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Abstract

The purpose of this document is to provide more details of the calculations, proofs, and fix conventions.

S1 Sketch-proof of moment-hierarchy dynamical law.

In the main text, we mentioned that a generating functional

$$Z[J] = \sum_{n} \frac{1}{n!} \int d1 \ldots dn . J_1 \ldots J_n . f^{(n)} (1, \ldots, n) \tag{1}$$

of moments

$$f^{(k)} (1, \ldots, k) = \langle 1 | : n_1 \ldots n_k : | v \rangle \tag{2}$$
satisfies the evolution equation

$$\partial_t Z[J] = -H \left[1 + J, \frac{\delta}{\delta J}\right] Z[J] \tag{3}$$

Here we sketch a proof of this.

First note that because the reference state is coherent, we have

$$\langle 1 | : f[a^\dagger, a] : | v \rangle = \langle 1 | : f[1, a] : | v \rangle \tag{4}$$

Also, it is not difficult to see that commuting an $a^\dagger$ through $a$'s to its left acts like differentiation. In multi-index notation therefore:

$$\langle 1 | a^\dagger H(a^\dagger, a) | v \rangle = \langle 1 | a^\dagger H \left(1 + \frac{\partial}{\partial a^\dagger} . a\right) | v \rangle \tag{5}$$

where the derivative acts to the left. This provides a neat way of calculating the dynamics of a specific normal-ordered moment. In the formal limit, one can replace the partial derivatives with functional derivatives.
Consider further the behaviour of a piece of the hamiltonian which can be represented $\frac{1}{m!} J^m (q^m \partial^m q^m)$. Then

$$X_n \geq 0, n! J_n \langle a_n ^{-\partial} p a_q \rangle = X_n \geq p_1 n! J_n \langle a_q + n \rangle = J_p X_n \geq 0, n! J_n \langle a_n \rangle = J_p X_n \geq 0, n! J_n \langle a_q \rangle (6)$$

which together with the above, proves the result.

S2 Coherent-state path integrals and the effective action

The material in this section is standard to field theory. It is included here to set conventions and for the benefit of readers who do not have a physics background. For more details, see e.g. Refs.[6,11] of the main text.

Write $\phi$ for a field where $\phi_\alpha$ (vaguely discretised) refers to site $\alpha$. Then take our coherent state definition as

$$|\phi \rangle = e^{\sum_\alpha \phi_\alpha a_\alpha ^\dagger} |0 \rangle$$ (7)

These states have the properties

$$a_\alpha |\phi \rangle = \phi_\alpha |\phi \rangle$$

$$\langle \phi | \phi' \rangle = \exp \left( \sum_\alpha \phi_\alpha ^* \phi_\alpha ' \right)$$

$$1 = \int \prod_\alpha \frac{d\phi_\alpha ^* d\phi_\alpha }{2\pi i} e^{-\sum_\alpha \phi_\alpha ^* \phi_\alpha } |\phi \rangle \langle \phi |$$

$$= \int \prod_\alpha \frac{d(Re \phi_\alpha ) d(Im \phi_\alpha )}{\pi} e^{-\sum_\alpha \phi_\alpha ^* \phi_\alpha } |\phi \rangle \langle \phi |$$

S2.1 Coherent state path integral

For illustration, we consider

$$q = \langle \phi | a_\beta e^{-\delta t} | \phi_i \rangle = \langle \phi | a_\beta \prod_k e^{-\delta \delta t_k} | \phi_i \rangle$$ (8)

where the $M \delta t_k$ give equally spaced intervals which partition $[0,t]$. Before each $k$-th element in this product, we insert

$$1 = \int \prod_\alpha \frac{d\phi_\alpha ^* d\phi_\alpha }{N} e^{-\sum_\alpha \phi_\alpha ^* \phi_\alpha } |\phi_k \rangle \langle \phi_k |$$ (9)

(in slightly abusive notation - dropping subscripts to the $\alpha$s where we can get away with it). Then
\[ q = \prod_{k=1}^{M+1} \left[ \prod_{\alpha_k} \int \frac{d\phi_{\alpha,k}^* d\phi_{\alpha,k}}{N} \right] \int \frac{d\phi_{\alpha,M+1}^* d\phi_{\alpha,M+1}}{N} e^{-\sum_{\alpha} \phi_{\alpha,M+1}^* \phi_{\alpha,M+1}} \times \]

\[ \int \frac{d\phi_{\alpha,1}^* d\phi_{\alpha,1}}{N} \langle \phi_f | a_{\beta} | \phi_{M+1} \rangle \langle \phi_i | \phi_i \rangle \times \prod_{k=1}^{M} e^{-\sum_{\alpha} \phi_{\alpha,k}^* \phi_{\alpha,k}} \langle \phi_{\alpha,k+1} | e^{-\delta t_k H} | \phi_{\alpha,k} \rangle \]

One then uses

\[ e^{\delta t H(a^\dagger, a)} = e^{\delta t H(a^\dagger, a)} + O(\delta t^2) \] (10)

and the properties of coherent states above to obtain (ignoring \( O(\delta t^2) \))

\[ q = \prod_{\alpha} \frac{d\phi_{\alpha,1}^* d\phi_{\alpha,M+1}^* d\phi_{\alpha,M+1}}{N} \prod_{k=2}^{M+1} \left[ \prod_{\alpha_k} \int \frac{d\phi_{\alpha,k}^* d\phi_{\alpha,k}}{N} \right] \times \]

\[ \phi_{\beta,M+1} \exp \left( + \sum_{\alpha} \left( \phi_{\alpha,f}^* - \phi_{\alpha,M+1}^* \right) \phi_{\alpha,M+1} + \sum_{\alpha} \phi_{\alpha,1}^* \phi_{\alpha,1} \right) \times \]

\[ \exp \sum_{\alpha} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{M} \left( \phi_{\alpha,k+1}^* - \phi_{\alpha,k}^* \right) \phi_{\alpha,k} - \sum_{k=1}^{M} \delta t_k H_{k+1,k} \right) \]

\[ = \int \prod_{k=1}^{M+1} \left[ \prod_{\alpha_k} \int \frac{d\phi_{\alpha,k}^* d\phi_{\alpha,k}}{N} \right] \times \]

\[ \phi_{\beta,M+1} \exp \sum_{\alpha} \left( + \phi_{\alpha,1}^* \phi_{\alpha,1} + \left( \phi_{\alpha,1}^* - \phi_{\alpha,1}^* \right) \phi_{\alpha,1} - \phi_{\alpha,1}^* \phi_{\alpha,1} + |\phi_{\alpha,1}|^2 \right) \times \]

\[ \exp \sum_{\alpha} \left( + \left( \phi_{\alpha,f}^* - \phi_{\alpha,M+1}^* \right) \phi_{\alpha,M+1} + \sum_{k=1}^{M} \left( \phi_{\alpha,k+1}^* - \phi_{\alpha,k}^* \right) \phi_{\alpha,k} - \sum_{k=1}^{M} \delta t_k H_{k+1,k} \right) \]

\[ = \int \prod_{k=1}^{M+1} \left[ \prod_{\alpha_k} \int \frac{d\phi_{\alpha,k}^* d\phi_{\alpha,k}}{N} \right] \phi_{\beta,M+1} \exp \sum_{\alpha} \left( + \phi_{\alpha,f}^* \phi_{\alpha,f} - \int_0^t \left( \frac{\partial \phi_{\alpha}^*}{\partial t} + H(t) \phi_{\alpha} \right) \right) \]

\[ = \int \prod_{k=1}^{M+1} \left[ \prod_{\alpha_k} \int \frac{d\phi_{\alpha,k}^* d\phi_{\alpha,k}}{N} \right] \phi_{\beta,M+1} \exp \sum_{\alpha} \left( + \phi_{\alpha,f}^* \phi_{\alpha,f} - \int_0^t \left( \frac{\partial \phi_{\alpha}^*}{\partial t} + H(t) \phi_{\alpha} \right) \right) \]

