Genetic polymorphism and effect on milk production of CSN2 gene in conventional and local cattle breeds in Croatia
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Abstract

Over the past three decades, the scientific and public interest has been initiated by studies in which the negative effect of milk consumption of beta-casein A1 variant has been observed on consumers’ health. The production of “A2 milk” is one of the ways to economically increase the competitiveness of small and medium-sized dairy farms. Breeders of endangered local breeds are also interested in reaffirmation through production of “A2 milk”. The aim of this study is to determine frequencies of A1 and A2 variants of beta-casein in three commercial and three local breeds of cattle in Croatia, and their relationship with production indicators of commercial breeds in the first three lactations. The genomic DNA was extracted from hair and determination of CSN2 genotypes was conducted by PCR RFLP method. Data for milk yield and chemical composition were provided by the central database. The dominant presence of A2 variant beta-casein in the investigated cattle breeds (0.650-0.758) and the increase in the frequency of A2 beta-casein in the population of Simmental and Istrian cattle were determined. The association of A2A2 and A1A1 genotypes of beta-casein with lactation production and milk fat content in the first and second lactation was observed (p<0.05). The dominance of the A2 allelic variation of beta-casein makes the researched conventional and local breeds suitable for the production of “A2 milk”. The breeding extension of A2 allelic variation of beta-casein within local cattle breeds should be carried out carefully trying not to lose part of the existing genetic variability.
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Introduction

Cow milk is a nutritionally valuable food that people have been consuming for thousands of years. It contains lactose, fat, protein, ash, and numerous other biomolecules essential for growth and maintenance of consumer metabolism. Caseins and whey proteins constitute more than 95% of bovine milk proteins. Caseins belong to major proteins in bovine milk, which can be divided into four forms: alpha s1 casein (39-46% of total caseins), alpha s2 casein (8-11%), beta-casein (25-35%), and kappa casein (8-15%; Roginski, 2003). Members of a multi-gene family, which are found on bovine chromosome 6, encode them and most casein variants have been identified at the protein and DNA levels (Rijnkels, 2002). Genetic polymorphism of milk proteins commands a great interest, due to its relationships with milk production traits, composition, quality and other important traits. Beta-casein is the second most abundant protein, consisting of 209 amino acids and has excellent nutritional balance. Beta-casein gene (CSN2) is 8.5 kb in length, it contains five exons and eight introns (Bonsing et al., 1988), and has 12 known genetic variants (A1, A2, A3, B, C, D, E, F, G, H1, H2, I, Farrell et al., 2004). The occurrence of variants CSN2 is based on nucleotide exchanges located in exon VII (A1, A2, A3, B, F, G, H1, H2, I), exon VI (C, E) and exon IV (D) (Cui et al., 2011). The most common A1 and A2 variants of beta-casein differ at amino acid position 67 with histidine (CAT) in A1 and proline (CCT) in A2 milk, as a result of single nucleotide difference in the sequence of exon VII bovine CSN2 gene on the position 8101 (McLachlan, 2006; GenBank M55158). Therefore, “A1 milk” (histidine at 67 position) and “A2 milk” (proline at 67 position) can be distinguished. Kamiński et al. (2007) observed that A1 variant is most frequent in the Holstein-Friesian (0.310-0.660) breed. Some researchers suggest a certain association of beta-casein genotypes (allele-variants) with milk yield (Ikonen et al., 2001; Micinski et al., 2006; Kraus et al., 2016), protein content (Cardak, 2005; Micinski et al., 2006; Bonfatti et al., 2010) and fat content (Chung et al., 1996; Ikonen et al., 1999; Cardak, 2005; Oleśniki et al., 2012). Ikonen et al. (1999) as well as Oleśniki et al. (2012) observed a negative relation of allele A2 on milk fat content. Kraus et al. (2016) concluded that cows of A2A2 genotype, in comparison with other two (A1A1, A1A2), had a tendency towards higher milk yield. Ikonen et al. (2001) indicated that CSN2 variants might be useful in the direct selection programs for improving milk and fat yield although Ozdemir et al. (2018) based on meta-analysis observed that the CSN2 gene is not useful as a routinely marker for the improvement of milk production traits.

