Gd-nanoparticles functionalization with specific peptides for β-amyloid plaques targeting
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Abstract

Background: Amyloidoses are characterized by the extracellular deposition of insoluble fibrillar proteinaceous aggregates highly organized into cross-β structure and referred to as amyloid fibrils. Nowadays, the diagnosis of these diseases remains tedious and involves multiple examinations while an early and accurate protein typing is crucial for the patients’ treatment. Routinely used neuroimaging techniques such as magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and positron emission tomography (PET) using Pittsburgh compound B, [11C]PIB, provide structural information and allow to assess the amyloid burden, respectively, but cannot discriminate between different amyloid deposits. Therefore, the availability of efficient multimodal imaging nanoparticles targeting specific amyloid fibrils would provide a minimally-invasive imaging tool useful for amyloidoses typing and early diagnosis. In the present study, we have functionalized gadolinium-based MRI nanoparticles (AGuIX) with peptides highly specific for Aβ amyloid fibrils, LPFFD and KLVFF. The capacity of such nanoparticles grafted with peptide to discriminate among different amyloid proteins, was tested with Aβ(1–42) fibrils and with mutated-(V30M) transthyretin (TTR) fibrils.

Results: The results of surface plasmon resonance studies showed that both functionalized nanoparticles interact with Aβ(1–42) fibrils with equilibrium dissociation constant (K_d) values of 403 and 350 µM respectively, whilst they did not interact with V30M-TTR fibrils. Similar experiments, performed with PIB, displayed an interaction both with Aβ(1–42) fibrils and V30M-TTR fibrils, with K_d values of 6 and 10 µM respectively, confirming this agent as a general amyloid fibril marker. Thereafter, the ability of functionalized nanoparticle to target and bind selectively Aβ aggregates was further investigated by immunohistochemistry on AD like-neuropathology brain tissue. Pictures clearly indicated that KLVFF-grafted or LPFFD-grafted to AGuIX nanoparticle recognized and bound the Aβ amyloid plaque localized in the mouse hippocampus.

Conclusion: These results constitute a first step for considering these functionalized nanoparticles as a valuable multimodal imaging tool to selectively discriminate and diagnose amyloidoses.
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Background

Amyloidoses constitute a wide range of human diseases which are related to the conversion of soluble proteins into well-organized and insoluble fibrillar deposits, due to protein misfolding and self-association into amyloid aggregates enriched with cross-β sheet structures [1] (Fig. 1a). There are approximately 40 disorders associated with amyloid fibril formation and deposition in the extracellular space of various organs and tissues. They include neurodegenerative diseases (e.g. Alzheimer’s disease, AD), systemic amyloidoses (e.g. familial amyloid polyneuropathy, FAP) and localized amyloidoses (e.g. type II diabetes). Each disorder has a distinct clinical profile and is associated with the aggregation of a predominant peptide or protein [2], i.e. amyloid-β peptide (Aβ) in AD, transthyretin (TTR) in FAP, or amylin in type II diabetes. Currently, the diagnosis of amyloidoses is established from the results of multiple invasive examinations [3, 4], and is complicated by the involvement of several organs, and by the fact that symptoms are unspecific. In recent years, amyloidoses attracted considerable interest from scientists in different disciplines, resulting in a better understanding of their pathogenesis and of the molecular mechanisms of amyloid formation. This knowledge has led to important advances useful for designing new tools to achieve early and reliable diagnosis of these pathologies.

Among amyloidosis, the major cause of dementia in the elderly population, Alzheimer’s disease is the most common age-related neurodegenerative disease. The number of people living with dementia worldwide is estimated at 44 million, and is set to almost double by 2030 and more than triple by 2050 [5]. Establish AD diagnosis needs long and exhaustive cognitive test, completed by neuroimaging examination in order to be differentiated from other causes of dementia: vascular dementia, dementia with Lewis bodies, Parkinson’s disease with dementia, frontotemporal dementia and reversible dementias. The neuropathological hallmarks of AD include extracellular plaques of Aβ peptide, intracellular neurofibrillary tangles and dystrophic neurites, which constitute the final markers attesting definitively of the disease. These histopathologic lesions are restricted to selective brain regions involved in memory and language, i.e. the hippocampus and the cortex [6]. The progressive aggregation of Aβ peptides into plaque structures is one of the critical events leading to the progressive dismantling of synapses, neuronal circuits and networks [7–9], and to neurodegeneration [10, 11]. Interestingly, the appearance of amyloid plaques occurs years before the appearance of cognitive symptoms [12, 13] and is considered to be the distinct hallmark of early onset of the disease activating the sequential lesion events. Therefore, the in vivo detection and quantification of amyloid species within the brain of patients at risk constitutes a promising strategy for the early diagnosis and treatment of Alzheimer’s disease.

Currently, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is the preferred neuroimaging examination for AD as it allows an accurate measurement of brain structures’ volume, although a decrease of this latter appears to be a non-specific and late feature of cerebral vascular diseases’ progression [14]. Thus, studies are under way to develop specific imaging markers for different types of dementia, including markers of senile plaques for very-high-field MRI and positron emission tomography (PET) [15]. A better characterization of the amyloid plaque presence and load in the brain can be also expected from imaging approaches using amyloid ligands as imaging agents [16]. One of the most extensively used is the Pittsburgh compound B (PIB, \(^{11}C\)2-(4′-methylaminophenyl)-6-hydroxybenzothiazole), which binds with high affinity to any amyloid fibrils [17–21] and displays a PET signal in vivo, and correlates strongly with the amyloid burden [20]. Although several PET probes are currently under
clinical investigation, and preliminary results indicate that these tracers cannot differentiate between amyloid plaques at various stages and of different nature [17, 19–23]. Indeed, irrespective of the neurodegenerative disease investigated, none of these studies have mapped Aβ pathologies in sufficient details to allow a quantitative correlation between the PET signal and the amyloid burden in different regions of the brain. Thus, novel non-invasive Aβ binding substances suitable for in vivo imaging, with high protein specificity and detection sensitivity, need to be elaborated.

