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Abstract

By considering the "kinetic-energy" term of the minimum principle for the Schrödinger equation as a measure of information, that minimum principle is viewed as a statistical estimation procedure, analogous to the manner in which Jaynes (Phys. Rev., 106, 620, 1957) interpreted statistical mechanics. It is shown that the entropy formula of Boltzmann and Jaynes obey a property in common with the quantum-mechanical kinetic energy, in which both quantities are interpreted as measures of correlation. It is shown that this property is shared by the key terms in the minimum principles of relativistic quantum mechanics and General Relativity. It is shown how this principle may be extended to non-Riemannian non-Euclidean spaces, which leads to novel field equations for the torsion.

1 INTRODUCTION

As Laplace famously observed, Newtonian mechanics is deterministic to an idealized intelligent being, but (as Laplace observed less-famously in the same
passage) practical realities demand a probabilistic mechanics. In the century after Laplace’s observation, two forms of probabilistic mechanics were discovered: statistical mechanics, and quantum mechanics. While the former maintained at a detailed level the determinism of Newtonian mechanics, acquiring its probabilistic nature only when describing macroscopic observations of large ensembles of particles, quantum mechanics introduced a probabilistic nature at the most fundamental level.

In a sharpening of Laplace’s ”principle of insufficient reason”, Jaynes cast statistical mechanics into a novel form. Jaynes equated the entropy of statistical mechanics

\[- \sum_i p_i \log(p_i) \]  

(1)

to the entropy of Shannon’s information theory, and equated the principle of maximum entropy with an information-theoretic inference law, Jaynes’ principle, which asserts that the maximum entropy probability distribution is that distribution which is the least-biased estimate for such a distribution.

The goal here is to generalize Jaynes’ principle to the continuous probability distributions of quantum mechanics, and to demonstrate how the extremum principles of quantum mechanics, the Schrödinger equation and the Dirac equation, may be viewed as statements for formulating least-biased estimates of those continuous probability distributions. My thesis explored the conceptual implications of this view. In this work, we focus on the surprising consequence of this perspective that the laws of gravitation as defined by General Relativity may also be viewed as a minimum-information principle, and show how this leads naturally to a set of field equations for non-Riemannian non-Euclidean geometries.

\(^1\) Laplace, P.-S., Laplace’s Théorie Analytique des Probabilités, 3rd ed., Paris: Courcier, 1820. Reprinted in volume 7 of his Oeuvres Complètes, Paris: Gauthier-Villars, 1886, see the Introduction, pp. vi-ix.

\(^2\) Jaynes, E.T., *Phys. Rev.*, 106, 620 (1957)

\(^3\) Van Drie, J.H., thesis, Calif. Inst. of Tech., 1978, unpublished
2 ENTROPY AS A MEASURE OF CORRELATION

As pointed out in an earlier work[^1], the Boltzmann / Shannon formula for the entropy of a discrete distribution may be viewed as a measure of the correlation between two distinct distributions. This was demonstrated, by showing that the entropy functional is non-decreasing as "correlation-destroying transformations" are applied to the distribution. This perspective yields yet another view of Jaynes' principle: the least-biased distribution is that which displays the least correlation between the variables consistent with the constraints. Also, this perspective leads to yet another view of the Second Law of thermodynamics: correlations tend to spontaneously decay, and are highly unlikely to arise spontaneously in an isolated system.

It is useful to recall the fundamental importance that the concept of correlation played in Maxwell's original derivation of the velocity distribution of the atoms of an ideal gas[^2]. He placed two assumptions on the velocity distribution \( \Phi(v_x, v_y, v_z) d^3v \): (1) there should be no preferred orientation, \( \Phi(v_x, v_y, v_z) d^3v = \Phi(v) d^3v \), where \( v^2 = v_x^2 + v_y^2 + v_z^2 \), and (2) the velocities along one direction should not be correlated with those along another direction,

\[
\Phi(v_x, v_y, v_z) dv_x dv_y dv_z = \phi(v_x) dv_x \phi(v_y) dv_y \phi(v_z) dv_z
\]

These assumptions lead to Maxwell's velocity distribution law,

\[
\Phi(v_x, v_y, v_z) d^3v = \exp(-\alpha v^2) v^2 dv d\Omega
\]

where \( \alpha \) is a positive constant (shown by Boltzmann to be \( 1/kT \)), and \( d\Omega \) is the element of surface integration in velocity space, \( \sin(v_\theta) dv_\theta dv_\phi \). The ability of a distribution over multiple variables to be expressed as the product of distributions over single variables is the hallmark of an uncorrelated distribution.

