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Abstract

Results of recent EMC effect measurements and nuclear scaling measurements have both been attributed to local nuclear density effects and not properties of the bulk nuclear system. This lead us to the phenomenological observation that the ratio of the slopes in the $0.3 < x_B < 0.7$ EMC data scale as the ratio of the $x_B > 1$ nuclear scaling plateaus. Using this correlation, we developed a phenomenological relation which reproduces the general trends and features of the EMC effect for nuclei from $^3$He to $^{56}$Fe.

Introduction

In 1983, the European Muon Collaboration measured the deep-inelastic per nucleon cross section ratios of heavy nuclei to deuterium over a broad kinematic range \cite{1}. This ratio revealed an unexpected structure which was subsequently confirmed by SLAC \cite{2} and became known as the EMC effect. These cross section ratios are typically plotted as a function of the Bjorken scaling variable $x_B$ where $x_B = Q^2/2m\omega$ with $Q^2$ the four momentum transferred to the system, $\omega$ the energy transfer, and $m$ the mass of a proton. Plotted this way, there is a sharp rise
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for $x_B > 0.8$, a persistent dip around $x_B \sim 0.75$ and a gentle rise from $x_B$ from 0.7 to 0.3. These results have generated considerable experimental and theoretical interest, with the explanation for the effect generally attributed to a change in quark distributions in nuclei or persistent nuclear effects [3, 4].

New high-precision EMC effect experimental data on light nuclei from Jefferson Lab [5] suggest that the slope in the $0.3 < x_B < 0.7$ region is a local density effect and not a bulk property of the nuclear medium. At similar four-momentum transfers, data from SLAC and Hall B have shown that the $x_B > 1$ nuclear scaling plateaus, which are related to the high-momentum components of the nuclear wave function [6], are also a local density effect. With these two observations in mind, we noticed that the ratio of the slopes in the EMC $0.3 < x_B < 0.7$ data scale as the ratio of the $x_B > 1$ nuclear scaling plateaus.

Theory calculations have been done that use nucleon momentum distributions to try to reproduce the EMC effect [7, 8, 9, 10]. The work of Kumano and Close even showed that local nuclear density effects and quark rescaling models are compatible, but that more data was needed to see if a true correlation exists [7]. In this work, we have used the new nuclear scaling data as a phenomenological tool for determining the magnitude of the high-momentum part of the nucleon momentum distribution for various nuclei. We also make use of the observed dominance of initial-state quasi-deuteron correlations in nuclei [11, 12] to make a kinematic connection between the $x_B > 1$ scaling plateaus and the EMC $0.3 < x_B < 0.7$ slopes.

---

1By the Heisenberg uncertainty principle, $\Delta x \Delta p \geq \hbar/2$, the wide distribution of the high-momentum tail, $\Delta p$, implies a small local density, $\Delta x$, as compared to the narrower mean field momentum distribution.
Nuclear Scaling

In electron scattering, with \( x_B > 1 \) and \( Q^2 > 1 \) \( [GeV/c]^2 \), \( Q^2 \) independent plateaus have been observed in inclusive per-nucleon cross-section ratios. This inclusive nuclear scaling was first observed at SLAC \[13, 14, 15\] and subsequently by experiments at Jefferson Lab \[16, 17\]. In general, this scaling is attributed to initial-state nucleon-nucleon correlations causing the high-momentum tail in all nuclei. Assuming this is correct, then the magnitude of the nuclear scaling ratios give the relative strength of the nucleon-nucleon correlations in the various nuclei \[18, 19\].

Confirming this hypothesis, high-momentum recoiling nucleons have been observed in \(^{12}\text{C}\) two-nucleon knock-out experiments both with hadronic \[11\] and leptonic probes \[12, 20\]. The dominance of proton-neutron pairs to proton-proton pairs in these reactions has been attributed to initial-state tensor correlations as calculated using realistic nucleon-nucleon potentials \[21, 22, 23\].

The nuclear scaling plateaus in the \( x_B > 1 \) \((e,e')\) ratios have been experimentally shown to start when the magnitude of the minimum missing momentum, \( p_{\text{min}} \), of the D(e,e')pn reaction is greater than the Fermi momentum of \( \sim 250 \) MeV/c where \( p_{\text{min}} \) is the minimum initial momentum that the struck nucleon must have in order to produce an \( x_B > 1 \) final state. Remember, for scattering from a free nucleon, there can be no \( x_B > 1 \) values. To calculate \( p_{\text{min}} \) one uses conservation of energy and momentum for quasi-elastic scattering from the deuteron \( (q^\mu + p_d^\mu - p_r^\mu)^2 = m^2 \) where \( q^\mu, p_d^\mu \) and \( p_r^\mu \) are four momenta of the virtual photon, deuteron and recoil nucleon, respectively, along with the constraint that \( x_B = Q^2/2m_\omega \) \[18, 16, 19\].

