Schisandra henryi C. B. Clarke in vitro cultures: a promising tool for the production of lignans and phenolic compounds
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Abstract
We initiated and optimized in vitro culture conditions of the endemic Chinese plant species—Schisandra henryi C. B. Clarke. Different types of in vitro solid cultures (microshoot and callus), cultivation periods (10, 20, and 30 days), and selected concentrations of BA, IBA, GA3 (0 to 3 mg/l) in the Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium were tested. The presence of dibenzocyclooctadiene lignans (schisandrin, gomisin G, schisantherin A and B, deoxyschisandrin and schisandrin C), dibenzylbutane lignans (hernicine B), aryltetralin lignans (wulignan A1 and A2, epiwulignan A1, enshicine, epienshicine and dimethylwulignan A1), and triterpenoids: kadsuric acid and schisanhenric acid was confirmed by UHPLC–MS/MS analysis. Using HPLC–DAD, the qualitative and quantitative profiles of dibenzocyclooctadiene lignans, phenolic acids and flavonoids in methanolic extracts from biomass were estimated. The maximum total amounts of these groups of metabolites were 873.71, 840.89 and 421.98 mg/100 g DW, respectively. The main compounds were: schisantherin B (max. 622.59 mg/100 g DW), schisantherin A (max. 143.74 mg/100 g DW), neochlorogenic acid (max. 472.82 mg/100 g DW), caftaric acid (max. 370.81 mg/100 g DW), trifolin (max. 138.56 mg/100 g DW) and quercitrin (max. 122.54 mg/100 g DW). The highest total amounts of secondary metabolites estimated in the extracts from in vitro cultures were, respectively, 13.0, 7.0, and 1.4 times higher than in the leaf extracts analyzed for comparison. This is the first report on the biosynthetic potential of cells from Schisandra henryi in vitro cultures.

Key message
The initiation, broad optimization and comprehensive phytochemical studies of lignans, phenolic acids and flavonoids in the extracts from Schisandra henryi microshoot and callus in vitro cultures were elaborated for the first time.
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Introduction
Schisandra henryi C. B. Clarke (yi geng wu wei zi)—Schisandraceae—is an endemic plant species native to the Yunnan Province of China (Saunders 2000; Szopa et al. 2019a). The medicinal properties of fruit extracts of this species are widely known in the traditional Chinese medicine (TCM) (Chen et al. 2010).

The most famous medicinal representative of the Schisandraceae family is Schisandra chinensis, which occupies an important position in the official East-Asian medicine, but also in North American and European phytopharmacy (World Health Organization 2007; European Directorate for the Quality of Medicines 2008; Upton et al. 2011; European Directorate for the Quality of Medicines 2017).
Taking into account this position of *S. chinensis* in world medicine and chemotaxonomical indications, we deemed it interesting to establish in vitro cultures of *S. henryi* and evaluate their biosynthetic possibilities.

In different types of in vitro cultures of *S. chinensis* and its cultivar—*S. chinensis* cv. Sadova we have previously found high amounts of bioactive dibenzocyclooctadiene lignans (“*Schisandra chinensis* lignans”) and, additionally, other groups of polyphenols—phenolic acids and flavonoids (Chen et al. 2020; Szopa et al. 2016, 2017b, 2018b, 2020; Liu et al. 2020). These three groups of metabolites are the most valuable antioxidants, also known because of their anticancer, immunostimulant, anti-inflammatory, hepatoprotective, cardioprotective, anticoagulant, antimicrobial, and other valuable biological activities (Nijveldt et al. 2001; Heleno et al. 2015; Szopa et al. 2017a; He et al. 2020).

According to the available literature, the chemical composition of *S. henryi* is partly similar to that of *S. chinensis*, being dominated by dibenzocyclooctadiene lignans (Szopa et al. 2019a). The *S. henryi* dibenzocyclooctadiene lignans include: schisantherin A and schisantherin B, deoxyxyschisandrin, epischisandron, schisandrin, gomisin G and benzoylgomisin Q (Chen et al. 2005; Iu et al. 2009). Importantly, extracts of *S. henryi* fruit also contain other compounds from the group of tetrahydrofuran and aryltetralin lignans, which have been confirmed in shoot and leaf extracts (Liu et al. 1988; Li et al. 2004; Iu et al. 2009; Christophe 2013). The tetrahydrofuran lignans estimated in stems include gan-schisandrin (Jian-min et al. 1989), and, identified as unique to this species, dibenzylbutane lignans: hernicine A and B (Iu et al. 2009). The group of aryltetralin lignans includes compounds that have been identified in stems—wulignan A1, wulignan A2, dimethylwulignan A1 and epiwulignan A (Liu et al. 1988), and in shoots—enshicine and epenshicine (Liu et al. 1988; Chen et al. 2003a). The second characteristic group of secondary metabolites found in *S. henryi* are triterpenoids (Chen et al. 2003a, 2010; Li et al. 2004).

Regarding the biological properties of *S. henryi*, they relate only to the cytotoxic activity of this plant species as the source of medicinal raw material (Chen et al. 2005; Wiart 2013).

Plant biotechnology creates valuable potential alternatives for the in vitro study of valuable, medicinal plants (Hammond et al. 2000; Verpoorte et al. 2002). However, there are no publications describing biotechnological studies with *S. henryi*, making the subject of our work relevant and topical.

The major purpose of this research work is to gain new knowledge on the initiation, optimization, and metabolomic profile of *S. henryi* in vitro cultures. Thus, we tested different types of agar cultures with regard to the degree of differentiation—microshoot and callus, different durations of growth periods: 10, 20, 30 days, and different concentrations of plant growth regulators (PGRs): BA (6-benzyladenine), IBA (indole-3-butyric acid), and GA3 (gibberellic acid), in concentrations from 0 to 3 mg/l, in the Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium (Murashige and Skoog 1962). With the use of UHPLC–MS/MS and HPLC–DAD methods, we estimated the qualitative and quantitative metabolomic profiles of lignans, triterpenoids, phenolic acids and flavonoids in extracts from biomass from in vitro cultures, as well as in extracts from leaves of the parent plant.

**Materials and methods**

**Parent plant material**

Parent plant materials were obtained from the company “Clematis” (Pruszków, Poland) (https://www.clematis.com.pl/), who provided leaves of *S. henryi* male specimens for in vitro cultures, that were identified by Dr Szczepan Marczyński (the owner of Clematis arboretum). Leaves from a *S. henryi* parent plant were harvested in May and September of 2016, and were air-dried at 25–30 °C.

**Initiation of in vitro cultures**

Leaf buds to initiate in vitro cultures were collected in the spring of 2016 from a male specimen of *Schisandra henryi* C. B. Clarke from “Clematis” (Pruszków, Poland) arboretum. They were degreased with 70% ethanol (30 s) and then subjected to further sterilization with HgCl2 (mercury chloride II) at 0.1% for 7 min. Sterile buds were rinsed with sterile redistilled water and transferred to Murashige and Skoog (1962; MS) agar medium enriched with 1 mg/l BA (6-benzyladenine) and 0.5 mg/l NAA (1-naphthaleneacetic acid) as PGRs (Bhojwani and Razdan 1989; Mohan 2016).

**Experimental microshoot and callus cultures**

The study involved cultivation of agar microshoot and callus cultures on MS medium with 3% (w/v) sucrose and 0.72% (w/v) agar (plant agar, Duchefa). Cultures were maintained at 25 ± 2 °C, under continuous artificial illumination of LED white light, with a photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD) of 40 µmol m–2 s–1, and subcultured at 30-day intervals.

