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ABSTRACT

Background: Digital replantation has become a well-established technique that has revolutionized hand surgery. One of the most important factors to a successful replantation is less than 12 hours of warm and 24 hours of cold ischemia time. The purpose of this article was to present a concept of door-to-surgery time and test the hypothesis that success in distal digital replantation is associated with this time.

Methods: Forty-five patients with 49 distal amputations were included in the study. Data regarding patient demographics, amputation characteristics, ischemia time, and surgical outcome were collected. Factors related to a successful replantation were analyzed. Fisher’s exact test was used for statistical analysis.

Results: Type I, II, and III Yamano classification were noted in 11 (22.4%), 11 (22.4%), and 27 (55.1%) amputations. All the digits had arterial anastomoses while 19 (38.8%) digits were replanted without venous anastomosis. The mean door-to-surgery time was 229 minutes. The overall success rate was 77.6%. There were no differences in the survival rates between replantations with or without venous anastomosis. Patients with less than 180 minutes of door-to-surgery time had a significantly better survival rate compared to patients with greater time.

Conclusion: The overall success rate was 77.6%. Patients with less than 180 minutes of door-to-surgery time had a significantly greater success rate (95.0%) compared to patients with longer door-to-surgery time (65.5%). Further effort must be made to achieve this goal in digital replantation.
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INTRODUCTION

Distal digital replantation is a challenging procedure that has undergone revolutionary advances in microsurgical techniques and instruments since the successful replantation reported by Tamai in 1967.1 There have been doubts about the necessity of distal digital replantation in the past because loss of a single digit except for the thumb has limited effect on the function of the hand.2,3 However, due to the advances mentioned above, the goal of digital replantation surgery in this era is now focused on good functional and aesthetic outcome. One of the most important factors for successful outcomes is a shortened ischemia time.
time. Although there have been reports of successful replantation with prolonged ischemia time, it is generally stated that successful digital replantation is associated with less than 12 hours of warm and 24 hours of cold ischemia time.

In other parts of medicine where optimal clinical course is associated with a shortened ischemia time, the concepts of ‘door-to-needle time’ and ‘door-to-balloon time’ have been developed to achieve a better outcome in morbidity and mortality. The purpose of this study was to present a concept of door-to-surgery time and test the hypothesis that success in distal digital replantation is associated with this time.

**METHODS**

**Patients**

Between January 2007 and January 2016, 45 consecutive patients with 49 digital amputations at or distal to the distal phalanges were included in the study. Data regarding patient demographics, amputation characteristics, injury-to-surgery time, door-to-surgery time, and surgical outcome were collected. The injury-to-surgery time was defined as the period between the time of injury to the initiation of surgery. The door-to-surgery time was defined as the period between the time of arrival at the emergency room and the initiation of surgery.

**Surgical method**

Preoperative evaluation was done at the emergency room. Replantations were performed with the patients under general anesthesia or brachial plexus block. A pneumatic tourniquet was applied to the upper arm and the amputated part was prepared under the microscope. After adequate debridement and cleansing, the digital artery was anastomosed with 10-0 or 11-0 ethilon by simple interrupted suture. When venous repair was not possible due to the lack of available vein or severe soft-tissue damage, artery-only replantation was performed. A paraungual stab incision was made to prevent venous congestion and either topical dripping of heparinized saline or application of medical leeches was done immediately after operation. Systemic anticoagulation was achieved through administration of 20,000 units of heparinized saline and 500 mL of low-molecular-weight dextran for 7 days. The survival outcome was measured on the seventh day after surgery by visual assessment of circulation to the fingertip with normal skin color.

**Statistical analysis**

Baseline characteristics were summarized using descriptive statistics. Continuous variables were compared parametrically using Student’s t-test or non-parametrically using the Mann-Whitney U-test. Categorical variables were compared using \( \chi^2 \) test or Fisher’s exact test as appropriate. Univariate and multivariate analyses were done using logistic regression with backward conditional method to assess the significant factor associated with the surgical outcome. A two-sided \( P \) value < 0.05 was taken as statistically significant. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 19.0 (SPSS, Inc., IBM Company, Chicago, IL, USA).

