Splenectomy is a causal therapy option for adult primary immune thrombocytopenia (ITP), which achieves a long-term good therapeutic response (GTR) in 50-80% of patients. However, the frequency of postoperative complications, primarily bleeding, infection and thrombosis, is high and ranges up to 30%. Also, the risk of infections, as well as thrombosis (arterial and venous), is increased during the entirety of a splenectomized patient. In the era of new therapeutic drug modalities, such as rituximab and thrombopoietin receptor agonists (TPO-RA), splenectomy is less and less performed. In the available guidelines, there is no clear recommendation on the order of applying second-line therapeutic modalities. Namely, in the second therapeutic line, the 2019 American Society of Hematology guidelines, give preference to rituximab over splenectomy, and TPO-RA over rituximab, but do not give preference to TPO-RA over splenectomy. On the other hand, the 2019 International Working Group for ITP guidelines, do not give preference to any therapeutic modality, including splenectomy. Because of all the above, it is important to determine which patient has a high probability of achieving long-term GTR after splenectomy. Although the results from studies that have analyzed predictive factors for GTR after splenectomy are variable, patient age, preoperative platelet count, and platelet destruction in the spleen (determined by thrombocytokinetic testing) have been identified as predictive in most studies. Today, the use of splenectomy in ITP is considered a good therapeutic option for younger patients without comorbidities, who lead an active life and do not want frequent medical check-ups or are planning a pregnancy, as well as in patients with splenic platelet sequestration.
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**SAŽETAK**

Splenektomija predstavlja kauzalni (uzročni) način lečenja primarne imunološke trombocitopenije (engl. immune thrombocytopenia – ITP) kojim se postiže dugotrajan dobar terapijski odgovor (DTO) kod 50 – 80% bolesnika. Međutim, učestalost postoperativnih komplikacija, pre svega kravarenja, infekcije i tromboza, visoka je i kreće se do 30%. Takođe, rizik za nastanak kako infekcija tako i arterijskih i venskih tromboza povećan je tokom čitavog života splenektomisanih pacijenata.

U većini studija su identifikovani kao prediktivni faktori za GTR posle splenektomije: starost bolesnika, preoperativni broj trombocita, destrukcija trombocita u spleenu (utvrđena metodom trombocitokinetskog ispitivanja), faktori poput stanja bolesnika, preoperativnog broja trombocita i destrukcije trombocita u spleenu (utvrđena trombocitokinetskom ispitivanjem).

**Ključne reči:** imunološka trombocitopenija, splenektomija, dugotrajan dobar terapijski odgovor, prediktivni faktori, komplikacije
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**UVOD**

Splenektomija je jedan od najstarijih načina lečenja bolesnika sa primarnom imunološkom trombocitopoenijom (ITP) [1]. Naime, prva splenektomija kod bolesnika sa ITP om izvršena je 1913, a sve do pedesetih godina 20. veka bila je jedini delotvorni terapijski modalitet [1]. Na pojavom medikamentosne terapije, pre svega kortikosteroida, splenektomija postaje modalitet drugog i treće terapijske linije u ITP-u [1].

Splenektomija se smatra za sada jedinim kauzalnim (uzročnim) načinom lečenja ITP-a i ima dvostruki efekat – istovremeno se uklanjuju i mesto razgradnje trombocita obloženih autoantitela i glavno mesto sinteze antitrombocitnih autoantiltrojica [1]. Stoga je splenektomija visokoeffekisan terapijski modalitet kojim se postiže dugotrajan dobar terapijski odgovor (DTO) kod 50 – 80% bolesnika [1,2,3]. Međutim, u eri novih terapijskih modaliteta, pre svega rituximaba i agonista trombopoetinskih receptora (TPO-RA), splenektomija se sve slede sprovodi. Naime, u današnje vreme se splenektomije manje od 10% bolesnika sa ITP om, dok se do pre 15 – 20 godina taj procenat krećao i do 60% [4].