The protein fraction composition of beta-casein has become of special interest due to a possible relationship between “A1 milk” and the consumers’ health. Through the incomplete digestion of A1 beta-casein in the small intestine, it releases a bioactive peptide beta-casomorphin-7 (BCM-7) which has a cytomodulatory effect and is a risk factor for human health as it can potentially affect numerous opioid receptors in the nervous, endocrine and immune system (Svenberg et al., 1985; Elliott, 1992; Jinsmaa and Yoshikawa, 1999; Meisel, 2001). Many authors observed the impact of “A1 milk” consumption on cardiovascular diseases, sudden infant death syndrome, ischemic heart disease, type I diabetes, schizophrenia, autism and atherosclerosis (Elliott et al., 1999; Virtanen et al., 2000; McLachlan, 2001; Monetini et al., 2002; Laugesen and Elliott, 2003; Sun et al., 2003; Tailford et al., 2003; Truswell, 2005; Woodford, 2006; Bell et al., 2006; Sodhi et al., 2012). Ho et al. (2014) observed a positive association between abdominal pain and stool consistency on the A1 diet. Jianqin et al. (2016) indicated that the consumption of milk, containing A1 beta-casein, worsened gastrointestinal symptoms, increased gastrointestinal transit time, worsening symptoms of post-dairy digestive discomfort, and decreased cognitive processing speed and accuracy in subjects with self-reported lactose intolerance. He et al. (2017) also reported that the consumption of milk containing A2 beta-casein reduced acute gastrointestinal symptoms in people who were lactose intolerant. However, a different conclusion can be drawn from the scientific community. Truswell (2005) claims in his review paper that no convincing or probable evidence was found, that the “A1 milk” is a factor causing type I diabetes and coronary heart disease. The same author indicates that the evidence relating autism and schizophrenia to “A1 or A2 milk” is rather speculative. In the scientific report elaborated by EFSA (2009), an extensive review of scientific
literature on A1/A2 milk was made. They observe no cause-effect relationship between the oral intake of BCM-7 or related peptides and etiology of any suggested non-communicable diseases. Research indicates that there is still a scientific controversy about A1/A2 milk on consumers’ health, but these controversies have stimulated public interest on these issues.

An interest in beta-casein polymorphism is present with producers and consumers. In times of a low market price of raw milk, smaller and traditional milk producers seek to increase profitability by adding certain market “bonuses” to the product. One of the possibilities is the production of “A2 milk”. This possibility was recognized by breeders of local cattle breeds trying to achieve economic reaffirmation of these breeds as one of the possibilities to increase their competitiveness. However, there is a potential risk of losing a genetic variability when favouring one genetic variant in a selection. Therefore, the aim of this study was to determine the distribution of A1 and A2 beta-casein polymorphisms in conventional and local cattle breeds in Croatia. Further goal was also to compare the obtained results in the context of previous studies of beta-casein polymorphism in cattle breeds and study the potential of milk production.

Materials and methods

In this research three conventional (milk production) and three local breeds (breeds in the program of protection and search for ways of better economic reaffirmation) in Croatia were included as follows Holstein (HOL; N=60), Simmental (SIM; N=60), Brown Swiss (BSW; N=60), Busa cattle (BC; N=49), Slavonian-Syrmian Podolian cattle (SSPC; N=49) and Istrian cattle (IC; N=43). Data from milk yield and chemical composition of conventional breeds (HOL, SIM, and BSW) in the first three lactations were provided from the central database of the Croatian Agency for Agriculture and Food.

Hair samples of the SIM breed and the SSPC were collected in the Zagreb and Koprivnica-Križevci Counties, for the HOL breed in the Osijek-Baranja County, for BSW and IC in the Istria County, and for the BC in Dubrovnik-Neretva and Lika-Senj Counties. After collecting, tissue samples were stored at -20 °C until DNA isolation was performed using Sigma-AldrichTM GenElute Mammalian Genomic DNA Miniprep Kit (www.sigma-aldrich.com). Isolated DNA was stored at -20 °C until the genotyping procedure was performed. The polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was used to amplify target codogenic DNA region of the gene responsible for the occurrence of the beta-casein (CSN2) polymorphism. Initial oligonucleotide sequences (Forward 5'- CCT TCT TTC CAG GAT GAA CTC CAG G- 3' and Reverse 5’ - GAG TAA GAG GAG GGA TGT TTT GTG GGA GGC TCT- 3’; McLachlan, 2006) were used to amplify the codogenic regions of the CSN2 gene. Amplification of the 121 bp codogenic sequence was performed in a 13.8 μL reaction mixture (5.4 μL MiliQ water, 7.5 μl EmeraldAmp GT PCR Master Mix, 0.45 μL of each primer and 1.2 μL DNA). The polymerase chain reaction was performed on a Thermal Cycler MJ Research PTC 100 according to a temperature program to which, after initial denaturation (98 °C/5 min), 35 cycles of DNA fragment amplification followed (98 °C/10 sec, 58 °C/30 s, 72 °C/50 s) and final extension (72 °C/5 min). A quality control of the PCR reaction was performed on a 1 % agarose gel after staining with StainIN Green Nucleic Acid.