Taking various ‘limits’, we write this formally as

\[ \langle \phi_f | a_{\alpha} e^{-Ht} | \phi_i \rangle = \int \mathcal{D}[\phi^*] D[\phi] \langle \phi(x, t) e^{\int_0^t du \phi^* \phi(x, t) - \int_0^t \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} + H(\phi^*, \phi)} \rangle \]

\[ = \int \mathcal{D}[\phi^*] D[\phi] \langle \phi(x, t) e^{\int_0^t du \phi^* \phi(x, t) - \int_0^t \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} + H(\phi^*, \phi)} \rangle \]

and similarly for other ‘observables’.
S2.2 Path integral representations of interesting quantities

We will consider initial states which have poisson-distributed numbers of bugs uniformly in space. This gives a multiple of a coherent state:

\[ e^{-\int dx n_0 |n_0\rangle} = e^{-\int dx n_0 e^{\int dx n_0 a^\dagger_0} |0\rangle} \quad (11) \]

The final state will always be \(|1\rangle\) for us, which is coherent. So for this state, e.g.

\[
\begin{align*}
|1\rangle^{(1)}(x,t) &= \int_{\phi(0)=n_0} \mathcal{D}[\phi^* \phi] \phi(x,t) e^{\int \mathcal{L}(\phi^* \phi) - S[\phi^*,\phi]} \\
|1\rangle^{(2)}(x,y,t) &= \int_{\phi(0)=n_0} \mathcal{D}[\phi^* \phi] \phi(x,t) \phi(y,t) e^{\int \mathcal{L}(\phi^* \phi) - S[\phi^*,\phi]}
\end{align*}
\]

etc.

More general initial probability distributions can be considered by expanding them in terms of coherent states.

S2.3 The shift trick

In [7], Cardy and Täuber point out one can make a shift \(\phi^* = 1 + \bar{\phi}\), which changes the term in the exponential to

\[
\begin{align*}
\int dy \left( \phi^* (t) \phi(t) - n_0 - \int dt (\phi^* \partial_\phi + H[\phi^*,\phi]) \right) \\
= \int dy \left( 1 \cdot \phi(t) - n_0 - \int dt (\bar{\phi} + 1) \partial_\phi + H[\bar{\phi} + 1, \phi] \right) \\
= \int dy \left( 1 \cdot \phi(t) - n_0 - \phi(t) + \phi(0) - \int dt (\bar{\phi} \partial_\phi + \bar{H}[\bar{\phi}, \phi]) \right) \\
= \int dy \left( - \int dt (\bar{\phi} \partial_\phi + \bar{H}[\bar{\phi}, \phi]) \right) = -S[\bar{\phi}, \phi]
\end{align*}
\]

Then we have such things as

\[
\begin{align*}
f^{(1)}(x,t) &= \int_{\phi(0)=n_0} \mathcal{D}[\bar{\phi}] \phi(x,t) e^{-S[\bar{\phi}, \phi]} \\
f^{(2)}(x,y,t) &= \int_{\phi(0)=n_0} \mathcal{D}[\bar{\phi}] \phi(x,t) \phi(y,t) e^{-S[\bar{\phi}, \phi]}
\end{align*}
\]

For the bug case then

\[
S[\bar{\phi}, \phi] = \int dx dt \left[ \bar{\phi} (\partial_t - \kappa \nabla^2 - \gamma) \phi - \lambda \bar{\phi}^2 \phi - \int dy V_{xy}(1 + \bar{\phi} y) \bar{\phi} y \phi_x \phi_x \right]
\]

write \(\gamma = (\lambda - \mu)\). We will write this more concisely as:
\[ S = \bar{\phi}D\phi + \bar{\phi}V\phi - \lambda \bar{\phi}^2 \phi + \bar{\phi}V\phi \bar{\phi} \]  

(12)

with

\[ D = \partial_t - \kappa \nabla^2 - \gamma \]  

(13)

S2.4 Relation of coherent states to probability distributions

A coherent state corresponds to poisson sprinkling in each infinitesimal area. In the translation invariant case, this means a poisson choice of total number sprinkled uniformly across the area. For low densities, this state is a good approximation to a fixed initial number of bugs.

S2.5 Calculation of \( \Gamma \)

Introduce a counting parameter \( \ell \), which will in fact count the number of loops by its power. From the definitions we have

\[
e^{-\frac{\Delta}{\ell}} = \int D[\phi] e^{-Q_{\phi_m}[\phi]/\ell} \frac{1}{\ell} e^{-\sum_{n=0}^\infty \ell^n \Gamma^{(n)}}
\]  

(14)

where we have made the rescaling \( \phi/\ell^{1/2} \rightarrow \phi \). (Rescalings of the ‘measure’ do not concern us because they represent an irrelevant constant shift in \( \Gamma \). More careful treatments consider only the ratio of such integrals as meaningful: see, e.g. [11].) This yields an equations which we can solve iteratively for \( \Gamma \), order by order in \( \ell \):

\[ \Gamma = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \ell^n \Gamma^{(n)} \]  

(15)

Although it appears that this series contains non-integer powers of \( \ell \), this is not the case because only even moments of a gaussian integral are not zero.
The lowest term in this series reads:

\[
\Gamma[\phi_m] = S[\phi_m] - \log \int D[\phi] e^{-Q[\phi_m]} \\
= S[\phi_m] + \frac{1}{2} \log \text{Det} S_2[\phi_m] = S[\phi_m] + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \log S_2[\phi_m]
\]

where capitals have been used for functional determinants and traces and we have dropped irrelevant constants.

**S3 The general multimode fluctuation integral**

This section extends the multimode fluctuation integral to non-hermitian hamiltonians with sources; a case which does not appear to have been treated previously. It is this case which is needed for effective action calculations for non-quantum situations, such as those we treat. We closely follow the techniques of [14].

**S3.1 The action**

We are interested in the coherent state path integral integral

\[
I = \int D[a\bar{a}] e^{-Q}
\]

where the integral has zero limits and

\[
Q = \int d\tau \left( \bar{a}^T \partial_\tau a - a^T \omega a - a^T f a - \bar{a}^T g \bar{a} - J^T a - K^T \bar{a} \right)
\]

The discretized version of the action is (indices referring to time-slices)

\[
\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \left[ \bar{a}_j^T (a_j - a_{j-1}) - \epsilon (\bar{a}_j^T \omega_j a_j - a_j^T f_{j-1} a_{j-1} + \bar{a}_j^T g_{j-1} \bar{a}_{j-1}) \right] \\
- \epsilon \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \left[ J_j^T a_j + K_j^T \bar{a}_j \right]
\]

with appropriate \( \epsilon \). Writing \( a = x + iy, \bar{a} = x - iy \) and using where convenient that \( \xi_0 = \xi_{n+1} = 0 \), the action reads

\[
\sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[ x_j^T x_j + y_j^T y_j - \epsilon (x_j^T g_{j-1} x_j - iy_j g_{j-1} x_j - ix_j^T g_{j-1} y_j - y_j^T g_{j-1} y_j) \right] \\
- \epsilon \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[ x_j^T f_j x_j + iy_j^T f_j x_j + ix_j^T f_j y_j - y_j^T f_j y_j \right]
\]
\[
\sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[ -x_j^T x_{j-1} - i x_j^T y_{j-1} + iy_j^T x_{j-1} - y_j^T y_{j-1} \right] \\
-\epsilon \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[ x_j^T \omega_{j-1} x_{j-1} + i x_j^T \omega_{j-1} y_{j-1} - iy_j^T \omega_{j-1} x_{j-1} + y_j^T \omega_{j-1} y_{j-1} \right] \\
-\epsilon \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \left[ J_j^T (x_j + iy_j) + K_j^T (x_j - iy_j) \right] 
\]

