Polynucleotide Phosphorylase Functions as Both an Exonuclease and a Poly(A) Polymerase in Spinach Chloroplasts
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The molecular mechanism of mRNA degradation in the chloroplast consists of sequential events including endonucleolytic cleavage, the addition of poly(A)-rich sequences to the endonucleolytic cleavage products, and exonucleolytic degradation by polynucleotide phosphorylase (PNPase). In Escherichia coli, polyadenylation is performed mainly by poly(A)-polymerase (PAP) I or by PNPase in its absence. While trying to purify the chloroplast PAP by following in vitro polyadenylation activity, it was found to copurify with PNPase and indeed could not be separated from it. Purified PNPase was able to polyadenylate RNA molecules with an activity similar to that of lysed chloroplasts. Both activities use ADP much more effectively than ATP and are inhibited by stem-loop structures. The activity of PNPase was directed to RNA degradation or polymerization by manipulating physiologically relevant concentrations of Pi and ADP. As expected of a phosphorylase, Pi enhanced degradation, whereas ADP inhibited degradation and enhanced polymerization. In addition, searching the complete Arabidopsis genome revealed several putative PAPs, none of which were preceded by a typical chloroplast transit peptide. These results suggest that there is no enzyme similar to E. coli PAP I in spinach chloroplasts and that polyadenylation and exonucleolytic degradation of RNA in spinach chloroplasts are performed by one enzyme, PNPase.

The chloroplast is the site of photosynthesis and other essential biosynthetic activities in plant cells. The chloroplast’s structural proteins and enzymes are encoded by both nuclear and chloroplast genomes. During chloroplast development, chloroplast gene expression is tightly regulated at many levels, including mRNA accumulation (reviewed in references 3, 19, and 39). RNA metabolism involves a series of steps that are dependent on RNA secondary structures, nucleases, and regulatory RNA-binding proteins. A 100-kDa RNA-binding protein that is homologous to the bacterial exoribonuclease polynucleotide phosphorylase (PNPase) was isolated from a chloroplast protein extract and found to be the protein responsible for most exoribonucleolytic activity. The homology of the chloroplast and the bacterial enzymes was observed both in amino acid sequences and in biochemical characteristics (20).

PNPase is a phosphorolytic exonuclease involved in degrading prokaryotic and organelle RNAs (31, 40). It is a reversible enzyme that can degrade RNA by using inorganic phosphate (Pi) or synthesize RNA by using any nucleoside diphosphate. Until recently, it has always been assumed that due to the high concentration of Pi in bacteria and chloroplasts (about 10 mM), PNPase worked only degradatively (31). Indeed, PNPase has been shown to be an important component of the mRNA degradation system in bacteria and chloroplasts (6, 8, 17, 37). However, as described below, recent studies have shown that PNPase can also function in vivo as a polymerase in bacterial cells (33). PNPase is composed of several domains homologous to those in other RNA-binding proteins and ribonucleases. These include the KH and SI domains, which are located at the C-terminal region, and two RNase PH domains that are also found in other phosphorolytic RNase enzymes (43). Structural and biochemical analyses have revealed a trimeric quaternary structure of PNPase (43). Part of the PNPase population in Escherichia coli is associated with the endoribonuclease RNase E, an RNA helicase, an enolase, and possibly several other proteins in a high-molecular-weight complex called a degradosome (6, 8, 17, 27, 37). In the chloroplast, PNPase was purified as a complex of about 600 kDa, composed only of this protein (20; Baginsky et al., submitted for publication). Therefore, a degradosome complex similar to that of E. coli does not exist in the chloroplast.

The molecular mechanism of RNA degradation in the chloroplast has been elucidated during the past few years and was found to be very similar to that of bacteria (19, 39). In both bacteria and chloroplasts, the first event is endoribonuclease cleavage of the RNA molecule. The endoribonuclease cleavage is followed by the addition of a poly(A) tail in the bacteria and poly(A) (23) or a poly(A)-rich tail in the chloroplasts (28–30). The polyadenylated cleavage products are then directed to rapid exonucleolytic degradation by PNPase and RNase II in E. coli and by PNPase and possibly other exoribonucleases in the chloroplasts (30). Therefore, polyadenylation is part of the RNA degradation machinery in bacteria, chloroplasts, and possibly also in plant mitochondria (6, 8, 15, 19, 24, 32, 37–39, 41). In contrast to what is observed with bacteria, evidence for activity of a 5’ to 3’ exoribonuclease in the chloroplasts of the green alga Chlamydomonas reinhardtii were obtained (10, 11, 34). Whether or not such an enzyme exists in the chloroplasts of higher plants and what its function is in the mechanism of RNA degradation are still open questions.

