Highly sensitive SnO₂ sensor via reactive laser-induced transfer
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Gas sensors based on tin oxide (SnO₂) and palladium doped SnO₂ (Pd:SnO₂) active materials are fabricated by a laser printing method, i.e. reactive laser-induced forward transfer (rLIFT). Thin films from tin based metal-complex precursors are prepared by spin coating and then laser transferred with high resolution onto sensor structures. The devices fabricated by rLIFT exhibit low ppm sensitivity towards ethanol and methane as well as good stability with respect to air, moisture, and time. Promising results are obtained by applying rLIFT to transfer metal-complex precursors onto uncoated commercial gas sensors. We could show that rLIFT onto commercial sensors is possible if the sensor structures are reinforced prior to printing. The rLIFT fabricated sensors show up to 4 times higher sensitivities then the commercial sensors (with inkjet printed SnO₂). In addition, the selectivity towards CH₄ of the Pd:SnO₂ sensors is significantly enhanced compared to the pure SnO₂ sensors. Our results indicate that the reactive laser transfer technique applied here represents an important technical step for the realization of improved gas detection systems with wide-ranging applications in environmental and health monitoring control.

Tin dioxide (SnO₂) is an n-type semiconductor with a bandgap of 3.6 eV, and used in a large range of applications, i.e. catalysis¹, photovoltaic devices², and rechargeable lithium batteries³. An important application of SnO₂ is for the development of solid state devices, i.e. gas sensors based on thin porous films or nanoparticles⁴,⁵. Gas sensing by metal-oxide-semiconductors such as SnO₂ is based on the reduction-oxidation reactions of the analyte which takes place at the surface of the semiconductor, which leads to a change in the sensor resistance. So far, various techniques have been developed to prepare SnO₂ films and nanoparticles with different morphologies, crystallinity, and structure, such as sputtering⁶, laser ablation⁷, sol-gel⁸, or thermal evaporation⁹. However, these methods present some disadvantages, for example, the main disadvantage of sputtering is that the sensor surface has to be patterned with a photoresist and a consecutive lift-off process. The lift-off process limits the deposition temperatures that can be reached, due to possible decomposition of the photoresist. In addition, most of these conventional deposition techniques are not used in industrial commercial processes. Therefore, there is a continuous need for better sensors, that are more sensitive, selective, stable, and cheaper, which drives new developments in downsizing the sensing elements. In addition, downsizing the sensing elements and their application in micro and nano-devices determines the need for roll-to-roll additive techniques. Inkjet printing is a low cost process which is currently used for gas sensor coating. However, the main disadvantage of this technique is clogging of the nozzles, and the need of tailored inks, consisting of a solvent, powder, binder, and dispersants.

Therefore, improvements in the sensor materials deposition methods would expand the possibilities. In fact, laser based deposition techniques, instead of the well-established technologies such as sputtering or inkjet printing, represent an extremely versatile and flexible tool, suitable to produce thin and homogenous layers of different materials with lateral resolution in the micron scale (“pixels”). In particular, conventional laser-induced forward transfer (LIFT) is a simple process, where a laser beam is focused through a transparent support plate onto a pre-patterned with a photoresist and a consecutive lift-off process. The lift-off process limits the deposition temperatures that can be reached, due to possible decomposition of the photoresist. In addition, most of these conventional deposition techniques are not used in industrial commercial processes. Therefore, there is a continuous need for better sensors, that are more sensitive, selective, stable, and cheaper, which drives new developments in downsizing the sensing elements. In addition, downsizing the sensing elements and their application in micro and nano-devices determines the need for roll-to-roll additive techniques. Inkjet printing is a low cost process which is currently used for gas sensor coating. However, the main disadvantage of this technique is clogging of the nozzles, and the need of tailored inks, consisting of a solvent, powder, binder, and dispersants.

Therefore, improvements in the sensor materials deposition methods would expand the possibilities. In fact, laser based deposition techniques, instead of the well-established technologies such as sputtering or inkjet printing, represent an extremely versatile and flexible tool, suitable to produce thin and homogenous layers of different materials with lateral resolution in the micron scale (“pixels”). In particular, conventional laser-induced forward transfer (LIFT) is a simple process, where a laser beam is focused through a transparent support plate onto a precursor thin film of the material to be transferred. Every single pulse promotes the transfer of the thin film material onto a substrate that is usually placed parallel and facing the thin film at very short distances (<100 μm). LIFT is...
a versatile method, which allows the transfer of solid\textsuperscript{10–12} or liquid phase materials\textsuperscript{13–15} with very high resolution, in air or vacuum, without contamination and a minimal consumption of material. LIFT is also a useful technique for the fabrication of functional devices, i.e. sensors, organic light emitting diodes, etc\textsuperscript{16–18}. In order to improve the process efficiency, and to protect the materials to be transferred from direct laser irradiation, different approaches to LIFT have been proposed. For example, in\textsuperscript{14} the authors add an intermediate metal layer to transfer DNA microarrays and in\textsuperscript{15} the transfer of a conductive polymers i.e. PEDOT:PSS with an Au interlayer is presented. Other examples include the addition of intermediate polymer layers (tri azene or polyimide polymers) for the fabrication of thin film transistors or electroluminescent devices\textsuperscript{20}.

