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Abstract. Let $K$ be a field complete with respect to a discrete valuation $v$ of residue characteristic $p$. Let $f(z) \in K[z]$ be a separable polynomial of the form $z^\ell - c$. Given $a \in K$, we examine the Galois groups and ramification groups of the extensions of $K$ generated by the solutions to $f^n(z) = a$. The behavior depends upon $v(c)$, and we find that it shifts dramatically as $v(c)$ crosses a certain value: $0$ in the case $p \nmid \ell$, and $-p/(p-1)$ in the case $p = \ell$.

1. Introduction

1.1. Arboreal Galois representations. Let $K$ be a field. Choose an algebraic closure $\overline{K}$. Let $f(z)$ be a polynomial of degree $\ell$ over $K$. For $n \geq 0$, let $f^n$ denote the $n$th iterate $f \circ f \circ \cdots \circ f$. Fix $a \in K$. For $n \geq 0$, let $f^{-n}(a)$ be the multiset of solutions to $f^n(z) = a$ in $K$, so $\#f^{-n}(a) = \ell^n$; also let $K_n = K(f^{-n}(a)) \subseteq \overline{K}$. Let $K_\infty = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} K_n$. For $0 \leq n \leq \infty$, let $G(n) = \text{Aut}(K_n/K)$.

Let $n \in \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, \infty\}$. Let $T_n$ be the complete $\ell$-ary rooted tree of height $n$ (so there are $\ell^n$ leaves at the top); here $T_\infty$ is the increasing union of $T_1 \subset T_2 \subset \cdots$. The disjoint union of the $f^{-m}(a)$ for $m \leq n$, with an edge from $\alpha$ to $f(\alpha)$ for each vertex $\alpha$ other than the root, is isomorphic to $T_n$. For the rest of the paper, we suppose that for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, the solutions to $f^n(z) = a$ are distinct. Then these solutions lie in the separable closure $K_s$ of $K$ in $\overline{K}$, and $\text{Gal}(K_s/K)$ acts on this copy of $T_n$. This defines a continuous homomorphism $\rho_n : \text{Gal}(K_s/K) \to \text{Aut}T_n$. The image of $\rho_n$ is isomorphic to $G(n)$. A continuous homomorphism $\text{Gal}(K_s/K) \to \text{Aut}T_\infty$ is called an arboreal Galois representation [BJ07 Definition 1.1].

There is a large literature studying the image of $\rho_\infty$ for various polynomials over global fields [Odo85a, Odo85b, Sto92, Odo97, BJ07, Jon08, BJ09, Jon13, Hin16], and occasionally also for rational functions [JM14].

Example 1.1. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}$ and $f(z) = z^2 - z + 1$ and $a = 0$. Then $\rho_\infty$ is surjective [Odo85a Theorem 1].

Example 1.2. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}$. Let $b \in \mathbb{Z}$ be such that either $b > 0$ and $b \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{4}$, or $b < 0$ and $b \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and $-b$ is not a square. Let $f(z) = z^2 + b$ and $a = 0$. Then $\rho_\infty$ is surjective [Sto92].
1.2. Local fields. From now on, $K$ is a field that is complete with respect to a discrete valuation $v$. Let $k$ be the residue field. Let $p$ be the characteristic of $k$. Extend $v$ to $K_s$.

Consider $f(z) := z^\ell - c \in K[z]$ for some $\ell \geq 2$ and $c \in K^\times$. Outside Section 2 we assume additionally that we are in one of the following cases:

- ("Tame case") $\ell$ is not divisible by $p$;
- ("Wild case") $\ell = p$ and $K$ is a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$; in this case we normalize $v$ so that $v(p) = 1$.

In particular, $f$ is separable.

In contrast with the situation over global fields in Examples 1.1 and 1.2, our Theorem 2.1 will imply that over a local field $K$ with finite residue field, the arboreal representation associated to a separable polynomial $f(z) = z^\ell - c$ as above is never surjective, and never even of finite index. Ingram proved a related result when $K$ is a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$. In this setting, he showed that if $f \in K[x]$ is a monic polynomial with good reduction and degree not divisible by $p$, and $a \in K$ is such that $f^n(a) \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$, then the image of $\text{Gal}(K_s/K)$ is of finite index in a particular infinite index subgroup of $\text{Aut} T_\infty$ [Ing13, Theorem 1].

In this introduction, we describe our main results in the wild case; the results in the tame case are similar but easier. It turns out that in the wild case there is a dramatic shift of behavior as $v(c)$ crosses $-p/(p-1)$:

**Theorem 1.3.** Suppose that $K$ is a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$, and $\ell = p$.

(a) If $v(c) < -p/(p-1)$, then $K_\infty/K$ is a finite extension.

(b) If $v(c) = -p/(p-1)$, then $K_\infty/K$ is an infinite extension, and $K_\infty/K$ is finitely ramified if and only if $a$ lies within the closed unit disk centered at a fixed point of $f$.

(c) If $v(c) > -p/(p-1)$, then $K_\infty/K$ is infinitely wildly ramified.

In fact, our results are more precise. For example:

- If $v(c) < -p/(p-1)$ and $v(a) > v(c)/p$ and $\mu_p \subseteq K$, then there exists $n$ depending on $v(c)$ and there exists $\alpha \in f^{-n}(a)$ such that $K_\infty = K(\alpha)$ (generated by one element!) and $G(\infty)$ is an elementary abelian $p$-group of order at most $p^n$ (Theorems 4.2 and 4.3).

- If $v(c) = -p/(p-1)$, then some upper numbering ramification subgroup of $G(\infty)$ is trivial (Theorem 5.10, see also Example 5.11). This contrasts with Sen’s filtration theorem: see Remark 5.12.

- If $v(c) = -p/(p-1)$ and $v(a) > v(c)$ and $\mu_p \subseteq K$, then $I(\infty)$ of $G(\infty)$ is either $\{1\}$ or $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\infty$ (Theorem 4.1).

- If $v(c) < 0$, Theorem 6.2 provides a nontrivial upper bound on the asymptotic rate of growth of $[K_n : K]$.

The lack of deep ramification, at least when $v(c) \leq -p/(p-1)$, contrasts with the expectation in an early study of ramification in arboreal representations [AHM05, p. 858] that preimage trees of a generic polynomial of degree divisible by $p$ should be deeply ramified; see also [CH12] for other results on ramification in arboreal representations, also for rational functions.

**Remark 1.4.** Given $f$ over a global field $K$, the images of the associated local arboreal representations give lower bounds on the global arboreal representation. One might hope that these could be used to prove surjectivity of the global arboreal representation, but so far the arguments in the literature that have been used to prove global surjectivity (such as in [Sto92]) have used a mix of local and global arguments.
1.3. Outline of the paper. Section 2 shows that the image of an arboreal representation over a local field has infinite index, whether or not it arises from iterates of a polynomial. Section 3 proves some general lemmas used throughout the rest of the paper. The Galois groups $G(n)$ and $G(\infty)$ depend on whether $v(c)$ is negative, and in the wild case also on whether $v(c) < -p/(p-1)$. Sections 4 to 7 describe these groups; the section titles refer to the valuation of $c$. Finally, in Section 8, we determine $K_\infty$ completely in the analogous situation with $K = \mathbb{R}$.

2. Images of local arboreal representations

**Theorem 2.1.** Let $K$ be a field that is a complete with respect to a discrete valuation $v$ with finite residue field $k$. Assume that char $K \neq 2$. Let $d \geq 2$, and let $T_\infty$ be the infinite $d$-ary rooted tree defined in Section 1.1. Then the image of any continuous homomorphism $\rho_\infty : \text{Gal}(K_s/K) \to \text{Aut} T_\infty$ is of infinite index.

