G337.342–0.119 (The “Pebble”): A Cold, Dense, High-mass Molecular Cloud with Unusually Large Line Widths and a Candidate High-mass Star Cluster Progenitor

James M. Jackson1,2, Yanett Contreras3, Jill M. Rathborne4, J. Scott Whitaker5, Andrés Guzmán6,7, Ian W. Stephens8, Patricio Sanhueza7, Steven Longmore8, Qizhou Zhang10, and David Allingham6

1 School of Mathematical and Physical Sciences, University of Newcastle, University Drive, Callaghan NSW 2308, Australia; jackson@usra.edu
2 SOFIA Science Center, Universities Space Research Association, NASA Ames Research Center, Moffett Field, CA 94035, USA
3 Leiden Observatory, Leiden University, P.O. Box 9513, NL-2300 RA Leiden, The Netherlands
4 CSIRO Astronomy and Space Science, P.O. Box 76, Epping NSW 1710, Australia
5 Physics Department, Boston University, 590 Commonwealth Avenue, Boston, MA 02215, USA
6 Departamento de Astronomía, Universidad de Chile, Camino el Observatorio 1515, Las Condes, Santiago, Chile
7 National Astronomical Observatory of Japan, National Institute of Natural Sciences, 2-21-1 Osawa, Mitaka, Tokyo 181-8588, Japan
8 Radio and Geoastronomy Division, Harvard Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, MS-42, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA
9 Astrophysics Research Institute, Liverpool John Moores University, 146 Brownlow Hill, Liverpool L3 5RF, UK
10 Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, 60 Garden Street, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA

Received 2018 February 18; revised 2018 September 28; accepted 2018 October 9; published 2018 December 14

Abstract

How high-mass star clusters form, especially young massive clusters (YMCs: age <100 Myr; mass >10^4 M_☉), remains an open problem, largely because they are so rare that examples of their cold, dense, molecular progenitors continue to be elusive. The molecular cloud G337.342–0.119, the “Pebble,” is a candidate cold progenitor. Although G337.342–0.119 was originally identified as four separate ATLASGAL clumps, the similarities in their molecular line velocities and line widths in the MALT90 data set demonstrate that these four clumps are in fact one, coherent cloud. This cloud is unique in the MAL T90 survey for its combination of both cold temperatures (T_dust ∼ 14 K) and large line widths (∆V ∼ 10 km s^-1). The near/far kinematic distance ambiguity is difficult to resolve for G337.342–0.119. At the near kinematic distance (4.7 kpc), the mass is 5000 M_☉ and the size is 7 × 2 pc. At the far kinematic distance (11 kpc), the mass is 27,000 M_☉ and the size is 15 × 4 pc. The unusually large line widths of G337.342–0.119 are difficult to reconcile with a gravitationally bound system in equilibrium. If our current understanding of the Galaxy’s Long Bar is approximately correct, G337.342–0.119 cannot be located at its end. Rather, it is associated with a large star-forming complex that contains multiple clumps with large line widths. If G337.342–0.119 is a prototypical cold progenitor for a high-mass cluster, its properties may indicate that the onset of high-mass star cluster formation is dominated by extreme turbulence.
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1. Introduction

Because stars primarily form within clusters, understanding star formation requires a thorough understanding of cluster formation. Clusters form with a large but continuous spread in their masses (see Bressert et al. 2010). The most extreme examples of current cluster formation are young massive clusters (YMCs: age < 100 Myr and stellar mass > ~10^4 M_☉) such as the Arches, NGC 3603, and Westerlund 1 (see the review by Portegies-Zwart et al. 2010). The deeply embedded luminous young clusters associated with Sgr B2 (Zhao & Wright 2011; Ginsburg et al. 2018), W49A (Saral et al. 2015), W43, and W51A (Saral et al. 2017) are almost certainly YMCs in their early stages. The masses of YMCs fall between those of typical open star clusters and the much more massive globular clusters. Determining the initial conditions of the cold, dense, high-mass, molecular clouds that will eventually produce high-mass clusters, and especially the YMCs, remains an open question, largely because these cold, high-mass cluster precursors are extremely rare. If such precursors can be found, their structure and physical conditions will provide important clues about the cluster formation process, their internal turbulent structure, the stellar initial mass function, and the highly centrally peaked stellar spatial distribution observed in YMCs (see Walker et al. 2016). Perhaps the best known example of a candidate YMC molecular precursor is G0.253+0.016 (the “Brick”: M ~ 10^5 M_☉, R ~ 3 pc, T_dust ~ 20 K; Longmore et al. 2012; Rathborne et al. 2014a, 2014b, 2015). The Brick’s log-normal column density distribution function matches theoretical predictions for a clump whose structure is dominated by turbulence (Rathborne et al. 2014b). Moreover, the small power-law tail at high column densities suggests that gravitational collapse occurs at a specific column density threshold at which self-gravity can overcome turbulent support. One of the Brick’s most intriguing properties is its combination of low dust temperatures (~20 K) and extremely large line widths (~30 km s^-1). This extreme turbulence may arise from its location in the Galaxy’s central molecular zone (CMZ). To further test the agreement between theoretical predictions of the structure of dense molecular cluster precursors with observations, it is important to discover new candidates for cold progenitors to high-mass star clusters, preferably outside of the unusual environment of the CMZ.

