Time regularity of the densities for the Navier–Stokes equations with noise
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Abstract. We prove that the density of the law of any finite-dimensional projection of solutions of the Navier–Stokes equations with noise in dimension three is Hölder continuous in time with values in the natural space $L^1$. When considered with values in Besov spaces, Hölder continuity still holds. The Hölder exponents correspond, up to arbitrarily small corrections, to the expected, at least with the known regularity, diffusive scaling.

1. Introduction

When dealing with a stochastic evolution PDE, the solution depends not only on the time and space independent variables, but also on the “chance” variable, that plays a completely different role. Existence of a density for the distribution of the solution is thus a form of regularity with respect to the new variable. In infinite dimension there is no canonical reference measure, and therefore often existence of densities is expected for finite-dimensional functionals of the solution.

This paper is a continuation of [6], and its aim is to give an additional understanding of the law of solutions of the Navier–Stokes equations driven by noise in dimension three. More precisely, consider the Navier–Stokes equations either on a smooth bounded domain with zero Dirichlet boundary condition or on the 3D torus with periodic boundary conditions and zero spatial mean,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{u} + (u \cdot \nabla)u + \nabla p &= \nu \Delta u + \dot{\eta}, \\
\text{div} u &= 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

(1.1)

where $u$ is the velocity, $p$ the pressure and $\nu > 0$ the viscosity of an incompressible fluid, and $\dot{\eta}$ is Gaussian noise, white in time and colored in space (see [7] for a survey). Existence of a density for finite-dimensional projections of the solution of (1.1) and its regularity in terms of Besov spaces was proved in [6]. In this paper we prove that
those densities are almost $\frac{1}{2}$-Hölder continuous in time with values in $L^1$, as well as with values in suitable Besov spaces defined on the finite-dimensional target space.

In a way, the results we obtain in this paper are not surprising. After all we are dealing with a diffusion process and we already know from [6] that the density has (in terms of Besov regularity) almost one derivative. It is then expected that the time regularity is of the order of (almost) half a derivative. Likewise, if we look at the regularity of the derivative of order $\alpha$, with $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, a fair expectation is that its time regularity is of order (almost) $\frac{\alpha}{2}$. On the other hand, space regularity has been obtained in a nonstandard way by means of the method introduced in [6]. As we will see time regularity requires as well a non-trivial proof that mixes the method of [6] with arguments based on the Girsanov transformation. We believe that this adds value to the paper.

In a way, the problem at hand here can be considered as part of a general attempt on proving existence and regularity of densities of problems where, in principle, Malliavin calculus is not immediately applicable. Here the loss of regularity emerges due to infinite dimension. To quickly understand that Malliavin calculus is not directly applicable here, one can realize that the equation that the Malliavin derivative of the solution of (1.1) should satisfy is essentially the linearization (around 0) of (1.1). No good estimates on the linearization of (1.1) are available so far, as they could be used for uniqueness as well.

The method we use has been developed in [6], starting from an idea of [9] (see also [14] for a slightly more detailed account). Later the same idea has been used in [3,8]. An improvement of [9] in a different direction has been given in [2]. Other attempts to handle non-smooth problems are [4] and [10–12]. Finally, see [16] for related results on time regularity of the density of solutions of stochastic PDEs.

2. Main results

2.1. Notations

If $K$ is an Hilbert space, we denote by $\pi_F : K \to K$ the orthogonal projection of $K$ onto a subspace $F \subseteq K$ and by $\text{span}\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ the subspace of $K$ generated by its elements $x_1, \ldots, x_n$. Given a linear operator $Q : K \to K'$, we denote by $Q^*$ its adjoint.

2.1.1. Function spaces

We recall the definition of Besov spaces. The general definition is based on the Littlewood–Paley decomposition, but it is not the best suited for our purposes. We shall use an alternative equivalent definition (see [18,19]) in terms of differences. Given $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$, define
$$\left( \Delta_{h}^{1} f \right)(x) = f(x + h) - f(x),$$
$$\left( \Delta_{h}^{n} f \right)(x) = \Delta_{h}^{1} \left( \Delta_{h}^{n-1} f \right)(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} (-1)^{n-j} \binom{n}{j} f(x + jh),$$
and, for $s > 0$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $1 \leq q < \infty$,
$$[f]_{B_{p,q}^{s}} = \left( \int_{\{ |h| \leq 1 \}} \frac{\| \Delta_{h}^{n} f \|_{L^{p}}}{|h|^{sq}} \frac{dh}{|h|^d} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}},$$
and for $q = \infty$,
$$[f]_{B_{p,\infty}^{s}} = \sup_{|h| \leq 1} \frac{\| \Delta_{h}^{n} f \|_{L^{p}}}{|h|^{s}},$$
where $n$ is any integer strictly larger than $s$ (the above semi-norms are independent of the choice of $n$, as long as $n > s$). Given $s > 0$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $1 \leq q \leq \infty$, define
$$B_{p,q}^{s}(\mathbb{R}^{d}) = \{ f : \| f \|_{L^{p}} + [f]_{B_{p,q}^{s}} < \infty \}.$$ 
This is a Banach space when endowed with the norm $\| f \|_{B_{p,q}^{s}} := \| f \|_{L^{p}} + [f]_{B_{p,q}^{s}}$. When in particular $p = q = \infty$ and $s \in (0, 1)$, the Besov space $B_{\infty,\infty}^{s}(\mathbb{R}^{d})$ coincides with the Hölder space $C_{b}^{s}(\mathbb{R}^{d})$, and in that case we will denote by $\| \cdot \|_{C_{b}^{s}}$ and $[\cdot]_{C_{b}^{s}}$ the corresponding norm and semi-norm.

