Conceptual exploration of a gravity-assisted electrorheological fluid-based gripping methodology for assistive technology
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Abstract
Gripping devices help patients carry out everyday tasks and increase their independence. However, there seems to be a lack of bionic gripping technologies that can fully adapt to any possible shape, as the use of artificial fingers and predetermined grip settings limits the operating space. The development of a more agile device, which is operated by a simple control paradigm, could greatly benefit users. An electrorheological (ER) fluid system should be able to adapt to the shape of an object and then hold that configuration. The aim of this study was to explore if a conceptual prototype of an ER system could hold a geometric shape when it is activated. A test rig was constructed with a moving part (set in different silicone oils) that could be displaced using a tensometer. Silica particles were dispersed in the silicone oils, and a field with a voltage of 4 kV mm\(^{-1}\) was generated to activate the fluid. The results show that the developed system can support an increased force when activated and hold a simple geometric position without any noticeable delay. This outcome provides an initial proof of concept for a possible new (gravity-assisted) gripping approach using smart fluids, which could be developed with materials that are biocompatible and widely available.
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Introduction
The change to bipedalism and the development of truly dexterous upper limbs can be thought of as key physical evolutionary markers that started mankind’s control of our planet. The greater part of our interactions with our environment is via gripping actions. This reliance on the hands means that even a slight change in hand capabilities leads to a change in behavior [1]. There are many devices described, which aim to replicate hand function [2–4], and most of these technologies tend to replace the movement of muscles, with artificial tendons or actuators. Some proposed systems take a different tactic and use for example shape memory alloy actuators to selectively change the stiffness of the finger joints [5]. In general, contact pressure or geometric constraints can prevent an object from slipping while it is being gripped, and these are the two fundamental ways of holding on to objects. The complexity of the grip control is dependent on the selected technique and design, with geometric constraints providing a more elegant and simple solution.

A robotic gripper that uses the principle of jamming of granular material successfully applies the idea of geometric constraints to pick up a range of objects [6]. Developing the robotic gripper into a more mobile and appropriate version for patients is challenging. Ideally, the benefits of a geometric gripper would be combined with user requirements, such as a fast response time, low power consumption and silent operating mode for everyday use as well as the ability to have the system battery-powered, so it can be made wearable. Electrorheological (ER) fluids, which change their rheological properties (viscosity and viscoelasticity) under the influence of an electrical field [7], are a promising candidate for such a system. These smart fluids are applicable in widely used mechanical devices, such as clutches and brakes, and they can change their shear stress almost instantly [8]. ER flu-
ids have the benefit of reversible continuous control and fast responses, which also make them ideal for vibration control, tactile displays and sensors [9]. These features give us the opportunity to develop a new range of devices and concepts for the biomedical field. ER spherical joints and pistons have already been proposed to aid minimally invasive surgery by optimizing haptic control [10]. Furthermore, magnetorheological fluid brakes have been suggested for a haptic gripper [11]. This shows the potential that smart materials can have upon the field of assistive technologies.

However, these methods have not yet been adopted to explore more dynamic gripping methodologies. Smart ER fluids can meet the design requirements of a gripping system, while benefiting from the ability to quickly transition between an “unlocked” and “locked” state by responding to an electric field in the order of milliseconds [12, 13]. A simple and elegant gripping approach could rely on gravity to position the “fingers.” The fingers can consist of a movable electrode within the ER fluid, and they can be “locked” by the application of an electrical field. Such a system can also fulfill the basic requirements of a grasping device for assisting humans in their daily lives. This is especially true for picking up objects at ground level, as the orientation of the gripper will allow for the gravity assist that drives the fingers around the object.

The effect of a high-voltage electrical field on fluids composed of particles suspended in a dielectric liquid was discovered accidentally by Winslow [14]. He observed that “there is a tendency for the suspended particles… To form an infinite number of strings or lines extending between the [electrode] plates while under the influence of potential.” He then noted that these lines disappear as soon as the circuit is broken. He attributed the greatly changed properties of the fluid in the electrical field to these lines.

