Standard hypothyroid treatment did not restore proper metabolic response to carbohydrate
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Abstract
Purpose Hypothyroidism is associated with a lower metabolic rate, impaired glucose tolerance, and increased responsiveness of sympathetic nervous system to glucose ingestion. The Levothyroxine (LT4) monotherapy is the standard treatment for hypothyroidism; however, to what extent this treatment restores the patients’ metabolism has not been verified. The aim of this study was to test the hypothesis that standard LT4 therapy may not restore proper metabolic response to carbohydrate ingestion.

Methods Energy expenditure, glucose tolerance, and catecholamine response to glucose ingestion were compared in 18 subjects with pharmacologically compensated hypothyroidism (PCH) and controls, at baseline and during oral glucose tolerance test conditions.

Results Metabolic rate was significantly lower in PCH (P < 0.0001). Glucose tolerance was decreased in this group with no differences in insulin resistance indicators between both groups. Adrenergic activity (P < 0.05) as well as adrenergic reaction to glucose ingestion (P < 0.001) were stronger in PCH.

Conclusions Standard treatment for hypothyroidism does not restore the normal metabolic reaction to carbohydrate which is observed in healthy people.
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Introduction

Hypothyroidism has an important impact on individuals’ glucose (Glu) tolerance, postprandial thermogenesis and sympathoadrenergic reactions to Glu ingestion [1, 2]. Due to a lack of specificity in the symptoms and signs, the current treatment for hypothyroidism is focussed on normalizing the levels of thyrotropin (TSH) and thyroid hormones (THs) by the administration of levothyroxine (LT4) [3], thus most of studies in such patients consist of comparing ‘on’ and ‘off’ conditions. Although the LT4 monotherapy treatment is standardly recommended by

“Guidelines for the Treatment of Hypothyroidism” [3] not all patients are satisfied; some of them showing residual symptoms like psychological distress, thyroid symptoms, neurocognition, and general well-being impairment, depression, and anxiety [3–5]. Therefore, the metabolism of patients treated with LT4 may not necessarily correspond to healthy states, even in those with stabilized euthyroid conditions.

Glu tolerance is represented by standard reference ranges of plasma Glu. Normal Glu tolerance refers to the standardized values of fasting plasma Glu below 5.6 mmol/L and plasma Glu level below 7.8 mmol/L 2 h post Glu ingestion [6]. Thyroid dysfunction could be risk factor for Glu intolerance [7], in hypothyroidism, the Glu absorption is impaired, the peripheral Glu assimilation is delayed and gluconeogenesis is slower [8]. The available observations of changes in Glu metabolism during LT4 treatment are not consistent showing both alterations, as well as no differences in the levels of insulin (Ins) and Glu in fasting or post-Glu state after treatment [9–14] compared to healthy control.

The activity of sympathoadrenomedullary system, measured by catecholamine plasma concentrations, is much
stronger in untreated hypothyroidism than in healthy individuals [2, 15–17]. Available observations of catecholamine levels in the plasma of patients during LT4 treatment relate to fasting values and are not consistent, documented both no differences between hypothyroid and euthyroid groups at NA [18] and A [17], as well as higher NA concentration that decreases after LT4 therapy [15, 17].

THs play essential roles in thermogenesis [19], especially resting metabolic rate (RMR), a good measure of obligatory thermogenesis, is remarkably responsive to THs around the euthyroid state in humans [20]. Notwithstanding, some case study reported a lack of normalization of RMR during LT4 supplementation therapy in patients, despite of normalization of hormones levels [21, 22].

In our previous study we showed that THs play important role, also in postprandial thermogenesis, which refers to the additional energy expenditure associated with meal consumption [23]. In hypothyroid individuals, the postprandial thermogenesis is lower [2]. Unfortunately little is known about postprandial thermogenesis in a hypothyroid population [20].

