Inhibitory effect on *Streptococcus mutans* and mechanical properties of the chitosan containing composite resin
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**Objectives:** This study evaluated the antibacterial effect and mechanical properties of composite resins (L<sub>CR</sub>, M<sub>CR</sub>, H<sub>CR</sub>) incorporating chitosan with three different molecular weights (L, Low; M, Medium; H, High). **Materials and Methods:** *Streptococcus (S). mutans* 100 mL and each chitosan powder were inoculated in sterilized 10 mL Brain-Heart Infusion (BHI) solution, and was centrifuged for 12 hr. Absorbance of the supernatant was measured at OD<sub>660</sub> to estimate the antibacterial activities of chitosan. After *S. mutans* was inoculated in the disc shaped chitosan-containing composite resins, the disc was cleansed with BHI and diluted with serial dilution method. *S. mutans* was spread on *Mitis-salivarius* bacitracin agar. After then, colony forming unit (CFU) was measured to verify the inhibitory effect on *S. mutans* biofilm. To ascertain the effect on the mechanical properties of composite resin, 3-point bending and Vickers hardness tests were done after 1 and 3 wk water storage, respectively. Using 2-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Scheffe test, statistical analysis was done with 95% significance level. **Results:** All chitosan powder showed inhibition effect against *S. mutans*. CFU number in chitosan-containing composite resins was smaller than that of control resin without chitosan. The chitosan containing composite resins did not show any significant difference in flexural strength and Vickers hardness in comparison with the control resin. However, the composite resin, M<sub>CR</sub> showed a slightly decreased flexural strength and the maximum load than those of control and the other composite resins H<sub>CR</sub> and L<sub>CR</sub>. **Conclusions:** L<sub>CR</sub> and H<sub>CR</sub> would be recommended as a feasible antibacterial restorative due to its antibacterial nature and mechanical properties.  
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**Introduction**

Dental plaque is a tooth-associated biofilm consisting of microbial community and a matrix of polymer of bacterial and host origin. The bacteria in dental plaque play a primary role in dental caries and induce secondary caries through microleakage between the restoration and the tooth structure. Antibacterial effect of restorative material inactivates bacteria and prevents recurrent caries. The attempt to provide composite resins with antibacterial activity has been made by incorporating an antibacterial agent into the resin component. Adding soluble antibacterial agents into resin matrix is a simple way for the agents of the materials to be well released in wet environment. However, the resins containing soluble antibacterial agents show...
the release pattern, in which a large amount of the agent leached out of mass within a few days and resulted in a dramatic decrease in the concentration. Another problem for the incorporation of antibacterial agents into the monomer phase is an adverse influence on the mechanical properties. Furthermore, the antibacterial agent may have toxic effects and disrupt microbial homeostasis.

Chitosan (2-amino-2-deoxy-β-D-glucan) is a naturally acquired polysaccharide that is prepared by the deacetylation of chitin, which is mainly obtained from crab and shrimp shells. It is classified in three types of chitosan L/M/H (L, Low; M, Medium; H, High) according to their molecular weights. Chitosan is generally regarded as non-toxic, biocompatible, biodegradable and is intrinsically antibacterial in nature. In dental field, chitosan has been used in studies for the prevention of dental caries as it provides bactericidal and/or bacteriostatic characteristics. Interaction between positively charged amino groups in chitosan with negatively charged microbial cell surfaces results in the loss of barrier function of microbial cell wall and the leakage of proteinaceous and other intracellular material. Chitosan has an in vitro antibacterial effect on Streptococcus (S) mutans, Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans, and Porphyromonas gingivalis. It was also reported that low-molecular-weight chitosan prevented the adsorption of S. mutans to hydroxyapatite. Moreover, chitosan has been suggested as a bioadhesive polymer that provides an extended retention time on the oral mucosa.

However, the antibacterial activity of chitosan is influenced by a number of factors including the species of bacteria, concentration, pH, solvent and molecular weight. Chitosan can only be dissolved when the pH is less than 6.5 in which its antibacterial activity is limited. The application of chitosan as a chemical agent for mouthwashes or dentifrices was limited because of its insolubility in water. Antibacterial properties and biocompatibility of chitosan are highly desirable in dental materials and chitosan could be maintained inside the materials in the oral cavity because of its insolubility in water. In this study, chitosan with three different molecular weights were incorporated into composite resin for antibacterial activity.