In this work, a new approach, i.e. reactive LIFT (rLIFT) has been evaluated as an alternative method to LIFT, which allows the integration of SnO\textsubscript{2} and Pd:SnO\textsubscript{2} patterns for their use in micro-sensors. In rLIFT, the donors are UV absorbing metal complex precursors (i.e. metal acetylacetonates) which upon absorption of the laser light partially decompose to SnO\textsubscript{2} or Pd:SnO\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{21}. Solution based precursors are in particular appealing due to their low deposition (growing) temperatures which make them suitable for flexible substrates, and good potential for scaling-up. During the past decade, a large number of metal oxides have been prepared from metal acetylacetonates, for example TiO\textsubscript{2}, In\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}, SnO\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{22,23} and more recently ZnO nanowires\textsuperscript{24}. rLIFT is a unique technique which combines the advantages of the low decomposition temperatures of the acetylacetonates together with the spatial resolution of the laser based method. The transformation of the metal precursor to SnO\textsubscript{2} takes place partially during laser transfer as a result of the photochemical and thermal processes, which in this particular case are an advantage as they shorten the fabrication process. Therefore, the results presented in this work prove that rLIFT has great potential for the integration of active materials into micro-sensing devices.

Results and Discussion

LIFT printing of SnO\textsubscript{2} precursor. Reactive laser-induced forward transfer (see Fig. 1 for a scheme of rLIFT) is a useful process which combines the advantages of an additive technique with the possibility of decomposing materials partially upon exposure to laser light. rLIFT doesn’t require the use of an absorbing (sacrificial) layer, which in turn lowers the risk of printed material contamination, and the acetylacetonates decompose into small gaseous species. This work is focused on the fabrication of highly sensitive SnO\textsubscript{2} and Pd doped SnO\textsubscript{2} sensors by reactive LIFT and the evaluation of the performances of the rLIFT-ed sensors towards different analytes. Therefore, we shall only briefly describe the parameter optimization for laser transferring functional SnO\textsubscript{2} pixels.

Previous studies have shown that by a careful choice of the transfer parameters, regular, well defined SnO\textsubscript{2} pixels can be printed onto glass substrates from donor films of metal complex precursors\textsuperscript{21}. The laser fluence is an important parameter to achieve a regular, debris free transfer. According to previous studies\textsuperscript{21}, the range of laser fluences for which successful transfers are obtained, i.e. SnO\textsubscript{2} pixels with a surface structure comparable to the donor film, is 200–400 mJ/cm\textsuperscript{2}. The metal complex precursor donor film is about 1 μm thick, while the transferred pixels are in the range 900 nm–1 μm thick, as determined by measuring the height of the transferred pixels with a profilometer. Transfers onto IDT structures do not show significant differences from the transfers onto glass receivers. The edge quality of the pixels and their morphology is similar to those of pixels transferred onto glass. Therefore, based on previous experiments, the pixels used for the fabrication of sensors (in this work) are transferred at 300 mJ/cm\textsuperscript{2} laser fluence and are around 900 nm thick.

Base resistance in dry and humid environment. The base resistance and the sensitivity towards C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{5}OH are tested in dry and in humid atmosphere to simulate the influence of different environments which will be encountered in real applications. SnO\textsubscript{2} is in general able to detect C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{5}OH in the low ppm range and at comparable low temperatures (300 °C)\textsuperscript{25}. C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{5}OH gas sensors are particularly important as they can be applied in many fields, such as control of fermentation processes or to monitor drunken drivers. To test the sensitivity, the sensor-like structures are first heated until a stable temperature of 350 °C is reached.
The sensors are kept at a constant temperature until the base resistance is stable prior to exposure to air containing C2H5OH in the ppm range. After 2 minutes the C2H5OH flow is switched off and the SnO2 recovers its initial resistance within 8 min before the next injection of analyte takes place. A typical sensing curve can be seen in Fig. 2 with concentration of 30, 20, and 10 ppm, each applied twice in consecutive order.

