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Abstract

We give a description of the local Jacquet-Langlands correspondence for simple supercuspidal representations via type theory. As a consequence, we show that the endo-classes for such representations are invariant under the local Jacquet-Langlands correspondence.

Introduction

Let $K$ be a non-archimedean local field with residue characteristic $p$. Let $A$ be a central simple algebra over $K$. We put $n = [A : K]^{1/2}$. The local Jacquet-Langlands correspondence (LJLC) gives a correspondence between irreducible essentially square-integrable representations of $GL_n(K)$ and $A^\times$. The irreducible supercuspidal representations of $GL_n(K)$ is classified in [BK] via type theory, which describes supercuspidal representations as compact inductions of representations of some open subgroups that are compact modulo center. More generally, type theory for representations of $A^\times$ is developed in a series of papers [Se1], [Se2], [Se3], [SS1], [BSS] and [SS2]. So it is natural to seek a description of the LJLC via type theory.

In the case where $A$ is a division algebra, such descriptions are studied in [Ge], [He], [BH2] and [BH4] if $n$ is a prime number, and in [BH3] if $p$ is odd, $n$ is a power of $p$ and representations are totally ramified. For general $A$, such descriptions are given in [SZ] for level zero discrete series representations, and in [BH5] for essentially tame representations.

In this paper, we give a description of the LJLC via type theory for the simple supercuspidal representations. We define the simple supercuspidal representations of $A^\times$ in Definition 1.1 after [RY], which are equivalent to the supercuspidal representations of conductor $n + 1$ as a result. Such representations appear in [IT1]. If $A^\times = GL_n(K)$, simple supercuspidal representations are studied in [AL] (cf. [KL], [Xu]) and they are called epipelagic representations in [BH6]. We note that the simple supercuspidal representations of $A^\times$ are essentially tame if $n$ is prime to $p$, but they are not essentially tame if $p$ divides $n$.

As a consequence of the description of the LJLC, we show that the endo-classes are invariant under the LJLC for the simple supercuspidal representations. This verifies
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Conjecture 9.5] by Broussous-Sécherre-Stevens for the simple supercuspidal representations. The conjecture is verified in [Ka] for totally ramified representations of unit groups of division algebras, based on results in [BH3].

In Section 1, we give a construction and a definition of the simple supercuspidal representations of $A^\times$. Further, we show that they are equivalent to the supercuspidal representations of conductor $n + 1$. In Section 2, we give formulas for the characters of the simple supercuspidal representations at some elements, which are elliptic quasi-regular in the sense of [BH5] 1.1 Remark. The values of the characters at these elements are written in terms of variants of Gauss sums and generalized Kloosterman sums (cf. Proposition 2.6 and Proposition 2.9). We believe that these formulas are interesting in themselves. In Section 3, we give a description of the LJLC via type theory in Theorem 3.5. We determine the description by checking character relations at some elements. In Section 4, we give another proof of our main theorem using Godement-Jacquet local constants. This proof is based on a formula in [Bu] and [BF], which calculates Godement-Jacquet local constants with respect to some kind of Gauss sums. In Section 5, we show the invariance of the endo-classes under the LJLC for the simple supercuspidal representations.

Type theory for irreducible supercuspidal representations of $A^\times$ naturally appears in the study of geometric realization of the local Langlands correspondence and the LJLC. The results in this paper are used in [IT2] to show that the LJLC is realized in the cohomology of the reductions of a family of affinoids in the Lubin-Tate perfectoid space.
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Notation

For a non-archimedean local field $F$, let $\mathcal{O}_F$ denote the ring of integers in $F$. For a field $F$ and a positive integer $l$, let $\mu_l(F)$ denote the group of $l$-th roots of the unity inside $F$. For an abelian group $X$, we write $X^\vee$ for its character group $\text{Hom}_\mathbb{Z}(X, \mathbb{C}^\times)$. For a group $G$, its subgroup $H$, a character $\theta$ of $H$ and $g \in G$, we put $H^g = gHg^{-1}$ and define a character $\theta^g$ of $H^g$ by $\theta^g(h) = \theta(g^{-1}hg)$ for $h \in H^g$.

1 Simple supercuspidal representation

In this section, we give a construction and a definition of the simple supercuspidal representations of the multiplicative group of a central simple algebra over a non-archimedean local field. Further, we give a characterization of the simple supercuspidal representations by the conductors.

Let $K$ be a non-archimedean local field with residue field $k$. We set $q = |k|$. Let $p_K$ be the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_K$. Any central simple algebra over $K$ is isomorphic a matrix algebra over a central division algebra over $K$. Let $m$ be a positive integer, and $D$ be a central division algebra over $K$. We put $A = M_m(D)$ and $G = A^\times$. 
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Let $\pi$ be an irreducible smooth representation of $G$. We fix a non-trivial character $\psi \in k^\times$. For $x \in \mathcal{O}_K$, let $\bar{x}$ denote the image of $x$ under the reduction map $\mathcal{O}_K \to k$. We take a character $\psi_K \in K^\times$ such that

$$\psi_K(x) = \psi(\bar{x}) \quad \text{for} \quad x \in \mathcal{O}_K,$$

$$\psi_K(x) = 1 \quad \text{for} \quad x \in p_K.$$

Let $\epsilon(\pi, s, \psi_K)$ be the Godement-Jacquet local constant of $\pi$ with respect to $\psi_K$. Then there exists an integer $f(\pi, \psi_K)$ such that

$$\epsilon(\pi, s, \psi_K) = q^{-f(\pi, \psi_K)s} \epsilon(\pi, 0, \psi_K)$$

by [GJ, Theorem 3.3(4)]. We put $r = [D : K]^{1/2}$ and $n = mr$. We define the conductor $c(\pi)$ of $\pi$ by

$$c(\pi) = f(\pi, \psi_K) + n.$$ 

Let $\mathcal{A}^{n+1}_{D,m}$ denote the set of the isomorphism classes of the supercuspidal representations of $G$ of conductor $n + 1$.

