Identification and testing of reference genes for qRT-PCR analysis during pear fruit development
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Abstract
Quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) is currently one of the most reliable and improved tools for analyzing gene expression. Various studies have shown that housekeeping genes vary with cultivars, tissues and treatment. Reliable and stable reference genes were necessarily identified and evaluated according to different experimental requirements. In this study, 10 candidate reference genes were initially screened based on transcriptome sequencing data of four pear fruit development stages for three pear cultivars, including a candidate housekeeping gene PbrTUB. Furthermore, we ranked the expression stability of 10 candidate reference genes using GeNorm, NormFinder, BestKeeper and ReFinder algorithms. The results showed that Pbr028511, Pbr038418 and Pbr041114 were the most stable reference genes in Cuiguan, Housui and Xueqing fruit, respectively. Taken together, these results serve as a useful reference for gene function investigations and molecular mechanism studies in fruit development and ripening for various pear cultivars.
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Introduction
Gene expression analysis is used to verify mRNA transcription levels of target genes and to explore novel gene functions in various biological processes, such as growth, development, signal transduction, stress responses and metabolism. Compared with other gene expression detection methods, quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) has become one of the most reliable and improved upon for studying gene transcript levels on account of its high accuracy, sensitivity and specificity (Bustin et al. 2005). However, the accuracy and reliability of this technology are affected by a variety of other factors, such as RNA quality, number of replicates, primer amplification efficiency, and suitability of reference genes (Derveaux et al. 2010; Die and Roman 2012; Svec et al. 2015). The most general approaches of qRT-PCR normalization that enhance the assay accuracy include application of a normalization step and internal reference genes or housekeeping genes (Guenin et al. 2009).

Ideal reference genes should exhibit relatively stable and consistent expression levels in different cultivars, tissues and conditions. However, no absolute universal reference genes have been reported until now. In previous studies, the traditional housekeeping genes, such as tubulin (TUB), actin (ACT), ubiquitin (UBQ), elongation factor 1-α (EF1-α), 18S ribosomal RNA (18S rRNA), glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH), were directly selected to standardize the results of the qRT-PCR assay (Chen et al. 2015; Sarwar et al. 2020; He et al. 2021). Nevertheless, these housekeeping genes do not always show constant expression in variable conditions. Invalid or unstable reference genes can lead to erroneous conclusions in certain situations (Jain et al. 2006; Guenin et al. 2009). For example, several reports have shown that EF1-α, ACT and TUB were not stably expressed consistently (Nicot et al. 2005; Gutierrez et al. 2008; Hong et al. 2008). Housekeeping genes may exhibit...
varied expression levels in different developmental stages in *Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill. (Exposito-Rodriguez et al. 2008). In addition, some studies pointed out that a single reference gene cannot satisfy with the experimental requirements (Tong et al. 2009; Chen et al. 2011). Therefore, it is necessary to screen and validate stable reference genes in order to accurately quantify target genes in diverse cultivars and experimental backgrounds during qRT-PCR normalization analysis.

Pear (*Pyrus*) is identified as one of the most important temperate fruit species with high economic value in the world (Wu et al. 2013). Multiple internal and external factors participate in the characteristics and biological processes of pear, including pollen growth (Wang et al. 2016; Tang et al. 2020), self-incompatibility (Wang et al. 2017; Chen et al. 2018), seed germination (Qi et al. 2019), fruit development, and senescence (Hao et al. 2018; Gu et al. 2020). These factors also affect the expressions of related genes. Therefore, accurate and reliable analysis of expression patterns helps to reveal gene functions and related molecular mechanisms. However, characterization of reference genes in pear has only been reported in limited tissues and cultivars (Wu et al. 2012; Chen et al. 2015; Liu et al. 2018; Wang et al. 2018; Wang et al. 2019; Chen et al. 2020a; Chen et al. 2020b). Accordingly, there is demand for identification of appropriate reference genes in pear to obtain reliable and accurate gene expression analysis data.

In this study, we measured the expression stability of 10 candidate reference genes, employing the RNA-seq data for four development stages of Cuiguan, Housui and Xueqing pear fruit. In addition, three software packages, including geNorm, NormFinder, BestKeeper, along with RefFinder, an online tool, were utilized to evaluate the expression stability of the 10 candidate reference genes in three pear varieties at different developmental stages. Our results provide reliable reference genes for qRT-PCR normalization analysis in Cuiguan, Housui and Xueqing pear fruit. This will contribute to both expression pattern analysis of targeted genes and discovery of the breeding molecular mechanism.

### Materials and methods

#### Plant materials and experimental treatments

All 36 samples of the three pear cultivars were collected from the pear germplasm orchard of the Pear Engineering Technology Research Center of Nanjing Agricultural University in Nanjing, China. The fruits of pear cultivars Cuiguan (cg), Housui (hs) and Xueqing (xq) were collected from the fruitlet to ripening stages, including 10 cg (C1–10), 13 hs (H1–13) and 13 xq (X1–13). Four fruits at different stages (fruitlet, early enlargement, later enlargement, and ripening) were used for transcriptome sequencing. The flesh was ground into powder, then frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80 °C until use.