The identification of A1 and A2 allelic variants of CSN2 was performed according to Miluchová et al. (2014). After the amplification, the PCR product was digested by restriction endonuclease DdeI for 4 h/37 °C in the prepared reaction mixture (0.40 μL dH2O, 10 μL PCR product, 0.10 μL DdeI enzyme). Restriction enzyme splitting results were read directly on a 3 % agarose gel after electrophoresis (85V/40min; Figure 1).

The determination of allelic and genotype frequencies and a Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium exact test were estimated using the software GenPop V4.3 (Raymond and Rousset, 1995, Rousset, 2008). Testing the excess and deficiency of heterozygotes and the estimation of Fis index were carried out using the same program. The observed and the expected heterozygosity was computed with Genetix v4.05.2 (Belkhir et al., 2004). The effect of CSN2 genotype on milk yield and chemical composition of milk in a standard lactation interval was determined using the linear models (GLM procedure, SAS STAT, V8, 1999) with included fixed effects of CSN2 genotype, while the breed was...
included as linear covariate. The following statistical model was used to estimate effects on milk yield and composition: \( Y_{ij} = \mu + \beta_{CSN2} i + B_j + e_{ijk} \), where \( Y_{ij} \) is the observed value of phenotype, \( \mu \) is the general mean, \( \beta_{CSN2} \) is the effect of the CSN2 genotype (\( i = A1A1, A1A2, A2A2 \)), \( B_j \) is the effect of the breeds (\( j = HOL, SIM, BSW \)), and \( e_{ijk} \) is the random residual effect.

Results and discussion

Visualization by 3% agarose-gel electrophoresis of Ddel digestion of the CSN2 PCR product is shown in Figure 1. CSN2 genotypes are determined based on the size of the DNA fragment: A1A1 (121 bp), A1A2 (121, 86, 35 bp) and A2A2 (86, 35 bp).

Table 1 summarizes the genetic diversity parameters of the CSN2 gene in six cattle breeds. Allele A2 was the most frequent in all breeds ranging from 0.650 (HOL) to 0.758 (SIM). Contrary, the frequency of the A1 allele was on average 1.9 times smaller ranging from 0.242 (SIM) to 0.350 (HOL). The predominant genotype frequency was A2A2 in local (IC, SSPC) and conventional (SIM) cattle breeds with frequencies >53.06%. A frequency of heterozygous genotype A1A2 was observed in one local breed (BC) and one conventional breed (BSW), thus BSW having higher frequencies (51.67% vs. 44.90%). The lowest frequency was determined for the A1A1 genotype ranging from 3.33 (SIM) to 18.37 (SSPC).

The obtained CSN2 allele frequency results for conventional breeds (SIM, HOL and BSW) are comparable and were within a range of previous researches presented in Figure 2. The observed dominance of the A2 allelic variant of the CSN2 gene in the HOL population coincided with most previous studies, except for the population in Australia, the United States, the United Kingdom, and Ireland (McLean et al., 1984; O’Hara, 1995; Jann et al., 2004). A dominance of the A2 allelic variant (\( f_{A2} = 0.68 \)) was also observed in the population of BSW cattle as was in related populations (Van Eenennaam and Medrano, 1991; Boetcher et al., 2004). In the SIM population, A2 allelic variant of the CSN2 gene is dominant (\( f_{A2} = 0.758 \)), which corresponding to the results from previous studies (Figure 2). Compared to the previously determined frequencies of allelic variants of the CSN2 gene (Curik et al., 1997), an increase of the A2 allelic variant by 0.13 (0.63 → 0.76) was observed in the Simmental population in Croatia.
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**Figure 1.** Gel electrophoresis of the amplified 121 bps fragment of CSN2 gene digested with Ddel (M, 50 bp ladder; A1A1 - 121 bp, A1A2 - 121, 86, 35 bp, A2A2 86, 35 bp)

| Allele frequency | Genotype frequency (%) | \( H_o \) | \( H_e \) | \( F_{rs} \) |
|------------------|------------------------|---------|---------|--------|
|                  | A1  | A2  | A1A1  | A1A2  | A2A2  |
| Conventional breeds |
| SIM              | 0.242 | 0.758 | 3.33 (2) | 41.67 (25) | 55.00 (33) | 0.417 | 0.366 | -0.129<sup>ns</sup> |
| HOL              | 0.350 | 0.650 | 13.33 (8) | 43.33 (26) | 43.33 (26) | 0.433 | 0.455 | 0.056<sup>ns</sup> |
| BSW              | 0.325 | 0.675 | 6.67 (4) | 51.67 (31) | 41.67 (25) | 0.517 | 0.439 | -0.169<sup>ns</sup> |
| Local breeds |
| BC               | 0.347 | 0.653 | 12.24 (6) | 44.90 (22) | 42.86 (21) | 0.449 | 0.453 | 0.019<sup>ns</sup> |
| IC               | 0.267 | 0.733 | 9.30 (4) | 34.88 (15) | 55.81 (24) | 0.349 | 0.392 | 0.121<sup>ns</sup> |
| SSPC             | 0.327 | 0.673 | 18.37 (9) | 28.57 (14) | 53.06 (26) | 0.286 | 0.440 | 0.359<sup>ns</sup> |

