A matrix metalloproteinase activation probe for painting human tumours†
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A probe that allows specific ‘painting’ of human tumours is described. Probe activation was mediated by specific matrix metalloproteinases, resulting not only in disruption of a FRET pair, but in the generation of a fragment that “fluorescently paints” human tumours. This probe demonstrated rapid and effective human tumour labelling with the potential to allow margin detection during surgical resection.

The concept of tissue-based fluorescent labelling has gained attention as a method for identification of diseased tissue margins during intra-operative cancer surgery, made possible with key advances in imaging instrumentation. Pivotal to this has been the development of fluorescent probes which provide disease-mediated contrast. Approved fluorescent contrast agents in this area include compounds such as 5-aminolevulinic acid which is selectively up-taken and metabolized by cancerous tissues to generate protoporphyrin IX. Other optical agents in clinical studies include labelled nanobodies, peptides, such as chlorotoxin (tozuleristide) which is currently in phase II/III studies for pediatric CNS malignancies. Here we report on the rational design, synthesis and evaluation on human cancerous tissue of a novel MMP-imaging agent (3) which allowed MMP-mediated ‘painting’ of resected human tumour tissue.

The designed probe (3) contained both a FRET pair between 5-carboxyfluorescein (FAM) and the quencher methyl red reporting on enzyme activity, as well as the incorporation of an ‘always-on’ far-red fluorophore (an in-house synthesized Cy5.5, 5-carboxyfluorescein and methyl red were sequentially incorporated at the amino terminus of the peptide, on the Lys side chain (after Dde deprotection) and conjugated to the parent compound (Fig. 1a).

The peptide sequence (Pro-Phe-Gly-Nle-Lys-βAla, previously reported as MMP-2,9,13 substrate) was synthesized by Fmoc solid-phase peptide synthesis on ChemMatrix resin using Oxyma/DIC as the coupling combination (Scheme 1). At the carboxy-terminus of the peptide, three replicates of bis-ethylendiglycol and β-lysine were added to ensure both solubility and stability against proteases. As part of this strategy we developed a novel Cy5.5 red dye, that was readily prepared on large scale in 4 steps, and contained a (5-carboxypyridin-2-yl) group to allow ready incorporation via an amide bond to the peptide by solid phase methods (ESI† for details). The Cy5.5, 5-carboxyfluorescein and methyl red were sequentially incorporated at the amino terminus of the peptide, on the Lys side chain (after Dde deprotection) and conjugated to the Lys(Nε) residue via azide/alkyne cycloaddition respectively (Scheme 1 and ESI†). Probe 3 was purified and characterized by RP-HPLC and MALDI TOF MS and was fully aqueous soluble (logP = –1.5) (ESI† for details).

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Additional results, videos and experimental procedures. See DOI: 10.1039/d0cc03886e
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Target and off-target proteases were incubated with 3. Within 15 min, as anticipated, an increase in green fluorescence (FAM signal) was measurable from the probe incubated with the active domains of MMP-2, -9 and -13 (Fig. 1b and Fig. S1, ESI†), which was blockable in the presence of pan-MMP inhibitor marimastat. Probe 3 was stable against the off-target proteases (Fig. 1b), with cleavage by MMPs specifically at Gly Nle, as confirmed by MALDI TOF MS (Fig. S2, ESI†). Importantly the Cy5.5 residue did not interfere with fluorescence in the green channel following MMP activation, with data similar to the control compound 4 that contained FAM & methyl red only (see Fig. S3 and S4, ESI†). In solution the Cy5.5 fluorescence intensity remained constant before and after cleavage (Fig. 1b), demonstrating that its intensity was independent of the FRET pairing. HPLC analysis confirmed that the Cy5.5-fragment obtained after cleavage was much more hydrophobic than the other two components, with its retention factor shifting from $k = 4.2$ for parent compound 3, to $k = 8.0$ for the Cy5.5 fragment (Fig. 1c and e), while MMP-13 treatment of the peptide 3 in biphasic buffer/octanol led to migration of the cleaved Cy5.5-labelled peptide into the octanol phase (Fig. 1d and Fig. S5, ESI†) demonstrating the hydrophobicity “switch-on” upon cleavage.

