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Abstract

Full waveform inversion (FWI) of limited-offset marine seismic data is a challenging task due to the lack of refracted energy and diving waves from the shallow sediments, which are fundamentally required to update the long-wavelength background velocity model through a tomographic fashion. When these events are absent, a reliable initial velocity model is necessary to assure that the observed and simulated waveforms kinematically fit within an error less than half a wavelength to protect the FWI iterative local optimization scheme from cycle skipping. We use a migration-based velocity analysis (MVA) method, including a combination of the layer stripping approach and iterations of Kirchhoff prestack depth migration (KPSDM) to build an accurate initial velocity model for the FWI application on 2D seismic data with a maximum offset of 5.8 km. The data is acquired in the Japan Trench subduction zone, and we focus on the area where the shallow sediments overlying a highly reflective basement on top of the Cretaceous erosional unconformity are severely faulted and deformed. Despite the limited offsets available in the seismic data, our carefully designed workflow for data preconditioning, initial model building, and waveform inversion provides a velocity model which could improve the depth images down to a depth of almost 3.5 km. We present several quality control measures to assess the reliability of the resulting FWI model, including ray path illuminations, sensitivity kernels, reverse time migration (RTM) images, and KPSDM common image gathers. A direct comparison between the FWI and MVA velocity profiles reveals a sharp boundary at the Cretaceous basement interface, a feature which could not be observed in the MVA velocity model. The normal faults caused by the basal erosion of the upper plate in the study area reach the seafloor with evident subsidence of the shallow strata, implying that the faults are active.

1 Introduction

A reliable seismic velocity model of the subsurface structures is needed for the depth imaging of the complex geological features. Conventional methods like migration velocity analysis (Liu and Bleistein 1995; Chauris et al. 2002a,b; Fei and McMechan 2006) or traveltime tomography (Bishop et al. 1985; Farra and Madariaga 1988; Williamson 1990) have been used to develop velocity models for prestack depth migration of seismic data in the past. Despite the considerable workload and long turnaround times, the resulting velocity models from these methods are influenced by personal interpretation/picking skills and suffer from low resolution. FWI relaxes the user-dependency of the velocity model building task and directly extracts the velocity information from the seismic data via an automated scheme. FWI automatically updates a smooth initial velocity model via iterative local optimization algorithms, which minimize the misfit error between observed data acquired from the field and synthetic data calculated from the wave equation solution. The fundamentals of FWI were introduced decades ago (Lailly 1983; Tarantola 1984; Gauthier et al. 1986; Mora 1987), but the limited computational resources were not capable of applying FWI to the field datasets. Since FWI uses a shot-based wave equation solution algorithm to calculate the synthetic waveforms for data fitting, the computational burden dramatically increases with the number of shots. In the active-source seismic datasets, the number of shots could
simply reach several thousand, and the computing resources directly control the applicability of the FWI on the field datasets. Nowadays, the high performance computing servers allow the industrial-scale application of FWI on 2D/3D seismic data (Landolsi et al. 2016; Dickinson et al. 2017; Lin et al. 2018; Tiwari et al. 2018; Brown et al. 2019).

