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ABSTRACT

Malaria remains a serious global public health problem and a leading cause of morbidity and mortality in sub-Saharan Africa. In Zambia the disease is endemic with stable transmission, accounting for 40% of all out-patient attendances and is responsible for 20% deaths among children under five. Scaling up of scientifically proven high impact preventive, curative and supportive interventions and deploying the three-ones strategy: one coordinating mechanism; one implementation plan and one monitoring plan which is key for increased and successful public-private sector partner coordination, strengthening and mobilization. There has been marked impact in the reduction of the annual number of malaria deaths by over 60% and malaria cases by 66% (2000-2008), under-five malaria deaths by 41% (2006-2008), severe anemia rates in children under five from 22 to16% in 2010 and parasite prevalence among children under five by 56% (2006-2010). Intermittent presumptive treatment in pregnancy uptake has reached the RBM target at 86%. With these achievements, the country has surpassed targets set by: (i) the Abuja Declaration and (ii) the RBM of reducing the global malaria burden by 50% by 2010. The achievements can be attributed to increased advocacy, communication and behaviour change, efficient partnership coordination including strong community engagement, increased financial resources and evidence-based deployment of key technical interventions in accordance with the national malaria control programme policy and strategic direction. Maintaining the momentum and the gains is critical as the programme strives to achieve universal coverage of evidence-based and proven interventions. The country offers some unique models and experiences that could really benefit other programmes in the region. Community-level integrated entomological and active case surveillance, prompt effective treatment and sustained high levels of contemporary malaria prevention tools is pivotal to the long-term success of malaria control and future malaria elimination. However, there is great need for sustained, predictable, regular resources and broadening the partnership base. To ensure sustainability, Government needs to remain on the driving seat and committed to malaria control in terms of funding.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Malaria continues to be a disease of major public health significance in Zambia despite recent successes in scaling up interventions and documented reductions in malaria burden among children (WHO, 2009; MoH, 2010a; 2010b; 2010c). The report article entitled “Achievements in Malaria Control: The Zambian Story 2000-2010” was published in 2010 by the Directorate of Public Health and Research of the Ministry of Health in Zambia (MoH, 2010a; 2010b; 2010c). The publication indicates that in the 10-20 years leading up to the year 2000, relatively limited malaria prevention existed in the country and much of the activities were focused on...
treatment of malaria. This led to steady increase in the disease burden, with hospital admissions increasing from 8.8% in 1976 to over 20% in the 1990s. Accordingly, case fatality rates in hospitalized patients increased from 10.6 deaths per 1000 malaria admissions in 1976-51 deaths per 1000 malaria admissions in 1994 (MoH, 2000). In 1999, approximately 3.46 million malaria cases were recorded for a population of 10.8 million inhabitants. The malaria case rate was 4-5 folds higher in children under 5 years of age compared to those above 5 years of age. The situation prompted the Zambian Government to place malaria as a priority area and clearly outlined it in both the National Health Strategic Plan and the National Development Plan (MoH, 2006a; 2006b; 2006c). In an effort to reduce the impact of malaria and contribute to the attainment of the Roll Back Malaria (RBM) targets and health related Millennium Development Goals (MDGs), malaria control measures using an integrated approach with evidence-based proven prevention, control and management interventions were re-introduced in Zambia (MoH, 2001; 2006a).