The purpose of this study was to evaluate the antibacterial effect and mechanical properties of composite resin incorporating chitosan with three different L/M/H molecular weights. The hypotheses were that antibacterial activities on S. mutans of the composite resins with three different types of chitosan are not unlike and that addition of chitosan into composite resin does not affect the mechanical properties.

### Materials and Methods

**Streptococcus Mutans**

_**S. mutans**_ ATCC 25175 was cultured from freeze-dried stocks into 10 mL of sterile triplic soy broth (Difco Laboratories, Detroit, MI, USA) aerobically at 37°C incubator (Hi-Tec Model 1S-61, Yamato Incubator, Tokyo, Japan) for 24 hours.

**Chitosan**

According to different molecular weights (L, Low; M, Medium; H, High), Three kinds of chitosan powder (75 - 85% deacetylated, Sigma-Aldrich Co., St. Louis, MO, USA) were used.

1. **Antibacterial activities of chitosan powder (L, M, H)**

An overnight culture of _S. mutans_ was adjusted to 1 × 10⁶ colony forming unit (CFU)/mL using Petroff-Hauser counting chamber and 100 mL of bacterial culture was inoculated into 10 mL of BHI broth. 0.1 or 0.5 g of chitosan (L, M, H) powder were added in each BHI broth (n = 3). After aerobic incubation at 37°C for 12 hours, the bacterial suspensions were centrifuged at 1,000 × g for 15 minutes and 300 mL of the supernatant was transferred into the each well of a 96 well plate. The absorbance (OD₆₆₀) of the supernatant was measured using a spectrophotometer (Milton Roy Spectronic 20D, Milton Roy Company, PA, USA).

2. **Inhibitory effect of chitosan-containing composite resin on S. mutans (L_C, M_C, H_C)**

The compositions of experimental resin (L_C, M_C, H_C) and control resin (n = 3) used in this study are listed in Table 1. The powder and liquid of each experimental and control resins were mixed using laboratory mixer system (SpeedMixer, FlackTek Inc, Landrum, SC, USA). The mix was done twice for 10 seconds with 5 zirconia balls so that smooth mixture could be made. Composite resin was put into a teflon mold (10 mm diameter, 2 mm thickness) and was covered with a glass slide (0.15 mm thickness). The specimen was polymerized for 60 seconds using a LED curing unit (Elipar Freelight 2, 3M ESPE, St. Paul, MN, USA) on the top and bottom surfaces. The specimens were removed from the mold, and they were gently polished with 2,000 and 4,000 grit sandpaper sheets. Polished specimens were sterilized in Ethylene Oxide gas.

The disk was suspended in 3 mL of BHI broth containing 2% sucrose and _S. mutans_ suspensions of approximately 1 × 10⁶ CFU/mL. After anaerobic incubation for 36 hours at 37°C, the disks were washed twice with BHI broth. Each
specimen was immersed in 1 mL of BHI broth and was vortex-mixed for 30 seconds to collect the attached S. mutans. The bacterial suspension was transferred to 1.5 mL tube and was vortex mixed with glass bead for 30 seconds. The bacterial suspension was diluted serially from 10 fold to 10⁶ fold by adding BHI broth and 50 mL of the bacterial suspension was spread onto Mitis-salivarius bacitracin agar plate. Plates were incubated anaerobically for 36 hours at 37°C and the number of total CFU recovered was determined.

3. Mechanical properties of chitosan-containing composite resin (L<sub>CR</sub>, M<sub>CR</sub>, H<sub>CR</sub>)

Two types of teflon molds (2 × 2 × 25 mm for 3-point bending test and cylinders 6 mm in diameter by 4 mm in height for Vickers hardness) were prepared. The experimental or control resin with the same composition described above was put into a teflon mold and the surface was covered with a glass slide. Because of the large size of the specimens (n = 10), both top and bottom surfaces were light cured 5 times for 20 seconds each time, overlapping the previously light cured portion with half the size of the diameter of the light guide of LED curing unit (Elipar Freelight 2, 3M ESPE).

