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Abstract

Nowadays, capacitive power transfer (CPT) is becoming increasingly popular technology in the wireless charging field due to its better mobility and higher durability. This paper proposed a CPT system by using Class-E inverter. This topology is selected due to its ability to achieve 100% efficiency theoretically. L-match impedance matching circuits are applied at both transmitter and receiver part to allow the load impedance to match with the source impedance to maximize the power transfer. The proposed CPT system is designed and simulated by using Simulink in MATLAB software. Its output performances are analyzed. Besides, the designed CPT system is used to investigate the factors that affect its output performance by varying the load, duty cycle and gap distance between coupling plates. From this work, the proposed CPT system produced up to 97.35% efficiency and output power of 10W.
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1.0 INTRODUCTION

In this new era of technology, the wireless power transfer (WPT) [1], [2] technology is being greatly explored since there is high demand from public. WPT enables power to transfer from the source to load without physical connectors or wires. Consequently, WPT system reduces the use wires and batteries.

The WPT can be roughly divided into two regions which is near-field (non-radioactive region) [5], [6] and far-field (radioactive region) [7], [8]. Currently, near-field technology of WPT is more reliable and safer in transferring power as it does not contain radioactive elements. Two categories which are more popular in the near-field technology of WPT are Capacitive Power Transfer (CPT) [9] and Inductive Power Transfer (IPT) [10]. In near field, the power transferred over a short distance by electric field using capacitive coupling between the metal electrodes in CPT or by magnetic field between the inductive coils in IPT. However, IPT is sensitive to metal barrier. When there is metal exists in between the power source and load in IPT system, a magnetic field will generate in the system which causes power loss. CPT technique is proposed to overcome this limitation of the IPT system due to its nature of using electric fields which is not sensitive to the presence of any metals. Besides, the IPT system utilizes high-cost Litz wire coils to transfer power, while the CPT system utilizes metal electrodes to transfer power which is cheaper. In addition, the topology of CPT is simpler than IPT system.

Figure 1 shows the general block diagram of the WPT system.

The WPT can be divided into three parts, namely transmitter unit, energy medium transfer and receiver unit. The resonant power converter [3] in the transmitter unit is connected to a power source. It converts DC power to high frequency AC power which is then transferred across the energy medium transfer to the receiver unit. The rectifier at the receiver unit rectifies the received AC power to DC power so it can be used by load. The energy medium transfer is the distance between the transmitter and the receiver. It can be air or dielectric material. Figure 1 shows the general block diagram of the WPT system.

Figure 2 shows the block diagram of CPT system. The operation of CPT system is similar to the WPT system. However, CPT system utilizes metal plates such as copper and platinum as capacitive coupling plates and placed between the transmitter and receiver side. When current passes through the metal plates, electric field is induced. The electric field carries the energy from the first metal plate to the another metal plate of the receiver unit.

A dielectric material [11] is inserted between the metal plates in order to avoid them from close contact with each other. The dielectric material is an insulator or a poor conductor that can be polarized when applied with an electric field. Dielectric materials can be air, vacuum, liquid, gas, porcelain (ceramic), mica, plastics, glass, and oxides of materials. By adding a dielectric material, it increases the capacitance of both metal plates which resulting in increasing the storage of charges on metal plates.

CPT is widely used for charging application in various fields. For example, the CPT system is used for charging electronic implanted device [12], [13] in biomedical field, electric vehicles [14], [15], [16], [17], aircraft [18] and drones [19]. CPT system can also be designed for rotary application [20] such as wireless charging for multi-rotor.

In this paper, the proposed CPT system utilizes the topology of Class-E amplifier. It is also known as Class-E inverter due to the existence of LC resonant circuit connected in series at the output of the amplifier. Class-E inverter is selected because it can achieve zero-voltage switching (ZVS) and yield 100% efficiency theoretically. Besides, the Class-E inverter is simpler and cheaper to construct compared to other classes of resonant inverter because it consists of only one active switch, S as shown in Figure 3.