However, even with the affordable computational costs, the successful application of FWI on real data requires a dedicated workflow to address several issues. While the observed data, as the input to FWI, is expected to have a minimum level of preprocessing, the quality of the final model is influenced by many factors, including signal to noise ratio, surface related multiple reflections, and seismic source instabilities. In addition, due to the nonlinearity of the FWI problem and band-limited nature of the seismic data, having a good initial velocity model which allows kinematic matching of the observed traveltimes with an error less than half a period is a critical prerequisite for the convergence of the classical FWI problem. Otherwise, the so-called cycle skipping issue will happen, which leads the optimization algorithm towards a local rather than global minimum of the misfit functional (Beydoun and Tarantola 1988; Jamali Hondori et al. 2015; Wang et al. 2016; Wu and Alkhalifah 2018; Yao et al. 2019). Recent reformulations of FWI (Warner and Guasch 2016; Sun and Alkhalifah 2019a,b) implement matching filters in the misfit functional to overcome cycle skipping when neither a good initial model nor low frequency data are available. Due to the nonlinear nature of the FWI problem, an infinite number of mathematical solutions may equally fit the problem, within a similar range of error, among which the only one can correctly represent the subsurface structures. Therefore, regularization constraints are necessary to guarantee that FWI achieves a geologically plausible model in the presence of sharp velocity contrasts. Box constraints (Esser et al. 2016a, b) ensure that the velocity at each grid point of the inverted velocity model remains within a defined interval that may vary for each grid point. Total variation (Rudin et al. 1992; Anagaw and Sacchi 2012; Loris and Verhoeven 2012; Esser et al. 2016b) is another regularization method that preserves sharp edges without oversmoothing the reconstructed model. A combination of different regularization techniques for the FWI problem has been reported in the literature (Guitton 2012; Lin and Huang 2015; Kazei et al. 2017; Kalita et al. 2019). Several examples of FWI application to the marine streamer data have been reported in the literature. Qin and Singh (2017) used a 12 km long offset seismic reflection data and applied FWI to obtain detailed information on the incoming oceanic sediments and the trench-fill sediments near the 2004 Sumatra earthquake rupture zone. Gras et al. (2019) used short-offset and band-limited seismic data acquired with a 6 km long streamer and redatumed the recorded seismograms to the seafloor, applied joint refraction and reflection traveltime tomography combining the original and the re-datumed shot gathers, and then conducted FWI of the original shot gathers using the model obtained by traveltime tomography as the initial model. Cho et al. (2015) extrapolated the observed wavefield with a downward continuation approach to the seafloor and performed refraction tomography, followed by Laplace-Fourier domain FWI using the refraction tomography results as a starting model.

We present the results of the 2D acoustic FWI application on a limited-offset seismic data acquired in the Japan Trench subduction zone. The maximum offset of 5.8 km does not allow recording refracted energy in the seismic dataset, and the initial model must provide the long-wavelength component of the velocity
model to immune the FWI against the cycle skipping. We develop a workflow to build the initial velocity model using MVA conducted via a layer stripping scheme. A few preprocessing steps are applied to the seismic data, and the waveform inversion is applied in the frequency domain. We remove the surface related multiple reflections from the observed data to reduce the nonlinearity of the FWI problem. A frequency domain matching filter is used to match the amplitude spectrum of the shot gathers in the observed and calculated data before misfit evaluation. This filter can balance the shot by shot amplitude variations of the observed data, caused by a non-uniform operation of the airgun array during the survey. We assess the quality of the FWI velocity models by evaluating the ray path illumination, sensitivity kernel illustration, and KPSDM common image gather flatness. RTM images (Baysal et al. 1983; McMechan 1983) are also produced using initial and final velocity models to confirm that the depth images could explain the subsurface complex geology of the study area. The final KPSDM depth section illustrates details of the faulted structure reaching up to the seafloor, where we propose that a young sedimentary basin is forming. In the following sections, we first describe the historical background of the study area. The FWI fundamentals are briefly reviewed, seismic data acquisition and preconditioning details are presented, and finally, the resulting velocity models and depth images are discussed in terms of the reproduction reliability of possible geological structure below the seafloor.