The development of multifunctional nanoparticles represents a breakthrough in medical imaging since these nanoobjects can meet the requirements of several imaging techniques such as MRI, optical imaging or single photon emission CT (SPECT)/PET scintigraphy while offering excellent resolution and sensitivity. For instance, functionalization of such nanoparticles with specific biovectors allows their active targeting to tumors leading to a more accurate diagnosis [24]. Likewise, small Aβ peptides were coupled to gold nanoparticles in order to inhibit Aβ fibrillogenesis [25] or to ultrasmall superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles in order to design contrast agents for Aβ plaque MRI imaging [26].

Recently, sub-5 nm gadolinium-based nanoparticles [27, 28] (AGuIX) have been developed that are suitable for multimodal detection: (1) in MRI, DOTAGa(Gd³⁺)-grafted AGuIX nanoparticles led to significantly higher positive contrast when compared to classical molecular agents such as DOTAREM® [27, 29, 30]; (2) SPECT/PET near infrared fluorescence imaging can be performed following the grafting of an appropriate fluorophore. Such multimodal imaging platform, gathering multi-detection possibilities, allows achieving, in vivo, a sensitive and reliable imaging. Furthermore, their functionalization with RGD peptides was realized in order to target and detect tumors [30, 31]. Due to their small size and biodegradability, AGuIX are efficiently eliminated from the body through renal clearance with no evidence of toxicity [32].

With the aim to develop a sensitive imaging tool which target amyloid fibrils and able to discriminate between different protein amyloid aggregates, we have focused on Aβ(1–42) fibrils as a proof of concept for amyloidosis. To this end, AGuIX nanoparticles were grafted with two small peptides derived from the sequence of Aβ(1–42). The peptide KLFF (corresponding to the hydrophobic core short Aβ(16–20) fragment) which is crucial for the formation of the β-sheet structures [33, 34] and binds to the full-length Aβ peptide via atypical antiparallel β-sheet motif [35, 36], and the peptide LPFFD which binds to the central hydrophobic region of Aβ [37]. The rationale behind our investigation is that thanks to their capacity to co-aggregate with Aβ(1–42), KLFFV and LPFFD grafted on AGuIX are expected to target specifically the fibril ends of Aβ(1–42) deposits (Fig. 1b), their interaction is reported by fluorescence detection of a near infrared fluorophore (cyanine 5.5) also chemically grafted on AGuIX.

Results and discussion
In this work, we have optimized the functionalization of AGuIX nanoparticles with peptides in order to selectively target Aβ(1–42) fibrils and detect amyloid plaque in AD animal tissue. AGuIX synthesis were firstly modified and optimized by addition of a PEG chain for LPFFD and KLFFV grafting optimization, the two selected Aβ(1–42) pentapeptides. Then, AGuIX@PEG@LPFFD and AGuIX@PEG@KLFFV were labeled with the near infrared fluorescent compound cyanine 5.5, allowing in vitro and ex vivo experiments. The binding specificity was tested using amyloid fibril: (1) Aβ amyloid (1–42) fibrils, implicated into plaque amyloid burden in AD, and (2) Val->30->Met mutated transthyretin (V30M-TTR) fibrils [38, 39], one of the more than 80 identified mutations on TTR as causative gene abnormality in FAP [40]. The efficiency of the selective interaction was also compared with the PIB-binding to each amyloid fibril. Finally, the specificity of recognition of Aβ-grafted nanoparticles was achieved by immunohistochemistry experiments on brain sections of Alzheimer’s disease mouse model.

Nanoparticles functionalization with targeting peptides
AGuIX nanoparticles (Nps) were synthetized by an original top-down process as previously described [29]. This synthesis led to the formation of Nps displaying a hydrodynamic diameter of 2.7 ± 0.7 nm and an average molecular weight of 8.5 ± 1.0 kDa as judged by DLS and HPLC respectively (Additional file 1). The skeleton of the nanoparticles is composed of a polysiloxane network containing free amino functions obtained by the use of APTES ((3-Amino)propyltriethoxy silane) precursor during sol/gel process. The primary amine of APTES allows covalent grafting of about ten DOTAGa (Gd³⁺) chelates per nanoparticle through amide bond formation resulting from the reaction of the amines with the anhydride function. Small chains of polyethylene glycol diacid (PEG) were added to nanoparticles with one end to be grafted covalently to the nanoparticle, the other remaining available for peptide coupling (Additional file 1). The nanoparticles surface modification was monitored and characterized by complementary techniques, including Fourier Transform Infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) and measurement of the ζ-potential (Additional file 1). Altogether, the results clearly indicated that PEG chains have been grafted to the nanoparticles, leading to about 5 carboxylic acid
functions per Np. Therefore, these AGuIX@PEG are suitable for peptides coupling (Additional file 1).

KLVFF and LPFFD were grafted to nanoparticles by amide formation thanks to classical carbodiimide chemistry between their N-terminal and the carboxylic acid function of the AGuIX@PEG (Additional file 1). Dynamic light scattering measurement (Fig. 2a) showed a slight increase of the Nps hydrodynamic diameter after peptide grafting: $3.2 \pm 0.8$ nm for the AGuIX@PEG@LPFFD and $3.5 \pm 0.9$ nm for the AGuIX@PEG@KLVFF compared to $2.9 \pm 0.9$ nm for the AGuIX@PEG. The shifts observed in relaxometry (Table 1), HPLC (Additional file 1), and DLS (Fig. 2a) are also in accordance with an efficient grafting of the peptides on AGuIX@PEG. The number of Aβ-peptides grafted to the nanoparticles was evaluated by far UV-circular dichroism at $1.6 \pm 0.2$ LPFFD-peptide per AGuIX@PEG and $2.25 \pm 0.10$ KLVFF-peptide per AGuIX@PEG (Fig. 2b). In parallel, elementary analyses were performed on the vectorized nanoparticles and confirmed the presence of about two peptides per nanoparticle (Additional file 1). These findings were validated by the quantification of free amine in the nanoparticles using the trinitrobenzene sulfonic acid (TNBS) method [41] (Additional file 1).