We fix a uniformizer $\varpi$ of $K$. Let $\eta = (\zeta, \chi, c) \in \mu_{q^r-1}(K) \times (k^\times)^r \times \mathbb{C}_x$. In the following, we define a smooth representation $\pi_{D,m,\eta}$ of $G$. Let $K_r$ be the unramified extension of $K$ of degree $r$. We take an element $\varphi_{D,\zeta} \in D^\times$, an embedding $K_r \hookrightarrow D$ and an integer $1 \leq s \leq r - 1$ which is prime to $r$ such that $\varphi_{D,\zeta}^s = \zeta \varpi$ and $\varphi_{D,\zeta}^s \varphi_{D,\zeta}^{-1} = d^r$ for $d \in \mu_{q^r-1}(K_r)$. We put

$$\varphi_{\zeta} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_{m-1} \\ \varphi_{D,\zeta} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in A \quad \text{and} \quad L_{\zeta} = K(\varphi_{\zeta}) \subset A.$$ 

Since $\varphi_{\zeta}^n = \zeta \varpi$, the field $L_{\zeta}$ is a totally ramified extension over $K$ of degree $n$. We have

$$\text{Nrd}_{A/K}(\varphi_{\zeta}) = (-1)^{n-1} \zeta \varpi, \quad \text{Trd}_{A/K}(\varphi_{\zeta}^{-1}) = 0.$$ 

(1.1)

Let $\mathcal{O}_D$ denote the maximal order of $D$, and $p_D$ denote the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_D$. For a positive integer $l$, let $k_l$ be the extension of $k$ of degree $l$. We identify $\mathcal{O}_D/p_D$ with $k_r$. Let $C$ be the subring of $M_m(k_r)$ consisting of all upper triangular matrices. Let $\mathfrak{a}$ denote the inverse image of $C$ under the reduction map $M_m(\mathcal{O}_D) \to M_m(k_r)$. Then $\mathfrak{a}$ is an order in $A$. Let $\mathfrak{P}_\mathfrak{a}$ be the Jacobson radical of $\mathfrak{a}$. Note that $\mathfrak{P}_\mathfrak{a} = \varphi_{\zeta} \mathfrak{a}$ and the normalizer of $\mathfrak{a}$ in $G$ equals $L_{\zeta}^\times \mathfrak{a}^\times$. For a positive integer $i$, we set $U_{\mathfrak{a}}^i = 1 + \mathfrak{P}_\mathfrak{a}^i$. Let $\theta_{D,m,\eta}: L_{\zeta}^\times U_{\mathfrak{a}}^1 \to \mathbb{C}_x$ be the character defined by

$$\theta_{D,m,\eta}(x) = \chi(\bar{x}) \quad \text{for} \quad x \in \mu_{q^r-1}(K),$$

$$\theta_{D,m,\eta}(x) = (\psi_K \circ \text{Trd}_{A/K})(\varphi_{\zeta}^{-1}(x - 1)) \quad \text{for} \quad x \in U_{\mathfrak{a}}^1,$$

(1.2)

$$\theta_{D,m,\eta}(\varphi_{\zeta}) = (-1)^{m-1} c.$$

We put $\pi_{D,m,\eta} = c \text{Ind}_{L_{\zeta}^\times U_{\mathfrak{a}}^1}^G \theta_{D,m,\eta}$.

**Definition 1.1.** We say that an irreducible supercuspidal representation $\pi$ of $G$ is simple supercuspidal if $\pi \simeq \pi_{D,m,\eta}$ for some $\eta \in \mu_{q^r-1}(K) \times (k^\times)^r \times \mathbb{C}_x$.

**Lemma 1.2.** The representation $\pi_{D,m,\eta}$ is a supercuspidal representation of conductor $n + 1$. 
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Proof. We define a chain lattice \( \Lambda = \{ \Lambda_i \}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \) in \( D^{\oplus m} \) by
\[
\Lambda_{mj+l} = (p_j^l)^{\oplus m-l} \oplus (p_j^{l+1})^{\oplus l}
\]
for \( j \in \mathbb{Z} \) and \( 0 \leq l \leq m - 1 \). Then we have
\[
\mathfrak{A} = \{ g \in A \mid g \Lambda_i \subset \Lambda_i \text{ for all } i \in \mathbb{Z} \}
\]
and \( \varphi \zeta \Lambda_i \subset \Lambda_{i+1} \) for \( i \in \mathbb{Z} \). Hence, \( \mathfrak{A} \) is a hereditary order in \( A \) (cf. [Se1 Définition 1.3]). We consider a stratum \([\mathfrak{A}, 1, 0, \varphi^{-1}_\zeta] \) of \( A \) (cf. [Se1 Définition 2.1]). We set
\[
B = \{ x \in A \mid xx = zx \text{ for all } z \in L_\zeta \}.
\]
Then we have \( B = L_\zeta \). Using this, we see that the critical exponent of this stratum equals \(-1\) (cf. [Se1 2.1]). Hence, this stratum is simple (cf. [Se1 Définition 2.3]). Since the simple pair \([0, \varphi^{-1}_\zeta] \) over \( K \) is minimal in the sense of [Se1 2.3.3], we have
\[
H^1(\varphi^{-1}_\zeta, \mathfrak{A}) = J^1(\varphi^{-1}_\zeta, \mathfrak{A}) = U_\mathfrak{A}^1 \subset J(\varphi^{-1}_\zeta, \mathfrak{A}) = O_{L_\zeta}^1 U_\mathfrak{A}^1 (1.3)
\]
under the notation in [Se1 (65)]. We have
\[
C(\varphi^{-1}_\zeta, 0, \mathfrak{A}) = \left\{ \theta_{D,m,\eta}|_{U_\mathfrak{A}^1} \right\} (1.4)
\]
by [Se1 Lemma 3.23] under the notation in [Se1 Définition 3.45]. Then, by (1.2), (1.3) and (1.4), we can check that the pair
\[
(J, \lambda) = \left( O_{L_\zeta}^1 U_\mathfrak{A}^1, \theta_{D,m,\eta}|_{O_{L_\zeta}^1 U_\mathfrak{A}^1} \right)
\]
is a maximal simple type of level \( > 0 \) for \( G \) with respect to the simple stratum \([\mathfrak{A}, 1, 0, \varphi^{-1}_\zeta] \) in the sense of [Se3 4.1 and 5.1]. Hence, \( \pi_{D,m,\eta} \) is a supercuspidal representation by [Se3 Théorème 5.2]. We see \( c(\pi_{D,m,\eta}) = n + 1 \) by using [BF Theorem 3.3.8] (cf. the proof of [ABPS Proposition 2.6]).
\[
\square
\]
Proposition 1.3. The map
\[
\Phi: \mu_{q-1}(K) \times (k^\times)^{\vee} \times \mathbb{C}^\times \to A_{D,m}^{n+1}; \ \eta \mapsto \pi_{D,m,\eta}
\]
is a bijection.