2 Study Area In Japan Trench

The 2011 Tohoku earthquake (M 9.0) with a coseismic slip of more than 50 m (Simons et al. 2011; Ide et al. 2011; Fujii et al. 2011; Kodaira et al. 2017, Satake et al. 2013) occurred in the Japan Trench subduction zone, where Pacific Plate is subducting westward beneath Okhotsk Plate with a subduction rate of around 10 cm/year (Ludwig et al. 1966; Taira 2001; Isozaki et al. 2010). Fig.1 illustrates the study area with contours of large coseismic slip of the 2011 Tohoku earthquake, calculated by Satake et al. (2013) using Tsunami waveform inversion, overlaid on the seafloor bathymetry. The yellow star marks the location of the epicenter, the black line shows the 2D seismic line D13, and the red line between points P and Q shows the selected area for FWI application. The subsurface structure and tectonic behavior of the Japan Trench have been investigated in the past by using various geological and geophysical datasets (von Huene et al. 1994; Tsuru et al. 2000; Miura et al. 2005; Nakamura et al. 2013; Kirkpatrick et al. 2015; Kodaira et al. 2017). The forearc slope of the Japan Trench, an active deformation feature residing between the island arc and the trench axis, consists of three regions. An upper slope which has a relatively flat seafloor inclined at less than 3 degrees, a middle slope with a relatively steep slope that starts at approximately 3km seafloor depth, and a lower slope which includes a small accretionary frontal prism (Arai et al. 2014; Boston et al. 2017). Noda (2016) suggested various models of forearc basins, among which Japan Trench is believed to have an extensional nonaccretionary type forearc basin. Basal erosion has a direct impact on the forearc basin development alongside a system of normal faults resulting from extensional stress (von Huene et al. 1994; Kimura et al. 2012; Noda 2016). Wang et al. (2011) suggested that basal erosion occurs during large interplate earthquakes, and extension of the forearc slope occurs during periods of interseismic wedge-stress relaxation. Seismic images and ocean drilling results showed that Pliocene-Pleistocene and Miocene sediments overlie the Cretaceous
continental framework in the forearc slope, with an erosional unconformity between the Cretaceous continental framework and Miocene sediments. This unconformity is observed as a high amplitude seismic reflection, which makes it a prominent marker horizon. The Cretaceous unconformity loses continuity and shows a variable relief in the landside of the upper slope, where overlying strata are severely faulted and deformed, as Fig.2 shows. Arai et al. (2014) used high-resolution seismic profiles and bathymetric data to investigate the forearc upper slope and basins along the Japan Trench. They found a number of isolated basins which are formed due to the subsidence occurring in the forearc slope. Boston et al. (2017) used regional residual separation of the local bathymetry to constrain fault scarp extents and isolated forearc basins. Here we aim to investigate the severely faulted area, as marked with the red rectangle between points P and Q on Fig.2, in the shallow part of the upper slope by developing an accurate velocity model using FWI for depth imaging. The yellow vertical dashed lines labeled with capital letters A-I are used through the paper for several quality control procedures of FWI and imaging results. The major reflections from Cretaceous Unconformity, Top of the oceanic crust, oceanic Moho, and arc Moho are also marked with the arrowed labels on Fig.2.

3 Method

3.1 Review of FWI

The large-scale nonlinear FWI problem is solved using iterative local optimization algorithms, in which the misfit error between observed data from the field and simulated data from the wave equation solution is minimized. The classical formulation uses a nonlinear least squares misfit functional as

\[ e(p) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{N_s} \Delta d_j^t \Delta d_j^* \]  

(1)

where, \( \Delta d \) is the residual waveform and superscripts \( t \) and \( * \) denote transpose and complex conjugate, respectively. The summation is over all seismic sources, and \( p \) is the model parameter. The gradient of the misfit function is calculated using the so-called adjoint-state method (Plessix 2006; Virieux and Operto 2009), and the model parameter is updated as

\[ p_{k+1} = p_k + \alpha (H + \varepsilon I)^{-1} g_{p,k} \]  

(2)

where \( k \) is the iteration number, \( H \) is the diagonal pseudo Hessian matrix (Shin et al. 2001), \( I \) is the identity matrix, \( g \) is the gradient of the misfit functional, \( \varepsilon \) is a damping factor to avoid division by zero, and \( \alpha \) is a step length calculated using parabola fitting line search methods (Vigh and Starr 2008). The highly nonlinear and ill-posed FWI problem requires imposing some prior information of the model in the form of regularization terms for the misfit evaluation. Otherwise, the local optimization algorithm will get trapped in a local minimum instead of converging towards the global minimum of the misfit function. Box constraint (Esser et al. 2016a,b) is a simple regularization method which can ensure that the velocity at
each grid point of the inverted model remains within a predefined interval. In other words, the model parameter is updated in such a way that

\[
\begin{align*}
    p_{k+1} &= p_k + \Delta p \\
    p_{k+1} &\in [l, u]
\end{align*}
\]  

where \(\Delta p\) is the second term in the right-hand side of equation (2), \(l\) and \(u\) are the lower and upper bounds for the acceptable model parameter \(p\). More sophisticated regularization methods, e.g., total variation, could be found in the literature (Rudin et al. 1992; Anagaw and Sacchi 2012; Loris and Verhoeven 2012; Esser et al. 2016b). Here we use the box constraint for this case study, as we could develop an initial velocity model that is accurate enough to let the FWI converge towards the global solution of the inverse problem.

