Clinico-radiological-pathological correlation in eumycetoma spectrum: Case series
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ABSTRACT

Maduramycosis or mycetoma is one of the chronic granulomatous diseases commonly seen in tropical regions. Microbiological cultures and biopsy are carried out for the definitive diagnosis of the disease, but they are time-consuming methods. The present study aims to correlate clinical, radiological and pathological features in eumycetoma cases to emphasize the role of “dot in circle” sign leading to early imaging-based diagnosis. Imaging plays an important role in early diagnosis of mycetoma, which has therapeutic implications. “Dot in circle” sign is a recently described sign in mycetoma cases on ultrasound (USG) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). We diagnosed four cases of eumycetoma based on clinical and imaging features, which were confirmed with histopathology. The differential diagnosis, which may mimic this sign is also discussed. The “dot in circle” sign is seen on both ultrasound and MRI. This sign is highly specific for mycetomas. Knowledge of this sign can help in early diagnosis of mycetomas preventing misdiagnosis and further complications.
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Clinico-radiological-pathological correlation in eumycetoma spectrum: Case series

Case Reports

Case 1 – A 35-year-old male, a teacher by occupation, presented with complaints of swelling in dorsum of right foot over the region of middle toe (Fig. 2A), which was
gradually increasing in size over a period of two years. He had a history of thorn prick over middle toe while gardening in his farmhouse. The swelling was also associated with discharge from multiple sinuses. The radiograph of the foot did not reveal any abnormality except soft-tissue density over the dorsum of the middle toe (Fig. 2B). During further workup, the ultrasound showed multiple lesions with hyperechoic foci in the center and hypoechoic wall in the periphery of lesions (Fig. 2C). MRI of foot confirmed multiple hyperintense lesions with central hypointense dots and surrounding hypointense tissue (Fig. 2D, E). Bone marrow involvement or osteomyelitis was absent.

**Figure 1.** Components forming dot in circle on imaging.

**Figure 2.** Eumycetoma of the foot. (A) Soft tissue swelling at the dorsum of right middle toe and foot region with multiple encrusted skin sinuses. (B) Radiograph of the foot (AP view) appears normal except a soft-tissue density shadow over heads of 2–4th metatarsals. (C) Ultrasound image shows multiple lesions (white arrows) with hypoechoic walls (circle) and hyperechoic foci in the center (dots) giving the appearance of "dot in circle". (D, E) Axial T2-weighted MRI shows multiple hyperintense lesions with central hypointense dots (white arrows) with hypointense periphery (black arrows) giving the appearance of "dot in circle".

**Figure 3.** Eumycetoma of the great toe. (A) Swelling at the lateral aspect of the great toe with discharging sinus and foci of hyperpigmentation. (B) Radiograph of the foot (AP view) image shows soft-tissue density over the lateral aspect of the great toe (black arrow). (C) On ultrasound, multiple lesions (white arrows) are evident with thick hypoechoic walls and hyperechoic foci in the center. (D) Axial T2-weighted MRI shows multiple hyperintense lesions with central hypointense dots (white arrows).
Case 2 – A 27-year-old male came with complaints of soft-tissue swelling and few discharging sinuses in the dorsolateral aspect of right great toe (Fig. 3A). He noticed this swelling six months back. He had no significant occupational history or history of thorn prick. Foot radiograph showed mild soft-tissue density along the proximal phalanx in the lateral aspect of the great toe (Fig. 3B). On ultrasound, multiple hypoechoic lesions with hyperechoic foci in center were seen (Fig. 3C). On MRI of the foot, multiple hyperintense lesions with central hypointense dots consistent with the dot in circle sign were seen (Fig. 3D).

Case 3 – A 32-year-old male presented with a soft-tissue swelling in the plantar aspect of left foot (Fig. 4A). The swelling was partially exophytic in appearance. Three discharging sinuses were present at the dome of swelling. He was a farmer by occupation. Foot radiograph showed no significant abnormality except soft-tissue density shadow (Fig. 4B). On ultrasound, multiple clustered lesions with the hypoechoic wall in
the periphery and hyperechoic foci in the center were seen (Fig. 4C). MRI of foot demonstrated multiple lesions with the dot in circle sign (Fig. 4D–F).