Over the past several years, thousands of dense molecular clumps have been discovered and characterized by millimeter and submillimeter continuum Galactic surveys, such as the 1.1 mm Bolocam Galactic Plane Survey (Aguirre et al. 2011), the 870 μm APEX Telescope Large Area Survey of the Galaxy (ATLASGAL; Schuller et al. 2009), and the 70–500 μm Herschel Infrared Galactic Plane Survey (HiGAL;
Molinari et al. 2010), yet high-mass cluster precursors in the earliest, cold, pre-stellar phases remain particularly elusive. Indeed, Ginsburg et al. (2014) concluded that no such cold precursors with mass $M > 10^4 M_\odot$ and radius $r < 2.5$ pc exist in the first Galactic quadrant and outside of the Galaxy’s central kiloparsec.

We have extended the search for cold precursors to high-mass star clusters to the fourth Galactic quadrant. To search for such precursors, we have analyzed 870 $\mu$m ATLASGAL and 70–500 $\mu$m HiGAL images to estimate dust temperatures and gas column densities (Guzmán et al. 2015). Combined with kinematic distance estimates (Whitaker et al. 2017) from the Millimetre Astronomy Legacy Team 90 GHz Survey (MALT90; Foster et al. 2011, 2013; Jackson et al. 2013) molecular line velocity data (Rathborne et al. 2016), the dust continuum data can also be used to estimate masses.

A high-mass cluster progenitor will manifest as a dense ($n > 10^4$ cm$^{-3}$), cold ($T < \sim 15$ K) molecular cloud with sufficiently high mass ($\sim 10,000 M_\odot$) to form a high-mass star cluster. For a YMC progenitor, Bressert et al. (2012) suggest that a mass of $>3 \times 10^4 M_\odot$ is required. Based on these criteria, Contreras et al. (2017) identified one cold YMC precursor from the roughly 3,500 ATLASGAL clumps observed in the MALT90 survey. In fact, this high-mass cluster precursor, AGAL331.029–00.431, actually consists of two ATLASGAL clumps: AGAL331.029–00.431 and AGAL331.034–00.419. Its combination of cold temperature ($T_\text{dust} = 14$ K) and large mass ($M = 41,000 M_\odot$), the more likely far kinematic distance of 10 kpc; $M = 6600 M_\odot$ for the less likely near kinematic distance of 4 kpc) are unique for dense clouds outside of the Galaxy’s CMZ.

In this paper we identify an additional candidate for a high-mass cluster precursor, G337.342–0.119, or “the Pebble” (similar to the “Brick,” but with a smaller mass). We show that G337.342–0.119 is composed of four ATLASGAL clumps whose molecular line properties demonstrate that they all belong to a single, coherent cloud. In addition, despite its low dust temperatures, G337.342–0.119 has surprisingly large line widths. Unfortunately, the disambiguation of the near/far kinematic distance ambiguity remains difficult for G337.342–0.119, resulting in an estimated mass of either 5000 $M_\odot$ for the near kinematic distance or 27,000 $M_\odot$ for the far distance. The larger mass would just qualify G337.342–0.119 as a potential precursor for a YMC. If G337.342–0.119 forms a cluster with a star formation efficiency of $\sim 30\%$, it would still form a cluster of mass 1500 $M_\odot$ even if the smaller mass associated with the near kinematic distance is correct. At either distance, G337.342–0.119 is an excellent candidate for a second cold high-mass cluster precursor outside of the CMZ.