For facilitating waveform fitting, we apply a matching filter before misfit evaluation in the frequency domain to match the amplitude spectrum of each shot gather in the observed data with the amplitude spectrum of the same shot gather in the calculated data. The filter operator could be obtained in the frequency domain by

\[
W = \sqrt{\frac{D_{\text{cal}}^*D_{\text{obs}}}{D_{\text{obs}}^*D_{\text{obs}}+\gamma}}
\]

where, \(D_{\text{obs}}\) and \(D_{\text{cal}}\) are the Fourier transforms of the observed and calculated data, respectively. \(\gamma\) is a small positive value to avoid division by zero, and * denotes complex conjugate operation. At each iteration, the matching filter operator is designed for each shot gather independently and is applied to the same shot gather of the observed data to help the amplitude spectrum of the observed and calculated waveforms match. This operation is particularly effective to overcome the instability of the seismic source energy from one shot to another. In the current dataset, the large-volume airgun array did not perform quite uniformly, and the source energy changes from shot to shot in several points. In order to compensate for the variations of the source energy, we implemented this matching filter. We used a spike as the source wavelet, i.e., a zero-phase and constant-amplitude signal in the frequency domain. When we apply this matching filter to the observed data, the phase characteristics of the data are not influenced, but the amplitude spectrum is scaled so that the observed data and simulated waveform amplitudes reside in a close range, which facilitates the data fitting. A somewhat similar approach is used in the phase-only waveform inversion methods, which concentrate only on the phase behavior of the observed and modeled data by replacing the amplitude spectrum of the modeled data with that of the field data in small sliding windows for each seismic trace (Maharramov et al., 2017; Jones, 2019). However, here we do not entirely ignore the amplitude behavior of the data but balance them on a shot-by-shot basis to use them in the data fitting. It should be noted that this matching filter works in a different way from the modern reformulations of the waveform inversion in which the misfit function is directly formed using the matching filter (Warner and Guasch 2016; Sun and Alkhalifah 2019a,b). Although we use a classical least
squares misfit function, the initial model here is accurate enough to relax the cycle skipping issue, and this matching filter helps to fit the waveforms in a traditional framework correctly.

3.2 Data Acquisition

Several seismic surveys were conducted after the 2011 Tohoku earthquake (M 9.0) to characterize the detailed crustal structure of the Japan Trench subduction zone. The 2D seismic reflection data of the current study were acquired along line D13 (Fig.1) by research vessel Kairei in May 2011. For deep-penetration seismic imaging, a large volume (~130 litters) tuned airgun array with the air pressure of 2000 psi was used as the controlled sound source with a 50 m shot interval and 10 m towing depth. A 444-channel streamer with 12.5 m group spacing (~5.8 km maximum offset) was towed at a depth of 21 m to avoid collision with the floating obstacles brought to the ocean by the tsunami. The seismic data was recorded with a 2 ms sampling interval for a total length of 18 s. This seismic data had been used by Boston et al. (2017), together with other datasets, for identifying isolated basins in the forearc upper and middle slope. However, they mainly focused on the transition area from the upper to the middle slope and excluded the shallow part of the upper slope from their analysis. Here we use the seismic data, which covers the landside portion of the forearc slope between points P and Q in Fig.1, to apply FWI on the area marked with the red rectangle in Fig.2. This area has severely faulted strata overlying the Cretaceous unconformity, and the reflection from unconformity is not continuous, so we aim to improve the velocity model by FWI for depth imaging.

3.3 Data Preconditioning and Initial Model Building

Due to the relatively large towing depth of the receivers, a strong ghost reflection was recorded in the seismic data. Bubble oscillations also contaminated the data, which could degrade the quality of the seismic images. Moreover, multiple reflections from the sea surface overlapped with the target primary reflections in the shallowest part of the study area. In order to prepare the data for waveform inversion and depth imaging, we applied a series of preprocessing to the seismic data, including debubble filtering, deghosting, swell noise suppression, and surface related multiple elimination (SRME). It should be noted that although multiple reflections from the sea surface could be used in FWI, we removed these reflections from data by SRME and applied a Perfectly Matched Layer (PML) boundary condition (Berenger 1994) on top of the model to reduce the nonlinearity of the FWI problem. Kazei et al. (2015) showed that multiple reflections could bring useful information in FWI only if they are modeled in the time domain or by using a dense frequency sampling in the frequency domain. Otherwise, multiple reflections adversely affect the convergence of the FWI and impose additional nonlinearity to the FWI problem. Because here we apply FWI in the frequency domain with a few frequency components, we removed the multiples from observed data using SRME. Accordingly, we applied PML on top of the model to exclude the multiples from simulated seismic waveforms for data fitting.