Therefore, we decided to verify whether it is possible to restore metabolic responses to carbohydrate ingestion (i.e. Glu tolerance, postprandial thermogenesis, and sympathoadrenomedullary response) in hypothyroidism. Our hypothesis is that chronic treatment with L-T4, although normalizing the hormone levels may not properly restore metabolic responses to carbohydrate ingestion.

Materials and methods

Study population

The sample consisted of 18 patients (females, mean age 40.17 ± 3.06 years) with pharmacologically compensated hypothyroidism (PCH) caused by Hashimoto’s thyroiditis recruited from the Endocrinology Outpatient Department at the Masovian Hospital Bródno and 18 healthy controls matched by sex, age, body mass index (BMI) recruited by the announcement. General data is presented in Table 1. All subjects gave their written informed consent to be enrolled into this study, which was approved by the Local Ethics Committee of the Medical University of Warsaw. Inclusion criteria were undergoing L-T4-treated primary hypothyroidism for at least 3 years and showing compensated hypothyroidism—characterized by the maintenance of euthyresis in peripheral blood. Exclusion criteria were taking drugs except LT4, metabolic disorders or others that affect basal energy expenditure (such as nervous system and musculoskeletal disorders or serious heart disease) and pregnancy.

| Table 1 The general characteristics of the subjects |
|----------------------------------|----------|
| $n$                               | Control group | PCH | $P$ value |
| Age (yr)                          | 39.72 ± 2.85 | 40.17 ± 3.06 | NS |
| BMI (kg/m²)                       | 28.22 ± 1.37 | 28.51 ± 1.13 | NS |
| TSH (mU/L)                        | 1.99 ± 0.22  | 2.16 ± 0.19  | NS |
| fT3 (ng/L)                        | 4.11 ± 0.07  | 3.95 ± 0.05  | NS |
| fT4 (ng/L)                        | 13.81 ± 0.66 | 14.58 ± 0.53 | NS |
| fT3/T4                            | 0.23 ± 0.01  | 0.22 ± 0.01  | NS |
| Triglycerides (mg/dL)             | 65.89 ± 7.14 | 66.61 ± 6.43 | NS |
| Total cholesterol (mg/dL)         | 145.89 ± 6.48 | 144.61 ± 8.53 | NS |
| High density lipoproteins         | 47.56 ± 3.18 | 46.10 ± 3.93 | NS |
| cholesterol (mg/dL)               | 88.84 ± 5.49 | 82.24 ± 6.70 | NS |

Values are disposed in means and standard error

BMI body mass index, TSH thyroid-stimulating hormone, fT3 free triiodothyronine, fT4 free thyroxine

Study design

All tests were carried out between 7:00 and 12:00 a.m., at the room conditions of 22–24 °C and 40–50% humidity. The subjects attended to the laboratory following an overnight fast for the blood assessment. A catheter was inserted into the antecubital vein in one of subjects arm and allowing the resting in a supine position. Baseline/fasting blood samples were taken after 30 min of resting. Thereafter, the subjects were submitted to the 120-min oral Glu tolerance test (OGTT), in supine position, by drinking a solution containing 75 g of Glu dissolved in 200 ml of lukewarm water, with repeated samples of blood collected at before ingestion, and at 30th, 60th, 90th, and 120th min. Fasting Glu, Ins, TSH, free triiodothyronine (fT3), free thyroxine (fT4), total cholesterol, high-density lipoproteins cholesterol, low-density lipoproteins cholesterol, and triglycerides plasma concentrations were analyzed. Glu and Ins were also analyzed during the whole OGTT timepoints, as well as plasma adrenaline (A) and noradrenaline (NA) concentration’s peak from resting, minute 90th and 120th of OGTT as demonstrated by Mathias et al. [24]. OGTT was chosen as a protocol, also because it is a standardized model of carbohydrate meal commonly used in postprandial thermogenesis researches. Indirect calorimetry was used during 20 min before the Glu ingestion for RMR calculation. VO₂ and VCO₂ were recorded from the last 5 min of every quarter of hour of OGTT for postprandial energy expenditure calculation. Oxygen uptake (VO₂) and carbon dioxide production (VCO₂) were determined by Vmax29-Sensor Medics (CareFusion, San Diego, CA, USA) gas analyzer, with the
accuracy of ±0.02% for O₂ and ±0.02% for CO₂. Subjects
were laying in supine position during the whole test.

