Host-Associated Absence of Human Puumala Virus Infections in Northern and Eastern Germany
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Human hantavirus disease cases, caused by Puumala virus (PUUV), are mainly recorded in western and southern areas of Germany. This bank vole reservoir survey confirmed PUUV presence in these regions but its absence in northern and eastern regions. PUUV occurrence is associated with the presence of the Western bank vole phylogroup.

Puumala virus (PUUV) causes most hantavirus disease cases in Central and Western Europe and is the only human pathogenic hantavirus in Fennoscandia (1). The human infection is characterized by a mild-to-moderate form of hemorrhagic fever with renal syndrome designated nephropathia epidemica (NE), with a case fatality rate of <0.1%. The only virus reservoir in Central and Western Europe is the bank vole, Myodes glareolus (1).

PUUV causes most human hantavirus infections in Germany, with an incidence of 10.31 cases/100,000 inhabitants (2). Human disease reports fluctuate temporally with peaks in the years 2007, 2010, and 2012, but reports also show a heterogeneous spatial distribution (2,3). Generally and during outbreak years, the highest numbers of cases occurred in the western and southern parts of Germany, whereas in the northern and eastern parts of the country only a few cases were recorded (Figure 1, panel A).

Molecular analyses of bank voles from endemic regions detected the presence of PUUV at 30 sites in Germany (Figure 1, panel A) and resulted in the definition of several PUUV sublineages of the Central European (CE) clade (3,8). In addition, an 8-year monitoring study on the bank vole populations in a PUUV-endemic region of northwestern Germany indicated the long-term presence of particular PUUV strains (4).

To evaluate potential reasons for the almost total absence of human PUUV infections in northern and eastern Germany, we investigated bank voles from these regions and from PUUV-endemic regions in the western and southern parts of Germany for the presence of PUUV and typed the voles to major evolutionary lineages on the basis of cytochrome b gene sequences.

The Study

A total of 1,774 bank voles were collected by partners of the network Rodent-borne Pathogens (3,5,6,9–11) at sites in PUUV-endemic regions of western and southern Germany and sites in the eastern and northern parts of Germany (Figure 1, panel A; online Technical Appendix Table, http://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/23/1/16-0224-Techapp1.pdf). Chest cavity lavage samples of voles were investigated by IgG ELISA using a recombinant nucleocapsid protein of PUUV (6). For molecular PUUV detection, RNA was isolated from lung or heart tissue by using a QIAzol Lysis Reagent (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany) extraction protocol. The RNA samples were subjected to small (S) segment reverse transcription PCR (RT-PCR) with primer pair Pu342F and Pu1102R (6), and the resulting cDNAs were sequenced. RNA samples were also subjected to a novel PUUV S segment–specific real-time RT-PCR with primers PUUV S-broad-F (5′-AACCCGCCATGAACAA-CAAC-3′) and PUUV S-broad-R (5′-TGCTGACACT-GTTTGTTGCC-3′) and fluorescence reporter probe PUUV S-broad (5′-6-FAM-GGAAATGGACCCAGGT-HQ-1-3′) (for further details see footnote of online Technical Appendix Table).

First, serologic investigation of 1,758 chest cavity lavage samples indicated 99 seropositive voles exclusively originating from the endemic regions in southern and western Germany (Figure 1, panel A; online Technical Appendix Table). This analysis failed to detect any antibody-positive animals within the 1,210 bank voles of this panel originating from the eastern and northern parts of Germany.
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Subsequent conventional PUUV RT-PCR analysis of RNA samples from 440 voles (comprising 86 seropositive and 334 seronegative voles, 9 with equivocal results, and 11 not investigated because of the lack of chest cavity lavage samples) revealed 79 positive and 361 negative samples (online Technical Appendix Table). All RT-PCR–positive samples again only originated from the PUUV-endemic regions. A final real-time RT-PCR investigation of 364 RNA samples, 34 being positive and 329 being negative by conventional RT-PCR, confirmed the results of the conventional RT-PCR analysis. Including results of previously published studies (3,4,7), PUUV seroprevalence in the endemic regions showed an average of 23.9% and varied between 4.6% and 66.7% (online Technical Appendix Table). According to the serologic and RT-PCR data, a PUUV-endemic region can be identified spanning the western and southern parts of Germany (Figure 1, panel A, below the dotted red line). In this study, the easternmost PUUV-positive sites were located in Saxony-Anhalt (site 97), Lower Saxony (site 60), and Thuringia (site 100) (7). The northernmost sites were located in Lower Saxony (sites 57 and 60) and Saxony-Anhalt (site 97). Nucleotide sequence determination and subsequent phylogenetic analysis showed that all PUUV sequences belong to the CE PUUV clade, which is divergent from other European PUUV lineages (online Technical Appendix Figure).