2.1.2. Navier Stokes framework

Let $H$ be the standard space of square summable divergence free vector fields, defined as the closure of divergence free smooth vector fields satisfying the boundary condition (either zero Dirichlet or periodic, with zero spatial mean in the latter case), with inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{H}$ and norm $\| \cdot \|_{H}$. Define likewise $V$ as the closure of the same space of functions with respect to the $H^{1}$ norm.

Let $\Pi_{L}$ be the Leray projector, $A = -\Pi_{L} \Delta$ the Stokes operator, and denote by $(\lambda_{k})_{k \geq 1}$ and $(e_{k})_{k \geq 1}$ the eigenvalues and the corresponding orthonormal basis of eigenvectors of $A$. Define the bilinear operator $B : V \times V \to V'$ as $B(u, v) = \Pi_{L} (u \cdot \nabla v)$, $u, v \in V$, and recall that $\langle u_{1}, B(u_{2}, u_{3}) \rangle = -(u_{3}, B(u_{2}, u_{1}))$. We will use the shorthand $B(u)$ for $B(u, u)$. We refer to Temam [17] for a detailed account of all the above definitions.

The noise $\dot{\eta} = S \dot{W}$ in (1.1) is colored in space by a covariance operator $S^{*}S \in \mathcal{L}(H)$, where $W$ is a cylindrical Wiener process (see [5] for further details). We assume that $S^{*}S$ is trace-class and we denote by $\sigma^{2} = \text{Tr}(S^{*}S)$ its trace. Finally, consider the sequence $(\sigma_{k}^{2})_{k \geq 1}$ of eigenvalues of $S^{*}S$, and let $(q_{k})_{k \geq 1}$ be the orthonormal basis in $H$ of eigenvectors of $S^{*}S$. 

$$\left( \Delta_{h}^{1} f \right)(x) = f(x + h) - f(x),$$
$$\left( \Delta_{h}^{n} f \right)(x) = \Delta_{h}^{1} \left( \Delta_{h}^{n-1} f \right)(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} (-1)^{n-j} \binom{n}{j} f(x + jh),$$
2.2. Galerkin approximations

With the above notations, we can recast problem (1.1) as an abstract stochastic equation,

\[ du + (v Au + B(u)) \, dt = S \, dW, \tag{2.1} \]

with initial condition \( u(0) = x \in H \). It is well known \([7]\) that for every \( x \in H \) there exists a martingale solution of this equation, that is, a filtered probability space \((\bar{\Omega}, \bar{\mathcal{F}}, \bar{\mathbb{P}}, \{\bar{\mathcal{F}}_t\}_{t \geq 0})\), a cylindrical Wiener process \( \bar{W} \), and a process \( u \) with trajectories in \( C([0, \infty); D(A)' \cap L^\infty_{\text{loc}}([0, \infty), H) \cap L^2_{\text{loc}}([0, \infty); V)) \) adapted to \((\bar{\mathcal{F}}_t)_{t \geq 0}\) such that the above equation is satisfied with \( \bar{W} \) replacing \( W \).

We will consider in particular solutions of (1.1) obtained as limits of Galerkin approximations. Given an integer \( N \geq 1 \), denote by \( H_N = \text{span} \{ e_1, \ldots, e_N \} \) and denote by \( \pi_N = \pi_{H_N} \) the projection onto \( H_N \). It is standard (see for instance \([7]\)) to verify that the problem

\[ du_N + (v A u_N + B^N(u_N)) \, dt = \pi_N S \, dW, \tag{2.2} \]

where \( B^N(\cdot) = \pi_N B(\pi_N \cdot) \), admits a unique strong solution \( u_N \) for every initial condition \( x_N \in H_N \), and

\[ \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|u_N(t)\|_{H}^p \right] \leq c_1 \left( 1 + \|x_N\|_{H}^p \right), \tag{2.3} \]

for every \( p \geq 1 \) and \( T > 0 \), where \( c_1 > 0 \) depends only on \( p, T \) and the trace of \( SS^* \). Indeed these conclusions are due to the fact that in finite dimension all norms are equivalent, and thus given a finite-dimensional subspace \( F \) of \( H \), there is \( c_2 > 0 \) such that

\[ \|\pi_F A x\|_H \leq c_2 \|x\|_H, \quad \|\pi_F B(x_1, x_2)\|_H \leq c_2 \|x_1\|_H \|x_2\|_H. \]

If \( x \in H, x_N = \pi_N x \) and \( \mathbb{P}_N^x \) is the distribution of the solution of the problem above with initial condition \( x_N \), then any limit point of \( (\mathbb{P}_N^x)_{N \geq 1} \) is a solution of the martingale problem associated with (1.1) with initial condition \( x \).

REMARK 2.1. In general, there is nothing special with the basis provided by the eigenvectors of the Stokes operator, and our results would work when applied to Galerkin approximations generated by any (smooth enough) orthonormal basis of \( H \). The crucial assumption is that the solution is a limit point of finite-dimensional approximations. Some of the results concerning densities (but not those in this paper) can be generalized to any martingale weak solution of (2.1), see \([15]\).

2.3. Assumptions on the covariance

Given a finite-dimensional subspace \( F \) of \( H \), we assume the following non-degeneracy condition on the covariance,

\[ Sx = f \quad \text{has a solution for every } f \in F, \tag{2.4} \]
The condition above is stronger than the condition
\[ \pi_F S S^* \pi_F \text{ is a non-singular matrix,} \] (2.5)
used in [6] to prove bounds on the Besov norm of the density. It is not clear if our results here may be true under the weaker assumption (2.5).

Indeed, to work with the Galerkin approximation framework we have outlined above it is convenient to assume a slightly stronger version of (2.4), namely that
\[ S \pi_N x = f \text{ has a solution for every } f \in F, \] (2.6)
for \( N \) large enough (but see Remark 2.1 to see that there is no real loss of generality).