#### Candidate reference gene selection and primer designing

Based on fruit transcriptome sequencing data of different pear cultivars from our laboratory, 10 candidate reference genes, including nine novel genes and one housekeeping gene, were applied to normalize and validate qRT-PCR experiments according to their RPKM and fold change values (Table 1). The primers of the candidate reference genes were designed using Primer Premier 5.0 software with the following parameters: annealing temperature (Tm) of 60–65 °C, GC percent of 45–60%, primer length of 18–28 bp and product length of 150–210 bp. Lin-RegPCR was used to calculate the qRT-PCR primer amplification efficiency of the 10 pairs of primers (Table 1) (Ramakers et al. 2003). All primers were synthesized by Sangon (Nanjin, China); the primer sequences are listed in Table 1. To assay the expression specificity and efficiency of all primers, PCR was performed and the products were analyzed on a 2.0% agarose gel.

#### Total RNA extraction and cDNA preparation

Total RNA was isolated using the RNAprep Pure Plant Kit (Tiangen, Beijing, China) according to instructions. The Nanodrop ND1000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific) was used to determine total RNA concentration and purity, and RNA samples were then assessed with OD 260/280 > 2.0 and OD 260/230 > 1.8. For each sample, 500 ng of the extracted total RNA were reverse-transcribed with TransScript® One-Step gDNA Removal and cDNA Synthesis SuperMix Kit (TransGen, Beijing, China). RNA extraction and cDNA synthesis from all samples were performed with three biological replicates. Then, a reference gene was used to verify the quality of all cDNA samples by PCR before carrying out qRT-PCR. The results of 2.0% agarose gel electrophoresis showed that all cDNA templates had no genomic DNA contamination.

#### Quantitative real-time PCR

Quantitative real-time PCR amplification reactions were carried out by Light Cycler 480 (Roche, USA). 20 μL reaction mixtures contained 10 μL SYBR Green I Mix, 5 ng cDNA, ddH₂O, and a final primer concentration of 0.4 μM. Reaction mixtures were incubated for 10 min at 95 °C for preincubation, followed by 45 amplification cycles of 15 s at 95 °C, 15 s at 60 °C and 20 s at 72 °C. After that, the specificity of the primer amplicons was checked by melting curve
To rank the expression stability of the 10 candidate reference genes in pear fruit, four publicly available Microsoft Excel-based methods were used: geNorm analysis (Vandesompele et al. 2002), NormFinder analysis (Andersen et al. 2004), BestKeeper analysis (Pfaffl et al. 2004), and comparative Ct methods (Silver et al. 2006). Finally, to select the most stable reference genes according to different algorithms, we compared the candidates based on the web-based comprehensive tool RefFinder (http://www.leonxie.com/referencesgene.php) (Xie et al. 2011).

The geNorm analysis enabled the selection of the optimal set of genes using a gene-stability measure ($M$). The two most stable genes, with the lowest $M$ values, were ranked on the right. On the contrary, the least stable genes with the highest $M$ values were ranked on the left. An

| Gene ID   | Gene annotation                  | Primer sequence                                                                 | Amplicon size (bp) | PCR efficiency (%) | Correlation coefficient (R2) |
|-----------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|
| Pbr041114 | thiosulfate/3-mercaptoppyruvate sulfurtransferase | F: 5'-ACTGGTGACGAGCTTTGCTTCTTTG G-3' R: 5'-GTAATGGCAACCCGAGATCTTC-3' | 196                | 100.3               | 0.996                       |
| Pbr018827 | malate dehydrogenase             | F: 5'-CCCCTTTACCAAGTAGATCCAACTGAGTC-3' R: 5'-AATGGAACACTCTTCAACCATCGAC-3' | 170                | 99.4                | 0.996                       |
| Pbr016048 | endoplasmic reticulum-Golgi compartment protein | F: 5'-AGGGTTTTTTACAGTTTTGCGG GATA-3' R: 5'-CTTAGATCGTCTCTCGACAAAGT-3' | 162                | 99.8                | 0.995                       |
| Pbr028511 | retinal-binding protein          | F: 5'-TCITCTCTACCTTAACGCAAGCACGTCGAC-3' R: 5'-GGAACACTCTTCAACCATCGAC-3' | 189                | 100.5               | 0.999                       |
| Pbr000214 | DNA-binding protein transcriptional regulator | F: 5'-TTGGGTGCTAGACCTGTCTCTCT TGCAAC-3' R: 5'-TCCTATTACTGCTCCGCTCAC-3' | 208                | 100.1               | 0.997                       |
| Pbr038418 | SNF1-related protein kinase      | F: 5'-GATGGTGCTATGAAGATGCCAAATTG-3' R: 5'-TCCCGAGCATCAGTATTGAC-3' | 210                | 98.5                | 0.999                       |
| Pbr016129 | peroxisome biogenesis protein    | F: 5'-GAGTTGCTTGAGTGGATACACATGGC-3' R: 5'-TGACTCACTACCGAATTGAGGAGAC-3' | 171                | 99.1                | 0.982                       |
| Pbr002841 | V-type proton ATPase             | F: 5'-ATGGTGTTTCTGTTCATTCTCTGTCGAT-3' R: 5'-ACGATGAGGACACAGCAAGGCAGAGC-3' | 181                | 99.3                | 0.995                       |
| Pbr027964 | UDP-D-apiose/UDP-D-xylose synthase | F: 5'-CCCAATCATCTGAGCTAAACTAAAG-3' R: 5'-GACTAACCGACCCGTAAGGAC-3' | 168                | 98.3                | 0.997                       |
| Pbr042345 | tubulin (Pbr042345)             | F: 5'-GGGCTTTTGTTCCTCTTGACTCCTCAGAC-3' R: 5'-CTTCTTCTTGTGCTCATCTACCAC-3' | 169                | 98.4                | 0.998                       |
a stability value for each gene according to intergroup and intragroup expression variation. For the estimated expression, enabling variation evaluated the systematic error introduced when using a gene for normalization.

