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Abstract. The total diameter of a closed planar curve $C \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is the integral of its antipodal chord lengths. We show that this quantity is bounded below by twice the area of $C$. Furthermore, when $C$ is convex or centrally symmetric, the lower bound is twice as large. Both inequalities are sharp and the equality holds in the convex case only when $C$ is a circle. We also generalize these results to $m$ dimensional submanifolds of $\mathbb{R}^n$, where the “area” will be defined in terms of the mod 2 winding numbers of the submanifold about the $n-m-1$ dimensional affine subspaces of $\mathbb{R}^n$.

1. Introduction

Integrals of chord lengths of closed curves in Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n$ are natural geometric quantities which have been studied since Crofton (see Note 1.3 for historical background). More recently basic inequalities involving these integrals have been used \[1\] to settle conjectures of Freedman-He-Wang \[6\] and O’Hara \[11\] on knot energies. See also \[5\] for other applications to problems in physics. A fundamental result in this area \[1, Cor. 3.2\] is the sharp inequality:

\[ \int_0^L \| f(t + L/2) - f(t) \| \, dt \leq \frac{L^2}{\pi}, \]

where $f : \mathbb{R}/L\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is a closed curve parametrized by arc length. Here, by contrast, we develop sharp lower bounds for the above integral, which we call the total diameter of $f$. To describe these results, let $M$ be a closed Riemannian $m$-manifold which has antipodal symmetry, i.e., it admits a fixed point free isometry $\phi : M \to M$ such that $\phi^2$ is the identity map. Then, for every $p \in M$, we set $p^* := \phi(p)$, and define the total diameter of any mapping $f : M \to \mathbb{R}^n$ as

\[ TD(f) := \int_M \| f(p^*) - f(p) \| \, dp, \]

which generalizes the integral in (1). Further note that the integrand here is the length of the line segment $f(p)f(p^*)$ which we call an antipodal chord of $f$. Next, to bound this quantity from below, we define the “area” of $f$ as follows. Let $AG =$
AG\textsubscript{n,n−m−1} denote the Grassmannian space of affine n−m−1 dimensional subspaces of \(R^n\). There exists an invariant measure \(dA\) on AG such that for any smooth compact \(m+1\) dimensional embedded submanifold \(S \subset R^n\), the \((m+1)\)-volume of \(S\) coincides with the integral over all \(\lambda \in AG\) of the cardinality of \(\lambda \cap S\), see [18, p. 245]. Let \(w_2(f,\lambda)\) denote the winding number mod 2 of \(f\) about \(\lambda\). Then the area of \(f\) is defined as

\[
A(f) := \int_{\lambda \in AG} w_2(f,\lambda) dA,
\]

which is a variation on a similar notion studied by Pohl [14], and Banchoff and Pohl [2], see Note 1.3. Note that \(w_2(f,\lambda)\) is well-defined whenever \(\lambda\) is disjoint from \(f(M)\), and consequently \(A(f)\) is well-defined when \(f(M)\) has measure zero (e.g., \(f\) is smooth). Furthermore, when \(f(M)\) is a closed embedded hypersurface, i.e., \(m = n−1\) and \(f\) is injective, \(A(f)\) is simply the volume of the region enclosed by \(f(M)\).

**Theorem 1.1.** Let \(M\) be a closed \(m\)-dimensional Riemannian manifold with antipodal symmetry, and \(f : M \to R^n\) be a \(C^1\) isometric immersion. Then

\[
TD(f) \geq 2A(f),
\]

and equality holds if and only if \(f(p) = f(p^*)\) for all \(p \in M\) (i.e., both sides of the inequality vanish). Furthermore, if \(f\) is centrally symmetric or convex, then

\[
TD(f) \geq 2(m+1)A(f),
\]

and equality holds if and only if \(f\) is a sphere.

