Short-Term Effectiveness of a Mobile Phone App for Increasing Physical Activity and Adherence to the Mediterranean Diet in Primary Care: A Randomized Controlled Trial (EVIDENT II Study)
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Abstract

Background: The use of mobile phone apps for improving lifestyles has become generalized in the population, although little is still known about their effectiveness in improving health.

Objective: We evaluate the effect of adding an app to standard counseling on increased physical activity (PA) and adherence to the Mediterranean diet, 3 months after implementation.

Methods: A randomized, multicenter clinical trial was carried out. A total of 833 participants were recruited in six primary care centers in Spain through random sampling: 415 in the app+counseling group and 418 in the counseling only group. Counseling on PA and the Mediterranean diet was given to both groups. The app+counseling participants additionally received training in the use of an app designed to promote PA and the Mediterranean diet over a 3-month period. PA was measured with the 7-day Physical Activity Recall (PAR) questionnaire and an accelerometer; adherence to the Mediterranean diet was assessed using the Mediterranean Diet Adherence Screener questionnaire.

Results: Participants were predominantly female in both the app+counseling (249/415, 60.0%) and counseling only (268/418, 64.1%) groups, with a mean age of 51.4 (SD 12.1) and 52.3 (SD 12.0) years, respectively. Leisure-time moderate-to-vigorous physical activity increased from 270.6 (SD 337.8) to 370.2 (SD 467.3) minutes per week (p < 0.001) in the app+counseling group, and from 265.7 (SD 340.9) to 345.8 (SD 475.9) minutes per week (p < 0.001) in the counseling only group. The Mediterranean Diet Adherence Screener score increased from 3.5 (SD 1.6) to 3.9 (SD 1.9) (p < 0.001) in the app+counseling group, and from 3.5 (SD 1.7) to 3.7 (SD 2.0) (p < 0.001) in the counseling only group.
physical activity (MVPA) by 7-day PAR increased in the app+counseling (mean 29. 95% CI 5-53 min/week; \( P=.02 \)) but not in the counseling only group (mean 17.4. 95% CI –18 to 53 min/week; \( P=.38 \)). No differences in increase of activity were found between the two groups. The accelerometer recorded a decrease in PA after 3 months in both groups: MVPA mean –55.3 (95% CI –75.8 to –34.9) min/week in app+counseling group and mean –30.1 (95% CI –51.8 to –8.4) min/week in counseling only group. Adherence to the Mediterranean diet increased in both groups (8.4% in app+counseling and 10.4% in counseling only group), with an increase in score of 0.42 and 0.53 points, respectively (\( P<.001 \)), but no difference between groups (\( P=.86 \)).

**Conclusions:** Leisure-time MVPA increased more in the app+counseling than counseling only group, although no difference was found when comparing the increase between the two groups. Counseling accompanied by printed materials appears to be effective in improving adherence to the Mediterranean diet, although the app does not increase adherence.

**ClinicalTrials:** Clinicaltrials.gov NCT02016014; https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT02016014 (Archived by WebCite at http://www.webcitation.org/6mnopADbf)
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**Introduction**

Regular physical activity (PA) offers considerable physical and psychological health benefits [1], and reduces overall and cardiovascular mortality [2,3] in the general population [4,5]. Despite this fact, most of the population in developed countries do not follow the international recommendations on PA [6,7]. In phase 1 of the “Lifestyles and Arterial Aging” (EVIDENT) trial [8], the proportion of active individuals was very low (31%). Interventions designed to promote PA have revealed a small to moderate effect [9], and could be useful for increasing the number of people adhering to recommended levels of PA. The Mediterranean diet has been shown to be effective in preventing cardiovascular disease [10], and other disorders such as type 2 diabetes [11]. Adherence to the Mediterranean diet is low at only 33%, as documented by the EVIDENT trial [12]. Interventions designed to improve adherence to the Mediterranean diet showed that more frequent contact or intensive interventions appear to be more effective [13].

Information and communication technologies are currently one of the supporting tools that may facilitate such reinforcement and contribute to improving health and changing lifestyles [14]. Many mobile phone apps have been developed with this aim in mind, although the supporting evidence is generally limited [15]. Furthermore, the results are not always uniform, with positive effects in terms of weight loss [16,17], but few or contradictory effects on PA [18,19]. However, few studies have examined effectiveness in large population samples using an app combining PA and food habits.

This study evaluates the short-term (3 months) effects of adding an app in support of standardized counseling to increase PA and adherence to the Mediterranean diet.

**Methods**

**Design**

A multicenter randomized controlled trial with two parallel groups was carried out with a follow-up period of 12 months (the EVIDENT II study) [20]. Assessments were made at baseline and after 3 months between January 2014 and December 2015, with evaluation at 12 months in 2016.

**Setting and Participants**

The study population was selected from the EVIDENT I study [21], including 1553 participants randomly selected from six primary care centers in family practice offices. Participants older than 70 years of age were excluded, as were those unable to do exercise or follow the Mediterranean diet, as well as those individuals meeting any of the exclusion criteria of the EVIDENT I study [20]. Of the participants recruited in the EVIDENT I study, 833 were included in this study (Figure 1) and these participants were randomized in a 1:1 proportion on a centralized basis from Salamanca, using the Epidat 4.0 software package to the counseling+app group (n=415) or the counseling only group (n=418). The investigator who performed the data analysis was blinded. Due to the nature of the study, the participants could not be blinded to the intervention.

The study was approved by the Clinical Research Ethics Committee of the health care area of Salamanca (CEIC de Área de Salud de Salamanca, June 21, 2013) as the reference Committee. In addition, the study was approved by the Ethics Committees of the five collaborating centers (CEIC de Aragón [CEICA], CEIC de IDIAP Jordi Gol, CEIC de Euskadi [CEIC-E], CEIC de Castilla la Mancha, and CEIC de Área de Salud de Valladolid Oeste). All participants signed the informed consent form prior to inclusion in the study, in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki [22].
Intervention
A research nurse performed a common intervention lasting 30 minutes in both groups. The intervention consisted of standardized counseling in PA and the Mediterranean diet, with the delivery of printed supporting material (leaflet) on the session. The effectiveness of these interventions has been demonstrated in the “Experimental Program for Physical Activity Promotion” (PEPAF) study [23] and the “Prevención con Dieta Mediterránea” (PREDIMED) study [10].

