COMPARISON OF TWO NOTIONS OF WEAK CROSSED PRODUCT

JORGE A. GUCCIONE AND JUAN J. GUCCIONE

Abstract. We compare the restriction to the context of weak Hopf algebras of the notion of crossed product with a Hopf algebroid introduced in [6] with the notion of crossed product with a weak Hopf algebra introduced in [5].
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Introduction

Two different notions of crossed product of an algebra $A$ with a weak Hopf algebra $H$ have been purposed. The first one is the restriction to the context of weak Hopf algebras of the notion of crossed product with a Hopf algebroid introduced by Böhm and Brzeziński in [6]. The second one was introduced in [5] and studied in a series of papers (see for instance [2, 3, 12]). The aim of this paper is to prove that there exists the last one if and only if there exists the first one and condition (10) below is fulfilled. Moreover, in this case both constructions are canonically isomorphic. Finally we provide an example which shows that there are crossed products of algebras with weak Hopf algebras in the sense of Böhm and Brzeziński that not satisfy condition (10).

1 Preliminaries

In this section we review the notion of weak Hopf algebra and the notions of crossed product that we want to compare.
1.1 Weak Hopf algebras

Weak bialgebras and weak Hopf algebras are generalizations of bialgebras and Hopf algebras, introduced in \cite{7,8}, in which the axioms about the unit, the counit and the antipode are replaced by weaker properties. Next we give a brief review of the basic properties of these structures.

Let $k$ be a field. A weak bialgebra is a $k$-vector space $H$, endowed with an algebra structure and a coalgebra structure, such that $\Delta(hl) = \Delta(h)\Delta(l)$ for all $h, l \in H$, and the equalities
\[
\Delta^2(1) = 1^{(1)} \otimes 1^{(2)} 1^{(1')} \otimes 1^{(2')} = 1^{(1)} \otimes 1^{(1')} 1^{(2)} \otimes 1^{(2')}
\]
and
\[
\epsilon(hlm) = \epsilon(hl^{(1)})\epsilon(l^{(2)})m = \epsilon(hl^{(2)})\epsilon(l^{(1)})m \quad \text{for all} \quad h, l, m \in H,
\]
are fulfilled, where we are using the Sweedler notation for the coproduct, with the summation symbol omitted. A weak Hopf algebra is a weak bialgebra and has an antipode. Weak bialgebras and weak Hopf algebras are generalizations of bialgebras and Hopf algebras, \cite{1,2,3,4,5}.

The notion of crossed product with a Hopf algebroid was introduced by Böhm and Brzeziński \cite{1}. In this subsection we review this construction. For each weak bialgebra $H$, the maps $\Pi^L: H \to H$ and $\Pi^R: H \to H$ defined by
\[
\Pi^L(h) := \epsilon(1^{(1)}h)1^{(2)} \quad \text{and} \quad \Pi^R(h) := 1^{(1)}\epsilon(h1^{(2)})
\]
respectively, are idempotent. We set $H^L := \text{Im}(\Pi^L)$ and $H^R := \text{Im}(\Pi^R)$. In \cite{6} it was proven that $H^L$ and $H^R$ are subalgebras of $H$.

Let $H$ be a weak bialgebra. An antipode of $H$ is a map $S: H \to H$ (or $S_H$ if necessary to avoid confusion), such that $h^{(1)}S(h^{(2)}) = \Pi^L(h)$, $S(h^{(1)})h^{(2)} = \Pi^R(h)$ and $S(h^{(1)})h^{(2)}S(h^{(3)}) = S(h)$, for all $h \in H$. As it was shown in \cite{7}, an antipode $S$, if there exists, is unique. It was also shown in \cite{7} that $S$ is antimultiplicative, anticomultiplicative and leaves the unit and counit invariant. A weak Hopf algebra is a weak bialgebra that has an antipode. A morphism of weak Hopf algebras $g: H \to L$ is simply a bialgebra morphism from $H$ to $L$. In \cite{1} Proposition 1.4 it was proven that if $g: H \to L$ is a weak Hopf algebra morphism, then $g \circ S_H = S_L \circ g$. From now one we assume that $H$ is a weak Hopf algebra with bijective antipode.

1.2 Crossed product $A \#^\$ H

The notion of crossed product with a Hopf algebroid was introduced by Böhm and Brzeziński in \cite{6}. Since weak Hopf algebras provide examples of Hopf algebroids, this gives a notion of crossed product with a weak Hopf algebra. In this subsection we review this construction. For the proofs of the results we refer to \cite{6} (see also \cite{11}).

Let $A$ be a $k$-algebra, $H$ a weak Hopf algebra and $p: H \otimes A \to A$ a map. We set $h \cdot a := \rho(h \otimes a)$.