Here centrally symmetric means that, after a translation, \(f(p) = -f(p^*)\) for all \(p \in M\). By convex we mean that \(f\) traces injectively the boundary of a convex set in an \(m+1\) dimensional affine subspace of \(R^n\). Further, when this set is a ball, we say that \(f\) is a sphere. Note that, when \(m = 1\), we may identify \(M\) with the circle \(R/LZ\), in which case [3] yields

\[
\int_0^L \|f(t + L/2) - f(t)\| \, dt \geq 4A(f)
\]

for convex planar curves \(f : R/LZ \to R^2\) parametrized by arc length. This together with [1] in turn yields \(A(f) \leq L^2/(4\pi)\), which is the classical isoperimetric inequality. For another quick application of Theorem 1.1 note that if \(D\) denotes the diameter of a planar curve \(f\), or the maximum length of all its chords, then \(LD \geq TD(f)\) and thus [3] implies that \(LD \geq 4A(f)\) when \(f\) is convex or centrally symmetric. In the convex case, this is a classical inequality due to Hayashi [8, 19].

Another interesting feature of the above theorem is that equality in [2] is never achieved when \(f\) is simple or injective; however, we will show in Section 6 that the strict inequality is still sharp for simple curves. More specifically, we construct a family of simple closed planar curves \(f_n\) such that \(TD(f_n)/A(f_n) \to 2\) as \(n \to \infty\).

The proof of Theorem 1.1 unfolds as follows. First, in Section 2 we use some basic degree theory to show that each affine space \(\lambda \in AG\) with \(w_2(f,\lambda) \neq 0\) intersects an antipodal chord of \(f\). Then in Section 3 we integrate the Jacobian of
a natural map parametrizing the antipodal chords of \( f \) to obtain (2) fairly quickly; see also Note 3.1 for an intuitive geometric proof of (2) for planar curves. The same Jacobian technique also yields the proof of the symmetric case of (3) in Section 4 with a bit more work. Next we consider the convex case of (3) in Section 5. Here, when \( m \geq 2 \), the rigidity of convex hypersurfaces (see Lemma 5.1) reduces the problem to the symmetric case already solved in the Section 4. The case of \( m = 1 \) or convex planar curves, on the other hand, requires more work, and surprisingly enough constitutes the hardest part of Theorem 1.1.

**Note 1.2** (Regularity of curves in Theorem 1.1). In the case where \( m = 1 \), or \( f: M \simeq \mathbb{R}/L\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}^n \) is a closed curve, the regularity requirement for \( f \) in Theorem 1.1 may be relaxed. In fact it suffices to assume in this case that \( f \) is rectifiable and parametrized by arc length, i.e., for every interval \( I \subset \mathbb{R} \), the length of \( f(I) \) coincides with that of \( I \). Then \( f \) will be Lipschitz and thus absolutely continuous. So, by a theorem of Lebesgue, it is differentiable almost everywhere and satisfies the fundamental theorem of calculus. Consequently, all arguments below apply to \( f \) once it is understood that the expressions involving \( f'(t) \) are meant to hold for almost all \( t \).

**Note 1.3** (Historical background). The first person to study integrals of chord lengths of planar curves seems to have been Crofton in the remarkable papers [3, 4] where he considers the length of chords cut off by a random line, and also the powers of these lengths. Furthermore these papers give the invariant measure on the space of lines in \( \mathbb{R}^2 \), that is the measure \( dA \) on \( AG_{2,1} \) mentioned above. For more on these results and their history see Santaló’s book [18, Chap. 4]. Using winding numbers to generalize the notion of volume enclosed by a simple curve or surface seems to have originated in the works of Radó [15, 16, 17], where he considers nonsimple curves in \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) and the maps from the two dimensional sphere into \( \mathbb{R}^3 \). The idea of relating integrals of linking numbers with affine subspaces to integrals of chord lengths for curves in Euclidean spaces is due to Pohl [14]. The generalization of these linking integrals to higher dimensional submanifolds, and pointing out that they extend the notion of enclosed volume to higher codimensions, appear in the paper of Banchoff and Pohl [2]. In contrast to our definition of \( A(f) \) above, Banchoff and Pohl define the area as \( \int_{\lambda \in AG} w^2(f, \lambda) dA \), where \( w(f, \lambda) \) is the winding number of \( f \) about \( \lambda \) (which is well-defined only when \( M \) is orientable). Using this concept, they generalize the classical isoperimetric inequality to nonsimple curves and higher dimensional (and codimensional) submanifolds.