Major malaria vectors in the country are Anopheles gambiae s.s, An. arabiensis and An. funestus s.s (Chanda et al., 2011). The predominant malaria parasite species is Plasmodium falciparum, with Plasmodium malariae and Plasmodium ovale accounting for less than 5% (Keating et al., 2009). Zambia’s initial National Malaria Control Strategic Plan covered the period from 2000-2005; the plan was updated for 2006-2010, setting ambitious goals to scale up a package of malaria interventions (MoH, 2001; 2006a). The key malaria prevention, control and management strategies that Zambia took to mitigate the disease are: (1) vector control using Indoor Residual Spraying (IRS) and promotion of ownership and use of Insecticide Treated Nets (ITNs); (2) malaria case management using effective diagnostics and lifesaving drugs-Artemisinin-based Combination Therapy (ACTs); (3) control of malaria in pregnancy through Intermittent Presumptive Treatment (IPTp) strategy and (4) Information, Education and Communication (IEC)/Behavioural Change Communication (BCC) strategies. The country has made great progress in the fight against malaria (Table 1 and 2). The operational scale deployment of effective control tools has transformed the epidemiological profile from country-wide high endemicity to three distinct epidemiological strata: very low transmission and parasite prevalence of <1%, low transmission (1-10%) and persistent high transmission (>10%) (MoH, 2010a; 2010b; 2010c). Intermittent presumptive treatment in pregnancy (IPTp) uptake has reached the RBM target at 86% including uptake of two to three doses of IPTp represents 70% which is one of the highest in Africa (MoH, 2010a; 2010b; 2010c) (Table 1 and 3). The incidence of malaria has declined by 39% between 2006 and 2008 and a more than 60% decline in inpatient malaria cases between 2001 and 2008, in both under 5 and 5-15 year age groups (Chanda et al., 2009; MoH, 2006a; 2006b; 2006c; NMCC, 2008). Parasite prevalence among children under five in Zambia declined from 22-16% in 2010 (MoH, 2010a) (Table 1).

The report aims at sharing with the rest of the malaria community the achievements made by the malaria control programme in Zambia and highlighting the need to maintain the thrust and the gains as the programme strives towards achieving universal coverage of evidence-based and proven interventions. Particularly, the need to scale up and direct interventions based on epidemiological and entomological evidence (including insecticide susceptibility and management of resistance), strengthen active malaria surveillance and response to reduce transmission, to address the epidemiological differences across the country and utilize the evidence for ongoing refinement of policy and strategy, strengthen malaria control operations at provincial, district and community levels in accordance with national policies based on decentralization programs. To consolidate partnership and performance management in order to address human and financial resource needs, commodity requirements and program action. As well as addressing the low utilisation and acceptance of interventions through increased advocacy, education and communication for behaviour change.

The main findings or arguments of the report are that; (1) sustaining high levels of transmission-reducing interventions is critical to the long term success of malaria control and its future elimination; (2) a solid and predictable resource base is absolutely required for effective planning and efficient programme implementation; (3) mobilization and efficient coordination of partners has markedly contributed to the success of the malaria control efforts in Zambia; (4) advocacy, communication and behavioural change are key for strengthened political will, national leadership, community ownership and involvement and concerted efforts from all stakeholders; and (5) all these aspects together could facilitate for the ultimate attainment of a malaria-free Zambia.

Thus, the success that Zambia has achieved in malaria control can be attributed to the strong partnerships, increased resources and evidence-based deployment of interventions in accordance with the national malaria control programme policy and strategic direction (Steketee et al., 2008). In light of enhanced advocacy and strengthened partnerships, there is unequivocally strong need for thorough evaluation of the performance of different aspects of the control programme. Herein we provide an in-depth evaluation of the strengths, weaknesses and key issues of the report on the achievements of malaria control in Zambia.

Table 1

| Year | Total Malaria Cases | Malaria Deaths |
|------|---------------------|----------------|
| 1994 | 3,460,000           | 16,000         |
| 1999 | 2,840,000           | 12,000         |
| 2004 | 2,260,000           | 8,000          |
| 2008 | 1,780,000           | 4,000          |

Table 2

| Year | IPTp Uptake (%) |
|------|----------------|
| 2006 | 86             |
| 2007 | 87             |
| 2008 | 88             |
| 2009 | 89             |
| 2010 | 90             |
Table 1. Benchmarking change in Zambia

| Indicator                                                                 | DHS 2001/02 | MIS 2006 | DHS 2007 | MIS 2008 | MIS 2010 |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Percentage of households with at least one Insecticide-Treated Net (ITN) | 14          | 38       | 53       | 62       | 64       |
| Percentage of households with at least one ITN per sleeping space        | N/A         | N/A      | N/A      | 33       | 34       |
| Percentage of households receiving IRS in the previous 12 months among all households | N/A         | 10       | N/A      | 15       | 23       |
| Percentage of households covered by at least one ITN or recent IRS        | N/A         | 43       | N/A      | 68       | 73       |
| Percentage of children ages 0-59 months who slept under an ITN the previous night | 7           | 24       | 29       | 41       | 50       |
| Percentage of Pregnant Women (PW) who slept under an ITN the previous night | 8           | 25       | 33       | 43       | 46       |
| Percentage of household members who slept under an ITN the previous night | N/A         | 19       | N/A      | 34       | 42       |
| Percentage of PW who took any preventive antimalarial drug during pregnancy | N/A         | 59       | 66       | 66       | 70       |
| Percentage of children ages 0-59 months with severe anaemia (Hb<8 g/dL)  | N/A         | 14       | N/A      | 4        | 9        |
| Percentage of children ages 0-59 months with malaria parasitaemia         | N/A         | 22       | N/A      | 10       | 16       |
| Percentage of women ages 15-49 years who recognize fever as a symptom of malaria | N/A         | 65       | N/A      | 71       | 75       |
| Percentage of women ages 15-49 years who reported mosquito bites as a cause of malaria | N/A         | 80       | N/A      | 85       | 85       |
| Percentage of women ages 15-49 years who reported mosquito nets as a prevention method | N/A         | 78       | N/A      | 81       | 82       |

