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Abstract

Background The rise of cardiovascular diseases in sub-Saharan Africa strains fragile healthcare systems. Cardiovascular disease prevention involves the use of prophylactic medications like statins and the adoption of healthy lifestyle choices. However, the nature of the relationship between statin-use and the adoption of healthy lifestyle choices remains unclear. This study is the first to examine whether there is a relation between statin-use, the adoption of a low-fat diet and healthy exercise behaviours, and the way Nigerians diagnosed with hyperlipidaemia think about high cholesterol as a risk factor for cardiovascular disease.

Methods This cross-sectional study was conducted in an urban site and a semi-urban site in Nigeria. Questionnaires were used to compare the dietary and exercise behaviours; perceptions of high cholesterol; and perceived future risk of cardiovascular disease of 78 statin users and 70 non-statin users.

Results The majority (69%) of participants reported that they had adopted a low-fat diet. However, 80% participants were classified as inactive/moderately inactive and statin users were significantly less active than non-statin users (86% of statin users inactive/moderately inactive vs 74% of non-statin users, \(X^2 = 3.852, p=0.05\)). A logistic regression model found that odds of adopting a low-fat diet was 5 times greater in participants recruited from the urban research site (95% CI=1.7 to 12.5, \(p=0.003\)) and increased as reported perceived statin control of high cholesterol increased (OR=2.33, 95% CI=1.16 to 4.69, \(p=0.018\)).

Conclusions The odds of adopting a low-fat diet increased as statin control perceptions increased - suggesting that statin-use and the adoption of healthy dietary choice were thought to work in unison to manage high cholesterol. Urban-dwellers were more likely to have adopted healthy dietary choices and to think about adopting exercise choices than semi-urban dwellers. This demonstrates a growing awareness of negative future impacts of Westernised lifestyle behaviours in urban areas and the need for public health interventions in semi-urban areas to raise awareness and facilitate the adoption of healthy lifestyle choices in order to curtail the rise of cardiovascular disease in Nigeria.

Introduction

Developing countries in sub-Saharan Africa face a double burden of non-communicable and communicable disease. The latter is still the major cause of adult mortality in the region, but non-communicable diseases pose a substantial burden and appear to be on the rise, further straining already over-burdened and fragile health care systems (1–3). In Nigeria, non-communicable diseases are thought to account for 29% of adult deaths, 11% of which are due to cardiovascular disease (4).

The rise of cardiovascular diseases and other non-communicable diseases in sub-Saharan Africa has been attributed to an aging population and urbanisation (5–9). A key strategy for the primary prevention of cardiovascular disease is the lowering of lipid levels (2, 10). This can be done by making lifestyle modifications such as eating a low-fat diet, regular physical activity and smoking cessation. Where needed, lipid-lowering medications such as statins are prescribed for use alongside lifestyle modifications (10–12). Due to their documented effectiveness in lipid modification, statins have become the medication of choice and one of the most prescribed medications in the world. However, there remains some doubt about their benefit for the primary prevention of cardiovascular disease where even
relatively low rates of side effects may not be justified by their effect on preventing uncommon events (13–15).

There is sparse and conflicting data available on the relationship between statin-use and the adoption of healthy lifestyle choices (16). Some researchers claim that statin-use provides a false sense of security, enabling people to neglect lifestyle modifications and continue to make poor lifestyle choices (17–19). Others have either found no difference or found that statin users consumed less dietary fat than non-statin users (16, 20). As statin-use increases, it is important to assess whether there is an interaction between statin-use and the adoption of healthy lifestyle choices for a variety of reasons. Firstly, whilst research confirms that statins produce a moderate reduction in cardiovascular disease risk, their effect is enhanced when combined with lifestyle modifications (21–23). Secondly, these lifestyle modifications are beneficial not only for the control of lipid levels but also for the control of other cardiovascular disease risk factors, non-communicable diseases, and promote overall health and wellbeing (7).

Understanding factors that influence modifiable health-related behaviours such as the adoption of healthy lifestyle choices may facilitate the development and implementation of targeted public health interventions. (2, 24–26). This could potentially help curb the rise of cardiovascular disease risk factors in sub-Saharan Africa.

We have not identified any studies that investigate the relation between statin-use and the adoption of healthy lifestyle choices in sub-Saharan Africa where the burden of cardiovascular disease risk factors is on the rise. Consequently, this study aimed to examine whether there is a relation between statin-use, the adoption of a low-fat diet and healthy exercise behaviours.

We were also interested in the way Nigerians diagnosed with hyperlipidaemia think about high cholesterol as a risk factor for cardiovascular disease. We used the Common Sense Model of self-regulation, and the Health Belief Model as frameworks to understand how illness perceptions and health beliefs influence health-related behaviours (24, 27–29). The Common Sense Model posits that when faced with a health threat, individuals produce a cognitive representation of the health threat which informs their choice of coping strategies (29). The Health Belief Model was originally developed to explain or predict preventive health behaviours in healthy individuals and to detect disease in asymptomatic individuals (28).

