A novel catalytic adsorptive stripping voltammetric method for the determination of germanium ultratrace in the presence of chloranilic acid and the V(IV)-HEDTA complex
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Abstract
A novel, sensitive catalytic adsorptive stripping voltammetric procedure which can be used to determine trace amounts of germanium is described. The method is based on the interfacial accumulation of the complex formed by Ge(IV) and the product of the reduction of chloranilic acid on the hanging mercury drop electrode or the renewable silver amalgam film electrode, and its subsequent reduction from the adsorbed state followed by the catalytic action of the V(IV)-HEDTA complex. The presence of V(IV)-HEDTA greatly enhances the adsorptive stripping response of Ge. The reduction of the Ge(IV) in the presence of chloranilic acid and V(IV)-HEDTA was investigated in detail and the effects of pH, electrolyte composition, and instrumental parameters were studied. Under optimal conditions, the catalytic peak current of germanium exhibited good linearity for Ge(IV) concentrations in the range of 0.75–60 nM (for 60 s of accumulation at −0.1 V, \( r^2 = 0.995 \)) and a low limit of detection (LOD = 0.085 nM). The procedure was successfully applied to determine Ge in water samples.
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Introduction
Germanium was discovered by Winkler in 1885 and has been since the subject of many papers concerning its properties and those of its compounds. The studied areas included the influence of germanium compounds on living organisms and the human body, their ingestion, and the health consequences [1–3]. Although germanium may in some cases be essential for development, it can also adversely affect vital functions. Consequently, it is essential to monitor the content of this element in food, water, and other potential sources of ingestion as well as its concentration in tissue by applying selective and sensitive methods of its determination.

Many analytical methods have been applied to determine germanium [4–6]; electrochemical methods such as adsorptive stripping voltammetry (AdSV) [7–9] and catalytic voltammetry (CADSV) [10–14] are among the most sensitive ones.

Complexes formed by Ge(IV) and organic compounds containing -OH groups in the ortho-position and exhibiting adsorptive properties, such as catechol [5, 15–17], pyrogallol [5, 18, 19], pyrocatechol violet [20], alizarin red S [20], fluorone black [20], and quercetin [20], may be utilized for the sensitization of Ge(IV) determination by means of AdSV. Chloranilic acid (CAA) was also applied successfully for the abovementioned purpose [21, 22].

However, the highest sensitivity and extremely low limit of detection of the voltammetric determination of several trace elements and compounds are attained via the simultaneous exploitation of adsorptive and catalytic effects [10–14]. In
catalytic adsorptive stripping voltammetry (CAdSV), the final voltammetric response is the product of the dual amplification of the interfacial accumulation of a metal complex and a catalytic reaction. The mechanisms underlying catalytic reactions may differ and might be grouped into six categories [12–14]. Catalytic systems of the first and second kinds and catalytic systems involving hydrogen ions are the most frequently applied when describing the observed catalytic processes utilized for analytical purposes [10–14, 23].

CAdSV has successfully been used for the determination of germanium in the form of complexes of Ge(IV) with organic ligands, which are adsorbed on the surface of the working electrode, and induce the catalytic reduction of some oxidants, such as BrO3− [24, 25], V(IV) [26], V(IV)-EDTA [27, 28], or V(IV)-HEDTA [29]. The described CAdSV systems applied for Ge(IV) determination may be considered to be of the first (e.g. [24]) or second kind [29]. In the case of the former, the reduced form of the depolarizer is immediately oxidized to its previous active form by an oxidizing agent in the vicinity of the electrode surface. For the latter, the reduced labile form of metal ion forms a very active complex with the oxidizing agent, which can immediately be reduced with the release of the reduced form of the depolarizer. A cyclic catalytic reaction is observed for both types of systems.