[^1]: Van Drie, J.H., [http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/math-ph/0001024](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/math-ph/0001024)
[^2]: Maxwell, J.C., *Phil. Soc.*, 1860
3 KINETIC ENERGY AS A CORRELATION MEASURE

The term in the Hamiltonian associated with the Schrödinger equation, $<\Psi|\Delta|\Psi>$ is commonly called the "kinetic energy" (multiplied by a suitable units-dependent constant), by analogy to the corresponding term in the classical Hamiltonian, where $\Delta$ is the Laplacian operator,

$$\Delta = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

It was originally suggested by this author in unpublished work 6, and later by Sears, Dinur and Parr 7 that this expression represents an entropy expression. This assertion rested on intuitive arguments, leaving open the question "what mathematical property is common to both the kinetic energy of quantum mechanics and the entropy of statistical mechanics?". The answer which will be provided here is that both expressions are quantitative measures of correlation, or the lack thereof; the idea that the quantum mechanical kinetic energy in some instances measures correlation is an old concept from molecular quantum mechanics 8.

Let us consider two spaces $M_1$ and $M_2$, of dimension $n_1$ and $n_2$ respectively, and the cross-product space $M_1 \times M_2$ of dimension $n_1 + n_2$. Furthermore, let us consider a representation of the group of rotations and translations on $M_1$, $\gamma_1$, and a representation on $M_2$, $\gamma_2$. As in quantum mechanics, let us assume that a metric exists which allows us to define a probability distribution over $M_k$ from $\gamma_k$, $\rho_k = (\gamma_k, \gamma_k)$ for each point in $M_k$. One can construct the product representation, $\gamma_1 \times \gamma_2$, as a representation over $M_1 \times M_2$. Such a representation on $M_1 \times M_2$ is by definition uncorrelated relative to the variables $M_1$ vis-à-vis $M_2$, since it can be written as the product of a representation on $M_1$ and one on $M_2$.

Consider an operator on representations of $M_1, O_1$, and an operator on representations of $M_2$, and the composition of these on $M_1 \times M_2$, $O_{1 \times 2}$. We assert that all such operators which obey the following relationship may be

---

6Van Drie, J.H., Candidacy report, Calif. Inst. of Tech., 1975
7Sears, S., Parr, R., Dinur, U., Isr. J. Chem., 19, 165 (1980)
8Löwdin,P.O., Adv. Chem. Phys., 2,207, (1959)
considered as measures of correlation between the variables of \( M_1 \) and those of \( M_2 \):

\[
O_{1 \times 2} = O_1 + O_2
\]  

(5)

Denoting the expectation value of an operator \( O_k \) over the space \( M_k \) against the representation \( \gamma_k \) by \( \langle \gamma_k, O_k \gamma_k \rangle \), which equals

\[
\langle \gamma_k, O_k \gamma_k \rangle = \int_{M_k} (\gamma_k, O_k \gamma_k)
\]  

(6)

we see that for operators which are considered measures of correlation against \( M_1 \) and \( M_2 \), i.e. those operators obeying the relation (5),

\[
\langle \gamma_1 \times \gamma_2, O_{1 \times 2} \gamma_1 \times \gamma_2 \rangle = \langle \gamma_1 O_1 \gamma_1 \rangle + \langle \gamma_2 O_2 \gamma_2 \rangle
\]  

(7)

Considering these expectation values as measures of correlation of their corresponding representations, denoted \( I[\gamma] \), this allows us to interpret the above equation to say that, for uncorrelated representations, the measure of correlation is additive:

\[
I[\gamma_1 \times \gamma_2] = I[\gamma_1] + I[\gamma_2]
\]  

(8)

This same relationship holds for the Boltzmann / Shannon entropy of two discrete distributions, \( P = \{p_i\}_{i=1}^n \) and \( Q = \{q_j\}_{j=1}^m \), where the product distribution \( PQ = \{p_i q_j\}_{i,j=1}^{n,m} \),

\[
S(PQ) = S(P) + S(Q)
\]  

(9)

Note that the Laplacian operator, the kinetic energy term of the minimum principle for the Schrödinger equation, explicitly obeys the property (5) for the \( n \) translational variables \( \{x_k\}_{k=1}^n \) of \( n \)-dimensional space \( M \), since for any \( m \)-dimensional subspace \( \{x_k\}_{k=1}^m \) of \( M_1 \), and its complement \( \{x_k\}_{k=m+1}^n \) of \( M_2 \),

\[
\Delta_M = \Delta_{M_1} + \Delta_{M_2}.
\]

