Generalized Whittle–Matérn random field as a model of correlated fluctuations
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Abstract
This paper considers a generalization of the Gaussian random field with covariance function of the Whittle–Matérn family. Such a random field can be obtained as the solution to the fractional stochastic differential equation with two fractional orders. Asymptotic properties of the covariance functions belonging to this generalized Whittle–Matérn family are studied, which are used to deduce the sample path properties of the random field. The Whittle–Matérn field has been widely used in modeling geostatistical data such as sea beam data, wind speed, field temperature and soil data. In this paper we show that the generalized Whittle–Matérn field provides a more flexible model for wind speed data.

PACS number: 02.50.Ey

1. Introduction

Random fields play an important role in geostatistics, which deals with problems stretching from resource evaluation, such as the estimation of ore resources in mining and oil deposits in oil exploration, pollution evaluation in environmental sciences to hydrology, meteorology, agriculture, etc [1–3]. For example, environmental resource models carry out spatial statistical analysis in the quantity of resources available such as the volume of available water, forest, etc, or their quality such as concentration of contaminants in air, water or soil samples. Random fields and their covariance functions or equivalently their variograms are used widely in the modeling of observed spatial data as these data are likely to be spatially dependent. The earlier developments of the subject include work by Whittle [4, 5], Matérn [6, 7], Tatarski [8], Matheron [9] and others. The Gaussian random fields defined using the covariance functions...
from the Whittle–Matérn (WM) covariance class are widely used to model isotropic spatial processes in two and three dimensions.

The WM class of covariance functions \([10–14]\) has recently received considerable interest in geostatistics due to its great flexibility for modeling the spatial variations, in particular its ability to model behaviors of empirical variogram near the origin. Unlike other popular covariance models, the WM model has a parameter that characterizes the smoothness of the associated random field. Due to this reason, Stein \([15]\) strongly recommended the WM class for the modeling of spatial covariance.

A special case of the WM model was first obtained by Whittle \([4]\), who showed that a Gaussian random field with covariance function belonging to the WM class can be obtained as a solution to a stochastic differential equation. The general form of the WM model was given by Matérn \([6]\) and Tatarski \([8]\), and was also considered by Matheron \([9]\) and Shkarofsky \([16]\). It can be associated with the von Kármán spectrum \([17, 18]\) in the modeling of wind speed. A comprehensive historical account on the WM class was given by Guttorp and Gneiting \([19]\), who first called such a class of covariance functions the WM covariance family, but they later changed it to the Matérn covariance family \([20]\).

Recall that the smoothness of a random field is characterized by the fractal dimension, a local property which is determined by the asymptotic properties of the covariance near zero lag and its value depends on the smoothness parameter of the WM covariance. On the other hand, the strength of the spatial correlation is determined by the scale parameter and for large time lag it decays exponentially. In this paper, we propose a new generalization of the WM covariance class with an additional parameter which plays the role of scale or memory parameter, and the spatial correlation strength for large time lag now varies hyperbolically, with exponential decay as a special case.

In the following section we recall some basic facts on the WM covariance class and the random field associated with it. This will be followed by the introduction of the GWM covariance class and the corresponding random field. The asymptotic properties of the GWM covariance function are studied in section 3. Based on these properties we are able to obtain the fractal dimension of the graph of the random field in the GWM model. This random field satisfies a weaker self-similar property called local self-similarity, and it is short range dependent. Simulations of the GWM covariance function and the random field (in two dimensions) are given. In the subsequent section, the GWM process is applied to model wind speed and compared to the model provided by the WM process. Other possible generalizations and applications of the GWM random field are discussed in the concluding section.

2. Generalized Whittle–Matérn model

The WM class of covariance functions is given by \([1–3, 15]\):

\[
C(t) = \frac{2^{1-\nu - y}}{\pi^\frac{n}{2} \Gamma(y)} \left( \frac{|t|}{\lambda} \right)^{\nu - \frac{n}{2}} K_{\nu - \frac{n}{2}}(\lambda |t|),
\]

where \(K_{\nu}(z)\) is the modified Bessel function of second kind (or Macdonald function), \(t \in \mathbb{R}^n, |t| = \sqrt{t_1^2 + \cdots + t_n^2}\) is the Euclidean norm of \(t\), \(\lambda > 0\) is a scale parameter controlling the spatial range of the covariance and \(\nu = \gamma - (n/2) > 0\) is the smoothness parameter governing the level of smoothness of the associated Gaussian random field \(Y(t)\). Note that the WM covariance \((1)\) has the same functional form as the characteristic function of the
multivariate t-distribution [21]. The spectral density of $Y(t)$ is given by the Fourier transform of (1):

$$S(\omega) = F(C(t)) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} C(t) e^{-i\omega \cdot t} \, dt = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \frac{1}{(|\omega|^2 + \lambda^2)^{\alpha}}.$$ 

The Gaussian random field $Y(t)$ with covariance (1) can be obtained as the solution to the following fractional stochastic differential equation [4]:

$$(-\Delta + \lambda^2)^{\frac{3}{2}} Y(t) = \eta(t),$$

where $\Delta = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} + \cdots + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}$ is the $n$-dimensional Laplacian, and $\eta(t)$ is the standard white noise defined by

$$\langle \eta(t) \rangle = 0, \quad \langle \eta(t) \eta(s) \rangle = \delta(t-s).$$

One early generalization of the WM family of covariance functions was proposed by Shkarofsky [16]. Based on the argument that a covariance function for turbulence needs to have no cusp, it is required to have zero derivative at the origin and a second derivative that is finite and negative. In order to satisfy these requirements, he generalized (1) to a covariance with two complementary parameters

$$C(t) = \left(\frac{\lambda\sqrt{|\xi|^2 + \xi^2}}{(\lambda \xi)^{\alpha}}K_{\alpha}\left(\frac{\lambda \sqrt{|\xi|^2 + \xi^2}}{(\lambda \xi)^{\alpha}}\right)\right).$$

Clearly, up to constants, (4) reduces to the covariance in WM class (1) when $\xi \to 0^+$. There also exist generalizations of the WM class to a non-stationary class of covariance functions that allow for anisotropy, one such generalization is [22]:

$$C(t_1, t_2) = \left(\frac{\lambda(t_1 + t_2)}{2}\right)^{-\alpha} K_{\alpha}\left(2\frac{\lambda(t_1 + t_2)}{2}\right).$$

In view of the wide applications of fractal operators in physics [23], we propose another generalization of the WM class of the covariance function by extending the fractional stochastic differential equation (2) to one with two fractional orders

$$[(\Delta)^{\alpha} + \lambda^2]^{\frac{3}{2}} Y_{\alpha,\gamma}(t) = \eta(t),$$

with $\lambda, \gamma > 0$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, and the Riesz fractional derivative $D^{2\alpha} = (-\Delta)^{\alpha}$ is defined by

$$D^{2\alpha} f = (-\Delta)^{\alpha} f = F^{-1}\{(|\omega|^{2\alpha} F[f](\omega)\}$$

or

$$(F D^{2\alpha} f)(\omega) = |\omega|^{2\alpha}(F[f](\omega)).$$

One can regard the fractional operator $[(\Delta)^{\alpha} + \lambda^2]^{\frac{3}{2}}$ as a ‘shifted’ Riesz derivative and it has formally the series representation:

$$[(\Delta)^{\alpha} + \lambda^2]^{\frac{3}{2}} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\gamma/2}{j}\right) \lambda^{\gamma-2j} (-\Delta)^{\alpha j}.$$ 

See [26] for a more rigorous treatment of this operator based on hypersingular integrals. Now by using

$$[(\Delta)^{\alpha} + \lambda^2]^{\frac{3}{2}} f)(t) = F^{-1}\{|\omega|^{2\alpha} + \lambda^2 F[f](\omega)\}(t),$$

the solution to (6) is found to be

$$Y_{\alpha,\gamma}(t) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{e^{i\omega \cdot t} \hat{y}(\omega)}{(|\omega|^{2\alpha} + \lambda^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \, d^n\omega.$$
where \( \hat{h}(\omega) = F[\eta](\omega) \) is the Fourier transform of the white noise. For convenience, we call \( Y_{\alpha,\gamma}(t) \) the GWM (generalized Whittle–Matérn) field. The representation (11) shows that the GWM field \( Y_{\alpha,\gamma}(t) \) is a centered Gaussian field with covariance function

\[
C_{\alpha,\gamma}(t-t') = C_{\alpha,\gamma}(t, t') = \langle Y_{\alpha,\gamma}(t)Y_{\alpha,\gamma}(t') \rangle = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{\omega \cdot (t-t')} \left( |\omega|^{2\alpha} + \lambda^2 \right)^{\gamma/2} d^n \omega. \tag{12}
\]

