The flexible N-terminal motif of uL11 unique to eukaryotic ribosomes interacts with P-complex and facilitates protein translation
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ABSTRACT

Eukaryotic uL11 contains a conserved MPPKFDP motif at the N-terminus that is not found in archaeal and bacterial homologs. Here, we determined the solution structure of human uL11 by NMR spectroscopy and characterized its backbone dynamics by ¹⁵N–¹H relaxation experiments. We showed that these N-terminal residues are unstructured and flexible. Structural comparison with ribosome-bound uL11 suggests that the linker region between the N-terminal domain and C-terminal domain of human uL11 is intrinsically disordered and only becomes structured when bound to the ribosomes. Mutagenesis studies show that the N-terminal conserved MPPKFDP motif is involved in interacting with the P-complex and its extended protuberant domain of uL10 in vitro. Truncation of the MPPKFDP motif also reduced the poly-phenylalanine synthesis in both hybrid ribosomes and yeast mutagenesis studies. In addition, G→A/P substitutions to the conserved GPLG motif of helix-1 reduced poly-phenylalanine synthesis to 9–32% in yeast ribosomes. We propose that the flexible N-terminal residues of uL11, which could extend up to ∼25 Å from the N-terminal domain of uL11, can form transient interactions with the uL10 that help to fetch and fix it into a position ready for recruiting the incoming translation factors and facilitate protein synthesis.

INTRODUCTION

Ribosomal protein uL11 and the lateral stalk constitute the GTPase-associated center of ribosomes where translation factors are recruited and activated (1,2). Eukaryotic ribosomes can use translation factors eEF1α and eEF2 only from eukaryotes but not EF-Tu and EF-G from bacteria. Domain-specific recognition of eukaryotic translation factors is mediated mainly by the lateral stalk P-complex (3). However, eukaryotic ribosomal protein uL11 (formerly eL12) is involved in domain-specific action of the factors in translation elongation (3). Eukaryotic P-complex is consisted of two copies of P1/P2 heterodimers binding to uL10 (formerly P0) to form a pentameric complex of uL10(P1/P2)⁵ (4,5). The topological arrangement of the P-complex was characterized by NMR spectroscopy and biochemical analyses (6,7). In bacterial ribosomes, the lateral stalk complex is consisted of two or three copies of bL12 homodimers binding to uL10 (8,9). Replacing the uL11 and the uL10 (bL12•bL12)₂ stalk complex of Escherichia coli ribosomes with eukaryotic uL11 and P-complex resulting in hybrid ribosomes that can use the eukaryotic eEF1 and eEF2 for translation elongation (3). Moreover, the hybrid ribosomes were insensitive to thiostrepton, an antibiotic that inhibits prokaryotic ribosomes (3). On the other hand, substitution of bacterial uL11 into yeast ribosomes rendered them to be sensitive to antibiotic thiostrepton (10). Similarly, replacing the stalks of E. coli ribosomes with archaeal uL11 and P-complex resulting in hybrid ribosomes that can use archaeal translation GTPases (11–13).

Both the bacterial lateral stalk and the archaeal/eukaryotic P-complex play an important role in recruiting translation factors to the ribosome. In bacteria, lateral stalk binds multiple copies of EF-Tu–aminoacyl–tRNA–GTP ternary complexes to create a high local
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concentration of translation factors and delivers them to the GTPase-associated center rapidly and accurately (14). Recently, this suggestion is further supported by high-speed atomic force microscopy, which shows that the P-complex collects archaeal translation factors eEF1α and eEF2 to enrich their local concentration near archaeal ribosomes (15,16). Deletion of both copies of RPL12A and RPL12B genes encoding uL11 in yeast resulted in significant decreases in both cell growth rate and ribosome translation activity (17,18), which could be rescued by transformation with an intact copy of the uL11 gene (17). The absence of uL11 also caused a reduction of eEF1α/eEF2-dependent polyphenylalanine synthesis activity in hybrid ribosomes (3). On the other hand, it was reported that knocking-out of uL11 in yeast increased misincorporation and readthrough rate in protein translation (19).

Eukaryotic uL11 is also required for the biogenesis of ribosomes. The structure of ribosome-bound eukaryotic uL11 is well characterized (20). The mammalian uL11 contains a C-terminal domain (CTD) responsible for binding to the 28S rRNA and an N-terminal domain (NTD) that makes contacts with translation factors (2,21). In uL11-deficient yeast ribosomes, the amount of bound acidic proteins P1α/P2β is drastically reduced (17). 43S pre-initiation complex was present in uL11-deficient yeast, indicating that 60S ribosome maturation was disturbed (18,22–23). Pre-rRNA processing analysis of uL11-defective yeast cells shows that 27S pre-rRNA, 78 pre-rRNA intermediates and the 25S/18S ratio decrease significantly, causing the 60S subunits to not mature properly due to the premature degradation of rRNAs (22,24). Moreover, uL11 and uL10 help subunits to not mature properly due to the premature degradation of rRNAs (22,24).

Knocking out uL11 makes yeast sensitive to antibiotics targeting the aminoacyl-tRNA site (A-site). uL11-deficient yeast is hypersensitive to aminoglycoside antibiotic paromomycin (22), which binds to ribosomal RNA in the A-site (26). A similar effect was observed for aminoglycoside antibiotic hygromycin B (22), an inhibitor preventing the movement of the tRNA from the A-site to the P-site (27). uL11-deficient cells exhibited increase sensitivity toward sordarin (22), which inhibits translocation of yeast eEF2 (28) to carry out its function at the A-site (29). In contrast, cycloheximide, which inhibits elongation through binding to the E-site of the 60S ribosomal unit (30), has no significant effect on uL11-deficient cells (22).

Although eukaryotic, archaeal and bacterial uL11 are structurally homologous, eukaryotic uL11 contains a highly conserved motif, MPPKFD, that is not found in archaeal and bacteria uL11. Interestingly, these N-terminal residues are disordered in the structures of ribosome-bound uL11. To understand the role of the N-terminal residues of uL11, we determined the solution structure and characterized the backbone dynamics of human uL11 by NMR spectroscopy, and showed that the N-terminal residues of uL11 are unstructured and flexible in solution. We further showed that uL11 can interact with uL10(P1/P2) in vitro. Truncating the MPPKFD motif of uL11 abolished the interaction with uL10(P1/P2) and reduced poly-phenylalanine synthesis in hybrid ribosomes and yeast ribosomes. Based on our results, a model of how uL11 could facilitate protein synthesis is proposed.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plasmid construction

E. coli expression. Coding DNA sequences of human ribosomal stalk proteins uL10, P1 and P2 were amplified by PCR and cloned into expression vectors as pRSETA-His-uL10, pET8c-P1 and pET8c-P2 as previously described (6,31). Coding DNA sequences of human ribosomal protein uL11 (32) was amplified by PCR and cloned into expression vector pRSETA-uL11. The truncation mutant lacking the N-terminal seven amino acids of uL11 (uL11 ΔN7) was created using the QuikChange site-directed mutagenesis kit (Agilent) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

S. cerevisiae expression. To construct the balancing plasmid (pRPL12B-myc), a PCR fragment containing the DNA sequence of Saccharomyces cerevisiae uL11 with a C-terminal c-Myc tag flanked by the alcohol dehydrogenase 1 (ADH1) promoter and the ADH1 terminator was generated by overlap extension PCR and cloned into pRS416 (33) with a BamHI site and an XhoI site as previously described (34). The DNA sequence of uL11 was amplified from yeast genomic DNA. DNA sequences for the ADH1 promoter and ADH1 terminator were amplified from pGAD7 (TaKaRa). For constructing pRPL12B-T7, i.e. the plasmid remaining in the uL11 knockout strain after 5′-fluoroorotic acid (5′-FOA) counter selection, PCR fragment that contains uL11 gene with C-terminal T7 tag and flanked by ADH1 promoter and terminator was cloned into pRS415 (33) with a BamHI site and an XhoI site. Plasmids containing mutant uL11 were constructed by site-directed mutagenesis on the coding sequence of uL11 in pRPL12B-T7. The plasmids used are listed in Supplementary Table S1.