For the counseling+app group, the participants also received training in the use of a mobile phone app designed to promote the Mediterranean diet and increase PA over a 3-month period. The app was designed by software engineers in collaboration with dietitians and PA experts, with an easy-to-use interface for logging food and exercise. A 15-minute initial visit was used to provide training in the use of the device, which was employed daily for the full 3-month period of the intervention. The participants were instructed to enter their food intake (breakfast, lunch, afternoon snack, and dinner). Based on adequate proportions of macronutrients (carbohydrates: 50%-60%; protein: 10%-15%; fats: 30%-35%; fiber: >22 g/day), following recommendations of the National Academy of Sciences (US Department of Health and Human Services), a personalized recommendation was provided. Regular PA was recorded with the accelerometer of the device, together with user input of activities performed without the mobile phone (eg, swimming, football). Lastly, at the end of the day, the app reported a summary of food intake and PA performed and a balance of ingested and spent calories. The app, in turn, generated a recommended plan for the following days, with a view to improve eating habits and increase PA. A new visit took place 1 week after supplying the device to confirm that it was being used correctly. The mobile phone was returned after 3 months, coinciding with the common follow-up visit. Adherence to the mobile phone app was assessed by the number of days of recordings in the device.

Outcome Measures and Follow-Up
The main outcome measures were change in PA and adherence to the Mediterranean diet at 3 months in the app+counseling group compared to the counseling only group. Other outcome measures were also collected, including blood pressure, waist circumference, body mass index (BMI), and laboratory parameters. A detailed description has been published elsewhere of how clinical data were collected [20].

Physical Activity
Physical activity was measured with an accelerometer and the 7-day Physical Activity Recall (7-day PAR) questionnaire. The ActiGraph GT3X accelerometer (ActiGraph, Shalimar, FL, USA) was employed daily for the full 3-month period of the intervention.
USA) was used and has been previously validated [24-26]. Activity “counts” were recorded to the internal memory of the accelerometers by converting acceleration units over a given epoch [27]. Participants wore the accelerometer fastened with an elastic strap to the right side of the waist for seven consecutive days during habitual PA, except for bathing and performing activities in the water. The accelerometer was set to record PA data every minute. Inclusion criteria were a minimum of 5 days of recording, including at least one weekend day and at least 600 registered minutes per day. The first and last day’s data were excluded to analyze full days only, and the uptime was adjusted to 7 days. The main outcome variable from the activity monitor was the mean intensity of PA (counts/minute). The intensity of PA was rated according to the cut-off points proposed by Freedson [28].

The 7-day PAR is a semistructured interview (10-15 minutes) in which participants provide an estimate of the number of hours dedicated to physical or occupational activities requiring at least a moderate effort in the past 7 days. The categories collected are moderate, vigorous, and very vigorous PA. The amount of time dedicated to each activity was multiplied by the mean metabolic equivalent (MET) of each category: light activity=1.5, moderate activity=4, vigorous activity=6, and very vigorous activity=10. The dose of physical exercise was estimated in MET-minutes/week. Active individuals were considered as those doing at least 30 minutes of moderate activity 5 days per week, or at least 20 minutes of vigorous activity 3 days per week [29].

Nutrition

Adherence to the Mediterranean diet, as a nutrition primary endpoint, was measured using the validated 14-point Mediterranean Diet Adherence Screener (MEDAS) [30], developed by the PREMID study group. The 14-item screener includes 12 questions on food consumption frequency and two questions on food intake habits considered characteristic of the Spanish Mediterranean diet. Each question was scored as 0 or 1, and the total score ranged from 0 to 14. Adequate adherence to the Mediterranean diet was assumed when the total score was ≥ 9 points.

Statistical Analysis

Estimation of sample size was made for the main study endpoints. Assuming alpha=.05 and beta=.20, with a SD 154 counts/minute, we would need 828 participants (414 per group) to detect an increase of 30 counts/minute, we would need 828 participants (414 per group) to detect an increase of 0.5 points. We considered it sufficient to include 833 participants in order to detect clinically relevant differences in the main study endpoints.

The results were expressed as mean and standard deviation for quantitative variables and as the frequency distribution for qualitative variables. Analysis of the results was made on an intention-to-treat basis. The chi-square test and Fisher test were used to analyze the association between independent qualitative variables. The Student t test was used for the comparison of means between two groups and the paired t test was applied to assess changes within the same group. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used for comparison of means between more than two groups. In order to analyze the effect of the intervention, we compared the changes observed between the counseling only group and app+counseling groups by ANCOVA test, adjusting for baseline measures of each variable. To evaluate the effect of adherence to the app in the increase of PA measured by the accelerometer, we performed a multivariate analysis based on the general lineal model, adjusting the results for age and sex. The intraclass correlation coefficients of outcome data for centers were for MET-minutes/week in leisure time (7 day PAR; p=.009), for total moderate-to-vigorous PA (MVPA) time/week (accelerometer; p=.002), and for the score of adherence to Mediterranean diet (MEDAS; p=.009). The contrasting of hypotheses established alpha=.05. The data were analyzed using SPSS version 23.0 (IBM Corp, Armonk, NY, USA). A value of P=<.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Baseline Characteristics of the Participants and Follow-Up

