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Abstract

Objective: The purpose of this study was to compare the safety and efficacy of ultrasound and fluoroscopy-guided for determining the puncture entry point of percutaneous vertebroplasty (PVP).

Methods: From November 2018 to December 2019, a total of 50 eligible patients with thoracolumbar osteoporotic vertebral compression fractures (OVCFs) treated by PVP were retrospectively collected, of which 25 cases were in ultrasound-guided group and the other 25 cases were in fluoroscopy-guided group. Radiation doses, one-time localization success rate, operation time, clinical efficacy and complications incidence were compared between the two groups.

Results: Ultimately, 46 patients completed followed-up, whose average follow-up time was 9 months, the dose and times of fluoroscopy and operation time in ultrasound-guided group statically significantly decreased compared to fluoroscopy-guided group (P<0.01). The one-time localization success rate in ultrasound-guided group and fluoroscopy-guided group were 68.2% and 37.5% respectively ($\chi^2 = 4.33$, $P=0.037$), whose difference was statistically significant (P<0.01). However, there was no significant difference in the visual analog scale (VAS) scores of back pain relief between the two groups (P>0.05). There were no postoperative complications such as infection, hematoma formation and fracture nonunion observed between the two groups.

Conclusion: Ultrasound-guided entry point determination for PVP puncture is safe, which can significantly improve the success rate of one-time localization and decrease radiation exposure and operation time.

Introduction

Osteoporotic vertebral compression fracture (OVCFs) is one of the most serious complications of osteoporosis (OP). At present, PVP is one of the effective treatment methods for OVCF, which is highly praised for its advantages like less trauma, significant pain relief and fast functional recovery[1–3]. The percutaneous transpedicular puncture is the first step to perform PVP procedure, which is usually guided by X-ray fluoroscopy. However, frequent X-ray fluoroscopy will lead to the increase of radiation exposure to both surgeons and patients[4]. Ultrasonography (US) has been widely used in clinical practice[5]. US could real-time monitor the puncture procedure without ionizing radiation, since it could distinguish bones, nerves, blood vessels and muscles clearly. Ultrasound scanning technology has been gradually applied in orthopedics, such as lumbar facet joint injection and nerve root block[6, 7]. Up to date, the safety and efficacy of ultrasound guidance for determining the entry point of PVP puncture has still no report.

The aim of the present retrospective controlled study was to introduce US to determine the puncture entry point to reduce the radiation exposure and puncture time during PVP procedure.

Materials And Methods
Patients

Inclusion criteria were as follows: 1) age ≥ 55 years, T10-L2 OVCFs; 2) vertebral column height loss ≥ 15%; 3) moderate and severe pain caused by fractures in the chest and waist (VAS scores ≥ 4), conservative treatment can not relieve the pain; 4) back pain for ≤ 6 weeks; 5) bone mineral density (BMD) score less than − 2.5; 6) MRI SRIT sequence shows high signal of vertebral body.

Exclusion criteria were as follows: 1) other pathological vertebral fractures, such as multiple myeloma, bone metastases, giant cell tumor of bone and hemangioma; 2) the posterior edge of the fractured vertebral body protrudes into the spinal canal and obviously oppresses the dural sac; 3) The compression degree of the vertebral body exceeds 75%; 4) multiple vertebral fractures; 5) with symptoms of nerve injury; 6) blood coagulation disorders; 7) with severe medical diseases or mental illness, not suitable for surgery.

A total of 50 patients with OVCFs were enrolled, including 16 males and 34 females, aged from 63 to 78 years. There were 25 cases in ultrasound-guided PVP group and 25 cases in traditional X-ray guided PVP group. In the ultrasound-guided PVP group, there were 8 males and 17 females, the average age was 69.5 ± 3.9 years (63–77 years) and the time from fracture to operation was 2.9 ± 0.8 days (2–4 days). In the traditional X-ray guided PVP group, there were 8 males and 17 females, the average age was 69.6 ± 5.4 years (61–79 years), and the time from fracture to operation was 2.9 ± 1.3 days (1–5 days). There was no significant difference in sex, age, time from fracture to operation, BMD, BMI and preoperative VAS score between the two groups (Table 1). The ethics committee of our hospital approved the present study (2018-06).

