Marangoni Driven Boundary Layer Flow of Carbon Nanotubes Toward a Riga Plate
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The objective of this article is to explore radiative Marangoni boundary layer flow of carbon nanotubes along a surface that is an electromagnetic actuator, such as a Riga surface. A comparative study is conducted to investigate the behavior of Lorentz forces on the basis of nanoparticle temperature fluxes with two different types of carbon nanotubes, namely single-wall carbon nanotube and multi-wall carbon nanotubes saturated into water as the base fluid. The proposed schemes of governing equations are then converted into ordinary differential equations by similarity transformation. One of best analytical methods, the homotopy analytical method, is utilized for the solution of the governing equations and the convergence of the control parameters. Embedded dimensionless parameters of the flow fields are examined via graphical illustrations. It is observed that an increase in the modified Hartmann number increases the velocity field but reduces the temperature distribution.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Marangoni boundary layer flow phenomena are characterized by gradients in surface tension due to variations in surfactant concentration, concentration of solute, and variations in temperature along the interface. In light of the enhanced significance of surface forces and greater interface extensions, Marangoni boundary layer flows become pertinent in microgravity and in earth gravity. On the other hand, for a duly defined sufficient large Reynolds number, Marangoni boundary layers are edge dissipative flows and form thin dissipative films near unrestricted surfaces [1]. These types of flows have widespread application in diverse fields of engineering and practical projects such as for stabilizing soap films, drying silicon wafers after wrap processing steps, growing crystals, spreading thin films, nucleating vapor bubbles, processing semiconductors, and welding and for use in packed distillation columns, falling film spectator, artificial rain, and materials science. In view of their importance, many researchers have studied and reported results for these types of flows. The first contribution to this research area was by Napolitano [2] during his survey of steady dissipative layers. Lin and Zheng [3] theoretically investigated the problem of Marangoni boundary layer flow and heat transfer of copper-water nanofluid over a porous medium disk. They concluded that the Marangoni parameter has a destabilizing effect on all the other parameters such as temperature, shear stress, velocity, and boundary layer velocity. Moreover, to achieve an analytical solution of
the said model, they employed the Homotopy Analysis Method (HAM). Recently, Tiwari et al. [4] presented a mathematical model for electrically conducting Marangoni MHD flow saturated with carbon nanotubes (CNTs) as the nanoparticles in a base fluid over a porous medium. An analytical method was adopted to achieve a solution for this project. A similarity solution of Marangoni convection boundary layer flow, taking into account the impacts of gravitational and external pressure, has been studied by Zhang and Zheng [5]. They showed that flow and heat transfer phenomena were substantially affected by the Marangoni convection parameter and the Prandtl number. A numerical method was adopted by Mehdi et al. to investigate the influence of different nanoparticles on Marangoni convection boundary layer flow [6]. The study showed that some nanoparticles with low thermal conductivity have a greater amplification effect on heat transfer phenomena than other recommended particles. Sheikholeslami and Ganji [7] considered Marangoni boundary layer flow to investigate the effect of the magnetic field on various nanofluids. The results illustrated that a Lorentz force increase causes the velocity of nanofluid to decrease. Remeli et al. [8] investigated suction and injection in a nanofluid via Marangoni-driven boundary layer flow. The effect of the injection parameter is to decrease the velocity profile, while the suction parameter increases the velocity profile and delays the separation of the boundary layer. The effects of particle shape on Marangoni convection boundary layer flow of a nanofluid were addressed by Ellahi et al. [9]. They considered different types of nanoparticles, such as needle-shaped, disc-shaped, and sphere-shaped. They discussed the said flow model in the context of nanoparticles and found that with an increase in the volume fraction and size of the particles, the surface temperature gradient fluctuated correspondingly. The maximum heat transfer rate at the surface was found in the case of sphere-shaped particles. Further, numerous studies on Marangoni boundary layer flow of several types can be found in the literature [10–12].