NMR sample preparation

Escherichia coli strain soluBL21 (Genlantis) was transformed with the pRSETA-uL11. The transformed strain was first cultured in 20 ml Luria-Bertani broth overnight at 37°C. The cells were harvested and resuspended in M9 medium (6 g/l Na2HPO4, 3 g/l KH2PO4, 0.5 g/l NaCl, and 2 mM MgSO4) containing 4 g/l [13C]glucose, 1 g/l [15N]ammonium chloride, 1× vitamin mix (4.1 μM biotin, 7.2 μM choline chloride, 4.2 μM calcium pantothenate, 2.3 μM folic acid, 11.1 μM myo-inositol, 8.2 μM nicotinamide, 4.9 μM pyridoxal HCl, 0.3 μM riboflavin, 3.0 μM thiamine HCl), 1× metal mix (50 μM FeCl3, 20 μM CaCl2, 10 μM MnCl2, 10 μM ZnSO4, 2 μM CoCl2, 2 μM CuCl2, 2 μM NiCl2) and 100 μg/ml ampicillin. The culture was then incubated at 37°C until the OD600 reached 1.0. Then expression was induced for 16 h at 25°C with 0.4 mM isopropyl
β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG). One liter of cell pellet was resuspended with 30 ml of buffer A (20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7.4) and lysed by sonication. The filtered supernatant of the cell lysate was loaded onto a 5 ml HiTrap SP HP column (GE Healthcare) pre-equilibrated with buffer A. After being extensively washed with buffer A, uL11 was eluted with a linear NaCl gradient of 200 ml from 0 M to 0.5 M. uL11 was eluted as a single peak at about 0.3 M NaCl. The fractions collected were dialysed against 20 mM sodium phosphate, 0.05 M Na2SO4, 5 mM DTT, 0.3% CHAPS, pH 7.4. Protein was concentrated to 5 ml and loaded onto a HiLoad Superdex 75 26/600 gel filtration column (GE Healthcare) pre-equilibrated with 20 mM sodium phosphate, 0.05 M Na2SO4, 5 mM DTT, 0.3% CHAPS, pH 7.4. uL11 was eluted at about 200 ml. The protein samples were concentrated to 0.3 mM for NMR experiments.

**Structure determination**

All NMR experiments were carried out using 0.3 mM uL11 containing 5% (v/v) D2O at 306 K using Bruker Avance 700 MHz spectrometers. The sequential backbone assignments were obtained from four-triple-resonance experiments: HNCA (35), CBCA(CO)NH (36), HN(CO)CA (37), HNCA (38,39) and H(N(CO)CA (38,39). The side chain resonances were assigned by seven spectra: TOCSY-HSQC (40,41), NOESY-HSQC (41,42), HCHCOSY (43), HCH-TOCSY (43,44), Hi(CC)(CO)NH (45), (H)CC(CO)NH (45), HBHA(CO)NH (36,46). Stereospecific assignments for the methyl groups of valine and leucine were obtained using a 10% 13C-labeled sample (47). Inter-proton distance restraint information was obtained from the following NOESY-type experiments: 3D 1H,15N-NOESY-HSQC (41,48), 3D 1H,13C-NOESY-HSQC (42), 3D 1H,15C-HSQC-NOESY-1H,13C-HSQC (49,50) and 2D 1H1H NOESY (51). Chemical shifts were referenced with respect to 4,4-dimethyl-4-silapentane-1-sulfonate (DSS). All multidimensional NMR spectra were processed with NMRPipe (52) and analysed by NMRViewJ (53). Dihedral angle restraints were derived from the TALOS-N program (54). Hydrogen bond restraints, derived from hydrogen-deuteration exchange experiments, were included for secondary structure elements. NOEs were assigned with the help of ARIA 2.3 (55) and CNS 1.2 (56,57). Final structural refinement was performed using Xplor-NIH 3.3 (58). 10 best structures with the lowest total energy and no restraint violation were selected.

**15N relaxation experiments**

15N-labeled samples of uL11 were used to determine the 15N longitudinal relaxation rates (R1), transverse relaxation rates (R2), and heteronuclear NOEs using Bruker Avance 700 MHz spectrometers at 306 K. Relaxation delays for measuring R1 were 0.002, 0.07, 0.128, 0.267, 0.533, 0.800, 1.120, 1.440 and 1.867 s and for measuring R2 were 0.017, 0.034, 0.051, 0.068, 0.085, 0.102, 0.136 and 0.204 s. R1 and R2 rates were obtained by fitting peak intensities to an exponential decay using NMRView (53). The standard deviations for the R1 and R2 relaxation rates were obtained by Monte Carlo simulation implemented in NMRView. The steady-state heteronuclear 15N(1H) NOEs were measured in spectra acquired with and without 1H presaturation (with a series of high-power 120° pulses of 18 µs each) in an interleaved manner (59,60) and were defined using the term (I – Jo)/Jo, where I and Jo are peak intensities measured with and without presaturation, respectively. For R1 and R2 experiments, a recycle delay of 3 s was used between transients while 5 s was used for heteronuclear 1H–15N NOEs. The NMR spectra for relaxation experiments were processed with NMRPipe (52). Uncertainties in intensity measurements were estimated from the root-mean-square noise of the spectra. Effective correlation times of the backbone NH bonds were calculated using τ(eff) = 5/2(J/0(ef)), where J(0(ef)) is the effective spectral density at zero frequency calculated from R1, R2 and 15N(1H) NOE as described (61,62).

**Hybrid ribosome preparation and functional assays**

Bacterial 50S ribosomal subunit was extracted from L11-deficient E. coli strain and the ribosomal stalk proteins uL10 and bL12 were released by washing with 50% ethanol, 0.5 M NH4Cl as previously described (3). Human ribosomal stalk proteins uL10, P1 and P2 were purified as previously described (6,31). P-complex was prepared using in vitro reconstitution as previously described (6). Human uL11 and mutants were expressed at 25°C overnight in E. coli strain SuIuBL21(DE3) from Genlantis. The supernatant was collected after cell lysis in 20 mM phosphate buffer at pH 7.4 and loaded to an SP FastFlow column (GE Healthcare) pre-equilibrated with the same buffer. After extensive washing, a 200 ml gradient from 0 to 0.5 M NaCl was applied. Fractions containing uL11 were eluted at around 0.3 M NaCl and pooled and concentrated to a final volume of 5 ml with the maximum concentration of 10 mg/ml. The protein sample was then loaded to a HiLoad Superdex 75 26/600 gel filtration column pre-equilibrated with buffer containing 20 mM phosphate buffer, 0.15 M NaCl at pH 7.4, and was eluted as a single peak. The hybrid 50S particle was formed by mixing the E. coli 50S core with the human P-complex and uL11, using the same protocol for preparing B. mori E. coli hybrid ribosome as described in (63). Bacterial 30S ribosomal subunit was extracted from E. coli Q13 as previously described (3). Purification of elongation factors was described previously (64). The eEF-1α/eEF-2-dependent poly-phenylalanine synthesis of Homo sapiens–E. coli hybrid ribosome was assayed as described previously (63,65).

**In vitro NHS pull-down assay**

Protein samples of uL11 or uL11ΔN7 were dialyzed against coupling buffer with 0.2 M NaHCO3, 0.5 M NaCl at pH 8.3. For every 200 µl N-hydroxysuccinimide (NHS)-activated Sepharose resin pre-activated with 1 mM HCl, 1.5 mg of protein was coupled at 4°C overnight. The resin was washed with Tris buffer containing 0.1 M Tris, 0.5 M NaCl at pH 8.5, and then with acetate buffer containing 0.1 M acetate, 0.5 M NaCl at pH 4.5. After three rounds of alternate washing with Tris buffer and acetate buffer, the resin was equilibrated with a buffer containing 20 mM Tris at pH 7.8. 60 µM of purified samples of P-complex were
then incubated with the resin at 4°C overnight. After extensive washing with the equilibration buffer (20 mM Tris, pH 7.8), the bound proteins were eluted with buffer containing 20 mM Tris, 1 M NaCl at pH 7.8. NHS-activated Sepharose resin coupled with glycine was included as the negative control. Results were analyzed with SDS-PAGE or western blot using home-raised anti-P antibody and anti-uL10-EXT antibody. Anti-P polyclonal rabbit antibody was raised against the peptide, KEESSESDDMGFGFLFD, that is conserved in uL10, P1 and P2. uL10-EXT polyclonal rabbit antibody was obtained against the peptide, NRVAAPARAGAVAPC, that is conserved in the EXT domain of uL10.

