Regional Fat Distributions Are Associated With Subclinical Right Ventricular Dysfunction in Adults With Uncomplicated Obesity

Jing Liu, Jing Li, Jianqun Yu, Chunshao Xia, Huaxia Pu, Wenzhang He, Xue Li, Xiaoyue Zhou, Nanwei Tong and Liqing Peng

Department of Radiology, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China; Department of Endocrinology and Metabolism, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China; MR Collaboration, Siemens Healthineers Ltd., Shanghai, China

Objective: Obesity is a prominent public health problem that has increased cardiovascular mortality risks. However, the specific effects of obesity, independent of comorbidities, on cardiac structure and function have not been well clarified, especially those effects on the right ventricle (RV). Cardiovascular magnetic resonance (CMR) tissue tracking can assess detailed RV mechanical features. This study aimed to evaluate RV strain using CMR in uncomplicated obese adults and assess its association with fat distributions.

Methods: A total of 49 obese patients and 30 healthy controls were included. The RV global systolic function and strain parameters based on CMR were assessed. Body fat distributions were measured with dual X-ray absorptiometry. RV function indices of obese patients were compared with those of healthy controls. Correlations among related body fat distribution parameters and RV function indices were conducted with multivariable linear regression.

Results: Compared with healthy controls, the obese group had impaired RV strain with lower global longitudinal peak strain (PS), longitudinal peak systolic strain rate (PSSR), circumferential and longitudinal peak diastolic strain rates (PDSR) (all \( P < 0.05 \)), while LV and RV ejection fractions were not significantly different between the two groups (\( P > 0.05 \)). Multivariable linear regression analysis demonstrated that android fat\% was independently associated with longitudinal PS (\( \beta = -0.468, \) model \( R^2 = 0.219 \)), longitudinal PDSR (\( \beta = -0.487, \) model \( R^2 = 0.237 \)), and circumferential PSSR (\( \beta = -0.293, \) model \( R^2 = 0.086 \)). Trunk fat\% was independently associated with circumferential PDSR (\( \beta = -0.278, \) \( \beta = 0.369, \) model \( R^2 = 0.234 \)).

Conclusions: Extensive subclinical RV dysfunction is found in uncomplicated obese adults. BMI, as an index of overall obesity, is independently associated with subclinical RV dysfunction. In addition, central obesity (android fat and trunk fat distributions) has
INTRODUCTION

Overweight and obesity have rapidly increased global disease burden over the last decades. To date, no country has successfully reversed this obesity epidemic (1, 2). Since the beginning of the 21st century, obesity has become an epidemic in China caused by rapid economic growth with an estimated increase in prevalence of obesity of 0.32% per year (3). Obesity-related comorbidities, such as diabetes, hypertension, and coronary heart disease, are major risk factors that contribute to heart failure. However, in obese subjects with no clinically apparent cardiovascular risk factors, subclinical structural and functional changes can also be noted, which predisposes these individuals to heart failure at some point (4, 5). Previous studies examining cardiac function and the cardiovascular system have mainly focused on obese patients with comorbidities, while only few researches have focused on obese subjects without clinical signs or comorbidities. Specific right ventricular (RV) functional changes on CMR have not been studied in this subset of obese patients.

RV function assessment has been known to provide a prognostic role in several cardiac diseases (6). Previous echocardiographic studies evaluated RV functional strain in obese adults (4, 5, 7, 8). However, a review compared cardiac strain evaluations using cardiac magnetic resonance (CMR) and speckle tracking echocardiography (STE) and found that the STE technique had a major limitation, which greatly depended on image quality. Indeed, compared with CMR, echocardiographic images had lower signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) and inadequate imaging of some myocardial segments, especially in the distal part of the ultrasound sector (9). In obese subjects, signal interference due to excessive adiposity makes echocardiography more challenging. The advantages of CMR include larger fields of view, higher SNR, and 3-dimensional imaging of the heart, enabling the assessment of ventricular geometry and function with high accuracy and reproducibility (10). In clinical practice, ejection fraction (EF) is most widely used in evaluating global ventricular function; however, EF might not be a sensitive indicator of early RV dysfunction in obese subjects (7). Currently, the CMR tissue tracking technique is widely used as a subclinical myocardial dysfunction indicator for its high sensitivity when measuring global and regional cardiac mechanics through tracking myocardial motion (11). According to our literature search, only one article on children with uncomplicated obesity using CMR was identified. That article indicated that obese/overweight children had greater RV mass indices and lower RV free wall longitudinal strain (12). Until now, no studies have documented RV strain changes in obese adults with no clinical signs or comorbidities using CMR tissue tracking.

