Can SpRY recognize any PAM in human cells?
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Abstract: The application of clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPR) and CRISPR-associated proteins (Cas) can be limited due to a lack of compatible protospacer adjacent motif (PAM) sequences in the DNA regions of interest. Recently, SpRY, a variant of Streptococcus pyogenes Cas9 (SpCas9), was reported, which nearly completely fulfills the PAM requirement. Meanwhile, PAMs for SpRY have not been well addressed. In our previous study, we developed the PAM Definition by Observable Sequence Excision (PAM-DOSE) and green fluorescent protein (GFP)-reporter systems to study PAMs in human cells. Herein, we endeavored to identify the PAMs of SpRY with these two methods. The results indicated that 5’-NRN-3’, 5’-NTA-3’, and 5’-NCK-3’ could be considered as canonical PAMs. 5’-NCA-3’ and 5’-NTK-3’ may serve as non-priority PAMs. At the same time, PAM of 5’-NYC-3’ is not recommended for human cells. These findings provide further insights into the application of SpRY for human genome editing.
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1 Introduction

Clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPR) and CRISPR-associated protein 9 (Cas9) nucleases trigger targeted gene editing in a wide variety of organisms and living cells, and provide a potential avenue for improved therapy in genetic diseases (Hsu et al., 2014; Jiang and Doudna, 2017; Komor et al., 2017). For target site recognition, Cas9 is programmed by a chimeric single-guide RNA (sgRNA) that encodes a sequence complementary to a target protospacer (Jinek et al., 2012), and the recognition of a short neighboring protospacer adjacent motif (PAM) is also required (Mojica et al., 2009; Jinek et al., 2012; Shah et al., 2013; Sternberg et al., 2014). The application of CRISPR/Cas9 can be limited due to a lack of compatible PAM sequences in the DNA region of interest. The most commonly used CRISPR nuclease Streptococcus pyogenes Cas9 (SpCas9) strictly recognizes a 5’-NGG-3’ PAM (Hsu et al., 2013), thereby restricting the targetable genomic loci, although 5’-NAG-3’ and 5’-NGA-3’ PAMs are considered to be non-canonical PAMs (Hsu et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2014).

In order to expand the genome-targeting scope of CRISPR/SpCas9, several SpCas9 variants recognizing additional PAM have been reported, including xCas9 and SpCas9-NG (Hu et al., 2018; Nishimasu et al., 2018). As to xCas9, it was initially reported to have flexible PAM selectivity, while a further study revealed the low activity at the sites containing 5’-NGT-3’, 5’-NGC-3’, 5’-NGA-3’, 5’-GAN-3’, and 5’-NAA-3’ PAMs, indicating its restriction on PAM (He et al., 2019). SpCas9-NG can recognize 5’-NGN-3’ PAM, which greatly relaxes the PAM requirement at the third base. At the same time, a large number of genomic loci still cannot be targeted
with it (Nishimasu et al., 2018). Recently, SpRY, a variant of S. pyogenes Cas9 (SpCas9), has been reported as a near-PAMless CRISPR/Cas9 variant (5'-NRN-3'→5'-NYN-3', R: A/G, Y: C/T), which nearly completely relaxes the PAM requirement of SpCas9 (Walton et al., 2020). With SpRY, it is possible to generate previously inaccessible disease-relevant genetic variants, increasing the utility of high-resolution targeting across genome editing applications (Walton et al., 2020). Thus far, SpRY has been harnessed for the manipulation of the genomes of different species, including *Oryza sativa* (rice), *Candida albicans*, and *Dictyostelium discoideum* (Asano et al., 2021; Evans and Bernstein, 2021; Xu et al., 2021), demonstrating the flexible PAM requirements. Recently, based on SpRY, new variants for enhanced fidelity have been identified, which would partially solve the editing scope and fidelity issue (Zhang et al., 2021).