Table 1
Demographic data of the two groups

|                           | Ultrasound-guided group | Traditional X-ray-guided group | P Value |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|---------|
| n                         | 25                      | 25                            |         |
| Age(years)                | 69.5 ± 3.9              | 69.6 ± 5.4                    | 0.953   |
| BMI (kg/m²)               | 21 ± 2.07               | 20 ± 1.12                     | 0.073   |
| Mean lumbar spine T score | -2.95 ± 0.35            | -2.85 ± 0.34                  | 0.353   |
| Preoperative VAS score    | 5.05 ± 0.72             | 5.13 ± 0.74                   | 0.715   |
| Time from fracture to operation (days) | 2.9 ± 0.8 | 2.9 ± 1.3 | 0.972 |

Operative Technique
Ultrasound-guided PVP group: the patient took the prone position and used a curved low frequency (2–5 MHz) probe to make the image field of vision which is enough to contain 2 vertebrae for observation. The ultrasonic images of spinous process, articular process, transverse process, vertical spinal muscle, psoas muscle and psoas major muscle can be monitored when the ultrasonic probe is scanning at the midline of the spine. The direction of the probe was gently adjusted toward the head or foot.

When the midline 3-5cm was opened beside the spinous process, a parasagittal scan showed an intermittent hyperechoic wave curve, that is the transverse process. the vertical spinal muscle in the superficial layer of the transverse process, and the psoas major muscle between the adjacent transverse process and its deep layer. This imaging is often described as a "Trident" sign (Fig. 1, Fig. 2).

By slowly translating the probe to the inside, A continuous wavy high echo line emerged, which is the imaging of the facet joint, and the highest point of the wave is the intersection of the superior articular process and the inferior articular process. when slightly outward translation of the probe, the image appears to be half of the Chinese character "convex", this is the image of the facet joint and transverse process. When scanning the transverse process in the axial direction, the connecting part between the superior articular process and the transverse process can be seen at the same time, and the probe moves slightly to the foot on the basis of the horizontal cross section of the transverse process. When the convex part of the superior articular process disappears, you can see the "inverted triangle"-shaped soft tissue acoustic image below it, which is the vertex of the herringbone ridge and the puncture location (Fig. 3, Fig. 4).

PVP was performed using fluoroscopy guidance with bilateral transpedicular injection of bone cement (poly-methylmethacrylate; PMMA) after determining the needle entry point. The radiation dose of the patient were collected during the operation.

Traditional X-ray-guided PVP group: PVP was complete performed under the fluoroscopy guidance including to determining of puncture point.

Outcome Evaluation and Statistical Analyses

Statistical analysis was performed by spss23.0. The patient characteristics were summarized using descriptive statistics and are presented as Mean ± SD and percentages for continuous and categorical variables, respectively. Differences between groups were assessed using the Student t test and the $x^2$ test for continuous and categorical variables, respectively. P < 0.05 was considered to indicate statistical significance. The radiation doses, X-ray fluoroscopy duration, operation duration, the VAS score (back pain before operation), the VAS score (back pain 1 day after operation), one-time localization success rate and t complications incidence were statistically analyzed between the two groups.

Results
Among the 50 patients, 46 patients were followed up. 3 patients in ultrasound-guided PVP group lost follow-up, and 1 patient lost follow-up in traditional X-ray guided PVP group. The average follow-up time was 9 (4–12) months. There were no postoperative complications such as infection, hematoma formation and fracture nonunion between the two groups. The one-time localization success in ultrasound-guided PVP group and traditional X-ray-guided PVP group were 68.2% and 37.5% respectively ($x^2 = 4.33, P = 0.037$) (Table 2). The dose and the number of fluoroscopy and operation time in ultrasound-guided PVP group statically significantly decreased compared to traditional X-ray guided PVP group ($P < 0.01$). There was no significant difference in the VAS score of back pain relief between the two groups (Table 3).

