Relationship between loneliness and blood glucose control in diabetes
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Abstract

Background: The data of the International Diabetes Federation show that about 463 million people have diabetes. Better understanding of psychosocial aspects of life with this disease has become one of healthcare priorities in this group of patients. The aim of this study was to assess the relationships between loneliness and blood glucose control in diabetic patients.

Methods: The study included 250 hospitalized patients with type 1 and 2 diabetes. The patients included in the study were those who had had diabetes for at least 1 year and received pharmacotherapy. Standardized Revised UCLA Loneliness Scale (R-UCLA) and an analysis of patient test results including 10 indicators of blood glucose control were used for data collection. Correlation analysis, i.e. Pearson’s linear correlation coefficient (r, parametric method), was used for hypothesis verification.

Results: Less than one-fifth (16%) of the patients included in the study had higher loneliness index (based on the R-UCLA scale), and this loneliness index (total result) was significantly correlated with higher blood pressure. No significant correlations were demonstrated between loneliness and the other 9 indicators of blood glucose control.

Conclusions: Systolic blood pressure was significantly correlated with loneliness in patients with diabetes. Further studies are needed to confirm these findings.
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Background

The data of the International Diabetes Federation show that about 463 million people have diabetes. It was estimated in 2019 that the number of diabetic patients aged between 65 and 99 years was 135.6 million (19.3%) [1]. Data from the National Health Fund estimate that there were 2.55 million of adult patients with diabetes in 2014 in Poland (4-year prevalence), and this number increased to 2.86 million in 2018. Diabetic individuals accounted for 9.1% of the adult Polish population in 2018 [2]. In the light of the growing global population of diabetic patients, better understanding of psychosocial aspects of life with this disease is becoming one of healthcare priorities in this group of patients [3].

The issue of loneliness in diabetic patients has been studied in various aspects. Determination of relationships between loneliness at an older age and metabolic biomarkers and vascular diseases was one of the aims of the research. It was found that the risk of increased levels of three out of four assessed metabolic biomarkers: glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c), body mass index (BMI), and metabolic burden [4], was increased by 39–71% in lonely elderly individuals. The mean incidence of type 2 diabetes mellitus was significantly higher in the group of lonely individuals [5].

Another aim of the study was to assess the relationship between loneliness and health problems. It was shown...
that individuals experiencing high levels of loneliness are more likely to develop chronic diseases, including diabetes mellitus and high cholesterol levels [6], and that these individuals are at particularly increased risk of diabetes [7–9]. It was found that treatment due to diabetes or hypertension was an important predictive factor for loneliness [10].

An analysis of loneliness as a factor affecting treatment in pre-diabetic and T2DM patients showed that these patients report the sense of isolation and loneliness even in the presence of social support [11]. An assessment of long-term blood glucose control showed that loneliness was associated with HbA1c levels due to the interaction between diet and social relationships [12], while no relationship was found between loneliness and fear of hypoglycemia in patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus [13]. We also assessed the relationship between loneliness and inflammatory/endoctrine responses to acute stress in elderly patients with T2DM. The authors of the study showed that loneliness was associated with disturbed responses to stress in diabetic patients, which may partly result from disregulation of the inflammatory and neuroendocrine systems [14]. An assessment of peer support from the perspective of adults with type 1 diabetes mellitus revealed a conviction that diabetes is a negative psychosocial factor, which makes it difficult for diabetic patients to achieve adequate blood glucose control [7].

According to Perry’s loneliness classification, a score between 65 and 80 indicates a high degree of loneliness, 50–64 – a moderately high degree of loneliness, 35–49 – a moderate degree of loneliness, and 20–34 – a low degree of loneliness [19].

Indicators of metabolic control in diabetes
Criteria recommended by the Polish Diabetes Association were used for the assessment of metabolic compensation [20]. The values of clinical indicators were obtained from patient medical records. The following laboratory findings were evaluated: HbA1c (%), total cholesterol (mg/dL), HDL (mg/dL), LDL (mg/dL), triglycerides (mg/dL), non-HDL (mg/dL), LDL-C (mg/dL). Blood pressure, body weight and height were measured; BMI was calculated.

Statistical analyses
The normality of distribution was assessed using the Shapiro-Wilk test. Homogeneity of variance was assessed using the Levene’s test. Hypotheses were verified using correlation analysis: Pearson’s linear correlation coefficient (parametric method). A p-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Elements of descriptive statistics, such as mean, standard deviation, median, minimum and maximum were calculated.
maximum values, were used for the assessment of metabolic control indicators.

Results
The study included 250 patients aged between 18 and 94 years with type 1 and 2 diabetes. Mean age was 57.9 years (SD = 17.4). There were 54% of men, 29% of single, and 71% of non-single patients in the study group. Higher education was reported by 15% of respondents; 35% of respondents were professionally active. Type 2 diabetes was reported for 70% of patients; mean disease duration in the study group was 12.14 years (SD = 9.54); 26% of patients received antidiabetic medications; 24% of patients received both medications and insulin. Chronic complications occurred in 54% of patients. A total of 54% of patients were admitted to the department due to high glucose levels.

