An autoregulatory loop controlling orphan nuclear receptor DAX-1 gene expression by orphan nuclear receptor ERRγ
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ABSTRACT

The estrogen receptor-related receptor gamma (ERRγ) is a member of the nuclear receptor superfamily that activates transcription in the absence of ligand. However, the detailed mechanism of gene regulation by ERRγ is not fully understood. In this study we have found that the orphan nuclear receptor ERRγ activates the DAX-1 promoter, which, in turn, represses transactivation by ERRγ. Serial deletions of mouse DAX-1 (mDAX-1) gene promoter have revealed that the region responding to ERRγ is located between −129 and −121 bp and −334 and −326 bp. Gel shift assays and chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assays demonstrated that ERRγ binds directly to the mDAX-1 promoter. Site-directed mutagenesis results demonstrated that ERRE1 (−129 to −121 bp) is more important than ERRE2 (−334 to −326 bp) which is not conserved in the human DAX-1 promoter. In addition, adenovirus-mediated overexpression of ERRγ induced DAX-1 gene expression in MCF-7 breast cancer cells that co-expressed ERRγ and DAX-1. Moreover, yeast two-hybrid and glutathione S-transferase (GST)-pull down assays demonstrated that DAX-1 physically interacted with ERRγ and inhibited ERRγ transactivation, and that this interaction was dependent on the AF-2 domain of ERRγ. In addition, in vitro competition assays showed that DAX-1 inhibited PGC-1α mediated ERRγ transactivation, via competition between these two factors for the AF-2 binding domain. We thus propose a novel autoregulatory loop that controls DAX-1 gene expression by ERRγ.

INTRODUCTION

Nuclear receptors (NRs) are ligand-dependent transcription factors that bind to specific DNA target sequences through their highly conserved DNA-binding domain (DBD) and activate transcription through interaction with coactivators. The latter property is dependent on their moderately conserved ligand-binding domain (LBD) and in particular requires the extreme C-terminal part of it, the so-called AF-2 region (1).

Among NRs, DAX-1 (dosage-sensitive sex reversal, adrenal hypoplasia critical region, on chromosome X, gene 1) (NR0B1) is an atypical orphan nuclear receptor, since it does not contain a DBD (2,3). Instead, the N-terminal half of DAX-1 contains a unique region mainly composed of three repeats, each of which is 65–67 amino acids in length. This region contains LXXLL motif-like sequences (where L and M are leucine and methionine, respectively, and X can be any amino acid) that are necessary for interaction with estrogen receptors (ERs) (4). Since the C-terminal half of DAX-1 containing the putative LBD functions as a repressor domain through interaction with corepressors (NCoR) (5), two mechanisms have been proposed to function cooperatively in the repression of transcription exerted by DAX-1. According to the proposition, DAX-1 would both block the binding of coactivators to NRs and recruit corepressors with its C-terminal half (4–6). The DAX-1 gene was identified through a search for a gene linked to adrenal hypoplasia congenita,
a disease affecting the normal development of the adrenal cortex and which is often associated with hypogonadotropic hypogonadism (2,3). Mouse and human DAX-1 promoters have been cloned and characterized. For example, orphan nuclear receptor steroidogenic factor-1 (SF-1) regulates DAX-1 promoter, and the SF-1 response element is located between −129 and −121 bp on the mouse promoter and between −135 and −143 bp on the human promoter (7,8).

