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Abstract

Many synthetic chemicals that are used to protect wood from biodeterioration are associated with environmental pollution and toxicity to human beings. As a part of the search for less hazardous preservatives, extracts from the bark of Erythrophleum suaveolens were evaluated using ethanol extraction process. Samples of barks of Erythrophleum suaveolens were obtained from Oyo town, Nigeria. Phytochemical and elemental analyses were carried out on the oil extracts. Permeability and decay resistance tests were carried out on lumber samples of Ceiba pentandra in accordance with ASTM standards. Results were analyzed using Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) at 5% probability level. Phytochemical analysis indicated the presence of Saponin (13.56%), Tannin (29.71mg/g), alkaloid (6.39%) and Total Phenol (38.71mg/g) while elemental analysis showed the presence of Copper, Iron, Lead, Magnesium and Calcium.
respectively. Grave yard Test showed that the untreated Ceiba pentandra samples had the highest weight loss after 6 weeks of exposure while 100% treatment had least weight loss. The oil extract from bark of Erythrophleum suaveolens was effective against termite attack on Ceiba pentandra wood samples and therefore has good potentials as biological preservative for wood and other lignocellulosic materials.
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1. **Introduction**

The demand for wood and wood products in Nigeria and the world over have continued to be on the increase, the global industrial round wood production from all types of forest for the year 2018 alone was reported to be 2.03 billion m$^3$ (Food and Agricultural Organization, 2019). The havoc caused by fungi and certain insects on wood in service is quite devastating and ultimately results in additional labor costs to replace affected wood. Wood as a constructional material is generally treated with chemical preservatives to prevent damage by these bio-deteriorating agents. The most commonly used wood preservatives presently are creosote, chromated copper arsenate (CCA), sodium pentachlorophenates (NaPCP), and inorganic arsenicals. However, many of the wood preservative chemicals have severe adverse effects on the environment and humans. There is therefore the need to find wood preservatives that are less toxic to humans, eco-friendly and cost-effective.

It has been observed that there are greater potentials in the use of plant and oil extractives as natural wood preservatives (Teaca *et al*., 2019, Pandey *et al* 2017, Broda 2020). This study, therefore, assessed the effective use of *Erythrophleum suaveolens* oil extracts as preservatives against termites.

2. **Literature Review**

Throughout history, wood has continued to be one of the most important renewable natural resources available to mankind. However, due to its associated structural polymers, wood is degraded by many organisms, principally fungi and termite (Schuttz and Nicholas, 2002, Sotande *et al*., 2011). This could limit to a great extent the utilization of wood as a structural material as the damaged wood would need replacement with time.
Preservatives are chemicals used for the control of biodegrading agents. They are classified into three broad categories based on their inert components; the water-soluble, oil type, and the organic solvent-based preservatives, with the water-based as the most popular (Agriculture World, 2007). While the conventional wood preservatives are found to be very effective and active against wood-destroying fungi and insects, they are however toxic thereby causing environmental pollution in ways that are dangerous and harmful to plants, animals, and human beings (Kartal et al., 2004).

Increasing global concern for the environment led to the development of various friendly preservative formulation methods using biocide combinations which include inorganic-organic and organic-organic binary mixtures. Similarly, the use of extracts of some lignocellulosic materials are been researched. It has been observed that there are greater potentials in the use of plant and oil extracts as natural wood preservatives as many components of their extracts are toxic to organisms imparting decay resistance (Owofadeju and Ilesanmi, 2021).

Nurudeen et al., (2012) reported the efficacy of Zingiber officinale, Piper guinensis, and Zanthoxylum zanthoxyloides plant extracts against fungal attack on wood. In their study, it was established that the three wood species possessed potential ingredients against fungal attacks on wood. Ajala et al. (2012) investigated the potentials of Moringa oleifera extract as a wood preservative and observed that Moringa oleifera extract contained anticancer, antibacterial, and antifungi chemicals including 4-(a-L-rhamnopyranosyloxy), and benzylisothiacyanate. Their study concluded that Moringa oleifera extract was effective against termite attacks on Ceiba pentandra wood. Adeduntan (2015) studied the potentials of five plants extracts; Allium salivum, Datura stamonium, Jatropha curcus, Musa acuminata and Chrysophyllum albidum against termite attack on Triplochiton scleroxylon and Gmelina arborea wood and reported that Jatropha curcus and Datura stamonium were highly effective against termite attack.

