Coexistence of free-living amoebae and bacteria in selected South African hospital water distribution systems
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Abstract Pathogenic free-living amoebae (FLA), such as Naegleria fowleri, Balamuthia mandrillaris and Acanthamoeba species isolated from aquatic environments have been implicated in central nervous system, eye and skin human infections. They also allow the survival, growth and transmission of bacteria such as Legionella, Mycobacteria and Vibrio species in water systems. The purpose of this study was to investigate the co-occurrence of potentially pathogenic FLA and their associated bacteria in hospital water networks in Johannesburg, South Africa. A total of 178 water (n = 95) and swab (n = 83) samples were collected from two hospital water distribution systems. FLA were isolated using the amoebal enrichment technique and identified using PCR and 18S rDNA sequencing. Amoebae potentially containing intra-amoebal bacteria were lysed and cultured on blood agar plates. Bacterial isolates were characterized using the VITEK®2 compact System. Free-living amoebae were isolated from 77 (43.3 %) of the samples. Using microscopy, PCR and 18S rDNA sequencing, Acanthamoeba spp. (T3 and T20 genotypes), Vermamoeba vermiformis and Naegleria gruberi species were identified. The Acanthamoeba T3 and T20 genotypes have been implicated in eye and central nervous system infections. The most commonly detected bacterial species were Serratia marcescens, Stenotrophomonas maltophilia, Delftia acidovorans, Sphingomonas paucimobilis and Comamonas testosteroni. These nosocomial pathogenic bacteria are associated with systemic blood, respiratory tract, the urinary tract, surgical wounds and soft tissues infections. The detection of FLA and their associated opportunistic bacteria in the hospital water systems point out to a potential health risk to immune-compromised individuals.
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Introduction

Hospital water supplies often contain waterborne pathogens such as bacteria, viruses, fungi, algae and protozoa, which can be a source of healthcare-associated infections (HAIs) (Anaissie et al. 2002; Stojek et al. 2008; Laganà et al. 2014). Among the protozoa, free-living amoebae (FLA) exist in high numbers in aquatic environments where they play a useful role as predators of micro-organisms, contributing to nutrient recycling by assimilating nutrients such as carbon, nitrogen and phosphate and releasing them into the environment by excretion (Taylor 1982; Rodriguez-Zaragoza 1994; Siddiqui and Khan 2012). Although mostly non-pathogenic, some FLA such as Acanthamoeba, Naegleria fowleri, Balamuthia mandrillaris and Sappinia pedata have been implicated in opportunistic and non-opportunistic eye, skin and central nervous system human infections (Visvesvara et al. 2007; Visvesvara 2013; Fuerst et al. 2015).

In addition to causing infections, FLA can also interact with and act as reservoirs and transmitters of waterborne
pathogens. Organisms mostly associated with HAIs such as *Legionella* spp., *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Acinetobacter* spp., *Serratia* spp., *Klebsiella* spp. and *Aspergillus* spp. have been reported to coexist with FLA in the hospital environment (Thomas et al. 2006; Cateau et al. 2014; Lagana et al. 2014). These organisms can infect and survive the exposure to oxygen radicals, the acidic environment and the lysosomal enzymes in the phagosomes of FLA. In addition to survival, some bacteria can multiply inside FLA (Greub and Raoul 2004; Lone et al. 2009; Siddiqui and Khan 2012). Organisms in this category are collectively known as “endocytobionts” (Scheid 2014). FLA may upregulate virulent genes of endocytobionts resulting in increased infectivity for human macrophages in vitro (Schmitz-Esser et al. 2008; Siddiqui and Khan 2012). Furthermore, the interaction of amoebae with pathogenic endocytobionts can decrease the susceptibility of these pathogens to biocides mainly used to treat water in water systems (Miltner and Bermudez 2000; Coulon et al. 2010). Regular monitoring of FLA and associated endocytobionts in hospital and other health care institutions is thus essential to manage and prevent possible exposure to patients, staff and members of the public who visit these institutions. In South Africa, contamination of hospital water supplies with waterborne pathogens has largely been overlooked. To our knowledge, this is the first study to investigate the co-occurrence of potentially pathogenic FLA and their associated bacteria in two selected public hospital water networks in South Africa.