Estrogen-related receptors (ERRs-alpha, -beta and -gamma), are orphan NRs closely related to ERs, with which they share identical target response elements and core- regulatory proteins (9,10). However, ERRs do not respond to the classical ER ligand. Previous studies have demonstrated that MCAD, pS2 and cyp19 are targets of ERRα (11–13). Among the ERR family, ERRγ, the newest member of the subfamily, is a constitutively active nuclear receptor, and little is known about its in vivo functions (9,10). Previous studies have demonstrated that 4-hydroxytamoxifen (4-OHT) directly binds to and deactivates ERRγ, suggesting that 4-OHT is an inverse agonist of ERRγ (14,15). However, the deactivation mechanism of ERRγ by 4-OHT requires further elucidation. It has been reported that coactivators such as GRIP1 or PGC-1α, interact directly with ERRγ and activate its transcriptional activity (16,17). ERRγ acts as a monomer and activates transcription constitutively. It has also been suggested in recent reports, that ERRγ forms dimers through its LBD. In contrast to this, the related receptor, ERRα, inhibits the activities of both ERRα and ERRγ through heterodimerization (18). ERRγ exhibits significant affinities for binding to a wide spectrum of sequences, including inverted and direct repeat sequences composed of AGGTCA half-sites with differing spacings, as well as a monovalent motif of the same sequence carrying an extra T(C/G)A trinucleotide on the 5’ side (19). It has been reported that ERRγ binds to and transactivates both estrogen response elements and SF-1 response elements (SF-1-RE). Previous reports have suggested that several monomeric binding partners, such as SF-1, liver receptor homolog-1 (LRH-1) and ERRγ, can activate and bind directly to the small heterodimer partner (SHP) promoter through SF-1-RE (16,20).

DAX-1 is closely related to SHP, which neither contains a DNA-binding domain nor functions as a coactivator (2,3). In addition, both the DAX-1 and SHP promoters are regulated by the monomer binding partner SF-1. Thus, since the DAX-1 promoter contains several SF-1-RE, we predicted that ERRγ could also activate the DAX-1 promoter. Transient transfection studies demonstrated that ERRγ had a preferential effect on the DAX-1 promoter. The results of deletion and site-directed mutagenesis have revealed that two ERR regulatory elements (ERREs) are responsible for the ERRγ-mediated activation of the DAX-1 promoter. The results of electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA) and chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assays strongly suggest that ERRγ binds directly to the DAX-1 promoter. In addition, the results of overexpression and ERRγ knock-down experiments demonstrated that ERRγ regulates DAX-1 gene expression in MCF-7 breast cancer cells and that ERRγ and DAX-1 are co-expressed in breast cancer tissue. The expression of DAX-1 inhibits ERRγ transactivation of its own promoter and DAX-1 competes directly with the coactivator, PGC-1α, for binding to ERRγ. Taken together, these results suggest that ERRγ belongs to a new autoregulatory loop that governs DAX-1 gene expression.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Plasmids and DNA construction**

pcDNA3/HA-ERRα, β, and γ (ΔAF-2), pcDNA3/HA SF-1, pcDNA3/HA DAX-1, pcDNA3/HA PGC-1α, pGEX4T-1 DAX-1, B42 ERRγ, B42 ΔAF-2 ERRγ, Lex A DAX-1, and pcDNA3 PGC-1α were described previously (21–23). To generate the −467 bp DAX-1 promoter region, genomic DNA was isolated from a mouse testicular Leydig cell line, K28 and used as template for PCR. The PCR product was cloned into the pGL3-basic plasmid (Promega) between the MluI and XhoI sites. mdAX-1/Luc (−325 and −121 bp) were made by PCR using the primer and cloned into pGL3 at MluI and Xhol. Mutant reporters, mtERRRE1/Luc, mtERRRE2/Luc and mtERRRE1&2/Luc, were constructed by site-directed mutagenesis of the mdAX-1 promoter −467 bp/Luc using the primer. The mutated sequences are shown in Figure 4A. All clones were verified by sequencing analysis.

**Cell culture and transient transfection assay**

Human embryonic kidney (293T), mouse fibroblast (NIH3T3) and human breast cancer (MCF-7) cells were maintained with DMEM in the presence of 10% fetal bovine serum and antibiotics (Gibco) in humidified air containing 5% CO2 at 37°C. Cells were transfected, using Superfect and Effectene reagents (Qiagen Inc., Germany), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The total DNA used in each transfection was adjusted to 1 μg by adding the appropriate amount of pcDNA3 vector. Approximately 40–48 h post-transfection, cells were harvested and luciferase activity was measured and normalized to β-galactosidase activity. Experiments were performed three times in duplicate.