BestKeeper, another Excel-based tool, determines the most stable genes according to the coefficient of
correlation of the candidate reference gene’s Ct values. Genes with the lowest standard deviation (SD) values are the most stable (Pfaffl et al. 2004).

RefFinder is a web-based comprehensive online program that calculates the geometric mean, taking into account the rankings from each aforementioned program to determine the overall final ranking.

Results

Screening of stably expressed genes using transcriptome sequencing data

A total of 28,331 expressed genes were detected (RPKM > 0) for at least one developmental stage in the fruit transcriptome data of three pear cultivars. Genes with FPKM values lower than 5 were considered to be poor qRT-PCR references because of the difficulty in detecting and quantifying their expression (Stanton et al. 2017). After their removal, 10,236 genes in pear were evaluated in the subsequent studies. The coefficients of variation (CV) of the 10,236 gene expression showed a right-skewed distribution (Fig. 1A). The CV% was distributed between 3.3 and 172, including 2142 genes with CV% < 20 (Fig. 1A), which had relatively stable expression in all developmental stages. The value of CV% < 20 was the basic requirement for reference genes (Wang et al. 2019; Chen et al. 2020a). Based on transcript abundance and the CV values for gene expression, potential reference genes with FPKM > 40 and CV% < 20 were selected for testing. Finally, a set of 10 candidate genes were selected, including one common housekeeping gene PbrTUB (Pbr042345) and nine novel genes (Pbr002841, Pbr028511, Pbr038418, Pbr016129, Pbr027964, Pbr041114, Pbr000214, Pbr016048 and Pbr018827) (Supplementary Table 1). The expression variability of the selected genes was analyzed in a log2-RPKM box plot (Fig. 1B).

Identification and characterization of candidate reference genes

A total of 10 candidate reference genes were identified for qRT-PCR normalization from fruit transcriptome sequencing data of various pear cultivars. The details of gene ID, primer sequences, amplicon size, and annealing temperature were listed in Table 1. To identify amplification specificity of primers, agarose gel electrophoresis was performed following PCR. The candidate housekeeping gene PbrTUB was used for comparison. The result showed that all primer pairs had single bands at the expected positions (Fig. 2).

Ct values of candidate reference genes

The average cycle threshold (Ct) values were used to calculate transcript levels of the candidate reference genes in different stages of fruit development. The 10 candidate reference genes displayed a relatively wide range of Ct values, from 22.73 for Pbr002841 to 31.83 for Pbr016129 in the 36 tested samples. In addition, each candidate gene maintained a relatively consistent expression level in all samples (Fig. 3A). Moreover, PbrTUB transcript levels were the most variable with a Ct value of 5.83, while Pbr002841 showed the least variability with a 1.54 Ct value (Fig. 3B). Since gene transcript levels were negatively correlated with Ct values, Pbr002841 had higher expression in pear fruit than the other candidate reference genes.

geNorm analysis

Gene expression stability was verified through geNorm analysis, with average expression stability represented by
Expression levels of 10 candidate reference genes tested in 36 samples of three cultivates. (A) Ct values of 10 candidate reference genes with three replicates. (B) The line across the box indicates the median. The box indicated the 25/75th percentiles. Whisker caps represent the minimum and maximum values.

M value. The lower the M value, the more stable the gene, and vice versa (Vandesompele et al. 2002). The M values of the 10 candidate reference genes were lower than 1.5, the geNorm threshold considered stable, for all samples (Fig. 4). In the Cuiguan group, Pbr028511 and Pbr027964 had the most stable expressions through developmental stages C1–10, while PbrTUB had the most unstable expression (Fig. 4A). In the Housui group, Pbr002841 and Pbr027964 were the two most stable genes through developmental stages H1–13, while Pbr016048 was the most unstable gene (Fig. 4B). Similarly, in the Xueqing group, Pbr041114 and Pbr018827 genes showed the most stability through developmental stages X1–13 (Fig. 4C).