2. **A Topological Lemma**

The proofs of both inequalities in Theorem 1.1 hinge on the following purely topological fact. Let us first review the general definition of winding number mod 2. Here \( M \) denotes a closed topological \( m \)-manifold, \( f: M \to \mathbb{R}^n \) is a continuous map, and \( \lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^n - f(M) \) is an \( n - m - 1 \) dimensional affine subspace. Let \( \lambda' \subset \mathbb{R}^n \) be an \( m + 1 \) dimensional affine subspace which is orthogonal to \( \lambda \), and \( \pi: \mathbb{R}^n \to \lambda' \) be the orthogonal projection. Then \( \pi(\lambda) \) consists of a single point, say \( o \), which is disjoint
from $\pi(f(M))$, and we set

$$w_2(f, \lambda) := w_2(\pi \circ f, o).$$

It remains then to define $w_2(\pi \circ f, o)$. To this end we may identify $\lambda'$ with $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ and assume that $o$ is the origin. Then $r := \pi \circ f/\|\pi \circ f\|$ yields a mapping $M \to S^m$, and we set

$$w_2(\pi \circ f, o) := \deg_2(r),$$

the degree mod 2 of $r$. If $r$ is smooth (which we may assume it is after a perturbation), $\deg_2(r)$ is simply the number of points mod 2 in $r^{-1}(q)$ where $q$ is any regular value of $r$. Alternatively, $\deg_2(r)$ may be defined in terms of the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-homology of $M$. In particular $w_2(f, \lambda)$ is well-defined even when $M$ is not orientable. See [12, p. 124] for more background on mod 2 degree theory.

We say that a topological manifold $M$ has antipodal symmetry provided that there exists a fixed point free homeomorphism $\phi: M \to M$ with $\phi^2 = \text{id}_M$. Then for every $p \in M$, the corresponding antipodal point is $p^* := \phi(p)$. An antipodal chord of $f: M \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is a line segment connecting $f(p)$ and $f(p^*)$ for some $p \in M$.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let $M$ be a closed $m$-manifold with antipodal symmetry, $f: M \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be a continuous map, and $\lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^n - f(M)$ be an $n - m - 1$ dimensional affine space such that $w_2(f, \lambda) \neq 0$. Then an antipodal chord of $f$ intersects $\lambda$.

In particular note that, according to this lemma, any point in the region enclosed by a simple closed curve $C \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ intersects some antipodal chord of $C$.

**Proof.** Let $\pi: \mathbb{R}^n \to \lambda'$, and $o := \pi(\lambda')$ be as discussed above. Suppose towards a contradiction that no antipodal chord of $f$ passes through $\lambda$. Then no antipodal chord of $\pi \circ f$ passes through $o$, and $w_2(\pi \circ f, o) = w_2(f, \lambda) \neq 0$. Now set $f_0 := \pi \circ f$, identify $\lambda'$ with $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ and $o$ with the origin. Further, define $f_1: M \to \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ by

$$f_1(p) := \frac{1}{2}(f_0(p) + f_0(p^*)).$$

Note that $F: M \times I \to \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ given by

$$F(p, t) := \left(1 - \frac{t}{2}\right)f_0(p) + \frac{t}{2}f_0(p^*)$$

gives a homotopy between $f_0$ and $f_1$ in the complement of $o$. Thus

$$w_2(f_1, o) = w_2(\pi \circ f, o) = w_2(f, \lambda) \neq 0.$$

On the other hand, $f_1(p) = f_1(p^*)$. Thus if we set $\tilde{M} := M/\phi$ and let $\pi: M \to \tilde{M}$ be the corresponding covering map, then $f_1$ induces a mapping $\tilde{f}_1: \tilde{M} \to \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ such that $\tilde{f}_1 \circ \pi = f_1$. Now let $r_1 := f_1/\|f_1\|$, $\tilde{r}_1 := \tilde{f}_1/\|\tilde{f}_1\|$. Then

$$\tilde{r}_1 \circ \pi = r_1.$$

Further note that since $M$ is a double covering of $\tilde{M}$, $\deg_2(\pi) = 0$. Thus the multiplication formula for mod 2 degree yields that

$$w_2(f_1, o) = \deg_2(r_1) = \deg_2(\tilde{r}_1) \deg_2(\pi) = \deg_2(\tilde{r}_1) \cdot 0 = 0,$$

and we have the desired contradiction. \qed
3. Proof of \( (2) \)

