Autoregulatory control of *E2F1* expression in response to positive and negative regulators of cell cycle progression
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Both positive and negative signals govern the progression of cells from G1 into S phase, and a variety of data implicate the E2F transcription factor as a target for the action of one class of negative regulators, the Rb family of growth suppressors. We now find that the *E2F1* gene, which encodes one of the components of E2F activity, is subject to autoregulatory control during progression from G0 to S phase and that this primarily reflects a negative control in G0 and early G1, a time when the majority of E2F activity exits as a complex with Rb family members. In addition, we find that deregulated expression of G1 cyclins in quiescent cells stimulates the *E2F1* promoter and that this is augmented by coexpression of cyclin-dependent kinases in an E2F-dependent manner. We conclude that the *E2F1* gene is a downstream target for G1 cyclin-dependent kinase activity, most likely as a consequence of phosphorylation of Rb family members, and that the autoregulation of *E2F1* transcription may provide a sensitive switch for regulating the accumulation of E2F activity during the transition from G1 to S phase.
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The control of cell proliferation is central to the differentiation of specific cell types, the maintenance of tissue homeostasis, and the ability of certain cell types to expand rapidly in number. Moreover, the loss of cell growth control, as it occurs in the development of tumors, can have disastrous consequences for the organism. Although much of our current understanding of the underlying mechanisms responsible for the regulated transition of cells through a cell cycle stems from the combined genetic and biochemical studies available with yeast, additional critical information relative to the control of mammalian cell growth has been found in studies of oncogenic viruses. Each of the small DNA tumor viruses encodes proteins that inactivate the function of two key cellular growth regulatory proteins, the retinoblastoma gene product and the p53 protein, presumably to facilitate a productive viral infection in an otherwise quiescent cell [Levine 1993]. In so doing, normal cell growth control is disrupted and in those circumstances where a productive viral infection cannot take place, this action leads eventually to an oncogenic transformation.

A series of recent observations have provided a more detailed picture of the action of the Rb protein in growth suppression. These experiments have shown that the E2F transcription factor, originally identified in the context of early adenovirus transcription control [Kovesdi et al. 1986], is a target for the action of the Rb tumor suppressor protein [Bagchi et al. 1991; Bandara et al. 1991; Chellappan et al. 1991; Chittenden et al. 1991]. These experiments have shown that the Rb protein, as well as other members of the Rb family, form specific complexes with E2F and, in so doing, inhibit the capacity of the factor to activate transcription [Hiebert et al. 1992; Hiebert 1993; Schwarz et al. 1993; Zamanian and La Thangue 1993]. The adenovirus E1A protein [Bagchi et al. 1990], as well as the SV40 T antigen and the HPV E7 product [Chellappan et al. 1992], possess the ability to disrupt these complexes, releasing E2F in a transcriptionally active form. The strategy of the viral proteins in targeting E2F would appear to be the activation of a group of cellular genes that encode proteins involved in DNA synthesis, such as dihydrofolate reductase (DHFR), thymidylate synthase, and DNA polymerase α [Nevins 1992]. Previous experiments have shown that these genes are induced when quiescent cells are infected by SV40 or adenovirus, concomitant with the induction of S phase, presumably to create an appropriate environment for viral DNA replication [Tooze 1981]. Each of these genes contain E2F sites within critical promoter sequences, each is activated in late G1 as cells normally progress toward S phase, and recent analyses of the
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DHFR gene have provided strong evidence that the E2F elements are critical for activation in late G1 (Slansky et al. 1993). This finding, together with the fact that the active form of E2F normally accumulates at this time (Mudryj et al. 1991; Shirodkar et al. 1992; Chittenden et al. 1993; Schwarz et al. 1993), supports the view that E2F is an important element of control in the progression to S phase.

It is now clear that the previously described E2F activity is actually a family of polypeptides encoded by distinct genes. The initial gene isolated encoding an E2F polypeptide, termed E2F1, was cloned through its ability to bind directly to Rb (Helin et al. 1992; Kaelin et al. 1992, Shan et al. 1992). Expression of the E2F1 cDNA can specifically activate the adenovirus E2 gene in a transient transfection assay and cotransfection of an Rb-expressing vector inhibits E2F1-dependent activation (Cress et al. 1993; Flemington et al. 1993; Helin et al. 1993a). In addition, p107 can inhibit E2F1 transcriptional activity, whereas the adenovirus E4 19-kD protein can cooperate with E2F1 to activate the E2 promoter synergistically (Cress et al. 1993). Thus, E2F1 appears to display all the known properties and regulatory events shown for cellular E2F activity.

Recent experiments have provided a direct demonstration of a role for the E2F1 cDNA product in regulating cell proliferation. Using a transient transfection assay, it was shown that overexpression of the E2F1 product could prevent cells from entering quiescence when deprived of serum (Johnson et al. 1993). Moreover, expression of the E2F1 product in already quiescent cells was found to induce S phase, coincident with the ability of E2F1 to activate the promoters of two S phase-regulated genes, DHFR and thymidine kinase. These findings suggest that regulation of E2F1 activity in the cell may be critical in maintaining normal proliferation control.

Much of the recent focus on the regulation of E2F1 activity has concentrated on post-translational regulation by E2F-binding proteins such as Rb and p107. However, it is also clear that transcription of the E2F1 gene is cell cycle regulated. After serum stimulation of starved cells, E2F1 mRNA is induced with delayed early kinetics, dependent on new protein synthesis (Slansky et al. 1993). To study the factors involved in the transcriptional regulation of the E2F1 gene, we have isolated a human genomic clone containing the sequence found at the 5' end of the E2F1 cDNA and we have identified sequences within this region that possess promoter activity. We find that the E2F1 product can autoregulate transcription directed from its own promoter, E2F-mediated negative regulation appears to play a significant role in controlling E2F1 activity during the transition from G0 into the cell cycle, and the E2F1 gene is a target for regulation by the G1 cyclins.

Results

Isolation of E2F1 genomic clones containing putative promoter sequences

To identify sequences containing the E2F1 promoter, a full-length E2F1 cDNA clone (Helin et al. 1992) was used to screen a human placenta genomic library. Initially, 10 positive clones were isolated from a screen of 600,000 plaques. One of these clones hybridized to a 280-bp fragment containing the 5'-untranslated region and the first 144 bp of coding sequence of the E2F1 cDNA. DNA from this clone was digested with a variety of restriction enzymes and analyzed by Southern blot using either the 5' E2F1 cDNA fragment or an oligonucleotide corresponding to a 20-nucleotide sequence in the 5'-untranslated region of the E2F1 cDNA as the probe. Two restriction fragments that hybridized to these probes, a 1.5-kr Apol fragment and a 2-kr SacI fragment, were subcloned for further analysis. Sequence determination of the subclones confirmed that these DNA fragments did contain the 5' sequence of the E2F1 cDNA (Fig. 1A). The sequence of the genomic clone matches the cDNA sequence to a point 262 nucleotides 3' of the ATG initiation codon and then diverges in sequence. Immediately preceding this point of divergence is the sequence CG-GTACG, a close match to a consensus splice donor sequence suggesting that this is the 3' end of an exon.

