ABSTRACT

Objective: An efficient, high performance liquid chromatographic method has been developed and validated for the quantification of related substances in pioglitazone hydrochloride drug substance.

Methods: This method includes the determination of three related substances in pioglitazone hydrochloride. The mobile phase A is 0.1% w/v triethylamine in water with pH 2.5 adjusted by dilute phosphoric acid. The mobile phase B is premixed and degassed mixtures of acetonitrile and methanol. The flow rate was 1 ml/min. The elution was used gradient mode. The HPLC column used for the analysis was symmetry C18 with a length of 250 mm, the internal diameter of 4.6 mm and particle size of 5.0 microns.

Results: The developed method was found to be linear with the range of 0.006-250% with a coefficient of correlation 0.99. The precision study revealed that the percentage relative standard deviation was within the acceptable limit. The limit of detection and limit of quantitation of the impurities was less than 0.002% and 0.006% with respect to pioglitazone hydrochloride test concentration of 2000 µg/ml respectively. This method has been validated as per ICH guidelines Q2 (R1).

Conclusion: A reliable, economical HPLC method was magnificently established for quantitative analysis of related substances of pioglitazone hydrochloride drug substance.
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INTRODUCTION

Pioglitazone is a prescription drug of the thiazolidinedione class with hypoglycemic action to treat diabetes. Pioglitazone is used to lower blood glucose levels in the treatment of diabetes mellitus type 2 either alone or in combination with a sulfonylurea, metformin, or insulin. Pioglitazone has also been used to treat non-alcoholic steatohepatitis [1-2].

The related substances of pioglitazone hydrochloride have been developed and validated as per the selection of the synthetic route. Nowadays, the regulators were very much interested about the strategy of the control of impurities present in drug substances. They insist to have a regulation on impurities in each step of the synthetic drug process. Many studies were explained about the determination of pioglitazone was performed by HPLC [3-25, 27-31]. So as to determine the related substances of pioglitazone hydrochloride, the research work has been initiated.

Several methods have been developed and validated only for the content of pioglitazone hydrochloride in drug substances or drug products and not for their related substances [3-25, 28-31]. This has triggered us to perform the development activity for the determination of related substances in pioglitazone hydrochloride by HPLC and their structures were shown in fig. 1. As per the literature survey of the pioglitazone hydrochloride, no one has reported the most sensitive method for the determination of three impurities in pioglitazone hydrochloride drug substance by HPLC. These three impurities were determined at very low-level detection and quantitation in pioglitazone hydrochloride drug substance and this comprises the novelty of the article.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Pioglitazone hydrochloride, PGR-II, PIO-II, N-oxide were gifted by Techno chemicals. The structure of related substances and pioglitazone hydrochloride has shown in fig. 1. Triethylamine, methanol, phosphoric acid and acetonitrile were bought from Fisher scientific. HPLC grade water was used, equipped with the Elga water purification system, Metrohm. Transferred 1 ml of triethylamine in 1000 ml water, adjusted the pH of the solution to 2.5 using dilute orthophosphoric acid, filtered and degassed [27-31]. This solution was named as mobile phase-A.

Mixed 800 ml of acetonitrile and 200 ml of methanol, degassed and used as mobile phase-B. Water bath equipped with a controller (Amkette analytics, ANM alliance) was used for forced degradation studies. Photolytic studies were carried out in a photostability chamber (Thermolab photostability chamber, India). Thermal degradation works were accomplished in a hot air oven (Amkette analytics, ANM alliance).
Preparation of solutions

The sample diluent was prepared by diluting 8.5 ml of concentrated hydrochloric acid in 1000 ml of water. Filtered and degassed for usage of analysis. The system suitability solution was prepared by exactly weighed and transferred about 10 mg each of PIO-II, PGR-II, N-oxide and pioglitazone standard in 100 ml volumetric flask. Dissolved and make up to the volume 100 ml with sample diluent.