Equipped with the topological lemma established above, we now proceed towards proving the first inequality in Theorem 1.1. To this end, for any \( p \in M \), let

\[
\overline{J}(p) := f(p^*) - f(p),
\]

be the antipodal vector of \( f \) at \( p \), and define \( F: M \times [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}^n \) by

\[
F(p, t) := (1 - t)f(p) + tf(p^*) = f(p) + tf(p).
\]

Note that \( F \) covers each antipodal chord of \( f \) twice, and thus by Lemma 2.1, intersects each \( \lambda \in AG \) with \( w_2(\lambda, f) \neq 0 \) at least twice. Consequently

\[
2A(f) \leq \int_0^1 \int_M J(F) dp dt,
\]

where \( J(F) = J(F)(p, t) \) denotes the Jacobian of \( F \). To compute \( J(F) \), let \( e_j, 1 \leq j \leq m \), be an orthonormal basis of \( T_p M \), and \( \partial / \partial t \) be the standard basis for \( [0, 1] \). Then \( \{e_j, \partial / \partial t\} \) forms an orthonormal basis for \( T_{(p,t)}(M \times [0, 1]) \), and thus \( J(F) \) is the volume of the parallelepiped, or the norm of the \((m+1)\)-vector, spanned by the derivatives of \( F \) with respect to \( \{e_j, \partial / \partial t\} \); see [10] or [9] for more background on \( m \)-vectors and exterior algebra. More specifically, if we set

\[
F_j := dF(e_j) \quad \text{and} \quad F_t := dF \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) = \frac{\partial F}{\partial t} = \overline{J},
\]

then we have

\[
J(F) = \|F_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge F_m \wedge \overline{J}\| \leq \|F_1\| \cdots \|F_m\| \|\overline{J}\|.
\]

Next note that since \( f \) is an isometric immersion, and \( \phi \) is an isometry,

\[
\epsilon_j := df_p(e_j) \quad \text{and} \quad \epsilon_j^* := d(f \circ \phi)_p(e_j)
\]

are each orthonormal as well, and we have

\[
F_j = (1 - t)df_p(e_j) + tf(f \circ \phi)_p(e_j) = (1 - t)e_j + te_j^*.
\]

Thus

\[
\|F_j\|^2 = 1 + 2t(1 - t)(\langle \epsilon_j, \epsilon_j^* \rangle - 1) \leq 1.
\]

So it follows that

\[
J(F)(p, t) \leq \|\overline{J}(p)\|
\]

which in turn yields

\[
2A(f) \leq \int_0^1 \int_M J(F) dp dt \leq \int_M \|\overline{J}(p)\| dp = TD(f)
\]

as desired. Next, to establish the sharpness of \( (2) \), suppose that the first and last terms of the above expression are equal. Then the middle two terms will be equal as well. This in turn implies that equality holds in \( (8) \). Now \( (5) \) yields that equality must hold in \( (7) \), which can happen only if \( \langle \epsilon_j, \epsilon_j^* \rangle = 1 \). So \( \epsilon_j = \epsilon_j^* \), and we have

\[
0 = \epsilon_j^* - \epsilon_j = d(f \circ \phi)_p(e_j) - df_p(e_j) = d\overline{J}_p(e_j).
\]
Hence $\bar{f} \equiv a$ for some constant vector $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$. But $\bar{f}(p) = -\bar{f}(p^*)$. Thus $a = 0$, which yields that $f(p^*) = f(p)$ as claimed.

**Note 3.1** (A geometric proof of (2) for planar curves). Here we describe an alternate proof of (2) for planar curves $f : \mathbb{R}/L\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}^2$, which is more elementary and transparent, but may not yield the sharpness of the inequality so easily. Divide the circle $\mathbb{R}/L\mathbb{Z}$ into $2n$ arcs $C_i$ of length $\Delta t := L/2n$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}/(2n\mathbb{Z})$, and note that $C_i^* = C_{i+n}$, i.e., $C_{i+n}$ is the antipodal reflection of $C_i$ given by the correspondence $t \mapsto t^* := t + L/2$. Let $R_i$ be the region covered by all the antipodal chords connecting $f(C_i)$ and $f(C_i^*)$, and set $A_i := \text{Area}(R_i)$. By Lemma 2.1, $R_i$ covers all points $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ with $w_2(f, x) \neq 0$, as $i$ ranges from 1 to $n$. Thus

$$A(f) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_i = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{2n} A_i. \tag{9}$$