Proof. We show the injectivity. We take
\[
\eta = (\zeta, \chi, c), \ \eta' = (\zeta', \chi', c') \in \mu_{q-1}(K) \times (k^\times)^{\vee} \times \mathbb{C}^\times
\]
such that \( \pi_{D,m,\eta} \simeq \pi_{D,m,\eta'} \). By [SS2 Corollary 7.3], we see that \( \zeta = \zeta' \) and \( \chi = \chi' \). By \( \pi_{D,m,\eta} \simeq \pi_{D,m,\eta'} \), there exists \( g_0 \in G \) such that
\[
\text{Hom}_{L_\zeta^1 U_\mathfrak{A}^1 \cap (L_\zeta^1 U_\mathfrak{A}^1)^0} (\theta_{D,m,\eta}, \theta_{D,m,\eta'}) \neq 0.
\]
This implies
\[
\text{Hom}_{U_\mathfrak{A}^1 \cap (U_\mathfrak{A}^1)^0} (\theta_{D,m,\eta}, \theta_{D,m,\eta'}) \neq 0,
\]
since $\theta_{D,m,\eta}$ coincides with $\theta_{D,m,\eta'}$ on $U_{A}$. Then we have $g_0 \in L_{\chi}^{1}U_{A}^{1}$ by [Se2 Proposition 2.10]. Hence, we have $\eta = \eta'$ by (1.5).

We show the surjectivity. Let $\pi \in A_{D,m}$. By [SSI Théorème 5.21 and Corollaire 5.22], we have $\pi \simeq c$-Ind$^G_J \theta$ for a maximal simple type $(J, \lambda)$ with a simple stratum $[A_0, l, 0, \beta]$ and an extension $\theta$ of $\lambda$ to $G$.

Let $\mathfrak{P}_{A_0}$ be the Jacobson radical of $A_0$. By [ABPS Proposition 2.6], we have $l = 1$ and $p_K A_0 = \mathfrak{P}_{A_0}$. Replacing $A_0$ by its conjugate, we may assume that $A_0 = A$ (cf. [BF (1.5.2) Proposition (ii)]). We put $U_{K}^{1} = 1 + p_K$. We take $\zeta \in \mu_{q-1}(K)$ such that

$$\text{Nrd}_{A/K}(\beta^{-1}) \equiv (-1)^{n-1}\zeta \mod U_{K}^{1}. \quad (1.6)$$

We write $\beta^{-1} = \varphi_{\zeta} a u$, where $u \in U_{A}^{1}$ and $a = (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i,j \leq m} \in A^{x}$ is a diagonal matrix such that $a_{ii} \in \mu_{q-1}(K_{r})$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$. We put $b = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq m} a_{ii}$. Then we see that $\varphi_{\zeta} u$ is conjugate to

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_{m-1} \\ \varphi_{D,\zeta} b & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in A$$

by an element of $A^{x}$. Further, this is conjugate to $\varphi_{\zeta}$ by an element of $A^{x}$, since we have $\text{Nrd}_{D/K}(b) = 1$ by (1.6). Hence, we may assume that $\beta = \varphi_{\zeta}^{-1}$.

We see that $\theta$ is a character by the definition of a maximal simple type (cf. [Se3 4.1 and 5.1]), [Se2 2.2] and (1.3). We define $\chi \in (k^{x})^{\times}$ by $\chi(\bar{x}) = \theta(x)$ for $x \in \mu_{q-1}(K)$, and put $c = (-1)^{m-1}\theta(\varphi_{\zeta})$. Then we have $\pi = \Phi(\eta)$ for $\eta = (\zeta, \chi, c)$. \hfill $\Box$

**Remark 1.4.** If $m = n$, Proposition (1.3) follows from [BH6 2.1 and 2.2]. Actually, the proof of the injectivity of $\Phi$ is logically unnecessary in this paper: We need only the surjectivity of $\Phi$ in the case $m = n$ for the proof of Theorem 3.5 and the injectivity of $\Phi$ follows from Theorem 3.5 and the injectivity of $\Phi$ in the case $m = n$.

## 2 Formula for character

In this section, we give formulas for characters of the simple supercuspidal representations of $G$ at some elements.

**Definition 2.1** (cf. [BH5, 1.1 Remark]). Let $g \in G$. Let $f_{g}(x) \in K[x]$ be the reduced characteristic polynomial of $g$ over $K$.

1. We say that $g$ is regular if $f_{g}(x)$ is separable.
2. We say that $g$ is quasi-regular if $f_{g}(x)$ has no repeated irreducible factor over $K$.
3. We say that $g$ is elliptic if the minimal polynomial of $g$ is irreducible over $K$.

We write $G_{\text{reg}}$, $G_{\text{qr}}$ and $G_{\text{ell}}$ for the set of the regular elements of $G$, the set of the quasi-regular elements of $G$ and the set of the elliptic quasi-regular elements of $G$ respectively.

