The effect of influenza vaccine in reducing the severity of clinical outcomes in patients with COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis
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Recent evidence suggests that vaccination against influenza may reduce the clinical outcomes of COVID-19. This study looked at the link between influenza vaccination and the severity of COVID-19 infection. We searched five databases until August 2021. We included studies that reported the relationship between influenza vaccination and COVID-19 outcomes. We pooled the data as risk ratio (RR) or mean difference (MD), with 95% confidence intervals (CIs), the data pooled using fixed and random effects models according to the heterogeneity of results. Sixteen observational studies with 191,496 COVID-19 patients were included. In terms of mechanical ventilation, our analysis showed a significant favor for the influenza vaccinated group over the non-vaccinated group (RR = 0.72, 95% CI [0.54, 0.96], \( P = 0.03 \)). However, the analysis indicated no statistically significant differences between vaccinated and non-vaccinated groups in the term of mortality rate (RR = 1.20, 95% CI [0.71, 2.04], \( P = 0.50 \)), hospital admissions (RR = 1.04, 95% CI [0.84, 1.29], \( P = 0.75 \)), intensive care admissions (RR = 0.84, 95% CI [0.44, 1.62], \( P = 0.60 \)). There were no significant differences between those who had received the influenza vaccine and those who had not in COVID-19 clinical outcomes, except for mechanical ventilation which showed a significantly lower risk in the influenza vaccinated group compared to the non-vaccinated one. However, future research is encouraged as our data have limitations, and the influenza vaccine is regularly updated. Also, this does not exclude the importance of the influenza vaccine during the COVID-19 pandemic.

The World Health Organization (WHO) announced the pandemic of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in March 20201. COVID-19 is a respiratory syndrome with a wide range of severity that is caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2)2. COVID-19 pandemic has invaded the world, leading to global physical and mental health effects3. The pandemic’s severity emphasizes how crucial it is to administrate FDA-authorized vaccines successfully. On the other hand, vaccine hesitancy can be considered a significant hazard towards achieving this4.

Meanwhile, influenza also represents a considerable public health burden despite the presented therapeutic and preventative measures5. Vaccines against influenza have been used for over 60 years. There have been evolved and improved methods to evaluate the vaccine efficacy and effectiveness in that time; however, there are still challenges. Those include influenza vaccine effectiveness being a moving target. Influenza viruses keep evolving.
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so vaccine strains are regularly updated, the population’s immune profile changes and novel vaccine products are being developed to provide improved protection. According to the WHO and the Pan American Health Organization (PAHO), COVID-19 and influenza viruses share similarities in terms of disease presentation and modes of transmission. However, there are some differences, for example, the speed of transmission, as influenza has a shorter incubation period as well as serial interval compared to COVID-19. The influenza virus’s serial interval is three days, but, that for COVID-19 was estimated as five to six days, indicating that influenza could spread faster than COVID-19. On the hand, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) recently stated that, generally, COVID-19 virus is more contagious than influenza viruses, and that COVID-19 can spread, in a quick and easy way, to plenty of people. Overall, resulting in a continual spreading among people as time progresses. Another difference includes that COVID-19 appeared to cause more severe illnesses, and that COVID-19 serious illness could lead to hospitalization and mortality even in healthy individuals.

The suggested theoretical mechanisms for a potential protective effect of the influenza vaccine against COVID-19 included the MF59 presence in the influenza vaccine, which was shown to help in potentiating an immune response against SARS-CoV variants. Another proposal included if the vaccine could stimulate enough trained innate immune memory; so, that when another respiratory pathogen such as SARS-CoV-2 occurred, the local lung immune system would be primed for a rapid response. Overall, this could affect the SARS-CoV-2 acquisition or the COVID-19 disease course. Furthermore, another theory was based on the suggestion that influenza and COVID-19 viruses engage with the angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE-2) and tetraspanin antibodies. Therefore, ACE-2 and tetraspanin antibodies might inhibit covid-19 and low-pathogenic influenza A virus.

There have been controversial results about whether there is an association between influenza vaccination and the severity of COVID-19 outcomes or not. Therefore, this study aims to solve the controversial results by investigating whether influenza vaccination reduces the severity of COVID-19 in patients or not.

