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Abstract: Recently, the direct thrombin (thr) inhibitor dabigatran has proven to be beneficial in animal models of Alzheimer’s disease (AD). Aiming at discovering novel multimodal agents addressing thr and AD-related targets, a selection of previously and newly synthesized potent thr and factor Xa (fXa) inhibitors were virtually screened by the Multi-fingerprint Similarity Searching aLgorithm (MuSSeL) web server. The N-phenyl-1-(pyridin-4-yl)piperidine-4-carboxamide derivative 1, which has already been experimentally shown to inhibit thr with a $K_i$ value of 6 nM, has been flagged by a new, upcoming release of MuSSeL as a binder of cholinesterase (ChE) isoforms (acetyl- and butyrylcholinesterase, AChE and BChE), as well as thr, fXa, and other enzymes and receptors. Interestingly, the inhibition potency of 1 was predicted by the MuSSeL platform to fall within the low-to-submicromolar range and this was confirmed by experimental $K_i$ values, which were found equal to 0.058 and 6.95 µM for eeAChE and eqBChE, respectively. Thirty analogs of 1 were then assayed as inhibitors of thr, fXa, AChE, and BChE to increase our knowledge of their structure-activity relationships, while the molecular determinants responsible for the multiple activities towards the target enzymes were rationally investigated by molecular cross-docking screening.
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1. Introduction

Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is a devastating neurodegenerative disorder, which accounts for the elderly-related dementias. It is estimated that more than ten million people in Europe are currently affected by AD and other dementias, and this number is expected to increase to over thirteen million in 2030 [1,2].

The main histopathological hallmarks of AD are deposition of extracellular neurotoxic amyloid-$\beta$ (A$\beta$) peptide aggregates, along with intracellular neurofibrillary tangles of hyperphosphorylated $\tau$-protein, that generates senile plaques and trigger oxidative stress along with perturbation of cellular metabolism, finally leading to synaptic and neuronal loss. In addition, the lowering of the level of cholinergic neurotransmitter acetylcholine (ACh) into the hippocampus, and progressively into the whole brain cortex, contributes to the typical AD-related cognitive and memory impairment and decline [2–4].

Despite the efforts devoted to discovering novel disease-modifying pharmacological treatments, only few drugs are available for symptomatic treatment of mild-to-moderate AD, which are the acetylcholinesterase (AChE) reversible (galantamine and donepezil) or pseudo-irreversible (rivastigmine) inhibitors, and the N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor (NMDAR) antagonist memantine.
A relevant number of elderly persons affected by AD report comorbidities, mostly cardiovascular conditions and/or diabetes. Vascular and thrombotic risk factors (i.e., hypertension, diabetes, and hyperlipidemia) have also been described as potentially associated with an increased risk and progression of cognitive decline in AD and vascular and mixed dementia [5]. Depending upon the disease severity, stroke is three-to-seven times more common in these patients, and the cerebrovascular lesions may lower the threshold for clinical manifestation of AD. As recommended by recent medical guidelines, the systematic prevention of vascular risk factors in AD patients may positively impact the disease progression [6]. The cerebrovascular abnormalities are followed by formation of Aβ protein plaques co-aggregating with some coagulation factors; these deposits may induce leaking of the brain-blood-barrier (BBB), promoting a pro-thrombotic state, as well as enhancing release of pro-inflammatory mediators in the brain areas [7].

Early clinical trials with small groups of patients showed positive effects of treatments with anticoagulant drugs in dementia-related disorders. Beneficial effects in AD mouse models have been observed after long- and short-term administration of the clinically available thrombin (thr) inhibitor dabigatran (Figure 1), which belongs to the family of direct oral anticoagulants (DOACs).

![Dabigatran and the in vitro equipotent isonipecotamide-based thrombin inhibitor 1.](image)

Figure 1. Dabigatran and the in vitro equipotent isonipecotamide-based thrombin inhibitor 1.

Thrombin is a pleiotropic protease that, as well as its well-known functions in coagulation cascade, has been recognized to play a role in AD as a key inflammatory mediator in the brain. It has also been suggested that vascular injuries associated with neurodegenerative diseases could increase the permeability of BBB to thrombin, which can finally activate protease activated receptor (PAR) expressed on microglia and astrocytes, thus enhancing the AD-associated neurotoxicity and inflammation [8,9].

Treatment with dabigatran resulted in mitigation of neuroinflammation, reduction of the histopathological AD hallmarks, and ultimately in the recovery of cognitive decline [10]. To date, the patients’ recruiting step has started to test efficacy of dabigatran in ameliorating physiopathology and cognitive decline in AD in a repositioning phase I clinical trial [11].

In the last decade we reported the rational design of several classes of (iso)nipecotamide derivatives, acting as selective thrombin (thr) or factor Xa (fXa) inhibitors [12–16]. A pool of these compounds was screened by employing a new upcoming release of the Multi-fingerprint Similarity Search Algorithm (MuSSeL), an in-house web server recently developed by some of us [17,18] in an attempt to find other putative and clinically relevant targets. Interestingly, among the screened compounds, the isonipecotamide derivative 1 (Figure 1) [15] was flagged by MuSSeL as a putative binder of several AD-related protein targets, which include a number of heterologous cholinesterases (e.g., electric eel AChE and equine butyrylcholinesterase BChE).

ChE inhibitors, arising from the “old” cholinergic hypothesis, currently remain the few treatments available to AD patients. Starting from seminal papers on the therapeutic potential of multi-target directed ligands (MTDLs) as disease-modifying agents [19,20], many efforts have been reported to identify new MTDLs, mostly by conjugating AChE inhibitors (donepezil and/or the withdrawn drug tacrine) with a molecular moiety able to interact with additional targets such as Aβ and τ protein aggregation or involved in balancing oxidative stress [21,22].

Inspired by the MTDL paradigm, herein we aimed at integrating in one molecule the potential to bias cholinesterases (ChEs), on one side, and key coagulation factors, thr and/or fXa, on the other. The predicted anticholinesterase activity of compound 1 was experimentally investigated. Furthermore, by selecting a set of structurally related com-
pounds, the molecular determinants responsible of the multitarget activity were rationally investigated by molecular cross-docking screens.

2. Results
2.1. Target Protein Prediction by Similarity Search

Based on multi-fingerprint similarity analyses, MuSSeL characterized compound 1 as a privileged structure sharing both evident and latent molecular frameworks with many known bioactive compounds, thus returning 1 as a potential hit biasing a number of relevant protein drug targets (see Supporting Information), including ChEs (both AChE and BChE), without significative differences between human and heterologous ChE isoforms. A computational study was carried out to investigate at a molecular level the putative interactions established by 1 towards active sites of AChE and thr (Figure 2).
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**Figure 2.** Top-scored docking poses of cmpd 1 vs. AChE (T. Californica, PDB_ID: 1EVE) and vs. thr (H. Sapiens, PDB_ID: 1UVS) are shown on the left and right hand-side, respectively. The protein is shown in ribbon, and the ligands and potentially interacting residues are shown in stick format. Catalytic triads are colored in magenta. Green and blue lines report π–π and cation–π interactions. Red arrows indicate hydrogen bonds. Pockets S1, S2 and S3 in the thr binding site are flagged in red. Docking score values are equal to −8.613 and −8.718 kcal/mol for 1 vs. AChE and thr, respectively.