From this, we see that \( Y_{\alpha,\gamma}(t) \) is an isotropic field with spectral density

\[
S_{\alpha,\gamma}(\omega) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \frac{1}{(|\omega|^{2\alpha} + \lambda^2)^{\gamma/2}}. \tag{13}
\]

Note that in the case of \( \alpha = 1, \gamma > 0 \), the field \( Y_{1,\gamma}(t) \) is sometimes called Bessel field by some authors [27–30] based on the fact that the operator \((-\Delta + \lambda^2)^{\gamma/2}\) is closely related to the Bessel potential with the WM covariance (1) equal to the Bessel kernel up to a multiplication constant [24]. Since the function \((|\omega|^{2\alpha} + \lambda^2)^{-\gamma/2}\) is in \( L_2(\mathbb{R}) \) if and only if \( \alpha \gamma > n/2 \), the field \( Y_{\alpha,\gamma}(t) \) is only well defined by (11) as an ordinary random field when \( \alpha \gamma > n/2 \). When \( \alpha \gamma \leq n/2 \), \( Y_{\alpha,\gamma}(t) \) can be regarded as a generalized random field over the Schwarz space of test functions [31]. In the following, when we study the properties of the random field \( Y_{\alpha,\gamma}(t) \), we restrict to the case \( \alpha \gamma > n/2 \). The two-dimensional GWM field with selected values of \( \alpha \) and \( \gamma \) is simulated in figure 1. In the following section we shall study the asymptotic properties of the covariance and the sample path properties of \( Y_{\alpha,\gamma}(t) \).
Here we would like to remark that when \( n = 1 \) and \( \alpha = 1 \), the GWM process \( Y_{1,\gamma}(t) \) is also called the Weyl fractional Ornstein–Uhlenbeck process or the Weyl fractional oscillator process [32, 33], which can be considered as a generalization of an ordinary oscillator process driven by white noise.

3. Asymptotic properties of the covariance function

When \( \alpha = 1 \), the covariance of GWM field (12) \( C_{1,\gamma}(t) \) reduces to the WM class given by (1). However, (12) in general does not have a closed analytic form. It is interesting to note that the spectral density of the GWM field has the same functional form as both the characteristic function of generalized multivariate Linnik distribution [34, 35] and the covariance function of the generalized Cauchy class in \( \mathbb{R}^d \) [36, 37]. Thus the covariance of the GWM field, the generalized multivariate Linnik distribution and the spectral density of the random field belonging to the generalized Cauchy class all should have the same analytic and asymptotic properties. These properties have been considered for the generalized Linnik distribution in \( \mathbb{R} \), and the multivariate Linnik distribution for the special case with \( \alpha \in (0, 1) \) and \( \gamma = 1 \), and for the spectral density of the random field of the generalized Cauchy class by Kotz et al [34] and Ostrovskii [35], and Lim and Teo [37], respectively. Thus the results obtained in [34, 35, 37] can be translated directly to the covariance of the GWM field.

For general \( \alpha \) and \( \gamma \), we can use a theorem of Bochner [38] which says that being an isotropic covariance function, \( C_{\alpha,\gamma}(t) \) has a spectral representation given by

\[
C_{\alpha,\gamma}(t) = \left(2\pi\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \int_0^\infty \frac{J_{n-\frac{2}{2}}(\omega|t|)}{\left(\omega|t|\right)^{n+\gamma}} S_{\alpha,\gamma}(\omega) \omega^{n-1} d\omega
\]

(14)

Here \( J_\nu(z) \) is the Bessel function of the first kind of order \( \nu \). Now the result on a representation of the spectral density of the random field of the generalized Cauchy class in [37] can be applied and we find that for \( \alpha \in (0, 1) \), the covariance function \( C_{\alpha,\gamma}(t) \) has another representation given by

\[
C_{\alpha,\gamma}(t) = -\frac{|t|^{\frac{n}{2}}}{2\pi^2 n} \text{Im} \int_0^\infty \frac{K_{n-\frac{2}{2}}(u|t|)}{(u^{2\alpha} + \lambda^2)^{\gamma}} u^2 \, du.
\]

(15)

In fact, for all \( \alpha \in (0, 1) \) and \( \gamma > 0 \), the integral in (15) is convergent when \( t \neq 0 \). Together with (1), we find that for \( \alpha\gamma \leq n/2 \), \( Y_{\alpha,\gamma}(t) \) can be considered as a random field with infinite variance and with covariance given by (15) if \( \alpha \in (0, 1) \); and by (1) if \( \alpha = 1 \). Since

\[ K_\nu(z) \sim \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2z} e^{-z} \quad \text{as} \quad z \to \infty, \]

we can use (15) to effectively calculate the numerical values of \( C_{\alpha,\gamma}(t) \). On the other hand, we can also use (15) to study the large \( |t| \) behavior of the covariance function \( C_{\alpha,\gamma}(t) \) when \( \alpha \in (0, 1) \). More precisely, using the formula

\[
\frac{1}{(1+z)^\gamma} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\gamma + j) (-1)^j}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \frac{1}{j!} z^j,
\]

and the formula

\[
\int_0^\infty x^\mu K_\nu(x) \, dx = 2^{\mu-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{1+\mu+\nu}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1+\mu-\nu}{2}\right)
\]
we find that if $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, then when $|t| \to \infty$, we have
\[
C_{\alpha, \gamma}(t) = -\frac{|t|^{-\alpha}}{2^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2}} \pi^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2}}} \mathrm{Im} \int_0^\infty e^{\frac{it^2}{2}} \frac{K_{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}}(u)}{(\alpha \omega + \lambda^2)^{\gamma}} u^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \, du
\]
\[
\sim -\frac{|t|^{-\alpha}}{2^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2}} \pi^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2}}} \mathrm{Im} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\gamma + j) \lambda^{-2\gamma} \Gamma(j-\gamma)}{j!} e^{\pi \alpha j} |t|^{-2aj} \int_0^\infty u^{2aj+\frac{\alpha}{2}} K_{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}}(u) \, du
\]
\[
\sim \frac{1}{\pi^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2}}} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\gamma + j) \lambda^{-2\gamma} \Gamma(j-\gamma)}{\Gamma(\gamma) j!} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha + \frac{n}{2})} 2^{2aj} \lambda^{-2\gamma} \sin(\pi \alpha j) |t|^{-2aj-n}.
\]
In particular, the leading term of $C_{\alpha, \gamma}(t)$ when $|t| \to \infty$ is
\[
C_{\alpha, \gamma}(t) \sim \frac{2\lambda^{-2\gamma-1} \gamma}{\pi^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2}}} \Gamma(\alpha + 1) \Gamma(\alpha + \frac{n}{2}) \sin(\pi \alpha j) |t|^{-2a-n}.
\]
Note that the order of the leading term $|t|^{-2a-n}$ only depends on $\alpha$. In other words, the large time asymptotic behavior of the covariance function varies as $|t|^{-2a-n}$ and does not depend on $\gamma$. When $\alpha = 1$, we cannot use \((15)\). However, we can obtain the large-$|t|$ behavior of $C_{1, \gamma}(t)$ from the explicit formula \((1)\) and the asymptotic formula for $K_n(z)$ \((39)\), \#8,451, no. 6) which give
\[
C_{1, \gamma}(t) \sim \frac{2^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2} - \gamma} \alpha^{-\gamma} \lambda^{-1}}{\pi^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2}} \Gamma(\gamma)} e^{-\lambda |t|} |t|^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}.
\]
Note that in this case, $C_{1, \gamma}(t)$ decays exponentially and the leading term is
\[
C_{1, \gamma}(t) \sim \frac{2^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2} - \gamma} \alpha^{-\gamma} \lambda^{-1}}{\pi^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2}} \Gamma(\gamma)} e^{-\lambda |t|} |t|^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}.
\]
To study the local properties of the GWM field $Y_{a, \gamma}(t)$ such as Hölder continuity, local asymptotic self-similarity and Hausdorff dimension of the graph, we need to study the small-$|t|$ behavior of the variogram
\[
\sigma^2_{a, \gamma}(t) := \langle |Y_{a, \gamma}(t) - Y_{a, \gamma}(0)|^2 \rangle
\]
of the increment field $Y_{a, \gamma}(t) - Y_{a, \gamma}(0)$. Note that
\[
\sigma^2_{a, \gamma}(t) = 2(C_{a, \gamma}(0) - C_{a, \gamma}(t)),
\]
and the variance of $Y_{a, \gamma}(t)$ is given explicitly by
\[
\langle |Y_{a, \gamma}(t)|^2 \rangle = C_{a, \gamma}(0) = \frac{1}{2\pi^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{(|\omega|^{2a} + \lambda^{2\gamma})^{\alpha}} \, d^n \omega
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{2^{n-1} \pi^{\frac{n}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{n}{2})} \int_0^\infty \frac{\lambda^{2-\gamma} \Gamma(\gamma - \frac{n}{2})}{\Gamma(\gamma) \int_0^\infty \frac{\lambda^{2-\gamma} \Gamma(\gamma - \frac{n}{2})}{\Gamma(\gamma)}} \, d\lambda.
\]
The $|t| \to 0$ asymptotic properties of $\sigma^2_{a, \gamma}(t)$ depend on the arithmetic nature of $a$ and $\gamma$. To explore the leading behavior of $\sigma^2_{a, \gamma}(t)$ as $|t| \to 0$, we have to discuss the cases $\alpha \gamma \in \left(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n+2}{2}\right)$, $\alpha \gamma = \frac{n+2}{2}$ and $\alpha \gamma > \frac{n+2}{2}$ separately. From \((21)\) and \((14)\),
\[
\sigma^2_{a, \gamma}(t) = -\frac{2}{(2\pi)^n} \int_0^\infty \left( J_{\frac{\alpha+1}{2}}(k|t|) \right) e^{-\lambda |t|} \left( k^{\alpha-1} \Gamma(\frac{\alpha}{2}) \right) \, dk.
\]
Case I. When \( \alpha \gamma \in \left( \frac{\alpha \gamma}{2}, \frac{\alpha \gamma}{2} \right) \), by making a change of variable \( k \mapsto k/|t| \), (23) is transformed to

