Article

RTP801/REDD1 is involved in neuroinflammation and modulates cognitive dysfunction in Huntington’s disease
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Abstract: RTP801/REDD1 is a stress-regulated protein whose levels are increased in several neurodegenerative diseases such as Parkinson’s, Alzheimer’s, and Huntington’s diseases (HD). RTP801 downregulation ameliorates behavioral abnormalities in several mouse models of these disorders. In HD, RTP801 mediates mutant huntingtin (mhtt) toxicity in in vitro models and its levels are increased in human iPSCs, human postmortem putamen samples and in striatal synaptosomes from mouse models of the disease. Here, we investigated the role of RTP801 in the hippocampal pathophysiology of HD. We found that RTP801 levels are increased in the hippocampus of HD patients in correlation with gliosis markers. Although RTP801 expression is not altered in the hippocampus of the R6/1 mouse model of HD, neuronal RTP801 silencing in the dorsal hippocampus with shRNA-containing AAV particles ameliorates cognitive alterations. This recovery is associated with a partial rescue of synaptic markers and with a reduction of inflammatory events, especially microgliosis. Altogether, our results indicate that RTP801 could be a marker of hippocampal neuroinflammation in HD patients and a promising therapeutic target of the disease.
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1. Introduction

Huntington’s disease (HD) is an incurable autosomal-dominant genetic disease caused by an abnormal expansion (of variable number) of CAG repeats in exon 1 of the HTT gene, which encodes for the huntingtin protein (htt)[1,2]. This expansion confers toxic properties to mutant htt (mhtt), leading to protein misfolding and aberrant aggregation. Although mhtt is the main contributor to the pathogenesis in HD, in recent years the CAG-expanded in the HTT gene was also identified as a toxic element in the disease at RNA level[3,4].

HD is characterized by a triad of motor, cognitive and psychiatric symptoms[5–7]. Although the striatum is the most vulnerable brain area to synapse and neuronal degeneration [8,9], other regions such as cortex, cerebellum and hippocampus are also af-
Clinical manifestations of unequivocal motor alterations are generally a key factor for HD diagnosis but subtle psychiatric and cognitive symptoms appear decades before the onset of motor dysfunction [5,13,14]. These symptoms include impairment in executive functions, attention, cognitive flexibility and learning and memory, among others [15,16].

Several hippocampal alterations are also observed at pre-symptomatic stages in HD mouse models [17], including a reduction of synaptic markers [18–21] and structural abnormalities in neurons [17,20,22–24]. Moreover, increasing evidence highlights the relevant role of glial cells in the pathogenesis of HD (reviewed in [25,26]). Indeed, HTT is highly expressed in immune cells [27] and reactive microglia and astrocytes have been found in compromised brain areas in HD patients [8,28] and mouse models [18,29]. Interestingly, increased levels of both glial cell types and inflammatory mediators correlate with disease progression [25,28]. Hence, neuronal mhtt and astro-and micro-gliosis emerge as key contributors to HD pathogenesis.

Recent findings uncovered RTP801 protein as a crucial player in synapse pathology in neurodegenerative diseases, including HD [30]. REDD1/RTP801 protein is the coding product of the stress-induced gene DDIT4 [31]. RTP801 mediates synaptic transmission and motor learning behavior in physiological conditions. Moreover, RTP801 abrogation increases GluA1 and TrkB levels [32]. RTP801 protein levels are also elevated in Parkinson’s disease (PD) [33,34], major depression [35] and Alzheimer’s disease (AD) [36]. Interestingly, RTP801 gene, DDIT4, is one of the top three common upregulated transcripts in postmortem brains from HD and PD patients [37]. In PD, RTP801 is sufficient to trigger neuronal death by sequentially inactivating Akt and mTOR [38,39]. Regarding HD, RTP801 mediates mhtt toxicity in cellular models and is upregulated in iPSCs derived from HD patients, in the putamen and cerebellum from HD patients [40] and in the striatum from mouse models. Moreover, in the R6/1 HD mouse model, striatal RTP801 silencing prevents motor learning deficits correcting, in turn, synaptic alterations by restoring the levels of GluA1 and TrkB [30,40].

In addition, we recently described that RTP801 is a crucial contributor to neuroinflammation and memory impairments in AD since its downregulation in hippocampal neurons prevents cognitive deficits in the 5xFAD mouse model and reduces inflammatory markers [36].

Although the therapeutic potential of RTP801 in motor dysfunction in HD has already been studied [30], the role of RTP801 in hippocampal cognitive impairment in the disease has not yet been addressed.

Here in this work, we investigated whether RTP801 downregulation is beneficial in a context of hippocampal dysfunction and the associated cognitive decline in HD. We found that in vivo RTP801 silencing in hippocampal neurons abrogates cognitive alterations and reduces the inflammatory response, including gliosis, in the R6/1 mouse.

