Development of Human Cell-Based In Vitro Infection Models to Determine the Intracellular Survival of Mycobacterium avium
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The Mycobacterium avium (Mav) complex accounts for more than 80% of all pulmonary diseases caused by non-tuberculous mycobacteria (NTM) infections, which have an alarming increase in prevalence and vary in different regions, currently reaching 0.3–9.8 per 100,000 individuals. Poor clinical outcomes, as a result of increasing microbial drug resistance and low treatment adherence due to drug-toxicities, emphasize the need for more effective treatments. Identification of more effective treatments, however, appears to be difficult, which may be due to the intracellular life of NTM and concomitant altered drug sensitivity that is not taken into account using traditional drug susceptibility testing screenings. We therefore developed human cell-based in vitro Mav infection models using the human MelJuSo cell line as well as primary human macrophages and a fluorescently labeled Mav strain. By testing a range of multiplicity of infection (MOI) and using flow cytometry and colony-forming unit (CFU) analysis, we found that an MOI of 10 was the most suitable for Mav infection in primary human macrophages, whereas an MOI of 50 was required to achieve similar results in MelJuSo cells. Moreover, by monitoring intracellular bacterial loads over time, the macrophages were shown to be capable of controlling the infection, while MelJuSo cells failed to do so. When comparing the MGIT system with the classical CFU counting assay to determine intracellular bacterial loads, MGIT appeared as a less labor-intensive, more precise, and more objective alternative. Next, using our macrophage Mav infection models, the drug efficacy of the first-line drug rifampicin and the more recently discovered bedaquiline on intracellular bacteria was compared to the activity on extracellular bacteria. The efficacy of the antibiotics inhibiting bacterial growth was significantly lower against intracellular bacteria compared to extracellular bacteria. This finding emphasizes the crucial role of the host cell during infection and drug susceptibility and highlights the usefulness of the models. Taken
together, the human cell-based Mav infection models are reliable tools to determine the intracellular loads of Mav, which will enable researchers to investigate host–pathogen interactions and to evaluate the efficacy of (host-directed) therapeutic strategies against Mav.
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INTRODUCTION

*Mycobacterium avium* (Mav), a pathogen widely distributed in the environment, is a member of non-tuberculous mycobacteria (NTM). NTM infections predominantly manifest as chronic lung disease (NTM-LD), of which the prevalence has been rising over the last 30 years, being more prevalent than tuberculosis in some regions (Marras et al., 2007; Adjemian et al., 2012). The vast majority (80%) of these NTM-LD cases are caused by the *Mav* complex (Rindi and Garzelli, 2014), and the higher occurrence of *Mav*-LD is mainly observed in immunocompromised patients with structural lung conditions or immunologic and genetic disorders (Ottenhoff et al., 2002; Olivier et al., 2003; Corti and Palermo, 2008; Daley, 2017). However, despite its rarity in immunocompetent individuals (<10 cases per 100,000 people below the age of 50 years), *Mav* also causes LD without predispoding conditions, especially in elderly women (Inderlied et al., 1993; Field et al., 2004; Daley, 2017).

The treatment for *Mav* infection consists of a multidrug antibiotic regimen, including a macrolide (usually clarithromycin or azithromycin), ethambutol, and rifampicin or rifabutin (Arend et al., 2009; Daley et al., 2020), and, in severe cases, also an aminoglycoside (van Ingen et al., 2012; Kwon et al., 2019). Despite a lengthy treatment that should be maintained at least 12 months after negative sputum culture conversion, approximately 60% of treatments are unsuccessful (Xu et al., 2014). The high failure rate is largely due to drug resistance and low treatment adherence as a result of lengthiness of treatment and concomitant adverse reactions, but also because of limited treatment responses and patient relapses (Field et al., 2004; Koh et al., 2013; Kwon et al., 2019; Veziris et al., 2021). Hence, the development of new treatments to eradicate *Mav* infections is highly desired.

A promising alternative or adjunctive therapy for mycobacterial infection is host-directed therapy (HDT). HDT stimulates host cells to eliminate invading pathogens and/or counteract pathogen-induced mechanisms that prevent or impair bacterial clearance. As mycobacteria are predominantly intracellular pathogens, with many host–pathogen interactions, HDT is an appealing adjunctive therapy. By targeting infected host cells, HDT offers several advantages over antibiotics: (1) HDT has a low probability of evoking de novo drug resistance as the drugs do not target the pathogen; (2) HDT will most likely be effective against drug-resistant mycobacterial strains; (3) HDT could also be effective against metabolically inactive and/or non-replicating bacteria; and (4) HDT and classical antibiotic could act synergistically as both target different processes, such that antibiotic treatment duration and/or dosage (and concomitant adverse effects) might be significantly reduced. Host–pathogen interactions and HDT are extensively investigated with regard to *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (*Mtb*), and although it is known that NTM are able to modulate host immune responses, including inhibition of phagosome maturation or host epigenetic features (Early et al., 2011; Korbee et al., 2018; Moreira et al., 2020), the limited knowledge on the host–pathogen interactions during *Mav* infections still hampers the identification of targets for HDT (Kilinc et al., 2021).

