sFDvent: A global trait database for deep-sea hydrothermal-vent fauna
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Abstract

**Motivation:** Traits are increasingly being used to quantify global biodiversity patterns, with trait databases growing in size and number, across diverse taxa. Despite growing interest in a trait-based approach to the biodiversity of the deep sea, where the impacts of human activities (including seabed mining) accelerate, there is no single repository for species traits for deep-sea chemosynthesis-based ecosystems, including hydrothermal vents. Using an international, collaborative approach, we have compiled the first global-scale trait database for deep-sea hydrothermal-vent fauna – sFD-vent (sDiv-funded trait database for the Functional Diversity of vents). We formed a funded working group to select traits appropriate to: (a) capture the performance of vent species and their influence on ecosystem processes, and (b) compare trait-based diversity in different ecosystems. Forty contributors, representing expertise across most known hydrothermal-vent systems and taxa, scored species traits using online collaborative tools and shared workspaces. Here, we characterise the sFDvent database, describe our approach, and evaluate its scope. Finally, we compare the sFD-vent database to similar databases from shallow-marine and terrestrial ecosystems to highlight how the sFDvent database can inform cross-ecosystem comparisons. We also make the sFDvent database publicly available online by assigning a persistent, unique DOI.

**Main types of variable contained:** Six hundred and forty-six vent species names, associated location information (33 regions), and scores for 13 traits (in categories: community structure, generalist/specialist, geographic distribution, habitat use, life history, mobility, species associations, symbiont, and trophic structure). Contributor IDs, certainty scores, and references are also provided.

**Spatial location and grain:** Global coverage (grain size: ocean basin), spanning eight ocean basins, including vents on 12 mid-ocean ridges and 6 back-arc spreading centres.

**Time period and grain:** sFDvent includes information on deep-sea vent species, and associated taxonomic updates, since they were first discovered in 1977. Time is not recorded. The database will be updated every 5 years.

**Major taxa and level of measurement:** Deep-sea hydrothermal-vent fauna with species-level identification present or in progress.

**Software format:** .csv and MS Excel (.xlsx).

**Keywords:** biodiversity, collaboration, conservation, cross-ecosystem, database, deep sea, functional trait, global-scale, hydrothermal vent, sFDvent
Traits provide a “common currency” that can be used across taxa and biogeographic regions to analyse global-scale biodiversity patterns and to evaluate links between species and ecosystem processes (Stuart-Smith et al., 2013; Violle, Reich, Pacala, Enquist, & Kattge, 2014). Taxonomic and phylogenetic information underpins traditional diversity metrics, such as species richness and phylogenetic diversity, whereas traits enable us to compare fish, mammal, bird and other biodiversity, using a language common across phyla. Given increasing application of trait-based approaches in biodiversity research (Petchey & Gaston, 2006), trait databases are growing in number. For example, 25 databases have been published for terrestrial, freshwater and marine environments since 2000; of these, around 25 per cent were published in 2017 (see Supporting Information Appendix S2).

Some of the first and, now, largest trait databases focus on plants, where strong links exist between leaf traits (e.g., area, angle), plant growth, and primary production via photosynthesis (Kattge et al., 2011; Kühn, Durka, & Klotz, 2004). Similar relationships between organisms, traits and energy sources were relatively recently discovered in deep-sea hydrothermal-vent fauna, when life was first discovered in deep-sea vent environments 40 years ago [Corliss et al., 1979; photosynthesis was first discovered 200 years before this (Ingen-Housz, 1779)]. Instead of exploiting photosynthetic pathways, vent animals are strongly dependent on energy from reduced compounds in hydrothermal fluid through chemosynthetic microorganisms (Jannasch, 1985). Deep-sea hydrothermal vents therefore offer a compelling system for applying trait-based approaches (e.g., see Chapman, Tunnicliffe, & Bates, 2018). Moreover, the distribution of hydrothermal-vent communities has been shaped through geographical and evolutionary time by the movement of tectonic plate boundaries (Ramirez-Llodra, Shank, & German, 2007; Tunnicliffe, 1988). Vent fauna therefore group into distinct biogeographic provinces (Bachraty, Legendre, & Desbruyères, 2009; Moalic et al., 2012; Rogers et al., 2012), which offer a pertinent framework upon which to compare taxon-based biodiversity patterns to those derived from biological trait data.

