Bioprocessing of wheat bran for the production of lignocellulolytic enzyme cocktail by *Cotylidia pannosa* under submerged conditions
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**ABSTRACT**

Characterization and production of efficient lignocellulolytic enzyme cocktails for biomass conversion is the need for biofuel industry. The present investigation reports the modeling and optimization studies of lignocellulolytic enzyme cocktail production by *Cotylidia pannosa* under submerged conditions. The predominant enzyme activities of cellulase, xylanase and laccase were produced in the cocktail through submerged conditions using wheat bran as a substrate. A central composite design approach was utilized to model the production process using temperature, pH, incubation time and agitation as input variables with the goal of optimizing the output variables namely cellulase, xylanase and laccase activities. The effect of individual, square and interaction terms on cellulase, xylanase and laccase activities were depicted through the non-linear regression equations with significant $R^2$ and $P$-values. An optimized value of 20 U/ml, 17 U/ml and 13 U/ml of cellulase, xylanase and laccase activities, respectively, were obtained with a media pH of 5.0 in 77 h at 31°C, 140 rpm using wheat bran as a substrate. Overall, the present study introduces a fungal strain, capable of producing lignocellulolytic enzyme cocktail for subsequent applications in biofuel industry.
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**Introduction**

The lignocellulosic biomass such as agro-industrial residues (e.g. straw, sugar cane bagasse, corn stover) and wood materials provides a low-cost feedstock for production of second generation fuels thereby offering economic, environmental and strategic advantages.¹ The utilization of these lignocellulosic substrates for the production of desirable value added products such as ethanol involve three essential steps namely (i) pretreatment, which unlock the lignocellulosic interactions to make cellulose and hemicellulose, and other carbohydrate polymers more accessible for enzymatic hydrolysis; (ii) saccharification of pretreated material by hydrolytic enzymes usually cellulases and hemicellulases to release monosaccharides; and (iii) fermentation of monosaccharides, for production of desirable product viz., biofuels or other platform chemicals.²,³ The pretreatment step is very crucial as the removal of lignin from lignocellulosic substrates remains a critical issue. Even, the residual lignins after pretreatment are reported to directly affect the efficiency of saccharification by reducing the activities of cellulolytic enzymes used in saccharification.⁴-⁶ Moreover, the lignin derived products are often reported to toxic to fermenting microorganisms such as yeasts.⁷,⁸ Therefore, recalcitrance-related obstacles imposed by lignins make second generation bioethanol production a costly and energy intensive process.

In this context, the biological pretreatment for lignin removal by enzymes as biocatalysts are currently being explored as green alternatives to physico-chemical pretreatment strategies.⁹,¹⁰ For biological pre-treatment, laccase producing brown rot and white rot basidiomycete fungal strains have been identified as the best delignifying organisms.¹¹ A number of white rot fungi are reported for the biological pretreatment or simultaneous saccharification and fermentation of lignocellulosic...
biomass. The application of white rot fungi is very well explored for improved enzymatic hydrolysis and its subsequent sugar yield. For this reason, these have been studied with the purpose of pretreating substrates, principally for bioethanol production. Additionally, the fungal pretreatment do not require any extraction step for enzyme recovery, however, their main drawback is the duration of the pretreatment period (usually several weeks) as the sufficient fungal population needs to be established. Most of the white rot fungi studied for their potential for biomass conversion possess significant laccase activity with moderate or low cellulase and xylanase activities. The commercial cellulase cocktails, such as Cellic CTec3 from Novozyme (Bagsværd, Denmark) and Accellerase TRIO from DuPont Genencor (CA, USA), contain a blend of endo- and exoglucanases and β-glucosidase which acts synergistically to unlock and saccharify polysaccharides from the lignocellulose complex to fermentable sugars. The Accellerase® Trio™ is a combination of multiple enzyme activities including exoglucanase, endoglucanase, hemi-cellulases (including xylanases), and β-glucosidase but lacks ligninolytic activity whereas Cellic CTec3 activity is limited to only cellulosic substrates. Therefore, more potent adequate enzyme preparations need to be developed for the efficient enzymatic saccharification process.