To gain further insight into host–pathogen interactions and to identify new therapeutic molecules against intracellular *Mav*, robust *in vitro* infection models in human cells are required. We previously described *in vitro* infection models for (multi-drug resistant) *Mtb* that allow accurate determination of mycobacterial loads and proved suitable to identify HDTs for *Mtb* infections (Korbee et al., 2018; Vrieling et al., 2019; Moreira et al., 2020). In the present study, we adapted and modified these models to NTM, by generating fluorescently labeled *Mav* and establishing suitable infection conditions in a human cell line as well as primary macrophages. In addition, an automated liquid culture method known as the BACTEC mycobacteria growth indicator tube (MGIT) 960 system was validated here to accurately determine intracellular bacterial loads of *Mav* (Tortoli et al., 1999). The models described here can be used to identify antimicrobial and HDT compounds and to investigate what host signaling pathways and regulatory networks control *Mav* infection.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell Cultures

The MelJuSo human melanoma cell line (kindly provided by Jacques Neefjes, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, the Netherlands) was maintained in Gibco Iscove’s Modified Dulbecco’s Medium (IMDM) (Life Technologies, Bleiswijk, the Netherlands) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, Greiner Bio-One, Alphen a/d Rijn, the Netherlands), 100 units/ml penicillin, and 100 μg/ml streptomycin (Life Technologies) at 37°C/5% CO₂. Peripheral blood mononuclear cells were isolated from anonymized healthy donor buffy coats obtained after written informed consent (Sanquin Blood Bank, Amsterdam, the Netherlands) by density gradient centrifugation over Ficoll Amidotrizoate (Pharmacy, LUMC, the Netherlands). This was approved by the Sanquin Ethical Advisory Board, in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and according to
Dutch regulations. CD14+ monocytes were isolated by magnetic cell sorting using anti-CD14-coated microbeads (Miltenyi Biotec, Bergisch Gladbach, Germany) and differentiated for 6 days into pro-inflammatory (M1) or anti-inflammatory (M2) macrophages with 5 ng/ml of granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF; Miltenyi Biotec) or 50 ng/ml macrophage-CSF (M-CSF; R&D Systems, Abingdon, UK), respectively, as previously reported (Verreck et al., 2006). Monocytes and macrophages were cultured in Gibco Dutch modified Roswell Park Memorial Institute (RPMI) 1640 medium (Life Technologies) supplemented with 10% FBS, 2 mM L-alanyl-L-glutamine (PAA, Linz, Austria), and during differentiation with 100 units/ml penicillin and 100 µg/ml streptomycin at 37°C/5% CO₂.

**Bacterial Cultures**

*M. avium* laboratory strain 101 (700898, ATCC, Virginia, the United States) and three clinical isolates denoted as *M. avium* 100 (amikacin-resistant), (drug-susceptible) 568, and (clarithromycin-resistant) 918 strains [the clinical isolates were isolated from pulmonary infections and displayed different susceptibility profiles to antibiotics as indicated, according to the French guidelines (Comité de l’Antibiogramme de la SFM V.1.0 Avril 2021, European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing)] were cultured in Difco Middlebrook 7H9 broth (Becton Dickinson, Breda, the Netherlands), containing 0.2% glycerol (Merck Life Science, Amsterdam, the Netherlands), 0.05% Tween-80 (Merck Life Science), and 10% Middlebrook albumin, dextrose, and catalase (ADC) enrichment (Becton Dickinson), which was supplemented with 100 µg/ml Hygromycin B (Life Technologies) for culturing the green fluorescently labeled *M. avium* Wasabi strain.

**Growth of M. avium** Wasabi in suspension at 37°C was evaluated by measuring the absorbance at an optical density of 600 nm (OD₆₀₀) using the OD₆₀₀ Ultrospec 10 Cell density meter (Amersham Biosciences). In parallel, growth was evaluated by enumerating bacterial colonies by an agar plate assay to determine the OD factor (defined as CFU/ml in a culture with an OD₆₀₀ of 1.0) for *M. avium* Wasabi. Bacterial suspensions were therefore prepared using the estimated OD factor and plated on 7H10 agar plates. Bacterial colonies were counted to determine the final OD factor. The doubling time (the time required for a population of bacteria to double in number) was calculated by first determining the doubling factor (i.e., the number of times the bacteria have doubled in numbers) by determining how many times the bacteria have doubled in numbers (c in the equation) from early log-phase (OD₆₀₀ = 0.25; b in the equation) until late log-phase culture (OD > 3; a in the equation).

