Is there sufficient evidence to justify changes in dietary habits in heart failure patients? 
A systematic review
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The incidence and prevalence of heart failure (HF) is increasing worldwide, leading to high morbidity and mortality. The global management of HF involves lifestyle changes in addition to pharmacological treatments. Changes include exercise and dietary recommendations, mainly salt and fluid restriction, but without any clear evidence. We conducted a systematic review to analyse the degree of evidence for these dietary recommendations in HF. Only randomized controlled trials (RCT), and observational studies in humans were selected. Studies were considered eligible if they included participants with HF and sodium and/or fluid restriction. Publications in languages other than English or Spanish were excluded. We included 15 studies related to sodium or fluid restriction. Nine RCT and six observational studies showed some improvements in symptoms and quality of life and a degree of reduction in new hospitalizations, but the results are based on limited populations, applying different methodologies, and with different restriction goals. We found a lack of clear evidence of the benefits of sodium/fluid restriction in chronic HF. The evidence is limited to few studies with conflicting results. Randomized clinical trials are needed to fill this gap in our knowledge.
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INTRODUCTION

Heart failure (HF) is a complex syndrome whose incidence and prevalence is growing worldwide, implying high morbidity and mortality. The global management of HF involves pharmacological and non-pharmacological treatments. Pharmacological treatments have improved greatly in recent years [1,2] but non-pharmacological treatments continue to be based on recommendations for lifestyle changes that have not changed over recent years [3]. In the 2016 Guidelines of the European Society of Cardiology, they are limited to a sub-index, specifying avoidance of excessive fluid intake (1.5 to 2 L/day) with a grade of recommendation of II-a, and a level of evidence of C. Sodium restriction is recommended in symptomatic HF to avoid excessive sodium intake (> 6 g/day) (Grade of recommendation I, level of evidence C). [4]. However, the potential benefits of lifestyle modifications have not been fully proven by randomized controlled trials.
(RCTs) or cohort studies [5].

However, these recommendations are based on Western dietary and cultural traditions, although other cultures, such as those of Asia, generally have a higher salt intake than Westerners. Recent studies indicate that salt consumption remains high throughout the Asia-Pacific region [6-9], including countries such as Australia (9.6 g/day), China (9.1 g/day), Korea (10 g/day), Japan (11 g/day), and India (9.45 to 10.41 g/day). These estimated intakes are almost double the World Health Organization’s recommended maximum daily salt intake of 5 g/day, mainly due to the over-consumption of spices, vegetables, and grains [10,11]. A recent study of pooled data from European, American, and Asian populations with HF (with reduced ejection fraction) showed that the rate of cardiovascular death/hospitalization for HF is higher in Asia than in Western Europe and North America [12]. This has also been shown in Korean HF registries [13].

Current recommendations are mainly based on available evidence from related cardiovascular diseases, which has had a large impact in reducing events [14,15], with no differences in guidelines between regions [16-19].

It has been assumed that such changes in dietary patterns have a favourable impact on the prognosis of HF. However, the results are unclear with respect to sodium and fluid restriction [20]. Therefore, we carried out a systematic review to analyse the available evidence of salt and fluid restriction on outcomes in HF patients.

**METHODS**

We made a systematic search in Medline and the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials using the search strategy shown in Fig. 1. Only RCT and observational studies in humans were selected. Studies were considered eligible if they included participants with HF and sodium or fluid restriction. Publications in languages other than English or Spanish were excluded. The search was conducted on September 1, 2019.

After eliminating duplicates and non-relevant articles (no adequate endpoints, such as rehospitalization or mortality, or unclear or inadequate methodologies), the articles were analysed independently by A.G.G. and M.C. The final list of studies included was decided by discussion between the authors and full agreement was required. The study selection flowchart is shown in Fig. 1. Finally, we included 15 studies related to sodium or fluid restriction.

**RESULTS**

Restriction of daily sodium intake, recommended for HF patients, has been assessed in different studies in order to confirm the benefits of a low sodium diet on HF evolution.