Creation of yeast mutant strains

In S. cerevisiae, there are two paralogs of uL11, RPL12A and RPL12B. To facilitate the knockout, the RPL12B-knockout strain, Y04254, was used as the parental strain. pRPL12B-myc was transformed into strain Y04254 to yield strain KBeL1. The RPL12A gene was replaced by the natRMX4 selection marker gene (66) by homologous recombination using the microhomology PCR-mediated targeting technique as previously described (34), to yield strain KBeL2. Then pRP12B-T7 or its mutant plasmids expressing wild-type uL11 or mutant uL11 was transformed into the strain KBeL2 to yield strains (KBeL3-Δ80S). The reaction mixture of 17.5 μl was prepared by mixing 80S ribosomes (0.136 μM), S100 fraction (OD_{280} = 0.1), 20 mM HEPES (pH 7.4), 100 mM potassium acetate (Sigma-Aldrich), 12 mM magnesium acetate (Sigma-Aldrich), 0.05 mM spermine (Sigma-Aldrich), 20 μg/mL spermidine (Sigma-Aldrich), 7.5 mM creatine phosphate (Sigma-Aldrich), 0.0432 mg/mL of creatine kinase (Sigma-Aldrich), 0.1 mM GTP (Sigma-Aldrich), 0.2 mM ATP (Sigma-Aldrich), 0.5 mM tRNA (Sigma-Aldrich), 0.5 mM calcium chloride (Alfymetrix), 12.5 units of RNAsin plus (Promega), 12.5 units of micrococal nuclease (New England Biolabs). After digestion of endogenous mRNA for 15 min at 20°C, 1 μl of 37.5 mM EGTA (Sigma-Aldrich) was added to the above reaction mixture to inactivate the micrococal nuclease. 2.5 μl of 2 mg/μL poly-U RNA (Santa Cruz Biotecnology) and 4 μl of 1 mM [3H]-L-phenylalanine (PerkinElmer) were added to the reaction mixture and incubated for 30 min at 30°C. The reaction was quenched by adding 25% trichloroacetic acid (Sigma-Aldrich) and incubated on ice for 1 h. The precipitate was collected on a GF/B glass microfiber filter paper (Whatman). After the filter was washed three times with 8% trichloroacetic acid and a final wash with acetone, the amount of radioactively labelled polyphenylalanine was quantified using an LS6500 liquid scintillation counter (Beckman Coulter). One-way ANOVA was performed using the program PRISM (GraphPad Software, LLC) to analyse the statistical difference between the wild-type ribosome and the mutant ribosome.

Polyphenylalanine synthesis assay

The reaction mixture of 17.5 μl was prepared by mixing 80S ribosomes (0.136 μM), S100 fraction (OD_{280} = 0.1), 20 mM HEPES (pH 7.4), 100 mM potassium acetate (Sigma-Aldrich), 12 mM magnesium acetate (Sigma-Aldrich), 0.05 mM spermine (Sigma-Aldrich), 20 μg/mL spermidine (Sigma-Aldrich), 7.5 mM creatine phosphate (Sigma-Aldrich), 0.0432 mg/mL of creatine kinase (Sigma-Aldrich), 0.1 mM GTP (Sigma-Aldrich), 0.2 mM ATP (Sigma-Aldrich), 0.5 mM tRNA (Sigma-Aldrich), 0.5 mM calcium chloride (Alfymetrix), 12.5 units of RNAsin plus (Promega), 12.5 units of micrococal nuclease (New England Biolabs). After digestion of endogenous mRNA for 15 min at 20°C, 1 μl of 37.5 mM EGTA (Sigma-Aldrich) was added to the above reaction mixture to inactivate the micrococal nuclease. 2.5 μl of 2 mg/μL poly-U RNA (Santa Cruz Biotecnology) and 4 μl of 1 mM [3H]-L-phenylalanine (PerkinElmer) were added to the reaction mixture and incubated for 30 min at 30°C. The reaction was quenched by adding 25% trichloroacetic acid (Sigma-Aldrich) and incubated on ice for 1 h. The precipitate was collected on a GF/B grade glass microfiber filter paper (Whatman). After the filter was washed three times with 8% trichloroacetic acid and a final wash with acetone, the amount of radioactively labelled polyphenylalanine was quantified using an LS6500 liquid scintillation counter (Beckman Coulter). One-way ANOVA was performed using the program PRISM (GraphPad Software, LLC) to analyse the statistical difference between the wild-type ribosome and the mutant ribosome.

Molecular dynamics (MD) simulation

MD simulation was performed for the ribosomal stalk base [i.e. uL10, uL11 and H42-H44 stem loops (residues 1995–2048)] of 28S rRNA]. Starting coordinates were obtained from the structure of mammalian ribosomes in complex with eRF1/ABCE1 (PDB: 5LZV). Residues of helix-6 of uL11 were refitted to the cryo-EM densities of 5LZV with reference to the crystal structure of Methanococcus janaschii uL11 (68) (PDB: 5DAR) and refined using the program PHENIX (69) (Supplementary Figure S4). The starting coordinates of the N-terminal residue 2–8 of uL11 were derived from two conformers of the ensembles of NMR structure determined in this study (Supplementary Figure S4C). The protonation states of amino acid residues were predicted using the web server H++.
 Antibiotic sensitivity test

Antibiotic sensitivity test was performed as described previously (22). In brief, overnight culture of yeast cells were serially diluted to OD600 = 0.1, 0.01, 0.001, 0.0001. 3 μl of diluted yeast culture was spotted onto SD(-Leu) agar plates supplemented with 4 μg/ml sordarin, 20 ng/ml cycloheximide, 20 μg/ml hygromycin B and 500 μg/ml paromomycin. Growth on agar plates without any antibiotics or 3d for 3 days.

Measurement of growth rates of yeast strains

Yeast cells were inoculated at OD600 = 0.1 in 24-well culture plates containing 800 μl SD medium lacking leucine. The yeast suspension culture was incubated at 25 °C, 30 °C or 35 °C in ClarisStar microplate reader (BMG Labtech) with double orbital shaking at 300 rpm. OD600 was measured every 15 min using orbital averaging scan mode with a scan diameter of 10 mm. The yeast growth rate was calculated by fitting the data to the exponential growth equation as described previously (75).

RESULTS

uL11 undergoes major conformational changes upon binding to ribosomes

We have determined the solution structure of human uL11 by NMR spectroscopy and the statistics of the structural calculation are summarized in Table 1. The ensemble of the 10 best structures is shown (Figure 1A). The solution structure of uL11 folds into an NTD and a CTD separated by a linker region (Figure 1B). Judged by the Ca root-mean-square displacement (Figure 1C), the NTD is defined from residue 10 to 73 and the CTD from residue 106 to 165. There were no distance restraints between the NTD and CTD, suggesting that the two domains fold independently. The linker region between the NTD and CTD lacks long-range (i–j > 4) distance restraints and is unstructured (Figure 1D). In contrast, when uL11 is bound to ribosome, the linker region folds into helix 3 that forms hydrophobic interactions with both NTD and CTD (Figure 1E and F). The lack of helix 3 in the free form results in a structural rearrangement in helices 4, 5 and 6 of the CTD (Figure 1B). On the other hand, the NTD is structurally similar between the free and bound forms. Taken together, our results suggest that the linker region is intrinsically disordered that only becomes structured when bound to the ribosomes.

