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Effect of Mg Concentration on the Aluminothermic Reduction of Mn$_2$O$_3$ Particles Obtained from Cathodes of Discharged Alkaline Batteries: Mathematical Modeling and Experimental Results
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Abstract: This paper aimed at studying the effect of magnesium concentration in molten aluminum produced from beverage cans on the process of aluminothermic reduction of Mn$_2$O$_3$ particles obtained from the cathodes of discharged alkaline batteries. The experimental results were analyzed by using thermodynamic fundamentals and kinetic modeling, while the characterization of the reaction products obtained allowed the mechanism of the process to be described. It was found that the addition of magnesium improves the wettability of solid particles by molten aluminum, thus increasing the reaction and its subsequent incorporation into the molten aluminum solution of Mn released from the reduction reaction. This work was carried out using several initial magnesium concentrations; 1.0, 2.0, 3.0, and 4.0 wt %, under a constant temperature of 1073 K, a constant treatment time of 240 min, and a constant agitation speed of 200 rpm. The results show that the higher the initial magnesium concentration in the molten alloy, the higher the speed of the chemical reduction reaction of the Mn$_2$O$_3$ particles.
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1. Introduction

Discharged alkaline batteries should be considered as hazardous residues due to their high toxicity levels and the quantities produced worldwide. Once exhausted, they are normally disposed of in the garbage, so that the metals and toxic materials that they contain have harmful effects on the health of the human beings [1,2].

It is important to mention that aluminium, with an annual global consumption of 24 million metric tons, is the most highly consumed non-ferrous metal in the world. Of this quantity, 75% is primary aluminum extracted directly from bauxite; the remainder is secondary aluminum or recycled aluminum [3], which does not lose any of the properties that it had before being processed. To process secondary aluminum, only 5% of the total energy is required, in comparison to the energy required to produce aluminum from alumina [4]. At present, the tendency to recycle has been increasing, not only due to the economic aspects, but also due to the detrimental impacts of certain metals on the environment. The usage of certain materials that are discarded every day, such as aluminum cans from beverages and discharged alkaline batteries, is an opportunity for researching the preparation of new materials or alloys.
The metallothermic reduction process refers to the extraction of metals and alloys by the reduction of their oxides or halides with metals. There are certain metals that are used in this process, such as Al, Ca, and Mg, and each possesses specific properties and processes.

One of the most commonly employed metal according to its properties is aluminum, which is used in a process called aluminothermic reduction [5,6]. The nature of the solid–liquid interface that is in contact is determined in order to achieve the wettability that is required for a reaction to occur between them, either a dissolution or a chemical reaction. For the aluminothermic process to be carried out, it is required that there is wettability between the molten aluminum and the solid particles of the oxides to be reduced. Therefore, without good wettability, the chemical reaction and mass transport velocities are greatly reduced. In an extreme case where wettability between the solid oxide and the molten phase does not exist, the reduction will simply not occur. Therefore, the initial magnesium concentration in molten aluminum can have great importance for the aluminothermic reduction process, due to its tensoactive nature, since the surface tension value of molten aluminum without Mg is greater compared to that of aluminium, with up to 4 wt % Mg (γAl-4 wt %Mg = 0.56 N/m, against γAl-0 wt %Mg = 0.91 N/m) [7–9]. Thus, it could be established that when adding magnesium to a bath of molten aluminium, the surface tension will be decreased, leading to an improvement in wettability between the solid particles and the molten fraction, thus increasing the kinetics of the reactions that are carried out at the solid–liquid interface. The wettability of molten metals by solid particles strongly influences the kinetics and dissolution mechanisms [6]. The chemical reaction that takes place during the aluminothermic reduction reaction of particles of Mn₂O₃ is given as follows:

\[
2\text{Al} + \text{Mg} + 4/3\text{Mn}_2\text{O}_3 = 8/3\text{Mn} + \text{MgAl}_2\text{O}_4
\]

\[\Delta G^{°}_{1073 \text{ K}} = -529.41 \text{ kJ} \] (1)

From the beginning, it is established that the aluminothermic reduction process can be used for the reduction of Mn₂O₃ powders that are obtained from the cathodes of discharged alkaline batteries, using aluminum obtained from the melting of beverage cans, as presented and discussed in this paper.

2. Materials and Methods

\(\text{Mn}_2\text{O}_3\) powders were obtained from the cathodes of discharged AA- and AAA-type alkaline batteries from different manufacturers. They were manually collected and disassembled, cutting the battery body to remove \(\text{Mn}_2\text{O}_3\) from inside, and exposing the tissue containing the zinc oxide. The \(\text{Mn}_2\text{O}_3\) powder was washed with deionized water in order to remove the electrolyte (KOH) [6,10]. Later, it was filtered and calcined at 1223 K for 3 h, to eliminate the graphite contained in the cathode [11]. Melting of aluminium was carried out in a medium-frequency induction furnace (Inductotherm corp. Rancocas, Burlington, NJ, USA) equipped with a silicon carbide crucible with 11,000 g molten aluminium capacity. A mechanical agitator made of graphite was attached to the furnace through a specially designed lid positioned at the geometrical center of the coil of the furnace. Figure 1 shows the scheme of the experimental set-up.
where process of aluminothermic reduction of solid Mn\(_2\)O\(_3\) particles, the following sequence of steps was implemented. First, 5000 g of the base alloy was loaded inside the SiC crucible in the induction furnace; once a working temperature of 1073 K was reached, the mechanical agitator, operating a speed of 200 rpm, was immersed inside the molten alloy. In order to estimate the amount of Mn\(_2\)O\(_3\) powder needed to reach a final target concentration of 1.5 wt %, stoichiometric calculations, taking into account the global chemical reaction given by Equation (1) and the weight of the aluminium load (5000 g), as well as the percentage of manganese in the starting alloy, were balanced. This resulted in a total of 126 g of powder to be added in a selected period of 240 min. This calculated amount was divided into 16 packages that were added at 15 min intervals, so that 16 samples were collected. As a response variable, the manganese concentration during the aluminothermic reduction process as a function of addition time and initial magnesium concentration in the alloy was selected.