The aim of the present paper was to examine the electrochemical properties of a new catalytic adsorptive system in which the complex of Ge(IV), with the product of the reduction of chloranilic acid (CAA), adsorbed and reduced at the surface of the hanging mercury drop or renewable silver amalgam electrodes, and induces the catalytic reduction of the V(IV)-HEDTA complex. V(IV)-HEDTA complex was recently proposed as a very effective catalytic agent [29, 30] in the voltammetric quantification of Ge(IV) in a complex with catechol [29] and some other ligands [30], while CAA was selected as the ligand because its complexes have been very useful in the AdSV determination of several metals, such as Mo [31, 32], Sb [32–34], U [32, 34, 35], Sn [36], V [32, 37, 38], and Ge [21, 22], and the CAdSV determination of W [39]. To exploit the investigated system for analytical purposes, the conditions required to achieve very sensitive determination of Ge(IV) were also determined.

In addition to the hanging mercury drop electrode, the renewable cylindrical silver-based amalgam film electrode (Hg(Ag)FE) [40, 41] was also used in order to demonstrate its analytical utility in the CAdSV determination of germanium. The application of the renewable silver liquid amalgam film electrode is based on the cyclic renovation of the electrode surface, achieved by coating a silver wire with a fresh, liquid amalgam film (1% w/w) before each measurement. A small amount of the silver amalgam is sealed tightly inside the electrode corpus, which ensures that the electrode is safe to operate and offers good analytical performance.

Experimental

Instrumentation

The EAGRAPH (MTM Poland) electrochemical analyzer coupled with a controlled-growth mercury drop electrode (CGDME, Poland) used in the hanging mercury drop mode (HMDE) and the renewable silver amalgam film electrode (Hg(Ag)FE) [40, 41] were used to record voltammograms. The Ag/AgCl (3 M KCl) and platinum wire were used as the reference and auxiliary electrodes, respectively. The measuring pH of the solution was performed by means of multifunction meter CX-701 (Elmetron, Poland).

Reagents

All applied reagents were analytical grade and supplied by Merck (Germany). The stock solution with 0.2 M of VOSO4 was prepared by dissolving 0.9094 g of V2O5 in a mixture containing 20 mL of water, 1 mL of 96% H2SO4, and 2 g of oxalic acid using a water bath. After the complete dissolution of V2O5, the mixture was evaporated to white fumes of H2SO4 in order to decompose the excess of oxalic acid and, after cooling, transferred to a 50-mL volumetric flask and filled to volume with water.

The standard solution of 0.01 M Ge(IV) was prepared by dissolving 0.1046 g of GeO2 in 80 mL of hot water and, after cooling, made up to 100 mL with water. Solution with lower concentrations of Ge(IV) was prepared by diluting the standard solution with 0.01 M NaOH.

The solution of 0.005 M chloranilic acid was prepared from the reagent purified previously by crystallization in water. The solutions of chloranilic acid were kept in the refrigerator and used within 2 weeks.

The catalytic reagent, namely the solution containing 0.04 M V(IV) and 0.08 M HEDTA, was prepared by mixing 4 mL 0.2 M VOSO4 and 0.446 g of HEDTA in 8 mL of water, fixing pH to 2.0 by addition 20% NaOH and transferring to a 10-mL volumetric flask.

All solutions were prepared using deionized water (Millipore Simplicity UV). Oxygen was removed from the solutions by passing argon for 8 min before recording of voltammogram.

Procedure

Appropriate volumes of the 1 M CH3COOH, 0.005 M chloranilic acid, and the solution containing 0.04 M V(IV) and 0.08 M HEDTA were transferred to a voltammetric vessel and filled up to 10 mL with water. Quantitative voltammetric measurements were performed using the differential pulse mode (DPV) using standard addition procedure. All voltammograms were recorded in the cathodic direction from −0.4 to
Accumulation was performed by applying a potential of −0.1 V for 60 s with stirring and, after a resting period of 15 s, the voltammograms were recorded in differential pulse mode with polarization in the negative direction from −0.4 and down to −0.9 V. The other experimental parameters were as follows: step potential 2 mV, pulse potential 50 mV, and scan rate 50 mV/s.