The bioconversion of lignocelluloses relies heavily on major technological innovations centered on efficient process design for production of enzymes. Response surface methodology (RSM) is a well-practiced one for creation of a process model in the form of a non-linear regression equation by considering the effect of individual, square and interaction terms of process variables on the output. Moreover, the response optimizer function of RSM will be helpful for predicting the process variables for getting optimum output. A white rot fungus Cotylidia pannosa reported in our recent work, possessing cellulase and xylanase activities Table 1. Composition of the various runs of the central composite design, actual and predicted values of the different compression parameters and their responses.

| Run Order | Temperature (°C) | pH | Incubation Time (h) | Agitation Rate (rpm) | Cellulase Activity (U/ml) | Xylanase Activity (U/ml) | Laccase Activity (U/ml) |
|-----------|------------------|----|---------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1         | 25               | 4  | 120                 | 150                  | 16.24                    | 15.81                    | 14.25                    |
| 2         | 32.5             | 5  | 72                  | 100                  | 16.5                     | 16.51                    | 14.7                     |
| 3         | 40               | 4  | 120                 | 50                   | 4.86                     | 4.95                     | 6.59                     |
| 4         | 25               | 4  | 24                  | 150                  | 12.22                    | 11.85                    | 6.21                     |
| 5         | 25               | 6  | 24                  | 100                  | 11.33                    | 11.02                    | 2.16                     |
| 6         | 32.5             | 5  | 120                 | 50                   | 13.45                    | 13.35                    | 12.89                    |
| 7         | 25               | 6  | 120                 | 150                  | 11.12                    | 11.80                    | 3.40                     |
| 8         | 40               | 4  | 24                  | 50                   | 0.18                     | 0.07                     | 0.18                     |
| 9         | 32.5             | 4  | 72                  | 100                  | 14.9                     | 15.77                    | 14.56                    |
| 10        | 32.5             | 6  | 72                  | 150                  | 15.7                     | 16.47                    | 11.48                    |
| 11        | 40               | 4  | 120                 | 50                   | 8.1                      | 8.51                     | 5.4                      |
| 12        | 25               | 5  | 72                  | 100                  | 11.8                     | 12.9                     | 8.6                      |
| 13        | 32.5             | 5  | 72                  | 100                  | 16.5                     | 16.51                    | 14.7                     |
| 14        | 25               | 4  | 24                  | 150                  | 9.45                     | 8.85                     | 1.71                     |
| 15        | 32.5             | 6  | 72                  | 150                  | 17.5                     | 16.5                     | 16.7                     |
| 16        | 40               | 6  | 120                 | 50                   | 5.3                      | 5.38                     | 3                       |
| 17        | 40               | 6  | 24                  | 150                  | 12.26                    | 12.89                    | 16.7                     |
| 18        | 32.5             | 5  | 72                  | 100                  | 17.5                     | 16.51                    | 16.7                     |
| 19        | 40               | 4  | 24                  | 150                  | 4.7                      | 4.97                     | 5.1                      |
| 20        | 32.5             | 5  | 72                  | 100                  | 17.5                     | 16.51                    | 16.7                     |
| 21        | 25               | 6  | 24                  | 150                  | 3                       | 2.25                     | 0.54                     |
| 22        | 32.5             | 5  | 72                  | 100                  | 17.5                     | 16.51                    | 16.7                     |
| 23        | 32.5             | 5  | 72                  | 100                  | 17.5                     | 16.51                    | 16.7                     |
| 24        | 40               | 6  | 24                  | 50                   | 6.2                      | 6.06                     | 2.91                     |
| 25        | 40               | 6  | 120                 | 150                  | 17.26                    | 18.33                    | 17.56                    |
| 26        | 32.5             | 5  | 72                  | 100                  | 17.5                     | 16.51                    | 16.7                     |
| 27        | 32.5             | 5  | 72                  | 100                  | 17.5                     | 16.51                    | 16.7                     |
| 28        | 25               | 6  | 120                 | 50                   | 17.12                    | 20.63                    | 17.3                     |
| 29        | 40               | 5  | 72                  | 100                  | 12.46                    | 12.16                    | 4.87                     |
| 30        | 32.5             | 5  | 24                  | 100                  | 8.39                     | 10.13                    | 9.43                     |
| 31        | 25               | 4  | 120                 | 50                   | 11.5                     | 11.45                    | 10.77                    |

aTemperature; bIncubation Time; cAgitation Rate
along with the laccase activity. Therefore, the present study utilized RSM for modeling and optimization of lignocellulosic enzymes production by Cotylidia pannosa through submerged fermentation using wheat bran as a substrate.

**Results and discussion**

Wheat bran due to its nutritional content and large surface area serves to be an excellent carbon source without any supplementary carbon or nitrogen source for production of lignocellulolytic enzymes. The bran is rich in nutritional contents with 27% of total carbohydrate, 14% protein, 6% lipids and around 64% digestible nitrogen. Additionally, no prior pretreatment is necessary for wheat bran for utilization in enzyme production. The list of experiments planned and executed based on the Central Composite Design (CCD) of RSM are tabulated in Table 1. Experimental outputs namely cellulase, xylanase and laccase activities from the set of experiments along with the predicted values are presented in Table 1. The accuracy of the RSM models was drawn from the close agreement of the predicted and experimental values.

