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We review recent developments in the QCD description of jet production and modification in reactions with heavy nuclei at relativistic energies. Our goal is to formulate a perturbative expansion in the presence of nuclear matter that allows to systematically improve the accuracy of the theoretical predictions. As an example, we present calculations of inclusive jet cross sections at RHIC, $Z^0/\gamma^*$-tagged jet cross sections at the LHC, and jet shapes that include both next-to-leading order perturbative effects and the effects of the nuclear medium.

§1. Introduction

In the past several years important developments in jet finding algorithms combined with advances in detector technology and experimental analysis have enabled, for the first time, measurements of jets in nuclear reactions at very high energies at the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC). Observation of jets is among the first physics results reported at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC), which also has an active heavy-ion program. In nucleus-nucleus ($A+A$) collisions, jet observables are expected to be much more discriminating with respect to the underlying modes of parton propagation and energy loss in strongly-interacting matter than existing studies of leading particles and leading particle correlations. Developments in theory are on the way to guide the heavy-ion experimental jet programs at RHIC and at the LHC and to help interpret current and upcoming physics results.

To take full advantage of jet physics, calculations at next-to-leading order (NLO) and beyond in perturbative Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD) are required. In heavy-ion reactions there is the added complication of a soft background medium that these jets must traverse — the quark-gluon plasma (QGP). There are multiple ways to describe its properties that include but are not limited to temperature $T$, coupling strength $g_{\text{med}}$, density $\rho$, energy density $\epsilon$, parton rapidity density $dN^g/dy$, Debye screening scale $m_D$, parton mean free paths $\lambda_q$, $\lambda_\gamma$, transport coefficient $\hat{q}$, and the strength of the background gluon field $\langle F^+ F^+ \rangle$. Relations between some of these quantities can be derived for specific models of the nuclear matter. In practice, however, one always needs independent constraints from experimental data or lattice simulations since the QGP that $A+A$ reactions aim to produce may be strongly-coupled or non-perturbative.

The production of jets and the medium-induced bremsstrahlung at scales $Q^2 \sim E_T^2 \gg \Lambda_{QCD}^2$ and $Q^2 \sim (gT)E_T \gg \Lambda_{QCD}^2$, on the other hand, can be treated perturbatively. In fact, parton energy loss processes in the QGP and one-loop perturbative corrections are formally manifested in experimental observables at the same order
\[ d\sigma_{\text{jet}(+\text{tag})} = \frac{1}{2!} d\sigma[2 \rightarrow 2] S_2(\{p, y, \phi\}_2) + \frac{1}{3!} d\sigma[2 \rightarrow 3] S_3(\{p, y, \phi\}_3). \]  

(2.1)

Here, \( p_i, y_i, \phi_i \) are the transverse momentum, rapidity, and azimuthal angle of the \( i \)-th particle \((i = 1, 2, 3)\), respectively, and \( \sigma[2 \rightarrow 2], \sigma[2 \rightarrow 3] \) represent the production cross sections with two and three final-state partons. \( S_2 \) and \( S_3 \) are phase space constraints and \( S_2 = \sum_{i=1}^{2} S(i) = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \delta(E_{T_i} - E_T) \delta(y_i - y) \) identifies the jet with its parent parton. Hence, it is only at next-to-leading order that the dependence of the experimental observables on the jet cone radius \( R \), the jet finding algorithm, or the trigger particle energy can be theoretically investigated. For an angular separation

\[ R_{ij} = \sqrt{(y_i - y_j)^2 + (\phi_i - \phi_j)^2}, \]

a definition for any possible parton pair \((i, j)\),

\[ S_3 = \sum_{i<j} \delta(E_{T_i} + E_{T_j} - E_T) \delta\left(\frac{E_{T_i} y_i + E_{T_j} y_j}{E_{T_i} + E_{T_j}} - y\right) \theta(R_{ij} < R_{rc}) \]

\[ + \sum_{i} S(i) \prod_{j\neq i} \theta\left(R_{ij} > \frac{(E_{T_i} + E_{T_j}) R}{\max(E_{T_i}, E_{T_j})}\right), \]

