An Enhanced Apriori Algorithm for Discovering Frequent Patterns with Optimal Number of Scans

Sudhir Tirumalasetty¹, Aruna Jadda² and Sreenivasa Reddy Edara³

¹ Department of Computer Science & Engineering, Vasireddy Venkatadri Institute of Technology Guntur, Andhra Pradesh 522508, India

² Department of Computer Science & Engineering, Vasireddy Venkatadri Institute of Technology Guntur, Andhra Pradesh 522508, India

³ Department of Computer Science & Engineering, Acharya Nagarjuna University Guntur, Andhra Pradesh 522510, India

Abstract
Data mining is wide spreading its applications in several areas. There are different tasks in mining which provides solutions for wide variety of problems in order to discover knowledge. Among those tasks association mining plays a pivotal role for identifying frequent patterns. Among the available association mining algorithms Apriori algorithm is one of the most prevalent and dominant algorithm which is used to discover frequent patterns. This algorithm is used to discover frequent patterns from small to large databases. This paper points toward the inadequacy of the tangible Apriori algorithm of wasting time for scanning the whole transactional database for discovering association rules and proposes an enhancement on Apriori algorithm to overcome this problem. This enhancement is obtained by dropping the amount of time used in scanning the transactional database by just limiting the number of transactions while calculating the frequency of an item or item-pairs. This improved version of Apriori algorithm optimizes the time used for scanning the whole transactional database.
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1. Introduction
Database has up-to-date vivid increase in its volume with time. This exponential increase in data resulted with an aim of discovering knowledge which is used to support decision making system. Data mining is the key step in the knowledge discovery process. The tasks of data mining are generally divided in two categories: Predictive and Descriptive. The goal of the predictive tasks is to predict the value of a particular attribute based on the values of other attributes and the goal of descriptive tasks, is to mine previously unknown and useful information from large databases. The goals of these tasks in data mining are obtained by some techniques. They are: clustering, classification, association rule mining, sequential pattern discovery and analysis. The advances of data mining systems have wide spread its magnitude in recent years for many decision making systems like sales analysis, healthcare, e-commerce, manufacturing, etc. Among the various techniques used in discovering knowledge, association mining is one of the most central data mining’s functionality. This mainly involves in extracting association rules [16]. These rules are used in identifying frequent patterns [17]. The advantages of these rules are discovering unknown relationships and generating outcomes which provides basis for decision making and prediction in areas like health care, banking, manufacturing, telecommunications etc. [16].

Existing association mining algorithms has few flaws. They are: (i) The whole database must be scanned for more number of times even though few patterns are interesting. This results in wastage of time. (ii) The rules generated by these association mining techniques are large and are difficult to understand. (iii) Defining support and confidence values is not clear. These values are defined experimentally. As a whole developing an optimal association mining algorithm is a thought provoking task. In this paper the general Apriori algorithm and an improved version of Apriori algorithm [18] are compared which resulted in evolution of another new improved version of Apriori algorithm. This new improved version minimizes the number of database scans.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 elaborates about association mining. Section 3 compares the general Apriori algorithm and existing improved version of Apriori algorithm [18]. Section 4 introduces a new enhanced version of Apriori algorithm. Section 5 compares the results of existing general Apriori algorithm, existing improved versions of Apriori algorithm [18] and new improved version of Apriori algorithm. Finally, conclusion and the future scope of this new improved version of Apriori algorithm.
2. Association Mining

Among the existing problems in data mining association mining is predominant. Discovering frequent patterns (rules) is prevalent in association mining. These rules play a pivotal role for decision making systems and are an emerging area in research [1]. These rules provides solution to problems in areas like banking, marketing, health care, telecommunication, text databases [2], web [3] and databases containing adequate images [4].

Till dated wide number of association mining algorithms were introduced [5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10]. These algorithms are grouped into two groups based on their approach. They are:

i. Candidate generation approach
   Ex: Apriori [6]

ii. Pattern growth approach
   Ex: FP Growth [9, 10]

Between these two groups, the first group developed many association mining algorithms. Among those, Apriori algorithm is the leading algorithm. This Apriori algorithm is enhanced by many scholars resulted in evolution of optimized Apriori like algorithms [11, 12, 13, 14, 15]. To discover frequent patterns these Apriori like algorithms follow iterative approach.

