Novel Method for Isolation of Murine Clara Cell Secretory Protein-Expressing Cells with Traces of Stemness
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Abstract

Clara cells are non-ciliated, secretory bronchiolar epithelial cells that serve to detoxify harmful inhaled substances. Clara cells also function as stem/progenitor cells for repair in the bronchioles. Clara cell secretory protein (CCSP) is specifically expressed in pulmonary Clara cells and is widely used as a Clara cell marker. In addition CCSP promoter is commonly used to direct gene expression into the lung in transgenic models. The discovery of CCSP immunoreactivity in plasma membranes of airway lining cells prompted us to explore the possibility of enriching Clara cells by flow cytometry. We established a novel and simple method for the isolation of CCSP-expressing cell Clara cells using a combination of mechanical and enzymatic dissociation followed by flow cytometry sorting technology. We showed that ~25% of dissociated cells from whole lung expressed CCSP. In the resulting preparation, up to 98% of cells expressed CCSP. Notably, we found that several common stem cell markers including CD44, CD133, Sca-1 and Sox2 were expressed in CCSP+ cells. Moreover, CCSP+ cells were able to form spheroid colonies in vitro with 0.97% efficiency. Parallel studies in vivo confirmed that a small population of CCSP+ expressing cells in mouse airways also demonstrates stem cell-like properties such as label retention and harboring rare bronchioalveolar stem cells (BASCs) in terminal bronchioles (TBs). We conclude that CCSP+ cells exhibit a number of stem cell-like features including stem cell marker expression, bronchusphere colony formation and self-renewal ability. Clara cell isolation by flow cytometry sorting is a useful method for investigating the function of primary Clara cells in stem cell research and mouse models.

Introduction

Human lungs are composed of three functional and morphological compartments: proximal and distal airways and the alveolar compartment. Proximal airways are lined by a pseudostratified epithelium with a number of cell types with important protective functions such as ciliated cells, goblet cells, and basal cells. More distally, the lining is a simplified columnar epithelium largely made up of non-ciliated secretory cells called Clara cells, and a few ciliated and basal cells. [1,2]. Further down, the respiratory bronchioles are lined by cuboidal epithelium comprised entirely of ciliated and Clara cells, whereas, the epithelium of the alveolar compartment is comprised of type I and type II cells. In mouse, the pseudostratified epithelium is limited to trachea and extrapulmonary main bronchi while Clara cells make up over 80% of the epithelium, with few interspersed ciliated cells, that line intrapulmonary conducting airways [3]. These features make mouse an excellent tool for studying the functions of Clara cells.

Clara cells have several protective properties. They detoxify xenobiotics and oxidant gasses, control inflammation, participate in mucociliary clearance of environmental agents, and proliferate/differentiate to maintain the ciliated and non-ciliated cell population. Clara cells are a source of cytochrome P450 enzymes that contribute to the metabolism of a variety of substances [4]. In addition to the major Clara cell secretory protein (CCSP), also known as CC10, CC16, Clara cell antigen, secretoglobin 1A1 (SCGB1A1) or urotoglobin, Clara cells also contribute surfactant apoproteins A, B and D, proteases, anti-microbial peptides, several cytokines and chemokines, and mucins in the extracellular fluid lining airspaces. CCSP is the most abundant secretory protein found in the airway surface fluid, expressed exclusively in non-ciliated Clara cells and widely used as a marker of the cells [5,6,7,8]. Changes in CCSP levels have a profound impact on not only the composition of airway surface fluid but also the airway epithelial response to environmental stimuli [9,10]. Another important property of Clara cells is their ability to serve as progenitors for airway lining cells in response to injury. Moreover, subpopulations of CCSP-expressing cells may function as true stem cells of adult airways. Presently it is not known whether the groups overlap or represent distinct cells such as variant Clara cells [11], type A cells [12], OCT4-expressing stem cells [13] and bronchioalveolar stem cells (BASCs) [14].

