Meat preparation techniques: results of the ISACamp population-based survey

Técnicas de preparo de carne: resultados da pesquisa de base populacional ISACamp

Abstract This article aims to identify meat preparation techniques according to sociodemographic variables and health-related behaviors. Cross-sectional population-based survey that used one 24-hour recall to identify the meat preparation techniques. We analyzed 3,376 24-hour recalls. The meat preparation techniques were classified as moist-heat (sauté, stew, boil; MH) or dry-heat (sauté, stew, boil; MH) and dry-heat (baking, grilling/barbecuing and frying; DH). The prevalence of use was 39.0% for moist cooking, 32.7% for frying and 28.3% for baking/grilling. Women, the elderly and those from other municipalities/states were more likely to use MH cooking. MH techniques were least prevalent among those of higher socioeconomic status. Among the techniques of DH cooking, women, the elderly and people with higher education and income were less likely to fry meats. Those born in Campinas and those with higher income, education, and those who ate fruits and vegetables on a higher weekly frequency were more likely to roast/grill meats. The results show the epidemiological profile associated with meat preparation techniques. Women, the elderly and those with lower education are more likely to use MH cooking techniques and less likely to fry meats. Those economically more favored are less likely to use MH techniques and frying, and more roasting/grilling.
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Resumo O objetivo deste artigo é identificar as técnicas de preparo utilizadas em carnes, segundo variáveis sociodemográficas e de comportamentos relacionados à saúde. Trata-se de estudo transversal de base populacional que utilizou um Recurdatório de 24 horas para identificar as técnicas de preparo de carnes. Foram analisados 3.376 recudatários. As técnicas de preparo foram classificadas em cocção úmida (refogar, ensopar, fervura) e cocção seca (assar, grelhar, fritar). As prevalências de uso foram de 39,0% para cocção úmida, 32,7% para fritura e 28,3% para assar/grelhar. A cocção úmida foi mais prevalente nas mulheres, idosos e nos naturais de outros municípios/Estados, e menos prevalente nos segmentos de melhor nível socioeconômico. Das técnicas de cocção seca, a fritura foi menos utilizada por mulheres, idosos e pessoas com maior nível de educação e renda. O assar/grelhar foram as técnicas mais aplicadas pelos nascidos em Campinas e pelos estratos mais altos de renda, escolaridade, e que ingeriam frutas e hortalícias ≥ 4 vezes/semana. Os resultados revelam o perfil epidemiológico associado às técnicas de preparo de carnes; mulheres e idosos empregam mais calor úmido e menos fritura, e os mais favorecidos economicamente usam menos cocção úmida e fritura, e mais o assar/grelhar.
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Introduction

The intake of red and processed meat should not exceed 300g a week according to the World Cancer Research Fund (WCRF)\(^1\). However, in Brazil over 80% of the population consumed meat in quantities exceeding the recommendation of the WCRF according to data from the Household Budget Survey of 2008-2009\(^2\).

There is reliable evidence to support the benefits of moderate amounts of animal products in the human diet, due to its high nutritional density\(^3,4\). However, preparation techniques may impair its nutritional contribution, either through nutrient loss or through formation of toxic compounds. The use of excess heat in meat preparation produces heterocyclic amines (HCAs) and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs)\(^5-7\), both with proven carcinogenic action, as well as advanced glycation end products (AGEs), also of concern\(^8\).

Meat preparation techniques that combine high temperature and low moisture conditions with protein and carbohydrate-rich ingredients increase the concentrations of AGEs. There is consequent increased risk of microvascular complications and chronic diseases such as diabetes\(^9\) and cardiovascular disease\(^10\).

Results of epidemiological studies show an association between intake of meat with high levels of heterocyclic amines and the occurrence of neoplasms such as colorectal\(^11\), prostate\(^12\), renal parenchyma\(^13\), esophageal and gastric\(^14\).

