Impact of the Rastall parameter on perfect fluid spheres
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We examine the effects of the Rastall parameter on the behaviour of spherically symmetric static distributions of perfect fluid matter. It was claimed by Visser [ arXiv:1711.11500 ] that the Rastall proposition is completely equivalent to the Einstein theory. While many authors demonstrated the objection, our intention is to analyze the properties of Rastall gravity through variation of the Rastall parameter in the context of perfect fluids spheres that may be used to model neutron stars or cold fluid planets. This analysis also serves to counter the claim that Rastall gravity is equivalent to the standard Einstein theory. It turns out that the condition of pressure isotropy is exactly the same as for Einstein gravity and hence that any known solution of the Einstein equations may be used to study the effects of the Rastall dynamical quantities. Moreover, by choosing the well studied Tolman metrics, we discover that in the majority of cases there is substantial deviation from the Einstein case when the Rastall parameter vanishes and in cases where the Einstein model displays defective behaviour, certain Rastall models obey the well known elementary requirements for physical plausibility.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The accelerated expansion of the universe plays an important role in the dynamical history of our universe. There exists strong evidence that the universe has passed through an inflationary phase at early times and there has been increasing substantiation of the late-time cosmic acceleration through a large variety of observational data. Some of these include the Type-Ia supernovae [1], Baryon Acoustic Oscillations [2] and the Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) in the Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) [3]. What mysterious force is actually responsible for the acceleration remains an open and tantalizing question. To date, there is no competing model of the standard theory that has adequately addressed this problem and this situation motivates the requirement for alternatives. Many suggestions have been made, among which the Λ-cold-dark-matter (ΛCDM) model has been widely applied to the interpretation of a range of cosmologically-oriented observations. Following this philosophy, several other models have been proposed to incorporate the cosmic acceleration, namely, generalizations of the Chaplygin gas, quintessence fields and so-called tachyon models. A simple way to parameterize the dark energy model is to consider an equation of state (EOS) \( \omega = p/\rho \); where \( \rho \) is the spatially homogeneous pressure and \( p \) is the dark energy density.

Another possibility requires the generalization of Einstein’s theory of gravity, that is, one starts from the curvature description of gravity. Mainly, modifications of gravity include models where the Einstein-Hilbert Lagrangian is supplemented with additional curvature terms \( f(R, R_{\mu\nu}R^{\mu\nu}, R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}R^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}, G, \ldots) \). In particular, the Gauss-Bonnet \([4, 5]\) invariant \( G = R^2 - 4R_{\mu\nu}R^{\mu\nu} + R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}R^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \) and is a special case of the more general Lovelock polynomials \([6, 7]\). In this context, a class of theories, called \( f(R) \)-gravity, where \( f(R) \) is a generic function of the Ricci scalar \( R \), plays an important role as a modification of the Einstein-Hilbert gravitational Lagrangian density, first considered by [8]. As a result, it attracted serious attention because of its greater geometrical degrees of freedom instead of searching for new material ingredients, and is further developed in [9–11]. Furthermore, there are also different classes of modified gravity theories such as so-called \( f(R, T) \) theories of gravity, where \( R \) is the Ricci scalar and \( T \) is the trace of the energy-momentum tensor have provided a number of extremely interesting results on both cosmological \([12–20]\) and astrophysical \([21–23]\) scales. These proposals are considered as phenomenological motivations in terms of compelling consequences, such as a violation of one or more energy conditions, incompatible with the Newtonian regime.

From a mathematical point of view, modifications of gravity were related to represent the gravitational field behaviour near curvature singularities and possible to create some first order approximation for the quantum theory of gravitational fields. In the curvature-matter gravity theory, when the covariant divergence of the energy-momentum tensor is non-zero, matter and geometry fields are coupled to each other in a non-minimal way. In this connection a specific application to the modification of Einstein’s theory was proposed by P. Rastall in 1972 \([24, 25]\), where the covariant divergence of energy-momentum tensor proportional to the covari-
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The matter has been adequately dealt with by Darabi that the Rastall theory of gravity is not to lend credence to the views against those of Visser \[34\].

The review written by Delgaty and Lake \[33\] of over valid and satisfy some basic requirements such as regularity within the interior, existence of a vanishing pressure boundary surface, energy conditions, causality, etc. The review written by Delgaty and Lake \[33\] of over 130 solutions reveals that only nine could be classified as physically relevant satisfying elementary physical conditions.

The main motivation for this empirical investigation is to lend credence to the views against those of Visser \[34\] that the 45 year old Rastall theory of gravity is not more than the Einstein equations in different garb.

To date the number of solutions is large \[32\] and growing, but among them only few solutions are physically valid and satisfy some basic requirements such as regularity within the interior, existence of a vanishing pressure boundary surface, energy conditions, causality, etc. The review written by Delgaty and Lake \[33\] of over 130 solutions reveals that only nine could be classified as physically relevant satisfying elementary physical conditions.