(2.2)

In Eq. (2.2) \( R_{rc} \) determines when two partons should be recombined in a jet. Here, \( 1 \leq R_{sep} \leq 2 \) is introduced to take into account features of experimental cone algorithms, employed to improve infrared safety. Equation (2.2) establishes a correspondence between the commonly used jet finders and the perturbative calculations to \( \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^3) \) with the goal of providing accurate predictions for comparison to data. For example, \( R_{sep} = 2 \) yields a midpoint cone algorithm and \( R_{sep} = 1 \) corresponds
to the $k_T$ algorithm.\textsuperscript{8),10) $R$ is the cone size or parton separation parameter, respectively. We have compared NLO calculation\textsuperscript{8) of the inclusive jet cross section at $\sqrt{s} = 200$ GeV $p+p$ collisions at RHIC to the STAR experimental measurement which uses a midpoint cone algorithm\textsuperscript{11) in the pseudorapidity range $0.2 \leq \eta \leq 0.8$. Very good agreement between data and theory is achieved with a standard choice for the renormalization and factorization scales $\mu_R = \mu_f = E_T$,\textsuperscript{12) as shown in the left panel of Fig. 1. Variation of these scales within $(E_T/2, 2E_T)$ leads to less than $(+10\%, -20\%)$ variation of the jet cross section. We also found that there is a significant dependence of $d\sigma_{\text{jet}}/dydE_T$ on the cone size $R$, which, even in $p+p$ reactions, can exceed a factor of two. This is illustrated in the right panel of Fig. 1. Analytically, the $\ln(R/R_0)$ scaling of the cross section can be understood from the $1/r$ angular behavior of the perturbative QCD splitting kernel at high energies.

When compared to a parton shower in the vacuum, the medium-induced quark and gluon splittings have noticeably different angular and lightcone momentum fraction dependencies.\textsuperscript{6),13) In particular, for energetic partons propagating in hot and dense QCD matter, the origin of the coherent suppression of their radiative energy loss, known as the Landau-Pomeranchuk-Migdal effect, can be traced to the cancellation of the collinear radiation at $r < m_D/\langle \omega(m_D, \lambda_g, E_T) \rangle$.\textsuperscript{13} Here, the Debye screening scale $m_D \approx gT$ and $\langle \omega \rangle \approx \text{few GeV}$. Thus, the medium-induced component of the jet, which is given by the properly normalized gluon bremsstrahlung intensity spectrum $\psi_{\text{med}}(r, R) \propto dI_{\text{rad}}/d\omega dr$ within the cone, has a characteristic large-angle distribution away from the jet axis. This is illustrated in Fig. 2 for central Au+Au and central Cu+Cu collisions at RHIC. We emphasize that accurate numerical simulations, taking into account the geometry of the heavy-ion reaction, the longitudinal Bjorken expansion of the QGP, and the constraints imposed by its experimentally measured entropy density per unit rapidity,\textsuperscript{6) have been performed for all physics results quoted here.

One can exploit the differences between the vacuum and the in-medium parton showers by varying the cone radius $R$ ($R_{i,\text{jet}} < R$) and a cut $p_T^\text{min}$ ($E_T > p_T^\text{min}$) for the particles “$i$” that constitute the jet, to gain sensitivity to the properties of the QGP and of the mechanisms of parton energy loss in hot and dense QCD matter.\textsuperscript{6})
The differential jet shape in vacuum $\psi^{\text{vac}}(r,R)$ is contrasted to the medium-induced contribution $\psi^{\text{med}}(r,R)$ by a $E_T = 30$ GeV quark in Au+Au and Cu+Cu collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV. The insert illustrates a method for studying the characteristics of these parton showers (left panel). Transverse energy dependent nuclear modification factor $R_{\text{jet}}^{AA}$ for different cone radii $R$ in $b = 3$ fm Au+Au collisions. Inserts show ratios of jet cross sections for different $R$ in nuclear reactions versus $E_T$ (right panel).

