Kilohertz frame-rate two-photon tomography
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Point-scanning two-photon microscopy enables high-resolution imaging within scattering specimens such as the mammalian brain, but sequential acquisition of voxels fundamentally limits its speed. We developed a two-photon imaging technique that scans lines of excitation across a focal plane at multiple angles and computationally recovers high-resolution images, attaining voxel rates of over 1 billion Hz in structured samples. Using a static image as a prior for recording neural activity, we imaged visually evoked and spontaneous glutamate release across hundreds of dendritic spines in mice at depths over 250 μm and frame rates over 1kHz. Dendritic glutamate transients in anesthetized mice are synchronized within spatially contiguous domains spanning tens of micrometers at frequencies ranging from 1–100 Hz. We demonstrate millisecond-resolved recordings of acetylcholine and voltage indicators, three-dimensional single-particle tracking and imaging in densely labeled cortex. Our method surpasses limits on the speed of raster-scanned imaging imposed by fluorescence lifetime.

The study of brain activity relies on tools with high spatial resolution over large volumes at high rates. Fluorescent sensors enable recordings from large numbers of individual cells or synapses over scales ranging from micrometers to millimeters. However, the intact brain is opaque, and high-resolution imaging deeper than ~50 μm requires techniques that are insensitive to light absorption and scattering. Two-photon imaging uses nonlinear absorption to confine fluorescence excitation to the high-intensity focus of a laser even in the presence of scattering. Since fluorescence is generated only at the focus, scattered emission light can be collected without forming an optical image. Instead, images are computationally assembled by scanning the focus in space. However, this serial approach trades off between achievable frame rates and pixels per frame. Common fluorophores have fluorescence lifetimes of approximately 3 ns, requiring approximately 10 ns between consecutive measurements to avoid crosstalk. The maximum achievable frame rate for a 1-megapixel raster-scanned fluorescence image is therefore approximately 100 Hz, but neuronal communication via action potentials and neurotransmitter release occurs on millisecond timescales. Kilohertz megapixel in vivo imaging would enable monitoring of these signals at speeds commensurate with signaling in the brain.

The pixel rate limit can be circumvented by efficient sampling, for example, by analyzing only regions of interest (ROI). Random-access microscopy samples this lower-dimensional space densely, providing benefits over random-access microscopy in specimens that move unpredictably (for example, awake animals or moving particles) or when targets are difficult to select rapidly. However, recovering sources from projected measurements requires computational unmixing.

Computational unmixing is used across imaging modalities to remove blurring, combine images having distinct measurement functions or separate overlapping signals, and is essential to emerging computational imaging techniques such as light field microscopy. Unmixing is usually posed as an optimization problem, using regularization to impose previous knowledge on the structure of recovered sources, such as independence or sparsity. If sources and measurements are appropriately structured, many sources can be recovered from relatively few mixed measurements in a framework called compressive sensing, potentially improving measurement efficiency in optical physiology. Highly coherent measurements (that is, that always mix sources together the same way) make recovery ambiguous, while incoherent measurements can guarantee accurate recovery. Tomographic measurements, which record linear projections of a sample along multiple angles, have low coherence because lines of different angles overlap at only one point. In medical imaging, compressive sensing using several tomographic angles has increased imaging speed and reduced radiation dose.

Results

Scanned line angular projection microscopy (SLAP). We developed a microscope that combines benefits of random-access imaging and projection microscopy by performing tomographic...
measurements from targeted sample regions. This microscope scans line foci across a two-dimensional sample plane at four different angles (Fig. 1). Line foci achieve the same lateral resolution as points (Supplementary Fig. 1), efficiently sample a compact area when scanned, produce two-photon excitation more efficiently than non-contiguous foci of the same volume and perform low-coherence measurements. The resulting measurements are angular projections analogous to computed tomography.

This approach, which we call scanned line angular projection (SLAP) imaging, samples the entire field of view (FOV) with four line scans. Frame time is proportional to the FOV diameter in pixels $d$, compared to $d^2$ for a raster scan, resulting in greatly increased frame rates. For example, SLAP images a $250 \times 250 \mu m^2$ FOV with 200 nm line spacing (analogous to pixel spacing) at a frame rate of 1,016 Hz.

To reduce sample heating and the number of pixels mixed into each measurement, we included a spatial light modulator (SLM) in an amplitude-modulation geometry. This configuration selects a user-defined pattern within the FOV for imaging and discards remaining excitation light, making SLAP a random-access technique (Supplementary Video 1). This avoids illumination of unlabeled regions, reducing excitation power in sparse samples (Supplementary Fig. 2) and allows users to artificially introduce unlabeled regions, reducing excitation power in sparse samples.

Particle localization and tracking. Localization and tracking of isolated fluorescent particles are used to monitor organelle dynamics, detect molecular interactions, measure forces and perform super-resolution imaging. We demonstrated direct SLAP imaging of sparse samples by localizing and tracking particles. Each particle produces a bump of signal on each scan axis corresponding to its position, and each frame consists of the superposition of signals for all particles in view (Fig. 2a,b). Using the microscope's empirical measurement function, maximum likelihood reconstruction using Richardson–Lucy deconvolution produces accurate high-resolution images and error-free localization of sparse particles (Fig. 2c,d). At higher particle densities, maximum likelihood reconstruction produces spurious peaks in recovered images, which can be reduced by regularizing for sparsity (Fig. 2e).

We performed three-dimensional SLAP recordings of thousands of 500 nm fluorescent beads flowing in a 250µm (diameter) x 250µm (depth) volume (87 planes; 1,016 Hz frame rate, 5,080 measurements per plane, 10 Hz volume rate and 200 nm measurement spacing), corresponding to 1.4 billion voxels per second (Fig. 2f and Supplementary Videos 2 and 3). Particles were readily tracked in the resulting volume videos with existing commercial software (Fig. 2g).

Computational recovery of neural activity. SLAP achieves high frame rates by performing only a few thousand measurements per frame. Recovering images therefore requires a spatial prior, that is, a constrained sample representation with fewer unknowns than measurements. For neuronal activity imaging, we adopted a sample representation in which spatial components (dendritic segments) vary in brightness over time. The components are obtained from a constrained sample representation with fewer unknowns than measurements.

