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Abstract

Friedreich's ataxia (FRDA) is a rare inherited recessive disorder affecting the central and peripheral nervous systems and other extraneural organs such as the heart and pancreas. This incapacitating condition usually manifests in childhood or adolescence, exhibits an irreversible progression that confines the patient to a wheelchair, and leads to early death. FRDA is caused by a reduced level of the nuclear-encoded mitochondrial protein frataxin due to an abnormal GAA triplet repeat expansion in the first intron of the human FXN gene. FXN is evolutionarily conserved, with orthologs in essentially all eukaryotes and some prokaryotes, leading to the development of experimental models of this disease in different organisms. These FRDA models have contributed substantially to our current knowledge of frataxin function and the pathogenesis of the disease, as well as to explorations of suitable treatments. Drosophila melanogaster, an organism that is easy to manipulate genetically, has also become important in FRDA research. This review describes the substantial contribution of Drosophila to FRDA research since the characterization of the fly frataxin ortholog more than 15 years ago. Fly models have provided a comprehensive characterization of the defects associated with frataxin deficiency and have revealed genetic modifiers of disease phenotypes. In addition, these models are now being used in the search for potential therapeutic compounds for the treatment of this severe and still incurable disease.

1. Introduction

Friedreich’s ataxia (FRDA) is an autosomal recessive neurodegenerative disorder and the most common form of hereditary ataxia among populations of European origin (2–4/100,000) [1]. This disabling condition typically manifests before age 25, with progressive neurodegeneration of the dorsal root ganglia, sensory peripheral nerves, corticospinal tracts, and dentate nuclei of the cerebellum. A large proportion of patients develop hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, which is the major cause of reduced life expectancy in this disease. Diabetes mellitus and impaired glucose tolerance are also seen in a significant number of FRDA patients (reviewed in [2]).

FRDA is caused by loss-of-function mutations in the FXN gene, which encodes the frataxin protein [3]. Frataxin is a small protein encoded in the nucleus, expressed as a precursor polypeptide in the cytoplasm and imported into mitochondria [4–6]. The majority of FRDA patients are homozygous for an abnormally expanded GAA repeat in intron 1 of FXN, resulting in strongly reduced frataxin protein expression (from 5% to 30% of the normal level) [7]. The remaining FRDA patients are compound heterozygotes, carrying the GAA repeat expansion on one FXN allele and another pathogenic mutation on the other allele, including point mutations and insertion and/or deletion mutations [8].

A lack of available patients and the inherent limitations of cellular models often hinder the discovery and detailed analyses of genes and pathways relevant to the pathology of rare human disorders such as FRDA. Fortunately, the high evolutionary conservation of frataxin (Figure 1) has enabled the development of disease models in several organisms, from bacteria to mice, that have significantly contributed to the
understanding of frataxin function. The development of these disease models is an essential step in elucidating underlying pathological mechanisms and identifying efficient treatments in FRDA.

Seminal findings reported by key studies in model organisms (reviewed in [14–23]) have suggested potential roles for frataxin in iron homeostasis and cellular defense against reactive oxygen species (ROS), as an activator of the mitochondrial respiratory chain, as a mitochondrial chaperone, and as a regulator of Fe-S cluster (ISC) assembly. Although frataxin function is not yet fully characterized, its role in ISC biogenesis is generally accepted [24–26]. Major alterations associated with frataxin deficiency include mitochondrial iron accumulation, oxidative stress hypersensitivity, impaired ISC biogenesis, and aconitase and respiratory chain dysfunction (reviewed in [27–29]).

Although the arthropod lineage diverged from the vertebrate lineage more than 600 MYA, genome sequencing projects have revealed a large number of biological processes that are conserved between flies and vertebrates. Most of the genes implicated in familial forms of disease have at least one Drosophila ortholog [30, 31]. This species offers many different genetic tools that can be applied to investigate basic biological questions in a multicellular organism, with the advantages of easy manipulation and culture.
2. The Drosophila Ortholog of the FXN Gene

The D. melanogaster frataxin ortholog was cloned and characterized in our laboratory in the early 2000s. It was named dfh (Drosophila frataxin homolog) [32]. This gene is referred to as fh (frataxin homolog) in FlyBase (CG8971, FBgn0030092), and this name will be used throughout this review. We isolated fh by screening a genomic library from D. subobscura using human FXN probes. Database searches employing the sequence of D. subobscura positive clones led to the identification of the D. melanogaster STS 125a12, mapped to the 8CD region on the X chromosome and cloned in cosmid 125a12. Further characterization of this cosmid showed an open reading frame (ORF) encoding a frataxin-like protein. Screening of an adult cDNA library from D. melanogaster, using the genomic frataxin ORF, revealed two transcripts with two different polyadenylation signals. We confirmed that this gene is located in the 8CD region by in situ hybridization analysis of polytene chromosomes of D. melanogaster using fh cDNA as a probe.

The genomic organization of fh is much simpler than that of the human gene (Figure 2(a)) [32]. fh is approximately 1kb and is composed of two exons of 340 bp and 282 bp, separated by an intron of 69 bp. RNA in situ hybridization in whole embryos showed ubiquitous expression of fh in all developmental stages examined (from 2 to 16h). ~1kb major transcript was identified by Northern blot analysis, in agreement with the predicted size of one of the two mRNA sequences detected by cDNA library screening. This transcript was found in embryonic, larval, pupal, and adult stages [32]. Accordingly, the protein was present in all developmental stages at varying levels, reaching its highest level in late embryos [33].

The encoded fly protein was predicted to have 190 amino acids, with a molecular weight of ~21 kDa. A sequence comparison of frataxin proteins from different species showed better alignment in the central and the C-terminal regions (Figure 2(b)), whereas no alignment was found in the N-terminal region of the protein. Importantly, this region of fly frataxin (FH) also showed typical frataxin features, such as a mitochondrial signal peptide and a putative α-helix with abundant positively charged amino acids and few negatively charged residues [32]. Colocalization experiments using an FH-enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP) and a mitochondrial marker confirmed the localization of FH in mitochondria [34]. The mature form of FH has a molecular weight of ~15 kDa [33]. The secondary structure of FH matches the α-β sandwich motif characteristic of other frataxin proteins encoded by orthologous genes [32]. Predictions of the 3D structure generated using the Phyre 2 [11] and Chimera 1.12 [12] software show that FH has an organization similar to that of the human protein (Figure 2(c)). The biophysical properties of FH indicate that its thermal and chemical stabilities closely resemble those of human frataxin [35]. Unlike other eukaryotic frataxin proteins, FH shows enhanced stability in vitro, making it a more attractive candidate for evaluation of metal binding and delivery properties. In these experimental conditions, FH can bind and deliver Fe(II), which is required for ISC biosynthesis [35], and, as previously described for human frataxin [36], it interacts with Isu (the Fe cofactor assembly platform for ISC cellular production) in an iron-dependent manner [35].