The mean score obtained by diabetic patients in the R-UCLA scale (the highest possible score was 80) was 38.22 (SD = 11.55). Low levels of loneliness were shown for 47% of patients, whereas very high levels were detected in 3% of respondents (Table 1).

A total of 80.8% of patients in the study group failed to meet the criteria of adequate blood glucose control for BMI < 25 kg/m² criterion (Table 2).

A positive correlation was shown between the sense of loneliness and systolic blood pressure (r = 0.165; p = 0.01). Patients with a higher degree of loneliness had increased systolic blood pressure (Table 3). A significant correlation was found between loneliness and the number of met criteria for adequate blood glucose control (r = −0.052; p = 0.425).

In the study group, diastolic blood pressure was significantly higher in men (p = 0.049), while HDL cholesterol was significantly higher among women (p = 0.013) (Table 4).

A significant, negative correlation between age and systolic/diastolic blood pressure was found in the study group. The younger the patients, the higher the systolic and diastolic blood pressure (Table 5).

Discussion
Diabetes mellitus is a common chronic disease in adults, and its incidence is likely to increase in the future [1]. Therefore, it is important to better understand everyday experiences, well-being and psychosocial functioning of individuals with this disease [3].

As mentioned in the introduction, there seems to be a relationship between loneliness and achieved therapeutic objectives in diabetes. Diabetes may lead to loneliness and, vice versa, loneliness may be a risk factor of poor treatment outcomes in diabetes [16]. We assessed the relationships between loneliness and metabolic control indicators. Available studies indicate that HbA1c and BMI were the most common metabolic control indicators assessed in relation to loneliness [12, 14, 21, 22]. An assessment of the relationship between loneliness and treatment adherence in diabetic patients showed a correlation between loneliness and postprandial blood glucose levels in these patients. Similarly to our study, no correlation was shown between HbA1c and BMI [21]. Also, no relationship was demonstrated between loneliness and these indicators in a study assessing the severity of already impaired response to stress in T2DM patients [14]. Although the relationship between loneliness and long-term control of glucose levels assessed

Table 1 The sense of loneliness in the study group

| Degrees of loneliness       | % M  | SD  | Med. | Min. | Max. |
|----------------------------|------|-----|------|------|------|
| low degree (20–34)         | 47   | 38.22 | 11.55 | 35   | 23   | 76   |
| moderate degree (35–49)    | 37   | 37   | 11.55 | 35   | 23   | 76   |
| moderately high degree (50–64) | 13  | 37   | 11.55 | 35   | 23   | 76   |
| severe high degree (65–80) | 3    | 37   | 11.55 | 35   | 23   | 76   |

M mean, SD standard deviation, Med. median, Min. minimum, Max. maximum

Table 3 Correlations between loneliness and indicators of adequate blood glucose control

| Criteria of adequate blood glucose control | Loneliness |
|-------------------------------------------|------------|
| BMI                                       | r = −0.015 | p = 0.815 |
| Systolic blood pressure                   | r = 0.165  | p = 0.010 |
| Diastolic blood pressure                  | r = 0.083  | p = 0.200 |
| HbA1c                                     | r = −0.090 | p = 0.168 |
| Total cholesterol                         | r = −0.007 | p = 0.597 |
| HDL cholesterol                           | r = 0.041  | p = 0.305 |
| LDL cholesterol                           | r = −0.012 | p = 0.853 |
| non-HDL cholesterol (n = 86)              | r = 0.008  | p = 0.898 |
| LDL-C (n = 52)                            | r = −0.042 | p = 0.763 |

r Pearson’s correlation coefficient, p statistical significance, n number of participants

Table 2 Study participant characteristics - criteria of adequate blood glucose control

| Criteria of adequate blood glucose control | Met criterion |
|-------------------------------------------|---------------|
| n  | Yes | No | n  | Yes | No |
| BMI < 25 kg/m²                             | 241 | 25.2% | 71.2% | 241 | 25.2% | 71.2% |
| Systolic blood pressure < 130 mm/Hg       | 242 | 38.4% | 58.4% | 242 | 38.4% | 58.4% |
| Diastolic blood pressure < 80 mm/Hg       | 242 | 32.4% | 64.4% | 242 | 32.4% | 64.4% |
| HbA1c < 7%                                | 236 | 13.6% | 80.8% | 236 | 13.6% | 80.8% |
| Total cholesterol < 175 mg/dL             | 233 | 52.0% | 41.2% | 233 | 52.0% | 41.2% |
| HDL cholesterol > 40 mg/dL [in men] and > 50 mg/dL [in women] | 229 | 44.8% | 46.8% | 229 | 44.8% | 46.8% |
| LDL cholesterol < 70 mg/dL                | 220 | 20.8% | 67.2% | 220 | 20.8% | 67.2% |
| Triglyceride < 150 mg/dL                  | 228 | 56.8% | 34.4% | 228 | 56.8% | 34.4% |
based on HbA1c was not confirmed by Niemcryk [22], the importance of loneliness in T2DM patients in terms of following a healthy diet was confirmed during an attempt to identify predictors of long-term blood glucose control [12]. Although loneliness was positively correlated with increased HbA1c and BMI in the group of elderly patients, including those with diabetes, no such a correlation was found in our study. This study showed systolic hypertension in the total cohort (n = 466, 43 patients with T2DM) and both the lonely and non-lonely groups [5]. In our study, 58.4% of patients failed to meet the criterion of systolic blood pressure < 130 mmHg; individuals with higher degree of loneliness had higher systolic blood pressure. O’Luanaigh et al. and our study also failed to confirm the correlation between loneliness and lipid profile [5].

