Polarization Label-Free Microscopy Imaging of Biological Samples by Exploiting the Zeeman Laser Emission
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Mueller Matrix Microscopy exploits the generation and the analysis of polarized light to create label-free contrast in biological images. However, when dealing with Optical Scanning Microscopy, it is required a fast generation of the polarization states in order to obtain a good Signal-to-Noise Ratio at the pixel-dwell time rate. In this work, we propose a microscopy system based on a scanning beam architecture that is exploiting the simultaneous emission of orthogonal polarization states from a Zeeman laser to provide Mueller Matrix images. This approach is based on the detection of an interference signal that allows to time-encode polarization states directly from the laser source, without the need for further active components for the management of the polarization states. We provide the theoretical model behind this approach and we apply our new method to the imaging of biological samples. Our Mueller Matrix imaging setup enables high-speed scanning microscopy, while preserving compactness and simplicity of construction. Our findings may lead to more effective dissemination of label-free techniques and their use by biological researchers.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Optical microscopy techniques are among the most widely used imaging approaches in biological research. The most widely adopted microscopy methods are based on the detection of the fluorescence signal from a labelled sample. In most cases, this requires a precise preparation protocol in which fluorescent labels are properly linked to the specific structure of interest inside the specimens. However, there is an increasing interest also in label-free approaches, providing imaging contrast to biological structures without the need for any staining. Polarized light can be effectively exploited for this task. Indeed, the polarization state of the light can be altered by sample anisotropies, enabling label-free contrast, sensitive to morphological properties of the objects [1–3]. Different structural conformations, sizes, and orientations of inner structures inside specimens respond differently to polarized light, providing fingerprint signals without the need for fluorophores. Thus, complex sample preparation protocols are typically not needed. Furthermore, the light dose required to detect a polarization response is orders of magnitude lower than that required by fluorescence-based or nonlinear imaging methods. Therefore, polarization-based imaging techniques are a class of extremely gentle techniques with a very small risk of photodamage. The absence of the labelling molecules is an advantage when long observation times are needed since the photo-bleaching as well as most of the other issues related to the high light dose are inherently avoided.
Mueller Matrix (MM) imaging is becoming an increasing trend in label-free microscopy applied to biological investigations [4]. This approach relies on the Stokes-Mueller formalism [5, 6] describing the polarized light with a $4 \times 1$ Stokes vector ($\mathbf{S}$) and the sample with a $4 \times 4$ Mueller matrix ($[M]$). The elements of this latter describe the polarization response of the specimen – such as birefringence and dichroism – and the respective values are linked to the structural properties of the specimen, being the source of the label-free contrast [7, 8]. Therefore, the identification of a MM provides useful information, being the complete polarimetric fingerprint of the sample [2, 9].

The MM measurement is straightforward: a beam of light described by known Stokes vector ($\mathbf{S}_{in}$) is shined on an object ($[M]$), altering the polarization state. The resulting Stokes vector ($\mathbf{S}_{out}$) is later detected and analyzed. This process can be easily described in matrix form as follows:

$$\mathbf{S}_{out} = [M] \cdot \mathbf{S}_{in}$$ (1)

