Primary School Education May Be Sufficient to Moderate a Memory-Hippocampal Relationship

Elisa de Paula França Resende1,2, Howard J. Rosen2, Kevin Chiang2, Adam M. Staffaroni2, Isabel Allen2, Lea T. Grinberg2, Karoline Carvalho Carmona1, Henrique Cerqueira Guimarães1, Viviane Amaral Carvalho1, Maira Tonidandel Barbosa1, Leonardo Cruz de Souza1 and Paulo Caramelli1

1 Grupo de Pesquisa em Neurologia Cognitiva e do Comportamento, Departamento de Clínica Médica, Faculdade de Medicina da Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Belo Horizonte, Brazil. 2 Memory and Aging Center, Department of Neurology, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, United States

According to the cognitive reserve theory, intellectual stimuli acquired during life can prevent against developing cognitive impairment. The underlying cognitive reserve mechanisms were underexplored in low-educated individuals. Because episodic memory impairment due to hippocampal dysfunction is a key feature of Alzheimer's dementia (AD), we sought to look at a possible cognitive reserve mechanism by determining whether few years of education moderated the relationship between the hippocampal volumes and the episodic-memory scores. The sample was composed by 183 older adults, 40.1% male, with the median age of 78[76,82] years and the median years of education of 4[2,10] who had undergone an episodic-memory test and a 3-Tesla MRI scan to access the hippocampal volumes. Overall, 112 were cognitively healthy, 26 had cognitive impairment-no dementia (CIND) and 45 had dementia. We used multiple linear regression to assess whether the interaction between years of education and each hippocampal volume significantly predicted the episodic-memory scores' variance, controlling for cognitive diagnosis and nuisance variables. The interaction term with the left hippocampus (β = 0.2, \(p = 0.043, \text{CI} = 1.0, 1.4\)) but not with the right (β = 0.1, \(p = 0.218, \text{CI} = 0.9, 1.2\)) significantly predicted the variation on memory scores. The mechanism by which the left hippocampus seems to play a more important role on memory processing in more educated individuals needs to be further investigated and might be associated with a better use of mnemonic strategies or higher hippocampal connectivity. Because the sample's median years of education was four, which corresponds to primary school, we may infer that this level might be sufficient to contribute for building cognitive reserve.
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INTRODUCTION

Preventive interventions are becoming relevant as useful strategies to contain the rising dementia rates, given the constant failures of disease-modifying trials targeting Alzheimer's dementia (AD). Preventive interventions should target specific mechanisms and prioritize modifying known risk factors like low education, that accounts for 19% of the AD's crude population attributable risk
To develop strategies that will harness education as a preventative tool, we need to better understand the protective mechanisms of education. Cognitive reserve, a framework created to study those mechanisms (Stern, 2016), has the educational attainment as one of its main components. Some proposed underlying mechanisms are the development of better cognitive abilities (Nitrini et al., 2005; Opdebeeck et al., 2016; Stern, 2016) and a lesser cognitive impairment than expected due to cerebrovascular lesions (Farfel et al., 2013; Vaque-Alcazar et al., 2016), hippocampal atrophy (Murray et al., 2011) and amyloid pathology (Roe et al., 2008; Wirth et al., 2014) by more educated individuals. Other mechanisms are related to building brain reserve, supported by studies showing that the higher the education the larger the brain volumes, more connectivity between brain regions, the lower rate of hippocampal atrophy (Arenaza-Urquijo et al., 2013; Persson et al., 2016) and the more efficient the brain activation during memory tests (Springer et al., 2005; Bartrés-Faz et al., 2009).

However, most studies investigating the mechanisms of education as a cognitive reserve component have been conducted in high-income countries. Nevertheless, more than 60% of people with dementia live in low- and middle-income countries where 22–88% of the older adults are illiterate (UNESCO, 2016). Therefore, unveiling mechanisms of cognitive reserve in low-educated individuals can inform about the contribution of even few years for building reserve.

Considering that the hippocampi are key structures for episodic memory processing (Tulving, 2002; Sarazin et al., 2010) and that hippocampal dysfunction is a hallmark of AD (Dubois et al., 2010), we sought to look whether the years of formal education would moderate the relationship between hippocampal volumes and episodic-memory performance in a sample of older adults with a wide range of educational levels, from illiterate to college. We hypothesized that an increase in the number of years of education would lead to a stronger association between the hippocampal volumes and the episodic memory scores, suggesting a potential mechanism of cognitive reserve in the context of low educational attainment.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Participants

The participants (n = 183) came from two studies conducted in the Southeast region of Brazil, one from a tertiary memory clinic (n = 47) and other from the community (n = 136) (see Supplementary Data for details about the community-dwelling participants). There was not a minimum of years of education to be included in the study.