Trait-oriented analyses of global-scale biodiversity patterns can also inform conservation and management plans (Mouillot, Graham, Villeger, Mason, & Bellwood, 2013; Stuart-Smith et al., 2015). At vents, this is increasingly important, as commercial-scale mining – the first large-scale, direct human impact on these remote ecosystems – will begin before 2020 (Van Dover et al., 2017, 2018). Despite the potential for a trait-based approach to progress ecological understanding and to inform deep-sea mining policies and strategies for vent conservation, it was not possible to pursue this approach on large scales before now, due to a lack of suitable trait data for vent species.

Here, we describe, and make publicly available, a global-scale trait database for deep-sea hydrothermal-vent species – sFDvent (sDiv-funded trait database for the Functional Diversity of vents). We: (a) characterize the database; (b) describe the international, collaborative compilation process, and highlight the importance of a working group and web-based document-sharing tools in our workflow; and (c) provide summary statistics and usage guidelines for the recommended first version of the database. Through sFDvent, we aim: to promote the use of a trait-based approach in conjunction with taxonomic and phylogenetic methods when analysing deep-sea biodiversity patterns; to encourage international collaboration and knowledge sharing in the deep-sea chemosynthesis-based-ecosystem research community; and to facilitate macroecological analyses including vent fauna.

2 | METHODS

2.1 | An international, collaborative approach to trait data collection

A working-group meeting at the German Centre for Integrative Biodiversity Research (iDiv) facilitated the design of the sFDvent database populated by an international group of expert collaborators (detailed in Supporting Information Figure S1 A.1 and Appendix S3). We selected traits using a three-step process: (a) creating a “wishlist” of traits that could inform understanding of the performance of a species in its ecosystem, as well as its influence on ecosystem function (Figure 1); (b) reducing this trait list to those that could be scored for the majority of vent species across the globe; and (c) checking the traits selected in step (b) against similar traits in established trait databases (e.g., Faulwetter et al., 2017; Madin et al., 2016; Stuart-Smith et al., 2013) to ensure cross-ecosystem compatibility in terminology and definitions.

The working-group meeting was also a platform for data-collection design. We used data compendia such as the Ocean Biogeographic Information System (OBIS, 2017), the World Register of Marine Species (WoRMS) (Horton et al., 2017), ChEssBase (Baker, Ramirez-Llodra, & Perry, 2010), and Desbruyères, Segonzac, and Bright (2006) to populate species trait scores as a starting point for further contributions from the wider deep-sea research community. Data collection was carried out using the Google Sheets platform, given its in-built capacity for version control and collaboration on shared documents stored online. Each contributor initially received a personal data collection sheet, so entries could be tracked and credited appropriately. These sheets were designed to be as user-friendly as possible while also expediting processing. For example, fixed, drop-down scoring options were provided: (a) for ease of entry for contributors, and (b) to ensure inconsistencies in spelling, grammar, and other symbols did not affect compilation or processing for database end-users. A unique contributor ID (email) column was provided, to ensure each contribution could be tracked and credited after compilation and processing. Example data sheets were tested before distribution to collaborators.

The sFDvent project aimed to engage as many members of the deep-sea research community as possible. Thus, several calls for contributors were made following the working-group meeting, including direct emails, mailing lists (INDEEP, 2018), the Deep-Sea Life newsletter (Baker, Pattenden, & Ramirez-Llodra, 2017) and a poster...
presentation at an international conference (Chapman et al., 2017). Forty contributors from 29 institutions in 13 countries contributed expert knowledge to the database.

2.2 | Data compilation, processing, quality control and analysis

Quality assurance measures were implemented to minimize errors in the database, including: an online video tutorial (Supporting Information Video S4.1, Appendix S4) demonstrating how to input data; a glossary (Supporting Information Table S4.1, Appendix S4), to ensure all contributors had a good understanding of each of the traits and scoring options (modalities); a certainty score column, per trait, ranging from 0 (used when unknown, to show a cell was empty due to lack of knowledge) to 3 (high certainty); and a reference column per trait (permitting “expert opinion” in place of a literary source where appropriate).