To determine the position of transcription initiation, we used RNase protection to identify the sequences corresponding to the 5' end of the E2F1 mRNA. As shown in Figure 1B, a protection assay using an RNA probe that spanned the 5' sequence of the E2F1 cDNA yielded a major protected fragment of 67 nucleotides in length, as well as several additional protected fragments. The size of the major protection product corresponds to a position 115 nucleotides 5' to the E2F1 ATG sequence (Fig. 1). The 5' end of the cDNA isolated by Helin et al. (1992) corresponds to a site mapping 12 nucleotides upstream of this site, whereas the 5' ends in the two other E2F1 cDNAs that have been published (Kaelin et al. 1992; Shan et al. 1992) map at or downstream from this site. Whether the sites downstream of the longest E2F1 cDNA, including the major site, are actually additional start sites or result from internal RNase cleavage is not clear. Thus, we have used the most upstream site, which corresponds to the 5' end of the cDNA isolated by Helin et al. [1992], as the +1 reference point.

E2F1 upstream sequences provide cell cycle-regulated promoter activity

Previous experiments have shown that the expression of the E2F1 mRNA is tightly regulated during the cell growth response. E2F1 mRNA is present at only very low levels in quiescent cells and then accumulates in late G1 after restimulation (Kaelin et al. 1992; Slansky et al. 1993). Thus, sequences that provide promoter activity for the E2F1 gene should do so in a cell cycle-dependent manner. A series of DNA fragments containing 5'-flanking sequence from the E2F1 genomic clone were placed upstream of a luciferase reporter gene and then transfected into the rat embryo fibroblast cell line REF-52, along with a cytomegalovirus (CMV)-derived β-galactosidase reporter plasmid as an internal control. After transfection, cells were washed, starved of serum for ≥24 hr
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Figure 1. Genomic sequence and characterization of the E2F1 5'-flanking region. (A) DNA sequence of the human E2F1 gene that includes the 5' end of the E2F1 cDNA. The ATG translation initiation codon is indicated by a box. The region of identity of the cDNA sequence with the genomic sequence is underlined. Potential mRNA 5' ends, as determined by RNase protection assays, are indicated by arrows. (B) Mapping of the 5' end of E2F1 mRNA by RNase protection. The RNase protection probe begins at the ATG start site, whereas further deletion to -122 nearly abolished promoter activity.

An examination of the DNA sequence that conferred cell cycle-dependent promoter activity revealed the presence of two potential E2F-binding elements adjacent to the transcription start site (Fig. 2C). Each of the putative E2F sites exhibits an organization similar to that found in the DHFR promoter (Hiebert et al. 1991), consisting of overlapping E2F recognition motifs. Upstream of the E2F sites are several canonical CCAAT boxes and seven Sp1-binding sites. The loss of promoter activity by deletion to -122 coincides with the removal of a cluster of Sp1-binding sites.

**E2F binds to the E2F1 promoter**

Gel mobility-retardation assays were used to test whether the sequences in the E2F1 promoter were functional E2F-binding sites. A 101-bp EagI fragment from...
Figure 2. Cell cycle promoter activity of the E2F1 5'-flanking sequences. (A) Serum induction of E2F1 promoter–luciferase constructs. REF-52 cells were transfected with 5 μg of E2F1-LUC constructs, 3 μg of CMV β-galactosidase, and 12 μg of sheared salmon sperm DNA as carrier in 100-mm plates. Calcium-phosphate precipitates were applied to the cells overnight and then cells were washed and placed in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium (DMEM) supplemented with 0.1% serum for 24–28 hr. Serum was added to the plates to a final concentration of 20%, and the cells were harvested at the indicated times. Cell extracts were assayed for luciferase and β-galactosidase activity and the data presented as luciferase activity (E2F1 promoter) relative to β-galactosidase activity (CMV promoter). (B) Northern blot analysis of E2F1 mRNA during serum induction. REF-52 cells were serum starved (0.1% serum) for 48 hr, and fetal calf serum was added to a final concentration of 20%. Cells were harvested every 4 hr and RNA prepared. Samples of whole cell RNA (19 μg) were analyzed in a 1% agarose gel, transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane (Schleicher & Schuell), and hybridized to a probe containing the 5' half of the E2F1 cDNA including the DNA-binding domain. After hybridization and washing, the filter was exposed on film and then analyzed on a PhosphorImager. This filter was then stripped and reprobed with a GAPDH probe. (C) Schematic representation of putative promoter elements in the E2F1 5'-flanking region. Consensus Sp1-binding sites (CCGCCC) and CCAAT boxes are indicated as well as E2F-binding sites. The translational start codon (ATG) is shown, and the first transcriptional start site is indicated by an arrow. The site indicated as +1 corresponds to the 5' end of the E2F1 cDNA isolated by Helin et al. (1992). The endpoints of the deletion mutants are identified relative to the +1 site.

the E2F gene, containing the putative E2F-binding sites, was used as a probe to test for E2F binding with a partially purified E2F preparation from HeLa cells (Fig. 3A). An oligonucleotide containing the E2F-binding sites found in the adeno virus E2 promoter could specifically compete the complex whose mobility was typical of an E2F–DNA complex, whereas a mutated form of this oligonucleotide could not. Conversely, using the adenovirus E2 promoter as a probe and an extract from human diploid fibroblasts, the E2F1 promoter fragment could compete efficiently for E2F-binding activity (Fig. 3B). From these results we conclude that the E2F1 promoter contains sequences that bind E2F, although we cannot unequivocally say that each of the potential sites is an E2F-binding site.

E2F-dependent regulation of E2F1 promoter activity

The finding of E2F-binding sites in the E2F1 promoter, coupled with previous observations concerning the role of such elements in transcriptional activation, suggested the potential for autoregulation of the E2F1 promoter. As a test for this possibility, a plasmid containing the E2F1 1.5-kb ApaI fragment cloned upstream of a chloramphenicol acetyltransferase (CAT) reporter gene was transfected into REF-52 cells together with an E1A or an
E2F1 expression plasmid. Indirect evidence for E2F autoregulation was provided by the data shown in Figure 4A in which E2F1 promoter activity was stimulated about eightfold upon cotransfection with the E1A 25s plasmid. In contrast, no activation was observed when the E2F1 promoter was transfected together with an E1A point mutant which does not bind to Rb and is unable to activate E2F (Raychaudhuri et al. 1991).

