Septic shock following hysteroscopy – A case report
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A B S T R A C T

Introduction: Minimally invasive gynecological surgery such as hysteroscopy has a small risk of complications. These include uterine perforation (with or without adjacent pelvic organ lesion), bleeding and infection, and are more common in the presence of risk factors such as smoking, history of pelvic inflammatory disease (PID) and endometriosis.

Case Presentation: A patient submitted to a diagnostic hysteroscopy with no immediate complications was admitted five days later to the emergency department in septic shock. The diagnosis of ruptured tubal abscess was made, requiring emergency laparotomy with sub-total hysterectomy and bilateral adnexectomy. Despite multiple organ failure requiring admission to the intensive care unit, the patient made a full recovery.

Conclusion: Ascending infection can be a life-threatening complication of hysteroscopy, even in the absence of previously known risk factors.

© 2020 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Any procedure requiring genito-urinary manipulation, such as hysteroscopy, hysterosonography or hysterosalpingography, carries a small risk of ascending infection. This complication is more likely in the presence of risk factors such as young age (under 25 years), active bacterial vaginosis, smoking, endometriosis, previous pelvic surgery, multiple sexual partners or recent change in partner. Pelvic inflammatory disease (PID) is readily diagnosed when the patient presents with fever, pelvic tenderness and vaginal discharge. In the case presented here, however, the patient did not recognize or value the symptoms and presented late. Left untreated, PID can cause sepsis, and in this case, life-threatening complications such as respiratory distress, kidney failure and shock.

2. Case Presentation

This is a case of a 39-year-old woman (virgo intacta) with a history of heavy menstrual bleeding due to a submucous leiomyoma (FIGO type 2). She was submitted to an office hysteroscopy to evaluate the possibility of resection, but, due to active bleeding, a decision to postpone the procedure to allow for administration of GnRH agonists was made. A second-look office diagnostic hysteroscopy was performed the next month, uncomplicated except for a difficult entry into the uterine cavity. Upon inspection, the leiomyoma’s dimensions were considered to be in-}

stopped, and the patient was discharged home after administration of GnRH agonist (goserelin).

The following day, the patient was admitted to the emergency department complaining of mild pelvic pain and one episode of vomiting, with no fever or bleeding. Ultrasound revealed the previously described leiomyoma, with no free pelvic fluid. Blood analysis showed no leukocytosis and normal level of C-reactive protein. She was prescribed paracetamol and discharged. On the fifth day, the patient presented to the emergency department with a 4-day history of intermittent fever, abdominal pain and distension. For the previous 2 days, she had had multiple episodes of vomiting, limiting her capacity for oral intake. Upon observation, she was found to be normotensive, with a normal heart rate and a tympanic temperature of 37.3 °C. The patient presented facial flushing with signs of distal hypoperfusion (cold extremities and mottled skin). Immediate care was provided, including 2 large-bore venous catheters with IV fluid infusion and administration of large-spectrum antibiotics. Blood and urine samples were collected for culture and laboratory evaluation.

Vaginal ultrasound was performed to assess the pelvic cavity, documenting a normal-sized uterus with the previously described leiomyoma, with no signs of perforation and a virtual uterine cavity. Both adnexa were found to be in retro-uterine position, with limited mobility, suggesting previously undiagnosed endometriosis. A 13-centimeter fluid-filled cystic mass was found to occupy the lateral pelvic recess, consistent with a dilated right fallopian tube (Fig. 1). The left ovary and fallopian tube showed no abnormal findings. The ultrasound exam detected no free fluid in the pelvic cavity and was only mildly painful.

The patient was transferred to an intermediate care unit for monitoring. Blood analysis showed no signs of anemia but revealed elevated
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inflammatory parameters (leukocytosis of 16,630/mL with 91% 
neutrophilia and C-reactive protein of 291 mg/dL, reference 
value <5 mg/dL). Acute renal lesion was diagnosed, based on anuric status de-
spite high-volume crystalloid infusion and blood creatinine levels of 
4.54 mg/dL. Arterial blood gasometry revealed primary metabolic alka-
losis (pH 7.57, pCO2 43.4 mmHg, HCO3 39.9 mEq/L, lactate 31 mmol/L). An abdominal X-ray (Fig. 2) showed distension of the stomach and 
small bowel, with no signs of pneumoperitoneum.