Class-E inverter consists of a choke inductor \( L_f \), a metal-oxide semiconductor field-effect transistor (MOSFET), a shunt capacitor \( C_s \), and a \( L_1 = C_2 = R_L \) series resonant circuit. The choke inductor reduces the ripple current and provide DC current flow to the circuit. In this case, the MOSFET acts as a switch while the shunt...
capacitor removes unwanted harmonics in the circuit. The $L_1-C_2-R_L$ series resonant circuit converts the digital input signal into sinusoidal output with zero DC offset.

The Class-E inverter belongs to a family of soft switching inverters. During soft switching, the switch voltage, $V_{ds}$ falls to zero before the MOSFET turns on and off. When the MOSFET turns on, the switch current, $I_d$ flows through the MOSFET. Since the switch current and voltage waveform does not overlap with each other during the switching time intervals as shown in Figure 4, there is no switching loss, which means the ZVS condition is achieved. Thus, the Class-E inverter can yield 100% efficiency theoretically.

![Figure 4 Waveform of switch current and voltage at ZVS condition](image)

The following statement states the scope of this paper:

I. The CPT system is limited to the applications of low wattage charging and small gap distance only. For the proposed CPT system, output power $P_{out}$ of 10W is obtained and it is sufficient for the application in LED driving, charging mobile devices such as mobile phones and tablets and USB interface.

The following statements describe the problem statements of this paper:

I. For low wattage applications, CPT systems exhibited limited output power and efficiency especially when the gap distance increases.

II. The output performance of the CPT system such as efficiency is affected by duty cycle, load, and gap distance between coupling plates. CPT techniques are generally applicable in very small to small gap regions (<2mm).

The following statements describe the main contributions of this paper:

I. The proposed CPT system was designed and simulated using MATLAB. It consists of resonant Class-E inverter with LCCL impedance matching network that allows maximum power transfer from source to load. The Class-E inverter is powered by DC power supply at 24V, operates at 1MHz frequency to generate the required output power of 5V with the load resistance, $R_L$ equal to 500.

II. Three factors, namely load variation, duty cycle variation and gap distance variation between coupling plates that can affect the output performance of the proposed CPT system were examined to determine the specification that will allow maximum power transfer from source to loads.

This paper is divided into four sections. Section 2 presents the design and simulation of the Class E inverter with LCCL impedance matching circuit using MATLAB. Section 3 describes the output performance of the proposed CPT system at selected resonant frequencies. The effects of varying load, duty cycle, and gap distance between coupling plates are also shown in this section. The last section, which is section 4, summaries the findings in this paper.

### 2.0 METHODOLOGY

The proposed CPT system is designed by using Simulink in MATLAB software. Figure 5 shows the block diagram of the proposed CPT system while Figure 6 shows the flow chart of designing the CPT system.

![Figure 5 Block Diagram of Proposed CPT System](image)

![Figure 6 Flow Chart of Proposed CPT System](image)

By referring to Figure 5, the system consists of a transmitter unit, capacitive coupling plates, and a receiver unit. Class-E inverter is applied on the transmitter unit to convert the DC power to high frequency AC power. The coupling plates consist of a...
pair of forward plates and a pair of return plates, they transfer the AC power to the receiver unit. In this design, the load is assumed as AC load, therefore the rectifier is not designed into the receiver unit. The L-match impedance matching circuit is applied at both sides to obtain maximum power transfer. It consists of an inductor and a capacitor, so it also known as LC matching network. This design fulfills the Class- E ZVS condition. Figure 6 shows the flow chart of designing the proposed CPT system.

2.1 Transmitter Unit

In the transmitter unit, the class-E inverter which shown in the Figure 3 is designed by using Simulink in MATLAB. The Class-E inverter is selected to be used in transmitter unit because of its ability to achieve zero-voltage switching (ZVS) which yield 100% efficiency theoretically.