\[
\sigma^2_{\alpha \gamma}(t) = -\frac{2|t|^{2\alpha \gamma - n}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \int_0^\infty \left( \frac{J_{\alpha \gamma}(k)}{k^{\frac{n}{2}}} - \frac{1}{2^{\frac{n}{2} + 1} \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)} \right) \frac{k^{n-1}}{(k^{2\alpha} + \lambda^2 |t|^{2\alpha \gamma})^{\frac{n}{2}}} dk. \tag{24}
\]

When \(|t| \to 0\), the integral

\[
I(t) = \int_0^\infty \left( \frac{J_{\alpha \gamma}(k)}{k^{\frac{n}{2}}} - \frac{1}{2^{\frac{n}{2} + 1} \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)} \right) \frac{k^{n-1}}{(k^{2\alpha} + \lambda^2 |t|^{2\alpha \gamma})^{\frac{n}{2}}} dk \tag{25}
\]

approaches a finite limit given by

\[
I = \int_0^\infty \left( \frac{J_{\alpha \gamma}(k)}{k^{\frac{n}{2}}} - \frac{1}{2^{\frac{n}{2} + 1} \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)} \right) k^{n-2\alpha \gamma - 1} dk.
\]

Using the regularization method (see the appendix), it can be shown that

\[
I = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2} - \alpha \gamma\right)}{2^{2\alpha \gamma - \frac{n}{2}} \Gamma(\alpha \gamma)}. \tag{26}
\]

Therefore, as \(|t| \to 0\),

\[
\sigma^2_{\alpha \gamma}(t) = -\frac{2}{2^{2\alpha \gamma - 1} \pi^{\frac{n}{2}}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2} - \alpha \gamma\right)}{\Gamma(\alpha \gamma)} |t|^{2\alpha \gamma - n} + o(|t|^{2\alpha \gamma - n}). \tag{27}
\]

Note that when \( \alpha \in \left( \frac{\alpha \gamma}{2}, \frac{\alpha \gamma}{2} \right) \), the leading order of \( \sigma^2_{\alpha \gamma}(t) \) depends on \( \alpha \) and \( \gamma \) only in the combination \( \alpha \gamma \). By letting \( \gamma' = \gamma/\alpha \) gives \( \alpha \gamma = \gamma' \). Hence the \(|t| \to 0\) asymptotic properties of \( \sigma^2_{\alpha \gamma}(t) \) vary as \(|t|^{2\gamma'-n} \) which is independent of \( \alpha \).

Case II. When \( \alpha \gamma > \frac{\alpha \gamma}{2} \), using the fact that

\[
J_v(z) = \frac{z^v}{2^v} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^j z^{2j}}{j! \Gamma(v + j + 1)},
\]

([39], #8.402), we find that as \(|t| \to 0\),

\[
\frac{J_{\alpha \gamma}(k|t|)}{(k|t|)^{\frac{n}{2}}} - \frac{1}{2^{\frac{n}{2} + 1} \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)} = -\frac{(k|t|)^2}{2^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)} + o(|t|^2). \tag{28}
\]

Therefore, (23) gives

\[
\sigma^2_{\alpha \gamma}(t) = \frac{|t|^2}{2^{n+1} \pi \Gamma\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)} \int_0^\infty \frac{k^{n+1} dk}{(k^{2\alpha} + \lambda^2 |t|^{2\alpha \gamma})^{\frac{n+1}{2}}} + o(|t|^2)
\]

\[
= \frac{\lambda^{-2\alpha \gamma + \frac{n+1}{2}}}{2^{n+1} \pi \alpha \Gamma\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\gamma - \frac{n+1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\gamma - \frac{n+1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(\gamma)} |t|^2 + o(|t|^2) \tag{29}
\]

as \(|t| \to 0\).

Case III. In the limiting case \( \alpha \gamma = \frac{\alpha \gamma}{2} \), equation (24) gives

\[
\sigma^2_{\alpha \gamma}(t) = -\frac{2|t|^2}{(2\pi)^\frac{n}{2}} \int_0^\infty \left( \frac{J_{\alpha \gamma}(k)}{k^{\frac{n}{2}}} - \frac{1}{2^{\frac{n}{2} + 1} \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)} \right) \frac{k^{n-1}}{(k^{2\alpha} + \lambda^2 |t|^{2\alpha \gamma})^{\frac{n}{2}}} dk. \tag{30}
\]

However, now the integral

\[
I(t) = \int_0^\infty \left( \frac{J_{\alpha \gamma}(k)}{k^{\frac{n}{2}}} - \frac{1}{2^{\frac{n}{2} + 1} \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)} \right) \frac{k^{n-1}}{(k^{2\alpha} + \lambda^2 |t|^{2\alpha \gamma})^{\frac{n}{2}}} dk
\]
does not have a finite limit when $t \to 0$. In the appendix, we show that
\[
I(t) = -\frac{1}{2^{\alpha + \gamma} \Gamma(\alpha + 1) \Gamma(\alpha + n/2) \sin(\pi \gamma)/(\pi^{(n+2)/2}\lambda^{2\gamma+1})} \log \frac{1}{|t|} + A + o(1) \tag{31}
\]
for some constant $A$. Therefore, as $|t| \to 0$,
\[
\sigma_{\alpha,\gamma}^2(t) = \frac{1}{2^{\alpha + \gamma} \Gamma(\alpha + 1) \Gamma(\alpha + n/2) \sin(\pi \gamma)/(\pi^{(n+2)/2}\lambda^{2\gamma+1})} |t|^2 \log \frac{1}{|t|} + O(|t|^2). \tag{32}
\]
From (27), (29) and (32), we see that the behavior of the leading order term of $\sigma_{\alpha,\gamma}^2(t)$ when $|t| \to 0$ depends on $\gamma' - (n/2)$. If $\gamma' - (n/2) \in (0, 1)$, the leading order term is of order $|t|^2\gamma' - n$ which depends on the magnitude of $\gamma' - (n/2)$. If $\gamma' - (n/2) > 1$, then the leading order term is of order $|t|^2$, which loses dependence on $\gamma' - (n/2)$. In the borderline case $\gamma' - (n/2) = 1$, the leading order term is of order $|t|^2 \log(1/|t|)$.

The graphs of $C_{\alpha,\gamma}(t)$ when $|t|$ is large and $\sigma_{\alpha,\gamma}^2(t)$ when $|t|$ is small for some particular values of $\alpha$ and $\gamma$ are given in figures 2 and 3, respectively.
4. Sample path properties of the GWM field $Y_{\alpha,\gamma}(t)$

Some basic sample path properties of the GWM field will be considered in this section.

