SYSTEMATIC REVIEW

Adaptations of evidence-based trauma-focused interventions for children and adolescents: a systematic review

Brittany C. L. Lange1* , Ashley Nelson1, Jason M. Lang1,2,3 and Shannon Wiltsey Stirman4,5

Abstract

Background: Rates of potentially traumatic events (PTEs) and other forms of adversity among children are high globally, resulting in the development of a number of evidence-based interventions (EBIs) to address the adverse outcomes stemming from these experiences. Though EBIs are intended to be delivered according to set parameters, these EBIs are frequently adapted. However, little is known about existing adaptations of EBIs for children who experience PTEs or other adversities. As such, this review aimed to determine: (1) why existing EBIs designed to address PTEs and other adversities experienced by children are adapted, (2) what processes are used to determine what elements should be adapted, and (3) what components of the intervention are adapted.

Methods: Nine academic databases and publicly available search engines were used to identify academic and grey literature. Initial screening, full-text review, data extraction, and quality determinations were completed by two members of the research team. Data were synthesized narratively for each adapted EBI by research question.

Results: Forty-two studies examining the adaptations of nine different EBIs were located, with Trauma-Focused Cognitive Behavioral Therapy and Cognitive Behavioral Intervention for Trauma in Schools being the most commonly adapted EBIs. Most frequently, EBIs were adapted to improve fit with a new population and to address cultural factors. Most commonly, researchers in combination with others made decisions about adapting interventions, though frequently who was involved in these decisions was not described. Common content adaptations included the addition of intervention elements and the tailoring/tweaking/refining of intervention materials. Common contextual adaptations included changes to the intended population, changes to the channel of treatment delivery, and changes to who administered the intervention.

Conclusions: Most published studies of EBI adaptations have been developed to improve fit and address cultural factors, but little research is available about adaptations made by clinicians in day-to-day practice. Efforts should be made to evaluate the various types of adaptations and especially whether adaptations improve access to services or improve child outcomes in order to ensure that all children exposed to trauma can access effective treatment.

Trial registration: The protocol for this systematic review was published with PROSPERO (CRD42020149536).
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Contributions to the literature

- Researchers often adapt evidence-based interventions (EBIs) for children who have experienced trauma. However, little is known about the process of adapting these interventions or what adaptations are made.
- This review’s findings contribute to the existing literature by synthesizing information on how EBIs for children who experienced trauma are adapted, who makes the decision to adapt these interventions, and what components are adapted.
- Recommendations for enhancing research on EBI adaptations are provided, including evaluating adaptations to determine whether these adaptations improve access to services or improve child outcomes.

Background

Childhood exposure to potentially traumatic events (PTEs) and other forms of adversity represents a significant global public health problem. Examples of PTEs include experiencing violence, physical abuse, sexual abuse, a serious accident, serious physical illness, the death of someone close, or a natural disaster [1]. Adversity is conceptualized more broadly than PTEs and includes other forms of stressful or difficult circumstances such as poverty, discrimination, living with a caregiver who has mental illness or substance use problems, and other forms of adverse childhood experiences (ACEs [2, 3]). Recent research has demonstrated that PTEs and other forms of adversity are highly prevalent among children. Specifically, in a study of 4000 children conducted in the USA, 60.8% of children had experienced direct exposure to violence, abuse, or crime within the past year [4].

If PTEs or other forms of adversity are not addressed, these events can have negative effects into adulthood, including poorer mental and physical health, and increased risky substance use and sexual behaviors [5]. Given high rates of PTEs and subsequent negative outcomes stemming from these events, a number of evidence-based interventions (EBIs) have been developed for children, such as Trauma-Focused Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (TF-CBT), Cognitive Behavioral Therapy, and Child Parent Psychotherapy [6].

Existing EBIs have been modified or adapted for a number of reasons. A recent global systematic review of public health interventions found that the most common reasons for adapting interventions were “the need for cultural appropriateness (64.3%), focusing on a new target population (59.5%), and implementing in a new setting (57.1%). Common adaptations were content (100%), context (95.2%), cultural modifications (73.8%), and delivery (61.9%)” [7].

Given the breadth of available EBIs, systematic reviews have been conducted to examine these interventions. One systematic review examining EBI adaptations did not focus on EBIs to treat child traumatic stress [7], while others have examined EBIs and other interventions for children who have experienced traumatic stress, without discussing the nature of included adapted interventions in depth or while focusing on a specific target population [8–11]. These reviews note that understanding the types of adaptations that are made is an important area for further research. Thus, this review aims to answer the following research questions:

1. Why are existing EBIs designed to address PTEs and other forms of adversity experienced by children being adapted?
2. What processes are used to determine which elements of the intervention should be adapted?
3. What components of the intervention are adapted?

Methods

Pre-registration and reporting guidelines

The protocol for this review and subsequent amendments to this review (e.g., minor updates to research questions) were published with PROSPERO [12]. This systematic review adheres to the PRISMA 2020 guidelines for reporting systematic reviews [13] and the PRISMA 2020 Checklist for systematic reviews is included as an Additional file 1.

Search strategy

The full search strategy for this review is available in Additional file 2. Nine academic databases were searched on October 14, 2019: Applied Social Sciences Index & Abstracts, Embase, ProQuest Dissertations & Theses Global, PsycINFO, PubMed, Scopus, Social Services Abstracts, Sociological Abstracts, and Web of Science. The search strategy was created by compiling text words and controlled vocabularies (when allowed by the database) within each database that covered four areas: evidence-based interventions, trauma, the population of interest (i.e., children and adolescents), and adaptation. The search was validated using a list of pre-identified studies meeting the inclusion criteria for this review. Pre-identified studies were located by searching the names of several existing EBIs developed to address trauma, which were located through the National Child Traumatic Stress Network’s list of interventions for trauma [14], and the term “adaptation.” Additionally, a supplementary search was conducted on August 31, 2021, in PubMed
using the same search terms as the original search to capture studies that may have been published since the original search date. Both searches were developed and run by the first author (BL).