**Biochemical determinations**

TSH, fT3, and fT4 were assayed by electro-
chemiluminescence immunoassay “ECLIA” from Roche
Diagnostics GmbH (Mannheim, Germany) on cobas e 601
immunoassay analyzer. CV 3.3–7.2% for TSH, 2.0–3.4%
for fT3, and 2.7–3.6% for fT4. Lipid profile was assayed
by enzymatic colorimetric test on cobas c 502 analyzer:
high-density lipoproteins cholesterol by HDLC3 test, total
cholesterol by CHOL2 test, triglycerides by TRIGL test all
from Roche Diagnostics GmbH (Mannheim, Germany). CV
0.9% for high-density lipoproteins cholesterol, 1.8–1.9%
for triglycerides, and 1.4–1.6% for total cholesterol. Frac-
tion of low-density lipoproteins cholesterol was calculated
using the Friedewald [25] formula: low-density lipoproteins
cholesterol = total cholesterol−high-density lipoproteins
cholesterol−triglycerides/5 (mg/dL). Plasma Glu concentra-
tion was determined spectrophotometrically using Glu
oxidase with a Glu test from BioMaxima S. A. (Lublin,
Poland) (CV < 3.00%). Plasma Ins was assessed by immu-
noradiometric assay using an INS-IRMA Kit from DIA-
source ImmunoAssays S.A. (Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium)
(CV 6.5–6.1%). Plasma A and NA levels were determined
determined by radioimmunoassay with a reagent kit 2-CAT RIA from
BioSource Europe S. A. (Nivelles, Belgium) with CV
5.6–6.1% for A and 10.1–6.1% for NA.

**Calculations**

Areas under the curves were calculated using trapezoidal
method. The indices of β-cell function were calculated:
insulinogenic index (IGI)—[IGI = (Ins at 30th min−fasting
Ins (mU/L))/(Glu at 30th−fasting Glu (mg/dL))], oral dis-
position index (oDI)—[oDI = IGI/fasting Ins (mU/L)], area
under the Ins curve (Insarea) and ratio of Insarea to area under
the Glu curve (Glauc) (Insarea/Glauc). Ins sensitivity was
estimated in four ways: by fasting Ins level, the homeostasis
model of Ins resistance (HOMA-IR)—[HOMA-IR = fasting
Ins (mU/L)×fasting Glu (mg/dL)/22.5], the quantitative
Ins sensitivity check index (QUICKI)—[QUICKI = 1/log
(fasting Ins (mU/L) + log(fasting Glu (mg/dL))) and the
Matsuda index—(ISIcomp)=10,000/SQRT (fasting
Glu (mmol/L)×fasting Ins (mU/L)×mean Glu0−120
(mmol/L)×mean Ins0−120 (mU/L)). The values of Ins
resistance indices were assessed in order to limiting
values of indicators for Polish population [26]. Basal daily
energy expenditure were calculated on the basis of RMR
and Harris–Benedict formula [27]. Mean values of VO₂ and
VCO₂ recorded during a 20 min gap before the Glu
ingestion were used to calculate RMR (expressed in kJ/h/
kg). The areas under the curves of postprandial energy
expenditure were used to determine postprandial ther-
mosogenesis (expressed in kJ).

