Evaluation of the correlation between frailty and sleep quality among elderly patients with osteoporosis: a cross-sectional study
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Abstract

Background: The incidence of osteoporosis increases with age. Frailty is a distinct characteristic seen in older osteoporosis patients. Poor sleep quality is common in elderly individuals. However, there are few studies on the correlation between frailty and sleep quality in elderly patients with osteoporosis in China.

Methods: This cross-sectional study was conducted from December 8, 2020, to April 30, 2021. A total of 247 patients who met the inclusion and exclusion criteria were recruited in outpatient departments at West China Hospital. A self-designed demographic data questionnaire, the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI), and the Frailty Phenotype scale were used to evaluate the sleep quality and frailty of the participants. Multivariate logistic regression was performed to evaluate the factors affecting frailty and sleep quality in osteoporosis patients.

Results: A total of 231 valid questionnaires were statistically analysed. The mean frailty score was 3.2 ± 1.6 and a total of 152 (65.8%) were frailty. The mean PSQI score was 11.9 ± 4.5 and a total of 183 (79.2%) patients had poor sleep quality (PSQI > 7). Multiple logistic regression showed that female, pain, polypharmacy, activities of daily living status (ADLs), and sleep quality were independent influencing factors for frailty, while comorbidity, ADLs and frailty status were independent influencing factors for sleep quality.

Conclusion: We found that frailty and sleep quality were prevalent and that frailty was positively correlated with the PSQI score in elderly patients with osteoporosis in China. The higher the frailty score is, the worse the sleep quality. It means the frailer old patients were, the worse their sleep quality, and poor sleep quality may increase the risk of frailty in elderly patients with osteoporosis. To manage elderly patients with osteoporosis effectively, medical staff should pay attention to frailty, sleep quality and its influencing factors.
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Background

Osteoporosis (OP) is a systemic bone disease characterized by reduced bone mass and damage to the bone microstructure, resulting in increased bone fragility and fracture, and the incidence of the disease increases with age [1]. OP causes acute and chronic pain, height changes, and reduced activities of daily living (ADL), and increases the risk of hospitalization, individual socioeconomic burden, morbidity, and mortality [1, 2]. There were 20 million cases of osteoporosis in EU6 countries (France, Germany, Italy, Spain, the United Kingdom and Sweden) in 2015, 15.8 million of these cases involved women, and 4.2 million involved men [1]. The prevalence of OP in people > 60 years of age was 36%, comprising 23% males and 49% females in China [3]. The number of patients with OP-related fractures in China will reach...
5.99 million, and medical expenditures will reach $25.43 billion by 2050 [4]. A previous study showed that OP was associated with an increased risk of death for 5–10 years after the onset of a fragility fracture in people ≥60 years of age [5].

Frailty is caused by the cumulative decline of multiple physiological systems associated with age and is a multidimensional syndrome, including physical, social, and cognitive dimensions [6]. The prevalence of frailty ranges from 4.0–59.1% globally and 7.0–28.0% in China, and the trend increases each year [6, 7]. Frail elderly individuals are more likely to suffer from falls, disability, delirium, cognitive impairment, hospitalization, and even death [8].

The overall prevalence rates of poor sleep quality ≥60 years were 41.5–49.7% in China [9, 10] and 17–31% in 16 European countries [11]. Poor sleep quality and sleep disorder can increase the risk of obesity, cardiovascular disease, type 2 diabetes mellitus, and depression [12].

The literature showed a bidirectional relationship between frailty and sleep quality in elderly persons. Frailty is often accompanied by a drop in growth hormone levels, leading to a decline in muscle mass and strength [13], and a drop in growth hormone levels may also be associated with poor sleep quality [14]. In addition, poor sleep quality can cause internal changes in the body [15], and decreases hormone levels, inflammatory molecules, and renal insufficiency can lead to protein degradation and muscle proteolysis, thus increases the risk of frailty in older adults [15–17]. That is, poor sleep quality affects endocrine and metabolic functions, and increases the risk of frailty [18, 19]. Conversely, frailty may decrease sleep quality [20].

Frailty and poor sleep quality are important characteristics in elderly patients with OP [21, 22]. Previous studies showed that the prevalence of frailty and poor sleep quality in the elderly adults with OP was 74.77% and 50%, respectively [23, 24], which was higher than that in common elderly persons [6, 7, 9, 10] in China. In recent years, an increasing number of studies have been conducted on sleep quality and OP, or frailty and OP for older adults, However, there are few studies on sleep quality and frailty, and the relationship between frailty and sleep quality in elderly patients with OP in China.

