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ABSTRACT: Subcutaneous xenografts represent a popular approach to evaluate efficacy of prospective molecular therapeutics in vivo. In the present study, the C-14 labeled radioactive pyrrole–imidazole (Py-Im) polyamide 1, targeted to the 5′-WGWWCW-3′ DNA sequence, was evaluated with regard to its uptake properties in subcutaneous xenografts, derived from the human tumor cell lines LNCaP (prostate), A549 (lung), and U251 (brain), respectively. Significant variation in compound tumor concentrations was seen in xenografts derived from these three cell lines. Influence of cell line grafted on systemic polyamide elimination was established. With A549, a marked variation in localization of 1 was determined between Matrigel-negative and -positive xenografts. An extensive tissue distribution analysis of 1 in wild-type animals was conducted, enabling the comparison between the xenografts and the corresponding host organs of origin.

INTRODUCTION

Cancer represents a major worldwide health problem, with nearly 1.6 million new cases estimated to occur in 2014 in the U.S. alone. The past 40 years of research and development of therapeutics brought improved patients’ survival; however, malignant neoplasias remain the second most common cause of death in the U.S., accounting for over 20% of all deaths. Cancer chemotherapy has and immunotherapy, the method of choice depending on tumor type and progression stage. Cancer chemotherapy has recently seen important conceptual advances, such as tumor-specific tissue targeting, prodrug modifications, and development of small molecule inhibitors of aberrant signaling nodes in cancer. A significant drawback of molecules targeted to tumor-specific features is the introduction of evolutionary pressure upon the cancer cells, which often results in the emergence of resistant clones. Broadly cytotoxic chemotherapeutics (e.g., cisplatin or doxorubicin) on the other hand commonly exhibit severe side effects, such as cardiotoxicity, neurotoxicity, and neutropenia.

Pyrrole–imidazole (Py-Im) polyamides are a modular class of DNA-binding small molecules capable of binding defined sequences with affinities and specificities comparable to those of DNA-binding proteins. They are cell-permeable scaffolds and have been shown to displace various transcription factors from cognate binding sites, leading to altered gene expression profiles. Inhibition of RNA pol II elongation was observed, accompanied by degradation of the large RNA pol II subunit and induction of the p53 stress response, without concomitant DNA damage. Most recently, our laboratory has transitioned to in vivo experimentation, demonstrating bioavailability and efficacy of varying Py-Im polyamides in tumor xenografts models in mouse. Antitumor effects with limited systemic toxicity were observed with the subcutaneous LNCaP prostate cancer model. Our recent C-14 based quantitation study established significant enrichment of a Py-Im polyamide in the LNCaP tumor xenograft tissue over lung and kidney. The present investigation evaluates the biodistribution of the C-14 radioactively labeled Py-Im polyamide 1 (Figure 1A) in a range of tumor xenografts, addresses the influence of xenografted cell line on systemic polyamide elimination, and provides an extended biodistribution profile of the molecule.

RESULTS

C-14 Radioactively Labeled Py-Im Polyamide 1 Exhibits Differential Uptake between Tumor Xenografts of Varying Cellular Origin. Initial experiments compared the accumulation of compound 1 in LNCaP and A549 subcutaneous tumor xenografts (Figure 1A). In order to minimize the injection-associated experimental error, both tumors were grafted on the opposing flanks of the same host animal, following the schedule displayed in Figure S11 (see Experimental Section for experimental details). A mean compound concentration of 1.04 mg/kg (0.74 μM) was measured for the LNCaP xenograft tissue, comparable with liver-associated levels of 1.12 mg/kg (Figure 1B). Strikingly, A549 tumors were found to uptake substantially lower amounts of polyamide 1 (average of 0.23 mg/kg), closely resembling the values obtained for the kidney (0.27 mg/kg) and approximately 2-fold higher than lung tissue (0.15 mg/kg). Comparisons with
Function of the Subcutaneously Grafted Cell Line. We chose to evaluate the in vivo uptake of Py-Im polyamide 1 into A549 xenografts. We employed Matrigel during engraftment (Figure SI4F). This was in striking contrast with the observations made for the same cell line grafted without Matrigel (Figure SI4A,C). Plotting of tumor-associated levels of 1 as a function of postengraftment time revealed a clear trend (Figure 4). At 3 or 4 weeks past engraftment, there was no statistically significant difference measurable between the A549 xenografts produced with or without Matrigel, with an averaged concentration of 0.23 mg/kg (0.16 μM). Five weeks past engraftment, however, a divergence became apparent. Whereas Matrigel-negative tumors showed levels of compound 1 indistinguishable from earlier time points (0.25 mg/kg), a marked increase was noted for the Matrigel-positive xenografts. A mean concentration of 0.59 mg/kg was measured (p < 0.001), with the highest value amounting to 0.89 mg/kg, therewith reaching values comparable to those obtained for LNCaP tumors (cf. Figures 1 and 2).