Thr and fXa are trypsin-like serine proteases that in sequence catalyze the conversion of soluble fibrinogen into insoluble fibrin in the final step of clot formation. The thrombin active site shows the serine proteases’ catalytic triad (residues Asp102, His57, and Ser195), and four binding subsites (S1–S4) recognizing substrate accommodation [15]. The S1 subsite is a deep hydrophobic pocket, that can be considered the major determinant of substrate specificity; the Asp189 residue at the bottom of S1 shows a preference for the Arg residue of protein substrates. A proximal S2 pocket (the so-called loop 60) accommodates small-size hydrophobic residues. A flat S3 site may bind substrates in an antiparallel hydrogen bonding pattern usually involving Gly216. Finally, a large hydrophobic S4 site, also called aryl binding site, and lined by hydrophobic residues (Leu99, Ile174, and Trp215) interacts with aromatic residues or large aliphatic moieties. FXa shares high homology with thrombin, mostly in the S1 subsite, thus laying the main differences in the absence of S2 subsite and in the different residues lining the S4 aromatic subsite, where Tyr99 and Phe174 replace the corresponding Leu and Ile residues of thrombin.

ChEs are serine proteases that hydrolyze the neurotransmitter acetylcholine (ACh). AChE is the main enzyme responsible for ACh regulation in the healthy brain. In the AD patient brain, a decline of AChE level and a significant increase (from 30 to 60%) of BChE expression and activity have been reported [23,24], suggesting a role of BChE in
AD progression. AChE and BChE share about 70% of structural homology. The AChE active site is a 20 Å deep and narrow gorge, containing five regions which recognize the binding of ligands, namely: the catalytic active site (Ser203, His447, Glu334, human species numbering); the ‘oxyanion hole’, that stabilizes the transient tetrahedral enzyme-substrate complex; the ‘anionic site’ where the residue Trp86 (conserved in both ChEs) recognize, through cation−π interactions, orientation and stabilization of the trimethylammonium head of ACh; the ‘acyl pocket’ interacting with the substrate acyl group; and the so-called ‘peripheral anionic site’ (PAS), located on the rim of the active site gorge [23,24]. BChE differs from AChE in the ‘acyl pocket’ and PAS. Two Phe residues (Phe295, Phe297) in the AChE ‘acyl pocket’, limiting the access of bulkier molecules to the catalytic site, are replaced by two aliphatic residues (Leu286, Val288) in BChE. Furthermore, six out of the fourteen aromatic residues lining the AChE gorge rim and PAS are replaced by aliphatic residues in BChE. Therefore, the volume of the BChE cavity (about 200 Å³) is significantly larger than that of AChE.

As shown in Figure 2, the isonipecotamide derivative 1 can establish both π−π and cation−π interactions with the AChE residue Trp84 at the catalytic anion site (CAS), through its pyridyl ring, which is also involved in a hydrogen bond with the side chain of Glu199. The carbonyl group of the isonipecotanilide moiety could make a hydrogen bond with the side chain of Tyr121, while the meta-fluorine substituted benzyloxy group faced to the residue Trp279 at the peripheral anionic site (PAS). As far as the interactions with thrombin are concerned, the pyridine ring can make a hydrogen bond (HB) with residue Asp189 at the S1 pocket. In addition, the aromatic ring of the isonipecotanilide moiety can make π−π contacts with Tyr60A at S2 pocket [25], and with His57 of the catalytic triad [26], while the meta-fluorine benzyloxy group established π−π contacts with Trp215 at the S3 pocket.

As shown in Figure 2, the isonipecotamide derivative 1 can establish both π−π and cation−π interactions with the AChE residue Trp84 at the catalytic anion site (CAS), through its pyridyl ring, which is also involved in a hydrogen bond with the side chain of Glu199. The carbonyl group of the isonipecotanilide moiety could make a hydrogen bond with the side chain of Tyr121, while the meta-fluorine substituted benzyloxy group faced to the residue Trp279 at the peripheral anionic site (PAS). As far as the interactions with thrombin are concerned, the pyridine ring can make a hydrogen bond (HB) with residue Asp189 at the S1 pocket. In addition, the aromatic ring of the isonipecotanilide moiety can make π−π contacts with Tyr60A at S2 pocket [25], and with His57 of the catalytic triad [26], while the meta-fluorine benzyloxy group established π−π contacts with Trp215 at the S3 pocket.

As shown in Figure 2, the isonipecotamide derivative 1 can establish both π−π and cation−π interactions with the AChE residue Trp84 at the catalytic anion site (CAS), through its pyridyl ring, which is also involved in a hydrogen bond with the side chain of Glu199. The carbonyl group of the isonipecotanilide moiety could make a hydrogen bond with the side chain of Tyr121, while the meta-fluorine substituted benzyloxy group faced to the residue Trp279 at the peripheral anionic site (PAS). As far as the interactions with thrombin are concerned, the pyridine ring can make a hydrogen bond (HB) with residue Asp189 at the S1 pocket. In addition, the aromatic ring of the isonipecotanilide moiety can make π−π contacts with Tyr60A at S2 pocket [25], and with His57 of the catalytic triad [26], while the meta-fluorine benzyloxy group established π−π contacts with Trp215 at the S3 pocket.

Taking into account the predictions, the inhibitory activity of cmpd 1 toward electric eel (ee) AChE and horse serum (hs) BChE was investigated by using the in vitro Ellman colorimetric assay, as modified [27]. The inhibition constant values were derived from IC50 by applying the Cheng−Prousoff equation [28].

Compound 1 showed good and selective inhibition of eeAChE (Table 1, Ki = 58.0 ± 1.4 nM), affording identification of a new potential MTDL against neurodegeneration, combining in vitro a thr inhibition potency equal to that of dabigatran, and anti-AChE activity quite similar to that of donepezil. The experimental ΔΔG score was about 1.3 kcal/mol, one unit higher than that predicted by the docking study.

The mechanism of eeAChE inhibition by cmpd 1 was studied (Figure 3). The Lineeweaver−Burk curves were outlined using a fixed amount of eeAChE and varying substrate concentrations (50–300 µM), in the absence or presence of different inhibitor concentrations (0–500 nM). Binding of 1 to AChE changed both Vmax and Km values, by following a trend that can be ascribed to a noncompetitive/mixed-type inhibition. A replot of the slopes versus the corresponding inhibitor concentrations (see Figure S1 in Supplementary Information) provided a Ki value of 0.056 µM, superimposable to that obtained by applying the Cheng−Prousoff equation (0.058 µM). The noncompetitive/mixed inhibition mechanism on AChE suggests that the inhibitor may interact with binding sites other than the active site. In our case, the highly scored docking poses suggested that cmpd 1, with its meta-F-benzyloxy moiety, may bind into the PAS (Trp279) of AChE (Figure 2a).