First and foremost, the load resistance, $R_L$ is calculated by Equation (1).

$$R_L = \frac{V_L^2}{(\pi^2+4)P_0} \quad (1)$$

However, in practice the load resistance $R_L$ will not be the same as the calculated value. Therefore, it is crucial to have impedance matching circuit in the CPT system to carry out the impedance transformation to produce a proper load resistance or impedance. The proper load impedance allows the system to match with the source impedance to ensure maximum power transfer in the CPT system, as prescribed by the maximum power transfer theorem assuming there is no internal resistance. The L-match impedance matching circuits shown in Figure 7 are selected to convert the load impedance into required impedance to obtain the desired output power.

![Figure 7 The Class E-LCCL Circuit](image)

2.2 Capacitive Coupling Plates

Capacitor, $C_3$ is modified and separated into a pair of forward plates and a pair of return plates. Each pair of coupling plates can be represented by a capacitor during simulation. This is because when two metal plates are placed together with a separation either by air or dielectric, it works like a basic capacitor. Consequently, capacitor, $C_3$ is separated into two capacitors which are capacitor $C_{31}$ and capacitor $C_{32}$ as shown in Figure 7 during simulation. Both capacitors are connected in series and their values are calculated using Equation (2):

$$C_3 = \frac{C_{31} \times C_{32}}{C_{31} + C_{32}} \quad (2)$$

The values of capacitor $C_{31}$ and capacitor $C_{32}$ are equal.

$$C_{31} = C_{32} \quad (3)$$

2.3 Receiver Unit

The receiver unit consists of LC matching circuit and an AC load. Due load is AC, thus there is no rectifier used in this proposed CPT system. The receiver receives and regulates the power to suit the load. The L-match impedance matching circuit matches the load impedance to source impedance to allow maximum power transfer. The receiver unit is shown in Figure 7.

2.4 Assumption and Equations Used in Class-E LCCL Circuit

The design of Class-E LCCL circuit is based on the following assumptions:

I. The MOSFET is ideal which means the on-resistance is zero, off-resistance is infinity, and switching times are zero.

II. The choke inductance is very high that the AC component is much lower than the DC component of the input current.

III. The loaded quality factor, $Q$ of the $L_1 - C_2 - R_L$ series-resonant circuit is high that the current through the resonant circuit is sinusoidal.

IV. All the circuit elements are ideal.

V. Duty cycle is set at 50%.

The proposed CPT system is designed to provide output power $P_0 = 10W$ with the operating frequency $f = 1MHz$. DC voltage supply $V_{DC} = 24V$, quality factor $Q = 10$, duty cycle $D = 50\%$ and load resistance $R_L = 50\Omega$. The circuit parameters were calculated based on the equations below:

The series resistance $R_S$:

$$R_S = \frac{8V_{DC}^2}{(\pi^2+4)P_0} = \frac{8(24)^2}{(\pi^2+4)10} = 33.22\Omega \quad (4)$$

The choke inductance $L_f$:

$$L_f(\min) = 2\left(\frac{n^2}{4} + 1\right)\frac{8I_1}{7} = 2\left(\frac{n^2}{4} + 1\right)\frac{33,2237}{1 \times 10^8} = 230.4\mu H \quad (5)$$

And Let $L_f = 500\mu H \quad (6)$

The shunt capacitance $C_1$:

$$C_1 = \frac{8}{\pi R_S (\pi^2+4)} = \frac{8}{(2\pi \times 10^8)(33,2237)\pi (\pi^2+4)} = 879.52pF \quad (7)$$

The transmitter matching inductance $L_1$:

$$L_1 = \frac{8I_1}{\pi} = \frac{(10)(33,2237)}{2\pi \times 10^8} = 52.88\mu H \quad (8)$$
The transmitter matching capacitance $C_2$:

$$C_2 = \frac{Q_2 \frac{a^{2} - a}{16} - \frac{Q_2 \frac{a^{2} - a}{16}}{r_0} \frac{1}{r_0}}{wR_0 \left(\frac{Q_2 \frac{a^{2} - a}{16}}{r_0} + 1\right)} = 474.14 \text{ pF} \quad (9)$$

The coupling capacitance $C_3$

$$C_3 = \frac{2}{wR_0 \left(\frac{Q_2 \frac{a^{2} - a}{16}}{r_0} + 1\right)} = 120.85 \text{ pF} \quad (10)$$