Extended Tissue Distribution Analysis of 1 in Wild-Type Mice. In order to obtain a more complete picture of the corresponding single-xenograft versions of the experiment were conducted for both tumor types (Figure 1C). The LNCaP single tumor experiment revealed a mildly elevated concentration with respect to the double xenograft counterpart (42%, p < 0.05), whereas the values obtained for A549 were not distinguishable between the two experiment types. Overall, Py-Im polyamide 1 localized to LNCaP (prostate) tumors at concentrations 5- to 7-fold higher than those measured with A549 (lung).

To gain deeper understanding of the phenomenon, immunohistochemical analyses were conducted, assaying for tumor-associated microvessels (Figures SI2 and SI3). Microvessel densities were indistinguishable between the two tumor types. However, LNCaP xenografts were hemorrhagic and exhibited vascular spaces with extravasated red blood cells at the microscopic level, which were absent with A549. In order to broaden the scope of the investigation, the U251 (brain) cell line was additionally evaluated in the xenograft setting with regard to uptake of 1 (Figure 2). A mean value of 0.65 mg/kg (0.47 μM) was measured. The U251-associated xenograft uptake profile was found to be distinct from both LNCaP and A549-derived tissues, which were 2.3-fold higher and 2.8-fold lower, respectively.

None of the tumor-associated levels of Py-Im polyamide 1, discussed above, exhibited a correlation with tumor size over the window analyzed (Figure SI4A–D,F).

Host Organ Levels of Py-Im Polyamide 1 as a Function of the Subcutaneously Grafted Cell Line. The major host organs kidney, liver, and lung were interrogated with regard to concentrations of 1 for all xenograft experiments and benchmarked against the naive background control (Figure 3). Kidney concentrations spanned a range from 0.22 mg/kg (naive control and A549 xenograft animals) to 0.27 mg/kg (double xenograft experiment). Lung tissue showed similar variance in concentration of 1 as a function of xenografted cell line (0.12−0.15 mg/kg). A more significant difference was noted for the liver-associated compound levels. Whereas naive reference animals were indistinguishable from U251- or A549-xenograft bears, grafting of the LNCaP cell line resulted in liver values that were about 2-fold higher (1.04 mg/kg vs 0.57 mg/kg; p < 0.001).

Matrigel Affects Uptake of Py-Im Polyamide 1 into A549 Xenografts. We chose to evaluate the influence of Matrigel on uptake of 1 for xenografts derived from the A549 cell line. Systematic analysis of tumor polyamide concentration as a function of size revealed that larger tumors accumulated substantially higher quantities of compound 1 when Matrigel was employed during engraftment (Figure SI4F). This was in striking contrast with the observations made for the same cell line grafted without Matrigel (Figure SI4A,C). Plotting of tumor-associated levels of 1 as a function of postengraftment time revealed a clear trend (Figure 4). At 3 or 4 weeks past engraftment, there was no statistically significant difference measurable between the A549 xenografts produced with or without Matrigel, with an averaged concentration of 0.23 mg/kg (0.16 μM). Five weeks past engraftment, however, a divergence became apparent. Whereas Matrigel-negative tumors showed levels of compound 1 indistinguishable from earlier time points (0.25 mg/kg), a marked increase was noted for the Matrigel-positive xenografts. A mean concentration of 0.59 mg/kg was measured (p < 0.001), with the highest value amounting to 0.89 mg/kg, therewith reaching values comparable to those obtained for LNCaP tumors (cf. Figures 1 and 2).
biodistribution of Py-Im polyamide 1, a comprehensive tissue analysis following administration of 1 was conducted in the balb/c mouse strain. Blood, bone marrow (BM), brain, fat tissue, intestinal tissue, heart, kidney, liver, lung, muscle, pancreas, prostate, and spleen were interrogated independently (Figure 5 and Table S11). Because the balb/c male mouse of comparable age possesses a body weight that is reduced by some 25% with regard to its NSG counterpart, compound 1 was administered at 15 nmol per animal here, as opposed to 20 nmol per animal employed with NSG mice.