Based on the dual activity achieved by the isonipecotamide derivative 1, a wider set of structurally related compounds, previously reported and newly synthesized, showing moderate-to-potent inhibition of thr, were evaluated as ChEs’ inhibitors.
Table 1. Inhibitory activity of analogs of the isonipecotanilide 1 on blood coagulation factors (bovine thr, human recombinant fXa) and cholinesterases (εεAChE, εεBChE).

| Cmpd | Linker pos. | Linker | F-pos. | thr | fXa | εεAChE | εεBChE |
|------|-------------|--------|--------|-----|-----|--------|--------|
| 1    | 3           | OCH₂   | 3'     | 0.006 | 5.60 | 0.058 | 6.95 |
| 2    | 3           | OCH₂   | 4'     | 0.210 | 1.10 | 0.240 | 1.21 |
| 3    | 3           | CH₂O   | 4'     | 26.8  | 0.774 | 0.165 | 2.60 |
| 4    | 4           | OCH₂   | 3'     | 6.70  | 25.1 | 3.88  | 0.425 |
| 5    | 2           | OCH₂   | 3'     | (10 ± 5%) | (2 ± 2%) | (12 ± 8%) | 0.655 |
| 6    | 3           | OCH₂CH₂ | 3'     | 2.14  | 0.488 | 0.369 | 1.75 |
| 7    | 3           | OCH₂CH₂ | 4'     | 4.46  | 0.133 | 0.650 | 5.63 |
| 8    | 3           | CH₂CH₂ | 4'     | 3.72  | 37.7 | 0.495 | 8.20 |
| 9    | 3           | CONH   | 4'     | 4.47  | 4.04 | 0.270 | 8.25 |
| 10   | 3           | CONHCH₂ | 4'     | 10.6  | 2.40 | 0.255 | 0.370 |
| 11   | 3           | SO₂NHCH₂ | 4'     | 11.2  | 3.09 | 0.155 | 4.10 |
| 12   | 3           | NHCONH | 4'     | 26.7  | 16.5 | 0.735 | (15 ± 7%) |
|      |             |        |        | 0.0042 | 5.10 | (36 ± 7%) | 2.25 |

1 Kᵢ values determined by applying the Cheng–Prousoff equation to IC₅₀ values, by regression (GraphPad Prism software ver. 5.01), or inhibition percentage ± SEM at 50 µM (thr and fXa) or 10 µM (AChE and BChE). Data are means of three independent measurements, each one performed in triplicate (SEM < 5%). Dabigatran and apixaban as positive controls against blood coagulation factors; donepezil as positive control against cholinesterases. 2 Bovine serum thrombin. 3 Human recombinant fXa. 4 Electric eel acetylcholinesterase. 5 Horse serum butyrylcholinesterase. 6 Previously reported [15].

Figure 3. Inhibition kinetics (a) and Lineweaver–Burk plot (b), r² = 0.966–0.996 for εεAChE (0.09 U/mL) and 1 (0–500 nM) by using different substrate (acetylthiocholine iodide) concentrations (50–300 µM). The replot (r² = 0.990) of the slopes versus [I] determined the Kᵢ (58 nM) as the x-axis intercept (see Supplementary Information). (○) no inhibitor, (●) 50 nM, (■) 100 nM, (◆) 200 nM, (□) 500 nM.

2.2. Chemistry

The newly synthesized compounds 9–12 were prepared following methods previously reported for the synthesis of compounds 1–8, as shown in Schemes 1 and 2 [15]. Using TBTU/DIPEA as coupling reagents in dry DMF, the isonipecotamide derivatives 1–12 were prepared in satisfactory yields by reaction with 1-(pyridin-4-yl)piperidine-4-carboxylic acid (I), which in turn was obtained in a one-pot reaction between ethyl isonipecotate and 4-chloropyridine hydrochloride, and suitable aniline intermediates [15].
Compounds 13–21 were synthesized as shown in Scheme 2 [13]. The N-BOC protected (ortho-, meta-, para-substituted) phenol compounds (II) were alkylated with fluoro- and chlorobenzyl, or suitable bromomethyl-biaryl intermediates. After removal of the BOC-protecting group with TFA, the salts III–V underwent reductive amination with acetone or cyclopentanone, to afford, after chromatographic purification on silica gel, the N-alkyl isonipecotanilides 14–20 and 28 [13]. Alternatively, reaction with 1,3-bis(tert-butoxycarbonyl)-2-methyl-2-thiopeudourea and HgCl$_2$ in dry DMF, followed by BOC deprotection, afforded HCl salts of the guanidine derivatives 13 and 21 [12,13].

2.3. Dual Cholinesterase/Coagulation Factor Inhibition by Isonipecotamide Derivatives

Each compound was first tested at $10^{-5}$ M concentration, and the compounds achieving at least 60% inhibition were then tested at lower scalar concentrations to determine their half-maximal inhibitory concentrations (IC$_{50}$), and their inhibition constant values (Table 1).

The effects determined by the shift of the F atom, and definition of the better positional isomerism of the OCH$_2$ linker between the isonipecotamide scaffold and distal benzyl fragment were investigated. Both inhibitory activities were significantly affected by the position of F. The shift of the F atom from meta to para position retained the dual activity profile in 2 but showed significantly weaker potencies. As previously reported, the meta-F improved selectivity toward thr over fxA, and increased nearly 35-fold the potency against thr over the $4'$-F isomer. The loss in activity due to the F-shift was higher against thr than against AChE (cmpd. 2 was four times less active than 1 as AChE inhibitor).

The positional ortho (4) and para (5) congeners of 1 clearly showed that the meta isomer does achieve higher affinity in both thr and AChE binding sites. The para isomer 4 appeared 2–3 orders of magnitude less potent than 1 against both thr and AChE. The ortho isomer 5 did not show any significant inhibition at the highest tested concentration. Noteworthily, both para and ortho positional isomers appeared as moderate BChE inhibitors, more active than 1, similarly to some picolinamide derivatives reported by others [29].

The chemistry of the linker connecting distal 4-F-phenyl with nipecotanilide scaffold, previously reported as a key factor to achieve a good thrombin inhibition, was further
investigated. Despite the OCH$_2$ spacer proving to be the only fragment affording potent thr inhibition, the anti-AChE activity was influenced to a lesser extent by the changing chemistry and physicochemical properties (lipophilicity, polar surface area, H-donor/acceptor properties) of the linker. Derivatives 3 and 7–12 showed a quite similar and selective AChE inhibition.

The AChE inhibition potency decreased three times due to a reversal of the oxymethylene OCH$_2$ to CH$_2$O bridge (3 vs. 2); its elongation to OCH$_2$CH$_2$ (6 and 7), or its replacement with CH$_2$CH$_2$ (8) resulted in less effective inhibitors. However, the OCH$_2$CH$_2$ linker led us to identify an alternative route to integrate in one molecule the potential of interfering with both blood coagulation factors and cholinesterases, thus providing cmpd. 7 with selectivity against fXa and moderate AChE inhibition potency.

The carboxamide (9–10) and sulphonamide (11) linkers resulted in promising AChE inhibitors, which showed a potency quite similar to that of 2, and a limited loss in potency with respect to 1. In contrast, the urea linker (12) turned out to be unfavorable in all the tested enzymes.