Further, 2 ml of this solution was diluted into a 100 ml volumetric flask and made up to the mark with sample diluent. So, the standard solution concentration was 100 µg/ml with respect to the test concentration of 2000 µg/ml pioglitazone hydrochloride. The sample solution was prepared by accurately weighed and transferred about 100 mg of sample into 50 ml volumetric flask. Dissolved and make up to the volume 50 ml with sample diluent. The chromatogram of system suitability solution has been shown in fig. 2.

Fig. 2: Chromatograms of system suitability solution

Concluded method for validation purpose

The HPLC column was used symmetry C18, 250 mm x 4.6 mm x 5.0 µm. Transferred 1 ml of triethylamine in 1000 ml water, adjusted the pH of the solution to 2.5 using dilute orthophosphoric acid, filtered and degassed as mobile phase-A. Mixed 800 ml of acetonitrile and 200 ml of methanol, degassed and used as mobile phase-B. The gradient program was mentioned as min/%B composition: 0.00/20.0, 10.00/20.0, 35.00/40.0, 40.00/80.0, 50/80.0, 51.00/20.0 and 60.00/2.0. The flow rate was 1 ml/min. The wavelength of detection was 225 nm and the injection volume was 10 µl. The column compartment temperature was maintained at 45 °C.

RESULTS

Analytical method development

Several methods have been developed by HPLC for the determination of pioglitazone in the bulk and formulated products [3-25, 28-31]. These previously published research articles were failed to explain about the determination of related substances in pioglitazone. This mainly leads us to do further development of the method with three impurities in pioglitazone by HPLC.

The same column [3] has been used in the initial development. So, as to develop the sensitive method, the mobile phase, pH and gradient composition have been modified accordingly. The pH of the mobile phase was maintained in the acidic region, which was achieved by the addition of 1 ml of triethylamine in 1000 ml of water, adjusted the solution pH to 2.5 using dilute phosphoric acid. Generally, the mass compatible mobile phase needs to be selected, because if any impurities were detected at the level of above or below LOQ in the sample which needs to be confirmed using HPLC-MS study. But the mobile phase chosen for the study was not compatible with mass spectrometry (MS). So, this could be the limitation of the present research work. These three impurities have been eluted and separated well within the time of 40 min., but to ensure the consistency and specificity of the other impurities which was mentioned in the research article [27-31]; the gradient program has been slightly modified and extended up to 60 min. From this trial, the method was specific for all the impurities which have mentioned in the research article [27-31]. The results and comparisons of previously published articles were given in table 1.

Table 1: Reported analytical HPLC methods for determination of pioglitazone (PIO) either alone or in combination with other drugs like metformin (MET), glimepiride (GLM), rosiglitazone (ROS) and gliclazide (GLC) in pharmaceutical dosage forms.

| Reference | Study Aim | Mobile phase | Column | Wavelength (nm) | Flow rate (ml/min) | LOD (µg/ml) |
|-----------|-----------|--------------|--------|----------------|------------------|-------------|
| 3         | In bulk and pharmaceutical formulations by HPLC and MEKC method | 0.01M KH₂PO₄ buffer (pH 6.0):ACN (50:50, v/v) | Symmetry C18 (250 mm x 4.6 mm x 5 µm) | 225 | 1 | - |
| 4         | SIAM by RP-HPLC | Phosphate buffer (pH 4.0):ACN and methanol (55:30:15, v/v) | ProntoSil C8 (250 mm x 4.6 mm x 5 µm) | 254 | 1.5 | - |
| 5         | Study of stressed degradation behaviour in bulk and pharmaceutical formulation | 0.01M KH₂PO₄ buffer (pH 3.5) and methanol (55:45, v/v) | Phenomenex Luna C18 (250 mm x 4.6 mm x 5 µm) | 241 | 1.5 | 1.69 |
| 6         | Assay of tablets | Ammonium formate buffer (pH 3):ACN (75:25, v/v) | Nova-Pak C18 (150 mm x 3.9 mm x 5 µm) | 225 | 1 | - |
| 7         | Purity test and assay of tablets | Ammonium formate buffer (pH 4.1):ACN (45:55, v/v) | Symmetry C18 (250 mm x 4.6 mm x 5 µm) | 266 | 1 | 0.042 |
| 8         | SIAM | ACN: (0.15, v/v) triethylamine (pH 4.6) (40:60, v/v) | Hypersil C-8 (250 mm x 4.6 mm x 5 µm) | 220 | 1.5 | 0.6 |
| 9         | Simultaneous determination with GLM | 0.01M triammonium citrate (pH 6.95):ACN:MeOH (45:55:20, v/v) | Cosmosil C18 (150 mm x 4.6 mm x 5 µm) | 228 | 1 | - |
| 10        | Simultaneous with MET | ACN:KH₂PO₄ buffer (pH 3) (50:50, v/v) | Hypersil BDS C18 (250 mm x 4.6 mm x 5 µm) | 238 | 1 | - |
Analytical method validation