Three decades later, JE Stangroom describes the minimum requirements for a fluid to show an electro-rheological response [12]. The base liquid must be hydrophobic; the suspended particles must be hydrophilic and porous and must have absorbed water. He explains why this water is needed and how the lines that Winslow initially observed are formed. It was assumed that there are mobile ions present in the pores of the solid particles. “When an electric field is applied to the fluid the ions move to one end of the particle, carrying the water with them. One end of the particle therefore becomes overtly wet and water ‘bridges’ can form.” These bridges join to each other to create the chains that result in the observed property changes [12]. This is now known as the water bridge theory (see Fig. 1), and while other theories have been proposed to explain exceptions, the water bridge theory is now widely accepted and provides a good working model to explain the emerging properties when an electric field is applied.

A review on the contemporary understanding of ER fluids described the ways in which ER fluids are typically tested and how shear stress is used as the dependent variable [15]. The review goes on to describe and quantify the power law relationships that the electric field, composition and temperature have with shear stress. It confirms Stangroom’s assertion that the current does not follow Ohm’s law. It suggests that the power varies depending on the fluid and the field strength. The experiments show in detail the formation of structures in ER fluids. The data imply that below 0.5 kV mm$^{-1}$ no complete chains form between electrodes, though some accumulation along field lines is noted in smaller fields. The mechanisms by which the ER effect works agree with the explanation of the water bridge theory and lay out a theory that explains the effect of using the polarization of particles.

This polarization theory accounts for how an ER effect has been observed in fluids that are anhydrous. This alternative theory does not discount the water bridge theory, but rather explains how different mechanisms can produce the same end result [12, 15].

The response of the ER fluid system itself also differs substantially between alternating current (AC) and direct current (DC) fields, but the time of response is similar for both these fields [16]. The response time decreases, as the field strength increases, and the results suggest a nonlinear dependence between DC field strength and dynamic yield stress that mirrors the previous findings [15].

A study that compared responses of four laboratory-prepared ER fluids and one commercial fluid observed that the response times varied with field strength, field type (AC/DC) and the base viscosity of the liquid in which the silica particles were dispersed [13]. These results on varying field strength confirmed that the ER effect only seems to take place at strengths above 0.5 kV mm$^{-1}$ [15]. However, the response time between AC and DC fields does not match the outcome of other experiments that recorded a slower response time in AC fields [16]. This discrepancy could be
attributed to the use of higher-viscosity fluids, as the movement of particles in an AC field seems to be severely inhibited by a high viscosity in the base liquid [13, 16]. In a silicone oil with a base liquid of 1000 centistokes (cSt) viscosity, there was no AC response. It can be suggested that the response times usually reported for ER fluids of the order of a millisecond represent the time it takes for the first fibrils to start forming in the fluid. The size of the response is also greater in laboratory-prepared fluids, despite a slightly slower response time, when compared to commercial ER fluids [13].

Although the aforementioned studies explore some fundamental properties of ER fluid, the application of ER fluid outside the automobile industry remains very limited [17, 18]. Exploring the application of this technique to medical technologies could lead to exciting new gripping methodologies. A gravity-assisted gripping concept is explored in this paper. It relies on gravity to position the “fingers” (movable plates), which are then held in place through activation of the ER fluid. For this research, a single “finger” unit is tested. The aim of this study is to explore a conceptual prototype of an electrorheological (ER) system could hold a simple geometrical shape when it is activated and subsequently quantify an electrorheological (ER) system could hold a simple geometrical shape when it is activated and subsequently quantify an electrorheological (ER) system could hold a simple geometrical shape when it is activated and subsequently quantify

Materials and methods

Test rig

A rig was built to contain the ER fluid, keep two plate electrodes 2 mm apart and parallel and provide movement of one plate along a vertical path. The design consisted of two T-slots (SolidWorks 2015, Dassault Systèmes, USA), and the rig was built out of unplasticized polyvinyl chloride (uPVC). The rig was bonded and sealed with uPVC glue (Fig. 2).

