Hearing dysfunction in patients with Neuro-Sjögren: a cross-sectional study
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Background: Sjögren’s syndrome is an immunologically mediated disease with salivary and lacrimal gland destruction characterised by typical sicca symptoms of dry mouth and eyes. Awareness of extraglandular neurological manifestations such as polyneuropathy and affection of cranial nerves is rising. Hearing loss as consequence of involvement of the vestibulocochlear nerve presents a severe disability. The exact prevalence and nature of hearing dysfunction in patients with Neuro-Sjögren has been insufficiently evaluated to date.

Methods: Thirty patients with Sjögren’s syndrome (ACR-EULAR classification criteria) and polyneuropathy were included in the study in the time period between 11/2016 and 03/2018. The median age was 59 years and 57% were females. Auditory function was investigated by pure tone audiometry, Freiburg speech comprehension audiometry, transient evoked otoacoustic emissions and brainstem evoked response audiometry.

Results: Pure tone audiometry revealed hearing loss in 10/30 patients (33%) with severity ranging from mild in most patients (60%) to severe in 10%. In addition, pathological audiometric test findings showed retrocochlear auditory dysfunction in 14 further patients. In total, 24/30 patients (80%) showed pathological test results on audiometric testing suggesting hearing dysfunction.

Conclusions: In conclusion, our results show that hearing dysfunction as a possible consequence of cranial neuropathy in patients with Neuro-Sjögren has been underestimated in previous studies.
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Introduction

Sjögren’s syndrome is an autoimmune inflammatory disease affecting primarily lacrimal and salivary glands, which causes the pathognomonic sicca syndrome with dry eyes and dry mouth (1). However, extraglandular manifestations and especially neurologic symptoms are increasingly reported (2-5). Among those, involvement of the peripheral nervous system is the most prevalent [up to 62% of symptomatic patients (6)], while cranial nerve affection has been described for up to 41% in neurologic cohorts. Impairment of the VIIIth cranial nerve causing hearing loss is mostly reported in single cases only (7-9) and was only more frequent in one cohort of patients with Sjögren’s syndrome.
and neuropathy (18%) (10) and one other cohort of rheumatologic patients with Sjögren’s syndrome (46%) (11).

In order to facilitate recognition, awareness and therapeutic approaches, we systematically assessed the prevalence and characterization of auditory deficiencies in patients with Sjögren’s syndrome and polyneuropathy.

We present the following article in accordance with the STROBE reporting checklist (available at http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/atm-20-1856).

Methods

Patient selection

Auditory investigations were performed in patients with Sjögren’s syndrome and polyneuropathy treated as inpatients at the Department of Neurology of the Hannover Medical School between 11/2016 and 03/2018 (cross sectional study design). Sjögren’s syndrome diagnosis was reconfirmed for every patient via validation of the current ACR/EULAR classification criteria (12).

Diagnostic procedures

Auditory function was evaluated by 5 subtests which were performed for each ear separately.

Pure tone audiometry was performed by presentation of a pure (one frequency) tone stimulus to the patient in rising volume. Patients were instructed to indicate once the stimulus was perceived, to determine the lowest audible volume threshold. Initial testing was performed for 1,000 kHz (a frequency that is easily perceived); then other frequencies of 0.25, 0.5, 0.75, 1.5, 2.0, 3.0, 4.0, 6.0 and 8.0 kHz were added in random order. This procedure was applied for air and bone conduction via specific headphones. Average air to bone conduction gap of ≥10 dB was described as sound conduction impairment. Hearing loss was then quantified by calculation of the average air conduction threshold in dB at 0.5, 1, 2 and 4 kHz [pure tone average 4 (PTA4)] (13). The degree of hearing loss was classified according to the recommendations of the European Working Group on Genetics of Hearing Impairment as mild (>20–40 dB), moderate (>40–70 dB), severe (>70–95 dB) or profound (>95 dB) (14). A difference in PTA4 of ≥15 dB between both ears was considered an asymmetrical auditory function. The average auditory function of low frequencies was then deviated based on the bone conduction threshold at 0.5 kHz. If neighbouring threshold values at 0.25 and/or 1 kHz were lower in comparison to 0.5 kHz, they were additionally included into calculation and the average value was taken as representative for the auditory function of low frequencies.

Freiburg audiometry aimed at testing speech comprehension as hearing threshold tends to be optimized once higher associative cognitive functions are involved. Testing was obtained with monosyllable words and two-syllable numbers as stimuli, all of which were presented at 60, 80 and 100 dB. Correct comprehension was then protocolled in percent (0–100%). A difference in monosyllable word comprehension 60 dB of ≥15% of the value for the worse ear was considered asymmetrical speech comprehension. Additionally, the volume level for 50% comprehension of two-syllable numbers was documented as A1 value (in dB). Loss of discrimination was evident if comprehension diminished with rising volume.