Source recovery consists of determining the intensities $X$ of each segment at each frame according to the following model: $y = \text{Poisson}(PSx + b)$

$$x_t = \theta x_{t-1} + w_t$$

$$P \geq 0, S \geq 0, 1+\theta \geq 0, w_t \geq 0, b_t \geq 0$$

where $Y = [y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_T]$ are the measurements, each $y_t$ is a frame, $P$ is the microscope’s measurement (projection) matrix, $S$ encodes
the segmented reference image, each $x_i$ is the vector of segment intensities on frame $t$, $W$ is a rank-1 baseline and $\theta$ implements the indicator dynamics. $T$ denotes the total number of frames recorded.

This model imposes a strong prior on the space of recovered signals. It enforces that the only changes in the sample are fluctuations in brightness of the segments, with positive spikes and exponential decay dynamics. Motion registration is performed on $Y$ and $S$ before solving. The term that must be estimated is the spikes, $W = [w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_T]$. We estimate $W$ by maximizing the likelihood of $Y$ using a multiplicative update algorithm related to Richardson–Lucy deconvolution. Regularization is unnecessary because $S$ has low rank by design. The solution is nearly always well-determined by the measurements, but adversarial arrangements of segments are possible.

We simulated SLAP imaging and source recovery while varying parameters such as sample brightness and number of sources, assessed sensitivity to systematic modeling errors and explored performance with different numbers of tomographic angles (Supplementary Fig. 4). Simulated recovery was highly accurate at signal-to-noise ratios that match our experiments, and robust to errors in model kinetics, segment boundaries or unexpected sources, but sensitive to motion registration errors. Correlations in source activity were recovered with low bias (Pearson $r = 0.66$; bias $-0.0003 \pm 0.0002$, 95% confidence interval; Supplementary Fig. 4g).

Validation in cultured neurons. We performed SLAP imaging in rat hippocampal cultures, which facilitate precise optical and electrical stimulation in space and time. First, we co-cultured cells expressing the cytosolic fluorophore tdTomato with cells expressing the glutamate sensor SF-Venus-iGluSnFR (yGluSnFR, Fig. 3b). We imaged these cultures using one detector channel in which both fluorophores are bright, while electrically stimulating neurons to trigger yGluSnFR transients. tdTomato does not respond to stimulation, and any transients assigned to tdTomato-expressing cells must be spurious. This experiment tests the solver’s ability to accurately assign fluorescence in space, which we quantified with reference to a ground truth two-channel raster image. Mean fluorescence transients assigned to yGluSnFR-expressing pixels were more than 52 times greater than transients for tdTomato pixels (tdTomato: mean 0.0078, maximum 0.0125, yGluSnFR: mean 0.4192, maximum 0.6525; Fig. 3c), and no tdTomato pixels showed transients larger than 3% of the mean yGluSnFR amplitude, indicating that both frequency and amplitude of misassigned signals is low even in densely intertwined cultures.

Second, we imaged yGluSnFR-expressing neurons while sequentially uncaging glutamate for 10 ms at each of two locations, to assess timing precision of recovered signals (Fig. 3d–g and Supplementary Video 4). Even though uncaging produced slow temporally overlapping transients, SLAP recordings reliably reported the 10 ms delay between these events (mean delay 9.7 ± 1.1 ms s.d., $N = 5$ recordings, see Fig. 3f). Raw recordings clearly showed this delay and the lateral diffusion of glutamate signals (Fig. 3g).

Third, we imaged electrically evoked action potentials in neurons labeled with the voltage-sensitive dye RhoVR.pip.sulf (Fig. 3h).
(Supplementary Fig. 5). We compared 1,016 Hz SLAP to wide-field imaging of the same FOV. Suprathreshold field stimulation (90 V cm⁻¹) elicited spikes reliably detected by both methods, while weaker stimulation (50 V cm⁻¹) stochastically elicited spike patterns that differed across simultaneously recorded neurons, demonstrating SLAP’s ability to record spikes from individual neurons at millisecond frame rates.

**In vivo glutamate imaging.** We next recorded activity in dendrites and spines of pyramidal neurons in mouse visual cortex. Pyramidal neuron dendrites are densely decorated with spines receiving excitatory glutamatergic input. Spines are often separated from each other and parent dendrites by less than 1 µm (ref. 30), making spine imaging particularly sensitive to resolution and sample movement. SLAP’s high resolution (432 nm lateral, 1.62 µm axial, see Supplementary Fig. 1) and high frame rate allow precise (mean error <100 nm) post hoc motion registration ideal for spine imaging (Supplementary Fig. 6).

Calcium transients within spines report N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptor activation at synapses. However, NMDA receptors are activated by concurrent synaptic transmission and postsynaptic depolarization, not necessarily by synaptic transmission alone. Spine calcium transients are also triggered by other events, such as backpropagating action potentials. Nonlinearities in calcium currents and fluorescent sensors, and their slow timecourse, further complicate measurement of synaptic inputs using calcium sensors. iGluSnFR enables detection of glutamate transients at individual spines in cortex, potentially providing a complementary method to record synaptic activity and compare presynaptic to postsynaptic signals.

To investigate the relationship between spine glutamate and calcium transients, we imaged dendrites of pyramidal neurons co-expressing yGluSnFR and tdTomato as priors to constrain image recovery from tomographic measurements. Only yGluSnFR responds to stimulation. Right, to test activity reconstruction, SLAP imaging was performed with one detector channel.

Fig. 3 | *In vitro validation of SLAP activity imaging.* a. Schematic of activity reconstruction. A segmented structural image and model of indicator dynamics are used as priors to constrain image recovery from tomographic measurements. b. Raster image of cultured neurons expressing either yGluSnFR or tdTomato. Only yGluSnFR responds to stimulation. Right, to test activity reconstruction, SLAP imaging was performed with one detector channel. c. Top, mean temporal responses assigned to yGluSnFR- and tdTomato-expressing pixels. Bottom, boxplot (vertical lines denote quartiles) of mean ΔF/F₀ 0–50 ms after stimulus. N=1,707 segments; three FOV. d–g. Glutamate was uncaged at two locations on a yGluSnFR-expressing neuron, 10 ms apart. d. Saturation denotes maximum ΔF/F₀ following uncaging. e. Responses at the two uncaging locations. f. Responses normalized to peak, demonstrating delay (Δt) between measured signals. Solid and dashed white lines denote onset of the two uncaging pulses. g.Measured delays for five individual trials: a black line denotes the mean and a gray line denotes ground truth. SLAP imaging was performed with one detector channel. h. Only yGluSnFR responds to stimulation. Right, to test activity reconstruction, SLAP imaging was performed with one detector channel.
exhibits transient synchronization at frequencies ranging from 0.01 to hundreds of milliseconds, occurring both in the presence and absence of motion stimuli (Fig. 6b). In the absence of motion stimuli, cross-correlations in yGluSnFR activity peaked at delays less than 10 ms regardless of distance. During drifting grating stimuli, cross-correlations peaked at delays proportional to the distance between segments (linear fit $0.28 \pm 0.02 \text{μm ms}^{-1}$; 95% CI; $P < 1 \times 10^{-11}$, $N = 10$ sessions), indicating that activity patterns travel across cortical space as stimuli travel across the retina (Fig. 6c). This cortical magnification (12.7 ± 0.7 μm per degree of visual field) was consistent with previous measurements over larger spatial scales\(^{44}\). Cross-correlations in yGluSnFR-Null recordings peaked weakly at a delay of 0 ms regardless of distance or stimuli.