is illustrated in the insert of Fig. 2. The most easily accessible experimental feature of jet production in nuclear collisions is, arguably, the suppression of the inclusive cross section in heavy-ion reactions compared to the binary collision scaled, $\propto \langle N_{\text{bin}} \rangle$, production rate in elementary nucleon-nucleon reactions:\(^{12,13}\)

$$R_{\text{jet}}^{AA}(E_T;R,p_T^{\text{min}}) = \frac{d\sigma^{AA}(E_T;R,p_T^{\text{min}})}{dyd^2E_T} \bigg/ \langle N_{\text{bin}} \rangle \frac{d\sigma^{pp}(E_T;R,p_T^{\text{min}})}{dyd^2E_T}. \quad (2.3)$$

Equation (2.3) defines a two dimensional jet attenuation pattern versus $R$ and $p_T^{\text{min}}$ for every fixed $E_T$. In contrast, for the same $E_T$, inclusive particle quenching is represented by a single value related to the $R \rightarrow 0$ and $p_T^{\text{min}} \gg \langle \omega \rangle$ limit in Eq. (2.3). Thus, jet observables are much more differential and, hence, immensely more powerful than leading particles and leading particle correlations in their ability to discriminate between the competing physics mechanisms of quark and gluon energy loss in dense QCD matter and between theoretical model approximations to parton dynamics in the QGP.

We calculate the medium-modified jet cross section per binary nucleon-nucleon scattering as follows ($p_T^{\text{min}} = 0$):

$$\frac{1}{\langle N_{\text{bin}} \rangle} \frac{d\sigma^{AA}(R)}{dyd^2E_T} = \int_0^1 d\epsilon \sum_{q,g} P_{q,g}(\epsilon,E) \frac{1}{(1-(1-f_{q,g}) \cdot \epsilon)^2} \frac{d\sigma_{\text{CNM,NLO}}^{q,g}(R)}{dyd^2E_T}. \quad (2.4)$$

Here, $P_{q,g}(\epsilon,E)$ is the probability distribution for the parent quarks and gluons to lose a fraction $\epsilon = \sum_i \omega_i/E$ of their energy due to multiple gluon emission in the QGP. We define:

$$f_{q,g}(R,p_T^{\text{min}}) \equiv f_{q,g} = \frac{\int_0^R dr \int_{p_T^{\text{min}}}^{E} d\omega \frac{dI_{\text{rad}}}{d\omega dr}}{\int_0^{R_{\text{max}}} dr \int_0^E d\omega \frac{dI_{\text{rad}}}{d\omega dr}} \quad (2.5)$$
to be the fraction of the parton energy that is simply redistributed inside the jet. It will not contribute to the modification of the jet production cross section. Consequently, for any total quark or gluon fractional energy loss $\epsilon$, distributed according to $P_{q,g}(\epsilon)$, only $(1 - f_{q,g}) \cdot \epsilon$ will fall outside of the jet (outside $R$ and below $p_T^{\text{min}}$). In Eq. (2.4) $d\sigma_{q,g}^{\text{CNM,NLO}}(R)/dyd^2E_T'$ is the differential cross section which includes the known cold nuclear matter effects.\textsuperscript{14} The measured cross section is then a probabilistic superposition of the cross sections of protojets of initially larger energy $E_T' = E_T/(1 - (1 - f_{q,g}) \cdot \epsilon)$. Our results for the nuclear modification factor of inclusive jets $R_{\text{AA}}^{\text{jet}}$ in central $Au+Au$ collisions with $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV at RHIC are presented in the right panel of Fig. 2. Experimental data on leading $\pi^0$ suppression for these reactions is only included for reference. A continuous variation of $R_{\text{AA}}^{\text{jet}}$ with the cone radius $R$ is clearly observed and shows the sensitivity of the inclusive jet cross section in high-energy nuclear collisions to the characteristics of QGP-induced parton shower. For $R \leq 0.2$ the quenching of jets approximates the already observed suppression in the production rate of inclusive high-$p_T$ particles. It should be noted that in our theoretical calculation CNM effects contribute close to 1/2 of the observed attenuation for $E_T \geq 30$ GeV. These can be dramatically reduced at all $E_T$ by taking the ratio of two differential cross section measurements for different cone radii $R_1$ and $R_2$, as shown in the insert.