System suitability, system precision, method precision, detection limit, quantitation limit, linearity with regression and range, recovery, specificity/stress study, robustness and solution stability have been accomplished in the method validation study [26].

System suitability

The system suitability solution was injected and calculated USP resolution for each peak. USP resolution was obtained above 5.0; the results were shown in table 2. (Limit: USP resolution should be more than 5.0 for each peak). The system precision results have been given in table 2.

Table 2: System suitability results of PGR-II, PIO-II, N-oxide and pioglitazone

| Peak name            | RT (min) | RT ratio | USP resolution* |
|----------------------|----------|----------|-----------------|
| PGR-II               | 5.51     | 0.35     |                 |
| PIO-II               | 12.17    | 0.76     | 23.58           |
| Pioglitazone         | 15.98    | 1.00     | 10.91           |
| N-oxide              | 32.99    | 2.07     | 53.53           |

RT: Retention time; USP: United states pharmacopoeia; *USP resolution between any peaks should be more than 1.5.
System precision

The precision of an analytical procedure: expresses the nearness of treaty amongst a sequence of quantities obtained from multiple sampling of the same homogeneous sample under the prescribed conditions [26]. The standard solution was repeatedly injected and performed the calculation of % RSD for each peak. %RSD was obtained was less than 0.6% (limit: %RSD should be less than 4%). The system precision results have been given in table 3.

| Injection no. | Area observed (PGR-II) | Area observed (PIO-II) | Area observed (N-oxide) | Area observed (Pioglitazone) |
|---------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 1             | 70906                  | 40674                  | 58335                  | 38210                       |
| 2             | 71177                  | 40867                  | 58328                  | 38367                       |
| 3             | 71172                  | 40853                  | 58556                  | 39487                       |
| 4             | 70910                  | 40883                  | 58194                  | 39191                       |
| 5             | 70547                  | 41004                  | 58160                  | 38428                       |
| 6             | 70587                  | 40946                  | 58188                  | 38168                       |
| 7             | 70481                  | 40801                  | 58075                  | 38748                       |
| 8             | 70493                  | 41295                  | 57914                  | 38697                       |
| 9             | 70536                  | 40589                  | 58160                  | 38428                       |
| 10            | 70433                  | 40946                  | 58160                  | 38428                       |
| Mean          | 70724                  | 40897                  | 58175                  | 38289                       |
| SD            | 289.859               | 198.298              | 190.050              | 199.120                    |
| %RSD*         | 0.41                   | 0.48                  | 0.33                  | 0.52                        |

SD: Standard deviation; RSD: Relative standard deviation; * %RSD limit for 10 injections were should be less than 4%