Recently, some authors have provided experimental evidence that the initial complex of the mitochondrial ISC biosynthetic machinery is conserved in Drosophila [37, 38]. These results, along with those reported in mouse (reviewed in [39]), suggest an evolutionarily conserved role for frataxin in ISC biosynthesis.

3. Modeling FRDA in Flies

Several models of FRDA have been developed in D. melanogaster, mainly taking advantage of GAL4/UAS transgene-based RNA interference (RNAi) methodology. RNAi allows the posttranscriptional silencing of a gene via the expression of transgenic double-stranded RNAs [40]. The GAL4/UAS system [13] has been incredibly successful in D. melanogaster and can induce the expression of a transgene under the control of UAS (Upstream Activating Sequences) and the transcriptional activator protein GAL4 (Figure 3). This experimental strategy has been used to induce tissue-specific and ubiquitous knockdown of fh (Table 1). Therefore, this strategy allows the phenotypes of FRDA patients to be mimicked by reducing rather than completely eliminating FH.

The first UAS-transgene construct for RNAi-mediated silencing of fh expression was reported by Anderson et al. [33]. This construct consisted of inverted repeats containing the first 391 nucleotides of the fh coding region, which were subcloned into the pUAST vector. Fly transformants were crossed to the daG32 GAL4-driver line (which exhibits widespread GAL4 protein expression throughout development and in most tissues under the control of regulatory sequences of daughterless) to examine fh silencing. Three transgenic lines (UDIR1, UDIR2, and UDIR3) were selected in which the GAL4-regulated transgene substantially reduced the FH protein level [33, 41]. Similarly, Llorens et al. [34] generated another UAS-transgene construct (named UAS-fhIR) containing two copies of the fh coding region in opposite orientations, separated by a GFP fragment as a spacer. A transgenic line (fhIRNAI line) was selected showing milder effect than the GAL4-regulated transgene in UDIR1/2/3 when crossed with the daG32 GAL4 line (Table 1).

The RNAi lines from John Phillips’s laboratory [33] have also been combined with a ligand-inducible GAL4/UAS system to deplete frataxin in the Drosophila heart [42]. This system is based on a steroid-activated chimeric GAL4 protein, specifically the GAL4-progesterone-receptor fusion protein that is activated by RU486 (mifepristone) [43, 44]. Transgene expression is induced by supplementing the fly food with RU486, and the level of expression is controlled by changing the dosage of the steroid ligand [43].

More recently, Chen et al. [45] identified the first mutant allele of fh (fh1) in an unbiased genetic screen of the X chromosome designed to isolate mutations that cause neurodegenerative phenotypes. The mutant allele consisted of an ethyl-methanesulfonate-induced missense mutation (S136R) located in a highly conserved region (S157 in the human protein) required for the binding of human frataxin to the
Figure 2: The *Drosophila* frataxin ortholog. (a) Genomic organization of the human (*FXN*) and the fly (*fh*) genes encoding frataxin. *FXN* is located in 9q21.11 and contains seven exons. *fh* is located in chromosome X: 8C14 and has two exons. (b) Multiple alignment of the frataxin protein sequences of *Homo sapiens*, *Mus musculus*, *D. melanogaster*, *Caenorhabditis elegans*, and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. The letters indicate the amino acid in each position, and the colors classify the amino acids according to their biochemical properties, as described in the MEGA7 program [10]. Invariant amino acids are marked with an asterisk. (c) The 3D structure prediction of the frataxin protein using the Phyre 2 [11] and Chimera 1.12 software [12]; α-helices appear in blue and β-sheets in green.
ISC assembly complex [45, 46]. The authors also generated mosaic \( fh \) mutant mitotic clones of adult photoreceptor neurons using the eyeless-FLP/FRT system to bypass the lethality associated with the \( fh^1 \) mutation [45].

These \( Drosophila \) models of FRDA have been employed to study frataxin function, analyze conserved pathological mechanisms, and search for genetic modifiers and potential therapies. The main results of such studies are described in the following sections.

### 4. Phenotypes of Frataxin Deficiency in \( Drosophila \)

The loss of \( fh \) function in \( Drosophila \) recapitulates important biochemical, cellular, and physiological phenotypes of FRDA. In addition, some phenotypes have been described for the first time in this organism, revealing new key players in FRDA pathogenesis. All these phenotypes have been obtained using the \( fh \) constructs and alleles that were described above. Table 1 details these features as well as the temperature of the crosses when available, because the GAL4/UAS system is sensitive to this parameter.

Near-complete frataxin depletion in \( Drosophila \) seriously affects viability, similar to observations in the FRDA mouse model [47] and most likely in humans, since no patients carrying a pathogenic point mutation or deletion or insertion mutations in both \( FXN \) alleles have been reported. Ubiquitous \( fh \) suppression affects larva and pupa development, and individuals do not reach the adult phase [33, 34]. In agreement with these results, individuals that are hemizygous for the \( fh^1 \) mutant, carrying the missense S136R mutation, show lethality from the instar 3 larva to pupa stages [45]. Silencing of \( fh \) in developing muscle and heart tissue (using the 24B and Dot driver lines) is also lethal in pupal stages, while reduction of \( fh \) expression in subsets of neurons (C96, Ddc, D42, c698a, and neur) allows the development of viable adults. Importantly, when \( fh \) expression is specifically reduced in the peripheral nervous system (PNS), using the C96 and neur GAL4 lines, the adult flies show a shortened lifespan and reduced climbing ability [33, 34]. These results indicated that, in \( Drosophila \), as in humans, frataxin is an essential protein and that different tissues have distinct sensitivity to frataxin deficiency.

