CHAPTER 15

Land Reclamation and Irrigation Programs in Early Islamic Southern Mesopotamia

Self-Enrichment vs. State Control

Peter Verkinderen

The countryside is in the first place the locus of agriculture. Since taxation of agricultural lands amounted for the lion's share of the early Islamic empire's income, and its city-based culture was largely dependent on food (and other products) produced in the countryside, it was imperative for the empire to try and keep the countryside under control.

This paper will focus on a rather small region in southern Iraq, the area between the Persian Gulf and the great marshland called al-Baṭāʾiḥ. The epicenter of this region was the city of Basra, which was founded by the invading Muslims as a base for their expeditions into southern Iraq and beyond. They established their camp on the edge of the desert plateau, ca. 20 km west of the present-day Shaṭṭ al-ʿArab (which was called the “One-eyed Tigris,” Dijla al-ʿAwrā’, at the time), and this encampment soon grew to become a miṣr, a garrison city, and one of the main metropoles of the early Islamic empire.

Rural areas are heavily underrepresented in early Islamic non-documentary sources, and documentary sources from the early Islamic period are available in great quantities only for Egypt. For Iraq, close to no documentary source material has survived. However, what Iraq lacks in documentary sources is partly made up for by the extraordinary amount of attention the legal literature spends on the status of the land of the Sawād and related questions. The region of Basra in particular forms an interesting case study for the relation between the empire and the countryside because we are blessed with, in addition to the legal sources, three independent narrative sources from the Abbasid period that give us an unusually detailed insight into the exploitation of countryside of this region: Ibn Sarābiyūn's (d. after 333/945) description of the canals on both banks of the Dijla al-ʿAwrā'; al-Balādhuri's (d. 279/892) list of land grants and estates in the same region, focused on the Umayyad and early Abbasid period; and al-Ṭabarī's (d. 310/923) account of the Zanj rebellion (255–270/870–883) that was centred in exactly the same region. The level of detail in these three sources is to my knowledge unrivaled in the narrative sources for any rural area.
of the early Islamic empire, and taken together, they allow us to reconstruct the evolution of rural exploitation in the area.

The large investments in agriculture in the area evidenced in these sources were probably driven partly by the demand for foodstuffs for the booming city of Basra. But this paper argues that the investments were most of all a win-win partnership between the state and the investors: the investors were promised a high return because of a reduced tax rate for land reclamation (and the proximity of Basra as a large outlet for the produce of the land) and full ownership of the lands they reclaimed, and in return, the state received additional tax incomes from previously unproductive lands, and plentiful food supplies for one of its main cities. Probably equally importantly, controlling the access to these lucrative investments could be used by the state to reward loyal supporters and buy the loyalty of others.

1 Land Ownership and the Muslim/Arab Conquest of Iraq

What the Arabs called al-ʿIrāq or al-Sawād is the upper part of a basin created by subduction of the Arabian plate under the Eurasian plate. The Persian Gulf occupies the largest part of this basin, but the northern part has become filled in by sediments brought down from the surrounding mountains by major rivers like the Euphrates, Tigris, and Karun. This alluvial land is very fertile, but because of the very hot and arid climate, agriculture is only possible through irrigation. Consequently, extending the area under cultivation requires heavy investment to construct irrigation canals.

The Sasanians invested much in irrigation in Iraq and neighboring Khūzistān. They are credited with the construction of large dams and irrigation systems – although it is possible that at least some of the irrigation systems

1 Hugh Kennedy, “The Feeding of the 500,000: Cities and Agriculture in Early Islamic Mesopotamia,” *Iraq* 73 (2011): 177–199.
2 al-Sawād, which literally means “the blackness,” is more or less synonymous to al-ʿIrāq; the term refers to the dark color of the cultivated land of the alluvial plain (in contrast to the light color of the surrounding desert).
3 Pieter Buringh, *Soils and Soil Conditions in Iraq* (Baghdad: Ministry of Agriculture, 1960), 42.
4 See among many other works: Diederik Lucas Graadt van Roggen, “Notice sur les anciens travaux hydrauliques en Susiane,” *Mémoires de la Délégation en Perse* 7 (1905): 168–207; Ahmad Sousa, *Rayy Sāmarrāʾ fi ʾahd al-khilaṭa al-ʿAbbāsiyya* (Baghdad: Maṭbaʿat al-Maʾārif, 1948); Robert M. Adams, *Land Behind Baghdad: A History of Settlement on the Diyala Plains* (Chicago/London: University of Chicago Press, 1965); Robert M. Adams, “Settlement and Irrigation Patterns in Ancient Akkad,” in *The City and Area of Kish*, ed. McGuire Gibson.
ascribed to them (both by medieval Muslim and modern authors) pre- and postdate the Sasanians. A combination of exhausting struggle with the Byzantine empire, internal political chaos, incursions by the Arabs/Muslims and natural disaster caused a breakdown of part of the irrigation system of the Sawād at the end of the 620s. Dams broke, the Tigris changed its course, huge expanses of cultivated land were inundated and became marshes, and the area around the former course of the Tigris became a desert.

The collapse of the southern part of their irrigation system of Iraq coincided with the collapse of the Sasanian state and the conquest by the Muslims. If the conquest narratives are to be believed, the conquest of the Sawād took place in three major pushes, coinciding with three major battles: after coercing the Christian Arab towns west of the Euphrates into truces with the Muslims, they defeated a major army of the Sasanians at al-Qādisiyya, opening up the entire Sawād between the Euphrates and the Tigris. Then after taking the Sasanian capital Ctesiphon (called al-Madāʾin by the Arabs), located on the Tigris, they also broke through this second riverine barrier. Finally the Muslims chased the Sasanian king and his army out of Iraq after defeating them at Jalūlā. The conquest of the southern part of Iraq and Khūzistān took place in a parallel but separate movement; no major battles are known there, which makes it more difficult to reconstruct the conquest.

---

5 SeeforexampleMehrnoushSoroush,“IrrigationinKhuzistanaftertheSasanians:Continuity, DeclineorTransformation?” in The Long Seventh Century: Continuity and Discontinuity in an Age of Transition, edited by Alessandro Gnasso (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2015), 269–290.

6 Ibn Rusta, Kitāb al-Alāq an-nafisā (written ca. 290–300/903–913), ed. Michael Jan de Goeje (Leiden: Brill, 1892), 89f.; Ibn al-Faqih al-Hamadhānī, Kitāb al-Buldān (written ca. 293/902–903) (Mashhad ms.), ed. Zacharias van Laer (Brussels: author’s edition, 1985), 2:296; Qudāmā b. Ja’far (d. ca. 319/932), Kitāb al-Kharāj, ed. Michael Jan de Goeje (Leiden: Brill, 1967), 240; Yāqūt al-Rūmī, Muʿjam al-buldān (Beirut: DārṢādir, 1977), 1:451, 2:179. See also Werner Nützel, “The End of the South Mesopotamian Civilizations Caused by the Bursting of the Dykes of the Euphrates and Tigris in 629 AD,” Sumer 38 (1982): 144–151; and, more recently, Peter Verkinderen, Waterways of Iraq and Iran in the Early Islamic Period: Changing Rivers and Landscapes of the Mesopotamian Plain (London: I.B. Tauris, 2015), 50–54.

7 The precise chronology of the conquest of central al-‘Irāq is unclear; the Battle of al-Qādisiyah is dated to the years 13/635, 14/636 and 16/637–638 by different sources; the siege of al-Madāʾin is said to have lasted for two or 28 months; but at least all sources agree that the Battle of Jalūlā took place after the fall of al-Madāʾin, according to some as early as the end of the year 16/638, according to others only in the year 19/640. See Fred M. Donner, The Early Islamic Conquests (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1981).

