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Abstract: The azetidine moiety is a privileged motif in medicinal chemistry and new methods that access them efficiently are highly sought after. Towards this goal, we have found that azabicyclo[1.1.0]butyl carbinols, readily obtained from the highly strained azabicyclo[1.1.0]butane (ABB), can undergo divergent strain-release reactions upon N-activation. Treatment with trifluoroacetic anhydride or triflic anhydride triggered a semipinacol rearrangement to give keto 1,3,3-substituted azetidines. More than 20 examples were explored, enabling us to evaluate selectivity and the migratory aptitude of different groups. Alternatively, treatment of the same alcohols with benzyl chloroformate in the presence of NaI led to iodohydrin intermediates which gave spiroepoxy azetidines upon treatment with base. The electronic nature of the activating agent dictates which pathway operates.

Introduction

The past decade has seen a surge in the application of azetidines in pharmaceutical drug candidates.[1] This is due in part to their improved pharmacokinetics in comparison to their larger ring analogues: molecules with the azetidine motif were shown to exhibit greater metabolic stability and increased bioavailability.[2] 1,3-Substituted azetidines also benefit from having 3D character, which has been shown to improve clinical success,[3] but without introducing chirality and so are more amenable to synthesis. This specific substitution pattern is featured on the azetidine scaffold of the marketed drugs azelnidipine,[4] cobimetinib[1a] and baricitinib[1b] (Scheme 1a).

An emerging method for the construction of 1,3-substituted azetidines is the use of azabicyclo[1.1.0]butane (ABB, 1).[5,6] First prepared in 1969,[7] the highly strained building block was shown to react with electrophiles such as tosyl chloride,[5a] through activation of the ABB nitrogen, followed by nucleophilic addition at the bridgehead carbon (Scheme 1b). More recently, it was demonstrated that ABB could react with strong nucleophiles such as turbo amides (Scheme 1b)[5b] or organocuprates[5d] to enable rapid access to diverse 1,3-substituted azetidines. We recently reported the preparation of azabicyclo[1.1.0]butyl lithium (ABB-Li, 2) by the facile lithiation of 1 at the bridgehead position with TMEDA-ligated sec-butyllithium (Scheme 1b).[5c] ABB-Li resembles a carbeneid as it is a nucleophile with inherent electrophilicity due to the strained structure. We subsequently employed 2 in reactions with boronic esters to give boronate complexes 3 that underwent strain-release 1,2-rearrangement[6] upon activation of the ABB nitrogen with acetic acid. This alternative umpolung-type reactivity of ABB enabled the synthesis of 1,3,3-substituted azetidines (4) bearing a versatile tertiary boronic ester.

We wanted to further develop the use of 2 as a synthon for 1,3,3-substituted azetidines by exploring alternative electrophiles which could also trigger strain-release reactivity and considered the use of ketones and aldehydes (Scheme 1c). We envisaged that after formation of azabicyclo[1.1.0]butyl carbinols (ABB-carbinols, 5), subsequent N-activation by a suitable electrophile could trigger a pinacol-type rearrange-
ment, cleaving the bridging C–N bond and releasing ring strain.[9,10] Such a pinacol-type rearrangement is analogous to the semipinacol rearrangement of α-hydroxy epoxides.[11] This would lead to azetidines bearing a quaternary centre (6a). Alternatively, N-activation of ABB-carbinols could result in a nucleophilic addition of the alcohol to form spirocyclic epoxides (8).[1a,12,13] Which would be interesting synthetic targets as they could display further strain-release reactivity.[12] In this paper, we report our success in discovering two divergent pathways from a common ABB-carbinol intermediate that lead to either 1,3,3-substituted azetidines, via a semipinacol rearrangement, or spirocyclic epoxides.

Results and Discussion

We began our investigation by studying the reaction of ABB-Li with acetophenone (Scheme 2).[14] ABB-Li was formed in situ by the sequential reaction of amine salt 9 with phenyllithium and sec-butyllithium, and subsequently reacted with acetophenone at −78 °C to form ABB-carbinol 5a in good yield. This procedure was successfully applied to a wide range of ketones and aldehydes. The alcohol products were stable to aqueous work-up and could be stored at −18 °C with no evidence of degradation. However, apart from the trifluoromethylated example 5r, the ABB-carbinols were found to partially decompose on silica gel and so yields of the products were determined by 1H NMR. Presumably, the electron-withdrawing nature of the CF₃ group present in 5r reduces the basicity of the nitrogen and minimizes acid-mediated decomposition.

Having gained access to a range of ABB-carbinols, their reactivity with different activating agents was investigated. Firstly, the addition of benzyl chloroformate (CbzCl) to 5a resulted in the formation of chlorohydrin 10 in 83% yield (Scheme 3a). This result is consistent with previous reports of the 1,3-functionalisation of ABB with chloroformates.[15] However, when trifluoroacetic anhydride (TFAA) was employed as the activating agent, the desired semipinacol rearrangement occurred to form keto azetidine 6a in 71% yield (Scheme 3b).