### Table 1

| Molecular Line Properties of G337.342–0.119 |
|--------------------------------------------|
| AGAL337.334–0.111 | AGAL337.341–0.141 | AGAL337.342–0.119 | AGAL337.348–0.159 |
| $\nu$(NH$_3$) [km s$^{-1}$] | $-71.4 \pm 0.5$ | $-70.6 \pm 0.4$ | $-72.3 \pm 0.6$ | $-72.5 \pm 0.2$ |
| $\nu$(HNC) [km s$^{-1}$] | $-70.8 \pm 0.3$ | $-71.0 \pm 0.2$ | $-71.8 \pm 0.3$ | $-72.4 \pm 0.2$ |
| $\nu$(HCO$^+$) [km s$^{-1}$] | $-70.6 \pm 0.2$ | $-70.5 \pm 0.2$ | $-71.9 \pm 0.2$ | $-72.2 \pm 0.1$ |
| $\Delta \nu$(NH$_3$) [km s$^{-1}$] | $6.1 \pm 0.8$ | $5.9 \pm 0.5$ | $7.6 \pm 1.1$ | $5.0 \pm 0.3$ |
| $\Delta \nu$(HNC) [km s$^{-1}$] | $10.6 \pm 0.7$ | $7.6 \pm 0.4$ | $11.1 \pm 0.7$ | $6.7 \pm 0.4$ |
| $\Delta \nu$(HCO$^+$) [km s$^{-1}$] | $15.1 \pm 0.6$ | $10.6 \pm 0.4$ | $13.1 \pm 0.5$ | $8.8 \pm 0.3$ |

### 2. Observations and Results

To determine properties based on the dust continuum emission from G337.342–0.119, we follow the procedures of Guzmán et al. (2015) to analyze the 870 $\mu$m data from the ATLASGAL survey (Schuller et al. 2009), along with the 70–500 $\mu$m data from the HiGAL survey (Molinari et al. 2010). Using these procedures, Guzmán et al. (2015) produced maps of the dust temperatures and gas column densities from the dust continuum spectral energy distributions. To analyze molecular line properties, we use the $J = 1$–$0$ rotational transition data from HCO$^+$, NH$_3$, HCN, and HNC in the MALT90 Survey (Jackson et al. 2013; Rathborne et al. 2016).

In the course of fitting Gaussians to the MALT90 spectra (Rathborne et al. 2016), we noticed that four contiguous ATLASGAL clumps—AGAL337.334–0.111, AGAL337.341–0.141, AGAL337.342–0.119, and AGAL337.348–0.159—all have similar molecular line velocities and line widths in HCO$^+$, HCN, NH$_3$, and HNC $1$–$0$ emission (see Figures 1–3 and Table 1). Particularly striking are the unusually large line widths $\Delta V \sim 5$–$15$ km s$^{-1}$ (Figure 3, Table 1), which are much larger than the typical MALT90 line widths of $\sim 2$–$3$ km s$^{-1}$ (Rathborne et al. 2016). In this paper “line width” refers to the FWHM line width $\Delta V$, not the velocity dispersion $\sigma$. Note that because of the large optical depths and extreme blending of the HCN $1$–$0$ hyperfine components, only a single Gaussian component was fit to the HCN emission. This will lead to overestimates of both the HCN LSR velocity and the line width. Because the HCN spectra are difficult to interpret, we exclude them from our analysis here. For the NH$_3$ $1$–$0$ line, however, the three main hyperfine components were well separated and therefore could be fit simultaneously. Due to the smaller optical depths and less extreme blending of the hyperfine lines, we retain the NH$_3$ data for analysis.

Following the procedure of Guzmán et al. (2015), we have produced images of the dust temperature and the gas column density for the region containing all four clumps (Figure 4). In this analysis of HIGAL (Molinari et al. 2010) and ATLASGAL (Schuller et al. 2009) submillimeter and far-infrared data, all images are convolved to a common angular resolution of 29$''$3 and emission with spatial scales larger than 2$''$5 is filtered out of the images. Moreover, the analysis adopts the absorption coefficients $\kappa$ from models of silicate-graphite dust grains with a coagulation age of $3 \times 10^4$ years from Ormel et al. (2011) and a gas-to-dust mass ratio of 100. The absorption coefficient $\kappa$ is a function of frequency (see Figure 6 of Ormel et al. 2011). At a wavelength of 100 $\mu$m, $\kappa \sim 100$ cm$^2$ g$^{-1}$. Dust temperatures throughout the region are low ($T_\text{dust} = 12$–16 K), and smaller than those of typical MALT90 target clumps, even for “quiescent” clumps with no obvious star formation activity, which average $T_\text{dust} = 16.8 \pm 0.2$ K (Guzmán et al. 2015). More evolved clumps show even higher dust temperatures.
18.6 ± 0.2 K for “protostellar,” 23.7 ± 0.2 K for “H II region,” and 28.1 ± 0.3 K for “photodissociation region” clumps (Guzmán et al. 2015).