An attempt at correlating the anti-AChE potency with physicochemical descriptors of lipophilicity, molecular volume, molar refractivity, and topological surface area (tPSA), suggested a trend of nonlinear relationships with tPSA, with the property value of cmpd. 1 (54.46 Å$^2$) near the optimum. A tPSA value less than 90 Å$^2$, according to Clark’s equation, is accepted as a molecular determinant allowing the crossing of the brain-blood-barrier (BBB) and delivering drugs into CNS [30,31]. As simulated in silico admetSAR software, cmpd. 1 was estimated to be able to cross the BBB, be non-hepatotoxic, and be well absorbed through human intestine [32].

The enzymes’ inhibition profile of some 4′-F and 4′-Cl-benzyloxy derivatives, obtained by replacing the pyrid-4-yl moiety on piperidine fragment was further investigated.

The compounds in Table 2 showed only a promising inhibition of ChEs, whilst lacking activity against thr and/or fXa, with the exception of 20. Substituents on N1 deeply affected anti-ChE activities. While pyridyl moiety on the piperidine N1 identified selective AChE inhibitors, derivatives 13–15, bearing guanidine, alkyl or cycloalkyl groups proved to be selective inhibitors of BChE, with moderate to good potency. The guanidino derivative 13 inhibited BChE about five times more than cmpd 2; its replacement with isopropyl (14, $K_i = 130 \pm 4$ nM) or cyclopentyl (15, $K_i = 115 \pm 2$ nM) further improved potency and selectivity toward BChE. The inhibition mechanism towards BChE was found to be noncompetitive/mixed (Figure S2 in S.I.).

Regarding the positional isomers on the carboxamide scaffold in this set of 4′-F-benzyl derivatives, meta-substituted analogs were more potent than the ortho- and para-substituted isomers. Replacement of F with Cl (compare the N-isopropyl derivatives 18 and 20) enhanced the potency against both BChE and fXa. Compound 20 was flagged as a potential BChE/fXa dual inhibitor, showing $K_i$ values toward both enzymes in the same concentration range.

Replacing the N-isopropyl group with a guanidine one resulted in a dramatic loss of both BChE and fXa inhibitory activities. The behavior of cmpd. 20, which showed the highest dual fXa/BChE inhibition potency, was not totally unexpected, as it could adopt the so called L-shape conformation, typical of the most potent fXa inhibitors, thus allowing the halogen-binding mode [33] between the chlorophenyl fragment and S1 subsite [13,14]. Furthermore, 20 could well access the BChE binding site, due to the gorge larger than that of AChE.

The achievement in the same molecule of a good fXa and BChE inhibition must not be underestimated. A putative role of BChE in the AD progression has been suggested [23,24], whereas, although a direct involvement of fXa in abnormal neurodegenerative pathways has not been shown, fXa inhibition can prevent the generation of thr and possibly its AD-related neurotoxicity and inflammation [6,7].
Table 2. Inhibition of N1-substituted isonipecotanilide derivatives on blood coagulation factors (thr, fXa) and cholinesterases (AChE, BChE).

| Compd | OCH₂ pos. | R     | X  | thr ²       | fXa ³ | AChE ⁴ | BChE ⁵ |
|-------|-----------|-------|----|-------------|-------|--------|--------|
| 13    | 3         | C(=NH)NH₂ | F  | 20.0        | 33.0  | 10.0   | 0.260  |
| 14    | 3         | i-Pr   | F  | (5 ± 5%)    | (28 ± 8%) | 15.5  | 0.130  |
| 15    | 3         | c-Pent | F  | 30.0        | (40 ± 6%) | (27 ± 9%) | 0.115 |
| 16    | 4         | i-Pr   | F  | (11 ± 6%)   | (5 ± 5%) | 6.25   | 2.36   |
| 17    | 4         | c-Pent | F  | (25 ± 6%)   | (28 ± 9%) | 3.52   | 0.255  |
| 18    | 2         | i-Pr   | F  | 5.35        | 10.5  | (15 ± 5%) | 0.378 |
| 19    | 2         | c-Pent | F  | (12 ± 3%)   | (28 ± 3%) | (35 ± 7%) | 0.215 |
| 20    | 2         | i-Pr   | Cl | (20 ± 5%)   | 0.484 | (11 ± 2%) | 0.105 |
| 21    | 2         | C(=NH)NH₂ | Cl | 25.9        | 60.6  | (38 ± 3%) | 8.20   |

1–5. See footnotes in Table 1.

An in-house library of isopropyl- and guanidino isonipecotamide fXa inhibitors, with nanomolar potency, bearing a biaryl moiety, was further evaluated for the inhibitors additional abilities as ChE inhibitors. The derivatives in Table 3 showed weak potency as ChEs’ inhibitors, with the exception of 23, bearing a guanidino group at piperidine nitrogen and 4-Cl-biphenyl moiety, which showed a good dual fXa/BChE inhibition potency in the nanomolar range (fXa Kᵢ = 31 ± 1 nM; BChE Kᵢ = 90 ± 4 nM). The corresponding N-isopropyl analogue 22 did not show better fXa and BChE inhibition. Compounds obtained by replacing 4-Cl-biphenyl with bioisosteric phenylisoxazoles, previously reported as enhancers of fXa inhibition potency, were two orders of magnitude less active than 22 and 23 towards BChE.

Table 3. Anticholinesterase activity of isonipecotanilide-based fXa selective inhibitors.

| Compd | Pos | R     | Ar–Ar   | thr ²       | fXa ³ | AChE ⁴ | BChE ⁵ |
|-------|-----|-------|---------|-------------|-------|--------|--------|
| 22    | 3   | i-Pr  |         | (5 ± 2%)    | 0.165 | 3.33   | (35 ± 10%) |
| 23    | 3   | C(=NH)NH₂ | phen–Cl | 2.04        | 0.031 | 5.80   | 0.090  |
| 24    | 3   | i-Pr  |         | (15 ± 5%)   | 0.218 | 6.30   | 3.06   |
| 25    | 3   | C(=NH)NH₂ | ox–Cl  | 0.630       | 0.026 | 11.0   | 7.67   |
| 26    | 2   | i-Pr  |         | 13.7        | 0.007 | 11.5   | 1.11   |
| 27    | 2   | C(=NH)NH₂ | ox–Cl  | 21.2        | 0.060 | (16 ± 3%) | 10.0   |
| 28    | 3   | i-Pr  |         | 23.0        | 0.867 | 7.00   | 4.10   |
| 29    | 3   | C(=NH)NH₂ | Cl     | 15.3        | 0.388 | 10.0   | 1.12   |
| 30    | 3   | i-Pr  |         | 16.0        | 0.090 | 5.10   | 2.13   |
| 31    | 3   | C(=NH)NH₂ | Cl     | 15.0        | 0.015 | (31 ± 6%) | 1.62   |

1–5. See footnotes in Table 1.
A molecular docking study on human BChE, which shares high structural homology with horse serum isoform, was carried out to investigate molecular interactions of cmpd. 23 and BChE (Figure 4). As shown on the left-hand side of Figure 4, 23 can make a hydrogen bond with the side chain of Glu276 residue, through its guanidine group. Interestingly, a π–π interaction was detected between the aromatic ring of isonipecotanilide and Tyr332, and between the para-Cl biphenyl group with Trp82 and His438, the latter being part of the catalytic triad. As far as fXa interactions were concerned, 23 established a hydrogen bond with Asp189 at the S1 pocket through its guanidine group. Interestingly, the para-Cl biphenyl arm can strongly interact through π–π contact with residues Trp215, Phe174, and Tyr99 at the S4 fXa selective pocket.