**Randomized controlled trials**

The results of the RCT are summarized in Table 1 [21-29]. Colin Ramirez et al. [21] assessed the effects of a nutritional intervention on the clinical and nutritional status and quality of life (QoL) in 65 HF with reduced ejection fraction (HFrEF) and HF with preserved ejection fraction (HfPEF) patients assigned to an intervention group receiving a sodium-restricted diet (2,000 to 2,400 mg/day) with restriction of total fluids to 1.5 L/day or a control group that received traditional medical treatment and general nutritional recommendations. The intervention group had significantly less
| Study                          | Type of study                      | Study population | Ejection fraction | Intervention                                                                 | Goal                                                                                                                                  | Results                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Colin-Ramirez et al. (2004)   | Pilot randomized clinical trial     | 65 HF Outpatients | HFpEF and HFrEF   | IG: sodium-restricted diet (2,000–2,400 mg/day) with restriction of total fluids to 1.5 L/day | Effect of a sodium-restricted diet with restriction of total fluids on clinical and nutritional status and quality of life.          | Sodium and fluid restriction group had significantly less frequent edema (37% vs. 7.4%, \( p = 0.008 \)) and fatigue (59.3% vs. 25.9%, \( p = 0.012 \)) at 6 months than at baseline; with significant improvement in functional class and physical activity. |
| Colin-Ramirez et al. (2015)   | Blinded and randomized pilot clinical trial | 38 HF Outpatients | HFpEF and HFrEF   | IG: low sodium diet (1,500 mg/day)                                           | Feasibility of conducting a randomized controlled trial comparing a low sodium diet to a moderate-sodium diet. Secondarily, to explore changes in quality of life or BNP levels from pursuing a low-sodium diet in patients with chronic HF. | Patients with a low sodium diet presented a non significant reduction in BNP levels at 6 months compared to those on moderate-sodium diet group. There was no statistically significant difference between groups as for quality of life at 6 months. |
| Philipson et al. (2010)       | Pilot randomized clinical trial     | 30 HF Outpatients | HFpEF and HFrEF   | IG: sodium-restricted diet (2–3 g/day) and fluid restriction (1.5 L/day)     | Feasibility of sodium and fluid restriction in patients with CHF and to determine whether these restrictions affect quality of life, thirst and appetite. | Fluid intake was reduced in the intervention group compared with the control group, 1.6 L (0.4) to 1.2 L (0.5) vs. 1.7 L (0.8) to 1.6 L (0.9) (\( p = 0.04 \)). Sodium excretion was reduced by 25% in 57% of the patients in the intervention group and in 25% in the control group (\( p = 0.049 \)). This reduction was not associated to any negative effects on thirst, appetite and quality of life. |
| Philipson et al. (2013)       | Multicentre randomized clinical trial | 97 Stable HF patients | HFpEF and HFrEF   | IG: sodium-restricted diet (2–3 g/day) and fluid restriction (1.5 L/day)     | Evaluate the effect of salt and fluid restriction on a combined primary endpoint including hospitalizations, NYHA class, quality of life, thirst, and clinical parameters of fluid retention. | The intervention group had a lower rate of the combined primary endpoint, including hospitalizations, NYHA class, quality of life, thirst, and clinical parameters of fluid retention, than the control group (16% vs. 51%, \( p = 0.001 \)). |
| Study | Type of study | Study population | Ejection fraction | Intervention | Goal | Results |
|-------|---------------|------------------|-------------------|--------------|------|---------|
| Aliti et al. (2013) [25] | Randomized, parallel-group clinical trial with blinded outcome assessments | 75 Patients hospitalized with acute de-compensation of HF | HFrEF | IG: sodium restriction to 800 mg/day and fluid restriction to 800 mL/day. CG: unrestricted sodium and fluid intake | Assess the effect of sodium and fluid restriction on weight loss and clinical stability at 3-day assessment, daily perception of thirst, and readmissions within 30 days. | Aggressive fluid and sodium restriction has no effect on weight loss or clinical stability at 3 days and is associated with a significant increase in perceived thirst. There were no significant between-group differences in the readmission rate at 30 days. |
| Paterna et al. (2008) [26] | Randomized clinical trial | 232 Stable HF patients | HFrEF | IG: sodium restriction to 1.8 g of salt/day CG: sodium restriction to 2.8 g of salt/day | Evaluate the effects of a normal-sodium diet compared with a low-sodium diet on readmissions, in compensated patients with congestive heart failure during 180 days of follow-up. | Normal-sodium diet improves outcome, and sodium depletion has detrimental renal and neuro-hormonal effects with worse clinical outcome in compensated CHF patients. Further studies are required to determine if this is due to a high dose of diuretic or the low-sodium diet. |
| Paterna et al. (2009) [27] | Randomized clinical trial | 410 Stable HF patients | HFrEF | Group A (n = 52): 1,000 mL/day fluid intake, 120 mmol sodium/day, and furosemide 250 mg twice daily Group B (n = 51): 1,000 mL/day fluid intake, 120 mmol sodium/day, and furosemide 125 mg twice daily Group C (n = 51): 1,000 mL/day fluid intake, 80 mmol sodium/day, and furosemide 250 mg twice daily Group D (n = 51): 1,000 mL/day fluid intake, 80 mmol sodium/day, and furosemide 125 mg twice daily Group E (n = 52): 2,000 mL/day fluid intake, 120 mmol sodium/day | Evaluate the effects of different sodium diets associated with different diuretic doses and different levels of fluid intake on hospital readmissions and neurohormonal changes after 6-month follow-up in patients with compensated HF. | The combination of a normal-sodium diet with high diuretic doses and fluid intake restriction, compared with different combinations of sodium diets with more modest fluid intake restrictions and conventional diuretic doses, leads to reductions in readmissions, neurohormonal activation, and renal dysfunction. |
oedema (37% vs. 7.4%, p = 0.008) and fatigue (59.3% vs. 25.9%, p = 0.012) at 6 months compared with baseline; in addition, functional class and physical activity improved significantly.