Durations of 10-, 20- and 30-day growth cycles (3 series) were tested for both types of cultures. The growth index (Gi) was calculated on the basis of weight of dry tissue according to formula: Gi = (DW1 − DW0)/DW0: where DW0 was the weight of inoculum and DW1 the final weight of tissue after culture growth period.

Agar microshoot cultures were grown in plant tissue-dedicated glass containers (Sigma-Aldrich No. V8630). For
the experiment, 0.5 g of inoculum per vessel was used. For microshoot cultures, media differed in the concentration of BA, IBA (indole-3-butyric acid), and GA
3 (gibberellic acid) (mg/l): 1, 1 and 0 (variant A), 1, 1 and 0.25 (variant B), 0.25, 2 and 0 (variant C), 0.25, 3 and 0 (variant D), 2, 1 and 0 (variant E), 0.5, 2 and 0 (variant F), respectively. For callus cultures, a single MS medium was tested with 1 mg/l BA and 1 mg/l IBA (i.e. variant A), as callus cultures were proved to contain much lower amounts of the lignans estimated (Bhojwani and Razdan 1989; Mohan 2016).

**Chromatographic analyses**

To prepare methanolic extracts, samples of dry biomass powder were weighed as follows: 0.2 g each (harvested after 10 days—microshoot cultures), 0.3 g (harvested after 20 and 30 days—microshoot cultures) and 1 g (harvested after 10, 20 and 30 days—callus cultures) (3 samples in 3 replications). The material was subjected to extraction with methanol (5 ml—microshoot cultures, 8 ml—callus cultures collected after 10 days, 10 ml—callus cultures collected after 20 days, and 8 ml—callus cultures collected after 30 days) (purity for HPLC analysis by Merck, Germany). The extraction was carried out in an ultrasonic bath (Sonic-2, POL-SONIC, Poland; ultrasonic power 2 × 100 W, 40 kHz, water bath dimensions 150 × 135 × 100 mm, 1.6 l volume) twice for 30 min. The extracts were centrifuged in a centrifuge (MPW Med. Instruments, Poland, model—Centrifuge MPW-223E) at 4000 rpm for 5 min. The extracts were then filtered through sterile syringe filters (Millex®GP, Millipore, Merck, Germany, 0.22 µm Filter Unit) into vials for HPLC analyses by Merck, Germany. The injection volume was 10 µl and the compounds of interest were detected at 254 nm. Identification and quantification were performed by comparison with standards, based on retention times and calibration curves. The following standards of lignans were applied: schisandrin, gomisin A, gomisin G, schisantherin A, schisantherin B, schisandrol, deoxy-schisandrin, γ-schisandrin, schisandrin C.

Qualitative and quantitative analyses of phenolic acids and flavonoids in the methanolic extracts were performed by a validated method (Ellnain-Wojtaszek and Zgórka 1999; Sułkowska-Ziaja et al. 2017), using a Merck-Hitachi liquid chromatograph (LaChrom Elite) with a DAD L-2455 detector (HPLC–DAD). Separation was conducted on Purospher star 10 SCOD column (250 × 4 mm; 5 µm, Merck, Germany) column. The mobile phase consisted of A—methanol, 0.5% acetic acid 1:4 and B—methanol (v/v), in gradient program. The flow rate was 1 ml/min. and column temperature was set to 25 °C. The injection volume was 10 µl and the compounds of interest were detected at 254 nm. Identification and quantification were performed by comparison with standards, based on retention times and calibration curves. The following standards of phenolic acids and their precursors were applied: benzoic, 3,4-dihydroxyphenylacetic, ellagic, gallic, gentisic, p-hydroxybenzoic, protocatechueic, salicylic, syringic vanillic, cinnamic, caffeic, o-coumaric, m-coumaric, p-coumaric, ferulic, hydrocaffeic, isofuerlic, sinapic, castic, chlorogenic, cryptochlorogenic, isochlorogenic, neo-chlorogenic and rosmarinic acids (HPLC purity ≥ 98.0%, Sigma-Aldrich). The flavonoid standards included: vitexin, cyanaroside, quercitrin, rutoside, myricetin, hyperoside, quercitin, apigetrin, trifolin, quercetin, luteolin, kaempferol, kaempferol-7-rhamnoside (HPLC purity ≥ 95.0%, Sigma-Aldrich, USA).

**Statistical analysis**

All data are presented as means ± SD of four independent experiments and determinations. Asterisk (*) indicate significant differences between means (Student’s test n = 10, p < 0.05). Statistical analysis of the results was carried out using the Statistica 10 (StatSoft, USA) program.

**Results**

**Morphology and growth index**

Microshoots and callus tissue appeared from *S. henryi* leaf buds maintained on MS medium with 1 mg/l BA and 1 mg/l IBA after 30 days (Fig. S1). All tested MS medium variants had a significant impact on *S. henryi* microshoot multiplication via axillary bud development (Table S1).
The induction percentage of the new microshoots was relatively low (Table S1). The highest multiplication rate was obtained for the explants maintained on MS medium variant A (88%) and F (85%) (Table S1), where microshoots were also longer (2.25 and 2.12 cm, respectively) (Table S1).

The effects of PGRs (six MS medium variants: A–F for microshoots and A for callus cultures), and the duration of the growth cycle (three different cultivation periods—10, 20 and 30 days) on the growth and appearance of biomass were observed for both types of cultures (Fig. 1). The morphological appearance of microshoot cultures on the tested medium variants differed in each growth cycle. Microshoots growing on variants A and B were characterized by a significant number of microshoots, dark green in colour and strongly spread (Fig. 1). The effect of growth cycle duration on the appearance of microshoot cultures was also observed—periods of 20 and 30 days were the most favourable. The growth index (Gi) varied from 38.28% to 75.58% (Fig. 2). The highest Gi value was obtained for microshoots cultivated for 30 days on variant A of MS medium (Fig. 2a).

The appearance of callus culture biomass did not depend on the duration of the culture cycle. The undifferentiated tissue was light green, but after 30 days of growth browning of the biomass was documented (Fig. 1). An increase in callus tissue was observed with the extension of the growth cycle. The growth index (Gi) varied from 82.55% to 91.34%. The highest Gi value was obtained for callus cultivated for 30 days (Fig. 2b).

UHPLC–MS/MS qualitative analyses

The qualitative profiles of lignans from in vitro biomass extracts of all the tested cultures were the same. The UHPLC–MS/MS of methanolic extracts confirmed the presence of compounds from three groups of lignans and, additionally, two triterpenoid compounds were identified. The results of UHPLC–MS/MS analyses are summarized in Table S2. The presence of dibenzocyclooctadiene lignans (schisandrin, gomisin G, schisantherin A and B, deoxy-schisandrin and schisandrin C), dibenzylbutane lignans (hernicine B) and arylietralin lignans (wulignan A1 and A2, epiwulignan A1, enshicine, epienshicine and dimethylwulignan A1) was confirmed (Table S2) (Taguchi and Ikeya 1975; Ikeya et al. 1979a, b, 1980, 1982a, b, 1986, 1988, 1990; Jia-Sen et al. 1988; Liu et al. 1988; He et al. 1997; Deng et al. 2008; Wang et al. 2011; Yang et al. 2011). Moreover, kadsuric acid and schisanhenric acid, from the triterpenoids group, were confirmed by UHPLC–MS/MS analysis (Table S2) (Jia-Sen et al. 1980; Zou et al. 2015).

