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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Gastrointestinal (GI) events are a common side effect of glucagon-like peptide 1 (GLP-1) receptor agonists (RA) class. This post hoc analysis assessed the characteristics of GI adverse events in Chinese patients with type 2 diabetes (T2D) who were treated with once-weekly dulaglutide from two randomized clinical trials.

Methods: Chinese patients with T2D, treated with once-weekly dulaglutide (1.5 mg and 0.75 mg) from two phase III multicenter trials (AWARD-CHN1 and AWARD-CHN2) were included. Descriptive statistics were used to present the data. The characteristics (incidence, severity, onset, duration, and time of occurrence) of GI adverse events reported through 26 weeks in a Chinese subpopulation from the two trials were investigated.

Results: A total of 787 Chinese patients with T2D were included in this analysis. Up to week 26, 225 patients (28.6%) reported at least one GI treatment-emergent adverse event (TEAE). The most frequently reported GI TEAEs were diarrhea (13.1%), nausea (6.6%), abdominal distension (6.4%), and vomiting (3.0%), with most being categorized as mild to moderate in severity in proportions of 92%, 88%, 94%, and 83%, respectively. A total of 12 patients (1.5%) discontinued the dulaglutide treatment as a result of GI TEAEs. The median duration of the first reported GI TEAEs was 4.0, 5.0, 12.5, and 4.0 days for diarrhea, nausea, abdominal distension, and vomiting, respectively. The incidence of GI TEAEs was more pronounced during the first 2 weeks of dulaglutide treatment; however, the incidence declined rapidly after 2 weeks and remained low until week 26.

Conclusions: Most of the GI TEAEs associated with once-weekly dulaglutide (1.5 mg and 0.75 mg) were mild to moderate in severity. The incidence of GI TEAEs was more pronounced...
during the first 2 weeks of dulaglutide treatment but declined rapidly as treatment continued, and was low at week 26, indicating that dulaglutide was well tolerated in Chinese patients with T2D.

**Trial Registration:** NCT01648582 and NCT01644500.
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---

**What was learned from the study?**

Results show that at week 26 primary endpoint, 28.6% of patients reported at least one GI treatment-emergent adverse event (TEAE) and the most frequently reported GI TEAEs were diarrhea (13.1%), nausea (6.6%), abdominal distension (6.4%), and vomiting (3.0%). The majority of these most frequently reported GI TEAEs with once-weekly dulaglutide (1.5 mg and 0.75 mg) were mild to moderate in severity, were more pronounced during the first 2 weeks, and incidence declined rapidly as treatment continued to week 26.

The findings of this post hoc analysis of two phase III studies indicate that dulaglutide is well tolerated in Chinese patients with T2D and are comparable with GI TEAEs observed with global and other Asian patient populations.

---

**INTRODUCTION**

According to the International Diabetes Federation (IDF), type 2 diabetes (T2D) affects 451 million people globally [1]. China, which is the most populous country, ranks number one with an estimated 109.6 million adults with diabetes [2]. Currently, there are different options, including both oral and injectable medications, for the treatment of T2D [3]. The recently updated American Diabetes Association (ADA) guidelines recommends that—for patients with T2D who have established atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease or indicators of high risk, established kidney disease, or heart failure—a glucagon-like peptide 1 (GLP-1) receptor agonist (RA) or sodium–glucose cotransporter 2 (SGLT2) inhibitor with demonstrated cardiovascular disease benefit be prescribed as part of the glucose-lowering regimen independent of glycated hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) and in consideration of patient-specific factors. Also, in patients who
need greater glucose-lowering, oral agents and GLP-1 RAs are preferred to insulin when possible [4, 5]. According to the Chinese Diabetes Society (CDS) guidelines, GLP-1 RAs can effectively lower blood glucose, significantly reduce body weight, and in addition improve triglycerides and blood pressure. Previous studies have shown that the addition of GLP-1 RAs is effective in lowering blood glucose in patients with T2D who have inadequate glycemic control with oral antihyperglycemic medications (OAMs). Furthermore, in patients with T2D and cardiovascular risk, GLP-1 RAs have been shown to exert protective effects [6].

Recently, treatment strategies have changed to balance glycemic and non-glycemic outcomes and to prevent or slow the progressive decline in beta cell function in patients with T2D [7]. GLP-1 RAs regulate blood glucose by activating the GLP-1 RA receptors, enhancing insulin secretion, and inhibiting glucagon secretion in a glucose-dependent manner. These agents delay gastric emptying and thus reduce food intake via central appetite suppression, although this differs between GLP-1 RAs depending on long- and short-acting and other specific properties based on molecular structure. Along with GLP-1 RA signaling (i.e., suppression of glucagon secretion, slowed gastric emptying, and increased satiety), GLP-RAs also help in the secretion of cell-mediated insulin [8].