The quality of both AGuIX@PEG@LPFFD and AGuIX@PEG@KLVFF as MRI contrast agent was verified according to their relaxivity values. Both longitudinal ($r_1$) and transversal ($r_2$) relaxivities increased after peptide grafting. These high values are due to the important molecular weight of the Nps and to the rigidity induced by the Nps skeleton, leading to an increase of the rotation correlation time [42, 43]. Thus, the AGuIX@PEG showed a $r_1 = 12.0 \text{ s}^{-1} \text{mM}^{-1}$ and $r_2 = 18.7 \text{ s}^{-1} \text{mM}^{-1}$, when these values rose for the AGuIX@PEG@LPFFD ($r_1 = 13.2 \text{ s}^{-1} \text{mM}^{-1}$ and $r_2 = 20.1 \text{ s}^{-1} \text{mM}^{-1}$) and for the AGuIX@PEG@KLVFF ($r_1 = 14.1 \text{ s}^{-1} \text{mM}^{-1}$ and $r_2 = 23.0 \text{ s}^{-1} \text{mM}^{-1}$). The $r_2/r_1$ ratios tend to one (Table 1). These values are significantly higher than those of the molecular contrast agents (DOTAREM®: $r_1 = 3.4 \text{ s}^{-1} \text{mM}^{-1}$ and $r_2 = 4.8 \text{ s}^{-1} \text{mM}^{-1}$ at 60 MHz) confirming the MRI positive contrast imaging feature of AGuIX nanoparticles [44] (Table 1). These measures indicated that the two distinct nanoparticles grafted with specific Aβ
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peptide have been obtained while maintaining appropriate MRI characteristics.

Cyanine 5.5 was chosen as dye-label for AGuIX@PEG@LPFFD and AGuIX@PEG@KLVFF, to allow biophysics and ex vivo experiments due to absorption and emission wavelengths in the near infrared ($\lambda_{\text{abs,max}} = 675$ nm and $\lambda_{\text{em,max}} = 695$ nm). The grafting yield was estimated by comparing the Cy5.5 fluorescence intensity before and after purification and led to an amount of 1 dye for 170 Np and 1 dye for 200 Np for AGuIX@PEG@LPFFD@Cy5.5 and AGuIX@PEG@KLVFF@Cy5.5 respectively (Additional file 1).

In order to envisage these functionalized nanoparticles as a safety contrast agent for a translation to in vivo, especially following the addition of small peptides which could introduce cytotoxicity changes of the nanoparticles [45], innocuousness of functionalized nanoparticles has been assessed against neuronal cells. Cytotoxicity assays were performed using the viability test of MTT (3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide) [46] on SH-SY5Y, and the results showed that more than 80% of cells were still alive. Previous biocompatibilities were assessed associated with fibrils (data not shown).

### Table 1 Transversal and longitudinal relaxivities of the nanoparticles and the molecular contrast agent DOTAREM®, and the ratio between their transversal and their longitudinal relaxivities at 60 MHz and 37 °C

|          | AGuIX | AGuIX@PEG | AGuIX@PEG@LPFFD | AGuIX@PEG@KLVFF | DOTAREM® |
|----------|-------|-----------|------------------|-----------------|-----------|
| $r_1$ (s$^{-1}$ mM$^{-1}$) | 10    | 12        | 13.2             | 14.1            | 3.4       |
| $r_2$ (s$^{-1}$ mM$^{-1}$) | 13.6  | 18.7      | 20.1             | 23.0            | 4.8       |
| $r_2/r_1$ | 1.4   | 1.6       | 1.5              | 1.6             | 1.4       |

The final state of amyloid-fibril formation is controlled by transmission electron microscopy as illustrated on Fig. 1c and d: Aβ(1–42) fibrils are at least 2 µm long with a wide of 10–15 nm (Fig. 1c), whereas the V30M-TTR amyloid fibrils form bundles of about several µm long and 20 nm wide (Fig. 1d).

In order to define an amyloid interaction "gold" standard, $K_d$ values were evaluated using the PIB marker with Aβ(1–42) and V30M-TTR fibrils by partition experiments. Following incubation of PIB with Aβ(1–42) or V30M-TTR fibrils, a first measure of the total fluorescence present in the mixture was realized as the total amount of PIB (Fig. 3a). After centrifugation of the mixture, the PIB fluorescence signal associated to the pellet was measured (Fig. 3a), indicating thereof the PIB bound to the fibrils. Similar measure was performed with the supernatant allowing the evaluation of the unbound fluorescence (Fig. 3a, solid line). Experiments were performed with a range of concentrations of PIB (0.01–4 µM) and the results of fluorescent measurements associated with Aβ(1–42) (Fig. 3b) and V30M-TTR (Fig. 3c) fibrils were plotted. The fit of the experimental data with the Michaelis binding model (1:1) displayed $K_d$ values equal to 6 µM for Aβ(1–42) fibrils (Fig. 3b) and 10 µM for V30M-TTR fibrils (Fig. 3c). These observations confirm that PIB binds different types of amyloid fibrils making it a general amyloid marker without protein discrimination (Fig. 3b, c). Similar experiments were then performed with AGuIX@PEG@LPFFD@Cy5.5 and AGuIX@PEG@KLVFF@Cy5.5, on Aβ(1–42) fibrils, by measuring the related-Cy5.5 fluorescence. For both nanoparticles, no fluorescence has been detected associated with fibrils (data not shown).

As KLVFF and LPFFD were described to interact with V30M-TTR amyloid fibrils, we ensured first that they interact with Aβ(1–42) fibrils.
were carried out with peptide alone, to determine their affinity onto Aβ(1–42) fibrils (Fig. 4a, b). These results indicate that both selected peptides recognize and interact with Aβ(1–42) fibrils. The K_d calculated with the Biaevaluation® software were 393 ± 23 µM for KLVFF and 317 ± 48 µM for LPFFD.

Thereafter, to insure that the pegylation step of the Nps and the cyanine dye grafting did not induced unexpected binding, the number of R.U. remaining 141 s after the end of the nanoparticles injection was compared to those obtained with AGuIX@PEG, AGuIX@PEG@Cy5.5, AGuIX@PEG@LPFFD@Cy5.5 and AGuIX@PEG@KLVFF@Cy5.5 at 2.5 mM in Gd³⁺ on Aβ(1–42) fibrils. As illustrated by histograms in Fig. 5a, while both AGuIX@PEG@LPFFD@Cy5.5 and AGuIX@PEG@KLVFF@Cy5.5 interact with Aβ(1–42) fibrils, AGuIX@PEG and AGuIX@PEG@Cy5.5 do not interact with amyloid fibrils.