Next we are going to derive an upper bound for each $A_i$. Let $m_i$ denote the midpoint of $C_i$, and consider the disk $D_i$ of radius $\Delta t/2$ centered at $f(m_i)$. Note that, since $f$ is parametrized by arc length, $f(C_i) \subset D_i$. Now consider the diameters of $D_i$ and $D_i^* := D_{i+n}$ which are orthogonal to the antipodal chord $f(m_i)f(m_i^*)$, and let $R'_i$ be the rectangle formed by connecting the end points of these diameters, see Figure 1. Then

$$R_i \subset R'_i \cup D_i \cup D_i^*, \tag{1}$$

because $R'_i \cup D_i \cup D_i^*$ is a convex set; indeed it is the convex hull of $D_i \cup D_i^*$. Thus setting $A'_i := \text{Area}(R'_i)$, we have

$$A_i \leq A'_i + \pi \left( \frac{\Delta t}{2} \right)^2 = \| f(m_i) - f(m_i^*) \| \Delta t + \frac{\pi}{4}(\Delta t)^2.$$

So it follows that

$$A(f) \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i}^{2n} \left( \| f(m_i) - f(m_i^*) \| \Delta t + \frac{\pi}{4}(\Delta t)^2 \right).$$

Taking the limit of the last expression as $\Delta t \to 0$ yields (2).
4. Proof of (3) in the Centrally Symmetric Case

Here we continue using the same notation established in the last section. If \( f \) in Theorem 1.1 is symmetric, then after a translation we may assume that \( f(p^*) = -f(p) \) for all \( p \in M \), or \( f \circ \phi = -f \) on \( M \), which yields that \( \epsilon_j^* = -\epsilon_j \). Consequently, it follows from (6) that

\[
F_j = (1 - 2t)\epsilon_j,
\]

and thus by (5) we have

\[
J(F) \leq \|F_1\| \cdots \|F_m\| \|\bar{f}\| = |1 - 2t|^m \|\bar{f}\|.
\]

So

\[
\int_0^1 \int_M J(F) \ dp \ dt \leq \int_0^1 |1 - 2t|^m \ dt \int_M \|\bar{f}(p)\| \ dp = \frac{1}{m + 1} \int_M \|\bar{f}(p)\| \ dp.
\]

This together with (4) yields

\[
2(m + 1)A(f) \leq (m + 1) \int_0^1 \int_M J(F) \ dp \ dt \leq \int_M \|\bar{f}(p)\| \ dp = TD(f)
\]

as claimed. To establish the sharpness of (3), suppose that the first and last terms of the expression are equal. Then the middle two terms will be equal as well. This in turn yields that the first and last terms of (12) are equal, and so equality holds between the first two terms of (12). It then follows that equality holds in (11). This can happen only if

\[
\langle \bar{f}, F_j \rangle = 0.
\]

But, since we have assumed that \( f \) is symmetric with respect to the origin, \( \bar{f} = 2f \). Furthermore, by (10), \( F_j \) is parallel to \( \epsilon_j = df_p(e_j) \). Thus \( f(p) \) is orthogonal to \( df_p(e_j) \), which yields that

\[
(e_j (\|f\|^2))(p) = 2\langle f(p), df_p(e_j) \rangle = 0.
\]

So \( \|f\| \) is constant on \( M \), which means that \( f \) is a sphere.

Note 4.1 (A quick proof of (3) for centrally symmetric embedded hypersurfaces). Let \( D \) be a bounded domain in \( \mathbb{R}^n \) with \( C^1 \) boundary \( \partial D \), and \( X: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n \) be the position vector field given by \( X(p) := p \). Then \( \text{div} \ X = n \). If \( \nu \) is the outward normal along \( \partial D \), then by the divergence theorem

\[
\text{vol}(D) = \frac{1}{n} \int_D \text{div} \ X \ dV = \frac{1}{n} \int_{\partial D} \langle X, \nu \rangle \ dA \leq \frac{1}{n} \int_{\partial D} \|X\| \ dA
\]

where \( dV = dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^n \), and \( dA \) is the surface area measure on \( \partial D \). Thus if \( M \) is a Riemannian manifold and \( f: M \to \partial D \) is an isometry, then

\[
\text{vol}(D) \leq \frac{1}{n} \int_M \|f(p)\| \ dA.
\]