**Methods**

**Sampling**

From February to December 2014, a total of 178 samples, (95 water and 83 swab), were collected from the water distribution systems of two public hospitals in Johannesburg (South Africa): 30 from hospital A and 148 from hospital B. Samples were collected from the municipal water inlets, theatres, intensive care units (ICU), endoscopy units, renal units, neonatal ward, neonatal ICU milk rooms, diarrhea ward and sterilization units of the two hospitals. Biofilm samples were collected by swabbing inside the taps prior to opening them. Water samples were collected after running the taps for 1–2 min in 1-L sterile sampling bottles containing 5 mg/L sodium thiosulfate (Merck, SA) to neutralize the chlorine disinfectant and oxidizing biocides which may be present at the time of sampling. At each sampling site, water temperature and pH were measured with a portable COMBO TESTER® (Hanna, SA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Residual chlorine was measured using a chlorine photometer (Hanna, SA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The samples were collected and transported in cooler boxes to keep them at ambient temperature to the laboratory and processed on the day of receipt.

**Isolation of FLA**

Five hundred millilitres of water sample was concentrated by filtration using a nitrocellulose membrane (Millipore, SA) with a pore size of 0.45 μm. Swabs were vortexed at maximum speed for 30 s in 10 mL Page’s amoebal saline buffer (PAS) in individual sterile tubes, and the suspension was also concentrated by membrane filtration like the water samples. Each filter membrane was placed upside down onto a non-nutrient agar (NNA) plate overlaid with a suspension of heat-killed *Escherichia coli* (E. coli) ATCC 25922 (E. coli; 100 μL for each plate). The plates were incubated aerobically at 32 °C and examined daily for 3 weeks under a light microscope (Olympus, Japan) with ×10 objective for appearance of amoebal trophozoites and/or cysts. Plates were recorded as negative if no amoebae were observed after 3 weeks. Plates containing amoebae were sub-cultured by cutting small agar plugs, placing them upside down onto fresh NNA-E. coli plates and incubating as before. Sub-culturing was done three times to purify amoebae cells. Once purified, amoebae cells were harvested by gently scraping the agar surface and resuspending in 1 mL PAS. To further remove extracellular bacteria and debris, the suspension was centrifuged three times at 1000×*g* for 20 min. The washed pellet was re-suspended in 1 mL PAS. The suspension was inoculated into 24-well microtitre plate wells (Nunc, USA) and incubated at 32 °C. The plates were checked daily under an inverted microscope (Leica, Germany), with a ×40 objective, for the morphological appearance of FLA. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) was used to screen for the presence of intracellular bacteria.

**Transmission electron microscopy**

Each non-nutrient agar plate with amoebal trophozoites was flooded with fixative and left overnight. The fixative contained 2.5 % glutaraldehyde and 2 % formaldehyde in 0.1 M sodium cacodylate buffer (pH 7.15), osmotically adjusted by the addition of 0.01 M CaCl₂, 0.01 M MgCl₂ and 0.09 M sucrose. The agar surface was then scraped, and for subsequent steps in the protocol, the sample was pelleted by gentle centrifugation (300×*g* for 20 min). Buffer rinses (30 min each) and post-fixation with 1 % osmium tetroxide for 1 h preceded graded ethanol dehydration and embedding in a low viscosity resin. Sections of 70 nm were cut on a Leica EM UC6 ultramicrotome, placed on Formvar®-coated slot grids, double stained with uranyl acetate and lead citrate, and viewed on an FEI BioTwin Spirit transmission electron microscope.
microscope at 80 kV (FEI, USA). Images were captured with an Olympus Quemesa CCD camera (Olympus, Germany).