Intriguingly, R. A. Fisher applied the term information to the expectation value of the Laplacian, in an obscure and unelaborated reference.\[9\]

\[9\]Fisher, R.A., Stat. Methods and Sci. Inference, NY: Hafner, 1956, eqn. 155, but in the Introduction, he maintains this has no relation to the concepts in the Mathematical Theory of Communication, i.e. information theory
Even for 4-component spinor representations in relativistic spacetime, where the relevant quantum mechanical equation is the Dirac equation and the corresponding term in the minimum principle is the expectation value of the operator $i \nabla$, the property (3) holds. For the flat spacetime metric $g_{\mu \nu}$, a set of 4x4 matrices defined over the components of the spinors exist which obey the property

$$\gamma(\mu \gamma(\nu) = \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{\nu} + \gamma_{\nu} \gamma_{\mu}) = g_{\mu \nu}$$

and the operator $i \nabla$ may be written

$$i \nabla = i \sum_{\mu} \gamma_{\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu}}$$

As with the Laplacian, the property (3) is evident from the definition of this operator; hence even in relativistic spacetime, the minimum principle contains a term which we may call a measure of correlation.

4 THE GENERALIZED JAYNES’ PRINCIPLE

Jaynes asserted that maximizing the entropy $-\sum_k p_k \log(p_k)$ over all distributions $p_k$ subject to the constraints of a given energy $E = \sum E_k p_k$ and normalization $\sum_k p_k = 1$ may be viewed as a statement that the distribution $p_k$ is the least-biased distribution for $p_k$ subject to these constraints.

$$\delta p_k \{- \sum_k p_k \log(p_k) = \lambda_1(\sum_k E_k p_k)$$

$$- \lambda_2(\sum_k p_k)\} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow p_k = \alpha \exp(-\beta E_k)$$

where $\lambda_1$ and $\lambda_2$ are Lagrangian multipliers, and $\delta p_k$ denotes varying over all possible $\{p_k\}$. Viewing the Laplacian operator as a measure of correlation in a representation $\gamma$, we assert that the minimum principle for the Schrödinger equation.

\textsuperscript{10}Feynman R.P., Quantum Electrodynamics, London: Benjamin/Cummings, 1962
equation may be viewed as the statement that $\gamma$ represents the least-biased representation subject to the constraints of normalization and in the presence of a potential $V(x)$:

$$\delta_{\gamma}\{<\gamma, \Delta\gamma> - \lambda_1 \int V(x)(\gamma, \gamma) - \lambda_2 \int (\gamma, \gamma)\} = 0$$ (15)

One of the many conceptual implications of this view is that it allows us to understand the physical basis for why the electron does not collapse onto the nucleus of an atom: the tendency to minimize its potential energy by withdrawing into the nucleus is counterbalanced by the tendency of its distribution to resist achieving such a highly-correlated state.

An amusing application of this principle is the case where $\gamma$ is a vector representation, the normal to the surface of a soap-film. Minimizing $<\gamma, \Delta\gamma>$ subject to the constraint that the film adhere to a specified 1-dimensional wire frame gives the equation for the equilibrium configuration of such surfaces. This tendency of minimizing $<\gamma, \Delta\gamma>$ to function like a surface tension can also be understood by recalling Maxwell’s observation about the Laplacian: the Laplacian of a function is proportional to the difference between that function and that function’s average value over a ball of radius $\epsilon^{11}$, a property well-known in the numerical analysis of Laplace’s equation.

For nonEuclidean geometries, a more refined definition of the Laplacian must be used to ensure that the property (15) is satisfied. The generalized Laplacian of deRham, $\Delta = d\delta + \delta d$, where $d$ is the exterior derivative and $\delta = *d*\,$, $*$ the Hodge dual operator, may be tediously shown to possess the property (15), through the use of deRham’s forms double. This allows us to write the most general form of this generalized Jaynes’ principle, namely

$$\delta_{\gamma}\{<\gamma, \Delta\gamma>\} = 0$$ (16)

subject to constraints, among them $<\gamma, \gamma> = 1$, where $\gamma$ is understood to be any representation over a nonEuclidean space, and $\Delta$ is understood to be deRham’s Laplacian.