Source of data: DHS, MIS and Reports (2001-2010)

Table 2. Changes in Child Mortality Rates 2001/02 and 2007

| Indicator                      | 2001/02 DHS | 2007 DHS | Change (%) |
|--------------------------------|-------------|----------|------------|
| Infant mortality               | 95          | 70       | -26        |
| Neonatal mortality             | 37          | 34       | -8         |
| Post natal mortality           | 58          | 36       | -38        |
| Child mortality (1-4yrs)       | 81          | 52       | -36        |
| Under-5 mortality              | 168         | 119      | -29        |

Source: Zambia Demographic and Health Survey, 2001/2 and 2007

1.1. Review

The report is well written and attractively produced but there are some notable gaps. For example, the report does not give from the outset a clear back ground of the country’s demographic and epidemiologic description. However, Zambia is situated in the Southern African region between 8° and 18° degrees south latitude and between 20° and 35° degrees east longitude with a population of approximately 13 million (CSO, 2000) in 10 provinces (Fig. 1). There are three distinct seasons: a cool and dry season from April to August, a hot and dry season from August to November and a warm and rainy season from November to April. Malaria is endemic with regular and moderate to high transmission across the entire country with a seasonal pattern of high transmission peaks between December and May coinciding with the rainy season (MoH, 2000).

There is a clear indication that the Ministry of Health implements a Sector Wide Approach (SWAp) which harnesses the pooling of financial resources into the district basket funding leading to regular, predictable and sustained flow of resources (Chizema-Kawesha et al., 2010). However, the report does not bring out strongly the challenges of the dwindling financial resources that have followed in the wake of diminishing donor support and the limited Government funding for malaria control. Equally, most challenges are not addressed adequately but rather confined to specific interventions even when they relate to all aspects of malaria control. To illustrate, the lack of adequate competent human resource pool in the health sector necessary for driving the malaria control agenda forward is minimally addressed. The report only alludes to this challenge in relation to operational research and malaria case management and diagnosis.

In the same vein, coordination and partnerships at district level that remains a major stumbling block to effective deployment of intervention received little attention. As indicated by the need to reinforce partnership engagement for IRS, particularly with the local authorities, at this level. Generally flaws in the supply chain management of commodities and equipment has resulted in delayed implementation of key preventive interventions and timely management of the disease.
**Table 3.** Summary of progress in MIP interventions

| Indicator                                                        | DHS 2001/2002 | MIS 2006 | MIS 2008 | MIS 2010 |
|------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|----------|----------|----------|
| Percentage of Pregnant Women (PW) who slept under an ITN the previous night | 7.9           | 24.5     | 43.2     | 45.9     |
| Percentage of PW who took any preventive antimalarial drug during pregnancy | 35.8          | 85.3     | 88.1     | 89.0     |
| Percentage of PW who received 2 doses of intermittent preventive treatment during pregnancy | N/A           | 58.9     | 66.1     | 70.2     |

**Source:** Zambia Demographic and Health Survey, 2001/2, MIS; 2006, 2008 and 2010

**Fig. 1.** Map of Zambia showing the location of the neighbouring countries in Southern Africa

**Fig. 2.** ITN use by children under age five years in rural and urban areas (Source: Zambia Malaria Indicator Surveys 2006-2010)
The report only mentions the need to collaborate with Medical Stores Limited and the reproductive health department to assure supplies of Sulphadoxine-Pyrimethamine (SP) for IPTp and streamline the distribution of SP to all ante natal clinic facilities. Most statements in the report are either not or are inadequately referenced. The success story could have been greatly enhanced if the foregoing shortfalls were addressed.

