Untangling the Conformational Polymorphism of Disordered Proteins Associated With Neurodegeneration at the Single-Molecule Level
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A large fraction of the human genome encodes intrinsically disordered proteins/regions (IDPs/IDRs) that are involved in diverse cellular functions/regulation and dysfunctions. Moreover, several neurodegenerative disorders are associated with the pathological self-assembly of neuronal IDPs, including tau [Alzheimer’s disease (AD)], α-synuclein [Parkinson’s disease (PD)], and huntingtin exon 1 [Huntington’s disease (HD)]. Therefore, there is an urgent and emerging clinical interest in understanding the physical and structural features of their functional and disease states. However, their biophysical characterization is inherently challenging by traditional ensemble techniques. First, unlike globular proteins, IDPs lack stable secondary/tertiary structures under physiological conditions and may interact with multiple and distinct biological partners, subsequently folding differentially, thus contributing to the conformational polymorphism. Second, amyloidogenic IDPs display a high aggregation propensity, undergoing complex heterogeneous self-assembly mechanisms. In this review article, we discuss the advantages of employing cutting-edge single-molecule fluorescence (SMF) techniques to characterize the conformational ensemble of three selected neuronal IDPs (huntingtin exon 1, tau, and α-synuclein). Specifically, we survey the versatility of these powerful approaches to describe their monomeric conformational ensemble under functional and aggregation-prone conditions, and binding to biological partners. Together, the information gained from these studies provides unique insights into the role of gain or loss of function of these disordered proteins in neurodegeneration, which may assist the development of new therapeutic molecules to prevent and treat these devastating human disorders.
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INTRODUCTION

The classical protein “structure–function” paradigm establishes that proteins fold into a unique ordered 3D structure determined by their amino acid sequence before acquiring a specific biological function (reviewed in Fersht, 2008). However, studies over the last two decades have identified functional proteins lacking a stable secondary and/or tertiary structure, and instead adopting a dynamic ensemble of multiple conformational states (Kriwacki et al., 1996; Wright and Dyson, 1999; Mittag et al., 2010; Babu et al., 2012; Tompa, 2012; van der Lee et al., 2014). These intrinsically disordered proteins and regions (IDPs and IDRs, respectively) are widespread in the human proteome and play critical roles in diverse biological processes, including in transcription and translation, cell cycle, signaling, and transport (Jakoucheva et al., 2002; Wright and Dyson, 2015; Babu, 2016; Tsafou et al., 2018). Their functional diversity is sustained by unique features: (i) quick response to variations in cellular environment; (ii) interaction with multiple binding partners (with high specificity but low affinity) that provides binding promiscuity; and (iii) tight regulation by posttranslational modifications (specificity but low affinity) that provides binding promiscuity; and (iii) tight regulation by posttranslational modifications (PTMs) (Babu et al., 2012; Uversky, 2015; Babu, 2016). The misbehavior and misfolding of these naturally flexible proteins or regions can ultimately lead to their dysfunction. Therefore, IDPs/IDRs have been implicated in several devastating human diseases (such as in neurodegeneration and cancer), supporting the emerging “disorder in disorders” (or D²) concept (Uversky et al., 2008).

Many severe neurodegenerative disorders are associated with the pathological self-assembly and extra- or intracellular deposition of neuronal IDPs or proteins containing IDRs. These include amyloid-β (Aβ) peptides in Alzheimer’s disease (AD); tau in multiple tauopathies (including AD); α-synuclein (αS) in Parkinson’s disease (PD); huntingtin (HTT) in Huntington’s disease (HD); and TAR DNA-binding protein-43 (TDP-43) and fused in sarcoma (FUS) protein in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis and frontotemporal lobar degeneration (FTLD; reviewed in Uversky, 2014, 2015). These neurodegeneration-promoting proteins are fully or locally disordered in their monomeric-unbound state, but surprisingly they display a high tendency to form ordered insoluble aggregates (Uversky, 2014). In addition, accumulated evidence supports that aggregation-prone IDPs/IDRs can cause neurodegeneration through the failure to adopt a functional state (loss of their native functions) and/or gain of abnormal toxic interactions or protein accumulation resulting in toxic oligomers/aggregates (toxic gain of function; Trojanowski and Lee, 2005; Winklhofer et al., 2008). Effective therapies should be designed to restore their biological functions and/or avoid their aggregation at early stages. Therefore, there is an urgent medical interest in understanding these neuronal IDPs/IDRs in normal and disease conditions by: (i) characterizing their functional diversity and structural rearrangements upon interaction with distinct binding partners; and (ii) determining the conformational ensemble of their monomers under conditions that favor aggregation, which are ideal clinical targets. Together, these approaches will provide insights into the key physical and structural features of these aggregation-prone IDPs/IDRs that trigger gain or loss of function, and ultimately cause neuronal cell death.

