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ABSTRACT Smart health is one of the most popular and important components of smart cities. It is a relatively new context-aware healthcare paradigm influenced by several fields of expertise, such as medical informatics, communications and electronics, bioengineering, ethics, to name a few. Smart health is used to improve healthcare by providing many services such as patient monitoring, early diagnosis of disease and so on. The artificial neural network (ANN), support vector machine (SVM) and deep learning models, especially the convolutional neural network (CNN), are the most commonly used machine learning approaches where they proved to be performance in most cases. Voice disorders are rapidly spreading especially with the development of medical diagnostic systems, although they are often underestimated. Smart health systems can be an easy and fast support to voice pathology detection. The identification of an algorithm that discriminates between pathological and healthy voices with more accuracy is needed to obtain a smart and precise mobile health system. The main contribution of this paper consists of proposing a multiclass-pathologic voice classification using a novel multileveled textural feature extraction with iterative feature selector. Our approach is a simple and efficient voice-based algorithm in which a multi-center and multi threshold based ternary pattern is used (MCMTTP). A more compact multileveled features are then obtained by sample-based discretization techniques and Neighborhood Component Analysis (NCA) is applied to select features iteratively. These features are finally integrated with MCMTTP to achieve an accurate voice-based features detection. Experimental results of six classifiers with three diagnostic diseases (frontal resection, cordectomy and spastic dysphonia) show that the fused features are more suitable for describing voice-based disease detection.

INDEX TERMS MCMTTP, discrete wavelet transform, voice disease detection, smart health, machine learning.

I. INTRODUCTION

The voice is one of the most important factors that we use in communication between people. Voice and speaking skills are the easiest way to reflect the thought and it is the most important component that distinguishes it from other living things. The voice is part of the personality and character of almost every person. We can also understand diseases using voices because some diseases directly affected human voice [1]–[3].

Finding diagnoses such as frontal lobe resection, spasmodic dysphonia and cordectomy from patient voice data has become possible with today’s technologies. Little is known about the neuropsychological outcome after frontal resection [2]. However, detection of disease from voice data is still a very new study. Spasmodic dysphonia (SD) is a neurogenic, central originated focal laryngeal dystonia that occurs during speech, with intermittent or continuous spasm of the cord vocals, and muffled sound quality is monitored.
Cordectomy is the excision of the vocal cord by performing a thyrotomy [3].

Disease detection by using voice has become increasingly popular in the literature over the past few years with the development of medical diagnostic systems [4]. Computer-processed voices are used everywhere these days such as Alexa, Siri, Google Assistant and other services etc. [5], [6]

In traditional medical diagnoses, a medical doctor’s report is required, which takes the patient’s neurological history and examines various motor skills [7], [8]. It may be necessary to obtain information from many laboratory results for an accurate diagnosis. Therefore, clinical situations that do not lead to misdiagnosis are one of the biggest areas of interest for medical expert systems. In addition, smart medical diagnostic systems have the potential to optimize medical decisions, improve medical treatments, and reduce waiting times and financial costs. Voice recordings have been considered as a potential (noninvasive and low cost) biomarker to diagnose some voice related diseases [9]–[11].

A. MOTIVATION

Voice and sound classification are hot topic research areas of machine learning. In the biomedical engineering applications, automated voice-based disease detection method has been widely used. To develop intelligent health assistant systems, automated voice-based disease detection methods should be developed and enhanced. In the literature, binary classification methods (two class method) have generally been proposed, our main motivation is to perform multiclass-pathologic voice classification by using novel multileveled textural feature extraction and iterative feature selector.

B. RELATED WORKS

This work is about voice signal recognition in biomedical engineering. The main of this work is to reach high accuracy rate by using a naïve method. In this section, some pathological voices classification and detection works were listed in Table 1.

C. OUR METHOD

In this study, we presented a novel one-dimensional TP for feature extraction we called MCMTTP as it uses multi centered and multi threshold based ternary pattern. The main objective of the proposed MCMTTP is to comprehensively extract features. To generate multileveled features, we use maximum pooling, which is widely used in the deep learning network. The extracted features are selected by using an iterative neighborhood component analysis we called INCA. In the classification phase, 6 classifiers were selected to obtain comprehensive benchmark for evaluating 8 cases.