II. THE RASTALL THEORY OF GRAVITY

Here, we start from the short introduction to Rastall theory of gravity, which was introduced by P. Rastall \[24, 25\]. The starting point of this hypothesis lying on the fact that $T^{ab}_{\gamma} \neq 0$, i.e., the usual conservation law of the energy momentum tensor does not hold. Based on the Rastall’s theory the energy momentum tensor can be determined as

$$T^{\mu\nu}_{\text{eff}} = \alpha R^{\nu},$$

where $R$ is Ricci scalar, and the Rastall parameter $\alpha$ which quantifies the deviation from the Einstein theory of General Relativity (GR). Thus, a non-minimal coupling of matter fields to geometry is considered such that the usual conservation law is recovered in the flat spacetime, which leads to the modification of Einstein’s tensor as

$$G_{\mu\nu} + \gamma g_{\mu\nu} R = \kappa T_{\text{eff}}^{ab},$$

where $\gamma = k\alpha$ and $k$ is the Rastall gravitational coupling constant. Eventually, one can express the above equation in the following form

$$G_{\mu\nu} = \kappa T_{\text{eff}}^{\mu\nu},$$

where $T_{\text{eff}}^{\mu\nu}$ is the effective energy-momentum tensor defined as

$$T_{\text{eff}}^{\mu\nu} = T_{\mu\nu} - \frac{\gamma T}{4\gamma - 1} g_{\mu\nu}.$$

The expression for $T_{\text{eff}}^{\mu\nu}$ is given by \[31\]

$$S_0 \equiv -\rho_{\text{eff}} = -\frac{(3\gamma - 1)\rho + \gamma(\rho_r + 2p_t)}{4\gamma - 1},$$

$$S_1 \equiv p_r^{\text{eff}} = \frac{(3\gamma - 1)p_r + \gamma(\rho - 2p_t)}{4\gamma - 1},$$

$$S_2 = S_3 \equiv p_t^{\text{eff}} = \frac{(2\gamma - 1)p_t + \gamma(\rho - p_r)}{4\gamma - 1},$$

where $\rho$ is the energy density, $p_r$ and $p_t$ are the radial and tangential pressures, respectively which are in general different ($p_r \neq p_t$). It is to be noted that the energy-momentum tensor is conserved when $\alpha \to 0$ as in the case of general relativity. Also, for a traceless energy-momentum source, such as the electromagnetic source, the Eq. (3), leads to $T_{\text{eff}}^{\mu\nu} = T_{\mu\nu}$, and it benefits from the fact that standard Einstein gravity is again recovered. In this regard, the Einstein solutions for $T = 0$, or equivalently $R = 0$, are also valid in the Rastall theory of $\kappa$. Another aspect which we should note from the definitions of the Newtonian limit is that the Rastall parameter $\alpha$ and gravitational coupling constant $\kappa$ diverge at $\gamma = 1/4$. 

The plan of the paper is as follows: We introduce a brief review of Rastall gravity in Section II. We derive the field equation evolution of perfect fluid matter in Rastall theory in Section III. In the next section, after referring to the Rastall theory we study all Tolman solutions, and examine the dynamical properties between Einstein theory and Rastall theory in Section IV. Finally we conclude in the last section.
and \( \gamma = 1/6 \), respectively, which does not conform to physical reality [31].

Indeed, it is more commonly assumed that \( \kappa = 1 \), then \( \gamma = \alpha = 1 \), which is what we are assuming here in this system of units. Now that the units have been clarified the Rastall field Eqs. (3) and (4), may be written as

\[
G_{\mu\nu} = T_{\mu\nu} - \frac{\alpha T}{4\alpha - 1} g_{\mu\nu},
\]

where \( \alpha = 1 \), which is what we are assuming here in this system of units. Now that the units have been clarified the Rastall field Eqs. (3) and (4), may be written as

\[
G_{\mu\nu} = T_{\mu\nu} - \frac{\alpha T}{4\alpha - 1} g_{\mu\nu},
\]

Note that when one sets \( \alpha \) to zero, one gets the original TOV equations for general relativistic quantities.

III. FIELD EQUATIONS

In order to facilitate a direct comparison with the work of Tolman, we follow his conventions. We begin with the static spherically symmetric metric in Schwarzschild-like coordinates \((t, r, \theta, \phi)\), which is given by the following line element

\[
ds^2 = -e^{\nu(r)} dt^2 + e^{\lambda(r)} dr^2 + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2),
\]

where the gravitational potentials \( \nu \) and \( \lambda \) are functions of the radial coordinate \( r \) only. We utilise a comoving fluid 4-velocity \( u^\mu = e^{-\nu/2} \delta^\mu_0 \) and consider a perfect fluid source with energy momentum tensor \( T_{\mu\nu} = (\rho + p)u_\mu u_\nu + pg_{\mu\nu} \), where the Greek indices \( \mu \) and \( \nu \) run from 0 to 3. Here the quantity \( \rho \) is the energy-density and \( p \) is the isotropic pressure, respectively.

Substituting the non-vanishing trace part of the total energy-momentum tensor into Eq. (4), and re-organizing the resulting terms we end up with the following effective set of the Rastall field equations

\[
\frac{(4\alpha - 1)e^{-\lambda}}{r^2} (1 - r\lambda' + \lambda^2) = -3\alpha p - (3\alpha - 1)\rho,
\]

\[
\frac{(4\alpha - 1)e^{-\lambda}}{r^2} (1 + rv' - \lambda^2) = (\alpha - 1)p + \alpha \rho,
\]

\[
r^2(2\nu'' + \nu'^2 + \nu'\lambda') - 2r(\nu' + \lambda') + 4(e^\lambda - 1) = 0.
\]

where Eq.(12) is the equation of pressure isotropy identical to that of standard Einstein theory. This means that any of the roughly 120 exact solutions reported in the literature may be used to study the Rastall theory of gravity in the case of compact objects. The energy density and pressure may be expressed independently as

\[
\rho = \frac{e^{-\lambda}}{r^2} (-\lambda_1 - (\alpha - 1)r\lambda' + 3\alpha rv'),
\]

\[
p = \frac{e^{-\lambda}}{r^2} (\lambda_1 + \alpha r\lambda' - (3\alpha - 1)rv').
\]

where we have defined a new variable \( \lambda_1 = (4\alpha - 1)(e^\lambda - 1) \). Note that the inertial mass density \( \rho + p \) is given by

\[
\rho + p = \frac{e^{-\lambda}(\nu' + \lambda')}{r}
\]

which is independent of the Rastall parameter, \( \alpha \).