2. Materials and Methods

Human postmortem samples

Postmortem hippocampal samples from HD patients and non-affected individuals were obtained from Neurological Tissue Bank of the Biobank-Hospital Clinic-IDIBAPS (IDIBAPS, Barcelona, Spain). Donation and obtention of samples were regulated by the guidelines and approval of the local ethics committee (Hospital Clinic of Barcelona’s Clinical Research Ethics Committee). The sample processing followed the rules of the European Consortium of Nervous Tissues: BrainNet Europe II (BNEII). All the samples were protected in terms of individual donor identification following the BNEII laws. Case information can be found in Supplementary Table 1. All the procedures for the obtention of postmortem samples followed the ethical guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki and local ethical committees (Universitat de Barcelona ethical committee: IRB00003099).
Animals

As an HD model in this study, we used the transgenic mouse line R6/1 (RRID:IMSR_JAX:006471) maintained in a B6CBA background. Heterozygous R6/1 mouse expresses exon 1 of human mutant huntingtin (mhtt) with 115 CAG repeats, which codes for part of the N-terminal region of the protein, including the polyglutamine stretch. Transgene expression is driven by the human htt promoter. Wild type (WT) littermate animals were used as the control group. Experimental animals were all males and used at 8 weeks of age. All procedures were carried out in accordance with the National institutes of Health Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals and approved by the local animal care committee of the Universitat de Barcelona (315/18 P10), following European (2010/63/UE) and Generalitat de Catalunya (10141-P10) regulations.

Mice were housed under controlled conditions (22°C, 40-60% humidity in a 12-hour light/dark cycle) with water and food available ad libitum. Mice were euthanized by cervical dislocation. The left hemisphere was dissected out for biochemical analyses while the right hemisphere was used for immunofluorescence techniques.

Tissue fixation and immunofluorescence

For immunofluorescence, dissected hemispheres were maintained in 4% PFA for 72h and cryopreserved following a sucrose gradient (15% and 30%, 24h each). Free-floating brain sections (30 µm) were obtained with a cryostat. Sections were incubated for 30 minutes in 50mM NH₄Cl, to block autofluorescence. Blocking and permeabilization were performed for 1h in blocking buffer (BB): PBS-T (Phosphate-buffered saline with 0.1% Tween-20) with 0.02% azide, 3% NGS and 0.2% BSA (all from Thermo Fisher Scientific). Primary antibodies were diluted in BB and incubated overnight at 4°C in agitation. Secondary antibodies were diluted in BB and incubated for 2h at room temperature. Nuclei were next stained with Hoechst 33342 (1:5000, Thermo Fisher Scientific, #H3570) in PBS for 15 minutes. Sections were washed twice in PBS-T between the different steps and a final wash with PBS was performed prior to mounting with ProLong Gold Antifade Mountant. Images were obtained with confocal microscopy (Zeiss LSM 880 and ZEN Software) at the advanced microscopy unit (Scientific and Technological Centers, University of Barcelona) with 10x, 25x or 40x magnification and standard (1 airy disc) pinhole (1AU). Two sections from the dorsal hippocampus were analyzed per animal. The following primary antibodies were used: chicken polyclonal anti-GFP (1:1000, Synaptic Systems, #132006), rabbit polyclonal anti-GFAP (1:500, Dako, #GA52461), mouse monoclonal anti-GFAP (1:500, Sigma, #G3893), rabbit polyclonal anti-Iba1 (1:500, Wako, #09-19741) rabbit polyclonal anti-RTP801 (1:100, Proteintech, #10638-1AP). The following secondary antibodies were used (all from Thermo Fisher Scientific): goat anti-chicken AlexaFluor488 (1:500, #A11039), goat anti-mouse AlexaFluor555 (1:200, #A21424), goat anti-mouse AlexaFluor647 (1:200, #A21236), goat anti-rabbit AlexaFluor555 (1:200, #A21430), goat anti-rabbit AlexaFluor647 (1:200, #A21245).

Western blotting

For naive mice, both hippocampi were dissected out and homogenized together. For mice undergoing surgeries, the dorsal and ventral hippocampus from the left hemisphere were dissected out separately. Crude synaptosomal fractions were obtained as described elsewhere[36]. Protein concentration of all samples was established with Bradford reagent (Biorad) following the manufacturer’s instructions. Protein samples (15-20 µg) were prepared with Pierce TM Lane Marker reducing sample buffer and heated at 96°C for 5 minutes. Samples were resolved in NuPAGE™ Novex™ polyacrylamide gels. 3-8% polyacrylamide gels with Tris-Acetate running buffer were used to analyze proteins with high molecular weight, while 12% and 4-12% polyacrylamide gels with MOPS SDS running buffer were used for proteins with small and intermediate weights, respectively. The molecular weight marker used was the PageRuler Pre-stained protein ladder and
gels were run in the XCell SureLock Mini-Cell system. Proteins were transferred to nitrocellulose membranes with the iBlot system. All reagents and machinery were obtained from Thermo Fisher Scientific. Next, membranes were blocked with 5% non-fat dry milk (Bio-Rad) diluted in TBS-T (Tris-buffered saline 0.1% Tween-20) for one hour. Primary antibodies were diluted in TBS-T and 5% BSA and incubated overnight at 4°C in agitation. HRP-conjugated anti-β-actin primary antibody was incubated for 30 minutes before chemiluminescent protein detection. HRP-conjugated antibodies were diluted 1:10000 in TBS-T and 5% non-fat dry milk for 1h at room temperature.