**Proof.** Each $\tau \in \text{Aut} T_\infty$ acts as a permutation of the set of the leaves of $T_n$, let $\text{sgn}_n(\tau)$ be the sign of this permutation. We define a map $\text{sgn} : \text{Aut} T_\infty \to \prod_{n \geq 1} \{\pm1\}$ by assigning $\tau \mapsto \prod_{n \geq 1} \text{sgn}_n(\tau)$.

The hypotheses on $K$ imply that $K$ has only finitely many quadratic extensions. These are in bijection with the surjective continuous homomorphisms $\text{Gal}(K_s/K) \to \{\pm1\}$, so there are only finitely many such homomorphisms. Thus the composition

$$
\text{Gal}(K_s/K) \xrightarrow{\rho_\infty} \text{Aut} T_\infty \xrightarrow{\text{sgn}} \prod_{n \geq 1} \{\pm1\}
$$

factors through a finite product of copies of $\{\pm1\}$, and hence has finite image. On the other hand, the map $\text{Aut} T_\infty \xrightarrow{\text{sgn}} \prod_{n \geq 1} \{\pm1\}$ is surjective. \qed

**Remark 2.2.** Without the assumption that $k$ is finite, Theorem 2.1 can fail. For example, if $K = \mathbb{Q}((t))$ and $d = 2$, then any $f(x)$ as in Example 1.2 defines a surjective $\rho_\infty$.

**Remark 2.3.** If $k$ is finite but char $K = 2$, then again Theorem 2.1 can fail, as we now explain. In this case, $K = \mathbb{F}_{2^e}((t^{-1}))$ for some $e$, and the maximal pro-$2$ quotient of $\text{Gal}(K_s/K)$ is a free pro-$2$ group of infinite rank [Kat85, 1.4.4]. This implies that $\text{Gal}(K_s/K)$ admits a continuous surjective homomorphism onto any inverse limit of a sequence of finite $2$-groups. If $T_\infty$ is a binary tree $(d = 2)$, then Aut $T_\infty$ is such an inverse limit.

3. General lemmas

For $n \geq 1$, let $\nu_n = -\frac{p^{n+1}}{(p^n-1)(p-1)} v(\ell)$. Let $\nu_\infty = -\frac{\ell}{\ell-1} v(\ell)$. It will turn out that there is a shift of behavior when $v(c)$ crosses these values. In the tame case, all these values collapse into one: $\nu_n = 0$ for all $n \leq \infty$. In the wild case, $\nu_n = -\frac{p^{n+1}}{(p^n-1)(p-1)}$ and their limit is $\nu_\infty = -\frac{p}{p-1}$.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let $d, y \in \overline{K}$. Consider the $\ell$ solutions $x$ to $f(x) - f(y) = d$, counted with multiplicity.

(a) If $v(d) \leq \ell v(y) - v_\infty$, then $v(x - y) = v(d)/\ell$ for each $x$.
(b) If $v(d) > \ell v(y) - v_\infty$, then the solution $x$ that is closest to $y$ satisfies $v(x - y) = v(d) - (\ell-1)v(y) - v(\ell)$ and the other $(\ell-1)$ solutions $x$ satisfy $v(x - y) = v(y) + v(\ell)/(\ell-1)$. The first solution lies in $K(d,y)$.
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(c) If $\ell = p$ and $v(d) = \ell v(y) - v_\infty$, then the solutions generate an unramified extension of $K(d, y)$.

Proof. Let $z = x - y$. Let $K' = K(d, y)$. We need the valuations of the zeros of the polynomial

$$f(z + y) - f(y) - d = z^\ell + \left(\frac{\ell}{1}\right)y z^{\ell-1} + \left(\frac{\ell}{2}\right)y^2 z^{\ell-2} + \cdots + \left(\frac{\ell}{\ell - 1}\right)y^{\ell-1} z - d \in K'[z].$$

Its Newton polygon is the lower convex hull of the points $(0, v(d))$, $(1, (\ell - 1)v(y) + v(\ell))$, and $(\ell, 0)$. The slopes of the Newton polygon depend on whether the middle point lies above or below the line segment through $(0, v(d))$ and $(\ell, 0)$. These slopes determine the valuations of the zeros. A Newton polygon segment of width 1 corresponds to a solution in the ground field $K(d, y)$.

Lemma 3.2. Suppose that $v(c) < 0$. If $n$ is sufficiently large, then every $\alpha \in f^{-n}(a)$ satisfies $v(\alpha) = v(c)/\ell$. If $v(a) > v(c)$, then this conclusion holds for all $n \geq 1$.

Proof. Let $a_0 = a$ and let $\alpha_{n+1} \in f^{-1}(\alpha_n)$ for $n \geq 1$. The equation $\alpha_{n+1}^\ell = \alpha_n + c$ implies that

$$v(\alpha_{n+1}) = \begin{cases} v(\alpha_n)/\ell, & \text{if } v(\alpha_n) < v(c); \\ \ell v(c)/\ell \text{ or larger}, & \text{if } v(\alpha_n) = v(c); \\ v(c)/\ell, & \text{if } v(\alpha_n) > v(c). \end{cases}$$

Thus the first case holds at most finitely many times, and then the second case holds at most once, and then the third case holds from then on.

Lemma 3.3. If $\mu_\ell \subset K$, then $\#G(n)$ divides a power of $\ell$.

Proof. Each extension $K_{n+1}/K_n$ is a Kummer extension of exponent dividing $\ell$.

4. Sufficiently negative valuation

In this section, we consider the case $v(c) < v_\infty$. Recall that $v_\infty = -\frac{\ell}{\ell-1} v(\ell)$.

Lemma 4.1. Suppose that $v(c) < v_\infty$ and $v(a) > v(c)$. If $n \geq 0$ and $\alpha, \beta \in f^{-n}(a)$, then $v(\alpha - \beta) \geq v(c)/\ell + v(\ell)/(\ell - 1)$.

Proof. We may assume that $n \geq 1$ and $\alpha \neq \beta$. We use induction on $n$. If $n = 1$, then $\beta^\ell = c + a$, so $v(\beta) = v(c + a)/\ell = v(c)/\ell$. Also $\alpha^\ell = c + a$, so $\alpha = \zeta^\ell \beta$ for some $\ell$th root of unity $\zeta$. Then $v(\alpha - \beta) = v((\zeta - 1)\beta) = v(\ell)/(\ell - 1) + v(c)/\ell$.

Suppose that $n > 1$ and the result holds for $n - 1$. Let $d = f(\alpha) - f(\beta)$ and $y = \beta$. If $n > 1$, then by the inductive hypothesis, the hypothesis on $c$, and Lemma 3.2

$$v(d) \geq v(c)/\ell + v(\ell)/(\ell - 1) > v(c) + \ell v(\ell)/(\ell - 1) = \ell v(y) - v_\infty,$$

so Lemma 3.1 shows that $v(\alpha - \beta) \geq v(y) + v(\ell)/(\ell - 1) = v(c)/\ell + v(\ell)/(\ell - 1)$. □
For \( n \leq \infty \), let \( I(n) \) be the inertia subgroup of \( G(n) \).

**Theorem 4.2.** If \( v(c) < \nu_{\infty} \) and \( v(a) > v(c) \) and \( \mu_\ell \subseteq K \), then

(a) The group \( G(n) \) is isomorphic to a subgroup of \( (\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})^n \).

(b) If the residue field of \( K \) is finite, then the group \( G(n)/I(n) \) is cyclic of order dividing \( \ell \).