The 833 participants were predominantly females in both the app+counseling (249/415, 60.0%) and counseling only (268/418, 64.1%) groups, with a mean age of 51.4 (SD 12.1) and 52.3 (SD 12.0) years, respectively. Likewise, no differences were observed between the two groups in terms of the other demographic and clinical characteristics (Table 1). Regarding PA evaluated with the 7-day PAR, we found no significant difference between the groups; app+counseling reached a mean 864.6 (SD 1407.7) MET-minutes/week, whereas the counseling only group reached a mean 865.8 (SD 1330.6) MET-minutes/week (P=.34). For the parameters analyzed with the accelerometer, the results were also similar in both groups (Table 2). For adherence to the Mediterranean diet, the mean score was 7.6 (SD 2.1) in the app+counseling group and mean 7.4 (SD 1.9) in the counseling only group, with an adequate adherence rate of 34.2% (142/315) in the app+counseling group versus 28.5% (119/318) in the counseling only group (P=.09) (Table 2 and Multimedia Appendix 1).
| Variable                          | App+counseling (n=415) | Counseling only (n=418) | P value |
|----------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|---------|
| **Age (years), mean (SD)**       | 51.4 (12.1)            | 52.3 (12.0)             | .28     |
| **Gender (female), n (%)**       | 249 (60.0)             | 268 (64.1)              | .23     |
| **Work situation, n (%)**        |                        |                         | .25     |
| Works outside home               | 228 (54.9)             | 203 (48.6)              |         |
| Homemaker                        | 53 (12.8)              | 72 (17.2)               |         |
| Retired                          | 77 (18.6)              | 89 (21.3)               |         |
| Student                          | 10 (2.4)               | 8 (1.9)                 |         |
| Unemployed                       | 47 (11.3)              | 46 (11.0)               |         |
| **Educational level, n (%)**     |                        |                         |         |
| University studies               | 117 (28.2)             | 132 (31.6)              | .42     |
| Middle or high school            | 208 (50.1)             | 208 (49.8)              |         |
| Elementary school                | 90 (21.7)              | 78 (18.7)               |         |
| **Smoking, n (%)**               |                        |                         | .20     |
| Nonsmoker                        | 190 (45.8)             | 166 (39.7)              |         |
| Smoker                           | 94 (22.7)              | 108 (25.8)              |         |
| Former smoker                    | 131 (31.6)             | 144 (34.4)              |         |
| **Waist circumference (cm), mean (SD)** | 95.2 (13.2)         | 94.8 (13.1)             | .71     |
| **BMI (kg/m²), mean (SD)**       | 28.1 (5.1)             | 27.6 (4.59)             | .14     |
| **BMI category, n (%)**          |                        |                         | .50     |
| <25                              | 117 (28.2)             | 131 (31.3)              |         |
| 25-30                            | 172 (41.4)             | 173 (41.4)              |         |
| >30                              | 126 (30.4)             | 114 (27.3)              |         |
| **Systolic blood pressure (mmHg), mean (SD)** | 124 (16)               | 124 (17)                | .75     |
| **Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg), mean (SD)** | 76 (10)               | 76 (10)                 | .41     |
| **Total cholesterol (mg/dL), mean (SD)** | 202 (35)               | 206 (37)                | .08     |
| **Triglycerides (mg/dL), mean (SD)** | 112 (63)               | 107 (67)                | .29     |
| **Glycated hemoglobin (%), mean (SD)** | 5.5 (0.5)              | 5.5 (0.6)               | .87     |
| **Hypertension, n (%)**          | 145 (34.9)             | 133 (31.8)              | .34     |
| **Dyslipidemia, n (%)**          | 116 (28.2)             | 113 (27.3)              | .77     |
| **Diabetes, n (%)**              | 32 (7.7)               | 30 (7.2)                | .77     |
| **Medication use, n (%)**        |                        |                         |         |
| Antihypertensive drugs           | 108 (26.0)             | 95 (22.7)               | .29     |
| Lipid-lowering drugs             | 90 (21.7)              | 74 (17.7)               | .16     |
| Antidiabetic drugs               | 24 (5.8)               | 28 (6.7)                | .67     |
| **Physical activity stage of change, n (%)** | 57 (14.0)             | 73 (17.7)               | .35     |
| Precontemplation                 | 28 (6.9)               | 38 (9.2)                |         |
| Contemplation                    | 58 (14.2)              | 51 (12.4)               |         |
| Action                           | 30 (7.4)               | 26 (6.3)                |         |
| Maintenance                      | 235 (57.6)             | 224 (54.4)              | .91     |

*Physical activity stage of change, n (%)*
Table 2. Baseline physical activity by 7-day Physical Activity Recall (PAR) questionnaire and accelerometer data (N=833).

| Measures of physical activity                              | App+counseling (n=415) | Counseling only (n=418) | P value |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|---------|
| 7-day PAR<sup>a</sup>                                        |                        |                         |         |
| Total minutes moderate activity, mean (SD)                  | 152.7 (264.8)          | 154.9 (258.2)           | .90     |
| Total minutes moderate activity in leisure time, mean (SD)  | 131.2 (213.1)          | 148.0 (249.4)           | .29     |
| Total minutes vigorous/very vigorous activity, mean (SD)    | 29.9 (99.2)            | 30.0 (106.2)            | .98     |
| Total minutes vigorous/very vigorous activity in leisure time, mean (SD) | 28.0 (97.1)          | 27.9 (98.2)             | .99     |
| Total minutes moderate vigorous/very vigorous activity, mean (SD) | 182.6 (293.0)          | 184.9 (284.7)           | .91     |
| Total minutes moderate vigorous/very vigorous activity in leisure time, mean (SD) | 159.1 (228.9)          | 175.9 (271.6)           | .33     |
| MET-minutes/week, mean (SD)                                 | 864.6 (1407.8)         | 865.8 (1330.6)          | .99     |
| MET-minutes/week in leisure time, mean (SD)                 | 764.4 (1119.7)         | 825.6 (1263.3)          | .46     |
| Active,<sup>b</sup> n (%)                                   | 114 (27.5)             | 118 (28.2)              | .82     |
| Accelerometer                                               |                        |                         |         |
| Steps/day, mean (SD)                                        | 9992.3 (3847.3)        | 9708.3 (3930.9)         | .31     |
| Counts minute/week, mean (SD)                               | 69.0 (70.4)            | 65.9 (69.4)             | .54     |
| Sedentary minutes/week, mean (SD)                           | 8327.0 (540.4)         | 8341.4 (526.0)          | .71     |
| Light minutes/week, mean (SD)                               | 1298.3 (436.9)         | 1307.2 (423.2)          | .77     |
| Moderate minutes/week, mean (SD)                            | 438.0 (205.4)          | 413.3 (212.6)           | .10     |
| Vigorous/very vigorous minutes/week, mean (SD)              | 16.7 (38.9)            | 18.2 (45.8)             | .62     |
| Total MVPA<sup>c</sup> minutes/week, mean (SD)              | 455.4 (215.9)          | 432.7 (222.5)           | .15     |
| MET-minutes/week, mean (SD)                                 | 1850.8 (891.7)         | 1762.6 (922.0)          | .18     |
| >450 MET-minutes/week, n (%)                                | 368 (96.6)             | 373 (94.4)              | .15     |

<sup>a</sup> MET: metabolic equivalent

<sup>b</sup> Active were considered as those doing at least 30 minutes of moderate activity for 5 days a week, or at least 20 minutes of vigorous activity for 3 days a week.

<sup>c</sup> MVPA: moderate-to-vigorous physical activity.

Of the 833 participants included in the study, 36 of 415 (8.6%) in the app+counseling group were lost at the 3-month time point versus 32 of 418 (7.6%) in the counseling only group (Figure 1).