Primary antibodies used were (1:1000 if not stated otherwise): rabbit polyclonal anti-GFAP (Dako, #GA52461), chicken polyclonal anti-GFP (Thermo Fisher Scientific, #A-11-122), rabbit polyclonal anti-RTP801 (1:500, Proteintech, #10638-1-AP for murine samples and 1:500, FineTest, #07228 for human samples), mouse monoclonal anti-GFAP (1:500, Sigma, #G3893), rabbit polyclonal anti-Iba1 (Wako, #019-19741), mouse monoclonal anti-TrkB (BD Biosciences, #610102), mouse monoclonal anti-PSD-95 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, #MA1-045), rabbit polyclonal anti-GluA1 (Merck, #ABN241), rabbit polyclonal anti-Akt P-S73 (Cell Signaling Technology, #376234), rabbit polyclonal anti-Total Akt (Cell Signaling Technology, #4691), rabbit polyclonal anti-mTOR P-S2448 (Cell Signaling Technology, #2971), rabbit polyclonal anti-mTOR (Cell Signaling Technology, #2971), rabbit monoclonal anti-S6 P-S235/236 (Cell Signaling Technology, #4858), rabbit polyclonal anti-S6 (Cell Signaling Technology, #4858), rabbit polyclonal anti-NRLP1 (1:1000, Novus, #NB1-54899), rabbit monoclonal anti ASC/TMS1 (Cell Signaling Technology, 1:1000, #67824), rabbit monoclonal anti-Cleaved Caspase-1 (Cell Signaling Technology, 1:500, #89332), rabbit monoclonal anti-Caspase-1 (Cell Signaling Technology, 1:500, #24232) and anti-β-actin (1:10000, Sigma, #A3854). HRP-conjugated goat anti-mouse or anti-rabbit IgG were diluted 1:10000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, #31430 and #31460, respectively). Proteins were detected with Supersignal™ West Pico Plus chemiluminescent substrate (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Images were acquired with ChemiDoc™ (Bio-Rad) and quantified by densitometric analysis with ImageJ software (NIH). When re-incubation with another primary antibody was needed, membranes were washed with Restore Plus Western Blot Stripping buffer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) for 15 minutes to remove the previous signal.

**Hippocampal injection of adeno associated (AAV) viral vectors**

AAVs containing a scrambled shRNA (5'-GTGCGTTGCTAGTACCAAC-3') as control or an shRNA against RTP801 (5'-AAGACTCCTCATACTGATG-3') to knockdown RTP801 expression were applied in the hippocampus. Both sequences had been previously verified[30,38]. ShRNAs cloning and AAV viral particles were generated by the Unitat de Producció de Vectors from the Center of Animal Biotechnology and Gene Therapy at the Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona.

Following anesthesia with isoflurane 5% induction, 1.5% maintenance), mice were subjected to bilateral intrahippocampal injections of rAAV2/8-H1-shRTP801-RSV-GFP (1.07x10^{13} GCs) or a control rAAV2/8-H1-shControl-RSV-GFP (1.2x10^{13} GCs). Two injections were performed in the hippocampus in both hemispheres. The following coordinates relative to Bregma (anteroposterior and lateral) and from skull (dorsoventral) were used (in mm): anteroposterior, -2; mediolateral, +/- 1.5 and dorsoventral, -2.1 (for DG) and -1.3 (for CA1). In each depth 1:1 virus (1µl) was infused. Viral vectors were injected with a 10µl Hamilton syringe at an infusion rate of 250nl/min. The needle was left in place for 2 minutes to ensure complete diffusion of the AAVs. Mice were returned to their home cage after 1h of careful monitoring. Behavioral assessment was performed 4 weeks after surgery.

**Behavioral assessment**

**Open field test:** Mice were placed in a 40x40x40 cm arena with dim light for 30 minutes. The centre area was considered as the central squared 20x20 cm space.
Spontaneous alternation in a T-maze: For this test, a T-shaped maze with three arms was used. Arms were 45cm long, 20cm high and 8cm wide and were separated by a central 10cm wide square. In the acquisition phase, one of the arms was closed (called novel arm, randomized for genotype and shRNA) and mice were allowed to explore the maze for 10 minutes. Two hours later, both arms were opened and then mice were allowed to freely explore the maze for 5 minutes (retrieval phase). In both phases, mice were initially placed in the end of the central arm. First arm choice was considered when mice entered an arm with the two front limbs and was represented as alternation rate (%).

