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We extend for the first time the applicability of the Optimal Homotopy Asymptotic Method (OHAM) to find approximate solution of a system of two-point boundary-value problems (BVPs). The OHAM provides us with a very simple way to control and adjust the convergence of the series solution using the auxiliary constants which are optimally determined. Comparisons made show the effectiveness and reliability of the method.

1. Introduction

Many real-world problems can be modelled by nonlinear differential equations. For example, fluid flow problems can give rise to boundary-value problems (BVPs) or systems of BVPs with conditions specified at two or more different points. Finding a reliable method for solving BVPs is of great interest. Noor and Mohyud-Din [1–3] presented approximate solutions of some classes of BVPs by using the variational iteration method (VIM), homotopy perturbation method (HPM), and variational iteration decomposition method (VIDM). Herisanu et al. [4] developed the so-called Optimal Homotopy Asymptotic Method (OHAM) for solving nonlinear problems. OHAM provides us with a very simple way to control and adjust the convergence of the series solution using the auxiliary constants which are optimally determined. Several promising applications of OHAM to problems in fluid dynamics have been presented [5–12]. Ali et al. [13, 14] solved several two-point and multipoint BVPs by OHAM. Very recently, Hashmi et al. [15] applied OHAM for finding the approximate solutions of a class of Volterra integral equations with weakly singular kernels.

The laminar fully developed combined free and forced magnetoconvection in a vertical channel with symmetric and asymmetric boundary heatings in the presence of viscous and Joulean dissipations was studied by Umavathi and Malashetty [16]. The mathematical model describing the channel flow problem is governed by a system of nonlinear BVPs. Umavathi and Malashetty [16] employed the classical perturbation technique to solve the system of BVPs. The aim of the present work is thus to propose an accurate approach to the channel flow problem using an analytical technique, namely, OHAM. The efficiency of the procedure is based on the construction and determination of the auxiliary functions combined with a convenient way to optimally control the convergence of the solution.

2. The Model Equation

The system of BVPs modelling the channel flow problem as given in [16] is

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d^4 u}{dy^4} - M^2 \frac{d^2 u}{dy^2} &= M^2 GR Br u^2 + GR Br \left( \frac{du}{dy} \right)^2, \\
\frac{d^2 \theta}{dy^2} &= - M^2 Br u^2 - Br \left( \frac{du}{dy} \right)^2,
\end{align*}
\]

(1)
subject to

\[ u \left( -\frac{1}{4} \right) = u \left( \frac{1}{4} \right) = 0, \]
\[ \frac{d^2 u}{dy^2} \bigg|_{y=-1/4} = -\frac{48}{M^2} + \frac{R_T GR}{2}, \]
\[ \frac{d^2 u}{dy^2} \bigg|_{y=1/4} = -\frac{48}{M^2} - \frac{R_T GR}{2}, \]
\[ \theta \left( -\frac{1}{4} \right) = -\frac{R_T^2}{4}, \quad \theta \left( \frac{1}{4} \right) = \frac{R_T^2}{4}, \]

where the parameter \( R_T \) becomes one for asymmetric heating and zero for symmetric heating. The special case \( Br = 0 \) was solved exactly by Umavathi and Malashetty [16], and the exact solutions are

\[ u = \frac{48}{M^2} \left( 1 - \frac{\cosh (My)}{\cosh (M/4)} \right) + \frac{2GR R_T}{M^2} \left( y - \frac{\sinh (My)}{4 \sinh (M/4)} \right), \]
\[ \theta = 2R_T y. \]

Furthermore, when \( GR = 0 \), solutions of (1)-(2) become

\[ u = \frac{48}{M^2} \left( 1 - \frac{\cosh (My)}{\cosh (M/4)} \right), \]
\[ \theta = A \left( y^2 - \frac{1}{16} \right) + B \left[ \cosh (2My) - \cosh \left( \frac{M}{2} \right) \right] + C \left[ \cosh (My) - \cosh \left( \frac{M}{4} \right) \right] + 2R_T y, \]

where

\[ A = -\frac{1152 Br}{M^2}, \]
\[ B = -\frac{576 Br}{M^4 \cosh^2 (M/4)}, \]
\[ C = -\frac{4608 Br}{M^4 \cosh (M/4)}. \]

We remark that the general case of both \( Br \neq 0 \) and \( GR \neq 0 \) is very difficult to solve exactly. For this case, Umavathi and Malashetty [16] have given the standard perturbation solutions by assuming \( \epsilon = Br GR \) to be the small parameter in the expansion.