Results

Catalytic system comprising Ge(IV), chloranilic acid, and V(IV)-HEDTA complex

Vanadium(IV) ions complexed by reagents from the aminopolycarboxylic acid family (i.e., HEDTA, EDTA, NTA) exhibit the ability to amplify the analytical signal of germanium bonded with ligands comprising a catechol moiety [28–32] (Scheme 1a) via a catalytic reaction. Recently, it was found that chloranilic acid (Scheme 1b), which is a member of the benzoquinone family of compounds, can be applied for germanium quantification by means of AdSV [21, 22].

The voltammograms recorded during the reduction of germanium(IV) in the presence of CAA (Scheme 1b) are shown in Fig. 1 (curves labeled a). A weak peak of germanium was observed at a potential of −0.56 V. However, after the addition of the V(IV)-HEDTA complex to the analyzed solution, germanium signals were amplified considerably (Fig. 1, curves labeled b), by factors of 21 for the HMDE (A), and 18 for Hg(Ag)FE (B). Additionally, in the presence of V(IV)-HEDTA, the germanium signals shifted towards potentials that are more negative by ca. 10–20 mV. The potential at which the V(IV)-HEDTA complex undergoes reduction was −1.07 V, whereas CAA was reduced at 0.02 V (Fig. 2).

To gain deeper insight into the investigated electrochemical processes, CV voltammograms of Ge(IV) in the presence of CAA were also recorded—first in the absence of V(IV)-HEDTA (Fig. 3a) and then in its presence (Fig. 3b). No peak of germanium was observed in the reverse scan in the absence of the vanadium complex (Fig. 3a—magnified view of the sections of voltammograms), which indicates that in the presence of CAA, the Ge(IV) was reduced irreversibly. In contrast, in the presence of V(IV)-HEDTA, the germanium signals were much higher and, in addition, both negative- and positive-going CV scans were cathodic, peak-shaped, and corresponded to reduction (Fig. 3b). The signal of Ge(IV) in the presence of CAA observed in the negative-going scan was non-symmetrical, with a maximum at −0.56 V and a shoulder at −0.62 V, which was most likely a result of the total coverage of the electrode surface achieved in a solution with a high Ge(IV) concentration (0.5 μM), which causes the electron transfer to occur across the adsorbed layer. The voltammetric signals recorded for the Ge(IV)chloranilic acid-V(IV)-HEDTA system in both DP and CV modes increased when the accumulation procedure was applied. The above-described findings clearly indicate that a new catalytic adsorptive stripping voltammetric system sensitive to germanium ions had been designed.

Optimization

Chemical variables

In order to elaborate a sensitive CAdSV procedure of germanium determination with the use of the designed (Ge(IV)-
chloranilic acid-V(IV)-HEDTA) catalytic system, the influence of both chemical and instrumental factors on the measured germanium signal was evaluated. The chemical factors included the composition of the supporting electrolyte, namely the concentration of acetic acid and its pH, as well as the concentrations of CAA and the V(V)-HEDTA complex.