4.1. Continuity and differentiability

When $\alpha \gamma > n/2$ for which the field $Y_{\alpha,\gamma}(t)$ is defined as an ordinary random field, the covariance function $C_{\alpha,\gamma}(t)$ (12) is continuous at $t = 0$. By a well-known result (see, e.g., [40]), this implies that the field $Y_{\alpha,\gamma}(t)$ is mean square (m.s.) continuous. One may then proceed to investigate the differentiability of the field $Y_{\alpha,\gamma}(t)$. It turns out that $Y_{\alpha,\gamma}(t)$ is not always differentiable. In fact, a well-known result (see, e.g., [40]) states that the m.s. first partial derivative $\partial X(t)/\partial t$ of a stationary random field $X(t)$ exists if and only if the partial derivative $\partial^2 C(t)/\partial t^2$ exists at $t = 0$, where $C(t)$ denotes the covariance function of $X(t)$. From our result in the previous section, we find that as $t \to 0$,

$$C(t) - C(0) = \begin{cases} 
B_1|t|^{2\alpha\gamma-n} + o(|t|^{2\alpha\gamma-n}), & \text{if } \alpha\gamma \in \left(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n+2}{2}\right), \\
B_2|t|^2 \log \frac{1}{|t|} + O(|t|^2), & \text{if } \alpha\gamma = \frac{n+2}{2}, \\
B_3|t|^2 + o(|t|^2), & \text{if } \alpha\gamma > \frac{n+2}{2},
\end{cases}$$

for some constants $B_1, B_2, B_3$. It is easy to check that for the radial function $f(t) = |t|^h$, the second partial derivative $\partial^2 f(t)/\partial t^2$ exists at $t = 0$ if and only if $h \geq 2$. Therefore, we conclude that the mean square partial derivatives of $Y_{\alpha,\gamma}(t)$ exist if and only if $\alpha\gamma > \frac{n+2}{2}$, with a representation given by

$$\frac{\partial Y_{\alpha,\gamma}}{\partial t_j}(t) = \frac{i}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{\omega_j e^{i\omega \cdot \hat{\beta}(\omega)}}{|(\omega \cdot \hat{\beta}(\omega))^2 + \lambda_2^2|} \, d\omega.$$  

(34)

In fact, we can argue analogously that the m.s. $j$th order partial derivatives of $Y_{\alpha,\gamma}(t)$ exist if and only if $\alpha\gamma > (n/2) + j$.

For $\alpha\gamma \in \left(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n+2}{2}\right)$, the field $Y_{\alpha,\gamma}(t)$ is not differentiable. Therefore, we would instead investigate the order of continuity of $Y_{\alpha,\gamma}(t)$. Recall that a function $f$ is said to be Hölder continuous of order $h \in (0, 1]$ if and only if

$$|f(t') - f(t)| \leq K|t' - t|^h \quad \forall t', t,$$

(35)

for some constant $K$. The sum of all $h$ where $f$ is Hölder continuous of order $h$ is called the Hölder exponent of $f$. For a centered isotropic Gaussian random field $X(t)$, a concept of the index-$\beta$ field was introduced by Adler [40] which can be used to characterize the Hölder exponent of the sample paths of $X(t)$. More precisely, a theorem states that if $X(t)$ is an index-$\beta$ field, then with probability one, its sample paths have Hölder exponent equal to $\beta$, where $X(t)$ is called the index-$\beta$ field if and only if

$$\beta = \sup \{\hat{\beta} : \sigma(t) = o(|t|^\hat{\beta}) \quad \text{as } |t| \to 0\} = \inf \{\hat{\beta} : |t|^\beta = o(\sigma(t)) \quad \text{as } |t| \to 0\}.$$

(36)

Here $\sigma(t)$ is defined as the square root of the variogram of $X(t)$, i.e., $\sigma(t) = \sqrt{\langle X(0) - X(t) \rangle^2}$. For the field $Y_{\alpha,\gamma}(t)$ we are considering, it is immediate to conclude that from (27), (29) and (32) that if $\alpha\gamma \in \left(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n+2}{2}\right)$, then $Y_{\alpha,\gamma}(t)$ is an indexed $(\alpha\gamma - (n/2))$ field; whereas if $\alpha\gamma \geq \frac{n+2}{2}$, then $Y_{\alpha,\gamma}(t)$ is an index-1 field. Therefore, we have for $\alpha\gamma \in \left(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n+2}{2}\right)$, the sample paths of $Y_{\alpha,\gamma}(t)$ is Hölder continuous of order $\alpha\gamma - (n/2)$.
with probability one. For $\alpha \gamma > \frac{n+2}{2}$, it can be shown by considering the gradient field $\nabla Y_{\alpha, \gamma}(t) = (\partial Y_{\alpha, \gamma}(t)/\partial t_1, \ldots, \partial Y_{\alpha, \gamma}(t)/\partial t_n)$ that the sample paths of $Y_{\alpha, \gamma}(t)$ are differentiable.

4.2. Fractal dimension

For a non-differentiable function $f$, the ordinary definition of dimension, which is always a non-negative integer, is inadequate to measure the dimensionality of its image or graph. A more appropriate definition of the dimension is called fractal of Hausdorff dimension which can be any non-negative real number. Definition and basic properties of fractal dimension can be obtained in the book [41]. Here we would like to make use of the following result. For an index-\beta field in $\mathbb{R}^n$, with probability one, the fractal dimension of the image and graph of its sample path are 1 and $n + 1 - \beta$, respectively. Thus, with probability one, the image of the sample path of $Y_{\alpha, \gamma}(t)$ always has fractal dimension one. The result is more interesting for the fractal dimension of the graphs. If $\alpha \gamma \in \left(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n+2}{2}\right)$, then with probability one, the graph of the sample path of $Y_{\alpha, \gamma}(t)$ has dimension $\frac{3n}{2} + 1 - \alpha \gamma$, a real number between $n$ and $n + 1$. However, when $\alpha \gamma$ exceeds the point $\frac{n+2}{2}$, then with probability one, the graph of the sample path of $Y_{\alpha, \gamma}(t)$ always has dimension equal to $n$. This is reasonable since the sample path of $Y_{\alpha, \gamma}(t)$ becomes differentiable when $\alpha \gamma > \frac{n+2}{2}$. In fact, figure 1 shows clearly that the fractal dimension of the graph of $Y_{\alpha, \gamma}(t_1, t_2)$ depends on $\gamma' = \alpha \gamma$.

4.3. Local self-similarity

Self-similarity is an important property of fractals. Intuitively, a field is called self-similar if it is invariant under appropriate scaling. For a random field $X(t)$, we say that it is self-similar of order $H$ if and only if for any $r > 0$, the law of the field $X(rt)$ is the same as the law of the field $r^H X(t)$. It is well known that a stationary random field cannot be self-similar [42]. In fact, up to a constant multiplicative factor, the only $H$-self-similar centered Gaussian random field with stationary increments is the fractional Lévy Brownian field $B_H(t)$ of index $H$ with covariance

$$
\langle B_H(s)B_H(t) \rangle = \frac{1}{2}(|t|^{2H} + |s|^{2H} - |t-s|^{2H}).
$$

(37)

This excludes the possibility for $Y_{\alpha, \gamma}(t)$ being a self-similar random field. However, $Y_{\alpha, \gamma}(t)$ satisfies a weaker self-similar property known as local self-similarity considered by Kent and Wood [43]. A centered stationary Gaussian field is locally self-similar of order $\beta/2$ if its covariance $C(t)$ satisfies for $|t| \to 0$,

$$
C(t) = C(0) - A|t|^\beta[1 + O(|t|^{\delta})]
$$

with $A > 0$ and $\delta > 0$. The proof of equation (27) shows that for $\alpha \gamma \in \left(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n+2}{2}\right)$,

$$
C_{\alpha, \gamma}(t) = C_{\alpha, \gamma}(0) - A|t|^{2\alpha \gamma-n} + o(|t|^{2\alpha \gamma-n+\delta})
$$

with

$$
A = \frac{1}{2^{2\alpha \gamma-n^\gamma}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2} - \alpha \gamma\right)}{\Gamma(\alpha \gamma)}.
$$

(39)

Hence $Y_{\alpha, \gamma}(t)$ is locally self-similar of order $\alpha \gamma - (n/2)$.