Dulaglutide, a once-weekly GLP-1 RA, was evaluated in the Assessment of Weekly Administration of LY2189265 in Diabetes (AWARD) trials mainly in Caucasian patients with T2D and was proven to be efficacious and tolerable as both monotherapy and add-on therapy. In the AWARD studies, dulaglutide demonstrated significant improvements in glycemic control irrespective of gender, duration of diabetes (< 5 years, ≥ 5 to < 10 years, ≥ 10 years), or baseline HbA1c (< 8.5%, ≥ 8.5%), with greater HbA1c and fasting blood glucose (FBG) reductions in patients having a higher baseline HbA1c. Furthermore, when compared with active comparators (e.g., metformin, sitagliptin, exenatide twice daily, or insulin glargine), dulaglutide showed lower risk of weight gain or hypoglycemia [9–19]. In Chinese patients with T2D, dulaglutide showed similar efficacy, safety, and tolerability as reported in the subgroup analysis of the AWARD trials [20, 21]. Dulaglutide received approval in the USA and European Union (EU) in September 2014 for treatment in adult patients with T2D as an adjunct to diet and exercise and was subsequently launched in April 2019 in the Chinese market.

Evidence showed that dulaglutide was effective in a global and Asian patient population with few incidences of gastrointestinal (GI) treatment-emergent adverse events (TEAEs) [9–21], consistent with GI TEAEs reported in other GLP-1 RAs [22]. Previous studies with GLP-1 RAs have reported that GI adverse events occurred more frequently in lower versus higher body mass index (BMI) baseline subgroups [23], and Chinese patients with T2D have lower BMI compared with western patients [2]. However, the detailed incidence of GI events associated with dulaglutide in a Chinese patient population has not been previously evaluated.

In the current post hoc pooled analysis of two randomized, phase III trials [20, 21], we assessed the characteristics of GI adverse events in subgroups of Chinese patients with T2D who received once-weekly dulaglutide (1.5 mg or 0.75 mg).

**METHODS**

**Study Design and Treatment**

The present analysis included patient data from two randomized, phase III clinical trials [AWARD-CHN1 (NCT01644500) and AWARD-CHN2 (NCT01648582)] of 26- and 52-week duration, respectively. Individual trial results were previously published [20, 21]. The primary endpoint of both studies assessed the change in HbA1c from baseline at week 26. Both studies enrolled Chinese adult patients with T2D who were randomly assigned to dulaglutide (1.5 mg and 0.75 mg) or active comparator. The studies evaluated the efficacy and safety of once-weekly dulaglutide (1.5 mg and 0.75 mg) versus an active comparator (glimepiride in AWARD-CHN1 and glargine in AWARD-CHN2).
Patients

All Chinese patients treated with once-weekly dulaglutide (1.5 mg and 0.75 mg), and meeting the previously described inclusion and exclusion criteria in AWARD-CHN1 and AWARD-CHN2, were included in the post hoc analyses. The key inclusion criteria in AWARD-CHN1 included patients with BMI ≥ 19 and ≤ 35 kg/m² who were OAM-naïve (with HbA1c ≥ 7.0% and ≤ 10.5% at screening) or were taking OAM monotherapy at least 3 months before screening (with HbA1c ≥ 6.5% and ≤ 10.0% at screening). The major inclusion criteria in AWARD-CHN2 study included men or non-pregnant, non-breastfeeding women aged at least 18 years with a diagnosis of T2D for at least 6 months before the screening visit based on the World Health Organization (WHO)’s diagnostic and classification criteria, with a BMI ≥ 19.0 and ≤ 35.0 kg/m², and qualifying HbA1c values of ≥ 7.0% to ≤ 11.0% [20, 21]. In addition, patients who were on metformin and/or a sulfonylurea for at least 3 months before screening and were stable on therapeutic dose [at least half of the maximum dose according to the product information in the participating countries (China, South Korea, and Taiwan in AWARD-CHN1, and China, South Korea, Mexico, and Russia in AWARD-CHN2) of treatment] were included.

Institutional ethics committee approval was obtained for both studies, and written informed consent was taken from each patient before participation. Both studies were conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, Good Clinical Practice, and applicable laws and regulations (World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki, 1997) [24].