A more direct demonstration of a role for the E2F1 product in regulating activity of the E2F1 promoter was shown by transfection of an E2F1 expression vector. As seen in Figure 4A, the E2F1 product resulted in a 3.3-fold activation of the E2F1 promoter in asynchronously growing cells. Recent experiments have shown that the E2F1 product forms a heterodimer with the DP1 product and this heterodimer possesses the enhanced DNA binding and transcriptional activation properties characteristic of E2F (Bandara et al. 1993; Helin et al. 1993b; Krek et al. 1993). Whereas the DP1 product alone had little effect on E2F1 promoter activity, cotransfection of the DP1-expressing plasmid with the E2F1 expression vector resulted in a further 2.5-fold stimulation over that with E2F1 alone, a level of activation similar to that seen with E1A.

An assay for E2F1 autoregulatory properties in serum-starved cells demonstrated an even more dramatic activation of the E2F1 promoter by E2F1, yielding nearly a 100-fold increase in activity (Fig. 4B). In addition, two other recently identified members of the E2F gene family, E2F2 and E2F3 (Ivey-Hoyle et al. 1993; Lees et al. 1993), were also able to activate transcription from the E2F1 promoter, again achieving substantial activation in the quiescent cells (Fig. 4B). The activation by E2F2 and E2F3 is significant given the fact that only very low levels of E2F1 are found in quiescent cells. Finally, a variety of experiments have shown that E2F-dependent transcription is inhibited by the action of the Rb protein as well as the Rb-related p107 protein (Hiebert et al. 1992; Schwarz et al. 1993; Zamanian and La Thangue, 1993). As shown in Figure 4C, expression of Rb as well as p107 led to a near complete inhibition of E2F1 promoter activity, similar to the effects on an E2F-dependent test promoter and consistent with a role for the E2F transcription factor in the control of E2F1 promoter activity. We conclude from these results that the E2F1 promoter does respond to E2F, either as a result of the action of E1A or as a direct consequence of the expression of the E2F1, E2F2, or E2F3 products and that the negative regulatory activity of the Rb family members can repress the promoter as well.

**Figure 3.** E2F binding to the E2F1 promoter. (A) Gel mobility retardation analysis with the 101-bp EagI fragment from the E2F1 promoter as a probe with partially purified E2F protein (a heparin-agarose fraction) from HeLa cells. Competitions were performed using the wild-type E2 promoter oligonucleotide (wt, lane 2), or the mutant form of the E2 oligonucleotide (lane 3). (B) Gel mobility retardation assay using an adenovirus E2 promoter fragment as a probe and whole cell extract from human foreskin fibroblasts. Competitions were performed using the E2 promoter oligonucleotide (wt, lane 2), the mutated E2 promoter oligonucleotide (lane 3), or increasing amounts of the 101-bp EagI fragment from the E2F1 promoter (1x, 2x, 4x).

**Mutation of the E2F-binding sites relieves negative control of the E2F1 promoter in G0 and early G1**

The observation that the E2F sites in the E2F1 promoter might contribute to transcriptional control of the gene, together with the past experiments that have demonstrated the changing nature of E2F during the cell cycle, prompted us to examine the role of the E2F sites in growth-regulated activity of the promoter. To test directly the role of the E2F-binding sites in cell cycle regulation, the E2F-binding sites located between -31 and -3, as well as a third potential site at -59/-52, were mutated (Fig. 5A). DNA-binding assays demonstrated that these alterations did eliminate E2F binding to the promoter fragment (Fig. 5B). The E2F1 E2F(−) mutant promoter was then assayed for cell cycle regulation by transfection into REF-52 cells followed by serum starvation and then restimulation.

As shown in Figure 5, C and D, elimination of the E2F-binding sites created a promoter with an ∼3.5- to 5-fold greater activity than the wild-type promoter in quiescent cells. A kinetic analysis of the two promoters revealed that as cells progressed through G1, the activity of the mutant promoter increased slightly, whereas the wild-type promoter was induced five- to six-fold, reaching a final level equivalent to the activity of the mutant promoter. We conclude that a major element of E2F1 control during the cell growth response is an E2F-mediated negative control of transcription in G0 and early G1 cells. Because the E2F1 promoter lacking E2F-binding sites attains an activity nearly equal to that of the wild-type promoter, the role of the free form of E2F may be to exclude the E2F inhibitory complexes or simply titrate the available Rb or Rb family member proteins.
Figure 4. Autoregulation of the E2F1 promoter. (A) E2F1-mediated activation of the E2F1 promoter. REF-52 cells were transfected with 5 µg of E2F1-CAT, 3 µg of CMV-β-galactosidase, and 12 µg of sheared salmon sperm DNA as carrier. E1A12S, E1A24S, E2F1, or DP1 expression plasmids [100 ng] were included in the transfections where indicated. After transfection, cells were placed in DMEM supplemented with 10% serum and incubated for a total of 48 hr. Cells were harvested, extracts prepared, and CAT and β-galactosidase assays performed. CAT activity was then corrected for transfection efficiency using β-galactosidase activity as an internal control. (B) Activation of the E2F1 promoter by E2F family members in serum-starved cells. REF-52 cells were transfected with 5 µg of E2F1-CAT, 3 µg of CMV-β-galactosidase, and 10 µg of sheared salmon sperm DNA as carrier. E2F1, E2F2, or E2F3 expression vector or vector alone [2 µg] was included in the transfections where indicated. After transfection, cells were washed and placed in DMEM supplemented with 0.1% serum for 48 hr before harvesting. CAT and β-galactosidase assays were performed and CAT assay results corrected using β-galactosidase activity. (C) Repression of E2F1 promoter by Rb and p107. SAOS-2 cells (Rb−/−) were transfected with 5 µg of 4xE2-CAT or E2F1-CAT, 1 µg of CMV-β-galactosidase, 4 µg sheared salmon sperm DNA, and 10 µg of CMV vector alone, CMV-Rb, or CMV-p107 plasmid where indicated. After transfection, cells were washed and placed in DMEM supplemented with 15% FCS for a total of 48 hr. Cells were then harvested, extracts prepared, and CAT and β-galactosidase assays performed. CAT assay results were corrected using β-galactosidase activity as an internal control.

G1 cyclins activate E2F1 transcription in quiescent cells

Given the finding that the E2F1 promoter is subject to negative control in G0 and early G1 cells, the events that initiate and trigger the release from this control are clearly of some considerable importance. G1 cyclin-dependent kinase (cdk) activity has been shown to be critical for appropriate G1 progression into S phase, and overexpression of both cyclin E- and D-type cyclins shorten the G1 interval and reduce the requirement for serum [Baldin et al. 1993; Ohtsubo and Roberts 1993; Quelle et al. 1993]. Deregulated expression of cyclins, particularly cyclin D1, has been associated with the development of several human cancer types [Motokura et al. 1991; Rosenberg et al. 1991; Seto et al. 1992], and recent experiments have shown that the cyclin D1 gene, together with an activated ras gene, can participate in the transformation of cells to an oncogenic state by complementing an E1A mutant [Hinds et al. 1994]. Previous experiments have shown that phosphorylation of the Rb protein regulates its ability to bind to and control E2F, and recent experiments have implicated the G1 cyclins, together with the cdk2 or cdk4 kinase, as being at least partially responsible for this control of Rb [Hinds et al. 1992; Dowdy et al. 1993; Ewen et al. 1993; Kato et al. 1993].