Passive avoidance task: For this test, we used a two-compartment box where both chambers were separated by a gate (5cmx5cm). One compartment was dimly light (20 lx) while the other was brightly lit (200 lx). On the first day (training) mice were placed in the brightly lit compartment with the gate closed for 10 seconds. Next, the gate was open, and mice were allowed to enter the dark compartment. Latency to cross (4 paws inside the dark chamber) was measured. Once mice entered the dark area, a 4.6 mA foot shock was given for 2 seconds. Mice were kept in the dark compartment for 20 seconds before being returned to their home cage. On testing days mice were placed in the brightly light compartment with the gate open. Latency to enter the shock-paired compartment was measured for a maximum of 10 minutes (600 seconds cut-off). After crossing the gate mice were kept in the dark compartment for 20 seconds before being returned to their home cage. Mice were forced to enter the dark compartment after the 600 sec cut-off.

Mice movement was tracked and recorded using SMART 3.0 Software (Panlab). Other parameters were manually monitored. Experiments were performed in a blind-coded manner with respect to genotype and shRNA. Mice handling procedures were performed once a day for 5 days, prior to behavioral testing. Mice were allowed to habituate to the experimental room in their home cages for 1h before behavioral sessions. Behavioral objects and apparatus were always cleaned with water and dried between animals to avoid olfactory interferences.

Statistics

Sample sizes were determined by using the power analysis method: 0.05 alpha value, 1 estimated sigma value, and 75% of power detection. All data are expressed as mean ± SEM. Normal distribution was tested with d’Agostino and Pearson omnibus, Shapiro-Wilk and Kolmogorov-Smirnov normality tests. If the test was valid, parametric statistical analyses were performed. Before pairs of comparisons, we performed the F test to compare variances. In experiments with normal distribution statistical analysis were performed using the unpaired two-sided Student’s t-test (95% confidence) and the two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni’s post hoc tests as appropriate and indicated in the figure legends. T-test with Welch’s correction was applied when variances were unequal. Values of P<0.05 were considered statistically significant. Chi-square (X²) test was performed in pair comparisons. Correlation analyses were performed using Pearson. Grubbs’ and ROUT tests were performed to determine the significant outlier values. All experiments in this study were blinded and randomized by blocks of animals. All mice bred for the experiments were used for pre-planned experiments and randomized to experimental groups. Data were collected, processed, and analyzed randomly. The experimental design and handling of mice were identical across experiments. Littermates were used as controls with multiple litters (3–5) examined per experiment.

3. Results

3.1. RTP801 levels are increased in the hippocampus from HD patients and correlate with neuroinflammatory markers

Previous results from our lab showed that RTP801 levels are increased in brain homogenates obtained from HD patients putamen and cerebellum[30,40]. Here, in human post-mortem hippocampal lysates, we found that RTP801 protein levels were signifi-
cantly increased in HD patients compared to controls (Figure 1a, b). No significant correlation was found between RTP801 levels and Vonsattel stages (Figure 1c). GFAP (a marker of astrocytes) and Iba1 (a marker of microglia) levels were increased in HD patients (Figure 1d & f) and positively correlated with RTP801 levels (Figure 1 e & g), further suggesting that RTP801 levels could be a marker of gliosis in HD[36].

Altered RTP801 levels were previously detected in striatal samples from the HdhQ7/Q111 and R6/1 HD mouse models [30]. Here, we investigated whether hippocampal RTP801 levels were altered during the progression of the disease in R6/1 mice: pre-symptomatic (8 weeks of age) and when they already display motor dysfunction and memory impairments (20 and 30 weeks of age) [41,42]. No differences in total hippocampal RTP801 levels between WT and R6/1 mouse were detected (Figure 1h-j).

Figure 1. RTP801 levels are increased in the hippocampus of HD patients and correlate with neuroinflammatory markers but are not altered in the R6/1 mouse. (a) Immunoblotting for RTP801, GFAP, Iba1 and actin as loading control in total homogenates from human post-mortem hippocampal samples from non-affected individuals (CT) and Huntington’s disease (HD) patients. Densitometric quantifications of RTP801 (b), astrogliosis marker GFAP (d) and microgliosis marker Iba1 (f). Pearson’s correlation analysis comparing RTP801 levels as in (b) with Vonsattel stages (c), GFAP levels (e) and with Iba1 levels (g) per sample. (h-j) Immunoblotting for RTP801 and actin as loading control in total homogenates from 8, 20 and 30 weeks old wild-type (WT) and R6/1 mice. (i) Densitometric quantification of RTP801 protein levels. Data in b, d, f and i is represented as mean ± SEM and was analyzed with Student’s t-test. *P<0.05, ***P < 0.001 vs CT.
3.2. RTP801 silencing in hippocampal neurons prevents cognitive dysfunction in the R6/1 mouse.