E. coli PAP I is responsible for 90 to 95% of the poly(A) tails, which are generally adenosine homopolymers. A smaller amount of nucleotides other than adenosine were reported in

* Corresponding author. Mailing address: Dept. of Biology, Technion-Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa 32000, Israel. Phone: 972-4-8293171. Fax: 972-4-8225153. E-mail: gadis@tx.technion.ac.il.
stationary-phase cells and when PNPase was overexpressed (5, 21, 33). It was recently reported that the second RNA polyadenylation activity in E. coli, which takes over in the absence of PAP I, is carried out by PNPase (33). In this situation, the elongated tails were found to consist not only of adenosines but also of the three other nucleotides. In spinach chloroplasts, the polyadenylated tails could be several hundred nucleotides long and were found to consist of about 70% adenosines, 25% guanosines, and 5% cytosines and uridines (28). Heterologous poly(A)-rich tails were obtained when several chloroplast transcripts including mRNAs and rRNA were analyzed (28; Anbussi-AbuToami and Schuster, unpublished results). Therefore, the heterolymeric tails obtained by reverse transcription-PCR from spinach chloroplast transcripts resemble the tails obtained from E. coli in the absence of PAP I when PNPase is the polyadenylation enzyme. In contrast to the situation in spinach chloroplasts, only polyadenylated tails were obtained when five chloroplast transcripts, including mRNAs, rRNAs, and tRNAs of C. reinhardtii, were analyzed (23). Therefore, the poly(A) tails of chloroplast transcripts in C. reinhardtii resemble those in E. coli when PAP I is active.

In contrast to what occurs in bacteria, RNA polyadenylation in plants is expected to be of both eukaryotic and prokaryotic types. In the eukaryotic process, nucleus-derived RNA polymerase II transcripts are polyadenylated and this polyadenylated tract is important for translation initiation. The prokaryotic process occurring in the chloroplasts and possibly also in the mitochondria includes the polyadenylation of organelle-encoded transcripts as part of the RNA-degradation mechanism (39). In order to understand the molecular mechanism and the elements that modulate and control the RNA polyadenylation activity in the chloroplast, we sought to identify the chloroplast polyadenylation enzyme(s). Since the mechanism of mRNA polyadenylation and degradation in the chloroplast is so similar to that in E. coli, the default hypothesis was that our target was a chloroplast homologue of PAP I. Surprisingly, however, a PAP I homologue could not be detected in chloroplasts from either spinach or pea. When in vitro polyadenylation activity from pea leaves was purified previously, a fraction containing two proteins was purified (26). Since one of these was identified as PNPase, it was proposed to be a component of the polyadenylation machinery in the chloroplast whose role was to bind the RNA to be polyadenylated but not the catalytic polyadenylating enzyme by itself (26). Here, we suggest that chloroplast PNPase performs both polyadenylation and exonucleolytic degradation. The observation that no putative PAP protein carrying a typical chloroplast transit peptide could be identified in the completely sequenced Arabidopsis genome is in agreement with this hypothesis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chloroplast isolation, preparation of soluble protein extract, and lysed-chloroplast system. Chloroplasts were purified on Percoll gradients from young leaves of hydroponically grown spinach plants (Spinacia oleracea cv. Viroflay) under a 10.5-h light–13.5-h dark cycle, as previously described (26). To prepare lysed chloroplasts, intact chloroplasts were resuspended in a volume equal to that of the pellet with buffer E (20 mM HEPES [pH 7.9]–60 mM KCl–12.5 mM MgCl2–0.1 mM EDTA–2 mM dithiothreitol–17% glycerol) so that each constituent was diluted to one-half the concentration in the chloroplasts (22, 29). The chloroplasts were lysed by freezing and thawing (22), and [32P]RNA was added in the amount of 10,000 cpm (1.3 fmol) and incubated at 25°C for the times indicated in the figures. Reactions were terminated as described previously (22), and RNA was extracted and analyzed by denaturing gels and autoradiography. A soluble protein extract was prepared from isolated intact chloroplasts, as previously described (16).