8 See Donner, Conquests; Chase F. Robinson, “The Conquest of Khūzistān: A Historiograph-
With the Sasanian overlords driven out of the plain, Iraq was quite literally decapitated. The basic structure of society survived, however: most of the inhabitants were sedentary peasants called *al-nabaṭ* or *al-anbāṭ* in the Arabic sources, an ethnically diverse, Aramaic-speaking group. Most of these were probably attached to the soil they cultivated, which was organized in village estates (Pers. *dēh*) granted by the state to a primarily Persian landholding lower nobility (Ar. *dahāqīn*, sg. *dihqān* < Pers. *dēhīk*, *dēhkānān*). The population of the cities was also predominantly Aramaic-speaking.⁹

The ownership of the lands of the Sawād seems to have been a hotly debated legal question in the Umayyad period, probably because it had far-reaching consequences for the income the state derived from the land tax. We find the traces of these debates in our earliest legal sources, which date from the early Abbasid period. It appears that Umayyad jurists tried to rationalize and codify existing divergent fiscal practices related to the Sawād lands, and by the early Abbasid period, a system of three categories of land was firmly in place.¹⁰

Firstly, *ṣulḥ* (“treaty”) lands, kept in full ownership of the inhabitants, in return for a fixed tax, specified in a treaty with the Muslims. According to the jurists, only a very few localities in the Sawād like al-Ḥīra, Bāsimmā, and al-Anbār (all located on the western edge of the Sawād, and in the hands of sedentary Arabs before the conquest) had such a treaty.

Most of the Sawād, however, was considered to have been conquered by force (*ʿanwatan*). These lands were reportedly temporarily distributed among the tribes that took part in the conquest, but soon after — apparently under ‘Umar b. al-Khaṭṭāb (r. 13–23/634–644) — they were put under control of the state; reasons given for this in the sources are concern with the livelihood of later generations of Muslims, and fear for internal fighting over the dis-

---

⁹ See Michael Morony, *Iraq after the Muslim Conquest* (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1984); Michael Morony, “Landholding and Social Change: Lower al-ʿIrāq in the Early Islamic Period,” in *Land Tenure and Social Transformation in the Middle East*, ed. Tarif Khalbidi (Beirut: American University in Beirut, 1985), 209–222.

¹⁰ See the works on *kharāj* by the jurists Abū Yūsuf (d. 182/798) and Yahyā b. ʿĀdam (d. 202/818) (Abū Yūṣuf, *Kitāb al-Kh nexus* (Cairo: al-Maṭbaʿa al-Salafiyya, 1933) and Yahyā b. ʿĀdam, *Kitāb al-Kharāj*, ed. and trans. Aharon Ben Shemesh as: *Taxation in Islam i: Yahyā ben ʿĀdam’s Kitāb al-Kharāj* (Leiden: Brill, 1958)); and the discussion of the concepts of kharāj, ‘uṣhr, *fay*, and *ghanīma* in the compendia of Qudāma and al-Māwardī (d. 450/1058). Interesting discussions can be found in Werner Schmucker, *Untersuchungen zu einigen wichtigen Bodenrechtlichen Konsequenzen der Islamischen Eroberungsbewegung* (Bonn: Selbstverlag des Orientalischen Seminars der Universität Bonn, 1972); Morony, *Iraq*; and Donner, *Conquests*. 
tributed lands. An underlying reason may have been that many peasants who worked these lands and had been attached to the soil, and many local noblemen (dahāqīn) who owned the land, had fled, rendering the uncultivated lands worthless as a source of liquid income for the conquerors. The farmers and dahāqīn of these lands, still according to the jurists, were given a protected status (dhimma), and a land tax (kharāj), comparable to the Sasanian land tax, was imposed on the land. The collection of the tax was left in the hands of the dahāqīn, who probably fulfilled the same function under the Sasanians.

The precise status of this category of land was a contested affair throughout the early Islamic period, mirroring conflicting interests of the state, the descendants of the conquerors, and converts to Islam: al-Māwardī (d. 450/1058), who gives an overview of the main legal opinions to this question, states that the ‘Irāqī jurists said the land was returned to the hands of the owners (i.e., the dahāqīn), who could dispose of it as they wished as long as kharāj was paid on it, but (still according to al-Māwardi) some Shāfi‘ī jurists claimed that the land was kept in the hands of the state, and the kharāj was a kind of rent paid to the state, which implies the land could not be sold. Other questions arose around these kharāj lands, to which the jurists came up with conflicting answers: if the owner of a piece of land converted to Islam, should he still pay the kharāj, or rather the lighter ‘ushr tax? And similarly, if kharāj land was bought by a Muslim, did he have to pay kharāj or ‘ushr?

Finally, there were the ṣawāfī (sg. ṣāfiya), the “crown lands,” lands that did not belong to a private owner after the conquest (anymore), and were supposedly confiscated by the caliph ‘Umar b. al-Khaṭṭāb for the Islamic state: this category includes marshes and other uncultivated areas, lands that belonged

---

11 See Schmucker, Untersuchungen, 101–123, for an overview of the arguments.
12 This reason is reflected in admonitions ascribed to ‘Umar i to the conquerors to treat the peasants well and his reported attempts to bring them back to the lands they had fled. See Schmucker, Untersuchungen, 101–123.
13 The classical study on the kharāj is Frede Løkkegaard, Islamic Taxation in the Classic Period (Copenhagen: Branner og Korch, 1950). For a more recent take on the kharāj, see Ghaida Khazna Katbi, Islamic Land Tax al-Kharāj: From the Islamic Conquests to the ‘Abbāsid Period (London: I.B. Tauris, 2010).
14 See Michele Campopiano’s article in this volume.
15 al-Māwardī, al-Aḥkām al-sulṭāniyya, ed. Maximilianus Enger (Bonn: Adolphus Marcus, 1853), 322f.
16 Most jurists judged that kharāj still was to be paid, in order not to reduce the state income. For a good overview of the jurists’ questions related to the kharāj, and their conflicting answers to these questions, see the chapters 12–14 of al-Māwardī’s al-Aḥkām al-sulṭāniyya.
### Table 15.1 Legal status of lands in al-Sawād

| Šulḥ lands | ‘Anwa lands |
|-----------------|-----------------|
| Treaty, not conquered | Conquered by military force |

| Village estates | Šawāfī |
|-----------------|-----------------|
| Pre-islamic landholding system maintained | Lands without private owner (owners fled or were killed during conquests; marshes; public places) |

| State lands | Private estates | Barren land |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Agriculturally diversified | Mono-culture of labor-intensive cash crops | Uncultivated (but: fishing, hunting, reed gathering) |

| Relatively self-sufficient | Market-oriented |
|-----------------|-----------------|
| Held by pre-islamic owners (Arabs) | Held by pre-islamic owners (non-Arab dahāqūn) |

| Held by the state | Granted to private persons | Held by the state |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Worked by servile renting tenants | Worked by sharecroppers or slaves | Worked by renting tenants or slaves |

| Worked by free elements? | Paid a fixed amount of taxes as prescribed in the treaty |
|-----------------|-----------------|
| Paid ħarāj, collected by dahāqūn | Paid between ⅓ and ½ of the crops to the state |

| Paid ‘ušr (tithe) to the state | No taxes |
|-----------------|-----------------|
| Majority of the Sawād lands; mostly in Sawād al-Kūfa and Middle Tigris | Scattered through Sawād, but enlarged mainly by reclamation from the Baṭīḥat Wāsiṭ |

| Mostly reclaimed land around al-Baṣra | Mostly al-Baṭāʾīḥ |
|-----------------|-----------------|

Only very few Arab towns on the W edge of the Sawād: al-Ḥīra, al-Anbār, Ullais, etc.  

