ANGLES OF RANDOM SIMPLICIES AND FACE NUMBERS OF RANDOM POLYTOPES
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Abstract. Pick \(d + 1\) points uniformly at random on the unit sphere in \(\mathbb{R}^d\). What is the expected value of the angle sum of the simplex spanned by these points? Choose \(n\) points uniformly at random in the \(d\)-dimensional ball. What is the expected number of faces of their convex hull? We answer these and some related questions of stochastic geometry. To this end, we compute expected internal angles of random simplices whose vertices are independent random points sampled from one of the following \(d\)-dimensional distributions: (i) the beta distribution with the density proportional to \((1 - \|x\|^2)^\beta\), where \(x\) belongs to the unit ball in \(\mathbb{R}^d\); (ii) the beta’ distribution with the density proportional to \((1 + \|x\|^2)^{-\beta}\), where \(x \in \mathbb{R}^d\). These results imply explicit formulae for the expected face numbers of the following random polytopes: (a) the typical Poisson-Voronoi cell; (b) the zero cell of the Poisson hyperplane tessellation; (c) beta and beta’ polytopes defined as convex hulls of i.i.d. samples from the corresponding distributions.

1. Main results

1.1. Introduction. The aim of the present paper is to compute expectations of several quantities appearing in stochastic geometry. Let us start with the following natural

Problem A. Pick \(n\) points \(X_1, \ldots, X_n\) uniformly at random in the \(d\)-dimensional unit ball, where \(n \geq d + 1\). What is the expected number of \(k\)-dimensional faces of their convex hull \(P = [X_1, \ldots, X_n]\)?

The problem asks to determine the expected \(f\)-vector of the polytope \(P\), i.e. the vector whose entries are the expectations \(E f_0(P), \ldots, E f_{d-1}(P)\), where \(f_0(P)\) is the number of vertices of \(P\), \(f_1(P)\) is the number of edges of \(P\), and, more generally, \(f_k(P)\) is the number of \(k\)-dimensional faces of \(P\). If the uniform distribution of \(X_1, \ldots, X_n\) is replaced by the \(d\)-dimensional standard Gaussian one, a formula for the complete expected \(f\)-vector in terms of internal and external angles of the regular simplex has been obtained by Affentranger and Schneider [2] (whose result has to be combined with the observation of Baryshnikov and Vitale [4]). For distributions other than the Gaussian one, satisfactory results are available only in few special cases. For example, Buchta and Müller [5] derived an explicit formula for the expected number of facets (that is, faces of dimension \(k = d - 1\)) of \(P\). In the case when the points are chosen uniformly on the sphere, a similar formula was obtained by Buchta, Müller and Tichy [6], and more general distributions were treated by Affentranger [1].

Since all faces of \(P\) are simplices with probability 1, relation \(df_{d-1}(P) = 2f_{d-2}(P)\) also yields the value of \(E f_{d-2}(P)\). The expected volume of \(P\) is known explicitly [1], see also [27, Corollary 3.9], and a trick called Efron’s identity [4] yields a formula for \(E f_0(P)\); see, e.g., [27, Proposition 3.4]. A special case of this approach with \(n = d + 2\) is related to the classical Sylvester four-point problem.
which asks to compute the probability that four points chosen uniformly at random in a planar region have a convex hull which is a triangle. If the region is a disk, the answer is $3\pi/(12\pi^2)$. Kingman [28] considered a generalization of Sylvester’s problem in which $n = d + 2$ points are chosen uniformly at random in a $d$-dimensional unit ball and computed explicitly the probability that their convex hull is a simplex. It is an exercise to check that both, the expected volume of the simplex spanned by the first $d + 1$ points and the expected number of vertices of $P$ in the special case when $n = d + 2$, can be expressed through this probability.

The papers cited above used tools from stochastic and integral geometry [48], most notably the Blaschke-Petkantschin formulae [48, Section 7.2]. In the present paper, we shall completely solve Problem A and a number of related problems of stochastic geometry by combining the integral-geometric approach of [26] with the analysis of certain new special functions related to the Schl"{a}fli function (which expresses the volumes of regular spherical simplices) and having properties somewhat similar to the properties of the Stirling numbers of both kinds.

First of all, it is necessary to extend Problem A to a more general class of random polytopes. We shall be interested in the so-called beta and beta' polytopes defined as the convex hulls of random samples from the following two families of distributions: the beta distributions with the density proportional to $(1 - \|x\|^2)^\alpha$ on the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^d$, and the beta’ distributions whose density is proportional to $(1 + \|x\|^2)^{-\beta}$ on the whole of $\mathbb{R}^d$. Both classes of distributions were introduced by Miles [35]. They are characterized by a remarkable property, called the canonical decomposition, which was discovered by Ruben and Miles [46]. In [26], this decomposition was combined with other tools from stochastic geometry to express the complete expected $f$-vectors of beta and beta’ polytopes in terms of two sorts of quantities: the expected external angle sums of random simplices sampled from the beta and beta’ distributions, and the expected internal angle sums of the same simplices. Precise definitions of these quantities, denoted by $I_{n,k}(\alpha)$ and $J_{n,k}(\alpha)$ in the beta case and $\bar{I}_{n,k}(\alpha)$ and $\bar{J}_{n,k}(\alpha)$ in the beta’ case, will be given below. Moreover, it was shown in [26] that several problems of stochastic geometry can be solved in terms of $I_{n,k}(\alpha)$ and $J_{n,k}(\beta)$ or $\bar{I}_{n,k}(\alpha)$ and $\bar{J}_{n,k}(\beta)$, most notably the determination of the expected $f$-vectors of the typical Poisson-Voronoi cell and the zero cell of the Poisson hyperplane tessellation, as well as the constants appearing in the work of Reitzner [42] on random polytopes approximating smooth convex bodies.

While the external angle sums $I_{n,k}(\alpha)$ and $\bar{I}_{n,k}(\alpha)$ are easy to evaluate (which has already been done in [26]), no formula for the internal angle sums $J_{n,k}(\beta)$ and $\bar{J}_{n,k}(\beta)$ has been provided in [26]. In [19], we described a recursive scheme which allows to compute $\bar{J}_{n,k}(\beta)$ and $\bar{J}_{n,k}(\beta)$ in finite time for every given values of $n, k, \beta$. The aim of the present paper is to solve this recursive scheme, thus providing an explicit formula for the internal angle sums and, consequently, completely solving Problem A and all problems of stochastic geometry listed above. We always aim at obtaining reasonably simple formulae for the quantities of interest. Let us agree that the formulae are allowed to contain elementary functions, as well as multiple sums and multiple integrals thereof (including the Gamma function), but the multiplicity of sums and integrals is not allowed to depend on the parameters of the problem such as $d, n, k$. All formulae stated below can easily be evaluated by computer algebra software.

1.2. Internal angles. Let us start with the necessary definitions. A $d$-dimensional simplex in $\mathbb{R}^d$ is defined as the convex hull $[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ of $n = d + 1$ points $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$ that are not contained in a common affine hyperplane. The internal angle of the simplex $T := [x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ at its face
the units of measurement for angles in such a way that the full-space angle equals 1. For each \( k \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \) we let \( \sigma_k(T) \) denote the sum of internal angles of \( T \) at all its \( k \)-vertex faces, that is

\[
\sigma_k(T) = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \ldots < i_k \leq n} \beta(F, T). 
\]

The sum of the measures of angles in any plane triangle is constant, but in dimensions \( d \geq 3 \) the angle sums \( \sigma_k(T) \) are not constant except for the trivial values \( \sigma_d(T) = 1 \) and \( \sigma_{d-1}(T) = \frac{1}{2}(d+1) \). The range of all possible values of \( \sigma_k(T) \), for every fixed \( k \) and \( d \), has been determined by Perles and Shephard \cite{PERLES1965}. It is therefore natural to ask what are the average values of these quantities, which leads to the following

**Problem B.** Pick \( n \) points \( X_1, \ldots, X_n \) uniformly at random on the unit sphere in \( \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \) and consider the simplex \( T := [X_1, \ldots, X_n] \) spanned by these points. What is the expected value of the \( k \)-th angle sum \( \sigma_k(T) \)?

The aim of the present paper is to solve a more general version of this problem in which the vertices of the random simplex have a beta or a beta’ distribution.

### 1.3. Beta simplices and their internal angles

A random vector in \( \mathbb{R}^d \) is said to have a \( d \)-dimensional beta distribution with parameter \( \beta > -1 \) if its Lebesgue density is given by

\[
f_{d,\beta}(x) = c_{d,\beta} \left(1 - \|x\|^2\right)^\beta \mathbb{1}_{\{\|x\|<1\}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad c_{d,\beta} = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2} + \beta + 1\right)}{\pi^{d/2} \Gamma\left(\beta + 1\right)},
\]

(1.1)

where \( \|x\| = (x_1^2 + \ldots + x_d^2)^{1/2} \) denotes the Euclidean norm of the vector \( x = (x_1, \ldots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d \). Since the special case of the normalizing constant \( c_{d,\beta} \) with \( d = 1 \) will frequently appear below, we introduce the shorthand

\[
c_{\beta} := c_{1,\beta} = \frac{\Gamma\left(\beta + \frac{3}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma\left(\beta + 1\right)}.
\]

(1.2)

**Example 1.1.** The uniform distribution on the unit ball \( \mathbb{B}^d := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \|x\| \leq 1\} \) coincides with the beta distribution with parameter 0. The uniform distribution on the unit sphere \( \mathbb{S}^{d-1} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \|x\| = 1\} \) is the weak limit of the beta distribution as \( \beta \downarrow -1 \), see \cite{MILES1962}. The standard Gaussian distribution is the limit of the appropriately rescaled beta distribution as \( \beta \to +\infty \); see \cite{MILES1962}. All formulae of the present paper apply to the uniform distribution on \( \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \) by taking \( \beta = -1 \) and to the Gaussian distribution by letting \( \beta \to +\infty \).

Let \( X_1, \ldots, X_n \) be independent random points in \( \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \) sampled from the beta distribution \( f_{n-1,\beta} \), where \( \beta \geq -1 \). Their convex hull \( [X_1, \ldots, X_n] \) is referred to as the \((n-1)\)-dimensional beta simplex. Miles \cite{MILES1962} completely characterized the distribution of the volume of the beta simplex by computing its moments. Our aim is to compute explicitly the expected internal angles of these random simplices, denoted by

\[
J_{n,k}(\beta) := E_{\beta}([X_1, \ldots, X_k], [X_1, \ldots, X_n]), \quad (1.3)
\]
for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( k \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \). By exchangeability, the expected sum of internal angles at all \( k \)-vertex faces of the beta simplex \([X_1, \ldots, X_n]\) is then

\[
\mathbb{J}_{n,k}(\beta) := \binom{n}{k} J_{n,k}(\beta),
\]

Clearly, \( \mathbb{J}_{n,n}(\beta) = J_{n,n}(\beta) = 1 \) and \( \mathbb{J}_{n,n-1}(\beta) = n J_{n,n-1}(\beta) = \frac{n}{2} \). As another trivial example, we have \( \mathbb{J}_{3,1}(\beta) = 1/2 \) because the sum of angles in any plane triangle equals half the full angle. In [20], we computed \( \mathbb{J}_{n,k}(\beta) \) for \( n = 4 \) and \( n = 5 \) (which corresponds to simplices in dimensions \( d = 3 \) and \( d = 4 \)). Some non-trivial values obtained there include

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{J}_{4,1}(-1) &= \frac{1}{8}, \\
\mathbb{J}_{5,1}(-1) &= \frac{539}{288\pi^2} - \frac{1}{6}, \\
\mathbb{J}_{4,1}(0) &= \frac{401}{2560}, \\
\mathbb{J}_{5,1}(0) &= \frac{1692197}{846720\pi^2} - \frac{1}{6}.
\end{align*}
\]

As explained in [20], the method used there cannot be extended to higher dimensions. In [19], we derived recursive relations for \( \mathbb{J}_{n,k}(\beta) \) which yield a complicated formula for these quantities. Although this formula allows us to compute \( \mathbb{J}_{n,k}(\beta) \) in finitely many steps, its complexity rapidly increases with \( n, k \) and \( \beta \), and it cannot be considered satisfactory. In the present paper, we prove the following explicit formula for the quantities \( \mathbb{J}_{n,k}(\beta) \), where \( \beta \geq -1 \).

**Theorem 1.2.** Let \( n \geq 3 \) be integer and \( k \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \). For all \( \alpha \geq n - 3 \) we have

\[
\mathbb{J}_{n,k}\left(\frac{\alpha - n + 1}{2}\right) = \binom{n}{k} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \frac{\alpha}{\sin x} (\cos x)^{\alpha n + 1} \left(\frac{1}{2} + i \int_{0}^{x} c_{\alpha-1}(\cos y)^{-\alpha - 1} \, dy\right)^{n-k} \, dx.
\]

By making the change of variables \( t = \tan x \), \( s = \tan y \) in (1.5) and then substituting \( t = \sin u \), \( s = \sin v \) in the resulting formula, it is possible to obtain the following equivalent versions of (1.5):

\[
\mathbb{J}_{n,k}\left(\frac{\alpha - n + 1}{2}\right) = \binom{n}{k} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{1 - t^2}{\pi} (\tan^{-1}(1 - s^2)^{-2} - \frac{\pi}{2} - 1) \, ds \int_{0}^{\pi} c_{\alpha-1}(\cos v)^{\alpha v} \, dv
\]

\[
\mathbb{J}_{n,k}(\alpha) = \binom{n}{k} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{c_{\alpha-1}(\cosh u)^{-\alpha - 2}}{\pi} \left(\frac{1}{2} + i \int_{0}^{u} c_{\alpha-1}(\cosh v)^{\alpha v} \, dv\right)^{n-k} \, du.
\]

If \( \alpha \) is integer, the inner and then the outer integrals in these formulae can be evaluated exactly by standard integration techniques. This gives explicit expressions for \( \mathbb{J}_{n,k}(\beta) \) as \( \beta := (\alpha-n+1)/2 \geq -1 \) is integer or half-integer; see [19] for the tables of \( \mathbb{J}_{n,k}(\beta) \) for some values of \( \beta \) including \( \beta = 0 \) and \( \beta = 1 \). In some cases, it is possible to evaluate the integral more efficiently with the help of residue calculus.

**Theorem 1.3.** Let \( n \geq 3 \), \( k \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \) and \( \alpha \geq n - 3 \) be integer. If either (i) \( \alpha \) is even and \( n - k \) is odd, or (ii) both \( \alpha \) and \( n \) are odd, then

\[
\mathbb{J}_{n,k}\left(\frac{\alpha - n + 1}{2}\right) = \binom{n}{k} c_{\alpha\pi} \left(\frac{c_{\alpha-1}}{\pi x \tan x} \right)^{n-k} \left(\frac{\int_{0}^{\pi} (\sin y)^{\alpha} \, dy}{(\sin x)^{\alpha n + 2}}\right)^{n-k-1}.
\]

Here, \( \text{Res}_{x=x_0} f(x) \) denotes the residue of the meromorphic function \( f(x) \) at \( x_0 \in \mathbb{C} \), that is the coefficient of \((x-x_0)^{-1}\) in the Laurent expansion of \( f(x) \) around \( x_0 \). There are some cases not covered by this theorem. The best result we have in the case when (iii) \( \alpha \) is odd and \( n \) is even are Equations (1.5), (1.6), (1.7). In the case when (iv) both \( \alpha \) and \( n - k \) are even, we can compute \( \mathbb{J}_{n,k}(\frac{\alpha - n + 1}{2}) \) by combining case (i) of Theorem 1.3 with the Poincaré relations. These are linear
relations between angle sums of any deterministic simplex which, in the special case of the beta simplex, imply that

\[ \sum_{k=m}^{n} (-1)^k \binom{k}{m} \mathbb{J}_{n,k}(\beta) = (-1)^{n-m} \mathbb{J}_{n,m}(\beta), \quad \mathbb{J}_{n,0}(\beta) := 0, \]

for all \( m \in \{0, \ldots, n\} \) and \( \beta \geq -1 \). As will be explained in Section 8, these relations allow to express the odd-positioned entries of the vector \( (\mathbb{J}_{n,1}(\beta), \ldots, \mathbb{J}_{n,n}(\beta)) \) as linear combinations of the even-positioned ones, and vice versa. It is possible to transform these considerations into the following “ugly” formula.

**Proposition 1.4.** Let \( n \geq 3, k \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \) and \( \alpha \geq n-3 \) be integer. If both \( \alpha \) and \( n-k \) are even, then

\[ \mathbb{J}_{n,k}\left(\frac{\alpha - n + 1}{2}\right) = (-1)^{\frac{n-k}{2}} \frac{n!}{k!} \pi c_{\frac{n-k}{2}} \cdot [u^{n-k}x^{-1}] \left( \frac{\sin\left(\frac{uc_{\frac{n-k}{2}}}{x}\right)}{\tan\left(\frac{u}{x}\right)} \right), \]  

where \([u^{n-k}x^{-1}]g(u,x)\) denotes the coefficient of \( u^{n-k}x^{-1} \) in the series expansion of the function \( g \) around \((0,0)\).

In Theorems 3.8 and 3.9 of [19] we gave explicit formulae for \( \mathbb{J}_{n,1}(-\frac{1}{2}) \) and \( \mathbb{J}_{n,1}(+\frac{1}{2}) \) with arbitrary \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) in terms of products of Gamma functions. In a slightly different notation, the latter value can be found in the work of Hug [18, Corollary 7.1]. The proofs used only stochastic geometry. Using Theorem 1.3, we can generalize these formulae to \( \mathbb{J}_{n,1}(m - \frac{1}{2}) \) with arbitrary \( m \in \mathbb{N}_0 \). The formulae are as explicit as possible but involve rational functions whose complexity increases rapidly with \( m \).

**Proposition 1.5.** There is a sequence of rational functions \( R_0(x), R_1(x), \ldots \) with rational coefficients such that for every \( n \geq 3 \) and \( m \in \mathbb{N}_0 \), we have

\[ \mathbb{J}_{n,1}\left(\frac{m-\frac{1}{2}}{2}\right) = c_{\frac{n+2m-2}{2}} \left( c_{\frac{n+2m-3}{2}} \right)^{n-1} \frac{\pi n R_m(n)}{(n+2m-1)\pi n}. \]

The first terms are \( R_0(1) = 1, R_1(1) = \frac{n^2+n+2}{2(n+3)} \), \( R_2(1) = \frac{n^5+15n^4+81n^3+225n^2+326n+216}{8(n+5)^2(n+7)} \), \ldots .

The arithmetic properties of the quantities \( \mathbb{J}_{n,k}(\beta) \) for integer and half-integer values of \( \beta \) are summarized in the following theorem. It turns out that these are either rational numbers, or polynomials in \( \pi^{-2} \) with rational coefficients which in some cases reduce to a single rational multiple of a power of \( \pi^{-2} \).

**Theorem 1.6.** Let \( \beta \geq -1 \) be integer or half-integer. Let also \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( k \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \).

(a) If both \( 2\beta + n \) and \( n-k \) are even, then \( \mathbb{J}_{n,k}(\beta) \) is a rational number.

(b) If both \( 2\beta + n \) and \( n-k \) are odd, then \( \mathbb{J}_{n,k}(\beta) \) is a number of the form \( q\pi^{-(n-k-1)} \) with some rational \( q \).

(c) If \( 2\beta + n \) is odd and \( n-k \) is even, then \( \mathbb{J}_{n,k}(\beta) \) can be expressed as \( q_0 + q_2\pi^{-2} + q_4\pi^{-4} + \ldots + q_{n-k}\pi^{-(n-k)} \), where the numbers \( q_{2j} \) are rational.

**Proof.** Parts (a) and (c) were established [19]. Part (b), which was only conjectured there, follows from Equation (1.8) of Theorem 1.3 because the residue appearing in (1.8) is a rational number. \( \square \)
1.4. **Beta’ simplices and their internal angles.** A random vector in \( \mathbb{R}^d \) has **beta’ distribution** with parameter \( \beta > d/2 \) if its Lebesgue density is given by

\[
f_{d,\beta}(x) = \tilde{c}_{d,\beta} \left(1 + \|x\|^2\right)^{-\beta/2}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d,
\]

\[
\tilde{c}_{d,\beta} = \frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\pi^{d/2} \Gamma\left(\beta - \frac{d}{2}\right)}.
\]

We shall use parallel notation for beta and beta’ distributions, with the quantities related to beta’ distributions being always marked by a tilde. For the special case of the normalizing constant with \( d = 1 \) we introduce the shorthand

\[
\tilde{c}_\beta := \tilde{c}_{1,\beta} = \frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma\left(\beta - \frac{1}{2}\right)}.
\]

Let \( \tilde{X}_1, \ldots, \tilde{X}_n \) be independent random points in \( \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \) sampled from the beta’ distribution \( \tilde{f}_{n-1,\beta} \), where \( \beta > (n-1)/2 \). Their convex hull \([\tilde{X}_1, \ldots, \tilde{X}_n]\) is called the \((n-1)\)-dimensional beta’ simplex. Its expected internal angles are denoted by

\[
\tilde{J}_{n,k}(\beta) := \mathbb{E}_{\beta}([\tilde{X}_1, \ldots, \tilde{X}_k], [\tilde{X}_1, \ldots, \tilde{X}_n],
\]

for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( k \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \). The expected sum of internal angles at all \( k \)-vertex faces of the beta’ simplex is

\[
\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_{n,k}(\beta) := \binom{n}{k} \tilde{J}_{n,k}(\beta).
\]

Again, we put \( \tilde{J}_{n,n}(\beta) = \tilde{\mathcal{J}}_{n,n}(\beta) = 1 \) for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \). For the quantities \( \tilde{\mathcal{J}}_{n,k}(\beta) \), we shall prove the following explicit formula.

**Theorem 1.7.** Let \( \alpha > 0 \). Then, for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( k \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \) such that \( \alpha n > 1 \) we have that

\[
\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_{n,k} \left(\frac{\alpha + n - 1}{2}\right) = \binom{n}{k} \int_{\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \tilde{c}_{n,k} (\cos x)^{\alpha n - 1} \left(\frac{1}{2} + i \int_0^x \tilde{c}_{n+\frac{1}{2}} (\cos y)^{-\alpha} dy\right)^{n-k} dx.
\]

Making the substitutions \( t = \tanh x \), \( s = \tanh y \) and then \( t = \sin u \), \( s = \sin v \) in (1.14), we arrive at the following equivalent versions of (1.14):

\[
\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_{n,k} \left(\frac{\alpha + n - 1}{2}\right) = \binom{n}{k} \int_{\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \tilde{c}_{n+\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 - t^2\right)^{\alpha n - 1} \left(\frac{1}{2} + i \int_0^t \tilde{c}_{n+\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 - s^2\right)^{-\alpha} ds\right)^{n-k} \frac{dt}{2}.
\]

Again, it is easy to evaluate the integrals exactly if \( \alpha \) is integer, which gives explicit expressions for \( \tilde{\mathcal{J}}_{n,k}(\beta) \) if \( \beta := (\alpha + n - 1)/2 > (n - 1)/2 \) is integer or half-integer. In some cases, it is possible to evaluate the integral by means of the residue calculus as follows.