Let $\mathcal{H}(G)$ be the space of locally constant compactly supported functions $G \to \mathbb{C}$. We take a Haar measure $d\mu_{G}$ on $G$. We recall the following fact due to Bushnell-Henniart:
Proosition 2.2. For an irreducible smooth representation $\pi$ of $G$, there is a locally constant function $\text{tr}\, \pi : G_{qr} \to \mathbb{C}$ characterized by

$$\text{tr}\, \pi (f) = \int_G \text{tr}\, \pi (g) f(g) d\mu_G$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{H}(G)$ with support contained in $G_{qr}$.

Proof. This is proved in [BH1, (A.11) Corollary] in the case where $G = GL_n(K)$. The same arguments work also in our situation (cf. [BH5 1.1 Remark and Proposition]). □

For an irreducible smooth representation $\pi$ of $G$, let $\text{tr}\, \pi$ be the function in Proposition 2.2 which we call the character of $\pi$.

Let $\eta = (\zeta, \chi, c) \in \mu_{q^{-1}}(K) \times (k^\times)^n \times \mathbb{C}^\times$.

Lemma 2.3. We have

$$\text{tr}\, \pi_{D,m,\eta}(g) = \sum_{x \in G/L \times \zeta U_1 A, x^{-1} g x \in L^\times_\zeta U_1 A} \theta_{D,m,\eta}(x^{-1} g x)$$

for $g \in G_{qr}^{\text{ell}}$.

Proof. This follows from [BH5 1.1 Remark and (1.2.2)] (cf. [BH1, (A.14)]). □

We put $U^1_K = 1 + p_K \subset O_K^\times$. We set $g_u = \varphi_\zeta(1 + \varphi_\zeta u) \in \mathfrak{A}$ for $u \in \mathfrak{A}$.

Lemma 2.4. Let $u \in \mathfrak{A}$. We set

$$f_{g_u}(x) = x^n + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i(u) x^i \in K[x].$$

Then, we have

$$a_i(u) \in p_K \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n - 1, \quad -\frac{a_0(u)}{\zeta \varpi} \in U^1_K.$$

Furthermore, $g_u$ is an elliptic quasi-regular element.

Proof. We see that $a_i(u) \in p_K$ for $0 \leq i \leq n - 1$, since the left multiplication on $O_D/\varpi O_D$ by $g_u$ acts nilpotently. We have

$$a_0(u) = (-1)^n \text{Nrd}_{A/K}(g_u) \in p_K \setminus p_K^2.$$

Hence, the former assertion follows from (1.1) and $\text{Nrd}_{A/K}(1 + \varphi_\zeta u) \in U^1_K$. By Eisenstein’s irreducibility criterion, $f_{g_u}(x)$ is irreducible over $K$. Therefore, the latter assertion follows. □

Lemma 2.5. Let $g \in G$ and $u \in \mathfrak{A}$. Assume that $g^{-1}g_u g \in \mathfrak{P}_{\mathfrak{A}}$. Then we have $g \in L^\times_\zeta \mathfrak{A}^\times$.  
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Proof. We take \( l \in \mathbb{Z} \) and \( s = (s_{i,j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq m} \in \mathfrak{A} \setminus \mathfrak{P}_\mathfrak{A} \) such that \( g = \varphi'_l s \). We put \( u' = \varphi'_l u \varphi'_l \in \mathfrak{A} \). Then we have
\[
g^{-1} g u g = s^{-1} g u' s 
\]
Hence, we have \( g_u s \mathfrak{A} \subset s \varphi \mathfrak{A} \). This implies \( g_u s \mathfrak{A} = s \varphi \mathfrak{A} \), since we have
\[
\text{Nrd}_{A/K}(\varphi_l^{-1} s^{-1} g_u s) \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times.
\]
Therefore we have \( \varphi_l s \mathfrak{A} = \varphi s \mathfrak{A} \) in \( \mathfrak{P}_\mathfrak{A} / \mathfrak{P}_2 \mathfrak{A} \). This implies that \( s_{i,i} \in \mathcal{O}_D^\times \) if and only if \( s_{i+1,i+1} \in \mathcal{O}_D^\times \) for each \( 1 \leq i \leq m - 1 \). Then we have \( s_{i,i} \in \mathcal{O}_D^\times \) for all \( 1 \leq i \leq m \), since \( \mathfrak{A} \notin \mathfrak{P}_\mathfrak{A} \). Hence, we have \( g \in \mathfrak{A}_\times \). This shows the claim.

We put \( n_q = (n, q-1) \). For \( a \in k \), we put
\[
G_n(\chi, \psi, a) = \sum_{x \in \mu_{nq}(k)} \chi(x) \psi(ax).
\]
Note that \( G_{q-1}(\chi, \psi, 1) \) is a usual Gauss sum, for which we write \( G(\chi, \psi) \).

**Proposition 2.6.** For \( u \in \mathfrak{A} \), we have
\[
\text{tr} \pi_{D,m,\eta}(g_u) = (-1)^{m-1} c G_n(\chi, \psi, \text{Trd}_{A/K}(u)).
\]

**Proof.** For \( \lambda \in \mu_{q-1}(K) \), let
\[
g(\lambda) = \text{diag}(1, \lambda, \cdots, \lambda^{m-1}) \in G.
\]
We put
\[
H = \{ dg(\lambda) \in G \mid d \in \mu_{q-1}(K), \lambda \in \mu_{q-1}(K), d^m = \lambda^m \}.
\]
Note that \( \lambda \) in the definition of \( H \) automatically belongs to \( \mu_{nq}(K) \). Then, we can check that \( H \) is equal to
\[
\{ x = \text{diag}(d_1, \cdots, d_m) \in G \mid x^{-1} g_u x \in L^\times_{\mathfrak{A}} U^1_{\mathfrak{A}}, d_i \in \mu_{q-1}(K) \text{ for all } i \}.
\]
Hence, we see that
\[
\{ x \in G \mid x^{-1} g_u x \in L^\times_{\mathfrak{A}} U^1_{\mathfrak{A}} \} = HL^\times_{\mathfrak{A}} U^1_{\mathfrak{A}}
\]
by Lemma 2.5. We have the isomorphism
\[
HL^\times_{\mathfrak{A}} U^1_{\mathfrak{A}} / L^\times_{\mathfrak{A}} U^1_{\mathfrak{A}} \cong \mu_{nq}(k); \ dg(\lambda) \mapsto \lambda.
\]
Hence, the claim follows from Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.4.

