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Kidney transplantation is the treatment of choice for patients with end-stage renal disease, as it extends survival and increases quality of life in these patients. However, chronic allograft injury continues to be a major problem, and leads to eventual graft loss. Early detection of allograft injury is essential for guiding appropriate intervention to delay or prevent irreversible damage. Several advanced MRI techniques can offer some important information regarding functional changes such as perfusion, diffusion, structural complexity, as well as oxygenation and fibrosis. This review highlights the potential of multiparametric MRI for noninvasive and comprehensive assessment of renal allograft injury.
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INTRODUCTION

Kidney transplantation is the treatment of choice for patients with end-stage renal disease, providing superior outcomes in terms of survival, quality of life, and cost-effectiveness when compared to dialysis (1). In 2015, over 18000 adult and pediatric kidney transplantation procedures, including multi-organ transplantation, were performed in the United States. Over the past 5 years, approximately 5000–6000 kidney transplantation procedures have been performed in China each year, and the number continues to rise (2, 3). Despite the advances in surgical techniques and immunosuppressive therapies, the long-term outcome of renal allografts has not improved over the last two decades. This is due, in part, to chronic allograft injury, the leading cause of renal allograft failure. Chronic allograft injury is characterized by tubular atrophy, interstitial fibrosis, glomerulosclerosis, and vascular occlusive changes that include dropout of the peritubular capillaries, leading to progressive allograft dysfunction. Early detection of allograft injury is essential to guide treatment and to delay or prevent irreversible damage to the allograft (4, 5).

Current methods for assessing allograft injury have significant limitations. Measurement of serum creatinine level and the estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) are the most commonly used methods for monitoring allograft function. However, they are known to have poor predictive value for allograft injury. By the time the serum creatinine has increased or the eGFR has reduced, the degree of allograft injury may have already become advanced and irreversible (6). There are also multiple imaging techniques for evaluating renal allografts. Ultrasonography is useful for detecting the urologic and vascular etiologies underlying allograft dysfunction. Computed tomography (CT) is commonly utilized for evaluating perinephric, vascular, and urinary tract complications involving the allograft. However,
none of these modalities can reliably diagnose allograft injury. In addition, CT is associated with the risk of ionizing radiation, and the intravenous iodinated CT contrast agent might lead to contrast-induced nephropathy in patients with reduced renal function (7). As a result, allograft biopsy remains the method of choice to diagnose allograft injury and to differentiate among the different etiologies, despite its limitations such as invasiveness, sampling errors, and the risk of complications such as bleeding, infection, and even graft loss (8). Thus, there is an urgent need to develop

Table 1. MRI Techniques Used for Evaluation of Kidney Allograft Injury

| MRI Sequence | Principle | Advantages | Disadvantage | Application |
|--------------|-----------|------------|--------------|-------------|
| Conventional DWI | Quantifies displacement of water molecules to evaluate tissue microstructure | Choice of b-values is easy, Shorter scan time | Motion-related artifacts, Information of micro-perfusion and water molecules diffusion cannot be separated | Monitor allograft function, Evaluate interstitial fibrosis and tubular atrophy |
| IVIM DWI | Separately estimates tissue micro-perfusion and water molecules diffusion to assess tissue microstructure | Evaluates micro-perfusion and water diffusion separately | Motion-related artifacts, Choice of b-values is not standardized | Monitor allograft function, Evaluate interstitial fibrosis and tubular atrophy |
| DTI | Investigates directionality of water molecular motion due to anisotropy of tissue | Accounts for directionality of water diffusion, such as along renal tubules | Chemical shifts and susceptibility image artifacts, FA is non-specific for pathophysiological change | Monitor allograft function, Evaluate interstitial fibrosis and tubular atrophy |
| DKI | Calculates non-gaussian behavior of water diffusion to more accurately reflect tissue microstructural complexity | Accounts for non-gaussian motion of water molecular | Low SNR | Evaluate interstitial fibrosis and tubular atrophy |
| BOLD | Quantifies tissue oxygenation based on paramagnetic properties of blood deoxyhemoglobin | Evaluates tissue oxygen bioavailability | R2* cannot distinguish causes of oxygenation changes | Monitor allograft function |
| ASL | Quantifies perfusion by selectively labeling inflowing blood | Evaluates tissue perfusion without exogenous contrast materials | Low SNR, Perfusion is affected by other factors such as orientation of imaging slice, and renal cortical T1 values | Monitor allograft perfusion |
| MRE | Quantifies viscoelastic properties of tissues based on their response to external mechanical vibration | Quantifies tissue fibrosis | Kidney stiffness measurement is multifactorial, and is affected by renal perfusion | Quantify renal fibrosis |
| MTI | Evaluates macromolecule (i.e., collagen) based on interactions of protons from free water and macromolecules | Quantifies tissue fibrosis | MTR is affected by structural and functional alterations besides fibrosis, Low SNR | Quantify renal fibrosis |

