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Abstract
The purpose of this paper is to propose a semi-analytical technique convenient for numerical approximation of solutions of the initial value problem for $p$-dimensional delayed and neutral differential systems with constant, proportional and time varying delays. The algorithm is based on combination of the method of steps and the differential transformation. Convergence analysis of the presented method is given as well. Applicability of the presented approach is demonstrated in two examples. A system of pantograph type differential equations and a system of neutral functional differential equations with three types of delays are considered. The accuracy of the results is compared to those obtained by the Laplace decomposition algorithm, the residual power series method and Matlab package DDENS. A comparison of computing time is presented, too, showing reliability and efficiency of the proposed technique.
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1 Introduction
Systems of functional differential equations (FDEs), in particular delayed or neutral differential equations, are often used to model processes in the real world. To give some examples, we mention models in population dynamics [1], neuromechanics [2], machine tool vibrations [3], etc. Further models and details can be found, for instance, in monographs [4] and [5].

Semi-analytical methods expressing solutions to problems with delays in a series form have been studied in the last two decades. Methods such as the variational iteration method (VIM) [6], Adomian decomposition method (ADM) [7], homotopy perturbation method (HPM) [8], homotopy analysis method (HAM) [9] and also methods based on the Taylor theorem such as the differential transformation (DT) [10], Taylor collocation method [11] and Taylor polynomial method [12] have been developed to approximate solutions to different problems for FDEs. Other ways to use the series approach in solving FDEs are, e.g., the method of polynomial quasisolutions [13, 14], finite difference methods [15, 16], and the functional analytic technique (FAT) [17, 18].

The main aim of the work is to apply a combination of the method of steps and DT as a convenient tool for finding an approximate solution to the initial value problem for
functional differential systems used in dynamical models. Convergence analysis and error estimates of the method are investigated as well. We give some experimental results in Sect. 4 to show that the algorithm produces reliable results with the same or better efficiency than the reference methods.

2 Methods

The main idea of our approach is to combine the differential transformation and general method of steps.

The differential transformation has been, and still is, an active research topic during the last years. As examples of recently published results, we mention research papers [19–23]. These papers among other publications contain new algorithms and their applications to solving different problems involving differential equations.

Definition 1 The differential transformation of a real function $u(t)$ at a point $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ is

$$D\{u(t)\}|_{t_0} = \{U(k)[t_0]\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$$

where the $k$th component $U(k)[t_0]$ of the differential transformation of the function $u(t)$ at $t_0$ is defined as

$$U(k)[t_0] = \frac{1}{k!} \left[ \frac{d^k u(t)}{dt^k} \right]_{t=t_0},$$

assuming that the original function $u(t)$ is analytic.

Definition 2 The inverse differential transformation of $\{U(k)[t_0]\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ at $t_0$ is defined as

$$u(t) = D^{-1}\{\{U(k)[t_0]\}_{k=0}^{\infty}\}|_{t_0} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} U(k)[t_0](t-t_0)^k.$$  

In applications, the function $u(t)$ is usually expressed in the form of finite series

$$u(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{N} U(k)[t_0](t-t_0)^k.$$  

In Sect. 4, we use the following transformation formulas, which are derived from definitions (1), (2) and proved in [24].

Lemma 1 Assume that $W(k)$, $U(k)$ and $U_i(k)$ are the $k$th components of the differential transformations of functions $w(t)$, $u(t)$ and $u_i(t)$, $i = 1, 2$, at $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, respectively, and let $q, q_j \in (0, 1)$, $j = 1, 2$. Moreover, assume that $t_0 = 0$. Denote $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.

If $w(t) = \frac{d^n u(t)}{dt^n}$, then $W(k) = \frac{(k + n)!}{k!} U(k + n)$.

If $w(t) = u_1(t)u_2(t)$, then $W(k) = \sum_{l=0}^{k} U_1(l)U_2(k-l)$.

If $w(t) = u(qt)$, then $W(k) = q^k U(k)$.

If $w(t) = u_1(q_1 t)u_2(q_2 t)$, then $W(k) = \sum_{l=0}^{k} q_1^l q_2^{k-l} U_1(l)U_2(k-l)$. 