**Definition 1.1.** We say that $H$ measures $A$ via $\rho$ and that $\rho$ is a measuring if
\begin{enumerate}
\item $h \cdot 1_A = \Pi^L(h) \cdot 1_A$
\item $h \cdot (aa') = (h^{(1)} \cdot a)(h^{(2)} \cdot a')$
\item $S^{-1}(l)h \cdot a = (h \cdot a)(l \cdot 1_A)$ and $lh \cdot a = (l \cdot 1_A)(h \cdot a)$
\end{enumerate}
for all $h \in H$, $l \in H^L$ and $a, a' \in A$. The measuring is unital if
\begin{enumerate}
\item $1 \cdot a = a$ for all $a \in A$
\end{enumerate}
By items (3) and (4) we have
\[
\begin{align*}
\rho(h \cdot (k \cdot a)) &= h k \cdot a & \text{for all} \quad h \in H^L, k \in H \quad \text{and} \quad a \in A.
\end{align*}
\]
In the rest of this subsection
- we assume that $\rho$ is a unital measuring,
- given a map $\sigma: H \otimes \mu^L \to A$ we write $\sigma(h, k)$ instead of $\sigma(h \otimes \mu^L k)$,
- given a map $\sigma: H \otimes_{H^L} H \to A$ we write $\sigma(h, k)$ instead of $\sigma(h \otimes_{H^L} k)$.

**Definition 1.2.** A map $\sigma: H \otimes_{H^R} H \to A$ is a normal cocycle if

(5) $\sigma(h, k) = (l \cdot 1_A)\sigma(h, k)$ and $\sigma(S^{-1}(l)h, k) = \sigma(h, k)(l \cdot 1_A)$,

(6) $(h^{(1)} \cdot (l \cdot 1_A))\sigma(h^{(2)}, k) = \sigma(h, lk)$,

(7) $\sigma(1, h) = \sigma(h, 1) = h \cdot 1_A$,

(8) $(h^{(1)} \cdot \sigma(k^{(1)}))\sigma(h^{(2)}, k^{(2)}m^{(2)}) = \sigma(h^{(1)}, k^{(1)})\sigma(h^{(2)}, m)$,

for all $h, k, m \in H$ and $l \in H^L$.

**Definition 1.3.** A map $\sigma: H \otimes_{H^R} H \to A$ satisfy the twisted module condition if

(9) $\sigma(h^{(1)} \cdot (k^{(1)} \cdot a))\sigma(h^{(2)}, k^{(2)}) = \sigma(h^{(1)}, k^{(1)})(h^{(2)} \cdot k^{(2)} \cdot a)$ for all $h, k \in H$ and $a \in A$.

**Proposition 1.4.** The following facts hold:

- $h \cdot (k \cdot a) = hk \cdot a$ for all $h \in H^L H^R$, $k \in H$ and $a \in A$.
- $\sigma(h, k) = \sigma(h^{(1)} \cdot k^{(1)})(h^{(2)} k^{(2)} \cdot 1_A)$ for all $h, k \in H$.

**Proof.** The first item follows easily from items (3) and (4). We next prove the second one. By items (1), (6) and (9), we have

$$\begin{align*}
\sigma(h^{(1)} \cdot k^{(1)})(h^{(2)} k^{(2)} \cdot 1_A) &= \sigma(h^{(1)} \cdot (k^{(1)} \cdot 1_A))\sigma(h^{(2)}, k^{(2)}) \\
&= \sigma(h^{(1)}, (\Pi^L (k^{(1)}) \cdot 1_A))\sigma(h^{(2)}, k^{(2)}) \\
&= \sigma(h, \Pi^L (k^{(1)}) k^{(2)}).
\end{align*}$$

Since $\Pi^L (k^{(1)}) k^{(2)} = k$ this ends the proof. □

**Theorem 1.5.** Let $\sigma: H \otimes_{H^R} H \to A$ be a map satisfying conditions (5) and (6) of Definition 1.2. Consider $A$ a right $H^L$-module via $a \cdot l := a(l \cdot 1_A)$. The $k$-vector space $A \otimes_{H^L} H$ is an associative algebra with unit $1_A \otimes_{H^L} 1$ via

$$(a \otimes_{H^L} h)(b \otimes_{H^L} k) := a(h^{(1)} \cdot b)\sigma(h^{(2)}, k^{(1)}) \otimes_{H^R} h^{(3)} k^{(2)}$$

if and only if the measuring $\rho$ is unital and $\sigma$ is a normal cocycle that satisfies the twisted module condition.

**Definition 1.6.** The algebra constructed in Theorem 1.5 is denoted $A\#^\rho_H$ and is called the weak crossed product of $A$ with $H$ associated with $\rho$ and $\sigma$.

We consider $A\#^\rho_H$ as a left $A$-module via the natural action and as a right $H$-comodule via the map $\delta: A\#^\rho_H \to A\#^\rho_H H \otimes H$, defined by $\delta(a \otimes_{H^L} h) := a \otimes_{H^L} h^{(1)} \cdot h^{(2)}$.