Doubling factor = (LOG(a) – LOG(b))/LOG(c)

(As an example: Doubling factor = (LOG(3.9) – LOG(0.25))/LOG(2) = 3.96. This number indicates how many times the bacteria have doubled in numbers. When this doubling factor is corrected for the amount of time that was used, say 96 h, the doubling time of the bacteria is determined: the doubling time = (96 h) × doubling factor = 3.96/96 = 0.2422 h. This number indicates the time required for one generation round.

**Electroporation With and Expression of Wasabi Construct in Mav 101**

Electroporation of *M. avium* 101 was performed using the pSMT3-Wasabi construct. The Wasabi gene, amplified from the pTEC15 plasmid (Addgene plasmid #30174) by PCR, was kindly provided by Herman Spanik (Leiden University, Leiden, the Netherlands) and cloned into the mycobacterial expression vector pSMT3 (Gaora, 1998). In this vector, expression of Wasabi is constitutive and controlled by the hygromycin resistance gene-containing hsp60 promoter. First, electroporant *M. avium* was freshly prepared from a 50-ml log-phase culture by incubation with 1.5% glycine (Life Technologies) for 18 h at 37°C. Subsequently, bacteria were centrifuged at 1,934 rcf for 20 min and washed three times with 37°C deionized H₂O supplemented with 10% glycerol and 0.5 M sucrose (electroporation solution) followed by centrifugation at 2,120 rcf for 10 min. Electroporant bacteria were concentrated 100× in electroporation solution and 100 µl of bacteria was electroporated at room temperature with 5 µg of plasmid DNA using 0.2-cm-gap Gene Pulser electroporation cuvettes and the Gene Pulser Xcell Electroporation System (Bio-Rad) with the following settings: 1,000 V, 25 µF, 1.25 kV, and 2.5 V. Transformed bacteria were incubated overnight in 7H9 broth at 37°C in a shaking incubator, transferred to 7H10 agar plates under 100 µg/ml hygromycin selection, and incubated at 37°C/5% CO₂ for 7–10 days.

Expression of the Wasabi green fluorescent protein in individual clones of *M. avium* Wasabi was analyzed by fixing samples in Falcon Round-Bottom Polystyrene Tubes with 1% paraformaldehyde at 4°C for at least 45 min before measuring samples at wavelength 518–548 nm on the BD Accuri C6 Plus flow cytometer (BD Biosciences). Flowjo v10 Software (BD Biosciences) was used for analysis. Resistance to hygromycin was validated by mixing early log-phase *M. avium* Wasabi culture with either 100 µg/ml or 200 µg/ml hygromycin, 20 µg/ml rifampicin (Sigma-Aldrich, Zwijndrecht, the Netherlands) as positive control, or DMSO (Merck Life Science) as negative control. Plates were incubated at 37°C/5% CO₂ for 10 days. Once every 2 days, the wells were resuspended and the absorbance at 600 nm was measured using the EnVision Multimode Plate Reader (Perkin Elmer). Outgrowth of bacteria in the hygromycin condition was compared to the controls.

**Mav Infection of Human Cells**

One day prior to infection, cultures of *M. avium* Wasabi and the three clinical isolates of *M. avium* were diluted to a density corresponding with early log-phase growth (OD₆₀₀ of 0.4). On the day of infection, bacterial suspensions were diluted in appropriate cell culture medium without antibiotics to reach the indicated multiplicity of infection (MOI). MOI of the inoculum was verified by preparing tenfold serial dilutions in 7H9 medium and plating 10-µl drops of each dilution on 7H10 agar plates.
For experiments using the MGIT system, 125 μl of each dilution was transferred into MGIT tubes that contain a fluorescence-quenching oxygen sensor and prepared according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Subsequently, the inoculated tubes were incubated at 37°C in a BACTEC MGIT 960 instrument and were monitored automatically for oxygen utilization, which results in an increase in fluorescence. The number of days from inoculation until cultures reached a fluorescent intensity threshold was recorded as time to positivity (TTP). The TTP measurements were plotted against plate-counted log10 CFU using linear regression to be able to calculate bacterial loads (Supplementary Figure 1). MelJuSo cells or primary human macrophages, seeded in flat-bottom 96-well plates at a density of 20,000 cells (2 × 10⁵ cells/ml) or 30,000 cells (3 × 10⁵ cells/ml) per well, respectively, in MelJuSo or macrophage culture medium without antibiotics 1 day before infection, were inoculated in triplicate or indicated otherwise with 100 μl of the bacterial suspension. Plates were centrifuged for 3 min at 129 rcf and incubated for 1 h at 37°C/5% CO₂. In order to monitor only intracellular bacteria following infection, cells were washed with culture medium containing 30 μg/ml gentamicin (Merck Life Science), which blocks extracellular Mav growth (Supplementary Figure 2). Afterwards, cells were treated with fresh cell culture medium containing 5 μg/ml gentamicin and, if applicable, compounds of interest. Plates were incubated at 37°C/5% CO₂ until readout by flow cytometry, CFU or MGIT, as indicated.

