First-principles computational study of hydrogen storage in silicon clathrates
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\textbf{ABSTRACT}
Density functional theory (DFT) was utilized to compute the gravimetric capacity, volumetric capacity, and the binding energy of hydrogen molecules in silicon clathrates with guest (A) atoms such as Ba, Na, and Li, and framework substitutional atoms (M) such as C, Al, and Cu. The DFT computations show that these Type I intermetallic clathrates can accommodate a large number of hydrogen molecules, equivalent to 10 wt.\%, and such hydrogenated structures, $A_x(H_2)_nM_ySi_{46-y}$, occur with only a modest increase in lattice volume and a binding energy within the desirable range of 0.1–0.6 eV/H$_2$ for hydrogen storage at or near ambient temperature.

\textbf{IMPACT STATEMENT}
This paper identifies a number of Type I silicon clathrates that can accommodate large amounts of hydrogen molecules (10 wt.\%) and may be suitable as hydrogen storage materials.

In recent years, there has been great interest in developing materials-based reversible hydrogen storage systems for transportation applications, such as fuel cell vehicles and hydrogen combustion engines, that exhibit equal or greater gravimetric and volumetric storage capacities as compared with compressed gas storage (75 MPa), though at much lower and safer pressures. For a fuel cell power system in a vehicle operating directly on hydrogen, the near-term system-level gravimetric and volumetric storage targets proposed for net useable hydrogen are 5.5 wt.\% and 0.040 kg H$_2$/L, respectively, which includes the stored hydrogen, the container, and all auxiliary hardware [1]. These system targets imply that the capacities on a material basis alone would have to be at least twice as much — on the order of $\geq 10$ wt.\% and $\geq 0.080$ kg H$_2$/L.

In light of these storage targets, new hydrogen storage materials need to be developed whose storage capacities can be achieved within practical thermodynamic regimes relative to pressure, temperature, and heat release; preferably, near room temperature and modest pressure ($< 25$ MPa). Kinetic constraints on the rate of hydrogen uptake and discharge in prospective materials must also be limited to allow practical fill times ($\leq 40$ s/kg H$_2$) of the storage system and mass flow rate to the fuel cell ($\geq 0.02$ g/s kW). One particular approach is to engineer micro- or mesoporous materials that can adsorb hydrogen molecules in their cavities at low or ambient temperatures and release them at a higher temperature. Candidate materials that have been considered for hydrogen storage include metal hydrides, zeolites, porous carbons, and metal–organic frameworks (MOFs) via storage mechanisms such as interstitial and complex hydride formation, physisorption, and the controversial H$_2$ spillover effects in catalyst-doped heterogeneous materials [2,3]. The current capacity of some of the candidate hydrogen materials as a function of sorption temperature and mechanism is illustrated in Figure 1.
Figure 1. The relative gravimetric performance of physisorption, spillover, and chemisorption (complex hydride) materials towards achieving the minimum material-based targets for on-board reversible fuel storage (from Miller et al. [2]).

One of the hydrogen storage materials that is close to meeting the performance targets is the MOFs [2,3], whose crystalline frameworks with open structures and internal cavities can potentially accommodate and store large amounts of molecular hydrogen. Since surface area is qualitatively proportional to hydrogen gas uptake, molecular-scale engineering of MOFs has been rigorously employed to design and synthesize novel storage materials from a vast selection of readily assembled building units. MOF chemistry has, therefore, enabled a successful strategy for developing highly porous physisorption materials of extraordinary surface areas with well-defined, ordered, pore spaces. As illustrated in Figure 1, they have been shown to selectively accommodate a high capacity of hydrogen molecules reversibly, though so far only at low temperatures (77 K) [2]. In the case of porous materials for hydrogen physisorption, two strategies—optimization of binding interactions and engineering of optimized structural motifs—are both necessary to achieve the volumetric and gravimetric targets for on-board storage of hydrogen.

After an extensive study [2], it is now apparent that binding interactions must fall within an optimum range in order to maximize reversible storage at or close to room temperature. They can neither be too high nor too low, and the optimum range defines the thermodynamic constraints of the sorbent system. At or near room temperature, the desirable range for the binding energy of hydrogen, regardless of modality, is between 0.1 and 0.6 eV/H₂ (10 and 60 kJ/mol). This range was determined from an analysis based on entropic arguments that the reference entropy values for H₂ are between 0.1 and 10 MPa, and temperature ranging from 20 to 85°C. At 20°C, the enthalpy of adsorption (ΔH = TΔS) ranges from 21 to 32 kJ/mol H₂ and at 85°C, the enthalpy increases to 51–71 kJ/mol H₂. On this basis, one can determine that the ideal binding affinity falls in the range between ~20 and 70 kJ/mol H₂, leading to a conservative upper range of 58 kJ/mol H₂ (0.6 eV/H₂). None of the candidate materials compiled in Figure 1 [2] exhibits the desired binding energy range at the ambient temperatures.

