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**Abstract**

**Background:** Refugees have poorer health compared to indigenous populations, which may be explained by lower health literacy, i.e. not being able to access, understand, appraise or apply health information. This study aims to determine levels of functional and comprehensive health literacy, and factors associated with inadequate health literacy, in refugees coming to Sweden.

**Method:** A cross-sectional study was performed among 455 adult refugees speaking Arabic, Dari, Somali or English. Participants in 16 strategically selected language schools for immigrants responded to a questionnaire. Health literacy was measured using the Swedish Functional Health Literacy Scale and the HLS-EU-Q16 questionnaire. Uni- and multivariate statistical methods were used to investigate group differences.

**Results:** The majority of the participating refugees had inadequate or limited functional health literacy and comprehensive health literacy. About 60% of them had inadequate functional health literacy and 27% had inadequate comprehensive health literacy. Low education and/or being born in Somalia were factors associated with an increased risk of having inadequate functional health literacy. Having inadequate functional health literacy was associated with an increased risk of having inadequate comprehensive health literacy.

**Conclusions:** The majority of refugees in the language schools had limited or poor health literacy. Health literacy should be taken into consideration in contexts and in activities addressing migrants. More research is needed to better understand health literacy among refugees and to develop strategies and methods to increase health literacy and make life easier for those with low health literacy.
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HL is regarded as a key determinant for health [18], a crucial factor for empowerment [19] and a question of social justice [20]. Most HL research sets out from a medical approach, mainly focusing on an individual’s ability to read information and instructions about health [21], i.e. functional health literacy (FHL) [22]. Less research originates from the health promotion approach seeing HL as something much more complex, i.e. comprehensive health literacy (CHL). According to Sorensen et al. [23] “[CHL] ... links to literacy and entails people’s knowledge, motivation and competences to access, understand, appraise, and apply health information in order to make judgments and decisions in everyday life concerning healthcare, disease prevention and health promotion to maintain or improve quality of life during the life course”. Most previous research uses different quantitative FHL measurements requiring much administration [24]. Qualitative research and research using self-assessed measurements to examine CHL are less common [24].

Different approaches to HL and the use of various measures in different contexts make it difficult to compare results from different HL studies [25,26]. Nevertheless, refugees share many characteristics associated with low HL [6,8,10,17,27,28]: poor health and having a low level of education are associated with low FHL and low CHL [25,26,29,30]; low social status, low socio-economy and being an immigrant are associated with low CHL [29,30]. Low FHL is associated moreover with greater use of emergency care [25,31,32], poorer ability to interpret health messages [25] and lower participation in preventive services [25,33]. Those phenomena are also common among refugees [8,13,15], which strengthens the hypothesis that low HL is common among refugees and contributes to their poor health. Existing knowledge about HL levels among refugees is scarce; however, one study focusing on Somali refugees in the USA reported that about 74% of the study population had low FHL [34]. Other studies from the USA focusing on migrants (including refugees), show that low FHL among migrants is more common and widespread than in the indigenous populations [35,36]. HL levels among refugees and indigenous populations in Sweden as well as among indigenous populations in the refugees’ countries of origin are unknown.

Statement of the problem

Most research on HL focuses on FHL [22] and is conducted in the USA [37] among indigenous populations or migrant groups that are not refugees. Less HL research has been carried out in Europe [38,39] and HL levels among refugees are unknown. Research on HL using a health promotion approach, i.e. research on CHL, is needed [22,23,40]. It is also important to examine whether FHL could be a predictor for CHL. Knowledge about HL in newly arrived refugees may indicate whether HL needs to be taken into account in health promotion and health care.

Purpose

The main purpose of this study was to determine FHL (i.e. the ability to read information and instructions about health to improve and maintain health) and CHL (i.e. the ability to access, understand, appraise and apply health information to improve and maintain health) levels among refugees in different subgroups in Sweden. Further purposes were to investigate socio-demographic and health-related factors associated with inadequate HL.

Methods

Study design and setting

Data collection for this cross-sectional study was carried out during the spring of 2013 in 19 strategically selected language schools for immigrants (SFI) in four counties: Norrbotten, Stockholm, Östergötland and Skåne were selected in order to gather participants from different geographic and demographic areas of Sweden. A strategic selection of SFI schools was based on the number of people who had received resident permits as asylum seekers in each municipality in 2012, in each county [3]. Eligible SFI schools with more than 30 students were selected from each municipality in the four counties. If more than one school in a municipality fulfilled the criterion, a school was randomly drawn. Nineteen schools agreed to participate and a total of 170 SFI classes on four different study levels were visited.

Study participants

Eligible participants were adult refugees attending the classes the day we visited each SFI school, i.e. consecutive selection was used. The inclusion criteria were: speakers
of Arabic, Somali, Dari or English; being born outside a Nordic country or the European Union (EU); and having received a permanent resident permit as a seeker of asylum. Language categories were selected based on the most common original language spoken among refugees in Sweden in 2012 [3]. The inclusion criterion of “having received a permanent resident permit” was chosen for ethical reasons, as we did not want to put stress on people who were still seeking asylum. Participation was voluntary, and no student fulfilling the inclusion criteria refused to take part in the study.

Data collection
On the day of the data collection, a team consisting of a researcher and a number of language supporters visited the school. The language supporters consisted of people who spoke the languages of the target groups for the study and who had some experience of research or social work. The team first informed the students in the schools about the research project and that participation was voluntary. The questionnaire was then distributed on site in a separate classroom during regular school hours to those who fulfilled the inclusion criteria and consented to participate. Refugees who had difficulty reading or writing (21.3% of the total study population) were supported by language supporters who read and helped them to fill in the questionnaire. Ethics approval was sought at the regional Ethical Committee of Clinical Investigation in Uppsala, but a committee judgment was not deemed necessary or applicable according to Swedish law since data collection was performed anonymously, leaving no possibility of individual identification.

The questionnaire and analysis
The questionnaire used consisted of 60 questions. It was translated into Arabic, Somali, Dari and English following guidelines for the translation of instruments [41], i.e. translation, back translation and cognitive interviews. The questionnaire consisted both of questions used in previous research [29,42] and new questions developed by the research team. The new questions were based on the results of four explorative interviews and nine explorative focus group interviews about health check-ups with a total of 50 participants. These were carried out earlier in the project (Flodström and Wångdahl, 2012, unpublished observations). All language versions of the new questions, as well as the key questions for the study, were tested by means of 19 cognitive individual interviews before the questionnaire was finalized.