The materials used in the experiments performed were cans of aluminum beverages, magnesium scrap with a purity of 96 wt %, and Mn\(_2\)O\(_3\) powder of 99.99 wt % (average particle size 45 \(\mu\)m), obtained from the cathodes of discharged alkaline batteries. The chemical composition of the aluminum cans and of the other materials used are shown in Table 1. The base alloy was obtained by melting aluminum cans at 1023 K, where the initial Mg concentration was on average 1.07 wt %. In turn, this alloy was used to prepare the alloys described in Table 2, with the addition of commercial-purity magnesium, and calculating from mass balances the amount that is required, according to Equation (2).

\[
Mg(g) = \frac{W_{Al}(\%Mg_{f} - \%Mg_{I})}{\%PMg}
\]

where \(W_{Al}\) is the total weight of molten aluminum; \(\%Mg_{f}\) is the weight percentage magnesium required in the alloy; \(\%Mg_{I}\) is the initial weight percentage concentration of magnesium in the starting alloy, and \(\%PMg\) is the weight percent of magnesium in the scrap metal. In order to carry out the process of aluminothermic reduction of solid Mn\(_2\)O\(_3\) particles, the following sequence of steps was implemented. First, 5000 g of the base alloy was loaded inside the SiC crucible in the induction furnace; once a working temperature of 1073 K was reached, the mechanical agitator, operating a speed of 200 rpm, was immersed inside the molten alloy. In order to estimate the amount of Mn\(_2\)O\(_3\) powder needed to reach a final target concentration of 1.5 wt %, stoichiometric calculations, taking into account the global chemical reaction given by Equation (1) and the weight of the aluminium load (5000 g), as well as the percentage of manganese in the starting alloy, were balanced. This resulted in a total of 126 g of powder to be added in a selected period of 240 min. This calculated amount was divided into 16 packages that were added at 15 min intervals, so that 16 samples were collected. As a response variable, the manganese concentration during the aluminothermic reduction process as a function of addition time and initial magnesium concentration in the alloy was selected. The chemical analysis of the collected metallic samples was carried out by SPECTRO brand spark emission spectrometry (Spectro Scientific, Chelmsford, MA, USA).

**Table 1.** Chemical composition of the materials used during the aluminothermic reduction of Mn\(_2\)O\(_3\) powders (wt %).

| Materials         | Si    | Fe    | Cu    | Mn    | Mg    | Ni    | Zn    | Al    |
|-------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Aluminum cans     | 0.26  | 0.64  | 0.24  | 0.62  | 1.07  | 0.09  | 0.10  | Balance |
| Mg Scrap          | 0.01  | 0.01  | -     | -     | Balance | -     | 0.02  | 3.28   |

**Figure 1.** Scheme of the induction furnace with a mechanical agitator used as experimental apparatus (1. Induction furnace, 2. Graphite paddle, 3. Mechanical agitator, and 4. Liquid aluminum).
Table 2. Chemical composition of the alloys prepared for studying the aluminothermic reduction of Mn$_2$O$_3$ powders (wt %).

| Alloys of Al   | Si   | Fe   | Cu   | Mn   | Mg   | Ni   | Zn   | Al   |
|---------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Alloy 1       | 0.26 | 0.64 | 0.24 | 0.62 | 1.07 | 0.09 | 0.10 | Balance |
| Alloy 2       | 0.26 | 0.63 | 0.24 | 0.62 | 2.05 | 0.09 | 0.10 | Balance |
| Alloy 3       | 0.25 | 0.62 | 0.24 | 0.60 | 3.02 | 0.09 | 0.10 | Balance |
| Alloy 4       | 0.25 | 0.62 | 0.23 | 0.59 | 4.01 | 0.09 | 0.10 | Balance |

For the collection of X-ray diffraction patterns of the slag particles, a byproduct of the aluminothermic reduction of the Mn$_2$O$_3$, they were analyzed by an X-ray diffractometer brand Phillips model TW-3040, with vertical goniometer and high temperature camera. The analyses of XRD (Royal Philips, Amstelplein 2, Amsterdam, The Netherlands) were performed using the X-ray technique powder (approximately 3 g) in the range of 10° to 80° in 2θ, using a radiation Cu-kα = 0.15405 at a rate of 0.02°/s. The diffraction was carried out at room temperature.

The morphology and distribution analyses of the phases in the slag samples were carried out using a scanning electron microscope (SEM) (Royal Philips, Amstelplein 2, Amsterdam, The Netherlands) brand Phillips model XL-30ESEM equipped with a microanalysis system by EDS brand EDAX model PEGASUS (Pegasus Scientific Inc. Rockville, MD, USA). The samples were mounted in cold resin of slow curing. They were metallographically prepared using SiC abrasives with different abrasion particle sizes, ranging from #120 (coarse types) to #2400 (fine types). Alcohol was used as a lubricant to prevent the sample from being hydrated. After finishing the roughing, they were polished with 3 and 1 µm diamond pastes. Finally they were covered with Ag to analyze the morphology and distribution of phases that each sample presents.