Due to the lack of simple methods for the isolation of primary Clara cells from the lung, the majority of studies have been carried out in vivo or using lung cancer cells for in vitro tests. The major disadvantage of such approaches is the difficulty in performing mechanistic studies in non-neoplastic primary cells. Recently, Wong et al. developed a method for isolating CCSP+ cells from...
bone marrow by flow cytometry sorting [15]. We speculated that this method may also be used to isolate CCSP⁺ (Clara) cells from the lung. In this study we established a simple method for the isolation of CCSP⁺ cells from mouse lung and applied several different means to identify stem cell-like characteristics of CCSP⁺ cell in vivo and in vitro. We propose that this new procedure method for CCSP⁺ cell isolation provides a useful instrument for Clara cell research, for instance in the field of stem cell biology.

**Materials and Methods**

**Mice**

FVB mice were purchased from the Frederick National Lab, Maryland. Mice were housed under specific pathogen-free conditions under a 12-h light/dark cycle with access to food and water ad libitum. All the procedures used in this study were approved by the NIH Animal Care and Use Committee.

**Preparation of Single Cell Suspensions**

The heart, lungs and trachea were removed en bloc from mice following euthanasia by carbon dioxide inhalation. Lungs were separated and lobes minced on ice and incubated with collagenase type I (Invitrogen, Grand Island, NY) at 3 mg/ml in PBS in a volume of 2 ml per lung for 1 hour at 37°C with continuous agitation in an incubator. The suspension was further disaggregated by trituration through a 19 gauge needle (Sherwood Medical Co, St. Louis, MO), diluted in PBS. The crude cell suspension was filtered through a 40 μm cell strainer (BD Biosciences, Sparks, MD) and centrifuged at 700 rpm for 5 min. After discarding supernatant, cells were resuspended in 2 ml of red blood cell lysis buffer (Ebioscience, San Diego, CA) for 4 min. Neutralization was performed with 10 ml of Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) (Invitrogen) with 10% FBS (Invitrogen) and cells were centrifuged at 700 rpm for 5 min. Cells were resuspended in DMEM/10% FBS with 20 ng/ml gentamycin/0.5 ng/ml amphotericin B (Cascade Biologicals, Portland, Oregon), plated in 100 mm dishes and placed to recover in an incubator at 37°C and 5% CO₂ for 18 hours (Figure 1A).

**Flow Cytometry**

Recovered cells were trypsinized in 0.05% Trypsin-EDTA (Invitrogen) and resuspended at a concentration of 1 x 10⁷ cells in 100 μl PBS with 3% FBS. Two microliters of the rabbit anti-CCSP antibody (Millipore, Billerica, MA) was added, followed by a 30 min incubation on ice. Cells were washed twice in PBS with 3% FBS. Two microliters of the rabbit anti-CCSP antibody (Millipore, Billerica, MA) was added, followed by a 30 min incubation on ice. Cells were washed twice in PBS with 3% FBS, then 2 μl goat anti-rabbit- FITC secondary antibody was added and incubated on ice for 30 min. After two washes in PBS with 3% FBS, cells were resuspended in the same buffer with fresh media. Rabbit IgG staining was used as an isotype-matched negative control and CCSP staining with permeabilization of dissociated cells was used as a positive control. CCSP positive (CCSP⁺) and negative (CCSP⁻) fractions were obtained by fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) using Vantage SE⁺ cell sorter (BD, Bedford, MA). They were examined by immunofluorescence, adherent or 2D and sphere cell (3D) cultures and qRT-PCR.