**Theorem 1.8.** Let \( \alpha \in \mathbb{N} \). Then, for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( k \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \) such that \( \alpha k \) is even, we have

\[
\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_{n,k} \left(\frac{\alpha + n - 1}{2}\right) = \binom{n}{k} \tilde{c}_{n+\frac{1}{2}} \left(\tilde{c}_{n+\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{n-k} \pi \text{Res}_{x=0} \left[ \left(\int_0^x (\sin y)^{\alpha-1} dy\right)^{n-k}\right].
\]

It is interesting to note that the validity domain of this formula is larger than in the beta case. Indeed, it gives the complete vector of expected internal angles if \( \alpha \) is even. In the case
when $\alpha$ is odd, this theorem identifies $\tilde{J}_{n,k}(\frac{n+k-\alpha}{2})$ only for even $k$. The values with odd $k$ can be reconstructed using the Poincaré relations

$$\sum_{k=m}^{n} (-1)^{k} \binom{k}{m} \tilde{J}_{n,k}(\beta) = (-1)^{n} \tilde{J}_{n,m}(\beta), \quad \tilde{J}_{n,0}(\beta) := 0,$$

for all $n \geq 2$, $m \in \{0, \ldots, n\}$ and $\beta > \frac{n+1}{2}$. The best result we were able to derive in this way is as follows.

**Proposition 1.9.** Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$. Let also $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ be such that $nk$ is odd. If $n \neq 1$ is odd, then

$$\tilde{J}_{n,k} \left( \frac{\alpha + n - 1}{2} \right) = (-1)^{\frac{n-k}{2}} \frac{n!}{k!} \pi \tilde{c}_{\frac{\alpha+1}{2}} \cdot [u^{n-k}x^{-1}] \left( \sin \left( \frac{u \tilde{c}_{\alpha+1}}{2} \int_0^x (\sin y)^{\alpha-1} dy \right) \right).$$

(1.18)

If $n$ is even, then

$$\tilde{J}_{n,k} \left( \frac{\alpha + n - 1}{2} \right) = (-1)^{\frac{n-k}{2}} \frac{n!}{k!} \pi \tilde{c}_{\frac{\alpha+1}{2}} \cdot [u^{n-k}x^{-1}] \left( \cos \left( \frac{u \tilde{c}_{\alpha+1}}{2} \int_0^x (\sin y)^{\alpha-1} dy \right) \right).$$

(1.19)

The arithmetic structure of $\tilde{J}_{n,k}(\beta)$ for integer and half-integer $\beta$ is described in the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.10.** Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. Let also $\beta > \frac{n+1}{2}$ be integer or half-integer.

(a) If $2\beta - n$ is odd, then $\tilde{J}_{n,k}(\beta)$ is a rational number.

(b) If $2\beta - n$ is even and $k$ is even, then $\tilde{J}_{n,k}(\beta)$ has the form $q\pi^{-(n-k)}$ (if $n - k$ is even) or $q\pi^{-(n-k-1)}$ (if $n - k$ is odd) with some rational $q$.

(c) If $2\beta - n$ is even, then $\tilde{J}_{n,k}(\beta)$ can be expressed as $q_0 + q_2 \pi^{-2} + q_4 \pi^{-4} + \ldots + q_{n-k-1} \pi^{-(n-k-1)}$ (if $n - k$ is odd) or $q_0 + q_2 \pi^{-2} + q_4 \pi^{-4} + \ldots + q_{n-k} \pi^{-(n-k)}$ (if $n - k$ is even), where the coefficients $q_{2j}$ are rational numbers.

Proof. Parts (a) and (c) were established [10]. Part (b), which was only conjectured there, follows from Equation (1.17) of Theorem 1.8 since the residue appearing there is a rational number.

In general, it does not seem possible to simplify the residue in (1.17). However, if $\alpha$ and $k$ are fixed, we can show that it is essentially a polynomial in $n$.

**Proposition 1.11.** Fix $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $nk$ is even. Then, there is a polynomial $P_{\alpha,k}(n)$ with rational coefficients such that for all integer $n \geq k$,

$$\tilde{J}_{n,k} \left( \frac{\alpha + n - 1}{2} \right) = \binom{n}{k} \tilde{c}_{\frac{\alpha+1}{2}} \pi \frac{P_{\alpha,k}(n)}{\alpha^{n-k}}.$$

For example, we have

$$P_{1,2}(n) = 1, \quad P_{1,4}(n) = \frac{n - 1}{6}, \quad P_{1,6}(n) = \frac{5n^2 - 8n + 3}{360}, \quad P_{1,8}(n) = \frac{35n^2 - 63n^2 + 37n - 9}{45360},$$

$$P_{2,1}(n) = 1, \quad P_{2,2}(n) = \frac{n}{4}, \quad P_{2,3}(n) = \frac{n(n+1)}{32}, \quad P_{2,4}(n) = \frac{n(n^2 + 3n + 2)}{384}.$$
2. Applications to random polytopes

In this section, we give applications of the above results to some problems of stochastic geometry including the determination of the expected \( f \)-vectors of two random polytopes: the zero cell of the Poisson hyperplane tessellation and the typical cell of the Poisson-Voronoi tessellation. We start with the so-called Poisson polytopes which can be used to treat both models. Recall that for a convex \( d \)-dimensional polytope \( P \), we let \( f_\ell(P) \) denote the number of \( \ell \)-dimensional faces of \( P \), for all \( \ell \in \{0, \ldots, d\} \). The \( f \)-vector of \( P \) is then the vector \( (f_\ell(P))_{\ell=0}^{d-1} \). If \( P \) is random, we are interested in its expectation, called the expected \( f \)-vector.

2.1. Poisson polytopes. For \( \alpha > 0 \) let \( \Pi_{d,\alpha} \) be a Poisson point process on \( \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\} \) with the following power-law intensity function:

\[
x \mapsto \|x\|^{-d-\alpha}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}.
\]

Let \( \Pi_{d,\alpha} \) denote the convex hull of the atoms of \( \Pi_{d,\alpha} \). We call \( \Pi_{d,\alpha} \) the Poisson polytope. Even though the number of atoms of \( \Pi_{d,\alpha} \) is a.s. infinite because the atoms cluster at the origin, it can be shown that \( \Pi_{d,\alpha} \) is a.s. a polytope; see [25, Corollary 4.2]. Moreover, this polytope is simplicial (that is, all of its faces are simplices) and contains the origin in its interior, with probability 1.

In [24, Theorem 1.21], the expected \( f \)-vector of the Poisson polytope \( \Pi_{d,\alpha} \) has been expressed through the quantities \( I_{m,\ell}(\gamma) \). Namely, for every \( d \in \mathbb{N} \) and every \( k \in \{1, \ldots, d\} \), the expected number of \((k-1)\)-dimensional faces of \( \Pi_{d,\alpha} \) is given by

\[
\mathbb{E}f_{k-1}(\text{conv } \Pi_{d,\alpha}) = 2 \sum_{m \in \{k, \ldots, d\}, \mod\ 2} \tilde{I}_{\infty,m}(\alpha) \tilde{I}_{m,k}(\frac{\alpha+m-1}{2}),
\]

where

\[
\tilde{I}_{\infty,m}(\alpha) := \frac{\tilde{c}_{m+1}}{e^{\alpha+1}} \cdot \frac{\alpha^{m-1}}{m} = \frac{\Gamma(m+1)}{\Gamma(m)\left[\frac{\alpha}{2}\right]} \left(\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\alpha+1\right)}\right)^m \left(\sqrt{\pi} \alpha\right)^{m-1}.
\]

Using our results on the quantities \( \tilde{I}_{m,\ell}(\gamma) \) we are able to prove the following explicit formula for the expected \( f \)-vector of \( \Pi_{d,\alpha} \).

**Theorem 2.1.** Let \( d \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( \alpha > 0 \). Then, for every \( k \in \{1, \ldots, d\} \) we have

\[
\mathbb{E}f_{k-1}(\text{conv } \Pi_{d,\alpha}) = \alpha^d \binom{d}{k} \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\alpha+1\right)} \left[\frac{\left(\int_0^\infty (\sin x)^{\alpha-1} dx\right)^k}{\left(\int_0^\infty (\sin x)^{\alpha+1} dx\right)^k}\right].
\]

If, additionally, \( \alpha \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( k \in \{1, \ldots, d\} \) are such that \( \alpha k \) is even, then

\[
\mathbb{E}f_{k-1}(\text{conv } \Pi_{d,\alpha}) = \alpha^d \binom{d}{k} \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\alpha+1\right)} \left[\frac{\left(\int_0^\infty (\sin x)^{\alpha-1} dx\right)^k}{\left(\int_0^\infty (\sin x)^{\alpha+1} dx\right)^k}\right].
\]

To explain our notation, let us mention that it was shown in the proof of Theorem 1.21 in [24] that \( \tilde{I}_{\infty,m}(\alpha) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \tilde{I}_{n,m}(\alpha) \), for some quantities \( \tilde{I}_{n,m}(\alpha) \) to be defined below.
Equation (2.3) identifies the complete expected f-vector \((E\ell(\text{conv } \Pi_{d,\alpha}))_{\ell=0}^{d-1}\) if \(\alpha\) is even. If \(\alpha\) is odd, it gives only the entries with odd \(\ell\). However, since the polytope \(\text{conv } \Pi_{d,\alpha}\) is simplicial a.s., the remaining entries are uniquely determined by the Dehn-Sommerville relations; see Section 8. In the same way as in Proposition 1.9 it is possible to prove the following statement complementing (2.3).

**Proposition 2.2.** Let \(\alpha \in \mathbb{N}\). Let also \(d \in \mathbb{N}\) and \(k \in \{1, \ldots, d\}\) be such that \(\alpha k\) is odd. If \(d\) is even, then

\[
E_{f_{k-1}}(\text{conv } \Pi_{d,\alpha}) = (-1)^{\frac{d-k}{2}} \alpha^d d! \cdot [u^{d-k} x^{-1}] \left( \frac{\sin\left(\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha} \int_0^x (\sin y)^{\alpha-1} dy\right)}{\tan \left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) (\sin x)^{\alpha d+1}} \right) . \tag{2.4}
\]

If \(d\) is odd, then

\[
E_{f_{k-1}}(\text{conv } \Pi_{d,\alpha}) = (-1)^{\frac{d-k}{2}} \alpha^d d! \cdot [u^{d-k} x^{-1}] \left( \frac{\cos\left(\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha} \int_0^x (\sin y)^{\alpha-1} dy\right)}{\cot \left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) (\sin x)^{\alpha d+1}} \right) . \tag{2.5}
\]

Let us now consider three special cases of Poisson polytopes.

2.2. **Poisson zero cell:** \(\alpha = 1\). It is known that on the space of all affine hyperplanes in \(\mathbb{R}^d\) there is an infinite measure invariant under rotations and translations of \(\mathbb{R}^d\). Moreover, this measure is unique up to multiplication by constants; see [48]. Consider a Poisson process on the space of hyperplanes having this measure as intensity. The hyperplanes belonging to this point process is unique up to multiplication by constants; see [48]. Consider a Poisson process on the space of hyperplanes having this measure as intensity. The hyperplanes belonging to this point process is unique up to multiplication by constants; see [48]. The unique cell containing the origin is called the **Poisson zero cell** and is denoted here by \(Z_d\). The expected f-vector of \(Z_d\) has been identified in [21].

There we have shown that for all \(\ell \in \{1, \ldots, d\}\) such that \(d - \ell\) is even,

\[
E_{f_{\ell}}(Z_d) = \frac{\pi^{d-\ell}}{(d-\ell)!} [x^{d-\ell}] \prod_{j \in \{1, \ldots, d-1\}, j \not\equiv d \pmod{2}} (1 + j^2 x^2) . \tag{2.6}
\]

Theorem 2.1 can be used to derive the following alternative formula.

**Theorem 2.3.** For all \(d \in \mathbb{N}\) and \(\ell \in \{0, \ldots, d\}\) such that \(d - \ell\) is even, we have

\[
E_{f_{\ell}}(Z_d) = \pi^{d-\ell} \left(\frac{d}{l}\right)[x^{d-\ell}] \left(\frac{x}{\sin x}\right)^{d+1} . \tag{2.7}
\]

**Proof.** The claim is trivial for \(\ell = d\), so let \(\ell \in \{0, \ldots, d - 1\}\). It is known [26] that \(\text{conv } \Pi_{d,1}\) can be identified (up to scaling) with the convex dual of the zero cell \(Z_d\) of the homogeneous and isotropic Poisson hyperplane tessellation. In particular,

\[
E_{f_{\ell}}(Z_d) = E_{f_{d-\ell-1}}(\text{conv } \Pi_{d,1}) \tag{2.8}
\]

for all \(\ell \in \{0,1, \ldots, d - 1\}\). Taking \(\alpha = 1\) in the second claim of Theorem 2.1 we obtain after straightforward transformations that for all even \(k \in \{1, \ldots, d\}\),

\[
E_{f_{k-1}}(\text{conv } \Pi_{d,1}) = \pi^k \left(\frac{d}{k}\right)[x^k] \left(\frac{x}{\sin x}\right)^{d+1} .
\]

The claim follows by taking \(k = d - \ell\) and applying (2.8). \(\square\)
Remark 2.4. Comparing (2.6) and (2.7), we obtain the following curious combinatorial identity which is valid for all \( d \in \mathbb{N} \) and all even \( m \in \{0, \ldots, d\} \):
\[
\frac{d!}{(d - m)!} [x^m] \left( \frac{x}{\sin x} \right)^{d+1} = [x^m] \prod_{\substack{j \in \{1, \ldots, d-1\} \atop j \not\equiv d \pmod{2}}} (1 + j^2 x^2).
\]

Remark 2.5. The values of \( \mathbb{E} f_\ell(\mathcal{Z}_d) \) with even \( d - \ell \) were called “nice” in [21] because they are rational multiples of powers of \( \pi^2 \). The values with odd \( d - \ell \) were called “ugly” and are polynomials in \( \pi^2 \) with rational coefficients. For the ugly values, Proposition [2.2] and (2.8) yield the formulae
\[
\mathbb{E} f_\ell(\mathcal{Z}_d) = \frac{(-1)^{\frac{d-1}{2}} \pi^d d!}{(d - \ell)!} \cdot [u^\ell x^{-1}] \left( \cot \left( \frac{u}{\pi} \right) \sin \left( \frac{ux}{\pi} \right) \frac{1}{(\sin x)^{d+1}} \right), \quad \text{if } d \text{ is odd and } \ell \text{ is even},
\]
\[
\mathbb{E} f_\ell(\mathcal{Z}_d) = \frac{(-1)^{\frac{d-1}{2}} \pi^d d!}{(d - \ell)!} \cdot [u^\ell x^{-1}] \left( \tan \left( \frac{u}{\pi} \right) \cos \left( \frac{ux}{\pi} \right) \frac{1}{(\sin x)^{d+1}} \right), \quad \text{if } d \text{ is even and } \ell \text{ is odd}.
\]

Note finally that all results of this section can be restated in terms of \( \tilde{h}_{n,k}(\frac{n}{2}) \) since, as we have shown in [21, Equation (3.9)] and [21, Proposition 1.2],
\[
\tilde{h}_{n,k}(\frac{n}{2}) = \frac{n(\mathbb{E} f_{n-k}(\mathcal{Z}_n) - \mathbb{E} f_{n-k-2}(\mathcal{Z}_{n-2}))}{2\pi^{n-2}\tilde{c}_{n+1}} = \frac{n(n - 1)^2\mathbb{E} f_{n-k}(\mathcal{Z}_{n-2})}{2\pi^{n-2}k(k - 1)\tilde{c}_{n+1}},
\]
for all \( n \in \{3, 4, \ldots\} \), \( k \in \{1, \ldots, n - 2\} \), where the second equality additionally requires \( k \neq 1 \).

2.3. The simplest case: \( \alpha = 2 \). As it turns out, this case can be treated by purely combinatorial tools.

Theorem 2.6. For all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( k \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \) we have\footnote{In the cases \( n = 1 \) and \( n = 2 \) we use the natural definitions \( \binom{-1}{1} = -1 \) and \( \binom{0}{0} = 0 \).}
\[
\binom{2n}{n} \tilde{h}_{n,k}(\frac{n + 1}{2}) = \binom{n}{k} \binom{n + k}{k} - \binom{n - 2}{k} \binom{n - 2 + k}{k}.
\]
As a corollary, we can compute the expected \( f \)-vector of \( \text{conv } \Pi_{d,2} \).

Theorem 2.7. For all \( d \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( k \in \{1, \ldots, d\} \) we have
\[
\mathbb{E} f_{k-1}(\text{conv } \Pi_{d,2}) = \binom{d}{k} \binom{d + k}{k}. \tag{2.9}
\]

Proof. Recalling (2.2) and using Legendre’s duplication formula, it is easy to check that for all \( m \in \mathbb{N} \),
\[
\tilde{h}_{\infty,m}(2) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{2m+1}{2})}{\Gamma(m)} \frac{\Gamma(1)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)} = \frac{(2\sqrt{\pi})^{m-1}}{m} = \frac{1}{2} \binom{2m}{m}.
\]
By (2.1), we obtain
\[
\mathbb{E} f_{k-1}(\text{conv } \Pi_{d,2}) = \sum_{\substack{m \in \{k, \ldots, d\} \atop m \equiv d \pmod{2}}} \binom{2m}{m} \tilde{h}_{m,k}(\frac{m + 1}{2}).
\]
To complete the proof, apply Theorem [2.6] and evaluate the telescope sum. \( \square \)
On the other hand, Theorem 2.4 with $\alpha = 2$ yields
\[ \mathbb{E}f_{k-1}(\operatorname{conv} \Pi_{d,2}) = 2^{d+k} \binom{d}{k} \frac{\operatorname{Res}_{x=0} \left( (1 - \cos x)^{d-k} \right)}{(\sin x)^{2d+1}} \binom{d}{k} \frac{\operatorname{Res}_{y=0} \left( (\sin y)^{-2k-1} (\cos y)^{-2d-1} \right)}{k}, \]
where the last step follows from the formulae $1 - \cos x = 2\sin^2\frac{x}{2}$ and $\sin x = 2\sin\frac{x}{2} \cos\frac{x}{2}$ after the substitution $y := x/2$. Comparing both results, we obtain the curious identity
\[ \operatorname{Res}_{y=0} \left( (\sin y)^{-2k-1} (\cos y)^{-2d-1} \right) = \binom{d+k}{k}, \]
which can be shown to be valid in the range $d \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

The numbers on the right-hand side of (2.9) appear as Entry A063007 in [49]. Interestingly, the expected $f$-vector of $\operatorname{conv} \Pi_{d,2}$ coincides with the $f$-vector of the dual of the associahedron of type $B_n$ (cyclohedron) [11]. We have no explanation for this coincidence, but one trivial reason can be easily ruled out: It is not true that the combinatorial type of $\operatorname{conv} \Pi_{d,2}$ is that of the cyclohedron (or any other deterministic polytope), with probability 1. Instead we claim that for every $\alpha > 0$ and every given simplicial $d$-dimensional polytope $P$, the probability that $\operatorname{conv} \Pi_{d,\alpha}$ has the same combinatorial type as $P$ is strictly positive. To see this, embed $P$ into $\mathbb{R}^d$ such that the origin is in the interior of $P$. Then, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ the probability that each $\varepsilon$-ball around each vertex of $P$ contains exactly one point of the Poisson point process $\Pi_{d,\alpha}$, while all other points of $\Pi_{d,\alpha}$ are located inside $\frac{\varepsilon}{2}P$, is positive. If $\varepsilon > 0$ is sufficiently small and this event occurs, then $\operatorname{conv} \Pi_{d,\alpha}$ has the same combinatorial type as $P$ (because $P$ is simplicial and hence its combinatorial type is does not change under small perturbations).

2.4. Typical Poisson-Voronoi cell: $\alpha = d$. Let $P_1, P_2, \ldots$ be the points of a Poisson point process on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with constant intensity 1. The Voronoi cell $C_i$ of the point $P_i$ consists of all points $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ that are closer to $P_i$ than to any other point $P_j$ with $j \neq i$. With probability 1, the cells $C_1, C_2, \ldots$ are polytopes with disjoint interiors that form a covering of $\mathbb{R}^d$ which is called the Poisson-Voronoi tessellation. We shall be interested in the typical cell of this tessellation which can be constructed as follows. Take some Borel set $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ with positive but finite volume. Among all cells $C_i$ whose “center” $P_i$ is located in $Q$ (conditioned on the event that there are such cells), pick one cell uniformly at random and shift its center to the origin. The resulting random polytope is called the typical Poisson-Voronoi cell. For our purposes, the following explicit construction is more convenient: the typical Poisson-Voronoi cell has the same distribution as the random polytope
\[ \mathcal{V}_d := \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \|x\| \leq \|x - P_j\| \text{ for all } j \in \mathbb{N} \}. \] (2.10)
In words, $\mathcal{V}_d$ the Voronoi cell of 0 in the Poisson process with an additional atom at 0.

The typical Poisson-Voronoi cell is one of the basic objects in stochastic geometry [48, 38, 7, 32, 37] and has been very much studied at least since the works of Meijering [32], Gilbert [12] and Miles [33]. However, such a basic functional as the expected number of $k$-faces has been known explicitly only in some special cases. It is a classical fact that in dimension $d = 2$, the typical cell is “on average” a hexagon, that is
\[ \mathbb{E}f_0(\mathcal{V}_2) = \mathbb{E}f_1(\mathcal{V}_2) = 6. \]
In the 1953 work, Meijering [32] determined explicitly some basic characteristics of $\mathcal{V}_d$ with $d = 3$ including the expected $f$-vector
\[ \mathbb{E}f_0(\mathcal{V}_3) = \frac{96\pi^2}{35}, \quad \mathbb{E}f_1(\mathcal{V}_3) = \frac{144\pi^2}{35}, \quad \mathbb{E}f_2(\mathcal{V}_3) = 2 + \frac{48\pi^2}{35}. \]
In his 1970 work, Miles [33] stated a formula for \( \mathbb{E}f_0(\mathcal{V}_d) \) which is valid for all \( d \in \mathbb{N} \). A full proof was given by Miles in [34] and can also be found in the work of Møller [36]. In view of the fact that \( \mathcal{V}_d \) is a simple polytope (that is, its dual is simplicial), this gives also a formula for \( 2 \mathbb{E}f_1(\mathcal{V}_d) = d \mathbb{E}f_0(\mathcal{V}_d) \). All the results listed above and many more references can be found in Section 10.2 of the book of Schneider and Weil [48] and in the notes thereafter. Asymptotic results on the expected \( f \)-vectors of \( \mathcal{V}_d \) (and more general Poisson polytopes) as \( d \to \infty \) have been obtained in [17]. Very recently, we described [19] an algorithm which allowed us to compute the expected \( f \)-vector of \( \mathcal{V}_d \) in dimensions \( d \leq 10 \).