(19)

\[
\sum_{j=1}^{n} z_j^T M_j z_j - \sum_{j=1}^{n} z_j^T L_j z_{j-1} - \sum_{j=1}^{n} u_j^T z_j 
\]

(20)

Here, following [14], we have introduced the coordinate system

\[
z = x \otimes \nu_1 + y \otimes \nu_2 \\
\nu_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
\nu_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} 
\]

(21)

where \( x \) and \( y \) live in \( \mathbb{R}^k \) for a \( k \)-mode system. Using this, the action can be written compactly as

\[
S = \sum_{j=1}^{n} z_j^T \left[ 1 \otimes 1 - \epsilon g_{j-1} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -i \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} - \epsilon f_j \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ i \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \right] z_j \\
+ \sum_{j=1}^{n} z_j^T \left[ 1 \otimes \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -i \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} - \epsilon \omega_{j-1} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ i \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] z_{j-1} \\
+ \epsilon \sum_{j=1}^{n} z_j^T \left[ J_j \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ i \end{pmatrix} + K_j \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -i \end{pmatrix} \right] 
\]

(22)

This allows the identification

\[
M_j = 1 - \epsilon g_{j-1} \otimes \Gamma_2 - \epsilon f_j \otimes \Gamma_1 \\
L_j = (1 + \epsilon \omega_{j-1}) \otimes \Gamma_3 \\
u_j = \epsilon (J_j \otimes \mu_1 + K_j \otimes \mu_2) 
\]

(23)

where

\[
\Gamma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & i \\ i & -1 \end{pmatrix} \\
\Gamma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -i \\ -i & -1 \end{pmatrix} \\
\Gamma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & i \\ -i & 1 \end{pmatrix} 
\]
\[ \mu_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ i \end{pmatrix} \]
\[ \mu_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -i \end{pmatrix} \]  

(24)

S3.2 The integrations

Repeated use of the formula
\[
\int d^n a e^{-a^T A a + b^T a} = \frac{\pi^{n/2}}{(\det A)^{1/2}} e^{(1/4)b^T A^{-1} b}
\]

(25)

allows us to see that \(I_n\), the discretized version of \(I\), is given by
\[
I_n = \pi^{kn} \exp \left[ \frac{1}{4} \sum_j u_j^{T'} M_j^{j-1} u_j' \right] \prod_{j=1}^n (\det M_j')^{-1/2}
\]

(26)

where the \(M_j'\) are defined recursively by
\[
M_j' = M_j - \frac{1}{4} L_j M_{j-1}^{j-1} L_j^T
\]
\[
M_1' = M_1
\]
\[
u_j' = u_j + \frac{1}{2} L_k M_{k-1}^{k-1} u_k'
\]
\[
u_1' = u_1
\]

(27)

S3.3 Solving the recursions

One shows by induction that
\[
M_k' = M_k - X_k \otimes \Gamma_2
\]
\[
u_k' = Y_k \otimes \mu_1 + Z_k \otimes \mu_2
\]

(29)

(30)

The following properties of the gamma’s will be used:

\[
\Gamma_2 \Gamma_1 \Gamma_2 = \Gamma_3 \Gamma_2 \Gamma_3^T = 4 \Gamma_2
\]
\[
\Gamma_1^2 = \Gamma_3^2 = 0
\]
\[
\Gamma_3 \Gamma_1 = \Gamma_3 \Gamma_2 = 0
\]
\[
\Gamma_1 \Gamma_2 = 2 \Gamma_3
\]
\[
\Gamma_2 \Gamma_1 = 2 \Gamma_3
\]
\[
\Gamma_1 \Gamma_3 = 2 \Gamma_1
\]
\[
\Gamma_3 \Gamma_2 = 2 \Gamma_2
\]
\[
\Gamma_2^2 = 2 \Gamma_3
\]

(31)

and
\[ \Gamma_1 \mu_1 = \Gamma_2 \mu_2 = 0 \\
\Gamma_1 \mu_2 = 2 \mu_1 \\
\Gamma_2 \mu_1 = 2 \mu_2 \\
\Gamma_3 \mu_1 = \Gamma_2^T \mu_2 = 0 \\
\Gamma_3 \mu_2 = 2 \mu_2 \\
\Gamma_3^T \mu_1 = 2 \mu_1 \\
\mu_1 \mu_1 = \mu_2 \mu_2 = 0 \\
\mu_1^T \mu_2 = 2 \] (32)

### S3.4 The X part

We know

\[ M'_k = M_k - \frac{1}{4} L_k \left[ 1 - (X_{k-1} + \epsilon g_{k-2}) \otimes \Gamma_2 - \epsilon f_{k-1} \otimes \Gamma_1 \right] \] (33)

Dropping the subscripts for the moment:

\[
\begin{align*}
[1 & - (X + \epsilon g) \otimes \Gamma_2 - \epsilon f \otimes \Gamma_1]^{-1} = \\
& 1 + [(X + \epsilon g) \otimes \Gamma_2 + \epsilon f \otimes \Gamma_1] + [(X + \epsilon g) \otimes \Gamma_2 + \epsilon f \otimes \Gamma_1]^2 \\
& + [(X + \epsilon g) \otimes \Gamma_2 + \epsilon f \otimes \Gamma_1]^3 + \ldots
\end{align*}
\] (34)

Note that in the recursion, the \( L \)'s carry factors of \( \Gamma_3 \) and \( \Gamma_3^T \), only \( \Gamma_1 \) and \( \Gamma_2 \) terms survive this, and both yield \( \Gamma_2 \). This shows the form given above is correct. We wish to compute the recursion satisfied by \( X \) to order \( \epsilon \) however. It is not hard to see that up to order \( \epsilon \) we have

\[
\begin{align*}
[1 & - (X + \epsilon g) \otimes \Gamma_2 - \epsilon f \otimes \Gamma_1]^{-1} = \\
& 1 + [(X + \epsilon g) \otimes \Gamma_2 + \epsilon f \otimes \Gamma_1] + 2(X + \epsilon g)f \otimes \Gamma_3 + 2\epsilon f(X + \epsilon g) \otimes \Gamma_3^T \\
& + [\epsilon^2 2fX \otimes \Gamma_2]
\end{align*}
\] (35)

Hitting these with the \( L \)'s one finds that:

\[ X_k = X_{k-1} + \epsilon \left( \omega_{k-1} X_{k-1} + X_{k-1} \omega^T_{k-1} + g_{k-2} + 4X_{k-1} f_{k-1} X_{k-1} \right) \] (36)

The continuum version of this is precisely

\[ \frac{dX}{dt} = g + \omega X + X \omega^T + 4fX \] (37)

It only remains to calculate
\[
\det M' = \det (M_l - X_l \otimes \Gamma_2)
\]
\[
= \det (1 - (X_k + \epsilon g_{k-1}) \otimes \Gamma_2 - \epsilon \otimes \Gamma_1)
\]
(38)