Method precision

The precision of an analytical procedure: expresses the nearness of treaty amongst a series of quantities attained from several sampling of the identical homogeneous samples under the prescribed conditions [26]. The method precisions have been performed by six preparations of spiked sample solutions with impurities of PGR-II, PIO-II and N-oxide. The %RSD for the content of PGR-II, PIO-II and N-oxide was below 6% (limit: %RSD for content should be less than 10%). The %RSD for the content of impurities was within 6% in the intermediate precision which was performed by different analysts, column, instrument and day. The table 4 shows the results of method precision data.

| Preparation no. | % of PGR-II | % of PIO-II | % of N-oxide |
|-----------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|
| 1               | 0.10        | 0.10        | 0.10         |
| 2               | 0.10        | 0.09        | 0.10         |
| 3               | 0.10        | 0.09        | 0.10         |
| 4               | 0.10        | 0.10        | 0.10         |
| 5               | 0.10        | 0.10        | 0.10         |
| 6               | 0.10        | 0.10        | 0.10         |
| Mean            | 0.10        | 0.10        | 0.10         |
| %RSD*           | 0.0         | 5.2         | 0.0          |

RSD: Relative standard deviation; *% RSD for content of impurities was should be less than 10%

Limit of detection and limit of quantitation

The limit of detection and limit of quantitation was examined based on signal-to-noise ratio method as per the ICH guideline Q2 (R1). The signal to noise ratio for a limit of detection is 3:1 and the limit of quantitation is 10:1. This was performed by performing the sequence of dilute solutions with a known concentration limit of detection and limit of quantification has been determined.

The limit of detection for PGR-II, PIO-II, N-oxide and pioglitazone were 0.0007, 0.0020, 0.0018, and 0.0011% respectively. The limit of quantification for PGR-II, PIO-II, N-oxide and pioglitazone were 0.0020, 0.0059, 0.0054, 0.0032 % respectively.

| Sample No. | % Level | Concentration (µg/ml) | Peak response |
|------------|---------|-----------------------|---------------|
| 1          | LOQ     | 0.00041               | 1653          |
| 2          | 30      | 0.000616              | 22562         |
| 3          | 50      | 0.000102              | 37490         |
| 4          | 100     | 0.002052              | 75191         |
| 5          | 120     | 0.002462              | 80592         |
| 6          | 200     | 0.004104              | 151625        |
| 7          | 250     | 0.005130              | 185604        |
| Slope      | 36295.198.1479 | 185604        |               |
| Y-intercept| -764.8861 | -764.8861 |               |
| Multiple R | 0.9985   | 0.9985                |               |
| R square   | 0.9971   | 0.9971                |               |

LOQ: limit of quantitation
Linearity

The linearity of the analytical procedure is its capability to attain assessment outcomes which are directly proportional to the concentration of an analyte in the sample [26]. Linearity was performed from LOQ to 250% of 2000 µg/ml analyte concentration. The correlation coefficient values have been shown in the table 5-8.

The linearity graphs were shown in fig. 3-6. The values of multiple R and R-square were almost equal to one; this indicates that the validated method was linear. The regression results indicate that the developed method was linear over the total concentration and it was satisfactory for its concentration range from LOQ to 250%. The R-square and multiple R values indicate that the method was linear and it was very close to the origin or close to the ideal theoretical value.

Table 6: Linearity data of PIO-II

| Sample No. | % level | Concentration (µg/ml) | Peak response |
|------------|---------|-----------------------|---------------|
| 1          | LOQ     | 0.000118              | 2563          |
| 2          | 30      | 0.000600              | 13031         |
| 3          | 50      | 0.001000              | 21339         |
| 4          | 100     | 0.002000              | 43834         |
| 5          | 120     | 0.002400              | 51729         |
| 6          | 200     | 0.004000              | 86483         |
| 7          | 250     | 0.005000              | 105319        |