Evidence showed that RTP801 silencing in several mouse models of neurodegenerative diseases ameliorates their pathologic phenotype. Hence, although RTP801 levels were not significantly increased in the R6/1 mouse hippocampus, we genetically downregulated neuronal hippocampal RTP801 levels in 8-weeks-old WT and R6/1 mice. At this age the severe hippocampal-dependent memory deficits have not appeared yet[21,43]. Four groups of mice were generated: WT shCt, WT shRTP801, R6/1 shCt and R6/1 shRTP801.

Four weeks after AAVs injection, we performed a broad behavioral characterization as depicted in Figure 2a. First, all mice were subjected to the open field test (OF) to discard a shRTP801 effect over general locomotion, since hippocampal principal neurons can regulate motor behavior [44]. RTP801 downregulation did not induce significant changes in travelled distance, thigmotaxis or parallel index (Figure 2b-d). No differences were found between genotypes, as expected[45] (Two-way ANOVA group effect for travelled distance $F_{(3,32)}=1.767$, $P=0.1732$; genotype effect for percentage of distance in the center of the arena $F_{(1,33)}=3.517$, $P=0.0696$; genotype effect for parallel index $F_{(1,33)}=3.712$, $P=0.0627$).

Next, we analyzed spontaneous alternation in a T-maze task, 2h after habituation. We observed reduced cognitive flexibility in the R6/1 shCt group, since they showed no tendency to modify their response and explore the novel arm. This parameter was rescued in shRTP801-injected R6/1 mice to levels similar to WT shCt and WT shRTP801 groups (Figure 2e) ($\chi^2_{2,020}=0.1552$ vs. WT shCt and $\chi^2_{2,075}=0.3039$ vs. WT shRTP801).

The passive avoidance paradigm is a hippocampal-related test used to evaluate learning and memory[46,47]. In this test, we did not observe significant differences in the step-through latencies between groups in the first retention test, 24h after training (One-way ANOVA $F_{(3,34)}=0.08203$, $P=0.9694$) (Figure 2f, 24h testing session) meaning none of the groups showed associative memory deficits at this time point. However, 6 weeks later, shCt-injected R6/1 mice showed a significant reduction of the acquired long-lasting associative memory. In contrast, the WT shCt, WT shRTP801 and R6/1 shRTP801 groups showed similar levels of aversion to the dark chamber than in the first retention test (Figure 2f, 6 weeks session). Thus, RTP801 silencing in hippocampal neurons prevented cognitive alterations in terms of spatial working memory and long-lasting associative memory.
Figure 2. Silencing hippocampal RTP801 levels prevents cognitive dysfunction in the R6/1 mouse. (a) AAVs expressing GFP-shCT (AAV-shCt) or GFP-shRNA-RTP801 (AAV-shRTP801) were bilaterally injected in the dorsal hippocampus of 2-month-old WT and R6/1 male mice. A battery of behavioral tests was performed 4 weeks later. (b-d) Locomotor activity in the open field was assessed for 30 minutes. Total distance travelled each 3 minutes (b) (Two-way ANOVA group effect for travelled distance $F_{(3,32)}=1.767, P=0.1732$), distance travelled in the center (c) (Treatment effect: $F_{(1, 33)}=0.1085, p=0.7439$; Genotype effect: $F_{(1, 33)}=3.517, p=0.0696$), as a measure of anxiety, and parallel index (d) (Treatment effect: $F_{(1, 33)}=0.02069, p=0.8865$; Genotype effect: $F_{(1, 33)}=0.2781, p=0.6015$) were monitored. No differences were found between groups. Data is represented as mean±SEM and was analyzed with Two-way ANOVA. (e) Spontaneous alternation rate 2h after the training trial was assessed in the T-SAT. Chi-square ($\chi^2$) test was performed in pair comparisons: * $P<0.05$ and **** $P<0.0001$ compared with WT shCt and $** P<0.0001$ compared with R6/1 shCt. (f) In the passive avoidance test the latency (in seconds) to step-through was measured before (Training), 24 h after and weekly after training (6 weeks data is shown in the graph). Data from 6-weeks testing day was analyzed with One-way ANOVA ($F=8.542, p=0.0002$) followed by Bonferroni’s post hoc test: * $P<0.05$ and *** $P<0.001$. Data are means ± SEM.

3.3. Silencing RTP801 levels in R6/1 mouse hippocampal neurons prevents neuroinflammatory processes

One week after finishing the battery of behavioral testing, the brains were collected to investigate the events that could explain the affection of the hippocampal-dependent cognitive behavior. We first confirmed a widespread viral transduction in the dorsal hippocampus including the dentate gyrus (DG) and the CA1 (Figure 3a). We also con-
firmed that principal neurons (pyramidal neurons in the CA1 and granule cells in the DG) but not glial cells were transduced with the neuron-specific AAV2/8 particles (Figure 3b). Downregulation of RTP801 levels was confirmed by western blot in samples from the dorsal hippocampus (Figure 3c,d).

