An asymmetrical whole-body birdcage RF coil without RF shield for hyperpolarized $^{129}$Xe lung MR imaging at 1.5 T
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**Purpose:** This study describes the development and testing of an asymmetrical xenon-129 ($^{129}$Xe) birdcage radiofrequency (RF) coil for $^{129}$Xe lung ventilation imaging at 1.5 Tesla, which allows proton ($^1$H) system body coil transmit–receive functionality.

**Methods:** The $^{129}$Xe RF coil is a whole-body asymmetrical elliptical birdcage constructed without an outer RF shield to enable $^1$H imaging. $B_1^+$ field homogeneity and flip angle mapping of the $^{129}$Xe birdcage RF coil and $^1$H system body RF coil with the $^{129}$Xe RF coil in situ were evaluated in the MR scanner. The functionality of the $^{129}$Xe birdcage RF coil was demonstrated through hyperpolarized $^{129}$Xe lung ventilation imaging with the birdcage in both transceiver configuration and transmit-only configuration when combined with an 8-channel $^{129}$Xe receive-only RF coil array. The functionality of $^1$H system body coil with the $^{129}$Xe RF coil in situ was demonstrated by acquiring coregistered $^1$H anatomical MR images.

**Results:** The asymmetrical birdcage produced a homogeneous $B_1^+$ field (±10%) in agreement with electromagnetic simulations. Simulations indicated an optimal detuning configuration with 4 diodes. The obtained g-factor of 1.4 for acceleration factor of $R = 2$ indicates optimal array configuration. Coregistered $^1$H anatomical images from the system body coil along with $^{129}$Xe lung images demonstrated concurrent and compatible arrangement of the RF coils.

**Conclusion:** A large asymmetrical birdcage for homogenous $B_1^+$ transmission with high sensitivity reception for $^{129}$Xe lung MRI at 1.5 Tesla has been demonstrated. The unshielded asymmetrical birdcage design enables $^1$H structural lung MR imaging in the same exam.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

MRI of hyperpolarized gases xenon-129 (129Xe) and helium-3 (3He) is established as a clinically sensitive functional imaging modality for assessment of the airways and lungs. The safety of the technique and its inherent sensitivity to regional lung ventilation and function make it an ideal imaging tool for the assessment of lung diseases. The method has been evaluated in clinical studies of different lung diseases and has been shown to have high sensitivity to early-stage lung diseases such as emphysema and early obstruction of the airways in pediatric cystic fibrosis. Due to its moderate cost and natural availability, 129Xe has recently become the most employed hyperpolarized gas for MR imaging. Studies have shown that 129Xe provides similar image quality and quantitative information, as can be achieved with 3He MRI. 129Xe is also soluble in blood and tissue and thus can be used to image lung ventilation—perfusion and evaluate alveolar—capillary gas exchange. In addition, it has been established as a useful tool for the assessment of interstitial lung disease. Recently, the feasibility of 129Xe-dissolved phase imaging of other perfused organs such as kidneys and brain has also been demonstrated. In all of these hyperpolarized 129Xe applications, image quality is highly dependent on the delivered flip angle (FA); therefore, high-quality transmit RF coils capable of uniformly exciting the signal from 129Xe in various organs are desirable.

In this work, we demonstrate the design and implementation of an elliptical asymmetrical thorax birdcage RF coil initially proposed for 3He MRI, which has since been adopted for various applications such as sodium-23 and hyperpolarized gases. The 129Xe birdcage here was designed for the following: 1) to operate without an RF shield between the 129Xe birdcage RF coil and the proton (1H) system body coil to enable both 1H-129Xe multinuclear lung MR imaging, and 2) for use in conjunction with a dedicated receive-only RF coil array for 129Xe imaging for enhanced SNR and accelerated imaging. The electromagnetic interaction of the 129Xe coil with the 1H body coil is an important consideration for coil performance and patient safety; thus, specific absorption rate (SAR) was evaluated with electromagnetic simulation software. In addition, transmit efficiency of the 1H body coil with and without the 129Xe birdcage is another necessary consideration that was optimized with electromagnetic simulation and then verified experimentally in the MR scanner. We go on to experimentally compare the 129Xe birdcage RF coil when used as a transceiver and as a transmit-only RF coil in conjunction with a 129Xe receive-only 8-channel RF coil array for lung ventilation MR imaging. We also demonstrate the ability to acquire coregistered 1H lung images from the system body coil along with accelerated 129Xe lung images.

