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Summary.—Ehrlich ascites tumours (EAT) were grown in mice by injecting $1 \times 10^6$ cells intraperitoneally. In mice which received one or more injections of $30 \text{ mg}$ soybean trypsin inhibitor (TI) i.p. during tumour growth, the number of recoverable tumour cells was significantly reduced by up to 92%. Also, the mean size of these cells was significantly smaller.

When the rate of labelled thymidine incorporation in vitro was compared in TI-treated and control cells, no significant differences were detected. However, when the population doubling time of EAT cells in vivo was calculated, it was apparent that recoverable TI-treated cells were dividing more rapidly than controls. Consequently, the reduced number of cells recovered from TI-treated mice did not result from a reduced growth rate.

Viability, assessed by trypan blue dye exclusion and rate of labelled chromium release, was the same in TI-treated and control cell populations. Thus TI was nontoxic to EAT cells and the reduced number of cells from treated tumours was not therefore due to cytotoxicity.

Scanning electron microscopy revealed that normal EAT cells did not adhere to internal host surfaces but that after TI treatment they adhered in large numbers to produce an appearance which resembled a confluent monolayer. This binding to host tissue accounted for the reduction in the number of cells recovered from TI-treated animals. We propose that TI acts as a protease inhibitor to prevent intrinsic proteolytic enzyme activity at the tumour cell surface. This activity would normally destroy the binding sites required for adhesion to host tissue.

Plant agglutinins such as concanavalin A (Con A) bind to carbohydrates at cell surfaces (Winzler, 1970). Cells which have undergone malignant transformation are agglutinated by such treatment but most normal cells are not (Inbar and Sachs, 1969). Treatment of such untransformed cells with proteolytic enzymes, however, renders them agglutinable (Inbar and Sachs, 1969; Nicolson, 1972) and Burger (1969) proposed that the changes in cell membrane architecture produced by proteases were the same as those resulting from malignant transformation. He subsequently correlated these surface changes with a loss of growth control in normal cells, by demonstrating a temporary loss of contact inhibition after trypsin treatment (Burger, 1970). Burger and Noonan (1970) subsequently reported the restoration of contact inhibition to polyoma-transformed 3T3 cells by coating them with a non-agglutinating Con A preparation obtained by trypsin digestion. On the basis of this evidence, we postulated that the abnormal growth characteristics of malignant cell populations in vivo may result from the same cell surface alterations which characterize transformed or protease treated cells in vitro, and which result in a loss of contact inhibition. We therefore attempted to establish some
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degree of growth control in Ehrlich ascites tumours (EAT) by modifying the cell surface in the manner described by Burger and Noonan (1970). Doses of 1 mg "monovalent" Con A (Burger and Noonan, 1970) were injected intraperitoneally (i.p.) on alternate days into mice carrying EAT. By Day 11 this had resulted in a mean total recoverable tumour cell population size of 148 × 10^6 cells, compared with 527 × 10^6 cells in the untreated group. However, another control group which had received injections of soybean trypsin inhibitor (TI), since this was a component of the Con A preparation, had a mean recoverable tumour size of only 57 × 10^6 cells. Consequently the reduction in the number of free tumour cells was attributable to the presence of TI and not to Con A. This paper reports a series of experiments which investigate the reasons for the reduction in the number of tumour cells recoverable from TI-treated animals. These experiments show that the effect is attributable to the adhesion of the cells to the tissues of the host. The results suggest that TI achieves this effect by inhibiting proteolytic activity at the tumour cell surface.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Ehrlich ascites tumour analysis.—This tumour has been maintained in our laboratory by routine weekly passage of 1 × 10^6 cells injected i.p. into 30 g male TO mice (Tucks, Rayleigh, U.K.). These cells show no tendency to aggregate in vivo and almost no tendency to adhere to the internal surfaces of the abdominal cavity. In order to examine the growth of tumours after treatment with TI, mice were injected with 1 × 10^6 tumour cells i.p. On alternate days thereafter they received up to 30 mg of lyophilized, chromatographically prepared TI (Type 1-s, Sigma, St Louis, U.S.A.) i.p., dissolved in sterile phosphate buffered saline (Flow, Irvine, U.K.). Control groups received injections of the saline only. To recover the tumour the mice were anaesthetized with ether, killed and the ascites cells removed in saline. Calculations of total number and size distribution analysis were carried out on each tumour using a model Fn Coulter counter (Coulter, Dunstable, U.K.). Cell samples were diluted with "Isoton" before counting through a 100 µm orifice. For total counts the machine was set at aperture = 128, attenuation = 2, and threshold = 5. Cell size distribution analysis was carried out using the same settings, with a threshold window width of 5 over the range 5–100. These readings were converted to µm^3 after calibrating the machine against polystyrene latex balls of 9.7 µm and 16.6 µm diameter. Estimations of the DNA content of cells were performed in conjunction with cell size analyses as just described. After counting, cells were extracted twice with ice cold 5% perchloric acid, twice with a defatting solvent (ether : ethanol : chloroform, 2 : 2 : 1 v/v/v), and suspended in 5% perchloric acid at 70°C for 15 min. The supernatant solution obtained was estimated for DNA content by the diphenylamine method (Burton, 1956).

