The influence of laparoscopic vs. open gastric bypass on hemodynamic function in morbidly obese patients during general anesthesia
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Abstract

Introduction: The open or laparoscopic procedure has an important influence on the hemodynamic function in morbidly obese patients undergoing bariatric surgery. The anesthesiologist and surgeon must be aware of changes in hemodynamic performance during laparoscopy or laparotomy under general anesthesia.

Aim: To evaluate and compare the hemodynamics in two types of surgery: open vs. laparoscopic.

Material and methods: After obtaining the local ethics committee approval 60 morbidly obese (MO) patients (body mass index ≥ 40 kg/m²) scheduled for elective open or laparoscopic Roux-en-Y gastric bypass were included. Patients were allocated to study groups depending on the scheduled type of surgery (no randomization). General anesthesia with sevoflurane was performed. The hemodynamic parameters were recorded using a HemoSonic 100 device – a transesophageal Doppler measurement at time points: T1 – initial, T2 – after creating pneumoperitoneum (group PP) or opening the abdomen (group OP).

Results: Complete data were collected on 28 patients in group LP and 21 in group OP. There was no statistical difference between groups in demographic data. At time point T2 in both groups the parameters stroke volume, peak velocity and cardiac index decreased, and total systemic vascular resistance increased significantly compared to T1 (p < 0.05). In group LP the parameters cardiac output and acceleration (Acc) of blood decreased significantly compared to T1 (p < 0.05). There were significant differences between groups (p > 0.05) in cardiac output, total systemic vascular resistance and Acc, which were significantly higher in the OP group. In both groups mean arterial pressure increased and heart rate stayed similar to T1 with no significant difference (p > 0.05). No complications were observed.

Conclusions: Pneumoperitoneum has a significant negative influence on hemodynamic function during laparoscopic bariatric procedures compared to open surgery in morbidly obese patients.
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Introduction

If total body weight (TBW) corresponds with ideal body weight (IBW) the person is considered not to be obese. Ideal body weight may be defined as the body weight that provides the lowest health risk – risk of comorbidities leading to serious alteration in life important systems (cardiovascular, respiratory and metabolic) and as a result the lowest mortality for a given height, age, sex and frame size. The nutritional status of a person can be described by body mass index (BMI) [1].

People are considered obese when their BMI, a measurement obtained by dividing a person’s weight in
kilograms by the square of the person’s height in meters, exceeds 30 kg. A BMI of \( \geq 40-49.9 \text{ kg/m}^2 \) is morbid obesity, and a BMI of \( \geq 50 \text{ kg/m}^2 \) is super obesity.

A morbidly obese (MO) patient presents many unique challenges to the anesthetist. In addition to problems with venous access, patient positioning and airway control, obesity is associated with many cardiovascular conditions, which have important implications for the administration of anesthesia. There is an increase in the frequency of chronic diseases, such as systemic arterial hypertension, hypertensive heart disease, pulmonary arterial hypertension, and right and left ventricular failure. These conditions are very important during the perioperative period. Although there are some papers on the hemodynamic effect of pneumoperitoneum (PP) in the obese, those available do not compare the influence of different types of surgery – laparoscopic vs open – on cardiovascular function during general anesthesia for bariatric procedures.

**Aim**

The aim of the prospective observational study was to compare the influence of laparoscopic or open surgical procedures on hemodynamic function in MO during general volatile anesthesia.

**Material and methods**

Ethical approval for this study (RNN/257/03/KE) was provided by the Ethical Committee of Medical University of Lodz, Poland on 16 December 2003. Sixty patients scheduled for an elective laparoscopic or open Roux-En-Y gastric bypass procedure were included in the prospective study. Written informed consent from the study participants was obtained. Patients were divided into two groups based on scheduled surgery: laparoscopic (LP) or open (OP) – no randomization was performed. Patients with co-existing cardiovascular diseases were excluded from the study, except for well-controlled hypertension induced by obesity. All patients were in ASA status 2 and NYHA 1 or 2.

Induction to anesthesia in both groups was performed with propofol 1.5–2.0 mg/kg of corrected body weight (CBW = 130% of IBW), fentanyl 100 \( \mu \text{g} \), midazolam 3 mg and atracurium 0.6 mg/kg of IBW. Maintenance of anesthesia: All patients were anesthetized using sevoflurane. The patient’s lungs were ventilated with a mixture of oxygen, air and sevoflurane in Vol% depending on age and clinical parameters. In both groups repeated doses of fentanyl 0.005 mg/kg of CBW and atracurium 0.2/kg of IBW were administered intravenously.

