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SUMMARY

Neuronal differentiation is a multistep process that shapes and re-shapes neurons by progressing through several typical stages, including axon outgrowth, dendritogenesis, and synapse formation. To systematically profile proteome dynamics throughout neuronal differentiation, we took cultured rat hippocampal neurons at different developmental stages and monitored changes in protein abundance using a combination of stable isotope labeling and high-resolution liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS). Almost one third of all 4,500 proteins quantified underwent a more than 2-fold expression change during neuronal differentiation, indicating extensive remodeling of the neuron proteome. To highlight the strength of our resource, we studied the neural-cell-adhesion molecule 1 (NCAM1) and found that it stimulates dendritic arbor development by promoting actin filament growth at the dendritic growth cone. We anticipate that our quantitative map of neuronal proteome dynamics is a rich resource for further analyses of the many identified proteins in various neurodevelopmental processes.

INTRODUCTION

The ability to generate in vitro cultures of primary rat or mouse neuronal cells has been fundamental to advancing our understanding of the development and functioning of the nervous system. A major advantage of performing experiments in primary hippocampal culture systems is that neurons resynchronize in culture prior to further differentiation (Dotti et al., 1988). This allows systematic processing and analyses of neuronal populations at the same developmental stage, which is particularly helpful for global system-wide analyses. Pyramidal neurons, the principal neuronal cell type in the hippocampus, account for the vast majority of the total neuronal cell population within these preparations and express many key phenotypic features of various neuronal cell types. The stages of neuron development in culture have been categorized and provide a starting point for studying neurodevelopmental processes, such as neuronal polarity, dendrite development, and synapse formation (Dotti et al., 1988). Directly after plating and attaching to the substrate, the cells develop lamellipodia around the soma (stage 1) that, within a few hours, transform into several short and highly motile neurites (stage 2). Quickly after, the neurons polarize upon which one of the several neurites develops into an axon (stage 3). Around day in vitro (DIV) 4 (DIV4), dendrites start to develop from the minor neurites (stage 4); this process is much slower than axonal outgrowth and specification and lasts for several days. After 1 week in culture, synaptogenesis begins, and neurons form functional synaptic contacts and, in the following weeks, undergo further neuronal maturation (stage 5).

Several studies have been reported monitoring global gene expression changes in developing rodent neurons (Mody et al., 2001; Dabrowski et al., 2003), albeit nearly all at the mRNA transcript level. These studies revealed that large changes in mRNA expression occur across different stages of hippocampal neuronal development (Dabrowski et al., 2003). Several earlier studies have been reporting differential gene expression during the specialization of the axon and dendrites in hippocampal primary culture systems. In more recent studies, also, the expression of non-coding regulatory RNAs has been profiled in developing neurons (van Spronsen et al., 2013). Transcriptome analysis of different brain regions and different cell types, including cortical primary neurons, has been compared with data from large-scale quantitative proteomic screens (Sharma et al., 2015). However, in many cases, the gene expression changes measured with transcriptomics approaches do not
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completely reflect the changes measured at the protein level. Several studies have shown that the correlation between mRNA and protein expressions can be low due to several factors such as protein turnover, half-lives, and other post-transcription mechanisms (Low et al., 2013). Moreover, a single mRNA can be translated multiple times, introducing another level of complexity in correlating such data. In this context, we argue that applying advanced MS methods and quantitative proteomics approaches to systematically profile protein expression during neuronal differentiation will provide a better proxy for changes in protein expression.

RESULTS
Global Proteomic Signatures of Developing Hippocampal Neurons
To profile neuronal proteome alterations during differentiation, primary hippocampal neurons were grown in serum-free neurobasal medium, enabling rapid differentiation and maturation (Dotti et al., 1988). Cells were harvested after DIV1, DIV5, and DIV14, corresponding to neuronal developmental stages 2–3, 4, and 5, respectively, as described recently (van Spronsen et al., 2013). These stages are associated with the distinct neuronal differentiation processes axon formation and specification (stages 2–3/DIV1), dendrite outgrowth (stage 4/DIV5), synaptogenesis, and maturation (stage 5/DIV14). For in-depth quantitative proteome analysis, cell lysates of the three time points were subjected to trypsin digestion (Figure 1A), triplex stable-isotope dimethyl labeling (Boersema et al., 2009), strong cation-exchange (SCX)-based fractionation, and nano-ultra-performance liquid.
dant proteins, besides more commonly detected nucleosomal ("neuron projection terminus") as being among the most abun-
dranks proteins located in the axon and nerve terminal (GO) enrichment analysis, with respect to cellular component,
observed numerous neuron-specific proteins. Gene Ontology (GO) annotations for each cluster can be found inFigure S2.
levels over time (Figure 2A), is enriched for proteins associated to
levels of post-mitotic neurons, which do not proliferate and remain arrested in G0 phase, exemplified by multiple pro-
tected group of mRNA polyadenylation factors (Figure 2C). Downregulation of proteins related to cell cycle and DNA replication have been similarly observed in other terminally differentiating cells (Kristensen et al., 2013).
In line with these findings, we detected slight upregulation of cyclin-dependent kinase 5 (Cdk5) (Figure S3A), which is known to be exclusively expressed in post-mitotic neurons and is involved in suppressing cell-cycle reentry (Zhang and Herrup, 2011).

