Mapping segregation distortion loci and quantitative trait loci for spikelet sterility in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.)
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Summary

Markers with segregation ratio distortion are commonly observed in data sets used for quantitative trait locus (QTL) mapping. In this study, a multipoint method of maximum likelihood (ML) was newly developed to estimate the positions and effects of the segregation distortion loci (SDLs) in two F2 populations of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.), i.e. Taichung65/Bhadua (TB; *japonica*–*indica* cross) and CPSLO17/W207-2 (CW; *japonica*–*japonica*). Of the four parents, W207-2 and Bhadua were found to be spikelet semi-sterile and stably inherited through selfing, and spikelet fertility segregated in the two populations. Therefore, recombination frequencies were recalculated after mapping the SDLs by using the multipoint method, and the molecular linkage maps of the two F2 populations were constructed to detect QTLs underlying spikelet fertility. As a result, five SDLs in the TB population were mapped on chromosomes 1, 3, 8 and 9, respectively. Two major QTLs underlying spikelet fertility, namely *qSS-6a* and *qSS-8a*, were detected on chromosomes 6 and 8, respectively. In the CW population, a total of 12 SDLs were detected on all 12 chromosomes except 1, 5, 7 and 11. Three QTLs underlying spikelet sterility, namely *qSS-2*, *qSS-6b* and *qSS-8b* on chromosomes 2, 6 and 8, were determined on the whole genome scale. Interestingly, both *qSS-6a* and *qSS-6b*, detected in the two F2 populations respectively, were located on a similar position as the *S5* gene on chromosome 6; while *qSS-8a* and *qSS-8b* were also simultaneously detected on similar positions of the short arm of chromosome 8 in the two populations, which should be a new sterility gene showing the same type of zygotic selection.

1. Introduction

Segregation distorting loci (SDLs), defined as chromosomal regions that cause distorted segregation ratios, are usually observed for some markers. Segregation distortion is known to bias estimation of recombination fractions in pair-wise analysis of markers (Lorieux *et al.*, 1995). In the past, often a single marker was considered at a time, where only the linkage between one fully informative marker and a single SDL was tested (Xu *et al.*, 1997; Harushima *et al.*, 2001, 2002). Based on six F2 populations of inter-subspecies crosses, chromosomal regions associated with marker segregation distortion in rice were determined and compared based on chi-square analysis (*P* < 0.01) given genotypic class within a segregating population (Xu *et al.*, 1997). In a further study on reproductive barriers in F2 populations regions causing deviation from Mendelian segregation ratios were mapped by a regression method and compared among three different *japonica*–*indica* crosses. Most of the barriers were mapped at different loci and demonstrated to form after *japonica*–*indica* differentiation (Harushima *et al.*, 2001, 2002). In the single-marker chi-square test, the genotypic frequencies of the marker are affected by the recombination fraction in addition to the frequencies of the SDL’s genotypic configurations. Hence, for a single-marker test, estimations of the position and effect are confounded.
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Vogl & Xu (2000) pioneered development of a multipoint method for mapping multiple SDLs in the backcross design population. But there are several complications to be considered in practice. First, it is common to have less informative markers containing missing and dominant markers in experimental or natural populations, especially when fertility segregation exists. Second, segregation distortion is known to bias estimation of recombination distance between markers; Cheng et al. (1996) have already developed an expectation maximization (EM) algorithm to the position of two fully informative markers in the presence of a single SDL in the backcross population. When incomplete informative markers are used, the effects of the distortion are spread out to the much wider interval of fully informative markers flanking the distorted regions. Usually generation of a linkage map of marker loci precedes SDL analysis. The basic assumption of quantitative trait locus (QTL) analysis will not hold if the bias introduced by segregation distortion is worse. Hence, the recombination frequencies between consecutive markers and the recombination fraction between SDL and the adjacent markers should be re-estimated in the presence of SDL and missing or partial markers. Finally, Vogl & Xu's analysis of a backcross design population can be extended to the F2 population; the dimension changes from two to three, binomial distribution changes to trinomial distribution and the transition probability matrix between adjacent loci changes. The changes are trivial but will complicate the analysis substantially.