Quantification of Infection

Cells were infected as described above, and infection rates were determined by washing cells with PBS and subsequently trypsinized with Gibco 0.05% Trypsin-EDTA (Life Technologies). After trypsinization, appropriate cell culture medium containing FBS was added to the wells to inactivate trypsin and the monolayers were scraped. Harvested cells were centrifuged in Falcon Round-Bottom Polystyrene Tubes at 453 rcf for 5 min to remove the supernatant. Cells were fixed with 1% paraformaldehyde prior to measurement and analysis as described above.

To determine numbers of bacteria taken up during infection and the subsequent survival of bacteria after prolonged incubation, infected MelJuSo cells were lysed at 0 and 24 h and primary human macrophages also at 48, 72, and 144 h post-infection using 100 μl of lysis buffer (H₂O + 0.05% SDS). Cell lysates were serially diluted in multiple steps in 7H9 medium and 10-μl droplets were plated on 7H10 agar plates. After 7–10 days of incubation at 37°C/5% CO₂, plates were photographically scanned, and bacterial colonies were counted. CFU counts were averaged and corrected for dilution factors to give CFU count per sample.

The ability of the MGIT system to accurately predict CFU of Mav was determined by evaluating intracellular bacterial loads of experimental cell lysates obtained in the same way as for the CFU analysis. Of each cell lysate, 125 μl was transferred to MGIT tubes. The obtained TTP measurements were then converted into CFU counts by using linear regression and compared with the plate-counted values. The percentage of bacterial survival was defined as the fraction of CFU measured during prolonged incubation of the total CFU measured at uptake (=100%). As part of the validation of the MGIT assay, primary human macrophages exposed to Mav Wasabi (10:1) were treated for 24 h with 20 μg/ml rifampicin or 0.1% DMSO as negative control. After incubation, supernatant was removed, and cells were lysed with 100 μl of lysis buffer.

Number of bacteria per cell lysate was measured by both the agar plate assay and the MGIT assay. The activity of the antibiotic was determined by calculating the fraction of bacteria observed in the rifampicin condition of the total CFU measured in control (=100%).

Application of the MGIT System to Assess the Susceptibility to Antibiotics of Intracellular Bacteria, Compared With Extracellular Bacteria

To determine the efficacy of antibiotics on extracellular bacteria, early log-phase Mav Wasabi culture was mixed in round-bottom 96-wells plates in duplicate with 1.29 μg/ml rifampicin, 1.74 μg/ml bedaquiline (kindly provided by Dirk Lamprecht, Janssen, Beerse, Belgium), or control (0.1% DMSO). These concentrations indicate the minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) determined for each antibiotic by testing twofold serial drug dilutions against Mav Wasabi in liquid broth cultures (Supplementary Figure 3). Plates were incubated at 37°C/5% CO₂ for 2 weeks. Once every 2 days, the wells were resuspended and absorbance at 600 nm was measured using the Envision Multimode Plate Reader (Perkin Elmer). For the determination of intracellular activity, primary human macrophages exposed to Mav Wasabi (10:1) in duplicate were treated for 24 h with 1.29 μg/ml rifampicin, 1.74 μg/ml bedaquiline, or control (0.1% DMSO). After treatment, supernatant was removed, and cells were lysed with 100 μl of lysis buffer. Cell lysates were further evaluated by the MGIT assay as described above. The activity of the antibiotics on bacteria was determined by calculating the fraction of bacteria observed in the rifampicin or bedaquiline conditions of the total CFU measured in control (=100%).

Statistical Analysis

Normality of data was assessed using the Shapiro–Wilk test. For normally distributed paired datasets of more than two groups, we used repeated measures one-way ANOVA if data were determined by one independent variable, and repeated measures two-way ANOVA if two independent variables were involved. Paired and unpaired t-tests were used to evaluate differences in normally distributed datasets between two groups, whereas the Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test was used for non-normally distributed paired data. To determine the strength of association between non-normally distributed datasets, the Spearman rank correlation test was used. Analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism 9.0 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA), with p-values < 0.05 considered as significant.
RESULTS

Generation of Fluorescently Labeled Mav Strain 101
The first step in developing the human cell-based in vitro infection models was the generation of a green fluorescent protein-expressing Mav strain. This was achieved by electroporating a hygromycin resistance conferring plasmid, pSMT3-Wasabi, into wild-type laboratory strain Mav 101. Successful transfection was confirmed by expression of the Wasabi fluorescent protein using flow cytometry (Figure 1A), and resistance to hygromycin by observing outgrowth (Figure 1B).

Growth Kinetics of Mav Wasabi
The OD factor of Mav [the number of colony-forming units per ml (CFU/ml) in a culture with an OD_{600} value of 1.0] was determined to be able to prepare bacterial suspensions and infect cells with standardized MOI. To this end, growth kinetics of Mav were determined by measuring the optical density (OD_{600}) and enumerating CFU of Mav Wasabi cultures at 0, 24, 48, 72, and 96 h after the start of the culture (Figure 1C). Starting in early log-phase (OD_{600} = 0.1), the bacterial culture reached an OD_{600} value of 1.0 after 48 h. At the same time point, the number of CFU/ml was obtained and verified in multiple incula to obtain the definitive OD factor of 2.4 \times 10^8 CFU/ml.