Clinical practice guidelines recommend a management strategy that targets multiple comorbid conditions, including hyperglycemia, hypertension, and dyslipidemia, to prevent diabetes-related complications [20]. The compliance observed in the population of patients with diabetes who received oral hypoglycemic agents, antihypertensives or statins at least once a day was not optimal [23, 24]. A review of studies found that among patients with diabetes, hypertension, and dyslipidemia, only 59% had medication possession ratio ≥ 80% [25]. Kusaslan Avci [21] and Kretchy [26] showed that loneliness associated with T2DM was significantly correlated with poor medication adherence. Ho and colleagues found that nonadherence to oral antidiabetic drugs, antihypertensives, or statins was related to increased A1C levels, higher systolic and diastolic blood pressure, and higher low-density lipoprotein cholesterol levels [27]. As this study showed significant association between loneliness and blood pressure, it is important to install positive attitude and lifestyle measures to tackle this lethal combination to reduce the risk of cardiovascular events among those exhibiting loneliness [28].

Our data indicate that there are no rationale for the hypothesis on a relationship between loneliness and indicators of blood glucose control in diabetes. Only higher systolic blood pressure was correlated with increased loneliness in the study population. Literature review indicates that data allowing for comparison of these findings are missing. Therefore, our results should be considered preliminary and further studies are needed to confirm these findings. These studies are important to enable a more comprehensive review of the psychosocial implications of living with diabetes.

Our study has some limitations. It was conducted only in a group of patients admitted to hospital, and thus with indications for hospitalization. Since increased glucose levels were the reason for admission in half of participants, it may be assumed that blood glucose control was unsatisfactory already at admission in this group of patients. In order to determine the scale of the problem, it is worth extending further research to include a group of patients reporting for visits to primary care outpatient clinics and diabetes outpatient clinics. No cognitive assessment of patients was performed. The study included patients who understood and could answer the questions in the questionnaire. Another limitation of this study was the fact that it was not verified whether the patients who were not in a relationship lived alone. We used a small sample and convenient selection, which means that only available patients were included in the study.

| Variables                      | M     | SD    | Woman | M     | SD    | t      | df   | p       |
|--------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|------|---------|
| BMI (kg/m²)                    | 28.72 | 5.82  | 28.76 | 6.55  |       | −0.049 | 239  | 0.960   |
| Systolic blood pressure (mm/Hg)| 134.83| 19.59 | 134.06| 19.87 | 0.305 | 240    | 0.760|         |
| Diastolic blood pressure (mm/Hg)| 82.73 | 11.89 | 79.69 | 12.02 | 1.970 | 240    | 0.049|         |
| HbA1c (%)                      | 9.15  | 2.40  | 9.25  | 2.58  | −0.317| 234    | 0.751|         |
| Total cholesterol (mg/dl)      | 173.93| 61.68 | 175.94| 59.66 | −0.251| 231    | 0.801|         |
| HDL cholesterol (mg/dl)        | 44.34 | 16.07 | 50.07 | 18.84 | −2.480| 227    | 0.013|         |
| LDL cholesterol (mg/dl)        | 93.02 | 39.57 | 96.08 | 44.19 | −0.541| 218    | 0.588|         |
| Triglyceride (mg/dl)           | 165.77| 130.24| 159.40| 134.41| 0.362 | 226    | 0.717|         |
| non-HDL cholesterol (mg/dl)    | 135.14| 62.29 | 123.71| 58.03 | 0.877 | 84     | 0.382|         |
| LDL-C (mg/dl)                  | 117.25| 94.39 | 101.73| 61.27 | 0.703 | 50     | 0.485|         |

M mean, SD standard deviation, t Pearson’s correlation coefficient, df degrees of freedom, p statistical significance

| Variables                           | M     | SD    | Woman | M     | SD    | t      | df   | p       |
|-------------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|------|---------|
| Systolic blood pressure (mm/Hg)     |       |       |       |       |       | −0.1224| 219  | 0.251   |
| Diastolic blood pressure (mm/Hg)    |       |       |       |       |       | −0.013 | 247  | 0.907   |
| Age                                 | 0.128 | 0.045 |       | 0.1224| 0.057 |        |      |         |

r Pearson’s correlation coefficient, p statistical significance

Table 4 Indicators of adequate blood glucose control by gender of study participants’

Table 5 Systolic and diastolic blood pressure values by age of study participants’
Conclusions
This study contributes to determining loneliness in patients with diabetes in literature and shows the relationships between loneliness and blood glucose control. Less than one-fifth of the patients included in the study had high loneliness index. Systolic blood pressure was significantly correlated with loneliness in patients with diabetes. Our findings are an introduction to further studies in this area.
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