NormFinder and BestKeeper analysis

NormFinder’s geNorm software was used to determine the most suitable internal reference gene. The ΔCt method was performed to directly evaluate the expression stability of candidate reference genes (Andersen et al. 2004). The smaller the value, the better the stability of the reference gene. Pbr002841 had the lowest Ct value in the Cuiguan group,
Fig. 4  Expression stability values (M) of 10 genes in three sample groups indicated in each figure by geNorm software. (A) The Cuiguan fruit (C1–10). (B) The Housui fruit (H1–13). (C) The Xueqing fruit (X1–13)
Fig. 5  Expression stability analysis of 10 candidate genes in three sample groups by NormFinder. (A) The Cuiguan fruit (C1–10); (B) The Hou-sui fruit (H1–13); (C) The Xueqing fruit (X1–13). A lower average expression stability value indicates more stable expression.
Fig. 6  Expression stability analysis of 10 candidate genes in three sample groups by BestKeeper. (A) The Cuiguan fruit (C1–10); (B) The Hou-sui fruit (H1–13); (C) The Xueqing fruit (X1–13). A lower average expression stability value indicates more stable expression.
indicating the highest stability (Fig. 5A). Pbr038418 exhibited the lowest Ct value, indicating the highest stability, in both the Housui and Xueqing groups (Fig. 5B and C). PbrTUB had the highest Ct value in both Cuiguan and Xueqing groups, and was thus the most unreliable candidate (Fig. 5A and C).

BestKeeper was used to estimate the stability of candidate reference genes via standard deviation (SD) (Pfaffl et al. 2004). In the Cuiguan, Housui and Xueqing groups, the lowest SD values were 0.49, 0.39, and 0.44 for Pbr002841, Pbr038418, and Pbr038418 respectively (Fig. 6). The results showed that these three genes were the most stable in their respective groups. Meanwhile, PbrTUB, Pbr016048, and PbrTUB were the most variable reference genes with the highest SD values of 0.79, 0.56 and 0.74 in the Cuiguan, Housui and Xueqing groups respectively (Fig. 6). These results were consistent with the analysis of NormFinder.

**Reffinder analysis**

RefFinder is an online tool used to comprehensively integrate the results of geNorm, NormFinder, BestKeeper, and the ΔCt. It ranks candidates on the basis of their geometric constancy. Consistent with the three tools discussed above, the lowest ranking value corresponded to the highest stability. The comprehensive ranking was displayed in Table 2. This integrated tool indicates that Pbr028511, Pbr038418, and Pbr041114 were the most stable reference genes in Cuiguan, Housui and Xueqing fruit, respectively.

**qRT-PCR validation**

To test the applicability of candidate reference genes experimentally by qRT-PCR, eight genes were selected with contrasting expression patterns in the RNA-seq data (increased or decreased expression patterns) in the three cultivars (Supplementary Table 2). The specific primer pairs of eight selected genes for qRT-PCR validation are listed in Supplementary Table 3. The genes of Pbr028511, Pbr038418, and Pbr041114 were used as reference genes in Cuiguan, Housui and Xueqing fruit, respectively. As expected, the eight genes had nearly identical expression profiles by qRT-PCR than by RNA-Seq analyses (Fig. 7), showing that Pbr028511, Pbr038418, and Pbr041114 are reliable reference genes for gene expression studies.

**Discussion**

Gene expression patterns were widely used to better analyze gene expression levels and understand their biological functions. Recognized as an effective tool for performing accurate and rapid quantification of target gene expression, qRT-PCR was commonly performed in bioresearch. Generally, traditional housekeeping genes were used to standardize the transcriptional accumulations of target genes such as TUB, ACT, UBQ, EF1-α, and GAPDH. Nevertheless, common reference genes are not consistently stably expressed and thus cannot be applied to all species (Hong et al. 2008; Gutierrez et al. 2008). Based on previous studies, reference genes for fruit development vary among different genera and cultivars, such as TEF2, UBQ10, and RP II in peach (Tong et al. 2009), EF1-α, CKL, and WD40 in apple (Zhu et al. 2019), BPS1 and ICDH1 in pear (Chen et al. 2020b), and RPT6A and RPN5A in strawberry (Chen et al. 2021). Therefore, the selection of optimal reference genes for data normalization was critical in qRT-PCR assays. In this study, we identified the appropriate reference genes for fruit development and ripening in the pear cultivars Cuiguan, Housui, and Xueqing.

Transcriptome sequencing analysis is a high-throughput sequencing technology, which provides unbiased test transcripts and increased test specificity (Gu et al. 2018; Hao et al. 2018; Pei et al. 2020). Therefore, transcriptome sequencing data provides a new resource for screening reference genes at the genome level. Candidate reference genes have been identified via transcriptome data in diverse plant species, such as Oryza sativa L. (Narsai et al. 2010), Fagopyrum esculentum Moench (Demidenko et al. 2011), Brassica napus L. (Yang et al. 2014), and Euscaphis konishii Hayata (Liang et al. 2018). In this study, we selected 10 relatively stable candidate reference genes based on the transcriptome data of three pear cultivars in four different developmental stages (Table 1).

