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Nociceptin/Orphanin FQ (N/OFQ) conjugated to ATTO594: a novel fluorescent probe for the N/OFQ (NOP) receptor
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BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE

The nociceptin/orphanin FQ (N/OFQ) receptor (NOP) is a member of the opioid receptor family and is involved in a number of physiological responses, pain and immune regulation as examples. In this study, we conjugated a red fluorophore-ATTO594 to the peptide ligand N/OFQ (N/OFQATTO594) for the NOP receptor and explored NOP receptor function at high (in recombinant systems) and low (on immune cells) expression.

EXPERIMENTAL APPROACH

We assessed N/OFQATTO594 receptor binding, selectivity and functional activity in recombinant (CHO) cell lines. Live cell N/OFQATTO594 binding was measured in (i) HEK cells expressing NOP and NOP-GFP receptors, (ii) CHO cells expressing the hNOP-Gqi5 chimera (to force coupling to measurable Ca2+ responses) and (iii) freshly isolated human polymorphonuclear cells (PMN).

KEY RESULTS

N/OFQATTO594 bound to NOP receptor with nM affinity and high selectivity. N/OFQATTO594 activated NOP receptor by reducing cAMP formation and increasing Ca2+ levels in CHOhNOPGqi5 cells. N/OFQATTO594 was also able to visualize NOP receptors at low expression levels on PMN cells. In NOP-GFP-tagged receptors, N/OFQATTO594 was used in a FRET protocol where GFP emission activated ATTO, visualizing ligand–receptor interaction. When the NOP-GFP receptor is activated by N/OFQATTO594, movement of ligand and receptor from the cell surface to the cytosol can be measured.

CONCLUSIONS AND IMPLICATIONS

In the absence of validated NOP receptor antibodies and issues surrounding the use of radiolabels (especially in low expression systems), these data indicate the utility of N/OFQATTO594 to study a wide range of N/OFQ-driven cellular responses.

Abbreviations

DPN, diprenorphine; N/OFQ, nociceptin/orphanin FQ; NOP receptor, N/OFQ peptide receptor; PMN, polymorphonuclear cells; SB-612111, 7-[[4-(2,6-dichlorophenyl)-1-piperidinyl][methyl]-6,7,8,9-tetrahydro-1-methyl-SH-benzocyclohepten-5-ol hydrochloride
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Introduction

The nociceptin/orphanin FQ (N/OFQ) receptor (NOP receptor) is the newest member of the opioid receptor family (Lambert, 2008). It exhibits similar signalling mechanisms to the classical opioid receptors (μ, δ and κ), through activation of a Gαq-mediated G-protein pathway (Meunier et al., 1995; Reinscheid et al., 1995). The NOP receptor, however, differs from the classical opioid receptors in a number of key areas. It has little to no affinity for the endogenous ligands of classical opioid receptors, nor does it have affinity for the opioid antagonist naloxone. Furthermore, its own endogenous ligand, N/OFQ, is highly selective for the NOP receptor, displaying little or no affinity for the classical opioid receptors.

Like the classical opioid receptors, NOP receptor expression has been demonstrated throughout the pain pathway, with antinoceptive actions ascribed to activation (Mollereau and Mouledous, 2000; Schroder et al., 2014; Ding et al., 2015). At initial identification, in mice and rat studies, it was believed that the NOP receptor had pronociceptive activity supraspinally; however, work by Ding and colleagues in non-human primates demonstrated antinoceptive activity throughout the pain pathway underscoring important interspecies differences (Ding et al., 2015). The NOP receptor is expressed in a range of other non-neuronal tissues and presumed levels vary widely (Lambert, 2008). NOP-eGFP knock-in mice have been used to examine expression-function but with a neuronal focus (Ozawa et al., 2015). Outside the nervous system, we and others have shown the presence of the NOP receptor in immune tissues, but due to presumed ultra-low expression, this has been suggested through PCR and modulation of immune function (Kruger et al., 2006; Zhang et al., 2013). While it is possible to obtain acceptable amounts of cerebral tissue to measure opioid expression through radioligand binding, the quantities of peripheral tissue available are most often inadequate for such studies.