Non-tumour cell analysis.—To investigate the normal cell population in the peritoneal cavity of non-tumour-bearing mice, cells were removed in saline and counted using the Coulter counter settings described above.

Rates of DNA synthesis.—Three different types of experiment were undertaken to determine the rates of DNA synthesis in tumour cell populations using the rate of uptake of thymidine (methyl-H^3) (1.5 mCi/mouse; sp. act. 18.4 Ci/mmol) or thymidine-C^14 (1.14–2.5 µCi/ml; sp. act. 53–59 mCi/mmol) (Radiochemical Centre, Amersham, U.K.). For in vitro experiments cells were washed 3 times in ice cold phosphate buffered saline (Flow). Initially, rates of uptake in tumour cells treated with TI in vivo were compared with untreated control cells. In subsequent experiments untreated tumour cells were preincubated in TI (5 mg/ml) for 1 hour in vitro before incubation in labelled thymidine. In both types of experiment, cells were incubated with labelled thymidine for several hours and the specific activity of TCA precipitates was then determined using methods described by Whur and Weatherhead (1971). In the third type of experiment a single tumour cell population was heavily labelled in vivo with twice daily injections of 250 µCi ³H labelled thymidine for a period of 3 days. This was followed by a cold thymidine chase, consisting of 1000 times the total
amount of labelled thymidine administered, given as a single i.p. injection. After 24 hours
the labelled cells were recovered, washed, and single doses of $1 \times 10^6$ cells were injected
into 2 groups of mice. The next day one group received 30 mg of TI, the other saline
only. The cells were recovered after 72 hours in vivo and the amount of thymidine label
per cell was compared in the 2 groups, using the same methods as before.

**Cell viability experiments.**—Cell viability was assessed both by trypan blue dye exclu-
sion and by monitoring labelled chromium release. In the case of trypan blue, a few
drops of freshly harvested unwashed cells were mixed with a 0·1% solution of the dye.
Two hundred cells were then examined from each tumour, using a haemacytometer slide,
and viability was expressed as the mean percentage of unstained cells. For chromium
release experiments, washed cells were sus-
pended at $1 \times 10^6$/ml in Eagle's minimal essential medium (Biocult, Paisley, U.K.) and
incubated for 1 hour at 37°C in the presence of 4 µl/ml of $51$Cr solution (aqueous sodium
chromate, 6 µg Cr/ml, 1·03 mCi/ml, Radio-
chemical Centre, Amersham, U.K.). They
were then washed in 4 changes of medium
and incubated for a further 3 hours in fresh
medium, after which time $51$Cr release was
measured by incorporating an aliquot of the
cell-free medium into scintillation fluid. The
cells were then killed by heating to 60°C for
20 min to determine total releasable radio-
activity (Pickaver *et al.*, 1972).

