Progress in perovskite based solar cells: scientific and engineering state of the art

Abstract: Perovskite solar cells (PSCs) are one of the most promising photovoltaic technologies undergoing rapid developments. PSC efficiency has reached 25.2% in only seven years, which is close to the record efficiency of silicon solar cells. In addition, the use of PSCs in tandem solar cells either in the 4-terminal or monolithic configuration, can lead to a significant increase conversion efficiency. However, the stability and the scalability are the main issues that still hinder the commercialization of the perovskite technology.

The present review focusses on the recent development in perovskite solar cells materials, cell architectures and fabrication methods and their effect on the conversion efficiency and stability of the devices. In addition, solutions proposed to overcome the main challenges and to make tandem solar cells are discussed.
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1 Introduction

Perovskites refer to a group of materials with the formula of ABX₃ that crystallize in the CaTiO₃-type perovskite structure [1]. In hybrid perovskites absorbers used in solar cells, A is an organic cation of formula R-NH₃⁺, B is a divalent metal of the group 14 of the periodic table (Pb²⁺, Sn²⁺, Ge²⁺...), and X is a halide ion (I⁻, Cl⁻, Br⁻). As shown in Figure 1, their structure consists of a 3D stack of BX₆ octahedra that touch at the vertices, with the A⁺ cations occupying the interstitial sites of the three-dimensional inorganic structure [1]. Methylammonium CH₃NH₃⁺ (MA), Formamidinium HC(NH₂)₂⁺ (FA) and Cesium (Cs⁺) are the most used cations in the perovskite absorbers. Larger cations, like ethyl-ammonium (EA), can also be used. However, the perovskite structure changes dimensionality from three-(3D) to two-dimensions (2D) with a significant change in the optical gap of the material. Moreover, the combination between the different elements A, B and X constituting the hybrid perovskite leads to various properties and cell performances [2–7].

![Figure 1: Crystalline structure of the hybrid perovskite [4]](image-url)

This class of hybrid materials has a wide range of properties and characteristics that are attractive for solar cells,
namely: 1) a direct band-gap that can easily be tuned between 1.30 and 2.5 eV [2, 8, 9] by an appropriate selection of the mixed cations and halides [2, 10]; 2) a low exciton binding energy (<10 meV); 3) a large absorption coefficient on the order of $1.5 \times 10^4$ cm$^{-1}$ at 550 nm [2]; 4) a high carrier lifetime compared with silicon [2]; 5) an ambipolar conductivity and a good mobility of the carriers compared to the organic materials, namely: $7.5 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ for holes and $12.5 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ for electrons [2]. In addition, the hybrid perovskites offer absorption of wavelengths up to 800 nm [2, 9, 10], a good separation of charge carriers, which explains the high open-circuit voltages ($V_{oc}$) of PSC, which can reach up to 1.02 V [2, 10]. Indeed, perovskites present high diffusion lengths for holes and electrons, reaching 100 nm for MAPbI$_3$ and exceeding 1 $\mu$m in MAPbI$_{3-x}$Cl$_x$ [1, 2]. This feature coupled with good light absorption leads to high short-circuit current density ($J_{sc}$) values up to 23 mA/cm$^2$ [2].

Moreover, the perovskite solar cell can be elaborated with simple and low temperature process [11], which enables to lower the cost of the devices compared with silicon thin film technologies.

Indeed, wet chemistry routes such as spin-coating, spray-deposition, dip-coating, printing techniques as well as other coating/printing techniques are widely used techniques to develop hybrid perovskites [12]. However, vapor deposition techniques lead to flat and uniform films and offer better thin film qualities than spin-coating [8]. Furthermore, combining wet chemistry and vapor deposition techniques results in higher grain size and complete surface coverage [1].

Perovskite solar cell architectures evolved from mesoporous type to planar junctions. This later architecture is considered to be the most interesting since it is simple and offers low cost for mass production and can yield high performances [13]. In this configuration, the Perovskite absorber is sandwiched between an electron transport layer (ETL) and a hole transport layer (HTL) [8]. However, the nature of the layers constituting the PSC affects the performances of the devices [2, 4, 5, 14–19].

Despite all these advantages, moisture, UV-light and temperature can greatly affect the stability of the PSC cells and lead to their degradation [20]. Nevertheless, an adequate selection of the elements of the hybrid perovskite as well as of the carrier selective layers could improve the stability of the devices [5, 21]. Furthermore, Uv-filters, and encapsulation are considered as efficient way to protect the cells against external factors [4, 22].

Perovskite cells have also been recently used in tandem structures due to the tunability of the band gap of these materials. Indeed, the large band-gap of some perovskites makes them very attractive for a top cell in tandem structures, with efficiencies of 20.3% for Perovskite/perovskite [23], 21.0% CIGS/perovskite [24], 23.6% silicon-hetero-junction/perovskite [25] structures. This later, is demonstrated as one of the best ways to improve the matured and stagnated photovoltaic technologies at reasonable costs [26].

The present review focusses on the recent developments in perovskite solar cells materials, cell architectures and fabrication methods and their effect on the conversion efficiency and stability of the devices. In addition, solutions proposed to overcome the main challenges and to make tandem solar cells are discussed. The latest developments in the field of perovskite solar cells either in simple junction or in tandem configurations are also reviewed. The materials used in different layer constituting the device and their effect on the stability and performance of the solar cells are discussed. Furthermore, the different fabrication techniques to deposit the perovskite thin film are presented and their effect on the proprieties of the thin films are discussed. In addition, the mechanism of the instability of the perovskite solar cell is presented and the possible solution to overcome this issue are proposed. Furthermore, the tandem solar cells based on the perovskite sub cells combined with different technologies, especially CIGS, Perovskite and silicon heterojunction solar cells are also reviewed.