To quantify synchrony, we selected dendritic segments with stimulus-evoked responses and counted the proportion that were synchronized in yGluSnFR activity across segments (Fig. 6d). Synchronization results in a higher probability of many segments being active or all being inactive at the same time. yGluSnFR-Null activity was synchronized across segments both in the presence and absence of motion stimuli in each session recorded ($N = 10$ sessions; Kolmogorov–Smirnov test, $P < 0.001$). We compared the frequency spectrum of population fluctuations to the corresponding spectrum for the per-stimulus shuffled null. Activity was significantly more synchronized than yGluSnFR-Null controls at all frequencies below 117 Hz (during motion stimuli), or 73 Hz (blank screen) (two-sided $t$-tests, $P < 0.05$; Fig. 6e). Highly synchronous events ($P < 0.01$ under the null) were slightly more frequent in the presence versus absence of motion stimuli (8.8% versus 7.6% of frames; $N = 10$ sessions; two-sided paired $t$-test, $P = 0.03$; Fig. 6f).

We investigated the spatial structure of synchronous activity by using principal component analysis (PCA) and non-negative matrix factorization (NMF). The spatial structure of synchronous activity was captured by the first principal component, accounting for 95.2% of the variance in the spatial covariation (Fig. 6g). The spatial distribution of synchrony (Fig. 6h) revealed a distinct pattern of spatial correlations that were consistent with previous measurements of population activity\(^{44}\) and the distribution of preferred orientations across the visual field (Fig. 6i). The spatial structure of synchronous activity was captured by the first principal component, accounting for 95.2% of the variance in the spatial covariation (Fig. 6g). The spatial distribution of synchrony (Fig. 6h) revealed a distinct pattern of spatial correlations that were consistent with previous measurements of population activity\(^{44}\) and the distribution of preferred orientations across the visual field (Fig. 6i).
matrix factorization (NMF) to identify spatial modes (that is, weighted groups of segments) that account for a large fraction of variance in our recordings. We used PCA to factorize recordings for each stimulus direction separately, after subtracting variance attributable to trial time, segment or stimulus identities. The strongest resulting spatial modes were similar across all stimuli, and were not correlated to brain movement (Supplementary Fig. 9), indicating that a few motifs account for most fluctuations in glutamate release across stimulus contexts. The largest PCA mode accounted for more variance in glutamate transients than did visual stimuli (adjusted $R^2$, 10.3% versus 7.3%; $P = 0.049$, two-sided paired $t$-test, $N = 10$ sessions). Using NMF, we found that the strongest modes in each recording consisted of spatially contiguous patches spanning 20–50 µm of dendrite (Fig. 6g,h). We quantified this spatial organization by calculating the correlation in mode weights between pairs of segments as a function of their distance. Across recordings, the strongest modes were spatially structured on a scale of approximately 50 µm, while weaker modes showed less spatial structure (Fig. 6i). We investigated these correlations by raster scanning strips of cortex densely expressing yGluSnFR under the same experimental conditions. The spatial profile of correlations in these recordings matched that of single-neuron SLAP recordings (Supplementary Fig. 10), suggesting that spatial modes represent regions of active neuropil. These regions may arise from local branching of single axons, or multiple synchronously active axons. Some spatial modes were strongly orientation-tuned while others were not; across sessions, the largest mode was significantly tuned (Supplementary Fig. 11; $P = 2.5 \times 10^{-4}$, Fisher’s combined test, $N = 10$ sessions). Together, these results indicate that cortical glutamate activity during anesthesia is organized into synchronously active spatial domains spanning tens of micrometers, and that individual pyramidal neurons sample several such domains with their dendritic arbors.

**Discussion**

Two-photon laser scanning microscopy enables imaging of submicrometer structures within scattering tissue, but its maximum pixel rate is limited by the sequential recording of pixels. Sophisticated raster scanning techniques now perform frame scanning at the limit imposed by fluorescence lifetime. To exceed this limit, random-access imaging and projection microscopy can exploit previous knowledge of structured samples. SLAP combines these
Fig. 6 | Spatiotemporal structure of cortical glutamate activity. a, Recording of 460 dendritic segments of a single layer 2/3 neuron over eight consecutive stimuli. b, Photon counts integrated across the FOV in a single trial, illustrating synchronous activity in yGluSnFR.A184S-labeled dendrites (top), absent in yGluSnFR-Null controls (bottom). Darker traces denote mean response (eight trials) for same stimulus direction. Red trace shows estimated sample motion. Yellow shading denotes stimulus period. Arrows indicate example stimulus-evoked (filled) and spontaneous (hollow) global activity. c, Mean cross-correlations between segment pairs (normalized by segment brightness; Methods), binned by distance, in the absence (top) and presence (bottom) of motion stimuli. N = 10 sessions. Red stars denote cross-correlation maximum for each distance bin. d, Distribution of count of simultaneously active segments in the absence (top) or presence (center) of motion stimuli, and (bottom) corresponding distribution for dead sensor control. Nulls (gray) are distributions after shuffling across trials of the same stimulus type. e, Power spectrum of number of active segments, divided by corresponding power spectrum for the shuffled null, during blank screen and motion stimuli, for yGluSnFR and yGluSnFR-Null. Dark lines denote means, light lines denote individual sessions. Vertical red line denotes the minimum frequency bin at which yGluSnFR does not differ from yGluSnFR-Null (two-sided t-test, P < 0.05; N = 10 sessions (yGluSnFR); four sessions (yGluSnFR-Null)). f, Frequency of highly synchronous activity (P < 0.01 under null). Horizontal lines denote mean. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, one sample t-test versus null; †P < 0.05 paired two-sided t-test. N = 10 sessions (yGluSnFR), four sessions (yGluSnFR-Null). g, Reference image (left), and spatial weights of the largest three NMF factors (right) for one session. Scale bar, 10 µm. h, Temporal components for the NMF factors in g, over eight consecutive stimulus presentations. i, Pearson correlations between spatial component weights, binned by distance. Line denotes mean, shading denotes s.e.m. N = 10 sessions. Excitation power and depth: a, b (top): 96 mW, 110 µm (bottom) 82 mW, 85 µm.