**Scanning electron microscopy.**—In order to
observe the degree to which cells had adhered
to intra-abdominal surfaces, a square of
ventral abdominal wall was removed from
tumour bearing mice, washed in cold phos-
phate buffered saline and fixed at 4°C in
2·5% glutaraldehyde. After immersion in
several changes of buffered wash, samples
were frozen in isopentane, cooled with liquid
$N_2$ and freeze dried to $-20$ to $-40$°C. After
mounting on stubs, the samples were coated
with gold palladium and examined in a Stereo-
scan microscope (Cambridge Instru-
ments, Cambridge, U.K.). These observa-
tions were repeated on 5 separate occasions.

**Statistical methods.**—All experimental
groups initially contained 25 animals and
means are based on these groups. Variation
is expressed as standard error of the mean
and Student's 't' test was used to detect signi-
ficance between different samples at $P < 0·05$.

### RESULTS

**Effect of TI on the number of recoverable
tumour cells**

Four groups of mice were given
$1 \times 10^6$ tumour cells on Day 0 and were
subsequently injected i.p. with 0, 1, 5, or
20 mg of TI in 0·5 ml of saline on Day 1
and alternate days thereafter. The mice
were killed on Day 10 and the total
number of recoverable cells and cell size
distribution of the 4 groups were compared
(Fig. 1). When compared with the un-
treated control, there was a significant
reduction in the mean cell number ($P
< 0·01$) and size ($P < 0·005$) only in that
group which had received doses of 20 mg
of TI. We subsequently adopted a stan-
dard dose rate of 30 mg of TI given on
alternate days for all experiments. After
8 days of tumour growth the mean total
number of tumour cells recovered from
mice which had received TI was reduced
by 92% ($P < 0·001$) when compared with
the yield from control mice (Fig. 2).
Cells from treated mice were also signi-
ficantly smaller ($P < 0·001$).

Having established that TI caused a
major reduction in the number and size of
recoverable tumour cells after 8 days of
tumour growth, we investigated its effect
on cell populations after shorter periods of
tumour growth. Even when mice were
killed on Day 2, after only a single injec-
tion of TI (Fig. 3), there was a significant
reduction in the mean total number of
recoverable cells ($P < 0·02$) and in their
size ($P < 0·01$). A feature not previously
observed was the presence of 2 separate
peaks in the cell size distribution of the
untreated control group (Fig. 3). The
single peak in the TI-treated group
coincided with the smaller, 270 µm$^3$,
cell group peak. This finding can be
interpreted in 2 ways. Firstly, there may
be 2 distinct cell populations, comprising predominantely tumour cells in control
mice and smaller non-tumour cells of host
origin in TI-treated mice. Alternatively,
the smaller size of cells recovered from TI
treated mice may be an effect of TI on the
tumour cell population. In view of these
Fig. 1.—Effect of injecting TI i.p. on alternate days on the mean total number and size of recoverable EAT cells. Mice received $1 \times 10^6$ tumour cells on Day 0 and were killed on Day 10. The total area of each curve corresponds to the total number of tumour cells recovered and a shift to the left indicates a reduction in their mean cell size. □ Control animals injected with saline. Cells recovered $(822 \pm 66) \times 10^6$. Mean cell size $757 \pm 240 \, \mu m^3$. ■ 1 mg TI on alternate days. Cells recovered $(1006 \pm 159) \times 10^6$. Mean cell size $596 \pm 164 \, \mu m^3$. ● 5 mg TI. Cells recovered $(530 \pm 91) \times 10^6$. Mean cell size $673 \pm 198 \, \mu m^3$. ▲ 20 mg TI. Cells recovered $(282 \pm 76) \times 10^6$. Mean cell size $470 \pm 149 \, \mu m^3$.

Fig. 2.—Effect of TI on the number and size of recoverable tumour cells after 8 days of growth. □ Control animals injected with saline. Cells recovered $(357 \pm 44) \times 10^6$. Mean cell size $683 \pm 323 \, \mu m^3$. ■ 30 mg TI i.p. on alternate days. Cells recovered $(28 \pm 11) \times 10^6$. Mean cell size $498 \pm 103 \, \mu m^3$. 
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possibilities, an experiment was designed to compare mean population and cell sizes of tumours originating from the same number of either treated or untreated tumour cells. Firstly, the mean number and size of recoverable cells were compared from tumours of mice injected with TI and untreated controls killed on Day 8 (Fig. 4a). Predictably, the effect of the TI was to reduce significantly both the mean total number \((P < 0.02)\) and size \((P < 0.02)\) of the recoverable cells. Aliquots of \(1 \times 10^6\) cells of either population were then injected into 2 groups of fresh mice and the tumours were allowed to grow for 5 days without further treatment. On Day 5 the tumours from each group had become indistinguishable in respect of mean total number \((P > 0.9)\) and size \((P > 0.9)\) (Fig. 4b), indicating that the recoverable cells from TI-treated and control animals formed a single homogeneous population.

