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ABSTRACT

This study aimed to evaluate the attitudes and practices of US healthcare professionals (HCPs) regarding the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP) vaccination recommendations on HepA and HepB for adult patients at risk of contracting these infections or experiencing complications of hepatitis disease. This cross-sectional, web-based survey of 400 US HCPs, which included nurse practitioners and family medicine, internal medicine, infectious disease, emergency department, and gastroenterology physicians, assessed HCPs' attitudes and practices regarding the ACIP recommendations for adult patients at risk for hepatitis disease. HCP participants were identified via a survey research panel. A recruitment quota of 400 HCPs was set, including 50 NPs, 100 FMs, 100 IMs, 50 GIs, 50 EDs, and 50 IDs. The most frequently reported reasons for not recommending either HepA or HepB vaccines were "I think the risk of HepA infection is low in this patient population" and "I am uncertain about what the guidelines say about vaccinating this population." The most reported factors considered when determining eligibility for either vaccine were medical history and the patient's willingness/motivation to be vaccinated. Most reported it was extremely or moderately important to prevent hepatitis disease by vaccinating adult patients at risk, and most also reported recommending a HepA vaccine or HepB vaccine to patients at risk. Although most HCPs reported recommending HepA and HepB vaccines to patients at risk, these findings contrast with the low reported vaccination rates among these populations, and improved awareness of the ACIP recommendations among HCPs is needed.

PLAIN LANGUAGE SUMMARY

Although hepatitis A and hepatitis B are vaccine-preventable diseases, not enough adults at risk are vaccinated in the United States (US). The Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP) makes recommendations on the use of vaccines in the US. The ACIP recommendations identify groups of people at risk of contracting hepatitis A infection or experiencing complications of hepatitis A disease (e.g., people with chronic liver disease) and hepatitis B infection or its related complications (e.g., people with diabetes).

To identify potential barriers to vaccination, we surveyed 400 US healthcare professionals to evaluate their views about the ACIP recommendations on hepatitis A and hepatitis B vaccination for patients at risk of infection or complications. Most reported it was extremely or moderately important to prevent hepatitis A or hepatitis B infection by vaccinating these adults patients. The most commonly reported reasons for not recommending either vaccine were "I think the risk of HepA infection is low in this patient population" and "I am uncertain about what the guidelines say about vaccinating this population". Our findings show that improved awareness of the guidelines among healthcare professionals is needed, particularly of the importance of hepatitis A vaccination, and hepatitis B vaccination in adults with diabetes. In addition to helping the ongoing multi-state hepatitis A outbreaks, this could aid in the successful implementation of the recent ACIP recommendation for hepatitis B vaccination in all adults that is expected to reduce barriers to vaccination.

Introduction

Hepatitis A (HepA) and Hepatitis B (HepB) are among the 14 vaccine-preventable adult diseases in the United States (US), as designated by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Since 2016, HepA outbreaks have emerged in 37 states, with 23 ongoing as of December 2021. The CDC reported 18,846 acute HepA cases in 2019, but estimated the true number to be 37,000. These new cases contributed to a 1,325% increase in HepA incidence in the US from 2015 to 2019, attributable primarily to widespread outbreaks among individuals who reported illicit drug use or homelessness. Reported HepB cases have been relatively stable over the past decade, with the CDC estimating approximately 20,000 annual acute infections from 2011 to 2019. However, HepB cases have been increasing in the 40–49 and 50–59 age groups.
Despite the widespread outbreaks and existence of vaccines with well-characterized safety profiles for both HepA and HepB, the most recent CDC estimates from 2018 showed that only 11.9% of US adults aged ≥19 y were vaccinated against HepA, and 30.0% were vaccinated against HepB. The Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP) provides recommendations for vaccination of patient populations who are at risk for HepA or HepB. HepA and HepB vaccination coverage among adult patient populations at risk is suboptimal based on the most recent CDC estimates from 2018. For example, in patients with chronic liver disease HepA vaccination coverage was only 15.8%. In 2018, HepB vaccination coverage (≥3 doses) was 30.0% for US adults aged ≥19 y (the CDC Healthy People target set in 2010 was 90%). There was suboptimal HepB vaccination coverage for populations at risk, such as patients with diabetes (33.0% for those aged 19–49 y, 15.3% for those aged ≥60 y) and chronic liver disease (33.0% for those aged 19–49 y). In 2020, the US Department of Health and Human Services called for increasing HepA and HepB vaccination in at-risk adults as recommended by the ACIP.