**Methods**

**Study design and setting**

This cross-sectional study was conducted between August to October 2013 in two medical practices in Nigeria. The first site was the Nigeria National Petroleum Corporation (NNPC) medical services located in the cosmopolitan Maitama district in Abuja, Nigeria. NNPC is a national oil and gas company and provides free healthcare for its staff and their immediate family. Abuja is the capital of Nigeria and has been exposed to a lot of Western influences. This site will be referred to as the urban research site. The second site was University of Calabar Teaching Hospital (UCTH) located in Calabar, the capital of Cross-
Rivers state in the oil-rich Niger delta region. Tourism has become an alternative main avenue of wealth creation for Cross-River state (30). Consequently, Cross River state is rapidly undergoing urbanisation (31). This site will be referred to as the semi-urban research site.

Ethical approval was obtained from the Nigerian Institute for Medical Research and from local research ethics committees of both research sites.

**Study sample**

_Inclusion criteria_

- Adults aged ≥18 years
- Recorded diagnosis of hyperlipidaemia and
- Attended a hospital appointment at either site during the study period

_Exclusion criteria_

- Not of Nigerian origin
- Did not speak English
- Individuals who had experienced a diagnosed cardiovascular event were excluded in order to focus on primary prevention of cardiovascular disease.
- Individuals who had a diagnosis of familial hypercholesterolemia or type 1 diabetes due to role of genetics in these conditions.
- Individuals diagnosed with HIV were excluded because research suggests that HIV and the use of highly active antiretroviral therapy may cause metabolic disruptions that lead to dyslipidaemias, hypertension and insulin resistance (32).

**Measures**

**Demographics and cardiovascular disease risk factors**

A structured questionnaire was used to elicit demographic information from participants.

A medical diagnosis of diabetes, hypertension and statin-use at the time of the study were obtained from medical records. This information was also confirmed by participants prior to the administration of the questionnaire.

Participants who were taking a statin at the time of this study were classified as statin users. Non-statin users, included participants who had not been prescribed a statin and participants who had previously been prescribed a statin but were not taking a statin at the time of the study.
**Dietary patterns**

Questions about the consumption of fried foods and the use of cooking oil from the European Prospective Investigation of Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC) food frequency questionnaire were included in the study questionnaire to elicit information about the dietary fat consumption of participants (33, 34). The options of cooking oil in the study questionnaire were altered to reflect the Nigerian diet.

The questionnaire also included questions to assess reduction in dietary fat consumption using Prochaska and DiClemente’s model of dietary change. Participants classified by this model as belonging to either the pre-contemplation, contemplation or decision stages of change were regarded as non-adopters of a low-fat diet. Participants in the action or maintenance stages of change were regarded as adopters of a low-fat diet (35).

**Exercise patterns**

Questions from the UK Department of Health's General Practice Physical Activity Questionnaire (GPPAQ) were used to assess participants’ level of physical activity and classify them as either: inactive, moderately inactive, moderately active or active (36). Questions adapted from Prochaska and DiClemente's model of dietary change were used to classify participants in the pre-contemplation, contemplation, decision stages of change as non-adopters of healthy exercise behaviours. Participants in the action and maintenance stages were regarded as adopters of healthy exercise behaviours (35).

**Perception of high cholesterol**

The Revised Illness Perception Questionnaire (IPQ-R) was used to assess the cognitive representations of and emotional responses to high cholesterol among study participants (37). The IPQ-R is a 5-point Likert scale (1 = strongly disagree to 5 = strongly agree) that contains subscales derived from the Common Sense Model of self-regulation. High scores on the included sub-scales indicate a strong emotional response; limited understanding of high cholesterol (illness coherence); the belief that high cholesterol is chronic (timeline), unpredictable (timeline cyclical); has serious consequences or affects quality of life (consequences); and can be controlled by statin-use (statin control) or personal efforts (personal control) (24, 27, 38). The identity or symptom sub-scale was not included in this study because high cholesterol is asymptomatic (27). Two items “spiritual causes” and “fate/destiny” were added to the cause subscale of the IPQ-R because religion plays a major role in the way Nigerians perceive the world around them and make sense of illness, death and suffering (39).

**Perception of future risk of cardiovascular disease**

Champion's Health Belief Model Scale was used to assess the participants’ beliefs about their risk of cardiovascular disease (40). High scores on these 5-point Likert scales (1 = strongly disagree to 5 =
strongly agree) indicate: a perceived susceptibility to heart disease; the perception that heart disease is a serious health threat; the perception that statin-use or adopting healthy lifestyle choices is beneficial (perceived benefits); and the perception that there are a lot of barriers to statin-use or the adoption of healthy lifestyle choices (perceived barriers). The health motivation sub-scale was not included in this study as it is not one of the 4 core components of the health belief model (28, 41).

To ensure that the questionnaire was understandable and culturally acceptable, it was piloted on 10 Nigerians aged between 27–65 years olds.

Data collection

Consecutive patients who attended either site and met the study inclusion criteria as determined by medical staff using a screening questionnaire were approached by the researcher in the waiting room. The study was explained and an information sheet was given to potential participants. The researcher verbally went through the information sheet and obtained consent prior to the administration of the questionnaires. In the semi-urban site, all questionnaires were researcher administered. In the urban site, participants were given the option have their questionnaires administered by the researcher or to self-administer their questionnaires and return them to the researcher or clinical staff in a sealed envelope at their convenience.