All participants were examined by a team of experienced board-certified neurologists, geriatricians, and one psychiatrist and they were divided into three cognitive groups: cognitively-healthy, cognitive impairment-no dementia (CIND) and dementia. The cognitively-healthy participants were functionally independent and scored within expected by age and education in the Brief Cognitive Battery (BCB) (Nitrini et al., 2004). The participants in the dementia group were functionally dependent and met the DSM-5 diagnostic criteria for dementia or major neurocognitive disorder (APA, 2013). The participants in the CIND group were functionally independent and performed lower than expected in the BCB test, therefore they all had objective cognitive impairment, most of them in the memory domain. Functional independence was defined as a score less than five in the Functional Activities Questionnaire (Pfeffer et al., 1982).

The Ethics Committee of the Federal University of Minas Gerais approved both studies and all participants, or their legally authorized representatives provided written informed consent, according to the principles of the Helsinki declaration.

Cognitive Evaluation

All participants underwent the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) (Folstein et al., 1975; Brucki et al., 2003) and the BCB. The BCB is a visual-verbal episodic memory test that does not suffer the influence of the educational level (Nitrini et al., 2004). We used the free delayed-recall scores as surrogates of episodic memory because of their ability to discriminate patients with AD from CIND and cognitively-healthy (Nitrini et al., 2004; Yassuda et al., 2017). Details about the BCB test are found in Supplementary Data.

Neuroimaging

All participants’ scans were acquired in the same 3-Tesla Philips scanner. The 3D-T1 images were acquired in a sagittal plane (acquisition parameters in Supplementary Data) and were preprocessed in SPM-12, segmented into gray, white matter and cerebrospinal fluid, warped in the study-created template and normalized to the Montreal Neurologic Institute space. Bilateral brain regions important for memory processing and often affected by Alzheimer’s disease (Karow et al., 2010) namely the hippocampi, the inferior parietal and supramarginal gyri, the posterior cingulate, the precuneus, the middle temporal, the inferior temporal, the entorhinal, the parahippocampal, the fusiform, and the middle frontal cortical regions were extracted automatically using the Neuromorphometric atlas (Desikan et al., 2006).

White-matter lesions volumes were automatically segmented and quantified using the Lesion Segmentation Tool version 1.2.2 in SPM8 (Schmidt et al., 2012; Birdsell et al., 2014) based on the fluid-attenuated inversion recovery images (acquisition parameters in Supplementary Data).

Statistical Analyses

Between-cognitive groups differences in demographics and clinical characteristics, that had non-normal distribution per Shapiro-Wilk, were compared using Kruskal-Wallis. Differences in the proportions of males were calculated with Chi-square. Differences in the hippocampal volumes adjusted for total intracranial volume (TIV), that had normal distribution per Shapiro-Wilk, were calculated using one-way ANOVA.

The associations between hippocampal volumes and episodic memory were calculated using univariate linear regression considering the episodic-memory scores as the outcome and each hippocampal volume (right and left) adjusting for TIV as separate
predictors. Multiple linear regression models were adjusted for age, years of education, gender, the cognitive diagnosis, the MMSE, the BCB’s learning phase scores, the sum of the other brain regions extracted through the Neuromorphometric Atlas and the volume of white matter lesions.

The moderator effect of years of education was tested by adding the interaction term (years of education × each hippocampal volume) as separate predictors in the multiple linear regression models.

The level of significance was considered as \( p < 0.05 \) in two-tailed tests. The analyses were conducted in the software RStudio, Version 1.1.414–©2009–2018.

**RESULTS**

Of the 183 participants, 40.1% \( (n = 75) \) were male, the median age was 78[76, 82] years old and the median years of education was 4[2, 10]. The years of education ranged from 0 to 20 years. Overall, 112 were cognitively healthy, 26 had CIND and 45 had dementia. The hippocampal volumes followed a continuum across the three cognitive groups: larger hippocampi in cognitively-healthy participants \( \text{(Left hippocampus } = 1.5 \pm 0.1 \text{ cm}^3, \text{Right hippocampus } = 1.6 \pm 0.1 \text{ cm}^3) \) followed by participants with CIND \( \text{(Left hippocampus } = 1.4 \pm 0.1 \text{ cm}^3, \text{Right hippocampus } = 1.5 \pm 0.1 \text{ cm}^3) \) followed by participants with dementia, who had the smaller hippocampi \( \text{(Left hippocampus } = 1.3 \pm 0.1 \text{ cm}^3, \text{Right hippocampus } = 1.4 \pm 0.1 \text{ cm}^3); \text{Table 1}. \)