The receiver matching capacitance $C_4$

$$C_4 = \frac{L_2}{R_u R_e} = \frac{40.04 \mu H}{(1316)(50)} = 608.55 \text{ pF} \quad (11)$$

The receiver matching inductance $L_2$

$$L_2 = \frac{\sqrt{R_u R_e - R_e^2}}{2\pi f} = \frac{\sqrt{(1316)(50) - 50^2}}{2\pi 10^6} = 40.04 \mu H \quad (12)$$

### 3.0 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This section describes the output performance of the proposed CPT system. The results of the output performance of the system by varying the load, duty cycle, and gap distance between coupling plates are also presented.

#### 3.1 Simulation Results of the Class E LCCL Circuit

The output performances of the proposed CPT system based on calculation and simulation are shown in this section. The design specification calculated and tabulated in Table 1 and 2. The simulation for designed CPT system was carried out using MATLAB shown in Figure 8 and the results are shown in the Table 3. The validity of the proposed CPT system has been verified through calculation as shown in the Table 3.

### Table 1 Design Specification

| Parameters | Design specification | Design value |
|------------|----------------------|--------------|
| $f$        | MHz                  | 1            |
| $V_{dc}$   | V                    | 24           |
| $P_o$      | W                    | 10           |
| $R_L$      | $\Omega$             | 50           |
| $L_F$      | $\mu H$              | 500          |
| $D$        |                      | 0.5          |
| $Q_L$      |                      | 10           |

### Table 2 Circuit Parameter

| Parameters | Circuit parameters | Design value |
|------------|--------------------|--------------|
| $R_S$      | $\Omega$           | 33.22        |
| $C_1$      | $\mu F$            | 879.52       |
| $L_1$      | $\mu H$            | 52.88        |
| $C_2$      | $\mu F$            | 474.14       |
| $C_3$      | $\mu F$            | 120.85       |
| $C_4$      | $\mu F$            | 608.55       |
| $L_2$      | $\mu H$            | 40.04        |
| $R_o = X_{c3}$ | $\Omega$     | 1316.00      |

### Table 3 Output performance for the proposed CPT system

| Parameters | Output Performance | Calculation value (theory) | Simulation value (MATLAB) | % of error |
|------------|--------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|------------|
| $V_{dc}(p_{e})$ | V               | 31.62                      | 31.735                    | 0.36        |
| $I_{dc}(p_{e})$ | A               | 0.63                       | 0.6345                    | 0.71        |
| $V_{C2}(p_{e})$ | V               | 159.12                     | 159.70                    | 0.36        |
| $V_{C4}(p_{e})$ | V               | 162.23                     | 162.80                    | 0.35        |
| $V_{C3}(p_{e})$ | V               | 162.35                     | 163.40                    | 0.65        |
| $I_{C3}(p_{e})$ | A               | 0.12                       | 0.1232                    | 2.76        |
| $V_{C2}(p_{e})$ | V               | 229.52                     | 230.40                    | 0.38        |
| $V_{dc}(p_{e})$ | V               | 85.39                      | 89.31                     | 4.60        |
| $V_{dc}$      | V               | 24                         | 24                         | 0           |
| $P_{in}$     | W                | 10                         | 10.3416                    | 3.42        |
| $P_{out}$    | W                | 10                         | 10.0679                    | 0.68        |
| $\eta$       | %                | 100                        | 97.35                      |            |

### Figure 8 Simulated CPT system in MATLAB

#### 3.1.1 Zero-voltage Switching

Zero-voltage Switching (ZVS) basically means when the output voltage is 0V, it turns on or off the power to the load which helps to prolong the life of the controller and of the load being controlled. The theoretical value for the maximum voltage when the MOSFET is turned off is 85.39mV. For the simulation results, $V_{ds}(OFF)$ is 89.31V, which is approximately three times larger than the 24 V DC voltage supply. $V_{dc}$ and 4.60% higher than the calculated result. Figure 9 shows the waveforms of the switch voltage $V_{ds}$ and $I_{ds}$. It clearly shows that there is no overlap between these two waveforms, hence, the ZVS condition is satisfied.
3.1.2 AC-DC Efficiency of the System

AC-DC efficiency is the ratio of output power in AC, $P_{out}$, to input power in DC, $P_{in}$, as shown in the equation (17) and (18). The efficiency of the system, the output power and input power of the system are calculated based on the equation (13) and (18).

