Histological and Histomorphometric Study of the Effect of Strontium Ranelate on the Healing of One-Wall Intrabony Periodontal Defects in Dogs
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Abstract

Background: Periodontal regeneration aims at the restitution of supporting periodontal tissues lost due to periodontal diseases. With an aim of improving bone regeneration, strontium ranelate and related compounds were developed and have become increasingly popular in osteoporosis treatment. The aim of the present study was to evaluate the effect of the strontium ranelate 2% gel on the regeneration of the surgically created intrabony defect in dogs.

Methods: One-wall intrabony defects (4 × 6 mm) were surgically created in the mesial aspect of second premolars bilaterally (split mouth study) in 10 dogs. Each intrabony defect underwent one of two treatment modalities: placebo gel (methyl cellulose gel)/collagen sponge (control site, group I) or strontium ranelate gel/collagen sponge (experimental site, group II). The animals were sacrificed with an overdose of anesthesia at 3 months and block sections of the defects were collected for histological and histometric analysis.

Results: Clinical healing progressed uneventfully in general, no visible adverse reactions. Strontium treated group (group II) demonstrated a significantly higher regeneration of the attachment apparatus in the form of newly formed bone, cementum and little amount of connective tissue adhesion (3.89 ± 0.09, 3.42 ± 0.75, 0.27 ± 0.02 respectively) than the control group (1.17 ± 0.63, 1.75 ± 0.07, 1.26 ± 0.05 respectively) (P<0.001). There was also significant differences between the two treatment modalities in the amount of epithelial down growth where control treated group show significant amount of epithelial down growth (P<0.01).

Conclusion: It was concluded that, strontium ranelate 2% gel appears to be safe and may support periodontal wound healing/regeneration in intrabony periodontal defects without complications.
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Introduction

The ultimate goal of periodontal therapy is not only the removal of the etiologic factors but also the regeneration of destroyed periodontal tissue [1]. Therapeutic approaches involve various modalities to arrest progression of periodontal tissue destruction, as well as regenerative techniques intended to restore structures destroyed during the disease process [2].

Strontium ranelate (SrRan) and related compounds have become increasingly popular in preventing and treating osteoporosis [3]. In vitro studies revealed that SrRan has an anabolic and antiresorptive activity, which increase both the collagen and non-collagen protein synthesis [4,5], enhance pre-osteoblast differentiation [6,7], inhibit osteoclast differentiation, and function [8,9].

In normal adult mice, SrRan (200–1800 mg/kg/day, 104 weeks) administration increased vertebral bone formation and decreased bone resorption, which resulted in increased bone mass [10]. The administration of SrRan in normal rats (225–900 mg/kg/day, i.e., 0.88 to 3.51 mmol Sr/kg/day, 104 weeks) increased trabecular thickness and number and decreased trabecular separation in the tibial metaphysis, indicating that SrRan increased bone formation and decreased bone resorption at the metaphyseal bone level. This positive effect of SrRan on bone mass is associated with increased, plasma alkaline phosphatase activity, which is compatible with a bone-forming activity of SrRan [11].

Additionally, SrRan exerts beneficial effects on bone mass and strength as observed by Buehler et al. [12] in normal adult monkeys (Macaca fascicularis) where SrRan (0.39–2.91 mmol Sr/kg/day, 6 months) was found to decrease bone resorption in the alveolar bone, an active site of bone remodeling, as assessed by histomorphometric analysis of osteoclast surface and number.

The purpose of this study was to evaluate the regenerative potential of SrRan on the treatment of one wall-intrabony defect in dogs.

Materials and Methods

Animal selection

A total of 10 adult male mongrel dogs weighing from 20-25 kg were selected from Physiology Department, Faculty of Medicine, Tanta University to be used in this study. The animal had intact dentition with a healthy periodontium. The animals were fed a soft diet throughout the study to reduce the chance of mechanical interference with healing during food intake.
Gel preparation

Seven grams of methyl cellulose powder were dissolved in 100 ml of boiled water to make the methyl cellulose gel. 2 gram strontium ranelate powder (Les Laboratoires Servier Industrie, 905, route de Saran, 45520 Gidy France) was dissolved in water and mixed into the methyl cellulose gel to make the SR gel that was sterilized in the autoclave at 110°C for 20 minutes.