Data analysis

All data entry and analysis was carried out using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 20. A mean score was calculated for each of the sub-scales in the IPQ-R and Champion's Health Belief Model Scale (37, 40). In accordance with the instructions for the IPQ-R, the total score and not mean score of each cause subscale was calculated (37).

The Normality of the distribution of continuous variables was assessed and mean scores and standard deviations were calculated when data were Normally distributed; frequencies were calculated for categorical variables. Independent t-tests or Mann-Whitney tests were used to assess between group differences in continuous variables. Chi-square tests or Fisher's exact tests were used to assess between group differences in categorical variables.

Logistic regression models were used to identify variables that were associated with the adoption of a low-fat diet and the adoption of healthy exercise choices. Using Green's rules, it was calculated the minimum sample size required for a logistic regression model containing 8 variables was 114 participants (42). For all statistical tests, p<0.05 was taken as the level of statistical significance.

Results
Participant characteristics

The overall sample consisted of 78 (53%) statin users and 70 (47%) non-statin users. As shown in Table 1, participants were predominantly females (57%) and were aged between 40–69 years (84%). The mean age of the sample was 52.9 years (SD = 11.11). Most participants had hypertension (60%) and a quarter (24%) had hypertension and diabetes.

Over half (55%) of participants were recruited from the semi-urban site. Although not statistically significant, statin-use was more common in the urban site than in the semi-urban site (59% vs 48%, $X^2 = 1.950, p = 0.187$). Urban dwellers were significantly better educated (tertiary education = 79.4% urban dwellers vs 38.3% semi urban dwellers, Fisher’s exact = 41.14, p<0.001), more likely to be male (OR = 3.3, $X^2 = 12.190, p = 0.001$), married (OR = 1.7, Fisher’s exact = 8.63, p = 0.031), and have lived outside of Nigeria in the 10-years prior to this study than semi-urban dwellers (OR = 7.5, $X^2 = 12.72, p<0.001$). Significantly fewer urban dwellers had diabetes (33.3% vs 56.9%, $X^2 = 6.79, p = 0.011$) and were from Cross-Rivers state (4.7% vs 90.2%, Fisher’s exact = 126.116, p<0.001).

Dietary patterns

Most participants (76%) reported that they consumed fried foods at home on a weekly basis, while only 35% reported doing so away from their homes. As shown in Table 2, 69% of participants reported that they had adopted a low-fat diet. Over half of these participants (59%) had been doing so for at least a year prior to the study. Statin and non-statin users did not significantly differ in their reported adoption of a low-fat diet or any other reported dietary behaviours.

Urban dwellers were significantly more likely to report that they consumed fried foods on a weekly basis than semi-urban dwellers (65% vs 91%, $X^2 = 15.61, p<0.001$). The odds of reporting the consumption of fried foods outside the home was 16 times higher in urban dwellers than in semi-urban dwellers (11% vs 65%, Fisher’s exact = 51.41, p<0.001). Nevertheless, the odds of reportedly adopting a low-fat diet was 6 times higher in urban dwellers than in semi-urban dwellers (55% vs 86%, $X^2 = 18.30, p<0.001$). They were also significantly more likely to reportedly think they were eating a low-fat diet than semi-urban dwellers (44% vs 80%, $X^2 = 21.90, p<0.001$).

Exercise patterns

As shown in Table 3, majority of participants (80%) were classified as inactive/moderately inactive; most reported that they had never increased the frequency or intensity of their exercise (78%); and were
classified as non-adopters of healthy exercise behaviours at the time of the study (85%).

Statin users were significantly less active than non-statin users (86% of statin users were inactive/moderately inactive vs 74% of non-statin, $X^2 = 3.852, p = 0.05$).

Urban and semi-urban dwellers did not significantly differ in their levels of physical activity or reported adoption of healthy exercise behaviours. However, significantly more urban dwellers reported that they had at some point in time, increased their frequency or intensity of exercise (OR = 2.5, 30% vs 15%, $X^2 = 5.30, p = 0.027$). Urban dwelling non-adopters were also 15 times more likely to report that they had considered adopting healthy exercise behaviours in the previous month than semi-urban dwelling non-adopters (48% vs 6%, $X^2 = 30.00, p<0.001$).

<Insert Table 3- Exercise patterns>

**Perception of high cholesterol**

As shown in Table 4, participants reportedly believed their high cholesterol could be controlled by their own behaviours (mean personal control score = 3.9, SD = 0.62) and by taking a statin (mean statin control score = 3.7, SD = 0.64). However, reported perceptions of the former were stronger than perceptions of the latter. Correspondingly, the most important cause of high cholesterol reported by participants was lifestyle causes, followed by biomedical causes. The low score obtained on the timeline acute/chronic subscale, indicates that participants perceived their high cholesterol as an acute condition (mean timeline acute/chronic score = 2.2, SD = 0.66).

Both statin users and non-statin users reported stronger perceptions of personal control than statin control of high cholesterol. This indicates that both groups reportedly believed that their behaviours could control their high cholesterol better than statin-use. However, statin users reported significantly stronger statin control perceptions than non-statin users (3.8 vs 3.6, U = 1721.500, p = 0.003).

