Case Report
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1. Introduction

Patients with drug-refractory temporal lobe epilepsy (TLE) may benefit from a therapeutic surgery [1] to stop drug-refractory seizures. Therapeutic surgery implies resection or functional disconnection of the epileptogenic zone (EZ) [2]. Given the role of the temporal lobe in long-term episodic memory (e.g., [3,4]), a decline of this function may be observed after surgery. Several studies showed that left mesiotemporal regions are particularly involved in verbal memory, and right mesiotemporal regions in nonverbal memory [5,6]. In line with these observations, patients with left mesio-TLE often show verbal memory impairment after surgery [7,8]. It is important to mention that verbal memory decline has deeper impact on everyday life than nonverbal memory.

In order to remediate for memory decline after surgery, neuropsychological rehabilitation programs can be applied. These programs vary in terms of technique or method used [9], as well as in terms of duration and intensity of training [10,11]. For instance, some rehabilitation methods include external memory supports or aids such as phone or agenda tools [12]. Other methods are based on specific learning strategies such as self-generation procedures that emphasize the active participation of the patient in learning processes [13] and sensory and semantic processes for encoding [14] and visual imagery [15]. In fact, little information is available in the epilepsy literature on the memory rehabilitation methods, but studies on other pathologies have provided several clues for the benefit of memory rehabilitation programs [16,17].

Most rehabilitation programs are offered individually, based on individual characteristics [18] and considering the specific profile of preserved cognitive abilities of the patient, allowing the development of compensatory strategies [13]. For instance, visual imagery methods aim to allow patients with memory deficit to promote encoding and provide clues for recuperation by means of structured mental images (e.g., [19]). Overall, the general idea behind this rehabilitation method is to take advantage of preserved cognitive abilities to remediate the deficits. The use of this method supposes normal visual, attentional, and executive abilities and depends strongly on the individuals’ ability to promote encoding and provide clues for recuperation by means of structured mental images (e.g., [19]).
to engage in mental imagery and structuring information. Another crucial point during the rehabilitation programs is the duration and the intensity of training. It has been shown that intensive training for short time periods (from 2 to 8 weeks) could be efficient in patients with epilepsy [20,21].

Furthermore, as pointed out by Nordvik et al. [22], the majority of cognitive rehabilitation studies are performed without exploring their effect on the cerebral substrate. In this framework, fMRI could be a promising tool to detect changes in cerebral activity following the intensive training (and, therefore, to anticipate the potential benefit of the rehabilitation) and, in any case, could provide information on the mechanisms underlying the rehabilitation.

We report here the case of a female patient with epilepsy who performed a rehabilitation program for verbal memory dysfunction observed after left temporal lobe surgery. Based on her neuropsychological profile [9] used to identify her preserved cognitive abilities, we proposed a personalized, intensive (2 sessions/week) and short-term (three months) training program by using mental visual imagery as the central approach of this program. In order to evaluate the cerebral effect of the training program, the patient underwent fMRI for episodic memory before and after rehabilitation.

2. Case report: description and methods

We examined a French female patient 57 years of age suffering from left mesiotemporal lobe epilepsy as assessed with video-EEG monitoring. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) revealed a left cavernoma located at the junction between the amygdala and the anterior part of the parahippocampal gyrus at the level of the uncus (see Fig. 1). Seizures had started at the age of 49 and remained drug-resistant despite different combinations of antiepileptic drugs. Seizures occurred once a month and became characterized by difficulties in speech and, sometimes, speech arrest for about 20 s, without loss of contact. An amnestic postictal confusion lasting up to 30 min was also noticed. The patient was right-handed (Edinburgh Handedness Inventory, Oldfield [23]) and had a left hemisphere lateralization for language as determined by fMRI assessment described by Perrone-Bertolotti et al. [24]. Surgical treatment was considered to stop seizures and consisted of left anterior temporal resection sparing the hippocampus (see Fig. 1). Two years after surgery, the patient was seizure-free. Nevertheless, one year after surgery and despite the sparing of the hippocampus, she reported verbal memory complaints in everyday life. Specifically, she mentioned having difficulties in finding words during conversations and forgetting verbal information related to her work (she worked as a waitress).