Remarkably, single-molecule fluorescence (SMF) methods have enhanced our understanding of IDPs, including amyloid-forming proteins, during the past two decades (reviewed in Brucale et al., 2014; Schuler et al., 2016). Numerous SMF methodologies have been developed for probing transient oligomeric species and to determine their stoichiometry. These include two-color coincidence detection (TCCD; Creswick et al., 2012), single-molecule Förster resonance energy transfer (smFRET; Shammas et al., 2015), total internal reflection fluorescence microscopy (TIRF)-based approaches (Lv et al., 2015), or single-molecule photobleaching (Zijlstra et al., 2012). In addition, SMF techniques have been successfully used to characterize the complex conformational distribution and plasticity of monomeric IDPs, and molecular interactions with biological partners or aggregation inducers (Banerjee and Deniz, 2014; Lee et al., 2015). While the application of SMF approaches to uncover oligomeric states has been largely debated (Kundel et al., 2018), in this review article, we focus on the use of SMF methods to study the conformational ensemble of monomeric neurodegeneration-promoting IDPs under functional and aggregation-prone conditions. Notably, the low protein concentrations required for these techniques (in the pM or nM range) inhibit the rapid protein self-assembly. In addition, recording behaviors of individual molecules enables the description of dynamic/heterogeneous systems and the detection of coexisting subpopulations, which are not accessible in traditional ensemble and time-averaging methodologies (Figure 1; Joo et al., 2008; Schuler and Eaton, 2008; Schuler et al., 2016). From this class of SMF methods, fluorescence correlation spectroscopy (FCS) and smFRET have emerged as powerful and versatile tools.

FCS measures fluorescence-intensity fluctuations arising from the diffusion of a few fluorescent molecules through a small confocal observation volume (~1 fL). These fluorescence fluctuations are then analyzed using the autocorrelation function that quantifies the self-similarity of the signal over several delay times. Commonly, it can provide information in local concentrations, molecular mobility, and/or photophysical properties (Hess et al., 2002). Moreover, FCS allows to determine the overall chain dimensions of proteins (for homogeneous populations) and molecular interactions using the translational diffusion time at a slow time scale and conformational dynamics at fast time scales (Chattopadhyay et al., 2005; Sherman et al., 2008; Melo et al., 2011). In particular, nanosecond FCS in conjugation with smFRET and polymer physics can be used to quantify the reconfiguration time of unfolded polypeptide chains (Soranno et al., 2012). Therefore, FCS has been widely applied to evaluate the hydrodynamic size of monomeric IDPs, internal conformational dynamics, and molecular interactions (Figure 1; Crick et al., 2006; Rheades et al., 2006; Middleton and Rheades, 2010; Li et al., 2015; Li and Rheades, 2017).