Production of dibenzocyclooctadiene lignans

Microshoot cultures

The effects of PGRs on lignan content were evident in agar-grown microshoots. In all extracts obtained from stationary cultures, 6 of the 9 tested dibenzocyclooctadiene lignans were detected—schisandrin, gomisin G, schisantherin A, schisantherin B, deoxy-schisandrin and schisandrin C (Tables 1 and S2–S5). The amounts of individual lignans varied depending on the duration of the growth cycle and the composition of PGRs used. The highest amounts were obtained for microshoots cultivated for 30 days on medium variant A (Table S2).

| Duration of growth periods | Microshoot cultures | Callus cultures |
|---------------------------|---------------------|----------------|
|                           | A                   | B              |
| 10 days                   | ![Image](image1.png) | ![Image](image2.png) |
| 20 days                   | ![Image](image3.png) | ![Image](image4.png) |
| 30 days                   | ![Image](image5.png) | ![Image](image6.png) |

* A – 1 mg/l BA and 1 mg/l IBA, B – 1 mg/l BA and 1 mg/l IBA and 0.25 mg/l GA3, C – 0.25 mg/l BA and 2 mg/l IBA, D – 0.25 mg/l BA and 3 mg/l IBA, E – 2 mg/l IBA and 1 mg/l IBA, F – 0.5 mg/l BA and 2 mg/l IBA

Fig. 1 Experimental *S. henryi* microshoot and callus cultures—the appearance of biomasses depending on the applied growth periods and the composition of PGR’s used.
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compounds varied dependent on the medium variant and duration of the growth period, ranging from 0.14 mg/100 g DW (deoxyschisandrin, MS variant C, 10 days) to 622.59 mg/100 g DW (schisantherin B, MS variant F, 10 days). In all analyzed samples, the quantitatively dominant compounds were: schisandrin, schisantherin A and schisantherin B. Their amounts varied from 6.53 mg/100 g DW (MS variant B, 30 days) to 61.24 mg/100 g DW (MS variant F, 10 days), from 31.50 mg/100 g DW (MS variant D, 30 days) to 143.74 mg/100 g DW (MS variant F, 10 days), and from 140.21 mg/100 g DW (MS variant D, 30 days) to 622.59 mg/100 g DW (variant F, 10 days), respectively (Tables 1 and S3–S5, Fig. 3a).

Callus cultures

In all extracts obtained from callus cultures, the same 6 of the 9 tested dibenzocyclooctadiene lignans as in microshoot cultures were detected (Tables 2 and S6). The amounts of individual compounds also depended on the...
duration of the growth period, ranging from 0.20 mg/100 g DW (deoxyschisandrin, 30 days) to 17.49 mg/100 g DW (schisantherin B, 20 days). The quantitatively main compounds in all the tested samples were: schisantherin B, schisandrin and schisantherin A. Their amounts varying from 0.46 mg/100 g DW (10 days) to 17.49 mg/100 g DW (20 days), from 1.24 mg/100 g DW (10 days) to 10.25 mg/100 g DW (20 days), and from 0.29 mg/100 DW (10 days) to 7.29 mg/100 g DW (30 days), respectively (Tables 2 and S6, Fig. 3b).
The obtained total amounts of dibenzocyclooctadiene lignans depended on the duration of the growth cycle. The lowest total amount (9.19 mg/100 g DW) was confirmed for callus biomass collected after 10 days of growth. The highest total amount of lignans (43.19 mg/100 g DW) was recorded for extracts from callus cultures harvested after 20 days of cultivation (Tables 2 and S6, Fig. S3a).

**Parent plant material**

The same 6 out of 9 tested dibenzocyclooctadiene lignans as in the in vitro cultures were present in extracts from the leaves of the *S. henryi* parent plant: schisandrin, gomisin G, schisantherin A, schisantherin B, deoxyschisandrin, schisandrin C (Table 3, Fig. 3c). The quantitatively dominant individual compounds were: schisantherin B (48.99 mg/100 g DW, leaves harvested in May), schisandrin (11.25 mg/100 g DW, leaves harvested in September) and schisantherin A (4.75 mg/100 g DW, leaves harvested in May). The total amounts of lignans in the leaf extracts were 66.76 mg/100 g DW for the leaves harvested in May, and 56.83 mg/100 g DW for those harvested in September (Table 3, Fig. 3c).

**Production of phenolic acids**

**Microshoot cultures**

The effects of PGRs and duration of growth period on the yield of phenolic acid production were evident. In all extracts from microshoot cultures, 7 of the 24 tested compounds were detected—gallic, neochlorogenic, caftaric, chlorogenic, caffeic, syringic and vanillic acids (Tables 1 and S7–S9). The amounts of individual compounds varied, ranging from 0.19 mg/100 g DW (vanillic acid, MS variant E, 10 days) to 472.82 mg/100 g DW (neochlorogenic acid, MS variant B, 30 days) (Tables 1 and S7–S9, Fig. 3b). The quantitatively main compounds in all tested samples

---

**Table 1** Comparison of the contents (mg/100 g DW ± SD) of dibenzocyclooctadiene lignans, phenolic acids and flavonoids in *S. henryi* microshoot cultures

| Compounds       | Minimal–maximal content (mg/100 g DW ± SD) | Fold increase in content | Variant of MS medium* | Optimal growth period (days) | Gi index (%) |
|-----------------|-------------------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|--------------|
| Lignans         |                                           |                          |                       |                              |              |
| Schisandrin     | 6.53 ± 0.06 to 61.24 ± 0.23*              | 9.37                     | F                     | 10                           | 47.61        |
| Gomisin G       | 3.61 ± 3.61 to 18.20 ± 0.18*              | 5.04                     | C                     | 30                           | 73.14        |
| Schisantherin A | 31.50 ± 0.25 to 143.74 ± 0.43*            | 4.56                     | F                     | 10                           | 47.61        |
| Schisantherin B | 140.21 ± 0.36 to 622.59 ± 0.57*           | 4.44                     | F                     | 10                           | 47.61        |
| Deoxyschisandrin| 0.14 ± 0.06 to 1.03 ± 0.18*              | 7.36                     | A                     | 10                           | 38.28        |
| Schisandrin C   | 3.27 ± 0.07 to 28.61 ± 0.23*              | 8.75                     | F                     | 10                           | 47.61        |
| Total content   | 213.78 ± 1.58 to 873.71 ± 1.72*           | 4.09                     | F                     | 10                           | 47.61        |
| Phenolic acids  |                                           |                          |                       |                              |              |
| Gallic acid     | 31.50 ± 2.03 to 59.86 ± 2.42*             | 1.9                      | B                     | 30                           | 75.13        |
| Neochlorogenic acid | 102.85 ± 3.91 to 472.82 ± 6.47*        | 4.6                      | B                     | 30                           | 75.13        |
| Caftaric acid   | 68.59 ± 2.98 to 370.81 ± 6.04*            | 5.41                     | F                     | 30                           | 63.44        |
| Chlorogenic acid| 0.44 ± 0.04 to 33.71 ± 0.03*              | 76.61                    | A                     | 10                           | 38.27        |
| Caffeic acid    | 3.93 ± 0.37 to 30.02 ± 0.28*              | 7.64                     | A                     | 10                           | 38.27        |
| Vanillic acid   | 0.17 ± 0.01 to 10.35 ± 0.27*              | 60.88                    | B                     | 10                           | 48.45        |
| Syringic acid   | 3.73 ± 0.27 to 34.50 ± 2.48*              | 9.25                     | B                     | 30                           | 75.13        |
| Total content   | 268.29 ± 12.09 to 840.89 ± 16.91*         | 6.94                     | B                     | 30                           | 75.13        |
| Flavonoids      |                                           |                          |                       |                              |              |
| Hyperoside      | 11.38 ± 0.35 to 47.56 ± 2.08*             | 4.18                     | B                     | 10                           | 48.45        |
| Rutinoside      | 19.88 ± 0.27 to 88.83 ± 3.28*             | 4.47                     | B                     | 10                           | 48.45        |
| Trifolin        | 15.77 ± 0.30 to 138.56 ± 1.92*            | 8.79                     | B                     | 10                           | 48.45        |
| Quercitrin      | 33.78 ± 0.48 to 122.54 ± 2.58*            | 3.63                     | B                     | 10                           | 48.45        |
| Quercetin       | 2.35 ± 0.02 to 46.20 ± 3.11*              | 19.66                    | F                     | 30                           | 63.44        |
| Kaempferol      | 6.25 ± 0.19 to 26.06 ± 0.44*              | 4.17                     | E                     | 10                           | 38.79        |
| Total content   | 100.10 ± 2.62 to 421.98 ± 10.51*          | 4.21                     | B                     | 10                           | 48.45        |

