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INTRODUCTION

It is inevitable that all mammalian brains capable of generating felt and emotional experiences. This contention has been speculated for years but only recently has revealed as foundational. Empathy has been considered as a high level of affective and cognitive process, expressed exclusively by the human for social living. It is a crucial part of a human emotional experience and social interaction.¹ The ability of one person to perceive what another person is feeling and thinking is considered to be inimitable to human alone and may not be inherent to the lower mammalian range like rodents.

However, recent reports support the possibility that rodents also display elements of empathy, i.e., showing to emotion to others.²-⁴ Rodents illustrate emotional reactions to the pain of conspecific and different social factors can alter their pain sensitivity.⁵ Most of the studies reported analgesia and did not able to measure the effects in the actual moment when another’s pain was exactly being perceived.⁶ These phenomena may represent an example of the coaction of social facilitation.

Empathy is currently a topic of study in human⁶,⁷ and extensive human literature documented that the effects on pain feeling may be mediated precognitively.¹ The complex cognitive abilities are evaluated in rodents using cognitive tests. Cognition depends on medial frontal cortex connections, such as the intra-dimensional and extra-dimensional attentional set of alteration task.⁸,⁹ Executive functions are demanding simultaneously the amalgamation of large amounts of complex information (social and non-social) that assumed to localize in the prefrontal cortex.¹⁰ Prefrontal cortex region of brain part is not well developed in rodents or others as like as human brain.¹¹ There are clear limitations of the mechanistic information that can be assembled from animal studies. Like as eye gaze is difficult to track in rodent, as the pupil is hard to distinguish.¹² Even some elements of joint attention and attentional focus in rodent model need to constant consideration tasks such as the five-choice serial reaction time test with auditory-visual or olfactory distracters.¹²,¹³ Besides that other features such as in autism spectrum disorder (ASD) studies, like with the 4:1 male: female ratio as well as relapse of social communication after one year of age, certain features are yet difficult to recognize in a rodent model.¹⁰

Intricacies of language are also unlikely to be instinctive to a rodent although the complexity of rodent ultrasonic vocalization patterns may contain important communicative information.⁷ Quantitative evaluation of the reward value of social
communication is not yet available for rodents or autistic individuals. Although there are some established models to evaluate or work with the autistic symptom, newer and better models are required.

Designing a behavioral model specifically relevant to human mental disorders presents a difficult challenge as there is a discontinuity between human social interactions and those displayed by lower mammals including rodents. Also, the autistic symptoms are unique and inherently variable in humans. Diagnosis of ASD is mostly based on behavioral criteria with no consistent biological markers available; other relevant etiological factors have also not been identified. As such, effective animal models should incorporate strong analogies to the endophenotypes of the human syndrome. These models should also have the same biological dysfunction that causes the disease (gene mutations or anatomical abnormality) along with having the same corresponding responses in the models to treatments that inhibit or reverse symptoms in humans.

Even with smaller prefrontal areas, empathy is evolutionarily conserved in lower mammals as well since rodents are also perfectly capable of showing empathy-related behaviors such as emotional contagion and prosociality. The understanding of self and others as separate individuals is essential for empathy, having the potential to provide a distinct outline for heterogeneous neurodevelopmental disorders like ASD.

Though there is strong evidence that rodents display empathy-related behaviors, the detailed understanding of the neural mechanisms involved remains unclear, and more studies are required for a better understanding of rodent empathy, having the potential to provide a distinct outline for understanding these highly important, complex and interesting behaviors. We aimed that this review on the subject will support the application of this new translational model to ASD research.

WHAT IS EMPATHY?

Empathy is a product of an integrated set of brain processes. Empathy includes a level of cognitive ability that varies according to social, temporal and environmental contexts. In these contexts, emotions are a primary substrate for all empathetic manifestations. The word “empathy” has been defined as the perception of an emotional signal in the other individual, as it directly stimulates a similar reaction in the observer, lacking any intervening, labeling, associative or cognitive perspective processes such as talking or physical interactions.

A very practical definition of empathy proposed by Vignemont and Singer within an experimental context. In the definition, they explained that empathy happens when an individual (A) experiences an emotional stage that is isomorphic to the emotional stage of another and is incited by discerning or evoking the particular expression of the emotive state by an individual (B). Therefore the affective state of the individual (B) become the source of emotional change in individual (A).

There has been more recent research on relating how an individual comes to recognize another's pain and is able to distinguish the condition of the other from its own, along with feeling the emotional state of the other even though they are not sharing the same state. “Primal empathy” refer to states such as emotional contagion and expressive resonance in which there is an association of depending on affective states between individuals. Primal empathy is a shared neurobehavioral and neuro-affective process, and it is not a unique emotional state.