Now we are able to derive an explicit formula for the expected \( f \)-vector of \( \mathcal{V}_d \). It is known [17, 26] that \( \mathcal{V}_d \) has the same distribution as the convex dual of \( \Pi_{d,\alpha} \) with \( \alpha = d \), up to scaling. In particular, for the expected \( f \)-vectors of these random polytopes we have duality relation

\[
\mathbb{E}f_{d-k}(\mathcal{V}_d) = \mathbb{E}f_{k-1}(\text{conv } \Pi_{d,d}),
\]

for all \( k \in \{1, \ldots, d\} \). Taking \( \alpha = d \) in Theorem 2.8, we obtain the following explicit formula for the expected \( f \)-vector of the typical Poisson-Voronoi cell.

**Theorem 2.8.** For all \( d \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( k \in \{1, \ldots, d\} \) such that \( dk \) is even, we have

\[
\mathbb{E}f_{d-k}(\mathcal{V}_d) = d^d \binom{d}{k} \left( \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma \left( \frac{d}{2} \right)}{\Gamma \left( \frac{d+1}{2} \right)} \right)^k \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{\left( \int_0^x (\sin y)^{d-1} dy \right)^{d-k}}{(\sin x)^{d+1}} \right]. \tag{2.11}
\]

This gives the complete expected \( f \)-vector of \( \mathcal{V}_d \) if the dimension \( d \) is even. In the case when \( d \) is odd, the theorem identifies only the entries with even codimension, while the remaining entries are uniquely determined by the (dual) Dehn-Sommerville relations; see Section 8. It is also straightforward to obtain an explicit though “ugly” formula by taking \( \alpha = d \) in Proposition 2.2.

The next theorem describes the arithmetic structure of the expected \( f \)-vector of \( \mathcal{V}_d \).

**Theorem 2.9.** Let \( d \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( \ell \in \{0, \ldots, d-1\} \).

(a) If \( d \) is even, then \( \mathbb{E}f_\ell(\mathcal{V}_d) \) is a rational number.

(b) If both \( d \) and \( \ell \) are odd, then \( \mathbb{E}f_\ell(\mathcal{V}_d) \) is a number of the form \( qn^{d-\ell} \) with some rational \( q \).

(c) If \( d \) is odd and \( \ell \) is even, then \( \mathbb{E}f_\ell(\mathcal{V}_d) \) can be expressed as \( q_{d-1} \pi^{d-1} + q_{d-3} \pi^{d-3} + \ldots + q_{d-\ell} - 1 \pi^{d-\ell-1} \), where the coefficients \( q_i \) are rational.

**Proof.** Parts (a) and (c) were established [19], whereas part (b) was conjectured there and is now an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.8 because the residue appearing there is a rational number. \( \square \)

2.5. **Reitzner constants.** Let \( K \subset \mathbb{R}^d \) be a \( d \)-dimensional convex body. Assume that the boundary \( \partial K \) is \( C^2 \)-differentiable and the Gaussian curvature \( \kappa(x) > 0 \) is strictly positive at every point \( x \in \partial K \). Let \( U_1, U_2, \ldots \) be independent random points distributed uniformly in \( K \). Denote the convex hull of \( n \) such points by \( K_{n,d} = [U_1, \ldots, U_n] \). As \( n \to \infty \), the random polytopes \( K_{n,d} \) approximate \( K \) and their asymptotic properties have been very much studied. In the case \( d = 2 \),

\[3\text{Let us sketch the proof. Denote by } H_j \text{ the half-space passing through the point } P_j/2, \text{ orthogonal to the segment } [0, P_j] \text{ and containing the origin. By (2.10), } \mathcal{V}_d \text{ is the intersection of the } H_j \text{'s, } j \in \mathbb{N}. \text{ The dual polytope of } \mathcal{V}_d \text{ is the convex hull of the polars of the hyperplanes bounding the } H_j \text{'s, i.e. of the points } Q_j \text{ obtained by inverting } P_j/2 \text{ w.r.t. the unit sphere. By the transformation property of the Poisson point processes, the points } Q_j \text{ form a Poisson point process whose intensity is easily seen to be of the form } \text{const } \cdot ||x||^{-2d}.\]
Rényi and Sulanke [43, Satz 3] proved that
\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\mathbb{E} f_0(K_{n,2})}{n^{1/3}} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\mathbb{E} f_1(K_{n,2})}{n^{1/3}} = \Gamma \left( \frac{5}{3} \right) \sqrt{2} \frac{1}{3 (\text{Vol}_2(K))^{1/3}} \int_{\partial K} (\kappa(x))^{1/3} \, dx. \]
In the case of arbitrary dimension \( d \in \mathbb{N} \), Reitzner [42, p. 181] proved that for every \( k \in \{0, 1, \ldots, d-1\} \),
\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\mathbb{E} f_k(K_{n,d})}{n^{d/k+1}} = C_{d,k} \frac{\Omega(K)/(\text{Vol}_d(K))^{d/k+1}}{\Omega(\mathbb{B}^d)/(\text{Vol}_d(\mathbb{B}^d))^{d/k+1}}, \tag{2.12} \]
where \( \Omega(K) := \int_{\partial K} (\kappa(x))^{d/k} \, dx \) is the affine surface area of \( K \) and \( C_{d,0}, \ldots, C_{d,d-1} \) are strictly positive constants not depending on \( K \). It seems that so far only the values \( C_{d,d-1} \) and \( C_{d,0} \) have been known [1, Corollary 1 on p. 366], [9, Theorem 3 on p. 231]; see also [19, § 3.4] for a discussion. This yields also the value \( C_{d,d-2} = (d/2) C_{d,d-1} \) since the polytope \( K_{n,d} \) is simplicial. In [26, Remark 1.9], the constant \( C_{d,k} \) was expressed through \( J_{d,k+1}(1/2) \). This was used in [13] to compute \( C_{d,k} \) for all \( d \leq 10 \). Using Theorem [13] we can now state an explicit formula for \( C_{d,k} \), thus answering a question posed by Reitzner [42, p. 181].

**Theorem 2.10.** For all \( d \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( k \in \{0, \ldots, d-1\} \) such that either (i) both \( d \) and \( d-k \) are even or (ii) \( d \) is odd, we have
\[ C_{d,k} = \frac{d^2+1}{d!} \left( \frac{d-1}{d+1} \right)^{d/k+1} \left( \frac{d}{d+1} \right) \left( \Gamma \left( \frac{d+1}{2} \right) \right)^{k+\frac{d}{k+1}} \mathbb{R} \left[ \frac{\int_0^x (\sin y)^d \, dy}{(\sin x)^{d^2+2}} \right]. \]

**Proof.** In [26, Remark 1.9], it has been shown that for all \( d \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( k \in \{0, \ldots, d-1\} \), we have
\[ C_{d,k} = \frac{2\pi d/(d+1)}{(d+1)!} \mathbb{R} \left[ \frac{\int_0^x (\sin y)^d \, dy}{(\sin x)^{d+1}} \right] (d+1)^{d/k+1} \left( \Gamma \left( \frac{d+1}{2} \right) \Gamma \left( \frac{d}{2} \right) \right)^{d/k+1} J_{d,k+1} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right). \tag{2.13} \]
To compute \( J_{d,k+1}(1/2) \) we apply Theorem [1.3] with \( \alpha = n = d \) and \( k+1 \) instead of \( k \). After some cancellations, we obtain the required formula. \( \square \)

Similar analysis can be done for random polytopes whose vertices are uniformly distributed on the sphere. Let \( U_1^*, U_2^*, \ldots \) be independent random points chosen uniformly at random on the unit sphere \( \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \), \( d \geq 2 \). Denote the convex hull of \( n \) such points by \( K_{n,d}^* := [U_1^*, \ldots, U_n^*] \). In [26, Remark 1.9], it has been shown that for all \( k \in \{0, \ldots, d-1\} \),
\[ C_{d,k}^* := \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E} f_k(K_{n,d}^*) = \frac{2^d \pi^{d/2}}{d(d-1)^2} \left( \frac{1 + \frac{d(d-2)}{2}}{\Gamma \left( \frac{d-1}{2} \right)} \Gamma \left( \frac{d+1}{2} \right) \right)^{d-1} J_{d,k+1} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right). \tag{2.14} \]
It seems that previously only the values \( C_{d,d-1}^*, C_{d,d-2} = (d/2) C_{d,d-1}^* \) and \( C_{d,0}^* = 1 \) have been known [1, Corollary 1 on p. 366], [9, p. 231]; see also [19, § 3.5] for a discussion.\footnote{In fact, Reitzner used the slightly different notation \( c_{d,k} = C_{d,k} \cdot (\text{Vol}_d(\mathbb{B}^d))^{d/k}/\Omega(\mathbb{B}^d) \). Note that he assumes that \( \text{Vol}_d(K) = 1 \), which is why additional terms involving the volume appear in (2.12).}
**Theorem 2.11.** For all $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in \{0, \ldots, d-1\}$ such that either (i) both $d$ and $d-k$ are even or (ii) $d$ is odd, we have

$$C^*_{d,k} = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{d} (d-1)^{d-1} \left( \frac{d}{k+1} \right) \left( \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma \left( \frac{d-1}{2} \right)}{\Gamma \left( \frac{k+1}{2} \right)} \right)^k \text{Res}_{x=0} \left[ \frac{\left( \int_0^x (\sin y)^{d-2} dy \right)^{d-k-1}}{(\sin x)^{d^2-2d+2}} \right].$$

**Proof.** Compute $\mathbb{J}_{d,k+1}(\frac{1}{2})$ by Theorem 1.3 with $n = d$, $\alpha = d - 2$ and $k + 1$ instead of $k$. Inserting this value into (2.14), we obtain the claim after straightforward cancellations. \qed

2.6. **Further applications.** Beta and beta’ polytopes are defined as convex hulls of points sampled independently according to a $d$-dimensional beta or beta’ distribution. The results of Sections 1.3 and 1.4 of the present paper, combined with those of [26], yield explicit formulae for the expected $f$-vectors of these polytopes, solving in particular Problem A stated in Section 1.1. Since these formulae are most conveniently stated after introducing some notation, we postpone them to Theorems 7.1 and 7.3. Using [26], it is also possible to compute expected sums of angles of beta and beta’ polytopes. Let us finally mention that there should be further quantities in stochastic geometry which can be expressed in terms of $\mathbb{J}_{n,k}(\beta)$ and $\tilde{\mathbb{J}}_{n,k}(\beta)$, for example the expected $f$-vectors of typical Poisson-Voronoi cells on the sphere or expected face intensities in certain Laguerre tessellations.

3. **Preliminaries.**

The remaining part of the paper is devoted to the proofs of the above results. The only exception is Section 4 where we explain the solution of Problem A. We start by recalling some facts about the expected external angles of beta and beta’ simplices.

3.1. **Expected external angles.** Given a $d$-dimensional simplex $T := [x_1, \ldots, x_n] \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, the normal cone $N(F, T)$ at its face $F := [x_{i_1}, \ldots, x_{i_n}]$ is defined as

$$N(F, T) := \{ y \in \mathbb{R}^d : \langle z + y, x \rangle \leq 0 \text{ for all } x \in T \},$$

where $z$ is any point in $F$ not belonging to a face of smaller dimension. The external angle of $T$ at its face $F$ is then defined as

$$\gamma(F, T) := \frac{\text{Vol}_{d}(\mathbb{B}_r(0) \cap N(F, T))}{\text{Vol}_{d}(\mathbb{B}_r(0))},$$

where $r > 0$ is arbitrary and $\mathbb{B}_r(0)$ is the ball of radius $r$ centered at 0.

The expected internal angles $J_{n,k}(\beta)$ and $\tilde{J}_{n,k}(\beta)$ appeared in [26] together with the quantities $I_{n,k}(\alpha)$ and $\tilde{I}_{n,k}(\alpha)$ that are related to the expected external angles and are defined as follows:

$$I_{n,k}(\alpha) = \int_{-\pi/2}^{+\pi/2} \frac{c_{\alpha k}}{2} (\cos \varphi)^{\alpha k} \left( \int_{-\pi/2}^{\varphi} \frac{c_{\alpha k-1}}{2} (\cos \theta)^{\alpha} d\theta \right)^{n-k} d\varphi, \quad \alpha > -1/k, \quad (3.1)$$

$$\tilde{I}_{n,k}(\alpha) = \int_{-\pi/2}^{+\pi/2} \frac{\tilde{c}_{\alpha k+1}}{2} (\cos \varphi)^{\alpha k-1} \left( \int_{-\pi/2}^{\varphi} \tilde{c}_{\alpha k+2} (\cos \theta)^{\alpha-1} d\theta \right)^{n-k} d\varphi, \quad \alpha > 0. \quad (3.2)$$

They next theorem states that the expected external angles of beta and beta’ simplices can be expressed through $I_{n,k}(\alpha)$ and $\tilde{I}_{n,k}(\alpha)$. It is a special case of Theorems 1.6 and 1.16 in [26].
Theorem 3.1. Let $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ be i.i.d. random points in $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ with beta distribution $f_{n-1, \beta}$, where $\beta \geq -1$. Similarly, let $\tilde{X}_1, \ldots, \tilde{X}_n$ be i.i.d. random points in $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ with beta’ distribution $\tilde{f}_{n-1, \beta}$, where $\beta > \frac{n-1}{2}$. Then, for all $k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$,
\[
\mathbb{E}_{\gamma}([X_1, \ldots, X_k], [X_1, \ldots, X_n]) = I_{n,k}(2\beta + n - 1),
\]
\[
\mathbb{E}_{\gamma}([\tilde{X}_1, \ldots, \tilde{X}_k], [\tilde{X}_1, \ldots, \tilde{X}_n]) = \tilde{I}_{n,k}(2\beta - n + 1).
\]

Since it will be more convenient to work with angle sums rather than with individual angles, let us introduce the quantities
\[
\mathbb{I}_{n,k}(\alpha) := \binom{n}{k} I_{n,k}(\alpha), \quad \mathbb{I}_{n,k}(\alpha) := \binom{n}{k} \tilde{I}_{n,k}(\alpha).
\]

Note that $\mathbb{I}_{n,n}(\alpha) = \mathbb{I}_{n,n}(\alpha) = 1$ (which follows either from (3.2) or from the observation that if all external angles at vertices are shifted to the origin, they fill the whole space and intersect only at their boundaries).

3.2. Relations between internal and external angles. The expected external and internal angles satisfy non-linear relations which will play a crucial role in the following and were obtained in [19] (although the main work had been done in [20]). As explained in [19], these relations can be derived by combining McMullen’s angle-sum relations [30, 31] with the canonical decomposition of beta and beta’ distributions [16].

Proposition 3.2. For all $n \in \{2, 3, \ldots\}$ and $k \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$, the following relations are satisfied:
\[
\sum_{m=k}^{n} (-1)^{m} \mathbb{I}_{n,m}(\alpha) \mathbb{J}_{m,k} \left( \frac{\alpha - m + 1}{2} \right) = 0 \quad \text{for } \alpha \geq n - 3,
\]
\[
\sum_{m=k}^{n} (-1)^{m} \mathbb{I}_{n,m}(\alpha) \mathbb{J}_{m,k} \left( \frac{\alpha + m - 1}{2} \right) = 0 \quad \text{for } \alpha > 0.
\]

An important observation, also made in [19], is that these relations, together with the condition $\mathbb{J}_{n,n}(\beta) = \mathbb{J}_{n,n}(\beta) = 1$ determine the $\mathbb{J}$-quantities uniquely. Precise statements are given in the next two propositions.

Proposition 3.3. Fix some integer $N \geq 2$ and $\alpha \geq N - 3$. Consider the following finite system of linear equations in the unknowns $\xi_{n,k}$, where $n \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$, $k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$:
\[
\begin{cases}
\sum_{m=k}^{n} (-1)^{m-k} \mathbb{I}_{n,m}(\alpha) \xi_{m,k} = 0, & \text{for all } n \in \{1, \ldots, N\}, \, k \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}, \\
\xi_{n,n} = 1, & \text{for all } n \in \{1, \ldots, N\}.
\end{cases}
\]

Then, the unique solution to this system is $\xi_{n,k} = \mathbb{J}_{n,k}(\frac{\alpha - n + 1}{2})$.

Proposition 3.4. Fix some $\alpha > 0$. Consider the following infinite system of linear equations in the unknowns $\tilde{\xi}_{n,k}$, where $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$:
\[
\begin{cases}
\sum_{m=k}^{n} (-1)^{m-k} \mathbb{I}_{n,m}(\alpha) \tilde{\xi}_{m,k} = 0, & \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}, \, k \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}, \\
\tilde{\xi}_{n,n} = 1, & \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.
\end{cases}
\]

Then, the unique solution to this system is $\tilde{\xi}_{n,k} = \mathbb{J}_{n,k}(\frac{\alpha + n - 1}{2})$. 


Both propositions can be conveniently stated in matrix form. For example, Proposition 3.3 states that the following lower-triangular matrices are inverse to each other:

\[
((-1)^m I_{n,m}(\alpha))_{1 \leq n,m \leq N} \quad \text{and} \quad \left((-1)^m J_{n,m}\left(\frac{\alpha - n + 1}{2}\right)\right)_{1 \leq n,m \leq N}.
\]

For both matrices, we agree to define the elements above the main diagonal to be 0. Thus, our task is “just” to invert a lower-triangular matrix. Of course, this can be done sequentially, row by row. This approach has been exploited in [19]. Although it allows to compute any entry of the inverse matrix in finitely many steps, it does not lead to a satisfactory general formula and, moreover, fails to explain the arithmetic properties of the entries of the inverse matrix; see [19]. The most difficult problem solved in this paper is guessing the solutions to (3.6) and (3.7) (which is much harder than proving that the guess is indeed correct).

3.3. **How to guess the solution.** To get some impression on how the solution may look like, we look at the case \(\beta = +\infty\) which, as we shall explain, is closely related to the angles of the regular simplex.

3.3.1. **The regular simplex and the Gaussian simplex.** The regular simplex with \(n\) vertices is defined as \([e_1, \ldots, e_n]\), where \(e_1, \ldots, e_n\) is the standard orthonormal basis in \(\mathbb{R}^n\). Both external and internal angles of the regular simplex are known explicitly; see [16] and [43] for external angles and [44, Section 4] (where the method used was attributed to H. E. Daniels) as well as [50, Lemma 4] for internal angles. We state these formulae in the form given in [23, Proposition 1.7]:

\[
\gamma(n, k) := \gamma([e_1, \ldots, e_k], [e_1, \ldots, e_n]) = g_{n-k} \left(\frac{1}{k}\right),
\]

\[
\beta(n, k) := \beta([e_1, \ldots, e_k], [e_1, \ldots, e_n]) = g_{n-k} \left(-\frac{1}{n}\right),
\]

where \(g_m : [-1/m, \infty] \to [0, 1]\) is a real-analytic function given by

\[
g_m(r) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi^m(\sqrt{r}x)e^{-x^2/2}dx.
\]

Here, \(\Phi(y)\) is the standard Gaussian distribution function (which can be analytically continued to the entire complex plane), and we use the convention \(\sqrt{r} = i\sqrt{-r}\) for \(r < 0\). An interesting consequence of (3.8) and (3.9) is that, on the formal level, we have the “reciprocity law”

\[
\beta(n, k) = \gamma(-k, -n).
\]

The Gaussian simplex is the random simplex \([X_1, \ldots, X_n]\), where \(X_1, \ldots, X_n\) are independent random points having the standard Gaussian random distribution on \(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\). It is known that both internal and external angles of the Gaussian simplex coincide, on average, with the corresponding expected angles of the regular simplex [24, 22]. More precisely, for all \(k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}\) we have

\[
\mathbb{E}\gamma([X_1, \ldots, X_k], [X_1, \ldots, X_n]) = \gamma(n, k), \quad \mathbb{E}\beta([X_1, \ldots, X_k], [X_1, \ldots, X_n]) = \beta(n, k).
\]

On the other hand, both the beta and the beta’ distribution, when appropriately rescaled, weakly converge to the standard Gaussian distribution as \(\beta \to +\infty\). Since the rescaling does not change angles, the continuous mapping theorem implies that

\[
I_{n,k}(+\infty) := \lim_{\beta \uparrow +\infty} I_{n,k}(\beta) = \gamma(n, k), \quad J_{n,k}(+\infty) := \lim_{\beta \uparrow +\infty} J_{n,k}(\beta) = \beta(n, k),
\]
and similarly for $\tilde{I}_{n,k}(+\infty)$ and $\tilde{J}_{n,k}(+\infty)$. Summarizing, we have the “reciprocity law”

$$J_{n,k}(+\infty) = I_{-k,-n}(+\infty),$$

where the right-hand side has to be understood in the sense of analytic continuation.

### 3.3.2. Stirling numbers.

Relations very similar to (3.6) and (3.7) hold for Stirling numbers of the first and second kind denoted by $\left[\begin{array}{c}n \\ k\end{array}\right]$ and $\left\{\begin{array}{c}n \\ k\end{array}\right\}$, respectively. Namely, it is well known [13, p.250] that

$$\sum_{m=k}^{n} (-1)^{n-m} \left[\begin{array}{c}n \\ m\end{array}\right] \left\{\begin{array}{c}m \\ k\end{array}\right\} = \sum_{m=k}^{n} (-1)^{n-m} \left[\begin{array}{c}n \\ m\end{array}\right] \left\{\begin{array}{c}m \\ k\end{array}\right\} = \delta_{nk},$$

(3.11)

On the other hand, there is a natural definition of Stirling numbers for negative $n$ and $k$, see [29], such that the following reciprocity relation holds:

$$\left\{\begin{array}{c}n \\ k\end{array}\right\} = \left[\begin{array}{c}n \\ k\end{array}\right]^{(-k),(-n)} \left\{\begin{array}{c}n \\ k\end{array}\right\},$$

(3.12)

assuming that $I_{n,k}(\alpha)$ is the analogue of $\left\{\begin{array}{c}n \\ k\end{array}\right\}$, while $J_{n,k}(\frac{\alpha-n+1}{2})$ is the analogue of $\left[\begin{array}{c}n \\ k\end{array}\right]$. Equation (3.12) suggests that we should have something like

$$J_{n,k}\left(\frac{\alpha-n+1}{2}\right) = \delta_{nk},$$

(3.13)

In fact, things are more complicated. Attempting to guess the formula for $J_{n,k}(\beta)$ we constructed an analytic continuation of $I_{n,k}(\alpha)$ to complex values of $n$ and $k$. It turned out that some version of (3.13) is indeed valid, but it requires additional assumptions on $\alpha$. To avoid unnecessary assumptions on $\alpha$, we shall refrain from constructing the analytic continuation here and use certain closely related quantity instead. The question of how the true analytic continuation is related to the substitute used here will be studied elsewhere.

