Validation of community health worker identification of maternal puerperal sepsis using a clinical diagnostic algorithm in Bangladesh and Pakistan
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Background Puerperal sepsis (PP sepsis) is a leading cause of maternal mortality globally. The majority of maternal sepsis cases and deaths occur at home and remain undiagnosed and under-reported. In this paper, we present findings from a nested case-control study in Bangladesh and Pakistan which sought to assess the validity of community health worker (CHW) identification of PP sepsis using a clinical diagnostic algorithm with physician assessment and classification used as the gold standard.

Methods Up to 300 postpartum women were enrolled in each of the 3 sites 1) Sylhet, Bangladesh (n = 278), 2) Karachi, Pakistan (n = 278) and 3) Matiari, Pakistan (n = 300). Index cases were women with suspected PP Sepsis as diagnosed by CHWs clinical assessment of one or more of the following signs and symptoms: temperature (recorded fever ≥38.1°C), reported history of fever, lower abdominal or pelvic pain, and abnormal or foul-smelling discharge. Each case was matched with 3 control women who were diagnosed by CHWs to have no infection. Cases and controls were assessed by trained physicians using the same algorithm implemented by the CHWs. Using physician assessment as the gold standard, Kappa statistics for reliability and diagnostic validity (sensitivity and specificity) are presented with 95% CI. Sensitivity and specificity were adjusted for verification bias.

Results The adjusted sensitivity and specificity of CHW identification of PP sepsis across all sites was 82% (Karachi: 78%, Matiari: 78%, Sylhet: 95%) and 90% (Karachi: 95%, Matiari: 85%, Sylhet: 90%) respectively. CHW-Physician agreement was highest for moderate and high fever (range across sites: K = 0.84–0.97) and lowest for lower abdominal pain (K = 0.30–0.34). The clinical signs and symptoms for other conditions were reported infrequently, however, the CHW-physician agreement was high for all symptoms except severe headache/ blurred vision (K = 0.13–0.38) and reported “lower abdominal pain without fever” (K = 0.39–0.57).

Conclusion In all sites, CHWs with limited training were able to identify signs and symptoms and to classify cases of PP sepsis with high validity. Integrating postpartum infection screening into existing community-based platforms and post-natal visits is a promising strategy to monitor women for PP sepsis - improving delivery of cohesive maternal and child health care in low resource settings.
Globally, an estimated 295,000 maternal deaths occurred in 2017; Southern Asia including Bangladesh and Pakistan accounted for 20% of these deaths [1]. Puerperal sepsis (PP sepsis) is the third most frequent cause of maternal mortality worldwide [2]. In 2013, over 30,000 maternal deaths (10.7%; 95% CI: 5.9-18.6) were attributed to PP sepsis; almost all occurred in low resource settings, with the highest proportion reported in South Asia (13.7%) [2]. Beyond high rates of mortality, morbidity from PP sepsis affects 5% to 10% of pregnant women globally and is associated with severe or disabling complications, including chronic pelvic inflammatory disease and infertility [3]. Further adverse fetal outcomes, including pre-term birth, neonatal septicemia, pneumonia, and a depressed 5-minute Apgar score, may additionally occur as a result of infection transmission to newborns [4-9].

Efforts to reduce the burden of PP sepsis have largely focused on facility-based interventions to prevent infections, and promote early identification and treatment. However, the timing of puerperal sepsis, coupled with high rates of home deliveries and low utilization of postnatal care services [10] result in most sepsis cases and deaths occurring at home and remaining undiagnosed and under-reported [3]. Evidence emerging from Bangladesh [11], India [12-15], Ghana [16,17], Pakistan [18], Nepal [19,20], South Africa [21-23], and Zambia [24,25], suggest that integrated packages of community-based services provided by community-health workers (CHWs) may be an effective strategy for addressing critical gaps in human resources, reducing morbidity for women, mortality and morbidity for newborns, and improving care-related outcomes [26]. In Bangladesh, CHWs equipped with clinical algorithms for assessing newborns, have demonstrated the ability to identify key clinical signs and symptoms of severe illness with a high level of validity as part of routine, population-based household surveillance [27,28]. To date, however, no studies have explored the feasibility and effectiveness of utilizing CHWs for community-based maternal PP sepsis identification and management.

Building upon previous work to detect and manage newborn sepsis at the community level [11,29,30], the Aetiology of Neonatal Infection in South Asia (ANISA) was established as a multi-country study to determine the incidence and etiology of community acquired neonatal infections in South Asia [31]. A supplemental study was conducted in three sites to explore three objectives: to describe the incidence and risk factors of PP sepsis; determine the etiology; and evaluate the validity of CHW identification of maternal PP sepsis using a clinical diagnostic algorithm in Bangladesh and Pakistan. In this manuscript, we report findings from the CHW PP Sepsis algorithm validation component.