2.4. Continuity in time of the density

Our first main result is that densities of finite-dimensional projections of solutions of (2.1) are continuous (actually Hölder with exponent almost \( \frac{1}{2} \)) with respect to time with values in the natural space \( L^1 \) of densities.

**THEOREM 2.2.** Fix a finite-dimensional subspace \( F \) of \( D(A) \) generated by a finite set of eigenvectors of the Stokes operator, and assume (2.6).

For every \( \alpha \in (0, 1) \), there is \( c_3 > 0 \) such that if \( u \) is a weak solution of (2.1), with initial condition \( x \in H \), which is a limit point of Galerkin approximations, then
\[ \| f_F(t) - f_F(s) \|_{L^1(F)} \leq c_3 \left( \frac{1 + s \lor t}{1 \land t \land s} \right)^\frac{3}{2} \left( 1 + \| x \|_H^2 \right) |t - s|^{\alpha}, \]
for every \( s, t > 0 \). Here \( f_F(t) \) is, for every \( t > 0 \), the density with respect to the Lebesgue measure on \( F \) of the random variable \( \pi_F u(t) \).

The theorem above follows immediately from Proposition 3.1 and Lemma 4.2. By trading time continuity with space-time continuity, we can obtain an estimate similar to the one given in the above theorem for the Besov norm of the density.

**THEOREM 2.3.** Fix a finite-dimensional subspace \( F \) of \( D(A) \) generated by a finite set of eigenvectors of the Stokes operator, and assume (2.6).

For every \( \alpha, \beta \in (0, 1) \) with \( \alpha + \beta < 1 \), there is \( c_4 > 0 \) such that if \( u \) is a weak solution of (2.1), with initial condition \( x \in H \), which is a limit point of Galerkin approximations, then
\[ \| f_F(t) - f_F(s) \|_{B^{\alpha, \infty}} \leq c_4 \left( \frac{1 + s \lor t}{1 \land s \land t} \right)^\frac{3}{2} \left( 1 + \| x \|_H^2 \right) |t - s|^{\beta}, \]
for every \( s, t > 0 \). Here \( f_F(t) \) is, for every \( t > 0 \), the density with respect to the Lebesgue measure on \( F \) of the random variable \( \pi_F u(t) \).

The proof of this theorem is given by means of Proposition 4.3. A crucial tool in the proof of both theorems is Girsanov’s transformation. This explains why we need the slightly stronger assumption (2.4) rather than the assumption (2.5) used in [6]. Girsanov’s change of measure is used to perform a sort of fractional integration by parts and move the tiny regularity from space to time (see Lemma 3.6).
3. The estimate in $L^1$

This section is devoted to the proof of the Hölder estimate of the density with values in $L^1$. A classical way is to derive first some space regularity and then use it to prove the time regularity. In a way, this is also the bulk of our method, although due to the low regularity we have at hand (see Lemma 4.2), this can be done only after a suitable simplification. The main tool we use here is the Girsanov transformation and the logarithmic moments of the Girsanov density. The version of the Girsanov theorem we use follows from [13, Chapter 7]. The main result of this section is as follows.

**PROPOSITION 3.1.** Fix a finite-dimensional subspace $F$ of $D(A)$ generated by a finite set of eigenvectors of the Stokes operator, and assume (2.6).

For every $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ there is $c_5 > 0$ such that if $x \in H$, $N$ is large enough (that $F \subset H_N$) and $u^N$ is a solution of (2.2) with initial condition $\pi_N x$, then

$$
\| f^N_F(t) - f^N_F(s) \|_{L^1(F)} \leq c_5 (1 + s \lor t)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}} \| f^N_F(s \land t) \|_{B^{\alpha}_{1,\infty}} \left( 1 + \| x \|_H^2 \right)^2 | t - s |^{\alpha},
$$

for every $s, t > 0$. Here $f^N_F(t)$ is, for every $t > 0$, the density with respect to the Lebesgue measure on $F$ of $\pi_F u^N(t)$.

In the rest of the section, we will drop, for simplicity and to make the notation less cumbersome, the index $N$. It is granted though that we work with solutions of the Galerkin system (2.2).

3.1. The Girsanov equivalence

Let us assume now (2.6) and consider the following two stochastic equations in $H_N$

$$
\begin{align*}
du + (\nu Au + \pi_N B(u)) \, dt &= \pi_N S \, dW, \\
fv + (\pi_N - \pi_F) (\nu Av + B(v)) \, dt &= \pi_N S \, dW.
\end{align*}
$$

It is easy to see that both equations have a unique strong solution for every initial condition in $H_N$. In view of the application of the Girsanov transformation, assume $u(0) = v(0) \in H_N$.

3.1.1. The Moore–Penrose pseudo-inverse

Given a linear bounded operator $S : H \to H$ and a finite-dimensional subspace $F \subset H$ such that $Sx = f$ has at least one solution for every $f \in F$, define

$$
S^+ f = \arg \min \{ \| x \|_H : x \in H \text{ and } Sx = f \}.
$$

It is elementary to check that the pseudo-inverse $S^+ : F \to H$ is well defined and is a linear bounded operator, since given $f$ the minima $x$ are characterized by $\langle x, y - x \rangle_H \geq 0$ for every $y \in H$ such that $Sy = f$. In particular $SS^+ f = f$. 
If assumption (2.6) holds, we can likewise define $S^+_N : H_N \to F$ as

$$S^+_N f = \arg \min \{ \| x \|_H : x \in H_N \text{ and } Sx = f \}.$$ 

By definition the sequence $\| S^+_N f \|_H$ is non-increasing, hence $\sup_{N \geq 1} \| S^+_N f \|_H < \infty$ for every $f \in F$, and by the Banach–Steinhaus uniform boundedness theorem it follows that $\sup_N \| S^+_N \|_{L(F, H)} < \infty$.