3. Existing Algorithms

3.1 The General Apriori Algorithm

The general Apriori algorithm is:

\[
\begin{align*}
T &: \text{Transactional data base} \\
C_k &: \text{Candidate item set of size } k \\
L_k &: \text{Frequent item set of size } k \\
s &: \text{Support} \\
\operatorname{Apriori}(T, s) &: \\
L_1 &: \{ \text{large 1-item set that appear in more than or equal to } s \text{ transactions} \} \\
k &: 2 \\
\text{While } L_{k-1} \neq \emptyset &:
\begin{align*}
C_k &: \text{Join}(L_{k-1}) \\
&\quad \text{For each candidate } c \text{ in } C_k \\
&\quad \quad \text{If } (c \not\subseteq t) \text{ then} \\
&\quad \quad \quad \text{count}[c] \leftarrow \text{count}[c] + 1 \\
&\quad \quad \text{End If} \\
&\quad \text{End For} \\
L_k &: \emptyset \\
&\quad \text{For each candidate } c \text{ in } C_k \text{ //Prune} \\
\end{align*}
\end{align*}
\]

In general Apriori algorithm, for each candidate in \( C_k \) frequency is calculated by scanning transactional database. After calculating frequencies for all candidates in a \( C_k \) these frequencies are compared with support, \( s \) and exclude candidates with frequencies less than \( s \). This results in generation of \( L_k \).

The general Apriori algorithm has some flaws:

- The transactional database is scanned repeatedly. This is because every candidate of candidate set \( (C_k) \) generated after Join operation must be checked in all transactions of transactional database for the presence of candidate.
- If there are adequate transactions then the general Apriori algorithm is not apt.

3.2 The Existing Enhanced Apriori Algorithm [18]

Mohammed Al-Maolegi et. al. developed an improved version of Apriori algorithm, Apriori\(_i\) [18] aimed in reducing the repeated scans of transactional database. This improved algorithm Apriori\(_i\) is:

\[
\begin{align*}
T &: \text{Transactional data base} \\
C_k &: \text{Candidate item set of size } k \\
L_k &: \text{Frequent item set of size } k \\
s &: \text{Support} \\
\operatorname{Apriori}_i(T, s) &: \\
L_1 &: \{ \text{large 1-item set that appear in more than or equal to } s \text{ transactions} \} \\
k &: 2 \\
\text{While } L_{k-1} \neq \emptyset &:
\begin{align*}
C_k &: \text{Join}(L_{k-1}) \\
&\quad \text{For each candidate } c \text{ in } C_k \\
&\quad \quad \text{I}_x = \text{Get Item Min Support}(c, L_1) \\
&\quad \quad \text{T}_\text{id} = \text{Get Transaction Ids}(I_x) \\
&\quad \quad \text{For each transaction } t \text{ in } T \_\text{id} \\
&\quad \quad \quad \text{If } (c \not\subseteq t) \text{ then} \\
&\quad \quad \quad \quad \text{count}[c] \leftarrow \text{count}[c] + 1 \\
&\quad \quad \quad \text{End If} \\
&\quad \quad \text{End For} \\
&\quad \text{End For} \\
L_k &: \emptyset \\
&\quad \text{For each candidate } c \text{ in } C_k \text{ //Prune} \\
&\quad \quad \text{If } (\text{count}[c] \geq s) \text{ then} \\
&\quad \quad \quad L_k \leftarrow L_k \cup \{c\} \\
&\quad \text{End If} \\
&\quad \text{End For} \\
&\quad k \leftarrow k + 1 \\
&\quad \text{End While} \\
&\quad \text{Return } L_k \\
&\quad \text{End Apriori}_i \\
\end{align*}
\end{align*}
\]
L_k ← L_k U {c}
End If
End For
k ← k + 1
End While
Return L_k
End Apriori

In this improved version of Apriori, for each candidate c in C_k, item (I_x) with minimum support among the items in c is obtained and the transactions that contain that item (I_x) are grouped (T_id). Next, in each transaction t in T_id the presence of c is checked and the frequency of c is calculated rather than scanning the entire transactional database. Later the frequencies of c in C_k are compared with support, s and exclude the candidates with frequencies less than s. This results in generation of L_k.

The advantage of this improved Apriori algorithm is:
- The entire transactional database is not scanned for calculating the frequency of c in C_k.

This improved version of Apriori algorithm has a flaw:
- All transactions with transaction ids in T_id are checked for presence of c even though few transactions contain c.

These flaws stated in section 3.1 and 3.2 are by-passed by the proposed algorithm stated in section 4.

4. Proposed Algorithm

4.1 The New Enhanced Apriori Algorithm

The proposed algorithm reduces number of scans when compared with Apriori_1. The proposed algorithm is as follows:

T: Transactional data base
C_k: Candidate item set of size k
L_k: Frequent item set of size k
s: Support

Apriori_2(T, s)
L_1 ← \{ large 1-item set that appear in more than or equal to s transactions \}
k ← 2
While L_{k-1} \neq \phi
C_k ← Join(L_{k-1})
For each candidate c in C_k
L_k ← \phi
T_id = Get_Common_Transaction_Ids(c, L_1)
If ( |T_id| \geq s ) then
L_k ← L_k U \{c\}
End If
End For
k ← k + 1
End While
Return L_k
End Apriori_2

The proposed algorithm Apriori_2 combines both join and prune operations of Apriori and Apriori_1. The proposed algorithm obtains common transaction ids for all items in C_k as a group (T_id). The count of group of transaction ids (|T_id|) defines the frequency of c. If this frequency is greater than and equal to support, s then c of C_k is included in L_k. The number of statements in proposed algorithm Apriori_2 is less when compared with Apriori and Apriori_1.