Proteins in cluster 4 exhibit a strong downregulation from DIV5 to DIV14 (Figure 2A). Many proteins in this cluster are associated with RNA metabolism (Figure 2B), exemplified by a highly connected group of mRNA polyadenylation factors (Figure 2C). Interestingly, several transcription factors, which play key roles in neuronal differentiation, are also allocated to this cluster.
On average, the subset of proteins is the neuron-spe-
cific transcription factor Sox11, which is the most downregu-
ulated protein in the total dataset (expression level decreases about 40-fold from DIV1 to DIV14; see also Figures 4A and 4B). The onset of Sox11 expression is known to overlap with decreased expression of Sox2 (not quantified in our data) in neuronal committed proliferating progenitor cells, promoting differenti-
tion into premature neurons (Haslinger et al., 2009). Like-
wise, transcription factor Bcl11a, which has been identified as a negative regulator of axon branching and dendrite outgrowth (Kuo et al., 2009), follows the expression profile of cluster 4. Other related transcription factors are allocated to cluster 5 (sustained decrease of relative protein levels from DIV1 to DIV14); for instance, Foxg1 and Sox11-interacting protein Pou3f2, both of which play important roles in the early to mid-neurogenesis of postmitotic neurons (Dominguez et al., 2013; Hsieh, 2012).
Another transcription factor found in cluster 5 is Tbr1, which is expressed in early postmitotic neurons, promotes neurogenesis, and functions as a repressor of astrocyte formation (Méndez-Gómez et al., 2011). Moreover, Tbr1 has been reported to be involved in regulation of axon pathfinding (Hevner et al., 2006), a process that is part of the major developmental changes from stage 2 to stage 3. Collectively, our data show that neuronal differentiation and maturation from stages 2–3 to stage 5 reso-
nate with a gradual decrease of several transcription factors that are key regulators in the early events of neurogenesis and initial axon formation. Notably, Sox8, another member of the SRY-related HMG (high-mobility group)-box gene family, shows a minor increase from DIV1 to DIV5 and an elevated increase from DIV5 to DIV14 (cluster 1). Sox8 is reportedly involved in the regulation of terminal differentiation of oligodendrocytes (Stolt et al., 2004). Late upregulation from developmental stage 4 to stage 5 suggests that Sox8 might also be involved in the terminal differentiation of neurons. However, its functional role in the later stages of neuronal development remains to be unveiled.
Cluster 5, representing a constant decrease of relative protein levels over time (Figure 2A), is enriched for proteins associated to

**Quantitative Analysis of Proteome Dynamics during Neuron Differentiation**

We assessed the global proteome changes of 4,354 proteins quantified across all time points. Close inspection of proteins that were considered not significantly changing (Supplemental Experimental Procedures) revealed general protein metabolism and catabolism as the main processes that are not substantially differentially regulated during neuronal differentiation. This is re-
flected by several GO terms, such as "translation" (adjusted p value 9.8E\(^{-8}\)) and "protein catabolic process" (p = 7.5E\(^{-6}\)), and the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) terms "ribosome" (p = 9.9E\(^{-5}\)) and "proteasome" (p = 6.8E\(^{-4}\)) that were found overrepresented (Figure S1C).

Together, these findings imply that the global protein turnover rates are not substantially affected in the course of proteome remodel-
ing during neuronal differentiation.

To obtain an unbiased view of the proteome expression dy-
namics during neuronal differentiation, we performed unsuper-
vised fuzzy clustering of all significantly changing proteins. This analysis resulted in six clusters of distinct expression profiles (Figure 2A), with proteins upregulated during differentiation in clusters 1, 2, and 3 and proteins downregulated present in clusters 4, 5, and 6. We next investigated whether the clusters revealed proteins with developmental stage-specific expression patterns. Indeed, many proteins within specific clusters shared functionalities related to their cellular component, molecular function, or their contribution to distinct biological processes (Figure 2B). Complete GO annotations for each cluster can be found in Figure S2.

Cluster 6 contains proteins strongly downregulated from DIV1 to DIV5 and, to a lesser extent, from DIV5 to DIV14, indicating a precisely timed downregulation during early neuronal differentia-
tion. Proteins in this cluster are mainly involved in early differenti-
ate with a gradual decrease of several transcription factors that are key regulators in the early events of neurogenesis and initial axon formation. Notably, Sox8, another member of the SRY-related HMG (high-mobility group)-box gene family, shows a minor increase from DIV1 to DIV5 and an elevated increase from DIV5 to DIV14 (cluster 1). Sox8 is reportedly involved in the regulation of terminal differentiation of oligodendrocytes (Stolt et al., 2004). Late upregulation from developmental stage 4 to stage 5 suggests that Sox8 might also be involved in the terminal differentiation of neurons. However, its functional role in the later stages of neuronal development remains to be unveiled.