**Changes in Physical Activity and Adherence to the Mediterranean Diet**

Based on data from the 7-day PAR, both groups increased their PA after 3 months, although only the app+counseling group reached statistical significance for the criteria leisure-time moderate activity (mean 28, 95% CI 6-50 minutes/week) and leisure-time MVPA (mean 29, 95% CI 5-53 minutes/week). Although the increase in activity in the app+counseling group was greater than in the counseling only group for all analyzed variables, no significant differences were observed between the two groups (Table 3). In relation to PA evaluated with the accelerometer, we recorded a decrease in daily steps, counts/minute, and time spent at the different levels of activity.
(except for vigorous/very vigorous activity) with an increase in sedentary time in both groups. Furthermore, no differences were observed when comparing the changes between the two groups (Table 2). Both groups increased adherence to the Mediterranean diet to a similar degree after 3 months versus baseline (app+counseling: 8.4%; counseling only: 10.4%), with an increase in overall score of mean 0.42 (95% CI 0.24-0.60) points in the app+counseling group and mean 0.53 (95% CI 0.35-0.71) points in the counseling only group (Table 4).

### Table 3. Changes in physical activity and sedentary lifestyle at 3 months compared to baseline.

| Measures of physical activity | App+counseling (n=379) Mean (95% CI) | Counseling group (n=386) Mean (95% CI) | Mean difference (app+counseling—counseling group) P |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| 7-day PAR<sup>a</sup> | | | |
| Total minutes moderate activity/week | 20.6 (–8.1, 49.2) .16 | 8.3 (–18.6, 35.2) .54 | 10.7 (–23.8, 45.2) .54 |
| Minutes moderate activity in leisure minutes/week | 28.4 (6.0, 50.8) .01 | 12.8 (–13.2, 38.8) .33 | 7.8 (–23.5, 39.2) .62 |
| Total minutes vigorous/very vigorous activity/week | 2.8 (–7.6, 13.1) .60 | –0.7 (–10.0, 8.7) .89 | 3.5 (–8.3, 15.2) .56 |
| Minutes vigorous/very vigorous activity in leisure minutes/week | 0.7 (–9.5, 10.9) .89 | –1.1 (–10.3, 8.1) .82 | 1.9 (–9.1, 13.0) .73 |
| Total minutes MVPA<sup>c</sup>/week | 23.3 (–5.4, 52.1) .11 | 7.7 (–19.8, 35.2) .58 | 14.2 (–20.1, 48.5) .42 |
| Minutes MVPA in leisure minutes/week | 29.1 (4.9, 53.3) .02 | 11.7 (–14.6, 38.1) .38 | 9.5 (–22.6, 41.6) .56 |
| MET<sup>b</sup>-minutes/week | 88.8 (–42.8, 220.3) .18 | 14.5 (–108.7, 137.8) .82 | 72.4 (–76.4, 221.2) .34 |
| MET-minutes/week in leisure time | 110.5 (–5.0, 225.9) .06 | 26.9 (–90.3, 144.2) .65 | 51.5 (–90.0, 192.9) .47 |
| Accelerometer<sup>e</sup> | | | |
| Steps/day | –1042.1 (–1401.7, –682.6) <.001 | –584.2 (–961.2, –207.1) .002 | –354.6 (–833.7, 124.4) .15 |
| Counts minutes/week | –12.9 (–18.6, –7.3) <.001 | –6.8 (–13.3, –0.3) .04 | –5.1 (–12.7, 2.5) .19 |
| Sedentary minutes/week | 167.7 (114.9, 220.5) <.001 | 125.6 (73.7, 177.6) <.001 | 32.9 (–37.6, 103.3) .36 |
| Light minutes/week | –113.0 (–154.4, –71.6) <.001 | –96.6 (–137.3, –55.8) <.001 | –14.3 (–69.6, 41.1) .61 |
| Moderate minute /week | –51.3 (–71.3, –31.4) <.001 | –26.3 (–47.0, –5.5) .01 | –14.8 (–40.8, 11.1) .26 |
| Vigorous very vigorous minutes/week | –3.4 (–6.9, .0.2) .06 | –2.8 (–6.7, 1.0) .15 | –1.1 (–5.6, 3.4) .62 |
| Total MVPA minutes/week | –55.3 (–75.8, –34.9) <.001 | –30.1 (–51.8, –8.4) .01 | –15.9 (–42.8, 10.9) .24 |
| MET-minutes/week | –229.3 (–313.2, –145.4) <.001 | –118.6 (–208.6, –28.7) .01 | 74.9 (–186.1, 36.2) .19 |

<sup>a</sup> 7-day PAR: 7-day Physical Activity Recall;

<sup>b</sup> MET: metabolic equivalent

<sup>c</sup> MVPA: moderate-to-vigorous physical activity.

<sup>d</sup> Changes in app+counseling and counseling only group=data at 3 months–baseline.

<sup>e</sup> In accelerometer measurement, 335 participants in app+counseling group and 344 in counseling only group.
Table 4. Changes in the Mediterranean diet at 3 months compared to baseline.