Recently, it turned out that there is another way to work with nonlinearities in DT [26].

The second method, namely the method of steps, enables us to replace the terms involving constant or time-dependent delays by the initial vector function and its derivatives. Then the original initial value problem for a system of delayed or neutral differential equations is simplified to the initial problem for a system of ordinary differential equations. Then the original initial value problem for a system of ordinary differential equations is not convenient since the uniqueness of solutions is violated. The reason is that the values of the initial vector function for \( t < 0 \) are not taken into account.

One of the drawbacks of the common approach to the differential transformation is that there is no use of direct transformation formulas for equations with nonlinear terms containing unknown function \( u(t) \), for instance, \( f(u) = e^{\cos u} \) or \( f(u) = \sqrt{1 + u^2} \).

Fortunately, the corresponding transformations can be calculated using the Adomian polynomials \( A_n \), in which each solution \( u_i \) is replaced by the corresponding components \( U_i(k) \) of the differential transformation \( \{U_i(k)\}_{k=0}^{\infty} \), see [25]. Suppose that \( F(k) \) is the \( k \)th component of the differential transformation of a nonlinear term \( f(u) \), then

\[
F(k) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n(U(0), U(1), \ldots, U(n)) \delta(k - n) = A_k(U(0), U(1), \ldots, U(k))
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{k!} \frac{d^k}{dt^k} \left[ \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} U(l)t^l \right]_{t=0}^{k}, \quad k \geq 0.
\] (4)

Recently, it turned out that there is another way to work with nonlinearities in DT [26].

The subject of our interest is a system of \( p \) functional differential equations of \( n \)th order with multiple delays \( \alpha_1(t), \ldots, \alpha_r(t) \) in the following form:

\[
u^{(n)}(t) = f(t, u(t), u'(t), \ldots, u^{(n-1)}(t), u_1(\alpha_1(t)), u_2(\alpha_2(t)), \ldots, u_r(\alpha_r(t))),
\] (5)

where \( u^{(n)}(t) = (u_1^{(n)}(t), \ldots, u_p^{(n)}(t))^T, u^{(k)}(t) = (u_1^{(k)}(t), \ldots, u_p^{(k)}(t))^T, \) \( k = 0, 1, \ldots, n - 1 \) and \( f = (f_1, \ldots, f_p)^T \) are \( p \)-dimensional vector functions, \( u_i(\alpha_i(t)) = (u(\alpha_i(t)), u'(\alpha_i(t)), \ldots, \)
We consider three types of delays $\alpha_i$:
1. $\alpha_i(t) = qt$, where $q_i \in (0, 1)$ (proportional delay).
2. $\alpha_i(t) = t - \tau_i$, where $\tau_i > 0$ is a real constant (constant delay).
3. $\alpha_i(t) = t - \tau_i(t)$, where $\tau_i(t) \geq \tau_{i0} > 0$ for $t > 0$ is a real function (time-dependent or time-varying delay).

Let $t^* = \min_{1 \leq i \leq r} \{\inf_{t > 0} \alpha_i(t)\} \leq 0$, $m = \max\{m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_r\} \leq n$. In the case $m = n$, system (5) is a neutral system, otherwise it is a delayed differential system.

If $t^* < 0$, an initial vector function $\Phi(t) = (\phi_1(t), \ldots, \phi_p(t))^T$ must be assigned to system (5) on the interval $[t^*, 0]$. Moreover, we assume that $\phi_j(t) \in C^n([t^*, 0], \mathbb{R})$ for $j = 1, \ldots, p$.

We look for a solution of system (5) with the following initial conditions:
\[
\mathbf{u}(0) = \mathbf{v}_0, \quad \mathbf{u}'(0) = \mathbf{v}_1, \quad \ldots, \quad \mathbf{u}^{(n-1)}(0) = \mathbf{v}_{n-1}, \quad (6)
\]
and the initial vector function $\Phi(t)$ on interval $[t^*, 0]$ satisfying
\[
\Phi(0) = \mathbf{u}(0), \quad \ldots, \quad \Phi^{(n-1)}(0) = \mathbf{u}^{(n-1)}(0). \quad (7)
\]

We solve initial value problem (5), (6) and (7) subject to the following hypotheses:

(H1) The functions $f_j, j = 1, \ldots, p$ are analytic in $[0, T^*] \times \mathbb{R}^{mp} \times \mathbb{R}^{mp}$.

