Female-specific role of ciliary neurotrophic factor in the medial amygdala in promoting stress responses
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**ABSTRACT**

Ciliary neurotrophic factor (CNTF) is produced by astrocytes which have been implicated in regulating stress responses. We found that CNTF in the medial amygdala (MeA) promotes despair or passive coping, i.e., immobility in an acute forced swim test, in female mice, while having no effect in males. Neutralizing CNTF antibody injected into the MeA of wildtype females reduced activation of downstream STAT3 (Y705) 24 and 48 h later. In concert, the antibody reduced immobility in the swim test in females and only after MeA injection, but not when injected in the central or basolateral amygdala. Antibody injected into the male MeA did not affect immobility. These data reveal a unique role of CNTF in female MeA in promoting despair or passive coping behavior. Moreover, 4 weeks of chronic unpredictable stress (CUS) increased immobility in the swim test and reduced sucrose preference in wildtype CNTF+/+, but not CNTF−/− littermate, females. In males, the CUS effects were present in both genotypes. Further, CUS increased CNTF expression in the MeA of female, but not male, mice. CUS did not alter CNTF in the female hippocampus, hypothalamus and bed nucleus of stria terminalis. This suggests that MeA CNTF has a female-specific role in promoting CUS-induced despair or passive coping, behavioral anhedonia and neuroendocrine responses. Compared to CNTF+/+ mice, CNTF−/− mice did not show differences in CUS-induced anxiety-like behavior and sensorimotor gating function as measured by elevated T-Maze, open field and pre-pulse inhibition of the acoustic startle response. Together, this study reveals a novel CNTF-mediated female-specific mechanism in stress responses and points to opportunities for developing treatments for stress-related disorders in women.

1. Introduction

Chronic stress is a potent triggering factor for numerous mental disorders, including depression and post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) (Chrousos, 2009; McEwen, 2007). The dysfunction of stress responses is linked to these disorders (Deussing and Chen, 2018; Godoy et al., 2018). Women are much more susceptible to these disorders than men, possibly due to more robust responses to stress (Chrousos, 2009; McEwen, 2007; Rincon-Cortes et al., 2019) but the underlying mechanisms are not understood well.

Chronic stress enhances immobility in the forced swim test (Dunn and Swiergiel, 2008; Lam et al., 2018). The immobility response during the forced swim has been labeled as depression-like behavior or despair. Recent findings also recommend that acquired immobility is passive coping or adaptive behavior to an inescapable stressor, including the forced swim (Commons et al., 2017; de Kloet and Molendijk, 2016; Molendijk and de Kloet, 2015). Chronic stress also increases anhedonia, i.e., a reduced capacity to experience pleasure (Stanton et al., 2019), and hypothalamus-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) response (Deussing and Chen, 2018). These stress responses are positively associated with stress-related mental disorders, such as depression and PTSD (Deussing and Chen, 2018; Godoy et al., 2018). Importantly, sex differences have
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been found in these stress responses and females have more robust responses than males (Kokras and Dalla, 2014; Xing et al., 2013). Identifying the sex-specific mechanisms underlying these responses will provide novel therapeutic targets for developing treatments for stress-related mental disorders specifically for women or men.

Ciliary neurotrophic factor (CNTF) is a member of the interleukin-6 (IL-6) cytokine family and expressed almost exclusively in the nervous system (Stockli et al., 1989). In the central nervous system, CNTF is produced by astrocytes and increased following injury (Kang et al., 2013; Yang et al., 2008). CNTF increases adult neurogenesis (Emley and Hagg, 2003; Jia et al., 2018; Yang et al., 2008) and promotes neuronal survival (Hagg et al., 1992; Hagg and Varon, 1993; Kang et al., 2013). CNTF acts through the CNTF-specific receptor, CNTFRα, expressed by neurons (Ip et al., 1995). Astrocytes are essential in regulating stress responses by downregulating gap-junction coupling and impairing glutamate uptake and transmission (Murphy-Royal et al., 2019). Stress responses help to maintain homeostasis by regulating multiple neural circuits and effector molecules in the brain (Deusing and Chen, 2018). A recent study shows that chronic intermittent cold stress reduces CNTF in the rat orbitofrontal cortex, which leads to reversal learning deficit (Girotti et al., 2019), suggesting a contribution of CNTF in stress response. We previously identified a striking sex-specific effect of CNTF on immobility in the forced swim in mice. CNTF promotes immobility in female mice while reducing it in males (Jia et al., 2019). The switch from active (swim) to passive (immobility) coping is controlled by a top-down pathway in the brain, i.e., the medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC), via the bed nucleus of stria terminals (BNST), connects to the periaqueductal grey (PAG) (Molendijk and de Kloet, 2019; Molendijk and de Kloet, 2021). Other brain areas, including the amygdala, modulate stress-coping response by projections into these nuclei (Molendijk and de Kloet, 2019; Molendijk and de Kloet, 2021). Female mice have higher levels of CNTF in the amygdala, but not the cortex, hippocampus or hypothalamic PVN, than males (Jia et al., 2019), suggesting that the CNTF effect on immobility may be through mechanism(s) in the amygdala.