The characteristic of nanofluid of tremendously intensifying heat transfer and thermal convection has led to its broad application in innumerable fields, such as in biomedical devices and in highly advanced technical contexts such as the cooling of microchips, nanodrug delivery, nuclear reactions and radiators, etc. To reconcile the issues associated with high-temperature mixtures and to improve thermal conductivity in practice, nanoparticles are soaked into a base fluid. Many contributions have been made to the literature on nanoparticles that disseminate in the base fluid to attain excellent thermal properties [13–15]. According to Tiwari et al. [16], the adding of nanoparticles within a base fluid alone is not enough. CNTs had a six-times improved thermal conductivity compared to other nanomaterials [17]. Through the enhancement of various models of CNTs, these tubes have a wide span of properties such as thermal and electronic [18]. Similarly, solid nanoparticles have higher conductivity than do liquids. Therefore, CNTs are a topic of interest for advanced technology due to their electrical and isolated structure. In recent years, different applications of CNTs [19–21] have been investigated to develop ideal materials ranging from ultra-strong fibers to field emission. These tubes have extensive uses and applications in various fields such as providing increased energy density for capacitors, modeling the structures of catalysts, detecting proteins that indicate the existence of oral cancer, for gas storage, for water purification devices, for detecting bacteria in drinking water, for minimizing the weight of coaxial cable in aerospace applications, for improving battery lifetime, as an extra powerful fiber, etc. In this regard, Hayat et al. [22] utilized carbon nanotubes in water flow under homogenous-heterogeneous reactions and with melting heat transfer effects. Two different types of CNTs, i.e., SWCNT and MWCNT, were incorporated in water for the flow model. It was found that, in comparison with other nanofluids, the minimum thermal resistance and maximum heat transfer was achieved when MWCNT was disseminated in the base fluid. Moreover, the surface thickness of carbon nanotubes with heat transfers in stagnation point flow was examined by Hayat et al. [23].

From the last few decades, many researchers have turned their attention toward the study of flow fields with different configurations. One of the new geometries devised by Gailitis and Lielauasis [24] for weakly conducting fluids is the so-called Riga plate. The novelty of this plate is that it incorporates and imposes magnetic and electric fields, which properly instigates Lorentz forces parallel to the wall to constrain the flow of weakly conducting fluid. Avoiding boundary layer separation, it may be utilized as an efficient agent for submarine pressure drag, skin friction, and radiation. The related theory has important features and is employed in many areas such as engineering, geophysics, astrophysics, industrial procedures, and MHD generators. Pantokratoras et al. [25] addressed boundary layer flow based on a weakly conducting fluid passing through a Riga plate. Their analysis demonstrated that by keeping quality, suitable size of nanoparticles, and adjusting the magnitude of flow, aiding and opposing Lorentz force due to the Riga plate in order to control the skin friction. Magyari and Pantokratoras [26] carried out an investigation to extend the idea of opposing and aiding mixed convection flows through a Riga plate. Further, Pantokratoras [27] addressed Blasius and Sakiadis type flows over a Riga plate. Hayat et al. [28] explored the flow of nanofluid through a convectively heated Riga plate with variable thickness. The results demonstrated that for larger values of the modified Hartman number, the velocity distribution exhibited decreasing behavior. Shafiq et al. [29] analyzed the impact of radiation in stagnation point flow of Walters’ B fluid through a Riga plate. Their observations indicated that due to enhancement of the strength of Newtonian heating, the temperature and surface heat transfer significantly increased. Theoretical and numerical discussion by Bhatti et al. has shown the effects of thermal radiation with EMD through a Riga plate [30]. Further, a Cattaneo-Christov model for third-grade nanofluid flow toward a Riga plate has been developed by Naseem et al. [31] by using a semi-analytical method, i.e., the optimal homotopy analysis method (OHAM). The proposed theory was adopted together with the newly esteemed zero nanoparticles mass flux condition to investigate mass and thermal diffusions. Thermal radiation and heat transfer phenomena play a central role in advanced technological systems through boundary layer flow. The important applications of this flow in the aforementioned fields can be seen in the literature [32–34]. Henceforth, in
various flow fields, the importance of thermal radiation cannot be overlooked. Non-linear radiation and Joule heating in Marangoni mixed convection flow were demonstrated by Hayat et al. [35]. The impact of exponential temperature on radiation effects and particle shape was examined by Lin et al. by utilizing heat transfer of copper water-based nanofluid and Marangoni boundary layer flow [36]. Hayat et al. [37] reported the effect of Joule heating and thermal radiation in the flow of third-grade fluid over a radiative surface. They studied whether the presence of an electric field and the radiation parameter caused the temperature and velocity to increase. A revised model of second-grade nanofluid magnetohydrodynamic Falkner Skan flow was examined by Hayat et al. [38]. A few other interesting investigations are given in Ellahi et al. [39–44], Bhatti et al. [30, 45, 46], Ellahi and Riaz [47], and Waqas et al. [48].