The design ensured that there was always an area of overlap throughout the measurement stage between the two electrodes of 25 cm². This meant that 5 mL of fluid was activated during each experiment. The plate with the moveable electrode could be displaced during experimentation by a tensometer (Bose BioDynamic, USA). The tensometer was connected with the moveable part through a nylon PA66 cable to prevent electrical interference with the tensometer.

Circuit

A battery-powered system was designed to provide 8 kV DC and generate a field strength of 4 kV mm⁻¹ (Fig. 3a). This is in accordance with the strength that previously recommended at which any effect would be marked [12]. The XP EMCO-C80 (a DC-HVDC converter) was used, which has the added benefit of a low-pass filter on the output. Extra safety measures included a double-switch system.

ER fluid

The ER fluids were chosen based on past work, suggesting that a sizeable response was achievable with a laboratory-prepared fluid [13]. Silica particles (Silica gel 60737, Sigma-Aldrich, USA) were to be dispersed in silicone oils (Sigma-Aldrich, USA) with a viscosity of 10 cSt and 1000 cSt. Fluids with 10, 20, 30 and 40% of silica particles by mass were prepared for both oils to give a range of conditions. The size of silica particle was chosen to maximize Brownian motion and prevent sedimentation as much as possible. While mixing the fluids, it was noted that the 40% compositions had completely adsorbed all the oil and resulted in an inhomogeneous mixture of saturated and dry particles that would be impossible to test. After the compositions had been mixed in the 10 cSt oil, it was observed that substantial settling had occurred within 5 min of mixing. This meant that fluids with a 10 cSt base liquid would need stirring between tensometer tests.

The fluids with 1000 cSt base liquid viscosity did not have the issue of rapid settling out, and it took several hours before any appreciable settling of particles occurred. Mixing did cause air bubbles to become trapped in these fluids. The viscosity of the liquid then prevented the bubbles from escaping, particularly for the 30% of silica particles by mass. However, for the 10 cSt and lower values of particle by mass the bubbles were rising out of the liquid within an hour.

Silica particles

The water content of the silica particles (SiO₂ gel) can affect the formation of water bridges. To verify the exact water content, a verification experiment was run on a subset of the particles. These sample particles were heated for 75 min at 150 °C in a Memmert laboratory oven, and the mass difference was measured to calculate the water content. A total of 29 samples of approximately 1 g were placed in 10-mL Pyrex beakers and positioned in the oven. A mean water content of 5.19% with a standard deviation of 0.57 was found across all the samples. The full range of water content was 3.88–6.42%, which was within the 3–7% range that was mentioned by Sigma-Aldrich for these particles.

Force measurements

The tensometer was set under displacement control using a specific displacement profile (Fig. 3b). A miniature sealed stainless steel load cell (WMC-5, Interface Force Measure-
ments Ltd., UK) was used to obtain force measurements. This displacement profile provided a steady state of around 5 s during which the system was in equilibrium. The average force value was taken when the system was in equilibrium. A simple visualization of the forces is shown in Fig. 4.

During equilibrium, the following is true:

\[ F_t = F_g + F_t + F_{ER}. \]  

(1)

\( F_g \) is the product of gravity acting upon the mass of the moveable part, \( F_t \) is the summed forces related to the fluid and object interaction, \( F_t \) is the force generated by the tensometer, and \( F_{ER} \) is the force that arises due to the activation of the fluid. The value for \( F_t \) is obtained by averaging the values from the tensometer during the steady-state period in which the system is in equilibrium. The following equation was then applied to determine the activation effect of the ER fluid:

\[ \mu = \frac{F_{ij}}{F_B} - 1. \]  

(2)