Considering the individual, square and interaction terms of SmF variables on outputs, the following non-linear regression equations (uncoded form) were developed for cellulase, xylanase and laccase activities as follows:

The predictive ability of developed non-linear regression models was further confirmed through significance test and ANOVA tests. Significance test results for cellulase, xylanase and laccase activities are tabulated in Table 2. In case of cellulase, individual, square and interaction effects of SmF variables seemed to have significant effect ($P < 0.05$) except the individual, square and interaction effects of pH. In case of xylanase, except the individual and interaction effects of agitation, the individual, square and interaction effects of other SmF variables (Incubation time, temp and pH) determined significant impact ($P < 0.05$). Whereas the important factors for determining the laccase activity included only the individual and square effects of incubation time and agitation. From the results of ANOVA test (Table 3), significant contributors toward cellulase activity were observed to be the linear, squared, and interaction terms of temp, pH and agitation. In case of xylanase activity, the linear, square and interaction effects of temp, incubation time, pH were also observed to play significant role. The most significant contributions for laccase activity included the linear, square and interaction effects of incubation time and agitation. The fitness and

\[
\text{Cellulase} (\text{U/ml}) = -121.7 + 6.948 \text{ Temp (°C)} + 6.15 \text{pH} + 0.4592 \text{IT (h)} - 0.0775 \text{ Agtn (rpm)} - 0.1152 \text{ Temp (°C)} \times \text{Temp (°C)} - 0.389 \text{pH} \times \text{pH} - 0.002070 \text{IT (h)} \times \text{IT (h)} + 0.001302 \text{Agtn (rpm)} \times \text{Agtn (rpm)} + 0.0505 \text{Temp (°C)} \times \text{pH} - 0.000577 \text{Temp (°C)} \times \text{pH} - 0.00537 \text{Temp (°C)} \times \text{Agtn (rpm)} - 0.01651 \text{pH} \times \text{IT (h)} - 0.02357 \text{pH} \times \text{pH} - 0.000262 \text{ IT (h)} \times \text{Agtn (rpm)} \\
\] (1)

\[
\text{Xylanase} (\text{U/ml}) = -141.3 + 7.341 \text{Temp (°C)} + 12.89 \text{pH} + 0.4048 \text{IT (h)} - 0.1069 \text{Agtn (rpm)} - 0.1279 \text{Temp (°C)} - 1.907 \text{pH} \times \text{pH} - 0.001635 \text{IT (h)} + 0.001002 \text{Agtn (rpm)} + 0.2178 \text{Temp (°C)} \times \text{pH} - 0.001424 \text{Temp (°C)} \times \text{pH} - 0.001204 \text{Temp (°C)} \times \text{Agtn (rpm)} - 0.01705 \text{pH} \times \text{IT (h)} - 0.01074 \text{pH} \times \text{pH} - 0.000014 \text{IT (h)} \times \text{Agtn (rpm)} \\
\] (2)

\[
\text{Laccase} (\text{U/ml}) = -116.8 + 8.081 \text{Temp (°C)} - 3.98 \text{pH} + 0.3824 \text{IT (h)} - 0.1490 \text{Agtn (rpm)} - 0.1251 \text{Temp (°C)} + \text{Temp (°C)} + 0.319 \text{pH} + 0.002497 \text{IT (h)} \times \text{IT (h)} + 0.000625 \text{Agtn (rpm)} \times \text{Agtn (rpm)} + 0.0109 \text{Temp (°C)} \times \text{pH} - 0.000331 \text{Temp (°C)} - 0.000015 \text{Temp (°C)} \times \text{Agtn (rpm)} - 0.00222 \text{pH} \times \text{IT (h)} + 0.00583 \text{pH} \times \text{pH} \times \text{pH} + 0.000142 \text{IT (h)} \times \text{Agtn (rpm)} \\
\] (3)
ANOVA for quadratic model for cellulase (U/ml), xylanase (U/ml) and laccase (U/ml). Table 3.

Further, the interaction effects of variables selected on production of enzymes were studied by plotting three dimensional surface curves to determine the optimum level of each variable for maximum enzyme activity. The interaction effects of SmF variables on adequacy of the developed non-regression model was further confirmed through the R² and adjusted R² values (Cellulase: R² - 98.20 %, Adj. R² - 96.63%; Xylanase: R² - 98.14 %, Adj. R² - 96.503%; Laccase: R² - 98.45%, Adj. R² - 97.10%).