2 | METHODS

2.1 129Xe birdcage RF coil design and simulations

The 129Xe birdcage RF coil is a 12-legged asymmetrical elliptical structure of band-pass topology, as shown in Figure 1A, similar to the topology used in an earlier 3He RF coil. The birdcage RF coil has length (head–feet direction) of 47 cm, common elliptical diameter (left–right direction) of 50.8 cm, asymmetrical diameter (anteroposterior direction) of 51.6 cm (top half) and 21.2 cm (bottom half), as shown in Figure 1A. The design was optimized to maximize the use of the available space within the magnet (1.5 T GE HDx scanner, GE Healthcare, Waukesha, Wisconsin), with a 60 cm inner-bore diameter used along with a custom-made patient tabletop insert. Capacitance values were calculated as described in De Zanche et al. Referring to capacitors on the legs and end-rings, as shown in Figure 1A, the capacitor values are C1 = C12 = 450 pF, C2 = C11 = 630 pF, C3 = C10 = 780 pF, C4 = C9 = 680 pF, C5 = C8 = 910 pF, C6 = C7 = 580 pF, C12-1 = C1-2 = 1700 pF, C11-12 = C2-3 = 4400 pF, C10-11 = C3-4 = 2220 pF, C9-10 = C4-5 = 750 pF, C8-9 = C5-6 = 860 pF and C7-8 = C6-7 = 640 pF.

Figure 1B illustrates the simulation environment showing the RF coils setup in the scanner. The 1H system body coil has a length of 60 cm and diameter of 60.5 cm, with an outer RF shield at 63.5 cm. The proposed 129Xe asymmetrical birdcage was nested inside the 1H body coil. Full-wave EM simulations were performed with Ansys HFSS (Canonsburg, PA) to evaluate the following:

1. B1+ field homogeneity of the 129Xe birdcage, for which the 129Xe birdcage was loaded with human body model (σ = 0.14 S/m and εr = 81) with air spaces for lungs.
2. The 129Xe birdcage RF coil was simulated for its 1H RF transparency in 4 different configurations: 1 configuration without PIN diodes (tuned 129Xe coil); 3 configurations with 4, 8 and 12 PIN diodes applied, respectively, to detune the 129Xe coil, as shown in Figure 2. An equivalent circuit for reversed biased PIN diodes (MA4P7435NM-109IT, Macom, Lowell, Massachusetts) consisted of a 3 pF capacitance and 5 kΩ resistance in parallel. To evaluate the transparency, the RF coils were positioned in their normal operating conditions; the 129Xe birdcage RF coil was set in detuned mode without excitation; and the 1H body coil was tuned to the 1H resonance with RF excitation to mimic the state of the RF coils during 1H transmit and receive phase. The transparency of 129Xe birdcage was assessed by the distortion it would cause to the 1H B1+ magnitude and homogeneity, as shown in Figure 2.
3. The isolation s-parameters between the RF coils in all of the 4 configurations were simulated over a frequency span of 10 to 100 MHz. The \(^1\)H body RF coil was tuned and the \(^{129}\)Xe birdcage RF coil was detuned as per the diode configurations mentioned earlier. In addition, SAR was simulated in MatLab (MathWorks, Natick, MA) as described in Collins et al.\(^{26}\) using the EM fields exported from Ansys HFSS 18.0 for all the 4 diode configurations mentioned.