Here, the capability to encode the polarization information, i.e., to generate different $\mathbf{S}_{in}$ vectors, plays a crucial role, since it determines the possibility to identify the information about specific Mueller coefficients. Moreover, it influences several critical aspects both on the experimental setup and in the imaging performances. Indeed, every MM setup is characterized by a few fundamental blocks whose role is encoding and decoding the polarization state of the light. The Polarization State Generator (PSG) produces pure states, which can be encoded spatially, temporally or spectrally [4, 10]. Once the light interacted with the specimen, the altered Stokes coefficients are measured through the Polarization State Analyzer (PSA). Most imaging setups rely on an external stage that acts solely as a PSG, such as rotating birefringent optics, Photo-Elastic Modulators or Pockels Cells. These technologies may be relatively slow in some cases and in general, they require bulky external devices, driving electronics and power supply, as well as a careful alignment with the laser source to operate properly. The use of several active devices for light manipulation may introduce great complexity in the realization of a MM setup. Compactness and simplicity are particularly desirable when dealing with a multimodal imaging system: the compatibility between several microscopy techniques is needed both in terms of handiness of the imaging system and matching between the hardware requirements. In this work, we propose a MM scanning microscopy system where the encoding of the polarization state is carried out by a Zeeman Laser (ZL) that is so acting both as illumination unit and as PSG. This light source exploits the well-known Zeeman effect [11], generated by an external magnetic field applied to the gain medium of the laser. This laser produces two collinear beams with a small optical frequency difference (from few tens of kHz to few MHz) and orthogonal polarization states [12, 13]: the procedure here proposed is based on the detection of a beating signal whose oscillation frequency ($f_B$) corresponds to the frequency difference of these two optical components. After their interaction with a sample, if the orthogonal components of the light are forced to interfere, the polarization properties of the specimen are mapped in the spectrum of this interference signal rising from their beating. Since it is generated in the radiofrequency range, simple and standard optoelectronic instrumentations, such as photodiode and oscilloscope, can be exploited to detect and decode these polarization information [14, 15]. A significant compactness gain is so introduced in the experimental setup of a MM microscope, since two active staged are merged in a single device. However, the main advantage of this architecture is the high modulation rate of the polarization states. This allows faster acquisition of the signal, possibly in the typical pixel dwell time of a scanning microscopy system (a few $\mu$s), making so this technology also compatible with a multimodal microscopy system based on a scanning configuration. In this paper, we introduce the theoretical model of our acquisition scheme and its experimental validation. More in detail, we prove the capability of measuring specific Mueller Matrix coefficients using reference samples – such as a Linear Polarizer (LP) and a Half-Wave Plate (HWP) – and some biological samples – such as starch granules and collagen fibres. The development of this method paves the way to real-time Mueller Matrix imaging: further, the compactness of the setup and its compatibility with a Laser Scanning Microscope make this technology a good candidate for its use in a multimodal setup.

2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Laser Source and PSG

The first realization of a Zeeman Laser is close to the invention of the He-Ne gas laser [16, 17]: its peculiar light emission can be described as a dual-frequency, dual-polarization (DFDP) output and the build-up of this complex electromagnetic radiation is due to the well-known Zeeman Effect [11]. As anticipated, in our method this device acts both as light source and as PSG thanks to this peculiar laser emission. This laser system has been realized by using a custom 3D-printed mechanical holder to hold a commercial He-Ne laser tube (Uniphase, United States) and seven arrays of rare-earth magnets. Placed in a radial configuration around the laser tube, they trigger the Zeeman effect in the gain medium: thus, the output consists of a stable 1 mW red beam at 633 nm, made up of two laser lines spaced by 700 kHz. This configuration enables the beams to have orthogonal circular polarization states, typical of the Longitudinal Zeeman Effect Laser. Since the stability of the frequency spacing of these two optical components is also linked to the resonator length, a custom Stabilization Unit (SU) has been assembled to make the laser working properly. A beating signal ($f_B$) can be generated by means of a LP and detected with a photodetector and the stabilization allows the emission of a stable 700 kHz spectral line. The SU, shown in the yellow box in Figure 1, is made up of Polarizing and Unpolarizing Beam Splitters (PBS/UBS), a QWP, a LP and three photodiodes: two of them are needed to monitor the power of the two oscillating modes, whereas the third is used as frequency counter (FC) of the optical beating. A microcontroller ($\mu$C)
receives these feedback signals and drives a foil heater (FH) to obtain the laser stability, by minimizing the cavity length variation with respect to the temperature variations.

2.2 Architecture of the Microscope

The architecture of the scanning microscopy system can be seen in the blue box in Figure 1. A Scanning Stage (C2+, Nikon Instruments, JA) performs the raster scanning of the laser beam. A 20X/0.5NA Nikon objective (DIC-M Plan Fluor, Nikon Instruments, JA) has been used to focus the light on the sample and after this interaction, the transmitted light is collected by a 4X/0.13NA Nikon objective (CFI Plan Fluor, Nikon Instruments, JA).