Characteristics
The socio-demographic and health-related characteristics analysed were country of birth, sex, age, education level, years of resident permit, religion, self-assessed general state of health, long-term illness and healthcare in the past three months. General state of health was measured by the question “How do you assess your overall health status?” having the response categories: excellent, good, fair, poor and very poor [43]. Long-term illness was measured by the question “Do you have any long-term illnesses, problems after an accident, any functional difficulties or other long-term health problems?” with the response categories: yes, no [43]. Healthcare in the past three months was measured by the question “During the last three months, have you had any contact with the healthcare services? Relating to personal problems or illness” with the response categories: yes, no [44]. Age and years of resident permit were measured as continuous variables in the questionnaire and re-categorized into groups afterward for analysis purposes. Questions and categories from the questionnaire are presented in Additional file 1.

The Swedish functional health literacy scale
The Swedish functional health literacy scale (the S-FHL scale) [45] was used to assess FHL, i.e. the ability to read information and instructions about health to improve and maintain health. It consists of five items assessing different aspects of FHL and having the same five semi-structured response categories: never, seldom, often, and always (Additional file 2). The instrument was selected because it is short, uses self-assessment and is easy to distribute, compared to many other FHL instruments [46].

When analysing the data, an overall level for FHL was calculated for each respondent. The persons responding “never” or “seldom” to all items were categorized as having sufficient HL. Persons scoring “often” or “always” to one or more of the five items were categorised as having inadequate HL. The rest, those who responded “sometimes” to at least one item and not “often” or “always” to any items, were categorised as having problematic HL. The cut-offs used when dividing the respondents into the three groups were based on definitions describing the abilities needed for sufficient FHL, i.e. basic skills in reading information and instructions about health [21]. Those lacking any basic skills were classified as having inadequate FHL, those having all the basic skills were classified as having sufficient FHL and those in between were classified as having problematic FHL. In the logistic regression, the FHL response categories were dichotomized into inadequate (inadequate FHL) and not inadequate (problematic and sufficient FHL) FHL. Due to missing values in FHL items, 13% of the study population were excluded from analyses including the FHL variable (Additional file 3).

The HLS-EU-Q16
A slightly modified version of the short European HL questionnaire (HLS-EU-Q16) [47] was used to assess the
more comprehensive HL (CHL) (i.e. the ability to access, understand, appraise and apply health information to improve and maintain health). The instrument consists of 16 items focusing on four HL dimensions: ability to access/obtain health information, understand health information (not only in written form), ability to process/appraise health information and ability to apply/use health information. The modification of the HLS-EU-Q16 consisted of writing out full sentences in each item and including “don’t know” as a response category for the respondent – in contrast to the original version in which this only could be ticked by the researcher as a result of pilot testing the translated instrument (Additional file 4). The HLS-EU-Q16 was selected because it is short, easy to distribute and is one of the few HL instruments that can be used for an assessment other than FHL [44].

An HLS-EU-Q16 index was calculated in three steps when the data were analysed, following the manual for the instrument [47]. The response categories were first dichotomized. The responses “fairly easy” and “very easy” were put together into one category, which was given the value of 1, the responses “fairly difficult” and “very difficult” were put together into one category which was given the value of 0, and the response “don’t know” was treated as missing. Second, a sum score of the response values was calculated and divided into three categories: sufficient CHL if there were 13–16 score points, problematic CHL if there were 9–12 score points or inadequate CHL if there were 0–8 score points. In the logistic regression analyses, the HLS-EU-Q16 index was dichotomized into inadequate (inadequate CHL) and not inadequate CHL (problematic and sufficient CHL). Due to missing values in CHL items, 36% of the study population were excluded from analyses including the CHL variable (Additional file 3).

Statistical analysis
Socio-demographic and health-related group differences among FHL and CHL categorizations were compared using Chi square or Fisher’s exact tests when the expected frequency in each cell was lower than 5. Spearman’s significance test was used to assess associations between CHL, FHL and various variables, all non-parametric data. Binary logistic regression was used to assess the extent to which various independent covariates may predict inadequate FHL/CHL as dichotomized outcome variables. Stepwise models were tested. The first multivariate model for FHL included sex, age, education, years of resident permit and long-term illness, and the final model (model 2) for FHL included the same variables as model 1 plus country. The first multivariate model for CHL included sex, age, education, years of permanent residential permit and long-term illness. The second multivariate model for CHL included the same variables as the first model plus country. The final model (model 3) for CHL included the same variables as the second model plus FHL. Country of origin was added separately in the stepwise model according to the results, which showed significant differences in the distributions of characteristics between subgroups based on country of origin. The decision to add country of origin to the model was also supported by the notion that people with different countries of origin could have different cultures and views about health [5,13] which may lead to different HL levels. Iraq was chosen as the reference since this country of origin had the highest level of education, which has previously been shown to be associated with limited HL [25,29]. The results are presented as crude odds ratios (COR) and multivariate odds ratios with 95% confidence intervals (CI) and p-value. A p-value of < 0.05 was considered statistically significant, and all analyses were two-sided. Data analyses were conducted using the Statistical package for the Social Sciences version 21 (Chicago, IL, USA).

Missing HL levels
To estimate non-response bias regarding a lack of FHL or CHL level, logistic regression analyses were made with non-responders as the dependent variable. It showed that the time since having received the resident permit was an independent factor that was correlated to a higher rate of lack of FHL level and that FHL was an independent factor correlated to a higher rate of a lack of CHL level (Additional file 3). To account for non-response bias, the variables with data not missing or random (“time since having received resident permit” and “FHL”) were post stratified by the model presented by Carlin et al. [48]. All the analyses were then made once again with weighting for the non-response bias. None of the result values nor the significance values were changed in any major sense (results not shown).