IV. THE TOLMAN SOLUTIONS

In the present study we have three independent field equations mentioned above, with four unknowns \( \lambda, \nu, \rho \) and \( p \), as functions of \( r \) as in the standard theory. A promising avenue to solve the system of equations, Tolman [36] developed a method to obtain an exact analytic solution to the spherically symmetric, static Einstein equations with a perfect fluid source, in terms of known analytic functions. Specifically, we proceed the same approach, and derive all the Tolman Solutions in Rastall gravity, in order to compare with general relativity. The entire analysis has been performed to examine the behaviour of the energy density, pressure, velocity of sound and figure out possible the mass profile. Note also that it is customary to neglect the cosmological constant in astrophysical scales.

A. Tolman I metric (Einstein Universe)

Tolman commenced with Einstein’s assumption of a constant temporal potential: \( e^{\nu} = \text{const.} = c^2 \) for some constant \( c \). The remaining metric potential is then found to be \( e^\lambda = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{2\rho}{R^2}} \) where \( R \) is another constant. Accordingly the dynamical quantities show \( \rho = \frac{3}{R^2} \) and \( p = -\frac{1}{R^2} \).

In Rastall gravity the density and pressure are calculated as \( \rho = \frac{3 - 6\alpha}{R^2} \) and \( p = \frac{6\alpha - 1}{R^2} \). Positivity of both demands \( \frac{1}{6} < \alpha < \frac{1}{2} \). The energy conditions assume the forms \( \rho - p = \frac{4 - 12\alpha}{R^2} \), \( \rho + p = \frac{2}{R^2} \) and \( \rho + 3p = \frac{12\alpha}{R^2} \). Ensuring these quantities remain positive yields \( \alpha \) as \( \frac{1}{6} < \alpha < \frac{1}{2} \). The mass function is then determined as \( M = \frac{(1 - 2\alpha)c^3}{R^2} \) and its positivity is guaranteed through \( \alpha < \frac{1}{2} \). In view of the unrealistic constant density and pressure, there is a small window in studying this case further.
B. Tolman II metric (Schwarzschild–de Sitter)

With the prescription $e^{-\lambda-\nu} = \text{constant}$ Tolman obtained the potentials $e^\lambda = \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r} - \frac{r^2}{\ell^2}\right)^{-1}$ and $e^\nu = e^2 \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r} - \frac{r^2}{\ell^2}\right)$. The density and pressure emerge as $\rho = \frac{3}{\ell^2}$ and $p = -\frac{3}{\ell^2}$. The equation of state $\rho + p = 0$ is evident and this is characteristic of dark energy models.

The situation in Rastall theory is similar. The density and pressure are found to be $\rho = \frac{3(1-2\alpha)}{\ell^2}$ and $p = \frac{(3(4\alpha - 1))}{\ell^2}$ respectively. The weak and dominant energy conditions yield $\rho - p = \frac{6(1-4\alpha)}{\ell^2}$ and $\rho + 3p = \frac{6(4\alpha - 1)}{\ell^2}$. Clearly both cannot be simultaneously positive so there is a violation of the basic energy conditions. The equation of state $\rho + p = 0$ is still valid. The sound speed is meaningless and the mass profile obeys $M = \frac{(1-4\alpha)r^2}{2}$. A positive mass requires $\alpha < \frac{1}{3}$. However, this causes a negative pressure hence this case is not feasible in Rastall theory. In the standard Einstein theory it represents the gravitational field exterior to a spherical body with a cosmological constant.

C. Tolman III metric: Schwarzschild Interior

Invoking the ansatz $e^{-\lambda} = 1 - \frac{r^2}{\ell^2}$ Tolman obtained the temporal potential as $e^\nu = \left[A - B \left(1 - \frac{r^2}{\ell^2}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$. In the Rastall framework, the density and pressure have the form

$$m = 3 \left(\frac{2\alpha A^2r}{B^2} + \frac{2\alpha A^2R^2B\beta_3}{B^3\sqrt{r^2 - R^2}} + \frac{\alpha AR\Pi_3}{B^3} - \frac{2\alpha A^2R\sqrt{A^2 - B^2}\gamma_3}{B^3} + \frac{\alpha Ar\sqrt{1 - \frac{r^2}{\ell^2}}}{B} - \frac{(4\alpha - 1)r^3}{3R^2}\right).$$