§3. $Z^0/\gamma^*$-tagged jets at the LHC

We begin by discussing the cross section for $Z^0/\gamma^*$-tagged jet production in $p+p$ collisions. It is instructive to first consider the leading order (LO) result, from which one can understand the underlying production processes and appreciate why the $Z^0$ boson was originally considered as a suitable tag for the initial associated jet energy.\textsuperscript{15} In the collinear factorization approach, the $Z^0/\gamma^*$+jet cross section reads:

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dy(Z)\, dy(\text{jet})\, d^2p_T(Z)\, d^2p_T(\text{jet})} = \sum_{g,q,q} f(x_1, \mu) f(x_2, \mu) |M|^2 \frac{s}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{4 x_1 x_2 S^2}{\delta^2(p_T(Z) - p_T(\text{jet}))}. \quad (3.1)$$

Here, $f(x, \mu)$ are the parton distribution functions and $|M|^2$ are the relevant squared matrix elements. The $\delta$-function constraint on the transverse momentum of the jet is valid only at this order and only at the partonic level.

The main advantage of the next-to-leading order $Z^0/\gamma^*+\text{jet}+X$ calculation\textsuperscript{9} is the ability to precisely predict the transverse momentum distribution of jets associated with a dimuon tag in a narrow $p_T$ interval.\textsuperscript{16} Beyond tree level, the momentum constraint that the $Z^0$ boson measurement provides is compromised by parton splitting and $Z$-strahlung processes. We demonstrate this in the left panel of Fig. 3, which shows the differential cross section for jets tagged with $Z^0/\gamma^* \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ in $p+p$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 4$ TeV. We implement acceptance cuts of $|y| < 2.5$ for both jets and final-state muons, and, as mentioned above, constrain the invariant mass of the dimuon pair to the interval $M_z \pm 3\Gamma_z$, where $M_z = 91.1876$ GeV and $\Gamma_z = 2.4952$ GeV. This is the kinematic acceptance range in which we will evaluate all results that follow and which can be easily adjusted to match upcoming experimental mea-
Fig. 3. Transverse momentum distributions of a jet associated with $Z^0/\gamma^*$ tag to $O(G_F \alpha_s)$ and $O(G_F \alpha_s^2)$ for transverse momentum cut $92.5 \text{ GeV} < p_T < 112.5 \text{ GeV}$ on the tagging particle (left panel). The NLO $p_T$-differential cross section per nucleon pair for these tagged jets in central Pb+Pb collisions at the LHC is also presented for a cone radius $R = 0.2$ (right panel). The ratio of the two cross sections is shown in the insert.

measurements. For the cross section shown in Fig. 3, the tagging $Z^0/\gamma^*$ is required to have $92.5 \text{ GeV} < p_T < 112.5 \text{ GeV}$. The LO result restricts the $p_T$ of the jet to lie exactly within this interval, consistent with Eq. (3.1). As seen in the left panel of Fig. 3, at NLO the deviations from this naive relation are very significant. We have included results for three different values of the jet cone radius, $R = 0.2, 0.4, 0.8$. The variation of the cross section with $R$ around $p_T(\text{jet}) \sim p_T(Z)$ arises from the interplay between the amount of energy that is contained in the jet and the number of reconstructed jets (one or two). For $p_T(\text{jet}) \gg p_T(Z)$ or $p_T(\text{jet}) \ll p_T(Z)$ the two final-state partons are well-separated and identified as different jets. The falloff of the differential cross section relative to its peak value at $p_T(\text{jet}) = p_T(Z)$ is then controlled by the QCD splitting kernel (the part related to the large lightcone parton momentum) and there is no dependence on the cone radius.