Transient evoked otoacoustic emissions (TEOAE) represent the functionality of the outer hair cells. Application of an acoustic pulse leads to reflection of sounds in the healthy ear (15). In our cohort, cochlear functionality was assumed, if TEOAE were reproducible in ≥50%.

Auditory brainstem response (ABR) potentials can be measured by vertex- and mastoid-placed electrodes following auditory stimuli. Retrocochlear hearing impairment manifests as delayed or missing response and may be caused by acoustic nerve tumour or other acoustic nerve damage. Morphology of the ABR potentials was considered pathological if the interpeak latency between Jewett potentials I and V measured >0.3 or if Jewett potential I was missing (16). Additionally, the ABR derived hearing threshold was analysed for relevant discrepancy to the audiometry derived hearing threshold of >30 dB (16). ABRs were successfully tested in 21 patients, while in one additional patient, measurements were non evaluable due to technical issues. In the other 8 patients, testing was not possible due to severe gait disability with wheelchair dependency and consecutively inaccessible test room infrastructure.

Tympanometry was additionally performed to exclude middle ear abnormalities, i.e., luxation of the auditory ossicles, serous otitis media or a defect of the ear drum.

Retrocochlear auditory dysfunction

We analysed patients’ performance for evidence of retrocochlear auditory dysfunction as parameter for cranial
Table 1 ACR/EULAR classification criteria for Sjögren’s syndrome. Diagnosis of Sjögren’s syndrome is recommended with ≥4 points (pts) in total

| ACR/EULAR classification criteria                                      | N [%]  |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| Xerophthalmia (1 pt)                                                   | 26/30 [87] |
| Xerostomia (1 pt)                                                      | 16/30 [53] |
| SSA (Ro) antibody positive (3 pts)                                     | 13/30 [43] |
| Sialadenitis on minor salivary gland biopsy (3 pts)                    | 24/30 [80] |

neuropathy, which was diagnosed if one of the following criteria was fulfilled:

(I) Loss of discrimination in the Freiburg speech comprehension test: did the level of comprehension diminish with rising volume?

(II) Discrepancy between comprehension of two-syllable numbers (A1 value) and average auditory function of lower frequencies: was the A1 ≥20 dB higher than the calculated average auditory function of lower frequencies?

(III) Pathological ABR morphology (as explained above).

(IV) Discrepancy between the ABR derived and the audiometry derived hearing threshold of >30 dB.

Ethical approval and informed consent

The study was approved by the institutional Ethics Committee of the Hannover Medical School (file no. 7460) and was conducted according to the Helsinki Declaration (as revised in 2013). Patients gave their informed consent before participation.

Statistical analysis

Data were descriptively analysed. Two-sided t-tests for heteroskedastic data were used for between group differences, if statistical requirements were met. P values of <0.05 were considered significant. Missing data were descriptively handled.

Results

Patient characteristics

In total, 30 patients (57% females, median age 59 years) were included in the study. All patients showed signs of peripheral polyneuropathy and fulfilled the current ACR/EULAR classification criteria for Sjögren’s syndrome (17), which are itemized in Table 1.

Audiometric testing

All 30 patients received audiometric testing. Pure tone audiometry showed a median PTA4 of 16.3 dB [interquartile range (IQR), 8.75–28.13] in the better ear, while 10/30 patients (33%) presented with hearing loss [threshold >20 dB as defined by the European Working Group on Genetics of Hearing Impairment (14)] in the better ear. Among these patients, the degree of hearing loss was mild in 6/10 cases (60%), moderate in 3/10 cases (30%) and severe in 1/10 cases (10%). Correlation of age and audiometry performance is shown in Figure 1. Table 2 shows the comparison of patients with and without hearing loss as detected by pure tone audiometry.

Patients with hearing loss >20 dB on pure tone audiometry (N=10) presented with a mean PTA4 in the better ear of 40 dB and showed a discrimination loss in 2/10 cases (20%) and pathological ABR morphology in 4/5 cases (80%), while discrimination loss and pathological ABR morphology overlapped in one patient. A relevant discrepancy of >30 dB between the ABR derived and the audiometry derived hearing threshold was found in 1 of those 10 patients (10%). Evidence of retrocochlear auditory dysfunction was consecutively found in 5/10 patients (50%) with hearing loss on pure tone audiometry. Auditory function was asymmetrical in ≥1 audiometric test in 7/10 patients (70%).

