Characterization of Early-Onset Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 Infection in Immunocompromised Patients Who Received Tixagevimab-Cilgavimab Prophylaxis
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Tixagevimab-cilgavimab is authorized for preexposure prophylaxis against coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in immunocompromised hosts. Herein, we report the clinical characteristics of 8 patients who developed COVID-19 soon after receiving tixagevimab-cilgavimab. This study emphasizes the need to maintain additional measures to prevent COVID-19 during periods of high severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 transmission.
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Since the novel severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) emerged in late December 2019, the number of patients developing coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) has risen exponentially worldwide [1]. Multiple studies have demonstrated that immunocompromised patients may suffer from significant morbidity and mortality associated with COVID-19 [2–4]. Vaccination is recommended as a primary prevention method, but immunosuppressive treatment blunts the immune response, leaving patients at higher risk of SARS-CoV-2 infection [1]. Moreover, SARS-CoV-2 has developed mutations throughout the pandemic, resulting in numerous viral variants of concern (VOCs) [5].

Several monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) targeting the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein have been authorized for the treatment of COVID-19 in high-risk patients. Previous studies demonstrated that the use of mAbs in immunocompromised patients was associated with lower hospitalization rates [6–9]. In addition, vaccinated transplant recipients with breakthrough COVID-19 benefitted from treatment with mAbs [10].

Tixagevimab with cilgavimab (tix-cil) is a combination of 2 long-acting mAbs blocking the viral spike receptor-binding domain that attaches to the human angiotensin-converting enzyme 2. In vitro studies performed on SARS-CoV-2 Alpha (B.1.1.7), Beta (B.1.351), Gamma (P.1), and Delta (B.1.617.2) VOCs demonstrated that tix-cil had a >3000-fold higher blocking affinity compared with other mAbs [11]. A phase 3, double-blind, placebo-controlled study for preexposure prophylaxis demonstrated that patients receiving tix-cil had a relative risk reduction of 77% in the incidence of symptomatic COVID-19 [12].

Under an Emergency Use Authorization (EUA), tix-cil was authorized by the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for pre-exposure prophylaxis in immunocompromised patients [13]. However, the efficacy of tix-cil in this high-risk population is unknown since the initial study included only a small number of immunocompromised patients. Moreover, its efficacy against the highly transmissible SARS-CoV-2 Omicron (B.1.1.529) VOC is unknown. In vitro studies have shown that Omicron can escape humoral immune responses generated after natural infection or vaccination, and it is totally or partially resistant to neutralization by many mAbs due to its multiple spike protein mutations [5,14–16].

Given the imbalance between supply and demand, our institution prioritized the administration of tix-cil to high-risk patients with severe immunocompromising conditions (Supplementary Materials), as guided by the Minnesota Department of Health [17]. SARS-CoV-2 antibody levels were not used to prioritize allocation of tix-cil. Herein, we describe the clinical characteristics and outcomes of patients who developed COVID-19 following tix-cil administration.

METHODS

This is a descriptive analysis of all patients who developed COVID-19 after receiving tix-cil during the first 2 months of the program at the Mayo Clinic in Rochester, Minnesota. The program is coordinated by a team of providers tasked with the equitable allocation of the limited drug supply. For this study, all patients who were 18 years or older and received the initially authorized dose of tixagevimab 150 mg co-formulated with cilgavimab 150 mg were included. Collected
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data included demographic characteristics, comorbidities, current immunosuppressive regimen, COVID-19 immunization status, clinical presentation, COVID-19 testing methodology, COVID-19-directed therapies, and clinical outcomes, including the need for supplemental oxygen and hospitalization. All data were retrieved from our electronic health records. For available samples, we performed genomic analyses to describe spike protein mutations and characterize specific VOCs.

**Patient Consent Statement**
The Mayo Clinic Institutional Review Board approved the study protocol. Patient consent was waived.

**RESULTS**
Of the 1080 eligible immunocompromised patients, 674 patients (37% with hematological malignancies, 23% with autoimmune diseases, 22% solid organ transplant recipients, 11% hematopoietic stem cell transplant (SCT) recipients, 7% with other immunocompromising conditions) received tix-cil during the first 2 months of our preexposure prophylaxis program. Eight patients (1.2%) were subsequently diagnosed with SARS-CoV-2 infection after receiving tix-cil. The characteristics of these patients are summarized in Table 1.