Ultimately, the bacteria grew to an OD_{600} value of 2.2 within 96 h (Figure 1C). The doubling time was calculated for multiple Mav cultures and was determined to be 23 h on average (range: 17–33 h) (Figure 1D), which is in line with the slow replication rate reported in literature (Ratnatunga et al., 2020).

In Vitro Mav Infection Models Using Human MelJuSo Cells and Human PBMC-Derived Primary Macrophages
In order to investigate NTM infections at the intracellular bacterial level, we developed human cell-based infection models for Mav, adapted from our previously reported infection models for Mtb (Korbee et al., 2018; Vrieling et al., 2019). First, we evaluated the capacity of MelJuSo cells to engulf Mav and optimized the level of infection by adjusting the MOI to reach an infection percentage comparable to what we observed previously in our MelJuSo-Mtb infection model (Korbee et al., 2018). In Mav-infected MelJuSo cells, an MOI-dependent increase in infection was observed, as reflected by an increase in infection rate (% of infected cells) and intracellular bacterial loads directly after infection as determined by flow cytometry and CFU analysis, respectively (Figures 2A, B). By infecting cells for 1 h with an MOI of 10, 8% of the cells were infected as determined by flow cytometry, reflected in intracellular Mav counts of 1.2 \times 10^4 \pm 2 \times 10^3 CFU. In contrast, Mtb-MelJuSo cells...
FIGURE 2 | Quantification of infection with and eradication of intracellular Mav Wasabi and/or clinical isolates by flow cytometry and/or CFU enumeration in MelJuSo cells and primary human macrophages. MelJuSo cells were infected with a multiplicity of infection (MOI) range of Mav Wasabi for 1 h. Directly after infection (0 h post-infection), the percentage of infected cells was determined by flow cytometry (A) and intracellular bacterial load was quantified using a CFU assay (B). Bacterial elimination was monitored by lysing cells for CFU analysis 24 h post-infection (B). The bars and whiskers represent the mean ± SEM of four different experiments. Differences were tested for statistical significance using one-way ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple comparison testing for infection rates between indicated MOI (A) or two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni’s multiple comparison testing for CFU between time points for each MOI (B). Monocyte-derived human macrophages differentiated into pro-inflammatory macrophages (M1) or anti-inflammatory macrophages (M2) were infected with a multiplicity of infection (MOI) range (C, D) or an MOI of 10 (F) of Mav Wasabi for 1 h. M1 and M2 macrophages were also exposed to an MOI range of three Mav clinical isolate strains 100, 568, and 918 (E). Directly after infection (0 h post-infection), the percentage of infected cells was determined by flow cytometry (C) and intracellular bacterial load was quantified using a CFU assay (D, E). In Mav Wasabi-infected macrophages, eradication of bacteria was monitored over time by lysing cells for CFU analysis at the indicated time points post-infection (F). Primary human macrophages were obtained from 4 to 7 different donors. The bars/symbols and whiskers/error bars represent the mean ± SEM (C, F) or median ± range (D, E). Dark and light bars represent M1 and M2, respectively. Hatched bars represent previously reported infection rates in Mtb-infected cells (10:1). Relevance of observed differences in infection rate and intracellular bacteria between M1 and M2 at each MOI was tested using Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank tests with Holm-Sidak multiple comparison testing (C–E), whereas two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni’s multiple comparison testing was used for CFU between time points (F). *p < 0.05; ns, non-significant.
reached an infection rate of near 30% at an MOI of 10 (Figure 2A) (Korbee et al., 2018). Cells exposed to an MOI of 20, 50, or 100 of Mav showed a mean infection rate of 11%, 18%, or 22% and CFU counts of $2.5 \times 10^4 \pm 8 \times 10^3$, $5.3 \times 10^4 \pm 2 \times 10^3$, or $1.1 \times 10^5 \pm 3 \times 10^3$, respectively. After 24 h of incubation, intracellular bacterial loads were similar to bacterial loads directly after infection (Figure 2B), suggesting a steady state infection during the first 24 h.

