Qualitative Parental Perceptions of a Paediatric Multidisciplinary Team Clinic for Prader-Willi Syndrome
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Abstract

Objective: This preliminary review was conducted to inform the design of a new service to support families with children with Prader-Willi syndrome (PWS). Families were invited to attend a pilot clinic at a hospital outpatient department, comprising appointments with a multidisciplinary team (MDT).

Methods: Following the clinic, families (n = 6) were invited to take part in semi-structured qualitative interviews that were audio-recorded, transcribed and analysed using thematic analysis.

Results: Families reported that the clinic offered enhanced support in the following categories: integrated care; professional input; signposting to social support (respite and financial); connection with the wider PWS community; and behavioural support.

Conclusion: This is the first paper that documents the parental perspective of an MDT clinic for children with PWS. The families felt an MDT clinic was superior to current care, offering more convenient access to an enhanced service, which would provide integrated and consistent care for their children’s diverse, challenging and changing needs.
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Introduction

Prader-Willi syndrome (PWS) is a neurodevelopmental genetic disorder caused by a lack of expression of the q11-q13 region on paternal chromosome 15 and has three genetic subtypes (1). The incidence of PWS is approximately 1 in 15,000 people (1). Clinical symptoms vary with age and the earlier symptoms include infantile hypotonia, hyperphagia, excessive weight gain, endocrine dysfunction, behavioural problems and psychiatric issues. Guidelines recommend a multidisciplinary team (MDT) approach to provide a multi-faceted approach to manage the diverse symptoms.

What is already known on this topic?

Prader-Willi syndrome is a complex, multisystemic, neurodevelopmental genetic disorder. Clinical symptoms vary with age and include infantile hypotonia, hyperphagia, excessive weight gain, endocrine dysfunction, behavioural problems and psychiatric issues. Guidelines recommend a multidisciplinary team (MDT) approach to provide a multi-faceted approach to manage the diverse symptoms.

What this study adds?

Medical and social care access varies greatly, and no family had previously accessed an MDT. Parents valued the connection with the specialist clinical team and with other families. Parents perceived an MDT clinic to be an efficient way to manage appointments and receive integrated timely support.
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problems such as tantrums, self-harm and psychiatric issues (1,2,3,4,5).

The multifaceted nature of this disorder provides challenges to clinicians, and medical care alone may leave needs unmet (6). A multidisciplinary team (MDT) clinic has been recommended as it provides a patient-centred, biopsychosocial approach to treatment (3,6). In an MDT clinic patients are seen by a wider range of health professionals equipped to support with behaviour, diet and community connections, and attending an MDT clinic has been shown to improve mortality and morbidity (6).

MDT clinics for PWS are not widespread across the UK. There is no specialist MDT clinic that can be accessed by the patients in the South West of England as part of a funding bid to initiate a clinic in this region of England, a single pilot MDT PWS clinic was conducted. Due to the low prevalence of PWS (5), the clinic would support families across a wide geographical area. To ensure the future clinic was designed around patients’ needs, the parents who attended this pilot clinic were asked to take part in a qualitative review that discussed the needs of their family and their perceptions of the clinic. Whilst several recent articles have reported that an MDT is the best model of care for children with PWS and their families from a health professional point of view (3,6,7), this preliminary work, for the first time, presents the parents’ perspective of an MDT PWS clinic.

Methods

Experimental Subjects

Families (n = 6) were selected from the regional database of children with PWS and confirmed their willingness to attend a pilot clinic. Participant characteristics are detailed in Table 1. On invitation, parents were informed about the opportunity to provide feedback. On arrival, a member of the clinical team introduced the clinic and the research team. All six sets of parents agreed to participate in the review and were consented by the research team. The families at current care varied but typically included a large number of appointments with a range of health professionals, with some accessing support through their child’s special education school and some through endocrine or weight management clinics, and others via PWS charities. One family had disengaged with their current NHS PWS care.

Interviews were conducted by EH (researcher) and JC (researcher) who were external to the clinical team and accompanied by RA (social worker). Interviews took place on a single day, in an outpatient ward of a large community hospital, where the clinic would likely be held if funded.
when compared to current care. Whilst families valued appointments with the endocrinologist - the typical care received by most families - they felt the MDT approach to be superior. Importantly, parents felt that an MDT clinic would enable a more “joined-up” approach to their care, facilitating collaborative, coordinated strategies without lengthy referral times (Table 2, 1a).