(c) For any \( \alpha_n \in f^{-n}(a) \), we have \( K_n = K(\alpha_n) \).

**Proof.**

(a) Let \( \delta = v(c)/\ell + v(\ell)/(\ell - 1) \). Let \( m \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \). For \( x, y \in f^{-m}(a) \), write \( x \sim y \) if \( v(x - y) > \delta \); this defines an equivalence relation. Let \( D_m = f^{-m}(a)/\sim \). Suppose that \( \alpha_{m-1}, \beta_{m-1} \in f^{-(m-1)}(a) \) and \( \alpha_m \in f^{-1}(\alpha_{m-1}) \). By Lemma 4.1, \( v(\beta_{m-1} - \alpha_{m-1}) \geq \delta \).

Lemma 3.1 with \( (d, y) := (\beta_{m-1} - \alpha_{m-1}, \alpha_m) \) applies (by 4), so for all but one \( \beta_m \in f^{-1}(\beta_{m-1}) \), we have \( v(\beta_m - \alpha_m) = v(y) + v(\ell)/(\ell - 1) = \delta \), and for the other \( \beta_m \), we have \( v(\beta_m - \alpha_m) > \delta \). In other words, exactly one preimage of \( \beta_{m-1} \) is equivalent to \( \alpha_m \). Thus the map

\[
 f^{-m}(a) \longrightarrow f^{-(m-1)}(a) \times D_m \\
 x \mapsto (f(x), \text{equivalence class of } x)
\]

is a bijection. The multiplication action of \( \mu_\ell \) on \( f^{-m}(a) \) is compatible with the trivial action on \( f^{-(m-1)}(a) \); on the other hand, it induces an action on \( D_m \). The action on \( f^{-m}(a) \) is free (since the elements of \( f^{-m}(a) \) are nonzero), so the action on \( D_m \) is free. But \( \#D_m = \ell^m/\ell^{m-1} = \ell = \#\mu_\ell \), so \( D_m \) acts as a \( \mu_\ell \)-torsor, and its automorphism group as a torsor is \( \mu_\ell \) (for any group \( H \), the automorphism group of a left \( H \)-torsor is isomorphic to \( H \) acting on the right). Each element of \( G(n) \) acts trivially on \( \mu_\ell \), and hence acts as an automorphism of the \( \mu_\ell \)-torsor \( D_m \). Combining the bijections for \( m = 1, \ldots, n \) yields a Galois-equivariant bijection \( f^{-n}(a) \overset{\simeq}{\rightarrow} \prod_{i=1}^n D_i \), so \( G(n) \leq \prod_{i=1}^n \text{Aut}_{\mu_\ell}\text{-torsor}(D_i) = \mu_\ell^n \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})^n \).

(b) The group \( G(n)/I(n) \) is isomorphic to the Galois group of the residue field extension, which is cyclic. Its order divides the exponent of \( G(n) \), which by \( [a] \) is \( \ell \).

(c) If an element of \( \prod_{i=1}^n \text{Aut}_{\mu_\ell}\text{-torsor}(D_i) \) fixes one element of \( \prod_{i=1}^n D_i \), it fixes all elements. Thus the subgroup of \( G(n) \) fixing \( \alpha_n \) is trivial. By Galois theory, \( K(\alpha_n) = K_n \). \( \square \)

Recall that \( \nu_n = -\frac{\ell^{n+1}}{(\ell^{n+1}-1)} v(\ell) \), which is 0 in the tame case.

**Theorem 4.3.** Suppose that \( v(a) \geq v(c)/\ell \). In the tame case, if \( v(c) < 0 \), then \( K_{\infty} = K_1 \). In the wild case, if \( v(c) < \nu_n \), then \( K_{\infty} = K_n \); and if \( v(c) = \nu_n \), then \( K_{\infty} = K_{n+1} \) and \( K_{n+1}/K_n \) is unramified.

**Proof.** First suppose that \( v(c) < \nu_n \). Let \( \alpha_0 = a \), and for \( m \geq 1 \), let \( \alpha_m \) be an element of \( f^{-1}(\alpha_{m-1}) \) minimizing the distance to \( \alpha_{m-1} \). Let \( q_m = v(\alpha_m - \alpha_{m-1}) \). By Lemma 3.2, \( v(\alpha_m) = v(c)/\ell \) for all \( m \geq 1 \). Thus \( q_1 \geq v(c)/\ell \). For \( m \geq 1 \), Lemma 3.1 applied to \( d = \alpha_m - \alpha_{m-1} \) and \( y = \alpha_m \) implies

\[
 q_{m+1} = \begin{cases} 
 q_m/\ell, & \text{if } q_m \leq v(c) - \nu_\infty; \\
 q_m - (\ell - 1)v(c)/\ell - v(\ell), & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
\]  

(2)

If the first case in (2) holds for \( m = 1, 2, \ldots, n - 1 \), then \( q_{n-1} = \ell^{n-1}q_1 \geq \ell^{-n}v(c) > v(c) - \nu_\infty \) by definition of \( \nu_n \), so the second case holds for \( m = n \). Moreover, if the second case holds for a given \( m \), then we remain in the second case from then on, since \(- (\ell - 1)v(c)/\ell - v(\ell)\)
is positive under the hypothesis \( v(c) < \nu_n \leq \nu_\infty \). Thus the second case holds for all \( m \geq n \), and we have \( n = 1 \) in the same case. The final sentence of Lemma 3.1(b) implies that for all \( m \geq n \), we have \( \alpha_{m+1} \in K(d, y) \subseteq K_m \). By Theorem 4.2(c), this implies that \( K_{m+1} = K_m \) for all \( m \geq n \). Thus \( K_\infty = K_n \).

Now suppose instead that we are in the wild case and \( v(c) = \nu_n \). Then \( v(c) < \nu_{n+1} \), so the previous paragraph shows that \( K_\infty = K_{n+1} \). The arguments above show that if the first case holds for \( m = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1 \), then \( q_{n-1} \geq v(c) - \nu_\infty \). Thus we obtain \( K_{n+1} = K_n \) as before unless if \( q_{n-1} = v(c) - \nu_\infty \), in which case Lemma 3.1(c) shows that \( \alpha_{n+1} \) is unramified over \( K_n \) for each \( \alpha_{n+1} \in f^{-(n+1)}(a) \).

**Corollary 4.4.** If \( v(c) < \nu_\infty \), then \( K_\infty \) is a finite extension of \( K \).

**Proof.** Choose \( n \) such that \( v(c) < \nu_n \). By Lemma 3.2, there exists an \( m \geq 1 \) such that every \( \alpha \in f^{-m}(a) \) satisfies \( v(\alpha) = v(c)/\ell \). Apply Theorem 4.3 over \( K_m \) with each \( \alpha \) in place of \( a \), and take the compositum of the resulting finite extensions.

**Theorem 4.5.** Suppose that \( \ell = p \) and \( \mu_p \subseteq K \).

(a) Suppose \( \nu_{n-1} < v(c) < \nu_n \) and \( v(a) > v(c)/p \). If \( v(c) \notin pv(K^\times) \), then \( G(\infty) = G(n) = I(\infty) = I(n) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^n \). More generally, if \( p^r \) is the largest power of \( p \) such that \( v(c) \in p^r v(K^\times) \), then \( p^{n-r} \leq \#I(n) \leq \#G(n) = \#G(\infty) \leq p^n \).

(b) If \( v(c) = \nu_n \) and \( v(a) \geq v(c)/p \), then \( G(\infty) = G(n+1) \leq (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{n+1} \), \( I(\infty) = I(n) \leq (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^n \), and \( G(\infty)/I(\infty) \leq \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \).