In this paper, we derive a general algorithm to estimate systematically the position and the effects of SDLs with dominant and missing markers in the F2 population. In particular, we re-estimate the recombination frequencies between consecutive markers and the recombination fraction between SDLs and the adjacent markers on the assumption that there are SDLs linked to markers, instead of excluding the markers that showed obvious segregation distortion in the previous study (Vogl & Xu, 2000). Our analysis is similar to the method of this previous study in spirit, but with derivation and sufficient details considering some complications in practice in the analysis. In addition, two different F2 populations were constructed, one derived from a japonica–indica cross and the other one from japonica–japonica. Reproductive barriers causing deviation from Mendelian segregation ratios were mapped by the newly developed multipoint mapping method and compared between the two populations. With the linkage map constructed after multipoint mapping of SDLs, QTLs for spikelet fertility were analysed. Multiple reproductive barriers dispersed throughout the genome between japonica–indica and japonica–japonica crosses were compared through multipoint mapping of SDLs.

2. Materials and methods

(i) Plant materials

Two F2 populations were derived from one cross of inter-subspecies of Taichung65/Bhadua (TB; japonica–indica) and one cross of two japonica parents CPSLO17/W207-2 (CW; japonica–japonica), respectively.

TB: Bhadua (indica) was found to be spikelet semi-sterile. It was crossed to Taichung65 as the female parent. A population of 96 F2 plants was developed from the indica–japonica cross and planted together with the parental plants, F1 hybrids in Kyushu University, Japan in 2001.

CW: A semi-sterile progeny line W207-2 (japonica) was crossed to CPSLO17. In the summer season of 2002, the parental plants, F1 hybrids and F2 population of 157 individuals were grown in the experimental field in Nanjing Agricultural University, China.

(ii) Linkage map construction

The CTAB method (Rogers & Bendish, 1988) was used with minor modifications for isolating total DNA from rice leaves. Simple sequence repeats (SSR) analysis was performed in Nanjing Agricultural University, China following the procedure of Chen et al. (1997) with minor modifications. The original sources and motifs for all the SSR markers used in this study are given in the gramene database (http://www.gramene.org/) and in the linkage maps constructed according to Temnykh et al. (2000) and McCouch et al. (2002). Amplification reactions were carried out in 10 µl containing 10 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.3, 50 mM KCl, 1-5 mM MgCl2, 50 µM each of dNTPs, 0-2 µM each of primers, 0-5 U Taq polymerase (TaKaRa, Dalian, China) and 20 ng of DNA template. Reactions were performed using a PTC-200 thermal cycler (MJ Research) programmed at 94 °C for 5 min, followed by 35 cycles of 30 s at 94 °C, 30 s at 55 °C, and 1 min at 72 °C with a final extension of 7 min at 72 °C. Amplified products were separated in 8% non-denaturing PAGE gels, and observed by the silver staining method according to Sanguinetti & Simpson (1994). Restriction fragment length polymorphisms (RFLPs) between the parents were surveyed and 94 polymorphic markers were selected to construct the linkage map, of which the genotype data were provided by Dr Yasui in Kyushu University, Japan. Linkage groups and the order of SSR and RFLP markers were preliminarily determined using MAPMAKER/EXP 3.0 (Lander et al., 1987), and the linkage distances were recalculated using our newly developed method.

(iii) SDL analysis

We assume that all markers are neutral and codominant in the sense that their segregations would be
Mendelian if there were no linked SDL on the same chromosome. The observed segregation distortions on these neutral markers, however, are caused by one or more SDLs near the markers. The degree of segregation distortion in the experimental population is determined by the effect (size) of the SDLs. Before estimating the effects and locations of SDLs, we test all the markers by chi-square with codominant markers according to Lorieux et al. (1995) with minor modification in order to infer whether the segregation distortion was caused by gametic or zygotic selection.

We consider an F2 population from a cross between two inbred lines, P1 and P2. In the population, each marker or SDL has three possible genotypes. Let \( \varphi_{ij} \) be the indicator function, taking the value of 1 if the \( i \)th individual belongs to one of the \( j \)th possible genotypes in the F2 population, otherwise taking the value of zero. Parameters of interest are the effects, denoted by \( \pi_j (j = 1, 2, 3) \), and location, denoted by \( \lambda \), of the segregation distorting locus. The distribution of \( \varphi_{ij} \) is

\[
\text{Pr}(\varphi_{ij} / \pi) = \pi_1^{x_1} \pi_2^{x_2} \pi_3^{x_3}
\]

for \( i = 1, \ldots, n \) with \( \pi_j = \text{Pr}(\varphi_{ij} = 1) \), where \( n \) is the population size.

