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Abstract. We analyze a one-dimensional quantum model with off-diagonal disorder, consisting of a sequence of potential energy barriers whose width is a random variable, either uniformly or ‘half-normally’ distributed. We investigate how the disorder and the energy distribution (due to a thermostat at room temperature) affect the resulting value of the transmission coefficient, and discuss the structure of the fluctuations of such a coefficient at different length scales and the onset of different size-dependent regimes. Our analysis suggests an efficient way to detect tunneling resonances in a regime of off-diagonal disorder.
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1. Introduction

Nonequilibrium thermodynamics [1] is based on the vast separation between the space and time scales of the microscopic, mesoscopic and macroscopic physical realms. This separation, which characterizes the condition of local thermodynamic equilibrium [1]–[3], cannot be realized in small systems, such as those concerning modern biotechnologies and nanotechnologies. Hence, the standard statistical mechanical approach, based on the thermodynamic and hydrodynamic limits [4]–[6], should be extended to treat systems made up of relatively small numbers of microscopic components. In particular, one should account for the fluctuations of the physical properties of such systems, as they can be of the size of the average signals. In this work we face these issues, considering a variant of the Anderson model [7], which is the prototype of a disordered solid [8]. In particular, we investigate the role of the microscopic disorder in the transmission coefficient of systems with a number \( N \) of potential barriers and in the presence of a thermal reservoir at a given temperature \( T \). For this purpose, we consider one-dimensional systems consisting of a sequence of barriers and wells of randomly chosen widths, under the constraint that the sum of the \( N \) barrier widths and the total length of the system are fixed and do not change when \( N \) grows. Consequently, our model enjoys a purely off-diagonal disorder [9, 10] which affects the tunneling couplings among the wells, but not the energies of the bound states within the wells. This is not the case for the original tight-binding model, whose random fluctuations only concern the energy of a bound state [11, 12], introduced by Anderson in his pioneering paper [7] on localization effects in disordered solids. Furthermore, while in Anderson’s model increasing the number of barriers corresponds to taking the large system limit, in our case it corresponds to distributing more finely the same amount of insulating material within the fixed length of the system. Therefore, our results differ substantially from those regarding the standard Anderson’s model, and can be summarized as follows.

- There are no localization effects for the equilibrium distribution of energies at room temperature: positive currents persist even in the large \( N \) limit; Furstenberg type theorems do not apply, [8]. Mathematically, the reason is that the product of the first \( N \) random matrices yielding the transmission coefficient for a given choice of
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N barriers changes, in order to preserve the length of the system and the sum of the barrier widths, when the \(N+1\)th matrix of the \(N+1\)th barrier is introduced. Physically, our models realize a kind of off-diagonal disorder which leads to delocalization.

- The value of the transmission coefficient, averaged over the ensemble of disordered configurations, is close, for large \(N\), to the value corresponding to the ordered sequence of equally spaced barriers and wells, which is bounded away from zero.
- The transmission coefficient increases non-linearly with the temperature parameterizing the distribution of the energies of the waves entering the system from the charge reservoir, which is also a heat bath.
- There is a scale for \(N\) above which the (always positive) transmission coefficient no longer depends on the specific realization of the disorder, but still depends on \(N\), and there is another scale above which it no longer depends on \(N\). We call the first ‘mesoscopic’, since it corresponds to nanoscopic samples, and we call the latter scale ‘macroscopic’, since it corresponds to macroscopic nanostructured materials. This means that all realizations of the disorder are equivalent in the \(N \to \infty\) limit.
- At room temperature, the probability distribution function (PDF) of the fluctuations of the transmission coefficient satisfies a principle of large deviations. Furthermore, the peak of this PDF corresponds to the transmission coefficient of the regular realizations.
- Tunneling resonances for off-diagonal disordered systems in contact with an external bath can be predicted by investigating the corresponding ordered systems, which are amenable to an analytical treatment.