Note we have used the notations

$$\beta_3 = \sqrt{A^2 - B^2}\sqrt{1 - \frac{r^2}{\ell^2}} \tanh^{-1}\left(\frac{A - B\sqrt{r^2 - R^2}}{\sqrt{A^2 - B^2}\sqrt{r^2 - R^2}}\right), \quad \gamma_3 = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{Br}{R\sqrt{A^2 - B^2}}\right), \quad \Pi_3 = \left(2A^2 - B^2\right)\sin^{-1}\left(\frac{r}{R}\right)$$

for simplicity. We observe the richer behavior in the Rastall version of the physical quantities compared to the Einstein one. In particular, note that the density is not constant in general for these metric potentials compared with the standard theory. The results of the standard case are regained for $\alpha = 0$. For a comparative analysis of the impact of the Rastall parameter, we make plots for graphical illustrations. Throughout this work, a thick solid line represents the Einstein case, while the other curves correspond to different values of $\alpha$ as follows: dotted ($\alpha = 0.25$), dashed ($\alpha = 0.5$), dotted-dashed ($\alpha = 2$) and thin line ($\alpha = -2$). The question of what metric generates a constant density fluid sphere in Rastall gravity is still open and will be addressed in a different article.
Fig. 1 depicts the familiar constant energy density of the Schwarzschild interior solution. However, the Rastall model displays distinct behaviours which exhibit monotonically decreasing functions for positive $\alpha$. The pressure is shown in Fig. 2. We observe that the Einstein and two Rastall cases show similar behaviour for $\alpha = 0.25$ and $2$. Note that the curves reach a zero pressure surface for some radial value. The sound speed is causal for the Rastall case $\alpha = 2$ while it is infinite in the Einstein theory. Figs. 4, 5, 6 show the energy conditions. The Einstein case violates the weak energy condition, while some Rastall cases satisfy all energy conditions. The mass profiles in Fig 7 are suitable in all models except one Rastall.
case in which the mass is negative.

D. Tolman IV metric

The assumption $\frac{e^\nu}{2r} = \text{const.}$ generated the metric potentials

$$e^\lambda = \frac{1 + \frac{2r^2}{2\alpha}}{(1 + \frac{r^2}{2\alpha})(1 - \frac{r^2}{2\alpha})},$$
$$e^\nu = B^2 \left( 1 + \frac{r^2}{A^2} \right),$$  \hspace{1cm} (23)

where $A$, $B$ and $R$ are constants. Within the framework of the Rastall theory the dynamical quantities are given by

$$\rho = V^{-1} \left( (3 - 6\alpha)A^4 + A^2 \left( 7 - 22\alpha \right) r^2 + 3R^2 \right)$$
$$+ 2r^2 \left( (3 - 12\alpha) r^2 + (2\alpha + 1) R^2 \right),$$  \hspace{1cm} (24)

and

$$p = V^{-1} \left( (6\alpha - 1)A^4 + A^2 \left( 22\alpha - 5 \right) r^2 + R^2 \right)$$
$$+ 2r^2 \left( 3(4\alpha - 1) r^2 + (1 - 2\alpha) R^2 \right),$$  \hspace{1cm} (25)

where we have defined $V = R^2 \left( A^2 + 2r^2 \right)^2$. The sound speed squared has the form

$$\frac{dp}{d\rho} = \frac{(2\alpha + 1)A^2 + 2(1 - 2\alpha)r^2}{(5 - 2\alpha)A^2 + 2(2\alpha + 1)r^2},$$  \hspace{1cm} (26)

while the energy conditions are

$$\rho - p = V^{-1} \left( 2 \left( (2 - 6\alpha)A^4 + A^2 \left( (6 - 22\alpha) r^2 + R^2 \right) + (6 - 24\alpha) r^4 + 4\alpha r^2 R^2 \right) \right),$$  \hspace{1cm} (27)
$$\rho + p = V^{-1} \left( 2 \left( A^2 + r^2 \right) \left( A^2 + 2R^2 \right) \right),$$  \hspace{1cm} (28)
$$\rho + 3p = V^{-1} \left( 2 \left( 6\alpha A^4 + A^2 \left( (22\alpha - 4)r^2 + 3R^2 \right) + 2r^2 \left( 3(4\alpha - 1)r^2 - 2(\alpha - 1)R^2 \right) \right) \right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (29)

The stellar mass varies as the function

$$m(r) = V_1^{-1} \left( 6\alpha A^4 r + A^2 \left( 12\alpha r^2 - 8(\alpha - 1)r^3 \right) - 3\sqrt{2}\alpha Aw + 8r^3 \left( (1 - 4\alpha)r^2 + (2\alpha + 1)R^2 \right) \right),$$  \hspace{1cm} (30)

in geometric units and where we have defined $V_1 = 8R^2(A^2 + r^2)$ and $w(r) = A^2 + 2r^2 \left( A^2 + 2R^2 \right) \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{\sqrt{2r}}{A} \right)$. We have utilised the parameter values $A = B = 1$ and $R = 2$ to generate the plots in Mathematica XI [?].

For this case, it is noted that the Einstein model is very well behaved. There exists a pressure free surface at $r = 1$ geometric units and within this bound (Fig 9), the density (Fig 8) and energy expressions (Fig 10, 11, 12) are all positive. The sound speed (Fig 10) is causal having a value between 0 and unity. It is not easy to integrate out the mass function explicitly. It must also be observed that for all Rastall parameters except $\alpha = -2$, generally pleasing physical behaviour is evident. While some of the Rastall models are superluminal in certain regions where the case $\alpha = 0.25$ and 2 satisfy the causality criterion at all points in the interior.
FIG. 8: Plot of energy density ($\rho$) versus radius ($r$): Tolman IV

FIG. 9: Plot of pressure ($p$) versus radius ($r$): Tolman IV

FIG. 10: Sound speed versus radius ($r$): Tolman IV

FIG. 11: Weak energy condition versus radius ($r$): Tolman IV

FIG. 12: Strong energy condition versus radius ($r$): Tolman IV

FIG. 13: Dominant energy condition versus radius ($r$): Tolman IV
E. Tolman V metric

