Research Article

Effects of Water Regime on the Structure of Roots and Stems of Durum Wheat (Triticum durum Desf.)
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Yield improvement of durum wheat is considerably limited by the expression of environmental abiotic factors. Water deficits are one of these limiting factors. Plants develop various strategies to tolerate the effects of water deficit. Some of such mechanisms might occur in the root and stem systems. The present study aimed to investigate some anatomical traits contributing to the drought tolerance in the durum wheat. The anatomical variations of the meristem of roots and stems, as a response to water deficit, were evaluated. The results indicated that the enhancement of the intensity of water deficit was accompanied by profound structural changes in the piliferous zone of roots. Water deficit caused a significant decrease in the diameter of the newly formed adventitious roots, which can be explained by a reduction in the thickness of the cortical parenchyma, through the reduction of cell size. This action was usually a contrary effect in the principal adventitious roots. The study also showed that increasing the intensity of water deficit reduced the diameter of vessels in the primary xylem, thereby increasing the hydraulic resistance of roots and lowering the flow of sap.

1. Introduction

In Algeria, wheat is grown under rainfed conditions in the interior plains, particularly in the highlands of the country (semi-arid bioclimatic zones). These areas are often subject to the effects of weather conditions (high temperature coupled with low rainfall), which affect the growth and development of grain and hence productivity [1, 2]. In these areas, the temporal and spatial variability of drought are the most limiting factors facing the cultivation of durum wheat [3]. Water deficit plays a direct role in the physiology of plants. All physiological functions are not affected at the same time and on the same scale [4].

Improving the productivity of these species depends mainly on the availability and efficient use of water resources. The ability to quantitatively evaluate the performance of crop plants undergoing water stress is very important in research programs for the rehabilitation and improvement of the production in these conditions [5]. Varietal improvement and development of drought-resistant plants encountered the complexity in the mechanisms of drought tolerance. Detecting the multiyear variations in yields of species is not sufficient to precisely determine the reactions developed by this species. The analysis must be complemented by a better understanding of drought adaptation mechanisms in the plant.

According to some researchers [6, 7], the root system capable of extracting water from the soil is an essential feature for drought resistance. This feature is particularly important in cultures that regularly suffer from water deficits at the end of the growth cycle. Its impact is particularly high because it is directly involved in the efficient use of water during the stress conditions. According to LEPS [8], long water deficits result in progressive changes in the structure of plant. Growth reductions are one of the first manifestations of water deficit [9, 10]. Growth reductions occur either directly through the decrease in the speed of growth by inhibiting cell division [11], reduction of the leaf surface and thus decreasing the turgor [12], and reduction of the total biomass production [13] or indirectly by reducing the number of leaf-bearing
organs. Roots are the first organs detecting the water stress, in particular by their ends which are the main sites for water absorption [14]. However, there is a consensus on the fact that the roots are the organs whose growth is least affected compared to the aerial parts, vegetative and reproductive [15].

The present study is part of this trend of research. The main objective was to evaluate the anatomical traits of roots and stems involved in tolerance to water deficit. Ten varieties of durum wheat, grown in Algeria, were investigated. The study was based on determining the variations in dimensions of the peripheral and internal cells of the cortical parenchyma of roots. Both principal adventitious and newly formed adventitious roots in the neck of the plant were investigated. Additionally, the diameter of the conductive elements and the metaxylem parenchymal cells of primary stem bark were examined.

2. Materials and Methods

This study attempted to explain the adaptive functioning of roots and stems. The study focused on 10 genotypes of durum wheat (*Triticum durum* Desf.) [16]. The studied genotypes were Oued Zenati, Glory Montgolfier, Mohamed Ben Bachir, Hedba3, Ofanto, Simeto, Gta dur, Waha (CHAM1), Vitron, and Chen's. The plant material was provided by the Institute of Technical Crops (ITGC) of Tiaret and the National Center for Control and Certification of Seed and Plants (CNCC) of Sidi Bel Abbes (Algeria).