Cluster 5, representing a constant decrease of relative protein levels over time (Figure 2A), is enriched for proteins associated to

We next investigated whether the clusters revealed proteins...
Figure 2. Dynamic Proteome Remodeling during Neuronal Differentiation
(A) Unsupervised clustering of proteome dynamics revealed six clusters with distinct protein expression profiles. n represents the number of proteins per cluster.
(B) Gene ontology enrichment analysis of each cluster was performed using a Fisher’s exact test (cutoff p < 0.002, Benjamini-Hochberg corrected). Representative biological processes (BP), molecular functions (MF), cellular components (CC), and protein classes (PC) that are overrepresented in one of the clusters are visualized.
(C) Protein network analysis showing highly connected proteins that are representative for each cluster.

chromatin (Figure 2B). Steady downregulation of histone methyltransferases (Ehmt1 and Wdr5), acetyltransferases (Kat8 and Hat1), and histones (Hist1h1b, Hist1h1a, and H2afy2) indicate an ongoing remodeling of the chromatin architecture during neuronal differentiation (Figure 2C). The GO term “mRNA binding” was also found specifically enriched in cluster 5. In fact, 42 out of 103 proteins classified as RNA-binding proteins (RBPs) (Table S2) are allocated to this cluster and undergo, on
average, 3-fold downregulation (log2 scale = −1.6) from DIV1 to DIV14. Post-transcriptional regulation by RBPs controls gene expression and diversification and is known to accurately coordinate spatiotemporal differentiation of neurons (DeBoer et al., 2013). A prominent member of this cluster is Polypyrimidine tract-binding protein 2 (Ptbp2). Ptbp2 is predominantly expressed in brain tissue during the early stages of neurogenesis, and its main function is the precisely timed negative regulation of alternative splicing events of a distinct set of target genes that play key roles in neuronal differentiation (Sawicka et al., 2008). Therefore, we mined our data for proteins whose transcripts are targets of Ptbp2 (Licatalosi et al., 2012). We observed that the decrease in Ptbp2 levels coincides with increased expression of several proteins whose transcripts are targets of Ptbp2 (including Camk2b, Camk2g, Psd95, Dnm1, Ppp3cb, and Numb). Interestingly, we observed for three other target proteins, whose transcript levels were found elevated in that study, no significant expression changes (Sorbs2 and Pum2) or only a slight decrease (Sympk, cluster 5) in our dataset. Notably, the neuron-specific RBPs Nova1 and Nova2, which are direct interactors of Ptbp2, show no significant expression change from DIV1 to DIV14. Among the upregulated RBPs are Pura and Purb, which, besides their molecular function in RNA binding, are known transcriptionally active DNA binders. Pura and Purb undergo a highly similar expression increase from DIV5 to DIV14 (cluster 1), indicating a specific role in synapse formation and later maturation processes. Indeed, reduced synapse formation was observed in Pura knockout mice (White et al., 2008). Therefore, we mined our data for proteins whose transcripts are targets of Pura and Purb, suggesting involvement in differentiation events preceding synapse formation. Together, these findings support highly coordinated reorganization of the protein network orchestrating post-transcriptional gene expression regulation during neuronal differentiation (Loya et al., 2010).

The proteins in cluster 1 show a substantial increase in relative expression levels from DIV5 to DIV14 (Figure 2A). This expression profile corresponds to stage-specific upregulation during maturation from stage 4 to stage 5. Distinct GO terms, which were found enriched in cluster 1, cover proteins involved in several metabolic processes, including polysaccharide, amino acid, and lipid metabolism (Figure 2B). Closer inspection of the corresponding proteins revealed that most of them function as catalytic enzymes in lysosomes (e.g., cathepsin L1, arylsulfatase B, and dipeptidyl peptidase 2; Figure 2C). Endosomal trafficking is a key control mechanism in synapse formation and modulation of synaptic plasticity. For example, postsynaptic receptor density is governed by the interplay of endosomal recycling and endosome-lysosome trafficking. Therefore, the observed upregulation of lysosomal proteins in the course of neuronal development could reflect an increase in the cellular capacity for the degradation via the lysosomal pathway.

Cluster 3 comprises proteins that undergo increased expression from DIV1 to DIV5, while the expression changes from DIV5 to DIV14 are marginal (Figure 2A). Many proteins in this cluster are associated with cell adhesion and cell-cell signaling-related GO terms (Figure 2B). This includes several transmembrane receptor kinases involved in cell adhesion, migration, axon guidance, and dendrite formation. For instance, prominent members of this cluster are growth factor receptors Egfr and Fgfr1; neurotrophin receptor Ntrk3; semaphorin receptor Plexd1; and axon guidance cues Slit1, Slit2, and Slit3 (Figure 2C). Moreover, numerous cell-adhesion proteins also follow the expression pattern of cluster 3, which will be discussed in detail later.

Cluster 2 represents a group of proteins whose expression levels constantly increase from DIV1 to DIV5 and from DIV5 to DIV14 (Figure 2A). GO analysis revealed the enrichment of several terms related to cation transport and neurotransmitter secretion in this cluster (Figure 2B), as exemplified by several highly connected subunits of various ATPases (Figure 2C). Furthermore, the majority of the significantly regulated synaptic proteins belong to this cluster (GO term “synaptosome”), which will be discussed in the following sections.