### 3.4. Outline of the proofs.

We start by presenting the proof of Theorem 2.6 in Section 4. On the one hand, this proof, being purely combinatorial, is easy compared to the proof of the general Theorems 1.2, 1.3, 1.7, 1.8. On the other hand, some of the ideas of this argument will be important for the proof in the general case.

The proof of Theorem 1.2 will be given in Section 5. It is based on Proposition 3.3 and otherwise does not use any stochastic geometry. The proof is structured as follows.

1. We define certain quantities called $b_{\nu,\kappa}$ that are related to the expected external angle sums $I_{n,k}(\alpha)$.
2. We prove that these quantities satisfy certain recurrence relations which are somewhat reminiscent of the relations satisfied by the Stirling numbers.
3. We define another set of quantities, called $a_{\nu,\kappa}$, which satisfy the same recurrence relations as the quantities $b_{\{-\kappa, -\nu\}}$ would satisfy if they would exist.
4. Using both sets of recurrence relations, we prove that certain matrices constructed out of $b_{\nu,\kappa}$ and $a_{\nu,\kappa}$ are inverse to each other.
5. We construct a solution to the system of equations stated in Proposition 3.3 in terms of $a_{\nu,\kappa}$, thus identifying $J_{n,k}(\frac{\alpha-n+1}{2})$.

The remaining results in the beta case can be deduced from Theorem 1.2 by residue calculus and other standard methods. The proofs in the beta’ case are very similar and will be sketched in Section 6.
3.5. Notational conventions. We use the letters $\nu, \mu, \kappa$ to denote variables that are similar to $n, m, k$ but need not be integer. If $a[\nu, \kappa], b[\nu, \kappa], F(x), \ldots$ denote some quantities related to beta simplices, then $\tilde{a}[\nu, \kappa], \tilde{b}[\nu, \kappa], \tilde{F}(x), \ldots$ denote similar quantities related to beta' simplices. Record for future use the standard integrals

$$\int_{-\pi/2}^{+\pi/2} (\cos x)^h dx = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (\cosh y)^{-h} dy = \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma \left( \frac{h}{2} \right)}{\Gamma \left( \frac{h+1}{2} \right)} = \frac{1}{c_{h-2}} = \frac{1}{\tilde{c}_{h+1}}, \quad \text{Re } h > 0. \quad (3.14)$$

The first integral can be reduced to the beta function, whereas the second one can be reduced to the first one by the substitution $y = \arcsinh \tanh x$.

4. The simplest case: Proof of Theorem 2.6

4.1. Proof of Theorem 2.6. Our aim is to show that for all $n, m, k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ we have

$$(2n)_n \tilde{I}_{n,k} \left( \frac{n+1}{2} \right) = \binom{n}{k} (n+k) - \binom{n-2}{k} \left( n-2+k \right). \quad (4.1)$$

Recalling Proposition 3.4 and taking $\alpha = 2$ there, consider the following system of linear equations in the unknown quantities $\tilde{\xi}_{n,k}$, where $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$:

\begin{align*}
\sum_{m=k}^{n} (-1)^{n-k} \tilde{I}_{n,m}(2) \tilde{\xi}_{m,k} &= 0, \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}, \; k \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}, \\
\tilde{\xi}_{n,n} &= 1, \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.
\end{align*}

By (3.2), (3.3) and the Legendre duplication formula, the coefficients of these equations are given by the formula

$$\tilde{I}_{n,k}(2) = \binom{2k}{k} n! \frac{1}{2^{n+k} (n-k)!(k-1)!} \int_{-\pi/2}^{+\pi/2} (\cos x)^{2k-1} (1 + \sin x)^{n-k} dx.$$ 

Proposition 3.4 states that

$$\tilde{\xi}_{n,k} = \tilde{I}_{n,k} \left( \frac{n+1}{2} \right)$$

is the unique solution to this system. To prove (4.1) it therefore suffices to check that

$$\tilde{\xi}_{n,k} = \binom{2n}{n}^{-1} \left( \binom{n}{k} (n+k) - \binom{n-2}{k} \left( n-2+k \right) \right)$$

defines a solution to (4.2). To this end, we introduce triangular arrays $\tilde{a}[n, k]$ and $\tilde{b}\{n, k\}$ as follows:

$$\tilde{a}[n, k] := \binom{n}{k} (n+k) \frac{k!}{4^k} = \frac{(n+k)!}{4^k (n-k)! k!}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \; k \in \{0, \ldots, n\}, \quad (4.3)$$

$$\tilde{b}\{n, k\} := \frac{2^{2n-k}}{(n-k)!(k+1)!} \int_{-\pi/2}^{+\pi/2} (\cos x)^{2k-1} (1 + \sin x)^{n-k} dx$$

$$= \frac{2^{2n-1} (n-1)!}{(n-k)!(n+k+1)!}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \; k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}. \quad (4.4)$$

The integral in the definition of $\tilde{b}\{n, k\}$ can be evaluated by the substitution $x = -\frac{\pi}{2} + 2y$ which reduces to the well-known $[51, 12.42]$ formula

$$\int_0^{\pi/2} (\cos y)^{2k-1} (\sin y)^{2n-1} dy = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Gamma(n) \Gamma(k)}{\Gamma(n+k)} \cdot$$
We also put $\tilde{a}[n, k] := \tilde{b}\{n, k\} := 0$ if $k > n$. With this notation,

$$\tilde{\xi}_{n,k}(2) = \frac{n!}{2^n} \binom{2k}{k} \tilde{b}\{n, k\}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \ k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}. $$

We claim that

$$\tilde{\xi}_{n,k} = \frac{4^k}{k!} \binom{2n}{n}^{-1} (\tilde{a}[n, k] - \tilde{a}[n - 2, k]), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \ k \in \{1, \ldots, n\},$$

defines a solution to \((4.2)\). Using \((4.3)\) it is trivial to check that $\tilde{\xi}_{n,n} = 1$, and it remains to show that

$$\sum_{m=k}^{n} (-1)^{m-k} \left( \frac{n!}{2^m m} \tilde{b}\{n, m\} \right) \left( \frac{4^k}{k!} \binom{2m}{m}^{-1} (\tilde{a}[m, k] - \tilde{a}[m - 2, k]) \right) = 0$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in \{1, \ldots, n - 1\}$. After some cancellations, the identity simplifies to

$$\tilde{s}_{n-k}(k) := \sum_{m=k}^{n} (-1)^{m-k} \tilde{b}\{n, m\} (\tilde{a}[m, k] - \tilde{a}[m - 2, k]) = 0, \quad (4.5)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in \{1, \ldots, n - 1\}$. The proof of this identity is based on the following recurrence relations for $\tilde{a}[n, k]$ and $\tilde{b}\{n, k\}$:

$$\tilde{a}[n, k] - \tilde{a}[n - 2, k] = \left(n - \frac{1}{2}\right) \tilde{a}[n - 1, k - 1], \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \ k \in \{0, \ldots, n\}, \quad (4.6)$$

$$\tilde{b}\{n, k + 2\} - \tilde{b}\{n, k\} = -\left(\frac{1}{2} + k\right) \tilde{b}\{n + 1, k + 1\}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \ k \in \{0, \ldots, n\}. \quad (4.7)$$

Here, we put $\tilde{a}[n, -1] := 0$ and $\tilde{a}[0, -1] = 2$, as well as $\tilde{b}\{n, 0\} := \tilde{b}\{n, 1\} = 2^{2n-1}/n!$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, which is quite natural in view of \((4.4)\). Verifying both relations is an easy exercise. Let $n \geq 2$ and $k \in \{1, \ldots, n - 1\}$. Using first \((4.6)\) and then \((4.7)\), we obtain

$$\tilde{s}_{n-k}(k) = \sum_{m=k}^{n} (-1)^{m-k} \tilde{b}\{n, m\} (\tilde{a}[m, k] - \tilde{a}[m - 2, k])$$

$$= \sum_{m=k}^{n} (-1)^{m-k} \tilde{b}\{n, m\} \left(m - \frac{1}{2}\right) \tilde{a}[m - 1, k - 1]$$

$$= -\sum_{m=k}^{n} (-1)^{m-k} (\tilde{b}\{n - 1, m + 1\} - \tilde{b}\{n - 1, m - 1\}) \tilde{a}[m - 1, k - 1]$$

$$= \sum_{m=k}^{n} (-1)^{m-k} \tilde{b}\{n - 1, m - 1\} \tilde{a}[m - 1, k - 1] - \sum_{m=k}^{n+2} (-1)^{m-k} \tilde{b}\{n - 1, m - 1\} \tilde{a}[m - 3, k - 1].$$

After shifting the summation index in the second sum, we obtain

$$\tilde{s}_{n-k}(k) = \sum_{m=k}^{n} (-1)^{m-k} \tilde{b}\{n - 1, m - 1\} \tilde{a}[m - 1, k - 1] - \sum_{m=k+2}^{n+2} (-1)^{m-k} \tilde{b}\{n - 1, m - 1\} \tilde{a}[m - 3, k - 1]$$

$$= \sum_{m=k}^{n} (-1)^{m-k} \tilde{b}\{n - 1, m - 1\} \tilde{a}[m - 1, k - 1] - \sum_{m=k}^{n} (-1)^{m-k} \tilde{b}\{n - 1, m - 1\} \tilde{a}[m - 3, k - 1],$$
where the last identity holds because the terms in the second sum vanish for \( m \in \{k, k + 1, n + 1, n + 2\} \). Finally, taking both sums together and shifting the summation index one more time, we arrive at

\[
\tilde{s}_{n-k}(k) = \sum_{m=k}^{n} (-1)^{m-k} \tilde{b}\{n-1, m-1\}(\tilde{a}[m-1, k-1] - \tilde{a}[m-3, k-1])
\]

\[
= \sum_{m=k-1}^{n-1} (-1)^{m-k+1} \tilde{b}\{n-1, m\}(\tilde{a}[m, k-1] - \tilde{a}[m-2, k-1])
\]

\[
= \tilde{s}_{n-k}(k-1).
\]

Iterating this \( k \) times, we obtain

\[
\tilde{s}_{n-k}(k) = \tilde{s}_{n-k}(0), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0, \ n \geq k.
\]

To complete the proof, it remains to check that \( \tilde{s}_r(0) = 0 \) for every \( r \in \mathbb{N} \). But this is trivial since \( \tilde{a}[m, 0] = \tilde{a}[m-2, 0] = 1 \) for \( m \geq 2 \) and hence

\[
\tilde{s}_r(0) = \sum_{m=0}^{r} (-1)^m \tilde{b}\{r, m\}(\tilde{a}[m, 0] - \tilde{a}[m-2, 0]) = \tilde{b}\{r, 0\} \tilde{a}[0, 0] - \tilde{b}\{r, 1\} \tilde{a}[1, 0] = 0,
\]

where we recall that \( \tilde{a}[0, 0] = \tilde{a}[1, 0] = 1 \) and \( \tilde{b}\{r, 0\} = \tilde{b}\{r, 1\} = 2^{2r-1}/r! \). The proof of Theorem 2.6 is complete.

Let us record for future reference the following

**Proposition 4.1.** Let \( \tilde{a}[n, k] \) and \( \tilde{b}\{n, k\} \) be defined by (4.3) and (4.4). Then, for every \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( k \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \) we have

\[
\sum_{m=k}^{n} (-1)^m \tilde{b}\{n, m\} \left( m - \frac{1}{2} \right) \tilde{a}[m-1, k-1] = \delta_{nk}, \tag{4.8}
\]

where \( \delta_{nk} \) denotes Kronecker’s delta function. With other words, the infinite lower-triangular matrices \( ((-1)^m \tilde{b}\{n, m\})_{m, n} \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( ((-1)^k (m - \frac{1}{2}) \tilde{a}[m-1, k-1])_{m, k} \in \mathbb{N} \) are inverse to each other.

**Proof.** In view of (4.6), the left-hand side equals \( \tilde{s}_{n-k}(k) \), the sum defined in (4.5). For \( k < n \) we have already shown that \( \tilde{s}_{n-k}(k) = 0 \). Let us verify that \( \tilde{s}_0(k) = 1 \) for all \( k \in \mathbb{N} \). Indeed,

\[
\tilde{s}_0(k) = \tilde{b}\{k, k\} \tilde{a}[k, k] = \frac{2^{2k-1}(k-1)!}{(2k-1)!} \cdot \frac{(2k)!}{4^k k!} = 1,
\]

where we used (4.4) and (4.3). \( \square \)

**Remark 4.2.** The above proof would not be possible without the pre-knowledge of the final formula for \( \mathcal{J}_{n,k}(\frac{n+1}{2}) \) stated in (4.1). In fact, we proceeded as follows. Using computer algebra, we calculated the solution \( \tilde{\xi}_{n,k} \) to (4.2) for small \( n \) and then guessed (4.1) using the Online Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences (OEIS) [49]. In a similar way, it is also possible to guess \( \mathcal{J}_{n,k}(\frac{n}{2}) \) (which was done in [21]; see Section 2.2). As we shall see below, these two cases are distinguished by a very special property making them easy compared to the general case.
5. Proofs in the beta case

5.1. Recurrence relations for external quantities. Fix some $\alpha > 0$ once and for all. Most functions we shall introduce depend on $\alpha$, although this dependence is usually suppressed in our notation. Define

$$F(x) = \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} (\cos y)^{\alpha} dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (5.1)$$

Later, we shall need an extension of this definition to complex $x$. There are no problems if $\alpha$ is integer, but for non-integer $\alpha$ the function $(\cos x)^\alpha$ has branch points located at $\frac{\pi}{2} + \pi n$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. In order to define $F$ as a univalued analytic function we agree to cut the complex plane at $(-\infty, -\frac{\pi}{2}]$ and $[+\frac{\pi}{2}, \infty)$. In (5.1), we integrate along any contour which connects $-\frac{\pi}{2}$ to $x$ and stays in the doubly slit plane.

Next we are going to introduce quantities which are related to the expected external angles. For $\nu, \kappa \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\text{Re} \, \kappa > \frac{1}{\alpha}$ and $\nu - \kappa \in \mathbb{N}_0$ we define

$$b\{\nu, \kappa\} := \frac{\alpha^{\nu-\kappa}}{(\nu - \kappa)!} \int_{-\pi/2}^{+\pi/2} (\cos x)^{\alpha \kappa} (F(x))^{\nu - \kappa} \, dx. \quad (5.2)$$

By convention, we also put $b\{\nu, \kappa\} = 0$ if $\nu - \kappa \in \{-1, -2, \ldots\}$. The expected external angles of beta simplices can be expressed through the $b\{\nu, \kappa\}$’s since by [5.1] and [5.3],

$$\mathbb{I}_{n,k}(\alpha) = \frac{n}{k} c_{\alpha - 1} c_{\frac{n - k}{2}} \int_{-\pi/2}^{+\pi/2} (\cos x)^{\alpha k} (F(x))^{n - k} \, dx$$

$$= \frac{n}{k} c_{\alpha - 1} c_{\frac{n - k}{2}} \cdot \frac{(n - k)!}{\alpha^{n - k}} b\{n, k\}$$

$$= \alpha^{-n} n! c_{\alpha - 1} c_{\frac{n}{2}} \cdot \frac{c_{\alpha - 1}}{c_{\frac{n}{2}} k!} \cdot b\{n, k\}. \quad (5.3)$$

The quantities $b\{\nu, \kappa\}$ satisfy a recurrence relation which will be crucial for what follows.

**Proposition 5.1.** For $\nu, \kappa \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\text{Re} \, \kappa > \frac{1}{\alpha}$ and $\nu - \kappa \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we have

$$b\{\nu, \kappa + 2\} + (\kappa + 1) \nu b\{\nu, \kappa\} = \left( \kappa - \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) (\kappa + 1) b\left\{ \nu - \frac{2}{\alpha}, \kappa - \frac{2}{\alpha} \right\}. \quad (5.4)$$

**Proof.** Let us first give the proof assuming that $\nu - \kappa \in \{2, 3, \ldots\}$. Writing $d(F(x))^{\nu - \kappa - 1} = (\nu - \kappa - 1)(F(x))^{\nu - \kappa - 2}(\cos x)^{\alpha} \, dx$ and integrating by parts, we obtain

$$b\{\nu, \kappa + 2\} = \frac{\alpha^{\nu - \kappa - 2}}{(\nu - \kappa - 1)!} \int_{-\pi/2}^{+\pi/2} (\cos x)^{\alpha k + \alpha} d(F(x))^{\nu - \kappa - 1}$$

$$= \frac{(\kappa + 1)\alpha^{\nu - \kappa - 1}}{(\nu - \kappa - 1)!} \int_{-\pi/2}^{+\pi/2} (F(x))^{\nu - \kappa - 1} (\cos x)^{\alpha k + \alpha - 1} (\sin x) \, dx.$$
After having tried this approach out, we convinced ourselves that it works only under certain restrictions on $\alpha$. Then, one could conjecture that the expected internal angles can be expressed through

$$\text{Recurrence relations for internal quantities.}$$

In view of what has been said in Section 3.3, it would be natural to proceed as follows. First, construct a meromorphic continuation of $b\{\nu, \kappa\}$, considered as a function of $\kappa$ with fixed $r := \nu - \kappa \in \mathbb{N}_0$, to the whole complex plane. For example, in the cases $r = 0$ and $r = 1$ the meromorphic continuation was already given in (5.7) and (5.8).

Introduce the quantities

$$a_1[\nu, \kappa] := b\{-\kappa, -\nu\}.$$ 

Then, one could conjecture that the expected internal angles can be expressed through $a_1[\nu, \kappa]$. After having tried this approach out, we convinced ourselves that it works only under certain restrictions on $\alpha$ (namely, one has to assume that $\alpha$ is integer and that certain parity assumptions
The boundary terms in the partial integration formula vanish since \( \Re \alpha > 0 \), we refrain from presenting the details of meromorphic continuation of \( b(\nu, \kappa) \) here and proceed in a slightly different way. For \( \nu, \kappa \in \mathbb{C} \) such that \( \nu - \kappa \in \mathbb{N}_0 \) and \( \Re \kappa > 0 \) we define

\[
a[\nu, \kappa] := \frac{\alpha^{\nu-\kappa+1}}{(\nu - \kappa)!} \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-i\infty}^{+i\infty} (\cos x)^{-\alpha \nu} (F(x))^{\nu - \kappa} \, dx. \tag{5.9}
\]

Parametrizing the contour of integration by \( x = iu \) with \( u \in \mathbb{R} \), we can equivalently write

\[
a[\nu, \kappa] = \frac{\alpha^{\nu-\kappa+1}}{(\nu - \kappa)!} \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (\cosh u)^{-\alpha \nu} (F(iu))^{\nu - \kappa} \, du. \tag{5.10}
\]

Our conditions on \( \nu \) and \( \kappa \) ensure that this integral converges absolutely. Indeed, using (5.1) and the L'Hospital rule it is easy to check that

\[
F(iu) = \frac{i}{\alpha 2\pi} (\text{sgn} u) e^{\alpha |u|} (1 + o(1)), \quad \text{as } u \to \pm \infty. \tag{5.11}
\]

We also put \( a[\nu, \kappa] = 0 \) if \( \nu - \kappa \in \{-1, -2, \ldots\} \). The quantities \( a[\nu, \kappa] \) satisfy recurrence relations which are, in some sense, dual to those satisfied by \( b(\nu, \kappa) \).

**Proposition 5.2.** For \( \nu, \kappa \in \mathbb{C} \) such that \( \nu - \kappa \in \mathbb{N}_0 \) and \( \Re \kappa > 0 \), we have

\[
a[\nu - 2, \kappa] + (\nu - 1) \nu a[\nu, \kappa] = \left( \nu + \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) (\nu - 1) a \left[ \nu + \frac{2}{\alpha}, \kappa + \frac{2}{\alpha} \right]. \tag{5.12}
\]

**Remark 5.3.** Comparing the definitions of \( b(\nu, \kappa) \) and \( a[\nu, \kappa] \) given in (5.2) and (5.9), we detect only the following three differences. Firstly, the integrand in (5.2) turns into the integrand in (5.9) if we replace \( (\nu, \kappa) \) by \( (-\kappa, -\nu) \). Secondly, the integration contours are different. Finally, there is an additional factor of \( \alpha/(2\pi i) \) in (5.9), but it does not influence the recurrence relation. Since the shape of the integration contour is completely irrelevant for the proof Proposition 5.1 provided we can check that the boundary terms in the partial integration formula vanish, the recurrence relation for \( a[\nu, \kappa] \) has the same form as the recurrence relation for \( b(-\kappa, -\nu) \).

**Proof of Proposition 5.2.** Let us first assume that \( \nu - \kappa \in \{2, 3, \ldots\} \). Writing \( d(F(x))^{\nu - \kappa - 1} = (\nu - \kappa - 1)(F(x))^{\nu - \kappa - 2} (\cos x)^0 \, dx \) and using integration by parts, we obtain

\[
a[\nu - 2, \kappa] = \frac{\alpha^{\nu-\kappa-1}}{(\nu - \kappa - 1)!} \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (\cos x)^{-\alpha \nu + \alpha} d(F(x))^{\nu - \kappa - 1} = -\frac{(\nu - 1)\alpha^{\nu-\kappa}}{(\nu - \kappa - 1)!} \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (F(x))^{\nu - \kappa - 1} (\cos x)^{-\alpha \nu + \alpha - 1} (\sin x) \, dx.
\]

The boundary terms in the partial integration formula vanish since \( \Re \kappa > 0 \); see (5.11). Writing \( d(F(x))^{\nu - \kappa} = (\nu - \kappa)(F(x))^{\nu - \kappa - 1} (\cos x)^0 \, dx \) and again integrating by parts, we obtain

\[
a[\nu - 2, \kappa] = -\frac{(\nu - 1)\alpha^{\nu-\kappa}}{(\nu - \kappa)!} \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (\cos x)^{-\alpha \nu - 1} (\sin x) d(F(x))^{\nu - \kappa}
\]

\[
= \frac{(\nu - 1)\alpha^{\nu-\kappa}}{(\nu - \kappa)!} \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (F(x))^{\nu - \kappa} ((\cos x)^{-\alpha \nu - 1} \sin x) \, dx
\]

\[
= \frac{(\nu - 1)\alpha^{\nu-\kappa}}{(\nu - \kappa)!} \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (F(x))^{\nu - \kappa} \ ((\alpha \nu + 1)(\cos x)^{-\alpha \nu - 2} \sin^2 x + (\cos x)^{-\alpha \nu}) \, dx.
\]
Again, the boundary terms in partial integration vanish since \( \Re \kappa > 0 \); see (5.11). Using the identity \( \sin^2 x = 1 - \cos^2 x \) we can write
\[
a[\nu - 2, \kappa] = \frac{(\nu - 1)\alpha^{\nu-\kappa}}{\nu - \kappa)!} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+i\infty} (F(x))^{\nu-\kappa}(\alpha \nu + 1)(\cos x)^{-\alpha \nu - 2} dx \\
- \frac{(\nu - 1)\alpha^{\nu-\kappa}}{\nu - \kappa)!} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-i\infty}^{+\infty} (F(x))^{\nu-\kappa}(\alpha \nu)(\cos x)^{-\alpha \nu} dx.
\]
Recalling the definition of \( a[\nu, \kappa] \), we arrive at
\[
a[\nu - 2, \kappa] = \left( \nu + \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) (\nu - 1) a \left[ \nu + \frac{2}{\alpha}, \kappa + \frac{2}{\alpha} \right] - (\nu - 1)\nu a[\nu, \kappa],
\]
which completes the proof if \( \nu - \kappa \in \{2, 3, \ldots\} \). It remains to consider the cases \( \nu = \kappa \) and \( \nu = \kappa + 1 \).