ASL = arterial spin labeling, BOLD = blood oxygen-level-dependent, DKI = diffusion kurtosis imaging, DTI = diffusion tensor imaging, DWI = diffusion-weighted imaging, FA = fractional anisotropy, IVIM = intravoxel incoherent motion, MRE = magnetic resonance elastography, MTI = magnetization transfer imaging, MTR = magnetization transfer ratio, SNR = signal-to-noise ratio
noninvasive and accurate approaches for diagnosing renal graft injury to guide timely intervention.

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) has shown promise in providing morphological, microstructural, and functional characterization of renal allografts. MRI does not use ionizing radiation and allows repeated imaging during follow-up of patients with renal allografts (9, 10). Various MRI techniques have been utilized to interrogate several microstructural and functional parameters in renal allografts (Table 1). This review highlights the clinical value of multiparametric MRI as a noninvasive and comprehensive modality for early diagnosis and longitudinal monitoring of renal allograft injury, and the possibility of using multiparametric MRI in predicting long-term renal allograft outcome.

**Diffusion-Weighted Imaging**

Diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) is a noninvasive method for quantifying the Brownian motion of water molecules in tissues and can provide information regarding tissue microstructure. While DWI was initially used primarily for diagnosing acute stroke, there has been increasing work on the use of DWI for tissue characterization and functional assessment of abdominal organs including the kidneys (11).

The apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) values for tissues can be derived from DWI data using monoexponential fitting. The ADC values are influenced by multiple factors such as cellularity and the presence of macromolecules. Lower ADC values are indicative of restricted diffusion of water molecules. Several studies have reported that the ADC values are higher in the cortex than the medulla in renal allografts and have suggested that this was a result of the higher blood flow in the cortex and restricted water-molecule diffusion in the medulla (12, 13). Other studies, however, have shown virtually identical cortical and medullary ADC values in renal allografts (14, 15). The reason for the discrepancy is uncertain, but it may be related to the interval between MRI and kidney transplantation, different imaging strategies, and allograft function status. Meanwhile, other studies have demonstrated a correlation between ADC values and renal allograft function (16, 17). For example, Palmucci et al. (17) found that mean allograft ADC values in patients with creatinine clearance > 60 mL/min were higher than those in patients with creatinine clearance < 30 mL/min, suggesting that ADC values could be used to predict renal function. Furthermore, several studies demonstrated that stability in allograft ADC level was associated with stable renal function during the follow-up period after transplantation (15, 18).

**Intravoxel Incoherent Motion DWI**

In biologic tissues, the intravoxel incoherent motion (IVIM) of water molecular includes both diffusion of water and microcirculation of blood in the capillary network (perfusion). Conventional DWI using a monoexponential fit model to derive the total ADC (ADCT) cannot separate the water diffusion from capillary perfusion. In contrast, IVIM-DWI using a biexponential fit model can separately estimate the tissue capillary perfusion. Specifically, biexponential fitting can be performed to obtain the pure diffusion ADC (ADCt), the pseudo-perfusion ADC (ADCP), which is mainly determined by the much faster microcirculation and perfusion, and the perfusion fraction (FP), which represents the fractional volume of capillary blood flowing in each voxel (19, 20).

An early study showed that FP was reduced in renal allografts with acute rejection (AR), suggesting the potential utility of IVIM-DWI in noninvasive monitoring of allograft injury (12). However, AR and acute tubular necrosis (ATN) of the allografts appear to show similar alterations in IVIM-DWI metrics (13). Recently, Xie et al. (21) applied reduced field of view IVIM-DWI, which has fewer artifacts and distortion and higher image in-plane resolution, to evaluate renal allografts. In that study, FP showed the best performance in evaluating graft function when compared with ADCT and ADCp, with cortical FP having a specificity of 66.7% and sensitivity of 97.1% for predicting a decline in allograft function (21). In addition, Sulkowska et al. (22) observed that IVIM diffusion parameters may not be as early or as specific as to allow for the prediction of future renal function decline of allografts; however, there was a declining trend toward diffusion values, especially cortical FP, with a decrease in allograft function. Therefore, as allograft injury progresses, allograft perfusion might be reduced earlier and affected more than water-molecule diffusion in the cortex (Fig. 1).