<sup>ns</sup>: non-significant; *: P<0.05; number of animals is indicated in the brackets

**Table 1.** Estimates of allele and genotype frequency, observed (\( H_o \)) and expected heterozygosity (\( H_e \)), fixation index (\( F_{rs} \)) with level of significance for CSN2 gene in six cattle populations

---
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change in the frequencies of allelic variants is partly due to the limited introduction of the Red Holstein genome into the Simmental population at the beginning of the 21st century, primarily to improve milking and milk characteristics.

In local populations, A2 allelic variant of the CSN2 gene dominates, which is expected given the observations of numerous previous studies on the dominance of the A2 variant in local cattle breeds. In the population of IC, the presence of the A2 variant of CSN2 gene increased by 0.23 (0.51 vs. 0.73) compared to the previous research (Jann et al., 2004). Baranyi et al. (1993) also observed the dominance of the A2 variant of the CSN2 gene in the Hungarian Gray cattle (fA2 = 0.75) population that is phylogenetically related to SSPC. Considering the obtained results, we conclude that local breeds have a predisposition for the production of desirable “A2 milk” through herd formation consisting of homozygous A2 individuals. Most certainly, adequate care should be taken not to disrupt genetic variability as a primary goal of breeding local endangered breeds by favouring the A2 allele variant. If selection supporting the CSN2 A2 variant is to be carried out within local cattle breeds, it is necessary to preserve part of the population that will serve as a nucleus (with less represented CSN2 gene variants and rarer lines).

The observed heterozygosity (H_o) ranged from 0.286 in SSPC to 0.517 in BSW while, the expected heterozygosity (H_e) ranged from 0.366 in SIM to 0.455 in HOL population. In general, compared to local, conventional breeds capture a higher degree of genetic variability (H_o : H_e). Higher values for H_o in Estonian dairy cattle (0.526) were reported by Värv et al (2009). In the research of Milučhová et al. (2014) results of the genetic structure of local Slovak spotted cattle was similar to those for IC and SSPC, but rather small for BC. The same author reported heterozygosity values for Holstein breed (H_o = 0.460, H_e = 0.470) which were close to the results from this research for HOL breed (Table 1). Based on the exact probability test, five breeds were in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium, except SSPC. The extent of divergence of the F IS values from zero was notable (0.121 for IC, -0.129 for SIM and
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-0.169 for BSW) but in all cases significant heterozygote deficiency or excess was not established in the populations. An exception was the SSPC population which showed a deficit of heterozygotes of 36 % (p = 0.014). In recent past, the population of SSPC experienced the bottleneck effect (Ramljak et al., 2011; Ramljak et al., 2018) and very likely the founder effect (personal communication). These evolutionary processes accompanied by consequent inbreeding due to small population size (in 2018. 227 cows and 13 bulls; MA, 2019), resulted in such high \( F_{IS} \) value. Värv et al. (2009) reported a higher, but not significant value of inbreeding coefficient in three dairy breeds from Estonia. In conventional breeds, \( F_{IS} \) values were negative or, in case of HOL positive without significance, suggesting well-run management and breeding practice. In those populations, mating is conducted via planned schemes applying artificial insemination what support genetic diversity within populations, but also has influence for economic traits.

An association of allelic gene variants with the production characteristics of dairy cows is an important motive for their inclusion in selection programs. Ikonen et al. (2001) indicated that CSN2 variants might be useful in selection programs, although Ozdemir et al. (2018) did not observe its usability. Consumers’ interest in health aspects of milk protein further motivates farmers to selectively propagate desirable allelic variants. Indicators of milk yield and milk chemical composition in the first three lactations with respect to A1 and A2 CSN2 genotypes are shown in Table 2. Higher lactation production was observed in the A2A2 genotype in the first three lactations, but only the observed difference in the second lactation was significant (A2A2 vs. A1A1; p<0.05). The observed positive effect of A2A2 CSN2 genotype on lactation production has been observed in previous studies (Ikonen et al., 2001; Micinski et al., 2006; Kraus et al., 2016).

