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ABSTRACT

Context. The measurement of diffuse 21-cm radiation from the hyperfine transition of neutral hydrogen (H\textit{i} signal) in different redshifts is an important tool for modern cosmology. However, detecting this faint signal with non-cryogenic receivers in single-dish telescopes is a challenging task. The BINGO (Baryon Acoustic Oscillations from Integrated Neutral Gas Observations) radio telescope is an instrument designed to detect baryonic acoustic oscillations (BAOs) in the cosmological H\textit{i} signal, in the redshift interval 0.127 ≤ z ≤ 0.449.

Aims. This paper describes the BINGO radio telescope, including the current status of the optics, receiver, observational strategy, calibration, and the site.

Methods. BINGO has been carefully designed to minimize systematics, being a transit instrument with no moving dishes and 28 horns operating in the frequency range 980 ≤ ν ≤ 1260 MHz. Comprehensive laboratory tests were conducted for many of the BINGO subsystems and the prototypes of the receiver chain, horn, polarizer, magic tees, and transitions have been successfully tested between 2018–2020.

Results. The results of the prototype tests closely meet those obtained during the modeling process, suggesting BINGO will perform according to our expectations. After one year of observations with a 60% duty cycle and 28 horns, BINGO should achieve an expected sensitivity of 102 μK per 9.33 MHz frequency channel, one polarization, and be able to measure the H\textit{i} power spectrum in a competitive time frame.
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1. Introduction

The observed accelerated expansion of the Universe and its possible relation to the so-called dark energy (hereafter, DE) properties is one of the most exciting questions in 21st century cosmology. DE properties can be investigated through baryon acoustic oscillations (BAO), one of the most powerful probes for cosmological studies (Albrecht et al. 2006; Weinberg et al. 2013), detected in the analysis of large optical galaxy redshift surveys (Eisenstein et al. 2005; Anderson et al. 2014; Planck Collaboration VI 2020; Alam et al. 2021) (z ≤ 3.5). It is important to confirm this detection in other wavebands and over a wider range of redshifts.

The BINGO (Baryon Acoustic Oscillations from Integrated Neutral Gas Observations) radio telescope is an instrument specifically designed to observe BAOs in the frequency band covering from 980 to 1260 MHz and to provide new insight into the Universe at 0 ≤ z ≤ 0.449 with a dedicated instrument. The BINGO concept was presented in Battye et al. (2013) with later updates presented in Dickinson (2014), Bigot-Sazy et al. (2015), Battye et al. (2016) and Wuen sche & the BINGO Collaboration (2019). Other papers describing aspects of the project include the project overview (Abdalla et al. 2022a, Paper I), optical design (Abdalla et al. 2022b, Paper II), mission simulations (Liccardo et al. 2022, Paper IV), component separation and bispectrum analysis (Fornazier et al. 2022, Paper V), simulations for a mock 21-cm catalog (Zhang et al. 2022, Paper VI), and cosmological forecasts for BINGO (Costa et al. 2022, Paper VII).

The BINGO Phase 1 instrument has a compact optical configuration, with a 20 m main semi-axis primary paraboloid and a 17.8 m main semi-axis secondary hyperboloid, which illuminates a focal plane with 28 horns. The search for BAOs will be carried out through a intensity mapping (IM) survey over a large area (about 13%) of the sky to produce 3D maps of the H\textit{i} distribution. Observations will span several years with different operational phases. The project design, hardware setup and observation strategy of the project also open up the possibility of the detection of transient phenomena on very short timescales (≤ 1 ms), such as pulsars and fast radio bursts (FRBs) (Lorimer & Kramer 2004; Lorimer et al. 2007; Cordes & Chatterjee 2019). The companion Paper I contains a
section on transient science that includes estimates for FRB detections with BINGO. Table 1 contains the main parameters of the telescope for operation during Phase 1. Most of the subsystems already have their prototypes built and tested, while others are in final project stages or under fabrication.

BINGO is mainly funded by FAPESP, a São Paulo state funding agency, and it has received funds from other Brazilian and Chinese agencies, as well as electronic components from the UK and China. Regarding the timeline, at the time of writing this paper, the project received a positive report after a major project design review (PDR) in July 2019. The engineering project for the telescope structure and the optical project have been completed and despite some delays caused by the Covid-19 pandemic, a major advancement was made during 2021 regarding terrain prospecting, roadwork, and the area cleaning.

This paper starts with this Introduction. Section 2, describes the BINGO concept. Section 3 contains a brief discussion of the optical design, feed horns, and receiver. Section 4 outlines the BINGO observation strategy. The calibration strategies are briefly described in Sect. 5. The site characteristics are presented in Sect. 6. Final considerations for Phase 1 as well as the discussion of the next steps for BINGO are presented in Sect. 7.