**Proof.**

(a) In the proof of Theorem 4.3, we have \( v(\alpha_1) = v(c)/p \), so \( q_1 = v(\alpha_1 - a) = v(c)/p \). Then by (2), \( q_m = v(c)/p^m \) for \( m = 1, \ldots, n \), since the hypothesis \( \nu_{n-1} < v(c) \) implies that \( v(c)/p^{m-1} \leq v(c) - \nu_\infty \) for \( m \leq n \). In particular, \( \alpha_n - \alpha_{n-1} \) is an element of \( K_n \) whose valuation is \( q_n = v(c)/p^n \), so the ramification index \( (v(K^\times)_I : v(K^\times)) \) is at least \( p^{n-r} \). Thus \( \#I(n) \geq p^{n-r} \). On the other hand, \( I(n) \leq G(n) \leq (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^n \) by Theorem 4.2(a). In particular, if \( r = 0 \), then equality holds. In any case, \( K_\infty = K_n \) by Theorem 4.3.

(b) By Theorem 4.3, \( K_\infty = K_{n+1} \), and \( K_{n+1}/K_n \) is unramified. Then \( G(\infty) = G(n+1) \leq (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{n+1} \) by Theorem 4.2(a), and \( I(\infty) = I(n+1) = I(n) \leq G(n) \leq (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^n \). Finally, \( G(\infty)/I(\infty) = G(n+1)/I(n+1) \leq \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \) by Theorem 4.2(b).

5. Special negative valuation: \( v(c) = -p/(p-1) \)

In this section and the next, we consider the wild case.

5.1. Galois groups and inertia groups.

**Theorem 5.1.** Suppose that \( \ell = p \) and \( v(c) = -p/(p-1) \) and \( 0 \leq n < \infty \). Let \( b \in K \) be a fixed point of \( f(z) \).

(a) If \( \mu_p \subseteq K \), then \( G(n)/I(n) \) is a cyclic \( p \)-group.

(b) The group \( I(n) \) is a \( p \)-group.

(c) If \( v(a) > v(c) \), then \( I(n) \) is an elementary abelian \( p \)-group of order dividing \( p^n \).

(d) If \( \mu_p \subseteq K \), then \( G(\infty)/I(\infty) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p \).

(e) If \( v(a - b) < 0 \), then \( I(\infty) \) is an infinite \( p \)-group; if, moreover, \( v(a) > v(c) \), then \( I(\infty) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\infty \).

(f) If \( v(a - b) \geq 0 \), then \( I(\infty) = \{1\} \).
Proof. Let $k_n$ be the residue field of $K_n$.

(a) The group $G(n)/I(n)$ is isomorphic to the group $\text{Gal}(k_n/k)$, a Galois group of an extension of finite fields, so it is cyclic. By Lemma 3.3, $G(n)$ is a $p$-group, so $G(n)/I(n)$ is a $p$-group too.

(b) Since $v(c) = -p/(p-1)$, the ramification index of $K$ over $\mathbb{Q}_p$ is divisible by $p - 1$. On the other hand, $\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_p)/\mathbb{Q}_p$ is tamely ramified with ramification index $p - 1$, so Abhyankar's lemma implies that $K(\mu_p)/K$ is unramified. Apply Lemma 3.3 with $K(\mu_p)$ in place of $K$.

(c) By Lemma 3.2 if $m \geq 1$ and $\alpha \in f^{-m}(a)$, then $v(\alpha) = -1/(p - 1)$.

Next we prove by induction that for $n \geq 1$, for any distinct $\alpha_n, \beta_n \in f^{-n}(a)$, we have $v(\alpha_n - \beta_n) = 0$. If $n = 1$, then $\alpha_1 = \zeta \beta_1$ for some $p$th root of unity, so $v(\alpha_1 - \beta_1) = v(\zeta - 1) + v(\beta_1) = 1/(p - 1) - 1/(p - 1) = 0$. Now suppose that $n > 1$ and the result holds for all $m < n$. Given distinct $\alpha_n, \beta_n \in f^{-n}(a)$, let $\alpha_{n-1} = f(\alpha_n)$ and $\beta_{n-1} = f(\beta_n)$.

Let $d = \alpha_{n-1} - \beta_{n-1}$ and $x = \beta_n$, so $v(y) = -1/(p - 1)$. If $\alpha_{n-1} \neq \beta_{n-1}$, then $v(d) = 0$ by the inductive hypothesis, and $pv(y) + p/(p - 1) = 0$ too, so Lemma 3.1(a) implies that $v(\alpha_n - \beta_n) = v(d)/p = 0$. If $\alpha_{n-1} = \beta_{n-1}$, then $d = 0$, so Lemma 3.1(b) applies: the solution to $f(x) - f(\beta_n) = 0$ closest to $\beta_n$ is $\beta_n$ itself, and the other solutions satisfy $v(x - \beta_n) = v(y) + 1/(p - 1) = 0$; in particular, $v(\alpha_n - \beta_n) = 0$. In both cases, the inductive step is completed.

Let $n \geq 1$. Let $\mathcal{O}_n$ be the closed unit disk in $K_n$ centered at 0; let $\mathfrak{m}$ be the open unit disk in $K_n$ centered at 0. Let $D_n$ be the closed unit disk in $K_n$ containing $f^{-n}(a)$; by the previous paragraph, such a disk exists and the natural map $f^{-n}(a) \to D_n/\mathfrak{m}$ is injective. Injectivity implies that $G(n)$ acts faithfully on $D_n/\mathfrak{m}$. At this point, we use an argument parallel to that of the proof of Theorem 1.2(b), but using $I(n)$ instead of $G(n)$. The translation action of $\mathcal{O}_n/\mathfrak{m}$ on $D_n/\mathfrak{m}$ makes $D_n/\mathfrak{m}$ an $\mathcal{O}_n/\mathfrak{m}$-torsor, and this action is $G(n)$-equivariant and hence $I(n)$-equivariant. Since $I(n)$ acts trivially on the residue field $\mathcal{O}_n/\mathfrak{m}$, we obtain a homomorphism $I(n) \to \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}_n/\mathfrak{m}}(D_n/\mathfrak{m}) \simeq \mathcal{O}_n/\mathfrak{m}$. Since $G(n)$ acts faithfully on $D_n/\mathfrak{m}$, this homomorphism is injective, so $I(n)$ is an elementary abelian $p$-group. The number of translations mapping $f^{-n}(a)$ mod $\mathfrak{m}$ to itself is at most $\#f^{-n}(a) = p^n$, so $\#I(n) \leq p^n$.

(d) Fix $\alpha_n \in f^{-n}(a)$. As $\beta_n$ varies over $f^{-n}(a)$, the argument in the proof of (c) shows that the differences $\alpha_n - \beta_n$ have valuation 0 and have distinct residues. Thus $\#k_n \geq p^n$. Hence $k_\infty$ is infinite, so $G(\infty)/I(\infty)$ is infinite. On the other hand, by (a), $G(\infty)/I(\infty)$ is an inverse limit of cyclic $p$-groups. Thus $G(\infty)/I(\infty) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$.

(e) By [11] and [12], it will suffice to show that $I(\infty)$ is infinite. Examining the Newton polygon of $x^p - x - c$ shows that $v(b) = v(c)/p = -1/(p - 1)$. We prove by induction that for each $n \geq 0$, each $\alpha_n \in f^{-n}(a)$ satisfies $v(\alpha_n - b) = v(a - b)/p^n < 0$. The $n = 0$ case is given. Now suppose that $n \geq 1$, and the $n - 1$ case for $\alpha_{n-1} = f(\alpha_n)$ is known. Since $pv(b) - v_{\infty} = 0$, applying Lemma 3.1(a) with $(d, y) := (\alpha_{n-1} - b, b)$ and $f(b) = b$ shows that the solution $\alpha_n$ to $f(x) = \alpha_{n-1}$ satisfies $v(\alpha_n - b) = v(\alpha_{n-1} - b)/p = v(a - b)/p^n < 0$, which completes the inductive step. Thus the ramification index of $K(f^{-n}(a))$ over $K$ tends to $\infty$ as $n \to \infty$.

