A Pathway to Optimise Performing an Emergency Tracheostomy in Patients Failing Endotracheal Intubation
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ABSTRACT

Emergency tracheostomy is a timely life saving procedure bringing to the fore the expert skills of the difficult airway team comprising the otolaryngologist, anaesthesiologist and theatre team or emergency department staff. Adequate advanced planning has to be adopted in these situations for achieving a good outcome. We present our optimal pathway practiced within our team to achieve successful outcomes during emergency tracheostomies starting from planning to the use of pressure bag in cases of failed intubations.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Emergency tracheostomy is challenging, often with limited time to assess the upper airways and plan how to secure the airway safely causing minimal distress to the patient is demanding. Though majority of airway management is by basic and advanced techniques but however one can find a ‘Can't Intubate Can't Oxygenate' (CICO) situation [1]. CICO situation noted after following the UK Difficult Airway Society (DAS) revised guidelines 2015 require ‘Front –of-neck airway’(FONA) to establish an airway [2,3]. Scalpel cricothyroidotomy is the most reliable and fastest method to secure the airway as the standard approach to FONA [2,4-6]. Although the stepwise use of methods to secure the airway ranging from a laryngeal mask, to endotracheal intubation and then to tracheostomy has been described in some papers [7], no articles have addressed how to optimise an emergency tracheostomy in patients failing endotracheal intubation. Clear communication and good team understanding towards a common goal forms the basis of airway management [1].

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Our pathway has been developed and utilised on 12 occasions by the senior author alongside the rest of the team to achieve a good outcome amidst crisis. The pathway has been practised in a simulation environment to help understand the role of the team members in crisis towards achieving optimal results. Even with limited time to secure an airway, it is essential that the theatre team know their allocated roles and the plan can be written on the board (Fig. 1) prior to the start of attempting tracheal intubation. We would like to emphasize the use of a pressure bag placed under the patient’s shoulders (Fig. 2).

The patient lies with their head resting on a pillow and the pressure bag is inflated to create the “tracheostomy position”. The skin is cleaned, the incision marked and local anaesthetic and adrenaline injected. The patient is draped with working suction and bipolar diathermy attached (Fig. 3).

The pressure bag is then deflated optimising the patient position for awake intubation or endotracheal intubation under general anaesthesia (Fig. 4). We do not advocate the use of one anaesthetic technique since the method used must be one that the anaesthetist is comfortable with after assessing each case. This may include an awake intubation, a gas induction or an iv induction with propofol and rocuronium bromide (provided sugammadex is immediately available) but we would avoid the use of suxamethonium owing to its very short duration of action. Should intubation not be possible, the pressure bag can be rapidly inflated and the tracheostomy performed.
3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Emergency Tracheostomy is performed in airway crisis and adequate teaching, training and planning is crucial in these situations. Simulation training with optimal pre planned pathway is the way to move forward in this scenarios [8]. These skills should be assimilated effectively and retained for a long period as skills decay is problematic putting the patient at risk [9]. Endotracheal intubation rather than emergency tracheostomy may be preferred in anterior neck space infections encroaching on the tracheostomy surgical field or in supraglottitis or epiglottitis likely to resolve rapidly with antibiotics and where a tracheostomy may delay discharge. There is evidence suggesting that difficult intubation and difficult ventilation occurs in 1.5% of procedures, 0.3% with impossible intubation and difficult ventilation and a can’t intubate, can’t ventilate (CICV) situation in 0.07% of procedures [10]. Tracheostomy may be difficult in patients with “short fat necks”, a significant goitre or with bleeding diatheses or on anticoagulants. The practise of preparing the skin and prior infiltration with local anaesthetic and adrenaline before
endotracheal intubation is attempted results in reduced bleeding and makes it easier to perform a subsequent emergency/crash tracheostomy in cases where endotracheal intubation fails. Emphasis is again laid on the use of pressure bag during planning. The use of this pathway has been invaluable.

4. CONCLUSION

Use of the optimal pathway with pressure bag under the shoulders renders the team with the required preparedness and eliminates the human factor element reducing the stress levels within the team to achieve a positive outcome should there be the need for emergency tracheostomy in case of failed intubation. With simulation based training proving to be an established teaching tool our aim to establish the optimal pathway in managing difficult airways situations needing emergency tracheostomy should be successful.
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