Previous findings described that hippocampal neuropathology in R6/1 mice is accompanied by reduced levels of synaptic markers such as PSD-95, AMPAR subunit GluA1 and full-length BDNF receptor TrkB (TrkB.FL) [18,21,48,49]. Interestingly, we observed that silencing RTP801 in the R6/1 mouse hippocampus partially rescued GluA1 and TrkB.FL receptors levels (Figure 3e,f) by an mTOR-independent mechanism (Sup Figure 1) although it did not recover the loss of PSD-95 (Figure 3g) levels.

Since RTP801 levels strongly correlate with gliosis markers in HD human samples (Figure 1e,g) and the protein downregulation was associated with an anti-inflammatory effect in a mouse model of AD, we next investigated whether RTP801 silencing was affecting core neuroinflammatory events in the R6/1 model. Indeed, silencing neuronal RTP801 in both WT and R6/1 mice reduced significantly GFAP and Iba1 levels (Figure 3h,i). While astrogliosis was not observed in R6/1-shCt mice, increased levels of Iba1, as a readout for microgliosis, were detected.
Figure 3. RTP801 hippocampal silencing is restricted to principal neurons, partially restores synaptic GluA1 and TrkB.FL levels and reduces neuroinflammatory markers in the R6/1 mouse. (a) Representative dorsal hippocampi from WT shCt, WT shRTP801, R6/1 shCt and R6/1 shRTP801 mice 11 weeks after the injection, GFP fluorescence (green) was detected in the entire dorsal hippocampus. Scale bars, 250µm. (b) Neither astrocytes (stained against GFAP, red) nor microglial cells (Iba1+ cells, grey) express GFP protein, indicating they were not transduced with AAV particles. CA1 and DG are depicted through dashed lines. Arrowheads indicate non-transduced glial cells. Scale bars, 50µm. (c) Representative immunoblot showing the levels of RTP801 relativized with respect to GFP/actin ratio levels as the corresponding loading controls in dorsal hippocampus extracts. (d) The histogram represents protein levels expressed as percentage of WT shCt (Treatment effect: F(1, 27) = 31.18, p < 0.0001; Genotype effect: F(1, 27) = 0.5064, p = 0.4828). (e-g) Representative immunoblots showing the levels of GluA1 (Treatment effect: F(1, 32) = 1.771, p = 0.1927; Genotype effect: F(1, 32) = 27.79, p < 0.0001), TrkB.FL (Treatment effect: F(1, 27) = 1.954, p = 0.1736; Genotype effect: F(1, 27) = 9.614, p = 0.0045) and PSD-95 (Treatment effect: F(1, 29) = 0.3480, p = 0.5598; Genotype effect: F(1, 29) = 32.94, p < 0.0001) normalized by actin in the crude synaptosomal fraction obtained from dorsal hippocampus extracts from WT shCt, WT shRTP801, R6/1 shCt and R6/1 shRTP801 groups of mice. (h,i) Representative immunoblots showing the levels of GFAP (Treatment effect: F(1, 34) = 4.222, p = 0.0477; Genotype effect: F(1, 34) = 0.004228, p = 0.9485) and Iba1 (Treatment effect: F(1, 36) = 4.491, p = 0.0370; Genotype effect: F(1, 36) = 14.22, p = 0.006) normalized by actin in total homogenates obtained from dorsal hippocampus extracts from WT shCt, WT shRTP801, R6/1 shCt and R6/1 shRTP801 groups of mice. Data are means ± SEM and were analyzed by Two-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni’s post hoc test. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01 and ***P < 0.001.

We confirmed the abovementioned results by immunofluorescence. Knocking down RTP801 in neurons reduced GFAP-immunoreactivity in both WT and R6/1 mice hippocampus (Figure 4 a,b). No significant effect was found in the number of astrocytes (Figure 4 a,c) but, interestingly, RTP801 expression in astrocytes was reduced (Figure 4 a,d), although this cell type was not transduced with the neuron-specific AAVs.