(f) Let $\epsilon = a - b$, so $v(\epsilon) \geq 0$. Then $v(a) = v(b + \epsilon) = v(b) = -1/(p - 1)$. Define conjugate polynomials $g(x) = f(z + b) - b$ and $h(y) = g(y + \epsilon) - \epsilon = f(z + a) - a \in K[y]$. Then

$$g(x) = x^p + \left(\frac{p}{1}\right)x^{p-1} + \cdots + \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)x^{p-1}.$$
Since \( v(b) = -1/(p-1) \), the polynomial \( g(x) \) has \( p \)-adically integral coefficients, and \( g'(x) \) reduces modulo the maximal ideal to a nonzero constant. Since \( v(\epsilon) \geq 0 \), the polynomial \( h(y) \) has the same properties. Thus adjoining solutions to \( h(y) = e \) for any \( p \)-adically integral \( e \) yields an unramified extension. By induction, \( K(h^{-n}(0)) \) is unramified over \( K \) for every \( n \geq 0 \). Conjugating back shows that \( K(f^{-n}(a)) \) is unramified over \( K \) for every \( n \geq 0 \). Thus \( I(\infty) = \{1\} \).

**Corollary 5.2.** If \( \ell = p \) and \( v(c) = -p/(p-1) \), then \( [K_\infty : K] = \infty \).

**Proof.** We may replace \( K \) by \( K(\mu_p) \). Then Theorem 5.1 implies that \( G(\infty)/I(\infty) \) is infinite, so \( [K_\infty : K] = \#G(\infty) = \infty \). \( \square \)

**Example 5.3.** Let \( p = 2 \) and \( c = -1/4 \), so \( f(z) \) is \( z^2 + 1/4 \). If \( a = 1/2 \), then \( K_\infty \) is the unramified \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-extension of \( \mathbb{Q}_2 \).

5.2. **Ramification group lemmas.** We will prove results about the ramification groups of \( G(\infty) \), but first we need some lemmas about ramification groups in general. Let \( K \) be a local field, and let \( L \) be a finite Galois extension of \( K \) with Galois group \( G \). Let \( v_L : L \to \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\} \) be the discrete valuation normalized to have value group \( \mathbb{Z} \). Let \( \mathcal{O}_L := \{x \in L : v_L(x) \geq 0\} \).

In numbering ramification groups, we follow the conventions of \cite{Ser79, IV}, which we now recall. For \( u \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \), define the \( u \)th ramification group in the lower numbering by

\[
G_u := \{\sigma \in G : v_L(\sigma x - x) \geq u + 1 \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{O}_L\}.
\]

Define the Herbrand bijection \( \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \) by

\[
\phi_{L/K}(u) := \int_0^u \frac{dt}{(G_0 : G_t)}.
\]

For \( w \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \), define the \( w \)th ramification group \( G^w \) in the upper numbering so that \( G^{\phi_{L/K}(u)} = G_u \). The lower and upper numbering ramification groups define descending filtrations of \( G \). The upper numbering is compatible with quotients, so for an infinite Galois extension \( L \) of \( K \) with Galois group, we may define \( G^w := \lim_{\rightarrow} \text{Gal}(L'/K)^w \) as \( L' \) ranges over the finite Galois extensions of \( K \) contained in \( L \).

**Lemma 5.4.** Let \( K \) be a local field, and let \( L \) be a Galois extension of \( K \) with Galois group \( G \). Then \( \bigcap_{w \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}} G^w = \{1\} \).

**Proof.** The intersection maps to the corresponding intersection for each finite Galois subextension \( L' \) over \( K \), so we may assume that \( L \) is finite over \( K \). Suppose that \( \sigma \in \bigcap_{w \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}} G^w \). The \( G^w \) are the same as the \( G_u \), only renumbered, so \( \sigma \in G_u \) for all \( u \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \). Then for any \( x \in \mathcal{O}_L \), we have \( v_L(\sigma x - x) \geq u + 1 \) for all \( u \), so \( \sigma x = x \). The field generated by the elements of \( \mathcal{O}_L \) is \( L \), so \( \sigma = 1 \) in \( \text{Gal}(L/K) \). \( \square \)

**Lemma 5.5.** Consider a tower of extensions \( K \subseteq L \subseteq M \) of a local field \( K \). Suppose that \( M \) is Galois over \( K \) and \( L \) is finite over \( K \). Let \( G = \text{Gal}(M/K) \) and \( H = \text{Gal}(M/L) \). Then \( G^w \cap H \leq H^w \) for all \( w \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \).

**Proof.** If the result holds for every finite Galois extension of \( K \) lying between \( L \) and \( M \), then the result holds for \( M \) too. Thus we may assume that \( M \) is finite over \( K \). Lower numbering
ramification groups are compatible with subgroups; that is, \( H_t = G_t \cap H \) for all \( t \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \). Thus \( H_0/H_1 \) injects into \( G_0/G_1 \), so
\[
\phi_{M/K}(u) := \int_0^u \frac{dt}{(G_0 : G_t)} \leq \int_0^u \frac{dt}{(H_0 : H_t)} =: \phi_{M/L}(u).
\]
Since the groups \( G^w \) decrease as \( w \) increases, for \( s \in H \), this implies
\[
s \in G^{\phi_{M/L}(u)} \Leftrightarrow s \in G^{\phi_{M/K}(u)} \Leftrightarrow s \in G_u \Leftrightarrow s \in H_u \Leftrightarrow s \in H^{\phi_{M/L}(u)}.
\]
Hence \( G^{\phi_{M/L}(u)} \cap H \leq H^{\phi_{M/L}(u)} \). As \( u \) ranges over \([0, \infty)\), so does \( \phi_{M/L}(u) \); thus \( G^w \cap H \leq H^w \) for all \( w \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \).

**Corollary 5.6.** With notation as in Lemma 5.5, suppose in addition that \( L \) is Galois over \( K \). Let \( w \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \). If \( H^w \) and \((G/H)^w \) are \( \{1\} \), then \( G^w = \{1\} \).

**Proof.** The surjection \( G \twoheadrightarrow G/H \) maps \( G^w \) into \((G/H)^w = \{1\} \), so \( G^w \leq H \). In particular, \( G^w = G^w \cap H \), which by Lemma 5.5 is contained in \( H^w = \{1\} \).

**Corollary 5.7.** With notation as in Lemma 5.5 if \( H^w = \{1\} \) for some \( w \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \), then \( G^w = \{1\} \) for some \( w' \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \).

**Proof.** By Lemma 5.5, \( G^w \cap H \leq H^w = \{1\} \). Thus \( G^w \) injects into the finite set \( G/H \), so \( G^w \) is finite. The groups \( G^{w'} \) are decreasing and their intersection is \( \{1\} \) by Lemma 5.4, so \( G^{w'} = \{1\} \) for some \( w' \geq w \).

**Lemma 5.8.** Let \( K \) be a local field. Let \( L_1, \ldots, L_n \) be Galois extensions of \( K \). Let \( w \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \). If \( \text{Gal}(L_i/K)^w = \{1\} \) for all \( i \), then \( \text{Gal}(L_1 \cdots L_n/K)^w = \{1\} \).