The characterization of PAM preferences could be a key step for the development or engineering of novel CRISPR enzymes. To date, different methods have been proposed for this task, which can be broadly divided into in vitro, bacterial cell-based, and mammalian cell-based approaches (Walton et al., 2021). The high-throughput PAM determination assay (HT-PAMDA) method enables the characterization of the targeting ranges of Cas nuclease on the basis of the in vitro cleavage of plasmid libraries harboring randomized PAMs, which has been adapted for the characterization of SpRY enzyme (Walton et al., 2020). As this nuclease is involved in the expression of Cas proteins in human cells and the in vitro cleavage of target sequence using a PAM library, this reaction condition cannot be identical to that of target sequences in human cells, which may have bias for PAM identification.

In our previous studies, we developed a positive screening system termed PAM Definition by Observable Sequence Excision (PAM-DOSE) to delineate the functional PAMs in human cells (Tang et al., 2019). Also, we generated a green fluorescent protein (GFP)-reporter system to quantitatively test the efficiency of CRISPR/Cas9-mediated DNA cleavage in human cells with different PAM sequences (Zhang et al., 2014). In the present study, we sought to identify the PAMs of SpRY using the PAM-DOSE and GFP-reporter systems, to augment the understanding and benchmarking of the performance of SpRY in genome editing applications.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Plasmid construction

The plasmids pX330 (harboring the wild-type SpCas9 coding sequence), pX330-NG (harboring the SpCas9-NG coding sequence), pX601, and SpRY-ABEmax (7.10) were obtained from Addgene (plasmids #42230, #117919, #61591, and #140003; Addgene, Watertown, MA, USA). To make the constructs comparable, we generated all constructs using the same backbone. The coding sequences for SpCas9 from SpCas9-NG and SpRY-ABEmax (7.10) were amplified and inserted into the backbone of pX330 without the SpCas9-coding sequence. The coding sequence of SpCas9 from SpRY-ABEmax (7.10) was initially inactive and was mutated to the active form using site-directed mutagenesis for this study. The primers used to construct the plasmid pX330-SpRY are listed in Table S1. SgRNA oligos were annealed and inserted into the backbone of pX330 using a standard protocol. The vector plasmid pmTmG, which contains *tdTomato*, enhanced green fluorescent protein (*EGFP*), and target sites, has been described in our previous study (Yang et al., 2017). The generation of PAM libraries was performed as detailed in our previous work (Tang et al., 2019). The target sequences and the oligonucleotide sequences for sgRNA construction of Zeo, Neo, and *sloxP* were listed in Tables S2 and S3, respectively. Plasmid DNA was isolated using established protocols. The DNA sequencing confirmed the presence of the specific sequences required in the construct.

2.2 Cells and their culture

HEK-293 cells obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC; CAT#CRL-1573) were grown at 37 °C under 5% CO₂ in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM; Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA), supplemented with 10% (volume fraction) heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS) and penicillin/streptomycin. HEK-293 cells expressing *EGFP*, named 293-SC1, were described previously (Zhang et al., 2014). To maintain *EGFP* expression, the medium for 293-SC1 culture included puromycin.
2.3 Transfection, images, and flow cytometry analysis

In the PAM-DOSE experiment, $1.8 \times 10^5$ HEK-293 cells were seeded per well in a 12-well plate on Day 1. On Day 2, HEK-293 cells were co-transfected with 400 ng of the pmTmG PAM library and 0.75 times the molar number of plasmids expressing Cas and sgRNA, using TurboFect (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). On Day 3, fresh medium was added to the wells of transfected HEK-293 cells. On Day 4, images were obtained under an inverted fluorescence microscope (Nikon TS2-FL, Nikon, Tokyo, Japan).

For the GFP-reporter system-based PAM study, 293-SC1 cells were plated at a density of $0.9 \times 10^5$ cells/well in a 24-well plate on Day 1, and transfected with 250 ng CRISPR/Staphylococcus aureus Cas9 (SaCas9) and 125 ng sgRNA plasmids with TurboFect on Day 2. Fresh medium was added to the wells of the transfected 293-SC1 cells on Day 3. The transfected cells were analyzed using a FACS-Calibur flow cytometer (BD Biosciences, NY, USA) on Day 4. The EGFP target sequences and the oligonucleotide sequences for sgRNA construction targeting them are listed in Tables S4 and S5.