Results
Characteristics of the participants
Detailed characteristics and key variables for the study population are shown in Table 1. In summary, the average age of the study population was 35.8 years (SD 10.6), and slightly more were men than women (54% vs. 46%). About the same fraction of people was born in Somalia, Iraq and Syria; fewer people were born in Afghanistan or in another country. In the study population, almost three out of four (72%) were Muslims, and about three out of five (66%) had studied seven years or more. The majority (65%) had received their resident permit in Sweden one to two years previously. Almost half of the study population had very poor to fair self-reported health, and about one third reported some long-term illness or disease. Slightly less than half of the participants had visited any
Table 1 Proportions of characteristics of the study population and differences in characteristics between country of origin*

| Variables (N = 455) | Total | Somalia | Afghanistan | Iraq | Syria | Other | p-value |
|---------------------|-------|---------|-------------|------|-------|-------|---------|
|                     | N (%) | n (%)   | n (%)       | n (%)| n (%) | n (%) |         |
| N (%), n (%)         |       |         |             |      |       |       |         |
| 107 (27.4)           | 41 (10.5) | 88 (22.5) | 90 (23.0)  | 65 (16.6) |       |       |         |
| Gender               | < 0.001 |         |             |      |       |       |         |
| Men                  | 242 (54.3) | 41 (39.4) | 27 (65.9)  | 37 (42.0) | 67 (77.0) | 34 (53.1) |       |
| Women                | 204 (45.7) | 63 (60.6) | 14 (34.1)  | 51 (58.0) | 20 (23.0) | 30 (46.9) |       |
| Age                  | 0.030   |         |             |      |       |       |         |
| 18–24                | 54 (13.1) | 18 (17.8) | 10 (25.6)  | 10 (12.8) | 8 (10.1) | 6 (10.5) |       |
| 25–44                | 275 (66.9) | 71 (70.3) | 22 (56.4)  | 43 (55.1) | 54 (68.4) | 39 (68.4) |       |
| 45 years or older    | 82 (20.0) | 12 (11.9) | 7 (17.9)   | 25 (32.1) | 17 (21.5) | 12 (21.1) |       |
| Education            | < 0.001 |         |             |      |       |       |         |
| None                 | 56 (12.5) | 25 (23.4) | 11 (27.5)  | 4 (4.5)   | 8 (9.0)  | 3 (4.8)  |       |
| 1–6 years            | 103 (22.9) | 43 (40.2) | 14 (35.0)  | 17 (19.3) | 21 (23.6) | 4 (6.3)  |       |
| 7–12 years           | 149 (33.2) | 30 (28.0) | 7 (17.5)   | 26 (29.5) | 29 (32.6) | 28 (44.4) |       |
| More than 12 years   | 141 (32.4) | 9 (8.4)   | 8 (20.0)   | 41 (46.6) | 31 (34.8) | 28 (44.4) |       |
| FHL                  | 0.075   |         |             |      |       |       |         |
| Inadequate           | 238 (60.1) | 69 (75.8) | 23 (69.7)  | 48 (60.0) | 47 (57.3) | 28 (51.9) |       |
| Problematic          | 78 (19.7) | 13 (14.3) | 5 (15.2)   | 12 (15.0) | 18 (22.0) | 14 (25.9) |       |
| Sufficient           | 80 (20.2) | 9 (9.9)   | 5 (15.2)   | 20 (25.0) | 17 (20.7) | 12 (22.2) |       |
| CHL                  | 0.850   |         |             |      |       |       |         |
| Inadequate           | 78 (27.4) | 14 (19.4) | 8 (29.6)   | 13 (25.5) | 11 (20.4) | 12 (32.4) |       |
| Problematic          | 98 (34.4) | 27 (37.5) | 11 (40.7)  | 18 (35.3) | 21 (38.9) | 11 (29.7) |       |
| Sufficient           | 109 (38.2) | 31 (43.1) | 8 (29.6)   | 20 (39.2) | 22 (40.7) | 14 (37.8) |       |
| Years of resid. permit | < 0.001 |         |             |      |       |       |         |
| Less than 1 year     | 28 (7.1) | 2 (2.0)  | 3 (7.9)    | 2 (2.7)   | 15 (19.5) | 2 (3.9)  |       |
| 1 – 2 years          | 259 (65.2) | 49 (49.5) | 26 (68.4)  | 37 (49.3) | 59 (76.6) | 42 (82.4) |       |
| More than 2 years    | 110 (27.7) | 48 (48.5) | 9 (23.7)   | 36 (48.0) | 3 (3.9)   | 7 (13.7)  |       |
| Religion             | < 0.001 |         |             |      |       |       |         |
| Not religious        | 22 (5.5) | 0 (0.0)  | 3 (8.3)    | 4 (5.6)   | 3 (3.7)   | 2 (3.4)  |       |
| Muslim               | 288 (71.5) | 100 (100.0) | 29 (80.6) | 40 (55.6) | 50 (61.0) | 35 (60.3) |       |
| Christian            | 71 (17.6) | 0 (0.0)  | 4 (11.1)   | 10 (13.9) | 28 (34.1) | 18 (31.0) |       |
| Other religion       | 22 (5.5) | 0 (0.0)  | 0 (0.0)    | 18 (25.0) | 1 (1.2)   | 3 (5.2)  |       |
| Long-term illness    | < 0.001 |         |             |      |       |       |         |
| No                   | 280 (65.9) | 81 (79.4) | 17 (41.5)  | 50 (58.8) | 56 (67.5) | 38 (65.5) |       |
| Yes                  | 145 (34.1) | 21 (20.6) | 24 (58.5)  | 35 (41.2) | 27 (32.5) | 20 (34.5) |       |
| Health care last 3 months | 0.126 |         |             |      |       |       |         |
| No                   | 222 (52.7) | 47 (47.5) | 20 (48.8)  | 40 (48.2) | 53 (63.9) | 34 (59.6) |       |
| Yes                  | 199 (47.3) | 52 (52.5) | 21 (51.2)  | 43 (51.8) | 30 (36.1) | 23 (40.4) |       |
| Self-assessed health | < 0.001 |         |             |      |       |       |         |
| Very poor            | 17 (3.9) | 1 (1.0)  | 3 (7.3)    | 6 (6.8)   | 4 (4.6)   | 1 (1.7)  |       |
| Poor                 | 50 (11.3) | 4 (3.9)  | 7 (17.1)   | 16 (18.2) | 9 (10.3)  | 5 (8.3)  |       |
| Fair                 | 139 (31.5) | 32 (31.4) | 17 (41.5)  | 31 (35.2) | 28 (32.2) | 14 (23.3) |       |
| Good                 | 117 (26.5) | 17 (16.7) | 8 (19.5)   | 24 (27.3) | 28 (32.2) | 19 (31.7) |       |
| Very good            | 118 (26.8) | 48 (47.1) | 6 (14.6)   | 11 (12.5) | 18 (20.7) | 21 (35.0) |       |

*Missing data not included; i.e. rows within subgroups do not always become 100%; Chi-2 square significances p < 0.05 are printed in bold.
health care facility in the last three months. There were no significant differences in FHL (i.e. the ability to read information and instructions about health to improve and maintain health), CHL (i.e. the ability to access, understand, appraise and apply health information to improve and maintain health) or visiting a health care facility in the previous three months in terms of country of origin (Table 1). However, there were significant differences in the distributions of most other characteristics between subgroups based on country of origin. Two thirds of participants born in Afghanistan and Syria were men, and only one third women; for Somalia and Iraq, women were in majority. Somalis and Afghans were younger and had a lower level of education than participants from other countries. Syrians had received their resident permit more recently than participants from other countries. Somalis and Afghans were most homogenous with regard to religion (80-100% were Muslims). Somalis reported the best self-assessed health and the least long-term illness, whereas Afghans and Iraqis reported the poorest self-assessed health.