Nevertheless, the publication covers the achievements of malaria control in Zambia broadly and yet with sufficient information to be useful to other control programmes that are intending to scale up interventions. It highlights the integrated approach that the national malaria control programme has implemented (MoH, 2001; 2006a). The strategy emphasizes a core set of evidence-based proven preventive and treatment interventions for malaria control (Chanda et al., 2008; Sipilanyambe et al., 2008) including: ITNs with a vision of attaining universal coverage with all sleeping spaces in all households (Miller et al., 2008; MoH, 2008) (Fig. 2); IRS to ensure that at least 80% of the targeted structures in IRS eligible districts are protected (Sharp et al., 2007; MoH 2009); case management and parasite detection to ensure that at least 80% of malaria patients to receive prompt and effective diagnosis and treatment within 24 h. of onset of symptoms (Harvey et al., 2008; Chanda et al., 2006); IPTp to ensure that at least 80% of pregnant women have access to the package of interventions (SP and ITN) to reduce the burden of malaria in pregnancy (Sipilanyambe et al., 2008; MoH, 2006a; 2006b; 2006c).

Since 2000, when the Roll Back Malaria initiative was launched in Zambia, the number of malaria programme partners has increased, translating into increased financial, technical, material and human resources for malaria control. Zambia is fully committed to reducing the impact of malaria and contributing to the attainment of the Abuja Declaration, Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) and the Roll Back Malaria targets. The country has developed the National Health Strategic Plan 2011-2015, which is very critical to achieving the MDGs and the sixth National Development Plan that focuses on malaria elimination as one of the key health priorities. A comprehensive National Malaria Control Strategic Plan 2011-2015 including several intervention-specific guidelines has also been developed.

Scaling up of malaria prevention and control programme interventions has been intensified by the Ministry of Health with substantial and important scores made towards achieving the health-related Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) and other key national achievements in relation to RBM targets. With assistance from valuable partners, strong leadership and political will, the Ministry of Health has expanded the availability and access to ITNs with over seven million having been distributed since 2004, with increased ownership of ITNs from 38% (2006) to 64% in 2010 (Fig. 3). Coverage of IRS has been scaled up from five initial districts in 2003, to 15 in 2006, 36 in 2008, 54 in 2010 and 72 in 2012 (Fig. 4) and uptake of full dosing of IPTp has increased significantly from 59% (2006) to 70% 2010. Equally, maternal mortality decreased markedly (Fig. 5).

The Government has ensured availability of malaria commodities such as diagnostic tools and efficacious drugs at all of public health facilities including at community level using community health workers for home management of malaria.

The Ministry of Health has further conducted surveys and reviews to assess the impact of malaria prevention and control interventions. These include the Demographic and Health Survey (CSO, 2002; 2007), Malaria Indicator Surveys (MoH, 2006a; 2006b; 2006c); viable Operations Research (OR) and community health surveys and reviews to assess the impact of malaria control programmes that are intending to scale up interventions. These include the Demographic and Health Survey (CSO, 2002; 2007), Malaria Indicator Surveys (MoH, 2006a; 2006b; 2006c); viable Operations Research (OR) and community health surveys and reviews to assess the impact of malaria control programmes that are intending to scale up interventions. These include the Demographic and Health Survey (CSO, 2002; 2007), Malaria Indicator Surveys (MoH, 2006a; 2006b; 2006c); viable Operations Research (OR) and community health surveys and reviews to assess the impact of malaria control programmes that are intending to scale up interventions. These include the Demographic and Health Survey (CSO, 2002; 2007), Malaria Indicator Surveys (MoH, 2006a; 2006b; 2006c); viable Operations Research (OR) and community health surveys and reviews to assess the impact of malaria control programmes that are intending to scale up interventions. These include the Demographic and Health Survey (CSO, 2002; 2007), Malaria Indicator Surveys (MoH, 2006a; 2006b; 2006c); viable Operations Research (OR) and community health surveys and reviews to assess the impact of malaria control programmes that are intending to scale up interventions. These include the Demographic and Health Survey (CSO, 2002; 2007), Malaria Indicator Surveys (MoH, 2006a; 2006b; 2006c); viable Operations Research (OR) and community health surveys and reviews to assess the impact of malaria control programmes that are intending to scale up interventions. These include the Demographic and Health Survey (CSO, 2002; 2007), Malaria Indicator Surveys (MoH, 2006a; 2006b; 2006c); viable Operations Research (OR) and community health surveys and reviews to assess the impact of malaria control programmes that are intending to scale up interventions. These include the Demographic and Health Survey (CSO, 2002; 2007), Malaria Indicator Surveys (MoH, 2006a; 2006b; 2006c); viable Operations Research (OR) and community health surveys and reviews to assess the impact of malaria control programmes that are intending to scale up interventions. These include the Demographic and Health Survey (CSO, 2002; 2007), Malaria Indicator Surveys (MoH, 2006a; 2006b; 2006c); viable Operations Research (OR) and community health surveys and reviews to assess the impact of malaria control programmes that are intending to scale up interventions.

