Validation of in vivo toenail measurements of manganese and mercury using a portable x-ray fluorescence device
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Abstract

Background and Objective: Toenail metal concentrations can be used as an effective biomarker for exposure to environmental toxicants. Typically toenail clippings are measured ex vivo using inductively-coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS). X-ray fluorescence (XRF) toenail metal measurements done on intact toenails in vivo could be used as an alternative to alleviate some of the disadvantages of ICP-MS. In this study, we assessed the ability of using XRF to measure toenail metal concentrations in real time without having to clip the toenails (i.e. in vivo) in two occupational settings for exposure assessment of manganese and mercury.

Materials and Methods: The portable XRF method used a 3-minute in vivo measurement of toenails prior to clipping and was assessed against ICP-MS measurement of toenail clippings taken immediately after the XRF measurement and work history for a group of welders (n=16) assessed for manganese exposure and nail salon workers (n=10) assessed for mercury exposure.

Results and Conclusions: We identified that in vivo XRF metal measurements were able to discern exposure to manganese in welders and mercury in nail salon workers. We identified significant positive correlations between ICP-MS of clippings and in vivo XRF measures of both toenail manganese (R= 0.59, p=0.02) and mercury (R= 0.74, p<0.001), as well as between in vivo XRF toenail manganese and work history among the welders (R=0.55, p=0.03). We identified in vivo XRF detection limits to be 0.5 μg/g for mercury and 2.6 μg/g for manganese. Further work should elucidate differences in timing of exposure using the in vivo XRF method over toenail clippings and modification of measurement time and x-ray setting to further decrease the detection
limit. *In vivo* portable XRF measurements can be used to effectively measure toenail Mn and Hg in occupational participants in real time during study visits and at a fraction of the cost.

1. **Introduction**

Metal exposures have been consistently associated with increased risk for adverse health effects such as neurodegeneration, cardiovascular disease, motor dysfunction, and developmental disorders.\[1–10\] Exposure to metals is commonly measured using concentrations of those metals in blood.\[11, 12\] Metals have a relatively short biologic half-life of around 30 days in blood. Blood specimens are analyzed using an expensive procedure known as inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS).\[13, 14\] Manganese is an essential element, but can have neurotoxic effects at high levels of exposure typical of certain occupations like welders (as well as possible toxic effects at too low levels).\[6, 12, 15\] Hg, which is solely toxic in the body, having neurotoxic effects and demonstrated effects on the cardiovascular system.\[8, 16, 17\] That and the logistical requirements of drawing and storing blood specimens make blood metal concentrations a costly and time-consuming method of measuring metal exposures in large cohorts or for occupational health monitoring.

Metal concentrations in toenail clippings are an alternative biomarker of metal exposures,\[17–20\] and even can offer some advantages over blood metal measurements. Metal concentrations in toenail clipping samples reflect exposures in the time period about 6 months to 1.5 years prior to the clipping, meaning that toenail metals can serve as a slightly more cumulative marker of exposure than blood metals.\[19, 21\] The exposure window of Hg in toenails is less well-specified, but from the typical growth rate can be assumed to be approximately one year worth of exposure. The exposure window captured in toenail clippings is lagged somewhat because the growth rate of the toenails means that it takes time for the nail bed to grow deposited metals to the clipped portion of the nail. There are conveniences of using toenail clippings rather than blood samples, such as the ability to store them at room temperature and they take up little space. They are also easy to collect, and it is simpler to get many repeated samples on an individual thereby improving the estimation of more cumulative exposures. In addition, the collection can be done by anyone and can even be done by the participant and mailed into a central study collection site. However, if clippings need to be acquired at a specific time or study visit, subjects may not have enough toenail growth to provide adequate clipping sample total weight for a thorough analysis, which may lead to data loss if a sample is never collected or mismatch of timing of sample collection with other study data. This has the potential for causing reduced participation rates and increasing relative uncertainties of exposure assessment, and so compromising the exposure health relationship under study. In terms of the laboratory analysis, the typical approach to quantifying metals in toenails requires laboratory procedures similar to that of blood samples. However, newer exposure assessment measurement modalities present alternative strategies to address these disadvantages of using toenails as a biomarker in environmental studies.