Four patients were solid organ transplant recipients, 3 had underlying hematological malignancies, and 1 patient was an allogeneic SCT recipient. Six patients had received 3 doses, while 1 patient had 2 doses, of messenger RNA (mRNA) COVID-19 vaccines. One patient had not yet received any COVID-19 vaccine due to ongoing chemotherapy and then SCT. The vaccinated patients were not tested for SARS-CoV-2 spike protein antibody after vaccination. None of the patients had a prior history of COVID-19.

SARS-CoV-2 infection occurred early after tix-cil administration, with varying clinical presentation. While most patients presented with mild respiratory symptoms, 2 patients were asymptomatic and diagnosed during screening before undergoing a procedure. The median time between tix-cil administration and the onset of symptoms was 2.5 days (range, 1–7 days). The diagnosis was confirmed by molecular testing in most patients.

Genomic analysis was planned for all patients, but samples were not available for 7 patients (home antigen testing, n = 2; molecular test done in external laboratory, n = 3; cycle threshold too high for analysis, n = 2). Only 1 sample was available for genomic analysis. The variant was found to belong to the Omicron sublineage BA.1 (Supplementary Figure).

Four patients received sotrovimab (500 mg infusion), and none of them progressed to severe COVID-19. Two asymptomatic patients were not eligible for sotrovimab treatment. Only 2 patients required hospitalization. One liver transplant recipient (Table 1, patient 1) presented with acute hypoxic respiratory failure requiring low-flow supplemental oxygen due to concomitant Streptococcus pneumoniae pneumonia, bacteremia, and empyema. The SCT recipient (Table 1, patient 4) presented with Campylobacter enterocolitis and was hospitalized for persistent diarrhea due to coexisting acute graft-vs-host disease involving the gastrointestinal tract. None of the 8 patients died by the time of this report (median follow-up, 99 days [range, 66–108 days]).

**DISCUSSION**
This brief report describes our early experience with tix-cil for preventing COVID-19 among severely immunocompromised patients. Eight patients were diagnosed with COVID-19 within the first 2 weeks of receiving this medication. These infections could have been caused by acquisition of SARS-CoV-2 around the time (prior to or shortly after) of receiving prophylaxis. Tix-cil reaches maximum concentration in serum at a median time of 15 days [18]. We presume that the maximum benefit may not yet have been achieved to prevent COVID-19 in these severely immunocompromised patients. Moreover, all patients included in this analysis received the initially approved lower dose (tixagevimab 150 mg with cilgavimab 150 mg). The FDA subsequently recommended increasing the dose to 300 mg of tixagevimab and 300 mg of cilgavimab based on previous in vitro studies showing that tix-cil has a substantial reduction in neutralizing activity against the Omicron VOC [14–19]. After the EUA revision, we identified 6 additional patients who developed COVID-19 at a median time of 26.5 days (range, 6–32 days) following administration of the higher dose of tix-cil. These patients were asymptomatic or presented with mild respiratory symptoms. Most of them received bebtelovimab, and none required hospitalization. Genomic analysis of the variants infecting these 6 patients has not been performed.

Despite using the previously recommended lower tix-cil dose, none of our patients required hospitalization due to severe COVID-19. Only 1 patient required hospitalization due to respiratory failure caused by concomitant complicated invasive pneumococcal disease. While 4 patients received rescue therapy with sotrovimab, we cannot exclude the possibility that tix-cil may have also prevented disease progression. Indeed, 2 asymptomatic patients and 1 patient with mild symptoms did not progress to symptomatic COVID-19 despite not receiving sotrovimab. An ongoing clinical trial is evaluating the use of tix-cil to treat COVID-19 in adults in the outpatient setting [20].