In a second RCT (the sodium HF), Colin-Ramirez et al. [22] compared low-sodium and moderate sodium diets in HFrEF and HFpEF patients. They included 38 patients, with CHF New York Heart Association (NYHA) class II–IV, who were randomized to 1.5 g of sodium daily or 2.3 g/day. Patients on the low-sodium diet showed a reduction in brain natriuretic peptide (BNP) levels at 6 months (mean change 51 pg/mL, p = 0.006), while those on the moderate-sodium diet showed no changes in BNP, but the differences were not significant (p = 0.17). With respect to the QoL at 6 months, the median Kansas City Cardiomyopathy Questionnaire (KCCQ) clinical score increased from 63 to 75 in the low-sodium group and from 66 to 73 in the moderate-sodium group, with no significant differences (p = 0.4 between groups).

Aliti et al. [25] randomized 75 HFrEF patients to two groups, one with sodium restriction of 0.8 g/day and fluid restriction of 800 mL/day versus an unrestricted group. Weight loss was similar in both groups (0.25 kg; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.95 to 2.45; p = 0.82), as was the change in the clinical congestion score (0.59 points; 95% CI, 0.32 to 1.03; p = 0.47) at 3 days. Thirst

Table 1. Continued

| Study | Type of study | Study population | Ejection fraction | Intervention | Goal | Results |
|-------|---------------|------------------|------------------|-------------|------|---------|
| Reilly et al. (2015) [28] | Randomized pilot clinical trial | 27 NYHA II–IV class HF patients | HFrEF | IG: educational and behavioral intervention to limit fluid ingestion CG: usual treatment | Effect of an educational and behavioral intervention on adherence with prescribed fluid restriction and outcome measures of fluid congestion, symptom distress, and health related quality of life. | IG experienced less HF symptom frequency (p = 0.13) and severity (p = 0.06), and increased symptoms of thirst (p < 0.01) across time. Health related quality of life remained stable in the IG but improved in the CG over time (p = 0.01). |
| Travers et al. (2007) [29] | Single-blind randomized controlled study | 67 NYHA Class IV HF patients admitted to the Hospital | HFPETF and HFrEF | IG: fluid restriction of 1 L/day. CG: free fluid intake | Asses the impact of fluid restriction on the clinical course of patients admitted to hospital with New York Heart Association functional class IV HF. | The difference between fluid restriction or no restriction on time to discontinuation of intravenous diuretic therapy, achievement of clinical stability, in serum urea, serum creatinine, BNP and sodium did not differ between the FF and FR groups. |