2.1. Experimental Approach. Seeds of the ten genotypes were disinfected and allowed to germinate in Petri dishes on absorbent paper dampened with water and placed in an oven set at 25°C for 48 hours. Sprouts were transplanted into 15 cm PVC cylinders of diameter and 30 cm of length, filled with a substrate consisting of a mixture of sand, soil, and organic matter in the respective proportions of 8:3:1. The tests were conducted in a semiautomatic greenhouse temperature, diurnal and nocturnal maintained, respectively, at 20°C and 15°C. The relative humidity was 70% and photoperiod was maintained at 12 hours per day.

The cylinders were arranged in three batches. All cylinders were irrigated to field capacity until the fourth leaf stage was fully differentiated. The irrigation system was then modified. A batch to be irrigated continues until the end of the experiment (SDH). The other two batches were performed by progressive watering which was stopped at 38 and 49 days for the lots ADH1 and ADH2. At each level of treatment, the genotypes were repeated ten times which were randomly arranged among the sample sites. The dose was determined by irrigation practiced daily weighed cylinder. The irrigation water was replaced every three days by a commercial nutrient solution (ACTIVEG kind).

2.2. Measurements. The measurements were made at the beginning—elongating. The soil was separated from the roots by a moderate stream of tap water. Roots were then washed in a tank prior to measurements. The anatomical parameters involved in the plant tolerance to water deficit were reported for the root meristem and stems of two types, primary and newly formed. Root meristem structure was illustrated by freehand cuts performed at the piliferous area of roots and at the neck for the stem. Part of the piliferous area of the adventitious and newly formed main roots is removed and immediately fixed with a mixture of ethanol and acetic acid (15 V, 1 V) for 24 h. The samples were then washed in running water and then dried by passing through a solution of 70% ethanol. Using a blade and under a binocular, we performed thin sections which were recovered and double stained with dye (alum carmine and methyl green) and topped with a cover-slip. Observations and measurements were made under a microscope equipped with a phototube and an ocular micrometer OPTIKA kind. The following measurements were carried out: the diameter of the peripheral and internal cells (PCP) of cortical parenchyma bark and root epidermal cell dimensions (length and width of the epidermis) and the diameter of the elements metaxylem conductors and that of the bark parenchyma cells of the primary shaft.

2.3. Statistical Analyses. All data were processed using the STATISTICA software package (StatSoft, Tulsa, USA). Comparisons between water treatments and between genotypes, within each water treatment, were based on the Duncan test at 5% probability level.

3. Results

3.1. Structure of the Stem. The statistical analysis of the tested parameters (Table 1) indicated that they were significantly influenced by the nature of the genotypes (*P* < 0.001), water regimes adopted (*P* < 0.001), and their interaction (*P* < 0.001).

| Trait              | Genotype effect | Water treatment effect | Genotype × water treatment effect |
|--------------------|-----------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Long epidermis     | 123,36***       | 194,19***              | 156,43***                         |
| Large epidermis    | 14,43***        | 21,54***               | 34,62***                          |
| Metaxylem          | 14,43***        | 21,54***               | 34,62***                          |
| Parenchyma         | 9,11***         | 44,97***               | 4,19***                           |

***Significant at 0.1%.

3.2. Length of the Epidermal Cells of Coatings. The dimensions involved in these steps were represented by the magnitudes of the diameter and the length of epidermal cell surfaces. Concerning the length, the obtained data were highly variable among the different genotypes and different water treatments. The evolution of this length based on the applied water regimes remained closely linked to the nature of the genotypes. Except in certain genotypes, increased water deficit culture substrate was accompanied by a marked decrease in cell length. The results (Table 2) showed that, in the batch ADH1, the evolution of the length was of various
3.3. Cell Diameter Epidermal Coatings. The diameter differences of expression of epidermal cells (Table 2) were less pronounced than those of the length. In the ADH2 treatment, diameter values fluctuated between limits of 9.65% (Gta dur) and 136.7% (Waha). In the lot and ADH2 except Gta dur and Vitron in which there has been an increase in their diameter compared to that recorded in optimal conditions, the remaining genotypes showed low values of the length, in which Hedba3 showed the higher rate of transformation with 68.27%.