2.4 Sequence analysis

The purification of plasmids from the cells was performed using standard protocols. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was used to amplify the sequences flanking the CRISPR-targeted locus, and the products were inserted into the vector pJET1.2 (CloneJET PCR Cloning Kit, Thermo Fisher Scientific) for Sanger sequencing. The fragment flanking the target sequence was amplified for next generation sequencing (NGS) via a two-round PCR protocol including barcodes for the amplicons. The detailed sequences of the primers used are listed in Table S6. Amplicons were subsequently subjected to paired-end read sequencing using the Hiseq-PE150 strategy of Novogene (Nanjing, China). The deep sequencing data are available at the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) Sequence Read Archive (SRA) under Bio-Project PRJNA727919 (SRA: SRR14460859; sample accession numbers, SAMN19065633). The open source software CRISPResso Version 1.0.10 (Pinello et al., 2016) was employed to analyze the status of base editing. The PAM regions without indels within three bases of the PAM were extracted. Then, PAMs were counted and used to generate sequence logos (Crooks et al., 2004).

3 Results

3.1 Identification of PAM preferences with PAM-DOSE

In our previous study, we developed a system termed PAM-DOSE to delineate the functional PAMs in human cells (Tang et al., 2019). Specifically, there are two target sequences, as illustrated in Fig. 1a, including sloxP for the guide RNA spacer for SaCas9 and spacer N₄ harboring a spacer plus four randomized nucleotides for the PAM identification. After cleavage, the tdTomato cassette is excised, and the CAG promoter drives the expression of EGFP gene directly. The corresponding CRISPR/Cas-mediated cleaved fragments with functional PAMs in human cells could be harvested for DNA sequencing (Fig. 1b). Also, it allows for live visualization under fluorescence microscope or detection via flow cytometry analysis (FCA) (Tang et al., 2019). Using this system, in our previous study, we investigated the PAM preferences of SpCas9, as well as three Cas12a family orthologs—FnCas12a, AsCas12a, and LbCas12a (Tang et al., 2019).

In order to identify the PAM preference of SpRY in human cells, we selected two target sequences (N₄-Zeo and N₄-Neo) (Fig. 1a). SpRY, SpCas9-NG, and SpCas9-WT (wild-type SpCas9) were tested with the PAM libraries of Zeo and Neo using PAM-DOSE, as we previously reported (Tang et al., 2019). Plasmids of SaCas9-sloxP, Zeo (or Neo) PAM libraries, and SpRY (or SpCas9-NG or SpCas9-WT) were co-transfected into HEK-293 cells. The fluorescence microscope images were obtained, which demonstrated the presence of more green cells in both the Zeo or Neo PAM library with the SpRY group, as compared with SpCas9-NG or SpCas9-WT (Figs. 1c and S1). Collectively, according to the data of fluorescence microscope, it was noted that SpRY is more flexible to recognize PAMs than SpCas9-NG or SpCas9-WT.

The above results showed that SpRY may be more flexible for the requirements of PAMs, while the PAMs of SpRY cannot be directly delineated. We inserted the corresponding CRISPR/Cas-mediated cleaved fragments harboring the PAM sequence into
the pJET vectors and transformed them into competent *Escherichia coli*. We randomly picked 15 individual colonies in each of the two sites. The results of Sanger sequencing showed that the ratio of 5'-NGN-3' PAM to the total number was 0.53 to 0.60, and the ratio of 5'-NWN-3' (W: A/T) PAM to the total was 0.33 to 0.47, with a small proportion 5'-NCN-3' to the total of 0 to 0.07 (Figs. 2a and 2b). These results roughly illustrated that 5'-NDN-3' (D: A/G/T) PAM may trigger efficient cleavage.