In order to establish how long the double peak observed in untreated controls on Day 2 (Fig. 3) persisted, a further experiment was carried out in which animals were killed on Day 4. By this time, the size distribution of cells in control animals had reverted to the single 670 \(\mu\text{m}^3\) peak observed at later times (Fig. 5). There were significant differences between the groups in respect of mean total number of recoverable cells \((P < 0.05)\) and their size \((P < 0.01)\).

Since it was a constant feature of these experiments that the mean size of cells recovered from TI-treated mice was significantly less than that from controls, this change in size was investigated by determining the mean DNA content per cell in these 2 groups on Day 4 (Table I).

![Graph](image)

**Fig. 3.**—Effect of a single injection of TI on the number and size of recoverable cells after 2 days of growth. □ Control animals injected with saline. Cells recovered \((8 \pm 1) \times 10^6\). Mean cell size \(726 \pm 232 \mu\text{m}^3\). ■ 30 mg TI i.p. on alternate days. Cells recovered \((2 \pm 1) \times 10^6\). Mean cell size \(585 \pm 238 \mu\text{m}^3\).

| Table I. — Size and DNA Content of **EAT** Cells Recovered from Mice after 4 Days of Growth |
|---------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| **Cell size** (\(\mu\text{m}^3\)) | **\(\mu\text{g DNA/cell}\) (\(\times 10^6\))** |
| Cells from untreated controls | 950 ± 87 | 16.57 ± 0.63 |
| Cells from TI-treated animals | 625 ± 106 | 17.89 ± 1.27 |
| \(P < 0.05\) | \(P > 0.5\) |
Fig. 4.—(a) Effect of TI on the number and size of recoverable cells after 8 days of tumour growth. □ Control animals injected with saline. Cells recovered \((395 \pm 96) \times 10^6\). Mean cell size 709 ± 322 \(\mu m^3\). ■ 30 mg TI i.p. on alternate days. Cells recovered \((42 \pm 11) \times 10^6\). Mean cell size 499 ± 206 \(\mu m^3\). (b) Effect on the number and size of recoverable cells after 5 days of tumour growth in mice injected with \(1 \times 10^6\) cells recovered from TI-treated or control mice. □ Mice receiving cells from control animals. Cells recovered \((57 \pm 24) \times 10^6\). Mean cell size 709 ± 349 \(\mu m^3\). ■ Mice receiving cells from TI-treated animals. Cells recovered \((74 \pm 30) \times 10^6\). Mean cell size 715 ± 325 \(\mu m^3\).
the mean cell size of the TI-treated group was again lower than that of the control group \((P < 0.05)\), there was no significant difference in DNA content per cell between the groups \((P > 0.5)\).

Effect of TI on normal peritoneal cell population

Six hours after i.p. injection of 30 mg of TI into non tumor-bearing mice, the size of the peritoneal cell population recovered in saline was not significantly different from that of control mice \((P > 0.5)\) (Table II).

Table II.—The Size of the Normal Peritoneal Cell Population in Non Tumour-bearing Mice 6 Hours after i.p. Injection of TI or Saline

|               | Cell count \((\times 10^{-4})\) | \(P\)     |
|---------------|---------------------------------|-----------|
| Initial level | 654.0 ± 73.0                    |           |
| After i.p. injection of saline | 529.8 ± 95.7 | > 0.5     |
| After i.p. injection of TI      | 410.2 ± 80.7 |           |