**Lemma 2.7.** The element \( 1 + \varphi \) is elliptic quasi-regular.

**Proof.** The element \( \varphi \) is elliptic quasi-regular by Lemma 2.4. Hence, the claim follows.

**Lemma 2.8.** Let \( g \in G \) and \( \lambda \in \mu_{q-1}(K) \). Assume that \( g^{-1}(1 + \varphi \lambda)g \in L^\times_{\mathfrak{A}} U^1_{\mathfrak{A}} \). Then we have \( g \in L^\times_{\mathfrak{A}} \mathfrak{A}^\times \).
Proof. By the assumption, we have $g^{-1}(1 + \varphi \zeta \lambda)g = \lambda_0 (1 + v)$ with $\lambda_0 \in \mu_{q-1}(K)$ and $v \in \mathfrak{P}_R$. Then we have

$$
\lambda_0 = \lim_{l \to \infty} (\lambda_0 (1 + v))^l = \lim_{l \to \infty} (g^{-1}(1 + \varphi \zeta \lambda)g)^l = 1.
$$

Hence we have $g^{-1} \varphi \zeta \lambda g = v \in \mathfrak{P}_R$. Therefore, the claim follows from Lemma 2.5 and $L_\zeta^\times \mathfrak{A}^\times = L_\zeta^\times \mathfrak{A}^\times$.

For a finite field extension $k'$ of $k$, an additive character $\psi' \in k'^\times$, a positive integer $l$ and $a \in k'^\times$, we put

$$
Kl,a(\psi') = \sum_{\zeta_1 \cdots \zeta_l = a} \psi' \left( \sum_{i=1}^l \zeta_i \right).
$$

This is a generalized Kloosterman sum (cf. [De, Sommes trig. 7.1]).

**Proposition 2.9.** For $\lambda \in \mu_{q-1}(K)$, we have

$$
\operatorname{tr} \pi_{D,m,n}(1 + \varphi \zeta \lambda) = (-1)^{m-n} K_{n,\lambda}(\psi). \quad (2.1)
$$

Proof. Let $\lambda \in \mu_{q-1}(K)$. We have

$$
\operatorname{tr} \pi_{D,m,n}(1 + \varphi \zeta \lambda) = \sum_{g \in L_\zeta^\times \mathfrak{A}^\times / L_\zeta^\times U_\mathfrak{A}^\times} \theta_{D,m,n}(g^{-1}(1 + \varphi \zeta \lambda)g)
$$

by Lemma 2.3, Lemma 2.7, and Lemma 2.8. We can check that

$$
\operatorname{tr}_{A/D}(\varphi^{-1} g^{-1} \varphi \zeta \lambda g) \equiv \varphi^{-1} a_{m,m}^{-1} \varphi D,\zeta \lambda a_{1,1} + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} a_{i,i}^{-1} a_{i+1,i+1} \mod \mathfrak{p}_D
$$

for $g = (a_{i,j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq m} \in \mathfrak{A}^\times$. For $d \in \mathcal{O}_D$, let $\tilde{d}$ denote the image of $d$ by $\mathcal{O}_D \to k_r$. We have the bijection

$$
L_\zeta^\times \mathfrak{A}^\times / L_\zeta^\times U_\mathfrak{A}^\times \sim \{(\zeta_1, \cdots, \zeta_m) \in (k_r^\times)^\oplus m \mid \operatorname{Nr}_{k_r/k}(\zeta_1 \cdots \zeta_m) = \bar{\lambda}\}
$$

$$(a_{i,j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq m} \mapsto (\tilde{a}_{i,i}^{-1} \tilde{a}_{i+1,i+1})_{1 \leq i \leq m-1}, \varphi D,\zeta \lambda a_{m,m}^{-1} \varphi D,\zeta \lambda a_{1,1},$$

where $(a_{i,j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq m} \in \mathfrak{A}^\times$. Hence, we have

$$
\operatorname{tr} \pi_{D,m,n}(1 + \varphi \zeta \lambda) = \sum_{\operatorname{Nr}_{k_r/k}(x) = \bar{\lambda}} K_{m,x}(\psi \circ \operatorname{Tr}_{k_r/k}).
$$

Further, we have

$$
\sum_{\operatorname{Nr}_{k_r/k}(x) = \bar{\lambda}} K_{m,x}(\psi \circ \operatorname{Tr}_{k_r/k}) = (-1)^{m-1} \sum_{\operatorname{Nr}_{k_n/k}(y) = \bar{\lambda}} \psi \circ \operatorname{Tr}_{k_n/k}(y) = (-1)^{n-m} K_{n,\bar{\lambda}}(\psi)
$$

by [De (7.2.5)]. Thus, we have proved the claim.


3 Description of LJLC

In this section, we give an explicit description of the local Jacquet-Langlands correspondence (LJLC).

First, we recall the statement of the LJLC. Let $A_{D,m}^\square$ denote the set of equivalent classes of irreducible essentially square-integrable smooth representations of $GL_m(D)$. We put $G^\prime = GL_n(K)$. We say that $g \in G_{qr}$ and $g^\prime \in G^\prime_{qr}$ correspond if $f_g(x) = f_{g^\prime}(x)$.