To investigate the molecular determinants responsible for the observed multitarget activities, the rational analysis of cavities was performed, by focusing on compounds 1 and 23, which were proved to target with the highest (nanomolar) binding affinity thr/AChE and fXa/BChE, respectively (Figure 5). The structural alignment of top-scored docking poses of 1 towards AChE and thr, and of 23 towards BChE and fXa was carried out to make results easily comparable. Interestingly, cmpd. 1 adopted a more bent conformation within the thr binding site and a more relaxed arrangement within the AChE binding pocket. On the other hand, compound 23 assumed very similar L-shaped (or V-shaped) conformations within the two binding pockets.

Figure 4. Top-scored docking poses of compound 23 vs. BChE (H. Sapiens, PDB ID: 6SAM) and vs. fXa (H. Sapiens, PDB ID: 3K9X) are shown on the left (a) and right (b) hand-side, respectively. Catalytic triads are colored in magenta. Green lines and red arrows indicate π–π interactions and hydrogen bonds, respectively. Pockets S1 (yellow sticks) and S4 (cyan sticks) in the fXa binding site are flagged in red. Docking score values were equal to −9.861 and −10.663 kcal/mol for 23 vs. BChE and vs. fXa, respectively.

Figure 5. Panel (a) shows the structural overlays of 23 within BChE and fXa binding pockets; panel (b) shows the structural overlays of 1 within AChE and thrombin binding pockets. Cyan/yellow mesh indicates AChE/BChE and fXa/thr lipophilic MIFs detected by CRY probe. The most relevant residues are highlighted in cyan for AChE and BChE and in yellow for fXa and thr.
The BioGPS software was employed to compute the molecular interaction fields (MIFs) in an attempt at identifying common features between the binding pockets. In this respect, the MIFs similarity between BChE and FXa, and AChE and thr are reported in Supplementary Information (Tables S1 and S2).

Looking at Figure 5, the para-Cl biphenyl ring of 23 impacted overlapping regions of minimum CRY probe formed by Trp82 and Tyr332 residues of BChE, and by Phe174, Trp215, and Tyr99 residues of FXa. As for AChE and thr cavities, the 4-(piperidin-1-yl)pyridine moiety of 1 lay in a CRY minimum region formed by Trp84 and Phe330 residues of AChE and Tyr228 residue of thrombin. Furthermore, the meta-fluorine benzoxyl group impacted another CRY minimum made up of Trp279 residue of AChE and Ile174 and Trp215 residues of thr.

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Chemistry

For the starting materials, all chemicals and solvents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and Alfa Aesar. Melting points were determined by using the capillary method on a Stuart Scientific SMP3 electrothermal apparatus and are uncorrected. Purity of the final compounds was assessed by elemental analyses (C, H, N), performed on a Euro EA3000 analyzer (Eurovector, Milan, Italy), by the Analytical Laboratory Service of the Department of Pharmacy-Drug Sciences of the University of Bari Aldo Moro (Italy). The results agreed to within 0.4% of theoretical values. All the tested compounds showed a higher than 95% purity. Mass spectra were obtained by Agilent 1100 Series LC–MSD Trap System VL, equipped with ESI (electrospray ionization) source. The high-resolution molecular masses of test compounds were assessed by Agilent 6530 Accurate Mass Q-TOF spectrometer (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA). IR spectra (KBr disks) were recorded on a Spectrum One FT infrared spectrophotometer (PerkinElmer, Beaconsfield, UK), and the most significant absorption bands are listed. 1H NMR spectra were recorded at 300 MHz on a Varian Mercury 300 instrument. Chemical shifts data are expressed in δ and the coupling constants J are in hertz (Hz); the following abbreviations are used for multiplicity: s, singlet; d, doublet; dd, doublet-doublet; td, triplet of doublets; t, triplet; q, quartet; m, multiplet. Signals due to NH and OH protons were located by deuterium exchange with D2O. Chromatographic separations were performed on silica gel 60 for column chromatography (Merck 70–230 mesh).

3.1.1. Synthesis of Compounds 9–12

Compounds 9–12 were synthesized by following the procedure previously reported [15]. To a solution of 205 mg (1.00 mmol) of 1-(pyridin-2-yl)piperidine-4-carboxylic acid (I) in 10 mL of dry DMF were added 320 mg (1.00 mmol) of TBTU, 0.68 mL (4.00 mmol) of DIPEA, and, after 30 min, the suitable aniline intermediate (1.2 mmol) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 72 h and then poured on ice. The resulting precipitate was filtered, collected, and washed with diethyl ether (Et2O) to yield the desired compounds. When necessary, the crude products were recrystallized from acetone and MeOH.

*N-(3-(4-fluorophenylcarbamoyl)phenyl)-1-(pyridin-4-yl)piperidine-4-carboxamide* (9). Brown solid, yield 55% (230 mg), M.p. 130–132 °C. IR cm⁻¹: 3449, 1669, 1604, 1509, 1484, 1232, 1188, 998, 806, 751. 1H NMR (300 MHz, acetone-d6), δH: 9.65 (s, 1H), 9.40 (s, 1H), 8.28–8.13 (m, 2H), 7.95–7.81 (m, 2H), 7.65 (d, 1H, J = 8 Hz), 7.13 (t, 2H, J = 8 Hz), 6.82 (d, 2H, J = 6.5 Hz), 2.98 (dt, 2H, J1 = 12.5 Hz, J2 = 2.5 Hz), 1.88–1.78 (m, 2H). HRMS [ESI], m/z: 419.1868 [M + H]^+ for C24H24N4O2F. Anal. Calc. for C24H24N4O2F, %: C, 68.88; H, 5.54; N, 13.39; found, %: C, 68.98; H, 5.75; N, 13.42.

*N-(3-(4-fluorobenzylcarbamoyl)phenyl)-1-(pyridin-4-yl)piperidine-4-carboxamide* (10). Pale brown solid, yield 56% (240 mg), M.p. 148–150 °C. IR cm⁻¹: 3449, 1669, 1604, 1509, 1484, 1232, 1188, 998, 806, 751. 1H NMR (300 MHz, acetone-d6), δH: 9.38 (br s, 1H), 8.29 (br s, 1H), 8.22–8.12 (m, 1H), 7.84 (dd, 2H, J = 8.3, 1.1 Hz), 7.59 (d, 1H, J = 8.0 Hz), 7.44–7.34 (m,
1.65 (t, 2H, J = 15.10, 13.24, 13.10, 12.21, 11.51, 9.93, 7.88 Hz), 2.99–2.76 (m, 2H), 2.74–2.66 (m, 1H), 2.06–1.90 (m, 2H), 1.86–1.75 (m, 2H). HRMS [ESI], m/z: 433.209 [M + H]+ for C_{25}H_{26}N_4O_2F. Anal. Calc. for C_{25}H_{25}N_4O_2F: %: C, 69.43; H, 5.83; N, 12.95; found, %: C, 69.45; H, 5.87; N, 13.00.