Traits scored using expert opinion are often considered to be lower in certainty and/or quality than those scored using published sources. We included traits scored based on expert opinion in sFDvent because of the value of undocumented expert knowledge of deep-sea species and habitats. The current state of knowledge is not always captured in publications or cruise reports for vent species, as remotely operated vehicles can be used to make observations for many hours that do not form part of a formal study. During these hours, scientists gain insights into the behaviour, feeding ecology, size, mobility, and other traits of deep-sea fauna, which would not be captured if sFDvent required all trait scores to be supported in published resources. The decision to include expert-contributed scores in sFDvent makes the certainty data provided with the database particularly useful, as it acts as an indicator of the confidence an expert (or group of experts) has in a given score (e.g., according to the number of observations or laboratory measurements). Traits scored using available literature were also peer-reviewed by experts as part of the database review process.

sFDvent contributions were compiled and processed according to strict, documented criteria, which are described in detail in Supporting Information Appendix S4 and files referenced therein. A summary of the traits, modalities (or scoring options), and associated rationale for raw and recommended data files is provided in Table 1. Finally, summary statistics were computed and a coverage map created (Figure 2) using the recommended dataset (Supporting Information Table S4.2) to facilitate gap analysis and comparison with other well-known trait databases. sFDvent will be updated in future according to the processes outlined in Supporting Information Appendix S5 and Figure S1 A.2.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Data description

The clean, “ready-to-use” sFDvent trait dataset (Supporting Information Table S4.2) includes traits scored with the most coverage and certainty, comprising 646 records across 13 traits with 55 modalities (Table 1). Six of these traits are ordinal, three are binary, and four are qualitative, categorical traits (Table 1). The structure of the sFDvent database is outlined in Supporting Information Figure S1 A.3. The traits in sFDvent were scored at species-level for adult
| Trait category       | Trait                          | Modalities                                                                 | Trait type | Rationale                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
|---------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Mobility            | Relative Adult Mobility       | 1, 2, 3, 4                                                                | Ordinal   | The mobility of a species affects access to food, vent fluid (and the microbes within it), and also its ability to escape predation and/or relocate if, for example, vent fluid supplies shut down or competition becomes too strong.                                                                                                                                   |
| Geographic Distribution | Depth Range (m)             | Maximum and minimum depth ranges, from a choice of 11 (from 0 m to > 5,000 m in 500 m increments) | Ordinal   | Depth range captures information on relative geographic range size and also facilitates the assessment of trait-environment relationships in the vertical dimension of space. Thus, this trait can be included with the others, or used as an environmental variable, depending on the research question.                                                                 |
| Generalist/ Specialist | Chemosynthesis-obligate       | Vent, Other chemosynthesis-based ecosystem(s) (CBE), No                   | Categorical, ordinal | As highlighted in the category, this trait captures information on specialist/generalist adaptations that a species may have to thrive in given environments and is therefore also an important indicator of vulnerability to disturbance or environmental change. For instance, a species dependent on vent environments may be more prone to extinction given deep-sea mining impacts or the shutdown of vent fluid supply than a species that can also live in other chemosynthesis-based ecosystems. |
| Life History        | Estimated Maximum Body Size (mm) | 0.01, 0.1, 1, 10, 100, 1,000                                             | Ordinal   | Body size is known to influence the contribution of a species to ecosystem functioning, as well as its own fitness within a system. This trait captures information on reproduction, life history, fitness, and resilience to change, as well as its energy demand.                                                                                         |
| Habitat Use         | Zonation from a Vent          | High, Medium, Low (Periphery)                                            | Categorical, ordinal | This trait is specific to vent species, but could be adapted for other environments (e.g., to capture the "halo" zonation at seeps and wood falls). It captures the dependence of a species on vent fluid and the microbes it contains, as well as the thermal tolerance of a species (which can be a physiological indicator and thus related to fitness and energy demand). |
| Substratum          | Hard, Soft                    |                                                                           | Binary    | This trait captures species-association information, assuming substratum preference can be indicative of shared niche space. The preferred substratum of a species may also be an indicator of resilience, as hard and soft substrata may be affected by different impact types and intensities during deep-sea mining, for example. This trait also facilitates prediction using trait information, as hard and soft substrata are often mapped during geological and geophysical surveys. |
| Habitat Complexity  | Does not add, Mat forming (< 10 cm), Bed forming (> 10 cm), Dense bush forming, Open bush forming, Burrow forming |                                                                           | Categorical | This trait is a shape indicator, providing insight into the structures and habitat complexity added by a species, and, thus, whether a species might be considered an ecosystem engineer or a foundation species. In adding habitat complexity, a vent species can alter fluid dynamics and access to nutritional resources and therefore influences ecosystem function, energy available to other species, and its own fitness. |
| How often found in groups or clusters? (Gregariousness) | Never (Solitary), Sometimes, Always |                                                                           | Categorical, ordinal | Gregariousness captures information on the potential of a species to influence other processes, as it might be assumed that gregarious species limit space available to other species and are likely to be more common than solitary species. Conversely, gregarious species may depend on others for nutritional and/or reproductive purposes and thus be more vulnerable than species that can thrive alone if population sizes are reduced by disturbance or environmental change. |
fauna, rather than individual-level or for different life stages, given the variability in effort associated with measurements, observations, and descriptions of vent species (Tunnicliffe, 1990). In total, 646 taxa from 345 genera, 181 families, and 12 phyla have trait data with associated, expert-provided location information (Table 2, Supporting Information Figure S1 A.4). Arthropoda is the best-scored phylum, with 216 records, whilst Acanthocephala has the lowest number of records of the phyla in the dataset (one record; Supporting Information Figure S1 A.4). The best-populated ocean basin is the North Pacific (east and west), with 332 taxa with associated trait data (Figure 2), whilst the Mediterranean Sea has the fewest trait records – two (Figure 2).