Here, we first defined the region-specific effect of CNTF within the amygdala on the immobility responses to inescapable swim stress, using CNTF antibody injections into the subnuclei of the amygdala. Secondly, we determined the role of CNTF in chronic stress responses in females vs. males, using wildtype and knockout mice.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Animals

A total of 455 mice were used. The CNTF+/- and CNTF-/- mice (Valenzuela et al., 2003) are on a C57 background and were backcrossed to JAX C57BL/6 mice ten times (=99.9% C57BL/6). Genotyping protocol was provided by Regeneron Pharmaceuticals who provided the original breeders. We bred CNTF heterozygous mice to produce sex-matched littermates and experiments started when they were 6-8 weeks old. All mice were housed with food and water available ad libitum, and maintained on a 12 h light/12 h dark cycle. All animal procedures were approved by the East Tennessee State University Committee, which is consistent with the NIH Guide on Care and Use of Animals.

2.2. Chronic unpredictable stress (CUS)

CUS included environmental and social stress without food and water deprivation and nociceptive events. There were two groups: CUS and control handling group. For mice in the CUS group, CUS was implemented for 4 weeks using a previously published protocol with minor modifications (Hu et al., 2012; Papadopoulou et al., 2015; Willner, 2005). Briefly, mice were subjected to seven pairs of stressors with one pair each day. Day 1: 1 h on an orbital shaker (100 rpm) followed by 12 h damp bedding. Day 2: 1 h immobilization in 50 ml Falcon tube followed by 12 h in a tilted cage (45°). Day 3: 1 h exposure to overcrowding by placing four to five mice in a plastic box (10 × 10 × 5 cm) with ventilation holes followed by 1 h cage shaking. Day 4: 1 h immobilization followed by 12 h tilted cage. Day 5: 1 h exposure to overcrowding followed by 12 h damp bedding. Day 6: 1 h cage shaking followed by 12 h tilted cage. Day 7: 1 h immobilization followed by 24 h light on (no dark period). The same cycle was repeated for 4 weeks. Control mice were handled in the morning of every day while the CUS was performed.

2.3. Behavioral analyses

Behavioral tests were conducted 1–3 days after the termination of CUS. All behavioral tests were conducted from 10 a.m. to 12 p.m. during the light phase. To attempt to minimize the order or carryover effect of multiple behavior tests, we used three different cohorts of mice (Supplemental Fig. 1). Each cohort was given one or three tests that would unlikely produce a carryover or order effect, although we concede this may not have been completely eliminated. One cohort of mice was tested on sucrose preference, forced swim and open field. The second cohort of mice was tested on the elevated T-maze. The third cohort of mice was tested on pre-pulse inhibition of the acoustic startle response. The wire hanging test was performed in non-stressed naïve mice.

2.3.1 Forced swim test, as we used previously (Jia et al., 2019), was performed in a circular pool of water (23–25 °C). All mice were tested in a single 6 min trial with the last 4 min used for data analysis. The duration of immobility in seconds were recorded using AnyMaze behavioral scanning software (Stoelting Co., Wood Dale, IL). Immobility was defined as the cessation of all movements except those necessary to stay floating.

2.3.2 Sucrose preference test. Behavioral anhedonia was measured by sucrose preference (Higuchi et al., 2016). Briefly, mice were subjected to water deprivation for 16 h, and then two pre-weighed bottles with one containing tap water and the other one containing 1% sucrose solution were presented for 90 min. The positions of water and sucrose bottles (left and right) were switched every 30 min. The bottles were weighed during each position switch and at the end of test. The weight differences during the last 60 min were used to calculate the volume intake from each bottle. The sucrose preference was expressed as a percentage of sucrose intake relative to the total liquid (sucrose + water) intake.

2.3.3 Open field test measures locomotor function that served as a control for possible motor deficits that might confound performance on other behavioral tests and performed as we described previously (Jia et al., 2019). The test was conducted in a square white Plexiglas arena and locomotor activity was recorded using a digital camera mounted above the arena. The session was 10 min and a digital grid was superimposed on the box floor and activity was monitored by AnyMaze software. The distance traveled for each mouse was recorded in meter (m). Further, times spent in the center vs. peripheral area were also used to assess anxiety-like behavior.

2.3.4 Wire hanging test measures global muscle function and coordination (Olivan et al., 2015). Briefly, each mouse was placed on a wire cage top over the home cage. Then the cage top was inverted and suspended above the home cage. The latency for the mouse to fall into the home cage was recorded. Each mouse had three trials (a maximum of 5 min per trial) per day and was tested for 3 days. The average latency to fall was calculated.