Ciljevi ovog preglednog rada usmereni su na ukazivanje efikasnosti splenektomije, učestalosti komplikacija ove procedure, mogućnosti preoperativne predikcije DTO-a posle splenektomije, kao i na sumiranje savremenih preporuka.

**EFIKASNOST SPLENEKTOMIJE**

Sistematski pregled Kojouri u saradnici iz 2004. godine, koji je uključio bolesnike sa ITP om, splenektomisane klasično i laparoskopski, pokazao je da 66% bolesnika postigne i očuva DTO (1.731 od 2.623 bolesnika u 47 publikacija), tokom prosečnog praćenja od 29 meseci (opseg 1 – 153) [2]. Na druge strane, sistematski pregled Mikejla i saradnika iz 2009. godine, koji je uključio samo pacijente sa ITP om splenektomisane laparoskopski, pokazao je da je učestalost inicijalne refракtarnosti na splenektomiju 8,2% (95% CI, 5,4 – 11,0), dok je kumulativna stopa relapsa tokom dužeg praćenja (engl. pooled long-term relapse rate) iznosila 43,6 na 1.000 pacijent-godina (95% CI, 28,2 – 67,2). Autori zaključuju da je procenjena učestalost neuspeha splenektomije tokom pet godina praćenja 28%. Pritom, učestalost relapsa je značajno veća tokom prve dve godine posle splenektomije (92,2%; 95% CI, 49,1 – 173,2) u poručenju sa periodom od treće do pete godine (29,2%; 95% CI, 17,3 – 49,0) [3]. Autori oba sistematska pregleda ističu da je nivo kvaliteta radova o splenektomiji u ITP-u najčešće nizak, pre svega zbog kratkog praćenja, ali i primene različitih kriterijuma za procenu odgovora [2,3].

**THE CURRENT ROLE OF SPLENECTOMY IN THE TREATMENT OF ADULT PRIMARY IMMUNE THROMBOCYTOPENIA**

Splenectomy is one of the oldest forms of treatment for patients with primary immune thrombocytopenia (ITP) [1]. The first splenectomy in a patient with ITP was performed in 1913, and all through to the 1950s it was the only effective therapeutic modality [1]. With the advent of medicamentous therapy, primarily corticosteroids, splenectomy became a second-line and third-line modality in the treatment of ITP [1].

Splenectomy is currently considered the only causal therapy option for ITP, and it has a dual effect – it simultaneously eliminates the site where thrombocytes opsonized with autoantibodies are destroyed as well as the main site where antiplatelet autoantibodies are synthesized [1]. Consequently, splenectomy is a highly effective therapeutic modality whereby a long-term good therapeutic response (GTR) is achieved in 50% - 80% of patients [1,2,3]. However, in the era of new therapeutic modalities, primarily rituximab and thrombopoietin receptor agonists (TPO-RA), splenectomy is performed less and less frequently. In fact, at present, less than 10% of patients with ITP are splenectomized, while 15 – 20 years ago that percentage went up to 60% [4].

The goals of this literature review are focused on highlighting the effectiveness of splenectomy, the frequency of complications for this procedure, the possibility of preoperative prediction of GTR upon splenectomy, as well as on summarizing contemporary recommendations.

**THE EFFECTIVENESS OF SPLENECTOMY**

A systematic review by Kojouri et al. from 2004, which included patients with ITP, who had undergone either classic or laparoscopic splenectomy, showed that 66% of patients achieved and maintained GTR (1,731 of 2,623 patients in 47 publications), over an average monitoring period of 29 months (scope 1 – 153) [2]. On the other hand, a systematic review by Mikhail et al. from 2009, which included only patients with ITP who had undergone laparoscopic splenectomy, showed the frequency of initial unresponsiveness to splenectomy to be 8.2% (95% CI, 5.4 – 11.0), while the pooled long-term relapse rate was 43.6 per 1,000 patient-years (95% CI, 28.2 – 67.2). The authors concluded that the estimated failure rate for splenectomy, over a five-year monitoring period, was 28%. It is important to note, however, that the relapse rate was significantly higher during the first two years upon splenectomy (92.2%; 95% CI 49.1 – 173.2) as compared to the period from year three to year five (29.2%; 95% CI, 17.3 – 49.0) [3]. The authors of both systematic overviews have stated that the quality level of the papers on splenectomy in ITP is mostly low, primarily due to a short follow-up period, but also because of the application of different criteria for response assessment [2,3].
KOMPLIKACIJE SPLENEKTOMIJE