In addition to academic databases, Google was used to identify potentially relevant grey literature. The first author (BL) searched Google using different combinations of terms used in the academic databases and reviewed the first three-five pages of results for potentially relevant articles for each set of search terms. Further, a list of conference presentations on EBI adaptations was compiled from the National Child Traumatic Stress Network’s list of interventions for trauma [14]. The authors of these presentations were contacted to determine if manuscripts or reports had been written based on the presentations.

Once included studies were determined from the initial full-text review (process outlined below), all included studies had their references searched to identify additional potential studies for inclusion. Further, all included studies were forward searched (i.e., studies that cited included studies were screened for potential inclusion). This process was repeated for any study found through this method until no new studies could be located. In both instances, the first author (BL) completed the search, with all potential new articles for inclusion being reviewed by the second author (AN).

Screening based on study inclusion and exclusion criteria
Studies located through searches in academic databases and non-academic search engines were downloaded to EndNote where duplicates were removed. Following this, the remaining studies were uploaded to Rayyan (an online tool for systematic review management) for screening [15]. During the initial screening, which occurred at the title and abstract level, the first author (BL) screened 100% of the studies, while the second author (AN) screened 20% of the studies. This percentage was purposively chosen based on the methods of other high-quality systematic reviews. The goal of this initial screening was to briefly assess studies to determine if there was any likelihood they may be relevant to the review, with both authors prioritizing sensitivity in screening to ensure that no potentially relevant studies were missed. Upon completion of this screening, both authors met to discuss and resolve discrepancies. Once all discrepancies were resolved, full texts of the remaining studies were located. These full texts were screened using the same process as the initial screening (i.e., BL reviewed 100% of full texts, and AN reviewed 20% of full texts, with discrepancies resolved through further review of full texts and discussion until a consensus could be reached). For each step of the process, the third author (JL) was available to help resolve discrepancies. However, BL and AN were able to resolve all discrepancies without consultation with JL.

To be included in the review, the article had to meet the following criteria:

1. A full text is available.
2. Is in English. Articles not published in English were excluded, as there were no resources available for translation of articles.
3. Is empirical. Articles with an abstract only, reviews, or think pieces were excluded.
4. Evaluates an intervention that was developed to address PTEs and traumatic stress or has been adapted to address PTEs and traumatic stress.
5. Evaluates an adaptation of an evidence-based intervention. There are different conceptualizations of what constitutes an “evidence-based” intervention. For this review, an intervention was considered “evidence-based” if it was registered with the California Evidence-Based Clearinghouse [16] or Blueprints for Healthy Youth Development [17].
6. Evaluates an EBI for children (18 and under). This EBI could have been developed specifically for children or adapted for use with children.
7. The adaptation to the EBI is clearly described and provided similarly to all children in the study.
8. Contains at least one child mental health outcome.
9. Does not contain solely case studies.

In instances where both a thesis and journal article are available about the same study, only the journal article was included. Further, in instances where primary data is duplicated in multiple publications, only one study was included.

Data extraction
We conducted data extraction in Excel. Items for extraction included the following main topics: basic study information (research question, theoretical framework, etc.), methods (sampling strategy, data analysis, etc.), adaptation reasons (goal of adaptation, type of adaptation), adaptation processes (how decisions to adapt were made, specific adaptations made, etc.), results (participant characteristics; changes in mental health outcomes, such as post-traumatic stress), and next steps (future research and policy implications). Items chosen for extraction related to adaptations were from the Framework for Reporting Adaptations and Modifications – Expanded (FRAME [18]). BL completed extraction for 100% of included studies, while AN completed extraction for 20% of included studies. 20% was selected purposively based on best practices for systematic reviews. All
discrepancies in extraction were resolved through further review of the article and discussion among BL and AN. Though JL was available to help address discrepancies if needed, BL and AN were able to address all discrepancies among themselves.

**Quality determinations**
To our knowledge, no validated measure exists to assess the risk of bias specifically in studies that have made adaptations. As such, a general measure to assess bias, the Evidence Project Risk of Bias Tool was used [19]. BL and AN conducted risk of bias assessments. Both authors did not have formal training on the use of this measure, but engaged in a thorough review of the literature on the measure to ensure that it was being used appropriately. BL conducted 100% of risk of bias assessments, while AN conducted 20% of risk of bias assessments to ensure reliability. 20% was selected purposively based on best practices for systematic reviews.

**Data Synthesis**
For each EBI, data were narratively synthesized for each adapted EBI by research question using the agreed upon extraction documents described previously.

**Results**

**Overview of results**
Forty-two studies examining the adaptations of nine different EBIs were included in this systematic review [20–61]. Please see Fig. 1 for a flow diagram of our review and selection process. Many of these were published between 2011–2015 [21, 22, 24–29, 31, 41–44, 46, 48, 50, 57, 60] and were conducted in the USA [20, 22, 23, 30–42, 45, 46, 48, 49, 57–61]. The average sample size in these studies was 55.3 (SD=51.4). The most commonly adapted EBIs were TF-CBT [20–33, 61] and Cognitive Behavioral Intervention for Trauma in Schools [34–42]. See Table 1.

Across all EBIs, the decision to adapt an intervention was most often made by the researcher in collaboration with others [21, 23, 26–30, 34, 35, 37, 38, 40–49, 56, 57]. The most common reason for adaptations was to improve fit with recipients [20, 23, 24, 34, 43–54, 57–62]. The second most common reason for adaption was to address cultural factors, a subcategory of improving fit [18], to meet the needs of diverse racial and ethnic populations. This was listed as the main reason for adaptation [21, 25, 30, 35–38, 40] or one of the main reasons for adaptation [26–29, 41, 42, 56] in fifteen articles. The most common types of contextual adaptations were changes to the intended population [34, 35, 43–54, 57–60, 62], such as a treatment designed for adults being adapted to children; changes to format [21, 23, 25, 28, 29, 31–33, 37, 39], such as changing the channel of delivery for a particular intervention component from in-person to virtual; and changes in personnel [26–29, 31, 32, 34, 39, 56], such as changing who an intervention is designed to be administered by from a therapist to a lay worker. The most common content adaptations were adding elements [20, 21, 23, 24, 26–29, 31–37, 40–56, 58, 59, 61]; tailoring, tweaking, or refining of materials [21, 23, 25–27, 29, 30, 33–38, 40–52, 56, 58]; shortening or condensing intervention elements [20–22, 41–50, 57]; lengthening or extending intervention elements [21, 26, 34, 35, 37, 38, 41, 42, 45, 58, 59]; and removing or skipping elements [22, 24, 34, 36, 39, 40, 57]. See Table 2.