The input power $P_{in}$ is

\[
P_{in}(\text{calc.}) = V_{dc} \times I_{dc} = 24 \times 0.417 = 10W \quad (13)
\]

\[
P_{in}(\text{sim.}) = V_{dc} \times I_{dc} = 24 \times 0.4309 = 10.3416W \quad (14)
\]

The output power $P_{out}$ is

\[
P_{out}(\text{calc.}) = \frac{(V_{RL(\text{peak})})^2}{R_{L}} = \frac{(31.6228)^2}{50} = 10W \quad (15)
\]

\[
P_{out}(\text{sim.}) = \frac{(V_{RL(\text{peak})})^2}{R_{L}} = \frac{(31.744)^2}{50} = 10.0679W \quad (16)
\]

The efficiency of the system $\eta$ is

\[
\eta(\text{calc.}) = \frac{P_{o}(\text{ac})}{P_{i}(\text{dc})} \times 100\% = \frac{10}{10} \times 100 = 100 \% \quad (17)
\]

\[
\eta(\text{sim.}) = \frac{P_{o}(\text{ac})}{P_{i}(\text{dc})} \times 100\% = \frac{10.0679}{10.3416} \times 100 = 97.35\% \quad (18)
\]

The proposed CPT is simulated in MATLAB. Figure 10 shows the waveform for input voltage $V_{dc}$ and input current $I_{dc}$, while Figure 11 shows the output voltage $V_{RL}$ and output current $I_{RL}$ for the simulation. All the values contribute to the input power and output power.

3.2 Analysis of Class-E LCCL Circuit with Load Variation

In the WPT system, the load resistance has a great effect on output voltage and output current. Different range of load resistance is applied based on applications of WPT systems. Therefore, the effects of load variation on the performance of Class-E LCCL power transfer system especially for its output voltage and output current were investigated as shown in the Table 4.

The calculated optimum load, $R_{L(\text{opt})}$, is 50Ω. To investigate the effects of the load variations, the values of the load resistor in the simulation is set from 5Ω to 200Ω.
| Results                                      | $R_L < R_{\text{opt}}$ | $R_L = R_{\text{opt}}$ | $R_L > R_{\text{opt}}$ |
|----------------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| $R_L$  | $\Omega$ | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 |
| $V_{RL\text{(peak)}}$ | V | 9.225 | 15.04 | 22.18 | 26.555 | 29.59 |
| $I_{RL\text{(peak)}}$ | A | 1.845 | 1.504 | 1.109 | 0.885 | 0.7395 |
| $V_{C2\text{(peak)}}$ | V | 463.65 | 378 | 278.85 | 222.6 | 186.1 |
| $V_{C3\text{(peak)}}$ | V | 463.7 | 378.25 | 279.7 | 224.15 | 188.4 |
| $I_{C3\text{(peak)}}$ | A | 0.0799 | 0.0854 | 0.0973 | 0.1066 | 0.1159 |
| $V_{C2\text{(peak)}}$ | V | 737.10 | 314.05 | 259.4 | 239.4 | 232.55 |
| $V_{ds\text{(peak)}}$ | V | 171.4 | 124.8 | 69.24 | 76.25 | 83.85 |
| $V_{dc}$ | V | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 |
| $I_{dc}$ | A | 0.9304 | 0.7831 | 0.6183 | 0.5292 | 0.4726 |
| $P_{in}$ | W | 22.3296 | 18.7944 | 14.8392 | 12.7008 | 11.3424 |
| $P_{out}$ | W | 8.5101 | 11.3101 | 12.298 | 11.7506 | 10.9409 |
| $\eta$ | % | 38.11 | 60.18 | 82.88 | 92.52 | 96.46 |