**Health literacy**

Three out of five (60%) in the total study population were observed to have inadequate FHL, and four out of five (80%) limited FHL (inadequate or problematic FHL). About one out of four (27%) were found to have inadequate CHL and three out of five (62%) limited CHL (inadequate or problematic CHL) (Table 1). There were significant differences between FHL levels and socio-demographic and health-related variables (Table 2). The

| Table 2 Proportions of different FHL and HLS levels by socio-demographic variables |
|-----------------------------------------|
| Number of subjects | FHL | CHL | p-Value |
|---------------------|-----|-----|---------|
|                     | Inadequate | Problematic | Sufficient | p-Value |
| Gender              |     |     |     |     |
| Men                 | 118 (57.8) | 50 (24.5) | 36 (17.6) | 43 (28.7) | 48 (32.0) | 59 (39.3) | 0.062b |
| Women               | 115 (62.5) | 28 (15.2) | 41 (22.3) | 32 (24.8) | 47 (36.4) | 50 (38.8) | 0.673b |
| Age                  |     |     |     |     |
| 18–24               | 24 (53.3) | 11 (24.4) | 10 (22.2) | 6 (18.2) | 14 (44.2) | 13 (39.4) | 0.043b |
| 25–44               | 131 (55.5) | 56 (23.7) | 49 (20.8) | 52 (29.9) | 64 (36.8) | 58 (33.3) | 0.204b |
| 45 year or older    | 57 (75.0) | 10 (13.2) | 9 (11.8) | 14 (26.9) | 13 (25.0) | 25 (48.1) | 0.850b |
| Country of birth    |     |     |     |     |
| Somalia             | 69 (75.8) | 13 (14.3) | 9 (9.9) | 14 (19.4) | 27 (37.5) | 31 (43.1) |
| Afghanistan          | 23 (69.7) | 5 (15.2) | 5 (15.2) | 8 (29.6) | 11 (40.7) | 8 (29.6) |
| Iraq                | 48 (60.0) | 12 (15.0) | 20 (25.0) | 13 (25.5) | 18 (35.3) | 20 (39.2) |
| Syria               | 47 (57.3) | 18 (22.0) | 17 (20.7) | 11 (20.4) | 21 (38.9) | 22 (40.7) |
| Other               | 28 (51.9) | 14 (25.9) | 12 (22.2) | 12 (32.4) | 11 (29.7) | 14 (37.8) |
| Years of resid. permit |     |     |     |     |
| Less than 1 year    | 15 (65.2) | 4 (17.4) | 4 (17.4) | 8 (47.1) | 3 (17.6) | 6 (35.3) |
| 1 – 2 years         | 125 (52.5) | 64 (26.9) | 49 (20.6) | 48 (28.6) | 62 (36.9) | 58 (34.5) |
| More than 2 years   | 68 (74.4) | 7 (7.7) | 16 (17.6) | 15 (20.5) | 26 (35.6) | 32 (43.8) |
| Religion            |     |     |     |     |
| Not religious       | 5 (31.3) | 6 (37.5) | 5 (31.3) | 3 (27.3) | 2 (18.2) | 6 (54.5) |
| Muslim              | 157 (60.4) | 48 (18.5) | 55 (21.2) | 49 (25.3) | 69 (35.6) | 76 (39.2) |
| Christian           | 38 (58.5) | 18 (27.7) | 9 (13.8) | 11 (25.0) | 13 (29.5) | 20 (45.5) |
| Other religion      | 12 (60.0) | 4 (20.0) | 4 (20.0) | 4 (26.7) | 7 (46.7) | 4 (26.7) |
| Education           |     |     |     |     |
| None                | 42 (89.4) | 3 (1.9) | 4 (8.5) | 12 (30.0) | 14 (35.0) | 14 (35.0) |
| 1–6 years           | 58 (69.0) | 15 (17.9) | 11 (13.1) | 15 (26.3) | 19 (33.3) | 23 (40.4) |
| 7–12 years          | 72 (55.4) | 25 (19.2) | 33 (25.4) | 24 (25.3) | 33 (34.7) | 38 (40.0) |
| More than 12 years  | 63 (48.1) | 37 (28.2) | 31 (23.7) | 26 (28.9) | 31 (34.4) | 33 (36.7) |

*Missing data not included; i.e. rows within subgroups do not always become 100%; n = number of participants; % = proportions of participants; significant differences p < 0.05 are printed in bold; Chi-2 test; Fisher’s test.
oldest age group was more often classified as having inadequate FHL compared to the other age groups. In the group that had received the resident permit more than two years earlier, a higher proportion of people had inadequate FHL compared to groups who had received the permit more recently. In the group with the lowest education level, more people had inadequate FHL and fewer participants had sufficient FHL compared to groups with higher education levels. No significant differences were found between CHL levels and any of the sociodemographic or health-related variables.

Factors associated with inadequate health literacy

There were several significant univariate associations among factors that are believed to be associated with HL (Table 3). The lower FHL level participants reported older age, lower education level, lower CHL level and poorer self-assessed health. Furthermore, the lower the FHL level, the more participants reported having long-term illness or having had a resident permit more than two years previously. As regards CHL, the matrix shows associations between having lower CHL and low self-assessed health or any long-term illness. Results of the multivariate analyses are shown in Tables 1 and 2. The first multivariate model for FHL (model 1), including sex, age, education, years of resident permit and long-term illness, shows that only low education and having had a permanent resident permit for zero to two years were significantly associated with inadequate FHL (Table 4). When country of origin was also included in the model (model 2), only low education and being born in Somalia were significantly associated with inadequate FHL. The first multivariate model for CHL, including sex, age, education, years of resident permit, long-term illness and country of origin, shows that having a long-term illness was significantly associated with CHL (Table 5). However, when the variables FHL and country of birth were added to the model (model 3), only FHL was significantly associated with CHL.