**Proof.** The injection \( \text{Gal}(L_1 \cdots L_n/K) \hookrightarrow \prod_{i=1}^n \text{Gal}(L_i/K) \) maps \( \text{Gal}(L_1 \cdots L_n/K)^w \) into each \( \text{Gal}(L_i/K)^w \).

**Lemma 5.9.** Let \( L \supseteq K \) be a finite Galois extension of local fields with Galois group \( G \). Then for any \( u \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \), the \( u \)-th upper and lower numbering ramification groups satisfy \( G^u \leq G_u \).

**Proof.** We have \( \phi_{L/K}(u) := \int_0^u \frac{dt}{(G_0 : G_u)} \leq \int_0^u dt = u \), so \( G^u \leq G^{\phi_{L/K}(u)} = G_u \).

### 5.3. Ramification groups of iterates.

We now return to the study of the Galois groups of \( f^n(z) - a \). The following theorem shows that when \( v(c) = -p/(p-1) \), the ramification in \( K_{\infty}/K \) is not very deep. Let \( b \in \overline{K} \) be a fixed point of \( f \). Let \( e \) be the ramification index of \( K \) over \( \mathbb{Q}_p \).

**Theorem 5.10.** Suppose that \( \ell = p \). If \( v(c) = -p/(p-1) \), then there exists \( w \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \) such that \( G(\infty)^w = \{1\} \).

**Proof.** First suppose that \( v(a) > v(c) \) and \( b \in K \). If \( v(a-b) \geq 0 \), then Theorem 5.1[f] implies that \( I(\infty) = \{1\} \), so the conclusion holds trivially, with \( w = 0 \). So assume that \( v(a-b) < 0 \). Let \( n \geq 1 \). We have \( v_{K_n} = (e \# I(n))v \). By Theorem 5.1[e], we have \( \# I(n) \leq p^n \). Let \( K' \) be the maximal unramified extension of \( K \) in \( K_n \). Fix \( \alpha \in f^{-n}(a) \), and let \( \gamma = \alpha - b \). Let \( \sigma \in I(n) = \text{Gal}(K_n/K') \) be such that \( \sigma \neq 1 \). The proof of Theorem 5.1[e] shows that \( \sigma \) acts on \( f^{-n}(a) \) without fixed points. In particular, \( \sigma \alpha \neq \alpha \), and the proof of Theorem 5.1[e] shows that \( v(\sigma \alpha - \alpha) = 0 \). Since \( \sigma \) fixes \( b \), we obtain \( v(\sigma \gamma - \gamma) = 0 \). The proof of Theorem 5.1[e] shows
Remark which Gal(\(K/W\)) is obtained from Gal(\(K_v\)).

Example 5.11 shows that the analogue for arboreal representations does not hold. [Sen72, §4] relates the ramification filtration to the “Lie filtration” of \(G\). Theorem 5.10 and Example 5.11 show that the analogue for arboreal representations does not hold.

6. Insufficiently negative valuation

Theorem 6.1. If \(\ell = p\) and \(-p/(p-1) < v(c) < 0\), then \(K_\infty/K\) is infinitely wildly ramified.

Proof. By Lemma 3.2 we may replace \(a\) by some iterated preimage to assume that \(v(\alpha) = v(c)/p\) for every \(\alpha \in f^{-n}(a)\) for every \(n \geq 0\). Let \(\alpha_0 = a\), and inductively choose \(\alpha_n \in f^{-1}(\alpha_{n-1})\) for \(n \geq 1\). Let \(\beta_0 = a\), and inductively choose \(\beta_n \in f^{-1}(\beta_{n-1})\) such that \(\beta_1 \neq \alpha_1\). Let \(d_n = \beta_n - \alpha_n\). By Lemma 3.1(b) with \(d = 0\) and \(y = \alpha_1\), we have \(v(d_1) = v(c)/p + 1/(p-1) > 0\).

We prove by induction that \(v(d_n) = v(d_1)/p^{n-1}\) for all \(n \geq 1\). The base case \(n = 1\) is trivial. Suppose that \(n \geq 2\) and the result holds for \(n - 1\). Let \(d = d_{n-1}\) and \(y = \alpha_n\). By the inductive hypothesis,

\[v(d) = v(d_1)/p^{n-2} \leq v(d_1) = v(c)/p + 1/(p-1) < p(v(c)/p + 1/(p-1)) = pv(y)/p + (p-1).

By Lemma 3.1(b), \(v(d_n) = v(d)/p = v(d_{n-1})/p = v(d_1)/p^{n-1}\).

Thus the exponent of \(p\) in the denominator of \(v(d_n)\) eventually grows with \(n\), so \(K_\infty/K\) is infinitely wildly ramified.

We next bound the growth rate of \([K_n : K]\). We have \(\mu_p \subseteq K_1\). For \(r \geq 1\), the field \(K_{r+1}\) is obtained from \(K_r\) by adjoining the \(p^r\)th roots of the \(p^r\) numbers \(\alpha + c\) as \(\alpha_r\) ranges over the elements of \(f^{-r}(a)\). By Kummer theory, \([K_{r+1} : K_r]\) equals the order of the subgroup generated by these \(p^r\) numbers in \(K_r^\times/K_r^{p\times}\). In particular, \([K_{r+1} : K_r] \leq p^r\) for all \(r \geq 1\).
Similarly, \( [K_1 : K(\mu_p)] \leq p \). Also, \( [K(\mu_p) : K] \leq p - 1 \). Taking the product yields the “trivial” bound

\[
[K_n : K] \leq B_n := (p - 1) \prod_{m=0}^{n-1} p^{\rho_m}.
\]

(If \( p = 2 \), then \( B_n = \# \text{Aut} T_n \). For any \( p \), a \( p \)-Sylow subgroup of \( \text{Aut} T_n \) has order \( \prod_{m=0}^{n-1} p^{\rho_m} \).)

The next theorem shows that when \( v(c) < 0 \), we can do better.

**Theorem 6.2.** Suppose that \( \ell = p \) and \( v(c) < 0 \). Let \( r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \) be such that \( v(c) < -p/(p^r - 1)(p - 1) \). Then there exists a constant \( C \) depending on \( p, r, \) and \( v(a) \) such that

\[
[K_n : K] \leq CB_n^{1 - p^{-r}}.
\]

We will need the following lemma in the proof of Theorem 6.2.

**Lemma 6.3.** Let \( \epsilon \in K \). If \( v(\epsilon) > p/(p - 1) \), then \( 1 + \epsilon \in K^{\times p} \).

**Proof.** The hypothesis implies that the Newton polygon of \((1 + x)^p - (1 + \epsilon)\) has vertices at \((0, v(\epsilon)), (1, 1), \) and \((p, 0)\). The width 1 segment at the left corresponds to a root in \( K \). \( \square \)

**Proof of Theorem 6.2.** By Lemma 3.2 there exists \( m_0 \geq 1 \) such that if \( m \geq m_0 \) and \( \alpha_m \in f^{-m}(a) \), then \( v(\alpha_m) \geq v(c) \).

We will show that if \( m \geq m_0 \) and \( \alpha_m \in f^{-m}(a) \), then

\[
(\alpha_m + c) \in K_{m+r}^{\times p}.
\]

The numbers \( \alpha_m + c \) in the product are the zeros of the polynomial \( f^r(x - c) - \alpha_m \). Their product is \((-1)^{p^r} \) times the constant term, so the product is

\[
(-1)^{p^r} (f^r(c - \alpha_m) = (-1)^{p^r} (t^p - c - \alpha_m) = ((-1)^{p^{r-1}} t)^p \left( 1 - \frac{c + \alpha_m}{tp} \right),
\]

where \( t := f^{r-1}(-c) \). We have \( v(t) = p^{r-1} v(c) \), and \( v(c + \alpha_m) \geq v(c) \), so \( v((c + \alpha_m)/tp) \geq v(c) - p^r v(c) > p/(p - 1) \). Thus, by Lemma 6.3 over \( K_{m+r} \), the second factor on the right of \( (\overline{c}) \) is a \( p \)th power in \( K_{m+r} \) (as is the first). This proves (3).