The values marked with * differ statistically according to the Student’s t test, α < 0.05, n = 15

* A—1 mg/l BA and 1 mg/l IBA, B—1 mg/l BA and 1 mg/l IBA and 0.25 mg/l GA3, C—0.25 mg/l BA and 2 mg/l IBA, D—0.25 mg/l BA and 3 mg/l IBA, E—2 mg/l BA and 1 mg/l IBA, F—0.5 mg/l BA and 2 mg/l IBA.
were: neochlorogenic, caftaric and gallic acids. Their maximal contents were: 472.82 mg/100 g DW (30 days, variant B), 370.81 mg/100 g DW (30 days, variant F), and 59.86 mg/100 g DW (30 days, variant B), respectively (Tables 1 and S7–S9).

The obtained total amounts of phenolic acids clearly depended on the MS medium variant and the duration of growth period. The lowest total amount (268.29 mg/100 g DW) was obtained in extracts from the biomass collected after 20 days of growth on variant C (Tables 1 and S7–S9, Fig. S2b). The highest total amount of phenolic acids (840.89 mg/100 g DW) was recorded in extracts from microshoots from MS medium variant B, harvested after 30 days of cultivation (Tables 1 and S7–S9, Fig. S2b).

Callus cultures

In all extracts from callus cultures the same phenolic acids as in the microshoot cultures were detected (Tables 2 and S10). The amounts of individual compounds varied with the duration of the growth period, ranging from 0.07 mg/100 g DW (syringic acid, 10 days) to 187.91 mg/100 g DW (caftaric acid, 10 days). The quantitatively main compounds in all tested samples were: caftaric, neochlorogenic and gallic acids. Their maximal amounts were: 187.91 mg/100 g DW (10 days), 49.52 mg/100 g DW (10 days), and 30.58 mg/100 g DW (10 days), respectively (Tables 2 and S10, Fig. 3b).

The obtained total amounts of phenolic acids depended on the duration of cultivation. The lowest total amount (192.25 mg/100 g DW) was obtained in callus biomass collected after 20 days of growth. The highest total amount of phenolic acids (296.66 mg/100 g DW) was recorded in extracts from callus cultures harvested after 10 days of cultivation (Tables 2 and S7–S9, Fig. S3b).

Parent plant material

The presence of 5 out of the 24 tested compounds was confirmed in extracts of leaves of the S. henryi parent plant: gallic, neochlorogenic, caftaric, protocatechuic and caffeic acids (Table 3, Fig. 3c). The quantitatively main individual compounds were: caftaric acid (64.16 mg/100 g DW, leaves harvested in September), neochlorogenic acid (46.64 mg/100 g DW, leaves harvested in May) and protocatechuic acid (10.79 mg/100 g DW, leaves harvested in September). The total amounts of phenolic acids in the leaf extracts were 94.70 mg/100 g DW for the material harvested in May, and 127.20 mg/100 g DW for the leaves harvested in September (Table 3, Fig. 3c).

Production of flavonoids

Microshoot cultures

The concentrations of PGRs in the tested MS media variants and the duration of the growth period affected the flavonoid content in agar microshoot cultures. In all cultures, 6 of the 14 tested compounds were detected (mainly quercetin and kaempferol derivatives)—3-quercetin galactoside (hyperoside), 3-quercetin glucorhamnoside (rutinoside), kaempferol-3-galactoside (trifolin), quercetin-3-rhamnoside (quercitrin), and quercetin and kaempferol (Tables 1 and S11–S13). The amounts of individual compounds depended on the medium variant and duration of the growth cycle, ranging from 2.35 mg/100 g DW (quercetin, MS variant F, 20 days) to 138.56 mg/100 g DW (trifolin, MS variant B, 10 days). The quantitatively dominant compounds in all samples were: trifolin (138.56 mg/100 g DW, 10 days, variant B), quercitrin (122.54 mg/100 g DW, 10 days, variant B) and rutinoside (88.83 mg/100 g DW, 10 days, variant B), respectively (Tables 1 and S11–S13, Fig. 3a).

The obtained total amounts of flavonoids depended on the medium variant and the applied duration of growth cycles. The lowest total amount (110.10 mg/100 g DW) was documented in extracts of the biomass cultured for 20 days on variant D of MS medium. The highest total amount of flavonoids (421.98 mg/100 g DW) was confirmed in extracts from microshoots cultivated on MS medium variant B, harvested after 10 days of cultivation (Tables 1 and S11–S13, Fig. S2c).

Callus cultures

In all extracts from callus cultures, 6 of the 14 tested flavonoids were detected. They were the same ones as in microshoot cultures (Tables 2 and S14). The amounts of individual main compounds depended on the duration of the growth cycles, ranging from 1.64 mg/100 g DW (quercetin, 20 days) to 21.16 mg/100 g DW (kaempferol, 30 days). The quantitatively main compounds in all samples were: kaempferol, quercitrin and quercetin. Their maximal amounts were: 21.16 mg/100 g DW (30 days), 18.87 mg/100 g DW (10 days), and 9.55 mg/100 g DW (10 days), respectively (Tables 2 and S14, Fig. 3b).

The obtained total amounts of flavonoids depended on the duration of culture growth. The lowest total amount (23.57 mg/100 g DW) was documented in extracts of the biomass cultured for 20 days. The highest total flavonoid content (59.03 mg/100 g DW) was confirmed in extracts from callus cultures harvested after 30 days of cultivation (Tables 2 and S14, Fig. S3c).
Parent plant material

The same 6 of the 14 tested flavonoid compounds as in the in vitro cultures were confirmed in the extracts of leaves (harvested in May and September) of the *S. henryi* parent plant (Table 3, Fig. 3c). The quantitatively main individual compounds were: trifolin (134.58 mg/100 g DW, leaves harvested in May), quercitrin (43.39 mg/100 g DW, harvested in May) and kaempferol (40.05 mg/100 g DW, harvested in May). The total amounts of flavonoids in the leaf extracts were 294.69 mg/100 g DW for the leaves harvested in May, and 190.08 mg/100 g DW for the material harvested in September (Table 3, Fig. 3c).