X-ray fluorescence (XRF) technologies can provide an alternative to alleviate the problem of toenail sample size and costly analytical methods.\[22–27\] Portable XRF systems can be brought onto work sites, are less expensive for analysis than typical ICP-MS procedures,
and measurements of a given participant can be completed in minutes. Importantly, the portable XRF raises the possibility of measuring the toenail *in vivo* without the need for clipping, which could greatly enhance data collection and reduce participant loss because of lack of sample for exposure assessment. Measuring toenails still on the toe affords benefits in measuring multiple time points of exposure in real time from a single study visit by measuring across the nail surface or measuring different toenails.

Prior studies have investigated the use of XRF for manganese, arsenic, and selenium in toenails, but these studies were restricted to toenail clippings and did not attempt *in vivo* measurements.22–25 We previously used phantom toenails to calibrate the portable XRF for use with *in vivo* measurements of manganese (Mn) and mercury (Hg)[26], but this approach has not been tested on actual human *in vivo* toenails. The goal of this study was to evaluate and validate the use of portable XRF *in vivo* measurements (i.e. on live participants with nail on toe) in comparison with ICP-MS analysis of toenail clippings specifically for Mn and Hg. We set our investigation in data from a group of welders and nail salon workers. Welders typically have high manganese exposures and many studies have been shown to that effect in the past. Exposures of nail salon workers are relatively unknown, but a recent study found high Hg exposures, although these could result from cultural and dietary habits rather than being specifically occupational. We chose Mn and Hg as our previous work provided detailed analysis procedures for these two metals specifically.[26] We also investigated the temporality of *in vivo* XRF measured exposure by exploring the associations of XRF and ICP-MS measurements with work history. The temporality is likely to be affected by the amount and position of toenail measured using each method and the toenail growth associated with those areas (i.e. clipped toenail further from the nail bed reflects exposures longer in the past than an *in vivo* measurement taken closer to the nail bed), which highlights the potential for longer exposure window assessed via *in vivo* measurements of toenail with multiple measurements. Finally, we determine the relative utility of *in vivo* XRF for measurement of toenail metals in population studies of occupational and environmental health.

2. Methods

2.1 Study Population

The male welding participants (n=16) were recruited from a local Boilermaker union in Quincy, MA. The day-to-day occupational focus was welding for these participants. The female nail salon workers (n=10) were recruited from several nail salons around the Boston area. Approval was obtained from Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health (HSPH) IRB and the HSPH Radiation Safety Committee prior to the start of both studies. Signed consent forms were received from all participants. Participants filled out questionnaires to assess work history. Toenail metals were measured *in vivo* via portable XRF, and then toenail clippings were collected and measured for metal concentrations.

2.2 Portable XRF Measurements

The portable XRF used in this study was the Thermo Niton XL3t GOLDD+ (Thermo Fischer Billerica, MA), the same model as used in previous studies detailing calibration
methods for Mn and Hg toenail measurements.\cite{26} We used x-ray tube settings of 50 kV and 40 \( \mu \)A with a transmission-type silver anode and silver and iron filter. We calibrated the device using slab phantoms of epoxy resin doped with Mn and Hg, soft tissue phantoms made from Lucite, and bone phantoms made from plaster-of-Paris as done in our previous calibration study.\cite{26, 28, 29}

For \textit{in vivo} toenail metal measurements using the portable XRF, we cleaned the toenails before each measurement using alcohol swabs and any nail polish was removed prior to measurement. We placed the device in the center of the participants toenail and used the device’s camera to identify the end of the toenail. The measurement was made in the center near the edge of the toenail where it was clipped. The center of the big toenail was chosen as the easiest to measure with the most optimal geometry. The XRF requires a flat surface against the nail, which is much more difficult to do on the other nails or if not center on the nail itself. We estimated a maximum skin dose of about 28.7 mSv to a 1 cm\(^2\) area and maximum total body effective dose of 2.0 \( \mu \)Sv for the 3-minute measurement, which is equivalent to about 1/50th the radiation dose of a typical chest x-ray.\cite{30}