Regarding microglia, we detected a mild recovery in both Iba1-immunoreactivity and microglia density in R6/1-shRTP801 mice (Figure 4 e-g). We also checked for morphological changes in microglia associated with an inflammatory response, but no genotype or shRNA effects were observed (Supplementary Figure 2), as previously described in the striatum of R6/1 mouse at this age[50].
Figure 4. Silencing hippocampal RTP801 neuronal levels in R6/1 mouse partially prevents neuroinflammatory events. (a) Representative GFAP and RTP801 labelling in the CA1 hippocampal region in 5-month-old WT and R6/1 mice (upper panels, scale bars 50µm and lower panels, 25µm). (b) Quantification of GFAP levels (IOD intensity, % respect to WT shCt) in the four groups (Treatment effect: F(1, 39) = 9.363, p = 0.0040; Genotype effect: F(1, 39) = 0.09983, p = 0.7537). (c) Quantification of GFAP-positive cell density in the four groups (Treatment effect: F(1, 39) = 2.271, p = 0.1373; Genotype effect: F(1, 39) = 0.9986, p = 0.3219). (d) Quantification of RTP801 levels in GFAP-positive cells in the four groups (Treatment effect: F(1, 39) = 5.909, p = 0.0198; Genotype effect: F(1, 39) = 0.3525, p = 0.5562). (e) Representative Iba1 labelling from the CA1 in 5-month-old animals (upper panels, scale bars 50 µm and lower panels, 25 µm). (f) Quantification of Iba1-positive cell density in the CA1 in the four groups (Treatment effect: F(1, 57) = 2.271, p = 0.1373; Genotype effect: F(1, 57) = 0.9986, p = 0.3219). (g) Quantification of Iba1 relative intensity (% respect to WT shCt) in the CA1 in the four groups (Treatment effect: F(1, 56) = 1.377, p = 0.2456; Genotype effect: F(1, 56) = 10.13, p = 0.0024). Immunolabelling quantification of all proteins is expressed as the mean ± SEM. All data were analyzed by two-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni’s post hoc test. *P < 0.05, **P<0.01.

To understand the mechanism by which silencing RTP801 in hippocampal neurons diminished the inflammatory response in the R6/1 mice, we investigated whether the inflammasome receptor NLRP1 and its components were affected (Figure 5a). NLRP1 is mainly expressed in neurons[51] as pro-form and auto-proteolytic fragment (cleaved). Silencing neuronal RTP801 diminished the activation of NLRP1 (Figure 5 b,c) in both WT and R6/1 mice. Moreover, this significant reduction was accompanied by a reduction of the levels of the procaspase 1 (Figure 5e), its cleaved form (Figure 5f) and the inflammasome adaptor ASC/TMS1 (Figure 5d).

Altogether, our results suggest that neuronal hippocampal RTP801 in the R6/1 mouse model affects cognition by impairing the expression of synaptic proteins and activating the inflammasome that will eventually induce astro- and microglial reactivity.

Figure 5. RTP801 silencing in the R6/1 mouse hippocampal neurons reduces the levels of the inflammasome components. (a) Immunoblottings for NLRP1, cleaved NLRP1, procaspase 1, cleaved caspase 1, ASC/TMS1 and GFP as loading control for transduced neurons in the dorsal hippocampus of 4.5-month-old WT shCt, WT shRTP801, R6/1 shCt, and R6/1 shRTP801 mice. (b-f) Densitometric quantification of NLRP1 (treatment effect: F(1, 26) = 24.89, P < 0.0001; interaction: F(1, 26) = 1.175, P = 0.2883), cleaved NLRP1 (treatment effect: F(1, 29) = 44.18, P < 0.0001; genotype effect: F(1, 29) = 15.32, P = 0.0005; interaction: F(1, 29) = 6.588, P = 0.0157), ASC/TMS1 (treatment effect: F(1, 31) = 40.72, P < 0.0001; genotype effect: F(1, 31) = 17.85, P = 0.0002; interaction: F(1, 31) = 15.91, P = 0.0004), procaspase 1 (treatment effect: F(1, 30) = 26.06, P < 0.0001; interaction: F(1, 30) = 10.82, P = 0.0026) and cleaved caspase 1 (treatment effect: F(1, 30) = 25.57, P < 0.0001; interaction: F(1, 30) = 17.85, P = 0.0002; genotype effect: F(1, 30) = 17.85, P = 0.0002; interaction: F(1, 30) = 15.91, P = 0.0004).
4. Discussion

Here, we found that neuronal RTP801 is involved in hippocampal pathophysiology in HD as its RTP801 downregulation in the R6/1 mouse prevented some cognitive alterations, partially restored the levels of synaptic GluA1 and TrkB.FL receptors and reduced inflammasome activation and gliosis.

Our previous studies demonstrated the contribution of striatal RTP801 to HD pathology. RTP801 mediates mhtt toxicity in vitro [40] and its levels appear increased in cortical and putamen/strialt samples from HD patients and mouse models of the disease[30,40]. However, the putative role of RTP801 in HD hippocampal pathology had never been investigated. Previous works have addressed the contribution of the protein to hippocampal function. For instance, in WT animals, RTP801 overexpression impairs contextual-fear memory consolidation, while RTP801 hippocampal silencing enhances this process[52]. In line with this, RTP801 hippocampal downregulation prevents memory impairments and reduces neuroinflammation in the 5xFAD mouse model of AD[36] and diminishes amyloid-beta induced synaptic dysfunction[53].