**Immunofluorescence and Immunohistochemistry**

Single and dual labeling of cells and tissue sections by immunofluorescence (IF) or immunohistochemistry (IHC) was performed according to previously described methods [16–17]. The primary antibodies were: goat polyclonal anti-CCSP(T18) (1:50, Santa Cruz Biotech, Santa Cruz, CA), rabbit anti-uteroglobin-related protein 1 (UGRP1) (1:100, a kind gift from Dr. Shioko Kimura, NCI/NIH, Bethesda, MD), rabbit anti-pan-cadherin (BD, rabbit anti-pan-cadherin (BD), rabbit anti-pan-cytokeratin (1:100, Dako, Carpinteria, CA), rabbit anti-pro-SP-C (1:200, Millipore), rat anti-BrdU (1:100, Accurate Chemical & Scientific Corp, Westbury, NY), rabbit anti-sox2(1:2000, Seven Hill, Cincinnati, OH) and rabbit anti-ALDH1(1:500, Abcam). Approximately 1 x 10⁶ FACS sorted cells, were washed twice in PBS with 1% FBS and resuspended in 30 μl of Cell Adherence Solution (Crystalgen, Commack, NY). After standing for 2 minutes, 3 μl of the cell mixture was mounted on glass slides, dried for 2 minutes and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS for 15 minutes. Both fixed cells and tissue sections were blocked with 1% goat or rabbit normal serum for 1 hour. The blocking solution was removed and 75 μl of primary antibody was added to cells. After 1 hour of incubation at room temperature, slides were washed in PBS three times. Secondary antibodies were added. For dual-labeling IF, additional primary antibodies were added after the third PBS wash, followed by incubation with secondary antibodies conjugated with Alexa Fluor 488 or 594 (Invitrogen). All incubations were performed at room temperature and slides were washed in PBS (3 x 5 min) between each step and mounted with an anti-fading reagent with 4’,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) (Invitrogen). Control slides were included in each analysis in which non-immune serum was substituted for primary antibodies and secondary antibodies individually. All IF images were taken with a Zeiss LSM 510 Meta Mk4 Confocal Microscope (Zeiss, Thornwood, New York). For IHC, signals were developed using 3,3-diaminobenzidine (DAB).

**Quantitative Real Time RT-PCR (qRT-PCR)**

Total RNA from sorted cells was isolated using an RNasy mini kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) by following the manufacturer’s protocol. One microgram of RNA was reverse transcribed in a total volume of 20 μl using the QuantiTect RT kit (Qiagen). PCR was performed in triplicate in a MyiQ single color real time PCR detection system (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) using SYBR Green PCR kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Amplification was confirmed by ethidium bromide staining of the PCR products on an agarose gel. The expression of each target gene was normalized to the expression of 18 S RNA and presented as the ratio of the target gene to 18 S RNA, expressed as 2⁻ΔCt, where Ct is the threshold cycle and ΔCt = Ct Target – Ct 18S. The primer sequences for qRT-PCR included: 5’-CACATATTGCTTCAATGCCTAGC-3’ (CD44 Forward), 5’-CCAT-CACGGTGTTACAATAGTATG-3’ (CD44 Reverse), 5’-TGTCTGTCTTGGGCATAGGGAAAGCCAC-3’ (CD133 Forward), 5’-CTTGTCTATAAAGGAATGTTGAACCC-3’ (CD133 Reverse), 5’-GTCCATTGTGAGACTTCTTGCC-3’ (Sca-1 Forward), 5’-AGGGAGGGAGATGTTGAACCC-3’ (Sca-1 Reverse), 5’-TGGTGCTCCTTTAAGACTAGGG-3’ (Sox2 Forward), 5’-TCGGGACTAGCAGAGTTAT-3’ (18S forward), 5’-CCTGGGATTTTCCGTTCTGATT-3’ (18S Reverse).

**Bronchosphere Cell Culture**

FACS sorted cells were plated in 96-well ultralow attachment plates (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) at 10000, 5000, 2500, 1250, 625, 313, 156, 78 viable cells/well in serum-free DMEM-F12 (Invitrogen) supplemented with 1 x 827 supplement (Invitrogen), 20 ng/ml bFGF (Invitrogen), 20 ng/ml EGF (Invitrogen), 10 μg/ml insulin (Sigma-Aldrich) 10⁻⁶ M hydrocortisone (Sigma-Aldrich) and 20 ng/ml gentamycin/0.5 ng/ml amphotericin B. After 1 week, cell spheroid colony numbers were counted and colony size was measured under a Zeiss Axio Observer Z1 Inverted Microscope (Zeiss). Secondary sphere culture was
performed after digestion of first sphere colonies by 0.05% Trypsin-EDTA (Invitrogen).