Coordinated Proteome Dynamics of Proteins in Complexes during Differentiation

We next sought to characterize concerted dynamics of proteins within previously annotated protein complexes. Statistical assessment of the significance of co-regulated proteins revealed coordinated expression changes for proteins involved in several cellular processes during neuronal development (Table S3). This includes, for example, nuclear transport (nuclear pore complex), cell-cycle control (anaphase-promoting complex, APC/C), and DNA replication (MCM complex) (all ps < 0.005; Figure 3A), providing further evidence for continuous chromatin remodeling during terminal differentiation of post-mitotic neurons. Conversely, the same statistical analysis can reveal proteins that deviate from the expression profile of the corresponding complex or family, indicating a neuron- or developmental stage-specific function. This is nicely illustrated by expression profile analysis of SNARE proteins from the syntaxin family, revealing neuron-specific expression. Syntaxin proteins are important players in vesicle docking and fusion with target membranes. We observed continuous upregulation of Stx1a and Stx1b from DIV1 to DIV14 (Figure 3B; Figure S3B), both of which are specifically expressed in neuronal and secretory cells, playing a key role in neurotransmitter secretion. All other syntaxins undergo no substantial expression changes (Figure 3B; Figure S3B), indicating that syntaxin-mediated intracellular transport processes, including endosomal (Stx7, Stx8, and Stx12), endoplasmic-recticulum (ER)-to-Golgi- (Stx5 and Stx18), and trans-Golgi (Stx6 and Stx16) trafficking, are not regulated by expression changes of syntaxins in the course of neuronal differentiation.

Next, we determined whether this approach was able to resolve neuron-specific isoforms that modulate distinct functions within protein complexes. Adaptor protein (AP) complexes are involved in intracellular vesicular transport and cargo selection by binding to sorting signals. The AP2 complex mainly plays a role in endocytosis from the plasma membrane, whereas AP3 is important for trans-Golgi sorting events (Boehm and Bonifacino, 2001). All subunits of the AP2 adaptor complex show a significant (p < 0.005) coordinated upregulation from DIV1 to DIV14 (Figure 3A), following the expression profile of most synaptic
Figure 3. Significance Analysis of Protein Expression Profile Similarities for Proteins within Families or Complexes

(A) Examples of tight co-regulation of proteins that are part of larger protein complexes during neuronal development (all ps < 0.001). A complete list is given in Table S3.

(B) The same analysis reveals proteins within complexes or families that undergo divergent expression during differentiation. Hierarchical clustering further differentiates divergent protein expression. Error bars represent SD.

See also Figure S3B and Table S3.
proteins (cluster 2; Figure 2A). Strikingly, this does not hold for
the AP3 complex (p = 0.209), where one isofrom of the Ap3b sub-
unit (Ap3b1) diverges from the common expression profile of the
other complex proteins, including the neuron-specific isofrom
Ap3b2 (Figure 3B), implying functional regulation of the complex
activity by Ap3b. It has recently been shown that neuronal AP3
complexes are assembled by one of the two Ap3b isoforms, regu-
ulating distinct vesicular sorting processes (Seong et al.,
2005). Neuron-specific Ap3b2-containing AP3 mediates cargo
sorting preferentially into synaptic vesicles, while ubiquitous
Ap3b1-containing AP3 more likely promotes cargo delivery
toward the lysosomes (Newell-Litwa et al., 2009). Moreover,
neuronal AP3 was shown to be 4-fold more abundant in axons
and dendrites than ubiquitous AP3 (Seong et al., 2005).
Together, this substantiates our data across developmental
stages 2–3 to 5, which are accompanied with dendrite formation,
synaptogenesis, and maturation.

The richness of the neuron-specific proteome allowed us to
further explore expression profiles of several other protein fam-
ilies. We observed distinct regulation of members of the tyro-
sine-protein phosphatase family, where receptor-type tyrosine
phosphatases (Ptpr) undergo upregulation during neuronal dif-
f erentiation, whereas most non-receptor-type tyrosine phospha-
tases (Ptpn) showed no expression changes (Figure 3B; Figure
S3B). Hierarchical clustering further supports this observation
by clearly separating the two subclasses. Ptprs are
important in signal transduction via a highly coordinated inter-
play with kinases (Tonks, 2013), and both classes are involved
in cell-adhesion processes. Upregulation of the receptor-type
Ptprs implies an increased demand for cell-adhesion molecules
in cell-adhesion processes. The various Cam kinases differ in their
subcellular localization. Camk2 predominantly localizes in den-
drites, whereas Camkk and Camk1 are ubiquitous cytoplasmic
proteins, and Camk4 is nuclear (Wayman et al., 2008). Camkk
phosphorylates and activates Camk1 and Camk4, which
act on further downstream targets in the MEK/Erk (mitogen-
activated protein kinase/extracellular-signal-related kinase)
pathway (Camk1) or modulate CREB (cAMP response element-
binding protein)-mediated transcription (Camk4) (Wayman
et al., 2008). In contrast, Camk2 is one of the major constituents
of the post-synaptic density (PSD) and is a key player in mediating
Ca2+-influx-triggered signal transduction, modulation of synaptic
plasticity, and induction of long-term potentiation. Notably,
Camk2a is the most upregulated protein in our dataset. Our
data clearly show an increased demand for PSD-localized
Camk2 in growing and developing neurons.