A higher proportion of milk fat was observed in the milk of cows of A1A1 CSN2 genotype, but only the difference in the first lactation was significant (A1A1 vs. A1A2; p<0.05). Earlier studies (Chung et al., 1996; Ikonen et al., 1999; Cardak, 2005; Oleński et al., 2012) observed a positive relation of allele A1 on milk fat content what is in line with recent observations. No effect of genotypes of CSN2 gene on milk protein content during three lactations was noted, which is consistent with previous research (Van Eenennaam and Medrano, 1991; Micinski et al., 2006). The conducted research indicates the existence of certain weak connections of allelic variants of the CSN2 gene with milk production, the share of milk fat and milk proteins, which supports the dilemma from earlier published observations. The observed relationships of CSN2 genotypes and production characteristics can be integrated into selection programs of conventional breeds to increase lactation production or milk fat content, taking into account the balance of these characteristics and consumer preferences.

### Table 2. Indicators of milk production and chemical composition in the first three lactations by CSN2 gene genotypes (LSMEAN±SE)

| Number of lactation | Genotype CSN2 | Milk yield (kg/305 days) | Fat content (%) | Protein content (%) |
|--------------------|---------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------------|
| 1. lactation       | A1A1 (14)     | 7033.55±273.87          | 4.31±60.91     | 3.43±60.049        |
|                    | A1A2 (82)     | 7152.59±118.29          | 4.10±0.039     | 3.44±0.021         |
|                    | A2A2 (84)     | 7349.93±114.79          | 4.11±0.038     | 3.44±0.021         |
| 2. lactation       | A1A1 (14)     | 8154.47±383.59          | 4.21±0.109     | 3.45±0.055         |
|                    | A1A2 (82)     | 8255.46±158.73          | 4.04±0.045     | 3.39±0.023         |
|                    | A2A2 (84)     | 8648.30±157.28          | 4.13±0.044     | 3.38±0.022         |
| 3. lactation       | A1A1 (14)     | 9189.77±451.85          | 4.21±0.110     | 3.47±0.059         |
|                    | A1A2 (82)     | 9277.51±188.25          | 4.03±0.046     | 3.37±0.025         |
|                    | A2A2 (84)     | 9573.14±191.11          | 4.12±0.046     | 3.37±0.025         |

* p<0.05
milk"). Favouring the A2 allelic variant of the CSN2 gene in the selection of local (and endangered) cattle breeds is one possibility for their reaffirmation and increased competitiveness through production of milk with added value.

Conclusions

Local (BC, IC, SSPC) and conventional breeds (SIM, HOL, BSW) exhibit superiority of allele A2. In addition, the favourable genotype A2A2 is present in IC, SSPC, SIM and HOL breeds. Five breeds maintain high level of genetic diversity at CSN2 locus except SSPC. Higher milk production was determined in conventional breeds of A2A2 genotype while higher fat content was obtained by A1A1 genotype.

These findings indicate the genetic potential of conventional and especially local breeds for the production of CSN2 A2A2 milk as a functional food (might lower the risks associated with gastrointestinal and coronary diseases, diabetes, allergies, etc). The oriented production of A2A2 milk could represent an added value to local breeds ensuring its sustainability and supporting biodiversity by opening the door for finding a new role in the future for these breeds.
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Genetski polimorfizam i utjecaj na proizvodnju mlijeka CSN2 gena u konvencionalnim i lokalnim pasminama goveda u Hrvatskoj

Sažetak

Tijekom protekla tri desetljeća interes znanstvene i ukupne javnosti (potrošača) potaknut je studijama u kojima je zapažen negativan učinak konzumacije mlijeka u kojem je A1 varijanta beta-kazeina na zdravlje potrošača. Proizvodnja “A2 mlijeka” jedan je od načina gospodarskog povećanja kompetitivnosti manjih i srednjih mliječnih farmi. Uzgajivači ugroženih lokalnih pasmina također su zainteresirani za reafirmaciju kroz proizvodnju “A2 mlijeka”. Cilj istraživanja je utvrditi frekvencije A1 i A2 varijanti beta-kazeina u konvencionalnim i lokalnim pasminama goveda u Hrvatskoj, te njihovu povezanost s proizvodnim pokazateljima konvencionalnih pasmina u prve tri laktacije. Genomska DNK izolirana košta dostupni kama prikazana je za PCR RFLP determinaciju CSN2 genotipova. Podaci o mliječnosti i kemijskom sastavu mlijeka u promatranom uzorku preuzeti su iz središnje baze podataka. Utvrđena je dominantna zastupljenost A2 varijante beta-kazeina u istraženim pasminama goveda (0,650-0,758) te povećanje frekvencije A2 beta-kazeina u populaciji simentalskog i istarskog goveda. Zapažena je povezanost A2A2 i A1A1 genotipa beta-kazeina s laktacijskom proizvodnjom i udjelom mliječne masti u prvoj i drugoj laktaciji (p<0,05). Dominacija A2 alelni varijante beta-kazeina čini pogodnim istražene konvencionalne i lokalne pasmine za proizvodnju “A2 mlijeka”. Uzgojno protežiranje A2 alelni varijante beta-kazeina unutar lokalnih pasmina goveda treba provoditi pažljivo kako bi izgubili dio zatečene genetske varijabilnosti.