3. Results and Discussion

This section presents and discusses the results obtained from the study of the aluminothermic reduction process of Mn$_2$O$_3$ powder as a function of the initial magnesium concentration. First of all, the thermodynamic analysis is presented.

3.1. Thermodynamics in the Aluminothermic Reduction Process of Mn$_2$O$_3$

According to classical thermodynamics, the chemical reactions described below arise during the aluminothermic reduction process of Mn$_2$O$_3$. Their standard Gibbs free energy values at 1073 K per mole of the oxide species were calculated using HSC 6.12 software (Outotec Oyj, Espoo, Finland), which has a menu of tools called reaction (equations) that provides theoretical data of Gibbs free energies at the temperature of interest. This software has a database of cp, ∆H, and ∆S at different temperatures, which will facilitate thermodynamic calculations and eliminate mathematical errors.

\[
2\text{Al} + \frac{3}{2}\text{O}_2 = \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \quad \Delta G^{\circ}_{1073\ K} = -1336.71 \text{ kJ} \tag{3}
\]

\[
\text{Mg} + \frac{1}{2}\text{O}_2 = \text{MgO} \quad \Delta G^{\circ}_{1073\ K} = -485.04 \text{ kJ} \tag{4}
\]

\[
2\text{Al} + \text{Mg} + \frac{4}{3}\text{Mn}_2\text{O}_3 = \frac{8}{3}\text{Mn} + \text{MgAl}_2\text{O}_4 \quad \Delta G^{\circ}_{1073\ K} = -529.41 \text{ kJ} \tag{5}
\]

\[
2\text{Al} + \text{Mg} + \frac{3}{4}\text{Mn}_3\text{O}_4 = 3\text{Mn} + \text{MgAl}_2\text{O}_4 \quad \Delta G^{\circ}_{1073\ K} = -423.14 \text{ kJ} \tag{6}
\]

\[
2\text{Al} + \text{Mg} + 4\text{MnO} = 4\text{Mn} + \text{MgAl}_2\text{O}_4 \quad \Delta G^{\circ}_{1073\ K} = -212.40 \text{ kJ} \tag{7}
\]
\[
\text{Al} + \frac{1}{2}\text{N}_2 = 2\text{AlN} \\
\Delta G_{1073 \text{K}} = -203.16 \text{kJ}
\] (8)

Equations (3) and (4) describe the reaction that occurs between aluminum and magnesium fused with dissolved oxygen in the liquid, forming \( \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \) and \( \text{MgO} \), respectively, these being the only products of oxidation of these two metals. According to the thermodynamics, the first to be formed is \( \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \) because it has a high affinity for oxygen [12]; however, the possibility of simultaneously forming \( \text{MnO} \) is not ruled out, because the magnesium is present at concentrations up to a maximum of 4 wt % in the alloy. The formation of spinel is only possible by the chemical reactions (5), (6), or (7). In turn, after the formation of the compounds \( \text{MgAl}_2\text{O}_4 \), \( \text{MgO} \), and \( \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \), due to their low density, they will float to the surface of the molten bath [13]. The reactions, as given by Equations (6) and (7), were considered according to the sequence of deoxidation of \( \text{Mn}_2\text{O}_3 \), because the \( \text{Mn}_2\text{O}_3 \) particles have to go through a series of manganese compounds of lesser degree of oxidation, up to metallic manganese, which, once released, pass directly in solution within molten aluminum.

3.2. X-Ray Diffraction of Reaction Products in the Aluminothermic Reduction Process of \( \text{Mn}_2\text{O}_3 \)

The X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns shown in Figure 2 correspond to the analysis of the different slags obtained after the aluminothermic reduction reaction, as a function of initial magnesium concentration in the alloy. The samples for the X-ray diffraction analysis were taken at the end of the process, the amount of slag was 150 g. Once cooled, it was crushed in a mortar by hand, then sieved until it reached 200 meshes. Finally, the quartering method was used to obtain a representative sample of the slag. As it can be seen, compounds such as \( \text{Mn}_3\text{O}_4 \), \( \text{MnO} \), \( \text{MgAl}_2\text{O}_4 \), \( \text{MgO} \), and \( \text{AlN} \) are clearly evident, which confirm that the reactions proposed for the process of thermic reduction of \( \text{Mn}_2\text{O}_3 \) were carried out.

![Figure 2. X-ray diffraction (XRD) pattern of the slags obtained from experiments at constant temperature and constant agitation of the molten bath, for alloys with different initial concentrations of Mg.](image)

As can be seen in Figure 2, the \( \text{MgAl}_2\text{O}_4 \) compound was present in all of the studied slags, proving that Al and Mg are oxidized by the oxygen that comes from the reduction of the \( \text{Mn}_2\text{O}_3 \) particles. The compounds \( \text{Mn}_3\text{O}_4 \) and \( \text{MnO} \) observed in the corresponding XRD patterns, are the result of the oxidizing stages that \( \text{Mn}_2\text{O}_3 \) undergoes, until the oxidizing stage of metallic Mn is attained. It is known that Mn forms compounds with oxidation states from +1 to +7, with the most common states being +2, +4, and +7 [14,15]. \( \text{Mn}_2\text{O}_3 \) particles (containing 30.3% oxygen), pass to \( \text{Mn}_3\text{O}_4 \) in the first
stage (containing 27.9% of oxygen, with an oxygen loss of 2.4%). In the second stage, Mn$_3$O$_4$ passes to MnO (containing 22.5% oxygen, with a 5.4% oxygen loss). This last compound is reduced to metallic manganese. From this analysis, it is observed that the rate of the aluminothermic reduction reaction of solid particles of Mn$_2$O$_3$ can be limited, because Mn$_2$O$_3$ follows a sequence of reduction until it reaches the stage corresponding to the complete loss of oxygen, forming metallic manganese, which enters the molten solution.