\( F_B \) represents the baseline measurement that consisted of the average \( F_t \) value for the best lubricated system (deactivated 10 cSt oil with no particles). \( F_{ij} \) is the \( F_t \) values for the silicone oils (\( i = 1 \) for 1000 cSt and \( i = 2 \) for 10 cSt) and particles (\( j = 1 \) for 10%; \( j = 2 \) for 20%; \( j = 3 \) for 30%; and \( j = 4 \) for 40% of silica particles by mass). The best lubricated system will thus yield a \( \mu \) value of zero \( \left( \frac{F_B}{F_B} - 1 = 0 \right) \). In this case, only the force required to lift up the moveable part is measured by the tensometer. To show that the system can “hold” the moveable part by itself requires the activated fluid to have a \( \mu \) of \( 1 \left( \frac{2F_B}{F_B} - 1 = 1 \right) \), which indicates that double the amount of force should be measured. Anything above a \( \mu \) of 1 implies that the system can “hold” more than just the moveable part alone. In other words, the parameter \( \mu \) will go to zero if the activation does not have any effect and \( \mu \geq 1 \) arises if the system can “hold” its shape/position. The parameter \( \mu \) provides a single value that represents the capabilities of the system to hold a simple geometric shape under a specific condition.

Each of the aforementioned mixtures of silicone oils and particles was tested 10 times, both with activation and without activation. The order of testing was randomized to prevent any sequence-induced effects.

**Statistical analysis**

The data followed a Gaussian distribution, when visualized using histograms and tested with a one-sample Kolmogorov–Smirnov. An analysis of variance (ANOVA) test was performed between each of the aforementioned mixtures of silicone oils and particles during both activation and
Results

A scatter plot of the parameter $\mu$ is provided in Fig. 5, showing the different fluid compositions during activated and deactivated states. The system mechanically jammed on two occasions due to misalignment, and this has been highlighted in the figure. These points were excluded from the subsequent analysis.

Significant differences were found among the conditions ($F(14,135) = 19.80, p < 0.001$), and the post hoc test revealed that the largest significant difference (mean ± standard deviation), between activation and deactivation, was found for the 10 cSt silicone oil with 30% silica particles condition ($\mu_{\text{deactivation}} = 0.58 \pm 0.17$ vs. $\mu_{\text{active}} = 1.04 \pm 0.25, p < 0.001$). Activating the 10 cSt silicone oil with 30% silica particles created the only condition during which the average $\mu \geq 1$. No difference was found in the measured force when the silica particles were removed from the oil ($\mu_{\text{deactivation}} = 0.01 \pm 0.01$ vs. $\mu_{\text{active}} = -0.01 \pm 0.01, p = \text{N.S.}$). The greatest significant difference in means among the 1000 cSt oils appeared during the 10% silica particles con

decision hypotheses are considered simultaneously and therefore corrects for the multiple tests that were run [20].

All data and statistical analysis were completed using MATLAB (R2014b, MathWorks, USA) and Excel (Office 2016, Microsoft, USA).
dition ($\mu_{\text{deactivation}} = 0.07 \pm 0.02$ vs. $\mu_{\text{active}} = 0.20 \pm 0.06$, $p < 0.001$).

Discussion

The aim of this study was to explore if a conceptual prototype of an electrorheological (ER) system could hold a geometric shape when it is activated (as indicated by a $\mu \geq 1$). It was found that during the activation of a 10 cSt silicone oil, with 30% silica particles, the position of the system could be maintained purely by the activation of the ER fluid. This was the only condition during which $\mu$ was greater than unity. It showed that the activated fluid was capable of supporting more than just its own weight and behaved more as a solid than a liquid. As the determination of $\mu$ was based on liquid behavior assumptions, it is of limited validity when the value of $\mu$ goes beyond unity. However, it is still representative of the response’s magnitude. The difference between $\mu$ observed between the activated and deactivated states is preferably as large as possible, as a large difference would indicate that the states truly differ. The largest difference between the average $\mu$ values was also found for the 10 cSt silicone oil, with 30% silica particles condition.