2. Motivation

While many 21-cm intensity mapping telescopes (either under development or in operation) for BAO science are interferometers or shared-time instruments, BINGO chooses a complementary approach, based upon a single main, dish illuminating many horns equipped with stable receivers. This represents an approach to BAO IM studies which is, at the same time, cheaper and efficient (Battye et al. 2013). The BINGO optical design produced beams with distortions from the circular shape ≤−30 dB inside the main beam (FWHM = 0.67°) and first side lobes below −25 dB for all frequencies in the BINGO band. These requirements are important and necessary for the accurate separation of foregrounds and 21-cm information, as discussed in Abdalla et al. (2022b).

The main difficulty in doing a statistically significant BAO detection at radio frequencies is to properly handle and remove the high intensity Galactic and extragalactic signals in the BINGO frequency band. The mean brightness temperature of the 21-cm line is about 200 µK in the BINGO frequency band (Hall et al. 2013), which is not easily detected even with cryogenic receivers. To achieve the sensitivity needed for BAO detection, the accumulated integration time per pixel ($t_{\text{pix}}$) must be larger than 1 day over the BINGO Phase 1 duration, which is expected to last five years.

The BINGO telescope configuration is such that a point in the sky remains within a given horn beam for ≈2.7 min per day at the chosen BINGO declination. The total integration time per pixel is built by many returns to the same patch of sky over the year. The number of pixels in the resulting map is given by the following:

$$N = \frac{\Omega_{\text{sur}}}{\Omega_{\text{beam}}},$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $\Omega_{\text{beam}}$ and $\Omega_{\text{sur}}$ are the beam and survey coverage areas, respectively, as given in Table 1. The total integration time available to be distributed between the pixels is the number of beams multiplied by $t_{\text{sur}}$, the duration of the survey. We can then work out the signal-to-noise per pixel. The integration time per pixel, $t_{\text{pixel}}$, is given by

$$t_{\text{pixel}} = \frac{28 \, \text{hr}}{N},$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $t_{\text{sur}}$ is the survey duration. We assume the same $T_{\text{sys}}$ for all receivers. Each horn will observe a single declination strip in the sky and the correlation receivers (see Sect. 3.3) will produce two data streams, one for each polarization. The number of circular polarizations, $2$, and $\tau_{\text{min}}$, the duration of the survey, can be downsampled from the digital backend output.

$$\Delta T_{\text{min}} = \frac{T_{\text{sys}}}{\sqrt{t_{\text{pixel}} \Delta v}},$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $\Delta v$ and $T_{\text{sys}}$ are the frequency band and the system temperature, respectively, while $t_{\text{pixel}}$ is given by Eq. (2). Using the parameters listed in Table 1, a channel bandwidth of
$\Delta \nu \approx 9.33 \text{ MHz}$, 1 year of observations at 60% duty cycle, and the telescope, with 28 horns, should achieve a sensitivity of around $102 \mu \text{K}$ for one polarization. Sensitivity estimates for one horn and 28 horns (considering one polarization) for 1, 2, and 5 years of observations are presented in Table 4.

The BINGO configuration allows for an improvement in sensitivity through lower $T_{\text{sys}}$, a longer integration time, and increasing the number of horns. In addition, the survey area can be increased by moving the focal plane. This last possibility will be discussed in Sect. 3.1.

### 3. Instrument description

BINGO will start Phase 1 with the telescope configuration presented in Table 1. It will collect two hands of circularly polarized signal, each of which will be fed into magic tees fronting correlation receivers. Each magic tee sends the data to two amplifier chains and, after some electronic processing (filtering, phase switching), the signal will be recombined in a second hybrid and then sent to a digital backend for frequency decomposition.

We kept two key points in mind when determining the BINGO design and observational strategy. The first one is simplicity: in order to minimize costs, the dishes are fixed, making BINGO a transit telescope, using the Earth rotation to define the survey area. This allows one to revisit the same patch of sky every day. A fixed pointing system for such large reflectors requires much simpler mechanical structures and, almost certainly, introduces fewer systematic effects. The choice of a transit telescope defines several parameters related to the observational strategy, such as the sky region to be observed, the level of tolerable ground pickup due to the position of the reflectors on the site, and the calibration strategies using celestial sources.

The second key point relates to the parameters defined by the optical design to optimize the focal plane, requiring small vertical and longitudinal displacements of the individual horns. This is equivalent to displacing the entire focal plane in order to increase the observed sky region.

The optical system follows an off-axis, crossed-Dragone configuration (Dragone 1978), with a primary offset parabolid with a 25.5 m semi-major axis and secondary offset hyperboloid with a 18.3 m semi-major axis as reflectors. The total area of the primary is 1602 m$^2$, while its effective area is approximately 1120 m$^2$. The telescope focal length is 63.2 m and the primary mirror points to declination $\delta = -15^\circ$. The illumination of the secondary by the focal plane array delivers an instantaneous field-of-view of 88 square degrees, with an angular resolution (FWHM) of $\approx 0.67^\circ$. We deliberately under-illuminate the secondary in order to reduce spillover and reduce far out sidelobes. The under-illumination is reflected in the small effective area of each horn, at central frequency $f = 1100$ MHz, shown in Table 2.