Results of research questions are summarized by EBI below, with detailed information on each EBI presented in Tables 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7. Though FRAME includes adaptations made to Training and Evaluation, and Implementation and Scale-Up, these were infrequently made or not reported on by study authors. Thus, Tables 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7, and the summaries below focus on content and contextual adaptations. See Additional file 3 for study quality ratings.

**Trauma-Focused Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (TF-CBT)**
Fifteen adaptations of TF-CBT were located [20–33, 61]. See Table 3. Commonly, who participated in the decision to adapt TF-CBT was not described [20, 22, 25, 31–33, 61]. Across all TF-CBT adaptations, the most common content adaptations were adding elements [20, 21, 23, 24, 26–29, 31–33, 61] and tailoring/tweaking/refining intervention components [21, 23, 25–27, 29, 30, 33], and the most common contextual adaptations were changes to format [21, 23, 25, 28, 29, 31–33] and personnel [26–29, 31, 32].

The most common reason for adaptation was to address cultural factors, with interventions being tested in Jordan [21], the Democratic Republic of Congo [25, 29], Zambia [26, 27], and with Hispanic children in the USA [30]. Given the aim of addressing cultural factors, many of these interventions engaged in tailoring/tweaking/refining to adapt language, examples, analogies, songs, or stories to fit with the culture in which they occurred [21, 25–27, 29, 30]. Several of these interventions made contextual adaptations by switching the format of treatment to group delivery [21, 25, 29]. Additionally, several interventions made changes to personnel, by adapting TF-CBT to be delivered by lay counselors [26, 27, 29], which was an element also tied to the goal of increasing the feasibility of these interventions in different cultural contexts where mental health clinicians may be less available.

TF-CBT was also adapted primarily to improve feasibility in other adaptations. In two instances, TF-CBT’s format was adapted to a stepped format, in which the first step was caregiver-led, with the second clinician-led step being only for those who did not respond to the first...
step of treatment [31, 32]. Another study shortened/condensed TF-CBT by reducing the number of sessions and removed/skipped elements by removing the trauma narrative to determine if these changes affected the efficacy of TF-CBT [22]. In a final adaptation to improve feasibility, the format (channel of treatment delivery) of TF-CBT was changed to be delivered through telehealth, with materials adapted to fit an electronic setting [33].

Finally, TF-CBT was adapted to improve fit [20, 23, 24, 28, 61]. In four instances, adaptations were related to traumatic loss [20, 24, 28, 61], with researchers in two studies [20, 28] making additional changes to a previous adaptation of TF-CBT to address traumatic loss [61]. Adaptations included adding elements to address traumatic loss [20, 24, 28, 61], condensing the number of grief module sessions [20], and removing parent sessions [24]. A further intervention aimed to improve fit with adolescents in the juvenile justice system by making adaptations to address the setting, such as tailoring/tweaking/refining the imaginal desensitization exercises. The typical format of parent interactions was also changed from in person to phone or videoconferencing, as these could not happen outside the correctional facility [23].

| Studies identified from academic databases = 11,940 | Studies identified through non-academic databases = 12 |
|---------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|
| Studies identified through screening = 11,952     |                                                      |
| Duplicates removed = 3,932                        |                                                      |
| Studies screened at title/abstract level = 8,020  |                                                      |
| Studies excluded based on title/abstract review = 7,791 |
| Studies screened at the full text level = 229     |                                                      |
| Studies excluded based on full text review = 198  |                                                      |
| - Not an EBI: 62                                  |                                                      |
| - Not empirical: 45                               |                                                      |
| - Case study only: 28                             |                                                      |
| - No full text: 25 (mostly book chapters)         |                                                      |
| - Not adapted: 18                                 |                                                      |
| - Adaptations varied: 5                          |                                                      |
| - Not specific to children: 5                     |                                                      |
| - No primary child outcomes: 6                    |                                                      |
| - EBI was not designed for children who experienced trauma: 1 |
| - Multiple versions: 1                            |                                                      |
| - Primary outcomes not unique to study: 1         |                                                      |
| - Not in English: 1                               |                                                      |
| Included studies after full text review = 31      |                                                      |
| Studies found through backward and forward reference searching = 9 |
| Studies found through supplementary search after initial search date = 2 |

Final included studies = 42
Table 1  Overview of included studies (N = 42)\textsuperscript{a}

|                          | n    | %    | Mean (SD) |
|--------------------------|------|------|-----------|
| **Full sample size**     |      |      | 55.3 (51.4) |
| **Date of publication**  |      |      |           |
| 2003–2005                | 3    | 7.1  |           |
| 2006–2010                | 12   | 28.6 |           |
| 2011–2015                | 18   | 42.9 |           |
| 2016–2020                | 8    | 19.0 |           |
| 2021                     | 1    | 2.4  |           |
| **Country**              |      |      |           |
| USA                      | 25   | 59.5 |           |
| Israel                   | 3    | 7.1  |           |
| Democratic Republic of Congo | 2   | 4.8  |           |
| Sri Lanka                | 2    | 4.8  |           |
| Zambia                   | 2    | 4.8  |           |
| Canada                   | 1    | 2.4  |           |
| Finland                  | 1    | 2.4  |           |
| Germany                  | 1    | 2.4  |           |
| Jordan                   | 1    | 2.4  |           |
| Pakistan                 | 1    | 2.4  |           |
| Somalia                  | 1    | 2.4  |           |
| Tanzania                 | 1    | 2.4  |           |
| Not listed               | 1    | 2.4  |           |
| **EBI adapted**          |      |      |           |
| Trauma-Focused Cognitive Behavioral Therapy | 15 | 35.7 |           |
| Cognitive Behavioral Intervention for Trauma in Schools | 9 | 21.4 |           |
| Prolonged Exposure Therapy | 8  | 19.0 |           |
| Narrative Exposure Therapy | 5  | 11.9 |           |
| Multisystemic Therapy    | 1    | 2.4  |           |
| Multisystemic Therapy for Child Abuse and Neglect and Multisystemic Therapy | 1 | 2.4 |           |
| Seeking Safety           | 1    | 2.4  |           |
| Skills Training in Affective and Interpersonal Regulation | 1 | 2.4 |           |
| Support for Students Exposed to Trauma | 1 | 2.4 |           |