**Statistical analysis**

Data are presented as means with standard errors (±SE).
Normality of variables was assessed by Shapiro–Wilk test.
Student’s t-test or the Cochran and Cox test were used for
the parametric data, depending on homogeneity of variance
(assessed by Levene and Brown–Forsythe tests). Non-
parametric data were compared by Mann and Whitney U
test. Comparison of dependent variables of a given groups
was calculated by dependent t-test for paired samples or the
Wilcoxon signed-rank test. P < 0.05 was accepted as the
level of significance. Statistica version 5 package was used
(Statsoft Inc., Tulsa, OK, USA). Energy expenditure and
blood Glu concentration were compared between groups by
two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by mul-
tiple comparisons Newman–Keuls test. Plasma Ins, A, and
NA were compared between groups by Mann and Whitney
U test. Intra-group analysis of these variables were per-
formed using the Wilcoxon signed-rank test.

**Results**

**Energy expenditure**

RMR and the energy expenditure throughout the test were
significantly lower in PCH than in the controls (P < 0.0001).
Two-way analysis of variance demonstrated a significant
time factor (P < 0.001) and group factor (P < 0.0001) for
energy expenditure. There was no time×group factors
interaction. Post-hoc analysis revealed that energy expendi-
ture was significantly lower (P < 0.0001) in PCH than in
the controls at each time point (Fig. 1).

After Glu ingestion, the energy expenditure significantly
increased already at minute 15th (P < 0.05) and remained
elevated throughout OGTT (P < 0.01), but only in control
group. Postprandial thermogenesis values in PCH was
lower than in the controls (16.98 ± 6.29 vs. 47.29 ± 9.36 kJ)
(P < 0.03).

In PCH, values of basal daily energy expenditure based
on RMR and Harris–Benedict’s were 1144.67 ± 50.63 and
1547.89 ± 40.14 kcal/d, respectively. The measured RMR
values were lower than the calculated by Harris–Benedict’s
(P < 0.0001). In the controls, Harris–Benedict’s were
1487.62 ± 45.83 kcal/d. There was no significant differ-
ence from the values obtained from RMR (1561.82 ±
126.21 kcal/d).
Glu tolerance

Fasting Glu and Ins concentrations were not different between the groups. Two-way ANOVA showed a significant factor of time ($P < 0.0001$) and group ($P < 0.05$) for the mean values of Glu during OGTT (Fig. 2a). There was no time × group factors interaction. Post hoc analysis revealed that Glu values were significantly higher in PCH than in the controls at min 30th ($P < 0.001$) and 120th ($P < 0.05$). The Glu curve achieved the highest point at min 30th in PCH and min 60th in the controls. Maximal values of Glu were not different between groups. At min 120th, the Glu in PCH remained significantly elevated compared to baseline ($P < 0.001$). There was no significant difference between baseline and min 120th for the controls Glu (Fig. 2a). GluAUC was significantly different ($P < 0.05$) (Table 2).

No difference between PCH and the controls was identified in the Ins curve with maximal values achieved at min 90th in both groups and remained significantly elevated until min 120th ($P < 0.01$) (Fig. 2b). There was no difference in calculated IRI_{auc} between groups. IRI_{auc} to Glu_{auc} ratio (IRI_{auc}/Glu_{auc}) was significantly smaller ($P < 0.05$) in PCH when compared to the controls. IGI and oDI were significantly lower in PCH than in control group ($P < 0.05$). No difference were found in fasting Ins, HOMA, QUICKI, and ISI_{comp} between groups (Table 2).

Plasma catecholamine pre–post Glu

Plasma A concentrations were significantly increased in PCH than in the controls both at rest ($P < 0.05$), and at min 90th and 120th of OGTT ($P < 0.001$). At min 90th, plasma A concentrations were significantly decreased in the controls ($P < 0.01$) and increased in PCH ($P < 0.05$), compared to baseline. At min 120th, plasma A concentrations were still elevated in PCH and reduced in the controls ($P < 0.05$) (Fig. 3a).

Plasma NA concentrations were found to be significantly higher in PCH than in the controls in all time points.