In the absence of segregation distortion, we have \( \pi_1 = 0.25 \), \( \pi_2 = 0.5 \) and \( \pi_3 = 0.25 \). If \( \varphi_{ij} \) were observable, we could directly estimate and test \( \pi_j \). The maximum likelihood estimate would be

\[
\hat{\pi}_j = \frac{1}{n} \sum \text{Pr}(\varphi_{ij} = 1) \text{ for } j = 1, 2, 3.
\]

However, \( \varphi_{ij} \) is not observable, while the phenotypic value marker genotypes are now observable. Therefore, an alternative model using multiple markers that are already mapped on the genome should be developed. Suppose there are \( m \) markers on a chromosome in the order of \( M_1, M_2, \ldots, M_m \) with each marker having three possible genotypes. Let \( x_k \) denote the genotype of marker \( M_k \) for the \( i \)th individual, which takes a value 1, 0, \(-1\) if the marker is homozygote of \( P_1 \) type, heterozygote or homozygote of \( P_2 \) type, respectively. Let \( Z_k \) be the phenotypic observation of marker \( M_k \). The markers are under consideration for a linkage group under the assumption of no crossing-over interference; the likelihood is defined with matrix notation as

\[
L = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left( H(r_1) H(r_2) \cdots H(r_{m-1}) \right) (r_m) c
\]

where

\[
H(r_k) = \begin{bmatrix}
(1 - r_k)^2 & 2r_k(1 - r_k) & r_k^2 \\
2r_k(1 - r_k) & (1 - 2r_k^2 + 2r_k^2) & r_k(1 - r_k) \\
r_k^2 & 2r_k(1 - r_k) & (1 - r_k)^2
\end{bmatrix}
\]

which denotes a transition probability matrix from \( M_k \) to \( M_{k+1} \), where \( r_k \) is the recombination frequency between \( M_k \) and \( M_{k+1} \). \( \pi_j \) denotes the row vector of the prior probability \( p(x_1) \), \( q_1 = [\text{Pr}(x_1 = 1), \text{Pr}(x_1 = 0), \text{Pr}(x_1 = -1)] \) and \( c' = [1, 1, 1] \), where \( \cdot' \) denotes transposition (Jiang & Zeng, 1997). It is noted that we should specify appropriate marker matrix elements of zero depending on the information content of the phenotype of the marker, i.e. only one element in the transition matrix takes value and zero everywhere else when fully informative markers occurred.

The likelihood is a function of recombination frequencies between adjacent markers for a given marker linkage order without considering segregation distortion of neutral markers. Now we consider an SDL and the \( k \)th SDL is located between markers \( k \) and \( k + 1 \). The logarithm likelihood defined with matrix notation will be

\[
\log L = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \left[H(r_{ik}, k) \prod_{j=1}^{l} H(r_{ij}) q_{1j} \right] \left[H(r_{ik}, (k+1)) \right] \right)
\]

\[
\times \prod_{j=k+1}^{m-1} H(r_{ij}) c' \text{Pr}(\varphi_{ij} / \pi)
\]

There are several ways to find the maximum likelihood estimate of \( \pi_j \). We adopt an expectation maximization (EM) algorithm and treat \( \varphi_{ij} \) as missing data. We treat \( \lambda \) as constant for the moment. As SDLs may affect the estimation of recombination frequencies between adjacent markers, the recombination frequencies between the adjacent markers and the recombination frequency between SDLs and the adjacent markers, the recombination frequencies at some or all intervals are all regarded as parameters and will be re-estimated.