On the other hand, because the process was carried out in an open system, AlN was formed by the reaction of molten aluminum with nitrogen from the atmosphere, according to the reaction given by Equation (8).

### 3.3. Effect of Mg on the Aluminothermic Reduction of Solid Particles of Mn$_2$O$_3$

Figure 3 shows the results of the variation in the concentration of manganese in the molten aluminium alloy, as a function of the addition time of Mn$_2$O$_3$ particles at constant temperature and agitation speed, for different initial concentrations of Mg in the base alloy. As can be seen in Figure 3, when the magnesium concentration increases, the amount of manganese that enters the molten alloy also increases. This is because there is enhanced wetting between the solid particles (Mn$_2$O$_3$) and the molten aluminium, because magnesium is a surfactant element that decreases the surface tension of the molten phase, therefore increasing the rate of the solid–liquid reaction.

![Figure 3. Variation in Mn concentration as a function of the time of the addition of particles from Mn$_2$O$_3$ to 1073 K and 240 rpm of agitation speed, for the indicated alloys.](image)

The best results were obtained with initial concentrations of Mg of 4 wt %. (Alloy 4), reaching a maximum level of incorporation of 1.39 wt % Mn. For Alloy 3, the incorporation was 1.23 wt %, while for Alloy 2 the incorporation of Mn was 0.99 wt %; in Alloy 1, the lowest degree of incorporation was obtained, reaching only 0.77 wt %.

Figure 4 shows the results of the variation of the magnesium concentration as a function of time, for each of the experiments performed at the experimental conditions described above.

![Figure 4. Variation in the concentration of Mg during the aluminothermic reduction of Mn$_2$O$_3$ to 1073 K and 240 rpm of agitation speed, for the indicated alloys.](image)
As seen from the graph, the concentration of Mg decreases constantly during the aluminothermic reduction reaction of Mn$_2$O$_3$, regardless of the initial concentration of Mg. However, the largest loss of magnesium occurs at initial concentrations of magnesium of 4 wt %. In addition to the effect of Mg on the surface tension of molten aluminum, magnesium also has a high affinity for oxygen, so that this element can also reduce Mn$_2$O$_3$ particles. Proof of this is the continuous decrease of the magnesium content in the molten alloy, as shown in Figure 4.

3.4. Kinetics of the Aluminothermic Reduction of Mn$_2$O$_3$ for Different Mg Contents in the Alloy

To characterize the rate law in the type of reaction studied in this work, the so-called degree of transformation or fraction transformed was measured. In this sense, the models that best fit the description of the progress of the reactions were experimentally measured. The models were conceived by theoretical considerations about the limiting step of the reaction, which involve aspects such as the diffusion of products from the decomposition of the reactants through the boundary layer, and considerations on the advancing geometry of the particle, as well as the size and shape of the particles. The heterogeneous reactions [16,17] to which this research is directed are of the type:

$$ A_{(\text{Solid})} + B_{(\text{Fluid})} = C_{(\text{solid})} + D_{(\text{Fluid})} \tag{9} $$

It is for this reason that the reaction rate is defined as the rate of change or the degree of transformation ($\alpha$) with time (t), given by:

$$ \text{Reaction rate} = \frac{d\alpha}{dt} \tag{10} $$

The magnitude associated with the advance of the reaction front is numerically equal to the reacted fraction that has been transformed at actual time, $t$, written as follows:

$$ \alpha = \frac{(X_0 - X_f)}{(X_0 - X_f)} \tag{11} $$

where $X_0$, $X_f$, and $X_f$ are the concentrations measured at the beginning, the actual time, and at the end, respectively. Thus, any physical–chemical parameter that varies in a linear way with the advance of the reaction can be used to calculate $\alpha$.

3.5. Mathematical Model in

In a fluid media (gas and liquid), $g(\alpha)$ can describe the dependence of the conversion with the concentration of reactants and/or products. However, in solids where the molecular movement is highly restricted and the reactions are dependent on the local structure and activity, the concept of concentration is much less well employed, and lacks a clear physical meaning. Therefore, the model $g(\alpha)$ usually plays the role of an empirical function. Several functions based on simple mathematical models are commonly used in these systems.

Experimental tests indicate that the reaction rate in the process has been controlled by the chemical reaction, mainly Al and Mg, where they reacted with Mn$_2$O$_3$. To correctly establish the mathematical model that best fits our system, we evaluated the 27 mathematical models [18] with the model (R1) three-dimensional contraction that best adjusted the aluminothermic reduction process obtaining a correlation index of 0.99.