Tricoire et al. [42] obtained the first fly in vivo heart images after heart-specific depletion of frataxin using the UDIR2 line and the RU486-inducible Geneswitch driver HandGS. They observed major cardiac dysfunction including impaired systolic function and substantial heart dilatation, resembling the phenotypes observed in FRDA patients. The cellular neuropathology of frataxin deficiency was examined in larval motor neurons using the UDIR1 line [48]. Loss of mitochondrial membrane potential was detected in the cell bodies, axons, and neuromuscular junction of segmental nerves from second to late third instar larvae. These effects were followed by defects in mitochondrial retrograde transport in the distal axons, leading to a concomitant dying-back neuropathy. A dying-back mechanism has also been described in sensory neurons and the spinocerebellar and corticospinal motor tract in patients (reviewed in [29]).

To more closely mimic the patient situation, viable adults with ubiquitous reduction of \( FH \) were obtained by Llorens et al. [34] by crossing the \( fh \)RNAi line with the actin-GAL4 driver at 25°C. Under these experimental conditions, the \( fh \) mRNA level was reduced to one-third compared with
**Table 1:** *Drosophila* models of frataxin deficiency. The *fh* construct or allele and the GAL4 driver used to obtain the different phenotypes of frataxin reduction are specified.

| RNAi/mutant allele | GAL4 driver line | Phenotypes |
|--------------------|------------------|------------|
| *da* ^G3^ Ubiquitous |                  | (i) Prolonged larval stages, reduced larva viability, and inability to pupate [33, 88]  
|                    |                  | (ii) When raised at 18°C, survivor adults exhibit high initial mortality, with some escapers that survive up to 40 days [33, 83]  
| C96 Adult peripheral nervous system |                  | (iii) Reduction of activity of aconitase and respiratory complexes II, III, and IV in larvae and adults [33]  
|                    |                  | (iv) Increase in free fatty acid content in larvae [83]  |
| UDRI–3 [33] frataxin reduction to undetectable levels (25°C)* |                  | (i) Viable adults with a shortened lifespan and increased sensitivity to H₂O₂ [33, 41]  
|                    |                  | (ii) Loss of mitochondrial membrane potential and reduced mitochondrial transport in the distal axons. Distal axonal degeneration and cell body loss in the ventral ganglion in late L3 [48]  
|                    |                  | (iii) Normal ROS levels [48]  
| Repo Pan-glial |                  | (i) Viable adults accompanied by some preadult lethality [83]  
|                    |                  | (ii) Reduction of lifespan, increased sensitivity to hyperoxia (99.5% O₂), and impaired climbing capability [66, 83]  
|                    |                  | (iii) Lipid droplet accumulation in glial cells and brain vacuolization [66, 83]  
| HANDGS Heart-specific RU486-inducible Geneswitch driver GMR Developing eye |                  | (i) Induction starting at L3. Viable adults that display heart dilatation and impaired systolic function [42, 88]  
|                    |                  | (i) Mild rough eye phenotype [82]  |
Table 1: Continued.

| RNAi/mutant allele | GAL4 driver line | Phenotypes |
|--------------------|-----------------|------------|
| UAS-fhIR [34]:    | actin and da<sup>Gal</sup> | (i) Lethal at the mature pupa stage at 29°C [34] |
| Up to 70% frataxin | Ubiquitous     | (ii) Viable adults that exhibit shortened lifespan, sensitivity to oxidative stress, and reduced climbing ability [34, 49, 66, 81, 82] |
| reduction (25°C)*  |                 | (iii) Exposure to hyperoxia causes a substantial reduction in aconitase activity and oxygen consumption [34, 81, 83] |
|                    |                 | (iv) Increased levels of lipid peroxides [81–83] |
|                    |                 | (v) Increased mitochondrial iron content [49] |
|                    |                 | (vi) Sensitive to increased iron content in diet [66] |
|                    |                 | (vii) Complete ablation of iron-dependent ferritin accumulation, reduction of IRP-1A expression, and enhanced expression levels of mfrn (<i>mitoferrin</i>) [66] |
|                    |                 | (viii) Increased levels of Fe, Zn, Cu, Mn, and Al [82] |
|                    | near            | (i) Viable adults at 29°C [34] |
|                    | Sensory organs and their precursors | (ii) Reduced lifespan and climbing capability at 25 and 29°C [34, 49] |
|                    | Nervous system: | (i) Viable adults at 29°C [34] |
|                    | D42, motor neurons | (ii) Lifespan and climbing capability unaffected at 29°C [34] |
|                    | Ddc, aminergic neurons and c698a, brain | (i) Viable adults [83] |
|                    | Repo Pan-glial.  | (ii) Reduction of lifespan, increased sensitivity to hyperoxia (99.5% O<sub>2</sub>), and impaired climbing capability [66, 83] |
|                    | Other tissues:  | (i) Lethal at the mature pupa stage at 29°C [34] |
|                    | Dot, heart and 24B, mesoderm | (i) Hemizygous <i>fh</i><sup>l</sup> mutants are lethal from L3 to pupa stage [45] |
|                    | fh<sup>l</sup> [45]: Ethyl-methanesulfonate-induced missense mutation (S136R). Severe loss of <i>fh</i> function Mosaic <i>fh</i> mutant clones of adult photoreceptor neurons by the eyeless-FLP/FRT system | (ii) Removal of maternal <i>fh</i> mRNA or protein in the egg causes embryonic lethality [45] |
|                    |                 | (iii) Age-dependent degeneration of photoreceptors [45] |
|                    |                 | (iv) Abnormal mitochondrial cristae morphology, reduced ETC CI activity, and impaired ATP production [45] |
|                    |                 | (v) No increase in ROS [45] |
|                    |                 | (vi) Accumulation of Fe<sup>2+</sup> and/or Fe<sup>3+</sup> and iron-dependent stimulation of sphingolipid synthesis and activation of the Pdk1/Mef2 pathway [45] |

*The most used temperature in the different experiments.
the normal level. As in humans [7], the remaining frataxin (approximately 30% of the normal level) allowed normal embryonic development but resulted in decreased lifespan and impaired motor performance in adulthood. Specifically, survival analysis showed a decrease of 60% and 32% in the mean and maximum lifespan, respectively, compared with controls. The FRDA flies showed limited climbing ability in negative geotaxis assays, with 5-day-old adults exhibiting a 45% decline compared with control flies.