**Theorem 3.1** ([Ba, Théorème 5.1] and [DKV, Théorème principal]). There exists a bijection

$$JL_{D,m}: A_{D,m}^\square \rightarrow A_{K,n}^\square,$$

which is uniquely characterized by the character relation

$$tr \pi(g) = (-1)^{n-m} tr JL_{D,m}(\pi)(g^\prime)$$

for $\pi \in A_{D,m}^\square$ and any corresponding $g \in G_{\text{reg}}$ and $g^\prime \in G^\prime_{\text{reg}}$.

The bijection $JL_{D,m}$ in Theorem 3.1 is called the local Jacquet-Langlands correspondence.

**Corollary 3.2.** The bijection $JL_{D,m}$ satisfies the character relation

$$tr \pi(g) = (-1)^{n-m} tr JL_{D,m}(\pi)(g^\prime)$$

for $\pi \in A_{D,m}^\square$ and any corresponding $g \in G_{qr}$ and $g^\prime \in G^\prime_{qr}$.

**Proof.** The complement of $G^\text{reg}$ in $G$ is a Zariski closed subset of $G$ with strictly smaller dimension than $G$. This implies that $G^\text{reg}$ is dense in $G$ in the $\varpi$-adic topology, hence it is dense also in $G^\text{qr}$. Therefore, the claim follows from Theorem 3.1, since $tr \pi$ and $tr JL_{D,m}(\pi)$ for $\pi \in A_{D,m}^\square$ are locally constant functions on $G_{qr}$ and $G^\prime_{qr}$ respectively. 

**Lemma 3.3.** The function

$$G_{n,\chi,\psi}: k \to \mathbb{C}; a \mapsto G_n(\chi, \psi, a).$$

is not equal to the zero function on $k$.

**Proof.** Let $F_{n,\chi}$ be the function on $k$ defined by

$$F_{n,\chi}(x) = \begin{cases} 
\chi(x) & \text{if } x \in \mu_{nq}(k), \\
0 & \text{if } x \notin \mu_{nq}(k).
\end{cases}$$

Then $G_{n,\chi,\psi}$ is regarded as the Fourier transformation of $F_{n,\chi}$ with respect to $\psi$. Hence, the claim follows from the Fourier inversion formula. 

**Lemma 3.4.** For any $a^\prime \in k^\times \setminus \{1\}$, there is an $a \in k^\times$ such that $K_{n,a}(\psi) \neq K_{n,aa^\prime}(\psi)$.

**Proof.** Let $a^\prime \in k^\times \setminus \{1\}$. We take $\chi^\prime \in (k^\times)^\vee$ such that $\chi^\prime(a^\prime) \neq 1$. Then we have

$$\sum_{a \in k^\times} \chi^\prime(aa^\prime)(K_{n,a}(\psi) - K_{n,aa^\prime}(\psi)) = (\chi^\prime(a^\prime) - 1) \sum_{a \in k^\times} \chi^\prime(a)K_{n,a}(\psi)$$

$$= (\chi^\prime(a^\prime) - 1) G(\chi^\prime, \psi)^n \neq 0$$

by [De] (7.1.6)]. Hence, the claim follows.
Theorem 3.5. For \( \eta = (\zeta, \chi, c) \in \mu_{q-1}(K) \times (k^\times)^{\nu} \times \mathbb{C}^\times \), we have \( JL_{D,m}(\pi_{D,m,\eta}) = \pi_{K,n,\eta} \).

Proof. Let \( LJ_{D,m} \) denote the inverse of \( JL_{D,m} \). The map \( LJ_{D,m} \) preserves conductors and supercuspidality by [ABPS, Theorem 2.1(e), (i)]. Let \( \eta' = (\zeta', \chi', c') \in \mu_{q-1}(K) \times (k^\times)^{\nu} \times \mathbb{C}^\times \). By Proposition 2.9 and Corollary 3.2, we have \(\begin{align*} &LJ_{D,m}(\eta) \in O \quad \text{are contained in} \quad O \ \text{by Proposition} \ 2.9 \ \text{and Corollary} \ 3.2, \quad \text{we have} \quad \eta \in \mu_{q-1}(K) \times (k^\times)^{\nu} \times \mathbb{C}^\times \text{such that} \quad LJ_{D,m}(\pi_{K,n,\eta}) = \pi_{D,m,\eta}. \end{align*}\)

We have to show \( \eta = \eta' \). We have \( \chi = \chi' \), because \( JL_{D,m} \) preserves central characters. We write \( \mathfrak{A}' \) and \( \varphi'_\zeta \) for \( \mathfrak{A} \) and \( \varphi_\zeta \) respectively in the case \( m = n \). By Proposition 2.9 and Corollary 3.2, we have

\[
K_{n,\lambda}(\psi) = (-1)^{n-m} \text{tr} \pi_{D,m,\eta}(1 + \varphi_\zeta) = \text{tr} \pi_{K,n,\eta'}(1 + \varphi'_\zeta) = K_{n,\lambda',\zeta}(\psi)
\]

for \( \lambda \in \mu_{q-1}(K) \). Hence, we have \( \zeta = \zeta' \) by Lemma 3.3.

Finally, we show \( c = c' \). By Lemma 3.3, we can take \( a \in k \) such that \( G_n(\chi, \psi, a) \neq 0 \).