We used a spectral analysis to identify Mn and Hg counts as shown in our previous work.\cite{26, 31–33} Briefly, traditional peak fitting using Matlab was used to distinguish the Mn and Hg counts from background. Surrounding background peaks for both Mn, which had a large iron peak influencing background, and Hg, which had a large tungsten peak influencing background, were fitted to disentangle the Mn and Hg net counts. The iron peak was from the coherent scattering of the filtration of the initial x-ray, and the tungsten peak was from the collimation and shielding used in the x-ray device. Net counts were related back to concentration in \( \mu \)g/g using our calibration lines from doped phantom measurements as shown in Figure 1 for Mn (R=0.99).

### 2.3 ICP-MS Toenail Metal Measurements

We asked participants to not paint or trim their toenails for a few days before the study visit. We (or the participant) cut the nails into a paper envelope using cleaned stainless-steel toenail clippers. The clippings were collected from all ten toes after the XRF measurement on the big toe. Ten toes were used as this would better encapsulate a larger window of exposure time, as each toenail has a different length and corresponding time window of exposure reflected. Previous studies that use toenails as an exposure biomarker typically used clippings from all ten toes.

The toenail clipping samples were prepared for analysis as described in our previous works.\cite{34, 35} Nail salon workers and welders were analyzed by different labs using the same procedures. Briefly, the samples were cleaned using a 1% triton solution of deionized (DI) water and were sonicated for 30-minutes and vortexed for 2–3 seconds before the solution was removed. Then, a new 1% triton and DI water solution was added, and samples were again sonicated and vortexed before the solution was removed. The clippings were then rinsed with DI water and vortexed three times. Acetone was added to the clippings and samples were again sonicated and vortexed before the acetone was removed. Finally, samples were rinsed three times with DI water, vortexed once more, and either freeze-dried overnight or dried in a hood over 48 days.
The clippings were digested in 3 mL of trace metal-grade nitric acid and 1 mL of hydrogen peroxide at room temperature for 48 hours. Then the samples were diluted with 6 mL of DI water prior to analysis. ICP-MS analysis was done using an internal standard of $^{45}$Sc, $^{89}$Y, and $^{159}$Tb. For further quality control and assessment, we used ERM-DB001, a certified standard for human hair, varying concentrations of a continuous calibration standard, digestion duplicates, and NIST1643f standard for trace elements in water. Our calibration standards had recovery on average of 90%+ for all metals, and the NIST1643f standard had an average recovery for all metals of 90%+.

### 2.4 Work History

Work history was established in the welders (n=16) via a questionnaire. Participants were asked how many hours they worked in the past week, month, and year. Participants were also asked the estimated percentage of time they spent wearing respirators during their work, which would heavily influence overall exposure. Finally, welders were asked total years working on the job.

For nail salon workers, we determined via questionnaire the total hours worked per week, years worked as a nail technician, personal protective equipment use (gloves and respirator), and work habits (washing hands and etc.) that would influence occupational exposure.

### 2.5 Statistical Analysis

Pearson correlations were computed in R to determine relationships between exposure assessment methods, work history, and exposure. Distributions were found to be normal, and Spearman correlations gave similar results. We also used linear regressions as a further check when both variables were normally distributed and to obtain beta values when appropriate for reporting. Uncertainty in portable XRF measurements was derived from a combination of background and net counts as output from the fitting in Matlab for each metal measured. The uncertainty identified from the spectral fitting is reflective of two standard deviations or approximately the 95% confidence interval in the in vivo XRF toenail metal measurements. Negative measurements are possible with XRF and should be included as negatives in the analyses to prevent bias from normalizing uncertainty estimates. In epidemiological health studies, it has been shown that excluding those values below a limit of detection can reduce efficiency and result in biased effect estimates for relations between the exposure and health outcomes.[36–38] Because Mn is primarily an occupational exposure for welders, we focused only on the welders for our Mn analyses. Nail salon workers had a higher exposure to Hg, although likely not occupational, so we restricted to nail salon workers in the Hg analysis. Attempted Mn measurements in nail salon workers and Hg measurements in welders were below the detection limit of the device for Mn and Hg respectively, leading to more noise in the relationships identified.