We have estimated kinematic distances for each of the four clumps (see Whitaker et al. 2017 for details). Based on the observed MALT90 molecular line velocities, the near kinematic distance toward these clumps is 4.7 kpc, and the far kinematic distance is 11.0 kpc. In principle, the kinematic distance ambiguity can be resolved by 21 cm H I measurements, but the results are ambiguous. For AGAL337.342−0.119 and AGAL337.341−0.141, the algorithm of Whitaker et al. (2017) slightly favors the near kinematic distance; however, for the two remaining clumps, AGAL337.342−0.119 and AGAL337.348−0.159, the algorithm slightly favors the far kinematic distance. In no case is the probability of a correct near/far assignment greater than 0.8. The distance estimation technique of Foster et al. (2012) that employs infrared data on star counts and colors slightly favors the near kinematic distance. If the near kinematic distance is correct, however, one might expect to see significant extinction in the mid-IR such as that found in infrared dark clouds (IRDCs) with similarly high column densities and cold temperatures. Such mid-IR extinction, however, is entirely absent (Figure 4). Thus, the kinematic distance ambiguity for G337.342−0.119 remains
unresolved. Consequently, when physical parameters depend on distance, values are presented for both the near and far kinematic distances.

Among clumps in the Galactic disk, the four clumps comprising G337.342 − 0.119 have a unique combination of cold dust temperatures and large line widths. Figure 5 displays a longitude–velocity diagram for MALT90 sources detected in HCO⁺. To ensure that we are examining clumps in the Galactic Disk and excluding the CMZ sources with large turbulent line widths, we have excluded sources with 350° < l < 360° and 0° < l < 15°. In addition, to be included in Figure 5, each MALT90 source must have a significant (>4σ) detection of HCO⁺(1–0), a significant dust temperature determination (Guzmán et al. 2015), and a line shape best modeled by a single Gaussian with no high residuals after subtracting a Gaussian fit (see Rathborne et al. 2016 for details). Of the original 3250 MALT90 sources, 1060 sources meet all of these criteria. In Figure 5, the size of the circles represents the dust temperature, with smaller circles having smaller dust temperatures, and the color of the circles represents the line widths. G337.342−0.119 clearly stands out for its unique combination of cold dust temperature and large line width.

3. Discussion

3.1. The Global Properties of a Single Cloud

The four ATLASGAL clumps comprising G337.342−0.119 present a unique combination of cold dust temperatures and large molecular line widths. One possible explanation for these large line widths is that two clouds with more typical, smaller line widths are superposed along the line of sight, and the line emission from the two clouds blends together to mimic a single line of larger line width. We consider this explanation unlikely. If two clouds were superposed along the line of sight, their extents and positions would not perfectly align. Thus, one would expect spatial gradients in the LSR velocities, in the line

Figure 2. The central velocity from Gaussian fits of the 1−0 transitions of HCO⁺, HNC, and N₂H⁺ toward the central positions of the four ATLASGAL clumps associated with G337.342−0.119.
widths, and in the dust temperatures at positions where the emission from one cloud or the other dominates. Yet, for G337.342−0.119, the LSR velocities and the line widths are remarkably similar across its entire extent, with no sudden discontinuities (see Figure 6). (The smooth velocity gradient in the direction of Galactic longitude evident in the HNC and HCO\(^+\) images cannot account for the observed line widths. The line width due to beam-smearing of a source with a gradient can be approximated as \(\Delta V_{\text{smear}} \sim dV/d\theta \times \theta_{\text{beam}} \sim 1 \text{ km s}^{-1}\) for the observed gradients and the 38\" Mopra beam. This is much smaller than the observed line widths.) In order for two clouds to mimic the properties of the G337.342−0.119, both clouds would need to be perfectly aligned and have exactly the same angular extent. We conclude that G337.342−0.119 is a single, coherent cloud, albeit with significant substructure.

If we combine the four ATLASGAL clumps into a single object, we can determine its overall properties. Since many of these properties depend on distance but the near/far kinematic distance ambiguity cannot be definitively resolved, we calculate two sets of properties, one set corresponding to the near kinematic distance of 4.7 kpc, and a second set corresponding to the far kinematic distance of 11.0 kpc. These properties are presented in Table 2. We determine the mass by integrating the column density maps derived from the dust continuum (Figure 4) over the area: \(M = \int N \mu \text{m}_H dA\). Here, \(N\) is the column density, \(\mu\) is the molecular weight (assumed to be 2.6), \(\text{m}_H\) is the mass of a hydrogen atom, and \(A\) is the area. The radius \(R\) is found by the angular extent \(\theta\): \(R = \frac{1}{2}\theta D\), where \(D\) is the kinematic distance. Here, we estimate the angular extent \(\theta\) to be roughly the size of the 50\% ATLASGAL flux contour: \(\theta_{\text{maj}} \sim 0\'\)08 and \(\theta_{\text{min}} \sim 0\'\)02. The average density is found by \(n = (3M)/(4\pi \mu \text{m}_H R_{\text{maj}} R_{\text{min}} R_{\text{los}})\), where \(R_{\text{maj}}\) is the radius of the major axis, \(R_{\text{min}}\) is the radius of the minor axis, and \(R_{\text{los}} = (R_{\text{maj}} + R_{\text{min}})/2\) is the average projected radius. Here, we assume that the cloud can be approximated by a triaxial