*Erythrophleum suaveolens* is a species of plants found in West and East Africa. The species grow up to 20m in height and has rough and blackish bark. The bark contains a range of alkaloids. In high doses, the bark extract is said to be an extremely strong, rapid-acting cardiac poison, in warm-blooded animals causing shortness of breath, seizures, and cardiac arrest in a few minutes (Akinpelu et al., 2012).
3. Materials and Methods

The oil extracts from *Erythrophleum suavoleons* were used to treat *Gmelina arborea* wood species. The extraction process and phytochemical analyses were conducted in the laboratories of the Department of Wood Products Engineering and Multidisciplinary Research Centre of the University of Ibadan respectively following standard procedures from relevant literatures.

3.1. Preparation of samples

The bark of *Erythrophleum suaveolens* was obtained from logs at a mill in Oyo, Nigeria (Lat. 7°51’N, Long. 3°55’E). It was identified at the herbarium unit of Forestry Research Institute of Nigeria (FRIN), Ibadan, and thereafter sun-dried for two weeks, ground, and sieved to 0.001mm size. The wood sample of *Ceiba pentandra* was obtained at a plank market in Ibadan, Nigeria, planed and cut to sizes 15×25×50mm. The weighed wood samples were oven-dried at 102 ± 3°C for 24hours.

3.2. Extraction Process of Oil Extracts

This was carried out on 100g of ground samples of *Erythrophleum suaveolens* following AOCS (1995) Standard using ethanol as the solvent, at 70°C, for 16 hours. The oil obtained was concentrated to 100% at 74°C for 3hours using Rotary Evaporator, digested, and analyzed to determine its active ingredients. The extract residue was dried and weighed to determine the percentage yield of the oil using the formula,

\[
\text{percentage yield} = 100 - \left( \frac{\text{weight of the sample residue}}{\text{weight of sample before extraction}} \times 100 \right)
\]  

3.3. Oil Extracts Elemental Analysis

The elemental analysis of the oil extracts was carried out following AOAC (1990). Five grams of milled samples were pyrolyzed in a furnace at 550°C for 24 hours. Concentrated HCl (2ml) and a few drops of HNO₃ were added to melt the resulting ash and the solution was evaporated almost to dryness. The dry elements were diluted with distilled water and analyzed. Flame photometry method was employed in the determination of the Lead (Pb) and Magnesium (Mg) while Iron (Fe), Calcium (Ca), and Copper (Cu) were analyzed by Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer method.

3.4. Qualitative Phytochemical Screening

Qualitative phytochemical screening of oil extracts generated from bark of *Erythrophleum suavoleons* was conducted for saponin, tannin, flavonoid, steroid, phlobatannins,
terpenoid, coumarin, emodin, anthraquinone, anthocyanins, alkaloid, and cardiac glycosides following classical methods specified by Manjulika et al. (2014) as described in Table 1 while ferric chloride and ammonium hydroxide tests were carried out to determine the charcones and phenols respectively, as reported by Trease and Evans (2004).