Assessment of NOP receptor expression outside the brain is hindered by several key issues. The quantity of sample collected (e.g. immune cells) is often insufficient to perform radioligand assays, the current gold standard. Therefore, as noted above, receptor identity is often confirmed by either PCR or antibody methods. PCR detects mRNA, and these levels do not necessarily translate to functional protein (Guo et al., 2008). Antibodies for GPCRs have been shown to lack the high levels of specificity required to provide evidence of expressed protein (Michel et al., 2009). Previous work in our laboratory and others has demonstrated high levels of non-selectivity using commercially available antibodies (Scherrer et al., 2009; Niwa et al., 2012). In standard Western blotting, we demonstrated the presence of bands at the expected weight in CHO cells expressing opioid receptors as well as those that had not been transfected with the opioid receptor of interest (Niwa et al., 2012). The importance of selectivity is highlighted in a study of δ (DOP) receptors (Scherrer et al., 2009). In their study identifying regions of expression of δ receptors, Scherrer and colleagues demonstrated large differences between areas of expression determined by antibody when compared to δ-eGFP expressing mice (Scherrer et al., 2009).

Because the NOP receptor has no affinity for classical opioid ligands and N/OFQ is highly selective for NOP receptors, labelling of N/OFQ is an exciting possibility as a replacement for radioprobes and antibodies. Here, we describe for the first time a method using N/OFQ conjugated to the highly fluorescent ATTO dye (594 nm) and show this probe has a remarkable similarity to the unlabelled peptide.

Methods

Cell culture and immune cell preparation

Hams F12 was used to culture CHO cells expressing recombinant human opioid receptors (μ, δ and κ), DMEM/F12 1:1 media were used for both CHOhNOP and CHOhNOPGaq5 (from T Costa, Istituto Superiore di Sanità, Rome, Italy) and MEM was used for HEK cells expressing the recombinant NOP (HEKn NOP) receptor and HEKn NOP-GFP. All media were supplemented with 10% FBS, 100 IU·mL⁻¹ penicillin, 100-µg·mL⁻¹ streptomycin and 2.5 µg·mL⁻¹ fungizone. Cultures were maintained in selection media; for CHO cells containing the classical opioid receptors, 200 µg·mL⁻¹ G418 was used. For HEKn NOP cells, 200 µg·mL⁻¹ hygromycin B was used. For both CHOhNOP and CHOhNOPGaq5 cells, 200 µg·mL⁻¹ G418 and 200 µg·mL⁻¹ hygromycin B were used. Recombinant cell lines were grown to confluence in T75 cm² flasks in supplemented media at 37°C in 5%-CO₂/ humidified air. Polymorphonuclear cells (PMN) were extracted from healthy volunteers as previously described (Thompson et al., 2013) and with University of Leicester volunteer research ethics committee approval. Up to 30 mL of blood was collected from each volunteer (mean age of 38; range 25–55, 4 male : 1 female) into Monovette blood collection tubes (Sardstedt, Germany) containing K-EDTA (7.5 mL blood per tube, 1 hygromycin B were resuspended in Krebs buffer (0.126 M NaCl, 2.5 mM KCl, 25 mM NaHCO₃, 1.2 mM NaH₂PO₄, 1.2 mM MgCl₂, 2.5 mM CaCl₂) for counting and imaging. Extractions were carried out at room temperature, and the resulting cell suspension kept on ice until use (maximum 4 h). Viability and yields were quantified by Trypan Blue exclusion and counting using a haemocytometer (Strober, 2001).

Radioligand binding

Radioligand binding was quantified in CHO cells stably transfected with μ, δ, κ and NOP receptors. Twenty to forty micrograms of membrane protein were incubated in 0.5 mL wash buffer (50 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.4 KOH with the addition of 0.5% BSA), ∼0.8 nM [³H]-diprenorphine (DPN; for classical opioid membranes) or ∼0.8 nM [³H]-N/OFQ (for CHOhNOP membranes), varying concentrations (1 pM–10 µM) of the control ligand or N/OFQ was measured in the presence of 10 µM.
naloxone (µ, δ, κ receptors) or 1 µM of N/OFQ (NOP receptor).

Samples were incubated at room temperature for 1 h. Reactions were terminated by vacuum filtration onto polyethyleneimine-soaked Whatman GF/B filters, using a Brandel harvester (Bird et al., 2015).

cAMP inhibition assay
HEKνNOP-GFP cells were suspended in Krebs/HEPES buffer, pH 7.4 NaOH containing forskolin (1 µM) and IBMX (1 mM). Both the control ligand (unlabelled N/OFQ) and the N/OFQATTO594 were included in a range of concentrations (0.1 pM–1 µM) and incubated at 37°C for 15 min. Reactions were terminated through the initial addition of 20 µL 10 M HCl, followed by 20 µL 10 M NaOH and 200 µL 1 M Tris–HCl (pH 7.4) to equilibrate the pH. Reactions were centrifuged at 16 000× g and supernatant collected. The supernatant was counted as previously described (Kitayama et al., 2007).