### 3.1.2. Reduction by the Girsanov transformation

Fix for the rest of the section $T > 0$. If $w \in C([0, T]; H_N)$, set

$$\tau_n(w) = \inf \left\{ t \leq T : \int_0^t \| S^+_N \pi_F (vA w + B(w)) \|^2_H ds \geq n \right\},$$

and $\tau_n(w) = T$ if the above set is empty, and $\chi^n_n(w) = \mathbb{1}_{[\tau_n(w) \geq t]}$. By (2.3) $\tau_n(u) < \infty$ almost surely. Similar computations yield that also $\tau_n(v) < \infty$ almost surely.

Let $v^n$ be the solution of

$$v^n(t) = v(t \wedge \tau_n(v)) - \int_0^t (1 - \chi^n_n(v)) \pi_N (vA v^n + B(v^n)) \, ds$$

$$+ \int_0^t (1 - \chi^n_n(v)) \pi_N S \, dW_t,$$

then $v^n(t) = v(t)$ on $[\tau_n(v) \geq t]$, $\tau_n(v) = \tau_n(v^n)$, and $v^n(t) \to v(t)$ almost surely. More precisely, $v^n(t) = v(t)$ for $n$ large enough (ω-wise), therefore $\phi(v^n(t)) \to \phi(v(t))$ almost surely for any bounded measurable $\phi$.

Moreover, since

$$v(t \wedge \tau_n(v)) = v(0) - \int_0^t \chi^n_n(v)(\pi_N - \pi_F)(vA v + B(v)) \, ds + \int_0^t \chi^n_n(v) \pi_N S \, dW_t,$$

it follows that

$$v^n(t) = v(0) - \int_0^t (vA v^n + \pi_N B(v^n)) \, ds$$

$$+ \int_0^t \pi_N S \, dW + \int_0^t \chi^n_n(v^n) \pi_F (vA v^n + B(v^n)) \, ds.$$

By the Girsanov theorem the process

$$G^n_t = \exp \left( \int_0^t \chi^n_n(v^n) S^+_N \pi_F (vA v^n + B(v^n)) \, dW_t ight)$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \chi^n_n(v^n) \| S^+_N \pi_F (vA v^n + B(v^n)) \|^2_H \, ds$$

is a martingale and the law of $u$ on $[0, T]$ with respect to the original probability measure $\mathbb{P}$ is equal to the law of $v^n$ on $[0, T]$ with respect to the new probability measure $G^n_T \mathbb{P}$. 
3.2. Increments of the Girsanov density

In this section we estimate the time increments of the Girsanov density. This provides half of the proof of Proposition 3.1.

**Lemma 3.2.** There is \( c_6 > 0 \) such that for every \( 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T \) and every \( n \geq 1 \),

\[
\mathbb{E} \left[ G^n_t \log \frac{G^n_t}{G^n_s} \right] \leq c_6 (t - s)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( 1 + \| u(0) \|_H^2 \right)^2.
\]

**Proof.** By changing back the probability measure, since on the interval \([0, t] \) \( u \) under \( \mathbb{P} \) has the same law as \( v^n \) under \( G^n_t \mathbb{P} \),

\[
\mathbb{E} \left[ G^n_t \log \frac{G^n_t}{G^n_s} \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[ \log \frac{G^n_t(u)}{G^n_s(u)} \right] \\
\leq \mathbb{E} \left[ 2 \int_s^t \chi^n_r(u) S^+_N \pi_F(vAu + B(u)) \, dW_r \right] \\
+ \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_s^t \chi^n_r(u) \| S^+_N \pi_F(vAu + B(u)) \|_H^2 \, dr \right] \\
\leq c_6 (t - s)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( 1 + \| u(0) \|_H^2 \right)^2,
\]

where we have used the Burkholder–Davis–Gundy inequality and (2.3). \( \square \)

**Lemma 3.3.** There is \( c_7 > 0 \) such that for every \( 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T \) and \( n \geq 1 \),

\[
\mathbb{E} \left[ |G^n_t - G^n_s| \right] \leq c_7 \left( 1 + \| u(0) \|_H^2 \right)^2 (t - s)^{\frac{1}{2}},
\]

**Proof.** Fix \( 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T \) and notice that, since \( G^n_t \) is a martingale, \( \mathbb{E}[G^n_t - G^n_s] = 0 \), whence

\[
\mathbb{E} \left[ |G^n_t - G^n_s| \right] = 2 \mathbb{E} \left[ (G^n_s - G^n_t)_+ \right],
\]

where for \( x \in \mathbb{R} \), \( x_+ = \max(x, 0) \). Thus, by using the elementary inequality \((x - y)_+ \leq x|\log \frac{x}{y}|, x, y > 0\),

\[
\mathbb{E} \left[ |G^n_t - G^n_s| \right] = 2 \mathbb{E} \left[ (G^n_s - G^n_t)_+ \right] \leq 2 \mathbb{E} \left[ G^n_t \log \frac{G^n_t}{G^n_s} \right],
\]

and the conclusion of the lemma follows by Lemma 3.2. \( \square \)

3.3. Proof of Proposition 3.1

We recall an elementary inequality, its proof is straightforward calculus: for every \( x, y \geq 0 \) and \( \epsilon > 0 \),

\[
x y \leq \epsilon e^{\frac{y}{\epsilon}} + \epsilon x \log x.
\] (3.1)
LEMMA 3.4. For every $\epsilon > 0$, every $s, t \in [0, T]$, every $n \geq 1$ and every bounded measurable $\phi : F \to \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{E} \left[ G^n_s \left( \phi(\pi_F v^n(t)) - \phi(\pi_F v(t)) \right) \right] \\
\leq \epsilon \|\phi\|_{\infty} \left( c_0 \sqrt{T} \left( 1 + \|u(0)\|^2_H \right)^2 + e\epsilon \mathbb{P}[\tau_n(v) < t] \right).
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Fix $\epsilon > 0$ and assume without loss of generality that $\|\phi\|_{\infty} \leq 1$. We know that $v^n(t) = v(t)$ on $\tau_n(v) \geq t$, hence

$$
\mathbb{E} \left[ G^n_s \left( \phi(\pi_F v^n(t)) - \phi(\pi_F v(t)) \right) \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[ G^n_s \left( \phi(\pi_F v^n(t)) - \phi(\pi_F v(t)) \right) 1_{[\tau_n(v) < t]} \right].
$$