In addition to the MelJuSo-Mav infection model, we also developed a Mav infection model using primary monocyte-derived human macrophages, differentiated into two diametrically opposed subsets, namely, GM-CSF-driven classically pro-inflammatory macrophages (M1), and M-CSF-driven alternatively activated anti-inflammatory macrophages (M2), which represent the two main phenotypes of human alveolar macrophages (Mitsi et al., 2018; Hu and Christman, 2019). A clear MOI-associated increase in infection was observed for both M1 and M2 (Figure 2C); using an MOI of 1, 10, and 100, M1 showed infection percentages of 6%, 22%, and 60%, respectively, while 7%, 64%, and 93% of M2 were infected. Using a similar model, the infection rates for MOI 10 Mtb-infected macrophages were reported to be 41% and 67% for M1 and M2, respectively (Figure 2C) (Korbee et al., 2018). No differences were observed in flow cytometry-based infection levels between M1 and M2, and also no consistent significant differences in numbers of CFU were observed between these cells (Figure 2D). In addition to the laboratory Mav strain, we also evaluated the phagocytosis capacity of the macrophages for the three Mav clinical isolates 100, 568, and 918. The uptake by M1 and M2 of these clinical isolates during infection at MOI 10 was in the same magnitude ($3.3 \times 10^4 \pm 5 \times 10^3$, $2.4 \times 10^4 \pm 4 \times 10^3$ and $3.5 \times 10^4 \pm 1 \times 10^5$ CFU) as observed for the laboratory strain (Figure 2E).

The above results show that primary macrophages are more readily infected with Mav compared to MelJuSo cells. Using an MOI of 10 in the macrophage Mav model or an MOI of 50 in MelJuSo model will allow detection of at least a 3-log reduction (i.e., bacterial survival from 100% down to 0.1%), in intracellular bacterial load, which will be sufficient to identify efficacious (HDT) compounds, while at the same time not overloading the cells with bacteria.

**Primary Macrophages Are Able to Control Intracellular Mav Early After Infection**

To determine how effective macrophages are in controlling Mav infection, clearance of Mav Wasabi by M1 and M2 exposed to MOI 10 was assessed 24, 48, 72, and 144 h post-infection (Figure 2F). Numbers of CFU decreased in both M1 and M2, with M2 seemingly better in controlling the infection. At the last time point, 144 h post-infection, 65 ± 20% and 86 ± 12% of intracellular bacteria were eliminated in M1 and M2, respectively (Figure 2F).

Additionally, we compared the intracellular elimination of Mav by macrophages with Mtb over time. We previously described kinetic analysis of intracellular Mtb survival in a similar M2 model, which showed a rapid reduction in Mtb bacterial load (Vrielings et al., 2019). These cells eliminated Mtb by at least 85% after 24 h, implying that Mtb is instantly controlled after infection, while this was less profound for Mav (39 ± 17%, Figure 2F). Mav was, however, controlled to a similar extent as Mtb eventually (86 ± 12% and 97.8% elimination, respectively).

**MGIT as an Alternative to Quantify Intracellular Bacteria**

To increase throughput and to enhance objectivity (since CFU agar plate assays are known to result in inter-observer variation when enumerating colonies), the BACTEC MGIT 960 system was used to quantify bacteria by measuring bacterial metabolic activity as a surrogate for bacterial loads.

Intracellular bacterial loads of Mav-infected macrophages estimated by the MGIT significantly correlated with the CFU counted from plates (Pearson r: 0.78; p-value = 0.011) and intra-assay variation for data obtained with the MGIT seemed to be smaller (coefficient of variation: 36% compared to 51% for plate-counted CFU analysis; p-value = 0.109) (Figure 3A).

To obtain further insight into the usefulness of our infection model, we compared the MGIT system to determine the activity of first-line antibiotic rifampicin on intracellular Mav to the classical CFU assay (Figure 3B). Rifampicin-induced effects determined by MGIT are in concordance with the classical CFU assay for both M1 and M2. This indicates that the MGIT system, which showed a trend of higher CFU numbers possibly due to the liquid medium as an inherent characteristic, was able to observe a compound-induced effect. Additionally, the intra-assay variation in MGIT seemed to be smaller compared to the classical CFU assay (coefficient of variation: 32% versus 78%, respectively; p-value = 0.170), as observed in Figure 3A. Based on these data, we considered the MGIT system as a viable alternative to plate-counting CFU analysis for the determination of intracellular bacterial loads.

Currently, the gold standard to evaluate antibacterial activity of chemical compounds is by monitoring the growth of bacteria in the extracellular space (i.e., broth microdilutions) (Brown-Elliott et al., 2012). Also identified in this way was the first new tuberculosis drug in several decades, bedaquiline, which showed bactericidal activity against (multi-drug resistant) Mtb but has also shown promising results against extracellular Mav and other NTM in vitro (Mahajan, 2013; Aguilar-Ayala et al., 2017; Brown-Elliott et al., 2017; Vesenbeckh et al., 2017). Interestingly, cases of bedaquiline resistance have also been reported (Philley et al., 2015; Alexander et al., 2017; Veziris et al., 2017). Here, we applied the MGIT system to drug susceptibility testing (DST) by determining the susceptibility to both rifampicin and bedaquiline of intracellular Mav (within M1) in comparison to extracellular bacteria (in liquid broth).