The identities we need to prove take the form
\[
k a[\kappa, \kappa] = \left( \kappa + \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) a \left[ \kappa + \frac{2}{\alpha}, \kappa + \frac{2}{\alpha} \right], \quad \text{(5.13)}
\]
\[
(\kappa + 1) a[\kappa + 1, \kappa] = \left( \kappa + \frac{1}{\alpha} + 1 \right) a \left[ \kappa + \frac{2}{\alpha} + 1, \kappa + \frac{2}{\alpha} \right]. \quad \text{(5.14)}
\]

To prove (5.13) observe that by (5.10) and (3.14),
\[
a[\kappa, \kappa] = \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (\cosh u)^{-\alpha \kappa} du = \frac{\alpha}{2} \cdot \frac{\Gamma \left( \frac{\alpha \kappa}{2} \right)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma \left( \frac{\alpha \kappa + 1}{2} \right)}.
\]

Identity (5.14) follows from the formula
\[
a[\kappa + 1, \kappa] = \frac{\alpha}{2} \cdot \frac{\Gamma \left( \frac{\alpha + 1}{2} \right)}{\Gamma \left( \frac{\alpha}{2} \right)} \cdot \frac{\Gamma \left( \frac{\alpha \kappa + \alpha}{2} \right)}{\Gamma \left( \frac{\alpha \kappa + \alpha + 1}{2} \right)},
\]
which can be obtained as follows. Observe that \( F(iu) = F(-iu) \) and \( \Re F(iu) = F(0) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma \left( \frac{\alpha + 1}{2} \right)}{\alpha F(\frac{\alpha}{2})} \).

Hence,
\[
a[\kappa + 1, \kappa] = \frac{\alpha^2}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (\cosh u)^{-\alpha \kappa - \alpha} F(iu) du = \frac{\alpha^2}{2\pi} F(0) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (\cosh u)^{-\alpha \kappa - \alpha} du,
\]
and the claim follows. \( \square \)

5.3. **Periodicity.** Fix some \( r \in \mathbb{N}_0 \) and consider the expression
\[
s_r(\kappa) := \sum_{\mu=\kappa}^{\kappa+r} (-1)^{\mu-\kappa} b_{\{\kappa + r, \mu\}} \left( \mu + \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) a \left[ \mu + \frac{2}{\alpha}, \kappa + \frac{2}{\alpha} \right]. \quad \text{(5.15)}
\]

By convention, the sum is taken over \( \mu \in \{\kappa, \kappa + 1, \ldots, \kappa + r\} \). The involved \( b \)- and \( a \)-terms are well-defined if assume that \( \Re \kappa > -\frac{1}{\alpha} \). In this range, \( s_r(\kappa) \) is an analytic function of \( \kappa \). Our aim is to prove that \( s_r(\kappa) = 0 \), for all \( r \in \mathbb{N} \). As a first step, we prove that \( s_r \) is a periodic function.

**Proposition 5.4.** Let \( \alpha > 0 \) and \( r \in \mathbb{N}_0 \). Then, for every \( \kappa \in \mathbb{C} \) with \( \Re \kappa > \frac{1}{\alpha} \), we have
\[
s_r(\kappa) = s_r \left( \kappa - \frac{2}{\alpha} \right).
\]
Applying to the b-
Replacing µ-
Writing To the first sum we add two vanishing terms and subtract two vanishing terms as follows:

\[
\text{In view of (5.18), we can write (5.19) as}
\]

\[
\text{With this notation, the recurrence relations of Propositions 5.1 and 5.2 take the form}
\]

\[
\text{stated in Propositions 5.1 and 5.2. It will be convenient to work with the quantities}
\]

\[
\text{Proof. The only properties of } a \text{ and } b \text{ we shall rely on in this proof are the recurrence relations stated in Propositions 5.1 and 5.2. It will be convenient to work with the quantities}
\]

\[
\text{With this notation, the recurrence relations of Propositions 5.1 and 5.2 take the form}
\]

\[
\text{The definition of } s_r(\kappa) \text{ takes the form}
\]

\[
\text{In view of (5.18), we can write (5.19) as}
\]

\[
\text{We now regroup the terms by applying Abel’s partial summation. Splitting the sum into two sums and introducing in the first sum the new index of summation } \mu' := \mu - 2, \text{ we obtain}
\]

\[
\text{To the first sum we add two vanishing terms and subtract two vanishing terms as follows:}
\]

\[
\text{Replacing } \mu' \text{ by } \mu \text{ and taking the sums together, we obtain}
\]

\[
\text{Applying to the } b\text{-terms Relation (5.17), we obtain}
\]

\[
\text{Writing } \mu^* := \mu - \frac{2}{\alpha} \text{ we finally arrive at}
\]

\[
\text{In view of (5.19), the right-hand side equals } s_r(\kappa - \frac{2}{\alpha}), \text{ which completes the proof.} \quad \square
Lemma 5.7. Fix some $\alpha$. Unfortunately, in the setting of beta simplices, the values $T$ go to $+\infty$.

Lemma 5.8. Fix some $\phi$. The second derivative is $J$.

The standard Laplace asymptotics, see, e.g., [10, Theorem B.7 on p.758], yields

Remark 5.6. If $\alpha = 1$ or $\alpha = 2$, Proposition 5.4 can be used to express $s_r(k)$ (for $k \in \mathbb{N}$) through $s_r(0)$ or $s_r(1)$. In the setting of beta' simplices, this was the way we obtained combinatorial formulae for $\mathbb{J}_{n,k}(\alpha, n, \frac{1}{2})$ in Section 4.1 (for $\alpha = 2$) and in [21] (for $\alpha = 1$, see also Section 2.2).

Remark 5.5. Although we shall not need this, let us mention that the same argument, with trivial modifications, shows that the unsigned version of $s_r(\kappa)$ defined by

$s_r^+(\kappa) := \sum_{\mu=\kappa}^{\kappa+r} b\{\kappa + r, \mu\} \left(\frac{\kappa + 1}{\alpha}\right) a \left(\frac{\mu + 2}{\alpha}, \kappa + \frac{2}{\alpha}\right)$

is also periodic, namely

$s_r^+(\kappa) = s_r^+(\kappa - \frac{2}{\alpha})$.

5.4. Asymptotics. Our aim is now to prove that $s_r(\kappa) = 0$ for all $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}$ with Re $\kappa > -\frac{1}{\alpha}$. We observe that Proposition 5.4 implies that for every $T \in \mathbb{N}$,

$s_r(\kappa) = s_r\left(\kappa + \frac{2}{\alpha} T\right)$.

(5.20)

Now, we are going to let $T \to +\infty$ and prove that the limit of the right-hand side is 0. To this end, we need to investigate the asymptotic properties of $b\{\nu, \kappa\}$ and $a[\nu, \kappa]$. This is done in the next two lemmas. As usual, we write $f_1(T) \sim f_2(T)$ if the quotient $f_1(T)/f_2(T)$ converges to 1 as the real variable $T$ goes to $+\infty$.

Lemma 5.7. Fix some $\kappa_1, \kappa_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\kappa_1 - \kappa_2 \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then, as $T \to +\infty$, we have

$b\left\{\kappa_1 + \frac{2}{\alpha} T, \kappa_2 + \frac{2}{\alpha} T\right\} \sim \frac{(\alpha F(0))^{\kappa_1 - \kappa_2}}{(\kappa_1 - \kappa_2)!} \sqrt{\pi/T}$

Proof. Recall from (5.2) that

$b\left\{\kappa_1 + \frac{2}{\alpha} T, \kappa_2 + \frac{2}{\alpha} T\right\} = \frac{\alpha^{\kappa_1 - \kappa_2}}{(\kappa_1 - \kappa_2)!} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} (\cos x)^{\alpha \kappa_2 + 2T(F(x))} (\kappa_1 - \kappa_2) dx.$

The standard Laplace asymptotics, see, e.g., [10, Theorem B.7 on p.758], yields

$\int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} (\cos x)^{\alpha \kappa_2 + 2T(F(x))} (\kappa_1 - \kappa_2) dx \sim \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{T}} (F(0))^{\kappa_1 - \kappa_2},$

as $T \to +\infty$, because the maximum of the function $\varphi(x) := \log \cos x$ on $[-\pi/2, +\pi/2]$ is $\varphi(0) = 0$ and the second derivative is $\varphi''(0) = -1$.

Lemma 5.8. Fix some $\kappa_1, \kappa_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\kappa_1 - \kappa_2 \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then, as $T \to +\infty$, we have

$a\left[\kappa_1 + \frac{2}{\alpha} T, \kappa_2 + \frac{2}{\alpha} T\right] \sim \frac{\alpha}{2} \cdot \frac{(\alpha F(0))^{\kappa_1 - \kappa_2}}{(\kappa_1 - \kappa_2)!} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi T}}.$
Proof. Recall from (5.10) that
\[
a \left[ \kappa_1 + \frac{2}{\alpha} T, \kappa_2 + \frac{2}{\alpha} T \right] = \alpha^{\kappa_1 - \kappa_2 + 1} \frac{1}{(\kappa_1 - \kappa_2)!} \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (\cosh u)^{-\alpha \kappa_1 - 2T} (F(iu))^{\kappa_1 - \kappa_2} du.
\]
The Laplace method, see, e.g., [10, Theorem B.7 on p.758], yields
\[
\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (\cosh u)^{-\alpha \kappa_1 - 2T} (F(iu))^{\kappa_1 - \kappa_2} du = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-2T \log \cosh u} (\cosh u)^{-\alpha \kappa_1} (F(iu))^{\kappa_1 - \kappa_2} du
\]
\[
\sim \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{T}} (F(0))^{\kappa_1 - \kappa_2},
\]
as \(T \to +\infty\), because the maximum of the function \(\phi(x) := -\log \cosh x\) on \(\mathbb{R}\) is \(\phi(0) = 0\) and the second derivative is \(\phi''(0) = -1\).

5.5. Evaluating the sum. We are now ready to compute the sum \(s_r\).

**Proposition 5.9.** Let \(\alpha > 0\). Then, for all \(\kappa \in \mathbb{C}\) with \(\Re \kappa > -\frac{1}{\alpha}\), we have
\[
s_r(\kappa) = \begin{cases} 
1, & \text{if } r = 0, \\
0, & \text{if } r \in \mathbb{N}.
\end{cases}
\]

**Proof.** Using (5.20) and then the definition for \(s_r\) given in (5.15), we can write
\[
s_r(\kappa) = s_r \left( \kappa + \frac{2}{\alpha} T \right) = \sum_{\mu=\kappa+\frac{2}{\alpha} T}^{\kappa+\frac{2}{\alpha} T+r} (-1)^{\mu-\kappa-\frac{2}{\alpha} T} b \left\{ \kappa + r + \frac{2}{\alpha} T, \mu \right\} \left( \mu + \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) a \left[ \mu + \frac{2}{\alpha}, \kappa + \frac{2}{\alpha} T + \frac{2}{\alpha} \right]
\]
Introducing the new summation index \(\ell\) by \(\mu = \kappa + \ell + \frac{2}{\alpha} T\), we get
\[
s_r(\kappa) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{r} (-1)^{\ell} b \left\{ \kappa + r + \frac{2}{\alpha} T, \kappa + \ell + \frac{2}{\alpha} T \right\} \times \left( \kappa + \ell + \frac{2}{\alpha} T + \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) a \left[ \kappa + \ell + \frac{2}{\alpha} T + \frac{2}{\alpha} T + \frac{2}{\alpha} \right]
\]
We now let \(T \to +\infty\) along the integers. By Lemma 5.7 we have
\[
b \left\{ \kappa + r + \frac{2}{\alpha} T, \kappa + \ell + \frac{2}{\alpha} T \right\} \sim \frac{(\alpha F(0))^{r-\ell}}{(r-\ell)!} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{T}}.
\]
Furthermore, by Lemma 5.8 we have
\[
a \left[ \kappa + \ell + \frac{2}{\alpha} T + \frac{2}{\alpha}, \kappa + \frac{2}{\alpha} T + \frac{2}{\alpha} \right] \sim \frac{\alpha}{2} \cdot \frac{(\alpha F(0))^\ell}{\ell!} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi T}}.
\]
Taking everything together, we arrive at
\[
s_r(\kappa) = \lim_{T \to +\infty} s_r \left( \kappa + \frac{2}{\alpha} T \right) = \frac{\alpha}{2} \cdot (\alpha F(0))^{r} \lim_{T \to +\infty} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{\ell=0}^{r} \frac{(\kappa + \ell + \frac{2}{\alpha} T + \frac{1}{\alpha})}{\ell!} (-1)^{\ell} \ell! (r-\ell)!.
\]
Proposition 5.12. For all integer \( n,k \), we know from Proposition 3.3 that the \( J \in \{ k \} \) equations in the unknowns \( \xi \) are:

\[
\sum_{\ell=0}^{r} (-1)^{\ell} \frac{r!}{\ell!(r-\ell)!}.
\]

For \( r \in \mathbb{N} \), the sum on the right-hand side equals \((1-1)^r = 0 \). For \( r = 0 \), the right-hand side equals 1.

\[\square\]

Remark 5.10. A similar argument shows that for all \( r \in \mathbb{N}_0 \), the unsigned version of \( s_r \) satisfies

\[
s_r^+(\kappa) = \frac{(2\alpha F(0))^r}{r!}.
\]

The only essential difference is that at the very end we have to replace \((1-1)^r\) by \((1+1)^r\).

As a special case of Proposition 5.9 and Remark 5.10, we obtain the following non-linear relations between \( a[n,k] \) and \( b[n,k] \). The first of them reminds the property of Stirling numbers stated in (3.11).

**Proposition 5.11.** Let \( \alpha > 0 \). For every \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( k \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \) we have

\[
\sum_{m=k}^{n} (-1)^{n-k} b\{n, m\} \left( m + \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) a \left[ m + \frac{2}{\alpha}, k + \frac{2}{\alpha} \right] = \delta_{nk}, \tag{5.21}
\]

\[
\sum_{m=k}^{n} b\{n, m\} \left( m + \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) a \left[ m + \frac{2}{\alpha}, k + \frac{2}{\alpha} \right] = \frac{(2\alpha F(0))^{n-k}}{(n-k)!}, \tag{5.22}
\]

where \( \delta_{nk} \) denotes Kronecker’s delta.

**5.6. First formula for expected internal angles.** We are now ready to express the quantities \( J_{n,k}(\gamma) \) through the quantities \( a[\nu, \kappa] \) as follows.

**Proposition 5.12.** For all integer \( n \geq 3 \), \( k \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \) and all positive \( \alpha \geq n-3 \), we have

\[
J_{n,k} \left( \frac{\alpha - n + 1}{2} \right) = \alpha^{k-n} \frac{n!}{k!} \left( \Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha+2}{2}\right) \right)^{-n-k} \cdot \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha k+2}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha+2}{2}\right)} \cdot \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha n+2}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha+2}{2}\right)} \cdot \frac{a[n + \frac{2}{\alpha}, k + \frac{2}{\alpha}]}{a[k + \frac{2}{\alpha}, k + \frac{2}{\alpha}]}.
\tag{5.23}
\]

**Proof.** Take some integer \( N \geq 3 \). It suffices to show that (5.23) holds for all \( n \in \{1, \ldots, N\} \) and \( k \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \) provided that \( \alpha \geq N-3 \) and \( \alpha \neq 0 \). Consider the following finite system of linear equations in the unknowns \( \xi_{n,k} \), where \( n \in \{1, \ldots, N\}, k \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \):

\[
\begin{cases}
\sum_{m=k}^{n} (-1)^{m-k} J_{n,m}(\alpha) \xi_{m,k} = 0, & \text{for all } n \in \{1, \ldots, N\}, \ k \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}, \\
\xi_{n,n} = 1, & \text{for all } n \in \{1, \ldots, N\}.
\end{cases}
\tag{5.24}
\]

We know from Proposition 3.3 that the unique solution to this system is \( \xi_{n,k} = J_{n,k} \left( \frac{\alpha - n + 1}{2} \right) \). To prove the proposition it therefore suffices to check that the right-hand side of (5.23) also defines a solution to (5.24). Recall from (3.13) that

\[
J_{n,m}(\alpha) = \alpha^{-n} n! c_{\alpha n}^{\alpha m} \cdot \frac{c_{\alpha m}^{\alpha m} \alpha^m}{c_{\alpha m}^{\alpha m} m!} \cdot b\{n, m\}.
\]
We now claim that for every function $c(k)$, the following defines a solution to the first line of (5.24):

$$\xi_{m,k} := c(k) \cdot \frac{c_{m+1}^m m!}{c_{m-1}^m} \cdot \left( m + \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) a \left[ m + \frac{2}{\alpha}, k + \frac{2}{\alpha} \right].$$

Indeed, with this $\xi_{m,k}$ and for all $k \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$ we have

$$\sum_{m=k}^{n} (-1)^{m-k} J_{n,m}(\alpha) \xi_{m,k} = \alpha^{-n} n! c_{n-1} \cdot c(k) \sum_{m=k}^{n} (-1)^{m-k} b(n,m) \left( m + \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) a \left[ m + \frac{2}{\alpha}, k + \frac{2}{\alpha} \right],$$

which vanishes by Proposition 5.11. It remains to determine the normalizing constant $c(k)$ such that $\xi_{k,k} = 1$ for all $k \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$. Taking $m = k$ in (5.25) we see that $\xi_{k,k} = 1$ is satisfied iff

$$c(k) = \frac{c_{k+1}^k}{c_{k-1}^k} \cdot \frac{1}{k!} \cdot \left( k + \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) a \left[ k + \frac{2}{\alpha}, k + \frac{2}{\alpha} \right].$$

Inserting this into (5.25), we obtain a solution to (5.24). Since the solution is unique, and since the numbers $J_{n,k}(\alpha)_{n,k}:=$ also define a solution, we arrive at the formula

$$J_{n,k}(\alpha - n + 1) = \alpha^{-n} n! \cdot \frac{c_{n-k}^n}{c_{n-1}^n} \cdot \frac{(n + \frac{1}{\alpha}) a \left[ n + \frac{2}{\alpha}, k + \frac{2}{\alpha} \right]}{(k + \frac{1}{\alpha}) a \left[ k + \frac{2}{\alpha}, k + \frac{2}{\alpha} \right]}.$$ (5.26)

Recall that $c_\beta$ is given by (1.2), namely

$$c_\beta = \frac{\Gamma(\beta + \frac{3}{2})}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\beta + 1)}.$$ (5.27)

After some simplifications we arrive at (5.23). □

5.7. Proof of Theorem 1.2. We are now in position to prove Theorem 1.2 or, more precisely, its equivalent form (1.7) which we restate as follows.