Thus, the present study evaluated the association between frailty and sleep quality among elderly patients with OP in China.

Methods

Population and data

A questionnaire survey was conducted among elderly patients with OP who visited the West China Hospital of Sichuan University between December 8, 2020, and April 30, 2021. A cross-sectional study was conducted, and a total of 247 elderly outpatients with OP were invited to participate in this survey. The Ethics Committee of the West China Hospital of Sichuan University approved this research. Informed consent was obtained from all participants. Survey data were anonymously coded to ensure that identifying information remained confidential.

All study participants met the diagnostic criteria of the guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of OP in elderly individuals in China (2018 Edition) [25]. Bone mineral density (BMD) at the lumbar spine (L1-L4) and the femoral neck or 1/3 of the distal radius was measured for each participant. A T-score of any bone site ≥ -1.0 is considered normal; -2.5 < T-score < -1.0 is considered osteopenia; T-score ≤ -2.5 is considered OP [25]. The inclusion criteria were as follows: diagnosis of OP (bone density examination through dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry, DXA); age ≥ 60 years; intact cognitive ability; agreement to participate in this survey; no poor sleep quality due to alcohol use, pharmacotherapy or disease; and no family history of sleep problems. The exclusion criteria were as follows: parathyroid and adrenal gland corticosteroids, malignant tumor, and thyroid.

There were three types of questionnaires included: demographic data, the Frailty Phenotype scale and the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI). For data collection, researchers conducted face-to-face interviews with each participant in the outpatient department of the West China Hospital, Sichuan University. Before the survey, investigators received uniform training on the correct methods of data collection and pass the test. The investigator used uniform language to explain the purpose, significance and relevant instructions of the investigation and obtained a signed consent form prior to conducting the investigation. The researchers gave each participant a paper questionnaire, which was completed independently and collected immediately.

The database was built using EpiData 3.1 software (EpiData–Comprehensive Data Management and Basic Statistical Analysis System, EpiData Association, Odense, Denmark) and then double-checked. Incomplete questionnaires were deleted.

Outcomes

Frailty was assessed by using Fried’s frailty phenotype scale, which includes five elements: unintentional weight loss, self-reported exhaustion, slow walking speed, weakness, and low physical activity [26]. Unintentional weight loss was defined as unintentional weight loss ≥ 4.5 kg or body weight ≥ 5% within 1 year (at follow-up, direct weight measurement). Self-reported exhaustion is used to determine how often the patient’s
condition has happened by asking two questions (“I cannot walk” and “I feel like everything I do requires effort”) in the past week. Scores range from 0 to 3 based on frequency. 0 = little or none of time (< 1 day), 1 = Sometimes (1–2 days), 2 = often (3–4 days), 3 = most of time (>4 days). If the score for any question is ≥ 2, it shows exhaustion. Slow walking speed is based on time to walk 4.57 m, adjusting for sex and standing height. The following conditions indicate slow walking speed: height (cm) and walking time (s) are, respectively, male, ≤ 173 cm and ≥ 7 s, > 173 cm and ≥ 6 s, and female ≤ 159 cm and ≥ 7 s, > 159 cm and ≥ 6 s. Weakness is measured by grip strength, using maximum handgrip strength of either hand (twice test for each; keep a standing position measured), adjusted for gender and body mass index (BMI). The following conditions indicate weakness: BMI (kg/m2) and grip strength (kg) are, respectively, ≤ 24.0 kg/m2 and ≤ 29 kg, 24.1–26.0 kg/m2 and ≤ 30 kg, 26.1–28.0 kg/m2 and ≤ 30 kg, > 28.0 kg/m2 and ≤ 32 kg, and female ≤ 23.0 kg/m2 and ≤ 17 kg, 23.1–26.0 kg/m2 and ≤ 17.3 kg, 26.1–29.0 kg/m2 and ≤ 18 kg, > 29.0 kg/m2 and ≤ 21 kg. Conditions of kilocalories (kals) expended per week indicate low physical activity: males < 383 kals and females < 270 kals. These results were used for the statistical analyses. Continuous data did not follow a normal distribution. A p value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Health situation factors were smoking history (yes/no); drinking history (yes/no); history of fracture (yes/no); pain (yes/no); comorbidity means ≥ 2 conditions (yes/no); polypharmacy considers taking ≥ 5 medications simultaneously (yes/no); participation in social activity (no, 1 to 2 times/year, 1 to 2 times/month, 1 to 2 times/week); and BMI, which was calculated as weight (kg) divided by the square of height (m). We categorized BMI into three groups: low weight, BMI ≤ 18.5 kg/m2; normal weight, 18.5 kg/m2 < BMI < 23.9 kg/m2; and overweight/obesity, BMI ≥ 24 kg/m2 [20]. We used the modified modified Barthe index (MBI) to assess older adults’ ADLs, which includes feeding, bathing, grooming, dressing, bowel and bladder control, toilet use, transfer, mobility, and climbing stairs. A total score ranges from 0–100, with higher scores indicating better ADL. We divided ADL into four statuses: no impairment = 100; mild impairment = 61–99; moderate impairment = 41–60; and severe impairment = 0–40 [29].