In order to quantify the inability of the $Z^0/\gamma^*$ tag to constrain the momentum of the jet we calculate the mean $p_T \equiv \langle p_T(\text{jet}) \rangle$ and standard deviation $\Delta p_T(\text{jet}) = \sqrt{\langle p_T^2(\text{jet}) \rangle - \langle p_T(\text{jet}) \rangle^2}$ for each of the curves in Fig. 3. Our results are presented in Table II. The standard deviation for the LO curves is not strictly zero because of the finite $p_T$ width of the tagging $Z^0/\gamma^*$ interval. The NLO curves exhibit a similar $\langle p_T(\text{jet}) \rangle$ as the LO result, with $\langle p_T(\text{jet}) \rangle$ increasing as the cone radius increases. However, in going from LO to NLO there is a significant jump in $\Delta p_T(\text{jet})$. The width of the jet momentum distribution quadruples for an energetic tag. The very large values of $\Delta p_T(\text{jet})/\langle p_T(\text{jet}) \rangle \sim 25\%$ at NLO create serious complications for experimentally tagging the initial associated jet energy in both $p + p$ and $A + A$ collisions. While additional cuts can be considered, such as the requirement for a single jet within the experimental acceptance that is exactly opposite the tagging particle in azimuth, these will reduce the already small projected multiplicity for this final state in heavy-ion reactions.

Accounting for the fact that the $Z^0/\gamma^*$ and their decay dileptons escape the
region of dense nuclear matter unaffected by the strong interaction, the modified jet cross section can be calculated as follows:

\[ \frac{d\sigma}{d^2p_{\left(Z\right)}d^2p_Q} = \sum_{q,g} \int d\epsilon \frac{P_{q,g}(\epsilon)}{\left[1 - (1 - f_{q,g})\epsilon\right]^2} \frac{d\sigma^{q,g}(p_Q^\prime)}{d^2p_{\left(Z\right)}d^2p_{\left(jet\right)}} , \]  

(3.2)

where \( p_Q^\prime = p_Q/\left[1 - (1 - f_{q,g})\epsilon\right] \). Equation (3.2) resembles the result for inclusive jet suppression and we have integrated over \( y_{\text{jet}} \) and \( y_{\text{Z}} \) in finite rapidity intervals. Our results are presented in the right panel of Fig. 3, where the tagging \( Z^0/\gamma^* \) is required to have 92.5 GeV < \( p_T < 112.5 \) GeV. The main physics effect that this figure illustrates is the QGP-induced modification to the vacuum parton shower. Specifically, its broadening\(^{(13)}\) implies that part of the jet energy is redistributed outside of the jet cone and the differential jet distribution is downshifted toward smaller transverse momenta. The smaller the jet cone radius the more pronounced this effect is. As the jet cone radius is increased, the medium-modified curves approach the \( p + p \) result, as more and more of the medium-induced bremsstrahlung is recovered in the jet.

A generalization of the cross section ratios per binary nucleon-nucleon collisions for tagged jets,\(^{(16)}\) \( I_{\text{jet}}^{AA} \), is given in the insert in Fig. 3. The variation in the magnitude of \( I_{\text{jet}}^{AA} \) at transverse momenta larger than the \( p_T \) of the tag is controlled by the shape of the jet spectrum. The most striking feature is the sharp transition from tagged jet suppression above \( p_T \) to tagged jet enhancement below \( p_T \). For the example shown in the right panel of Fig. 3, the enhancement can be as large as a factor of ten. This transition from suppression to enhancement is a unique prediction of jet quenching for tagged jets and will constitute an unambiguous experimental evidence for strong final-state interactions and parton energy loss in the QGP.