**Proposition 1.7.** Under conditions (1), (2), (6) and (7) the following assertions are equivalent

(10) $h \cdot (l \cdot 1_A) = hl \cdot 1_A$ for all $h \in H$ and $l \in H^L$,

(11) $h \cdot (l \cdot 1_A) = hl \cdot 1_A$ for all $h, l \in H$,

(12) $\sigma(h, l) = \sigma(hl, 1)$ for all $h \in H$ and $l \in H^L$.

**Proof.** (1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (2). Since, by item (1) and Equality (2.5a)], we have

$$hl \cdot 1_A = \Pi^L (hl) \cdot 1_A = \Pi^L (h\Pi^L (l)) \cdot 1_A = h\Pi^L (l) \cdot 1_A \quad \text{and} \quad h \cdot (\Pi^L (l) \cdot 1_A) = h \cdot (l \cdot 1_A),$$

for all $h, l \in H$.

(1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (3). Since, by items (2), (6) and (7), we have

$$\sigma(h, l) = (h^{(1)} \cdot (l \cdot 1_A))\sigma(h^{(2)}, 1) = (h^{(1)} \cdot (l \cdot 1_A))h^{(2)} \cdot 1_A = h \cdot (l \cdot 1_A) \quad \text{and} \quad hl \cdot 1_A = \sigma(hl, 1),$$

for all $h$ and $l \in H^L$. □
Definition 1.8. We say that a normal cocycle $\sigma: H \otimes_H L \rightarrow A$ satisfying the twisted module condition is invertible if there exists a map $\tilde{\sigma}: H \otimes_H L \rightarrow A$ satisfying

$$(13) \quad \tilde{\sigma}(h, k) = (h^{(1)} k^{(1)} \cdot 1_A) \tilde{\sigma}(h^{(2)}, k^{(2)}),$$

$$(14) \quad \tilde{\sigma}(l h, k) = (l \cdot 1_A) \tilde{\sigma}(h, k) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\sigma}(S^{-1}(l) h, k) = \tilde{\sigma}(h, k)(l \cdot 1_A),$$

$$(15) \quad \tilde{\sigma}(h^{(1)}, k)(k^{(2)} \cdot (l \cdot 1_A)) = \tilde{\sigma}(h, S^{-1}(l) k),$$

$$(16) \quad \tilde{\sigma}(h^{(1)}, k^{(1)})\tilde{\sigma}(h^{(2)}, k^{(2)}) = h \cdot (k \cdot 1_A) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\sigma}(h^{(1)}, k^{(1)})\tilde{\sigma}(h^{(2)}, k^{(2)}) = h k \cdot 1_A,$$

for all $h, k \in H$ and $l \in H^L$.

Remark 1.9. By item (13) and (16), we have

$$\tilde{\sigma}(h^{(1)}, k^{(1)})(h^{(2)}, k^{(2)} \cdot 1_A)) = \tilde{\sigma}(h^{(1)}, k^{(1)})(h^{(2)}, k^{(2)})\tilde{\sigma}(h^{(3)}, k^{(3)}),$$

$$= (h^{(1)} k^{(1)} \cdot 1_A)\tilde{\sigma}(h^{(2)}, k^{(2)}),$$

$$= \tilde{\sigma}(h, k).$$

An standard computation using this shows that $\tilde{\sigma}$, which is called the inverse of $\sigma$, is unique.

Remark 1.10. If $\tilde{\sigma}: H \otimes_H L \rightarrow A$ satisfies items (14)–(16), then the map $\tilde{\sigma}: H \otimes_H L \rightarrow A$, defined by $\tilde{\sigma}(h, k) := (h^{(1)} k^{(1)} \cdot 1_A)\tilde{\sigma}(h^{(2)}, k^{(2)})$, satisfies items (13)–(16).

$$\tilde{\sigma}(h, k) = (h^{(1)} k^{(1)} \cdot 1_A)\tilde{\sigma}(h^{(2)}, k^{(2)})$$

$$= (h^{(1)} k^{(1)} \cdot 1_A)(h^{(2)} k^{(2)} \cdot 1_A)\tilde{\sigma}(h^{(3)}, k^{(3)})$$

$$= (h^{(1)} k^{(1)} \cdot 1_A)\tilde{\sigma}(h^{(2)}, k^{(2)}).$$

1.3 Crossed product $A \rtimes^\rho_H$ In this subsection we review the construction of weak crossed products over weak Hopf algebras introduced in [3]. As in the previous subsection $A$ is a $k$-algebra, $H$ is a weak Hopf algebra, $\rho: H \otimes H \rightarrow A$ is a map and we set $h \cdot a := \rho(h \otimes a)$.

Definition 1.11. We say that $A$ is a left weak $H$-module algebra via $\rho$, if it satisfies conditions (2), (4) and (11). In this case we say that $\rho$ is a left weak action of $H$ on $A$.

In the rest of this subsection we assume that $A$ is a left weak $H$-module algebra via $\rho$.