The recovery of the base resistance after C2H5OH injections is in general fast and the drift over 1 h is small, resulting in a small deviation of R0 for individual samples. The same behavior can be noticed for the sensors measured in humid SA.

Sensing C2H5OH and CH4. The results of detecting C2H5OH vapor in the low ppm range (30, 20, and 10 ppm) are shown in Fig. 3 for dry as well as for humid SA. The bars indicate the average behavior and the black lines are the individual samples. The response of the sensors is calculated as (Rair − Ranalyte)/Rair, where Rair is the electrical resistance of the sensors when exposed to (dry or humid) SA, and Ranalyte is the electrical resistance of the sensor when exposed to the ethanol and/or methane analytes. The standard error of the mean sensor response is between ±1% and ±5%.

In dry SA (see Fig. 3a), samples show a response higher than 0.9 for all measured concentrations suggesting that saturation is already reached. The signal difference between the different concentrations is small, but still visible.

In (Fig. 3a) it is shown that the rLIFT printed SnO2 work rather well and all the printed sensors exhibit a high response even for 10 ppm of C2H5OH. Carrying out the same measurements in humid air represents a different picture. In general, all analyte responses are lower in humid air than in dry air, even though the base resistance is not influenced much and the gradation between individual samples tends to be larger.

The rLIFTed sensor pads are also tested for their ability to detect CH4. CH4 is a highly volatile gas with a low explosion limit of 4.9% and a high explosion limit of 15.4%. For detecting CH4 higher sensor temperatures (of 500 °C) are required as CH4 is more difficult to oxidize. Commercial sensors detecting CH4 work also at these higher temperatures.

Analyzing the sensitivities towards methane (see Fig. 3b) shows that the detection limit is as expected higher compared to C2H5OH and the sensitivity is far away from saturation (S = 1). The difference between the individual concentrations is clearly distinguishable for all measured samples. Switching from dry to humid air shows a different behavior than for the measurements with C2H5OH, i.e. the sensitivity measured in humid atmosphere is
much lower than for dry air. This effect is not yet fully understood, however, it has been reported previously\textsuperscript{28–30} for sensors based on pure SnO\textsubscript{2} measured in a wet atmosphere. A limited sensor response in a humid atmosphere can partially be expected by additional cooling of the SnO\textsubscript{2} surface due to water related species (OH-groups).

In\textsuperscript{28–30} the authors experimentally demonstrate (by Diffuse Reflectance Fourier Transformed Spectroscopy) that in the case of undoped SnO\textsubscript{2} sensing layers, water competes with reducing gases for the presorbed oxygen species, the SnO\textsubscript{2} sensors having a lower response in a humid environment.

Drop casting the metal-complex precursor and its sensing abilities. Sensor pads are also prepared by drop casting to prove that the laser transfer improves the sensitivities reached by the sensor systems. The SnCl\textsubscript{2}(acac)\textsubscript{2} solution is drop casted with a pipette onto the sensor pad before conditioning the sample with the standard thermal annealing procedure. The sensing characteristics and the base resistances are measured and the results are shown in Fig. 4. The base resistance is two orders of magnitude lower than for rLIFT printed samples which is due to the thicker SnO\textsubscript{2} layers (i.e. 5\textmu m) obtained by drop casting\textsuperscript{31}. Drop casting leads to a large material deposition on the IDT and therefore much more material contributes to the conductivity than in the case of LIFT printed SnO\textsubscript{2}. The drop casted samples show a similar R\textsubscript{0} with a small drift after 1500 sec.

By exposing the film to C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{5}OH clear signals for all the three measured concentrations are obtained. However, the analyte responses are much lower with S (50 ppm) = 0.2 than for the rLIFT printed sensors with S (30 ppm) = 0.9. Even for this low response there is still a clear signal for 10 ppm C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{5}OH. A possible explanation for the improved performance of the rLIFTed SnO\textsubscript{2} sensors as compared to the drop casted sensors could be due to the high velocities of the LIFT generated SnO\textsubscript{2} pixels (around 200 m/s)\textsuperscript{32} which lead to better electrical contact between the electrodes and SnO\textsubscript{2} pixels\textsuperscript{33}.

Long term stability of the rLIFTed sensors. A key aspect for commercial sensors is the long term stability of the sensing material. As SnO\textsubscript{2} printed by rLIFT presents a new approach it is important to see if there is a possible problem with fast degradation of the transferred SnO\textsubscript{2} pixels.