2.3.5 Elevated T-Maze test was conducted as we did previously (Jia et al., 2019) to measure anxiety-like behavior. There were a total of four trials. The first three trials were placing the mouse into the closed arm and recording the latency to leave the walled area to enter the open arm. The first trial is considered habituation, and trial 2 and 3 were scored as acquisition trials. The last trial was an escape trial by placing the mouse on either end of the open arms and recording the latency to enter the closed arm.
2.3.6 Pre-pulse inhibition of the acoustic startle response measures sensorimotor gating function (Shelton et al., 2021). There were three PPI chambers (Kinder Scientific, Poway, CA) and each mouse was tested in the same chamber on each day. Each daily session began with a 5-min habituation period with only the background noise (70 dB) present. After this habituation was complete, animals were subjected to three different, randomly assigned trial types, which included pulse, prepulse, and no stimulus trials. The pulse trial was a 120 decibel (dB) startle pulse administered by itself. The prepulse trial was an auditory stimulus that was either 3, 6, or 12 dB above the 70-dB background noise. The no stimulus trial was when a stimulus was not provided. A total of 5 pulse, 5 no stimulus, and 15 prepulse trials (5 trials of each 73, 76, and 82 dB) were presented in each session. The animal response was recorded and measured in Newtons within a 250-ms window immediately following stimulus presentation through a computer interface. Animals were tested for three consecutive days. The intertrial interval given on each trial averaged 15 s. PPI was calculated using the following equation:

\[
\text{PPI} = \left(\frac{\text{mean prepulse response} - \text{mean pulse Response}}{\text{mean prepulse response}}\right) \times 100
\]

2.4. Stereotaxic intra-amygdala injections

Intracerebral stereotaxic injections were performed similarly to past procedures in our laboratory (Jia et al., 2018, 2020). Briefly, following anesthesia with an i.p. injection of Avertin (1 p.g/kg), the mouse was placed into Kopf stereotaxic apparatus using ear bars. A total of 0.3 μl of purified goat IgG (1 μg/μl, PP40, EMD Millipore, RRID: AB_978377) or goat anti-mouse/rat CNTF neutralizing IgG antibody (1 μg/μl, AB-557-NA, R & D Systems, RRID: AB_354368, (Yang et al., 2008)) was bilaterally injected using a 26 gauge Hamilton syringe. Volumes of 0.35–1 μl have been used for intra-MeA injections and do not result in cell loss or tissue damage (Shemesh et al., 2016). The injection was made over 3 minutes, with 2 minutes waiting periods before and after to reduce backflow. The stereotaxic coordinates from Bregma were AP = –1.7 mm, ML = ±2.25 mm and DV = –5.3 mm for the medial amygdala, MeA (Shemesh et al., 2016); AP = –1.2 mm, ML = ±2.5 mm and DV = –4.6 mm for the central amygdala, CeA (Beckerman and Glass, 2012), and AP = –1.4 mm, ML = ±3.3 mm and DV = –5.0 mm for the basolateral amygdala, BLA (Heldt and Ressler, 2010).

2.5. Tissue collection

Mice were briefly anesthetized with 4% isoflurane for 0.5 min. After rapid decapitation by guillotine, trunk blood was collected using EDTA-coated microcapillary blood collection tubes (cat# 07–6011, RAM Scientific) and centrifuged at 3000 g for 20 minutes at 4 °C. The plasma was stored at –80 °C. Blood collection was in the afternoon between 1 and 3 pm, which precludes any time-dependent dynamics of corticosterone secreted in the blood. Brain sections were collected from each mouse and stored at –80 °C. The MeA, hypothalamic PVN and hippocampus were punched out from 700 μm thick coronal brain cryostat sections from Bregma –1.2 to –1.9 (Jia et al., 2019). The BNST was punched out from a 500 μm thick coronal brain cryostat sections from Bregma 0.0 to –0.5. All samples were stored at –80 °C for mRNA and protein analysis.

2.6. RT-qPCR, Western blotting, immunostaining and ELISA

RT-qPCR and Western blotting were performed, as we did previously (Jia et al., 2019). Primers from ThermoFisher Scientific included Mouse CNTF (Mm00446373-ml), LIF (Mm00434762-g1), IL-6 (Mm00446191-ml), TNF (Mm00443258_ml) and GAPDH (Mm99999915-ql). Data analysis was performed with ∆∆CT method and GAPDH was used as an endogenous loading control. The antibodies used in Western blots included CNTF antibody (MAB338, EMD Millipore, RRID: AB_2083064), phospho-STAT3-Tyr705 (pSTAT3Tyr705, #9131, Cell signaling, RRID: AB_331586), STAT3 (#9132, Cell Signaling, RRID: AB_823645), and β-actin (Cat# 4967, Cell Signaling, RRID: AB_330288). For fluorescence western blot, donkey anti-rabbit IRDye 800CW (926–32213, LI-COR) and anti-mouse IRDye 680RD (926–68072, LI-COR) secondary antibodies were used. For chemiluminescence western blot, HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies were used. Images were taken by Odyssey XF Imaging System (LI-COR) and quantified using Image Studio Ver 5.2 (LI-COR). Donkey anti-goat IgG-conjugated to Alexa Fluor-488 was used for immunostaining. The levels of plasma corticosterone were measured using ELISA kit (ab108821, Abcam).