two approaches to enable diffraction-limited kilohertz frame-rate recordings of FOV spanning hundreds of micrometers. Compared to acousto-optic deflector random-access imaging, SLAP allows post hoc motion compensation and higher frame rates for large numbers of targets, but acousto-optic deflector-based imaging enables higher frame rates for small numbers of targets. Compared to multifocal and Bessel beam microscopy, SLAP benefits from lower coherence, higher frame rates and random-access excitation, but requires axial scanning to record volumes, whereas the other projection methods can simultaneously record from multiple axial coordinates. Compared to compressive sensing methods using sparsity priors, SLAP activity imaging avoids explicit regularization parameters, which can strongly affect reconstructed images and be difficult to set. Instead, SLAP uses indicator dynamics and a segmented sample volume as clearly interpretable domain-specific regularizers. As with any projection microscopy method, adversarial arrangements of sources can create ambiguities. In particular, densely packed sources or dim sources neighbored by very bright sources may be recovered less precisely. SLM masking allows dense or bright regions to be blocked or dimmed, ameliorating these issues.

The maximum number of sources for accurate recovery by SLAP is approximately 1,000 per plane. This condition is readily achieved in sparsely labeled samples or with SLM masking in densely labeled samples. SLAP can also record high-resolution signals in densely labeled samples without SLM masking if activity is sparse. For example, we used SLAP to detect and localize activity 300 µm deep within cortex densely labeled with the acetylcholine sensor SF-Venus.iACHSnFR.V9 (P. Borden and L. Looger, personal communication), triggered by electrical stimulation of the cholinergic nucleus basalis (Supplementary Fig. 12). Punctate (<2 µm extent) and rapid (<30 ms) stimulation-induced transients could be identified and backprojected to their origin in pixel space. Such brief and localized signals would be extremely difficult to detect with other methods without previous knowledge of their location, highlighting the combination of spatial resolution, sampling volume and speed achieved by SLAP.
Potential applications of SLAP include in vivo neurotransmitter imaging, voltage imaging, volumetric calcium imaging, recording movements in brain, muscle or vasculature and tracking organelles or other particles within thick tissue.
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Optics. The microscope (Fig. 1) directs a single laser source (Yb:YAG, 1,030 nm, 190 fs, tunable repetition rate 1–10 MHz; BlueCut, Menlo Systems) through four distinct excitation paths during each frame. An electro-optic modulator rotates the beam polarization, enabling rapid switching between two paths with polarizing beam splitters. A galvanometer mirror on each path again switches the beam between two paths. This configuration allows the beam to be sequentially directed onto the four paths with switching time limited by the electro-optic modulator (<2µs per switch). Only one path is illuminated at a time.

Each path contains a line generator assembly, consisting of an aperture followed by a series of custom cylindrical lenses, which redistributes the Gaussian input beam into a uniform line of tunable aspect ratio. The two pairs of paths are recombined at two-dimensional galvo pairs. The resulting paths each pass through a scan lens and are combined using a nonpolarizing beam splitter. This results in a loss of 50% of the beam but allows the transmitted beam to have a single polarization required for amplitude modulation by the SLM. The beam is transmitted by a polarizing beam splitter and is relayed by scan lenses to form an intermediate image on the surface of a reflective liquid crystal SLM. Reflected light returns through the relay and the SLM-modulated pattern is directed to the sample at a polarizing beam splitter. The spatially modulated image is relayed by a tube lens and objective to the sample. Fluorescence emission is collected through the objective and reflected by a dichroic mirror to a non-imaging, non-descanned detection arm, as in conventional two-photon microscopes. We detect light with customized silicon photomultiplier modules (Hamamatsu). These detectors have high gain, high linearity and exceptionally low multiplicative noise (Supplementary Methods and Supplementary Fig. 13), making them ideal for parallelized imaging, where large numbers of detected photons arrive simultaneously. Widefield epifluorescence illumination and camera detection (not shown) are coupled into the objective via a shortpass dichroic. For raster imaging, a different beam is introduced into the optical path by a flip mirror. The beam is scanned by one of the two-dimensional galvo pairs as in conventional two-photon microscopes.

The number of paths was chosen to best suit tradeoffs between optomechanical complexity and acquisition speed (favoring fewer paths), versus benefits to source recovery (favoring more paths). For example, three measurement axes are necessary and sufficient to localize particles at low density, but additional axes are needed to resolve ambiguities at higher densities. For a fixed photon budget, increasing the number of tomographic angles improved source recovery in activity imaging simulations in a sample-density dependent manner (Supplementary Fig. 4h).

The line generator units (LC1–4) are used to impart a one-dimensional angular range onto the incoming two-dimensional Gaussian beam, such that when that beam is focused by a subsequent laser scanning microscope it makes a line focus with substantially uniform intensity distribution along the line, and distortion limited width in the focused dimension. The line generator uses three custom-made cylindrical lenses (ARW Optical). The first lens (convex-concave) imprints a large, negative spherical aberration (in one dimension) to the beam, which transforms the initial spatial intensity distribution into a uniform angular intensity distribution. The second and third cylindrical lenses (concave-plano, plano-convex) refocus the light in one dimension onto the two-dimensional galvanometer mirrors. Thereafter, the microscope transforms the one-dimensional angular intensity distribution at the pupil into a uniform one-dimensional spatial intensity distribution at the line focus at the sample. The relative lateral and axial translation of the two subsequent cylindrical lenses allows a small adjustment of the angular distortion between the initial spatial intensity profile and subsequent angular intensity profile. Because spherical aberration alone cannot linearize an initial peaked power distribution over the entire initial distribution, a one-dimensional mask is used at the beginning of the line generator to remove the tails from the intensity distribution of the incoming beam. Because a sharp-edged mask at this location would cause a one-dimensional symmetric diffraction pattern, a mask with a toothed edge profile was used. Alternatively, the first two cylindrical lenses could be replaced by a suitable acylinder lens. Such a system would have fewer degrees of freedom to actively adjust the final line intensity distribution, but would allow an intensity transform function that can utilize the full tails of the initial spatial intensity distribution.