If \( D \) is symmetric about the origin, \( f(p) - f(p^*) = 2f(p) \), and thus the above inequality reduces to (3) in the case where \( m = n - 1 \), and \( f \) is symmetric and injective.
5. Proof of (3) in the Convex Case

Here we need to treat the case of convex curves \((m = 1)\) separately from that of higher dimensional convex hypersurfaces \((m \geq 2)\), because convex hypersurfaces are rigid when \(m \geq 2\), and consequently the argument here reduces to the symmetric case considered earlier; however, for convex curves (which are more flexible) we need to work harder.

5.1. Convex hypersurfaces \((m \geq 2)\). Recall that when we say \(f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n\) is convex, we mean that \(f\) maps \(M\) injectively into the boundary of a convex subset of an \(m + 1\) dimensional affine subspace of \(\mathbb{R}^n\), which we may identify with \(\mathbb{R}^{m+1}\).

Since we have already treated the symmetric case, it is enough to show that:

**Lemma 5.1.** Let \(M\) be a closed Riemannian \((m \geq 2)\)-manifold with antipodal symmetry, and \(f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m+1}\) be a \(C^1\) isometric convex embedding. Then \(f\) is centrally symmetric.

To prove the above lemma we need to recall the basic rigidity results for convex hypersurfaces. A (closed) convex hypersurface is the boundary of a compact convex subset of \(\mathbb{R}^{m+1}\) which has nonempty interior. We say that a class of convex hypersurfaces of \(\mathbb{R}^{m+1}\) is rigid if any isometry between a pair of members in that class can be extended to an isometry of \(\mathbb{R}^{m+1}\). An equivalent formulation is that for every pair of convex isometric embeddings \(f, g : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m+1}\), there exists an isometry \(\rho\) of \(\mathbb{R}^{m+1}\) such that \(\rho \circ f = g\). That all convex surfaces in \(\mathbb{R}^3\) are rigid is a classical result of Pogorelov [13]. For \(C^1\) convex hypersurfaces this has also been established by Sen’kin [20] in \(\mathbb{R}^{m+1}\) according to Vilcu [22, Lem. 2]. A recent paper of Guan and Shin [7] gives another proof of this fact in the \(C^2\) case. Finally see [21] for a classical argument for the rigidity of positively curved hypersurfaces.

**Proof of Lemma 5.1.** If \(f\) is an isometric embedding of \(M\) into \(\mathbb{R}^{m+1}\), then so is \(\rho \circ f\). Thus, by the theorems of Pogorelov and Sen’kin mentioned above, there exists an isometry \(\rho\) of \(\mathbb{R}^{m+1}\) such that \(\rho \circ f = g\). That all convex surfaces in \(\mathbb{R}^3\) are rigid is a classical result of Pogorelov [13]. For \(C^1\) convex hypersurfaces this has also been established by Sen’kin [20] in \(\mathbb{R}^{m+1}\) according to Vilcu [22, Lem. 2]. A recent paper of Guan and Shin [7] gives another proof of this fact in the \(C^2\) case. Finally see [21] for a classical argument for the rigidity of positively curved hypersurfaces.

A recent paper of Guan and Shin [7] gives another proof of this fact in the \(C^2\) case. Finally see [21] for a classical argument for the rigidity of positively curved hypersurfaces.

