Partial omission of bleomycin for early-stage Hodgkin lymphoma patients treated with combined modality therapy: Does incomplete ABVD lead to inferior outcomes?
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Abstract
Classical Hodgkin lymphoma (HL) patients achieve excellent outcomes; therefore, treatment de-escalation strategies to spare toxicity have been prioritized. In a large randomized trial of early-stage HL patients, omission of chemotherapeutic agents including bleomycin from the standard ABVD (doxorubicin, bleomycin, vinblastine, dacarbazine) regimen was not found to be noninferior; however, the effect of partial omission is unknown. We investigated the effect of bleomycin omission on outcome for 150 early-stage HL patients. At 8 years, freedom from relapse was 99% for both patients who received complete or incomplete bleomycin, which is reassuring for patients requiring bleomycin omission due to toxicity.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

Given the excellent prognosis of classical Hodgkin lymphoma (HL) patients, therapy has been progressively de-escalated to minimize treatment-related toxicity. Large HL-randomized trials have explored bleomycin omission from all cycles [1] or later cycles [2] of the standard ABVD (adriamycin, bleomycin, vinblastine, dacarbazine) regimen, but noninferiority could not be clearly established. For patients planned for combined modality therapy (CMT) with chemotherapy and radiation therapy (RT), oncologists may omit bleomycin from later cycles due to concern for increased risk of pulmonary toxicity. We aimed to explore the effect of full or partial omission of bleomycin on outcomes for early-stage HL (ESHL) patients treated with CMT.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

With IRB approval, we reviewed our institutional records of all ESHL patients treated between 2002 and 2013. Patients with initial positron emission tomography-computed tomography (PET-CT) imaging who received more than two cycles of ABVD and RT were included. Patients treated with ABVD for all cycles comprised the complete bleomycin (CB) cohort. Those who had bleomycin omitted from at least one cycle of chemotherapy were included in the incomplete bleomycin (IB) group. Patients receiving only part A of a cycle were recorded as .5.

The five-point scale (FPS) [3] was not routinely used in assessment of PET-CT imaging. Therefore, patients were considered to have a
negative interim PETCT if language such as "complete metabolic remission" or "complete resolution of FDG avidity" was used. All other interim PETCTs were reviewed independently by two radiation oncologists (JRG, CCP) and an FPS score was assigned. FPS scores of 1-3 were considered negative.

We compared characteristics and treatment-related variables for the CB and IB administration groups using Fisher’s exact test and Wilcoxon rank sum. All statistical analyses were performed using JMP version 14 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). Overall survival (OS) and freedom from relapse (FFR) were estimated using Kaplan-Meier analysis.

3 | RESULTS

The study included 150 patients; 77 (51%) received CB (Table 1). Early favorable (per German Hodgkin Study Group [GHSG] definition [4]) patients comprised 21% of the cohort (n = 32). Clinical factors of age (P = .08), GHSG status (P = .84), bulky disease (P = .86), and extranodal disease (P = .28) did not differ between the CB/IB groups.

All patients received a median 4c of ABVD with a significantly higher number of total cycles for those in the CB versus IB groups (median 4.0 vs 3.0, respectively, P < .001). For the IB group, bleomycin was omitted for: 1c (n = 34), 2-3c (n = 27), or ≥c (n = 12). Cited reasons for IB were bleomycin toxicity (n = 33, 45%), expectation to receive RT (n = 26, 36%), age/clinical condition (n = 7, 10%), and unknown/other (n = 7, 10%). Positive interim PETCTs were observed in five CB and two IB patients. A significantly higher proportion of patients in the CB group versus IB group had negative interim PETCT imaging compared to patients with positive or missing PET-CTTs (P = <.001). For all patients, the median RT dose was 30.6 Gy, and dose did not differ between groups (P = 0.99).

Median follow-up was 7.4 years for all patients (6.7 years for CB vs 8.5 years for IB, P = 0.17). Three patients experienced relapse (two CB and one IB); all were successfully salvaged with chemotherapy and autologous stem cell transplant. One patient recurred within the mediastinal RT field. One patient subsequently developed therapy-related acute myelogenous leukemia.