"Chemosynthesis-obligate", "Relative Adult Mobility", and "Estimated Maximum Body Size" traits are scored for more than 99% of taxa; "Depth Range" and "Nutritional Source" traits have greater than 90% coverage (Supporting Information Figure S1 A.4). The remaining traits are scored for at least 69% of taxa. "Estimated Maximum Body Size" is one of the best-scored traits and also has the highest average certainty (2.8 of a possible score of 3). Average certainty across all traits is, however, greater than 2.5, apart from Gregariousness, "Nutritional Source" and "Trophic Mode" (averaging 2.4; Table 2). For a trait-by-trait summary of results, see Supporting Information Appendix S6.

### 3.2 Comparison with other datasets

The sFDvent dataset has fewer traits and records than many trait databases focusing on shallow-marine, freshwater, and terrestrial taxa (Table 3). Nonetheless, sFDvent has more traits than the carabids.org (Homburg, Homburg, Schäfer, Schuldt, & Assmann, 2013) and stream invertebrates (Schäfer et al., 2011) databases, and more
taxonomic entries than the amphibian (Trochet et al., 2014), reptile (Grimm, Prieto Ramírez, Moulherat, Reynaud, & Henle, 2014), stream invertebrate (Schäfer et al., 2011), and chironomid (Serra, Cobo, Graça, Dolédec, & Feio, 2016) databases (Table 3). Traits limited in other databases [e.g., reproductive traits (Brun, Payne, & Kierboe, 2017)] also have low coverage and/or certainty in the raw sFDvent data, and we have excluded these from the recommended dataset (Supporting Information Table S4.2). However, body sizes are well scored, as in other databases [e.g., marine copepods (Brun et al., 2017)]. Furthermore, the sFDvent database encompasses
similar biological parameters to all of the trait databases described in Table 3, differing in terminology (trait names and modalities) rather than conceptual basis (e.g., see Table 4). For example, feeding, survival, growth, reproduction, and community assembly processes can be assessed using the traits in this database (Figure 1) and in databases focussing on other ecosystems and/or fauna (Table 4).