There exists an equivalent way of characterizing self-similarity at a local scale called local asymptotical self-similarity which was first introduced for multifractional Brownian
motion \[44\]. Recall that a random field \(X(t)\) is called locally asymptotically self-similar with parameter \(H \in (0, 1)\) at a point \(t_0\) if the limit random field

\[
T_{t_0}(u) = \lim_{\rho \to 0^+} \frac{X(t_0 + \rho u) - X(t_0)}{\rho^H}, \quad u \in \mathbb{R}^d
\]  

(40)

exists and is nontrivial \[44\]. In this case, \(T_{t_0}(u)\) is called the tangent field of \(X(t)\) at \(t_0\). It can be directly verified that

\[
\langle [Y_{a,\gamma}(t_0 + \rho u) - Y_{a,\gamma}(t_0)] [Y_{a,\gamma}(t_0 + \rho v) - Y_{a,\gamma}(t_0)] \rangle = \frac{1}{2} (\sigma^2_{\alpha,\gamma}(\rho u) + \sigma^2_{\alpha,\gamma}(\rho v) - \sigma^2_{\alpha,\gamma}(\rho(u - v))).
\]  

(41)

Equation (27) then shows that for \(\alpha \gamma \in \left(\frac{2}{3}, \frac{n+2}{2}\right)\),

\[
\lim_{\rho \to 0^+} \left( \frac{Y(t_0 + \rho u) - Y(t_0) Y(t_0 + \rho v) - Y(t_0)}{\rho^{\alpha\gamma - \frac{n}{2}}} - \frac{1}{\rho^{\gamma - \frac{n}{2}}} \right) = A(|u|^{2\alpha\gamma - n} + |v|^{2\alpha\gamma - n} - |u - v|^{2\alpha\gamma - n}).
\]  

(42)

Therefore, for \(\alpha \gamma \in \left(\frac{2}{3}, \frac{n+2}{2}\right)\), \(Y_{a,\gamma}(t)\) is locally asymptotically self-similar with order \(\alpha \gamma - (n/2)\). Its tangent field at a point \(t_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d\) is independent of \(t_0\), and up to the multiplicative factor \(2A\), the tangent is given by the fractional Lévy Brownian field \(B_H(u)\) (37) of order \(\alpha \gamma - (n/2)\).

From the results on the Hölder exponent, fractal dimension and local self-similarity, it is found that they all depend on the parameters \(\alpha\) and \(\gamma\) in the combination \(\gamma' = \alpha \gamma\). They are related to each other in such a way that if the Hölder exponent is \(H = \min\{\gamma' - (n/2), 1\}\), then the fractal dimension is \(n + 1 - H\) and the order of local self-similarity is \(H\) again if \(H < 1\).

### 4.4. Short range dependence

Recall that a stationary random field \(X(t)\) is said to have short range dependence (or short memory) if the absolute value of its covariance function \(C(t)\) is integrable over \(\mathbb{R}^d\), that is

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |C(t)| \, d^n t < \infty.
\]

By our result on the large \(|t|\) asymptotic behavior of \(C_{a,\gamma}(t)\) (17) and (19), we find that when \(|t| \to \infty\), \(C_{a,\gamma}(t) \sim |t|^{-2\alpha - \gamma a}\) if \(a \in (0, 1)\) and \(C_{a,\gamma}(t) \sim e^{-\lambda t^2}|t|^{-\frac{\gamma + 2}{2}}\) if \(a = 1\). Using polar coordinates, it can be verified easily that

\[
\int_{t \in \mathbb{R}^d; |t| > 1} |t|^p \, d^n t < \infty
\]

if and only if \(p < -n\). This immediately implies that \(Y_{a,\gamma}(t)\) has short range dependence for all \(\alpha\) and \(\gamma\). Moreover, for \(a \in (0, 1)\), the short memory exponent \(2\alpha + n\) depends only on \(a\) and not on \(\gamma\). Together with the result on local properties such as the Hölder exponent, fractal dimension and local asymptotic self-similarity, this implies that the short range dependence property and local properties of the GWM field \(Y_{a,\gamma}(t)\) are characterized separately by \(\alpha\) and \(\gamma' = \alpha \gamma\). This should be compared to the random field with generalized Cauchy covariance \[36, 37\], which is an isotropic random field with two parameters that enables separate characterizations of long range dependence and fractal dimension.

Here we would like to remark on the Markov property for the WM field and GWM field. In the case of the Whittle field \((\alpha = \gamma = 1)\) in \(n = 2\) dimension, this problem has been studied by Pitt and Robeva \[45\], who showed that under certain technical conditions, the sharp Markov property is satisfied. They generalized the result to the WM field (which they called the Bessel field), and they verified that under some technical conditions the sharp Markov property holds for the WM field with \(n + 1/2 < \gamma < n + 1\), \(n \geq 1\) \[28, 29\]. It will be interesting to see whether the arguments of Pitt and Robeva can be extended to the GWM field.
5. Application to wind speed modeling

The WM field has been widely used in modeling [10–14] geostatistical data such as sea beam data, temperature, wind speed and soil data. In this section, we show that the GWM process can be used to provide an alternative model for wind speed.

We analyze the average daily wind speed of Roche’s Point in Ireland from 1973 to 1978 which consists of \( N = 365 \times 6 = 2190 \) data points\(^3\) (see figure 4). The Irish wind data of 12 meteorological sites from 1961 to 1978 have been analyzed by several authors [46–49] where they were more concerned with the spatial correlation between the sites. On the other hand, the von Kármán wind turbulence model [17, 18] proposed a model with spectral density having the same functional form as the spectral density of the WM process.

As in [46], we consider the seasonal effect by calculating the average of the square roots of the daily means over the 6 years for each day of the year, and then regressing the result with a polynomial of degree 8 (see figure 5). The deseasonalized data (figure 6) are obtained by subtracting the fitted polynomial from the square roots of daily means. It has zero mean and is referred to as the velocity measures. To justify that the velocity measures is a short memory process, we use the fact that a process has long memory if and only if its spectral density diverges at \( \omega = 0 \). For a discrete stationary random process \( X_t, t = 1, 2, 3, \ldots \), with covariance \( C(t) \), an analog of spectral density is the power spectral density (PSD) defined by

\[
\text{PSD}(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} C(j) e^{-ij\omega}.
\]

It is a periodic function with period \( 2\pi \) and \( S(2\pi - \omega) = S(\omega) \). If \( X_t \) has an underlying continuous process \( X(t) \) with spectral density \( S(\omega) \) so that \( X_t = X(t) \) when \( t = 1, 2, 3, \ldots \), then

\[
\text{PSD}(\omega) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} S(\omega - 2\pi j).
\]

\(^3\) The data are obtained from Statlib (http://lib.stat.cmu.edu/datasets/) with the value for 29 February 1976 omitted.
There are different ways to estimate the power spectral density from a given sample $x_t, t = 1, 2, \ldots, N$ of $X_t$. One way is to use the periodogram method, where the estimate of $\text{PSD}(\omega)$ is given by the periodogram

$$\frac{1}{2\pi N} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{N} x_j e^{-ij\omega} \right|^2.$$ 

However, this method usually leads to large fluctuations. A better method which gives a smoother estimate is introduced by Welch [50] and improved by others (see, e.g., [51]). We estimate the PSD of the velocity measures using Welch’s method by segmenting the data into 50% overlapping blocks of length 73 and applying the Hamming window to each block. The resulting estimate for PSD is compared to the periodogram estimate in figure 7.
The behavior of PSD at $\omega \sim 0$ gives strong evidence that the velocity measures have short memory.

In order to apply the GWM process to model the velocity measures, we consider the more general process parametrized by the four parameters $\alpha, \gamma, K, \ell$:

$$\hat{Y}_{a,\gamma}^{K,\ell}(t) = KY_{a,\gamma}(\ell t) = K \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{i\ell \omega} \hat{\eta}(\omega)}{(|\omega|^{2\alpha} + 1)^{\gamma/2}} d\omega,$$

which has spectral density

$$\hat{S}_{a,\gamma}^{K,\ell}(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} K \ell^{2\gamma-1} \frac{2\gamma}{(|\omega|^{2\alpha} + \ell^{2\alpha})^{\gamma}} = K \ell^{2\gamma-1} \hat{S}_{a,\gamma}(\omega)_{\lambda=\ell^{2\alpha}}$$

and the covariance function

$$\hat{C}_{a,\gamma}^{K,\ell}(t) = K^2 \hat{C}_{a,\gamma}(\ell t)_{\lambda=1}.$$

Note that $\ell$ rescales the time parameter and $K$ rescales the data. We need to determine the parameters $\alpha, \gamma, K, \ell$ so that the process $\hat{Y}_{a,\gamma}^{K,\ell}(t)$ gives the best model to the velocity measures. For this purpose we use the maximum likelihood estimation (MLE) method strongly recommended by Stein (see, e.g., [15]). Let $\Gamma(\theta) = \Gamma(\alpha, \gamma, K, \ell)$ be the covariance matrix $(\hat{C}_{a,\gamma}(i - j))_{i,j=1}^N$. Since we assume that the velocity measure is a Gaussian process, the probability density function for $y = (y_1, \ldots, y_N)^T$ having mean $\theta$ and covariance $\Gamma(\theta)$ is

$$p(y; \theta) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{N/2} \sqrt{\det \Gamma(\theta)}} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2} y^T \Gamma(\theta)^{-1} y \right). \quad (43)$$