Peri i postoperativne komplikacije splenektomije se dijagnostikuju kod 30% bolesnika, dok se mortalitet kreće od 3 do 17%. Najčešće komplikacije su infekcije, krvarenje i tromboembolijski događaj [1,2,3]. U velikoj američkoj retrospektivnoj analizi 9,976 odrašlih bolesnika sa ITP-om, od kojih je 1,762 splenektomisano, kumulativna incidencija tromboza kravnih sudova trbuha u grupi splenektomisanih bolesnika sa ITP-om iznosila je 1% u odnosu na 1% kod nesplenektomisanih [4]. Takođe, tromboza dubokih vena donjih ekstremiteta (engl. deep vein thrombosis – DVT) registrovana je kod 4.3% splenektomisanih u odnosu na 1.7% nesplenektomisanih bolesnika. S obzirom da svaka hirurška intervencija predstavlja trombogeni faktor, analiza rizika ja radena posebno za „rane“ (manjeno od 90 dana posle splenektomije) i za „kasne“ (više od 90 dana) tromboze. Pokazano je da je splenektomija bila faktor rizika za nastanak „ranih“ (HR 5.4; 95% IP, 2.3 – 12.5) ali ne i „kasnih“ abdominalnih tromboza (HR 1.4; 95% IP, 0.9 – 2.6). Sa druge strane, pokazano je da splenektomija predstavlja faktor rizika i za nastanak „ranih“ (HR 5.2; 95% IP, 3.2 – 8.5) i „kasnih“ DVT-ova (HR 2.7; 95% IP, 1.9 – 3.8) [4]. U nekoliko studija je uočena povećanost učestalosti artezijskih tromboza u vidu cerebrovaskularnog insulta (CVI) i akutnog infarkta miokarda (AIM) kod splenektomisanih bolesnika sa ITP-om [5,6].

U studiji Bojla i saradnika, kumulativna incidencija sepse kod splenektomisanih bolesnika sa ITP-om iznosila je 11.1% u odnosu na 10.1% kod nesplenektomisanih. Splenektomija je predstavljala faktor rizika za rani i kasni nastanak sepse (HR 3.3; 95% IP, 2.4 – 4.6) [4].

Prema studiji Vianelli et al., hemorrhagic komplikacije splenektomije su registrovane kod 25% bolesnika u toku splenektomije, pri čemu je 8% bilo životno ugrozavajuće [5].

PREDIKTIVNI FAKTORI ZA ISHOD SPLENEKTOMIJE

Imajući u vidu da je stopa dugotrajnog DTO-a posle splenektomije visoka, ali i da je splenektomija pravično visokom učestalošću komplikacija, od izuzetnog je značaja utvrđivanje parametara koji bi omogućili identifikaciju bolesnika sa većom verovatnoćom postizanja DTO-a i manjom verovatnoćom od nastanka komplikacija.

U literaturi je, kao potencijalno prediktivno za DTO posle splenektomije, do sada identifikovano najmanje 25 parametara [1,2]. Najčešće su ispitivani: starost, pol, prisustvo antitrombocitnih i antinuklearnih antitela, dužina bolesti pre splenektomije, odgovor