Because of the low throughput of Sanger sequencing, we performed NGS for PAM identification. Prior
Fig. 2 Sequencing data of SpRY, SpCas9-NG, and SpCas9-WT using PAM-DOSE. (a, b) Pie chart of the PAM results for SpRY at the Zeo and Neo sites via Sanger sequencing. (c, d, e) The ratio of recognized PAMs calculated and the sequence logo based on NGS results at the Zeo sites of SpCas9-NG, SpCas9-WT, and SpRY. (f, g) The stacked bars and the heatmaps show the PAM preferences of SpRY at the Zeo and Neo sites, based on the NGS results. (h) The ratios of SpRY, SpCas9-NG, and SpCas9-WT at the Zeo and Neo sites, based on NGS results, with 16 5'-NNN-3' PAMs. NGS: next generation sequencing; PAM: protospacer adjacent motif; PAM-DOSE: PAM Definition by Observable Sequence Excision; SaCas9: Staphylococcus aureus Cas9; SpRY: a variant of SpCas9; SpCas9-NG: a variant of SpCas9 (PAM is 5'-NGN-3'); WT: wild type.
to data analysis, we tested whether the PAMs for SpCas9-WT and SpCas9-NG would be consistent as that in the literature (Hsu et al., 2013; Hirano et al., 2016; Nishimasu et al., 2018). Our results confirmed that SpCas9-WT is specific to 5'-NGG-3' PAM, and SpCas9-NG preferentially recognizes 5'-NG-3' PAM. In addition, SpCas9-WT and SpCas9-NG recognize 5'-NAG-3' and 5'-NAN-3' as non-canonical PAMs, respectively (Figs. 2c, 2d, S2a, and S2b). These results showed that the system enables highly reproducible characterizations that are consistent with the literature (Hsu et al., 2013; Hirano et al., 2016; Nishimasu et al., 2018).

Compared with SpCas9-NG and SpCas9-WT, SpRY is more flexible for the recognition of PAMs (Figs. 2e, S2c, and Table S7). Similar to that of SpCas9-NG, 5'-NGN-3' PAM accounts for the largest proportion of PAMs in SpRY. With different spacer sequences, SpRY has different preferences for 5'-NGC-3' and 5'-NCT-3' PAMs (Figs. 2f and 2g, Table S7). Specifically, if we set more than 5% of the total reads as PAMs in the Zeo library, the functional PAMs of SpRY are 5'-NDD-3' or 5'-NGC-3' (Fig. 2h). These results indicated that SpRY has the potential to recognize 5'-NDD-3' PAM, and the second and third base C may affect the preference of SpRY (Figs. 2f and 2g). Notably, there is no evidence to support that SpRY can target sequences with a 5'-NCV-3' (V: A/C/G) PAM at these two tested sites, which is consistent with the result of HT-PAMDA (Walton et al., 2020). Collectively, these results demonstrated that 5'-NDD-3' may be served as PAMs for SpRY-mediated genome editing in human cells.

3.2 Identification of PAMs of SpRY, SpCas9-NG, and SpCas9-WT with the GFP-reporter system

As PAM-DOSE can only show the proportion of recognized PAMs rather than provide direct editing efficiency, we sought to test these results in 293-SC1 cells, which harbor EGFP gene in their genome. The green fluorescence of the 293-SC1 cells would therefore be quenched, and the loss of activity is easily detectable using flow cytometry (Fig. 3a). The activity of genome editing was measured by identifying the proportion of GFP negative cells.

A total of 46 sites containing 5'-NNN-3' PAMs were evaluated with three SpCas9 nucleases (Fig. 3b). We set the PAMs with an editing efficiency of more than 15% as functional PAMs. We found that SpRY induced the highest editing efficiency with 5'-NRN-3' PAM, which is consistent with the results for PAM-DOSE and that reported by Walton et al. (2020). The top seven sites were all harboring the 5'-NGN-3' PAM. We noticed that four of these were 5'-NGC-3', compared with a low proportion with the Zeo site of PAM-DOSE or low editing efficiency in O. sativa (Li et al., 2021; Xu et al., 2021). Similar results have been found with 5'-NCT-3' PAM. Surprisingly, the efficiencies of most 5'-NCD-3' PAMs were higher than those of 5'-NTN-3' PAMs, which is not consistent with the results of PAM-DOSE (Fig. 3c). Especially, the efficiencies of target sequences with 5'-NCD-3' PAMs were all above 20%, while only target sequences with 5'-NTA-3' PAM had an efficiency higher than 20% among the 5'-NTN-3' PAMs. Collectively, with the GFP-reporter system, 5'-NRN-3', 5'-NCD-3', and 5'-NTA-3' may be considered as functional PAMs.