(H2) The initial value problem (5), (6) and (7) has a unique solution on some interval $[0, T^*]$.

Remark 2 Hypothesis (H2) is valid, for example, if the delay functions $\alpha_i$ are Lipschitz continuous on $[0, T^*]$, the functions $\phi_j, \phi'_j, \ldots, \phi^{(n)}_j$ are Lipschitz continuous on $[t^*, 0]$, and the functions $f_j$ are continuous with respect to $t$ on $[0, T^*]$ and Lipschitz continuous with respect to the rest of the variables on $\mathbb{R}^{mp} \times \mathbb{R}^{mp}$. More details and other types of sufficient conditions for existence of a unique solution can be found in [5, Sects. 3.2 and 3.3], or [27, Sect. 2.2].

We start with the method of steps. We substitute the initial vector function $\Phi(t)$ and its derivatives in all places where the unknown functions with constant or time-dependent delays and derivatives of those functions take place. This turns the delayed system (5) into a system of ordinary differential equations or differential equations with proportional delays in the case when system (5) contains proportional delays.

For example, if $\alpha_1(t) = t - \tau_1, \alpha_2(t) = t - \tau_2, \alpha_3(t) = q_3t$ and $\alpha_4(t) = t - \tau_4(t)$, applying the method of steps changes (5) into the system
\[
\mathbf{u}^{(n)}(t) = \mathbf{f} \left( t, \mathbf{u}(t), \ldots, \mathbf{u}^{(n-1)}(t), \Phi_1(t - \tau_1), \Phi_2(t - \tau_2), \mathbf{u}_3(q_3t), \Phi_4(t - \tau_4(t)) \right), \quad (8)
\]
where
\[
\Phi_i(t - \tau_i) = \left( \Phi(t - \tau_i), \Phi'(t - \tau_i), \ldots, \Phi^{(m_i)}(t - \tau_i) \right), \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots
\]
\[
\mathbf{u}_3(q_3t) = \left( \mathbf{u}(q_3t), \mathbf{u}'(q_3t), \ldots, \mathbf{u}^{(m_3)}(q_3t) \right),
\]
\[
\Phi_4(t - \tau_4(t)) = \left( \Phi(t - \tau_4(t)), \Phi'(t - \tau_4(t)), \ldots, \Phi^{(m_4)}(t - \tau_4(t)) \right).
\]
and \( m_l \leq n \) for \( l = 1, 2, 3, 4 \). Then we transform the initial conditions (6). Definition (1) gives

\[
U(k) = \frac{1}{k!} u^{(k)}(0).
\]

After applying the differential transformation, the initial value problem for a system of FDEs is reduced to a system of recurrence algebraic relations

\[
U(k+n) = F(k, U(k), U(k+1), \ldots, U(k+n-1)).
\] (9)

Solving this recurrence and then using the inverse transformation (2), we get an approximate solution of system (5) in the series form

\[
u(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{N} U(k) t^k.
\]

If \( t^* < 0 \), we denote \( t_{a_1} = \inf \{ t : \alpha_i(t) > 0 \} \) and \( t_a = \min_{1 \leq i \leq r} \{ t_{a_1} : \alpha_i \neq 0 \} \). Then the approximate solution \( u(t) \) is valid on the intersection of its convergence interval and the interval \([0, T^* \cap [0, t_a] \), whereas \( u(t) = \Phi(t) \) on the interval \([t^*, 0] \). If \( t^* = 0 \), the approximate solution \( u(t) \) is valid on the intersection of its convergence interval with \([0, T^* \).

Now we formulate and prove two theorems on convergence and an error estimate of the approximate solution to the studied problem obtained using the differential transformation.