2.2 \(^{129}\)Xe Birdcage coil construction

The mechanical structure of the birdcage is made from machined fiberglass composite. The conductors are solid copper bars with a length of 47 cm, width of 1.5 cm and thickness of 0.3 cm. This mechanical arrangement and its assembled geometry (shown in Figure 1A,D,E) optimally utilizes the available space and accommodates a dedicated \(^{129}\)Xe (or \(^1\)H) receiver array. The structure of the coil is split in 2 parts to allow subjects to lie down on the posterior part and the anterior part is then fixed on top. Four copper beryllium contact pins make the connection between the 2 halves. Unlike flexible coils,\(^{27}\) the rigid chassis ensures a more consistent homogeneous magnetic field with loading with a range of subject sizes. Both halves of constructed RF coil are shown in Figure 1E.

The transmit RF line from the system is split into 0° and 90° channels using hybrid coupling. Each output port of the coupler was fed to the \(^{129}\)Xe birdcage coil at quadrature using a lattice balun that also matches the RF coil to 50 Ω. The RF feeds are on the end-ring across capacitors \(C_6\) and \(C_9\), as shown in Figure 1E. Two cable traps tuned to \(^1\)H resonance were placed on both transmit and receive cables. Active detuning was achieved by fixing 4 PIN diodes in series with capacitors \(C_2-3\), \(C_6-7\), \(C_7-8\) and \(C_{11-12}\) (Figure 1A,D,E), using RF chokes (10.4 µH chip inductance, parallel resonance notch circuits using 1 µH, as shown in Figure 1D).

Diode detuning was assessed by s-parameter transmission loss using magnetic flux probes with diodes turned on and off. Matching and tuning were evaluated with a human load (28-year-old male, 75 kg, 177 cm) and positioning within the scanner. Q factor was measured with the coil in the loaded and unloaded conditions.

The receiver RF coil was a repurposed \(^1\)H 8-channel GE cardiac coil (GE Healthcare) retuned to resonate at the \(^{129}\)Xe Larmor frequency of 17.66 MHz at 1.5 T (Figure 1C). The array is divided into 2 separate halves, anterior and posterior, with 4 channels each.
Specific absorption rate simulation

Average SAR = 1.23 W/kg
Local SAR Values (10g) = 9.39 W/kg
2.3 | Hyperpolarization

Experiments were performed on a healthy male volunteer (26 years old, 70 kg) with informed written consent and approval from the UK national research ethics committee. $^{129}$Xe (87% enriched $^{129}$Xe) was polarized to $\sim 30\%$ by spin-exchange optical pumping using a homebuilt regulatory-approved polarizer system.

2.4 | FA mapping

To assess the $^{129}$Xe birdcage coil homogeneity, in vivo $\mathbf{B}_1^+$ maps were acquired (inhaled dose of 500 mL $^{129}$Xe gas topped up with 500 mL N$_2$). The sequence was a 2D spoiled gradient-echo with imaging parameters: matrix resolution $32 \times 32$, slice thickness 200 mm, coronal/axial plane, field of view (FOV) $40 \times 40$ cm$^2$, receiver bandwidth (BW) $\pm 4$ kHz, TR = 10.2 ms and TE = 4 ms. Images from the same slice were acquired 10 times repeatedly in a short acquisition time of 4 s, thereby depolarizing the hyperpolarized $^{129}$Xe gas with a known transmit RF power. The pixel-wise rate of depolarization was then used to calculate the $\mathbf{B}_1^+$ maps using the relation $S_n = S_0 \sin(\alpha) \cos(\alpha)^{(n-1)}$, where $S$ is the signal amplitude at $n$th RF pulse, $\alpha$ is the FA and $S_0$ is initial amplitude. To assess the RF transparency of $^{129}$Xe birdcage RF coil when positioned within the $^1$H body coil, a FA map of the $^1$H body coil was acquired with and without the detuned $^{129}$Xe birdcage RF coil in situ using a large cylindrical phantom filled with 3.6 g/L NaCl and 1.96 g/L CuSO$_4$·5H$_2$O salt solution (radius = 15.5 cm, height = 42 cm). The imaging sequence was a 2D spoiled gradient-echo, axial and coronal plane, matrix $128 \times 128$, TR = 300 ms, TE = 30 ms, FOV = $40 \times 40$ cm$^2$, 8 mm slice thickness and BW = 15.63 kHz. To obtain the FA map, acquisition was repeated with incremental transmit RF power and the acquired image was fitted pixelwise as described elsewhere.