Our above results illustrated that SpCas9 variants possess different PAM preferences. We then wanted to evaluate the performance of the variants and its parental one with the identical target sequence. We compared the results of SpRY with those of SpCas9-WT and SpCas9-NG. The activity of SpRY and SpCas9-WT with 5'-NGG-3' PAMs was very similar. The activity of SpRY was much higher across most of the NGN sites than that of SpCas9-NG (3.08-fold for 5'-NAN-3' and 3.58-fold for 5'-NCN-3'). The efficiency of SpRY with 5'-NTN-3' PAM was approximately two-fold higher than those of 5'-NAN-3' PAMs. Notably, none of the three
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variants showed robust activity with 5'-NCC-3' PAM (Fig. 3c).

4 Discussion

It has been reported that SpRY nuclease has a more flexible PAM preference than SpCas9-WT and SpCas9-NG (Walton et al., 2020). Specifically, it relaxes or almost entirely removes the dependence of SpCas9 on specific PAMs, extending its applicability to sites with 5'-NGN-3' and 5'-NAN-3' PAM and to many sites with 5'-NCN-3' or 5'-NTN-3' PAM, albeit at a reduced relative efficiency. Therefore, SpRY greatly expands the target choices. Further studies have been performed for the genome manipulation of rice (Li et al., 2021; Xu et al., 2021). For human therapeutic purposes, there is no doubt that there is a demand for research on PAM identification using human target cells. To address this need, in the present study, we investigated the PAM preference in human cells with two systems (PAM-DOSE and GFP-reporter) developed in our previous studies. PAM-DOSE provides the PAM preference of SpRY at a specific target sequence. However, since SpRY could be utilized for the manipulation of the human genome for molecular therapeutic purpose, the results for the GFP-reporter may provide more insight into the selection of target sequence. Therefore, based on the results of these two methods, 5'-NRD-3' and 5'-NTA-3' may be treated as
canonical PAMs. 5'-NRC-3' and 5'-NCK-3' (K: G/T) triggered relative high activity in all sites with them in GFP-reporter but not in PAM-DOSE, which also could be served as PAMs. With PAM-DOSE but not with GFP-reporter, 5'-NCA-3' and 5'-NTK-3' have certain activity, and hence these may only be selected as non-priority PAMs.

Nevertheless, we acknowledge that these two assays have limitations. With respect to the PAM-DOSE assay, only the cleavage events that do not alter the PAM sequence are calculated, resulting in partial PAM preference loss. At the same time, the GFP-reporter assay only shows frameshift indels or function loss from key residues missing, which may underestimate cleavage efficiency. Therefore, we compared our results with those from the literature, and summarized the relative activity with the formula $O/O_{\text{NGG}}$, where $O$ is the value of each PAM in the methods, and $O_{\text{NGG}}$ is the value of 5'-NGG-3' PAM in each study (Table 1). When we set the PAMs with relative activity of more than 0.50 as canonical PAMs, all of the results revealed that 5'-NRN-3' could be considered as canonical PAMs. The 5'-NCG-3', 5'-NCT-3', and 5'-NTA-3' PAMs performed well in our study, and also were considered as canonical PAMs, which is not consistent with that of HT-PAMDA. It was revealed that the target sequence in plasmids (PAM-DOSE and HT-PAMDA) as episome or in the genome (GFP-reporter) may modulate the results of PAM identification as we reported previously (Tang et al., 2019). We speculated that the inconsistency between our study and HT-PAMDA may also be due to the different reaction conditions and/or different target sequences. Moreover, the relative activity of 5'-NGC-3' PAM was high in most studies in human cells but was low in O. sativa and D. discoideum genomes, which revealed that the PAM preference may not be the same among species. Furthermore, with the 5'-NYN-3' PAM, SpRY possessed different activity in the literature (Walton et al., 2020; Asano et al., 2021; Li et al., 2021; Xu et al., 2021), especially with 5'-NCA-3' and 5'-NTK-3' PAMs, indicating that their efficiencies of SpRY may be greatly affected by the target sequence or species. Notably, according to results shown in the table, we