Considering the high intake of meat, the importance of knowing the meat preparation techniques, the lack of information about the cooking methods given the economic inequalities in Brazil and the relevance of improving culinary skills for healthy meals, the objective of this study was to identify the meat preparation techniques according to sociodemographic variables and health-related behaviors.

Methods

The Campinas Health Survey (ISACamp) is a population-based cross-sectional study conducted with non-institutionalized individuals aged 10 years and older, residents of urban areas in the city of Campinas, São Paulo State, Brazil. Data was collected on a probabilistic stratified cluster sample in two stages: census-tract and household. In the first stage, 50 census-tracks were systematically drawn with a probability proportional to the number of households. There was address update using field work in the selected census-tracts. In the second stage there was a random selection of households. Data was collected from February 2008 to April 2009.

The sample size was determined considering the estimation of a proportion of 50% (P = 0.50), with 95% confidence level (95%CI), a sampling error between 4 and 5% and a design effect of 2. Independent samples were defined having a minimum size of 1,000 individuals in each age groups: adolescents (10 to 19 years), adults (20 to 59 years) and elderly (60 years or more). Assuming 80% of coverage and response rate, the sample size was corrected to 1,250. To achieve this sample size in each age group, 2,150, 700 e 3,900 households were randomly selected to obtain the expected number of adolescents, adults and elderly, respectively. All residents in the selected age group for the household in question were interviewed.

Information was collected through a structured questionnaire in 14 thematic sections tested in a pilot study and administered with home interviews by trained and supervised interviewers. Interviews were conducted on different days of the week, for 14 months. A 24-hour recall and a Food Frequency Questionnaire were included in the thematic section on dietary habits. There was no detailed information on the frequency of using cooking techniques to prepare meats or who was responsible for cooking at home. Then, a single 24-hour dietary recall was used to identify meat preparation techniques. A protocol to identify cooking methods was developed in an attempt to eliminate potential differences due to miscomprehension related to each culinary technique.

The variables analyzed in the present study were:

**Culinary techniques:** The preparation techniques were classified into two groups, according to the use of water (moist): 1) Dry-heat cooking (DH) for baking, grilling/barbecuing, and frying; 2) Moist-heat cooking (MH) for cooking methods that add water, other liquid or use steam as in stewing and boiling. Frying was analyzed separately from the other techniques of DH cooking (baking and grilling/barbecuing) because it is a usual form of preparation and has high sensorial acceptance.

The definitions of dry and moist heat cooking and the preparation techniques for each type of cooking were described in the protocol, namely:

- **Dry-heat cooking (DH):** heat treatment that causes food dehydration due to excess heat.
Baking: cooking of previously seasoned foods in ovens, without lid and without addition of liquids;
Grilling/barbecuing: food placed on a hot metal plate or grill, near the source of heat (ember, gas or electricity); includes roasting;
Frying: food immersed in oil at high temperature.

- Moist-heat cooking (MH): heat treatment using water, other liquid or steam as a heat transfer medium.
  - Sauté: method which employs fat and the food’s moisture as a means of heat distribution;
  - Stew: consists of sauté the food, adding the amount of liquid (water, juice, wine) needed to soften;
  - Boil: food dipped in boiling water.

Demographic and socioeconomic variables: sex, age (in years), educational level of the household head (in years of study), household income per capita (in minimum wages), number of appliances in the household (freezer, vacuum cleaner, washing machine, air conditioning, dishwasher, computer, among others), and place of birth (city of Campinas, São Paulo; another city from the state of São Paulo, and another state).

Health-related behaviors: weekly intake of fruit and vegetables, and soft drinks, categorized in ≥ 4 or < 4 times/week, estimated by a Food Frequency Questionnaire.

Dietary data were analyzed using Nutrition Data System for Research (NDS-R) software (version 2007, Nutrition Coordinating Center, University of Minnesota). Data were entered following rules from the NDS-R. Quality assurance on each record was done by a dietitian with broad experience in dietary surveys.