In this case, Tolman assumes \( e^\nu = \text{const.} r^{2n} \) which generates the potentials of the form:

\[
e^\lambda = \frac{1 + 2n - n^2}{1 - (1 + 2n - n^2)(\frac{r}{R})^N} \quad \text{and} \quad e^\nu = B^2 r^{2n},
\]

where we have defined \( n, N = \frac{2(1+2n-n^2)}{n+1} \), \( R \) and \( B \) are constants. The dynamical quantities in Rastall gravity take the form

\[
\rho = \frac{(v + 1) \left( -\frac{2(\alpha - 1)(n^2 - 2\alpha - 1)}{(n+1)(n+1)} + (4\alpha - 1) \left( 1 - \frac{-n^2 + 2n + 1}{v+1} \right) + 6\alpha n \right)}{(-n^2 + 2n + 1) r^2},
\]

\[
p = \frac{(v + 1) \left( 2\alpha(n^2 - 2n - 1) + (4\alpha - 1) \left( \frac{-n^2 + 2n + 1}{v+1} - 1 \right) + (2 - 6\alpha) n \right)}{(-n^2 + 2n + 1) r^2},
\]

where \( v = (n^2 - 2n - 1) \left( \frac{r}{R} \right)^{\frac{2n^2 - n - 2}{n+1}} \). The sound speed squared is given by

\[
\frac{dp}{d\rho} = W_2^{-1} \left( -2(2\alpha - 1)n^5 w_1 - (2\alpha + 3)n^4 w_1 + 2\alpha (w_2 - 3w_1) + 2n^2 (-3\alpha w_2 + w_2 + 1) \\
+ n (w_2 - 20\alpha w_1 + 4w_1) + n^3 (-4\alpha (w_2 - 8w_1) + w_2 - 6w_1 + w_1) \right),
\]

\[
W_2 = \left( 2(2\alpha + 1)n^5 w_1 + (2\alpha - 11)n^4 w_1 - 2\alpha w_2 + 6n^2 (\alpha w_2 + w_1) + n (3w_2 + 20\alpha w_1 - 8w_1) \\
+ 2w_2 + n^3 (4\alpha (w_2 - 8w_1) - w_2 + 14w_1) + 6\alpha w_1 - 3w_1 \right),
\]

where we have defined a new variable \( W_2 \) and \( w_1 = \left( \frac{r}{R} \right)^{\frac{4n^2}{n+1}} \) and \( w_2 = \left( \frac{r}{R} \right)^{\frac{2n^2}{n+1}} \). The expressions governing the energy conditions assume the forms

\[
\rho - p = -W_1^{-1} \left( 2(4\alpha n^2 w_1 + n^2 (2\alpha (w_2 - 13w_1) + 6w_1) + n (-2\alpha (w_2 + 13w_1) + w_2 + 8w_1) \\
+ n^3 (4\alpha w_2 - w_2 + 6\alpha w_1 - 4w_1) - 2(3\alpha - 1) w_1 \right),
\]

\[
\rho + p = - \left( 2(2n^4 w_1 - 5n^3 w_1 + n^2 (w_2 - w_1) + n (w_2 + 3w_1) + w_1) \right),
\]

\[
\rho + 3p = \left( 2(4\alpha - 1)n^4 w_1 - n (2\alpha (w_2 + 13w_1) + w_2 - 2w_1) + 2n^2 (\alpha (w_2 - 13w_1) \\
- w_2 + 4w_1) + n^3 (4\alpha w_2 - w_2 + 6\alpha w_1 + 6w_1) - 6\alpha w_1 \right),
\]

where we have defined \( W_1 = (n + 1) w_2 (n^2 - 2n - 1)^2 \); \( m(r) = W^{-1} \left( n(n - 3)r(-2\alpha + (4\alpha - 1)n + 2)w_2 \\
- ((n^2 - 2n - 1) r(6\alpha + 2\alpha n + n - 3)w_1) \right) \).
FIG. 14: Energy density (\(\rho\)) versus radius (\(r\)): Tolman V

plots have been constructed using the parameter values \(n = 2\), \(B = 1\) and \(R = 2\). Tolman’s choice \(n = \frac{1}{2}\) did not generate physically reasonable plots and was accordingly abandoned.

FIG. 15: Pressure (\(p\)) versus radius (\(r\)): Tolman V

For this Tolman model, causality (Fig 16) and weak energy (Fig 17) violation emerge within the distribution in the Einstein case. The energy density (Fig 14) and pressure (Fig 15) are reasonably behaved, with a surface of vanishing pressure. What is interesting in this model is that the Rastall case \(\alpha = 0.25\) conforms to all the elementary physical demands including consistent subluminal

FIG. 16: Sound speed versus radius (\(r\)): Tolman V

FIG. 17: Weak energy condition versus radius (\(r\)): Tolman V
and where the Rastall sphere bears a greater resemblance to physical reality similar to that the Einstein sphere.

F. Tolman VI metric

The prescription $e^{-\lambda} = \text{const.} = \frac{1}{2-n^2}$, $n$ a constant, produces the temporal potential $e^\nu = (A r^{1-n} - B r^{n+1})^2$ where $A$ and $B$ are integration constants.