### 3. Results

#### 3.1 Participant characteristics

Welder’s age and work history data are summarized in Table 1. Eleven (73%) of welders reported wearing respirators on the job. Many of the participants were new to welding, as
The significant correlations between ICPMS toenail clippings, work history, and XRF in vivo toenail measurements of Mn and Hg demonstrate the suitability of XRF for exposure assessment. The in vivo measurement reflected a different time period of exposure assessment from clippings, and potentially measure a shorter exposure time window than is typical with standard ten-toe clipping measurements via ICP-MS. The in vivo XRF measurements would be most suitable in studies with potential for high exposures, especially occupational exposures, but with increased measurement time would be suitable for evaluation of general population.
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The correlation found between XRF and ICP-MS measured Mn and Hg exposure indicated a reasonable agreement between the two methods. In the welders, we were able to strengthen this association by relating the work history data to both ICP-MS and XRF Mn as well. The toenail Mn found in our study population would reflect a range typical of occupationally exposed individuals in comparison to other studies in the Boston area focusing on environmental exposures.[17] This correlation is perturbed by the increased uncertainty in the toenail metals measured via XRF. We expect the two measurements to be slightly different since they are measuring different parts of the toenail and measuring metals at different sections of the toenail would reflect different time periods of metal exposure. It is unclear as to how measuring slightly different portions of the toenail may affect the exposure time window reflected by an XRF measurement versus a typical toenail clipping assessment, which was shown previously to be about 6 months to 1.5 years in clippings for some metals.[19, 21] Another aspect potentially affected by a change in the toenail area assessed for metals would be the lag between exposure and measurement via XRF. This was previously found to be about 6 months based on work history data and estimated from known toenail growth, but could be slightly less with an XRF measurement that would potentially reflect exposure with less lag period.[19] This is a result of the in vivo XRF measurement averaging over an area closer to the root of the nail bed, which would reflect more recent exposures than the typical clipped portion of the nail alone.

Neither XRF nor ICPMS Mn measurements in the welders correlated well with estimated hours worked over the past year, which, for both, is not what was observed in previous studies.[19] This null finding may simply be due to the inaccurate recall of the hours worked over the year. It is unlikely but possible there is still contamination present on these nails. The cleaning procedure is extensive and has been used similarly for samples for years. Furthermore, there was a correlation with hours over the past month, suggesting contamination was not a significant issue in this regard. Nonetheless, further studies with extended follow-up to determine the precise timing of exposure in populations evaluated using in vivo XRF would be valuable.

Toenail Hg measured via ICP-MS on clipped nails or XRF in vivo, did not show any associations with work history or other measures of behaviors at work. However, we did show a correlation between in vivo XRF toenail Hg and toenail clipping Hg levels, which indicates the method was able to discriminate exposures well. Nail salon workers have a wide variety of potential exposures to metals or other toxicants, which will vary based on many different factors in their work environment.[40] A study of nail salon workers and nail polish impurities found a broad array of metals with potential exposure but did not specifically point to one definitive exposure that may impact workers health in this regard.[39, 41] Other than metals, nail salon workers have a variety of exposures to other potentially harmful chemicals such as plasticizers and phthalates.[39, 41–43] Welders have a straightforward Mn exposure relationship with work history, as anytime they are working there is the potential for Mn exposure.[19] Other exposures experienced by both groups would not interfere with the measurements from XRF as they are specific to the elements indicated. A potential limitation may also be residual confounding or recall bias in the work history relationships for either nail salon workers or welders, which could be influencing the results identified here. All but one (n=10) of the nail salon workers had toenail Hg
levels above the detection limit of the XRF \textit{in vivo} measurement, which indicates the XRF’s ability in exposure assessment in similar populations in the future. There was a substantial y-intercept, which would indicate some potential issues during the calibration procedures. This would mean there was an underestimating of the zero point, which could have been influenced by other metals present during \textit{in vivo} measurements, but not accounted for in our calibration. It would be best to modify the calibration approach or quantify this difference to make the quantifiable results more comparable between ICP-MS and XRF in the future.

