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Abstract: The employment of sugar acid catalysts for biodiesel synthesis from non-edible palm fatty acid distillate (PFAD) has received huge research interest in recent times by reason of their stability and high catalytic performance. Notwithstanding, the need to extend research on the kinetic characteristics of these heterogeneous catalysts is important in order to understand their reaction mechanisms. The present investigation deals with the kinetics for the esterification of PFAD by means of modified sulfonated carbonized glucose catalyst to biodiesel in a three necked conventional reflux batch reactor. The efficient catalyst was synthesized by sulfonation of incomplete carbonized glucose. The pseudo-homogeneous first and second order (equimolar) mechanism was utilized to interpret the data at optimum operating conditions of 10:1 molar fraction of methanol to PFAD, 4 h time of reaction and 4 wt.% quantity of catalyst at varying reaction temperature of 50-65°C. Furthermore, some important properties of the PFAD biodiesel produced were assessed utilizing ASTM methods. The experimental data best fitted the bimolecular model (equimolar) second order model. The activation energy was calculated to be 55.08 kJmol⁻¹ which indicates that the catalyst was very active in the esterification of the PFAD to biodiesel. Most of the measured fuel properties of the PFAD biodiesel were comparable with the ASTM standards.
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1. Introduction

Free fatty acids (FFA) esterification and triglycerides (TG) transesterification with short chain alcohol like methanol are the key chemical routes for the synthesis of biodiesel also known as methyl esters [1-3]. Due to environmental benefits and origin of renewable resources, biodiesel has been increasingly studied as an effective alternative fuel resource. Biodiesel offers better advantages which include biodegradability, lower emission of CO₂, better lubrication of engines, less toxic to human and zero sulfur emission compared to conventional diesel [4-6].

Biodiesel production utilizing edible oil as feedstock results in high price of the product compared to the conventional diesel. The cost of edible oil feedstock is known to account for about 60~75% production cost of the biodiesel fuel [7]. The less cost oils are those that involve high quantity of (FFA) like waste cooking oil, animal fats and a number of non-edible oils. Utilizing low-cost feedstocks for biodiesel production is gaining economic attractiveness [8-10]. Thus, alternative cheaper feedstocks have been investigated and studied in recent times. One of the low-cost feedstock that has gained attention is the palm fatty acid distillate (PFAD).

In 2017, Malaysia and Indonesia were the highest producers of palm oil with the production capacity of 19.2 and 36.5 million metric tons of crude palm oil
(CPO), respectively [11]. In Malaysia alone, every year about 700,000 metric tons of PFAD are generated from palm oil as by product of the refining process [12]. PFAD contains about 85-95% FFA and 5-15% triglycerides with little quantity of squalene, vitamin E and sterols [13]. The transesterification of PFAD with homogeneous catalysts present some technical issues which comes from costly separations and purification steps. To eliminate these issues the heterogeneous solid acid catalyst has been introduced [14]. Mostly, production of biodiesel using heterogeneous solid catalytic system possess the ability to overcome problems associated with homogeneous system with advantages of being less corrosive, environmentally benign, reusable, and ease of the catalyst removal from the reaction mixture [15]. Heterogeneous acid catalysts have been understood to be excellent in biodiesel synthesis using low-cost oils because of their unique properties especially enhancing esterification plus transesterification reaction thereby overcoming the two step production [16].

The kinetic model studies involving heterogeneous acid catalyzed esterification reaction have been accounted for in literature and could be classified into four major applications based on the system utilized: the pseudo-homogeneous (PH), the Eley–Rideal (ER), the Langmuir–Hinshelwood (LH) and the Popken (PP) models [17]. Among these models, the PH model (second order) has been utilized to describe numerous FFA esterification in the presence of triglyceride [18]. The PH model is mostly adopted due to its similarity to the homogeneous reaction where no adsorption term is involved in the reactive medium for any species [17]. Rattanaphra et al. [18] reported that the esterification kinetic model of myristic acid mixed with refined rapeseed oil with sulfated zirconia followed the PH model. They further reported a reversible second order PH model to justify the experimental data and the model satisfactorily interpreted the results. Also, Amberlyst-15 a heterogeneous catalyst was used in the kinetic model study of the transesterification of methyl stearate by means of n-butanol as a reactant in excess amount [19]. The kinetic data obtained were fitted to a pseudo-homogeneous model.