By the inequality (3.1) above (with $x = G^n_s$ and $y = |\phi(\pi_F v^n(t)) - \phi(\pi_F v(t))|$),

$$
\begin{align*}
&\mathbb{E} \left[ G^n_s \left( \phi(\pi_F v^n(t)) - \phi(\pi_F v(t)) \right) 1_{[\tau_n(v) < t]} \right] \\
&\leq e\mathbb{E} \left[ G^n_s \log G^n_s \right] + e\mathbb{E} \left[ e^{\frac{1}{\epsilon} |\phi(\pi_F v^n(t)) - \phi(\pi_F v(t))|} 1_{[\tau_n(v) < t]} \right] \\
&\leq e\mathbb{E} \left[ G^n_s \log G^n_s \right] + e\epsilon^2 \mathbb{P}[\tau_n(v) < t].
\end{align*}
$$

The statement of the lemma now follows by Lemma 3.2. □

Let $U_\phi$ be the solution of the heat equation

$$
\partial_t U_\phi = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\pi_F S(\pi_F S)^* D^2 U_\phi),
$$

with initial condition $\phi$. This is well defined, smooth and a linear transformation of the standard heat equation due again to assumption (2.5).

LEMMA 3.5. For every $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$, $n \geq 1$ and $\phi : F \to \mathbb{R}$ bounded measurable,

$$
\mathbb{E} \left[ G^n_s \phi(\pi_F v(t)) \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[ G^n_s U_\phi(t-s, \pi_F v(s)) \right].
$$

Proof. Set $\beta(t) = \pi_F v(t)$, then by assumption (2.5) and by $\pi_F \pi_N = \pi_F$, since $F$ is a subspace of $H_N$, it follows that $\beta(t) = \pi_F u(0) + \int_0^t \pi_F S dW_s$ is a $d$-dimensional Brownian motion started at $\pi_F u(0)$. By the Markov property,

$$
\mathbb{E} \left[ G^n_s \phi(\pi_F v(t)) \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[ G^n_s \mathbb{E}[\phi(\beta(t)) | \mathcal{F}_s] \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[ G^n_s U_\phi(t-s, \beta_s) \right].
$$

□

LEMMA 3.6. There is $C_8 > 0$ such that for every $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$, $n \geq 1$, every bounded measurable $\phi : F \to \mathbb{R}$, and every $\alpha \in (0, 1),$

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{E} \left[ G^n_s \left( \phi(\pi_F v^n(t)) - \phi(\pi_F v^n(s)) \right) \right] &\leq c_8 \|\phi\|_{\infty} \left( [f(s)]_{B_{1,\infty}^\alpha} (t-s)^\alpha \right) \\
&+ \epsilon \sqrt{T} \left( 1 + \|u(0)\|^2_H \right)^2 + e\epsilon^2 \mathbb{P}[\tau_n(v) < t].
\end{align*}
$$
Proof. Let \( s, t, n, \phi \) as in the statement of the lemma and assume for simplicity \( \|\phi\|_\infty \leq 1 \). We have
\[
\mathbb{E}\left[ G^n_s \left( \phi(\pi_F v^n(t)) - \phi(\pi_F v^n(s)) \right) \right] = \mathbb{E}\left[ G^n_s \left( \phi(\pi_F v^n(t)) - U_\phi(t-s, \pi_F v^n(s)) \right) \right] \\
+ \mathbb{E}\left[ G^n_s \left( U_\phi(t-s, \pi_F v^n(s)) - \phi(\pi_F v^n(s)) \right) \right].
\]

For the first term we use Lemma 3.5, Lemma 3.4 twice, and \( \|U_\phi\|_\infty \leq \|\phi\|_\infty \),
\[
\mathbb{E}\left[ G^n_s \left( \phi(\pi_F v^n(t)) - \phi(\pi_F v^n(s)) \right) \right] + \mathbb{E}\left[ G^n_s \left( \phi(\pi_F v^n(t)) - U_\phi(t-s, \pi_F v^n(s)) \right) \right]
\leq 2\varepsilon \left( c_6 \sqrt{T} \left( 1 + \|u(0)\|_H^2 \right)^2 + e^2 \mathbb{P}[\tau_n(v) < t] \right).
\]

For the second term, we change back the probability measure, since on the interval \([0, s)\) \( u \) under \( \mathbb{P} \) has the same law as \( v^n \) under \( G^n_s \mathbb{P} \),
\[
\mathbb{E}\left[ G^n_s \left( U_\phi(t-s, \pi_F u(s)) - \phi(\pi_F u(s)) \right) \right]
= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (U_\phi(t-s, y) - \phi(y)) f_F(s, y) \, dy
= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\phi(y + \hat{B}_{t-s})] - \phi(y)) f_F(s, y) \, dy
= \hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi(y)(f_F(s, y + \hat{B}_{t-s}) - f_F(s, y)) \, dy \right]
\leq \hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[ \| f_F(s, \cdot - \hat{B}_{t-s}) - f_F(s, \cdot) \|_{L^1} \right]
\leq \|f_F(s)\|_{B^\alpha_{1,\infty}} \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|\hat{B}_{t-s}|^\alpha]
\leq c_1 \|f_F(s)\|_{B^\alpha_{1,\infty}} (t-s)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}
\]
where \( \alpha \in (0, 1) \), \( f_F(t, \cdot) \) (or more precisely \( f_F^N(t, \cdot) \), but again we drop the superscript for simplicity) is the density of \( \pi_F u(t) \), and where \( (\hat{B}_t)_{t \geq 0} \) is an auxiliary \( F \)-valued Brownian motion with (spatial) covariance \( \pi_F S(\pi_F S)^* \) introduced to represent the solutions of (3.2).