In light of these findings, we have addressed the possibility that the action of G1 cyclins and associated kinases might trigger the accumulation of E2F1 activity. Specifically, we have assayed for the ability of the D-type cyclins and cyclin E to activate the E2F1 promoter in serum-starved cells. REF-52 cells were transfected with E2F1-CAT expression vectors together with expression plasmids encoding the D1, D2, and D3 cyclins. After transfection, cells were deprived of serum for 36 hr and then assayed for CAT activity. As shown in Figure 6A, cDNAs expressing the D-type cyclins mediated a 3.5- to 9-fold activation of the E2F1 promoter when assayed in serum-starved cells. This activation was dependent on the presence of E2F-binding sites in the E2F1 promoter as the activity of a mutant promoter was not increased in the cells cotransfected with the D-type cyclins. These results thus demonstrate that the E2F1 promoter is a downstream target for the action of D-type cyclins, dependent on the presence of intact E2F-binding sites, con-
consistent with the role of these proteins in mediating a phosphorylation of the Rb protein, an inhibitor of E2F activity.

The most straightforward interpretation of the results of Figure 6A is an activation of the \textit{E2F1} promoter as a result of increased cyclin-dependent kinase activity. As further evidence in support of this possibility, we have assayed the ability of two cyclin-dependent kinases to augment the activation. Plasmids expressing the cdk2 and cdk4 protein kinases were cotransfected with the D-type cyclin plasmids to measure effects on \textit{E2F1} promoter activity. Transfection of either the cdk2- or cdk4-expressing plasmid had little effect on \textit{E2F1} promoter activity [Fig. 6B]. In contrast, cotransfection of either the cdk2 or the cdk4 plasmid augmented the activation achieved with cyclin D1 or cyclin D2. In addition, cotransfection of a cyclin E-expressing plasmid also led to an activation of the \textit{E2F1} promoter and this was in-
increased slightly by cotransfection of the cdk2 plasmid but not the cdk4 plasmid. We conclude from these results that the ability of the G1 cyclins to stimulate the E2F1 promoter is most likely a function of their ability to activate cyclin-dependent kinase activity as would normally occur during G1 progression.

Discussion

The majority of E2F activity in most cell types is in association with the Rb1 gene (Rb) product and other members of the Rb family, and many studies have focused on the ability of these proteins to control the activity of E2F. A variety of experiments have shown that the interaction of Rb and the Rb-related p107 protein with E2F results in an inhibition of E2F transcription capacity (Hiebert et al. 1992; Flemington et al. 1993; Helin et al. 1993a; Schwarz et al. 1993; Zamanian and La Thangue 1993), consistent with the observation that the Rb-binding domain overlaps with the transcription activation domain of the E2F1 product (Helin et al. 1992; Kaelin et al. 1992). The disruption of this control by the DNA tumor virus proteins, such as adenovirus E1A, SV40 T antigen, and human papillomavirus (HPV) E7, appears to facilitate the entry to S phase as a consequence of the E2F-mediated activation of a group of cellular genes that encode proteins important for DNA replication (Nevins 1992). Although the control of E2F by protein interactions is clearly important, it is also evident that activation of E2F1 gene transcription is a significant component in the regulation of E2F1 activity during the cell growth response (Slansky et al. 1993). The experiments presented here demonstrate that this transcriptional control is primarily the result of E2F-mediated negative regulation in G0 and the early stages of G1.

Although E2F sites are generally associated with positive transcriptional control, and there are numerous reports documenting the fact that E2F does act in a positive manner, there have also been previous reports of E2F sites acting as negative elements. For instance, recent experiments have shown that E2F sites can mediate an Rb-dependent repression of transcription driven by the early SV40 promoter/enhancer (Weintraub et al. 1992), thus suggesting that the interaction of Rb with E2F does not simply negate its activity but, rather, can create a dominant-acting repressor. In addition, studies of the cell cycle control of the B-myb gene have shown that an E2F site acts as a negative promoter element, as mutation of the site results in constitutive activation, relieving repression in G0 and early G1 (Lam and Watson 1993), a result very similar to that for the E2F1 promoter described here. In short, evidence suggests that E2F can play both a positive as well as a negative role in transcription control, dependent on the state of the E2F factor.

A series of observations suggest an important role for the E2F transcription factor in cell growth control and the regulation of G1 progression. These observations include the fact that the ability of Rb, as well as the Rb-related p107 protein, to arrest cell growth in G1, is dependent on the ability to interact with and inhibit the function of E2F (Qian et al. 1992; Qin et al. 1992). In addition, the Rb-mediated G1 arrest can be overcome by coexpression of the E2F1 cDNA product (Zhu et al. 1993). Late G1 expression of the DHFR gene, and likely an additional group of S-phase regulated genes, is dependent on the action of E2F (Slansky et al. 1993), which is
coincident with the accumulation of free, active E2F in late G1 [Schwarz et al. 1993]. Finally, recent experiments have shown that the expression of the E2F1 cDNA product prevents quiescence upon serum deprivation and expression of E2F1 in quiescent cells stimulates S phase (Johnson et al. 1993).

These findings, taken together with additional recent studies of G1 regulatory activities, implicate E2F as a critical downstream target of G1 control events that lead to the induction of cellular DNA replication [Fig. 7]. In particular, previous work has shown that the Rb protein is regulated by phosphorylation and that this is likely mediated by various G1 cyclin/CDK complexes [Hinds et al. 1992; Dowdy et al. 1993; Ewen et al. 1993a; Kato et al. 1993]. E2F control could thus be viewed as a downstream target for G1 cyclin/kinase action, as the phosphorylation of Rb, and perhaps other Rb family members, affects its ability to bind to and regulate E2F [Chellappan et al. 1991; Kato et al. 1993; Schwarz et al. 1993]. In addition, the negative growth regulatory activity of transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β) has been shown to coincide with the inhibition of cyclin E/CDK2 activity [Koff et al. 1993], as well as cyclin D/CDK4 activity [Ewen et al. 1993b], and recent experiments demonstrate that TGF-β-mediated growth inhibition can be overcome by expression of the E2F1 product [J. Schwarz, C. Bassing, X.-F. Wang, and J.R. Nevins, in prep.]. In short, many of these G1 control events can be seen to converge on E2F, consistent with the ability of E2F1 to stimulate quiescent cells to enter S phase [Johnson et al. 1993].