In preliminary studies, three supporting electrolytes with a pH of 2 were considered: 0.1 M CH₃COOH [25, 26], 0.1 M HCl-KCl buffer, and 0.1 M betaine-HCl buffer. The geometry of the current-voltage curves recorded for Ge(IV) as well as its peak currents was found not to be significantly affected by the electrolyte type. Considering the shape of the peak, acetic acid was selected for further tests. The influence of the concentration of acetic acid on the germanium signal depended on the type of applied electrode. For instance, when HMDE was employed,
varying the concentration of CH₃COOH in the range of 0.1–0.4 M did not have a significant influence on the catalytic peak current of Ge(IV) (Fig. 4a). In the case of Hg(Ag)FE, peak current was strongly dependent on the supporting electrolyte concentration (Fig. 4c), and it reached a maximum for 0.075 M of CH₃COOH. The Ge(IV) peak current was also strongly dependent on the pH of the supporting electrolyte regardless of the applied electrode (Fig. 4b and d). Subsequent experiments were therefore performed either in 0.1 M CH₃COOH with a pH of 2 (when using the HMDE) or in 0.075 M CH₃COOH with a pH of 2.3 (when Hg(Ag)FE was employed). The shape of Ge(IV) signals also varied to a considerable degree with the CAA
concentration (Fig. 5a, c). As this concentration increased, peak intensity increased. The current reached a maximum at 0.75 mM. Another important factor that affected the Ge(IV) signal was the concentration of the V(IV)·HEDTA complex. Its influence on the Ge(IV) signal was examined by maintaining a 1:2 metal-to-ligand ratio for V(IV) ions and HEDTA, as recommended in a previous study [29]. The Ge(IV) peak currents obtained using the HMDE increased linearly at a rate of 19 μA per mM of V(IV)·HEDTA over the range from 0 to 2 mM of V(IV)-HEDTA and leveled off for higher concentrations (Fig. 5b). For Hg(Ag)FE, a parabolic dependence of peak current on V(IV)·HEDTA concentration was observed, with a maximum at 1.25 mM (Fig. 5d).

Instrumental variables

The examined Ge(IV)-chloranilic acid-V(IV)·HEDTA system exhibited an interesting dependence of germanium peak current on accumulation potential and time. As shown in Fig. 6, this dependence varied for different accumulation potentials due to their relation to the reduction of CAA. When accumulation was performed at a potential of 0.1 V—before the onset of CAA reduction proceeding according to Eq. (1)—the sensitivity of the Ge(IV) signal was low. When accumulation was performed at 0 V, the peak recorded after 30 s of accumulation increased by 120%. For the accumulation potential of −0.1 V, the germanium peak increased by a further 300%. Increasing the accumulation time from 30 to 40 s and then to 60 s led to a modest increase in peak current, i.e., 20% and 5%, respectively. The application of even more negative accumulation potentials was not beneficial to the peak current of germanium, since the germanium complex was either less active or its accumulation was less effective. The optimal accumulation time and potential selected for further investigations with the use of both HMDE and Hg(Ag)FE were therefore 60 s and −0.1 V.

The strong dependence of the germanium peak current on accumulation potential and its relation to the reduction of chloranilic acid indicates that the product of the reduction of CAA (Scheme 1c)—namely the molecule containing two adjacent -OH groups (THDB), which is adsorbed at the surface of the electrode [42]—forms a complex with Ge(IV) in situ. THDB (Scheme 1c) contains two -OH groups in the ortho-position, as do ligands such as catechol, pyrogallol and gallic acid, which form strong and stable complexes with Ge(IV) [43]. This complex is then reduced to Ge(II)·THDB and participates in the catalytic process involving the Ge(II)·THDB-V(IV)·HEDTA system. The reduction of CAA over a similar potential range was also observed during the determination of uranium in the presence of CAA [44]. The authors of the papers describing the determination of other elements in the presence of CAA observed a dependence of accumulation potential that is similar to the one shown in Fig. 6 [22, 38]. On the other hand, Thomas and Henze mentioned that the formation of a complex of CAA with uranium ions takes place essentially at the electrode surface [42], which may support the above-suggested hypothesis.
Based on the abovementioned assumption and results presented in a previous paper [29], the course of the catalytic process may proceed according to Eqs. (1)–(5).