Purification of PNPase. The soluble chloroplast protein extract was fractionated on a size-exclusion Superdex 200 (Pharmacia) column. Fractions containing protein complexes of 550 to 650 kDa were pooled and applied to a 1-ml heparin column (Hi-Trap; Pharmacia) that was developed with a linear gradient of KCl in buffer E. Proteins eluted at 0.2 M KCl were dialyzed against buffer E and applied to a Mono-Q column (Pharmacia). The column was developed with a linear gradient of KCl in buffer E, and the PNPase was eluted at 0.3 M KCl as a single silver-stained polypeptide (30) (see Fig. 3).

Synthetic RNAs. The plasmid used for the in vitro transcription of part of the spinach chloroplast gene pshb4 (encoding the D1 protein of photosystem II) has been previously described (28). The stem-loop structure of the mafl-mafl fission yeast (GenBank accession no. M19202), with the single mutation replacing A to C at the fifth nucleotide of the stem in order to strengthen the stem structure (4), was PCR amplified using the corresponding oligonucleotide primers and genomic DNA (45). The T7 promoter was included in the first oligonucleotide to drive in vitro transcription. Six cytosine residues were added to the second oligonucleotide to generate RNA molecules with the addition of six residues 3’ to the stem-loop structure, as shown in Fig. 7. RNAs were transcribed using T7 RNA polymerase and radioactively labeled with [γ-32P]UTP to a specific activity of 108 to 109 cpm/mmol (45). The full-length transcription products were purified from 5% denaturing polyacrylamide gels.

In vitro degradation and polyadenylation activity assays. In vitro degradation and polyadenylation experiments were carried out as previously described (26). Briefly, in vitro-synthesized RNA (1 fmol) was incubated with lysed chloroplasts (10 mg/ml), a chloroplast soluble protein extract (5 mg/ml), or isolated PNPase (1.5 µg/ml) for the times indicated in the figure legends. Following incubation, the RNA was analyzed by gel electrophoresis and autoradiography.

Determination of the PNPase concentration in the lysed-chloroplast system. To determine the amount of PNPase in lysed chloroplasts, different volumes of lysed chloroplasts and purified PNPase were fractionated by sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and analyzed by Coomassie blue staining and immunoblotting with PNPase-specific antibodies (30), and the intensities of the immunological signals were compared. The amount of purified PNPase was determined by comparison to a bovine serum albumin dilution series in the same gel. Protein concentrations were determined using the Bio-Rad protein assay kit.

Determination of ATP, P, and chlorophyll concentrations. To analyze the ATP and P concentrations, lysed chloroplasts were heated to 90°C for 3 min followed by centrifugation to remove insoluble material. ATP and P concentrations were determined using the Roche ATP Bioluminescence CLS II kit and the method of Chen et al. (7), respectively. Chlorophyll concentration was determined in 80% acetone (29).

Sequence analyses. The BLAST (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST/) and TIGR (http://www.tigr.org/tdb/e2k1/index.html) sites were used to identify putative PAP and PNPase genes in the genome of Arabidopsis. ChloroP (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/ChloroP/) (14) and TargetP (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/TargetP/) (13) were used to analyze whether or not these proteins were likely to contain a chloroplast transit peptide and therefore be localized in the chloroplast.

RESULTS

Copurification of PNPase and polyadenylation activity. In recent years, polyadenylation has been found to be an integral part of RNA degradation in E. coli and chloroplasts. To further our understanding of the process in chloroplasts, we set out to identify and isolate the enzyme that polyadenylates RNA in this organelle. We first searched for a chloroplast homologue to E. coli PAP I using antibodies raised against it (35); however, no reaction was observed with chloroplast proteins of Arabidopsis or Chlamydomonas (data not shown). Only a small amount of cross-reactivity was observed with a spinach protein that was purified and identified as the nucleotidyl transferase enzyme which is a distant relative of PAP I (35).