Effect of TI on the rate of cell division

When cells were removed from TI-treated and control mice, washed and their in vitro rates of \(^{14}\)C labelled thymidine uptake compared, no significant differences were detected. Since this result might have been influenced by the absence of TI from the incubation medium, we resorted to in vitro preincubation of untreated cells with TI before labelling with thymidine. Again, however, no statistical differences were detected although the experiment was repeated a number of times. We therefore examined the doubling time of the cell population in vivo. For this purpose a group of tumour cells was labelled in vivo with thymidine over a 2-day growth period. These cells were then recovered and reinjected into 2 groups of mice, one of which received a single dose of TI on the following day. The cells were harvested on the third day after injection and the TCA-precipitable radioactivity per cell was calculated. There was a significant difference in the level of labelling of the two cell populations (Table III). Assuming that growth was exponential, cells in the untreated control mice underwent 4
Fig. 6.—Scanning EM micrograph of the internal surface of mouse abdominal wall 21 hours after the injection of $1 \times 10^8$ EAT cells. There are virtually no cells adhering to the surface. $\times$ approx. 400.

Fig. 7.—The internal abdominal surface of a mouse injected 21 hours previously with $1 \times 10^7$ tumour cells, followed immediately by 30 mg of TI. The tumour cells have adhered to the host's tissue as a monolayer. $\times$ approx. 400.
cell divisions compared with 5 in the TI-treated group; this represents doubling times of 18 and 14 hours respectively.

**Toxicity of TI to EAT cells**

Since the smaller number of cells recovered from TI-treated tumours was not the result of a slower growth rate, we examined the possibility that it was due to cytotoxicity. As measured by trypan blue dye exclusion, there were no more dead cells present in the TI-treated populations than in the controls (Table IV). This observation assumes that dead cells persist long enough for them to be present in detectable numbers; we therefore monitored the release of $^{51}$Cr from prelabelled cells during in vitro incubation with TI and compared this with control cells. There was no detectable difference in the rates of release from the 2 groups (Table V). The evidence suggests, therefore, that at the concentrations used TI is nontoxic to EAT cells.

**Scanning electron microscope observations**

The experiments described above show that the observed reduction in the number of recoverable tumour cells from TI-treated animals could not be explained by a reduction in the total tumour cell population. We therefore examined the internal abdominal surfaces of TI-treated and control mice to see if tumour cells were being retained by the host as the result of injections of TI. When the ventral abdominal wall was examined we found, 21 hours after tumour injection, that virtually no cells adhered to this surface in control animals (Fig. 6), even when $1 \times 10^8$ cells were injected. However, in animals which received 30 mg of TI immediately after the injection of tumour cells, large numbers of the cells adhered to the host’s tissues (Fig. 7). The cells appeared to form a monolayer; in no instance did we recognize ascites cells adhering to each other. Adherent cells were characterized by processes extending from their basal surface and ramifying over the adjacent host surface.

**DISCUSSION**

**Effect of TI on the number and size of recoverable tumour cells**

Groups of mice injected i.p. with EAT cells and subsequently i.p. with either TI in saline or saline alone on alternate days, were compared in respect of the total number of recoverable cells at different periods over the next 10 days. There was consistently a statistically significant reduction in the yield from the TI-treated groups (Fig. 1–5). Cell size distribution

---

**Table III.**—$^3$H-thymidine Levels in Prelabelled EAT Cells after 72 Hours in vivo. The Level of Labelling is Expressed as the TCA-precipitable Radioactivity per $1 \times 10^7$ Cells.

|                        | Initial level (0 hour) | After 72 hours in control mice | After 72 hours in TI-treated mice |
|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|
|                        | d/min ± s.e. mean      | 35220 ± 7030                  | 2410 ± 320                      |
|                        |                        | 940 ± 220                     | < 0.001                         |

**Table IV.**—Viability of EAT Cells Recovered from Mice after 8 Days of Growth, Using the Trypan Blue Dye Exclusion Test. Viability is Expressed as the Percentage of Cells Excluding the Dye.

|                        | Viability ± s.e. mean | P                |
|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| Cells from untreated controls | 98.4 ± 0.9            | > 0.2            |
| Cells from TI-treated animals | 99.1 ± 0.3            |                 |