**Glutathione S-transferase (GST)-pull down assay**

The indicated GST-fusion proteins or GST protein alone were expressed in Escherichia coli BL21 (DE3) pLys cultures by adding 0.2 mM isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG), and the cells were extracted. GST-fusion proteins were pre-bound with a 30 μl aliquot of glutathione-Sepharose beads. The beads were incubated with transcribed and translated [35S]methionine-labeled proteins for 3–4 h at 4°C. The beads were then washed three times with the washing buffer, and analyzed by SDS–PAGE and protein bands were visualized by using a phosphorimaging analyzer. Translated proteins in vitro (10%) were used as input.

**In vitro translation**

ERRγ and ERRγ ΔAF-2 were transcribed and translated in vitro using a coupled rabbit reticulocyte system (Promega Corp., Madison, WI) in the presence of [35S]methionine according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The translated proteins were analyzed by 10% SDS–PAGE and visualized by autoradiography.

**Yeast two-hybrid interaction assay**

Yeast two-hybrid interaction assays were performed as described previously (22). Briefly, LexA only or LexA fused plasmids, and B42 only or B42 fused plasmids, were transformed into Saccharomyces cerevisiae EGY48, containing the galactosidase reporter plasmid 8H18-34, and
transformants were selected on plates with the appropriate selection markers. The galactosidase assay on plates was carried out as described previously (22).

**Gel mobility shift assays**

Double-stranded ERRE oligonucleotides were labeled by filling-in with [α-32P]dCTP, using the Klenow fragment of DNA polymerase I. The oligonucleotide sequences are depicted in Figure 3A. Bacterially expressed GST only and GST fused ERRγ were purified using GST-Sepharose beads (Amersham Bioscience, Inc.). Gel mobility shift assays (20 μl) contained 10 mM Tris (pH 8.0), 40 mM KCl, 0.05% Nonidet P-40, 6% glycerol, 1 mM DTT, and 1 μg of poly (dl-dC). Either 2 μg of GST only, or GST fused ERRγ purified proteins, were used in each reaction. Competitor oligonucleotides were included at a 50- to 100-fold molar excess as indicated in the Figure legends. After 15 min incubation on ice, 10,000 c.p.m. of labeled oligonucleotide probe was added and incubation was continued for another 15 min.

**ChIP assay**

The ChIP assay was performed according to the instructions of the ChIP Assay Kit (Upstate, USA). 293T cells were transfected with 1 μg of pcDNA3 HA-ERRγ or pcDNA3 HA and pGL3 −467 bp mDAX-1 using Effectene reagent (Qiagen Inc., Germany). The cells were fixed with formaldehyde 48 h after transfection and harvested. For immunoprecipitation, anti-HA antibody (Roche Molecular Biologicals) was used. Final DNA extractions were amplified using pairs of primers that cover the ERR response region within the mDAX-1 promoter (nt −350 to −100 bp). The primers used for PCR were as follows: 5′-AAACGAAAT AAAGTAAAGCG-3′ (Forward) and 5′-GCGGTTCCGCCTCCTCTTCTGGGA-5′ (Reverse). MCF-7 cells (Figure 5C) were transfected with 1 μg of pcDNA3 HA-ERRγ or pcDNA3 HA-ERRγ. Soluble chromatin was immunoprecipitated with anti-HA antibody. Final DNA extractions were amplified by 30 cycles of PCR using pairs of primers that cover the ERR response region within the hDAX-1 promoter (nt −260 to +6 bp). The primers used for PCR were as follows: 5′-CAG CAT CCA GCC CGC CGC TCT CC-3′ (Forward) and 5′-TTC TGC CCA GTG GCT TCC TGG-5′ (Reverse).

**Preparation of recombinant adenovirus**

The recombinant adenovirus was prepared as described previously (24). In brief, the cDNA encoding ERRγ was cloned into a pAd-YC2 shuttle vector, which (under the control of the cytomegalovirus promoter) contains a bovine growth hormone polyadenylation signal sequence. For homologous recombination, a pAd-YC2 shuttle vector (5 μg) and a rescue vector, pJM17 (5 μg), were co-transfected into human embryonic kidney 293 cells. To purify pure plaques, cell culture supernatant was serially diluted into serum-free media and incubated with 293 cells at 37°C for 1 h. An equal volume mixture of 2× medium and 1% agarose was overlaid on the 293 cells. After 7 days, plaques that were well isolated were purified further and propagated in 293 cells and screened by PCR, using upstream primers derived from the cytomegalovirus promoter, and downstream primers from the bovine growth hormone polyadenylation sequence. Then, the recombinants were amplified in 293 cells and were purified and isolated using CsCl2 (Sigma). The preparations were collected and desalted, and titers were determined by counting the number of plaques.