Then, similar SPR experiments carried out with a range of nanoparticles concentrations (from 0.02 up to 6.4 mM expressed in peptide concentrations) showed that both AGuIX@PEG@LPFFD@Cy5.5 (Fig. 5b1) and AGuIX@PEG@KLVFF@Cy5.5 (Fig. 5b2) interact with Aβ(1–42) fibrils. The sensorgrams obtained were fitted with the Biaevaluation® software (Langmuir 1:1). Each experiment was repeated three times and led to the dissociations constants in the range of 534 ± 134 µM for AGuIX@PEG@KLVFF@Cy5.5 and of 261 ± 59 µM for AGuIX@PEG@LPFFD@Cy5.5. Although the K_d values are of several hundred µM, these results demonstrate that the capacity of KLFF and LPFFD to bind Aβ(1–42) fibrils is conserved after their grafting on AGuIX, with affinity of the same order of magnitude than those obtain with free peptides.

The specificity of these interactions for Aβ(1–42) fibrils was assessed in the same range of concentration of nanoparticles on a chip coated with V30M-TTR fibrils. As illustrated on Fig. 5c, 141 s after the end of nanoparticles injection, whereas both AGuIX@PEG@LPFFD@Cy5.5 and AGuIX@PEG@KLVFF@Cy5.5 displayed an interaction on Aβ(1–42) fibrils (blue curves) dependent on nanoparticle concentrations injected, no specific interaction of any functionalized nanoparticles was recorded on V30M-TTR fibrils (red curves). This confirms the
discriminatory feature of AGuIX@PEG@LPFFD@Cy5.5 and AGuIX@PEG@KLVFF@Cy5.5 for Aβ(1–42) fibrils, supporting that the interactions between AGuIX@PEG@LPFFD@Cy5.5 and AGuIX@PEG@KLVFF@Cy5.5 with Aβ(1–42) fibrils are indeed mediated by the targeting peptides KLVFF and LPFFD.

Altogether, these in vitro experiments demonstrate that AGuIX nanoparticles functionalized with LPFFD or KLVFF, are able to bind and to discriminate Aβ(1–42) amyloid fibrils. Interestingly, it can be noticed that the grafting step of peptides on nanoparticles does not drastically modify the affinity of both peptide for the targeted fibrils.

**Vectorized-nanoparticles recognize Aβ plaques in AD mice brain**

As next step, the ability of both AGuIX@PEG@LPFFD@Cy5.5 and AGuIX@PEG@KLVFF@Cy5.5 to detect Aβ amyloid plaques into the brain of Alzheimer’s disease animal model was tested. For this purpose, immunohistochemical experiments were performed on APPsw/PS1A246E/TTR(−−) transgenic mouse brain sections. Such 10 months old transgenic mice display amyloid plaques in the cortex and the hippocampus [50]. Similar experiments were performed on control animals of APPsw/PS1A246E/TTR(±), which do not contain amyloid deposits in the cortex and the hippocampus (Fig. 6b, d). AGuIX@PEG@LPFFD@Cy5.5 or AGuIX@PEG@KLVFF@Cy5.5 was incubated on brain sections with or without amyloid deposits and visualized, owing to the grafted-Cy5.5 fluorescence by optic microscopy in the near infrared, while cellular nucleus were located using Hoechst dye.

As illustrated on Fig. 6, strong Cy5.5 fluorescence was visualized in AD brain sections, in specific structure (zoomed in a square Fig. 6a, c), with a core surrounded by diffuse fluorescence and by dots. These observations are characteristic of AD lesions constituted by amyloid plaque with cored and diffused deposits and dystrophic neurites in the vicinity of the plaque [51]. These structures are mainly constituted by Aβ(1–42) peptide into fibrillar β-sheet structure [52]. Similar experiments, on control brain sections without amyloid plaques, did not display such fluorescent staining as visualized in Fig. 6b and d.

The specificity of functionalized nanoparticles binding was verified, by incubating nanoparticles without grafted-peptides, AGuIX@PEG@Cy5.5, on brain section containing amyloid burden. As expected, no amyloid plaque was stained by Cy5.5 fluorescence in hippocampus region (Fig. 6e). Although, some yellow dots were noticeable on the vessels and in the CA3 cell layer of the hippocampus and control immunostaining experiments with Cy5.5 alone indicated this staining constitutes a background due to the Cy5.5 restricted to blood vessels (Additional file 1).

Whereas, various other cyanine derivative dyes [53] can bind amyloid fibrils, the Cy5.5 molecule does not stain fibrils as observed in vitro on fibrils (personal data) and on brain section of AD mouse model [54].

The selectivity of AGuIX@PEG@LPFFD@Cy5.5 and AGuIX@PEG@KLVFF@Cy5.5 for Aβ(1–42) amyloid deposits was also assessed using a nanoparticle grafted with a peptide non related to Aβ(1–42) fibrils, AGuIX@PEG@TTR-peptide@Cy5.5. Immunohistochemistry performed on AD brain sections with this non related Aβ-peptide grafted nanoparticle, showed a low background related to cyanine5.5 fluorescence (Fig. 6f).

The Aβ burden of AD mouse model was evaluated using PIB for immunohistochemical staining as fluorescent ligand on AD brain. Figure 6g resulted from the merge of both staining and revealed robust fluorescent dots with diffusing labeling localized in AD mouse brain.
Altogether, these results indicated that both AGuIX@PEG@LPFFD@Cy5.5 and AGuIX@PEG@KLVFF@Cy5.5, bind selectively amyloid plaque constituted by Aβ protein on ex vivo AD mouse hippocampus.

Conclusions
In this work, ultra-small gadolinium-nanoparticles were successfully grafted with two peptides targeting Aβ(1–42) fibrils (KLVFF and LPFFD). Although these peptides are described as β-breakers due to their ability to interact with Aβ monomer and to inhibit the formation of amyloid oligomers [56] and fibrils [36, 56, 57], they were shown to selectively interact with Aβ(1–42) amyloid fibrils as well. The binding affinity of the grafted peptide for Aβ(1–42) fibrils is in the range of the hundredth of µM, this remaining compatible with the injected dose usually used in clinical MRI applications and particularly for diagnosis. Histological examination of the nanoparticles proved their ability to preferentially interact with amyloid plaques present in the brain of AD mouse model confirming their targeting potential. The selective interaction of the functionalized nanoparticles with Aβ(1–42) fibrils was confirmed by the lack of affinity for V30M-TTR fibrils, amyloid fibrils implicated in FAP, another type of amyloidosis.