Figure 12 shows the graph of efficiency at each value of load while Figure 13 shows the graph of power for the loads. The efficiency is the ratio of the total output power to the input power. When $R_L < R_{\text{opt}}$, the output power of the system is lower than the input power. This implies that the efficiency of the system for $R_L < R_{\text{opt}}$ is lower than the efficiencies of the system at $R_L = R_{\text{opt}}$. However, the difference between the input power and the output power decreases when $R_L$ approaches $R_{\text{opt}}$ which causes the efficiency to increase from 38.11% to 96.46%. The input power decreases due to the decreases in $I_{dc}$. When $R_L < R_{\text{opt}}$, there is a gap for input power $P_{in}$ and output power $P_{out}$. This is because when the load resistance $R_L$ is smaller than optimum load which is 50Ω for the proposed CPT system, the zero-voltage switching (ZVS) condition is not achieved, the waveforms of the switch voltage, $V_{ds}$ are defective. Thus, the circuit is suffered from extra switching loss. As a result, the output power $P_{out}$ is much lower than the input power $P_{in}$.

When $R_L = R_{\text{opt}}$, the system yields the maximum drain efficiency, which is 97.35% due to no switching loss when the MOSFET turns on and off. The input power and output power at $R_L = R_{\text{opt}}$ are high and yield approximately 100% efficiency.
When $R_L > R_{opt}$, there is no major difference between the output power and the input power of the system while the efficiency of system for $R_L > R_{opt}$ is almost constant. In the 2nd block of the Class-E LCCL circuit, the L-match impedance matching circuit was applied and the load, $R_L$ (50Ω) was matched to $X_C$ (1316Ω). The LC impedance matching circuit compensates the power being transferred. Thus, within this limit of load resistance$R_L$, which is 50Ω < $R_L$ < 1316Ω, the efficiency of the system remains high and achieve almost 100% efficiency.

3.3 Analysis of Class-E LCCL Circuit with Duty Cycle Variation at 1 MHz

Duty cycle of the pulse generator is the percentage or ratio of turning on and off a signal. It controls the amount of average DC voltage applied to the MOSFET in the Class-E LCCL power transfer system. Which in turn, affects the performance of Class-E LCCL power transfer system. Therefore, an adjustable duty cycle is useful when the output power needs to be changed without prioritizing the efficiency of the system. In this section, the effect of changing the duty cycle on the output power performance is examined and recorded in Table 5. With similar design specifications outlined in the previous section, the duty cycle, $D$ was controlled within 10% to 90% range.

Table 5 Output performance with variation of duty cycle: (a) Duty cycle 10% to 50%; (b) Duty cycle 60% to 90%

| Results       | Duty cycle, D (%) | 10  | 20  | 30  | 40  | 50  |
|---------------|-------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| $V_{RL(peak)}$ V | 6.225             | 11.805 | 20.98 | 29.30 | 31.735 |
| $I_{RL(peak)}$ A | 0.1245           | 0.2362 | 0.4196 | 0.586 | 0.6345 |
| $V_{C2(peak)}$ V | 30.655           | 59.20 | 105.60 | 147.50 | 159.70 |
| $V_{C4(peak)}$ V | 31.32            | 60.45 | 107.65 | 150.30 | 162.80 |
| $V_{C3(peak)}$ V | 30.91            | 59.81 | 107.90 | 151 | 163.40 |
| $I_{C2(peak)}$ A | 0.0257          | 0.0482 | 0.0830 | 0.1139 | 0.1232 |
| $V_{C2(peak)}$ V | 45.34            | 86.70 | 152.75 | 212.55 | 230.40 |
| $V_{C4(peak)}$ V | 50.30            | 61.77 | 71.68 | 85.48 | 89.31 |
| $V_{dc}$ V | 24               | 24    | 24    | 24    | 24    |
| $I_{dc}$ A | 0.0628          | 0.1301 | 0.2517 | 0.3821 | 0.4309 |
| $P_{in}$ W | 1.5072           | 3.1224 | 6.0408 | 9.1704 | 10.3416 |
| $P_{out}$ W | 0.3875          | 1.3942 | 4.4016 | 8.5849 | 10.0679 |
| $\eta$ % | 25.71            | 44.65 | 72.86 | 93.62 | 97.35 |