Hemodynamic function was measured by a transesophageal Doppler probe using a HemoSonic 100 device (Arrow, USA). Measurements time points: T1 – after induction to anesthesia, T2 – insufflation of abdomen: creating PP (LP group, PP pressure was 15 mm Hg) or opening abdomen (OP group). Measured parameters: mean arterial pressure – MAP, heart rate – HR, acceleration – Acc, peak velocity – PV, left ventricular ejection time – LVET, cardiac output – CO, stroke volume – SV; parameters adjusted for body surface area and age: total systemic vascular resistance – TSVR, cardiac index – CI. Measurements were taken 2–4 times at every time point and the mean was counted for analysis. Values of parameters at time points and differences between groups at time points were analyzed.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical significance was set at 5%. The distribution of data was tested with the Shapiro-Wilk test. Statistical analysis for parametric data was performed using the ANOVA test with Friedman modification, post-hoc Tukey test, and \( t \)-test, and for nonparametric data the Wilcoxon test. To compare changes in parametric data between groups the \( t \)-test was used and for nonparametric data the Mann-Whitney test.

**Results**

Complete data were collected in 28 patients in group LP and 21 in group OP. No complications were observed. There was no statistical difference between

| Characteristics | Group LP | Group OP |
|-----------------|----------|----------|
| Gender (M/F)    | 13/28    | 7/14     |
| BSA [m²]        | 2.5      | 2.42     |
| Age [years]     | 39.8     | 42.0     |
| Weight [kg]     | 134.0    | 138.3    |
| Height [cm]     | 169.2    | 167.3    |
| BMI [kg/m²]     | 45.9     | 46.2     |

Values are mean
groups in demographic data (Table I). The results of measurements are presented in Table II. Initial hemodynamic parameters (T1) were similar in both groups with no significant difference ($p > 0.05$). At time point T2 in both groups the parameters SV, PV and CI decreased, and TSVR increased significantly compared to T1 ($p < 0.05$). In group LP the parameters CO and Acc decreased significantly compared to T1 ($p < 0.05$). There were significant differences between groups ($p > 0.05$) in CO, TSVR and Acc, which were significantly higher in group OP. In both groups MAP increased and HR remained similar to T1 with no significant difference ($p > 0.05$).

**Discussion**

The nutritional habits and status of the population are changing so morbid obesity is more and more common [1]. Obese patients have an abnormal cardiovascular status. Laparoscopy in bariatric surgery represents a modern method generally associated with lower morbidity and mortality, compared with the traditional surgical approach [2, 3]. However, in patients with impaired cardiovascular function, the laparoscopic approach is limited by the possible adverse hemodynamic impact. Although significant detrimental intra-operative hemodynamic and respiratory changes occur in MO during laparoscopic procedures there are no studies comparing the influence of laparoscopy and open surgery on hemodynamic function in MO patients.

The influence of PP on respiratory and cardiovascular systems was well described by Nguyen and Wolfe [4]. A morbidly obese patients have a 2 to 3 times higher intra-abdominal pressure than that of non-obese patients. Laparoscopic bariatric surgery requires abdominal insufflation with CO$_2$ and an increase in the intra-abdominal pressure up to 15 mm Hg with serious adverse consequences of PP. Laparoscopy in the MO, as in the non-obese, can lead to systemic absorption of CO$_2$ and increased requirements for CO$_2$ elimination. The increased intra-abdominal pressure enhances venous stasis, reduces intraoperative portal venous blood flow, decreases intraoperative urinary output, lowers respiratory compliance, increases airway pressure, and impairs cardiac function. Intraoperative management to minimize the adverse changes include optimizing anesthesia technique to minimize the effects of increased intra-abdominal pressure on renal and cardiac func-

---

**Table II.** Cardiovascular parameters at time points: T1 – after induction to anesthesia, T2 – insufflation of abdomen – creating pneumoperitoneum (LP) or opening abdomen (OP), T3 – Fowler position and PP

| Parameter | CO | SV | LVET | Acc | PV | TSVR | CI | MAP | HR |
|-----------|----|----|------|-----|----|------|----|-----|----|
| T1        | 6.39 | 6.26 | 86.05 | 80.85 | 340.11 | 353.02 | 16.52 | 17.43 | 84.30 | 95.90 | 1248.83 | 1126.30 | 2.45 | 2.53 | 82.09 | 82.75 | 72.46 | 75.73 |
| T2        | 5.0* | 5.625 + | 69.94* | 62.5* | 328.43 | 337 | 11.50* | 17.4 + | 65.00* | 63.25* | 1601.90* | 1827.75* | 1.93* | 2.25* | 95.50 | 89.07 | 71.00 | 72.33 |