Here, we reduced RTP801 levels with neuron-specific AAVs (serotype 2/8), in the dorsal hippocampus in the R6/1 model of HD, where RTP801 levels did not appear to be altered. Our experiments confirmed the previous studies indicating that R6/1 mice display impaired memory and cognitive flexibility, features which are also affected in human HD patients[17,54]. RTP801 silencing in hippocampal neurons with a validated shRNA against RTP801 [30,33,34,40,55–57] prevented the alterations in cognitive flexibility and long-lasting associative memory observed in shCt-R6/1 mice, while no effects were found in WT animals. We speculate that, although the levels of hippocampal RTP801 do not differ between WT and R6/1 animals, the presence of mhtt could be influencing RTP801 detrimental effects in neurons, explaining the beneficial outcome of silencing it.

We also confirmed the decreased levels of synaptic markers such as PSD-95, GluA1 AMPAR subunit and TrkB.FL receptor in the R6/1 mouse hippocampus[18,48,49]. Remarkably, a mild restoration of GluA1 and TrkB.FL synaptic levels was observed, in line with previous results from our group[30,32]. Indeed, the RTP801 total knockout mouse shows increased levels of cortical GluA1 and TrkB.FL, in good correlation with the enhanced synaptic transmission observed in these animals[32], and RTP801 striatal silencing in the R6/1 model enhances the expression of both synaptic proteins in this brain area[30]. On the one hand, AMPAR subunit GluA1 confers calcium permeability to the receptor, promoting the activation of intracellular signaling cascades critical for synaptic transmission and plasticity[58–61]. On the other hand, increased TrkB.FL levels could promote neuronal survival, neuroprotection, hippocampal long-term potentiation and also GluA1 local translation[62,63]. These observations suggest that hippocampal RTP801 silencing could enhance synaptic transmission and neuroprotection which, in turn, would contribute to the prevention of cognitive abnormalities observed in the R6/1 mouse.

Remarkably, RTP801 silencing led to a general decrease in GFAP protein levels and a normalization of microgliosis in the dorsal hippocampus of the R6/1 mouse, as readouts of inflammation. We speculate that this reduction of neuroinflammatory markers was also contributing to the amelioration of cognitive deficits in the R6/1-shRTP801 group since in recent years increasing evidence indicate an important role of glial cells in learning and memory processes. For instance, astrocytes regulate memory processes[64–66] and have a key role in hippocampal synaptic plasticity[67]. Regarding microglia, this cell type also regulates synaptic plasticity by controlling synapses formation in the healthy and diseased brain[68] and its depletion leads to altered spatial
learning[69]. Indeed, microglial replacement improves cognition in aged mice[70] and they can trigger synaptic transmission by the release of modulatory factors[71]. Moreover, several investigations indicate that the activation of inflammatory cells plays an important role in the pathogenesis of HD (reviewed in[25]). First, early studies described reactive gliosis in human HD brains[8,72–74] and, indeed, increased gliosis and inflammatory mediators correlate with disease progression[25,28]. Second, both astrocytes and microglia show a wide range of morphological and functional alterations in HD[26,50,75–78]. Finally, some therapeutic approaches proven effective in the amelioration of HD phenotype involved decreased gliosis in the striatum or hippocampus[18,50,79–81]. Here, we confirm that inflammatory processes are involved in hippocampal-related pathology in HD and suggest that modulation of this inflammatory response could serve to improve cognitive function.

Given that RTP801 downregulation was specifically in neurons, further research should be performed in a complementary cell-specific manner, to elucidate the importance of silencing RTP801 in glial cells. We speculate that the reduction of the activation of the inflammasome receptor NLRP1, mostly expressed in neurons[51], upon RTP801 silencing, would lead to a decrease of astrocytic RTP801 levels and would contribute to preventing the inflammatory response. In fact, silencing neuronal RTP801 also affected NLRP1 downstream effectors and adaptors, confirming this hypothesis. Hence, RTP801 silencing can reduce the activation of neuronal inflammatory pathways that trigger astrocyte and microglia reactivity in HD models.

Interestingly, increased RTP801 levels are also associated with the activation of inflammatory pathways in non-neuronal models[82–84]. Indeed, in macrophages exposed to lipopolysaccharide (LPS) or lung epithelium to cigarette smoke, RTP801 abrogation reduced the release of proinflammatory mediators and the inflammasome formation, among others[82–84]. Moreover, our previous work described a novel pathological mechanism for RTP801 in neuroinflammation in AD, which also involved reduced gliosis and decreased levels of NLRP1 receptor[36]. Hence, our data suggest a similar role of RTP801 in neuroinflammation in a context of HD.

Altogether, our results support RTP801 as a readout for hippocampal pathology in HD patients and highlight RTP801 downregulation as a promising therapeutic strategy to ameliorate inflammatory events and to prevent motor and cognitive deficits in Huntington’s disease.
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