The polarization state is analyzed employing a Wollaston Prism (WP10, Thorlabs, United States) – acting as PSA – to separate the X-polarized and the Y-polarized components. These two output beams carry the interference signal of the ZL detected with a couple of Si-Amplified photodiodes (PD X and PD Y, PDA36A-EC, Thorlabs, United States). The photocurrents signals are split into two parts and then conditioned, digitalized and stored by the units in the green box of the scheme: first, their AC components are extracted with a Lock-In Amplifier (LA, HF2LI, Zurich Instruments, CH) by means of an In-Phase/Quadrature (I/Q) demodulation. In order to do so, a phase reference is required and it is provided by feeding the LA with a reference beating signal coming from the SU of the Zeeman Laser. Meanwhile, the DC components are directly obtained by the Nikon C2 Workstation that is receiving also the LA output after the I/Q demodulation. Then, a Dell Workstation, where the Nikon NIS-elements software is installed, has been used to perform the real-time check on the imaging results of the setup. The analysis of the experimental data has been carried out employing custom Matlab (Mathworks, United States) routines.

2.3 Measurements of the Mueller Coefficients by Means of the Zeeman Beating Line

The following simple description of the electromagnetic field associated with the output of a Zeeman Laser is useful to appreciate its DFDP content:

\[
\vec{E}_I = \vec{E}_R + \vec{E}_L = A_R \cdot \vec{J}_R \cdot e^{-i(\omega t - kz)} + A_L \cdot \vec{J}_L \cdot e^{-i(\omega t - k'z)}
\]  

(2)

where \( \vec{J}_R \) and \( \vec{J}_L \) are the Jones vectors describing the right and left polarized state, respectively

\[
\vec{J}_R = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ i \end{bmatrix}, \quad \vec{J}_L = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -i \end{bmatrix}
\]  

(3)

However, the intensity-based description of the polarization states carried out by the Stokes vector is more useful when dealing with Mueller Matrix Microscopy, as stated by McClain et al. [18].
Notably, two elements are oscillating at the beating frequency \(\omega_B = 2\pi f_B\). Thus, the polarization state of the light is encoded in time at high frequency. The experimental procedure described here shows how it is possible to extract the values of the MM of the sample by analyzing the amplitudes of the beating signal. The architecture of the setup is depicted in Figure 1: the PSG and the light source are combined in the Zeeman Laser, whereas the PSA consists of a Wollaston Prism that is splitting the light into two orthogonally linearly polarized components directed toward a couple of photodetectors. All the optics in between these stages can be neglected in the following mathematical description since their capability to modify the polarization state is ideally null. The resulting Stokes vectors are obtained by the product of the Mueller Matrices associated with the optical element in the system and the input beam generated by the Zeeman Laser (Eq. 4): so, the polarization state of light signals detected by the photodiodes are represented in Eqs 5, 6.

\[
\vec{S}_\text{X/\text{Y}}(\omega_B) = [M_X] \cdot [M] \cdot \vec{S}_\text{Z}(\omega_B)
\]

(5)

\[
\vec{S}_\text{Y/\text{X}}(\omega_B) = [M_Y] \cdot [M] \cdot \vec{S}_\text{Z}(\omega_B)
\]

(6)

Where the effect of the PSA is described by \([M_X]\) and \([M_Y]\) matrices, respectively the Mueller matrices of a polarizer aligned along the X (0°) and Y (90°) – axis. The specimen matrix is a general Mueller Matrix \([M]\).

\[
[M] = \begin{bmatrix}
m_{00} & m_{01} & m_{02} & m_{03} \\
m_{10} & m_{11} & m_{12} & m_{13} \\
m_{20} & m_{21} & m_{22} & m_{23} \\
m_{30} & m_{31} & m_{32} & m_{33}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(7)

The first element of each output Stoke vector in Eqs 5, 6 represents the intensity detected by the two photodiodes after the PSA: it contains information about Mueller coefficients of the sample matrix that are associated both to its DC and AC component, as shown by the following equations.

\[
I_X(\omega_B) = m_{00} + m_{10} + (m_{01} + m_{11})\cos(\omega_B t) + (m_{02} + m_{12})\sin(\omega_B t)
\]

(8)

\[
I_Y(\omega_B) = m_{00} - m_{10} + (m_{01} - m_{11})\cos(\omega_B t) + (m_{02} - m_{12})\sin(\omega_B t)
\]