### Table 1: Procedure for the qualitative phytochemical screening of oil extracts

| Phytoconstituents       | Test                                                                 |
|------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Saponins               | 5ml extract + 5ml H₂O + heat                                         |
| Tannins (Braymer’s Test)| 2ml extract + 2ml H₂O + 2-3 drops FeCl₃ (5%)                          |
| Flavonoids             | 1ml extract + 1ml Pb(OAc)₄ (10%)                                     |
| Steroids               | 2ml extract + 2ml CHCl₃ + 2ml H₂SO₄ (conc.)                          |
| Phlobatannins          | 2ml extract + 2ml HCl (1%) + heat                                    |
| Terpenoids             | 2ml extract + 2ml (CH₃CO)O + 2-3 drops conc. H₂SO₄                   |
| Coumarins              | 2ml extract + 3ml NaOH (10%)                                         |
| Emodins                | 2ml extract + 2ml NH₄OH + 3ml Benzene                                |
| Anthraquinones         | 3ml extract + 3ml Benzene + 5ml NH₃(10%)                              |
| Anthocyanins           | 2ml extract + 2ml HCl (2N) + NH₃                                     |
| Alkaloids              | 2ml extract + few drops of Hager’s reagent                           |
| Cardiac glycosides     | 2ml extract + 2ml CHCl₃ + 2ml CH₃COOH                               |

(Source: Manjulika et al., 2014)

### 3.5. Quantitative Phytochemical Screening

The quantitative phytochemical screening was done on four of the phytoconstituents that were confirmed positive in the oil extracts of *Erythrophleum suaveolens* to determine their percentage compositions: these were saponin, total alkaloids, and total phenol and tannin contents respectively.

#### 3.5.1. Saponin Content determination

The determination of saponin content of the oil extracts was done adopting the method reported by Obadoni and Ochuko (2011). To 20g of each sample was added 100ml of 20% aqueous ethanol and the mixture was heated at 55°C for 4 hours. The mixture was filtered, and the residue was re-extracted with 200 ml 20% aqueous ethanol. The combined extracts were
concentrated to 40 ml and separated by the addition of 20 ml of di-ethyl ether. The aqueous layer was recovered while the ether layer was discarded. The recovered solution was extracted with n-butanol and washed with 10ml of 5% aqueous sodium chloride. The remaining solution was concentrated, oven-dried, and the weighed content was calculated as percentage saponin.

3.5.2. Total Alkaloids determination

Total alkaloids percentage was determined by the Harborne (1998) method. 200 ml of 10% acetic acid in ethanol was added to 5g of the sample. The mixture was left covered for 4 hours, filtered, and the extract was concentrated to one-quarter of the original volume. Concentrated ammonia water was added dropwise to the extract until the precipitation was complete. The whole solution was left to settle and the precipitated was collected and washed with dilute ammonium hydroxide and then filtered. The residue obtained was oven-dried and weighed as a percentage alkaloid.

3.5.3. Total Phenolic Content determination

Folin-Ciocalteu assay method as reported by Singleton et al. (1999) was used for the determination of the total phenol content. To 10% water solution of extract was added 1ml of Folin-Ciocalteu phenol reagent and the mixture was left for 5 minutes. 10 ml of 7% Sodium carbonate (Na₂CO₃) solution was added to the mixture and the volume was made up to 25 ml. A set of standard solutions of Gallic acid (20, 40, 40, 60, 80, and 100 µg/ml) were prepared in the same manner and used as reference. The samples were incubated for 90 min at 25ºC and the absorbance for test and standard solutions were determined.

3.5.4. Tannin Content determination

The tannins were determined by Folin - Ciocalteu method as reported by Singleton et al (1999). 0.1 ml of the sample solution was added to a volumetric flask (10 ml) containing 7.5 ml of distilled water and 0.5 ml of Folin-Ciocalteu phenol reagent. 1 ml of 35% Na₂CO₃ solution was added and the mixture was diluted to 10 ml with distilled water and kept at 25ºC for 30 min. similarly, solutions of gallic acid (20, 40, 60, 80, and 100 µg/ml) were prepared as standard using the same procedure. Absorbance for test and standard solutions were measured to determine the tannin content.