Body mass index (BMI) is the most widely used index of general obesity and is associated with other cardiovascular disease risks. Previous studies have found that different regions of fat deposition have various effects on the heart. For example, one study showed that visceral fat rather than subcutaneous fat was significantly associated with decreased RV strain (13). Dual X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) has also been extensively applied as it allows to accurately assess regional fat distributions, such as fat of the android, gynoid, trunk, upper and lower extremities, and visceral regions. A study examining the relationship between regional body fat and LV subendocardial viability ratios indicated that subjects with abdominal fat distribution (android-to-gynoid fat mass ratio) had poorer LV function (14). To our knowledge, no studies have focused on the association between regional fat distributions on RV function in obese individuals with no clinical signs or comorbidities. We aimed to evaluate RV functional changes seen on CMR in obese adults with no clinical signs or comorbidities and the association between RV strain and fat distributions.

METHODS AND MATERIALS

Study Population

We prospectively recruited 49 obese subjects defined by a BMI ≥ 27.5 kg/m² (ranging from 27.5 to 34.9 kg/m²) and 30 healthy volunteers (18.5 ≤ BMI ≤ 23.0 kg/m²) between 18 and 60 years old from September 2019 to September 2021. Subjects were excluded if they had any of the following conditions: hypertension or diabetes measured by oral glucose tolerance; history of cardiovascular diseases or history of any cardiovascular procedures; major systemic diseases that could affect the myocardium, such as connective tissue diseases and sarcoidosis; endocrine disease, such as hyperthyroidism and hypothyroidism; metabolic diseases, such as a history of alcohol abuse or amyloidosis; obstructive sleep apnea; any contraindication to CMR imaging. The study complied with the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the West China Hospital in Sichuan University. Written informed consent was obtained from all study participants.

Baseline Data Collection

Baseline data of the participants were collected, including medical history, anthropometric measurements (weight and height), heart rate, and blood pressure. Fasting blood glucose and serum lipid profiles, including triglycerides, total cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein (HDL), and low-density lipoprotein (LDL), were also measured.
Assessment of Obesity

Waist circumference (WC) was measured at the midway between the last rib and the iliac crest, and hip circumference (HC) was measured at the largest diameter of the hip. WC and HC were measured to the nearest 0.5 cm. The waist-to-hip ratio and waist-to-height ratio were calculated. Body mass index (BMI) (kg/m²) was calculated as weight (kg) divided by height squared (m²). According to the Chinese criteria, BMI was categorized into the following three groups: healthy weight (18.5-23.0), overweight (23.0-27.5kg/m²), and obese (≥27.5kg/m²). Total fat, android fat, gynoid fat, trunk fat, upper and lower extremities fat, and visceral fat mass (g) were measured using DXA (Lunar iDXA, GE Medical Systems Lunar, Madison, USA). Specifically, the region of interest (ROI) of android fat distribution was defined from the pelvic cut (lower boundary) to the iliac crest and chin (upper boundary). The gynoid fat distribution ROI upper boundary was 1.5 times the height of the android ROI below the iliac crest to a line equal to twice the height of the android ROI (lower boundary). The gynoid fat distribution ROI upper boundary was 1.5 times the height of the android ROI below the iliac crest to a line equal to twice the height of the android fat distribution ROI (lower boundary). Percentage of fat mass in android, gynoid, trunk, peripheral, upper extremities and lower extremities, and visceral regions reflect fat deposition in the corresponding regions, relative to total fat mass. Peripheral fat mass was calculated as the sum of upper and lower extremities fat mass. Percentage of fat mass in trunk, android, and visceral regions (also expressed as trunk fat%, android fat%, and visceral fat%) were indices predictive of central obesity. While Percentage of fat mass in gynoid, peripheral, upper extremities and lower extremities regions (also expressed as gynoid fat%, peripheral fat%, upper extremities fat%, and lower extremities fat%) were indices predictive of peripheral obesity.