**Theorem 1** Let hypotheses (H1) and (H2) be valid and denote \( F_k(t) = U(k)t^k \). If there exist a constant \( \delta \), \( 0 < \delta < 1 \), and \( k_0 \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( \|F_{k+1}(t)\| \leq \delta \|F_k(t)\| \) for all \( k \geq k_0 \), then the series \( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} F_k(t) \) converges to a unique solution on the interval \( J = [0, \gamma], \gamma \leq T^* \).

**Proof** Denote \( C^n(J) \) the Banach space of vector-valued functions \( h(t) = (h_1(t), h_2(t), \ldots, h_p(t))^T \) with continuous derivatives up to order \( n \) and norm

\[
\|h(t)\| = \max_{i=1, \ldots, p} \max_{j=0, \ldots, n} \max_{t \in J} |h_i^{(j)}(t)|.
\]

Denote

\[
S_l = \sum_{k=0}^{l} F_k(t).
\]

Now it is sufficient to prove that sequence \( \{S_l\} \) is a Cauchy sequence in the Banach space \( C^n(J) \). Due to

\[
\|S_{l+1} - S_l\| = \|F_{l+1}(t)\| \leq \delta \|F_l(t)\| \leq \ldots \leq \delta^{l-n_0+1} \|F_{n_0}(t)\|,
\]

for every \( l, m \in \mathbb{N}, l \geq m > n_0 \), we get

\[
\|S_l - S_m\| = \left\| \sum_{j=m}^{l-1} (S_{j+1} - S_j) \right\| \leq \sum_{j=m}^{l-1} \|S_{j+1} - S_j\| \leq \sum_{j=m}^{l-1} \delta^{j-n_0+1} \|F_{n_0}(t)\|.
\]
Since $0 < \delta < 1$, it follows that

$$
\lim_{l,m \to \infty} \| S_l - S_m \| = 0.
$$

Therefore, $\{S_l\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in the Banach space $C^\alpha(J)$ and the proof is complete.

**Theorem 2** Suppose that the assumptions of Theorem 1 are valid. Then for the truncated series $\sum_{k=0}^{m} F_k(t)$ the following error estimate holds:

$$
\left\| u(t) - \sum_{k=0}^{m} F_k(t) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{1 - \delta} \delta^{m-m_0+1} \max_{i=1,...,p} \max_{j=0,...,\nu} \frac{m_0!}{(m_0-j)!} U_i(m_0) \gamma_j^{m_0-j},
$$

for any $m_0 \geq 0$, $m \geq m_0$.

**Proof** Without loss of generality, we can choose $m_0 \geq n$, where $n$ is the order of system (5). From inequality (10) we have

$$
\| S_l - S_m \| \leq \frac{1}{1 - \delta} \delta^{m-m_0+1} \| F_{m_0}(t) \| = \frac{1}{1 - \delta} \delta^{m-m_0+1} \max_{i=1,...,p} \max_{j=0,...,\nu} \frac{m_0!}{(m_0-j)!} U_i(m_0) \gamma_j^{m_0-j},
$$

for $l \geq m \geq m_0$. From $0 < \delta < 1$ it follows $(1 - \delta^{l-m}) < 1$. Hence inequality (11) can be reduced to

$$
\| S_l - S_m \| \leq \frac{1}{1 - \delta} \delta^{m-m_0+1} \max_{i=1,...,p} \max_{j=0,...,\nu} \frac{m_0!}{(m_0-j)!} U_i(m_0) \gamma_j^{m_0-j}.
$$

Here we use the fact that for $l \to \infty$, $S_l \to u(t)$, and so the proof is complete.

**Remark 3** Recent results on error estimates and convergence of Taylor series can be found, e.g., in [28].

### 4 Applications and discussion

As the first application, we have chosen the initial value problem, which has been solved in [29] using the Laplace decomposition method (LDM) and in [30] using the residual power series method (RPSM).