**Immunohistochemistry**

Immunohistochemical analysis was performed with nine human breast cancer tissues. Three sections were cut from the paraffin blocks, deparaffinized antigen retrieval by pressure cooker in 10 mM sodium citrate (pH 6.0) at full power for 4 min. The primary antibodies used were rabbit polyclonal anti-ERRγ (Abcam, 1:50) and anti-DAX-1 (Santa Cruz, 1:150). Immunohistochemistry was performed using an EnVision kit (DAKO, Carpinteria, CA). After preincubation with blocking serum for 15 min, the primary polyclonal antibody for ERRγ or DAX-1 was added and incubated for 30 min in a humid chamber followed by a wash with TBS buffer. Slides were then incubated for 30 min with EnVision peroxidase reagent (DAKO, Carpinteria, CA).

The slides were then sequentially incubated with DAB (3,3-diaminobenzidine) chromogen for 5 min, counterstained with Meyer’s hematoxylin and mounted. Careful rinses with several changes of TBS were performed between each stage of the procedure. To assess the specificity of the immunoreaction, a negative control without the primary antibody or with pre-immune rabbit serum was performed.

**RT–PCR analysis**

MCF-7 cells were infected with an adenovirus containing the ERRγ gene (100 pfu/cells). After viral infection for 24 h, cells were harvested for total RNA isolation using the TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen Life Technologies) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The mRNAs of ERRγ and DAX-1 were analyzed by RT–PCR. First-strand cDNA was synthesized from 1 μg of total RNA utilizing an anchored oligo(dT) primers and reverse transcriptase. The resulting first-strand cDNA was then amplified to measure mRNA levels of ERRγ, DAX-1 and β-actin by realizing 28, 28 and 23 cycles of PCR using specific primers, respectively. The mRNA levels of β-actin served as an internal control for the RT–PCR analysis. The primers used for PCR of ERRγ, DAX-1 and β-actin were as follows: ERRγ, forward 5′-GACTTGACTGCCACA-CCTCTC-3′ and reverse 5′-GTGGTACCCAGAAGCGATG-T-3′; DAX-1, forward 5′-CCGCTTGCAGTTCGAGTCTG-3′ and reverse 5′-CCTCATGGTGACTGCACTACTG-3′; β-actin, forward 5′-GTCATACGCTTGGGAATGAG and reverse 5′-CTGAAAAAGGCGATGAGTGC.
RESULTS

Identification of ERRγ as a transcriptional activator of the DAX-1 promoter

SF-1 has been identified as an activator of the DAX-1 promoter (7, 8). Since SFRE is also a DNA-binding site for ERRγ, we speculated that an ERR response element (ERRE) exists in the DAX-1 promoter. As shown in Figure 1A, two ERREs were located in the mDAX-1 promoter; ERRE1 (−129 to −121 bp), which was previously identified as an SF-1RE, and a new ERRE2 (−334 to −326 bp). Interestingly, ERRE1 is conserved in both mouse and human promoters, whereas ERRE2 only exists in the mouse promoter (Figure 1B).

In order to determine whether ERRs regulate the DAX-1 promoter, we performed transient transfection assays using the luciferase reporter gene driven by a −467 bp region from mouse DAX-1 promoter. As shown in Figure 2A and B, there was strong activation by ERRγ in 293T cells and NIH3T3 cells, whereas ERRα and ERRβ did not demonstrate any significant effect. As expected, SF-1, the positive control for the transfection assays, activated the DAX-1 gene promoter significantly. These results indicated that the DAX-1 gene is a specific target of ERRγ, but not of ERRα or ERRβ. In order to investigate the sequences that were required for ERRγ mediated activation, a series of 5′ deletions of the DAX-1 promoter were constructed, as shown in Figure 2C. Since the DAX-1 promoter contains two ERREs, we designed deletion constructs both with and without an ERRE. Activation by ERRγ was decreased when the DAX-1 promoter was deleted up to −325 bp, as demonstrated in Figure 2D. Furthermore, the activation of the DAX-1 promoter by ERRγ was almost lost with the −121 bp DAX-1 construct. These results suggest that ERREs are required for ERRγ response.
Determination of ERRγ binding regions