Label Retention in vivo

For continuous labeling in vivo, BrdU (50 mg/ml) was administered to mice throughout a 7-day period via a subcutaneous miniosmotic pump (Alzet model 2001, Durect Corporation, Cupertino, CA). Alzet pumps were implanted in mice and removed after one week. Mice were sacrificed 4 weeks after removal of the Alzet pumps. Lungs were fixed overnight via tracheal instillation of fresh 4% paraformaldehyde and embedded in paraffin prior to sectioning. Label-retaining cells were identified by BrdU immunofluorescence. BrdU and CCSP double staining was performed and cells exhibiting a nucleus and attachment to basement membrane were counted. Bronchiolites (BLs) were defined as intrapulmonary airways in which smooth muscle, but neither cartilage nor glands, could be seen. Terminal bronchioles (TBs) contained an intact bronchioalveolar duct junction (BADJ) and visible alveolar duct [17]. In TBs quantification of staining included all cells within 200 μm of the BADJ. A total 49 TBs and 26 BL structures were analyzed in lung sections of five mice.
Results

CCSP Immunoreactivity is Discovered Along the Membranes of Clara Cells in Mouse Airways

It is well established that CCSP is expressed in non-ciliated Clara cells in the airways. CCSP which is widely used as a Clara cell marker is a cytoplasmic secretory protein [3]. Figure 2A revealed intense immunoreactivity along the lining of mouse TB. Recently, Wong AP et al. was able to isolate CCSP+ cells from bone marrow using flow cytometry [15]. Therefore, we postulated that CCSP may be expressed not only in the cytoplasm, but also in the cell membrane of Clara cells. To obtain evidence for this, we
used the well-known cell membrane marker pan-Cadherin [18]. Indeed, in high magnification photomicrographs we were able to demonstrate co-expression with pan-Cadherin in the cell membrane using confocal microscope (Figure 2B). These data suggested a possibility for isolating living Clara cells by flow cytometry sorting and lead us to develop the protocol outlined in this study.

Isolation of CCSP⁺ Cells from Mouse Lung Using Fluorescence-activated Cell Sorting (FACS)

To test the possibility of CCSP⁺ cell isolation by flow cytometry from mouse lung, we established a simple method to make single cell suspensions from lung tissues. Using a combination of mincing by scissors and incubation in a high concentration of collagenase (3 mg/ml) for digestion, single cells were obtained within 2 hours from euthanasia. After an overnight recovery in DMEM/10% FBS cell culture media, cells adherent to culture dishes were trypsinized and sorted using a flow cytometry sorter. In FVB mice, about 25% of the lung cells sorted from one whole lung single cell suspension were CCSP⁺ (Figure 1). Typical yields of sorted cells per mouse were about ~2.5×10⁵ of CCSP⁺ cells and ~4×10⁵ of CCSP⁻ cells. Sorted cells were plated into 100 mm cell culture dish for overnight in an incubator prior to further studies. Unattached dead cells were removed with the media.

Characterization of Sorted Cells

Sorted cells were used for RNA isolation and RT-PCR following an overnight recovery. CCSP mRNA expression was detected in CCSP⁺ cell fraction, but not in CCSP⁻ cells (Figure 3A). We also mounted cells on slides using Cell Adherence Media for immunofluorescence (IF). We found that 98% (225/230) of the cells in CCSP⁺ sorted fraction were positive for CCSP IF. We also found that 97% of the cells in the CCSP⁺ fraction revealed the expression of another Clara cell marker UGRP1 by IF. All of the CCSP⁺ sorted fraction expressed pan-keratin. Rare CCSP⁻ sorted cells revealed the presence of pro-SPC (Figure 3). These data demonstrated that sorting by flow cytometry is a useful and simple method for harvesting purified CCSP-containing Clara cells.

---

**Figure 3. Characterization of sorted cells.** A) RT-PCR of sorted cell fractions with minimal (CCSP⁻ fraction) and strong (CCSP⁺ fraction) expression of CCSP mRNA. B–E) Immunofluorescence staining of sorted cell fractions. B) CCSP (green fluorescence) was expressed in almost all cells of the CCSP⁺ fraction, but rarely in the CCSP⁻ fraction. C) Many cells were also positive for the Clara cell marker UGRP1 (green fluorescence) in CCSP⁺ fraction cells. D) Pan-keratin expression (red fluorescence) in CCSP⁺ cells confirmed that they were epithelial. Only small population of CCSP⁺ cells expressed pan-keratin. E) Few cells in either fraction expressed pro-SPC (red fluorescence). Nuclei appear blue (DAPI); Bar = 20 μm; CCSP Sorting negative = CCSP⁻ fraction; CCSP Sorting positive = CCSP⁺ fraction.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0043008.g003
Expression of Stem Cell Markers

One of the features of stem/progenitor cells is the expression of stem cell markers. Therefore, we performed qRT-PCR for several common stem cell markers including CD44, CD133, Sca-1, and Sox2 in the sorted cells. Interestingly, CD44 was expressed in both CCSP+ and CCSP- populations at similar levels. In contrast, CD133, Sca-1, and Sox2 demonstrated much lower but detectable levels in CCSP+ cells than in CCSP- cells. These data indicate that CCSP+ cells express stem cell markers, although at low levels (Figure 4).