HF, heart failure; HFpEF, heart failure with preserved ejection fraction; HFrEF, heart failure with reduced ejection fraction; IG, intervention group; CG, control group; BNP, brain natriuretic peptide; CHF, chronic heart failure; NYHA, New York Heart Association; FF, free fluid; FR, fluid restricted.
was significantly worse in the intervention group (5.1 ± 2.9) than the control group (3.44 ± 2.0) and there were no significant differences in readmission rates at 30 days, (intervention group 11 patients, and control group seven patients, p = 0.41).

Patema et al. [26] analysed 6-month morbidity and mortality in 232 patients with HF randomized to 1.8 g of salt/day or 2.8 g/day and found that patients with a stringent sodium reduction had a higher risk of mortality and hospital admission. The authors hypothesized that the results could be secondary to a high dose of diuretic or the low-sodium diet. Therefore, they [23] assessed the effects on hospital readmissions and neuro-hormonal changes, of two sodium diets, each with different diuretic doses and different levels of fluid intake, after 6-months of follow-up in patients with compensated HFrEF. A total of 410 patients were randomized to eight groups, with different combinations of sodium and fluid restriction and different diuretic doses: group A (n = 52): 1,000 mL/day fluid intake, 120 mmol sodium/day, and furosemide 250 mg twice daily; group B (n = 51): 1,000 mL/day fluid intake, 2.76 g sodium/day, and furosemide 125 mg twice daily; group C (n = 51): 1,000 mL/day fluid intake, 1.84 g sodium/day, and furosemide 250 mg twice daily; group D (n = 51): 1,000 mL/day fluid intake, 1.84 g sodium/day, and furosemide 125 mg twice daily; group E (n = 52): 2,000 mL/day fluid intake, 2.76 g sodium/day. Patients receiving a combination of a normal-sodium diet, with high diuretic doses, and fluid intake restriction had a significantly greater reduction in readmissions, neuro-hormonal activation, and renal dysfunction compared with patients in the other groups.

With respect to fluid restriction, Reilly et al. [28] carried out a pilot RCT including 27 NYHA II–IV class HFrEF patients who were randomized to fluid restriction (1.5 to 2 L/day and education vs. usual clinical care). The results showed no differences in clinical measures of congestion. The group with fluid restriction reported fewer typical HF symptoms and less symptom severity but had greater thirst distress.

Travers et al. [29], in another single-blinded RCT including 67 HF patients, compared the time to clinical stability between fluid restricted (FR; n = 34) and free fluid (FF; n = 33) groups, respectively. There were no significant differences (8.3 ± 6.3 days vs. 7.0 ± 6.0 days, p = 0.17). There were no significant between-group differences in the time to discontinuation of intravenous diuretic therapy (FR: 2.7 ± 4.5 days, FF: 3.2 ± 5.6 days, p = 5.70). The authors concluded that fluid restriction should be tested in a larger randomized controlled study.

**Observational studies and registries**

The results of these studies are summarized in Table 2. In the GAP-HF study, Hummel et al. [30] made a pre-specified analysis, including 584 patients with HFrEF and 443 patients with HFrEF. They found that patients with HFrEF received less information on sodium restriction at hospital discharge than patients with HFrEF (42% vs. 53%, p = 0.001). They also found that, in patients with HFrEF, recommending significant sodium restriction was associated with a reduction in the combined variable of mortality and readmission within the first 30 days (odds ratio, 0.43; 95% CI, 0.24 to 0.79; p = 0.007).

