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Abstract: According to the IPCC, a global carbon budget of 400 GtCO\textsubscript{2} is required to limit the temperature rise to 1.5 °C with a 67% likelihood by 2050. The finance industry is increasingly committed to ambitious climate targets. In this article, we describe the detailed methodology and energy model architecture of a MATLAB-based integrated energy assessment model for industry-specific 1.5 °C pathways, with a high technical resolution of target parameters as key performance indicators (KPIs). The additionality of OECM 2.0 is the high technical resolution in terms of the level of detail of industry-specific energy demand and supply parameters that can be modeled—a prerequisite to define industry-specific KPIs. We found that a database of industry-sector-specific energy demands and energy intensities, with a consistent methodology, is required to improve the accuracy of calculations in future research. We supplement the technical documentation with the results for a transport scenario.
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1. Introduction

The Paris Climate Agreement [1] notes that ( . . . ) emission reduction efforts will be required ( . . . ) to hold the increase in the global average temperature to below 2 °C above pre-industrial levels ( . . . ). The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) further quantified the carbon budget to achieve this target in its Sixth Assessment Report of the Working Group [2]. According to the IPCC, a global carbon budget of 400 GtCO\textsubscript{2} is required to limit the temperature rise to 1.5 °C with a 67% likelihood by 2050.

To implement those targets, energy- and climate-mitigation pathways are required. Numerous computer models for the analysis and development of energy and emission pathways have been developed over the last few decades. The IPCC Assessment Reports use ‘shared socioeconomic pathways’ (SSPs) [3] to describe different greenhouse gas (GHG) emission pathways. Energy models are required to assess possible energy industry trajectories and the development of energy-related CO\textsubscript{2} emissions—as part of the SSP. Many different calculation methods have been established, which mainly differ in the principal task of the model and the level of detail in the GHG emissions and/or energy systems calculated. The various methods of climate–economy modelling use different ways to describe the economy- and climate-relevant parameters as parts of a highly interconnected process [4]. In this context, the economy includes all aspects of the energy system and the policy framework, whereas the climate module reflects various GHG emissions from energy-related and non-energy-related processes, such as land use.

A comprehensive review of energy models, focusing on the usability of those models for decision making, found ‘that a better understanding of user needs and closer cooperation between modelers and users is imperative to truly improve models and unlock their full potential to support the transition towards climate neutrality ( . . . )’ [5].
The Net-Zero Asset Owner Alliance (NZAOA).

The UN-convened Net-Zero Asset Owner Alliance (NZAOA) is an international group of institutional investors committed to transitioning their investment portfolios to net-zero emissions by 2050 [6]. Detailed industry-sector-based energy scenarios are required to implement those net-zero commitments.

The One Earth Climate Model 1.0 (OECM) is an energy scenario model to develop normative energy pathways and based on the Energy System model (ESM) developed by the German Aerospace Center (DLR) [7–9], in combination with additional modules to determine detailed energy demand and supply pathways for the transport [9] and power sector [10]. More details about those modules are provided in Section 3. On the basis of the OECM 1.0 [11], the Institute for Sustainable Futures (ISF), University of Technology Sydney (UTS), in close co-operation with institutional investors, have developed an integrated energy assessment model for industry-specific 1.5 °C pathways, with a high technical resolution, for the finance sector. The term ‘technical resolution’ is defined as the level of detail a technical process, such as the production of secondary steel, or the required energy demand per ton-kilometer transported with a containership can be calculated with an energy model. In this article, we describe the detailed methodology and energy model architecture of the advanced OECM 2.0 edition. The methodology is further specified using the example of a global transport scenario.

The contributions of this paper are:

• Description of a novel methodology for a detailed calculation of energy pathways to achieve rapid decarbonization for specific industry sectors.

• It presents the OECM 2.0, a novel object-oriented energy model for the development and simulation of specific 1.5 °C pathways, with a high technical resolution, for the finance sector. The development of this model has been motivated by gaps identified in existing tools.

• To show the performance of the developed tool, it presents a case study and evaluates the computational results.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: Section 2 compares the features offered by the developed model and those offered by relevant existing tools. Section 3 describes the structure of the proposed model. This is followed by a description of the object-oriented architecture in Section 4. Section 5 presents a case study and simulation results, and Section 6 concludes the paper.

2. Shared Socioeconomic Pathways (SSPs) and the Role of Energy Assessment Tools

Shared socioeconomic pathways (SSPs) describe possible societal changes that will be made to reduce greenhouse gas emissions in order to achieve the Paris Climate Agreement. Thus, SSPs are inputs to climate model scenarios that calculate various possible developments for greenhouse gas emissions, either an increase or decrease, until the year 2100, which were included in the IPCC’s Sixth Assessment Report [3].

The higher the calculated GHG emissions between 2020 and 2100 in various SSPs, the higher the assumed temperature rise. SSPs are numbered sequentially, from SSP 1 being the highest GHG reduction and the lowest temperature increase, to SSP 8.5 being a strong increase in GHG emissions and thus a significant temperature increase. Furthermore, a distinction is made between various technical measures for carbon capture and storage (CCS). The OECM 2.0 presented in this analysis only focuses on SSP1 scenarios which do not include the utilization of CCS technologies.

To decarbonize the energy supply, fossil fuels must be phased out and replaced with a renewable energy supply. However, the supply of high-temperature process heat for various production processes cannot yet be fully electrified, and a simple switch of fuel from oil, gas, or coal to biomass is also impossible given the limited availability of sustainable bioenergy [12,13]. To develop a detailed industry-sector-specific solution, the process heat temperature level required must be considered when developing an energy scenario. An energy model with such a high technical resolution can provide detailed results for various
industry sectors, but requires a highly complex and data-intensive model architecture. Separate modules for the calculation of different sectors of the energy system are not practicable with such a highly technical resolution because high electrification rates lead to increased sector coupling, and the interactions between sectors cannot be captured if the energy model uses separate modules.

Furthermore, the geographic distribution of the energy demand and the supply must be accommodated in order to calculate the import and export of energy, especially for energy-intensive industries. Finally, the simulation of 100% renewable energy systems requires high time resolution to accommodate the high proportions of variable solar and wind energy.

2.1. OECM 2.0 in the Context of Energy Modelling Tools

Although an assessment of existing energy scenario models is beyond the scope of this article, in this section, we place the development of the OECM 2.0 methodology in comparison with an existing energy model. A detailed review of 75 energy modelling tools currently in use for energy and electricity system analysis showed the significant range of capabilities of models and the purposes they are used for. The analysis identifies three main categories of energy and electricity models: simulation, optimization and equilibrium models. Based on those categories, the OECM 2.0 sits between a simulation and an equilibrium model. The energy system is simulated with high technical details which includes industry specific energy demands e.g., the steel and chemical industry. Additionally, the economic impact of changes in energy demand and supply is modelled, while the rest of the economy is not modelled which qualifies the OECM 2.0 as a partial equilibrium model. Furthermore, the OECM 2.0 allows a change of the spatiotemporal resolution from years–currently annual steps until 2050–to hours, in order to allow electricity system simulation for scenarios with high shares of variable power generation, namely from wind and solar, for a whole year in hourly steps. The additonality of the OECM 2.0 lies in the increased technical resolution that allows the integration of specific energy scenarios for industries classified under the Global Industry Classification Standard (GICS) to provide key performance indicators (KPI) for climate and energy target setting. An example of a KPI used for target setting for the steel industry is the energy intensity of steel production in energy units per produced ton of steel for a specific year e.g., 2030 and the resulting emission intensity in CO₂ per ton of steel under an assumed energy supply scenario.

Detailed energy demand scenarios, such as the ‘low energy demand scenario for meeting the 1.5 °C target and sustainable development goals without negative emission technologies’ (LED) [16], provide useful guidance regarding energy efficiency potentials. The OECM 2.0 utilizes these efficiency targets and assigns them to GICS sector specific energy scenarios to provide KPIs for those industries.

2.2. OECM in the Context of Energy Assessment Models

Integrated energy assessment models are used to define transition pathways under different SSPs as described in Section 2. A comprehensive analysis of climate mitigation scenarios [17] involved six integrated assessment models, including the ‘Regionalized Model of Investments and Development’ (REMIND).

The REMIND model of the German Potsdam Institute [18] plays a prominent role in the IPCC Special Report on the impacts of global warming of 1.5 °C [19]. The REMIND model consists of four main modules: the macro-economic, energy system, land use and climate modules. The macro-economic and energy system modules are connected with two ‘hard links’; whereas the land use and climate modules are not directly connected. According to the REMIND description [18], ‘economic activity results in demand for final energy such as transport energy, electricity, and non-electric energy for stationary end uses. A production function with constant elasticity of substitution (nested CES production function) determines final energy demand’. Therefore, the model is driven by cost inputs, which are estimated for the calculated scenario period and the development that occurs.
within this time period, e.g., between 2020 and 2050. However, cost projections 20–30 years in to the future, especially for energy resources, such as oil, gas, and coal, are subject to considerable uncertainties [20,21].

The main driver of the transport energy demand in the REMIND model is GDP growth, in combination with assumptions about technical efficiencies and costs. The technical resolution for the passenger road transport sector, for example, is limited to light duty vehicles (LDV, cars) powered by ‘liquids, hydrogen or electricity’, whereas for the freight transport sector, only ‘liquids’ are considered [18]. Cost optimization models such as REMIND must limit the technical resolution of the model to remain within reasonable computing times. However, a scenario with a high technical resolution is required to calculate industry-specific benchmarks. Benchmarks for a 1.5 °C trajectory for the car manufacturing industry, for example, would require energy intensity targets for passenger vehicles in joules per kilometer, as well as emission intensities in CO₂ per kilometer. Furthermore, the development of technology costs over decades in the future is highly speculative, especially when combined with the strong uncertainties about fuel costs (oil, gas, and coal). Thus, cost optimization for time periods that are decades into the future seems meaningless.

OECM 2.0 simulates detailed scenario narratives with a high technical resolution. The results of the 1.5 °C OECM transport scenario are used to support the methodology of the model with real-world results.

3. Methodology

In this section, we propose a methodology to determine specific 1.5 °C pathways, with a high technical resolution, for the finance sector.