Detectors. The parallel excitation used in SLAP can result in large numbers of emitted photons (from 0 up to ~400 in our experiments) arriving simultaneously in response to a single laser pulse. This necessitates a detector with large dynamic range, and higher photon rates favor detectors with low multiplicative noise. SLAP uses silicon photomultiplier detectors (MPPCs; Hamamatsu, C13366-3050GA-SPL and C14455-3050SPL) for both raster scanning and fast line scan acquisitions. These detectors have extremely low multiplicative noise, sufficient gain to easily detect single photons, and are highly linear in their response within the range of photon rates we encounter, making the integrated photocurrent a precise measure of the power of incident light (Supplementary Fig. 13). The measured quantum efficiency of our detection path (for light originating from the objective pupil) is 32% at 525 nm and 20% at 625 nm. Detector voltage is digitized at 250 MHz, synchronized to laser emission via a phase-locked loop. Photon counts are estimating by integrating photocurrent within a time window following each laser pulse and normalizing to the integrated current for a single photon.

Optimizations for excitation efficiency. Economy of illumination power is critical for biological imaging5, and conventional two-photon imaging is limited by brain heating under common configurations8. We were concerned that sample heating from light absorption could limit the practicality of two-photon projection microscopy methods such as SLAP, because higher degrees of parallelization require a linear proportional increase in power to maintain two-photon excitation efficiency. Higher degrees of parallelization also make source recovery more challenging by increasing background excitation and mixing between sources. In general, parallel two-photon imaging methods benefit by using the lowest degree of parallelization compatible with an experiment’s required frame rate10.

SLAP uses a customized Yb:YAG amplifier delivering high pulse energies (>70 mJ at 1,030 nm, 5 MHz) at 9 W at 5 MHz) at 1,030 nm. The laser’s pulse compressor was tuned to achieve the minimum pulsewidth at the sample, ~190fs at 5 MHz. To maximize excitation efficiency, each measurement consists of a single laser pulse. We performed simulations to optimize the laser repetition rate given estimated nonlinear and measured thermal damage thresholds of the sample. The optimal rate (data available on request) is approximately 5 MHz for single-plane imaging and 1 MHz for volume imaging in simulations we performed. The optimum pulse rate differs between single-plane and three-dimensional imaging because the spatial extent of heating is broad (several mm), while nonlinear photodamage is local. When scanning in three dimensions, this local component is dilated over a larger volume while heating remains roughly constant, thus favoring higher pulse rates. A given focal area within the sample plane of the line focus produces more efficient two-photon excitation than arrays of isolated points. This effect is partly because the edges of isolated points produce weak excitation. Line foci have a lower perimeter-to-area ratio than isolated points, making excitation more efficient.

The microscope contains a SLM (ODPDMS512-1030, Meadowlarks Optics) that is used as an amplitude mask to reject unnecessary excitation light. Cortical dendrites fill only a small fraction of their enclosing volume (~3% of voxels in our segmentations of single labeled neurons), allowing the majority of excitation light to be discarded. We retain a buffer of several micrometers surrounding all points of interest to guard against brain movement. The fraction of the SLM that is active depends on the amplitude of motion and the sample structure but is approximately 10% in most of our dendritic imaging experiments, substantially decreasing average excitation power. For sufficiently sparse samples, the SLM and other optimizations outlined above allow SLAP to use less laser power than full-field raster scanning (Supplementary Fig. 2). Powers used in experiments involving SLAP masking were well below thermal damage thresholds for continuous imaging (Supplementary Table 1). One experiment did not use SLM masking (Supplementary Fig. 12) and was conducted with a low duty cycle to avoid damage11. We observed no signs of photodamage or phototoxicity in any of our experiments, and neuronal tuning and morphology were unaffected when reimaged 6 d after initial SLAP imaging (Supplementary Fig. 14).

The combination of SLM masking and scanning used to vary the excitation pattern in SLAP produces much higher pattern rates (5 MHz) than can be achieved with SLMs alone (<1 kHz), and more control over those patterns than scanning alone. This approach could be used similarly with other projection microscopy approaches, and is easiest to implement if all excitation foci can be made to lie in the plane of the SLM.

Scan patterns. In the simplest scanning scheme, each frame consists of a single scan of each line orientation across the FOV. The maximum frame rate is determined by the cycle rate of the galvanometers, ~1,300 Hz for a 250 µm FOV, limited by heat dissipation in the galvo servo controllers. We routinely image at 1,016 Hz, to synchronize frames with the refresh rate of our SLM, which would otherwise produce a mild artifact. We also use tiled scanning patterns for efficiently scanning larger FOV at only slightly reduced frame rates (for example, a 500 µm FOV at 800 Hz for tiling factor 2). The frame rates achieved here could in principle be improved without substantial changes in the design, and are limited by a combination of scanner cycle rates and laser power. As the ideal frame rate, the maximum frame rate at any resolution would be achieved at a laser repetition rate of ~100 MHz (a 20 times improvement over this work), above which the fluorescence lifetime would substantially mix consecutive measurements.

Raster imaging is performed using linear galvos (Fig. 1, galvos C + D), shared with the line scanning path, by inputting a Gaussian beam that bypasses the line generator units. Galvo command waveforms were optimized for all scans using ScanImage’s waveform optimization feature to maximize frame rate while maintaining a linear scan path. Linear galvos enable the alternating fast-axis scans used to produce unwarped reference images. An additional resonant scanner could, in principle, be added to the raster scan system.

3D volume imaging is performed using a piezo objective stage (PIFOC P-725K085, Physik Instrumente). Three-dimensional SLAP imaging in Fig. 2g was performed at a volume rate of 10 Hz using a continuous (rather than stepped) unidirectional scan. When performing source recovery for three-dimensional imaging data, we correct the microscope’s projection function for the continuous linear movement of
the objective. SLAP is also compatible with a remote focusing system placed after the SLM in the optical path.

To maximize the cycle rate of the galvanometers and piezo objective mount during SLAP imaging, we optimized the control signals used to command the manufacturer-supplied servo controllers for these devices. We optimized command waveforms iteratively by measuring the error in the response waveform on each iteration and using second-derivative regularized deconvolution to update the command signal in a direction that reduces that error, while maintaining smoothness. These calibrations take less than 30 s and are performed once per set of imaging parameters. With this approach, we were able to drive our actuators over 50% faster within our position error tolerance (<0.1% r.m.s. error) than with methods that account only for actuator lag.