The samples are stored and tested towards different analytes in the laboratory under ambient conditions. After 1 year the same samples are measured again with the same parameters and the response and the base resistance are compared to the values acquired in the first round of measurements. This test is carried out to determine if storing the sensor for long time can destroy the sensing capability of the rLIFT-ed SnO\textsubscript{2} layers.

In Fig. 5 the sensing curves of a freshly prepared sample and the same sample measured after one year is shown. R\textsubscript{0} changes by one order of magnitude for this sample (similar to commercial sensors). This drift of the base resistance could be due to the thermal effects arising from the heating-cooling cycles (during the operation time) which affect the pathway of current flowing through the SnO\textsubscript{2} sensitive film\textsuperscript{34}.

What is important is that the sensing characteristics are not changing, and the sensing curves exhibit still the same features like for the freshly prepared sensors. All concentrations are still clearly measurable for the stored samples. The signals towards C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{5}OH injection appears smaller but this is mainly due to the logarithmic scale of the graph and the one order of magnitude higher R\textsubscript{0}. This shows clearly that for this sample the response is not affected much by a storage time of one year.

Printing onto commercial sensors. In order to prove the feasibility of LIFT for large scale technological applications, the transfer onto commercial sensor structures needs to be evaluated. The transfer of SnO\textsubscript{2} pixels onto commercial sensors is more difficult to achieve, as the active area of the sensor is a free-standing membrane.

During rLIFT the material to be transferred reaches high velocities, i.e. higher than 200 m/s, and the transferred pixels can damage the sensor receiver substrates. Therefore, in order to achieve a successful laser transfer onto such “delicate” structures, the sensors are reinforced, i.e. the sensors are backfilled with wax (as it is easily burnt off). In Fig. 6 an optical microscopy image of a SnO\textsubscript{2} pixel transferred onto a wax stabilized membrane is shown.

Another crucial point for successful rLIFT onto commercial sensors is the size of the SnO\textsubscript{2} pixel. If the pixel is too large a short circuit between the heater contact and the IDT may occur. Therefore, to avoid a cross resistance.
between the heater and the electrodes, the pixel size is decreased to about 300 μm in diameter, which is slightly smaller than the IDT structure (see Fig. 6). An investigation by optical microscopy (Fig. 6) confirms that the transferred material is only deposited on the IDT.

In addition to printing SnO₂ pixels, precursor films containing Pd are prepared and transferred, due to the fact that according to literature 27 0.5% Pd should increase the sensitivity towards methane.

In order to evaluate rLIFT as an alternative method for the fabrication of micro-sized sensing devices, the SnO₂ and Pd:SnO₂ sensors fabricated by rLIFT are compared with the commercial inkjet printed sensors. In Fig. 7 the measured resistance of the SnO₂ and Pd:SnO₂ laser deposited sensors, and also the resistance of the commercial inkjet printed sensors are presented. The resistance of all rLIFT printed sensors is of at least one order of magnitude higher than the resistance of commercial inkjet printed sensors. This is most probably due to the much thinner SnO₂ layer but this presents no problem as the standard read out electronics of such a sensor can be easily adapted to these higher resistances.

Furthermore, the performance of the rLIFTed sensors is compared to the performance of the commercial inkjet printed sensors by measuring the response of the sensors to different concentrations of analytes (ethanol and methane). The response of the different gas sensors to 5 ppm C₂H₅OH and 15 ppm of methane is shown in Fig. 8. In addition, the corresponding real-time sensor responses to 5 ppm C₂H₅OH and 15 ppm of methane are shown in Figs SI1 and SI2. The overall picture shows a very high sensitivity for the rLIFT printed sensors compared to the commercial inkjet printed sensors. The response towards C₂H₅OH of the printed SnO₂ sensors (S = 0.2) is slightly higher than the response of the Pd:SnO₂ rLIFT-ed sensors (S = 0.15), and four times higher than for the...
commercial inkjet printed sensors ($S = 0.05$). This is in good agreement with the fact that Pd should enhance the response only towards $\text{CH}_4$. The response enhancement towards methane by the Pd containing sensors could be ascribed to the spillover of dissociated methane molecules, i.e. $\text{CH}_4$ dissociates on the Pd surface to a methyl group and a hydrogen adatom$^{35}$, which then reacts with adsorbed oxygen to produce water (rapidly desorbed from the surface as the sensors are operated at 500°C) and free electrons. [35 and refs therein].