Note both \(\Gamma_1\) and \(\Gamma_2\) are traceless. Using \(\det(1+A) = 1 + \text{tr}A + (\text{tr}A)^2 - \text{tr}A^2 + \ldots\), and keeping only order \(\epsilon\), the above becomes
\[
\det(M_l) = 1 - 4\epsilon \text{tr}(X_l F_l)
\]
(39)

We then have
\[
\prod (\det M_l')^{-1/2} \to \exp \left[ 2\epsilon \sum_\iota \text{tr}(X_l f_\iota) \right] \to \exp \left[ 2 \int d\tau \text{tr}(X_\tau f_\tau) \right]
\]
(40)

S3.5 The \(u\) part

From the above relations, it is clear that the form \(u'_k = Y_k \otimes \mu_1 + Z_k \otimes \mu_2\) holds. To order \(\epsilon\) then:
\[
\begin{align*}
    u'_k &= u_k + \frac{1}{2} L_k u'_{k-1} + \\
    &\qquad \frac{1}{2} L_k [(X + \epsilon g) \otimes \Gamma_2 + \epsilon f \otimes \Gamma_1] u'_{k-1} + \frac{1}{2} L_k \left[ 2(X + \epsilon g) \epsilon f \otimes \Gamma_3 + 2\epsilon f (X + \epsilon g) \otimes \Gamma_3^T \right] u'_{k-1} \\
    &\quad + \frac{1}{2} L_k [\epsilon^2 X f X \otimes \Gamma_2] u'_{k-1} \\
    &= u_k + \frac{1}{2} L_k [(Y + 2\epsilon f Z + 4\epsilon f X Y) \otimes \mu_1 + (Z + 2(X + \epsilon g) Y + 4\epsilon X f Z + 4\epsilon X f X Y) \otimes \mu_2] \\
    &= u_k + (1 + \epsilon \omega) [Z + 2(X + \epsilon g) Y + 4\epsilon X f Z + 4\epsilon X f X Y + \epsilon \omega Z + 2\epsilon \omega X Y] \otimes \mu_2 \\
    &= u_k + (Z + 2(X + \epsilon g) Y + 4\epsilon X f Z + 4\epsilon X f X Y + \epsilon \omega Z + 2\epsilon \omega X Y) \otimes \mu_2
\end{align*}
\]
(41)

which means
\[
\begin{align*}
    Y_k &= \epsilon J_k \\
    Z_k &= Z_{k-1} + 2X_{k-1} Y_{k-1} + \epsilon [K + 2g Y + 4\epsilon X f Z + 4\epsilon X f X Y + \omega Z + 2\omega X Y] \\
    &= Z_{k-1} + \epsilon [K + 2XJ + 4\epsilon X f Z + \omega Z + O(\epsilon^2)]
\end{align*}
\]
(42)

which becomes in the continuous limit:
\[
\frac{dZ}{dt} = K + 2XJ + (\omega + 4\epsilon f) Z
\]
(43)

Up to order \(\epsilon\) we have
\[
\begin{align*}
    u^T M'^{-1} u' &= (\epsilon J \otimes \mu_1 + Z \otimes \mu_2)^T \epsilon J \otimes \mu_1 + Z \otimes \mu_2 \\
    &= (\epsilon J \otimes \mu_1 + Z \otimes \mu_2)^T \epsilon J + 2\epsilon f Z \otimes \mu_1 + (Z + \epsilon K + 2\epsilon XJ + 4\epsilon X f Z) \otimes \mu_2 \\
    &= 4\epsilon Z^T (J + f Z)
\end{align*}
\]
(44)
Which means that the \( u \) contribution becomes

\[
\exp \left[ \int d\tau Z^T(\tau) (J(\tau) + f(\tau)Z(\tau)) \right]
\]  (45)

### S3.6 Summary

We have shown that (up to an irrelevant constant factor):

\[
I = \exp \left[ \int d\tau \left( Z^T J + Z^T f Z + 2\text{tr}(Xf) \right) \right]
\]  (46)

where, with trivial initial conditions

\[
\frac{dX}{dt} = g + \omega X + X\omega^T + 4XfX
\]

\[
\frac{dZ}{dt} = K + 2XJ + (\omega + 4X)Z
\]  (47)

In the main text, we have written \( Y \) rather than \( Z \) to avoid confusion with generating functionals.

### S4 Explicit computations for the two models considered

In this section, there are more details of the calculations pertaining to the specific models we consider.

#### S4.1 Non-spatial bugs

For our action \( f, g \) and \( \omega \) are given by

\[
f = -V\bar{\phi}_m - V\bar{\phi}_m^2
\]

\[
g = \lambda\bar{\phi}_m - V\bar{\phi}_m^2
\]

\[
\omega = \gamma - V - 2V\bar{\phi}_m + 2\lambda\bar{\phi}_m - 4V\bar{\phi}_m\phi_m
\]  (50)

Varying this yields the zero loop equations plus a correction (note that \( \bar{\phi} \equiv 0 \) after variation):

\[
0L_s = \partial_s\phi_s - (\gamma - V)\phi_s + V\phi_s^2
\]  (51)

\[
1L_s = 0L_s - 2\partial_s f(\psi_s)X_s - 2 \int_0^1 dx f_s \frac{\delta X_s}{\delta \phi_s}
\]  (52)

\[
= 0L_s - 2\partial_s f(\psi_s)X_s
\]  (53)

Note that the dynamical equations in \( \bar{\phi} \) are satisfied by the trivial solution. Further, \( \partial_s f(\psi_s) = -V \) giving us the system.
\[ \partial_t \phi = (\gamma - V)\phi - V\phi^2 - 2 VX \]  
\[ \partial_t X = (\lambda \phi - V\phi^2) + 2(\gamma - V - 2\phi)X \]  

For comparison, the central moment-closed equations read

\[ n' = \gamma n - VN_2 \]  
\[ N_2' = 2\gamma N_2 + (\lambda + \mu)n + VN_2 - 2VN_3 \]  
\[ N_3 = 3nN_2 - 2n^3 \]  

with the first and second moment \((n \text{ and } N_2)\) having initial conditions \(N_0\) and \(N_0^2 + N_0\) respectively. \(N_3\) is the third moment, posited to satisfy the closure relation in Eqn.56.

**S4.2 Non-spatial SIR**

From the SIR-action above, one deduces that

\[ f = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \beta(b + b^2 - a - ab)/2 & 0 \\ \beta(b + b^2 - a - ab)/2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \]  
\[ g = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\beta ab/2 & 0 \\ -\beta ab/2 & \beta ab & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \]  
\[ \omega = \begin{pmatrix} -\beta(b + b\bar{b}) & -\beta(a + ab) & 0 \\ \beta(b + 2b\bar{b} - ab) & \beta(a + 2ab - a\bar{a}) - \nu & 0 \\ 0 & \nu & 0 \end{pmatrix} \]  

where the field letters now refer to the mean fields.