In the context of Rastall theory, the dynamical quantities assume the forms

$$
\rho = \frac{1}{(n^2 - 2) r^2 (A - B r^{2n})} \times
\left( A \left( -2\alpha + (1 - 4\alpha) n^2 + 6\alpha n - 1 \right) + B (n + 1) (2\alpha + (4\alpha - 1) n + 1) r^{2n} \right),
$$

and

$$
p = \frac{A (n - 1) (\beta_1 + 1) - B (n + 1) (\beta_2 - 1) r^{2n}}{(n^2 - 2) r^2 (A - B r^{2n})},
$$

where we have put $\beta_1 = (-2\alpha + (4\alpha - 1)n)$ and $\beta_2 = (2\alpha + (4\alpha - 1)n)$. The sound speed parameter is given by

$$
\frac{dp}{d\rho} = \frac{Z + B^2 (n + 1) (\beta_2 - 1) r^{2n}}{Z + B^2 (n + 1) (\beta_2 + 1) r^{2n}},
$$

(42)

where we have defined

$$
Z = A^2 (n - 1) (\beta_1 + 1) + 2(2\alpha - 1) A B (n^2 - 1) r^{2n}.
$$

(43)

The energy conditions may be studied with the help of the expressions

$$
\rho - p = -\frac{2 (A (n - 1) \beta_1 - B (n + 1) \beta_2 r^{2n})}{(n^2 - 2) r^2 (A - B r^{2n})}
$$

(44)

$$
\rho + p = \frac{2 (A (n - 1) + B (n + 1) r^{2n})}{(n^2 - 2) r^2 (A - B r^{2n})}
$$

(45)

$$
\rho + 3p = \frac{2 (A (n - 1) (\beta_1 + 2) - B (n + 1)(\beta_2 - 2) r^{2n})}{(n^2 - 2) r^2 (A - B r^{2n})}
$$

(46)

while the mass behaviour given by

$$
M = \frac{1}{n^2 - 2} \left( r^{12\alpha n^2} F_1 \left( \frac{1}{2n}; 1; 1 + \frac{B r^{2n}}{A} \right) - (n + 1)(2\alpha + (4\alpha - 1)n + 1) \right)
$$

(47)

which is formulated in terms of the hypergeometric function $F_1$. For special cases of $n$ elementary functions result.

In order to plot the various dynamical quantities we have used the more general form of the Tolman ansatz that is $e^\lambda = K$ for some constant $K$. The selected parameter values were $A = B = 1$ and $K = 1.5$.

We find that in the Einstein case the density (Fig 20) is positive, while the pressure (Fig 21) is negative for the same parameter values. This is not preferable when modeling stars. Moreover, the sound speed (Fig 22) demonstrates the existence of asymptotes - again these singularities are not expected in regular distributions. In contrary to the Rastall case, $\alpha = 0.25$ displays satisfactorily
physical behaviour. Density and pressure are positive, sound speed obeys causality and the energy conditions (Fig 23, 24, 25) are satisfied. It is possible to obtain the mass profile (Fig 26) explicitly only for the Einstein case and evidently the mass varies linearly with increasing radius. Here is another example where a Rastall fluid sphere is well behaved in comparison with its Einstein counterpart.

**FIG. 21:** Pressure \((p)\) versus radius \((r)\): Tolman VI

**FIG. 22:** Sound speed versus radius \((r)\): Tolman VI

**FIG. 23:** Weak energy condition versus radius \((r)\): Tolman VI

**FIG. 24:** Strong energy condition versus radius \((r)\): Tolman VI

**FIG. 25:** Dominant energy condition versus radius \((r)\): Tolman VI

**FIG. 26:** Dominant energy condition versus radius \((r)\): Tolman VI
G. Extended Tolman VII metric

Tolman’s assumed spatial potential $e^{-\lambda} = 1 - \frac{r^2}{C} + \frac{4r^4}{A^2}$ yields the metric potential

$$e^\nu = B^2 \left[ \sin \left( \log \left( \frac{e^{-\frac{2}{3}} + 2r^2/A^2 - A^2/4R^2}{C} \right) \right) \right]^2.$$ 

Despite the polynomial assumption that Tolman made, the solution became lengthy and intractable. For this reason, it was not included in his paper. To find the expanded solution, we substitute the form for $\lambda$ into the isotropy equation (12) to give

$$e^\nu = H (K \cos f + \sin f)^2,$$

where $f$ is expressed by the following function:

$$f = \frac{1}{2} \log \left( 4R \left( \sqrt{A^4 (R^2 - r^2) + 4r^4 R^2} - 2r^2 R \right) - A^4 \right),$$

and $H$ and $K$ are integration constants. The density and pressure in Rastall theory are found to be

$$\rho = r^{-2} \left( f_1 \left( \frac{12\alpha r^2 R (\cos f - K \sin f)}{f_2 (K \cos f + \sin f)} \right) + (4\alpha - 1) (f_1 - 1) + (\alpha - 1) r \left( \frac{16r^3}{A^4} - \frac{2r}{R^2} \right) \right),$$

$$p = r^{-2} \left( f_1 \left( \frac{4(3\alpha - 1)r^2 R (K \sin f - \cos f)}{f_2 (K \cos f + \sin f)} + \frac{2\alpha r^2 (A^4 - 8r^2 R^2)}{A^4 (R^2 - r^2) + 4r^4 R^2} \right) + (4\alpha - 1) (1 - f_1) \right),$$

where we have further set $f_1(r) = \left( \frac{4r^4}{A^2} - \frac{r^2}{C} + 1 \right)$ and