2 Fabrication of perovskite films

The fabrication of perovskite absorbers doesn’t require heavy equipment and can be carried out at relatively lower temperatures compared to silicon [11]. This, in addition to high efficiency, can lead to a significant lowering of the cost of this technology. Indeed, silicon wafers issued from high purity ingots are essential component in the silicon solar cell and they constitute above 70% of the cost of the whole device [27]. Furthermore, poly- or microcrystalline silicon thin-film solar cells preparation requires deposition temperatures of 1000°C by Chemical Vapor Deposition [28], or 200 and 450°C with a pressure between 0.1 and 1 mbar by Plasma Enhanced Chemical Vapor Deposition (PECVD) [29], which is considered as a low temperature process. In contrast, perovskite thin films are prepared either by solvent-process deposition, vapor deposition or combined processes, that require most of the times a spin coater and an evaporator ,which are considered as simple equipments, followed by an annealing step in the temperature range between 100 and 190°C [30, 31].
In fact, several methods have been used to develop hybrid perovskite layers. Wet chemistry routes are widely used in this regard. The precursors of the perovskite are dissolved in solvents, and deposited via spin-coating, spray-deposition, dip-coating, printing techniques as well as other coating/printing techniques [12].

The spin coating technique (Figure 2) is the most used method because it is simple, fast and low cost [1, 2, 12]. Indeed, the perovskite thin film deposition can be realized in few seconds, by dripping a dozen µl of the precursors solution on a substrate fixed on the spin-coater. Furthermore, the precursor material and the spin-coater are low-cost compared to those used for other technologies. However, high performances can be obtained compared to the famous silicon solar cells. As a result, the perovskite solar cell cost above 10 to 20 cents per Watt, while for other the technologies the cost is 80 cents/Watt, compared to $77/Watt in 1977 [32].

The deposition can be performed either in a single or in two steps followed by a heat treatment. In the one-step method, lead (II) halide (PbI₂) and methyl-ammonium halide (MAI) are dissolved to form the precursor solution, which is then deposited on a substrate coated by titanium dioxide (TiO₂) by spin-coating. This technique is considered to be better in terms of step and time minimization, but it does not offer the control of either the growth kinetics of the crystals or the morphology of the coating [8]. The two-step technique consists of depositing a solution containing PbI₂ first, followed by the deposition of the other solution containing MAI. The performance of perovskites deposited by this process is higher as a result of the morphology and microstructure of the resulting films [8, 10].

Other derivative techniques have also been used such as the anti-solvent method, which consists of depositing the perovskite by spin-coating, and, after a specific time, the anti-solvent is rapidly added to the substrate, to promote rapid nucleation and growth of perovskite. This technique was proposed to yield flat and uniform perovskite films with well-developed grains [8].

In the hot-casting technique, the precursor solution is heated to a temperature of 70°C, and is then deposited by spin coating on a glass substrate heated to a temperature close to or greater than the boiling point of the solvent [31]. This technique favors the formation of large perovskite grains with a size of about 1 to 2 mm, which is significantly larger than the 1 to 2 microns obtained by the other methods using the post-deposition annealing. In addition, the films prepared by this method are exempt of pinholes and cover the entire surface of the substrate [31].

Despite the advantages offered by the spin-coating, this technique wastes large amounts of material and is incompatible with the roll-to-roll processing and cannot be scaled for large area. However, spray deposition, ink-jet printing, slot-die coating, blade-coating and screen printing, can overcome these drawbacks [12].

Vapor deposition method has also been used for the fabrication of thin layers of hybrid perovskites [1], either in one or two steps. This technique guarantees flat and uniform films and offers better quality than spin-coating [8], since it avoids the formation of pinholes resulting from solvent evaporation [1, 8].

The two-step (sequential) vapor deposition (Figure 3) allows the control of the deposition rate of MAI, which is not feasible in the co-deposition. In addition, the problems resulting from the use of solvents such as the slow crystallization of the perovskite and the pinhole formation within the perovskite film are avoided [1, 8]. Moreover, the vapor-deposited MAPbI₃₋ₓClₓ perovskite offer a high carrier mobility of 33 cm²V⁻¹s⁻¹ [33], which is almost the triple of that measured (11.6 cm²V⁻¹s⁻¹) for the same films deposited by the solution process [3].

Another method that was used is vapor-assisted solution deposition (VASP) where a thin layer of the first precur-
Figure 4: The vapor assisted solution process (VASP)

The vapor assisted solution process (VASP) is deposited on a substrate by a solution method. This film is then exposed to the vapor of the second precursor (Figure 4) [8]. The perovskite film obtained by this technique has a complete surface coverage, a uniform grain structure with particle size up to micrometers, and 100% precursor transformation [1]. The vapor assisted solution process (VASP) is a simple, controllable and versatile approach to obtain high quality perovskite layers and results in high-performance photovoltaic devices.

To improve the quality of the perovskite films, several solutions have been proposed. Indeed, a simple chemical approach was developed to improve the quality of perovskite films by adding an appropriate amount of acetic acid [34]. The introduction of acetate ions allows to obtain a homogeneous and continuous perovskite film, without pinholes and composed of grains with a high degree of crystallinity. Furthermore, the solar cells based on these films have shown an efficiency of up to 14.17% [34].

Other solutions were proposed to improve the quality of one-step spin-coating deposition by adding CH$_3$NH$_3$Cl to the precursor solution [35]. In addition, a 1: 3 ratio of PbI$_2$: MAI resulted in films with good performances, including a continuous film and cells with an efficiency of up to 19% [2], furthermore, the addition of 1% of 1,8-diiodooctane (DIO) to the precursor solution, makes it possible to obtain a perovskite film of good quality as well as cells with improved performances [2].