3.6. Percentage Absorption determination
The test block samples were soaked inside the oil extracts and diluent through the cold-soaking method following the method employed by Adeduntan (2015) for 24 hours at room temperature at concentration levels 0%, 25%, 50%, 75%, and 100%, and the percentage absorption determined using the formula.

\[
\text{Absorption rate} = \frac{W_3 - W_2}{W_2} \times 100
\]  

where \( W_3 \) = weight of wood after immersion  
\( W_2 \) = weight of wood after oven-dry

3.7. Wood samples decay test

The decay test was in accordance with ASTM D3345-17 standard. The wood samples (treated and control) were exposed to termite attack in a termitarium within the University of Ibadan, Nigeria, and visually observed weekly for 6 weeks. Percentage weight losses were calculated using the formula,

\[
\text{Weight loss(\%)} = \frac{T_3 - T_4}{T_3 \times 100}
\]  

Where \( T_3 \)= weight after preservative application  
\( T_4 \)= weight after exposure to termite attack.

4. Results and Discussion

The percentage oil yield from the bark of *Erythrophleum suaveolens* after extraction was found to be 41% and this signifies a high yield from the extraction process. The result is similar to the results reported by Ogboru *et al.*, 2017 who reported an oil yield of 39% for *Erythrophleum ivorense* and suggested it to be of moderate-high yield.

The mineral element analysis of oil extracts from the bark of *Erythrophleum suaveolens* is shown in Table 2. Copper, which is an active biocide was present in the oil extracts although not in appreciable quantity (0.005 ± 0.05ppm). Other mineral elements present in the bark were of higher concentrations than that of Cu.

**Table 2: Mineral Element Analysis of oil extract from the bark of Erythrophleum suaveolens**

| Mineral Elements in Oil Extracts | Ppm       |
|---------------------------------|-----------|
| Copper                          | 0.005 ± 0.05 |
| Iron                            | 0.303 ± 0.14 |
The qualitative phytochemical screening of the oil extracts revealed the presence of saponin, tannin, terpenoids, coumarin, alkaloids, cardiac glycosides, and phenols respectively (Table 3). These chemicals are environmentally friendly preservatives when they bond with Cu and Zn and could make non-toxic preservatives suitable for humans and other applications (Owofadeju and Alawode, 2016). Table 4 shows some of these phytochemicals in quantitative terms. This study revealed high concentrations of the phytochemicals in the oil extracts of the bark of the wood species, and this is an indication of the potentials of the bark extracts of *Erythrophleum suaveolens* as a preservative.

### Table 3: Qualitative Phytochemicals Screening of the oil extracts from the bark of *Erythrophleum suaveolens*

| Phytochemicals                                      | Inference   |
|----------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| 1. Saponin (Foam Test)                              | +ve         |
| 2. Tannin (Braymer’s Test)                          | +ve         |
| 3. Flavonoid (Lead acetate Test)                    | -ve         |
| 4. Steroid (Salkowaski’s Test)                      | +ve         |
| 5. Phlobatannin (Precipitate test)                  | -ve         |
| 6. Terpenoid (Salkowaski’s test)                    | +ve         |
| 7. Coumarin (Reaction with 10 % NaOH)               | +ve         |
| 8. Emodin (Reaction with Ammonium hydroxide and benzene) | -ve         |
| 9. Anthraquinone (Borntrager’s Test)                | -ve         |
| 10. Anthocyanins (Reaction with Acid and Ammonia)   | -ve         |
| 11. Alkaloid (Hager’s Test)                         | +ve         |
| 12. Cardiac Glycosides (Legal’s Test)               | +ve         |
| 13. Charcones (Ammonium hydroxide’s Test)           | -ve         |
| 14. Phenols (Ferric Chloride’s Test)                | +ve         |
Table 4: Quantitative Phytochemicals Screening of the oil extracts from the bark of *Erythrophleum suaveolens*

| Phytochemical               | Concentration     |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|
| % Saponin                   | 13.557            |
| Tannin (mg GAE / g)         | 29.706±0.277      |
| % Alkaloid                  | 06.39             |
| Total Phenol (mg GAE / g)   | 38.710±0.00       |

(Source: Self)

Results from ANOVA in Table 5 showed that the rate of absorption of wood samples at different concentration levels varies. This revealed that there were significant differences among the concentration levels at a 5% level of probability. The difference in absorption rate could be due to differences in concentration of the preservative, wood species, and moisture content of wood sample as buttressed by Ajala *et al.* (2012). Islam *et al.* (2008) reported that the treatability of wood could be influenced by the viscosity and temperature of the preservative employed as well as the treatment methods and duration of treatment.