In order to clarify the specific binding of ERRγ to ERREs, gel mobility shift assays were performed. As demonstrated in Figure 3A, we designed both wild-type and mutant probes that corresponded to ERRE1 and ERRE2. Previously, the TNAAGGTCA sequence was shown to be a bona fide ERRE. Within the sequence, the GG dimer is pivotal for binding.
ERRγ protein binding. Thus, we substituted GG for the TT sequence. Figure 3B demonstrates that ERRγ formed specific complexes with ERRE1 and ERRE2; a 50- or 100-fold molar excess of unlabeled ERRE1 and ERRE2 competed strongly with the DNA–protein complex. In order to verify that ERRγ binds to the DAX-1 promoter in vivo, we performed a ChIP assay using PCR primers that flanked both ERRE1 and ERRE2 (−350 to −100 bp). 293T cells were transfected with expression vectors for HA epitope alone or HA-ERRγ with −467 bp DAX-1-Luc. Soluble chromatin from these cells was prepared and immunoprecipitated with monoclonal antibody against HA (lanes 3 and 4). The −350~−100 bp fragment (250 bp) contains the ERRγ binding site and 10% of the soluble chromatin used in the reaction was used as input (lanes 1 and 2). PCR was performed as described in Figure 3C.

ERR1 (−129 to −121 bp) is essential for transactivation of the DAX-1 promoter by ERRγ

In order to verify the functional significance of ERRγ binding sites in the DAX-1 promoter, site-directed mutagenesis was performed on the −467 bp DAX-1 promoter using the primers as shown in Figure 4A. Wild-type −467 bp/Luc and several mutant constructs were transiently transfected with ERRγ into 293T cells. The ERR1 mutation (mtERRE1/Luc) and double ERR1 and ERR2 mutations (mtERRE1&2/Luc)
completely abolished the ERRγ-mediated transactivation of the DAX-1 promoter whereas the ERRE2 mutation (mtERRE2/Luc) had a slight effect (Figure 4B). These results indicated that ERRE1, rather than ERRE2, was essential for the activation of the mDAX-1 promoter by ERRγ. 293T cells were co-transfected with 200 ng of wild-type or mutant mDAX-1 promoter constructs together with 200 ng of ERRγ expression plasmid. Two days after transfection, cells were harvested for luciferase and β-galactosidase assays. The representative results are expressed as fold activation (n-fold) over the value obtained with vector alone with the error bars as indicated.

Figure 4. The ERRE1 (−129 to −121 bp) plays a crucial role in the transactivation of the mDAX-1 promoter by ERRγ. (A) Schematic diagrams of wild-type and mutant mDAX-1 promoter constructs from −467 to +43 bp as indicated. The putative ERRγ binding sites are indicated as ERRE1 and ERRE2. (B) ERRE1 is essential for the activation of the mDAX-1 promoter by ERRγ. 293T cells were co-transfected with 200 ng of wild-type or mutant mDAX-1 promoter constructs together with 200 ng of ERRγ expression plasmid. Two days after transfection, cells were harvested for luciferase and β-galactosidase assays. The representative results are expressed as fold activation (n-fold) over the value obtained with vector alone with the error bars as indicated.