The OECM 1.0 emerged from an interdisciplinary research project between the University of Technology Sydney, the German Aerospace Centre (DLR), and the University of Melbourne, between 2017 and 2019. The task was to develop a detailed 1.5 °C GHG trajectory for 10 world regions. OECM 1.0 was developed on the basis of established DLR and UTS energy models, and consisted of three independent modules:

1. Energy System Model (EM): a mathematical accounting system for the energy sector [8]
2. Transport scenario model TRAEM (TRANsport Energy Model) with a high technical resolution [9]
3. Power System Analysis Model [R]E 24/7: Simulates the interaction of hourly electricity demand using measured or calculated load curves and power generation, based on generation characteristics, to detect possible periods of under- or oversupply [10].

The advanced OECM 2.0 merges the energy system model EM, the transport model TRAEM, and the power system model [R]E 24/7 into one MATLAB-based energy system module. The Global Industry Classification System (GICS) was used to define the various sectors of the economy. The global finance industry must increasingly undertake mandatory Climate Change Stress Tests for GICS-classified industry sectors in order to develop energy and emission benchmarks to implement the Paris Climate Agreement. This requires a very high technical resolution for the calculation and projection of future energy demands and the supply of electricity, (process) heat, and fuels, which are necessary for the steel and chemical industries. An energy model with a high technical resolution must be able to calculate the energy demand based on either the sector-specific gross domestic product (GDP) projections or market forecasts of material flows, such as the demand for steel, aluminum or cement in tons per year.

Specifically, the methodology proposed in this work considers five fundamental elements (as described below): (i) databases and model calibration; (ii) sector and sub-sector definitions; (iii) cost calculations; (iv) a demand module; and (v) a supply module.

3.1. Comparison OECM 1.0 and Additionalities of OECM 2.0

The motivation to develop the OECM 1.0 further was the need for energy scenarios and emission trajectories that covers a specific sector classified under the GICS system, as
set out in Section 2.1. The OECM 1.0 was based on the widely used sectorial breakdown into the main demand sectors of industry and services, buildings, transport and electricity, but did not have the required technical resolution to break down the industry sector further into the individual GICS sectors.

In order to attain the higher technical resolution to model sectors such as the steel industry, the aluminum industry or the food processing industry, three main changes had to be made:

A. Modification of the model architecture to achieve greater flexibility, which allows the calculation of (GICS) subsectors with clearly defined system boundaries.
B. Implementation of the new subsectors in the model
C. Addition of new energy demand drivers besides population and economic development (GDP).

The flexibility was achieved by merging the previously three independent models into one program. MATLAB was chosen to handle the larger amount of data. The now considerably more extensive model was divided into two modules, which are connected but can still operate independently of one another in order to be able to calculate the energy requirements of individual industries.

Different sectors have different drivers for energy demand. The energy demand of the steel industry, for example, is driven by the annual production in tons of steel, the shares of primary and secondary steel and the required volume of iron ore and therefore the need for iron ore mining. While the main driver for energy demand in the OECM 1.0 was the development of the population and the economic activity in GDP, OECM 2.0 includes industry-specific drivers such as annual production volumes e.g., tons of steel or aluminum per year. Table 1 provides the main improvement of OECM 2.0 in form of a synopsis table.

Table 1. Synopsis OECM 1.0 versus OECM 2.0.

| OECM 1.0 | Main Improvement in OECM 2.0 |
|----------|-----------------------------|
| A. Model architecture | One energy scenario model, consisting of a demand and supply module |
| Three independent energy scenario models | Bottom-up energy demand analysis for all sectors, that can operate separate from supply module to develop industry specific demand pathways. |
| 1. Transport Model (TRAEM) | Energy demand module |
| Determines transport energy demand for each transport mode | |
| 2. Energy System Model (EM) | Energy supply module |
| Simulates energy balances based on GDP population; separate transport energy demand calculation as input for the overall energy demand. | Energy supply simulation based on energy demand pathways for power heat and fuels simulates sector-coupling between transport-heat and power sector. |
| EM results for the power sector are an input for the [RJE 24/7 for subsequent power sector analysis in hourly resolution | Time resolution changeable to allow power analysis in hourly resolution for a specific scenario year without model and therefore data exchange. |
| 3. Power System Model Module [RJE 24/7 | |
| Sectorial breakdown limited to the main 3 sectors: | Sectorial breakdown based on GICS |
| Buildings, agriculture, forestry and fisheries are accumulated in one sector | Primary and secondary energy |
| Primary energy sector: oil, gas, coal, Secondary energy sector: power utilities, gas utilities |
| Other Sectors | Industry sectors |
| No further separation of industry sectors | Aluminum, chemical, cement, steel, textile & leather industry |
Table 1. Cont.

| OECDM 1.0 | Main Improvement in OECDM 2.0 |
|-----------|-----------------------------|
| Transport | Service sectors | Agriculture, forestry, water utilities, commercial buildings, residential buildings, construction |
| | Transport | Aviation and shipping industry, road transport-sub-divided in freight and passenger transport |

C. Demand Drivers

| Two main drivers for energy demand: | Sector specific energy demand drivers |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Other Sectors | Economic development (GDP) and population | Group 1 industry: aluminum, chemical, cement, steel | Production volume in million tons per year |
| Industry | Economic development (GDP) and population | Group 2 industry: chemical industry, textile & leather | Sector-specific GDP |
| Transport | Demand calculated on with transport kilometer (passenger and ton-kilometer) in separate model (TRAEM) | Service 1: Water utilities, Fisheries | Water consumption in billion m³ per year, Produced fish in tons per year |
| | | Service 2: Agriculture, forestry | Sector-specific GDP |
| | | Service 3: Buildings | Population and building stock in square meter |
| | | Transport: Aviation, shipping, road, rail | Freight-kilometer and passenger-kilometer |

The changes allowed the development of a bottom-up energy demand scenario for various sub-sectors and the calculation of the entire energy system including sector coupling between power, heat and transport.

The higher technical resolution requires more detailed input data such as energy intensities for industrial processes e.g., electricity demand per tons of secondary aluminum or energy demand per freight ton-kilometer for trucks, vans or containerships. Data that might not be available, or only with high uncertainties is discussed in Sections 3.5, 6 and 6.1.

3.2. Databases and Model Calibration

The OECD model uses several databases for the energy statistics, the energy intensities, the technology market shares and other market or socio-economic parameters. The calculation of the energy balance for the base year is based on the International Energy Agency (IEA) Advanced World Energy Balances [22,23].

The IEA energy statistics for a calculated country and/or region are uploaded in the format specified by the IEA database: consumption sectors in rows and energy production by fuel or power generation technology in columns. The data for each year from 2005 onwards until the last year for which data are available are used to calibrate the model. The calibration process was developed by DLR for the Energy System Model which uses MESAP/PlaNet—a commercial energy system analysis software [23–27]. The market shares are calculated based on the IEA statistics and a technical database for energy intensities for various appliances and applications across all sectors for the years 2005 to the latest available year, 2019 in this project. The historic data is used for the calibration of the model as shown in Table 2.
### Table 2. Calibration for calculating the transport demand.

| Calculation Concept                        | Process   | 2005–2019 | Unit       | Comment                                           |
|-------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| Transport Demand                          |           |           |            |                                                   |
| Aviation, Navigation, Rail, and           |           |           |            |                                                   |
| Road—Past to Present                      |           |           |            |                                                   |
| Annual Demand                             | Data      | Database  | [PJ/yr]    | Data: IEA Advanced World Energy Balances          |
| Passenger share                           | Input     | Literature| [%]        | Literature research                              |
| Freight share                             | Input     | Literature| [%]        | Literature research                              |
| Average Energy Intensity—passenger transport | Data      | Literature| [MJ/pkm]   | Literature research                              |
| Average Energy Intensity—freight transport | Data      | Literature| [MJ/tpkm]  | Literature research                              |
| Passenger-kilometers                      | Calculation|           | [pkm]      | Checked against OECD statistics                   |
| Ton-kilometers                            | Calculation|           | [tpkm]     | Checked against OECD statistics                   |
| Annual Growth/Decrease—passenger-kilometers| Calculation|           | [%/yr]     | Calculated to understand the trend between 2005 and 2020 |
| Annual Growth/Decrease—ton-kilometers     | Calculation|           | [%/yr]     |                                                   |
| Population—indicator of passenger         | Data      | Database  | [million]  | Data: UN                                          |
| transport development                      |           |           |            |                                                   |
| GDP per capita—indicator of passenger     | Data      | Database  | [$GDP/capita] | Data: World Bank                              |
| freight transport development              |           |           |            |                                                   |
| GDP—indicator of freight transport         | Data      | Database  | [$GDP]     | Data: World Bank                                  |
| development                                |           |           |            |                                                   |

The IEA Energy Statistics ‘Advanced World Energy Balances’ provides the energy demand and supply for the four main transport sectors: road, rail, shipping and aviation. Additional information, such as the division into energy demand for passenger and freight transport, are not included and must be determined by further literature research. Energy intensities for vehicle types, annual usage in kilometers and market shares for each transport mode are required to calculate the transport energy demand for subsectors. The calibration is complete when the sum of all calculated transport technologies, e.g., for diesel, biofuel and electric vehicles, equals the energy demand for road transport provided by the IEA statistic. Table 2 shows all parameters that are necessary for the calibration process using the example of the transport sector.

The future development of transport demand by transport mode is calculated as the annual change in percent (input), which corresponds to a reversal of the calculation method for the calibration process.

This methodology for calibration and projection is used across all sectors. The developed MATLAB tool can access online data and databases through available application programming interfaces (APIs). For example, the API provides access to nearly 16,000 time series indicators, including population estimates and projections [28]. Likewise, the OECD provides access to datasets through an API. This allows a developer to easily call the API and access data using the code lines in MATLAB.