### 3. Basic Idea of OHAM

Consider the following differential equations:

\[ L(u(y)) + g(y) + N(u(y)) = 0, \]
\[ B \left( u, \frac{du}{dy} \right) = 0, \]

where \( L \) is a linear operator, \( N \) is a nonlinear operator, \( u(y) \) is an unknown function, \( y \) denotes independent variable, \( g(y) \) is a known function, and \( B \) is a boundary operator.

According to the basic idea of OHAM [4–6], we construct a homotopy \( h(v(y, p), p) : R \times [0, 1] \rightarrow R \) which satisfies

\[ (1 - p) \left[ L(v(y, p)) + g(y) \right] = H(p) \left[ L(v(y, p)) + g(y) + N(v(y, p)) \right], \]
\[ B(v(y, p), \frac{dv(y, p)}{dy}) = 0, \]

where \( y \in R \) and \( p \in [0, 1] \) is an embedding parameter, \( H(p) \) is a nonzero auxiliary function for \( p \neq 0 \), \( H(0) = 0 \) and \( v(y, p) \) is an unknown function. Obviously, when \( p = 0 \) and \( p = 1 \) it holds that \( v(y, 0) = u_0(y) \) and \( v(y, 1) = u(y) \), respectively. Thus, as \( p \) varies from 0 to 1, the solution \( v(y, p) \) approaches from \( u(y) \) to \( u_0(y) \), where \( u_0(y) \) is obtained from (7) for \( p = 0 \), and we have

\[ L(u_0(y)) + g(y) = 0, \quad B \left( u_0, \frac{du_0}{dy} \right) = 0. \]

Next, we choose auxiliary function \( H(p) \) in the form

\[ H(p) = pC_1 + p^2 C_2 + p^3 C_3 + \cdots, \]

where \( C_1, C_2, C_3, \ldots \) are constants to be determined, and \( H(p) \) can be expressed in many forms as reported in [4–7].

To get an approximate solution, we expand \( v(y, p, C_i) \) in Taylor’s series about \( p \) in the following manner:

\[ v(y, p, C_i) = u_0(y) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u_k(y, C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_k) p^k. \]

Substituting (11) into (7) and equating the coefficient of the like powers of \( p \), we obtain the following linear equations.
The zeroth-order problem is given by (9), and the first- and second-order problems are given as

\[
L(u_1(y)) = C_1 N_0(u_0(y)), \quad B\left(u_1, \frac{du_1}{dy}\right) = 0,
\]

\[
L(u_2(y)) - L(u_1(y)) = C_2 N_0(u_0(y)) + C_1 N_1(u_0(y), u_1(y)), \quad B\left(u_2, \frac{du_2}{dy}\right) = 0.
\]

(12)

And the general governing equations for \(u_k(y)\) are given as

\[
L(u_k(y)) - L(u_{k-1}(y)) = C_k N_0(u_0(y)) + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} C_i \left[L(u_{k-i}(y)) + N_1(u_0(y), u_1(y))\right], \quad B\left(u_k, \frac{du_k}{dy}\right) = 0,
\]

(13)

where \(k = 2, 3, \ldots\) and \(N_m(\bar{u}(y), \eta_1(y), \ldots, \eta_m(y))\) is the coefficient of \(p^m\) in the expansion of \(N(V(y, p))\) about the embedding parameter \(p\).

\[
N_0(\bar{u}(y), \eta_1(y), \ldots, \eta_m(y)) = N_{0}(u_0(y)) + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} N_m(\bar{u}(y), \eta_1(y), \ldots, \eta_m(y)) p^m.
\]

(14)

It has been observed that the convergence of the series (11) depends upon the auxiliary constants \(C_1, C_2, C_3, \ldots\). If the series is convergent at \(p = 1\), one has

\[
v(y, C_i) = u_0(y) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u_k(y, C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_k).
\]

(15)