Discussion

The main purpose of this study was to determine FHL and CHL levels among refugees in different subgroups in Sweden. Further purposes were to investigate socio-demographic and health-related factors associated with inadequate HL. We found that the majority of refugees attending SFI had inadequate or limited FHL and CHL. We also found that the study population was considerably heterogenic with regard to several socio-demographic and health related characteristics, and that many people with low FHL simultaneously had high CHL. Furthermore, those with inadequate FHL had a statistically increased risk of having inadequate CHL, and those with a low education and/or were born in Somalia had a statistically increased risk of having inadequate FHL.

The strengths of this study are the rather strategic sample and the recruitment procedure believed to reach relevant target groups. The survey was also conducted in a language with which the study populations were familiar, and language supporters were engaged. This increased the quality of the communication with the participants and enabled face to face interviews with illiterate people, who otherwise would have been excluded.

Notably is that the selection criteria excluded people who did not attend SFI. Based on previous research those who were excluded were presumably younger people, rather well educated [49] and people with poor health [50]. There were also a high proportion of participants lacking an FHL or CHL level, which could actually be caused by low HL. If that is the case, HL is underestimated in the study. Furthermore, comparisons of subgroups according to country were not optimal due to the heterogeneity in socio-demographics and health-related characteristics. However, country of origin was adjusted

Table 3 Spearman correlation analysis among explanatory factors in the study (observed variables)

| Variables                          | Min | Max | 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. | 7. | 8. | 9. |
|-----------------------------------|-----|-----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| 1. Gendera                        | 1   | 2   | 1  |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 2. Ageb                           | 1   | 3   | .01| 1  |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 3. Educationc                     | 1   | 4   | .11*| .02| 1  |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 4. FHLd                           | 1   | 3   | .02| .14**| .24**| 1  |    |    |    |    |    |
| 5. HLSQ16d                        | 1   | 3   | .02| .03| .01| .26**| 1  |    |    |    |    |
| 6. Year of resid. permitb         | 1   | 3   | .21**| .06| .16**| .12*| .12| 1  |    |    |    |
| 7. Long-term illnessf             | 0   | 1   | .02| .22**| .03| .12*| .22**| .05| 1  |    |    |
| 8. Visited health caref           | 0   | 1   | .15**| .14**| .05| .03| .01| .11*| .32**| 1  |    |
| 9. Self-assessed healthg          | 1   | 5   | .08| .17**| .03| .13*| .34**| .03| .42**| .21**| 1  |

Max, min and correlation indicators. All indicators in the model were coded so that (hypotized) higher scores on the independent variable also indicate higher scores on the outcome variable if there was a positive association. *1 = men, 2 = women; *1 = 45 years or older, 3 = 18–25 years; *1 = 12 years or more, 4 = non education; *1 = sufficient FHL/CHL, 3 = inadequate FHL/CHL; *1 = less than 1 year, 3 = more than 2 years; *0 = no, 1 = yes; *1 = excellent, 5 = very poor.

*Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed). **Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).
for in the analysis. The descriptive results in themselves are important, highlighting that HL levels in refugees could vary with country of origin.

It should also be considered that the questionnaire contained some subjective measures as well as language versions of the FHL and CHL measures that have not been used as self-assessed instruments before. Due to the diverse study population, different cultural aspects and views on health [51], the use of the subjective measures may have resulted in different interpretations of questions, especially those related to health. This in turn might have biased the analysis. Refugees in a new country seem to have difficulty interpreting items related to different situations. It is reasonable to believe that newcomers answer similarly to “what they would have answered in their old country”, whereas resettled refugees probably refer more to the context in the new country. However, self-assessed measurements were necessary in order to collect data from a large multi-language study population due to limited time and resources.

Bearing in mind that HL is a complex phenomenon [40], dichotomization of HL is not ideal. However, in this study, we dichotomized HL into inadequate and not inadequate when the binary logistic regressions were performed. While this process includes a loss of information, it simplifies the analyses and also puts a focus on the clinical use of the instrument, which might be employed to identify individuals or groups with inadequate HL. The dichotomized approach has been used previously [29].

Compared to eight European countries where about 45% in average reported low FHL [29], the proportion of participants having inadequate FHL was high. The proportion of participants with inadequate FHL was similar (67% vs. 60%) in comparison to a migrant group examined in the USA [52]. Furthermore, the Somali participants were more often found to have inadequate FHL compared to Somali refugees in the USA (91% vs. 74%) [34]. CHL levels show the same pattern. Compared with the same eight indigenous populations in Europe, where an average of about 10% was seen to have inadequate and 47% limited CHL [29], the proportions of participants with inadequate (27%) or limited (62%) CHL were higher in our results.

Table 4 Odds ratios (ORs) of having inadequate FHL in the study population

| Variables               | Crude OR (95% CI) | Model 1 OR (95% CI) | Model 2 (Final model) OR (95% CI) |
|-------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------|
| **Sex**                 |                   |                     |                                   |
| Men                     | 1                 |                     |                                   |
| Women                   | 1.22 (0.81-1.83)  | 1.15 (0.70-1.90)    | 1.23 (0.70-2.20)                  |
| **Age**                 |                   |                     |                                   |
| 18-24                   | 1                 |                     |                                   |
| 25-44                   | 1.09 (0.57-2.07)  | 1.02 (0.50-2.11)    | 1.12 (0.51-2.44)                  |
| 44-                     | 2.63 (1.20-5.74)* | 1.70 (0.70-4.13)    | 1.97 (0.76-5.09)                  |
| **Education**           |                   |                     |                                   |
| 0-6 years               | 3.01 (1.88-4.82)**| 3.32 (1.91-5.78)** | 2.25 (1.20-4.20)*                 |
| 7 years or more         | 1                 |                     |                                   |
| **Years of resid. permit** |                 |                     |                                   |
| 0-2 years               | 0.391 (0.23-0.67)**| 0.53 (0.29-0.97)*   | 0.54 (0.26-1.11)                  |
| More than 2 years       | 1                 |                     |                                   |
| **Long-term sickness**  |                   |                     |                                   |
| No                      | 1                 |                     |                                   |
| Yes                     | 1.79 (1.14-2.80)*  | 1.48 (0.87-2.51)    | 1.4 (0.77-2.56)                   |
| **Country**             |                   |                     |                                   |
| Iraq                    | 1                 |                     |                                   |
| Other country           | 0.72 (0.36-1.44)  | 1.38 (0.57-3.30)    |                                   |
| Syria                   | 0.90 (0.48-1.67)  | 1.37 (0.61-3.07)    |                                   |
| Afghanistan             | 1.53 (0.64-3.65)  | 1.71 (0.60-4.87)    |                                   |
| Somalia                 | 2.09 (1.09-4.03)* | 2.89 (1.28-6.53)*   |                                   |