*Adapted from Morony, Iraq*
to people who were killed or fled during the conquest war (including the Sasanian royal family and high nobility), and public spaces like mints, post stations, and water cisterns.\textsuperscript{17}

2 Dead Lands and Land Grants

The \textit{kātib} Qudāma (d. ca. 319/932) and the geographer Ibn Ḥawqal (d. after 378/988) tell us that the entire area of Basra, which is the focus of this study, was considered “dead land” (\textit{mawāt}),\textsuperscript{18} a specific type of \textit{sawāfī} (crown lands) that was not cultivated and did not have any other function. These lands were considered property of God and thus of the state by the legal scholars.\textsuperscript{19}

Because they were barren, dead lands did not yield tax money for the treasury. In order to make money from these lands, the state could invest in reclaiming them by digging irrigation canals and drains, and have the land cultivated for the state by sharecroppers or slaves. Interestingly, this kind of state investment is known from other areas of the Sawād,\textsuperscript{20} but not mentioned in the area of Basra. Here, the only canal-digging activities explicitly said in the sources to be state enterprises were efforts to bring drinkable water to the city of Basra.\textsuperscript{21}

Another solution to raise its income from the \textit{sawāfī} was found. Dead lands were granted to private persons, who would then invest their own money in reclaiming the land and cultivating it. In return for this service, the investor

\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{17} Yaḥyā b. Ādam, \textit{Kharāj}, tr. 45 f., ed. 197–199; Qudāma b. Jaʿfar, \textit{Kitāb al-Kharāj}, ed. and trans. Aharon Ben Shemesh as: \textit{Taxation in Islam 2: Qudāma b. Jaʿfar’s Kitāb al-Kharāj, part seven, and excerpts from Abū Yūsuf’s Kitāb al-Kharāj} (Leiden: Brill, 1965), F. 85*. On the administration of these \textit{sawāfī} after the conquest, see Morony, \textit{Iraq}, 68–70.
\item \textsuperscript{18} Qudāma, \textit{al-Kharāj}, F. 85* (\textit{fa-inna al-Baṣra kulluhā kānat yawmaʾidhīn sibākhān (…) wa-al-sibākh mawāt}); Ibn Ḥawqal, \textit{Kitāb Šūrat al-ard}, ed. Johan Hendrik Kramers (Leiden: Brill, 1938), 236.
\item \textsuperscript{19} al-Māwardi, \textit{Ahkām}, 308.
\item \textsuperscript{20} One of the more striking examples is Abū Ahmad al-Muwaffaq’s investment in the ruined al-Ṣīlāh and al-Mubārak districts near Wāsiṭ, with the explicit aim of financing the war against the Zanj. The investment included the digging of canals and the providing of seeds and cattle to the poorest of the cultivators (\textit{al-akara wa-al-tunnāʾ wa-al-muzāriʿīn}) (al-Qāḍī al-Tannūkhī, \textit{Nishwār al-Muḥāḍara}, ed. ‘Abūd al-Shalji (Beirut: Dār Ṣādir, 1971–1973), 8353–155).
\item \textsuperscript{21} E.g. Ibn al-Faqīh, \textit{Buldān} (Mashhad ms.), 1:41; al-Balādhuri, \textit{Kitāb Futūḥ al-buldān}. ed. Michael Jan de Goeje as: \textit{Liber expugnationis regionum} (Leiden: Brill, 1968), 369; Yāqūt, \textit{Buldān}, 5305; Ibn Rusta, \textit{Aʿlāq}, 89; Ibn Hazm al-Andalusī, \textit{Jamhurat ansāb al-ʿarab}, ed. Évariste Lévi-Provençal (Cairo: Dār al-Maʿārif, 1948), 97.
\end{itemize}
did not have to pay the land tax (kharāj), only the much lighter ‘ushr (tithe).22 This was a win-win situation: the state did not have to spend huge amounts of money and labor on the reclamation of lands, while it did receive tax money from lands that previously did not make any money. An additional advantage for the government would be that it could use grants of these dead lands to gain the support of powerful persons, or reward them for their continuing support. For the reclaimer this was a very interesting investment, because it promised a high profit since the tax on the reclaimed lands was lower than on kharāj lands, and, perhaps even more importantly, he received the land in full ownership, which meant it could be sold, inherited, mortgaged, etc. This was not always the case for other kinds of land in Iraq.

Such piece of dead land granted to a private person was called a qaṭīʿa.23 Al-Māwardī contrasts the early Islamic qaṭīʿa with the land grant type that was current in his own time, the iqṭāʾ, by which only the right was granted to appropriate the tax income of a certain area subject to kharāj, and only for a limited amount of time; the land itself stayed in the possession of its owners, and could not be sold, mortgaged etc. by the grantee.24 Al-Māwardī nuances the claim by Ibn Ḥawqal and Qudāma that all of Basra’s land was ‘ushr land. In his account, it is “land that has been revived of the mawāt (dead lands) and sībākh (salt flats) of Basra” that is all ‘ushr land.25 He also writes that the only reason why these lands were subject to ‘ushr is that they consisted of reclaimed land, refuting the theories of a number of other jurists (including Abū Ḥanīfa (d. 150/767) and Abū Yūṣuf (d. 182/798)) that the re-

---

22 How did the reclamation of land work in practice? Al-Māwardī (Aḥkām, 308f.) sets three conditions for land to be considered reclaimed: (1) the land needs to be marked off by heaping soil along its boundaries; (2) it needs to be supplied with water if it is too dry, or drained if it is too wet; and (3) it needs to be leveled and ploughed. Only after the third step was finished, the land was considered reclaimed. If the reclaimer waited for more than 3 years before finishing the reclamation, he lost his title to the land.

23 See Cahen’s article “Īkṭāʾ” in the Encyclopaedia of Islam for a more detailed discussion of the evolution of the iqṭāʾ system (Claude Cahen, “Īkṭāʾ,” in Encyclopaedia of Islam, 2nd ed. (Leiden: Brill), http://dx.doi.org/10.1163/1573-3912_islam_SIM_3522). Note that qaṭīʿa is often wrongly translated by the word “fief” of the European feudal system, which was quite different from the Early Islamic system. The corresponding European technical term for land that is held in absolute ownership is “alloid” or “allodium” (Hugh Kennedy, personal communication, 28 October 2009).

24 For this reason, al-Māwardī calls the iqṭāʾ of his time iqṭāʾ ʾistīghlāl (usufruct grant) and the early Islamic system iqṭāʾ tamīlīk (grant of which the grantee gets the full ownership, mulk).

25 al-Māwardi, Aḥkām, 311f.
son was the fact that the entire area was irrigated by ‘
ush’
water.26 Indeed, not the entire area of Basra can have been dead‘
ush’ land. At the time of the con-
quest, a number of towns (the most important being al-’Ubulla and al-Madhār) were located in the area, and these must have been surrounded by fields. One special case, mentioned by al-Balādhurī (d. 279/892), relates to some lands in al-Furāt (the name for the east bank of the Dijla al-‘Awrā’), where kharāj land had become ‘
ush’ land after the conquest. The Umayyad governor al-Ḥajjāj b. Yūsuf (in office 75–95/694–714) later turned it back into kharāj land, and the land kept oscillating between ‘
ush’ and kharāj status until the Abbasid caliph al-Mahdī (r. 158–169/775–785) turned it into ‘
ush’ land once and for all.27 Nevertheless, kharāj lands appear to have been a marginal phenomenon in the Baṣra area.

Let us now leave the theories of the jurists behind, and focus on the geo-
graphical and historical sources.

3 Reconstructing the Chronology of the Investments: a Geographical
Approach

The first of these accounts is a description of the Dijla al-‘Awrā’ by the fourth/-
tenth-century geographer Ibn Sarābiyūn (d. after 333/945). He lists the ten
main canals on the west bank of the river, including the distances between the
canals.28 Six of these canals can be identified by way of modern toponymy and
traces of ancient canals on satellite images. The approximate location of the
other three is easily found by the distances mentioned by Ibn Sarābiyūn.29

26 The basis for the other jurists’ views is that according to one of the tax rules, lands irri-
gated with water from the main rivers and from pre-Islamic canals were to be considered kharāj land. In the Basra area, however – the jurists say – all of the canals were dug after the conquest. Moreover, the water from the Tigris and Euphrates discharged into the al-
Baṭāʾiḥ marshes, where it lost its kharāj character. From the Baṭāʾiḥ, the water seeped into the Dijla al-‘Awrā’, the former Tigris estuary, from where it was pushed into the canals by the tide. Since the sea nor the marshes are kharāj waters, all of the lands of Basra were only subject to ‘
ush’. al-Māwardī does not deny all this is true, but stresses the principle that
reclaimed land is subject to ‘
ush’, not kharāj, irrespective of the source of its irrigation
water.

27 al-Balādhurī, Futūḥ, 368.