Bronchosphere Formation

Spheroid culture is a common method to detect stem cell features in vitro [19]. Spheroid colony formation was tested by serial dilution technique. Both CCSP+ and CCSP- cellular fractions were able to form spheroid colonies. However, following 10 days of culture (Figure 5), CCSP+ cells demonstrated a larger colony size and higher efficiency of colony formation than CCSP- cells. Dissociation of spheroid colonies into single cells resulted in reformation of the spheroid colonies, indicating that this phenotype was stable (data not shown).

BrdU Label Retention in vivo by CCSP+ Cells

Quiescent or slow-cycling stem cells in adult tissues can retain BrdU over long periods by either segregating chromosomes asymmetrically or dividing slowly. Label-retaining cells can be used to identify populations that contain stem cells [20]. In fact, many such studies have been used to determine putative stem cell locations in mammalian tissues [21,22]. Using CCSP and BrdU double staining by IF, we found that 1.59% (39/2450) of cells in TBs and only 0.39% (12/4138) of them in BLs were BrdU+/CCSP+ (Figure 6). The results suggest that the majority of mouse airway CCSP+ stem/progenitor cells may reside in TBs.

Evidence for Bronchioalveolar Stem Cells (BASCs) Mouse Airways

A subpopulation of CCSP+/-pro-SPC+ cells known as bronchioalveolar stem cells (BASCs) are capable of differentiating into Clara cells and alveolar type II cells and are considered to be adult lung stem cells [14]. In the current study, a rare portion of sorted CCSP+ cells were also found to express the type II cell marker proSPC (Figure 3D). In order to confirm the existence of BASCs in vivo, we performed CCSP/pro-SPC double staining by IF in mouse lungs. Our results showed that 1.1% of TB epithelial cells contained BASCs while no CCSP+/pro-SPC+ double positive epitheliums were detected in BLs (Figure 6). In addition, a number of stem cell markers such as CD44, Sox2, and ALDH1 were detected by IF or IHC along the TB epithelium (Figure 6C–E). We also found that CD133, CD44, Sca-1, and Sox2 mRNAs were expressed at variable levels in mouse lung tissues (Figure 6F, 6G). This provides further evidence for the progenitor role that Clara cells may have in the mouse lung.
Discussion

In this study, we isolated and characterized significantly purified CCSP-expressing cell populations from mouse lung by using high concentrations of collagenase and a flow cytometric sorting method. In addition, we showed that CCSP\(^+\) cells expressed stem cell markers and form three dimensional spheroid colonies in culture. Furthermore, we confirmed that CCSP\(^+\) cells may also express stemness characteristic in vivo as evidenced by label retention, the presence of CCSP/pro-SPC double positive BASCs and expression of stem cell markers in the epithelial lining of TBs of mice. Accordingly, the novel method described herein is a significant step in the progress of isolating and characterizing highly purified Clara cells in primary cultures.

Based on previous publications, the distribution of CCSP expression in non-ciliated Clara cells is described as cytoplasmic [23,24,25]. We made the surprising and novel discovery of CCSP immunoreactivity along cellular membranes of bronchiolar Clara cells. Using pan-cadherin as a cell membrane marker in normal airway epithelium [18,26,27] we found CCSP was expressed not only in the cytoplasm, but also in the membrane. These findings gave rise to the possibility that living Clara cells can be isolated by flow cytometry using fluorescing tags. Our successful CCSP\(^+\) cell sorting further confirmed the distribution of CCSP membranous expression. One explanation is that bronchiolar Clara cells secrete such large quantities of CCSP that part of it remains stuck to the outer surfaces of cell membranes, allowing sorting of CCSP-containing cells from suspension.