The main intention of the present study is to interpret radiative Marangoni-driven boundary layer flow utilizing different types of CNTs (SWCNTs and MWCNTs) over a Riga plate. To the best of our knowledge, such a study does not yet exist in the literature. Suitable transformations are utilized to establish a non-linear system of equations. The homotopy analysis method (HAM) is utilized for convergent series solutions. The impacts of several influential parameters on the physical quantities of interest are analyzed through tables and graphs. The upcoming sections illustrate the mathematical model and explore the effects of the different physical parameters on the velocity and temperature profiles, respectively.

2. MATHEMATICAL SCHEME OF THE PROBLEM

We consider Marangoni boundary layer flow of carbon-nanoliquids (SWCNTs and MWCNTs) toward a Riga surface along with radiation phenomenon. The Riga plate comprises a spanwise connected array of permanent magnets and irregular electrodes attached to a horizontal surface. Lorentz forces generated by the Riga plate and directed along the free stream are responsible for optimally controlling the proposed flow field. The base fluid, water, is packed with SWCNTs and MWCNTs. Further, the governing equations for the flow form may be expressed as (1–5):

\begin{equation}
\frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \tilde{v}}{\partial y} = 0, \tag{1}
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\tilde{u} + \frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial y} = \tilde{u}_e, \quad \frac{\partial \tilde{v}}{\partial y} = \frac{\mu_{nf} \partial^2 \tilde{u}}{\rho_{nf} \partial y^2} + \frac{\pi M_0 J_0 \text{Exp}[-\frac{2}{\mu} y]}{8 \rho_{nf}} - g \tilde{\phi}(T - T_0), \tag{2}
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\frac{\partial T}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} = \alpha_{nf} \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2} - \frac{1}{(\rho c_p)_{nf}} \frac{\partial q_r}{\partial y} + \frac{\mu}{(\rho c_p)_{nf}} \left( \frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial y} \right)^2, \tag{3}
\end{equation}

and the boundary conditions are set as

\begin{equation}
\tilde{v} = 0, \quad T = T_0, \quad \frac{\mu_{nf} \partial \tilde{u}}{\mu_f} = \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} \quad \text{at} \quad \tilde{y} = 0,
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\tilde{u} = \tilde{u}_e, \quad T = T_0 \quad \text{at} \quad \tilde{y} \to \infty \quad \text{at} \quad \tilde{y} \to \infty. \tag{4}
\end{equation}

The velocity components in the \( x \) and \( y \) directions mentioned in the expressions are \( \tilde{u} \) and \( \tilde{v} \), the fluid density is denoted by \( \rho \), the velocity of external flow is \( \tilde{u}_e(x) \), \( \rho_{nf} \) indicates the nanofluid density, \( \mu_{nf} \) is the nanofluid dynamic viscosity, \( j_0 \) stands for the applied current density within the electrodes, \( M_0 \) is the magnetization of the permanent magnets, \( b \) indicates the width of the magnets and electrode, the constant temperature of the Riga plate is denoted by \( T_w \) where \( T_w > 0 \), \( K \) is the thermal conductivity, \( c_p \) represents the specific heat, \( \mu \) is the dynamic viscosity, and \( T \) is the nanofluid temperature. The boundary temperature distribution is \( T_0(x) \), and \( (\rho c_p)_{nf} \) is the nanofluid heat capacity. The nanofluid effective density is \( \alpha_{nf} \). The radiative heat flux \( q_r \) is defined as

\begin{equation}
q_r = -\frac{4 \sigma^* T^4}{3 k_1 \partial y^2}, \tag{5}
\end{equation}

where \( \sigma^* \) is the Stefan-Boltzmann constant and \( k_1 \) is the mean absorption coefficient. Through Taylor’s series, we have \( T^4 \geq 4 T_\infty^3 T - 3 T_\infty^4 \), where \( T_\infty \) is the ambient temperature, and then energy equation now reduces to the following expression:

\begin{equation}
(\rho c_p)_{nf} \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} \right) = \left( \frac{16 \sigma^* T_\infty^3}{3 k_1} + K \right) \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2} + \mu \left( \frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial y} \right)^2. \tag{6}
\end{equation}