28 Ibn Sarābiyūn, Kitāb ʿAjāʾib al-aqālīm al-sabʿa, ed. Hans von Mžik (Leipzig: Otto Haras-
sowitz, 1929), 136f.

29 See Verkinderen, Waterways, 75–100; and Fig. 15.1.
A quick look at these canals (from north to south) and their dates is revealing:

- The Nahr Abī al-Asad was the main connection between the marshes and the Dijla al-‘Awrā’. It was named after a commander of the Abbasid caliph al-Ma’mūn, but Yāqūt states this Abū al-Asad only widened an existing channel.\footnote{Yāqūt, Buldān, 530\textsuperscript{5}; Ibn ‘Abd al-Ḥaqq al-Baghdādī, Marāṣid al-Īṭṭilā’, ed. ‘Ali Muḥammad al-Ḥijāwī (Cairo: Dār al-ma’rifa, 1954), 1399.}
- The Nahr al-Mar’a may have been pre-Islamic, because it is mentioned in the accounts of the conquests as the location of a castle of a female landowner.\footnote{al-Balādhurī, Futūḥ, 340; al-Ṭabarī, Mukhtaṣar ta’rīkh ar-rusul wa-al-mulūk wa-al-khulafā’, ed. Michael Jan de Goeje (Leiden: Brill, 1964), series 1, 2025f., 2381; Khalīfa b. Khayyāt, Ta’rīkh, ed. Muṣṭafā Najib Fawwāz and Ḥikmat Kishli Fawwāz (Damascus: Dār al-kutub al-‘ilmīyya, 1995), 86; Yāqūt, Buldān, 1:431, 5323. Of course, it cannot be ruled out that the story about the female landowner is a result of folk etymology.}
- The Nahr al-Dayr existed already before Nahr Ibn ‘Umar was dug in 126/744,\footnote{al-Balādhurī, Futūḥ, 370.} and probably already in the pre-Islamic period, since the canal was
named after a pre-Islamic monastery called Dayr al-Dihdār that was located at its entrance.33

- The Bathq Shirīn is said to be named after a slave girl of the Persian king Kīsrā Abarwīz,34 which would imply that it predates Islam. At the very least, it existed before the Basran governor ‘Adī b. Arṭāh (in office 99–101/718–720) dug a canal from Bathq Shirīn to Basra in order to improve the water supply to the city.35

- The Nahr Maʿqīl was one of the main canals that connected the city of Basra to the Diţlā al-‘Awrā. It was reportedly dug by Ziyād b. Abīhi when he was the deputy of governor Abū Mūsā (in office 17–29/638–650) or when he was governor of Basra himself (in office 45–53/666–672).36

- The Nahr al-Ubulla was Basra’s other main canal, and was dug by governor Abū Mūsā al-Ashʿarī (in office 17–29/638–650)37 and Ziyād b. Abīhi, deputy of governor ‘Abd Allāh b. ‘Āmir (in office 29–35/650–656).38

- The Nahr ʿUmar, also known as the Fayḍ al-Baṣra, was dug by ‘Abd Allāh b. ‘Umar b. ʿAbd al-ʿAzīz (son of the caliph ‘Umar 11, and governor of Basra, in office 126–127/744–745) in the year 126/744.39

- The Nahr al-Yahūdī probably predates the Nahr Nāfidh which belonged to the governor ‘Abd Allāh b. ‘Āmir (in office 29–35/650–656).40

- The Nahr Abī al-Khaṣīb was named after a mawlā, client, of the Abbasid caliph al-Manṣūr (r. 137–158/754–775).41

- The Nahr Abī al-Khaṣīb was named after a mawlā, client, of the Abbasid caliph al-Manṣūr (r. 137–158/754–775).41

- The Nahr Abī al-Khaṣīb was named after a mawlā, client, of the Abbasid caliph al-Manṣūr (r. 137–158/754–775).41

- The Nahr Abī al-Khaṣīb was named after a mawlā, client, of the Abbasid caliph al-Manṣūr (r. 137–158/754–775).41

- The Nahr Abī al-Khaṣīb was named after a mawlā, client, of the Abbasid caliph al-Manṣūr (r. 137–158/754–775).41
(counter-)caliph ʿAbd Allāh b. al-Zubayr (64–72/683–692) and Muṣʿab, who was governor of Basra (in office 67–71/686–691). It was dammed by the Abbasid governor Sulaymān b. ʿAlī (in office 133–139/751–756).\footnote{al-Balādhurī, Futūḥ, 363; al-Balādhurī, Ansāb al-ashrāf, ed. ʿAbd al-ʿAzīz al-Dūrī (Beirut: Deutsche Morgenländische Gesellschaft, 1978), 123.}

The date of the northernmost canal, Nahr Abī al-Asad, is not known, except that it predates al-Maʾmūn’s reign. The next three canals may predate Islam. Those closest to the city of Basra were dug in the first years after the city was founded, in order to bring sweet drinking water to it. Finally, the southernmost canals were constructed only under the Abbasids. The chronological and geographical spread of the canal building activities suggests that most of the west bank of the river was brought under extensive cultivation during the first 150 years of Islam.\footnote{The entire area between these canals (each of which was between 15 and 20 km long), measures about 1500 km². For an overview of the area covered by traces of these canals and fields, mapped from satellite imagery, see Verkinderen, Waterways, plate 4a.}

Unfortunately, the information about the four canals that Ibn Sarābiyūn mentions on the east bank of the Dijla al-ʿAwrā is less complete; we can only date one canal, the Nahr al-Mubārak, which was reportedly dug by governor Khālid al-Qasrī (in office ca. 105–120/723–738),\footnote{al-Hamadhānī, Buldān (Mashhad ms.), 1:63; Yāqūt, Buldān, 5:50 f.; al-Baghdādī, Marāṣid, 1225.} and none of the canals can be located with certainty.\footnote{It is therefore more difficult to make an estimate of the total area under cultivation on the east bank in this period. On satellite imagery, fossil traces of cultivation very similar to those on the west bank that have been identified as probably belonging to the early Islamic period, can be seen stretching out for a distance of ca. 70 km, with a maximum inland extent of ca. 12 km. Cf. Verkinderen, Waterways, 73–75, and plate 4a.}

### 4 Who is Who in the Land-Owning Business? al-Balādhurī’s List of Estates near Basra

A second important source is al-Balādhurī’s list of the canals and estates of Basra,\footnote{al-Balādhurī, Futūḥ, 356–372.} which is based mainly on the works of al-Walid b. Hishām b. Qaḥdhām (known as al-Qaḥdhāmi, d. 222/837), ʿAlī b. Muḥammad al-Madāʾīnī (d. 225/840), and a small number of other Basran akhbāris, and is heavily concentrated on the Umayyad period. The list contains ca. 150 places in the area of Basra of which al-Balādhurī tries to identify the persons after whom they were named. The list is not ordered by a single clear principle. It starts with the two main
canals dug by the first governors of Basra in order to provide the city with fresh water, Nahr Ma‘qil and Nahr al-Ubulla, followed by further developments of the area around these two canals. But after that, a geographical or chronological order is not to be found; rather, the *akhbār* are ordered by association, or sometimes simply juxtaposed; from time to time some *akhbār* are clustered thematically.

Michael Morony has used this source to trace the social history of a new landlord class created in Basra through land grants by the authorities.48 Some caution is needed when using this list for a study of landholding though. Only in a minority of cases the places are explicitly labeled *qaṭī‘a*. More often, the place is said to be named after or to have belonged to the person after whom it was named, without stating the relation of the person with the land. After all, al-Balādhurī mentions, besides *qaṭā‘i‘*, also canals dug to convey drinking water to the city, dead lands revived without permission of the authorities, and *awqāf*, pious endowments. Moreover, places in the list are not necessarily named after the receiver of the land grant. Some of the lands are explicitly said to have been named after the person tasked with the practical reclamation of the land, a person who later bought the property, or persons otherwise connected to the place. Consequently, we cannot automatically identify any of the unspecified place names as a *qaṭī‘a* belonging to the person after which it was named. Only in a very limited number of cases, al-Balādhurī offers information about the history of the estate. Other questions, however, remain open: Did it stay in the family? Was it confiscated when the family fell out of grace with the rulers or at the time of a regime change? Was it sold to someone else? To make things even more complicated, most of the places are called *X-ān* (a typically Basran way to derive a *nisba* adjective from a personal name, e.g. Dāwūdān)49 or *Nahr X*, both of which can refer to a canal or a canal and the estate that was located along it, and sometimes more than one estate was located on a canal.