Lead (Pb) is an essential component in the majority of the hybrid perovskite absorbers, which is harmful health and to the environment. Adequate handling of this issue is required before PCS can be widely used. Fortunately, the amount of lead used in the perovskite solar cell is very small compared to the widely used lead-acid batteries. Moreover, Pb can be substituted partially or totally by less toxic elements such Sn, Ge. However, the efficiency and the stability of the resulted perovskite remain to be optimized [1, 4]. Furthermore, adequate encapsulation of the perovskite solar cell can improve their resistance to severe external conditions [36]. Adequate recycling of the Pb, even from lead-acid batteries, is another alternative to reduce the environmental impact of the use of this element. Indeed, high purity PbI$_2$ precursor can be produced from these wastes and used again in fabrication of the PSC [36]. Moreover, A. Binek et al. reported a suitable protocol to recycle used perovskite solar cells, in which each layer is recuperated individually, and can be reused to elaborate a new PCS with a similar performances to the previous device [37]. This protocol allows also the recovery of the expensive Glass/FTO substrate; which may also contribute to the reduction of the cost of the perovskite solar cells.

3 Progress in perovskite solar cell

3.1 Evolution of the structure of perovskite solar cells

Perovskites were first integrated in dye-sensitized solar cells (DSCs) to replace the conventional dyes, thus resulting in perovskite-sensitized solar cell (PSSC) [38]. They demonstrated a higher capacity to convert photons into electricity compared to the ruthenium N719 dye used in DSSCs [39]. However, the stability of this kind of cells was unfortunately affected by the use of liquid electrolytes. Furthermore, the corrosive nature of the redox couple can also cause damages to the device [40]. Moreover, leakage and solvent evaporation may occur, which hinders the progress of this technology.

In order to reduce losses in the DSSCs, the mesosuperstructured cell (MSCC) was developed, where an extremely thin layer of the perovskite absorber is coated on the internal surface of mesoporous TiO$_2$ or Al$_2$O$_3$ films [41]. In this structure, the carrier transport distance is decreased, thus enhancing charge collection [40]. Further, an open-circuit voltage 1.1 eV was achieved for a perovskite with a band-gap of 1.55 eV. However, series and shunt resis-
stances of the MSSC, are still an obstacle to the performance of the device, and remain to be optimized [41].

Subsequently, the mesoporous structure was proposed, in which the perovskite covers the surface of the TiO$_2$ nanoparticles by filling the pores of the mesoporous film [42]. In this structure, the contact area between the Perovskite and the ETL is increased, which makes the collection of electrons easier [43]. Furthermore, mesoscopic perovskite solar cells present a lower density of traps and less hysteresis compared to the planar architecture [43, 44]. Moreover, this kind of solar cell exhibit better stability and performance than the planar solar cells [45, 46]. However, the mesoporous oxide and the blocking layer require a sintering step above 500°C [13], which may increase the fabrication cost of these solar cells.

Finally, in the planar heterojunction architecture the perovskite layer is sandwiched between an n-type and p-type layers [8]. Indeed, unlike the mesoporous structure, this structure doesn’t require any mesoporous TiO$_2$ while the device can reach efficiencies similar to those of the mesoporous structure with lower processing temperatures [47, 48]. However, this structure exhibits the hysteresis phenomenon [49], which can be fortunately, reduced by treating the surface defects of the perovskite thin films [50]. Furthermore, this later architecture is simple and offers low cost for mass production and can yield high performances [13].

The perovskite planar solar cell (Figure 5) is composed of glass substrate covered with a transparent conducting oxide (TCO), an electron transport layer made of n-type semiconductor, the hybrid Perovskite absorber film, a hole transport layer consisting of p-type semiconductor and finally a metallic top contact [2]. The planar perovskite solar cells can have two configurations p-i-n or n-i-p [1, 2]. However, the most efficient cells are those with a p-i-n configuration [2]. Structures without either electron or hole transport layers were also developed [2], since the perovskite materials are ambipolar, as they allow the conduction of both electrons and holes [1].

Besides the layers constituting the standard PSCs, an antireflective coating can be added to reduce the reflection losses [26, 51, 52]. Several materials such as magnesium fluoride [51], Lithium fluoride (LIF) [52], and others were used for this purpose. With such treatments, the efficiency of the solar cell can be enhanced by 3% [26].

### 3.2 Evolution of the perovskite solar cells materials

#### Perovskite Absorber

The perovskite absorber, the principal layer in the PSCs, is photo-active in the visible region of the solar spectrum. The combination between the different elements A, B and X constituting the perovskite leads to various properties and cell performances.

Tin-based perovskites are very unstable, due to the instability of the Sn$^{2+}$ ions which are easily oxidized to Sn$^{4+}$ [4]. In addition, perovskites containing tin such as MASnX$_3$ have optical band gaps between 1.2 and 1.4 eV and result in cell efficiencies not exceeding 6%, which is lower than those of cells based on MAPbX$_3$. The band gaps of the latter perovskites are between 1.6 and 1.8 eV and can result in efficiencies of up to 19.7% [2, 8]. This makes lead-based perovskites better suited for the solar cells [1].

The methylammonium lead iodide hybrid perovskite has an optical band gap between 1.51 and 1.55 eV. When the methylammonium cation (MA) is replaced by the formamidinium (FA), the optical band gap decreases to values between 1.43 and 1.48 eV [2] and the best cell efficiency reached in this class of perovskites is 20.2% [5]. However, the perovskites containing cesium (Cs) as a cation and bromine (Br) as a halide (CsPbBr$_3$), have a wide band-gap of 2.25 eV, and lead to an efficiency of 5.95%. This is why this combination of elements is not ideal for photovoltaic cells [6]. On the other hand, cesium lead iodide perovskite (CsPbI$_3$), has a band gap of 1.73 eV and properties suitable for photovoltaic cells [7]. However, bromine-based perovskites, are known for their high band-gap, improved performance as well as stability of the perovskite solar cells [2].