(9)

Where \(I_{X/Y}\) are the photocurrent signals of the X/Y detector and \(m_{ij}\) are the elements of the MM of the sample. By performing the I/Q demodulation on both \(I_X\) and \(I_Y\) with the reference signal oscillating at \(\omega_B\), information about DC and AC components can be separated. The sum and the subtraction of the resulting values allow to identify up to six Mueller elements from a single measurement, using the following relationships.

\[
m_{00} = \frac{<I_X> + <I_Y>}{2}
\]

(10)

\[
m_{10} = \frac{<I_X> - <I_Y>}{2}
\]

(11)

\[
m_{01} = \frac{<I_X, \cos(\omega_B t)> + <I_Y, \cos(\omega_B t)>}{2}
\]

(12)

\[
m_{11} = \frac{<I_X, \cos(\omega_B t)> - <I_Y, \cos(\omega_B t)>}{2}
\]

(13)

\[
m_{02} = \frac{<I_X, \sin(\omega_B t)> + <I_Y, \sin(\omega_B t)>}{2}
\]

(14)

\[
m_{12} = \frac{<I_X, \sin(\omega_B t)> - <I_Y, \sin(\omega_B t)>}{2}
\]

(15)

With this configuration, the following six elements of the Mueller Matrix of the sample can be identified at the rate of the Zeeman Beating Frequency. The DC amplitudes are given by the average of the oscillating signal, whereas the AC components are the results of the I/Q demodulation carried out by the Lock-In Amplifier with the reference signal, oscillating at the beating frequency too. The measured MM coefficients are the following.

\[
[M] = \begin{bmatrix}
m_{00} & m_{01} & m_{02} & m_{03} \\
m_{10} & m_{11} & m_{12} & m_{13} \\
x & x & x & x \\
x & x & x & x
\end{bmatrix}
\]

The measured values are used to build pixel-by-pixel the corresponding six images.

2.4 Sample Preparation

The validation of the polarization measurement has been carried out with some reference polarization optics, namely a Linear Polarizer (VIS 700 BC4 CW02 ColorPol, CODIXX AG, DE) and a Half-Wave Plate (AHWP10M-600, Thorlabs, United States): the coefficients of their Mueller Matrices have been measured and then compared with reference theoretical values. The imaging capabilities were tested on biological samples. Dried thin slices of potatoes were put in a microscope glass slide: the starch granules embedded in them have been imaged because of their strong response to polarized light. The other sample is made of collagen fibres from the medial collateral ligament of rat knee (female Sprague–Dawley rats, 3 months old). After removal of the fascia and overlying muscles, the ligament was drop-fixed with the appropriate fixative, 10% neutral buffered formalin for 24 h, dehydrated in graded alcohols, embedded in paraffin and sectioned at a thickness of 7 μm [19].

3 APPLICATIONS

3.1 Characterization of Polarization Setup Performances

The validation of the technique has been carried out by calibrating the setup to reproduce the ideal response of the air and then by characterizing Polarization Optics exhibiting a strong dichroic and birefringent response. Without any sample in the objective focal plane, the polarization response of the air is that of the identity matrix. So elements lying in the main diagonal of the Mueller Matrix of the air should have a value close to 1 whereas the off-diagonal elements must be nearly 0. This calibration is taking into account the different responses of the two samples.
photodiodes in the detection stage after the PSA and other non-idealities in the experimental setup. Then, the pixels associated with the image of each Mueller coefficient have been normalized with the $m_{00}$ image, representing the total intensity of the light transmitted by the sample. The results of the calibration and normalizations steps are perfectly flat images reproducing the values of the identity matrix when the air is imaged. Then, the homogeneity of the response in the scanning acquisition has been evaluated: a custom sample made by two different materials (a piece of Linear Polarizer and the simple microscopy glass slide used as mounting medium) has been imaged and reported in Figure 2. The two different regions of this sample are assumed to have a homogenous structure and polarization response. This sample has so been used to check the different contrast associated with the response to polarized light and the dispersion of the intensity of the pixels evaluated in each region (labelled A and B, respectively associated to the linear polarizer and the glass slide) of the custom specimen.