Definition 1.12. Let $\zeta: H \otimes H \rightarrow A$ be a map. We say that:

$$(17) \quad \zeta \text{ is a cocycle if}$$

$$\zeta(h^{(1)} \cdot (k^{(1)} \otimes m^{(1)}))\zeta(h^{(2)} \otimes k^{(2)} m^{(2)}) = \zeta(h^{(1)} \otimes k^{(1)})\zeta(h^{(2)} k^{(2)} \otimes m) \quad \text{for all} \ h, k, m \in H.$$  

$$\zeta(h^{(1)} \cdot (k^{(1)} \cdot a)\zeta(h^{(2)} \otimes k^{(2)}) = \zeta(h^{(1)} \otimes k^{(1)})\zeta(h^{(2)} k^{(2)} \cdot a) \quad \text{for all} \ h, k \in H \text{ and } a \in A.$$

Let $\nu: k \rightarrow A \otimes H$ be the map defined by $\nu(\lambda) := \lambda^{(1)} \cdot 1_A \otimes 1^{(2)}$. In the sequel we assume that

$$(19) \quad \zeta(h \otimes k) = \zeta(h^{(1)} \otimes k^{(1)})(h^{(2)} k^{(2)} \cdot 1_A),$$

$$(20) \quad h \cdot 1_A = (h^{(1)} \cdot (1^{(1)} \cdot 1_A))\zeta(h^{(2)} \otimes 1^{(2)}),$$

$$(21) \quad h \cdot 1_A = (1^{(1)} \cdot 1_A)\zeta(1^{(2)} \otimes h),$$

$$(22) \quad a(1^{(1)} \cdot 1_A) \otimes 1^{(2)} = 1^{(1)} \cdot a \otimes 1^{(2)},$$
for all \( h, k \in H \) and \( a \in A \).

**Remark 1.13.** Under conditions (18) and (19), conditions (20) and (21) are equivalent to the fact that \( \varsigma(h \otimes 1) = h \cdot 1_A \) for all \( h \in H \). (See [10] Remarks 2.17 and 2.19).

**Remark 1.14.** From condition (11), (18) and (19) it follows that
\[
(h^{(1)}k^{(1)} \cdot 1_A)\varsigma(h^{(2)} \otimes k^{(2)}) = (h^{(1)} \cdot (k^{(1)} \cdot 1_A))\varsigma(h^{(2)} \otimes k^{(2)}) = \varsigma(h^{(1)} \otimes k^{(1)}) (h^{(2)}k^{(2)} \cdot 1_A) = \varsigma(h \otimes k).
\]
for all \( h, k \in H \).

Let \( A \times H \) be the image of the map \( \nabla_p : A \otimes H \rightarrow A \otimes H \), defined by \( \nabla_p(a \otimes h) := a(h^{(1)} \cdot 1_A) \otimes h^{(2)} \).

From now on, for each simple tensor \( a \otimes h \in A \otimes H \), we set \( a \times h := \nabla_p(a \otimes h) \).

**Theorem 1.15.** Under conditions (2), (4), (11) and (17)–(22), the \( k \)-vector space \( A \times H \) is an associative algebra with unit \( 1_A \times 1 \), via
\[
(a \times h)(b \times k) := a(h^{(1)} \cdot b) \varsigma(h^{(2)} \otimes k^{(1)}) \times h^{(3)}k^{(2)},
\]

**Definition 1.16.** The algebra constructed in Theorem 1.15 is denoted \( A \times \varsigma H \) and is called the weak crossed product of \( A \) with \( H \) associated with \( \rho \) and \( \varsigma \).

We consider \( A \times \varsigma H \) as a left \( A \)-module via the natural action and as a right \( H \)-comodule via the map \( \eta : A \times \varsigma H \rightarrow A \times \varsigma H \otimes H \), defined by \( \eta(a \times h) := (a \times h^{(1)}) \otimes h^{(2)} \).

**Definition 1.17.** Assume that conditions (2), (4), (11) and (17)–(25) are fulfilled. We say that \( \varsigma : H \otimes A \rightarrow A \) is invertible if there exists a map \( \varsigma : H \otimes A \rightarrow A \) satisfying
\[
\begin{align*}
(23) & \quad \varsigma(h, k) = (h^{(1)}k^{(1)} \cdot 1_A)\varsigma(h^{(2)} \otimes k^{(2)}), \\
(24) & \quad \varsigma(h^{(1)} \otimes k^{(1)})\varsigma(h^{(2)} \otimes k^{(2)}) = \varsigma(h^{(1)} \otimes k^{(1)})\varsigma(h^{(2)} \otimes k^{(2)}) = hk \cdot 1_A,
\end{align*}
\]
for all \( h, k \in H \).

The map \( \varsigma \) is unique, satisfies \( \varsigma(h \otimes k) = (h^{(1)}k^{(1)} \cdot 1_A)\varsigma(h^{(2)} \otimes k^{(2)}) \) and is called the inverse of \( \varsigma \) (see the discussion below [10] Definition 5.6).