Many researches have been performed on the utilization of heterogeneous catalytic system to synthesize biodiesel [20-23] but there have been fewer works to describe the kinetics. Previously, Kefas et al. [24] successfully synthesized and characterized modified sulfonated glucose catalyst which was used for the production of biodiesel from PFAD. However, there was no kinetic study conducted on the employment of the solid catalyst for the esterification of the PFAD. Therefore, this research is aimed at further investigation of the FFA esterification of the PFAD using the synthesized catalyst. The research was experimented in a three necked conventional reflux batch reactor to obtain the kinetic data at different temperatures. The esterification was performed at optimized conditions of 10:1 molar fraction of methanol to PFAD and 4 wt% amount of catalyst over range of time.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials

The PFAD utilized for the experiment was obtained from a company, Sime Darby Sdn. Bhd. (Klang Selangor) in Malaysia. The physicochemical properties of the PFAD are shown in Table 1. Commercial d-glucose, ammonium sulfate (NH₄₂SO₄) and hexane were obtained from R&M chemical. Concentrated sulfuric acid (H₂SO₄), hydrochloric acid (HCI), potassium hydroxide (KOH), ethanol, and sodium hydroxide (NaOH) were bought from fisher scientific. Isopropyl alcohol and methanol were purchased from Systerm Company.

2.2. Synthesis of Catalyst

As aforementioned in previous study [24], the catalyst was synthesized by adjustment of the methods reported by Zong et al. [23] and Shuit and Tan [25]. The precursor (incomplete carbonized glucose) was obtained by heating glucose material at 400°C for 12 h under nitrogen ambient in a furnace. The carbonized solid was ground to powder and mixed with 11.25% (NH₄₂SO₄) solution. It was sonicated for 10 min and sulfonated at 235°C for 30 min. To eliminate excess of (NH₄₂SO₄) from the mixture, it was washed with distilled water and dried at 105°C for 30 minutes. The dried material was then mixed with concentrated H₂SO₄ (96%) and sulfonated at 151°C temperature under nitrogen gas flow for 5.34 h. The mixture was washed to remove sulfate ions with distilled water, filtered and oven dried at 105°C for 12 h.

Table 1. Physical and chemical properties of the PFAD utilized [24].

| Properties                      | PFAD          | Methods          |
|--------------------------------|---------------|------------------|
| FFA content (%)                | 90.04         | AOCSS Ca 5a-40   |
| Iodine value, (gI₂·(100 g)⁻¹)  | 54.60         | AOCSS C1·1-25    |
| Conventional mass pervolume at 50°C (kg·L⁻¹) | 0.8875 | AOCSSC 3·25     |
| Saponification value (mg KOH)·(g sample)⁻¹ | 205.68 | AOCSSA 3·38     |
| Moisture content (%)           | 0.11          | AOCSS Aa 3·38    |
| Composition of fatty acid (wt%) |               |                  |
| C₁₂:0 (Lauric acid)            | 0.04          |                  |
| C₁₄:0 (Myristic acid)          | 1.26          |                  |
| C₁₅:0 (Palmitic acid)          | 51.64         |                  |
| C₁₆:0 (Stearic acid)           | 4.17          |                  |
| C₁₇:0 (Oleic acid)             | 36.43         |                  |
| C₁₈:2 (Linoleic acid)          | 6.46          |                  |

2.3. PFAD Esterification

The PFAD esterification with glucose acid catalyst was done utilizing three necked conventional reflux reactor (Figure 1). The feedstock was first melted by heating in the oven at 80°C and then measured quantity was transferred into the batch reactor. Required amount of methanol and catalyst were also introduced into the reactor and was heated to the required temperature for reaction to commence. Operating parameters for instance weight of catalyst in the range of 1-7 wt%, molar fraction of methanol to PFAD in the range of 5:1-12:1, temperature in the range of 45–70°C, and time of reaction in the range of 1–7 h were investigated and results reported in previous research [24].
2.4. Kinetic Experiment of the Esterification Reaction

The kinetics was performed to determine the reaction rate, order of reaction, rate constant and also the activation energy (Ea) required for converting FFA to biodiesel. As mentioned previously, the kinetic work for the esterification was done optimized operating conditions of 10:1 m, 4 h time, 4 wt.% catalyst load, and 600 rpm stirring speed in a three neck conventional reflux batch reactor (Figure 1) with varied reaction temperatures of 50°C, 55°C, 60°C and 65°C. Approximately, 5 ml sample was taken from the reactor after 10, 20, 40, 60, 90, 120, 180 and 240 minutes reaction time intervals. Each sample was taken in a centrifuge tube and iced to stop the reaction. The product was separated from the mixture and the free fatty acid content of the product plus that of the feedstock was determined using the AOCS Ca 5a-40 procedure [24] from Eqn 1. The% FFA conversion was determined from Eqn. (2)

\[ FFA \text{ value} = \frac{\text{Titre value} \times 0.1 \text{NaOH} \times 28.2}{\text{Weight of sample}} \]  \tag{1} \\

\[ \text{FFA conversion (\%)} = \frac{\text{FFA value of feedstock} - \text{FFA value of product}}{\text{FFA value of feedstock}} \times 100 \]  \tag{2}