Keeping this caveat in mind, the list offers a wealth of information about landholding in the Basra area. It is very instructive to take a look at who gives and who receives land grants in the Basra area. The only persons explicitly said to grant lands in the list are Umayyad-period governors of Basra,50 their

---

48 Morony, “Social Change.”
49 See Yāqūt, *Buldān*, 1:189.
50 ʿAbd Allāh b. ʿĀmir (in office 41–44/661–664), Ziyād b. Abī Sufyān (in office 45–53/665–673), al-Ḥajjāj b. Yūsuf (75–95/695–714). For a list of governors of al-Baṣra, see Charles Pellat, *Le milieu bašrîen et la formation de Ġāḥîz* (Paris: Adrien-Maisonneuve, 1953).
deputies,51 and the caliphs.52 In a very limited number of cases, a piece of land was granted by person who is not a governor or caliph (e.g. the army commanders al-Muhallab and ʿUthmān b. Abī al-ʿĀṣī), but in these cases the granter probably received the qaṭīʿa from the caliph or governor, and passed part of it on to family and/or friends.53 Thus, al-Balādhurī’s text suggests that after a period during which the powerful governors Ibn ʿĀmir, Ziyād, and al-Ḥajjāj had the right to grant dead lands, this privilege became concentrated in the hands of the caliphs by the end of the first/seventh century.

The grantees form a more heterogeneous group. In more than 80% of the places in the list, an owner of the place can be identified – even if we cannot be sure they had received the land as a grant. Governors and their families and mawālī form a large subgroup.54 Another group of grantees fulfilled other important functions in the (provincial) government: judges (qāḍīs),55 guard/police chiefs (aṣhābal-shurṭa),56 army commanders (amīrs).57 Even the Barmakids, the powerful vizier family serving the Abbasids, held an important grant in Basra (an estate called Sayḥān), as did some of the caliphs (Hishām b. ʿAbd al-Malik, al-Manṣūr). Other categories of people with a high standing

51 Ziyād b. Abīhi/Abī Sufyan (under ‘Abd Allāh b. ʿĀmir’s governorship), ‘Ubayd Allāh b. Abī Bakra (in office 61–64/661–664).
52 ‘Umar (r.13–23/644–644), ‘Uthmān (r. 23–35/644–656), Muʿāwiya (r. 41–61/661–680), Yazīd b. Muʿāwiya (r. 60–64/680–683), ‘Abd al-Malik b. Marwān (r. 65–86/685–705), Sulaymān (r. 96–99/777–779), Yazīd b. ʿAbd al-Malik (r. 101–105/720–724), Hishām b. ʿAbd al-Malik (r. 105–125/724–743), Abū al-Abdās (r. 132–136/750–754), al-Manṣūr (r. 137–158/754–775), al-Mahdī (r. 158–169/775–785), Hārūn (r. 786–789), al-Maʾmūn (r. 786–809).
53 E.g., al-Muhallabān was given by al-Muhallab to his wife (al-Balādhurī, Futūḥ, 360); and Hafṣān, Umayyatān, Ḥakamān and Mughīratān were given by ʿUṭmān b. Abī al-ʿĀṣī to his sons (al-Balādhurī, Futūḥ, 362).
54 ‘Abd Allāh b. ʿĀmir, Ziyād b. Abīhi and his half-brothers Abū Bakra (d. ca. 52/672) and Naṣīr (d. 50/670), ‘Umar b. ‘Ubayd Allāh b. Maʿmar b. ‘Uthmān (in office 64–68/683–687), ʿUmān b. Abūṣayd al-Ḍabbī (under ʿAbd Allāh b. ʿĀmir), Khālid b. ʿAbd Allāh b. Khālid al-Asīd (in office 72–73/691–693), al-Ḥajjāj b. Yūsuf, Yazīd b. al-Muhallab (in office 96–99/715–717), ʿAdī b. Artāh (in office 95–96/715–715), ʿUmar b. Hubayra (in office 102–105/720–724), ʿAbd Allāh b. ʿUmar (in office 102–105/720–724), ʿAbd Allāh b. ʿAbd al-ʿAzīz (in office 126–127/744–745), Sufyān b. Muʿāwiya b. Yazīd b. al-Muhallab (in office 132/749), and the Abbasids Sulaymān b. ʿAlī (b. ‘Abd Allāh (in office 133–139/750–756) and his son Muḥammad (in office 160–163/776–779).
55 E.g. ʿUmayra b. Yathribi al-Ḍabī (under ‘Abd Allāh b. ʿĀmir), Khālid b. Tūlayq b. Muḥammad b. Amrān (in office 167/783–784).
56 Yazīd b. ‘Umar al-Usayyidī (in office 86–93/705–711), Shaybān b. ‘Abd Allāh b. Axmas (in office under ‘Ubayd Allāh b. Ziyād), ‘Ubayd b. Qusayr (in office under al-Ḥajjāj). For a list of the shurṭa commanders of Basra, cf. Michael Ebstein, “Šurṭa Chiefs in Baṣra in the Umayyad Period: A Prosopographical Study,” al-Qanṭara 31 (2010): 103–147.  
E.g. al-Muhallab b. Abī Šufra, Aslām b. Zūrāʾ al-Kilābī (d. after 61/668).
57
but not necessarily governmental positions were also regular recipients of land
grants: tribal leaders, 58 companions of the prophet Muḥammad 59 and other
figures with religious standing, 60 family members, mawālī and supporters of
the caliphs. 61 In general, most if not all of these individuals seem to be closely
related to those in power, and very few if any are linked to their political oppo-

tents. It is hard to tell if this reflects a bias of our sources to the milieu in which
they were written, or a reality.

The relation between landholding and government functions is ambiguous.
On the one hand, high officials are obvious candidates to receive land grants
as rewards for their service, but on the other hand, persons from the landhold-
ing elite which was created in this way became more eligible for government
service through their social and political networks. Especially successful in col-
lecting land grants were the families of Ziyād b. Abīhi, Abū Bakra, Abū al-ʿĀṣī al-
Thaqafi (the leading family of the tribe Thaqīf), the Muhallabids, and the great
Abbasid governors’ family of Sulaymān b. ʿAlī. These and some other families
gradually formed an urban-based landholding elite. This elite was pretty sta-
ble, although some of the landholding families lost their properties after falling
out with the authorities, or after the Abbāsid took over from the Umayyads. 62

The Muhallabids, for example, saw all their possessions confiscated by Yazīd b.
ʿAbd al-Malik (r. 101–105/720–724), and they ended up in the hands of Yazīd’s
brothers’ sons. Under the first Abbāsid caliph, Abū al-ʿAbbās (r. 132–136/750–
754), these Marwanid possessions were again confiscated. Some of these were
returned to a branch of the Muhallabid family, other estates were granted to
Abū al-ʿAbbās’ uncle, Sulaymān b. ʿAlī, who was made governor of Basra (in
office 133–139/750–756). Most of the other old landholding families of Umayyad
times must have managed to hold on to their possessions after the Abbāsid
takeover as well. The Abbāsids do not appear to have confiscated other lands
than those that belonged to the grandsons of ʿAbd al-Malik. 63