**Example 1** We are looking for a solution of a 3-dimensional system of pantograph equations

$$
u_1(t) = 2u_2\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) + u_3(t) - t \cos\left(\frac{t}{2}\right),$$
\[
  u_2'(t) = 1 - t \sin(t) - 2u_3^2\left(\frac{t}{2}\right), \quad (12)
\]

\[
  u_3'(t) = u_2(t) - u_1(t) - t \cos(t),
\]

subject to the initial conditions
\[
  u_1(0) = -1, \quad u_2(0) = 0, \quad u_3(0) = 0. \quad (13)
\]

Since system (12) contains proportional delays only, we do not have to use the method of steps. Applying DT formulas in Lemma 1 to (12), we get a system of recurrence relations
\[
  (k + 1)U_1(k + 1) = 2\frac{1}{2^k} U_2(k) + U_3(k) - \frac{1}{2^{k-1}} C(k - 1),
\]
\[
  (k + 1)U_2(k + 1) = \delta(k) - S(k - 1) - 2 \sum_{l=0}^{k} \frac{1}{2^l} U_3(l) U_3(k - l), \quad (14)
\]
\[
  (k + 1)U_3(k + 1) = U_2(k) - U_1(k) - C(k - 1).
\]

From the initial conditions we have \(U_1(0) = -1, U_2(0) = 0, U_3(0) = 0\). Solving system (14), we get
\[
  (k = 0) \quad U_1(1) = 2U_2(0) + U_3(0) = 0,
  
  U_2(1) = \delta(0) - 2(U_3(0))^2 = 1,
  
  U_3(1) = U_2(0) - U_1(0) = 1,
\]
\[
  (k = 1) \quad U_1(2) = \frac{1}{2} \left( 2\frac{1}{2} U_2(1) + U_3(1) - C(0) \right) = \frac{1}{2},
  
  U_2(2) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \delta(1) - 2\frac{1}{2} (U_3(0) U_3(1) + U_3(1) U_3(0)) \right) = 0,
  
  U_3(2) = \frac{1}{2} (U_2(1) - U_1(1) - C(0)) = 0.
\]

For \(k \geq 2\), we find
\[
  U_1(3) = 0, \quad U_1(4) = -\frac{1}{4!}, \quad U_1(5) = 0, \quad \ldots,
  
  U_2(3) = -\frac{1}{2!}, \quad U_2(4) = 0, \quad U_2(5) = \frac{1}{4!}, \quad \ldots,
  
  U_3(3) = -\frac{1}{3!}, \quad U_3(4) = 0, \quad U_3(5) = \frac{1}{5!}, \quad \ldots.
\]

Application of the inverse differential transformation (2) gives a solution to (12), (13) in the form
\[
  u_1(t) = -1 + \frac{1}{2} t^2 - \frac{1}{4!} t^4 + \cdots = -\sum_{k=0}^{N} (-1)^k \frac{t^{2k}}{(2k)!},
\]
\[
  u_2(t) = t - \frac{1}{2} t^3 + \frac{1}{4!} t^5 - \cdots = \sum_{k=0}^{N} (-1)^k \frac{t^{2k+1}}{(2k)!},
\]
\[
  u_3(t) = t - \frac{1}{3!} t^3 + \frac{1}{5!} t^5 - \cdots = \sum_{k=0}^{N} (-1)^k \frac{t^{2k+1}}{(2k + 1)!}.
\]
Table 1 Error analysis of $u_1$ on $[0,1]$

| $t$  | Exact solution | DT $-\cos t$ | Abs. errors DT | Abs. errors LDM | Abs. errors RPSM |
|------|----------------|--------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 0.2  | -0.9800665     | -0.9800666  | 1.0E-7         | 8.904E-5        | 1.0E-7          |
| 0.4  | -0.9210609     | -0.9210666  | 5.7E-6         | 1.511E-3        | 5.7E-6          |
| 0.6  | -0.8253335     | -0.8254000  | 6.65E-5        | 8.051E-3        | 6.65E-5         |
| 0.8  | -0.6967067     | -0.6970666  | 3.599E-4       | 2.665E-2        | 3.599E-4        |
| 1.0  | -0.5403023     | -0.5416666  | 1.3642E-3      | 6.766E-2        | 1.3642E-3       |