---

**Figure 3.** FWHM line widths from Gaussian fits of the 1–0 transitions of HCO\(^+\), HNC, and N\(_2\)H\(^+\) toward the central positions of the four ATLASGAL clumps associated with G337.342−0.119.
Figure 4. Images of G337.342–0.119. (Left) Spitzer/IRAC three-color image with 3.6 μm emission in blue, 4.5 μm emission in green, and 8.0 μm emission in red. (Middle) Herschel-derived dust temperature. (Right) H$_2$ column density maps. On all images, the contours are ATLASGAL 870 μm dust continuum emission, 50%–90% of the peak in steps of 10%.

Figure 5. A longitude–velocity ($l$–$V$) diagram showing the location of MALT90 target clumps, coded by FWHM line width ($\Delta V$) of the HCO$^+$($1\rightarrow0$) line (color) and dust temperature (symbol size). All MALT90 sources meeting the following criteria were included for further analysis: the sources must lie outside of the CMZ (sources with $350^\circ < l < 360^\circ$ and $0^\circ < l < 15^\circ$, indicated by the gray points, are excluded); each has a significant (>4σ) detection of HCO$^+$($1\rightarrow0$), a significant dust temperature determination (Guzmán et al. 2015), and a line shape best modeled by a single Gaussian with no high residuals after subtracting a Gaussian fit (see Rathborne et al. 2016 for details). Of the 1060 MALT90 sources meeting these criteria, G337.342–0.119 has a unique combination of cold dust temperature and large line width.
ellipsoid and that the radius in the line-of-sight direction, \( R_{\text{los}} \), is the average of the radius of the major and minor axes. If the cloud is in fact filamentary and \( R_{\text{los}} \) is better approximated by \( R_{\text{min}} \), the actual densities will be a factor of 2.5 higher than the reported densities. We determine the virial mass by

\[
M_{\text{vir}} = \frac{\sigma^2 M}{G}
\]

an approximation valid for a uniform density distribution. Here, \( \sigma \) is the velocity dispersion, and we assume a value of \( \Delta V = 10 \, \text{km s}^{-1} \). The virial parameter is found from \( \alpha = M_{\text{vir}}/M \). Finally, the dust temperatures are derived from the procedures described in Guzmán et al. (2015).

Whether it lies at the near or the far kinematic distance, G337.342–0.119 has a large mass and cold dust temperature consistent with a cold progenitor of a high-mass cluster (and possibly a YMC). If it lies at the far distance, G337.342–0.119 has very nearly the minimum mass predicted for it to be a YMC progenitor (~30,000 \( M_\odot \)). If instead it lies at the near distance, its mass is 5000 \( M_\odot \), sufficient to produce a star cluster with stellar mass \( M_\star \sim 1500 M_\odot \) (if the star formation efficiency is 30%). Its size \( R_{\text{av}} = 2-5 \, \text{pc} \) is on the higher end of the size distribution of MALT90 clumps (Contreras et al. 2017) and is comparable to that of the Brick (3 pc). This large size may suggest that only extremely large precursor clumps contain sufficient mass to form a high-mass cluster or a YMC. Collapse

Table 2

| Parameter            | Far Distance | Near Distance |
|----------------------|--------------|---------------|
| Distance             | 11 kpc       | 4.7 kpc       |
| Mass                 | 27,000 \( M_\odot \) | 5000 \( M_\odot \) |
| Radius major axis    | 7.7 pc       | 3.3 pc        |
| Radius minor axis    | 1.9 pc       | 0.8 pc        |
| Average Density      | 1400 cm\(^{-3}\) | 3300 cm\(^{-3}\) |
| Virial mass          | \( 1 \times 10^5 M_\odot \) | \( 4 \times 10^4 M_\odot \) |
| Virial parameter \( \alpha \) | 3.7 | 8.7 |
| Dust temperature     | 14 K         | 14 K          |