Synaptic and Cell-Adhesion Protein Profiling during
Neuronal Development

Next, we assessed the proteome dynamics during synaptogen-
esis, a key event in neuronal development that requires the coor-
dinated assembly of a highly connected protein network that
includes scaffolding proteins, receptors, and their downstream
targets, signaling molecules, and cell-adhesion proteins. In our
hippocampal neuron cultures, dividing non-neuronal cells are
mostly represented by astrocytes. They expand after DIV5 (Fig-
ure S4A), thus promoting synapse formation and transmission.
Indeed, synapse formation during the neuronal development of
hippocampal neurons in culture occurs from stage 4 (DIV5) to
stage 5 (DIV14) (Figure 4A). Interestingly, the majority of all differ-
entially expressed synaptic proteins are allocated to cluster
table 2 (Table S4), which corresponds to a steady increase in relative
protein levels from DIV1 to DIV14 (Figure 2A). This cluster in-
cludes important presynaptic proteins involved in vesicle traf-
ficking (Syp and Syt1), cytoskeleton organization and protein
anchoring (Cask, Pclo, Bsn), and neurotransmitter release
(Syn1, Vamp2, Snap25, Sv2a, and Sv2b) (Figure 4C). Prominent
members of the upregulated postsynaptic proteins are scaffold
and anchoring proteins (Shank2, Psd95, Grip1, Homer1), and
several glutamate and GABA receptors (Figure 4D; Figure S4B).

To validate our quantitative proteomics data, we additionally
plotted the relative expression of several proteins where we do
not expect large changes (Figure 4F) and confirmed expression
of several depicted proteins by western blot analysis (Figures
4G–4I) of lysates from the corresponding time points. These
results were paralleled by immunoblotting of embryonic and adult
rat hippocampal tissue, Hip.(E) and Hip.(A), respectively (Fig-
ure 4G), substantiating the observation of higher expression
levels at later developmental stages. Presynaptic markers such
as Bassoon (Bsn) and Synaptophysin (Syp) (Figure 4C) are
known to accumulate at new synapses before postsynaptic
proteins such as Psd95 (Friedman et al., 2000; Okabe et al., 2001).
This is supported by our data, which show a later expression in-
crease of Psd95 (Figure 4D). However, for the majority of post-
synaptic proteins, we do not observe a timely delayed expres-
sion increase, which is also evident from hierarchical clustering
analysis of all synaptic proteins (Figure S4D). This is likely
because the temporal resolution of this study is limited by the
experimental design. Nevertheless, our dataset demonstrates
the substantial upregulation of many synaptic proteins already
at early developmental stages, long before synaptogenesis
takes place. Similar expression profiles are observed for sub-
units of various neurotransmitter receptors and voltage-gated
ion channels (Figures S4B and S4C).
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Cell-adhesion molecules are another important class of proteins with a key role in neuronal development. They mediate axon path-finding and axon-dendrite contact formation, and they further modulate dendritic spine morphology and synaptic plasticity. In total, 82 cell-adhesion proteins were quantified in our dataset (Table S3), including Cntn1, NrCAM, Nrnx2, NCAM1, and NCAM2 (Figure 4E). Interestingly, 24 of these are allocated to cluster 3 (Figure 2A), highlighting that their major expression increase proceeds during the transition from stage 2–3 to stage 4, indicating an important role in dendrite formation. Neural cell-adhesion molecule 1 (NCAM1) is a prominent member of the cell-adhesion proteins that share the expression profile of cluster 3 (Figure 2A).

NCAM1 Is Highly Enriched in Dendritic Growth Cones
NCAM1 belongs to the immunoglobulin-like family of cell-adhesion molecules and is one of the most abundant neuronal adhesion proteins (Sharma et al., 2015). NCAM1 expresses in three main isoforms produced by alternative splicing of the NCAM1 gene. Two of the isoforms (NCAM140 and NCAM180) are transmembrane proteins, while NCAM1120 is glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI) anchored (Figure 5A). We first analyzed by western blot isotype-specific protein expression levels in cultured rat hippocampal neurons extracts from DIV2 to DIV21 (Figure 5B). In agreement with previous observations, NCAM180 is the main isoform expressed in neurons (Noble et al., 1985). Quantification revealed that NCAM180 shows two main peaks of protein expression at DIV5 and DIV16 (Figure 5C), confirming our quantitative MS data and indicating that, in addition to its well-known role in synaptic plasticity (Doherty et al., 1995), NCAM1 may be important at earlier stages of neuronal development (DIV5).