Statistical analysis
SPSS software (Version 20.0 IBM Inc., Armonk, NY) was used for the statistical analyses. Continuous data are presented as the means and standard deviations, and categorical data are presented as frequencies and percentages. χ2 tests were used to compare groups. Logistic regression was used to analyse the influencing factors of frailty and sleep quality. Nonfrail or frail (0–2 was nonfrail and ≥ 3 was frail) was the dependent variable, and considering the interference factors, the univariate analysis of demographic data, gender, age, BMI, marital status, education, residence, past occupation, income, smoking history, drinking history, history of fracture, pain, comorbidity, polypharmacy, participation in social activity, ADLs and sleep quality with P < 0.2 were independent variables. Normal sleep quality or poor sleep quality was the dependent variable, and the inclusion method of independent variables was the same as that of frailty influencing factor analysis. The correlation between frailty and PSQI scores was analyzed. Pearson correlation analysis was used if the data followed a normal distribution, and Spearman correlation analysis was used if the data did not follow a normal distribution. A p value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results
Participants
A total of 247 elderly individuals met the inclusion and exclusion criteria, the number of complete questionnaires was 231 (Fig. 1). The valid rate was 93.5%. The sample had the following characteristics: the majority of participants were female and between 60–74 years old; married, 79.7%; junior school, 25.1%; cohabitant with children, 54.1%; manual labor, 59.7%; history of fracture,
33.3%; pain, 90.9%; comorbidity, 61.9%; polypharmacy, 20.8%; no participation in social activity, 43.3%; and moderate impairment ADLs, 8.7% (Table 1).

**Demographic characteristics of the participants and frailty**

The characteristics of the participants based on different degrees of frailty are shown in Table 1. A total of 152 (65.8%) elderly patients with OP were frail (frailty scores $\geq 3$), and the mean frailty score of the 231 elderly patients with OP was 3.2 $\pm$ 1.6. There were statistically significant differences between different degrees of frailty in elderly patients with OP across the following variables: gender, age, BMI, education, residence, drinking, history of fracture, pain, polypharmacy, ADLs, and sleep quality ($P<0.05$). The following factors were associated with higher prevalence of frailty among participants: female, age 75–89 years, low weight, junior school, cohabitant with children, no drinking, history of fracture, pain, polypharmacy, moderate and severe impairment ADLs, and poor sleep quality.

**Logistic regression analysis of factors related to frailty**

The influencing factors of frailty were analysed. Different degrees of frailty were the dependent variable, and variables with $P<0.2$ in demographic data included gender, age, BMI, education, residence, smoking history, drinking history, history of fracture, pain, comorbidity, polypharmacy, participation in social activity, ADLs and sleep quality. Multiple logistic regression analysis showed that female, pain, polypharmacy, ADLs and sleep quality were the main influential factors related to frailty in elderly patients with OP (Table 3).

**Demographic characteristics of the participants and PSQI**

The characteristics of the participants based on differences in sleep quality are shown in Table 2. A total of 183 (79.2%) elderly patients with OP had poor sleep quality (PSQI $>7$), and the mean PSQI score of the 231 participants was 11.9 $\pm$ 4.5. There were statistically significant differences among sleep quality in elderly patients with OP across the following variables: age, BMI, past occupation, pain, comorbidity, polypharmacy, participation in social activity, ADLs, and frailty phenotype ($P<0.05$). The following factors were associated with higher prevalence of poor sleep quality: 75–89 years, low weight, mental labor, pain, comorbidity, polypharmacy, no participation in social activity, severe impairment of ADLs and frailty.