Study Assessments and Statistical Analyses

In the present post hoc analysis, the GI safety of dulaglutide 1.5 mg and dulaglutide 0.75 mg in Chinese patients with T2D was evaluated. The incidence, severity, treatment discontinuation, duration, and time of occurrence of GI adverse events was evaluated up to week 26, the primary endpoint for both the AWARD-CHN studies.

Post hoc analyses were conducted on the Chinese population based on the as-treated analysis set (safety analysis set). Safety analyses were conducted on patients who received at least one dose of dulaglutide 1.5 mg or 0.75 mg in both AWARD-CHN studies and were analyzed according to the treatment they actually received, regardless of their planned treatment. Descriptive statistics were used to present the data in this post hoc analysis, which included counts and percentages for categorical variables; counts, median, and minimum and maximum for continuous variables.

Adverse events were defined as TEAEs if events were newly reported or reported to worsen in severity after the initiation of study drug treatment. TEAE grading was performed by local site investigators and reported through the electronic case report form. Adverse events were classified according to the Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities (MedDRA) version 19.1 and summarized by treatment arm. Adverse events were categorized as mild, moderate, and severe. The duration of an adverse event was calculated as the adverse event end date minus the adverse event start date plus 1 day and was reported in terms of median number of days. Time-to-onset of an adverse event was analyzed by day, from the first dose date of the study drug to the occurrence of the adverse event.

RESULTS

Patient Disposition and Baseline Characteristics

A total of 787 Chinese patients with T2D were included in this post hoc analysis. Patients’ baseline characteristics were similar between dulaglutide 1.5 mg and 0.75 mg groups. The majority of patients were male (59%). Mean body weight was 70.7 kg in patients treated with dulaglutide 1.5 mg and 72.0 kg in patients treated with dulaglutide 0.75 mg. Mean BMI was 25.7 kg/m² and 26.1 kg/m² in the dulaglutide 1.5 mg and 0.75 mg groups, respectively (Table 1).
Incidence of Gastrointestinal Adverse Events

Through week 26, 225 of the 787 patients (28.6%) in the safety analysis set experienced at least one GI TEAE [dulaglutide 1.5 mg group: 134 patients (34.2%); dulaglutide 0.75 mg group: 91 patients (23.0%)]. The most frequently reported GI TEAEs in all dulaglutide-treated patients included diarrhea (13.1%), nausea (6.6%), abdominal distension (6.4%), and vomiting (3.0%) (Table 2).

The incidence of GI TEAEs by their severity is shown in Table 3. The majority of the TEAEs were mild to moderate in severity, with 19.6% of patients experiencing mild TEAEs, 5.7% moderate TEAEs, and 0.4% severe TEAEs. The severity of diarrhea, nausea, abdominal distension, and vomiting was mostly mild to moderate, in proportions of 92% (95/103 patients), 88% (46/52 patients), 94% (47/50 patients), and 83% (20/24 patients), respectively.

| Table 1 | Patient characteristics |
|---------|-------------------------|
|         | DU 1.5 mg | DU 0.75 mg | All DU N = 787 |
| Sex     | N = 392   | N = 395   |       |
| Male, n (%) | 230 (58.7) | 234 (59.2) | 464 (59.0) |
| Female, n (%) | 162 (41.3) | 161 (40.8) | 323 (41.0) |
| Age (years) | 53.8 (10.2) | 54.0 (9.9) | 53.9 (10.0) |
| Body weight (kg) | 70.7 (11.6) | 72.0 (12.1) | 71.4 (11.9) |
| BMI (kg/m²)a | 25.7 (3.2) | 26.1 (3.3) | 25.9 (3.3) |
| Duration of T2D (years) | 5.9 (5.0) | 5.6 (5.4) | 5.8 (5.2) |
| HbA1c (%) | 8.2 (1.1) | 8.2 (1.0) | 8.2 (1.1) |
| Fasting blood glucose (mmol/L)b | 9.5 (2.6) | 9.5 (2.4) | 9.5 (2.5) |