Figure 1 Correlation of age and pure tone average 4 (PTA4) in the better ear with classification of the degree of hearing loss in accordance with the European Working Group on Genetics of Hearing Impairment. Rectangles indicate patients with evidence of retrocochlear auditory dysfunction.
Among the other 20 patients without hearing loss on pure tone audiometry, 14 patients (70%) presented with pathological audiometric test result suggesting retrocochlear auditory dysfunction. In this subgroup, the mean PTA4 of the better ear was 12 dB, discrimination loss was not found, ABR potentials were pathological in 11/14 cases (79%) and a relevant threshold discrepancy was found in 10/14 patients (71%). Audiometric testing revealed asymmetrical auditory function in 7/14 patients (50%). Detailed values for patients with hearing loss and for those without hearing loss on pure tone audiometry but with evidence of retrocochlear auditory dysfunction are shown in Table 3. In total, 24/30 patients (80%) showed pathological test results on audiometric testing suggesting hearing dysfunction. In 14 of those 24 affected patients (58%), hearing loss was not detected by the established threshold testing (PTA4).

**Subgroup: patients with retrocochlear auditory dysfunction**

Considering the full cohort, evidence of retrocochlear auditory dysfunction was found in a total of 19/30 patients (63%) leading to hearing loss on pure tone audiometry in 5 cases. The pathological findings that were interpreted as evidence of retrocochlear dysfunction are displayed in detail in Figure 2.
Discussion

Analysis showed that definite hearing loss was evident in 33% of patients with Sjögren’s syndrome and polyneuropathy, while pathological test results on audiometric testing were found in further patients resulting in 80% of patients in total indicating hearing dysfunction. The severity of hearing loss as detected on pure tone audiometry ranged from mild in most patients (60%) to severe in 10% of patients in our cohort.

Cranial nerve involvement often occurs in patients with Sjögren’s syndrome. However, previous studies evaluating cranial nerve impairment predominantly described impaired function of the oculomotor (III), trigeminal (V), facial (VII), glossopharyngeal (IX) and vagus nerve (X) (8,9,18). The involvement of the vestibulocochlear nerve in Sjögren’s syndrome—if at all considered—varies in previous studies with rates reported between 2% and 18% (7,9,19). Only one Italian study from 1997 claimed hearing loss in a female cohort of 30 rheumatologic patients of 46% (11). The underestimation of auditory neuropathy in neurologic patients with Sjögren’s syndrome might be due to the fact, that on the one hand the extent of subjective auditory dysfunction is difficult to classify [especially in elderly patients (20)] and objective testing on the other hand is complex and therefore often missing in retrospective analyses.

Furthermore, the discrimination between cochlear and retrocochlear hearing loss is challenging and the terminology is under constant revision (21-23). Even with thorough investigation the evidence for retrocochlear dysfunction is always indirect. Anatomical in vivo differentiation of perisynaptic audiopathy through dysfunction of inner hair cells or neuronal damage of the VIIIth cranial nerve cannot be proven via audiometric testing. Only the combination of subjective and objective audiologic tests allows conclusions regarding the topographic origin of hearing loss.

Interestingly, hearing dysfunction did not result in definite hearing loss as detected by the established threshold test (PTA4) in 14/24 patients (58%) of our cohort. Screening for auditory dysfunction by questionnaire or isolated hearing threshold tests will therefore inevitably lead to underestimation.

The distribution of auditory function was asymmetrical in 80% of patients with hearing loss and 50% of patients with retrocochlear auditory dysfunction without hearing loss on pure tone audiometry. Asymmetrical auditory function in general is not a specific finding for inflammatory or other causes, although single sided noise exposure has been described to be associated and schwannomas or causative intracerebral lesions were most frequent if magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) showed underlying pathologies (24,25). Nevertheless, the high rate of asymmetrical auditory function might be due to the fact, that Sjögren’s syndrome associated auditory dysfunction might occur in a single sided manner.

Interestingly, 15/19 patients with evidence of retrocochlear auditory dysfunction showed pathological ABRs. ABR potentials are therefore essential in identification of retrocochlear hearing impairment.

The median age of 59 years in patients with Sjögren’s syndrome might raise the suspicion of age-related deterioration of auditory function. However, there was no significant age difference between patients with and without hearing loss on pure tone audiometry. In a large prevalence study in Sweden, hearing loss (defined by PTA4 ≥25 dB in the better ear) was reported in only 11.3% of patients aged 50–60 years (26). We therefore conclude that age related deterioration of auditory function was no significant confounder in our study.

Conclusions

In conclusion, our data show that auditory dysfunction often occurs in patients with Neuro-Sjögren and that pathologic findings are asymmetrical in 80% of patients with hearing loss. We thus suggest detailed auditory investigations in patients with Sjögren’s syndrome in order to detect auditory dysfunction.
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