Table 3 shows the projected transport demand for aviation, navigation, and road transport for the OECM 1.5 °C pathway for transport.
### Methodology of OECM 2.0—Projection of future transport demand by transport mode.

| Process | 2020–2050 | Unit | Comment |
|---------|------------|------|---------|
| Aviation, Navigation, Rail, Road—Projection |
| Calculation | \((\text{passenger km previous year}) \times \text{(increase/decrease in } \%\text{/yr})\) | [pkm] | Starting point: base year 2019 |
| Calculation | \((\text{ton-km previous year}) \times \text{(increase/decrease in } \%\text{/yr})\) | [tkm] | Starting point: base year 2019 |
| Input | INPUT in } \%\text{/yr} | [%/yr] | Assumption |
| Calculation | INPUT in } \%\text{/yr} | [million] | Assumption based on UN projection |
| Calculation | =\$\text{GDP/population} | [$\text{GDP/capita}$] | Assumption based on World Bank projection |
| Result | Time series 2020–2050: Passenger km per year & region | [pkm/yr] | Input for energy demand calculation |
| Result | Time series 2020–2050: Freight km per year & region | [tkm/yr] | Input for energy demand calculation |

### 3.3. Sectors and Sub-Sectors

The One Earth Climate Model was developed to calculate energy pathways for geographic regions, as documented by [11]. The OECM was further developed to meet the requirements of the financial industry and to design energy and emission pathways for clearly defined industry sectors (sectorial pathways). The finance industry uses different classification systems to describe sub-areas of certain branches of industry. An important system is the Global Industry Classification Standard (GICS) [26]. However, the GICS sub-sectors do not match the IEA statistical breakdown of the energy demands of certain industries. Tables 4 and 5 show examples of the finance sector calculated using the OECM model, the GICS codes, and the statistical information used. Although the OECM model allows all the GICS code sub-sectors to be calculated, the availability of statistics is the factor limiting the resolution of the sectorial pathways. For example, the statistical data for the textile and leather industry are stored in the IEA database, but the database does not separate the two industries further.

### Table 4. Projection of transport demand based on the changing demand in kilometers for the OECM 1.5 °C pathway.

| Parameter | Units | 2019 | 2025 | 2030 | 2040 | 2050 |
|-----------|-------|------|------|------|------|------|
| **Aviation** | | | | | | |
| Quantity: Freight (domestic only) | [million ton-km/yr] | 15,431 | 13,295 | 12,071 | 10,320 | 9714 |
| Quantity: Passenger (domestic) | [million pkm/yr] | 235,649 | 248,398 | 225,520 | 192,812 | 181,495 |
| Air Freight: Energy Intensity | [MJ/tkm] | 32.2 | 29.1 | 27.2 | 25.8 | 25.2 |
| Air Passenger: Energy Intensity | [MJ/pkm] | 5.8 | 4.8 | 4.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| **Aviation: Airplanes & Spacecraft (incl. military) Manufacture—Economic value** | [bn $ GDP] | 87.997165 | 112.8087 | 133.16349 | 180.60724 | 234.37966 |
| **Navigation** | | | | | | |
| Quantity: Freight | [million ton-km/yr] | 58,248,815 | 57,498,093 | 60,431,074 | 63,521,527 | 66,770,026 |
| Quantity: Passenger | [million pkm/yr] | 308,526 | 292,503 | 307,424 | 332,915 | 367,746 |
| Shipping Freight: Energy Intensity | [MJ/tkm] | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
### Table 4. Cont.

| Parameter | Units | 2019 | 2025 | 2030 | 2040 | 2050 |
|-----------|-------|------|------|------|------|------|
| **Shipping Passenger:** | | | | | | |
| Energy Intensity | [MJ/pkm] | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| **Navigation:** | | | | | | |
| Ships, Yachts, & Floating Structure Manufacture—Economic value | [bn $ GDP] | 125.71024 | 161.15528 | 190.23356 | 258.01034 | 334.82809 |
| **Road Transport** | | | | | | |
| **Quantity:** | [million ton-km/yr] | 2.7769018 | 2.4930045 | 2.2725292 | 1.9626068 | 1.7681942 |
| **Quantity:** | [million person km/yr] | 5.461384 | 4.4088208 | 4.0913926 | 4.1728249 | 4.2734755 |
| **Passenger Road** | | | | | | |
| Intensity: Electric Vehicle | [MJ/pkm] | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 |
| Market share: Electric Vehicle | [%] | 0.3% | 4.0% | 7.0% | 65.0% | 70.0% |
| Intensity: Internal Combustion Engine (ICE)—Diesel/Oil | [MJ/pkm] | 1.5 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.0 |
| Market share: ICE—Diesel/Oil | [%] | 93.3% | 89.5% | 83.0% | 12.5% | 0.0% |
| Intensity: Bio | [MJ/pkm] | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Market share: Bio Vehicle | [%] | 4.1% | 5.0% | 7.0% | 10.0% | 14.0% |
| Intensity: Gas Vehicle | [MJ/pkm] | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.1 |
| Market share: Gas Vehicle | [%] | 2.3% | 1.0% | 1.0% | 0.5% | 0.0% |
| Hybrid Electricity | [MJ/pkm] | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 |
| Market share: Hybrid Vehicle | [%] | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Intensity: Hydrogen Vehicle | [MJ/pkm] | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| Market share: Hydrogen Vehicle | [%] | 0.0% | 0.5% | 2.0% | 12.0% | 16.0% |
| **Freight Road** | | | | | | |
| Intensity: Electric Vehicle | [MJ/tkm] | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| Market share: Electric Vehicle | [%] | 0.3% | 4.0% | 5.0% | 55.0% | 69.0% |
| Intensity: ICE—Diesel/Oil | [MJ/tkm] | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| Market share: ICE—Diesel/Oil | [%] | 93.3% | 89.0% | 87.0% | 16.0% | 0.0% |
| Intensity: Bio | [MJ/tkm] | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| Market share: Bio Vehicle | [%] | 4.1% | 5.0% | 5.0% | 16.5% | 17.0% |
| Intensity: Gas Vehicle | [MJ/tkm] | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| Market share: Gas Vehicle | [%] | 2.3% | 1.0% | 1.0% | 0.5% | 0.0% |
| Hybrid Electricity | [MJ/tkm] | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 |
| Market share: Hybrid Vehicle | [%] | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Intensity: Hydrogen Vehicle | [MJ/tkm] | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| Market share: Hydrogen Vehicle | [%] | 0.0% | 1.0% | 2.0% | 12.0% | 14.0% |

### Table 5. Examples of industry sub-sectors based on the Global Industry Classification Standard (GICS).

| Financial Sector | GICS | IEA Statistic Categories | Sector Definition |
|------------------|------|--------------------------|------------------|
| 203,010 Air Freight & Logistics | World Aviation Bunkers | Covers fuels delivered to aircraft of all countries that are engaged in international aviation (International aviation bunkers) for the world total aviation bunker demand |
| Financial Sector | GICS | IEA Statistic Categories | Sector Definition |
|------------------|------|--------------------------|-------------------|
| 20,301,010 Air Freight & Logistics | Domestic Aviation | Aviation fuels to aircraft for domestic aviation—commercial, private, agricultural use |
| 203,020 Airlines | | | |
| 203,021,010 Air Freight & Logistics | Domestic Aviation | Fuels delivered to ships of all flags not engaged in international navigation (international marine bunkers) for the whole world marine bunker demand |
| 203,040 Road & Rail | Road | Fuels used in road vehicles and for agricultural and industrial highway use. Excludes military consumption and the motor gasoline used in stationary engines and the diesel oil used in tractors that are not for highway use |
| 203,050 Transportation Infrastructure | Pipeline Transport | Energy used in the support and operation of pipelines transporting gases, liquids, slurries, and other commodities, including the energy used for pump stations and the maintenance of pipelines. |
| 203,060 Highways & Rail tracks | Transport equipment (part of Manufacturing) | Manufacture of transportation equipment such as ship building and boat manufacturing, the manufacture of railroad rolling stock and locomotives, air and spacecraft and the manufacture of parts thereof. |
| Agriculture | 3010 Food & Staples Retailing | Farming | Food and tobacco production, excluding the energy demand for agriculture, as defined under the IEA energy statistic ‘other sectors’. Additional statistics from industry partners are required because the IEA statistic only provides the accumulated energy demand for agriculture and forestry. |
| | 3020 Food, Beverages, & Tobacco | Food production and supply | |
| Forestry | 1510 Materials | Agricultural & Forestry | Energy demand for all wood and wood products, including pulp & paper and printing. Also includes all energy demands for agricultural services not included in food and tobacco production. |
| | 151,050 Paper & Forest Products | Paper & Forest Products | |
| | 15,105,010 Forest Products | | |
| | 15,105,020 Paper Products | | |
| Chemicals | 1510 Materials | Chemical Industry | Energy demand for all chemical, petrochemical, glass, and ceramic products. |
| | 151,010 Chemicals | Chemical products | |
| | | Petrochemical products | |
| | | Glass & ceramics | |
| Aluminum | 151,040 Metals & Mining | Aluminum | Energy demand for the production of primary and secondary aluminum, as well as bauxite mining. |
| | 15,104,010 Aluminum | | |
| Textiles & Leather | 2520 Consumer Durables & Apparel | Textile & Leather Industry | This sector covers the energy demand for the textile and leather industry. |
| | 252,030 Textiles, Apparel, & Luxury Goods | | |
3.4. Cost Calculation

The costs linked to the energy supply in each year of the modelled period include the investment costs related to ‘new capacities’ for technologies and storage (including replacement or decommissioning, based on the assumed technical lifetimes = vintaging), operation and maintenance (O&M) costs as a percentage of the total installed capacities, and fuel costs. Other inputs for each technology and storage type include the capital cost per unit ($/KW), O&M costs as a percentage of the capital cost and unit fuel costs ($/GJ).

Therefore, for each technology or storage type:

- It is assumed that the change in “installed capacity” between each of the years modelled is linear and a linear interpolation between these is considered.
- The “installed capacities” and “new capacities” are inter-related (one depends on the other) in each of the modelled and interpolated years, based on the cumulative capacities in the calculated year and the assumed technology lifetime.
- The capital costs per unit and the fuel costs in each of the modelled years are also interpolated linearly between the modelled years. Therefore, if a scenario is calculated in 5-year steps, e.g., the development from 2025 and 2030, the years 2026 to 2029 are calculated as a linear interpolation.
- Replacement capacities, if required, are also included in each year as part of the investment costs.
- The O&M costs in each of the interpolated years are calculated based on the interpolated installed capacities and the annual O&M input costs (as a percentage of the capital cost).
- Annual fuel costs for non-renewable technologies are calculated based on their output energy (running time) and interpolated fuel costs.
- The resulting “specific costs” ($/kWh) are also calculated from the interpolated energy supplied in each year.

The total specific costs ($/kWh) of a scenario, as practically distributed over the interpolated years, allows the incurred costs for a scenario to be determined.