Kollipara et al. [31] prospectively evaluated the correlation between gaps in knowledge about sodium content in the diet and the risk of readmission for HF in HFrEF and HFrEF patients. The rate of 90-day rehospitalizations for HF was 3-fold higher in patients with a low level of knowledge about sodium content than in the rest of the cohort (28% vs. 9%, p = 0.02), and remained independently significant after adjustment for confounding factors.

A prospective observational study by Lennie et al. [32], which compared differences in event-free survival between patients with sodium intake above and below 3 g (stratified by NYHA functional class) included 302 HFrEF and HFrEF patients. Sodium intake was estimated by 24-hour urinary sodium excretion due to the correlation with dietary sodium intake [33]. Patients in NYHA class III/IV with dietary sodium intake > 3 g were approximately 2.5-fold more likely to be hospitalized for heart problems or to die, after controlling for controlling for age, gender, aetiology of HF, BMI, left ventricular ejection fraction, and total comorbidity score. Conversely, sodium intake < 3 g was associated with a higher risk of hospitalization and death in patients in NYHA Class VII, after adjusting for the same variables.

Song et al. [34] carried out a prospective study in 244 HF patients that compared sodium excretion with outcomes. Patients were divided into three groups (intake < 2, 2–3, and > 3 g/day). Patients with a daily sodium intake < 2 g had shorter event-free survival (hazard ratio [HR], 3.68; 95% CI, 1.18 to 11.50), while patients with daily sodium intake > 3 g had longer event-free survival (HR, 0.39; 95% CI, 0.16 to 0.98) compared with those with an intake of 2 to 3 g. Patients with a daily sodium intake < 2 g had a 3.7-fold higher sodium excretion due to the correlation with dietary sodium intake [33]. Patients in NYHA class III/IV with dietary sodium intake > 3 g were approximately 2.5-fold more likely to be hospitalized for heart problems or to die, after controlling for controlling for age, gender, aetiology of HF, BMI, left ventricular ejection fraction, and total comorbidity score. Conversely, sodium intake < 3 g was associated with a higher risk of hospitalization and death in patients in NYHA Class VII, after adjusting for the same variables.
| Study | Type of study | Study population | Ejection fraction | Intervention | Goal | Results |
|-------|---------------|------------------|------------------|--------------|------|---------|
| Hummel et al. (GAP-HF) (2009) [30] | Pre-specified analysis of an observational registry | 1,027 HF patients | HfPEF and HFrEF | Comparison of information provided at discharge, regarding sodium restriction, with type of HF and outcomes | Appropriateness of discharge instructions in HfPEF and HFrEF. Differences on 30-day death and hospital readmission between HfPEF patients with recommendation for sodium-restriction and those without. | Information provided at discharge, regarding sodium restriction, was less frequent in patients with HfPEF than in patients with HFrEF (42% vs. 53%). In patients with HfPEF, recommending a significant sodium restriction was related to a decrease in the combined variable of mortality and readmission at 30 days (OR, 0.43; 95% CI, 0.24–0.79; p = 0.007). |
| Kollipara et al. (2008) [31] | Prospective observational study | 105 HF hospitalized patients | HfPEF and HFrEF | The Parkland Dietary Sodium Knowledge was used to test Dietary Sodium Knowledge. The Test of Functional Health Literacy in Adults was used to evaluate Health literacy. | Determine what risk factors were associated with gaps in knowledge regarding dietary sodium restriction and to determine if these gaps in knowledge would increase the risk for HF rehospitalization. | The rate for re-hospitalizations for HF, in 90 days, was 3 times higher in those with low knowledge of sodium content than in the rest of the cohort (28% vs. 9%, p = 0.02), this parameter remained independent when adjusted by factors of confusion. |
| Lennie et al. (2011) [32] | Prospective observational study | 302 Stable HF Patients | HfPEF and HFrEF | The level of dietary sodium intake was estimated by measurement of 24-hour urinary sodium | Compare differences in event-free survival among patients with sodium intake above and below 3 g stratified by NYHA functional class | Patients in NYHA Class III/IV with dietary sodium intake greater than 3 g were approximately 2.5 times more likely to be hospitalized for cardiac problems or die after controlling for controlling for age, gender, aetiology of heart failure, BMI, LVEF, and total comorbidity score. Conversely, sodium intake less than 3 g was associated with higher risk for hospitalization and death in patients at NYHA Class VII, adjusting by the same variables. |
| Song et al. (2014) [34] | Prospective observational study | 244 HF patients | HfPEF and HFrEF | Dietetic diary to measure sodium intake | Compare differences in cardiac event-free survival between patients with sodium intake above and below 3 g. | NYHA Class I–II, with < 2 g of sodium intake had a shorter event-free survival and 3.7 times higher risk of hospitalization and death than > 3 g. NYHA III–IV, with > 3 g of daily sodium intake had a 2.1 times greater risk of hospitalization or death than those with 2–3 g of daily sodium intake (p = 0.044). No significant differences in survival between patients < 2 g and those with 2–3 g of daily sodium intake (p = 0.418) after adjustment. |
risk of hospitalization or death than those with an intake of 2 to 3 g ($p = 0.025$). In contrast, patients with a daily sodium intake > 3 g had a 60% lower risk of hospitalization or death ($p = 0.047$). The results were consistent after adjustment for confounding factors. Patients with NYHA class III–IV and a daily sodium intake > 3 g had a 2.1-fold higher risk of hospitalization or death than those with an intake of 2 to 3 g ($p = 0.044$). However, there were no significant differences in the adjusted survival curves between patients with < 2 or 2–3 g of daily sodium intake ($p = 0.418$) after adjustment for the same covariates.