We developed an initial velocity model by using the layer stripping approach and iterations of KPSDM (Branham et al. 1998), which is a migration-based velocity analysis (MVA) method. In this method, the model is assumed to consist of a number of blocky layers, with each layer having a fixed velocity at the
top of the block, which linearly increases with depth at a particular rate. After KPSDM confirms the depth of the horizon between the current and the next layers, the layers are added to the model one by one from top to bottom. A horizon-based semblance analysis is conducted to check the validity of the velocity of the current layer to produce an acceptable depth image. If needed, the velocity at the top of the current layer or its increase rate is modified before adding the next layer. Once the semblance measure shows small velocity errors, the next layer is added. The layer stripping is continued until all the desired horizons are used for the model building. The MVA tool which we used here inherently applies a minor smoothing at the layer interfaces before applying KPSDM. A simple flowchart of MVA followed by FWI is shown in Fig.3. Tsuru et al. (2002) extracted a velocity model from several ocean bottom seismograph (OBS) datasets in the Japan Trench. We used this OBS-derived model as prior information for fast and accurate layer stripping model building. We developed the MVA velocity model for the whole line D13 as a part of another study to characterize the deep structures of the Japan Trench subduction zone using the KPSDM section shown in Fig.2. The area marked with a red line between points P and Q in Fig.1 is selected for the FWI application. Fig.2 illustrates that the shallow strata above the Cretaceous unconformity in the selected area (red rectangle) is severely deformed, and even the reflection from the unconformity is not continuous. The lack of continuity tells that the velocity model resulting from MVA alone may not be accurate enough to correctly image the subsurface, and FWI is needed. We applied a horizontally-strong smoothing filter, with a vertical operator length of 75 m and horizontal operator length of 1250 m, on the velocity model developed using the MVA method and then used it as the initial model for FWI application in the shallow part of the forearc upper slope. The MVA velocity model and the smoothed initial velocity model are shown in Fig.4. We did not intend to destruct the useful velocity information from the MVA model, and at the same time, we wanted to challenge it and see how far FWI can further improve the subsurface images. Therefore, we applied the horizontal smoothing operator which locally affects the KPSDM image, as shown in Fig.5, and the quality of the migrated image using the smoothed initial model becomes lower than the migrated image obtained by the MVA model. However, the long wavelength background velocity model, which is needed to overcome the cycle skipping, is still preserved for FWI and we will be able to compare the potential improvements by FWI.

4 Results

We selected 5 frequency components between 4 Hz and 7 Hz from the seismic data, according to the method suggested by Sirgue and Pratt (2004), and arranged them in two groups with an overlapping band, i.e. (4.00, 4.58, 5.26 Hz) and (5.26, 6.03, 6.92 Hz). The selected frequencies for the FWI application are close enough to assure that no wrap-around happens (Mulder and Plessix, 2004). The RTM images shown in the following figures are generated using seismic data with a frequency bandwidth of 4 Hz to 40 Hz, and the KPSDM images are produced using data with a bandwidth of 4 Hz to 125 Hz. FWI is started from the lower frequencies to allow a multi-scale scheme for updating the velocity model through 50 iterations per group. The resulting FWI velocity model and the corresponding KPSDM depth section generated using this model are shown in Fig.6. The resulting KPSDM section is profoundly improved compared to the initial KPSDM section in Fig.5b. However, it seems that there are just slight differences
between the KPSDM sections of the MVA and FWI model, and a detailed comparison between common image gathers with further quality control measures are needed. Two areas marked with black rectangles on the western and eastern sides of the model are selected to be discussed in detail. Several locations indicated by vertical dashed yellow lines and labeled with capital letters A-I are used to compare the effect of velocity model updates on the depth imaging results. Fig.7 shows the KPSDM common image gathers using MVA, initial, and FWI velocity models. The MVA image gathers are slightly better than image gathers of the initial model, but in both cases, the reflections in the far offsets and at the depths larger than 2.5 km deviate from the ideal flat line, implying an imperfect velocity model was used for imaging. On the other hand, the common image gathers resulting from KPSDM using the FWI velocity model are nearly flat even at a depth of 3.5 km at H and I locations.