**Diffusion Tensor Imaging**

Since DWI only measures the diffusion motion of water molecules in the direction of diffusion-sensitive gradient fields, diffusion motion in other directions cannot be
detected. In contrast, an extension of DWI known as diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) measures the diffusion of water molecules in all directions. Specifically, DTI is acquired with diffusion gradients oriented in different directions, and by signal averaging these images to achieve a higher signal-to-noise ratio (SNR). This technique not only quantifies the freedom of water molecules to diffuse, but also calculates the preferential directions of diffusion. For example, the diffusion of water molecules along the direction of the renal medulla tubules is faster. The fractional anisotropy (FA) is used to evaluate the extent to which the diffusion exhibits a preference for direction, with values ranging from 0 to 1 (23). Based on these principles, DTI may be a promising method for detecting renal allograft pathologies such as tubular atrophy, interstitial fibrosis, and cellular infiltration, all of which involve disturbed kidney architecture and microstructure (24).

Studies in human kidneys have shown that the renal medulla has higher FA than the cortex, due to the radial orientation of vessels, tubules, and collecting ducts in the renal medulla (25, 26). Several studies have reported decreased FA values with allograft injury, such as AR, ATN, ischemia reperfusion injury, and immunological reactions, indicating allograft microstructure destruction (Fig. 2) (27-29). While some investigators did not find FA to be specific for allograft pathology (29, 30), others have shown that the medullary and cortical FA values were inversely correlated with the Banff scores that determined cellular rejection and chronicity, such as tubulitis and interstitial inflammation (31, 32). Furthermore, the highly oriented microstructure in the renal medulla results in a strong diffusion preference. Hueper et al. (33) speculated that disturbed allograft architecture changes might influence directed diffusion (FA) before global diffusion (ADC). The combination of FA and ADC analysis may allow detailed assessment of renal function changes related to allograft injury (34, 35). Taken together, these findings suggest that DTI is a promising tool for detecting allograft pathology noninvasively.

**Diffusion Kurtosis Imaging**

Diffusion kurtosis imaging (DKI) extends the conventional DTI model by considering the non-gaussian behavior of water molecules in biological systems and can potentially provide more precise and sensitive measures of microstructural complexity and diffusional heterogeneity.
DKI requires at least 3 b-values, and the maximum b-value is greater than the b-value required for DWI. In addition to the standard DTI metrics such as the mean diffusivity and FA, DKI can provide metrics related to the diffusional kurtosis, such as mean kurtosis (MK, apparent kurtosis coefficient averaged over all directions), radial kurtosis ($\kappa_\perp$, kurtosis along the radial direction), and axial kurtosis ($\kappa_\parallel$, kurtosis along the axial direction) (Fig. 3). Among these metrics, the principal one derived from DKI is MK, which is thought to be an index of microstructural complexity. The larger the MK values are, the more complicated the structure is, and the higher degree of diffusion restriction the non-gaussian distribution of water molecules is subjected to. However, there are also many factors that can cause errors in kurtosis values. These include an inhomogeneous T2 relaxation time, gradient pulse duration effects, imaging

Fig. 2. Comparison of diffusion tensor images (b0 images, FA maps, and whole-kidney tractography images) between patients with poor renal allograft function and those with and good renal allograft function.
Top images (A-C): b0 image (A), FA map (B), and whole-kidney tractography image (C) of 28-year-old woman with poor allograft function (eGFR = 10 mL/min/1.73 m²). Bottom images (D-F): b0 image (D), FA map (E), and whole-kidney tractography image (F) of 22-year-old man with good allograft function (eGFR = 99 mL/min/1.73 m²) (Image courtesy of Wenjun Fan, Lihua Chen, Wen Shen. Department of Radiology, Tianjin First Center Hospital, China).
artifacts, perfusion, inaccuracies of the fitting model, incomplete accounting for imaging gradient contributions to b-values, and noise (36-38). DKI of the body is particularly challenging due to heterogeneous body composition with resulting chemical shifts and susceptibility differences leading to image artifacts, as well as various physiological factors (e.g., breathing, heartbeat, blood flow) causing image degradation (39, 40).