**Proposition 5.13.** For all integer $n \geq 3$, $k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ and all $\alpha \geq n - 3$, we have

$$J_{n,k}(\alpha - n + 1) = \left( \frac{n}{k} \right) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{c_{\alpha}^n}{\pi^2} (cosh u)^{-\alpha - 2} \left( \frac{1}{2} + i \int_0^n \frac{c_{\alpha-1}^n}{\pi} (cosh v)^{\alpha} dv \right)^{-n-k} du.$$ (5.28)

**Proof.** We shall assume that $\alpha \neq 0$ since the case $\alpha = 0$ (which occurs only if $n = 3$) follows afterwards by letting $\alpha \downarrow 0$ and appealing to continuity. Our starting point is (5.26). In this formula,

$$\left( k + \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) a \left[ k + \frac{2}{\alpha}, k + \frac{2}{\alpha} \right] = \frac{\alpha k + 1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{c_{\alpha}^n}{\pi^2} (cosh x)^{-\alpha k - 2} dx = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha k + 2}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha k + 1}{2}\right)} = c_{\alpha k - 1};$$ see (5.10) and (3.14). It follows from (5.24) that

$$J_{n,k}(\alpha - n + 1) = \alpha^{k-n} \cdot \frac{n!}{k!} \cdot \frac{c_{n-k}^{n-k}}{c_{n-1}^{n-1}} \cdot \left( \frac{n + \frac{1}{\alpha}}{n} \right) a \left[ n + \frac{2}{\alpha}, k + \frac{2}{\alpha} \right]$$

$$= \alpha^{k-n} \cdot \frac{n!}{k!} \cdot \frac{c_{n-k}^{n-k}}{c_{n-1}^{n-1}} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n+2}{2}\right)} \cdot \left( \frac{n + \frac{1}{\alpha}}{n} \right) a \left[ n + \frac{2}{\alpha}, k + \frac{2}{\alpha} \right]$$

$$= \alpha^{k-n} \cdot \frac{n!}{k!} \cdot c_{n-k}^{n-k} \cdot \frac{\pi}{\alpha} \cdot a \left[ n + \frac{2}{\alpha}, k + \frac{2}{\alpha} \right].$$ (5.29)
Proposition 5.14. Let \( \alpha \in \mathbb{N} \) and let \( \nu, \kappa \in \mathbb{N} \) be such that \( \nu - \kappa \in \mathbb{N}_0 \). If \( \alpha \kappa + \nu - \kappa \) is odd, then

\[
a[\nu, \kappa] = \frac{\alpha^{\nu - \kappa + 1}}{2 \cdot (\nu - \kappa)!} \operatorname{Res}_{x=0} \left[ \frac{\left( \int_0^x (\sin y)^{\alpha} dy \right)^{\nu - \kappa}}{(\sin x)^{\alpha \nu}} \right].
\]

Remark 5.15. Recalling (5.29) and using the proposition with \( \nu := n + \frac{2}{\alpha} \) and \( \kappa := k + \frac{2}{\alpha} \) we obtain

\[
\mathbb{J}_{n,k} \left( \frac{\alpha - n + 1}{2} \right) = \binom{n}{k} \frac{c_{\alpha - 1}^{n-k} c_{\alpha}^{n-k}}{2} \cdot \pi \operatorname{Res}_{x=0} \left[ \frac{\left( \int_0^x (\sin y)^{\alpha} dy \right)^{n-k}}{(\sin x)^{\alpha n+2}} \right]
\]

provided (i) \( \alpha \) is even and \( n - k = \nu - \kappa \) is odd (because then \( \alpha \kappa + \nu - \kappa = \alpha k + 2 + n - k \) is odd), or (ii) both \( \alpha \) and \( n \) are odd (because then \( \alpha \kappa + \nu - \kappa = \alpha k - k + 2 + n \) is odd). This proves Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Proposition 5.14. In view of the definition of \( a[\nu, \kappa] \) given in (5.10), we need to prove that

\[
\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{F(iu)^{\nu - \kappa}}{(\cosh u)^{\alpha \nu}} du = \pi \operatorname{Res}_{x=0} \left[ \frac{\left( \int_0^x (\sin y)^{\alpha} dy \right)^{\nu - \kappa}}{(\sin x)^{\alpha \nu}} \right].
\]

Let \( R > 0 \) and consider the closed rectangular contour \( \gamma(R) \) passing through the points \(-\pi + Ri, -\pi - Ri, -\kappa + Ri, +\kappa + Ri\). By the Cauchy residue formula, we have

\[
I(R) := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\gamma(R)} \frac{(F(y))^{\nu - \kappa}}{(\cos y)^{\alpha \nu}} dy = \operatorname{Res}_{y=-\pi/2} \left[ \frac{(F(y))^{\nu - \kappa}}{(\cos y)^{\alpha \nu}} \right] = \operatorname{Res}_{x=0} \left[ \frac{\left( \int_0^x (\sin z)^{\alpha} dz \right)^{\nu - \kappa}}{(\sin x)^{\alpha \nu}} \right].
\]

On the other hand, since the value of the integral does not depend on \( R \), we can let \( R \to +\infty \). The contribution of the horizontal parts of the contour vanishes in the limit because in the same way as in (5.11) one can check that

\[
\lim_{u \to \pm \infty} \frac{F(u + iv))^{\nu - \kappa}}{(\cos(u + iv))^{\alpha \nu}} = 0
\]

locally uniformly in \( u \in \mathbb{R} \). We are left with the contributions of the vertical lines:

\[
I := I(R) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{(F(y))^{\nu - \kappa}}{(\cos y)^{\alpha \nu}} dy + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\pi - i\infty}^{-\pi + i\infty} \frac{(F(y))^{\nu - \kappa}}{(\cos y)^{\alpha \nu}} dy.
\]
To complete the proof of the proposition, we need to argue that under (i) or (ii),

\[
I = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{F(iu)^{\nu-\kappa}}{(\cosh u)^{\alpha \nu}} \, du. \tag{5.32}
\]

First of all, even without assuming (i) or (ii), the change of variables \(y = iu\) yields

\[
\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{F(y)^{\nu-\kappa}}{(\cos y)^{\alpha \nu}} \, dy = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{F(iu)^{\nu-\kappa}}{(\cosh u)^{\alpha \nu}} \, du.
\]

Hence, to prove (5.32) it remains to show that

\[
\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\pi-i\infty}^{-\pi+i\infty} \frac{(F(y))^{\nu-\kappa}}{(\cos y)^{\alpha \nu}} \, dy = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{(F(iu))^{\nu-\kappa}}{(\cosh u)^{\alpha \nu}} \, du. \tag{5.33}
\]

First observe that by the change of variables \(w = -x - \pi\) we have

\[
F(-\pi - iu) = \int_{-\pi/2}^{-\pi-\pi/2} (\cos x)^\alpha \, dx = \int_{-\pi/2}^{iu} (\cos(-w - \pi))^\alpha \, dw
\]

\[
= (-1)^{\alpha+1} \int_{-\pi/2}^{iu} (\cos w)^\alpha \, dw = (-1)^{\alpha+1} F(iu),
\]

where we used that \((\cos(-w - \pi))^\alpha = (-1)^{\alpha} (\cos w)^\alpha\). By the substitution \(y = -\pi - iu\) it follows that

\[
\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\pi-i\infty}^{-\pi+i\infty} \frac{F(y)^{\nu-\kappa}}{(\cos y)^{\alpha \nu}} \, dy = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{(F(-\pi - iu))^{\nu-\kappa}}{(\cos(-\pi - iu))^{\alpha \nu}} \, du
\]

\[
= (-1)^{1+(\alpha+1)(\nu-\kappa)} \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{(F(iu))^{\nu-\kappa}}{(\cosh u)^{\alpha \nu}} \, du
\]

since \(\alpha \kappa + \nu - \kappa\) is odd. This proves (5.33) and completes the proof of (5.32).

\[\square\]

Remark 5.16. In the case when \(\alpha \kappa + \nu - \kappa\) is even, essentially the same argument shows that \(I(R) = 0\) and hence

\[
\text{Res}_{x=0} \left[ \left( \int_0^x (\sin y)^{\alpha} \, dy \right)^{\nu-\kappa} \right] = 0.
\]

5.9. Evaluating the residue: Proof of Proposition 1.5. We are going to apply Theorem 1.3 with \(\alpha = n + 2m - 2\) and \(k = 1\). Note that for every integer \(n \geq 3\) exactly one of the parity assumptions (i) or (ii) of this theorem is satisfied. By Theorem 1.3, our task reduces to showing that for every fixed \(m \in \mathbb{N}_0\),

\[
R_m(n) := (n + 2m - 1)^{n-1}[x^{-1}]g_{n,m}(x)
\]

is a rational function of \(n\) with rational coefficients, where

\[
g_{n,m}(x) = \left( \int_0^x (\sin x)^{n+2m-2} \, dy \right)^{n-1} / (\sin x)^{(n+2m-2)n+2}.
\]

Since \(\sin x \sim x\) as \(x \to 0\), it is easy to check that the first term of the Laurent expansion of \(g_{n,m}(x)\) is as follows:

\[
g_{n,m}(x) \sim x^{(n+2m-1)(n-1)-(n+2m-2)n-2} = x^{-2m-1}, \quad x \to 0.
\]
Let us stress that the power on the right-hand side does not depend on \( n \), which is crucial for the following argument and explains why Proposition 1.13 cannot be extended to the quantities \( \mathcal{J}_{n,k}(m - \frac{1}{2}) \) with \( k \geq 2 \) (for which a similar computation yields a power depending on \( n \)).

In the rest of the proof, we shall analyze the Laurent series of \( g_{n,m}(x) \) at \( x = 0 \). The Taylor series of \( \sin y \), raised to the power \( n + 2m - 2 \), can be written as

\[
(sin\ y)^{n+2m-2} = y^{n+2m-2} \left( 1 - \frac{y^2}{3!} + \frac{y^4}{5!} - \ldots \right)^{n+2m-2} = y^{n+2m-2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_k(n)y^{2k},
\]

where \( P_0(n) = 1, P_1(n) = -\frac{1}{6}(n + 2m - 2), \ldots \) are polynomials in \( n \) with rational coefficients. For example, the term \( y^4 \) can appear on the right-hand side either as a product of the term \( \frac{1}{3!}y^4 \) and many \( 1 \)'s (which occurs \( n + 2m - 2 \) times), or as a product of two terms \( \frac{1}{3!}y^2 \) and many \( 1 \)'s (which occurs \( \frac{1}{3!}y^2 \)) and \( n + 2m - 2 \) times). Similar argument applies to any \( y^{2k} \) and shows that the \( P_k(n) \)'s are indeed polynomials in \( n \). The dependence on \( m \) is suppressed in our notation and the coefficients of all polynomials and rational functions are rational by default. Integrating, we obtain

\[
\int_0^x (\sin y)^{n+2m-2} dy = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_k(n) \frac{x^{n+2m-1+2k}}{n+2m-1+2k} = \frac{x^{n+2m-1}}{n+2m-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} S_k(n)x^{2k},
\]

where \( S_k(n) \) are rational functions of \( n \) with \( S_0(n) = P_0(n) = 1 \). Raising this Taylor series to the power \( n + 2m - 2 \), we can write

\[
\left( \int_0^x (\sin y)^{n+2m-2} dy \right)^{n+2m-2} = \frac{x^{(n+2m-1)(n+2m-2)}}{(n+2m-1)(n+2m-2)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} T_k(n)x^{2k},
\]

where \( T_k(n) \) are rational functions of \( n \) with \( T_0(n) = 1 \). Similar argumentation shows that

\[
(sin x)^{n+2m-2} = x^{n+2m-2+2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} U_k(n)x^{2k},
\]

where \( U_k(n) \) are polynomials in \( n \) with \( U_0(n) = 1 \). Dividing the power series in (5.34) and (5.35), we obtain the following Laurent expansion:

\[
g_{n,m}(x) = \frac{x^{-2m-1}}{(n+2m-1)^{n-1}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} V_{k,m}(n)x^{2k},
\]

where \( V_{k,m}(n) \) are rational functions of \( n \) with \( V_0(m) = 1 \). The residue of \( f \) at 0 is determined by the term with \( k = m \) in the above series. Hence,

\[
R_m(n) := (n + 2m - 1)^{n-1}[x^{-1}]g_{n,m}(x) = V_{m,m}(n)
\]

is a rational function of \( n \). The above argument is in fact an algorithm for computing \( R_m(n) \). The expressions for \( R_0, R_1, R_2 \) were obtained by running this algorithm.

\( \square \)

Remark 5.17. The above proof cannot be adapted to the quantities of the form \( \mathcal{J}_{n,1}(m) \) with \( m \in \{-1, 0, \ldots\} \). Indeed, we cannot use Theorem 1.13 with \( \alpha = n + 2m - 1 \) and \( k = 1 \) since neither of the parity assumptions (i) or (ii) is satisfied.
6. Proofs in the beta’ case

6.1. Recurrence relations for external quantities. Since the proofs in the beta’ case are very similar to the proofs in the beta case, we only sketch them. Our aim is to prove Theorem 6.1 and then to deduce its corollaries. Fix some $\alpha > 0$ once and for all. Define

$$\tilde{F}(x) = \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \cos y y^{\alpha-1} \, dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (6.1)$$

The same formula defines a univalued analytic function on the complex plane if we agree to make two cuts at $(-\infty, -\pi/2]$ and $[\pi/2, \infty)$. For $\nu, \kappa \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\text{Re} \kappa > 0$ and $\nu - \kappa \in \mathbb{N}_0$ we define

$$\tilde{b}\{\nu, \kappa\} := \frac{\alpha^{\nu-\kappa}}{(\nu - \kappa)!} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \cos x (\tilde{F}(x))^{\alpha^{\nu-\kappa}} \, dx. \quad (6.2)$$

By convention, we also put $\tilde{b}\{\nu, \kappa\} = 0$ if $\nu - \kappa \in \{-1, -2, \ldots\}$. The recurrence relations satisfied by $\tilde{b}\{\nu, \kappa\}$ are as follows.

**Proposition 6.1.** For $\kappa, \nu \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\text{Re} \kappa > 0$ and $\nu - \kappa \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we have

$$\tilde{b}\{\nu, \kappa + 2\} = (\kappa + 1)\kappa \tilde{b}\{\nu, \kappa\} - \left(\kappa + \frac{1}{\alpha}\right) (\kappa + 1) \tilde{b}\left\{\nu + \frac{2}{\alpha}, \kappa + \frac{2}{\alpha}\right\}. \quad (6.3)$$

**Proof.** The idea is the same as in the proof of Proposition 5.1. Let first $\nu - \kappa \in \{2, 3, \ldots\}$. Writing $d(\tilde{F}(x))^{\nu-\kappa-1} = (\nu - \kappa - 1)(\tilde{F}(x))^{\nu-\kappa-2}(\cos x)^{\alpha-1} \, dx$ and integrating by parts, we obtain

$$\tilde{b}\{\nu, \kappa + 2\} = (\kappa + 1)\kappa \tilde{b}\{\nu, \kappa\} - \frac{\alpha^{\nu-\kappa-2}}{(\nu - \kappa - 1)!} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} (\cos x)^{\alpha+\kappa-1} d(\tilde{F}(x))^{\nu-\kappa-1}$$

Writing $d(\tilde{F}(x))^{\nu-\kappa} = (\nu - \kappa)(\tilde{F}(x))^{\nu-\kappa-1}(\cos x)^{\alpha-1} \, dx$ and again integrating by parts, we obtain

$$\tilde{b}\{\nu, \kappa + 2\} = (\kappa + 1)\kappa \tilde{b}\{\nu, \kappa\} - \frac{\alpha^{\nu-\kappa-1}}{(\nu - \kappa)!} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} (\cos x)^{\alpha+\kappa-1} \, dx$$

Using the identity $\sin^2 x = 1 - \cos^2 x$ we can write

$$\tilde{b}\{\nu, \kappa + 2\} = (\kappa + 1)\kappa \tilde{b}\{\nu, \kappa\} - \frac{\alpha^{\nu-\kappa-1}}{(\nu - \kappa)!} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} (\tilde{F}(x))^{\nu-\kappa} \, dx$$

Recalling the definition of $\tilde{b}\{\nu, \kappa\}$, we arrive at

$$\tilde{b}\{\nu, \kappa + 2\} = (\kappa + 1)\kappa \tilde{b}\{\nu, \kappa\} - \left(\kappa + \frac{1}{\alpha}\right) (\kappa + 1) \tilde{b}\left\{\nu + \frac{2}{\alpha}, \kappa + \frac{2}{\alpha}\right\}. \quad (6.3)$$
which completes the proof if \( \nu - \kappa \in \{2, 3, \ldots\} \). The remaining cases \( \nu = \kappa \) and \( \nu = \kappa + 1 \) follow from the identities

\[
\tilde{b}(\kappa, \kappa) = \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} (\cos x)^{\alpha \kappa - 1} dx = \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma \left( \frac{\alpha \kappa}{2} \right)}{\Gamma \left( \frac{\alpha \kappa + 1}{2} \right)}, \quad (6.4)
\]

\[
\tilde{b}(\kappa + 1, \kappa) = \alpha \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} (\cos x)^{\alpha \kappa - 1} \tilde{F}(x) dx = \alpha \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} (\cos x)^{\alpha \kappa - 1} \tilde{F}(0) dx \quad (6.5)
\]

\[
= \frac{\alpha}{2} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma \left( \frac{\alpha}{2} \right)}{\Gamma \left( \frac{\alpha + 1}{2} \right)} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma \left( \frac{\alpha \kappa}{2} \right)}{\Gamma \left( \frac{\alpha \kappa + 1}{2} \right)}, \quad (6.6)
\]

which are consequences of (6.2), (3.14) and the fact that the function \( (\cos x)^{\alpha \kappa - 1}(\tilde{F}(x) - \tilde{F}(0)) \) is odd.

6.2. Recurrence relations for internal quantities. The dual quantities (which, as we shall see, are related to expected internal angles) are defined as follows. For \( \nu, \kappa \in \mathbb{C} \) such that \( \nu - \kappa \in \mathbb{N}_0 \) we put

\[
\tilde{a}^{\nu - \kappa + 1} (\nu - \kappa)! = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\cos x)^{-\alpha \nu - 1}(\tilde{F}(x))^{\nu - \kappa} dx \quad (6.7)
\]

\[
= \frac{\alpha^{\nu - \kappa + 1}}{\nu - \kappa)!} \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\cosh u)^{-\alpha \nu - 1}(\tilde{F}(iu))^{\nu - \kappa} du. \quad (6.8)
\]

To ensure that the integral (6.8) is absolutely convergent we have to impose the following additional conditions on \( \nu \) and \( \kappa \):

\[
\alpha \Re \nu + 1 > 0 \quad \text{if } \alpha \in (0, 1), \quad (6.9)
\]

\[
\alpha \Re \kappa + (\nu - \kappa) + 1 > 0 \quad \text{if } \alpha > 1. \quad (6.10)
\]

To see that the condition in the first line suffices, observe that in the case when \( 0 < \alpha < 1 \), the limit of \( \tilde{F}(iu) \) as \( u \to \pm \infty \) is finite, while in the case when \( \alpha = 1 \), the function \( \tilde{F}(iu) = \frac{\pi}{2} + iu \) grows subexponentially. To see that the condition in the second line suffices, observe that for \( \alpha > 1 \) the L’Hospital rule yields

\[
\tilde{F}(iu) = \frac{i \cdot \text{sgn } u}{(\alpha - 1)2^{\alpha - 1}} e^{(\alpha - 1)|u|}(1 + o(1)), \quad \text{as } u \to \pm \infty. \quad (6.11)
\]

The quantities \( \tilde{a}^{\nu, \kappa} \) satisfy a recurrence relation which is “dual” to the relation satisfied by \( \tilde{b}^{\nu, \kappa} \).

**Proposition 6.2.** For all \( \nu, \kappa \in \mathbb{C} \) such that \( \nu - \kappa \in \mathbb{N}_0 \) and Conditions (6.9), (6.10) hold, we have

\[
\tilde{a}[\nu - 2, \kappa] = (\nu - 1)\nu \tilde{a}[\nu, \kappa] - \left( \nu - \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) (\nu - 1) \tilde{a} \left[ \nu - \frac{2}{\alpha}, \kappa - \frac{2}{\alpha} \right].
\]

**Proof.** Analogous to the proof of Proposition 5.2. The cases \( \nu = \kappa \) and \( \nu = \kappa + 1 \) have to be considered separately by using the formulae

\[
\tilde{a}[\kappa, \kappa] = \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\cosh u)^{-\alpha \kappa - 1} du = \frac{\alpha}{2} \cdot \frac{\Gamma \left( \frac{\alpha \kappa + 1}{2} \right)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma \left( \frac{\alpha \kappa + 2}{2} \right)} = \frac{1}{\kappa} \tilde{c}^{\alpha \kappa}, \quad (6.12)
\]

\[
\tilde{a}[\kappa + 1, \kappa] = \frac{\alpha^2}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\cosh u)^{-\alpha \kappa - \alpha - 1} \tilde{F}(iu) du = \frac{\alpha^2}{2\pi} \tilde{F}(0) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\cosh u)^{-\alpha \kappa - \alpha - 1} du
\]
\[ \frac{\alpha}{2} \cdot \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha+2}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha+1}{2}\right)} \cdot \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{2\kappa+\alpha+1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{2\kappa+\alpha+2}{2}\right)}. \] (6.13)

In the proof of the second formula we used that \( \text{Re} \tilde{F}(iu) = \tilde{F}(0) = \sqrt{\pi} \Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha+2}{2}\right) \), while the imaginary part of the integral cancels. \qed

**Remark 6.3.** Sometimes it is more convenient to work with the quantities
\[ \tilde{b}\{\nu, \kappa\} := \frac{\tilde{b}\{\nu, \kappa\}}{\Gamma(\kappa)}, \quad \tilde{a}[\nu, \kappa] := \tilde{a}[\nu, \kappa] \cdot \Gamma(\nu + 1). \] (6.14)

In the special case \( \alpha = 2 \) these definitions are consistent with the ones introduced in Section 6.2. This will be shown in Section 6.9. With this notation, the recurrence relations of Propositions 6.1 and 6.2 take the form
\[ \tilde{b}\{\nu, \kappa\} - \tilde{b}\{\nu, \kappa + 2\} = \frac{(\nu + \frac{1}{\alpha}) \Gamma(\kappa + \frac{2}{\alpha})}{\Gamma(\kappa + 1)} \tilde{b} \left\{ \nu + \frac{2}{\alpha}, \kappa + \frac{2}{\alpha} \right\}, \] (6.15)
\[ \tilde{a}[\nu, \kappa] - \tilde{a}[\nu - 2, \kappa] = \frac{(\nu - \frac{1}{\alpha}) \Gamma(\nu)}{\Gamma(\nu - \frac{2}{\alpha} + 1)} \tilde{a} \left\{ \nu - \frac{2}{\alpha}, \kappa - \frac{2}{\alpha} \right\}. \] (6.16)

### 6.3. Evaluating the sum.

Fix some \( r \in \mathbb{N}_0 \) and consider the expression
\[ \tilde{s}_r(\kappa) := \sum_{\mu=\kappa}^{\kappa+r} (-1)^{\mu-\kappa} \tilde{b}\{\kappa + r, \mu\} \left( \mu - \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) \tilde{a} \left\{ \mu - \frac{2}{\alpha}, \kappa - \frac{2}{\alpha} \right\}. \] (6.17)

Using (6.9) and (6.10) it is easy to check that \( \tilde{s}_r(\kappa) \) is well defined provided \( \text{Re} \kappa > \frac{1}{\alpha} \), for all \( \alpha > 0 \).

**Proposition 6.4.** Let \( \alpha \in \mathbb{N} \). Then, for every \( r \in \mathbb{N}_0 \) and all \( \kappa \in \mathbb{C} \) such that \( \text{Re} \kappa > \frac{1}{\alpha} \),
\[ \tilde{s}_r(\kappa) = \tilde{s}_r \left( \kappa + \frac{2}{\alpha} \right). \]

**Proof.** Analogous to the proof of Proposition 5.4. Indeed, the definition of \( \tilde{s}_r(\kappa) \) takes the form
\[ \tilde{s}_r(\kappa) = \sum_{\mu=\kappa}^{\kappa+r} (-1)^{\mu-\kappa} \tilde{b}\{\kappa + r, \mu\} \left( \mu - \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) \tilde{a} \left\{ \mu - \frac{2}{\alpha}, \kappa - \frac{2}{\alpha} \right\} \] (6.18)

and the relations satisfied by \( \tilde{a}[\nu, \kappa] \) and \( \tilde{b}\{\nu, \kappa\} \), see (6.16), (6.15), are the same as the relations satisfied by \( i^{\nu-\kappa} a[\nu, \kappa] \) and \( i^{\nu-\kappa} b\{\nu, \kappa\} \), see (5.18), (5.17), provided we replace \( \alpha \) by \(-\alpha \) throughout. Since the proof of Proposition 5.4 used only the latter relations, it applies with obvious changes. \qed

In order to evaluate \( \tilde{s}_r(\kappa) \), we need the following two lemmas on the asymptotic behaviour of \( \tilde{a}[\nu, \kappa] \) and \( \tilde{b}\{\nu, \kappa\} \).