Limitations: The economic model does not consider the change in the value of money over time. Each year of the modelled period is regarded as if it were the present year, with the multiple costs incurred. Future additions to the model could include the net present costs and the time value of money.

3.5. Demand Module

The demand module uses a bottom-up approach to calculate the energy demand for a process (e.g., steel production) or a consumer (e.g., a household) in a region (e.g., a city, island or country) or transport services over a period of time. One of the most important elements of this approach is the strict separation of the original need (e.g., to get from home to work), how this need can be satisfied (e.g., with a tram), and the kind of energy required to provide this service (in this case, electricity). This basic logic is the foundation for the energy demand calculation across all sectors: buildings, transport, services and industry. Furthermore, the energy services required are defined; electricity, heat (broken down into four heat levels: <100 °C, 100–500 °C, 500–1000 °C and >1000 °C), and fuels for processes that cannot (yet) be electrified. Synthetic fuels, such as hydrogen, are part of both the demand module, because electricity is required to produce them, and the supply module.

The energy requirements are assigned to specific locations. This modular structure allows regions to be defined and, if necessary, the supply from other areas to be calculated. The demand and generation modules are independent and can be used individually or sequentially. Energy demands can be calculated as either synthetic load profiles, which are then summed to annual energy demands, or only as the annual consumption, without an hourly resolution. Whether or not hourly resolution is selected depends to a large extent on the availability of the data. Load profiles, such as those for the chemical industry, are difficult to obtain and are sometimes even confidential.
3.5.1. Input Parameters

As in basic energy models, the main drivers of the energy demand are the development of the population and economic activity, measured in GDP. Figure 1 shows the basic methodology of the OECM demand module. The tier 1 inputs are population and GDP by region and sector. Whereas ‘population’ defines the number of individual energy services, which determines the energy required per capita, the economic activity (in GDP) defines the number of services and/or products manufactured and sold. The tier 1 demand parameters are determined by the effect that a specific service requires. For population, the demand parameters are defined by the need for food, shelter (buildings), and mobility and, depending on the economic situation and/or lifestyle of the population, the demand for goods and services.

![Figure 1. Tier 1 and tier 2 input parameters for the assessment of energy demand.](image)

Economic activity (measured in GDP) is a secondary input, and is directly and indirectly dependent upon the size of the population. However, a large population does not automatically lead to high economic activity. Both population and projected GDP are inputs from external sources, such as the United Nations or the World Bank. The tier 1 input parameters themselves are strictly non-technical. For instance, the need to produce food can be satisfied without electricity or (fossil) fuels, food production is a service that can be provided with a human workforce.

The tier 2 demand parameters are energy-relevant factors, and describe technical applications, their energy intensities, and the extent to which the application is used. For example, if passenger road transport is required, the technical application ‘light duty vehicle (LDV)’ is chosen to satisfy the demand.

In this example, the energy intensity for an LDV with an internal combustion engine (ICE) is, for example, 1.5 MJ/km. The use of the application (=vehicle) is 15,000 km per year, which defines the demand (1.5 MJ/km × 15,000 km/yr = 22,500 MJ/yr). The application, in this example, an LDV with ICE, can be replaced with another technology, such as an electric vehicle with a reduced energy intensity of 0.5 MJ/km. The transport energy demand decreases, while the transport service (15,000 km) remains stable. In a second step, the actual transport service can be reduced or increased, or shifted to another transport mode (such as light rail) by the modeler.

This very basic and simple principle is used for every application in each of the main sectors: residential + buildings, industry, and transport. Those sectors are broken down into multiple sub-sectors, such as aviation, navigation, rail, and road for transport, and further into applications, such as vehicle types. The modular programming allows the addition of as many subsectors and applications as required.
3.5.2. Structure of the Demand Module

Each of the three sectors, residential & buildings (R), industry (I) and transport (T), has standardized sub-structures and applications. The residential sector R (first layer) has a list of household types (second layer) and each household type has a standard set of services (third layer), such as ‘lighting’, ‘cooling’ or ‘entertainment’. Finally, the applications for each of the services are defined (fourth layer), such as refrigerator or freezer for ‘cooling’. The energy intensity of each application can be altered by the modeler to reflect the status quo in a certain region and/or to reflect improvements in energy efficiency. An illustrative example of the residential sector layers is shown in Figure 2.

![Figure 2. Residential sector sub-structures.](image)

Figure 3 shows an example of the model structure of the industry sector. In the second layer, there are different industries—the OEM uses the GICS classification system for industry sub-sectors. The quantity of energy for each of the sub-sectors is driven by either GDP or the projected quantity of a product, such as the tons of steel produced per year. The market shares of specific manufacturing processes are defined and each process has a specific energy intensity for electricity, (process) heat, and/or fuels.

![Figure 3. Calculation of Industry energy demand.](image)

Figure 4 shows the structure for the transport sector. Again, the demand is driven by ‘non-energy’ factors, such as passenger-kilometers and freight-kilometers, and energy-related factors, such as the transport mode and the energy intensity for the different vehicle options.
3.6. Supply Module

After the demand has been calculated, the supply of electricity, heat, and fuels is calculated. The supply does not differentiate between different demand sectors. Therefore, the electricity demand for all sectors—residential, industry, and transport—is summed and is supplied as a whole. Consequently, no specific electric generation mix for the transport sector, for example, is considered.

The supply module consists of three main elements: supply technologies, storage technologies, and the infrastructure for the power supply (capacities of power lines). For the generation of electricity and heat, the program considers all the technologies of the energy market, from both renewable and non-renewable sources. In addition to the generation of pure electricity and heat, the entire range of combined heat and power systems is covered.

Storage technologies include batteries and the use of hydrogen from electrolyzers. The calculation of heat storage is possible, but has not yet been used in the OECM scenarios.

A dispatch strategy is defined for electricity and heat generation that reflects the market and policy factors. Whether electricity from photovoltaics (PV) and onshore and offshore wind turbines have priority dispatch, ahead of fossil fuel power plants and how storage systems are used, can be determined. Each technology has a specific conversion efficiency.

Heat generation technologies are also defined by the temperature levels they can provide. For example, residential solar collectors can only supply low-temperature heat and will therefore not be considered for high-temperature process heat.

The regional energy demand—as defined in the previous section—can be met by neighboring regions, with importation or, in the case of oversupply, exportation to them. The extent to which electricity can be imported or exported from one region to another is defined by the capacity of regional interconnections, which represent the currently available power line capacities. When variable renewable power generation must be curtailed because the capacity of the power line is restricted, MATLAB documents the quantity, in terms of both capacity (MW) and duration (hours per year). If the curtailment exceeds a certain fixed proportion of the annual usage hours, the additional power line capacity required is increased and documented by the program.

3.6.1. Dispatch Module

The methodology of the dispatch module of the MATLAB-based One Earth Climate Model is based on the previous version of the model [7]. The key inputs are related to the supply technologies, storage types, the dispatch strategy, and the interconnections among regions for possible power exchange (Table 6). Different supply technologies can be selected, each with its technical characteristics, including its efficiency, available installed capacity, fuel type, and regional meteorological data (solar radiation or wind...
speed) (Table 7). Meteorological data define the capacity factors of solar and wind energy
generators as their levels of availability at a 1 h resolution for an entire year.

Table 6. Example of generation and storage technologies.

| Power Plants | Combined Heat and Power Plants | Heating Plants | Electrical | Thermal | Hydrogen |
|--------------|--------------------------------|---------------|------------|----------|----------|
| Hard coal    | Hard coal                      | Coal          | Lithium battery | Water tank | Tank     |
| Lignite      | Lignite                        | Lignite       | Pumped hydro  | Molten salt |
| Gas          | Gas                            | Gas           |             |          |
| Oil          | Oil                            | Oil           |             |          |
| Diesel       | Biomass                        | Biomass       |             |          |
| Biomass      | Geothermal                     | Solar collectors |        |          |
| Hydro        | Hydrogen                       | Geothermal    |             |          |
| Wind         | Hydrogen                       |               |             |          |
| PV           |                                |               |             |          |
| Solar        |                                |               |             |          |
| Solar thermal |                               |               |             |          |
| Ocean energy |                                |               |             |          |
| Hydrogen     |                                |               |             |          |

Table 7. Input parameters for the dispatch model.

| Input Parameter         | Description                                      | Unit       |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|------------|
| L_Cluster               | Load cluster                                     | [MW]       |
| L_Interconnection       | Maximum power-line capacity (import/export)      | [MW]       |
| L_Initial               |                                                   | [MW]       |
| Cap_VarRE               | Installed capacity of Variable Renewables         | [MW]       |
| MeteoNorm               | Meteorological data for solar and wind            | [MW/MW_{INST}] |
| L_Post_VarRE            | Load after Variable Renewable supply             | [MW]       |
| CapStorage              | Storage capacity                                  | [MW]       |
| CapFactMax_Storage      | Maximum capacity factor for storage technologies  | [h/yr]     |
| L_Post_Storage          | Load after Storage supply                         | [MW]       |
| CapDispatch             | Capacity of Dispatch Power Plants                | [MW]       |
| CapFactMax_Dispatch     | Maximum capacity factor for Dispatch Power Plants | [h/yr]     |
| L_Post_Dispach          | Load after Dispatch Power Plant supply            | [MW]       |
| CapInterconnection      | Interconnection capacity                          | [MW]       |

Tables 8–11 provide an overview of the possible supply technologies and examples of different dispatch scenarios. The left column shows the available technology options and the right column provides an example of the priority order chosen by the user of OECM 2.0.
### Table 8. Output parameters for the dispatch model.

| Output Parameter | Description | Unit |
|------------------|-------------|------|
| L_<sub>Initial</sub> | Initial load (cluster) | [MW] |
| L_<sub>Post_Var.RE</sub> | Load after Variable Renewable supply | [MW] |
| S<sub>EXEC_Var.RE</sub> | Access supply Renewables | [MW] |
| L_<sub>Post_Storage</sub> | Load after Storage supply | [MW] |
| S<sub>Storage</sub> | Storage Requirement/Curtailment | [MW] |
| CapFact<sub>Actual_Storage</sub> | Utilization factor for storage | [h/yr] |
| L_<sub>Post_Dispatch</sub> | Load after Dispatch Power Plant supply | [MW] |
| S<sub>Dispatch</sub> | Dispatch requirement | [MW] |
| CapFact<sub>Actual_Dispatch</sub> | Utilization factor for Dispatch Power Plants | [h/yr] |
| L_<sub>Post_Interconnection</sub> | Load after Interconnection supply | [MW] |
| S<sub>Interconnection</sub> | Interconnection requirement | [MW] |
| CapFact<sub>Actual_Interconnection</sub> | Utilization factor for Interconnection | [h/yr] |