Characterization of these new nanoparticles confirms that they possess several of the features needed for biomedical neuroimaging: relaxivity’s measures showed that functionalized AGuIX conserve high positif MRI contrast allowing MRI signal enhancement, facilitating image interpretation [58]; the absence of AGuIX accumulation in certain organs [32], such as the liver or spleen, and their rapid renal elimination allow their consideration for in vivo injection, as it’s well known that such phenomena may constitute a limit to the use of certain contrast agents, as reported for iron oxide nanoparticles [59], where their long lasting presence can be associated with cellular cytotoxic effects [60, 61].

Besides the high MRI contrast of AGuIX, due to their enrichment in gadolinium chelates, these nanoparticles can be formulated to create a multimodal imaging...
Fig. 6 LPFFD and KLVFF vectorized nanoparticles hybridization on brain slices of APPswe/PS1A246/TTR transgenic (a, c, e, f, g) and control (b, d, h) mice. Imaging beta-amyloid plaques were visualized owing to Cy5.5 fluorescence grafted to AGuIX@PEG@LPFFD@Cy5.5 (zoom in a), and AGuIX@PEG@KLFF@Cy5.5 (zoom in c), in the near-infra red (yellow color, λexc = 620 nm-λem = 642 nm). Cellular nucleus of brain sections were located using Hoechst dye staining (blue color λexc = 350 nm-λem = 405 nm). AGuIX@PEG@LPFFD@Cy5.5 and AGuIX@PEG@KLFF@Cy5.5 binding specificity test were performed on brain section without amyloid plaques (b, d), and with nanoparticle without peptides, AGuIX@PEG@Cy5.5 (e), or with a non-related Aβ(1-42) peptide, AGuIX@PEG@TTR-peptide@Cy5.5 (f) on brain section with amyloid plaques. Positive control of amyloid burden was performed using PIB staining on brain sections with (g) and without amyloid plaques (h). Ligands binding are detected by fluorescence imaging of PIB at 400 nm (blue color, g). Tissue architecture was highlighted by imaging actin-phalloidin staining (g, h, red color, λexc = 540 nm-λem = 570 nm).
platform, and/or by coupling $^{68}$Ga derivatives for PET detection [62] and for instance by covalently grafting a near infrared fluorophore for small animal. In conclusion, the ability of targeting and typing amyloid fibrils, by these new multifunctional nanoparticles, constitutes a first step of a promise and powerful strategy for a reliable early detection and identification of amyloidoses. Their future development will constitute a diagnostic and theranostic tool for the monitoring of the disease progression and the biochemical effects of drugs.

**Methods**

**Chemicals**

$N$-(3-Dimethylaminopropyl)-$N'$-ethylcarbodiimide hydrochloride (EDC, >98.0 %), N-hydroxysuccinimide (NHS, >97.0 %), gadolinium chloride hexahydrate ([GdCl$_3$·6H$_2$O], 99 %), sodium hydroxide (NaOH, 99.99 %), hydrochloric acid (HCl, 36.5–38 %), sodium chloride (NaCl, >99.5 %), dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO, >99.5 %), acetonitrile (CH$_3$CN, >99.9 %), trifluoroacetic acid (TFA, >99 %), trinitrobenzene sulfonic acid (TNBS) 1 M in water, sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS, >99 %), (3-aminopropyl)triethoxysilane (APTES, >98 %), tetraethyl orthosilicate (TEOS; >98 %) and Poly(ethylene glycol) bis(carboxymethyl) ether (PEG) with an average molecular weight Mn = 250 g mol$^{-1}$ were purchased from Aldrich Chemical (France) and used without further purification. Diethylene glycol (DEG, 99 %) was purchased from SDS Carlo Erba (France). Acetone (reagent grade) was purchased from Sodipro (France) and was used as received. Freeze-dried full peptides Aβ(1–42), small Aβ specific-peptide (LPFFD and KLVFF) (>98 %) and small TTR targeter peptide (>98 %) were purchased from Genecust (Luxembourg). Recombinant human V30M-TTR protein was obtained following cloning its gene in the plasmid pQE81L and its expression in *Escherichia coli* (M15). Briefly, bacterial cultures were performed in LB medium, 100 µg mL$^{-1}$ ampicillin and 25 µg mL$^{-1}$ kanamycin, at 37 °C, under constant orbital shaking. Protein production was pursued for 7 h after IPTG induction when the absorbance was 0.700 at 600 nm. The bacterial pellet of 50 mL of culture was resuspended in sodium phosphate 50 mM, pH 8, sodium chloride 300 mM, imidazole 10 mM, betamercaptoethanol 10 mM, supplemented with 500 µL of a protease inhibitor, phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (Sigma, #78830), at 1 mM final and a cocktail of protease inhibitor EDTA-free (Roche, #11873580001). The suspension was sonicated during a total time of 6 min with repeated cycles of 5/7 s off with an amplitude of 20 %, then centrifuged during 30 min at 100,000g, to pellet cellular debris. The supernatant was filtered on 0.2 µm cut off filters. The purification of the protein was performed on immobilized metal ion chromatography (Chelating Fast Flow, Amersham #170575001), and dialyzed against 20 mM-NH$_4$HCO$_3$ (membrane cutoff 1/10,000; 3500 MWCO Spectrapor). Finally, the protein was freeze-dried. The protein quality was checked by SDS PAGE gel 15 %. The Pittsburgh compound-B (PIB) derivative molecule was synthetized according to the procedure already described in the literature [63]. Starting from the p-anisidine, the p-nitrobenzyl amide was readily obtained and then the corresponding thioamide was prepared by reaction with Lawesson’s reagent. Finally, an oxidative cyclization was done to lead to the benzothiazole derivative, and the nitro function was reduced to give compound (Synthesis’ details in Additional file 1).