Urban dwellers reportedly thought that they had a poor understanding of high cholesterol compared to semi-urban dwellers (mean illness coherence, 3.6 vs 2.9 U = 1497.000, p<0.001). They also reported significantly stronger perceptions that high cholesterol is an acute condition (mean timeline acute/chronic, 2.0 vs 2.4 U = 1587.500, p<0.001), but they perceived it to be less predictable i.e. less cyclical than semi-urban dwellers (mean timeline cyclical, 2.9 vs 3.4, U = 1626.500, p = 0.002).

**Perception of future risk of cardiovascular disease**

As shown in Table 5, participants reportedly perceived healthy lifestyle choices (mean perceived benefits of lifestyle choices, 4.00 SD = 0.65) to be more beneficial than statin-use for the prevention of cardiovascular disease (mean perceived benefit of statin-use, 3.8 SD = 0.70). However, they thought they were more barriers to making healthy lifestyle choices than to taking a statin. The low score obtained on
the perceived susceptibility sub-scale indicates that participants did not perceive themselves to be at risk of cardiovascular disease (2.4, SD = 0.83).

Statin users reportedly perceived significantly fewer barriers to statin-use for cardiovascular disease prevention (2.3 vs 2.6, U = 1715.000, p = 0.027), and perceived cardiovascular disease to be significantly more severe than non-statin users (3.1 vs 2.9, U = 1745.500, p = 0.040).

Urban dwellers reportedly perceived cardiovascular disease to be significantly more severe than semi-urban dwellers (3.2 vs 2.8, U = 1707.500, p = 0.027). They also thought they were fewer barriers to (2.0 vs 2.8, U = 1815.000, p = 0.840) and significantly more benefits of the adoption of healthy lifestyle for cardiovascular disease prevention than semi-urban dwellers (4.1 vs 3.9, U = 1635.500, p = 0.007).

Factors associated with the adoption of a low-fat diet

Of the 148 participants recruited, 128 (86%) completed all sections of the questionnaire that were entered into a logistic regression model where the dependent variable was adoption of a low-fat diet and independent variables were: statin-status; gender; research site; physical activity level; perceived statin control of high cholesterol; perceived barriers to statin-use for cardiovascular disease prevention; and perceived severity of cardiovascular disease.

The logistic regression model was statistically significant ($\chi^2 = 25.822, p = 0.001$) and correctly classified 73% of cases. The model explained between 18.3% (Cox and Snell $R^2$) and 26.0% (Nagelkerke $R^2$) of variance in the adoption of a low-fat diet and the Hosmer and Lemeshow test of fit indicated that the model was a good fit to the data ($\chi^2 = 6.00, p = 0.647$).

As shown in Table 6, only research site and perceived statin control of high cholesterol made statistically significant contributions to the model. The odds of adopting a low-fat diet was 5 times greater in participants recruited from the urban research site and increased as reported perceived statin control of high cholesterol increased. The model assessing factors associated with the adoption of healthy exercise behaviours was not statistically significant ($\chi^2 = 9.674, p = 0.378$) and will not be presented in this article.

Discussion

This study aimed to examine whether there is a relation between statin-use, the adoption of a low-fat diet and healthy exercise behaviours, and the way Nigerians diagnosed with hyperlipidaemia think about high cholesterol as a risk factor for cardiovascular disease.

Statin use and diet

The majority of respondents reported dietary changes. We found that statin-use was not on its own associated with the adoption of a low-fat diet. This is in accordance with the findings of three American
studies (16, 17, 43). However, despite finding no significant differences in the dietary fat intake of statin users and non-statin users, one of the aforementioned American studies did find that statin users consumed significantly fewer fruits, vegetables and vitamins. This led the authors to conclude that statin-use negatively influenced healthy dietary behaviours (43). This is in contrast with the findings of our own study and the findings of two of previously the American studies.

**Physical activity**

By contrast with our findings on diet, the majority of the participants in the present study were physically inactive/moderately inactive, had not adopted healthy exercise choices at the time of the study, and had not considered doing so in the month prior to the study. The poor physical activity behaviours we observed are consistent with the findings from the Nigerian Heart Foundation survey and a study conducted in Uyo, Cross Rivers’ neighbouring state. Ekpenyong et al and the Nigerian Heart Foundation survey found that the majority of Nigerians their studies engaged in fewer than 30-minutes of moderate-intensity physical activity at least 5 times a week (3, 44). It should be noted that the data on physical activity in Nigeria are limited and the studies which are available define and assess physical activity differently (45), making it difficult to compare across studies and yielding a wide range of the prevalences of physical inactivity in Nigeria.

**Healthy diet and exercise**

Despite having adopted healthy dietary choices, the majority of participants in this study had not adopted or thought about adopting healthy exercise behaviours. Many researchers have voiced the need to pay more attention to and encourage the adoption of healthy exercise behaviours for cardiovascular disease prevention, non-communicable disease prevention and to promote overall health (46). The preference for adopting healthy dietary choices but not healthy exercise choices in this study may have resulted from the attribution of high cholesterol to dietary factors not physical inactivity. Alternatively, the preference may have occurred because malnutrition is and has been a major issue in Nigeria (47) leading to more attention being paid to dietary choices than to exercise choices. Furthermore, the increased use of technology, improvements in transportation, and the insecurity in some parts of Nigeria may limit outdoor activities. A lack of gyms, sports clubs, playgrounds, swimming pools and other exercise-enabling infrastructure may also hinder the adoption of healthy exercise choices and contribute to the high levels physical inactivity identified in this study (48).