Ključne riječi: beta-kazein; pasmine goveda; polimorfizam; A2 mlijeko
References

1. Baranyi, M., Bosze, Z., Buchberger, J., Krause I. (1993): Genetic polymorphism of milk proteins in Hungarian spotted and Hungarian grey cattle: a possible new genetic variant of β-lactoglobulin. *Journal of Dairy Science* 76, 630-636. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.s0022-0302(93)77384-1

2. Belkhir, K., Borsa, P., Chikhi, L., Raufaste, N., Bonhomme, F. (2004): Genetix 4.05, logiciel sous Windows TM pour la génétique des populations. Laboratoire Genome, Populations, Interactions, CNRS UMR 5000, Universite de Montpellier II.

3. Bell, S.J., Grochowski, G.T., Clarke, A.J. (2006): Health implications of milk containing beta-casein with the A2 genetic variant. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition* 46, 93-100. https://doi.org/10.1080/104083905910011144

4. Boetcher, P.J., Caroli, A., Stella, A., Chessa, S., Budelli, E., Canavesi, F., Ghirolì, S., Pagnacco, G. (2004): Effects of casein haplotypes on milk production traits in Italian Holstein and Brown Swiss cattle. *Journal of Dairy Science* 87, 4511-4517. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.s0022-0302(04)73576-6

5. Bonfatti, V., Di Martino, G., Cecchinato, A., Vicario, D., Camier, P. (2010): Effects of β-k-casein (CSN2-CSN5) haplotypes and β-lactoglobulin (BLG) genotypes on milk production traits, protein fraction contents, and protein composition of individual milk of Simmental cows. *Journal of Dairy Science* 93, 3797-3808. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2009-2778

6. Bonsing, J., Ring, J.M., Stewart, A.F., Mackinlay, A.G. (1988): Complete nucleotide sequence of the bovine beta-casein gene. *Australian Journal of Biological Sciences* 41, 527-537. https://doi.org/10.1071/bi9880527

7. Çardak, A.D. (2005): Effects of genetic variants in milk protein on yield and composition of milk from Holstein-Friesian and Simmental cows. *South African Journal of Animal Science* 35, 41-47. https://doi.org/10.4314/sajas.v35i1.4047

8. Chung, H.Y., Lee, H.K., Chen, K.J., You, C.H., Park, K.D., Kim, K.N., Chung, E.R. (1996): Studies on the relationships between biochemical polymorphisms and production traits in dairy cattle. *Korean Journal of Dairy Science* 18, 7-16.

9. Cui, Y., Bin Yue, L., Xiaojun, Q., Aijun, D., Yu, C., Ying, M. (2011): Detection of betacasein variants in yak (Bos grunniens) by PCR-SSCP. *European Food Research and Technology* 234, 551-556. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00217-011-1642-2

10. Ćurk, I., Hravec, J., Samarzić, D. (1997): Milk protein polymorphism and genetic structure of Croatian Simmental cattle. Proceedings of the IDF Seminar, Palmerston North, New Zealand, 93-99.

11. EFSA (2009): Review of the potential health impact of β-casomorphins and related peptides. *Scientific report of European Food Safety Authority* 231, 1-107. https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2009.231r

12. Ehrmann, S., Bartenschlager, H., Geldermann, H. (1997): Quantification of gene effects on single milk proteins in selected groups of dairy cows. *Journal of Animal Breeding and Genetics* 114, 121-132. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0388.1997.tb00499.x

13. Elliott, R.B. (1992): Epidemiology of diabetes in Polynesia & New Zealand. In: Epidemiology and Etiology of Insulin-Dependent Diabetes in the Young (Eds: Levy-Marchal, C., Czemichow, F.) Karger Basel, pp 66-71.

14. Elliott, R.B., Harris, D.P., Hill, J.P., Bibby, N.J., Wasmuth, H.E. (1999): Type 1 (insulin-dependent) diabetes mellitus and cow milk: Casein variant consumption. *Diabetologia* 42, 292-296. https://doi.org/10.1007/s001250051153

15. Farrell, H.M.J., Jimenez-Florez, R., Bleck, G.T., Brown, E.M., Butler, J.E., Creamer, L.K., Hicks, C.L., Hollar, C.M., Ng-Kuai-Hang, K.F., Swaisgood, H.E. (2004): Nomenclature of the proteins of cows’ milk. *Journal of Dairy Sciences* 87, 1641-1674. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.s0022-0302(04)73319-6

16. He, M., Sun, J., Jiang, Z.Q., Yang, Y.X. (2017): Effects of cow’s milk beta-casein variants on symptoms of milk intolerance in Chinese adults: a multicentre, randomised controlled study. *Nutrition Journal* 6, 72. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12937-017-0275-0