*Crude OR for considered explanatory factors; **OR for included explanatory factors: sex, age, education, years of permanent resident permit, long-term illness; OR for included explanatory factors in model 1 + country. *Significant at p < 0.05 **Significant at p < 0.01.
It may seem contradictory and surprising that many participants with inadequate FHL at the same time were found to have sufficient CHL. One explanation may be that the items in the HLS-EU-Q16 do not focus on literacy and written information to the same extent as the items in the FHL. Furthermore, the former measures more dimensions of health literacy and is a more comprehensive HL instrument than the S-FHL scale. Another explanation may be that people come from a very verbal culture/society, they may have other strategies of interaction upon receiving information than those who come from a culture/society where written communication dominates [53,54]. Similar paradoxes have been found in previous research, where a high percentage of migrants had low FHL while they simultaneously reported that they did not have any challenges with health information [36] or good self-rated health and low disability [30]. The suggested explanation that the use of an instrument in another language than the participants’ mother tongue led to an underestimation of HL is not applicable in this study. This may have an impact on the understanding of HL, towards a health promotion approach and away from a strictly medical context [40]. Furthermore, this finding implies that it should not be taken for granted that those having limited FHL have limited CHL and vice verse. It is important from a public health perspective to be aware of the many dimensions of HL and that peoples’ HL abilities in those could be on different levels. Taking this into account in healthcare and health promotion could facilitate for those with various limited HL abilities and decrease the risk of negative consequences to which it could lead.

The significant associations between inadequate FHL and education, and long-term illness and age, and the significant associations between inadequate CHL and

| Table 5 Odds ratios (ORs) of having inadequate CHL in the study population |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Variables** | **Crude OR** (95% CI) | **Model 1** | **Model 2** | **Model 3 (Final model)** |
|----------------|----------------------|------------|-------------|--------------------------|
| Sex            |                      |            |             |                          |
| Men            | 1                    | 1          | 1           | 1                        |
| Women          | 0.82 (0.48-1.40)     | 1.12 (0.60-2.06) | 0.93 (0.45-1.91) | 0.84 (0.39-1.81) |
| Age            |                      |            |             |                          |
| 18-24          | 1                    | 1          | 1           | 1                        |
| 25-44          | 1.84 (0.18-1.92)     | 1.90 (0.67-5.41) | 1.62 (0.54-4.88) | 1.29 (0.40-4.17) |
| 45-             | 0.85 (0.36-1.66)     | 1.55 (0.47-5.19) | 1.49 (0.40-5.55) | 1.01 (0.25-4.12) |
| Education      |                      |            |             |                          |
| 0-6 years      | 1.04 (0.60-1.81)     | 1.02 (0.53-1.95) | 1.11 (0.50-2.47) | 0.76 (0.33-1.77) |
| 7 years or more| 1                    | 1          | 1           | 1                        |
| FHL            |                      |            |             |                          |
| Inadequate     | 2.47 (1.19-5.13)*    | 3.97 (1.23-12.89)** |
| Problematic    | 0.83 (0.31-2.24)     | 1.02 (0.24-4.38) |
| Sufficient     | 1                    | 1          | 1           | 1                        |
| Years of resid. permit |     |            |             |                          |
| 0-2 years      | 1.68 (0.88-3.21)     | 1.87 (0.90-3.88) | 1.87 (0.81-4.33) | 2.31 (0.92-5.84) |
| More than 2 years| 1              | 1          | 1           | 1                        |
| Long-term illness |              |            |             |                          |
| No             | 1                    | 1          | 1           | 1                        |
| Yes            | 2.06 (1.20-3.55)**   | 2.53 (1.26-4.72)** | 2.16 (1.01-4.62)* | 2.05 (0.91-4.60) |
| Country        |                      |            |             |                          |
| Iraq           | 1                    | 1          | 1           | 1                        |
| Other country  | 1.40 (0.55-3.57)     | 1.23 (0.40-3.73) | 1.24 (0.37-4.21) |
| Syria          | 0.75 (0.30-1.87)     | 0.44 (0.14-1.41) | 0.41 (0.12-1.41) |
| Afghanistan    | 1.23 (0.44-3.48)     | 0.98 (0.30-3.24) | 0.96 (0.27-3.39) |
| Somalia        | 0.71 (0.30-1.67)     | 0.81 (0.31-2.50) | 0.81 (0.27-2.42) |

*aCrude OR for considered explanatory factors; **Adj. OR for included explanatory factors: sex, age, education, years of permanent resident permit, long-term illness; 
*Adj. OR for included explanatory factors in model 1 + country; **Adj. OR for included explanatory factors in model 2 + FHL. *Significant at p < 0.05 **Significant at p < 0.01.
long-term illness in this study have all been identified in previous research [25,26,29,30,36]. However, the elimination of significant associations in the multivariate analyses indicates that all variables are not independent determinants of HL. The result that those born in Somalia had an increased risk of having inadequate FHL is new knowledge. To our knowledge, similar comparisons of HL in relation to countries have not been performed previously. Some explanations may be insufficient schooling and health care systems in Somalia, which is further a result of long-term war and conflicts [53,55], different views of health and health care [55] and different communication strategies [53].

Concerning generalizability, the findings apply primarily to refugees in Sweden but they may also be of use for work with refugees in other Western countries. In 2013, the most common countries of origin, of those granted protection according to refugee status in Europe, were the same as for the refugees in the present study [56]. However, as HL is dependent on the context, i.e. it could vary in different settings, the results must be generalized with caution.

Implications and further studies
This first study to examine FHL and CHL in Sweden and the first to examine FHL and CHL among refugees in Europe adds new knowledge about HL. The results of the study may be of interest to a variety of stakeholders, when managing health promotion activities and health care, as a high proportion of newly arrived migrants in Europe and Sweden are refugees with similar characteristics as the study population [2,3]. However, more quantitative and qualitative research is needed to better understand the levels of health literacy among refugees and the factors that determine it. Furthermore, development of HL measurements targeting migrants, longitudinal studies comparing HL levels in migrants and indigenous populations over time, research on the significance of HL in health promoting activities and how to increase HL and help those with limited HL are needed.

