Assessment of Cu-Chitosan Nanoparticles for its Antibacterial Activity against *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. glycinea
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**Abstract**

Bacterial Blight of Soybean is caused by the bacterial agent *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. glycinea. It attacks all of the above-ground parts of soybean. Use of agrochemicals, pesticides and phytochemicals leads the deterioration of soil health, degradation of agro-ecosystems and environment pollution. Chitosan NPs have been investigated as a carrier for active ingredient delivery for various applications due to their biocompatibility, biodegradability, high permeability, cost-effectiveness, non-toxicity and excellent film forming ability, antimicrobial and insecticidal activities. Different metal chitosan complexes have been prepared to improve antimicrobial activity of chitosan. Copper (Cu) compounds are well known for their biocide activity and it is essential for plant growth and development. Cu-chitosan NPs have remarkable potential and act as a highly effective antibacterial agent against Bacterial Blight of Soybean at the concentration of 400ppm and 1000ppm.
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**Introduction**

Soybean [*Glycine max* (L.)] is one of the most important crop worldwide that provides two third of calories derived from agriculture (Ray *et al.*, 2013) and accounts for half of the global demand for oil and vegetable protein. Its continuous cultivation with simultaneous increase in area has led to increase in disease and pest occurrence. Currently, soybean is severely attacked by different major diseases, insect, pest and several weeds. Bacterial blight can be found in most soybean fields every year. Yield losses due to *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. glycinea have been reported as anywhere from 4%-40% depending on the severity of the conditions (Jagtap *et al.*, 2012). Bacterial blight of soybeans can enter leaves through wounds or natural openings such as stomata. After infection, small, water-soaked spots surrounded by a chlorotic halo appear on the leaves. The brown or black centers of these spots indicate that the tissue is dying. Typically these spots will enlarge and merge to form large, dead patches on the leaves.

The leaves appear ragged if the dead tissue falls out. Lesions on pods are initially small and water-soaked but eventually enlarge, turn brown to black, and merge to encompass the whole pod. Infection can also occur on the stems, petioles and seeds (Zou *et al.*, 2005). Agricultural production continues to be
challenged by a large number of insect pests, diseases and weeds accounting for 40% losses per year (Pimentel et al., 2009). The use of chemical substances for controlling pathogen in soybean has been found to be effective (Allen et al., 2004; Curto et al., 2006; Brooker et al., 2007) however long-term affect of pesticides might be vast and catastrophic on human beings, animals and soil micro-flora. Therefore, it should be regulated sincerely for protecting the ecosystem (Rai and Ingle, 2012).

Chitosan, a biopolymer of glucosamine and N-acetyl glucosamine residues, is a de-acetylated product of chitin. With the advancement of nanotechnology, chitosan based nanomaterials are being largely adapted for their exploration in plants (Shukla et al., 2013).

Chitosan is able to chelate various organic and inorganic compounds, making it well-suited for improving the stability, solubility and biocidal activity of chelated fungicides or other pesticides (Shukla et al., 2013).

Several studies showed that chitosan is not only an antimicrobial agent but also an effective elicitor of plant systemic acquired resistance to pathogens (Sharp et al., 2013; Katiyar et al., 2014; Xing et al., 2014), enhancer and regulator of plant growth, development and yield (Gornik et al., 2008; Cabrera et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2015).

Chitosan NPs reveal completely new or improved biological activities if compared with bulk chitosan due to altered physico-chemical characteristics like size, surface area, cationic nature, active functional groups, higher encapsulation efficiency etc (Saharan et al., 2013). Chitosan NPs have been investigated as a carrier for active ingredient delivery for various applications due to their biocompatibility, biodegradability, high permeability, cost-effectiveness, non-toxicity and excellent film forming ability (Shukla et al., 2013) antimicrobial and insecticidal activities (Yin et al., 2010; Zeng et al., 2012; Ma et al., 2013; Chen et al., 2014). Chitosan NPs treatment of leaves and seeds produced significant improvement in the plant growth and innate immune response through induction of defence enzyme activity, upregulation of defence related genes including that of several antioxidant enzymes as well as elevation of the levels of total phenolics (Chen et al., 2014; Chandra et al., 2015).