Slope: 21218800.9574
Y-intercept: 501.7382
Multiple R: 0.9998
R-square: 0.9995

LOQ: limit of quantitation

Table 7: Linearity data of N-oxide

| Sample No. | % level | Concentration (µg/ml) | Peak response |
|------------|---------|-----------------------|---------------|
| 1          | LOQ     | 0.000064              | 2388          |
| 2          | 30      | 0.000597              | 20226         |
| 3          | 50      | 0.000996              | 33079         |
| 4          | 100     | 0.001992              | 68478         |
| 5          | 120     | 0.002390              | 80713         |
| 6          | 200     | 0.003983              | 134433        |
| 7          | 250     | 0.004979              | 165330        |

Slope: 33349222.1603
Y-intercept: 625.0455
Multiple R: 0.9999
R-square: 0.9997

LOQ: limit of quantitation

Table 8: Linearity data of pioglitazone

| Sample No. | % Level | Concentration (µg/ml) | Peak response |
|------------|---------|-----------------------|---------------|
| 1          | LOQ     | 0.000109              | 2362          |
| 2          | 30      | 0.000605              | 14950         |
| 3          | 50      | 0.001008              | 23884         |
| 4          | 100     | 0.002016              | 49743         |
| 5          | 120     | 0.002420              | 57202         |
| 6          | 200     | 0.004033              | 96295         |
| 7          | 250     | 0.005041              | 119350        |

Slope: 23707392.2216
Y-intercept: 39.35717
Multiple R: 0.9998
R-square: 0.9997

LOQ: limit of quantitation
The regression parameters of PGR-II, PIO-II, N-oxide and pioglitazone have been summarized in table 9. This explains about the statistical evaluation of ANOVA, confidence intervals and intercepts value with respect to 100% standard concentration response.

Table 9: Regression statistics

| Compound | ANOVA | Degree of freedom (df) | Sum of squares (SS) | Lower 95%  | Upper 95%  |
|----------|-------|------------------------|---------------------|------------|------------|
| PGR-II   |       | Regression             | 1                   | 2746543509.1892 | 80876504.2393 |
|          |       | Residual               | 5                   | 2756331138.4286 |            |
|          |       | Total                  | 6                   | 2756331138.4286 |            |
| N-oxide  |       | Confidence Intervals   | Lower 95%           | 2756331138.4286 |            |
|          |       |                        | Upper 95%           | 2756331138.4286 |            |
| PIO-II   |       | Regression             | 1                   | 2173211115.7928 | 41273816.358 |
|          |       | Residual               | 5                   | 8776268497.4286 |            |
|          |       | Total                  | 6                   | 8776268497.4286 |            |
| N-oxide  |       | Confidence Intervals   | Lower 95%           | 19457241     | 19457241   |
|          |       |                        | Upper 95%           | 19457241     | 19457241   |
| Pioglitazone |   | Regression             | 1                   | 2173785101.7143 | 5734902.0117 |
|          |       | Residual               | 5                   | 2173785101.7143 |            |
|          |       | Total                  | 6                   | 2173785101.7143 |            |
| N-oxide  |       | Confidence Intervals   | Lower 95%           | 2173785101.7143 | 5734902.0117 |
|          |       |                        | Upper 95%           | 2173785101.7143 | 5734902.0117 |

ANOVA: Analysis of variance; Regression data shows that the validated method was statistically proven.

Accuracy

The accuracy of an analytical procedure expresses the nearness of treaty amongst the value which is accepted either as a conventional factual value or a recognized reference value and the significance found. For the quantitative approaches, at least nine determinations across the specified range should be obtained [26]. The accuracy percentage for PGR-II, PIO-II and N-oxide were 98-105% for drug substance from LOQ to 250.0% level. This result indicates that the method was accurate and appropriate as the mean accuracy value was within the limit (80-120%).