Table 2 Error analysis of $u_2$ on $[0,1]$

| $t$  | Exact solution | DT $t\cos t$ | Abs. errors DT | Abs. errors LDM | Abs. errors RPSM |
|------|----------------|--------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 0.2  | 0.1960133      | 0.1960133    | 0.0            | 5.496E-6        | 0.0             |
| 0.4  | 0.3684243      | 0.3684266    | 2.3E-6         | 1.808E-4        | 2.3E-6          |
| 0.6  | 0.4952013      | 0.4952400    | 3.87E-5        | 1.408E-3        | 3.87E-5         |
| 0.8  | 0.5573653      | 0.5576533    | 2.89E-4        | 6.096E-3        | 2.89E-4         |
| 1.0  | 0.5403023      | 0.5416666    | 1.3643E-3      | 1.890E-2        | 1.3643E-3       |

Table 3 Error analysis of $u_3$ on $[0,1]$

| $t$  | Exact solution | DT $\sin t$ | Abs. errors DT | Abs. errors LDM | Abs. errors RPSM |
|------|----------------|--------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 0.2  | 0.1986693      | 0.1986693    | 0.0            | 6.4558E-5       | 0.0             |
| 0.4  | 0.3894183      | 0.3894186    | 3.0E-7         | 9.9595E-4       | 3.0E-7          |
| 0.6  | 0.5646424      | 0.5646480    | 5.60E-6        | 4.8397E-3       | 5.60E-6         |
| 0.8  | 0.7173561      | 0.7173973    | 4.12E-5        | 1.4613E-2       | 4.12E-5         |
| 1.0  | 0.8414709      | 0.8416666    | 1.957E-3       | 3.3917E-2       | 1.957E-3        |

When $N \to \infty$, the series converge to the Taylor expansions of the closed-form solutions

\[ u_1(t) = -\cos t, \quad u_2(t) = t\cos t, \quad u_3(t) = \sin t. \]

Comparison of absolute errors of the presented DT technique with LDM and RPSM for $N = 2$ is done in Tables 1, 2 and 3. We see that DT and RPSM produce the same results, which are close to the values of the closed form solutions, whereas LDM shows significant deviations. We obtain similar results when comparing computing times, see Tables 4, 5 and 6.

Remark 4 In [29], the authors used LDM and obtained only approximate solutions of the initial value problem (12), (13). Applying RPSM, the authors were able to find closed-form solutions in [30]. However, the calculations are too complicated, and the residual functions (RPSM) and initial guesses (LDM) contain analytical forms of functions $\sin$ and $\cos$, which means that these methods are not convenient for use in a purely numerical software.

As the second application, we have chosen a system with all three types of delays considered to show reliability and efficiency of the proposed approach in solving difficult tasks.
Table 4 Comparison of computing time for $u_1$

| $t$  | DT     | LDM    | RPSM  |
|------|--------|--------|-------|
| 0.2  | 6.3E–5 | 8.7E–4 | 6.3E–5|
| 0.4  | 6.5E–5 | 6.7E–4 | 6.5E–5|
| 0.6  | 6.5E–5 | 6.9E–4 | 6.5E–5|
| 0.8  | 6.4E–5 | 7.2E–4 | 6.4E–5|
| 1.0  | 6.6E–5 | 8.8E–4 | 6.6E–5|

Table 5 Comparison of computing time for $u_2$

| $t$  | DT     | LDM    | RPSM  |
|------|--------|--------|-------|
| 0.2  | 6.6E–5 | 6.7E–4 | 6.6E–5|
| 0.4  | 6.4E–5 | 6.7E–4 | 6.4E–5|
| 0.6  | 6.7E–5 | 6.7E–4 | 6.7E–5|
| 0.8  | 6.5E–5 | 8.5E–4 | 6.5E–5|
| 1.0  | 6.5E–5 | 8.3E–4 | 6.5E–5|

Table 6 Comparison of computing time for $u_3$

| $t$  | DT     | LDM    | RPSM  |
|------|--------|--------|-------|
| 0.2  | 6.6E–5 | 7.7E–4 | 6.6E–5|
| 0.4  | 6.7E–5 | 6.6E–4 | 6.7E–5|
| 0.6  | 6.6E–5 | 6.7E–4 | 6.6E–5|
| 0.8  | 6.6E–5 | 8.3E–4 | 6.6E–5|
| 1.0  | 6.7E–5 | 8.5E–4 | 6.7E–5|

