Effect of Interventions on Learning Burnout: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis
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Objectives: This study aimed to provide a comprehensive understanding of all intervention for learning burnout by meta-analyzing their effects.

Methods: Relevant studies that had been published up to September 18, 2020, were identified through a systematic search of the PubMed, Web of Science, the China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI), and Wan Fang databases. Eligible studies included randomized control trials of any learning burnout intervention conducted among students. The Jadad scale was used to evaluate the quality of the study. Random-effect meta-analyses, subgroup analyses, meta-regression analysis, and sensitivity analysis were conducted. Funnel plots and Egger's tests were used to evaluate publication bias. Duval and Tweedie's non-parametric trim-and-fill method was used to adjust the effect of publication bias.

Results: Of the 5,245 articles found, 39 met the inclusion criteria for the systematic review. There were 3,400 students, including 1,847 students in the intervention group and 1,553 in the control group. A meta-analysis of 44 studies showed that the interventions were effective. Subgroup analyses were conducted according to education, scales, intervention measures, and intervention time. The results showed that, compared with the control group, the learning burnout scores of undergraduates, secondary vocational students, and middle school students were significantly lower. Based on different scales, all interventions were also effective. The funnel plot was asymmetric and consistent with the results of Egger's test. The trim-and-fill method was used, and seven missing studies were merged to obtain a symmetric funnel plot.

Conclusions: This meta-analysis indicated that learning burnout interventions are effective. The subgroup analyses showed that group counseling is the most widely used, exercise intervention is probably the most effective, and 8 weeks or more is the appropriate intervention time. An integrated intervention study based on the factors of learning burnout adds value. More studies are needed to supplement the results in the future.
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INTRODUCTION

Burnout was first proposed by Freudenberger (1974) and used to describe a state of exhaustion caused by excessive working hours and intensity among social workers, including medical personnel. Later, the concept of learning burnout was coined by Kurzman (1981) to describe students’ negative attitudes toward their studies and school activities as well as their depleted mental state. Among other researchers, including Meier and Schmeck (1985), Neumann et al. (1990), Maslach and Leiter (1997), Slivar (2001), Lian et al. (2005), and Hu and Dai (2006), the most popular view is that of emotional exhaustion, academic alienation, and a low sense of achievement caused by students’ excessive learning needs (Schaufeli et al., 2002).

Learning burnout reflects students’ negative psychology and affects their mental health, academic performance, and interpersonal relationships (Lian et al., 2005). Brought on by long-term pressure and burdens, it is easy for students to experience burnout (Chou et al., 2014; Abarghouei et al., 2016). A cross-sectional study of 542 primary school students found high burnout among 33.6% of sixth graders and 27.5% of fourth—and fifth-graders (Tavolacci and Veber, 2015). In addition, the prevalence of burnout among 662 undergraduates was also found to be 7.4%, and burnout symptoms had a significant predictive effect on depression (Al-Alawi et al., 2017). A cross-sectional study of incoming medical students also found high levels of burnout (Santos et al., 2018). They exhaust their energy and gradually lose their enthusiasm for learning, and the psychological problems start impacting their physical health. Sometimes learning burnout may cause physical diseases (including hypertension, arteriosclerosis) (May et al., 2015b), negative psychological and behavioral problems (such as depression, truancy, and dropout) (May et al., 2015a), even substance abuse and the increased possibility of suicidal thoughts among students (Dyrbye et al., 2008; Jackson et al., 2016).

Some scholars have explored interventions that may improve students’ learning burnout. Using a social-cognitive approach (Bresó et al., 2010), successfully reduced burnout levels with interventions based on self-efficacy. Skodova and Lajciakova (2013) used psychosocial training to intervene the burnout of students majoring in health care, and concluded that psychosocial training could be considered as an effective tool to prevent burnout of professional helpers. Zhu (2016) interfered with junior high school students through box room interventions, and Shi (2017) interfered with sixth grade students through recruiting subjects and carrying out class group counseling, both of which achieved good results. Khalaj and Savoji (2018) used cognitive self-regulation strategies to reduce the students’ academic burnout. Although these interventions vary—burnout’s manifestations and causes differ—most aim to help individuals express negative emotions and eliminate behaviors that do not promote individuation (Chen et al., 2012). Most commonly, interventions include various sports, box room interventions, and group or psychological counseling. Furthermore, the level of burnout can be reduced by improving an individual’s coping abilities (including cognitive stress management, relaxation training, time management, or social training) (Pines, 2000) and using team self-management interventions (Elloy et al., 2001).