We estimate the required dish surface accuracy as $\leq 1/60$, which, at the shortest operational wavelength of 23.8 cm, gives a requirement of $\approx 0.4$ cm. With the combination of the two mirrors, this will give a combined surface efficiency (according to the Ruze formula) of 92 %, or a signal-to-noise decrease of $< 10 \%$.

Optical design simulations (Abdalla et al. 2022b) and measurements of the beam profile of the first prototype horn (Wuensche et al. 2020) indicate that the instrument will produce a very clean beam, with secondary lobes usually at $\leq -25$ dB and very good cross-polarization rejection ($\leq -30$ dB). This will contribute to good performance of the component separation process (Remazeilles et al. 2011; Bigot-Sazy et al. 2015; Olivari et al. 2016, 2018; Carucci et al. 2020). The angular resolution of $\approx 0.67^\circ$ is suitable to resolve structures of an angular size corresponding to a linear scale of around 150 Mpc in the BINGO redshift range.

The top level system diagram is shown in Fig. 1. An overview of the optical design, engineering project for the complete telescope, as well as its location on the site, are shown in Figs. 2–4. Figure 4 also includes the topographic curves in the terrain, indicating that the shielding by the hill on the west side of the terrain is helpful to reduce radio frequency interference (hereafter, RFI) contamination. Site details are described in Sect. 6.

We believe that the BINGO concept makes the design, instrument modeling, construction, and operation considerably simpler than that of a conventional telescope. The following sections describe the main subsystems of the BINGO telescope.

#### 3.1. Optics

Studies for optical design and focal plane arrangement for Phase 1 were performed using the GRASP package from the
Fig. 2. BINGO optics schematics: the primary mirror is in the center, facing north pointing to $\delta = -15^\circ$. All dimensions are in millimeters.

Fig. 3. Engineering design based upon the dimensions and angles shown in Fig. 2. We note that the exact positions for the support structure foundations have already been calculated.

The BINGO instantaneous field-of-view will cover 14.75° (Dec) × 6.0° (RA), delivering an instantaneous 14.75°-wide declination strip, covered by 28 horns distributed in the focal plane.

The forward gain of each beam formed by the combination of the feed horn and the reflector does not vary by more than 1 dB for all pixels across the focal plane. The final design guarantees both low optical aberration and very low beam ellipticity, even for beams on the edge of the focal plane. The distribution of horns in the focal plane guarantees that each horn will observe an independent patch of the sky in declination, according to the distances shown in Fig. 5. This distribution corresponds to the optimal beam pattern configuration shown in Fig. 6, and to the best solution for the horn arrangement in terms of uniformity of the sky coverage. We note the inverted positioning in respect to Fig. 5, due to the way it maps the sky.

The BINGO instantaneous field-of-view will cover 14.75° (Dec) × 6.0° (RA), delivering an instantaneous 14.75°-wide declination strip, covered by 28 horns distributed in the focal plane.

The horn pointing to the secondary reflector is defined by six parameters: the Cartesian $(x, y)$ coordinates of the horn in the focal plane, the coordinates $(\theta, \phi)$, corresponding to $(AZ, EL)$ positioning, and a coordinate $z$ that describes the longitudinal displacement of the horn. The pair $(x, y)$ is defined by the position of the horn in the focal plane, as shown in Fig. 5. Additionally, each horn can be displaced vertically ($\delta y$) by $\pm 300$ mm in relation to the longitudinal direction that connects the center of the hexagon to the surface of the secondary reflector. The vertical displacement $\delta y$ in $\pm 300$ corresponds to an increase of $0.56^\circ$ in the declination coverage, enlarging it to $-10.17^\circ \leq \delta \leq -25.48^\circ$ after 5 years of operation.

Each horn can also be displaced longitudinally by $700$–$1300$ mm from the nominal zero-point where the horn opening aligns with the supporting structure, and tilted by $\pm 5^\circ$ in the $\phi$ (azimuth) direction. The longitudinal positioning is done by means of a long screw with a narrow thread, which allows for a precision better than 5 mm.

Individual horns will be positioned inside hexagonal cages. A cart and a V-shaped support inside the hexagon were built to allow more precise positioning of $(\theta, \phi, z, \delta y)$ in the focal plane. Figure 7 depicts the horn inside the hexagon, with the V-shaped support and the cart. The final positions of the optical system coordinates are defined in relation to the line connecting the center of the hexagon to the surface of the secondary reflector. Stacking up the hexagons will build the focal plane shown in Fig. 5.