| Results       | Duty cycle, D (%) | 60  | 70  | 80  | 90  |
|---------------|-------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| $V_{RL(peak)}$ V | 32               | 33.79 | 35.40 | 33.99 |
| $I_{RL(peak)}$ A | 0.64             | 0.676 | 0.708 | 0.68 |
| $V_{C2(peak)}$ V | 161.05           | 170.3 | 178.55 | 171.55 |
| $V_{C4(peak)}$ V | 164.15           | 173.45 | 181.80 | 174.65 |
| $V_{C3(peak)}$ V | 164.80           | 174.60 | 184.5 | 179.30 |
| $I_{C2(peak)}$ A | 0.1243          | 0.1370 | 0.1348 | 0.1287 |
| $V_{C2(peak)}$ V | 232.25           | 244.70 | 255.25 | 244.3 |
| $V_{C4(peak)}$ V | 90.05            | 103.68 | 186.90 | 412.5 |
| $V_{dc}$ V | 24               | 24    | 24    | 24    |
| $I_{dc}$ A | 0.4418          | 0.599 | 1.2067 | 4.0404 |
| $P_{in}$ W | 10.6032          | 14.376 | 28.9608 | 96.9696 |
| $P_{out}$ W | 10.24            | 11.420 | 12.5316 | 11.5566 |
| $\eta$ % | 96.57            | 70.45 | 43.27 | 11.92 |

Figure 14 and Figure 15 show the plot of simulated output performance of Class E LCCL circuit versus duty cycle, $D$. It can be clearly seen that the maximum output performance is obtained at $D=50\%$. At this point, the efficiency for the simulation is equivalent to 97.35%. For the duty cycle $D < 50\%$ and $D > 50\%$, the input power is much higher than the output power and this causes the efficiency of the system to be less than the efficiency at $D=50\%$.

3.4 Analysis Of Class-E LCCL Circuit with Coupling Variation

The Class-E-LCCL system operates at 1 MHz frequency and achieved 100% and 97.35% efficiency via simulation and calculation respectively, was analyzed at 0.07cm coupling gap distance which calculating by using the Equation (19).

$$C_3 = \frac{\varepsilon_r \varepsilon_a A}{d}$$

where,

Permittivity of vacuum,$\varepsilon_a = 8.8542 \times 10^{-12} m^{-3} kg^{-1} s^4 A^2$
Relative permittivity of air, $\varepsilon_r = 1.0006$
Area of the capacitive plate, $A=10cm \times 10cm=0.01m^2$
Capacitance of the coupling plates, $C_3=120.85 \text{ pF}$.
Based on Equation (19), the separation distance between the capacitive plates influences the capacitance of both capacitive plates and thus affect the output performance of the Class-E LCCL power transfer system.

The original distance for the proposed CPT system is calculated by utilizing the Equation (19) and the information provided in Table 2. The original distance is calculated as below:

\[
d(\text{original}) = \frac{d_0}{3/8} = \frac{(8.8542 \times 10^{-12})(1.0006)(0.01)}{120.85p} = 0.07cm
\]

(20)

Hence, the coupling distance is varied from the 0.07cm (original distance) to 10cm to observe the output performance of the system. Table 6 shows the output performance of the proposed CPT system from gap variation of 1cm to 10cm. The output performance for separation distance of 0.07cm can be referred to Table 3.