Particle tracking experiments. Fluorescent beads (red fluorescent Fluospheres, 0.5 μm; Molecular Probes, diluted 1:10,000 in water) were sealed in a 2 mm diameter × 2 mm deep well capped by a coverslip. A 230 × 250 × 250 μm volume centered 150 μm below the coverslip was imaged by sequentially scanning two-dimensional planes while axially translating the objective in a unidirectional sawtooth scan, at 10 Hz volume rate, 1,016 Hz frame rate. We reconstructed volumes at a pixel spacing equal to the measurement spacing (200 nm), which matches the Nyquist criterion (187 nm) for the system’s point spread function (440 nm full width at half maximum). This lateral pixel spacing corresponds to a voxel rate of 1.49 billion voxels per second. Reconstructions were performed using 30 iterations of three-dimensional Richardson–Lucy deconvolution, with a projection matrix that accounts for the movement of the piezo objective stage. Tracking was performed with a linear assignment problem tracking method in the commercial software ARVIVS v2.12.4.

Hippocampal culture experiments. Rat hippocampal primary cultures were imaged 19–20 d after plating. For uncaging experiments, 3% of cells were nucleofected with plasmid encoding CAG-SF–Venus–GluSnFR.A184V at time of plating. For experiments with tdTomato-labeled cells, a separate 3% of cells were nucleofected with a plasmid encoding CAG-tdTomato. In both cases, SLAP imaging was performed with a single channel using a 540/80 nm filter. For uncaging experiments, 10 μM NBQX and 150 μM Rb+ Glutamate (Tocris) were added to the imaging buffer (145 mM NaCl, 2.5 mM KCl, 10 mM glucose, 10 mM HEPES, pH 7.4, 2 mM CaCl₂, and 1 mM MgCl₂). Two-photon excitation power for culture experiments ranged from 39 to 42 mW at the sample. One-photon glutamate uncaging was performed with 420 nm fiber-coupled light-emitting diodes (LEDs) (Thorlabs M420F2). The tips of the fibers were imaged onto the sample plane through the same objective used for activity imaging. The two LEDs were activated at a current of 600 mA for 10 ms each in sequence.

For voltage imaging experiments (Supplementary Fig. 5), hippocampal cultures were plated on glass-bottom dishes (Mattek) and bath labeled 7–9 d later with RhoVR.pip.sulf (SHA02-059, see Supplementary Fig. 15 and Supplementary Methods). After 50% of cells had been transfected, 10 μM of the viral suspension was composed of AAV9.hSyn.A184V (‘yGluSnFR.A184V’; Janelia Vector Core); AAV2/1.hSyn.FLEX.NES- rjRGECO1a (‘rjRGECO’; GENIE Project vector 1670-115) and AAV2/1.hSyn.FLEX. SF-Venus.iaChSnFr (‘yAChSnFr’; a gift from P. Borden and L. Looger, Janelia Research Campus). For corexpression of rjRGECO and yGluSnFR, animals were imaged 3–5 weeks after surgery.

Visual stimulation experiments. A vertically oriented screen (ASUS PA248Q LCD monitor, 1,920 × 1,200 pixels), was placed 17 cm from the right eye of the mouse, centered at 65° of azimuth and −10° of elevation. A high-extinction 500 nm shortpass filter (Wratten 47B-type) was affixed to the screen. Stimuli were drifting square-wave gratings (0.153 cycles per cm, 1 cycle per second, 22° of visual field per second, 2 s duration) in eight equally spaced directions, spaced by periods of nonstimulation of 2 s. Each stimulus consisted of a 45° horizontal or vertical grating, which denotes a horizontal grating moving upward, 90° denotes a vertical grating moving downward. Stimulation was created and presented using PsychoToolbox 3 (ref. 1). Mice were weakly anesthetized with isoflurane (0.75–1% vol./vol.) during recording. Anesthetized mice were heated to maintain a body temperature of 37 °C.

Reference images. Accurate registration and source recovery require minimally warped reference raster images. The SLAP user interface communicates with ScanImage (Vidrio Technologies), which is used to perform all point-scanning imaging. Raster images can be warped by many factors, including nonlinearity in the scan pattern, sample motion, and the ‘rolling shutter’ artifact of the raster scan. These errors must be corrected in the reference stacks to perform accurate source recovery. We compensate for warping by collecting two sets of reference images interleaved, one with each of the two galvos acting as the fast axis. We assume that motion is negligible during individual lines along the fast axis (~300 μs), and that the galvo actuators track the command accurately along the slow axis. These assumptions allow recovery of unwarped two-dimensional images by a series of stripwise registrations. The axial position of the image planes is estimated by alignment to a consensus volume.

Segmentation. SLAP performs relatively few measurements per frame, which makes it well suited for structural pursuit to obtain pixel-space images. For activity imaging, that structural pursuit is found by by segmenting a detailed raster reference image. The goal of segmentation is to divide the labeled sample volume into compartments such that the number of compartments in any imaging plane is less than the number of measurements, and the activity within each compartment is homogenous. The segmentation forms the spatial basis for recovered signals; each segment corresponds to one source. We used a manually trained pixel classifier (Ilastik in autocontext mode) to label each voxel in the volume as belonging to one of four categories: dendritic shaft, spine head, other labeled region or unlabeled background. We do not deconvolve the reference mask or attempt to label features finer than the optical resolution. Instead, we label and register the optical measurement map to produce a map such that it accounts for the transformation from the point spread function of the raster scan to that of each line scan (Supplementary Fig. 1). We use a skeletonization-based algorithm to agglomerate labeled voxels into short segments of roughly 1.4 μm, which approximately correspond to individual spines or short segments of dendritic shafts. Segments that are predicted to produce very few photon counts (after considering SLM masking) are merged with their neighbors. Most FOV contained 400–600 segments in the focal plane. In simulations with similar sample brightness and density to our in vivo recordings, source recovery performs well when there are less than 1,000 segments in the focal plane (Supplementary Fig. 4b). Some analyses can be performed without a segmentation (for example, Supplementary Fig. 12).