The majority of tissues exhibited concentrations of 1 below 0.1 mg/kg, with the brain not showing any significant evidence of polyamide uptake. Kidney and liver were found to contain comparable compound levels to those determined for the NSG strain (0.23 mg/kg vs 0.22 mg/kg and 0.57 mg/kg vs 0.49 mg/kg for kidney and liver, respectively). Interestingly, bone marrow concentration of 1 amounted to 0.24 mg/kg, therewith being over 10-fold higher than blood. The spleen exhibited a concentration of 1 of 0.32 mg/kg, whereas the pancreas contained compound 1 at 0.15 mg/kg. The majority of organs contained the Py-Im polyamide 1 at concentrations that were significantly lower than those determined for any of the tumor xenografts examined above.

**DISCUSSION**

The xenograft approach is a popular method to interrogate a prospective antitumor agent in vivo.20 It can be subdivided into two main categories, namely, subcutaneous (ectopic) and orthotopic xenografts. Orthotopic inoculation is considered to recapitulate the tumor setting more closely than the subcutaneous approach because cancer cells are grafted into the host organ of tumor origin. With the exception of lung and blood cancer, for which orthotopic xenografts are readily achievable by tail vein injection, the approach is experimentally demanding and requires sophisticated survival surgery. Genetically engineered animal tumor models represent an attractive alternative to xenograft experimentation, since they tend to recapitulate certain aspects of disease progression, such as tumor vascularization, tumor–stroma interactions, and metastasis formation, more accurately.21 They furthermore allow conducting experiments in immunocompetent animals. The studies are, however, elaborate to perform, requiring extended experimentation time frames and large animal group sizes. Furthermore, xenografts allow the assessment of efficacy against human cancer cell lines and primary cells, whereas genetically engineered animal models are limited to neoplasias of the species employed. Given the above, subcutaneous xenografts remain an attractive method to generate initial estimates of efficacy for molecules of interest.22

The present study was initiated to rationalize the apparent discrepancy between cell culture results and the corresponding xenograft experiments that we observed in preceding
investigations.\textsuperscript{16b,18a} Specifically, in vitro cytotoxicity evaluation for the nonradioactive analogue of 1 revealed AS49 and LNCaP to possess comparable sensitivities toward polyamide treatment (IC\textsubscript{50} values of 1.5 ± 0.2 \(\mu\)M and 2.1 ± 0.3 \(\mu\)M,\textsuperscript{16b} respectively). This contrasted with the outcome of our in vivo investigations, with LNCaP xenografts exhibiting tumor burden reduction in response to treatment with 1 in the xenograft setting\textsuperscript{16b} while related studies with the AS49 cell line were unsuccessful.\textsuperscript{18a} The present investigation demonstrates this unanticipated result to be rooted, at least in part, in the pronounced difference in polyamide uptake between the two xenograft types, LNCaP tumors accumulating the compound at 5- to 7-fold higher levels than their AS49 counterparts (Figure 1) in both the dual and the single xenograft experiments conducted with AS49 and LNCaP,\textsuperscript{19} respectively. Additional discrepancy may stem from the difference in the time frame employed for in vitro cytotoxicity measurement (3 days) and in vivo antitumor evaluation (at least 7 days) and the fact that the polyamide concentration is kept constant over the course of the experiment in vitro but not in vivo.\textsuperscript{19}

Comparison with U251 xenografts revealed an uptake profile that was distinct from both LNCaP- and AS49-derived tumors (Figure 2). This leads to the important realization that neither LNCaP nor AS49 could be considered an outlier. Each cell line examined yielded tumors with characteristic uptake features, which, while clearly dependent on the cell line grafted, could not have been predicted from in vitro experiments. The U251-derived xenografts exhibited higher microvessel densities than both AS49 and LNCaP tumors, without however possessing the vascular spaces characteristic of LNCaP.\textsuperscript{23} This likely gave rise to distinct characteristics of U251 tumors.

It was surprising to find that liver accumulation of 1 was dependent on the cell line grafted. Whereas the grafting of AS49 or U251 cells showed no influence, the presence of LNCaP-derived tumors resulted in levels that were elevated by about 2-fold (Figure 3). This was possibly due to the increased leakiness of the tumor vasculature in LNCaP xenografts, as compared with AS49 (Figure S1) and U251.\textsuperscript{25} Matrigel-positive xenografts did not result in increased liver compound values as compared to their Matrigel-negative counterparts (0.65 mg/kg vs 0.55 mg/kg, \(p = 0.17\)). It is possible that the leaky LNCaP tumor vasculature creates liver stress, which in turn could result in impeded clearance of Py-Im polyamide 1. This phenomenon is likely to operate with other types of small molecule therapeutics, although the magnitude of the effect will be dependent on specifics, which could lead to alternative clearance mechanisms.

