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Abstract

This study was carried out in Elkhwei locality of North kordofan state during 2012/2013 cropping season. The main objectives of the study are to identify production constraints of food and cash crops. Structured Questionnaires applied to collect primary data. The study applied multi-stage random sampling technique. Fifty household farmers were randomly selected. Descriptive statistics, linear regression analysis, (Cob-Douglas function) and linear programming (LP) were used to analyze data. Results of frequency distribution indicated that majority of farmers (78%) Productive age was found to be between 40 -65 years. Results also founded that 60.7% of the farmers use local tools, while 22% and 1.3% use tractor and animal drawn implements respectively. Accordingly 77.9% using traditional methods of seeding. Linear regression results showed that land, labor and capital have significant different at five and one percent from zero level. This implies that the increase in output attributed to such factors of production. Linear programming results revealed that Dura, Sesame and Groundnut were optimized and gave SDG total gross margin of 562.6. The highest SDG gross margin (223) was obtained by sesame, followed with Dura (214.6 SDG) and groundnut with SDG gross margin 125.7.
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Introduction

Sudan is one of the largest countries in Africa, and has a population of 39 million according to the recent census in 2008, of which 79% are rural. Agriculture is the largest production sector, which provides livelihood for 75% of the population and contributes around 90% of export earnings. This sector has two main sub-sectors, irrigated sub-sector in some areas of the central clay plain and rain-fed one in many areas of the western and central parts of the country. Climatic zones are classified into desert in the northern part of the country to semi desert, arid and tropical in the southern part of the country. The desert, which is not suitable for cultivation, occupies 30% of the total area, while the arable land is estimated as 200 million feddans.

North Kordofan state is located between latitudes 11-16 N and longitudes 27-32 E. the state inhabited by different tribes of different origins and accordingly different livelihood sources and strategies exist. About 80 percent of the population in the state depends on agriculture as their main source of food and income. The farming systems in the area are predominantly rain-fed, traditional, and operate with limited resources. They are characterized by the small size of holdings, being dependent on manual family labor, and using few or no external inputs such as fertilizers, chemicals or seeds. Farmers have poor access to information and relevant research results, and yields obtained are very low [1].

Types of crops in the state are closely related to soil type and moisture availability. Sandy soils are devoted mainly to millet (Dukhun) the stable food of the area and cash crops as sesame, ground nut, “Karkade” (roselle) and watermelon. Sorghum (Dura) is grown on clay and alluvial soils. Vegetables as okra, local type of cucumber (Tibish) and other vegetables are produced on small scale particularly on sites that receive runoff. Some households have own “Hashab” gardens for gum Arabic production. A large part of the holding is devoted to sorghum and millet the stable food of the households to ensure reasonable production which means food security [1].

Similar study found that in socio-economic characteristics the gender structure of farm households as referred to socially constructed roles, learned behaviors and expectations associated
with females and males. It includes the ways in which those differences, whether real or perceived have been valued, used and relied upon to classify women and men and to assign roles and expectations to them [2].

Siddig [3] stated that farmers’ age is one of the demographic characteristic which influences the quality of decision and his attitude toward accepting new ideas. On other hand farmer education in general can be defined as accumulation of knowledge and experience to prepare an individual farm [3,4]. The success of farming process and hence the amount the quality of output depend on perfect and timely conduction of the different farm practices which in turn depend on quality and quantities of inputs used. Among which, farm labor or agricultural machinery and traditional tools of cultivation. Also the application of the recommended improved cultural practices was found to be useful in various aspects [5]. The “tenure” is used to signify the relationship between tenant and land or as common law systems, to the legal regime in which land is owned by an individual. This respect all private owner are either its tenants or sub-tenants [6].

From above mentioned the main objective of this study to identify the socio-economic constrains that affect of food and cash crops production in Elkhwai locality.

Material and Methods

This study was carried out in Elkhwei locality of North Kordofan State during 2012, 2013 cropping season. Structured questionnaires used to collect primary data. Clustered random sample technique applied for 50 selected households. In addition qualitative data in form of group discussion and panel data were also collected. Descriptive statistics, regression model and linear programming were applied.