The results of the \(m\)th-order approximations are

\[
\bar{u}(y, C_1, C_2, C_3, \ldots, C_m) = u_0(y) + \sum_{k=1}^{m} u_k(y, C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_i).
\]

(16)

Substituting (16) into (6) it results the following residual:

\[
R(y, C_1, C_2, C_3, \ldots, C_m) = L(\bar{u}(y, C_1, C_2, C_3, \ldots, C_m)) + g(y) + N(\bar{u}(y, C_1, C_2, C_3, \ldots, C_m)).
\]

(17)

If \(R = 0\), then \(\bar{u}\) will be the exact solution. Generally this does not happen, especially in nonlinear problems. In order to find the optimal values of \(C_i, i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots\), we first construct the functional

\[
J(C_1, C_2, C_3, \ldots, C_m) = \int_{a}^{b} R^2(y, C_1, C_2, C_3, \ldots, C_m) dy,
\]

(18)

and then minimizing it, we have

\[
\frac{\partial J}{\partial C_1} = \frac{\partial J}{\partial C_2} = \cdots = \frac{\partial J}{\partial C_m} = 0,
\]

(19)

where \(a\) and \(b\) are in the domain of the problem. With these constants known, the approximate solution (of order \(m\)) is well determined.

3.1. Application of OHAM. In this section, we apply OHAM for solving the nonlinear system of two-point BVP (1)-(2). By applying the proposed method, the zeroth-order deformation equation is

\[
(1 - \rho) L [\bar{u}(y, p) - u_0(y)] = H(p, C_i) [N(\bar{u}(y, p))],
\]

(20)

subject to the boundary conditions

\[
\bar{u}\left(-\frac{1}{4}, \rho\right) = 0, \quad \bar{u}\left(\frac{1}{4}, \rho\right) = 0,
\]

\[
\bar{u}''\left(-\frac{1}{4}, \rho\right) = -48 + \frac{R_T GR}{2}, \quad \bar{u}''\left(\frac{1}{4}, \rho\right) = -48 - \frac{R_T GR}{2},
\]

\[
\bar{\theta}\left(-\frac{1}{4}, y\right) = -\frac{R_T}{2}, \quad \bar{\theta}\left(\frac{1}{4}, y\right) = \frac{R_T}{2}.
\]

(21)
Table 1: Optimal values of $C_i$ for the case $M = 2$ and different values of $GR$ and $Br$.

| $Br$   | $GR$ | $C_1$     | $C_2$     | $C_3$     |
|--------|------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 0      | 400  | -0.96436  | -0.00133  | 0.00005   |
| 8/100  | 100  | -1.11947  | -0.01693  | -0.00181  |
| 0      | 0    | -0.96436  | -0.00133  | 0.00005   |
| 8/500  | 500  | -1.19429  | -0.07113  | -0.01860  |
| 1      | 0    | -0.96439  | -0.00133  | 0.00005   |
| 8/100  | -100 | -0.80026  | -0.02050  | 0.00140   |
| 0      | ±100 | -0.95976  | -0.00116  | 0.00003   |
| 0      | ±500 | -0.95976  | -0.00116  | 0.00003   |
| 8/500  | -500 | -0.79213  | -0.02725  | 0.00383   |

Figure 3: Plots of (a) $u$ and (b) $\theta$ versus $y$ in the case of asymmetric heating for different values of $Br$ and $GR$.

Using the framework of OHAM the $n$th-order

$$L_1[\tilde{u} - \chi_m u_{m-1}] = R_{1,m}(C_i, \tilde{u}_{m-1}),$$

where $\tilde{u}_{m-1} = \{u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_{m-1}\}$,

$$R_{1,m} = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} C_{i+1} u_{m-1-i} - M^2 GR Br \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} u_{m-1-i}$$

$$\quad \times \sum_{j=0}^{i} C_{j+1} u_{j-i} - GR Br \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} u_{m-1-i} \sum_{j=0}^{i} C_{j+1} u_{j-1},$$

$$L_2[\tilde{\theta} - \chi_m \theta_{m-1}] = R_{2,m}(C_i, \tilde{\theta}_{m-1}),$$

where

$$R_{2,m} = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} C_{i+1} \theta''_{m-1-i} + M^2 Br \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} u_{m-1-i}$$

$$\quad \times \sum_{j=0}^{i} C_{j+1} u_{j-i} + Br \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} u_{m-1-i} \sum_{j=0}^{i} C_{j+1} u_{j-1}.$$