COMPLICATIONS OF SPLENECTOMY

Perioperative and postoperative complications of splenectomy are diagnosed in 30% of patients, while mortality ranges from 3 to 17 percent. The most frequent complications are infections, bleeding and a thromboembolic event [1,2,3]. In a large American retrospective analysis on 9,976 adult patients with ITP, of whom 1,762 had been splenectomized, the cumulative incidence of abdominal blood vessel thrombosis in the group of splenectomized patients with ITP amounted to 1.6%, as compared to 1% in the group of patients who had not undergone splenectomy [4]. Also, deep vein thrombosis (DVT) in the lower extremities was registered in 4.3% of splenectomized patients as compared to 1.7% of nonsplenectomized patients. As every surgical procedure is a thrombogenic factor, risk analysis was performed separately for “early” (less than 90 days upon splenectomy) and for “late” (more than 90 days upon splenectomy) thromboses. It was determined that splenectomy was a risk factor for the occurrence of “early” (HR 5.4; 95% CI, 2.3 – 12.5) but not for “late” (HR 1.4; 95% CI, 0.9 – 2.6) abdominal thromboses. On the other hand, it was demonstrated that splenectomy posed a risk for the occurrence of both “early” (HR 5.2; 95% CI, 3.2 – 8.5) and “late” (HR 2.7; 95% CI, 1.9 – 3.8) DVTs [4]. Several studies found an increased rate of arterial thromboses in the form of cerebrovascular insult (CVI) and acute myocardial infarction (AMI) in splenectomized patients with ITP [5,6].

In a study by Boyle et al., the cumulative incidence of sepsis in splenectomized patients with ITP amounted to 11.1%, as compared to 10.1% in nonsplenectomized patients. Splenectomy was a risk factor for both early-onset and late-onset sepsis (HR 3.3; CI 2.4 – 4.6) [4].

According to a study by Vianelli et al., hemorrhagic complications of splenectomy were registered in 25% of patients during splenectomy, with 8% of these being life-threatening [5].

PREDICTIVE FACTORS FOR THE OUTCOME OF SPLENECTOMY

Bearing in mind that the rate of long-term GTR upon splenectomy is high, but also the fact that splenectomy is followed by a high rate of complications, it is essential to establish the parameters that would enable the identification of patients with a higher probability of achieving GTR and a lower probability of complications.

In literature, so far, at least 25 parameters have been identified as potentially predictive of GTR upon splenectomy [1,2]. The most commonly analyzed parameters are the following: age, sex, the presence of
na inicijalnu kortikosteroidnu terapiju, odgovor na ostale immunosupresive, odgovor na intravenske immunoglobuline (IVIg), broj terapijskih modaliteta pre splenektomije, inicijalni broj trombocita, broj trombocita neposredno pre i posle operacije i mesto se-kvestracije trombocita [1,2]. Velika varijabilnost među studijama u pogledu kriterijuma za procenu odgovo-ra na splenektomiju, vremena procene odgovora i dužine praćenja pacijenata uticala je da i rezultat budu varijablini [1,2].

Faktori koji se u većini radova nisu pokazali kao prediktivni za uspešnost splenektomije su: pol, pri-sustvo anti trombocitnih antitela, dužina bolesti pre splenektomije, veličina slezine i odgovor na IVIg [1,2]. Sa druge strane, u velikom broju studija kao predik-tivni su se pokazali: starost bolesnika, preoperativni broj trombocita i mesto destrukcije trombocita [1,2]. Postoperativni broj trombocita se pokazao kao pre-diktivan gotovo u svim studijama koje su ga ispitiva-le, ali nije utvrđena precizna granična vrednost (engl. cut-off) [1,2].

Za razliku od prediktivnih faktora za uspešnost splenektomije, daleko manji broj radova se bavio ispi-tivanjem prediktivnih faktora za nastanak komplikacija posle splenektomije. Među njima su studije Gonzale-z-Porasa i saradnika i Parka i saradnika, koje su utvrdile da je životna dob značajni prediktivni faktor za nasta-nak svih vrsta komplikacija [7,8]. U studiji Bojla i sarad. nika, splenektomija, muški pol, starost (više od 60 go-dina), više od 2 komorbiditeta i nepostizanje stabilnogDTO-a bili su prediktivni za nastanak sepsis [3].