Specificity

Specificity is the capability to judge the compound of interest unequivocally in the presence of components, which may be anticipated to be existent. Typically, these might include impurities, degradants, matrix, etc. [26]. Specificity of the method was...
demonstrated by the peak purity, (i.e. the purity angle is lesser than the purity threshold) by way of the diode array detector for degraded samples. The specificity of the method was established with the pioglitazone in the existence of related impurities namely PGR-II, PIO-II and N-oxide. To prove the specificity, all forced degraded studied samples were performed at a test concentration of 2000 µg/ml. The peak purity analysis was homogenous for PGR-II, PIO-II and N-oxide. There was no interference observed from blank peaks and impurities. There was no secondary peak aroused from degraded samples. The results of forced degradation study indicate that the method was stability indicating. The impurity PGR-II and PIO-II were process related impurities and N-oxide was degradation impurity.

**Robustness**

By careful variation in chromatographic conditions, the resolution between PGR-II, PIO-II, N-oxide and pioglitazone were evaluated. The mobile phase flow rate was 1.0 ml/min. To check the effect of flow rate on the resolution, 0.1 units changed it from 0.9 to 1.1 ml/min. The column oven temperature was 45 °C. To check the effect of temperature on the resolution, 5 units changed it from 40 °C to 50 °C. The % of mobile phase-A composition was 80%. To check the effect of mobile phase composition on the resolution, 2% units changed it from 78% to 92%. The % of mobile phase-B composition was 20%. To check the effect of mobile phase composition on the resolution, 2% units changed it from 18% to 22%. The resolution between impurities and pioglitazone was greater than 5 in all the varied chromatographic conditions carried out (flow rate, the addition of trifluoroacetic acid and column temperature). The result shows that the method was considered robust.

**Solution stability**

The solution stability of pioglitazone and its impurities was carried out by freshly prepared standard and sample solution in a tightly closed volumetric flask at the room temperature (22-27 °C) as well as in the refrigerator at 2-8 °C for initial, 24 and 48 h. The result of solution stability shows that the solution was stable up to 48 h at room temperature (22-27 °C) and also at 2-8 °C in the refrigerator.

**DISCUSSION**

The USP resolution between PIO-II and pioglitazone was 15.9 which were 5 times more than that the obtained value of the research article [27]. The system precision results were very well within the acceptance criteria, i.e., %RSD for PIO-II, PGR-II, N-oxide and pioglitazone were observed within 0.5%. The %RSD value was obtained by the research work [27] was above 0.5% when compared to the present research study which was observed less than 0.5%. Moreover, the %RSD obtained in the validation was very less when compared to the previously published articles. The limit of detection and limit of quantitation results indicated that the method was sensitive to determine the content PGR-II, PIO-II and N-oxide in the sample of pioglitazone drug substance.

The method precision results show that the developed method was very precise with the addition of impurities in the presence of pioglitazone hydrochloride when compared to the previously established works. The detection and quantitation limits of PIO-II, PGR-II, N-oxide and pioglitazone were observed lower when compared to the previously published article [27] though the study was performed for several impurities. The developed method was linear from very low level to high level when compared to the previously published article [27]. The accuracy of the method has been demonstrated by the presence of impurities in pioglitazone hydrochloride at the specified levels with respect to the test concentration; the results show that the method was very accurate at the LOQ level itself. The specificity, solution stability and robustness of the method show that the impurity PGR-II and PIO-II were process related impurities and N-oxide was degradation impurity. This indicates that the equipment was suitable, accurate, precise, sensitive and fit for study.

**CONCLUSION**

The developed RP-HPLC method was developed and validated as per ICH guidelines in terms of system suitability, system precision, method precision, specificity/stress studies, accuracy, linearity, robustness, solution stability, limit of detection and limit of quantitation for the quantitative estimation of related substances of pioglitazone hydrochloride drug substance. The correlation coefficients were greater than 0.99. The precision results were good enough to say that the method developed is precise and reproducible. Accuracy studies revealed that mean recoveries after spiking experiments were between 98 and 105%, indicative of accurate method. Degradation studies reveal that the developed method was stability indicating hence; this method can easily and conveniently adapt for routine quality control analysis of the determination of related substances of pioglitazone drug substances in quality control laboratories.
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