5.2. Convex curves \((m = 1)\). Here we may identify \(M\) with \(\mathbb{R}/L\mathbb{Z}\). Further, similar to Section \([3]\) we set

\[\tilde{f}(t) := f(t^*) - f(t),\]
where \( t^* = t + L/2 \), and define \( F : \mathbb{R} / LZ \times [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}^2 \) by

\[
F(t, s) := (1 - s)f(t) + sf(t^*) = f(t) + s\overline{f}(t).
\]

A straightforward computation shows that

\[
\| F_1 \wedge F_2 \|^2 = (1 - s)^2\| f'(t) \wedge \overline{f}(t) \|^2 + s^2\| f'(t^*) \wedge \overline{f}(t) \|^2 + 2s(1 - s)\langle f'(t) \wedge \overline{f}(t), f'(t^*) \wedge \overline{f}(t) \rangle,
\]

where \( F_1 = F_1(t, s) \) and \( F_2 = F_2(t, s) \) denote the partial derivatives of \( F \), and we may think of \( \wedge \) as the cross product in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \). The key observation here is that if \( f \) is convex, then

\[
f'(t) \wedge \overline{f}(t) = -f'(t^*) \wedge \overline{f}(t).
\]

This follows from the basic fact that when \( f \) traces a convex planar curve, \( f'(t) \) and \( f'(t^*) \) point into the opposite sides of the line passing through \( f(t) \) and \( f(t^*) \), see Figure 2(a), and thus \( (f'(t), \overline{f}(t)) \) and \( (f'(t^*), \overline{f}(t)) \) have opposite orientations as ordered bases of \( \mathbb{R}^2 \).

The last two expressions yield that

\[
\| F_1 \wedge F_2 \|^2 = ((1 - s)\| f'(t) \wedge \overline{f}(t) \| - s\| f'(t^*) \wedge \overline{f}(t) \|)^2.
\]

So by (4), we have

\[
2A(f) \leq \int_0^L \int_0^1 |(1 - s)a - sb| \, ds \, dt.
\]

To estimate the above integral, we require the following fact:

**Lemma 5.2.** Let \( a, b > 0 \). Then

\[
\int_0^1 |(1 - s)a - sb| \, ds \leq \frac{1}{2} \max\{a, b\},
\]

with equality if and only if \( a = b \).

**Proof.** Computing the area under the graph of \( s \mapsto |(1 - s)a - sb| \), see Figure 3 yields that

\[
\int_0^1 |(1 - s)a - sb| \, ds = \frac{1}{2} \left( a \frac{a}{a + b} + b \left( 1 - \frac{a}{a + b} \right) \right) = \frac{a^2 + b^2}{2(a + b)}.
\]
By symmetry we may assume $a \leq b$. Let $\lambda = b/a$. Then

$$\frac{a^2 + b^2}{2(a + b)} = \frac{a}{2} \left(1 + \lambda^2\right) = \frac{a}{2} \left(\lambda - \frac{1}{1 + \lambda}\right) \leq \frac{a}{2} \lambda = \frac{1}{2} b = \frac{1}{2} \max\{a, b\},$$

and equality holds if and only if $\lambda = 1$, that is when $a = b$. 

Using the last lemma in (14), and recalling that $\|f'\| \equiv 1$, we have

$$4A(f) \leq 2 \int_0^L \int_0^1 \left|(1 - s)\|f'(t) \wedge \overline{f}(t)\| - s\|f'(t^*) \wedge \overline{f}(t)\| \right| \, ds \, dt$$

$$\leq \int_0^L \max \left\{ \|f'(t) \wedge \overline{f}(t)\|, \|f'(t^*) \wedge \overline{f}(t)\| \right\} \, dt$$

$$\leq \int_0^L \|\overline{f}(t)\| \, dt = TD(f)$$

as desired. Next to establish the sharpness of (3), note that if equality holds in (3) then the first and last terms in the above expression are equal, and consequently all the intermediate terms are equal. In particular, the equality between the integrals in the first and second lines yields that

$$\|f'(t) \wedge \overline{f}(t)\| = \|f'(t^*) \wedge \overline{f}(t)\|$$

via Lemma 5.2. Consequently, the equality between the second and third lines yields that

$$\|f'(t) \wedge \overline{f}(t)\| = \|\overline{f}(t)\| = \|f'(t^*) \wedge \overline{f}(t)\|.$$

Thus, since $\|f'\| \equiv 1$, it follows that

$$\langle f'(t), \overline{f}(t) \rangle = 0 = \langle f'(t^*), \overline{f}(t) \rangle,$$

that is $\overline{f}(t)$ is orthogonal to $f$ at both of its end points. This in turn yields that

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\overline{f}(t)\|^2 = \langle f'(t), \overline{f}(t) \rangle = \langle f'(t) - f'(t^*), \overline{f}(t) \rangle = 0.$$