On the other hand, the Pd doped sensors show the highest response towards $\text{CH}_4$ ($S > 0.4$) when compared to the SnO$_2$ rLIFT-ed sensors ($S = 0.39$), and to the response of only 0.09 for the commercial inkjet printed sensor. To see the influence of the dopant, the ratio between the response towards $\text{C}_2\text{H}_5\text{OH}$ and $\text{CH}_4$ is calculated. The horizontal lines in Fig. 8 indicate the ratio between the two analyte responses, defined as $S[\text{CH}_4]/S[\text{C}_2\text{H}_5\text{OH}]$. This ratio does not indicate how well a sensor works. A change in this number just indicates for which analyte a certain sensor gives a better analyte response. For the undoped samples as well as for the commercial inkjet printed sensor the ratio is below 2. This means that these samples are better for detecting $\text{C}_2\text{H}_5\text{OH}$ than $\text{CH}_4$. On the other hand, the Pd doped samples show a ratio which is for all samples higher than 2.5 indicating that Pd enhanced the sensitivity towards $\text{CH}_4$.

Figure 7. Base resistance at 350°C of the LIFTed SnO$_2$ sensors, Pt doped SnO$_2$ sensors, and commercial inkjet printed sensors.

Figure 8. Sensor responses to 5 ppm of ethanol (at 350°C) and 15 ppm of methane (at 500°C). The black line indicates the ratio ($S[\text{CH}_4]/S[\text{C}_2\text{H}_5\text{OH}]$) between the two sensitivities to visualize the influence of Pd doping.
Methods

LIFT experimental setup. In order to carry out the rLIFT experiments a XeCl excimer laser with an emission wavelength of 308 nm (Lambda Physik, 30 ns pulse length) is used for the transfer. A homogeneous part of the laser beam is cut out, resulting in an almost flat top energy beam profile for the LIFT experiments. The pulsed XeCl laser is operated at a repetition rate of 1 Hz and is controlled by a mechanical shutter. The laser beam intensity is controlled with a computer controlled attenuator plate. The pulse energy is measured by a pyroelectric energy meter (Gentec QE 50) placed at the end of the beam line and the average of 50 pulses is used to determine the laser fluence. A circular 4 mm diameter aperture is used and demagnified four times to ablate pixels of 1 mm diameter. Laser fluences between 200 and 400 mJ/cm² are used for the transfers.

The donor and the receiver are placed on a computer controlled translation stage while the laser irradiates the donor from the backside. The transfer of donor materials is investigated in the case when the donor and the receiver plates are placed at a distance of approximately 10 μm. All the transfers are carried out under ambient conditions (atmospheric pressure and 23 °C). A scheme of the LIFT experimental process is shown in Fig. 1.

Donor materials. In this work a new approach to LIFT is used, which aims at partially decomposing a precursor material to form SnO₂. In particular, metal complex precursors, i.e. SnCl₂(acac)₂ absorb UV light and decompose partially during UV laser transfer.

SnCl₂(acac)₂ is synthesized according to the procedure described in a. SnCl₂ is mixed either with acetylacetone and HCl or with acetone. 1w% Triton X-100 is added to the final solution in order to improve the wettability when coating the donor substrates. The solution is filtered with a 1 μm filter and spin coated at 2500 rpm resulting in thin films with a thickness of approximately 900 nm.

Doping SnO₂ with certain metals may increase the sensor response and selectivity towards different analytes such as CH₄ b. This case, palladium doping is chosen as a Pd content of approximately 0.5% is expected to increase the sensor response towards CH₄ detection. A commercially available Pd metal precursor solution (i.e. Pd(acac)₂) is purchased from Sigma Aldrich and 0.5% wt of Pd(acac)₂ is added to the SnCl₂(acac)₂ solution applied for spin coating.

Optical microscopy. The transferred pixels as well as the donor films prior to ablation are investigated by optical microscopy. The images are acquired with a Zeiss AxioScope microscope coupled with a Leica digital camera. For low magnification images an Olympus SZH 10 Research Stereo microscope with a Stingray F145C CCD camera is used.

Receiver substrates - Sensor pads and commercial sensors. For the functional characterization of the rLIFT printed materials, sensor-like pads are designed using the same interdigitated electrode (IDT) structure as the commercial sensors (MICROSENS gas sensor, MSGS 3000). On the sensor-like pads the structure is not free standing and therefore no stabilization of a membrane structure is required. The IDT structures are implemented on boron glass substrates. The electrodes on top are sputtered using first a 20 nm chromium interlayer with 100 nm platinum on top.

The main sensing device of the commercial MSGS 3000 sensor, as well as of other commercially available SnO₂ sensors, is based on silicon microstructures. The main sensor chip is a rectangular shaped structure with a side length of 1900 × 1700 μm. The part underneath the IDT supporting the SnO₂ is a thin membrane. The thin membrane (900–1000 nm thickness) has the role to maintain a low thermal mass and to reduce the heat conductivity towards the sides.