We take \( \xi_0 \in k_{\mathfrak{r}} \) such that \( \text{Tr}_{k_{\mathfrak{r}}/k}(\xi_0) = a \). Let \( \xi \in \mathcal{O}_D \) be a lifting of \( \xi_0 \). We set

\[
u_{\xi} = \text{diag}(\xi, 0, \ldots, 0) \in \mathfrak{A}.
\]

We simply write \( g_\xi \) for \( g_{\nu_{\xi}} \). Let \( \{a_i(\nu_{\xi})\}_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} \) be as in Lemma 2.24. By Lemma 2.24, the elements

\[
\alpha_i = -\frac{a_i(\nu_{\xi})}{\xi \varpi} \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \quad \alpha_n = -\frac{a_n(\nu_{\xi})}{\xi \varpi} + 1
\]

are contained in \( \mathcal{O}_K \). We set

\[
u_{\alpha} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varphi_\zeta^{n-1} \text{diag}(\alpha_i, 0, \ldots, 0) \in 2\mathfrak{A}', \quad g_\alpha = \varphi'_\zeta(1 + \varphi'_\zeta \nu_{\alpha}) \in G'.
\]

Then we have

\[
f_{g_\alpha}(x) = x^n - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \xi \varpi \alpha_i x^i - \xi \varpi (1 + \alpha_n \xi \varpi) = f_{g_\xi}(x)
\]

Hence, \( g_\xi \in G_{qr} \) and \( g_\alpha \in G'_{qr} \) correspond. We have \( \text{tr}(u_{\alpha}) = \text{Trd}_{A/K}(u_{\xi}) = a \), since

\[
\text{tr}(u_{\alpha}) \equiv \alpha_1 \equiv (-1)^{n} \frac{\text{Trd}_{A/K}(g_\xi^{-1}) \text{Nrd}_{A/K}(g_\xi)}{\xi \varpi} \equiv \text{Trd}_{D/K}(\xi) \mod p_K.
\]

Therefore, we have

\[
c = (-1)^{m-1} \frac{\text{tr} \pi_{D,m,\eta}(g_\xi)}{G_n(\chi, \psi, a)} = (-1)^{n-1} \frac{\text{tr} \pi_{K,n,\eta'}(g_\alpha)}{G_n(\chi, \psi, a)} = c'
\]

by Proposition 2.6 and Corollary 3.2. \( \square \)
4  Another proof of Theorem 3.5

Let \( \eta = (\zeta, \chi, c) \in \mu_{q-1}(K) \times (k^\times)^\vee \times C^\times \). We simply write \( \epsilon(\pi_{D,m,\eta}, \psi_K) \) for the value of \( \epsilon(\pi_{D,m,\eta}, s, \psi_K) \) at 1/2. For any smooth character \( \xi: K^\times \to C^\times \), let \( \xi_A \) denote the composite \( \xi \circ \text{Nrd}_{A/K} \).

The following lemma is a special case of a formula which represents Godement-Jacquet local constants with respect to non-abelian congruence Gauss sums. Such a formula is studied in [Bu] and [BF]. If \( m = n \), this lemma is just [BH6, 2.2 Lemma].

**Lemma 4.1.**
1. We have
   \[ \epsilon(\pi_{D,m,\eta}, \psi_K) = (-1)^{n-1}c. \]
2. For any tamely ramified character \( \xi \) of \( K^\times \), we have
   \[ \epsilon(\pi_{D,m,\eta}, \xi_A, \psi_K) = \xi((-1)^{n-1}\zeta \omega)\epsilon(\pi_{D,m,\eta}, \psi_K). \]

*Proof.* Let \( \xi \) be a tamely ramified character of \( K^\times \). Assume that the characteristic of \( K \) equals zero. We set
\[ \rho = \text{Ind}_{L_{\xi}^\times \mathfrak{A}^\times}^{L_{\xi}^\times \mathfrak{A}^\times} (\theta_{D,m,\eta} \otimes \xi_A). \]
We see that \( \rho \) is an irreducible admissible representation of \( L_{\xi}^\times \mathfrak{A}^\times \) by Lemma 1.2 and non-degenerate in the sense of [BF] p. 228 by (1.2).

Let \( \psi_K^0 \) be the standard continuous additive character of \( K \) defined in [BF, 2.1]. In [BF, (2.3.6)], the non-abelian congruence Gauss sum \( \tau(\rho) \) is defined for \( \rho \) and \( \psi_K^0 \).

However, it can be defined for any non-trivial additive character \( \psi_K^0 \) of \( K \) as in [Bu, (3.4)] (cf. [Bu, Remarks after Theorem (3.7)]), for which we write \( \tau(\rho, \psi_K^0) \).

We take an element \( a \in K^\times \) such that \( \psi_K(x) = \psi_K^0(ax) \) for \( x \in K \). Let \( \omega_{\rho^\vee} \) denote the central character of \( \rho^\vee \). By [Bu, (3.4)] and [GJ, (3.3.5)], we have formulas
\[
\begin{align*}
\tau(\rho^\vee, \psi_K) &= \omega_{\rho^\vee}(a^{-1})\tau(\rho^\vee, \psi_K^0) = (\theta_{D,m,\eta} \otimes \xi_A)(a)\tau(\rho^\vee, \psi_K^0), \\
\epsilon(\pi_{D,m,\eta} \otimes \xi_A, \psi_K) &= (\theta_{D,m,\eta} \otimes \xi_A)(a)\epsilon(\pi_{D,m,\eta} \otimes \xi_A, \psi_K^0) \tag{4.1}
\end{align*}
\]
respectively. By these formulas and [BF, Theorem (3.3.8)(iv)], we obtain
\[
\epsilon(\pi_{D,m,\eta} \otimes \xi_A, \psi_K) = (-1)^{n-m}\tau(\rho^\vee, \psi_K)Nf(\rho)^{-1/2}. \tag{4.2}
\]
We put \( \psi_A = \psi_K \circ \text{Trd}_{A/K} \). Then we have
\[
\tau(\rho^\vee, \psi_K) = Nf(\rho)^{1/2}(\theta_{D,m,\eta} \otimes \xi_A)^\vee(\varphi^{-1}_A\psi_A(\varphi^{-1}_A)) \tag{4.3}
\]
by (4.1) and [BF, (2.7.4)]. We obtain
\[
\begin{align*}
\epsilon(\pi_{D,m,\eta} \otimes \xi_A, \psi_K) &= (-1)^{n-m}(\theta_{D,m,\eta} \otimes \xi_A)^\vee(\varphi^{-1}_A)\psi_A(\varphi^{-1}_A) \\
&= (-1)^{n-1}\xi((-1)^{n-1}\zeta \omega)\epsilon \tag{4.3}
\end{align*}
\]
by (4.1), (1.2), (1.2) and (4.3). The same arguments work also in the equal characteristic case (cf. [Bu, Remarks after Theorem (3.7)]). Hence, we obtain the claims. \( \square \)
Another proof of Theorem 3.5. Let $\eta' = (\zeta', \chi', c') \in \mu_{q-1}(K) \times (k^\times)^\vee \times \mathbb{C}^\times$. As in the first proof of Theorem 3.5, we see that there exists a triple $\eta = (\zeta, \chi, c) \in \mu_{q-1}(K) \times (k^\times)^\vee \times \mathbb{C}^\times$ such that $\pi_{D,m,\eta} \simeq \text{JL}_{D,m}(\pi_{K,n,\eta'})$. We prove $\zeta = \zeta'$. Let $\xi$ be any tamely ramified character of $K^\times$. We write $A'$ for $A$ in the case $m = n$. Since the JL$_{D,m}$ preserves character twists, we have