**Logistic regression analysis of factors related to sleep quality**

The influencing factors of sleep quality were analysed. Poor sleep quality and normal quality was the dependent variable, and variables with $P<0.2$ in demographic data included age, BMI, education, residence, past occupation, pain, comorbidity, polypharmacy, participate in social activity, ADLs and frailty status. Multiple logistic regression analysis showed that comorbidity, ADLs and frailty status were the main
Table 1 Characteristics of the participants based on different frailty (n = 231)

| Variables                                      | n(%) | Nonfrail n(%) | Prefrail n(%) | Frail n(%) | X²   | P   |
|------------------------------------------------|------|---------------|---------------|------------|------|-----|
| Gender                                         |      |               |               |            |      |     |
| Male                                           | 87   | 6 (6.9)       | 39 (44.8)     | 42 (48.3)  | 23.379 | 0.000 |
| Female                                         | 144  | 11 (7.6)      | 23 (16.0)     | 110 (76.4) |      |     |
| Age, y                                         |      |               |               |            |      |     |
| 60–74                                          | 152  | 17 (11.2)     | 51 (33.6)     | 84 (55.3)  | 25.805 | 0.000 |
| 75–89                                          | 70   | 0 (0.0)       | 8 (11.4)      | 62 (88.6)  |      |     |
| ≥ 90                                           | 9    | 0 (0.0)       | 3 (33.3)      | 6 (66.7)   |      |     |
| BMI(kg/m²)                                     |      |               |               |            |      |     |
| Low weight                                     | 24   | 0 (0.0)       | 1 (4.2)       | 23 (95.8)  | 15.412 | 0.004 |
| Normal weight                                  | 127  | 10 (7.9)      | 31 (24.4)     | 86 (67.7)  |      |     |
| Overweight/obesity                             | 80   | 7 (8.7)       | 30 (37.5)     | 43 (53.8)  |      |     |
| Marital status                                 |      |               |               |            |      |     |
| Married                                        | 184  | 16 (8.7)      | 49 (26.6)     | 119 (64.7) | 2.384 | 0.304 |
| Unmarried or widowed                           | 47   | 1 (2.1)       | 13 (27.7)     | 33 (70.2)  |      |     |
| Education                                      |      |               |               |            |      |     |
| Primary school and below                       | 77   | 7 (9.1)       | 20 (26.0)     | 50 (64.9)  | 22.151 | 0.001 |
| Junior school                                  | 58   | 3 (5.2)       | 8 (13.8)      | 47 (81.0)  |      |     |
| High school                                    | 49   | 0 (0.0)       | 14 (28.6)     | 35 (71.4)  |      |     |
| College and above                              | 47   | 7 (14.9)      | 20 (42.6)     | 20 (42.6)  |      |     |
| Residence                                      |      |               |               |            |      |     |
| Alone/nursing home                             | 15   | 1 (6.7)       | 6 (40.0)      | 8 (53.3)   | 17.074 | 0.002 |
| Cohabitant spouse                              | 91   | 12 (13.2)     | 31 (34.1)     | 48 (52.7)  |      |     |
| Cohabitate with children                       | 125  | 4 (3.2)       | 25 (20.0)     | 96 (76.8)  |      |     |
| Past occupation                                |      |               |               |            |      |     |
| Manual labor                                   | 138  | 10 (7.2)      | 38 (27.5)     | 90 (65.3)  | 0.086 | 0.958 |
| Mental labor                                   | 93   | 7 (7.5)       | 24 (25.8)     | 62 (66.7)  |      |     |
| Income                                         |      |               |               |            |      |     |
| Pension/Social security                        | 148  | 13 (8.8)      | 38 (25.7)     | 97 (65.5)  | 5.197 | 0.268 |
| Child support                                  | 71   | 3 (4.2)       | 18 (25.4)     | 50 (70.4)  |      |     |
| Other                                          | 12   | 1 (8.3)       | 6 (50.0)      | 5 (41.7)   |      |     |
| Smoking history                                |      |               |               |            |      |     |
| No                                             | 191  | 11 (5.8)      | 52 (27.2)     | 128 (67.0) | 4.146 | 0.126 |
| Yes                                            | 40   | 6 (15.0)      | 10 (25.0)     | 24 (60.0)  |      |     |
| Drinking history                               |      |               |               |            |      |     |
| No                                             | 191  | 11 (5.8)      | 46 (24.1)     | 134 (70.2) | 10.140 | 0.006 |
| Yes                                            | 40   | 6 (15.0)      | 16 (40.0)     | 18 (45.0)  |      |     |
| History of fracture                            |      |               |               |            |      |     |
| No                                             | 154  | 15 (9.7)      | 48 (31.2)     | 91 (59.1)  | 9.946 | 0.007 |
| Yes                                            | 77   | 2 (2.6)       | 14 (18.2)     | 61 (79.2)  |      |     |
| Pain                                           |      |               |               |            |      |     |
| No                                             | 21   | 5 (23.8)      | 12 (57.1)     | 4 (19.0)   | 24.071 | 0.000 |
| Yes                                            | 210  | 12 (5.7)      | 50 (23.8)     | 148 (70.5) |      |     |
| Comorbidity                                    |      |               |               |            |      |     |
| No                                             | 88   | 6 (6.8)       | 30 (34.1)     | 52 (59.1)  | 3.814 | 0.149 |
| Yes                                            | 143  | 11 (7.7)      | 32 (22.4)     | 100 (69.9) |      |     |
| Polypharmacy                                   |      |               |               |            |      |     |
| No                                             | 183  | 16 (8.7)      | 59 (32.2)     | 108 (59.0) | 18.023 | 0.000 |
| Yes                                            | 48   | 1 (2.1)       | 3 (6.2)       | 44 (91.7)  |      |     |
| Participate in social activity                 |      |               |               |            |      |     |
| No                                             |     |               |               |            | 9.787 | 0.134 |
| Yes                                            |     |               |               |            |      |     |
influencing factors related to sleep quality for elderly patients with OP (Table 4).