---

**Table 2** Area under the curve of glucose (Glu_{auc}), indices of β-cell function, and insulin resistance indicators of the subjects

|                   | Control group | PCH          | $P$ value |
|-------------------|---------------|--------------|-----------|
| Glu_{auc} (mmol/L min) | 228.45 ± 22.49 | 311.74 ± 31.56 | <0.05     |
| Insauc (mU/L min)   | 6215.21 ± 599.00 | 5738.79 ± 1084.83 | NS        |
| Insauc/Glu_{auc}    | 31.50 ± 4.35  | 17.53 ± 2.99  | <0.05     |
| IGI                | 2.21 ± 0.64   | 0.79 ± 0.21   | <0.05     |
| oDI                | 0.22 ± 0.06   | 0.08 ± 0.02   | <0.05     |
| Fasting Ins (mIU/L) | (IR > 8.8)    | 11.84 ± 1.18  | 10.36 ± 0.88 | NS        |
| HOMA               | (IR > 2.1)    | 2.52 ± 0.30   | 2.16 ± 0.17 | NS        |
| QUICKI             | (IR < 0.34)   | 0.34 ± 0.01   | 0.34 ± 0.00 | NS        |
| ISI_{comp}         | (IR < 7.3)    | 4.32 ± 0.42   | 4.95 ± 0.65 | NS        |

Insauc—AUC of insulin, Insauc/Glu_{auc}—ratio of AUC of insulin to AUC of glucose, IGI—insulinogenic index, oDI—oral disposition index, HOMA—homeostasis model of insulin resistance, QUICKI—quantitative insulin sensitivity check index, ISI_{comp}—Matsuda index, (IR…) presents insulin resistance limiting values of indicators for the Polish population [26]. Values are disposed in means and standard error.
At min 90th, there was a significant increase in plasma NA concentration in PCH (P < 0.01) which remained elevated at min 120th (P < 0.05). No changes were observed for the controls (Fig. 3b).

Discussion

Based on results, it is possible to affirm that the metabolic response to carbohydrates is not properly restored in patients participating in our study, who are hypothyroid under L-T4 treatment. Disturbances in Glu tolerance can be manifested by the fasting and post-Glu ingestion levels [6]. In our study, both control and PCH group showed normal Glu tolerance. Also, the levels of plasma Glu and Ins in fasting state did not differ between groups, in coherence with previous studies [14, 28]. However, some studies have reported both lower Glu [13, 29] and higher Glu and Ins in PCH [30].

In the present study, although the fasting Glu did not differ from the controls, the plasma Glu levels in PCH were higher at the first stage and at the end of OGTT, producing a greater Glu_auc. Taking into consideration, that there were no differences in insulin level at any measurement point, higher plasma Glu levels at the first stage of OGTT indicate a delay in Glu uptake. That is in line with the general metabolic slowdown in the PCH group and it was confirmed by still higher Glu levels in PCH than in the fasting and control conditions. Glu uptake delay with greater Glu_auc was also observed in untreated hypothyroid patients compared to the healthy controls matched by age and BMI in our preview study. Importantly, in the untreated patients Glu levels returned to basal values at the end of OGTT [2].

In this study, there was no difference in Ins resistance in both groups. According to the analyzed indicators (fasting Ins, HOMA, QUICKI, and ISI_{compl}), both groups were found to be insulin-resistant. Since Ins resistance is strongly associated with high BMI [31] and that both of our groups were overweight, we believe that the Ins resistance, in this case, was more likely to be a reflection of their BMI than their hypothyroid condition [32]. According to the mechanism proposed by Diamond et al. [33], even in insulin resistance normoglycemia can be maintained by adjusting β-cells insulin secretion to the body’s sensitivity to insulin. When experiencing a reduction in insulin sensitivity of 80% due to one of many possible causes (puberty, pregnancy, infection, increased adiposity), an individual would be predicted to mount a five-fold greater insulin response [34]. Thus, even in insulin-resistance, as long as these cells are able to enhance Ins secretion, the Glu tolerance remains normal. Glu intolerance occurs when an Ins resistance can no longer be compensated by pancreas β-cells production of Ins. With time, the β-cells begin to fail and initially, the postprandial plasma glucose levels and subsequently, the fasting plasma glucose concentration begin to rise, leading to the onset of overt diabetes [35, 36]. β-cell function indicators: Ins_auc/Glu_auc, IGI, and oDI in PCH were lower than in controls as was the case observed in other studies [9]. Therefore, we believe that in PCH there is a gradual deterioration in β-cell function, which is manifested through a loss of pancreas Ins secretion compensatory capability, during ongoing hypothyroidism, despite LT4 therapy. This resulted in higher glucose level paralleled with lower insulin secretion after glucose consumption observed in PCH. We believe that physiological insulin resistance in our healthy controls was compensated by their β-cells insulin secretion at sufficient level to maintain normoglycemia, while in the PCH the capacity of β-cells insulin secretion began to be insufficient. Thus, we observed the early stage of glucose intolerance.