The 1-loop effective action is:

\[ \Gamma^{(1)} = -2 \int d\tau \text{tr}(fX) \]  

After variation, it is clear that the the barred fields are non-dynamical, and stay zero (as they should). Given this, and writing

\[ X = \begin{pmatrix} A & B & D \\ B & C & E \\ D & E & F \end{pmatrix} \]  

The differential equations for \(X\) become:

\[ \frac{dA}{dt} = -2\beta bA - 2\beta aB \]  
\[ \frac{dB}{dt} = -\beta ab/2 + \beta bA + (\beta(a - b) - \nu)B - \beta aC \]  
\[ \frac{dC}{dt} = \beta ab + 2\beta bB + 2(\beta a - \nu)C \]
\[ \frac{dD}{dt} = -\beta bD - \beta aE + \nu B \]
\[ \frac{dE}{dt} = \beta bD + (\beta a - \nu)E + \nu C \]
\[ \frac{dF}{dt} = 2\nu E \]

(62)

coupled to the equations of motion:

\[ \frac{da}{dt} = -\beta aba - 2\beta B \]
\[ \frac{db}{dt} = \beta ab - \nu b + 2\beta B \]
\[ \frac{dc}{dt} = \nu b \]

(63)

Note that only the first three of the equations in A to F are relevant to the correction term 2B, in the same was that only 3 of the second moments are relevant in moment-closure. For comparison, the zero third central-moment equations are

\[ C_{SI}' = -\beta SI + (\beta(S - I) - \nu)C_{SI} + \beta IVS - \beta SVI - \beta CSI \]
\[ V_I' = \beta SI + \beta CSI + \nu(I - 2VI) + 2\beta(SVI + IC_{SI}) \]
\[ V_S' = \beta SI + \beta CSI - 2\beta(IVS + SC_{SI}) \]

(64)

with the covariance \( C_{SI} \) and variances \( V_I \) and \( V_S \) satisfying the initial conditions 0, \( I_0 \) and \( S_0 \) respectively. The covariance plays the role of the correction to the rate equations for \( S, I \) and \( R \).

**S4.3 Spatial bugs**

We want to write the action in terms of its fourier modes. For a field \( \psi \) write

\[ \psi(x) = \sum_n e^{2\pi i x.n/L} \psi_n \]
\[ \psi_n = \frac{1}{L^d} \int dx e^{-2\pi i x.n/L} \psi(x) \]

(65)

where \( d \) is the number of spatial dimensions.

We have

\[ \int dx \left( \tilde{\phi}(x) \partial_t \phi(x) - \kappa \tilde{\phi} \nabla^2 \phi - \gamma \tilde{\phi} \phi \right) \]
\[ = \int dx \sum_{n,n'} \tilde{\phi}_n \left[ \partial_t \phi_{n'} + \kappa \frac{(2\pi)^2 n^2}{L^2} \phi_{n'} - \gamma \phi_{n'} \right] e^{2\pi i x.(n+n')/L} \]
\[ = L^d \sum_n \tilde{\phi}_n \left( \partial_t + \kappa \frac{(2\pi)^2 n^2}{L^2} - \gamma \right) \phi_{-n} \]

(66)
where we have used
\[ \int dx e^{2\pi i x_n/L} = L^d \delta_{n,0} \] (67)

Also,
\[ \int dx dy \phi(x)V(x-y)\phi(y) = \int dy dx \sum_{n_1,n_2,n_3} \phi_{n_1} V_{n_2} \phi_{n_3} e^{2\pi i/L(x_{n_1}+n_2 (x-y)+n_3 y)} \]

\[ = \sum_{n_1,n_2,n_3} \phi_{n_1} V_{n_2} \phi_{n_3} L^d \delta_{n_1,-n_2} L^d \delta_{n_2,-n_3} = L^{2d} \sum_n \phi_n V_{-n} \phi_{-n} \] (68)

The quadratic part of the bugs action is (in condensed notation)
\[
Q = \tilde{\phi} \left[ \partial_t - \kappa \nabla^2 \right] \phi + \tilde{\phi}_m \phi V \phi + \tilde{\phi} \phi V \phi_m + \tilde{\phi}_m \phi V \\
- \lambda \tilde{\phi} \phi \phi_m - 2\lambda \tilde{\phi}_m \phi \phi + 2\tilde{\phi} \phi \phi_m \phi + \tilde{\phi} \phi_m \phi \phi + 2\tilde{\phi}_m \phi \phi_m \\
= \sum_n L^d \tilde{\phi}_n \left( \partial_t + \kappa \frac{(2\pi)^2 n^2}{L^2} - \gamma \right) \phi_{-n} + \\
+ \sum_n \left[ L^d \tilde{\phi}_m \phi_{n} V_{-n} \phi_{-n} + L^d \tilde{\phi}_n \phi_{-n} V_{-n} \phi_{-n} + L^d \tilde{\phi}_m \phi_{n} V_{-n} \phi_{-n} \right] \\
+ \sum_n \left[ -L^d \lambda \tilde{\phi}_m \phi_{n} \phi_{-n} - 2L^d \tilde{\phi}_m \phi_{n} \phi_{-n} + 2L^d \tilde{\phi}_m \phi_{n} \phi_{-n} \right] \\
+ \sum_n \left[ L^d \tilde{\phi}_m \phi_{n} V_{-n} \phi_{-n} + L^d \tilde{\phi}_{n} \phi_{-n} V_{-n} \phi_{-n} + 2L^d \tilde{\phi}_m \phi_{n} \phi_{-n} \phi_{-n} \right] \] (69)

Where we have introduced
\[ \tilde{V} = \int dx V(x) = L^d V_0 \] (70)

Assume \( X_{-n} = X_n \), equivalent to \( X \in \mathbb{R} \). Summarizing, this gives
\[
Q = L^d \sum_n \left[ \tilde{\phi}_n \partial_t \phi_n - \tilde{\phi}_n \omega \phi_n - \phi_n f_n \phi - \tilde{\phi}_n g_n \right] \\
\omega_n = -\kappa \frac{(2\pi)^2 n^2}{L^2} + \gamma - \tilde{V} \phi - L^d \phi V_n + 2\lambda \tilde{\phi} - 2\tilde{V} \tilde{\phi} - 2L^d \tilde{\phi} V_n \\
f_n = -L^d \tilde{\phi}_n V_n - L^d \tilde{\phi}^2 V_n \]
\[
g_n = \lambda \phi - L^d \phi^2 V_n \] (71)

where we have dropped the \( m \)-subscript. The multimode result is unchanged by the \( L^d \) prefactor, and each mode is in fact uncoupled from the rest, giving the effective action 1-loop term in the form
\[
\Gamma^{(1)} = -2 \sum_n f_n X_n \\
\tilde{X}_n = g_n + 2\omega_n X_n + 4f_n X_n^2 \] (72)
Similar arguments apply to the one loop case, which means we need only consider

\[ \dot{X}_n = g_n + 2\omega_n X_n \]

\[ = \lambda\phi - L^d\phi^2 V_n + 2 \left( -\kappa \frac{(2\pi)^2 n^2}{L^2} + \gamma - \bar{V}\phi - L^d\phi V_n \right) X_n \]

\( (73) \)

**S4.3.1 Converting back to real space**

Starting with

\[ \dot{X}_n = \lambda\phi - L^d\phi^2 V_n + 2 \left( -\kappa \frac{(2\pi)^2 n^2}{L^2} + \gamma - \bar{V}\phi - L^d\phi V_n \right) X_n \]

one can write the correction in terms of real-space again. First note that

\[ (V^* X)_n = \frac{1}{L^d} \int dx dy. V(x - y)X(y)e^{-2\pi i x.n/L} \]

\[ = \sum_{n_1,n_2} \frac{1}{L^d} \int dx dy. V_{n_1}X_{n_2}e^{-2\pi i x.n/L} e^{2\pi i y.n_2/L} e^{2\pi i (x-y) n_1/L} = L^d V_n X_n \]

We therefore have

\[ \partial_t X(x) = L^d\lambda\phi\delta(x) - L^d\phi^2 V(x) + 2(\kappa\nabla^2 + \gamma - \bar{V}\phi)X(x) - 2\phi.(V^* X)(x) \]

The correction to the tree-level equations of motion for \( \phi \) is then

\[ \frac{2}{L^d} \int dx. V(x)X(x) \]

(75)

This compares with a moment-closure correction of the form

\[ \int dx. V(x)C(x) \]

(76)

where for 3rd-cumulant-zero closure

\[ \partial_t C(x) = 2\lambda\phi\delta(x) - 2\phi^2 V(x) + 2(\kappa\nabla^2 + \gamma - \bar{V}\phi - \bar{V} X(x))C(x) - 2\phi.(V^* C)(x) \]

These are the same, with the identification \( C = 2X/L^d \).