**Lemma 6.5.** Fix some real \( \kappa_1, \kappa_2 \in \mathbb{C} \) such that \( \kappa_1 - \kappa_2 \in \mathbb{N}_0 \). Then, as \( T \to +\infty \), we have
\[ \tilde{b} \left\{ \kappa_1 + \frac{2}{\alpha} T, \kappa_2 + \frac{2}{\alpha} T \right\} \sim \frac{(\alpha \tilde{F}(0))^{\kappa_1-\kappa_2}}{(\kappa_1-\kappa_2)!} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{T}}. \]

**Proof.** Almost identical to the proof of Lemma 5.7. \qed

**Lemma 6.6.** Fix some \( \kappa_1, \kappa_2 \in \mathbb{C} \) such that \( \kappa_1 - \kappa_2 \in \mathbb{N}_0 \). Then, as \( T \to +\infty \), we have
\[ \tilde{a} \left[ \kappa_1 + \frac{2}{\alpha} T, \kappa_2 + \frac{2}{\alpha} T \right] \sim \frac{\alpha}{2} \cdot \frac{(\alpha \tilde{F}(0))^{\kappa_1-\kappa_2}}{(\kappa_1-\kappa_2)!} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi T}}. \]
Proposition 6.10. Let \( k \in \{ \text{angles} \} \). Remark Lemmas 5.7 and 5.8, the proof of Proposition 5.9 applies with minimal changes.

Proof. Since the asymptotic expressions given in Lemmas 6.5 and 6.6 coincide with those given in Lemmas 5.7 and 5.8, the proof of Proposition 5.9 applies with minimal changes.

Remark 6.8. It is also possible to show that the unsigned version of \( s_r \) satisfies

\[
\tilde{s}_r^+(\kappa) := \sum_{\mu=k}^{\kappa+r} b\{k + r, \mu\} \left( \mu - \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) \tilde{a} \left[ \mu - \frac{2}{\alpha} \right] \kappa - \frac{2}{\alpha} \frac{(2\alpha F(0))^{n-k}}{(n-k)!},
\]

where \( \delta_{nk} \) denotes Kronecker’s delta.

6.4. Formula for expected internal angles. We are now ready to express the expected internal angles \( \tilde{J}_{n,k}(\gamma) \) through the quantities \( \tilde{a}[\nu, \kappa] \).

Proposition 6.10. Let \( \alpha > 0 \). For all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( k \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \) such that \( \alpha k > 1 \) we have

\[
\tilde{J}_{n,k} \left( \frac{\alpha + n - 1}{2} \right) = \alpha^{k-n} n! \left( \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha+1}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)} \right)^{n-k} \cdot \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha-1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)} \cdot \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha n}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)} \tilde{a} \left[ n - \frac{2}{\alpha}, k - \frac{2}{\alpha} \right].
\]

Proof. Consider the following infinite system of linear equations in the unknowns \( \tilde{\zeta}_{n,k} \), where \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), \( k \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \):

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\sum_{m=k}^{n} (-1)^{m-k} \tilde{b}\{n, m\} \tilde{c}_{m, \alpha} \tilde{c}_{m-\alpha +1}\left(\frac{\alpha+1}{2}\right)^{n-k} \\
\tilde{c}_{m, \alpha} \tilde{c}_{m-\alpha +1}\left(\frac{\alpha+1}{2}\right)^{n-k} \\
\end{bmatrix} \tilde{\zeta}_{n,k} = 0, \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}, k \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}, \quad \tilde{\zeta}_{n,n} = 1, \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{6.22}
\]

We know from Proposition 3.4 that the unique solution to this system is \( \tilde{\zeta}_{n,k} = \tilde{J}_{n,k}(\frac{\alpha+n-1}{2}) \). To prove (6.21) it therefore suffices to check that its right-hand side also defines a solution to (6.22). Recall from (3.2) and (3.3) that

\[
\tilde{J}_{n,m}(\alpha) = \left( \frac{n}{m} \right) \tilde{c}_{\alpha m+1} \tilde{c}_{\alpha+1} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha m}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)} \cdot \tilde{b}\{n, m\}.
\]

(6.23)
We now claim that for every function \( c(k) \), the following defines a solution to the first line of (6.22):

\[
\tilde{c}_{m,k} := c(k) \cdot \frac{\tilde{c}_m^{n+1} m!}{\tilde{c}_m^{n+1} \alpha^m} \cdot \left( m - \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) \tilde{a} \left[ m - \frac{2}{\alpha}, k - \frac{2}{\alpha} \right],
\]

(6.24)

where we need the condition \( \alpha k > 1 \) to ensure that the right-hand side is well defined. With this \( \tilde{c}_{m,k} \) and for all \( k \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\} \) we have

\[
\sum_{m=k}^{n} (-1)^{m-k} \tilde{J}_{n,m}(\alpha) \tilde{c}_{m,k} = \alpha^{-n} n! \tilde{c}_n^{n+1} \cdot c(k) \cdot \sum_{m=k}^{n} (-1)^{m-k} \tilde{b}_{n,m} \left( m - \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) \tilde{a} \left[ m - \frac{2}{\alpha}, k - \frac{2}{\alpha} \right],
\]

which vanishes by Proposition 6.9. It remains to determine the normalization \( c(k) \) such that \( \tilde{c}_{k,k} = 1 \) for all \( k \in \mathbb{N} \). Taking \( m = k \) in (6.24) we see that \( \tilde{c}_{k,k} = 1 \) holds true iff

\[
c(k) = \frac{\tilde{c}_{k+1} \alpha^k}{\tilde{c}_{k+1} \cdot k!} \cdot \frac{1}{\left( k - \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) \tilde{a} \left[ k - \frac{2}{\alpha}, k - \frac{2}{\alpha} \right]}.
\]

Inserting this into (6.23), we obtain a solution to (6.22). Since the solution is unique, it must coincide with \( \tilde{J}_{m,k}(\alpha) \), and we arrive at

\[
\tilde{J}_{n,k} \left( \frac{\alpha + n - 1}{2} \right) = \alpha^{k-n} n! \cdot \frac{\tilde{c}_n^{n+1} \cdot \tilde{c}_{k+1} \cdot \tilde{c}_{n+1}^{n+1}}{k!} \cdot \left( n - \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) \tilde{a} \left[ n - \frac{2}{\alpha}, k - \frac{2}{\alpha} \right].
\]

(6.25)

Recall that \( \tilde{c}_\beta \) is given by (1.11), namely

\[
\tilde{c}_\beta = \frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma \left( \beta - \frac{1}{2} \right)}.
\]

(6.26)

After some simplifications we arrive at (6.21). The proof is complete. \( \square \)

6.5. Proof of Theorem 1.7. We are going to prove (1.16) which is an equivalent way of stating Theorem 1.7. Let \( \alpha > 0 \). We claim that for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( k \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \) such that \( \alpha n > 1 \), we have

\[
\tilde{J}_{n,k} \left( \frac{\alpha + n - 1}{2} \right) = \left( \frac{n}{k} \right) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{c}_n^{n+1} (\cosh u)^{-\alpha n-1} \left( \frac{1}{2} + i \int_{0}^{u} \tilde{c}_{\alpha+1} (\cosh v)^{\alpha-1} \, dv \right)^{n-k} \, du.
\]

(6.27)

Proof. Let first the stronger condition \( \alpha k > 1 \) be satisfied. By (6.8) and (3.14), the term appearing in the denominator of the last fraction in (6.25) is

\[
\left( k - \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) \tilde{a} \left[ k - \frac{2}{\alpha}, k - \frac{2}{\alpha} \right] = \frac{\alpha k - 1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\cosh x)^{-\alpha k+1} \, dx = \frac{\Gamma \left( \frac{\alpha k+1}{2} \right)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma \left( \frac{\alpha k}{2} \right)} = \tilde{c}_{\alpha+1}.
\]

It follows from (6.25) that

\[
\tilde{J}_{n,k} \left( \frac{\alpha + n - 1}{2} \right) = \alpha^{k-n} n! \cdot \frac{\tilde{c}_n^{n+1} \cdot 1}{\tilde{c}_n^{n+1} \alpha^m} \cdot \left( n - \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) \tilde{a} \left[ n - \frac{2}{\alpha}, k - \frac{2}{\alpha} \right]
\]

\[
= \alpha^{k-n} n! \cdot \frac{\tilde{c}_n^{n+1} \cdot \sqrt{\pi} \Gamma \left( \frac{\alpha n}{2} \right)}{\Gamma \left( \frac{\alpha n+1}{2} \right)} \cdot \left( n - \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) \tilde{a} \left[ n - \frac{2}{\alpha}, k - \frac{2}{\alpha} \right]
\]

\[
= \alpha^{k-n} n! \cdot \frac{\tilde{c}_n^{n+1} \cdot \tilde{c}_n^{n+1} \cdot \frac{2\pi}{\alpha}}{\alpha} \tilde{a} \left[ n - \frac{2}{\alpha}, k - \frac{2}{\alpha} \right].
\]

(6.28)
Recall from (6.8) that
\[ \frac{2\pi}{\alpha} \hat{a} \left[ n - \frac{2}{\alpha}, k - \frac{2}{\alpha} \right] = \frac{\cosh^{n-k}}{(n-k)!} \cdot \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (\tilde{F}(iu))^{n-k} \frac{du}{(\cosh u)^{\alpha n-1}}. \]

Hence,
\[ \tilde{J}_{n,k} \left( \frac{\alpha + n - 1}{2} \right) = \binom{n}{k} \cdot c_{\frac{\alpha + 1}{2}} \cdot \tilde{c}_{\frac{\alpha n}{2}} \cdot \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (\tilde{F}(iu))^{n-k} \frac{du}{(\cosh u)^{\alpha n-1}}. \]

It remains to observe that by (6.1),
\[ \tilde{F}(iu) = \int_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{0} (\cos y)^{\alpha-1} dy + \int_0^{iu} (\cos y)^{\alpha-1} dy = \frac{1}{2\tilde{c}_{\alpha+1}} + i \int_0^u (\cosh x)^{\alpha-1} dx. \]

This completes the proof in the case when $\alpha k > 1$. To treat the more general case $\alpha n > 1$ we use analytic continuation. Fix some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. On the one hand, the integral on the right-hand side of (6.27) is well-defined and represents an analytic function of $\alpha$, considered as a complex variable, provided that $\text{Re} \alpha > \frac{1}{n}$. On the other hand, for all $\alpha > 0$ we can write the definition of expected internal angles as follows:
\[ \tilde{J}_{n,k} \left( \frac{\alpha + n - 1}{2} \right) = \binom{n}{k} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})^n} \beta([x_1, \ldots, x_k], [x_1, \ldots, x_n]) \prod_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{\tilde{c}_{\alpha n} dx_i}{1 + \|x_i\|^2} \right). \]

The right-hand side is well-defined and represents an analytic function of the complex variable $\alpha$ in the half-plane $\text{Re} \alpha > 0$. This can be shown by essentially the same argument as in [26, lemma 4.3]. Thus, both sides of (6.27) can be continued analytically at least to the half-plane $\text{Re} \alpha > \frac{1}{n}$. Since they coincide for real $\alpha > \frac{1}{n}$, the uniqueness of analytic continuation implies that they coincide on their whole domain of definition, thus completing the proof. □

6.6. Evaluating the integral: Proof of Theorem 1.8 Our aim is to compute $\hat{a}[\nu, \kappa]$ by residue calculus whenever this is possible.

**Proposition 6.11.** Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $\nu, \kappa \in \alpha^{-1}\mathbb{N}$ be such that $\nu - \kappa \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\alpha \kappa$ is even. Then,
\[ \hat{a}[\nu, \kappa] = \frac{\alpha^{\nu-\kappa+1}}{2 \cdot (\nu - \kappa)!} \text{Res}_{x=0} \left[ \left( \int_0^x (\sin y)^{\alpha-1}dy \right)^{\nu-\kappa} \right]. \]

**Remark 6.12.** Recalling (6.28) and using the proposition with $\nu := n - \frac{2}{\alpha}$ and $\kappa := k - \frac{2}{\alpha}$ we obtain
\[ \tilde{J}_{n,k} \left( \frac{\alpha + n - 1}{2} \right) = \binom{n}{k} \cdot c_{\frac{\alpha + 1}{2}} \cdot \tilde{c}_{\frac{\alpha n}{2}} \cdot \pi \text{Res}_{x=0} \left[ \left( \int_0^x (\sin y)^{\alpha-1}dy \right)^{n-k} \right] \]
provided $\alpha \kappa = \alpha k - 2$ is even. This proves Theorem 1.8.

**Proof of Proposition 6.11.** In view of the definition of $\hat{a}[\nu, \kappa]$ given in (6.8), we need to prove that
\[ \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (\tilde{F}(iu))^{\nu-\kappa} \frac{du}{(\cosh u)^{\alpha n+1}} = \pi \text{Res}_{x=0} \left[ \left( \int_0^x (\sin y)^{\alpha-1}dy \right)^{\nu-\kappa} \right]. \]
Observe that the function under the sign of integral is \textit{univalued} and meromorphic because \( \alpha \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( \alpha \nu \in \mathbb{N} \). Let \( R > 0 \) and consider the closed rectangular contour \( \gamma(R) \) passing through the points \(-\pi + Ri, -\pi - Ri, -Ri, +Ri\). By the Cauchy residue formula, we have

\[
\tilde{I}(R) := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\gamma(R)} \frac{(\tilde{F}(y))^{\nu-\kappa}}{(\cos y)^{\alpha\nu+1}} dy = \text{Res}_{y=-\pi/2} \left[ \frac{(\tilde{F}(y))^{\nu-\kappa}}{(\cos y)^{\alpha\nu+1}} \right] = \text{Res}_{x=0} \left[ \frac{\left( \int_0^\pi (\sin z)^{\alpha-1} dz \right)^{\nu-\kappa}}{(\sin x)^{\alpha\nu+1}} \right].
\]

On the other hand, since the value of the integral does not depend on \( R \), we can let \( R \to +\infty \). The contribution of the horizontal parts of the contour vanishes in the limit because

\[
\lim_{\nu \to \pm \infty} \frac{(\tilde{F}(u + iv))^{\nu-\kappa}}{(\cos(u + iv))^{\alpha\nu+1}} = 0
\]

locally uniformly in \( u \in \mathbb{R} \). This is shown essentially as in the discussion preceding (6.11). For example, for \( \alpha > 1 \) we need to verify that \((\alpha - 1)(\nu - \kappa) < \alpha \nu + 1\), which is equivalent to \( \alpha \kappa + \nu + 1 > \kappa \). This inequality holds true since \( \alpha \in \mathbb{N} \). In the case \( 0 < \alpha \leq 1 \) one uses that \( \alpha \nu + 1 > 0 \). Thus, we are left with the contributions of the vertical lines:

\[
\tilde{I} := \tilde{I}(R) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{(\tilde{F}(y))^{\nu-\kappa}}{(\cos y)^{\alpha\nu+1}} dy + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\pi+i\infty}^{-\pi-i\infty} \frac{(\tilde{F}(y))^{\nu-\kappa}}{(\cos y)^{\alpha\nu+1}} dy.
\]

To complete the proof of the proposition, we need to argue that

\[
\tilde{I} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{(\tilde{F}(iu))^{\nu-\kappa}}{(\cosh u)^{\alpha\nu+1}} du.
\] (6.30)

The substitution \( y = iu \) yields

\[
\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{(\tilde{F}(y))^{\nu-\kappa}}{(\cos y)^{\alpha\nu+1}} dy = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{(\tilde{F}(iu))^{\nu-\kappa}}{(\cosh u)^{\alpha\nu+1}} du.
\]

To prove (6.30) it remains to show that under the assumption that \( \alpha \kappa \) is even,

\[
\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\pi+i\infty}^{-\pi-i\infty} \frac{(\tilde{F}(y))^{\nu-\kappa}}{(\cos y)^{\alpha\nu+1}} dy = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{(\tilde{F}(iu))^{\nu-\kappa}}{(\cosh u)^{\alpha\nu+1}} du.
\] (6.31)

Observe that \( \cos(\pm x \pm \pi) = -\cos x \). By the change of variables \( w = -x - \pi \), we have

\[
\tilde{F}(-\pi - iu) = \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi - iu} (\cos x)^{\alpha-1} dx = -\int_{-\pi/2}^{iu} (\cos(-w - \pi))^{\alpha-1} dw = (-1)^\alpha \int_{-\pi/2}^{iu} (\cos w)^{\alpha-1} dw = (-1)^\alpha \tilde{F}(iu).
\]

By the substitution \( y = -\pi - iu \) it follows that

\[
\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\pi+i\infty}^{-\pi-i\infty} \frac{(\tilde{F}(y))^{\nu-\kappa}}{(\cos y)^{\alpha\nu+1}} dy = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{(\tilde{F}(-\pi - iu))^{\nu-\kappa}}{(\cos(-\pi - iu))^{\alpha\nu+1}} du
\]

\[
= (-1)^{1+\alpha(\nu-\kappa)-(\alpha\nu+1)} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{(\tilde{F}(iu))^{\nu-\kappa}}{(\cosh u)^{\alpha\nu+1}} du,
\]

which proves (6.31) since \( \alpha \kappa \) is even. \( \square \)

\textbf{Remark 6.13.} In the case when \( \alpha \kappa \) is odd, essentially the same argument shows that

\[
\text{Res}_{x=0} \left[ \frac{\left( \int_0^\pi (\sin z)^{\alpha-1} dz \right)^{\nu-\kappa}}{(\sin x)^{\alpha\nu+1}} \right] = 0.
\]
6.7. Proof of Theorem 2.1. Fix \( \alpha > 0 \). We claim that for all \( d \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( k \in \{1, \ldots, d\} \) we have
\[
\mathbb{E} f_{k-1}(\text{conv} \, \Pi_{d, \alpha}) = \alpha^d \left( \frac{d}{k} \right) \cdot \frac{c_{\alpha - 1}}{\alpha - 1} \cdot \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{(\tilde{F}(iu))^{d-k}}{\cosh u} \sinh u \, du.
\] (6.32)
This is equivalent to the first claim of Theorem 2.1. If \( \alpha \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( \alpha k \) is even, then (6.29) immediately yields the second claim of Theorem 2.1.

\[
\mathbb{E} f_{k-1}(\text{conv} \, \Pi_{d, \alpha}) = \alpha^d \left( \frac{d}{k} \right) \cdot \frac{c_{\alpha - 1}}{\alpha - 1} \cdot \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{\sin \left( \frac{\alpha x}{2} \right)}{\sin \left( \frac{x}{2} \right)} \cdot \frac{\sin \left( \frac{\alpha y}{2} \right)}{\sin \left( \frac{y}{2} \right)} \, dx \, dy.
\]

Proof of (6.32). Let us first make a stronger assumption \( \alpha k > 1 \). According to [26, Theorem 1.21], the expected number of \((k - 1)\)-dimensional faces of \(\text{conv} \, \Pi_{d, \alpha} \) is given by
\[
\mathbb{E} f_{k-1}(\text{conv} \, \Pi_{d, \alpha}) = 2 \sum_{m \in \{k, \ldots, d\}, m \equiv d \pmod{2}} \mathcal{I}_{\infty, m}(\alpha) \mathcal{J}_{m, k} \left( \frac{\alpha + m - 1}{2} \right),
\] (6.33)
where
\[
\mathcal{I}_{\infty, m}(\alpha) := \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathcal{I}_{n, m}(\alpha) = \frac{\Gamma(ma + \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(ma + \frac{1}{2} + 1)} \cdot \frac{\sin \left( \frac{\alpha m}{2} \right)}{\sin \left( \frac{\alpha}{2} \right)} \cdot \frac{\sin \left( \frac{\alpha m - 1}{2} \right)}{\sin \left( \frac{\alpha - 1}{2} \right)} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(m) \cdot \Gamma(m - \frac{2}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{2})\tilde{a}[m - \frac{2}{\alpha}, k, \frac{2}{\alpha}]}{\Gamma(k) \cdot \Gamma(k - \frac{2}{\alpha})\tilde{a}[k, k, \frac{2}{\alpha}]},
\]
and
\[
\mathcal{J}_{m, k}(\alpha) = \mathcal{J}_{\infty, k}(\alpha) \cdot \frac{\Gamma(m - \frac{1}{\alpha})\Gamma(m) \cdot \tilde{a}[m - \frac{2}{\alpha}, k, \frac{2}{\alpha}]}{\Gamma(k - \frac{2}{\alpha}) \cdot \tilde{a}[k, k, \frac{2}{\alpha}]},
\]
Recall from Remark 6.3 that the quantities defined by \( \tilde{a}[\nu, \kappa] := \tilde{a}[\nu, \kappa] \cdot \Gamma(\nu + 1) \) satisfy the recurrence relations
\[
\tilde{a}[\nu, \kappa] - \tilde{a}[\nu - 2, \kappa] = \frac{\Gamma(\nu - \frac{2}{\alpha} + 1)}{\Gamma(\nu - \frac{1}{\alpha})}\tilde{a}[\nu - 2, \kappa - \frac{2}{\alpha}],
\]
It follows that
\[
\mathcal{I}_{\infty, m}(\alpha) \cdot \mathcal{J}_{m, k}(\alpha) = \mathcal{I}_{\alpha, k}(\alpha) \cdot \frac{\tilde{a}[m, k] - \tilde{a}[m - 2, k]}{\tilde{a}[k, k]},
\]
where we also used that \( \tilde{a}[k - 2, k] = 0 \) by definition. With this at hand, the right-hand side of (6.33) becomes a telescope sum. Evaluating it, we obtain
\[
\mathbb{E} f_{k-1}(\text{conv} \, \Pi_{d, \alpha}) = 2 \mathcal{I}_{\infty, k}(\alpha) \cdot \sum_{m \in \{k, \ldots, d\}, m \equiv d \pmod{2}} \frac{\tilde{a}[m, k] - \tilde{a}[m - 2, k]}{\tilde{a}[k, k]} = 2 \mathcal{I}_{\infty, k}(\alpha) \cdot \frac{\tilde{a}[d, k]}{\tilde{a}[k, k]}.
\]
Using (6.34), the relation \( \tilde{a}[n,k] = n! \tilde{a}[n,k] \) and the formula \( \tilde{a}[k,k] = \frac{1}{k} \tilde{c}_{\alpha k+\frac{1}{2}} \) that follows from (6.12), we arrive at

\[
\mathbb{E}f_{k-1}(\text{conv } \Pi_{d,\alpha}) = 2\alpha^{k-1}\frac{d!}{k!} \cdot \tilde{c}_{\alpha k+\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \tilde{a}[d,k].
\]

Recalling the definition of \( \tilde{a}[d,k] \) given in (6.8) completes the proof of (6.32) under the assumption \( \alpha k > 1 \). To prove it under the weaker assumption \( \alpha > 0 \), we use analytic continuation. Fix \( d \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( k \in \{1, \ldots, d\} \). We claim that the function \( \alpha \mapsto \mathbb{E}f_{k-1}(\text{conv } \Pi_{d,\alpha}) \) can be analytically continued to the half-plane \( \Re \alpha > 0 \). Indeed, all terms on the right-hand side of (6.33) can be analytically continued to this half-plane. For the \( \tilde{I}_{\infty,m} \)-terms this follows from (6.34), whereas for the \( \tilde{J}_{m,k} \)-terms we established this in the proof of Theorem 1.7. As in this proof, one also shows that the right-hand side of (6.32) defines an analytic function of \( \alpha \) in the half-plane \( \Re \alpha > -\frac{1}{d} \). By the uniqueness of analytic continuation, (6.32) holds for all \( \alpha > 0 \). \( \square \)

6.8. **Evaluating the residue: Proof of Proposition 1.11**. The proof is similar to the proof of Proposition 1.15, but is simpler. In view of Theorem 1.8 we have to show that

\[
P_{\alpha,k}(n) := \alpha^{n-k}[x^{-1}]h_{n,k}(x)
\]

is a polynomial of \( n \) with rational coefficients, where

\[
h_{n,k}(x) = \left(\frac{\int_0^x (\sin y)^{\alpha-1} dy}{(\sin x)^{\alpha n-1}}\right)^{n-k}.
\]

Let us analyze the Laurent series of \( h_{n,k}(x) \) at \( x = 0 \). We have the Taylor series

\[
\int_0^x (\sin y)^{\alpha-1} dy = \frac{x^\alpha}{\alpha} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j x^{2j},
\]

where \( a_0, a_2, \ldots \) are rational numbers with \( a_0 = 1 \). Raising this series to the \( (n-k) \)-th power and arguing as in the proof of Proposition 1.15 we obtain

\[
\left(\int_0^x (\sin y)^{\alpha-1} dy\right)^{n-k} = \frac{x^{\alpha(n-k)}}{\alpha^{n-k}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_j(n) x^{2j}
\]

for some polynomials \( b_0(n), b_2(n), \ldots \) with rational coefficients and \( b_0(n) = 1 \). Similarly,

\[
(\sin x)^{\alpha n-1} = x^{\alpha n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_j(n) x^{2j}
\]

for some polynomials \( c_0(n), c_2(n), \ldots \) with rational coefficients and \( c_0(n) = 1 \). Taking the quotient of the power series (6.35) and (6.36), we obtain

\[
h_{n,k}(x) = x^{-\alpha k+1} \alpha^{-(n-k)} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} d_j(n) x^{2j}
\]

for some polynomials \( d_0(n), d_2(n), \ldots \) with rational coefficients and \( d_0(n) = 1 \). For the residue of \( h_{n,k}(x) \) we thus obtain

\[
\alpha^{n-k}[x^{-1}]h_{n,k}(x) = d_{\alpha k+\frac{1}{2}}(n),
\]

which is a polynomial in \( n \) with rational coefficients. \( \square \)
6.9. Remarks on consistency of notation. In the special case $\alpha = 2$ we have two definitions of the quantities $\tilde{a}[\nu, \kappa]$ and $\tilde{b}[\nu, \kappa]$. These were given in Section 6 see (6.2), (6.7), (6.14), and in the present Section 6 see (6.2), (6.7), (6.14). Let us argue that these definitions are equivalent.