So $\|\overline{f}\|$ is constant. It follows then that $f$ has constant width $w = \|\overline{f}\|$. Indeed, since $f$ is convex, the tangent lines generated by $f'(t)$ and $f'(t^*)$ are supporting lines of $f$, that is $f$ lies between these two lines, see Figure 2(b). Further, since $\overline{f}(t)$ is orthogonal to these lines, the distance between them is $\|\overline{f}(t)\|$. So the width of $f$ in
direction of the tangent lines is $\|f(t)\|$. For a curve of constant width $w$, the length is given by $L = \pi w$. Thus if equality holds in (3), then

$$4A(f) = \int_0^L \|f(t)\| \, dt = Lw = \frac{L^2}{\pi}$$

and so equality holds in the isoperimetric inequality. Therefore $f$ is a circle.

6. Sharpness of (2) for Simple Curves

Here we construct a one-parameter family of simple closed curves $f_n : \mathbb{R}/L_n \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}^2$ parametrized by arc length such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{A(f_n)} \int_0^{L_n} \|f_n(t)\| \, dt = 2,$$

where $f_n(t) := f_n(t^*) - f_n(t)$ and $t^* := t + L_n/2$. This shows that the constant 2 in (2) is in general sharp even for simple curves. Note that by (2), which we have already established, the above limit is always $\geq 2$. Thus it suffices to find $f_n$ such that this limit is $\leq 2$. To this end, for $n = 1, 2, \ldots$, let each $f_n$ trace with unit speed a horseshoe shaped curve which consists of a pair of rectangular parts joined by concentric semicircles as depicted in Figure 4. The rectangular parts here have constant length 1 and height $1/n$. Further, the vertical separation distance between them is $1/n^2$. In particular note that

$$A(f_n) \geq \frac{2}{n}.$$ 

Next note that if $R$ denotes the radius of the big semicircle, then we have

$$R = \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{2n^2} \leq \frac{2}{n}.$$ 

Let $a, b, c, d$ denote the corners of the top rectangle, and $a^*, b^*, c^*, d^*$ be the corners of the bottom rectangle, as indicated in the figure. We want the corresponding points in these two sets to be antipodal, i.e., $a^* = a + L_n/2$ and so on. To this end it suffices to choose the radius $r$ of the small semicircle so that the length of the arc $bd^*$ is equal to that of the arc $b^*d$ (with respect to the orientation of the curve as indicated

![Figure 4](image-url)
in the figure). The former quantity is $\pi R$ while the latter is $\pi r + 2(r - 1/n^2)$. Setting these values equal to each other, we obtain

$$r := \frac{\pi R + 1/n^2}{\pi + 2}.$$

Now it follows that for every point $x \in ab$, $x^*$ lies directly below it on $a^*b^*$, and for every $x \in dc$, $x^*$ lies directly below it on $d^*c^*$. Thus, if we let $C_n$ denote the trace of $f_n$, and $\overline{C_n} := ab \cup dc \cup a^*b^* \cup d^*c^*$ be the horizontal portions of $C_n$, then

$$\|f_n\| = \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{2n^2}$$

on $\overline{C_n}$. So we obtain the following estimate

$$\int_{\overline{C_n}} \|f_n\| = \text{Length}(\overline{C_n}) \left( \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{2n^2} \right) = 4 \left( \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{2n^2} \right) \leq 2A(f_n) + \frac{4}{n^2}.$$

Further we have

$$\int_{C_n - \overline{C_n}} \|f_n\| \leq \text{Length}(C_n - \overline{C_n}) \max_{C_n - \overline{C_n}} \|f_n\| \leq (4R + 2\pi R) \sqrt{5} R \leq 23R^2 \leq \frac{92}{n^2}.$$

The last two inequalities show that

$$\int_0^L \|f_n(t)\| \, dt = \int_{C_n} \|f_n\| = \int_{C_n} \|f_n\| + \int_{C_n - \overline{C_n}} \|f_n\| \leq 2A(f_n) + \frac{96}{n^2}.$$

So it follows that

$$\frac{1}{A(f_n)} \int_0^L \|f_n(t)\| \, dt \leq 2 + \frac{48}{n},$$

which shows that the left hand side of (15) is $\leq 2$ as desired.
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