17. Ho, S., Woodford, K., Kukuljan, S., Pal, S. (2014): Comparative effects of A1 versus A2 betacasein on gastrointestinal measures: a blinded randomised crossover pilot study. *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 68, 994-1000. https://doi.org/10.1038/ejcn.2014.127

18. Ikonen, T., Ojala, M., Ruuttilin, O. (1999): Associations between milk protein polymorphism and first lactation milk production traits in Finnish Ayrshire cows. *Journal of Dairy Science* 82, 1026-1033. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.s0022-0302(99)75323-3

19. Ikonen, T., Bovenhuis, H., Ojala, M., Ruuttilin, O., Georges, G. (2001): Associations between casein haplotypes and first lactation milk production traits in Finnish Ayrshire cows. *Journal of Dairy Science* 84, 507-514. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.s0022-0302(01)74501-8

20. Jann, O.C., Ibeagha-Awemu, E.M., Özbeyaz, C., Zaragoza, P. (2005): Geographic distribution of β-casomorphins and related peptides. *Distinctive Report of the European Food Safety Authority* 229, 1-107. https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2005.229r
21. Jianqin, S., Leiming, X., Lu, Y., Yelland, G.W., Ni, J., Clarke, A.J. (2016): Effects of milk containing only A2 beta-casein versus milk containing both A1 and A2 beta-casein proteins on gastrointestinal physiology, symptoms of discomfort, and cognitive behavior of people with self-reported intolerance to traditional cows’ milk. *Nutrition Journal* 15, 45. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12937-016-0147-2

22. Jinsmaa, Y., Yoshikawa, M. (1999): Enzymatic release of neocasomorphin and beta-caseomorphin from bovine beta-casein. *Peptides* 20, 957-962. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0196-9781(99)00088-1

23. Kamiński, S., Cieślińska, A., Kostyra, E. (2007): Polymorphism of bovine beta-casein and its potential effect on human health. *Journal of Applied Genetics* 48, 189-198. https://doi.org/10.1007/bf03195213

24. Kraus, A.S., Bernau, M., Martlbauer, E., Scholz, A.M. (2016): Evaluation of genetic beta-casein variations in a dairy cattle herd in Germany. Book of abstracts 67th Annual Meeting of the European Federation of Animal Science, 270.

25. Laugesen, M., Elliott, R. (2003): Ischaemic heart disease, Type 1 diabetes, and cow milk A1 beta-casein. *New Zealand Medical Journal* 116, 1168.

26. Lin, C.Y., McAllister, A.J., Ng-Kwai-Hanh, K.F, Hayes, J.F. (1986): Effects of milk protein loci on first lactation production in dairy cattle. *Journal of Dairy Science* 69, 704-712. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.s0022-0302(86)80459-3

27. MA (2019): Annual report - Cattle Breeding. Ministry of Agriculture, Zagreb.

28. McLachlan, C.N. (2001): Beta-casein A1, ischaemic heart disease mortality, and other illnesses. *Medical Hypotheses* 56, 262-272. https://doi.org/10.1054/mehy.2000.1265

29. McLachlan, C.N. (2006): Breeding and milking cows for milk free of β-casein A1. United States Patent 7094949.

30. McLean, D.M., Graham, E.R., Ponzoni, R.W., McKenziel, H.A. (1984): Effects of milk protein genetic variants on milk yield and composition. *Journal of Dairy Research* 51, 531-546. https://doi.org/10.1017/s0022029900032854

31. Meisel, H. (2001): Bioactive peptides from milk proteins: a perspective for consumers and producers. *Australian Journal of Dairy Technology* 56, 83-91.

32. Micinski, J., Klupczynski, J. (2006): Correlations between polymorphic variants of milk proteins, and milk yield and chemical composition in black-and-white and jersey cows. *Polish Journal of Food and Nutrition Sciences* 15, 137-143.

33. Miluchóva, M., Gábor, M., Trakovická, A. (2014): Analysis of beta-casein gene (CSN2) polymorphism in different breeds of cattle. *Animal Science and Biotechnologies* 47, 56-59.

34. Monetini, L., Cavallo, M.G., Manfrini, S., Stefannini, L., Picarelli, A., Ditola, M., Petrone, A., Bianci, M., LaPresa, M., Digiuilo, C., Baroni, M.G., Thrope, R., Walker, B.K., IMIDIAB group, Pozzilli, P. (2002): Antibodies to bovine beta-casein in diabetes and other autoimmune diseases. *Hormone and Metabolic Research* 34, 455-459. https://doi.org/10.1055/s-2002-33595

35. O’Hara, H.M. (1995): Genetic variants of milk proteins and their effect on the composition and processing properties of bovine milk. M.Sc Thesis, National University of Ireland.

