.

**Figure 2.** Lag spectrum (relative to UVW2 at 1928 Å) for Epochs 2 (red filled points, left panel) and 3 (blue filled points, right panel). While most of the bands show no significant change from Epoch 1 (empty circles in black), the X-ray and U-band lags change.

**Table 2.** Results of the lag with respect to the UVW2 band computed between different bands. After band name and wavelength we report the average value of the time series (in counts s$^{-1}$ for X-rays and in $10^{−14}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ Å$^{-1}$ for the UV and optical bands), the peak correlation coefficient, the lag computed with the FR/RSS method and the lag evaluated through JAVELIN.

| Component | Parameter (units) | E1 | E2 | E3 |
|-----------|------------------|----|----|----|
| **zbody** | $kT$ (keV)       | 0.11±0.05 | 0.11±0.01 | 0.11±0.01 |
|           | Norm. (10$^{-5}$) | 5.9 ± 0.4 | 8.7 ± 1.0 | 7.4 ± 1.0 |
| **pexrav** | $\Gamma$         | 1.66±0.02 | 1.75±0.03 | 1.68±0.03 |
|           | Norm. (10$^{-3}$) | 5.2 ± 0.1 | 7.6 ± 0.2 | 6.0 ± 0.1 |
| **zgauss** | Energy (keV)     | 6.6±0.1 | – | – |
|           | $\sigma$ (keV)   | 0.3±0.2 | – | – |
|           | Norm. (10$^{-5}$) | 4.0±0.2 | 8.3±1.0 | 3.8±3.0 |
| $\chi^2$/dof |                 | 1.05 = (1322/1254) |
| Flux (0.5 – 2 keV) (10$^{-15}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) | 1.7 | 2.4 | 1.9 |
| Flux (2 – 10 keV) (10$^{-15}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) | 2.2 | 2.8 | 2.5 |
| Flux (0.5 – 10 keV) (10$^{-15}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) | 3.9 | 5.2 | 4.4 |

**Table 3.** Summary of the best-fit parameters of the XRT spectra for epochs E1, E2 and E3. Data were fitted with the mode: ZPHABS X [PEXRAX+ZGAUSS+ZBOD].
that all of the reprocessed light comes from the disc, suggest that the extra X-ray to UV lag is caused by absorption and scattering of the X-rays by the inner edge of the accretion disc. If so, then an increase in X-ray luminosity from the central source might lead to increased inflation of the inner disc, and more absorption/scattering, resulting in an increased lag. It is also possible to increase the lag between the X-rays and all of the reprocessed emission simply by moving the X-ray source further away. If we again assume that the reprocessed light all comes from the disc, then increasing the X-ray coronal size to move the X-ray centroid further from the disc, could provide an answer. According to Eqn. 8 in Kammoun et al. (2021a,b), in order to reproduce the X-ray-UVW2 lag of \( \approx 1 \) day the height of the corona needs to be \( > 60 R_G \). However, coronal height measurements from X-ray reverberation mapping typically show heights not larger than \( 20 R_G \) (see e.g. Emmanoulopoulos et al. 2014; Alston et al. 2020). On the other hand, X-ray spectral analysis of Mrk 110 (Porquet et al. 2021), are better fitted at higher fluxes by a larger corona (i.e. from \( \approx 20 \) to \( \approx 40 R_G \)).

It has also been proposed that the UV/optical lags may arise entirely from the BLR (see e.g. Chelouche et al. 2019; Netzer 2021) or at least be dominated by it (Cackett et al. 2021a). In this case, therefore, the light travel time from the inner accretion flow to the BLR inner radius would correspond to the X-ray to UVW2 lag and not the UVW2 to U-band. The change in the U-band lag would instead be due to an increasing contribution of the diffuse continuum (DC) at the Balmer jump.

We note that, following a drop after the g-band, the lags increase at longer wavelengths, with the lag at \( i \) and \( z_G \) bands being comparable to that in the observed in the U-band. Even though no significant variation is observed between E2 and E1 (which is most probably “disc-dominated”), we note that such a behaviour is expected also from the DC from the BLR (see e.g. Korista & Goad 2019). Therefore it is possible that all of the lags come from reprocessing in the BLR. We remind readers that this deduction does not imply no contribution from the disc, as the lags are not additive. If there is a smaller contribution from the disc, we will still measure the larger one from the BLR. The relative importance of the two components depends on the relative flux received from each one. Further simulations, beyond the aim of this paper, are required in order to quantitatively disentangle the contribution between these two components.

This result shows for the first time that the BLR contribution can vary in a single object, confirming the importance of considering the effect of emitting components different from the disc when studying the lag phenomenology of these objects. In particular we indicate two possible explanations for the observed behaviour: an evolving accretion flow with accretion rate, pushing away the inner radius of the BLR, or the BLR being responsible for the whole lag spectrum. New multi-epoch observations are required to disentangle the dependence of the lags on flux and timescales, and reach a more detailed understanding of their physical origin.
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