The combination of two halides offered better performances. Indeed, cells based on perovskites mixed halides (I, Cl) demonstrated an efficiency of 12.7%, and an im-

---

**Figure 5**: Perovskite solar cell structures a), b) n-i-p and p-i-n configuration
Table 1: Band gaps and efficiencies of solar cells based on the perovskite absorbers

| Perovskite                  | Gap            | Efficiency | Reference |
|----------------------------|----------------|------------|-----------|
| MAPbI<sub>x</sub>F<sub>y</sub> | 1.2 - 1.4 eV   | 6%         | [2]       |
| MAPbI<sub>x</sub>B<sub>x</sub> | 1.6 - 1.8 eV   | 19.7%      | [8]       |
| MAPbI<sub>x</sub>P<sub>y</sub>   | 1.51 - 1.55 eV | 19.7%      | [8]       |
| FAPbI<sub>x</sub>           | 1.43 - 1.48 eV | 20.2%      | [5]       |
| MAPbI<sub>x</sub>B<sub>x</sub> | 1.48 - 2.23 eV | 14.9%      | [2]       |
| MAPbI<sub>x</sub>C<sub>y</sub>   | 1.73 eV        | —          | [7]       |
| CsPbI<sub>x</sub>F<sub>y</sub> | 2.25 eV        | 5.95%      | [6]       |
| CsPbI<sub>x</sub>B<sub>x</sub> | —              | 7.68%      | [2]       |
| Cs<sub>x</sub>(MA)<sub>y</sub>P<sub>1-x</sub>B<sub>x</sub> | —          | 16.5%      | [7]       |
| Cs<sub>x</sub>(FA)<sub>y</sub>P<sub>1-x</sub>B<sub>x</sub> | —          | 14.9%      | [2]       |
| (MA)<sub>x</sub>(FA)<sub>1-x</sub>PbI<sub>3</sub> | —          | 20.2%      | [7]       |
| MA<sub>x</sub>FA<sub>y</sub>PbI<sub>3</sub>Br<sub>1-x</sub> | —          | 21.1%      | [7]       |
| Cs<sub>x</sub>(MA<sub>x</sub>FA<sub>y</sub>)<sub>1-x</sub>PbI<sub>3</sub>Br<sub>1-x</sub> | —          | 21.1%      | [7]       |

Proved stability compared to iodides [3]. Furthermore, methylammonium lead bromide-iodide MAPbI<sub>x</sub>B<sub>x</sub>-x perovskites have a tunable band gap between 1.48 and 2.23 eV and resulted in a maximum efficiency of 14.9% [2].

Moreover, the best single junction perovskite solar cells were made of mixed organic cations (methylammonium (MA) and formamidinium (FA)) and mixed halides (Br and I). Indeed, the perovskite absorber (MA)<sub>x</sub>(FA)<sub>1-x</sub>PbI<sub>3</sub> yielded an efficiency of up to 14.9% [2], while the MA<sub>x</sub>FA<sub>y</sub>PbI<sub>3</sub>Br<sub>1-x</sub> perovskite lead to a higher efficiency of up to 20.2% [7]. However, Cs<sub>x</sub>(MA)<sub>1-x</sub>PbI<sub>3</sub> resulted in an efficiency on the order of 76.8% [2], but the mixture of Cs and FA cations allowed to increase the efficiency to 16.5% [7].

One of the interesting approaches is to combine cesium Cs with the two organic cations (MA and FA) to get a triple cation configuration (Cs / MA / FA). This approach allowed to reach an efficiency up to 21.1% using the absorber Cs<sub>x</sub>(MA<sub>x</sub>FA<sub>y</sub>)<sub>1-x</sub>PbI<sub>3</sub>Br<sub>1-x</sub> [7]. Furthermore, this configuration offers a reproducible perovskite films with a good thermal stability.

However, when MA is replaced by a larger cation such as Ethylammonium EA, the structure of the perovskite changes completely, moving from a three-dimensional structure to a two-dimensional one that is more resistant to solar radiation and humidity [2].

From this review, it can be seen that there is a close relationship between the performances of the perovskite solar cells and the absorber materials. The efficiencies of the PSCs using the previous absorbers are summarized in Table 1.

Electron transport layer (ETL)

The perovskite absorber is often deposited on an electron transport layer (ETL) to facilitate efficient electron collection and transport from the perovskite absorber. To guarantee high efficiency, material used as ETL must have a good electron mobility, wide band-gap to allow fast electron transport and to maximize light absorption by the absorber layer respectively [46].

Several materials were used as ETL, specially the metal oxides such as TiO<sub>2</sub>, ZnO, SnO<sub>2</sub>, SiO<sub>2</sub>, ZrO<sub>2</sub>. In addition, organic material such as PEHT, [6,6]-Phenyl C<sub>61</sub> Butyric acid Methyl ester (PCBM), or PEHT: PCBM composites [15] also showed good performances.

Titanium dioxide TiO<sub>2</sub> has been widely used as ETL in perovskite solar cells. However, this layer is sensitive to ultraviolet radiation, which induces a degradation of the absorber and consequently affects the efficiency and the lifetime of the whole cell with a stability of 500 hours [5].