Clara cell isolation from rabbit was first reported in the early 1980s by Devereux et al. [28]. After that, several groups were able to isolate pulmonary Clara cells from mouse [29,30,31,32,33]. The studies have been instrumental in establishing the many functions of Clara cells. However, the majority of the methods are quite complex and rely on protease digestion followed by centrifugal elutriation and/or Percoll density gradient centrifugation. Only one group used FACS for Clara cell isolation from rat based on the reaction of their glutathione content with monochlorobimine to a fluorescent product [34]. The techniques typically resulted in a Clara cell enrichment of 55-90%. A reproducible source of considerably purified Clara cells is necessary for airway stem/progenitor cell research. Using high concentrations of collagenase for lung tissue digestion followed by flow cytometry sorting, we were able to achieve 98\% pure CCSP\(^+\) (Clara) cell population, providing a very useful and reliable method for Clara cell function and stem cell research. A notable application will be to directly address molecular mechanisms of genes that have been expressed in Clara cells by using CCSP as a lung specific promoter in transgenic mice.

To further characterize sorted CCSP\(^+\) cells, we evaluated the expression of pan-keratin protein in CCSP\(^+\) cells. All cells expressed pan-keratin indicating that all the CCSP\(^+\) cells were epithelial. We also found that a few cells expressed pro-SPC. This suggests that CCSP\(^+\) cells contain rare populations of BASCs (CCSP/SPC double positive cells).

In this study, the expression of well documented stem cell markers such as CD44 [35], CD133 [36,37], Sca1 [14,38] and

Figure 5. Bronchosphere formation by sorted cells. A) Phase-contrast photomicrographs of bronchospheres (Bar = 100 μm). B) The diameter of all spheroid colonies in the wells which 5000 cells were seeded. Bar graph reveals average spheroid colony size (μm). (Mean ± S.D. p = 0.0048, Student t-test). C) Average spheroid colony number per well in serial diluted cells after one week sphere cell culture. D) Efficiency of sphere colony formation = total colony number/total seeded cell \(^{-6}\) \times 1000. Efficiency of sphere colony formation was higher in CCSP\(^-\) cells than that in CCSP\(^+\) cells (p<0.0001, Chi-square test).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0043008.g005
Sox2 [39,40] was detectable by qRT-PCR in CCSP$^+$ cells. However, the level of CD133, Sca-1 and Sox2 expression was lower in CCSP$^+$ cells than that in CCSP$^{-}$ cells. One possible explanation is that CCSP is a Clara cell differentiation marker, so a CCSP$^+$ population of cells may contain more mature Clara cells, but few stem/progenitor cells, while CCSP$^{-}$ cells fraction is a mixture of many cells, such as type I, type II, ciliated cells, basal cells, smooth muscle cells and fibroblast cells and so on. Many of the cells have been shown to have stem cell features [19,41]. Sphere culture showed that CCSP$^+$ cells do have stem cell features, but stem cell activities are lower than in CCSP$^{-}$ cells.

Figure 6. Evidence of stem cell features in mouse lung. A) Label retention by CCSP positive cells in airways. Double staining of BrdU and CCSP in the TB (left panel) and BL (middle panel). Barograph demonstrated the quantitative BrdU/CCSP double positive cells in TB and BL. (IF, mouse number = 5, total TBs = 49, BLs = 26). B) Bronchioalveolar stem cells (BASCs) in the airway epithelium. CCSP and pro-SPC double immunofluorescence staining. Double positive cells were represented in TB (left and middle panel, white arrow). Barograph showed the quantitative CCSP/Pro-SPC double positive cells in TB and BL. (IF, mouse number = 7, total TBs = 21, BLs = 20) C) A solitary double CD44/CCSP positive cell in a TB (immunofluorescence staining, bar = 20 µm). D) Sox2 nuclear (immunoperoxidase staining, bar = 100 µm). E) ALDH1 (immunoperoxidase staining, bar = 100 µm). F-G) Bar graphs of qRT-PCR analyses for the relative expression of CD133, CD44, Sca-1 and Sox2 mRNAs in mouse lungs (mean±SD). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0043008.g006
population in vitro located in the TBs We conclude that Clara cell isolation by FACs is a useful method for investigating Clara cell function and overall pulmonary stem cell research biology.
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