Surgical protocol

The animals were anaesthetized using sodium thiopental I.V (12 mg/kg). The surgical sites were disinfected with 10% povidone-iodine solution 1% titratable iodine. Bilateral mandibular first premolars were extracted prior to experimental surgery and the extraction sites were allowed to heal for 2 months. After healing buccal mucoperiosteal flaps were elevated, and one-wall intrabony defects (4 × 6mm) were surgically created with burs in the mesial aspect of second premolars bilaterally (split mouth study) (Figure 1). Two reference notches were created with a round bur on the root surfaces one at crest of the alveolar bone (N1) and another one at the base of the defect (N2). The root surfaces were carefully scaled, planned, flushed with sterile saline, and then dried with sterile gauze.

Each intrabony defect underwent one of two treatment modalities: placebo gel (methyl cellulose gel/collagen sponge (control site group I) or strontium ranelate gel/collagen sponge (experimental site group II). A sterile collagen sponge was cut into 4 × 4 mm, and soaked in 1 ml of strontium ranelate gel, or placebo gel according to the treatment modality. The soaked sponges were fitted into the created defects, and closure of the wound areas were performed by interrupted interdental suture. All of the surgical procedures were done by the same clinicians (E.E. & M.S.).

Postsurgical care

After the operative procedures the dogs received Acupan I.M every 12 hours for pain control for 2 days. Intramuscular administration of antibiotics, Tetracycline HC (Terramycine retard 125 mg intramuscular) in the first two days postoperatively after that mixed with dog's food for 7 days. The dogs were kept in separate cages, and a daily topical application of 0.12% CHX solution during the healing period was performed. The animals were observed daily until suture removal and at least twice weekly thereafter.

Histopathologic examination

The animals were sacrificed with an overdose of anesthesia for histological examinations and histometric analysis at 3 months post-operatively.

The treated experimental mandibular teeth (20 defects) with the surrounding bone were dissected free mesially and distally, fixed in 10% formalin for 10 days, decalcified in EDTA, dehydrated in ascending grades of ethanol (70%, 80%, 90%, 100%) and cleared with xylene. Specimens were then kept in paraffin wax. Paraaffin-embedded tissues were serially cut mesio-distally with rotary microtome at 5 µm thickness. The sections were then placed on glass slides and incubated overnight at 60°C, after which they were rehydrated in xylene, rinsed in ethanol, and then water. The slides were stained with basic hematoxylin stain and counterstained with eosin for contrast and evaluation.

For histometric analysis

The histometric analysis included the study of 10 sections in each group using the image analysis software (Image ware, Image 1.3-1b, USA). The histologic sections were photographed and digitized with a light microscope (Olympus BX50, Optical Co. LTD, Japan) coupled to a digital camera (C5060WZ, Sony Electronic Inc., Tokyo, Japan), at a lens magnification of ×25 that’s connected to a monitor and a personal computer, using the crest of the alveolar bone and the root notch as reference points [13] (Figure 2). The histometric parameters were:

- Linear measurements were performed after verification of anatomical landmarks at high magnification, and by outlining the borders of the various structures with a mouse cursor.
- J: Olympus BX50, Optical Co. LTD, Japan J: C5060WZ, Sony Electronic Inc., Tokyo, Japan
- Figure 2: Schematic graph representing parameters for histometric analysis
- NBH=New Bone Height, cNB= coronal extension of the newly formed cementum, cNC= coronal extension of Newly formed Bone, DH=Defect Height, JE= Junctional Epithelial, NC=New Cementum, NBA=New Bone area.
- The Defect Height (DH): the distance between the base of the coronal notch (alveolar crest) and the base of the apical notch in millimetres.
- Functional Epithelial Migration (EDG): the distance from the base of the coronal notch (alveolar bone crest) to the apical extension of the junctional epithelium.
- Cementum Regeneration (NC): the distance from the base apical notch to the coronal extension of newly formed cementum on the root surface.
- Alveolar Bone Regeneration (NB): the distance from the base of the apical notch to the coronal extension of the newly formed alveolar bone.
- Connective Tissue Adhesion (CT): the distance from the apical extension of the junctional epithelium to the coronal extension of the new cementum.

All of the histopathological and histometric studies were done
by the same histopathologist who was masked to the treatment rendered.

**Statistical analysis**

The means and standard deviations of the experimental and control data (n=10) were obtained from the measurements taken from the central section of each defect. The Wilcoxon signed-rank test was used to compare the experimental and control data using a statistical software program SPSS ver. 17.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA), with the level of significance set at 5%.

**Results**

**Histologic observations**

Clinical healing progressed uneventfully in general, no visible adverse reactions including root exposure, infection and suppuration were observed until the time point of sacrifice 3 months after surgery.