We finally have all the ingredients to complete the proof of Proposition 3.1.

Proof of Proposition 3.1. Let \( 0 \leq s \leq t \). By duality, it suffices to estimate the following quantity for each bounded measurable \( \phi : F \to \mathbb{R} \) with \( \|\phi\|_\infty \leq 1 \). For every \( n \geq 1 \), by the Girsanov transformation detailed in Sect. 3.1,
\[
\int_{F} \phi(y)(f_F(t, y) - f_F(s, y)) \, dy = \mathbb{E}[\phi(\pi_F u(t)) - \phi(\pi_F u(s))]
= \mathbb{E}\left[ G^n_t \left( \phi(\pi_F v^n(t)) - \phi(\pi_F v^n(s)) \right) \right]
\]
\[ 
= \mathbb{E} \left[ G^n_t \phi(\pi_F v^n(t)) - G^n_s \phi(\pi_F v^n(s)) \right] 
= \mathbb{E} \left[ (G^n_t - G^n_s) \phi(\pi_F v^n(t)) \right] 
+ \mathbb{E} \left[ G^n_s (\phi(\pi_F v^n(t)) - \phi(\pi_F v^n(s))) \right] .
\]

The first term is estimated through Lemma 3.3,
\[ 1 \leq c_7 \left( 1 + \|x\|_H^2 \right)^{t - s} , \]
the second term through Lemma 3.6, for every \( \epsilon > 0 , \)
\[ 2 \leq c_8 \left( [f_F(s)]_{B_{1,\infty}} (t - s)^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} + \epsilon \sqrt{t} \left( 1 + \|x\|_H^2 \right)^{t - s} \right) , \]
so that in conclusion
\[
\left| \int F \phi(y) (f_F(t, y) - f_F(s, y)) dy \right| \leq c_7 \left( 1 + \|x\|_H^2 \right)^{t - s} \\
+ c_8 \left( [f_F(s)]_{B_{1,\infty}} (t - s)^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} + \epsilon \sqrt{t} \left( 1 + \|x\|_H^2 \right)^{t - s} \right) ,
\]
and by taking first the limit as \( n \uparrow \infty \), so that \( \mathbb{P}[\tau_n(v) < t] \downarrow 0 \), and then as \( \epsilon \downarrow 0 \), the statement of the proposition follows.

\( \square \)

4. The estimate in the Besov semi-norm

In this section we prove Theorem 2.3. To this end we use together the machinery on Girsanov’s theorem introduced in the previous section and the technique based on Besov spaces introduced in [6].

4.1. A smoothing lemma

The technique introduced in [6] is based on a duality estimate that provides a quantitative integration by parts. Since we are dealing with regularity properties of low order, we will use Besov spaces to measure it. The following lemma is implicitly given in [6], and we state it here explicitly and give a complete proof.

**Lemma 4.1.** (Smoothing lemma) If \( \mu \) is a finite measure on \( \mathbb{R}^d \) and there are an integer \( m \geq 1 \), two real numbers \( s > 0 \), \( \gamma \in (0, 1) \), with \( \gamma < s < m \), and a constant \( K > 0 \) such that for every \( \phi \in C^\gamma_b (\mathbb{R}^d) \) and \( h \in \mathbb{R}^d \),
\[
\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Delta^m_h \phi(x) \mu(dx) \right| \leq K |h|^s \|\phi\|_{C^\gamma_b} ,
\]
then $\mu$ has a density $f_\mu$ with respect to the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^d$. Moreover, for every $r < s - \gamma$ there exists $C_{10} > 0$ such that
\[
\|f_\mu\|_{B^{r}_{1,\infty}} \leq C_{10}(\mu(\mathbb{R}^d) + K).
\tag{4.1}
\]

**Proof.** Fix a smooth function $\phi$. Let $(\varphi_\epsilon)_{\epsilon > 0}$ be a smoothing kernel, namely $\varphi_\epsilon = \epsilon^{-d}\varphi(x/\epsilon)$, with $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $0 \leq \varphi \leq 1$, and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x)\, dx = 1$. Let $f_\epsilon = \varphi_\epsilon \ast \mu$, then easy computations show that $f_\epsilon \geq 0$, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_\epsilon(x)\, dx = \mu(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and that
\[
\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Delta_h^m \varphi(x) f_\epsilon(x)\, dx \right| = \left| \int \varphi(x) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Delta_h^m \varphi(x - y) \mu(dy) \right)\, dx \right| \leq K|h|^{\gamma} \|\phi\|_{C_b^\gamma}.
\]

On the other hand, by a discrete integration by parts,
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Delta_h^m \varphi(x) f_\epsilon(x)\, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Delta_h^{-m} f_\epsilon(x) \varphi(x)\, dx.
\tag{4.2}
\]