In addition, smFRET relies on the non-radiative energy transfer from a donor fluorophore to an acceptor fluorophore...
through a dipole–dipole coupling mechanism. The efficiency of transfer ($ET_{\text{eff}}$) exhibits an inverse sixth power dependence on the interdye distance, allowing to determine distances on the nanometer scale (~2–10 nm, as a “spectroscopic ruler”; Förster, 1949). Therefore, intramolecular smFRET (both donor and acceptor fluorophores located on same molecule) can evaluate conformational rearrangements and dynamics of proteins (Chen and Rhoades, 2008; Banerjee and Deniz, 2014; Schuler et al., 2016). Several strategies have been applied for site-specific double-labeling IDPs, including the use of cysteine residues and genetically encoded unnatural amino acids (Lemke, 2011). Briefly, in diffusion-based smFRET, fluorescence intensities are recorded for each donor–acceptor labeled protein while it diffuses across a small confocal volume, and subsequently $ET_{\text{eff}}$ values are calculated for each photon burst and plotted as a histogram (Brucale et al., 2014; Schuler et al., 2016). Due to the high structural heterogeneity of IDPs, the Förster equation cannot provide a precise conversion of mean $ET_{\text{eff}}$ values into distances (O’Brien et al., 2009), since there is a wide distribution of donor–acceptor distances (without a single fixed distance). Commonly, several polymer models have been employed to describe the conformational ensemble of IDPs and denatured proteins. These include the Gaussian chain, worm-like chain, or a weighted Flory-Fisk distribution (discussed in detail in Schuler et al., 2016). Remarkably, intramolecular smFRET can report on conformational changes and dynamics of neurodegeneration-promoting IDPs in solution and upon binding to functional partners or aggregation promoters (Figure 1; Ferreon et al., 2009; Trexler and Rhoades, 2009, 2010; Elbaum-Garfinkle and Rhoades, 2012; Melo et al., 2016, 2017).

In this short review, we focus on the IDPs—huntingtin exon 1 (HTTex1), tau, and αS—and discuss a series of key SFM studies to characterize their heterogeneous/dynamic monomeric conformational ensemble and also interactions relevant for their function or disease.

**HTTex1 IN HD**

HTT is a large multidomain protein (with over 3,000 amino acids and 348 kDa) that is involved in several complex cellular processes, such as in trafficking of vesicles and organelles, transcription regulation, and generally in cellular homeostasis (reviewed in Schulte and Littleton, 2011; Saudou and Humbert, 2016). HTT is of major clinical relevance because the abnormal expansion of the CAG repeat within the first exon of its gene (IT15) is the pathological hallmark of HD (MacDonald et al., 1993). Above a critical threshold of about 37 CAG repeats, it leads to the expression of a mutant HTT protein with an expanded polyglutamine (polyQ) domain, which ultimately forms amyloid-like fibrils and intracellular inclusion bodies (Bates et al., 2014). The aberrant splicing and proteolytic cleavage of mutant proteins result in highly toxic HTT fragments spanning exon 1 (HTTex1; Wellington et al., 2002; Sathasivam et al., 2013), which are sufficient to replicate much of HD’s pathology/progression (Mangiarini et al., 1996). The exact molecular mechanism whereby HTTex1 contributes to neurodegeneration remains elusive, but growing evidence supports that the molecular sources of neurotoxicity inherent to the polyQ expansion are toxic conformations of the monomer and/or oligomers (Nagai et al., 2007; Takahashi et al., 2008). Therefore, considerable effort has been devoted to characterize the conformational features of monomeric polyQ peptides and HTTex1 in solution (reviewed in Wetzel, 2012; Adegbuyiro et al., 2017).

HTTex1 consists of a polyQ domain flanked by an N-terminal 17 amino acid segment (N17) and a C-terminal proline-rich region (PRR; Wetzel, 2012; Adegbuyiro et al., 2017). Since the age of onset, risk of disease and severity in HD are strongly correlated with the polyQ length (Bates et al., 2014), the early structural studies solely focused on simple synthetic polyQ peptides (with extra lysine residues to improve their solubility). Several circular dichroism (CD) and nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) studies have shown that simple polyQ sequences regardless of their repeat length are predominantly disordered in solution (Altschuler et al., 1997; Chen et al., 2001; Klein et al., 2007). In a pioneering FCS study, Crick et al. (2006) identified the hydrodynamic radius of monomeric polyQ peptides to obtain insights into their global dimensions and also shapes. The authors measured the average translational diffusion time (proportional to the hydrodynamic radius) of (Gly)-(Gln)$_n$-Cys-Lys$_2$ peptides in solution and assessed how it scales with the chain length. Notably, FCS revealed that: (i) aqueous solution is a “poor solvent” (low scaling exponent with $v = 0.32 ± 0.02$), suggesting that monomeric polyQ sequences adopt a heterogeneous...
ensemble of collapsed conformations; and (ii) the absence of sharp structural transitions across the critical polyQ length (no drastic changes in the diffusion time with increasing chain lengths).