Table 6 Output performance with coupling variation; (a) 1cm to 4cm; (b) 5cm to 7cm; (c) 8cm to 10cm

| Results | Separation distance between capacitive plate (cm) |
|---------|--------------------------------------------------|
|         | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| \(C_3\) | pF | 8.8595 | 4.4298 | 2.9532 | 2.2149 |
| \(C_{31}/C_{32}\) | pF | 17.72 | 8.86 | 5.91 | 4.43 |
| \(V_{RL(peak)}\) | V | 64.40 | 33.225 | 17.985 | 12.075 |
| \(I_{RL(peak)}\) | A | 1.288 | 0.6645 | 0.3598 | 0.2415 |
| \(V_{IL(peak)}\) | V | 319.50 | 167.2 | 90.5 | 60.75 |
| \(V_{IC(peak)}\) | V | 325.75 | 170.45 | 92.3 | 61.95 |
| \(I_{IC(peak)}\) | A | 0.2472 | 0.1296 | 0.0702 | 0.0472 |
| \(V_{CL(peak)}\) | V | 4450 | 4651 | 3772 | 3378 |
| \(V_{CL(peak)}\) | V | 220.3 | 354.4 | 393.7 | 399.5 |
| \(V_{dc}\) | V | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 |
| \(I_{dc}\) | A | 3.8878 | 5.8368 | 5.1506 | 4.7916 |
| \(P_{in}\) | W | 93.3072 | 140.1264 | 123.6144 | 114.9984 |
| \(P_{out}\) | W | 41.4736 | 11.0390 | 3.2355 | 1.4581 |
| \(\eta\) | % | 44.45 | 7.88 | 2.62 | 1.27 |

Figure 16 Graph of efficiency vs coupling distance variation

| Results | Separation distance between capacitive plate (cm) |
|---------|--------------------------------------------------|
|         | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| \(C_3\) | pF | 1.7719 | 1.4766 | 1.2656 |
| \(C_{31}/C_{32}\) | pF | 3.54 | 2.95 | 2.53 |
| \(V_{RL(peak)}\) | V | 9.04 | 7.22 | 6.01 |
| \(I_{RL(peak)}\) | A | 0.1808 | 0.1444 | 0.1202 |
| \(V_{IL(peak)}\) | V | 45.48 | 36.32 | 30.23 |
| \(V_{IC(peak)}\) | V | 46.375 | 37.035 | 30.825 |
| \(I_{IC(peak)}\) | A | 0.0353 | 0.0282 | 0.0235 |
| \(V_{CL(peak)}\) | V | 3164 | 3031.5 | 2942 |
| \(V_{CL(peak)}\) | V | 400.2 | 399.8 | 399.3 |
| \(V_{dc}\) | V | 24 | 24 | 24 |
| \(I_{dc}\) | A | 4.5860 | 4.4553 | 4.3655 |
| \(P_{in}\) | W | 110.064 | 106.9272 | 104.772 |
| \(P_{out}\) | W | 0.8172 | 0.5213 | 0.3612 |
| \(\eta\) | % | 0.74 | 0.49 | 0.34 |

Figure 17 Graph of power vs coupling distance variation
4.0 CONCLUSION

A CPT system with a Class-E LCCL inverter and L-match impedance matching network was successfully developed and presented. The system operates at 1 MHz frequency, gap distance of 0.07 cm and 24 V power supply. The system achieved the ZVS condition which yields a high efficiency of 100% theoretically and 97.35% in the simulation. In this study, the efficiency and output power of the system was shown to be affected by load variation, duty cycle variation, and gap distance variation. For the load variation, the maximum power transfer was achieved at optimum load (RL = 50Ω) and yields a high efficiency of 97.35%. For loads less than the optimum load (RL < 50Ω), the efficiency of the system is lower than the efficiency of the optimum load. However, for loads higher than the optimum load (RL > 50Ω), the efficiency of the system is high which is above 96% due to the compensation of the L-match impedance matching circuit at receiver unit. In the duty cycle variation, it was shown that the maximum power transfer can be only achieved at 50% duty cycle. In the last experiment with the gap distance variation, it was found that when the distance between the coupling plates increases, the efficiency of the system decreases. This requires extra effort in designing a proper circuit to handle this issue. We include this as future work. In conclusion, in order to allow maximum power transfer from source to load, the designed CPT system must operate at optimum load, 50% of duty cycle and as smaller as coupling gap distance. Last but not least, the findings in this paper have shown the greater possibilities of the WPT technology.
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