CMR Protocol

CMR examinations were performed using a 3 Tesla whole-body scanner (MAGNETOM Skyra, Siemens Healthcare, Erlangen, Germany) with an 18-channel phased-array body coil on patients in a supine position. With a standard ECG-triggering device, data was acquired during the end-expiratory breath-hold period. A segmented breath-hold balanced steady-state free precession (bSSFP) sequence was used to obtain 8–14 contiguous cine images from the base to the apex in the short-axis view and the two- and four-chamber cine images in the long-axis view. The bSSFP parameters are as follows TR/TE = 3.3/1.22 ms, flip angle = 41°, slice thickness = 8 mm, field of view = 360 × 320 mm², matrix size = 208 × 166, and a temporal resolution = 39.34 ms.

CMR Image Analysis

All CMR data was imported to commercially available software (CVI 42 version 5.11.3, Circle Cardiovascular Imaging Inc., Calgary, Canada). Two radiologists with more than 3 years of CMR experience analyzed the measurements and were blinded to the subject status (obesity vs. control).

Epicardial Adipose Tissue Quantification

Epicardial adipose tissue (EAT) represents high-signal intensity region between the myoepicardium and parietal pericardium. The EAT volume was measured on the short-axis cine slices during the end-diastolic phase. The myoepicardial and parietal pericardial contours were manually delineated per slice, extending from the mitral valve hinge down to the ventricular apex. High-signal intensity regions between the myoepicardium and parietal pericardium were semi-automatically traced and calculated, excluding blood vessels.

Global Ventricular Geometry and Function

The endocardial and epicardial contours of the right ventricle (RV) and left ventricle (LV) myocardium on the short-axis cine images were manually traced during the end-diastolic and end-systolic phases of the CVI42 short-3D module software. The global conventional functional parameters, namely EF, end-diastolic volume (EDV), end-systolic volume (ESV), and LV mass at end-diastole, were automatically computed. In addition, the average LV regional values for 16 myocardial segment thicknesses (American Heart Association standard segmentation model) were also automatically computed. Finally, the LV thickness and interventricular septal (IVS) thickness averages were calculated.

RV and LV Strain

The long-axis 4-chamber, 2-chamber and short-axis cine slices were transferred to the three-dimensional tissue tracking module for RV and LV myocardial strain analysis. The endocardial and epicardial contours were manually delineated per slice during the end-diastolic phase in all series, and the papillary muscles and moderator bands were excluded (Figure 1). Strain is defined as the degree of myocardial deformation from its initial length (L₀, in end-diastole) to its maximum length (L, in end-systole): myocardial strain = (L – L₀)/L₀. Strain rate represents the rate of length shortening (17). For different directions of myocardial deformation, the RV and LV global myocardial strain parameters, including the radial, circumferential, and longitudinal peak strains (PS), peak systolic strain rates (PSSR), and peak diastolic strain rates (PDSR) can be calculated. Since RV radial deformation values had low reproducibility and high variability due to the complex morphologic structure and relatively thin ventricular wall, the related parameters (radial PS, PSSR, and PDSR) were excluded.

Reproducibility

Intra- and inter-observer variabilities for the EAT and RV myocardial strain indices were analyzed in 20 random subjects, including 12 obese patients and 8 healthy controls. To determine intra-observer variability, one radiologist measured the same image within a 1-month interval. To evaluate the inter-observer variability, the second radiologist, who was blinded to the first observer’s results, re-analyzed the measurements.

Statistical Analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS software (version 23, IBM, Armonk, Armonk, New York, USA). Continuous data with normal distributions were compared between the obese and healthy groups with the student’s t-test and were expressed as the mean ± SD. Binary variables were analyzed using the cross tabs Chi-square test. Correlations
between RV functional parameters and LVEF and LV geometry (mass and thickness) and IVS thickness were assessed in obese patients. Pearson’s correlation coefficient was used to determine the correlations between regional fat, blood lipids, and RV functional parameters (global function and strain indices) in whole population. Stepwise multivariable linear regression was used to estimate the associations between regional fat distributions and RV functional parameters in whole population. Related fat distribution, including android fat%, gynoid fat%, trunk fat%, peripheral fat%, visceral fat%, EAT were entered in univariable analyses. Variables with statistical significance in the univariable analyses were then included in a stepwise multivariable analysis. In order to determine the association between obesity and RV function independent of growth differences, age and sex were added in multivariable analyses. The intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) was used to evaluate both inter-and intra-observer variabilities. A \( P < 0.05 \) indicated statistical significance.