We next used the in vitro polyadenylation assay to follow the
enzyme during purification. Since we knew that GTP could be used as a substrate for polymerization activity, it was also used during the purification steps (28). When GTP was added to the in vitro polyadenylation assay, the activity was much more robust than when ATP was used (see below), most likely because polyadenylated RNA is very rapidly degraded in the extract, whereas polyguanylated RNA is stable because of a tertiary structure formed by poly(G) that is resistant to exonucleolytic degradation (10, 12, 34, 44). In addition, we found that ADP gave much better activity than ATP (see below). This observation already suggested the possibility that PNPase was the enzyme responsible for this activity, since it is a phosphor-
tract of total leaf proteins from pea was fractionated (25, 26). These results suggested that the only polymerization activity in the chloroplast could be attributed to PNPase. In order to see that a putative PAP, possibly present in the chloroplast, is not inhibited by a protein or another component of the chloroplast extract, we performed an experiment in which *E. coli* PAP was added to the chloroplast soluble proteins and its polyadenylation activity was analyzed throughout the purification procedure. It was found that the polyadenylation activity was not inhibited (data not shown). Nevertheless, we could not completely exclude the possibility that in addition to PNPase, another polymerase, such as PAP I, is present in the chloroplast and its activity is inhibited in the in vitro polymerization assay or even that this protein fortuitously copurified with PNPase, for example as part of a complex. Therefore, we decided to determine whether purified PNPase could perform RNA polymerization under physiological conditions.

Characterization of the PNPase polymerization and exoribonuclease activities. In order to explore the possibility of PNPase being responsible for RNA chloroplast polyadenylation, we first purified the PNPase to homogeneity (Fig. 3, panels A and B) and compared the degradation and polymerization activities of a chloroplast soluble protein extract to those of purified PNPase. As shown in Fig. 3, their activities were very similar. RNA degradation was observed without the addition of nucleotides, and the degradation activity paused at the stem-loop structure located in the middle of the RNA molecule (Fig. 3C). The addition of Pi dramatically enhanced the degradation activity of both the soluble protein extract and the purified PNPase. However, when Pi was absent and nucleotides were added to the in vitro assay, no degradation was observed and the RNA was elongated by several hundred nucleotides (Fig. 3D). When different nucleotides were analyzed in a concentration-dependent assay for polymerization activity using purified PNPase, the order (best substrate first) was ADP (0.05) > GDP (0.075) > ATP (0.25) > GTP (0.5) = CTP (0.5) > UTP (2) (the numbers in parentheses are the millimolar concentrations at which one-half of the maximal activity was obtained). These results suggested that the Pi and ADP concentrations could significantly modulate the direction of PNPase activity for degradation or polymerization.

In order to better characterize the modulation of PNPase activity by Pi and ADP, we assayed the effects of different concentrations of each one separately as well as in combination. Figure 4A shows that Pi strongly enhanced RNA degradation activity in a concentration-dependent manner. This result was previously obtained for the chloroplast and *E. coli* PNPases and was expected since Pi is a substrate, in addition to RNA, for the degradation activity (31). At low Pi concentrations, such as PAP I, is present in the chloroplast and its activity is inhibited in the in vitro polymerization assay or even that this protein fortuitously copurified with PNPase, for example as part of a complex. Therefore, we decided to determine whether purified PNPase could perform RNA polymerization under physiological conditions.

Characterization of the PNPase polymerization and exoribonuclease activities. In order to explore the possibility of PNPase being responsible for RNA chloroplast polyadenylation, we first purified the PNPase to homogeneity (Fig. 3, panels A and B) and compared the degradation and polymerization activities of a chloroplast soluble protein extract to those of purified PNPase. As shown in Fig. 3, their activities were very similar. RNA degradation was observed without the addition of nucleotides, and the degradation activity paused at the stem-loop structure located in the middle of the RNA molecule (Fig. 3C). The addition of Pi dramatically enhanced the degradation activity of both the soluble protein extract and the purified PNPase. However, when Pi was absent and nucleotides were added to the in vitro assay, no degradation was observed and the RNA was elongated by several hundred nucleotides (Fig. 3D). When different nucleotides were analyzed in a concentration-dependent assay for polymerization activity using purified PNPase, the order (best substrate first) was ADP (0.05) > GDP (0.075) > ATP (0.25) > GTP (0.5) = CTP (0.5) > UTP (2) (the numbers in parentheses are the millimolar concentrations at which one-half of the maximal activity was obtained). These results suggested that the Pi and ADP concentrations could significantly modulate the direction of PNPase activity for degradation or polymerization.
modulated between degradation and polyadenylation by concentrations of Pi and ADP known to be physiologically relevant (Fig. 4C). These results demonstrated that PNPase activity is time-dependent elongation of the RNA molecule was observed (Fig. 4B). However, when only ADP was present, a time-dependent elongation of the RNA molecule was observed (Fig. 4C). These results demonstrated that PNPase activity is modulated between degradation and polyadenylation by concentrations of Pi and ADP known to be physiologically relevant (see below). When purified PNPase was incubated with RNA and ADP for longer times or when much more protein or ADP was present in the reaction mixture, polyadenylation was transient and RNA degradation followed (data not shown, but see Fig. 6).