2. The model

We consider a model of a macroscopic semiconductor device (the bulk) consisting of an array of \(N\) potential barriers and \(N - 1\) conducting regions (wells), in equilibrium with one electrode acting as an external thermostat at temperature \(T = 300\) K (cf figure 1). The barriers have a constant height \(V(x) = V\) while their width is either uniformly or ‘half-normally’ randomly distributed. For any \(N\), the widths of the conducting regions take a constant value \(\delta_N\). We denote by \(L\) the fixed total length of the sample, and by \(L^s\) the sum of the widths of all the barriers (i.e. the total length of the insulating region), and we also assume that the ratio \(\beta\) between insulating and conducting lengths takes the same value for all different sample realizations, so

\[
L = (1 + \beta)(N - 1)\delta_N
\]

holds. This 1D microscopic model obeys the steady state Schrödinger equation:

\[
\frac{d^2}{dx^2}\psi = \frac{2m}{\hbar^2}(V - E)\psi, \quad x \in [0, L]
\]

where \(m\) is the mass of an electron. The boundary conditions prescribe \(A_0 > 0\) for the amplitude of the plane wave entering from the left boundary and \(A_{4N+1} = 0\) (no wave enters or is reflected from the right boundary). The barriers are delimited by a set of \(2N\) points, denoted by \(x_0 = 0, \ldots, x_{2N-1} = L\) in figure 1, hereafter called nodes of discontinuity of the potential. The left boundary consists of a classical thermostat at temperature \(T\), from which particles emerge at node in \(x_0\) as plane waves, with energies distributed...
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Figure 1. 1D multiple-well system, consisting of a sequence of $2N + 1$ regions: $N$ potential barriers, whose width is uniformly randomly distributed, $(N - 1)$ conducting regions of constant width $\delta$, and the two boundary regions, on the left and on the right. The left boundary is modeled as a classical thermostat at temperature $T$. Thus, the mean energy of the plane waves entering from the left boundary is given by $\frac{1}{2} k_B T$.

according to the Maxwell–Boltzmann distribution. In contrast, no particles come from the vacuum on the right.

Thus, denoting by $U_\ell$ the $\ell$th region, for $\ell \in \{0, 2, \ldots, 2N\}$, the solutions of equation (2) take the form

$$
\psi_\ell(x) = \begin{cases} 
A_{2\ell} e^{ikx} + A_{2\ell+1} e^{-ikx} & \text{if } x \in U_\ell \text{ and } \ell \text{ is even (i.e. for } V(x) = 0) \\
A_{2\ell} e^{-izx} + A_{2\ell+1} e^{izx} & \text{if } x \in U_\ell \text{ and } \ell \text{ is odd (i.e. for } V(x) = V) 
\end{cases}
$$

with $k = \sqrt{2mE}/\hbar$ and $z = \sqrt{2m(V - E)}/\hbar$.

Following [16], in each of the conducting regions, one may define the steady state currents as

$$
j_\ell(x) = \frac{\hbar}{2m} \left[ \psi_\ell(x)^* \left( \frac{d}{dx} \psi_\ell(x) \right) - \left( \frac{d}{dx} \psi_\ell(x)^* \right) \psi_\ell(x) \right] = j^{\text{tr}}_\ell(A_{2\ell}) - j^{\text{rif}}_\ell(A_{2\ell+1}),
$$

where the $^*$ denotes complex conjugation, $j^{\text{tr}}_\ell(A_{2\ell}) = \hbar k/m |A_{2\ell}|^2$ denotes the current transmitted from the $(\ell - 1)$th barrier on the left (or, for $\ell = 0$, from the thermostat located at the left boundary) and $j^{\text{rif}}_\ell(A_{2\ell+1}) = \hbar k/m |A_{2\ell+1}|^2$ denotes the current reflected from the $(\ell + 1)$th barrier; cf figure 1. Then, the application of the BenDaniel–Duke boundary conditions [14], which require the continuity of $\psi_\ell(x)$ and $(d/dx)\psi_\ell(x)$ at the nodes, results in constancy of the value $j_\ell(x)$ across the wells and entails $j_\ell(x) = j_{2N}(x)$, for every even $\ell$. Equation (4), together with equation (3), leads to the following definition of the transmission coefficient $S$ across the system:

$$
S(N) = \frac{j^{\text{tr}}_{2N}(A_{4N})}{j^{\text{tr}}_0(A_0)} = \frac{|A_{4N}|^2}{|A_0|^2}
$$

which depends on the parameters of the model, such as the number of barriers $N$, the height of the barriers (which contributes to the parameter $z$) and the particular realization of the sequence of barriers. In order to numerically compute the coefficient $S$ as a function
of the various parameters of the model, it proves convenient to rescale equation (2) with respect to characteristic quantities, in order to rewrite it in a dimensionless form. For this purpose, let us introduce $x = L\tilde{x}$, with $L$ given by (1), $E = \tilde{E}E_T$, $\psi(x) = \tilde{\psi}(\tilde{x})(\sqrt{\tilde{L}})^{-1}$, and $V = \tilde{V}E_T$, with $E_T = k_B T$ (i.e. twice the mean kinetic energy of the plane waves entering from the bulk from the left side). Moreover, on introducing the scalar parameter $\alpha = h^2/(2mL^2E_T)$, one obtains the following expressions for the dimensionless wavevectors: $\tilde{k} = \sqrt{\alpha}/\sqrt{\tilde{E}}$ and $\tilde{z} = \sqrt{\alpha}/\sqrt{\tilde{V} - \tilde{E}}$. In the sequel we will refer to the dimensionless quantities and, to this end, we may omit the tilde symbols, for the sake of simplicity. 

The dimensionless version of equation (2), then, attains the form

$$\frac{d^2}{dx^2}\psi(x) = \alpha^{-1}(V - E)\psi(x), \quad x \in [0, 1]$$

which is the Schrödinger equation (SE) which will be solved numerically with the aforementioned conditions at the nodes.

### 3. The transfer matrix technique

Let us describe our method of solution of the SE (6), which follows [14, 15] and is referred to as the transfer matrix (TM) technique. Using equation (3), the boundary conditions on the generic $\ell$th node, with $\ell \in \{0, 1, \ldots, 2N - 1\}$, read as

$$\psi_{\ell}(x_{\ell}) = \psi_{\ell+1}(x_{\ell}), \quad \psi'_{\ell}(x_{\ell}) = \psi'_{\ell+1}(x_{\ell})$$

where $x_{\ell}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell/2} \lambda_i + \delta_N \ell/2$ if $\ell$ is even, and $x_{\ell}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{(\ell+1)/2} \lambda_i + \delta_N (\ell - 1)/2$ if $\ell$ is odd, and where $\lambda_i$ denotes the (dimensionless) random width of the $i$th barrier. In matrix form, equations (7) can be written as

$$M_0(x_0) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} A_0 \\ A_1 \end{pmatrix} = M_1(x_0) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} A_2 \\ A_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$M_2(x_1) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} A_2 \\ A_3 \end{pmatrix} = M_3(x_1) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} A_4 \\ A_5 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$M_4(x_2) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} A_4 \\ A_5 \end{pmatrix} = M_5(x_2) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} A_6 \\ A_7 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$M_{4N-2}(x_{2N-1}) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} A_{4N-2} \\ A_{4N-1} \end{pmatrix} = M_{4N-1}(x_{2N-1}) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} A_{4N} \\ A_{4N+1} \end{pmatrix},$$

where, as long as $E < V$, the $2 \times 2$ matrices of coefficients $M_{2\ell}(x_{\ell})$ and $M_{2\ell+1}(x_{\ell})$ read

$$M_{2\ell}(x_{\ell}) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{ik_{\ell}x_{\ell}} & e^{-ik_{\ell}x_{\ell}} \\ ike^{ik_{\ell}x_{\ell}} & -ike^{-ik_{\ell}x_{\ell}} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad M_{2\ell+1}(x_{\ell}) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-ix_{\ell}} & e^{ix_{\ell}} \\ -ze^{-ix_{\ell}} & ze^{ix_{\ell}} \end{pmatrix}$$