The clinic offered families a “one-stop-shop” reducing the disruption and time-off school caused by multiple appointments. This was beneficial when considering their children’s need for routine and gave parents the freedom to better manage other life commitments (Table 2, 1b). Whilst families acknowledged the sometimes-lengthy travel time to reach the clinic, parents felt it was acceptable to facilitate access to this breadth of support (Table 2, 1e).

Clinical Input

Access to Health Professionals

Families typically had, or had previously had, frequent contact with a wide range of medical professionals but there was a large disparity in access to services. Some special schools were reported to host clinics but this access was not available to all families. Most noted they only had regular contact with an endocrinologist, therefore access to other health professionals at a single clinic was praised (Table 2, 2a).

Families felt that it was beneficial to see every staff member present at the clinic. In addition, families recommended that the inclusion of speech and language teams (Table 2, 2b), physiotherapists, orthotics, and creative therapies would enhance the service further. Parents discussed how the inclusion of a play-worker would improve the impact of the clinic by providing a distraction for younger patients and supervising older children, thus reducing the need for parental supervision. One example of a benefit of this would be that parents of older children would be able to converse more candidly with clinicians about difficulties without these discussions taking place in front of the child (Table 2, 2c).

Expert Advice

Some parents were highly informed about best-practice in other clinics in the UK and internationally and were keen to ensure their child had the same access to current, top quality care (Table 2, 2d). They had participated in these interviews in part, to ensure staff connected with, and replicated the programmes running elsewhere and recommended that staff work collaboratively with charities to access specialist training (Table 2, 2e).

Reassurance

Other families explained that the greatest benefit to attending a specialised PWS clinic was to be able to “check in” with professionals, to ensure they were doing everything they could for their child. This reassurance renewed their sense of strength as parents, restoring their energy to maintain the levels of care required (Table 2, 2f).

Behavioural Support

Parents felt strongly about pro-actively managing children’s behavioural problems and felt that the pilot clinic had already given them helpful strategies to implement.

Food and Non-food Management

Parents explained that as behavioural problems were often triggered by food, seeing the dietitian and psychologist together enabled them to fully explore the relevant issues (Table 2, 3a). Strategies for wider behaviour management

Table 1. Participant characteristics

| Characteristics | Patients (n = 6) | Parents (n = 9) |
|-----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Gender          | Female 5       | Female 6       |
| Ethnicity       | Caucasian 6    | Caucasian 9    |
| Age             | <5 3           | 5-11 1         |
|                 | 12+ 2          | 12+ 2          |

Figure 1. Families experienced integrated support from an MDT led clinic

MDT: multidisciplinary team
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were also valued, particularly the parents of the older children who sought help for difficulties with violent outbursts, which had previously escalated to require police involvement in one case. They had previously refused offers of assistance, but they now felt they needed support to manage and were willing to accept this from the pilot clinic (Table 2, 3b).
Managing Developmental Milestones
The families reported that the consistency of the clinic would enable them to feel more supported throughout times of change (Table 2, 3c). Parents valued having clinical input on adjustments such as moving schools or their child progressing to independent living and also felt this expert input made them feel more equipped to share this knowledge with other key caregivers (Table 2, 3d).

Social Support
The inclusion of a social worker was integral to the family’s experience of the clinic. Many families were juggling their child’s care needs with the support of their wider families, without having access to the full range of support available to them.

Respite and Activities
Families were often not receiving formalised support packages. Therefore, for those who were not able to pay, children had little access to extra-curricular activities or social time with peers (Table 2, 4a). Parents of the older children specifically raised this “The two main things are respite and activities for him” (Mother of Child E, after). When children did attend activities, the parents reported being required to stay with their child, thus negating any respite effect and giving them little time for themselves or other family needs. Some families were occasionally supported by informal respite time with grandparents or friends. However, this was felt to be non-sustainable (Table 2, 4b).

Financial Support
Finances were a perceived barrier to improving the child’s wellbeing, independence and making dietary change (Table 2, 4c). Families were not always aware of the extent of the support available to them, and how to access it. The social worker was able to support with this, and families saw this as an asset to the clinic (Table 2, 4d).

School
School was a polarising experience for the families. Some parents reported schools being extremely supportive, typically those at special educational needs schools. These families had access to a wider range of support and additional health care facilities (Table 2, 4e).

Other families reported their child’s school to be unsupportive, offering little in the way of additional assistance. These families perceived the prospect of the clinic’s nurse and social worker aiding mediations with schools as an advantage.