Zinc oxide ZnO and tin dioxide SnO<sub>2</sub> lead to similar or improved performance compared to TiO<sub>2</sub> [16]. Indeed, zinc oxide ZnO, which is simple to synthesize and has excellent electrical properties, offered solar cells with 15% efficiency. However, the thermal stability of the perovskite deposited on this oxide needs to be improved [16, 17]. Furthermore, Al-doped ZnO (AZO) was also used as an electron transport layer, as well as transparent conductive oxide (TCO), thus allowing a simplified structure of solar cells in the form glass / AZO / perovskite / Spiro-OMeTAD / Au [16]. This type of structure offered an efficiency of 12.6% and a good thermal stability compared to that of cells based on intrinsic ZnO.
In addition, perovskite deposited on SnO$_2$ showed a higher stability of more than 700 hours [4]. Furthermore, studies have shown that alumina (Al$_2$O$_3$) can replace the TiO$_2$, giving an efficiency of 10.9% [41]. However, the injection of electrons from MAPbI$_3$ to Al$_2$O$_3$ is unfavorable since the conduction band position of the Al$_2$O$_3$ is higher than that of MAPbI$_3$ [8].

Hole transport layer (HTL)

The use of hole transporting materials makes it possible to improve the performance of the cells. In fact, PSC without a hole transporting layer exhibited an efficiency of 7.3%, with gold (Au) as a top contact [2]. When the Spiro-OMeTAD (2,2',7,7'-tetrakis (N,N-di-p-methoxyphenylamine) -9,9'-spirobifluorene) is added to the cell, a higher efficiency on the order of 9.7% were reached [2]. The Spiro-OMeTAD is the most commonly used HTL in perovskite solar cells as it results in an improved efficiency [18], and a better solar cell stability thanks to its hydrophobic nature [18].

Copper iodide CuI was also used as a hole transporting material since it is cheaper, and results in a better fill factor (FF) and a more stable current density than Spiro-OMeTAD. However, it yields a lower open circuit voltage ($V_{oc}$), which limits the efficiency of the cell to only 8.3% [19].

A well-known conjugated polymer, poly (3,4-ethylenedioxythiphene) (PEDOT) doped with polystyrene sulfonate (PSS), is also widely used as a hole transport layer (HTL) in perovskite solar cells. However, it results in a lower open circuit voltage $V_{oc}$ compared to Spiro-OMeTAD [18].

Poly (3-hexylthiophene-2,5-diyi) (P3HT) is a low-cost polymer which is also used as a hole transporting material in perovskite solar cells [18]. When gold nanoparticles (Au-NPs) are added to the P3HT matrix (composite P3HT: Au-NPs), the efficiency of the cell increased from 7.81% to 10.71% [18]. This result is explained by the increase in the electrical conductivity and the mobility of the carriers, which becomes four times higher than that of the P3HT film alone.

Back contact

The most commonly used metals for back contact in perovskite solar cells are gold (Au) and silver (Ag). They present high conductivity and high reflectivity. Silver is however reactive with respect to perovskite [2]. Carbon materials, on the other hand, demonstrated a high potential to substitute metals and can improve the stability of the cells because of their water repellent layer [53].

Substrates

Low processing temperatures of perovskite materials have offered the possibility to use substrates other than glass, and specifically transparent flexible substrates [2] such as poly (ethyleneterephthalate) (PET) / ITO, polyethylene-naphthalate (PEN) / ITO, PET / IZO, PET / AZO / Ag / AZO.

4 Factor influencing the stability of the perovskite

Despite their high efficiency, the stability issue of solar cells is the major obstacle to commercialization of this technology. Moisture, UV-light and temperature are the main factors influencing the degradation of organometal halide perovskites.

Moisture

Yang et al. studied the influence of relative humidity (RH) on the perovskite layer degradation. They demonstrated, that higher RH values cause a more rapid reduction in film absorption. As shown in the Figure 6, this reaction occurs
extremely quickly for the 98% RH, for which the absorption is reduced to half of its original value after only 4 h. However, for the low RH (20%), extrapolation of the degradation curve suggests this would take 10,000 h. This experiment was repeated for various carrier gases (N\textsubscript{2} or air) with no significant changes in the degradation of the absorbance. This indicates that the main cause of degradation in the perovskite film, is the presence of moisture [20].

Moreover, Zhou et al. showed that, a relative humidity less than 30% during cell fabrication boosts the performance of the device due to the amelioration crystal morphology [54].

Noh et al. investigated the stoichiometry of MAPb(I\textsubscript{1-x}Br\textsubscript{x})\textsubscript{3} perovskites. As a result, the devices containing Br presented an improved stability. The degradation monitoring was carried in 35% humidity for 20 days except at the 4th day where it was increased to 55% (Figure 7). As result, for x=0 and x=0.06, a significant degradation of the device was observed. However, for x=0.2 and x=0.29, the efficiency of the devices stayed almost constant during the whole period of monitoring [21].

These observations can be explained by the fact that in the presence of moisture, the perovskite layer is decomposed following Eq. (1). Subsequently, methylammonium iodide produces CH\textsubscript{3}NH\textsubscript{3} and HI according to Eq. (2). HI on the other hand, is degraded in presence of oxygen according to Eq. (3), or UV-light according to Eq. (4) [55]:

\[
\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3\text{PbI}_3(s) \rightleftharpoons \text{PbI}_2(s) + \text{CH}_3\text{NH}_2\text{I(aq)} \quad (1)
\]

\[
\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_2\text{I(aq)} \rightleftharpoons \text{CH}_3\text{NH}_2\text{H}_2\text{O(aq)} + \text{HI(aq)} \quad (2)
\]

\[
4\text{HI(aq)} + \text{O}_2(g) \rightleftharpoons 2\text{I}_2(s) + 2\text{H}_2\text{O(l)} \quad (3)
\]

\[
2\text{HI(aq)} \rightleftharpoons \text{H}_2(g) + \text{I}_2(s) \quad (4)
\]

**UV light**

PSCs with a TiO\textsubscript{2} layer exhibit UV-induced degradation. Indeed, Leijtens et al. [4] measured the variation of the cell efficiency during 5 hours for encapsulated, non-encapsulated devices and encapsulated devices with a UV filter. As shown Figure 8 [4], the encapsulated device with UV filter exhibited better stability. However, the encapsulated cell without a UV filter is degraded at a faster rate that the non-encapsulated devices [4].