**Molecular detection of FLA**

**DNA extraction and amplification**

Amoebal DNA was extracted from 200 μL of the prepared amoebae suspension (from each of the amoebae positive plates) using the QIAamp DNA Blood Mini Kit (Qiagen, Germany), according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The nucleic acid was eluted in 100 μL elution buffer into a 1.5-mL micro-centrifuge tube and stored at −20 °C for subsequent analysis by PCR, agarose gel electrophoresis and sequence analysis. An 18S rDNA PCR was performed using the primers Ami6F1 (5′-CCA GCT CCA ATA GCG TAT ATT-3′), Ami6F2 (5′-CCA GCT CCA AGA GTG TAT ATT-3′) and Ami9R (5′-GTT GAG TCG AAT TAA GCC GC-3′) which amplifies approximately 700 bp of the 18 rDNA gene (Table 1) at a concentration of 0.5 μM (Thomas et al. 2006) with Takyon PCR Master Mix (Eurogentec, Belgium). After the first step consisting of 94 °C for 5 min, 40 cycles of amplification were performed by using denaturation at 94 °C for 1 min, annealing at 55 °C for 30 s and elongation at 72 °C for 2 min and a final cycle at 72 °C for 10 min.

**DNA sequencing**

Sequencing reactions were then performed with each primer. Sample purification was performed by using the Genelute Kit (Sigma-Aldrich, USA) following the manufacturer’s protocol. Efficiency of PCR has been confirmed by agarose gel electrophoresis with 8 μL of the PCR product on 2% gel (data not shown). Genome content of the positive samples has been determined by photometric method (Qubit 2.0 Fluorometer, Life Technologies, USA) and subsequently sequenced with a 3130xl Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, USA) and subsequently deposited in the GenBank under accession numbers KT18374-KT183626. Obtained sequences were aligned with sequences of *Acanthamoeba* genotypes T1–T20 (Corsaro et al. 2015).

**Isolation and identification of amoebae-associated bacteria**

Eight hundred microliters of the amoebae suspension from the microtitre plate was passed three times through a 27-gauge needle to lyse the amoebae and release any potential intracellular bacteria. Hundred microliters of the lysed amoebae suspension was then inoculated onto blood agar (NHLS, SA) and incubated at 37 °C overnight. Representative colonies were inoculated onto fresh blood agar plates and incubated as above. Gram stains were performed on the colonies to group them as Gram positive or negative. Pure colonies were placed in a tube containing 3 mL saline (BioMérieux, Inc.), and the density was monitored with the VITEK®2 DensiCHEK™. Bacterial suspensions not within the appropriate zone were adjusted to 0.5–0.63 McFarland standard. Once the correct density was achieved, the appropriate VITEK®2 Identification Card was selected and inserted into the tube containing the suspension. Thus, the VITEK®2 Identification Card for Gram-positive organisms was placed in the tube containing the Gram-positive bacterial suspension, and the VITEK®2 Identification Card for Gram-negative organisms was placed in the tube containing Gram-negative bacterial suspension. Thereafter, the instructions on data entry and how to load the cassette into the instrument were followed as directed by the manual and instrument. After insertion of the cassettes into the instrument, the cassettes were incubated for 18–24 h, after which the organisms were identified using the VITEK®2 compact System software.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was used to determine the differences in the isolation of amoebae from biofilm and water samples in hospitals A and B. The collected data were analyzed with SPSS® version 20 (SPSS Inc., USA), using crossing tables and chi-square test (asymptotic significance, two-tailed). Statistical significance was set at *p* < 0.05. The Pearson chi-square test was used to test for association between categorical variables. The interpretation was performed at a 95 % confidence limit.

**Results**

**Physicochemical parameters**

The water temperature of the two hospitals at the time of sampling ranged between 18.3 and 30.8 °C (mean 22.6 °C)
at hospital A and 20.0–25.7 °C (mean 22.6 °C) at hospital B. The pH of the water ranged between 3.8 and 8.5 (mean 7.8); 7.6–8.5 (mean 7.8) at hospital A and 3.8–8.1 (mean 7.6) at hospital B. The pH of 3.8 was recorded from one sample collected at the renal dialysis unit of hospital A after treatment by reverse osmosis. The residual chlorine levels for the hospitals ranged between 0.01 and 0.34 mg/L (mean 0.28 mg/L) at the time of sampling. The chlorine levels of the individual hospitals were 0.06–0.33 mg/L (mean 0.23 mg/L) for hospital A and 0.01–0.34 mg/L (mean 0.20 mg/L) for hospital B.