D. CONTRIBUTIONS

The provided contributions of the proposed multileveled MCMTTP based disease classification method are shown in below.

| Reference | Method | Year | Database | Evaluation Criteria | The results of accuracy |
|-----------|--------|------|----------|--------------------|------------------------|
| Fujimura et al. method [12] | One-dimensional convolutional neural network | 2020 | Collected data | Accuracy, F1 score, quadratic weighted Cohen's kappa | 88.35% |
| Hamamci et al. method [13] | Empirical mode decomposition, discrete wavelet transform | 2020 | Saarbruecken Voice Database [14] | Accuracy, specificity, sensitivity | 99.29% |
| Tracy et al. method [15] | Deep phenotyping method | 2019 | Collected data | True positive rate, false positive rate, recall, precision, F1, AUC | - |
| Tuncer et al. method [16] | One dimensional local binary pattern | 2019 | Saarbruecken Voice Database [14] | Accuracy, specificity, sensitivity, gmean | 98.73% |
| Wu et al. method [17] | Joint learning | 2019 | Saarbruecken Voice Database [14] | Specificity, sensitivity, gmean | - |
| Tuncer and Dogan method [18] | Dynamic center based binary ternary | 2019 | Daily voices dataset [19] | Accuracy | 89.17% |
| Pravena et al. method [20] | Mel frequency cepstral coefficients, discrete fourier transform | 2012 | Collected data | Accuracy | 98.00% |
| Iqbal et al. method [21] | L1-norm support vector machine | 2019 | Collected data | Accuracy, specificity, sensitivity, precision, F1-score, execution time | 97.00% |
| Boklet et al. method [22] | Acoustic, vocal and prosodic feature extraction | 2011 | Ruiz et al. dataset [23] | Recognition rate | 91.00% |
| Caesarendra et al. method [24] | Principal component analysis, linear discriminant analysis, support vector machine | 2014 | California-Irvine University (UCI) machine learning repository [25] | Accuracy | 100.00% |
| Bongba et al. method [26] | Human factor cepstral coefficients | 2017 | Collected data | Accuracy, specificity, sensitivity | 87.50% |
| Narang et al. method [27] | Bayesian binary regression | 2016 | UCI Machine Learning Repository [25, 27] | Accuracy, recall, specificity, precision | 87.67% |

1) TP has been widely used in the literature, but it has some several drawbacks that need to be tackled and enhanced. TP is a parametric feature extractor and it used 5th value as a center pixel. However, more differs and valuable features can be extracted by using variable center and variable threshold. A novel TP like microstructure (MCMTTP) is proposed to tackle problems of determining the optimal threshold value, center value selection, and medium-high level
feature extraction. MCMTTP has better feature generating capability than classical TP because of different choices of center values and thresholds to generate features comprehensively as inception network.

2) Feature selection is one of the most important steps for machine learning especially, when the number of features is huge. To optimize this phase, an iterative neighborhood component analysis, NCA, feature selector is used. The main aim of the proposed INCA is to automatically select most discriminating features.

3) Voice based disease detection is a hard problem for machine learning based methods. Binary classification has generally been used in the voice disease detection method in the literature. Because, multiclass classification is very hard for voice-based disease detection [16], [17], [51]. In this work, frontal resection, cordectomy and spastic dysphonia diseases are used for performance evaluation and by using these diseases, 8 cases are created. High success rates are reached by using the proposed MCMTTP based multileveled method.

II. BACKGROUND
In this article, local ternary pattern (LTP) like feature extraction method is used to extract features. Therefore, Local Binary Pattern (LBP) which is basic textural feature extractor and one dimensional LTP is explained in this section.

A. LOCAL BINARY PATTERN
Local Binary Pattern was proposed in 1994 and is a commonly used texture identifier [28]. It is widely used because it is an effective feature extractor [29]. As shown in Figure 1, LBP divides the image into 3 x 3 sized overlapping blocks. Then the center pixel and neighborhood pixels are compared using the signum function. Mathematical notation of the binary feature extraction is given in Eq. 1 [30], [31].

$$\text{signum}(IM_{t,k}, \text{center}) = bit_t = \begin{cases} 0, & IM_{t,k} < \text{center} \\ 1, & IM_{t,k} \geq \text{center} \end{cases}$$

Extracted binary features are converted to decimal value and histogram of the constructed new image is considered as feature vector. It has also one dimensional version for the signal and voice processing [32].