**S4.4 Spatial SIR**

The quadratic part of the action is given by

\[ Q = \int d\tau dx. \left[ \bar{a}^T \partial_t a - \int dy. \left( \bar{a}^T \omega_{xy} a + a^T f_{xy} a_y + a^T g_{xy} a_y \right) \right] \]

(77)

where
\[
\begin{align*}
 f_{xy} & = \begin{pmatrix}
 0 & \beta V_{xy}(\bar{a} \bar{b} + \bar{a} - \bar{b}^2 - \bar{b})/2 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix} \quad (78) \\
 g_{xy} & = \begin{pmatrix}
 0 & \beta V_{xy}(ab)/2 & 0 \\
 \beta V_{xy}(ab)/2 & 0 & -\beta V_{xy}(ab)
\end{pmatrix} \quad (79) \\
 \omega_{xy} & = \begin{pmatrix}
 (\kappa \nabla^2 + \beta \bar{V}(\bar{b} + 1))\delta_{xy} & \beta V_{xy}(\bar{b} + 1) \\
 \beta V_{xy}(\bar{b} - \bar{b}) - \beta \bar{V}(1 + \bar{b}) \delta_{xy} & \beta V_{xy}(1 + \bar{b}) \delta_{xy}
\end{pmatrix}
\end{align*}
\]

In fourier space

\[
Q = \int d\tau L^d \sum_n \left[ \bar{a}_n^T \partial_r a_{-n} - L^d \left( \bar{a}_n^T \bar{V}_{-n} a_{-n} + a_n^T a_{-n} \bar{a}_n^T f_{-n} g_{-n} \bar{a}_{-n} \right) \right]
\] (81)

As above, we will convert all the \(-n\) in this equation to simply \(n\).

\[
\begin{align*}
 f_n & = \begin{pmatrix}
 0 & \beta V_n(\bar{a} \bar{b} + \bar{a} - \bar{b}^2 - \bar{b})/2 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix} \quad (82) \\
 g_n & = \begin{pmatrix}
 0 & \beta V_n(ab)/2 & 0 \\
 \beta V_n(ab)/2 & 0 & -\beta V_n(ab)
\end{pmatrix} \quad (83) \\
 \omega_n & = \begin{pmatrix}
 \Omega_1 & \Omega_2 & 0 \\
 \Omega_3 & \Omega_4 & 0 \\
 0 & \Omega_5 & \Omega_6
\end{pmatrix} \\
 \Omega_1 & = [-\kappa(2\pi)^2 n^2/L^2 + \beta \bar{V}(\bar{b} + 1)]/L^d \\
 \Omega_2 & = \beta V_n a(\bar{b} + 1) \\
 \Omega_3 & = \beta V_n b(\bar{a} - \bar{b}) - \beta \bar{V}(1 + \bar{b})/L^d \\
 \Omega_4 & = [-\kappa(2\pi)^2 n^2/L^2 + \nu + \beta \bar{V}(\bar{a} - \bar{b})a]/L^d - \beta V_n a(1 + \bar{b}) \\
 \Omega_5 & = -\nu/L^d \\
 \Omega_6 & = -\kappa(2\pi)^2 n^2/L^2 \\
\end{align*}
\] (84)

Again, everything separates out by mode. The one loop correction is of the form \(2L^d \sum_n \text{tr} f_n X_n\) with

\[
\dot{X}_n = g_n + \omega_n X_n + X \omega_n^T
\] (85)

Dropping the \(n\)s and writing

\[
X = \begin{pmatrix}
 A & B & D \\
 B & C & E \\
 D & E & F
\end{pmatrix}
\] (86)
we have

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dA}{dt} &= 2\Omega_1 A + 2\Omega_2 B \\
\frac{dB}{dt} &= L^d \beta V_n(ab)/2 + \Omega_3 A + (\Omega_1 + \Omega_4)B + \Omega_2 C \\
\frac{dC}{dt} &= -L^d \beta V_n(ab) + 2\Omega_3 B + 2\Omega_4 C \\
\frac{dD}{dt} &= \Omega_5 B + (\Omega_1 + \Omega_6)D + \Omega_2 E \\
\frac{dE}{dt} &= \Omega_5 C + \Omega_3 D + (\Omega_4 + \Omega_6)E \\
\frac{dF}{dt} &= 2\Omega_5 E + 2\Omega_6 F
\end{align*}
\]

(87)

where for these calculations

\[
\begin{align*}
\Omega_1 &= \left[-\kappa(2\pi)^2 n^2/L^2 + \beta \bar{V} b \right] \\
\Omega_2 &= \beta V_n aL^d \\
\Omega_3 &= -\beta \bar{V} b \\
\Omega_4 &= \left[-\kappa(2\pi)^2 n^2/L^2 + \nu \right] - \beta V_n aL^d \\
\Omega_5 &= -\nu \\
\Omega_6 &= -\kappa(2\pi)^2 n^2/L^2
\end{align*}
\]

(88)

The correction antisymmetrically applied to the $\dot{S}$ and $\dot{I}$ equation is then $2L^d \sum_n \beta V_n B_n$.

**S4.4.1 Converting back to real space**

The real-space equations are

\[
\begin{align*}
\partial_t A &= 2 \left[\kappa \nabla^2 - \beta \bar{V} b \right] A(x) - 2\beta a V * B(x) \\
\partial_t B &= -V(x)L^d \beta ab/2 + \beta \bar{V} b A(x) + \left[2\kappa \nabla^2 - \beta \bar{V} b - \nu \right] B(x) + \beta a V * B(x) - \beta a V * C(x) \\
\partial_t C &= V(x)L^d \beta ab + 2\beta \bar{V} b B(x) + 2 \left[\kappa \nabla^2 - \nu \right] C(x) + 2\beta a V * C(x) \\
\partial_t D &= \nu B(x) + \left[2\kappa \nabla^2 - \beta \bar{V} b \right] D(x) - \beta a V * E(x) \\
\partial_t E &= \nu C(x) + \beta \bar{V} b D(x) + \left[2\kappa \nabla^2 - \nu \right] E(x) + \beta a V * E(x) \\
\partial_t F &= 2\nu E(x) + 2\kappa \nabla^2 F(x)
\end{align*}
\]

(89)

and the correction is of the form

\[
2 \int dx V(x) B(x)
\]

(90)
S5 Variational perturbation calculation

S5.1 Generality

Consider a transition probability

$$Z^{\alpha_0}[0] = p(\alpha_t|\alpha_0) = \langle \alpha | e^{-H \tau} | \alpha_0 \rangle$$

(91)

$$= \int_{a_0 = \alpha_0}^{\bar{\alpha} = \alpha - 1} D[a] e^{-S[\bar{a},a]}$$

(92)

We expand the integration variables \( a \) and \( \bar{a} \) around paths \( a_0 \) and \( \bar{a}_0 \), with the correct initial and final conditions, respectively, to give

$$Z^{\alpha_0}[0] = e^{-S[\bar{a}_0,a_0]} \int_{\delta a_0 = 0}^{\delta \bar{a}_0 = 0} D[\delta \bar{a}, \delta a] e^{-S_Q[\delta \bar{a}, \delta a] - \epsilon S_{\text{int}}[\delta \bar{a}, \delta a]}$$