Example 2 Let us solve a nonlinear system of neutral delayed differential equations

$$u'''_1 = u'''_1(t-2)u_1 \left( \frac{t}{3} \right) + \frac{3}{\sqrt{u_1^2}} + u_2 \left( t - \frac{1}{2} e^{-t} \right),$$

$$u'''_2 = \frac{1}{2} u''_2 \left( \frac{t}{2} \right) + u'_2(t-1)u_1 \left( \frac{t}{3} \right)$$

with initial functions

$$\phi_1(t) = e^t,$$

$$\phi_2(t) = t^2,$$

for $t \in [-2,0]$, and initial conditions

$$u_1(0) = 1, \quad u'_1(0) = 1, \quad u''_1(0) = 1,$$

$$u_2(0) = 0, \quad u'_2(0) = 0, \quad u''_2(0) = 2.$$ 

Following the method of steps, we get

$$u'''_1 = e^{(t-2)} u_1 \left( \frac{t}{3} \right) + \frac{3}{\sqrt{u_1^2}} + 2t - e^{-t},$$

$$u'''_2 = \frac{1}{2} u''_2 \left( \frac{t}{2} \right) + 2(t-1)u_1 \left( \frac{t}{3} \right).$$

System (18) cannot be solved by the classical DT approach because of the nonlinear term $f(u) = \frac{3}{\sqrt{u_1^2}}$, hence we apply modified Adomian formula for differential transformation.
components to the nonlinear term \( f(u) \). Applying DT to (18), we get the system

\[
\begin{align*}
(k + 1)(k + 2)(k + 3)U_1(k + 3) &= e^{-2} \sum_{l=0}^{k} \frac{1}{l!} \left( \frac{1}{3} \right)^{k-l} U_1(k - l) + F_1(k) \\
& \quad + 2\delta(k) - \frac{(-1)^k}{k!}, \\
(k + 1)(k + 2)(k + 3) \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2^{k+1}} \right) U_2(k + 3) &= 2 \sum_{l=0}^{k} \delta(l - 1) \left( \frac{1}{3} \right)^{k-l} U_1(k - l) \\
& \quad - \frac{2}{3^k} U_1(k),
\end{align*}
\]

(19)  

where \( F_1(k) \) is the \( k \)th component of the transformed function \( f(u) = \sqrt[3]{u^2} \). Applying formula (4) and the transformed initial conditions

\[
U_1(0) = 1, \quad U_1(1) = 1, \quad U_1(2) = \frac{1}{2}, \\
U_2(0) = 0, \quad U_2(1) = 0, \quad U_2(2) = 1,
\]

we obtain

\[
F_1(0) = \sqrt[3]{U_1^2(0)} = 1, \\
F_1(1) = \frac{2}{3} \frac{U_1(1)}{\sqrt[3]{U_1(0)}} = \frac{2}{3}, \\
F_1(2) = \frac{2}{3} \frac{U_1(2)}{\sqrt[3]{U_1(0)}} - \frac{1}{9} \frac{U_1^2(1)}{\sqrt[3]{U_1(0)}} = \frac{2}{9}, \\
\vdots
\]

Solving the system of recurrence relations (19)–(20), we get

\[
k = 0: \quad U_1(3) = \frac{1}{6} (e^{-2} U_1(0) + F_1(0) + 1) = \frac{2 + e^{-2}}{6}, \\
U_2(3) = \frac{1}{3} (-2U_1(0)) = -\frac{2}{3},
\]

\[
k = 1: \quad U_1(4) = \frac{e^{-2}}{24} \left( \frac{1}{3} U_1(1) + U_1(0) \right) + \frac{1}{24} F_1(1) + 1 = \frac{4e^{-2} + 5}{72}, \\
U_2(4) = \frac{1}{18} \left( 2U_1(0) - \frac{2}{3} U_1(1) \right) = \frac{2}{27},
\]