**Ethics statement**

This study was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Board of Chonnam National University Hospital (CNUH-2017-022). Informed consent was waived by the board.
RESULTS

Baseline characteristics
The baseline characteristics of the 45 patients that underwent digital replantation are listed in Table 1. Thirty-five (77.8%) of the patients were male, and the mean age of the patients was 47.3 years (range, 14–80). Fourteen (31.1%) patients were current smokers and 5 (11.1%) patients had history of diabetes mellitus. Based on the Yamano classification, guillotine type (type I), crush type (type II), and crush avulsion type (type III) was noticed in 11 (22.4%), 11 (22.4%), and 27 (55.1%) amputations, respectively. Tamai zone I amputation was noted in 17 (34.7%) patients. All digital replantations had arterial anastomosis, while 19 (38.8%) digits did not have venous anastomosis. The mean injury-to-surgery time was 350 minutes (350.8 ± 106.4). The mean door-to-surgery time was 229 minutes (229.0 ± 102.2). Successful replantation was confirmed in 38 (77.6%) digits.

Surgical outcome based on clinical factors
The success rate of male patients (76.9%) showed no significant difference to those of female patients (80.0%) ($P = 1.000$). Success rates based on Yamano type I, type II, and type III injury were 90.9%, 72.7%, and 74.1%, respectively. The difference in success rate was not statistically significant (Table 2).

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of patients that underwent digital replantation

| Characteristics                      | Values |
|--------------------------------------|--------|
| Patients/digits                      | 45/49  |
| Age, yr                              | 47.3 ± 15.1 |
| Gender                               |        |
| Male                                 | 35 (77.8) |
| Female                               | 10 (22.2) |
| Smoker                               | 14 (31.1) |
| History of diabetes mellitus         | 5 (11.1) |
| History of vascular disease          | 0 (0)  |
| Tamai zone                           |        |
| I                                    | 17 (34.7) |
| II                                   | 32 (65.3) |
| Artery anastomosis                   |        |
| 1                                    | 46 (93.9) |
| 2                                    | 3 (6.1)  |
| Vein anastomosis                     |        |
| 0                                    | 19 (38.8) |
| 1                                    | 25 (51.0) |
| 2                                    | 5 (10.2)  |
| Yamano classification                |        |
| Type I (Guillotine)                  | 11 (22.4) |
| Type II (Crush)                      | 11 (22.4) |
| Type III (Crush avulsion)            | 27 (55.1) |
| Injury mechanism                     |        |
| Clean cut                            | 12 (24.5) |
| Blunt cut                            | 10 (20.4) |
| Crush                                | 19 (38.8) |
| Avulsion                             | 7 (14.3)  |
| Combined                              | 1 (2.0)  |
| Injury-to-surgery time, min          | 350.8 ± 106.4 |
| Door-to-surgery time, min            | 229.0 ± 102.2 |
| Operation result                     |        |
| Fail                                 | 11 (22.4) |
| Success                              | 38 (77.6) |

Values are presented as number of patients (%) or mean ± standard deviation.
As all the patients had arterial anastomosis, the success rate based on the presence of venous anastomosis was done. The success rate of patients with only arterial anastomosis was 78.9%, whereas the success rate of patients with both arterial and venous anastomosis was 76.7%. The difference in the success rate was not significant ($P = 1.000$) (Table 2).

Although there seemed to be a trend for a higher success rate for Tamai zone I amputation (94.1%) compared to Tamai zone II amputation (68.8%), the difference in the success rate was not statistically significant ($P = 0.071$) (Table 2).

The injury-to-surgery time is not a significant factor associated with surgical outcome

Patients were divided into two groups based on the injury-to-surgery time. The success rate in patients with injury-to-surgery time greater or equal to 360 minutes was 73.9% and patients with injury-to-surgery time less than 360 minutes was 80.8%. The difference in the survival rate between the two groups was not significant ($P = 0.734$) (Table 2).