\textsuperscript{a} Percentages may not sum to 100% due to rounding

Cognitive Behavioral Intervention for Trauma in Schools (CBITS)

Nine adaptations of CBITS were located [34–42]. See Table 4. In the majority of studies, adaptations were made in partnership with teams, including community members [34, 35, 37, 38, 40–42]. Across all CBITS adaptations, the most common content adaptations were tailoring/tweaking/refining [34–38, 40–42], adding elements [34–37, 40–42], and lengthening/extending [34, 35, 37, 38, 41, 42]. Contextual adaptations occurred equally across format [37, 39], setting [34, 35], personnel [34, 39], and population [34, 35].

A primary aim of five interventions was to address cultural factors, focusing on immigrant populations [35, 36, 38] and on American Indian populations [37, 40]. Adaptations varied, but most commonly content adaptations included tailoring/tweaking/refining to ensure material was culturally appropriate [35–38, 40], removing/skipping program components (e.g., introductory activities; [36, 40]), and adding/lengthening elements, such as family-related components [35, 36, 38]. An example of a contextual adaptation was changing the setting of the intervention from a school to the community [35].

The primary adaptation made in two studies was the addition of a family component that was designed not only to educate family members on CBITS, trauma, and helping their children through treatment, but was also designed to improve parent functioning [41, 42]. In contrast to CBITS, this family component was required and involved family members attending sessions where
they were offered information on topics such as coping strategies and parenting [41, 42]. In both instances, tailoring/tweaking/refining took place to address cultural factors, with adaptations including the addition of culturally relevant examples; the intervention being lengthened or shortened depending on the needs of those involved; and materials from other approaches being integrated into treatment [41, 42].

One study adapted CBITS to create a new intervention – Support for Students Exposed to Trauma (SSET [39]). SSET is designed to improve the feasibility of CBITS by having the intervention be delivered by those without clinical training. Content adaptations included removing the teacher session, adding sessions, lengthening sessions, and tailoring/tweaking/refining intervention components. Contextual adaptations included changing the setting of the intervention to be delivered in a mental health agency rather than a school, changing the target population, and changing the personnel to two group facilitators [34].

Prolonged Exposure Therapy (PE)

Eight adaptations of PE were located [43–50]. See Table 5. The goal of all eight of these adaptations was to improve the fit of PE with an adolescent population [43–50]. Who made the decision to adapt the intervention was not listed in articles [43–50], though a manual [63] cited by study authors described adaptations being made by the research team, therapists, and community members in seven instances [43–49]. The same adaptations were made to tailor PE to adolescents in all but one [50] of the eight adaptations.

The seven studies following the same adaptations cited several sources for information on the adaptations made [47, 63, 66], but were often not explicit in the article itself about the adaptations made. Adaptations summarized here have been extracted from one of the manuals cited by study authors [63]. Adaptations included the addition of elements, including developmentally appropriate activities and the involvement of caregivers; tailoring/tweaking/refining intervention components, including procedures based on the emotional needs of the adolescent and simplifying language; and shortening session time when needed [43–49]. In the remaining article not following this manual, adaptations to PE were similar and included the addition of developmentally appropriate activities and the involvement of caregivers; tailoring/refining intervention components, including procedures based on the emotional needs of the adolescent and simplifying language; and shortening session time when needed [43–49]. In the remaining article not following this manual, adaptations to PE were similar and included the addition of developmentally appropriate activities, which could be tailored based on the age of the child, and the involvement of caregivers in treatment [50].

Given the research supporting this new model, Prolonged Exposure Therapy for Adolescents (PE-A), is now considered to be well supported by the research evidence, the highest rating given by the CEBC [67].

Narrative Exposure Therapy (NET)

Five adaptations of NET were located [51–55]. See Table 6. In all instances, contextual adaptations included changing the population of NET to a younger population,
| Study author, year | Country | Population | Reason for adaptation | Who was involved in adaptation | FRAME categories | Examples of content adaptations | Examples of contextual adaptations |
|-------------------|---------|------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Cohen et al., 2004 [61] | USA | 6–17-year-old children who lost a loved one | Improve fit | Not listed | Adding elements | Added grief-focused components | None |
| Cohen et al., 2006 [20] | USA | 6–17-year-old children who experienced traumatic loss | Improve fit | Not listed | Adding elements | Used modified protocol (specific to traumatic loss) that included grief-focused components | None |
| Damra et al., 2014 [21] | Jordan | 10–12-year-old boys who experienced physical abuse | Address cultural factors | Researchers and expert clinicians | Adding elements | Translated into Arabic | Changed format to group delivery |
| Deblinger et al., 2011 [22] | USA | 4–11-year-old children who experienced contact sexual abuse | Improve feasibility | Not listed | Removing/skipping elements | Decreased sessions | None |
| Heier, 2019 [23] | USA | 15–18-year-old children in the juvenile justice system | Improve fit | Administrators, researchers, and individual practitioners | Adding elements | Ability to re-order elements | Parents could be included in session through technology (phone or videoconferencing) |
| Madigan et al., 2015 [24] | Not listed | 12–18-year-old pregnant girls who experienced traumatic loss | Improve fit | Researchers – theoretically driven | Adding elements | Used modified protocol (specific to traumatic loss) | None |
| McMullen et al., 2013 [25] | DRC | 13–17-year-old boys who were affected by war | Address cultural factors | Not listed | Format | Included culturally applicable examples, analogies, songs, and stories | Changed format to group delivery |
| Study author, year | Country | Population | Reason for adaptation | Who was involved in adaptation | FRAME categories | Examples of content adaptations | Examples of contextual adaptations |
|-------------------|---------|------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Murray et al., 2015a [27] | Zambia | 5–18-year-old children who experienced trauma | Address cultural factors and improve feasibility | Researchers, local counselors, and community members | — Adding elements | — Included culturally applicable analogies, stories, values (e.g., religious), and items | — Administered by lay counselors |
| Murray et al., 2013 [26] | Zambia | 5–18-year-old children who experienced trauma | Address cultural factors and improve feasibility | Researchers, local counselors, and community members | — Adding elements | — Included culturally applicable analogies, stories, values (e.g., religious), and items | — Administered by lay counselors |
| O'Callaghan et al., 2013 [29] | DRC | 12–17-year-old girls who were affected by war and experienced or witnessed rape or sexual abuse | Address cultural factors and improve feasibility | Individual practitioners and researchers | — Adding elements | — Included culturally appropriate examples, games, and songs | — Administered by non-clinicians |
| O'Donnell et al., 2014 [28] | Tanzania | 6–13-year-old children who lost a parent | Address cultural factors, improve feasibility, and improve fit | Researchers and community members | — Adding elements | — Used modified protocol (specific to traumatic loss) | — Administered by lay counselors |