Other studies
The results of these studies are summarized in Table 2. A post hoc analysis of the multicentre randomized A Self-management Intervention for Mild to Moderate Heart Failure (HART) study by Doukky et al. [3] analysed data from 260 patients who were propensity matched to sodium restricted (n = 130) and sodium unrestricted (n = 130) groups. They analysed the impact of sodium restriction in HF patients and concluded that sodium restriction (< 2.5 g/day) was associated with a significantly higher risk of death and hospitalization for HF (42.3% vs. 26.2%; HR, 1.85; 95% CI, 1.21 to 2.84; $p = 0.04$) and a non-significant increase in the rate of cardiac death (HR, 1.62; 95% CI, 0.70 to 3.73; $p = 0.257$) and all-cause mortality ($p = 0.074$). They also found no significant differences in the impact of sodium restriction on the 6-minute walking test, the QoL or cardiopulmonary symptoms.

DISCUSSION
This systematic review found a lack of clear evidence on the benefits of sodium/fluid restriction in chronic HF. The evidence is limited to a small number of studies with conflicting results. Large multicentre RCT targeting HF are lacking, and the available data come from pilot RCT, subgroup analyses, observational or retrospective studies. Therefore, current guideline recommendations are mainly based on expert opinion and further evidence is needed.

The prognosis of HF has improved due to new pharmacological treatments and devices that have been incorporated into clinical practice, leading to greater survival and functional improvements. Nevertheless, non-pharmacological

| Study | Type of study | Study population | Ejection fraction | Intervention | Goal | Results |
|-------|--------------|------------------|------------------|--------------|------|---------|
| Doukky et al. (2016) | Post hoc analysis of a randomized clinical trial | 260 Hospitalized HF patients with sodium intake data | HFpEF and HFrEF | Assessment of sodium intake at baseline and in follow-up visits. Two propensity matched groups: sodium restriction (< 2.5 g/day) (n = 130), and no restriction (n = 130) | Evaluate the impact of sodium restriction on heart failure outcomes. | Sodium restriction has a significantly higher risk of death and hospitalization for HF (42.3% vs. 26.2%; HR, 1.85; 95% CI, 1.21 to 2.84; $p = 0.04$) and a non-significant increase in the rate of cardiac death (HR, 1.62; 95% CI, 0.70 to 3.73; $p = 0.257$) and all-cause mortality ($p = 0.074$). No significant differences on 6-minute walking test, nor quality of life or cardiopulmonary symptoms. |
measures remain an essential element of treatment.