Comparison of polyadenylation and degradation activities in lysed chloroplasts and with purified PNPase. Since PNPase activity could be modulated between polyadenylation and degradation according to P, and ADP concentrations, we wanted to analyze and compare these activities to those occurring in a situation that is as similar as possible to the in vivo situation. The lysed-chloroplast system has been previously used to analyze the degradation pathway of psbA mRNA (22, 28). In this system, chloroplasts are isolated and gently lysed by freezing and thawing in a buffer amount equivalent to the chloroplast pellet volume. In this way, every constituent is diluted twofold in comparison to intact chloroplasts. We first determined the internal concentrations of ATP, P, and PNPase in that system as described in Materials and Methods. The ATP and P concentrations were measured as 2 and 18 mM, respectively, which would correspond to 4 and 36 mM in the chloroplast; PNPase concentration was determined to be 0.0665 mg/ml, while chlorophyll and protein concentrations were 4 and 70 mg/ml, respectively. Although these concentrations are similar to those measured previously (18, 42), some changes could have occurred following the breakage of the chloroplasts. The ADP concentration in the chloroplast was previously reported to be about 0.5 mM (18, 42). Together, the ADP, ATP, and P concentrations are in agreement with those used for the PNPase assays shown in Fig. 3 and 4. The amount of PNPase was determined to be 0.1% of total chloroplast proteins. We then examined polyadenylation and degradation activities of lysed chloroplasts in the presence of different nucleotides. When no addition other than the [32P]RNA was made, transient polyadenylation followed by degradation of the RNA was observed (Fig. 5, left panel). This polymerization activity probably used the internal chloroplast nucleotides as substrates. The transient polymerization of the RNA added externally followed by its degradation is in agreement with what was predicted from the model of polyadenylation-dependent RNA degradation in the chloroplast (19, 39). According to this model, RNA polyadenylation precedes the exoribonucleolytic degradation. In the lysed-chloroplast system this process occurs very rapidly, as could be observed with the samples of time zero. These samples were taken several seconds following the initiation of the reaction, and degradation products of the input RNA were already observed (Fig. 5; see also Fig. 6). The addition of 1 mM ADP or 5 mM ATP to the system produced similar results, but the polyadenylation activity occurred more extensively and rapidly, probably due to the addition of these substrates to the internal chloroplast concentrations (Fig. 5; see also Fig. 6).

Another interpretation of these results could be that the RNA added externally undergoes either polyadenylation or direct degradation. Transient polyadenylation would therefore not be a prerequisite for the degradation that follows. Poly(G) is an effective inhibitor of exoribonucleases due to its formation of a strong tertiary structure (9, 10). Indeed, the addition of GTP resulted exclusively in polymerization activity (Fig. 5). Polyadenylation is thought to precede exonuclease degradation (19, 39), and this result offers conclusive evidence that this is the case in the lysed-chloroplast system. Finally, we note that the addition of a large amount of Saccharomyces cerevisiae tRNA to lysed chloroplasts completely blocked both polyadenylation and degradation, possibly due to competition for PNPase (Fig. 5). These results are consistent with the possibility that PNPase performs both polymerization and exonu-
FIG. 7. A stem-loop structure inhibits the polymerization activities of both lysed chloroplasts and purified PNPase. A 106-nucleotide \[^{32}P\]RNA fragment representing the \(\text{malE-malF}\) intergenic region of \(E.\ coli\) with or without the addition of six cytosine residues, as shown schematically at the bottom, was incubated with lysed chloroplasts or purified PNPase with the addition of ADP (0.5 mM), ATP (1 mM), or GTP (1 mM) as indicated. Lane (-), input RNA. Following an incubation of 5 min for the lysed chloroplasts and 25 min for the purified PNPase, RNA was purified and analyzed.
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FIG. 6. Transient polyadenylation and degradation of RNA in lysed chloroplasts and by purified PNPase. Lysed chloroplasts (Chloroplast) or 150 ng of purified PNPase (PNPase) were incubated with a 296-nucleotide \[^{32}P\]RNA corresponding to the 3' end of the \(\text{psbA}\) gene, with the addition of 1 and 5 mM ADP for the lysed chloroplasts and purified PNPase, respectively. At the time points indicated at the top, the RNA was purified and analyzed. For time point zero the sample was taken several seconds following the initiation of the reaction. In the case of the lysed chloroplast a degradation of the input \[^{32}P\]RNA was already observed due to the high protein concentration of this system.