3.4. Diameter of the Conductive Elements (Metaxylem). The results (Table 3) showed that the changes in the diameter at the ADH1 lot depended on the nature of the experienced genotypes. Thus, the group consisting of Ofanto, Simeto, and Gtdur showed a decrease in their diameter with values of 9.13, 23.37, and 5.38%, respectively. A second group including genotypes showed an increase in their diameters (including Oued Zenati, Glory Mongolfier, Mohamed Ben Bachir, Hedba3, Waha, Vitron, and Chen’s). In the ADH2 treatment, with the exception of the Waha, all genotypes showed significant reductions in their diameters. According to this trend, genotypes Gta dur and Hedba3 expressed the greatest reductions with rates of 70.20% and 57.75%, respectively.

3.5. Parenchymal Cell Diameter. The influence of water regimes on the expression of this parameter depended essentially on the nature of the latter. The application of water deficit usually resulted in reducing diameters expressed in different genotypes. The average results (Table 3) indicated that in the ADH1 treatment, the diameter varied among different experienced genotypes. This collection was distinguished into two types. The genotypes showing a reduction in the diameter were represented mainly by Chen’s with a regression rate of 32.59%. In contrast, the second group showing an increase in the diameter was represented particularly by Oued Zenati which gave the highest rate of 38.56%. In batch ADH2, changing diameters tended to decrease in almost all conduits genotypes. The genotype of Gta dur was characterized by the largest reduction rate of 54.67%.

Table 2: The average results of the length and width of epidermal cells, recorded in different genotypes under three water treatments.

| Variety | Long epid. | Large epid. |
|---------|------------|-------------|
|         | Evolution 1% | Evolution 2% | Evolution 1% | Evolution 2% |
| OZ      | −46.21     | −1.31       | 28.04        | −26.64       |
| G-Mong  | −60.03     | −82.88      | −18.70       | −35.67       |
| MBB     | −9.47      | −75.82      | −15.38       | −32.04       |
| H3      | −63.91     | −89.72      | −68.46       | −71.41       |
| Ofanto  | −39.38     | 5.33        | −48.47       | −38.16       |
| Simeto  | −68.27     | −17.08      | −38.71       | −56.71       |
| Gta dur | 133.15     | 166.31      | −9.65        | 244.74       |
| WAHA    | 198.75     | 25.31       | 136.70       | −6.11        |
| VITRON  | −60.21     | −16.36      | 30.53        | 15.04        |
| Chen’s  | −2.51      | 9.81        | −47.73       | −33.36       |

Table 3: Average results of conductor diameters metaxylem elements and parenchyma cells, recorded in different genotypes under three water treatments.

| Variety | Metaxylem | Parenchyma |
|---------|-----------|------------|
|         | Evolution 1% | Evolution 2% | Evolution 1% | Evolution 2% |
| OZ      | 0.31       | −45.88     | 38.56        | −28.15       |
| G-Mong  | 19.25      | −41.08     | 35.30        | −33.99       |
| MBB     | 42.41      | −9.40      | 10.69        | −18.93       |
| H3      | 8.72       | −57.75     | −9.18        | −27.06       |
| Ofanto  | −9.13      | −22.11     | −21.08       | −30.07       |
| Simeto  | −23.37     | −52.43     | −20.52       | −21.82       |
| Gta dur | −5.38      | −70.20     | −19.45       | −54.67       |
| Waha    | 7.79       | 12.45      | 30.09        | 0.13         |
| Vitron  | 10.39      | −31.10     | 18.08        | −47.42       |
| Chen’s  | 3.13       | −10.49     | −32.59       | −25.58       |