N-(3-(4-fluorobenzylsulphonyl)phenyl)-1-(pyridin-4-yl)piperidine-4-carboxamide (11). Brown solid, yield 50% (235 mg), M.p. 185–188 °C. IR cm⁻¹: 3471, 3292, 2926, 2856, 1630, 1600, 1540, 1510, 1324, 1310, 1221, 1151, 993, 788. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d₆), δH: 10.24 (s, 1H), 8.21–8.08 (m, 2H), 7.93 (t, 1H, J = 6.3 Hz), 7.75 (d, 1H, J = 8.0 Hz), 7.40 (dt, 1H, J = 6.3, 4.4 Hz), 7.31–7.23 (m, 2H), 7.16 (t, 1H, J = 7.3 Hz), 7.13–7.02 (m, 2H), 6.91–6.69 (m, 2H), 5.54 (s, 1H), 4.05–3.85 (m, 4H), 3.00 (dd, 2H, J = 8.0; 1.2 Hz), 2.94–2.87 (m, 2H), 2.69–2.54 (m, 1H), 1.87 (d, 2H, J = 10.6 Hz), 1.65 (t, 2H, J = 13 Hz). HRMS [ESI], m/z: 469.1699 [M + H]+ for C_{24}H_{25}N_4O_2S. Anal. Calc. for C_{24}H_{25}N_4O_2S: %: C, 61.52; H, 5.38; N, 11.96; found, %: C, 61.77; H, 5.43; N, 12.01.

1-(3-(1-pyridin-4-yl)piperidine-4-carboxamido)phenyl)-3-(4-fluorophenyl)urea (12). Pale brown solid, yield 75% (325 mg), M.p. 229–231 °C. IR cm⁻¹: 3288, 1638, 1604, 1510, 1491, 1446, 1296, 1202, 998, 838, 809, 688. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d₆), δH: 9.92 (s, 1H), 8.68 (s, 1H), 7.48 (s, 1H), 8.33–8.10 (m, 2H), 8.10 (d, 2H, J = 2.0), 7.78 (s, 1H), 7.45–7.38 (m, 2H), 7.22–7.06 (m, 3H), 6.85–6.72 (m, 2H), 4.00–3.98 (m, 2H), 2.94–2.82 (d, 2H, J = 12.4 Hz), 2.49 (m, 1H), 1.88–1.80 (m, 2H), 1.67–1.54 (m, 2H). HRMS [ESI], m/z: 434.1989 [M + H]+ for C_{24}H_{25}N_4O_2S. Anal. Calc. for C_{24}H_{25}N_4O_2S: %: C, 66.50; H, 5.58; N, 16.16; found, %: C, 66.58; H, 5.63; N, 16.24.

3.1.2. Synthesis of Compounds 14–20, and 28

Compounds 14–20 were synthesized by following the procedure previously reported [13]. To a solution of 100 mg (0.23 mmol) of compound III trifluoroacetate salt (or compound IV) [12], dissolved in 5 mL of MeOH, were added acetone (1 mL), or cyclopentanone (0.30 mmol). After cooling (0 °C) Na(CN)BH₃ (0.35 mmol) was added, and the mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature. The reaction was quenched by adding a few drops of 5% aqueous KHSO₄. After dilution with water, the mixture was corrected to pH 9 and extracted 3 times with 15 mL of methylene chloride (CH₂Cl₂). The collected organic phases were dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The obtained crude products were purified by chromatography on silica gel, by eluting with a mixture of CH₂Cl₂/MeOH, 95/5 v/v, to achieve the desired final compounds in good yields. Compound 28 was obtained by following the same procedure, and by starting from 120 mg (0.2 mmol) of intermediate V [13].

N-(3-(4-fluoroanilino)phenyl)-1-isopropylpiperidine-4-carboxamide (14) White solid, yield 85% (76 mg), M.p. 141–143 °C. IR cm⁻¹: 3223, 2967, 2944, 2797, 1645, 1605, 1513, 1439, 1225, 1171, 1011, 838, 775. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃), δH: 7.47 (s, 1H), 7.43–7.36 (m, 2H), 7.20 (t, 2H, J = 8.2 Hz), 7.07 (td, 2H, J = 10.8; 5.8 Hz), 6.92 (dd, 1H, J = 8.0; 1.2 Hz), 6.70 (dd, 1H, J = 7.9; 2.1 Hz), 5.02 (s, 2H), 3.00 (d, 2H, J = 11.9 Hz), 2.79 (heptet, 1H, J = 6.5 Hz), 2.35–2.20 (m, 3H), 2.06–1.94 (m, 2H), 1.93–1.78 (m, 2H), 1.07 (d, 6H, J = 6.5 Hz). HRMS [ESI], m/z: 371.2115 [M + H]+ for C_{22}H_{28}N_2O_2F. Anal. Calc. for C_{22}H_{27}N_2O_2F: %: C, 71.33; H, 7.35; N, 7.56; found, %: C, 71.42; H, 7.31; N, 7.55.

N-(3-(4-fluoroanilino)phenyl)-1-cyclopentylpiperidine-4-carboxamide (15) Pale brown solid, yield 85% (78 mg), M.p. 183–185 °C. IR cm⁻¹: 3287, 2867, 2801, 2758, 1600, 1607, 1537, 1321, 1206, 830, 779. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d₆), δH: 9.67 (s, 1H), 7.48 (d, 2H, J = 9.0 -Hz), 7.64–7.43 (m, 2H), 7.19 (d, 2H, J = 8.5 -Hz), 6.91 (d, 2H, J = 9.0 Hz), 5.02 (s, 2H), 3.00–2.70 (m, 3H), 2.35–2.10 (m, 2H), 1.80–1.60 (m, 4H). HRMS [ESI], m/z: 397.2291 [M + H]+ for C_{24}H_{29}FN_2O_2. Anal. Calc. for C_{24}H_{29}N_2O_2F: %: C, 72.70; H, 7.37; N, 7.07; found, %: C, 72.76; H, 7.43; N, 7.05.

N-(4-(4-fluoroanilino)phenyl)-1-isopropylpiperidine-4-carboxamide (16) White solid, yield 75% (64 mg), M.p. 180–172 °C. IR cm⁻¹: 3304, 2920, 1652, 1602, 1527, 1243, 1228, 1009, 830. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d₆), δH: 9.69 (s, 1H), 7.48–7.45 (m, 4H), 7.19 (t, 2H, J = 8.5 -Hz), 6.91 (d, 2H, J = 9.0 Hz), 5.02 (s, 2H), 3.00–2.70 (m, 3H), 2.35–2.10 (m, 2H), 1.80–1.75 (m, 2H), 1.70–1.63 (m, 2H), 1.00 (d, 6H, J = 5.5). HRMS [ESI], m/z: 371.2137 [M + H]+ for
C_{22}H_{28}FN_{2}O_{2}. Anal. Calc. for C_{22}H_{27}N_{2}O_{2}F, %: C, 71.33; H, 7.35; N, 7.56; found, %: C, 71.46; H, 7.44; N, 7.68.