Studies on pear, which is identified as the third largest temperate fruit tree, have progressed with the release of pear (Pyrus bretschneideri Rehd.) genome datasets (Wu et al. 2013). In previous studies, several traditional or novel reference genes were identified and evaluated under various biotic or abiotic stresses and at each stage of development in different tissues of diverse pear cultivars. Ubiquitous housekeeping genes may exhibit inconsistent stability under different conditions (Wu et al. 2012; Imai et al. 2014; Li et al. 2015). For instance, EF1α and TUB-b2 were the most stable in different pear cultivars, GAPDH and EF1α were the most suitable in different tissues, while TUB-b2 and GAPDH were the most stable in different developmental stages (Wu et al. 2012). In addition, it has been reported that different groups of pear tissues have different optimal reference genes depending on the experimental purpose. In pollen, the PbrGAPDH, PbrPP2A, and PbrUBI were suitable reference genes for low temperature, NaCl treatment, and CuCl2 treatment conditions, respectively. PbrEF1α was a stable reference gene for all abiotic stresses (Chen et al.
| Groupe | Method       | Ranking Order (Better—Good—Average) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
|--------|--------------|-------------------------------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| cg     | Delta CT     | Pbr02841                            | Pbr028511 | Pbr038418 | Pbr016129 | Pbr027964 | Pbr041114 | Pbr00214 | Pbr016048 | Pbr018827 | PbrTUB |
|        | BestKeeper   | Pbr028511                            | Pbr002841 | Pbr041114 | Pbr016129 | Pbr038418 | Pbr027964 | Pbr041114 | Pbr00214 | Pbr016048 | Pbr018827 | PbrTUB |
|        | Normfinder   | Pbr02841                            | Pbr028511 | Pbr038418 | Pbr016129 | Pbr027964 | Pbr041114 | Pbr00214 | Pbr016048 | Pbr018827 | PbrTUB |
|        | Genorm       | Pbr028511 | Pbr027964 | Pbr038418 | Pbr002841 | Pbr016129 | Pbr041114 | Pbr016048 | Pbr018827 | Pbr00214 | PbrTUB |
|        | Comprehensive ranking (Geomean of ranking values) | Pbr028511 | Pbr002841 | Pbr038418 | Pbr027964 | Pbr016129 | Pbr041114 | Pbr00214 | Pbr016048 | Pbr018827 | PbrTUB (10) |
| hs     | Delta CT     | Pbr038418                            | Pbr02841  | Pbr027964 | Pbr000214 | Pbr041114 | Pbr028511 | Pbr016129 | PbrTUB    | Pbr018827 | Pbr016048 |
|        | BestKeeper   | Pbr028511                            | Pbr038418 | Pbr02841  | Pbr027964 | Pbr016129 | Pbr000214 | Pbr018827 | PbrTUB    | Pbr016048 | Pbr018827 |
|        | Normfinder   | Pbr038418                            | Pbr02841  | Pbr027964 | Pbr016129 | Pbr000214 | Pbr028511 | Pbr018827 | PbrTUB    | Pbr016048 | Pbr018827 |
|        | Genorm       | Pbr02841 | Pbr027964 | Pbr038418 | Pbr028511 | Pbr016129 | Pbr000214 | Pbr018827 | PbrTUB    | Pbr016048 | Pbr018827 |
|        | Comprehensive ranking (Geomean of ranking values) | Pbr038418 | Pbr002841 | Pbr027964 | Pbr028511 | Pbr016129 | Pbr041114 | Pbr00214 | Pbr016048 | Pbr018827 | PbrTUB (10) |
| xq     | Delta CT     | Pbr038418                            | Pbr041114 | Pbr016129 | Pbr018827 | Pbr000214 | Pbr028511 | Pbr027964 | PbrTUB    | Pbr016048 | Pbr027964 |
|        | BestKeeper   | Pbr028511                            | Pbr002841 | Pbr016048 | Pbr018827 | Pbr041114 | Pbr000214 | Pbr028511 | Pbr027964 | PbrTUB    | Pbr016048 |
|        | Normfinder   | Pbr038418                            | Pbr016129 | Pbr018827 | Pbr000214 | Pbr028511 | Pbr027964 | PbrTUB    | Pbr016048 | PbrTUB    | Pbr016048 |
|        | Genorm       | Pbr041114 | Pbr018827 | Pbr028511 | Pbr016129 | Pbr027964 | Pbr000214 | Pbr027964 | PbrTUB    | PbrTUB    | Pbr027964 |
|        | Comprehensive ranking (Geomean of ranking values) | Pbr041114 | Pbr038418 | Pbr018827 | Pbr028511 | Pbr016129 | Pbr00214 | Pbr016048 | PbrTUB (10) |
Fig. 7 qRT-PCR validation by expression profiles of eight genes. *Pbr028511, Pbr038418, and Pbr041114* were used as reference genes in Cui-guan, Housui and Xueqing, respectively. Left and right Y axes represent the relative expression levels and RPKM of target gene, respectively.
In leaf, SKD1 and ARM were the most apropos single reference genes (Liu et al. 2018). In pear peel, ACT6/7/8/9 and NAPI were recommended as the optimal reference genes (Chen et al. 2020a). Of the more well-researched reference genes in pear fruit, PbPDI.F1 displayed the highest expression stability during pear fruit development (Ke et al. 2018), the housekeeping gene EF1a members displayed a clearly unstable expression in pear fruit at different developmental stages (Wang et al. 2018), SOX2 and PP2A displayed stable expression in pear fruit (Wang et al. 2019), and BPS1 and ICDH1 were the high and stable expressed genes (Chen et al. 2020b). In this study, we identified stable and novel reference genes in the fruits of three different pear varieties. Therefore, reliable and accurate reference genes were identified according to different experimental requirements.