Underneath the membrane is a heater which brings the SnO₂ to temperatures in the range of 300 °C to 600 °C. The top part of the membrane is electrically insulating SiO₂ with sputtered Pt IDT electrodes on top. The electrodes are coated with SnO₂ and the resistance between the metal finger electrodes is measured.

For the commercial MSGS sensor the SnO₂ coating is carried out by ink-jet printing. In the case of the LIFT experiments uncoated commercial MSGS sensors are used (and compared to the inkjet printed MSGS sensors).

Thermal treatment of the rLIFT-ed material on the sensor. The rLIFT printed SnO₂ were submitted to the same thermal treatment as the commercial sensors for reasons of comparison c. The lifted sensor pads are heated for 24 h at 350 °C followed by 6h at 500 °C and 6 h at 600 °C in a stream of 1 l/min of synthetic air (SA) containing 20% O₂ and 80% N₂.

Gas sensing setup. Measuring and analyzing the performance of the LIFT printed sensor-like structures is carried out in a controlled atmosphere with the possibility of adding analytes in the ppm range, while heating the sensor-like structures up to 350 °C for ethanol and 500 °C for methane. The sensor-like structures are mounted on an alumina (Al₂O₃) block containing a K-type thermocouple for temperature measurements. The sensor-like samples are contacted electrically by two metal clamps, on the side pressing a graphite rode onto the Pt-electrodes reaching a total contact resistance of less than 50 Ω. Graphite rods are needed to prevent the Pt-electrodes to be scratched off.

Temperature measurements and resistance measurements are acquired by a computer controlled (LabView) setup using a Keithley 2400 source meter and Keithley 2000 multi meter respectively. The alumina block with the sensor on top is placed in a tube furnace with a constant gas supply.

The main gas supply is dry synthetic air (SA) with 80% N₂ and 20% O₂, with a standard gas flow of 5 l/min. For humidifying the air, the main gas flow is bubbled through a flask containing few deciliters of distilled water.

The test analytes, i.e. either ethanol or methane, are added (separate experiments) with a low flow rate (0.01 to 0.1 l/min) to the main gas flow just after the water containing flask. Analyte concentration in the ppm range, i.e. 10 ppm for ethanol and 30 ppm for methane, could be achieved.
Conclusions

The results shown in this paper reveal that reactive laser induced forward transfer is an appropriate technique for fabricating efficient SnO2 and Pd:SnO2 based sensors. The base resistance of the rLIFTed sensors was measured in dry as well as in humid air. The SnO2 transferred pixels have a suitable range for sensing applications. Analyzing the sensor responses towards C2H5OH revealed that the laser transferred SnO2 pixels have a very high response and most of the sensor-like pads were close to saturation even when exposed to 10 ppm of C2H5OH.

The rLIFTed sensor-like pads are stable over long time periods. Repeating the measurements with the same sample after one year showed that LIFT fabricated SnO2 sensors have a very low degradation.

Another key analyte for SnO2 gas sensors is the detection of CH4. It has been found that 50 ppm CH4 could be detected in dry air.

To understand the influence of the metal complex precursor deposition method on the sensing performance of the sensors, precursor materials were drop casted onto sensor-like pads. The sensor response measurements showed a much lower sensitivity in comparison with the rLIFT printed sensor-like pads. Therefore, we can assume that the rLIFT process is the key factor to achieve SnO2 pixels with high gas responses.

The high response towards C2H5OH and CH4 determined on the sensor-like pads is confirmed. The sensitivity of the SnO2 laser printed sensors shows four times better performance than the commercial inkjet printed sensors. Pd doped SnO2 has been printed and the response towards CH4 is improved reaching a four times better response than the commercial inkjet printed sensor. This reveals that rLIFT is a competitive alternative to commercial printing techniques, i.e. sputtering, inkjet printing for fabricating high performance sensors.

These results represent important steps to expanding the capability of rLIFT as a processing method for the fabrication of SnO2 and decorated SnO2 micro-devices.