3 (5 μM) was applied onto human lung tumour tissue, from three individual patients: two with squamous cell carcinoma and one with adenocarcinoma (MMPs presence within these tissues was confirmed by gelatin zymography, Fig. S6, ESI†) and imaged over 30 min (Fig. 2 and ESI† videos) with a fibre-based imaging...
system, at each time point 300 frames were collected over 10 s. Marimastat (50 μM) was pre-incubated with a separate slice of the same tissue sample to serve as a control. An increase in FAM fluorescence (green) was observed over time, which was reduced in the presence of marimastat. Importantly, whilst the green fluorescence increased by some 2-fold above tissue autofluorescence during the imaging procedure, the Cy5.5 (red) fluorescence on the tissue increased by more than 20-fold, differing significantly from the results seen in vitro, and attributable to the hydrophobic nature of the cleaved Cy5.5 fragment. Thus, during enzymatic cleavage, the released Cy5.5 component immediately ‘sticks’ to the tissue in the proximity of the MMP, thus acting as a ‘tumour paint’. By contrast, healthy tissue was interrogated by the same procedure and activation of probe 3 happened only after spiking the tissue with MMP-2 or MMP-13 (Fig. S7, ESI†).

The tumour painting ability was further demonstrated by inspection of a freshly excised lung tissue sample (Fig. 3a), which contained tumour (adenocarcinoma), macroscopically normal tissue and a transitional zone in-between within the single slice. Compound 3 (50 μM) was added to the slice of lung tissue and imaged after 40 min with a wide-field fluorescence microscope. This procedure enabled the full tissue to be examined and fluorescence to be collected at a higher resolution and from a larger field of view compared to the fibre based imaging. A clear increase in brightness was demonstrated in the Cy5.5 fluorescence channel (red) within the adenocarcinoma region (4.1 fold increase), compared to the adjacent normal tissue, with a moderate level of fluorescence (2.1 fold increase) detectable in the transition zone, thus demonstrating that 3 can be utilized to ‘paint’ tumour margins on freshly resected tissue. A tissue slice from the same sample was imaged without the addition of 3 (Fig. 3b). Autofluorescence in the Cy5.5 channel was akin to that seen within the macroscopically normal zone of the tissue treated with compound 3, demonstrating that the adenocarcinoma did not strongly autofluoresce within this spectral region, and that the cleaved Cy5.5 fragment enabled tumour margin delineation, with the localized activated probe adhering onto the tissue, circumventing diffusion and loss of the signal.

In conclusion, this highly water soluble MMP imaging probe allows ‘tumour painting’ and provides a quick, simple and robust method of identifying areas of high MMP activity, with utility both in vitro, in situ (real-time fibre based imaging) and ex vivo (microscopic imaging) scenarios. The method has the potential to guide intraoperative surgery via fluorescent ‘painting’ of tumour margins. Its highly-specific activation (inhibited by marimastat) leads to novel and highly desirable tissue staining characteristics and adherence to cancerous human tumour tissue. Our approach offers advantages over other protease-activatable probes which may diffuse away from the target site. Other benefits include the speed of probe activation, demonstrated with the fibre-based imaging, and the ability to topically apply the imaging agent.

**Scheme 1** Probe synthesis: compound 1 was prepared by SPPS on chemmatrix resin as detailed in ESI,† after cleavage from the resin it was reacted with methylred-alkyne (2) by CuAAC click chemistry. i) 2, CuSO4/ascorbate, tris(3-hydroxypropyltriazolylmethyl)amine, aminoguanidine, H2O, 30 °C, 5 h. FAM = 5-carboxyfluorescein, MR = methyl red (see ESI† for details and characterization).

**Fig. 2** Specific activation of 3 by cancerous human lung tumour tissue. Compound 3 (5 μM) was added to ex vivo human lung cancer tissue (± marimastat, M). The tissue was interrogated using an optical fibre-based imaging device with 300 frames collected per time-point (0–30 min) post addition of 3. (a) Individual frame from the 10 s video (per time-point/condition) is shown. Merge is an overlay of the two fluorescent channels, which are shown individually within the inserts. (b) Fold-change in average fluorescence (FAM: green and Cy5.5: red) after incubation with the compound was compared to base-line fluorescence from the two channels. At each time point, the mean intensity of the 300 video frames is shown. Data is from three independent patient tumour samples with each symbol representing an individual patient (± marimastat, M). Scale bar is 50 μm.
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