Frataxin deficiency in flies also triggers iron accumulation [45, 49] restricted to mitochondria [49], consistent with findings in other model organisms and FRDA patients. Importantly, the role of iron in the pathophysiology of FRDA has not yet been completely established and is still a matter of debate. The discovery of iron deposits in the hearts of FRDA patients in the late seventies [50, 51] was the first indication of an association between frataxin and this transition metal. This relationship became more important after the discovery that the loss-of-function of the yeast frataxin ortholog results in mitochondrial iron accumulation [52]. Since then, iron-enriched granules have been further confirmed in patient hearts [53–55] and in several other patient tissues [56, 57]. Surprisingly, analyses of iron levels in neuronal tissues have shown inconsistent results, even in tissues with high frataxin expression. On the one hand, histological and imaging approaches have detected alterations in the expression of iron-related proteins that support the hypothesis that iron redistribution rather than iron accumulation is the key defect underlying frataxin deficiency in the nervous system [58, 59]. On the other hand, increased iron content has been reported in critical brain areas of FRDA patients [60, 61]. In Drosophila, Chen et al. showed that iron accumulates in the nervous system in fh1 mutants [45]. These authors also found increased levels of iron in the nervous system in an FRDA mouse model that exhibits less than 40% of the normal level of frataxin mRNA in this tissue [62]. By contrast, no iron deposits have been reported in the nervous system of other mouse models of FRDA [47, 63–65]. In line with the proposed iron toxicity in FRDA, all Drosophila models share an enhanced sensitivity to increased iron content in food [33, 45, 66].

The analysis of the iron-frataxin relationship in several FRDA models has provided experimental evidence supporting a role for frataxin in iron homeostasis (storage, redistribution, chaperone, and ISC biosynthesis, reviewed in [23, 24]). Supporting a role for frataxin in ISC assembly, loss of FH expression is associated with impaired activity of Fe-S containing enzymes, including proteins involved in the mitochondrial electron transport chain (ETC) and aconitase [33, 34]. This effect causes problems in ATP production, which is reduced in Drosophila models independently of the levels of functional frataxin [33, 34, 45], as well as in FRDA patients [67, 68]. In addition, the biochemical and biophysical characterization of FH is consistent with its expected role as an iron chaperone acting as a regulator during ISC biosynthesis [35]. In line with this role for frataxin, its suppression in the prothoracic gland impairs the ability of larvae to initiate pupariation [69]. This organ produces ecdysteroid hormones, such as 20-hydroxyecdysone, that mediate developmental transitions. Interestingly, some Fe-S-containing enzymes such as Neverland (converts cholesterol into 7-dehydrocholesterol) and the fly ferredoxins Fdxh and Fdxh2 participate in the metabolism of ecdysone, and their activities are likely impaired in frataxin-deficient larvae. In agreement with this hypothesis, 20-hydroxyecdysone supplementation improves the defective transitions associated with frataxin deficiency in the prothoracic gland [69]. An ecdysone deficiency would explain the giant, long-lived larval phenotype reported by Anderson et al. in their fly model using the UDIR2 line and daG32 GAL4 driver [33]. Interestingly, Drosophila models have also revealed that iron deregulation occurs before the decrease in the activity of mitochondrial enzymes [49, 66]. This is in agreement with results from an inducible yeast model in which the iron regulon was activated long before decreased aconitase activity was observed [70].

It has been suggested that ROS are generated by iron accumulation through Fenton’s reaction, damaging the mitochondrial ETC and mediating the pathophysiology of FRDA (reviewed in [20, 71]). However, the role of oxidative stress in the disease is still questioned, and controversial results have also been reported in Drosophila. Overexpression of ROS-scavenging enzymes such as catalase (CAT), superoxide dismutase 1 (SOD1), or SOD2 could not rescue the pupal lethality caused by ubiquitous UDIR1 and UD1R2 expression [33] or the photoreceptor neurodegeneration in fh1 mutant clones [45]. CAT overexpression and treatment with EUK8 (a synthetic superoxide dismutase and catalase mimetic) also failed to improve cardiac function in frataxin-depleted hearts [42]. Shidara and Hollenbeck [48] did not detect increased ROS levels in frataxin-deficient motor neurons, but these neurons responded to the complex III inhibitor antimycin A with a larger increase in ROS than control neurons.

However, increasing evidence from different FRDA models and patient samples suggests that oxidative stress is a major player in FRDA [34, 41, 65, 72–80]. In Drosophila, increased levels of malondialdehyde (MDA, a lipoperoxidation product) have been reported in flies with ubiquitous FH suppression using the fhRNAi line and the actin GAL4-driver line [81, 82]. These flies and flies with tissue-specific frataxin deficiency in the PNS (C96) or glial cells (repo) showed increased sensitivity to external oxidative insults (see Table 1) such as hyperoxia or H2O2 treatment [41, 81, 83]. Hyperoxia induces enhanced aconitase inactivation in the frataxin knockdown flies [34, 83], which compromises the entire respiratory process. In fact, hyperoxia leads to reduced oxygen consumption rates in mitochondrial extracts of the frataxin-depleted flies [34]. Overexpression of the H2O2-scavenging enzymes CAT, mitoCAT (using a synthetic trans-gene that targets CAT to the mitochondria), or mitochondrial peroxiredoxin (mTPx) rescues the shortened lifespan and increased sensitivity to H2O2 in flies with reduced frataxin expression in the PNS (C96) [41]. These scavengers also restore aconitase activity in flies with systemic reduction of FH using the UDI1 line and the daG32 GAL4 driver [41], supporting the role of oxidative stress in aconitase inactivation. In addition, scavengers of lipid peroxides have
been shown to improve frataxin-deficient phenotypes [83, 84].

Recently, Hugo Bellen’s laboratory identified a new mechanism for neuronal degeneration in FRDA, in which iron toxicity is not associated with ROS damage [45]. These authors showed in their fh mutant that iron accumulation induces sphingolipid synthesis and activates the expression of the genes 3-phosphoinositide dependent protein kinase-1 (Pdk1) and myocyte enhancer factor-2 (Mef2) and their downstream targets, causing loss of photoreceptors in fly ommatidia. In agreement with these results, inhibition of sphingolipid synthesis by downregulating the expression of the rate-limiting enzyme lac (the fly ortholog of serine palmitoyltransferase) or feeding the mutant flies Myriocin (a compound that inhibits serine palmitoyltransferase) was sufficient to partially revert the cellular degeneration [45]. Similarly, silencing Pdk1 or Mef2 expression also suppressed the neurodegenerative phenotype. Remarkably, the authors found that loss of frataxin in the nervous system in mice and in heart tissue from patients also activates the same pathway, suggesting a conserved mechanism [62]. These results highlight, once more, the relevance of Drosophila in the study of human disorders such as FRDA. In addition, they strongly suggest that iron plays an instrumental role in Drosophila frataxin biology.