Table 4: Analysis of variance of root anatomical parameters of the ten genotypes.

| Trait     | Genotype effect | Water treatment effect | Genotype × water treatment effect |
|-----------|-----------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|
| PCP RP    | 14.8***         | 7.21**                 | 7.21***                          |
| PCI RP    | 8.74***         | 61.4***                | 5.88***                          |
| PCP R Néof| 14.9***         | 2.36*                  | 15.17***                         |
| PCI R Néof| 5.65***         | 11.44***               | 6.51***                          |

*:**:**:Significance level of 5.1 and 0.1%, respectively.
the diameters of parenchymal cells. In the treatment AD-H2, the changing tendency of these parenchymal cells was distinguished according to their positioning at the bark and among genotypes. On peripheral cell lines, the application of this intensity of water deficit has genotypic divergence in the development of this diameter, thus reducing the diameter of genotypes Oued Zenati (18.71%), Mohamed Ben Bachir (1.73%), Hedba3 (10.73%), Ofanto (19.34%), and Waha (22.29%), while genotypes Glory Montgolfier, Gta dur, Simeto, and Vitron enroll in the highest rate of increases with values of 53.00%, respectively.

Regarding the parenchymal cells of the central region (Table 5), the trends were different from those found for the peripheral cells. However, the application of water deficit in such intensity (ADH2) caused an increase in the diameter, except Hedba3 genotype, which exhibited a regression in its diameter under these conditions. For the groups showing an increase in their diameter, the genotypes Vitron, Chen’s, and Oued Zenati were among the genotypes having the highest increase in their diameter, the genotypes Vitron, Chen’s, and Oued Zenati having the highest increase in their respective diameters; only four genotypes showed lower values of this parameter where Simeto showed the largest decline with a rate of 46.44%.

### 3.8. Diameters of Newly Formed Roots Parenchymal Cells

The results of the newly formed adventitious roots (Table 6) indicated that the application of water deficit in these two intensities caused variations of the magnitude comparing to what was found in the control group.

Under the conditions of the less intense water deficit (ADH1), the increase in diameters was of different speeds for different genotypes and for the two positions of the cells. For the peripheral cells, the trend encompasses increases in some genotypes (Hedba3, Gta dur, Waha, Vitron, and Chen’s) and decreases for others. The influence of water deficit in this form was generally an upper bound effect. Thus, genotypes Hedba3 and Vitron enroll in the highest rate of increases with values of 42.43 and 41.54%, respectively. In this case the exception is given by Chen’s which showed a regression of its diameter with a rate of 4.09%. In processing the ADH2 had a regression trend to increase the diameters of the two types of cells. On peripheral cells, the action of water deficit has led to increases in genotypes Glory Montgolfier (5.3%), Hedba3 (34.54%), Ofanto (98.37%), and Chen’s (25.8%). The remaining six genotypes showed decreases in their diameters in which Waha was distinguished by the highest rate with 40.06%. For internal cells, the largest number of genotypes showed increases in their respective diameters; only four genotypes showed lower values of this parameter where Simeto showed the largest decline with a rate of 46.44%.

### 4. Discussion

Structural changes induced changes in cells and tissues, which can alter the growth behavior at different levels of organization. These alterations include the roots, stems, and leaves of stressed plants compared to control plants [17].

The study of these relationships allowed us to elucidate the relationships between the structural transformations of those roots and stems. According to the results (Table 7), it was shown that these effects have different impacts on the two groups of parameters. There were also no significant relationships between changes occurring at those roots and stems. Water deficit induced a significant reduction in cell size of various layers at the stem. Thus, the increase in the dryness of the substrate caused a net reduction of the length of epidermal cells \( r = -0.25 \), the diameter of the parenchymal cells \( r = -0.49 \), and the diameter of the conductive elements metaxylem \( r = -0.49 \). These results illustrated that the water deficit inhibits cell growth, which is justified by the loss of turgor of these cells. These results are confirmed by the work of Blum and Johnson [18], Huang and Redmann [19], and Kefu et al. [20] which showed that the water deficit resulted in a loss of turgor, thus minimizing the force from the turgor pressure. The reduction in cell growth might explain the inhibition of growth of organs in plants evolving under this constraint [21, 22]. These structural transformations warrant confirmation with a reduction of the length of the last previously recorded between nodes of different genotypes subjected to these drought conditions \( r = -0.77 \). The results therefore demonstrated that the observed reduction in force of the aerial part following
Table 7: Relationship between water deficit and anatomical parameters of piliferous root zone and stem.