E step:

Conditional on the data, the position and the initial value of the parameters, \( \pi_j^{(0)} \) for \( j = 1, 2, 3 \) and the initial recombination fraction \( r_k^{(0)} \) between consecutive markers computed by Mapmaker 3.0 (Lander et al., 1987), the posterior probabilities of \( \varphi_{ij} \) are

\[
\text{Pr}(\varphi_{ij} = 1 / \lambda, \pi_j) = \frac{\text{Pr}(\varphi_{ij} = 1, \lambda) \pi_j^{(0)}}{\sum_{j=1}^{3} \text{Pr}(\varphi_{ij} = 1, \lambda) \pi_j^{(0)}}
\]

M step:

\[
\pi_j^{(1)} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \text{Pr}(\varphi_{ij} = 1) / n.
\]

To update the estimate of recombination frequency at some or all intervals, we need to calculate it for each individual. An SDL may be treated as a missing marker when estimating the recombination frequency.
Table 1. Loci showing segregation distortion in the two F₂ populations

| Population | Chromosome | Position (cM) | CIₘ₀a | Nearest marker to SDL | θ₁b | θ₂c | θ₃d | LODe | T₀.95f | Tₐ.99g | Selection types |
|------------|------------|---------------|-------|------------------------|------|------|------|-------|--------|--------|------------------|
| TB         | 1          | 173           | 9 (169–178) | XNbp1346               | 0.776 | 0.224 | 0.000 | 67.9** | 7.24   | 11.34  | Gametic          |
| 3          | 9          | 27 (4–31)     | R518 | 0.160                  | 0.708 | 0.131 | 8.7*  | 6.01  | 8.89   | Zygotic          |
| 8          | 5          | 18 (1–19)     | XNbp278 | 0.241                  | 0.660 | 0.099 | 7.9*  | 5.94  | 9.85   | Zygotic          |
| 9          | 45         | 42 (22–64)    | G2132 | 0.412                  | 0.453 | 0.135 | 7.4*  | 5.94  | 9.85   | Gametic          |
| CW         | 2          | 3             | 17 (2–19) | RM110                   | 0.139 | 0.622 | 0.239 | 6.7*  | 5.90   | 9.34   | Gametic          |
| 3          | 154        | 38 (137–174)  | RM135 | 0.126                  | 0.657 | 0.217 | 9.8** | 6.05  | 9.26   | Zygotic          |
| 4          | 53         | 23 (181–204)  | RM148 | 0.167                  | 0.658 | 0.175 | 8.0*  | 6.05  | 9.26   | Gametic          |
| 6          | 87         | 18 (77–95)    | RM317 | 0.226                  | 0.638 | 0.136 | 7.8*  | 6.17  | 9.29   | Gametic          |
| 8          | 39         | 25 (29–54)    | RM314 | 0.168                  | 0.701 | 0.132 | 13.3**| 7.12  | 11.18  | Zygotic          |
| 120        | 120        | 32 (99–131)   | RM256 | 0.140                  | 0.506 | 0.354 | 7.4*  | 7.12  | 11.18  | Zygotic          |
| 146        | 146        | 29 (134–163)  | RM281 | 0.129                  | 0.479 | 0.392 | 11.0**| 5.95  | 9.31   | Gametic          |
| 9           | 34         | 21 (25–46)    | RM219 | 0.141                  | 0.618 | 0.241 | 6.5*  | 5.88  | 9.26   | Gametic          |
| 10          | 107        | 31 (90–121)   | RM228 | 0.221                  | 0.676 | 0.103 | 13.4**| 6.13  | 10.24  | Zygotic          |
| 12          | 130        | 18 (113–131)  | RM463 | 0.412                  | 0.462 | 0.126 | 13.1**| 5.88  | 11.37  | Gametic          |

a CIₘ₀: the mean width of the 95% confidence interval (in cM).
b θ₁: frequency of AA genotype in the populations.
c θ₂: frequency of Aa genotype in the populations.
d θ₃: frequency of aa genotype in the populations.
e LOD, likelihood ratio; * and ** indicate significant difference at the 0.05 and 0.01 probability level, respectively.
f T₀.95, critical values based on 1000 permutations of the original data with α = 0.05.
g Tₐ.99, critical values based on 1000 permutations of the original data with α = 0.01.

between SDL and the adjacent markers:

\[ P(x_k, x_{k+1}/z_1, \ldots, z_m) = \frac{p(x_k, x_{k+1})p(z_1, \ldots, z_m/x_k, x_{k+1})}{\sum_{x_k, x_{k+1}} p(x_k, x_{k+1})p(z_1, \ldots, z_m/x_k, x_{k+1})} \]

which can be expressed in a 3 x 3 matrix form \( A_k \).