With this achievement, the country has surpassed targets set by: (i) the Abuja Declaration by Heads of States in 2000 of reducing malaria illness and deaths by 50% between 2000 and 2008 (WHO, 2009); under-five malaria deaths by 41% between 2006 and 2008; and reduced severe anemia rates in children by 56% (2006-2010) (Table 1). According to the World Health Organisation Assessment conducted in 2008, Zambia recorded a decline in malaria cases by 66% (WHO, 2009). With this achievement, the country has surpassed targets set by: (i) the Abuja Declaration by Heads of States in 2000 of reducing malaria illness and deaths by 50% by 2010, (ii) the Roll Back Malaria goal of reducing the global malaria burden by 50% by 2010.

One notable innovation worth grasping is the efficient utilization of supportive strategies to streamline uptake and purposeful deployment of key preventive and treatment tools. In Zambia, implementation of key malaria control interventions is augmented with cross-cutting supportive approaches. The report highlights: an interactive advocacy, communication and behaviour change to enhance utilization of interventions through promotion of appropriate care seeking behaviour (MoH, 2006a; 2006b; 2006c); viable Operations Research (OR) feeding into and providing timely and sound evidence to guide implementation of malaria control and inform policy decision-making.
Fig. 3. District-level ITN coverage expressed as percentage of 3 ITNs distributed per district household estimate, by three year intervals. (Source: Zambia Malaria Indicator Surveys 2006-2010)

Fig. 4. Progressive scale-up of indoor residual spraying from 2003-2010

Fig. 5. Trends of Maternal mortality rates in Zambia: Source ZDHS 2001 and 2007
Fig. 6. Zambia: External funding (in millions, US$) for the Zambia Malaria Control Programme, 2003-2010. Source: Roll Back Malaria Progress and Impact Series-Focus on Zambia

Here a unique Zambia feature is coordination of the OR network, with strong collaborations of various local and international research institutions, whose information is shared with all stakeholders such as implementers, policy makers, funding agencies and academic institutions. There is strong evidence-based monitoring and evaluation to facilitate for the documentation of progress made towards the achievement of goals and targets of the United Nations MDGs by 2015. Zambia also has solid, consistent and coordinated policies and strategies for malaria control in place. This includes a comprehensive national malaria strategic plan for 2011-2015, policy guidelines for key interventions and support services as well as budgeted annual work plans.

Another striking strategy is community and private sector engagement coupled with strong partnership coordination. Notably the national IRS program was built upon collaboration with Konkola Copper Mines (KCM), Mopani Copper Mines and Zambia Sugar programs. The success of the malaria control can be ascribed to exceptional efforts towards establishment of strong partnership coordination; engaging community leaders and health workers as front line in the fight, an emphasis that echoes the mission of the ministry of health: to provide quality health care as close to the family as possible; involvement of private sector to complement the public sector efforts and strengthening of malaria operations research to facilitate for evidence based programming. The strengthening and coordination of partners under the stewardship and leadership of Government has contributed to the increased and sustained number of multilateral, bilateral, national and faith-based, private sector and community organizations. More specifically, the three-ones approach: one coordinating mechanism; one implementation plan and one monitoring plan is largely responsible for the success in partner coordination, strengthening and mobilization.