Prevalence, adjusted prevalence ratios and 95% confidence intervals were estimated for each meat cooking method according to demographic, socioeconomic and health-related behaviors. The prevalence ratios were adjusted for age and sex. The chi-square test and linear trends were used to compare differences in prevalence, considering a significance level of 5% (p < 0.05). Statistical analysis was conducted using the survey (svy) module in Stata 11.0 (Stata Corp., College Station, USA).

The project ISACamp 2008-2009 was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the School of Medical Sciences at the University of Campinas.

Results
A total of 3,376 subjects were analyzed: 922 adolescents, 950 adults and 1,504 elderly. The mean age was 14.2 years (95% CI: 13.9-14.5) for teenagers, 36.8 years (95% CI: 35.8-37.8) for adults and 69.6 years (95% CI: 68.9-70.3) for the elderly. Women represented 51.7% of the sample and those born in Campinas, 44.6%; subjects with a per capita family income lower than a minimum wage represent 42.8% and those with educational level (head of household) less than 8 years were 40.5%. Near 82.0% of the subjects had regular intake of fruits and vegetables more than 4 times/week, and 69.3% consumed sugary drinks less than 4 times/week (Table 1).

Table 2 shows that 39.0% of participants used MH cooking techniques. It was more likely to be used among women, older people and those from other municipalities or states. Those with lower level of education (< 8 years, 43.8%), lower family income (≤ 1 minimum wage, 42.9%) and those who lived in a house with seven or less household appliances (49.7%) were also more likely to use MH cooking.

Among the techniques that employ high temperature and low humidity (Table 3), classified as DH cooking, 32.7% used deep frying to cook meats. Frying was less used by women, the elderly, and persons of higher education and income. Near 28.0% of the participants used other DH techniques such as roasting and grilling. DH techniques were the most common methods for those born in Campinas and among those of higher educational level, family income, number of household appliances and those who ate fruits and vegetables four or more times a week (Table 3).

Discussion
This study provides information related to meat preparation techniques according to sociodemographic variables and health-related behaviors. To our knowledge, there is no other population-based study describing the prevalence of meat culinary techniques in Brazil.

The form of food preparation is determined by the nature of the food matrix, the preference and sensory quality of products, as well as the resources available such as tools, equipment and sources of energy for cooking. For this reason, the cooking methods will vary in terms of cooking time, heating rate and percentage of loss. There
Table 1. Sample distribution according to demographic and socioeconomic variables, and health-related behaviors. Health survey of the city of Campinas (ISACamp 2008-09).

| Variables                      | n* | %** | 95%CI |
|--------------------------------|----|-----|-------|
| Sex                            |    |     |       |
| Male                           | 1,522 | 48.3 | 46.2-50.4 |
| Female                         | 1,854 | 51.7 | 49.6-53.8 |
| Age group                      |    |     |       |
| Adolescent                     | 922  | 17.1 | 15.3-19.0 |
| Adult                          | 950  | 69.6 | 67.2-71.8 |
| Elderly                        | 1,504 | 13.3 | 11.4-15.3 |
| Place of birth                 |    |     |       |
| Campinas                       | 1,399 | 44.6 | 39.9-49.2 |
| Another city from the state of SP | 1,057 | 27.4 | 24.2-30.6 |
| Another state                  | 917  | 28.0 | 23.4-32.7 |
| Education of the household head (years) |     |       |       |
| 0 to 7                         | 1,649 | 40.5 | 32.9-48.0 |
| 8 to 11                        | 938  | 28.3 | 24.5-32.1 |
| 12 or +                        | 767  | 26.7 | 18.1-35.4 |
| Monthly income per capita (MW)** |     |       |       |
| ≤ 1                            | 1,559 | 42.8 | 35.6-50.0 |
| > 1 to ≤ 3                     | 1,284 | 32.2 | 33.2-45.2 |
| > 3                            | 533  | 26.7 | 11.0-24.9 |
| # of appliances in the household |     |       |       |
| 1 to 7                         | 998  | 25.5 | 18.3-32.8 |
| 8 to 13                        | 1,343 | 43.5 | 34.5-43.8 |
| 14 or +                        | 1,032 | 21.0 | 17.1-24.9 |
| Weekly consumption of soft drinks |     |       |       |
| ≥ 4 times                      | 932  | 30.6 | 27.6-33.7 |
| < 4 times                      | 2,443 | 69.4 | 66.3-72.4 |
| Weekly consumption of F&V      |     |       |       |
| ≥ 4 times                      | 2,819 | 81.7 | 78.8-84.6 |
| < 4 times                      | 556  | 18.3 | 15.4-21.2 |