Analysis of variance for percentage weight loss of wood samples treated with different concentration levels is presented in Table 6. It shows there were significant differences among the treatments at the 0.05 probability level. Further test by Duncan Multiple Range (Table 7) revealed that 75%, 25%, 50%, and 0% concentration levels are not too significantly different from 100% concentration but different from the control. This is related to the work reported by Ajala *et al.* (2012) about the rate of termite attack against test samples treated with *Moringa oleifera* seed oil.

Table 5: Analysis of Variance for absorption rate at 0%, 25%, 50%, 75% and 100 concentration levels

| Sources of variance | Degree of freedom | Sum of square | Mean of square | Fcal  | Sig.   |
|---------------------|-------------------|---------------|----------------|-------|--------|
| Treatment           | 4                 | 43381.815     | 10845.454      | 18.504| 0.000* |

(Source: Self)
Table 6: Analysis of Variance for weight loss at 0%, 25%, 50%, 75% and 100% concentration levels

| Sources of variance | Degree of freedom | Sum of square | Mean of square | Fcal | Sig. |
|---------------------|-------------------|---------------|----------------|------|------|
| Treatment           | 5                 | 2869.689      | 573.938        | 4.894| 0.003* |
| Error               | 24                | 2814.801      | 117.283        |      |      |
| Total               | 29                | 5684.490      |                |      |      |

(Source: Self)

Table 7: Follow-up Multiple Range Test of weight loss

| Concentration level (%) | Mean value grouping |
|-------------------------|---------------------|
| 100%                    | 19.6862<sup>a</sup> |
| 75%                     | 28.0061<sup>ab</sup>|
| 50%                     | 38.7884<sup>bc</sup>|
| 25%                     | 39.1623<sup>bc</sup>|
| 0%                      | 41.6253<sup>bc</sup>|
| Control                 | 49.9544<sup>c</sup>|

(Source: Self)

The control (untreated samples) group had the highest weight loss which indicated that the level of attack of untreated wood samples by termites is significantly more than that of treated test samples. Wood samples treated with <i>Erythrophleum suaveolens</i> bark oil extracts level of 100% had the lowest weight loss which is an indication that the concentration level was most effective in suppressing the rate of attack of termites. This is similar to the findings of Obomanu et al. (2005), who also reported increased weight loss with an increase in the extract concentration level of <i>Lepidagathis alopecuroides</i> extracts.

Wood preservatives efficiency and effectiveness depend on the active ingredients of the preservative as well as the depth of penetration, distribution and retention properties of the wood.
being subjected to preservative treatment. Some active components of *Erythrophleum suaveolens* bark extract has been reported to be highly poisonous Akinpelu *et al.*, (2012), therefore it can be inferred that the resistance of the wood samples to termite attack was probably due to the active components in the oil.

4. Conclusion

The oil extracts from the bark of *Erythrophleum suaveolens* had considerable effectiveness against termite attack on *Ceiba pentandra* wood samples at varying concentration levels, hence could be used as a biological preservative for wood. The minimal range of effectiveness levels was between 75 and 100% of the oil extract with the least termite attack at 100%.

4.1. Research Limitations

While this study successfully investigated the potentials of *Erythrophleum suaveolens* bark extracts as wood preservatives, the work could not determine the extractive contents of the other components of the wood species such as the seed, the root, leaves, and the heartwood to determine their phytochemical percentage composition. The cold soak method was employed in the impregnation of the preservative to the wood test samples, this might not have given the most effective retention of preservative on the test samples. Also, the study could not determine the leachability of the preservative due to the time frame of the work.

4.2. Scope of Further Research

There is a need for further research on *Erythrophleum suaveolens* extract from its roots, leaves, and other parts of the plant. Moreover, properties such as the leachability of the preservative using different wood species should be investigated as well as the preservative’s toxicity on humans.
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