Confocal microscopy
At confluence, NOP expressing cells were passaged onto ethanol-sterilized 28 mm Menzel glasler #1-coverslips (Thermo Scientific, Loughborough, UK) and incubated for 24 h before use. Cells were perfused with Krebs buffer, pH 7.4 at 4°C using a temperature controller and microincubator (PDMI-2 and TC202A; Burleigh, Digitimer, Cambridge, UK) when studying ligand–receptor binding, while in functional studies (internalization of the ligand–receptor complex), cells were incubated at 37°C. Where used, immune cells were plated onto coverslips pretreated with Celltak™ (1 µg·mL⁻¹) (Sigma, UK) and incubated at 37°C for 1 h, before being washed in ice-cold Krebs buffer.

N/OFQATTO594 was injected onto coverslips, allowing for a range of concentrations to be measured (1 pM–100 nM, cumulatively), following which, images were captured using a Nikon Eclipse C1Si microscope (Surrey, UK), using an oil immersion 60× objective. N/OFQATTO594 was allowed to incubate for 5 min at 4°C before the coverslip was washed with ice-cold Krebs buffer. Following this incubation period, HEKνNOP cells were imaged using the 594 nm wavelength laser, with images collected by the Nikon C1Si software. HEKδNOP-GFP and CHOαqi5GFP receptors were stimulated using a 488 nm laser and measurements were made using the RED (594 nm) filter channel (Wallrabe et al., 2003). To confirm FRET-pairing, several additional controls were performed (Snapp and Hegde, 2006). N/OFQATTO594 (100 nM) was incubated on HEKνNOP cells (not expressing the GFP fluorophore) and measured using 488 nm laser. Furthermore, photobleaching of the ligand was performed, after binding to HEKνNOP-GFP by exposing it to 594 nm laser until fluorescence was undetectable, following which levels of GFP fluorescence intensity were measured. While GFP and ATTO594 may not be specifically designed as an optimum FRET pair, there is still significant crossover as demonstrated by spectra analyser data.

CHOαqi5 functional assays
In experiments using CHOαqi5 cells (Camarda and Calo, 2013), the cells were incubated with 1 µM Fluo4-AM for 45 min in Krebs buffer, following which they were washed for 3 min in 4°C Krebs via the perfusion system. A series of experiments were also performed at the physiological temperature of 37°C. N/OFQATTO594 (100 nM) was perfused while the cells were monitored under the confocal microscope [imaging using both 488 nm laser (Fluo4-AM) and 594 nm laser (N/OFQATTO594)].

Data analysis
All data are the mean of five experiments ± SEM as appropriate. Specimen confocal data sets are presented. All confocal images were analysed using ImageJ with resulting data analysed using GraphPad Prism v7. To measure corrected cell fluorescence, the formula, Corrected total cell fluorescence = Integrated density – (Area of selected cell × Mean fluorescence of background readings), was used to determine levels of N/OFQATTO594 as previously described (Burgess et al., 2010). In internalization studies, ImageJ tool plot profile was used to determine the position of N/OFQATTO594 and/or NOP-GFP relative to the cell membrane (Wallrabe et al., 2003). All experiments were performed unblinded.

Materials
N/OFQATTO594, N/OFQ, dermorphin, Leu-enkephalin and dynorphin-A were synthesized in-house at the University of Ferrara. Tritiated diprenorphine ([³H]-DPN) and tritiated N/OFQ ([³H]-N/OFQ) were purchased from Perkin Elmer (UK). Details of the synthesis of N/OFQATTO594 can be found in the Supporting Information Data S1 and Figures S1–S3.