58 E.g. the family of Abū al-ʿĀṣī al-Thaqafi, Suwayd b. Manjūf.
59 E.g. Maʿqil, Abū Bakra, Anas b. Mālik.
60 E.g. Jubayr b. Hayya (d. under ʿAbd al-Malik b. Marwān), Kulthūm b. Jabr (d. 130/747).
61 E.g. the Umayyad Asīd family; and mawālī and family of Abū Bakr, ʿUthmān, and al-
Manṣūr. In general, most if not all of these individuals seem to be closely related to those
in power, and very few if any are linked to their political opponents. It is hard to tell if this
reflects a bias of our sources to the milieu in which they were written, or a reality.
62 See Morony, “Social Change.”
63 In his Ansāb al-Ashrāf, al-Balādhurī states that Basra was an exception in this, and at-
tributes this to the attitude of governor Sulaymān b. ʿAlī. As an example, he relates how
Sulaymān b. ʿAlī was reluctant to confiscate the lands of some of the staunchest Umayyad
allies, the family of the former governor Ziyād b. Abīhi (al-Balādhurī, Ansāb, ed. al-Dūrī,
The information about the land grants in al-Baladhuri’s list becomes very thin after the Abbasids’ rise to power. We only hear of some large scale projects by the governor Sulaymān b. ‘Ali and the caliph al-Manṣūr, in whose reign the last two main canals of the west bank of the Dijla al-‘Awrā‘ were dug (the Nahr al-Amīr and Nahr Abī al-Khaṣīb). According to Morony, this decrease of the reclamation effort may have had to do with the “development reach[ing] the point of diminishing return” by the end of the second/eighth century. Our discussion of Ibn Sarābiyūn’s description of the canals of Basra seems to confirm and flesh out Morony’s hypothesis, since we found it is likely that most of the land on the west bank of the Dijla al-‘Awrā‘ had been reclaimed by the end of the second/eighth century. An alternative reason for the decrease of post-Umayyad land grants in the list could be that al-Baladhuri’s sources (most of whom died in the first half of the third/ninth century) did not comment on more recent developments, and al-Baladhuri’s text gives us a false impression of a slump in the reclamation effort.

5 The Problem of the Work Force and al-Ṭabarī’s Account of the Revolt of the Zanj

The lands that were developed by the Muslims on the west bank of the Dijla al-‘Awrā‘ appear to have been dead land, which means they had been abandoned since time immemorial. Since peasants were probably attached to the soil they tilled in Sasanian Iraq, this implies that there was also no work force available in the area. One of the important questions is thus: where did the new landholding elite find the workforce to work this huge area of newly reclaimed land? They surely did not work the land with their own hands. There is also no evidence of less fortunate Arabs settling in the Sawād as farm workers in the wake of the conquest. As for the local people (al-Nabaṭ): it seems likely that many peasants were displaced as a consequence of the monster floods in 628 that ravaged a large part of the southern Sawād, combined with the chaos

124 ff.). See also the Umayyad estates around Medina being confiscated at the Abbasid takeover as discussed by Harry Munt in this volume.

64 Morony, “Social Change,” 217.
65 al-Qaḥdhamī d. ca. 222/837, al-Madā‘īnī ca. 225/840, Hīshām b. al-Kalbī ca. 225/840, Abū ‘Ubayda ca. 229/842, al-Athram ca. 261/875, Muḥammad b. Sa‘d ca. 233/845, Rūḥ b. ‘Abd al-Mu‘min ca. 234/849, Abū al-Yaqẓān ca. 238/850, al-Dallāl al-ʿAqawī ca. 239/850; see Khayral-Dīn al-Ziriklī, al-Aʿlām (Beirut: Dār al-ʿilm li-al-malāyīn, 1980) and ʿUmar Kaḥḥāla, Muḥjam al-Muʾallīfīn (Damascus: Muʿassasat al-risāla, 1957) under the relevant headings.
created by the conquest. Some of these may have fled southwards, and they and their offspring may have been employed in the lands of the Basra area that were reclaimed in the following decades. Apart from the peasants that were bound to the ground, we know there were also groups of landless farm workers, who roamed the land in search of work (akara, hawāṣid), who might have been brought to the reclaimed lands. We do not have any specific evidence for this kind of labor migration to the Basra area, though.

Another promising group of possible laborers are prisoners of war. The Sasanians routinely transported captives deeply into their empire to use them as labor force or for their specialized knowledge (e.g. waterworks, textiles). This practice was not unknown to the early Muslims. After the Riḍḍa wars, which brought all the Arabian tribes under the authority of the Muslims in the years immediately following Muḥammad’s death, thousands of prisoners of war were employed in an estate of Mu’awiya in al-Yamāma. Another promising group of possible laborers are prisoners of war. The Sasanians routinely transported captives deeply into their empire to use them as labor force or for their specialized knowledge (e.g. waterworks, textiles). This practice was not unknown to the early Muslims. After the Riḍḍa wars, which brought all the Arabian tribes under the authority of the Muslims in the years immediately following Muḥammad’s death, thousands of prisoners of war were employed in an estate of Mu’awiya in al-Yamāma.66

Anastasius of Sinai (d. after 700) also refers to Christian prisoners of wars employed in forced agricultural labor on public lands in the Dead Sea area. But again, there is no direct evidence for the settling of large amounts of captives as agricultural laborers in lower al-ʿIrāq.

Two groups of imported laborers are, however, known from the sources in the Basra area. The first consist of the Sayābija and Zuṭṭ from Sind (present-day Pakistan). These appear to have been introduced into the marshes of lower Iraq

66 While we have reports on fugitives from battles and on Persian aristocrats fleeing the cities they lived in (Michael Morony, “The Effects of the Muslim Conquest on the Persian Population of Iraq,” Iran 14 (1976): 48–49), there is no direct evidence of large-scale land flight in the area during the conquest. Such evidence is available for a later period, under al-Ḥajjāj, who seems to have engaged in a number of draconian ways to force farmers to stay on or go back to their lands. For the dramatic effects of the Tigris shift on the population, see Verkinderen, Waterways, 54.

67 Kurt Franz, Kompilation in arabischen Chroniken: die Überlieferung vom Aufstand der Zangī zwischen Geschichtlichkeit und Intertextualität vom 9. bis im 15. Jahrhundert (Berlin: De Gruyter, 2004), 42.

68 For example, the textile industry (e.g. al-Masʿūdī, Murağ al-dhahab, ed. and trans. Charles Barbier de Meynard and Pavet de Courteille (Paris: Imprimérie Impériale, 1861–1877), 2:186) and the dams of Khūzistān (e.g. al-Yaʿqūbī, Tārīkh (Beirut: Dār Ṣādir, 1960), 1:159) were often credited to captives from the Roman Empire resettled by Sasanian kings.

69 al-Balādhurī, Ansāb, 7:173.

70 See Robert Hoyland, “New Documentary Texts and the Early Islamic State,” Bulletin of the School of Oriental and African Studies 69, no. 3 (2006): 492, n. 37. See also Robert Hoyland, Seeing Islam as Others Saw it (Princeton: Darwin Press, 1997), 596. n. 9 for more Christian and epigraphic sources mentioning large numbers of prisoners of war taken by the Muslims during the conquests.
already in the Sasanian period, and after the Islamic conquest of Sind, thousands of Zuṭṭ were brought to Iraq, where al-Ḥajjāj settled them in the reeds of al- Баṭīha. Yazid b. al-Muhallab used a large number of them, together with their water buffaloes which had accompanied them, to reclaim his immense estates in al- Баṭāʾiḥ. They revolted a number of times, and were deported to the Byzantine border.71 With their water buffaloes they would have been perfectly capable of reclaiming marshland and/or cultivating water-intensive crops like rice and sugar cane.