### Table 3: A comparative review of animal trait databases

| Database                                           | Geographic scope | Environment       | Number of records | Number of taxa | Number of traits (or categories, as specified below) | Reference                                      |
|----------------------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|
| sFDvent                                            | Global           | Marine            | 646               | 646 species   | 13                                                  | This paper                                    |
| Coral Trait Database\(^1\)                         | Global           | Marine            | 68,496            | 1,548 species | 158                                                 | Madin et al. (2016)                           |
| Marine Species Traits\(^2\)                        | Global           | Marine            | NA – tags for species in other databases | NA as produced to link with other databases (e.g., WoRMS) | 10 priority, 138 biological descriptors, 293 ecological descriptors | Marine Species Traits editorial board (2018) |
| Database for life history traits for European amphibians\(^3\) | Europe           | Terrestrial/freshwater | 86               | 86 species    | 14 morphological, 17 life history, 7 movement, 2 spatial distribution, and habitat preferences and threats | Trochet et al. (2014)                         |
| Reptile Trait Database\(^4\)                       | Europe           | Terrestrial       | 122               | 122 species   | 18 (with some repeated for different life stages) | Grimm et al. (2014)                           |
| carabids.org\(^5\)                                 | Global           | Terrestrial       | > 10,000          | > 10,000 species | 12                                                  | Homburg et al. (2013)                         |
| Trait database of stream invertebrates\(^6\)       | SE Australia     | Freshwater        | 172               | 172 species   | 9                                                   | Schäfer et al. (2011)                         |
| European Chironomidae genera\(^7\)                 | Europe           | Freshwater        | ~ 164 genera and ~ 439 species | ~ 439 species | 37                                                  | Serra et al. (2016)                           |
| The Global Ants Database\(^8\)                     | Global           | Terrestrial       | 3,991 individuals, 8,973 species/ morphospecies, 4,482 assemblages | 8,973 species/ morphospecies | 23                                                  | Parr et al. (2017)                            |
| BIOTIC – Biological Traits Information Catalogue\(^9\) | Global           | Marine (benthic)  | 831               | 831 species/ genera | 42                                                  | MarLIN (2006)                                 |
| Fish Traits Database\(^10\)                        | U.S.A.           | Freshwater        | 809               | 809 species   | > 100                                               | Frimpong and Angermeier (2011)                |
| A trait database for marine copepods\(^11\)        | Global           | Marine            | 9,306             | 9,306 taxa    | 14                                                  | Brun et al. (2017)                            |
| Polytraits\(^12\)                                  | Mediterranean lagoons but expanding | Freshwater/marine | 27,198           | 952 species   | 47                                                  | Faulwetter et al. (2017)                       |
| freshwater-ecology.info database\(^13\)           | Europe           | Freshwater        | 21,167            | 21,167 taxa   | 106 biological/ecological parameters | Schmidt-Kloiber and Hering (2015) |
| Freshwater Biological Traits Database\(^14\)       | North America    | Freshwater        | 11,912            | 3,957 taxa    | ~ 160                                               | U.S. EPA (2012)                               |

Note: Superscript numbers are used to identify trait database sources, as provided in Supporting Information Table S7.1, and "NA" is used to abbreviate "not applicable". Note that the summary information for each of these databases (e.g., number of records, species, and traits) is accurate as of 20 November 2017.
### TABLE 4 A proposed “common terminology” for faunal trait databases to ensure their comparability across ecosystems, based on a comparative review presented in Table 3 and Supporting Information Table S7.1