or

$$M_{2\ell}(x_{\ell}) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-ik_{\ell}x_{\ell}} & e^{ik_{\ell}x_{\ell}} \\ -ze^{-ix_{\ell}} & ze^{ix_{\ell}} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad M_{2\ell+1}(x_{\ell}) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{ik_{\ell}x_{\ell}} & e^{-ik_{\ell}x_{\ell}} \\ ike^{ik_{\ell}x_{\ell}} & -ike^{-ik_{\ell}x_{\ell}} \end{pmatrix}$$
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if \( \ell \) is, respectively, even or odd. Assuming that the amplitude \( A_0 \) of the incoming wave \( \psi_0 \) is known and that \( A_{4N+1} = 0 \), because there is no reflection from the right boundary in the \( 2N \)th region, then the linear system (8) corresponds to a set of \( 4N \) equations in the \( 4N \) variables \( \{A_1, \ldots, A_{4N}\} \). Skipping the spatial dependence of the matrices to keep our notation simple, equation (8) implies

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
A_0 \\
A_1
\end{pmatrix} = M_0^{-1} \cdot M_1 \cdot M_2^{-1} \cdot M_3 \cdot \cdots \cdot M_{4N-2}^{-1} \cdot M_{4N-1} \cdot \begin{pmatrix}
A_{4N} \\
0
\end{pmatrix} = M \cdot \begin{pmatrix}
A_{4N} \\
0
\end{pmatrix},
\]

(11)

where we have also defined the \( 2 \times 2 \) matrix \( M \). Equation (11) leads to

\[
A_0 = M_{11} A_{4N}
\]

(12)

with \( M_{11} \) denoting the element in the top left corner of the matrix \( M \). Relation (12) allows us to rewrite equation (5) in the form

\[
S = \frac{A_{4N}^* A_{4N}}{A_0^* A_0} = \frac{1}{|M_{11}|^2}.
\]

(13)

The results obtained with the TM method for the model described by equation (6) are illustrated in section 4.

4. Numerical results

We characterize the presence of disorder in the sequence of barriers, letting \( \rho(\lambda) d\lambda \) be the probability distribution of the widths \( \lambda_i \) of a generic barrier, with \( i = \{1, \ldots, N\} \), taking values in a range \( d\lambda \) centered on \( \lambda \). In particular, we used pseudo-random generators to investigate two relevant choices for \( \rho(\lambda) \). The first is the uniform density, with support on the unit interval, while the other is the density \( \rho = \sqrt{2/\pi} e^{-\lambda^2/2} \), supported on \( \mathbb{R}^+ \), which is readily obtained from the gaussian density \( \rho = \mathcal{N}(0, 1) \) by retaining only the positive values of the \( \lambda_i \)s. Each of the two distributions is characterized by the corresponding mean \( \langle \lambda \rangle \) and variance \( \hat{\sigma}^2 \). It proves useful to introduce, for both of the distributions above, the realization mean and variance, defined, respectively, as

\[
\hat{\lambda}_B = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_i, \quad \hat{W}_N^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\lambda_i - \langle \lambda \rangle)^2.
\]

In the large \( N \) limit, the random variable \( \hat{\lambda}_B \) converges in probability to the mean \( \langle \lambda \rangle \) (weak law of large numbers), while the random variable \( \hat{W}_N^2 \) converges with probability 1 to \( \hat{\sigma}^2 \). Since we use dimensionless variables in equation (6), we introduce the rescaled barrier width as

\[
\lambda_i = c \hat{\lambda}_i, \quad \text{with} \quad c = \frac{\beta}{(1 + \beta) N \hat{\lambda}_B}.
\]

(14)

\( \text{For a uniform density } \langle \lambda \rangle = 0.5, \hat{\sigma}^2 = 1/12, \text{ whereas for the ‘half-normal’ density defined above, with support on } \mathbb{R}^+, \langle \lambda \rangle = 2/\pi, \hat{\sigma}^2 = 1 - 2/\pi. \)
Therefore, for any given $N$ and $\beta$, the rescaled mean
\[
\lambda_B = \frac{\beta}{(1+\beta)N}
\]
is no longer a random variable, and attains the same constant value independently of the chosen probability density. On the other hand, the rescaled realization variance
\[
W^2_N = \frac{c^2}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\hat{\lambda}_i - \hat{\lambda}_B)^2
\]
remains a random variable which, for large $N$ converges a.e. to $\sigma^2 = c^2 \hat{\sigma}^2$. We introduce the vector-valued random variable $\Lambda_N$, defined by
\[
\Lambda_N = \{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_N\},
\]
which corresponds to a given realization of the sequence of barriers and will be referred to as a microscopic configuration.