The flexible and conserved N-terminal residues of uL11 are involved in interacting with the P-complex in vitro

The backbone dynamics of uL11 were characterized by 15N–1H longitudinal (R1) and transverse (R2) relaxation rates and 15N{1H} heteronuclear NOE (Figure 2A). The average values of R1, R2 and 15N{1H} NOE were 1.1 ± 0.2 s⁻¹, 19 ± 3 s⁻¹ and −0.34 ± 0.30, respectively. Effective correlation times, τeff, for the reorientation of the backbone NH bonds were estimated from R1, R2 and 15N{1H} NOE using the reduced spectral density mapping approach (Figure 2B) (61,62). Residues 4–6 have fast τeff (<< 8 ns) and large negative values of 15N{1H} NOE (<−0.8) (Figure 2B).
and lack long-range distance restraints, suggesting these N-terminal residues of uL11 are flexible and disordered in the free form. Coincidentally, these N-terminal residues are not defined and are likely disordered in ribosome-bound uL11 (Supplementary Figure S1).

Intriguingly, eukaryotic uL11 contains a unique N-terminal extension of conserved residues (MPPKFDP), which are absent in archaeal and bacterial uL11 (Figure 3A). In eukaryotic ribosomes, uL11 and uL10 constitute the base of the ribosomal lateral stalk and the N-terminus of uL11 is located near the uL10 (Supplementary Figure S1). We, therefore, hypothesize that this N-terminal MPPKFDP motif of uL11 could play a role in interacting with the eukaryotic stalk P-complex (uL10(P1/P2)). Our pull-down assay shows that the P-complex was eluted when loaded to NHS-resins coupled with uL11, but not with those coupled with glycine (Figure 3B), suggesting that uL11 can interact with the P-complex in vitro. On the other hand, when the MPPKFDP motif of uL11 was removed, the P-complex was not retained on NHS-resins coupled with the uL11ΔN7 variant (Figure 3B). To test if the hydrophobic residues of the N-terminal residues of uL11 are important for interacting with the P-complex, we created a quadruple-substituted variant (P2A/P3A/P5A/P7A), uL11-4A. Our pull-down assay shows that the interaction between uL11-4A and the P-complex was weakened in vitro (Supplementary Figure S2). Taken together, our results suggest that the conserved N-terminal MPPKFDP motif of uL11 are important in interacting with the P-complex.
The N-terminal conserved residues of uL11 interact with uL10-EXT in vitro

In the ribosome structures, the extended protuberant (EXT) domain of uL10 is near the NTD of uL11 (Supplementary Figure S1). We, therefore, hypothesize that the N-terminal conserved residues of uL11 could interact with uL10-EXT. We have previously purified the Bombyx mori (silkworm) uL10-EXT domain and determined its NMR structure (34). B. mori uL10-EXT shares 78% sequence identity with human uL10-EXT (Supplementary Figure S3A). Here, we performed in vitro pull-down assay and shows that uL11 interacts with B. mori uL10-EXT (Supplementary Figure S3B). Such interaction was weaken when the N-terminal residues of uL11 were truncated (uL11ΔN7) or the N-terminal hydrophobic residues were substituted by alanine (uL11-4A) (Supplementary Figure S5B), suggesting the N-terminal residues of uL11 are involved in interaction with uL10-EXT.

MD simulation of ribosomal stalk base

To explore how the N-terminal residues of uL11 may interact with uL10, we have performed MD simulation of the ribosomal stalk base consisted of uL10, uL11 and the H42-H44 stem loop of 28S rRNA. The starting structures of MD simulation were derived from the ribosome structures in complex with eRF1/ABCE1 (release factor 1 / ATP-binding cassette subfamily E member 1) (21) (Supplementary Figure S4). In this state of ribosome, the uL10-EXT is away from and making no contact with the uL11-NTD (Supplementary Figure S1). Two trajectories of 500 ns each were calculated (Supplementary Figure S5). In both simulations, uL10-EXT moved towards uL11-NTD as the distance between the two domains were shortened from ~40 Å to ~25 Å after ~100 ns (Supplementary Figure S5B). In the MD1 simulation, the N-terminal residues of uL11 only make transient interactions with uL10-EXT (Supplementary Figure S5C, red line). On the other hand, the N-terminal residues of uL11 interact with uL10-EXT in the MD2 simulation as these residues of uL11 are making continuous contacts (~2 Å) with the uL10-EXT domain (Supplementary Figure S5C, blue line). As shown in a representative snapshot at 200 ns of MD2, the N-terminal hydrophobic residues of uL11 are making hydrophobic interactions with Pro118, Val121, Ile180 and Pro182 of uL10-EXT (Supplementary Figure S5D). Interestingly, these residues are conserved in uL10 (Supplementary Figure S3A).

Deletion of N-terminal conserved MPPKFDP motif of uL11 reduced poly-phenylalanine synthesis in hybrid ribosomes and yeast ribosomes

We used the hybrid ribosome assay to test if the N-terminal MPPKFDP motif of uL11 has a functional role in protein synthesis (Figure 3C). We have previously developed a methodology to reconstitute hybrid ribosomes, where the bacterial stalk proteins from E. coli were replaced with eukaryotic counterparts (3). Such replacement of stalk proteins changes the specificity of hybrid ribosomes to use eukaryotic elongation factors in translation elongation. First, bacterial 50S ribosomal subunit was extracted from L1-deficient E. coli strain (AM68). After removing the bacterial stalk proteins, the E. coli 50S core particles (Figure 3D, lane 1) were mixed with human uL11 (wild type or ΔN7 variant) and/or the P-complex to form different hybrid 50S particles (Figure 3D, lanes 2–6). Finally, the bacterial 30S ribosomal subunit was added to reconstitute the hybrid ribosomes. The reconstituted hybrid ribosomes were then assayed for in vitro poly-phenylalanine synthesis (Figure 3E). Our results showed that hybrid ribosomes lacking either uL11 or the P-complex were relatively inactive, suggesting both uL11 and the P-complex are required in protein synthesis. Compared with hybrid ribosomes reconstituted with wild-type uL11 and P-complex, hybrid ribosomes reconstituted with uL11ΔN7 and P-complex exhibited only ~65% poly-phenylalanine synthesis activity (Figure 3E), demonstrating that the conserved N-terminal MPPKFDP motif of uL11 is important for protein synthesis.
Figure 3. The conserved N-terminal seven residues of uL11 are important for interacting with the P-complex in vitro and protein synthesis in hybrid ribosomes. (A) Multiple sequence alignments of N-terminal residues of uL11 in eukaryotes, archaea and bacteria. The first seven residues of uL11 are conserved in eukaryotes but not in archaea and bacteria. (B) Truncation of the conserved N-terminal residues of uL11 abolished its interaction with P-complex uL10(P1/P2). Purified protein samples of uL10(P1/P2) (lane I) were loaded to NHS-resin coupled with glycine, uL11 or uL11ΔN7. After extensive washing to ensure all unbound proteins were removed in the last wash fractions (lanes W), bound proteins were eluted by 1 M NaCl, 20 mM Tris, pH 7.8 (lanes E). Our results showed that the P-complex uL10(P1/P2) was pulled down by uL11 but not by uL11ΔN7, suggesting that the N-terminal residues of uL11 are important for the interaction between uL11 and the P-complex uL10(P1/P2). (C) The schematic diagram of the preparation of hybrid ribosomes. Bacterial 50S ribosomal subunit was extracted from L11-deficient E.coli strain (AM68) and the bacterial ribosomal stalk proteins uL10 and bL12 were washed out with 50% ethanol and 0.5 M NH₄Cl to obtain the 50S core ribosomes, which was then mixed with purified samples of human P-complex uL10(P1/P2) and uL11 to form the 50S hybrid ribosome particles. Bacterial 30S ribosomal subunit was added to assemble the hybrid ribosomes for the in vitro translation assay. (D) Examination of various hybrid 50S particles by electrophoretic mobility shift assay. L11-deficient E.coli 50S core (lane 1) was incubated with uL11 (lane 2), uL11ΔN7 (lane 3), P-complex uL10(P1/P2) (lane 4), P-complex with uL11 (lane 5) and P-complex with uL11ΔN7 (lane 6). The formations of different hybrid ribosome particles were justified by upshift of bands in lane 5–6 relative to lane 4. (E) Different hybrid ribosome particles were assayed for poly-phenylalanine synthesis activity. The poly-phenylalanine synthesis activities are plotted as a percentage of the hybrid ribosome reconstituted with wild-type uL11 and P-complex. Hybrid ribosomes reconstituted with uL11ΔN7 and P-complex resulted in a significant decrease (to 65 ± 16% of wild-type uL11) in the poly-phenylalanine synthesis activity. *** indicates significant difference (P < 0.001, one-way ANOVA) of relative poly-phenylalanine synthesis when compared to the value for hybrid ribosomes reconstituted with wild-type uL11 and P-complex. Error bars represent the mean ± s.e.m. of the data from at least three measurements.