Now the zeroth-order problem is

$$u_0^{(4)}(y) = 0, \quad \theta_0^{''}(y) = 0,$$

subject to the boundary conditions

$$u_0 \left(\frac{1}{4}\right) = 0, \quad u_0 \left(\frac{1}{4}\right) = 0,$$

$$u_0'' \left(\frac{1}{4}\right) = -48 + \frac{R_T GR}{2}, \quad u_0'' \left(\frac{1}{4}\right) = -48 - \frac{R_T GR}{2},$$

$$\theta_0 \left(\frac{1}{4}\right) = \frac{R_T}{2}, \quad \theta_0 \left(\frac{1}{4}\right) = \frac{R_T}{2}.$$

The solutions are

$$u_0(y) = \frac{1}{48} \left(72 - 1152y^2 + 16y^3R_T - 16y^3R_T GR\right),$$

$$\theta_0(y) = 2yR_T.$$

Now the first-order problem is

$$u_1^{(4)}(y, C_1) = -C_1 M^2 Br GR u_0''(y) - C_1 Br GR (u_0'(y))^2$$

$$-C_1 M^2 u_0''(y) + C_1 u_0^{(4)}(y),$$

$$\theta_1''(y, C_1) = C_1 M^2 Br u_0''(y) + C_1 Br (u_0'(y))^2 + C_1 \theta_0''(y),$$
subject to the boundary conditions
\begin{align*}
  u_1\left(-\frac{1}{4}\right) &= 0, \quad u_1\left(\frac{1}{4}\right) = 0, \\
  u_1''\left(-\frac{1}{4}\right) &= 0, \quad u_1''\left(\frac{1}{4}\right) = 0, \quad (29)
  \theta_1\left(-\frac{1}{4}\right) &= 0, \quad \theta_1\left(\frac{1}{4}\right) = 0.
\end{align*}

The second-order problem is
\begin{align*}
  u_2^{(4)}(y, C_1, C_2) &= -C_2 M^2 u_0''(y) + C_2 u_0^{(4)}(y) \\
  &+ u_1^{(4)}(y, C_1) - C_2 M^2 Br GR u_0^2(y) \\
  &- 2 C_1 M^2 Br GR u_0(y) u_1(y, C_1) \\
  &- C_2 Br GR (u_0'(y))^2 + C_1 u_1^{(4)}(y, C_1) \\
  &- C_1 M^2 u_1''(y, C_1) \\
  &- 2 C_1 Br GR u_0'(y) u_1'(y, C_1),
\end{align*}

subject to the boundary conditions
\begin{align*}
  u_2\left(-\frac{1}{4}\right) &= 0, \quad u_2\left(\frac{1}{4}\right) = 0, \\
  u_2''\left(-\frac{1}{4}\right) &= 0, \quad u_2''\left(\frac{1}{4}\right) = 0, \quad (31)
  \theta_2\left(-\frac{1}{4}\right) &= 0, \quad \theta_2\left(\frac{1}{4}\right) = 0.
\end{align*}

Figure 4: Plots of (a) $u$ and (b) $\theta$ versus $y$ in the case of symmetric heating for different values of $Br$ and $GR$.