For 3-point bending test, the diameters of both supports and a loading plunger were 2 mm as required by the ISO 4049 standard. Both supports were mounted parallel with their centers being 20 mm away to each other (support span 20 mm). The 3-point bending loads were applied using a Universal testing machine (Instron 3344, Instron, Norwood, MA, USA) with a crosshead speed of 1 mm/minute.

Twenty specimens in each group were prepared for Vickers hardness test and stored at 37°C in sterile water for 1 or 3 weeks. Half of the specimens of each group were submitted to Vickers hardness test after 1 week storage time and the rest specimens were tested after 3 weeks storage. The Vickers hardness was measured using a microhardness tester (Akashi HM-122, Mithtoyo, Akashi, Japan). In previous calibration, a 300 g load was applied for 15 seconds. Indentations were randomly distributed on the surface of the specimens.

4. Statistical Analyses

The data were analyzed with two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), followed by Scheffe’s multiple comparison test. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 13.0 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Significance of difference between groups were accepted at 95% level of confidence.

Results

1. Antibacterial activities of chitosan powder

Table 2 shows the absorbance (OD<sub>660</sub>) of the S. mutans suspensions containing chitosan powder with three different molecular weights (L, M, H). The OD<sub>660</sub> of the suspensions containing chitosan powder except 0.1 g chitosan L were significantly lower than that of control group (p < 0.001). Table 3 shows significant differences in the absorbance (OD<sub>660</sub>) among molecular weights (F = 218.042, p < 0.0001) and the amount (F = 123.772, p < 0.0001) of incorporated chitosan. A significant two-way interaction was also observed between the molecular weight and the amount of incorporated chitosan (F = 32.411, p < 0.0001). The OD<sub>660</sub> of the suspension containing chitosan powder significantly decreased as the molecular weight of chitosan increased (p < 0.001). The OD<sub>660</sub> of the suspension containing 0.5 g chitosan powder was significantly lower than that of the suspension containing 0.1 g chitosan powder in all groups (p < 0.001).

### Table 1. Compositions of experimental and control resins

| Components       | (wt./wt.%) | weight (g) |
|------------------|------------|------------|
| Control resin    |            |            |
| Filler           | 66.60      | 20.00      |
| Bis-GMA, TEGDMA (1:1) | 33.00 | 9.90      |
| Camphorquinone   | 0.17       | 0.05       |
| Amine            | 0.17       | 0.05       |
| Total            | 100.00     | 30.00      |

| Experimental resins | (L<sub>CR</sub>, M<sub>CR</sub>, H<sub>CR</sub>) |            |            |
| Filler             | 64.60      | 19.40      |
| Chitosan (L, M, H) | 2.00       | 0.60       |
| Bis-GMA, TEGDMA (1:1) | 33.00 | 9.90      |
| Camphorquinone     | 0.17       | 0.05       |
| Amine              | 0.17       | 0.05       |
| Total              | 100.00     | 30.00      |
2. Inhibitory effect of resins incorporating chitosan on *S. mutans* biofilms

The number of CFU in control resin was significantly larger than that of experimental resins ($p < 0.001$). However, there was no significant difference among resins incorporating chitosan with three different molecular weights ($p > 0.05$). Table 4 and Figure 1 show the number and photographs of the colonies formed by *S. mutans* on the *Mitis-salivarius* bacitracin agar plate in each group.