### Table 9. Technology groups for dispatch order selection.

| Technology Options | Input |
|--------------------|-------|
| List of technology options in OECM 2.0 | Examples of the priority order chosen by user of OECM 2.0 |
| 1. Variable Renewables | Variable Renewables |
| 2. Storage | Dispatch Generation |
| 3. Dispatch Generation | Storage |
| 4. Interconnector | Interconnector |

### Table 10. Technology options—variable renewable energy.

| Variable Renewable Power Technology Options | Input: Assumed Order of Generation Priority |
|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| List of Technology Options in OECM 2.0 | Examples of the Priority Order Chosen by User of OECM 2.0 |
| 1. Photovoltaic—roof top | Photovoltaic—utility scale (2) |
| 2. Photovoltaic—utility scale | Photovoltaic—roof top (1) |
| 3. Wind—onshore | Wind—offshore (4) |
| 4. Wind—offshore | Wind—onshore (3) |
| 5. CSP (dispatchable) | CSP (5) |

### Table 11. Technology options—dispatch generation.

| Dispatch Generation Technology Options | Input: Assumed Order of Generation Priority |
|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| List of technology options in OECM 2.0 | Examples of the priority order chosen by user of OECM 2.0 |
| 1. Bioenergy | Hydropower (3) |
| 2. Geothermal | Bioenergy (1) |
| 3. Hydropower | CoGen Bio energy (7) |
| 4. Ocean | Geothermal (2) |
| 5. Oil | CoGen Geothermal (8) |
| 6. Gas | Ocean (4) |
Table 11. Cont.

| Dispatch Generation Technology Options | Input: Assumed Order of Generation Priority |
|----------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| 7. CoGen Bio energy                    | Gas (6)                                    |
| 8. CoGen Geothermal                    | CoGen Gas (9)                              |
| 9. CoGen Gas                           | Coal (11)                                  |
| 10. CoGen Coal                         | CoGen Coal (10)                            |
| 11. Coal                               | Brown Coal (12)                            |
| 12. Brown coal                         | Nuclear (13)                               |
| 13. Nuclear                            | Oil (5)                                    |

The supply technologies can be either dispatchable (e.g., gas power plants) or non-dispatchable (e.g., solar PV without storage). The model allows the order in which the supply technologies and storage functions are used to supply the demand. Storage and interconnections cannot be selected as the first elements of supply (Table 8).

Concentrated Solar Power (CSP) plants can be operated with on-site storage technologies and are therefore dispatchable. However, those storage capacities are limited, therefore the MATLAB model classifies CSP plants as Variable Renewable Power Plants. The dispatch order of all power plant technologies is interchangeable. In case solar and wind power plants exceed demand, or other power plants have priority dispatch, the amount of curtailed electricity is documented in MATLAB. Alternatively, surplus production can either be distributed to other regions (=cluster) or assigned to various storage technologies. Different storage technologies are defined by efficiencies, maximum charging and discharging capacities as well as the storage volume in MWh (Table 12).

Table 12. Technology options—storage technologies.

| Storage Technology Option       | Input: Assumed Priority Order Storage Technologies |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| List of technology options in OECM 2.0 | Example for the priority order chosen by user of OECM 2.0 |
| 1. Battery                      | Hydro Pump (2)                                   |
| 2. Hydro Pump                   | Battery (1)                                      |
| 3. H2                           | H₂ (3)                                           |

Limitations: The OECM 2.0 can calculate required power grid transport capacities between individual regions (clusters). However, network services such as inductive power supply and frequency control must be calculated in a dedicated models as the OECM is not designed for this.

3.6.2. Regional Interconnections

The available electricity transport capacities between two geographically connected regions are determined in relation to the generation capacity installed in the region. The required electricity transport capacities within a region cannot be taken into account. Therefore, a region itself is considered a ‘copper plate’; a transmission system where electricity can flow unconstrained from any generation site to any demand site is found in most energy modeling tools [27]. This simplification is required to achieve a short calculation time, while maintaining a high technical and time resolution. The algorithm devised for the function of the interconnectors is based on the following information for each region:

- Unmet load in the region;
- Excess generation from other regions;
- Interconnection capacity between the undersupplied region and each of the other regions;
- Priority of the closest region(s) in exporting power to the undersupplied region.

Electricity demand and supply for each hour of the year are calculated for all regions. In case of a regional undersupply (=unmet load), regions with excess generation are identified. The nearest region with the highest surplus is chosen as the priority electricity supplier. If the electricity supply is still not sufficient, another region with the second highest surplus is chosen. In general, the local electricity supply has priority over electricity export. Only regions that are geographically connected can exchange electricity.

The amount of electricity transported between regions is calculated in megawatts for each hour of the year. The maximum capacity required for the import or export of electricity defines the required transmission capacity. The interconnected capacity can be defined as an input. If the required interconnection capacity exceeds the determined transmission capacity, electricity transfer will be curtailed. This curtailment is recorded and defines transmission capacity increases.

Similar to the supply technologies, different storage technologies (electrical, thermal, or hydrogen) can be defined and selected together with their technical characteristics, such as round-trip efficiency, new or installed capacities in each year of the modelled period, lifetime, maximum depth of discharge, maximum energy out in a time step, and costs. When the total energy delivered by the supply technologies in a region does not meet the demand, energy is discharged from storage (if the storage technology has energy available), following the constraints of the storage operation (maximum energy out per time step, maximum depth of discharge, maximum depth of charge, state of charge) and the order of operation for the defined storage technologies. In the case of a demand deficit after storage, electricity from other regions will be imported. When there is surplus energy generation, the surplus will charge any storage appliances (if available), according to the same constraints of energy storage operation and sequential order.

4. Model Architecture

In this section, we describe how the elements mentioned above in Section 3 can be incorporated in MATLAB. The MATLAB model has an object-oriented structure and two modules, to calculate demand and supply, which can be operated independently of each other. Thus, an energy demand analysis independent of specific supply options or the development of a supply concept based on demand from an external source is possible. Specifically, the architecture of the demand and supply modules developed are formally described below.

4.1. Demand Module Architecture in MATLAB

The demand module is implemented in MATLAB, a widely used programming language for mathematics and science computing. MATLAB allows the integration of a range of tools and databases, and has the flexibility to add and develop new functions. Specifically, the model has been developed using an object-oriented programming approach, allowing extensibility and modularity.

Figure 5 shows the developed demand module in MATLAB. In particular, the demand module encompasses seven classes:

- **Demand class:** This is the main class, which describes the residential, industry, and transport sectors, objects that are defined by household type, sub-sector, and transport mode classes, respectively. The attributes that define this class include a range of years, energy consumption forms, energy levels, list of sectors, household types, sub-sectors, applications, appliances, and vehicles. The demand class also has two main types of methods: (i) calculation demand methods, and (ii) printing results methods. The calculation methods use equations and algorithms to calculate and find the demand. For example, the ‘Find Demand’ method can be used to find a wide range of calculations and outputs, e.g., the electricity demand of a group of households for a specified year.
The calculation method can calculate the demand for single or aggregated sectors, sub-sectors, or applications, for a single year or a range of years, unique or multiple forms of energy consumption, and single or various types of vehicle categories. The printing results methods can be used to export the results into an Excel spreadsheet or to plot the results using the MATLAB interface. Thus, the outputs of the demand module can be either pre-defined graphs, tables, or data for a standardized report. See Table 13 for a brief description of each method in this class.

- **Household and appliance classes**: These classes are used to define the residential sector. The appliance objects are embedded within the household-type objects (Figure 6). Attributes include names, sectors, and regions, which are defined as string inputs (i.e., text or character inputs), or numerical inputs, which are defined as int (i.e., integers) or double (i.e., numeric variables holding numbers with decimal points). Attributes can also include arrays of strings or double values. Array variables are helpful in input time-series data, such as load profiles. Because households and appliances have their own classes, this architecture is flexible and allows the addition of households with different attributes and different types of appliances.

- **Sub-sector and industry application classes**: These classes are used to define the industry sector. The industry application objects are embedded within the sub-sector objects. As shown in Figure 7, these classes have their own lists of attributes. Therefore, the module developed can accommodate different types of sub-sectors (e.g., steel, cement, etc.,) and incorporate various types of applications under each sub-sector.

- **Transport modes and vehicle classes**: These classes are used to define the transport sector. The vehicle objects are embedded within the transport mode objects. Therefore, multiple types of transport modes can be defined, such as aviation and navigation, as well as various types of vehicles, such as planes and cruise ships.

Figure 5. A Unified Modelling Language (UML) diagram of the demand module in MATLAB, showing its classes, attributes, methods, and associations.
Figures 6 and 7 show the high-level class definitions for residential and industry sub-sector objects, respectively. The blue-marked text indicates the defined value for each attribute. For example, one household object with five residents is defined by the name “Rural–Phase 1”, and it has a list of 35 appliance objects, defined with a string array. It is assigned a share factor for 2020 of 0.2, which means that 20% of the households in that specific region and year are defined by this type of household and its attributes. Furthermore, 24 h load profiles are defined for each application for every day, with numerical arrays. For example, weekend load profiles have a size of 35 rows and 48 columns, representing 35 applications and 24 time slots for each weekend day.

The object-oriented architecture allows all these input attributes to be updated or modified easily. These attributes can also be read from a pre-defined Excel spreadsheet. This would facilitate a data input process that follows the array structure, such as the load profile.
Figure 7 shows an example of an industrial application object, which belongs to the sub-sector iron & steel. In this case, the energy consumption form is defined as electricity and heat, which means that it considers the electrical and heat demand. The 'share factors' represent the portion of the demand assigned to electricity and heat. The energy level array also allows the pre-defined network to which the application is connected to be defined, as well as the temperature levels. In this particular case, the demand is defined based on the total annual primary energy intensity and the material-based production, which are 1184 GJ/tons and 1178 Mt, respectively, for the specified region and year. The input and output units must be pre-defined when the MATLAB modules are initialized. Other attributes that can be assigned are conversion factors, such as from the primary energy to final energy via an efficiency factor.