Nomenclature of targets and ligands
Key protein targets and ligands in this article are hyperlinked to corresponding entries in http://www.guidetopharmacology.org, the common portal for data from the IUPHAR/BPS Guide to PHARMACOLOGY (Harding et al., 2018), and are permanently archived in the Concise Guide to PHARMACOLOGY 2017/18 (Alexander et al., 2017).
Results

Binding selectivity and affinity

Selectivity for N/OFQ ATTO594 was measured in CHO cells expressing human \( \mu \), \( \delta \), \( \kappa \) and NOP receptors. In CHO NOP cells, N/OFQ ATTO594 displaced \[^{3}H\]-N/OFQ in a concentration dependent and saturable manner (pK\(_{i}\): 9.21) which was not significantly (Student’s t-test) different from unlabelled N/OFQ (9.37) (Figure 1). N/OFQ ATTO594 failed to displace \[^{3}H\]-DPN in cells expressing \( \mu \), \( \delta \) or \( \kappa \) receptors (Figure 1). In HEK NOP cells, N/OFQ ATTO594 also displaced \[^{3}H\]-N/OFQ in a concentration dependent and saturable manner (pK\(_{i}\): 9.14 ± 0.13; \( n = 5 \)) which was not significantly (Student’s t-test) different from unlabelled N/OFQ (pK\(_{i}\): 9.34 ± 0.08; \( n = 5 \)). A range of concentrations of N/OFQ ATTO594 were added to HEK cells expressing the NOP receptor and binding measured using 594 nm laser on a confocal microscope (Figure 2). N/OFQ ATTO594 bound in a concentration-dependent and saturable manner, producing a pK\(_{d}\) of 9.51 ± 0.18 (\( n = 5 \); Figure 2). In HEK cells expressing GFP-tagged NOP (HEK NOP-GFP) cells, N/OFQ ATTO594 bound to NOP with an affinity (pK\(_{i}\)) of 8.98 ± 0.06 in displacement binding assays using \[^{3}H\]-N/OFQ as the radiolabel (Figure 3). When binding affinity was assessed directly using confocal microscopy, N/OFQ ATTO594 produced a binding affinity (pK\(_{i}\)) of 8.53 ± 0.34, which was not significantly (Student’s t-test) different from that determined by displacement (Figure 3A–I). In confocal experiments, pre-incubation with SB-612111 abolished N/OFQ ATTO594 binding.

Pharmacological activity

In order to determine whether conjugation of the fluorescent tag had any effect on functional activity, CAMP inhibition assays were performed (Figure 3K). The control ligand, unlabelled N/OFQ produced a pEC\(_{50}\) of 10.23 ± 0.25 and E\(_{\text{max}}\) of 85.43 ± 2.70% inhibition of forskolin-stimulated CAMP formation. N/OFQ ATTO594 produced a pEC\(_{50}\) of 9.73 ± 0.29 and E\(_{\text{max}}\) of 89.30 ± 2.04%. There was no significant (Student’s t-test) difference in functional activity of N/OFQ ATTO594 when compared to N/OFQ (Figure 3K).

In experiments performed at 4°C, 100 nM N/OFQ ATTO594 was added to CHO NOP-GFP cells loaded with the calcium indicator, Fluo4-AM (Figure 4A–D). An increase in red fluorescence can be seen around the membrane after addition of N/OFQ ATTO594, following which an increase in green fluorescence can be seen indicating activation of the G\(_{\text{q15}}\)-coupled NOP receptor and subsequent increase in cytosolic calcium (Figure 4A–D and Supporting Information Video S1). The kinetics of the rise in Ca\(^{2+}\) is slow as the experiment was performed at 4°C. Binding of N/OFQ ATTO594 at 37°C led to a more rapid increase of Ca\(^{2+}\) (Figure 4E–G).

Low expression systems

Immune cells are known to express NOP mRNA and do not bind radiolabelled N/OFQ, but their function is modulated in response to N/OFQ. We next used N/OFQ ATTO594 to determine whether we could detect NOP at low levels of expression. Human PMN cells separated from healthy...
volunteers were seeded onto cover slips. At a temperature of 4°C, N/OFQ ATTO594 (100 nM) binding was observed (Figure 3L), indicating the presence of NOP receptors. Furthermore, pre-incubation with unlabelled N/OFQ (1 μM; Figure 3M) or the selective NOP antagonist SB-612111 (1 μM; Figure 3O) blocked the binding of N/OFQ ATTO594. The addition of naloxone (1 μM) had no effect on N/OFQ ATTO594 binding (Figure 3P); this is consistent with the selectivity of binding of N/OFQ ATTO594. In a further experiment to confirm NOP expression, PMN cells were pre-incubated with N/OFQ before the addition of 100 nM N/OFQ ATTO594 (Figure 3R), demonstrating no binding of N/OFQ ATTO594. Cells were washed for several minutes in ice-cold Krebs, following which 100 nM N/OFQ ATTO594 was added for a second time. In this instance, binding was restored (Figure 3S). Bright field images are shown in panels N, Q and T.