(93)

where \( S_Q[\delta \bar{a}, \delta a] \) is the quadratic part of the action and \( S_{\text{int}}[\delta \bar{a}, \delta a] \) is everything else. The idea is to insert a counting parameter \( \epsilon \) in front of \( S_{\text{int}} \) and expand perturbatively in this:

$$Z^{\alpha_0}[0] = e^{-S[\bar{a}_0,a_0]} \int_{\delta a_0 = 0}^{\delta \bar{a}_0 = 0} D[\delta \bar{a}, \delta a] e^{-S_Q[\delta \bar{a}, \delta a] - \epsilon S_{\text{int}}[\delta \bar{a}, \delta a]}$$

(94)

$$= e^{-S[\bar{a}_0,a_0]} (1 - \epsilon S_{\text{int}} + \frac{\epsilon^2}{2} S_{\text{int}}^2 + \ldots) Q$$

(95)

One truncates this at a given order, e.g. 2:

$$Z^{\alpha_0}_2[0] = e^{-S[\bar{a}_0,a_0]} (1 - \epsilon S_{\text{int}} + \frac{\epsilon^2}{2} S_{\text{int}}^2) Q$$

(96)

and then asserts a principal of minimal sensitivity (PMS). Namely, that

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta a_0} Z^{\alpha_0}_2[0] = \frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{a}_0} Z^{\alpha_0}_2[0] = 0$$

(98)

S5.2 The mean fields

This transition probability is a special case of a generating functional with \( J = K = 0 \). We can carry out the above procedure for

$$Z^{\alpha_0}[J,K] = \int_{a_0 = \alpha_0}^{\bar{\alpha} = \alpha - 1} D[\bar{a}] e^{-S[\bar{a},a] + \int \tau (J^T a + K^T \bar{a})}$$

(99)

more generally. Then we can calculate the mean fields as

$$\langle a_\tau \rangle = \frac{\delta}{\delta J_\tau} Z^{\alpha_0}[0]$$

(100)

$$\langle \bar{a}_\tau \rangle = \frac{\delta}{\delta K_\tau} Z^{\alpha_0}[0]$$

(101)

for a truncation at order \( n \).
S5.3 Relating to number states

As defined above, we are referring to the transition probability between Poisson distributions:

\[ p(\alpha t|\alpha_0) = \sum_{n,m} \frac{\alpha^n \alpha_0^m}{n!m!} p(nt|m0) \] (102)

For large \( n \) these things are not so different, but one can also use the completeness relation

\[ 1 = \int \frac{d^2\alpha}{\pi} |\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha| \] (103)

to write

\[ |n\rangle = \int \frac{d^2\alpha}{\pi} e^{-|\alpha|^2/2} \alpha^n |\alpha\rangle = \int \frac{d^2\alpha}{\pi} e^{-|\alpha|^2/2} \frac{\alpha^n}{n!} |\alpha\rangle \] (104)

CHECK!

S5.4 The non-spatial SIR model

The original action is

\[ S = \int d\tau \left( \bar{a}^T \partial_\tau a + \nu(\bar{e} - \bar{b})b - \beta(\bar{b} - \bar{a})(1 + \bar{b}ab) \right) \] (105)

which means that

\[ \frac{d}{dt} S_{\text{int}} = \beta(\bar{b} - \bar{a})\bar{b}(ab_0 + a_0b) + \beta(\bar{a} - \bar{b})(1 + \bar{b}0)ab + \beta(\bar{a}_0 - \bar{b}_0)\bar{b}ab + \beta(\bar{a} - \bar{b})\bar{b}ab \] (107)

The extra terms in \( \langle \cdots \rangle_Q \) are, at order \( \epsilon \) (using the fact that the expectation of an odd number of fields is zero)
In our case

\[
\int_0^t d1.\langle \beta(\vec{a}_1 - \vec{b}_1)\vec{a}_1\vec{b}_1\rangle_Q \tag{108}
\]

and at order \(\epsilon^2\)

\[
\beta \int_0^t d1d2.\langle \\
  [b_0.(\vec{a}\vec{b} - \vec{b}\vec{a}) + a_0(\vec{a}\vec{b}\vec{b} - \vec{b}\vec{a}\vec{b}) + \bar{a}_0(\vec{a}\vec{b}\vec{b} - \vec{b}\vec{a}\vec{b}) + \bar{b}_0.(\vec{a}\vec{a}\vec{b} - 2\vec{b}\vec{a}\vec{b}) + 1.((\vec{a} - \vec{b})(\vec{a} + \vec{b})))]_1 \\
\times [b_0.(\vec{a}\vec{b} - \vec{b}\vec{a}) + a_0(\vec{a}\vec{b}\vec{b} - \vec{b}\vec{a}\vec{b}) + \bar{a}_0(\vec{a}\vec{b}\vec{b} - \vec{b}\vec{a}\vec{b}) + \bar{b}_0.(\vec{a}\vec{a}\vec{b} - 2\vec{b}\vec{a}\vec{b}) + 1.((\vec{a} - \vec{b})(\vec{a} + \vec{b}))]_2 \\
\rangle_Q 
\tag{109}
\]

The integrand is

\[
\begin{align*}
 & b_0(1)b_0(2).\langle(\vec{a}\vec{b})_1(\vec{a}\vec{b})_2 + (\vec{b}\vec{a})_1(\vec{b}\vec{a})_2 - 2(\vec{a}\vec{b})_1(\vec{b}\vec{a})_2 \\
& + b_0(1)a_0(2).\langle(\vec{a}\vec{b})_1(\vec{a}\vec{b})_2 - (\vec{a}\vec{b})_1(\vec{b}\vec{a})_2 - (\vec{b}\vec{a})_1(\vec{b}\vec{a})_2 + (\vec{b}\vec{a})_1(\vec{b}\vec{a})_2 \\
& + b_0(1)b_0(2).\langle(\vec{a}\vec{b})_1(\vec{b}\vec{a})_2 - (\vec{a}\vec{b})_1(2\vec{b}\vec{a})_2 - (\vec{b}\vec{a})_1(\vec{b}\vec{a})_2 + (\vec{b}\vec{a})_1(2\vec{b}\vec{a})_2 \\
& - a_0(1)a_0(2).\langle(\vec{b}\vec{a})_1(\vec{b}\vec{a})_2 - 2(\vec{a}\vec{a}\vec{a} + (2\vec{b}\vec{a} + (\vec{b}\vec{a})_1(\vec{b}\vec{a})_2 \\
& + a_0(1)b_0(2).\langle(\vec{b}\vec{a})_1(\vec{b}\vec{a})_2 - (\vec{a}\vec{b})_1(\vec{b}\vec{a})_2 - (\vec{b}\vec{a})_1(\vec{b}\vec{a})_2 + (\vec{b}\vec{a})_1(\vec{b}\vec{a})_2 \\
& + \bar{a}_0(1)b_0(2).\langle(\vec{b}\vec{a})_1(\vec{a}\vec{a})_2 - (\vec{a}\vec{b})_1(\vec{b}\vec{a})_2 \\
& + b_0(1)b_0(2).\langle(\vec{a}\vec{a}\vec{a} + 2\vec{b}\vec{a})_1(2\vec{b}\vec{a})_2 + (\vec{b}\vec{a})_1(2\vec{b}\vec{a})_2 \\
& + a_0(1).\langle(\vec{a}\vec{b} - \vec{b}\vec{a})(\vec{a} - \vec{b})(1 + \vec{b})\vec{a} (2) \\
& + b_0(1).\langle(\vec{a}\vec{a} - \vec{b}\vec{a})_1((\vec{a} - \vec{b})(1 + \vec{b})\vec{a} (2) \\
& + \bar{a}_0(1).\langle(\vec{b}\vec{a})_1((\vec{a} - \vec{b})(1 + \vec{b})\vec{a} (2) \\
& + \bar{b}_0(1).\langle(\vec{a}\vec{a} - \vec{b}\vec{a})_1((\vec{a} - \vec{b})(1 + \vec{b})\vec{a} (2) \\
& + 1.((\vec{a} - \vec{b})(1 + \vec{b})\vec{a})_1((\vec{a} - \vec{b})(1 + \vec{b})\vec{a} (2) \\
\end{align*}
\tag{110}
\]