Discussion

In the current study, the amounts of three groups of secondary metabolites: lignans, phenolic acids and flavonoids, in *S. henryi* biomass cultured in vitro were evaluated for the first time. Moreover, estimations were also performed, for comparative purposes, with the parent plant material (leaves collected at 2 different times of plant vegetation). Given the wide scope of experiments, which included a comprehensive optimization of combinations and concentrations of PGRs in the media, duration of growth period and type of cultures (microshoot and callus cultures), this work has a high biotechnological importance.

A significant impact of the applied conditions on biomass increases was proved for *S. henryi* in both microshoot and callus cultures. For microshoot cultures, the increase in biomass growth evaluated by the growth index (Gi) ranged from 38.28%—for variant A, with biomass harvested after 10 days, up to 75.58%—also for variant A, and a 30-day growth cycle (Fig. 2). These parameters were selected as the optimal “growth promoting” conditions. The maximum values of Gi for *S. henryi* microshoot cultures were quite high, higher than for in vitro cultures of e.g. *Dionaea muscipula* (Hook 2001), *Thevetia peruviana* (Zabala et al. 2010), or *Genista tinctoria* (Luczkiewicz et al. 2014) where the Gi values also were dependent on applied PGRs composition in the media. The maximum Gi value for *S. chinensis* agar

Table 2 Comparison of the contents (mg/100 g DW ± SD) of dibenzo[b,d]cyclooctadiene lignans, phenolic acids and flavonoids in *S. henryi* callus cultures

| Compounds         | Minimal and maximal content (mg/100 g DW ± SD) | Fold increase in content | Optimal growth period (days) | Gi index (%) |
|-------------------|------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------|
| **Lignans**       |                                                |                          |                               |             |
| Schisandrin       | 1.24 ± 0.02 to 10.25 ± 2.06*                    | 8.27                     | 20                            | 87.59       |
| Gomisin G         | 2.58 ± 0.05 to 5.11 ± 0.14*                     | 1.98                     | 30                            | 91.24       |
| Schisantherin A   | 0.29 ± 0.36 to 7.29 ± 0.47*                     | 25.14                    | 30                            | 91.34       |
| Schisantherin B   | 0.46 ± 0.26 to 17.49 ± 7.78*                    | 38.02                    | 20                            | 87.59       |
| Deoxyschisandrin  | 0.20 ± 0.02 to 3.63 ± 0.27*                     | 18.15                    | 20                            | 87.59       |
| Schisandrin C     | 2.69 ± 0.74 to 7.71 ± 2.36*                     | 2.87                     | 20                            | 87.59       |
| Total content     | 9.19 ± 2.18 to 43.18 ± 14.02*                   | 4.70                     | 20                            | 87.59       |
| **Phenolic acids**|                                                |                          |                               |             |
| Gallic acid       | 18.44 ± 1.43 to 30.58 ± 3.06*                   | 1.66                     | 10                            | 82.55       |
| Neochlorogenic acid| 33.14 ± 0.34 to 49.52 ± 1.14*                   | 1.49                     | 10                            | 82.55       |
| Caftaric acid     | 125.27 ± 7.19 to 187.91 ± 7.94*                 | 1.50                     | 10                            | 82.55       |
| Chlorogenic acid  | 1.17 ± 0.06 to 3.33 ± 2.88*                     | 2.85                     | 10                            | 82.55       |
| Caffeic acid      | 12.50 ± 0.21 to 26.34 ± 5.99*                   | 2.11                     | 30                            | 91.34       |
| Vanillic acid     | 1.61 ± 0.01 to 1.68 ± 0.08*                     | 1.04                     | 30                            | 91.34       |
| Syringic acid     | 0.07 ± 0.01 to 0.10 ± 0.02*                     | 1.43                     | 30                            | 91.34       |
| Total content     | 192.25 ± 9.37 to 296.66 ± 15.18*                | 1.54                     | 10                            | 82.55       |
| **Flavonoids**    |                                                |                          |                               |             |
| Hyperoside        | 2.93 ± 0.04 to 5.23 ± 0.24*                     | 1.78                     | 10                            | 82.55       |
| Rutoside          | 2.15 ± 0.24 to 5.20 ± 0.16*                     | 2.42                     | 30                            | 91.34       |
| Trifolin          | 1.72 ± 0.12 to 4.07 ± 0.11*                     | 2.37                     | 10                            | 82.55       |
| Quercitrin        | 9.17 ± 0.32 to 18.87 ± 1.19*                    | 11.51                    | 10                            | 82.55       |
| Quercetin         | 1.64 ± 0.28 to 9.55 ± 0.17*                     | 5.82                     | 10                            | 82.55       |
| Kaempferol        | 5.97 ± 0.34 to 21.16 ± 3.22*                    | 3.54                     | 30                            | 91.34       |
| Total content     | 23.57 ± 1.33 to 59.03 ± 6.09*                   | 2.50                     | 30                            | 91.34       |

The values marked with * differ statistically according to the Student’s t test, α < 0.05, n = 10
Leaves, and also in the leaves of the parent plant (Tables 1 and S2). Of the dibenzocyclooctadiene lignans, the following compounds were identified: schisandrin A and schisandrin B, angelogomisin Q, benzyllogomisin Q, gomisin F, angelogomisin O and schisandrin D (Table S2). These structures had been confirmed before in S. henryi stem extracts, besides deoxyschisandrin, epischisandrin, gomisin G, and isoanwulignan (Liu et al. 1988; Chen et al. 2005; Iu et al. 2009).

What is important is that with our UHPLC–MS/MS analyses, we also identified other compounds from two different groups of lignans, i.e. hernicinc B from dibenzylbutane lignans and, from arytytetratin lignans, wulignan A1, wulignan A2, epiwulignan A1, enshicin, epischisanhenric and dimethylwulignan A1. Ganschisandrin, and hernicinc A and B had been estimated before, but only in S. henryi stem extracts, and identified as unique to this species (Iu et al. 2009). The presence of hernicinc B was confirmed by us, for the first time in the leaf and also in extracts from in vitro cultures. From the group of arytytetratin lignans, Liu et al. (1988) had identified wulignan A1, wulignan A2, dimethylwulignan A1 and epiwulignan A in stems, while enshicin and epischisanhenric were found in S. henryi shoots (Liu et al. 1984, 1988; Chen et al. 2003). We also found them all and, for the first time, also in extracts from in vitro-grown biomass.

Moreover, with the UHPLC–MS/MS analyses we detected the triterpenoids: kadsuric acid and schisanhenric acid (Table S2). These metabolites had been found before in S. henryi leaves, shoots and fruits, besides nigranoic acid and isoschisanhenric acid (Lian-Niang and Hong 1986; Chen et al. 2003, 2005, 2010). Although other triterpenoid compounds had also been identified in the leaves and shoots of S. henryi, such as henrischinins A, B and C, and henri-dilactones A, B, C and D (Chen et al. 2003a, 2010; Li et al. 2004), they were not found in the extracts from parent plant material as well as from in vitro cultures analyzed by us.

For S. henryi callus cultures, the significant impact of the duration of growth period on biomass increase was confirmed, with Gi values ranging from 82.55 to 91.34%, for callus harvested after 10 days and 30 days, respectively. The maximum Gi factor for S. chinensis callus cultures grown over 30 days was lower, equal to 82% (Szopa and Ekiert 2013, 2014, 2016). For S. henryi the biomass from in vitro cultures, which indicated that they are able to produce compounds typical for the parent plant (Tables 1 and S2). However, the use of UHPLC–MS/MS techniques allowed us to accurately assess the lignan composition of S. henryi, including for the first time an analysis of the lignan profile in the biomass from S. henryi in vitro cultures, which indicated that they are able to produce compounds typical for the parent plant (Tables 1 and S2). Of the dibenzocyclooctadiene lignans, the following compounds were identified: schisandrin A and schisandrin B, angelogomisin Q, benzyllogomisin Q, gomisin F, angelogomisin O and schisandrin D (Table S2). These structures had been confirmed before in S. henryi stem extracts, besides deoxyschisandrin, epischisandrin, gomisin G, and isoanwulignan (Liu et al. 1988; Chen et al. 2005; Iu et al. 2009).