Similarly, Drosophila has also been a pioneer model organism in highlighting the role of frataxin in lipid homeostasis [83]. Ubiquitous frataxin knockdown or targeted frataxin downregulation in glia cells triggered lipid accumulation. Increased amounts of myristic acid (C14:0), palmitic acid (C16:0), palmitoleic acid (C16:1), oleic acid (C18:1), and linoleic acid (C18:2) were found. These results suggested that loss of mitochondrial function also affects fatty acid beta-oxidation, leading to the accumulation of the most abundant lipid species [83]. The presence of lipid droplets had already been characterized in mouse models [63], and the fly findings indicated the content of these droplets and their likely association with the disease pathophysiology. These findings were followed by assessments of lipid deregulation in other models [85] and in patient samples [86]. The association between frataxin and lipid metabolism has been extensively reviewed elsewhere [87].

5. Frataxin Overexpression Phenotypes

Although frataxin overexpression does not model the disease, it is an excellent complementary tool to further describe the cellular roles of frataxin. In this regard, Drosophila models have shown that some increase in frataxin expression is beneficial, whereas its excess beyond certain thresholds is clearly detrimental. Table 2 summarizes the phenotypes reported for frataxin overexpression in flies using several GAL4 lines that drive ubiquitous or tissue-specific fh expression.

Flies with ubiquitous fh expression at a level approximately fourfold higher than the physiological level show increased longevity, antioxidant defense responses, and resistance to treatment with paraquat (a chemical known to specifically affect mitochondrial complex I and to generate free radicals), H2O2, and dietary iron [89]. Similarly, it has been reported that frataxin overexpression in mice [90, 91] or in cultured cells [92–94] is innocuous or has a positive effect, stimulating ATP production or inducing antioxidant defense responses.

A systemic 9-fold increase in fh mRNA expression impairs muscle, heart, and PNS development in fly embryos, leading to lethality from larva to pupa stages [34]. Frataxin overexpression restricted to developing heart and muscle tissue (Dot, 24B; Table 2) also has deleterious effects [34]. In contrast, overexpressing FH pan-neuronally (Appl, elav), in sensory organs (neur), motor neurons (D42), and glial cells (repo) produces viable adults, but they show a reduced lifespan and decreased locomotor performance [34, 95]. The effect of human frataxin expression has also been tested in Drosophila. FXN is correctly expressed and targeted to mitochondria in flies and can rescue the aconitase activity of UDIR2-knockdown flies [95]. These results provide in vivo evidence that human and fly frataxins have conserved functions, which was further confirmed by Tricoire et al. [42] and Chen et al. [45]. As expected, FXN overexpression in flies produces similar but slightly stronger phenotypes at biochemical, physiological, and developmental levels than those observed in flies overexpressing FH [95]. Initially, it was proposed that frataxin overexpression might act as a dominant negative mutation and that its toxic effect might be mediated by oxidative stress [95]. The mechanism underlying frataxin overexpression has recently been further investigated [96]. In this study, the authors reported that frataxin overexpression increases oxidative phosphorylation and modifies iron homeostasis. Such an increase of mitochondrial activity alters mitochondrial morphology and sensitizes cells to oxidative damage leading to neurodegeneration and cell death. Importantly, authors found that iron was a pivotal factor in the neurodegeneration [96].

These results in Drosophila show that frataxin requires an optimal balance in expression to function properly and that control of its expression is important in treatments that aim to increase its protein level.

6. Genetic Modifiers of FRDA

Drosophila models are important because they offer the ability to carry out genetic screens for mutations that affect a particular biological process. This powerful tool provides a way to identify genetic modifiers of human diseases (Figures 4(a) and 4(c)). Our group has collaborated with Juan Botas’s laboratory in two studies using this methodology in Drosophila models of FRDA. These studies followed a biased candidate approach, selecting genes related to disease pathophysiology [81, 82]. We set out to test whether genetic modification of key pathways would improve FRDA phenotypes in flies. Candidate genes were selected from pathways involved in metal homeostasis, the response to oxidative stress, apoptosis, and autophagy. Approximately 300 lines were analyzed, including RNAi lines from the Vienna Drosophila Resource Center and loss-of-function and overexpression lines from the Bloomington Stock Center (Indiana University). The external eye morphology and motor performance of adult flies were used
Table 2: Frataxin overexpression in *Drosophila*. The *fh* construct and the GAL4 driver used to obtain the different phenotypes are indicated.

| Overexpression line | GAL4 driver line | Phenotypes |
|---------------------|------------------|------------|
| **UAS-dfh**<sup>1</sup> and **UAS-dfh**<sup>2</sup> [89]: fourfold increase in *fh* mRNA expression (25°C)* | *Actin* Ubiquitous | (i) Viable adults [89]  
(ii) Increased lifespan [89]  
(iii) Significant increase in tolerance to iron-induced stress (FeCl<sub>3</sub>, paraquat, and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (measuring survival) [89]  
(iv) Significant increase in total antioxidant activity (bathocuproine dye) [89] |
| *Actin* and *dd<sup>Gal</sup>* Ubiquitous | (i) Lethal at early pupae or 3rd instar larvae at 29°C [34]  
(ii) Defects in developing muscles, axonal tracks, and axonal pathfinding (1D4 staining) and an increase in the number of sensory ventral neurons. No abnormalities detected in the CNS [34]  
(iii) At 25°C, viable adults that are sensitive to oxidative stress and iron [34, 96]. Young individuals have higher catalase and aconitase activities and ATP production than controls but are hypersensitive to hyperoxia [96]  
(i) Viable at 29°C and 25°C  
(ii) Reduced lifespan and climbing capability [95, 96]. Locomotor defects are rescued by mitochondrial catalase expression and mfrn silencing [96].  
(iii) Reduced ferritin and mitoferrin levels [96]  
(iv) Brain vacuolization [96] |
| **UAS-fh** [34]: 9-fold increase in *fh* mRNA expression and a strong increase in protein levels (29°C)* | *Other neuronal drivers*  
neur  
Sensory organs and their precursors  
*D42*  
Motor neurons  
Ddc  
Aminergic neurons  
*TH*  
Dopaminergic neurons  
c698a  
Brain  
*Repo*  
Pan-glial  
*Other tissues*:  
Dot, heart and  
24B, mesoderm | (i) Viable adults at 29°C and 25°C [34]  
(ii) Reduced climbing capability and lifespan at both temperatures (neur/D42) [34, 95].  
(iii) Lifespan is recovered by mitochondrial catalase (neur) [95]  
(iv) Ddc, *TH*, and c698a lifespan and climbing capability unaffected at 29°C or 25°C [34, 96]  
(v) Strong promotion of mitochondrial fusion and ROS-mediated cell death of dopaminergic neurons (TH) [96]  
(i) Reduced lifespan and climbing capability [95]  
(ii) Expression of mitochondrial catalase increases lifespan and climbing capability [95]  
(i) Lethal from the early pupa stage to adult eclosion from the puparium at 29°C and 25°C [34, 95]  
(ii) Lack of some pericardial cells along the tubular structure of the developing heart (ECII staining) in embryos at 29°C [34] |
Table 2: Continued.