There was a positive and significant association between each hippocampal volume and the episodic-memory scores. The left hippocampus predicted 27.3% of the scores’ variance \( \text{(β = 5.5, } p < 0.001, \text{CI = 61.6, 893.1)} \) and the right hippocampus predicted 18.5% \( \text{(β = 4.1, } p < 0.001, \text{CI = 17.3, 239.0; Supplementary Table 1).} \) In the adjusted models, in which 72.9% of the episodic-memory scores’ variance was explained by the model that included the left hippocampus and 72.5% were explained by the model that included the right hippocampus, the hippocampal volumes alone were no longer significant predictors \( \text{(β = 1.0, } p = 0.111, \text{CI = 0.8, 9.6 for the left and β = −0.1, } p = 0.827, \text{CI = 0.3, 2.7 for the right; Supplementary Table 2).} \)

In the models that included the interaction terms, the term that included the left hippocampus was a significant predictor of the episodic-memory scores’ variation \( \text{(β = 0.2, } p = 0.043, \text{CI = 1.0, 1.4), but the term that included the right hippocampus was not significant (β = 0.1, } p = 0.218, \text{CI = 0.9, 1.2; Supplementary Table 3).} \) To better visualize the moderator effect, we plotted in a graph (Figure 1) the hippocampal volumes in the X-axis and the episodic-memory scores in the Y-axis, adding one line that fitted the association between these two variables in participants who had less than four years of education and another line that fitted the association amongst participants who had 4 years or more. The fitted lines were calculated using the Spearman correlation test.

**DISCUSSION**

In a sample of older adults with a median of 4 years of formal education, which is the equivalent of primary school, we found that the longer the participants stayed in school, the stronger the association between the left hippocampal volume and the episodic-memory scores suggesting a potential cognitive reserve mechanism in this context. Because of this positive association, we speculate that the mechanism underlying reserve, in this case, is related to brain plasticity, denoting an increase in hippocampal involvement in memory processing in more educated individuals. Intellectual stimuli acquired in school might have allowed synapses and connections to develop, leading to higher hippocampal efficiency. This theory is supported by the fact that more educated individuals make better use of mnemonic strategies (Saczynski et al., 2007), which are thought to rely upon hippocampal functioning (Maguire et al., 2003) and to underlie more efficient memory processing (Bottiroli et al., 2008). Although these are tempting explanations, they carry a cause-effect association that our cross-sectional study was not designed to answer. A bidirectional relationship, as proposed in a previous study (Wenger and Lövdén, 2016), can also be driving

---

**TABLE 1 |** Demographics, clinical characteristics and hippocampal volumes by cognitive diagnosis.

| Total n = 183 | Cognitively healthy (n = 112) | CIND (n = 26) | Dementia (n = 45) | p-value |
|---------------|-------------------------------|--------------|------------------|---------|
| Sex male (%)  | 43 (38.4)                     | 10 (88.5)    | 22 (48.9)        | 0.463   |
| Age (years)†§ | 78.0 [76.0, 81.2]             | 80.0 [78.2, 83.7] | 77.0 [72.0, 82.0] | 0.004   |
| Education (years) †§ | 4.0 [3.0, 8.2] | 2.0 [0.0, 4.0] | 8.0 [0.0, 14.0] | <0.001  |
| MMSE†‡        | 26.5 [24.0, 28.0]             | 21.0 [17.0, 24.5] | 24.0 [20.0, 26.0] | <0.001  |
| BCB learning†§ | 23.0 [20.0, 25.0]           | 17.5 [15.0, 21.5] | 17.0 [15.0, 20.0] | <0.001  |
| BCB delayed recall†§ | 8.0 [7.0, 9.0]  | 6.0 [5.0, 7.0]     | 4.0 [3.0, 5.0]    | <0.001  |
| Right hippocampus†‡ | 1.6 (0.1)  | 1.5 (0.2)          | 1.4 (0.2)         | <0.001  |
| Left hippocampus†‡ | 1.5 (0.1)  | 1.4 (0.2)          | 1.3 (0.1)         | <0.001  |
| Volume of white matter lesions | 5029.9 [1297.1, 11885.8] | 6165.3 [2447.7, 20117.6] | 1671.8 [277.9, 5489.1] | <0.001  |

Age, education, the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE), the Brief Cognitive Battery (BCB) learning, delayed recall scores and the volume of white matter lesions are depicted in median [interquartile intervals] and the between-group differences were calculated using Kruskal-Wallis. The left and right hippocampal volumes are depicted in mean (standard deviation) and the between-group differences were calculated using one-way ANOVA. The hippocampal volumes are corrected for the total intracranial volume and are shown in cm³. CIND, Cognitive impairment-no dementia. †Cognitively healthy compared with CIND, ‡Cognitively healthy compared with Dementia, § CIND compared with dementia.
our finding: In one direction, hippocampal efficiency predicts learning ability and lead to further achievement in intellectual life and in the other, cognitive stimulation result in a more efficient hippocampal functioning.