The patient underwent three neuropsychological assessments, one before surgery (see [24] for details) and two after surgery (six months and one year postsurgery). The neuropsychological assessment performed six months after surgery was done to evaluate the patient’s global cognitive profile. The neuropsychological assessment performed one year after surgery was done when the patient sought evaluation for verbal memory difficulties. It was performed to refine the memory neuropsychological profile identified during the previous neuropsychological testing. Details of neuropsychological testing and associated results are provided in Table 1. The results revealed deficits for serial verbal memory tasks (Grober and Buschke test, ESR test 6 months later and also identified before surgery, see [24]), and the pattern of results suggested a persistent disorder of encoding and retrieval processing. This interpretation was based on the profile on these tasks (little help provided by cued items, impaired performances on word recognition phases, influence of depth of encoding). In addition, while the deficit was severe for verbal unstructured material such as these serial verbal memory tasks, the performances were in the low average range with the structured verbal material (i.e., story, verbal paired associates), as it provides conditions for efficient encoding and retrieval strategies [25]. Overall, the neuropsychological profile showed that the patient did not suffer from a deficit in verbal information storage but in encoding and in retrieval processing of verbal material. This is coherent with the surgical resection which spared the hippocampus. The forward and backward digit spans were within the average range, in favor of a preserved verbal short-term memory. Nonverbal long-term memory tests (recall and recognition for figures, faces, and door pictures) revealed scores which were all superior to the norm or in the high average.

Fig. 1. MRI images before (left) and after (right) surgery. Before surgery, MRI reveals a left cavernoma located at the junction of the left uncus/amygdala. After surgery, MRI shows left medial temporal lobe resection (spearing hippocampus). The images are shown in neurological convention (left hemisphere to the left). Abbreviation: LH, left hemisphere.
range. Executive functions (flexibility in TMT and inhibition in Stroop) were preserved, but slowness of visual attention (D2) was detected. There was no deficit in naming (DO 80) nor in verbal fluency. Based on this profile that revealed impairment of verbal memory for unstructured verbal material but good abilities of visual memory and preserved memory abilities for structured material, we proposed a rehabilitation method based on the development of visual mental imagery strategies [25] in order to improve verbal memory abilities.

The rehabilitation program started one year after surgery for a duration of three months, consisting of twelve sessions (performed in three steps, see Table 2) with a frequency of 2 sessions per week. To develop this training, we considered the patient’s preserved abilities; thus, the program consisted of mental imagery training based on the stacking method [26], which allows structuring this nonstructured material. The stacking method consists of associating several words included into an absurd mental image. For instance, by using the French words

| Table 1 |
| --- |
| Neuropsychological assessment scores before the rehabilitation program and six months and one year (tests with an asterisk) after surgery. |
| Neuropsychological assessment | Neuropsychological tests and scores | Norm comparisons |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Long-term verbal memory** | Grober and Buschke test | Immediate recall in encoding phase = 15/16 | 25th centile<sup>a</sup> |
|  | Third recall = 7/16 | − 2.29 SD<sup>b</sup> |
|  | Third cued recall = 15/16 | 5th–25th centile |
|  | Recognition = 15/16 |  − 2.50 SD |
|  | Delayed recall = 7/16 | 1st–5th centile |
|  | Total recall after a delay = 13/16 |  − 1.93 SD |
| **ESR test**<sup>*</sup> | Immediate recall list 1 (superficial encoding) = 3/16 |  − 1.29 SD |
|  | Recognition list 1 = 10/16 |  − 5.37 SD |
|  | Immediate recall list 2 (profound encoding) = 5/16 |  − 3.15 SD |
|  | Recognition list 2 = 16/16 |  − 1.13 SD |
|  | Recall after a delay (2 weeks) list 1 = 0/16 |  − 1.82 SD |
|  | Recognition list 1 = 6/16 |  − 4.54 SD |
| **Associative learning words — MEM III** | Immediate verbal paired associates = 8/32 | 7<sup>c</sup> |
| **Story recall — BEM** | Verbal paired associates after a delay = 3/32 | 8 |
| **Verbal working memory — WAIS III** | Immediate recall = 8.5/12 | − 0.32 SD |
|  | Delayed recall = 8.5/12 | − 0.03 SD |
| **Long-term nonverbal memory** | Digit forward = 6 digits | − 0.15 SD |
|  | Digit backward = 5 digits | 0.29 SD |
| **Doors test**<sup>a</sup> | (A) = 11/12 | 50th centile |
|  | (B) = 11/12 | 95th centile |
| **Warrington recognition test for faces**<sup>a</sup> | 16/18 | 90th centile |
| **Figure recall — BEM** | Immediate recall = 10/12 | 0.72 SD |
|  | Delay recall = 10/12 | 1.09 SD |
| **Rey figure** | 3-minute recall = 22/36 | 78th centile |
| **Visual reproduction — MEM III**<sup>a</sup> | Immediate recall = 98/104 | 18 |
|  | Recognition = 47/48 | 13 |
|  | Copy = 101/104 | 15 |
| **Executive functions** | Stroop | Interference = 117 s | − 0.03 SD |
|  | Interference vs. naming = 48 s | − 0.27 SD |
| **TMT** | B = 86 s | − 0.08 SD |
|  | B-A = 67 s | 0.6 SD |
| **D2** | GZ = 312 | 8th centile |
| **Language**<sup>a</sup> | Verbal paired associates after a delay = 3/32 | 8 |
| **DO 80** | Semantic fluency = 26 words | 0.18 SD |
|  | Phonological fluency = 22 words | − 0.78 SD |