Few studies have reported the application of DKI in the kidneys. Huang et al. (40) found that the medullary MK, $\kappa_\parallel$, and $\kappa_\perp$ values are higher than those of the cortex in functioning native kidneys. The corticomedullary difference in these diffusion kurtosis metrics is consistent with the presence of radially-oriented vessels, tubules, and collecting ducts in the medulla (40). In contrast, Pentang et al. (41) reported that the medullary MK is lower than cortical MK. Kjølby et al. (42) introduced the fast DKI technique and showed that it can distinguish moderately fibrotic kidneys from healthy controls in a pre-clinical model. Importantly, this technique substantially reduces some of the previously mentioned challenges of DKI in the body by lowering the data requirement so that triggering and breath-hold techniques may be applied for the entire DKI acquisition within feasible scan time (42). Recently, Liu et al. (43) also observed that MK values increased with the progression of renal fibrosis and the deterioration of renal function in immunoglobulin A nephropathy patients and showed excellent discrimination in identifying the extent of fibrosis. The precise underlying meaning of the diffusional kurtosis metrics has not been fully understood, and DKI acquisition still needs to be optimized. Thus, more studies are necessary to investigate the potential of this technique to reveal additional information on pathological alterations in the kidneys.

**Blood Oxygen-Level-Dependent MRI**

Blood oxygen-level-dependent (BOLD) MRI allows noninvasive assessment of tissue oxygenation, which is particularly relevant for the kidneys as hypoxia is strongly implicated in both acute kidney injury and chronic kidney disease (44). BOLD MRI is based on the principle that blood deoxyhemoglobin has paramagnetic properties and shortens the T2 or T2* relaxation time, and the relative levels of deoxyhemoglobin versus oxyhemoglobin in the blood determines the signal intensity of tissue on T2- or T2*-weighted images. The apparent relaxation rate denoted as R2* (1/T2*) is directly proportional to tissue...
Numerous studies have shown that the medullary R2* is higher than the cortical R2* in functioning kidneys, likely reflecting the oxygen gradient from the cortex to the inner medulla, and have demonstrated that BOLD MRI can sensitively monitor changes in renal oxygenation modulated by physiological or pharmacologic challenges (14, 46-48). For example, BOLD MRI detected a significant increase in medullary oxygen bioavailability following water loading or furosemide administration with resultant inhibition of active solute reabsorption in medullary tubules (14). Similarly, the technique detected increased medullary oxygen bioavailability following the administration of angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor or angiotensin receptor blocker with subsequent dilatation of glomerular arterioles and an increase in medullary blood supply (48). However, BOLD MRI cannot distinguish the changes in oxygenation caused by perfusion alterations from those attributed to oxygen consumption alterations.

With regard to renal allograft evaluation, prior
studies have reported variable results of BOLD MRI for differentiating among etiologies of early allograft dysfunction (Fig. 4) (49-52). For example, Sadowski et al. (49) and Han et al. (50) found that the medullary R2* of allografts with AR was significantly lower than that of normally functioning allografts or allograft with ATN, while the cortical R2* of allografts with ATN was much higher than that of normal allografts. In contrast, Park et al. (51, 52) reported that BOLD MRI is limited in characterizing the cause of early renal allograft dysfunction. Djamali et al. (53) further assessed intra-renal oxygenation in patients with chronic allograft injury and found decreased medullary and cortical R2* levels when compared with values in healthy volunteers. It was hypothesized that the reduced oxygen extraction and consumption in chronic allograft injury lead to increased oxygen bioavailability (53). Seif et al. (54) recently demonstrated that stable R2* values of the allografts were related to stable allograft function during the one-year follow-up period. Taken together, BOLD MRI extraction and consumption in chronic allograft injury allows noninvasive detection of changes in renal allograft function and shows promise in longitudinally monitoring allograft injury.

Magnetic Resonance Perfusion Imaging

Perfusion imaging to assess blood flow and perfusion deficits is an important part of renal allograft evaluation. However, perfusion imaging with gadolinium-based contrast materials places patients with impaired renal function at risk for nephrogenic systemic fibrosis (55). Additionally, recent studies have also raised concerns regarding gadolinium deposition in the brain following gadolinium-enhanced MRI (56, 57). Therefore, non-enhanced MRI techniques are gaining more attention as a safer alternative for perfusion imaging.