The main limitation of XRF use for \textit{in vivo} measurements is the increased uncertainty relative to ICP-MS measurements. Of note, this study used 3-minute measurements, while a recent radiation dosimetry study indicated that much longer measurement times should be feasible, which would reduce the detection limits (and associated uncertainty in the measurement) by the square root of the increase in measurement time.[30] For example, if we did 6-minute measurements we would reduce our detection limits in this study for Mn from 2.6 $\mu$g/g to 1.8 $\mu$g/g while doubling our radiation dose. Our study on radiation dose indicated that even with a 10-minute measurement we would be more than 100 times lower than the ICRP limits for deterministic effects in skin and it would still represent a negligibly small effective dose with total effective dose less than that received in a typical day of natural background radiation. However, any of these limits would be substantially lower with toenail, given the fact that with a 1 mm nail, almost 90% of the initial beam would be absorbed in the nail itself. Nail being dead tissue would not experience any measurable impact from the radiation dose. This decreased detection limit could open the possibility of studies in exposures typical of the general public. In addition, if populations were being assessed primarily for one metal, e.g. Mn or Hg alone, the device settings can be optimized to further reduce the detection limit.[26] The main limitation of portable XRF measurements is the detection limit (0.5 $\mu$g/g for Hg and 2.6 $\mu$g/g for Mn as derived from our \textit{in vivo} measurements) for simultaneous measurement of metals, but optimization can be done to limit these issues in certain populations.

The detection limit combined with the range of samples present in the study presented an additional limitation. In the welders, if we removed the highest measurement the correlation between ICP-MS in clippings and XRF \textit{in vivo} was reduced by half. Alternatively, if we removed the lowest measurement the correlation improved by 30%. The Mn detection seems to be more limiting particularly because of the characteristic x-ray proximity to tungsten characteristic x-rays. Tungsten is used as a component in the XRF itself, and thus presents a difficulty in fitting for this particular XRF, which has been discussed in previous studies.[26] This is why the calibration for Mn presented some issues with many results varying dramatically and including almost a third of the samples being negative. Again, the correlations with known work exposure history help to resolve some of these problems comparing two technically different biomarkers with clippings and \textit{in vivo} XRF. In the nail salon workers, the range of samples is the main limitation. When the lowest sample is removed the correlation between clippings and \textit{in vivo} reduced by a factor of two, but still remained significant. Again, we want to highlight the fact that the two measurement approaches, ICP-MS on clippings and XRF \textit{in vivo}, are only expected to give a perfect correlation if the exposure remained consistent. This may not be a good assumption for
welders, given the work history findings, but for nail salon workers with diet as a main predictor may be a slightly better assumption, as shown in other works evaluating toenail biomarkers over time.\cite{19} However, we could not evaluate dietary factors in this study because they were not collected. This is further illustrated in our regression slopes. For Hg, the slope includes 1 in the 95% CI from our findings, but Mn does not. Thus, Hg seemed to provide a consistent biomarker in clippings and \textit{in vivo}, whereas Mn had more discrepancies due to workplace exposures.

This work builds on many previous studies that have evaluated the feasibility of XRF for measurement of metals in toenail clippings or \textit{in vivo}, but is the first study to show the distinct relationships between metals assessed in toenail clippings measured via ICP-MS and \textit{in vivo} XRF on toenails.\cite{22–27} It is clear that the XRF can be used effectively to measure Hg and Mn and likely other metals in toenails \textit{in vivo} with some differences based on the background surrounding those peak areas and how easily they can be disentangled and calibrated, as evidenced by Figures 2 and 3. Previous studies focused on using the XRF for measurements of nail clippings primarily or in-lab phantoms for calibration of the device. This study opens the possibility of future analyses of metal exposures \textit{in vivo} using XRF but will depend heavily on the population measured and the metals of interest to optimize results and detection limits of the device accordingly.