N-(4-(4-fluorobenzyloxy)phenyl)-1-cyclopentylpiperidine-4-carboxamide (17) Pale brown solid, yield 50% (45 mg), M.p. 215–217 °C. IR cm⁻¹: 3287, 2919, 1650, 1603, 1514, 1007, 1231, 828. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d₆), δH: 9.65 (s, 1H), 7.50 (d, 2H, J = 9.0 Hz), 7.65–7.41 (m, 2H), 7.18 (d, 2H, J = 8.5 Hz), 6.89 (d, 2H, J = 9.0), 4.98 (s, 2H), 3.30–3.15 (m, 2H), 3.00–2.80 (m, 3H), 2.30–2.10 (m, 1H), 2.05–1.90 (m, 4H), 1.80–1.55 (m, 8H). HRMS [M + H]⁺ m/z: 397.2287 [M + H]⁺ for C_{24}H_{29}FN_{2}O_{2}. Anal. Calc. for C_{24}H_{29}N_{2}O_{2}F, %: C, 72.70; H, 7.37; N, 7.07; found, %: C, 72.81; H, 7.44; N, 7.12.

N-(2-(4-fluorobenzyloxy)phenyl)-1-isopropylpiperidine-4-carboxamide (18) Pink solid, yield 69% (58 mg), M.p. 180–182 °C. IR cm⁻¹: 3282, 1732, 1626, 1611, 1589, 1536, 1374, 1263, 1107, 852, 781. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d₆), δH: 9.00 (s, 1H), 7.75 (d, 1H, J = 7.5), 7.51 (t, 2H, J = 7.5), 7.20 (t, 2H, J = 9.0), 7.10–7.00 (m, 2H), 6.88 (t, 1H, J = 8.0), 5.13 (s, 2H), 3.00–2.70 (m, 3H), 2.35–2.10 (m, 3H), 1.80–1.60 (m, 4H), 1.00 (d, 6H, J = 6.0). HRMS [M + H]⁺ m/z: 371.2134 [M + H]⁺ for C_{21}H_{28}FN_{2}O_{2}. Anal. Calc. for C_{21}H_{27}N_{2}O_{2}F, %: C, 71.33; H, 7.35; N, 7.56; found, %: C, 71.54; H, 7.51; N, 7.48.

N-(2-(4-fluorobenzyloxy)phenyl)-1-cyclopentylpiperidine-4-carboxamide (19) White solid, yield 65% (60 mg), M.p. 183–185 °C. IR cm⁻¹: 3284, 1654, 1603, 1537, 1245, 1048, 744. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d₆), δH: 8.96 (s, 1H), 7.76 (d, 1H, J = 7.5), 7.60–7.45 (m, 2H), 7.21 (d, 2H, J = 9.0), 7.10–7.00 (m, 2H), 6.89 (t, 1H, J = 8.0), 5.11 (s, 2H), 3.10–3.00 (m, 3H), 3.00–2.80 (m, 2H), 2.30–2.10 (m, 1H), 2.05–1.90 (m, 4H). HRMS [M + H]⁺ m/z: 397.2294 [M + H]⁺ for C_{24}H_{29}FN_{2}O_{2} Anal. Calc. for C_{24}H_{29}N_{2}O_{2}F, %: C, 72.70; H, 7.37; N, 7.07; found, %: C, 72.71; H, 7.39; N, 7.06.

N-(2-(4-chlorobenzyloxy)phenyl)-1-isopropylpiperidine-4-carboxamide (20) White solid, yield 87% (98 mg), M.p. 208–210 °C. IR cm⁻¹: 3499, 3395, 3362, 2668, 1668, 1537, 1452, 1200, 998, 751. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d₆), δH: 10.05 (s, 1H), 9.20 (s, 1H), 7.72 (d, 1H, J = 7.8 Hz), 7.51 (d, 2H, J = 8.5 Hz), 7.45 (d, 2H, J = 8.5 Hz), 7.05 (d, 2H, J = 4.5 Hz), 6.96–6.85 (m, 1H), 5.16 (s, 2H), 3.41 (d, 2H, J = 11.6 Hz), 3.00–2.79 (m, 2H), 2.78–2.64 (m, 1H), 2.10–1.92 (m, 4H), 1.26 (d, 6H, J = 6.5 Hz). HRMS [M + H]⁺ m/z: 387.1832 [M + H]⁺ for C_{22}H_{29}FN_{2}O_{2}Cl. Anal. Calc. for C_{22}H_{27}N_{2}O_{2}Cl, %: C, 68.29; H, 7.03; N, 7.24; found, %: C, 68.38; H, 7.12; N, 7.22.

3.1.3. Synthesis of N-(2-(4-Chlorobenzyloxy)phenyl)-1-amidinopiperidine-4-carboxamide hydrochloride (21)

1,3-bis(tertbutoxycarbonyl)-2-methyl-2-thiopseudourea (80 mg, 0.31 mmol), mercury (II) chloride HgCl₂ (87 mg, 0.31 mmol) and triethylamine (0.11 mL, 0.78 mmol) were added to a cooled solution (0 °C) of compound IV (100 mg, 0.26 mmol) in 5mL of dry DMF. The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h at 0 °C and 24 h at room temperature. After dilution with 10 mL of ethyl acetate the mixture stirring was continued for 15 min. The precipitate was filtered off and washed 3 times with 5 mL of EtOAc. The combined organic phases were washed with brine, dried over Na₂SO₄, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The obtained residue was purified by silica gel chromatography, by eluting with petroleum ether/EtOAc 90:10, v/v, to afford the N,N'-bis-BOC protected derivative, which was finally treated with HCl gas in chloroform solution to afford the HCl salt of the desired product. Dark grey oil, yield 76% (72 mg), IR cm⁻¹: 3350, 3212, 2875, 1651, 1603, 1453, 1401, 1015, 756. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d₆), δH: 8.93 (s, 1H), 7.77 (d, 1H, J = 7.8 Hz), 7.52 (d, 2H, J = 8.5 Hz), 7.41 (d, 2H, J = 8.5 Hz), 7.02 (m, 2H), 6.92–6.82 (m, 1H), 5.16 (s, 2H), 2.93 (d, 1H, J = 12.1 Hz), 0.78–2.70 M, 1H), 2.48 (s, 2H), 1.64 (d, 1H, J = 12.4 Hz), 1.45 (qd, 1H,
J = 12.2; 4.0 Hz). HRMS [ESI], m/z: 387.1585 [M + H]+ for C_{20}H_{24}N_{4}O_{2}Cl. Anal. Calc. for C_{20}H_{25}N_{4}O_{2}Cl %: C, 62.09; H, 5.99; N, 14.48; found, %: C, 62.18; H, 6.05; N, 14.46.