The phase space mapped out by this function for various \( Q^2 \) is shown in Fig.1
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where the allowed nucleon momenta are negative for \( x_B > 1 \) and positive for \( x_B < 1 \). The curve gives the \( Q^2 \) dependent minimum kinematically allowed missing momentum, \( p_{\text{min}} \), for the D(e,e')pn reaction as given value of \( x_B \). As the initial-state involves a correlated pair of nucleons, there is a corresponding \( x_B < 1 \) value for every \( x_B > 1 \) value. For example, for a \( Q^2 = 10 \text{[GeV/c]}^2 \) the -0.25 GeV/c point at \( x_B = 1.3 \) is correlated with the 0.25 GeV/c point at \( x_B = 0.7 \). The areas of correspondence between the \( x_B > 1 \) scaling region and the correlated \( x_B < 1 \) region are labeled on Fig. I as the nuclear scaling and correlated regions, respectively.

The presence of flat, \( Q^2 \) independent plateaus in the \( x_B > 1 \) A(e,e')/D(e,e') nuclear scaling data simply indicates that the underlying functional form of the high-momentum distribution for various nuclei is only different by a scale factor. Thus, to a good approximation, one can use nuclear scaling results along with a realistic proton-neutron pair momentum distribution to calculate the absolute strength of the high-momentum tail for various nuclei. To do this, the Argonne v-18 potential [24] was used to calculate the deuteron’s nucleon momentum distribution, \( n_D(p) \), where the function was normalized such that 
\[
1 = 4\pi \int_0^{\infty} p^2 \times n_D(p) \times dp
\]
and the functional form of the high-momentum distribution, \( n_A(p) \), of nuclei A was approximated for \( p > 250 \text{ MeV/c} \) by
\[
n_A(p) = n_D(p) \times C^A
\tag{1}
\]
where \( C^A \) is the \( Q^2 \) independent \( x_B > 1 \) per-nucleon nuclear scaling ratio [8] which can be determined directly from experimental data [15, 16]. Thus, Eq. I allows a phenomenological calculation of the high-momentum distribution for any nucleus for which the nuclear scaling ratio has been measured. Since most of the new nuclear scaling data is taken as a ratio to \(^3\text{He}\) instead of D, we did need to use the
Figure 1: Phase space plot of allowed initial-state nucleon momenta for the D(e,e')pn reaction as a function of $x_B$ assuming the initial-state is a correlated pair of moving nucleons. The minimum possible momentum of the nucleons, $p_{\text{min}}$, negative for $x_B > 1$ and positive for $x_B < 1$, for $Q^2$ values of 4, 8, and 10 GeV$^2$ is shown as dotted, dashed and solid lines, respectively. The region between the red lines indicates the 250 MeV/c region of simple Fermi motion. Beyond the Fermi region for $x_B > 1$ is where inclusive nuclear scaling has been observed. The correlated region is simply the kinematics of the nuclear scaling region's partner nucleons, i.e. for any given negative nucleon momentum there must be a corresponding nucleon with a positive momentum so that the total initial-state momentum is zero.
calculated value of 2 to go from $^3$He to D [16, 17]; and have used nominal values of 2, 4, 4.8, 5.7 for the $^3$He, $^4$He, $^{12}$C, and $^{56}$Fe to deuterium scaling ratios, $C_A$ respectively.

**The EMC Effect**

For electron scattering on a free nucleon, such as the proton, the observed (e,e’) reaction can kinematically cover a range from $0 < x_B < 1$; but for nuclei with an atomic mass A, the range can go from $0 < x_B < A$. As a thought experiment, one can consider a one-dimensional quasi-deuteron initial state made up of nucleons moving with relative momentum of ±250 MeV/c. When probed in quasi-elastic kinematics at a $Q^2$ of 10 [GeV/c]$^2$, this initial state would yield two peaks, one at $x_B = 0.7$ and another at $x_B=1.3$. When that same initial-state is probed in deep-inelastic kinematics, it would produce two super-imposed spectrum, one starting at $x_B = 1.3$ (i.e. the maximum $x_B$ of one of the nucleons) and a second starting at $x_B = 0.7$. Thus, in this thought experiment, only one nucleon of the two can contribute to an observed cross section in the $x_B > 0.7$ region while both contribute to the $x_B < 0.7$ region.

By using the experimental result that high-momentum components of the initial-state are dominated by quasi-deuteron nucleon pairs [12], the correspondence between initial-state momenta and values of $x_B$ as shown in Fig. 1 for a deuteron can be used to make a general connection between the nuclear scaling region and the $0.3 < x_B < 0.7$ region. To do this, we simply assume that initial-state nucleons either contribute to the cross section or are in a high-momentum state, like in the thought experiment, that kinematically cannot contribute. Thus, for the
0.3 < x_B < 0.7 region the per-nucleon cross section ratio can be written as

\[ \frac{\sigma_A}{\sigma_D} = 1 - \frac{P_A(x_B)}{1 - P_D(x_B)} \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where \( P_A(x_B) \) is the \( x_B \) dependent fraction of the initial-state momentum distribution, \( n_A(p) \), that is kinematically forbidden to contribution to the cross section.