\[
\text{CAA} + 2\text{H}^+ + 2e^- \rightleftharpoons \text{THDB}_{\text{ads}} \tag{1}
\]

\[
\text{THDB}_{\text{ads}} + \text{Ge(IV)} + 2e^- \rightarrow (\text{Ge(IV)} \cdot \text{THDB})_{\text{ads}} \tag{2}
\]

\[
(\text{Ge(IV)} \cdot \text{THDB})_{\text{ads}} + 2e^- \rightarrow (\text{Ge(II)} \cdot \text{THDB})_{\text{ads}} \tag{3}
\]

\[
\left(\text{Ge(II)} \cdot \text{THDB} + \text{V(IV)} \cdot \text{HEDTA}\right)_{\text{ads}} + \text{V(IV)} + 2e^- \rightarrow \left(\text{Ge(II)} \cdot \text{THDB} + \text{V(II)} \cdot \text{HEDTA}\right)_{\text{ads}} \tag{4}
\]

\[
\left(\text{Ge(II)} \cdot \text{THDB} + \text{V(IV)} \cdot \text{HEDTA}\right)_{\text{ads}} + 2e^- \rightarrow \left(\text{Ge(II)} \cdot \text{THDB} + \text{V(II)} \cdot \text{HEDTA}\right)_{\text{ads}} \tag{5}
\]

The Ge(IV)·THDB complex adsorbed at the surface of the electrode is electrochemically reduced to Ge(II)·THDB (Eq. (3)) at the potential of \(-0.56\) V. Process (3) is followed by the formation of an electroactive composite complex \(\left[\text{Ge(II)} \cdot \text{THDB} + \text{V(IV)} \cdot \text{HEDTA}\right]_{\text{ads}}\) (4), which contains Ge(II)·THDB, the product generated during the electoreduction of Ge(IV)·THDB as well as the V(IV)·HEDTA present in the solution. In the composite complex, vanadium(IV) undergoes reduction, regenerating the Ge(II)·THDB complex and a final electroinactive product, V(II)·HEDTA. The regenerated Ge(II)·THDB attaches to the other V(IV)·HEDTA molecule and the catalytic cycle described by Eqs. (4) and (5) repeats multiple times, contributing to the great amplification of the voltammetric response. The shape of both cathodic and anodic parts of the CV curves (Fig. 3b) of the Ge(IV)·CAA system in the presence of V(IV)·HEDTA is typical of catalytic systems of the second kind [12, 23].

### Interferences

The influence of other elements on the determination of Ge(IV) was tested in the supporting electrolyte containing 0.1 M of acetic acid (pH 2), 0.75 mM of chloranilic acid, 2 mM of V(IV), 4 mM of HEDTA, and 25 nM of Ge(IV). The voltammograms were recorded in DP mode after 60 s of accumulation at \(-0.1\) V. It was found that a 10-fold excess of Pb, Sb, As, Cu, Mo, Te, or I ions did not affect the Ge(IV) signal. When present in increasingly higher amounts, the abovementioned elements gradually reduced the Ge(IV) signal. The addition of Se and Bi at concentrations equal to that of Ge(IV) led to a 30% reduction in the germanium peak current. Cadmium ions, on the other hand, substantially suppressed the germanium signal when present at a concentration equal to half of the germanium concentration; for a 1:1 Ge(IV):Cd(II) concentration ratio, a nearly complete loss of the germanium signal was observed.

The germanium signal was found to be sensitive to surface-active substances, as revealed via tests involving Triton X-100. In a solution containing 1 mg L\(^{-1}\) of Triton X-100, the germanium signal dropped by 70%, and for 2 mg L\(^{-1}\) of Triton X-100, it retained only 3% of its initial value.