Applying (3) to the \( p^m \) numbers \( \alpha_m \in f^{-m}(a) \) shows that \( K_{m+r+1} \) is obtained from \( K_{m+r} \) by adjoining at most \( p^m(p^r - 1) \) roots, so

\[
[K_{m+r+1} : K_{m+r}] \leq p^m(p^r - 1) = p^{m+r}(1 - p^{-r}).
\]

Thus if \( n \geq m_0 + r \),

\[
[K_n : K] \leq [K_{m_0+r} : K] \prod_{s=m_0+r}^{n-1} p^{s(1-p^{-r})} \leq CB_n^{1-p^{-r}}
\]

for some \( C \). \( \square \)
7. Nonnegative valuation

In this section, we treat the tame and wild cases in which \( v(c) \geq 0 \). Fix an arbitrary sequence of preimages \((\alpha_n)_{n \geq 0}\) defined by \( a_0 := a \) and \( \alpha_{n+1} = f^{-1}(\alpha_n) \) for \( n \geq 0 \). Let \((\beta_n)_{n \geq 0}\) be another such sequence; if \( a + c \neq 0 \), we may assume that \( \beta_1 \neq \alpha_1 \). For \( n \geq 0 \), let \( d_n = \alpha_n - \beta_n \).

**Lemma 7.1.** If \( v(c) \geq 0 \) and \( \min\{v(a), v(c)\} \neq 0 \) and \( v(a) \neq v(c) \), then \( K_{\infty}/K \) is infinitely ramified, and infinitely wildly ramified if \( \ell = p \).

**Proof.** We prove \( v(\alpha_n) = \min\{v(a), v(c)\}/\ell^n < v(c) \) for \( n \geq 1 \) by induction. The equation \( \alpha_1^d - c = a \) implies that \( v(\alpha_1) = \min\{v(a), v(c)\}/\ell < v(c) \). If the statement is true for a given \( n \geq 1 \), then the equation \( \alpha_{n+1}^d - c = \alpha_n \) implies \( v(\alpha_{n+1}) = v(\alpha_n)/\ell \), so \( v(\alpha_{n+1}) = \min\{v(a), v(c)\}/\ell^{n+1} < v(c) \). Thus the denominator of \( v(\alpha_n) \) tends to infinity, so \( K_{\infty}/K \) is infinitely ramified. If \( \ell = p \), the proof shows also that the exponent of \( p \) in the denominator of \( v(\alpha_n) \) tends to infinity. \( \square \)

7.1. Wild case. We now assume that \( \ell = p \) (and \( v(c) \geq 0 \)). The following will be used to prove the main result of this section, Theorem 7.3

**Lemma 7.2.** If \( \ell = p \) and \( v(c) > 0 \) and \( v(a) = 0 \), then \( K_{\infty}/K \) is infinitely wildly ramified.

**Proof.** By induction, \( v(\beta_n) = 0 \) for all \( n \geq 0 \). Now \( v(d_1) = 1/(p-1) \) by Lemma 3.1(b) with \( d = d_0 \) and \( y = \beta_1 \). Then \( v(d_n) = v(d_1)/p^{n-1} \) by induction on \( n \), by Lemma 3.1(a) with \( d = d_{n-1} \) and \( y = \beta_n \). Thus the denominator of \( v(d_n) \) tends to infinity, so \( K_{\infty}/K \) is infinitely ramified. \( \square \)

**Theorem 7.3.** If \( \ell = p \) and \( v(c) \geq 0 \), then \( K_{\infty}/K \) is infinitely wildly ramified.

**Proof.** Lemmas 7.1 and 7.2 apply unless \( v(a) > v(c) = 0 \) or \( v(a) = v(c) \geq 0 \). If \( v(a) > v(c) = 0 \), then \( v(\alpha_1) = 0 \). So by replacing \( a \) by \( \alpha_1 \) if necessary, we may assume that \( v(a) = 0 \). Thus it remains to consider the case \( v(a) = v(c) \geq 0 \). If any iterated preimage of \( a \) has valuation not \( v(c) \), then we reduce to a previous case.

So assume that \( v(\alpha_n) = v(c) \) for all \( n \geq 1 \). We now prove \( v(d_n) = (v(c) + 1/(p-1))/p^{n-1} \) for \( n \geq 1 \) by induction. First, \( v(d_0) = \infty > \ell v(\alpha_1) - v_\infty \), so Lemma 3.1(b) implies \( v(d_1) = v(\alpha_1) + 1/(p-1) = v(c) + 1/(p-1) > 0 \). Next, for \( n \geq 2 \), by the inductive hypothesis, \( v(d_{n-1}) \leq v(d_1) \leq pv(c) + p/(p-1) = pv(\alpha_n) - v_\infty \), so Lemma 3.1(a) implies \( v(d_n) = v(d_{n-1})/p = v(c+1/(p-1))/p^{n-1} \). Thus the denominator of \( v(d_n) \) tends to infinity, so \( K_{\infty}/K \) is infinitely ramified. \( \square \)

7.2. Tame case. We now assume that \( p \nmid \ell \) (and \( v(c) \geq 0 \)). Lemma 7.1 handles the case where \( v(a) < 0 \), and Theorem 7.4 below will handle the case where \( v(a) \geq 0 \). Let \( m \) (resp. \( m_s \)) be the maximal ideal of the valuation ring \( \mathcal{O} \) in \( K \) (resp. \( K_s \)). We say that an element \( u \in K \) is periodic (for \( f \)) if \( f^n(u) = u \) for some \( n \geq 1 \), preperiodic if \( f^m(u) \) is periodic for some \( m \geq 0 \), and strictly preperiodic if it is preperiodic but not periodic. If \( u \) is periodic, its period is the smallest \( n \geq 1 \) such that \( f^n(u) = u \). We say that \( u, w \in K \) are in a single cycle if \( u \) is periodic and there exists \( n \geq 0 \) such that \( f^n(u) = w \). These notions apply also to dynamics of a polynomial map defined over the residue field \( \mathcal{O}/m \).

**Theorem 7.4.** Suppose that \( v(c) \geq 0 \) and \( v(a) \geq 0 \).

(a) If \( a \mod m \) is not in the forward orbit of \( 0 \mod m \), then \( K_{\infty}/K \) is unramified.
(b) If $0 \mod m$ is strictly preperiodic mod $m$, then the ramification index of $K_\infty/K$ divides \(\ell\).
(c) If $0$ and $a$ are in a single cycle, then $K_\infty/K$ is unramified.
(d) If $0 \mod m$ and $a \mod m$ are in a single cycle mod $m$, but $0$ and $a$ are not both in a single cycle, then $K_\infty/K$ is infinitely ramified.

Parts (a) and (b) cover the cases where $0 \mod m$ and $a \mod m$ are not in a single cycle mod $m$. Parts (c) and (d) cover the cases where $0 \mod m$ and $a \mod m$ are in a single cycle mod $m$.

**Proof.**

(a) In taking preimages, we are taking $\ell$th roots of units only, so the extensions are unramified.