The difference between liquid viscosities will have had an effect on wettability. Wettability of the oil is controlled by the balance between the liquid-to-liquid and the liquid-to-surface intermolecular interactions [21]. It is the ability of the oil to maintain contact with a solid surface (e.g., particles). The wettability decreases with higher oil viscosities, which indicates larger yield stresses for oils with a lower viscosity [22]. This might explain some of the observed effects between the conditions.

The equilibrium was used to obtain an average force value from the tensometer. The variation in force readings was always less than 0.1 N during the steady state, and the vast majority varied less than 0.03 N. This suggests that any selected steady-state force would have yielded very similar results.

The assumption that activation should have no effect on the pure oils was supported by the results of this study. The 10 cSt with 0% silica particles had similar averages between the activated and deactivated states ($\mu_{\text{deactivation}} = 0.01 \pm 0.01$ vs. $\mu_{\text{active}} = 0.01 \pm 0.01$, $p = \text{N.S.}$), and the same was the case with the 1000 cSt with 0% silica particles ($\mu_{\text{deactivation}} = 0.00 \pm 0.01$ vs. $\mu_{\text{active}} = 0.00 \pm 0.01$, $p = \text{N.S.}$).

The 1000 cSt 10% composition was the least useful composition tested. As the composition is very viscous, bubbles got incorporated into the fluid during mixing and it took a long time for them to rise out. Nevertheless, another study previously observed that the torque can be increased by a factor of 1.5 when 1000 cSt fluids are used instead of 100 cSt fluids [13]. It could therefore be expected that despite the different measurement approaches of that study and this paper, a higher $\mu$ might be found for the 1000 cSt fluid instead of the 10 cSt oil. However, the results in Fig. 5 show an opposite trend. The difference between these two studies could be explained by the fact that [13] used a horizontal and completely submerged test setup. Their experimental design provides a submersion of the electrodes in the ER fluid throughout the test cycle, and the outcomes might be a property of a specific system. This suggests the need to keep testing future iterations of the design in order to ascertain validity of a changing ER fluid gripping system. The difference between the two studies might further be explained by the variation of other important variables, such as the electric field (4 kV mm$^{-1}$ vs. 2 kV mm$^{-1}$), that was used. In general, there are five primary factors that need to be taken into account for a given solid–liquid pairing to be able to maximize the formation of water bridges and thus the size of the response. They consist of particle concentration, base liquid viscosity, water content of the solid particles, field strength and surface area of the electrodes. This paper varied particle concentration and base liquid viscosity and kept all other factors constant. All these factors can be optimized further to provide the best possible functionality for an ER-enabled gripper.

The use of smart materials for actuation still faces many material and technical limitations before a practical implementation is possible [23]. However, there are already developments taking place to use these fluids for the creation of machines, such as lower limb prosthesis, to reduce unwanted vibrations in real time [23]. As mentioned earlier, ER fluid joints and pistons have also been explored for minimally invasive surgery [10]. The joints consist of a ball (inner electrode) located in a spherical housing (outer electrode) with the ER fluid between them. This could potentially be adapted to represent the interphalangeal joints, which consist of simple hinge joints. This approach would yield a more anatomically correct representation of the gripper, but it comes at a greater control complexity of the system. Position control can also be accomplished by using ER clutch actuators [24]. Yet, a gravity-assisted method would even further increase the mechanical simplicity. Nonetheless, the gravity-assisted approach does require a specific directionality of movement, as gravity is required to position the “fingers.” It has been shown that a “finger” (movable plate) can hold its position against gravity, but the design needs to be further optimized in order to hold objects of greater mass. The number of movable units can be increased to fulfill this design criteria. A gravity-based ER fluid gripper with five “fingers” was virtually prototyped to hold complex shapes (see supplementary video S1). The five “fingers” were embedded in a container that allowed for a simple translational movement to occur. The ER fluid was activated when all “fingers” were
develop systems that can help users grasp objects and thus better support everyday living activities of patients in need of such technologies.
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