2.7. Statistical analyses

Statistical significance was determined by p < 0.05 (GraphPad Prism 7.0). Two-tailed student t tests were performed when two groups were compared. A one-way or two-way ANOVA was applied when there were three or more groups to test one factor or two factors, such as genotypes and treatments. The Newman-Keuls test was used for post hoc multiple comparisons as appropriate. Data are presented as mean ± SEM.

3. Results

3.1. CNTF in the MeA promotes despair or passive coping behavior in female but not male mice

To determine region-specific CNTF effects within the amygdala, IgG or CNTF neutralizing antibody was stereotaxically injected into the MeA, BLA or CeA of female and male C57BL/6 mice. At 24 and 48 h after intra-MeA CNTF antibody in females, pSTAT3Ser725, which is downstream of CNTF, was reduced in extracts of the MeA by 82% and 69%, respectively (Fig. 1A, F2(1, 13) = 5.093, p = 0.023, one-way ANOVA), confirming the efficacy of the antibody. Injected IgG in the MeA, BLA or CeA was validated by immunostaining for IgG in purified IgG-injected mice (Fig. 1B, Supplemental Fig. 2). After antibody injection, the females and males were tested in the forced swim test at 24 h and in the open field test at 48 h. Neutralizing CNTF in the MeA reduced the immobility time in the forced swim test by 50% in females (Fig. 1C, t12 = 2.226, p = 0.044), while not producing any effect in males, suggesting a female-specific effect of MeA CNTF on promoting despair or passive coping behavior. This sex-specific CNTF effect did not attribute to changes in motor function since there was no effect of CNTF antibody on spontaneous locomotor activity tested in the open field in either male or female mice (Fig. 1D). Injection of CNTF antibody into the BLA (Fig. 1E) or CeA (Fig. 1F) of female mice did not affect immobility time in the forced swim test and locomotor activity in the open field, suggesting that CNTF in these areas are not involved in the behavioral response to an acute stressor. Collectively, these data indicate that CNTF in the MeA has a female-specific effect on promoting despair or passive coping behavior to inescapable acute stress.

3.2. Knockout of CNTF in female but not male mice blocks chronic stress-induced despair or passive coping, behavioral anhedonia and neuroendocrine response

After 4 weeks CUS both female and male CNTF−/− and CNTF+/+ mice weighed less than control mice handled daily, without genotype differences (Supplemental Fig. 3), confirming the CUS effect. The forced swim test was performed at 24 h after termination of control handling or CUS to measure immobility (Fig. 2A). In female mice, a two-way ANOVA showed significant main effects of CUS (F1, 36 = 5.818, p = 0.021) and genotype (F1, 36 = 20.57, p < 0.0001). Post hoc comparisons revealed that CUS increased the immobility time in CNTF+/+ mice by 45%, but did not affect CNTF−/− females. Further, CNTF−/− females had longer immobility time than CNTF−/− littermates in control-handled mice, which is consistent with our previous study (Jia et al., 2019), and in the
CUS-treated group. These data indicate that a lack of CNTF in female mice blocks chronic stress-induced despair or passive coping to an inescapable acute stressor. In male mice, a two-way ANOVA also demonstrated significant main effects of CUS ($F_{(1, 40)} = 4.724, p = 0.036$) and genotype ($F_{(1, 40)} = 10.06, p = 0.003$). Post hoc comparisons demonstrated that knockout of CNTF increased immobility time in both control- and CUS-treated mice. These data suggest that CNTF knockout increases despair or passive coping in males, which is consistent with previous data (Jia et al., 2019), and CUS has an overall promoting effect on it. Neither CUS nor CNTF knockout altered locomotor function tested in an open field at 48 h after termination of control handling or CUS (Fig. 2B), suggesting that the effects on immobility were not due to motor deficits. Further, CNTF+/+ and CNTF−/− mice had similar muscle strength and coordination measured in a wire hanging test in naïve 10–14 week old female and male mice. N = 12,9 females and 9,5 males.
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19.64, \( p < 0.0001 \)). CUS reduced sucrose preference in both CNTF\(+/+) +\) and CNTF\(--/--)--\) mice without genotype difference, suggesting CNTF does not affect chronic stress-induced anhedonia in males.

To exclude the potential compensatory effects on receptor or cyto-
kines related to CNTF, we measured the mRNA levels of CNTF receptor,\( \text{CNTF} \) and CNTF\(+\) knockouts also had no effect on LIF and IL-6 in the hypothalamic PVN, hippocampus and BNST (Supplemental Figs. 4C and E).