To test for a potential association between PUUV distribution in the reservoir and evolutionary bank vole lineages, we isolated mitochondrial DNA from 383 selected voles by using the GeneMATRIX Tissue DNA Purification Kit (Roboklon, Potsdam, Germany) according to manufacturer’s guidelines. The cytochrome b PCR was performed and used for determination of the bank vole evolutionary lineages as described previously (12).

The cytochrome b–based typing revealed the presence of the bank vole Western, Eastern, and Carpathian evolutionary lineages (Figure 2). Most of the territory of
Germany was inhabited by the Western evolutionary lineage, with its northern and eastern borders located close to the Elbe River (Figure 1, panel B). The distribution of the Eastern lineage ranged over almost the entire northern part of Germany, with partial sympatric occurrence of the Carpathian lineage in the northeast (sites 34, 68, 77) and the Western lineage in the central and northwest (sites 41, 52, 53, 57, 93, 97, 98). The Carpathian lineage was additionally located in the southeastern part of Germany (sites 28–30).

A comparison of the distribution of PUUV and the bank vole evolutionary lineages indicates an association

Figure 2. Phylogenetic relationships of European bank vole lineages. Sequences are categorized on the basis of mitochondrial cytochrome b gene sequences and shown as a maximum clade credibility phylogenetic tree with posterior probabilities displayed for major nodes. Novel sequences are labeled with individual code and trapping site (online Technical Appendix Table, http://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/23/1/16-0224-Techapp1.pdf). Additional published sequences are included as references for bank vole evolutionary lineages, labeled with GenBank accession number followed by lineage indication. Phylogenetic analyses were performed with MrBayes version 3.2.2 (https://sourceforge.net/projects/mrbayes/files/mrbayes/) on the CIPRES platform for 166 cytochrome b sequences of 843-bp length. A mixed nucleotide substitution matrix was specified in 4 independent runs of 10^7 generations for the data set. A burn-in fraction of 25% was discarded and samples were recorded every 10^3 generations. Cytochrome b sequences of M. rutilus and M. rufocanus voles were used as outgroups.
of PUUV with the Western evolutionary lineage (Figure 1; online Technical Appendix Table). This finding is in line with the detection of PUUV in Belgium and France and the exclusive occurrence of the Western evolutionary lineage in the PUUV-endemic regions of these countries (8,13,14). In the Bavarian Forest, the district Osnabrück (site 57), and at the easternmost distribution range in Walbeck (site 97), PUUV infections were also detected in sympatric bank voles of the Carpathian (n = 6) and Eastern (n = 7; n = 1) lineages, respectively.

Conclusions
The occurrence of PUUV in Germany (and Belgium and France) is preferentially associated with the presence of the Western evolutionary lineage of the bank vole, but the virus was also detected in sympatric animals of the Eastern or Carpathian lineage. Future studies will have to determine if the current distribution of PUUV can be explained by the postglacial colonization of Germany by bank voles of the Western evolutionary lineage from western refugia through southern Germany (13–15).

The observed limited geographic distribution of PUUV in bank voles has important implications for public health measures and development of early warning modules for hantavirus outbreaks. These public health measures of monitoring local bank voles for PUUV strains (4) should be expanded to evaluate for further northeastern expansion.
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### Host-Associated Absence of Human Puumala Virus Infections in Northern and Eastern Germany

**Technical Appendix**

#### Technical Appendix Table. Serologic and molecular Puumala virus detection in bank voles from Germany and bank vole evolutionary lineage*