Values shown are for mean (SD) unless otherwise noted. HbA1c glycated hemoglobin, BMI body mass index, DU dulaglutide, N total number of patients in specified treatment arm, n number of patients in the specified category in specified treatment arm, SD standard deviation, T2D type 2 diabetes

| Table 2 | Incidence of gastrointestinal treatment-emergent adverse events |
|---------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
|         | DU 1.5 mg | DU 0.75 mg | All DU N = 787 |
| Patients with ≥ 1 GI TEAE, n (%) | 134 (34.2) | 91 (23.0) | 225 (28.6) |
| Diarrhea | 66 (16.8) | 37 (9.4) | 103 (13.1) |
| Nausea | 38 (9.7) | 14 (3.5) | 52 (6.6) |
| Abdominal distension | 33 (8.4) | 17 (4.3) | 50 (6.4) |
| Vomiting | 22 (5.6) | 2 (0.5) | 24 (3.0) |
| Constipation | 14 (3.6) | 8 (2.0) | 22 (2.8) |
| Abdominal pain upper | 9 (2.3) | 6 (1.5) | 15 (1.9) |
| Abdominal discomfort | 7 (1.8) | 4 (1.0) | 11 (1.4) |
| Abdominal pain | 4 (1.0) | 4 (1.0) | 8 (1.0) |
| Eructation | 6 (1.5) | 2 (0.5) | 8 (1.0) |
| Toothache | 2 (0.5) | 5 (1.3) | 7 (0.9) |
| Epigastric discomfort | 4 (1.0) | 2 (0.5) | 6 (0.8) |

The denominator for all percentages is the number of patients in the specified treatment arm. DU dulaglutide, GI gastrointestinal, N total number of patients in specified treatment arm, n number of patients in the specified category in specified treatment arm, TEAE treatment-emergent adverse event.
Only 12 patients (1.5%) discontinued treatment as a result of GI TEAEs [dulaglutide 1.5 mg group:
\[ \text{N} = 392 \] \( n = 8 \) (2.0%); dulaglutide 0.75 mg group:
\[ \text{N} = 395 \] \( n = 4 \) (1.0%)].
Diarrhea (\( n = 6 \); 0.8%) was the leading cause of study treatment discontinuation in both groups. The other TEAEs that led to treatment discontinuation are reported in Table 4.

The durations of the most common first reported GI TEAEs through week 26 are shown in Table 5. The median duration of first reported GI TEAEs of diarrhea, nausea, abdominal

| Table 3 Summary of severity of gastrointestinal treatment-emergent adverse events | Table 4 Summary of gastrointestinal treatment-emergent adverse events leading to treatment discontinuation |
|---|---|
| **DU 1.5 mg** | **DU 0.75 mg** | **All DU N = 787** |
| Patients with \( \geq 1 \) GI TEAE, \( n \) (%) | 134 (34.2) | 91 (23.0) | 225 (28.6) |
| **Mild** | 90 (23.0) | 64 (16.2) | 154 (19.6) |
| **Moderate** | 29 (7.4) | 16 (4.1) | 45 (5.7) |
| **Severe** | 3 (0.8) | 0 (0.0) | 3 (0.4) |
| **Diarrhea, n (%)** | 66 (16.8) | 37 (9.4) | 103 (13.1) |
| **Mild** | 45 (11.5) | 28 (7.1) | 73 (9.3) |
| **Moderate** | 16 (4.1) | 6 (1.5) | 22 (2.8) |
| **Severe** | 1 (0.3) | 0 (0.0) | 1 (0.1) |
| **Nausea, n (%)** | 38 (9.7) | 14 (3.5) | 52 (6.6) |
| **Mild** | 28 (7.1) | 10 (2.5) | 38 (4.8) |
| **Moderate** | 7 (1.8) | 1 (0.3) | 8 (1.0) |
| **Severe** | 2 (0.5) | 0 (0.0) | 2 (0.3) |
| **Abdominal distension, n (%)** | 33 (8.4) | 17 (4.3) | 50 (6.4) |
| **Mild** | 28 (7.1) | 15 (3.8) | 43 (5.5) |
| **Moderate** | 2 (0.5) | 2 (0.5) | 4 (0.5) |
| **Severe** | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) |
| **Vomiting, n (%)** | 22 (5.6) | 2 (0.5) | 24 (3.0) |
| **Mild** | 13 (3.3) | 1 (0.3) | 14 (1.8) |
| **Moderate** | 5 (1.3) | 1 (0.3) | 6 (0.8) |
| **Severe** | 2 (0.5) | 0 (0.0) | 2 (0.3) |

Table shows the GI TEAEs reported in at least 5% of patients in any treatment group. The denominator for all the percentages is the number of patients in the specified treatment arm.