In the MLE method, we seek the parameters $\theta = (\alpha, \gamma, K, \ell)$ that would maximize the probability density function (43) with $y$ being the observed velocity measures. Equivalently, we have to minimize the negative log of the likelihood function

$$NLL(\theta) = -\log p(y; \theta) = \frac{1}{2} y^T \Gamma(\theta)^{-1} y + \frac{1}{2} \log \det \Gamma(\theta) + \frac{N}{2} \log(2\pi). \quad (44)$$

Figure 7. The estimated power spectral density of the velocity measures and the corresponding log–log plot using (a) periodogram method, (b) Welch’s method.
Table 1. The estimated parameters for the WM and GWM models.

|        | \(\hat{\alpha}\) | \(\hat{\gamma}\) | \(\hat{K}\) | \(\hat{\ell}\) | \(\hat{s}^2\) | \(\hat{NLL}\) |
|--------|------------------|-----------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|
| WM model | 1                | 1.0225          | 0.7857      | 0.7474      | 0.2994      | 1488.42       |
| GWM model | 0.5186          | 4.1223          | 1.6965      | 2.8250      | 0.2995      | 1487.47       |

Finding the minimum of the highly nonlinear function (44) with four parameters is computationally demanding. Therefore, it is desirable to reduce the number of parameters which has to be estimated. Note that the variance \(s^2\) of \(\hat{Y}_{K,\ell}^{K,\ell}(t)\) is given by

\[
s^2 = \hat{C}_{\alpha,\gamma}(0) = \frac{K^2}{2\pi \phi} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\gamma - \frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\gamma\right)}.
\]

As a result, the value of \(K\) can be determined from this equation once \(s^2, \alpha, \gamma\) are given. On the other hand, we can rewrite the covariance matrix \(\Gamma(\theta) = \Gamma(\alpha, \gamma, K, \ell)\) as

\[
\rho_{\alpha,\gamma}(i-j) = \frac{C_{\alpha,\gamma}^{K,\ell}(i-j)}{C_{\alpha,\gamma}^{K,\ell}(0)},
\]

which is independent of \(K\). Rewriting in the variables \(\alpha, \gamma, \ell, s^2\), we have

\[
NLL(\theta', s^2) = \frac{1}{2\pi} y^T \rho(\theta')^{-1} y + \frac{N}{2} \log s^2 + \frac{1}{2} \log \det \rho(\theta) + \frac{N}{2} \log(2\pi).
\]  (45)

Taking derivative with respect to \(s^2\), we find that for fixed \(\theta' = (\alpha, \gamma, \ell)\), the minimum of \(NLL(\theta', s^2)\) appears at

\[
s^2 = \frac{1}{N} y^T \rho(\theta')^{-1} y.
\]  (46)

Substituting this into (45), we reduce the problem of finding \(\theta'\) to minimize the function

\[
\hat{NLL}(\theta') = \frac{N}{2} \log y^T \rho(\theta')^{-1} y + \frac{1}{2} \log \det \rho(\theta') + \frac{N}{2} (1 + \log(2\pi)) - \log(N)),
\]  (47)

and \(s^2\) is then determined from (46). The fminsearch function in Matlab which uses the simplex search algorithm by Nelder and Mead [54] is used to identify the minimum of (47). This algorithm does not involve computation of derivatives. In order to compare the GWM model with that of WM, we also run the same search with \(\alpha\) fix to 1. The results are tabulated in table 1. It suggests the WM model \(\hat{Y}_{1,\gamma}(t)\) with spectral density

\[
S_{WM}(\omega) = \frac{0.5796}{2\pi} \frac{1}{(\omega^2 + 0.75)^{1.02}}
\]

and the GWM model \(\hat{Y}_{a,\gamma}(t)\) with spectral density

\[
S_{GWM}(\omega) = \frac{50.9376}{2\pi} \frac{1}{(|\omega|^{1.03} + 2.82^{1.03})^{4.12}}
\]

for the velocity measures. From table 1, we see that the GWM model gives a better value to \(\hat{NLL}(\theta')\). On the other hand, a graphical comparison of the PSD of the WM model and the GWM model for velocity measures and the empirical PSD (figure 8) also shows that the GWM model gives a better fit to the velocity measures compared to the WM model especially in the low frequency region.
Figure 8. The empirical power spectral density of the velocity measures compared to the PSD of the WM model and the GWM model with parameters given in table 1, and the corresponding log-log plot.

Figure 9. The empirical variogram $\tilde{\sigma}^2(h)$. The horizontal line gives the estimated value of $\hat{\sigma}^2(0) = 0.2964$.

Here we would also like to remark that theoretically, the variogram $\hat{\sigma}^2_{\alpha,\gamma}(h)^2 = \left( [\hat{Y}_{\alpha,\gamma}(t+h) - \hat{Y}_{\alpha,\gamma}(t)]^2 \right)^2 = 2\hat{C}_{\alpha,\gamma}^{K,\ell}(0) - \hat{C}_{\alpha,\gamma}^{K,\ell}(h)$ approaches

$$2\hat{C}_{\alpha,\gamma}^{K,\ell}(0) = \frac{K^2 \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2\alpha}\right)\Gamma(\gamma - \frac{1}{2\beta})}{\pi \alpha \Gamma(\gamma)}$$

as $h \to \infty$. Figure 9 shows the empirical variogram of the velocity measures estimated by

$$\hat{\sigma}^2(h) = \frac{1}{N-h} \sum_{i=1}^{N-h} (y_{i+h} - y_i)^2.$$

The horizontal line gives an estimation of the variance $\sigma^2 = 0.2964$, which is very close to that estimated by MLE. Figure 10 compares the empirical variogram to the variograms of the WM model and GWM model for velocity measures.
6. Concluding remarks

In this paper, we have introduced a new class of the Gaussian random field with covariance belonging to a generalized Whittle–Matérn family of covariance functions. Some of the basic properties of this GWM field are studied. Simulations of the GWM covariance and GWM field in two dimensions are carried out. We also apply this random process to model wind speed. It is shown that this new random field can provide a more flexible alternative to modeling. In the future, we would like to extend the application of the GWM field to provide models for other geostatistical data such as sea beam data, geothermal field temperature and soil data for which the WM field has been shown to provide a good model [10–14].

Just like its predecessor WM model, the GWM model will find its main applications in geostatistics. However, one expects it can have potential applications in modeling short range dependent process such as coding regions of DNA sequences and fluctuations of an electropore of nano size [55–60]. It will also be interesting to consider its applications in modeling fractional diffusion and fractional anomalous diffusion [61–67]. This later aspect is being considered elsewhere. For \( d = 2 \), GWM may serve as a model to two-dimensional images arising in biology, chemistry and physics, in addition to those from geological and environmental images. The advances in imaging techniques allow better analysis of morphology of various material surfaces. Various spatial statistical and morphological methods are available to analyze the patterns of the surface of complex materials, hence the characterization of their physical properties. Examples of data that can be modeled by the random field include the concentration of particular component in a liquid or solid sample, properties such as porosity, permeability, conductivity, absorptivity, emissivity, etc of the material samples. Although applications of spatial models to statistical physics are still quite limited, some recent efforts have been made in [68–70]. One expects the GWM model also has such potential applications. In particular, as a correlation model, the GWM model is useful in the modeling of morphological structure of complex material with spatial correlation that is short ranged, that is, its underlying physical process is weakly correlated or weakly coupled over finite spatial or temporal scales. Readers can consult [71] for recent advances and applications of spatial models in physics, in particular statistical physics and astrophysics.
For modeling of data which may have correlation with time- or space-dependent memory parameter or smoothness parameter, it is necessary to consider the GWM field \( Y_{\alpha,\gamma}(t) \) with \( \alpha \) and \( \gamma \) replaced by \( \alpha(t) > 0 \) and \( \gamma(t) > 0 \), with \( \alpha(t)\gamma(t) > \eta/2 \). A GWM field with two variable fractional indices can be studied in a similar way like the fractional Riesz–Bessel field with variable order [30]. In fact, when \( \eta = 1 \) and \( \alpha = 1 \), such a generalization has been considered in [53] where it is called the Weyl multifractional Ornstein–Uhlenbeck process. Another possible extension is the anisotropic counterpart of the GWM field whose covariance is a product of GWM processes.
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Appendix. Derivations of formulae (26) and (31)