This study aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of all the interventions on learning burnout, by meta-analyzing their effects. We hope to understand the intervention status and various scales of the measure of learning burnout, learning burnout interventions and its details, and the effect of common interventions, hope to provide evidence and ideas for further studies on learning burnout.

METHODS

This systematic review was registered with the International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (PROSPERO), number CRD42020214846, and conducted according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) statement (Moher et al., 2009).

Study Strategy

We analyzed all the related studies that had been published up to September 18, 2020. Using the terms “learning burnout” or “academic burnout” or “student burnout” or “school burnout,” title/abstract retrieval was adopted in PubMed and Web of Science and in the China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI), and Wan Fang, title/keyword/abstract retrieval was used. References of the articles that we found were also searched and tracked for potentially missed articles.

Selection Criteria

Based on a review of the retrieved article titles, abstracts, and full texts, studies were selected if they met the following inclusion criteria: (1) randomized controlled trials, (2) interventions focused on learning burnout, (3) published in English or Chinese, (4) the most recent among multiple publications of the same study. We excluded: (1) articles with duplicate, incomplete, or inaccessible data (not obtainable from the original author), (2) self-controlled trials, reviews, news reports, meeting minutes, commentaries, editorials, and protocol. Therefore, the studies included were not limited to the population. Randomized controlled studies with complete data using any intervention that can affect learning burnout and setting blank or placebo control groups can be accepted.

Data Extraction

Two authors (TL and YRY) independently screened all the literature and extracted data, while cross-checking the results. If there were differences in the screening or data extraction processes between the two authors, they discussed the matter, and a third author (ZF) may also get involved. Strictly adhering to the inclusion criteria guidelines, we first screened the titles and abstracts. Then, the full text was obtained and secondary screening was conducted for the reserved and questionable articles from the primary screening. For each study included in the systematic review, the following data from the intervention and control groups were extracted: first author, year of publication, study location, educational level of the participants, scales used, intervention measures, intervention frequency,
Assessment of Study Quality

Two authors (TL and FZY) independently conducted the quality evaluation of the studies using the Jadad scale. It consists of three domains: methods to generate randomization sequences (0–2 points), double blinding (0–2 points), and withdrawal and dropouts (0–1 points) (Jadad et al., 1996). The quality of the studies was classified as high (3–5 points) or low (0–2 points). The authors’ results were compared, and a third author (ZF) intervened if consensus could not be reached.

Statistical Analysis

STATA software (version 15) was used for the meta-analysis. The scale score of learning burnout is a continuous variable. Some studies only provided the score of each dimension rather than the total score of the whole scale. The means and standard deviation of the total score were calculated using the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions (Cumpston et al., 2019). The standardized mean difference (SMD) and a 95% confidence interval (95% CI) were used in this study because of the different measurement tools of the included studies. For any test or model, we considered $p < 0.05$ as statistically significant.

We used Cochran’s $\chi^2$-based $Q$-test and the $I^2$-test to assess the heterogeneity of the studies (Higgins and Thompson, 2002). For those with statistical heterogeneity (defined as $p \leq 0.10$ or $I^2 \geq 50\%$) the random effects model of DerSimonian and Laird was used, and the fixed effects model of Mantel-Haenszel for meta-analysis, for studies without significant heterogeneity (defined as $p > 0.10$ or $I^2 < 50\%$) (Overton, 1998). To solve the heterogeneity among studies, we analyzed the educational background, scales, and intervention measures and time, through subgroup analyses. Where necessary, a meta-regression analysis was conducted, and to ensure stability, individual studies that may affect the results were excluded through a sensitivity analysis. We evaluated and tested publication bias with Funnel plots and Egger’s tests (Egger et al., 1997; Wang et al., 2008) and used Duval and Tweedie’s non-parametric trim-and-fill method to adjust the effect of the bias (Peters et al., 2007).
RESULTS

Search Results
Of the 5,245 citations initially identified, 1,931 were excluded due to repetition and 3,194 following further review of titles and abstracts. After the full-text screening of 120 studies, 34 were excluded because they were not randomized controlled trials and 48 because they contained no, incomplete, or duplicate data. After reviewing the references of the remaining studies (Ni and Wu, 2009), was found to meet the inclusion criteria and was added to our selection. Finally, a total of 39 studies involving 3,400 students were included. The screening process is shown in Figure 1.