Additional attributes and methods can be defined for each class if required and the data are available. Therefore, the demand module class can be extended by defining new classes, attributes and methods.

4.2. Supply Module Architecture in MATLAB

Analogous to the demand module, inputs can be made directly into the supply module via MATLAB or a standardized Excel sheet. The supply module in MATLAB is also based on an object-oriented structure, in which classes and the objects belonging to those classes are built based on attributes and methods.

Figure 8 shows the UML class diagram for the supply module developed in MATLAB. Specifically, the supply module has three main classes:

1. **Supply class**: This is the main class and it is built on the supply and storage technology objects. Attributes that describe the supply class include years, regions, energy supply forms, fuels, and generation and storage technologies. The supply class has two main types of methods: (i) calculation supply methods; and (ii) printing results methods. The calculation methods implement equations and algorithms to calculate the dispatch and fuel consumption. Table 14 presents a brief description of each method.

2. **Supply technology class**: This class is used to define supply technologies. Attributes include name, type, efficiency, year, region and energy supply form and are defined as text inputs. Additional attributes are defined as numerical inputs, such as lifetime, cost and capacity factors. The structure adopted allows the addition of new attributes if required. This class has methods that are used by the main supply class to calculate the primary fuel, emissions, or installed capacity of a specific technology.

3. **Storage technology class**: This class is used to define storage technologies. The attributes include name, type, efficiency, year, region and energy storage form and are defined as text inputs Other numerical attributes include charging and discharging rates, capacity, cost factors, and state of charge.

| Table 14. Methods within the demand class. |

| Type of Method | Method | Description |
|----------------|--------|-------------|
| Calculation    | Find Demand() and Find_Demand_hourly() | These methods calculate the annual or hourly aggregated energy demand for the specified region and energy form (i.e., power, heat, or hydrogen). The calculations can be aggregated by sector, sub-sector, transport mode, or any other object class. |
| Printing results | Export_report() | This method exports the specified results to external Excel spreadsheets and can be used to print results on pre-defined report tables. |
| Printing results | Plot_results() | This method can be used to plot results using the MATLAB interface. |
Figure 8. A UML diagram of the supply module in MATLAB, showing its classes, attributes, methods, and associations.

Figures 9 and 10 show the high-level class definitions for supply technologies and storage objects, respectively. The text in blue indicates the defined value for each attribute. For example, the supply technology object in Figure 9 has the name “coal power plant”; its input energy is defined as hard coal, and the object is associated with the electricity energy form. The attributes in Figure 9 consider the year 2020 and a global scenario. For example, the existing capacity is defined as 989.5 GW and the decommissioned capacity is 23 GW. The lifetime of this object is 35 years.

Figure 9. An example of a supply technology object, showing the assigned attributes.

Figure 10. An example of a storage technology object, showing the assigned attributes.

An example of a storage object is shown in Figure 10. The attributes of this object include text inputs, such as its name “battery lithium” and its type “electrical”. This object has numerical attributes such as the efficiency (equal to 0.95 for this object), and the...
charging and discharging rates (fixed at 5 kW). Note that the units for each attribute are defined when the module is initialized in MATLAB.

The supply module architecture developed is flexible to accommodate different types of supply and storage technologies. Additional attributes or methods can be added easily to the model.

5. OECM 1.5 °C Transport Trajectory

This section provides the calculated results for the OECM 1.5 °C transport pathway, calculated with the described methodology.

5.1. OECM 1.5 °C Transport Demand Calculation

The global transport energy demand was calculated with the economic and technical parameters shown in Table 3. Transport mode shifts for passenger and freight transport are assumed. These assumptions are manual inputs in MATLAB. Tables 15 and 16 show the assumed changes in the transport modes relative to 2019, for passenger and freight transport. The main driver of the transport mode shifts is energy efficiency, towards lower energy intensities per kilometer.

| Type of Method | Method | Description |
|----------------|--------|-------------|
| Calculation    | Find_Supply() and Find_Supply_hourly() | These methods calculate the annual or hourly aggregated energy supply for the specified region and the energy form (i.e., power, heat, or hydrogen). The calculations can be made by individual or group supply technology type or storage type. These methods can also be used to calculate the emissions and primary fuel associated with each supply technology. |
| Calculation    | Find_Share() | This method calculates the share factor results for pre-defined supply scenarios; for example, the share factors of power generated from renewable energy sources and non-renewable sources. Another example is the portion of the transport sector that requires electricity or hydrogen. |
| Calculation    | Find_Economy() | This method calculates the costs associated with supply technologies. |
| Calculation    | Get_fuel_total() | This method calculates the total fuel or total primary fuel required for demand and supply. |
| Calculation    | Get_emission_total() | This method calculates the total emissions, considering all the demand sectors and supply technologies. |
| Calculation    | Find_losses() | This method calculates the losses for a specified energy form. For example, it can be used to calculate the electricity losses or heat losses arising from transport and distribution. |
| Calculation    | Find_capacities() | This method calculates the installed capacity for a specified technology when decommission or new capacity parameters have been defined. |
| Calculation    | Reliability() | This method calculates the total energy deficit and curtailment based on the total demand and generation, for the specified energy form. |
| Printing results | Export_report() | This method exports the specified results to external Excel spreadsheets and can be used to print results on pre-defined report tables. |
| Printing results | Plot_results() | This method can be used to plot results using the MATLAB interface. |
Table 16. Global: Development of behavioral changes in passenger travel (based on pkm) by transport mode.

| Change in % of 2020 Demand | 2019 | 2025 | 2030 | 2040 | 2050 |
|----------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Rail                       | 100% | 117% | 136% | 221% | 360% |
| Road                       | 100% | 112% | 109% | 105% | 94%  |
| Domestic Aviation          | 100% | 101% | 96%  | 71%  | 47%  |
| Domestic Navigation        | 100% | 101% | 94%  | 81%  | 69%  |
| **Total**                  | 100% | 111% | 109% | 108% | 101% |

The energy demands for aviation, navigation and road transport are calculated with the documented input parameters and the methodology shown in Figure 4. Table 17 shows the results broken down into three transport modes and separated into passenger and freight transport. The energy demands for the manufacture of airplanes, ships and road vehicles are provided. The energy demand for the actual transport services is calculated on the basis of the transport kilometers required, the vehicles chosen for each transport mode and the assumed improvements in energy efficiency for the transport technologies.

Table 17. Global: Development of changes in freight logistics (based on tkm) by transport mode.

| Change in % of 2020 Demand | 2019 | 2025 | 2030 | 2040 | 2050 |
|----------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Rail                       | 100% | 133% | 186% | 238% | 305% |
| Road                       | 100% | 110% | 107% | 103% | 92%  |
| Domestic Aviation          | 100% | 102% | 98%  | 76%  | 51%  |
| Domestic Navigation        | 100% | 103% | 97%  | 85%  | 75%  |
| **Total**                  | 100% | 106% | 103% | 96%  | 89%  |

In contrast, the energy demand for the manufacture of transport equipment, (e.g., car manufacture) is calculated from the assumed development of GDP and the standard energy efficiencies, in MJ per $GDP.

The technical resolution of standard energy assessment tools, such as REMIND [18] see Section 2.1), cannot differentiate the energy demand for specific manufacturing processes (such as car manufacture), and the energy demand would be part of the industry sector. Financial institutions and institutional investors that have agreed to net-zero targets require sector-specific benchmarks for clearly defined investment sectors to set their targets. However, those investment sectors are not consistent with the breakdown of the demand sectors used in standard energy statistics. Therefore, the OECM methodology presented here closes the knowledge gap and provides a flexible tool with which to calculate benchmarks for various combinations of sub-sectors on the basis of standard energy statistical databases, such as the IEA World Energy Balances [28].

5.2. OECM 1.5 °C Transport Energy Supply Calculation

After the energy requirements of the different sectors are calculated, we turn to the supply of energy. Table 18 shows the energy supply requirements for the transport modes analyzed and the manufacture of transport equipment. The chosen application, which is in the context of transport the various vehicle technologies, determines the change in the energy sources required, e.g., from petrol or diesel to bio-diesel, hydrogen, or electricity.
Table 18. Transport energy demand by transport mode under the OECM 1.5 °C pathway.

| Parameter | Units | 2019  | 2025  | 2030  | 2040  | 2050  |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Aviation  |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| Air Freight: Energy Demand | [PJ/yr] | 1445  | 911   | 809   | 595   | 430   |
| Air Passenger: Energy Demand | [PJ/yr] | 13,004 | 8195  | 7279  | 5359  | 3866  |
| Energy Demand—Airplane Manufacture | [PJ/yr] | 165   | 171   | 201   | 270   | 336   |
| Navigation |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| Shipping Freight: Energy Demand | [PJ/yr] | 11,067 | 10,659 | 11,023 | 11,233 | 11,554 |
| Shipping Passenger: Energy Demand | [PJ/yr] | 833   | 802   | 830   | 846   | 870   |
| Energy Demand—Ship & Yacht Manufacture | [PJ/yr] | 235   | 244   | 287   | 385   | 480   |
| Road      |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| Road Freight: Energy Demand | [PJ/yr] | 38,598 | 28,937 | 26,027 | 16,736 | 11,058 |
| Road Passenger: Energy Demand | [PJ/yr] | 53,302 | 50,113 | 39,315 | 19,000 | 13,787 |
| Energy Demand—Road Vehicle Manufacture | [PJ/yr] | 1834  | 1905  | 2238  | 3004  | 3745  |
| Total Energy Demand Transport | [PJ/yr] | 120,482 | 101,937 | 88,008 | 57,428 | 46,125 |

The demand for fuels and fuel types is directly related to the vehicle technology selected, and is therefore not technology neutral for the supply side. However, a shift towards synthetic fuels and/or electricity will increase the electricity demand, but will not influence the electricity generation technology, as such. The development of the electricity generation technology mix is determined by the carbon intensity of the generation technology, the locally available renewable energy resources, and the available infrastructure.

Increased electrification significantly reduces the final energy demand of the transport sector, whereas the actual transport service in terms of kilometers will remain stable for passenger transport (+1% between 2020 and 2050) and will increase for freight transport (+15% between 2020 and 2050).