Table 3 The amounts (mg/100 g DW ± SD) of dibenzocyclooctadiene lignans, phenolic acids and flavonoids in S. henryi leaves harvested in different parent plant vegetation periods

| Compounds            | Leaves                      | May       | September  |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|------------|
| Lignans              |                             |           |            |
| Schisandrin          | 8.62 ± 0.95                 | 11.25 ± 0.70* |
| Gomisin G            | 1.62 ± 0.51                 | 2.06 ± 0.94 |
| Schisantherin A      | 4.75 ± 0.54                 | 4.45 ± 0.52 |
| Schisantherin B      | 48.99 ± 4.73                | 32.45 ± 3.61* |
| Deoxyschisandrin     | 1.70 ± 0.55                 | 1.00 ± 0.06 |
| Schisandrin C        | 1.06 ± 0.38                 | 5.62 ± 0.63* |
| Total content        | 66.74 ± 7.66                | 56.83 ± 6.46 |
| Phenolic acids       |                             |           |            |
| Gallic acid          | 5.91 ± 0.41                 | 5.00 ± 0.28 |
| Neochlorogenic acid  | 46.64 ± 1.69                | 37.87 ± 0.55* |
| Caftaric acid        | 28.87 ± 0.01                | 64.16 ± 0.61* |
| Protocatechuic acid  | 10.23 ± 0.12                | 10.79 ± 0.18 |
| Caffeic acid         | 3.05 ± 0.03                 | 9.38 ± 0.03* |
| Total content        | 94.70 ± 2.26                | 127.20 ± 1.65* |
| Flavonoids           |                             |           |            |
| Hyperoside           | 26.29 ± 0.07                | 12.85 ± 0.52* |
| Rutoside             | 25.15 ± 0.21                | 17.17 ± 0.42* |
| Trifolin             | 134.58 ± 2.6                | 128.87 ± 4.6 |
| Quercitrin           | 43.39 ± 0.33                | 16.86 ± 0.51* |
| Quercetin            | 25.23 ± 0.08                | 10.71 ± 0.31* |
| Kaempferol           | 40.05 ± 0.57                | 3.62 ± 0.04* |
| Total content        | 294.69 ± 3.86               | 190.08 ± 6.4* |

The values marked with * differ statistically according to the Student’s t test, α < 0.05, n = 10

microshoot cultures, obtained after optimization, was a little lower, about 65% (Szopa and Ekiert 2013; Szopa et al. 2016, 2020), and also similarly for S. chinensis cv. Sadova—70% (Szopa et al. 2018b, 2020).

For S. henryi callus cultures, the significant impact of the duration of growth period on biomass increase was confirmed, with Gi values ranging from 82.55 to 91.34%, for callus harvested after 10 days and 30 days, respectively. The maximum Gi factor for S. chinensis callus cultures grown over 30 days was lower, equal to 82% (Szopa and Ekiert 2011, 2013).

The results of the phytochemical analyses (HPLC–DAD and UHPLC–MS/MS) confirmed the identical qualitative profile of lignans in all analyzed microshoot and callus cultures, and also in the leaves of the parent plant (Tables 1 and S2–S6).

The literature (Opletal et al. 2004; Zhu et al. 2007; Lu and Chen 2009) claimed that the HPLC analysis of schisandra lignans is often very difficult since it involves working with multi-ingredient extracts characterized by the presence of isomeric compounds with similar spectral properties. Nevertheless, the use of UHPLC–MS/MS techniques allowed us to accurately assess the lignan composition of S. henryi, including for the first time an analysis of the lignan profile in the biomass from S. henryi in vitro cultures, which indicated that they are able to produce compounds typical for the parent plant (Tables 1 and S2). Of the dibenzocyclooctadiene lignans, the following compounds were identified: schisandrin A and schisandrin B, angelogomisin Q, benzyllogomisin Q, gomisin F, angelogomisin O and schisandrin D (Table S2). These structures had been confirmed before in S. henryi stem extracts, besides deoxyschisandrin, epischisandrin, gomisin G, and isoanwulignan (Liu et al. 1988; Chen et al. 2005; Iu et al. 2009).
The qualitative and quantitative composition of PGRs in the media, as well as duration of growth periods, have been proven to influence secondary metabolite production in vitro (Kozai et al. 1997; Hussain et al. 2012). NAA significantly increased shoot proliferation and influenced tannins, flavonoids and phenols accumulation in Huernia hystrix in vitro cultures (Amoo and van Staden 2013). In Aconitum violaceum shoot culture differences in cytokinin (BA, Kin, TDZ) concentrations, and longer durations of growth periods (8 weeks) significantly affected aconitine production (Janhvi et al. 2013). In Stevia rebaudiana tissue the increase of total phenols and flavonoids production varied with PGRs and BA either with GA3 or IAA gave best results (Radić et al. 2016). In Linum usitatissimum callus cultures NAA, BA and TDZ influenced production of specific lignans: secoisolariciresinol diglucoside, matairesinol, secoisolariciresinol, lariciresinol diglucoside, and the total phenolic content (Anjum et al. 2017).

The biomass from S. henryi callus cultures was found to contain the same lignans as in microshoot cultures, but their amounts were many times lower than in cultures with a higher degree of organogenesis (Table 2). The highest total lignan content was found for callus culture biomass harvested after 20 days of cultivation. The maximum total amount of lignans detected in the S. henryi microshoot cultures was more than 20 times higher than for callus cultures. For callus cultures, the effect of culture duration on the amounts of individual lignans and their total content in the biomass was evident, varying from 1.89 to 38.02 mg/100 g DW, and from 9.19 to 43.18 mg/100 g DW, respectively (Table S4). Noteworthy are the significant amounts of schisantherin B (17.49 mg/100 g DW) and schisandrin (10.25 mg/100 g DW) in extracts from biomass harvested after 20 days, and of schisantherin A in those harvested after 30 days of culture (7.29 mg/100 g DW) compared to the other lignans, whose amounts in 100 g DW did not exceed 3 mg (Tables 2 and S6, Figs. 3b and S3a).

The influence of degree of organogenesis on secondary metabolite production is one of the main factors to be tested to optimize in vitro cultures (Charlwood et al. 1990; Karuppasamy 2009; Hussain et al. 2012). Usually, highly differentiating cultures (microshoots, roots) produce higher amounts of metabolites, as reported for Aronia melanocarpa, where the highest amounts of phenolic acids were found in shoot cultures (Szopa et al. 2013), and in shoot cultures of Bacopa monniera where the bacoside A content was 4 times higher than in callus cultures (Parale et al. 2010). A higher content of flavonoids, as well as volatile constituents in essential oil was obtained for Lallemantia iberica shoot cultures, while the callus of this plant was efficient for total phenol production (Pourrebadi et al. 2015). Likewise here, only the production of phenolic acids was higher for S. henryi callus cultures (Table 2, Fig. 3b).