The other group consists of black slaves, called Zanj, who were first mentioned in the Basra district in 70/689, when they staged a revolt in Furāt al- Baṣra, on the east bank of the Dijla al-ʿAwrāʾ. These black slaves were apparently employed in a different kind of reclamation. They had to remove (kasaha, lit. “sweep”) the salt crust (shuraj) from the salt flats (sabkha, sibakh) that were located on both sides of the Dijla al-ʿAwrāʾ. Kurt Franz made the interesting observation that the Zanj are never depicted as cultivating the estates they reclaimed. Perhaps the owners of the estates employed one of the other groups mentioned above to till the ground the Zanj had reclaimed.72 Their employers, city folk from Basra, were called shurajyyūn, “salt-men,” and made their slaves work the salt flats in horrible conditions. After two more unsuccessful rebellions in 75/694 and 143/760, they rose up a fourth time in 255/870. This time, the revolt was led by a Persian man who claimed ʿAlid descent, and lasted for many years. The Zanj defeated army after army that first the Basrans and then the caliph sent against them, conquered most of lower Khūzistan, Basra and al- Baṭāʾiḥ, and plundered the city of Basra. They were finally defeated after a 15-year long struggle that ravaged the entire area of Basra, which would never fully recover.73

The episode of the great Zanj rebellion in the third/ninth century is not only interesting because of its far-reaching consequences for the welfare of the region, but also because a very detailed account of the revolt is conserved in al-Ṭabarī’s History.74 Al-Ṭabarī’s account, which is based on a memoir writ-

---

71 For a recent full overview of the sources on the Zuṭṭ and Sayābijā in al-ʿIrāq, see Franz, Kompilation, 44–46.
72 Franz, 52.
73 Alexandre Popovic, “La révolte des esclaves en Iraq au IIIe et IVe siècle” (PhD diss., Librairie Orientaliste Paul Geuthner, 1976) has long been the standard work on the revolt of the Zanj, but has now been largely superseded by Kurt Franz’s incisive study. See also Hugh Kennedy, “Caliphs and their Chroniclers in the Middle Abbasid Period (Third/Ninth Century),” in Texts, Documents, and Artefacts: Islamic Studies in Honour of D.S. Richards, eds. Donald S. Richards and Chase F. Robinson (Leiden: Brill, 2003), 18–35.
74 al-Ṭabarī, Taʾrīkh, 3:1742–211.
ten by one of the confidants of the leader of the Zanj, gives us the most detailed description of a rural area extant in the whole of early Islamic literature. Especially the first two months of the revolt are described on an almost hour-to-hour basis, apart from a lacuna of about fourteen days, “during which [the Lord of the Zanj did] nothing spectacularly horrible considering the fact that everything he did was horrible,"75 and a few minor chronological breaks.76 We can follow the lord of the Zanj, 'Ali b. Muḥammad, on his journey through much of the east bank of the Dijla al-‘Awrā’, raising support among the black slaves, capturing their overseers, and fighting the first local armies trying to stop them. Subsequently, we see them cross the Dijla al-‘Awrā’ and march from the southernmost canal, Nahr al-Qindal, up to the city of Basra. The story is filled with geographical details that give us many clues about the geographical situation of the area as it was in the mid-third/ninth century, i.e., more than 50 years after the last qaṭīʿa mentioned in al-Balādhurī’s work.

There is no place here to go deeply into the layout of the canals. We will limit ourselves to some remarks. First, the main canals mentioned by Ibn Sarābiyūn are also found in al-Ṭabarī’s text. Apart from these canals, al-Ṭabarī’s account mentions dozens of canals that do not figure in any other source. Some of these branched off from the Dijla al-‘Awrā’ as the main canals did, others linked the main canals together. Especially the account of the final chapter of the Zanj revolt,77 the siege of their capital al-Mukhtāra (located on Nahr Abī al-Khaṣīb on the west bank of the Dijla al-‘Awrā’), sketches a veritable mesh of small canals that crisscrossed the area between the main canals.

The presence of fixed bridges (qanāṭir) across many of the canals78 suggest a developed road system, at least parallel to the Dijla al-‘Awrā’. The banks of the river were lined with palm groves79 – as they still are today –, and behind these, there were cultivated fields along the canals, but also salt flats (sībākh).80

75 al-Ṭabarī, 3:1765.
76 See Peter Verkinderen, “Tigris, Euphrates, Kārūn, Karkhe, Jarrāhī: Tracking the Traces of 5 Rivers in Lower al-‘Irāq and Khūzistān in the Early Islamic Period,” (PhD diss., University of Gent, 2009), 218–233 and 441–456. Of course, it cannot be excluded that this flawless chronological narrative is a fabrication by the author; but at least a part of the route of the Zanj can be verified through a comparison with al-Ṭabarī’s description of the battle of Maskin (ibid. 231f.).
77 al-Ṭabarī, Taʾrīkh, 3:1982–2098.
78 al-Ṭabarī, 3:1760, 1763, 1779f., 2032, 2046 (but contrast with al-Ṭabarī, 3:1865).
79 al-Ṭabarī, 3:1776, 1769, 1775, 1785, 1834, 1994, 2030, 2059, 2095.
80 al-Ṭabarī, 3:1761–1763, 1773, 1776, 1786, 1872, 1994.
areas that had not been brought under cultivation, and reed marshes (ājām, sg. ajama), probably areas where the water from the canals that had not been used up for irrigation gathered.

Few of the names of the canals can be linked with persons we know from the sources, but the presence of the Abbasids (most often called Hāshimīs in the text) as landholders appears to be very strong, especially on the east bank of the river. One of the canals on the east bank was called al-ʿAbbāsī al-ʿAtīq, “the old Abbasid (canal)”. On the al-Sīb canal was the village al-Jaʿfariyya, named after a Hāshimī, Jaʿfar b. Sulaymān, who must be the son of the patriarch of Basra’s Abbasid governors’ family, Sulaymān b. ‘Ali. This Jaʿfar himself was also governor of Basra for a short time in 176/792. The lord of the Zanj spent the night in Jaʿfar b. Sulaymān’s house, in a second house belonging to a Hāshimi they found a weapons cache, and the Zanj also captured a mawlā of the Zaynabi family, the most powerful branch of the same Abbasid family. In two other villages a bit further to the south, al-Qādisiyya and Shifiyya, the Zanj captured another mawlā of the Hāshimīs. The east bank canal ‘Amūd Ibn al-Munajjim was named after one of the descendants of the astrologer (munajjim) Mūsā b. Shākir, a protégé of al-Maʿmūn. Two of Mūsā b. Shākir’s sons were involved in the digging of the canals of al-Mutawakkil’s new city al-Jaʿfariyya near Sāmarrā. Another east bank canal was named after al-Ḥasan b. Muḥammad b. Abī Shawārib, the chief judge of Iraq, appointed in the year 252/866, only three years before the outbreak of the revolt. The Nahr Bard al-Khiyār was named after Muḥammad b. ‘Ali Bard al-Khiyār, who was in charge of the dīwān al-ḍiyā’, the ministry of estates, at the time of or just before the revolt. A canal on the east bank of the Tigris was named al-Khayzurāniyya, after the Abbasid caliph al-Mahdī’s wife, al-Khayzurān (d. 173/789), and another one, very close to it, derived its name
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81 al-Ṭabarī, 3:2093, 2095.
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87 Donald R. Hill, “Mūsā, banū,” in Encyclopaedia of Islam, 2nd ed. (Leiden: Brill), http://dx.doi.org/10.1163/1573-3912.islam_SIM_5557.
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91 al-Ṭabarī, 3:847, 1860 f.
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from her secretary ‘Umar b. Mihrān.\textsuperscript{93} Abū Manṣūr al-Zaynabī, the most powerful scion of the Abbasid family in Basra at the outbreak of the Zanj rebellion, had a castle with a garrison on the bank of the Nahr al-Qindal, the southernmost main canal on the west bank.\textsuperscript{94} This is the only “new” west bank canal related to the Abbasids I can identify in al-Ṭabarī’s account. The most telling sign of the heavy involvement of the Abbasids in the land reclamation and landholding is, however, al-Ṭabarī’s note that the leader of the Zanj on the eve of the revolt settled in a castle on the east bank of the Dijla al-ʿAwrā’, and in order not to raise any suspicion, gave out he was an agent of the sons of caliph al-Wāthiq, responsible for the sale of \textit{sibākh}.\textsuperscript{95} This cover only makes sense if the caliphal family was heavily involved in the reclamation effort.