Cyclin E and cyclin D1 were cloned through their ability to rescue a G1 cyclin-deficient yeast strain [Koff et al. 1991; Xiong et al. 1991]. Cyclin D1 was also cloned as a delayed early responsive gene induced by colony-stimulating factor 1 [CSF-1] [Matsushime et al. 1991] and as a gene activated by a human chromosome 11 inversion in parathyroid adenomas [Motokura et al. 1991]. Cyclin D2 and D3 were cloned through their homology to cyclin D1 [Matsushime et al. 1991]. The cyclin D1 gene has been found to be deregulated in B-cell lymphomas, squamous cell tumors, and breast carcinomas [Sherr 1993] and is a likely candidate for the Bcl1 oncogene. Blocking cyclin D1 function arrests fibroblasts in G1, and overexpression of cyclin E, D1, or D2 shortens the G1 interval of the cell cycle and reduces dependency on serum [Ohtsubo and Roberts 1993; Quelle et al. 1993]. Taken together, these data suggest an important role for the E- and D-type cyclins in G1 progression and in the regulation of normal cell proliferation. This role appears, at least in part, to involve the phosphorylation of Rb [Hinds et al. 1992; Dowdy et al. 1993; Ewen et al. 1993a; Kato et al. 1993]. This G1 cyclin/CDK-mediated phosphorylation destabilizes the interaction of Rb with E2F, suggesting a role for the G1 cyclins in regulating the transcriptional activity of E2F. Our finding that E- and D-type cyclins can activate the E2F1 promoter, dependent on the E2F sites within the promoter, provides a direct demonstration that this is the case.

Although the Rb protein can repress transcription from the E2F1 promoter, recent experiments suggest that Rb may not be the major regulator of E2F in G0 or G1 cells. Rather, the major E2F-binding activity at this time appears to contain the Rb-related proteins p107 and p130 [Lees et al. 1992; Shirodkar et al. 1992; Cobrinik et al. 1993; Schwarz et al. 1993]. Therefore, the E2F-p107 and/or the E2F-p130 complexes are likely candidates for an active transcriptional repressor in quiescent cells. As cells enter late G1 and S phase the E2F-p107 and E2F-p130 complexes disappear and free E2F accumulates. A simple model for the role of E2F in the cell cycle regulation of E2F1 gene expression would be that the E2F-p107 and E2F-p130 complexes bind to the promoter and block transcription initiation in G0/early G1. As these complexes disappear and free E2F appears in late G1, transcription driven by other factors, such as Sp1, can occur. This would lead to the synthesis of additional E2F1, increased activation of the E2F1 gene and, thus, further increases in the levels of E2F1. Finally, although these experiments point to the importance of E2F in regulating the E2F1 gene, it remains possible that other regulatory activities also impinge on the expression of the gene. For instance, we have noted the presence of potential binding sites for the c-Myb and c-Myc proteins in the E2F1 upstream sequences. The functional relevance of these sites is yet to be determined, but it is possible that these factors may also contribute to E2F1 regulation during G1 progression.
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**Figure 7.** E2F1 control as a downstream target for G1 regulatory events. Growth stimulatory signals would include various growth factors that lead to the activation and accumulation of G1 cyclins. One target for the action of G1 cyclins, in conjunction with cyclin-dependent kinases (CDK), is the Rb protein and possibly other members of the Rb family. In this regard, "Rb" not only refers to the retinoblastoma tumor-suppressor protein but also to other Rb family members such as p107 and p130. Phosphorylation of "Rb" prevents its interaction with E2F, which apparently relieves negative control of the E2F1 gene.
Autoregulation provides a very sensitive means to control the accumulation of a rate-limiting product, and the experiments presented here suggest that the E2F1 gene is under autoregulatory control as cells progress from G0 to S phase. In this regard, we use the term autoregulation in a general sense to include regulation not only mediated by the E2F1 product but also other E2F family members. It would appear that the E2F1 product is not a major constituent of the E2F activity found in G0 or early G1 cells, and it is likely that different E2F species participate in the regulation of the E2F1 promoter at this time. This autoregulatory control would allow an initial modest accumulation of E2F, possibly as a result of the release of E2F from complexes containing p130 or p107, to rapidly amplify expression of the E2F1 gene resulting in the further accumulation of E2F activity. Moreover, the phosphorylation of Rb that occurs in mid- to late G1 (Buchkovich et al. 1989; Chen et al. 1989; Ludlow et al. 1990) would allow this accumulation to take place, as phosphorylation of Rb prevents its interaction with E2F (Chellappan et al. 1991; Schwarz et al. 1993). Given the likely possibility that E2F1 activity is important in the transition from G1 to S phase, as demonstrated by recent transfection and microinjection experiments (Johnson et al. 1993), this dramatic control could certainly represent a switch used by the cell to make the transition from G1 into S.

In many respects, the control and action of E2F exhibits properties similar to the G1/S checkpoint Start, defined genetically in yeast (Hartwell et al. 1974), and likely coincides with the restriction point in mammalian cells (Pardee 1989). In Saccharomyces cerevisiae, the cdc28 gene and one of the CLN genes are required for Start. Two genes have been associated with Start in fission yeast, cdc2, the homolog of the S. cerevisiae cdc28 gene, and cdc10 (Nurse and Bissett 1981). The cdc10 gene product and related proteins found in S. cerevisiae encode transcription factor activity that shares some characteristics with E2F, notably the activation of S-phase genes in late G1 of the cell cycle (Lowndes et al. 1991, 1992). The cdc2 kinase, in conjunction with G1 cyclins (the CLN genes), is functionally analogous to the mammalian G1 cyclin-dependent cdk2 and cdk4 kinases that are known to target the E2F regulatory protein Rb (Dowdy et al. 1993; Ewen et al. 1993a; Kato et al. 1993). All of these results point to the possibility that the accumulation of E2F activity in late G1 may represent the functional analog of Start, or at least one aspect of the critical G1/S control point.

Materials and methods

Isolation of E2F1 promoter sequences

A human placenta genomic phage library (a gift from R. Lefkowitz, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC) was plated on twelve 150-mm L-plates at a density of 50,000 plaques per plate. Plaques were lifted in duplicate and screened using a full-length E2F1 cDNA (Helin et al. 1992) as a probe. Ten plaques scoring positive on duplicate filters were picked, replated, and rescreened. In the third round of screening, a 280-bp fragment from the 5' end of the E2F1 cDNA was used as a probe and a single positive clone was isolated. Phage DNA was digested with various restriction enzymes, transferred to nitrocellulose and probed using either the 5' E2F1 fragment or a 20-bp oligonucleotide corresponding to the 5'-untranslated E2F1 sequence. Two fragments that hybridized to these probes, a 1.5-kb Apal fragment and a 2.0-kb SacI fragment, were subcloned for further analysis. Sequencing of these fragments confirmed that this clone contained the 5' end of the E2F1 gene.