A detailed understanding of the structural basis of monomeric mutant HTTex1 is crucial for developing a mechanistic model for HTTex1 toxicity. Current hypotheses describing the toxic structural threshold are largely sustained by indirect evidences. In particular, numerous studies over the last decade support that both flanking regions (PRR and N17) strongly modulate the aggregation of HTTex1 in solution (Thakur et al., 2009; Crick et al., 2013; Shen et al., 2016) and in the presence of biological membranes (Burke et al., 2013). Specifically, in solution, N17 enhances aggregation in a distinct mechanism to that of synthetic polyQ peptides (Thakur et al., 2009), while PRR displays an opposite effect, favoring aggregation-resistant conformations (Bhattacharyya et al., 2006). Together, it supports that the cross-talk between both flanking regions and/or a sharp conformational transition above the pathological polyQ threshold control the HTTex1 cytotoxicity. Nevertheless, there remains controversy due to the absence of single-atom resolution structures of HTTex1 (ones lacking solubilizing tags or stabilizing amino acids). This is due to the high aggregation propensity of HTTex1, the disordered features of the polyQ stretches, and the inherent challenge of recombiant expression and purification of HTTex1. While a recent high-resolution cryo-electron microscopy structure for the full-length HTT protein was reported in a complex with HTT-associated protein 40 (HAP40), the exon 1 region was not solved due to its disordered nature (Guo et al., 2018). Notably, the first single-molecule structural characterization of monomeric HTTex1 in solution was recently provided by a collaborative study from the Lemke, Pappu, and Lashuel groups (Warner et al., 2017). In this elegant study, smFRET was used to determine intramolecular distances within monomeric HTTex1 at pM concentrations, where its self-assembly and phase separation are prevented. Briefly, both an intein-fusion strategy and a semi-synthetic approach were employed to create five polyQ lengths HTTex1 variants (15Q, 23Q, 37Q, 43Q, and 49Q). For each variant, multiple double-labeled smFRET constructs were designed by site-specific labeling at a fixed position in N17 (A2C with Alexa 488 maleimide dye) and variable in PRR (A60C, P70C, P80C, or P90C with Alexa 594 maleimide dye). Remarkably, using smFRET in combination with atomic simulations, Warner and co-workers proposed that both wild-type (WT) and mutant HTTex1 adopt a “tadpole-like” topology, in which N17 adsorbs on the polyQ tract, making a “globular head,” and the PRR domain forms an extended/semi-flexible chain. Therefore, contrary to previous indirect evidences, smFRET data argue against sharp structural transitions in HTTex1 at pathological polyQ lengths in solution. The authors suggested that the increase of the polyQ surface area with its length promotes: (i) toxic “heterotypic interactions” by increasing the binding sites; and (ii) “homotypic interactions” that ultimately trigger HTTex1 aggregation.

In light of this recent smFRET work, future studies should firstly evaluate whether the toxic polyQ expansion controls the HTTex1 interactome. In particular, FCS or fluorescence cross-correlation spectroscopy (FCCS) will allow to quantify the interaction of WT (as control) and mutant HTTex1 with: (i) biological partners or emerging interacting proteins; (ii) biological membranes with variable lipid composition; and (iii) molecular chaperones. Simultaneously, the characterization of the conformational ensemble of HTTex1 under functional and aggregation-prone conditions through smFRET will provide insights into toxicity relevant conformations.