1. We want to prove equation (25) when \( \alpha \gamma \in \left( \frac{n}{2}, \frac{n+2}{2} \right) \). Using the regularization method, we have

\[
I = \lim_{a \to 0^+} \left\{ \int_0^{\infty} \frac{J_{n-2}(k)k^{\frac{n}{2}}}{(k^2 + a^2)^{\nu}} \, dk - \frac{1}{2^{\nu} \Gamma \left( \frac{\nu}{2} \right)} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{k^{\nu-1} \, dk}{(k^2 + a^2)^{\nu}} \right\}.
\]

Applying the formulae #6.565, no. 4 and #3.251, no. 11 of [39], we find that

\[
I = \lim_{a \to 0^+} \left\{ \frac{\pi}{2 \sin(\pi \nu)} \left[ \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(z/2)^{2j+\nu}}{j! \Gamma(j+1+\nu)} \right] - \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\nu) \Gamma(\alpha \gamma - \frac{\nu}{2})}{\Gamma(\alpha \gamma - \frac{n}{2} + 1)} \right\}.
\]

Now the formulae #8.485 and #8.445 of [39] give

\[
K_\nu(z) = K_{-\nu}(z) = \frac{\pi}{2 \sin(\pi \nu)} \left[ \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(z/2)^{2j+\nu}}{j! \Gamma(j+1+\nu)} \right] - \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\nu) \Gamma(\alpha \gamma - \frac{\nu}{2})}{\Gamma(\alpha \gamma - \frac{n}{2} + 1)} \right\},
\]

when \( \nu \in (0, 1) \), which allow us to conclude that

\[
I = -\frac{\pi}{2^{2\gamma - 2} \sin(\pi \nu)} \left[ \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha \gamma - \frac{\nu}{2}) \Gamma(\alpha \gamma - \frac{n}{2} + 1)} \right] = \frac{\Gamma \left( \frac{n}{2} - \alpha \gamma \right)}{2^{2\gamma - 2} \Gamma(\alpha \gamma)}.
\]

2. We want to prove equation (31) when \( \alpha \gamma = \frac{n+2}{2} \). Using (28), we can write \( I(t) \) as the sum of \( I_1(t) \) and \( I_2(t) \), where

\[
I_1(t) = \int_0^t \left( \frac{J_{n+2}(k)k^{\frac{n+2}{2}}}{k^2 + \lambda^2 |t|^{2\alpha \gamma}} - \frac{1}{2^{\frac{n+2}{2}} \Gamma \left( \frac{n+2}{2} \right)} \right) \frac{k^{\nu-1}}{(k^2 + \lambda^2 |t|^{2\alpha \gamma})^\nu} \, dk
\]

\[
+ \int_t^{\infty} \left( \frac{J_{n+2}(k)k^{\frac{n+2}{2}}}{k^2 + \lambda^2 |t|^{2\alpha \gamma}} - \frac{1}{2^{\frac{n+2}{2}} \Gamma \left( \frac{n+2}{2} \right)} \right) \frac{k^{\nu-1}}{(k^2 + \lambda^2 |t|^{2\alpha \gamma})^\nu} \, dk
\]

has a finite limit \( I_1(0) \) as \( |t| \to 0 \), and

\[
I_2(t) := I(t) - I_1(t) = -\frac{1}{2^{\frac{n+2}{2}} \Gamma \left( \frac{n+2}{2} \right)} \int_0^{1} \frac{k^{\nu+1}}{(k^2 + \lambda^2 |t|^{2\alpha \gamma})^\nu} \, dk.
\]
By making a change of variable $k \mapsto k^{1/(2\alpha)}$, we have

$$I_2(t) = -\frac{1}{2^{\alpha^2} \alpha \Gamma \left(\frac{\alpha^2}{2}\right)} \int_0^1 \frac{k^{\gamma - 1}}{(k + \lambda^2 |t|^{2\alpha})^\gamma} \, dk.$$  

From this, we find that $I_2(t)$ can be written as a sum of $I_3(t)$ and $I_4(t)$, where

$$I_3(t) = \frac{1}{2^{\alpha^2} \alpha \Gamma \left(\frac{\alpha^2}{2}\right)} \int_0^1 \frac{k^{\gamma - 1}}{(k + \lambda^2 |t|^{2\alpha})^\gamma} - \frac{1}{k + \lambda^2 |t|^{2\alpha}} \, dk$$

has a finite limit $I_3(0)$ when $|t| \to 0$, and

$$I_4(t) := I_2(t) - I_3(t) = -\frac{1}{2^{\alpha^2} \alpha \Gamma \left(\frac{\alpha^2}{2}\right)} \int_0^1 \frac{1}{k + \lambda^2 |t|^{2\alpha}} \, dk$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2^{\alpha^2} \alpha \Gamma \left(\frac{\alpha^2}{2}\right)} \log \frac{1 + \lambda^2 |t|^{2\alpha}}{\lambda^2 |t|^{2\alpha}}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2^{\alpha^2} \alpha \Gamma \left(\frac{\alpha^2}{2}\right)} \log \frac{1}{|t|} + \frac{1}{2^{\alpha^2} \alpha \Gamma \left(\frac{\alpha^2}{2}\right)} \log \lambda^2 + o(1).$$

Therefore, we have shown that

$$I(t) = -\frac{1}{2^{\alpha^2} \alpha \Gamma \left(\frac{\alpha^2}{2}\right)} \log \frac{1}{|t|} + A + o(1),$$

where

$$A = I_1(0) + I_3(0) + \frac{1}{2^{\alpha^2} \alpha \Gamma \left(\frac{\alpha^2}{2}\right)} \log \lambda^2.$$  

References

[1] Cressie N 1993 *Statistics for Spatial Data* revised edn (New York: Wiley)
[2] Chilès J-P and Delﬁner P 1999 *Geostatistics: Modeling spatial uncertainty* (New York: Wiley)
[3] Wackernagel H 2003 *Multivariate Geostatistics* 3rd edn (Berlin: Springer)
[4] Whittle P 1954 On stationary processes in the plane *Biometrika* 41 431–49
[5] Whittle P 1963 Stochastic processes in several dimensions *Bull. Int. Stat. Inst.* 40 974–94
[6] Matérn B 1960 Spatial variation—stochastic models and their application to some problems in forest surveys and other sampling investigations (Stockholm: Medd. Statens Skogsforskningsinstitut 49)
[7] Matérn B 1986 *Spatial Variation* 2nd edn (Berlin: Springer)
[8] Tatarski V I 1961 *Wave Propagation in a Turbulent Medium* (New York: McGraw-Hill)
[9] Matheron G 1970 The Theory of regionalized variables and its applications, no. 5 in *Les Cahiers du Centre de Morphologie Mathématique* Ecole des Mines de Paris, Fontainebleau
[10] Goff J A and Jordan T H 1988 Stochastic modeling of seafloor morphology: inversion of sea beam data for second-order statistics J. Geophys. Res. 93 13589–608
[11] Hancock M S and Stein M L 1993 A Bayesian analysis of kriging *Technometrics* 35 403–10
[12] Hancock M S and Walls J R 1994 An approach to statistical spatio-temporal modeling of meteorological fields J. Am. Stat. Assoc. 89 368–78
[13] Minasny B and McBratney A B 2005 The Matérn function as a general model for soil variograms *Geoderma* 128 192–207
[14] Mateu J, Porcu E, Christakos G and Bevilacqua M 2007 Fitting negative spatial covariances to geothermal field temperatures in Nea Kessani (Greece) *Environmetrics* 18 759–73
[15] Stein M L 1999 *Interpolation of Spatial Data: Some Theory for Kriging* (Berlin: Springer)
[16] Shkarofsky I P 1968 Generalized turbulence space-correlation and wave-number spectrum-function pairs *Can. J. Phys.* 46 2133–53
[17] von Kármán T 1948 Progress in the statistical theory of turbulence *J. Marine. Res.* 7 252–64
[18] von Kármán T 1948 Progress in the statistical theory of turbulence Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 34 530–9
[19] Guttorp P and Gneiting T 2005 On the Whittle–Matérn correlation family *NRCSE Technical Report Series*

[20] Guttorp P and Gneiting T 2006 Miscellanea: studies in the history of probability and statistics *XLIX On the Matérn correlation family* *Biometrika* **93** 989–95

[21] Kotz S and Nadarajah S 2004 *Multivariate t-Distributions and Their Applications* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)

[22] Porcu E, Mateu J and Christakos G 2006 Quasi-arithmetic means of covariance functions with potential applications to spacetime data *Technical Rep.* **107** Universität Jaume I

[23] West B J, Bologna M and Grigolini P 2003 *Physics of Fractal Operators* (New York: Springer)

[24] Samko S, Kilbas A A and Marichev D I 1993 *Integrals and Derivatives of the Fractional Order and Some of their Applications* (Amsterdam: Gordon and Breach)