Conclusion
The majority of refugees who attended the language schools had inadequate or limited HL and was significantly heterogenic with regard to several socio-demographic and health-related characteristics. Those with inadequate FHL had a statistically significantly increased risk of having inadequate CHL. However, many people with low FHL were simultaneously observed to have high CHL. Those with a low education and/or who were born in Somalia had a statistically significantly increased risk of having inadequate FHL.

Additional files

Additional file 1: Questions and answer categories.

Additional file 2: Swedish functional health literacy scale - English version.

Additional file 3: Distributions of differences in characteristics between included and excluded cases.

Additional file 4: Modified version of the HLS-EU-Q16.

Abbreviations
HL: Health literacy; FHL: Functional health literacy; CHL: Comprehensive health literacy; SFI: Swedish language schools for immigrants; the S-FHL scale: The Swedish functional health literacy scale; HLS-EU-Q16: The short version of the European health literacy questionnaire.

Competing interests
The authors declare they have no competing interests.

Authors’ contributions
JW was responsible for data collection, data management, data analysis and wrote the manuscript as first author. RW was responsible for the study design and applied for funding. RW and PL supervised the statistical analysis and contributed as discussion partners during the analysis process. LM contributed as a discussion partner regarding the health literacy concept, the background and interpretation. RW, PL and LM had the role of critical reviewers of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgement
We would like to thank the language supporters, SFI schools and SFI students who made this study possible. The study was co-financed by the European Refugee Fund (ERF), Grant number E 85–292:51-211-12792 and the Public Health Agency of Sweden.

Author details
1Social Medicine, Department of Public Health and Caring Science, Uppsala University, Box 564, 751 22 Uppsala, Sweden. 2Institute of Neuroscience and Physiology/Occupational Therapy, University of Gothenburg, Box 455, SE 405 30 Göteborg, Sweden.

Received: 17 December 2013 Accepted: 29 September 2014 Published: 3 October 2014

References
1. The United Nations Refugee Agency, Malaysia: Frequently asked questions (FAQs). [http://www.unhcr.org.my/Resources-@-Frequently_Asked_Questions (FAQs).aspx]
2. The United Nations Refugee Agency: UNHCR statistical yearbook 2011, 11th edition, [http://www.unhcr.org/516282cf5.html]
3. Migrationsverket: Asylsökande 2012. [Swedish Migration board: Asylum seeker 2012] [http://www.migrationsverket.se/info/5646.html]
4. McKay L, Macintyre S, Ellaway A: Migration and Health: A Review of the International Literature. Glasgow: Medical and Public Health Science Unit, 2003.
5. Mladovsky P. Migration and Health in the EU. London: Employment, Social Affairs and Equal Opportunities; 2007.
6. Socialstyrelsen: Folkhälsorapport 2009. [The National Board of Health and Welfare: The Swedish Public Health Report 2009] [http://sjp.sagepub.com/content/40/9_suppl/toc]
7. Kreps GL, Sparks L: Meeting the health literacy needs of immigrant populations. Patient Educ Couns 2008, 71(1):328–332.
8. Eckstein B: Primary care for refugees. Am Fam Phys 2011, 83(4):429–436.
9. Genstein AA, Bramsen I, Deville W, van Willigen LH, Hovens JI, van der Ploeg HM: Physical and mental health of Afghan, Iranian and Somali asylum seekers and refugees living in the Netherlands. Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol 2006, 41(1):18–26.
10. Hollander A-C: Social Inequalities in Mental Health and Mortality Among Refugees and Other Immigrants to Sweden, PhD Thesis. Stockholm: Karolinska Institutet, 2013.
11. Davies A, Baten A, Frattini C: Migration: A Social Determinant of the Health of Migrants. Geneva: International Organization for Migration; 2006.

12. Commission on Social Determinants of Health: “Closing the gap in a generation: Health Equity Action on the Social Determinants of Health.” Final Report of the Commission on the Social Determinants of Health. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2008.

13. Ingleby D: Acquiring health literacy as a moral task. Int J Migration Health Soc Care 2012, 8(1):22–31.

14. Hjeml K, Bard K, Nyberg P, Apelqvist J: Religious and cultural distance in beliefs about health and illness among women with diabetes mellitus of different origin living in Sweden. Int J Nurs Stud 2003, 40(6):627–643.

15. Young N, Mortensen R: Refugees and asylum seekers: implications for ED care in Auckland. New Zealand. J Emerg Nurs 2003, 29(4):337–341.

16. Smith LS: Health of America’s newcomers. J Community Health Nurs 2001, 18(3):53–68.

17. Gerritsen AA, Bramsen I, Deville W, van Willigen LH, Hovens JE, van der Ploeg HM: Use of health care services by Afghan, Iranian, and Somali refugees and asylum seekers living in The Netherlands. Eur J Public Health 2008, 18:164–169.

18. Kicklosw J: Health literacy: addressing the health and education divide. Health Promot Int 2001, 16(3):289–297.

19. Nutbeam D: Health literacy as a public health goal: a challenge for contemporary health education and communication strategies into the 21st century. Health Promot Int 2000, 15(3):259–267.

20. Hill L: Health literacy is a social justice issue that affects us all. Adult Learning 2007, 18(4–6).

21. Nutbeam D: The evolving concept of health literacy. Soc Sci Med 2008, 67(12):2072–2078.

22. Rowlands G: Health literacy and public health: a framework for developing skills and empowering citizens. Perspect Public Health 2012, 132(1):23–24.

23. Sorensen K, Broucke SV, Fullam J, Doyle G, Pelikan J, Šlenska Z, Brand H, Hls-Eu Consortium EH: Health literacy and public health: a systematic review and integration of definitions and models. BMC Public Health 2012, 12(1):80.

24. Ishikawa H, Kuchi T: Health literacy and health communication. Biopsychosoc Med 2010, 4:18.

25. Berkman ND, Sheridan SL, Donahue KE, Halpern DJ, Crotty K: Low health literacy and health outcomes: an updated systematic review. Ann Intern Med 2011, 155(2):97–107.

26. Easton P, Entwistle VA, Williams B: Health in the ‘hidden population’ of people with low literacy. A systematic review of the literature. BMC Public Health 2010, 10:459.