To further investigate NCAM1 distribution in developing neurons (DIV1–DIV14), we performed triple-labeling immunofluorescence experiments using a NCAM1-specific antibody, the dendritic microtubule marker MAP2, and the F-actin marker phalloidin (Figure 5D). As reported previously, NCAM1 showed some staining in stage 2–3 cells (DIV1), which was mainly present in the axonal growth cones. Interestingly, at DIV4–DIV5, many neurons displayed strong accumulation of NCAM1 in dendritic growth cones. Here, NCAM1-rich dendritic growth cones are positive for F-actin but not for the microtubule marker MAP2, which is more restricted to the dendritic shaft (Figure 5E). Dendritic NCAM1 accumulations are present in approximately 40% of the neurons counted at DIV4, and during later stages (DIV6–DIV8), it gradually disappears (Figure 5F). At later developmental stages, NCAM1 was found diffusely distributed over the dendritic plasma membrane. Similar results were obtained with the mouse primary hippocampal culture, although here, NCAM1 was already localized in dendrites at DIV3 (Figure SS).

NCAM1 Is Required for Both Axonal and Dendritic Outgrowth and Branching
Since NCAM1 accumulates in dendritic growth cones, we further investigated the role of NCAM1 during dendritic development. Therefore, three specific short hairpin RNA (shRNA) sequences were designed and generated, based on the NCAM180 mRNA rat sequence, to perform knockdown experiments. All three shRNAs (shRNA_NCAM1#1, shRNA_NCAM1#2, and shRNA_NCAM1#3) reduced protein levels by ~80%, as revealed from both western blot analysis and immunostaining (Figures 6A–6C; Figure S6). We next examined the effect of NCAM1 knockdown on outgrowth of axon and dendrites (Figure 6D). Quantification revealed that both the length of the axon (Figure 6E) and length of the dendrites (Figure 6F) were significantly reduced compared to that of control neurons. The observed reduction of axon length in NCAM1-depleted neurons is consistent with that reported in previous works (Pollerberg et al., 2013). Apart from the axonal phenotype, NCAM1 depletion in young neurons also caused a marked reduction in dendrite development. Quantification indicated that knockdown of NCAM1 using three different shRNAs reduces the length of primary dendrites, total dendrites, and dendritic branches by 40% compared to control neurons (Figure 6F).

Actin Stabilization Rescues NCAM1 Knockdown Phenotype
To investigate the mechanism by which NCAM1 influences dendritic development, we searched for NCAM180-binding partners in neurons and used the other two isoforms as controls. The GFP-tagged NCAM1 isoforms were expressed in neurons to check their proper localization and their correct insertion in the cell membranes (Figures S7A and S7B). Subsequently, constructs of all three GFP-NCAM1 isoforms and GFP (as control) were transiently expressed in HEK293 cells; GFP proteins were isolated with GFP beads and incubated with either young (postnatal day 5; P5) or adult rat brain extracts, and then co-isolated proteins were analyzed in an affinity purification (AP)-MS experiment. To identify true NCAM1-binding partners (in adult or young brains), we used the “Significance Analysis of INTerac-tome (SAINT)” for probabilistic scoring of our AP-MS data (Choi et al., 2011). Among the entire list of putative interacting proteins identified (Table S6), only those with a SAINT probability > 0.75 (false discovery rate [FDR] = 0.1) were considered as true interactors and were then represented as spheres of different size and color according to their relative abundance and obtained p value, respectively (Figure 7A). Interestingly, several members of actin-binding, -stabilizing and/or -polymerizing proteins such as spectrin (Sp) and myosin (Myo/Myh); actin-related
Figure 5. The Cell-Adhesion Molecule NCAM1 and Its Expression throughout Neuronal Development

(A) Schematic overview of the three main NCAM1 isoforms. Ig, immunoglobulin.

(B and C) Western blot analysis (B) and quantification (C) of developmental expression of NCAM1 in DIV2–DIV21 rat hippocampal neurons. Error bars indicate mean ± SEM.

(D) Representative images of rat hippocampal neurons from DIV1 to DIV14, stained for NCAM1 (green), MAP2 (red), and phalloidin (blue). Scale bar, 20 μm.

(E) Representative rat hippocampal neuron at DIV5 stained for NCAM1 (green) and MAP2 (red). Scale bar, 20 μm.

(F) Quantification of the percentage of neurons characterized by the NCAM1 dendritic staining shown in (D) and (E). n = 100 cells per DIV.
proteins (Arps), tropomyosins (Tpms); F-actin-capping proteins (Capzas); and actinins (Actn) are the main neuronal interactors of NCAM1, ubiquitously enriched in young brains and, to a lesser extent, in adult brains. The interaction between the NCAM140 and NCAM180 intracellular tails and actin-cytoskeleton-related proteins (Spt, α-Actn, and Tpm) has been already reported and characterized in previous studies (Pollerberg et al., 2013). In our AP-MS experiments, it is interesting to notice that the length of each NCAM1 isoform used as bait directly correlates with the relative abundance of each specific actin-binding protein.