No information was found in the literature on the accumulation of lignans in S. henryi in vitro cultures. Comparing the results of qualitative and quantitative analyses obtained for in vitro cultures of S. henryi with those for the best-known species from the Schisandraceae family—S. chinensis, and its cultivar—S. chinensis cv. Sadova, both qualitative and quantitative differences were found. Particularly noteworthy are the extremely high amounts of schisantherin B and A in microshoot cultures of S. henryi. The schisantherin B content (622.59 mg/100 g DW) was about 110-fold higher than in extracts from biomass of S. chinensis microshoot cultures (max. 5.70 mg/100 g DW) (Szopa et al. 2016, 2020) and 17-fold higher than in extracts from biomass of S. chinensis cv. Sadova cultures (max. 35.64 mg/100 g DW) (Szopa et al. 2018b). For schisantherin A, its maximum amount in S. henryi microshoot cultures (143.74 mg/100 g DW) was about 50-fold higher than for S. chinensis microshoot cultures (max. 2.97 mg/100 g DW) (Szopa et al. 2016, 2020) and about 20-fold higher than for in vitro cultures of S. chinensis cv. Sadova (max. 7.99 mg/100 g DW) (Szopa et al. 2018b).

In our research, the highest amount of an individual lignan in callus cultures of S. henryi was also confirmed for schisantherin B (17.49 mg/100 g DW). For S. chinensis callus cultures this compound had not been previously analyzed by other teams (Březinová et al. 2010; Kohda et al. 2012; Szopa et al. 2020). Another dominant lignan in S. henryi callus cultures was schisandrin, whose amount reached max. 10.25 mg/100 g DW. Conflictingly, compared with callus cultures of S. chinensis tested earlier, this content was about 11 times higher (0.90 mg/100 g DW) than found by Březinová et al. (2010) but about 7 times lower than measured (70.54 mg/100 g DW) by Szopa and Ekiert (2015).

A comparative analysis of the lignan content in the leaves of the parent plant harvested in May and September was carried versus results from the optimized S. henryi in vitro cultures, to assess their usefulness as an alternative source of biologically active lignans and phenolic compounds.

The composition of dibenzocyclooctadiene lignans from S. henryi in vitro cultures was the same as in the leaves of the parent plant. The maximum total amount of lignans obtained in microshoot extracts was about 13-fold higher than in extracts from the leaves collected in May, and about 15-fold higher than in extracts from leaves collected in September (Tables 1, 3, Fig. 3c). The obtained maximum amounts of the main dibenzocyclooctadiene lignans: schisandrin, gomisin G and schisantherin B, were respectively about 5, 9 and 13 times higher in biomass extracts from microshoot cultures than in the leaves of the parent plant.

Comparing the results of analyses of dibenzocyclooctadiene lignans in leaf extracts of S. henryi with those for the S. chinensis species and its cultivar—S. chinensis cv. Sadova, differences were found in the qualitative composition. S. chinensis and its cultivar are more abundant in
dibenzocyclooctadiene lignans, the most characteristic of which were identified as “Schisandra chinensis lignans”, such as: schisandrin (the most abundant), gomisin A, gomisin G, schisantherin A, schisantherin B, schisanhenol, deoxyschisandrin, γ-schisandrin and schisandrin C (Szopa et al. 2016, 2018b). Those identified here in S. henryi leaves were: schisandrin, gomisin G, schisantherin A, schisantherin B, deoxyschisandrin and schisandrin C. Their amounts were many times lower than in extracts of S. chinensis and S. chinensis cv. Sadova. However, it is worth noting the high amount of schisantherin B in extracts from S. henryi leaves, about 14 times higher than in leaf extracts of S. chinensis and S. chinensis cv. Sadova (Szopa et al. 2016, 2018b).

In our study, we examined the phenolic profile of extracts from S. henryi in vitro cultures aimed at qualitative and quantitative analyses of phenolic acids and flavonoids using the HPLC–DAD method. Depending on the medium and duration of the growth cycle, the amounts of individual phenolic acids and their total content in microshoot biomass extracts varied from 0.17 to 472.82 mg/100 g DW, and from 268.29 to 840.89 mg/100 g DW, respectively (Tables 2 and S7–S9). Noteworthy are the very high amounts of neochlorogenic acid in extracts from microshoots grown on medium variant B, collected after 30 days, and of caftaric acid in extracts from microshoots grown on medium variant F, harvested after 30 days. The highest total amount of phenolic acids was found in microshoot extracts grown on variant B of the MS medium, harvested after a 30-day growth period (Table 2, Figs. 3a and S2b).

The examination of phenolic acids in callus cultures of S. henryi revealed the same phenolic acids composition as in microshoot cultures; however, their contents were many times lower than in organogenic cultures. The amounts of individual phenolic acids and their total contents in biomass extracts varied depending on the duration of the growth cycle (Tables 2 and S10, Figs. 3b and S3b). The highest total phenolic acid content was found in the callus extracts harvested after 10 days of culture. The maximum total amount of phenolic acids detected in the S. henryi microshoot cultures was 2.83 times higher than for callus cultures. Noteworthy are the high amounts of caftaric acid in extracts from callus cultures harvested after 10 days of growth period, and neochlorogenic acid in extracts from biomass collected after a 10-day growth period (Tables 2 and S10).

Comparing the results of the phenolic acid analysis obtained here in extracts from S. henryi microshoot cultures with those from in vitro microshoot cultures of the pharmacopoeial S. chinensis and its cultivar S. chinensis cv. Sadova, both qualitative and quantitative differences were found. In S. chinensis microshoot extracts, seven phenolic acids had been detected, i.e. chlorogenic, gallic, p-hydroxybenzoic, protocatechuic, syringic, salicylic and vanillic acids (Szopa et al. 2019c). Particularly noteworthy are the high amounts of neochlorogenic and caftaric acids in extracts from S. henryi cultures. The neochlorogenic acid in S. chinensis cultures had not been analyzed previously (Mocan et al. 2016; Szopa et al. 2019c). In S. chinensis cv. Sadova agar microshoot cultures, the presence of eight phenolic acids had been confirmed—chlorogenic, cryptochlorogenic, gallic, neochlorogenic, protocatechuic, salicylic, syringic and vanillic acids (Szopa et al. 2019b). The amount of neochlorogenic acid (116.65 mg/100 g DW) in S. chinensis cv. Sadova was 4 times lower compared to S. henryi microshoot cultures. A high content was also obtained for caftaric acid in the extracts from S. henryi cultures, but this compound had not been detected before in in vitro cultures of S. chinensis and S. chinensis cv. Sadova (Mocan et al. 2016; Szopa et al. 2019b, c, 2020).

The comparative analyses of the amounts of phenolic acids in parent plant leaf extracts showed that their maximum total content for S. henryi microshoot extracts was almost ninefold higher than in extracts from leaves collected in May and almost sevenfold higher than in extracts from leaves collected in September. The maximum amounts of the main detected phenolic acids, i.e. neochlorogenic, caftaric and gallic, were respectively: 10, 6 and 10 times higher in in vitro cultures than in the leaves of the parent plant (Table 3, Fig. 3c). Neither vanillic nor syringic acid, which were found in vitro, were present in the leaves of the parent plant (Tables 1, 2, 3).

Comparing leaf extracts of S. henryi with those of S. chinensis (Szopa et al. 2019c) and S. chinensis cv. Sadova (Szopa et al. 2018b, 2019b), differences were apparent in the qualitative composition and in the amounts of phenolic acids. In S. chinensis and S. chinensis cv. Sadova leaf extracts, the dominant compound was chlorogenic acid, while it was not detected in extracts from S. henryi leaves, where the dominant compounds were neochlorogenic and caftaric acids.