Note: FRAME categories include Adding elements, Personnel, Tailoring/tweaking/refining. Examples of contextual adaptations may include cultural considerations such as analogies, stories, and values relevant to the specific cultural context of the study population.
| Study author, year | Country | Population | Reason for adaptation | Who was involved in adaptation | FRAME categories | Examples of content adaptations | Examples of contextual adaptations |
|-------------------|---------|------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Rivera, 2008 [30] | USA     | 7–17-year-old Hispanic children who experienced trauma | Address cultural factors | Researchers, clinicians, intervention developers, mental health experts, and community members | − Tailoring/tweaking/refining | − Included cultural constructs (e.g., machismo, familismo) − Modified treatment components to make them more acceptable (e.g., integrated spirituality) − Modified the trauma narrative to include challenges related to lack of closure − Included culturally appropriate examples | None |
| Salloum et al., 2014 [31] | USA | 3–6-year-old children who experienced trauma | Increase reach, improve feasibility, and reduce cost | Not listed | − Adding elements − Format - channel of delivery − Integrating another approach into treatment − Personnel | − Added caregiver-child workbook, which was based on another treatment approach | − Delivered in a two-step model − Step 1 is caregiver-led and not clinician-led − Delivered some material through phone meetings/a website |
| Salloum et al., 2017 [32] | USA | 8–12-year-old children who experienced trauma | Increase reach, improve feasibility, and reduce cost | Not listed | − Adding elements − Format - channel of delivery − Integrating another approach into treatment − Personnel | − Added caregiver-child workbook, which was based on another treatment approach | − Delivered in a two-step model − Step 1 is caregiver-led and not clinician-led − Delivered some material through phone meetings/a website |
| Stewart et al., 2017 [33] | USA | 7–16-year-old children who experienced trauma | Increase reach and improve feasibility | Not listed | − Adding elements − Format - channel of delivery − Tailoring/tweaking/refining | − Materials presented through technology (e.g., PowerPoint, digital books, and writing of narrative in Word) − Addition of digital materials (e.g., games and books) | − Changed format to telehealth |

* Information on adaptations obtained from Murray et al. [26] who was cited by Murray et al. [27]
| Study author, year | Country | Population | Reason for adaptation | Who was involved in adaptation | FRAME categories | Content adaptations | Contextual adaptations |
|-------------------|---------|------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| Auslander et al., 2017 [34] | USA | 12–18-year-old girls in child welfare who experienced trauma | Improve fit | Intervention developer/purveyor, practitioners, researchers, and recipients | — Adding elements — Lengthening/延长ing — Personnel — Population — Removing/skipping elements — Setting — Tailoring/tweaking/ refining | — Lengthened sessions — Added sessions (pre-intervention and graduation) — Removed teacher session — Included population appropriate language and examples — Added grounding/relaxation to each session — Added reminders for meetings by phone | — Changed setting to child welfare — Population changed (12-18 year olds, girls, in child welfare, and could have experienced sexual abuse) — Two group facilitators |
| Elswick et al., 2021 [35] | USA | 12–18-year-old African refugee children who experienced trauma | Address cultural factors | Researchers with parent and participant feedback | — Adding elements — Lengthening/延长ing — Population — Setting — Tailoring/tweaking/ refining | — Lengthened intervention — Parent support groups offered included cultural brokers and interpreters — Modified language (e.g., did not use the term “homework”) — Added culturally appropriate activities (e.g., drumming) — Incorporated a pyramid mentoring model | — Changed setting to community — Population changed (12-18 year olds) — Delivered by participant gender (no option of mixed-gender groups) |
| Feldman, 2007 [36] | USA | Spanish speaking, immigrant children in middle school who experienced trauma | Address cultural factors | Researchers | — Adding elements — Removing/skipping elements — Tailoring/tweaking/ refining | — Ran sessions bilingually — Included culturally appropriate examples — Removed some program components — Addition of communication with families (e.g., could meet with group leaders, phone calls made) | None |
Table 4 (continued)