5. Conclusions

\textit{In vivo} portable XRF measurements can be used to effectively measure toenail Mn and Hg in human participants in real time during study visits and at a fraction of the cost of typical chemical analyses. Toenail metals assessed via \textit{in vivo} portable XRF reflect a different time period of exposure than is typical of metals assessed via toenail clipping measurements alone. A longer measurement time with the XRF will produce better results in the future and allow for potential assessment of typical general public environmental exposures using the \textit{in vivo} technique.
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Figure 1.
Calibration line for Mn relating phantom measurements in counts per second to total concentration.
Figure 2.
Mercury peak fitting (9.99 keV mercury alpha peak) of an in vivo measurement for a nail salon worker.
Figure 3.
Manganese peak fitting (5.90 keV manganese alpha peak) of an in vivo measurement for a welder.
Figure 4.
Significant positive relationship ($R = 0.58$, Beta (95% CI) = 3.97 (2.52, 5.43) $P=0.02$) between Mn measured via in vivo portable XRF (error bars represent two standard deviation uncertainty for each measurement) and ICP-MS on toenail clippings in welders ($n=16$). Regression results were from crude analyses.
Figure 5.
Toenail Mn measured by XRF in vivo (error bars reflect two standard deviation uncertainty for each measurement) related to total hours worked in welders over the month prior to measurement (R = 0.55, Beta (95% CI) = 0.11 (0.07, 0.15), P=0.03, n=16). Regression results were from crude analyses.
Figure 6.
Relationship between toenail Mn measured by ICP-MS and total hours worked over the month prior to measurement in welders (R = 0.75, Beta (95% CI) = 0.02 (0.015, 0.025), P=0.001, n=16). Regression results were from crude analyses.
Figure 7.
Correlation between Hg measured via *in vivo* portable XRF and ICP-MS on toenail clippings for nail salon workers (R = 0.74, Beta (95% CI) = 0.84 (0.64, 1.25), P=0.01, n=10). Regression results were from crude analyses.
### Table 1.

Age, job years, and work hours for welders in our study (n=16).

|                     | Median | 25<sup>th</sup> Percentile | 75<sup>th</sup> Percentile |
|---------------------|--------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| **Welders n=16**    |        |                             |                             |
| Age                 | 34     | 27                          | 44                          |
| Total Years on the Job | 3      | 2                           | 9                           |
| Estimated Number of Job Hours in the Past Month | 18.0  | 8.8                         | 42.5                        |
| Estimated Number of Job Hours in the Past Year   | 250    | 92.5                        | 500                         |
| **Nail Salon Workers n=10** |     |                             |                             |
| Age                 | 37     | 26                          | 44                          |
| Total Years on the Job | 8      | 2.4                         | 16                          |
| Estimated Number of Job Hours per Week           | 40     | 30                          | 49                          |
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Table 2.

Toenail Mn and Hg measurement distribution in the welders (Mn measurements) and nail salon workers (Hg measurements) in our study.

|        | N  | Group     | Average | Standard Deviation |
|--------|----|-----------|---------|--------------------|
| **Mn** |    |           |         |                    |
| ICP-MS (μg/g) | 16 | Welders   | 1.1     | 1.00               |
| XRF (μg/g)   | 16 | Welders   | 1.8     | 7.0                |
| XRF Uncertainty (μg/g) | 16 | Welders   | 2.7     | 2.8                |
| **Hg** |    |           |         |                    |
| ICP-MS (μg/g) | 10 | Nail Salon| 0.92    | 0.4                |
| XRF (μg/g)   | 10 | Nail Salon| 1.7     | 0.25               |
| XRF Uncertainty (μg/g) | 10 | Nail Salon| 0.44    | 0.39               |