The qualitative and quantitative analyses of polyphenolic compounds in S. chinensis leaf extracts performed by Mocan et al. (2014) had confirmed only the presence of one phenolic acid as in S. henryi—chlorogenic acid—as the most abundant, while p-coumaric acid and ferulic acid, were detected too. Our earlier work on the composition of phenolic acids in S. chinensis leaves had revealed also the presence of chlorogenic acid, and of protocatechuic acid, salicylic acid and p-hydroxybenzoic acid (Szopa et al. 2019c, 2020). In the leaf extracts of S. chinensis cv. Sadova we had detected similar phenolic acids as in S. henryi, i.e., neochlorogenic acid, chlorogenic acid, vanillic acid, gallic acid and syringic acid. Moreover, cryptochlorogenic acid, salicylic acid and protocatechuic acid were estimated, too (Szopa et al. 2019b).

The main flavonoids in extracts from S. henryi in vitro cultures were quercetin and kaempferol derivatives: 3-quercetin galactoside (hyperoside), 3-quercetin...
glucorhamnose (rutoside), kaempferol-3-galactoside (trifolin), quercetin-3-rhamnoside (quercitrin), and quercetin and kaempferol (Tables 2 and S11–S14). The influence of PGRs composition and of the duration of growth cycles on the individual and total amounts of flavonoids was evident, and their increases varied within wide ranges (Tables 2 and S11–S14, Figs. 3a and S3c). Worthy of note are the very high amounts of kaempferol-3-galactoside (trifolin) and quercetin-3-rhamnoside (quercitrin), and the maximum total amount of flavonoids, that were all detected in the extracts from microshoots grown on variant B of MS medium and harvested after a 10-day growth period (Table 2, Fig. 3a).

In extracts from S. henryi callus cultures, the amounts of flavonoids were many times lower than for the organogenic cultures. The main compounds were kaempferol and quercetin-3-rhamnoside (quercitrin). The highest total flavonoid content, found in extracts of callus biomass harvested after a 10-day growth period, was 7 times lower than for microshoot cultures (Fig. S3c).

We had tested S. chinensis microshoot cultures growing in different types of plant bioreactors for flavonoid content before (Szopa et al. 2019c), and we identified: kaempferol, quercetin-3-rhamnoside (quercitrin) and quercetin-3-O-rutinoside (rutoside). The highest total amount of flavonoids was found in microshoots maintained in a nutrient sprinkle bioreactor for 30 days. The highest content was confirmed for quercetin-3-rhamnoside (quercitrin), and this amount was 1.25 times lower than for the S. henryi microshoot cultures and 2.20 times higher than for the callus cultures.

We had also analyzed flavonoid accumulation in microshoot cultures of S. chinensis cv. Sadova, where we confirmed the presence of only quercetin-3-rhamnoside (quercitrin) and kaempferol (Szopa et al. 2018b). The maximum amount of quercitrin was 1.5 times lower than for S. henryi microshoot cultures, and 4.4 times higher than for callus cultures. The maximum amount of kaempferol in S. chinensis cv. Sadova microshoot cultures (Szopa et al. 2019b) was similar to its amounts in both the microshoot and callus cultures of S. henryi.

The analysis of flavonoid content in parent leaf extracts showed the same qualitative composition for in vitro and in vivo materials (Tables 1, 2, 3, Fig. 3c). The obtained maximum total flavonoid content in microshoot extracts was 1.4-fold higher than in extracts of the leaves harvested in May and 2.2-fold higher than in extracts of the leaves harvested in September. The maximum amounts of the main flavonoids: trifolin and quercitrin, were respectively 1.02 and 2.82 times higher in biomass extracts from in vitro cultures than in the leaves of the parent plant.

The qualitative and quantitative analyses of polyphenolic compounds performed by Mocan et al. (2014) had confirmed in S. chinensis leaf extracts the presence of the flavonoid glycosides: isoquercitrin, quercitrin, rutoside and hyperoside, and of the flavonoid aglycones: kaempferol and quercetin. The estimation of flavonoid composition in S. chinensis leaves performed by us earlier had revealed differences in the qualitative composition; we had found the presence of two aglycones: myricetin and quercetin, and of two glycosides: quercetin-3-rhamnoside (quercitrin) and quercetin-3-O-rutinoside (rutoside), and the main flavonoids were quercitrin and quercetin (Szopa et al. 2019c). The total flavonoid content in S. chinensis leaf extracts was comparable to that estimated in S. henryi leaves (Table 3, Fig. 3c). Comparing the results of flavonoid estimations in extracts from S. henryi leaves with the amounts of these compounds in the leaves of S. chinensis cv. Sadova (Szopa et al. 2019b), we found similar qualitative compositions and differences in the amounts of the tested flavonoids. The extracts from S. henryi leaves contained higher amounts of trifolin, which had not been detected in previous studies. On the other hand, the detected amounts of quercitrin and kaempferol were higher than in S. henryi leaves.

To conclude, the object of the study was the endemic plant species—S. henryi, of which initiation and optimization of in vitro cultures were performed for the first time. The different types of in vitro cultures (microshoot and callus), different durations of growth periods, and different concentrations of PGRs, were all proved to influence biomass growth and the production of secondary metabolites. In the methanolic extracts from biomass of the in vitro cultures, lignans, phenolic acids and flavonoids were detected. The highest total amount of lignans was obtained in the extracts from biomass of the microshoot cultures cultivated for 10 days on MS medium containing 0.5 mg/l BA and 2 mg/l IBA. The main compounds were: schisandanin B and schisandanin. The highest total amount of phenolic acids was obtained in the extracts from biomass of the microshoot cultures cultivated for 30 days on MS medium with 1 mg/l BA, 1 mg/l IBA and 0.25 mg/l GA3. The main compounds were: neochlorogenic acid and caftaric acid. The highest total amount of flavonoids was obtained in extracts from biomass of the shoot cultures cultivated for 10 days on MS medium with 1 mg/l BA, 1 mg/l IBA and 0.25 mg/l GA3. The main compounds were: kaempferol-3-galactoside (trifolin) and quercetin-3-rhamnoside (quercitrin).

In this work, the dibenzocyclooctadiene lignans profile was confirmed by the UHPLC–MS/MS method. Additionally, other types of lignans: dibenzylbutane lignans (hericnike B) and ariyltetrahydrofurran lignans (wulignan A1, wulignan A2, epiwulignan A1, enshicine, epishicisme and dimethylwulignan A1) were estimated. The results of the UHPLC–MS/MS analyses also confirmed the presence of triterpenoids: kadsuric acid and schisanheric acid.

The amounts of lignans, phenolic acids and flavonoids were determined in plant material grown in vivo (leaves of a male S. henryi specimen). The highest total amounts of these
secondary metabolites in the methanolic leaf extracts were respectively 13, 7, and 1.4 times lower than in extracts from in vitro cultures. Obtaining a greater production of secondary metabolites in the biomass of in vitro cultures than in the parent plant is an excellent outcome of such experiments (Karuppusamy 2009; Murthy et al. 2014).

The meager phytochemical papers and the lack of biotechnological works on S. henryi species make the subject of this work relevant and innovative. The prospect of further research will undoubtedly be further optimization of cultures, among others in the form of agitated cultures and then growing in plant bioreactors. Increasing the production of secondary metabolites could be obtained, inter alia, by the addition of exogenous precursors to the culture media or by elicitation experiments.
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