Alternatively, the lack of progression to severe COVID-19 may have been due to an effective vaccination series. Most of our patients completed 3-dose series of mRNA vaccines. Previous reports showed a protective effect of 3 doses of the COVID-19 vaccine against the Omicron variant compared with 2 or fewer doses. However, these reports have not included high-risk immunocompromised patients who do not mount protective levels of SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibodies [21].
| Characteristics | Patient 1 | Patient 2 | Patient 3 | Patient 4 | Patient 5 | Patient 6 | Patient 7 | Patient 8 |
|-----------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Age, y          | 24        | 87        | 43        | 67        | 73        | 49        | 65        | 21        |
| Sex             | Female    | Male      | Male      | Female    | Female    | Female    | Female    | Female    |
| Comorbidities   | Diabetes, liver transplant | Chronic lung disease, DLBCL | DLBCL | Allo-SCT, diabetes | Cirrhosis, CKD, multiple myeloma | Heart and lung transplant, hypertension, obesity | Diabetes, hypertension, kidney and liver transplant | Heart and kidney transplant |
| Immunosuppressive regimen | Azathioprine, prednisone, tacrolimus | R-CVP | R-CHOP | Cyclosporine | Bortezomib, daratumumab, dexamethasone | MMF, prednisone, tacrolimus | MMF, prednisone, tacrolimus | Prednisone, tacrolimus |
| Type and No. of doses of SARS-CoV-2 vaccine | mRNA vaccine 3 | mRNA vaccine 3 | mRNA vaccine 2 | None | mRNA vaccine 3 | mRNA vaccine 3 | mRNA vaccine 3 | mRNA vaccine 3 |
| Time between tix-cil and onset of COVID-19 symptoms, d | 1 | 7 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 1 | NA | NA |
| Time between tix-cil and COVID-19 diagnosis, d | 4 | 8 | 7 | 12 | 6 | 3 | 4 | 4 |
| SARS-CoV-2 test | PCR (external laboratory) | Home antigen test | Home antigen test | PCR (Ct value 32.3) | PCR (external laboratory) | Home antigen test, PCR (Ct value 22.6)* | PCR (external laboratory) | PCR (Ct value 36.6) |
| Clinical presentation | Body aching, fever, rhinorrhea, dyspnea | Malaise, rhinorrhea | Malaise, rhinorrhea | Cough, diarrhea, malaise | Malaise, rhinorrhea | Cough, dyspnea, malaise | Asymptomatic | Asymptomatic |
| Complications | Streptococcus pneumoniae bacteremia, pneumonia, and empyema | None | None | Campylobacter sp enterocolitis | None | None | None | None |
| COVID-19 directed therapy | Dexamethasone, remdesivir, Sotrovimab rescue therapy | Sotrovimab rescue therapy | Sotrovimab rescue therapy | None | Sotrovimab rescue therapy | None | None | None |
| Use of antibiotics | Ceftriaxone | None | None | Levofoxacin | None | None | None | None |
| Oxygen therapy | Low-flow supplementary oxygen | None | None | None | None | None | None | None |
| Clinical outcome | Hospitalization for management of hypoxia and infection | Outpatient symptomatic management | Outpatient symptomatic management | Hospitalization for management of persistent diarrhea | Outpatient symptomatic management | Outpatient symptomatic management | NA | NA |
| Mortality | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |

Abbreviations: Allo-SCT, allogenic stem-cell transplant recipient; CKD, chronic kidney disease; COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019; Ct, cycle threshold; DLBCL, diffuse large B-cell lymphoma; MMF, mycophenolate mofetil; mRNA, messenger RNA; NA, not applicable; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; R-CHOP, rituximab, cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, and prednisone; R-CVP, rituximab, cyclophosphamide, vincristine, and prednisone; SARS-CoV-2, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2; tix-cil, tixagevimab-cilgavimab.

*Patient initially tested positive at home but then had a molecular test when presenting to the hospital complaining of cough and dyspnea.
Therefore, it is crucial for immunocompromised patients to continue using additional measures, such as masking, for protection against COVID-19.

The Omicron BA.1 variant was the most common circulating VOC in Minnesota (99.4%) during the time of our study [22]. Accordingly, 4 symptomatic patients were given sotrovimab rescue therapy. The lack of clinical progression of COVID-19 in these 4 patients correlated with studies that showed that sotrovimab retained neutralizing activity against the Omicron sublineage BA.1 [14, 15, 23]. SARS-CoV-2 genomic sequencing performed on 1 patient demonstrated an Omicron variant with multiple mutations in the spike protein. The analysis of the spike protein mutations predicted a reduced mAb activity, with a 75-fold reduction in the activity of tix-cil and only a 5-fold reduction of sotrovimab activity [24]. Accordingly, sotrovimab was administered in our patients with mildly symptomatic breakthrough infections after receiving tix-cil prophylaxis. However, sotrovimab is no longer recommended given its reduced in vitro activity against the currently circulating Omicron BA.2 variant. Bebtelovimab was given to 5 patients who developed COVID-19 after receiving the higher dose of tix-cil [25].