Characteristics of Included Studies
The 39 articles were published as follows: 2009 (n = 1) (Ni and Wu, 2009), 2011 (n = 1) (Zhou, 2011), 2012 (n = 4) (Chen et al., 2012; Luo X., 2012; Luo Z. Y., 2012; Wang, 2012), 2013 (n = 2) (Liu, 2013; Xiao, 2013), 2014 (n = 4) (Dai, 2014; Xia and Yang, 2014; Yang, 2014; Zhang et al., 2014), 2015 (n = 2) (Yao, 2015; Zhang, 2015), 2016 (n = 7) (Guo, 2016; Li, 2016; Shang, 2016; Sheng, 2016; Tan, 2016; Wang, 2016; Wang et al., 2016), 2017 (n = 5) (Wu X. Q., 2017; Wu Y. P., 2017; Xiong and Fang, 2017; Ye et al., 2017; Zhang, 2017), 2018 (n = 4) (Huang, 2018; Wu, 2018; Xu, 2018; Zhang, 2018), 2019 (n = 6) (Ezenwaji et al., 2019; Li, 2019; Xi, 2019; Xu, 2019; Zhao, 2019; Zhou, 2019), and 2020 (n = 3) (Chen, 2020; Shen, 2020; Wan, 2020). Of the 39 articles 38 were published in Chinese and one in English. Of the 3,400 students, 1,847 were in the intervention group and 1,553 in the control group.

Among the Chinese articles, 11 did not include the specific research area. The remaining 27 were conducted in the following Chinese provinces: one each in Hei longjiang (Ni and Wu, 2009), Tianjin (Wang, 2012), Yunnan (Yang, 2014), Beijing (Zhang et al., 2014), Gansu (Zhang, 2015), Shanxi (Guo, 2016), Shanghai (Xu, 2018), Liaoning (Huang, 2018), and Guangdong (Chen, 2020). Two each in Jiangsu (Sheng, 2016; Zhang, 2018) and Jiangxi (Wang, 2016; Xiong and Fang, 2017); three each in Henan (Luo Z. Y., 2012; Yao, 2015; Xi, 2019) and Hebei (Xia and Yang, 2014; Tan, 2016; Zhao, 2019); and four each in Hebei (Dai, 2014; Shang, 2016; Wu X. Q., 2017; Wu, 2018) and Fujian (Chen et al., 2012; Wu Y. P., 2017; Ye et al., 2017; Xu, 2019). The research conducted beyond China was conducted in Nigeria, West Africa (Ezenwaji et al., 2019). The research covered primary and middle schools, and universities. There were articles for postgraduates (n = 2), undergraduates (n = 13), junior college students (n = 3), secondary vocational students (n = 5), middle school students (n = 14), and primary school students (n = 2).

Among the 15 scale types to measure learning burnout, the top three were: Learning Burnout Scale of College Students (LBSCS) of Lian et al. (2005), the Adolescent Student Burnout Inventory (ASBI) of Wu et al. (2010), and the Middle School Students Learning Burnout Scale (MSSLBS) of Hu and Dai (2006). The English paper used the Oldenburg Burnout Inventory Student Version (OLBI-S) (Bonini et al., 2011). In Chinese studies, the most common interventions were group counseling, then sports intervention, time management training, box room intervention, and so on. The English article included rational emotive behavior coaching (REBC). The intervention time in the articles (except for four that did not mention it) ranged from two to 18 weeks.

The results of the Jadad scale were as follows: the English article scored five points (high-quality); one Chinese study scored four points and six Chinese studies scored three points (all high-quality). The other 31 articles were of low quality. The detailed characteristics of the included studies are shown in Table 1.

Interventions of Learning Burnout Overall Analysis
Compared with the control group, the scores of learning burnout were significantly decreased under the random effects model (SMD −1.15, 95% CI: −1.40, −0.90, p < 0.001, I² = 90.4%), shown in Figure 2. Sheng (2016) analysis three grades of senior high school, so it is considered as three studies in the meta-analysis. Zhou (2011) involved two exercise interventions, which randomly divided the subjects into three groups (2 = experimental, 1 = control), referring to the Cochrane Handbook. The control groups were all divided into two groups, so that their mean and standard deviation were unchanged, regarded as two studies. Similarly, Wang et al. (2016) randomized the included subjects into four groups (3 = experimental, 1 = control) and divided the number of control subjects equally into three groups—the mean and standard deviations of which remained the same. After performing the analysis, three studies were considered. Therefore, the overall analysis included 44 studies.