Plasma corticosterone levels were measured at 24 h after the termination of control handling or CUS, and in CUS mice challenged with 10 min of immobilization. Two-way ANOVA analyses showed a main effect of treatment (control vs. CUS vs. CUS + immobilization challenge) in both female \( (F_{2, 40} = 8.757, \ p = 0.0007) \) and male \( (F_{2, 41} = 40.94, \ p < 0.0001) \) mice. There were no genotype differences in the basal levels of corticosterone in either control- and CUS-treated mice of both sexes. An acute challenge of 10 min of restraint stress increased corticosterone (more than 2-fold) in CNTF\(+/+) +\) and CNTF\(--/--)--\) mice without genotype difference (Fig. 5B). Together, these data indicate that CNTF knockouts reduce the neuroendocrine response following chronic stress only in females.

3.3. Knockout of CNTF does not affect anxiety-like behavior and sensorimotor gating function in both sexes

We measured anxiety-like behavior at 24 and 48 h after control handling or CUS in CNTF\(+/+) +\) and CNTF\(--/--)--\) mice using elevated T maze and open field tests, respectively. The elevated T-maze test measures the conflict anxiety and panic-like escape behavior using latency to enter and escape open arm (Donner and Lowry, 2013). CUS increased latency to enter the open arm (Fig. 5A) in both females and males 3–5 fold without genotype differences. Two-way ANOVA analyses revealed main effects of CUS \( (F_{1, 32} = 19.19, \ p < 0.0001) \) in females and \( F_{1, 32} = 17.69, \ p = 0.0002 \) in males. CUS also had an overall effect on reducing latency to escape open arm (Fig. 5B) in both sexes \( (F_{1, 30} = 6.024, \ p = 0.019) \) in females and \( F_{1, 32} = 4.584, \ p = 0.040 \) in males. We also assessed anxiety-like behavior by measuring times spent in the center vs. peripheral area in the open field test. Both CUS-treated females and males spent less time in the center area of the open arena (Fig. 5C) and consequently longer time in the peripheral area (Fig. 5D) than their respective control-handled mice and no genotype differences were found. Two-way ANOVA analyses demonstrated main effects of CUS at \( F_{1, 32} = 19.53, \ p < 0.0001 \) in females and \( F_{1, 32} = 17.69, \ p = 0.0002 \) in males. Together, these data indicate that chronic stress promotes anxiety-like behavior in both sexes but that CNTF has no effect under physiological conditions, consistent with our previous study (Jia et al., 2019), or following chronic stress.

Sensorimotor gating function was assessed by pre-pulse inhibition for three consecutive days after control handling or CUS. In female mice (Fig. 6A), CUS did not alter pre-pulse inhibition nor the acoustic startle response in both genotypes. In male mice (Fig. 6B), CUS increased pre-pulse inhibition without genotype differences. CUS did not alter the startle response in males, either. Two-way ANOVA analyses revealed main effects of CUS at 73, 76 and 83 DB \( (F_{1, 40} = 8.822, \ p = 0.005) \) in males and \( F_{1, 32} = 53.67 \) and \( 25.20, \ p = 0.005 \) in females and \( p < 0.0001 \) and \( p < 0.0001 \)). These data suggest that chronic stress improves sensorimotor gating function in males, but not through CNTF.

3.4. Chronic stress upregulates CNTF expression in female but not male MeA

Next, we determined the effect of chronic stress on CNTF expression in the MeA using the CNTF\(+/+) +\) mice shown in Fig. 4 that did not express CNTF\(+\) in both females and males without genotype differences. Two-way ANOVA analyses revealed main effects of CUS \( (F_{1, 36} = 17.75, \ p < 0.0001) \) in females and \( F_{1, 36} = 19.19, \ p = 0.0002 \) in males. CUS also had an overall effect on reducing latency to escape open arm (Fig. 5B) in both sexes \( (F_{1, 30} = 6.024, \ p = 0.019) \) in females and \( F_{1, 32} = 4.584, \ p = 0.040 \) in males. We also assessed anxiety-like behavior by measuring times spent in the center vs. peripheral area in the open field test. Both CUS-treated females and males spent less time in the center area of the open arena (Fig. 5C) and consequently longer time in the peripheral area (Fig. 5D) than their respective control-handled mice and no genotype differences were found. Two-way ANOVA analyses demonstrated main effects of CUS at \( F_{1, 32} = 19.53, \ p < 0.0001 \) in females and \( F_{1, 32} = 17.69, \ p = 0.0002 \) in males. Together, these data indicate that chronic stress promotes anxiety-like behavior in both sexes but that CNTF has no effect under physiological conditions, consistent with our previous study (Jia et al., 2019), or following chronic stress.