One may, then, consider the collection $\Omega = \{\Lambda_N^{(1)}, \ldots, \Lambda_N^{(N_r)}\}$ of $N_r$ random realizations of the sequence of barriers which have been constructed numerically. Then, the average of a random observable $\mathcal{O}$ over the sample $\Omega$ can be written as
\[
\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle_\Omega = \frac{1}{N_r} \sum_{\mu=1}^{N_r} \mathcal{O} \left( \Lambda_N^{(\mu)} \right).
\]
Among the possible configurations, the regular one
\[
\Lambda_N^R = \{\lambda^R, \ldots, \lambda^R\},
\]
which approximates the infinite superlattice of the literature on Bloch waves [13, 14], will also be crucial in our work. In our numerical simulations we set $\beta = 0.1$ and we investigated the behavior of the coefficient $S(N, \Lambda_N, V, E; T)$ at a given temperature $T$, as a function of the number of barriers $N$, of the microscopic configuration $\Lambda_N$, of the (dimensionless) energy of the barriers $V$ and of the (dimensionless) energy $E$.

Figure 2 illustrates the behavior of $S(N, \Lambda_N, V, E; T)$, $S(N, \Lambda_N^R, V, E; T)$ and of the average $\langle S \rangle_\Omega$ over an ensemble characterized by $\rho(\lambda) = 1$ at $T = 300$ K and for two different values of the wave energy $E$, one of which leads to a condition of resonant tunneling [15]. The plots reveal that the values of $S$ and of its average $\langle S \rangle_\Omega$ tend, for growing $N$, to the same value, as intuitively expected. However, it is interesting to note that this value coincides with the most probable value of $S(N, \Lambda_N, V, E; T)$, which is the value corresponding to the regular lattice configurations (17), hereafter denoted as $S_B(N, V, E; T)$. This holds for all values of $V$ and of $E$. Let us now consider a thermostat located at the left boundary, so that the plane waves entering the bulk have an energy obeying a classical equilibrium distribution at a given temperature $T$. In the following plots we consider a one-dimensional Maxwellian probability density
\[
f_{eq}(E) = \sqrt{1/(\pi E)} e^{-E}
\]
and we average over all energies to obtain
\[
S(N, \Lambda_N, V; T) = \int_0^\infty S(N, \Lambda_N, V, E; T) f_{eq}(E) dE
\]
where the coefficient $S$, integrated in the r.h.s. of equation (19), is computed according to equation (13). The typical behavior of $S(N, \Lambda_N, V; T)$ as a function of the number of barriers $N$ for $V = 20$ is illustrated in figure 3, which refers to three different microscopic configurations: the regular lattice $\Lambda_N^B$ introduced in equation (17) (red curve), a random uniform configuration (green curve), and a ‘half-normal’ distribution (blue curve).

The result illustrated in the top panel of figure 3 shows that, for large $N$, the values of $S(N, \Lambda_N, V; T = 300)$ corresponding to single random realizations fluctuate around $S_B(N, V; T = 300)$ for both distributions. Similarly, the lower panel of figure 3 shows the behavior of $S(N, \Lambda_N, V; T)$ versus $N$ for three different random uniform configurations. We also investigated the dependence of $S(N, \Lambda_N, V; T)$ on $T$. The top panel of figure 4 corroborates, in the limit of large $N$, the numerical results illustrated in the top panel of figure 3. Namely, the trend of the random values $S(N, \Lambda_N, V; T)$ to approach $S_B$ persists
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Figure 3. Top panel: behavior of $S(N, \Lambda_N, V; T)$ for $V = 20$ and at $T = 300$ K corresponding to three different microscopic configurations: the regular lattice configuration $\Lambda_N^B$ (thick green dashed curve), a uniformly distributed configuration (red curve) and a ‘half-normally’ distributed one (blue curve). Bottom panel: behavior of $S(N, \Lambda_N, V; T)$ versus $N$, for $V = 20$, $T = 300$ K and for three different random uniformly distributed configurations $\Lambda_N^{(\mu)}$, with $\mu = 1–3$. All curves tend to overlap with that corresponding to the regular lattice.

