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Abstract

Using the integral representations of the solutions of Schrödinger equation, which are the essential ingredients of the Gel'fand-Levitan and Marchenko integral equations of inverse scattering theory, we obtain a general theorem on the positivity of some integral transforms, and extend the theorem of Bochner on Fourier transforms of functions of positive type to more general transforms. The present study is restricted to the positive half-axis. We then obtain a theorem on the positivity of Fourier cosine transform of the phase-shifts.
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I - Introduction

In a recent paper [1], we generalized the following theorem (Titchmarsh [2]):

**Theorem 1.** In order for \( \tilde{f}(k) \), the Fourier sine transform of \( f(r) \),

\[
\tilde{f}(k) = \int_{0}^{\infty} f(r) \sin kr \, dr ,
\]

(1)
to be positive, it is sufficient to have

\[
\begin{align*}
& f(r) \text{ non-increasing over } (0, \infty), \\
& \text{integrable over } (0, 1), \\
& \text{and } f(r) \to 0 \text{ as } r \to \infty.
\end{align*}
\]

(2)

It is to be remarked that \( \sin kr \) is a solution of \( \varphi''_0 + k^2 \varphi_0 = 0 \), with \( \varphi_0(0) = 0 \).

Consider now the (Schrödinger) equation

\[
\begin{align*}
\varphi''(k, r) + k^2 \varphi(k, r) &= V(r) \varphi(k, r) , \\
r \in [0, \infty) , \ V(r) &> 0 , \\
\varphi(k, 0) &= 0 , \ \varphi'(k, 0) = 1 ,
\end{align*}
\]

(3)

where prime denotes differentiation with respect to \( r \). The potential (positive) is supposed to satisfy the usual Bargmann-Jost-Kohn condition [3, 4]

\[
\int_{0}^{\infty} r \, V(r) \, dr < \infty .
\]

(4)

Under this condition, one can show that \( \varphi(k, r) \) is well-defined and unique, and is, for each fixed \( r \), an even entire function of exponential type in \( k \), having the asymptotic behaviour [3, 4, 5]

\[
\varphi(k, r) = \frac{\sin kr}{k} + \cdots , \ |k| \to \infty ,
\]

(5)

Also, for \( k \) fixed and real, one has [3, 4, 5]

\[
\varphi(k, r) = A(k) \frac{\sin(kr + \delta(k))}{k} + \cdots , \ r \to \infty ,
\]

(6)
where \( A(k) \) is a positive factor, and \( \delta(k) \) (the phase-shift) is a real and odd function of \( k \). In general, for \( V(r) > 0 \), one has \( \delta(k) < 0 \), and for \( V(r) < 0 \), \( \delta(k) > 0 \) [6].

We can now define, with the help of \( \varphi(k, r) \), the integral transform of a function \( f(r) \) by:

\[
\tilde{f}(k) = \int_0^\infty f(r) \varphi(k, r) \, dr .
\]  

(7)

This is a generalization of (1) since, for \( V(r) = 0 \), \( \varphi \) become \( \frac{\sin kr}{k} \). Since the asymptotic behaviours of \( \varphi \) for \( r \to \infty \) and \( k \to \infty \) are very similar to that for \( V(r) = 0 \), one can use all the machinery of usual Fourier integrals to study the convergence, summability, and inverse transforms of (7) [2, 7]. In [1], we generalized Theorem 1 to:

**Theorem 2.** In order for \( \tilde{f}(k) \), defined by (7), to be positive, it is sufficient for \( f(r) \), to be of the form

\[
f(r) = \int_r^\infty \left[ \chi_0(r) \varphi_0(t) - \varphi_0(r) \chi_0(t) \right] g(t) \, dt ,
\]  

(8)

where \( g(t) \) is an arbitrary positive function such that the integral converges at infinity. Here, \( \varphi_0(r) \equiv \varphi(k = 0, r) \) is the solution of (3) at zero energy, and \( \chi_0(r) \) is the second, independent solution at \( k = 0 \), defined by

\[
\chi_0(0) = 1 , \quad W(\varphi_0, \chi_0) \equiv \varphi_0'\chi_0 - \varphi_0\chi_0' = 1 .
\]  

(9)

It is well-known that since \( V(r) > 0 \), \( \varphi_0(r) \) is an increasing convex function of \( r \), and one has [3, 4, 5]:

\[
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\varphi_0(r) \equiv \frac{A_0 r + B_0 + \cdots}{r}, \\
A_0 > 1 , \quad B_0 < 0
\end{array} \right.
\]  