Subgroup Analyses
Subgroup analyses were conducted according to education, scales, intervention measures, and intervention time.

The subgroup analysis according to the participants' educational backgrounds showed that, compared with the control group, the learning burnout scores of undergraduates, secondary vocational students, and middle school students were significantly lower separately [undergraduate: SMD −1.12, 95% CI: [−1.49, −0.75], p < 0.001, I² = 83.3%; secondary vocational students: SMD −1.11, 95% CI: [−1.78, −0.44], p < 0.001, I² = 85.4%; middle school students: SMD −1.25, 95% CI: [−1.71, −0.78], p < 0.001, I² = 94.4%]. However, in the analyses of postgraduates, junior college students, and schoolchildren, no significant effect was found in the intervention group compared with the control group [postgraduates: SMD −2.37, 95% CI: [−5.52, 0.78], p < 0.001, I² = 94.5%; Junior college students: SMD −0.51, 95% CI: [−1.03, 0.02], p = 0.082, I² = 59.9%; schoolchildren: SMD −0.75, 95% CI: [−1.54, 0.04], p = 0.026, I² = 79.8%].

The subgroup analysis of the learning burnout scale showed that the effect of the intervention group was significantly lower than that of the control group. Compared with the control group, the exercise intervention group had significantly lower learning burnout, and the intervention effect was significantly higher than group counseling, time management training, and other intervention measures [group counseling: SMD −0.93, 95% CI: [−1.22, −0.65], p < 0.001, I² = 79.3%; exercise intervention: SMD −2.16, 95% CI: [−3.26, −1.06], p < 0.001, I² = 95.6%; time
# TABLE 1 | Characteristics of included studies.

| References | Location       | Population       | Scale | Times      | Frequency                                                                 | Simple | Interventions                                      | Control | Jadad |
|------------|----------------|------------------|-------|------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|---------------------------------------------------|---------|-------|
| Ni and Wu  | Heilongjiang   | Undergraduate I  | 4 weeks | 4 weeks   | The retest was performed after 5 weeks                                   | 10/19  | Cognitive-behavioral group counseling            | No      | 2     |
| Zhou       | –              | Undergraduate II | 2 months | 3 times   | 3 times a week, Moderate intensity exercise for 1 h each time             | 32/17  | Antagonistic sports                               | No      | 3     |
| Chen et al.| Fujian         | Undergraduate II | 8 weeks | Twice     | Twice a week, 8 times in total                                           | 30/30  | Group psychological counseling                   | No      | 3     |
| Luo, X.    | –              | Postgraduate X   | 4 weeks | Twice     | Twice a week, 8 times in total                                           | 15/15  | Group psychological counseling                   | No      | 2     |
| Luo, Z.Y.  | Henan          | Middle school student | 8 weeks | Twice     | Twice a week, 8 times in total                                           | 70/68  | Time management training                         | No      | 2     |
| Wang       | Tianjin        | Undergraduate VI | 16 weeks| Once     | Once a week, 2 class h each time                                         | 150/150| Specific teaching instruments                    | No      | 2     |
| Liu (2013) | –              | Undergraduate VII | –      | Once     | Once a week, 2–2.5h each time                                            | 1010/  | Combined psychologically, group and individual counseling | No      | 2     |
| Xiao (2013)| –              | Postgraduate VIII| 8 weeks | Once     | Once a week, about 90 min each time                                      | 15/15  | Group psychological counseling                   | No      | 2     |
| Dai (2014) | Zhejiang       | Middle school student | 8 weeks | Once     | Once a week, about 90 min each time                                      | 24/24  | Study inputs into training                       | No      | 2     |
| Xia and Yang (2014) | Hebei | Schoolchild III | 7 weeks | Once | Once a week                                                              | 41/33  | Mental health education                          | No      | 2     |
| Yang (2014)| Yunnan         | Secondary vocational student | 7 weeks | –       | –                                                                          | 62/90  | Enterprise no boundary class management           | Routine institutional management | 2     |
| Zhang et al. (2014) | Beijing | Undergraduate II | 7 weeks | Once     | Once a week, 3 h each time, and retested after 1 month                   | 10/10  | Group counseling                                  | No      | 2     |
| Yao (2015) | Henan          | Middle school student | 8 weeks | Once     | Once a week, about 90 min each time                                      | 25/25  | Group psychological counseling                   | No      | 2     |
| Zhang (2015)| Gansu         | Middle school student | 5 weeks | Once     | Once a week, about 1 h each time                                         | 11/12  | Mindfulness based stress reduction therapy       | No      | 2     |
| Guo (2016) | Shandong       | Undergraduate II | 1 month | 8 times   | 8 times in total, and retest after 3 months                             | 35/35  | Group counseling                                  | No      | 2     |
| Li (2016)  | –              | Middle school student | 8 weeks | Twice     | Twice a week, 50 min each time                                          | 30/30  | Emotional teaching                               | Routine teaching | 3     |
| Sheng (2016) | Jiangsu | Middle school student | 18 weeks | Three     | Three times a week, 45 min each time                                     | 72/72  | Exercises intervention                           | No      | 2     |
| Tan (2016) | Hebei          | Middle school student | 3 months | Once     | Once a week, 45 min each time                                           | 30/30  | An intervention program based on attribution theory, interpersonal interaction theory, and the theory of burnout and job matching model | No      | 2     |
| Wang (2016) | –              | Middle school student | 1 month | –       | –                                                                          | 143/49 | Only received group psychological counseling    | No      | 2     |
| Wang (2016) | Jiangxi        | Secondary vocational student | 8 weeks | Once     | Once a week, 1.5–2 h each time, and retest after 4 months               | 21/21  | Only did mental health gymnastics                | No      | 2     |
| Shang (2016) | Zhejiang | Junior college student | 6 weeks | Once     | Once a week, 1.5 h each time                                            | 15/15  | Solution-focused group counseling                | No      | 2     |