| Study author, year | Country | Population | Reason for adaptation | Who was involved in adaptation | FRAME categories | Content adaptations | Contextual adaptations |
|--------------------|---------|------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| Goodkind et al., 2010 [37] | USA | 12–15-year-old American Indian children in school who experienced trauma | Address cultural factors | Researchers, community members, and clinicians | Adding elements — Format — Lengthening/extend ing — Re-ordering of intervention modules or segments — Spreading — Tailoring/tweaking/ refining | Increased sessions (split one session into two) — Changed timing of parent sessions — Included culturally appropriate examples and stories and removed inappropriate ones — Added elements (e.g., alternative activities, option to see traditional healer) — Timing of parent session changed | Individual (non-group) time spent with students to identify supportive person |
| Jaycox et al., 2009 [39] | USA | 11.5-year-old (on average) children in school who experienced severe violence | Improve feasibility | Not listed | Format — Personnel — Removing/skipping elements | Removed sessions (break-out and parent sessions) | Administered by school personnel — Changed session format to lesson plan format — Changed session format to curricular format |
| Kataoka et al., 2003 [38] | USA | 11.4-year-old (on average) Latino, immigrant children in school who experienced community violence | Address cultural factors | Researchers, community members, and clinicians | Lengthening/extend ing — Tailoring/tweaking/ refining | Increased family sessions (four 2-h optional multifamily group sessions offered) — Multifamily groups sessions included support for common experiences related to immigration None |
| Morsette et al., 2009 [40] | USA | 11–12-year-old American Indian children in school who experienced trauma | Address cultural factors | Researchers, community members, and clinicians | Adding elements — Removing/skipping elements — Tailoring/tweaking/ refining | Included culturally appropriate examples — Removed introductory activities and non-culturally appropriate materials — Modified hot seat activity — Elder provided prayer and participated in graduation None |
| Study author, year | Country | Population | Reason for adaptation | Who was involved in adaptation | FRAME categories | Content adaptations | Contextual adaptations |
|-------------------|---------|------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| Santiago et al., 2014 | USA | 11.7-year-old (on average) children in school who experienced trauma | Address cultural factors, increase engagement, and improve effectiveness | Researchers, community members, and clinicians | - Adding elements | - Family component required | None |
|                    |        |            |                       |                                | - Integrating another approach into treatment | - Added material to improve parent functioning |          |
|                    |        |            |                       |                                | - Lengthening/extend­ing | - The time spent on each module increased for families |          |
|                    |        |            |                       |                                | - Shortening/condensing | - Content put into modules that could be grouped or stretched out |          |
|                    |        |            |                       |                                | - Spreading | - Included culturally appropriate examples and examples appropriate to low-income families |          |
|                    |        |            |                       |                                | - Tailoring/tweaking/ refining | - Added adapted material from other approaches (e.g., The Incredible Years) |          |
| Santiago et al., 2015 | USA | 11.8-year-old (on average) children in school who experienced trauma | Address cultural factors, increase engagement, and improve effectiveness | Researchers, community members, and clinicians | - Adding elements | - Family component required | None |
|                    |        |            |                       |                                | - Integrating another approach into treatment | - Added material to improve parent functioning |          |
|                    |        |            |                       |                                | - Lengthening/extend­ing | - The time spent on each module increased for families |          |
|                    |        |            |                       |                                | - Shortening/condensing | - Content put into modules that could be grouped or stretched out |          |
|                    |        |            |                       |                                | - Spreading | - Included culturally appropriate examples and examples appropriate to low-income families |          |
|                    |        |            |                       |                                | - Tailoring/tweaking/ refining | - Added adapted material from other approaches (e.g., The Incredible Years) |          |

* Information on some adaptations obtained from Santiago et al. [42] who was cited by Santiago et al. [41]
Table 5 Adaptations of Prolonged Exposure Therapy

| Study author, year | Country | Population | Reason for adaptation | Who was involved in adaptation | FRAME categories | Content adaptations | Contextual adaptations |
|--------------------|---------|------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| Aderka, Appelbaum-Namdar, et al., 2011 [44] | Israel | 8–17-year-old children who experienced trauma | Improve fit | Researchers, therapists, and community members | — Adding elements | — Added developmentally appropriate activities (e.g., inclusion of drawing, writing, and games) | — Population changed to children |
| Aderka, Foa, et al., 2011 [43] | Israel | 8–18-year-old children who experienced trauma | Improve fit | Researchers, therapists, and community members | — Population | | |
| Brown et al., 2019 [45] | USA | 15.3-year-old (on average) girls who experienced sexual assault | Improve fit | Researchers, therapists, and community members | — Shortening/condensing | | |
| Foa et al., 2013 [46] | USA | 15.3-year-old (on average) girls who experienced sexual abuse | Improve fit | Researchers, therapists, and community members | — Tailoring/tweaking/refining | | |
| Gilboa-Schechtman et al., 2010 [47] | Israel | 12–18-year-old children who experienced a single traumatic event | Improve fit | Researchers, therapists, and community members | | | |
| McLean et al., 2015 [48] | USA | 13–18-year-old girls who experienced sexual assault | Improve fit | Researchers, therapists, and community members | — Population | | |
| McLean et al., 2017 [49] | USA | 13–18-year-old girls who experienced sexual assault | Improve fit | Researchers, therapists, and community members | — Shortening/condensing | | |
| Adler Nevo & Manassis, 2011 [50] | Canada | 10.8-year-old (on average) children who experienced trauma | Improve fit | Not listed | — Tailoring/tweaking/refining | — Added activities (e.g., drawing and playing), which could be tailored based on the age of the child | — Population changed to children |
Table 6  Adaptations of Narrative Exposure Therapy