* $p < 0.05$ compared to T1, $+p < 0.05$ compared to LP group. LP – laparoscopic RYGB group, OP – open RYGB group. Values are mean.
Authors came to the same conclusions [7, 11, 13]. Although some authors concluded that PP has significant effects on hemodynamics in MO, they claim that these changes were of marginal clinical significance [8–10]. The hemodynamic changes are attenuated when the patient is placed in the reverse Trendelenburg position and almost completely corrected when the abdomen was deflated at the completion of the procedure [6, 12]. When comparing the results of the above-mentioned papers we found some differences in methodology, anesthetics used and other important parameters including fluid load and PP pressure. In the majority of cited studies volatile anesthesia with isoflurane [7, 11] or sevoflurane [8–10] was performed. Only in one study was intravenous anesthesia with propofol performed [12]. The authors also used different methods of measurement of studied parameters: thermodilution with Swan-Ganz catheter [6, 7, 14], cardioimpedance [8–11] and transesophageal echocardiography [12, 13]. There was no other study performed using a HemoSonic 100 device apart from ours. Those methods may be compared but there are some important differences. Bajorat et al. compared measurements taken with the HemoSonic 100 with PICCO, NICO and Swan-Ganz catheters [15]. The results are similar, but HemoSonic 100 may show slightly lower values than thermodilution. The correlation was 0.84. Sawai et al. point out that arterial blood flow measured by HemoSonic 100 may change together with changes in PaCO₂ which occurs during capnoperitoneum [16]. HemoSonic 100 transesophageal Doppler measurement is a non-invasive, easy to perform method. It is more reliable than older Doppler methods because it measures aorta diameter in real time. However, it has some disadvantages: it is very sensitive to the patient’s movements. The axis between the echographic beam and aorta diameter should not exceed 20°. The recommended level of transducer is Th6 but in some patients, such as the MO, it may be difficult to estimate it [17].

Fluid load in cited studies was similar and was between 5 and 10 ml/kg of IBW. In our study we administered 500 ml of crystalloid before induction of anesthesia followed by infusion of 5 ml/kg of IBW. The PP pressure varied from 15 mm Hg [11, 14], 17 mm Hg [4] up to 20 mmHg [12]. In our study PP pressure was set at 15 mm Hg.

In the LP group the changes in cardiovascular parameters were similar to the results of other in-
vestigators, who studied the influence of PP on hemodynamic function in MO anesthetized with one method, in most studies volatile anesthesia. In the OP group CO, PV and SV decreased significantly compared to T1. It may be due to placement of the Thompson retractor, which creates pressure on the diaphragm and mediastinum which may influence preload. However, the negative influence of this is not as significant as the influence of LP on hemodynamic parameters. Our study confirms that PP has an important negative impact on hemodynamic function during general anesthesia in MO. After insufflation of abdomen contractility and flow parameters decrease significantly (CO, Acc, PV, SV), afterload parameters increase (TSVR). However, those changes are not clinically observed as serious cardiovascular disturbances. Blood pressure measured by standard means during laparoscopic procedures in MO does not provide precise information on cardiovascular hemodynamic function. Changes in contractility and flow parameters can only be estimated by advanced hemodynamic monitoring. Transesophageal Doppler monitoring is easy, safe and does not produce complications. It is precise enough to optimize anesthesia and fluid management during laparoscopic procedures in MO, increasing safety of the patients.

The PP has an important negative impact on hemodynamic function during general anaesthesia in MO [18]. However, those changes are not clinically observed as serious cardiovascular disturbances. Open surgery creates less significant changes in important parameters such as CO and CI. There were important differences between studied groups regarding the influence on hemodynamic function during laparoscopy in MO patients or open surgery. This suggests that MO patients who suffer from cardiovascular diseases should be scheduled carefully for laparoscopic bariatric procedures. Open surgery gives not only more stable hemodynamic performance but also allows for lower pressures of mechanical ventilation during the bariatric procedure and general anesthesia in MO [19].

The anesthesiologist and surgeon, being aware of the influence of type of surgery on the cardiovascular system, should cooperate in the perioperative period: during cardiovascular preoperative evaluation and optimization, perioperative management of possible hemodynamic disturbances which may determine the success of the surgical procedure, and management of possible complications.

Conclusions

Pneumoperitoneum has a significant negative influence on hemodynamic function during laparoscopic bariatric procedures in morbidly obese patients compared to open surgery.
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