The consequence of this choice for positioning the horns is that, when the optical axis is parallel to the longitudinal direction, there will be a 2.4-m separation between horn centers in the vertical direction. Section 4 pertains to the effects of the vertical displacements in more detail.

The vertical displacement of the horns change the secondary dish illumination and it was designed to eliminate the gaps in the sky coverage that would occur if horns were kept in the same position during the full mission, leading to a less-than-optimal sampling of the sky, with a Nyquist sampling frequency $f_{Nyq} < 1$. The combination of these adjustment parameters allows for a very fine pointing, with the horns located in the

\(^1\) http://www.ticra.com/software/grasp/
outermost regions of the array being slightly tilted with respect to the focal plane to properly illuminate the secondary reflector and reduce side lobes and ground spillover.

A complete description of the optical design is presented in the BINGO project companion Paper III (Abdalla et al. 2022b). The results presented there, supported by the results of the beam profile measurements of the prototype horn (Wuensche et al. 2020), suggest that the desired clean beam, with low cross-polarization levels as well as small beam distortion and spillover, will be achieved during operation.

3.2. Feed horns and front end

BINGO uses specially designed conical corrugated horns to illuminate the secondary mirror of the telescope. Because of the large focal ratio needed to provide the required wide field of view, the horns have $\sim 1.7$ m diameter and $\sim 4.9$ m length. The horn was designed to produce optimum illumination of the secondary mirror while giving excellent insertion and return loss properties. The corrugations consist of a series of rings with a width (or thickness) of 3.4 cm, corresponding to one-eighth of the wavelength of the central observing frequency of 1.1 GHz. The rings have alternating diameters to create the corrugation depth, and the horn should reach an edge taper below $-20$ dB at $19^\circ$ across the whole band (horn beam power at the edge of the secondary mirror of the telescope). The BINGO team worked together with local companies in the development of the horn and front end (transitions, polarizer, and magic tees) prototypes, all of which were successfully tested in the Division of Astrophysics and the Laboratory of Integration and Tests (LIT), located at Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais (INPE).

The test range consists of a 9 m wide $\times$ 9 m tall $\times$10 m deep chamber, fully covered inside with absorbing cones, that faces a transmitter mounted on a tower located 80 m away from the chamber that produces both horizontal and vertical, linearly polarized signals. The system is capable of continuous 360$^\circ$ horizontal rotation, clockwise and counterclockwise, and the horn response to the signal emitted by the far-field transmitted is continuously measured until a 360$^\circ$ horizontal rotation is completed. The transmitter signal was generated by a ANRITSU MG3649B equipment and the horn was connected to a MI-1797 receiver fabricated by Scientific Devices Australia Pty. Ltd. – SDA.

We made 17 measurements at 25 MHz intervals from 900 to 1300 MHz; each frequency was measured in both vertical and horizontal direct polarization, with simultaneous cross-polarization measurements. The directivity was calculated by numerically integrating the irradiation diagrams. Figure 8 shows the horn and the front inside the antenna test range, during the beam pattern and polarization performance testing.

The complete front end, assembled in the back of the horn, during testing procedures at INPE’s Division of Astrophysics, is pictured in Fig. 9. The insertion loss results for the measurements of the horn, polarizer, and WG5 transition prototypes
The existence of the potential problems described above means that first stage amplifiers should have a large dynamic range in order to avoid being driven nonlinearly by strong, out of band, RFI. The first stage amplifiers are followed by specially designed bandpass filters to have a very steep cutoff at the lower end of the band in order to mitigate the effects of mobile phone signal at 956 MHz.

We tested different radiometer chains, with MiniCircuits (NF = 0.38 dB, G = 17 dB), customized Skyworks (NF = 0.35 dB, G = 21 dB), and WantCom (NF = 0.35 dB, G = 38 dB) as low noise amplifiers (LNA), Phase2 Microwave filters, and Quiotec isolators. Preliminary, and as of yet unpublished, measurements at Jodrell Bank Observatory of the 1st-stage Skyworks LNA indicate a receiver temperature $T_{rec} = (24.9 \pm 2.0)$ K, which is considered our nominal temperature. Table 3 shows the temperature for each component of the BINGO radio telescope, allowing an initial estimate for the system temperature to be $T_{sys} = T_{sys} + T_{rec} \approx 70$ K, where $T_{sys}$ is the contribution of all components before the LNA and $T_{rec}$. The characterization of the receiver system temperature can be used to estimate the fundamental white-noise (thermal noise) limit of the time ordered data (TOD) and is described by Eq. (3).

As well as system temperature variations, the BINGO receiver outputs are subject to system gain variations. For all radiometers, the gain fluctuations will be correlated over some timescale. The TOD contains the voltage from the outputs of the radiometers and presents a power spectrum distribution that can be approximately modeled by a reciprocal power-law. This type of correlated noise is known collectively as $1/f$ noise (Maino et al. 1999). In the power spectrum, the frequency at which the contributions of thermal and $1/f$ noise are equal is known as the knee frequency.