It is thus obvious that the grants of \textit{qaṭāʾiʿ} had not stopped after the Abbasid takeover. The grantees we can identify are closely related to the Abbasid inner circle. One notable difference with the Umayyad period appears to be that the Abbasids apparently tended to grant lands in the Basra area not only to local powerhouses, but also to important figures in the central government in Baghdad and Sāmarrā’. And a second important difference is the fact that almost all of these new Abbasid land grants we identified in al-Ṭabarī’s account appear to have been located on the east bank of the river. This supports our suggestion that the decrease of the land grants on the west bank of the Dijla al-ʿAwrā’ in the early Abbasid period was related to the fact that most of the profitable lands of the west bank of the river had already been reclaimed. This does not mean that reclamation did not continue on the west bank at this time. At least in two places, al-Ṭabarī relates how the lord of the Zanj captured numbers of Zanj, “slaves of the \textit{shūrajīyyūn},” from their owners in the area south of Basra at the beginning of the revolt.\textsuperscript{96}

\section{Conclusion: Self-Enrichment vs. State Control}

To conclude this paper, we will consider some questions related to the balance between “state” and “private” investment in the reclamations in the region around Basra.

\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{93} ‘Umar b. Mihrān functioned as al-Khayzurān’s secretary until he was appointed governor of Egypt in 176/792–793 (see al-Ṭabarī, \textit{Taʾrīkh}, 3:626 f.).
\item \textsuperscript{94} al-Ṭabarī, 3:1774.
\item \textsuperscript{95} al-Ṭabarī, 3:1754.
\item \textsuperscript{96} al-Ṭabarī, 3:1773 f., 1775 f.
\end{itemize}
State investment in land reclamation is defined in this paper as irrigation and drainage works that are (1) entirely paid for (from taxes) and/or carried out by the state (e.g., through corvée work or other types of forced labor, e.g., by slaves or prisoners) and (2) result in the creation of state land, all proceeds of the cultivation of which go directly to the state (after the deduction of the share of sharecroppers, managers, etc.). If land reclaimed by an individual becomes as a result his private property (mulk) that can be sold and inherited, and the state only receives a return on the individual’s investment through the ‘ushr tax paid by the owner, I do not consider this a state project, but a “private” project, even if the owner in question is a state official. With the admittedly anachronistic term “private” investments, we thus refer to investments made by individuals, whatever their rank or position, primarily for their own profit, not for the state’s coffers (even if the state profited along by way of taxes).

We have seen that, unlike in other parts of the Sawād, the state does not seem to have engaged in such direct investments in the development of agriculture in the Basra area. There are no reports about governors or the central government reviving dead lands to create state lands, cultivated for the state. The only canals dug by the governors of Basra in their official function appear to be those that, according to the sources, were aimed at bringing sweet water to the city Basra (Nahr al-Ubulla, Nahr Ma'qil, Nahr Ibn ‘Umar). These drinking-water canals were not small aqueducts but some of the largest waterways in the area. They were obviously not only used to bring fresh water to the city. The lands along these canals were granted to private persons, and the area between the two main canals constructed to supply water to Basra, Nahr Ma'qil and Nahr al-Ubulla, became world famous because of its rich date palm gardens. It is not improbable that this creation of qaṭī'a land was at least as important a reason for the digging of these canals as improving the water quality of the inhabitants of Basra, even if this is not explicitly mentioned in the sources.

The line between private and state investment in the reclamation of the lands of Basra is blurred by the fact that most of the people who reclaimed lands
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97 For an overview of the canal digging activities in the Basra area, see Verkinderen, Waterways, 66–107.
98 E.g. al-İṣṭakhrî, Kitāb al-Masālik wa-al-mamālik, ed. Michael Jan de Goeje (Leiden: Brill, 1879), 81; al-Muqaddasi, Aḥsan al-taqāsim fi maˈrifat al-aqālîm, ed. Michael Jan de Goeje (Leiden: Brill, 1887), 35; al-İdrîsî, Nuzhat al-Mushtâq, ed. Enrico Cerulli et al. (Napoli/ Roma: Istituto Universitario Orientale, 1970), 384; al-Dimashiqi, Nukhabat al-dahr, ed. August Ferdinand Mehrere as: Cosmographie de Chems-ed-Din Abou Abdallah Mohammed ed-Dinichqui (St.-Petersburg: Académie Royale des Sciences, 1923), 97; Abû al-Fidas, Taqwīm al-buldân, ed. Joseph Toussaïnt Reinaud and William McGuckin de Slane as: Géographie d’Aboufeda (Paris: Imprimerie Royale, 1840), 56.
in the area were high Umayyad and Abbasid officials. The relationship between land ownership and important government positions is not linear, since almost all estates were reclaimed by people close to power, while the class of land owners created by the land grants and reclamations became the very elite from which most of the officials of the (provincial) government were chosen.

A crucial question remains, namely who initiated the land reclamations, private persons wanting to make money by investing in agriculture, or the state, wanting to maximize its tax income? Difficult to answer, there are some clues that point in the direction of the primacy of private initiative. According to al-Balādhurī, the first person in Basra to receive a land grant was Ziyād’s half brother Nāfi’ b. al-Ḥārith al-Thaqafi, who had asked the caliph ‘Umar for a piece of land located along the Dijla al-‘Awrā in order to raise horses.99 Moreover, there was a debate among the jurists about the question if dead land could be reclaimed without the permission of the authorities. The Shāfi‘ī school adhered to the opinion that it was allowed, while Abū Ḥanīfa, always in favor of giving the ruler the ultimate right of choice,100 considered it forbidden.101 That the reclamation of dead land took place more often without than with permission, is suggested by a quote of Ibn Shubruma,102 mentioned by al-Balādhurī:

If I were governor of Basra, I would confiscate the property of its inhabitants, because (the second caliph) ‘Umar b. al-Khaṭṭāb only granted land in Basra to Abū Bakra and Nāfi’ b. al-Ḥārith, and (the next caliph) ‘Uthmān only to ‘Imrān b. Ḥuṣayn, (the governor of Basra) Ibn ‘Āmir – to whom he gave his house – , and his mawlā Ḥumrān (b. Abān).103

Al-Balādhurī even mentions a number of cases in which private persons reclaimed land for themselves in an area that was granted to a third person by the caliph (e.g. al-Marghāb and Nahr Yazid).104 The jurists unanimously agree that even if someone has already started the reclamation but has not finished it (e.g., the boundaries have been marked but the land has not been drained yet), someone else may still start working on the land, and if the second person

99 al-Balādhurī, Futūḥ, 350f.
100 Confront, for example, Abū Ḥanīfa’s ideas about the division of the ghanīma (al-Māwardi, Aḥkām, 237f.) or the lawful receivers of an iqtā’ istighlāl (al-Māwardi, Aḥkām, 337) with those of al-Shāfi‘ī (d. 204/820) and Mālik (d. 179/796).
101 al-Māwardi, Aḥkām, 308, 330.
102 ‘Abd Allāh b. Shubruma, qāḍī of Kūfā, d. 144/761, see al-Dhahabi, Siyār a’lām al-nubalā’, ed. Shu’ayb al-Arna’ūṭ (Damascus and Beirut: Mu’assasat al-risāla, 2001), 6:347–349.
103 al-Balādhurī, Futūḥ, 351.
104 al-Balādhurī, 364f.
completes the reclamation, the land becomes his property, and the first person loses his rights to it. One wonders if it is more than a coincidence that only in a small minority of the entries in al-Balādhurī’s list of canals, land grants and estates a granter is mentioned. Is this perhaps because many of these estates were reclaimed by people without permission? On the other hand, al-Balādhurī also mentions a case in which a mawlā of Ziyād b. Abihi forged a document stating that a grant had been awarded to him by Yazīd b. Muʿāwiya. Perhaps the jurists’ near-consensus about the legality of reclaiming dead lands without permission of the ruler is a later (post-Umayyad?) development, regularizing a widespread practice.

On another level, even if the initial impetus for the reclamation of dead lands is to be found in the initiative of private entrepreneurs, the fact that reclaimed lands paid only the ʿushr tax can be interpreted as a fiscal stimulus created to encourage private reclamation of land, a tax cut for investment in agricultural development, as it were. The state thus appears to have fostered investments in irrigation projects in two ways: by granting dead lands to its supporters, and by offering a favorable tax arrangement to people who reclaimed land.

It could also be argued that by bringing these lands under cultivation, under the ownership of its supporters, the state established a strong control over the countryside of Basra. However, if this was a goal of state policy, it backfired spectacularly in the mid-third/late ninth century, when the revolt of the Zanj slaves, brought in by the investors, devastated the area and Basra never really recovered.
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