As seen from Fig. 2, 9 sized overlapping blocks are used in 1D-LBP to extract features. In the binary feature extraction phase, 8 bits are extracted. Therefore, LBP extracts 256 features [16], [33].

B. TERNARY PATTERN
Local Ternary Pattern (LTP) is one of the LBP like feature extractor [34]. The main difference between LBP and LTP is to use ternary function for binary feature extraction [35]. Mathematical definition of the ternary pattern is given in Eq. 2 [18].

$$\text{ter}(IM_{t,k}, \text{center}, \text{thr}) = tr = \begin{cases} 1, & IM_{t,k} - \text{center} > \text{thr} \\ 0, & -\text{thr} \leq IM_{t,k} - \text{center} \leq \text{thr} \\ -1, & IM_{t,k} - \text{center} < -\text{thr} \end{cases}$$

where $tr$ represents ternary value and $thr$ represents the threshold value.

Eq. 2 shows that the generated values are -1,0 and 1 by using ternary function. To convert bits to ternary values, Eqs. 3 and 4 are used.

$$\text{bit}^{upper} = \begin{cases} 0, & tr < 1 \\ 1, & tr = 1 \end{cases}$$

$$\text{bit}^{lower} = \begin{cases} 0, & tr > -1 \\ 1, & tr = -1 \end{cases}$$

As seen from Eq. 3-4, the 8 lower and 8 upper bits are generated from the secondary layer (Eq.2). By converting these bits to decimal values, upper and lower signals are generated. Histograms of these signals are concatenate, and the 512 features are obtained by using TP. A graphical explanation of the TP is shown in Fig. 3 [36], [37].

III. THE PROPOSED MULTI CENTER AND MULTI THRESHOLD BASED TERNARY PATTERN: MCMTTP
As we have seen in section 2.1, LTP is efficient and easy to use for feature extraction for binary classification. Here we propose a novel feature extraction called MCMTTP which uses
simultaneously variable center value and variable threshold value to extract final feature from the image to improve the performance of LTP. The pseudo code of TP is described in Algorithm 1.

### IV. THE PROPOSED VOICE-BASED DISEASE CLASSIFICATION METHOD

In this work, we propose an algorithm (MCMTTP) whose goal is to discriminate between pathological and healthy voices with high accuracy rate by using multileveled based feature extraction, hybrid feature selection and classification. The Our approach comprises three components: (1) Multileveled feature extraction, (2) iterative NCA based feature selection and (3) a panoply of classifier for prediction. Flowchart of the algorithm is represented in Fig. 4 and detailed in the following section A, B and C.

#### A. MULTILEVELED FEATURE EXTRACTION USING MCMTTP

In this phase, a novel multileveled feature extraction network is proposed. To create features at different levels, maximum pooling method, down-sample technique to reduce features, is applied [38], [39]. This method has nine levels. In each level, center and threshold values are iteratively changed. Low, medium and high-level features are extracted by using the proposed multileveled MCMTTP feature extraction method. Mathematical explanations and steps of the proposed multileveled method are given as below. Also, schematically explanation of the proposed MCMTTP method is shown in Fig. 4.

#### Algorithm 1 Procedure of Parametric TP

**Procedure: Parametric TP** (PTP(signal, mt, center))

**Input:** Voice signal with size of M, mt is threshold value multiplier parameter, center is center parameter. **Output:** Feature vector (featvec) with length of 512.

1. \( thr = std \text{ (signal)} \times mt / 10 \); // Calculate threshold value using standard deviation and multiplier parameter.
2. \( for \ i = 1 \ to \ M-8 \ do \) // Define counter
3. \( window = block(i : i + 8) \); // Divide voice signal into 9 sized overlapping blocks with size of 9.
4. \( uppervalue(i) = 0; \) \( lowervalue(i) = 0 \) // Assign first values as 0.
5. \( say = 1; \) // Define counter
6. \( for \ j = 1 \ to \ 9 \ do \) // Skip center value.
7. \( tr = ter(block(j), center) \); // Calculate ternary values.
8. Calculate upper and lower bits by using Eqs. 2-3.
9. \( uppervalue(i) + = bit^{upper}(say) \times 2^{8-say} \); // Calculate upper values by using binary to decimal conversion.
10. \( lowervalue(i) + = bit^{lower}(say) \times 2^{8-say} \); // Calculate lower values by using binary to decimal conversion.
11. \( say += \); // Increase counter.
12. \( end if \)
13. \( end for \ j \)
14. \( end for \ i \)
15. Extract histograms of the uppervalue and lowervalue signals.
16. Apply histogram concatenation to obtain featvec