A full correlation receiver (FCR) configuration was our main receiver choice and was also recommended by the PDR committee in July 2019. The FCR is expected to remove most of the gain variations, leaving a knee frequency $f_{knee} \sim 1$ mHz and minimizing the $1/f$ noise contribution to the TODs (Heron 2011; Bersanelli et al. 2010). This configuration is schematically shown in Fig. 11.

Using the parameters from Table 1 in Eq. (3), we obtain, for one 9.33 MHz channel and one second integration, $\Delta T_{min} \approx 23$ mK. Table 4 contains our estimates of the optimal sensitivities for one and 28 horns (considering only one polarization), with a mission duration of 1, 2, and 5 years, and duty cycles of 60% and 90%, using data from Table 1. The above estimates do not take gain variations in the receiver into account, which will degrade sensitivity.

We can use the sensitivities in Table 4 to estimate the minimum flux density detected by the BINGO receiver using the expression (see e.g., Kraus et al. 1986; Wilson et al. 2013)

$$S(\Delta T_{min}) = \frac{2k}{A_{eff}} \Delta T_{min}, \quad (4)$$

where $A_{eff}$ is the effective area and $k$ is the Boltzmann constant. For a single 9.33 MHz channel and 1 second integration, we obtain a flux $S(\Delta T_{min}) \approx 0.06$ Jy.

4. Observation strategy

This section describes the observation strategy used in the simulations that emulate the BINGO mission with the parameters defined for BINGO Phase 1. The chosen horn array favors the most uniform sky coverage in the declination band.
Fig. 10. Beam pattern measurements of the BINGO prototype horn. Left: horizontal co-polarization (blue) and cross-polarization (red). We note the more than 20 dB difference between the co- and cross-polarizations in the main lobe. Right: same as left column, but for vertical polarization.

Table 3. BINGO estimated temperature contributions to $T_{\text{sys}}$.

| Signal contributions                  | $T$(K) |
|---------------------------------------|--------|
| CMB                                   | 2.7    |
| Galactic/Extragalactic signals        | ~5.0   |
| Ground spillover                      | 2.0    |
| Atmosphere                            | 4.5    |
| Parabolic mirror                      | 1.5    |
| Hyperbolic mirror                     | 1.5    |
| Horn (measured)                       | 6.8    |
| Polarizer (measured)                  | 9.1    |
| Magic tee (measured)                  | 2.8    |
| Rectangular-to-coax waveguide (measured) | 9.1 |
| LNA (phase matched)                   | 24.9   |
| Total                                 | ~69.9  |

$-10^\circ \leq \delta \leq -25^\circ$. The focal plane covers an approximate instantaneous area of 88.5 square degrees, allowing for a daily sky coverage of 5324 square degrees.

The BINGO optical design contemplates an increased coverage in declination by vertically moving all horns in the focal plane at once in steps of 150 mm. Five different horn positions are allowed: the “zero” position (in a reference frame of the hexagon), two positions at ±150 mm, and two positions at ±300 mm. All ± displacements refer to the “zero” position. The Phase 1 plan is to change the horn positions in the focal plane every year, covering one position per year.

After five years of observations, with the focal plane displaced by ±150 mm and ±300 mm in the $y$-direction, the declination coverage will be $-10^\circ 10'4'' \leq \delta \leq 25^\circ 28'93''$. This declination range overlaps with a few interesting optical surveys, such as DES, 6dFGS, Pan-Starss, and the upcoming LSST; and
decreases the contribution to the data from GNSS transmitters, located at lower declinations.

Figure 12 shows a fraction of the sky coverage, centered in \( \text{dec} = -15^\circ \), when using the Phase 1 nominal focal plane for a 5-year mission simulation. One can clearly see the uniform coverage delivered by this configuration, except for the pixels at the border, which are undersampled. The 28 horns in the focal plane cover the complete right ascension range at a constant, different declination for each horn, with a very small beam overlap for adjacent horns.

The result of this observation strategy can also be seen in Fig. 13, where the minimum number of observations per HEALPix\(^2\) pixel (hits) as a function of the covered area is shown. The strategy of allowing the horns to be displaced in the vertical direction gives results in a more uniform covered area (12% more HEALpix pixels when compared to the pixels covered in the “zero” position only), which will allow us to extract the HI power spectrum more efficiently. Furthermore, Phase 1 configuration allows for a sufficient Nyquist sampling per beam width (∼1.2) during observing campaigns. The complete description of the simulations for the BINGO mission is found in companion Paper IV (Liccardo et al. 2022).