| Overexpression line | GAL4 driver line | Phenotypes |
|---------------------|------------------|------------|
|                     | *Actin and dα۱۲* | (i) Lethal in pupae [95] |
|                     | Ubiquitous       | (ii) Reduced aconitase activity in larvae [95] |
|                     | *Appl*           | (iii) Reduced NDUFS3 protein levels in larvae [95] |
| UAS-FXN* [95]:      | Pan-neural       | (i) Viable adults, lethal at 29°C [95] |
| Expression of human | *near*           | (i) Reduced lifespan and climbing capability and increased sensitivity to oxidative insult [95] |
| frataxin. Stronger  | Sensory organs and their precursors | (ii) Expression of mitochondrial catalase increases lifespan [95] |
| phenotypes than     | *Repo*           | (i) Morphological disruption of glial cells and formation of lipid droplets [95] |
| UAS-fh (25°C)*      | Pan-glial        | (ii) Expression of mitochondrial catalase increases lifespan and improves climbing capability [95] |
|                     | 24B              | (i) Lethal during pupariation [95] |

*The most used temperature in the experiments. *UAS-FXN* triggers the same defects as UAS-fh. To avoid repetition, only new phenotypes have been included; CNS: Central Nervous System.
as screening phenotypes. The UDIR2 line [33] (with a 90% reduction in FH expression when expressed ubiquitously) produces a mild rough eye phenotype when expressed in the developing eye [82]. The \( fh\)RNAi line [34] (with a 70% reduction in FH expression that is compatible with normal development) impairs motor performance when expressed ubiquitously. We applied a tiered strategy to examine the effect of metal-related genes on eye morphology, followed by the effect of eye modifiers on motor performance [82]. In Calap-Quintana et al. [81], we reported the effect of the remaining candidate genes on the motor performance of the \( fh\)RNAi line.

Five suppressors of both the eye and motor performance phenotypes were identified: the iron regulatory proteins encoded by the genes \( Irp-1A\) and \( Irp-1B\), their target Transferrin (\( Tsf1\) and \( Tsf3\)), and Malvolio (\( Mvl\)), the Drosophila ortholog of the mammalian gene \( Divalent\ metal\ transporter-1\ (DMT1)\). The suppression of these FRDA phenotypes was mediated by reducing the iron abundance associated with frataxin deficiency [82]. On the one hand, reduced expression
of Mvl, Tfβl, and Tfβ decreases cellular iron uptake, which in turn reduces mitochondrial iron accumulation. On the other hand, downregulation of Irp-1A and Irp-1B reduces IRP activity, as suggested in [33, 66], and thus recovers ferritin expression and normal cellular iron distribution. In agreement with these findings, Irp1 knockout reduces mitochondrial iron accumulation in frataxin-depleted mouse livers [97].

Another iron player that can suppress FRDA phenotypes in flies was identified by Navarro et al. [66]. It is a member of the mitochondrial solute carrier family named mitoferrin (Mfrn), which is located in the inner mitochondrial membrane, and its function is to translocate iron into mitochondria [98–100]. Downregulation of mfrn was sufficient to improve iron metabolism in frataxin-deficient flies and to ameliorate neurodegeneration triggered by targeted frataxin silencing in glia cells [66]. In this study, overexpression of ferritin subunits was unable to counteract neurodegeneration, whereas another study reported that ferritin overexpression and normal cellular iron distribution. IRP activity, as suggested in [33, 66], and thus recovers neurodegeneration triggered by targeted frataxin silencing in glia cells [66]. In this study, overexpression of ferritin subunits was unable to counteract neurodegeneration, whereas another study reported that ferritin overexpression had a positive effect in fh mutant clones of fly photoreceptors [45]. It is likely that the different metabolic requirements of each cell type might be reflected in the factors that can exert protective roles.

Knockdown of zinc transporters and copper chaperones also ameliorates FRDA phenotypes in flies [82]. Members of the two conserved gene families of zinc transporters (the ZnT and Zip families) improve the eye and motor performance phenotypes by normalizing iron levels in some cases. It has been previously reported that several members of the Zip family can also transport iron in addition to zinc [101–103]. Genetic reduction of Atox1, which encodes a chaperone that delivers copper to ATP7 transporters located in the trans-Golgi network [104], and dCutC, encoding a protein involved in the uptake, storage, delivery, and efflux of copper [105], suppressed both FRDA phenotypes. We also found that the Metal-Responsive Transcription Factor-1 Gene (MTF-1) is a modifier of the motor impairment phenotype, acting as a suppressor when overexpressed and as an enhancer when downregulated. Overexpression of MTF-1 in Drosophila also reduces the toxicity associated with oxidative stress [106], human Aβ42 peptide expression [107], and a parkin null mutation [108]. Under stress conditions, such as metal overload and oxidative stress, MTF-1 is translocated to the nucleus and binds to metal response elements (MREs) in the regulatory regions of its target genes, such as metal-sequestering metallothioneins (Mtns). Mtns are small cysteine-rich proteins that maintain low levels of intracellular free metal due to their ability to bind metals with high affinity. Contrary to what was expected, Mtn knockdown suppressed FRDA phenotypes [82], which could be explained by the role of Mtns as prooxidants under oxidative stress conditions [109–111]. Therefore, the beneficial effect of MTF-1 overexpression may not be mediated by Mtns but rather by reduced iron accumulation, because the iron level is normalized in fhRNAi flies with MTF-1 overexpression [82]. These results demonstrate that metal dysregulation in FRDA affects other metals in addition to iron. Importantly, zinc and copper redistribution have been reported in the dentate nucleus of the cerebellum in FRDA patients [112].