even at lower and higher temperatures. This is one of the prominent features of our model. The lower panel of figure 4 shows that the variation of $S_B$, over a wide range of temperatures, can be suitably fitted by a quadratic curve, $\phi(T) = aT^2 + bT + c$.

Figure 3 anticipates two further crucial aspects which will be addressed in more detail below. The first concerns the magnitude of the fluctuations of the values of $S(N, \Lambda_N, V; T)$, $\sigma_p(N, V) = \sqrt{\langle (S - \langle S \rangle)_{\Omega}^2 \rangle}_{\Omega}$, which decreases with $N$. This decay of the size of the fluctuations allows us to identify a ‘mesoscopic’ scale $N_{\text{meso}}$. The number $N_{\text{meso}}$ depends on $V$ and the coefficient $S(N, \Lambda_N, V; T)$ depends only weakly on the microscopic configuration, if $N \geq N_{\text{meso}}$. The second scale $N_{\text{macro}} > N_{\text{meso}}$ is such that $S(N, \Lambda_N, V; T)$ depends neither on the configuration nor on the number of barriers if $N \geq N_{\text{macro}}$. In other words, $S$ attains a value which is, practically, that concerning infinitely finely structured samples at a finite fixed length. Note that $N_{\text{macro}}$ depends also on $V$. 

doi:10.1088/1742-5468/2013/02/P02009
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Figure 4. Top panel: values for the observable $S(N, \Lambda_N, V; T)$ at different temperatures, with $V = 20$. Shown are the numerical results for uniformly distributed configurations (straight lines) and for the corresponding regular lattice configurations $\Lambda_N^R$ (thick dashed curves). Bottom panel: fitting of the numerical data of $S_B(N, \Lambda_N, V)$, calculated at $N = 300$ and with $V = 20$, at different temperatures with the curve $\phi(T) = aT^2 + bT + c$, with $a = -2.022 \pm 1.891 \times 10^{-7}$, $b = 0.002 \pm 9.672 \times 10^{-5}$ and $c = -0.237 \pm 0.011$.

Next, in figure 5, we have plotted the behavior of $\langle S \rangle_\Omega(N, V; T)$ with $N$, for $V = 20$, and we compare it with the value of $S_B(N, V; T)$ for regular lattices. The result is consistent with those of figures 2 and 3, in that it shows the regime corresponding to $N \gg 1$, where the curve of $\langle S \rangle_\Omega(N, V; T)$ varies very slowly with $N$ and approaches the value $S_B(N, V; T)$. This convergence process is a collective effect, in that it does not depend on the underlying disorder and is characterized by the delocalization of the wavefunction, typically described by the Bloch wave theory [13] for regular lattices.

The plot in figure 5 reveals that, given an ensemble $\Omega$ of random uniform configurations, one has

$$ \langle S \rangle_\Omega(N, V; T) \simeq S_B(N, V; T) \quad \text{for large } N. \tag{20} $$

Indeed figure 5 shows that $N \geq 200$ suffices for reaching a good accuracy even with small samples $\Omega$. 