5. Calibration

There are several facets to the instrumental calibration and not all of these can be addressed with a single approach. For clarity, we identify the individual calibration challenges and set out how we plan to address them. Though some issues can be dealt with during the data processing, it is important to minimize them as much as possible using hardware so as to simplify the processing effort.

5.1. Gain stability

Finding a way to achieve the required gain stability is of fundamental importance as it dictates the architecture to be adopted for each of the receivers and may limit our ability to extract the weak HI signal. Since the telescope has a fixed scan strategy (drift scan), we must rely on the gain of each receiver channel remaining constant while structures with the angular scales of interest drift through the telescope beam. For BINGO, the largest angular scales have several degrees and such structures will take tens of minutes to drift through the beams. Ideally we wish to be limited by thermal noise on these timescales and not changes in receiver gains. Conventionally, gain fluctuations have a 1/\(f\) power spectral slope and white noise has a zero slope.

The impact of 1/\(f\) noise on intensity mapping has been explored in detail by Harper et al. (2018). One of their results indicates it is important that any gain fluctuations are highly correlated across the frequency band of interest. The spectral index of the frequency correlations is defined in the limits 0 < \(\beta\) < 1, where \(\beta = 0\) describes 1/\(f\) noise fluctuations that are identical in every frequency channel, whereas \(\beta = 1\) would describe 1/\(f\) noise that is independent in every channel. Preliminary measurements using a prototype of the BINGO correlation receiver at Jodrell Bank Observatory showed that the gain fluctuations did not differ much in adjacent channels, yielding an estimate for \(\beta \approx 0.25\) across the full 280MHz BINGO band, which means that 1/\(f\) gain fluctuations can be removed in later stages of the data analysis.

We plan to get as close to our goal of a 1 mHz knee frequency as possible by using correlation receivers that take the difference between the sky signal and that from a stable reference load such as colfets, which are LNAs used in reverse (Frater & Williams 1981). Preliminary laboratory measurements (Evans 2017) of the performance of the FCR indicate that knee frequencies of ∼10 mHz can be achieved using colfets. We are planning more tests using different types of LNA to reach the \(f_{\text{knee}} \sim 1\) mHz goal and the expectation is that we can achieve it with our current hardware setup.

Another promising technique for improving gain stability is the use of a single frequency, continuous wave (CW) signal generated by an oscillator (Pollak et al. 2019). We have done some initial laboratory tests on this method and obtain similar results to those reported by Pollak et al. (2019). Such a technique has several advantages. A single CW can be continuously transmitted from the center of the secondary dish so that all the horns can be simultaneously illuminated. The CW signal will appear

---

2 Hierarchical Equal Area isoLatitude Pixelation (Górski et al. 2005), \url{https://healpix.sourceforge.io}
in single channels of each of the digital backends and thus one source of CW can improve the gain stability of all receivers. We will use SKARABs\(^3\) as the BINGO digital backends, with nominal capability of processing 16384 FFT channels. Our choice of channels will be upwards of 1000 s, so sacrificing one for calibration purposes will have a negligible effect on the science outputs.

Since measurements indicate that gain changes are coherent across the band transmission of a single frequency, one CW frequency channel should be sufficient, though more than one would give some redundancy. At the same time, CW transmission would enable the calibration of the relative gains of each horn-receiver combination, which we address in the next subsection.

### 5.2. Relative gains of all the receiver channels

In order to combine the information from all the receiver outputs from different horns and with different hands of circular polarization, it is necessary to measure their relative gains. We intend to do this in two ways: with a CW signal as described above, and also with the periodic transmission of a broadband noise diode signal, which is also from the vertex of the secondary dish. The latter, being a broadband signal, will help with bandpass calibration, day to day monitoring of the system stability, and provide an additional way of normalizing gains. Efforts will be made to make the frequency response of the transmitting antenna as smooth as possible. Having an overall flat bandpass is helped by the cross-Dragone optical design of the telescope, one of whose nice features is that it has minimal standing waves.

### 5.3. System temperature fluctuations

Being additive, system temperature fluctuations are indistinguishable from sky temperature fluctuations picked up by each horn antenna. Therefore, system temperature fluctuations represent a serious problem that conventional calibration techniques are unable to address. Even if the contribution of the receiver electronics to the system is perfectly stable, any attenuation in front of the amplifiers will contribute to the system noise and any change in the physical temperature of these lossy components will change the overall system temperature. The effect of ambient temperature fluctuations on the horn and other waveguide components is of most concern. The obvious ways to mitigate the problem are: a) minimize the loss in all waveguide components; b) try to keep them all at the same physical temperature; and c) maximize the thermal inertia of these components. Thermal inertia will damp out fluctuations on a short timescale. We will deploy all of these measures. In terms of calibration, we will monitor the physical temperature of components and use the measured values to derive correction factors for the system temperature.