Case 4 – A 37-year-old male had a history of minor trauma over the left ankle 10 years back, which was followed by swelling over the left ankle and was associated with black grain discharge (Fig. 5A). Foot radiographs revealed multiple osteolytic lesions in calcaneum, associated with the periosteal reaction in tibia and fibula (Fig. 5B, C). Ultrasound of ankle showed few ill-defined lesions with hyperechoic foci in the center and hypo echoic wall in periphery (Fig. 5D). CT ankle confirmed multiple osteolytic lesions in calcaneum with periosteal reaction in tibia and fibula (Fig. 6A, B) confirmed. MRI ankle demonstrated multiple hyperintense lesions with a dot in circle sign in both soft tissues and in calcaneum (Fig. 6C, D).

In all four cases, the final diagnosis was eumycetoma, which was confirmed on histopathology (Fig. 7). In the first three cases, surgery was carried out, followed by antifungal therapy. In the 4th case, the patient did not give consent for surgery and is on antifungal therapy for the last eight months.

Consent was obtained from each patient for this report.

DISCUSSION

Mycetoma is a chronic granulomatous infection of the dermis and epidermis, which may arise from the bacteria Actinomyces (Actinomycetoma) or by true fungi (eumycetoma) [6–9]. Mycetoma is more prevalent in tropical regions and is endemic in India. All of our cases belonged to western Rajasthan, in India, which is a tropical area. Eumycetoma is more prevalent in areas with less rainfall, while actinomycetoma is more prevalent in areas with heavy rainfall. It is presumed that there is a direct introduction of infecting organisms after trauma.
or direct penetration of the skin with sharp objects. This infection more commonly involving feet because they are more prone to trauma. First of all, soft-tissue swelling occurs with inflammation in surrounding tissues due to the formation of granulation tissue. This granulation tissue further leads to the formation of multiple discharging sinuses. Bone involvement may occur in the later course of the disease after many years [1, 6].

Clinically, when any patient presents with soft-tissue swelling and discharging sinuses, then, diagnosis of mycetoma should be considered [3]. Mycetoma is a slowly progressive disease. If proper treatment is not initiated in the early stages of the disease then, it may lead to multiple complications, such as osteomyelitis, abscess formation, fistula formation and formation of multiple draining sinuses tracts with deformity of the involved body part [2]. In the early stages of the disease, in the absence of discharging sinuses, a clinical diagnosis of mycetoma is difficult. It can be misdiagnosed as soft-tissue tumor or chronic indolent bacterial to tubercular infection [10]. However, imaging plays an important role in the early detection of mycetoma. “Dot in circle” sign is seen in mycetoma, and this sign is very specific for mycetoma [7] (Fig. 1). All four of our cases demonstrated “dot in circle sign” on both ultrasound and MRI. In later course of the disease, bone involvement with destruction may occur, which may require surgical management and has a bad prognosis. Thus, for a better prognosis of the patient, early detection of mycetoma is important. Imaging, particularly ultrasound and MRI, plays a crucial role in early detection of mycetoma and differentiates it from other pathologies.

Radiographs are helpful in detection of bone changes in cases of mycetoma. However, they may be normal if no bone involvement is present, especially in the early stage of the disease. Common findings present on radiographs are bone sclerosis, periosteal reaction, bone cavities, cortical scalloping, osteoporosis and soft-tissue thickening [7]. Sometimes, bone changes on radiographs are helpful in the differentiation of the eumycetoma and actinomycetoma. Lesions of eumycetoma have a tendency to form few cavities in bone, which are more than one centimeter in diameter. Bone lesions in actinomycetes usually have more number of cavities but are small in size, which leads to moth-eaten appearance [2]. Bagi et al. [7] have given a radiographic classification based on the extent of the bone involvement (stages 0–6). Computerized tomography (CT) scan depicts the extent of bone changes involvement better than radiographs. Initially, Czechowski et al. [8], in 2001, observed small foci of low-signal intensity on both T1- and T2-weighted images and assumed that the low-signal intensity of these foci is due to susceptibility from the metabolic products of the “grains”.