**SPLENKTOMIJA, NOVI LEKOVI I NOVI VODIČI**

Splenektomija je decenijama predstavljala zlatni standard druge terapijske linije bolesnika sa ITP-om. Njena dugotrajna efikasnost je bila značajno veća od efikasnosti dostupnih medikamentoznih modaliteta kao sto su azathioprin, mikofenolat mofetil, ciklospo-rin A, ciklofosfamid, danazol i dapson (Tabela 1) [1]. Međutim, uvođenjem novih efikasnih lekova kao što su rituximab i TPO-RA (eltrombopag, avatrombopag, romiplostim) situacija se značajno promenila. Naime, učestalost DTO-a po primeni rituximaba iznosi 85 – 90%, a po primeni TPO-RA 80% [9,10]. Međutim, po-sle pet godina od primene rituximaba u remisiji se i dalje nalazi svega 20% bolesnika [9]. Sa druge strane, efekat TPO-RA traje dok se lekovni primenjuju. U oko 10 – 30% bolesnika po obustavi TPO-RA perzistira DTO [10,11].

Komplikacije tokom lečenja rituximabom su iz-uzetno retke [9]. Infuziona reakcija po primeni prve doze se registruje kod 15 – 60% bolesnika i izuzet-no retko je ozbiljna [9]. Hipogamaglobulinemija se antiplatelet i antinuclear antibodies, the length of disease prior to splenectomy, response to initial corticosteroid therapy, response to other immunosuppressants, response to intravenous immunoglobulins (IVIg), the number of therapeutic modalities prior to splenectomy, the initial platelet count, platelet count immediately before and after the operation, and the platelet sequestration site [1,2]. A significant variability amongst studies regarding the criteria for assessing responses to splenectomy, the time of response assessment, and the length of patient follow-up resulted in the variability of the results [1,2]. Factors that, in most studies, did not prove to be predictive of the success of splenectomy are sex, the presence of antiplatelet antibodies, the length of disease prior to splenectomy, spleen size, and the response to IVIg [1,2]. On the other hand, in a large number of studies, the following were predictive: patient age, preoperative platelet count, and the site of platelet destruction [1,2]. The postoperative platelet count proved to be predictive in practically all the studies that analyzed it, but the precise cut-off value was not determined [1,2].

As opposed to factors predictive of the success of splenectomy, a significantly smaller number of papers analyzed the predictive factors of complications upon splenectomy. Amongst them are the studies by Gonzalez-Porras et al. and Park et al., which determined that age was a factor predictive of the development of all types of complications [7,8]. In a study by Boyle et al., splenectomy, the male sex, age (over 60 years), more than two comorbidities, and the absence of a stable GTR were predictors of sepsis [3].

**SPLENECTOMY, NEW DRUGS AND NEW GUIDELINES**

For decades, splenectomy was the second-line therapy gold standard for patients with ITP. Its long-term effectiv-eness was significantly greater than the effectiveness of available drug modalities, such as azathioprine, myco-phenolate mofetil, cyclosporine A, cyclophosphamide, danazol, and dapson (Table 1) [1]. However, with the introduction of new effective drugs, such as rituximab and TPO-RA (eltrombopag, avatrombopag, romiplostim) the situation has significantly changed. Namely, the rate of GTR upon rituximab application is 85% - 90%, and upon TPO-RA application, it is 80 percent [9,10]. However, after five years of rituximab application, only 20% of patients remain in remission [9]. On the other hand, the effect of TPO-RA lasts while the drugs are applied. In around 10 – 30% of patients GTR persists after TPO-RA is withdrawn [10,11].