RESULTS

Baseline Characteristics

This study included 49 obese subjects (BMI 29.9 ± 2.0 kg/m²) and 30 healthy controls (19.7 ± 1.1 kg/m²), and the baseline characteristics of the patients are shown in Table 1. Mean age, height, male proportion, and heart rate were compared and the differences between the two groups were not statistically significant. Compared with healthy controls, obese subjects had higher blood pressure, although it was within the normal range. For blood parameters, the obese group had higher fasting blood glucose, triglycerides, total cholesterol, and LDL, and lower HDL compared with the healthy group. The obese group had greater conventional fat indexes, including WC, HC, waist-to-hip ratio, and waist-to-height ratio compared to healthy group. Additionally, the obese group had greater DXA-related central fat deposition indexes, including trunk fat%, visceral fat%, and android fat% compared to those of healthy group. In contrast, they had lower DXA-related peripheral fat deposition indexes including gynoid fat%, peripheral fat%, and lower extremities fat% compared with healthy individuals. The obese group had greater EAT than those of healthy group.

Comparison of CMR Findings Between the Obese Subjects and Healthy Controls

The RVEF and LVEF were within the normal range (RVEF > 40% and LVEF > 50%) for all obese patients and neither were statistically different between the two groups (51.2 ± 4.3% vs. 50.9 ± 4.6%, \( P = 0.087; 60.9 ± 4.5% \) vs. \( 62.7 ± 4.6 \% \), \( P = 0.091 \), respectively) compared with the controls. Compared with the healthy controls, obese patients exhibited greater RV sizes (RVEDV, RVESV), LV sizes (LVEDV, LVESV), LV geometric parameters (LV mass and LV average thickness), and IVS average thickness. For RV strain, the obese group showed lower global longitudinal PS, PSSR and PDSR, circumferential PDSR, and preserved circumferential PS and PSSR compared with the control group (Figure 2). For LV strain, the obese group showed...
LV longitudinal, circumferential, and radial PS had positive correlations with the RV strain parameters \( r = 0.3 \) to 0.4 (Table 3).

### Association Between Regional Fat Distributions and Cardiovascular Risk Factors in Whole Population

BMI, EAT, android fat\%, and trunk fat\% were positively correlated with fasting blood glucose, triglycerides, total cholesterol, and LDL \( r = 0.3 \) to 0.6, while were negatively correlated with HDL \( r = -0.4 \) to -0.6. In contrast, gynoid fat\% had negative associations with triglycerides, total cholesterol, and LDL \( (-0.2 \) to\(-0.5 \)), while had a positive association with HDL \( r = 0.484 \); peripheral fat\% was negatively associated with fasting blood glucose and total cholesterol \( r = -0.236 \); \( r = -0.232 \) (Table 4).

### Association Between Adiposity and RV Functional Parameter in Whole Population

Univariable analysis showed that BMI, android fat\% and trunk fat\% were negatively associated with longitudinal PS, and circumferential strain rate (PSSR and PDSR) \( r = -0.3 \) to -0.5. Visceral fat\% and EAT were negatively associated with circumferential PDSR and longitudinal PS, PSSR and PDSR \( r = -0.3 \) to -0.4. In contrast, gynoid fat\% and peripheral fat\% were positively associated with circumferential PDSR, and longitudinal PS, PSSR and PDSR \( r = 0.4 \) to 0.5; gynoid fat\% was positively associated with RVEF \( r = 0.264 \) (Table 5 and Figure 3).

Multivariable linear regression analysis demonstrated that android fat\% was independently associated with longitudinal PS \( \beta = -0.468, P < 0.001, \text{model } R^2 = 0.219 \), and circumferential PSSR and PDSR \( \beta = -0.487, \ P < 0.001, \text{model } R^2 = 0.237 \), and circumferential PSSR \( \beta = -0.293, P = 0.009, \text{model } R^2 = 0.086 \). Trunk fat\% was independently associated with longitudinal PSSR \( \beta = -0.457; \ P < 0.001, \text{model } R^2 = 0.209 \). In addition, the strongest correlates of circumferential PDSR were BMI and gynoid fat\% \( \beta = -0.278, P = 0.016; \beta = 0.369, P = 0.002; \text{model } R^2 = 0.324 \) (Table 6).

### Intra-observer and Inter-observer Variability

The intra- and inter-observer variability for EAT quantifications and strain parameters are summarized in Table 7. The ICCs for intra- and inter-observer variability were 0.833 and 0.790 for EAT, respectively. There were good intra- and inter-observer agreements for the RV strain parameters \( \text{ICC } = 0.794-0.921 \) and \( 0.773-0.920 \), respectively, and circumferential and longitudinal strains had better repeatability than the strain rates.