2.5 | $^{129}$Xe ventilation imaging

The sequence used for xenon ventilation imaging was a 3D balanced steady-state free precession sequence with parameters: $100 \times 82 \times 24$ matrix resolution, 10 mm slice thickness, BW of $\pm 8$ kHz, FOV of $40 \times 40$ cm$^2$, TR = 6.4 ms, TE = 3.1 ms, FA = $10^\circ$, and with 750 mL $^{129}$Xe dose. FA was calculated with a series of pulse-acquires at a particular transmit RF power, as described earlier and the average FA from all the channels were used. SNR was calculated as a ratio of average signal from the region of interest of the lungs to the square root times the SD of the background noise. For the receiver array, all channels were combined with root sum of square reconstruction before calculating SNR. Performance of the 2 RF coil combinations were compared using the mean SNR (Figure 4C) in a slice.

2.6 | Accelerated imaging

Array sensitivity was estimated through autocalibration by fully sampling the 20 lines at the center of k-space, then under sampling the rest of the k-space, zero-padding the un-acquired k-space and reconstructing after filtering (3D low-pass Kaiser window ($\beta = 3$) to prevent truncation artefacts). The optimal flip angle of the steady-state free precession varied with the number of RF encoding steps depending on the acceleration factors, calculated as described elsewhere, assuming a T$_1$ of 20 s and no off-resonance effects. The sequence used for accelerated imaging was a 3D steady-state free precession with $80 \times 80 \times 20$ matrix, slice thickness 10 mm, BW = $\pm 8.06$ kHz, FOV = $40 \times 40$ cm$^2$, TR = 6.4 ms, TE = 3.1 ms and acceleration factor of R = 2 in the phase encode direction.

Coregistered anatomical proton MR images of the lungs were also acquired with the $^{129}$Xe coils in situ; $^1$H imaging parameters were 3D coronal spoiled gradient echo pulse sequence with matrix $80 \times 80 \times 20$, slice thickness 10 mm, BW = $\pm 83$ kHz, FOV = $40 \times 40$ cm$^2$, TR = 1.5 ms, TE = 0.6 ms, 5 Averages, and total scan times 12 s. Using the same parameters, a separate noise scan was performed without the inhaled gas to compute SNR. The transmit RF power for $^1$H was limited for patient safety in accordance with the estimated SAR and RF coupling with $^{129}$Xe RF coil.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Simulations

At 17.66 MHz $\mathbf{B}_1^+$ field simulation showed a homogeneous field within the lung region with a SD of 4%. For RF
transparency of the $^{129}$Xe birdcage RF coil for $^1$H imaging, the detuning configuration with 4 diodes was optimal when compared to the other configurations despite some residual coupling that impaired the $^1$H $B_1^+$ magnitude (reduction of 36%) and homogeneity (SD 14.36%), as shown in Figure 2. Simulated isolation between the $^{129}$Xe birdcage coil and the $^1$H body coil was $-15$ dB. Average SAR was 1.23 W/Kg and local SAR (near the shoulders) was 9.39 W/Kg simulated for $^1$H body coil with the detuned $^{129}$Xe birdcage coil in situ, as shown in Figure 2.

3.2 $^{129}$Xe Birdcage coil evaluation

Measured active detuning effectiveness (measured as difference) with diodes on and off was $-23$ dB at 17.66 MHz; the return loss of the $^{129}$Xe transmitted birdcage was below $-22$ dB for both ports. The isolation between the 2 quadrature ports of the $^{129}$Xe birdcage RF coil was $-30.8$ dB. Q factor was 197 and 100 in the unloaded and loaded conditions, respectively.