**NOP receptor internalization**

We then used N/OFQ ATTO594 to track NOP receptor internalization. Binding was initially measured at 4°C using 100 nM of N/OFQ ATTO594 in HEK NOP-GFP cells (Figure 5A, C and E) clearly demonstrating a localization of N/OFQ ATTO594 (Figure 5C) on the cellular membrane with GFP-tagged NOP (A and overlay E). Following a temperature increase to 37°C, N/OFQ ATTO594 is seen to mobilize within the cell, away from the cell membrane (D). These pools of N/OFQ ATTO594 are shown to co-localize with internalized NOP-GFP (B and overlay F). Using ImageJ line analysis (dotted line in A–F), it is possible to assess the change in fluorescence at different time points across the cell after binding of N/OFQ ATTO594 (Figure 5G) and the subsequent localization of NOP-GFP (Figure 5H).

Because of the close proximity formed between ligand–receptor complexes (Figure 6M), a FRET stimulation was performed in HEK NOP-GFP cells using N/OFQ ATTO594. When the receptor complex was formed, the 488 nm laser was used (Figure 6A–D), while filters in the red channel were viewed. In the absence of N/OFQ ATTO594, no image was obtained (Figure 6B). After administration of 100 nM N/OFQ ATTO594, a clear image demonstrating areas of interaction of NOP GFF and N/OFQ ATTO594 was seen (Figure 6C), indicating FRET. The overlap of NOP GFF and N/OFQ ATTO594 can be seen in an overlaid composite image (Figure 6D). In order to confirm FRET-pairing, 100 nM N/OFQ ATTO594 was incubated with HEK NOP cells (not expressing GFP), following which detection of binding was attempted using the 488 nm wavelength laser (Figure 6E). N/OFQ ATTO594 was not stimulated by the laser in this environment. Binding of N/OFQ ATTO594 to the surface was confirmed by stimulation with 594 nm laser (Figure 6F). A second control experiment was performed, whereby 100 nM N/OFQ ATTO594 was incubated with HEK NOP-GFP cells and exposed to prolonged stimulation through 594 nm laser wavelengths (Figure 6G–L). This produced photobleaching of the ligand (Figure 6J). The level of GFP fluorescence was taken before and after photobleaching and demonstrated that the corrected total cell fluorescence of GFP had a statistically significant increase from 0.78 ± 0.11 to 1.11 ± 0.17 (Student’s t-test; P < 0.05) (Figure 6N).

**Discussion**

In this study, we report the synthesis and use of a novel fluorescent probe for the NOP receptor, N/OFQ ATTO594. We have conjugated ATTO594 to the highly selective endogenous NOP ligand N/OFQ, and this new ligand retains high NOP selectivity (over classical opioid receptors) and full agonist activity in (i) cAMP inhibition experiments performed in cells expressing NOP receptors (Kitayama et al., 2007), (ii) Ca²⁺
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**Figure 2**

Concentration-dependent binding of N/OFQ ATTO594 to HEK NOP cells using confocal microscopy and stimulation with 594 nm laser and [3H]-N/OFQ. On the left representative images of the binding of various concentrations (A: 1 pM; B: 10 pM; C: 100 pM; D: 1 nM; E: 10 nM; F: 100 nM) of N/OFQ ATTO594 using confocal microscopy. On the right are the binding curves analysed as in methods overlayed with data from a [3H]-N/OFQ displacement analysis; data are mean ± SEM for five experiments. Confocal experiments were performed at 4°C while radioligand binding assays were performed at room temperature.
mobilisation experiments in cells co-expressing NOP and chimeric G proteins (Camarda and Calo, 2013) and (iii) receptor internalization studies (Arttamangkul et al., 2000). N/OFOATTO594 was visibly detected over a range of concentrations when studied using confocal microscopy with sufficient sensitivity to determine a pK_{d}; this did not differ from values obtained using the standard [3H]-N/OFQ binding protocol. The nM affinity reported here, coupled with the high intrinsic brightness of ATTO594 allows for the visualization of NOP receptors in low expression systems, PMN. Use of cells expressing NOP receptors coupled to GFP allows further use of N/OFOATTO594 in a FRET-based assay to document receptor interaction. Finally, this new ligand is well suited to studies of receptor internalization, and when coupled with a GFP tagged receptor, it should be possible to track both receptor and ligand fate following binding and activation and unbinding.