2.5. PFAD Fatty acid Methyl Ester (FAME) Properties Analyses

The properties of the PFAD FAME were assessed subsequently using ASTM methods. The kinematic viscosity of PFAD FAME was determined employing the Cannon–Fenske routine viscometer for liquids that are transparent following the ASTM D445 method. The density of FAME was determined utilizing pycnometer. The measurement steps were done following the ASTM D4052 method. The pour and cloud point of PFAD FAME were determined employing the Cloud and Pour Point Tester, Petrotest. The pour point was performed using ASTM D97 standard method while the cloud point test was by ASTM D2500 standard method. The flash point was performed by means of the Flash Point Tester, Petrotest PM4 following ASTM D93 method. Calorific value of PFAD FAME was performed by employing Oxygen Bomb Calorimeter, Parr following the ASTM D240 procedure. The carbon residue was estimated utilizing the Conradson Carbon Residue apparatus according ASTM D189 method. The water content was measured by Coulometric KF titration method following the ASTM D6304 specification. The acidity of the FAME was evaluated by titration following the ASTM D974 method while the copper strip corrosion test followed the ASTM D130 method.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Kinetic Model

The esterification reaction that occurred between free fatty acid of the PFAD and methanol catalyzed with acid is relatively a simple reversible reaction represented below:
In general, solid acid catalyst is insensitive and favourable to low quality feedstock with high FFA like the PFAD. The mechanism (pathway) of the acidic sites (Brønsted) of the catalyst for the PFAD esterification consists of the following steps as depicted in Figure 2. (a) The Brønsted acidic sites of the carbon based catalyst (sulfonated glucose acid catalyst) begins the reaction by providing a proton to protonate the carbonyl carbon of the FFA molecules. (b) This is followed then by the nucleophilic attack from the hydroxyl group of the methanol (\(\text{CH}_3\text{-OH}\)) on carboxonium ion to form tetrahedral intermediate (I) specie. (c) Is the rearrangement of the proton of hydroxyl of the methanol which was then moved to the carbonyl oxygen of the FFAs. (d) The tetrahedral intermediate (II) specie was dehydrated forming one molecule of water and a protonated ester, and finally (e), the proton of the tetrahedral intermediate (II) specie was moved to the \(\text{SO}_3^{\text{H}}\) to restore the Brøntsted active sites (\(\text{SO}_3^{\text{H}}\)) and fatty acid methyl esters (FAME) were formed [26, 27].

The results showed that the reactions were fast and achieved about 60% conversion after 20 minutes and became slower as the time of reaction increased up to 240 minutes. The graph also indicated that temperature has a marginal influence on fatty acid conversion within the chosen temperature range of 50°C to 65°C. The purpose of studying reaction kinetics was to develop kinetic models that properly fit the experimental data and this was achieved by trial and error method. All kinetic models depend on the nature of the data utilized in the research work and can be concentration based and fractional weight based kinetics model [28]. The assumptions made to the kinetic model to fit the experimental data in this study were:

1. The behavior of the esterification reaction follows pseudo-homogeneous.
2. The reverse reaction was neglected, since methanol was used in excess.

### 3.1.1. First Order Kinetic Model Test

Pseudo-homogeneous model of first order corresponding to the reaction in Equation 3 can be described as follow:

\[
-r_A = - \frac{dC_A}{dt} = kC_A C_B \tag{4}
\]

Where \(-r_A\) is the rate of reaction of FFA, \(C_A\) is the concentration of FFA, \(C_B\) is the concentration of methanol while \(k\) stands for the rate constant for the forward reaction. Since excess methanol was used, \(C_B\) is assumed to be fixed. Hence the reaction rate can be expressed as pseudo first order.

\[
(-r_A) = kC_A \tag{5}
\]

Equation 3 can be written as:

\[
(-r_A) = - \frac{dC_A}{dt} \tag{6}
\]