In humans and including other mammals, primal empathy may relate to higher cognitive functions that allow feelings such as concern or sympathy to develop. Also, “Theory of the Mind” can be defined as the ability to recognize that the perspective of another individual is different from one’s own. It is thought that this type of cognitive empathy allows for an individual to recognize the emotional form of another without necessarily sharing the same emotional situation.

It is important to clearly define the term “empathy” in applying it to cross-species research. “Empathy” also includes using the terms “understand,” “recognize,” and “image” as those words usually are reserved to describe higher cognitive functions that are difficult to study in animals. Clear cross-species approaches in studying the neural origins of primal empathy will clarify the understanding of the higher forms of empathy as in humans.

BRAIN PARTS INVOLVED IN REGULATING EMPATHETIC BEHAVIOR

Empathy depends upon share of feelings and emotion. Mammalian brains have at least seven systems that regulate the unconditioned autonomic and behavioral displays of emotions. As Lipp, first speculated that so far ‘empathy’ depends upon share feelings status. Affective neuroscience studies in cross-species provide a perspective on understanding empathy in higher mammals by concurrently delimiting the behavioral inbred and subjective-experiential features of essential emotional arousal, namely the primal brain reward and punishment systems.

The anatomical circuits for this subcortical emotion gener-
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An interesting line of translational research aims to study empathy behavior in the rodent model, based on two behavioral paradigms. One of the two behavioral models is the ability of rodents in modifying their behavior by recognizing conspecifics, and the other is the prosocial behavior paradigm. For the assays, rats are placed in a condition where they have the chance to free a confined conspecific in the presence of a rewarded food source. This result provides a strong evolutionary advantage to social species where observational learning and emotional contagion are the basis of empathy as in rodents. In other studies, these behavioral paradigms have provided evidence that this type of learning involves brain regions within the medial PFC (mPFC) as well as anterior cingulate cortex (ACC) in both human and rodents.

Mice acquire a conditioned contextual fear by observing conspecifics, and this behavior was found to be dependent on the right ACC. Separately, when antipsychotic haloperidol or serotonin was injected into the mice ACC, it decreased the expression of conditioned fear in an observational fear learning task. It was thought that as serotonin microinjection reduced gamma band activity, it might modulate ACC activity and disrupt social behavior. It was also found that mice learned more quickly to lever press for rewarded food when they observed a well-trained demonstrator but this advantage was

Table 1. Different brain regions of human that associated with empathetic behavior

| Brain parts                        | Associated function                                                                 | References                                                                 |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| A Medial region of prefrontal cortex (PFC) | These parts are mainly related to social behaviors associated with the perception of others mainly with perceptions of self and similar others | Amodio and Frith 2006; Mitchell 2009; Mitchell et al. 2006; Johnson et al. 2002 |
| B Dorso-lateral region of PFC and ventral PFC | Usually remain active for social tasks, involve in perception of others as well as cooperation | Amodio and Frith 2006; Mitchell et al. 2006 |
| C Dorso-medial PFC                 | This region is involved in the perception of the situation of others and is more directly connected with recognizing the state of self and a similar read in others | Bicks et al. 2015; Johnson et al. 2002; Mitchell 2009; Wise 2008 |
| D Medial ventral region of PFC     | Involve in special reward and punishment and motivation and value                   | deQuervain et al. 2004; Kohls et al. 2012 |
| E Anterior insula (AI), Inferior frontal gyrus (IFG), Midcingulate cortex (ACC/MCC) | Express empathy for pain Plays a major role in representing and integrating internal and emotional feeling states | Bernhardt and Singer 2012; Lamm et al. 2011; Craig 2004 |

Table 2. Summary of homologous brain parts of human and rodents to express empathetic behavior

| Brain region of human | Homologous region of rodents | References                                         |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|
| Prefrontal Cortex (PFC) medial PFC (both vmPFC & dmPFC) | Both vmPFC & dmPFC is also considered to be homologous to the rodent infralimbic cortex | Bicks et al. 2015; Jeon et al. 2010; Jurrado-Parras et al. 2012; Kim et al. 2014 |
| Medial orbitofrontal cortex | Rodent medial PFC           | Bicks et al. 2015; Jeon et al. 2010; Jurrado-Parras et al. 2012; Kim et al. 2014 |
| Dorsal anterior cingulate cortex (ACC) | Rodent ACC                  | Jeon et al. 2010; Kim et al. 2014; Kim et al. 2012; Singer et al. 2004 |
abolished when mPFC was electrically stimulated during the observational learning.46