(Continued)
### TABLE 1 | Continued

| References | Location | Population | Scale | Times | Frequency | Interventions | Control | Jadad |
|------------|----------|------------|-------|-------|-----------|---------------|---------|-------|
| Wu Y. P. (2017) | Fujian | Secondary vocational student | XII | 3 weeks | Once a week, 20 min each time | 30/30 | Standard imaginary contact | Landscape imagination contact | 2 |
| Xiong and Fang (2017) | Jiangxi | Undergraduate | II | – | A total of 7 | 41/44 | Cognitive-behavioral group counseling | Simple activities | 2 |
| Ye et al. (2017) | Fujian | Undergraduate | II | 2 weeks | Once a week, 30 min each time | 30/30 | Group mindfulness intervention | No | 2 |
| Zhang (2017) | – | Middle school student | III | 6 weeks | Once a week, 2 h each time | 49/50 | Group psychological counseling | No | 3 |
| Wu X. Q. (2017) | Zhejiang | Junior college student | X | 8 weeks | Once a week, 1.5–2 h each time | 30/30 | Group counseling | Mental health course | 2 |
| Wu (2018) | Zhejiang | Middle school student | V | – | From 2016.11 to 2017.06 | 41/41 | Prompt review training | General teaching | 3 |
| Xu (2018) | Shanghai | Middle school student | IV | 8 weeks | Once a week, 50 min each time | 9/9 | Group counseling | No | 2 |
| Zhang (2018) | Jiangsu | Secondary vocational student | III | 8 weeks | Once a week, 90 min each time | 12/12 | Group psychological counseling | No | 2 |
| Huang (2018) | Liaoning | Middle school student | III | 6 weeks | Once a week | 37/32 | Group counseling | No | 2 |
| Ezenwaji et al. (2019) | Nigeria | Undergraduate | XI | 12 weeks | Twice a week, follow-up which was conducted 3 months from the end of the group coaching | 26/26 | Rational emotive behavior coaching, REBC | No | 5 |
| Li (2019) | – | Middle school student | IV | 8 weeks | Once a week, 90 min each time | 39/39 | Group counseling | Routine psychological course | 2 |
| Xi (2019) | Henan | Junior college student | II | – | – | 32/32 | General interventions | Normal teaching | 3 |
| Xu (2019) | Fujian | Undergraduate | II | 8 weeks | Once a week, 2 h each time | 15/15 | Vocational literacy orientation group mentoring | No | 2 |
| Zhao (2019) | Hebei | Schoolchild | III | 8 weeks | Once a week, 40 min each time | 30/30 | Psychological resilience group counseling | No | 2 |
| Zhou (2019) | – | Middle school student | IV | 8 weeks | Six days a week, Once a day, 10–15 min each time | 16/16 | Mindfulness meditation interventions | Listen to biographies of people from the Forum | 2 |
| Chen (2020) | Guangdong | Secondary vocational student | IV | 8 weeks | Once a week, 2 h each time | 25/25 | Group counseling | No | 2 |
| Shen (2020) | – | Undergraduate | II | 8 weeks | Once a week, 2 h each time, and retest after 3 months | 20/20 | Group counseling | No | 2 |
| Wan (2020) | – | Undergraduate | II | 2 weeks | Once a week, 30 min each time | 30/30 | Group mindfulness intervention | No | 4 |