Because N/OFQ is an agonist for the NOP receptor, we have measured binding in confocal experiments at 4°C. At the more conventional 37°C, there would be substantial activation and loss of cell surface receptors (see below) as we have shown previously (Hashimoto et al., 2002; Barnes et al., 2007). The assessment of binding affinity would not be affected by temperature (Ahmadi et al., 2014) provided the ligand–receptor interaction was allowed to reach equilibrium, and we have a consistent assessment of affinity in HEK_{NOP} and HEK_{NOP-GFP}. These values were not different from the native peptide, but coupling of NOP to GFP has reduced binding affinity. At 4°C, there is the potential for non-equilibrium and an ideal solution would be to confirm affinity using association/dissociation time courses. In this paradigm, (i) the chamber floods with ‘free’ label making it impossible to determine bound ligand (i.e. separate from free) and (ii) experiments would be very long as the label is added cumulatively with the potential for internalization. We are reassured that our estimate of K_{d} is sensible as the values overlap with [3H]-N/OFQ binding in membranes, and cognisant of the issues, we used two cell lines to confirm that all values are in good agreement. Measuring binding at the lower temperature followed by rapid warming allows the kinetics of internalization to be assessed. Despite measuring binding at 4°C, it is still possible to track receptor activation, at least at the level of Ca^{2+} in cells co-expressing NOP and G_{aq}Q chimeric G-protein. A similar shaped but more rapid response is also seen at 37°C. The kinetics at the lower temperature are substantially slower than we have previously reported.
for experiments performed at the higher temperature in population measurements, but the shape of the response is similar at all temperatures (Camarda and Calo, 2013).

Studies with GPCRs are, in general, hampered by lack of good antibodies for use in Western blotting (Hamdan and van der Velden, 2009; Jensen et al., 2009; Pradidarcheep et al., 2009; Berahovich et al., 2010; Cecyre et al., 2014; Talmont and Mouledous, 2014). This is likely due to the high degree of structural conservation both between families and more specifically in members of the same family, for example, the opioid family. Use of knockout tissue controls is often lacking, and as such, the validity of work using these probes could be questioned; this is not the case with our highly selective N/OFQATTO594. A more conventional strategy is to use radiolabelled probes. For NOP, this includes [3H]-N/OFQ, [3H]-N/OFQ-(1–13)-NH2 (Hashiba et al., 2002), [125I]-N/OFQ (Singh et al., 2013) and [3H]-UFP-101 ([Bird et al., 2016]; their use is best employed where there is favourable access to tissue or where radioligand specific activity is high (e.g. with 125I). There are a series of elegant studies using radioligands for NOP in autoradiographic protocols (Slowe et al., 2001), but these retain the same issues regarding expression. Radioligands are in general not suited to use in tissues with presumed low expression, for example, vas deferens (Guerrini et al., 1998) and on immune cells (see below).

A further approach to track receptor fate is to use fluorescently labelled receptors, as we have done here with NOPGFP receptors. An elegant example of how this can be used comes from the work of Evans et al. (2010). In this study, the authors produced fluorescently labelled isoforms of μ, δ and κ along with NOP receptors. Using a confocal paradigm, they went on to address receptor dimerization. They measured the degree of colour overlap in a manner similar to our data set examining overlap of GFP and ATTO to visualize ligand–receptor interaction either in the ‘conventional’ sense or in a FRET protocol. They conclusively showed that NOP was capable of hetero-dimerization with all members of the opioid family (Evans et al., 2010).

In this study, as controls for FRET, we ensured that 488 nm wavelength alone did not stimulate ATTO (HEK NOP cells without GFP tag) and in the presence of the GFP tag SB-612111 (NOP antagonist) inhibits any FRET response. This indicates (i) ATTO is being stimulated by the emission spectra of GFP not through the laser, and (ii) it must be bound to the receptor to see the response, that is, locality which is the basis of FRET. Furthermore, photobleaching of the acceptor (N/OFQATTO594) leads to increased fluorescence measurements of GFP, a positive indicator of FRET pairing (Snapp and Hegde, 2006).