Complications during treatment with rituximab are very rare [9]. Infusion reaction upon first dose application is registered in 15 – 60% of patients and is...
### Table 1. Good therapy response and the most common side effects in second-line ITP therapy modalities

| Treatment | Response rates | Risks/adverse events | Price (Eur) |
|-----------|----------------|----------------------|-------------|
| Splenectomy | 80% (5 – 10 years 67%) | General risks of surgery, Infection, Thrombosis | NA |
| TPO mimetics | Non-splenectomized 88%; splenectomized 79% (sustained with continued administration) | Rebound thrombocytopenia after discontinuing treatment, Increased bone marrow reticulin, immunogenicity, Hypothetical risks: thrombotic/thromboembolic complications, progression of existing haematopoietic malignancies (MDS), alterations in blood cell parameters | Per month 1,798 – 5,450 1,043 – 3,129 |
| Rituximab | 90% (6 years 29%) | Risk of fatal adverse events (infusion reactions; severe mucocutaneous reactions; PML; hepatitis B reactivation); Contraindicated in patients with active hepatitis B infection | 5,275 |
| Azathioprine | 40 – 65% (sustained 25%) | Weakness, sweating, elevated transaminases, severe neutropenia | Per month 20 |
| Cyclophosphamide | 24 – 85% (sustained 50%) | Neutropenia, DVT, nausea, vomiting, risk of secondary malignancies | Per month 30 |
| Danazol | 67% (sustained 50%) | Acne, hirsutism, elevated cholesterol, amenorrhea, elevated transaminases | Per month 30 |
| Mycophenolate mofetil | 76% (sustained 45%) | Headache, backache, abdominal distension, anorexia, nausea. | Per month 30 |
| Vinca alkaloids | 67% (sustained 50%) | Neuropathy, neutropenia, fever, infusion site reactions | Per month 70 |

**TPO-RA - agonists thrombopoietic receptors; MDS - myelodysplastic syndrome; PML - progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy; DVT - deep vein thrombosis.**

Adapted from: Suvajdžić i sar. Vodič za dijagnostiku i lečenje odraslih bolesnika sa ITP-om. Aktiv za ITP, SLD. Decembar 2016 [21]
Mesto splenektomije u savremenom terapijskom algoritmu *ITP*-a odraslih je predmet diskusije [12,13]. Naime, vodič Američkog udruženja hematologa iz 2019. godine, u sklopu preporuka za drugu terapijsku liniju u perzistentnom ili hroničnom *ITP*-u, ne daje prednost *TPO-RA* u donosu na splenektomiju, ali im daje prednost u odnosu na rituksimab. Međutim, isti vodič daje prednost rituksimabu u odnosu na splenektomiju. Sa druge strane, vodič Međunarodne radne grupe za *ITP* iz 2019. godine, klasiﬁkovao je sve terapijske modalitete na hirurške (splenektomija) i medikamentozne, koji su potom stratifikovani na grupu za koju postoje značajni dokazi o efikasnosti (rituksimab, *TPO-RA*) i grupu sa manje dokaze iz kvalitetnih studija (azatioprin, vinca alkaloidi, ciklofosfamid, ciklosporin, mikofenolat mofetil) [14]. Međutim, ni ovaj vodič ne favorizuje nijedan terapijski modalitet niti sugeriše redosled njihove primene. Najprečiznije preporuku za redosled primene terapijskih modaliteta u okviru druge terapijske linije za *ITP* dala je Nemačka radna grupa za *ITP* [15]. Naime, u drugoj terapijskoj liniji se preporučuju *TPO-RA* bez obzira na dužinu bolesti, odnosno njihova primena se savetuje kako u hroničnom *ITP*-u tako i u akutnom i perzistentnom *ITP*-u rezistentnom na kortikosteroide. Sa druge strane, splenektomija se preporučuje u drugoj terapijskoj liniji samo u hitnim slučajevima. Treću terapijsku liniju, prema ovom vodiču, predstavljaju splenektomija, rituksimab i ostali imunosupresivi [15].

**KAKO IZABRATI IDEALNOG KANDIDATA ZA SPLENECTOMIJU?**

S obzirom da redosled terapijskih modaliteta u lečenju bolesnika sa *ITP*-om koji nisu odgovorili na kortikosteroide nije jasno deﬁnisani, postavlja se pitanje kako izabrati idealnog kandidata za splenektomiju. Preporučuje se odlaganje splenektomije tokom prvih 12 meseci od dijagnoze bolesti zbog mogućnosti spontane remisije koje se javljaju kod 10% bolesnika bez obzira na primenjenu terapiju [1]. Prednosti splenektomije su visok stepen dugotrajnog DTR-a i niska cena same procedure [12,13]. Sa druge strane, splenektomija je trajna i ireverzibilna i praćena je doživotnim komplikacijama [12,13].