For $\tilde{b}[\nu, \kappa]$, it is evident that (4.3) is equivalent to (6.2) (where we take $\alpha = 2$ and observe that $F(x) = 1 + \sin x$; see also (6.14)). To prove that the definitions of $\tilde{a}[\nu, \kappa]$ given in (4.3) and (6.7), (6.14) are equivalent, it suffices to check that with either of the definitions the matrices

\[
((-1)^m \tilde{b}[n, m])_{n, m \in \mathbb{N}} \quad \text{and} \quad ((-1)^k (m - \frac{1}{2}) \tilde{a}[m - 1, k - 1])_{m, k \in \mathbb{N}}
\]

are inverses of each other. This has been established in Propositions 5.1 and 6.9. Note that although Proposition 6.9 deals with $\tilde{b}$ and $\tilde{a}$ instead of $\tilde{a}$ and $\tilde{a}$, both versions are equivalent when $\alpha = 2$, as follows from (6.14).

In the special case $\alpha = 1$ the definitions of the quantities $\tilde{a}[\nu, \kappa]$ and $\tilde{b}[\nu, \kappa]$ given in (6.2), (6.7), (6.14) are almost equivalent to the definitions of $A[n, k]$ and $B[n, k]$ given in [21]. We have $\tilde{b}[n, k] = B[n, k]$, which follows directly by comparing Equations (5.2), (6.14) of the present paper with Equations (1.9), (2.14) of [21]. Also, we have $\tilde{a}[n, k] = \frac{1}{2} A[n, k]$. This is most easily seen if $k$ is even. Indeed, by Equation (1.15) in [21] (which we regard as the definition of $A[n, k]$) and Theorem 2.3 of the present paper, we have

\[
A[n, k] = \frac{k!}{\pi} \mathbb{E} f_{n-k}(Z_n) = \frac{n!}{(n-k)!} [x^k] \left( \frac{x}{\sin x} \right)^{n+1} = \frac{n!}{(n-k)!} \text{Res}_{x=0} \left[ \frac{x^{n-k}}{(\sin x)^{n+1}} \right].
\]

The right-hand side equals $2 \tilde{a}[n, k]$ by Proposition 6.11. Without parity restrictions on $k$, the equality $\tilde{a}[n, k] = \frac{1}{2} A[n, k]$ can be established by comparing the following two formulae for $\mathbb{J}_{n,k}(\frac{\alpha+n-1}{2})$: Equation (6.28) from the present paper and Equation (3.9) from [21].

7. Expected face numbers of beta and beta’ polytopes

7.1. Beta polytopes. Let $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ be i.i.d. points in $\mathbb{R}^d$ with probability distribution $f_{d, \beta}$, where $\beta \geq -1$. Their convex hull $P_{n,d}^\beta := \{X_1, \ldots, X_n\}$ is called the beta polytope. We are interested in the expected f-vector of this random polytope. The case when $\beta \rightarrow -\infty$ corresponds to the Gaussian polytope. By a result of Baryshnikov and Vitale [4], its expected f-vector coincides with the expected f-vector of the orthogonal projection of the regular simplex with $n$ vertices onto a random, uniformly distributed $d$-dimensional subspace. For the latter model, the expected f-vector has been determined by Affentranger and Schneider [2] in terms of the internal and external angles of the regular simplex. For finite values of $\beta$, explicit results have been available only in some special cases [3, 6, 11, 27], see also Section 1.1. We are now in position to determine the complete expected f-vector.

**Theorem 7.1.** Let $d \geq 3$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $n \geq d + 1$. Also, let $\beta \geq -1$ and define $\alpha := 2 \beta + d$. Then, for all $k \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$ we have

\[
\mathbb{E} f_{k-1}(P_{n,d}^\beta) = \frac{2 \cdot n!}{k!} \left( \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\alpha}{2})}{2\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\frac{\alpha+1}{2})} \right)^{n-k} \sum_{m \in \{k, \ldots, d\} \atop m \equiv d \; (\text{mod} \; 2)} b[n, m] \left( m + \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) a \left[ m + \frac{2}{\alpha}, k + \frac{2}{\alpha} \right],
\]

where $b[\cdot, \cdot]$ and $a[\cdot, \cdot]$ are given by (5.2) and (5.9).

**Proof.** In [26, Theorem 1.2] it was shown that

\[
\mathbb{E} f_{k-1}(P_{n,d}^\beta) = 2 \sum_{m \in \{k, \ldots, d\} \atop m \equiv d \; (\text{mod} \; 2)} \mathbb{I}_{n,m}(\alpha) \mathbb{I}_{n,k}(\frac{\alpha - m + 1}{2}).
\]
Then, for all $k$ follows from [19, Proposition 5.6] and Theorem 1.6.

7.2. Beta’ polytopes.

where $\beta > r$ rational numbers by [19, Proposition 5.4] and Theorem 1.6, respectively. If $\alpha$ is even, then the terms $\bar{J}_{n,m}(\alpha)$ and $\bar{J}_{m,k}(\alpha-m+1)$ involved in (7.1) are rational numbers by [19, Proposition 5.4] and Theorem 1.6 respectively. If $\alpha$ is odd, the claim follows from [19, Proposition 5.6] and Theorem 1.6.

7.2. Beta’ polytopes. Let $\tilde{X}_1, \ldots, \tilde{X}_n$ be i.i.d. points in $\mathbb{R}^d$ with probability distribution $\tilde{f}_{d, \beta}$, where $\beta > \frac{d}{2}$. Their convex hull $\tilde{P}^\beta_{n,d} := [X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ is called the beta’ polytope. In the next theorem we compute its expected f-vector.

Theorem 7.3. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $n \geq d+1$. Let also $\beta > \frac{d}{2}$ and put $\alpha := 2\beta - d > 0$. Then, for all $k \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$ such that $\alpha k > 1$ we have

$$\mathbb{E}f_{k-1}(\tilde{P}^\beta_{n,d}) = 2 \cdot \frac{n!}{k!} \left( \frac{\Gamma(n+1/2)}{2\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(n+3/2)} \right)^{n-k} \sum_{\substack{m \in \{k, \ldots, d\} \\
 \equiv d \pmod{2}}} \tilde{b}(n,m) \left( m - \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) \tilde{a} \left[ m - \frac{2}{\alpha} \right],$$

where $\tilde{b}(\cdot, \cdot)$ and $\tilde{a}(\cdot)$ are given by (6.2) and (6.7).

Proof. In [26, Theorem 1.14] it was shown that

$$\mathbb{E}f_{k-1}(\tilde{P}^\beta_{n,d}) = 2 \sum_{\substack{m \in \{k, \ldots, d\} \\
 \equiv d \pmod{2}}} \bar{J}_{n,m}(\alpha) \bar{J}_{m,k}(\alpha-m+1).$$

For the terms appearing on the right-hand side we have shown in (6.23) and (6.28) that

$$\bar{J}_{n,m}(\alpha) = \alpha^{-n} n! \bar{c}_m \cdot \frac{\alpha^m}{m!} \cdot \tilde{b}(n,m),$$

$$\bar{J}_{m,k}(\alpha-m+1) = \alpha^{-m} m! \cdot \frac{\alpha^{m-k}}{(m-k)!} \cdot \tilde{c}_m \cdot \frac{2\pi}{\alpha} \tilde{a} \left[ m - \frac{2}{\alpha} \right] \bar{J}_{m,k}(\alpha-m+1).$$
Plugging these formulae into (7.2) and performing straightforward simplifications yields the required formula.

The special case of beta’ polytopes with \( \alpha = 1 \) (and \( \beta = \frac{d+1}{2} \)) is related to random convex hulls on the half-sphere, a model first studied in [3]. The connection to beta’ polytopes has been exploited in [23] and [21]. Let us also mention that the Poisson polytope \( \Pi_{d,\alpha} \) with \( \alpha = 2\beta - d \) can be seen as the weak limit, as \( n \to \infty \), of the beta’-polytope \( \tilde{P}_{n,d}^\beta \) rescaled by a constant multiple of \( n^{-1/\alpha} \); see [10, Theorem 3.1] and [23, Theorem 2.1], [25, Sections 1.4,1.5]. The next theorem clarifies the arithmetic structure of the expected \( f \)-vector of \( \tilde{P}_{n,d}^\beta \).

**Theorem 7.4.** Let \( d \in \mathbb{N}, n \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( k \in \{1, \ldots, d\} \) be such that \( n \geq d + 1 \). Also, let \( \beta > \frac{d}{2} \) be integer or half-integer.

(a) If \( 2\beta - d \) is even, then \( \mathbb{E}f_{k-1}(\tilde{P}_{n,d}^\beta) \) is a rational number.

(b) If \( 2\beta - d \) is odd, then \( \mathbb{E}f_{k-1}(\tilde{P}_{n,d}^\beta) \) can be expressed as a linear combination of the numbers

\[ \pi - 2r, \quad r = 0, 1, \ldots, \left\lfloor \frac{n-k}{2} \right\rfloor, \]

with rational coefficients.

**Proof.** If \( \alpha := 2\beta - d \) is even, then the terms \( \tilde{I}_{n,m}(\alpha) \) and \( \tilde{J}_{m,k}(\alpha + m - 1/2) \) appearing in (7.2) are rational numbers by [19, Theorem 2.10(a)] and Theorem 1.10, respectively. If \( \alpha \) is even, the claim follows from [19, Theorem 2.10(b)] and Theorem 1.10.

8. Poincaré and Dehn-Sommerville relations

8.1. **Statement of the relations.** Let \( T \) be a \( d \)-dimensional simplex with \( n = d + 1 \) vertices and recall that \( \sigma_k(T) \) denotes the sum of internal angles of \( T \) at all of its \( k \)-vertex faces, where \( k \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \). The quantities \( \sigma_k(T) \) satisfy the following Poincaré relations:

\[
\sum_{k=m}^{n} (-1)^k \binom{k}{m} \sigma_k(T) = (-1)^n \sigma_m(T),
\]

for all \( m \in \{0, \ldots, n\} \); see [41] and [14, p. 304]. In the special case \( m = 0 \) (where we put \( \sigma_0(T) := 0 \)) this relation is also called the Euler-Gram relation.

On the other hand, the \( f \)-vector of any \( d \)-dimensional simplicial polytope \( P \) satisfies the so-called Dehn-Sommerville relations which we shall write in the form

\[
\sum_{k=m}^{d} (-1)^k \binom{k}{m} f_{k-1}(P) = (-1)^d f_{m-1}(P),
\]

for all \( m \in \{0, \ldots, d\} \); see [14, p. 146]. The special case \( m = 0 \) (where we put \( f_{-1}(P) = 1 \)) is the classical Euler relation.

Due to the obvious similarity between (8.1) and (8.2), it is natural to treat them in a uniform way. To this end, let us consider some real numbers \( z_0, \ldots, z_n \) satisfying the general relations

\[
\sum_{k=m}^{n} (-1)^k \binom{k}{m} z_k = (-1)^n z_m, \quad m \in \{0, \ldots, n\}.
\]

Obviously, both (8.1) and (8.2) become special cases of (8.3) upon putting \( z_k = \sigma_k(T) \) and \( z_k = f_{k-1}(P) \), respectively.
8.2. **Even-indexed and odd-indexed terms.** It is well-known that relations (8.3) are highly linearly dependent. Various ways of constructing an equivalent system of independent relations are discussed in [14, § 9.2]. With the aim of proving Propositions 1.4, 1.9, 2.2, we are interested in expressing the even-indexed quantities through the odd-indexed ones, and vice versa. To state the corresponding formula, let $B_0 = 1$, $B_2 = \frac{1}{6}$, $B_4 = -\frac{1}{30}$, ... denote the Bernoulli numbers which appear in the expansions

$$\frac{z}{2} \coth \frac{z}{2} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_{2n}}{(2n)!} z^{2n}, \quad \frac{z}{2} \tanh \frac{z}{2} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2^{2n} - 1)B_{2n}}{(2n)!} z^{2n}, \quad |z| < \pi. \quad (8.4)$$

**Proposition 8.1.** Let $z_0, \ldots, z_n$ be real numbers satisfying (8.3). Then, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$ we have

$$z_k = 2 \sum_{r=-1,1,3,\ldots} \frac{B_{r+1} (k + r)!}{(r+1)!} z_{k+r}, \quad \text{if } n - k \text{ is even}, \quad (8.5)$$

$$z_k = 2 \sum_{r=1,3,5,\ldots} (2^{r+1} - 1) \frac{B_{r+1} (k + r)!}{(r+1)!} z_{k+r}, \quad \text{if } n - k \text{ is odd}. \quad (8.6)$$

**Proof.** Equations (8.6) are due to Peschl [40] and, as he mentions, some ideas go back to Schlaffi [17]. We shall give here an independent proof of both, (8.5) and (8.6), since parts of this argument will be needed below. Introduce new variables $y_0, \ldots, y_n$ by $z_k = \frac{1}{n!} y_{n-k}$ for all $k \in \{0, \ldots, n\}$. With this notation, Equation (8.3) takes the form

$$\sum_{k=m}^{n} (-1)^{n-k} \frac{y_{n-k}}{(k-m)!} = y_{n-m}, \quad m \in \{0, \ldots, n\}. \quad (8.3)$$

With $\ell := n - m \in \{0, \ldots, n\}$, we can rewrite the equation as follows:

$$\frac{y_0}{\ell!} + \frac{y_2}{(\ell - 2)!} + \ldots + \frac{y_{\ell-1}}{1!} = \frac{y_1}{(\ell - 1)!} + \frac{y_3}{(\ell - 3)!} + \ldots + \frac{y_{\ell-2}}{2!} + 2y_{\ell}, \quad \text{if } \ell \text{ is odd}, \quad (8.7)$$

$$\frac{y_1}{\ell!} + \frac{y_2}{(\ell - 2)!} + \ldots + \frac{y_{\ell-2}}{2!} = \frac{y_1}{(\ell - 1)!} + \frac{y_3}{(\ell - 3)!} + \ldots + \frac{y_{\ell-1}}{1!}, \quad \text{if } \ell \text{ is even}, \quad (8.8)$$

where we cancelled $y_{\ell}$ in (8.8). Introduce the generating functions

$$f_{\text{even}}(u) := \sum_{r=0,2,4,\ldots} y_r u^r, \quad f_{\text{odd}}(u) := \sum_{r=1,3,5,\ldots} y_r u^r. \quad (8.5)$$

With this notation, we can write (8.7) and (8.8) as

$$f_{\text{even}}(u) \cdot \sinh u = f_{\text{odd}}(u) \cdot (\cosh u + 1) + O(u^{n+1}), \quad (8.9)$$

$$f_{\text{even}}(u) \cdot (\cosh u - 1) = f_{\text{odd}}(u) \cdot \sinh u + O(u^{n+1}). \quad (8.10)$$

Dividing both sides of (8.9) and (8.10) by $\sinh u = u + O(u)$, we obtain

$$f_{\text{even}}(u) = f_{\text{odd}}(u) \cdot \coth \left(\frac{u}{2}\right) + O(u^n), \quad f_{\text{odd}}(u) = f_{\text{even}}(u) \cdot \tanh \left(\frac{u}{2}\right) + O(u^n). \quad (8.11)$$

Recalling the Laurent series of $\coth(\frac{u}{2})$ and $\tanh(\frac{u}{2})$ given in (8.4) and comparing the coefficients of $u^{n-k}$, where $k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, we get

$$y_{n-k} = 2 \sum_{r=-1,1,3,\ldots} \frac{B_{r+1}}{(r+1)!} y_{n-k-r}, \quad \text{if } n - k \text{ is even},$$

where $z_0, \ldots, z_n$ are discussed in [14, § 9.2].
\[ y_{n-k} = 2 \sum_{r=1,3,...}^{n} (2^{r+1} - 1) \frac{B_{r+1}}{(r+1)!} y_{n-k-r}, \quad \text{if } n-k \text{ is odd.} \]

Recalling that \( y_{n-k} = \frac{k}{m} z_k \) yields \( \text{(8.5)} \) and \( \text{(8.6)} \).

8.3. **Proof of Proposition 1.4** Fix some integer \( n \geq 3 \) and some even \( \alpha \geq n - 3 \). Since the Poincaré relations are linear, we can apply them to the beta simplex and take the expectation, which yields

\[ \sum_{k=m}^{n} (-1)^k \binom{k}{m} J_{n,k} \left( \frac{\alpha - n + 1}{2} \right) = (-1)^n J_{n,m} \left( \frac{\alpha - n + 1}{2} \right) \]

for all \( m \in \{0, \ldots, n\} \). We can therefore apply Proposition 8.1 and its proof to the quantities \( z_k := J_{n,k}(\frac{\alpha - n + 1}{2}) \), where \( k \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \), and \( z_0 := 0 \). For all \( k \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \) such that \( r := n - k \) is odd, the quantities \( y_r = \frac{(\alpha - r)!}{n!} z_{n-r} \) appearing in the proof of Proposition 8.1 are given by Theorem 1.3(i) as follows:

\[ y_r = \pi c_{\frac{\alpha n}{2}} \text{Res}_{x=0} \left( \frac{\sinh^{-1} \left( \frac{\alpha - r}{x} \right)}{r! (\sin x)^{\alpha n+2}} \right). \]

It follows that the function \( f_{\text{odd}}(u) \) is given by

\[ f_{\text{odd}}(u) = \pi c_{\frac{\alpha n}{2}} \sum_{r=1,3,5,...}^{n} \text{Res}_{x=0} \left( \frac{\sinh \left( \frac{\alpha - r}{x} \right) \int_{0}^{x} (\sin y)^{\alpha} dy}{r! (\sin x)^{\alpha n+2}} \right). \]

Interchanging the sum and the residue and using the Taylor series of the sinh-function, we can write this as

\[ f_{\text{odd}}(u) = \pi c_{\frac{\alpha n}{2}} \text{Res}_{x=0} \left( \frac{\sinh \left( \frac{\alpha - r}{x} \right) \int_{0}^{x} (\sin y)^{\alpha} dy}{(\sin x)^{\alpha n+2}} \right) + O(u^{n+1}). \]

It follows from the first equation in (8.11) that

\[ f_{\text{even}}(u) = \pi c_{\frac{\alpha n}{2}} \text{coth} \left( \frac{u}{2} \right) \cdot \text{Res}_{x=0} \left( \frac{\sinh \left( \frac{\alpha - r}{x} \right) \int_{0}^{x} (\sin y)^{\alpha} dy}{(\sin x)^{\alpha n+2}} \right) + O(u^{n}). \]

Recall that the residue is the coefficient of \( x^{-1} \). Let \( k \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \) be such that \( r = n - k \) is even. Comparing the coefficients of \( u^{n-k} x^{-1} \), we obtain

\[ J_{n,k} \left( \frac{\alpha - n + 1}{2} \right) = \frac{n!}{k!} y_{n-k} = \frac{n!}{k!} \pi c_{\frac{\alpha n}{2}} \cdot [u^{n-k} x^{-1}] \left( \frac{\sinh \left( \frac{\alpha - r}{x} \right) \int_{0}^{x} (\sin y)^{\alpha} dy}{\tanh \left( \frac{u}{2} \right) \cdot (\sin x)^{\alpha n+2}} \right). \]

To complete the proof, we observe that \( \sinh v = \sin(iv) \) and \( \tanh v = \tan(iv) \), hence replacing the hyperbolic functions by the corresponding trigonometric functions yields an additional factor of \((-1)^{(n-k)/2} \).

The proofs of Propositions 1.9 and 2.2 are similar except that now one has to use one of the equalities in (8.11) depending on whether the codimension \( d - k \) or \( n - k \) is even or odd.
9. Final remarks

We leaved aside the statement of the reciprocity law expressing expected internal angles as the analytic continuation of the expected external angles. Also, it is natural to conjecture that the quantities related to beta’ polytopes can be reduced to the corresponding quantities for beta polytopes after replacing $\beta$ by $-\beta$, which also requires analytic continuation. We hope to return to these questions in another publication.
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