Is thoracic esophagostomy an option in esophageal perforation in pediatric patients?

Pediatrik hastalarda özofagus perforasyonunda torasik özofagostomi bir seçenek midir?
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ABSTRACT

Esophagostomy and subsequent esophagectomy are extremely rare operations in the management of benign esophageal perforations in children. In this report, we present a 20-month-old female in whom we performed thoracic esophagostomy and subsequent intrathoracic esophagogastrectomy due to esophageal perforation caused by a chronic foreign body. The patient was discharged on the 10th postoperative day, and no complications were observed in the patient, who was followed for four years. The thoracic esophagostomy procedure helps preserve the esophageal length and easy execution of the esophagogastrectomy in the thorax. We believe it can be a safe and useful technique in carefully selected cases.
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Esophageal foreign body ingestion (EFBI) is a common health problem in the pediatric population.[1] Although early diagnosis and treatment are performed in most cases, some may be overlooked, and consequently, severe complications can occur. The fatal complications are esophageal perforation and subsequent mediastinitis. Esophagoscopy is generally the intervention of choice to remove the foreign body, though surgery may be necessary in some cases.[1-4] Herein, we describe a pediatric patient in whom a thoracic esophagostomy was performed due to a longstanding foreign body in the esophagus.

CASE REPORT

A 20-month-old female was admitted to the pediatric ward due to difficulty in feeding and vomiting immediately after each feeding. Detailed neurological and gastroenterological investigations were done other than esophagoscopy, and no exact cause was identified. Meanwhile, the child’s grandmother revealed that the patient had ingested a piece of boneless meat a month before admission. Subsequently, a thoracic computed tomography (CT) was performed after consultation in our clinic. The CT scan revealed left pleural effusion, left lower lobe
atelectasis, pneumomediastinum, and subcutaneous emphysema, in addition to significant dilation of the esophagus (Figure 1). Rigid esophagoscopy was performed to remove the foreign body (the piece of boneless meat); however, a nasogastric tube could not be placed into the stomach following the procedure. Therefore, a left thoracotomy was performed. When the mediastinal pleura was opened, the esophageal wall could not be seen as the distal part of the esophagus was overwhelmingly necrotic and the tip of the nasogastric tube was in the mediastinum. The mediastinal loculations were drained, and the mediastinum was irrigated with diluted povidone-iodine on the left side; however, the right upper mediastinal loculations could not be reached. Afterward, a right thoracotomy was performed after two chest tubes were placed into the left hemithorax and the existing thoracotomy was closed. The mediastinal pleura was opened to the superior thoracic aperture, and purulent collections were aspirated. The esophagus was dissected, and the distal part was resected due to it being necrotic. The gastroesophageal junction was closed with silk sutures to prevent the passage of stomach contents into the mediastinum. An approximately 1-cm incision was made at the fifth intercostal space at the right posterior chest wall to create a stoma, and the entire proximal end of the esophagus was drawn and sutured here. A 16 French chest tube was placed into the esophagus from the outside (Figure 2). Two chest tubes were placed into the thoracic cavity, and the thoracotomy was closed as usual. After the thoracic interventions above, gastrostomy was performed during the same session. During the postoperative period, daily intrapleural saline irrigations were done through the chest tubes, and enteral feeding was started from the gastrostomy tube. One week after surgery, the patient was intubated for 16 days due to pneumonia. The patient was treated with antibiotics following tracheal aspirate culture sensitivities. By the time the patient was extubated, their general condition and blood parameters had significantly improved, and the patient was discharged 35 days after the initial operation. Following discharge, the patient continued to be enterally fed via a gastrostomy tube. The patient also had regular clinical and radiological follow-ups. The patient was rehospitalized 113 days after discharge for a planned

![Figure 1. (a) Esophageal enlargement (arrows) on a chest radiograph and (b) computed tomography section. (b-d) The computed tomography sections also demonstrate mediastinal emphysema, subcutaneous emphysema, pleural effusion, and (d) wall thickening in the distal esophagus due to fibrosis.](image-url)
esophagogastrostomy. The general condition of the patient was good, and the patient had gained weight. The operation continued as planned. First, a laparotomy was performed. The stomach was skeletonized, and the gastrostomy site was closed. Second, a right thoracotomy was performed, and adhesions between the esophagus and the upper lobe were freed. A partial esophagectomy was performed again due to the long end of the free esophagus. The stomach was pulled to the thorax, and an esophagogastric anastomosis was performed manually (Figure 3). Gastric tubing was not done before the anastomosis. The patient was instructed to drink methylene blue on the sixth postoperative day, and no anastomotic leakage was observed. Consequently, oral feeding was initiated, and the chest tube was removed. The patient was discharged on the 10th postoperative day and is still under follow-up with no complications in four years.

**DISCUSSION**

Although treatment options vary depending on the time interval after the foreign body ingestion, localization, and nature, the main treatment method in the management of EFBIs is rigid or flexible esophagoscopy.[1] Nevertheless, there is no consensus on which of these methods is superior. Other therapeutic options include observation, pushing the foreign body into the stomach, removal with a McGill clamp or Fogarty catheter, intravenous glucagon implementation, and esophagostomy.[2]

Occasionally, rigid esophagoscopy may be insufficient in the diagnosis of a perforation in the acute period, requiring flexible esophagoscopy. In the

![Figure 2. Intraoperative photographs showing (a) the distal esophagus and (b) the thoracic esophagostomy procedure.](image-url)

![Figure 3. Intraoperative photograph demonstrating the posterior wall of the intrathoracic esophagogastric anastomosis that was manually performed.](image-url)
latter method, compressed air is delivered to the lumen of the esophagus, and the mucosa can be evaluated in more detail. In our case, the ingested foreign body was a piece of boneless meat, and it was removed uneventfully. We performed rigid esophagoscopy again after removing the foreign body; however, we could neither pass the scope nor a nasogastric catheter distally into the esophagus. Although the CT scan showed pleural effusion and subcutaneous emphysema, a definitive diagnosis of perforation was made through surgery.

Treatment options for esophageal perforation cases vary according to the size and localization of the foreign body, the time elapsed after perforation, and the general medical conditions of the cases. Although primary repair is usually a good alternative in the acute period, surgeons may require more aggressive interventions in delayed cases, and the decision-making process may be troublesome. In infected and delayed cases, mediastinal or cervical drainage with drainage tubes, exclusion, diversion, T-tube placement, and esophagostomy may be preferred for mediastinal rehabilitation. The length of the esophagus should be complete for these methods, except for esophagectomy. If a part of the esophagus is necrotic, esophagectomy must be performed. In the case of our patient, we preferred to perform distal esophagectomy and thoracic esophagostomy. Other techniques were not appropriate for these methods, except for esophagectomy. We believe this can be a safe and useful technique in carefully selected cases.

In conclusion, thoracic esophagostomy and subsequent esophagectomy are extremely rare operations in the management of benign esophageal perforations in children. Although this patient is a rare case, this technique should be performed in benign large esophageal perforations that cannot be treated by other methods. We believe this can be a safe and useful technique.
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