One thus has

\[
Z_2 = e^{-S[a_0, a_0]} \left[ 1 - k + \frac{\epsilon^2}{2} \int_0^t d1L(1T) a_0(1) + \frac{\epsilon^2}{2} \int_0^t d1d2.a_0(2)q(2, 1)a_0(1) \right] \tag{111}
\]

The PMS thus becomes (using \(a_0\) for both the barred and unbarred fields)

\[
\frac{\delta}{\delta a_0(1)} S[a_0, a_0]Z_2 = \left( \epsilon^2 L(1) + \epsilon^2 \int_0^t d2.q(1, 2)a_0(2) \right) e^{-S[a_0, a_0]} \tag{112}
\]

In our case
S5.5 Moments

Calculation of the functions $L(t)$ and $q(t, t')$ requires the computation of the moments of $\langle . . . \rangle_Q$ up to order 8. These can be calculated from the generating functional $I$, as above:

$$I = \exp \left( \int d\tau Y^T J + Y^T f Y + 2 \text{tr}(Xf) \right) \quad (113)$$

$$\dot{Y} = K + 2XJ + (\omega + 4Xf)Y \quad (114)$$

$$\dot{X} = g + \omega X + X\omega^T + 4XfX \quad (115)$$

Henceforth, we will confine ourselves to computation of mean fields as these are simpler. The equations admit a solution with $\bar{a} \equiv 0$. This can be seen as follows. The $\delta S/\delta a$ terms are all at least linear in barred fields, and so the only forcing is from the $L$ and $q$ terms. If the barred fields are zero, then $f \equiv 0$, so that

$$I = \exp \left( \int d\tau Y^T J \right) \quad (116)$$

$$\dot{Y} = K + 2XJ + \omega Y \quad (117)$$

$$\dot{X} = g + \omega X + X\omega^T \quad (118)$$

The first of these implies that

$$\frac{\delta \dot{Y}_i}{\delta K_s} = \delta(t - s) + \omega \frac{\delta Y_i}{\delta K_s} \quad (119)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\delta Y_x}{\delta K_s} = U(x, s)\theta(x - s)$$

$$U(x, s) = T \exp \int_s^x d\tau \omega_\tau \quad (120)$$

and hence that higher derivatives of $Y$ with respect to $K$ are zero. This further implies that

$$\left. \frac{\delta^{i+j} I}{\delta J^i \delta K^j} \right|_0 = 0 \quad (i < j) \quad (122)$$

and this leaves no forcing on the barred dynamics, allowing the solution $\bar{a}_0 \equiv 0$. The terms of the integrand Eqn. 110 which are relevant are:

$$+b_0(1)\bar{a}_0(2). \langle \bar{a}ab \rangle_1 (bab)_{12} - (\bar{b}^2a)_{11}(bab)_{2}$$

$$+b_0(1)\bar{a}_0(2). \langle \bar{a}ab \rangle_1 (bab)_{1} - (\bar{a}a)_{11}(bab)_{12} - (\bar{b}^2a)_{11}(2bab)_{2}$$

$$+a_0(1)\bar{a}_0(2). \langle \bar{a}ab \rangle_1 (bab)_{2} - (\bar{b}^2b)_{11}(bab)_{2}$$

$$+a_0(1)\bar{a}_0(2). \langle \bar{a}ab \rangle_1 (bab)_{2} - (\bar{a}a)_{11}(bab)_{12} + (\bar{b}^2a)_{11}(2bab)_{2}$$

$$+a_0(1). \langle \bar{a}ab \rangle_1 (\bar{a} - \bar{b})(1 + \bar{b})ab)_{12}$$

$$+\bar{b}_0(1). \langle \bar{a}ab - 2bab \rangle_1(\bar{a} - \bar{b})(1 + \bar{b})ab)_{12}$$

$$+a_0(1). \langle \bar{a}ab - \bar{b}^2b \rangle_1(\bar{a} - \bar{b})ab)_{12}$$
\[ b_0(1). \langle (\bar{a} \bar{b} - \bar{a}^2 \bar{b})_1 ((\bar{a} - \bar{b})ab) 2 \rangle + 1. \langle ((\bar{a} - \bar{b})(1 + \bar{b})ab)_1 ((\bar{a} - \bar{b})(1 + \bar{b})ab) 2 \rangle \]

(123)

Since, Wick’s theorem applies in this case, all expectations become reduce to products of the form

\[ \langle \bar{a}_1 a_2 \rangle = (*) \]

(124)

To reliably compute these without worrying about regularization etc, we retreat to the operator formalism. There,

\[ (*a) = \langle 1| U(t, 1)(a^\dagger - 1)U(1, 2)aU(2, 0)|0 \rangle \]

(125)

if \( 1 > 2 \), and

\[ (*b) = \langle 1| U(t, 2)aU(2, 1)(a^\dagger - 1)U(1, 0)|0 \rangle \]

(126)

when \( 2 > 1 \). Here, \( U(t, s) = T \exp - \int_s^t d\tau H_Q(\tau) \), where

\[ H_Q(\tau) = (a^\dagger - 1)^T g(a^\dagger - 1) + (a^\dagger - 1)^T \omega a \]

(127)

Defining the Heisenberg operators \( q_t = U(t, 0)^{-1} q U(t, 0) \), we have

\[ (*a) = \langle 1| (a^\dagger - 1)_1 a_2 |0 \rangle \]

(128)

\[ (*b) = \langle 1| a_2 (a^\dagger - 1)_1 |0 \rangle \]

(129)

and we know that

\[ \frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} a_t \\ (a^\dagger - 1)_t \end{pmatrix} = U^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} Ha - aH \\ H(a^\dagger - 1) - (a^\dagger - 1)H \end{pmatrix} U \]

(130)

\[ = \begin{pmatrix} -\omega_t \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -g_t + g_T^T & \omega_T^T \\ \omega_T^T & (a^\dagger - 1)_t \end{pmatrix} \]

(131)

So that

\[ \begin{pmatrix} a_t \\ (a^\dagger - 1)_t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A_t & B_t \\ 0 & C_t \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ (a^\dagger - 1) \end{pmatrix} \]

(132)

giving

\[ (*a) = 0 \]

(133)

\[ (*b) = A_1 C_T^T \]

(134)

Since

\[ \frac{d}{dt} A^{-T} = \omega A^{-T} \]

(135)

we have \( C = A^{-T} \) and therefore
\[(**b) = A_1 A_2^{-1} = T \exp \int_1^2 ds_2 \omega_2 \] (136)

which agrees with Eqns. 120 and 121 with the understanding that \( \theta(0) = 0 \). One could obtain this result directly, if more delicately, by considering the discretization which underlies the differential equations.