| Criteria Mediterranean diet                                                                 | App+counseling\(a\) (n=379) | Counseling\(a\) (n=386) | Mean difference (app+counseling–counseling) |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
|                                                                                              | % Mean (95% CI)             | % Mean (95% CI)          | % Mean (95% CI)                             |
| Using olive oil as the principal source of fat for cooking                                   | 3.2 (0.9, 5.5)              | 2.6 (0.4, 4.8)           | 0.6 (–2.6, 3.7)                             |
| ≥4 tbsp (54 g) of olive oil/day (eg, used in frying, salads, meals eaten away from home)     | 0.5 (–4.4, 5.5)             | 2.1 (–2.8, 6.9)          | –1.6 (–8.5, 5.4)                            |
| <0.017.6 (2.9, 12.2) 0.010.4 (–6.0, 6.8) 0.902 or more servings of vegetables/day            | 8.2 (3.1, 13.3)             | 12.3 (7.2, 17.4)         | –4.1 (–11.3, 3.2)                           |
| ≥1 serving of red meat or sausage/day 8.0 (3.5, 12.4)                                       | 1.6 (–2.6, 5.8)             | 3.6 (0.1, 7.2)           | –2.1 (–7.5, 3.4)                            |
| ≥3 or more pieces of fruit/day                                                                |                             |                          |                                             |
| 1 serving of animal fat/day                                                                  | 0.8 (–2.2, 3.8)             | 0.8 (–2.1, 3.7)          | 0.0 (–4.1, 4.1)                             |
| 1 cup (100 mL) of sugar-sweetened beverages/day                                              | 2.1 (–1.2, 5.4)             | 1.3 (–2.1, 4.8)          | 0.8 (–4.0, 5.6)                             |
| ≥7 servings of red wine/week                                                                 | –0.3 (–3.4, 2.9)            | 0.3 (–2.1, 2.6)          | –0.5 (–4.5, 3.4)                            |
| ≥3 servings of legumes/week                                                                  | –3.4 (–8.1, 1.2)            | –1.6 (–5.5, 2.3)         | –1.9 (–7.9, 4.1)                            |
| ≥3 servings of fish/week                                                                      | 5.3 (0.5, 10.1)             | 3.9 (–0.8, 8.6)          | .01 (1.4,–5.3)                              |
| <2 commercial pastries/week                                                                  | 6.9 (1.5, 12.2)             | 8.6 (3.6, 13.7)          | –1.7 (–9.1, 5.6)                            |
| ≥3 servings of nuts/week                                                                     | 2.1 (–2.9, 7.1)             | 4.7 (–0.2, 9.5)          | –2.6 (–9.5, 4.4)                            |
| Preferring white meat over red meat?                                                         | 6.4 (1.4, 11.3)             | 10.4 (6.3, 14.6)         | –4.1 (–10.5, 2.4)                           |
| ≥2 servings/week of a dish with a traditional sauce of tomatoes, garlic, onion, or leeks      | 3.4 (–1.8, 8.7)             | –0.5 (–5.9, 4.9)         | 4.0 (–3.6, 11.5)                            |
| sautéed in olive oil                                                                         |                             |                          |                                             |
| Study participants with a total score ≥9 points                                              | 8.4 (3.4, 13.5)             | 10.4 (5.0, 15.8)         | –1.9 (–9.3, 5.5)                            |
| Difference Mediterranean diet score                                                          | 0.42 (0.24, 0.60)           | 0.53 (0.35, 0.71)        | –0.02 (–0.25, 0.21)                         |

\(a\) Changes in app+counseling and counseling only group=data at 3 months–baseline.

**Adherence to the Mobile Phone App**

In the app+counseling group, 56.8% (236/315) of participants used the mobile phone for more than 60 days. Although there was a decrease in PA after 3 months, as evaluated by the accelerometer in both groups, the decrease was less pronounced in the group that used the mobile phone most (>60 days). This group showed a net increase in the time of moderate PA of mean 42.9 (95% CI 1.8-83.9) minutes/week and MVPA of mean 44.0 (95% CI 2.1-86.0) minutes/week, and a net decrease in sedentary time of mean 126.1 (95% CI 18.9-233.4) minutes/week. We also recorded a net increase in counts/minute of mean 766.6 (95% CI 26.2-1506.9) (Figure 2).

**Analysis by Subgroups**

In the male subgroup, we observed a greater decrease in MVPA by accelerometry in the app+counseling than counseling only group (Multimedia Appendixes 2 and 3). In the female subgroup, we observed an increase in leisure-time moderate physical activity as evaluated with the 7-day PAR in the app+counseling versus counseling only group, although the statistical significance was lost after adjusting for baseline data (Multimedia Appendix 4).
Figure 2. Adherence to the mobile phone app. Changes in physical activity evaluated with the accelerometer, according to adherence to the mobile phone app (number of days with a record in the app). Higher adherence (>60 days): 56.8%, 236/415; lower adherence (≤60 days): 43.1%, 179/415. (0-6 days: 17.8%, 74/415; 7-30 days: 10.3%, 43/415; 31-60 days: 14.9%, 62/415).

Discussion

Principal Findings

Although some randomized controlled clinical trials have analyzed the effect of mobile phone apps in promoting healthy lifestyles, the EVIDENT II trial has included the largest number of participants (N=833) and also has the longest follow-up (12 months). The main findings at short-term follow-up (3 months) were an increase in PA as evaluated by the 7-day PAR in both groups (although greater in app+counseling), and an increase in the time dedicated to leisure-time moderate/vigorous activities. However, assessment with the accelerometer revealed a similar decrease in PA in both groups. Adherence to the Mediterranean diet was seen to increase in both groups, as evidenced by the MEDAS score. Lastly, in the accelerometer analysis, the participants in the app+counseling group that most used the app showed a net increase in MVPA time and a net decrease in sedentary time.

Comparison With Prior Work

At this time, there is still no conclusive evidence of the effectiveness of apps for mobile phones in improving lifestyles. In this regard, the meta-analysis published by Flores et al [16] found that interventions with apps had some impact in terms of weight loss (mean BMI 0.43 kg/m²), although no improvement in terms of increased PA was observed. Partridge et al [31], in a sample of 250 participants between ages 18 and 35 years, evaluated PA using the International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ), with results similar to those obtained in our study with the 7-day PAR. In both cases, there was a greater increase in PA in the intervention group versus the control group, although the differences between them were not significant. In turn, Laing et al [17], in a randomized controlled study of 212 overweight individuals with a mean age of 43 years, found the use of an app (MyFitnessPal) had no impact on either weight loss or increased PA as assessed by questionnaire.

However, the SMART MOVE study [32], which had 90 participants (45 in each group), PA was assessed from the steps estimated by the mobile phone pedometer and an increase was recorded after 8 weeks in the intervention group (1631 steps), whereas a decrease was observed in the control group (−386 steps). The baseline values in the two groups were 4365 and 5138 steps/day, respectively. In the baseline evaluation of the EVIDENT II study, the mean number of steps/day as determined with the accelerometer was 9992 in the app+counseling group and 9708 in the counseling only group. This was followed by a decrease in both groups after 3 months, possibly because the baseline values were very high. We have found no studies involving accelerometer interventions in adults with the published data being limited to younger participants. Direito et al [18] compared two intervention groups using two different apps with a control group. Physical activity, evaluated with an accelerometer, decreased in both the control group and in one of the intervention groups after 8 weeks coinciding with the findings of the EVIDENT study, which saw practically no changes in the data assessed with the Physical Activity Questionnaire for Adolescents (PAQ-A). The decrease in accelerometer recordings is probably attributable to a Hawthorne
effect associated with utilization of the device—the increase in usual activity being more evident at baseline than after 3 months due to a certain loss of effect. This circumstance could limit the usefulness of the accelerometer in evaluating the effect of the interventions, despite the method being objective.