TAU IN TAUOPATHIES

Tau is a microtubule-associated protein (MAP) found predominantly in the axons of neurons (Litman et al., 1993; Hirokawa et al., 1996) that plays a critical role in microtubule (MT) assembly/stabilization (Weingarten et al., 1975; Drubin and Kirschner, 1986). Tau consists of three major functional regions: (1) MT binding region (MTBR) composed of imperfect repeats that directly interacts with MTs/tubulin (Butner and Kirschner, 1991) and forms the core of PHFs; (Crowther et al., 1989); (2) a proline-rich domain (PRD) that increases MT-binding/assembly (Gustke et al., 1994); and finally (3) an N-terminal projection domain that controls MT spacing (Chen et al., 1992) and might bind to neuronal plasma membrane (Brandt et al., 1995). In adult human brains, the alternative splicing of a single MAPT gene results in six different isoforms (ranging from 352 to 441 amino acids) that can promote its self-assembly into toxic oligomers and paired helical filaments (PHFs), as well as reduces tau–MT interaction, resulting in MT destabilization and cell death (reviewed in Johnson and Stoothoff, 2004).

Tau consists of three major functional regions: (1) MT binding region (MTBR) composed of imperfect repeats that directly interacts with MTs/tubulin (Burke et al., 1995). In mature neurons, the alternative splicing of a single MAPT gene results in six different isoforms (ranging from 352 to 441 amino acids) that can contain up to two N-terminal inserts (0N, 1N, and 2N) and three or four imperfect repeats (3R or 4R) within MTBR. Tau is a large disordered protein in its monomeric unbound state (Cleveland et al., 1977). The full-length protein is highly demanding for NMR (Mukrasch et al., 2009), and so far, most NMR studies have used MTBR fragments. In a seminal ensemble FRET study, Mandelkow and co-workers identified that tau forms a highly compact structure in solution described by a “paperclip” conformation (Jeganathan et al., 2006). Specifically, this early work revealed that the C-terminus is in close proximity to the N-terminus and the MTBR, but without a measured FRET distance (so higher than 10 nm) between the N-terminus and the MTBR. Recently, the Rhoades Lab used SMF methods to characterize the aggregation-prone structures of tau [in the presence of heparin
the largely overlooked description of the first step of MT assembly. Therefore, the molecular mechanism by which tau promotes the polymerization of tubulin into MTs remains poorly understood.

Below, we summarize the studies reporting on the conformational transitions undergone by tau relevant to its functional and aggregation-prone states.

Initial smFRET work by Elbaum-Garfinkle and Rhoades redefined the "paperclip" model from ensemble measurements for tau monomer in solution and also characterized its conformational ensemble in the presence of heparin (Elbaum-Garfinkle and Rhoades, 2012). This comprehensive work investigated 12 double-labeled constructs of tau that mapped multiple overlapping regions within the 2N4R isoform (longest tau isoform), and their respective ET$_{eff}$ were reported for each construct in the absence and presence of heparin. In solution, the overall dimensions of tau diverge from a theoretical random coil protein in a “good solvent.” This is further sustained by intramolecular contacts between the N- and C-termini and also each terminus and the MTBR, which was ascribed to electrostatic effects. Together, smFRET data supported that tau adopts more an “S-shaped” than a “paperclip” topology (Jeganathan et al., 2006; from ensemble FRET) in solution, since the MTBR is in relatively close contact with both termini. The discrepancy is explained, in part, by the use of: (i) a donor–acceptor pair with a small Förster radius; and (ii) the Förster equation to directly convert ET$_{eff}$ into distances, in ensemble measurements. Notably, the same work also revealed that tau undergoes a two-state conformational transition upon binding to heparin underlined by the pronounced MTBR compaction and the loss of the long-range interactions between the two termini. Moreover, different domains of tau exhibit distinct physical and structural features, and consequently they respond differentially upon heparin binding. In a recent study, Wickramasinghe and co-workers investigated the interaction of tau with the physiologically aggregation inducer, polyP, using FCS and smFRET (Wickramasinghe et al., 2019). Specifically, following a similar approach as described for heparin, smFRET data reported that polyP promotes a local compaction of the MTBR and PRD, with a concomitant decrease in the long-range contacts between both termini. The binding of tau to polyP was further characterized by FCS, revealing that both PRD and MTBR interact with polyP. The conformational changes and aggregation effects depicted were found to strongly correlate with the polyP chain length. Moreover, longer polyP chains were shown to promote intermolecular interactions in tau monomers (working as “intermolecular scaffold”), thus inducing its pathological aggregation.