**Table V.**—Release of $^{51}$Cr from Prelabelled EAT Cells after Incubation for 3 Hours in vitro. Total Releasable Radioactivity is Expressed as the Sum of Counts Released into the Supernatant during Incubation Plus Additional Radioactivity Released when Cells were Heat Killed.

|                        | Total releasable (ct/min ± s.e. mean) | Released (ct/min ± s.e. mean) |
|------------------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| TI added to medium at 5 mg/ml | 1850 ± 91                              | 465 ± 23                      |
| Control                | 1725 ± 108                              | 541 ± 22                      |

---

The data in Table III indicate that the TI-treated mice had a lower level of labelling than the controls, but this difference was not statistically significant. The ratio of the levels of labelling in the TI-treated versus control mice was 35:29, which is close to 1:1. This suggests that the TI did not inhibit DNA synthesis in the tumour cells.
analysis indicated that this reduction was not attributable to tumour cells aggregating in vivo. Even in groups of mice killed on Day 2 after a single injection of TI (Fig. 3) there was a reduction of 75% in the number of recoverable cells. This reduction was not attributable to a TI-induced initial lag phase in the growth rate, since the mean rate of cell division during the first 72 hours following transplantation was significantly greater in TI-treated than in control cell populations (Table III).

There was also a significant difference in the mean size of control cells (700–800 \( \mu m^3 \)) compared with TI-treated cells (400–600 \( \mu m^3 \)). After 2 days of tumour growth and a single injection of TI, the treated group showed a single peak at 270 \( \mu m^3 \), whereas the untreated control cells showed 2 peaks (Fig. 3). By Day 4 the controls peaked only at 670 \( \mu m^3 \) whereas the treated group persisted at 270 \( \mu m^3 \) (Fig. 5) and this subsequently remained unchanged. When such cells were injected in equal amounts into fresh mice they produced recoverable cell populations which were identical both in respect of total number and mean size, irrespective of whether they originated from TI-treated or control mice (Fig. 4a,b). Thus the 2 populations are composed of similar cells, the difference in size being attributable to the action of TI. This difference in size is not, however, clearly correlated with a change in DNA content (Table I). It cannot therefore be attributable to the change in size which is seen in EAT and other tumour cells as a consequence of the reduced ploidy resulting from the increased growth rate which follows tumour transplantation (Basleer and Desaive, 1971; Dombernowsky, Bichel and Hartmann, 1973). It must therefore be due to a decrease in the mean volume of cytoplasm, probably as the result of the increased growth rate of TI-treated cells.

Effect of TI on the growth rate of tumour cells

It seemed reasonable to suppose that the considerable reduction in the number of recoverable tumour cells produced by treatment with TI was the result of a reduced growth rate. However, when such cells were removed from treated mice and compared with untreated control cells in respect of their relative rates of labelled thymidine incorporation in vitro, no difference between the 2 groups could be detected. This did not, however, eliminate the possibility that the cells might rapidly revert to the normal rate of growth if TI was removed from the medium or from the cell surface during the washing and dilution procedures associated with this series of experiments. We therefore modified the design, using hitherto untreated tumour cells which were preincubated in vitro with TI before comparing the rate of thymidine incorporation with that of untreated control cells. Again, however, no significant differences were observed. In order to reflect more accurately the conditions in vivo, we used a population of cells whose DNA had been prelabelled with \( ^3H \)-thymidine, to observe the decline in the level of labelling per cell when grown in TI-treated or control mice. Cells recovered from TI-treated mice contained a significantly lower level of label and were therefore undergoing mitosis at more frequent intervals (Table III). Since analysis of tumour cell kinetics in control mice suggested that growth is almost exponential for the first 10 days after the injection of \( 1 \times 10^6 \) tumour cells, the figures in Table III indicate recoverable cell population doubling times of 14 hours for TI-treated and 18 hours for control cells. This clearly indicates that TI has no inhibitory effect upon mitotic rate.

Toxicity of TI

The fact that the viability of TI-treated cells, as judged by the exclusion of trypan blue dye, was the same as that of controls (Table IV) does not entirely exclude the possibility that TI was toxic to the cells, unless we assume that dead cells persist for a sufficient period to represent a statistically detectable propor-
tion of the total population. This problem was eliminated by the observation that cells preincubated in \(^{51}\text{Cr}\) released label at the same rate when incubated *in vitro*, whether or not TI was added to the incubation medium (Table V). On the basis of these observations, it is apparent that TI does not kill or lyse cells at the concentrations used and the reduction in the number of cells recovered from TI-treated mice cannot be attributed to such an effect.