Three months postoperatively shows nearly complete periodontal regeneration with a marked amount of newly formed bone and cementum connecting with newly regenerated functionally oriented PDL groups of fibers (PDL) were observed only in the experimental group (Figures 3 and 4). A thicker granulation tissue covers the regenerated bone, woven bone and osteoid tissue are seen in the granulation tissue proximal to the newly regenerated bone (Figures 3 and 4). New cementum grew prominently along the root surface in the strontium treated group compared to the control treated group, its thickness was thicker at the notch area, becoming thin coronally (Figure 3a), in another section the newly formed cementum is uniformly thick all over the defect (Figure 4).

Whereas control treated specimens showed limited bone regeneration reaching slightly above the notch (N2) with poor-oriented periodontal fibers were observed. A newly regenerated flat interdental papilla containing disoriented interlacing connective tissue fiber and PDL fibers covers the regenerated bone, the surface of the regenerated papilla is epithelized (Figure 6). Epithelial down growth along the root was also observed in the majority of specimens (Figure 5). Root resorption was observed only in one specimen of the control treated group (Figure 6).

**Histometric analysis**

The histometric results of Defect Height (DH), Epithelial Down Growth (EDG), New Cementum (NC), New Bone (NB) and
Connective Tissue Attachment (CTA), are given in Table 1 and Figure 7.

Data analysis showed no significant differences between the treatment groups regarding the initial defect height (5.82 ± 0.16, 5.79 ± 0.13 for group I & II respectively) (P=0.684).

The inter group analysis demonstrated that strontium treated group showed a superior and significant height of new bone, new cementum extension and little amount of connective tissue adhesion (3.89 ± 0.09, 3.42 ± 0.75, 0.27 ± 0.02 respectively) than the control group (1.17 ± 0.63, 1.75 ± 0.07, 1.26 ± 0.05 respectively) (P<0.001). Additionally, data analysis demonstrated significant differences between the treatment modalities in the length of the extension of the junctional epithelium along the root surface (0.87 ± 0.46, 0.0 ± 0.0 for group I & II respectively) (P<0.01) (Table 1).

### Discussion

The use of different regenerative approaches in the treatment of periodontal defects has demonstrated a variable clinical result [14,15]. Some techniques have been used in association, aiming to increase their effect on periodontal regeneration [16,17].

Recently, strontium ranelate anti-osteoporotic drug shows considerable interest in investigations looking to improve implant osseointegration [18]. It has been shown to prevent bone loss by maintaining bone formation at a high level and inhibiting bone resorption [3]. Furthermore, treatment with SrRan is not associated with osteonecrosis of the jaw; this represents a distinct advantage over bisphosphonate [18].

Since histological evaluation remains the only reliable method to determine the efficacy of periodontal therapies [19], therefore, the present study was employed in an attempt to evaluate the healing of surgically created intrabony periodontal defects in dogs after treatment with strontium ranelate 2% gel in a collagen sponge histological and histomorphometrically.

It is well accepted that healing of intrabony defects is positively correlated to the number of bone walls limiting the defect. One and three wall intrabony defects appear to be reproducible models to evaluate candidate technologies for periodontal regeneration [20].

The box-type one-wall intrabony defect model in dogs is a well-established model and has been used to evaluate the effect of particular biomaterials on periodontal regeneration [20,21]. Therefore, in this study, one-wall defects with only an interproximal bony wall which had minimal self-healing capacities were used. The standardized surgical defect size in acute models allows equal conditions for healing. In addition, this defect is less time and money consuming [22]. Reference notches (N1&N2) were made at the cemento-enamel junction and at the base of defect respectively to act as a guide for histologic evaluation. Furthermore, this model is suitable for investigation of new material, drugs or substances to determine their safety and establish the risk of adverse reactions during periodontal repair process [23].

Isidor et al. [24] demonstrated that, there is no difference regarding the reformation of connective tissue attachment whether this has been lost because of periodontal disease or mechanically removed (acute defect). To apply gel phase strontium into one-wall intrabony defect, a carrier is required; therefore, collagen sponge was used as a carrier. Its fibrillar mesh-work structure makes it a conductive scaffold for colonization by host cells from periodontal ligament, and it also acts as a chemotactic to periodontal ligament cells [25,26].