### DISCUSSION

In this study, we compared RV functional parameters in adults with uncomplicated obesity with those in normal controls using CMR and assessed associations between fat distributions and RV function in whole population. The main findings were as follows:
Although the RVEF was within the normal range in both groups, the subjects with obesity had impaired RV myocardial contractility manifested by lower longitudinal PS, longitudinal PSSR, and circumferential and longitudinal PDSR; (2) Decreased LV longitudinal and circumferential PS and preserved LVEF in obese group compared with healthy group. (3) The individuals with obesity had cardiac remodeling with greater RV size, LV size, LV myocardial mass, and LV wall thickness compared with controls; (4) The impaired subclinical RV function was associated with cardiac remodeling; (5) BMI, as an index of overall obesity, was independently associated with subclinical RV dysfunction. In addition, central fat distribution indicators (android fat% and trunk fat%) were negatively correlated with subclinical RV function, whereas peripheral fat distribution indicator (gynoid fat%) was positively correlated with it. Until now, no studies have documented RV strain changes in obese adults with no clinical signs or comorbidities using CMR tissue tracking and the associations between DXA-related fat distributions and RV function.

**Obesity and RV Dysfunction**

Our study revealed no statistical differences for RVEFs between the two groups, indicating a lack of global right ventricular systolic functional impairment. This result is consistent with that of previous echocardiographic studies of obese adults without known cardiovascular diseases (4, 7). Nevertheless, a CMR study exhibited lower RVEF in obese group compared with the control group, in which partial participants were diagnosed with hypertension or diabetes (18). The difference between two CMR studies may be attributed to the multi-ethnic participants that were older in age (ranging from 45 to 84 years old) and had obesity-related complications in previous study. Furthermore, our study showed decreased RV longitudinal PS and strain rates (PSSR and PDSR) of obese participants compared with those of normal controls. These results are similar with those of several other echocardiographic studies in obese adults (4, 5, 7, 8). There are only subendocardial and subepicardial layers in the RV myocardium. Longitudinal deformation is primarily caused by the shortening of longitudinal myocardial fibers located in the subendocardial layer (17). Obesity can alter myocardial perfusion, resulting in myocardial ischemia, and meanwhile the subendocardial layer is vulnerable to microvascular ischemia (19). Moreover, our study also revealed impaired circumferential RV mechanics, represented by decreased circumferential PDSR in obese group compared with those in healthy group, which has not been previously reported. Notably, the differences in circumferential PS and PSSR between two groups were not statistically significant, confirming that PDSR is more sensitive to subclinical myocardial dysfunction than either PS or PSSR in obesity. Circumferential strain largely reflects the circumferentially oriented myofibers in the subepicardial layer (20) which might indicate that the myocardial injury is not only in the subendocardial layer but also in the subepicardial layer of the RV myocardium in obese patients. In summary, subclinical...
RV functional impairment occurred before decrease in RVEF in obese participants.

In addition, obese participants had greater RV volumes (RVEV and RVEDV) compared with those of the normal subjects in our study. A echocardiographic study demonstrated that RV volumes significantly increased only in the severely obese group (BMI = 46.8 ± 11 kg/m²), but not in mild-to-moderate obesity (7). Another echocardiographic study showed no changes in RV volumes in mild obese group (4). The results indicate that CMR is more sensitive than echocardiography to measure RV volume. In fact, echocardiography with limited acoustic window, low spatial resolutions, and compromised geometric assumptions, is inaccurate for RV geometric parameter evaluations (21). Meanwhile, RV volumes were negatively associated with RV strain and strain rates in our study. RV dilation is caused by increased total blood volume and cardiac output due to the high metabolic activity of obesity (22). RV dilation can result in contractility impairments due to increased ventricular wall stress (23).