Methods

Protocol and registration. This review follows the recent updates of PRISMA-P, and MOOSE guidelines. Our protocol was a priori registered in the PROSPERO registry (CRD42021273299).

Literature search. We conducted our searches in the following databases; Embase, PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, OVID, and Cochrane Central database, till the 5th of August 2021. We used the following search strategy for our search on PubMed: ((Flu OR Influenza OR Influenza virus) AND (“COVID 19” OR COVID-19 OR SARS-CoV-2 OR SARS2 OR 2019-nCoV OR Coronavirus OR Corona OR “Coronavirus Disease 2019” OR “Coronavirus Disease-19” OR “Novel Coronavirus”)) AND (vaccination* OR vaccine* OR shot OR jabs). Then we adapted to other databases: We also conducted a manual search including the references of our included studies and the google scholar search.

Selection criteria. Our criteria include observational retrospective or prospective studies (case–control, and cohort studies) of living human beings that met the following criteria: (1) patients were diagnosed with COVID-19; (2) studies that compared COVID-19 patients who received influenza vaccine against patients who did not receive it. We excluded (1) any study that did not report health-related outcomes; (2) studies that did not use influenza vaccine as exposure; (3) studies that did not identify the status of patients if they are COVID-19 or not; (4) ecological studies; (5) duplicated studies or studies with untrusted data; (6) studies written in a language other than English; (7) animal studies, conference abstract, book chapter, letter, editorial, comment. Two independent reviewers (H.W.A. and A.H.) screened the titles and abstracts of retrieved records; then they screened the eligibility of studies to our criteria by full-text screening. They classified them into included, excluded, or undecided studies. We solved disagreements by consulting a third reviewer (M.S.Z) whenever necessary.

Quality assessment. We evaluated our included studies using the Newcastle–Ottawa Quality Assessment Scale for case–control or cohort studies. This scale consists of a star-awarding system for each specific methodological section, and according to this system, an overall quality score was generated for each study. The scale ranges from 0 to 9 points, where the studies were categorized as high risk (0–3 score), moderate risk (4–6 score), or low risk (≥7 scores). Two investigators (M.K. and H.W.A.) independently performed the quality assessment. In case of disagreements, a final decision was reached by consulting a third investigator (A.H.).

Data extraction. This review aimed to investigate the association between health-related outcomes of COVID-19 patients and previous influenza vaccination. By using formatted excel sheets, four authors (A.F., M.E., F.A., and K.R.) independently extracted: (1) The summary data of the eligible studies including country, study design, patients number, influenza vaccinated and non-vaccinated numbers, year of vaccination, and COVID-19 test results; (2) patient data at the start of the study including mean age, gender, and comorbidities as Hypertension, Diabetes, COPD or asthma, renal failure, or Cardiovascular diseases; (3) outcomes including mortality rate, hospital admission, intensive Care Unit (ICU) admission, hospitalization time, ICU time, mechanical ventilation, and incidence of COVID-19 symptoms or pneumonia. A discussion with another author (H.W.A.) solved disagreements in data extraction.
Data synthesis and statistical analysis. We analyzed the data using mean difference (MD) for continuous data and risk ratio (RR) for dichotomous data. By using the Mantel Haenszel (M–H) method, homogenous data were pooled in a fixed-effect model, while heterogeneous data were pooled using a random-effect model, with corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CI). We assessed the heterogeneity using inspection and chi-square test, and the proportion was identified by I-square tests. The statistical significance was detected by P value < 0.05. We conducted the subgroup analysis for mortality rate to stratify patients into three groups USA, Italian, and other patients. We pooled our data using Review Manager software Version 5.4.

Results

Literature search and study selection. Our search collected 6058 papers from six online databases and 450 papers from other sources, including manual search and google scholar. Of the total retrieved 6508 papers, we excluded 1818 duplications. Then 4667 papers were excluded from 4690 papers after the title and abstract screening. The remaining 23 articles were screened for the eligibility criteria. A total of 1611–13,18–30 papers were finally included for the final qualitative analysis, and only 1311–13,19–22,24,25,27–30 of them underwent quantitative analysis (Fig. 1).