Different metal chitosan complexes have been prepared to improve antimicrobial activity of chitosan. Various metal ions like Ag\(^+\), Cu\(^{2+}\), Zn\(^{2+}\), Mn\(^{2+}\), or Fe\(^{2+}\) was individually loaded onto chitosan NPs for evaluation of antibacterial activity (Du et al., 2009). Among these metals, Copper (Cu) compounds are well known for their biocide activity and it is essential for plant growth and development. Cu is also act as cofactor of numerous enzymes that take part in the electron transfer reactions of photosynthesis and respiration. In addition, Cu is involved in carbohydrate distribution, \(\text{N}_2\) reduction and fixation, oxygen superoxide scavenging, ethylene sensing, cell wall metabolism, lignifications and protein synthesis. However, when Cu is present in high concentrations it is highly phytotoxic through interfering with photosynthesis, pigment synthesis and plasma membrane permeability. It causes metabolic disturbances that inhibit growth and development and initiate oxidative damage (Yruela et al., 2009). Hence, Cu-chitosan complexes may serve as a reservoir for the slow release of Cu ions. The copper blending with chitosan have been developed to improve efficacy of their antibacterial (Qi et al., 2004) and antifungal activities (Brunel et al., 2013; Saharan et al., 2013; Saharan et al., 2015).
Materials and Methods

Synthesis of Cu-Chitosan NPs

For synthesis of Cu-chitosan NPs, a well-established and reproducible method of cross linking coupled with ultra-sonication was used as described earlier (Qi et al., 2004; Du et al., 2009; Corradini et al., 2010; Fan et al., 2012; Saharan et al., 2013; Saharan et al., 2015; Manikandan and Sathiyabama, 2016; Choudhary et al., 2017). 0.1 gm of chitosan (low molecular weight and 80% N-deacetylation, Sigma-Aldrich, St.Louis, USA) mixed in 100 ml of 1% acetic acid and stirrer at 500-550 rpm(for 30 minutes). 0.25 gm of TPP (Sodium tripolyphosphate anhydrous, Loba Chemie) mixed in 100 ml of deionized water and stirrer (Remi Laboratory Instruments, Mumbai, India) at 500-550 rpm (for 30 minutes). Filter the solution using whatman qualitative filter paper-1. Then chitosan solution was stirrer at 500-550 rpm. TPP solution mixed in chitosan solution with dropping rate of about 40 drops/minute (Fig. 1). Both solutions obtained as a colloidal solution. Before finishing cross linking reaction as described above, CuSO₄ solution (0.02 gm in 10 ml) added into formulation and kept it for overnight stirring. The pellet resulting from centrifuge was suspended in deionized water by using ultra sonication with (Qsonica Missonix, USA) for 120 sec. at 4°C. It was repeated three times and the precipitated pellet was lyophilized (Freeze dryer with concentrator, LabTech) and stored at 4°C for further use.

Characterization of Cu-chitosan NPs

Developed Cu-chitosan NPs were characterized for mean size, size distribution, functional group analysis and surface morphology by particle size analyzer (DLS: Dynamic Light Scattering), Fourier Transform Infra Red (FTIR), Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) through standardized methods (Saharan et al., 2013; Saharan et al., 2015). Elemental analysis of Cu-chitosan NPs was gauged by energy dispersive spectroscopy (SEM-EDS).

Dynamic light scattering (DLS) measurements

DLS was used for measurement of average particle size, polydispersity index (PDI) and zeta potential of nanoparticles on high performance particle zetasizer (ZS90, Malvern, UK). The sample was analyzed in triplicate at 25°C at a scattering angle of 90°. Deionized water was used as a reference for dispersing medium. The results are given as the average particle size obtained from the analysis of three different batches, each of them measured three times.