Of the four deaths (one CB and three IB) reported, none were known to be disease or therapy related, with cause attributed to rectal cancer, glioblastoma, respiratory failure (no bleomycin), and an unknown cause. Eight-year FFR was 99% for all patients and was not statistically different between the CB and IB cohorts (99% and 99% for CB vs IB patients, P = .53). Eight-year OS was 98% and 96% for CB versus IB patients, respectively (P = .36) (Figure 1).

4 | DISCUSSION

In this study, we investigated the effect of full or partial bleomycin omission from the standard ABVD regimen for ESHL patients receiving CMT. We found that patients who received regimens with CB or IB both achieved excellent outcomes in terms of FFR and OS.

The pulmonary toxicity of bleomycin is well established [5, 6], and this concern has motivated the randomized trials that examined the full or partial omission of the agent from the ABVD backbone. A large randomized trial of ESHL patients examined the effect of omitting dacarbazine, bleomycin, or both from standard ABVD therapy [1]. Five-year freedom from treatment failure was clearly inferior when dacarbazine was omitted (81.4% vs 93.1%). Noninferiority of AVD compared to ABVD could also not be confirmed (89.2% vs 93.1%). However, the rates of grade III or IV toxicity were lower (26% vs 33%) with only bleomycin omission. Johnson et al published a randomized trial of advanced-stage HL patients attempting to de-escalate treatment with the omission of bleomycin for the final four chemotherapy cycles for patients achieving a complete metabolic response after two cycles of initial ABVD therapy. While outcomes were excellent for the de-escalated group, the 3-year progression-free survival was technically not noninferior for the group treated with bleomycin omission [2].

In our study, provider rationales for omitting bleomycin varied, but several common themes emerged. Most often, providers chose to discontinue bleomycin due to symptoms or signs suggestive of pulmonary toxicity which included cough, shortness of breath, radiographic pulmonary changes, and/or worsening pulmonary function tests. Bleomycin administration was also terminated prematurely for many patients who were planned to receive consolidation RT. There may be less concern regarding inferior outcomes with bleomycin omission from initial chemotherapy for patients planned to receive CMT. There is also possible theoretical concern for increased pulmonary toxicity in patients where the radiation treatment field would necessitate partial lung treatment. However, among HL patients that experience bleomycin toxicity, prior studies do not support an increased risk of radiation pneumonitis after mediastinal RT [5, 7].

Finally, age or comorbid conditions often influenced the decision to omit bleomycin from all or part of the ABVD regimen. The median age of the IB group was slightly higher than the CB group, suggesting that providers may be more inclined to omit bleomycin in older patients. Studies have investigated the toxicity of the ABVD regimen in elderly patients, with focus on bleomycin-induced lung toxicity [8, 9]. One study analyzed patients 60 years or older who were treated on the HD10 and HD13 trials and compared those who received two cycles of ABVD or AVD with those who received four cycles of ABVD, all followed by RT. Grade III-IV adverse events and bleomycin-induced lung toxicity were higher in those patients receiving four cycles of therapy. The authors conclude that more than two cycles of bleomycin may be less concern regarding inferior outcomes with bleomycin omission.

Although the toxicity concerns are valid, thus far published randomized data do not support the noninferiority of full bleomycin
**TABLE 1**  Patient, disease, and treatment characteristics