Study characteristics. Fourteen included studies were cohort studies, while the remaining two were case-controls. The included studies had a total sample size of 244,642 patients, of them, 191,496 COVID-19 positive patients. Most of the studies were performed in Italy, while the others were conducted in Brazil, Poland, Spain, Iran, and England. For SARS-CoV-2 infection identification, all studies used Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) test, however, three studies did not report the SARS-CoV-2 infection identification test used. The summary of all included studies is presented in Table 1 and patient data is presented in Table 2.

The quality of the included studies. The quality assessment was assessed using the Newcastle–Ottawa scale. Based on the scale, nine out of the sixteen included studies were scored 9/9, and the other seven studies were scored 8/9. All included studies scored a low risk of bias (Supplementary Tables 1 and 2).
Quantitative synthesis. Mortality rate. The pooled effect estimates of seven studies\cite{12,13,19,20,22,28,30} with a total sample size of 125,658 COVID-19 patients showed no significant difference between influenza vaccinated group and non-vaccinated group in the term of mortality rate (RR = 1.20, 95% CI [0.71, 2.04], \( P = 0.50 \); Fig. 2a). Pooled results were heterogeneous (\( P < 0.00001 \), \( I^2 = 98\% \)). After introducing subgroups according to the countries: USA\cite{12,28}, Italian\cite{13,20,22}, and other countries\cite{19,30}, the results remain non-significant in USA and other group (RR = 0.82, 95% CI [0.60, 1.13], \( P = 0.22 \)), (RR = 0.97, 95% CI [0.86, 1.09], \( P = 0.58 \)), and also non-significant in the Italian group (RR = 1.87, 95% CI [1.00, 3.49], \( P = 0.05 \)), (Fig. 2b). The heterogeneity resolved in USA, and other groups (\( P = 0.16 \), \( I^2 = 49\% \)), and (\( P = 0.88 \), \( I^2 = 0\% \)) respectively, while it remains heterogeneous in Italian group (\( P = 0.0007 \), \( I^2 = 86\% \)).

Hospital admission. The pooled effect estimates of eight studies\cite{12,13,19,20,22,25,27,29,30} (N = 132,460 COVID-19 patients) showed no significant difference between influenza vaccinated group and non-vaccinated group in the term of hospital admissions (RR = 1.04, 95% CI [0.84, 1.29], \( P = 0.75 \)); Fig. 3a). Pooled results were heterogeneous (\( P < 0.00001 \), \( I^2 = 98\% \)), the heterogeneity cannot be resolved. Wilcox et al.\cite{30} reported the hospitalization and death, following its removal from the analysis, the results remained non-significant (RR = 1.01, 95% CI [0.71, 1.45], \( P = 0.94 \)), and heterogeneous (\( P < 0.00001 \), \( I^2 = 98\% \)).

Hospitalization time (days). The pooled effect estimates of four studies\cite{12,19,20,28} with a total sample size of 1716 COVID-19 patients indicated no significant difference between influenza vaccinated group and non-vaccinated group in the term of time staying at hospital (MD = –0.16, 95% CI [–2.76, 2.45], \( P = 0.91 \); Fig. 3b). Pooled results were heterogeneous (\( P = 0.004 \), \( I^2 = 78\% \)), and the heterogeneity could be resolved when removing Conlon et al.\cite{12} but the results remained non-significant (RR = 0.97, 95% CI [–1.23, 3.17], \( P = 0.39 \), \( P = 0.13 \), \( I^2 = 50\% \)).

ICU admission. The pooled effect estimates of six studies\cite{12,13,20,25,28,29} (N = 116,399 COVID-19 patients) showed non-significant difference between influenza vaccinated group and non-vaccinated group in the term of ICU

Table 1. Summary of the included studies. SD, Standard deviation; RT-PCR, Reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction; (**), Total population contain positive and negative COVID-19; (*), COVID-19 positive patients; (\(^{\circ}\)), Age in range; NR, Not reported.
admissions (RR = 0.84, 95% CI [0.44, 1.62], P = 0.60); Fig. 3c. Pooled results were heterogeneous (P < 0.00001, I^2 = 95%), the heterogeneity cannot be resolved. Massari et al.\(^\text{15}\) reported the rate of ICU admission and death composite, after removing this study from the analysis, the results remained non-significant (RR = 0.71, 95% CI [0.44, 1.16], P = 0.17). Pooled results showed heterogeneity (P = 0.002, I^2 = 77%).