It has been known for many years that opioids are immune modulators (Vallejo et al., 2004), recently reviewed by Plein and Ritter (2018). The site of immune modulation is contentious, and we have reviewed this topic recently (Al-Hashimi et al., 2013). Opioids could interact directly with the immune cell, modulate the activity of the hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal axis and/or exert central actions involving glia (Hutchinson et al., 2011). The expression of opioid receptors on immune cells is the most contentious. There is well known modulation of immune cell activity (migration and cytokine release) (Liang et al., 2016), but we have failed to detect classical opioid (μ, δ and κ) receptor mRNA in
any peripheral circulating immune cells (Al-Hashimi et al., 2016). This is not consistent with the modulation of function, and in this area particularly, the lack of reliable antibodies for Western blot is a major disadvantage. It is possible that opioids could be working via a non-opioid mechanism such as TLR4 receptors (Franchi et al., 2012), or there could be differences in circulating and resident immune cells. There is no evidence for the latter in the area of opioid pharmacology. What is clear is that all circulating immune cells that we have examined to date expressed mRNA for NOP receptors, and we and others have been able to report modulation of immune function (Singh et al., 2016). We have attempted to measure $[^{125}I]$-N/OFQ and $[^{3}H]$-N/OFQ binding to membranes from circulating mixed human immune cells (predominantly polymorphs). These experiments failed despite the use of relatively large amounts of membrane tissue, and we infer this is due to ultra-low expression. After careful characterization in high expressing recombinant systems, in the present study, we went on to use N/OFQ\textsubscript{ATTOS94} in polymorphs from human volunteers and were able to detect binding. The small size of these immune cells (relative to the recombinants) and resolution of the microscope are limiting factors in pictorially demonstrating membrane location (see Supporting Information Figure S4). However, we were able to detect binding that could be blocked by pre-occupying NOP with N/OFQ\textsubscript{ATTOS94} and the selective NOP antagonist SB-612111 indicating both selectivity of binding to NOP and membrane location. Moreover, unlabelled N/OFQ could be effectively washed and replaced with N/OFQ\textsubscript{ATTOS94}. These results demonstrate that NOP receptor mRNA measured in PCR experiments is effectively translated into protein capable of binding N/OFQ and, unlike classical opioid receptors, provides a target to explain the observed immune modulation.

Figure 5
Use of N/OFQ\textsubscript{ATTOS94} and NOP\textsubscript{GFP} to examine cell surface receptor expression. All images depict HEK\textsubscript{NOP-GFP} cells. Panels A, C and E are at 4°C and measured 1 min after ligand addition while panels B, D and F are at 37°C, 15 min after ligand addition. Green channel for NOP\textsubscript{GFP} is in A and B; red channel for N/OFQ\textsubscript{ATTOS94} is in C and D, and the overlap is in E and F. Panel G shows a representative graph of the initial binding of N/OFQ\textsubscript{ATTOS94} (red; 1 min after adding N/OFQ\textsubscript{ATTOS94}) and subsequent change in localization (blue; 15 min after adding N/OFQ\textsubscript{ATTOS94}). Panel H shows a representative graph of initial location of NOP\textsubscript{GFP} (green; 1 min after adding N/OFQ\textsubscript{ATTOS94}) and subsequent change in localization (purple; 15 min after adding N/OFQ\textsubscript{ATTOS94}). In (G and H), the dotted lines are used to indicate the position of the membrane with the cell interior between the two.
Upon activation opioid receptors are internalized in an arrestin-driven fashion (Williams et al., 2013). This is a fairly standard mechanism utilized by a number of GPCRs (Peterson and Luttrell, 2017) and leads to reduced cellular responsiveness or desensitization. For the NOP receptor, we have used a BRET protocol (using Renilla luciferase-NOP and Renilla GFP on arrestin) to show efficient arrestin coupling (Malfacini et al., 2015). We have used radioligand binding to measure loss of cell surface NOP receptors following a desensitizing challenge. These latter studies were in high expressing (Hashimoto et al., 2002) or inducible expressing (Barnes et al., 2007) systems. The issues with these types of experiments is complete removal of the desensitizing challenge as any residual receptor occupancy would effectively reduce apparent density leading to an erroneous conclusion as to loss of receptors. The fluorescent probe we have designed, as an agonist itself, overcomes these problems. In this study, we show that receptors on the cell surface (GFP tagged) with N/OFQ (ATTO) bound leave the cell surface together (line analysis); ligand and receptor appear to co-localize inside the cell. Use of N/OFQ ATTO594 to study internalization and the role of arrestins is particularly apposite here as there is now compelling evidence to suggest that agonists biased away from arrestin recruitment produce analgesia without the development of tolerance, a game changer in the development of analgesics for chronic pain. Moreover, it is also possible to measure the ligand receptor interaction process in a FRET-based assay coupling the ATTO-labelled peptide with a GFP-labelled receptor in cell lines. This will also be possible in NOP-eGFP knock-in mice (Ozawa et al., 2015).