The genetic screen conducted in Calap-Quintana et al. [81] revealed four modifiers of the motor performance phenotype in FRDA flies. These genes encode tuberous sclerosis complex protein 1 (Tsc1), ribosomal protein S6 kinase (S6k), eucharyotic translation initiation factor 4E (eIF-4F), and leucine-rich repeat kinase (Lrrk). These proteins are involved in the TORC1 signaling pathway, which regulates many major cellular functions such as protein synthesis, lipid biogenesis, and autophagy. We found that genetic reduction in TORC1 signaling activity is beneficial, while its genetic activation produces a detrimental effect in frataxin knockdown flies by inducing semilethality. Table 3 shows these genetic mediators of frataxin deficiency as well as other modifiers individually identified in other studies.

7. Potential Therapeutic Compounds for FRDA Treatment

Currently, there is no effective treatment for FRDA, although different therapeutic strategies are being developed or tested in clinical trials (http://www.curefa.org/pipeline). These strategies include lowering oxidative damage, reducing iron-mediated toxicity, increasing antioxidant defense, and increasing frataxin expression and gene therapy [83, 113, 114]. Drosophila models are also gaining increasing significance in biomedical and pharmaceutical research as a valuable tool for testing potential treatments (Figures 4(b) and 4(c)).

Table 4 lists the compounds that have been found to improve some FRDA phenotypes in Drosophila. Our group has validated the utility of frataxin-depleted flies for drug screening [49]. We separately tested the effect of two compounds, the iron chelator deferiprone (DFP) and the antioxidant idebenone (IDE), that were already in use in clinical trials for this disease. DFP is a small-molecule, blood-brain-barrier-permeable drug that preferentially binds iron and prevents its reaction with ROS. IDE is a synthetic analog of coenzyme Q10 and can undergo reversible redox reactions, improving electron flux along the ETC. Each drug was administered in the fly food at two starting points: early treatment (from larva to adult stage) and adult treatment (in adult phase). Both drugs improved the lifespan and motor ability of flies expressing the fh-RNAi allele in a ubiquitous pattern or in the PNS (neur), especially when given at the early treatment timepoint. DFP improved the FRDA phenotypes by sequestering mitochondrial iron and preventing toxicity induced by iron accumulation. IDE rescued aconitase activity in flies subjected to external oxidative stress [49].

Another compound with electron carrier properties, methylene blue (MB), has been described as a potent therapeutic drug for heart dysfunction in FRDA [42]. Cardiac defects were decreased in a dose-dependent manner in flies with heart-specific frataxin depletion treated with different concentrations of MB. The authors demonstrated that this drug was also able to reduce heart dilatation associated with deficiencies in several components of complexes I and III in mutant flies. These results indicate that respiratory chain impairment is involved in the cardiac defects associated with frataxin deficiency and that compounds showing electron
| Modifier      | Pathway                          | Effect                                                                 |
|--------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Fer1HCH/Fer2LCH (Co-expression) | Iron storage                    | Suppressor of reduced life span [66], ERG, and photoreceptor neurodegeneration [45] |
| Fer3HCH (OE) | Iron storage and oxidative stress protection | Suppressor of reduced life span [66], ERG, and photoreceptor neurodegeneration [45] |
| Irp-1A (RNAi) | Iron sensor                      | Suppressor of mild rough eye and impaired motor performance [82] |
| Irp-1B (RNAi) | Iron sensor                      | Suppressor of mild rough eye and impaired motor performance [82] |
| mfrn (RNAi)  | Mitochondrial iron importer      | Suppressor of reduced aconitase activity and IRP-1A and ferritin levels, impaired motor performance, and increased brain vacuolization [66] |
| Mvl (RNAi)   | Iron absorption                  | Suppressor of mild rough eye and impaired motor performance [82] |
| T3fr (LOF)   | Serum iron binding transport proteins | Suppressor of mild rough eye and impaired motor performance [82] |
| dZip42C.1 (RNAi) | Zinc importer                  | Suppressor of mild rough eye and impaired motor performance [82] |
| dZip42C.2 (RNAi) | Zinc importer                  | Suppressor of mild rough eye and impaired motor performance [82] |
| dZip88E (RNAi) | Zinc importer                  | Suppressor of mild rough eye and impaired motor performance [82] |
| dZnT35C (RNAi) | Zinc transporter to vesicles     | Suppressor of mild rough eye and impaired motor performance [82] |
| dZnT41F (RNAi) | Zinc homeostasis                | Suppressor of mild rough eye and impaired motor performance [82] |
| dZnT63C (RNAi) | Zinc exporter                   | Suppressor of mild rough eye and impaired motor performance [82] |
| foi (LOF)    | Zinc importer                    | Suppressor of impaired motor performance [82] |
| Atox1 (RNAi) | Copper chaperone donor           | Suppressor of mild rough eye and impaired motor performance [82] |
| dCutC (RNAi) | Copper uptake and storage        | Suppressor of mild rough eye and impaired motor performance [82] |
| MTF-1 (OE)   | Metal responsive Transcription Factor | Suppressor of impaired motor performance [82] |
| MTF-1 (LOF)  | Metal responsive Transcription Factor | Enhancer of impaired motor performance [82] |
| MtnA (RNAi)  | Heavy metal detoxification       | Suppressor of mild rough eye and impaired motor performance [82] |
| MtnB (RNAi)  | Heavy metal detoxification       | Suppressor of mild rough eye [82] |
| MtnC (RNAi)  | Heavy metal detoxification       | Suppressor of mild rough eye [82] |
| Tsc1 (RNAi)  | TORC1 pathway                    | Enhancer of reduced survival [81] |
| S6K (DN)     | TORC1 pathway                    | Suppressor of impaired motor performance [81] |
| S6K (CA)     | TORC1 pathway                    | Enhancer of reduced survival [81] |
| eIF-4E (LOF) | TORC1 pathway                    | Suppressor of impaired motor performance [81] |
| Lrrk (RNAi)  | TORC1 pathway                    | Suppressor of impaired motor performance [81] |
| Cat (OE)     | Antioxidant (hydrogen peroxide scavengers) | Suppressor of reduced lifespan when overexpressed in the PNS [41] |
| mCat (OE)    | Antioxidant (hydrogen peroxide scavengers) | Suppressor of reduced lifespan when overexpressed in the PNS [41] |
| mTPx (OE)    | Antioxidant (hydrogen peroxide scavengers) | Suppressor of reduced lifespan when overexpressed in the PNS [41] |
Table 3: Continued.