doi:10.1088/1742-5468/2013/02/P02009
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Moreover, we verified that the energies of the incoming wave, at a given temperature, lie in the conduction band of the infinite periodic chain of barriers, which implies that $S_B(N,V;T) > 0$. A few comments are in order here. In the first place, the absence of localization can be traced back to the fixed finite amount of insulating material, which we have even in the $N \to \infty$ limit, because $L$ is fixed. As a consequence, incoming waves may, at most, be damped by a finite factor, except, perhaps, for a negligible set of energies which we have not observed. This distinguishes our model from the tight-binding model, which is more extensively investigated in the specialized literature, and also prevents the application of Furstenberg’s theorem [8]. Indeed, introducing the $(N + 1)$th barrier in one of our systems amounts to a complete rearrangement of the previous $N$ barriers. Mathematically, this means that the product of the first $N$ random matrices is replaced by a new product. In contrast, the case of ergodic-like theorems, such as Furstenberg’s one, applies to products of $N$ random matrices which are multiplied by the $(N + 1)$th matrix. Moreover, the decrease of the size of fluctuations with $N$, which will be explored in more detailed below, can be regarded as a phenomenon of self-averaging of the observable $S$, which is quite common [8]. However, our results, further supported by the analysis of the PDF of the transmission coefficient, figures 6 and 7 below, show that the average over the disorder of the random values $S(N,\Lambda_N,V;T)$ attains the specific value $S_B(N,V;T)$ pertaining to the regular configuration. In other words, the transmission coefficient self-averages around a value $\langle S \rangle_\Omega(N,V;T)$ which is close to $S_B$ even for small $N$ and tends to $S_B$ in the $N \to \infty$ limit. As shown in figure 7, given a sample $\Omega$ of uniform realizations, $S_B(N,V;T)$ corresponds to the most probable value of the random variable $S$ in the sample, which, when $N$ grows, tends also to the mean $\langle S \rangle_\Omega(N,V;T)$. Furthermore, being obtained from the dimensionless SE (6), this result is independent of the length of the system (as long as $L$ is fixed) and does not result in localization effects when $L$ is large, because $S_B$ does not vanish.

Let us now investigate, more accurately, the structure of the fluctuations, in the sample $\Omega$ of random uniform configurations at temperature $T = 300$ K. Denote by $\rho_N(S)\,dS$ the
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Figure 6. Probability densities $\rho_N(S)$ for different values of $N$ and for $V = 20$.

Figure 7. Rate functional $\zeta_N$ associated with $\rho_N(S)$ for different values of $N$ and for $V = 20$. The curves $\zeta_N$ move downwards for growing $N$, so, as expected, in the $N \to \infty$ limit, $\zeta(S)$ intersects the horizontal axis only at $S = S_B$.

The numerical results presented so far on the relation between $\langle S \rangle_\Omega(N, V; T)$ and $S_B(N, V; T)$ and their mutual relation, equation (20), as well as on the decrease of the fluctuation size with growing $N$, indicate that $\rho_N(S)$ peaks more and more around the reference value $S_B(N, V; T)$. To show this, we numerically calculated the quantity $\rho_N(S)$ from equation (16) and we plotted in figure 6 the resulting curves for different values of $N$ and for $V = 20$. The maxima of the PDF in figure 6 are located at $S = S_B(N, V; T)$ (cf also figure 7), and tend, for large $N$, to the mean values $\langle S \rangle_\Omega(N, V; T)$. One further realizes that $\rho_N(S)$ obeys a large deviation principle with a given rate functional $\zeta_N$, in

$$\langle S \rangle_\Omega = \int S \rho_N(S) dS. \quad (21)$$
Figure 8. Rate functional $\zeta_N(S)$ associated with $\rho_N(S)$. Blue points: results of the numerical simulation with $N = 100$ and $V = 20$. Green dashed line: fitting of numerical data with the parabola $a(S - S_B(N))^2 + b$, with parameters $a = 2635.5 \pm 82.96$ and $b = -5.655 \times 10^{-2} \pm 3.38 \times 10^{-4}$.

the sense that the limit

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{-\log \rho_N(S)}{N} = \lim_{N \to \infty} \zeta_N(S) = \zeta(S)$$

exists. Figure 7 shows, for the range in which we have good statistics, that the large deviation rate functional $\zeta$ is apparently smooth and strictly convex. It is worth pointing out, again, that the $N \to \infty$ limit is not achieved in the standard fashion of using products of random matrices.