Zambia has some unique stories to tell. One of the unique features of the Zambian NMCP is the Partnership with community-based organizations such as, establishment of the Zambia Malaria Foundation to operationalize the concept of an NGO umbrella group. This provided a forum to engage and coordinate with a very broad range of NGOs, from the Zambia Scouts Association (who used to help in the net retreatment campaigns) to the small youth and church groups and to business groups such as Rotary, as well as the Zambia Association of Chambers of Commerce and Industry (ZACCI). In addition, there has been an exceptional partnership with the HIV/AIDS programs. Both in information, education and communication (IEC) and Behaviour Change Communication (BCC) in support for ITNs targeting people living with HIV/AIDS (PLWHA) through home based care groups such as the “Reaching HIV/AIDS Affected People with Integrated Development and Support” (RAPIDS) project. The Zambian programme was one of the first to really embrace Integrated Management of Child Illnesses (IMCI), then the first for “Fresh Air”, NGO coordination, the first for nation-wide rollout of ACT (Coartem), the second (after the small
district distribution in Ghana by IFRC) for a mass free
distribution of LLINs, the first for the Integrated Vector
Management strategy (IVM) policy (Chanda et al., 2008).

The Ministry of Health leads malaria control efforts
in Zambia through its National Malaria Control Centre
(NMCC), provincial and district health offices and health
facilities. The malaria control programme receives
financial and technical support from a variety of
organizations to enable a coordinated approach to
scaling-up interventions and tracking progress. Partners
providing the largest financial contributions to malaria
control efforts in Zambia apart from Government
includes the World Bank, Global Fund to fight HIV, TB
and Malaria (GF) and the United States’ President’s
Malaria Initiative (PMI) (Fig. 6). Many multilateral
agencies, non-governmental organizations, research
institutions and community-based organizations are
engaged in malaria control efforts throughout the country
in distributing ITNs, training health workers and
strengthening IEC/BCC.

As funding for malaria control gets tighter it is
important for countries to demonstrate “the business
case” that investments in malaria control reap economic
and social benefits. Zambia has solid evidence from the
private sector i.e., the programme has a unique
collaboration with the private sector, such as the mining
industry; Konkola Copper Mines, Mopani Copper Mines
and the agricultural sector; Zambia Sugar company
programs. Who have shown a “positive return for
investment” for their workplace malaria programs. There
has also been a lot of engagement with Zambia
Association of Chambers of Commerce and Industry
(ZACCI) to try to expand “the business case” to other
sectors. With the country-wide scaling up of vector
control interventions, entomological monitoring and
collected and management of insecticide resistance is the major
challenge (Chanda et al., 2011). In response to this,
Zambia is again unique in developing a robust network of local-
Malaria Institute at Macha (MIAM), Zambia
Integrated System Strengthening Programme (ZISSP),
University of Zambia (UNZA), Tropical Disease
Research Centre (TDRC), Zambia Environmental
Management Agency (ZEMA) and international-World
Health Organization (WHO), Centres for Disease Control
and Prevention (CDC), United States Agency for
International Development (USAID), Malaria
Transmission Consortium (MTC), Innovative Vector
Control Consortium (IVCC), John Hopkins Malaria
Research Institute (JHMRI) and the Liverpool School of
Tropical Medicine (LSTM) entomology partners with
clear terms of reference to consolidate and coordinate
resistance monitoring and data collection to make
recommendations for pesticide procurement MoH, 2011.

The NMCC is pivotal in providing technical guidance,
leadership and coordination of malaria control and
preventive activities. It ensures full participation and
involvement of partners in the development of key
documents; strategic plans, annual action plans and
policy guidelines through intervention specific multi-
sectoral technical working groups for: vector control;
information, education and communication; monitoring
and evaluation and operations research.

2. CONCLUSION

The malaria control programme in Zambia has made
great achievements in its control efforts through
provision of high coverage of malaria prevention and
curative services. The success can be attributed to the
strong partnerships including community engagement,
increased resources and evidence-based deployment of
key technical and supportive interventions in accordance
with the national malaria control programme policy and
strategic direction. The country offers some unique
models and experiences that could really benefit other
programmes in the region. Community-level integrated
entomological and case surveillance, prompt effective
treatment and sustained high levels of contemporary malaria
prevention tools is pivotal to the long-term success of
malaria control and future malaria elimination. However,
there is great need for increased resource mobilization by
broadening the partnership base and increasing the
Government commitment to malaria control.
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