To determine the speed of the aluminum reduction of the Mn$_2$O$_3$ particles and the controlling step in the kinetics of the process, different mathematical models were evaluated [18,19], with the equation
of the model of chemical reaction three dimensional contraction (R1) chemical reaction, and the model that best predicted the reacted fraction, whose mathematical expression is given by:

\[ g(\alpha) = \left[ \frac{3}{2} \left(1 - (1 - \alpha)\right)^2 \right] \]  

(12)

Figure 5 shows the data of the reacted fraction (\(\alpha\)) against time (\(t\)), where the solid line represents the reacted fraction for the experimental data of the aluminothermic reduction process of the Mn\(_2\)O\(_3\) particles to different Mg concentrations in the base alloy (1, 2, 3, and 4 wt %). The dots (●) represent the integral function \(g(\alpha) = kt\) of the kinetic models, assuming that 1.5 wt % of manganese in the alloy corresponds to the value of the reacted fraction (\(\alpha\)) equal to 1, because it is the maximum conversion value that can be reached by the reaction, equivalent to 100% of the reaction. In this kinetic reaction model, it is assumed that the reaction occurs quickly on the surface of the particle, and that the reaction rate is controlled by different states of manganese deoxidations, so that it is important to mention that whether the particles were spherical, as there will be a contraction in volume.

![Figure 5. Experimental values of the reacted fraction (\(\alpha\)) versus time, and the curves corresponding to the function \(g(\alpha)\) of the three-dimensional kinetic model of contraction R1, at a constant temperature of 1073 K, and at a constant agitation speed of 240 rpm, for the different alloys investigated.](image)

Figure 5 shows that the curves obtained have a good fit to the experimental data, having a correlation coefficient of 0.99. It is also observed that for Alloy 4 (4 wt % Mg), the reacted fraction was 87%, while for the rest, i.e., Alloys 1, 2, and 3, the values were 0.18, 0.42, and 0.69%, respectively.

### 3.6. Reaction Mechanism

To propose a mechanism of the reduction of solid particles of Mn\(_2\)O\(_3\) by applying the aluminothermic reduction process, it is necessary to start from the analysis of the X-ray diffraction patterns of the reaction products, which are shown for different experimental conditions in Figure 2. The presence of Mn\(_3\)O\(_4\) and MnO can be observed in states of oxidation that are lower than Mn\(_2\)O\(_3\), which is consistent with the reactions shown in Section 3.1, which indicates the sequence of deoxidation with their respective energy values and free standard Gibbs. The former implies that the reduction of Mn\(_2\)O\(_3\) to metallic manganese in solution is not direct, obtaining intermediate compounds with lower oxidation states, as would be expected.
Table 3 was constructed to indicate the amount of manganese that each oxide of this element should provide, taking as a base the total weight of the powder to be added after 240 min of treatment, with intervals of addition of 15 min. In this sense, 5000 g of Alloy were melted, to reach a final concentration of 1.5 wt % Mn in the alloy. The above assumes that the thermic reduction reactions are 100% efficient, and that the transformation of Mn$_2$O$_3$ into subsequent oxides could occur after the onset of the addition of the original oxide. For example, the third column indicates the amount of grams of Mn that each addition of Mn$_2$O$_3$ contributes. It also shows in the fourth and fifth columns, the grams of Mn that would pass directly to the alloy (fourth column) and the expected increase in the concentration of Mn in the alloy, starting from an initial concentration of 0.62 wt % Mn (fifth column). For reasons of comparison with the model describing the kinetics of the total reaction measured experimentally, columns 6 and 7 include the theoretical values that should be generated from the thermic reduction of Mn$_2$O$_3$, which is the oxide that considers the model R1.

Table 3. Data calculated for the simulation of the quantities of Mn that would provide Mn$_2$O$_3$ for each addition made, according to the time of treatment.

| Treatment Time (min) | Aggregate Quantity A1 Mn$_2$O$_3$ (g) | Grams Mn in Mn$_2$O$_3$ (β Mn$_2$O$_3$) | Mn Dissolved in Alloy θ (wt %) | Dissolved Mn Increase (wt %) | Reacted Fraction (Mn$_2$O$_3$) | Reacted Fraction by Applying the R1 Model |
|----------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| 0                    | 0.00                                 | 0.00                                   | 0.00                           | 0.62                          | 0.00                            | 0.00                                       |
| 15                   | 7.88                                 | 2.74                                   | 0.05                           | 0.67                          | 0.06                            | 0.06                                       |
| 30                   | 15.75                                | 5.48                                   | 0.11                           | 0.73                          | 0.12                            | 0.13                                       |
| 45                   | 23.63                                | 8.22                                   | 0.16                           | 0.78                          | 0.19                            | 0.19                                       |
| 60                   | 31.50                                | 10.96                                  | 0.22                           | 0.84                          | 0.25                            | 0.26                                       |
| 75                   | 39.38                                | 13.70                                  | 0.27                           | 0.89                          | 0.31                            | 0.33                                       |
| 90                   | 47.25                                | 16.44                                  | 0.33                           | 0.95                          | 0.37                            | 0.40                                       |
| 105                  | 55.13                                | 19.19                                  | 0.38                           | 1.00                          | 0.44                            | 0.48                                       |
| 120                  | 63.00                                | 21.93                                  | 0.44                           | 1.06                          | 0.50                            | 0.55                                       |
| 135                  | 70.88                                | 24.67                                  | 0.49                           | 1.11                          | 0.56                            | 0.63                                       |
| 150                  | 78.75                                | 27.41                                  | 0.55                           | 1.17                          | 0.62                            | 0.72                                       |
| 165                  | 86.63                                | 30.15                                  | 0.60                           | 1.22                          | 0.69                            | 0.81                                       |
| 180                  | 94.50                                | 32.89                                  | 0.66                           | 1.28                          | 0.75                            | 0.90                                       |
| 195                  | 102.38                               | 35.63                                  | 0.71                           | 1.33                          | 0.81                            | 1.00                                       |
| 210                  | 110.25                               | 38.37                                  | 0.77                           | 1.39                          | 0.87                            | 1.12                                       |
| 225                  | 118.13                               | 41.11                                  | 0.82                           | 1.44                          | 0.93                            | 1.26                                       |
| 240                  | 126.00                               | 43.85                                  | 0.88                           | 1.50                          | 1.00                            | 1.47                                       |