**Isolation of FLA**

A total of 77/178 (43.3 %) of the samples collected at the two hospitals contained FLA (Table 2). There was no significant difference between the prevalence of FLA in water and biofilm samples (collected by swabbing inside the opening of taps) \((P > 0.05)\), with 31 (32.6 %) of the FLA isolated from the water and 46 (55.4 %) from the biofilm after amoebal enrichment. Free-living amoebae were observed in 15 (50.0 %) of the samples, 7 (38.8 %) from the water and 8 (66.6 %) from the biofilm samples \((P = 0.14)\) in hospital A. In hospital B, FLA was isolated from 62 (41.9 %) of the samples, 24 (31.0 %) from the water and 38 (53.5 %) from the biofilm \((P = 0.25)\). Amoebae were present in samples from all sampling sites, except from the municipal water inlet of hospital B. Based on morphology, three of the positive samples from hospital A contained clearly distinguishable *Vermamoeba* trophozoites. The FLA present in the other 12 samples could not be distinguished morphologically and were placed in the category “other FLA”.

**Table 2** FLA isolated in water and biofilm samples in hospitals A and B

| Area                          | Water (%) | Biofilm (%) | FLA + |
|-------------------------------|-----------|-------------|-------|
| **Hospital A**                |           |             |       |
| Municipal water inlet         | 1 (100)   | 0 (0.0)     | 1     |
| Neonatal ward                 | 3 (37.5)  | 5 (100)     | 8     |
| Neonatal ICU                  | 1 (33.3)  | 2 (50.0)    | 3     |
| Diarrhoea ward                | 2 (50.0)  | 1 (50.0)    | 3     |
| Milk kitchen                  | 0 (0.0)   | ND          | 0     |
| **Subtotal**                  | 7 (38.8 %)| 8 (66.6 %)  | 15    |
| **Hospital B**                |           |             |       |
| Municipal water inlet         | 0 (0.0)   | 0 (0.0)     | 0     |
| Renal unit                    | 1 (16.7)  | ND          | 1     |
| ICU                           | 10 (18.6) | 14 (41.1)   | 24    |
| Theatre complex               | 10 (45.5) | 11 (50.1)   | 21    |
| TSSU                          | 1 (50.0)  | 2 (100)     | 3     |
| CSSU                          | 1 (50.0)  | 2 (100)     | 3     |
| Endoscopy/bronchoscopy        | 1 (20.0)  | 6 (100)     | 7     |
| Milk kitchen                  | 0 (0.0)   | 3 (50.0)    | 3     |
| **Total**                     | 31 (32.6) | 46 (55.4)   | 77    |

NB: ND not done

**Molecular identification of FLA**

Fifteen amoebae-positive samples from hospital A were analyzed using PCR and DNA sequencing. Three culture plates were overgrown with fungi and were discarded. From the 12 amoebae-positive samples, a total of eight *Vermamoeba vermiformis* isolates detected, GenBank accession numbers: KT385808, KT385810, KT385815, KT385882 (from the neonatal ward); KT385807, KT385809 (from the neonatal ICU) and KT385811, KT385816 (from gastrointestinal ward). The other four *Acanthamoeba* spp. isolates were detected from the neonatal ward (KT385816, KT385819), neonatal ICU (KT385817) and gastrointestinal ward (KT385814). A total of 62 amoebae positive samples from hospital B were analyzed using PCR and sequencing. Of these amoeba positive samples, three showed no bands on agarose gel whilst 15 were overgrown with fungi and were discarded. Therefore, 43/62 (69.4 %) of the samples were positive for amoebae and of these, 38 (88.4 %) were confirmed as *Vermamoeba vermiformis*, four (9.2 %) as *Acanthamoeba* species and one (2.3 %) as *Naegleria gruberi* specie (GenBank accession numbers and sampling areas shown in Supplementary 1).
Phylogenetic analysis of Acanthamoeba species