Figure 5: Plots of residual errors for (a) $u$ in the case $GR = 400, Br = 0$ and (b) $\theta$ in the case $GR = 0, Br = 0.5$ of asymmetric heating and $M = 2$. 
The third-order problem is
\[ u_3^{(4)} (y, C_1, C_2, C_3) = -M^2 Br C_3 GR u_0^2 (y) \]
\[ -2M^2 Br C_2 GR u_0 (y) u_1 (y, C_1) \]
\[ -C_1 M^2 Br GR u_1^2 (y, C_1) + C_3 u_0^{(4)} (y) \]
\[ + C_2 u_1^{(4)} (y, C_1) - C_3 Br GR (u_0' (y))^2 \]
\[ -2C_2 Br GR u_0' (y) - u_1' (y, C_1) \]
\[ -C_1 Br GR (u_1' (y, C_1))^2 \]
\[ -C_1 M^2 u_0'' (y, C_1, C_2) \]
\[ -C_2 M^2 u_0'' (y) - C_2 M^2 u_1'' (y, C_1) \]
\[ + C_1 u_2^{(4)} (y, C_1, C_2) \]
\[ -2C_1 M^2 Br GR u_0 (y) u_2 (y, C_1, C_2) \]
\[ + u_2^{(4)} (y, C_1, C_2) \]
\[ -2C_1 Br GR u_0' (y) u_2' (y, C_1, C_2), \]
\[ \theta_3'' (y, C_1, C_2, C_3) = C_3 M^2 Bru_0^2 (y) \]
\[ + 2C_2 M^2 Bru_0 (y) u_1 (y, C_1) \]
\[ + C_1 M^2 Bru_1^2 (y, C_1) \]
\[ + 2C_1 M^2 Bru_0 (y) u_2 (y, C_1, C_2) \]
\[ + C_3 Br (u_0' (y))^2 \]
\[ + 2C_2 Bru_0' (y) u_1' (y, C_1) \]
\[ + C_1 Br (u_1' (y, C_1))^2 \]
\[ + 2C_1 Bru_0' (y) u_2' (y, C_1, C_2) \]
subject to the boundary conditions
\[ u_3 \left( -\frac{1}{4} \right) = 0, \quad u_3 \left( \frac{1}{4} \right) = 0, \]
\[ u_3'' \left( -\frac{1}{4} \right) = 0, \quad u_3'' \left( \frac{1}{4} \right) = 0, \]
\[ \theta_3 \left( -\frac{1}{4} \right) = 0, \quad \theta_3 \left( \frac{1}{4} \right) = 0. \]

Using the solution of (25)–(32) we obtain the following four-term approximate solutions for \( u \) and \( \theta \) by OHAM taking \( p = 1 \):
\[ \tilde{u} (y, C_1, C_2, C_3) = u_0 (y) + u_1 (y, C_1) \]
\[ + u_2 (y, C_1, C_2) + u_3 (y, C_1, C_2, C_3), \]
\[ \tilde{\theta} (y, C_1, C_2, C_3) = \theta_0 (y) + \theta_1 (y, C_1) \]
\[ + \theta_2 (y, C_1, C_2) + \theta_3 (y, C_1, C_2, C_3). \]

The explicit expressions for the individual terms of the approximate solutions are not given here for brevity. Taking the residual errors
\[ R\tilde{u} (y, C_1, C_2, C_3) = \tilde{u}^{(4)} (y, C_1, C_2, C_3) \]
\[ - M^2 \tilde{u}'' (y, C_1, C_2, C_3) \]
\[ - M^2 \tilde{G} R \tilde{B} r \tilde{u} (y, C_1, C_2, C_3) \]
\[ - \tilde{G} R B r (\tilde{u}' (y, C_1, C_2, C_3))^2, \]
where the optimal values of $C_i$’s can be obtained. Table 1 shows some optimal values of $C_i$ for different values of $GR$ and $Br$.

In Figure 1 we compare our approximate four-term solutions (34) against the exact solutions (3) for the special case $Br = 0$ and $M = 2$ for several values of $GR$. The comparison of the special case $GR = 0$ is shown in Figure 2 for $M = 2$ and several values of $Br$. It is observed that our four-term OHAM solutions agree very well with the exact solutions. The general case of both $Br ≠ 0$ and $GR ≠ 0$ admits no explicit analytical solution. So, in Figures 3 and 4 we plot the four-term approximate OHAM solutions for several values of $Br$ and $GR$ in the case $M = 2$ for both the asymmetric and symmetric heating conditions, respectively. The residual errors corresponding to selected cases of the solutions depicted in Figures 1 and 2 are presented in Figures 5(a) and 5(b), respectively. Finally, the residual errors for a selected case of Figure 3 are shown in Figure 6. Clearly, all the residual error plots suggest that the OHAM approximate solutions are accurate enough.

4. Conclusion

In this paper we have extended the applicability of OHAM for the first time to solve a nonlinear system of two-point BVPs that arise in a fluid flow problem. OHAM is relatively simple to apply. It was shown that, with a few terms, the OHAM is capable of giving sufficient accuracy. OHAM can be a promising tool for solving strongly nonlinear systems of equations.
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