5 Discussion

5.1 Assessment of FWI Models

The limited offset of the seismic data used here is one of the restrictions which directly affect the FWI results. The refracted and diving waves transmitted through the model are normally expected to play a key role in updating the long to intermediate spatial wavelengths of the velocity model. The maximum offset of 5.8 km is not enough to observe the refraction and diving waves from the shallow sedimentary unit, as illustrated by the common shot gathers $S_1$ and $S_2$ of Fig.8, whose spatial locations are marked with the magenta star signs and labeled in Fig.9. However, an important event observed at the far offsets of these data is the post-critical reflection from the deeper reflectors and the basement, as marked with the labels on Fig.8. The large velocity contrast between the sediments and the cretaceous continental basement generates the post-critical reflection as the dominant feature recorded at the far offsets. We performed ray tracing using the initial velocity model and FWI velocity model to understand how the updated model contributes to the generation of these post-critical reflections. We used 7 shots located at the points marked with the star sign and only plotted the ray paths observed by a maximum offset of 5.8 km. Fig.9a shows the ray paths traveled in the initial model, and Fig.9b shows the ray paths traveled in the FWI resulting model. The RTM section corresponding to each velocity model is displayed in the background. Obviously, the smooth initial model cannot generate most of the post-critical reflection energy from the basement, and only two shots located between horizontal distances of 10km to 20km generate the ray paths (Fig.9a). On the other hand, as the FWI updates the velocity model and the sharp velocity boundary at the basement is constructed, the ray paths from all the 7 shots appear (Fig.9b). The RTM image also supports the fact that the sharp boundary at the basement generates strong reflections.

The two areas marked with the black rectangles are enlarged and displayed for a more detailed investigation. On the selected area in the western side of the model, the sedimentary strata overlying the Cretaceous unconformity is partially deformed by a number of normal faults. The smooth initial velocity model could not image the reflections from the unconformity, but the FWI velocity model could successfully image the sediments and the Cretaceous unconformity (Fig.10). On the other hand, the eastern part of the model is severely faulted from different angles, and the reflection from the basement
is not clear. The FWI velocity model could improve the depth image of the faulted sediments and the unconformity boundary. The sharp velocity contrast between sedimentary strata and basement matches with the subsurface structures (Fig.11).

The sensitivity kernel of FWI using the final updated velocity model and the shot gathers $S_1$ and $S_2$ of Fig.8 are shown in Fig.12, with the sources located at the magenta star sign and a single receiver representing only the far channel located at the black triangle sign. The shot locations in Figs.12a and b are at approximate horizontal distances of 10.5 km and 33 km, respectively, from the left margin of the study area at point P. As shown in Fig.9b, the rays from these two shots pass through the A and E locations, respectively. Jones (2019) provides a detailed explanation of the different components of the FWI sensitivity kernel. The direct transmission path between the source and receiver is the FWI “banana,” which updates the low wavenumber part of the velocity model in the shallow depths using the transmitted waveforms and is marked with the circle number 1 in Fig.12. At the places above the point at which the critical angle is reached for either the downgoing or upcoming wavefields, the low frequency “rabbit ears” component of the sensitivity kernel updates the low wavenumber part of the velocity model using the information provided by the reflections. This “rabbit ears” pattern, which represents the transmission paths of the reflected energy from the basement and connects the reflecting boundary to the source and receiver, is marked with the circle number 2 in Fig.12. The third component of the FWI sensitivity kernel is the FWI “migration arc,” which updates the high wavenumber part of the model and is shown by the circle number 3 in Fig.12. Reflection waveform inversion (RWI) reformulates the FWI problem to separate these three components and effectively update the velocity model in a more advanced way (Zhou et al., 2018; Ren et al., 2019). In the results presented here, the “rabbit ears” transmission paths through the reflecting boundaries suggest that FWI could successfully exploit the reflecting energy in a tomographic way to update the long to intermediate wavelengths in the deeper part of the velocity model. The migration-like component of the sensitivity kernel also contributes to further improve the velocity model in the deep parts, and this explains the relatively large updates seen near the basement on the models in Figs. 10c and 11c. Moreover, the common image gathers in Fig. 7 show nearly flat events using all three MVA, initial, and FWI models above a depth of 2.5 km. The initial velocity model is fairly accurate for imaging the shallow sediments and further updates in the shallow depths using the low frequencies and limited offsets used here by FWI are not possible. We did not push the FWI to higher frequencies because the migration-like behavior of the FWI becomes dominant at higher frequencies and for a maximum offset of 5.8 km the deeper parts of the model are adversely affected by the FWI “migration arc”. Fig.13 shows velocity profiles extracted from MVA, initial, and FWI models at the A-I locations. The sharp velocity updates at the basement are observed at depths between 2.5 km to 3 km for the A-D location and at depths between 3 km to 3.5 km for E-I locations, respectively. Although the resolution of the FWI model is restricted by the frequencies we used, the step-like increase in FWI velocity matches with the imaged reflection from the Cretaceous unconformity basement. The matching filter which we used for balancing the relative amplitude spectrum of the observed and calculated data helps to exploit the reflection amplitudes for updating the velocity model below the basement. However, the higher density of the basement may also contribute to the reflection amplitudes of the observed data.
Since we apply an acoustic FWI without considering the density variations, there is a chance that density leaks into the FWI model updates and causes increase of the velocity below the basement. Despite this possibility, the velocity profiles of Fig.13 are in a good agreement with the velocity model extracted from several OBS surveys by Tsuru et al. (2002). Close-up views of the KPSDM sections using (a) MVA, (b) initial, and (c) FWI velocity models for three different regions with noticeable improvements achieved by FWI are shown in Fig.14. The relative location of these panels could be addressed to the other figures by the yellow dashed lines, horizontal distance, and depth values. In all these panels, the FWI velocity model provided enhanced images compared to the MVA and initial velocity models. In the first column of Fig.14, the reflection from the basement has a higher resolution and stronger amplitude for the image produced by the FWI velocity model. In the second column, the reflection from the basement marked with the red arrow is responsible for generating the ray paths shown in Fig. 9b at the corresponding location, which is also perceived in the sensitivity kernel of Fig. 12b as the “rabbit ears” pattern. The FWI model well images the strongly faulted sediments in the third column as indicated by the red arrows.