In Table 3, the third column, designated β (A$_{1-3}$), is the result of the balance of the expected mass, where (A) varies for each of the three oxidation states present in the system (A$_1$ = Mn$_2$O$_3$, A$_2$ = Mn$_3$O$_4$ or A$_3$ = MnO) calculated by the following equation:

$$\beta(A_{1-3}) = \frac{\text{Aggregate quantity } (A_{1-3}) \times \left(\frac{\text{atomic weight Mn}}{\text{molecular weight } (A_{1-3})} \times 100\%\right)}{100\%} \quad (13)$$

The fourth column is the percentage of manganese that dissolves in the alloy, where (P) varies for each of the oxidation states present in the aluminum reduction (P$_1$ = Mn$_2$O$_3$, P$_2$ = Mn$_3$O$_4$ and P$_3$ = MnO) given by the following equation:

$$\theta(P_{1-3}) = \frac{\text{Grams de Mn en } (P_{1-3}) \times (100\%)}{\text{weight aluminium } (5000\text{g})} \quad (14)$$

The fifth column represents the increase of manganese in the alloy that will be given by the sum of manganese dissolved (column 4), where it is part of an initial concentration of 0.62 wt % Mn in the alloy, assuming a yield of 1.5 wt % at the end of treatment. The sixth column, showing the
reacted fraction ($\alpha$) for Mn$_2$O$_3$, is calculated by Equation (12), as described in the chemical kinetics section. The seventh column was obtained by applying the model of the heterogeneous reaction of three-dimensional contraction (R1) and Equation (12) to the data of the fraction reacted with Mn$_2$O$_3$.

Tables 4 and 5 were constructed based on the same procedure as for obtaining data from Table 3, but in these cases, Mn$_3$O$_4$ and MnO are considered respectively as oxides to be reduced aluminothermically.

### Table 4: Data calculated for the simulation of the quantities of Mn that would provide Mn$_3$O$_4$ for each addition made according to the time of treatment.

| Treatment Time (min) | Aggregate Quantity Mn$_3$O$_4$ (g) | Grams Mn in Mn$_3$O$_4$ ($\beta$ Mn$_3$O$_4$) | Mn Dissolved in Alloy $\theta$ (wt %) | Dissolved Mn Increase (wt %) | Reacted Fraction (Mn$_3$O$_4$) | Reacted Fraction by Applying the R1 Model |
|----------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| 0                    | 0.00                              | 0.00                                        | 0.00                                 | 0.62                          | 0.00                            | 0.00                                   |
| 15                   | 7.88                              | 1.89                                        | 0.04                                 | 0.66                          | 0.04                            | 0.04                                   |
| 30                   | 15.75                             | 3.78                                        | 0.08                                 | 0.70                          | 0.09                            | 0.09                                   |
| 45                   | 23.63                             | 5.67                                        | 0.11                                 | 0.73                          | 0.13                            | 0.13                                   |
| 60                   | 31.50                             | 7.56                                        | 0.15                                 | 0.77                          | 0.17                            | 0.18                                   |
| 75                   | 39.38                             | 9.46                                        | 0.19                                 | 0.81                          | 0.21                            | 0.22                                   |
| 90                   | 47.25                             | 11.35                                       | 0.23                                 | 0.85                          | 0.26                            | 0.27                                   |
| 105                  | 55.13                             | 13.24                                       | 0.26                                 | 0.88                          | 0.30                            | 0.32                                   |
| 120                  | 63.00                             | 15.13                                       | 0.30                                 | 0.92                          | 0.34                            | 0.37                                   |
| 135                  | 70.88                             | 17.02                                       | 0.34                                 | 0.96                          | 0.39                            | 0.42                                   |
| 150                  | 78.75                             | 19.91                                       | 0.38                                 | 1.00                          | 0.43                            | 0.47                                   |
| 165                  | 86.63                             | 20.80                                       | 0.42                                 | 1.04                          | 0.47                            | 0.52                                   |
| 180                  | 94.50                             | 22.69                                       | 0.45                                 | 1.07                          | 0.52                            | 0.57                                   |
| 195                  | 102.38                            | 24.58                                       | 0.49                                 | 1.11                          | 0.56                            | 0.63                                   |
| 210                  | 110.25                            | 26.47                                       | 0.53                                 | 1.15                          | 0.60                            | 0.69                                   |
| 225                  | 118.13                            | 28.37                                       | 0.57                                 | 1.19                          | 0.64                            | 0.75                                   |
| 240                  | 126.00                            | 30.26                                       | 0.61                                 | 1.23                          | 0.69                            | 0.81                                   |

Table 4 shows the calculated values; according to the stoichiometry of Mn$_3$O$_4$, ($A_2$) there are intermediate compounds of lower oxidation state during the aluminothermic reduction of Mn$_2$O$_3$. The third column is the quantity of grams of manganese contained in Mn$_3$O$_4$, which was calculated by Equation (13).