This study aimed to evaluate US healthcare providers’ (HCPs’) attitudes and practices regarding the ACIP vaccination recommendations on HepA and HepB vaccination for patients at risk of contracting these infections or experiencing complications of hepatitis disease. This study was conducted before the creation of the 2022 ACIP/CDC adult immunization schedule, which now includes a universal recommendation for HepB vaccination in adults aged 19–59 y. Thus, patients at risk of HepB infection or experiencing complications were defined based on previous risk-based ACIP recommendations for adults.

**Methods**

**Study design**

This cross-sectional, web-based survey of 400 US HCPs included nurse practitioners (NPs) and family medicine (FMs), internal medicine (IMs), infectious disease (IDs), emergency department (EDs), and gastroenterology (GIs) physicians. The survey assessed HCPs’ attitudes and practices regarding the ACIP recommendations for adult patients at risk for HepA (patients with chronic liver disease [including persons with HepB], homeless individuals, injection/non-injection drug users, men who have sex with men [MSM], travelers visiting countries with endemic HepA infections) or HepB (patients with diabetes, patients with chronic liver disease [not including persons with HepB], injection/non-injection drug users, MSM, healthcare workers with percutaneous or mucosal risk for exposure to blood, and travelers visiting countries with endemic HepB infections). Although not included in the ACIP recommendations as populations at risk for HepA, food handlers and healthcare workers at risk of exposure to blood were also included in this survey. One food handler infected with HepA can pass the virus to many downstream consumers, and although a CDC study estimated transmission in a restaurant setting to occur at less than 1%, the authors included food handlers in situations where secondary transmission risk is deemed high, and hence, should be prioritized for vaccination. Furthermore, although healthcare workers are not considered to be at increased risk of infection for HepA due to occupation alone, those who work in certain healthcare settings (including HepA research laboratories, group homes, and healthcare settings targeting drug use) are considered to be at-risk by the CDC. Data were collected from April 21 to 12 May 2020. This study was approved for exemption by an RTI institutional review board (Federal Wide Assurance #3331).

**Participants**

The methods describing survey development and eligibility criteria, and the survey questions, have been published previously. Briefly, HCP participants were identified via a research panel owned by M3 Global Research. A recruitment quota of 400 HCPs was set, including 50 NPs, 100 FMs, 100 IMs, 50 GIs, 50 EDs, and 50 IDs. Included HCPs who prescribed, recommended, or administered a HepA and HepB vaccine to an adult ≥18 y of age in the 3 months prior to the survey.

**Primary outcomes**

To assess HCPs’ attitudes relating to HepA and HepB vaccination in patients at risk, the proportion of HCPs who reported it was “extremely important” or “moderately important” to prevent HepA or HepB infection in patients at risk based on the ACIP recommendations was evaluated. The proportion of HCPs who reported “yes” to recommending a HepA or HepB vaccine to adult patients at risk was evaluated to assess HCPs’ practices relating to HepA and HepB vaccination in these populations.

**Secondary outcomes**

To further explore HCPs’ attitudes and practices, and to identify reasons why HCPs may not recommend HepA and HepB vaccines to all populations of interest, the following outcomes were evaluated: (1) the proportion of HCPs who were “very likely” to recommend a HepA or HepB vaccine to patients, calculated based on the total number of HCPs who had experience treating each patient group; (2) the proportion of HCPs who considered specific factors in determining a patient’s eligibility for a HepA or HepB vaccine, including medical history, vaccination history, willingness to be vaccinated, age, or reimbursement to the provider; and (3) reasons for not recommending vaccines to patient populations at risk.