| Ecological process/function | Cross-system compatible trait example | Similar trait(s) in sFDvent database | Similar trait(s) in other databases | Potential scoring mechanism to enable scoring in less well-studied ecosystems |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Growth and reproduction     | Estimated maximum body size (adult and offspring separately) | Estimated Maximum Body Size (mm) | Body size \(2, 10, 12\), maximal body size (mm) \(5, 14\), approximate size class \(13\) | Binned size classes to enable entry of rounded estimates. |
| Body shape (adult and offspring separately) | Foundation Species (as body shape affects the ability of a species to provide a foundation) | Body shape \(14\), growth form(s) \(9, 13\), shape factor \(13\) | Fixed options from a range of trait databases, to capture shape more broadly than per taxonomic group. |
| Reproduction strategy      | Reproductive Type*                   | Reproduction/reproductive type \(6, 7, 9, 13\), mode of reproduction \(12\), sexual system \(1\) | Options covering how many times an animal reproduces per lifetime, whether it requires a partner for reproduction, and whether reproduction can take place more than once per year. |
| Development mechanism      | Larval Development*                  | Developmental mechanism \(9, 12\), larval development \(12\) | Simple scoring options to capture extent to which offspring are dependent on parents or their resources for development. |
| Feeding                     | Primary diet (adult and offspring separately, and then also secondary diet) | Nutritional Source | Diet \(2\), food source \(6\), food \(13\), feeding diet \(13\) | To enable cross-system comparisons, this would need to be broad. For example, "plant-based", "animal-based", "detritus-based" or "other", would capture major groups, including omnivory importance. |
| Primary feeding mode        | Trophic Mode                         | Feeding mode \(11\), feeding mode \(14\), characteristic feeding method \(9\), feeding habits \(2\), trophic level \(5\) | This could be used to capture the source of food and the energy required to find food. For example, broad options could be: "scavenging", "hunting", and "dependent on other fauna". |
| Food active or passive      | Nutritional Source (e.g., carnivorous species eating fauna would have “active” food and species depending on the water column would have “passive”) | Food active or passive \(1\), hunting abilities \(5\) | This is a simplistic trait that could be used in place of “primary feeding mode”. |
| Survival                    | Relative mobility (adult and offspring separately) | Relative Adult Mobility | Mobility \(2, 9\), mode of displacement \(2\), locomotion \(2\), swimming ability \(14\) | This can be an indicator of mobility on an ordinal scale (e.g., from sessile to free-moving and fast). |
| Temperature preference indicator | Zonation from Vent                  | Temperature preferences \(7\), thermal indicator \(14\), thermal preference \(14\) | Temperature ranges could be selected that are appropriate on a global scale. Alternatively, bands applicable to terrestrial, marine, and freshwater systems could be established (e.g., tropical, polar, temperate, extreme heat, extreme cold). |
| Community structure and dynamics | Habitat type                         | Tectonic Setting*, Host Rock* | Habitat type \(1, 12\), habitat \(3, 4, 13\), habitat preference \(5, 10\), general/gross habitat \(7\) | If this is to be cross-ecosystem comparable, this would likely need converting to scores such as: "rock-based", "plant-based", etc. |
|                             | Preferred substratum                | Substratum                       | Substrate preferences \(2\), substratum \(9\), substratum/substrate type \(5, 12\) | This, like habitat, would need to be categorized broadly, with scores such as: "sediment", "rock", "water", "air" and "plant-origin". |

(Continues)
DISCUSSION

sFDvent is a global-scale trait database for deep-sea hydrothermal-vent species, compiled using literary sources, existing taxonomic databases [ChEssBase (Baker et al., 2010), WoRMS (Horton et al., 2017) and Desbruyères, Segonzac, and Bright (2006)], and pooled expert knowledge based on research-cruise observations since 1977, with pioneer scientists in this field contributing. The first version (sFDvent v.1), released here (Supporting Information Table S4.2), contains data for 646 taxa across 13 traits. In capturing species records (required to assign traits) up to the year 2017, we also update the last species compilation from 2009 (Bachraty et al., 2009) from 592 species to 740 (including species removed from the recommended sFDvent dataset due to lacking trait scores, or 646 species with higher taxonomic certainty). The database has a global span and broad taxonomic coverage for use in macroecological trait-based studies of vent biodiversity and in research incorporating taxonomic-, phylogenetic-, and trait-based diversity indices.

Body size, for example, is a trait identified to play a fundamental role in ecosystem functioning, ecological processes, and shaping biodiversity (Mindel, Webb, Neat, & Blanchard, 2015); this trait (“Estimated Maximum Body Size”) has been scored for all but three taxa in sFDvent. Also scored with high coverage is mobility – identified in marine ecosystems as important for dispersal potential (Costello et al., 2015) and, thus, population dynamics, as well as ability to escape in the event of a disturbance. Scores for “Relative Adult Mobility” are provided for more than 99% of taxa in sFDvent and can now be used in diversity-oriented studies as well as those investigating reproduction in vent fauna and its influence on vent biogeography (Mullineaux & France, 1995; Yahagi, Watanabe, Kojima, & Kano, 2017). Similarly, due to complete coverage, “Chemosynthesis-obligate” can be used to ascertain endemism levels in taxonomic, geographic, and other groups, which may be particularly important when considering the impacts of mining on vent ecosystems, given the close relationships between endemism and resilience (Vasconcelos, Batista, & Henriques, 2017).