Another crystalline framework with open structure that has been considered for hydrogen storage applications is inorganic Type I clathrates. Both K- and Na-stabilized Type I silicon clathrates with H₂ encapsulation were synthesized [4,5]. Thermogravimetric measurements indicated that hydrogen desorption occurred at elevated temperatures (~400°C), which make these materials impractical for on-board storage in vehicle applications unless modification can be made to lower the binding energy and the desorption temperature.

The structure of Type I intermetallic clathrates, shown in Figure 2, comprised a framework of X atoms forming a 3D cage structure. Type I silicon clathrate, Si₄₆, consists of crystalline Si with a regular arrangement of 20-atom and 24-atom cages fused together through 5-atom
pentagonal rings and 6-atom hexagonal rings. The crystal structure of the \( \text{Si}_{46} \) clathrate belongs to the space group \( Pm\bar{3}n \) [6]. Some of the framework atoms can be substituted by atom M. The empty space within the cage structure can serve as host sites for guest atoms A. Type I clathrates can be described by the formula: \( A_xM_yX_{46-y} \) [6]. Representative framework, substitution, and guest atoms are listed in the caption of Figure 2. Recent investigations [6,7] have shown that both the guest atoms and the framework atoms on Type I silicon clathrates can be altered with other substitution atoms to create hybrid carbon and silicon clathrates that are metastable materials with tuneable physical and electronic properties by selective doping of guest and framework atoms. These developments provide a new avenue for investigating the possibility of novel metastable silicon-based clathrates for hydrogen storage applications.

The objective of this article is to report the results of an investigation focused on identifying new Si-based clathrates that may be suitable for use as hydrogen storage materials in transportation applications. The approach is to apply first-principles computational methods based on density functional theory via the VASP code [8] to compute the binding energy of hydrogen molecules in selected Type I Si-based clathrates. First-principle computational methods were used to design Si-based intermetallic clathrates with or without an alkali–metal guest atom, and Si framework atoms substituted with other elements such as C, Al, and Cu, to tune the void space for maximum \( \text{H}_2 \) capacity and optimum binding interactions. The computational results indicate that the hydrogen binding energy of alloyed clathrates can be tailored to the optimum levels at or near ambient temperature desired for potential vehicle applications.

Figure 2. (a) Extended crystal structure of the intermetallic clathrates (Type I). Red = volume space for guest atoms such as Ba, Na, and Li. Blue = substitutional framework atoms such as C, Al, and Cu. The unit cell is indicated by grey box. (b) Occupiable volume for \( \text{H}_2 \) exists in the cages of both the 20-atom pentagonal dodecahedron clusters (2a Wyckoff sites) and 24-atom tetrakaidecahedron clusters (6d Wyckoff sites).

Figure 3. Predicted internal binding energy and capacity for intermetallic clathrates. The range over which binding interactions of \( \text{H}_2 \) is favourable for room temperature storage is noted (a) hydrogenation of \( \text{Si}_{46}, \text{C}_6\text{Si}_{40}, \text{Ba}_8\text{Al}_8\text{Si}_{38}, \) and \( \text{Ba}_8\text{Cu}_6\text{Si}_{38} \) and (b) hydrogenation of \( \text{Si}_{46}, \text{C}_6\text{Si}_{40}, \text{Na}_8\text{Al}_8\text{Si}_{38}, \) and \( \text{Li}_8\text{Al}_8\text{Si}_{38} \).
Figure 3(a) shows the density functional theory (DFT) results of the binding energy in eV/H₂ for hydrogenation of Si₄₆, C₆Si₄₀, Ba₈Al₈Si₃₈, and Ba₈Cu₈Si₃₈ as function of gravimetric capacity in weight percentage converted from the number of H₂ molecules inserted in the cage structure. The desirable binding energy range for H₂ at ambient temperature is also superimposed in Figure 3(a). The results indicate that the binding energy between hydrogen molecules and the interstitial cavities of Si₄₆ and C₆Si₄₀ cage structures increases with the number of H₂ molecules inserted or gravimetric capacity. In contrast, the hydrogen binding interactions for Ba₈(H₂)ₓAl₈Si₃₈ and Ba₈(H₂)ₓCu₈Si₃₈, which decrease within increasing gravimetric capacities, are within the desirable binding energy range at high gravimetric capacities. A similar comparison of the computed binding energy values with gravimetric capacity is presented in Figure 3(b) for hydrogenation Si₄₆, C₆Si₄₀, Na₈Al₈Si₃₈, and Li₈Al₈Si₃₈. In this case, Figure 3(b) shows that Na₈Al₈Si₃₈ and Li₈Al₈Si₃₈ exhibit hydrogen binding interactions that are within the desirable range for the entire range of gravimetric capacity considered, ranging from 8 to 128 H₂ molecules inserted. This finding suggests that Na₈Al₈Si₃₈ and Li₈Al₈Si₃₈ show high physisorption capacity for H₂ with suitable binding energy values as potential hydrogen storage materials at or near ambient temperatures.