The fourth column is the percentage of manganese dissolved in the alloy, for ($P_2 =$ Mn$_3$O$_4$) which is calculated by Equation (14).

The sixth column, called the reacted fraction ($\alpha$) for Mn$_3$O$_4$, was calculated by Equation (12) using the resulting data from the fifth column. The seventh column was obtained by applying the
mathematical model of the three-dimensional contraction (R1) to the data of the fraction that reacted with Mn$_3$O$_4$.

Table 5 shows the calculated values; according to the stoichiometry of MnO, $A_3$ there are compounds of lower oxidation state during the aluminothermic reduction of Mn$_2$O$_3$. The third column, showing grams of manganese in MnO, was calculated by Equation (13).

The fourth column is the percentage of manganese dissolved in the alloy, for $P_3$ = MnO which is calculated by Equation (14).

The fifth column represents the increase of manganese in the alloy, which is given by the sum of dissolved manganese, starting from the same aluminum alloy, with an initial concentration of Mn of 0.62 wt %. The sixth column, called the reacted fraction ($\alpha$) for MnO, was calculated using Equation (12), using the resulting data from the fifth column. The seventh column was obtained by applying the mathematical model (R1) to the values of the reacted fraction for MnO.

Figure 6 was constructed with the theoretical $\alpha$ data (column 6 in Tables 3-5) and applying the three-dimensional contraction model R1 for the $\alpha$ data (column 7 of Tables 3-5). It is observed that the behaviors of the three compounds (Mn$_2$O$_3$, Mn$_3$O$_4$, and MnO) were linear. For the case of Mn$_2$O$_3$, the reacted fraction calculated was equal to one, which indicates that theoretically, all of the solid reacted and transformed to metallic manganese in solution with the molten aluminium. Applying the mathematical model R1 only adjusted the first 90 min. For the case of Mn$_3$O$_4$, the theoretical reacted fraction reached was 0.69, and applying the reaction model, only the first 120 min were adjusted. The reacted fraction of MnO reached its maximum value of 0.97 at 105 min; however, the reaction model R1 only adjusted the first 30 min.

![Figure 6](image-url)

**Figure 6.** Comparison of the theoretical behavior of the different manganese oxidation states with the R1 model present in the aluminothermic reduction process (a) Mn$_2$O$_3$, (b) Mn$_3$O$_4$ and (c) MnO.
With disagreement to the former, it is proposed that according to the theoretical data of the reacted fraction \((a)\) and the application of the mathematical model \((R1)\), for each of the oxides, these only conform to certain periods of time. In the case of \(\text{Mn}_2\text{O}_3\), adjustment of the theoretical data with the mathematical model was only made during the first 90 min of treatment; for the case of \(\text{Mn}_3\text{O}_4\), adjustment was made only during the first 120 min, and finally for \(\text{MnO}\), the setting was 30 min.

By globally analyzing the behavior of each one of the oxides, and by applying theoretical data and models of three-dimensional contraction, it can be established that the reduction of \(\text{Mn}_2\text{O}_3\) to \(\text{Mn}_3\text{O}_4\) was carried out from 0 to 90 min. The reduction of \(\text{Mn}_3\text{O}_4\) to \(\text{MnO}\) occurred from 90 to 210 min, and finally the reduction of \(\text{MnO}\) to metallic manganese occurs from 210 min to 240 min, as shown in the graphs of Figure 7.

Figure 7. Manganese concentration as a function of time \((a)\) and a fraction reacted to time \((b)\), simulating the oxidation states present in the aluminothermic reduction process \((\text{Mn}_2\text{O}_3, \text{Mn}_3\text{O}_4, \text{and MnO})\).

Figure 7a shows that the simulated manganese concentration increased to 0.87 wt %, and that it needed to reach 1.5 wt % in the final alloy; the reacted fraction \((b)\) reached its maximum of 0.99 wt % at 240 min.

As shown in the diffraction patterns in Figure 1, which were taken for slags obtained at the end of the aluminothermic reduction process, no remains of \(\text{Mn}_2\text{O}_3\) were found, which leads to the affirmation that there was 100% transformation efficiency to compounds of lower oxidation states such as \(\text{Mn}_3\text{O}_4\) and \(\text{MnO}\), and if they appeared within X-ray diffraction patterns, only 87% transformation was achieved.

To establish the reaction mechanism during the reaction process of aluminothermic reduction of solid particles of \(\text{Mn}_2\text{O}_3\), it was established that the formation of \(\text{MgAl}_2\text{O}_4\) depends largely on the initial concentration of magnesium, i.e., for initial concentrations of magnesium of about 1 wt %, where the stable phase is \(\text{MgAl}_2\text{O}_4\) [20,21].