In the EVIDENT trial, nutritional counseling was seen to increase the overall score of adherence to the Mediterranean diet. Counseling was standardized in both groups with all participants receiving an informative leaflet [20]. This type of nutritional counseling has shown improvements in food habits, with a moderate increase in the consumption of fruit, vegetables, and fiber, especially when written materials are supplied in support of counseling [13]. However, the added use of an app did not result in significant differences between the overall groups or subgroups. There is little evidence of the effectiveness of apps in improving food habits and, in general, the results obtained are modest and come from studies with small sample sizes [33].

Nevertheless, the use of new technologies achieved some change in a study of young individuals aged between 18 and 35 years, with a slight increase in vegetable intake and a decrease in the consumption of sugared beverages [31]. Furthermore, a lesser calorie and fat intake was recorded, resulting in increased weight loss [33]. On the other hand, Coughlin et al [33] considered that heterogeneity in the functional characteristics of the different apps makes it more difficult to draw conclusions and to estimate the magnitude of their effect. In turn, Wang et al [34] suggested that effectiveness can be increased by orienting these tools toward personalized needs, such as self-education and the gaining of awareness of personal food intake. In this regard, one of the novelties of the EVIDENT app is the incorporation of weekly notifications on the benefits and characteristics of the consumption of vegetables, fruit, olive oil, fish, and tomato sauce prepared with vegetables and olive oil—all being traditional ingredients of the Mediterranean diet.

Limitations

Our study also has several limitations. The nature of the intervention precludes blinding of the participants and this could influence the results obtained. Also, the main findings of the study are based on self-reported information for adherence to both the Mediterranean diet and to PA. Lastly, the recorded loss rate of close to 10% may have biased the study sample composition to some extent because certain populations may have experienced difficulties using the app and consequently decided to leave the study. During the study period, although we recommended not using other apps or wearables that register nutrition and PA, we have no total guarantee that any participant did not use them.

Conclusions

Physical activity, evaluated with the 7-day PAR, increased more in the app+counseling group than the counseling only group for leisure-time MVPA, although no difference was found when comparing the increase between the two groups. Improved adherence to the app appears to be associated with better results in terms of PA evaluated with the accelerometer. Counseling accompanied by printed materials appears to be effective in improving adherence to the Mediterranean diet, although the app for mobile phones did not increase effectiveness. Further studies are needed to determine which population subgroups may benefit most from interventions based on information and communication technologies.

Acknowledgments

EVIDENT Investigators Group

1. Coordinating center: La Alamedilla Health Center (Health Service of Castilla y León): Luis García-Ortiz, Jose I Recio-Rodriguez, Manuel a. Gómez-Marcos, Emiliano Rodríguez-Sánchez, Jose A Maderuelo-Fernández, Jose A Iglesias-Valiente, Maria C Patino-Alonso, Diana Pérez-Arechaederra, Sara Mora-Simón, Cristina Agudo-Conde, Maria C Castaño-Sánchez, Carmela Rodríguez-Martín, Benigna Sánchez-Salgado, Angela de Cabo-Laso, Rosa Alonso-Domínguez, and Natalia Sánchez-Aguadero.

2. Collaborating centers: Passeig de Sant Joan Health Center (Catalan Health Service): Carlos Martín-Cantera, Jose Canales-Reina, Epifanía Rodrigo de Pablo, Maria L Lasosaa-Medina, Maria J Calvo-Aponte, A Rodríguez-Franco, Carmen Martín-Borras, Anna Puig-Ribera, Ruben Colominas-Garrido, and Elisa Puigdomenech Puig.

3. Ca N’Oriac Health Center (Catalan Health Service): Monserrat Romaguera-Bosch.

4. Sant Roc Health Center (Catalan Health Service): Sandra Maneus.

5. Río Tajo Health Center (Health Service of Castilla-La Mancha): Yolanda Schmolling-Guinovart, Beatriz Rodríguez-Martín, Alicia Fernández del Río, José A Fernández-Díaz, José B Calderón-Ubeda, José L Menéndez-Obregón, Antonio Segura-Fragoso, Carmen Zabala-Baños, Vicente Martínez-Vizcaíno, and Maria Martínez-Andrés.

6. Casa de Barco Health Center (Health Service of Castilla y León): Maria C Fernández-Alonso, Amparo Gómez-Arranz, Aventina de la Cal de la Fuente, Marta Menéndez-Suarez, Irene Repiso-Gento.

7. San Pablo Health Center (Health Service of Castilla y León): Maria I Arranz-Hernando, Maria I Pérez-Concejo, Maria A Alonso-Manjarres, Maria E Villarroya, Maria J Arribas de Rodrigo, Margarita Pérez de Lis, Maria D de Arribá-Gómez, and Maria M López-Arroyo.
8. Torre Ramona Health Center (Health Service of Aragón): Natividad González-Viejo, Jose F Magdalena-Belio, Luis Otegui-Ilarduya, Francisco J Rubio-Galán, Amor Melguizo-Bejar, Ines Sauras-Yera, Maria J Gil-Train, Marta Iribarne-Ferrer, Olga Magdalena-González, and Miguel A Lafuente-Ripolles.

9. Primary Care Research Unit of Bizkaia (Basque Health Service-Osakidetza): Gonzalo Grandes, Álvaro Sanchez, Verónica Arce, Maria S Arietaleanizbeasoa, Nere Mendizabal, and Eguskiñe Iturregui-San Nicolas.

10. CGB Computer Company, Salamanca, Spain (contribution to technical development of the app EVIDENT II).

11. Funding/Support: This study was funded by the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation (MICINN), the Carlos III Health Institute/European Regional Development Fund (ERDF) (MICINN, ISCIII/FEDER) (FIS: PI13/00618, PI13/01526, PI13/00058, PI13/01635, PI13/02528, PI12/01474; RETICS: RD12/0005, RD16/0007), the Regional Health Management of Castilla y León (GRS 1191/B/15, GRS 909/B/14, GRS 770/B/13), and the Infosalud Foundation. They played no role in the study design, data analysis, reporting of results, or decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

Authors’ Contributions
Conception and design: José I Recio-Rodríguez, Jose A Maderuelo-Fernandez, Emiliano Rodriguez-Sanchez, Manuel A Gómez-Marcos, and Luis García-Ortiz. Drafting of the paper: José I Recio-Rodríguez and Luis García-Ortiz. Analysis and interpretation of the data: Luis García-Ortiz and Jose A Maderuelo-Fernandez. Critical revision of the paper for important intellectual content: José I Recio-Rodríguez, Jose A Maderuelo-Fernandez, Emiliano Rodriguez-Sanchez, Manuel A Gómez-Marcos, and Luis García-Ortiz. Final approval of the paper: José I Recio-Rodríguez, Cristina Agudo Conde, Carlos Martín-Cantera, Natividad González-Viejo, María Carmen Fernandez Alonso, María S Arietaleanizbeasoa, Yolanda Schmolling-Guinovart, Jose A Maderuelo-Fernandez, Emiliano Rodriguez-Sanchez, Manuel A Gómez-Marcos, and Luis García-Ortiz. Statistical expertise: Luis García-Ortiz and Jose A Maderuelo-Fernandez. Collection and assembly of data: José I Recio-Rodríguez, Cristina Agudo Conde, Carlos Martín-Cantera, Natividad González-Viejo, María Carmen Fernandez Alonso, María S Arietaleanizbeasoa, and Yolanda Schmolling-Guinovart.