| Federal states of Germany | Map no. | Trapping site | Serology | S segment PCR | Reference | Bank vole phylogroup (absolute no.) |
|---------------------------|---------|---------------|----------|---------------|-----------|-----------------------------------|
|                           |         |               | IgG      | RT-PCR§       | Real-time§¶ |                     |
| Bavaria                   | 19      | Eichstätt     | 0/0/21   | 0/2           | 0/2        | this study                      | Western (2) |
|                           | 20      | Elsenthal     | 0/0/4    | 0/4           | 0/4        | this study                      | n.d.        |
|                           | 21      | Geisfeld      | 0/0/5    | 0/1           | n.d.       | this study                      | Western (4) |
|                           | 22      | Hammelburg   | 1/0/5    | 0/1           | n.d.       | this study                      | n.d.        |
|                           | 23      | Karlstadt    | n.d.     | n.d.          | n.d.       | n.d.                             | n.d.        |
|                           | 24      | Lackenberg   | n.d.     | n.d.          | n.d.       | n.d.                             | n.d.        |
|                           | 25      | Neuschönau   | n.d.     | n.d.          | n.d.       | n.d.                             | n.d.        |
|                           | 26      | Schnellendorf| 0/0/9    | 0/2           | n.d.       | this study                      | Western (3) |
|                           | 27      | Strullendorf | 0/0/3    | 0/3           | 0/3        | this study                      | Western (1); Carpathian (2) |
|                           | 28      | Freyung      | n.d.     | n.d.          | n.d.       | Western (2); Carpathian (3)     | n.d.        |
|                           | 29      | Hohenau      | n.d.     | n.d.          | n.d.       | Western (1); Carpathian (1)     | n.d.        |
|                           | 30      | Kirchberg    | n.d.     | n.d.          | n.d.       | Western (1); Carpathian (1)     | n.d.        |
| Federal states of Germany | Map no. | Trapping site | Serology | 5 segment PCR | Bank vole phylogroup (absolute no.) | Reference |
|--------------------------|---------|---------------|----------|--------------|-----------------------------------|-----------|
|                          |         |               | IgG ELISA‡ | IgG RT-PCR§ | Real-time §,¶                        |           |
|                          |         |               |           |              |                                    |           |
|                          |         |               | 1/0/12    | 0/9         |                                    |           |
|                          |         |               | 3/0/42    |             |                                    |           |
| Brandenburg              | 32      | Buchholz      | †         | 0/1         | 0/1                                | this study |
|                          | 33      | Dreetz        |           | 0/0/8       |                                    | this study |
|                          | 34      | Eberswalde    | 0/0/3†    | 0/6†        | 0/7                                | this study |
|                          | 35      | Gollwitz Havel| 0/0/18    | 0/18        | 0/18                               | this study |
|                          | 36      | Groß Schönebeck| 0/0/1†   | †           | †                                  | this study |
|                          | 37      | Katerbow      | †         | 0/1         | 0/1                                | this study |
|                          | 38      | Raben         | 0/0/1†    | †           | †                                  | this study |
|                          | 39      | Schwenow      | 0/0/7†    | †           | †                                  | this study |
|                          | 40      | Tramnitz      | 0/0/2     | 0/2         | 0/2                                | this study |
|                          |         |               |           |             |                                    |           |
|                          |         |               | 0/0/12    | 0/9         |                                    |           |
|                          |         |               | 0/0/40    | 0/28        | 0/29                               |           |
| Hamburg                  | 41      | Hamburg       |           | 0/0/4       | 0/4                                | this study |
|                          |         |               |           |             |                                    |           |
|                          |         |               | 0/0/4     | 0/4         | 0/4                                |           |
|                          |         |               |           |             |                                    |           |
|                          |         |               |           |             |                                    |           |
| Hesse                    | 42      | Burghaun      | 2/0/7     | 2/2         | n.d.                               | this study |
|                          | 43      | Darmstadt     | 0/0/10    | 0/10        | 0/10                               | this study |
|                          | 44      | Gilserberg    | 2/0/7     | 2/2         | n.d.                               | this study, Ettinger et al., 2012 |
|                          | 45      | Laufach       |           |             |                                    | n.d.      |
|                          | 46      | Mücke-Merlau  | 4/1/21    | n.d.        | n.d.                               | this study |
|                          | 47      | Rothenberg    | 2/0/8     | n.d.        | n.d.                               | this study |
|                          | 48      | Salmünster    | 3/0/6     | 3/3         | n.d.                               | this study, Ettinger et al., 2012 |
|                          | 49      | Schlüchtern   | 3/0/16    | 3/3         | n.d.                               | this study |
|                          | 50      | Sinntal       |           |             |                                    | n.d.      |
|                          |         |               |           |             |                                    |           |
|                          |         |               | 17/1/97   | 10/20       | 0/10                               |           |
| Lower Saxony             | 52      | Ahlhorn       | 0/0/3†    | 0/4         | 0/4                                | this study |
|                          | 53      | Bakum         | 0/0/12    | 0/12        | 0/12                               | this study, Weber de Melo et al., 2015 |
|                          | 54      | Geversdorf    | 0/3/15    | 0/14        | 0/10                               | this study, Ettinger et al., 2012 |
|                          | 55      | Göttingen     | 4/0/26    | 4/13        | 4/13                               | this study |
|                          | 56      | Nedernhoff    | 0/0/1     | 0/1         | 0/1                                | this study |
|                          | 57      | Osnabrück     | 14/2/21   | 22/22       | 21/21                              | this study |
|                          | 58      | Sennickerode  | 0/0/2     | n.d.        | n.d.                               | this study |
|                          | 59      | Wardenburg    | 0/0/1     | 0/1         | 0/1                                | this study |
|                          | 60      | Wolfenbüttel  | 1/1/13    | 0/13        | 0/13                               | this study |
|                          |         |               |           |             |                                    |           |
|                          |         |               | 19/6/92   | 26/80       | 25/75                              |           |
| Mecklenburg-Western Pomerania | 61 | Billenhagen | 0/0/3 | n.d. | n.d. | this study | |
|                          | 62      | Bremerhaven   | 0/0/7     | n.d.        | n.d.                               | this study |
|                          | 63      | Dabel         | 0/0/5     | 0/2         | 0/2                                | this study |
|                          | 64      | Dranske       | 0/0/2     | 0/1         | 0/1                                | this study |
|                          | 65      | Dwarsdorf     | 0/0/1     | 0/1         | 0/1                                | this study |
|                          | 66      | Trent Rügen   | 0/0/1     | 0/1         | 0/1                                | this study |
|                          | 67      | Frätow        | 0/0/1     | n.d.        | n.d.                               | this study |
|                          | 68      | Gelm Rügen    | 0/0/4†    | 0/5         | 0/5                                | this study, Reil, Rosenfeld et al., unpubl. data |
|                          | 69      | Grabow        | 0/0/4     | 0/1         | 0/1                                | this study |
|                          | 70      | Hohenziritz  | 0/0/1     | n.d.        | n.d.                               | this study |
|                          | 71      | Island of Riems| 0/0/1  | 0/1         | 0/1                                | this study |
|                          | 72      | Jeeser        | 0/0/264   | 0/44        | 0/44                               | this study |