**Temperature**

The thermal degradation of perovskite layers depends on their composition. Indeed, Tan et al. demonstrated a noticeable degradation of CH\textsubscript{3}NH\textsubscript{3}PbI\textsubscript{3−x}Cl\textsubscript{x} perovskite at 100°C [56]. However, Misra et al. showed that sealed CH\textsubscript{3}NH\textsubscript{3}PbBr\textsubscript{3} devices did not degrade during the accelerated aging tests, as opposed to the sealed CH\textsubscript{3}NH\textsubscript{3}PbI\textsubscript{3} device which underwent degradation after 60 min at temperatures between 44°C and 55°C [57]. In addition, Eperon et al. [58] and Aharon et al. [5] showed that the thermal stability of formamidinium-based devices is higher than the methyl-ammonium-based ones, since the decomposition of (HC(NH\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{2}PbI\textsubscript{3}) perovskite starts at 290°C, while the degradation CH\textsubscript{3}NH\textsubscript{3}PbI\textsubscript{3} perovskite start at ~ 230°C.
5 Improving the stability of the perovskite solar cells

Perovskite is a fragile water-soluble material, which presents therefore a rapid and irreversible degradation. Several solutions have been proposed to improve the stability of the cells. In particular, the partial substitution of iodine by bromine improves the stability of the hybrid perovskite [59]. McKee et al showed that the mixed bromide-iodide halide perovskite $\text{FA}_{0.83}\text{Cs}_{0.17}\text{Pb} (\text{I}_{0.6}\text{Br}_{0.4})_3$, enhances the photo-stability and the thermal stability of cells made with this absorber [60]. In addition, the incorporation of Cesium (Cs) in the A position of the perovskite structure demonstrated a better stability [4]. Lee and al elaborated $\text{FA}_{0.9}\text{Cs}_{0.1}\text{PbI}_3$, by substituting 10% of FA by Cs in the structure of $\text{FAPbI}_3$ perovskite. This combination allowed to improve the efficiency to 10%, with a better stability.

The two-dimensional (2D) perovskites were shown be good candidates to overcome stability problems. They are obtained by the incorporation of voluminous organic cations between the different MX$_6$ octahedra layers in the three dimension perovskite APbX$_3$ [61]. Furthermore, grain boundaries are potential recombination centers for charge carriers, which reduce the performance of perovskites over time. An interesting solution to overcome this problem is to improve the crystalline quality of perovskites by developing large grains during the crystallization at appropriate temperatures [31]. Indeed, W. Nie et al demonstrated that heating the substrate to a temperature of 190°C leads to perovskite films with large grains. On the other hand, encapsulation is one way to protect the cells against external factors [22].

The auto repair of the photovoltaic cells in the dark is among the solutions that were proposed to recover the initial efficiency of the perovskite cells. Indeed, during the day, electrical charges are trapped in the defects, which disrupts the continuous flow of these carriers. The darkness then allows to evacuate these trapped charges, with the consequence of recovering the initial efficiencies of the cells [62].

To overcome the degradation of the perovskite devices induced by UV-sensibility of the TiO$_2$ layer, several works focused on the substitution of this layer. Indeed, Bera et al proposed $\text{Zn}_2\text{SnO}_4$ as electron transporter layers, allowing an efficiency of 13.3% and one-month stability without any encapsulation [63]. Furthermore, Ito et al used $\text{Sb}_2\text{S}_3$ as a blocking layer to reduce UV-induced degradation. In fact, the device with $\text{Sb}_2\text{S}_3$ maintained almost 65% of its initial efficiency without encapsulation, as opposed to one without $\text{Sb}_2\text{S}_3$ under the same conditions of exposure [64]. Furthermore, Chander et al proposed the use of a UV filter [65].

6 Tandem cells based on perovskite

The efficiency of cells can be improved by combining two solar cells with complementary absorption regions of the solar spectrum, in a tandem structure. The two sub-cells can be stacked horizontally or vertically (on top of one another). The top cell, with the larger band-gap between 1.5-1.8 eV is stacked on the bottom cell with a smaller band-gap between 0.9-1.2 eV [51]. Thus, the tandem cell can absorb a greater portion of the solar spectrum, which leads to a significant increase in its conversion efficiency [2, 51, 52].

6.1 Working mechanism

When the tandem cell is exposed to light, the top cell absorbs and converts high-energy photons (Figure 9), leaving photons of lower energy to be harvested by the bottom cell [51, 66].

![Figure 9: Principle of the tandem cell](image)

6.2 Tandem cell configurations

The tandem cells are generally monolithic or four-terminal configurations, other designs are less used [67].
In the four-terminal configuration, the sub-cells are fabricated independently and are mechanically stacked one over the other [26, 51, 66]. However, in the two-terminal tandem configuration, called also monolithic, the top cell is directly deposited on the bottom one, which requires fewer transparent conductive electrodes and makes them easier to be integrated in a module [26, 66]. Thus, the parasitic absorption due to these electrodes is avoided and the fabrication costs are lower thanks to the reduction of the manufacturing steps [26]. However, this configuration often produces lower performances compared to the four-terminal tandem cells. The two sub-cells in the monolithic tandem must be optimized in such a way as to produce the same current at their respective maximum power-point. In addition, the top cell must be deposited at low temperatures to avoid damages to the bottom cell [26]. Moreover, the typically opaque back electrode of the top sub-cell must be replaced by a conductive layer possessing a high transparency throughout the visible and near-infrared spectral region, so that it allows light to pass to the absorber of the bottom sub-cell [66].