*Number of individuals in the unweighted sample; **Percentage of individuals in the weighted sample; ***MW: Minimum wage. 95%CI: 95% interval of confidence. F&V: Fruit and vegetables.

is some difficulty to combine these parameters to accurately identify the preparation technique and thus establish a comparison between studies conducted in different countries15,16.

Despite the gap in the literature on standardized procedures, to avoid discrepancies between the identity of cooking methods it is possible to group them according to general characteristics such as the use of liquid as a means of cooking – moist-heat cooking and dry-heat cooking, which cause similar effects on the food matrix, regardless of the type of tool or apparatus used for cooking, typical of each culinary culture.

Studies on culinary skills and confidence to cook show that less time has been devoted to the preparation of food15, and that the consumption of animal products has been the subject of

---

Table 2. Prevalence and adjusted prevalence ratios (PR) of moist-heat cooking according to demographic and socioeconomic variables, and health-related behaviors. Health survey of the city of Campinas (ISACamp 2008-09).

| Variables                      | % | PR* (95%CI) |
|--------------------------------|---|-------------|
| Sex                            |   |            |
| Male                           | 36.1 | 1.00 |
| Female                         | 42.1 | 1.04 (1.02-1.07) |
| Total                          | 39.0 |       |
| Age group                      |   |            |
| Adolescent                     | 37.3 | 1.00 |
| Adult                          | 38.5 | 1.01 (0.98-1.04) |
| Elderly                        | 45.1 | 1.05 (1.03-1.08) |
| Place of birth                 |   |            |
| Campinas                       | 33.7 | 1.00 |
| Another city from the state of SP | 43.8 | 1.04 (1.01-1.12) |
| Another state                  | 43.3 | 1.07 (1.03-1.12) |
| Education of the household head (years) |   |            |
| 0 to 7                         | 43.8 | 1.00 |
| 8 to 11                        | 35.2 | 0.94 (0.90-0.98) |
| 12 or +                        | 36.7 | 0.95 (0.91-0.99) |
| Monthly income per capita (MW)** |   |            |
| ≤ 1                            | 42.9 | 1.00 |
| > 1 to ≤ 3                     | 36.3 | 0.95 (0.91-0.99) |
| > 3                            | 36.6 | 0.95 (0.90-1.01) |
| # of appliances in the household |   |            |
| 1 to 7                         | 49.7 | 1.00 |
| 8 to 13                        | 37.1 | 0.92 (0.88-0.96) |
| 14 or +                        | 34.4 | 0.90 (0.85-0.95) |
| Weekly consumption of soft drinks |   |            |
| ≥ 4 times                      | 39.1 | 1.01 (0.97-1.05) |
| < 4 times                      | 39.1 | 1.00 |
| Weekly consumption of F&V      |   |            |
| ≥ 4 times                      | 38.6 | 0.97 (0.93-1.01) |
| < 4 times                      | 41.3 | 1.00 |