Sensorimotor gating function was assessed by pre-pulse inhibition for three consecutive days after control handling or CUS. In female mice (Fig. 6A), CUS did not alter pre-pulse inhibition nor the acoustic startle response in both genotypes. In male mice (Fig. 6B), CUS increased pre-pulse inhibition without genotype differences. CUS did not alter the startle response in males, either. Two-way ANOVA analyses revealed main effects of CUS at 73, 76 and 83 DB \( (F_{1, 40} = 8.822, \ p = 0.005) \) in males and \( F_{1, 32} = 53.67 \) and \( 25.20, \ p = 0.005 \) in females and \( p < 0.0001 \) and \( p < 0.0001 \)). These data suggest that chronic stress improves sensorimotor gating function in males, but not through CNTF.

Next, we determined the effect of chronic stress on CNTF expression in the MeA using the CNTF\(+/+) +\) mice shown in Fig. 4 that did not express CNTF\(+\) in both females and males without genotype differences. Two-way ANOVA analyses revealed main effects of CUS \( (F_{1, 36} = 17.75, \ p < 0.0001) \) in females and \( F_{1, 36} = 19.19, \ p = 0.0002 \) in males. CUS also had an overall effect on reducing latency to escape open arm (Fig. 5B) in both sexes \( (F_{1, 30} = 6.024, \ p = 0.019) \) in females and \( F_{1, 32} = 4.584, \ p = 0.040 \) in males. We also assessed anxiety-like behavior by measuring times spent in the center vs. peripheral area in the open field test. Both CUS-treated females and males spent less time in the center area of the open arena (Fig. 5C) and consequently longer time in the peripheral area (Fig. 5D) than their respective control-handled mice and no genotype differences were found. Two-way ANOVA analyses demonstrated main effects of CUS at \( F_{1, 32} = 19.53, \ p < 0.0001 \) in females and \( F_{1, 32} = 17.69, \ p = 0.0002 \) in males. Together, these data indicate that chronic stress promotes anxiety-like behavior in both sexes but that CNTF has no effect under physiological conditions, consistent with our previous study (Jia et al., 2019), or following chronic stress.

Sensorimotor gating function was assessed by pre-pulse inhibition for three consecutive days after control handling or CUS. In female mice (Fig. 6A), CUS did not alter pre-pulse inhibition nor the acoustic startle response in both genotypes. In male mice (Fig. 6B), CUS increased pre-pulse inhibition without genotype differences. CUS did not alter the startle response in males, either. Two-way ANOVA analyses revealed main effects of CUS at 73, 76 and 83 DB \( (F_{1, 40} = 8.822, \ p = 0.005) \) in males and \( F_{1, 32} = 53.67 \) and \( 25.20, \ p = 0.005 \) in females and \( p < 0.0001 \) and \( p < 0.0001 \)). These data suggest that chronic stress improves sensorimotor gating function in males, but not through CNTF.
undergo restraint stress. In females, CUS increased CNTF mRNA in the MeA by 70% ($t_{(13)} = 2.552, p = 0.024$) and protein by 2.4 fold ($t_{(8)} = 3.459, p = 0.008$, Fig. 7 A). CUS did not affect mRNA levels of CNTFRα, or CNTF-related cytokines IL-6 and LIF, or pro-inflammatory tumor necrosis factor (TNF) (Fig. 7 B). CUS did not alter CNTF mRNA levels in the hypothalamic PVN, hippocampus and BNST of the same females (Fig. 7 C). In males, CUS did not change CNTF, CNTFRα, IL-6 and TNF, while increasing LIF mRNA in the MeA (Fig. 7 D, $t_{(9)} = 2.876, p = 0.018$). Together, these data suggest that chronic stress has an effect in females, but not in males, on CNTF expression in the MeA, which may contribute to chronic stress-induced passive coping behavior in females.

4. Discussion

This study, for the first time, reveals a female-specific role of CNTF specifically in the MeA to increase despair or passive coping behavior in response to inescapable acute stress. Importantly, our data suggest that, in females, CNTF also increases chronic stress-induced despair or passive coping, anhedonia, and corticosterone response, which could be mediated in part by the MeA. Increased stress responses are associated with
stress-related mental disorders, including depression and PTSD (Deussing and Chen, 2018; Godoy et al., 2018). The female-specific CNTF mechanism provides a novel therapeutic target for developing treatments for women with these disorders, which affect them more severely than men.

4.1. Female-specific role of CNTF in the MeA in despair or passive coping to acute inescapable stress

Sex differences have been reported in rodent forced swim tests (Kokras et al., 2015; Kokras and Dalla, 2017). Several studies found that females displayed higher levels of immobility than male controls (Dalla et al., 2008; Drossopoulou et al., 2004; Hong et al., 2012; Kokras et al., 2012; Leusis and Andersen, 2008; Li et al., 2015; Pitychoutsis et al., 2009; Tonelli et al., 2008), whereas others reported opposite results or no sex differences (Andrade et al., 2007; Brotto et al., 2000; Brummelte et al., 2006; Fonken et al., 2016; Martinez-Mota et al., 2011). These could be due to different species (rat vs. mouse) and different mouse strains (Dalla et al., 2010; Voikar et al., 2001). In CNTF mice who have a C57 background, we found that female mice display higher levels of immobility than males and, importantly, that CNTF+−/− females have reduced immobility compared to wildtype CNTF+/+ littermates (Jia et al., 2019). This suggests that CNTF plays an important role in despair or passive coping behavior and this role is sex-specific.