(10)

where \( A_0 \) is given by \( A(k = 0) \) of [5]. Remember that, by definition, \( \varphi_0(0) = 0 \), and \( \varphi_0'(0) = 1 \). It can be checked now in a straightforward manner that one can define \( \chi_0(r) \) by

\[
\chi_0(r) = \varphi_0(r) \int_r^\infty \frac{dt}{\varphi_0^2(t)} \, dt .
\]  

(11)

It is then easily seen that, indeed,

\[
\chi_0(0) = 1 , \quad \lim_{r \to 0} r \chi_0(r) = 1 , \quad \chi_0(\infty) = \frac{1}{A_0} .
\]  

(12)
It follows that $\chi_0(r)$ is a decreasing convex function of $r$. From (11), formula (8) can also be written as

$$f(r) = \varphi_0(r) \int_r^\infty \varphi_0(t) g(t) \, dt \int_r^t \frac{du}{\varphi_0^2(u)} .$$

(13)

In this formula, all the terms are positive, and so it is all easy to find what one has to impose on $g(t)$ in order to have $f(r) \in L^p(0)$, or $L^p(\infty)$, etc.

One finds that

$$h_1(r) \equiv \int_r^1 tg(t) \in L^p(0,1) \Leftrightarrow f(r) \in L^p(0,1) ,$$

$$h_2(r) \equiv \int_r^\infty tg(t) \, dt \in L^p(1,\infty) \Leftrightarrow f(r) \in L^p(1,\infty) .$$

(14)

**Remark.** For having $f(r) \in L^1(0,1)$ as in Theorem 1 of Titchmarsh, it is sufficient for $g(r)$ to be less singular than $r^{-3}$ at the origin. Also, in order to have $f(\infty) = 0$, it is sufficient to have $rg \in L^1(1,\infty)$. The only difference between our conditions on $f$ in Theorem 2, and the conditions in Theorem 1 is that now, as can be seen from (13), we have

$$f'' = V(r) f + g(r) ,$$

(15)

which means that, even when $V(r) = 0$, we must have $f(r)$ convex, whereas, in Theorem 1, $f(r)$ had to be only non-increasing. The reason is that our Theorem 2 is quite general, and applies with any positive potential $V(r)$ in (3) and (4), whether monotonous (decreasing !) or not. If we are willing to assume that $V(r)$ is non-increasing, then it can be shown that we have :

**Theorem 1’.** If $V(r)$ is non-increasing, then Theorem 1 applies as well to (7) under the same conditions on $f(r)$, i.e. $\tilde{f}(k)$ is positive if one has (2). The proof mimiks the simple proof of Theorem 1, as given in [2]. Taking, as example, the centrifugal potential $\ell(\ell + 1)/r^2$, $\ell > 0$, one is led to Hankel transforms where one finds many examples of integrals in which $f(r)$ satisfies (2), and which are positive [2, chap. 8].

4
II. Bochner’s Theorem

The purpose of the present paper is to generalize, using the same technique as in [1], the following theorem [7, 8, 9]:

**Theorem 3 (Bochner):** If \( \alpha(t) \) is a non-decreasing bounded function on \((-\infty, \infty)\), and if \( F(x) \) is defined by the Stieltjes integral

\[
F(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ixt} \, d\alpha(t) , \quad -\infty < x < \infty ,
\]

then \( F(x) \) is a continuous function of positive type. We recall the reader that a (not necessarily measurable) function \( F(x) \) defined on \((-\infty, \infty)\) is said to be of positive type if

\[
\sum_{m=1}^{s} \sum_{n=1}^{s} a_m a_n \, F(x_m - x_n) > 0
\]

for any finite number of arbitrary real \( x_1, \ldots, x_s \) and a like number of complex \( a_1, \ldots, a_s \). Conversely, if \( F(x) \) is measurable on \((-\infty, \infty)\), and \( F \) is of positive type, then there exists a non-decreasing bounded function \( \alpha(t) \) such that \( F(x) \) is given by (16) for almost all \( x, -\infty < x < \infty \). We should remark that, in the converse theorem, Bochner assumed \( F(x) \) to be continuous, and showed that \( \alpha(t) \) is such that (16) is true for all \( x \). F. Riesz showed that measurability of \( F(x) \) was sufficient in the converse theorem.