We used three analysis algorithms (geNorm, NormFinder and BestKeeper) to evaluate the expression stability of 10 candidate genes in different stages of 10 Cuiguan, 13 Housui, and 13 Xueqing pear fruit samples. Although different statistical algorithms and analytical procedures may lead to different stability rankings, most results were consistent according to these three algorithms. Finally, the online tool RefFinder was used to comprehensively integrate all of the rankings (Table 2). The result showed that Pbr028511, Pbr038418, and Pbr041114 were the most stable reference genes in Cuiguan, Housui, and Xueqing fruit, respectively. In addition, each of the above reference genes possesses more stable expression than PbrTUB in pear fruit in all stages of fruit development and ripening.

Conclusion

In this study, three genes were screened and identified as the most reliable and stable reference genes based on a series of stability analyses in Cuiguan, Housui, and Xueqing pear fruit. Pbr028511 was the most stable reference gene in Cuiguan, Pbr038418 was the most stable reference gene in Housui, and Pbr041114 was the most stable reference gene in Xueqing pear fruit. Therefore, our study provides a series of stable and valuable reference genes that can be applied to exploring gene functions and molecular mechanisms in pear fruit development and ripening.
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Acknowledgments The author thanks the lab members for assistance.

Authors’ contributions WGM carried out the experimental design, data analysis. GC and ZSL designed the experiment and revising the manuscript. WGM and GZH performed the experiments. SLG revised the manuscript and language. QKJ and GHR provided experimental materials. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding This work was financially supported by Jiangsu Agriculture Science and Technology Innovation Fund (CX(19)2028), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31830081), National Key Research and Development Program of China (2018YFD1000107), Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (JCQY201901), the Project Funded by the Priority Academic Program Development of Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions, and the Earmarked Fund for China Agriculture Research System (CARS-28).

Data availability The datasets supporting the conclusions are included within the article.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate Not applicable.

Consent for publication Not applicable.

Competing interests The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

References

Andersen CL, Jensen JL, Ørntoft TF (2004) Normalization of real-time quantitative reverse transcription-PCR data: a model-based variance estimation approach to identify genes suited for normalization, applied to bladder and colon cancer data sets. Cancer Res 64(15):5245–5250

Bustin SA, Benes V, Nolan T, Pfaffl MW (2005) Quantitative real-time RT-PCR - a perspective. J Mol Endocrinol 34(3):597–601

Chen L, Zhong HY, Kuang LF, Li JG, Lu WJ, Chen JY (2011) Validation of reference genes for RT-qPCR studies of gene expression in banana fruit under different experimental conditions. Planta 234(2):377–390

Chen JQ, Li YY, Wang DQ, Li LT, Zhou HS, Liu Z, Wu J, Wang P, Jiang XT, Fabricce MR, Zhang SL, Wu J (2015) Identification and testing of reference genes for gene expression analysis in pollen of Pyrus bretschneideri. Sci Hortic 190:43–53

Chen J, Wang P, De Graaf BH, Zhang H, Jiao H, Tang C, Zhang S, Wu J (2018) Phosphatidic acid counteracts S-RNase signaling in pollen by stabilizing the actin cytoskeleton. Plant Cell 30(5):1023–1039

Chen C, Wang T, Cai Z, Xie G, Chen Z, Yuan Y, Wang Y, Xie Q, Guan X, Chen Q (2020a) Genome-wide identification and validation of optimal reference genes for gene expression normalization in pear peel. Plant Growth Regul 92:249–261

Chen C, Yuan M, Song J, Liu Y, Xie G, Chen Z, Yuan Y, Wang Q, Guan X, Chen Q (2020b) Genome-wide identification and testing of superior reference genes for transcript normalization during analyses of flesh development in Asian pear cultivars. Sci Hortic 271:109459

Chen J, Zhou J, Hong Y, Li Z, Cheng X, Zheng A, Zhang Y, Song J, Xie G, Chen C (2021) Genome-wide identification of ubiquitin proteasome subunits as superior reference genes for transcript normalization during receptacle development in strawberry cultivars. BMC Genomics 22(1):1–14

Demidenko NV, Logacheva MD, Penin AA (2011) Selection and validation of reference genes for quantitative real-time PCR in
buckwheat (Fagopyrum esculentum) based on transcriptome sequence data. PLoS One 6(5):e19434