Measurement matrix. The microscope’s measurement (projection) matrix M is measured in an automated process using a thin (~1 μm) fluorescent film (see measure_PSF in the software package). Images of the excitation focus in the film, collected by a camera, allow a correspondence to be made between the
positions of galvanometer scanners and the location of the resulting line focus. The raster scanning focus is also mapped, allowing us to create a model of the line focus transformed into the space of the sample image obtained by the raster scan. The camera was simultaneously used to resolve the shape of the line along the shortest axis (the R axis). The point spread function on the R and Z axes is measured by scanning sparse fluorescent beads, but this measurement is not needed to generate P because SLAP measurements are modeled as a fixed convolution of the raster point spread function, which is already encoded in the raster reference image. SLAP achieves the same lateral resolution as point-scanning two-photon imaging, but the focus is extended slightly axially because light is focused on only one axis to produce each line (full width-half-maximum 1.62 versus 1.46 μm for point focus, Supplementary Fig. 1). This increased depth of field can in some cases be of benefit as it reduces effects of axial motion.

**SLAP data reduction.** Data acquisition and signal generation were performed with a National Instruments PXI data acquisition system incorporating an eight-channel oscilloscope/FPGA module (NI PXIe-5170R/Kintex-7 225T FPGA) and four other input/output DAQs (2x PXIe-6341, 1x PXIe-6738, 1x PXIe-6363). Detector voltages and galvo position sensor voltages were digitized at 250 MHz, synchronized to the laser output clock via phase-locked loop, and piezo objective stage position sensors were downsampled to 5 MHz on the FPGA by averaging. Detector voltages are synchronized to the laser output clock via phase-locked-loop. Galvo positions were registered relative to the scanner coordinates by imaging in a thin fluorescent raster reference image. SLAP achieves the same lateral resolution as point-scanning because SLAP measurements are modeled as a fixed convolution of the raster point spread function, which is already encoded in the raster reference image. SLAP achieves the same lateral resolution as point-scanning two-photon imaging, but the focus is extended slightly axially because light is focused on only one axis to produce each line (full width-half-maximum 1.62 versus 1.46 μm for point focus, Supplementary Fig. 1). This increased depth of field can in some cases be of benefit as it reduces effects of axial motion.

**Motion registration.** Recorded SLAP data are spatially registered to compensate for sample motion. As with raster imaging, translations of a single resolution element can be sufficient to impact recovery of activity in fine structures, necessitating precise registration (Supplementary Fig. 4e). Motion registration (Supplementary Fig. 6) is performed by identifying the three-dimensional translation of the smallest area that maximizes the cross-correlation (or, equivalently, minimizes Dynamic Time Warping distance) between the recorded signal and the expected measurements on each of the four scan axes. If the SLM is not used, this objective is well approximated using cross-correlations that can be rapidly computed. If the SLM is used, cross-correlations may not be effective, so we perform a coarse-to-fine grid search using the full measurement matrix (that is, we evaluate the alignment loss on a coarse grid, followed by a finer grid in the vicinity of the initial optimum). Under these conditions, motion registration requires approximately 5 s per second of data on a single CPU. For anesthetized recordings with little motion, we performed alignments in blocks, by first performed cross-correlation-based alignment of the measurements on each projection axis to correct for rapid in-plane motion of the sample, then averaging over 4–5 blocks and performing the above alignment process on each block. All alignments were evaluated for goodness-of-fit, and activity imaging trials containing frames that exceeded a threshold alignment error were discarded (two trials over all data sets recorded).

When imaging at 1.016 Hz, frame-to-frame brain motion is significantly smaller than the optical resolution, even during large-amplitude motions in awake mice (Supplementary Fig. 6f–i; mean and maximum displacements of 60 nm and 200 nm lateral, 36 and 375 nm axial, respectively. Maximum displacements reflect the alignment resolution and are upper bounds). This allows the SLAP solver to treat all measurements within a single frame as simultaneous.

**Analyses of in vitro recordings.** In Fig. 3c, source recovery was performed on Channel 1 of the SLAP recording and projected into two dimensions using the axial mean projection of the segmentation matrix S. ΔF/F0 was fitted for each voxel in this projection. Figure 3c shows the mean ΔF/F0 for the period 0–50 ms after the stimulus, for the one-third most green (Ch1 > Ch2) and the one-third most red (Ch2 > Ch1) pixels of the reference image within the segmentation. In Fig. 3c, we selected the dendritic segment closest to each uncaging location, which were registered relative to the scanner coordinates by imaging in a thin fluorescent sample. ΔF was calculated as the difference between times at which each trace crossed 25% of its maximum within the 150 ms period following the first uncaging event. Figure 3d shows values from single trials across five experiments.

**Analyses of in vivo recordings.** Raster activity recordings were aligned with custom MATLAB code that compensates for bidirectional scanning artifacts, warping of galvo scan paths and rolling shutter movement artifacts using a series of stripwise registrations, included in the published software package (alignScanImageData and related functions). For simultaneous recordings of jRGECO and yGluSnFR (Fig. 4), the two recording channels (540/80 and 650/90 bandpass filters) were linearly unmixed with the mixing coefficients 0.996 (jRGECO > yGluSnFR) and 0.004 (jRGECO < yGluSnFR bleedthrough), estimated using robust regression against pixel intensities in the aligned average images.

The method of calculating ΔF0 was different for different imaging methods. In raster recordings, ΔF0 for a region of interest was calculated for each stimulus presentation as the mean intensity in that region over three frames before the stimulus. For SLAP recordings, the terms X in the activity model can be directly interpreted as the ΔF/F0 values for each segment. The baseline fluorescence is accounted for by the time-varying baseline term, b, which was fit in the space of the measurements based on the cumulative minimum of the regression of the observed against the expected measurements (see SLAP solve). For plots of ΔF/F0 as a function of SLAP recording time (Fig. 3c–d), a time-varying conduction delay was added to the cumulative minimum of the raw intensity for each row of Y, and ΔF/F0 was computed, temporally smoothed using non-negative deconvolution and downsampled for display.

In Fig. 4c, correlations in pixelwise tuning curves were calculated by cross-validation; the data set for each session was divided into two halves and tuning curves were calculated for each pixel. For each pixel, the tuning curve from one-half of the data was correlated against tuning curves obtained from the other half of the data for all other pixels. This was done for five different random splittings of the data and results averaged for each session. This approach avoided introducing correlations due to trial-to-trial correlations.