3. Mechanical properties of resins incorporating chitosan (L, M, H)

Table 5 and 6 show the flexural strength, flexural modulus and the Vickers hardness of control resins and experimental resins incorporating chitosan (L, M, H). There was no significant difference in flexural modulus and Vickers hardness between control resin and experimental resins incorporating chitosan (L, M, H). But, the maximum load and flexural strength of resin (MCR) incorporating chitosan M were significantly lower than those of control resin and resins incorporating chitosan L (LCR) and H (HCR) ($p = 0.031$, $p = 0.032$, and $p = 0.022$, respectively).
Discussion

Chitosan is safe and nontoxic in the body and it is capable of promoting regeneration of oral soft tissue and alveolar bone. Chitosan also has bactericidal effect against bacteria and fungi. The mechanism of this bactericidal effect is related with the inactivation of enzyme, substitution of metal ion, lipopolysaccharides, and also the formation of acidic polymer such as teichoic acid which is formed on the cell surface and polyelectrolyte complex. Recently, chitosan is actively being studied in bio-medical field e.g. being applied in the field of drug delivery carrier-heavy metal removing carrier, separation membrane etc.\textsuperscript{16,17,22,23}

There are many kinds of bacteria in the oral cavity. It can be said that the first step for plaque formation is attachment of micro-organisms to tooth, restoration and acquired pellicle covering dental prosthesis.\textsuperscript{24-27} It is known that hydrophobic property and ionic bond act in these processes. Among these bacteria, \textit{S. mutans} is known to play a very important role in the formation of plaque and caries. Therefore, \textit{S. mutans} was chosen for the subject of the experiment on antimicrobial property in this study.

Some papers have reported that composite resins tend to accumulate more bacteria or plaque than other restorative materials \textit{in vitro or in vivo}.\textsuperscript{28,29} Therefore, many efforts to reduce the incidence of secondary caries in resin restoration have been made in the dental field. Two typical methods among these efforts are incorporating antibacterial material into the bonding material, and incorporating antibacterial material into the composite. This could be an alternative restorative for the prevention of secondary caries and root caries as well. As an example for the first method, Imazato \textit{et al.} drew an excellent result by incorporating MDPB (methacryloyloxydodecyl pyridinium bromide) into the self-etching primer and adhesive resin.\textsuperscript{6} Recently second method is also being studied substantially and several bio-active materials such as MDPB, CHX (chlorhexidine diacetate), Triclosan, silver-containing material (Navaron, Amenitop), cetylpyridium chloride etc. are being introduced. But chitosan is the one which is on the rising interests in the dental field. Chitosan is one of the most abundant substances in nature. It is water insoluble and has a low melting temperature. It is

| Table 5. Maximum load, flexural strength, flexural modulus of control resin and experimental resins incorporating chitosan |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **No** | **Maximum load (MPa)** | **Flexural strength (MPa)** | **Flexural modulus (GPa)** |
|--------|------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
| Control | 10 | 28.88* | 108.30* | 5.82* |
| Chitosan L\textsubscript{CR} | 10 | 23.00* | 86.28* | 4.91* |
| Chitosan M\textsubscript{CR} | 10 | 17.07b | 64.02b | 4.01b |
| Chitosan H\textsubscript{CR} | 10 | 23.83b | 89.36b | 6.09b |

Chitosan L\textsubscript{CR}, composite resin containing low molecular weight chitosan; Chitosan M\textsubscript{CR}, composite resin containing medium molecular weight chitosan; Chitosan H\textsubscript{CR}, composite resin containing high molecular weight chitosan.
The numbers in parentheses were standard deviations.
*The same superscripts in the same column represent that there was no statistically significant difference (\textit{p} > 0.05).

| Table 6. Vickers hardness (Unit: kgf/cm\textsuperscript{2}; \textit{n} = 10) of control resin and experimental resins incorporating chitosan (L, M, H) after 1 or 3 weeks |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Control** | **Chitosan L\textsubscript{CR}** | **Chitosan M\textsubscript{CR}** | **Chitosan H\textsubscript{CR}** |
| 1 week | 13.74a | 12.85a | 11.80a | 13.85a |
| 3 weeks | 32.63a | 32.04a | 29.63a | 29.72a |

Chitosan L\textsubscript{CR}, composite resin containing low molecular weight chitosan; Chitosan M\textsubscript{CR}, composite resin containing medium molecular weight chitosan; Chitosan H\textsubscript{CR}, composite resin containing high molecular weight chitosan.
The numbers in parentheses were standard deviations.
*The same superscripts in the same column represent that there was no statistically significant difference (\textit{p} > 0.05)
shown that these properties of chitosan would be a great benefit for chitosan to be maintained in the oral cavity for a long period of time unlike CHX which gets released and disappears in early phase.24