| Variable | Long epid. | Large epid. | Metalaxyl | Parenchyma | PCPR | PCI R | PCPR Néof | PCI R Néof |
|----------|------------|-------------|-----------|------------|------|-------|-----------|-----------|
| SH       | -0.25*     | -0.2        | -0.49**   | -0.48**    | 0.12 | 0.58**| 0.1       | 0.18      |
| Long epid.| 0.66**     | 0.01        | 0.01      | 0.01       | -0.06| -0.11  | -0.23*    |
| Large epid.| 0.01      | 0.08        | 0.08      | -0.12      | -0.14| -0.17  |           |
| Metalaxyl| 0.66**     | -0.23*      | -0.27**   | -0.02      | 0.08 |
| Parenchyma|           |             | -0.28**   | -0.42**    | 0.02 |       |           |
| PCPR     | 0.28**     |             |           |            | 0.16 | -0.06  |           |
| PCI R    | 0.05       |             |           |            | 0.08 |       |           |
| PCPR Néof|            |             |           |            |      | 0.51** |           |

Numbers annotated with asterisks * and ** are significant at 5% and 1% levels.

The declaration of water deficit could be explained by a reduction in the intensity of cell growth (Figures 1, 2, and 3).

The results demonstrated the effects of variable water deficit on the dimensions of parenchymal cells, depending on their locations. In the main roots, water deficit allowed a significant increase in the diameter of the cells of the central zone \( r = 0.58** \) and a small amount from that of the surrounding area. The dimensions of the root parenchyma cells of newly formed roots appeared to affect the nature of the water supply plant. These results demonstrated that the structural changes of the root portion were realized differently from that obtained at the stem part.

5. Conclusion

Research and study of adaptation parameters to water deficit are a key work in any attempt to improve the safety and productivity of durum wheat governed by water deficits areas. The effect of drought on the behavior of this species depends on its intensity and the time of the statement during the development cycle of the plant. Therefore, durum wheat offers significant levels of existing variability associated with tolerance to this constraint. The comprehensive study of the involvement of different strategies in the public tolerance and provisions for their transfer offer significant opportunities for successful creative work for more productive and tolerant genotypes under drought conditions. Variability represented by ten genotypes constituting the plant material in this study confirms this synthesis. The present study was based primarily on relationships of anatomical aspects, revealing significant variations of expression from the experienced genotypes.

The study showed that the applied water deficits cause profound anatomical changes in different vegetative organs involved in this study. Reactions of tolerance for preserving various aspects of root formation were noted in some genotypes and intermediate acuity accompanying the intensity of stress. Structural investigations of the roots and stems versus the water deficit showed strongly reduced cell volume, causing a reduction in growth rate, except the roots that were found to be a remodeling of the cell structure. Thus, the water deficiency caused cell growth in length of the different zones of the root structure and reduction in volume by limiting the growth and promotes the diffuse apical growth. These observations have been clarified through previous work and described as root hydrotropism observed especially in water conditions characterized by dryness of the ascending profile.
**Figure 2**: Anatomical structure of the stem. (a) Without water deficit. (b) With water deficit 1. (c) With water deficit 2. Scale bars = 0.49 μm.

**Figure 3**: Anatomical structure of the epidermal stem cells. (a) Without water deficit. (b) With water deficit 1. (c) With water deficit 2. Scale bars = 0.49 μm.
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