**Statistical analysis**

Analyses were performed in SAS 9.4 (SAS Institute, Inc.; Cary, NC). Percentages of HCPs who provided responses to each question were calculated based on the total number of HCPs who had the opportunity to answer, excluding HCPs who were asked to skip the question due to a previous response. Binary primary and secondary outcomes were evaluated by
constructing exact 95% confidence intervals (CIs) using the Clopper–Pearson method.

Results

HCP characteristics, practice setting, and experience with HepA and HepB vaccination

The characteristics of the surveyed HCPs have been previously published. The sample was diverse regarding age, years practicing, and geography. Most HCPs reported working in a group practice, and most practices were based in urban or suburban environments.

HCP attitudes and practices toward HepA and HepB vaccination in patients at risk

Figure 1 provides a summary of the study results and implications. HCPs were asked how important it was that individuals within the listed patient populations get vaccinated for HepA and HepB. For both HepA and HepB, “extremely important” was the most frequently reported response, followed by “moderately important” for each patient population listed (Figure 2).

The proportions of HCPs who said it was moderately or extremely important to vaccinate patients at risk for HepA ranged from 87% [95% CI, 83–90%] for homeless patients to 99% [95% CI, 97–99%] for patients traveling to a country with endemic levels of HepA infection; for HepB, these proportions were between 83% [95% CI, 78–83%] for patients with diabetes and 97% for patients with current or recent injection drug use [95% CI, 94–98%], patients traveling to a country with endemic levels of HepB infection [95% CI, 94–98%], and healthcare workers at risk for exposure to blood [95% CI, 95–98%] (Table S1).

For each patient population at risk, the proportion of HCP participants who reported recommending a HepA or HepB vaccine were similar. When examining reported importance to vaccinate patients at risk by HCP specialty, EDs (60%) were the least likely to report that it was extremely important to vaccinate patients experiencing homelessness against HepA. EDs (30%) and IDs (36%) were considerably less likely to report it was extremely important to vaccinate patients with diabetes against HepB than IMs (55%) and NPs (60%; Table S2).

All HCPs were most likely to report recommending a HepA vaccine to patients traveling to countries with endemic HepA levels (97% [95% CI, 94–98%]) and least likely to recommend vaccinating the vaccine to food handlers (75% [95% CI, 70–79%]; food handlers are not included in the ACIP recommendations; Figure 3). Most HCPs reported recommending a HepB vaccine to each patient population, ranging from 78% for patients with diabetes to 98% for current or recent injection users. The proportion of HCPs who reported they recommend HepA or HepB vaccines was similar across specialties (Table S3).

Most HCPs reported they were “very likely” to recommend a HepA vaccine or HepB vaccine to each population at risk (Figure S1). Out of all at risk patient groups, HCPs were least likely to respond they were “very likely” to recommend a HepB vaccine to patients with diabetes (64%).

Figure 1. Plain language summary infographic.
Across all HCPs, the most commonly reported factors considered when determining eligibility for the HepA or HepB vaccine were medical history, including comorbidities (HepA: 94%, HepB: 96%), vaccination history (HepA: 93%, HepB: 95%), and willingness or motivation to be vaccinated (HepA: 92%, HepB: 93%; Figure S2).

**Reasons for not recommending HepA and HepB vaccines**

Across all patient groups, the most commonly reported reasons for not recommending HepA vaccines were "I think the risk of HepA infection is low in this patient population" and "I think the risk of HepB infection is low in this patient population" (Figure 5).