**Statin use and exercise**

A significantly high proportion of the statin-users in this study were inactive/moderately inactive compared to non-statin users. This suggests that although statin-use appeared to be unrelated to dietary behaviours, it may have encouraged physical inactivity. This may have occurred because majority of
statin users had adopted a low-fat diet, therefore, they may have felt that statin-use alongside the adoption of a low-fat diet were adequate strategies to control their high cholesterol, allowing them to neglect the adoption of healthy exercise. The increased physical inactivity found among the statin users in this study contrasts with the findings of two American studies and one Swedish study. The American studies both found no difference in the physical activity levels of statin users and non-statin users (16, 43). The Swedish study found significantly healthier exercise behaviours among statin users than among non-statin users (20). However, it should be noted that majority of the non-statin users in the Swedish study did not have high cholesterol. Furthermore, they were younger and had a significantly lower cardiovascular disease risk profile than statin users. Consequently, they were a poor comparison group for the Swedish statin users who as a result of their poor health, may have been exposed to more lifestyle advice and may have a stronger perception of the consequences of high cholesterol because they had experienced more cardiovascular events. Thus may have been more likely to adopt health lifestyle behaviours than their non-statin comparators (20).

Thinking about risk

We also aimed to explore whether there is a relation between statin-use and the way Nigerians diagnosed with hyperlipidaemia think about their high cholesterol as a risk factor for cardiovascular disease. Statin users in this study perceived significantly fewer barriers to statin-use for cardiovascular disease prevention than non-statin users. This may be because more of the statin users in this study were recruited from the urban site where healthcare and medication is free. Statin-users also perceived cardiovascular disease to be more severe than non-statin users. This is in accordance with existing research which suggests that the initiation of statin-use may increase risk perception (16, 20).

Although this study found that statin-use in itself did not influence the adoption of a low-fat diet; the odds of adopting a low-fat diet was found to increase as perceived statin control of high cholesterol increased. This finding, and the closeness in the mean scores for perceived statin control and perceived personal control of high cholesterol, suggests that the statin users in this study thought that statin-use and the adoption of healthy lifestyle choice (predominantly dietary choices) work in unison to manage high cholesterol.

The reasons for perceiving a synergistic relationship between statin-use and the adoption of healthy lifestyle choices remains unknown (20). However, research cites three possible explanations. Firstly, the prescription of a statin may increase risk perception and serve as a wake-up call that facilitates the adoption of healthy lifestyle choices (16, 20). The second possible explanation is that the general dislike for medication-use, or concerns about long-term medication-use may encourage the adoption of both medical and lifestyle control strategies in an attempt to lower cholesterol and cease medication-use (16). The third explanation is that lifestyle changes were initiated at the same time as statin-use. This may have resulted from statin initiation serving as a wake-up call, or simply because both control strategies were prescribed at the same time (16).
Urban and semi-urban living and lifestyle

The biggest differences in the adoption of healthy lifestyle choices, perceptions of high cholesterol, and perceptions of future cardiovascular disease risk in this study occurred between urban dwellers and semi-urban dwellers. The findings that urban dwellers were significantly more likely than semi-urban dwellers to consume fried foods and eat outside of the home on a weekly basis suggests that the urban dwellers in this study were more exposed to Western diets and had acquired more unhealthy lifestyle behaviours than semi-urban dwellers. Several studies have documented a rise in the prevalence of non-communicable disease risk factors in urban areas as a result the adoption of Western lifestyle behaviours fuelled by rural-to-urban migration for work and urbanisation (2, 3, 8, 49, 50).

The urban dwellers in this study perceived their future cardiovascular disease risk to be more severe, and perceived the adoption of healthy lifestyle choices for the prevention of cardiovascular disease to be more beneficial than semi-urban dwellers. This may indicate that urban dwellers were more aware of the negative future impacts of their westernised lifestyle behaviours and were actively trying to adopt healthy lifestyle choices. Urban dwellers may also have more facilities and resources available that facilitate the adoption of healthy lifestyle choices than semi-urban dwellers as rural-to-urban migration by working-age adults limits the resources available in semi-urban and rural populations (50).

Finally, it should be noted that participants in this study perceived high cholesterol to be an acute condition. A possible explanation for this may be that chronic illness are still relatively new in non-Westernised countries like Nigeria where the epidemiological transition from communicable to non-communicable disease is underway (51–53). This highlights the need for health education about non-communicable disease risk factors and the healthy lifestyle choices.

Study limitations

This study was cross-sectional in nature, therefore it is important to remember that causality cannot be inferred and the findings of this study reflect the illness perceptions and health behaviours of study participants at the time of the study (54, 55). Illness perceptions often develop and change with time, and the nature of the relationship between illness perceptions and health behaviours is complex (56). The former may influence the latter but the latter may also feedback and influence the former (24, 57).

Collecting data at a single point in time simplifies the complex relationship between illness perceptions and health behaviours and no claims can be made about whether certain illness perceptions caused certain health behaviours or vice versa.