Induction of DAX-1 gene expression by ERRγ in breast cancer

Previous reports have demonstrated that ERRγ is a favorable biomarker and, possibly, an indicator of hormonal sensitivity in breast cancer. In addition, DAX-1 has also been detected in breast cancer tissue (25,26). In order to investigate whether ERRγ can directly regulate DAX-1 gene expression in breast cancer cells, we introduced ERRγ by adenovirus infection into MCF-7 cells. As demonstrated in Figure 5A, a basal expression of DAX-1 and ERRγ was detected in MCF-7 cells, and DAX-1 mRNA was induced by overexpression of Ad-ERRγ 24 h after infection. Since ERRγ is basally expressed in MCF-7 cells, we further tested whether DAX-1 gene expression is down-regulated by performing knock-down of endogenous ERRγ expression using small interfering RNA (si-ERRγ/I or II) in MCF-7 cells. si-ERRγ/I, but not si-ERRγ/II significantly reduced endogenous ERRγ expression (Figure 5B). DAX-1 mRNA level was decreased by knock-down of ERRγ by si-ERRγ/I, but not by si-ERRγ/II, suggesting that endogenous ERRγ can activate DAX-1 expression. In addition, CHIP assays were performed to confirm the direct binding of ERRγ to the endogenous DAX-1 promoter in MCF-7 cells. As shown in Figure 5C, ERRγ can bind to the
DAX-1 promoter in MCF-7 cells (Figure 5C). Next, we analyzed for co-expression of DAX-1 and ERRγ in human breast cancer tissues, using immunohistochemistry (Figure 5D). Nuclear immunoreactivity of DAX-1 was detected in breast cancer tissues. The overexpression of ERRγ was observed in three out of nine cases. All of the ERRγ-positive cancers co-expressed the DAX-1 protein (Figure 5D, upper part). Two cancer tissues that were DAX-1-negative were also negative for ERRγ. No staining was observed in the negative controls using either pre-immune rabbit serum or by omitting the primary antibody (data not shown). These results suggest that ERRγ can stimulate DAX-1 gene expression in human breast cancer cells.

**DAX-1 represses ERRγ transactivation of its own promoter**

DAX-1 interacts with several orphan NRs (ER, AR, LRH-1, SF-1 and Nur77) and represses their transcriptional activity
We investigated whether DAX-1 can also repress ERRγ mediated transactivation of its own promoter. The results of transient transfections demonstrated that DAX-1 inhibits ERRγ activity of the DAX-1 promoter in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 6A). Since ERRγ also activates the SHP promoter, and SHP represses ERRγ transactivation of its own promoter, we examined whether DAX-1 represses the ERRγ-mediated transactivation of the SHP promoter or vice versa. As demonstrated in Figure 6B and C, DAX-1 and SHP repressed ERRγ transactivation of both the SHP promoter and the DAX-1 promoter. These results suggest that both DAX-1 and SHP are regulated by ERRγ and repress the transcriptional activity of ERRγ through an autoregulatory loop. Since Figure 6A shows that DAX-1 repressed the transcriptional activity of ERRγ, we examined whether DAX-1 can directly interact with ERRγ, by using a GST-pull down and yeast two-hybrid interaction assays. As demonstrated in Figure 7A and B, DAX-1 interacted with ERRγ. Since repression or activation is dependent on the AF-2 domain, we deleted this domain in ERRγ. As expected, DAX-1 could not interact with ERRγ ΔAF-2, which demonstrates that the AF-2 domain of ERRγ is crucial for the repressive effect of DAX-1 (Figure 7A). In the case of DAX-1, an LBD-like region was involved in the interaction with ERRγ (Figure 7B). These results suggest that DAX-1 interacts directly with the AF-2 surface of ERRγ.

Since the AF-2 surface of ERRγ is involved in the interaction with DAX-1, we explored the role of coactivator competition in the repression of ERRγ activity. As demonstrated in Figure 8A, PGC-1α, which was described previously as a coactivator of ERRγ, significantly increased the activity of ERRγ on the DAX-1 promoter and the co-expression of DAX-1 with PGC-1α repressed this induction in a dose-dependent manner. This result suggests that both PGC-1α and DAX-1 compete for the binding of the AF-2 pocket of ERRγ.

Figure 6. DAX-1 represses ERRγ activity. (A–C) 293T cells were co-transfected with 200 ng of mDAX-1 (−467 bp)-Luc, mSHP (−2.2 kb)-Luc, 300 ng of ERRγ, and increasing amounts of DAX-1 and SHP as indicated in the figure. The luciferase activities were measured and normalized against β-galactosidase activity. One representative experiment is shown, and similar results were obtained from at least three independent experiments.
Figure 7. ERRγ physically interacts with DAX-1. (A) GST only or GST fused to DAX-1 was isolated from bacterial culture and immobilized on glutathione-Sepharose beads. In vitro transcribed and translated [35S]methionine-labeled ERRγ or ERRγ ΔAF-2 was incubated with purified GST fused receptors or GST alone as indicated in the figure. The complexes were resolved by a 12% denaturing PAGE, and analyzed by autoradiography. (B) A yeast strain EGY48 which contains an integrated β-galactosidase reporter gene controlled by the LexA-binding site was transformed with the indicated LexA and B42 plasmids. The transformants were selected on plates containing appropriate selection markers, and assayed for β-galactosidase activity. The results shown are the mean β-galactosidase values from six independent transformant colonies.