The door-to-surgery time is a significant factor associated with surgical outcome

The difference in the door-to-surgery time between patients with successful results and less optimal results was statistically significant ($P = 0.041$). The patients were divided into two groups based on the door-to-surgery time: group A included patients with door-to-surgery time greater or equal to 180 minutes, group B included patients with door-to-surgery time less than 180 minutes. The success rate in group A was 95.0% (19/20), whereas that in group B was 65.5% (19/29). The difference in the survival rate of group A and B was statistically significant ($P = 0.017$) (Table 2).

### Table 2. Comparison of surgical outcome based on the clinical factors

| Factors                        | Success (%) | Failure (%) | $P$ value |
|-------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|
| Subject                       | 38          | 11          | 1.000     |
| Gender                        |             |             |           |
| Male                          | 30 (76.9)   | 9 (23.1)    |           |
| Female                        | 8 (80.0)    | 2 (20.0)    |           |
| Smoker                        |             |             | 0.706     |
| No                            | 28 (80.0)   | 7 (20.0)    |           |
| Yes                           | 10 (71.4)   | 4 (28.6)    |           |
| Diabetes mellitus             |             |             | 1.000     |
| No                            | 34 (77.3)   | 10 (22.7)   |           |
| Yes                           | 4 (80.0)    | 1 (20.0)    |           |
| Yamano classification         |             |             |           |
| Type I                        | 10 (90.9)   | 1 (9.1)     |           |
| Type II                       | 8 (72.7)    | 3 (27.3)    | 0.586*    |
| Type III                      | 20 (74.1)   | 7 (25.9)    | 0.395*    |
| Vessel anastomosis            |             |             | 1.000     |
| Artery anastomosis only       | 15 (78.9)   | 4 (21.1)    |           |
| Artery and venous anastomosis | 23 (76.7)   | 7 (23.3)    |           |
| Tamai zone                    |             |             | 0.071     |
| I                             | 16 (94.1)   | 1 (5.9)     |           |
| II                            | 22 (68.8)   | 10 (31.3)   |           |
| Injury-to-surgery time        |             |             | 0.734     |
| ≥ 360 min                     | 17 (73.9)   | 6 (26.1)    |           |
| < 360 min                     | 21 (80.8)   | 5 (19.2)    |           |
| Door-to-surgery time          |             |             | 0.017     |
| ≥ 180 min                     | 19 (65.5)   | 10 (34.5)   |           |
| < 180 min                     | 19 (95.0)   | 1 (5.0)     |           |

*Compared to Yamano classification type I injury.
significant \((P = 0.017)\) (Fig. 1). Multivariate logistic regression analysis revealed that the door-
to-surgery time was a significant factor associated with a successful surgical outcome (odds
ratio, 0.060; 95\% confidence interval, 0.005–0.754; \(P\) value = 0.029) (Table 3).

### Postoperative outcome

The mean follow-up period after surgery was 8.4 months. Nerve repair was not performed
but adequate protective sensation was restored in most replanted digits. In patients with long
term follow up, neuroma formation and cold intolerance were absent. Long-term data for
two-point discrimination was unavailable in most replanted digits.

### DISCUSSION

Advances in microsurgery have greatly refined distal digital replantation. Hence, the goal
of digital replantation in this era is now more focused on achieving good functional and
aesthetic outcome. The purpose of this study was to present the concept of door-to-surgery
time; a concept that has changed treatment goals in other parts of medicine where the
outcome is associated with a shortened ischemia time, and test the hypothesis that success in
distal digital replantation is associated with this time.
The overall success rate of 77.6% is comparable to the results of previous studies. One of the most important factors to a successful replantation is a shortened ischemia time. Although there have been reports of successful digital replantation after 94 hours of cold ischemia and 42 hours of warm ischemia time, it is generally stated that irreversible ischemic injury occurs after 2–4 hours of warm ischemia and 6–8 hours of cold ischemia time. Dec’s analysis of ischemia time which included two studies demonstrated that the success rate was greater in patients with less than 12 hours of ischemia. However, this ischemia time is not easily modifiable as the length is determined predominantly by the patient’s arrival to the hospital.