### Table 2
Comparison of the success rate of one-time localization of ultrasound-guided puncture in PVP and traditional X-ray-guidance group

| Group                        | n  | Success | Failure | Success rate |
|------------------------------|----|---------|---------|--------------|
| Ultrasound-guided group      | 15 | 7       | 8       | 68.2%        |
| Traditional X-ray guided group | 9  | 4       | 5       | 37.5%        |
| Total                        | 24 | 11      | 13      | 52.2%        |

### Table 3
Comparison of evaluation indexes of ultrasound-guided puncture in PVP and traditional X-ray-guided group($\pm s$)

| Group                      | n  | VAS score 1 day after operation | Operation time(min) | The mean fluoroscopy number for determine the penetration points (times) | Radiation dose of patients(m Gy) |
|----------------------------|----|---------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Ultrasound-guided group    | 22 | $1.55 \pm 0.51$                 | $40.92 \pm 11.60$   | $2.45 \pm 0.51$                                                        | $9.65 \pm 0.15$                 |
| Traditional X-ray-guided group | 24 | $1.71 \pm 0.46$                 | $64.81 \pm 9.51$    | $4.92 \pm 0.76$                                                        | $10.50 \pm 0.34$                |

| t value | -1.13 | 7.63 | -12.60 | -10.82 |
| p value | 0.263 | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | < 0.01 |

### Discussion

C-arm fluoroscopy has to be used repeatedly to adjust the puncture entry point during traditional PVP procedure. The fluoroscopy-guided localization of puncture points is not accurate enough resulting in excessive radiation exposure[8]. This study is the first time to introduce ultrasound guidance to determine
the puncture entry point of PVP, which demonstrated it significantly reduced dose and fluoroscopy times than those with traditional X-ray guidance.

Previous studies have shown that 90% of the effective radiation dose received by orthopedic surgery comes from PVP procedure. More and more evidence has proved that high-dose ionizing radiation can directly induce many diseases, which seriously affect both surgeon and patients’ health[9]. Several measures have been reported to decrease the radiation exposure, like using mobile lead shield, wearing lead collars, and whole body lead aprons, changing the position of X-ray source[10–12]. However, even low-dose radiation has been correlated with radiation-related disease development[13]. Thus, the importance to further reducing radiation exposure can never be emphasized too much. In the present study, ultrasound was used to determine the insertion point, which could provide real-time and high-resolution images without any radiation. Our results showed that the times of fluoroscopy in ultrasound guidance group was 50.2% lower than those in traditional X-ray guidance group, which leading to 8% lower in radiation dosage.

Furthermore, shorter operation time was also observed in ultrasound guidance group. It was quite time-consuming to frequently use C-arm during PVP procedure due to the switch between AP and lateral fluoroscopy. On the other hand, ultrasound could provide real-time and high-resolution image to help surgeon locate puncture entry point continuously and accurately, which saved a lot of time. On account of local anesthesia for PVP treatment, less operation time can help patients more tolerant to operative procedure, especially for the senile.

In recent years, some novel ultrasound navigation technologies have been used in PVP surgery. Xu, et al[14] have reported a “Targeted Percutaneous Vertebroplasty” that is a 3D model of fractured vertebra based on preoperative CT scanning data is built preoperatively, and with the help of preoperative digital design, bilateral skin entry points, needle trajectory, and needle insertion depth are established. However, preoperative CT scanning is not exactly the same posture as that in the real operative procedure, whose deviation could result in serious errors for puncture complications. Another study[15] used ultrasound volume navigation (UVN) to reduce the radiation exposure and puncture time of percutaneous transpedicular puncture in PVP and PKP, which is a new navigation technique, can remedy the limitations of each imaging modality by fusing real-time US and preoperative MRI or CT data. However, spine surgeons might not be familiar with it that takes a steep learning curve.

In conclusion, the determination of puncture entry point under the ultrasound guidance is safe that can improve the success rate of one-time localization, further reduce the radiation dose and the number of X-ray fluoroscopy in PVP. However, the number of cases included in this study is relatively small, and a larger sample of clinical studies is needed. Furthermore, spine surgeons should undergone comprehensive medical training about ultrasound technique te before performing spine surgery.
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Figures

Figure 1

Sagittal section of transverse process.
Figure 2

Label. That is the vertical spinal muscle, transverse process, intertransverse process and the psoas major muscle. This imaging is often described as a “Trident” sign.
Figure 3

Cross section of herringbone crest.
Figure 4

Label. When the convex part of the superior articular process disappears, you can see the "inverted triangle"-shaped soft tissue acoustic image below it, which is the vertex of the herringbone ridge and the puncture location. Spinous process (SP), herringbone ridge (HR).