## 2 The comparison

Let \( A \) be a \( k \)-algebra, \( H \) be a weak Hopf algebra and \( \rho : H \otimes A \rightarrow A \) a map. As in Subsections 1.2 and 1.3 we set \( h \cdot a := \rho(h \otimes a) \).

### 2.1 Crossed products \( A \#_\rho H \) that induce crossed products \( A \times \varsigma H \)

The aim of this subsection is to prove the following result:

**Theorem 2.1.** Let \( \sigma : H \otimes_{\beta^n} H \rightarrow A \) be a map and let \( \varsigma := \sigma \circ p \), where \( p : H \otimes H \rightarrow H \otimes_{\beta^n} H \) is the canonical surjection. If the maps \( \rho \) and \( \sigma \) satisfy conditions (1)–(10) then \( \rho \) and \( \varsigma \) satisfy conditions (2), (4), (11) and (17)–(22).

From here to the end of this subsection we assume that conditions (1)–(10) are fulfilled.

**Proof of Theorem 2.1.** Items (2) and (4) are trivially fulfilled. By Proposition 1.7 item (11) is fulfilled. Items (17) and (18) follow from items (8) and (9) respectively, while item (19) follows from Proposition 1.4. By Proposition 1.7 and items (2), (7) and (10), we have
\[
(h^{(1)} \cdot (1^{(1)} \cdot 1_A))\varsigma(h^{(2)} \otimes 1^{(2)}) = (h^{(1)}1^{(1)} \cdot 1_A)\sigma(h^{(2)}1^{(2)}, 1) = (h^{(1)} \cdot 1_A)(h^{(2)} \cdot 1_A) = h \cdot 1_A,
\]
for all \( h, k \in H \).

\(^{1}\)A map \( \varsigma \) satisfying this condition is called normal.
which proves that item (20) holds. By items (4), (6) and (7), we have
\[(1^{(1)} \cdot 1_A) \varsigma(1^{(2)} \otimes h) = (1^{(1)} \cdot (1 \cdot 1_A)) \sigma(1^{(2)}, h) = \sigma(1, h) = h \cdot 1_A,\]
which proves item (21). Finally, in order to check item (22), it suffices to note that by Equality \[
(1^{(1)} \cdot 1_A)(1^{(2)} \cdot a) \otimes 1^{(3)} = (1^{(1)} \cdot a)(1^{(2)} \cdot (1^{(1)} \cdot 1_A)) \otimes 1^{(3)}
\]
as desired.

**Proposition 2.2.** Under the hypotheses of Theorem 2.1, if \(\sigma\) is invertible, then \(\varsigma\) is also.

**Proof.** Let \(\bar{\sigma}\) be the inverse of \(\sigma\) and let \(\tilde{\varsigma} := \bar{\sigma} \circ q\), where \(q: H \otimes H \to H \otimes H\) is the canonical surjection. From items (11), (13) and (16) it follows that \(\tilde{\varsigma}\) satisfies items (23) and (24). \(\Box\)

### 2.2 Crossed product \(A#_{\rho}^\varsigma H\) associated with a crossed product \(A \times_{\varsigma}^\rho H\)

The aim of this subsection is to prove the following result:

**Theorem 2.3.** Let \(\varsigma: H \otimes H \to A\) be a map. If the maps \(\rho\) and \(\varsigma\) satisfy conditions (2), (4), (11) and (17)–(22), then \(\varsigma\) factorizes throughout a map \(\sigma: H \otimes H \to A\) and the maps \(\rho\) and \(\sigma\) satisfy conditions (1)–(9).

From here to the end of this subsection we assume that conditions (2), (4), (11) and (17)–(22) are fulfilled.

**Lemma 2.4.** The equality \(k \cdot (h \cdot a) = kh \cdot a\) holds for all \(k \in H^L \cup H^R, h \in H\) and \(a \in A\).

**Proof.** We have,
\[
k \cdot (h \cdot a) = k^{(1)} \cdot (h^{(1)} \cdot a)(k^{(2)}h^{(2)} \cdot 1_A)
\]
\[
= k^{(1)} \cdot (h^{(1)} \cdot a)\varsigma(k^{(2)} \otimes h^{(2)})
\]
\[
= \varsigma(k^{(1)} \otimes h^{(1)})(k^{(2)}h^{(2)} \cdot a)
\]
\[
= (k^{(1)}h^{(1)} \cdot 1_A)(k^{(2)}h^{(2)} \cdot a)
\]
\[
= kh \cdot a.
\]
where the first equality follows from items (2) and (11); the second and the fourth ones, from Remark 1.13; the fact that \(\Delta(k) \in H^L H^R \otimes H^R H^L\) and Propositions 2.7 and 2.8; the third one, from item (18); and the last one, from item (2). \(\Box\)

**Lemma 2.5.** For all \(h, k \in H\),
\[
(h^{(1)} \cdot 1_A)\varsigma(h^{(2)} \otimes k) = \varsigma(h^{(1)} \otimes k)(h^{(2)} \cdot 1_A) = \varsigma(h \otimes k).
\]