The sFDvent database also has an important role in its capacity to highlight knowledge gaps and research biases. For instance, missing and/or low certainty scores in “Gregariousness”, “Trophic Mode” and “Nutritional Source” traits highlight a need for observational and behavioural studies. These traits would improve our understanding of community structure and dynamics, as well as macroecological-scale variability in vent food webs. In addition, despite literary focus on vent annelids and molluscs (Supporting Information Appendix S2),
arthropods are the best-scored fauna in the database. Meanwhile, as one might expect given publication and sampling bias (Supporting Information Appendix S2), the North Pacific has the highest number of scored taxa, emphasizing a need to score traits in less well-sampled regions. Furthermore, despite the fundamental importance of reproductive traits in ecology (Mullineaux et al., 2018), trait scoring for “Reproductive Type”, “Larval Development” and “Dispersal Mechanism” did not have sufficient coverage to be included in sFDvent v1. This is, however, now already being addressed by collaborators, further emphasizing the importance of building databases like sFDvent for: highlighting gaps and biases that need to be filled and resolved; generating new directions for research agendas; and promoting collaborative approaches for gap filling across a research community.

In considering the compatibility of sFDvent traits with those in established databases for other environments and taxonomic groups, we have identified similarities and differences in data availability across ecosystems (Table 4, Supporting Information Appendix S7). For example, reproductive and behavioural traits are poorly scored relative to other traits in many trait databases, while body size, and other more readily measurable traits, are well scored (Brun et al., 2017; Madin et al., 2016; Parr et al., 2017). Highly scored traits will facilitate cross-ecosystem analyses. Nevertheless, our traits were designed for highly specialized fauna in remote, deep-sea environments. Therefore, to conduct a comparative analysis across different trait databases, we would need to “translate” the trait terminology used (Table 4). Thus, we echo calls for common terminology across systems (Costello et al., 2015) to advance trait-based approaches for macroecological biodiversity studies. While important goals for ecological understanding can be met using species- and ecosystem-specific traits (e.g., mapping global biodiversity patterns), a common language linking databases and systems would enable us to investigate truly global-scale patterns, as well as human impacts upon these systems (Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD), 1992).

Comparing sFDvent to other databases also highlights our unique approach to data collection. Other databases have tended to focus on literary sources of information (including other databases; e.g., MarLIN (2006)), whereas sFDvent was predominantly filled using expert knowledge, and sFDvent entries scored using the literature were peer-reviewed by experts. A major finding of the sFDvent project is that there is a lag, wherein published information remains behind the current knowledge of experts. Furthermore, publications tend to focus on species in a given location and, when used to score species traits, might not represent the most common trait score for a species more generally. Many deep-sea species are observed using remotely operated vehicles but remain unsampled, with traits unmeasured and undocumented. Despite this, scientists participating in research cruises accumulate a wealth of knowledge through observations of these “unrecorded” species. This emphasizes the importance of including collective expert opinions, in combination with published information, in trait databases. We expect that terrestrial, freshwater, and marine ecologists, too, gain insights as to the common traits of species – undocumented in official publications, but recorded in field notebooks, photographs, and recalled observations. A trait-based approach enables researchers to capture these “hidden” data sources, although we advise remaining cautious by capturing relative certainty in conjunction with expert-derived scores.

Moreover, pooling expert opinion on species-trait scores captured the current state of knowledge in a relatively quick timeframe (1 year as opposed to 10 or more for other databases; Supporting Information Figure S1 A.1), where knowledge from observations made during research cruises, and unpublished data, could be incorporated and credited using contributor ID metadata. Thus, we suggest that using a working-group approach and online collaboration tools to produce a shared data source, designed, tested and agreed upon by experts who have contributed to, and will benefit from, the data, is a means to produce a quality product. We expect that sFDvent will form a baseline single repository for expert knowledge on deep-sea hydrothermal-vent species, with ongoing community input. In addition to promoting international collaboration in its design and population, the database showcases the benefits of a working-group approach and knowledge sharing among members of the chemosynthesis-based-ecosystem research community. Experts across the globe can use sFDvent to reduce uncertainty when developing conservation and management plans for deep-sea hydrothermal vents – previously untouched, but now under threat from human exploitation.
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