Despite the concerns about the effectiveness of tix-cil against SARS-CoV-2 Omicron VOC, 98.8% of our high-risk patients who received tix-cil did not develop COVID-19 by the time of this analysis. Most of the patients diagnosed with COVID-19 presented with mild disease, and none required mechanical ventilation or died. A recent study of 416 kidney transplant recipients reported that 9.4% developed COVID-19 after receiving tix-cil pre-exposure prophylaxis, including 2 patients who died [26]. The higher incidence of COVID-19 in that study can be related to differences in the population (our study only included 69 kidney transplant recipients), circulating SARS-CoV-2 VOCs, baseline immunosuppressive regimens, and use of mAb rescue therapy to prevent progression. A larger sample and longer follow-up will be needed to assess the real-world efficacy in specific groups of immunocompromised hosts.

Despite the potential protective effect conferred by tix-cil, our observations emphasize the need for additional prevention measures, such as masking and completing immunization series, while SARS-CoV-2 transmission remains high in the community.

Supplementary Data
Supplementary materials are available at Open Forum Infectious Diseases online. Consisting of data provided by the authors to benefit the reader, the posted materials are not copyedited and are the sole responsibility of the authors, so questions or comments should be addressed to the corresponding author.

Notes
Acknowledgments. We thank our tixagevimab-cilgavimab prophylaxis team for their contribution.

Financial support. This work was supported by an intramural grant to R. R. R. from the Mayo Clinic. P. V. was supported by the Mayo Clinic Department of Medicine Catalyst Award for Advancing in Academics.

Potential conflicts of interest. R. R. R. has received grants from Regeneron, Roche, and Gilead for research not directly related to this study (research funds given to Mayo Clinic). P. V. has received research grants from Scynexis and Cidara and has served on the data and safety monitoring board for AbbVie, Vanda, and Algenron Pharmaceuticals (all fees paid to Mayo Clinic). All other authors report no potential conflicts.

All authors have submitted the ICMJE Form for Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest. Conflicts that the editors consider relevant to the content of the manuscript have been disclosed.