Figure 6. Maps of the \( \text{N}_2\text{H}^+ \) (1–0), HNC(1–0), and HCO\(^+\) (1–0) emission from G337.342–0.119, showing (left) the integrated intensity, (middle) the LSR velocity in \( \text{km s}^{-1} \), and (right) the line width \( \Delta V \) in \( \text{km s}^{-1} \) of the molecular line emission. Pixels with a signal-to-noise ratio less than 10 in the integrated intensity are colored black. The \( x \)-axis is Galactic longitude in degrees and the \( y \)-axis is Galactic latitude in degrees. The yellow circles indicate the positions of the four ATLASGAL clumps comprising the “Pebble.” The contours represent the ATLASGAL emission at a flux level of 0.2 Jy. The lack of large gradients or spatial discontinuities suggests that G337.342–0.119 is a single cloud and not the chance superposition of unrelated clouds.
motions in G337.342–0.119 will possibly lead to a smaller cloud as it evolves. In the simplest approximation of a cloud of fixed mass, for a plausible infall speed of $\sim 0.2 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, a cloud can reduce its radius by 1 pc in $\sim 5 \times 10^6$ years.

The average densities for G337.342–0.119 are estimated to be 1400 and 3300 cm$^{-3}$ for the far and near kinematic distances, respectively, under the assumption that the line-of-sight radius $R_{\text{los}}$ is the average of $R_{\text{maj}}$ and $R_{\text{min}}$, and 3500 and 8300 cm$^{-3}$ for the far and near kinematic distances, respectively, under the assumption of a more filamentary geometry where $R_{\text{los}} = R_{\text{min}}$. These densities are an order of magnitude higher than that of typical giant molecular clouds, for which typical densities are $\sim 300$ cm$^{-3}$ (e.g., Solomon et al. 1979).

Emission from lines with high critical densities such as the HCO$^+$, N$_2$H$^+$, and HNC 1–0 lines detected toward G337.342–0.119, is often interpreted as requiring densities $n > n_{\text{crit}} \sim 10^5$ cm$^{-3}$, but radiative trapping can reduce the required densities for detectable emission to a few $10^3$ cm$^{-3}$ (Shirley 2015). If G337.342–0.119 has clumpy structure on subparsec scales, the actual densities will be larger than the average density. We conclude that G337.342–0.119 has a sufficiently large density to be a candidate high-mass cluster progenitor.

### 3.2. Virial Equilibrium

The virial parameter, $\alpha$, for G337.342–0.119 is large, $\alpha \sim 4–9$. These values for $\alpha$ for the entire Pebble also match the average of the values of $\alpha$ for each of the four ATLASGAL clumps comprising the Pebble. For gravitational collapse to ensue, theory suggests that $\alpha < 1$, although the simulations of Ballesteros-Paredes et al. (2018) show that the use of the uniform, spherical approximation would lead to estimates closer to $\alpha \sim 2$ for collapsing clouds. Since a cold molecular precursor to a high-mass cloud will eventually collapse in order to form a bound cluster, it is puzzling that, at first glance, G337.342–0.119 appears to be gravitationally unbound. If G337.342–0.119 is to collapse, or is to remain in an equilibrium state, either additional forces besides gravity and turbulent pressure are important and act to prevent the cloud from expanding, or our estimate of $\alpha$ is incorrect.

We first consider additional forces at play besides those due to gravity and turbulent pressure. For example, magnetic fields are often speculated to be important during the high-mass star formation process, but their exact role remains uncertain. Although magnetic fields can possibly provide a significant outward pressure that can support a cloud against collapse, it is difficult to imagine how magnetic fields with any plausible geometry could provide a significant inward force to enhance cloud collapse or confinement.

In addition to magnetic fields, external pressure is often thought to play a role in cloud confinement. In order for a uniform cloud in equilibrium, for which turbulent pressure and gravity are the dominant internal forces, to be confined by an external pressure $P_{\text{ext}}$, it can be shown by applying the generalized virial theorem that the following relation holds:

$$P_{\text{ext}} = \frac{3\sigma^2 M}{4\pi R^3}(1 - \frac{1}{2\alpha}).$$

For the values of $\alpha$ estimated for G337.342–0.119, external pressures of $P/k \sim 6 \times 10^5$ and $1 \times 10^6$ K are required to confine the cloud in equilibrium for the far and near kinematic distances, respectively. If this pressure is in the form of thermal gas pressure, these values seem implausibly large for either more diffuse molecular gas with $n \sim 10^3$ cm$^{-3}$ or atomic gas with $n \sim 1$ cm$^{-3}$, because such values would require implausibly large temperatures; $T \sim 1000$ K for the molecular gas or $T \sim 10^3$ K for the atomic gas. Alternatively, ram pressure from a shock with a relative velocity $v_s \sim \Delta V$ could conceivably provide enough pressure to confine the cloud, but unless the shock is maintained with an approximately constant flow velocity over time, any cloud confinement via ram pressure would likely be transient. A cloud–cloud collision, the expansion of gas from a star-forming region, or supernova explosions are possible causes of transiently enhanced line widths. If an impulsive event only recently enhanced the turbulent line widths in G337.342–0.119, it should dissipate on a timescale $t \sim R/\Delta V \sim 0.75$ Myr. If protostellar cores have already formed and begun to collapse they may form high-mass stars that live and die on timescales comparable to the cloud expansion timescale. Thus, the dissipation of the cloud could conceivably have little effect on the resultant cluster if star formation has already been triggered and commenced through its earliest phases.