Compiling results from both studies, it is observable that the Glu tolerance decays in time with hypothyroidism. Moreover, long-term LT4 therapy does not restore normal Glu tolerance in PCH. Regular evaluation of Glu metabolism during treatment is not a recommendation, according to both ATA, ETA, and AACE guidelines, for these patients [3, 4];
and the Italian Association of Clinical Endocrinologists (AME) & Italian Association of Clinical Diabetologists (AMD) [37] endorse to repeat Glu metabolism evaluation (by OGTT) only once, after the restoration of normal thyroid function. We would suggest considering a periodically OGTT for PCH regardless of the stabilization of TH.

RMR was lower in PCH. TH play a key role in shaping the RMR which has been already used for diagnosis and titrations in hypothyroidism [38]. While lower RMR is a characteristic of hypothyroid state, patients undergoing treatment should demonstrate normalized RMR levels. Such normalization was reported by Wolf et al. (1996), however, TSH-suppressive doses were used for this [39]. Although, lack of RMR increases despite increasing plasma fT3 level, was also reported [22]. The normalized TH blood concentration with slower RMR suggests a state of “tissue hypothyreosis condition” characterized by a difference between plasma THs and THs-active intermediate metabolites [3, 43]. Some of the active substances from thyroid gland are present in desiccated thyroid extract, which may be one of the explanations of increased satisfaction with the therapy of patients taking desiccated thyroid extract than patients taking LT4 as noticed by Peterson et al. [5].

Likewise, postprandial thermogenesis was lower in PCH than control group, corroborating with the slow RMR. There are not many studies reporting postprandial thermogenesis in PCH. Similar to our results, no significant changes in postprandial thermogenesis was observed either in hypothyroid, hyperthyroid, or euthyroid state by Adsani et al. [20] although they were not compared to healthy control group, so that, those authors stated that postprandial thermogenesis values obtained in PCH were lower comparing to healthy standards [44, 45].

Additionally, PCH sympathetic activity was higher than healthy control group. In the present study pre- and post Glu ingestion A and NA levels were higher in PCH than in the controls as it has been also observed in untreated hypothyroid patients [2, 16]. This indicates that the PCH adrenergic reaction is not restored to the observed in healthy people. The increased sympathetic activity in untreated hypothyroid subjects may be a compensatory mechanism to achieve an appropriate level of tissue response to stimulation, since β-adrenoceptors responsiveness in hypothyroidism is reduced [16, 46]. Moreover, the rise in the level of A is an opposite reaction to that observed in healthy subjects [47, 48]. Since A is the hormone that exerts a strong thermogenic effect, it could be a way to increase thermogenesis which is reduced in hypothyroidism. However, if so, in both this and earlier study [2] it was ineffective.

It should be reported that this study addressed only female individuals and they might present different luteal phases. However, despite the heterogeneity of the groups in terms of their luteal phases, the analysis of the measured indicators showed no presence of distinct subgroups.

Concluding, we believe that although the currently recommended treatment for hypothyroidism does compensate THs level in blood, they do not accomplish to fully restore euthyreosis.
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