In this report, a minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) method and CFU counting were used to assess the antimicrobial properties of chitosan itself and chitosan containing composite resin. Also, it was said that antimicrobial effects of chitosan varies depending on the degree of de-acetylation and molecular weights in a previous chitosan-related study.30 Therefore, three kinds of chitosans with different molecular weights were used in this study. In the experiment 1, where OD660 against S. mutans was examined, there was a significant anti-microbial effect in the experimental group of chitosan powder. Three kinds of chitosan with different molecular weights were used in this experiment. In the experiment done by Chen et al. it was reported that the heavier the molecular weight and the higher the degree of de-acetylation were, the higher the antimicrobial effect was.31 On the other hand, it was insisted that low molecular weight showed higher effectiveness in the experiment by Tarsi et al.16,17 In this experiment, however, all the three groups showed antimicrobial effect and its effectiveness was excellent with significant difference compared with the control group. In the experiment 2, where CFUs of the experimental groups and the control group were compared, the number of the bacterial colonies of the experimental groups was significantly small irrespective of their molecular weights. This unit shows the degree of bacterial attachment, therefore it can be related to plaque accumulation.

The ability of plaque organisms to attach onto the tooth surfaces or gingival tissues is commonly acknowledged to be a first step in the progression of oral diseases. The nature of this attachment appears to be complex and is ascribed, at least in part, to electrostatic and hydrophobic interactions. The inhibition of the adsorption of the bacteria to the oral tissues could be a promising approach to prevent their colonization and the progression of disease. This goal may be accomplished, to some extent, by either ionic or non-ionic compounds such as alkyl phosphates, poly-L glutamic acid, phytate, surfolane, and non-ionic propoxylated surfactants, which modify the hydroxyapatite surface, thus reducing oral bacterial adsorption.20,32 Tarsi et al. suggested that the mechanism of anti-adherence activity of chitosan involved bacterial surface modifications, alterations in the expression levels of bacterial surface ligands, and chitosan adsorption to host surfaces to change in hydroxyapatite ionic properties.16

In the meantime, Imazato et al. reported that although composite resin showed strong bactericidal activity when MDPB was added, the mechanical properties were not influenced.4 Since there is no study in which the change of the hardness of resin when chitosan was added, the mechanical properties along with antibacterial effect as well was measured in this study. When flexural strength (3-point bending) was measured, no significant change of the mechanical property in 3 kinds of experimental groups was shown compared with the control group. But chitosan M containing composite resin showed significantly lower values in the properties of maximum load and flexural strength than those of experimental group which contained H and control group.

In measuring Vickers hardness as well, 1 and 3 weeks time lag was given for measuring the change of the rigidity. In the result, there was no significant difference between groups and it was the same even when 3 weeks has passed. This means that the rigidity did not decrease with time in the oral cavity. In conclusion, chitosan has antimicrobial effect and does not influence on the rigidity that it can be said that chitosan is an excellent antimicrobial material to be incorporated to resin composite. It can be said that composite resin including chitosan L and H are more recommended than chitosan M. However, the experimental period was short and there also was a lack of studies on molecular weights. As mentioned above, studies on the long term data, color stability and stability during light curing process are necessary.

Conclusions

In this study, chitosan powder groups except 0.1 g chitosan L showed its antibacterial characteristics with the low OD660 value and this value decreased as the molecular weight and the incorporated amount of chitosan increased. Also the composite resins containing chitosan showed smaller CFUs than the one without chitosan irrespective of its molecular weight. Although the chitosan-containing composite resins (Lcer, Mcer, Hcer) did not show any significant difference in flexural modulus and Vickers hardness in comparison with the control composite resin without chitosan, the maximum load and flexural strength of composite resin (Mcer) incorporating chitosan M were significantly lower than those of control resin without chitosan and composite resins incorporating chitosan L (Lcer) and H (Hcer). Overall, composite resins (Lcer, Hcer) with chitosan L or H would be recommended as an practicable antibacterial restorative when considering its antibacterial nature and mechanical properties.
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