The in vivo FA delivered across the lungs with the $^{129}$Xe birdcage coil had a SD of 10% and 9% for coronal and axial plane, respectively, as seen in Figure 3A,B. The FA for the $^1$H body coil for a particular transmitted RF power with the $^{129}$Xe birdcage coil in situ was 4 lower when compared without it present, as seen in Figures 3C-F.

The SNR of the birdcage when used as a transceiver versus as a transmit-only coil with 8-channel receiver RF coil array was 31, 26.18 and 46, 25, 32 in posterior, central and anterior slices, respectively (Figure 4A,B). An approximate twofold net increase of SNR was observed using the birdcage as a transmitter with receive-only RF coil array (Figure 4C). This is due to better sensitivity of the array in the anterior and posterior regions of the lungs, as seen in the SNR profile in Figure 4C.

**FIGURE 3** Flip angle maps of $^{129}$Xe birdcage coil in vivo, (A) coronal, and (B) axial plane. Flip angle maps of $^1$H body coil without detuned $^{129}$Xe RF coil in situ, (C) axial, and (D) coronal plane. Flip angle maps of $^1$H body coil with detuned $^{129}$Xe RF coil in situ, (E) axial, and (F) coronal plane for the same transmit RF power as in (C) and (D).
1H images acquired with 1H system body coil with 129Xe birdcage RF coil in situ enabled spatially coregistered multi-nuclear MR lung images (Figure 5A). HP 129Xe steady-state free precession sequence signal simulations found an optimal FA of 10.3° and 14.1° for fully sampled encoded and accelerated (R = 2), respectively, as demonstrated by coronal and axial lung images reconstruction shown in Figure 5. Total scan times were 11 s and 8 s and for full sampled and R = 2 sampled, respectively. Image reconstruction for R = 2 (Figure 5B) shows no visible reconstruction artefacts with a g-factor of 1.4 (Figure 5C).

**DISCUSSION**

In this note, we have demonstrated an asymmetrical band pass birdcage for hyperpolarized 129Xe MRI that works without an RF shield enabling in situ 1H imaging. In vivo FA mapping demonstrated B_1^+ similar to values reported in the literature. Of the diode configurations evaluated for detuning, the configuration with 4 diodes showed least distortion of the 1H B_1^+ field. Although the 129Xe transmit birdcage coil enables proton imaging, the obtained FA with the 129Xe coil in situ was lower by a factor of 4 for the same transmit power, which is likely due to residual undesired coupling between the RF coils. Increasing the transmit power of the 1H RF amplifier is not an optimal solution to mitigate this because the local SAR values (9.23 W/kg) were close to the International Electrotechnical Commission standard for normal level controlled scans. Therefore, future work should focus on improving the isolation between the 2 RF coils in the magnet, for example, using passive trap circuits tuned to the 1H resonance frequency on the 129Xe RF coil. The obtained results show some improvement when compared with

**FIGURE 4** Ventilation images obtained with (A) the asymmetrical birdcage in transceiver configuration and (B) 8-channel receiver RF coils array. (C) Comparison of averaged SNR of each coronal slice for the 2 RF configurations.
the $B_1$ field homogeneity obtained with flexible vest coil designs similar to results previously reported in literature.\textsuperscript{24,25} When combined with a separate 8-channel receive array, the increased SNR was evident despite some dropoff in the sensitivity toward the central slices. The receiver RF coil array permitted acceleration of 2 with low g-factor values (maximum values ~1.4).

5 | CONCLUSION

We have demonstrated an RF coil setup for $^{129}$Xe human lung imaging at 1.5 T using an asymmetrical elliptical $^{129}$Xe birdcage RF coil both as a transceiver and with a receive-only RF coil array. This $^{129}$Xe birdcage RF coil, designed without an RF shield and with receive-only RF coil array compatibility, has enabled both $^1$H MR imaging and accelerated imaging, functionality which has not been previously demonstrated together.
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