The influence of Matrigel on uptake of 1 by AS49 xenografts was of interest due to the common use of Matrigel to facilitate engraftment of tumor cells in vivo.\textsuperscript{24} An effect indeed became manifest, albeit only at the most advanced postengraftment time points (Figure 4 and Figure S4E). It appears likely that the AS49 tumor architecture diverges at advanced time points, as a function of Matrigel. Supporting this, slightly higher weights were noticed in the Matrigel-positive group than in the Matrigel-negative control at the point of divergence (average of 409 mg vs 271 mg; \(p < 0.05\)). Influence of Matrigel employment on tumor proliferation, vascularization, and metastasis has been previously documented.\textsuperscript{24} The xenograft host–tumor interface being artificial a priori, it is unclear whether the Matrigel-positive or -negative tumors give rise to more accurate models.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Our investigation gave insight into the intricacies of various important aspects of tumor xenograft experimentation. The present study identified a marked difference in xenograft uptake levels of Py-Im polyamide 1 in the three cell lines tested. LNCaP-derived tumors exhibited a mean concentration of the polyamide that was over 5-fold higher than the corresponding AS49-associated value. Compound 1 was found to localize to U251 xenografts at a concentration that was substantially lower than what was found for LNCaP but significantly higher than AS49. This demonstrates the necessity to examine uptake into tumor xenografts on a case by case basis in order to rationalize outcomes of antitumor studies and to identify viable cell lines for future xenograft experiments. Unexpectedly, elimination of 1 from the liver was impaired in LNCaP xenograft-bearing animals. Matrigel was found to influence uptake of 1, resulting in a 2-fold elevation at longer postengraftment time points with AS49-derived tumors. Comparison with the corresponding healthy tissues revealed that higher concentrations of 1 were associated with xenografts, animal prostate tissue exhibiting order of magnitude lower values than those measured with LNCaP tumors.
**EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

Polyamide Synthesis and Characterization. The synthesis of polyamide 1 has been previously reported. The compound was confirmed by analytical HPLC to possess a purity of $\geq$99% and coeluted with its nonradioactive analog. Polyamide 1 was quantitated employing liquid scintillation with the activity constant of 55 mCi/mmol, which was provided by the vendor (ARC). Quench correction was conducted against a standard curve that was reported by our mmol, which was provided by the vendor (ARC). Cell Culture Maintenance and Xenograft Establishment. The cell lines A549, LNCaP, and U251 were obtained from ATCC and cultured following provider's recommendations, not exceeding passage number 25. Cells were only employed for xenograft experimentation where a viability of 95% or higher was recorded (trypan blue stain). Nod-SCID-Gamma (NSG) male mice were purchased at 8 weeks of age from JAX and housed in an immunocompromised facility (level A) in accordance with IACUC regulations. They were taken forward for experiments after an acclimatization period of at least 3 days. All engraftments were conducted subcutaneously with 2.5 M cells per inoculation in 200 μL vehicle (either media or 1:1 mixture with Matrigel). Animals were monitored weekly for signs of pain and distress. Male balb/c mice were obtained from JAX and housed in a level B animal facility.

Administration of Polyamide 1 and Tissue Harvest. Compound 1 was quantitated by liquid scintillation counting prior to injection and administered intraperitoneally at either 20 nmol (NSG) or 15 nmol (balb/c) per animal in a fume hood dedicated exclusively to C-14 in vivo radioexperiments. Animals were housed in disposable cages and euthanized by CO2 asphyxiation. Disposable cages were destroyed at the end of the experiment. Tissues were harvested, placed into scintillation vials, and solubilized at $+65^\circ$C for at least 12 h employing the proprietary dissolvent agent SOLVABLE (PerkinElmer). The resultant solutions were decolorized with 2 $\times$ 200 μL hydrogen peroxide (30%, Sigma-Aldrich) at ambient temperature for at least 2 h, followed by heating to $+65^\circ$C for 30 min. Samples were treated with 10 mL of the scintillation cocktail HIONIC-FLUOR (PerkinElmer), vortexed and the amounts of C-14 quantitated by liquid scintillation counting at the Beckman Coulter LS6500 multipurpose scintillation counter. All reported values have been quench-corrected and normalized against organ weight. Bone marrow weights were calculated as the difference between the femur and tibia bones subjected to tissue solubilization and the insoluble residue, which was isolated subsequent to C-14 quantitation. In order to obtain dry bone residues, the scintillation fluid was decanted and the solids were triturated (twice with ethanol, then three times with MeOH) and dried at $+65^\circ$C overnight. Two-tailed t-tests assuming unequal variance were applied to all data sets.
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