**Proof.** By Remark 1.14 and item (2),
\[
(h^{(1)} \cdot 1_A)\varsigma(h^{(2)} \otimes k) = (h^{(1)} \cdot 1_A)(h^{(2)} \cdot (k^{(1)} \cdot 1_A)\varsigma(h^{(3)} \otimes k^{(2)}))
\]
\[
= (h^{(1)} \cdot (k^{(1)} \cdot 1_A)\varsigma(h^{(2)} \otimes k^{(2)}))
\]
\[
= \varsigma(h \otimes k).
\]
and by items (2), (11) and (19),
\[ \varsigma(h^{(1)} \otimes k)(h^{(2)} \cdot 1_A) = \varsigma(h^{(1)} \otimes k^{(1)})(h^{(2)}k^{(2)} \cdot 1_A)(h^{(3)} \cdot 1_A) \]
\[ = \varsigma(h^{(1)} \otimes k^{(1)})(h^{(2)} \cdot (k^{(2)} \cdot 1_A))(h^{(3)} \cdot 1_A) \]
\[ = \varsigma(h^{(1)} \otimes k^{(1)})(h^{(2)} \cdot 1_A) \]
\[ = \varsigma(h \otimes k), \]
which finishes the proof. \(\square\)

**Remark 2.6.** By Remark 2.14, \(\epsilon(h^{(1)}l^{(1)})\varsigma(h^{(2)} \otimes l^{(2)}) = \epsilon(h^{(1)}l^{(1)})\varsigma(h^{(3)} \otimes l^{(3)}) = (h^{(1)}l^{(1)} \cdot 1_A)\varsigma(h^{(2)} \otimes l^{(2)}) = \varsigma(h \otimes l)\).

**Proof of Theorem 2.7.** By item (19) and [10] Propositions 2.4 and 2.7, the map \(\sigma\) exists. Items (2) and (4) are trivially fulfilled. By items (17) and (18), items (6) and (9) hold. Moreover by [10] Proposition 4.2 and items (2), (4) and (11), item (1) also holds. Let \(\Pi^L := S^{-1} \circ \Pi^L\) and \(l \in H^L, h \in H\) and \(a \in A\). By items (2), (4) and (11), Lemma 2.4 and [10] Propositions 4.1 and 4.2, we have
\[ (l \cdot 1_A)(h \cdot a) = l \cdot (h \cdot a) = lh \cdot a \]
and
\[ (h \cdot a)(l \cdot 1_A) = \Pi^L(l) \cdot (h \cdot a) = S^{-1}(l) \cdot (h \cdot a) = S^{-1}(l)h \cdot a, \]
which proves item (3). In order to check item (5), it is sufficient to note that, by Lemma 2.5 [7] Equalities (2.7a) and (2.7b), and item (3), \(\sigma(lh, k) = (l^{(1)}h^{(1)} \cdot 1_A)\sigma(l^{(2)}h^{(2)}, k) \)
\[ = (lh^{(1)} \cdot 1_A)\sigma(h^{(2)}, k) \]
\[ = (l \cdot 1_A)(h^{(1)} \cdot 1_A)\sigma(h^{(2)}, k) \]
\[ = (l \cdot 1_A)\sigma(h, k), \]
and
\[ \sigma(S^{-1}(l)h, k) = \sigma(S^{-1}(l^{(1)}h^{(1)}, k)(S^{-1}(l^{(2)}h^{(2)} \cdot 1_A) \]
\[ = \sigma(h^{(1)}, k)(S^{-1}(l^{(2)} \cdot 1_A) \]
\[ = \sigma(h^{(1)}, k)(h^{(2)} \cdot 1_A)(l \cdot 1_A) \]
\[ = \sigma(h, k)(l \cdot 1_A). \]

By item (11), [7] Equality (2.7a), Lemma 2.5 and [10] Proposition 2.8 (which applies by Remark 2.6), we have \(\sigma(lh, k) = (h^{(1)} \cdot 1_A)\sigma(h^{(2)}, k) = (h^{(1)}h^{(1)} \cdot 1_A)\sigma(h^{(2)}l^{(2)}, k) = \sigma(hl, h) = \sigma(h, l)\), for all \(l \in H^L\) and \(h, k \in H\). So, item (6) holds. Finally, item (7) follows from Remark 1.13 and items (20) and (21). \(\square\)