The lattice parameters for individual unit cells of Si₄₆, C₆Si₄₀, Ba₈Al₈Si₃₈, and Ba₈Cu₈Si₃₈ after various levels of hydrogenation are presented in Figure 4(a) as a function of gravimetric capacity. The lattice parameter for hydrogenated Ba₈Al₈Si₃₈ and Ba₈Cu₈Si₃₈ increase with increasing number of hydrogen molecules inserted (gravimetric capacity), while those of hydrogenated Si₄₆ and C₆Si₄₀ do not exhibit similar increases with gravimetric capacity. Also superimposed in Figure 4(a) are the system-level storage targets for gravimetric and volumetric capacity prescribed by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) for on-board storage on vehicles for 2020 and the ultimate fleet targets [1]. Recalling that, on a materials basis, the required gravimetric capacity would have to be approximately twice that of the system targets, the computational results nonetheless support the hypothesis that the hydrogenated Ba₈Al₈Si₃₈ and Ba₈Cu₈Si₃₈ clathrates can absorb large amounts of H₂ molecules at or near room temperature, and may potentially achieve both the 2020 and ultimate system-level fleet targets prescribed by DOE, while empty Si₄₆ and C₆Si₄₀ may not. A similar comparison of hydrogenated Si₄₆, C₆Si₄₀, Na₈Al₈Si₃₈, and Li₈Al₈Si₃₈ is presented in Figure 4(b). Like Ba-stabilized silicon clathrate, the lattice parameters of Na- and Li-stabilized silicon clathrates increase with increasing number of hydrogen molecules inserted (gravimetric capacity), and also show promise toward achieving the system targets.

Figure 5(a) presents the results of the lattice parameters for individual unit cells of hydrogenated Si₄₆, C₆Si₄₀, Ba₈Al₈Si₃₈, and Ba₈Cu₈Si₃₈ as a function of volumetric capacity and assuming crystallographic densities. The corresponding comparison for individual unit cells of hydrogenated Si₄₆, C₆Si₄₀, Na₈Al₈Si₃₈, and Li₈Al₈Si₃₈ are presented in Figure 5(b). From Figure 5(a,b), it is apparent that all six silicon-based clathrates considered in this paper (i.e., Si₄₆, C₆Si₄₀, Ba₈Al₈Si₃₈, Ba₈Cu₈Si₃₈, Na₈Al₈Si₃₈, and Li₈Al₈Si₃₈) are promising candidates exhibiting volumetric capacities that may achieve or exceed the DOE targets for vehicles for 2020 and beyond. Furthermore, the Ba-, Na-, and Li-stabilized alloyed clathrates exhibit larger lattice parameters and expand to a greater extent compared to hydrogenated Si₄₆ and
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**Figure 4.** Predicted gravimetric capacity (materials basis) and lattice constant for intermetallic (hybrid) clathrates: (a) hydrogenation of Si₄₆, C₆Si₄₀, Ba₈Al₈Si₃₈ and Ba₈Cu₈Si₃₈ and (b) hydrogenation of Si₄₆, C₆Si₄₀, Na₈Al₈Si₃₈, and Li₈Al₈Si₃₈. Vertical lines mark the system-level targets prescribed by DOE.
Figure 5. Predicted volumetric capacity and lattice constant for intermetallic clathrates: (a) hydrogenation of Si$_{46}$, C$_6$Si$_{40}$, Ba$_8$Al$_8$Si$_{38}$, and Ba$_8$Cu$_8$Si$_{38}$, and (b) hydrogenation of Si$_{46}$, C$_6$Si$_{40}$, Na$_8$Al$_8$Si$_{38}$, and Li$_8$Al$_8$Si$_{38}$. Vertical lines mark the system-level targets prescribed by DOE.

The current investigation examined only the feasibility of silicon-based clathrates as a hydrogen storage material solely from an energetics consideration without considering the kinetics of hydrogen physisorption and desorption.

The surfaces of the silicon clathrate framework comprised pentagonal and hexagonal rings with different opening sizes for hydrogen entries and releases. Depending on the orientation of the H$_2$ molecules, the entry of an H$_2$ molecule through a five-membered ring or a six-membered ring is different with different activation energy values [9]. Thus, the possibility exists for tailoring the size of the opening of the pentagon and hexagon rings to lower the activation energy barrier through a judicious choice of substitutional elements to replace Si atoms on the framework.