It is of course possible that G337.342–0.119 itself is a transient cloud, but exactly how G337.342–0.119 has accumulated so much mass despite its large turbulent velocity dispersion is also difficult to understand. Since the dust temperatures are low and the infrared images show little evidence for embedded star formation activity, embedded star formation is unlikely to contribute the energy that generates the observed large line widths. Star formation may have already begun, but if so, the stars are too faint or young to have significantly heated large portions of the clump. Alternatively, the large line widths may result from the influence of nearby star formation, by which an initially quiescent, narrow-line-width cloud has had turbulent energy injected into it from a neighboring active region. This possibility is discussed below.

### 3.3. Possible Errors in the Estimate of the Virial Parameter $\alpha$

An alternative explanation for apparent values of the virial parameter $\alpha > 1$, which suggest expansion rather than collapse, is an erroneous estimate for $\alpha$. For example, if the line widths for G337.342–0.119 are overestimated, then $\alpha$ would also be overestimated. One possibility for such an overestimate of the line widths is that large optical depths lead to saturated, broadened lines whose measured width exceeds the true velocity dispersion. In fact, the line widths derived from HCO$^+(1–0)$ and NH$_2$H$^+(1–0)$ differ by roughly a factor of two, with the optically thicker HCO$^+(1–0)$ line having the larger line widths. Yet, if line broadening due to large optical depths in HCO$^+(1–0)$ were responsible for the large observed line widths, the optical depths for HCO$^+(1–0)$ would be so large that we would expect to easily detect the H$^3$CO$^+(1–0)$ line. However, H$^3$CO$^+(1–0)$ was not detected in MALT90. The larger line widths for the optically thicker lines may instead arise from their ability to trace regions of lower column density but larger turbulent line widths, perhaps in relation to the requirements for their chemical production. Other possibilities for errors in deriving $\alpha$ are incorrect estimates of the cloud radius, incorrect gas masses derived from the dust continuum due to errors in assumptions about dust.
temperatures, dust opacities, or gas-to-dust ratios, or incorrect assumptions about cloud structure (e.g., centrally peaked density distributions rather than a uniform distribution), geometry (cylindrical collapse versus spherical collapse), or the absence of larger-scale bulk motions. With realistic assumptions, such errors probably lead to errors of factors of at most a few. (See Guzmán et al. 2015 for a detailed discussion of the errors related to the derivation of parameters from the dust continuum, namely dust temperature and column density.) Thus, it seems implausible that our estimates of $\alpha$ are consistent with true values of $\alpha < 1$.

3.4. Galactic Environment

The unique properties of G337.342−0.119 suggest that it may have a special location in the Milky Way. Its broad line widths are reminiscent of the active star formation region W43 in the Galaxy’s first quadrant. A number of studies have suggested that W43’s extreme star formation activity arises from its location at the near end of the Galactic long bar (Nguyen Luong et al. 2011; Eden et al. 2012; Zhang et al. 2014). It is interesting to speculate that the extreme properties of G337.342−0.119 may also arise from a similar location at the far end of the long bar. If the long bar has a length $L$ and an inclination angle $i$ with respect to the line of sight to the Galactic Center, then the longitude of the end of the Long Bar at positive Galactic longitude, $l_+$, will in general differ from the longitude of the end of the long bar at negative Galactic longitude, $l_-$, due to projection. The two longitudes are related by

$$\tan l_\pm = \frac{\sin i}{ \frac{2R_0}{L} \mp \cos i }.$$

Here, $i$ is the inclination angle, $R_0$ is the distance between the Sun and the Galactic Center, and $L$ is the length of the long bar. Figure 7 shows the geometry. The length of the long bar has been estimated to be 8.8 kpc (for a Galactic Center distance from the Sun $R_0 = 8.5$ kpc) and its inclination $\sim$43° (Hammersley et al. 2000; Benjamin et al. 2005; López-
Corredoira et al. 2007). The adoption of these values places the near end of the long bar at a Galactic longitude of \( l = 29.8^\circ \), approximately the location of W43, and the far end at \( l = -14.7^\circ \). Since the actual longitude of G337.342−0.119 is \( l = -22.7^\circ \), the location of G337.342−0.119 at the far end of the long bar is impossible, unless the long bar parameters are badly in error. We conclude that G337.342−0.119 is unrelated to the Galaxy’s long bar. Instead, G337.342−0.119 is located in the Galactic disk at either the near or far kinematic distance (see Figure 7). The properties of G337.342−0.119 therefore do not arise from a unique Galactic location.