RNase protection assay

RNase protection was performed as described previously (Johnson et al. 1990). Briefly, a 323-base antisense RNA probe beginning at the Apal site in the E2F1 5'-untranslated region was hybridized to 50 μg of Drosophila total RNA, 50 μg of HeLa total RNA, or 5 μg of HeLa poly(A)+ RNA for 16 hr at 65°C. RNA hybrids were RNase digested, and protected fragments were analyzed on a 6% polyacrylamide sequencing gel. Sequencing reactions using a primer corresponding to the end of the RNase protection probe were used as markers to identify the E2F1 transcriptional start site.

E2F1 promoter constructs and expression plasmids

The 1.5-kb Apal fragment from the E2F1 genomic λ phage was subcloned into pGEM11 (Promega) to generate pGEM7A1. This plasmid was then used for sequencing, synthesis of the antisense RNA probe, and construction of E2F1 promoter reporter plasmids. The entire 1.5-kb Apal fragment was subcloned into pCAT basic (Promega) to make pE2FCAT. Luciferase reporter deletion mutants were created by subcloning restriction fragments (−728, Sacl, −242, Smal, −204, BspE1, −122, Aval) into the pGL2 vector (Promega). The E2F1–LUC (E2F−) construct was created by substituting a 101-bp EagI fragment in the E2F1–LUC (−740) plasmid with a double-stranded oligonucleotide containing appropriate base changes. The E2F1–CAT (E2F−) plasmid was generated by first constructing E2F1–CAT (−242) by deleting an Apal–Smal fragment from E2F1–CAT followed by replacement of the EagI fragment with the EagI fragment from E2F1–LUC (E2F−). The E1A, E2F1, and DP1 expression plasmids were described previously (Kraus et al. 1992; Cress et al. 1993). The E2F2 and E2F3 expression plasmids have been described (Lees et al. 1993). Cyclin D1, D2, and D3, as well as cdk4 expression plasmids, have been described previously (Quelle et al. 1993). The cyclin E expression plasmid (Hinds et al. 1992) and the cdk2 expression plasmid (Tsai et al. 1991) have been described previously. The 4×E2CAT reporter plasmid (Ohlstein and Nevins 1994) and the pRB and p107 expression plasmids (Cress et al. 1993) have been described elsewhere.

CAT, β-galactosidase, and luciferase assays

CAT and β-galactosidase assays were performed as described (Johnson et al. 1993). Luciferase assays were performed using a luciferase reporter assay system (Promega).

Northern blot analysis

Total RNA (19 μg) was run on a 1% agarose gel containing MOPS and 2.2 M formaldehyde. RNA was transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane, prehybridized in 5× SSC, 0.1% SDS, 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 0.1% Ficoll, 0.1% polyvinylpyrrolidone, 0.1% BSA, 100 μg/ml denatured sheared salmon sperm DNA, and 45% formamide at 42°C overnight. The filter was then hybridized under similar conditions with either an E2F1 or GAPDH probe. The filter was then washed once in 0.5× SSC, 0.1% SDS, at 50°C, once at 55°C, and once at 60°C. The
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filter was then exposed to X-ray film followed by PhosphorImager analysis.

Acknowledgments

We thank Chuck Sherr for providing the cyclin D and cdk4 plasmids, Ed Harlow for the cdk2, E2F2, and E2F3 plasmids, and Xiao-Fan Wang for the cyclin E plasmid. We are grateful to Laszlo Jakoi and Nancy Hora for expert technical assistance. We also thank Kaye Culler and Teresa Jenkins for help with the preparation of the manuscript. Finally, thanks go to Doug Cress, Jim Schwarz, and Tim Kowalik for critically reading the manuscript. K.O. is supported by a fellowship from the Human Frontier Science Program Organization. D.G.J. is supported by a fellowship from the American Cancer Society.

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked “advertisement” in accordance with 18 USC section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

References

Bagchi, S., P. Raychaudhuri, and J.R. Nevins. 1990. Adenovirus E1A proteins can discriminate heteromeric complexes involving the E2F transcription factor: A novel mechanism for E1A trans-activation. Cell 62: 659–669.

Bagchi, S., R. Weinmann, and P. Raychaudhuri. 1991. The retinoblastoma protein copurifies with E2F-I, an E1A-regulated inhibitor of the transcription factor E2F. Cell 65: 1063–1072.

Baldin, V., J. Likas, M.J. Marcote, M. Pagano, J. Bartek, and G. Draetta. 1993. Cyclin D1 is a nuclear protein required for cell cycle progression in G1. Genes & Dev. 7: 812–821.

Bandara, L.R., J.P. Adamczewski, T. Hunt, and N.B. La Thangue. 1991. Cyclin A and the retinoblastoma gene product complex with a common transcription factor. Nature 352: 249–251.

Bandara, L.R., V.M. Buck, M. Zamanian, L.H. Johnston, and N.B. La Thangue. 1993. Functional synergy between DP-1 and E2F-1 in the cell cycle-regulating transcription factor DRTF1-E2F. EMBO J. 12: 4317–4324.

Buchkovich, K., L.A. Duffy, and E. Harlow. 1989. The retinoblastoma protein is phosphorylated during specific phases of the cell cycle. Cell 58: 1097–1105.

Chellappan, S.P., S. Hiebert, M. Mudryj, J.M. Horowitz, and J.R. Nevins. 1991. The E2F transcription factor is a cellular target for the RB protein. Cell 65: 1053–1061.

Chellappan, S., V.B. Kraus, B. Kroger, K. Munger, P.M. Howley, W.C. Phelps, and J.R. Nevins. 1992. Adenovirus E1A, simian virus 40 tumor antigen, and human papillomavirus E7 protein share the capacity to disrupt the interaction between transcription factor E2F and the retinoblastoma gene product. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 89: 4549–4553.

Chen, P.-L., P. Scully, J.-Y. Shew, J.Y.F. Wang, and W.-H. Lee. 1989. Phosphorylation of the retinoblastoma gene product is modulated during the cell cycle and cellular differentiation. Cell 58: 1192–1198.

Chittenden, T., D.M. Livingston, and W.G. Kaelin Jr. 1991. RB associates with an E2F-like, sequence-specific DNA-binding protein. Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant. Biol. 56: 187–195.

Chittenden, T., D.M. Livingston, and J.A. DeCaprio. 1993. Cell cycle analysis of E2F in primary human T cells reveals novel E2F complexes and biochemically distinct forms of free E2F. Mol. Cell. Biol. 13: 3975–3983.

Cobrinik, D., P. Whyte, D.S. Peeper, T. Jacks, and R.A. Weinberg. 1993. Cell cycle specific association of E2F with the p130 E1A-binding protein. Genes & Dev. 7: 2392–2404.