Deraveaux S, Vandesompele J, Hellemans J (2010) How to do successful gene expression analysis using real-time PCR. Methods 50(4):227–230

Die JV, Roman B (2012) RNA quality assessment: a view from plant qPCR studies. J Exp Bot 63(17):6069–6077

Exposito-Rodriguez M, Borges AA, Borges-Perez A, Perez JA (2008) Selection of internal control genes for quantitative real-time RT-PCR studies during tomato development process. BMC Plant Biol 8(1):1–12

Gu C, Zhou YH, Shu WS, Cheng HY, Wang L, Han YP, Zhang YY, Yu ML, Joldersma D, Zhang SL (2018) RNA-Seq analysis unveils gene regulation of fruit size cooperatively determined by velocity and duration of fruit swelling in peach. Physiol Plantarum 164(3):320–336

Gu C, Xu H-Y, Zhou Y-H, Yao J-L, Xie Z-H, Chen Y-Y, Zhang S-L (2020) Multiomics analyses unveil the involvement of microRNAs in pear fruit senescence under high-or low-temperature conditions. Horticult Res 7(1):1–12

Guenin S, Mauriat M, Pelloux J, Van Wuytswinkel O, Bellini C, Gutierrez L (2009) Normalization of qRT-PCR data: the necessity of adopting a systematic, experimental-conditions-specific, validation of references. J Exp Bot 60(2):487–493

Gutierrez L, Mauriat M, Guenin S, Pellioux J, Lefebvre JF, Louvet R, Rusterucci C, Moritz T, Guerineau F, Bellini C, Van Wuytswinkel O (2008) The lack of a systematic validation of reference genes: a serious pitfall undervalued in reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) analysis in plants. Plant Biotechnol J 6(6):609–618

Hao PP, Wang GM, Cheng HY, Ke YQ, Qi KJ, Gu C, Zhang SL (2018) Transcriptome analysis unravels an ethylene response factor involved in regulating fruit ripening in pear. Physiol Plant 163(1):124–135

He B, Chen H, Shi PB, Hu FQ, Song WJ, Meng L, Lv YD (2021) Systematic identification and validation of housekeeping and tissue-specific genes in Allohexaploid Chenopodium quinoa. Horticulture 7(8):235

Hong SY, Seo PJ, Yang MS, Xiang F, Park CM (2008) Exploring valid reference genes for gene expression studies in Brachypodium distachyon by real-time PCR. BMC Plant Biol 8(1):1–11

Imai T, Ubi BE, Saito T, Moriguchi T (2014) Evaluation of reference genes for accurate normalization of gene expression for real-time quantitative PCR in Pyrus pyrifolia using different tissue samples and seasonal conditions. PLoS One 9(1):e86492

Jain M, Nijhawan A, Tyagi AK, Khurana JP (2006) Validation of housekeeping genes as internal control for studying gene expression in rice by quantitative real-time PCR. BMC Mol Biol 7(1):1–9

Jasun KA, Edger PP, Puzey JR, Kinser T, Cheng P, Vernon DM, Forsthoefel NR, Cooley AM (2017) A whole-transcriptome approach to evaluating reference genes for quantitative gene expression studies: a case study in mulimulus. G3: genes, genomics, Genetics 7(4):1085–1095

Ke Y-Q, Cheng H-Y, Liu X, Zhang M-Y, Gu C, Zhang S-L (2018) Phylogenetic and expression analysis of protein disulphide isomerase unarrivals good reference genes for gene expression studies in pear and peach fruits. Can J Plant Sci 98(5):1045–1057

Li JM, Huang XS, Li LT, Zheng DM, Xue C, Zhang SL, Wu J (2015) Proteome analysis of pear reveals key genes associated with fruit development and quality. Plantas 241(6):1363–1379

Liang W, Zou X, Carballar-Lejaraz R, Wu L, Sun W, Yuan X, Wu S, Li P, Ding H, Ni L (2018) Selection and evaluation of reference genes for qRT-PCR analysis in Eucaphis konishii Hayata based on transcriptome data. Plant Methods 14(1):1–9

Liu Z, Cheng K, Qin Z, Wu T, Li X, Tu J, Yang F, Zhu H, Yang L (2018) Selection and validation of suitable reference genes for qRT-PCR analysis in pear leaf tissues under distinct training systems. PLoS One 13(8):e0202472

Narsai R, Ivanova A, Ng S, Whelan J (2010) Defining reference genes in Oryza sativa using organ, development, biotic and abiotic transcriptome datasets. BMC Plant Biol 10(1):1–13

Nicot N, Hausmann JF, Hoffmann L, Evers D (2005) Housekeeping gene selection for real-time RT-PCR normalization in potato during biotic and abiotic stress. J Exp Bot 56(421):2907–2914

Pei M-S, Cao S-H, Wu L, Wang G-M, Xie Z-H, Gu C, Zhang S-L (2020) Comparative transcriptome analyses of fruit development among pears, peaches, and strawberries provide new insights into single sigmoid patterns. BMC Plant Biol 20(1):1–15