Fourier transforms infrared (FTIR) analysis

To confirm the synthesis of various nanoparticles, FTIR analysis was done. The results were recorded by ALPHA FT-IR spectrometer combined with Quick Snap™ (Bruker, Germany). FTIR spectroscopy is based on the chemical bonds in a molecule that vibrate at characteristic frequencies depending on the elements and types of bonds. During FTIR measurements, a spot on the specimen is subjected to a modulated IR beam. The specimen’s transmittance and reflectance of the infrared radiation at different frequencies is measured and translated into an IR absorption plot.

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM)

The nanocomposites were first diluted in ultrapure water (0.05 mg / ml, w/v), after which a negative staining technique was applied (Ottaviani et al., 2000). In this technique, the diluted suspension was mixed with 2% uranyl acetate solution; a drop of the
mixture was deposited onto a standard copper grid covered by a holey carbon film and dried at ambient temperature before observation. TEM micrographs were obtained using a FEI Spirit TEM (Hillsboro, USA) operated at 120 kV using 400-mesh Formvar® carbon-coated copper grid.

**Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) observation**

Scanning electron microscopy was used to study the surface morphology of Cu-chitosan NPs. The samples were dried by critical point drying (CPD, Emitech K850) and mounted on aluminium stubs with double sided carbon and then coated with gold palladium using a sputter coater model SC7620 (Emitech). The images were then recorded in high vacuum mode using a Zeiss EVO MA10 scanning electron microscope (Carl Zeiss Promenade, Jena, Germany) between 400 X – 29.70 KX magnification at 20 kV EHT (Rejinolda et al., 2011). Elemental analysis of nanoparticles were carried out by Zeiss EVOMA10 scanning electron microscope equipped with energy dispersive X-ray spectroscope elementary analyzer (EDS, Oxford Instruments) using analytical software QUANTAX 200.

**Bacterial strain and inoculums preparation**

Bacterial strain of *Pseudomonas syringae pv. glycinea* was obtained from Department of Pathology, Rajasthan College of Agriculture, Maharana Pratap University of Agriculture and Technology.

**Growth medium**

For the preparation of inoculums, a loopful of the stock culture were transfer in 50 ml of Luria Bertani broth and incubate at 370 C on shaker (120 rpm) a loopful of the stock culture was transfer in 50 ml of Luria Bertani broth and incubate at 370 C on shaker (120 rpm). OD was measured at 660 nm at various time durations (0, 12, 24, 36 and 48h). Then 100μl bacterial suspension were collected from appropriate growth inoculum and added to sterile test tubes containing LB Broth supplemented with different concentration of Cu-chitosan NPs viz. 100ppm, 400ppm, 600ppm and 1000ppm along with control (without treatment) Bulk controls (Cu and chitosan). Bacterial growth was assessed by measuring OD at 660nm at various time durations (0, 12, 24, 36 and 48 h). At appropriate growth of test sample, test sample were collected and serial diluted to achieve countable colony number.

**Inoculation procedure**

Further, 50 μl of diluted test sample was spread on 90 mm petri-plates containing King’s medium B Base (King et al., 1954). Colony numbers were counted after incubation of the plates for 24 hr in incubator at 29±1°C. CFU/ ml were calculated using the equation given below-

\[
\text{CFU} = \frac{\text{Colony no.}}{\text{Plated sample (ml)}} \times \text{dilution factor}
\]

**Results and Discussion**

**Synthesis of Cu-Chitosan NPs**

Cu-chitosan NPs was prepared by interaction of TPP anion with cationic chitosan and further chelating of copper ions using ionic gelation method (Jaiswal et al., 2012; Saharan et al., 2015). Chitosan has inter and intra-molecular hydrogen bonding. Chitosan molecules in aqueous adopt extensive flexible structures due to the electrostatic repulsion between the chains. In diluted acetic acid, chitosan and TPP spontaneously formed dense micro-nano complex. Under specific intensity of ultrasonic waves, cavitations generated by ultrasonication reorganize the
complex and convert the micro complex into nano complex. Cu-chitosan NPs prepared in the present study exhibit a white crystal powder and appeared a semitransparent colloidal in aqueous. Cu-chitosan NPs were prepared by the interaction of oppositely charged macromolecules (chitosan and TPP) using ionic gelation method (Qi et al., 2004; Du et al., 2009; Corradini et al., 2010; Fan et al., 2012; Saharan et al., 2013; Saharan et al., 2015; Manikandan and Sathiyabama, 2016).