Neighbor-joining (NJ) analysis was performed using the genus specific primer set JDP-1 and JDP-2 to show relationships between the Acanthamoeba positive isolates in hospital A and hospital B and reference strains from NCBI GenBank for the genotypes T1–T20. The seven Acanthamoeba isolates (GenBank accession numbers: KT385816, KT385819, KT385817, KT385814, KT385852, KT385836, KT385864) closely resembled the T3 genotype, while one isolate (GenBank accession number: KT385867) closely resembled the genotype T20 (Fig. 2).

Detection of amoebae-associated bacteria

Bacterial diversity in hospital A

A total of 14 isolates, composed of six bacterial species were identified using the VITEK®2 analysis from the amoebae positive samples (Table 3). The highest diversity was observed in the neonatal ward where the species Serratia marcescens, Stenotrophomonas maltophilia, Pseudomonas luteola, Rhizobium radiobacter and Archromobacter denitrificans were detected (Table 3). The most commonly detected species were Serratia marcescens (n = 5) and Stenotrophomonas maltophilia (n = 4).

Bacterial diversity in hospital B

A total of 95 isolates composed of 21 bacterial species were detected using the VITEK®2 analysis from amoebae-positive samples of hospital B (Table 4). These bacteria were isolated from all sampling areas except the renal unit. The genera Pseudomonas and Staphylococcus were most abundant with at least two species detected at different sampling areas (Table 4). The most commonly detected species were S. marcescens (n = 25), S. maltophilia (n = 23), Delftia acidovorans (n = 9), S. paucimobilis (n = 7) and Comamonas testosteroni (n = 6).

Discussion

Physico-chemical characteristics

Generally, all the measured physico-chemical parameters were within the limits prescribed by the South African National Standard for Drinking Water Systems (SANS 241:2015). According to the standards, the accepted pH ranges are 6.0 to 9.0 and residual chlorine ≤ 5 mg/L. These standards, however, do not cover water used in haemodialysis units to treat renal patients. The Advancement of Medical Instrumentation (AAMI) used by the South African Renal Society (SARS) recommends residual chlorine of ≤ 0.50 mg/L for renal water, which is within the limits of the reverse osmosis (RO) water collected. The AAMI standards do not state the ideal pH range for renal dialysis water. Reverse osmosis (RO) membranes generally have a wide pH tolerance (2–11); however, an optimum range of 5.5–8.5 is recommended for maximum performance. The lower pH of 3.8 observed at hospital B might have been caused by acid feeds used to control membrane fouling during reverse osmosis. The resulting acidic water may leach copper from the distribution system or the dialysate which may cause side effects such as nausea, chills, headaches or even liver damage to the patient (Corbett et al. 2014).

Isolation of free-living amoebae

FLA were isolated from all the sampling areas except the milk room of hospital A and the municipal water inlet of hospital B. In total, 43.3 % (77/178) contained FLA: 50.0 % (15/30) from hospital A and 41.9 % (62/148) (35.2 %) from hospital B. The prevalence of FLA in hospital water distribution systems has been reported from previous studies in Europe, South America, the Middle East and Africa. However, there has been a lack of information regarding the occurrence of FLA from South African hospitals. The prevalence of FLA in 43.3 % (77/178) of the samples in the current study is similar with a study in Egypt by Hassan et al. (2012) which isolated amoebae in 42.9 % (30/70). Other studies in the USA and India have identified amoebae in 14.8 % (13/88) and in 14.0 % (14/100) of hospital samples, respectively (Ovrutsky et al. 2013; Khurana et al. 2015). Studies from Germany and France reported amoebae prevalence of 51.8 % (29/56) and 68.9 % (73/106) respectively (Rohr et al. 1998; Lasheras et al. 2006). The variability in the prevalence of FLA in water distribution systems can be explained by differences in geographical areas and sample source of the previous studies with the current study (Corsaro et al. 2009). In addition, there is no consensus on
Table 3  Distribution of bacterial species at sampling areas in hospital A