Moreover, the mathematical properties of CNTs are demonstrated by the following Equation (19–22):

\begin{equation}
\alpha_{nf} = \frac{k_{nf}}{(\rho c_p)_{nf}}, \quad \mu_{nf} = \frac{\mu_f}{(1 - \phi)^2}, \quad \tilde{v}_n = \frac{\mu_{nf}}{\rho_{nf}}, \tag{7}
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
k_{nf} = \frac{2 \phi_{C_{CNT}} k_{NJ} - k_f}{2 k_f} (1 - \phi), \tag{8}
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\rho_f = \rho_f (1 - \phi) + \rho_f (c_p)_{CNT} \phi, \tag{9}
\end{equation}

where \( k_f \) is the fluid thermal conductivity, \( k_{nf} \) is the nanofluid thermal conductivity, the nanofluid solid volume fraction is \( \phi \), and \( \mu_f \) is the fluid dynamic viscosity. \( \tilde{v}_n \) denotes Marangoni condition at the interface. The linear relation of surface tension \( \sigma \) is given as:

\begin{equation}
\sigma = \sigma_0 [1 - \gamma_1 (T - T_\infty)], \tag{10}
\end{equation}

where \( \gamma_1 = -\frac{1}{\sigma_0} \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial T} > 0 \) represents the surface tension temperature coefficient, and \( \sigma_0 \) represents surface tension. The directions of the driving forces depend on the orientation of the temperature gradients in nanoliquids \( \nabla T \).
The similarity transformation is introduced:
\[ u(x,y) = u_0 x^{(2r-1)/3} f'(\eta), \]
\[ v(x,y) = -u_0 y x^{(2r-1)/3} \left[ (2 - r) \eta f''(\eta) - (1 + r) f(\eta) \right], \]
\[ T(x,y) = T_0 - h_0 x^2 \theta(\eta), \quad \eta = x^{(r-2)/3} y / l_0, \]
where \( h_0, u_0, \) and \( l_0 \) represent constants. The values of \( u_0 \) and \( l_0 \) take the following form when \( h_0 = 1 \):
\[ u_0 = \left( \frac{3}{1 + r} \right)^{1/3} r^{2/3}, \quad l_0 = \left( \frac{3}{1 + r} \right)^{1/3} r^{-1/3}, \]
(10)
after the above-mentioned transformations, Equations (1)–(6) take the following form:
\[ \frac{1}{(1 - \phi)^{2.5}(1 - \phi + \frac{\rho_{nf} \phi}{\rho_{f}})} \frac{f'''' + f'}{(1 + r)} = \frac{2r - 1}{1 + r} f'' \]
\[ + \frac{3}{1 + r} \lambda \theta(\eta) + \frac{3}{1 + r} Q \operatorname{Exp}[-c \eta] = 0, \]
(11)
\[ \left( 1 + \frac{4 R}{3} \right) \frac{\eta'''}{(1 - \phi) + \frac{(\rho_{nf} \phi_{nf})}{(\rho_f \phi_f)}} \theta'' + \frac{3}{1 + r} \operatorname{Pr} \left[ rf' \theta - \frac{1 + r}{3} f' \theta' \right] - \operatorname{Pr} \operatorname{Ec} (f'')^2 = 0, \]
(12)
in which
\[ Q = \frac{\pi M_0 l_0 x}{8 \rho_{nf} \tilde{u}_{cz}^2}, \quad R = \frac{4 a^3 T_\infty}{3 k_{nf} k}, \quad \operatorname{Pr} = \frac{c_{p_f} \mu}{k}, \quad \operatorname{Ec} = \frac{\tilde{u}_{cz}^2 \tilde{x}^{3(r-2)}}{\rho c_{p_f} l_0 h_0}, \]
(13)
where \( Q \) denotes the modified Hartmann number, \( R \) represents the radiation parameter, \( \operatorname{Pr} \) indicates the Prandtl number, and \( \operatorname{Ec} \) symbolizes the Eckert number.

### 3. SOLUTION METHODOLOGY

To find the series solution of the underlying problem, the Homotopy Analysis Method is adopted. Therefore, the auxiliary linear operators \( (I_0, I_0) \) and the initial guess \( (\tilde{f}_0, \tilde{\theta}_0) \) may be defined as:
\[ \tilde{f}_0(\eta) = \eta + (1 - \phi)^{2.5}(1 - e^{-\eta}), \quad \tilde{\theta}_0(\eta) = e^{-\eta}, \]
\[ I_0 \tilde{\theta} = \frac{\partial \tilde{\theta}}{\partial \eta^2} - \tilde{\theta}, \quad I_0 \tilde{f} = \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{f}}{\partial \eta^2} - \frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial \eta}, \]
\[ I_0 |_{K_1 + K_2 \operatorname{Exp}(\eta) + K_3 \operatorname{Exp}(-\eta)} = 0, \]
\[ I_0 |_{K_1 \operatorname{Exp}(\eta) + K_3 \operatorname{Exp}(-\eta)} = 0, \]
where \( K_h (h = 1 - 5) \) are arbitrary constants.