### Analytical performance

The analytical performance of Ge(IV) in the presence of chloranilic acid and the V(IV)·HEDTA system was assessed in experiments carried out under the following conditions: HMDE---0.1 M of CH\(_3\)COOH with a pH of 2, 0.75 mM of chloranilic acid, 2 mM of V(IV)·HEDTA; Hg(Ag)FE---0.075 M of CH\(_3\)COOH, 0.75 mM of chloranilic acid, 1.25 mM of V(IV)·HEDTA. Accumulation was performed at \(-0.1\) V for 60 s. CAdSV curves were recorded for solutions of V(IV), 4 mM HEDTA, pH 2.0; (b) 0.075 M CH\(_3\)COOH, 0.75 mM chloranilic acid, 1.25 mM V(IV), 2.5 mM HEDTA, pH 2.3. \(E_{\text{acc}} = -0.1\) V, \(t_{\text{acc}} = 60\) s.
containing 0.75–80 nM of Ge(IV) when HMDE was used and 1–25 nM of Ge(IV) for Hg(Ag)FE. They are shown in Fig. 7. They were well-developed over the entire investigated Ge(IV) concentration range; the signal increased linearly with Ge(IV) concentration in the range from 0.75 to 50 nM \( (I_p \text{HMDE} = (0.0299 \pm 0.0004)C - (0.015 \pm 0.009); r^2 = 0.9970) \) and from 1 to 25 nM \( (I_p \text{Hg(Ag)FE} = (0.130 \pm 0.003)C - (0.010 \pm 0.05); r^2 = 0.9974) \), where peak current is expressed in \( \mu \text{A} \) and Ge(IV) concentration is in nM. In the case of HMDE electrode, the repeatability for 1 nM of Ge(IV) and 10 measurements was equal to 5.3% and the LOD was found to be 0.085 nM, whereas for Hg(Ag)FE, the repeatability for 5 nM of Ge(IV) and 10 measurements was equal to 5.8% and the LOD had a value of 0.70 nM.

The elaborated procedure was tested using real samples containing germanium (mineral water no. 1) or spiked with germanium standard (mineral water no. 2 and tap water). Both mineral waters were collected and manufactured in Poland, carbonated, and sold in glass bottles. Mineral water no. 1 was highly mineralized (5225 mg L\(^{-1}\) of total dissolved solids (TDS)). It contained 17.69 mg L\(^{-1}\) of germanium, as reported by authors who applied total reflection X-ray fluorescence (TXRF) to examine it. The mineral water no. 2 was low mineralized (475 mg L\(^{-1}\) TDS). It did not show the presence of a detectable amount of germanium, similarly to tap water collected in our laboratory. The concentration of Ge(IV) measured in the mineral water sample no. 1 by means of the developed CAHDS procedure was 18.6 ± 1.1 \( \mu \text{g L}^{-1} \), and this value is consistent with the value of 17.69 \( \mu \text{g L}^{-1} \) reported earlier [47]. The two remaining samples were spiked with 5 nM of Ge(IV), which resulted in recoveries of 98.9 ± 1.0% for mineral water 2 and 102 ± 12% for tap water.

Conclusions

The addition of V(IV)-HEDTA to a solution containing acetic acid and CAA significantly increased the adsorptive stripping voltammetric signal of germanium via a catalytic reaction occurring in the presence of the vanadium complex. The dependence of the germanium response on accumulation potential and time implies that Ge(IV) may form a complex with the product of the reduction of CAA; this complex has a strong tendency to adsorb at the electrode surface and to induce the catalytic process.

The careful optimization of chemical and instrumental variables, especially the accumulation potential, allowed a very sensitive procedure for the determination of germanium to be designed. Depending on the applied electrode, the germanium signals were 11.75 (Hg(Ag)FE) or 20.7 (HMDE) times higher than those recorded in the absence of V(IV)-HEDTA. It should be emphasized that the replacement of the HMDE with an environmentally safer alternative, namely the Hg(Ag)FE, did not compromise the high sensitivity of analytical signals, as both electrodes can be used to record signals that are linearly dependent on the Ge(IV) concentration within a similar concentration range (0.75 nM to 50 nM for the HMDE and 1 nM to 25 nM for the Hg(Ag)FE). Both tested electrodes—the HMDE and the renewable Hg(Ag)FE—may be used to determine ultratrace levels of Ge(IV) with high sensitivity and low limits of detection (0.085 nM for the HMDE and 0.70 nM for the Hg(Ag)FE) for an accumulation time of 60 s. The application of the Hg(Ag)FE, however, allows researchers to significantly limit the issues stemming from the application of mercury at laboratories. The elaborated procedure was tested using real and spiked samples, and it was determined that the proposed method can be applied for the determination of Ge(IV) in water samples.
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