**ICU time (days).** The pooled effect estimates of two studies\(^\text{20,28}\) (N = 202 COVID-19 patients admitted to the ICU) revealed no significant difference between the influenza vaccinated group and the non-vaccinated group in the term of time staying at ICU (MD = 0.99, 95% CI [−2.15, 4.13], P = 0.54); Fig. 3d. Pooled results were homogenous (P = 0.32, I^2 = 0%).

**Mechanical ventilation.** The pooled effect estimates of four\(^\text{11,19,20,28}\) studies of 1716 COVID-19 patients showed a significant favouring towards the influenza vaccinated group over the non-vaccinated group in the term of mechanical ventilation (RR = 0.72, 95% CI [0.54, 0.96], P = 0.03; Fig. 4a). Pooled results were homogeneous (P = 0.10, I^2 = 52%).

**Symptoms appearing on the patient.** The pooled effect estimates of three studies\(^\text{11,21,24}\) of 1372 COVID-19 patients indicated no significant difference between influenza vaccinated group and non-vaccinated group in the term of symptomatic and asymptomatic cases (RR = 0.55, 95% CI [0.23, 1.36], P = 0.20; Fig. 4b), (RR = 1.17, 95% CI [0.76, 1.80], P = 0.20; Fig. 4c) respectively. Pooled results were heterogeneous (P < 0.00001, I^2 = 95%), P < 0.00001, I^2 = 94%) respectively, and the heterogeneity cannot be resolved.

**Qualitative synthesis.** Fink et al.\(^\text{18}\) a retrospective cohort study report data from 53,752 Brazilian COVID-19 patients reported that patients who receive influenza vaccine were 16% lower odds of death (95% CIs [0.78, 0.90]), 7% lower odds of needing ICU treatment (95% CIs [0.87, 0.98]), and 17% lower odds of requiring respiratory support (95% CIs [0.77, 0.88]); while Pedote et al.\(^\text{28}\) another retrospective cohort study reported no association between influenza vaccination and mortality rate (OR = 1.6, 95% CI [0.8–3.2], P = 0.165), or hospitalization (OR = 1.2, 95% CI [0.7–1.9], P = 0.51). Also, Pastorino et al.\(^\text{23}\) reported the same non association results with influenza and pneumococcal vaccine.

**Discussion.**

Our pooled results demonstrated a significant favouring of mechanical ventilation in influenza vaccinated COVID-19 patients over the non-vaccinated ones. However, it showed no statistical differences between influenza vaccinated and non-vaccinated COVID-19 patients, in any of the mortality rates, hospital admission, hospitalization time, ICU admission, ICU time, and appearance of symptoms. Still, it is worth noting that a study by Fink et al.\(^\text{18}\), (N = 53,752 COVID-19 cases), could not enter the analysis but was included in the systematic
They reported that recently influenza vaccinated patients had an average of 7% lower odds, 17% lower odds, and 16% lower odds of ICU treatment, invasive respiratory support requirement, and death, respectively. So, the results might have differed if this study was applicable to enter the analysis.

Thindwa et al.31 concluded that there was a relatively small magnitude of COVID-19 morbidity and mortality prevention by influenza vaccine and 23-valent pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccine, while at that time, they could not eliminate the probability of a considerable amount of prevention of COVID-19 related mortality. Our findings are similar to Wang et al.32 as they reported no statistical association between both groups in terms of mortality, hospitalization, or ICU in COVID-19 patients. However, they reported that patients who received the influenza vaccine showed a lower risk of SARS-CoV-2 infection. On the other hand, McIntosh et al.33 stated that pneumococcal and influenza vaccination could reduce SARS-CoV-2 nosocomial transmission by reducing hospitalizations for pneumonia and COVID-19 severity. They also noted that exploring co-administration of pneumococcal and influenza vaccines with a safe and effective SARS-CoV-2 vaccine is needed33.

Fink et al.18 discussed a suggestive explanation of the association between the influenza vaccine and lower risk of COVID-19 adverse outcomes. This included that the life vaccines can trigger the trained innate immunity34,35, and result in a recognized ‘off-target’ protection against various pathogens besides those directly targeted by the given vaccine36,37.