Step 1: Create a loop from 1 to 9
Step 2: Extract features by using parametric TP function (see algorithm 1). TP is a textural feature extractor where it is used for images and signals as well. In the images, texture classification and analysis are one of the most studied areas of research. By using TP, 512 textural features are generated from a signal as follows.

\[
\text{feature}_i = PTP(\text{signal}, i, 10 - i), \quad i = \{1, 2, \ldots, 9\}
\]

(5)

Step 3: Apply maximum pooling to signal by using Algorithm 2 [40].

\[
\text{signal}_i = \text{maxpool}(\text{signal})
\]

(6)

Step 5: Repeat steps 2 and 3 nine times.
Step 6: Concatenated extracted features and obtain 4608 sized feature vector.

#### B. ITERATIVE NCA BASED FEATURE SELECTION

NCA is a distance based feature selection method which generates positive weight for each feature [41]. One of the
Algorithm 2 Procedure Maximum Pooling

**Procedure**: Maximum pooling (maxpool(signal))

**Input**: Voice signal with size of M

**Output**: New voice signal (signal_{new}) with length of M/2.

```
00: counter = 1; // Define counter to define new signal index.
01: for i = 1 to M-1 step by 2 do
02:   signal_{new}(counter) = max (signal (i : i + 1)); // Calculate maximum value of the divided non-overlapping blocks.
03:   counter ++; // Increase counter.
04: end for i
```

The generated feat_{final} is forwarded to classifiers.

### C. CLASSIFICATION

In the classification phase, six widely conventional classifiers were used. These classifiers are naïve bayes (NB), k nearest neighborhood (kNN), linear discriminant (LD), decision tree (DT), support vector machine (SVM) and bagged tree (BT). 10-fold cross validation were selected as testing and training strategy. We used conventional classifiers to obtain a cognitive method and show success of the proposed MCMTP and INCA based feature selector.

1) **NB**

NB is a widely used simple probability-based conventional classifier [43]. In this paper, for NB hyper parameter, we use Gaussian kernel [44].

2) **KNN**

KNN is a distance based parametric classifier and is one of the simplest classifiers in the literature. In this paper, k and distance metrics are selected as 1 and Euclidean respectively.

3) **LD**

LD is a linear discriminant classifier based on the mean and the covariance of each class to where we need to classify a data point. Therefore, any hyper parameter setting is not used [45].

4) **DT**

DT or decision tree classifier and is one of the widely preferred conventional classifiers and uses information entropy to classify observations. Some of the popular tree algorithms are C4.5, CART and ID3. The widely used split criterion of the DT are Gini, Twoing and maximum deviance reduction. It is a parametric classifier. In this work, Gini’s model is used in DT [46].

5) **SVM**

SVM or support vector machine is an optimization based conventional classifier that incorporates a variety of kernel methods such as radial basis sets, polynomial kernel or neural networks. We selected 3rd degree polynomial kernel or what is called cubic SVM [47].

6) **BT**

BT or bagged tree is an ensemble model of the DT. The used hyper parameters in BT are given as follows. Ensemble
method is bag and Gini's diversity index is used for random split selection [48].

The conspicuous attributes of the proposed method are summarized as follows:

- Generating a comprehensively features by using MTM-CTTP method.
- A multileveled feature generating network is proposed to extract low, medium and high-level features by using MTM-CTTP method and maximum pooling technique.

To denote a general success and strength of the proposed feature generation network and INCA feature selector, six traditional classifiers are used.

V. PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS

To evaluate performance of the proposed MCMTTP based voice classification method, Saarbruecken Voice Database (SVD) [14], [49] was used. This dataset has pathological voices and user can freely download these voices. These pathologic voices were collected from more than 2000 subjects in 70 classes (diseases) with 50 KHz frequency and 16-bit resolution. These voices were collected as vowels (/a/, /i/, /u/) and sentence (“Guten Morgen, ne es Ihnen?”). Three diseases are used to create cases and these diseases are frontal resection, cordectomy and spastic dysphonia. We collected sentences from SVD. Eight cases were defined. The defined cases are given as below.