Next, we asked if truncation of the N-terminal MPP-KFDP motif of uL11 would affect protein synthesis in eukaryotic ribosomes. To this end, we used yeast mutagenesis to create mutant yeast strains expressing the uL11ΔN7 variant. The strategy of yeast mutagenesis is described in Figure 4A. In the yeast genome, both RPL12A and RPL12B genes encode for the uL11 ribosomal protein. The RPL12B knock-out strain Y04254 was used as the parental strain for yeast mutagenesis. First, the balancing plasmid pRL12B-myc expressing the c-Myc tagged wild-type uL11 was transformed into the parental strain Y04254 to create the KBeL1 strain. The endogenous RPL12A gene was then knocked out by homologous recombination to create the KBeL2 strain. As shown in Figure 4B, only the c-Myc tagged uL11, but not the endogenous uL11, was expressed in KBeL2 strain, suggesting that the genomic RPL12A gene was successfully knocked out. KBeL2 strain was transformed with plasmids expressing T7-tagged wild-type or ΔN7 variant of uL11 to create KBeL3-WT and KBeL3-ΔN7 strains. Finally, taking advantage of the fact that 5′FOA can be converted to the toxic 5-fluorouracil by orotidine 5-phosphate decarboxylase (encoded by the marker gene ura3), the balancing plasmid pRL12B-myc was removed by counter-selection with 5′FOA to create KBeL4-WT and KBeL4-ΔN7
Figure 4. Truncation of the N-terminal residues reduced poly-phenylalanine synthesis in yeast ribosomes. (A) Strategy of yeast mutagenesis. RPL12B-knockout strain Y04254 was used as the parental strain. After the plasmid pRPL12B-myc was transformed into strain Y04254 to yield strain KBeL1, the RPL12A gene was knocked out by homologous recombination to yield strain KBeL2. Plasmids expressing T7-tagged wild-type or ΔN7 mutant of uL11 were transformed into the strain KBeL2 to yield KBeL3-WT and KBeL3-ΔN7 strains. The plasmid pRPL12B-myc was removed by counter-selection using 5′-FOA, which is converted to the toxic compound 5-fluorouracil by ura3, to yield KBeL4-WT and KBeL4-ΔN7. (B) Cell lysates of yeast strains Y04254, KBeL1, KBeL2, KBeL3-WT and KBeL4-WT were analysed by Western blot using uL11, c-Myc, and T7 antibodies and showed that only the T7-tagged wild-type uL11 was expressed in KBeL4-WT strain. (C) Cell lysates of yeast strains KBeL2, KBeL3-ΔN7 and KBeL4-ΔN7 were analysed by Western blot using uL11, c-Myc, and T7 antibodies, confirming only T7-tagged uL11-ΔN7 was expressed in KBeL4-ΔN7 strain. (D) In vitro poly-phenylalanine synthesis activity on yeast ribosomes purified from KBeL4-WT and KBeL4-ΔN7 strains. The poly-phenylalanine synthesis activities are plotted as percentages of the activity of wild-type ribosomes. Yeast ribosomes with the ΔN7-uL11 variant retained only 40 ± 6% poly-phenylalanine synthesis activity, showing that the N-terminal residues of uL11 are important for protein synthesis (t-test; ***, P < 0.001). Error bars represent the mean ± s.e.m. of the data from at least three separate experiments.

strains (Figure 4A). Western blot confirmed only the T7-tagged uL11 and its ΔN7 variant were expressed in KBeL4-WT and KBeL4-ΔN7 strains, respectively (Figure 4B and C).

Yeast 80S ribosomes from KBeL4-WT and KBeL4-ΔN7 strains were purified (Supplementary Figure S6A and B) and were assayed for their in vitro poly-phenylalanine synthesis activity (Figure 4D). The poly-phenylalanine synthesis activity of yeast ribosomes from the KBeL4-WT strain was similar to that from the parental strain Y04254, suggesting the T7-tag on uL11 has no effect on the protein synthesis (Supplementary Figure S7). Consistent with the hybrid ribosome results (Figure 3E), truncation of the N-terminal 7 residues of uL11 reduced the poly-phenylalanine synthesis activity to ~40% of wild-type uL11 (Figure 4D). Taken together, our results suggest that the N-terminal conserved MPPKFDP motif of uL11 is important for protein synthesis.

The ΔN7 mutant did not affect antibiotic sensitivity and the growth rate of yeasts

It is noticed that the expression level of uL11ΔN7-T7 in KBeL3-ΔN7 strain was lower than that of c-Myc-tagged wild-type uL11 (Figure 4C). To test if the expression of uL11ΔN7-T7 is lower in the parental yeast strain, plasmids encoding T7-tagged wild-type uL11 or uL11ΔN7 were transformed to the Y04254 strain (Supplementary Figure S8A). Our results show that the expression of uL11ΔN7 was also lower than that of wild-type uL11 in the parental strain (Supplementary Figure S8B). Seemingly, the expression of uL11ΔN7 was lower when co-expressed with a wild-type uL11 (Figure 4C and Supplementary Figure S8B).

Next, we tested if the expression of uL11ΔN7 affects the sensitivity of yeast against antibiotics targeting ribosomes. Our results show that the expression of uL11ΔN7-T7 in the parental Y04254 strain or in KBeL4 did not affect the antibiotics sensitivity (Supplementary Figure S9).
We also tested if the ΔN7 mutation affects yeast growth. Growth rates of KBeL4-WT and KBeL4-ΔN7 strains were measured at 25, 30 and 35°C (Supplementary Figure S10). Our results show that the growth rates of both strains were similar, suggesting truncation of the N-terminal residues of uL11 did not affect the growth of yeasts.

Rigidifying the conserved GPLG motif reduced protein synthesis in yeast ribosomes

In the cryo-EM structures of ribosomes in complex with translation factors, helix-1 of uL11 is making contacts with bound translation factors (Supplementary Figure S1) (2,21,76–78). There is a 33GPLG36 motif located in the helix-1 of uL11 that is conserved in eukaryotes and archaebacteria (Figure 5A). The GPLG motif is better defined when helix-1 makes contact with the bound eEF2 (Figure 5B and Supplementary Figure S11A) but becomes more disordered when the ribosomes are bound with aminoacyl-tRNA and eEF1α (Supplementary Figure S11B). Interestingly, these two glycine residues are also conserved in bacterial ribosomes. To test the hypothesis if rigidifying the backbone conformations of these glycine residues affect protein synthesis in yeast ribosomes, we introduced G→A substitutions to the Gly33 and Gly36 (Figure 5). The backbone dihedral angles of Gly33 and Gly36 are in the α and β regions of the Ramachandran plot, respectively. Molecular modeling suggests that alanine substitutions at Gly33 and Gly36 should rigidify their backbone conformations without creating steric clashes. We also introduced G→P substitutions to these conserved glycine residues, which are expected to disrupt the local backbone conformations.

Using the yeast mutagenesis strategy described in Figure 4A, plasmids encoding variants of T7-tagged uL11 were transformed to KBeL2 strain to create the KBeL3-G33A, KBeL3-G33P, KBeL3-G36A, KBeL3-G36P strains. The balancing plasmid pRPL12B-myc in KBeL3 strains were removed by 5′FOA counterselection to create the respective KBeL4 mutant strains. Western blot analysis showed that the KBeL4 mutant strains only expressed the T7-tagged uL11 variants (Figure 5C). Yeast ribosomes were purified from KBeL4 strains (Supplementary Figure S6C), and the in vitro poly-phenylalanine synthesis was assayed (Figure 5D). Our results showed that G33A, G33P, G36A and G36P substitutions reduced the poly-phenylalanine synthesis activity to 27%, 9%, 27% and 32% of wild-type activity (Figure 5D).