The divergent reactivity of 5a can be rationalised by the extent of positive charge build-up at the electrophilic bridgehead carbon. When TFAA is used as an activator, the trifluoroacetyl group, being more electron-withdrawing than the Cbz group, results in a greater build-up of positive charge, thereby favouring the semipinacol reaction pathway. We postulated that the different counterions could also play a role in determining the outcome of the reaction, therefore, we investigated whether product formation was determined by the nucleophilicity of the counterion or by the nature of the activating group. This was achieved by performing the reactions in the presence of NaI in order to keep the counterion (iodide) constant (Scheme 3c).[16] Once again, with CbzCl, nucleophilic addition dominated to give exclusively iodohydrin 11 via the nucleophilic addition pathway. With TFAA, both nucleophilic addition and semipinacol rearrangement occurred to give 12 and 6a in 40% and 49% yields.

Scheme 2. Synthesis of ABB-carbinols by the reaction of ABB-Li with carbonyl compounds. Reactions performed on 2.0 mmol scale at 0.31 M initial concentration. Yields determined by 1H NMR. [a] 4.0 mmol scale. [b] 1.0 mmol scale. [c] Purified by flash column chromatography.

Scheme 3. Divergent reactivity of 5a with a) CbzCl vs. b) TFAA. c) Investigations into the cause of divergence.
yield, respectively. Finally, when moving to an even more electrophilic activating agent, triflic anhydride (Tf₂O), the semipinacol rearrangement dominated to give sulfonamide 7a in 50% yield with no nucleophilic addition observed. Thus, the outcome of the reaction is predominantly determined by the electronic nature of the activating group on nitrogen: the more electron-withdrawing it is the more the semipinacol pathway is favored.

We sought to optimise the semipinacol pathway and found that, in the absence of NaI, the reaction yields with TFAA and Tf₂O were improved by switching the solvent to CH₂Cl₂ and performing the reaction at −78°C (conditions A and B; see Supporting Information for optimisation study). In the case of Tf₂O, the yield was further increased by the addition of 2,6-lutidine. We then explored the scope of the reaction using both sets of conditions (A and B) with a broad range of ABB-carbinols (Scheme 4).

For non-symmetrical alcohols bearing an aryl and methyl group, in all cases, and under both sets of conditions, we found exclusive migration of the aryl group to give azetidines 6/7a–c in good yields, even in the cases of electron-poor aryl groups. Furthermore, electron-rich and electron-deficient heteroaromatic groups (indolyl and 3-pyridyl) also worked well, giving compounds 6d–f in good yields—again exclusive migration of the aryl group was observed. However, 4-pyridyl carbinol 5g was found to be a poor substrate, as the reaction was unsuccessful with TFAA and low yielding with Tf₂O. Cyclic ketones were also explored as the semipinacol rearrangement would result in aring expansion to give valuable spirocyclic azetidine scaffolds. Azetidines 6h–k and 7h–k were obtained after ring expansions from ABB-carbinols bearing 4-, 5-, 6-, and 7-membered rings in yields of 27–54% using TFAA (method A) and 50–87% using Tf₂O (method B). The lower yields when using TFAA were attributed to competing nucleophilic addition of trifluoroacetate due to the lower migratory aptitude of alkyl groups.[17] The semipinacol rearrangement of ABB-carbinol 5l gave azetidines 6/7l with an intriguing 2,6-diazaspiro[3.4]octane core in 48% and 63% yields, respectively.[18] This example is particularly noteworthy as a Reaxys search identified this motif in >250 patents with >1300 unique examples where pharmacological data is presented. Furthermore, it is easily prepared in just two steps from N-Boc azetidinone. In order to further probe the selectivity over which group migrates, a non-symmetrical alcohol bearing two different alkyl groups (a cyclohexyl and a methyl group) was explored. Using both TFAA (method A) and Tf₂O (method B), exclusive migration of the more substituted alkyl group[11b] was observed, giving 6/7m, the latter in almost quantitative yield.

We subsequently compared the migration of a Ph group over other substituents, including more substituted alkyl groups and other functional groups. Comparing Ph with H, we found that the Ph group migrated exclusively to give aldehydes 6/7n in 51% and 84% yields, respectively.[9] Comparing Ph/ethyl and Ph/alkynyl, again resulted in exclusive migration of the Ph group, giving azetidines 6/7o,p in excellent yields. However, comparing Ph/Cy resulted in high selectivity but only in the case of TFAA to give 6q; Tf₂O gave a 1:1.5 ratio of products 7q/7q’, now in favour of Cy migration. In both cases, the yield of the ketone was low. In
We found that with less in methanol resulted in the quantitative yield.\textsuperscript{[b]} Intermediate iodohydrin purified before second step. Under these conditions, the lower yield observed was due to competing nucleophilic addition. Finally, comparing Ph/p-MeOC\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{4}, we found that the more electron-rich aryl group migrated preferentially,\textsuperscript{[20]} but not by much: a 3:2:1 ratio of 6t/6t’ and 1:3:1 ratio of 7t/7t’ were obtained under conditions A and B, respectively.