We are now going to generalize the above theorem by replacing, as we did similarly in [1], the exponential function in (16) by the appropriate solution of the differential equation [3], namely the Jost solution, which satisfies [3, 4, 5]

\[
\begin{cases}
  f''(k, r) + k^2 f(k, r) = V(r) \, f(k, r) , \\
  r \in [0, \infty) , \quad V(r) > 0 , \quad V \in L^1(0,1) , \quad rV \in L^1(1,\infty) , \\
  \lim_{r \to \infty} e^{-ikr} f(k, r) = 1 , \\
  \lim_{r \to \infty} e^{-ikr} f'(k, r) = ik .
\end{cases}
\]

Moreover, for each fixed value of \( r (\geq 0) \), \( f(k, r) \) is holomorphic and bounded for \( k \) in \( Im \, k > 0 \). It vanishes exponentially there as \( |k| \to \infty \). For \( k \) real, \( f(k, r) \) is simply bounded.
Here, since we consider the half-axis \( r \in [0, \infty) \), we must restrict the support of \( \alpha(t) \) in (16) to be also in \([0, \infty)\). We shall study the case of the full axis \( x \in (-\infty, \infty) \) in a separate paper.

**Remark.** If the support of \( \alpha(t) \) is restricted to the half axis \( t \geq 0 \) in (16), it is obvious that \( F(x) \) can be extended analytically in the upper half-plane \( \text{Im} \ x > 0 \), and it is holomorphic and bounded there.

The theorem which generalizes **Theorem 3** of Bochner is now as follows:

Consider the Stieltjes integral

\[
\tilde{f}(k) = \int_0^\infty f(k,r)d\alpha(r) ,
\]

where \( f(k,r) \) is the (Jost) solution defined by (18).

Now the Jost solution defined by (18) has the integral representation [5, chap. V ; 11, chap. 4] :

\[
f(k,r) = e^{ikr} + \int_r^\infty A(r,t) e^{ikt} dt ,
\]

where the kernel \( A(r,t) \in L^1(r,\infty) \cap L^2(r,\infty) \) in \( t \), and is the solution of the integral equation

\[
A(r,t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{r+1}^{\infty} V(s) \, ds + \int_r^\infty ds \int_0^{\frac{t-r}{2}} V(s-u) A(s-u, s+u) \, du .
\]

It can be shown that this integral equation has a unique positive solution obtained by iteration (absolutely convergent series !) and satisfies the bound \((V(r) > 0 !) :\)

\[
0 < A(r,t) \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{r+1}^{\infty} V(s) \, ds \left[ \exp \int_r^\infty uV(u) \, du \right] .
\]

Note here that the integrals are absolutely convergent. Also, it is obvious on (22) and the integrability conditions on \( V(r) \) shown in (18), that \( A(r,t) \) is a bounded continuous function, and goes to zero at infinity when \( r \leq t \to \infty \) or \( t \to \infty \). We can then replace in (19) \( f(k,r) \) by its integral representation (20), exchange the
order of integrations, to find \[2, 7, 8\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\tilde{f}(k) &= \int_0^\infty e^{ikr} \, d\beta(r) , \\
\beta'(r) &= \alpha'(r) + \left( \int_0^r A(t,r) \, d\alpha(t) \right) dr .
\end{align*}
\] (23a, b)

\(A(t, r)\) being positive, it follows that \(\beta(r)\) is bounded and increasing, if \(\alpha(r)\) is so. We can therefore mimic the proof of theorem 3, as given in \[8\], and prove the existence of \(\beta(r)\), bounded and increasing, by using the second part of Theorem 3. Once the existence of \(\beta(r)\) is shown, one has to solve the Volterra integral equation \[12\]

\[
\alpha'(r) = \beta'(r) - \int_0^r A(t,r) \, \alpha'(t) \, dt .
\] (24)

The kernel \(A(t, r)\) being a bounded continuous function, it is known that (24) has a unique solution obtained by iteration, i.e. iterating (24), by starting from \(\beta'(r)\), we obtain an absolutely and uniformly convergent series defining the solution \[12\]. Moreover, since \(A(t, r) \to 0\) as \(r \to \infty\), all the higher terms of the series go to zero. In fact, they are all \(L^1(\infty)\) since

\[
\int_0^\infty V(s) \, ds \in L^1(1, \infty) .
\] (25)

The first term of the series, namely \(\beta'(r)\) being itself \(L^1\), it is obvious that the solution \(\alpha'(r)\), given by an absolutely and uniformly convergent series, is also \(L^1\). If we call \(M\) the absolute bound of \(A(r, t)\) for all \(0 \leq r \leq t \leq \infty\), it is trivial to find

\[
|\alpha'(r)| < \beta'(r) \, e^{M\beta(r)} .
\] (26)