References

1. Du, W. et al. Platinum–Tin Oxide Core–Shell Catalysts for Efficient Electro-Oxidation of Ethanol. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 136, 10862–1086 (2014).
2. Chae, H. et al. Chemical Effects of Tin Oxide Nanoparticles in Polymer Electrolytes Based Dye-SensitizedSolar Cells. J. Phys. Chem. C 118, 16510–16517 (2014).
3. Liu, B. et al. Sodium Chloride Template Synthesis of Cubic Tin Dioxide Hollow Particles for Lithium Ion Battery Applications. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 4, 1537–1542 (2012).
4. Gurlo, A. Nanosensors: Does crystal shape matter? Small 6(19), 2077–2079 (2010).
5. Renard, L. et al. Hybrid Organotin and Tin Oxide-based Thin Films Processed from Alkylalkynylorganotins: Synthesis, Characterization, and Gas Sensing Properties. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 6, 17093–17101 (2014).
6. Shen, Y., Yamazaki, T., Liu, Z., Meng, D. & Kikuta, T. Hydrogen sensing properties of Pd-doped SnO2 sputtered films with columnar nanostructures. Thin Solid Films 517, 6119–6123 (2009).
7. Hu, J., Bando, Y., Liu, Q. & Golden, D. Laser ablation growth and optical properties of wide and long single-crystal SnO2 ribbons. Adv. Funct. Mater. 13, 493–496 (2003).
8. Gu, F. et al. Photoluminescence Properties of SnO2 Nanoparticles Synthesized by Sol–Gel Method. J. Phys. Chem. B 108, 8119–8123 (2004).
9. Dai, Z. R., Pan, Z. W. & Wang, Z. L. Novel nanostructures of functional oxides by thermal evaporation. Adv. Funct. Mater. 13, 9–24 (2003).
10. Arnold, C. B., Serra, P. & Piquet, A. Laser Direct-Write Techniques for Printing of Complex Materials. MRS Bulletin 32, 01, 23–31 (2007).
11. Visser, Ch. W. et al. Toward 3D Printing of Pure Metals by Laser-Induced Forward Transfer. Adv. Mater. 27, 4087–4092 (2015).
12. Xenou, M., Sazar, A. & Kotler, Z. Laser Transfer of Metals and Metal Alloys for Digital Microfabrication of 3D Objects. Small 11(33), 4082–4089 (2015).
13. Dinca, V. et al. Quantification of the activity of biomolecules in microarrays obtained by direct laser transfer. Biomedical Microdevices 10, 719–725 (2008).
14. Serra, P., Colina, M., Fernandez-Pradas, J. M., Sevilla, L. & Morenza, J. L. Preparation of functional DNA microarrays by laser induced forward transfer. Appl. Phys. Lett. 85, 1639–1641 (2004).
15. Boutopoulos, C., Tsouti, V., Gousountidou, D., Chatzandroulis, S. & Zergioti, I. Liquid phase direct laser printing of polymers for chemical sensing applications. Appl. Phys. Lett. 93, 191109 (2008).
16. Cannata, D. et al. Nerve agent simulant detection by solidly mounted resonators (SMRs) polymer coated using laser induced forward transfer (LIFT) technique. Sens. Actuators B 173, 32–39 (2012).
17. Shaw-Stewart, J., Lippert, T., Nagel, M., Nüesch, F. & Wokaun, A. Sequential printing by laser induced forward transfer to fabricate a polymer light-emitting diode pixel. Appl. Mater. Interfaces 4, 3535–3541 (2012).
18. Constantinescu, C., Rapp, L., Rotaru, P., Delaporte, P. & Alloncle, A. P. Pulsed laser processing of poly(3,3000-diodocyl quarter thiophene) semiconductor for thin film transistors. Chemical Physics 32–38, 450–451 (2015).
19. Rapp, L., Cibert, C., Alloncle, A. P. & Delaporte, P. Characterization of organic material micro-structures transferred by laser in nanosecond and picosecond regimes. Appl. Surf. Sci. 255, 5439–5443 (2009).
20. Kattamis, N. T., McDaniel, N. D., Bernhard, S. & Arnold, C. B. Ambient laser direct-write printing of a patterned organo-metallic electroluminescent device. Organic Electronics 12, 1152–1158 (2011).
21. Mattle, T., Hintemann, A., Lippert, T. & Wokaun, A. Laser induced forward transfer of SnO2 for sensing applications using different precursors systems. Appl. Phys. A 110, 309–316 (2013).
22. Pinna, N., Garnweitner, G., Antonietti, M. & Niederberger, M. A general nonaqueous route to binary metal oxide nanocrystals involving a C-C bond cleavage. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 127(15), 5608–5612 (2005).
23. Niederberger, M. et al. Tailoring the surface and solubility properties of nanocrystalline tin oxide by a nonaqueous in situ functionalization process. Chemistry of Materials 16(7), 1202–1208 (2004).
24. Wu, J. M., Chen, Y. R. & Kao, W. T. Ultrathin ZnO Nanoparticles/Nanowires Synthesized on a Flexible and Transparent Substrate: Formation, Water Molecules, and Surface Defect Effects. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 6, 487–494 (2014).