Social hierarchy is another supportive paradigm for ASD studies in rodents. As social hierarchies are common and an important adaptation to living for mammals groups. In higher mammals (for both human and nonhuman primates), a dominance hierarchy involves an understanding of the dominance relationships, reading the intention of others as well as learning the social norms.49 In mice, the microcircuitry in the PFC was also linked to the dominance behavior. For example, shifting the efficacy of synaptic transmission in the PFC may cause a bidirectional modulation of the social hierarchy.43,44 Wang et al.45 also demonstrated the opposing regulation of aggressive and dominance as an activity of the mouse PFC. According to other studies, optogenetically activating the mPFC (prelimbic/infralimbic) in mice decreases aggressive behavior,46 and silencing of that region leads to an escalation of aggression.44 Knocking out disk large-associated protein 2 (dl gap2), an important postsynaptic density (PSD) scaffolding protein associated with ASD, increased dominance and aggressive behavior, and it also decreased α-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazole propionic acid receptor-miniature endplate currents (AMPAR-mEPCSs) and spine density in the mouse orbitofrontal cortex (OFC).41,46 Thus, social hierarchy is also modulated by excitatory neurotransmission in PFC, and this could be useful for investigating social cognition alterations in genetic animal models of human ASD.

EMPATHY STUDY IN RODENT MODEL IN DIFFERENT CONTEXT

Various recent experimental studies demonstrated that rodents were capable of sharing emotional status with others. Here, we will highlight several models that examine different aspects of rodent empathetic behaviors in response to various distress conditions. Along with numerous modern studies relevant to rodent empathy, some foundational studies pointed to the extent to which a rodent could be in concurrence with the affective state of a social partner. There have been two fundamental studies conducted by Church 2 and separately Rice and Gainer 3 that represented as a base for empathy study in rodents. Church demonstrated the behavior of well-trained rats and their performance with a lever-pressing task as the rats were concurrently exposed to a conspecific of being shocked. In his study, Church found that a rat could identify whether its emotional experience was temporally coordinated with the same experience in a conspecific.2 In the Rice and Gainer study, they asked whether a rat could exhibit the helping behavior to alleviate the distress of a conspecific. Their work confirmed that rats would keenly work (i.e., help) to reduce the pain of conspecifics, which is another phenomenon that is relevant to empathy.

Social modulation of pain, work conducted by Langford et al.6,47 is considered as one of the primary studies that assessed empathy in rodents within the framework of existing neuroscience approaches. Several traditional behavioral assays were incorporated in this study that is applied to evaluate pain in mice. The studies were for understanding social mechanisms in mice where a “partner” was subjected to a painful stimulus. The results of the study demonstrated that mice were truly reactive to the level of pain experienced by other individuals. Langford and co-workers asked for a best conceptualized behavioral finding in which the behavioral change of an individual spontaneously motivated the same state in neighboring conspecifics.48 Langford et al.47 stated that this behavior in mice was strongly predisposed by the degree of familiarity between the social partners that resembled a human empathy situation. Wöhr and Schwarting in their study assessed the situation as an emotional contagion for rodents responding to the freezing behavior expressed by a nearby demonstrator when tested in a fear-conditioning paradigm.45 A series of studies6,49 provided strong evidence that emotional contagion could be studied in mice and communication between rodents alter their future capability to learn about emotionally related actions.

More recent studies like that of Bredy and Barad41 demonstrated that social interaction with familiar conspecifics who had been previously exposed to the same fear conditioning could modify the acquisition, retention, and extinction of a cued-fear association. The finding of the experiment demonstrated that the experience of previously exposed distress facilitated both the conspecifics to learn the avoidance behavior during the training phase, as well as increased retaining of the fear memory. That was documented by the increased avoidance behavior after 24 hours of distress experience. Extending on these studies, Knapska et al.51 conducted a contextual fear-conditioning paradigm experiment. Knapska et al.51 found that interacting with a fear-conditioned demonstrator increased retention of memory of a passive behavioral response (freezing) in this paradigm. Although there were certain differences between these two studies, the overall findings demonstrated that the social experience of distress in others could help rodents learn about fearful situations in the future.11 They conducted all additional in-depth analysis of social interactions with a distressed conspecific. When rodents were brought together with a newly distressed conspecific, they showed more than ten-fold increase in allogrooming behavior towards the rats compared to that were reunited with a non-distressed conspecific. “Allogrooming” is considered a kind of social activity in rodents, in which individual rodents recur-
rently lick the head/neck/mouth/ear area of another individual.\textsuperscript{11} It is interesting to speculate that previous studies for primate also suggested that the facilitation behavior after distinguishing the distress of another is an attempt to relieve the afflicted individual.\textsuperscript{15} Interestingly, a mutual and heightened stimulation was documented to lead to the induction of transcription factor c-fos in the amygdala of both distressed demonstrator and the observer, and this was shown to increase in the amygdala central nucleus of both groups. This finding suggested that particular sectors of the amygdala are responsiveness to distress stimuli.\textsuperscript{12} It seems that a corresponding set of alterations in the amygdala are involved in numerous emotional processes.