$$f_2(r) = \sqrt{A^4 (R^2 - r^2) + 4r^4 R^2}.$$ 

The sound speed index, $dp/d\rho$, is given by

$$\frac{dp}{d\rho} = \xi_1^{-1} \left( -2(2\alpha + 1) (K^2 + 1) R f_2 + 4R \left( (1 - 8\alpha)K f_2 + 2(3\alpha - 1) (K^2 - 1) r^2 R \right) \right.$$

$$- (3\alpha - 1) A^4 \left( K^2 - 1 \right) \sin 2f + 2 \left( R \left( (1 - 8\alpha)K f_2 + (8\alpha - 1) f_2 + 8(1 - 3\alpha)K r^2 R \right) + (3\alpha - 1) A^4 K \cos 2f \right),$$

$$\xi_1 = \left( 2 \left( (2\alpha - 5) (K^2 + 1) R f_2 + \frac{1}{2} \left( 3\alpha K^2 (A^4 - 8r^2 R^2) + 4(8\alpha - 5) K R f_2 \right. \right.$$

$$- 3\alpha (A^4 - 8r^2 R^2) \right) \sin 2f + \left( (8\alpha - 5) (K^2 - 1) R f_2 - 3\alpha A^4 K + 24\alpha K r^2 R^2 \right) \cos 2f \right).$$

The energy conditions are governed by the relations

$$\rho - p = \eta_1^{-1} \left( 4f_1 \left( - \left( A^4 \left( (3\alpha - 1) f_2 + (6\alpha - 1) K R (R^2 - r^2) \right) + 2r^2 R^2 \left( (3 - 8\alpha) f_2 + 2(6\alpha - 1) K r^2 R \right) \right) \sin f \right.$$  

$$- K f_2 \left( (3\alpha - 1) A^4 + 2(3 - 8\alpha) r^2 R^2 \right) \cos f + (6\alpha - 1) R f_2^2 \cos f \right),$$

$$\rho + p = \eta_2^{-1} \left( 2 \left( A^4 \left( f_2 + 2 K r^2 R - 2 K R^3 \right) - 8r^2 R^2 \left( f_2 + K r^2 R \right) \right) \sin f + \left( 8r^2 R^2 \left( r^2 R - K f_2 \right) \right.$$  

$$+ A^4 \left( K f_2 - 2r^2 R + 2R^3 \right) \cos f \right),$$

$$\rho + 3p = -\eta_3^{-1} \left( \left( 3 A^4 \left( (2\alpha - 1) K R (r^2 - R^2) - \alpha f_2 \right) + 2r^2 R^2 \left( (8\alpha + 1) f_2 + 6(1 - 2\alpha) K R^2 R \right) \right) \sin f \right.$$  

$$+ \left( 2r^2 R^2 \left( (8\alpha + 1) K f_2 + 6(2\alpha - 1) r^2 R \right) - 3 A^4 \left( \alpha K f_2 + (2\alpha - 1) r^2 R - 2\alpha R^3 + 3 R^3 \right) \right) \cos f \right),$$

where we have defined new variables:

$$\eta_1 = f_2^2 (K \cos f + \sin f),$$

$$\eta_2 = A^4 R^2 f_2 (K \cos f + \sin f),$$

$$\eta_3 = A^4 R^2 f_2 (K \cos f + \sin f).$$

For the purpose of the plots we have employed the pa-
Parameter values $K = H = R = A = 1$. Observe that $K = 0$ corresponds to the partial solution obtained by Tolman. We however consider the most general solution in the form of graphical plots.

This is amongst the least studied of the Tolman metrics given the complexity of the expressions. Moreover, Tolman presented only a special case of the general solution of the pressure isotropy equation. In the Einstein model ($\alpha = 0$), there is considerable deviation from a realistic fluid sphere. For example, the energy density (Fig 27) while being positive has a singularity at the centre $r = 0$ and the pressure (Fig 28) is everywhere negative with no contact with the radial axis. On a positive note the energy conditions (Fig 30, 31, 32) are indeed satisfied. Once
again it satisfies all the elementary physical requirements including a subluminal sound speed (Fig 29). The other Rastall curves display some pleasing characteristics but fail in some respects. It does not seem possible to display the mass profiles explicitly. Yet again the Rastall curve fails in some respects. It does not seem possible to display Rastall curves display some pleasing characteristics but including a subluminal sound speed (Fig 29). The other again it satisfies all the elementary physical requirements. What is also interesting to note is the changes in the profiles of the graphs for different choices of the Rastall parameter. This should provide conclusive evidence that the Rastall gravity theory is not equivalent to the Einstein theory.