OSIs (Figs. 4c and 5a and Supplementary Fig. 11) were calculated from the eight-point tuning curves (that is, the mean response during the stimulus period for the eight stimuli, minus the mean response during 1 s before the stimulus) for SLAP and raster recordings in the same way. The eight stimulus angles were mapped onto four equally spaced angles (that is, 0, 90, 180, 270, 0, 90, 180, 270°), and were compensated for difference in stimulus onset (hues in Figs. 4c and 5a) is the angle of the sum vector. The OSI is the length of the sum vector, divided by the sum of the lengths of the input vectors. It has a value in [0, 1] that is 1 when there is a response to only one of four orientations, and 0 when responses are uniform. The response amplitude is the 2-norm of the eight-point tuning curve.

Supplementary Videos 5–7 are rendered with saturations as ΔF/F0 conditioned on the Z-score, computed as ΔF/σsqrt(F0 + 3/8), with F in photons. This was calculated by dividing each activity by a maximum saturation level (for SLAP recordings ΔF/F0,1.8, Z:3.5, for raster ΔF/F0,1.4, Z:4), and taking the minimum of the two. This ensures that every rendered transient has high confidence, suppressing ΔF/F0 fluctuations of low statistical significance in pixels with low baseline rates. The samples in Supplementary Videos 6 and 7 had similar labeling brightness and were imaged at the same laser powers before the SLM, produced similar mean photon rates per dendritic segment (14.5 photons per segment, see Supplementary Video 6, and 18.2 photons per segment, see Supplementary Video 7), and were reconstructed using the same solver parameters. The raster recording (Supplementary Video 5) was spatially smoothed by summing within a 1 × 1-pixel Gaussian window before computing Z-scores.

In Fig. 5c, Raster recordings were aligned to the SLAP reference image, and dendritic segments were projected into the plane of the raster recording to generate R raster and population analyses. Only segments with correct location of the true raster recording (Supplementary Video 5) were spatially smoothed by summing within a 1 × 1-pixel Gaussian window before computing Z-scores.

For SLAP imaging population analyses (Fig. 6), we analyzed all segments in the sample volume with mean F0 greater than 1.5 photons per frame that were within the imaging plane in at least all but three trials (‘selected segments’). Motion censoring is performed on the basis of goodness-of-fit of the SLAP three-dimensional alignment method (SLAP, PXSData and related functions). In the example trials shown here, only trials across all data sets containing the acceptable sample motion and were censored. Additionally, for calculating stimulus tuning we censored the first three stimulus presentations of SLAP recordings in each session.

In Fig. 6c, cross-correlations in activity traces were calculated between all pairs among selected segments in each trial, normalized to the geometric mean of the variances for each pair, and averaged across trials and sessions for each distance bin weighted by the product of the two segment brightnesses. In Fig. 6d–i, we labeled each segment in each frame as active or inactive by high-pass filtering at 4 Hz and thresholding at 3.5×sd. of the bottom 80% of the data above the median. We then chose the bin that contained the maximum number of active segments and across all data sets, and used a two-sided t-test to compare to the known null rate (0.01). We used two-sided paired t-tests to compare the two stimulus conditions to each other.
In Supplementary Fig. 9, spatial modes were calculated by PCA over concatenated trials of each stimulus after subtracting the mean response to that stimulus for each recorded segment. We report the correlations in the spatial weights of the modes across segments. Note that the precise ordering of modes can vary slightly across stimuli despite a one-to-one correspondence, such that, for example, the second largest mode of a given stimulus might correlate highly to the largest mode of all others. For visualization in Fig. 6g, spatial modes were calculated by NMF to overcome the orthogonality constraint imposed by PCA. Because NMF performs a strictly positive factorization, subtraction of mean responses was not performed and modes were instead calculated only from fluctuations during the blank screen period. The spatial appearance of modes obtained by NMF was qualitatively similar to the positive components of modes obtained by PCA from the complete data sets after stimulus subtraction. The NMF modes were then used to obtain temporal components for all frames in the stimulus-subtracted data by regression (Fig. 6b). In Fig. 6i, we report the correlation in the spatial weights of pairs of segments, where the distance between the segments falls into the specified distance bin, for each mode. This correlation is positive for a given distance if segments spaced by that distance tend to have the same weight. It is zero if weights are independent and identically distributed (that is, unstructured in space).

In Supplementary Fig. 12, events were localized manually on the four measurement axes and the measurements corresponding to the selected locations were backprojected into the pixel space to identify the spatial origin of the transients.

Visualizations. All videos and traces shown are of single-trial recordings without averaging unless explicitly stated. Where noted, raster images have been square-root transformed (\(\gamma = 0.5\)) to better display dim features.

Reporting Summary. Further information on research design is available in the Nature Research Reporting Summary linked to this article.

Data availability
Optical and mechanical designs and instructions for use are available through the Janelia Open Science portal at www.janelia.org/open-science. Example data sets and demonstrations, are available in Supplementary Software 1, and at www.github.com/KasparP/SLAP. All other resources and data are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Code availability
Software was written in MATLAB (R 2015b–2018b), C and LabView FPGA. Custom code used for microscope control, data processing, analysis and simulations are available in Supplementary Software 1, and at www.github.com/KasparP/SLAP. All other custom code is available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.
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| Sample size | Sample sizes were predetermined and were not adjusted on the basis of any analyses. No explicit statistical power analysis was performed, but sample sizes selected reflect prior studies. |
| Data exclusions | No sessions were excluded from analysis. The first three trials of visual stimulation experiments were excluded from tuning curve summaries. This exclusion criterion was established after data collection, but is consistent with other studies. Spatial analysis of raster-scanned calcium and glutamate signals required censoring recordings dominated by global calcium signals (i.e. backpropagating action potentials) according to pre-established criteria. No other data were excluded from analyses. |
| Replication | In vivo experiments were repeated in several animals for all conditions. Null distributions and sample sizes in analyses reflect independent biological replications. Several figures show example fields of view; these are representative of imaging performance across multiple replications. There have not been any failed attempts to replicate the results reported in this study. |
| Randomization | Allocation to experimental groups was random, i.e. decisions were not made on the basis of any properties of the mice used in the study. |
| Blinding | Automation of data acquisition, processing and analysis made blinding of low relevance to this study. Blinding was not performed. |
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Animals and other organisms

Policy information about studies involving animals; ARRIVE guidelines recommended for reporting animal research

| Laboratory animals | The study involved 20 female C57/Bl6-Crl mice, ranging in age from 13 to 25 weeks at time of in vivo imaging experiments |
| Wild animals | The study did not involve wild animals. |
| Field-collected samples | The study did not involve field-collected samples. |
| Ethics oversight | All animal procedures were in accordance with protocols approved by the HHMI Janelia Research Campus Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC 17–155). |

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.