**Nanoparticles synthesis and functionalization**

The AGuIX Nps were provided by Nano-H SAS (Saint-Quentin Fallavier, France) and the DOTAGA anhydride (1,4,7,10-tetraazacyclododecane-1-glutaric anhydride-4-7-10-triacetic acid) was purchased from CheMatech (Dijon, France). AGuIX syntheses were performed at room temperature. Nanoparticles concentrations are stated in mol L$^{-1}$ of Gd$^{3+}$. AGuIX were synthetized as previously described [29] (see Additional file 1). These Nps are composed of a polysiloxane network which is surrounded by covalently grafted DOTAGa(Gd$^{3+}$) chelate. During the first step, Poly(ethylene glycol) bis(carboxymethyl) ether (PEG) was grafted on AGuIX. The freeze-dried AGuIX nanoparticles were dispersed in water to reach a concentration of Gd$^{3+}$ of 500 mM and then diluted ten times with a solution of diethylene glycol (DEG) at 80 °C. In parallel, the carboxylic acid functions of the PEG chains were activated with EDC and NHS conjugation was done to lead to the benzothiazole derivative, and the nitro function was reduced to give compound (Synthesis’ details in Additional file 1).
with anhydrous DMSO, leading to final concentration of Gd$^{3+}$ of 25 mM. The carboxylic functions of the NPs were activated by EDC and NHS (EDC/NHS/Gd molar ratio 10:20:1) under stirring at room temperature for 30 min. The activated Nps suspension was divided into two aliquots: one to be grafted with each of the two Aβ specific peptides. Each peptide, dissolved at 100 mg mL$^{-1}$ in anhydrous DMSO, was added to the Nps suspension and stirred for 12 h at room temperature. The nanoparticles were then diluted in ultrapure water in order to reach a concentration in DMSO below 5 %v and purified by tangential filtration on a 5 kDa molecular cutoff membrane. This step allows removing the EDC/NHS activators, the unreacted peptides and the degraded nanoparticles. The suspension of purified AGuIX@PEG@Peptide nanoparticles was set at 100 mM of Gd$^{3+}$ in ultrapure water and the pH was adjusted to 7. Finally, AGuIX@PEG@Peptide Nps were grafted with the fluorophore, Cyanine 5.5 NHS, which was dissolved in anhydrous DMSO at 2.5 mg mL$^{-1}$. This mixture was slowly added to the nanoparticles suspension (Cy5.5/Gd molar ratio 1:1000). After 4 h of reaction, the mixture was puried by tangential filtration with a Vivaspin® tube with a cutoff of 5 kDa in order to remove the ungrafted cyanine molecules, the NHS formed and the degraded particles. Finally the nanoparticles AGuIX@PEG@Peptide@Cy5.5 were freeze-dried for storage using a Christ Alpha 1–2 lyophilizer. They are stable for months without alteration at room temperature.

Relaxivity measurements
Relaxivity measurements were performed on a Bruker® minispec mq60NMR analyzer (Brucker, USA) at 37 °C at 1.4 T (60 MHz). Samples were measured at a specific Gd$^{3+}$ concentration (mM), calculated from ICP-OES. The longitudinal relaxation time $T_1$ and the transverse relaxation time $T_2$ (s) were measured at 60 MHz and at 37 °C. Then the relaxivities $r_i$ (s$^{-1}$ mM$^{-1}$) were obtained according to the following formula:

$$\left(\frac{1}{T_i}\right)_{\text{measured}} = \left(\frac{1}{T_i}\right)_{\text{water}} + r_i [\text{Gd}^{3+}]$$

where $i = 1$ or 2.

Dynamic light scattering (DLS)
Hydrodynamic scattering ($D_H$) of the Nps were determined with a Zetasizer NanoS (laser He–Ne 532 nm) from Malvern Instrument® (5 mW, with 173° NIBS detector and narrow band filter). Attenuator was optimized by the device and position was set to the center of the cell. To perform the measurement, 1 mL of nanoparticle suspensions at 10 mM Gd$^{3+}$ was poured in a 12 mm square polystyrene disposable cuvette after filtration on a 0.2 µm cut-off nylon filter. Each measurement was repeated 8 times.

High performance liquid chromatography (HPLC)
Gradient HPLC analysis was carried out using the Shimadzu® Prominence series UFLC system equipped with a CBM-20A controller bus module, an LC-20AD liquid chromatograph, a CTO-20A column oven, a SPD-20A UV–Visible detector allowing absorption measurement at two chosen wavelengths and a RF-20A fluorescence detector allowing fluorescence measurements at specific excitation and emission wavelengths. For all measurements, the UV–visible detectors were set respectively at 295 and 350 nm. Sample aliquots of 20 μL were injected in a 95 % solvent A—5 % solvent B (A = Milli-Q water/TFA 99.9:0.1 v/v; B = CH3CN/Milli-Q water/TFA 90:9.9:0.1 v/v/v/v) into a Jupiter C4 column (150 × 4.60 mm, 5 µm, 300 Å, Phenomenex®) at a flow rate of 1 mL min$^{-1}$ over 5 min. In a second step, samples were eluted by a gradient from 5 to 90 % of solvent B in solvent A over 15 min. Finally, the concentration of solvent B was maintained over 5 min. Then, the concentration of solvent B was decreased to 5 % over a period of 5 min to re-equilibrate the system, followed by additional 5 min at this final concentration. Before each sample measurement, a baseline was recorded following the same conditions by loading Milli-Q water onto the injection loop.

Inductively coupled plasma-optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES) analysis
Determination of the gadolinium content in a sample was performed by ICP-OES analysis with a Varian 710-ES spectrometer. Before measuring Gd$^{3+}$ concentration, samples of colloidal solution were dissolved in HNO3 67 % at 80 °C for 2 h. The samples were then diluted 10-fold in HNO3 5 %. Chemical analysis were also performed on the as-prepared samples at the Service Central d’Analyses du CNRS (Villeurbanne, France) by ICP-MS and enabled determining the C, N, Si contents with a precision of 0.5 %.