* Adjusted for age and/or sex. 95%CI: 95% interval of confidence. *p-Value of the chi-square test; **Linear tendency test; ***MW: Minimum wage. F&V: Fruit and vegetables.
Table 3. Prevalence and adjusted prevalence ratios (PR) of dry-heat cooking according to demographic and socioeconomic variables, and health-related behaviors. Health survey of the city of Campinas (ISACamp 2008-09).

| Variables                      | % | PRa (95%CI) | % | PRa (95%CI) |
|--------------------------------|---|-------------|---|-------------|
| Sex                            |   |             |   |             |
| Male                           | 34.4 | 1.00       | 29.5 | 1.00 |
| Female                         | 31.0 | 0.97 (0.95-0.99) | 26.9 | 0.98 (0.95-1.01) |
| Total                          | 32.7 | 28.3       |    |             |
| Age group                      |   |             |   |             |
| Adolescent                     | 35.9 | 1.00       | 26.8 | 1.00 |
| Adult                          | 32.3 | 0.97 (0.94-1.01) | 29.2 | 1.02 (0.99-1.05) |
| Elderly                        | 30.3 | 0.96 (0.93-0.99) | 24.6 | 0.98 (0.95-1.02) |
| Place of birth                 |   |             |   |             |
| Campinas                       | 34.5 | 1.00       | 31.8 | 1.00 |
| Another city from the state of SP | 30.3 | 0.97 (0.93-1.01) | 25.9 | 0.95 (0.90-0.99) |
| Another state                  | 31.9 | 0.99 (0.93-1.04) | 24.8 | 0.94 (0.90-0.98) |
| Education of the household head (years) |   |             |   |             |
| 0 to 7                         | 37.0 | 1.00       | 19.1 | 1.00 |
| 8 to 11                        | 32.7 | 0.96 (0.92-1.01) | 32.1 | 1.11 (1.05-1.16) |
| 12 or +                        | 26.4 | 0.92 (0.88-0.97) | 36.9 | 1.15 (1.09-1.20) |
| Monthly income per capita (MW)*** |   |             |   |             |
| ≤ 1                            | 34.7 | 1.00       | 22.4 | 1.00 |
| > 1 to ≤ 3                     | 34.1 | 1.00 (0.95-1.04) | 29.6 | 1.06 (1.01-1.11) |
| > 3                            | 25.0 | 0.93 (0.88-0.98) | 38.4 | 1.13 (1.07-1.19) |
| # of appliances in the household |   |             |   |             |
| 1 to 7                         | 31.3 | 1.00       | 19.0 | 1.00 |
| 8 to 13                        | 37.5 | 1.04 (0.99-1.10) | 25.4 | 1.05 (1.01-1.11) |
| 14 or +                        | 28.6 | 0.97 (0.93-1.02) | 37.0 | 1.15 (1.09-1.21) |
| Weekly consumption of soft drinks |   |             |   |             |
| ≥ 4 times                      | 31.5 | 0.98 (0.94-1.02) | 29.4 | 1.01 (0.96-1.06) |
| < 4 times                      | 33.1 | 1.00       | 27.8 | 1.00 |
| Weekly consumption of F&V      |   |             |   |             |
| ≥ 4 times                      | 31.7 | 0.97 (0.93-1.01) | 29.7 | 1.06 (1.02-1.10) |
| < 4 times                      | 36.3 | 1.00       | 22.4 | 1.00 |

* Adjusted for age and/or sex. 95%CI: 95% interval of confidence. *p-Value of the chi-square test; **Linear tendency test; ***MW: Minimum wage. F&V: Fruit and vegetables.

countermessages or demarketing that have influences on health beliefs and practices16. Grilled or fried meat cuts require less preparation time compared to those cooked in liquid, which may explain at least in part the preference for DH as a cooking method. The sensory characteristics produced by DH are another possible reason for the popularity of this meat preparation technique17.