Defining \( a_{i+d} \) as the \( i \)th row and \( d \)th column element of \( A_k \) for individual \( i \), the recombination frequency for the \( k \)th interval can be updated as

\[ r_k^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ a_{12} + 2a_{31} + a_{21} + \frac{r_k^{(0)2}}{(1-r_k^{(0)})^2 + r_k^{(0)2}} 2a_{22} + a_{23} + 2a_{32} + a_{33} \right]. \]

E and M steps are iterated until convergence. We can now test the null hypothesis that there is no segregation distortion for the particular location \( \lambda \). The null hypothesis is formulated as \( H_0: \pi_1 = 0.25, \pi_2 = 0.5 \) and \( \pi_3 = 0.25 \), which can be tested using the likelihood-ratio (LR) test statistic \( B = -2 \log L(0.25, 0.5, 0.25, \lambda) - \log L(\pi_1, \pi_2, \pi_3, \lambda) \). Though under the null model, \( B \) is approximately distributed as a chi-square variable with 2 degrees of freedom. The LOD (log of the odds ratio) threshold significance level was determined by computing 1000 permutations (Churchill & Doerge, 1994) and the confidence intervals of a SDL and QTL location were determined by the bootstrapping method (Visscher et al., 1996).

The maximum likelihood estimate of the position of the SDL can be obtained by examining the likelihood-ratio profile along the chromosome as is commonly done in interval mapping of QTL.

(iv) Fertility observation and QTL analysis

\( F_2 \) spikelet fertility was calculated as the percentage of normal spikelets over total spikelets per panicle for each of the \( F_2 \) plants. Six panicles for each plant of the two populations were observed and averaged for the spikelet fertility score.

To normalize the variance, the spikelet sterility percentage of each individual was transformed to arcsine \( [\text{arcsine } x^{1/2}] \). Composite interval mapping was performed to identify QTLs by using the software package QTL CARTOGRAPHER with forward-backward regression (Basten et al., 1998). The additive and dominance effect and the percentage of variation explained by individual QTLs were estimated by following Zeng (1994). The experiment-wise LOD (log of the odds ratio) threshold significance level was determined by computing 1000 permutations (Churchill & Doerge, 1994), as implemented by QTL CARTOGRAPHER. These permutations can account for non-normality in marker distribution and trait variation.
values. The levels of significance for QTLs in this study were determined to be $P \leq 0.05$: LOD 3.22 for the TB population and 2.03 for CW. For the designation of QTLs, we followed McCouch et al. (1997).

3. Results

(i) Linkage map construction and SDL analysis

With the TB and CW $F_2$ populations containing 96 and 157 individuals respectively, two frameworks of linkage map with 94 RFLP markers and 118 SSR markers respectively were constructed. The orders of the markers determined were consistent with those of the maps published by Harushima et al. (1998), Temnykh et al. (2000) and McCouch et al. (2002), though there was a gap on the short arm of chromosome 7 due to a limited number of markers in the CW population. The linkage distances between the markers were adjusted after considering the segregation distortions.

There should be 9024 and 18526 marker genotypes in the TB and CW populations, respectively. Of them, 179 and 524 were missing, accounting for 1.98% and 2.83% of the total marker genotypes. One dominant marker (RM5068, chromosome 8) was genotyped in the CW population. Single-marker chi-square tests showed that there were 24 and 47 markers which deviated from Mendelian segregation ratios in the TB and CW populations, respectively (data not shown), but the multiple test would result in higher false positives. Henceforth, the LR test was made in the genome scan to verify whether there is segregation distortion for the particular location in the two $F_2$ populations with missing marker genotypes.