The zeroth-order problem design is
\[ (1 - \tilde{p}) I_0 \tilde{f}(\eta, \tilde{p}) - \tilde{f}_0(\eta) = \tilde{h}_f I_0 \tilde{f}(\eta, \tilde{p}) \]
\[ \frac{\partial \tilde{f}(\eta, \tilde{p})}{\partial \eta} \bigg|_{\eta = 0} = 0, \quad \left. \frac{1}{(1 - \phi)^{2.5}} \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{f}(\eta, \tilde{p})}{\partial \eta^2} \right|_{\eta = 0} = -1, \]
\[ \frac{\partial \tilde{f}(\eta, \tilde{p})}{\partial \eta} \bigg|_{\eta \to \infty} = 1, \]
\[ (1 - \tilde{p}) I_0 \tilde{\theta}(\eta, \tilde{p}) - \tilde{\theta}_0(\eta) = \tilde{h}_\theta I_0 \tilde{\theta}(\eta, \tilde{p}), \]
\[ \tilde{\theta}(\eta, \tilde{p}) \bigg|_{\eta = 0} = 1, \quad \tilde{\theta}(\eta, \tilde{p}) \bigg|_{\eta \to \infty} = 0, \]
(20)
The non-linear operators are
\[ \tilde{M}_f \left[ \tilde{f}(\eta, \tilde{p}) \right] = \frac{1}{(1 - \phi)^{2.5}(1 - \phi + \frac{\rho_{nf} \phi}{\rho_f})} \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{f}(\eta, \tilde{p})}{\partial \eta^2} \]
\[ + \tilde{f}(\eta, \tilde{p}) \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{f}(\eta, \tilde{p})}{\partial \eta^2} - 2 \frac{r - 1}{1 + r} \left( \frac{\partial \tilde{f}(\eta, \tilde{p})}{\partial \eta} \right)^2 \]
\[ + \frac{2 \lambda}{1 + r} \eta + \frac{3}{1 + r} Q \operatorname{Exp}[-c \eta] \]
\[ \tilde{M}_\theta \left[ \tilde{\theta}(\eta, \tilde{p}) \right] = \left( 1 + \frac{4 R}{3} \right) \frac{\eta'''}{(1 - \phi) + \frac{(\rho_{nf} \phi_{nf})}{(\rho_f \phi_f)}} \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{\theta}(\eta, \tilde{p})}{\partial \eta^2} \]
\[ - 3 \eta \frac{\partial \tilde{\theta}(\eta, \tilde{p})}{\partial \eta} \bigg|_{\eta = 0}, \quad \left. \frac{1 + r}{3} \tilde{f}(\eta, \tilde{p}) \frac{\partial \tilde{\theta}(\eta, \tilde{p})}{\partial \eta} \right|_{\eta = \infty} = 0, \]
\[ - \operatorname{Pr} \operatorname{Ec} \left( \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{f}(\eta, \tilde{p})}{\partial \eta^2} \right)^2, \]
(22)
where, \( 0 \leq \tilde{p} \leq 1 \) and \( h_f \) and \( h_\theta \) designate zero free auxiliary parameters.

The \( m \)-th order deformation problem is
\[ I_0 \tilde{f}_m(\eta) - \tilde{x}_m \tilde{f}_m(\eta) = h_f P_f^0 \tilde{f}_m(\eta), \]
\[ \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{f}_m(\eta, \tilde{p})}{\partial \eta^2} \bigg|_{\eta = 0} = 0, \quad \left. \frac{\partial \tilde{f}_m(\eta, \tilde{p})}{\partial \eta} \right|_{\eta \to \infty} = 0, \]
\[ \tilde{f}_m(\eta, \tilde{p}) \bigg|_{\eta = 0} = 0, \]
\[ I_0 \tilde{\theta}_m(\eta) - \tilde{x}_m \tilde{\theta}_m(\eta) = h_\theta P_m^0 \tilde{\theta}_m(\eta), \]
\[ \tilde{\theta}_m(\eta, \tilde{p}) \bigg|_{\eta = 0} = 0, \quad \left. \tilde{\theta}_m(\eta, \tilde{p}) \right|_{\eta \to \infty} = 0, \]
(26)
\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{P}^\prime_m (\eta) &= \frac{1}{(1 - \phi)^2} [1 - \phi + \frac{\rho_{\text{CNT}}}{\rho_y} \phi] f''_{m-1} (\eta) \\
&\quad - \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} f_{m-1-k}' f_k' - 2r - 1 \frac{1}{1 + r} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} f_k f_{m-1-k} - (1 - \lambda_m) \right]
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\mathcal{P}_m (\eta) = \left(1 + \frac{4}{3} \frac{R_d}{\lambda} \right) \left[1 - \phi + \left(\frac{\rho_{\text{CNT}}}{\rho_{\text{fluid}}}\right) \phi\right] \theta_{m-1}'' (\eta)
\]