This table was sorted by year of publication. I is a modified Maslach Burnout Inventory-Student (MBI-S); II is the Learning Burnout Scale of College Students (LBSCS) of Lian Rong; III is the Adolescent Student Burnout Inventory (ASBI) of Wu Yan; IV is the middle school students learning burnout scale (MSSLBS) of Hu Qiao; V is a four-point scale of the middle school students learning burnout scale of Hu Qiao; VI is the learning burnout scale of college students of Chen Yan; VII is a seven-point scale of the learning burnout scale of college students of Li Yongxin; VIII is the master’s postgraduate learning burnout questionnaire of Li Nana; IX is the middle school students learning burnout scale of Xing Qiang; X is the learning burnout questionnaire of college students of Cao Jintyuan; XI is Oldenburg Burnout Inventory Student Version (OLBI-S); XII is modified LBSCS; XIII is homemade master’s postgraduate learning burnout questionnaire; XIV is self-made history course learning burnout questionnaire for high school students; XV is a 10-point scale of self-made learning burnout questionnaire for high school female students.

Management training: SMD $-0.76$, 95% CI: $[-1.07, -0.46]$, $p = 0.461$; others: SMD $-1.08$, 95% CI: $[-1.40, -0.76]$, $p < 0.001$, $I^2$ $= 86.2\%$.

Subgroup analysis of intervention time showed that different intervention times had a significant intervention effect. The intervention group with the intervention period $\geq 8$ weeks has...
FIGURE 2 | Overall analysis and subgroup analysis based on educational background.

the best intervention effect on learning burnout \( \leq 4 \) weeks: SMD \(-0.70, 95\%\ CI: \([-1.00, -0.40], p < 0.001, I^2 = 72.5\%\); 4–8 weeks: SMD \(-0.93, 95\%\ CI: \([-1.33, -0.53], p = 0.002, I^2 = 72.0\%\); \( \geq 8 \) weeks: SMD \(-1.40, 95\%\ CI: \([-1.82, -0.98], p < 0.001, I^2 = 93.2\%\); not explained: SMD \(-1.11, 95\%\ CI: \([-1.82, -0.40], p < 0.001, I^2 = 84.1\%\), as shown in Table 2.
TABLE 2 | Subgroup analysis of the included studies.

| Scale          | N  | SMD (95%CI)  | p   | Publication bias | Effect model |
|----------------|----|-------------|-----|-----------------|--------------|
|                |    |             |     | Z    | P_{Begg} | t     | P_{Egger} |               |
| LBSCS          | 13 | -1.01 (-1.29 to -0.74) | < 0.001 | 66.6% | 2.44 | 0.015 | 5.25 | 0.013 | Random |
| ASBI           | 8  | -0.97 (-1.32 to -0.63) | 0.006 | 68.7% | -1.48 | 0.138 | -4.03 | 0.020 | Random |
| MSSLSBS        | 10 | -0.52 (-0.69 to -0.34) | 0.210 | 25.4% | -3.13 | 0.002 | -3.00 | 0.001 | Random |
| Others         | 13 | -1.84 (-2.58 to -1.10) | < 0.001 | 96.0% | -0.61 | 0.542 | -5.35 | 0.096 | Random |
| Interventions  |    |             |     | Z    | P_{Begg} | t     | P_{Egger} |               |
| Group counseling | 21 | -0.93 (-1.22 to -0.65) | < 0.001 | 79.3% | -2.17 | 0.030 | -3.91 | 0.008 | Random |
| Exercise intervention | 5  | -2.16 (-3.26 to -1.06) | < 0.001 | 95.6% | 0.49 | 0.624 | 14.43 | 0.403 | Random |
| Time management training | 2  | -0.76 (-1.07 to -0.46) | 0.461 | -1.00 | 0.317 | -1.80 | Random |
| Others         | 16 | -1.08 (-1.40 to -0.76) | < 0.001 | 86.2% | -2.43 | 0.015 | -4.40 | 0.006 | Random |
| Time           |    |             |     | Z    | P_{Begg} | t     | P_{Egger} |               |
| ≤4 weeks       | 9  | -0.70 (-1.00 to -0.40) | < 0.001 | 72.5% | -2.09 | 0.037 | -4.45 | 0.011 | Random |
| 4–8 weeks      | 7  | -0.93 (-1.33 to -0.53) | 0.002 | 72.0% | 0.15 | 0.881 | 1.63 | 0.520 | Random |
| ≥8 weeks       | 24 | -1.40 (-1.82 to -0.98) | < 0.001 | 93.2% | -2.13 | 0.033 | -3.99 | 0.059 | Random |
| Not explained  | 4  | -1.11 (-1.82 to -0.40) | < 0.001 | 81.4% | -1.36 | 0.174 | -1.64 | 0.820 | Random |