Case 1: In this case, two classes are used. These classes are healthy and cordectomy. The proposed INCA selected 24 features to solve this classification problem with high accuracy.

Case 2: In this case, two classes are used. These classes are healthy and frontal resection. The proposed INCA selected 267 features to solve this classification problem with high accuracy.

Case 3: In this case, two classes are used. These classes are healthy and spastic dysphonia. The proposed INCA selected 404 features to solve this classification problem with high accuracy.

Case 4: In this case, two classes are used. These classes are named as healthy and patient. There are voices of cordectomy, frontal resection and spastic dysphonia voices in the patient class. The proposed INCA selected 57 features to solve this classification problem with high accuracy.

Case 5: In this case, three classes are used. These classes are healthy, cordectomy and frontal resection. The proposed INCA selected 144 features to solve this classification problem with high accuracy.

Case 6: In this case, three classes are used. These classes are healthy, cordectomy and spastic dysphonia. The proposed INCA selected 36 features to solve this classification problem with high accuracy.

Case 7: In this case, three classes are used. These classes are healthy, frontal resection and spastic dysphonia. The proposed INCA selected 384 features to solve this classification problem with high accuracy.

Case 8: In this case, four classes, healthy, cordectomy, frontal resection and spastic dysphonia, are considered. A high accuracy has been achieved when 71 features were selected by the proposed INCA.

To study the performance of those classifiers, classification accuracy and geometric mean are used. These metrics are mathematically shown in below [50].

\[
\text{accuracy} = \frac{tp + tn}{tp + tn + fp + fn} \tag{14}
\]

\[
\text{gm} = \sqrt{\frac{tp}{tp + fn} \times \frac{tn}{tn + fp}} \tag{15}
\]

where \(tp\), \(tn\), \(fp\) and \(fn\) are true positives, true negatives, false positives and false negatives. The calculated results are listed in Tables 2 and 3.

| Case 1 | kNN | SVM | DT | LD | NB | BT |
|--------|-----|-----|----|----|----|----|
| 99.03  | 99.03 | 97.41 | 97.73 | 99.35 | 98.38 |
| Case 2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 98.60 | 92.28 | 97.54 | 98.60 |
| Case 3 | 97.13 | 96.82 | 92.28 | 90.13 | 88.85 | 94.27 |
| Case 4 | 98.28 | 97.30 | 93.87 | 92.40 | 93.63 | 94.85 |
| Case 5 | 91.86 | 93.31 | 87.79 | 96.63 | 87.79 | 89.24 |
| Case 6 | 94.64 | 94.64 | 87.67 | 92.49 | 90.35 | 92.23 |
| Case 7 | 93.70 | 96.56 | 87.97 | 79.08 | 89.11 | 91.69 |
| Case 8 | 87.99 | 89.95 | 81.37 | 84.80 | 81.37 | 84.07 |

| Case 1 | kNN | SVM | DT | LD | NB | BT |
|--------|-----|-----|----|----|----|----|
| 97.42  | 98.09 | 94.40 | 94.59 | 98.95 | 97.03 |
| Case 2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 95.43 | 83.92 | 97.37 | 95.43 |
| Case 3 | 95.24 | 93.04 | 87.64 | 86.65 | 87.14 | 88.37 |
| Case 4 | 98.13 | 96.97 | 93.08 | 90.94 | 93.02 | 94.25 |
| Case 5 | 78.26 | 81.75 | 65.50 | 67.91 | 71.09 | 67.54 |
| Case 6 | 91.13 | 91.09 | 81.08 | 87.48 | 85.61 | 86.44 |
| Case 7 | 94.0 | 93.35 | 81.38 | 70.01 | 86.51 | 83.19 |
| Case 8 | 76.97 | 80.93 | 65.39 | 71.26 | 73.05 | 73.38 |

As seen from tables 2 and 3, Case 2 achieved 100.0% classification accuracy and geometric mean by using kNN and SVM. There are two classes in the cases 1-4. Their best classification accuracies were at least 97%. The calculated best geometric mean achieved more than 95% for cases 1-4. The chosen classifier we obtained is kNN for all cases. Cases 5-7 have three classes. Our proposed provided 90% or more classification rates for these cases. However, the best obtained geometric mean for Case 5 was obtained and is 81.75%.