| PAM | GFP-reporter system | NGS of human genome | Schizosaccharomyces pombe genome | NGS of rice genome | Dicystostelium discoideum tdTomato gene |
|-----|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------|
| NAA | 0.55                | 1.10                 | 1.21                          | 1.34              | 0.52                               | 0.46 | 0.02 | 2.07 | 0.39 | 0.12 | 0.05 | 1.09 | 0.05 | 0.82 |
| NAC | 0.36                | 0.96                 | 1.32                          | 1.46              | 0.93                               | 2.12 | 1.08 |
| NAG | 0.74                | 0.79                 | 1.78                          | 0.81              | 0.39                               | 1.10 | 0.78 |
| NAT | 0.82                | 0.77                 | 0.64                          | 0.71              | 0.88                               | 0.43 | 0.82 |
| NCA | 0.27                | 0.75                 | 0.15                          | 0.11              | 0.01                               | 0.52 | 0.03 |
| NCC | 0.22                | 0.35                 | 0.07                          | 0.30              | 0.13                               | 0.18 | 0.38 |
| NGG | 0.34                | 0.92                 | 0.36                          | 0.20              | 0.05                               | 1.09 | 0.05 |
| NCT | 0.40                | 0.81                 | 0.13                          | 0.80              | 0.04                               | 0.12 | 0.05 |
| NGA | 0.78                | 1.18                 | 1.13                          | 1.16              | 0.02                               | 0.26 | 0.32 |
| NGC | 0.48                | 1.24                 | 0.78                          | 1.30              | 0.02                               | 0.26 | 0.32 |
| NGT | 1.00                | 1.00                 | 1.00                          | 1.00              | 0.02                               | 0.26 | 0.32 |
| NTT | 1.22                | 1.08                 | 0.64                          | 1.36              | 0.02                               | 0.26 | 0.32 |
| NTA | 0.59                | 0.61                 | 0.22                          | 0.72              | 0.02                               | 0.26 | 0.32 |
| NTC | 0.39                | 0.40                 | 0.03                          | 0.46              | 0.02                               | 0.26 | 0.32 |
| NTG | 0.74                | 0.33                 | 0.38                          | 0.72              | 0.02                               | 0.26 | 0.32 |
| NTT | 0.79                | 0.28                 | 0.04                          | 0.18              | 0.02                               | 0.26 | 0.32 |

The relative activity was determined by the formula $O/O_{\text{NGG}}$, where $O$ is the value of each PAM in the methods, and $O_{\text{NGG}}$ is the value of 5'-NGG-3' PAM in each method. The values mean proportion in PAM-DOSE, rate constants ($k$) in HT-PAMDA, and efficiency in the others. Values higher than 0.50 are highlighted in bold type. GFP: green fluorescent protein; HT-PAMDA: high-throughput PAM determination assay; NGS: next generation sequencing; PAM: protospacer adjacent motif; PAM-DOSE: PAM Definition by Observable Sequence Excision; Ref.: reference; SpRY: a variant of Streptococcus pyogenes Cas9.
found that 5’-NYC-3’ PAM cannot be efficiently recognized by SpRY in human cells, highlighting the fact that, for the design of sgRNA, the fewest target sequences may be selected with these PAMs.

We acknowledge that PAM identification may be resolved with a PAM library integrated into the genome via site-specific recombination (Fareh et al., 2021), which may provide a better interpretation of DNA cleavage and repair in chromosomes. Further studies would be required to generate cells harboring PAM libraries for the identification of PAM preferences. The current study focused on the cleavage of SpRY instead of base editing with inactive SpRY; therefore it should be further investigated whether the situation is the same with the recognition of the target sequence for wild-type SpRY and inactive SpRY.

5 Conclusions

In this work, we identified the PAM sequences recognized by SpRY nucleases in human cells. The PAM sequences for the nuclease can be summarized as follows: 5’-NRN-3’, 5’-NTA-3’, and 5’-NCK-3’ could be considered as canonical PAMs, while 5’-NCA-3’ and 5’-NTK-3’ may be served as non-priority PAMs; 5’-NYC-3’ PAM is not recommended.
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