DISCUSSION

Ribosomal proteins uL11 is located at the base of the lateral stalk of ribosomes and forms part of the GTPase-associated center where translation factors are recruited and activated (1,2). That uL11 is involved in domain-specific action of translation factors came from the observation that replacing the bacterial stalk proteins with eukaryotic uL11 and P-complex in a bacterial ribosome change its specificity to use eukaryotic elongation factors for translation elongation (3). Structural studies of eukaryotic ribosomes show that uL11-NTD and uL10-EXT domains are involved in binding various translation factors (2,21,76–78).

Here, we have determined the NMR structure of human uL11 and showed that the linker region between the NTD and CTD of uL11 is disordered in its free form and only becomes structured when uL11 is ribosome-bound. As a result, the relative orientation of NTD and CTD of human uL11 is only fixed when it is bound to the ribosome (Figure 1). Similarly, the linker region of archaebacterial uL11 also has significant restructuring so that the NTD and CTD adopt different relative orientations in its free (PDB: 5COL) and the tRNA-bound form (PDB: 5 DAR) (68). Since translation factors have different shapes, uL11 and uL10 must be dynamic enough to change their conformations at different states of protein synthesis to accommodate the incoming translation factors. In bacterial ribosomes, the NTD of uL11 is connected to the CTD by a flexible linker and can move relative to the CTD upon binding different translation factors (79). Structural comparison of bacterial ribosomes bound with tRNA/EF-Tu (80) and that with EF-G (81) shows that in addition to the relative domain movement, the GxxG motif of bacterial uL11 located at the C-terminus of helix-1 undergoes subtle local structural changes upon binding different translation factors (Supplementary Figure S12). In eukaryotic and archaebacterial ribosomes, the conserved sequence of this motif is GPLG (Figure 5A). The role of this motif in protein synthesis is supported by mutagenesis in this study. We show that rigidifying (G33A, G36A) or disrupting (G33P, G36P) the local structure of the GPLG motif in helix-1 reduced poly-phenylalanine synthesis in yeast ribosomes (Figure 5). Moreover, since the G→A substitutions are expected to rigidify the local structure without creating steric clashes, our results would suggest that the conserved glycine residues could facilitate the conformational changes of uL11 upon binding different translation factors.

In eukaryotic ribosomes, both the uL11-NTD and uL10-EXT domains are disordered when no translation factor is bound in the post-translocation state (Supplementary Figure S1D). The two ribosomal proteins become better-defined when ribosomes are bound with eEF2 (2,76) or with eRF1/ABCE1 (21) (Supplementary Figure S1). Comparison of structures of uL11 and uL10 from eEF2-bound and eRF1/ABCE1-bound ribosomes suggests that the uL10-EXT domain could undergo a rigid-body hinge motion relative to the uL11 and the RNA-binding domain of uL10 (Figure 6A).

The solution structure of human uL11 suggests that the conserved N-terminal residues are unstructured (Figure 1). 15N relaxation experiments further support that these residues are flexible in solution (Figure 2). In the cryo-EM structures of eukaryotic ribosomes, the first eight residues of uL11 have poor densities and were not modelled (2,21,76–78) (Supplementary Figure S1). These observations suggest that the N-terminal residues of uL11 are intrinsically disordered both in free and in ribosome-bound states.

As the N-terminal residues of uL11 (MPPKFDP) are highly conserved and unique in eukaryotes (Figure 3A), it is intriguing what could be the role of these intrinsically disordered residues in protein synthesis. We have previously established protocols to purify the human P-complex, uL10(P1/P2), and study its structural organization in vitro (6,7). In this study, we showed that the uL11 can interact with the P-complex in vitro and such interaction was
Figure 5. Rigidifying the GPLG motif reduced poly-phenylalanine synthesis in yeast ribosomes. (A) Sequence alignments of uL11. The 33GPLG36 motif (indicated by asterisks) is highly conserved among eukaryotic and archaeal uL11. (B) The GPLG motif is located in helix-1 of uL11 (green) and is involved in binding eEF2 (pink). Cryo-EM structure of 80S ribosomes in complex with eEF2 and SERBP1 (PDB: 6MTD). The conserved Gly33 and Gly36 of uL11 are color-coded red. uL10 is color-coded yellow. (C) Cell lysates of wild-type and mutant yeast strains of KBeL3 and KBeL4 (after 5 FOA counter selection) were analysed by Western blot using uL11, c-Myc, and T7 antibodies, showing that the RPL12B-myc was removed by 5 FOA counter selection, and only T7-tagged uL11 was expressed in KBeL4 strains. (D) In vitro poly-phenylalanine synthesis activity on yeast ribosomes purified from KBeL4-WT, KBeL4-G33A, KBeL4-G33P, KBeL4-G36A and KBeL4-G36P strains. The poly-phenylalanine synthesis activities are plotted as percentages of the activity of the wild-type ribosomes. The poly-phenylalanine synthesis activities of G33A, G33P, G36A and G36P were significantly reduced to 27 ± 4%, 9 ± 1%, 27 ± 6%, 32 ± 7%, respectively (one-way ANOVA; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001). Error bars represent the mean ± s.e.m. of the data from at least three separate experiments. Molecular graphics were created using the program UCSF ChimeraX (82).
Figure 6. How the N-terminal residues of uL11 may play a role in protein synthesis. (A) The EXT domain of uL10 can undergo a hinge motion. Structures of uL11 and uL10 from the eEF2-bound 80S ribosomes (PDB: 6MTD) are superimposed with those from the eRF1/ABCE1-bound ribosomes (PDB: 5LZV). Residues 1–8 of uL11 are disordered and the location of Glu9 is indicated. uL10 and uL11 in eEF2-bound ribosomes are color-coded in darker shades of orange and green, respectively. (B) The ensembles of the NMR structure of NTD of uL11 (green) are superimposed. The conserved N-terminal residues (1–7, cyan) unique in eukaryotic uL11 can reach up to ∼25 Å from the NTD of uL11. (C) In the absence of translation factors, both the NTD of uL11 and EXT domain of uL10 are flexible. By forming transient interactions with uL10, the uL11 conserved N-terminal residues could fetch and fix uL10 into a position ready for binding the incoming eEF2 and facilitate protein synthesis. Molecular graphics were created using the program PyMOL.

later addition to the uL11 during evolution to improve the efficiency of protein synthesis in eukaryotic ribosomes.

DATA AVAILABILITY
Atomic coordinates and NMR restraints for the refined structures have been deposited with PDB ID 7VB2 (https://www.rcsb.org/) and BMRB ID 36442 (https://bmrb.io/).

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA
Supplementary Data are available at NAR Online.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS
Molecular graphics were created using the programs PyMOL (https://pymol.org) or UCSF ChimeraX. We thank Resource for Biocomputing, Visualization, and Informatics at the University of California, San Francisco for providing UCSF ChimeraX to the academic community, and the National Institutes of Health R01-GM129325 and the Office of Cyber Infrastructure and Computational Biology, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases for supporting the development of the software.

FUNDING
Research Grants Council [CUHK14122015, AoE/M-403/16, AoE/M-05/12]; Direct Grants from the Research Committee of the Chinese University of Hong Kong (K.B.W.); JSPS KAKENHI [24370073 to T.U.]. Funding for open access charge: Research Grants Council, University Grants Committee.

Conflict of interest statement. None declared.