The additional electricity demand of the transport sector is added to the overall power generation requirement and calculated with the OECM demand module described in Section 4.2. The global electricity supply shares, under the OECM 1.5 °C pathway, are shown in Table 19. The specific CO₂ emissions for electric vehicles, in CO₂ per kilometer, and for the production of hydrogen, are calculated with the average specific CO₂ emissions per kilowatt hour of generated electricity (carbon intensity of electricity). The same values for the electricity carbon intensity for each year are used for all sectors and industries analyzed, e.g., for transport, the steel industry, or residential electricity supply.

Table 19. Energy supply requirements for aviation, navigation, road transport and the manufacture of transport equipment.

| Parameter | Units | 2019  | 2025  | 2030  | 2040  | 2050  |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Aviation Supply |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| Air Freight Fuel: Fossil | [PJ/yr] | 580   | 892   | 740   | 60    | 0     |
| Air Freight Fuel: Renewable & Synthetic Fuels | [PJ/yr] | 0     | 18    | 69    | 536   | 430   |
| Air Freight Fuel: Renewables share | [%] | 0%    | 2%    | 9%    | 90%   | 100%  |
| Air Passenger Fuel: Fossil | [PJ/yr] | 5224  | 8031  | 6660  | 536   | 0     |
| Air Passenger Fuel: Renewable & Synthetic Fuels | [PJ/yr] | 0     | 164   | 619   | 4823  | 3866  |
| Air Passenger Fuel: Renewables share | [%] | 0%    | 2%    | 9%    | 90%   | 100%  |
| Navigation Supply |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| Shipping Freight Fuel: Fossil | [PJ/yr] | 2270  | 10,425 | 7441  | 1460  | 0     |
| Shipping Freight Fuel: Renewable & Synthetic Fuels | [PJ/yr] | 11    | 235   | 3582  | 9773  | 11,554 |
| Parameter                                      | Units     | 2019   | 2025   | 2030   | 2040   | 2050   |
|------------------------------------------------|-----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Shipping Freight Fuel: Renewables share       | [%]       | 0%     | 2%     | 33%    | 87%    | 100%   |
| Shipping Passenger Fuel: Fossil               | [PJ/yr]   | 171    | 785    | 560    | 110    | 0      |
| Shipping Passenger Fuel: Renewable & Synthetic Fuels | [PJ/yr]   | 1      | 18     | 270    | 736    | 870    |
| Shipping Passenger Fuel: Renewables share     | [%]       | 0%     | 2%     | 33%    | 87%    | 100%   |

### Road Transport Supply

| Parameter                                      | Units     | 2019   | 2025   | 2030   | 2040   | 2050   |
|------------------------------------------------|-----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Road Freight Fuel: Fossil                     | [PJ/yr]   | 36,898 | 26,621 | 23,513 | 3787   | 0      |
| Road Freight Fuel: Renewable, Electric & Synthetic Fuels | [PJ/yr]   | 1700   | 2317   | 2514   | 12,949 | 11,058 |
| Road Freight Fuel: Renewables share           | [%]       | 4%     | 8%     | 10%    | 77%    | 100%   |
| Road Freight Electricity: Fossil              | [PJ/yr]   | 77     | 260    | 166    | 326    | 0      |
| Road Freight Electricity: Renewables          | [PJ/yr]   | 25     | 282    | 478    | 6081   | 5928   |
| Road Freight Electricity share                | [%]       | 0%     | 2%     | 2%     | 38%    | 54%    |
| Road Passenger Fuel: Fossil                   | [PJ/yr]   | 50,954 | 46,485 | 34,491 | 4043   | 0      |
| Road Passenger Fuel: Renewable, Electric, & Synthetic Fuels | [PJ/yr]   | 2348   | 3628   | 4825   | 14,957 | 13,787 |
| Road Passenger Fuel: Renewables share         | [%]       | 4%     | 7%     | 12%    | 79%    | 100%   |
| Road Passenger Electricity: Fossil            | [PJ/yr]   | 119    | 783    | 1154   | 8148   | 6783   |
| Road Passenger Electricity: Renewables        | [PJ/yr]   | 22     | 88     | 98     | 477    | 338    |
| Road Passenger Electricity share              | [%]       | 0%     | 2%     | 3%     | 45%    | 52%    |

### Transport Equipment Manufacturing—Supply

| Parameter                                      | Units     | 2019   | 2025   | 2030   | 2040   | 2050   |
|------------------------------------------------|-----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Energy Demand—Transport Equipment             | [PJ/yr]   | 2351   | 2442   | 2869   | 3852   | 4801   |
| Electricity component                         | [PJ/yr]   | 1123   | 1038   | 1219   | 1637   | 2041   |
|                                               | [TWh/yr]  | 312    | 288    | 339    | 455    | 567    |

### Transport Sector

| Parameter                                      | Units     | 2019   | 2025   | 2030   | 2035   | 2040   | 2050   |
|------------------------------------------------|-----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Transport—Total Fuels                          | [PJ/yr]   | 101,386| 101,021| 86,932 | 55,984 | 44,325 | 4801   |
| Transport—Total Electricity                    | [PJ/yr]   | 1367   | 2451   | 3116   | 16,669 | 15,089 | 4801   |
|                                               | [TWh/yr]  | 380    | 681    | 865    | 4630   | 4191   | 4801   |

### 5.3. OECM 1.5 °C Transport Carbon Intensity Calculation

In the last step, the carbon intensity and the overall carbon emissions are calculated from the primary energy demand by fuel and sector, and from the emission factors for hard coal, brown coal (lignite), oil and gas shown in Table 20. The emission factor for fuels are stable across the entire time series, whereas the carbon intensity for electricity changes with the renewable electricity share (see data provided in Table 19, last row).

#### Table 20. Global electricity supply shares under the OECM 1.5 °C pathway.

| Fuel                                | 2019 | 2025 | 2030 | 2035 | 2040 | 2050 |
|-------------------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Coal                                | 31%  | 17%  | 5%   | 1%   | 0%   | 0%   |
| Lignite                             | 7%   | 1%   | 1%   | 1%   | 0%   | 0%   |
| Gas                                 | 24%  | 20%  | 15%  | 8%   | 4%   | 0%   |
| Oil                                 | 3%   | 2%   | 1%   | 0%   | 0%   | 0%   |
| Nuclear                             | 10%  | 7%   | 4%   | 2%   | 0%   | 0%   |
| Hydrogen (produced with renewable electricity) | 0%   | 0%   | 0%   | 2%   | 2%   | 5%   |
| Hydro power                         | 16%  | 14%  | 13%  | 10%  | 9%   | 9%   |
Table 20. Cont.

| Energy Source                  | 2019 | 2025 | 2030 | 2035 | 2040 | 2050 |
|--------------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Wind [%]                       | 5%   | 14%  | 22%  | 28%  | 32%  | 36%  |
| Solar photovoltaic [%]         | 2%   | 18%  | 30%  | 37%  | 36%  | 34%  |
| Biomass [%]                    | 1%   | 3%   | 2%   | 2%   | 1%   | 1%   |
| Geothermal [%]                 | 0%   | 1%   | 2%   | 2%   | 3%   | 3%   |
| Solar thermal power plants [%] | 0%   | 1%   | 4%   | 8%   | 10%  | 10%  |
| Ocean energy [%]               | 0%   | 0%   | 0%   | 1%   | 1%   | 1%   |
| Renewables share [%]           | 25%  | 52%  | 74%  | 89%  | 95%  | 100% |
| Electricity Supply: Specific CO₂ Emissions per kWh [g CO₂/kWh] | 509  | 290  | 136  | 53   | 24   | 0    |

Table 21 shows the calculated carbon intensities and total carbon emissions by transport mode for the OECM 1.5 °C transport pathway. The total energy-related CO₂ emissions, e.g., aviation per year, set the maximum annual CO₂ emission target, the cumulative emissions between 2020 and 2050, and the overall carbon budget under the 1.5 °C target (Table 22). The average specific CO₂ emissions per person kilometer and per ton-kilometer represent the technical benchmarks for aviation services.

Table 21. Emission factors used for the OECM 1.5 °C pathways.

| Units                  | Emission Factor |
|------------------------|-----------------|
| Lignite [kt CO₂/PJ]    | 111             |
| Hard coal [kt CO₂/PJ]  | 93              |
| Oil [kt CO₂/PJ]        | 75              |
| Gas [kt CO₂/PJ]        | 56              |

Table 22. Transport: carbon intensity and total energy-related CO₂ emission by sector for the OECM 1.5 °C transport pathway.

| Sector                  | 2019 | 2025 | 2030 | 2040 | 2050 |
|-------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Aviation                |      |      |      |      |      |
| Air Freight: Emission Intensity [g CO₂/tkm] | 2360 | 2092 | 1822 | 189  | 0    |
| Air Freight: Total Emissions [million t CO₂/yr] | 94   | 144  | 119  | 10   | 0    |
| Air Passenger: Emission Intensity [g CO₂/pkm] | 426  | 347  | 302  | 31   | 0    |
| Air Passenger: Total Emissions (domestic) [million t CO₂/yr] | 842  | 1295 | 1074 | 86   | 0    |
| Aviation—Total [million t CO₂/yr] | 0    | 18   | 69   | 536  | 430  |
| Navigation              |      |      |      |      |      |
| Shipping Freight: Emission Intensity [g CO₂/tkm] | 15   | 15   | 10   | 2    | 0    |
| Shipping Freight: Total Emissions [million t CO₂/yr] | 738  | 3390 | 2420 | 475  | 0    |
| Shipping Passenger: Emission Intensity [g CO₂/pkm] | 5    | 4    | 3    | 1    | 0    |
| Shipping Passenger: Total Emissions [million t CO₂/yr] | 56   | 255  | 182  | 36   | 0    |
| Shipping—Total [million t CO₂/yr] | 2270 | 10,425 | 7441 | 1461 | 1     |
| Road Transport          |      |      |      |      |      |
| Road Freight: Emission Intensity [g CO₂/tkm] | 105  | 90   | 80   | 14   | 0    |
| Road Freight: Total Emissions [million T CO₂/yr] | 3034 | 2189 | 1933 | 311  | 0    |
| Road Passenger: Emission Intensity [g CO₂/pkm] | 121  | 95   | 85   | 29   | 23   |
6. Conclusions

To develop and calculate detailed energy scenarios for specific GICS-defined industry and service sectors requires energy models with a high technical resolution. There are currently no energy models on the market that calculate energy scenarios within the system boundaries defined according to the Global Industry Classification System (GICS). The OECM 2.0 closes this gap. The model architecture of the existing OECM 1.0 was modified to allow the integration of more technical parameters. The integration of new industrial areas required the calculation of energy consumption for sector-specific processes which led to a higher data volume. The OECM 2.0 uses MATLAB to process the data and to enable a flexible presentation of results.