To calculate the \( P_A(x_B) \), we use the nucleon momentum distribution, \( n_A(p) \), from Eq. 1 and integrate for a given value of \( x_B \) from \( p_{min} \) to infinity

\[ P_A(x_B) = 2\pi \int_{p_{min}}^{\infty} p^2 \times n_A(p) \times dp \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where the function has been divide by two to count only the positive \( p_{min} \) contribution. The results of the integration for a \( Q^2 = 10 \) [GeV/c]² for various nuclei and values of \( x_B \) are shown in Table 1. For the \( x_B > 1 \) nuclear scaling plateau region we use

\[ \frac{\sigma_A}{\sigma_D} = C_A \]  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where the values of \( C_A \) are obtained from experimental data.

Figure 2 shows the result of Eq. 2 and Eq. 4 for a \( Q^2 = 10 \) [GeV/c]² for various nuclei. In order to have the function cover the entire range from 0.3 < \( x_B < 1.7 \), it has been assumed in the limit of high \( Q^2 \) that the simple Fermi motion can be ignored and a smooth interpolation can be made between the \( x_B = 0.7 \) and \( x_B = 1.3 \) nuclear scaling plateau, with the only constraint to the function being that the value at \( x_B = 0.8 \) equal the value at \( x_B = 0.7 \) to cause the known inflection around \( x_B = 0.75 \). The idea of simply connecting the regions with a smooth function is motivated by data where the typical dip around \( x_B = 1 \) in the quasi-elastic \( (e,e') \) ratios becomes less pronounced as \( Q^2 \) increases (see Fig. 5.17 of [17]). In fact, the BCDMS collaboration’s \( Q^2 = 50 \) [GeV/c]² carbon data follows a smooth exponential in the \( x_B \approx 1 \) region [25].
Table 1: The percent of $\mathcal{P}_A(x_B)$, the initial-state momentums fraction above $p_{min}$, for values of $x_B$ for the D(e,e')pn reaction and for $Q^2 = 10$ [GeV/c]$^2$. The Argonne v-18 nucleon-nucleon potential was used to generate the momentum distribution for the deuteron. For the other nuclei, the relation $n(k)_A = n(k)_d \times C^A$ is used where $C^A$ is the taken from $x_B > 1$ inclusive nuclear scaling ratios of $^3$He, $^4$He, $^{12}$C, and $^{56}$Fe to deuterium of 2, 4, 4.8 and 5.7, respectively [15, 16].

| $x_B$ | $|p_{min}|$ [MeV/c] | Deuteron [%] | $^3$He [%] | $^4$He [%] | $^{12}$C [%] | $^{56}$Fe [%] |
|-------|---------------------|-----------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 0.70  | 250                 | 2.5       | 5.0 | 10  | 12  | 14.3 |
| 0.68  | 275                 | 2.0       | 4.0 | 8.0 | 9.6 | 11.4 |
| 0.6   | 300                 | 1.6       | 3.2 | 6.4 | 7.7 | 9.1  |
| 0.5   | 370                 | 1.25      | 2.5 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 7.3  |
| 0.45  | 400                 | 1.1       | 2.2 | 4.4 | 5.3 | 6.5  |
| 0.4   | 440                 | 0.9       | 1.8 | 3.6 | 4.3 | 5.2  |
| 0.3   | 500                 | 0.65      | 1.3 | 2.6 | 3.1 | 3.7  |
Figure 2: Plot of the expected cross section ratio function for a $Q^2$ of 10 [GeV/c]$^2$ from 0.3 < $x_B$ < 1.7 for $^{56}$Fe/D (dashed-dotted curve), $^{12}$C/D (solid curve), $^4$He/D (dotted curve), and $^3$He/D (dashed curve) where the 0.3 < $x_B$ < 0.7 slopes have been calculated using Eq. 2 and the 0.7 < $x_B$ > 1.3 region has been determined by interpolation to the $x_B$ > 1.3 nuclear scaling plateaus.
Figure 3 shows EMC data along with result of the $0.3 < x_B < 0.7$ calculation from Eq. 2 along with the interpolation to the $x_B > 1$ plateaus. The plots are all made with the same scales and it can be seen that the general trends in the data are matched by this simple phenomenological model.

Summary

In summary, we note that the ratio of nuclear scaling plateaus and the ratio of $0.3 < x_B < 0.7$ data following the same pattern. Since recent leptonic and hadronic data which has shown that the nuclear scaling region is dominated by high-momentum initial-state quasi-deuteron pairs, we tried using the kinematics of pairs, to see if that same initial-state could be produce an EMC like effect by assuming the offshell state would not contribute to the measured cross section. Interestingly, this simple model reproduces the general features of the EMC effect, with a slope that in the $0.3 < x_B < 0.7$ region decreasing and the upward interpolation for $x_B > 0.8$ generally agreeing with data. This phenomenological result seems to strengthen the hypothesis that both the EMC effect and nuclear scaling are due to local density effects and related to the high momentum components of the nuclear wave function.
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