§4. Jet shapes at RHIC and at the LHC

Integral and differential jet shapes, defined as:

\[ \Psi_{\text{int}}(r, R) = \frac{\sum_i (E_T)_i \Theta(r - (R_{\text{jet}})_i)}{\sum_i (E_T)_i \Theta(R - (R_{\text{jet}})_i)} \]  
\[ \psi(r, R) = \frac{d\Psi_{\text{int}}(r, R)}{dr} , \]  

(4.1)

were historically the first observables used to study jet sub-structure and intra-jet energy flow.\(^{(10)}\) Here, \( 0 \leq r \leq R = R_{\text{jet}} \) is the angle relative to the jet axis. To \( \mathcal{O}(\alpha_3^3) \) \( \psi(r, R) \) can be evaluated from the LO parton splitting functions in perturbative QCD\(^{(10)}\) and the analytic results can be generalized to allow for a minimum particle or calorimeter tower energy \( p_T^{\text{min}} \) in the definition of the jet.\(^{(6)}\) Such experimental cuts can be particularly useful in reducing the large background of soft particles in the high multiplicity environment of heavy-ion collisions.

| \( p_T \) (Z) [GeV] | LO | \( R = 0.2 \) | \( R = 0.4 \) | \( R = 0.8 \) |
|---------------------|----|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| 92.5–112.5          |    |             |             |             |
| \( \langle p_T \) (jet) \) [GeV] | 100.79 | 93.91 | 96.63 | 100.05 |
| \( \Delta p_T \) (jet) [GeV] | 6.95 | 25.19 | 24.88 | 24.15 |
Analytically, jet shapes are evaluated as follows:\cite{6,10}

\begin{align}
\psi_{\text{vac}}(r, R) &= \psi_{\text{coll}}(r, R) \left( P_{\text{Sudakov}}(r, R) - 1 \right) + \psi_{\text{LO}}(r, R) \\
&+ \psi_{\text{i,LO}}(r, R) + \psi_{\text{PC}}(r, R) + \psi_{\text{i,PC}}(r, R). \tag{4.2}
\end{align}

In Eq. (4.2) the first term represents the contribution from the Sudakov-resummed small-angle parton splitting; the second and third terms give the leading-order final-state and initial-state contributions, respectively; the last two terms come from power corrections \(\propto Q_0/E_T\), \(Q_0 \approx 2 - 3\) GeV, when one integrates over the Landau pole in the modified leading logarithmic approximation (MLLA). This approach was shown to provide a very good description of the differential intra-jet energy flow at the Tevatron,\cite{6} as measured by CDF II.\cite{17} Thus, reliable predictions for the jet substructure in \(p + p\) reactions at RHIC and the LHC can be obtained and used as a baseline to study the distortion of jet shapes in more complex systems, such as \(p + A\) and \(A + A\).

Inclusive jet cross sections and jet shapes in nuclear collisions are closely related:\cite{6,12}

\begin{align}
\psi_{\text{tot}} \left( \frac{r}{R} \right) &= \frac{\langle N_{\text{bin}} \rangle}{d\sigma^{AA}(R)/dyd^2E_T} \int_{\epsilon=0}^{1} d\epsilon \sum_{q,g} P_{q,g}(\epsilon, E) \\
&\times \frac{d\sigma_{\text{CMN,NLO}}(R, E'_T)}{dyd^2E_T} \left[ (1 - \epsilon) \psi_{\text{vac}}^{q,g} \left( \frac{r}{R}; E'_T \right) + f_{q,g} \cdot \epsilon \psi_{\text{med}}^{q,g} \left( \frac{r}{R}; E'_T \right) \right]. \tag{4.3}
\end{align}