On the other hand, the reduction of \(\text{Mn}_2\text{O}_3\) particles in molten aluminium occurs because the aluminum atoms diffuse and infiltrate between the spaces that are generated in the solid particles of \(\text{Mn}_2\text{O}_3\) when transforming to \(\text{Mn}_3\text{O}_4\). According to the characteristics of each of the states of manganese oxidation that are found in the literature [22], the density of \(\text{Mn}_2\text{O}_3\) is 4.5 g/cm\(^3\), \(\text{Mn}_3\text{O}_4\) is 4.85 g/cm\(^3\), and \(\text{MnO}\) is 5.37 g/cm\(^3\). If we take into account the total amount of \(\text{Mn}_2\text{O}_3\) (126 g) that was added to the process to achieve the desired composition, through the relationship between volume and mass density, the volume of each oxide was calculated, taking into account the loss of oxygen for the different types of oxides \((\text{Mn}_2\text{O}_3, \text{Mn}_3\text{O}_4, \text{and MnO})\) until it became a metallic manganese solution in molten aluminium. According to the above-mentioned process, the volume for \(\text{Mn}_2\text{O}_3\) is 28 cm\(^3\); in the first stage, on the surface of the \(\text{Mn}_2\text{O}_3\) particles, \(\text{Mn}_3\text{O}_4\) compound is formed, which is a product of deoxidation by the effect of the aluminum and magnesium in solution. At this stage, 2.4 wt % of oxygen is released, which is subtracted from the total weight of \(\text{Mn}_2\text{O}_3\), resulting in a volume of 25.35 cm\(^3\). The resulting volume of \(\text{Mn}_3\text{O}_4\) is lower compared to that of the
starting Mn$_2$O$_3$, which generates a discontinuity in the superficial layer, generating space or cracks where it can continue to infiltrate aluminum and magnesium atoms in solution. In the second stage, as in the first, particles of Mn$_3$O$_4$ begin to reduce by the effect of the thermic process, transforming it to MnO; at this stage, there is a loss of 5.4 wt % by the release of oxygen, resulting in a volume of 21.66 cm$^3$. On Mn$_3$O$_4$, particulate compounds of smaller volume MnO exist in a discontinuous distribution. Because MnO has a smaller volume, its particles cannot completely cover the Mn$_3$O$_4$ particles, leaving free sites where it can continue to infiltrate the base alloy on the particle. In the third stage, MnO transforms to metallic manganese, which comes into solution.

As shown in Figure 6, the process is slow over the first 90 min, because smaller Mn$_3$O$_4$ particles begin to form on the Mn$_2$O$_3$ particles; subsequently, from 90 to 210 min, the process begins to accelerate, because on the Mn$_3$O$_4$ particles, smaller-sized MnO particles form, which results in an increase of the area of contact, and in the last few minutes, the process becomes exponential, increasing the kinetics of the reaction.

Figure 8 shows the schematic representation of the reaction mechanism that is proposed for the aluminothermic reduction process of Mn$_2$O$_3$.

![Figure 8](image-url)  
**Figure 8.** Schematic representation of the reaction mechanism.

The particle shown in Figure 9 is a sample obtained from the slag at the end of the aluminothermic reduction process of Mn$_2$O$_3$ to 1073 K and initial content of Mg of 4 wt %, was analyzed by the spectroscopic technique of energy dispersion in the SEM. Microassay measurements show that the Mn nucleus is surrounded by a rich phase in Mg and Al. The EDS spectra of the reaction product layer are shown in Figure 9 (b), (c). These results prove that the Mn$_2$O$_3$ was reduced by aluminum and magnesium as we raised in the Equation (1), forming reaction products as the spinel compound (MgAl$_2$O$_4$).
particles are surrounded by spinel, corresponding to the reaction product of Mn2O3. The mathematical model of chemical reaction (R1), which is adjusted correctly across the entire period of series of states of lesser oxidation during the aluminothermic reduction tests.

Conclusions

A very important factor in the aluminothermic reduction is the magnesium content in the base alloy, since this element improves the wettability of aluminium on the Mn2O3 particles, which allows for a reaction between solid and liquid.

Magnesium has two main effects on the aluminothermic reduction process. The first is to decrease the surface tension of the liquid aluminium. The second effect is to be an oxidized metal reducer (Mn2O3), according to the reactions that are involved during the process.

Mn2O3 from cathodes of discharged alkaline batteries, considered toxic, can be reused as a raw material for the elaboration of Al–Mn alloys of the series 3000, by the aluminothermic reduction process.

The reduction of Mn2O3 allows for the incorporation of 0.77, 1.11, 1.25, and 1.39 wt % manganese in aluminium, for initial concentrations of magnesium of 1, 2, 3, and 4 wt %, respectively, at a temperature of 1073 K and a time of 240 min.

The initial concentration of Mg in the base alloy is crucial in the preparation of alloys of Al–Mn; the best operating conditions were with a high content of 4 wt % Mg in the initial alloy, reaching levels of dissolution of manganese of up to 1.39 wt %, a 92% level of incorporation.

The compounds of the slag identified by XRD were MgO and MgAl2O4; these compounds corroborate that Mn2O3 is reduced by aluminum and magnesium, as shown by thermodynamic reactions. On the other hand, the identification of Mn3O4 and MnO indicates that Mn goes through a series of states of lesser oxidation during the aluminothermic reduction tests.

The slag produced after the aluminothermic reduction reactions contained the expected species: Al2O3, MgO, and MgAl2O4.

When particles of Mn2O3 are introduced to the center of the liquid metal, they obey the mathematical model of chemical reaction (R1), which is adjusted correctly across the entire period of the process.
One of the most important contributions of this research is the feasibility of preparing Al–Mn alloys made from aluminum cans and cathodes of discharged alkaline batteries using the aluminothermic reduction process, which can be an alternative for the aluminum industry. At the same time, it can contribute to the use of hazardous wastes such as discharged alkaline batteries, because there is currently no good management program for these wastes.
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