**Serology**: IgG ELISA‡ | IgG RT-PCR§ | Real-time §,¶  
**Bank vole phylogroup (absolute no.)**: Western (2)  
**Reference**: Mertens et al., 2011
### Serology results

| Federal states of Germany | Map no. | Trapping site | IgG ELISA† | RT-PCR§ | Real-time§ | Reference | Bank vole phylogroup (absolute no.) |
|--------------------------|---------|---------------|------------|----------|------------|----------|----------------------------------|
| Saxony                   | 84      | Eisdorf       | †          | 0/1      | 0/1        | this study | Western (1)                      |
|                          | 85      | Görlitz       | 0/0/1      | n.d.     | n.d.       | this study | n.d.                             |
|                          | 86      | Holzhau       | †          | †        | †          | this study | Western (1)                      |
|                          | 87      | Kitzen        | †          | 0/1      | 0/1        | this study | Western (1)                      |
|                          | 88      | Königshain    | 0/0/3      | 0/1      | 0/1        | this study | Western (1)                      |
|                          | 89      | Leipzig       | 0/0/11     | 0/11     | 0/11       | this study | Western (11)                     |
|                          | 90      | Meschwitz     | 0/0/1      | 0/1      | 0/1        | this study | Western (1)                      |
|                          | 91      | Neukolln      | 0/0/38     | 0/1      | 0/1        | this study | Western (1)                      |
|                          | 92      | Wernsdorf     | 0/0/2      | 0/2      | 0/2        | this study | Western (2)                      |
|                          | Total   |               | 0/0/56     | 0/18     | 0/18       |          |                                  |
| Saxony-Anhalt            | 93      | Calvörde      | 0/0/3      | 0/9      | 0/9        | this study | Western (7); Eastern (2)         |
|                          | 94      | Gommlingen    | 0/0/11     | 0/1      | 0/1        | this study | Western (1)                      |
|                          | 95      | Heteborn      | 0/0/12     | 0/1      | 0/1        | this study | Western (1)                      |
|                          | 96      | Morl          | 0/0/20     | n.d.     | n.d.       | this study | n.d.                             |
|                          | 97      | Walbeck       | 2/0/40     | 2/11     | 0/9        | this study | Western (7); Eastern (4)         |
|                          | Total   |               | 2/0/92     | 2/22     | 0/20       |          |                                  |
| Schleswig-Holstein       | 98      | Schrevendorf  | 0/0/22     | 0/11     | 0/11       | this study | Western (10); Eastern (1)        |
|                          | Total   |               | 0/0/22     | 0/11     | 0/11       |          |                                  |
| Thuringia                | 99      | Allenstein    | 0/0/1      | n.d.     | n.d.       | this study | n.d.                             |
|                          | 100     | Diedorf       | 22/0/58     | 17/22    | n.d.       | this study and Faber et al., 2013 | Western (16) |
|                          | 101     | Erfurt        | 0/0/6      | n.d.     | n.d.       | this study | n.d.                             |
|                          | 102     | Gotha         | 0/5/377     | 0/17     | 0/17        | this study and Reil, Rosenfeld et al., unpubl. data | Western (17) |
|                          | 103     | Leutenberg    | 0/0/2      | n.d.     | n.d.       | this study | n.d.                             |
|                          | 104     | Lucka         | 0/0/15     | n.d.     | n.d.       | this study | n.d.                             |
|                          | 105     | Treben        | 0/0/4      | n.d.     | n.d.       | this study | n.d.                             |
|                          | Total   |               | 22/5/463   | 17/39    | 0/17       |          |                                  |