Circular dichroism
Circular dichroism was used in order to determine the number of Aβ peptide grafted on the nanoparticles. Standard curves of peptide KLVFF and LPFFD are obtained by successive dilutions in distilled water (300 µL), respectively at 25, 50, 100 200 mg L$^{-1}$ and 20, 50, 100, 200, 300 mg L$^{-1}$. The freeze-dried nanoparticles were dissolved in distilled water at 5 mM, and diluted in 300 µL of distilled water at 0.03, 0.05 and 0.1 mM. Spectra of each sample were recorded in a 1 mm path length quartz cell on a JASCO J-815 CD spectrometer using a response time of 1 s, a scan speed of 200 nm min$^{-1}$ and a bandwidth of 4 nm. Thirty spectra were accumulated and averaged in far UV (200–250 nm) at 20 °C and quantification was done at 212 nm (KLVFF) and 219 nm.
(LPFFD). These wavelengths were selected on each peptide spectrum as the more characteristic point obtained in function of their concentrations. The molar ellipticity reported as a function of the peptide concentration and allowed determining the number of peptide per nanoparticles.

**Beta-amyloid fibrils growth**

Freeze-dried Aβ(1–42) peptide (Genecust) was dissolved in distilled water at pH 11.0. The solution was filtered through a spin 0.2 μm membrane filter (Millipore, #146560) to remove any aggregated species. The solution was stored at −20 °C. Aβ(1–42) fibrils were prepared by incubating monomer Aβ(1–42) peptide solution (final concentration at 100 μM) in Tyrode’s buffer (150 mM NaCl, 3 mM KCl, 10 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 10 mM glucose; pH 6.5) at 37 °C in 500 μL reaction volume on a rotating shaker (300 rpm) for 72 h. Fibril morphology formation was confirmed by TEM analysis (JEOL 1200EX).

**V30M-TTR amyloid fibrils growth**

The protein V30M-TTR was directly dissolved in buffer A (KH2PO4 10 mM, KCl 100 mM, EDTA 1 mM, adjusted to pH 7 and filtered with a spin 0.2 μm) and buffer B (C6H7NaO6 200 mM, KCl 100 mM, EDTA 1 mM, adjusted to pH 4.4, filtered with a spin 0.2 μm), with the same volume of each buffer to obtain a final concentration at 32.3 μM of V30M-TTR protein (pH 4.6) in a 1 mL reaction volume. It was incubated on a rotating shaker (300 rpm) during 10 days at 70 °C. Fibril morphology formation was confirmed by TEM analysis.

**Transmission electronic microscopy**

10 μL of Aβ(1–42) or V30M-TTR fibrils samples were adsorbed on to the clean face of a carbon film on mica sheet (carbon/mica interface) and negatively stained with 1 % (w/v) uranyl acetate. Micrographs were recorded at 80 kV using a JEOL 1200EX equipped with a Veleta camera (Olympus) and iTEM software or at 200 kV on a FEI Tecnai™ G2 Sphera.

**Binding assays**

Twenty μM of Aβ(1–42) or V30M-TTR fibrils were incubated with PIB at 0.01, 0.1, 0.4, 0.8, 1.2, 2 and 4 μM, or 2 μM of AGuIX@PEG@KLVVF@Cy5.5 or AGuIX@PEG@LPFFD@Cy5.5, saturated with 3 mM AGuIX, for 60 min at room temperature in 500 μL of each prepared fibril buffer. A first measure of fluorescence was carried out on the mixture, which was then centrifuged for 25 min at 14000 rpm and 25 °C to obtain a pellet (containing fibrils) and a supernatant (containing free nanoparticles or PIB). The pellet was dispersed in 500 μL of fibril buffer. A second measure of fluorescence was realized both on the supernatant and the re-suspended pellet. According to the fluorescence properties of PIB (λexc = 350 nm, λem = 420 nm) or cyanine 5.5 (λexc = 600 nm, λem = 655 nm) spectrum of each sample was recorded in a 1 cm path length quartz cell on a CD-spectrophotometer (JASCO J-815) using a response time of 1 s, a scan speed of 100 nm min⁻¹, an emission bandwidth of 5 nm and an emission bandwidth of 10 nm. Three spectra were accumulated at 25 °C and averaged. Spectra are treated by subtracting of the spectrum recorded for the buffer. Michaelis binding model was used to determine the dissociation constant (Kd) following the equation:

\[ y = \frac{Y_m}{1 + \left(\frac{K_d}{x}\right)} \]

where Ym is the maximum of fluorescence (A.U).

**Surface plasmon resonance assays**

The surface plasmon resonance assays were performed using a BLAcore® 2000 biosensor. The instrument was equipped with a CM3 sensor chip (GE Healthcare®, Uppsala, Sweden). The interaction studies took place on a gold chip functionalized with carboxymethylated dextran. The sensor surface itself form one wall of a flow cell, which is an integral part of the microfluidic system. All SPR experiments were performed at 25 °C. HEPES buffer (HBS-P, GE Healthcare®, 0.01 M HEPES, 0.15 M NaCl, 0.005 % surfactant p20) was used as running buffer.

For immobilization, amine coupling reagents were obtained from the GE Healthcare® kit containing 0.2 M EDC in water, 0.05 M NHS in water and ethanolamine-HCl pH 8.5. Prior immobilization, the size of the Aβ(1–42) fibrils was reduced using an ultrasonic disruptor Sonoplus HD 2070 (Banderlin, Germany). The sonication was performed on ice at 40 W during 20 s (pulse of 0.6 s; interval of 0.4 s; mode PULS, cycle 6) [64]. Aβ(1–42) and V30M-TTR fibrils were immobilized on the flow cell 2 and 4 respectively of a CM3 sensor chip with an amine coupling reaction. After the chip activation by EDC/NHS during 7 min, the fibrils were injected at: 10 μM in 10 mM sodium acetate pH 4 at a flow rate of 5 μL min⁻¹ during 20 min for Aβ(1–42) fibrils or at 0.36 μM in 10 mM sodium acetate pH 5.5 at a flow rate of 5 μL min⁻¹ during 100 min for V30M-TTR fibrils. The immobilization levels were around 1200 respond units (R.U.) for the Aβ(1–42) fibrils and 800 R.U. for the V30M-TTR fibrils. The flow cell 1 and 3 from this chip were activated by EDC/NHS during 7 min and then deactivated by ethanolamine during 7 min and used as reference surface.