(b) For any sequence of preimages $(\alpha_n)_{n \geq 0}$ with $\alpha_0 = a$ and $f(\alpha_{n+1}) = \alpha_n$ for all $n$, the extension $K(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \ldots)$ is tamely ramified of ramification index dividing \(\ell\), since the sequence is obtained by adjoining $\ell$th roots of elements such that at most one of them is a non-unit (otherwise $0 \mod m$ would have been periodic). The field $K_\infty$ is the compositum of these extensions, so it too is tamely ramified of ramification index dividing \(\ell\).

(c) Let $C_0$ be the cycle containing $0$ and $a$. Let $n$ be the length of $C_0$. Let $\alpha \in C_0$. Then $\left( f^n \right)'(\alpha) = \prod_{\beta \in C_0} f'(\beta) = 0$, since $f'(0) = 0$. Thus the derivative of $f^n(x) - x$ at $\alpha$ is $-1$. By Hensel’s lemma, $f^n(x) - x$ has a unique solution in $K$ congruent to $\alpha$ modulo $m$. This applies to every $\alpha \in C_0$, so the elements of $C_0$ are distinct modulo $m$.

Suppose that $\beta \in K_s$ is an iterated preimage of $a$. Since $a \in C_0$, there exists $r \geq 0$ such that $f^r(\beta) \in C_0$. We claim that if $\beta \equiv \alpha \pmod{m_s}$ for some $\alpha \in C_0$, then $\beta = \alpha$. We use induction on $r$. If $r = 0$, then $\beta \in C_0$, so the previous paragraph implies that $\beta = \alpha$. If $r \geq 1$, then the inductive hypothesis applied to $f(\beta) \equiv f(\alpha) \pmod{m_s}$ shows that $f(\beta) = f(\alpha)$. Then $\beta = \zeta \alpha$ for some $\ell$th root of unity $\zeta$. Thus $\zeta \alpha \equiv \alpha \pmod{m_s}$. If $\alpha \equiv 0 \pmod{m_s}$, then $\alpha = 0$ by the previous paragraph, so $\beta = \zeta \alpha = 0 = \alpha$. Otherwise, $\zeta \equiv 1 \pmod{m_s}$. Since $\ell \neq \text{char } k$, this implies $\zeta = 1$, so $\beta = \alpha$.

The claim shows that all iterated preimages of $a$ that are $0 \mod m_s$ are equal to $0$. Thus in taking preimages, we are taking $\ell$th roots of units and $0$ only, so the extensions are unramified.

(d) Let $m$ be the period of $0 \mod m$. The derivative of $f^m(x) - x$ mod $m$ at $0$ is $-1$, a unit, so by Hensel’s lemma, there is a unique solution to $f^m(x) - x = 0$ that reduces to $0 \mod m$; call it $b$.

Since $0 \mod m$ and $a \mod m$ are in a single cycle mod $m$, we may choose a sequence of preimages $(\alpha_n)$ (with $\alpha_0 = a$ and $f(\alpha_{n+1}) = \alpha_n$ for all $n$) such that $\alpha_n \equiv 0 \pmod{m_s}$ for infinitely many $n$. We may assume that no $\alpha_n$ is equal to $b$: choose the $\alpha_i$ one at a time, and if one of them is $b$, multiply it by a nontrivial $\ell$th root of unity before proceeding; this changes it because if $\alpha_i = b = 0$, then $0$ is periodic (since $b$ is) and $a$ is in the forward orbit of $0$ (since $a$ is in the forward orbit of $\alpha_i$, but then $0$ and $a$ would belong to a single cycle, contradicting our hypothesis). Let $\beta_0, \beta_1, \ldots$ be all the numbers in the sequence $(\alpha_n)$ that are $0 \mod m_s$. Thus $f^m(\beta_{i+1}) = \beta_i$ for all $i$.

We now prove that $0 < v(\beta_{i+1} - b) < v(\beta_i - b)$ for all $i$. Let $\epsilon = \beta_{i+1} - b$, so $v(\epsilon) > 0$. We have $f^m(b + x) = b + (f^m)'(b)x + x^2R(x)$ for some $R(x) \in \mathcal{O}[x]$. Substituting $x = \epsilon$ yields $\beta_i = b + (f^m)'(b)\epsilon$ (mod $\epsilon^2$). Since $v(\epsilon) > 0$ and $v((f^m)'(b)) > 0$, we obtain $v(\beta_i - b) = v(\epsilon) = v(\beta_{i+1} - b) > 0$.

This holds for all $i$, so $K_\infty/K$ is infinitely ramified.
8. Real case

Theorem 8.1. Let $f(z) = z^k - c \in \mathbb{R}[z]$ for some $k \geq 2$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}^\times$. Given $a \in \mathbb{R}$, define $K_\infty$ as before.

(a) If $k > 2$, then $K_\infty = \mathbb{C}$.
(b) If $k = 2$ and $c < 2$, then $K_\infty = \mathbb{C}$.
(c) If $k = 2$ and $c \geq 2$, then $K_\infty$ is $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$ according to whether $a \in [-c, c^2 - c]$ or not, respectively.

Proof. 

(a) There exists a nonzero $\beta \in f^{-n}(a)$ for some $n \geq 1$, since otherwise $c = 0$. Then for every $k$th root of unity $\zeta$, we have $\zeta^k \in f^{-n}(a)$ too, so $\zeta = (\zeta^k)/\beta \in K_\infty$. Thus $K_\infty = \mathbb{C}$.

(b) Let $h(x) := \sqrt{c + x}$; if $x \geq -c$, take the nonnegative square root. Thus $h(x)$ is strictly increasing on $[-c, \infty)$.

Suppose that $K_\infty = \mathbb{R}$. Then all iterated preimages are real, and in particular, $h^n(a) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ for all $n \geq 0$. Also $c - h^n(a) \geq 0$ for all $n \geq 1$, since $-h^n(a)$ is a preimage of $h^{n-1}(a)$, and $h(-h^n(a)) = \sqrt{c - h^n(a)}$. In particular, $c \geq c - h(a) \geq 0$. We assumed $c \neq 0$, so $c > 0$.

The fixed points of $f(z)$ are $L := (1 + \sqrt{1 + 4c})/2 > 0$ and $L' := (1 - \sqrt{1 + 4c})/2 < 0$.

The only solution to $h(x) = x$ in $[0, \infty)$ is $L$, and $h$ is strictly increasing, and $h(0) > 0$ and $h(x) < x$ for large positive $x$; thus $x \leq h(x) \leq L$ for $x \in [0, L]$, and $L \leq h(x) \leq x$ for $x \in [L, \infty)$. In particular, $(h^n(a))_{n\geq 1}$ is a bounded monotonic sequence, so it converges. The limit is a nonnegative fixed point of $h$, so the limit is $L$.

On the other hand, the hypothesis $c < 2$ implies that $L > c$, so $h^n(a) > c$ for sufficiently large $n$. This contradicts $c - h^n(a) \geq 0$.

(c) The hypothesis $c \geq 2$ implies that $L \leq c$. If $x \in [-c, c^2 - c]$, then $c + x \geq 0$, and $\sqrt{c + x} \leq \sqrt{c^2} = c$; also, $c \leq c^2 - c$, so $h(x), -h(x) \in [-c, c^2 - c]$. Iterating shows that if $a \in [-c, c^2 - c]$, then all iterated preimages are real, so $K_\infty = \mathbb{R}$.

If $a < c$, then $h(a) \notin \mathbb{R}$, so $K_\infty = \mathbb{C}$.

If $a > c^2 - c$, then $h(a) > c$, contradicting the inequality $c - h(a) \geq 0$ derived in the proof of (b), so $K_\infty = \mathbb{C}$. □
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