Figure 8. DAX-1 represses PGC-1α-enhanced transactivity of ERRγ by interfering with PGC-1α binding to ERRγ. (A) 293T cells were co-transfected with 200 ng of mDAX-1 (-467 bp)-Luc, the indicated concentrations of PGC-1α and ERRγ, and increasing doses of DAX-1 as indicated in the figure. The luciferase activities were measured and normalized against β-galactosidase activity. One representative experiment is shown, and similar results were obtained from at least three independent experiments. (B) GST only or GST fused to ERRγ was isolated from bacterial cultures and immobilized on glutathione-Sepharose beads. In vitro transcribed and translated [35S]methionine-labeled PGC-1α and unlabeled DAX-1 (3 and 6 μl) were incubated with purified GST fused receptors or GST alone as indicated in the Figure. The interaction complexes were resolved by 12% denaturing PAGE, and the gels analyzed by autoradiography.
ERRγ, as demonstrated for other receptors. In order to confirm the direct competition between DAX-1 and PGC-1α for binding to ERRγ, we performed in vitro competition binding assays, using PGC-1α and DAX-1 with GST fused ERRγ. The results of this study confirmed the findings of a previous report (17). Specifically, 35S-labeled PGC-1α interacted with ERRγ, and DAX-1 inhibited the interaction between PGC-1α and ERRγ (Figure 8B). In addition, no interaction between DAX-1 and PGC-1α occurred. Taken together, these observations suggest that DAX-1 physically inhibits the transcriptional activity of ERRγ by competing with PGC-1α for interaction with ERRγ.

DISCUSSION

ERRγ is one of the newest members of the orphan nuclear receptor family. Therefore, the target and molecular mechanisms of ERRγ have not yet been clearly identified. In this study, we demonstrated that ERRγ acts as a potential regulator of DAX-1, which, in turn represses ERRγ at a molecular level. This suggests the existence of an autoregulatory loop.

Although the physiological role of DAX-1 has been clearly identified, regulation of the DAX-1 promoter is not fully understood. A previous report demonstrated that SF-1 regulates the DAX-1 promoter through SFRE (7,8). Even though several SFREs are located on the DAX-1 promoter, the functional property of SFRE on the DAX-1 promoter has been characterized only in the region between −129 and −121 bp, which is conserved in both mouse and human (7). As demonstrated in Figure 1, ERRE1 is identical to sequences previously identified as the SFRE. We also characterized a new ERRE2 that was not previously identified as an SF-1RE. Although both ERRE1 and ERRE2 are required for the binding of ERRγ, the data from mutation analysis suggest that ERRE1 is more responsible for ERRγ transactivation than ERRE2 (Figures 3 and 4). In the human DAX-1 promoter, only ERRE1 is present. However, SF-1 can still activate the DAX-1 promoter. This demonstrates that ERRE1 is critical for transactivation (7). Although all three members (α, β and γ) of the ERR family bound to the same DNA response element (data not shown), only ERRγ was able to activate the DAX-1 promoter (Figure 2). This suggests that DNA-binding is not completely responsible for transactivation.

The basal transcriptional activity of ERRα and β is relatively low compared to the high activity of ERRγ. This suggests that the basal transcriptional mechanism governing ERRα and β may be distinct from that of ERRγ. A previous report demonstrated that ERRγ is constitutively active, because ERRγ forms a complex with coactivators, such as SRC-1 (28). It has been suggested that, depending on the specific co-activator recruitment, transcriptional activity can be very different. Furthermore, since NRs can differentially modulate target promoters through the recruitment of different coregulators (29,30), ERRγ may regulate target promoters, such as DAX-1, in a distinctly unique manner, compared to ERRα and β. Further investigation is necessary to determine whether ERRγ regulation of target promoters differs with co-regulator recruitment.