Set $g_\epsilon = (I - \Delta_d)^{-\beta/2} f_\epsilon$, and $\psi = (I - \Delta_d)^{\beta/2} \phi$, where $\Delta_d$ is the $d$-dimensional Laplace operator and $\beta > \gamma$. We have by [1, Theorem 10.1] that $\|g_\epsilon\|_{L^1} \leq c_1 \|f_\epsilon\|_{L^1}$. Moreover, by [18, Theorem 2.5.7, Remark 2.2.2/3]], we know that $C_b^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d) = B^{\beta}_{\infty,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and by [18, Theorem 2.3.8] we know that $(I - \Delta_d)^{-\beta/2}$ is a continuous operator from $B^{\beta}_{\infty,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ to $B^{\gamma}_{\infty,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Hence, by (4.2) it follows that
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Delta_h^m g_\epsilon(x) \psi(x)\, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Delta_h^{-m} f_\epsilon(x) \varphi(x)\, dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Delta_h^m \varphi(x) f_\epsilon(x)\, dx \leq K|h|^{\gamma} \|\phi\|_{C_b^\gamma} \leq C_{12} K|h|^{\gamma} \|\psi\|_{B^{\gamma}_{\infty,\infty}}.
\]

Notice that by [18, Theorem 2.11.2], $B^{\gamma}_{\infty,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is the dual of $B^{1-\gamma}_{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, moreover $B^{\beta-\gamma}_{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookrightarrow L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by definition, since $\beta > \gamma$, therefore $L^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookrightarrow B^{\gamma}_{\infty,\infty}$. By duality, $\|\Delta_h^m g_\epsilon\|_{L^1} \leq C_{12} K|h|^{\gamma}$, hence $\|g_\epsilon\|_{B^{1-\gamma}_{1,1}} \leq C_3 (K + \mu(\mathbb{R}^d))$. Again since $(I - \Delta_d)^{\beta/2}$ maps continuously $B^\gamma_{\infty,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ into $B^{\beta}_{\infty,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, it finally follows that $\|f_\epsilon\|_{B^{\gamma}_{1,1}} \leq C_4 \|g_\epsilon\|_{B^{1-\gamma}_{1,1}}$ for every $\beta > \gamma$.

By Sobolev’s embeddings and [18, formula 2.2.2/(18)], we have for every $r < s - \beta$ and $1 \leq p \leq d/(d - r)$ that $B^\beta_{s,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookrightarrow B^r_{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d) = W^{r,1}(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$. In particular, $(f_\epsilon)_{\epsilon > 0}$ is uniformly integrable in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and therefore there is $f_\mu$ such that $\mu = f_\mu\, dx$ and $(f_\epsilon)_{\epsilon > 0}$ converges weakly in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ to $f_\mu$. By semi-continuity, (4.1) holds for every $r < s - \gamma$. \qed

4.2. The Besov estimate

Let $x \in H$ and consider a solution $u$ of (2.1) that is a limit point of Galerkin approximations. All our estimates will pass to the limit and so it is not restrictive to work on the solution $u^N$ of (2.2) with initial condition $u^N(0) = \pi_{N,x}$.

Given $t > 0$ and $\epsilon \in (0, t)$, let $\chi_{t,\epsilon} = \mathbb{1}_{[0,t-\epsilon]}$ be the indicator function of the interval $[0, t - \epsilon]$, and let $u^N_\epsilon$ be the solution of
\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{du^N_\epsilon}{dt} + (\pi_N - \pi_F) \left( vAu^N_\epsilon + B \left( u^N_\epsilon \right) \right) + \chi_{t,\epsilon} \pi_F \left( vAu^N_\epsilon + B \left( u^N_\epsilon \right) \right) \, dt &= \pi_{N,S} \, dW,
\end{align*}
\]
that is \( u_\epsilon^N = u^N \) up to time \( t - \epsilon \), and \( \tilde{u} = \pi_F u_\epsilon^N \) satisfies for \( r \in [t - \epsilon, t] \),

\[
\tilde{u}(r) = \pi_F u^N(t - \epsilon) + \pi_F S(W_r - W_{t - \epsilon}).
\]

Due to assumption (2.5), \( \tilde{u}(r) \) is a \( d \)-dimensional Brownian motion (where \( d \) is the dimension of \( F \)) with spatial covariance matrix \( \pi_F SS^* \pi_F \). The following lemma summarizes the result of [6], adding the explicit dependence of the Besov norm of the density in terms of time, which is needed for the evaluation of the inequality in the previous proposition.

**LEMMA 4.2.** Let \( F \) be a finite-dimensional subspace of \( D(A) \) generated by a finite set of eigenvectors of the Stokes operator, and assume (2.5). For every \( t > 0 \) and \( x \in H \), the projection \( \pi_F u(t) \) has a density \( f_F(t) \) with respect to the Lebesgue measure on \( F \), where \( u \) is any solution of (2.1), with initial condition \( x \), which is a limit point of the spectral Galerkin approximations.

Moreover, for every \( \alpha \in (0, 1) \), \( f_F(t) \in B_{1, \infty}^\alpha(F) \) and for every (small) \( \epsilon > 0 \), there exists \( C_{15} = C_{15}(\alpha, \epsilon) > 0 \) such that

\[
\| f_F(t) \|_{B_{1, \infty}^\alpha} \leq \frac{C_{15}}{(1 + t)^{\alpha + \epsilon}} \left( 1 + \| x \|^2_H \right)^{\alpha + \epsilon}.
\]

**Proof.** Given a finite-dimensional space \( F \) as in the statement, fix \( t > 0 \), and let \( \gamma \in (0, 1), \phi \in C_b^\gamma \), and \( h \in F \), with \( |h| \leq 1 \). For \( n \geq 1 \), consider two cases. If \( |h|^{2n/(2\gamma + n)} < t \), then we use the same estimate in [6] to get

\[
\left| \mathbb{E} \left[ \Delta_h^n \phi(\pi_F u(t)) \right] \right| \leq c_{16} \left( 1 + \| x \|^2_H \right)^{\gamma} \| \phi \|_{C_b^\gamma |h|^{2\gamma/(2\gamma + n)}}.
\]