Cleolytic degradation. In order to further explore this hypothesis, we compared the polymerization activity of purified PNPase and lysed chloroplasts. When \[^{32}P\]RNA was added to lysed chloroplasts or purified PNPase in the presence of ADP, polyadenylation occurred in both cases within 2 min and was followed by degradation of the polyadenylated molecules (Fig. 6). Therefore, the activities of RNA polyadenylation and degradation in the lysed chloroplasts could be mimicked by purified PNPase. It should be noted that the ADP concentration was 5 mM in this experiment, in comparison to the 1 mM used in the experiment illustrated in Fig. 4C. This explains why only polyadenylation is observed in Fig. 4C, whereas both polyadenylation and degradation are seen in Fig. 6. In addition, although the activities of the purified PNPase and the lysed chloroplasts were generally similar, several differences could be detected even when the protein and ADP concentrations were carefully tuned. For example, the input \[^{32}P\]RNA disappeared much more rapidly when incubated with the purified PNPase (Fig. 6). The small differences between the polyadenylation and degradation of RNA in the purified PNPase compared to the lysed chloroplast fractions could be attributed to the presence of other proteins and RNA molecules at high concentrations in the lysed chloroplast system and not with the purified PNPase. The lysed chloroplast fraction contains, in addition to the PNPase, many other RNA-binding proteins and chloroplast RNA molecules that compete with the PNPase for the externally added \[^{32}P\]RNA. Taken together, these results suggest that PNPase could be responsible for both polyadenylation and degradation activities in the chloroplast.

The polymerization activities of both lysed chloroplasts and PNPase are inhibited by a stem-loop structure and prefer ADP over ATP. We have previously shown that polyadenylation activity in chloroplast extracts is inhibited by a 3' end stem-loop structure (28). It was suggested that this inhibition prevents RNA degradation since the degradation process is initiated by an endonucleolytic cleavage removing the stem-loop, producing a cleavage product containing a 3' end that is not protected by a stem-loop structure. This unprotected 3' end can then undergo polyadenylation and exonucleolytic degradation. If PNPase were responsible for polyadenylation activity in the chloroplast, we would expect its polymerization activity to be inhibited by stem-loop structures. To test this, we compared the polymerization activity of lysed chloroplasts to that of purified PNPase using RNA substrates terminating either with a stable stem-loop structure or with the addition of a platform of six cytosines (45) (Fig. 7). Polymerization activity was observed both for the lysed chloroplast and for the purified PNPase exclusively with the RNA that was extended by six nucleotides (Fig. 7). Similar to the results presented in Fig. 5 and 6, activity was observed for both systems with ADP and GTP (lanes 2, 4, 5, and 7). Under these experimental conditions, only degradation was observed with ATP (lanes 3 and 6). This is because polyadenylation occurred rapidly and is transient in both systems. Polyadenylation was observed in experiments where shorter time points were taken (not shown; Fig. 5).

PNPase as a phosphorolytic enzyme uses ADP much more efficiently than ATP for polymerization, whereas in the case of \(E.\ coli\) PAP the opposite is true. If PNPase were the only polyadenylation enzyme in the chloroplast, then the preference for ADP should also be observed in the lysed-chloroplast system. To explore this possibility, we incubated \[^{32}P\]RNA with lysed chloroplasts or purified PNPase in the presence of increasing concentrations of either ADP or ATP. The results of this experiment, presented in Fig. 8, show that polymerization activity in lysed chloroplasts is very similar, if not identical, to
the activity of purified PNPase. ADP was found to be more effective, with a concentration of <50 μM for half saturation compared to approximately 150 μM for ATP. Taken together, the biochemical characteristics of polymerization activities of lysed chloroplasts and the purified PNPase strongly argue that PNPase is responsible for polyadenylation in the chloroplast.