The time to treatment greatly affects numerous clinical outcomes in other parts of medicine as well. In stroke patients, the Brain Attack Coalition recommends that the time from emergency room arrival to initiation of rt-PA (door-to-needle time) should be 60 minutes or less. In acute myocardial infarction, the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association recommended that the door-to-needle time and the door-to-balloon time (the time from emergency room arrival to primary percutaneous coronary intervention) be 30 minutes or less and 90 minutes or less, respectively. The recommendation is based on the findings of decreased mortality with shortened door-to-balloon time.

As the goal for digital replantation is good functional and aesthetic outcome, a modifiable time goal is needed for better surgical outcome. To our knowledge, there are no other reports analyzing the surgical outcome with the injury-to-surgery time or door-to-surgery time. In our study, patients with a door-to-surgery time less than 180 minutes resulted in a significantly better surgical outcome. The optimal door-to-surgery time in distal digital replantation can be prolonged compared to cerebral stroke or myocardial infarction patients, because digits lack muscle tissue and are much less susceptible to ischemic damage. Nevertheless, this concept can present a modifiable time goal for optimal surgical outcome. Interestingly, the injury-to-surgery time was not a significant factor associated with surgical outcome. The results of our study suggest that even if the time from injury to the emergency room is short, if the door-to-surgery time is prolonged the surgical outcome may be worse. Also, the injury-to-emergency room time is not a factor that can be modified by the efforts of the medical staff. Therefore, the focus of efforts to modify the door-to-surgery time is a valid concept.

Previous reports comparing clean cut injury and crush type injury demonstrated a significant difference in success rate. Although there was a trend for a greater success rate in clean cut injury (Yamano type I, 90.9%) compared to the crush types (type II, 72.7%; type III, 74.1%), the difference in success rate was not statistically significant.

The success rate of digital replantation also depends on the availability of vessels at the level of the amputation. Venous anastomosis is particularly difficult in fingertip replantation due to the small size of the vessels and often leads to replantation failure. Many surgical techniques have been developed to overcome this anatomical problem, including volar vein repair, arteriovenous shunting and external venous drainage using medical or chemical leeches. Sebastian et al. reported that the repair of a vein improved overall survival in Tamai zone I and zone II classification. However, in a recent study by Huang and Yeong, the success rate was not dependent on the presence of venous anastomosis. In our study, there was no difference in the success rate based on the availability of venous anastomosis in patients that underwent arterial anastomosis.
The meta-analysis reports of success rates based on the level of injury are contradicting. Dec\textsuperscript{11} reported that the success rate of distal phalanx is significantly lower than digits amputated at proximal levels. Sebastin and Chung\textsuperscript{15} reported no significant difference of success rates in Tamai zone I and zone II replantations. There was no significant difference in the success rates based on the Tamai zone in this study.

Randomized controlled trials are ethically not possible in testing the hypothesis of door-to-surgery time of digital replantation in real patients. However, our study proves the concept of door-to-surgery time is associated with the surgical outcome using multivariate regression analysis. There are several limitations to our study due to the retrospective design. First, overview of all known clinical factors related to success rates was not possible. The study is limited by the fact that the injury-to-the-emergency room time was extrapolated by the statement of the patient and the exact time of warm or cold ischemia is not known. Selection of patients that deem to have good surgical results may have undergone surgery and therefore selection bias cannot be excluded. Secondly, further studies are needed to confirm our finding due to the small sample size. Our hospital is located within the central district of the city and the injury-to-surgery time and door-to-surgery time of our study may not be feasible or applicable to other hospital settings. Thirdly, long-term data for functional and aesthetic outcome was limited. Although the door-to-surgery time is not a factor that can be modified by the sole efforts of a reconstructive surgeon, it is an important factor associated with successful outcome. Well-designed, large studies are needed to refine the door-to-surgery time considering strategies to shorten this time.

In conclusion, patients with digital replantation within 180 minutes of door-to-surgery time have significantly better success rates. As the door-to-surgery time is a modifiable factor, effort must be made to achieve this goal. Further studies are warranted to determine the optimal door-to-surgery time for better success rates.
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