4.2 Example

To trace out the proposed algorithm consider the transactional database with transactions (T_i) and items (I_j) shown in Table 1. This considered transactional data set consists of nine transactions and five items. Assume the value of support, s as 3.

Table 1: Transactional Database

| T_id | Items    |
|------|----------|
| T_1  | I_1, I_2, I_3 |
| T_2  | I_2, I_4   |
| T_3  | I_2, I_4   |
| T_4  | I_1, I_2, I_4 |
| T_5  | I_1, I_3   |
| T_6  | I_2, I_3   |
| T_7  | I_1, I_2   |
| T_8  | I_1, I_2, I_3, I_5 |
| T_9  | I_1, I_2, I_3 |

At first the proposed algorithm generates candidate 1-item set. This is shown in Table 2.

Table 2: Candidate 1 – item set

| Item | Frequency |
|------|-----------|
| I_1  | 6         |
| I_2  | 7         |
| I_3  | 5         |
| I_4  | 3         |
| I_5  | 2         |

The items with frequency greater than or equal to 3 are considered and remaining items are excluded. This results in generation of frequent 1 – item set, L_1. This is shown in Table 3. I_5 is excluded because its frequency is less than 3.
The frequent 2 - item set is generated using common transaction ids. This is shown in Table 4.

| Item | Common Transaction Ids | Count of common transaction ids (|Tid|) |
|------|------------------------|-------------------------------|
| I₁, I₂ | T₁, T₄, T₅, T₉ | 4 |
| I₁, I₃ | T₃, T₅, T₆, T₉ | 4 |
| I₂, I₃ | T₆, T₈, T₉ | 3 |
| I₂, I₄ | T₅, T₃, T₄ | 3 |

Consider the candidate (I₁, I₂). To calculate frequency of (I₁, I₂) the general Apriori involves in scanning all transactions (9 transactions) and Apriori₁ involves in scanning six transactions (T₁, T₄, T₅, T₆, T₇, T₈, T₉). The proposed algorithm involves in selecting the common transaction ids which contains (I₁, I₂) which doesn’t include of scanning the transactions for checking the presence of (I₁, I₂) because obtaining the common transaction ids containing the candidate (I₁, I₂) need not scan transactions. (I₁, I₂) and (I₂, I₄) are not included into L₂ because their |Tid| is less than 3. Frequent 3 – item set is empty because the count of common transaction ids for all candidates in C₃ is less than 3.

### 5. Analysis of Proposed Algorithm Apriori₂

The number of scans by Apriori, Apriori₁ and Apriori₂ over the transactional database shown in Table 1 are tabulated in Table 5. This is tabulated with support (s) as 3.

| Frequent 1-item set | Apriori | Apriori₁ | Apriori₂ |
|---------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Frequent 2-item set | 45      | 45      | 45      |
| Frequent 3-item set | 36      | 14      | 0       |
| Total no. of scans  | 135     | 84      | 45      |

The number of scans doesn’t alter by Apriori₂ even when the support (s) value is increased whereas the number of scans for Apriori and Apriori₁ alters. The time consumed for generating frequent items (Lₖ) in milliseconds by general Apriori algorithm, Apriori₁ and Apriori₂ is shown in Table 6. This is calculated over the transactional database shown in Table 1 with support (s) as 3.

| Time in milliseconds | Apriori | Apriori₁ | Apriori₂ |
|----------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Frequent 2-item set  | 14      | 11      | 9       |
| Frequent 3-item set  | 15      | 13      | 12      |

The execution time decreases with increase in value of support(s).

### 6. Conclusions

The proposed algorithm Apriori₂, reduces number of scans of transactional database while generating Lₖ. Execution time also improved when compared with Apriori and Apriori₁. This represents that Apriori₂ takes less time in generating frequent patterns. If the value of support is increased then the number of scans also gets decreased (for generating L₁ number of scans decreases and for L₂ and above the number of scans always remains zero). The proposed algorithm fits for larger transactional databases because the proposed algorithm doesn’t scan transactional database while generating Lₖ (k>1). It looks into only transactional ids. This proposed algorithm is apt for problems in areas like marketing, whether, health care etc.
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