Analysis of the correlation between frailty and sleep quality
The frailty and PSQI scores followed a normal distribution, and Pearson’s test was used to analyze the correlation between frailty and sleep quality. The results of the correlation analysis showed that frailty was positively correlated with sleep quality ($R = 0.541$, $P = 0.000$). The higher the frailty score is, the worse the sleep quality.

Discussion
The present study showed that frailty was prevalent among elderly outpatients with OP in China. This prevalence was higher than that in community-dwelling older adults [30, 31]. Our research found that frailty occurred in 48.3% of male patients and 76.4% of female patients with OP, and the result was higher than those of other study findings [30, 32]. Aging leads to a decrease in the ability to initiate and maintain sleep, including more fragmented sleep, less total sleep time, and less slow wave sleep [33], which can explain why people between the ages of 75–89 have more poor sleep.

Our study showed that female, pain, polypharmacy, ADLs and poor sleep quality were the main influencing factors related to frailty in elderly patients with OP (Table 3). Females were 4.67 times more likely to be at risk of frailty than males. Females are prone to vitamin D deficiency due to the decrease in estrogen after menopause, which affects neuromuscular balance and muscle strength and is more likely to result in frailty [7, 34]. Patients with pain were 4.46 times more likely to have a risk of frailty than those without pain. Pain often brings adverse consequences to elderly individuals, including falls, anxiety, depression, sleep disturbances, isolation and sarcopenia [35]. Compared with older adults without pain, the more severe pain experienced by older adults, the greater is their decline in physical activity and autonomy, which increases the risk of individual sarcopenia and frailty [36]. Increasing evidence indicates that pain-related health outcomes are related to the onset and progression of frailty [35, 37]. Polypharmacy was 3.12 times more likely to increase the risk of frailty than no polypharmacy. The relationship among frailty, comorbidity and polypharmacy may go both ways [38]. The reason is that frailty is associated with certain chronic diseases and multimorbidity, which can lead to polypharmacy. At the same time, some drugs can cause weight loss, imbalance, functional degeneration and other undesirable outcomes and lead to poor outcomes [37–39]. We found that elderly individuals with moderate and severe impairment ADLs are more prone to frailty. Impaired ADLs can reduce health-promoting behaviors, and exercise motivation declines and forms a vicious cycle in elderly individuals, which increases the probability of frailty and results in overall diminished health [20, 40]. Poor sleep quality was 2.68 times more likely to be associated with the risk of frailty than normal sleep quality. Other studies have shown that poor sleep quality is involved in the frailty state in elderly individuals, which predicts frailty [20, 41].