Although self-reported measures of lifestyle behaviours are commonly used, they are thought to overestimate adherence to health behaviours (58, 59). Furthermore, recruiting participants from a hospital, and collecting data in the hospital using a researcher administered questionnaire in some instances, introduces social desirability in an adherent population, potentially leading to an overestimation of adherence to healthy lifestyle choices.
Conclusion

This study found that statin-use was not on its own associated with the adoption of a low-fat diet. However, the odds of adopting a low-fat diet increased as statin control perceptions increased suggesting that statin-use and the adoption of healthy dietary choice were thought to work in unison to manage high cholesterol. The adoption of healthy exercise choices among study participants was rare and the prevalence of physical inactive was high. This highlights the need for public health interventions aimed at increasing physical activity for the prevention of non-communicable diseases and improvement of overall health. Finally, urban-dwellers were more likely to have adopted healthy dietary choices and to think about adopting exercise choices than semi-urban dwellers. This may demonstrate a growing awareness of negative future impacts of Westernised lifestyle behaviours in urban areas yet highlights the need for public health interventions in semi-urban areas in order to curtail the adoption of unhealthy lifestyle choices and diminish the rise of cardiovascular disease in Nigeria.
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Table 1: Characteristics of the study sample
| Variables                  | Statin users n=78 (52.7%) | Non-statin users n=70 (47.3%) | Overall n=148 (100%) |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|
| Mean age (years) (SD)     | 52.68 (10.45)            | 53.16 (11.88)                 | 52.91 (11.11)       |
|                           |                          |                               |                     |
| 20-29                     | 0 (0)                    | 2 (2.9)                       | 2 (1.4)             |
| 30-39                     | 9 (11.5)                 | 4 (5.7)                       | 13 (8.8)            |
| 40-49                     | 21 (26.9)                | 20 (28.6)                     | 41 (27.7)           |
| 50-59                     | 27 (34.6)                | 25 (35.7)                     | 52 (35.1)           |
| 60-69                     | 19 (24.4)                | 13 (18.6)                     | 32 (21.6)           |
| 70-79                     | 1 (1.3)                  | 4 (5.7)                       | 5 (3.4)             |
| 80-89                     | 1 (1.3)                  | 2 (1.4)                       | 3 (2.0)             |
| Gender                    |                          |                               |                     |
| Male                      | 35 (44.9)                | 29 (41.4)                     | 64 (43.2)           |
| Female                    | 43 (55.1)                | 41 (58.6)                     | 84 (56.8)           |
| Site of recruitment       |                          |                               |                     |
| Semi-urban                | 39 (50.0)                | 43 (61.4)                     | 82 (55.4)           |
| Urban                     | 39 (50.0)                | 27 (38.6)                     | 66 (44.6)           |
| Marital status            |                          |                               |                     |
| Single                    | 6 (7.7)                  | 6 (8.6)                       | 12 (8.1)*           |
| Married                   | 62 (79.5)                | 53 (75.7)                     | 115 (77.7)*         |
| Separated/ divorced       | 7 (9.0)                  | 1 (1.4)                       | 8 (5.4)*            |
| Widowed                   | 3 (3.8)                  | 9 (12.9)                      | 12 (8.1)*           |
| Unknown                   | 0 (0)                    | 1 (1.4)                       | 1 (0.7)             |
| Education                 |                          |                               |                     |
| Primary or less           | 21 (26.9)                | 26 (37.1)                     | 47 (31.8)           |
| Secondary level           | 11 (14.1)                | 5 (7.1)                       | 16 (10.8)           |
| Tertiary level            | 44 (56.4)                | 37 (52.9)                     | 81 (54.7)           |
| Unknown                   | 2 (2.6)                  | 2 (2.9)                       | 4 (2.7)             |
| Variables                        | Statin users n=78 (52.7%) | Non-statin users n=70 (47.3%) | Overall n=148 (100%) |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|
| Lived outside Nigeria in past 10 years |                           |                               |                      |
| Yes                             |                           |                               |                      |
| No                              | 11 (14.1)                 | 7 (10.0)                      | 18 (12.2)            |
| Unknown                         | 67 (85.9)                 | 62 (88.6)                     | 129 (87.2)           |
| Unknown                         | 0 (0)                     | 1 (1.4)                       | 1 (0.7)              |
| Ethnicity                       |                           |                               |                      |
| Cross Rivers                    | 35 (44.9)                 | 42 (60.0)                     | 77 (52.0)*           |
| Hausa and Fulani                | 8 (10.3)                  | 0 (0)                         | 8 (5.4)*             |
| Igbo                            | 12 (15.4)                 | 11 (15.7)                     | 23 (15.5)*           |
| Ijaw                            | 6 (7.7)                   | 2 (2.9)                       | 8 (5.4)*             |
| Yoruba                          | 10 (12.8)                 | 5 (7.1)                       | 15 (10.1)*           |
| Other                           | 6 (7.7)                   | 9 (12.9)                      | 15 (10.1)*           |
| Unknown                         | 1 (1.3)                   | 1 (1.4)                       | 2 (1.4)              |
| Hypertension                    |                           |                               |                      |
| Yes                             | 49 (62.8)                 | 39 (55.7)                     | 88 (59.5)            |
| No                              | 18 (23.1)                 | 18 (25.7)                     | 36 (24.3)            |
| Unknown                         | 11 (14.1)                 | 13 (18.6)                     | 24 (16.2)            |
| Diabetes                        |                           |                               |                      |
| Yes                             | 31 (39.7)                 | 23 (32.9)                     | 54 (36.5)            |
| No                              | 35 (44.9)                 | 32 (45.7)                     | 67 (45.3)            |
| Unknown                         | 12 (15.4)                 | 15 (21.4)                     | 27 (18.2)            |
| Hypertension and diabetes       |                           |                               |                      |
| Yes                             | 19 (24.4)                 | 17 (24.3)                     | 36 (24.3)            |
| No                              | 47 (60.3)                 | 38 (54.3)                     | 85 (57.4)            |
| Unknown                         | 12 (15.4)                 | 15 (21.4)                     | 27 (18.2)            |