Then, a LP and a HWP have been placed in the focal plane of the microscope and rotated using a rotating holder. These characterizations have been carried out to validate the technique since these two samples are exhibiting the two physical properties (Dichroism and Birefringence) that can be sensed with this method. Each experimental point (blue square) results from the averaging of a whole image associated with its respective Mueller coefficients, for a specific orientation of the optics. The experimental results follow the behaviour expected by the theory even if some residual discrepancy is influencing the comparison between the theoretical plot and the experimental points (Figure 3).

The influence of the optical elements in the microscope setup may explain the error that could be modelled as an imperfect polarization excitation of the sample. Small dichroic response of the mirrors and residual birefringence in the optical elements in the microscope may perturb the polarization state of the light coming from the ZL: so, the light effectively impinging on the sample could not be perfectly modelled with the expression state by Eq. 4 anymore. Anyway, the discrepancy is not so relevant as to compromise the imaging performance of the microscopy setup: of course, without any alteration, the polarization contrast will be sharper but since its degradation is modest, the setup can provide imaging contrast as well. The good results of these characterizations confirmed the possibility to measure

FIGURE 2 | A Linear Polarizer (region A) and a simple microscopy glass slide (region B) have been used as reference sample. In the bottom part of the images associated with each Mueller coefficient are reported the statistics (mean and standard deviation) of the pixels inside the dashed squares (A and B) for each Mueller image.
these coefficients by exploiting the polarization encoded in the Zeeman Beating Line.

### 3.2 Biological Samples: Starch and Collagen Fibers

The label-free imaging capabilities have been tested on organic samples well known for their clear response to polarized light.

The pixel-dwell time used for the following acquisitions has been set equal to 10.8 μs, allowing full recordings of a 512 × 512 pixels image in less than 3 s with our scanning microscopy setup. **Figure 4A** represents the results on starch granules embedded in potato cells: the $m_{00}$ image is showing the total transmitted intensity of the light and it allows to see the clear shape and the outline of the granules. The $m_{01}$ coefficient is related to the linear dichroism and it is possible to see the red-blue alternation within...
each starch granule, associated with positive-negative values of its pixels: this is a typical response for this kind of sample, showing a different molecular arrangement in the different regions of the starch granules.

Another interesting sample studied with polarized light is collagen fibres: in Figure 4B we report images of collagen fibres coming from ligaments of rat. The fibres orientation provides a strong contrast to dichroism in Mueller Matrix imaging, as is shown by the different colours in the collagen fibres that are linked to their orientation and arrangement. So, the fast modulation of the polarization state in combination with the microscopy setup can be effectively used to study orientation and compactness in this kind of sample.

4 CONCLUSION

Mueller Matrix microscopy is an inherent label-free multimodal imaging approach since it can provide several contrast mechanisms depending on the specific component of polarization response. Among the wide variety of techniques implemented for this imaging method, few of them can deal with the short pixel dwell time in fast scanning microscopy configuration. Here we demonstrated the ZL can satisfy this requirement and it can simplify the setup since the role of the light stage and the PSG are merged in a single device. The performance of this setup has been validated with polarization optics and images of biological samples with a clear structure exhibiting contrast to polarized light have been presented. The polarization encoded in the 700 kHz beating signal coming from the analysis of the light emitted from the Zeeman Laser, allows to match the speed requirement of a scanning microscope: indeed, imaging with pixel-dwell time around 10 μs have been demonstrated. This technology, based on the heterodyne detection of the optical components of a dual-frequency, dual-polarization laser, can be further improved by increasing the magnitude of the magnetic field in the active medium, to increase the value of the beating frequency, or with a different DFDP light source. The sharp polarization-based contrast, combined with the imaging speed enabled by this setup, allows performing fast label-free acquisition with scanning microscopy platforms, which are essential tools to perform imaging of biological specimens. Moreover, the absence of any dedicated stage for the tailoring of the polarization state simplifies the build-up of the setup, since it allows to get rid of complex optical alignment and the use of additional external devices. We believe that this method will pave the way to real-time Mueller Matrix imaging, further spreading its application in biological research as a label-free imaging approach.
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