The computational results presented in this work at the upper boundaries of hydrogen insertion are not taken at face value, but rather they are used to establish trends in properties that may point to promising structural and elemental components. From an engineering viewpoint, however, it is clear that the weight and volume savings that can be realized from a storage system designed for physisorption at room temperature and modest pressures ($\ll$ 75 MPa), as opposed to the heavier and more voluminous cryogenic systems presently contemplated for liquid hydrogen or MOF-based solid-state storage, should compensate in part for any potential shortfalls in material storage capacity.

First-principles computational methods

First-principles DFT code VASP [8] was used to calculate the energy of formation, optimal lattice constants of the silicon-based clathrates with guest atoms such as Ba, Na,
and Li and substitutional atoms such Al, Cu, and C on the framework. The PBE functional [10] and projector-augmented wave [11] potentials were used along with the plane wave basis sets for the geometry optimization and self-consistent total energy calculations. The energy cut-off for the plane wave basis set was 400 eV. The convergence criteria for energy and forces were set to be 0.01 and 0.1 meV, respectively. Si 3s3p, C 2s2p, Ba 5s5p6s, Li 1s2s, Na 2p3s, Al 3s3p, and Cu 3d4s electrons were treated as valence electrons. Reciprocal space was sampled using 3 x 3 x 3 Monkhorst Pack meshes centred at Gamma. To determine the optimized lattice constants for the alloyed clathrates, the ion positions were relaxed and the volume of the unit cell was optimized. The final lattice constant obtained from the optimized volume was further cross-checked to ensure the pressure in all three x, y, and z are nearly zero.

The formation energies were calculated by subtracting the total energies of the elements from the energy of the structure, then dividing by the total number of atoms. The formation energy, \( \Delta E_f \), for \( A_xC_ySi_{46-y} \) was calculated using the equation given by [6,7]

\[
\Delta E_f(A_xC_ySi_{46-y}) = \frac{E(A_xC_ySi_{46-y}) - xE(A) - yE(C) - (46-y)E(Si)}{x + 46},
\]

where \( E(Si) \), \( E(C) \), and \( E(A) \) are the energies per atom for Si, C (diamond), and A metal, respectively. Using the approach utilized for computing the formation energy of Si with Li to form Li_xSi [12], the energy of formation of the hydrogenated silicon-based clathrate is computed as

\[
\Delta E_f((H_2)_2A_xC_ySi_{46-y}) = \frac{E((H_2)_2A_xC_ySi_{46-y}) - xE(A) - yE(C) - (46-y)E(Si) - zE(H)}{x + 46 + 2z},
\]

where \( z \) is the number of \( H_2 \) inserted in the cage and \( E(H) \) is the energy of \( H \) in molecular \( H_2 \). Once the formation energy values are computed, the binding energy of \( H_2 \) in the clathrate system is computed as

\[
\Delta E_b = 2[\Delta E_f((H_2)_2A_xC_ySi_{46-y}) - \Delta E_f(A_xC_ySi_{46-y})],
\]

where \( \Delta E_b \) is the binding energy of \( H_2 \) in the clathrate structure. Equation (3) gives the binding energy per \( H_2 \) molecule per unit cell since all \( H_2 \) molecules are encapsulated within the internal cavity of the 3D clathrate structure. The binding energy of the \( H_2 \) molecules adhered to a 2D sheet of atoms has been defined differently to include \( H_2 \) molecules that are adhered above and below the 2D layer [13]. External \( H_2 \) molecules residing outside the 3D clathrate cage structure are not considered in this investigation and Equation (3) is valid as the \( H_2 \) binding energy. The activation energy for the transport of an \( H_2 \) molecule into the clathrate cage structure has been investigated by Patterson [9], and is not the subject of this investigation.

The Si-based clathrate compounds that have been selected for studies include empty \( Si_{46}, empty C_6Si_{40}, Al\)-substituted clathrates with Li, Na, or Ba guest atoms, and Cu-substituted clathrate with Ba guest atoms. The number of hydrogen (\( H_2 \)) molecules inserted into the clathrate framework structure ranged from 0 to 128 in multiples of 8. The hydrogen molecules were placed at randomly selected sites within the cage structure. All the atoms were allowed to relax into equilibrium positions in order to compute the binding energy and the lattice parameter of the unit cell. The formation energy and binding energy were computed without considering the van der Waals interaction between the host and \( H_2 \). To check this assumption, van der Waals interactions were considered for \( C_6Si_{40} \) and \( Li_8Al_8Si_{38} \) using the DFT-D2 method. Presented in Table S1, the results indicate that van der Waals interaction reduces the binding energy of hydrogenated \( C_6Si_{40} \) and \( Li_8Al_8Si_{38} \) by \(-0.2657\) and \(-0.2933\) eV, respectively. These changes would shift the computed data points toward the lower \( \Delta E_b \) limit but most of the points still remain within the desirable range of 0.1–0.6 eV.
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