5.2 Geological Aspects

The tectonic activity and subduction erosion of the upper plate in the Japan Trench has a direct impact on the development of the forearc isolated basins. Extensional stresses within the upper plate result in the deformation and normal faulting of the basement and the sedimentary units, which cause episodic subsidence. The subsidence of the strata, and eventually seafloor, provides accommodation space for the deposition of the younger sediments. Chang et al. (2020) used multibeam (MB) and subbottom profiler (SBP) data to investigate structural-morphological and sedimentary features along the Japan Trench forearc slope. They imaged dip-oriented slope gullies and a nearly dip-perpendicular slope trough bounded by a fault scarp. They also imaged normal faults with opposite dips, unconformity, sliding surfaces, underfilled (forearc trough), and filled structures that may reflect the most recent forearc subsidence and basin filling. Using these features, they proposed a model of forearc basin development starting from slope gully and transferring to slope trough, isolated basins, and finally forearc basin by active structures. On the eastern side of our study area, the normal faults seen on the KPSDM section reach up to the seafloor, as shown in Fig.15. Although the resolution of the current seismic data is limited, yet it is visible that these faults offset the seafloor and are likely active. There is clear evidence of seafloor and shallow sediments subsidence right above these faulted structures, which implies a suitable environment for developing a newly isolated basin in this area.

6 Conclusions

We designed a workflow to apply data preconditioning, initial velocity model building, and frequency domain FWI on a 2D seismic dataset acquired by a limited-offsets streamer cable in the Japan Trench subduction zone. The MVA method with a layer stripping scheme could build the long-wavelength background velocity model, which protected the FWI against cycle skipping. Post-critical reflections from a sharp velocity boundary at the Cretaceous basement allowed the usage of reflecting energy in updating the velocity model. The quality of the FWI resulting velocity model is assessed using RTM and KPSDM
depth images. The sensitivity kernel of the FWI also showed that the “rabbit ears” wave path connects the basement reflection to the source and receivers and effectively contribute to the velocity model updates by using the reflection energy in a tomographic way. RTM and KPSDM depth sections showed improved images of the subsurface structures, including the severely faulted strata overlying the Cretaceous unconformity in the forearc upper slope. We noticed the evidence of subsidence in the shallow sediments, which are caused by the normal faulting and extension behavior of the forearc slope due to the basal erosion.
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