Conflicts of Interest
None declared.

Multimedia Appendix 1
Baseline adherence to the Mediterranean diet.
[PDF File (Adobe PDF File), 25KB-Multimedia Appendix 1]

Multimedia Appendix 2
Subgroup analyses by age, gender, baseline physical activity and weight.
[PDF File (Adobe PDF File), 32KB-Multimedia Appendix 2]

Multimedia Appendix 3
Subgroup analyses by sociodemographic variables.
[PDF File (Adobe PDF File), 33KB-Multimedia Appendix 3]

Multimedia Appendix 4
7-day PAR in university women subgroup.
[PDF File (Adobe PDF File), 25KB-Multimedia Appendix 4]

References
1. Reiner M, Niermann C, Jekauc D, Woll A. Long-term health benefits of physical activity--a systematic review of longitudinal studies. BMC Public Health 2013;13:813 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1186/1471-2458-13-813] [Medline: 24010994]

2. Samitz G, Egger M, Zwahlen M. Domains of physical activity and all-cause mortality: systematic review and dose-response meta-analysis of cohort studies. Int J Epidemiol 2011 Oct;40(5):1382-1400 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1093/ije/dyr112] [Medline: 22039197]

3. Löllgen H, Böckenhoff A, Knapp G. Physical activity and all-cause mortality: an updated meta-analysis with different intensity categories. Int J Sports Med 2009 Mar;30(3):213-224. [doi: 10.1055/s-0028-1128150] [Medline: 19199202]
4. Kodama S, Tanaka S, Heianza Y, Fujihara K, Horikawa C, Shimano H, et al. Association between physical activity and risk of all-cause mortality and cardiovascular disease in patients with diabetes: a meta-analysis. Diabetes Care 2013 Feb;36(2):471-479 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.2337/dc12-0783] [Medline: 23349151]

5. Rossi A, Dikareva A, Bacon SL, Daskalopoulou SS. The impact of physical activity on mortality in patients with high blood pressure: a systematic review. J Hypertens 2012 Jul;30(7):1277-1288. [doi: 10.1097/HJH.0b013e3283544669] [Medline: 22573122]

6. Hallal PC, Andersen LB, Bull FC, Guthold R, Haskell W, Ekelund U, Lancet Physical Activity Series Working Group. Global physical activity levels: surveillance progress, pitfalls, and prospects. Lancet 2012 Jul 21;380(9838):247-257. [doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(12)60646-1] [Medline: 22818937]

7. Tucker JM, Welk GJ, Beyler NK. Physical activity levels in US: adults using the Physical Activity Guidelines for Americans. Am J Prev Med 2011 Apr;40(4):454-461. [doi: 10.1016/j.amepre.2010.12.016] [Medline: 21406280]

8. García-Ortíz L, Recio-Rodríguez JJ, Schmidt-Trucksäss A, Puigdomenech-Puig E, Martínez-Vizcaíno V, Fernández-Alonso C, et al. Relationship between objectively measured physical activity and cardiovascular aging in the general population--the EVIDENT trial. Atherosclerosis 2014 Apr;233(2):434-440. [doi: 10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2014.01.021] [Medline: 24530775]

9. Sanchez A, Bully P, Martinez C, Grandes G. Effectiveness of physical activity promotion interventions in primary care: a review of reviews. Prev Med 2015 Jul;76 Suppl:S56-S67. [doi: 10.1016/j.ypmed.2014.12.011] [Medline: 25263343]

10. Estruch R, Ros E, Salas-Salvado J, Covas M, Corella D, Arós F, et al. Primary prevention of cardiovascular disease with a Mediterranean diet. N Engl J Med 2013 Apr 4;368(14):1279-1290. [doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1200303] [Medline: 23432189]

11. Schwingshackl L, Missbach B, König J, Hoffmann G. Adherence to a Mediterranean diet and risk of death: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Public Health Nutr 2015 May;18(7):1292-1299. [doi: 10.1017/S1368980014001542] [Medline: 25145972]

12. Patino-Alonso MC, Recio-Rodríguez JJ, Belío-Magdalena JF, Colominas-Garrido R, Lema-Bartolomé J, Arranz-Gomez A, et al. Factors associated with adherence to the Mediterranean diet in the adult population. J Acad Nutr Diet 2014 Apr;114(4):583-589. [doi: 10.1016/j.jand.2013.07.038] [Medline: 24209889]

13. Maderuelo-Fernandez JA, Recio-Rodriguez A, Pérez-Arechaederra D, Rodriguez-Sanchez E, Gomez-Marcos MA, et al. Effectiveness of interventions applicable to primary health care settings to promote Mediterranean diet or healthy eating adherence in adults: A systematic review. Prev Med 2015 Jul;76 Suppl:S39-S55. [doi: 10.1016/j.ypmed.2014.12.011] [Medline: 25254613]

14. Kanem-Boulos MN, Wheeler S, Tavares C, Jones R. How smartphones are changing the face of mobile and participatory healthcare: an overview, with example from eCAALYX. Biomed Eng Online 2011;10:24 [FREE Full text] [Medline: 21466669]

15. Higgins JP. Smartphone applications for patients’ health and fitness. Am J Med 2016 Jan;129(1):11-19. [doi: 10.1016/j.amjmed.2015.05.038] [Medline: 26091764]

16. Flores-Mateo G, Granado-Font E, Ferré-Grau C, Montaña-Carreras X. Mobile phone apps to promote weight loss and increase physical activity: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Med Internet Res 2015;17(11):e253 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.2196/jmir.4836] [Medline: 26553414]