**HOW TO CHOOSE THE IDEAL CANDIDATE FOR SPLENECTOMY?**

Bearing in mind that the order of therapeutic modalities in the treatment of patients with *ITP* who have not responded to corticosteroids has not been clearly identiﬁed, the question arises as to the method of selecting the ideal candidate for splenectomy.

It is recommended to delay splenectomy during the first 12 months upon *ITP* diagnosis because of the possibility of spontaneous remission which occurs in 10% of patients irrespective of the applied therapy [1]. The advantages of splenectomy are the high rate of long-term GTR and the low cost of the procedure itself [12,13]. On the other hand, splenectomy is permanent and irreversible, and is followed by life-time risk of complications [12,13].
The relevant factors that need to be considered when deciding on splenectomy are:

1. **Patient age**
   Numerous studies have shown that achieving long-term GTR is better and that complications are less frequent in younger patients [1,7,8,17]. A special subgroup are women in the reproductive period who are planning pregnancy. With the exception of corticosteroids and IVIg, the application of other medication is not recommended in pregnancy, which is why the attitude on recommended splenectomy prior to pregnancy is acceptable [1,17].

2. **Comorbidities**
   Comorbidities contribute to the development of complications upon splenectomy. The scale developed by the American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA), which recognizes five categories, is helpful in risk assessment [18]. Namely, in the ASA III group, where comorbidities can most probably affect the outcome of splenectomy and where the expected perioperative mortality is 1.8% - 4.3%, other therapeutic modalities should be considered [18]. Also, patients with high thrombophilic risk, as well as patients with previous thromboses are considered ideal candidates for splenectomy.

3. **Patient lifestyle and quality of life**
   Patients leading an active life who do not want frequent check-ups represent good candidates for splenectomy [1,17,19].

4. **Site of platelet destruction**
   The 14 studies published so far, which included 1,114 patients with ITP who had undergone thrombocytokinetic testing, demonstrated the predictiveness of

---

**Slika 1.** Algoritam lečenja odraslih bolesnika sa ITP-om u drugoj terapijskoj liniji na Klinici za hematologiju Kliničkog centra Srbije

MMF – mikofenolat mofetil; mg – milligram; kg – kilogram; i.v. – intravenski; p.o. – peroralno; TPO-RA agonisti trombopoetinskih receptora

Adaptirano iz: Suvajdžić i sar. Vodić za dijagnostiku i lečenje odraslih bolesnika sa ITP-om. Aktiv za ITP, SLD. Decembar 2016 [21]
spleenectomy in 8 out of 14 studies (63.3%), and for permanent GTR in 7 out of 11 studies (62.5%) [4,20].

5. Availability of an experienced team
Although there is a tendency to estimate the operation risk through factors related to the patient, the availability of a trained surgical team is an equally important factor. The treatment algorithm for a corticoresistant/corticosteroid-dependent patient at the Clinic of Hematology of the Clinical Center of Serbia is presented in Figure 1.

CONCLUSION
In the era of new medicamentous therapeutic modalities, spleenectomy is carried out less and less frequently, and it is delayed until all non-surgical treatment options have been exhausted, although there is no generally accepted attitude on the order of applying second-line therapy in adult ITP. However, splenectomy, as a highly effective method, whose complications can most often be mitigated, still has its place in ITP treatment. An ideal candidate for splenectomy is a younger patient without comorbidities, with splenic platelet destruction who has an active lifestyle and does not want frequent check-ups; or it is a female patient planning a pregnancy. At a time when numerous and equally efficient therapeutic modalities are available, the education of the patient and their choice are also crucial factors.

Conflict of interest: None declared.
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