While a concentration of 1.29 µg/ml rifampicin significantly impaired growth of extracellular bacteria (97% as compared to untreated controls), only a 31% reduction was observed in intracellular bacteria (Figure 4A). In line, bedaquiline treatment (1.74 µg/ml) impaired extracellular bacterial growth completely, while intracellular bacteria were only reduced by 17% as compared to untreated controls (Figure 4B).
These findings show the higher susceptibility of extracellular bacteria to antibiotics, indicating that extracellular drug testing might overestimate bacterial susceptibility to treatments during the course of intracellular infection in vivo. Taken together, our Mav macrophage model facilitates screening of antibacterial agents against intracellular Mav and emphasizes the importance of measuring the intracellular compartment on antibiotic susceptibility.

**FIGURE 3** | Quantification and comparison of infection with and eradication of intracellular Mav Wasabi by CFU enumeration based on agar plate assay and the MGIT system in primary human macrophages (A). Validation of the MGIT system to determine antibiotic efficacy in primary human macrophages infected with Mav Wasabi (B). To assess the MGIT system as a valid enumeration technique of intracellular bacteria, pro-inflammatory macrophages (M1) or anti-inflammatory macrophages (M2) were infected with an MOI 10 of Mav Wasabi for 1 h. After infection and during prolonged incubation, intracellular bacterial loads were quantified using the classical CFU assay and the MGIT system (A). The MGIT system was validated for its use for drug testing by treating Mav-infected M1 and M2 (10:1) with rifampicin (20 μg/ml) or control (DMSO) for 24 h (B). After treatment, cells were lysed and CFU numbers in lysates were determined by using the classical CFU assay and the MGIT assay. The symbols and whiskers represent the mean ± SEM of counted (gray boxes) and MGIT-based (open circles) CFU numbers (n = 3) (A), whereas the bars and error bars represent the median ± range (n = 5) (B). CFU numbers determined by either the CFU assay or MGIT were significantly correlated (Spearman r: 0.78; p-value = 0.011) (A) and Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank tests with Holm-Sidak multiple comparison testing was used to compare compound-induced effects between both methods (B). Ns, non-significant.

**FIGURE 4** | Evaluation of drug susceptibility of Mav Wasabi extracellularly in liquid broth versus intracellularly in primary human macrophages. To determine differential susceptibility of intracellular versus extracellular Mav to antibiotics, Mav Wasabi in liquid broth was cultured with a range of concentrations of rifampicin (A), bedaquiline (B), or control (DMSO). Bacterial outgrowth was monitored by absorbance measurements at 600 nm. After 14 days of incubation, the minimum concentration in which rifampicin (A) and bedaquiline (B) was assessed was 1.29 μg/ml and 1.74 μg/ml, respectively, and was used for intracellular activity evaluation. Pro-inflammatory macrophages (M1) were infected with an MOI 10 of Mav Wasabi for 1 h. After infection, cells were treated with rifampicin (1.29 μg/ml), bedaquiline (1.74 μg/ml), or control (DMSO) for 24 h. After treatment, cells were lysed, and intracellular bacterial loads were determined by the MGIT system. The bar and whiskers represent the mean ± SEM of extracellular (n = 4) or intracellular (n = 3) experiments. Statistics were performed using paired t-tests to compare the activity of antibiotic to control within each type of experiment, and unpaired t-tests were used to determine differences between potency of antibiotic against extracellular versus intracellular bacteria. ***p < 0.001, ****p < 0.0001; ns, non-significant.
DISCUSSION

The incidence of Mav pulmonary disease is increasing rapidly (Griffith et al., 2007; Kendall and Winthrop, 2013), whose therapy, despite being long and comprising multiple drugs, still has poor efficacy, as illustrated by the estimated poor cure rate of about 39% (Xu et al., 2014). The limited treatment success may be due to the fact that development of new drugs is routinely tested using DST (Griffith et al., 2007), that is, on extracellular bacteria, while Mav is an intracellular pathogen whose drug sensitivity may be vastly different intracellularly as compared to extracellularly. We therefore aimed to set up a model to determine the intracellular numbers of Mav and the two present models, one using a human phagocyte (melanoma derived) cell line and one with primary human macrophages. In these models, the viability of intracellular bacteria could be monitored and quantified over time using a classical CFU assay as well as the MGIT assay. Our models identified that the activity of the first-line drug rifampicin and the new class antibiotic bedaquiline was 3.1-fold and 5.7-fold less potent on intracellular bacteria as compared to extracellular bacteria, which may be caused by altered bacterial biology within host cells that affects drug susceptibility and/or limited exposure to antibiotics. The latter is at least partially involved as intracellular drug concentrations of rifampicin and bedaquiline have been shown to be lower than drug treatment concentrations (Kumar et al., 2006; Tanner et al., 2021). Hence, our findings emphasize the importance of taking the intracellular efficacy of an antibiotic regimen into account, for which the models presented can be exploited.