| Study author, year | Country     | Population                                                                 | Reason for adaptation | Who was involved in adaptation | FRAME categories                                                                 | Content adaptations                                                                 | Contextual adaptations                                      |
|-------------------|-------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| Catani et al., 2009a [51] | Sri Lanka   | 8–14-year-old children who experienced war and a tsunami                   | Improve fit           | Not listed                      | − Adding elements                                                              | − Used age-appropriate metaphors                                          | − Population changed to children                                |
|                   |             |                                                                            |                       |                                | − Population                                                                    | − Illustrative, creative elements added (e.g., stones, flowers, lifeline, and drawings) |                                                                            |
|                   |             |                                                                            |                       |                                | − Tailoring/tweaking/refining                                                   | − Added body positioning and toys to aid in reenactment                    |                                                                            |
|                   |             |                                                                            |                       |                                |                                                                              |                                                                            |                                                                            |
| Onyut et al., 2005 [52] | Somalia     | 12–17-year-old children who experienced war                               | Improve fit           | Not listed                      | − Adding elements                                                              | − Illustrative, creative methods added (e.g., stones, flowers, lifeline)     | − Population changed to children                                 |
|                   |             |                                                                            |                       |                                | − Population                                                                    | − Narrative was extended beyond the present                                |                                                                            |
|                   |             |                                                                            |                       |                                | − Tailoring/tweaking/refining                                                   |                                                                            |                                                                            |
|                   |             |                                                                            |                       |                                |                                                                              |                                                                            |                                                                            |
| Peltonen & Kangaslampi, 2019 [53] | Finland     | 9–17-year-old children who experienced family violence or were refugees   | Improve fit           | Not listed                      | − Adding elements                                                              | − Illustrative, creative elements added (e.g., stones, flowers, lifeline)     | − Population changed to children                                 |
|                   |             |                                                                            |                       |                                | − Population                                                                    | − Narrative was extended beyond the present                                |                                                                            |
|                   |             |                                                                            |                       |                                | − Tailoring/tweaking/refining                                                   |                                                                            |                                                                            |
| Ruf et al., 2010 [54] | Germany     | 7–16-year-old refugee children who experienced trauma                      | Improve fit           | Not listed                      | − Adding elements                                                              | − Illustrative, creative elements added (e.g., stones, flowers, lifeline, and drawings) | − Population changed to children                                 |
|                   |             |                                                                            |                       |                                | − Population                                                                    | − Added body positioning and toys to aid in reenactment                    |                                                                            |
|                   |             |                                                                            |                       |                                | − Tailoring/tweaking/refining                                                   |                                                                            |                                                                            |
| Schauer, 2008 [55]  | Sri Lanka   | 6–15-year-old children who experienced trauma                             | Improve fit           | Not listed                      | − Adding elements                                                              | − Illustrative, creative elements added (e.g., stones, flowers, lifeline, and drawings) | − Population changed to children                                 |
|                   |             |                                                                            |                       |                                | − Population                                                                    | − Added body positioning and toys to aid in reenactment                    |                                                                            |
|                   |             |                                                                            |                       |                                | − Tailoring/tweaking/refining                                                   |                                                                            |                                                                            |

*a Information on content/contextual adaptations obtained from Neuner et al. [64] who was cited by Catani et al. [51], as they did not describe the adaptations in the article
| Study author, year | EBI                  | Country   | Population                                                                 | Reason for adaptation                                       | Who was involved in adaptation | FRAME categories                  | Content adaptations                                                                                     | Contextual adaptations                                |
|-------------------|----------------------|-----------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|
| Amin et al., 2020 [56] | SSET                | Pakistan  | 11.4-year-old (on average) children who experienced trauma                | Address cultural factors and increase reach                 | Researcher and community members | Adding elements                   | Materials translated                                                                                   | Led by individual with clinical training              |
| Gudiño et al., 2014 [57] | STAIR              | USA       | 12–17-year-old children who experienced trauma and were in inpatient treatment | Improve fit                                                 | Researchers and clinicians     | Population                        | Condensed treatment (each of the three main components were delivered in a single-session module) | Population changed to adolescents                     |
| Najavits et al., 2006 [58] | SS                 | USA       | 16.1-year-old girls with substance use disorder who experienced trauma   | Improve fit                                                 | Not listed                     | Adding elements                   | Added two sessions for topics outside manual                                                        | Population changed to adolescents                     |
| Schaeffer et al., 2013 [60] | MST-CAN and MST    | USA       | 6–17-year-old children who experienced child maltreatment and are involved in child welfare | Improve fit                                                 | Not listed                     | Integrating the intervention into another treatment approach | Integrated interventions into another treatment approach | Population changed to children who experienced abuse/neglect |
| Swenson et al., 2010 [59] | MST                | USA       | 13.9-year-old (on average) children who experienced physical abuse       | Improve fit                                                 | Researcher                     | Adding elements                   | Lengthened treatment                                                                                  | Population changed to children who experienced physical abuse |
with the goal being to improve fit with that population, though no article described who participated in the decision to adapt the intervention [51–55]. Though all articles reported adapting NET to KIDNET, a new intervention for children developed from NET, the reported adaptations listed in articles varied. For example, though all articles mentioned adding illustrative, creative elements, such as the introduction of a string for the creation of a lifeline, stones, flowers, and drawings [51–55], just three described adding the use of body positioning to aid in the trauma reenactment [51, 54, 55]. KIDNET is now considered to have promising research evidence supporting its efficacy [68].

Other EBIs

Two adaptations of Multisystemic Therapy (MST) were located [59, 60], with one being an adaptation of standard MST [59] and one being an adaptation of MST-CAN and MST [60]. See Table 7. Who participated in decisions to adapt was not listed in one study [60], while in the other researchers made the decision [59]. Researchers in both studies aimed to improve the fit of MST for children involved in the child welfare system [59, 60]. Content adaptations made to MST included lengthening treatment, adding elements to strengthen agency-family relationships, and adding pharmacotherapy when needed [59]. Content adaptations made to MST-CAN and MST involved integrating these interventions into another model (Reinforcement-Based Treatment) to create a new intervention [60].

One adaptation of SSET (an intervention derived from CBITS) was located. See Table 7. Both the research team and community members were involved in the adaptation. The main contextual adaptation was that those with clinical training led the intervention, though teachers served as co-facilitators. Content adaptations included the tailoring/tweaking/refining of intervention components, including translating materials, as well as the addition of regular parent meetings [56].

One adaptation of Skills Training in Affective and Interpersonal Regulation (STAIR), an intervention initially developed for adults, was located. See Table 7. STAIR was adapted by researchers and clinicians to improve fit with an adolescent, inpatient population. Specific adaptations included removing the trauma narrative, condensing treatment, giving adolescents the ability to repeat sessions, and re-ordering intervention components by giving adolescents the option to attend sessions out of order [57].

One adaptation of Seeking Safety (SS) was located (Table 7). Who participated in the adaptation was not explicated in the article, but the intervention, which was initially developed for adults, was adapted to improve fit with adolescent girls with substance use disorders. Adaptations included the addition of two sessions and updates to parents (if agreed upon), and tailoring/tweaking/refining material to talk in the displacement, discuss trauma details, and verbally deliver the material when needed [58].