Linear regression

The general form of the equation is written as:

\[ Y = AX_1X_2X_3e^u \]

Where \( Y \) = output (dependent variable) all activities dura, sesame, groundnut, millet in monetary term, \( A \) = intercpt, \( X_1 \) = land in fedaan, \( X_2 \) = labour in man days, \( X_3 \) = capital in Sudanese genih SDG (independent variables) and \( u \) is the error term, \( a,b,c \) are regression parameters. Then the transformed form is:

\[
LNY = a\ln X_1 + b\ln X_2 + c\ln X_3 + u
\]

Linear programming models

The objective function: maximize \( Z \)

\[ Z = ax_1 + bx_2 + cx_3 + dx_4 + ex_5 \]

Where \( a,b,c,d,e \) are coefficients of objective function

The general formula of the inequalities:

\[ Ax_1 + Bx_2 + Cx_3 + Dx_4 + Ex_5 \ldots \leq H \]

Where \( A,B,C,D,E \) are the coefficients of constraints inequalities

\( H \) is the right hand side (RHS)

Model for crops: By letting \( X_1 = \) Dura, \( X_2 = \) Sesame, \( X_3 = \) groundnut, \( X_4 = \) millet, then algebraic version of the model became:

\[
MaxZ = 214.6X_1 + 105.3X_2 + 125.7X_3 + 98.3X_4
\]

Such that:

\[
1.39X_1 + 0.57X_2 + 0.65X_3 + 0.8X_4 \leq 3.41
\]
\[
19.66X_1 + 11.89X_2 + 14.07X_3 + 12.92X_4 \leq 58.54
\]
\[
83X_1 + 31.8X_2 + 34.19X_3 + 16.6X_4 \leq 174.66
\]

And: \( X_1, X_2, X_3 \geq 0 \)

Results and Discussion

Socio-economic characteristics of household farmers

Frequency distribution showed that 78% of sampled households were male while 20% were female. Results revealed that most household’s age were within the productive year group of 40 - 65. This highlighted that work with households which are relatively younger’s, more productive and more likely to be able bodied and therefore provides labor for agricultural activities, (Table 1). Results indicated that 78% of the households’ were exposed to some sort of education. This meant present of literate farmers in the study area indicates farmers’ awareness and knowledge to cope with new agricultural technologies. Literate farmers (84%) in the study area equipped with additional local knowledge to cope with climate change and food insecurity than those who had no formal education (Table 2).

| Socio-economic characteristic | Technical packages | Crops production problems |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|
| Item                          | %                  | Item                     | %               | Item            | %               |
| Gender                        | land preparation   | Shifting area            |
| Male                          | 78                 | Local tools              | 60.7            | Food crops      | 46.7            |
| Female                        | 22                 | Tractor disc             | 22              | Cash crops      | 53.3            |
| Age (years)                   |                    | Animal tract             | 1.3             | Seed varieties  |                 |
| Less than 15                  | 5.5                | Local + tractor disc     | 16              | Available       | 17.2            |
Table 2: Average cultivated areas (feddan), production (kg), productivity (kg/feddan), value of production (SDG), labour (man days) and total cost of production.

| Crop      | Area     | Production | Productivity | Mean production (SDG) | Labor man days | Production Cost (SDG) | GM (SDG) |
|-----------|----------|------------|--------------|------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|----------|
| Dura      | 1.39     | 460.7      | 331.4        | 297.6                  | 19.66          | 83                    | 214.6    |
| Sesame    | 0.57     | 113.15     | 197.1        | 137.2                  | 11.89          | 31.87                 | 105.3    |
| Groundnut | 0.65     | 168        | 258          | 159.9                  | 14.07          | 34.19                 | 125.7    |
| Millet    | 0.80     | 171.75     | 213          | 114.9                  | 12.92          | 16.6                  | 98.3     |

Where, GM = Gross margin, SDG= Sudanese Genih.