*p<0.05
Table 2: Dietary patterns
| Variables                        | Statin users | Non-statin users | Overall |
|---------------------------------|--------------|------------------|---------|
|                                 | n=78         | n= 70 (47.3%)    | n=148 (100%)    |
|                                 | (52.7%)      |                  |         |
| **Fried food consumption**      |              |                  |         |
| Weekly consumption              |              |                  |         |
| Yes                             |              |                  |         |
| No                              | 59 (75.6)    | 54 (77.1)        | 113 (76.4) |
| Unknown                         | 18 (23.1)    | 16 (22.9)        | 34 (23.0)  |
|                                 | 1 (1.3)      | 0 (0)            | 1 (0.7)   |
| Weekly consumption outside of the home |         |                  |         |
| Yes                             |              |                  |         |
| No                              | 30 (38.5)    | 22 (31.4)        | 52 (35.1)  |
| Unknown                         | 48 (61.5)    | 47 (67.1)        | 95 (64.2)  |
|                                 | 0 (0)        | 1 (1.4)          | 1 (0.7)   |
| **Think current diet is low fat** |            |                  |         |
| Yes                             |              |                  |         |
| No                              | 51 (65.4)    | 38 (54.3)        | 89 (60.1)  |
| Unknown                         | 20 (28.2)    | 23 (32.9)        | 43 (29.1)  |
|                                 | 7 (9.0)      | 9 (12.9)         | 16 (10.8)  |
| **Ever decreased fat in diet**  |              |                  |         |
| Yes                             |              |                  |         |
| No                              | 62 (79.5)    | 53 (75.7)        | 115 (77.7) |
| Unknown                         | 16 (20.5)    | 17 (24.3)        | 33 (22.3)  |
|                                 | 0 (0)        | 0 (0)            | 0 (0)     |
| **Currently decreasing fat in diet** |        |                  |         |
| Yes (adopter)                   |              |                  |         |
| No (non-adopter)                | 57 (73.1)    | 45 (64.3)        | 102 (68.9) |
| Unknown                         | 20 (25.6)    | 25 (35.7)        | 45 (30.4)  |
|                                 | 1 (1.3)      | 0 (0)            | 1 (0.7)   |
### Table 3: Exercise patterns

| Variables                          | Statin users | Non-statin users | Overall |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|------------------|---------|
|                                   | n=78         | n= 70            | n=148   |
|                                   | (52.7%)      | (47.3%)          | (100%)  |
| **Adopters only**                 |              |                  |         |
| Duration of decreasing fat in diet|              |                  |         |
| <30 days                          |              |                  |         |
| 1-6 months                        | 4 (7.0)      | 3 (6.7)          | 7 (6.9) |
| 7-12 months                       | 12 (21.1)    | 11 (24.4)        | 23 (22.5) |
| >1 year                           | 7 (12.3)     | 2 (4.4)          | 9 (8.8) |
| Unknown                           | 32 (56.1)    | 28 (62.2)        | 60 (58.8) |
|                                   | 2 (3.5)      | 1 (2.2)          | 3 (2.9) |
| **Non-adopters only**             |              |                  |         |
| Thought about reducing amount of dietary fat in the past month |              |                  |         |
| Yes                               | 0 (0)        | 3 (12.0)         | 3 (6.7) |
| No                                |              |                  |         |
| Unknown                           | 19 (95.0)    | 17 (68.0)        | 36 (80.0) |
|                                   | 1 (5.0)      | 5 (20.0)         | 6 (13.3) |
| Variable | Statin users (n=78, 52.7%) | Non-statin users (n=70, 47.3%) | Overall (n=148, 100%) |
|----------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|
| **Physical activity level** | | | |
| Inactive/moderately inactive | 67 (85.9) | 52 (74.3) | 119 (80.4)* |
| Moderately active/active | 10 (12.8) | 18 (25.7) | 28 (18.9) |
| Unknown | 1 (1.3) | 0 (0) | 1 (0.7) |
| **Ever increased frequency/intensity of exercise** | | | |
| Yes | | | |
| No | 18 (23.1) | 14 (20.0) | 32 (21.6) |
| **Currently doing more exercise** | | | |
| Yes (adopters) | | | |
| No (non-adopters) | 13 (16.7) | 11 (15.7) | 24 (16.2) |
| **Adopters only** | | | |
| **Duration of increasing frequency/intensity of exercise** | | | |
| <30 days | 6 (46.2) | 1 (9.1) | 7 (29.2) |
| 1-6 months | 3 (23.1) | 4 (36.4) | 7 (29.2) |
| 7-12 months | 0 (0) | 1 (9.1) | 1 (4.2) |
| >1 year | 4 (30.8) | 5 (45.5) | 9 (37.5) |
| Variable                                      | Statin users n=78 (52.7%) | Non–statin users n=70 (47.3%) | Overall n=148 (100%) |
|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|
| **Non-adopters only**                         |                           |                               |                     |
| Thought about increasing frequency or intensity of exercise in the past month |                           |                               |                     |
| Yes                                           |                           |                               |                     |
| No                                            |                           |                               |                     |
| Unknown                                       | 13 (20.0)                 | 16 (27.1)                     | 29 (23.4)           |
|                                               | 52 (80.0)                 | 40 (71.2)                     | 94 (75.8)           |
|                                               | 0 (0)                     | 1 (1.7)                       | 1 (0.8)             |