Substituting equation 6 to 5 gives:

\[
- \frac{dC_A}{dt} = kC_A \quad \frac{dC_A}{dt} = -k dt \tag{7}
\]

Integrating equation 7 becomes:

\[
\ln C_A - \ln C_{A0} = kt \quad \ln \frac{C_{A0}}{C_A} = kt \tag{8}
\]

From equation 8 graph of \(\ln \frac{C_{A0}}{C_A}\) against time were plotted at various temperatures, where \(k\) which is the slope is the reaction rate constant. Figure 4 shows the graph of \(\ln \frac{C_{A0}}{C_A}\) versus time at various chosen temperatures of reaction.
The experimental data did not correlate well with the pseudo first order model because the regression coefficients $R^2$ were not quite good (below 0.9) except for that of 65°C that was up to 0.9 (Figure 4). In addition, the straight line plot is expected to pass through the origin for first order model [29].

3.1.2. Second Order Kinetic Model Test

The rate equation corresponding to the reaction in equation 3 for irreversible bimolecular second order reaction is:

$$-r_A = -\frac{dC_A}{dt} = -\frac{dC_B}{dt} = kC_A$$

Where $C_A$ and $C_B$ represent the concentration of PFAD free fatty acid and methanol, respectively and $k$ stands for the rate constant of the reaction in the forward direction.

However, considering the irreversible bimolecular second order reaction with equimolar reactant concentration, the assumption behind this model is that reactants are used in stoichiometric amount ($CA = CB$). Hence, from equation 9, the rate equation can be expressed as:

$$-r_A = -\frac{dC_A}{dt} = kC_A^2$$

Integration of equation 10 gives:

$$\frac{1}{C_A} = \frac{1}{CA_0} + kt$$

From equation 11, graphs of $\frac{1}{C_A}$ versus time were plotted at each temperature, where $k$ represent rate constant and $\frac{1}{CA_0}$ is the intercept.

Figure 5 depicts the graphs of $\frac{1}{C_A}$ versus time for the selected temperatures of reaction. The experimental data correlated quite well with the equimolar model having $R^2$ (regression coefficient) greater than 0.9, although in the esterification reaction excess methanol was used. According to the report by Coker [29], the equimolar kinetic model was chosen because the data available for the kinetic model was concentration (FFA value) which complies with the experimental data and graphical plots. The reaction rate constants at various reaction temperatures at optimum operating conditions from Figure 5 plots are $2 \times 10^{-4}$, $2.47 \times 10^{-4}$, $3.04 \times 10^{-4}$ and $5.33 \times 10^{-4}$ g.mg$^{-1}$ min$^{-1}$.

As indicated in Table 2, the esterification rate constant from the kinetic models increased with increase in temperature. The rate constant increased from $2 \times 10^{-4}$ to $5.33 \times 10^{-4}$ as the temperature of reaction was increased from 50 to 65°C. The results indicate that reaction temperature positively influences the rate of esterification of the PFAD to FAME.
3.2. Activation Energy (Ea) Determination

Activation energy (Ea) is expressed as the energy barrier reactants have to prevail over in order to react [30] and this was determined using Arrhenius equation. Arrhenius equation relates how temperature influences a reaction at equilibrium state represented as:

\[
k = A e^{-\frac{E_a}{RT}}
\]

(12)

Where \(k\) stands for rate constant, \(A\) represents the pre-exponential factor or frequency factor, \(E_a\) represents energy of activation, \(T\) stands for absolute temperature while \(R\) stands for the universal gas constant. \(\ln k\) versus \(\frac{1}{T}\) graph was plotted and the gradient was \(\frac{E_a}{R}\) as indicated in Figure 6.

![Figure 6. Graph of ln k vs 1/T.](image)

The activation energy was calculated to be 55.08 kJmol\(^{-1}\) with \(R^2\) of 0.893. The calculated activation energy is in agreement with the conversion results. The activation energy result shows the amount of energy barrier that the reactants have to surmount inorder to react [30] with the aid of the optimized sulfonated glucose catalyst in the esterification. The catalyst influences the reaction rate enabling the formation of the product, thus leading to lower activation energy than the uncatalyzed reaction [29].