Most research on tau function has been focused so far on its role in MT dynamic instability and its interaction with stabilized MTs. In addition, ensemble MT polymerization assays (based on scatter measurements) do not provide a detailed description of the first step of MT assembly. Therefore, the molecular mechanism by which tau promotes the polymerization of tubulin into MTs remains poorly understood. The Rhoades Lab has applied SMF methods to describe the largely overlooked interaction of tau with soluble tubulin heterodimers (the first step of MT assembly mechanism). In a pioneering work, Elbaum-Garfinkle et al. (2014) identified for the first time by FCS that tau binds to soluble tubulin heterodimers (under non MT assembly conditions, with a low concentration of tau and in a buffer lacking GTP), and disease mutations also enhance this interaction. In two subsequent FCS studies, Li et al. (2015) and Li and Rhoades (2017) showed that: (i) tau binds to multiple tubulin heterodimers (Li et al., 2015); and (ii) the C-terminal pseudo-repeat region of tau (adjacent to MTBR) increases the heterogeneity of tau–tubulin complex with further independent binding sites at R2 and R3, in which the size and heterogeneity are strongly linked to tau function (MT polymerization; Li and Rhoades, 2017). The topological features of tau in this heterogeneous/dynamic complex were further investigated by intramolecular smFRET (Melo et al., 2016). These measurements were performed under 100% tubulin binding and a multiprobe approach was again employed for 2N4R and 2N3R tau isoforms. Remarkably, large shifts toward lower mean ET$_{eff}$ were observed for constructs probing the solution long-range interactions (for both termini and each terminus and the MTBR). This work revealed that tau adopts an overall open structure in this complex, exposing binding sites within the MTBR. Similarly, this expansion is observed upon binding to the MT surface (Sillen et al., 2007) and heparin (Elbaum-Garfinkle and Rhoades, 2012). Surprisingly, smFRET data also showed that the MTBR conserves its global dimensions, while its individual repeats experience local extensions to provide binding to multiple tubulin heterodimers. The extent of conformational changes within the MTBR was larger for two repeat-spanning constructs for both isoforms, also including R3. Contrary to NMR data for tau bound to a single-tubulin dimer (Gigant et al., 2014), no evidence for the U-turn topology adopted by the MTBR was found. Finally, these findings supported that tau forms a “fuzzy complex” with soluble tubulin, in which it retains its flexibility and conformational plasticity as an IDP. However, it remains to be elucidated whether tau can bind simultaneously tubulin and MTs.

In summary, smFRET revealed that the MTBR responds differentially upon interaction with soluble tubulin or heparin/polyP that accounts for distinct conformational ensembles of tau in its tubulin-bound state and aggregation-prone structure, respectively. In addition, it provides a framework to explore the role of PTMs (as hyperphosphorylation) in the conformational ensemble of monomeric tau under functional (“fuzzy complex” with soluble tubulin) and disease conditions. Finally, as recent studies support that tau can undergo liquid–liquid phase separation (LLPS; Hernández-Vega et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2017; Wegmann et al., 2018), smFRET provides a versatile tool to probe early conformational changes that trigger phase separation (in the presence of molecular crowding and RNA), and to explore the dynamics within the droplet.