A rough estimate of the requirements on physical temperature stability can be made using the numbers quoted in Table 3. Our goal is to produce a receiver whose stability is limited by thermal noise for at least 100 s. Using Eq. (3), \( \Delta T / T \) for 100 s and a bandwidth of 280 MHz, the thermal noise fluctuations will be \( 6.4 \times 10^{-6} \). We require the changes in the system temperature arising from the lossy components to be of this order or less. The horn and waveguide components together contribute approximately 28 K to the overall system temperature. For simplicity we assume that all components share the same physical temperature.

Changing this temperature by 1 K from an ambient temperature \( T_{\text{amb}} = 300 \text{ K} \) will produce a change in the output power of one part in 300, which corresponds to 0.1 K in the system temperature. With a system temperature of 70 K, this will produce a fractional change in the output of 0.0014. We need this to be \( 6.4 \times 10^{-6} \). This implies that the temperature of the lossy components should be stable to better than 0.0046 K in order for the receiver output to remain thermal noise dominated on a 100 s timescale.

#### 5.4. Beam calibration

We have calculated that there are 28 sources stronger than 2 Jy in the region to be surveyed by BINGO. We will use their flux densities interpolated from a combination of different surveys to establish the BINGO flux density scale. Once we determine the gain of each horn-receiver combination from measurements, we can then convert the flux density scale into a temperature scale.

Astronomical sources, including the Moon, will pass through some of the beams and these can be used for a relatively crude calibration of the shape of the main beam. We can use the Moon since its brightness temperature as a function of lunar phase is known and it will pass through some of the BINGO beams. Also, we know its angular extent, and can deconvolve it as needed. To obtain information about the structure of the beams’ sidelobes, however, a high signal-to-noise is required.

Of the astronomical sources available, the Sun is by far the strongest and will pass at different times of the year through most of the beams. Since the radio emission from the Sun is not constant, it cannot be used for amplitude calibration, but the quiet Sun is stable enough on short timescales to measure beam shapes. This, however, is a mixed blessing since, if the solar emission can be detected in far out sidelobes, then it will be a source of an unwanted, time variable, signal. As part of the BINGO observing strategy, observations made when the Sun is close to the telescope pointing direction may have to be discarded.

On-off measurements of beam shapes are planned using a drone or a light aircraft. This is now an established technique (Chang et al. 2015). One limitation is that the Rayleigh distance is several km. Therefore the transmitting device will need to be at a height greater than this or near-field corrections will be required.

#### 5.5. Polarization calibration

The receiver system is designed to use circular polarization because the Galactic foreground emission is partially linearly polarized and we want to be insensitive to that. A linearly polarized calibration signal transmitted from the secondary vertex can be used as a direct test of leakage of linear polarization into nominally circularly polarized outputs.

The test will be performed by physically rotating the plane of the transmitted polarization and searching for any modulation in the receiver outputs. This should be a good test to check if the polarization response of the horn-polarizer combination behaves as expected and, as mentioned in the previous subsection, will be conducted as an on-off measurement.

#### 6. The site

The BINGO site was selected after weighting the best combination of factors such as isolation from urban areas, accessibility

---

\(^3\) Square Kilometre Array Reconfigurable Application Board – © Peralex Electronics.
but not an easy and direct access), suitable topography, and
the radio quietness of the environment since RFI produced by
human activities can be particularly damaging to the operation
of the instrument.

We conducted a campaign to survey sites from northern
Uruguay to northern Brazil, traveling (in a straight line) more
than 3000 km, making RFI measurements in 15 different
locations, as described in Peel et al. (2019). The quietest spot, with
hardly any mobile or ADS-B transponder signals on site, is
located near Aguiar, a small village about 5 h driving from João
Pessoa, the capital of Paraíba, in northeast Brazil. The Brazilian
map, indicating Paraíba state, João Pessoa, Campina Grande,
and Aguiar, appears in Fig. 14. The coordinates of the fiducial
site are (Lat: 7◦2′27.6″S; Lon: 38◦16′4.8″W).

The typical average temperature fluctuation throughout the
year in Aguiar is around 15 ◦C, with a maximum of 35.2 ◦C (in
November and December) and a minimum of 19.1 ◦C (in July
and August) (UFCG-DCA 2014b,c). The average precipitation
is ≈75 mm month−1, with a maximum usually between March
and April (higher than 150 mm month−1) and a minimum usu-
ally lower than 10 mm month−1, between August and October. It
should also be mentioned that, on top of the climatological data
averaged over 30 years, there is a drought cycle correlated with
the south Atlantic temperature anomaly, for roughly 4–5 years,
whose net effect is usually to cut the average precipitation in half
(UFCG-DCA 2014a). Figure 15 displays values for temperature
and precipitation for the year 2019.