The commonly observed relative migratory aptitude in semipinacol rearrangements\textsuperscript{[9,17,20]} of aryl > alkenyl > hydride > substituted alkyl > less substituted alkyl is mirrored here in reactions using TFAA. A similar pattern is seen with the more electron-withdrawing Tf\textsubscript{2}O, but the selectivity is lower since it induces a faster reaction. In the case of the especially hindered substrate 7g, containing both phenyl and cyclohexyl migrating groups, no selectivity is observed. Here, C–C bond rotation of the hindered substrate is likely to have a higher barrier than 1,2-migration,\textsuperscript{[21]} which results in migration of whichever group is antiperiplanar to the central C–N upon reaction with Tf\textsubscript{2}O.

The formation of spirocyclic epoxide 13 from 5q under conditions B was intriguing, and we were keen to establish whether this pathway could be promoted more generally. We reasoned that iodohydrin 11, formed from the reaction of 5a with CbzCl and NaI (Scheme 3c), could potentially serve as an intermediate in the selective synthesis of spiroepoxy azetidines. Indeed, addition of potassium carbonate to a solution of 11 in methanol resulted in the quantitative formation of epoxy azetidine 8a after 15 minutes.\textsuperscript{[22]} This method was telescoped to a one-pot procedure, and ABB-carbinol 5a was converted to 8a in 96\% yield (Scheme 5).

We then extended this protocol to produce a range of spiroepoxides from selected ABB-carbinols (Scheme 5). Employing ABB-carbinols with heteroary1 groups (indolyl and pyridyl) gave 8d and 8f in good yields of 62\% and 66\%, respectively. Electron-rich indolyl epoxide 8d was found to be unstable on silica gel, which complicated purification. However, we found that if the intermediate iodohydrin was purified prior to base-induced cyclization, no further purification of 8d was necessary. Dispiro compounds 8j and 8l were obtained from ABB-carbinols 5j and 5l in excellent yields of 90\% and 91\%, respectively. Compound 8l is particularly interesting, as the nitrogen protecting groups are orthogonal and serve to desymmetrize the molecule. Trisubstituted epoxide 8n was also accessible in 80\% yield from benzaldehyde derived 5n. We found that with less substitution, the rate of cyclization was much slower and required 42 hours to reach completion. We were also able to synthesize the sensitive propargylic epoxide 8p in excellent yield (86\%). Due to its instability on silica gel (as with 8d), purification of the intermediate iodohydrin was necessary in order to isolate analytically pure 8p.

In the case of trifluoromethyl ABB-carbinol 5r, CbzCl was found to be unreactive, but treatment with TFAA in the presence of NaI led to selective formation of the iodohydrin intermediate. After the addition of potassium carbonate, epoxide 8r was isolated in 49\% yield. The high selectivity for iodohydrin formation from 5r contrasts with the reactivity of 5a, where treatment with TFAA in the presence of NaI resulted in the formation of both iodohydrin 12 and the semipinacol product 6a. This is a result of the slower rate of the semipinacol rearrangement with the trifluoromethyl ABB-carbinol 5r. Despite the reaction of 5a with TFAA/NaI leading to a mixture of 12 and 6a, treating this mixture with potassium carbonate led to the formation of epoxide 14 in 38\% yield. Interestingly, other activators could also be employed with NaI. Tosyl chloride (TsCl) behaved similarly to CbzCl to give sulfonamide 15 in 87\% yield, whereas di-tert-butyl dicarbonate (Boc\textsubscript{2}O) was slower to react and required heating to activate ABB-carbinol 5a. Under these conditions with Boc\textsubscript{2}O, cyclization of the iodohydrin occurred without additional base to give epoxide 16 in 57\% yield.

**Conclusion**

We have discovered novel, divergent reactivity of azabicyclo[1.1.0]butyl carbinols, which are themselves easily obtained from the reaction of azabicyclo[1.1.0]butyllithium...
with carbonyl compounds. We found that strongly electrophilic activating reagents (TFAA and Tf₂O) induce a semipinacol rearrangement in ABB-carbinols to give either amide or sulfonamide azetidines. The semipinacol rearrangement proceeds with migration of the group best able to stabilize the positive charge, so follows the order aryl > substituted alkyl > less substituted alkyl. Even electron-deficient aromatics and heteroaromatics migrate in preference to a methyl group. When two alkyl groups are present, the semipinacol rearrangement is much slower and in the case of TFAA as the activator, nucleophilic addition of the counterion begins to compete, leading to lower yields. However, switching to Tf₂O as the activator allows the semipinacol rearrangement to dominate, leading to good reaction yields.

Conversely, when ABB-carbinols are treated with less electrophilic activating agents, such as CbzCl, no semipinacol rearrangement occurs. Instead, nucleophilic addition of the counterion dominates to exclusively form chlorohydrin products. Performing the reactions in the presence of NaI leads to the formation of iodohydrins that can be easily converted into structurally interesting spiroepoxy azetidines through a base-mediated cyclization. Thus, from a common ABB-carbinol starting material, we can now access either keto azetidines or spiroepoxy azetidines through semipinacol rearrangements and spirocyclizations, respectively.
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