Table 2. Results of significance test on the non-linear model-coefficients, standard errors, T statistics, and P values for for cellulase (U/ml), xylanase (U/ml) and laccase (U/ml) (coded form).

Table 3. ANOVA for quadratic model for cellulase (U/ml), xylanase (U/ml) and laccase (U/ml).
cellulase activity are depicted in response surface plots from Fig. 1a–c. Fig. 1a indicated that the higher cellulase activities were observed at intermediate values of temperature and pH. Later on, irrespective of increase or decrease in pH and temperature conditions, no significant effect on cellulase production was observed. The pH of the substrate plays a critical role in production of lignocellulolytic enzymes by affecting either the microbial growth or denaturing the enzymes. The change in pH is also reported to affect the transport of various components across the cell membrane and denaturation of the enzyme activities. Fig. 2b showed that the increase in temperature with incubation time resulted in higher cellulase production with a maximum cellulase production at 32°C in 72 h. Usually, the optimum temperatures and incubation time for lignocellulolytic enzymes production vary with the use of different strains. The cumulative effect of pH and incubation time on cellulase production revealed that the higher cellulase production was mainly recorded in the mid values of pH and incubation time with decreased trend after pH of 5.5 and prolonged incubation time of 90 h. This type of behavior is mainly attributed to the inefficient transport across membranes at higher pH conditions and denaturation of cellulase activity at longer incubation times. Fig. 2a showed that the maximum xylanase production was obtained around 32°C and pH 5.0 after mid values of incubation time. Further increase in temperature and incubation time resulted in significant decrease in xylanase activities (Fig. 2b). From cumulative graph Fig. 2c maximum xylanase production was observed at pH 5.0 after 92 h of incubation. The cumulative effect of submerged fermentation variables on laccase production are presented in Fig. 3a–c. The results in Fig. 3a indicated that the higher laccase activity was obtained at mid values of temperature with no significant effect of change in pH. The combined effect of temperature and incubation time on laccase production from Fig. 3b demonstrated that the maximum laccase production could be achieved at middle values of temperature and incubation time. Moreover, the
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**Figure 1.** Response surface plots showing the effect of (A) temperature and pH (B) temperature and incubation time (C) pH and incubation time on cellulase production.
significant effect of incubation time and non-significant behavior of change of pH on laccase production was also observed in Fig. 3c.

The maximum lignocellulolytic activities of fungi have been reported at pH 5.0 in case of *Agaricus bisporus*, *Trametes versicolor*, *Trichoderma harzianum* and *Penicillium janczewskii*. The optimum temperature for lignocellulolytic enzyme production was similar to those of other mesophilic fungi such as *Aspergillus japonicas* C03, *Aspergillus glaucus* XC8, *A. niger* MS82, *Trichoderma reesei* Rut C30, *Trichoderma viride* strain EU2-77, *Penicillium echinulatum* and *Fusarium oxysporum*. The maximum xylanase production has already been reported at a temperature range of 25–30°C by *Trichoderma viride* and *P. glabrum*. Usually, the maximal reported temperature for these filamentous fungus is 35°C, with an indication of the absence of growth 37°C. The activity of lignocellulolytic enzymes also increased on increasing the agitation up to 100 rpm. As for the submerged fermentation, mechanical agitation is known to be a crucial factor because of its effectiveness in mixing the contents of the medium, uniform air distribution and prevention of cell clumping. The lower activity levels at an agitation of above 100 rpm could be attributed to the possible damage to the filamentous structure thereby lowering the enzyme production. The shear stress sensitivity of mycelium has also been reported in the case of xylanase production by *Thermactinomyces thalophilus* subgroup C. Furthermore, the shearing of mycelium at high agitation rates also release intracellular proteins in broth, which increase foam generation during the fermentation process and thus reduce xylanase yield by affecting the oxygen transfer ratios.

Response optimizer function of MINITAB 16 was used to predict the submerged fermentation variables.
for optimal cellulase, xylanase and laccase activities. The predicted variables seems to be equivalent for the SmF performance using a substrate pH of 5.0 at 31°C, 140 rpm for 77 h. The experimental results obtained through the triplicate runs by utilizing the predicted variables i.e 20.6 U/ml of cellulase activity, 17.3 U/ml of xylanase activity and 14 U/ml of laccase activity, were in close agreement with the predicted outputs i.e cellulase: 20.1 U/ml; xylanase: 17.2 U/ml; laccase: 13.1 U/ml. The close agreement between the predicted and experimental responses indicated the adequacy and accuracy of the model for further scale-up studies. Moreover, the lignocellulolytic enzyme activities attained through this study determined significant improvement over the one variable at time (OVAT) experiments i.e cellulase: 10 U/ml; xylanase: 7 U/ml; laccase: 5 U/ml. The higher activities obtained through RSM studies in case of individual lignocellulolytic enzyme production through SmF have been documented very well in the literature. Overall, the present study introduces a white rot fungal strain, capable of producing three essential lignocellulolytic enzymes namely cellulase, xylanase and laccase for lignocellulosic bioethanol sector.