Cress, W.D., D.G. Johnson, and J.R. Nevins. 1993. A genetic analysis of the E2F1 gene distinguishes regulation by Rb, p107, and adenovirus E4. Mol. Cell. Biol. 13: 6314–6325.

Dowdy, S.F., P.W. Hinds, K. Louie, S.I. Reed, A. Arnold, and R.A. Weinberg. 1993. Physical interaction of the retinoblastoma protein with human D cyclins. Cell 73: 499–511.

Ewen, M.E., H.K. Sluss, C.J. Sherr, H. Matsushime, J. Kato, and D.M. Livingston. 1993a. Functional interactions of the retinoblastoma protein with mammalian D-type cyclins. Cell 73: 487–497.

Ewen, M.E., H.K. Sluss, L.L. Whitehouse, and D.M. Livingston. 1993b. TGF beta inhibition of cdk4 synthesis is linked to cell cycle arrest. Cell 74: 1009–1020.

Flemington, E.K., S.H. Speck, and W.K. Anein Jr. 1993. E2F-1-mediated transactivation is inhibited by complex formation with the retinoblastoma susceptibility gene product. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 90: 6914–6918.

Hartwell, L.H., J. Culotti, J.R. Pringle, and B.J. Reid. 1974. Genetic control of the cell division cycle in yeast. Science 183: 46–51.

Helin, K., A.L. Lees, M. Vidal, N. Dyson, E. Harlow, and A. Fattaey. 1992. A cDNA encoding a pRB-binding protein with properties of the transcription factor E2F. Cell 70: 337–350.

Helin, K., E. Harlow, and A. Fattaey. 1993a. Inhibition of E2F-1 transactivation by direct binding of the retinoblastoma protein. Mol. Cell. Biol. 13: 6501–6508.

Helin, K., C.-L. Wu, A.R. Fattaey, J.A. Lees, B.D. Dynlacht, C. Nguw, and E. Harlow. 1993b. Heterodimerization of the transcription factors E2F-1 and DP-1 leads to cooperative trans-activation. Genes & Dev. 7: 1850–1861.

Hiebert, S.W. 1993. Regions of the retinoblastoma gene product required for its interaction with the E2F transcription factor are necessary for E2F promoter repression and pRB-mediated growth suppression. Mol. Cell. Biol. 13: 3384–3391.

Hiebert, S.W., M. Blake, J. Azizkhan, and J.R. Nevins. 1991. Role of E2F transcription factor in E1A-mediated transactivation of cellular genes. J. Virol. 65: 3547–3552.

Hiebert, S.W., S.P. Chellappan, J.M. Horowitz, and J.R. Nevins. 1992. The interaction of RB with E2F coincides with an inhibition of the transcriptional activity of E2F. Genes & Dev. 6: 177–185.

Hinds, P.W., S. Mittnacht, V. Dulic, A. Arnold, S.I. Reed, and R.A. Weinberg. 1992. Regulation of retinoblastoma protein functions by ectopic expression of human cyclins. Cell 70: 993–1006.

Hinds, P.W., S.F. Dowdy, E.N. Eaton, A. Arnold, and R.A. Weinberg. 1994. Function of a human cyclin gene as an oncogene. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 91: 709–713.

Ivey-Hoyle, M., R. Conroy, H.E. Huber, P.J. Goodhart, A. Oliff, and D.C. Heimbuck. 1993. Cloning and characterization of E2F-2, a novel protein with the biochemical properties of transcription factor E2F. Mol. Cell. Biol. 13: 7802–7812.

Johnson, D.G., L. Carayannopoulos, J.D. Capra, P.W. Tucker, and J.H. Hanke. 1990. The ubiquitous octamer-binding protein(s) is sufficient for transcription of immunoglobulin genes. Mol. Cell. Biol. 10: 982–990.

Johnson, D.G., J.K. Schwarz, W.D. Cress, and J.R. Nevins. 1993. Expression of transcription factor E2F1 induces quiescent cells to enter S phase. Nature 365: 349–352.

Kaelin, W.G., W. Krek, W.R. Sellers, J.A. DeCaprio, F. Aechenbaum, C.S. Fuchs, T. Chittenden, Y. Li, P.J. Farnham, M.A. Blanar, D.M. Livingston, and E.K. Flemington. 1992. Expression cloning of a cDNA encoding a retinoblastoma-binding protein with E2F-like properties. Cell 70: 351–364.

Kato, J., H. Matsushime, S.W. Hiebert, M.E. Ewen, and C.J.
Sherr. 1993. Direct binding of cyclin D to the retinoblastoma gene product (pRb) and pRb phosphorylation by the cyclin D-dependent kinase CDK4. Genes & Dev. 7: 331–342.

Koff, A., F. Cross, A. Fisher, J. Schumacher, K. Leguellec, M. Philippe, and J.M. Roberts. 1991. Human cyclin E, a new cyclin that interacts with two members of the cdcl2 gene family. Cell 66: 1217–1228.

Koff, A., M. Ohtsuki, K. Polyak, J.M. Roberts, and J. Massague. 1993. Negative regulation of G1 in mammalian cells: Inhibition of cyclin E-dependent kinase by TGF-beta. Science 260: 536–539.

Kovesdi, I., R. Reichel, and J.R. Nevins. 1986. Identification of a cellular transcription factor involved in E1A trans-activation. Cell 45: 219–228.

Kraus, V.B., E. Moran, and J.R. Nevins. 1992. Promoter-specific trans-activation by the adenovirus E1A12S product involves separate E1A domains. Mol. Cell Biol. 12: 4391–4399.

Krek, W., D.M. Livingston, and S. Shirodkar. 1993. Binding to DNA and the retinoblastoma gene product promoted by complex formation of different E2F family members. Science 262: 1557–1560.

Lam, E.W. and R.J. Watson. 1993. An E2F-binding site mediates cell-cycle regulated repression of mouse B-myb transcription. EMBO J. 12: 3705–3713.

Lecs, E., B. Faha, V. Dulic, S.I. Reed, and E. Harlow. 1992. Cyclin E/cdk2 and cyclin A/cdk2 kinases associate with p107 and E2F in a temporally distinct manner. Genes & Dev. 6: 1874–1885.

Lees, J.A., M. Saito, M. Vidal, M. Valentine, T. Look, E. Harlow, N. Dyson, and K. Helin. 1993. The retinoblastoma protein binds to a family of E2F transcription factors. Mol. Cell. Biol. 13: 7813–7825.

Levine, A.J. 1993. The tumor suppressor genes. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 62: 623–651.

Lowndes, N.F., A.L. Johnson, and L.H. Johnson. 1991. Coordination of expression of DNA synthesis genes in budding yeast by a cell-cycle regulated trans factor. Genes & Dev. 5: 1200–1211.