Arterial spin labeling (ASL) MRI uses blood as an endogenous contrast agent, allowing perfusion measurements without the administration of exogenous contrast. In ASL, the inflowing blood is selectively labeled to have an opposite magnetization compared with the tissue of interest. The signal difference between a labeled image (tag) and a non-labeled image (control) can be used to calculate tissue perfusion. As the signal difference is small, multiple acquisitions and signal averaging are necessary. ASL techniques are divided into two categories according to the labeling methods, namely continuous ASL and pulsed ASL. The former is not widely used in the clinical setting due to the high requirements on MRI hardware. Pulsed ASL, in contrast, has been applied clinically to investigate perfusion in various diseases. In pulsed ASL, magnetization vector exchange between the labeled blood and tissue is related to the time that the blood passes through the tissue. The calculation of the perfusion rate f is based on the changes in T1 of the labeled blood after perfusion through the tissue of interest. Compared to contrast-enhanced perfusion imaging, ASL is limited by a relatively lower SNR, longer scan time, and lower spatial resolution. Furthermore, quantification of perfusion with ASL can be influenced by several factors. For example, accelerated blood flow may impede the exchange rate between capillaries and tissue, leading to an underestimation of tissue perfusion. Additionally, in the context of renal allografts, the variations of renal cortical T1 values may also influence perfusion measurements (58, 59).

Multiple studies have demonstrated the potential of ASL in monitoring renal allograft perfusion (Fig. 5) (60-64). For example, Lanzman et al. (61) found significantly reduced allograft perfusion, measured by ASL, in patients with acute allograft dysfunction. Artz et al. (63) reported a positive correlation between renal cortical perfusion and eGFR in both transplanted and native kidneys, which further supported the notion that glomerular filtration is regulated by renal blood flow. In that study, renal medullary perfusion measurements appeared to be less reproducible than renal cortical perfusion measurements by ASL, which may be related to the different controlling mechanisms of cortical and medullary blood flow, and the lower blood flow with lower SNR in the medulla. A recent ASL study demonstrated that patients with delayed graft function (DGF), which is associated with long-term impaired allograft function and graft loss, had significantly lower allograft perfusion than those with normal graft function. At the 12-month follow-up, DGF patients with subsequently improved allograft function had strikingly higher graft perfusion compared to those with persistent impairment of graft function (65). The observed perfusion decrease in allografts with acute or chronic rejection was shown to be closely related to inflammation, vascular lesions, and interstitial fibrosis (66).

Several studies have also investigated renal allografts using ASL combined with other functional MRI techniques (67, 68). For example, Heusch et al. (67) showed a significant correlation between allograft perfusion measured by ASL MRI and the fraction of pseudodiffusion derived from IVIM-MRI. Ren et al. (68) reported that the combination of...
ASL MRI and IVIM-DWI had a higher area under the receiver operating characteristic curve than that of ASL MRI alone for distinguishing allografts with impaired function from those with normal function.

In summary, ASL MRI is a promising non-contrast method for assessing renal allograft perfusion. More studies are, however, needed to demonstrate its clinical value in noninvasively monitoring allograft injury and predicting outcome.

**Magnetic Resonance Elastography**

Renal fibrosis, characterized by the abnormal accumulation of macrophages, myofibroblasts and the deposition of fibrotic interstitial matrix, is the common final pathway for various forms of kidney disease. As with native kidneys, the long-term outcome of renal allografts is largely predicted by the degree of interstitial fibrosis (69-71).

Magnetic resonance elastography (MRE) can noninvasively interrogate tissue stiffness by imaging the viscoelastic properties of tissues based on their response to external mechanical vibration. MRE is performed by using an external vibration source to generate low-frequency mechanical waves in tissues of interest, imaging the propagating waves using a phase-contrast MRI technique, and then processing the wave information to generate quantitative images of tissue stiffness (Fig. 6). There are several analysis techniques used for measuring the shear mechanical properties of tissues, including wavelength estimation (most commonly used in the kidneys), direct inversion, and non-linear inversion. Several MRE metrics are obtained through wavelength estimation, including the elastic shear modulus $G$ which represents a simple and intuitive measurement of the wavelength, and complex shear modulus $G^*$ which determines both the elastic and viscous (i.e., viscoelastic) tissue properties. The magnitude $|G^*|$ of the complex modulus $G^*$ of the tissue is most similar to information provided by manual palpation and reflects the total tissue stiffness.
response to shear wave. MRE is already used widely for imaging liver fibrosis in the clinic. It has also been applied to several other organs, including the kidney, spleen, brain, pancreas, and uterus (72-75).