36. Olerński, K., Cieślińska, A., Suchocki, T., Szyda, J., Kamiński, S. (2012): Polymorphism in coding and regulatory sequences of beta-casein gene is associated with milk production traits in Holstein-Friesian cattle. *Animal Science papers and reports* 30, 5-12. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.livsci.2010.02.023

37. Ozdemir, M., Kopuzu, S., Topal, M., Cevdet Bilgin, O. (2018): Relationships between milk protein polymorphisms and production traits in cattle: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Archive Animal Breeding* 61, 197-206. https://doi.org/10.5194/aab-61-197-2018

38. Ramljak, J., Ivančović, A., Veit-Kensch, C., Förster, M., Medugorac, I. (2011): Analysis of genetic and cultural conservation value of three indigenous Croatian cattle breeds in a local and global context. *Journal of Animal Breeding and Genetics* 128, 73-84. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0388.2010.00905.x

39. Ramljak, J., Benevski, Gj., Bytyqi, H., Marković, B., Brka, M., Ivančović, A., Kume, K., Stojanović, S., Nikolov, V., Simčič, M., Solkner, J., Kunz, E., Rothammer, S., Seichter, D., Grünfenfelder, H.P., Broxham, E.T., Kugler, W., Medugorac, I. (2018): Conservation of a domestic metapopulation structured into related and partly admixed strains. *Molecular Ecology* 27, 1633-1650. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.14555

40. Raymond, M., Rousset, F. (1995): GENEPOP (version 1.2): population genetics software for exact tests and ecumemicism. *Journal of Heredity* 86, 248-249. https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.jhered.a111573

41. Rijken, M. (2002): Multispecies comparison of the casein gene loci and evolution of the casein gene family. *Journal of Mammary Gland Biology Neoplasia* 7, 327-345.

42. Roginski, H. (2003): Encyclopedia of dairy sciences. Academic Press, London, UK.

43. Rousset, F. (2008): Genepop’007: a complete reimplementation of the Genepop software for Windows and Linux. *Molecular Ecology Resources* 8, 105-106. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-2286.2007.01931.x

44. SAS/STAT, V8, 1999, SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA.

45. Sebastiani, C., Arcangeli, C., Ciullo, M., Torricelli, M., Cinti, G., Fischella, S., Biagetti, M. (2020): Frequencies evaluation of casein gene polymorphisms in dairy cows reared in Central Italy. *Animals* 10, 252. https://doi.org/10.3390/ani10020252
46. Sodhi, M., Mukesh, M., Kataria, R.S., Mishra, B.P., Joshi, B.K. (2012): Milk proteins and human health: A1/A2 milk hypothesis. Indian Journal of Endocrinology and Metabolism 16, 856. https://doi.org/10.4103/2220-8210.100685

47. Sun, Z., Zhang, Z., Wang, X., Cade, R., Elmir, Z., Fregly, M. (2003): Relation of beta-casomorphin to apnea in sudden infant death syndrome. Peptides 24, 937-943. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0196-9781(03)00156-6

48. Svenberg, J., de Haas, J., Leimenstoll, G., Paul, F., Teschemacher, H. (1985): Demonstration of β-casomorphin immunoreactive materials in in vitro digests of bovine milk and in small intestine contents after bovine milk ingestion in adult humans. Peptides 6, 825-830. https://doi.org/10.1016/0196-9781(85)90308-0

49. Tailford, K.A., Berry, C.L., Thomas, A.C., Campbell, J.H. (2003): A casein variant in cow’s milk is atherogenic. Atherosclerosis 170, 13-19. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0021-9150(03)00131-x

50. Truswell, A.S. (2005): The A2 milk case: a critical review. European Journal of Clinical Nutrition 59, 623-631.

51. van Eenennaam, A.L., Medrano, J.F. (1991): Milk protein polymorphisms in California dairy cattle. Journal of Dairy Science 74, 1730-1742. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.s0022-0302(91)78336-7

52. Värv, S., Belousova, A., Sild, E., Viinalass, H. (2009): Genetic diversity in milk proteins among Estonian dairy cattle. Veterinarija ir zootechnika 48, ISSN 1392-2130.

53. Virtanen, S.M., Laara, E., Hyponen, E., Reijonen, H., Rasani, L., Aro, A., Krip, M., Honen, J., Akerblom, H.K., the Childhood Diabetes in Finland Study Group (2000): Cow’s milk consumption, HLA-DQB1 genotype, and type 1 diabetes. Diabetes 49, 912-917. https://doi.org/10.2337/diabetes.49.6.912

54. Woodford, K.B. (2006): A critique of Truswell’s A2 milk review. European Journal of Clinical Nutrition 60, 437-439. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.ejcn.1602522