REFERENCES
1. Voorhees, R.M. and Ramakrishnan, V. (2013) Structural basis of the translational elongation cycle. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.*, 82, 203–236.
2. Voorhees, R.M., Fernandez, I.S., Scheres, S.H. and Hegde, R.S. (2014) Structure of the mammalian ribosome-Sec61 complex to 3.4 Å resolution. *Cell*, 157, 1632–1643.
3. Uchiumi, T., Honma, S., Nomura, T., Dabbs, E.R. and Hachimori, A. (2002) Translation elongation by a hybrid ribosome in which proteins at the GTPase center of the Escherichia coli ribosome are replaced with rat counterparts. *J. Biol. Chem.*, 277, 3857–3862.
4. Ballesta, J.P.G. and Remacha, M. (1996) The large ribosomal subunit stalk as a regulatory element of the eukaryotic translational machinery. *Prog. Nucleic Acid Res. Mol. Biol.*, 55, 157–193.
5. Lee, K.M., Yusa, K., Chu, L.O., Yu, C.W., Oono, M., Miyoshi, T., Ito, K., Shaw, P.C., Wong, K.B. and Uchiumi, T. (2013) Solution structure of human P1•P2 heterodimer provides insights into the role of eukaryotic stalk in recruiting the ribosome-inactivating protein trichosanthin to the ribosome. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 41, 8776–8787.
6. Lee, K.M., Yu, C.W., Chan, D.S., Chiu, T.Y., Zhu, G., Sze, K.H., Shaw, P.C. and Wong, K.B. (2010) Solution structure of the dimerization domain of ribosomal protein P2 provides insights for the structural organization of eukaryotic stalk. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 38, 5206–5216.
7. Lee, K.M., Yu, C.W., Chiu, T.Y., Sze, K.H., Shaw, P.C. and Wong, K.B. (2012) Solution structure of the dimerization domain of the
eukaryotic stalk P1/P2 complex reveals the structural organization of eukaryotic stalk complex. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **40**, 3172–3182.

8. Diaconu, M., Kothe, U., Schlunzen, F., Fischer, N., Harms, J.M., Tonevitsky, A.G., Stark, H., Rodmina, M.V. and Wahl, M.C. (2005) Structural basis for the function of the ribosomal L7/L12 stalk in factor binding and GTPase activation. *Cell.* **121**, 991–1004.

9. Subramanian, A.R. (1975) Copies of proteins L7 and L12 and heterogeneity of the large subunit of Escherichia coli ribosome. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **35**, 7109–7117.

10. Nomura, N., Honda, T., Baba, K., Naganuma, T., Tanaka, T., Arisaka, F., Noda, M., Uchiyama, S., Tanaka, J., Yao, M. et al. (2012) Archaeal ribosomal stalk protein interacts with translation factors in a nucleotide-independent manner via its conserved C terminus. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **109**, 3748–3753.

11. Briones, E., Briones, C., Remacha, M. and Ballesta, J.P. (1998) The role of the C-terminal tail of the archaeal ribosomal stalk in recruitment of two elongation factors to the sarcin/rinic loop of 23S rRNA. *Genes Cells.* **3**, 203–624.

12. Shao, S., Murray, J., Brown, A., Taunton, J., Ramakrishnan, V. and Shanky, M. (2010) Structural and mutagenesis studies into the role of the extended protuberant domain of ribosomal stalk protein L12 for chemical shift perturbation and mutagenesis analyses. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **38**, 1660–1672.

13. Imai, H., Miyoshi, T., Murakami, R., Ito, K., Ishino, Y. and Uchiumi, T. (2015) Functional role of the C-terminal tail of the archaeal ribosomal stalk protein in protein translation. *Biochemistry.* **54**, 3744–3754.

14. Tonevitsky, A.G., Stark, H., Rodnina, M.V. and Wahl, M.C. (2005) Assembly but not for Saccharomyces cerevisiae viability. *EMBO J.* **24**, 4212–4231.

15. Scheuring, S. (2021) High-speed atomic force microscopy tracks the translational GTPase complexes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **118**, e2024413118.

16. Salas-Marco, J. and Bedwell, D.M. (2005) Discrimination between the particles sensitive to the prokaryotic specific antibiotic thiostrepton. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **33**, 7109–7117.

17. Imai, H., Uchiyumi, T. and Kodera, N. (2020) Direct visualization of translational GTPase factor pool formed around the archaeal ribosomal P-stalk by high-speed AFM. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **117**, 32386–32394.

18. Scheuring, S. (2021) High-speed atomic force microscopy tracks the dynamic parts of the ribosome. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **118**, e2024413118.

19. Briones, E., Briones, C., Remacha, M. and Ballesta, J.F. (1998) The GTPase center protein L12 is required for correct ribosomal stalk assembly but not for Saccharomyces cerevisiae viability. *J. Biol. Chem.* **273**, 31956–31961.

20. Molon, M., Molestak, E., Kula-Maxmenko, M., Grela, P. and Tchorzewski, M. (2020) Ribosomal protein uL11 as a regulator of metabolic circuits related to aging and cell cycle. *Cells.* **9**, 1745.

21. Salas-Marco, J. and Bedwell, D.M. (2005) Discrimination between defects in elongation fidelity and termination efficiency provides mechanistic insights into translational readthrough. *J. Mol. Biol.* **348**, 801–815.

22. Ben-Shem, A., de Loubresse, N.G., Melnikov, S., Jenner, L., Yusupova, G. and Yusupov, M. (2011) The structure of the eukaryotic ribosome at 3.0 angstrom resolution. *Science.* **334**, 1524–1529.

23. Shao, S., Murray, J., Brown, A., Taunton, J., Ramakrishnan, V. and Hegde, R.S. (2016) Decoding mammalian ribosome-mRNA states by translational GTPase complexes. *Cell.* **167**, 1229–1240.

24. Wawiora, L., Molestak, E., Szajwaj, M., Michalec-Wawiora, B., Boguszewska, A., Borkiewicz, L., Ludkovska, V., Kufel, J. and Tchorzewski, M. (2016) Functional analysis of the uL11 protein impact on translational machinery. *Cell Cycle.* **15**, 1060–1072.

25. Flomenberg, S., McCormick, M.A., Pham, K.M., Mackay, V.L., Delaney, J.R., Murakami, C.J., Kaeberlein, M. and Kennedy, B.K. (2012) Ribosome deficiency protects against ER stress in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Genetics.* **191**, 107–118.

26. Liias, A. (2016) uL11, a central player in translation. *Cell Cycle.* **15**, 1529–1530.

27. Rodriguez-Mateos, M., Abia, D., Garcia-Gomez, J.J., Morelle, A., de la Cruz, J., Santos, C., Remacha, M. and Ballesta, J.P. (2009) The amino terminal domain from Mr4 protein can functionally replace the RNA binding domain of the ribosomal P0 protein. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **37**, 3514–3521.

28. Fourmy, D., Yoshizawa, S. and Puglisi, J.D. (1998) Paromomycin binding induces a local conformational change in the A-site of 16 S rRNA. *J. Mol. Biol.* **277**, 333–345.

29. Ganoza, M.C. and Kiel, M.C. (2001) A ribosomal ATPase is a target for hygromycin B inhibition on *Escherichia coli* ribosomes. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **45**, 2813–2819.

30. Taylor, D.J., Nilsson, J., Merrill, A.R., Andersen, G.R., Nissen, P. and Frank, J. (2007) Structures of modified eEF2 80S ribosome complexes reveal the role of GTP hydrolysis in translocation. *EMBO J.* **26**, 19–27.

31. Tonevitsky, A.G., Stark, H., Rodnina, M.V. and Wahl, M.C. (2005) Discrimination between the particles sensitive to the prokaryotic specific antibiotic thiostrepton. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **33**, 7109–7117.

32. Scheuring, S. (2021) High-speed atomic force microscopy tracks the dynamic parts of the ribosome. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **118**, e2024413118.

33. Shao, S., Murray, J., Brown, A., Taunton, J., Ramakrishnan, V. and Hegde, R.S. (2016) Decoding mammalian ribosome-mRNA states by translational GTPase complexes. *Cell.* **167**, 1229–1240.

34. Wawiora, L., Molestak, E., Szajwaj, M., Michalec-Wawiora, B., Boguszewska, A., Borkiewicz, L., Ludkovska, V., Kufel, J. and Tchorzewski, M. (2016) Functional analysis of the uL11 protein impact on translational machinery. *Cell Cycle.* **15**, 1060–1072.

35. Flomenberg, S., McCormick, M.A., Pham, K.M., Mackay, V.L., Delaney, J.R., Murakami, C.J., Kaeberlein, M. and Kennedy, B.K. (2012) Ribosome deficiency protects against ER stress in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Genetics.* **191**, 107–118.

36. Liias, A. (2016) uL11, a central player in translation. *Cell Cycle.* **15**, 1529–1530.

37. Rodriguez-Mateos, M., Abia, D., Garcia-Gomez, J.J., Morelle, A., de la Cruz, J., Santos, C., Remacha, M. and Ballesta, J.P. (2009) The amino terminal domain from Mr4 protein can functionally replace the RNA binding domain of the ribosomal P0 protein. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **37**, 3514–3521.