| Modifier    | Pathway                                      | Effect                                                                 |
|-------------|----------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| dGLaz (OE)  | Antioxidant defense                          | Suppressor of reduced life span, impaired motor performance, aconitase inactivation, and lipid peroxidation [83] |
| Pdk1 (RNAi) | Embryonic development (insulin receptor transduction pathway and apoptotic pathway) | Suppressor of photoreceptor neurodegeneration [45]                     |
| Mef2 (RNAi) | Muscle differentiation                       | Suppressor of photoreceptor neurodegeneration [45]                     |
| lace (RNAi) | Sphingosine biosynthesis pathway             | Suppressor of photoreceptor neurodegeneration [45]                     |

CA: constitutively active mutation; DN: dominant negative mutation; ERG: electroretinograms; LOF: loss-of-function mutation; OE: overexpression; RNAi: RNA interference.

Table 4: Compounds that showed beneficial effects in *Drosophila* models of FRDA.

| Compound    | Mechanism of action | Improved phenotype                  |
|-------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Idebenone   | Antioxidant         | Motor performance and lifespan in adults [42, 49] |
| Methylene blue | Electron carrier      | Adult heart function [42]            |
| Toluidine blue      | Electron carrier      | Adult heart function [42]            |
| Deferiprone | Iron chelator       | Motor performance and lifespan in adults [49] |
| Deferoxamine | Iron chelator       | Pupa development [88]               |
| LPS 01-03-L-F03 | Possible iron chelator | Pupa development [88]               |
| LPS 02-25-L-E10 | Possible iron chelator | Pupa development [88]               |
| LPS 02-13-L-E04 | Possible iron chelator | Pupa development [88]               |
| LPS 01-04-L-G10 | n.d.                  | Pupa development [88]               |
| LPS 02-14-L-B11 | n.d.                  | Adult heart function [88]           |
| Rapamycin    | TORC1 inhibitor     | Pupa development [88]               |
| Myriocin     | Serine palmitoyltransferase inhibitor | Motor performance and oxidative stress in adults [81] |

n.d.: not described.

transfer properties could prevent heart dysfunction in FRDA patients.

A yeast/*Drosophila* screen to identify new compounds for FRDA treatment was carried out by Seguin et al. [88]. The authors showed the utility of using a strategy based on two complementary models, a unicellular and a multicellular organism. Accordingly, a frataxin-deleted yeast strain was used in a primary screen, and positive hits were tested in flies ubiquitously expressing the UDIR2 allele (secondary screen). Approximately 6380 compounds were evaluated from two chemical libraries (the French National Chemical Library and the Prestwick Collection) to test the ability of the drugs to improve the fitness of yeast mutants using raffinose as the main carbon source. Yeast cells with frataxin deficiency grew slowly when raffinose was provided as the carbon source [115]. A total of 12 compounds, representative of the different chemical families, were selected from the yeast-based screen and their effect was analyzed on the FRDA fly model. Six of them improved the pupariation impairment of flies, with LPS 01-04-LG10 and Deferoxamine B (DFOB) being the most promising compounds. DFOB, an iron chelator, was suggested to increase the pools of bioavailable iron and to reduce iron accumulation in mitochondria. LPS 01-04-LG10, a cinnamic derivative, partially rescued heart dilatation in flies with heart-specific frataxin depletion [88].

The efficacy of iron chelators as potential treatments has already been assessed in FRDA patients, but unfortunately the results were not conclusive. Studies have reported improvement of the cardiac and/or neurological conditions [61, 116, 117], no significant effect [118], or even worsening of some conditions [119]. However, the *Drosophila* models of FRDA indicate that iron is an important factor in FRDA pathophysiology. Genetic or pharmacological interventions through pathways regulating iron homeostasis and the sphingolipid/Pdk1/Mef-2 pathway are new approaches that might be explored in preclinical studies. In addition, *Drosophila* has shown for the first time that alteration of genes involved in metal detoxification and metal homeostasis...
(copper and zinc in addition to iron) is also a potential therapeutic strategy.

Finally, the results obtained from the genetic screen in Drosophila [81] also suggest that rapamycin and its analogs (rapalogs) are promising molecules for FRDA treatment. Inhibition of TORC1 signaling by rapamycin increases climbing speed, survival, and ATP levels in flies [81]. This compound enhances antioxidant defenses in both control and FRDA flies by increasing the nuclear translocation of the transcription factor encoded by the gene cap-n-collar, the Drosophila ortholog of Nrf2. As a result, it induces the expression of a battery of antioxidant genes. In addition, rapamycin protects against external oxidative stress by inducing autophagy. Rapamycin is a well-described drug approved for human uses. There is a large amount of data regarding the safety, tolerability, and side effects of this drug and rapalogs, which could facilitate their potential use in FRDA.

8. Conclusions

D. melanogaster is one of the most studied organisms in biological research. The conservation of many cellular and organismal processes between humans and flies and the constant increase in the number of genetic tools for Drosophila have made this organism one of the best choices for studying human genetic diseases. Following the identification of Friedreich’s ataxia gene by positional cloning, model organisms have played a decisive role in the investigation of the function of frataxin and consequently the underlying pathophysiological mechanisms of FRDA. Here, we have presented the main contributions of Drosophila in this area of research. Frataxin-depleted flies recapitulate important biochemical, cellular, and physiological hallmarks of FRDA. In addition, the model flies exhibit new phenotypes that reveal, for the first time, other key players in FRDA pathogenesis. These models have allowed the identification of genetic and pharmacological factors capable of modifying some FRDA phenotypes, revealing new and promising ways to find effective treatments. Nevertheless, there are still many other questions that can be addressed by taking advantage of Drosophila models. Additional models of FRDA in flies are expected to help us understand the transcriptional silencing of FXN mediated by the GAA repeat expansion. These new models will advance our knowledge of the molecular bases of this disease and facilitate the development of new drugs for FRDA.
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