25. Xu, S. et al. Photochemistry-Based Method for the Fabrication of SnO2 Monolayer Ordered Porous Films with Size-Tunable Surface Pores for Direct Application in Resistive-Type Gas Sensor. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 6, 1251–1257 (2014).
26. Malyshkov, V. V. & Pshiyakov, A. V. Sensitivity of Semiconductor Metal Oxides (SnO2, WO3, ZnO) to Hydrogen Sulfide in Dry and Humid Gas Media. J. Anal. Chem. 69, No. 2, 123–135 (2014).
27. De Smedt, G., de Corte, F., Notele, R. & Berghmans, J. Comparison of two standard test methods for determining explosion limits of gases at atmospheric conditions. J. Hazard. Mater. 70, 105–113 (1999).
28. Koziej, D. et al. Water-oxygen interplay on tin dioxide surface: Implication on gas sensing. Chem. Phys. Lett. 410, 321–323 (2005).
29. Grossman, K., Pavelko, R. G., Barsan, N. & Weimar, U. Interplay of H2, water vapor and oxygenate the surface of SnO2 based gas sensors – An operando investigation utilizing deuterated gases. Sens. Act. B 166–167, 787–793 (2012).
30. Barsan, N., Rebholz, J. & Weimar, U. Conduction mechanism switch for SnO2 based sensors during operation in application relevant conditions: implications for modeling of sensors. Sens. Act. B 207, 455–459 (2015).
31. Sakai, G., Bak, N. S., Miura, N. & Yamazoe, N. Gas sensing properties of tin oxide thin films fabricated from hydrothermally treated nanoparticles: Dependence of co and H2 response on film thickness. Sens. Actuators B 77, 116–121 (2001).
32. Mattle, T. et al. Shadowgraphic investigations into the laser-induced forward transfer of different SnO2 precursor films. Appl. Surf. Sci. 278, 77 (2013).
33. Touloupakis, E., Boutopoulos, C., Buonasera, K., Zergioti, I. & Giardi, M. T. A photosynthetic biosensor with enhanced electron transfer generation realized by laser printing technology. Anal Bioanal Chem 402, 3237–3244 (2012).
34. Sharma, R. K. et al. Investigation of stability and reliability of tin oxide thin-film for integrated micro-machined gas sensor devices, Sens. Actuators B 84, 9–16 (2001).
35. Haridas, D. & Gupta, V. Enhanced response characteristics of SnO2 thin film based sensors loaded with Pd clusters for methane detection, Sens. Actuators B 166–167, 156–164 (2012).
36. Kuznetsova, S. A., Ikonnikova, L. F & Kozik, V. V. Gas-sensing properties of antimony-doped SnO2, Inorganic Materials 43(6), 622–626 (2007).
37. Stepanov, A. G. & Nekipelov, V. M. Preparation of dihalobis(acetylacetonato)tin(iv) complexes by the action of molecular oxygen on stannous halides in acetylacetone. Russian Chemical Bulletin 36(11), 2403–2404 (1987).
38. Tadeev, A. V., Delabouglise, G. & Labeau, M. Influence of Pd and Pt additives on the microstructural and electrical properties of SnO2-based sensors. Materials Science and Engineering: B 57(1), 76–83 (1998).
39. Suematsu, K. et al. Nanoparticle Cluster Gas Sensor: Controlled Clustering of SnO2 Nanoparticles for Highly Sensitive Toluene Detection. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 6, 5319–5326 (2014).

Acknowledgements

Financial support from the Paul Scherrer Institute, the European Commission – 7th Framework Program (FP7-ICT project no. 247868, eLIFT) and the Commission for Technology and Innovation CTI (project no. 16713.1 PFNM-NM) is gratefully acknowledged. The authors would like to thank Nicole Aegerter for arranging Figures 2, 4 and 5. The authors acknowledge C. Logofatu from the National Institute for Materials Physics for carrying out the XPS measurements.

Author Contributions

A.H. carried out the synthesis of the donor materials. T.M. and A.P.P. carried out the rLIFT experiments and wrote the manuscript text. U.L. and A.G. provided the sensor structures and carried out the commercial gas sensor tests. S.T. carried out the EDX mapping experiments. T.L. and A.W. presented the idea and supervised the experiments. All the authors assisted with the various stages of the experiments, discussed the results, and reviewed the manuscript.

Additional Information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at http://www.nature.com/srep

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Palla Papavlu, A. et al. Highly sensitive SnO2 sensor via reactive laser-induced transfer. Sci. Rep. 6, 25144; doi: 10.1038/srep25144 (2016).

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/