[25] Kilbas A A, Srivastava H M and Trujillo J J 2006 *Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations* (Amsterdam: Elsevier)

[26] Samko S 2002 *Hypersingular Integrals and their Application* (London: Taylor and Francis)

[27] Anh V V, Ruiz-Medina M D and Angulo J M 2000 Covariance factorization and abstract representation of generalized random fields *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **33** 87–93

[28] Pitt L D and Robeva R S 2003 On the sharp Markov property for Gaussian random fields and spectral synthesis in spaces of Bessel potentials *Ann. Prob.* **31** 1338–76

[29] Pitt L D and Robeva R S 2004 On the equality of sharp and germ σ-field for Gaussian processes and fields *Pliska Stud. Math. Bulg.* **16** 183–205

[30] Lim S C and Teo L P 2008 Sample path properties of fractional Riesz–Bessel field of variable order *J. Math. Phys.* **49** 013509

[31] Gelfand I M and Shilov G E 1964 *Generalized Functions* vol I (New York: Academic)

[32] Lim S C and Eab C H 2006 Riemann–Liouville and Weyl fractional oscillator processes *Pliska Stud. Math. Bulg.* **16** 183–205

[33] Lim S C, Li M and Teo L P 2007 Locally self-similar fractional oscillator processes *Fluc. Noise Lett.* **7** L169–179

[34] Kotz S, Ostrovski I V and Hayfavi A 1995 Analytic and asymptotic properties of Linnik’s probability density *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **193** 497–521

[35] Ostrovski I V 1995 Analytic and asymptotic properties of multivariate Linnik’s distribution *Phys. Anal. Geom.* **2** 416–55

[36] Gneiting T and Schlather M 2004 Stochastic models that separate fractal dimension and Hurst effect *SIAM Rev.* **46** 269–82

[37] Lim S C and Teo L P 2008 Gaussian fields and Gaussian sheets with generalized Cauchy covariance structure *Appl. Math. Lett.* **21** 269–82

[38] Bochner S 1959 *Lectures on Fourier Integrals* (Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press)

[39] Gradshteyn I S and Ryzhik I M 2000 *Tables of Integrals, Series and Products* 6th edn (San Diego: Academic)

[40] Adler R J 1981 *The Geometry of Random Fields* (Chichester: Wiley)

[41] Falconer K 2003 *The Geometry of Fractal Sets* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)

[42] Adler S 2000 *Random Fields and Stochastic Partial Differential Equations* (Stoch. Anal. Appl.)

[43] Samorodnitsky G and Taqqu M 1994 *Stable non-Gaussian random processes* (London: Chapman & Hall)

[44] Kent J T and Wood A T A 1997 Estimating fractal dimension of a locally self-similar Gaussian process by using increments *J. Roy. Stat. Soc.* B **59** 679–90

[45] Benassi A, Jaffard S and Roux D 1997 Elliptic Gaussian random processes *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana* **13** 19–90

[46] Pitt L D and Robeva R S 1995 On the sharp Markov property for the Whittle field in two-dimensional stochastic analysis on infinite dimensional spaces ed H Kunita and H H Kuo (Harlow, UK: Longman) 242–54

[47] Haslett J and Raftery A E 1989 Spacetime modeling with long range memory dependence: accessing Ireland’s wind power resource *Appl. Stat.* **38** 1–50

[48] Gneiting T 2002 Nonseparable, stationary covariance functions for spacetime data *J. Am. Stat. Assoc.* **97** 590–600

[49] de Luna X and Genton M G 2005 Predictive spatio-temporal models for spatially sparse environmental data *Stat. Sinica* **15** 547–68

[50] Stein M L 2005 *Spacetime covariance functions* *J. Am. Stat. Soc.* **100** 310–21

[51] Welch P D 1967 The use of fast Fourier transform for the estimation of power spectra: a method based on time averting over short, modified periodograms *IEEE Trans. Audio Electroacoust.* AU–**15** 70–3

[52] Percival D B and Walden A T 1993 *Spectral Analysis for Physical Applications: Multitaper and Conventional Univariate Techniques* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)

[53] Massey F J 1951 The Kolmogorov–Smirnov test for goodness of fit *J. Am. Stat. Assoc.* **46** 68–78

[54] Pitt L D and Robeva R S 1995 On the sharp Markov property for the Whittle field in two-dimensions *Stochastic Analysis on Infinite Dimensional Spaces* ed H Kunita and H H Kuo (Harlow, UK: Longman) 242–54

[55] Haslett J and Raftery A E 1989 Spacetime modeling with long range memory dependence: accessing Ireland’s wind power resource *Appl. Stat.* **38** 1–50

[56] Gneiting T 2002 Nonseparable, stationary covariance functions for spacetime data *J. Am. Stat. Assoc.* **97** 590–600

[57] de Luna X and Genton M G 2005 Predictive spatio-temporal models for spatially sparse environmental data *Stat. Sinica* **15** 547–68

[58] Stein M L 2005 *Spacetime covariance functions* *J. Am. Stat. Soc.* **100** 310–21

[59] Welch P D 1967 The use of fast Fourier transform for the estimation of power spectra: a method based on time averaging over short, modified periodograms *IEEE Trans. Audio Electroacoust.* AU–**15** 70–3

[60] Percival D B and Walden A T 1993 *Spectral Analysis for Physical Applications: Multitaper and Conventional Univariate Techniques* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)

[61] Massey F J 1951 The Kolmogorov–Smirnov test for goodness of fit *J. Am. Stat. Assoc.* **46** 68–78

[62] Lim S C and Teo L P 2007 *Weyl and Riemann–Liouville multifractional Ornstein–Uhlenbeck processes* *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **40** 6035–60

[63] Nelder J A and Mead R 1965 A simplex method for function minimization *Comput. J.* **7** 308–13
[55] Dehnert M, Plaumann R, Helm W E and Hutt M-T 2005 Genome phylogeny based on short-range correlations in DNA sequences *J. Comp. Biol.* **12** 545–53

[56] Almirantis Y and Provata A 1999 Long and short range correlations in genome organization *J. Stat. Phys.* **97** 233–62

[57] Goldman L J, Jarabo R M and Gomez R G 2008 Airway pressure alters wavelet fractal dynamics and short-range dependence of respiratory variability *Respir. Physiol. Neurol.* **161** 29–40

[58] Geodecke G H, Ostashev V E, Wilson D K and Auvermann H J 2004 Quasi-wavelet model of Von Kármán spectrum of turbulent velocity fluctuations *Bound.-Layer Meteorol.* **112** 33–56

[59] Geodecke G H, Ostashev V E, Wilson D K and Auvermann H J 2006 Quasi-wavelet model of Von Kármán spectrum of turbulent temperature fluctuations *Bound.-Layer Meteorol.* **120** 1–23

[60] Kotulska M 2007 Natural fluctuations of an electropore show fractional Lévy stable motion *Biophys. J.* **92** 2412–21

[61] Coffey W T, Kalmykov Yu P and Waldron J T 2004 *The Langevin Equation* (Singapore: World Scientific)

[62] West B J, Bologna M and Grigolini P 2003 *Physics of Fractal Operators* (New York: Springer)

[63] Kobelev V and Romanov E 2000 Fractional Langevin equation to describe anomalous diffusion *Prog. Theor. Phys. Supp.* **139** 470–6

[64] Kutner R, Pekalski A and Sznajd-Weron K (ed) 1999 *Anomalous Diffusion: From Basics to Applications* (Berlin: Springer)

[65] Metzler R and Klafter J 2000 The random walk’s guide to anomalous diffusion: a fractional dynamics approach *Phys. Rep.* **339** 1–77

[66] Hilfer R (ed) 2000 *Applications of Fractional Calculus in Physics* (Singapore: World Scientific)

[67] Metzler R and Klafter J 2004 The restaurant at the end of the random walk: recent developments in the description of anomalous transport by fractional dynamics *J. Phys. A.: Math. Gen.* **37** R161–208

[68] Hristopulos D T 2003 Spartan Gibbs random field models for geostatistical applications *SIAM J. Sci. Comput.* **24** 2125–62

[69] Hristopulos D T and Elogne S N 2007 Analytic properties and covariance functions for a new class of generalized Gibbs random fields *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theor.* **53** 4667–79

[70] Zukovic M and Hristopulos D T 2008 Spartan random processes in time series modeling *Physica A* **387** 3995–4001

[71] Mecke K and Stoyan D (ed) 2000 *Statistical Physics and Spatial Statistics: The Art of Analyzing and Modeling Spatial Structures and Pattern Formation* (New York: Springer)