In the DH, the production of HCAs, such that PhIP (2-amino-1-methyl-6-phenylimidazo[4,5-b]pyridine) is more common, especially when employing deep frying18. In Brazil, it has been shown that the intake of HCAs through meat prepared by DH is associated with increased malondialdehyde (MDA), an important marker of oxidative stress19. Evidence indicates that the preparation techniques that employ high temperature and low moisture content with high production of HCAs, have an association with esophageal14, prostate12,20, kidney13 and colorectal cancers11. High levels of HCAs were associated with greater cognitive decline in the elderly after nine years of follow-up21. Recently, the message received from the World Health Organization on the association between red and processed meat intake and cancer of various locations1 has received a lot of attention. The search for forms of preparation that save time in the kitchen can be a cause of the high prevalence of deep frying compared to other techniques.
The results found in this study reveal a socio-demographic profile associated to meat preparation techniques. MH was more used by women, the elderly and people of low socioeconomic level; it is noteworthy that DH techniques are associated with the use of more appliances and rising expenses with frying oil. Frying was more used by men, adolescents and by individuals with lower levels of income and schooling. Other DH techniques, such as roasting, grilling and barbecuing, were shown to be associated with the most economically favored segments and those who ate more fruits and vegetables. Meat cuts rich in connective tissue (e.g., shank) are cheaper and require the use of moist cooking for collagen hydrolysis and meat tenderization. Brazilian's traditional food is based on rice and beans, which represent almost a quarter of the food, followed by red meats and chicken. In a qualitative research, Garcia verified that the economic condition of the families determined the choice, the variety of meat cuts and the amount distributed among the relatives.

The result that the elderly eat more cooked meats can be explained by problems related to the difficulty of chewing and digestion, which are common alterations in this life stage. The lower consumption of fried food among women can be attributed to a number of factors, including greater concern about the quality of food and weight gain. The segments with the best socioeconomic level present a healthier food behavior, characterized by higher intakes of fruits, vegetables, whole grains and lean meats. Also, it is widely reported by the media and health professionals that frying is harmful to health and that roasting and grilling are healthier because they use little or no oil, a probable source of misconceptions about healthy-cooking.

In this study we demonstrated that DH was the most prevalent form of meat preparation, especially deep frying; MH, which was less prevalent than DH, protects the food matrix from the risk of forming compounds that promote oxidative stress and inflammation, such as AGEs, and for this reason should be encouraged in messages for the promotion of healthy eating. The formation of AGEs can be reduced by using less heating time, low temperature, high humidity and/or acidic marinades with spices, vinegar or lemon juice before cooking the meat.

The results of this study imply public health actions aimed at informing the population about the safe preparation of meat, with time and temperature control; that the use of DH methods such as roasting, grilling and frying presents a greater risk for the formation of carcinogenic compounds; and that MH cooking, by maintaining moderate heat (between 100ºC and 120ºC) is the safest way to prepare meat. Guidance on cooking techniques can be incorporated into dietary guidelines and combined with recommendations for meat consumption.

New approaches for the risk assessment relating diet and disease should recognize dry heat as a cause of producing toxic compounds in food; thus, including research of the type of food preparation technique in dietary surveys can help to identify new risk factors from food with explanatory power associated to chronic diseases.

Among the limitations of this study, it is highlighted that the application of a single 24-hour recall does not represent the individual's usual intake due to the intraindividual variability of food intake. However, when applied on a population basis and in order to consider the different days and months of the year, it is possible to estimate the average intake for the target population with only one 24-hour recall. It is important to note that the cross-sectional study impedes interpreting the associations found as resulting from a cause and effect relationship. The data used in this study come from a health survey that covers a wide range of topics, but has identified food consumption issues that have attracted attention and which suggest new research approaches, such as the inclusion of culinary techniques among the variables of interest in dietary surveys.

This study offers some evidence regarding the importance to identify meat culinary techniques as an auxiliary information for the improvement of dietary survey analysis. The data also indicate that one should consider the differences of sex, given that men, who traditionally have lower confidence to cook than women, consume more meat prepared by DH than women.
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