The results of LR tests showed that five SDLs in the TB population were mapped on chromosomes 1, 3, 8 and 9, respectively, with two SDLs located on chromosome 8. Zygotic selection was detected near XNpb346 on the long arm of chromosome 3 and XNpb278 on the short arm of chromosome 8, with gametic selection at the other SDLs. The SDL on chromosome 1 showed strongest distortion, with the lowest $\theta_2=0$ and highest LOD = 67.0 (Table 1, Figs. 1 and 2). In the CW population, a total of 12 SDLs were detected on all the 12 chromosomes except for 1, 5, 7 and 11, with more than one SDL located on each of chromosomes 3, 4 and 8. Zygotic selection was detected on chromosomes 3, 4, 6, 8 and 10, and gametic selection on chromosomes 2, 3, 8, 9 and 12 (Table 1, Figs. 3 and 4). The SDL near RM6863 on chromosome 8 showed strongest distortion with lowest $\theta_2=0.270$, highest $\theta_3=0.517$ and highest LOD = 27.4. It is noteworthy that the SDL at SSR marker RM6863 on the short arm of chromosome 8 appeared to correspond to the one at RFLP marker XNpb278 in the TB population, since the linkage distance between the two markers was only 3.6 cM in the integrated map showing relative positions of newly developed SSR markers in relation to genetically mapped RFLP markers (McCouch et al., 2002). Furthermore, both the SDLs showed the same zygotic selection type in the two populations (Table 1, Figs. 2 and 4).

(ii) Phenotypic variation

The spikelet fertilities and their segregations in the TB $F_2$ populations are shown in Fig. 5. The fertility of Taichung65 was normal while the fertilities of Bhadua and $F_1$ plants were around 50-4% and 70-0%, respectively. Fertility segregation was observed in the $F_2$ population with average of 69-0%, $sd$ 24-0% and $cv$...
34.8%; some of the F2 plants showed a very low spikelet fertility of less than 30.0%.

CPSLO17 showed normal spikelet fertility while W207-2 and F1 plants showed low spikelet fertilities of 35.1% and 79.2%, respectively. Frequency distribution of spikelet fertilities in the F2 population is shown in Fig. 6. The distribution was bimodal with one peak assumed at 90% and another one around 35%. This result suggested that the spikelet semi-sterility of W207-2 is controlled by major genes.

(iii) QTL analysis for spikelet sterility

Across the entire genome map of the TB population, two QTLs for spikelet sterility were detected on chromosomes 6 and 8, designated as qSS-6a and qSS-8a, respectively (Table 2, Fig. 2). Of these, qSS-6a was linked to the RFLP marker R2171 with LOD score of 3.46 and percentage of variance explained (PVE) of 18.9%. Another QTL, qSS-8a with LOD score of 3.21, was detected on chromosome 8, linked to the marker XNpb278, and accounted for 11.7% of the phenotypic variance of spikelet fertility.

In the CW population, three QTLs controlling spikelet fertility were identified in the entire genome map, of which qSS-8b near the marker RM6863 on chromosome 8 was detected with a relatively large LOD score of 40.31 and a PVE of 74.8% (Table 2, Fig. 4). Interestingly, qSS-6a and qSS-8b were located at the corresponding positions in the two populations; meanwhile qSS-8a and qSS-8b were also located at a similar position showing the same type of zygotic selection.

4. Discussion

A distorted segregation ratio is one of the common phenomena observed in both experimental and natural populations. The distortions are caused either by differential representation of SDL genotypes in gametes before fertilization or by viability differences of SDL genotypes after fertilization but before genotyping scoring. For codominant markers, it is possible to determine what type of selection (gametic versus zygotic) occurred at a locus by using two successive chi-square tests. For a single marker test, estimation of the position and effect are confounded, so errors in marker genotyping may cause systematic deviations from the expected segregation ratio; and if segregation distortion is caused by an SDL all markers in the vicinity of the SDL will be affected. Maximum likelihood methods using flanking markers were developed for mapping SDLs by Fu & Ritland (1994). But on the one hand more than one SDL per chromosome may be present, and on the other hand, markers may be dominant or partially informative; furthermore estimates of map distance may be biased
due to the effect of SDLs. In this paper, a multipoint method with dominant and missing markers was developed for mapping multiple SDLs using \( F_2 \) populations on the assumption that there is no interaction among the linked SDLs. In particular, the recombination frequencies between consecutive markers and the recombination fractions between SDL and the adjacent markers were re-estimated. The adjusted linkage map will be used for further QTL analysis. There is no doubt that our method will be biased when there is interaction among the multiple SDLs on the same chromosome. In that case, a Bayesian method is suggested (Vogl & Xu, 2000).