Materials and methods

Microbial culture and maintenance

The culture of C. pannosa (Gene Bank Accession No. KT008117.1) was obtained from Chaudhary Sarwan Kumar Himachal Pradesh Krishi Vishvavidyalaya (CSKHPKV), Palampur, India. The culture was maintained on yeast extract peptone dextrose (YEPD) agar at 4°C. All the chemicals and reagents used were of analytical grade. The Birchwood xylan, ABTS [2,2-azinobis (3-ethylbenzthiazoline-6-sulfonic acid) and carboxymethyl cellulose were purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, USA).

Growth conditions and enzyme production set up

For enzyme production, 2% wheat bran added to the YEP culture media consisting of 1% yeast extract and 2% peptone followed by sterilization at 121°C for
15 min at 15 psi. A spore inoculum of C. pannosa (2.9 × 10^8 fungal spores/mL) was inoculated aseptically into 100 mL of sterilized growth medium. The enzyme production was carried out at different pH (4–6) when incubated at a different temp. (25–40°C) for 24–120 h at 50–150 rpm based on the Central Composite Design (CCD) of RSM (Table 4 and Table 1). An aliquot of fermentation broth was withdrawn from the flask after a regular interval and centrifuged at 4°C at 7000 rpm for 15 min. The supernatant after centrifugation was collected and used for measuring cellulase, xylanase and laccase enzyme activities, respectively.

### Lignocellulolytic enzyme assays

The carboxymethyl cellulase and xylanase activities of crude enzyme were estimated by measuring the reducing sugars through DNS method. The laccase activity was determined spectrophotometrically with ABTS [2,2-azinobis (3-ethylbenzathiazoline-6-sulfonic acid) as a substrate. The carboxymethyl cellulase and xylanase activities of crude enzyme were estimated by measuring the reducing sugars through DNS method. One unit of CMCase activity was defined as an amount of enzyme that releases one micromole of glucose per minute under standard reaction conditions. One unit of xylanase activity was defined as an amount of enzyme that releases one micromole of xylose per minute under standard reaction conditions. One unit of laccase activity was defined as the quantity of enzyme required to oxidize one μmol of ABTS per minute. A control with inactivated enzyme was measured simultaneously for all the enzyme assays.

### Modeling and optimization studies

The values of SmF variables for the CCD design of RSM were selected based on literature review and initial screening data (data not shown) through MINITAB 16 software. In the present study, the outputs included the cellulase, xylanase and laccase activities. The set of variables and its ranges and levels utilized in the present study are tabulated under Table 4. The set of experiments based on the CCD (shown in Table 1) was executed in triplicate runs. The nonlinear regression analysis was carried out based on the experimental results of cellulase, xylanase and laccase activities using MINITAB 16 resulting in a second-order polynomial equation. The individual, square and interaction effects of SmF variables on cellulase, xylanase and laccase activities were studied through significance and analysis of variance (ANOVA) tests. The adequacy of the developed model was further checked through R^2 and adjusted R^2 values. For prediction of a set of SmF variables, the response optimizer function of MINITAB 16 software was utilized to predict maximal cellulase, xylanase and laccase activities.

### Conclusion

The CCD of response surface methodology was successfully utilized to determine the effect of individual, square and interaction of SmF parameters on lignocellulolytic enzyme cocktail production by Cotylidia pannosa. A maximum enzyme activity of 20 U/ml for cellulase, 17 U/ml for xylanase and 13 U/ml for laccase, was obtained when the fungus has been cultivated under submerged conditions of pH 5.0, temperature of 31°C, and a shaking speed of 140 rpm in 77 h using 2% wheat bran as a substrate. The individual square terms of incubation temperature and incubation time and their interaction with each other and with pH were the main factors that affected enzyme cocktail production, whereas the interaction effects of agitation with other variables did not promote any change in the range studied. The developed non-linear regression models with good accuracy could be further used for scaleup studies of the enzyme cocktail. Moreover, the introduced white-rot fungi Cotylidia pannosa, could be a potential strain to work on by bioethanol researchers for enhanced yields through integrated industrial- systems biology approach.
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