Figure 6. shRNA-Mediated Knockdown of NCAM1 in Neurons
(A) Knockdown of NCAM1 by indicated shRNA constructs and analyzed by western blot in DIV4 cortical neurons.
(B) Representative images of hippocampal neurons at DIV4 co-transfected with indicated constructs and stained with NCAM1 monoclonal antibody. Arrows indicate transfected neurites.
(C) Quantification of NCAM1 fluorescent-staining intensities in neurites of hippocampal neurons co-transfected at DIV4 with indicated constructs.
(D) Representative images of primary hippocampal neurons co-transfected with indicated constructs (DIV1–DIV7) to visualize axon (top) and dendrite (bottom) morphology.
(E and F) Quantification of axon (E) and dendrite (F) morphological parameters. Error bars indicate mean ± SEM. *p < 0.5, t test; **p < 0.01, t test. Scale bars, 10 μm in (B), 100 μm for the top of (D), and 50 μm for the bottom of (D).
The image contains a combination of text and diagrams. The diagrams are labeled A, B, C, D, and E, each depicting different aspects of cellular and molecular biology.

Diagram A: Young brain and adult brain comparisons with NCAM1 and other proteins.

Diagram B: A complex network diagram with labels such as Actin, Myo1b, Myo1c, Myo1d, Fyn, Fak, and others.

Diagram C: A heatmap with relative abundance indicated by color, showing spectral counts.

Diagram D: A schematic representation of NCAM180 with annotations like C-terminal tail, Actin, and other labels.

Diagram E: Images of neuronal cells with labels such as GFP fill, pSUPER, shRNA_NCAM1#1, and shRNA_NCAM1#3.

Legend: The legend is on the next page.
identified (as indicated by the amount of peptides and peptide-spectrum matches [PSMs] measured), thus corroborating the hypothesis that NCAM180 (with its longer C terminus) might be the main player involved in the regulation of actin dynamics in dendrites (Figure 7A; Table S6). By drawing a protein interaction network (Cytoscape, Genemania plugin), using as input the actin-binding proteins identified in the NCAM180 pull-down, it becomes evident how these proteins are tightly connected (Figure 7B). Similarly to NCAM1, most actin-interacting proteins are grouped in the earlier defined cluster 3 (Figure 2A) and upregulated at DIV5 (Figure 7C), suggesting that they may strongly cooperate in NCAM1 signaling.

To investigate the potential relationship between NCAM1 and the actin cytoskeleton (Figure 7D), we tested whether the dendritic phenotype caused by NCAM1 depletion can be reversed by jasplakinolide-forced F-actin stabilization. Jasplakinolide is a drug known to stabilize actin fibers and to facilitate actin polymerization. Thus, neurons were first transfected with the two most efficient NCAM1 shRNAs (ShRNA_NCAM1#1 and ShRNA_NCAM1#3), and 24 hr later, a low dose of jasplakinolide (10nM) was added to the growth medium for 6 days. The addition of jasplakinolide partially rescues the neuronal developmental defects caused by NCAM1 depletion, as demonstrated by the quantification of the axonal and dendritic parameters (Figures 7E–7G). Total dendritic length, primary dendrite length, and dendritic branch length were significantly increased in jasplakinolide-treated neurons compared to control neurons (Figure 7G). Together, the presented data indicate actin stabilization as a mechanism for NCAM1-mediated dendritic growth.

DISCUSSION

The in-depth analysis of neuronal proteins that are abundantly and differentially expressed during the well-defined stages of differentiation is a promising strategy for understanding neurodevelopment-regulated processes. We provide proof of concept by applying this strategy to identify and characterize the role of NCAM1 in dendritic outgrowth.

A Quantitative Map of Proteome Dynamics during Neuronal Differentiation

In neurons, like in all other cells, proteins are the main functional components, but how the proteomes differ in developing neurons is not known. To resolve the global neuronal proteome and to determine the basis for cellular differentiation, we performed a quantitative analysis of protein levels by combining triplex stable-isotope dimethyl labeling coupled to high-resolution LC-MS/MS of hippocampal neurons in culture. Here, we found that 1,793 proteins show more than 2-fold expression changes during the different developmental steps, indicating extensive remodeling of the neuron proteome during early differentiation. This analysis revealed six clusters of distinct expression profiles, with proteins upregulated during differentiation in clusters 1, 2, and 3 and proteins downregulated present in clusters 4, 5, and 6. We mainly focused our attention on cluster 3, which contains proteins whose expression levels are highly upregulated between stages 2–3 and stage 4 of in vitro neuronal development. According to GO classification, most of the proteins present in this cluster are transmembrane proteins that could play a role by modulating dendritic outgrowth and branching. It is interesting to notice that, during these stages of neuronal development, a very specific group of NCAM-adhesion molecules (NCAM1, NCAM2, NRCAM, and L1CAM) is characterized by exactly the same pattern of protein-level regulation (Figure 4E), suggesting that these proteins may act synergistically. On the other hand, pre- and postsynaptic proteins are highly represented in cluster 2, indicating that their expression levels increase throughout neuronal development. These data strongly support a model in which specific synaptic scaffolding proteins such as Bassoon, Piccolo (pre-synaptic proteins), Shank, and Camk2A (post-synaptic proteins) are expressed prior to synapse formation. The full datasets are available in Tables S1, S2, S3, S4, and S5.