**Characterization of Cu-chitosan NPs**

DLS was used for the measurement of mean particles size, polydispersity index (PDI) and zeta potential of Cu-chitosan NP. The size distribution profile, shown in Figure 2A, represents mean hydrodynamic diameter of Cu-chitosan NP, 295.4 ± 2.8nm. The PDI value 0.28 indicated monodisperse nature of Cu-chitosan NP. Zeta potential of Cu-chitosan NP (+ 19.6 mV, Fig. 2B) showed overall positive charge, which is important parameter for the stability and higher affinity towards biological membranes (Qi et al., 2004; Du et al., 2009; Saharan et al., 2013; Saharan et al., 2015). In present study, mean hydrodynamic diameter of Cu-chitosan NPs was 295.4 nm. The lower PDI value (0.28) specified the monodisperse nature of Cu-chitosan NP.

In present study, + 19.6 mV zeta potential was recorded, which indicate overall positive charge on the surface of NPs. The positive zeta potential significantly influences particle stability in suspension through the electrostatic repulsion between the positively charged nanoparticles. Thus the nanoparticles remain separated in the suspension and formulation become stable. In addition, positively charged nanoparticles have more affinity towards the negatively charged biological membranes. Therefore nanoparticles express more biological interaction in living system. It also signifies for more antimicrobial activities (Qi et al., 2004; Du et al., 2009; Saharan et al., 2013; Saharan et al., 2015). Charged nanoparticles have been reported to induce the foundation of new and longer pore by interacting with negatively charged macromolecules of biological membranes of fungi and bacteria, thus act as strong antimicrobial agents.

**Table.1 Elemental analysis of Cu-chitosan NP**

| Elements | At porous spot | At non porous spot |
|----------|----------------|--------------------|
|          | Weight %       | Atomic %           | Weight % | Atomic % |
| C        | 54.43          | 63.02              | 43.75    | 52.78    |
| O        | 30.19          | 26.24              | 35.15    | 31.83    |
| P        | 6.19           | 2.78               | 9.8      | 4.58     |
| N        | 7.69           | 7.63               | 10.20    | 10.55    |
| Cu       | 1.51           | 0.33               | 1.09     | 0.25     |
| Totals   | 100.00         |                    |          |          |
Table 2

| Treatment    | CFU/ml |
|--------------|--------|
| Control      | 103    |
| Bulk         | 146    |
| CuSO4 100 ppm| 63.2   |
| CuSO4 400 ppm| 102    |
| CuSO4 600 ppm| 5      |
| CuSO4 1000 ppm| 14     |
| CuSO4 0 ppm  | 0      |

Fig. 1 Scale up synthesis of Cu-chitosan nanoparticles
**Fig. 2** DLS analysis of Cu-chitosan NPs (A) Size distribution by intensity and (B) Zeta potential distribution
Fig. 3 FTIR spectra (A) Bulk chitosan and (B) Cu-chitosan NPs
**Fig.4** TEM images of (A) Sphere shaped Cu-chitosan NCPs and (B) Porous Cu-chitosan NPs.

**Fig.5** SEM micrographs (A) Cu-chitosan NCPs at 9.2mm ×1.00K and (B) Porous Cu-chitosan at 9.2mm ×3.00K revealed nano (in green rectangular) and micro size pores (in red rectangular)
**Fig. 6** SEM-EDS elemental analysis of Cu-chitosan NCPs (A) Spectra of non-porous surface and (B) porous surface.

**Fig. 7** Effect of Cu-chitosan NPs on bacterial colony forming units.
Fig. 8 Antibacterial activity of different concentrations of Cu-chitosan NPs (100, 400, 600 and 1000 ppm) with control, bulk chitosan and Cu against in vitro bacterial colony number of *Pseudomonas syringae pv. glycinea*.