We also found that comorbidities, ADLs and frailty were the main risk factors related to sleep quality for elderly patients with OP (Table 4). Other studies showed that comorbidity was a risk factor for sleep disorders and frailty [19, 25]. With the increase in comorbidity, polypharmacy may aggravate poor sleep in the elderly due to the cascade effect [42]. Our study showed that

Table 1 (continued)

| Variables         | n(%)       | Nonfrail n(%) | Prefrail n(%) | Frail n(%) | $X^2$ | $P$
|-------------------|------------|---------------|--------------|-----------|------|-----
| No                | 100 (43.3) | 4 (4.0)       | 21 (21.0)    | 75 (75.0) |      |     
| 1 to 2 times/year| 108 (46.8) | 11 (10.2)     | 31 (28.7)    | 66 (61.1) |     |     
| 1 to 2 times/month| 16 (6.9)  | 1 (6.2)       | 7 (43.8)     | 8 (50.0)  |      |     
| 1 to 2 times/week | 7 (3.0)   | 1 (14.3)      | 3 (42.9)     | 3 (42.9)  |      |     
| ADLs              |            |               |              |           | 99.243 | 0.000
| No impairment     | 83 (35.9)  | 16 (19.3)     | 46 (55.4)    | 21 (25.3) |      |     
| Mild impairment   | 117 (50.7) | 1 (0.9)       | 16 (13.7)    | 100 (85.5) |     |     
| Moderate impairment| 20 (8.7)  | 0 (0.0)       | 0 (0.0)      | 20 (100.0) |     |     
| Severe impairment | 11 (4.8)  | 0 (0.0)       | 0 (0.0)      | 11 (100.0) |     |     
| Sleep quality A   | 48 (20.8)  | 8 (16.7)      | 25 (52.1)    | 15 (31.2) | 32.510 | 0.000
| Sleep quality B   | 183 (79.2) | 9 (4.9)       | 37 (20.2)    | 137 (74.9) |     |     

A: Normal sleep quality indicates PSQI $\leq 7$; B: poor sleep quality indicates PSQI $> 7$
participants with impaired ADLs were prone to poor sleep quality, and the result is similar to that of Cavusoglu [18]. Impaired ADLs not only reduce quality of life but also cause certain psychological impacts on older
people; in addition, social circles become narrow, and the absence of outside support generates poor sleep [18, 41].

Previous study has suggested that the frailer the old patients were, the more their sleep quality was affected [18]. Frailty may lead to sleep rhythm disorder, fatigue, reduced activity and other adverse living conditions, increasing sleepiness and thus aggravating sleep quality [18, 43]. Poor sleep quality can increase the risk of frailty in older adults [12]. Therefore, frailty may interact with sleep quality [19]. Our research also shows that frailty and sleep interact. Other studies have not reported a significant cross-sectional relationship between frailty and OP, but measuring frailty as a predictor of osteoporotic fractures in the elderly has been validated [8, 44, 45]. In other words, frailty can increase the risk of fracture in older patients with OP. Poor sleep quality may increase or decrease bone formation, bone mineral density (BMD), and osteoclast activities, consequently aggravating bone loss of the old patients with OP [46]. Therefore, the interaction between frailty and sleep quality may influence OP, which needs further study.

Limitations
There are several limitations to our study that could affect our results. First, the convenience of the sample, modified by not having a randomized sampling method, resulted in a small sample size, considering that we are referring to Chinese elderly individuals with OP. Second, sleep quality measurement was assessed just by self-reported questions. Lack of objective sleep measurement and other sleep problem assessment (e.g. snoring, obstructive sleep apnea) are limitations. Another limitation relates to the recruitment of participants, in which the proportion of female patients was higher than that of male patients (62.3% vs. 37.7%). Because our subjects were elderly patients with OP, the results of frailty and sleep quality may be different from those of ordinary elderly individuals, and further research is still needed.

In fact, as a cross-sectional study, although the elderly were retired and lived in the same province, they still had different conditions: some cohabitated with children, some were married, and some had no comorbidity or polypharmacy. Our results may not be able to generalize the findings to other cultures and geographic regions in China. Further research on this topic is required to gain deeper insight into these relationships.

Conclusions
We found that frailty and sleep quality were prevalent and that frailty was positively correlated with PSQI score in elderly patients with OP in China. The higher the frailty score is, the worse the sleep quality. It means the frailer old patients were, the worse their sleep quality, and poor sleep quality may increase the risk of frailty in elderly patients with OP. Therefore, medical staff should pay attention to frailty, sleep quality and its influencing factors, recognizing frailty and poor sleep quality in time in elderly individuals with OP, adopting effective intervention measures, and improving their health and quality of life.
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