A computerized tomography (CT) scan was ordered and revealed “Marked distension of gastric chamber and proximal small bowel with no apparent extrinsic compression. Fluid collection in the pelvis, possibly cystic (CT scan with no intra-venous contrast). Normal-looking liver, biliary ducts, pancreas and kidneys. No sign of hydronephrosis” (Fig. 3). The surgical team decided to carry out an emergency exploratory laparotomy, which discovered a ruptured right fallopian tube with a significant volume of hemoperitoneum and generalized pelvic infection. Samples were collected for microbial analysis (which later revealed ampicillin-sensitive *E. coli*). Sub-total hysterectomy with bilateral adnexectomy was performed and the pa-
tient was transferred to an intensive care unit for recovery. Stage 4 multiple organ failure followed the surgery, requiring mechanical ven-
tilation and administration of vasopressors (dopamine) to ensure ad-
equate hemodynamics.

Despite the hypoperfusion acute kidney injury (KDIGO 3), the pa-
tient responded well to fluid resuscitation and aminergic support. Full 
recovery of kidney function was achieved on the 5th day post-
operatively. The patient was discharged home on the 12th day, with 
oral antibiotics.

On post-operative evaluation the patient was found to be well. Hist-
ological findings included acute salpingitis, peritonitis, endometriotic 
cysts in both ovaries and uterine leiomyomas. No features suggestive 
of uterine perforation were found in the specimen. These results were 
discussed with the patient, and she was counselled regarding initiation 
of hormone replacement therapy.

3. Discussion

Hemorrhage, pain and fluid absorption are widely recognized as the 
most frequent of hysteroscopic complications [1]. Infection occurs less 
frequently, with reported rates ranging from 0.2–1.5% [2]. This case il-
lustrates the importance of ascending infection even in generally safe 
procedures. Being alert for and enquiring about known risk factors 
(smoking, history of PID, endometriosis, multiple partners or recent 
change in sexual partner) can help reduce the incidence of post-
procedure infection. Currently, there is no evidence that antibiotic pro-
phylaxis prior to transcervical procedures reduces infection rates [3]. Al-
though vaginal disinfection is also not mandatory, it can still be 
performed electively at the surgeon’s discretion or in the presence of 
vaginal discharge.

Communication with the patient is paramount in explaining the 
alarm signs that require medical assessment, such as fever, persistent 
pelvic pain or vomiting, heavy vaginal bleeding or foul-smelling vaginal 
discharge. Even though toxic shock syndrome presenting shortly after 
office hysteroscopy has been described [4], our patient had a late 
onset of septic shock. Had she presented earlier, timely intervention 
with antibiotic coverage would have likely prevented the need for inva-
sive major surgery and ICU admission.

Once systemic infection is detected, prompt initiation of monitoring – 
continuous electrocardiography and pulse oximetry – is recom-
mended. Septic shock or multiple organ failure should be treated as a 
medical emergency, requiring intensive care admission and organ-
adjusted support [5]. Resuscitation measures should be expeditiously 
undertaken - IV fluid infusion and large-spectrum antibiotics, according 
to the institution’s protocol. Blood and urine samples should be col-
clected for culture, aimed at identifying the pathogen. In the presence 
of a large pelvic abscess, drainage is generally indicated – the choice of 
percutaneous or abdominal drainage, and laparotomic or laparoscopic 
surgical route, will be dictated by technique availability and surgeon 
experience.
Although rare, systemic infection arising from genito-urinary manipulation should not be neglected, as it can generate complications requiring surgical intervention and, in extreme cases, ICU admission.
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Fig. 3. Computerized tomography (CT) scan, highlighting the presence of a large pelvic mass involving the uterus and right fallopian tube.