**Discussion**

This study aimed to evaluate US HCPs’ attitudes and practices regarding the ACIP vaccination recommendations on HepA and HepB vaccination for patients at risk of contracting these infections or experiencing complications of hepatitis disease. Overall, most HCPs reported it was extremely or moderately important to vaccinate patients for HepA and HepB as per the recommendations. Most HCPs also reported they recommended vaccines to patients at risk. Previous analysis of these survey results showed approximately nine of ten HCPs reported following the recommendations most of the time or always. However, this contrasts with the low vaccination rates among at-risk
patient populations, suggesting the need for improved awareness of and education on the recommendations (including the recent HepA universal recommendation in adults 19–59 y of age). The majority of HCPs reported it was moderately or extremely important to vaccinate patients at risk of HepA as per the ACIP recommendations. While roughly four in five HCPs reported Recommending HepA vaccines to these patients at risk, the other one in five considered vaccinating homeless patients and drug users against HepA to be only moderately important. This finding is of particular interest because homeless patients and drug users comprise the majority of patients associated with the ongoing multi-state HepA outbreaks.

Only about six in ten EDs reported it was extremely important to vaccinate patients experiencing homelessness against HepA. Further, only 76% of EDs reported recommending a HepA vaccine to this patient population, representing the lowest proportion across all specialties. This is significant because emergency departments are often the primary point of care for the homeless, and so ED adherence to the HepA vaccination recommendations is crucial to limit HepA outbreaks in this population.

Interestingly, about three-quarters of HCPs reported recommending HepA vaccines to food handlers and health care workers even when these populations are not recommended for HepA vaccination in the current ACIP recommendations. Vaccinating food handlers against HepA may protect both food handlers and consumers, and prevent the costly burden of an outbreak.

While more than nine in ten HCPs reported recommending the HepB vaccine to most patients at risk, only 78% reported recommending it to patients with diabetes. Similarly, HCPs were less likely to report that vaccinating patients with diabetes against HepB was extremely or moderately important, or that they were very likely to recommend the vaccine to patients with diabetes, compared with other patient populations. However, diabetes has been shown to be associated with an increased risk for acute HepB. Transmission can occur even in clinical settings such as assisted living arrangements through contaminated equipment such as shared glucose monitoring devices and insulin injection equipment. It is important for HCPs to be aware of this high risk and recommend vaccines to patients with diabetes (under shared clinical decision-making for patients 60 y or older). HCP awareness of the recent universal recommendation for HepB vaccination in adults aged 19–59 y might simplify recommending HepB vaccines, and, hence, improve vaccination coverage in patients with diabetes, including those without medical records of diabetes.

Medical and vaccination history were considered by almost all HCPs before vaccination. Since HepA or HepB infection results in protective immunity, the ACIP does not recommend for patients with current or past HepA or HepB infections to receive the respective vaccines. While vaccinating these patients does not increase the risk for adverse events, pre-vaccination serologic testing in settings where the patient populations have a high risk of previous HepA or HepB infections can reduce costs by avoiding vaccination of patients with prior immunity. However, the ACIP notes that lack of access to pre-vaccination testing should not be.
a barrier to vaccination against HepA or HepB.\textsuperscript{18,19} Better record-keeping of adult immunizations across providers through, for example, immunization information systems, may facilitate the confirmation of patient vaccine history.\textsuperscript{20} The rationale for the recent ACIP/CDC recommendation for all unvaccinated adults aged 19–59 y includes removing barriers such as limited time during routine patient encounters, provider and patients’ reluctance to discuss risk factors, and addressing health inequities.\textsuperscript{21}

Most HCPs also considered patients’ willingness/motivation to be vaccinated. The growth of vaccine hesitancy in the US over the last decade can be attributed to several factors, including false associations with the onset of medical conditions, being unfamiliar with the disease, and potential side effects.\textsuperscript{22} Since the HepA and HepB vaccines have well-characterized safety profiles, this indicates there is a need for improved patient education regarding vaccines.