Since \(\beta(r)\) is a bounded increasing function of \(r\), it follows that \(\alpha(r)\) is a bounded function. Putting together everything, we have:

**Theorem 4.** Consider the Stieltjes integral (19), with \(\alpha(r)\) positive, bounded, and non-decreasing. Then \(\tilde{f}(k)\) is a function of positive type having the usual representation (23a, b). Conversely, if we consider a function \(\tilde{f}(k)\), holomorphic and bounded in \(Im \, k > 0\), and of positive type, then it can be represented in the form (19), where \(\alpha(r)\), bounded, but not necessarily positive or non-decreasing, is given.
by the unique solution of the Volterra integral equation (24). The kernel \( A(t, r) \) itself is defined by the unique solution of the integral equation (21), \( V(r) \) being the potential defining the integral representation (19) via (18).

**Remark.** Notice the unsymmetry between \( \alpha(r) \) and \( \beta(r) \). If \( \alpha(r) \) is non-decreasing, so is also \( \beta(r) \). However, the converse is not true, as seen on (24), unless \( A(t, r) \) is small, so that, in the iteration of (24), the dominant term is \( \beta'(r) \). And for \( A(t, r) \) to be small enough, one sees on (22) that \( V(r) \), positive, must be small enough.

### III. Applications

In ref. [1], we gave an application of our theorem 2 to secure the absence of positive energy bound states (bound states embedded in the continuum) in the radial Schrödinger equation for a class of nonlocal potentials. We give now an application of the Bochner’s Theorem to the Fourier integral representation of the phase-shift.

We consider again the \( S \)-wave for simplicity, i.e. equation (3) with condition (4). It can be shown that the phase shift \( \delta(k) \) can be written as [5]

\[
\delta(k) = -\int_0^\infty \gamma(t) \sin kt \; dt ,
\]

\[
\gamma(t) \text{ real and } \in L^1(0, \infty) .
\]

The minus sign in front of the integral is just for convenience. Clearly, \( \delta(k) \) is a continuous and bounded function of \( k \) for all \( k \geq 0 \), and vanishes at \( k = 0 \) and \( k = \infty \). Moreover, one can show that [5]

\[
\frac{\delta(k)}{k} \in L^1(0, \infty) .
\]

Another representation of the phase-shift is the following [14]

\[
\delta(k) = -k \int_0^\infty V(r) \frac{\varphi^2(k, r)}{\varphi'^2(k, r) + k^2 \varphi^2(k, r)} \; dr ,
\]

where \( \varphi(k, r) \) is the physical solution defined in (3), the integral being absolutely convergent.
Remark. For \( k \) real and \( \neq 0 \), the fraction under the integral
\[
\phi(k, r) = \frac{\varphi^2(k, r)}{\varphi'^2(k, r) + k^2 \varphi^2(k, r)}
\] (30)
is always a bounded function for all \( k \geq 0 \), and all \( r \geq 0 \). Indeed, for \( k > 0 \), \( \varphi \) and \( \varphi' \) can not both vanish simultaneously for some \( r = r_0 \) without having \( \varphi \equiv 0 \) [10]. For \( r = 0 \), the denominator is just 1, by definition. For \( k = 0 \), the denominator reduces to \( \varphi'^2(0, r) \), and because of \( V(r) \geq 0 \), \( \varphi'(0, r) \) is an increasing function of \( r \), starting from \( \varphi'(0, r = 0) = 1 \) [11 [10]. Moreover, because of (5), we have, for each \( r \) \( \geq 0 \) fixed,
\[
\phi(k, r) = \frac{\sin^2 kr}{k^2} + \cdots , \quad k \to \pm \infty
\] (31)
so that \( \phi(k, r) \in L^1(0, \infty) \) in the variable \( k \).

From formula (29), one can show that \( \delta(k) \) is a differentiable function of \( k \) for all \( k > 0 \) [11]. In order to secure also the differentiability at \( k = 0 \), one needs to impose the extra condition at infinity:
\[
r^2V(r) \in L^1(1, \infty). \quad (32)
\]
We can summarize the above results in the following known:

**Theorem 5.** Under the condition (4) on the potential, the phase-shift \( \delta(k) \) is a continuous and bounded function of \( k \) for all \( k \geq 0 \), and satisfies (28). It is also continuously differentiable for all \( k > 0 \). If (32) is also satisfied, the derivative exists for \( k = 0 \), and is finite. Obviously, \( \delta(0) = \delta(\infty) = 0 \).