| Bacterial species                  | Inlet (n = 4) | NW (n = 8) | NICU (n = 5) | Diarrhoea ward (n = 3) | Total |
|------------------------------------|---------------|------------|--------------|------------------------|-------|
| Serratia marcescens                | 1             | 2          | 1            | 1                      | 5     |
| Stenotrophomonas maltophilia       | 0             | 3          | 1            | 0                      | 4     |
| Pseudomonas luteola                | 0             | 1          | 0            | 0                      | 1     |
| Rhizobium radiobacter              | 0             | 1          | 0            | 0                      | 1     |
| Achromobacter denitrificans        | 0             | 1          | 0            | 0                      | 1     |
| Sphingomonas paucimobilis          | 0             | 0          | 0            | 2                      | 2     |
| Total                              | 1             | 7          | 2            | 3                      | 14    |

NW neonatal ward, NICU neonatal intensive care unit
sampling and processing methods for isolation of amoebae from environmental samples to date, which further affects prevalence rates among studies.

The prevalence of FLA was higher in biofilm (55.4 %) than in water (32.6 %) in total samples ($P > 0.05$). Between the two hospitals, FLA prevalence of 38.8 % in water and 66.6 % in biofilm ($P = 0.14$) samples was observed for hospital A and 41.9 % water and 53.5 % in biofilm samples ($P = 0.25$) for hospital B. Our study is in agreement with a small study of 88 samples by Ovrutsky et al. (2013) in which FLA was observed in 15.4 % biofilm samples compared to the 13.0 % of water samples. Biofilm formation within a water distribution system can protect opportunistic pathogens, including FLA, from disinfection resulting in FLA proliferation (Barbeau and Buhler 2001; Pickup et al. 2007; Van der Wielen and Van der Kooij 2013). This might explain the high prevalence of FLA in biofilm samples compared to water samples.

**Biodiversity of FLA**

The genera belonging to *Acanthamoeba* and *Vermamoeba* (formerly *Hartmanella*) were detected in the two hospitals, with the non-pathogenic *N. gruberi* (GenBank accession no. KT385863) being detected only in hospital B. A study done by Doust et al. (2008) in Iran with hospital samples also observed *Acanthamoeba, Vermamoeba* and *Naegleria* species to be more prevalent. Other studies have, however, reported higher diversities of amoebae in hospital environments in comparison to the present study. A study of six hospitals in Germany with 56 hot water taps and 49 biofilm samples detected the genera *Acanthamoeba*, *Vermamoeba*, *Naegleria*, *Vanella* and *Vahlkampfia* (Rohr et al. 1998). Another study in a new hospital building, also in Germany reported by Michel et al. (1995), isolated *Acanthamoeba, Vermamoeba, Naegleri*, *Echinamoeba* species. Differences in diversity...
can also be explained by factors that include, differences in geographical areas, climate and sample type (water, biofilm or dust). Differences in methods (culture or molecular) used by study groups to identify FLA is also an important factor why different diversities are reported.

Vermamoeba vermiformis

All samples considered presumptively positive for Vermamoeba spp. after microscopic examination were confirmed by using PCR and DNA sequencing. Vermamoeba vermiformis was the most abundant amoebae species in the two hospital water systems, with a total of 48 isolates. Our findings are in agreement with a recent study in France by Pagnier et al. (2015), where 9 V. vermiformis isolates were detected from 14 amoebae species isolated in hospital water. Similar studies in Turkey and Switzerland also reported higher V. vermiformis prevalence of 72.7 and 86.7 %, respectively (Çoşkun et al. 2013; Thomas et al. 2006). The genus Vermamoeba as a causative agent has been debatable, however, its isolation from human tissues suggest a role in infection (Abedkhojasteh et al. 2013; Cabello-Vilchez et al. 2014).