**Proposition 2.7.** Under the hypotheses of Theorem 2.5, if \(\varsigma\) is invertible, then \(\sigma\) is also.

**Proof.** Let \(\varsigma\) be the inverse of \(\varsigma\). By [10] Proposition 5.13, the map \(\varsigma\) factorizes throughout a map \(\overline{\varsigma}: H \otimes_{H^L} H \rightarrow A\). Item (13) follows immediately from item (23), while item (16) follows from items (11) and (24). We next prove item (14). By item (11) and Remark 1.9 we have \(\overline{\varsigma}(h, k) = \overline{\sigma}(h^{(1)}, k^{(1)})(h^{(2)}k^{(2)} \cdot 1_A) \) for all \(h, k \in H\). (2.3)
Using this, items (3), (13) and \[\text{Equality (2.7a) and (2.7b)}\], we obtain that

\[
\bar{\sigma}(lh, k) = (l^{(1)}h^{(1)}k^{(1)} \cdot 1_A)\bar{\sigma}(l^{(2)}h^{(2)}, k^{(2)})
\]
\[
= (l \cdot 1_A)(h^{(1)}k^{(1)} \cdot 1_A)\bar{\sigma}(h^{(2)}, k^{(2)})
\]
\[
= (l \cdot 1_A)\bar{\sigma}(h, k)
\]

and

\[
\bar{\sigma}(S^{-1}(l)h, k) = \bar{\sigma}(S^{-1}(l^{(1)}h^{(1)}), k^{(1)})(S^{-1}(l^{(2)}h^{(2)}), 1_A)
\]
\[
= \bar{\sigma}(h^{(1)}, k^{(1)})(S^{-1}(l^{(2)}h^{(2)}), 1_A)
\]
\[
= \bar{\sigma}(h^{(1)}, k^{(1)})(h^{(2)}k^{(2)} \cdot 1_A)(l \cdot 1_A)
\]
\[
= \bar{\sigma}(h, k)(l \cdot 1_A)
\]

for all \(h, k \in H\) and \(l \in H^L\), which is item (14). It remains to prove item (15). By the first equality in \[\text{2.3}, \text{Equality (2.7b)}\] and items (2), (3) and (11), we obtain that

\[
\bar{\sigma}(h, S^{-1}(l)k) = \bar{\sigma}(h^{(1)}, S^{-1}(l^{(1)}k^{(1)}))(h^{(2)}S^{-1}(l^{(2)}k^{(2)}), 1_A)
\]
\[
= \bar{\sigma}(h^{(1)}, k^{(1)})(h^{(2)}S^{-1}(l^{(2)}k^{(2)}), 1_A)
\]
\[
= \bar{\sigma}(h^{(1)}, k^{(1)})(h^{(2)}k^{(2)}), 1_A)
\]
\[
= \bar{\sigma}(h^{(1)}, k^{(1)})(h^{(2)}k^{(2)} \cdot 1_A)(l \cdot 1_A)
\]
\[
= \bar{\sigma}(h^{(1)}, k^{(1)})(h^{(2)}k^{(2)} \cdot 1_A)(l \cdot 1_A)
\]
\[
= \bar{\sigma}(h^{(1)}, k^{(1)})(h^{(2)}k^{(2)} \cdot 1_A)(l \cdot 1_A)
\]

for all \(h, k \in H\) and \(l \in H^L\), as desired. \(\square\)

### 2.3 The isomorphism

Let \(H\) be a weak Hopf algebra, let \(A\) be an algebra, let \(p : H \otimes H \rightarrow H \otimes_{\mathcal{H}^L} H\) be the canonical surjection and let \(\rho : H \otimes A \rightarrow A\) and \(\sigma : H \otimes_{\mathcal{H}^A} H \rightarrow A\) be maps. Assume that \(\rho\) and \(\sigma\) satisfy conditions (1)–(10) (from which \(\rho\) and \(\varsigma := \sigma \circ p\) satisfy conditions (2), (4), (11) and (17)–(22)).

Let \(i : A \times_{\mathcal{H}} H \rightarrow A \otimes H\) be the canonical inclusion and let \(\pi : A \otimes H \rightarrow A \#_{\mathcal{H}} H\) be the canonical surjection. Let \(\nabla_{\rho}\) be as above of Theorem 1.15. Since, for \(a \in A\) and \(h \in H\),

\[
(\pi \circ \nabla_{\rho})(a \otimes h) = a(h^{(1)} \cdot 1_A) \otimes_{\mathcal{H}^L} h^{(2)} = a \cdot \Pi^L(h^{(1)}) \otimes_{\mathcal{H}^L} h^{(2)} = a \otimes_{\mathcal{H}^L} \Pi^L(h^{(1)})h^{(2)} = a \otimes_{\mathcal{H}^L} h,
\]

we have \(\pi \circ \nabla_{\rho} = \pi\). Clearly we also have \(\nabla_{\rho} \circ i = i\).

**Proposition 2.8.** The map \(\psi : A \times_{\mathcal{H}} H \rightarrow A \#_{\mathcal{H}} H\), defined by \(\psi := \pi \circ i\), is a left \(A\)-linear and right \(H\)-colinear algebra isomorphism.