38. Fourmy, D., Yoshizawa, S. and Puglisi, J.D. (1998) Paromomycin binding induces a local conformational change in the A-site of 16 S rRNA. *J. Mol. Biol.* **277**, 333–345.

39. Ganoza, M.C. and Kiel, M.C. (2001) A ribosomal ATPase is a target for hygromycin B inhibition on *Escherichia coli* ribosomes. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **45**, 2813–2819.

40. Taylor, D.J., Nilsson, J., Merrill, A.R., Andersen, G.R., Nissen, P. and Frank, J. (2007) Structures of modified eEF2 80S ribosome complexes reveal the role of GTP hydrolysis in translocation. *EMBO J.* **26**, 19–27.

41. Tonevitsky, A.G., Stark, H., Rodnina, M.V. and Wahl, M.C. (2005) Discrimination between the particles sensitive to the prokaryotic specific antibiotic thiostrepton. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **33**, 7109–7117.
46. Grzesiek, S. and Bax, A. (1993) Amino acid type determination in the sequential assignment procedure of uniformly $^{13}$C/$^{15}$N-enriched proteins. J. Biomol. NMR, 3, 185–204.

47. Szyperski, T., Neri, D., Leiting, B., Otting, G. and Wüthrich, K. (1992) Support of $^{1}$H NMR assignments in proteins by biosynthetically directed fractional $^{1}$C-labeling. J. Biomol. NMR, 2, 323–334.

48. Wider, G., Neri, D., Otting, G. and Wüthrich, K. (1989) A heteronuclear three-dimensional NMR experiment for measurements of small heteronuclear coupling constants in biological macromolecules. J. Magn. Reson., 85, 426–431.

49. Palmer, A.G. III, Cavanagh, J., Wright, P.E. and Rance, M. (1991) Sensitivity improvement in proton-detected two-dimensional heteronuclear correlation NMR spectroscopy. J. Magn. Reson., 93, 151–170.

50. Kay, L., Keifer, P. and Saarinen, T. (1992) Pure absorption gradient enhanced heteronuclear single quantum correlation spectroscopy with improved sensitivity. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 114, 10663–10665.

51. Pietro, M., Saudek, V. and Sklenar, V. (1992) Gradient-tailored excitation for single-quantum NMR spectroscopy of aqueous solutions. J. Biomol. NMR, 2, 661–665.

52. Delaglio, F., Grzesiek, S., Vuister, G.W., Zhu, G., Pfeifer, J. and Bax, A. (1995) NMRPipe: a multidimensional spectral processing system based on UNIX pipes. J. Biomol. NMR, 6, 277–293.

53. Johnson, B.A. and Blevins, R.A. (1994) NMR View: a computer program for the visualization and analysis of NMR data. J. Biomol. NMR, 4, 603–614.

54. Shen, Y. and Bax, A. (2013) Protein backbone and sidechain torsion angles predicted from NMR chemical shifts using artificial neural networks. J. Biomol. NMR, 56, 227–241.

55. Linge, J.P., O'Donoghue, S.I. and Nilges, M. (2001) Automated assignment of ambiguous nuclear overhauser effects with ARIA. Methods Enzymol., 339, 71–90.

56. Brünger, A.T., Adams, P.D., Clore, G.M., DeLano, W.L., Gros, P., Grosse-Kunstleve, R.W., Jiang, J.-S., Kuszewski, J., Nilges, M. and Pannu, N.S. (1998) Crystallography & NMR system: a new software suite for macromolecular structure determination. Acta Crystallogr. Sect. D Biol. Crystallogr., 54, 905–921.

57. Brunger, A.T. (2007) Version 1.2 of the crystallography and NMR molecular structure determination package. Acta Crystallogr. D Struct. Biol., 63, 160–167.

58. Havel, D.C., Schwalbe, H., Clore, G.M. and栾 X. (2013) The Xplor-NIH NMR molecular structure determination package. J. Struct. Biol., 183, 106–123.

59. Pietro, M., Saudek, V. and Sklenar, V. (2011) Structure of the ribosomal P stalk base in archaean Methanococcus jannaschii. J. Struct. Biol., 211, 107559.

60. Liebischner, D., Afonine, P.V., Baker, M.L., Bunkoczi, G., Chen, V.B., Croll, T.I., Hintze, B., Hung, L.W., Jain, S., McCoy, A.J. et al. (2019) Macromolecular structure determination using X-rays, neutrons and electrons: recent developments in Phenix. Acta Crystallogr. D Struct. Biol., 75, 861–877.

61. Anandakrishnan, R., Aguilar, B. and Onufriev, A. V. (2012) H++ 3.0: automating pK prediction and the preparation of biomolecular structures for atomistic molecular modeling and simulations. Nucleic Acids Res., 40, W537–W541.

62. Pronk, S., Paul, S., Schulz, R., Larsson, P., Bjelkmar, P., Apostolov, R., Shirts, M.R., Smith, J.C., Kasson, P.M., van der Spoel, D. et al. (2013) GROMACS 4.5: a high-throughput and highly parallel open source molecular simulation toolkit. Bioinformatics, 29, 845–854.

63. Hornak, V., Abel, A., Okur, A., Stockbine, B., Roitberg, A. and Simmerling, C. (2006) Comparison of multiple Amber force fields and development of improved protein backbone parameters. Proteins, 65, 712–725.

64. Joung, I.S. and Cheatham, T.E., 3rd. (2008) Determination of alkaline and halide monovalent ion parameters for use in explicitly solvated biomolecular simulations. J. Phys. Chem. B, 112, 9020–9041.

65. Hess, B. (2008) P-LINCS: a parallel linear constraint solver for biomolecular structures. J. Chem. Theory Comput., 4, 116–122.

66. Olivas-Marin, I.K., Gonzalez-Hernandez, J.C., Regalado-Gonzalez, C. and Madrigal-Perez, L.A. (2018) Saccharomyces cerevisiae exponential growth kinetics in batch culture to analyze respiratory and fermentative metabolism. J. Vis. Exp., 139, 58192.

67. Brown, A., Baird, M.R., Yip, M.C., Murray, J. and Shao, S. (2018) Structures of translationally inactive mammalian ribosomes. Elle, 7, e40486.

68. Flis, J., Holm, M., Rundlet, E.J., Loerke, J., Hila, T., Dabrowski, M., Burger, J., Mielke, T., Blanchard, S.C., Spahn, C.M.T. et al. (2018) tRNA translocation by the eukaryotic 80S ribosome and the impact of GTP hydrolysis. Cell Rep., 25, 2676–2688.

69. Thoms, M., Buschauer, R., Ameismeier, M., Koenke, L., Denk, T., Hirsenberger, M., Kratzat, H., Hayn, M., Mackens-Kiani, T., Cheng, J. et al. (2020) Structural basis for translational shutdown and immune evasion by the Nsp1 protein of SARS-CoV-2. Science, 369, 1249–1255.

70. Kavran, J.M. and Stitz, T.A. (2007) Structure of the base of the L7/L12 stalk of the Haloarcula marismortui large ribosomal subunit: analysis of L11 movements. J. Mol. Biol., 371, 1047–1059.

71. Fislag, M., Zhang, J., Brown, Z.P., Mandava, C.S., Sanyal, S., Ehrenberg, M. and Frank, J. (2018) Cryo-EM shows stages of initial codon selection on the ribosome by aa-tRNA in ternary complex with GTP and the GTPase-deficient EF-TuH84A. Nucleic Acids Res., 46, 5861–5874.

72. Rundlet, E.J., Holm, M., Schacherl, M., Natchiar, S.K., Altman, R.B., Spahn, C.M.T., Myasnikov, A.G. and Blanchard, S.C. (2021) Structural basis of early translocation events on the ribosome. Nature, 595, 741–745.

73. Pettersen, E.F., Goddard, T.D., Huang, C.C., Meng, E.C., Couch, G.S., Croll, T.I., Morris, J.H. and Ferrin, T.E. (2021) UCSF ChimeraX: structure visualization for researchers, educators, and developers. Protein Sci., 40, 70–82.