27. Bevelander P: In the Picture – Resettled Refugees in Sweden. In Resettled and Included [http://dspace.mah.se/bitstream/handle/2043/9219/Omslag%20FoU%202009.5.pdf?sequence=1]

28. Taguma M, Moonhee K, Brink S, Teltemann J: In the Picture - Resettled Refugees in Sweden.

29. Bevelander P: Acquiring health literacy as a moral task. Int J Migration Health Soc Care 2012, 8(1):22–31.

30. Hjeml K, Bard K, Nyberg P, Apelqvist J: Religious and cultural distance in beliefs about health and illness among women with diabetes mellitus of different origin living in Sweden. Int J Nurs Stud 2003, 40(6):627–643.

31. Young N, Mortensen R: Refugees and asylum seekers: implications for ED care in Auckland. New Zealand. J Emerg Nurs 2003, 29(4):337–341.

32. Smith LS: Health of America’s newcomers. J Community Health Nurs 2001, 18(3):53–68.

33. Gerritsen AA, Bramsen I, Deville W, van Willigen LH, Hovens JE, van der Ploeg HM: Use of health care services by Afghan, Iranian, and Somali refugees and asylum seekers living in The Netherlands. Eur J Public Health 2008, 18:164–169.

34. Kicklosw J: Health literacy: addressing the health and education divide. Health Promot Int 2001, 16(3):289–297.

35. Nutbeam D: Health literacy as a public health goal: a challenge for contemporary health education and communication strategies into the 21st century. Health Promot Int 2000, 15(3):259–267.

36. Sentell T, Braun KL: Low health literacy, limited English proficiency, and health status in Asians, Latinos, and other racial/ethnic groups in California. J Health Commun 2012, 17(Suppl 3):92–99.

37. Pleasants A: Health Literacy Around the World: Part I Health Literacy Efforts outside of United States. Tucson: The Institute of Medicine, Round Table of Health Literacy; 2012.

38. Kondili BS, Kirazie U, Ahasanoula AP, Falagas ME: Mapping health literacy research in the European Union: a bibliometric analysis. PLoS One 2008, 3(6):e2519.

39. Sorenson K: Health Literacy: The Neglected European Health Literacy Disparity. Maastricht: Maastricht University; 2013.

40. Mårtensson L, Hensing G: Health literacy – a heterogeneous phenomenon: a literature review. Scand J Caring Sci 2012, 26(1):151–160.

41. Guillen F, Bombarde C, Beaton D: Cross-cultural adaptation of health-related quality of life measures: literature review and proposed guidelines. J Epidemiol Community Health 2003, 4(6):417–1432.

42. Leceroof SS, Westerling R, Moghaddasi M, Ostergren PO: Health information for migrants: the role of educational level in prevention of overweight. Scand J Public Health 2011, 39(2):172–178.

43. Folkhälsomyndighetens: Syfte och Baggrund Till Fråganom I Nationella Folkhälsökänken – hälsa på lika villkor. Stockholm: 2014 [Public Health Agency of Sweden: Purpose and background to the questions in the national survey of health and living conditions in Sweden 2012 - Health on equal terms].

44. Daryani A: Oliko Villkor - Oliko hälsa. Hälans bland kacker folkfolkförda i Malmö 2005–2007. Malmö: Malmö Institute for Studies of Migration, Diversity and Welfare; 2010 [Different conditions – Various Health. Health among Iraqis registered in Malmö 2005–2007].

45. Wångdahl JM, Mårtensson L: Measuring health literacy - the Swedish functional health literacy scale. Scand J Caring Sci 2014, doi:10.1111/scc.12125 [Epub ahead of print].

46. Mancuso JM: Assessment and measurement of health literacy: an integrative review of the literature. Nurs Health Sci 2009, 11(1):77–89.

47. Rothlin F, Pelikan JM, Ganahl K: Die Gesundheitskompetenz der 15-jährigen Jugendlichen in Österreich. Abschlussbericht der österreichischen Gesundheitskompetenz Jugendstudie im Auftrag des Hauptausschusses der österreichischen Sozialversicherungsträger (HVSV). Wien: Ludwig Boltzmann Institut Health Promotion Research; 2013. [Health Literacy of 15 year old adolescents in Austria. Final report of the Austrian Health Literacy youth study contracted by the Federation of Austrian Social Insurance Institutions (HVS)].

48. Carelin JI, Wolfe R, Coffey C, Patton GC: Analysis of binary outcomes in longitudinal studies using weighted estimating equations and discrete-time survival methods: prevalence and incidence of smoking in an adolescent cohort. Stat Med 1999, 18(19):2655–2679.

49. Kenneberg L: Hur föröver sig nyssnämnda invandrare som inte deltar i SFI? Rapport 2009,9, Institutet för arbetsmarknads- och utbildningspolitisk utvärdering. Uppsala: 2009 [How do newly arrive refugees not participating in SFI earn a living? Report 2009:9,The institute for Evaluation of Labour and Education [http://www.ifau.se/upload/pdf/se/2009/09-09.pdf].

50. Eriksson-Sjöö T, Eklad S: FoU Rapport 2008. S. Stress, sörro och livskvalitet. En studie bland flyktingar i SFF,umgång, olika livsstilar och sociala relationer. Stockholm: 2009 [Report on Research and Education 2008]. Stress, sleep and quality of life: A study among refugees in SFI education, teachers and administrators in Fosie a municipality in Malmö. [http://dbspace.mah.se/bitstream/handle/2043/9219/Omslag%20FoU%202009.5.pdf?sequence=1]

51. Shaw SJ, Armin J, Torres CH, Orzech KM, Vivian J: Chronic disease self-management and health literacy in four ethnic groups. J Health Commun 2012, 17(Suppl 3):67–81.

52. Coffman JM, Norton CR: Demands of immigration, health literacy, and depression in recent Latin immigrants. Home Health Care Manage Pract 2010, 22(2):116–122.

53. Olden A: Somali refugees in London: oral culture in a western information society. Int J Libraries Info Services 1999, 49(2):222.

54. Schouten BC, Meeuwen JL: Cultural differences in medical communication: a review of the literature. Patient Educ Couns 2006, 64(1–3):21–34.
55. Svennberg K: Mötet mellan patienten och läkaren: Erfarenheter hos Somaliska flyktingar och läkare under utbildning [The meeting between the patient and the doctor: Experiences among Somali refugees and doctors in training], PhD Thesis. Gothenburg: Gothenburg University, The Sahlgrenska Academy, Institute of Health and Care Sciences; 2011.

56. Eurostat: News release 98/2014. Asylum decisions in the EU28. [http://europa.eu/rapid/press-release_STAT-14-98_en.htm]