3.2. Enzymatic Assays

3.2.1. Cholinesterases Inhibition Assay

The test compounds for their inhibitory activity toward AChE (from electric eel) and BChE (from horse serum) as well were evaluated by applying the Ellman’s assay with some modifications [24]. The anti-AChE activity was determined in a reaction mixture containing 20 µL of a solution of AChE (0.9 U/mL in 0.1 M pH 8.0 phosphate buffer, PB), 20 µL of a solution of 5,5-dithio-bis-(2-nitrobenzoic) acid (DTNB 3.3 mM in 0.1 M pH 7.0 PB, containing 0.1 mM NaHCO₃), 20 µL of a solution of the test compound (five to seven concentrations, ranging from 1 × 10⁻⁴ to 1 × 10⁻¹⁰ M in 0.1 M pH 8.0 PB), and 120 µL of pH 8.0 PB. After incubation for 20 min at 25 °C, acetylthiocholine iodide (20 µL of 0.05 mM water solution) was added as the substrate, the hydrolysis rates of the substrate monitored at 412 nm for 5.0 min at 25 °C, and the initial reaction rate was determined within 60 s. The concentration of the inhibitor required to diminish by 50% the rate of the control (IC₅₀), was calculated by nonlinear (sigmoid) regression of the response/concentration (log) curve, by using Prisma GraphPad software (vers. 5.01). From three independent IC₅₀ values, the inhibition constants Kᵢ were calculated by using the Cheng–Prousoff equation [15]. Relative SEM values (standard error of means) were all found less than 5% of the means. BChE inhibitory activity was determined similarly, by using a solution of BChE (1.8 U/mL in 0.1 M pH 8.0 PB), and butyrylthiocholine iodide (0.05 mM water solution) as substrate. To determine the type of inhibition for the most potent AChE and BChE inhibitors, the Lineweaver–Burk eq (1/v vs. 1/[S]) was fitted for varying concentrations of substrates (25–300 µM) in the absence or presence of inhibitor at least four different concentrations and by using fixed amounts of enzymes. Replotting the slopes of the above plots against the inhibitor concentration yielded the Kᵢ value as the x-axis intercept.

3.2.2. Coagulative Serine Proteases Thrombin and Fxa Inhibition Assay

The test compounds were evaluated in vitro for their inhibitory activity toward Fxa and fIIa, determining the hydrolysis rates of the synthetic chromogenic substrates, monitored at 405 nm [13]. Enzymes and substrates were used as follows, in final concentrations: 2 nM human Fxa and 0.04 mM S-2765 (Z-D-Arg-Gly-Arg-p-NA) from Chromogenix AB-Instrumentation Laboratories (Milan, Italy), 0.41 U·mL⁻¹ bovine thrombin from Sigma-Aldrich (Milan, Italy), and 0.05 mM S-2238 (D-Phe-Pip-Arg-p-NA) from Chromogenix AB-Instrumentation Laboratories (Milan, Italy). Enzyme solutions were incubated with DMSO solutions of the test inhibitor (DMSO did not exceed 1%) in various concentrations (0.001–50 µM) before the respective chromogenic substrates were added to start the enzyme kinetics. The kinetic studies were performed at pH 8. Reactions were initiated by adding 100 µL of substrate solutions and monitoring the increase in absorbance for 5 min. The initial velocities were determined within 60 s and the concentration of the inhibitor required to diminish by 50% the control velocity (IC₅₀), calculated by nonlinear (sigmoid) regression. Three independent IC₅₀ values were determined for calculating inhibition constants Kᵢ using the Cheng–Prousoff equation [15,28].

3.3. Chemoinformatics and Computational Chemistry

The Multi-fingerprint Similarity Search aLgorithm (MuSSeL) is released as a ligand-based predictive web server to find putative protein drug targets of new conceived small molecules or to repurpose existing bioactive compounds. Predictions are computed by screening a collection, including 611,333 small molecules provided with high-quality experimental bioactivity data covering 3357 protein drug targets, which were rationally selected from the latest release of ChEMBLdb (version 25 March 2019).
3.4. Molecular Modelling

Crystal structures of AChE, BChE, factor Xa, and thrombin were retrieved from the Protein Data Bank (PDB) by selecting entries 1EVE, 6SAM, 3K9X and 1UVS, respectively [34–37]. Protein structures were geometrically optimized and energetically minimized by employing the protein preparation tool available in the Schrodinger Suite [38,39]. Moreover, ligands were treated by using Ligprep Tool [40], allowing us to evaluate all possible tautomers and protonation states at physiological pH. Molecular docking simulations were performed by using GLIDE software [41]. All the enclosing boxes were centered on the corresponding cognate ligands. For the sake of completeness, to corroborate the validity of docking protocols, redocking analyses were carried out on the cognate ligands in their binding pockets. Satisfactorily, the root mean square deviation (RMSD) values between original and best docked poses were equal to 0.423, 0.349, 0.988 and 0.752 Å for AChE, BChE, factor Xa and thrombin, respectively.

Furthermore, a more detailed study was performed on the top-scored docking solutions of 1 vs. AChE, 1 vs. thrombin, 22 vs. BChE and 22 vs. FXa. The Monte Carlo sampling method implemented in Prime was employed [42,43] to explore different positions and conformations of ligands within the binding pockets. Specifically, VSGB 2.0 solvation model [44], and the OPLS3 force field [45] were used. The dielectric constant of the solvent was equal to 80, thus assuming water as medium. A region of 6 Å from ligands was selected for refinement and 100 steps of Monte Carlo minimization were set as default options.

BioGPS software [46] was used to energetically feature AChE, BChE, factorXa and thrombin binding pockets. CRY, H, N1 and O GRID probes, which indicate hydrophobic, shape, hydrogen bond donor and hydrogen bond acceptor interactions, respectively, were computed and pocket comparisons (i.e., AChE vs. thrombin and BChE vs. factor Xa) were made based on molecular interaction fields (MIFs).

4. Conclusions

In reviewing the therapeutic approach to AD, a role has been proposed for direct oral anticoagulants (DOACs). These molecules (e.g., dabigatran, rivaroxaban, apixaban) may counteract the cerebral amyloid angiopathy (CAA), that a pathological alteration in cerebral blood vessels induced by Aβ deposits [47]. Ultimately, DOACs may help to decrease vascular-driven progression in AD neurodegenerative and cognitive changes.

In this study, using computational tools of virtual screening and molecular docking simulations, we investigated an in-house developed library of thirty previously and newly synthesized isonipecotamide-based inhibitors of blood coagulation factors, namely thr and/or fx, the purpose being the discovery of new multimodal agents inhibiting other AD-related enzymes, such as AChE and BChE, while maintaining almost intact their anticoagulant potency. Cross-docking screening shed light into the structure-activity relationships, whereas molecular interaction field (MIFs) calculations helped the identification of common features between the inhibitors’ binding sites of the investigated AD-related target enzymes, thus highlighting their modeling utility in drug design and hit-to-lead optimization of new anti-AD small molecule candidates.

As a major outcome, two novel DOAC hits, namely the 1-(pyridin-4-yl)- (1) and 1-amidino- (23) isonipecotanilide derivatives, which proved to inhibit contemporarily thr/AChE and fx/BChE, respectively, with inhibition constants in the low nanomolar range, deserve investigation in further ex vivo and in vivo animal models of AD and related cognitive impairments.

Supplementary Materials: The following materials are available online. MuSSeL prediction output as pdf attached file; Figures S1 and S2, inhibition mechanism profiles; Tables S1 and S2, Similarity matrices of MIFs (BioGPS); 1H NMR spectra of the newly synthesized compounds.
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