|                      | All pts (n = 150) | CB (n = 77) | IB (n = 73) | P     |
|----------------------|-------------------|-------------|-------------|-------|
| **Age**              |                   |             |             |       |
| Median               | 32                | 31          | 34          | .08   |
| Range                | 18-78             | 18-67       | 18-78       |       |
| **Sex**              |                   |             |             |       |
| Male                 | 66 (44%)          | 38 (49%)    | 28 (38%)    | .19   |
| Female               | 84 (56%)          | 39 (51%)    | 45 (62%)    |       |
| **Stage**            |                   |             |             |       |
| I                    | 28 (19%)          | 15 (19%)    | 13 (18%)    | .84   |
| IIA                  | 122 (81%)         | 62 (81%)    | 60 (82%)    |       |
| **Risk factors**     |                   |             |             |       |
| ≥3 Sites             | 85 (57%)          | 40 (52%)    | 45 (62%)    | .25   |
| Bulky disease        | 50 (34%)          | 27 (35%)    | 24 (33%)    | .86   |
| Elevated ESR<sup>a</sup> | 16 (of 51) (31%) | 10 (of 30) (33%) | 6 (of 21) | .77   |
| Extranodal disease   | 8 (5%)            | 6 (8%)      | 2 (3%)      | .28   |
| B symptoms           | 25 (17%)          | 14 (18%)    | 11 (15%)    |       |
| **GHSG risk group**  |                   |             |             |       |
| Early favorable      | 32 (21%)          | 17 (22%)    | 15 (21%)    | .84   |
| Early unfavorable    | 118 (79%)         | 60 (78%)    | 58 (79%)    |       |
| **Chemotherapy**     |                   |             |             |       |
| Cycles of ABVD (median, range) | 4 (3-6) | 4 (3-6) | 4 (4-6) | .03   |
| Cycles of bleomycin (median) | 4 (0-6) | 4 (3-6) | 3 (0-5) | <.001 |
| **Radiation**        |                   |             |             |       |
| Median (range)       | 30.6 Gy (20-40)   | 30.6 Gy (20-39.6) | 30.6 Gy (20-40) | .99   |
| **Interim PET**      |                   |             |             |       |
| Positive<sup>b</sup> | 7 (5%)           | 5 (7%)      | 2 (3%)      | <.001 |
| Negative             | 113 (75%)         | 48 (62%)    | 65 (89%)    |       |
| Not performed, missing reports/images | 30 (20%) | 24 (31%) | 6 (8%) |       |
| Rationale for bleomycin omission | n/a |         |             |       |
| Bleomycin pulmonary toxicity |          | 33 (45%) |         |       |
| Expected to receive radiation therapy |           | 26 (36%) |         |       |
| Age/clinical comorbidities |           | 7 (10%) |         |       |
| Other                | 7 (10%)           |             |             |       |

Abbreviations: ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; GHSG, German Hodgkin Study Group.

<sup>a</sup>Elevated ESR ≥30 if B symptoms, and ≥50 if no B symptoms.

<sup>b</sup>Deauville (Five Point Scale) scores of 4, 4×, and 5 were considered positive.

Omission from the ABVD regimen, and partial bleomycin omission for early-stage patients receiving CMT has not been investigated. Our data suggest that partial bleomycin omission for ESHL patients treated with consolidative RT may not negatively impact outcome. However, our study has several limitations, including the small study population and low event rate, which preclude more robust statistical analyses and subgroup comparisons. It is also limited by the retrospective nature and the provider biases that impacted decisions regarding bleomycin omission. There was a significant difference in the number of total cycles of chemotherapy that patients in the IB and CB groups received, suggesting that providers had concern for increased pulmonary toxicity with the administration of a full six cycles of bleomycin. However, it is also possible that, for patients requiring early bleomycin omission, providers had concern for inferior outcomes and therefore decided to give additional cycles. Moreover, a significantly higher proportion of patients had interim PET-CTs read as negative in the IB compared to the CB group, suggesting that providers were more willing to omit bleomycin after having the assurance of a negative interim PETCT. It is also possible that patients who received IB had an overall more favorable prognosis (given the interim PETCT negativity) compared to the CB group; however, the large number of patients in the CB group without interim PETCT results complicates this comparison.
5 | CONCLUSION

ESHL patients treated with CMT achieve excellent outcomes, even with IB as part of ABVD. While CB omission cannot be concluded to be noninferior, these results are reassuring for patients requiring bleomycin omission due to toxicity. Future randomized trials could examine the role of PET-directed partial bleomycin omission for ESHL patients.
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