\[
- \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \left[ r f_k^2 \theta_{m-1-k} - \frac{1 + r}{3} f_{m-1-k} f_k' \right]
\]

\[
- \text{Pr Ec} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} f_{m-1-k} f_k',
\]

where

\[
\lambda_m = \begin{cases} 0, m \leq 1 \\
1, m > 1 \end{cases}
\]

For \( \mathcal{P} = 0 \) and \( \mathcal{P} = 1 \), we have

\[
\begin{align*}
\tilde{f}(\eta, 0) &= \tilde{f}_0 (\eta), \\
\tilde{f}(\eta, 1) &= \tilde{f}(\eta), \\
\tilde{\theta}(\eta, 0) &= \tilde{\theta}_0 (\eta), \\
\tilde{\theta}(\eta, 1) &= \tilde{\theta}(\eta).
\end{align*}
\]

The solutions \( \tilde{f}(\eta; \mathcal{P}) \) and \( \tilde{\theta}(\eta; \mathcal{P}) \) vary from the primary solutions \( \tilde{f}_0 (\eta) \) and \( \tilde{\theta}_0 (\eta) \) to the final solutions \( \tilde{f}(\eta) \) and \( \tilde{\theta}(\eta) \), respectively, where \( \mathcal{P} \) differs from 0 to 1. The Taylor series expansion follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\tilde{f}(\eta, \mathcal{P}) &= \tilde{f}_0 (\eta) + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \tilde{f}_m (\eta) \mathcal{P}^m, \\
\tilde{f}(\eta, \mathcal{P}) &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \tilde{f}_m (\eta) \mathcal{P}^m \\
\tilde{\theta}(\eta, \mathcal{P}) &= \tilde{\theta}_0 (\eta) + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \tilde{\theta}_m (\eta) \mathcal{P}^m.
\end{align*}
\]

The above series solutions converge if the auxiliary parameters are properly nominated. Therefore,

\[
\begin{align*}
\tilde{f}(\eta) &= \tilde{f}_0 (\eta) + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \tilde{f}_m (\eta), \\
\tilde{\theta}(\eta) &= \tilde{\theta}_0 (\eta) + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \tilde{\theta}_m (\eta).
\end{align*}
\]

The general solutions \( (\tilde{f}_m, \tilde{\theta}_m) \) via special solutions \( (f^*_{m}, \theta^*_{m}) \) are

\[
\begin{align*}
\tilde{f}_m (\eta) &= f^*_{m}(\eta) + K_1 + K_2 \text{Exp}(\eta) + K_3 \text{Exp}(-\eta), \\
\tilde{\theta}_m (\eta) &= \theta^*_{m}(\eta) + K_4 \text{Exp}(\eta) + K_5 \text{Exp}(-\eta),
\end{align*}
\]

where \( K_h (h = 1 - 5) \) are the elaborated constants.

4. CONVERGENCE OF SERIES SOLUTIONS

The convergence phenomenon of HAM solution is dependent on auxiliary parameters \( h_f \) and \( h_o \), which control and adjust the convergence of the derived series solution. Therefore, \( h - \) curves are portrayed in Figures 2A, B for different values of the physical parameters in terms of SWCNT and MWCNT. The suitable values of these parameters \( h_f \) and \( h_o \) are \(-0.78 \leq h_f < -0.19 , -0.19 \leq h_o < -0.03 \) for SWCNT and \(-0.66 \leq h_f < -0.1 , -0.22 \leq h_o < -0.01 \) for MWCNT.

5. DISCUSSION

The major contribution of this section is to explore the physical influence of different dimensionless parameters on the velocity and temperature profiles. A physical sketch of the problem is given in Figure 1. The graphs in Figures 3–11 depict the results of the comprehensive analysis. We divide this section into three subsections for simplicity and clarity. In the first subsection, exploration is made of the physical impact of various parameters on the velocity profile. A discussion of the effects of the same parameters along with the radiation parameter on the temperature profile is given in the next subsection. The third subsection is based on the performance of the Nusselt number under the influence of different parameters.

