Quantifying Mineral Liberation – A Conventional and New Automatic Sophisticated Techniques Approach
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Abstract
The characterization of textural properties of minerals is closely related to the process of their respective liberation. Measurements of mineral liberation, related to ground ore, can be performed using optical ore microscopy, by conventional, classical methods – point counting, linear intercepts method or planimetric measurements method (2D). Modern automatic devices and sophisticated measurement techniques (QEMSCAN/MLA) imply recording free surfaces area of mineral grains on polished sections samples in order to determine mineral degree of liberation. Value of mineral liberation obtained over free surfaces area can be of interest to flotation concentration, although not for gravity separation or, for example, magnetic separation. The prediction accuracy for behavior of one feed ore during the concentration process depends on the method of measuring/recordling mineral liberation. Considering raw materials with complex textural characteristics it is crucial which method will be applied for determination of mineral liberation respecting whether for concentration process is crucial physical or chemical method.
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Introduction
The calculation of the mineral liberation degree of the given mineral is made on representative samples of a classified ground ore, on polished surfaces of mineral grain sections (2D) applying the ore microscopy. Measurements are performed using point counting (Glagolev-Chayes method), linear intercepts method (The Rosiwal-Schand method) or planimetric measurements method (De Lesse method). After measurements have been performed, so called Gaudin correction coefficient- the stereological error correction is added. Based on the measurements of the liberation degree of the mineral of interest, it is possible to predict the behaviour of the raw material during the concentration and predict the quality of the future concentrate, i.e. the loss causes of the useful mineral in the tailings. Nowadays, due to current devices and sophisticated techniques of automatic characterization of minerals (QEMSCAN/MLA) some researchers (Al Cropp, 2013) determine the calculation of mineral liberation on the basis of the size of free surfaces area of the mineral of interest. Namely, the total mineral surface area whereby a flotation reagent can make a direct contact is determined. This “calculation of liberation” (obtained over the size of free surface area of the mineral of interest particles) can point to possible (high) recovery, but at the same time to the very low quality of the concentrate. Figure 1 shows the concept according to which the categories of calculation of mineral liberation, measured over the size of free surfaces area, can be compared with conventional understanding of the mineral liberation (modified Cropp, 2013).

According to conventional, widely accepted definitions of liberation of the given mineral in the ground ore, the raw material grains/particles cannot be liberated partially, i.e. more or less, in a very wide range (from a few percents to almost completely free). Multiphase grain/particle is always non-liberated, middling particle, which can be classified as intermediate product and sent to regrinding – additional liberation. Therefore, the intergrown grains cannot be further classified into classes according to the liberation degree of the mineral of interest. They can be categorized into groups according to the size of the free surface areas, regarding the external contacts over which the mineral grains can interact with the reagents for concentration. For the category of intergrown grains, only the percentage (volume, mass) average-middle share of the measured mineral in the intergrowths can be expressed, for all the measured intergrown grains, or these data can be classified into certain group intervals (interval of 10%).

Calculation of the contiguity index. In order to enable the successful concentration of useful minerals from the raw material, it is necessary, before crushing, to determine a number of characteristics of associated minerals related to non-grinded, raw ore, such as crystal size, shape, type of intergrowth, frequency and the complexity of contact surfaces of some mineral pairs and other structural characteristics like their distribution, etc.

Methods of measurement
Mineral liberation determination. The distribution of linear intercept lengths on polished sections of the feed ore samples gives a very useful characterization of the mineralogical texture such as grain and crystal aggregate sizes and shape, specific surface areas, mineral-mineral association, surface coatings, proximity index, contiguity index, degree of liberation etc.

Calculation of the contiguity index. In order to enable the successful concentration of useful minerals from the raw material, it is necessary, before crushing, to determine a number of characteristics of associated minerals related to non-grinded, raw ore, such as crystal size, shape, type of intergrowth, frequency and the complexity of contact surfaces of some mineral pairs and other structural characteristics like their distribution, etc.
Textural characterization of ore-contained minerals can be performed and represented by descriptive (Amstutz, 1960; Craig and Vaughan, 1994; Amstutz and Giger, 1972), and accurate numerical data which are commonly more convenient for operating engineers. For a mineral liberation prediction based on microscopy of the textural-structural properties of the ore, one must determine: first, the mode and degree of mineral intergrowth; secondly, the minerals which intergrow with mineral of interest in the technological process, when the ore contains deleterious components.

Associations of the selected pairs of minerals may be expressed by the "contiguity index" or, as termed by some authors (Gurland, 1958), "intergrowth, locking or proximity index" which is approximately the same as the connectivity (Amstutz and Giger, 1972). Where ground ore is concerned, this index is closely related to the free surface area of the selected mineral and its relationship with other associated minerals. The contiguity index relates the given grain surface area of the selected mineral and its total surface. This parameter is useful for parent ore, as the input processing material, to predict the mineral liberation, and even more for the analysis of liberation from comminuted ore to characterize the intergrown grains.