In 2003, Sarris et al. [4] described the “dot in circle” sign, as tiny hypointense foci within the hyperintense spherical or circular lesions on T2W and STIR sequences. Further correlation with histopathological findings revealed that high signal intensity on MRI is due to inflammatory granulomatous, central low signal intensity part is composed of fungal balls or grain, and

| Table 1. Differences between eumycetoma and actinomycetoma |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Features | Eumycetoma | Actinomycetoma |
| Etiology | True fungi | Filamentous bacteria |
| Epidemiology | In drier areas | With abundant rainfall |
| Course of disease | Slowly progressive | Rapidly progressive, with more destruction |
| Size and Color of grains | Coarse, Black or pale | Fine, white, yellow or red |
| Radiographic changes | Few cavities in the bone that are ≥1 cm in diameter | Early bone involvement. Smaller but more numerous cavities, leading to a moth-eaten appearance |
| Muscle involvement | Uncommon | May be present |
| Ultrasound | The grains are many and they appear as very sharp hyperechogenicity. Single or multiple, hypoechoic, thick-walled cavities with no acoustic enhancement | The grains are fine, hazy, closely aggregated and commonly settle at the bottom of the cavities |
| Treatment | Antifungals with or without surgery | Antibiotics with or without surgery |
outer hypointensity is due to the fibrous matrix. Finally, they, too, concluded that the “dot in circle” sign is a highly specific sign for mycetoma. Later, the “dot in circle” sign was also reported by Kumar et al. [3], in 2007 and Lee Parker et al. [9], in 2009. T1-weighted post-contrast (gadolinium) images may also show dot in circle sign; however, do not appear to have an advantage over sequences without contrast. We did not do post-contrast MRI in any of our cases. Dot in circle sign can be seen in mycetomas involving soft tissues, as well as bones, as seen in our case number four.

Ultrasound features of mycetoma were first described by Fahal et al., and they described that hyper-reflective echoes represent grains which are surrounded by hypoechoic tissue [4, 11]. Eumycetoma grains generally produce sharp hyperechoic foci, while hyperechoic foci in actinomyctoma are usually seen at the bottom of the lesions. “Dot-in-circle” sign in USG is similar to the MRI. Differences between eumycetoma and actinomyctoma are listed in Table 1.

Histopathological examination of lesion reveals that lesion is composed of grains of fungal hyphae or bacteria in the background of granulomatous reaction [12, 13]. Multiple methods are available for distinguishing actinomyctoma from eumycetoma like gram stain, periodic acid-Schiff, Gomori methenamine silver and lactophenol blue stain [13]. Biopsy or staining and culture usually give the definitive diagnosis, but these are time-consuming methods. Sometimes, making the correct diagnosis with the help of biopsy and staining is difficult, mainly in fastidious organisms.

Figure 8. Dot in circle sign mimic – Phleboliths in a case of soft-tissue hemangioma in the foot (case not described in this series). (A) Sagittal STIR and (B) post-contrast T1W images show small phlebolith (arrow) in a hemangioma on the plantar aspect of the foot, which can give a similar appearance as a dot in circle sign.

Figure 9. Dot in circle sign mimics - Rice bodies (case not described in this series). Oblique sagittal STIR image of the elbow joint in a patient with articular tuberculosis. Multiple small hypointense nodular “rice bodies” are seen distending the joint and can mimic as a dot in circle sign.
Imaging mimics for dot in circle sign are phleboliths in hemangiomas and rice bodies. Sometimes, hemangiomas or low flow vascular malformations may mimic as a dot in circle sign (Fig. 8) due to the presence of phleboliths, which are seen as hypointense foci in the background of the hyperintense lesion. However, clinical history, fewer number of the dot in circles, absence of sinuses, and absence of inflammation in surrounding tissues should favour the diagnosis of vascular malformation.

Rice bodies are also hypointense nodular foci formed due to chronic synovial inflammation. These are seen in the synovial fluid of patients of rheumatoid arthritis or tuberculosis [5, 10] (Fig. 9).

Conclusion
To conclude, the “dot in circle” sign is seen on both ultrasound and MRI. This sign is highly specific for mycetomas. Knowledge of this sign can help in early diagnosis of mycetomas preventing misdiagnosis and further complications.
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