The impact of fluid parameter \( r \) on the velocity profile is illustrated in Figure 3 for both SWCNT and MWCNT. The velocity distribution is noted to decrease with the intensification of parameter \( r \). Further, the velocity profile is seen to be higher in the case of MWCNT with a base fluid of water. Figure 4 is plotted to indicate the effect of the nanofluid solid volume fraction \( \phi \) for both SWCNT and MWCNT. It is shown that the velocity distribution increases with the enhancement of the nanofluid volume fraction. In addition, a stronger response is seen with MWCNT than with SWCNT. This is due to the low density of MWCNT. Figures 5, 6 display the effects of variation in the convection parameter \( \lambda \) on the velocity profile in both opposing \( (\lambda < 0) \) and assisting \( (\lambda > 0) \) flows. Under these circumstances, the velocity profile and the momentum boundary layer thickness exhibit increasing behavior with the buoyancy.
parameter for both SWCNT and MWCNT. Basically, the mixed convection may be defined as the ratio of buoyancy forces to inertial forces. The reason behind enhancement in the velocity of the fluid is the buoyancy force, which influences the inertial force, increasing the value of the mixed convection parameter. Moreover, MWCNT shows an increasing trend throughout the field in comparison to SWCNT. The effect of the Hartmann number $Q$ on the velocity profile is shown in Figure 7 for both SWCNT and MWCNT. Physically, an increase in the modified Hartmann number increases the velocity field. The structure of the Hartmann number is the ratio of electromagnetic force to viscous force. Since the increasing phenomenon of the velocity profile is dependent on an increase in $Q$, this indicates
development in the Lorentz force, which is generated by the presence of a magnetic field in the flow field and acts against the flow if the magnetic field is applied in the normal direction. The significance of the modified Hartmann number Q is exhibited in Figure 8 for both SWCNT and MWCNT. An increment in the Hartmann number correlates with a reduction in the temperature distribution.

The effect of variation of radiative parameter R on temperature profile $\theta(\eta)$ is plotted in Figure 9 for both MWCNT and SWCNT. The behavior inferred from this figure is that there is an enhancement in temperature distribution and in the related boundary layer thickness due to the increment in R. Hence, the temperature profile is an increasing function of the radiative parameter. Hence, the enhancement in the temperature profile due to an increase in the radiative parameter causes a reduction in the absorption coefficient. Further, SWCNT shows a stronger response compared with MWCNT.

The physical effect of fluid parameter $r$ on the velocity field is depicted in Figure 10 for both SWCNT and MWCNT. The velocity profile is noted to increase with escalation in parameter

### TABLE 1 | Thermophysical characteristics of base fluid and nanoparticles (SWCNT and MWCNT).

| Physical properties | Base fluid | Nanoparticle |
|---------------------|------------|--------------|
| $\rho$ (kg/m$^3$)   | 997        | 2,600        | 1,600        |
| $c_p$ (J/kgK)       | 4,179      | 425          | 796          |
| $k$ (W/mK)          | 0.613      | 6,600        | 3,000        |
Table 2: Convergence of homotopy solutions when $\alpha = 0.01$, $\beta = 0.1$, $\gamma = 0.1, \delta = 0.3, M = 0.1, Re = 2, Pr = 6.2, Ec = 0.1$, and $Ri = Nu = -0.7$.

| Order of approximation | $-f'''(0)$ | $-\theta'(1)$ |
|------------------------|------------|--------------|
|                        | SWCNT      | MWCNT        | SWCNT      | MWCNT        |
| 1                      | 0.6233     | 0.6377       | 0.8953     | 0.8222       |
| 2                      | 1.5232     | 1.5591       | 0.9254     | 0.8660       |
| 5                      | 1.6222     | 1.6602       | 0.9465     | 0.8824       |
| 10                     | 1.7655     | 2.5195       | 1.5623     | 0.9520       |
| 15                     | 1.7863     | 2.5195       | 1.5832     | 1.0323       |
| 20                     | 2.5462     | 2.5195       | 1.6322     | 1.0323       |
| 27                     | 2.5462     | 2.5195       | 1.6322     | 1.0323       |
| 30                     | 2.5462     | 2.5195       | 1.6322     | 1.0323       |
| 40                     | 2.5462     | 2.5195       | 1.6322     | 1.0323       |

The desired results were observed for both SWCNT and MWCNT. It is concluded that the Nusselt number shows decreasing behavior for larger values of $r$, $\lambda$, and $\phi$ in the cases of both SWCNT and MWCNT. On the other hand, the Nusselt number shows stronger behavior for larger values of $Q$, $R$, and $\gamma$ for both SWCNT and MWCNT.