The coordination number or coordination index (Jeulin, 1981), has been extensively used in textural characterizations of various rocks (Amstutz and Giger, 1972) and their classifications. Thus the coordination number between Ai and Ak phases is given by the relation:

\[
K_{[A_i,A_k]} = \frac{N_{[A_i,A_k]} \cdot N}{N_{A_i} \cdot N_{A_k}}
\]

where:
- \( N_{[A_i,A_k]} \) – number of contacts between A\(_i\) and A\(_k\),
- \( N \) – total number of investigated grains,
- \( N_{A_i} \) – number of grains A\(_i\),
- \( N_{A_k} \) – number of grains A\(_k\).

Contiguity index of mineral A to mineral B can be written (Gurland, 1958; Jones and Barbery, 1975) as:

\[
V_{A:B} = \frac{S_{A:B}}{S_A} = \frac{S_{V(A,B)}}{S_A} \cdot 100/S_A
\]

where
- \( V_{A:B} \) – is the proximity index,
- \( S_{A:B} \) – is the surface area of A in contact with B,
- \( S_A \) – is the total surface area of mineral A;
- \( S_{V(A,B)} \) – is the specific surface area of A in contact with B (i.e. the contact area per unit volume of A),
- \( S_{V(A)} \) – is the specific surface area of A, and
- \( P_{A:B} \) – proximity index of minerals A and B (%).

The specific surface area of mineral is calculated from the relation (Jones and Barbery, 1975):

\[
s_{V(A)} = S_{V(A)} / V_A \cdot 4 / \pi
\]

where
- \( S_{V(A)} \) – specific surface area of mineral A,
- \( V_A \) – total surface of mineral A,
- \( V_A \) – volume of A, and
- \( \pi \) – mean intercept length on mineral A.
There was a typographical error in the expression $(\sigma_{\pi})^2 = -\log(\sigma_{\pi})^2 / 2(\log(\sigma_{\pi}))^2 + \log(\sigma_{\pi}) - 2x^{(1/2)}$, which should have been $(\sigma_{\pi})^2 = -\log(\sigma_{\pi})^2 / 2(\log(\sigma_{\pi}))^2 + \log(\sigma_{\pi}) - 2x^{(1/2)}$.
Results and discussion

In the past ten and more years, the mineral liberation has been expressed in two ways. The first, based on the volumetric/mass distribution of one mineral in free grains in relation to its total presence, in free and intergrown grains in the ore. The second way, adjusted to contemporary devices and sophisticated automatic measurement methods, based on the size of the free surface area, namely the external boundary zone of mineral particle contacts which can result in the contact of concentration reagents and the given mineral. In the 2D space, that is the grain perimeter, the size of the free peripheral line on the product surface. In real 3D conditions, all such grains are intergrowths, and they are by no means really free grains. Certainly, both methods of measurement contribute to the general characterization of mineral grains and indicate, i.e. provide a better evaluation of the mineral grains behaviour during their concentration, especially flotation.

The mass distribution of the measured free grain mineral in the sample is obtained by multiplying the results with the density of the given mineral, while the size of the measured perimeter (free edges) on the intergrown grains is obtained by putting in relation to the total free surface of the given mineral measured in the 2D level. Both perimeters can be calculated using Barbier’s formula (Perez-Barnuevo et al, 2012).

Conclusions

We should bear in mind that once obtained results of calculation of liberation of the given mineral must be interpreted adequately depending on the device or the recording method. The final values, as well as the integral mineral liberation, will not be comparable if the measurement is carried out by different methods.

At the same time, the prediction of the grinding fineness, the concentrate quality, the recovery, possible losses of useful minerals in the tailings will differ significantly depending on the method of liberation recording.

This paper, among other things, should serve to clarify how important the mineral textural characteristics of the preparation processes involved are, and how important it is to understand the relation of the mineral liberation and the size of the free surface areas of the mineral in the ground raw material.

The liberation and free surface areas of minerals are crucial for concentration processes and must be a high priority for engineers engaged in dressing processes.
Ocena uwolnienia minerałów – podejście konwencjonalne i nowe techniki automatyczne

Charakterystyka właściwości tekstury minerałów jest blisko związana z procesem ich uwolnienia. Pomiary uwolnienia minerałów związane są z mieleniem rudy i mogą być wykonane za pomocą mikroskopu optycznego przy zastosowaniu konwencjonalnych metod – liczenia punktów, metody linii przecięcia albo metody pomiarów planimetrycznych (2D). Nowoczesne urządzenia automatyczne, jak również wyrafinowane techniki pomiarowe (QEMSCAN/MLA) stosują pomiar powierzchni wolnych ziaren minerału na próbkach wypolerowanych przekrojów w celu określenia stopnia uwolnienia minerałów. Wartość tego uwolnienia otrzymana na podstawie pola powierzchni wolnej może być przedmiotem zainteresowania w kontekście prowadzenia procesu flotacji, aczkolwiek nie w przypadku wzbogacania grawitacyjnego, czy magnetycznego. Prawidłowość prognozy odnośnie zachowania rudy podczas procesu zależy od metody oceny uwolnienia minerałów. Biorąc pod uwagę surowce o skomplikowanej teksturze bardzo ważnym jest, którą metodę zastosuje się w celu określania stopnia uwolnienia minerałów pamiętając także o tym, czy dany proces jest oparty o metody fizyczne, czy też chemiczne.
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