**DU** dulaglutide, **GI** gastrointestinal, **N** total number of patients in specified treatment arm, **n** number of patients in the specified category in specified treatment arm, **TEAE** treatment-emergent adverse event

**Gastrointestinal Adverse Events Leading to Treatment Discontinuation**

Only 12 patients (1.5%) discontinued treatment as a result of GI TEAEs [dulaglutide 1.5 mg group: \( n = 8 \) (2.0%); dulaglutide 0.75 mg group: \( n = 4 \) (1.0%)]. Diarrhea (\( n = 6 \); 0.8%) was the leading cause of study treatment discontinuation in both groups. The other TEAEs that led to treatment discontinuation are reported in Table 4.

**Duration of First Reported Gastrointestinal Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events and Most Commonly Reported Gastrointestinal Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Through Week 26**

The durations of the most common first reported GI TEAEs through week 26 are shown in Table 5. The median duration of first reported GI TEAEs of diarrhea, nausea, abdominal
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Table 5 Summary of duration of first reported gastrointestinal treatment-emergent adverse events and most commonly reported gastrointestinal treatment-emergent adverse events up to week 26

|            | N     | First reported GI TEAEs | Most commonly reported GI TEAEs up to week 26 |
|------------|-------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
|            |       |                        | Number of patients | Number of events | Duration (day) | Duration (day) |
|            |       |                         | n (%)             |               | Median (Q1, Q3) | Median (Q1, Q3) |
| Diarrhea   |       |                         | DU 1.5 mg         | 392            | 51 (13.0)     | 5.0 (3, 8)      |
|            |       |                         | DU 0.75 mg        | 395            | 32 (8.1)      | 4.0 (2, 11)     |
|            |       |                         | All DU            | 787            | 83 (10.5)     | 4.0 (2, 8)      |
| Nausea     |       |                         | DU 1.5 mg         | 392            | 32 (8.2)      | 6.0 (3, 14)     |
|            |       |                         | DU 0.75 mg        | 395            | 14 (3.5)      | 2.5 (1, 12)     |
|            |       |                         | All DU            | 787            | 46 (5.8)      | 5.0 (2, 12)     |
| Abdominal distension |   |                         | DU 1.5 mg         | 392            | 28 (7.1)      | 11.0 (4, 43)    |
|            |       |                         | DU 0.75 mg        | 395            | 16 (4.1)      | 18.5 (5, 45)    |
|            |       |                         | All DU            | 787            | 44 (5.6)      | 12.5 (4.5, 44)  |
| Vomiting   |       |                         | DU 1.5 mg         | 392            | 18 (4.6)      | 4.0 (3, 8)      |
|            |       |                         | DU 0.75 mg        | 395            | 1 (0.3)       | 1.0 (1, 1)      |
|            |       |                         | All DU            | 787            | 19 (2.4)      | 4.0 (2, 8)      |

Table shows the top four among the first reported GI TEAEs of DU 1.5 mg and 0.75 mg treatment group and incidence of GI TEAEs through week 26

DU dulaglutide, GI gastrointestinal, N total number of patients in specified treatment arm, n number of patients in the specified category in specified treatment arm, Q1 25th percentile, Q3 75th percentile, TEAE treatment-emergent adverse event

distension, and vomiting was 4.0, 5.0, 12.5, and 4.0 days, respectively, in all dulaglutide-treated patients. During the 26 weeks leading up to primary endpoint, the total number of events of diarrhea, nausea, abdominal distension, and vomiting in all dulaglutide-treated patients was 169, 71, 66, and 26, respectively. The median durations of these events were 3.0, 3.0, 6.5, and 4.0 days, respectively.

Fig. 1 Summary of time of occurrence of gastrointestinal treatment-emergent adverse events. N = 787 for all DU. DU dulaglutide, N total number of patients
Fig. 2 Incidence of gastrointestinal adverse events from start of treatment (up to week 26). $N = 787$ for all DU. DU dulaglutide, $N$ total number of patients
Time of Occurrence of Gastrointestinal Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events

Figure 1 shows all GI TEAEs reported in the combined dulaglutide treatment group. The incidence of GI TEAEs was more frequent during the first 2 weeks of dulaglutide treatment; the incidence of these TEAEs declined rapidly after 2 weeks and remained low until week 26. The incidences of most commonly reported GI adverse events from baseline to week 26, by 2-week intervals in each treatment group, are shown in Fig. 2 and follow the pattern of higher levels in the initial 2 weeks of dulaglutide treatment, decreasing rapidly after week 2.

DISCUSSION

GI complaints are commonly reported by patients with diabetes, with some studies indicating that approximately 75% of patients with diabetes complain of having at least one GI symptom [25]. In patients with diabetes, inadequate glycemic control affects gastric motility and gastric emptying, which can lead to GI symptoms [22, 25].