NCAM1 Plays an Important Role in Dendritic Outgrowth

To demonstrate the strength of our resource, we focused on NCAM1 as a regulator for dendritic outgrowth. NCAM1 is best known for its role in axonal wiring through interactions with various ligands via its extracellular domain (Pollerberg et al., 2013). NCAM1 is unique among the adhesion molecules because it carries a polysialic acid (Togashi et al., 2009), which can be reversibly attached to extracellular domains, reducing its homophilic interactions and thereby controlling clustering and downstream signaling of NCAM1 (Pollerberg et al., 2013). The cytosolic part of the longer NCAM1 isoform (NCAM180) interacts with several cytoskeletal elements and signaling molecules. NCAM1 is reportedly involved in neurite outgrowth and axon pathfinding (Walsh and Doherty, 1997). For example, in mice lacking NCAM1, hippocampal axons show abnormal pathfinding (Cremer et al., 1997). Our data suggest that NCAM1 not
only plays a role in axon outgrowth and guidance but is also required for proper dendritic outgrowth during first days of neuronal development. We speculate that NCAM180 is the specific isoform playing a role in dendrites based on the develop-
mental expression pattern. Consistently, NCAM180 is strongly upregulated during dendrite outgrowth and highly enriched in dendritic growth cones. Interestingly, other NCAM family pro-
teins have been reported to be involved in dendritic branching and morphology in C. elegans (Dong et al., 2013). It is likely that, similar to its role in axonal growth cones, NCAM1 at the tips of dendrites may sense various types of extrinsic signals, such as other CAMs or various diffusible factors that determine their general orientation and outgrowth (Pollerberg et al., 2013). The extracellular signals may come from crossing axons, den-
drites from the same cell, and neighboring arbors and together regulate the immense complexity of dendrite morphology.

**Actin Stabilization as a Mechanism for NCAM1-Mediated Dendritic Growth**

Our AP-MS experiments revealed that NCAM180 interacts with several members of actin-binding, -stabilizing and/or -polymer-
izing proteins in young and adult brains. These data are consistent with the previously reported interactions between the NCAM1 intracellular tails and actin-related proteins (Güttn er et al., 2003; Leshchyns’ka and Sytnyk, 2016). These observations suggest that NCAM1 can act as a scaffold for multiple cytoskeleton com-
ponents and that multiple actin-binding proteins can amplify the interaction between NCAM1 with the actin cytoskeleton. Howev-
er, it is also possible that these interactions do not occur simulta-
neously but are rather highly regulated in response to the extra-
cellular signals (Leshchyns’ka and Sytnyk, 2016). Interestingly, inducing actin polymerization by jasplakinolide treatment partially rescues the dendritic phenotype of NCAM1 depletion. These re-

In summary, the analysis of proteins differentially expressed at each specific stage of hippocampal neuron development consti-
tutes a fundamental research tool that may be used in the future for a better understanding of any specific molecular mechanism involved in neurodevelopment. Our quantitative proteomics re-

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Animals**

All experiments with animals were performed in compliance with the guidelines for the welfare of experimental animals issued by the Government of the Netherlands and were approved by the Animal Ethical Review Committee (DEC) of Utrecht University.

**Expression Vectors, shRNA Constructs, and Antibodies**

The NCAM120, NCAM140, and NCAM180 constructs were kindly provided by Dr. Landmesser. The following NCAM1 shRNAs were designed and used in this study: NCAM1#1 (5′-GGATCTCATCTGGACTTTTG), NCAM1#2 (5′-GACTTCCAGAAGCTCATG), and NCAM1#3 (CTTGGAGAGTC CAAATTC) targeting rat NCAM 1 mRNA (NM_031521.1). NCAM1 mouse (Milli-
pore) and NCAM1 rabbit (Proteintech) antibodies were used for western blot and immunocytochemistry experiments. For further details, see Supplemental Experimental Procedures.

**Primary Hippocampal Neuron Cultures**

Primary hippocampal and cortical cultures were isolated from embryonic day 18 (E18) rat brains. Cells were plated on coverslips coated with poly-L-lysine (30 μg/ml) and laminin (2 μg/ml) at a density of 100,000 per well. Hippocampal neurons were transfected at DIV1 using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen). Cortical neurons were transfected using the Amaxa Rat Neuron Nucleofector Kit (Lonza). For further details, see Supplemental Experimental Procedures.

**Sample Preparation, Peptide Fractionation, MS, and Data Analysis**

The MS methods and any associated references are available in the online version of this paper.

**Statistical Methods**

Analysis of significance of proteins changing between developmental stages was carried out using the significance analysis of microarrays (SAM). Clus-
tering was performed using unsupervised fuzzy clustering. Gene Ontology enrichment analyses were performed using a Fisher’s exact test. Co-regu-
lation of proteins within complexes or families was assessed using the R pack-
age protein profiles. colP data were analyzed using the SAINT. For morpho-
metric analyses of hippocampal neurons, statistical significance was determined using Student’s t test assuming a two-tailed variation. The graphs represent mean ± SEM. For further details, see Supplemental Experimental Procedures.
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The accession number for the mass spectrometry proteomics data reported in this paper is PRIDE: PXDD005031 (Vizcaino et al., 2016).
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