This study found the most frequently reported reasons for not recommending HepA or HepB vaccines for patients at risk were (1) the belief that the risk of infection is low in the patient population and (2) uncertainty about the ACIP recommendations for the population. These results echo the findings of a 2015 survey of primary care residents at academic institutions that investigated why HepB vaccination rates were suboptimal among patients with diabetes. The investigators surveyed the primary care medical residents about their knowledge of HepB and assigned them to an educational intervention or control group. The baseline knowledge survey scores reflected a lack of knowledge and risk-assessment skills regarding HepB in patients with diabetes. Comparison of the baseline knowledge
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\caption{Reasons for not recommending HepA vaccines to patients at risk (All HCPs, N = 400). HCP: healthcare provider; HepA: Hepatitis A; HepB: Hepatitis B; MSM: men who have sex with men.}
\end{figure}
scores with those immediately after the educational intervention and 6 months postintervention revealed the primary care residents consistently scored more than twice as high on the knowledge survey following the intervention. This finding highlighted the need for improved awareness of and education regarding the vaccination recommendations among HCPs and proved the effectiveness of an educational intervention program. The universal recommendation for HepB vaccination in adults 19–59 years of age is a critical step to remove barriers inherent in risk-based recommendations. However, improved HCP awareness of and education regarding HepB vaccination in groups at risk will still be needed for patients 60 y or older.

The challenge of ensuring patients complete the designated number of doses in the series was considered a moderate barrier to vaccination by over 25% of respondents. This is notable because the CDC guidelines state that one dose of the HepA vaccine is considered sufficient for outbreak control. This suggests that concerns about series completion should not deter HCPs from vaccinating at-risk patients, particularly during outbreaks. The low percentages of HCPs that consider it moderately important to vaccinate these patient populations against HepA, even when a single dose is adequate, shows that HCP education on HepA vaccination practices is lacking.

Finally, about seven in ten HCPs in this study reported they consider reimbursement before vaccinating, highlighting the importance of provider reimbursement to ensure adequate vaccination coverage. Although Medicaid programs provide reimbursement for HepA, HepB, and HepA/HepB vaccines in 45, 50, and 48 states, respectively, reimbursement amounts vary by Medicaid program and provider. Even when Medicaid reimbursement is provided, it may not be sufficient to cover the cost of administering vaccinations. At least eight state programs do not provide separate reimbursement for vaccine administration, and no state provides separate reimbursement for vaccine counseling if a patient refuses vaccination. Moreover, Medicaid reimbursement payments for adult vaccine administration have been found to be substantially lower than payments from Medicare and private payers. HCP concerns over reimbursement may therefore be a significant barrier to the vaccination of low-income individuals. Additionally, among private payers, one study has found that although reimbursement for vaccine administration is usually adequate for most private practices, there are large variations in insurance reimbursements between states. The same study also found that reimbursements were lower for nurse practitioners than for physicians. Ensuring HCPs receive sufficient

---

**Figure 5.** Reasons for not recommending HepB vaccines to patients at risk (All HCPs, N = 400).
reimbursement for HepA, HepB, and other ACIP-recommended adult vaccination despite payer mix and provider type may lead to increased recommendation of these vaccines.

Limitations

Although the study population was diverse, included HCPs may not represent all US HCPs. Selection bias was another potential limitation of this study; members of the M3 panel may be systematically different from HCPs who are not in the panel. Finally, adherence to guidelines was self-reported and may not reflect actual vaccination practices, as HepA and HepB vaccination rates were not measured.

Conclusions

Most surveyed HCPs recommended HepA and HepB vaccines to patients at risk of infection or complications as recommended by the ACIP/CDC. However, improved awareness of the recommendations among HCPs is needed, particularly regarding the importance of HepA vaccination in light of the ongoing multi-state outbreaks among homeless persons and illegal drug users, as well as HepB vaccination in patients with diabetes.

Beginning with the 2022 adult immunization schedule, the ACIP/CDC now recommends HepB vaccination for all adults aged 19–59 y, and for those age 60 y and older if a known risk is present. Future studies could evaluate the impact of removing the risk-based recommendations for adults aged up to 59 y.
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