MR Imaging Features of Giant Reservoir Formation in the Orbit: An Unusual Complication of Ahmed Glaucoma Valve Implantation

SUMMARY: We report the MR imaging findings of an unusually large fluid collection, so-called giant reservoir, around an Ahmed glaucoma valve implant that caused progressive proptosis in a patient with posttraumatic glaucoma. Although other cystic lesions of the juxtalacrimal area of the orbit, such as dermoid cyst, can present similar imaging findings, the characteristic morphology and location should lead the radiologist to the correct nature of this cystic lesion.

G laucoma drainage devices provide an alternative treatment in complicated and refractory glaucoma cases.1,2 The Ahmed glaucoma valve (AGV) implant (New World Medical, Rancho Cucamonga, Calif) is 1 of the common ocular tube devices currently used for this surgical technique. To prevent ocular hypotony, it has a unidirectional valve designed to offer a set resistance to the aqueous outflow from the anterior chamber with an intraocular pressure of 8 mm Hg or less.3,4 The aqueous humor forms a fibrous encapsulated bleb on the endplate of the implant, which is resorbed through intercellular spaces and the lymphatic system of the adjacent periocular tissues. Sometimes this bleb becomes unusually large, and a so-called giant reservoir is formed, which can simulate a cystic lesion in the orbit if the ophthalmologic history is not known. We present the MR imaging features of the giant reservoir formed after AGV implantation that caused progressive proptosis in a patient with posttraumatic glaucoma.

Case Report

A 22-year-old man presented with a progressive proptosis and pain of the right eye noticed for 1 week. At 14 years of age, he suffered a blunt injury in the right eye necessitating penetrating keratoplasty. Six years later, secondary glaucoma developed, which was refractory to a 3-month trial of medical treatment, and AGV implantation was performed as described by Coleman et al.5 During the 30-month follow-up, until recently, the intraocular pressure had been 28 –30 mm Hg and 14 mm Hg, respectively. Axial biometry readings were 17.5-mm OD and 15-mm OS.

For identifying the cause of progressive proptosis, MR examination of the orbit was performed using a 3T scanner (Achieva; Philips Medical Systems, Best, the Netherlands), which revealed a 1.7-cm ovoid, thin-walled, cystic lesion in the superotemporal aspect of the right orbit between the lacrimal gland and the globe (Fig 1). The lesion was apparently partitioned by the centrally located curvilinear band showing very dark signal intensity on both T1- and T2-weighted images (Fig 1A –B). The lesion caused mild indentation of the adjacent superotemporal wall of the globe, which was mildly displaced anteroinferiorly. These MR imaging appearances were thought to correspond with a large fluid collection, so-called giant reservoir, surrounding the endplate of the AGV implant.

Discussion

Glaucoma drainage implants are being increasingly used in recent years and have been used successfully for the treatment of complicated glaucomas, including neovascular glaucoma, aphakic and pseudoaphakic glaucoma, postpenetrating keratoplasty glaucoma, pediatric glaucoma, and uveitic glaucoma.6,7 The implants currently in common use include the AGV implant, the Baerveldt glaucoma implant (Advanced Medical Optics, Santa Ana, Calif), the Krupin slit valve (Hood Laboratories, Pembroke, Mass), and the Molteno implant (Molteno Ophthalmic, Dunedin, New Zealand).

The AGV implant, first introduced in 1993, consists of a drainage tube made of silicone and a scarab-shaped endplate made of either polypropylene or silicone (Fig 2). Fenestrations have been added to the plate of the silicone models. Different sizes (96, 184, and 364 mm2) according to the surface area of the endplate are commercially available.7 Implants with a larger surface area and a thin capsule at the endplate will have a greater effect in lowering the patient’s intraocular pressure. The endplate rests on the scleral surface after an incision of the conjunctiva and Tenon capsule, usually at the superior equator of the globe between the superior and lateral rectus muscles. The AGV is designed to minimize postoperative hypotony by adding a valve mechanism to an aqueous shunt surgery device to maintain a more predictable intraocular pressure. Moreover, the tube dose not require occlusion with a ligature or obturator, thus simplifying the initial surgery and eliminating the need for an additional procedure to remove the obturator.8

AGV implantation carries a diverse range of postoperative complications.9 The early complications include transient hypotony, shallow anterior chamber, tube blockage, and hemorrhage. The late complications are encapsulated bleb formation, exposure and malposition of tube, corneal decompression, extrusion of implant, and fibrotic reaction around the valve.

An encapsulated bleb (also called a Tenon cyst) is a common postoperative complication after AGV implantation, which de-
differences in the biomaterial, shape, and consistency of the rate of bleb encapsulation has also been reported to be related to ligated, nonvalved implant may elicit a less fibrous reaction. The space when the Ahmed implant is used, and delayed flow with a factors may stimulate the fibrotic response in the subconjunctival suggested that immediate aqueous filtration with inflammatory a dermoid cyst, which is particularly common around the lacri-

velops when Tenon’s capsule adheres to the episcleral space forming a high, domed, smooth, 2-layered bleb. The encapsulated bleb is impervious to the aqueous humor, which results in intraocular pressure elevation. The incidence of bleb encapsulation is much higher with the AGV than the other glaucoma drainage implants and has been estimated to be between 40% and 80%, which might be attributed to certain factors of the aque-

ous humor that stimulate a fibrotic response. Tsai et al have suggested that immediate aqueous filtration with inflammatory factors may stimulate the fibrotic response in the subconjunctival space when the Ahmed implant is used, and delayed flow with a ligated, nonvalved implant may elicit a less fibrous reaction. The rate of bleb encapsulation has also been reported to be related to differences in the biomaterial, shape, and consistency of the endplate.

Sometimes as seen in this case, an unusually large encapsulated bleb, so-called giant reservoir, is formed around the endplate of the AGV implant and can clinically produce proptosis and radiologically mimic other cystic lesions of the orbit, such as a dermoid cyst, which is particularly common around the lacri-

mal gland fossa. The diagnosis can be even more difficult if the ophthalmologic history is not known. On MR imaging, the giant reservoir associated with AGV implantation is seen as a thin-walled ovoid cyst located at the superotemporal aspect of the orbit near the lacrimal gland fossa. The wall of the cyst is probably formed by the fibrous pseudocapsule. The silicone-made endplate of the implant is seen as a very dark band located at the center of the cyst on both T1- and T2-weighted MR images. Although digital massage and antifibrotic medication can effectively reduce the size of the reservoir in most cases, surgical removal of scar tissues should be considered in resistant cases.

In conclusion, we report the MR imaging findings of the giant reservoir formed after AGV implantation in a patient with posttraumatic glaucoma. Although other cystic lesions, such as dermoid cyst, can present similar imaging findings, the characteristic morphology and location should lead the radiologist to the correct nature of this cystic lesion.
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