11Maxwell, J.C., Treatise on Electricity and Magnetism, vol. 1, New York: Dover, 1991, p. 31
12deRham, G., Variétés Différentiables, Paris: Hermann, 1960, p. 125
For a Riemannian geometry, deRham gave the explicit formula for his Laplacian applied to a tensor of arbitrary rank $p$:

\[
\Delta \alpha_{k_1 k_2 \ldots k_p} = -\alpha^{i}_{k_1 k_2 \ldots k_p ;i} + \sum_{\nu=1}^{p} (-1)^{\nu} R_{i ; k_\nu}^{h \nu} \alpha_{h k_1 \ldots \hat{k}_\nu \ldots k_p} 
\]

\[
+ 2 \sum_{\mu < \nu} (-1)^{\mu + \nu} R_{k_\nu k_\mu}^{h \nu} \alpha_{\nu h k_1 \ldots \hat{k}_\mu \ldots k_p}
\]

where $\hat{k}_\mu$ denotes that subscript is dropped from the enumerated list of indices, and where deRham’s notation of the covariant derivative $\nabla_i$ is replaced by the notation of Misner et al. where the covariant derivative is denoted by $;\alpha$, and where $R^\alpha_{\beta \mu \nu}$ is the Riemann curvature tensor. In spacetime, applying deRham’s Laplacian to the metric, $g_{\mu \nu}$, we see that the above formula reduces to

\[
\Delta g_{\mu \nu} = g_{\mu \nu ; \alpha}^{;\alpha} + R_{\mu \nu}
\]

where $R_{\mu \nu}$ is the Ricci tensor, the contraction of the Riemann tensor. The term $g_{\mu \nu ; \alpha}^{;\alpha}$ is zero, by the covariant constancy of the metric, and hence the measure of correlation, the expectation value of the Laplacian, is

\[
< g^{\mu \nu} \Delta g_{\mu \nu} > = \int g^{\mu \nu} R_{\mu \nu} d\tau = \int R d\tau
\]

where $d\tau$ is the volume element of integration over spacetime, and $R$ is the scalar curvature, the contraction of the Ricci tensor. Minimizing $\int R d\tau$ over all metrics is the Hilbert variational principle, and leads to Einstein’s equations of General Relativity in free space.

Hence, the generalized Jaynes principle states that Einstein’s equations of General Relativity in free space may be interpreted as asserting that the metric is the least-biased metric, or the minimally-correlated metric.

---

13. deRham, ibid., p. 131
14. Misner, C.W., Thorne, K.S., and Wheeler, J.A., *Gravitation*, San Francisco: W.H. Freeman, 1973
15. Misner et al., ibid., Ch. 21
In the non-Euclidean spaces of Cartan, the fundamental quantities are not the metric, but rather the 1-forms of the repere mobile $\omega_\mu$ and the connection 1-forms $\omega^\mu_\nu$. He showed that, for these more general non-Euclidean spaces, an additional invariant arises, the torsion; two such spaces are equivalent if both the torsion and curvature are equal. Cartan’s structure equations define the torsion $\Omega^\mu$ and curvature $\Omega^\mu_\nu$:

\begin{align}
  d\omega^\mu + \omega^\mu_\nu \wedge \omega^\nu &= \Omega^\mu \\
  d\omega^\mu_\nu + \omega^\mu_\alpha \wedge \omega^\alpha_\nu &= \Omega^\mu_\nu
\end{align}

For Riemannian spaces, the torsion is zero, and the problem of equivalence reduces to the study of the curvature form. While Einstein’s equations of General Relativity allows one to write field equations for the curvature, the issue of field equations for torsion and curvature has received less attention.

The natural extension of the above ideas to such spaces with torsion is to consider the following equations:

\[ \delta_{\omega^\mu_\nu, \omega^\mu_\nu} \{ < \omega^\mu_\nu \Delta \omega^\mu_\nu > \} = 0 \]  

where the minimization is taken over all orthonormal bases $\omega^\mu_\nu$ and connection forms $\omega^\mu_\nu$. Of the numerous possible forms to choose from, the basis 1-forms seem the most natural, in that, like the metric, they represent a generalized potential, derivatives of which lead to a generalized force; derivatives of generalized forces can then be linked to source terms, like mass/energy density. The details of the above equation will be explored in a future work.

6 IMPLICATIONS OF THIS EQUATION

An interesting question is “Are the principles of structure independent of scale?”. One of the reasons the study of fractals in biological settings has generated such enthusiasm is that it implicitly answers that question Yes over the scales ranging from the size of macromolecules to the size of plants.

---

\[ ^{16} \text{Slebodzinski, W., } \text{Exterior Forms and their Applications, Warsaw: Polish Scientific Publishers, 1970, section 124. This originated from Cartan, E., } \text{Ann. Ec. Norm.,} 40, 325 \text{ (1923), reprinted in his Oeuvres Complètes, partie III, vol. 1, Paris: Gauthiers-Villars, p. 659} \]
6 IMPLICATIONS OF THIS EQUATION

This equation appears to suggest that the principles of structure may be independent of scale over an even wider range, from the atomic scale to the astrophysical scale. Of course, at each scale the forces that are relevant are different, and hence the resulting structures are different (the constraints that must be imposed in the generalized Jaynes principle).