†If bank voles from other studies were included into the results, the total number of voles added is given within superscript parenthesis. n.d., not determined; PUUV, Puumala virus; RT-PCR, reverse transcription PCR.

‡Only few or no samples for ELISA or PUUV RT-PCR were available.

§No. of positive/no. of equivocal/total no. of bank voles tested.

# Additional information

- **Real-time S segment RT-PCR:** 2.5 µl RNA template, 20 pmol/µl of primers PUUV S-broad-F, and PUUV S-broad-R, and 5 pmol/µl of the fluorescence reporter probe PUUV S-broad (all purchased from Metabion, München, Germany) were used in a total volume of 12.5 µl with qScript XLT One-Step RT-qPCR ToughMix (Quanta BioSciences, Gaithersburg, ML, USA). The reverse transcription was maintained for 10 min at 50°C followed by 1 min inactivation at 95°C. Amplification of cDNA was performed in 45 cycles, starting with denaturation at 95°C for 10 s, annealing at 57°C for 10 s, annealing at 57°C for 10 s, and elongation with 30 s at 68°C.

---

**References:**

- Schilling et al., 2007
- this study
- Reil, Rosenfeld et al., unpubl. data and Ettinger et al., 2012
- Western (11)
- Rosenfeld et al., unpubl. data, Essbauer et al., 2007
- Western (10)
- this study
- Western (10)
- Western (2)
- Western (7); Eastern (2)
- Western (1)
- Western (1)
- n.d.
- Western (7); Eastern (4)
- Western (10); Eastern (1)
- Western (16)
- Western (17)
Technical Appendix Figure. Puumala virus (PUUV) phylogenetic tree reconstructed with novel and published partial S segment sequences. Published sequences are labeled with GenBank accession numbers. Novel sequences are given in bold type and are highlighted in dark gray. Posterior probabilities for major nodes of the maximum clade credibility phylogenetic tree are displayed. Phylogenetic analyses were performed with MrBayes version 3.2.2 (https://sourceforge.net/projects/mrbayes/files/mrbayes/) on
the CIPRES platform for 171 PUUV sequences of 465-bp length. A mixed nucleotide substitution matrix was specified in 4 independent runs of 10^7 generations. The data was partitioned into 2 groups: 1) 1st and 2nd codon positions and 2) 3rd codon position. Scale bar indicates nucleotide substitutions per site. A burn-in fraction of 25% was discarded and samples were recorded every 10^3 generations. Tula virus (TULV) was used as an outgroup. ALAD, Alpe-Adrian lineage; CE, Central European lineage; DAN, Danish lineage; FIN, Finnish lineage; HOKV, PUUV-like Hokkaido virus; LAT, Latvian lineage; N-SCA, North-Scandinavian lineage; RUS, Russian lineage; S-SCA, South-Scandinavian lineage.
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