In summary, we describe a novel ligand for use in the study of live-cell ligand–receptor interaction and tracking movement of liganded cell surface receptors. Use of higher resolution confocal technologies will facilitate a more detailed study of the ‘unbinding’ and recycling process.

Figure 6
N/OFQATTO594 can be activated when in close proximity to GFP attached to the NOP receptor (FRET), as described in the cartoon in the middle of the figure (M). (A) Visualizes the NOP_GFP receptors stimulated by the 488 nm laser in the green filter window. (B) Demonstrates no ‘leak’ of fluorescence into the red window when using the green laser in the absence of N/OFQATTO594. Upon addition of 100 nM N/OFQATTO594, the ligand is stimulated through FRET pairing (C) to fluoresce when in close proximity to the NOP_GFP receptors. Signals are overlapped in (D). (E) In order to confirm FRET pairing, the 488 nm laser was used in conjunction with HEK_NOP cells (no fluorescent linker present) to again demonstrate lack of activation of N/OFQATTO594. (F) Binding of N/OFQATTO594 was confirmed using 594 nm laser. Photobleaching of the acceptor molecule is a further method to confirm FRET pairing. HEK_NOP-GFP cells (G) were labelled with 100 nM N/OFQATTO594 (H) with binding of the receptor-ligand complex shown as a composite image (I). N/OFQATT594 was exposed to 594 nm light until photobleaching was achieved (J) at which point changes in NOP fluorescence were measured (K) with the heatmap indicating increases of fluorescence shown and highlighted by arrows (blue: low and red: high) (L). (N) Average increase in NOP fluorescence after photobleaching of N/OFQATTO594; P < 0.05 Students t-test. Data are the mean of eight experiments.

Upon activation opioid receptors are internalized in an arrestin-driven fashion (Williams et al., 2013). This is a fairly standard mechanism utilized by a number of GPCRs (Peterson and Luttrell, 2017) and leads to reduced cellular responsiveness or desensitization. For the NOP receptor, we have used a BRET protocol (using Renilla luciferase-NOP and Renilla GFP on arrestin) to show efficient arrestin coupling (Malfacini et al., 2015). We have used radioligand binding to measure loss of cell surface NOP receptors following a desensitizing challenge. These latter studies were in high expressing (Hashimoto et al., 2002) or inducible expressing (Barnes et al., 2007) systems. The issues with these types of experiments is complete removal of the desensitizing challenge as any residual receptor occupancy would effectively reduce apparent density leading to an erroneous conclusion as to loss of receptors. The fluorescent probe we have designed, as an agonist itself, overcomes these problems. In this study, we show that receptors on the cell surface (GFP tagged) with N/OFQ (ATTO) bound leave the cell surface together (line analysis); ligand and receptor appear to co-localize inside the cell. Use of N/OFQ ATTO594 to study internalization and the role of arrestins is particularly apposite here as there is now compelling evidence to suggest that agonists biased away from arrestin recruitment produce analgesia without the development of tolerance, a game changer in the development of analgesics for chronic pain.

Moreover, it is also possible to measure the ligand receptor interaction process in a FRET-based assay coupling the ATTO-labelled peptide with a GFP-labelled receptor in cell lines. This will also be possible in NOP-eGFP knock-in mice (Ozawa et al., 2015).

In summary, we describe a novel ligand for use in the study of live-cell ligand–receptor interaction and tracking movement of liganded cell surface receptors. Use of higher resolution confocal technologies will facilitate a more detailed study of the ‘unbinding’ and recycling process.
Interesting further possibilities for this new ligand include its use in (i) brain sections and (ii) receptor binding protocols where the fluorescent label totally replaces the radioligand; this would revolutionize binding methodology for this receptor and potentially other members of the opioid family.
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Data S1 Chemistry.

Figure S1 Analytical HPLC profile of the [Cys(ATTO 594)18]N/OFQ-NH2 reaction mixture.

Figure S2 Analytical HPLC profile of the purified [Cys(ATTO 594)18]N/OFQ-NH2.

Figure S3 Mass spectrum of the purified [Cys(ATTO 594)18]N/OFQ-NH2.

Figure S4 A limited image z-series stack for 100 nM N/OFQATTO594 binding to PMN is presented, panel A. In panel B, representative ‘slices’ at top, middle and bottom are shown.

Video S1 N/OFQATTO594 binding and increased Ca2+ at 4°C.