LBSCS is Learning Burnout Scale of College Students compiled by Lian Rong; ASBI is the Adolescent Student Burnout Inventory compiled by Wu Yan; MSSLSBS is the middle school students learning burnout scale compiled by Hu Qiao.

Meta-Regression Analysis and Sensitivity Analysis
To identify the possible sources of heterogeneity, the meta-regression model was used to calculate the factors related to heterogeneity, including educational level, scales, intervention measures, and intervention time. The results showed that these variables were not statistically significant. Furthermore, we used the sensitivity analysis of the random effects model to evaluate the impact of each study on the combined results and identify potential sources of heterogeneity. The results did not show strong evidence of the impact of individual studies on the overall results.

Publication Bias
A funnel plot was produced to determine whether significant publication bias was present. Relatively symmetrical figures were interpreted as a lack of significant publication bias. The results showed that the funnel plot was asymmetric (Figure 3), consistent with the results of Egger’s linear regression test (p = 0.01). Duval and Tweedie’s non-parametric trim-and-fill method was used to adjust the effect of publication bias since it can make up for hypothetical small missing null or negative studies (Peters et al., 2007). As shown in Figure 4, seven missing studies were merged to obtain a symmetric funnel plot.

DISCUSSION
This study examined available learning burnout intervention studies, using meta-analyses to comprehensively evaluate the effects of the different interventions which were found to be overall effective. The interventions were broadly divided into individual, organizational, matching interventions, and learning engagement from a positive psychology perspective. Among these, the exercise intervention as an individual intervention, and group counseling—an organizational intervention—were the most common types of intervention.

Subgroup analysis of interventions showed that all interventions had significant effects, compared with the control group. Interestingly, the SMD of the meta-analysis on physical exercise was higher than that of group counseling. We speculate that the effects of the individual intervention (exercise intervention) were better than the ones of the organizational intervention (group counseling). Yet, group counseling was the most widely studied intervention, and all Chinese studies showed similar results. Group counseling improved students’ self-efficacy in learning behavior and selecting positive coping strategies (Guo, 2016). A safe group atmosphere and a scientific goal process facilitate an interactive environment where students respect and understand each other, grow in their exploration, learning, and acceptance of themselves, reconstruct new attitudes and behaviors, and improve their interest in and satisfaction with life (Xu, 2019). In terms of physical activity, it may promote a good mood and is an important factor in psychological change. Negative emotions—depression and tension — generated during learning activities, decrease after exercise and psychological well-being increase significantly. Notably, the length and intensity, activity items, and physical status during exercise all affect psychological change (Lu et al., 2018). Interestingly, the studies that combined several interventions into an integrated intervention study, based on the factors of learning burnout, revealed the effectiveness of the integrated interventions (Tan, 2016; Wang et al., 2016). This aspect is noteworthy in the future.

Our analyses also showed that learning burnout intervention was effective among undergraduate, secondary vocational, and middle school students, compared to the control group. However, among postgraduates, junior college students, and
schoolchildren there was an intervention effect but without statistical significance. The reason may be that people's psychological states vary over different life stages. During secondary and undergraduate stages students acquire intellectual skills that improve their learning of the intervention impact more effectively. Moreover, schoolchildren in the lower grades can initially control their emotional stress, but there are labile phenomena, most commonly experienced as anorexia. Once an anorexic tendency is seeded, a variety of poor learning habits and learning attitudes will ensue, followed by learning burnout (Liu, 2020). The effect formation, and embodiment of, learning burnout interventions is lasting and difficult to stabilize. Schoolchildren in higher grades are nearing puberty and become vulnerable to emotional and psychological problems (Duffield, 1998). The increased learning tasks and difficulty in learning may both affect the sense of achievement and satisfaction in learning. Students at the master's level have reached adulthood and mostly entered society. High educational level has a positive promoting effect on individuals' flexibility in coping problems and dispositions to use supporting psychological (Chen et al., 2014). Their psychology has stabilized, and general learning burnout interventions may have little effect on them psychologically. Another reason may be that there are few studies involving postgraduate, junior college students, and schoolchildren, and the combined results are biased toward reality, so more studies are needed to supplement the results in the future.