αS IN PD

αS is a small protein (140 amino acids) abundantly expressed in presynaptic terminals of neurons in the human brain
This protein is intrinsically flexible in solution and, similar to other IDPs, acquires structure upon binding to biological binding partners as lipid membranes (Weinreb et al., 1996; Davidson et al., 1993; Eliezer et al., 2001). While αS has been associated with several biological activities, including in regulation of synaptic vesicles pools (Murphy et al., 2000; Cabin et al., 2002), neurotransmitter release (Neman et al., 2010), SNARE complex assembly (Burré et al., 2010), and vesicle trafficking (Cooper et al., 2006; Snead and Eliezer, 2010). The precise function of this protein remains enigmatic and controversial. αS is the major component of intracellular amyloid deposits known as Lewy bodies and has thus been implicated in the development and pathogenesis of neurodegenerative disorders, such as PD (Polymeropoulos et al., 1997; Spillantini et al., 1998; Gorgedt, 2001). In particular, biological membranes appear to play a key role in αS function and dysfunction (reviewed in Snead and Eliezer, 2014).

αS contains three main regions: (1) N-terminal region that mediates binding to lipid membranes; (2) a central hydrophobic non-amyloid β-component (NAC) region responsible for its self-assembly; and (3) a highly negatively charged C-terminus. Several ensemble biophysical methods, including NMR (Eliezer et al., 2001; Bussell and Eliezer, 2001; Chandra et al., 2003; Dedmon et al., 2005), electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) spectroscopy (Jao et al., 2004; Drescher et al., 2008), and CD (Chandra et al., 2003; Ferron and Deniz, 2007), have provided valuable insights into the conformational transitions in αS upon interaction with sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) micelles and/or lipid vesicles. In addition, a recent in-cell NMR study revealed that the disordered nature of monomeric αS is highly conserved in the cytoplasm of mammalian cells (Theillet et al., 2016).

A seminal FCS work from the Webb and Eliezer groups quantified the binding of αS to large unilamellar vesicles (LUVs) prepared with variable anionic lipid content, showing that electrostatic effects strongly enhance the αS-lipid interaction (Rhoades et al., 2006). In a subsequent FCS study from the Rhoades lab, this interaction was further explored as a function of different lipid compositions (anionic and saturated lipids), membrane curvature, and PD-associated mutations (Middleton and Rhoades, 2010). This work revealed a preferential binding of αS to gel-phase liposomes when compared to fluid-phase vesicles. Moreover, it reported on drastic effects of membrane curvature, underlining a stronger affinity of αS for small unilamellar vesicles (SUlVs) over LUVs. Finally, PD-associated mutations presented only minor changes in the molar membrane-partition coefficients compared to the WT protein.

To better understand the disorder-to-order transitions in αS, several studies have employed SMF methods to identify and resolve multiple coexisting populations and its structural heterogeneity. Pioneering smFRET experiments from the Deniz, Rhoades, and Subramaniam labs provided structural insights into the conformational switching between the broken and extended α-helical structures adopted by αS upon binding to SDS micelles and lipid vesicles (Ferreon et al., 2009; Trexler and Rhoades, 2009; Veldhuis et al., 2009). These works were able to distinguish between conflicting reports from ensemble measurements debating the configuration of micelle or lipid bound αS (Chandra et al., 2003; Borbat et al., 2006). SmFRET identified a broken α-helical conformation adopted by αS upon binding to SDS above the critical micelle concentration. Further, the Deniz and Rhoades labs identified that the binding surface curvature strongly modulates the helical topology of αS. Their works revealed that αS adopts an extended helical structure upon binding to low-curvature SDS or lipid surfaces, while it assumes a bent-helix conformation on highly curved SDS micelles. Further work from the Deniz group explored the effect of PD-associated mutations on αS folding by both CD and smFRET measurements (Ferreon et al., 2010). It revealed that A53T, E46K, and C-terminal truncation (residues 1–107) variants display a similar multistate folding behavior to WT protein. However, the A30P mutation (located on the membrane binding region) was found to not adopt an extended conformation at SDS concentrations near or below the critical micelle concentration. A more recent study from the same lab investigated the “two-dimensional (2D) crowding” effect on the structural transitions of αS at membrane surface (Banerjee et al., 2016). Under high “2D crowding” conditions promoted by the simultaneous membrane binding of αS and Hsp27 (a lipid-interacting chaperone), αS was found to adopt an alternative (“hidden”) conformation, which is not highly populated at chaperone-free conditions.