Regression result

Table 3 showed that the explanatory powers or the determination measures of the explanatory variables (R square) was, 63% these coefficients mean that around 63% of the explained variations in the output is explained by the variables included in the equations. Moreover, the F-test of each equation indicates it s overall significance so, the estimated equations can be written as:

Table 3: Regression results

| Explanatory Variables | B   | Standardized Coefficients (Beta) | T-value | Value of Level Significance |
|-----------------------|-----|----------------------------------|---------|-----------------------------|
| (Constant)            | 3.87| -                                | 7.813   | 0.00                        |
| Land (feddan)         | -   | 0.285                            | 2.452   | 0.018                       |
| Labour (man days)     | -   | 0.291                            | 2.925   | 0.006                       |
| Capital (SDG)         | -   | 0.052                            | 4.559   | 0.000                       |

(R2 = 63%) R square  F= 23.9  Sample size (50)
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$Y = 3.87 + 10.285 \times 20.291 \times 30.052u$

Or

$Lny = 3.87 + 0.285 \ln X1 + 0.29 X2 + 0.52 \ln X3 + u$

Land (feddan) influences the output by 28.5 with high significantly at 0.000. This result indicated that, when land increase by 1% output increased by 28.5% in case consistently the others factors.

Labor (man days) influences the output by 29.1 with significantly at 0.018. This result revealed that: when increasing the labor input by 1% increases output by 29.1% in case consistently the others factors.

Capital (SDG) influences the output by 5.2 with high significantly at 0.000. This result revealed that: when increasing the capital input by 1% increases output by 5.2% in case consistently the others factors.

From above mentioned Regression results reported that Land labor and capital were significant at five and one percent from zero level, respectively. R2 was 63%. This implies that the increase in output attributed to such factors of production.

**Linear programming result**

Table 4 revealed that, by the results of linear programming when the area that form the structure of the crops which achieve an attractive return is 1.39 feddan dura, 0.57 feddan sesame and 0.65 feddan groundnut and 0.8 Feddan millet and the rest of the space for other crops of the total area of 3feddan ideal. From that table four crops can be grown: Dura, sesame, groundnut and millet each of which has specified per feddan requirement for land, labour and capital. Production of (1.39) Dura requires 19.66 days and 83(SDG) capital cost, production of (0.57) sesame requires 11.89 days and 31.87(SDG) capital cost, production of (0.65) groundnut requires 14.07 days and 43.19(SDG) capital cost while production of (0.8) millet requires 12.92 days and 16.6(SDG) capital cost. A total of 3.41 (feddan), 58.54(days) and 174.66(SDG) are potentially available, being the amount providing by land, labour and capital respectively. The activity gross margins in the objective function are differ for each unit (feddan) of millet, groundnut while Dura and sesame are much more profitable though, with a gross margin of (214.6), (223.7) (SDG) respectively revealed that sesame and Dura was the most profitable one than groundnut and millet, and the total returns were 632.8 SDG.

**Table 4: linear programming tableau.**

| Row Name          | Dura (feddan) | Sesame (feddan) | g/nut (feddan) | Millet (feddan) | Right hands |
|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------|
| Objective function (SDG) | 214.6         | 105.3           | 125.7          | 98.3           | Maximize    |
| Land/feddan       | 1.39          | 0.57            | 0.65           | 0.8            | ≤ 3.41      |
| Labour/man days   | 19.66         | 11.89           | 14.07          | 12.92          | ≤ 58.54     |
| Capital/SDG       | 83            | 31.87           | 34.19          | 16.6           | ≤ 174.66    |

Sample size (50)

Linear programming results showed that out of four crops, only three were optimized. The highest SDG gross margin (223) was obtained by sesame, followed with Dura (214.6 SDG) and groundnut with SDG gross margin 125.7 (Table 5).

**Table 5: Optimal solution**

| Crop Variety       | Dura | Sesame | Groundnut | Millet |
|--------------------|------|--------|-----------|--------|
| Optimal solution area feddan will be added | 214.6 | 223    | 125.7     | 0      |

**Conclusion**

The study concluded that land, labor, improved technical packages, extension services and capital are major limiting inputs to increasing crop production. This reported that Land labor and capital were significant at five and one percent from zero level, respectively. This implies that the increase in output attributed to such factors of production. Linear programming indicated that, cultivation of (sorghum, sesame, groundnut and millet)/feddan showed improvement of farmer’s gross margin. From the above mentioned among four varieties Cultivation (sorghum, millet, groundnut and sesame) three crops (Dura, sesame and groundnut) were entered the optimal solution, this indicated that cultivation of (Dura, sesame and groundnut) was more profitable crop combinations compared to millet. We recommend that improved research technologies and extension service should work together to enhance agricultural crop productivity and improve farmer’s income.
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