Connections and Community
There was variability in knowledge about PWs across the families interviewed, and also the extent to which they were connected to other services and families.

Connections to Other Families of Children with PWS
Some families reported feeling isolated from others with PWS. Those who had engaged in either in-person or online support groups reported them to be a beneficial source of camaraderie and advice, as well as allowing parents to give back and support others. The clinic was felt to be beneficial in offering further opportunities to meet other families, regardless of their current level of connection (Table 2, 5a).

It is important to note that one family expressed that they had had concerns prior to the clinic about meeting older children with PWS due to an apprehension of experiencing what their life may be like in the future (Table 2, 5b).

Connection to PWS Specific Charities
The advice from, and connection to, PWS charities including Prader-Willi Syndrome Association (PWSA) and Foundation for Prader-Willi Research (FPWR) were highly valued. Even one family that refused most help, regularly contacted charities for advice (Table 2, 5c). Parents felt that having a representative from these organisations at the clinic would be beneficial (Table 2, 5d).

Research
Families sought to stay informed with the latest developments but feared that they would miss out due to the complex wording of academic works, and the geographical and cost barriers to attending conferences. Parents felt that having a professional who could summarise what recent research findings mean for their family would be advantageous (Table 2, 5e). Families were willing for their children to take part in research and were keen to support developments in PWS treatment and understanding (Table 2, 5f).

Discussion
Parents in this preliminary study felt that the MDT clinic facilitated the holistic care required to manage their child’s diverse needs. The clinic was perceived to be a potential hub for their child’s care (7), a sounding-board where families could share concerns and keep up-to-date with developments. Families felt a sense of apprehension about what the future held, knowing that their child’s condition and thus the challenges they faced would vary with age (7). By having consistent appointments, potentially every six months (6), throughout their child’s life, families were
optimistic that the clinic could offer sustainable management that would enable concerns to be pre-empted (7). As access to specialist care is currently not universally accessible (PWSA UK, 2019) this clinic would facilitate equal access to all in the region, regardless of geography or finances.

Families understood the MDT clinic to enable integrated care, with enhanced communication and reported coming-away with tangible, implementable actions, without lengthy referral times. Families in this review were more concerned about treatment outcomes involving health and social integration, and less directly concerned about weight. Centres of excellence for PWS care have been suggested to support socialisation by including family-based therapeutic options, liaising with schools and developing education health and care plans (6,9). This clinic goes further with an integrated social worker to implement links between healthcare, education, respite and activities to help their children thrive (10). Notably, the collaboration between the psychologist and dietician was valued, addressing the need to manage behavioural difficulties alongside the relationship with food (11). Parents suggested that other specialists who should attend the proposed MDT would be speech and language team members and specialists to help with concerns with, and these suggestions are consistent with guideline recommendations (3,6,7).

Every family commented on how they valued meeting other families. Whilst clinics may not perceive peer support to be the primary function of this kind of appointment, other UK clinics do list this as an aim for their clinic (12). As families very much appreciated these relationships, help in accessing relevant charities and networks to obtain further connection would be valuable.

The clinic was considered to be practical and worked logistically. Long journey times were considered worthwhile to receive this standard of care. The MDT condenses some children’s extensive calendar of appointments, reducing disruption; particularly important when the importance of routine (11,12) and the high prevalence of autism or autism-like characteristics in children with PWS is considered (13). Whilst this long appointment was preferable, in the interest of quality, privacy and attention, families voiced the importance of a play-worker to support their child during possibly lengthy appointments.

The views expressed may be transferable to other similar regions where families do not have access to an MDT. Should the clinic trial the MDT approach as their core offering, this would open opportunities to both quantitatively and qualitatively evaluate patient outcomes in a larger trial. An economic evaluation of the cost-effectiveness of the service may also provide insightful, and important data outcomes at this point.

Study Limitations

It is important to note that all-but-one of these families were previously engaged with treatment. Thus, further work with families who are currently disengaged with care would help to create a service that has broader appeal. In order to maintain research impartiality, researchers were external, and the clinical team, with the exception of the social worker, were not involved in interviews. However, as the interviews took place in the same setting and researchers had an in-depth understanding of PWS (14,15,16), this division may not have been absolute and may have influenced responses.

Conclusion

Families felt the experience of an MDT clinic was superior to visiting the endocrinologist alone, enabling them to address issues around social support and behaviour in addition to health. They felt the sustained presence of a specialist clinic offered the support needed to feel competent in pro-actively meeting their child’s needs.
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