The current post hoc pooled analysis assessed detailed and comprehensive GI adverse event data in a Chinese patient population. We investigated GI disorders including diarrhea, nausea, and vomiting, which are most commonly observed with GLP-1 RAs [22]. The AWARD studies have reported the overall safety data associated with dulaglutide in global and Asian patient populations [10–19]. Here, we report the GI safety profile with detailed GI adverse event data in Chinese patients from two phase III multicenter trials, pooled to assess GI data at week 26 [20, 21]. At week 26, 225 patients (28.6%) reported at least one GI TEAE. The overall incidences of the most frequently reported GI TEAEs diarrhea, nausea, abdominal distension, and vomiting were 13.1%, 6.6%, 6.4%, and 3.0%, respectively, and most GI TEAEs were mild to moderate in severity. Compared with the results of global phase III trials in global populations treated with dulaglutide, the overall incidences of diarrhea, nausea, abdominal distension, and vomiting were comparable or lower in this analysis [9, 26]. A previously conducted pooled analysis reported that GI adverse events with once-weekly exenatide appeared to have no striking differences among different subpopulations of white, black, Asian, and Hispanic patients [27]. Also, a study that analyzed GI adverse event effects between GLP-1 RAs reported inconsistency in outcomes based on race/ethnicity [28]. Furthermore, previous studies with GLP-1 RAs reported that GI adverse events occurred more frequently in lower versus higher baseline BMI subgroups, although the exact cause for the observation has not been extensively studied [23]. However, these studies were conducted in a global population with a higher proportion of Caucasian patients. This analysis in Chinese patients found that even with a lower BMI, the GI adverse events are comparable with or lower than those observed in a global population treated with dulaglutide (1.5 mg and 0.75 mg) as reported in the AWARD studies [10–19]. Furthermore, when compared with the results in the Asian patient population across phase III clinical trials with other GLP-1 RAs, the incidence rates of GI adverse events were consistent with this analysis [29–32].

In this analysis, diarrhea was the most frequently occurring TEAE and demonstrated higher incidence when compared between Asian and non-Asian patient populations [9–21]. This finding is consistent with recent class-wide meta-analyses which report that, of the commonly experienced GI adverse events, nausea and vomiting are less common in longer-acting GLP-1 RAs like dulaglutide, but diarrhea may be more common [33]. The potential cause of diarrhea could be due to acceleration of colonic transit with a GLP-1 agonist, ROSE-010; also, the GLP-1 agonists may have effects on the TGBAR receptor or other mechanisms that impact physiological secretion of bile acids [34]. The effects of GLP-1 RAs on gut other than stomach have not been extensively studied; however, the potential of GLP-1 RAs to inhibit small intestine motor function has been reported, which may contribute to lower GI symptoms such as diarrhea and constipation [28, 35]. Although incidence of diarrhea reported in this analysis was higher
when compared with a non-Asian patient population [9, 12], it was comparable to that in a Japanese patient population treated with once-weekly dulaglutide 0.75 mg [36], indicating no major difference in GI-related safety parameters between Chinese and Japanese patient populations.

The incidence of GI TEAEs was observed to be higher with dulaglutide 1.5 mg compared with dulaglutide 0.75 mg, but this was expected because of the higher dosage. Of note, the GI TEAEs reported in this analysis were transient in nature and mild to moderate in severity, with very few patients discontinuing treatment as a result of GI TEAEs. Also, the mean duration of first reported diarrhea, nausea, and vomiting was relatively short (≤ 5 days), and incidence of GI TEAEs was more pronounced during the first 2 weeks of dulaglutide treatment but declined rapidly as treatment continued, and was low at week 26.

There are some limitations of this analysis. As a post hoc analysis, the results need to be analyzed and interpreted with caution. Also, patients enrolled in the individual clinical trials were controlled by study-specific inclusion and exclusion criteria that may vary from the general population. To overcome these limitations, real-world studies that assess long-term effectiveness and safety of GLP-1 RAs are needed.

CONCLUSIONS

The present post hoc pooled analysis of two studies revealed that the overall incidences of GI TEAEs were most pronounced during the first 2 weeks of dulaglutide treatment but declined rapidly as treatment continued. These incidences were low at week 26, indicating that dulaglutide is well tolerated in Chinese patients with T2D. The findings from this analysis are consistent with the data from global, Japanese, and other Asian patient populations with T2D.
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