The CDC supported the safety of the influenza vaccine for pregnant women, and still, the CDC keeps on gathering data regarding this matter. The given flu shots in pregnancy aid in protecting both the pregnant woman and the baby from influenza38. Despite de la Cruz Conty et al. not observed a significant association between the maternal vaccination and the COVID-19 outcomes, they reported several limitations to the study. The limitations included the small sample size of influenza-vaccinated patients and their characteristics. Some of them had respiratory co-morbidities or other medical history-related factors that suggested the influenza vaccination21.

In children, influenza infection without vaccination increases the susceptibility to other serious illnesses24,39,40, and result in a recognized ‘off-target’ protection against various pathogens besides those directly targeted by the given vaccine.26,37.

The CDC supported the safety of the influenza vaccine for pregnant women, and still, the CDC keeps on gathering data regarding this matter. The given flu shots in pregnancy aid in protecting both the pregnant woman and the baby from influenza38. Despite de la Cruz Conty et al. not observed a significant association between the maternal vaccination and the COVID-19 outcomes, they reported several limitations to the study. The limitations included the small sample size of influenza-vaccinated patients and their characteristics. Some of them had respiratory co-morbidities or other medical history-related factors that suggested the influenza vaccination21.

In children, influenza infection without vaccination increases the susceptibility to other serious illnesses24,39,40, and result in a recognized ‘off-target’ protection against various pathogens besides those directly targeted by the given vaccine.26,37.

**Figure 2.** Mortality rate. This figure shows the forest plot of (a) Mortality rate between influenza vaccinated group and non-vaccinated group, (b) Subgroups of mortality rate according to countries USA, Italian, and other patients.
Globally, COVID-19 led to critical supply shortages, including ICU, hospital bed supply, hospital staff, and mechanical ventilators for affected regions41. Our results of significantly decreased mechanical ventilation rates in influenza vaccinated COVID-19 patients could spare efforts and lessen the burden on the healthcare system, as well as potentially provide better patients outcomes. However, this is limited because only four studies were included in the mechanical ventilation outcome, including retrospective studies. Even though our results showed no significant differences between influenza vaccinated and non-vaccinated in several COVID-19 patients’ severe outcomes, this shall never deny the importance of influenza vaccination, especially during a pandemic. This can be clarified by that influenza and COVID-19 share similar symptoms and that there have been reports of their co-infections, which showed a more severe course, complications, or a fatal outcome42,43. In addition, COVID-19 and influenza have common high-risk groups. They are deleterious for older people and people with chronic co-morbidities, such as obesity, and residents of long-term care facilities44,45. Moreover, improving influenza vaccination rates will improve influenza morbidity and mortality and spare the overloaded health system during COVID-19. Eventually, this will preserve efforts and allow proper functioning without draining the resources46.

This study included large sample size and included studies, and each step was conducted at least twice. Moreover, all included studies had a low risk of bias. On the other hand, the limitations include the inclusion of retrospective studies which are liable to bias. Also, the results showed heterogeneity which sometimes could not be resolved. There has been a wide variety of included populations, as some studies included all COVID 19 patients, others included only hospitalized ones, one study only included pregnant patients21, and another one only included paediatrics population24. Also, there were different follow-up periods.

**Figure 3.** Hospital and ICU outcomes. This figure shows the forest plot of (a) Hospital admission, (b) Hospitalization time, (c) ICU admission, (d) ICU time.
Conclusion

The analysis showed a significant favor for mechanical ventilation in influenza vaccinated COVID-19 patients over the non-vaccinated ones, on the other hand, there were no significant differences between influenza vaccinated and the non-vaccinated groups among COVID-19 patients in the mortality rate, hospital admission, hospitalization time, ICU admission, ICU time, and appearance of symptoms. However, the study is limited by the heterogeneity of data and the inclusion of retrospective studies, besides that most of the included studies have not assessed viral infections other than COVID-19. Also, this should not overlook the importance of influenza vaccination, especially during the COVID-19 pandemic. Future research of high-quality randomized controlled trials is recommended to further assess the efficacy of the influenza vaccine in COVID-19 patients. The regular updating of the influenza vaccine should also be put into consideration. Other possible important confounding factors should also be taken into consideration, such as patient’s health literacy, and socioeconomic status.
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Figure 4. Mechanical ventilation and symptom’s appearance. This figure shows the forest plot of (a) mechanical ventilation, (b) symptomatic cases, (c) asymptomatic cases.
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