*p≤0.05

**Table 4: Perceptions of high cholesterol**

| Variables                                      | Statin users n=75 (SD) | Non–statin users n=64 (SD) | Overall n=139 (SD) |
|------------------------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|
| Mean perceived timeline acute/chronic          | 2.12 (0.67)            | 2.31 (0.70)                 | 2.21 (0.66)       |
| Mean perceived timeline cyclical               | 3.16 (0.84)            | 3.18 (0.85)                 | 3.17 (0.84)       |
| Mean perceived consequences                    | 2.94 (0.68)            | 2.94 (0.65)                 | 2.94 (0.66)       |
| Mean perceived personal control                | 3.87 (0.68)            | 3.84 (0.58)                 | 3.85 (0.62)       |
| Mean perceived statin control                  | 3.78 (0.66)            | 3.57 (0.59)                 | 3.68 (0.64) **    |
| Mean perceived emotional response              | 2.95 (0.95)            | 3.03 (0.93)                 | 2.99 (0.94)       |
| Mean perceived illness coherence               | 3.24 (1.13)            | 3.19 (1.13)                 | 3.22 (1.12)       |
| Total perceived biomedical cause £             | 13.99 (2.90)           | 14.06 (3.91)                | 14.02 (3.39)      |
| Total perceived spiritual cause €              | 7.08 (3.37)            | 6.55 (2.98)                 | 6.83 (3.20)       |
| Total perceived lifestyle cause $              | 23.51 (4.35)           | 23.97 (4.86)                | 23.72 (4.58)      |

**p≤0.01, £ this sub-scale has 5 items and scores range between 5-25, € this subscale has 3 items and scores range between 3-15, $ this subscale has 8 items and scores range between 8-40.
Table 5: Perceptions of future risk of cardiovascular disease

| Variable                                  | Statin users | Non-statin users | Overall       |
|-------------------------------------------|--------------|------------------|---------------|
|                                           | n=71 (SD)    | n=62 (SD)        | n=133 (SD)    |
| Perceived susceptibility                  | 2.44 (0.84)  | 2.25 (0.80)      | 2.35 (0.83)   |
| Perceived severity                        | 3.10 (0.68)  | 2.86 (0.75)      | 2.99 (0.72)*  |
| Perceived benefits of statins             | 3.88 (0.67)  | 3.72 (0.73)      | 3.80 (0.70)   |
| Perceived benefits of healthy lifestyle choices | 4.00 (0.65)  | 4.01 (0.66)      | 4.00 (0.65)   |
| Perceived barriers to statins             | 2.31 (0.72)  | 2.56 (0.79)      | 2.43 (0.76)*  |
| Perceived barriers to healthy lifestyle choices | 2.76 (0.76)  | 2.69 (0.74)      | 2.73 (0.75)   |

*p ≤0.05

Table 6: Logistic regression model for the adoption of a low-fat diet

| Variables                              | B   | S.E  | Wald | P value | OR   | 95%CI     |
|----------------------------------------|-----|------|------|---------|------|-----------|
| Statin status (statin-use)             | -0.20 | 0.46 | 0.20 | 0.66    | 0.82 | 0.34-1.96 |
| Gender (Male)                          | 0.45 | 0.48 | 0.87 | 0.35    | 1.56 | 0.61-4.00 |
| Research site(semi-urban)              | -1.51 | 0.52 | 8.90 | 0.003** | 0.21$ | 0.08-0.59$ |
| Physical activity level (inactive/moderately inactive) | -0.20 | 0.60 | 0.11 | 0.74    | 0.82 | 0.25-2.66 |
| Statin control of high cholesterol     | 0.85 | 0.36 | 5.60 | 0.018** | 2.33 | 1.16-4.69 |
| Barriers to statins-use to prevent CVD | -0.33 | 0.30 | 1.24 | 0.27    | 0.72 | 0.40-1.29 |
| Perceived severity of CVD              | 0.41 | 0.38 | 1.19 | 0.28    | 0.28 | 0.72-3.16 |

*p ≤0.05, **p≤ 0.01, $ Inverted version of these values i.e. 1 divided by the value is presented in the text to make interpretation easier. n=128.