If on the other hand \( t \leq |h|^{2n/(2\gamma + n)} \), we introduce the process \( u_\epsilon \) as above, but with \( \epsilon = t \). As in [6],

\[
\mathbb{E} \left[ \Delta_h^n \phi(\pi_F u(t)) \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[ \Delta_h^n \phi(\pi_F u_\epsilon(t)) \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[ \Delta_h^n \phi(\pi_F u(t)) - \Delta_h^n \phi(\pi_F u_\epsilon(t)) \right]
\]

and

\[
\left| \mathbb{E} \left[ \Delta_h^n \phi(\pi_F u(t)) - \Delta_h^n \phi(\pi_F u_\epsilon(t)) \right] \right| \leq c_{17} \left( 1 + \| x \|^2_H \right)^{\gamma} \| \phi \|_{C_b^\gamma t^{\gamma}}.
\]

For the probabilistic error we use the fact that \( u_\epsilon(t) \) is Gaussian, hence

\[
\left| \mathbb{E} \left[ \Delta_h^n \phi(\pi_F u_\epsilon(t)) \right] \right| \leq c_{18} \| \phi \|_\infty \left( \frac{|h|}{\sqrt{t}} \right)^{\frac{2\gamma}{2\gamma + n}}
\]

In conclusion, from both cases we finally have

\[
\left| \mathbb{E} \left[ \Delta_h^n \phi(\pi_F u(t)) \right] \right| \leq c_{19} \left( 1 + \| x \|^2_H \right)^{\gamma} \| \phi \|_{C_b^\gamma} |h|^{\frac{2\gamma}{2\gamma + n}} (1 + t)^{-\frac{n\gamma}{2\gamma + n}}.
\]

Given \( \alpha \), suitable choices of \( n \) and \( \gamma \) yield the final result. \( \square \)
Clearly the same estimate given in the above lemma holds also for the spectral Galerkin approximations of the solution.

**PROPOSITION 4.3.** Let $F$ be a finite-dimensional subspace of $D(A)$ generated by a finite set of eigenvectors of the Stokes operator, and assume (2.6).

Given $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 1)$ with $\alpha + \beta < 1$, and $\epsilon > 0$, there is $C_{20} > 0$ such that if $f \in H$, if $N$ is large enough (that $F \subset H_N$) and $u^N$ is a weak solution of (2.2) with initial condition $\pi_N(x)$, if $\tilde{f}_F^N(\cdot)$ is the density with respect to the Lebesgue measure on $F$ of the random variable $\pi_F u^N(\cdot)$, then

$$ \left[ f_F^N(t) - f_F^N(s) \right]_{B^\alpha_{1,\infty}} \leq C_{20} \left( 1 + \|x\|^2_H \right)^{\alpha + \beta + \epsilon} \frac{(1 + s \vee t) \beta (1 - \alpha - \beta)}{2(\alpha + \beta)} \frac{(1 \wedge s \wedge t)^{\alpha + \beta + \epsilon}}{1 \wedge s \wedge t} |t - s|^{\beta}, $$

for every $s, t > 0$.

If $\epsilon < 1 - \alpha - \beta$, the above estimate reads in the simpler form

$$ \left[ f_F^N(t) - f_F^N(s) \right]_{B^\alpha_{1,\infty}} \leq C_{21} \left( 1 + \|x\|^2_H \right)^{2 + \alpha + \beta + \epsilon} \frac{(1 + s \vee t) \beta (1 - \alpha - \beta)}{2(\alpha + \beta)} \frac{(1 \wedge s \wedge t)^{\alpha + \beta + \epsilon}}{1 \wedge s \wedge t} |t - s|^{\frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \beta)}, $$

therefore

$$\| \Delta_h f_F(t) - \Delta_h f_F(s) \|_{L^1} \leq 2 \| f_F(t) - f_F(s) \|_{L^1} \leq 2C_{21} \left( 1 + \|x\|^2_H \right)^{2 + \alpha + \beta + \epsilon} \frac{(1 + s \vee t) \beta (1 - \alpha - \beta)}{2(\alpha + \beta)} \frac{(1 \wedge s \wedge t)^{\alpha + \beta + \epsilon}}{1 \wedge s \wedge t} |t - s|^{\frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \beta)}. \quad (4.3)$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 4.2,

$$\| \Delta_h f_F(t) - \Delta_h f_F(s) \|_{L^1} \leq \| f_F(t) - f_F(s) \|_{B^\alpha_{1,\infty}} |h|^{\alpha + \beta} \leq \left( \| f_F(t) \|_{B^\alpha_{1,\infty}} + \| f_F(s) \|_{B^\alpha_{1,\infty}} \right) |h|^{\alpha + \beta} \leq 2C_{15} \left( 1 + \|x\|^2_H \right)^{\alpha + \beta + \epsilon} \frac{(1 \wedge s \wedge t)^{\alpha + \beta + \epsilon}}{1 \wedge s \wedge t} |h|^{\alpha + \beta}. \quad (4.4)$$

Set $\kappa = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta}$, then

$$\| \Delta_h f_F(t) - \Delta_h f_F(s) \|_{L^1} = \| \Delta_h f_F(t) - \Delta_h f_F(s) \|_{L^1} \| \Delta_h f_F(t) - \Delta_h f_F(s) \|_{L^1}^{1 - \kappa},$$

and use (4.4) to bound the term with the $\kappa$ power and (4.3) to bound the term with the $1 - \kappa$ power, to obtain
\[ \| \Delta_h f_F(t) - \Delta_h f_F(s) \|_{L^1} \]
\[ \leq C_2 \left( 1 + \| x \|_{H^1}^2 \right)^{\alpha + \beta + \epsilon + \frac{2\beta}{\alpha + \beta}} \left( 1 + s \lor t \right)^{\beta (1 - \alpha - \beta)} \frac{1}{(1 \land s \land t)^{\alpha + \beta + \epsilon}} \| t - s \| \| h \|^{\alpha + \beta}, \]
and hence the conclusion of the proposition. \( \Box \)
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