Our study might find ventricular-ventricular interactions in obese individuals. LV myocardial remodeling (greater chamber size, wall thickness, and mass occurred) in obese adults. LV myocardial remodeling was negatively associated with the RV strain parameters, which indicated that RV function could be impacted by LV myocardial remodeling through ventricular-ventricular interactions. Similar results were also reported in a CMR study with uncomplicated obese children (12). In an experimental study of dogs, the RV free wall was electrically isolated and showed that despite stopping the RV free wall pacing in diastole, more than half of the RV systolic pressure and pulmonary flow were obtained in the subsequent heart beat (24). Another study demonstrated that LV shortening contributed to RV stroke exertion when the RV free wall was replaced with a non-contractile patch (25). In other words, LV contraction directly impacts RV function. In our study, LV global longitudinal and circumferential PS decreased in obese group compared with healthy group, indicating LV contraction

| Variables | Controls (n = 30) | Obese patients (n = 49) | P-value |
|-----------|------------------|------------------------|---------|
| RV global function | | | |
| RVEF | 51.2 ± 4.3 | 50.9 ± 4.6 | 0.087 |
| RVEDV (ml) | 136 ± 30 | 163 ± 38 | <0.001* |
| RVESV (ml) | 67 ± 18 | 81 ± 24 | <0.001* |
| RV strain | | | |
| Circumferential PS (%) | −10.6 ± 3.0 | −11.7 ± 3.0 | 0.117 |
| Circumferential PSSR (1/s) | −0.8 ± 0.2 | −0.7 ± 0.2 | 0.067 |
| Circumferential PDSR (1/s) | 1.0 ± 0.3 | 0.7 ± 0.3 | <0.001* |
| Longitudinal PS (%) | −17.2 ± 2.4 | −15.1 ± 2.8 | 0.001* |
| Longitudinal PSSR (1/s) | −1 ± 0.4 | −0.8 ± 0.2 | <0.001* |
| Longitudinal PDSR (1/s) | 1.1 ± 0.2 | 0.9 ± 0.2 | <0.001* |
| LV global function | | | |
| LV EF | 60.9 ± 4.5 | 62.7 ± 4.6 | 0.091 |
| LV EDV (ml) | 120 ± 24 | 158 ± 28 | <0.001* |
| LV ESV (ml) | 49.1 ± 9.6 | 60 ± 14 | <0.001* |
| LV mass (g) | 73 ± 16 | 91 ± 20 | <0.001* |
| LV average thickness (mm) | 5.6 ± 0.7 | 6.0 ± 0.8 | 0.016* |
| LV average thickness (mm) | 5.7 ± 0.4 | 6.7 ± 0.9 | <0.001* |
| RV longitudinal | | | |
| Longitudinal PS (%) | −15.8 ± 1.9 | −13.5 ± 2.9 | <0.001* |
| Circumferential PS (%) | −20.7 ± 1.9 | −19.6 ± 2.0 | 0.018* |
| Radial PS (%) | 34.6 ± 5.5 | 32.1 ± 5.5 | 0.057 |

TABLE 3 | Comparison of cardiac magnetic resonance parameters between two groups.

| Variables | Controls (n = 30) | Obese patients (n = 49) | P-value |
|-----------|------------------|------------------------|---------|
| RV Circumferential | | | |
| PS | 0.419** | 0.252 | 0.154 | 0.328* | 0.136 | 0.249 |
| PSSR | −0.439** | −0.396** | −0.398** | −0.366** | −0.178 | −0.319* |
| PDSR | −0.472** | −0.401** | −0.362** | −0.381** | −0.190 | −0.339* |
| RV Longitudinal | | | |
| PS | 0.130 | 0.112 | 0.007 | 0.311* | 0.323* | 0.366** |
| PSSR | −0.315* | −0.232 | −0.313* | −0.213 | −0.295* | −0.248 |
| PDSR | −0.309* | −0.276 | −0.297* | −0.218 | −0.298* | −0.263* |

TABLE 3 | Association (correlation coefficients) between cardiac geometry, function, and RV strains in obese patients.

| Tested Variables | Controls (n = 30) | Obese patients (n = 49) | P-value |
|------------------|------------------|------------------------|---------|
| RV Circumferential | | | |
| BMI | 0.445** | 0.548** | 0.403** | −0.509** | 0.296** |
| EAT | 0.446** | 0.457** | 0.356** | −0.387** | 0.335** |
| Android fat% | 0.415** | 0.637** | 0.248 | −0.213 | −0.351** |
| Gynoid fat% | −0.209 | −0.530** | −0.305** | 0.484** | −0.227** |
| Tunk fat% | 0.354** | 0.644** | 0.444** | −0.554** | 0.334** |
| Peripheral fat% | −0.236* | −0.204 | −0.232* | 0.076 | −0.178 |
| Visceral fat% | 0.202 | 0.531** | 0.372** | −0.474** | 0.301** |