SmFRET work from Trexler and Rhoades also probed the aggregation-prone structures of αS under different aggregation-promoting conditions, such as low pH and in the presence of aggregation inducers (spermine and heparin; | Trexler and Rhoades, 2010). Remarkably, this work revealed that the low pH and aggregation inducers promote distinct effects on the αS structure. Briefly, the C-terminus of αS was found to structurally collapse at low pH, while minor effects were reported on the N-terminus and the central region of the protein. However, this local compaction of C-terminal region had no significant effect on the overall dimensions of αS. Meanwhile, αS binding to both heparin or spermine showed a lack of large-scale structural transitions. In addition, recent work from the Deniz lab used a combination of SMF and ensemble methods to investigate the effect of osmolytes in αS folding (Moosa et al., 2015). Contrary to the SDS folding pathway, this study supported that αS follows a two-state transition in the presence of osmolytes, consisting of rapid interconverting conformations of unfolded and forcefolded states. The effects obtained for both osmolytes and “2D crowding” support that complex cellular contexts need to be explored in order to describe the physiological folding landscape of αS. On that note, recent work from the Rhoades lab revealed that cell-surface-exposed glycans are potential cellular interactors of αS (Birol et al., 2019). This work employed FCS to quantify the interaction of monomer αS with glycans, and identified these cell exposed glycans as key modulators of αS internalization in cells.

Together, these works have identified and described the conformational landscape of αS under diverse conditions (such as membrane-bound state, PD-associated mutations, and the presence of osmolytes and “2D crowding”) and provide an outline in the future to also evaluate the impact of PTMs. In addition, future in vivo smFRET measurements could complement in-cell MNR data (although performed at different
concentration range). In particular, it will allow to determine the physical/structural features of αs under physiological and disease situations and to evaluate in vivo conditions promoting the disorder-to-order transition.

CONCLUSION REMARKS

SMF methods have been recognized as powerful and versatile approaches to investigate the heterogeneous and dynamic nature of neurodegeneration-associated IDPs, including HTTαex1, tau, and αs as discussed in this review article. These cutting-edge techniques have provided valuable insights into: (i) their monomeric states; (ii) the aggregation-prone structures of tau and αS; (iii) the disorder-to-order transition of αs upon membrane binding; and finally (iv) the formation of a “fuzzy complex” by tau bound to soluble tubulin. However, the structural interpretation of smFRET data is highly challenging for IDPs due to their heterogeneous and dynamic nature. For IDPs systems, Förster equation does not provide a direct conversation of ET in distances, and polymer physics models have been successfully applied to describe the broad distribution of donor–acceptor distances. Moreover, smFRET requires site-specific double-labeling proteins with small organic dyes through natural/mutated cysteines or genetically encoded unnatural amino acids. Meanwhile, molecular dynamics (MD) simulation provides a valuable tool to rationalize smFRET data, including to describe the dynamic movement of the dye molecule and to consider its linker, and together to provide insights into IDP conformational dynamics.

Most SMF research performed for the discussed proteins has been restricted to in vitro studies. As such, native functional interactions and the associated conformational changes in the cellular context are underinvestigated. Therefore, it is crucial to move SMF methods towards in vivo conditions. For smFRET, it requires developing new strategies for in vivo labeling proteins, as fluorescent proteins are not suitable. Recent advances by Schuler and colleagues used microinjection to deliver IDPs (recombinant protein labeled in vitro) in live mammalian cells and employed a range of SMF techniques (including smFRET) to describe their structural dynamics (Konig et al., 2015), providing a new avenue to study this challenging class of proteins. We anticipate that adapting a similar approach to the systems discussed in this review article and future advances in SMF tools and application will allow to characterize the conformational ensemble of these neuronal IDPs in vivo, and simultaneously reveal and delineate toxic structural transitions associated to their loss of function or aggregation.
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