Table 3: Numerical values of the Nusselt number for both SWCNT and MWCNT at different values of physical parameters.

| $r$ | $\lambda$ | $Q$ | $\phi$ | $R$ | $\gamma$ | $Re_{\theta}Nu$ |
|-----|-----------|-----|--------|-----|----------|----------------|
| 0.1 | 0.2       | 0.5 | 0.5    | 0.5 | 0.3      | 3.0965         |
| 0.2 |           |     |        |     |          | 2.8231         |
| 0.3 |           |     |        |     |          | 1.8597         |
| 0.2 | 0.0       | 0.5 | 0.5    | 0.5 | 0.3      | 2.5732         |
| 0.1 |           |     |        |     |          | 1.4831         |
| 0.2 |           |     |        |     |          | 1.1492         |
| 0.3 | 0.4       | 0.5 | 0.5    | 0.5 | 0.3      | 0.4428         |
| 0.2 |           |     |        |     |          | 1.4074         |
| 0.4 |           |     |        |     |          | 1.5945         |
| 0.2 | 0.2       | 0.2 | 0.1    | 0.5 | 0.3      | 5.1262         |
| 0.2 |           |     |        |     |          | 3.5624         |
| 0.3 |           |     |        |     |          | 2.5121         |
| 0.1 | 0.2       | 0.5 | 0.0    | 0.0 | 0.3      | 3.8691         |
| 0.2 |           |     |        |     |          | 5.4701         |
| 0.5 |           |     |        |     |          | 6.0772         |
| 0.1 | 0.2       | 0.5 | 0.5    | 0.5 | 0.0      | 1.8691         |
| 0.2 |           |     |        |     |          | 2.1682         |
| 0.3 | 3.6253    |     |        |     |          | 3.7536         |

The key points are as follows:

- Increment in the velocity profile is based on increases in the modified Hartmann number, buoyancy-assisting flow parameter, and solid volume fraction.
- The velocity profile for water-based MWCNT is higher than that for SWCNT for all of the discussed fluid parameters.
- Enhancement in parameter $r$ results in a reduction in the velocity distribution.
- Augmentation in the temperature field is based on increment in the radiative parameter, whereas the Hartmann number, buoyancy-assisting flow parameter, and solid volume fraction have the opposite effect on the temperature profile to the radiative parameter.
- SWCNT shows excellent agreement with the temperature distribution than MWCNT for all proposed fluid parameters.
- For larger values of $Q$, $R$, and $\gamma$, the local Nusselt number increases for both SWCNT and MWCNT.
- The Nusselt number illustrates decreasing behavior for larger values of $r$, $\lambda$, and $\phi$ in the cases of SWCNT and MWCNT.

6. FINAL OBSERVATION

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation, to any qualified researcher.
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## NOMENCLATURE

| Symbol | Description |
|--------|-------------|
| $\bar{u}_e$ | External flow velocity |
| $(h_0, u_0, l_0)$ | Constants |
| $b$ | Width of magnets and electrode |
| $\mu_{nf}$ | Nanofluid dynamic viscosity |
| $j_0$ | Applied current density within electrodes |
| $(c_p)_f$ | Nanofluid heat capacity |
| $\rho_{nf}$ | Nanofluid density |
| $\alpha_{nf}$ | Nanofluid effective density |
| $T_0$ | Temperature at boundary |
| $T_e$ | Temperature of external fluid |
| $T_w$ | Temperature of fluid at Riga plate |
| $\Gamma$ | Buoyancy force parameter |
| $\beta$ | Nanoparticle thermal parameter |
| $q_r$ | Radiative heat flux |
| $k_f$ | Fluid thermal conductivity |
| $k_{nf}$ | Nanofluid thermal conductivity |
| $\rho_{nf}$ | Nanofluid density |
| $\rho_{CNT}$ | Carbon nanotube density |
| $\phi$ | Nanofluid solid volume fraction |
| $\lambda$ | Convective parameter |
| $Q$ | Modified Hartman number |
| $R$ | Radiation parameter |
| $Pr$ | Prandtl number |
| $Ec$ | Eckert number |
| $M_0$ | Magnetic parameter |