The coupling between the two subcells can be achieved by a P+ N+ type tunnel junction or by a very thin oxide layer, allowing the passage of the carriers by tunneling [23, 52].

### 6.3 Tandem cells containing the perovskite subcell

The special and unique properties of perovskites make them attractive as a top cell in tandem configuration. Indeed, several cells couplings have been developed, either in monolithic or in four-terminal tandem configurations, notably perovskite / perovskite, perovskite / Copper Indium Gallium Selenide (CIGS), and monolithic perovskite / silicon heterojunction cells.

#### The Perovskite / Perovskite tandem cells

The ability to adjust the band gap and electronic properties of perovskites makes the perovskite / perovskite tandem cells the likely candidates for improving solar cells performances and for lowering fabrication costs [23]. Some configurations of these tandem cells are presented below. The top cell is based on FA$_{0.83}$Cs$_{0.17}$Pb(I$_{0.83}$Br$_{0.17}$)$_3$ perovskite absorber, with a band gap of 1.6 eV for the four-terminal tandem cell. A larger band gap up to 1.8 eV absorber FA$_{0.83}$Cs$_{0.17}$Pb(I$_{0.5}$Br$_{0.5}$)$_3$ was used for the monolithic tandem cells [23]. Furthermore, the absorber FA$_{0.75}$Cs$_{0.25}$Sn$_{0.5}$Pb$_{0.5}$I$_3$ with a band gap of 1.2 eV was developed and used as an absorber for the bottom cell for the two tandem configurations. The configuration of the both tandem cells is illustrated in the Figure 10.

![Figure 10: Monolithic and four-terminal Perovskite / Perovskite tandem cell are presented respectively](image-url)
Table 2: Characteristics of the Perovskite / Perovskite tandem cells developed in monolithic and in four-terminal configuration, as well as those of the constituent sub-cells, with an active area of 0.2 cm$^2$ [23].

| Cells | $J_{sc}$ (mA/cm$^2$) | $V_{oc}$ (V) | FF (%) | $\eta$ (%) | SPO |
|-------|----------------------|-------------|--------|------------|-----|
| Absorber cell of 1.2 eV (single junction) | 26.7 | 0.74 | 0.71 | 14.1 | 14.8 |
| Absorber cell of 1.8 eV (single junction) | 15.1 | 1.12 | 0.58 | 9.8 | 9.5 |
| Monolithic tandem | 14.5 | 1.66 | 0.7 | 16.9 | 17 |
| Absorber cell of 1.2 eV (4 terminal) | 7.9 | 0.74 | 0.73 | 4.4 | 4.5 |
| Absorber cell of 1.6 eV (4 terminal) | 20.3 | 0.97 | 0.79 | 15.7 | 15.8 |
| Four terminal tandem | 20.1 | 20.3 | | | |

Thanks to the complementarity of the top and bottom cells, the efficiency of the monolithic tandem cell reached 17%. The four-terminal configuration, on the other hand, offered an efficiency of 20.3% for cells with an active area of 0.2 cm$^2$ [23]. The characteristics of the sub-cells and the tandem cells are summarized in the Table 2 [23]:

The characteristics of tandem cells with a larger area, of the order of one square centimeter, are smaller than those measured for the area of 0.2 cm$^2$ [23]. The efficiency was 14.8% for the monolithic configuration and 9.5% for the four terminal one.

Several other perovskite materials were investigated by researchers. Especially, Rui Sheng et al. studied the perovskite / perovskite tandem cell using MAPbBr$_3$ as the higher band-gap cell and MAPbI$_3$ as the lower one. They demonstrated through simulations an efficiency of 25.9% for the monolithic tandem cell [68].

Perovskite/ CIGS tandem cells

CIGS is considered to be the best absorber in the family of I-III-VI$_2$ semiconductors, made of elements from groups I, III and VI of the periodic table. It has a band gap between 1.24 and 1.3 eV [69], which is considered as an optimum band gap for tandem cells [66]. In fact, the coupling of perovskite and CIGS sub-cells into tandem cells has resulted in cells with improved efficiencies [51].

In 2016, cells based on Cu (Ga, In) (Se)$_2$ absorber achieved an efficiencies of 21.0%, an open circuit voltage of 0.757 V, a short-circuit current density of 70 mA / cm$^2$ and a fill factor (FF) of 77.6%, for a cell of about 1 cm$^2$ [24]. Today, the record for CIGS-based cells has reached 23.4% according to the National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL) efficiency chart [70].

The perovskite cell used in the one of the best perovskite/CIGS tandem cells has the following configuration: FTO / t-TiO$_2$ / mp-TiO$_2$ / CH$_3$NH$_3$PbI$_3$ / CH$_3$NH$_3$PbI$_3$ / spiro-OMeTAD / MoO$_3$ / ZnO:Al / MgF$_2$ [51]. A 16.1% efficiency was attained with gold contact and 12.1% with the transparent one, which is adapted to the tandem concept since it transmits 71% of the photons with energy below the band gap (wavelengths 800 and 1000 nm) to the CIGS bottom cell [51]. The CIGS bottom cell was prepared by co-evaporation and consists of the following stacks (Figure 11): substrate/Mo/CIGS/ CsS/ZnO:Al / MgF$_2$ [51].