Putative Arabidopsis PAPs do not contain typical chloroplast transit peptides. Most of the polyadenylation activity in *E. coli* and eukaryotic cells is carried out by PAP. Since no chloroplast open reading frames encode proteins related to PAP, any such protein must be encoded in the nucleus. Using the complete *Arabidopsis* genome sequence, we found six putative PAP encoding sequences. Using ChloroP and TargetP (see Materials and Methods) for the identification of chloroplast transit peptides, none of these were predicted to be chloroplast or mitochondrial targeted (Table 1). In contrast, at least two different PNPases were detected, of which one, located on chromosome 3, is predicted to contain a chloroplast transit peptide. The second, located on chromosome 5, is predicted to be located at the mitochondria (Table 1). Together with our biochemical data, these results suggest that there is no PAP similar to that of *E. coli* or the nucleus in higher-plant chloroplasts. However, it should be noted that an argument based on the ChloroP and TargetP prediction is tentative at best and should be backed by experimental evidence.

**DISCUSSION**

In order to study the molecular mechanism of RNA polyadenylation in the chloroplast, we sought to purify and characterize the enzyme responsible for this activity. The most likely candidate was a putative chloroplast homologue of *E. coli* PAP, which is its major polyadenylating enzyme. Nevertheless, our attempts, as well as others', to find such a homologue in the chloroplasts failed (25, 26). In the absence of PAP, the most likely candidate became PNPase, due to the highly

---

**TABLE 1. PAP and PNPase sequences in the Arabidopsis genome**

| Protein no. | Gene       | Putative function | Chromosome | Length (no. of amino acids) | ChloroP score<sup>a</sup> | Protein location in the cell<sup>b</sup> |
|------------|------------|-------------------|------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 1          | AAF97277   | PAP               | 1          | 713                         | 0.44                      | NP                              |
| 2          | AAD32915   | PAP               | 2          | 241                         | 0.42                      | NP                              |
| 3          | AAF66438   | PAP               | 2          | 795                         | 0.44                      | NP                              |
| 4          | AAF63637   | PAP               | 3          | 482                         | 0.43                      | NP                              |
| 5          | T10692     | PAP               | 4          | 729                         | 0.47                      | NP                              |
| 6          | CAB80092   | PAP               | 5          | 499                         | 0.47                      | NP                              |
| 1          | CAB43864   | PNPase            | 5          | 991                         | 0.54                      | Mitochondria                    |
|            | CAB87625   |                   |            |                             |                           |                                 |
|            | CAB43865   |                   |            |                             |                           |                                 |
|            | T48631     |                   |            |                             |                           |                                 |
| 2          | AAF00646   | PNPase            | 3          | 922                         | 0.57                      | Chloroplast                     |
|            | AAF03462   |                   |            |                             |                           |                                 |
|            | CAB85703   |                   |            |                             |                           |                                 |

<sup>a</sup> Score according to ChloroP for a chloroplast transit peptide. Usually a protein with a score below 0.5 has a low probability of containing a chloroplast transit peptide.

<sup>b</sup> As predicted by TargetP.

<sup>c</sup> NP, none predicted.
reversible nature of its reaction mechanism (31). Indeed, several attempts to biochemically purify chloroplast polyadenylation activity yielded PNPase (25, 26), which has been assumed for a long time to be active only as an exoribonuclease in bacteria and chloroplasts due to their high concentrations of P$_\text{i}$ (31). However, Mohanty and Kushner recently showed that PNPase could be active in vivo as a polysome in bacteria (33). This polymerization activity is mostly masked by PAP but becomes the major one in PAP deletion strains. In this situation, RNA tails are not the adenosine homopolymers resulting from PAP activity but rather are comprised of all four nucleotides, which can be utilized by PNPase (33). In other words, the nature of the tails reveals the nature of the enzyme. In this respect, we note that heterogeneous mRNA tails were found in spinach chloroplasts, consistent with PNPase activity (28) and also with the lack of a PAP-encoding gene preceded by a typical chloroplast transit peptide in the Arabidopsis genome. In contrast, reverse transcription-PCR experiments in Chlamydomonas revealed only homopolymeric adenosine tails in the chloroplast (23). This raises the possibility that a chloroplast PAP might be active in this organism (see below).