Using a similar approach to the above two studies, Guzman et al.\textsuperscript{13} showed that conditioned stimulus-unconditioned stimulus (CS-UCS) association could regulate the subsequent learning when an individual rat observed the situation of a non-fearful demonstrator. In the behavior model, when the observers were trained in the same condition, the condition of fearful demonstrators did not alter the subsequent learning of the observer. Usually, if mice observed a non-fearful demonstrator, it inhibited their ability to evoke the CS-UCS association memories by themselves. Unlike Bredy and Barad\textsuperscript{14} and Knapska et al.\textsuperscript{15} observer mice in the study of Guzman et al.\textsuperscript{13} were able to derive specific information about the experience of the respective demonstrators.

Ben-Ami Bartal et al.\textsuperscript{38} pioneered in providing the first robust paradigm for the study of pro-social behavior in rats. They demonstrated that a rodent form of empathy was the motivation for this helping behavior. In their study, they found that rats learned to release cage mates trapped in a restrainer, even when they received no explicit reward. Once learned, this behavior was performed consistently and quickly. Surprisingly, the rats liberated a cage mate equally when there was another restrainer containing the same preferred reward item of chocolate chips even when they shared the chocolate with their freed cage mate. In these cases, sex difference was consistent with human studies of gender difference in empathy and social perception; i.e., female rat performed better in this door-opening task. As such, the findings provided evidence that the rats possessed a shared mechanism in mobilizing pro-social stimulus in response to the distress of others.\textsuperscript{38}

With their other subsequent studies, Ben-Ami Bartal et al.\textsuperscript{38} suggested that familiarity not affects the helping behavior. Moreover, the authors found that rats displayed the helping behavior to cage mates even being from a different strain but not to a stranger from the different strain. These studies suggested that strain identity without a social experience not be decisive for prosocial behavior. These findings suggested that experimental approaches using rodent behavioral models could help in elucidating empathy in rodents along with the neurochemical associations. Integrating these results with similar experiments in humans will ultimately provide novel insights into therapeutic interventions for mental disorders associated with empathy.

**DISCUSSION**

There is no standard and even no specific diagnosis criteria for ASD.\textsuperscript{10,15-17} These heterogeneous neurodevelopmental disorders presenting a major challenge to designing the predictive validity into translational testing of compounds in the rodent model of ASD.\textsuperscript{15,52,58-60} In this review we screened out the approaches towards generating the reliable experimental approaches for the diagnostic and therapeutic intervention in rodent ASD model. In this review, we tried to provide an accepted definition of “empathy,” and justify the feasibility of having an empathy paradigm for ASD research. Demonstrating sympathy and displaying a helping behavior towards a conspecific were found to be core tactics for rodent empathetic behavior. Based on this simple and concise definition of “empathy” in a rodent, this translational model allows for the development of elaborate and powerful experimental techniques in research. In this review, we also focused common underlying mechanisms associated empathetic behavior both in human and rodents. As a part of this analysis, for example, we reviewed several studies that point to the PFC of the brain part as the vital region for modulating empathetic behavior. With this review, we elaborate on modulation of the microcircuitry of the PFC as a key focus for further experimental approaches both at the molecular and neural level. We also reviewed fundamental studies in rodents, providing different contexts for studying empathetic behavior in test animals with applications in ASD research.

**FUTURE DIRECTION**

ASD is an unusual neurodevelopmental disorder. Because of its unknown etiology, diagnosis of this disorder is usually based on a set of behavioral criteria.\textsuperscript{60} As the diagnostic criteria for ASD are behavior related, using behavioral assays for a rodent paradigm is pivotal, and it should have a high relevance for each category of the diagnostic symptoms in human.\textsuperscript{59,60} Behavioral neuroscientists are working with an inclusive set of assays related to social interaction, communication, and repetitive behaviors to test the hypotheses on the causes of ASD.\textsuperscript{59} Designing translational models comprised of behavioral assays in test laboratory animals that provide meaning, applicable information is a substantial challenge.\textsuperscript{16} The translational model should have high relevance to the human diag-
nostic symptoms of ASD.\textsuperscript{52} While designing such models, more facts need to be considered, as the rodent brain is not as well developed as the one for a human, capable of simultaneous integration and functional execution of complex social and nonsocial information.

CONCLUSION

We expect that the translational research on rodent empathy will allow for bridging the gap between high relevance cross-species social behavior research and understanding ASD; this type of research will also allow for integrative studies in behavioral diagnostic approaches to ASD.
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