\[ \rho = \Sigma^{-1} \left( v_1 \left( \frac{2a^2 + a + 8}{a} \right) r \left( \frac{2^{\frac{a+9}{a}} \alpha a^6 + 2 \frac{12}{a^2} a^6 - 9 \frac{2^{a+9}}{a^2} \alpha a^5 + 3 \frac{2^{a+3}}{a} \alpha a^4 - 11 \frac{2^{a+9}}{a^2} a^4}{a} \right) \right) + 15 \left( \frac{m}{r} \right)^2 \left( \frac{v_1^{-1}}{v_1} - 2(a + 1) m v_1^{-1} \left( 2a^5 (\alpha - 1) \bar{v}_1 + a^4 (\alpha (4 - 8 \bar{v}_1) + 5 \bar{v}_1 - 1) \right. \right. \\
+ a^3 (-2\alpha (12 \bar{v}_1 + 11) + 24 \bar{v}_1 + 4) + a^2 \left( 20 \alpha (6 \bar{v}_1 + 1) - 84 \bar{v}_1 + 7 + 28 \alpha (2 \bar{v}_1 + 3) + a \right) \left( \alpha (58 - 82 \bar{v}_1) \right) \right) + 46 (\bar{v}_1 - 1) + 35 \bar{v}_1 + 48) \right), \\
p = \Sigma^{-1} \left( v_1 \left( 2(a + 1) m v_1^{-1} \left( 2a^5 \alpha \bar{v}_1 + a^4 (\alpha (4 - 8 \bar{v}_1) + \bar{v}_1 - 1) + a^3 (6 - 2\alpha (12 \bar{v}_1 + 11)) \right) \right) + a^2 \left( 20 \alpha (6 \bar{v}_1 + 1) - 3 (4 \bar{v}_1 + 3) - 28 \alpha (2 \bar{v}_1 + 3) + a \right) \left( \alpha (58 - 82 \bar{v}_1) + 12 \bar{v}_1 - 4 \right) + 7 \bar{v}_1 + 12 \right) \left( 2 \frac{a+9}{a} - \frac{12}{a^2} a^6 - 9 \frac{2^{a+9}}{a^2} \alpha a^5 + 5 \frac{2^{a+3}}{a^2} a^5 + 2 \frac{a+9}{a} \alpha a^4 + 3 \frac{2^{a+9}}{a^2} a^4 + 15 \frac{2^{a+9}}{a} \alpha a^3 - 9 \frac{2^{a+9}}{a^2} a^3 \right) \left( \frac{2^{a+9}}{a^2} a^2 + 125 \frac{2^{a+9}}{a} \alpha a + 49 \frac{2^{a+9}}{a^2} \alpha a^2 + 37 \frac{2^{a+9}}{a} \alpha a^3 - 37 \frac{2^{a+9}}{a^2} a - 21 \frac{a+9}{a} \right) \left( \frac{m}{r} \right)^2 \left( \frac{v_1^{-1}}{v_1} \right), \right)

\[ v_1 = \left( \frac{r}{\mathcal{R}} \right)^{\frac{(a-2)(a+1)}{a-3}} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{v}_1 = \left( \frac{r}{\mathcal{R}} \right)^{\frac{a+1}{a-3}} \left( \frac{v_1}{\mathcal{R}} \right)^{-\frac{(a-2)(a+1)}{a-3}} \right).

\[ H = \frac{2}{q^a} \text{ and } A = (2m)^n; \text{ with } q = a - b, n = a + 2b - 1 \text{ and } F \text{ is a constant.}

In the Rastall framework, the dynamics are governed by

H. **Tolman VIII metric**

With the postulated behaviour \( e^{-\lambda} = \text{const.} r^{-2b} e^\nu \), the metric potentials are obtained in this case as

\[ e^{-\lambda} = \left( H - \frac{A}{r^n} - \frac{r^q}{F} \right), \]

\[ e^\nu = B^2 r^{2b} \left( H - \frac{A}{r^n} - \frac{r^q}{F} \right) \]

where, \( H = \frac{2}{q^a} \) and \( A = (2m)^n \); with \( q = a - b, n = a + 2b - 1 \) and \( F \) is a constant.

In the Rastall framework, the dynamics are governed by

V. **CONCLUSION**

In this work, we analyze the behaviour of the Tolman metrics in the Rastall theory. The study shows incontrovertibly that there is no equivalence between the Rastall framework and the standard theory of Einstein as purported recently in Ref.[34]. What is conceded is that the equation of pressure isotropy remains the same as in Einstein theory. Accordingly any of the metrics satisfying Einstein’s field equations may be used to generate density and pressure profiles in the new Rastall paradigm. Remarkably where the Einstein theory fails to satisfy the elementary requirements for physical plausibility, the Rastall version succeeds. For example, the Tolman VII model depicts a negative pressure profile with a central singularity while the Rastall version is finite at the centre, decreases monotonically outwards and vanishes for a certain radial value. This is expected for realistic models. Additionally it has been demonstrated that the Rastall case \( \alpha = 0.25 \) satisfies all the reasonably physical require-
ment in all Tolman models and succeeds even in Tolman models that are considered unphysical in the Einstein theory. There are thus indications that the Rastall theory may be promising as a theory of gravitation as it supports astrophysical objects with pleasing behaviour. The non-conservation of energy-momentum has been explained by Rastall as being an artifact of spacetime curva-

ture. Nevertheless, we observe that the $\alpha = 0.25$ case indeed satisfies the weak, strong and dominant energy conditions although this does not mean that energy is conserved. Moreover, a surface of vanishing pressure exists in most models and the causality principle is respected in all cases. The mass profiles conform to expectations. In these respects we find that the Rastall models display

---

**FIG. 33:** Energy density ($\rho$) versus radius ($r$): Tolman VIII

**FIG. 34:** Pressure ($p$) versus radius ($r$): Tolman VIII

**FIG. 35:** Sound speed versus radius ($r$): Tolman VIII

**FIG. 36:** Weak energy condition versus radius ($r$): Tolman VIII

**FIG. 37:** Strong energy condition versus radius ($r$): Tolman VIII

**FIG. 38:** Dominant energy condition versus radius ($r$): Tolman VIII
more pleasing physical contributions than their Einstein counterparts.
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