Discussion

Overview of results

This review was the first comprehensive attempt to synthesize published literature on adaptations of EBIs for children who have experienced trauma. In total, 42 studies examining the adaptations of nine different EBIs were located, with TF-CBT and CBITS being the most commonly adapted EBIs. EBIs were most frequently adapted to improve fit with a new population and to address cultural factors. Most commonly, researchers in combination with others made decisions about adapting interventions, though who was involved in these decisions was often not described in articles. Common content adaptations included the addition of intervention elements and the tailoring/tweaking/refining of intervention materials. Common contextual adaptations included changes to the intended population, changes to the channel of treatment delivery, and changes to who administered the intervention.

Implications and directions for future research

The most common reason for adaptation of EBIs was to improve fit with recipients. Specific need to improve fit often stemmed from needing to tailor an intervention to a new population (e.g., a younger population) or setting. This finding is in keeping with other systematic reviews on this topic where researchers found the need to adapt to a new population or setting to be the second and third most common reason for adaptation [7]. Though efforts have been made to adapt a number of EBIs to better fit with recipients, there have been a number of additional proposed adaptations to better fit the needs of LGBTQ youth [69], African American youth [70], and youth with autism spectrum disorder [71, 72] that should be evaluated.

The second most common reason for adapting an EBI was to address cultural factors. The need to adapt EBIs to new cultural contexts or to cultural groups is common and was the most common reason found for adapting public health interventions in a systematic review conducted by Escoffery, Lebow-Skelley [7]. Though interventions are increasingly being developed for, and adapted and evaluated in, multiple cultural contexts, many EBIs were developed in the USA and centered on majority (and often White) populations. There is a need to evaluate the efficacy of EBIs for diverse populations and
contexts, and when necessary, develop adaptations for additional cultural contexts and populations to fully meet the needs of children who are experiencing trauma globally. For example, adaptations to EBIs to meet the cultural needs of children in China [73] have already been proposed. However, it is also critical to make decisions about adaptations deliberately based on the available research; for example, there is evidence that many EBIs are equally effective for children from different racial/ethnic backgrounds [74].

The reason for the adaptations guided the type of adaptation made. For example, when the reason for an intervention was a cultural adaptation, content adaptations were made that included adding culturally relevant material. In some instances, given resource constraints in some settings, contextual adaptations were made, including changing who administered the intervention (e.g., therapist to teacher). This practice of task shifting is a common occurrence in the delivery of mental health services [75], and is particularly important as the need for mental health services outpaces the capacity of mental health professionals in many countries and communities.

Methods for making decisions on adaptations were often not described, including whether they were made solely based on the authors’ expertise, existing research, needs assessments, consultation with community members, and/or pilot studies, which may be due to space or word limitations for journals. This represents a missed opportunity to share information about how successful adaptations are developed. Future researchers attempting to adapt interventions will benefit from partnering with those delivering and receiving the interventions when developing or evaluating adaptations to make sure that these adaptations fully meet the needs of those they are intended for. One avenue for more collaborative decision-making is a community-based participatory research (CBPR) approach [76] or user-centered design [77].

Though adaptations are frequently made to interventions, we must avoid the temptation to adapt every EBI for every unique setting or population without understanding how the original model works and for whom adaptations are necessary. Unnecessary adaptation may lead to an overwhelming number of variations with limited ability to understand their relative effectiveness or loss of the original EBI’s core components. This balance between intervention fidelity and adaptations is an ongoing challenge, but perhaps could be easier to navigate if the core components, opportunities for adaptation, and “red lines” for model fidelity (e.g., which adaptations are considered fidelity consistent or inconsistent) were more clearly articulated during EBI development. Often, EBI developers promote the flexibility of their model but also require strict fidelity, making it difficult to know how or when a model can be adapted. More explicit guidance and examples of successful fidelity-consistent adaptations can enhance efforts to scale and spread treatments effectively. Further, with limited resources and a growing number of trauma-focused EBIs, we must prioritize adaptations for populations where data indicate they are not being adequately served by existing EBIs. Research suggests that most EBIs are effective with diverse populations [78]. Specifically, existing EBIs should generally be considered a first line approach even with populations or children that may differ from the EBI research sample(s), even if with minor tailoring that maintains fidelity to the EBI. Use of outcome data on EBIs in practice with diverse populations should be used to inform the decision to develop adaptations.

Though this review published existing academic and grey literature on adaptations, the research on adaptations pales in comparison to how frequently adaptations are likely made in real-world settings (but never evaluated or published). In light of the emphasis on fidelity during training and implementation, as well as possible implicit or explicit power differentials between practitioners and those who conduct and champion the research or implementation, providers may be reluctant to share these adaptations with EBI developers for fear of running afoul of treatment fidelity or certification requirements. This is another reason that further research with practitioners in real-world settings (e.g., using CBPR) is needed to determine what adaptations are being made in practice to EBIs and how these adaptations may affect intervention outcomes and access to services.

Limitations

It is possible that studies relevant to this review were not located. Specifically, though we did not limit our searches to the English language, we only included studies published in the English language. Further, we excluded studies of EBIs that included people over the age of 18, even in instances where some of the population were 18 or under (e.g., [79–85]). Finally, it is possible that studies with non-significant results were not published due to publication bias. These studies may have provided additional insight into adaptations of EBIs for children.

The synthesis of adaptations made is also limited by the source articles. Due to space constraints, it is possible that the study authors did not fully report on all aspects of the adaptation process, including who was involved in the adaptations and all of the adaptations that were made. If aspects of adaptation were omitted from articles, these would not have been extracted by our research team, and thus would not be synthesized here. Some of the information missing from articles may have been available
in published implementation manuals, however, due to funding constraints, the research team was unable to extract data from these sources, with the exception of the PE-A manual.

**Conclusion**

This systematic review found that EBIs have been frequently adapted and evaluated for use with children globally. Most published studies of EBI adaptations have been developed to improve fit and address cultural factors. However, little research is available about adaptations made by clinicians in day-to-day practice. Efforts should be made to evaluate the various types of adaptations and especially whether adaptations improve access to services or child outcomes in order to ensure that all children exposed to trauma can access effective treatment.
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