It is also interesting to note, in figure 7, that the locus of the minima, i.e. of highest probability density, of all the curves $\zeta_N(S)$ is represented by $S_B(N,V;T)$. Moreover, figure 8 reveals that, for small deviations from $S_B(N,V;T)$, the rate functional $\zeta_N(S)$ is quadratic, as expected where the central limit theorem applies. Following the approach outlined in [17], if a large deviation functional $\zeta(S)$ exists, for any finite $N$ one may write

$$\zeta(S) = \frac{1}{N} \log \rho_N(S) + z_N(S)$$

(23)

where $z_N$ is a correction term expected to be of order $O(1/N)$ as long as correlations decay sufficiently fast; cf [18, 19]. This correction term may be further split as $z_N(S) = \phi(S)/N + \tilde{z}_N(S)$. Thus, if we consider two different values of $N$, say $N_1$ and $N_2$, one may write [17]

$$\zeta(S) = \frac{1}{N_1 - N_2} \left[ \log(\rho_{N_2}/\rho_{N_1}) + N_2\tilde{z}_{N_2} - N_1\tilde{z}_{N_1} \right]$$

(24)

where the remaining correction terms $\tilde{z}_{N_i}$ are expected to give a smaller contribution to $\zeta$ than $z_N$ in equation (23) does. We numerically verified the validity of the finite $N$ estimate of the large deviation functional $\zeta(S)$ given by equation (24), observing the rapid collapse of all curves of figure 7 onto a single curve, in figure 9.

From the validity of a principle of large deviations and of the central limit theorem, one expects the following asymptotic behavior for the fluctuations of the transmission
We numerically checked that this is the case by evaluating the ratio in equation (25); cf the top panel of figure 10, for $V = 20$. The bottom panel of figure 10 further illustrates the decay of $N_{\text{meso}}$ with $V$: taking $N_{\text{meso}}$ such that $\sigma_\rho(N,V) < \sigma_\rho^* = 1.4 \times 10^{-3} \forall N > N_{\text{meso}}$, where $\sigma_\rho^*$ is considered small, $N_{\text{meso}}(V)$ is found to rapidly decrease with $V$: we obtain $N_{\text{meso}} = 100, 18, 8$ for, respectively, $V = 25, 30, 35$. Then, $N_{\text{meso}}$ tends to 0 when the barrier height diverges: in this limit the transmission coefficient vanishes for any configuration $\Lambda_N$, and hence the fluctuations are suppressed.

### 5. Concluding remarks

In this work we investigated a quantum mechanical one-dimensional system, in equilibrium with a classical heat bath. The role played by the disorder on the transmission coefficient $S$ has been investigated. Our numerical investigation sheds light on the existence of appropriate scales, respectively delimited by $N_{\text{meso}}$ and $N_{\text{macro}}$, which are not as widely separated as in thermodynamic systems. The main result of our work suggests that, in the presence of an external heat bath and off-diagonal disorder, the wavefunction is delocalized over all of the system length. This absence of localization reflects the long range correlations which extend over the whole length of our systems and prevent the low tunneling limit being reached. Therefore, the effective tri-diagonal Hamiltonian for given bound states in a potential well, which is common to studies of the Anderson model, does not pertain to our systems and should in this case be replaced by a full matrix. The presence of correlations is known to lead to extended states in models enjoying off-diagonal disorder; cf [11, 20]. Furthermore, our procedure for the $N \to \infty$ limit makes the Furstenberg theorem inapplicable. The variable $S$ is self-averaging for growing $N$, and tends to the most probable value. Interestingly, this value is $S_B$, which corresponds to a microscopic ordered array of barriers and wells. This observation has
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Figure 10. Top panel: fit of $\sigma_\rho/\langle S \rangle_\Omega$ (red curve), evaluated for $V = 20$, with the curve $f(N) = a \times N^{-1/2}$, with $\log a = 3.798 \, 383 \times 10^{-2} \pm 4.707 \times 10^{-4}$. The plot confirms the asymptotic behavior of $\sigma_\rho/\langle S \rangle_\Omega$, given by equation (25). Bottom panel: behavior of $\sigma_\rho(N,V)$ versus $N$, for $V = \{25, 30, 35\}$. The thick orange dashed line denotes the value $\sigma_\rho^*$ below which we may conventionally assume that the size of fluctuations is practically negligible.
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