*Adhesion of tumour cells to host tissues*

Our line of EAT cells does not normally adhere to the internal abdominal surfaces of the host in which it is grown (Fig. 6). Consequently, the mean number of cells recoverable from untreated mice accurately reflects the actual tumour size. When such tumours were treated with TI the number of cells subsequently recovered was markedly reduced when compared with controls. This was due to large numbers of cells adhering to the host’s tissues as a result of TI treatment (Fig. 7) and not to any cytotoxic effect or alteration in growth rate. Direct evidence that the adhering cells are indeed tumour cells is being prepared at the moment, but present evidence indicates that they are not inflammatory cells. Cells from TI-treated and control animals formed a single homogeneous population (Fig. 4a,b), which would not be the case if a substantial number of cells from the TI-treated mice were peritoneal macrophages. Furthermore, the normal peritoneal cell population of non tumour-bearing mice was unaltered 6 hours after TI injection (Table II). Consequently, it is unlikely that there is an inflammatory response to TI, and thus the cells adhering to the abdominal wall are most probably tumour cells.

Whether or not such adherent cells were undergoing subsequent cell divisions has not yet been established. The fact that they appeared to form a tightly packed monolayer (Fig. 7) might suggest that further growth had been arrested by contact inhibition, manifested as a result of the TI mediated cell surface change which caused them to adhere to host tissue. However, contact inhibition is normally associated with cells grown on impenetrable supports; in the present case it is possible that cells invade the underlying host tissues, and the appearance of an apparently well ordered monolayer may be deceptive.

The fact that TI-treated cells adhere to host tissues is of considerable theoretical interest and there are a number of possible explanations to account for it. TI may act as an agglutinin by forming cross-linkages between cells which result in aggregation (Inbar and Sachs, 1969). However, it would have to possess two binding sites of different specificities in order to account for its observed failure to agglutinate the EAT cells at the same time as it promoted their binding to host cells. Furthermore, although Con A binds in similar amounts to the membranes of normal and malignant cells (Ozanne and Sambrook, 1971) it does not initiate agglutination in untransformed cells unless the cell surface binding sites are reorientated by proteolytic activity (Nicolson, 1972). Consequently, if TI forms bridges between tumour cells and host cells it is also necessary to postulate that the host cell binding sites are exposed in the “re-orientated” manner under normal conditions. For these reasons it appears extremely unlikely that TI mediates the adhesion of tumour and host cells by such a mechanism.

A more likely possibility is that TI alters the surface of either the host or the tumour cell in such a way as to complete a system of binding sites directly linking the two cell types. This linkage would have a degree of specificity to account for the failure of tumour cells to bind to each other. The presence of TI may allow the build up of specific binding sites on the tumour cell surface, by inhibiting an intrinsic protease of tumour origin which otherwise destroys the sites. This hypothesis is supported by the demonstration that the agglutination of EAT cells by
Con A is greatly enhanced by preincubation with TI, (Payne, Whur and Robson, 1973). Presumably Con A agglutination sites are increased in the presence of this protease inhibitor, in line with the finding that the agglutination of transformed cells by Con A is reduced after trypsin treatment (Inbar and Sachs, 1969). We postulate, therefore, that EAT cells bind to host tissue as a result of the inhibition by TI of intrinsic proteolytic activity. This hypothesis receives support from observations which indicate that treatment of malignant cells with protease inhibitors in vitro results in an alteration of growth characteristics, which may result from modification of the cell surface (Goetz, Weinstein and Roberts, 1972; Schnebli and Burger, 1972). Our findings support the view that the abnormal growth rates and adhesive properties of tumour cells are related to modification of cell surface binding sites by the activity of intrinsic proteases.

We thank Miss P. Murray for technical assistance. We would also like to record our appreciation to Dr D. C. Williams, Head of the Research Department, for his constant interest and encouragement.
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