TABLE 3 | Association between regional fat distributions and cardiovascular risk factors in whole population.

| Tested Variables | Controls (n = 30) | Obese patients (n = 49) | P-value |
|------------------|------------------|------------------------|---------|
| RV Circumferential | | | |
| PS | 0.419** | 0.252 | 0.154 | 0.328* | 0.136 | 0.249 |
| PSSR | −0.439** | −0.396** | −0.398** | −0.366** | −0.178 | −0.319* |
| PDSR | −0.472** | −0.401** | −0.362** | −0.381** | −0.190 | −0.339* |
| RV Longitudinal | | | |
| PS | 0.130 | 0.112 | 0.007 | 0.311* | 0.323* | 0.366** |
| PSSR | −0.315* | −0.232 | −0.313* | −0.213 | −0.295* | −0.248 |
| PDSR | −0.309* | −0.276 | −0.297* | −0.218 | −0.298* | −0.263* |

TABLE 3 | Association (correlation coefficients) between cardiac geometry, function, and RV strains in obese patients.
impairment. In general, our results showed that LV myocardial remodeling and contraction impairment in obese patients were responsible for RV subclinical dysfunction.

**Regional Fat Distributions and RV Dysfunction**

Our study described the linear relationships between regional fat distributions and RV functional assessments, and the results showed that central fat distribution indicators (android fat% and trunk fat%) had deleterious effects on subclinical RV function, whereas peripheral fat distribution indicator (gynoid fat%) had a positive effect on it. Notably, our result showed that visceral fat and EAT volume were negatively associated with RV strain in univariate analysis, while no linear relationship with RV strain parameter in multivariate analysis. Previous CMR studies on obesity with no complications have reported that visceral fat, EAT volume or area had linear relationships with LV subclinical dysfunction in multivariate analysis (26, 27). However, whether EAT could predict RV subclinical dysfunction in these patients is still unclear. Additionally, different from the previous studies, we added DXA-related regional fat distributions (android fat, gynoid fat, trunk fat, peripheral fat) into multivariate analysis and they may attenuate...
TABLE 6 | Multivariable linear regression analysis of association between regional fat distributions and RV strains in whole population.

| Independent variables | Factors in models | R square | Adjusted R square | B          | β          | P-value |
|-----------------------|-------------------|----------|-------------------|------------|------------|---------|
| Longitudinal PS       | Android fat%      | 0.219    | 0.209             | −0.549     | −0.468     | <0.001  |
| Longitudinal PSSR     | Trunk fat%        | 0.209    | 0.190             | −0.013     | −0.457     | <0.001  |
| Longitudinal PDSR     | Android fat%      | 0.237    | 0.227             | −0.048     | −0.487     | <0.001  |
| Circumferential PSSR  | Android fat%      | 0.086    | 0.074             | −0.022     | −0.293     | 0.009   |
| Circumferential PDSR  | BMI               | 0.324    | 0.306             | −0.017     | −0.278     | 0.016   |
|                       | Gynoid fat%       |          |                   | 0.042      | 0.369      | 0.002   |

TABLE 7 | Comparison of inter- and intra-observer variability among epicardial adipose tissue and RV peak strain parameters.

|                      | Intra-observer (n = 20) | Inter-observer (n = 20) |
|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
|                      | ICC                     | 95% CI                  | ICC                     | 95% CI                  |
| EAT                  | 0.883                   | 0.705-0.957             | 0.790                   | 0.577-0.923             |
| Circumferential PS   | 0.921                   | 0.864-0.955             | 0.920                   | 0.862-0.976             |
| Longitudinal PS      | 0.906                   | 0.839-0.946             | 0.891                   | 0.808-0.939             |
| Circumferential PSSR | 0.840                   | 0.609-0.941             | 0.782                   | 0.490-0.917             |
| Longitudinal PSSR    | 0.794                   | 0.513-0.922             | 0.773                   | 0.486-0.914             |
| Circumferential PDSR | 0.851                   | 0.627-0.945             | 0.819                   | 0.555-0.933             |
| Longitudinal PDSR    | 0.889                   | 0.711-0.960             | 0.774                   | 0.474-0.914             |

EAT, epicardial adipose tissue; PS, peak strain; PSSR, peak systolic strain rate; PDSR, peak diastolic strain rate; ICC, intraclass correlation coefficient; CI, confidence interval. All P < 0.001.

the effects of EAT and visceral fat on the RV function. In other words, instead of EAT and visceral fat, trunk fat, android fat or gynoid fat were better predictors of RV subclinical function.