References
1. Jering KS, McGrath MM, Mc Causland FR, Claggett B, Cunningham JW, Solomon SD. Excess mortality in solid organ transplant recipients hospitalized with COVID-19: a large-scale comparison of SOT recipients hospitalized with or without COVID-19. Clin Transplant 2022; 36:e14492.
2. Mehta V, Goel S, Kabarriri R, et al. Case fatality rate of cancer patients with COVID-19 in a New York hospital system. Cancer Discov 2020; 10:935–41.
3. Luo J, Rizuvi H, Preeshagul JR, et al. COVID-19 in patients with lung cancer. Ann Oncol 2020; 31:1386–96.
4. Kates OS, Haydel RM, Florman SS, et al. Coronavirus disease 2019 in solid organ transplant: a multicenter cohort study. Clin Infect Dis 2021; 73:e0900–9.
5. Wang X, Zhao X, Song J, et al. Homologous or heterogeneous booster of inactivated vaccine reduces SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant escape from neutralizing antibodies. Emerg Microbes Infect 2022; 11:477–81.
6. Yetmar ZA, Beam E, O’Horo JC, et al. Monoclonal antibody therapy for COVID-19 in solid organ transplant recipients. Open Forum Infect Dis 2021; 8:ofab255.
7. Del Bello A, Marion O, Veillas C, Paguer S, I佐pet J, Kamar N. Anti-SARS-CoV-2 monoclonal antibodies in solid-organ transplant patients. Transplantation 2021; 105:e146–7.
8. Kutzler HL, Kuzaro HA, Serrano OK, Feingold A, Morgan G, Cheema F. Initial experience of bamlanivimab monotherapy use in solid organ transplant recipients. Transpl Infect Dis 2021; 23:e13662.
9. Gottlieb RL, Nirula A, Chen P, et al. Effect of bamlanivimab as monotherapy or in combination with etesevimab on viral load in patients with mild to moderate COVID-19: a randomized clinical trial. JAMA 2021; 325:632–44.
10. Yetmar ZA, Bhaimia E, Bierle DM, Ganesh R, Razonable RR. Breakthrough COVID-19 after SARS-CoV-2 vaccination in solid organ transplant recipients: an analysis of symptomatic cases and monoclonal antibody therapy [manuscript published online ahead of print 21 December 2021]. Transpl Infect Dis 2022; 24:e13779.
11. Loo YM, McTamney PM, Arends RH, et al. The SARS-CoV-2 monoclonal antibody combination, AZD7442, is protective in nonhuman primates and has an extended half-life in humans. Sci Transl Med 2022; 14:eab81214.
12. Levin MJ, Ustianowski S, De Wit S, et al. Intramuscular AZD7442 (tixagevimab-cilgavimab) for prevention of Covid-19 [manuscript published online ahead of print 20 April 2022]. N Engl J Med 2022. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2116620.
13. US Food and Drug Administration. Coronavirus (COVID-19) update: FDA authorizes new long-acting monoclonal antibodies for pre-exposure prevention of COVID-19 in certain individuals. https://www.fda.gov/news-events/press-announcements/coronavirus-covid-19-update-fda-authorizes-new-long-acting-monoclonal-antibodies-pre-exposure. Accessed 4 February 2022.
14. Planas D, Saunders N, Maes P, et al. Considerable escape of SARS-CoV-2 Omicron to antibody neutralization. Nature 2022; 602:671–5.
15. Aggarwal A, Osypina Stella A, Walker G, et al. SARS-CoV-2 Omicron: evasion of potent humoral responses and resistance to clinical immunotherapeutics relative to viral variants of concern. medRxiv [Preprint]. Posted online 15 December 2021. doi:10.1101/2021.12.14.21267772.
16. Cedro-Tanda A, Gómez–Romero L, de Anda-Jauregui G, et al. Early genomic, epide- miological, and clinical description of the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant in Mexico City. Viruses 2022; 14:545.
17. Minnesota Department of Health. Interim ethical framework for allocation of tixagevimab/cilgavimab during COVID-19 pandemic. https://www.health.state.mn.us/diseases/coronavirus/hcp/tixicaletical.pdf. Accessed 4 February 2022.
18. US Food and Drug Administration. Fact sheet for healthcare providers: emergency use authorization for Evusheld (tixagevimab co-packaged with cilgavimab). https://www.fda.gov/media/154701/download. Accessed 1 February 2022.
19. US Food and Drug Administration. FDA authorizes revisions to Evusheld dosing. https://www.fda.gov/drugs/drug-safety-and-availability/fda-authorizes-revisions-evusheld-dosing. Accessed 4 March 2022.
20. ClinicalTrials.gov. NCT04723394: phase III study of AZD7442 for treatment of COVID-19 in outpatient adults (TACKLE). https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04723394. Accessed 15 March 2022.
21. Accorsi EK, Britton A, Fleming-Dutra KE, et al. Association between 3 doses of mRNA COVID-19 vaccine and symptomatic infection caused by the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron and Delta variants. JAMA 2022; 327:639–51.
22. Minnesota Department of Health. Weekly COVID-19 report 3/3/2022. https://www.health.state.mn.us/diseases/coronavirus/stats/index.html. Accessed 19 March 2022.
23. VanBlargan LA, Errico JM, Halfmann PJ, et al. An infectious SARS-CoV-2 B.1.1.529 Omicron virus escapes neutralization by therapeutic monoclonal antibodies [manuscript published online ahead of print 19 January 2022]. Nat Med 2022; 28:490–5.
24. Tzou PL, Tao K, Pond SLK, Shafer RW. Coronavirus resistance database (CoV-RDB): SARS-CoV-2 susceptibility to monoclonal antibodies, convalescent plasma, and plasma from vaccinated persons. PLoS One 2022; 17:e0261045.
25. COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines Panel, National Institutes of Health. Therapeutic management of nonhospitalized adults with COVID-19. https://www.covid19treatmentguidelines.nih.gov/management/clinical-management/nonhospitalized-adults–therapeutic-management/. Accessed 29 April 2022.
26. Benotmane L, Velay A, Gautier Vargas G, et al. Breakthrough Covid-19 cases despite tixagevimab and cilgavimab (Evusheld™) prophylaxis in kidney transplant recipients [preprint]. medRxiv [Preprint]. Posted online 19 March 2022. doi:10.1101/2022.03.19.22272575.