**Fourier Transforms Infrared (FTIR) Analysis**

FTIR analysis was performed to confirm the interaction of chitosan, TPP and Cu. In bulk chitosan a specific peak at 3424 cm\(^{-1}\) corresponds to the combined peaks of the -NH\(_2\) and -OH group stretching vibration. The band at 1647 cm\(^{-1}\) is attributed to the CO-NH\(_2\) group. The 1597 cm\(^{-1}\) peak of the -NH\(_2\) bending vibration is sharper than the peak at 1647 cm\(^{-1}\), which shows the high degree of deacetylation of the chitosan (Fig. 3A). The peaks at 1647 cm\(^{-1}\) (-CONH\(_2\)) and 1597 cm\(^{-1}\) (-NH\(_2\)) in the spectrum of Cu - chitosan NP was sharper and shifted to 1643 and 1539 cm\(^{-1}\). Therefore, Cu interaction with chitosan induces redistribution of vibration frequencies (Fig. 3B). FTIR spectroscopy used infra-red waves which induce vibration in the chemical bonds and due to this vibration the presence and absence of functional group in sample could be examined. In present study, FTIR analysis was performed to confirm the interaction of chitosan, TPP and Cu. In bulk chitosan specific peaks at 3424 cm\(^{-1}\) and 1647 cm\(^{-1}\) corresponds to the combined peaks of the -NH\(_2\), -OH group stretching vibration and CO-NH\(_2\) group were observed. In Cu-chitosan NP spectrum, the peaks at 1647 cm\(^{-1}\) (-CONH\(_2\)) and 1597 cm\(^{-1}\) (-NH\(_2\)) were sharper and shifted to 1643 and 1539 cm\(^{-1}\). Therefore, FTIR study showed redistribution of vibration frequencies in Cu-chitosan NP in compared to bulk chitosan and these results were in line with earlier findings (Saharan *et al.*, 2013; Saharan *et al.*, 2015; Choudhary *et al.*, 2017).

**TEM and SEM analyses**

Actual behaviour of nanoparticles in aqueous suspension comes only through TEM study. Sphere-shaped (Fig. 4A) NP along with network of pores (Fig. 4B) verified by TEM. Further nano-organization of Cu-chitosan NP was confirmed by SEM micrograph. Cu-chitosan NP possess homogenous crystalline morphology at lower magnification (Fig. 5A). Whereas, highly porous structure (like barred enclosure) was displayed at higher magnification. Micro and nanoscale size pores were observed as per SEM micrograph.
(Fig. 5B). TEM micrograph confirmed the nano-organization of synthesized materials. Spherical shaped Cu-chitosan NP in range of 100-500 nm was observed under TEM study. TEM results obtained in present study, was found similar to earlier report, where Cu-chitosan NP showed highly porous network of chitosan nanomaterials (Saharan et al., 2015).

**SEM-Energy Dispersive X-ray Spectroscopy (EDS) Analysis**

In addition to SEM, energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopies (EDS) of different spots on the samples were taken for determining the elemental composition of Cu-chitosan NP. Energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy analysis revealed the presence of chitosan+TPP (as C, O, P and N) and Cu in the NP (Fig. 6A and B; Table 1). EDS analysis at porous surface of Cu-chitosan NP as shown more Cu deposition compared to spectra of non porous surface. EDS study confirmed the presence of chitosan and Cu in the prepared NP. In present study SEM micrograph of Cu-chitosan NP elucidate well organized spongy porous surface at 9.2mm ×1.00K (Jaiswal et al., 2012). Presence of Cu in chitosan NP was confirmed by SEM-EDS. EDS spectra at porous and non-porous surface of chitosan NPs manifest higher and lower Cu deposition. In present study EDS spectrum undoubtedly explain the mechanism described earlier (Qi et al., 2004), in which Cu sorption could be understand by ion-exchange resins and surface chelating into porous nanomaterials.

**Antibacterial activity**

The tasted Cu-chitosan NPs differ in concentration of Cu-chitosan and Cu content. Concentration 1000ppm of Cu-chitosan NPs is more effective followed by 400ppm and 600ppm concentrations against *Pseudomonas syringae pv. glycinea*. 100ppm concentrations show lowest antibacterial activity (Fig. 7, 8 and Table 2).
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