Until recently, the ligand for ERRγ was unknown, although 4-OHT was reported to be an inverse agonist of ERRγ (14,15). Despite a recent report demonstrating that phenolic acyl hydrazone is a selective agonist of ERRβ and ERRγ, further study will be required to prove that phenolic acyl hydrazone is a bona fide activator of ERRβ and γ (31). 4-OHT is widely used in the treatment of breast cancer and deactivates ERRγ which was proposed as a favorable biomarker in human breast cancer (25). As demonstrated in Figure 5, both ERRγ and DAX-1 are expressed in breast cancer cells and tissues. Furthermore, ERRγ regulated the gene expression of DAX-1 in a positive manner, demonstrating that the DAX-1 promoter is a potential target of ERRγ in vivo. Although the function of ERRγ and DAX-1 in breast cancer is not completely understood, we speculate that there is both a positive and negative relationship between DAX-1 and ERRγ, since DAX-1 acts as both a corepressor of ER and ERRγ and as a regulator of the DAX-1 promoter. Recent reports indicated that ERRγ regulates the ERRα promoter via a conserved hormone response element and epidermal growth factor signaling which affects ERRα transactivation in breast cancer cells (32,33). Since ERRα, ERRγ and PGC-1α are co-expressed in breast cancer, their physiological function may be significantly correlated in breast cancer tissues.

It has been reported that DAX-1 interacts directly with various NRs and represses their transcriptional activity (4–6, 21,27). Previous studies have demonstrated that DAX-1 uses its N-terminal repeating region, defined as the LXXLL-containing interaction domain, for interaction with NRs (6). In this study, we demonstrated that the LBD-like region of DAX-1 interacts with ERRγ. We also found that DAX-1 interacts with ERRα and β (data not shown). The AF-2 domain of ERRγ was crucial for the interaction with DAX-1 and acted as a binding surface for competition with the coactivator PGC-1α (Figure 7). Depending on the binding region of the nuclear receptor with the corepressor, the repressive mechanism of the corepressor can be quite different. When the DBD of the nuclear receptor is involved in interaction with the corepressor, the DNA-binding of the nuclear receptor is blocked by the corepressor (34). Inversely, if the AF-2 domain of the nuclear receptor interacts with the corepressor, the competition between coactivators and the corepressor occurs on the AF-2 surface of the nuclear receptor (35). In the case of ERRγ, the AF-2 domain interacts with DAX-1 and acts as a binding surface for interaction with the coactivator PGC-1α (Figure 8).

Previously, we reported that the SHP promoter is a target of ERR (16). In the current study, we suggest that DAX-1 is a target of ERRγ. As demonstrated in Figure 6, DAX-1 repressed the transcriptional activity of ERRγ on the DAX-1 and SHP promoters. In addition, SHP also inhibited ERRγ on the DAX-1 and SHP promoters. It is very interesting that ERRγ regulates corepressor proteins, such DAX-1 and SHP, which act as repression modulators. Although both SHP and DAX-1 are involved in the regulation of the corepressor-mediated transactivation of NRs, their physiological functions are quite different from each other. While DAX-1 plays a role in steroidogenic tissues, SHP functions mainly in the liver (1). We demonstrated that there is a correlation between ERRγ and DAX-1 in breast cancer cells (Figure 5). In the case of SHP, we confirmed using adenovirus that ERRγ activated SHP gene expression in the pancreas, but not in the liver (data not shown). This demonstrated that tissue-specific target gene
expression is modulated by ERRγ. DAX-1 is also differentially regulated by ERRγ, depending on the target tissue. The modulation of DAX-1 and SHP by ERRγ in vivo needs to be examined further, in order to identify the physiological roles of ERRγ, SHP and DAX-1. Collectively, as depicted in Figure 9, we hypothesize that ERRγ activates the DAX-1 promoter, which in turn inhibits ERRγ through coactivator competition. In this study, we demonstrated that DAX-1 is a potential target of ERRγ. Our study provides a new insight into understanding the basic mechanisms of DAX-1 and ERRγ, and is expected to provide clues for the identification of the functions of ERRγ.
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