Sodium restriction is a cornerstone of blood pressure (BP) control and volume reduction. As BP is one of the main risk factors for HF and modulates its outcome, it seems logical that sodium restriction could be beneficial in HF, and it is recommended in the HF clinical practice guidelines [4].

A specific problem, as shown by the literature, is the difficulty in assessing the amount of sodium included in the diet. Some authors, such as Arcand et al. [35], postulate that food records and 24-hour urine collection are useful in measuring sodium intake in patients with cardiovascular disease and patients with HF not receiving diuretic treatment due to alterations in sodium excretion.

It seems clear that reducing sodium in the diet by introducing dietary models such as Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension (DASH) (diet for hypertension) has a favourable effect on BP control, which seems to play a key role in the pathogenesis of HfPEF, despite the expected increase in aldosterone, especially in elderly patients, which may contribute to increased neurohormonal activation, worsen renal function and increase hospitalizations in patients with HfPEF [36].

In contrast to accepted ideas, numerous studies have shown that severe sodium restriction in HF may be not as beneficial as originally supposed and may even be harmful. Arcand and Newton [37] suggested that evidence from large studies support a potential adverse effect of sodium restriction in patients with HF, especially if they are under intensive diuretic treatment and water restriction.

Oria et al. [38] assessed whether dietary sodium restriction should be recommended in patients with HF. They postulated that, in patients with established cardiovascular disorders, including HF, a J-curve phenomenon is likely. They suggest that moderate sodium restriction to below high consumption levels are beneficial, but this does not apply to severe restrictions, which may carry risks. Therefore, they recommended that, until further studies are carried out, reductions in sodium consumption to < 2.3 g daily should not be recommended, since this may have unfavourable effects in HF patients. On the other hand, hyponatremia is one of the most frequent hydro-electrolytic disorders in patients with HF requiring hospitalization. Dietary sodium restriction can promote hyponatremia which, in itself, is an indicator of a poor prognosis, longer hospitalization, and increased hospitalization [39].

Chloride is the main extracellular anion that accompanies sodium and that comes from dietary sources. Chloride levels below < 100 mEq/L are known to be an independent predictor of all-cause mortality and cardiac mortality, independently of sodium, bicarbonate, and diuretic use. Therefore, very severe sodium restrictions, when attached to chloride in common salt, can lead to hypochloraemia, which could be harmful for patients with HF [40].

One problem is the prevalence of HF worldwide, including Asia. In this region, sodium intake is a big concern and cardiovascular death and hospitalization rates are higher than in other regions. Very little data from Asia is available. Hwang and Kim [41] analysed ninety-one Korean patients with HF and found a mean sodium intake of 3,982 mg/day, with men consuming a significantly higher amount than women (4.207 g vs. 3.523 g). However, there is no data about sodium restriction in Asian patients in general, who may receive greater benefits than other populations.

The effects of sodium restriction in patients with HF should be clarified, since the importance of individual variations must be considered, including factors such as the cause of HF, the chronicity of HF, functional class, kidney function, age, race, comorbidity, and symptoms. In addition, it is important to establish an effective method to monitor dietary sodium intake [42].

One of the main limitations of this analysis lies in the heterogeneity of the available studies, which are also incomplete and present a low degree of evidence. The different dietary patterns with respect to salt consumption in different countries and the absence of completely reliable measures for its quantification are also a limitation in most studies.

In conclusion, more studies of non-pharmacological measures in HF are needed. Methods to determine the nutritional status of these patients are required due to the significant impact on mortality from cachexia and malnutrition. Sodium restriction needs to be redefined as it may lead to increased mortality or readmission in some patients with HF.
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