This result is also comparable to reported activation energy of 56 kJmol\(^{-1}\) and 57 kJmol\(^{-1}\) for LO (lanthanum oxide) and HZSM (zeolite) catalyzed esterification of FFA (oleic acid) with methanol, respectively [31]. Berrios et al. [32] reported 51 kJmol\(^{-1}\) activation energy for FFA esterification of sunflower oil with 5% \(H_{2}SO_4\) concentration. The activation energy was better compared to 145 kJmol\(^{-1}\) reported by Sawitri et al. [20] for the esterification of FFA in PFAD with sulfated zirconia catalyst. This result also shows that the prepared catalyst was much more active than the sulfated zirconia catalyst for esterification of PFAD feedstock to biodiesel.

3.3. Fuel Properties of PFAD Biodiesel

Some relevant fuel characteristics of the PFAD FAME were determined, as shown in Table 3, in accordance with the ASTM biodiesel standard [33], which are kinematic viscosity (40 °C), density, pour point, flash point, cloud point, copper strip corrosion, total acid number water content as well as calorific value.

The kinematic viscosity of the PFAD FAME (biodiesel) fell within the prescribed ASTM D 6751 specifications and a little bit higher for EN 14214 [34] specifications. The kinematic viscosity expresses the flow behavior and the possibility of the fluid to be deformed by tensile or shear stress. It is also a relevant parameter for fuel atomisation and distribution. The density of PFAD FAME was within both the specifications for ASTM and EN (860 - 900 kg/m\(^3\)) standards. Flash point of the PFAD FAME was much better than diesel fuel and was above both fuel minimum standards, as expected. Flash point is known as the ignition temperature of the biodiesel when exposed to a spark or flame. This property is usually given consideration in safe handling and storage of fuels.

| Properties                        | PFAD FAME | Diesel fuel [35] | ASTM D 6571 | EN 14214 |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|------------------|-------------|----------|
| Density (kg/m\(^3\)) at 15°C      | 865       | 850              | 860 - 900   | 860 - 900 |
| Kinematic viscosity (mm\(^2\)/s) at 40°C | 5.46     | 2.6              | 1.9 – 6.0    | 3.5 – 5.0 |
| Flash point (°C)                  | 140       | 68               | 130 min     | 120 min   |
| Pour point (°C)                   | 15        | -15              | -15 to 10   | -         |
| Cloud point (°C)                  | 16        | -10              | -3 to 12    | -         |
| Calorific value (MJ/kg)           | 42.1      | 42-46            | >35         | -         |
| Copper strip corrosion (50°C, 3 h) | 1a*       | 1a               | No. 3 max.  | No. 1 min. |
| Water content (% vol.)            | 0.0092    | 0.02             | 0.03 max    | -         |
| Total acid number                 | 0.782     | -                | 0.80 max    | 0.50 max  |
| (mgKOH/g)                         | 0.05      | 0.17             | 0.05 max    | -         |

*: ASTM copper strip colored reproduction classification description, ‘1a’ signifying slight tarnish (light orange, almost same as freshly polished strip)

On the contrary, the PFAD FAME displayed a high cloud and pour point when compared to the ASTM standard and diesel fuel temperatures. These increased values are attributed to the characteristics of the PFAD to comprise of high amount free fatty acid. These parameters indicate the minimum temperature for the biodiesel usage. The main discrepancy...
between the properties is that at cloud point temperature, fuel can still be utilized whereas at pour point temperature it becomes solidified and unusable. The calorific value of the FAME was evaluated to be 42.1 MJ/Kg and this falls within the ASTM D 6751 specification for smooth operating engine.

The acid value was below the maximum ASTM specification although a little bit higher than the European maximum specified value. However, the copper stip corrosion result for the PFAD FAME was within the specifications for EN and ASTM. The water content of the PFAD biodiesel was below the maximum ASTM specification indicating that the biodiesel will neither cause corrosion of the fuel system components nor reduce the heat of combustion.

4. Conclusions

The kinetics of the modified sulfonated glucose catalyzed esterification of PFAD to FAME was successfully studied in a conventional reflux batch reactor. The kinetic data for the PFAD FFA esterification can be best described using the bimolecular model (equimolar model) second order reaction. The activation energy was found to be 55.08 kJmol⁻¹ which is comparable to other esterification reaction and quite low (better) to 144.92 kJmol⁻¹ obtained from using sulfated zirconia catalyst for PFAD esterification. The prepared catalyst was able to esterify the high FFA feedstock achieving > 93% conversion and 91.87% FAME yield under optimized operating conditions from previous study by Kefas et al. [24]. The results of the fuel properties were in good agreement with ASTM standards except for low temperature properties which were slightly above the standard. Activation energy shows that the prepared catalyst is efficient for converting high FFA feedstock to biodiesel.
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