Pfaffl MW, Tichopad A, Prgomet C, Neuvians TP (2004) Determination of stable housekeeping genes, differentially regulated target genes and sample integrity: BestKeeper-excel-based tool using pair-wise correlations. Biotechnol Lett 26(6):509–515

Qi K-J, Wu X, Xie Z-H, Sun X-J, Gu C, Tao S-T, Zhang S-L (2019) Seed coat removal in pear accelerates embryo germination by down-regulating key genes in ABA biosynthesis. J Hortic Sci Biotechnol 94(6):718–725

Ramakers C, Ruijter JM, Deprez RHL, Moorman AFM (2003) Assumption-free analysis of quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) data. Neurosci Lett 339(1):62–66

Sarwar MB, Ahmad Z, Anicet BA, Sajid M, Rashid B, Hassan S, Ahmed M, Husnain T (2020) Identification and validation of superior housekeeping gene(s) for qRT-PCR data normalization in Agave sisalana (a CAM-plant) under abiotic stresses. Physiol Mol Biol Pla 26(3):567–584

Silver N, Best S, Jiang J, Thein SL (2006) Selection of housekeeping genes for gene expression studies in human reticulocytes using real-time PCR. BMC Mol Biol 7(1):1–9

Stanton KA, Edger PP, Puzey JR, Kinser T, Cheng P, Vernon DM, Forsthoefel NR, Cooley AM (2017) A whole-transcriptome approach to evaluating reference genes for quantitative gene expression studies: a case study in mulimulus. G3: genes, genomics. Genetics 7(4):1085–1095

Svec D, Tichopad A, Novosavoda V, Pfaffl M, Kubista M (2015) How good is a PCR efficiency estimate: recommendations for precise and robust qPCR efficiency assessments. Biomolec Detect Quantif 3:9–16

Tang C, Zhu X, Qiao X, Gao H, Li Q, Wang P, Wu J, Zhang S (2020) Characterization of the pectin methyl-esterase gene family and its function in controlling pollen tube growth in pear (Pyrus bretschneideri). Genomics 112(3):2467–2477

Tong ZG, Gao ZH, Wang F, Zhou J, Zhang Z (2009) Selection of reliable reference genes for gene expression studies in peach using real-time PCR. BMC Mol Biol 10(1):1–13

Vandesompele J, De Preter K, Pattyn F, Poppe B, Van Roy N, De Paepe A, Speleman F (2002) Accurate normalization of real-time quantitative RT-PCR data by geometric averaging of multiple internal control genes. Genome Biol 3(7):research0034

Wang G-M, Yin H, Qiao X, Tan X, Gu C, Wang B-H, Cheng R, Wang Y-Z, Zhang S-L (2016) F-box genes: genome-wide expansion, function in controlling pollen tube growth in pear (Pyrus bretschneideri). Genomics 112(3):2467–2477

Wang G-M, Gu C, Qiao X, Zhao B-Y, Ye Q-Y, Guo B-B, Hao P-P, Qi K-J, Zhang S-L (2017) Characteristic of pollen tube that grew into self style in pear cultivar and parent assignment for cross-pollination. Sci Horticult 216:226–233

Wang Y-z, Dai M-s, Cai D-y, Miao L, Wei L, Shi Z-b (2018) Characterizing the expression of translation elongation factor gene EF1α in pear (Pyrus) fruit: evaluation of EF1α as a housekeeping gene. Tree Genet Genomes 14(4):1–10

Wang Y, Dai M, Cai D, Shi Z (2019) Screening for quantitative real-time PCR reference genes with high stable expression using the mRNA-sequencing data for pear. Tree Genet Genomes 15(4):1–10

" Springer
Wu T, Zhang R, Gu C, Wu J, Wan H, Zhang S, Zhang S (2012) Evaluation of candidate reference genes for real time quantitative PCR normalization in pear fruit. Afr J Agric Res 7(25):3701–3704

Wu J, Wang Z, Shi Z, Zhang S, Ming R, Zhu S, Khan MA, Tao S, Korban SS, Wang H, Chen NJ, Nishio T, Xu X, Cong L, Qi K, Huang X, Wang Y, Zhao X, Wu J et al (2013) The genome of the pear (Pyrus bretschneideri Rehd.). Genome Res 23(2):396–408

Xie FL, Sun GL, Stiller JW, Zhang BH (2011) Genome-wide functional analysis of the cotton transcriptome by creating an integrated EST database. PLoS One 6(11):e26980

Yang H, Liu J, Huang S, Guo T, Deng L, Hua W (2014) Selection and evaluation of novel reference genes for quantitative reverse transcription PCR (qRT-PCR) based on genome and transcriptome data in Brassica napus L. Gene 538(1):113–122

Zhu LF, Yang CQ, You YH, Liang W, Wang NN, Ma FW, Li CY (2019) Validation of reference genes for qRT-PCR analysis in peel and flesh of six apple cultivars (Malus domestica) at diverse stages of fruit development. Sci Hortic 244:165–171

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.