As we have seen, we used heterogeneous dataset to study the performance of our algorithm. For Case 8, 4 classes are used and the voice-based diseases detection with kNN and
TABLE 4. Geometric mean (%) values of the proposed method and other methods.

| Voice          | Method                                  | Case | Geometric mean |
|----------------|-----------------------------------------|------|----------------|
| /a/ vowel normal | Zhang et al.’s first method [51]         | Case 1 | 81.46         |
| /a/ vowel normal | Tuncer et al.’s method [16]              | Case 1 | 86.23         |
| /a/ vowel normal | Zhang et al.’s second method [51]        | Case 1 | 75.30         |
| + low high low  | Concatenate based                        | Case 1 | 80.14         |
| /a/ vowel normal | Wu et al.’s method [17]                  | Case 1 | 85.86         |
| + low high low  | Concatenate based                        | Case 1 | 85.83         |
| Sentence       | Our method + SVM                         | Case 1 | 98.09         |
| /a/ vowel normal | Zhang et al.’s first method [51]         | Case 2 | 93.49         |
| /a/ vowel normal | Tuncer et al.’s method [16]              | Case 2 | 85.83         |
| /a/ vowel normal | Zhang et al.’s second method [51]        | Case 2 | 93.25         |
| + low high low  | Concatenate based                        | Case 2 | 91.06         |
| /a/ vowel normal | Wu et al.’s method [17]                  | Case 2 | 93.44         |
| + low high low  | Concatenate based                        | Case 2 | 100.0         |

other classifiers was challenging except when using SVM which provided A 89.95% accuracy and 80.93% geometric mean.

VI. DISCUSSIONS

In this study, we proposed a novel multileveled feature extraction method which is a modified version of the TP called MCMTP. To create MCMTP, a parametric TP function is presented. Nine feature extraction networks are created using maximum pooling to extract several features at different levels. On the other hand, we use an effective feature selector INCA to select optimal features. We investigated the performance of the proposed algorithm on several pathologic voice dataset (SVD). Eight several cases were created by using these voices. In the literature, machine learning based voice disease detection methods have been applied to discriminate two class. Here we proposed an algorithm that classify 3 and 4 classes using also heterogeneous dataset. Tables 1 and 2 summarizes the performance of our algorithm and shows that the best classifier are kNN and SVM with more a classification rate greater than 90% of all cases except for Case 8 in which 80.93% geometric mean was obtained. To show the success of the proposed MCMTP based method, results of the other methods were listed in Table 4.

As seen from classification results, the proposed MCMTP based voice classification method achieved higher geometric mean than other selected works. Table 4 compares our algorithm with other approaches for case 1 and 2 only (binary). We could not do a comparative study between our algorithm and other classes for nonbinary. We summarize the advantages of the proposed MCMTP, and INCA based method as the followings:

1) Automated feature selection process is solved by using INCA.
2) By using a modified TP (MCMTP) and maximum pooling method, a multileveled feature extraction method is proposed, and high accuracy rates were achieved by using this feature extraction and INCA based feature selection method together.
3) Six conventional classifiers were used to show strength of the proposed voice-based disease detection method.
4) Eight cases were defined to obtain general results.
5) The proposed MCMTP and INCA based pathologic voice classification method outperforms.

VII. CONCLUSION

In this work, a novel one-dimensional improved TP method was presented along with an automated voice-based disease classification method. In this method, a multileveled feature extraction network is constructed where features are extracted from each level by parametric TP. The extracted features are concatenated, and the proposed INCA selects discriminative ones. We used SVD pathologic voice dataset to create 8 cases. We selected three diseases for these cases. These are cordectomy, frontal resection and spastic dysphonia. This method reached 100.0% classification accuracy and geometric mean (perfect classification) for Case 2 (frontal resection detection). The proposed MCMTP and INCA based method achieved high performance (See tables 2-3). This method also achieved better results than other selected presented methods (See Table 4). These results clearly demonstrated that the proposed MCMTP and INCA method is successful. The proposed MCMTP and INCA methods are cognitive and lightweight method. Therefore, our techniques are useful for most of new generation of real time applications like a chest diseases clinic as smart assistants.
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