Acanthamoeba species

In this study, eight Acanthamoeba isolates were identified by 18S rRNA sequencing and phylogenetic analysis using the Acanthamoeba-specific primers, JDP1 and JDP2. In contrast, previous studies have reported relatively higher prevalence of Acanthamoeba spp. A study by Bagheri et al. (2010) reported the presence of Acanthamoeba spp. in 45 of the 94 (48 %) samples collected in different wards of hospitals in Iran. Two separate studies by the same research group in hospital environments also reported the presence of Acanthamoeba species in 47 of the 135 (34 %) samples collected (Carlesso et al. 2007) and 31 of the 135 (23 %) samples (Carlesso et al. 2010). Studies in Tunisia and Egypt have also shown a high detection rate of 32.6–42.9 % of Acanthamoeba species in haemodialysis and dental waters (Dendana et al. 2008; Trabelsi et al. 2012; Hassan et al. 2012). Generally, Acanthamoeba has been the most frequently isolated genera in tap water as they are easily identified morphologically compared to other FLA (Thomas et al. 2006; Çoşkun et al. 2013). The low prevalence of the genus Acanthamoeba in our study relative to Vermamoeba can be attributed to the use of FLA common primers which might have been more effective in amplification of Vermamoeba rather than Acanthamoeba (Muchesa et al. 2015).

Several previous studies have shown that the T4 genotype to be connected with most Acanthamoeba infections and to be the most abundant in the hospital environment (Carlesso et al. 2010; Lasjerdi et al. 2011; Rahdar et al. 2012; Risler et al. 2013). However, in our study, phylogenetic analysis identified Acanthamoeba isolates in hospital A (neonatal ward, neonatal ICU and paediatric diarrhoea ward) and hospital B (trauma ICU, endoscopy unit and theatre) that closely resembled the T3 genotype. This was relationship was supported by a bootstrap value of 18 %. The low support values may be because of more sequences to the data set analyzed, that is, the better your data, the lower the support values (Wheeler and Pickett 2008). This means our bootstrap value may still be closer to a realistic one than other with higher figures, but few sequences. The other isolate from neurosurgical ICU of hospital B closely resembled the T20 genotype. The T3 genotype which includes A. griffin, A. pearsei and A. hatchetti has been associated with Acanthamoeba keratitis (Gonzalez-Robles et al. 2001; Visvesvara 2013). A recent study in Iran also isolated the T3 genotype in tap water and swab samples of a high dependency unit that caters for haemato-oncology patients, showing its association with hospital water systems (Khurana et al. 2015). The T20 genotype, previously miss-assigned to genotypes T16 or T4, consists of strains responsible for keratitis, granulomatous amoebic encephalitis and respiratory infections in humans (Visvesvara 2013; Fuerst et al. 2015).

Overall, the presence of the genera Vermamoeba and Acanthamoeba in hospital areas that include ICU, endoscopy unit, theatre, neonatal ward, milk kitchen, paediatric diarrhoea ward, municipal inlet and the equipment sterilization units in our study may present a potential risk factor to a large population of immunocompromised individuals and medical personnel. These individuals might be exposed to these opportunistic FLA through ingestion, inhalation or aspiration of aerosols or contact (Anaisse et al. 2002; Trabelsi et al. 2012). In addition, the residual chlorine range of 0.01–0.35 mg/L in water samples analyzed in this study is not sufficient to eliminate FLA as they have been shown to survive exposure to concentrations as high as 100 mg/L for 10 min (Storey et al. 2004). Therefore, the persistent nature of amoebal cysts means that these populations are frequently exposed to FLA.