We introduce now
\[
\Gamma(t) = \int_t^\infty \gamma(u) \, du. \quad (33)
\]
By definition, \( \Gamma(t) \) is a bound and continuous function of \( t \) for all \( t \geq 0 \), and \( \Gamma(\infty) = 0 \). Using now \( \gamma(t) = -\Gamma'(t) \) in (27), and integrating by parts, we find
\[
\delta(k) = -k \int_0^\infty \Gamma(t) \cos kt \, dk, \quad (34)
\]
the integral being convergent at infinity by the Abel lemma [2]. Comparing (34) with (29), and inverting the Fourier cosine transform, we get
\[
\Gamma(t) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{-\delta(k)}{k} \cos kt \, dk = \int_0^\infty \left[ \int_0^\infty V(r) \frac{\varphi^2}{\varphi'^2 + k^2 \varphi^2} \, dr \right] \cos kt \, dk
\]
\[ V(r)dr \int_0^\infty \frac{\varphi^2(k, r)}{\varphi^2 + k^2} \cos kt \, dk , \]  

(35)

the exchange of the two integrations being allowed by virtue of the remark after (29), i.e. (31).

For each fixed \( r \geq 0 \) \( \phi(k, r) \), defined by (30), is a real, bounded, and continuous function of \( k \) for all \( k \geq 0 \), and vanishes at \( k = \pm \infty \); Obviously, it is also positive, and even in \( k \). Therefore, it is straightforward to show that \( \phi \) is a function of positive type as was defined in Theorem 3. It follows that, according to the Theorem, for each \( r \) fixed \( (\geq 0) \), we have

\[ \phi(k, r) = \int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{ikt} \, d\alpha(r, t) , \]  

(36)

\( \alpha \) being a bounded non-decreasing function of \( t \). However, \( \phi(k, r) \), satisfying (31), is \( L^1(0, \infty) \) in \( k \). The inversion of (36) then shows that, in fact \( \dot{\alpha}(r, t) = d\alpha(r, t)/dt \) is continuous and bounded, and vanishes at \( t = \pm \infty \). Using now the fact that \( \phi(k, r) \) is a real even function of \( k \), we can write (36) as

\[ \begin{align*}
\phi(k, r) &= \int_0^\infty \omega(r, t) \cos kt \, dt , \\
\omega(r, t) &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{d}{dt} \alpha(r, t) + \frac{d}{dt} \alpha(r, -t) \right] > 0 ,
\end{align*} \]  

(37)

\( \omega(r, t) \) being a bounded and continuous function of \( t \), and

\[ \omega(r, \infty) = 0 . \]  

(38)

Since \( \phi(k, r) \) is \( L^1 \) in \( k \), we can invert (37), and write

\[ \omega(r, t) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \phi(k, r) \cos kt \, dk , \]  

(39)

the integral being absolutely convergent. Therefore, \( \omega(r, t) \), for each \( r \geq 0 \), is a continuous and bounded function of \( t \) for all \( t \geq 0 \). This, used now in (35), leads to

\[ \Gamma(t) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \omega(r, t) \, V(r) \, dr > 0 , \]  

(40)

since both \( \omega \) and \( V \) are positive. We should remark here that, from the definitions (30) and (39), it follows from [33] that \( \omega(r, t) \) is \( 0(r^2) \) as \( r \to 0 \). Also, we have
Therefore, the integral in (40) is absolutely convergent, and defines a bounded function of $t$ for all $t \geq 0$. We can therefore summarize our result in the following

**Theorem 6.** Under the assumption (4) on the positive potential $V(r)$, the phase-shift has the integral representation (34), where $\Gamma(t)$, a continuous and bounded function according to its definition (33), and vanishing at $t = \infty$, is positive.

**Remark.** Formula (29) is quite general, and is valid for all $V$ satisfying $rV(r) \in L^1(0, \infty)$, whether positive or not. It shows the well-known fact the phase-shift has the opposite sign of $V$ in cases where $V$ has a definite sign [6]. When $V(r)$ is negative, and admits some bound states of energies $-\gamma_j^2$, $j = 1, \cdots, n$, one can show that [3, 5]

$$\tilde{\delta}(k) = \delta(k) - 2 \sum_j \arctg \frac{\gamma_j}{k}$$

has a similar representation as (27), and one has, of course, $\tilde{\delta}(0) = \tilde{\delta}(\infty) = 0$. One may then be tempted to apply our Theorem 6 to $\tilde{\delta}(k)$. However, it is not obvious that $\tilde{\delta}(k)$ corresponds to a positive potential $\tilde{V}(r)$. The corresponding potential may be oscillating, while being weak enough not to admit bound states.
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