In a previous study based on chi-square analysis \((P<0.01)\), chromosomal regions associated with marker segregation distortion in rice were determined and compared with six \( F_2 \) populations of intersubspecies crosses (Xu et al., 1997). Another study was conducted to map reproductive barriers causing deviation from Mendelian segregation ratios in \( F_2 \) populations of three different \textit{japonica–indica} crosses, and most of the barriers were mapped at different loci (Harushima et al., 2002). In comparing the results in this study with previous studies, fewer SDLs were detected and most of those SDLs detected in this study corresponded to the ones reported in the previous studies. It is clear that there would be considerable numbers of false positive SDLs in the single-marker chi-square test used in the previous studies.

Through our approach we efficiently analysed the number, positions and effects of SDLs in \textit{japonica–indica} and \textit{japonica–japonica} \( F_2 \) populations. In

---

**Fig. 3.** SDLs in the CPSLO17/W207-2 \( F_2 \) population. One SDL was detected on each of chromosomes 2, 6, 9, 10 and 12, and more than one SDL on chromosomes 3, 4 and 8.
addition to the loci for visible spikelet sterility in the inter-subspecies cross, i.e. the TB population, three other SDLs were found causing segregation distortion. To solve the problem of hybrid sterility, the present results indicate the need to restore distortion-neutral alleles on a whole genome basis by introducing wide-compatibility types of rice to active hybridization plans, and the molecular markers linked to these alleles in this study should be useful for the restoration.

As early as 1974, various genic sterilities were found in rice, many of which were reported in inter-subspecific hybrids in rice (Oka, 1974). The genetic control of distorted segregation has previously been studied in rice using morphological and isozyme markers. Our previous work has shown a set of genes for female gamete abortion in hybrids between distantly related varieties (Wan et al., 1995, 1996a, b). Ikehashi & Araki (1986) were able to relate the hybrid sterility to an allelic interaction at the $S-5$ locus. Following that work, similar allelic interactions were identified at other loci. According to the study, indica and japonica varieties carry $S-5^a$ and $S-5^b$ alleles, respectively, at the $S-5$ locus on chromosome 6. Some cultivars, such as Ketan Nangka and Dular, have a neutral allele $S-5^n$. The $S-5^a/S-5^b$ genotype is semisterile due to partial abortion of female gametes carrying $S-5^a$, whereas both $S-5^n/S-5^a$ and $S-5^n/S-5^b$ genotypes are fertile. The $S-5^n$ allele is thus called a ‘wide-compatibility gene’ (WCG), and used to develop subspecific hybrids. Following that work,
similar allelic interactions were identified at other loci – S-7, S-8, S-9, S-15, S-16, and S-17 – together with respective WCGs, although they are less frequent than S-5 but found to cause female gamete abortion in hybrids between distantly related varieties (Wan & Ikehashi, 1996a). In rice, 28 sterility gene loci (S) in 10 chromosomal regions were previously identified (summary by Nagato et al., 1998).

Compared with the previous studies, qSS-6a and qSS-6b might harbour a gene showing allelic interaction for spikelet sterility (S-5) (Wan et al., 1995; summary by Nagato et al., 1998), while QTLs of qSS-8a and qSS-8b were newly detected at the similar chromosome region in the two different populations. Interestingly, zygotic selection was detected at both qSS-8a and qSS-8b. Therefore this chromosome region appeared to be associated with the rice’s spikelet sterility and zygotic selection, or some genes related to spikelet sterility and zygotic selection might cluster in this chromosome region. qss-2 was newly detected in the CW population but not in the TB population. These loci for segregation distortion indicate that the application of Mendelian segregation ratios may not always be justified in indica–japonica hybrids. The results obtained can also be used to introduce WCG-type genes into indica–japonica hybrids by the use of new markers. To understand the underlying mechanisms that cause segregation distortion, it would be interesting to evaluate the effect of these factors systematically in different genetic backgrounds and environments with near-isogenic lines (NILs) containing individual SDLs and QTLs related to spikelet sterility.

The source codes for a C++ program, with which the above calculations can be performed, are available from Chengsong Zhu (cszhu@sina.com) or Chunming Wang (wangchm@njau.edu.cn).
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