<sup>a</sup> Pathological performance if <5th centile.

<sup>b</sup> SD = standard deviation. Pathological performance if SD < 1.6.

<sup>c</sup> Mean = 10, SD = 3. Pathological performance if <5th centile.

---

| Table 2 |
| --- |
| The three steps of the verbal memory rehabilitation program. |
| Step | Session number | Objectives | Tasks |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| First | S1 | To mobilize cognitive processes recruited by mental imagery. | Draw objects with increased complexity; describe them overtly; describe visual characteristics of images representing objects and perform mental manipulations of geometric forms; create semantic associations between words. |
|  |  | Familiarization with construction of a mental image and how to structure verbal material. | Create integrative mental images by using two words (descriptions and drawings). Create mentally absurd scenes including 4–5 words in each (descriptions and drawings). |
|  |  | Explicit utilization of mental imagery strategy with verification and feedback on strategies. | Encode lists of words (300 lists, number of words increased as a function of patient’s performance). |
| Second | S2–S3 | Training of mental imagery strategy without feedback. | Draw objects with increased complexity; describe them overtly; describe visual characteristics of images representing objects and perform mental manipulations of geometric forms; create semantic associations between words. |
| Third | S4–S12 | | |
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‘elephant’, ‘moustache’, ‘scooter’, ‘telescope’, and ‘cap’, the patient has to create an absurd image, for instance: “an elephant with a moustache and a cap sitting on a scooter and looking through a telescope”.

To quantify the efficiency of rehabilitation, we used the Selective Reminding Test [27]. This test consists of memorization of 15 words in 10 trials, followed by a free recall after 30 min. This test was used to compare long-term memory abilities of verbal unstructured information before and after rehabilitation.

Finally, to evaluate the effect of the rehabilitation program on the cerebral substrate of verbal memory, the patient underwent two fMRI (see Supplementary material for details of fMRI parameters and analysis) examinations, the first one before rehabilitation (at 1 year postsurgery) and the second one after rehabilitation (at 1 year and 3 months after surgery), including both encoding and recognition processing. First, the patient performed an incidental (encourage ecological encoding and avoiding the use of memorization strategies) memory-encoding task, which consisted of a word categorization task according to the French grammatical genre (feminine vs. masculine, i.e., the French word for butterfly is masculine — *un papillon*, and the French word for house is feminine — *une maison*). Thirty minutes after the encoding session, the patient performed a recognition task, which is used to indicate whether she could recall seeing each word during the encoding session or whether it was new (see Supplementary material for details). It is important to mention that the patient’s performances during fMRI evaluation of verbal memory before and after rehabilitation were correct. Indeed, she correctly categorized words (incidental memory-encoding task) before (98%) and after (100%) the rehabilitation program, and no significant difference was found between sessions ($F_{(1,118)} = 0.1, p = 0.69$).

### 3. Results and discussion

The aim of this study was to demonstrate that the use of a personalized rehabilitation program based on preserved visual memory and verbal memory on structured material may be appropriate to improve verbal memory abilities on nonstructured material, with changes in cerebral organization. Specifically, we proposed to a patient an intensive and personalized rehabilitation program based on mental imagery strategies, which required unimpaired nonverbal abilities, to compensate her memory deficit for encoding and retrieval verbal unstructured information.