Wind conditions are also important when considering the
structural aspects of the telescope and the deformation toler-
ances of the reflectors. A thorough study of wind regimes in
Paraíba was recently completed, indicating that the BINGO
region is expected to have typical winds from 5 m s−1 to 7 m s−1
at 150 m height (and the wind speed is slower for smaller
heights) (Camargo-Schubert 2017).

Despite the selected site being in a very remote rural area,
with a very low level of RFI contamination, as verified by a num-
ber of measurement campaigns, the BINGO band is not reserved
for radio astronomy observations. Thus the band itself and its
surroundings are subject to other RFI sources coming from the
sky, the most common being radionavigation transmitters and
harmonics from geostationary satellite broadcasting (Peel et al.
2019).

Harper et al. (2018) have investigated the impact of geosta-
tionary satellites in future single-dish HI IM surveys, using a
model of the SKA phase 1 array. They show that the GNSS sig-
nals will exceed the expected HI signal of any total power IM
HI surveys, observing in frequencies above 950 MHz and in the
declaration range −10 ≤ Dec ≤ −40. One of their suggestions
to suppress the GNSS signal is to build an instrument with a
very good beam sidelobe suppression, which is one of the most
important design requirements for BINGO.

In an additional attempt to keep the BINGO site an RFI-
free area, a request for a “radio quiet” zone around the site was
requested to the Brazilian regulator agency for telecommunica-
tions (ANATEL). We consider this action an essential step to
increase the chances of making high signal-to-noise observations of the desired HI signal. Following the best practices adopted by other large radio observatories, the proposal considers four zones with different radii, with requirements being more strict closer to the telescope, as described below.

For Zone 1 (0.5 km radius), radiation should be from telescope instrumentation only. Local electronic equipments should be encapsulated and communication should be made through wired devices. For Zone 2 (2 km radius), industrial activities, power plants (wind or solar), radios, wireless networks and radio repeaters will not be allowed. For Zone 3 (10 km radius), no new base radio stations or wind power plant will be allowed (there is only one now). For Zone 4 (25 km radius), current mobile antennas will be kept and new antennas can be installed upon the approval of both ANATEL and the consortium. The construction of wind power plants should not be allowed in the field of view of the telescope for at least 300 km.

7. Final considerations

This paper presents the current status of the BINGO telescope, describing its optical design, receiver, calibration, and observation strategy, consisting of what we call “the fiducial BINGO”. We also describe the site, updating the site selection information report in Peel et al. (2019). In this series of BINGO papers, besides this paper, we present a general overview of the project (Abdalla et al. 2022a), the optical system model (Abdalla et al. 2022b), which was carried using the characterization of the horn prototype (Wuensch et al. 2020), the mission simulations with the parameters listed in Table 1 (Liccardo et al. 2022), and two theoretical papers, one describing 21-cm mock catalogs (Zhang et al. 2022) and the other presenting cosmological forecasts for BINGO (Costa et al. 2022).

At the time of writing this paper, the engineering projects of the dishes and the structures to support dishes and horns have been completed, and the current status of the BINGO project is summarized in the points below:

1. The horn and front end (including polarizer, transitions, “magic tees”, and rectangular-to-coaxial transitions) prototypes have been built, tested, and approved, meeting the electromagnetic and mechanical requirements;
2. The construction of the 28 feed horns to be used in Phase 1 has started;
3. A prototype receiver chain has passed the initial tests;
4. SKARABs were chosen to be our operational digital backend. The results of its integration to the BINGO receiver system will be reported in a future work;
5. Optical design is finished, with the final dish parameters and focal plane arrangement having been simulated and tested in the mission pipeline data reduction software;
6. The engineering project for the telescope structure has been completed;
7. Site infrastructure (road work and terrain preparation) started in January 2021; and
8. Construction of the dishes and structures is expected to start in the second semester of 2021.

The artist’s view of the telescope positioned in the site is shown in Fig. 16. The view is based upon the engineering design (Fig. 3) and the architectonic project, with topographic curves (Fig. 4).

The main improvements considered for BINGO Phase 2 are the increase in the number of feed horns and upgrades in the receiver system. The optical project presented in Abdalla et al. (2022b) was carried out for 28 horns, but the chosen focal plane array allows for a mechanical extension to accommodate 56 horns, doubling the redundancy in the area covered and increasing the sensitivity by $\sqrt{2}$ per year. As better LNAs and filters become available, the receivers will be upgraded for Phase 2, aiming at a smaller $T_{sys}$.

We expect to see the BINGO first light by the end of 2022. If performing according to the results from the simulations and prototype tests, it will deliver high-quality HI and Galactic data, as well as detect many transient phenomena. During Phase 1, BINGO will give an important contribution to the IM community, paving the way for upcoming instruments and, eventually, it will be able to measure the BAO signal in the redshift range $0.13 < z < 0.45$, contributing to the efforts of a better understanding of the nature of DE.
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