Fig. 7. Chronic stress upregulates CNTF expression in female, but not male, MeA. Female and male wildtype CNTF+/+ mice treated with 4 weeks of control handling or CUS, without subsequent restraint, in Fig. 4 were used to measure gene expression. A) In the female MeA, CUS increased CNTF mRNA measured by RT-qPCR and protein by densitometry of Western blots. B) CUS did not alter CNTFRα, IL-6, LIF and TNF mRNA in the female MeA. N = 5,10 mice for mRNA analysis and N = 5 mice/group for protein analysis. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01 (Two-tailed t-test). C) CUS did not affect CNTF mRNA expression in the hypothalamic PVN (Hyp), hippocampus (Hip) and BNST of the same females. N = 5,5 mice. D) In the male MeA, CUS had no effect on CNTF, CNTFRα, IL-6 or TNF, but increased LIF mRNA expression. N = 6,7 mice. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01 (Two-tailed t-test).
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in the MeA of female mice may modulate its input on the BNST to promote passive coping behavior.

CNTF binding of CNTFRα on neurons (Ip et al., 1993) maintains their excitability in the locus coeruleus and acute stress-induced CNTF release into the 3rd ventricle affects neuronal excitation in the prefrontal cortex (Alpar et al., 2018), suggesting that CNTF can sustain neural activity. Our data show that neutralization of CNTF in the MeA of females reduced passive coping already one day later, suggesting that CNTF constantly regulates the mPFC-BNST-PAG pathway. Surprisingly, neutralization of CNTF in the other amygdala nuclei, BLA or CeA, did not affect passive coping behavior. It would suggest that there are regional differences in CNTF expression or that these nuclei differ in their innervation of the BNST. The BLA primarily sends excitatory and the CeA primarily sends inhibitory projections to the BNST to modulate fear, anxiety and addiction-related behaviors (Lebow and Chen, 2016; Phelps and LeDoux, 2005; Stamatakis et al., 2014). The MeA projects both excitatory and inhibitory inputs to the BNST to mediate sociosexual behavior (Lebow and Chen, 2016; Miller et al., 2019; Nordman et al., 2020). Enhancement of inhibitory input from the MeA would decrease BNST GABAergic inhibition of the PAG, favoring passive stress-coping. It is possible that neutralizing CNTF in the CeA was ineffective due to the counteracting effect of additional direct inhibitory projections from the CeA to the PAG (Hopkins and Holstege, 1978). It remains to be determined whether and which projections from the MeA to the BNST are involved.

Another potential mechanism underlying the effect of CNTF on MeA-mediated passive coping could be via urocortin-3 expressing MeA neurons projecting to the BNST (Deussing et al., 2010). Urocortins are neuropeptides belonging to corticotropin releasing factor (CRF) family, present in the MeA and mediate stress responses (Deussing and Chen, 2018). The majority of BNST neurons that express urocortin-3 receptors (CRF-R2) are GABAergic (Hencens et al., 2017; Shemesh et al., 2016). CNTF can regulate urocortin-1 expression in hypothalamic neurons in vitro (Purser et al., 2013). Whether CNTF regulates urocortin-3 in the MeA remains to be determined.
In contrast to female mice, neutralization of CNTF in the MeA did not affect immobility in males and is consistent with our finding that male CNTF knockout does not have reduced immobility in the forced swim test. Both estrogen and progesterone mitigate passive stress-coping behavior in rodent models by modulating neurotransmission and increasing hippocampal BDNF (Ouama et al., 2005; Frye, 2011). We have shown that progesterone but not estrogen inhibits CNTF expression in astroglia C6 cells, a cell model of astrocyte (Jia et al., 2019). Further, progesterone alleviated ovariectomy-induced passive stress-coping behavior in mice through inhibiting CNTF in the amygdala. Progesterone receptors are enriched in the MeA (Brinton et al., 2008). Thus, progesterone may play a role in the female-specific effect of MeA CNTF on passive stress-coping.