As illustrated in Table 3, the patient presented an improvement of verbal memory scores. Indeed, gradual progression of performance during word recall along the rehabilitation sessions was observed. Furthermore, as shown in Table 4, the patient’s performance on the Selective Reminding Test increased after the memory rehabilitation program. Indeed, before the training, the mean number of words recalled during the learning phase, the number of words recalled after a delay, and the percentage of retention after a delay (proportion between the last learning trial and delayed recall) were in the low range compared with data from normal population [27]. These scores were situated in the high average range after rehabilitation (from 8/15 words to 15/15 after rehabilitation as assessed after a 30-minute delay). This result suggests improvement of long-term memory abilities for nonstructured verbal information. Moreover, the patient reported a subjective improvement of the everyday quality of life.

**Table 3**

| Session | Number of words per list | Number of lists proposed | Mean of correct recall | Mean of correct recall per session |
|---------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 4       | 5                        | 7                        | 4.29                  | 5.18                              |
| 6       | 5                        | 18                       | 5.39                  |                                    |
| 7       | 5                        | 7                        | 5.86                  |                                    |
| 5       | 5                        | 7                        | 4                     | 4.69                              |
| 6       | 5                        | 15                       | 4.57                  |                                    |
| 7       | 7                        | 5                        | 5.5                   |                                    |
| 6       | 6                        | 8                        | 5                     | 4.33                              |
| 7       | 7                        | 32                       | 5                     |                                    |
| 8       | 8                        | 1                        | 3                     |                                    |
| 7       | 7                        | 28                       | 5.19                  | 4.97                              |
| 8       | 8                        | 4                        | 4.75                  |                                    |
| 9       | 8                        | 44                       | 5.84                  | 6.42                              |
| 9       | 9                        | 1                        | 7                     |                                    |
| 10      | 9                        | 10                       | 5.8                   | 6.18                              |
| 10      | 10                       | 20                       | 5.75                  |                                    |
| 10      | 10                       | 2                        | 7                     |                                    |
| 11      | 10                       | 28                       | 6.18                  | 6.78                              |
| 12      | 10                       | 24                       | 7.86                  | 7.93                              |
| 12      | 11                       | 4                        | 8                     |                                    |

**Table 4**

| Performance | Before rehabilitation | Centiles<sup>a</sup> | After rehabilitation | Centiles<sup>a</sup> |
|-------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Mean number of words recalled on 10 trials (/15) | 8.6 | 5th–50th | 12.77 | >50th |
| Number of words recalled after a delay (/15) | 8 | 5th | 15 | >50th |
| % retention after a delay | 36.0% | 5th–50th | 86.9% | >50th |

<sup>a</sup> On normative data from [27].
Functional MRI results suggest that two different strategies may be used by patients to perform the verbal encoding and recognition processes before and after surgery. Indeed, we showed that the rehabilitation program induced a shift of activation from the anterior to the posterior cerebral network (see Figs. 2 and 3 for the specification program induced a shift of activation from the anterior to the posterior cerebral network involved in each of the tasks, encoding and recognition, respectively).

The recruitment of anterior regions before rehabilitation suggests a significant involvement of attentional and executive processes, which may be required to compensate for verbal memory impairment, as observed during difficult tasks and also during decrease of memory efficiency with aging (e.g., [28]). After rehabilitation, the involvement of the posterior and medial cerebral regions may be explained by visual imagery strategies used to encode and to retrieve verbal information, as the patient had learnt during the rehabilitation program. Moreover, it is worth noting that fMRI tasks did not explicitly require a mental imagery strategy. This suggests that spontaneous visual imagery strategies are used by patients after the rehabilitation program and are efficient as indicated by the improvement of the neuropsychological scores.

4. Conclusion

Although these results were obtained with only one patient who presented with verbal memory impairment, they might suggest that an intensive (two times per week) and short (three months) rehabilitation program based on preserved cognitive abilities (here nonverbal memory) could be efficient to improve verbal memory abilities, even if it is applied months later after the curative surgery. One year after the rehabilitation program, the patient reported using the mental imagery in everyday life for routine and professional activities, suggesting that the positive effect could be sustainable over time. Supplementary evidence is clearly necessary to increase the robustness of these findings.
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Fig. 3. Cerebral activation obtained with fMRI for successful verbal recognition task. Panel A shows before rehabilitation results. Panel B shows after rehabilitation results. Panel C shows the results provided by the direct comparison of “before vs. after rehabilitation sessions”. Panel D shows the results provided by the direct comparison of “after vs. before rehabilitation sessions”. All activations were projected onto a 3D-rendered canonical brain and onto 2D anatomical slices with axial, sagittal, and coronal orientations (MNI coordinates are also mentioned). Abbreviations: LH, left hemisphere; RH, right hemisphere.