In this paper, we documented the development and calculation of a 1.5 °C pathway for the GICS sector 2030 Transportation with the OECM 2.0 as a case study. The scenarios were further divided in 203010 Air Freight & Logistics, 20302010 Airlines (passenger transport), 203030 Marine transport and 203040 Road & Rail transport. The higher technical resolution of the OECM 2.0 allowed the development of an individual scenario for the different GICS sectors. However, the high technical resolution requires more detailed input parameters such as passenger-kilometers per year and the breakdown by vehicle type and transport mode. The required input data is not always available for all countries and/or industries. However, sufficient data is available to calculate the trajectories for aviation, shipping, road and rail transport on a global level.

6.1. OECM 2.0 Output and Area of Use

The additionality of OECM 2.0 provides a high resolution of the sector-specific parameters for both demand and supply, which are required as key performance indicators (KPIs) by the finance industry. Table 23 provides an overview of the main KPI parameters and the areas of their use, with a focus on the needs of institutional investors.

### Table 23. Examples of energy-related key performance indicators (KPIs) for net-zero target setting, calculated with OECM 2.0 for four sectors.

| Sector | Parameter | Units | Base Year | Projection  |
|--------|-----------|-------|-----------|-------------|
|        |           |       | 2019      | 2025, 2030, 2035, 2040, 2045, 2050 |

| Commodity |                  |       | Input     | Calculated projection with annual growth rates discussed with client |
|-----------|------------------|-------|-----------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Water Utilities | Water withdrawal | [billion m³/yr] | Input |                                                                      |
| Chemical Industry | Economic development | [GDP/yr] | Input |                                                                      |
| Steel industry | Product-based market projection | [tons steel/yr] | Input |                                                                      |
| Aviation | Passenger-kilometers | [million person km/yr] | Input |                                                                      |

| Transport Equipment | CO₂ Emissions—Road Vehicle Manufacture | [million t CO₂/yr] | 183 | 111 | 70 | 25 | 0 |
|                     | CO₂ Emissions—Locomotive & Rail Vehicles Manufacture | [million t CO₂/yr] | 12 | 7 | 4 | 2 | 0 |
|                     | CO₂ Emissions—Ships & Yachts Manufacture | [million t CO₂/yr] | 23 | 14 | 9 | 3 | 0 |
|                     | CO₂ Emissions—Airplane Manufacturing | [million t CO₂/yr] | 16 | 10 | 6 | 2 | 0 |
|                     | Total CO₂ Emissions | [million t CO₂/yr] | 234.2 | 142.8 | 89.3 | 32.0 | 0.0 |

### Table 22. Cont.

| Parameter | Units | Base Year | Projection |
|-----------|-------|-----------|-------------|
| Road Passenger: Total Emissions | [million t CO₂/yr] | 2019 | 2025 | 2030 | 2040 | 2050 |
| Road Transport—Total | [million t CO₂/yr] | 1778 | 2576 | 2680 | 13,275 | 11,058 |

| CO₂ Emissions—Road Vehicle Manufacture | [million t CO₂/yr] | 183 | 111 | 70 | 25 | 0 |
| CO₂ Emissions—Locomotive & Rail Vehicles Manufacture | [million t CO₂/yr] | 12 | 7 | 4 | 2 | 0 |
| CO₂ Emissions—Ships & Yachts Manufacture | [million t CO₂/yr] | 23 | 14 | 9 | 3 | 0 |
| CO₂ Emissions—Airplane Manufacturing | [million t CO₂/yr] | 16 | 10 | 6 | 2 | 0 |
| Total CO₂ Emissions | [million t CO₂/yr] | 234.2 | 142.8 | 89.3 | 32.0 | 0.0 |
Commodities and/or GDP are the main drivers of the energy demand for industries. The projection of, for example, the global steel demand in tons per year, over the next decades, are discussed with the industry and/or client. The OECM 2.0 can calculate either a single specific sector only or a whole set of sectors. In the case of global scenario

| Sector          | Parameter                  | Units              | Base Year 2019 | Projection 2025, 2030, 2035, 2040, 2045, 2050 |
|-----------------|----------------------------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Water Utilities | Waste-water treatment      | [kWh/m³]          | Input         | Technical target (KPI) Calculated with annual progress ratio based on technical assessment |
| Chemical Industry | Industry-specific energy intensity | [MJ/$GDP]   | Input         |                                                |
| Steel Industry  | Energy intensity           | [MJ/ton steel]    | Input         |                                                |
| Aviation        | Energy intensity per transport service | [MJ/person km] | Input         |                                                |
| Water Utilities | Final energy demand        | [PJ/yr]           | Input         | Output—industry-specific scenario(s)          |
| Chemical Industry | Electricity demand       | [TWh/yr]          | Input         | Output—industry-specific scenario(s)          |
| Steel Industry  | Process heat demand by temperature level | [PJ/yr]       | Input         | Output—industry-specific scenario(s)          |
| Aviation        | Final energy demand        | [PJ/yr]           | Input         | Output—industry-specific scenario(s)          |
| Aviation        | Total final energy demand  | [PJ/yr]           | Input         | Output—industry-specific scenario(s)          |
| Water Utilities | Electricity generation by technology | [TWh/yr]    | Input         | Output—based on developed scenario Supply for all (sub-)sectors. |
| Chemical Industry | (Process) heat by technology | [PJ/yr]     | Input         | Output—based on developed scenario Supply for all (sub-)sectors. |
| Steel Industry  | Fuel supply by fuel type   | [PJ/yr]           | Input         | Output—based on developed scenario Supply for all (sub-)sectors. |
| Aviation        | Fuel supply by fuel type   | [PJ/yr]           | Input         | Output—based on developed scenario Supply for all (sub-)sectors. |
| Aviation        | Total final energy supply by fuel type | [PJ/yr]     | Input         | Output—based on developed scenario Supply for all (sub-)sectors. |
| Water Utilities | Emissions intensity        | [kg CO₂/m³]       | Calculated    | KPI—water utilities                           |
| Water Utilities | Total energy-related CO₂ emissions | [t CO₂]     | Calculated    | KPI—water utilities                           |
| Chemical Industry | Emissions intensity      | [kg CO₂/$GDP]     | Calculated    | KPI—chemical industry                         |
| Chemical Industry | Total energy-related CO₂ emissions | [t CO₂]    | Calculated    | KPI—chemical industry                         |
| Steel Industry  | Emissions intensity       | [kg CO₂/t steel]  | Calculated    | KPI—steel industry                            |
| Steel Industry  | Total energy-related CO₂ emissions | [t CO₂]    | Calculated    | KPI—steel industry                            |
| Aviation        | Emission intensity        | [kg CO₂/passenger km] | Calculated | KPI—aviation industry                         |
| Aviation        | Total energy-related CO₂ emissions | [t CO₂]     | Calculated    | KPI—aviation industry                         |
development, various industry projections are combined to estimate both the total energy supply required and the potential energy-related emissions. Thus, a global carbon budget can be broken down into the carbon budgets of specific industries.

Energy intensities are both input data for the base year and a KPI for future projections. The effect of a targeted reduction in the energy intensity in a given year and the resulting energy demand and carbon emissions can be calculated, for example for the transport service industry.

All sector demands are supplied by the same energy supply structure in terms of electricity, process heat (for each temperature level) and total final energy. Finally, specific emissions, such as $\text{CO}_2$ per ton-kilometer, $\text{CO}_2$ per ton of steel or per cubic meter of waste water treatment, are calculated and can be used to set industry targets.

All input and output OECM data are available as MATLAB-based tables or graphs, or as standard Excel-based reports.

6.2. Model Dynamics

A detailed assessment of the energy demand based on industry products, such as the amount of steel or aluminum used and/or the economic projections (for example for sub-sectors of the chemical industry), combined with very high technical resolution, allows the development of the electricity and fuel demand to be comprehensively mapped with steadily increasing sector coupling. A high degree of electrification for heating and transport, to replace fuels, requires an energy scenario to be modelled that includes an electricity system analysis to assess the infrastructural changes required (i.e., the power grid). OECM 2.0 combines an integrated energy assessment tool with a system analysis module. Net-zero pledges for specific industries lead to more detailed energy scenarios for specific industry sectors. The steel industry, for example, favors hydrogen-based steel production, which will have a significant impact on the hydrogen demand and the electricity needed to produce it. OECM 2.0 takes this development into account and allows the modeler to change from a yearly to an hourly resolution when developing load curves for industries and/or the entire power system, when simulating an electricity supply with high shares of variable renewable power plants.

Another example in which a long-term scenario analysis must be combined with a system analysis occurs in the chemical industry. The switch to electrical process heat will not only significantly increase the power requirement, but also the power load. The decision to use electric or hydrogen-based process heat requires the analysis of the regional infrastructure to allow the development of a cost-effective solution.

The OECM 2.0 program is modular and currently includes 12 different industry sectors. Its expansion to more sectors and sub-sectors is possible without great effort, and thus increases the accuracy of the analysis of electricity and fuel requirements. This interaction between a technology change in one sector (e.g., to move to electric process heat) and the technical and cost implications for other sectors (e.g., power utilities and grid operators) is a central component of the model dynamics.

6.3. Limitations

Industry-specific energy intensities and energy demands are not available for a variety of industries. In particular, the energy intensities for sub-sectors of the chemical industry are either unavailable or confidential. A database of energy intensities is required to develop more detailed scenarios. Although energy intensities can be estimated based on the available data, the input parameters are usually derived from various sources, which may not follow the same methodology. Energy intensities based on GDP, for example, are calculated with either nominal GDP, real GDP, or purchasing power parity GDP. Furthermore, energy intensities can be provided as the final energy or primary energy. In some cases, this information is not available at all. A database of industry-specific energy demands and energy intensities, with a consistent methodology, is required to improve the accuracy of calculations in future research.
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