17. Laing BY, Mangione CM, Tseng C, Leng M, Vaisberg E, Mahida M, et al. Effectiveness of a smartphone application for weight loss compared with usual care for overweight primary care patients: a randomized, controlled trial. Ann Intern Med 2014 Nov 18;161(10 Suppl):S5-S12. [doi: 10.7326/M13-3005] [Medline: 25402403]

18. Direito A, Jiang Y, Whittaker R, Maddison R. Apps for IMProving FITness and increasing physical activity among young people: the AIMFIT pragmatic randomized controlled trial. J Med Internet Res 2015;17(8):e210 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.2196/jmir.4568] [Medline: 26316499]

19. Modave F, Bian J, Leavitt T, Bromwell J, Harris IC, Vincent H. Low Quality of Free Coaching Apps With Respect to the American College of Sports Medicine Guidelines: A Review of Current Mobile Apps. JMIR Mhealth Uhealth 2015;3(3):e77 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.2196/mhealth.4669] [Medline: 26209109]

20. Recio-Rodriguez JJ, Martín-Cantera C, González-Viejo N, Gómez-Arranz A, Arietaleanizbeascoa MS, Schmollling-Guinovart Y, et al. Effectiveness of a smartphone application for improving healthy lifestyles, a randomized clinical trial (EVIDENT II): study protocol. BMC Public Health 2014;14:254 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1186/1471-2458-14-254] [Medline: 24628961]

21. García-Ortíz L, Recio-Rodríguez JJ, Martín-Cantera C, Cabreras-Sánchez A, Gómez-Arranz A, González-Viejo N, et al. Physical exercise, fitness and dietary pattern and their relationship with circadian blood pressure pattern, augmentation index and endothelial dysfunction biological markers: EVIDENT study protocol. BMC Public Health 2010;10:233 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1186/1471-2458-10-233] [Medline: 20459634]

22. World Medical Association. World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki: ethical principles for medical research involving human subjects. JAMA 2013 Nov 27;310(20):2191-2194. [doi: 10.1001/jama.2013.281053] [Medline: 24141714]

23. Grandes G, Sanchez A, Ortega-Sanchez-Pinilla R, Torcal J, Montoya I, Lizarraza K, et al. Effectiveness of physical activity advice and prescription by physicians in routine primary care: a cluster randomized trial. Arch Intern Med 2009 Apr 13;169(7):694-701. [doi: 10.1001/archinternmed.2009.23] [Medline: 19364999]
24. Matthews CE, Keadle SK, Sampson J, Lyden K, Bowles HR, Moore SC, et al. Validation of a previous-day recall measure of active and sedentary behaviors. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2013 Aug;45(8):1629-1638 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1249/MSS.0b013e3182897690] [Medline: 23863547]

25. Melanson EL, Freedson PS. Validity of the Computer Science and Applications, Inc (CSA) activity monitor. Med Sci Sports Exerc 1995 Jun;27(6):934-940. [Medline: 7658958]

26. Plasqui G, Westerterp KR. Physical activity assessment with accelerometers: an evaluation against doubly labeled water. Obesity (Silver Spring) 2007 Oct;15(10):2371-2379 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1089/oby.2007.281] [Medline: 17925461]

27. Chen KY, Bassett DR. The technology of accelerometry-based activity monitors: current and future. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2005 Nov;37(11 Suppl):S490-S500. [Medline: 16294112]

28. Freedson PS, Melanson E, Sirard J. Calibration of the Computer Science and Applications, Inc accelerometer. Med Sci Sports Exerc 1998 May;30(5):777-781. [Medline: 9588623]

29. Haskell WL, Lee IM, Pate RR, Powell KE, Blair SN, Franklin BA, et al. Physical activity and public health: updated recommendation for adults from the American College of Sports Medicine and the American Heart Association. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2007 Aug;39(8):1423-1434. [doi: 10.1249/mss.0b013e3180616b27] [Medline: 17762377]

30. Schröder H, Fitó M, Estruch R, Martínez-González MA, Corella D, Salas-Salvadó J, et al. A short screener is valid for assessing Mediterranean diet adherence among older Spanish men and women. J Nutr 2011 Jun;141(6):1140-1145 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.3945/jn.110.135566] [Medline: 21508208]

31. Partridge SR, McGeechan K, Hebden L, Balestracci K, Wong AT, Denney-Wilson E, et al. Effectiveness of a mHealth lifestyle program with telephone support (TXT2BFiT) to prevent unhealthy weight gain in young adults: randomized controlled trial. JMI R Mhealth Uhealth 2015;3(2):e66 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.2196/mhealth.4530] [Medline: 26076688]

32. Glynn LG, Hayes PS, Casey M, Glynn F, Alvarez-Iglesias A, Newell J, et al. Effectiveness of a smartphone application to promote physical activity in primary care: the SMART MOVE randomised controlled trial. Br J Gen Pract 2014 Jul;64(624):e384-e391. [doi: 10.3399/bjgp14X680461] [Medline: 24982490]

33. Coughlin SS, Whitehead M, Sheats JQ, Mastromonico J, Hardy D, Smith SA. Smartphone applications for promoting healthy diet and nutrition: a literature review. Jacobs J Food Nutr 2015;2(3):021 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.3399/jnjf.15.0033] [Medline: 26819969]

34. Wang Q, Egelandsdal B, Amdam G, Almli V, Oostindjer M. Diet and physical activity apps: perceived effectiveness by app users. JMI R Mhealth Uhealth 2016;4(2):e33. [doi: 10.2196/mhealth.5114] [Medline: 27056639]

Abbreviations

7-day PAR: 7-day Physical Activity Recall
MEDAS: Mediterranean Diet Adherence Screener
MET: metabolic equivalent
MVPA: moderate-to-vigorous physical activity
PA: physical activity

©Jose I Recio-Rodriguez, Cristina Agudo-Conde, Carlos Martin-Cantera, Mª Natividad González-Viejo, Mª Del Carmen Fernandez-Alonso, Maria Soledad Aristaleanzibeauskoa, Yolanda Schmolling-Guinovart, Jose-Angel Maderuelo-Fernandez, Emiliano Rodriguez-Sanchez, Manuel A Gomez-Marcos, Luis Garcia-Ortiz, EVIDENT Investigators. Originally published in the Journal of Medical Internet Research (http://www.jmir.org), 19.12.2016. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work, first published in the Journal of Medical Internet Research, is properly cited. The complete bibliographic information, a link to the original publication on http://www.jmir.org/, as well as this copyright and license information must be included.