Biacore® experiments gathered data from 3 cycles for each studied analyte. One cycle consisted in the injection of the compound to be tested for 3 min. A waiting period of 2.5 min under running buffer (HBS-P) followed this step. Then the surface was regenerated, using the GE
Healthcare® regeneration scouting kit, to remove the analyte from the chip by injection of 2 M NaCl and 5 mM NaOH during 60 s. A stabilization period of 2 min under running buffer finished the cycle. For the kinetic assays, the nanoparticles were injected at the concentration of 0.02; 0.2; 0.4; 0.8; 1.6; 3.2 and 6.4 mM in peptide (corresponding to 0.1; 1; 2; 4; 8; 16 and 32 mM in Gd(3+) in running buffer (HBS-P) with 10% liquid dextran (non specific binding reducer, GE Healthcare®) to reduce unspecific interaction. The free peptides were injected at concentrations ranging from 44 to 704 µM. Each experiment began with five cycles injecting running buffer instead of analyte to stabilize the baseline of the apparatus. On the other hand, channel 1 or 3 were maintained in their carboxymethylated dextran native form to serve as a reference and observe possible non-specific interactions. Results of the interaction between the analytes and the Aβ(1-42) or V30M-TTR fibrils were represented on a sensgorram expressed as respectively FC2-1 or FC4-3 (RU-RUdextran) versus time. The data were processed by Bioevaluation software (Biacore) and fitted using the 1:1 Langmuir binding model to obtain the equilibrium dissociation constant (Kd). The step was performed for each of the three sets of experiments. The value of R.U. obtained 141 s after the end of the injection was compared for the AGuIX@PEG, AGuIX@PEG@Cy5.5, AGuIX@PEG@LPFFD@Cy5.5 and AGuIX@PEG@KLVFF@Cy5.5 at 2.5 mM in Gd(3+) on Aβ(1-42) fibrils and V30M-TTR fibrils.

Animal models
APPswe/PS1A246E/TTR mouse model was generated in JM Saraiva’s lab by crossing APPswe/PS1A246E mice (B6/C3H background) with TTRnull mice (TTR−/−) (SV129 background) [65]. These transgenic mice co-express a chimeric mouse-human amyloid-protein-precursor (APP) bearing a human A domain with mutations (K595N and M596L) linked to Swedish (Swe) familial AD (FAD) pedigrees and human presenilin 1 (PS1) bearing a mutation (A246E) which also causes FAD. Expression of both transgenes is under the control of the mouse prion protein promoter (PrP). Animals used in this work were female APP/PSEN/TTR(−/−) 10 months old, displaying a dramatic amyloid plaques burden. Six months old male APP/PSEN/TTR(±), displaying rare plaques and very low levels of detergent- and formic acid-soluble Aβ40 and Aβ42 proteins in the transgenic male brain [66] were used as control. Animals were housed in a controlled environment (12-h light/dark cycle; temperature, 22 ± 2 °C; humidity, 45–65%), with food and water freely available. All procedures involving animals were carried out in accordance with the European Communities Council Directive.

Immunohistochemistry and microscopy
Mice were deeply anesthetized with a mixture of ketamine and medetomidine. Brains were removed from the skull and bisected longitudinally: each half was fixed for 24 h at 4 °C in 10% neutral buffered formalin and then transferred to a 30% sucrose solution for cryoprotection before cryostat sectioning (10 µm) for immunohistochemical analyses. Sections were mounted on glass slides and allowed to dry at room temperature for a few minutes. They were washed three times in phosphate buffer saline (PBS), and incubated into permeabilization-saturation buffer [PBS 1X, 0.3% triton, 1% bovine serum albumin] for 1 h at RT. Then incubation with, AGuIX@PEG@KLVVF@Cy5.5, AGuIX@PEG@LPFFD@Cy5.5, AGuIX@Cy5.5 or AGuIX@PEG@TTR@Cy5.5 nanoparticles at 3 nM of Cy5.5 (corresponding to 2 mM Gd(3+) with, for non-specific saturation, 3 mM ungrafted nanoparticles, or PIB at 1 µM were incubated on section in the same buffer for 1h30 at RT. For amyloid burden control, sections were directly stained with Thioflavin-S (at 0.05% in EtOH 50%, Sigma, #T1892) according to standard practice. Cell staining was performed using the phalloidin-TRITC (Sigma, #P1951) in the case of slices treated with PIB. After the PBS wash, all the other sections were coverslipped with dabco-mowiol mounting medium with additional Hoechst to stain cell nucleus. Fluorescent images were observed using the proper filter for each marker: cyanine 5.5: λexcitation = 620 nm-λemission = 642 nm, PIB or Hoechst: λexcitation = 350 nm-λemission = 405 nm, phalloidin: λexcitation = 540 nm-λemission = 570 nm and Thioflavin-S: λexcitation = 450 nm-λemission = 488 nm using a Zeiss microscope Axiovert 200 M. Images were analyzed using Carl Zeiss AxioVision software.

Additional file

Additional file 1: Figure S1. Characterization of functionalized nanoparticles. Figure S2. Zeta potential versus pH of AGuIX (black) and AGuIX@PEG (red). Figure S3. Reaction scheme for the synthesis of AGuIX@PEG@Peptd@Cy5. Figure S4. Chromatograms obtained with at UV-Visible absorption at λ = 295 nm of the AGuIX@PEG, AGuIX@PEG@LPFFD, AGuIX@PEG@KLVFF. Table S1. Molar ratio deduced from experimental weight percentage; Table S2. Elementary analyses given in weight percent of element in the compound; Table S3. Comparison of the primary amine content evaluated by elementary analysis and TNBS assays. These results allow assessing the molecular formula obtained by elementary analysis. Table S4. Standard curves of amine quantification in APTES/TES equimolar mixture by TNBS assays. Table S5. The cyanine 5.5 presents characteristic absorption and emission wavelength λexc max Cy5.5 = 675 nm and λem max Cy5.5 = 692 nm. Table S6. The yield of the grafting was calculated thanks to the comparison of the cyanine fluorescence and the DOTA(Gd3+) chelate before and after removal of the ungrafted dye by tangential purification.
Figure S7. Effect of nanoparticles on cell viability. Figure S8. PIB derivatization synthesis details. Figure S9. Control of the non-binding of Cy5.5 dye to amyloid plaque in brain section of AD mouse model.
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