\[
k = 2: \quad U_1(5) = \frac{e^{-2}}{60} \left( \frac{1}{9} U_1(2) + \frac{1}{3} U_1(1) + \frac{1}{2} U_1(0) \right) + \frac{1}{60} \left( F_1(2) - \frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{16e^{-2} - 5}{1080}, \\
U_2(5) = \frac{2}{105} \left( \frac{2}{3} U_1(1) - \frac{2}{9} U_1(2) \right) = \frac{2}{189}.
\]
Table 7 Comparison of values of solution components obtained by DT and Matlab

| t     | Method | DT  | Matlab |
|-------|--------|-----|--------|
|       |        | u₁  | u₂    | u₁    | u₂    |
| 0.00  |        | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 0.05  |        | 1.0513 | 0.0024 | 1.0513 | 0.0024 |
| 0.10  |        | 1.1051 | 0.0093 | 1.1050 | 0.0093 |
| 0.15  |        | 1.1618 | 0.0203 | 1.1614 | 0.0203 |
| 0.20  |        | 1.2209 | 0.0348 | 1.2204 | 0.0348 |
| 0.25  |        | 1.2832 | 0.0524 | 1.2822 | 0.0524 |
| 0.30  |        | 1.3481 | 0.0726 | 1.3469 | 0.0726 |
| 0.35  |        | 1.4160 | 0.0951 | 1.4146 | 0.0951 |

Table 8 Comparison of computing time

| t     | Method | DT  | Matlab |
|-------|--------|-----|--------|
|       |        | u₁  | u₂    | u₁    | u₂    |
| 0.05  |        | 7.9E–5  | 6.5E–5 | 6.2E–2 | 6.2E–2 |
| 0.10  |        | 6.9E–5  | 7.0E–5 | 8.1E–2 | 6.2E–2 |
| 0.15  |        | 7.1E–5  | 6.8E–5 | 6.2E–2 | 6.2E–2 |
| 0.20  |        | 7.0E–5  | 6.9E–5 | 6.3E–2 | 6.2E–2 |
| 0.25  |        | 7.0E–5  | 7.0E–5 | 6.2E–2 | 6.2E–2 |
| 0.30  |        | 6.3E–5  | 6.9E–5 | 6.3E–2 | 6.3E–2 |
| 0.35  |        | 6.9E–5  | 6.8E–5 | 6.2E–2 | 6.3E–2 |

Applying the inverse differential transformation, we obtain an approximate solution to the initial value problem (15)−(17):

\[
  u_1(t) = 1 + t + \frac{1}{2} t^2 + \frac{2 + e^{-2}}{6} t^3 + \frac{4 e^{-2}}{72} t^4 + \frac{16 e^{-2}}{1080} t^5 + \cdots,
\]

\[
  u_2(t) = t^2 - \frac{2}{3} t^3 + \frac{2}{27} t^4 + \frac{2}{189} t^5 + \cdots.
\]

As we do not know the exact solution of the given problem, we are limited to comparing approximate solutions. Comparison of values obtained by the proposed approach and values obtained by Matlab package DDENSD in Table 7 shows good correspondence between the results. Comparing computing times in Table 8, we can see that the presented method produces reliable results much faster than Matlab package DDENSD.

Remark 5 System (15) contains all three types of delay which were considered in this paper. Moreover, it contains a term which is nonlinear (nonpolynomial) in the dependent variable \( u_1 \). In this sense, the present paper contains more complicated systems in applications than papers about other semi-analytical methods like VIM [6], ADM [7] or HPM [8].

5 Conclusions

The approach presented in this paper is an effective semi-analytical technique, convenient for numerical approximation of a unique solution to the initial value problem for systems of functional differential equations, in particular delayed and neutral differential equations. Considering systems of equations with three types of delays brings a generalization
with respect to the problem studied in [20]. The comparison of results was done against the Laplace decomposition method, residual power series method and Matlab package DDENSD. The need of computational work is reduced compared to the other methods. The differential transformation algorithm gives an approximate solution which is in good concordance with reference results produced by Matlab. Under certain circumstances, it is possible to identify the unique solution to the initial value problem in closed form. Further steps can be done in the development of the presented technique for systems with distributed and state dependent delays.
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