In order to obtain the desired results, the necessary minimum observation period needs to be determined. It was reported that at approximately 6 weeks, a considerable amount of new bone fill was obtained [27]. Choi et al. [28], reported that no differences in bone regeneration by Bone Morphogenic Protein (BMP) were noted between an 8- and 24 week interval, hence in this study we selected 12 weeks to evaluate the healing.

In this study, we observed that the use of a SrRan did not cause any undesirable reaction, showing biocompatibility with both connective and bone tissues. No foreign body reactions indicating toxicity were observed, which confirmed the safety of SrRan.

Strontium treated group showed an excellent healing that exhibit new bone, cementum and functionally oriented periodontal ligament as compared to the control treated group. Strontium treated group showed an excellent healing that exhibit new bone, cementum and functionally oriented periodontal ligament as compared to the control group. This was confirmed by the histomorphometric findings that demonstrated that the sites treated with strontium showed bigger amounts of new bone and cementum (3.89 ± 0.09, 3.42 ± 0.75 respectively) when compared to the control sites (1.17 ± 0.63, 1.75 ± 0.07 respectively).

This tremendous regeneration potential of strontium may be related to reduction of osteoclast number by regulating the Production of Osteoprotegerin (OPG) and Receptor Activator of Nuclear Factor K-B Ligand (RANKL). Low doses of SrRan were found to increase OPG expression and production and to decrease RANKL expression by osteoblasts in vitro [29,30].

Another possible mechanism by which SrRan may control osteoclast activity and life span is via activation of the Calcium-Sensing Receptor (CaSR). Strontium acts on the CaSR as a full agonist with lower affinity compared to calcium [31,32]. There is some evidence that osteoclast precursor cells and osteoclasts express the parathyroid CaSR [33,34]. Interestingly, this receptor mediates the increased osteoclast apoptosis induced by SrRan [35]. Finally, both calcium and strontium were found to act on osteoclast precursor cells via the CaSR [34,36].

| Tested parameters                  | Group I N=10 | Group II N=10 | Wilcoxon signed-rank test P-value |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|--------------|----------------------------------|
| Defect height                     | 5.82 ± 0.16 | 5.79 ± 0.13  | 0.684                            |
| Junction epithelial down growth   | 0.87 ± 0.46 | 0.0 ± 0.0    | 0.002**                          |
| Connective tissue adhesion        | 1.26 ± 0.05 | 0.27 ± 0.02  | 0.000***                         |
| New cementum                     | 1.75 ± 0.07 | 3.42 ± 0.75  | 0.000***                         |
| New bone                         | 1.17 ± 0.63 | 3.89 ± 0.09  | 0.000***                         |

Table 1: Histometric analysis of the measured parameters in the experimental (strontium ranelate gel) and control groups (mean ± standard deviation in mm).
In addition, strontium, decreased the expression of sclerostin, an osteocyte specific secreted protein that acts as a negative regulator of bone formation by inhibiting canonical Wnt signaling, hence strontium expected to increase canonical Wnt signalling that stimulates osteoblastic proliferation, differentiation and function [37]. Moreover, Gulhan et al. [38] showed that the treatment with strontium ranelate for 6 months results in increased serum IGF-1 levels, which may suggest that IGF-1 may be a mediator in the antiresorptive effect of SrRan.

In the present study, a regular layer of cementum could be observed on the root surface in the test defects (strontium treated group), with inhibition of apical migration of the junctional epithelium. This layer was thinner coronally, but there was insertion of functionally oriented connective tissue fibers indicating a new connective tissue attachment. The new insertion could be identified by the existence of cementum deposition with connective fibers functionally attached and consequent inhibition of apical migration of the junctional epithelium. This newly formed insertion was greater in the defects treated with strontium gel than in the control treated sites, which showed repair by long junctional epithelium and more connective tissue adhesion as indicated by histomorphometric measurements (0.87 ± 0.46).

This can be explained by the creation of space by collagen sponge allowing migration of periodontal ligament cells and bone cells on the denuded root surface which is necessary for periodontal regeneration [39]. Strontium ranelate may stimulate a rapid formation of a connective tissue seal that is supposed to have the ability to block the epithelium and more connective tissue adhesion as indicated by histomorphometric measurements. 6.

In conclusion, the strontium ranelate tested in this experiment had better healing potential than placebo (control) only. The strontium ranelate showed a promising inhibition of apical migration of the junctional epithelium and consequently the greater cementum deposition on the radicular surface of one-wall intrabony defects in dogs. Future investigations should evaluate this potential comparatively or together with other grafting materials, regenerative techniques and biological modifiers, as well as assess the longitudinal stability of the new attachment.
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