Macrophages are known to play an essential role in Mav infections and many host–pathogen interactions occur, of which the exact mechanisms remain to be elucidated (de Chastellier and Thilo, 2002; McGarvey and Bermudez, 2002; Rocco and Irani, 2011; Kilinc et al., 2021). To decipher these mechanisms in the natural niche of Mav, we developed a model that uses primary human monocyte-derived macrophages that can be used to study infections up to at least 6 days post infection. Although using primary cells is physiologically more relevant, limits on numbers of available cells and particularly inter-donor variation restrict its use in high- and medium-throughput screenings. In literature, models using cell lines THP-1 and U937 (Orme et al., 1994; Garcia et al., 2000; Danelishvili et al., 2004; Ichimura et al., 2016) have been used. These, however, require PMA stimulation, which largely disrupts and/or interferes with intracellular signaling pathways and is thereby unsuitable to identify novel HDTs (Liu and Heckman, 1998; Wu-Zhang and Newton, 2013). To circumvent this limitation, we have adapted a model using MelJuSo cells, which we have previously used to study Mtb infections and which do not require such pre-stimulation (Korbee et al., 2018). The MelJuSo cell line is derived from human melanocytes, and the latter have been shown to share several important characteristics with professional phagocytes like macrophages: (1) melanocytes have acidic and hydrolyse-containing vesicles, melanosomes, which very likely can function as lysosomes present in primary macrophages (Le Poole et al., 1993b); (2) melanocytes can also produce superoxides, which are one of the important antibacterial molecules produced by macrophages; and (3) human melanocytes also have been shown to process and present mycobacterial antigens to human T cells (Le Poole et al., 1993a; van Ham et al., 1997; van Ham et al., 2000). The functional immune characteristics shared between melanocytes and macrophages are indirectly supported by Korbee et al. (2018), who showed that the activity of published as well as newly discovered host-directed compounds in MelJuSo cells could be validated in human macrophages. Thus, whereas the MelJuSo model allows medium-throughput HDT compound screenings, relevant hits can be validated in the low-throughput primary macrophage model.

During mycobacterial infections, many host–pathogen interactions are at play that modulate both innate and adaptive immune responses to a large extent and are exploited by mycobacteria to facilitate bacterial survival. Consequently, modulating these interactions in favor of the host using so-called HDTs are appealing to improve the outcome. The presented model system is most suitable to study HDTs that target intracellular processes within macrophages, but cannot assess the effects of HDTs acting systemically, including promoting adaptive immune responses. However, the impact of HDTs on macrophage-mediated antigen presentation can be assessed in our new model. While, for Mtb, many potent effector functions of macrophages have been shown to be manipulated as part of Mtb’s strategy to survive intracellularly, our understanding of host–pathogen interactions of Mav is limited (Rocco and Irani, 2011; Upadhyay et al., 2018; Abreu et al., 2020; Kilinc et al., 2021). To improve our understanding of these processes, the models presented in this paper are ideally suitable and can furthermore be exploited to identify HDTs to improve treatment of Mav.

Quantification of mycobacteria is traditionally done using CFU assays, despite being labor-intensive, time-consuming, and prone to inter-individual variation. To improve objectivity and robustness, we validated the BACTEC MGIT 960 system, a liquid culture system with fully automated detection to monitor intracellular bacteria over time, by showing a strong correlation with the CFU assay, but with seemingly less variation. The MGIT has already been shown to be a robust, objective, and valid system for direct and indirect DST against Mtb (Huang et al., 2004; Shin et al., 2007; Jhamb et al., 2014; Kolibab et al., 2014), which is in line with the previously identified concordance between MGIT measurements and CFU counting on solid media (Pheiffer et al., 2008; Diancon et al., 2010). The MGIT system, however, measures metabolic activity in a liquid culture while CFU assays rely on growth on solid media, which might be differently affected by certain treatments. It has been shown that liquid medium offers a higher mycobacterial recovery rate, likely due to a wider range of mycobacterial populations being able to outgrow in liquid, but not in solid cultures, and liquid broth thereby enables growth of mycobacterial populations, which can also be present in vivo (Dhillon et al., 2004; Mitchison and Coates, 2004). In line with this, rifampicin treatment appeared to be more effective in the conventional CFU assay, as compared to MGIT,
which likely is merely a reflection of bacterial colonies that are unable to grow on solid agar after rifampicin treatment than being a real effect. Consequently, enumeration of CFU on solid media could underestimate the residual mycobacterial populations after anti-
Mav treatment, and MGIT may be a better indicator of mycobacterial survival and, therefore, physiologically more relevant.

Here, by establishing the optimal infection conditions, we developed in vitro human cell-based infection models for Mav. Both the MelJuSo cell line and primary human macrophages were capable of phagocytosing Mav, and intracellular survival of Mav within primary macrophages could be evaluated by using the MGIT system as an alternative to the classical CFU assay. The relevance and importance of such Mav infection models is highlighted by our finding that antibiotics were unable to eradicate intracellular Mav, while extracellular bacteria exposed to the same drug concentration were eliminated. Taken together, the models described here can be used to improve Mav therapy by also taking into account intracellular bacteria, and furthermore to advance our understanding of host–pathogen interactions and ultimately develop (host-directed) therapies to combat Mav infections.
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