Lee et al. (76) performed the initial studies of MRE in renal allografts in 11 subjects and did not find a significant correlation between stiffness measured by MRE and fibrosis assessed by histopathology. A subsequent study by Marticorena Garcia et al. (77) reported that the renal stiffness measured by MRE was significantly lower in nonfunctioning renal allografts compared to that in functioning allografts and was correlated with glomerular filtration rate and the resistive index (77). In contrast, a recent study by Kirpalani et al. (78) demonstrated a moderately positive correlation between allograft stiffness and biopsy-derived fibrosis score. These discrepant results...
may be explained by the fact that the allograft stiffness measured by MRE may reflect a combination of fibrosis and perfusion pressure. For example, in a poorly functioning allograft, the presence of fibrosis can increase stiffness while the reduced perfusion can reduce stiffness. Therefore, MRE-measured stiffness may not directly correlate with the degree of fibrosis at histology. Incorporation of perfusion measures may improve the interpretation of observed stiffness measured by MRE and its relationship to histological fibrosis.

**Magnetization Transfer Imaging**

Magnetization transfer imaging (MTI) can investigate the macromolecule content in tissue based on the interactions of protons from free water and macromolecules. In MTI, off-resonance radiofrequency (RF) pulses are applied to saturate the macromolecular protons and then to acquire the free water proton magnetic resonance (MR) signal at a time sufficient for proton exchange between the two proton pools. The technique is sensitive to the presence of large and immobile macromolecules, such as collagens, in tissues, and can be quantified by measuring signal intensity changes with or without RF saturation, termed as magnetization transfer ratio (MTR). In the context of the kidneys, it provides a novel strategy to evaluate the presence of fibrosis (79).

At present, there are only a limited number of studies on MTI of kidneys. Kline et al. (80) utilized MTI to investigate tissue remodeling in a murine model of autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease and demonstrated a high correlation between MTR and histology-derived cystic and fibrotic changes in the kidneys. In a model of unilateral ureteral obstruction, Wang et al. (81) showed that the renal MTR decreased significantly in the obstructed kidneys. More recently, Jiang et al. (82) showed that MTI at a high field strength (16.4T) can be used to measure and longitudinally monitor the progression of renal fibrosis in mice with unilateral renal artery stenosis. There was a good correlation between MTR and fibrosis measured ex vivo. Nevertheless, factors other than fibrosis, such as reduced renal perfusion, accumulation of other extracellular proteins, and inflammatory cell infiltration might also affect MTR measurements, and further studies are needed to investigate their influence on MTI. In the same study, the investigators also found that regions with excessive collagen deposition on MTR maps also exhibited hypoxia on R2* maps from BOLD MRI and that the hypoxia appeared earlier and was more extensive than renal fibrosis. These findings suggest that BOLD MRI can provide complementary information to MTI, and that hypoxic but nonfibrotic regions may represent zones of more readily reversible renal injury (82). The same group of investigators further demonstrated the feasibility of MTI for detecting renal fibrosis at clinical field strength (3T) in a swine model of renal artery stenosis (83). This suggests that MTI may potentially be clinically applicable and useful for detection and monitoring of renal pathology, including renal allograft injury.

**Future Perspectives**

Although functional MRI techniques enable noninvasive quantitative assessment of allograft injury and are gradually increasing their clinical position, knowledge of the application of functional MRI techniques in allograft injury is still insufficient and urgent. In addition, there are many unsolved problems in this field. First, the sample size of most currently published studies was not sufficient, and the studies mostly demonstrated the feasibility and reproducibility of functional MRI techniques in the evaluation of allograft injury. Large clinical studies are urgently needed. Second, the correlation of histopathologic outcomes and quantitative functional MR parameters needs to be further defined to more precisely understand and interpret the functional MRI findings. Third, some functional MR techniques in the kidney, such as DKI, MRE, and MTI, need to be further optimized and the precise underlying meaning of the corresponding parameters has not been fully understood. Finally, the application value of single functional MRI techniques in allograft injury is limited. The combination of multiple functional MRI evaluations for allograft injury and the potential link among different parameters remains to be explored. Future studies should focus on these unsolved problems and provide valid evidence to the public.

**CONCLUSION**

In conclusion, the various functional MRI techniques discussed above have shown promise for noninvasive monitoring of renal allograft injury, which is essential for guiding appropriate interventions to delay or prevent irreversible damage. In particular, advanced functional MR techniques provide new ways for quantification of
renal fibrosis, which predicts poor allograft outcome. More detailed assessments are needed to translate these novel techniques from research tools into clinical practices to improve the care of patients with renal allografts.
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