Leone, A.J. 1993. Mammalian G1 cyclins.

Lam, E.W. and R.J. Watson. 1993. An E2F-binding site mediates cell-cycle regulated repression of mouse B-myb transcription. EMBO J. 12: 3705–3713.

Lecs, E., B. Faha, V. Dulic, S.I. Reed, and E. Harlow. 1992. Cyclin E/cdk2 and cyclin A/cdk2 kinases associate with p107 and E2F in a temporally distinct manner. Genes & Dev. 6: 1874–1885.

Lees, J.A., M. Saito, M. Vidal, M. Valentine, T. Look, E. Harlow, N. Dyson, and K. Helin. 1993. The retinoblastoma protein binds to a family of E2F transcription factors. Mol. Cell. Biol. 13: 7813–7825.

Levine, A.J. 1993. The tumor suppressor genes. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 62: 623–651.

Lowndes, N.F., A.L. Johnson, and L.H. Johnson. 1991. Coordination of expression of DNA synthesis genes in budding yeast by a cell-cycle regulated trans factor. Nature 350: 247–250.

Lowndes, N.F., C.J. McLernon, A.L. Johnson, P.A. Fantes, and L.H. Johnston. 1992. Control of DNA synthesis genes in fission yeast by a cell-cycle regulated trans factor. Nature 355: 449–453.

Ludlow, J.W., J. Shon, J.M. Pipas, D.M. Livingston, and J.A. DeCaprio. 1990. The retinoblastoma susceptibility gene product undergoes cell-cycle dependent dephosphorylation and binding to and release from SV40 large T. Cell 60: 387–396. Matsushime, H., M.F. Roussel, R.A. Ashmun, and C.J. Sherr. 1991. Colony-stimulating factor 1 regulates novel cyclins and the retinoblastoma product and a p107–cyclin A complex in a cell cycle-regulated manner. Cell 68: 157–166.

Slansky, J.E., Y. Li, W.G. Kaelin, and P.J. Farnham. 1993. A protein synthesis-dependent increase in E2F1 mRNA correlates with growth regulation of the dihydrofolate reductase promoter. Mol. Cell. Biol. 13: 1610–1618.

Tooze, J. 1989. G1 events and regulation of cell proliferation. Science 246: 603–608.

Qian, Y., C. Luckey, L. Horton, M. Esser, and D.J. Templeton. 1992. Biological function of the retinoblastoma protein requires distinct domains for hyperphosphorylation and transcription factor binding. Mol. Cell. Biol. 12: 5363–5372.

Qin, X.Q., T. Chittenden, D.M. Livingston, and W.G. Kaelin Jr. 1992. Identification of a growth suppression domain within the retinoblastoma gene product. Genes & Dev. 6: 953–964.

Quelle, D.E., R.A. Ashmun, S.A. Shurtleff, J.Y. Kato, D. Bar Sagri, M.F. Roussel, and C.J. Sherr. 1993. Overexpression of mouse D-type cyclins accelerates G1 phase in rodent fibroblasts. Genes & Dev. 7: 1559–1571.

Raychaudhuri, P., S. Bagchi, S.H. Devoto, V.B. Kraus, E. Moran, and J.R. Nevins. 1991. Domains of the adenovirus E1A protein required for oncogenic activity are also required for dissociation of E2F transcription factor complexes. Genes & Dev. 5: 1200–1211.

Rosenberg, C.L., E. Wong, E.M. Petty, A.E. Bale, Y. Tsujimoto, N.L. Harris, and A. Arnold. 1991. PRAD1, a candidate BCL1 oncogene: Mapping and expression in centrosymmetric lymphoma. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 88: 9638–9642.

Schwarz, J.K., S.H. Devoto, E.J. Smith, S.P. Chellappan, L. Jakoi, and J.R. Nevins. 1993. Interactions of the p107 and Rb proteins during the cell proliferation response. EMBO J. 12: 1013–1020.

Seto, M., K. Yamamoto, S. Lida, Y. Akao, K.R. Utsumi, I. Kubonishi, I. Miyoshi, T. Ohtsuki, Y. Yawata, M. Namba, T. Motokura, A. Arnold, T. Takahashi, and R. Ueda. 1992. Gene rearrangement and overexpression of PRAD1 in lymphoid malignancy with t(11;14)-q(13;q32) translocation. Oncogene 7: 1401–1406.

Shan, B., X. Zhu, P.L. Chen, T. Durfee, Y. Yang, D. Sharp, and W.H. Lee. 1992. Molecular cloning of cellular genes encoding retinoblastoma-associated proteins: Identification of a gene with properties of the transcription factor E2F. Mol. Cell. Biol. 12: 5620–5631.

Sherr, C.J. 1993. Mammalian G1 cyclins. Cell 73: 1059–1065.

Shirodkar, S., M. Ewen, J.A. DeCaprio, J. Morgan, D.M. Livingston, and T. Chittenden. 1992. The transcription factor E2F interacts with the retinoblastoma product and a p107–cyclin A complex in a cell cycle-regulated manner. Cell 68: 157–166.

Slansky, J.E., Y. Li, W.G. Kaelin, and P.J. Farnham. 1993. A protein synthesis-dependent increase in E2F1 mRNA correlates with growth regulation of the dihydrofolate reductase promoter. Mol. Cell. Biol. 13: 1610–1618.

Tooze, J. 1981. DNA tumor viruses. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, New York.

Tsai, L.H., E. Harlow, and M. Meyerson. 1991. Isolation of the human cdk2 gene that encodes the cyclin A- and adenosine E1A-associated p33 kinase. Nature 353: 174–177.

Weintrab, S.J., C.A. Prater, and D.C. Dean. 1992. Retinoblastoma protein switches the E2F site from positive to negative element. Nature 358: 259–261.

Xiong, Y., T. Connolly, B. Futcher, and D. Beach. 1991. Human D type cyclin. Cell 65: 691–699.

Zamanian, M. and N.B. La Thangue. 1993. Transcriptional repression by the Rb-related protein p107. Mol. Biol. Cell 4: 389–396.

Zhu, L., S. van den Heuvel, K. Helin, A. Fattaey, M. Ewen, D. Livingston, N. Dyson, and E. Harlow. 1993. Inhibition of cell proliferation by p107, a relative of the retinoblastoma protein. Genes & Dev. 7: 1111–1125.
Autoregulatory control of E2F1 expression in response to positive and negative regulators of cell cycle progression.

D G Johnson, K Ohtani and J R Nevins

Genes Dev. 1994, 8:
Access the most recent version at doi:10.1101/gad.8.13.1514

References
This article cites 67 articles, 35 of which can be accessed free at:
http://genesdev.cshlp.org/content/8/13/1514.full.html#ref-list-1

License

Email Alerting Service
Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right corner of the article or click here.