Our findings raise the question of how the opposing activities of PNPase might be regulated in time and space. One possibility is that there are two distinct populations of PNPase in the chloroplast, in terms of both activity and perhaps a posttranslational modification such as phosphorylation. Indeed, spinach chloroplast PNPase is a good substrate for phosphorylation when incubated with a protein kinase and ATP (Shtieman-Kotler and Schuster, unpublished results). However, any role for phosphorylation seems unclear since at least in vitro, PNPase can be directed towards either exclusive degradation or polyadenylation by varying the P$_\text{i}$ and ADP concentrations (Figs. 3 and 4). A second possibility is that the nature of its activity is determined by supramolecular structure. Recently, the crystal structure of PNPase from the bacterium Streptomyces antibioticus was determined (43). Three polypeptides were found to be associated in a trimERIC structure establishing an RNA channel, suggesting a possible modulation of processivity and of degradation and polyadenylation activities by structural changes. Third, one might speculate that chloroplast PNPase activity might be influenced by association with additional proteins, for example in the context of a chloroplast equivalent to the E. coli degradosome (6, 8, 27, 37). However, in contrast to earlier reports (20), it was recently found that an E. coli-like degradosome is not present in spinach chloroplasts and thus, PNPase is not associated with other proteins (Baginski et al., submitted).

In light of the above considerations, we propose that anabolic versus catabolic activity of chloroplast PNPase is most likely modulated by transient and localized changes in the P$_\text{i}$ and nucleotide diphosphate concentrations. Since P$_\text{i}$ is a substrate of PNPase, it is possible that extensive processive degradation activity could lead to a transient reduction in the phosphate concentration within a hypothetical microenvironment. In this situation and in the presence of nucleoside diphosphates, the enzyme begins polymerizing. In this direction, the reaction would eventually restore the P$_\text{i}$ concentration while depleting nucleoside diphosphates, bringing the cycle full circle. Such a dynamic situation could explain the existence of long poly(A)-rich tails of several hundred nucleotides on the one hand and the very small steady-state amount of polyadenylated RNA due to rapid degradation on the other hand (28). A dynamic regulation of PNPase activity has also been suggested to occur in E. coli (33, 37). In this situation, RNA structural elements are critical for regulating enzyme activity, since both degradation and polymerization activities are tremendously sensitive to a stem-loop structure (28, 45) (Fig. 7). In addition to inhibiting processive degradation, a stem-loop structure inhibits the binding of the PNPase to the RNA and thus prevents polymerization. A minimal platform of 7 to 10 unpaired nucleotides is required for the binding of PNPase (43). Therefore, a 3′ end stem-loop structure protects it from degradation by preventing polyadenylation and/or direct exonucleolytic degradation.

Despite the close similarity of the molecular mechanisms of RNA polyadenylation and degradation in bacteria and in chloroplasts, the results of this work suggesting that there is no PAP in the chloroplasts of higher plants point to a major difference between the two systems. Assuming a common evolutionary origin, it is an interesting question whether bacteria have acquired PAP or whether it was lost from the higher plants’ chloroplast lineage. The observation that the cyanobacterium Synechocystis sp., believed to be related to the chloroplast ancestor, contains two putative PAP genes (BAA18159 and BAA10528 in BLAST) homologous to PAP I of E. coli suggests that PAP was lost from the chloroplasts of higher plants. However, it should be noted that the homology of PAP from bacteria to the tRNA nucleotidyl transferase family (36) makes it difficult to predict which protein belongs to each function. In that respect, an interesting question can be posed regarding the situation in the chloroplasts of green algae. On one hand, the analysis of polyadenylated transcripts in the chloroplast of C. reinhardtii revealed only homogenous poly(A) tails (23). On the other hand, analyzing the expressed sequence tags of this alga revealed only a PAP homologous to the nuclear enzymes and none homologous for the PAP of E. coli (AV630342 and seven other expressed sequence tags related to the same protein) (1, 2). Therefore, the question whether or not there is a PAP in the chloroplasts of green algae remains open. For either possibility, the evolutionary importance of this event is an open and interesting question. Analyzing the situation in the other organelle of prokaryotic origin, the mitochondrion, and in other algae and higher plants, as well as revealing whether cyanobacteria really have the PAP enzyme, would help to answer the questions as to when and why in the evolutionary process PAP was omitted from the chloroplasts of higher plants and whether it was acquired by bacteria. In addition, experiments in which E. coli or nucleus PAPs are introduced into the chloroplast and changes in RNA metabolism are analyzed are in progress.
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