Although G337.342−0.119 is not associated with the end of the long bar, its Galactic environment may in fact be atypical. Figures 8 and 9 show that G337.342−0.119 is located within a larger ridge of molecular gas that contains a large, active star-forming complex. The gas in this ridge, traced by ATLASGAL and GLIMPSE continuum and MALT90 molecular line emission, have similar LSR velocities and line widths as those found in G337.342−0.119. Thus, the entire molecular complex is coherent and exhibits large line widths throughout. Moreover, a clear velocity gradient mostly in the direction of Galactic longitude extends smoothly from G337.342−0.119 through the star-forming complex. This association suggests that G337.342−0.119, may have formed from, or have been influenced by, the action of star formation in this large, active, star-forming complex.

The exact reasons for the enhanced line widths in G337.342−0.119 and its associated star-forming complex remain unclear. One possibility is that they arise from large-scale, global collapse. For freefall collapse where only gravity dominates, infall motions of roughly 2–3 km s\(^{-1}\) might be expected for the mass and radius of G337.342−0.119 and the associated star-forming region. However, given the large turbulent pressure, the actual collapse speeds should be much smaller. Alternatively, energy injection from the combined gas flows (expanding H II regions, outflows, and/or supernova explosions) produced by the star-forming complex may have produced larger than usual line widths throughout the region. This star-forming complex may also be physically expanding into G337.342−0.119, which might account for the observed velocity gradient along the direction connecting G337.342−0.119 with the complex.

3.5. Is G337.342−0.119 a Protocluster?

G337.342−0.119 has the large mass and cold temperature expected for a young precursor to a high-mass star cluster, yet the question remains whether the large turbulent line widths will prevent it from actually forming a high-mass cluster.
Indeed, Krumholz & McKee (2005) suggest that a high degree of turbulence suppresses star formation, and the large virial parameter of G337.342−0.119 would seem to preclude gravitational collapse. Nevertheless, high-mass clusters such as the Arches and Sgr B2 have clearly formed in the turbulent Galactic Center region where molecular clumps have similarly large or even larger line widths. Thus, a high degree of turbulence apparently does not always preclude high-mass cluster formation. The physical conditions of clumps in their earliest stages of high-mass cluster formation remain unclear, and it is possible that these young, cold precursor clumps could be highly turbulent. Although increased turbulence may act to suppress the overall star formation efficiency of a clump, it may also raise both the threshold density for star formation, as well as the mass accretion rate once the protostar begins to accumulate mass. At this point, the future evolution of G337.342−0.119 is speculative, but the possibility remains that it could be a cold precursor to a high-mass cluster. Future high-resolution observations of its small-scale structure may determine whether pre-stellar cores have formed.

4. Conclusions

We have used the ATLASGAL, HiGAL, and MALT90 surveys to search for new examples of cold, high-mass cluster progenitors. From over 3000 fourth quadrant ATLASGAL clumps surveyed by MALT90, we have identified a new candidate: G337.342−0.119, or “the Pebble.” G337.342−0.119, consists of four ATLASGAL clumps with very similar molecular line properties. G337.342−0.119 has a unique combination of low dust temperatures (∼12 K) and large line widths (ΔV ~ 6–20 km s⁻¹). The homogeneity of the molecular line parameters demonstrates that the four ATLASGAL clumps are in fact parts of a larger, coherent cloud. The kinematic distance for G337.342−0.119 is 4.7 kpc at the near kinematic distance, and 11 kpc at the far kinematic distance, corresponding to a mass of 5000 or 27,000 M☉, respectively. The near/far kinematic distance ambiguity has not been resolved, however.

The extraordinarily large line widths for a source outside the Galaxy’s CMZ suggest that turbulence plays an important role in the cloud’s structure and energetics. The virial parameter α is much larger than unity, and suggests that the cloud is either transient or confined by forces in addition to gravity. It is possible that such a large degree of turbulence is associated with cold, high-mass cluster progenitor clumps. The Galactic longitude of G337.342−0.119 is inconsistent with a location at the far end of the Galaxy’s long bar. Instead, G337.342−0.119 appears to be associated with a large star-forming complex that has many clumps with similarly large line widths.
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