Detection of amoebae-associated bacteria

This study investigated the biodiversity of amoebae associated bacteria in the water networks hospitals with no recent history of epidemics involving such microorganisms. Rowbotham (1980) conducted the first study to prove the association between bacteria that is Legionella and the FLA, Acanthamoeba. Numerous other studies have also shown that amoebae in man-made water systems such as drinking water, tap water, swimming pools and cooling towers can be infected by many other relevant bacteria which could use amoebae as a platform for multiplication (Greub and Raoult 2004; Molmeret et al. 2005; Thomas et al. 2006; Pagnier et al. 2008). This study is the first in South Africa where any association between free-living amoebae and bacteria has been reported in hospital water systems. In this study, the most prevalent bacterial
species detected in hospital A were *Serratia marcescens* and *S. maltophilia*; hospital B: *S. marcescens*, *S. maltophilia*, *D. acidovorans*, *S. paucimobilis* and *C. testosteroni*. All the above detected bacteria have been reported as part of the complex microbial ecology in hospital water systems. They have been implicated in hospital acquired infections in healthcare settings, with patients being exposed through drinking, skin contact and inhalation of aerosols (Thomas et al. 2006; Thomas and Ashbolt 2011; Cateau et al. 2014). These bacteria are associated with systematic blood, respiratory tract, the urinary tract, ocular and soft tissues infections (Özdemir et al. 2011; Orsini et al. 2014; Perez et al. 2014; Bilgin et al. 2015).

The amoebae, *V. vermiformis*, were also isolated in all samples in which *S. marcescens*, *S. maltophilia* and *S. paucimobilis*, *D. acidovorans* and *C. testosteroni* were detected. Our study has similarities with a study by Laganà et al. (2014), on the occurrence of FLA and Gram-negative bacteria in an Italian hospital water system, where *Vermamoeba* spp. coexisted with *S. paucimobilis*, *P. fluorescens* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Acinetobacter lwoffi*, *S. maltophilia* and *C. testosteroni*. The endocytobiological relationship in all bacteria detected in this study and amoebae has been described by several studies and reviews where bacteria have been demonstrated to survive or proliferate before being released into the environment by amoebae lysis or in amoebae vesicles (Pagnier et al. 2008; Saisongkorh et al. 2010; Thomas et al. 2010; Maschio et al. 2015). Host-endocytobiont relationships in FLA allow FLA (mainly in cyst form) to form a physical barrier using the resistant cellulose cell walls, offering endocytobionts (bacteria) protection against adverse conditions such as extremes of temperature, UV light ozone, chlorine dioxide, monochloramine and copper-silver (Greub and Raoult 2004; Thomas et al. 2004; Bagheri et al. 2010). This can allow bacterial regrowth in the water system when environmental conditions are favourable (Coulon et al. 2010; Dupuy et al. 2014). In addition, low disinfectant concentrations in distribution networks have limited activity on FLA and also allow the formation of biofilms which enhances amoebae and bacteria persistence (Barbeau and Buhler 2001; Thomas et al. 2004). Studies have also shown that passage through amoebae is likely to increase the virulence and antibiotic resistance of bacteria substantially, enabling them to prepare for subsequent survival in macrophages (Miltner and Bermudez 2000; Schmitz-Esser et al. 2008). Furthermore, up-regulation of virulent genes and horizontal transfer of genes can occur between the intracellular bacteria and their amoebae resulting in bacteria which are more resistant to human macrophages (Siddiqui and Khan 2012; Gomez-Valero and Buchrieser 2013).

Conclusions

Free-living amoebae were isolated from 77 (43.3 %) of the hospital samples, with 31 (32.6 %) being water and 46 (55.4 %) (P > 0.05) biofilm after amoebal enrichment. Using microscopy, PCR and 18S rDNA sequencing, *Acanthamoeba* spp. (T3 and T20 genotypes), *V. vermiformis* and *Naegleria gruberi* were identified. From the amoebae positive samples, *S. marcescens*, *S. maltophilia*, *D. acidovorans*, *S. paucimobilis* and *C. testosteroni* were the most commonly detected bacterial species. Therefore, the co-occurrence of these bacterial species with FLA in our study suggests that these interactions may be important for the transmission, survival and multiplication of bacteria in water systems where immunocompromised patients are constantly exposed, posing an important public health concern.
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