**Proof.** Let \(a, b \in A\) and \(h, k \in H\). Since

\[
\psi(a \times h)\psi(b \times k) = (a(h^{(1)} \cdot 1_A) \otimes_{\mathcal{H}^L} h^{(2)})(b(k^{(1)} \cdot 1_A) \otimes_{\mathcal{H}^L} k^{(2)})
\]
\[
= a(h^{(1)} \cdot 1_A)(b(k^{(1)} \cdot 1_A))\sigma(h^{(3)}k^{(3)}), 1_A) \otimes_{\mathcal{H}^L} h^{(4)}k^{(4)}
\]
\[
= a(h^{(1)} \cdot b)(h^{(2)} \cdot (k^{(1)} \cdot 1_A))\sigma(h^{(3)}k^{(3)}), k^{(2)}) \otimes_{\mathcal{H}^L} h^{(4)}k^{(3)}
\]
\[
= a(h^{(1)} \cdot b)\sigma(h^{(2)}k^{(3)}), k^{(2)} \cdot 1_A) \otimes_{\mathcal{H}^L} h^{(4)}k^{(3)}
\]
Remark 2.9. The unit is \( \nabla \). The map \( \psi \) is a unitary algebra morphism. It is clear that it is also left \( A \)-linear and right \( H \)-colinear. Let \( l \in H^l \), \( h \in H \) and \( a \in A \). Since, by item (3) and Equality (2.7a),

\[
\nabla_p (a \cdot l \otimes h) = a(l \cdot 1_A)(h^{(1)} \cdot 1_A) \otimes h^{(2)} = a((l^{(1)}h^{(1)}) \cdot 1_A) \otimes (l^{(2)}h^{(2)}) = \nabla_p (a \otimes l h),
\]

there exists \( \phi : A \#_g H \rightarrow A \times \ell^l H \) such that \( i \circ \phi \circ \pi = \nabla_p \). The computations

\[
i \circ \phi \circ \psi = i \circ \phi \circ \pi \circ i = \nabla_p \circ i = i \quad \text{and} \quad \psi \circ \phi \circ \pi = \pi \circ i \circ \phi \circ \pi = \pi \circ \nabla_p = \pi,
\]

prove that \( \phi \) and \( \psi \) are inverses one of each other. \( \square \)

Remark 2.9. The isomorphism introduced in the previous proposition is natural in an evident sense.

Example 2.10. Let \( k \) be a field and \( K := k \times k \). Let \( C := \{1, g\} \) be the cyclic order 2 and let \( H := K \otimes [C] \otimes K \). For \( a, b, c, d \in k \), we set \( \lambda_{ab} := (a, b) \otimes 1 \otimes (c, d) \) and \( G_{ab} := (a, b) \otimes g \otimes (c, d) \).

The \( k \)-vector space \( H \) is a weak Hopf algebra via the multiplication defined by

\[
\lambda_{ab} \lambda_{cd} = \lambda_{ac,bd} \quad \text{and} \quad G_{ab} G_{cd} = G_{ab,cd},
\]

and the comultiplication defined by

\[
\Delta (\lambda_{ab}) = \lambda_{a1}^0 \otimes \lambda_{1b}^0 + \lambda_{ab}^0 \otimes \lambda_{01}^0 \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta (G_{ab}) = G_{a1}^0 \otimes G_{1b}^0 + G_{ab}^0 \otimes G_{01}^0.
\]

The unit is \( \lambda_{11}^1 \), the counit is the map \( \epsilon : H \rightarrow k \) given by \( \epsilon (\lambda_{ab}^d) = acc + bd \) and \( \epsilon (G_{ab}^d) = ad + bc \), and the antipode is the map \( S : H \rightarrow H \) given by \( S (\lambda_{ab}^d) = \lambda_{Cd,b}^d \) and \( S (G_{ab}^d) = G_{ab}^d \).

A straightforward computation shows that the maps \( \Pi^1 : H \rightarrow H \) and \( \Pi^2 : H \rightarrow H \) are given by

\[
\Pi^1 (\lambda_{ab}^d) = \lambda_{ad,bc}^1, \quad \Pi^2 (G_{ab}^d) = \lambda_{bd,ac}^1 \quad \text{and} \quad \Pi^2 (G_{ab}^d) = \lambda_{bc,ad}^1.
\]

The map \( \rho : H \otimes K \rightarrow K \), defined by

\[
\lambda_{ab} \cdot (x, y) := (axc, byd) \quad \text{and} \quad G_{ab} \cdot (x, y) := (axd, byc),
\]

where \( h \cdot (x, y) \) denotes \( \rho (h)(x, y) \) satisfies conditions (1)–(4); and the map \( \sigma : H \otimes \mu H \rightarrow K \), defined by

\[
\sigma (\lambda_{ab}^d, \lambda_{cd}^f) := (aad, \text{cc}, bb, dd') \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma (G_{ab}^d, G_{cd}^f) := (aad, cd, bd', dc'),
\]

satisfies conditions (5)–(9). Moreover \( \sigma \) is invertible in the sense of Definition 1.8. Since \( \sigma \) does not fulfill condition (10), this shows that condition (10) in Theorem 2.1 cannot be eliminated.
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