Subgroup analysis based on different scales showed that all interventions were effective. Maslach and Jackson (1981) compiled the Maslach Burnout Inventory (MBI) based on long-term observations and research among helping industry workers. Since then, most of the international scales on learning burnout have followed the design of this inventory. The OLBI-S developed by Demerouti et al. (2001) and based on the MBI, contains 16 items with two dimensions: exhaustion and disengagement (Reis et al., 2015).

Most of the scales used in China have been developed by Chinese scholars, based on the MBI, while considering the local context. The LBSCS, a three-dimensional model of depressed mood, inappropriate behavior, and low sense of achievement, has 35 items that measure the prevalence of burnout in college students' learning context (Lian et al., 2005). The ASBI has been applied to elementary and high school students and included three dimensions: physical and mental exhaustion, academic distancing, and low sense of achievement (Wu et al., 2010). Since it has only 16 items, it is widely adopted by Chinese scholars. The MSSLBS is a 21-item measure instrument with four dimensions, including emotional exhaustion, a sense of inefficient learning,
teacher-student estrangement, and physical exhaustion (Hu and Dai, 2006).

The results of the subgroup analysis of intervention time showed unique results at different intervention times. Compared to the control group, the intervention group with an intervention period of 8 weeks and more, showed the best intervention effect for learning burnout. In some studies, the learning burnout interventions lasted 12, 16, or even 18 weeks (Wang, 2012; Sheng, 2016; Ezenwaji et al., 2019). Moreover, in other studies, follow-ups and remeasures after the learning burnout intervention were conducted to assess the delayed effect of the interventions. However, we did not conduct an analysis because few studies were involved. Also, their follow-up times varied, but their results showed a long-term intervention effect (Ni and Wu, 2009; Zhang et al., 2014; Guo, 2016; Wang, 2016; Ezenwaji et al., 2019; Shen, 2020). Interventions such as group counseling, exercise interventions, and time management training can have profound psychological effects on students and still be applied to their learning later in life.

Study heterogeneity was assessed using Cochran’s $\chi^2$-based $Q$-test and the $I^2$-test. Due to the large heterogeneity among the included studies, a random-effects model was adopted for the pooled analysis. We searched for possible sources of heterogeneity, using a meta-regression and a sensitivity analysis, but the results did not reveal any factors or studies that significantly affected the heterogeneity of the overall results. The funnel plot indicated significant publication bias of the studies, which had been corrected for the results using the trim-and-fill method, compensating for seven possible hypotheses of small missing zero or negative studies.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first meta-analysis of learning-burnout intervention studies. We aimed to comprehensively evaluate the effect of different interventions on learning burnout. However, some limitations still affect the study results. First, considerable heterogeneity was observed in the analyses of the included studies. We tried several methods, including adopting a random effects model, and performed a meta-regression and a sensitivity analysis to identify factors or studies contributing to heterogeneity. Second, some subgroups contained few studies. Third, only Chinese and English literature were included in our study. And only one non-Asian randomized controlled trial was included. This may be due to the limitation of inclusion and exclusion criteria that excluded some studies with incomplete data or non-randomized controlled trials at the time of publication. It may also be due to the lack of relevant randomized controlled trials on the interventions of learning burnout in non-Asian. In addition, there may be equally relevant literature in other languages. For future studies, we recommend integrated interventions based on various factors of learning burnout and a focus on the long-term effects of the interventions on learning burnout. Furthermore, additional...
focus on interventions for learning burnout among primary school students is advised.

CONCLUSION

This meta-analysis suggested that learning burnout interventions are effective. The subgroup analyses showed that group counseling was most widely used, exercise intervention was probably the most effective intervention, and 8 weeks or more was the appropriate intervention time. An integrated intervention study based on the factors of learning burnout is significant. More studies are needed to supplement the results in the future.
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