One explanation for the different effects of regional fat distributions on RV function was dyslipidemia. Our study revealed that central obesity parameters (android fat and trunk fat) were positively correlated with triglycerides, total cholesterol, and LDL, while they were negatively correlated with HDL. The result is consistent with previous researches which demonstrated that central obesity parameters (android fat or trunk fat distributions) had positive associations with triglycerides and LDL levels, while they had negative associations with HDL levels (28–30). In contrast, we found that gynoid fat distribution had negative associations with triglycerides, total cholesterol, and LDL, while it had a positive association with HDL. A previous study has also indicated that gynoid fat% had a negative association with hypertriglyceridemia (31, 32). It is well known that high HDL levels are considered as cardiovascular protective factors, while triglycerides, cholesterol, and LDL levels are considered cardiovascular risk factors. Obesity has also been shown to be associated with increased oxidized lipid levels (33), and oxidized LDL levels and oxidized lipid derivatives were shown to cause myocardial dysfunction and cardiomyopathy by inducing inflammation, apoptosis, and endoplasmic reticulum stress (34). Anti-oxidation proteins, such as platelet activating factor acetyl hydrolase and paraoxonase, are primarily included in HDL and might mitigate LDL oxidation and remove lipid oxidation products (35). Android fat and trunk fat have also been shown to be important risk factors for insulin resistance (30, 36). A previous study reported that insulin resistance was only found in the women with android obesity, rather than those with gynoid obesity (37). A study on sucrose-fed rats suggested that insulin resistance directly induced cardiac contractile impairments (38), and a clinical study in obese female subjects showed that its link with myocardial fatty acid metabolism could reduce myocardial contractile function (39). Adipokines and chronic inflammatory factors have also been implicated in obesity. Trunk fat and android fat were inversely correlated with adiponectin (40, 41), whereas correlated with increased leptin (42, 43). The leg fat was positively correlated with adiponectin (44). Adiponectin and leptin are proteins secreted by adipose tissue. Adiponectin is associated with anti-diabetic and antiatherogenic properties, which mediates insulin-sensitizing effects and reduce hyperlipidemia (45, 46). It is well known that the leptin has beneficial effects on the inhibition of food intake and insulin sensitivity enhancements. However, high circulating leptin levels in obese individuals were mainly caused by leptin resistance, which was associated with increased insulin resistance (47, 48). The individuals with android obesity had elevated necrosis factor alpha-a (TNF-α) (49). The trunk fat% or android fat% was positively associated with TNF-α, while lower-body fat% or gynoid fat% was negatively associated with it (50). Leg fat was negatively associated with inflammatory markers including interleukin-6 (IL-6), C-reactive protein (CRP), and TNF-α (44, 51). CRP and TNF-α are associated with insulin resistance and atherosclerosis (45, 46, 52). IL-6 has been shown to have a critical role in aldosterone-induced macrophage recruitment and infiltration of myocardial macrophages, which play an important role in myocardial fibrosis (53).

In addition, android fat was correlated with higher blood pressure (29, 41), while the leg fat mass was negatively correlated with SBP (44). A study observing...
the relationship between blood pressure and RV function using STE demonstrated that subclinical RV function, assessed by strain, was impaired by increased blood pressure even though blood pressure was within the normal range (5-4).

Limitations
There are several limitations in this study. First, this was a cross-sectional study, and we could not determine whether subtle RV contractile impairments cause heart failure with obesity progression and whether these changes can be reversed. Therefore, longitudinal studies are needed to examine dynamic cardiac changes and explore the underlying factors that reverse these changes. Second, past studies have indicated that multiple key inflammatory mediators and insulin resistance are consistently associated with obesity and obesity-related comorbidities. However, we did not examine inflammatory markers and insulin resistance in this study. These laboratory data should be measured and discussed in subsequent studies to clarify the mechanisms of myocardial damage in obese patients.

CONCLUSIONS
Extensive subclinical RV dysfunction is found in uncomplicated obese adults. BMI, as an index of overall obesity, is independently associated with subclinical RV dysfunction. In addition, central obesity (android fat and trunk fat distributions) has a negative effect on subclinical RV function, while peripheral obesity (gynoid fat distribution) may have a positive effect on it.
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