It should be noted that vacuum and medium-induced parton showers become more collimated with increasing \(E_T\) and the mean relative jet width,

\begin{align}
\langle \frac{r}{R} \rangle &= \int_{0}^{1} d \left( \frac{r}{R} \right) \frac{r}{R} \psi \left( \frac{r}{R} \right), \tag{4.4}
\end{align}

is reduced.\cite{6,12} Consequently, the striking suppression pattern for jets (see for example the right panel of Fig. 2) can be accompanied by a very modest growth in the observed \(\langle r/R \rangle\). We show in Table III the relative widths in the vacuum, for a hypothetical case of complete parton energy loss \(P_{q,g}(\epsilon) = \delta(1 - \epsilon)\) that falls inside of \(R (f_{q,g} = 1)\), and for our realistic simulation of \(E_T = 20, 50, 100,\) and \(200\) GeV jets of \(R = 0.4\) in central Pb+Pb collisions at the LHC. These numerical results show that there is very little < 10% increase in the magnitude of this observable. For \(Au+Au\) and \(Cu+Cu\) collisions at RHIC, we found that, on average, jet broadening is even smaller, < 5%. Therefore, a rough 1-parameter characterization of energy flow in jets will not resolve the effect of the QGP medium.

Lastly, we point out where the anticipated jet broadening effects will be observed in the differential shape by studying the ratio \(\psi_{\text{tot}}(r/R)/\psi_{\text{vac}}(r/R)\) in Fig. 4. The left panel shows a simulation of the differential shape of a 100 GeV jet at the LHC. The right panel presents the ratio discussed above. We recall that the small \(r/R < 0.25\) region of the intra-jet energy flow in \(p + p\) collisions in our calculation has
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Fig. 4. Simulation of a jet shape in central Pb+Pb collisions at the LHC (left panel). The ratios of the medium-modified jet shape in heavy-ion collisions to the jet shape in the vacuum for jet energies $E_T = 20, 50, 100, 200$ GeV for $R = 0.4$ (left panel).

uncertainties associated with the normalization of the jet shape. In the moderate and large $r/R > 0.25$ region our theoretical model gives excellent descriptions of the Fermilab Run II (CDF II) data. The QGP effects are manifested as a suppression near the core and enhancement near the periphery of the jet. In the tail of the energy flow distribution for a cone radius $R = 0.4$ this ratio can reach values $\sim 1.75$.

§5. Conclusions

In summary, we discussed the discriminating power of jet observables with respect to models of parton propagation and energy loss in the QGP. More stringent constraints on theory, such as the ones that upcoming data on jet production and modification in heavy-ion reactions will provide, are necessary for precision jet tomography and accurate determination of the properties of strongly-interacting nuclear matter. To this end, we presented perturbative QCD calculations of inclusive jet cross sections/shapes and $Z^0/\gamma^* $-tagged jets to $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^3)$, $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2 \alpha_s^\text{med})$ and $\mathcal{O}(G_F \alpha_s^2)$, $\mathcal{O}(G_F \alpha_s \alpha_s^\text{med})$, respectively. To demonstrate the sensitivity of these observables to

| $R$ | Vacuum | Complete E-loss | Realistic case |
|-----|--------|----------------|---------------|
| $(r/R)$, $E_T = 20$ GeV | 0.41 | 0.55 | 0.45 |
| $(r/R)$, $E_T = 50$ GeV | 0.35 | 0.48 | 0.38 |
| $(r/R)$, $E_T = 100$ GeV | 0.28 | 0.44 | 0.32 |
| $(r/R)$, $E_T = 200$ GeV | 0.25 | 0.40 | 0.28 |
the characteristics of the vacuum and medium-induced parton showers, we examined their pronounced dependence on the cone radius $R$ at next-to-leading order. Experimental jet physics results in heavy-ion collisions are still very preliminary but agree qualitatively with the theoretical expectations. Future studies in this direction will focus on the inclusion of non-perturbative hadronization effects and extending the NLO calculations of jets at RHIC and at the LHC. In their entirety, these theoretical advances will provide first-principles insights into the many-body QCD parton dynamics at ultra-relativistic energies.
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