$$\epsilon((\pi_{D,m,\eta} \otimes \xi_A, \psi_K)) = \epsilon((\pi_{K,n,\eta'} \otimes \xi_{A'}, \psi_K)) \quad \text{(4.4)}$$

by [ABPS, Theorem 2.2(i)] (cf. [DKV, B.j.1]). We have $\epsilon((\pi_{K,n,\eta'}, \psi_K)) \neq 0$ by Lemma 4.1.1. By Lemma 4.1.2 and (4.4), we have

$$\xi((-1)^{n-1} \zeta' \varpi) = \frac{\epsilon((\pi_{D,m,\eta} \otimes \xi_A, \psi_K))}{\epsilon((\pi_{D,m,\eta}, \psi_K))} = \frac{\epsilon((\pi_{K,n,\eta'} \otimes \xi_{A'}, \psi_K))}{\epsilon((\pi_{K,n,\eta'}, \psi_K))} = \xi((-1)^{n-1} \zeta' \varpi).$$

Hence, we obtain $\xi(\zeta' \varpi^{-1}) = 1$. This implies $\zeta = \zeta'$. By Lemma 4.1.1 and (4.4), we have

$$c = (-1)^{n-1} \epsilon((\pi_{D,m,\eta}, \psi_K)) = (-1)^{n-1} \epsilon((\pi_{K,n,\eta'}, \psi_K)) = c'.$$

Therefore we have $\eta = \eta'$.

5 Invariance of endo-class

We show that endo-classes for the simple supercuspidal representations are invariant under the LJLC.

Let $\mathcal{E}(K)$ be the set of endo-classes of ps-characters over $K$ in the sense of [BSS, Definition 1.10]. Then we have a map

$$\Theta_G: \mathcal{A}_{D,m} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(K)$$

constructed in [BSS 9.3]. Broussous-Sécherre-Stevens conjecture that

$$\Theta_G(\pi) = \Theta_G'(\text{JL}_{D,m}(\pi))$$

for $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_{D,m}$ in [BSS Conjecture 9.5]. The following proposition verifies the conjecture for the simple supercuspidal representations.

**Proposition 5.1.** For any simple supercuspidal representation $\pi$ of $G$, we have

$$\Theta_G(\pi) = \Theta_G'(\text{JL}_{D,m}(\pi)).$$

**Proof.** Let $\pi$ be a simple supercuspidal representation of $G$. We take $\eta = (\zeta, \chi, c) \in \mu_{q-1}(K) \times (k^\times)^\vee \times \mathbb{C}^\times$ such that $\pi = \pi_{D,m,\eta}$. Then $\Theta_G(\pi_{D,m,\eta})$ is the endo-class of the ps-character defined by

$$([\mathfrak{A}, 1, 0, \varphi^{-1}_\zeta], \theta_{D,m,\eta}|_{U_{\mathfrak{A}}}).$$

We have JL$_{D,m}(\pi_{D,m,\eta}) = \pi_{K,n,\eta}$ by Theorem 3.5. We define $\mathfrak{A}'$ and $\varphi'_{\zeta}'$ for $M_n(K)$ similarly as $\mathfrak{A}$ and $\varphi_{\zeta}$ for $M_m(D)$. Then $\Theta_G(\pi_{K,n,\eta})$ is the endo-class of the ps-character defined by

$$([\mathfrak{A}', 1, 0, \varphi'_{\zeta}^{-1}], \theta_{K,n,\eta}|_{U_{\mathfrak{A}'}}).$$
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Let \( L_{\zeta, 0} \) be a finite extension of \( K \) generated by an element \( \varphi_{\zeta, 0} \) such that \( \varphi_{\zeta, 0}^n = \zeta^w \). Let \( \mathcal{C}_K(\varphi_{\zeta, 0}^{-1}, 0) \) be the set of simple characters corresponding to the simple pair \([\varphi_{\zeta, 0}^{-1}, 0]\) over \( K \) defined in [Se1, p. 371]. Then we have bijective transfer maps

\[
\tau_{\mathfrak{A}, 0, \varphi_{\zeta, 0}^{-1}} : \mathcal{C}_K(\varphi_{\zeta, 0}^{-1}, 0) \to \mathcal{C}(\varphi_{\zeta}^{-1}, 0, \mathfrak{A}),
\]

\[
\tau_{\mathfrak{A}', 0, \varphi_{\zeta, 0}^{-1}} : \mathcal{C}_K(\varphi_{\zeta, 0}^{-1}, 0) \to \mathcal{C}(\varphi_{\zeta}^{-1}, 0, \mathfrak{A}').
\]

by [Se1, Théorème 3.53]. We have

\[
\tau_{\mathfrak{A}, 0, \varphi_{\zeta, 0}^{-1}}^{-1}(\theta_{D,m,\eta}|_{U_{\mathfrak{A}}}) = \tau_{\mathfrak{A}', 0, \varphi_{\zeta, 0}^{-1}}^{-1}(\theta_{K,n,\eta}|_{U_{\mathfrak{A}}'})
\]

by (1.4). Hence, we have the claim. \(\square\)
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