### 4.2. Female-specific detrimental role of CNTF in chronic stress responses

Chronic stress increases despair or passive coping to acute inescapable stressors (Dunn and Swiergiel, 2006; Lam et al., 2018), which we also found in CNTF+/+ mice. Chronic uncontrollable stress attenuates excitatory output from the mPFC (McKlveen et al., 2016), increasing passive coping through reduced BNST inhibition of the PAG. CUS could act through a similar mechanism. CUS did not increase immobility in female CNTF+/− mice but did so in males, suggesting that CNTF increases chronic stress-induced passive coping only in females. The CNTF effects were not due to motor deficits that have been reported in 28 week old CNTF−/− mice (Masu et al., 1993), probably because we used 10–14 week old mice. Chronic stress also causes anhedonia, a reduced capacity to experience pleasure (Stanton et al., 2019). Our data are consistent with others (Kokras and Dalla, 2014; Xing et al., 2013) that the decrease in sucrose intake was greater in females than males. Knockout of CNTF increases sucrose preference (Jia et al., 2019) and blocked the CUS-induced reduction of sucrose preference in female but not male mice, indicating a sex-specific role of CNTF in behavioral anhedonia.

The hypothalamus-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis is widely used to measure stress response (Kajantie and Phillips, 2006; Kudielka and Kirshbaum, 2005) and has marked sex differences. Men have higher adrenocorticotrophic hormone (ACTH) levels than women, but cortisol levels are comparable (Roelfsema et al., 1993), suggesting a high sensitivity of the adrenal cortex in women. Female rodents have higher levels of basal and stress-induced ACTH and corticosterone than males (Elliott and Richardson, 2016; Verma et al., 2011). Our CUS-treated wildtype CNTF+/+ female mice also had higher corticosterone levels than males (135.5 ± 18.48 vs. 70.26 ± 18.88, p = 0.03, two-tailed t-test). Moreover, restraint stress-induced corticosterone following CUS was absent in female but not male CNTF−/− mice, suggesting that CNTF promotes the neuroendocrine response in females only. A 5 min isoflurane anesthesia increases plasma corticosterone in female rats only (Bekhbhat et al., 2016) even though it does not affect immediate early and stress-associated genes in rat brains of both sexes (Bekhbhat et al., 2016; Hamaya et al., 2000; Wu et al., 2015). This suggests that females have greater HPA activity (Elliott and Richardson, 2016; Verma et al., 2011). Our isoflurane anesthesia was for only half a min making it less to contribute to the CNTF effect in the female corticosterone response. This is also supported by finding no differences in corticosterone levels between CNTF+/+ and CNTF−/− mice without restraint stress.

Together, our antibody and CNTF knockout data suggest that MeA CNTF is a key female-specific regulator of chronic stress-induced despair or passive coping. Our knockout data also suggest an involvement in chronic stress-induced anhedonia and neuroendocrine responses in females though we cannot rule out its role in other brain areas. CUS did not alter CNTF in stress-related brain areas, including the PAG, hippocampus and BNST. The PVN directly controls neuroendocrine responses suggesting the involvement of MeA-PVN and/or MeA-BNST-PVN circuits (Bradley and Sawchenko, 2011). Activation of MeA neurons triggers dopamine release in the nucleus accumbens, suggesting that CNTF in the MeA regulates the function of reward circuitry that is closely linked to anhedonia. Others have found that chronic intermittent cold stress reduces CNTF in rat orbitofrontal cortex of both sexes, which leads to reversal learning deficit (Girotti et al., 2019). The apparent discrepancy with our finding that stress increases CNTF in females could be due to the paradigm of stress, brain areas, and/or endpoint measurements. Indeed, CNTF has no effects on anxiety-like behavior, measured by two commonly used assays, elevated T maze and open field tests, or on sensorimotor gating function, measured by pre-pulse inhibition. A single study using only female mice showed that CNTF−/− mice displayed increased startle response and pre-pulse inhibition and had motor deficits at 8–15 weeks of age tested in the dark phase (Peruga et al., 2012). These discrepancies with our findings could be due to either a carryover effect of the battery of behavioral tests they performed (McIlwain et al., 2001) and/or circadian rhythms (Benstaali et al., 2001; Fodor et al., 2016). Whether CNTF affects diurnal rhythms is unknown. Fonken et al. reported that microRNA-155 deletion reduced passive coping and anxiety-like behavior as well as increased sucrose preference in both sexes, in concert with increased CNTF in the hippocampus of female mice only (Fonken et al., 2016). This would suggest that CNTF in the hippocampus is not directly involved in the sex-specific effect, which is in line with our previous study showing that there is no sex-specific difference in hippocampal CNTF expression and that ovariectomy increases despair or passive coping without changing hippocampal CNTF (Jia et al., 2019) and our current data that chronic stress did not alter CNTF expression in the hippocampus of female mice. Chronic stress prolongs the estrous cycle in rats by exhibiting an extended diestrus (Fu et al., 2018). Thus, the low level of progesterone in the diestrus phase (Jenkins et al., 2001) may also contribute to CNTF expression in the MeA, which remains to be investigated.

### 5. Conclusion

Together, this study reveals a novel CNTF-mediated female-specific mechanism in stress responses and points to opportunities for female-specific treatments for stress-related disorders, e.g., inhibitors of CNTF expression or CNTFRα antagonists.
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