In our study, we found the moderator effect of education on the memory-hippocampal relationship to be significant only on the left side. One possible explanation is that the intellectual stimuli acquired in school is strongly related to writing and reading, cognitive abilities that are more left lateralized (Dehaene et al., 2010; Dehaene et al., 2015). Even mental arithmetic, an activity that is extensively trained in school and classically related to the right hippocampus (Supekar et al., 2013), has also been associated with increased connectivity in the left hippocampus (Klein et al., 2018). Therefore, it seems that the left hippocampus might be more stimulated in school-related activities. However, this conclusion will be possible to draw only in a study in which the school-related activities are better controlled and homogenous. For instance, training in a foreign language, that supposedly would be associated with left brain changes, has been associated with an increase in the right hippocampal volume in one study (Bellander et al., 2016) and an increase in both hippocampi in another (Martenson et al., 2012), reflecting the complexity of lateralization in learning language abilities.

Our finding can also be interpreted as counterintuitive because if an individual relies more on the hippocampus to process memory, once it is affected by a neurodegenerative disease, there is not much left as a reserve. In fact, contrary to our findings, a previous study showed that the higher the baseline intelligence measured at 20 years-old, the weaker the association between hippocampal volumes and episodic-memory scores in older adults (Vuoksimaa et al., 2013) suggesting that individuals with more cognitive reserve depend less on the hippocampus, which can be an advantage considering that this region is amongst the first to be affected by AD. However, while in our study low education was considered as less than 4 years, the above-mentioned study considered low education as less than 12 years. We propose that different mechanisms might explain the relationship between hippocampal volume and memory processing at different levels of education. At very low levels (e.g., less than 4 years), the hippocampal involvement in memory is independent of formal education and may be more related to inherited abilities (Van Petten, 2004). At intermediate levels, (e.g., 4–8 years), education and other cognitive stimuli can play a significant role in strengthening the hippocampus-memory relationship, which is supported by studies associating cognitive training with increasing in hippocampal volume (Draganski et al., 2006; Taubert et al., 2012). After high-school, there may be less of a relationship between additional improvement in memory abilities and hippocampal efficiency. At this point, either other brain regions may become more important in better memory processing (Wenger and Lövdén, 2016) or there may be a threshold of knowledge (e.g., mnemonic strategies) that improves hippocampal efficiency and little is added to the relationship with further education, so significant improvement cannot be observed. Indeed, a previous study showed that the relationship between cognitive performance and brain structure was more influenced by education in the less educated group (mean 7 years of education) than in the more educated (mean 13 years) (Mungas et al., 2009). Because of limitations in our sample size,
we could not test this proposition that we hope to test it in future research.

Including participants with dementia and a high burden of white-matter lesions could have resulted in greater noise, reducing our ability to investigate the hippocampus-memory relationship, and may be considered a limitation of our study. However, we controlled for the cognitive diagnosis and the volume of white matter lesions in the regression model; therefore, our findings can be considered independent from these effects. Another limitation of our study is that we did not account for other possible cognitive reserve contributors such as occupational attainment and intellectual engagement in leisure activities. However, because our final models explained more than 70% of the variation on the episodic-memory scores, adding those other cognitive reserve components would be unlikely to significantly change our results. Unfortunately, an important limitation of our study was that we did not have information about the Intelligence Quotient (IQ) and the socioeconomic status of all the participants. People with lower educational levels probably had lower IQ and came from a lower socioeconomic background which is associated with worse nutrition and lack of access to adequate health care, which can also influence brain health. Indeed, it is difficult to disentangle the role of each component of cognitive reserve on shaping the relationship between a brain structure and a brain function. Although each aspect might play an independent and additive role, we believe that years of education is a reasonable proxy that reflect the overall consequences of a brain that was not adequately stimulated during childhood. Finally, another limitation of our study is that we did not perform a deep evaluation of the executive functioning of all our participants. It is known that executive functioning plays an important role on episodic memory processing through connections between the hippocampus and prefrontal regions (Buckner, 2004; Metzler-Baddeley et al., 2011). Therefore, in our study, we cannot disentangle the executive functioning component of the relationship between hippocampal size and episodic memory performance.

Our findings shed light on a potential cognitive reserve mechanism in the context of low education. Considering that the hippocampi are thought to preserve their plasticity even at later ages (Walhovd et al., 2016), developing preventive strategies focused on improving the educational level by few years may prevent the episodic memory impairment caused by AD in some cases, which is particularly important for underserved populations who have a low educational attainment and are facing increasing rates of dementia (Ferri and Jacob, 2017).
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