The CIGS cell exhibits a 18.4% power conversion efficiency which decreases to 7.4% when it is placed below the perovskite subcell, with an opening area of 0.213 cm$^2$. This is due to the low illumination intensity, which induces a significant drop in current density as well as a slight decrease in open circuit voltage ($V_{oc}$) [51].

The four-terminal perovskite/CIGS tandem cell consists of coupling the perovskite top cell with the superstrate configuration and the CIGS bottom cell with the opposite configuration [51]. The resulting tandem cell is schematized in Figure 12.

The efficiency of the four-terminal tandem cell is the sum of the two efficiencies of the two sub-cells [51, 66]. For this configuration, the efficiency of the tandem cell reached 19.5% as shown in the Table 3 [51]:

Table 3: The performances and efficiencies of the sub-cells, as well as the efficiency of the 4-terminal tandem cell [51].

| Solar Cell | $V_{oc}$ (mV) | $J_{sc}$ (mA/cm$^2$) | FF (%) | $\eta$ (%) | Irradiance (W/cm$^2$) |
|------------|--------------|----------------------|--------|------------|----------------------|
| The perovskite top cell (transparent contact) | 1034 | 16.7 | 70,3 | 12.1 | 1000 |
| CIGS bottom cell (tandem) | 661 | 14.4 | 77,4 | 7.4 | 1000 |
| 4-terminal tandem cell | 19.5 | | | | 1000 |

$J_{sc}$: Short-circuit current density; FF: Fill factor
$V_{oc}$: Open-circuit voltage
Perovskite/Silicon tandem cells

Over the past fifteen years, the efficiency of crystalline silicon solar cells has only slightly increased [26] and reached a record efficiency of 26.1% for crystalline single junction cells and 26.6% for heterojunction cells with interdigitated back contacts [70, 72]. This is close to the maximum theoretical efficiency for single junction silicon cells which is on the order of 29.4% [26].

The easiest way to exceed the theoretical limit with a reasonable cost is to couple the silicon cell with another low-cost cell, which contains an absorber material with a larger band gap [26]. The perovskite is a promising cell that perfectly meets this requirement. Enormous progress was made in this series of cells and efficiency of up to 25.2% were achieved [70].

Tandem cells based on perovskite and silicon consist in coupling these two complementary absorption materials, where the perovskite top cell has a wider band gap than that of the heterojunction silicon bottom cell [66].

Indeed, Loper et al. realized a four-terminal tandem cell with an efficiency of 13.4%, where the efficiency of the perovskite top cell is 6.2% while that of the crystalline silicon heterojunction bottom cell is 7.2% [73].

The structure, shown in the Figure 13, resulted in 18.1% efficiency [52]. The perovskite used in this tandem configuration has an efficiency of 10.4% and consists of the following stacks: SnO$_2$/[(MA)$_x$((FA)$_{1-x}$Pb$_x$Br$_{3-x}$)/Spiro-OMeTAD/MoO$_3$/ITO [52]. However, the silicon heterojunction bottom cell is of standard configuration [52], with a 15.7% efficiency.

This combination made it possible to achieve an efficiency of 19.9%, an open-circuit voltage of 1.785 V, a short-circuit current density of 14 mA/cm$^2$ and a fill factor of 79.5%. However, the stabilized power output of this tandem cell is only of 18.1% [52].

In 2016, S. De Wolf et al. developed perovskite/Silicon monolithic tandem cell with a 21.2% efficiency, made of a silicon heterojunction bottom-cell with a standard configuration, reaching 16.8% efficiency and of perovskite top-
cell with an efficiency of 14.5%, composed of several stacks IZO/PEIE/PCBM/CH₃NH₃PbI₃/spiroOMeTAD/MoOₓ/ITO:H/ITO [26]. The monolithic tandem cell is illustrated in the Figure 14.

This configuration lead respectively to 18.1% and 17.2% efficiencies for 0.17 cm² and 1.22 cm² aperture areas, respectively. Furthermore, this monolithic tandem cell reached a record efficiency of 19.2% for an aperture area of 1.22 cm² and 21.2% for 0.17 cm², using an antireflective layer [26].

In 2017, Bush et al. realized a monolithic perovskite / silicon tandem cell with a record efficiency of 23.6% for an area of 1 cm² [25]. Nevertheless, the world record is of 25.2% for the four-terminal tandem cell [74]. The perovskite sub-cell used in the monolithic tandem configuration consists of the following stacks: Glass / ITO / NiO / Cs₀.₁₇FA₀.₈₃Pb(Br₀.₁₇I₀.₈₃)₃/LiF / PCBM / SnO₂ / ZTO / ITO / LiF / Ag [25]. However, the silicon bottom cell is of standard configuration. This combination is the best monolithic tandem cell, certified by NREL, where, the open circuit voltage is 1.65 V, the short circuit current density is 18.1 mA/cm², the fill factor reaches 79.0%, thus allowing a record efficiency of 23.6% [25]. The structure of this cell is shown in the Figure 15.

7 Conclusion

Perovskite solar cells are increasingly becoming competitive with silicon technology in terms of efficiency and cost. Efficiency in excess of 25% has been reached in only seven years of research with simple and low-cost fabrication techniques. In addition, they offer multiple choices of materials, processing methods and structures. However, the stability issue is the major obstacle to the commercialization of this technology. Nevertheless, the selection of the materials, the components of the cell and the appropriate protection mean can lead to a significant improvement of the stability of the devices.

Furthermore, the perovskite solar cell showed a great potential as the top cell in tandem configurations. This recent approach became an attractive way to improve the performances of the conventional technologies. However, several means of improvement remain to be investigated to approach the theoretical limit which is on the order of 46% [75], while maintaining a competitive advantage over the most efficient cells, but which are technologically the most expensive.
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