### METHODS

#### Study sites, design and sampling

This nested case-control study was conducted from 2012 to 2014 in the rural sites of Sylhet, Bangladesh and Matiari, Pakistan and the peri-urban site in Karachi, Pakistan, described in detail elsewhere [31,32]. To evaluate the CHW algorithm and its implementation required a ratio of 1 case to 3 controls for a total of 300 women per site, including 75 women suspected by CHWs as having PP sepsis (cases), and 225 healthy women (controls). This sample size is sufficient to assess for sensitivity of 95% and specificity of 85% with 5% and 15% margins of error, respectively, with 5% Type-I (α) error. Due to the controlled sampling design of the study, the ratio of cases to controls will determine the prevalence of the condition according to the formula

\[ n_{\text{case}} \times \frac{1}{n_{\text{control}}} = \text{prevalence} \times 1 - \text{prevalence} \times 100\% \]

Due to sensitivity and specificity <100%, the final true prevalence in the sample (as determined by gold standard) will be less than the pre-specified 25% (75/300) and the final precision interval will be more than 5% specified.

#### Clinical algorithm

Formative research determined local knowledge of symptoms and signs of PP sepsis and a systematic literature review was conducted to design a diagnostic algorithm for CHWs to use during ten postpartum home visits [33]. The draft clinical algorithm reviewed by the study Technical Advisory Committee (TAG) [32] sought to facilitate the identification of endometritis – a post-partum infection of the lining of the uterus, which occurs between onset of the rupture of membranes or labour and 42 days postpartum. As defined by the World Health Organization (WHO), endometritis is characterised by fever and one or more of the following symptoms: pelvic pain, abnormal vaginal discharge, abnormal odour of discharge, and delay in the rate of reduction of size of the uterus (<2 cm/day during the first 8 days) [34]. Consistent with these WHO criteria, presumptive cases of PP sepsis were classified based on i) the CHWs recorded temperature recorded with thermometer: high fever: >39.0°C, or fever: 38.1°C – 39.0°C; or ii) client verbally reported history of fever in addition to one of the following symptoms, lower abdominal or pelvic pain, and abnormal or foul-smelling discharge (Table 1).
Following collection of data on signs and symptoms, CHWs were asked to classify illness into one of five categories: suspected sepsis, other suspected illness, suspected local infection, other or no infection (Figure 1).

### Disease surveillance, identification, and management

Across all sites, CHWs register married women of reproductive age (13-49 years), identify pregnancies during bi-monthly surveillance visits, conduct birth preparedness visits at 12-20 weeks and 28–30 weeks of pregnancy and carry out 10 postpartum home visits (Days 0, 6, 13, 20, 27, 34, 41, 48 and 59 postpartum) among live birth newborns. The study enrolled consenting women for maternal infection surveillance with live birth and stillbirth outcomes identified within 14 days of the birth outcome (the main ANISA trial enrolls newborns identified up to 7 days following delivery. Amongst those birth outcomes identified between days 8 and 14, only the mothers are enrolled for maternal infection surveillance). Women with any suspected PP infection were referred to tertiary care facilities in Sylhet and Karachi, and visited in the home by a study physician in Matiari, given its rural location. In Sylhet and Karachi, among suspected cases of PP sepsis that did not comply with referral recommendations, follow up home visits were carried out by CHWs within 24 hours. In Matiari, in the event that the study physician deemed additional referral necessary, women were referred to CIVIL Hospital, a tertiary care teaching hospital in Hyderabad. Among individuals that still refused referral, study physicians performed same day home visits and if the diagnosis was confirmed, prescribed an oral treatment regimen. Antibiotic regimens were informed by both the WHO recommendations and a systematic literature review conducted as part of this study.

### CHW clinical algorithm validation

For every suspected ill case assessed by CHWs in each site, 3 healthy women were randomly selected as controls who did not have or report any PP sepsis related signs and symptoms, who were +/- 1 day in terms of days postpartum and were from the same administrative area. In Karachi and Sylhet, suspected ill women and selected controls were referred to a site health facility for assessment by a study physician and in cases of non-adherence to referral, assessed in the home. In Matiari, study physicians conducted assessments in the home given the rural nature of study setting. In all sites, the study physicians’ diagnostic practice was standardized using training materials about PP sepsis based on WHO’s Manual of Complications in Pregnancy and Childbirth (MCPC) diagnostic criteria (fever, chills, lower abdominal pain, purulent, foul smelling lochia, tender uterus, +/- light vaginal bleeding and signs and symptoms of shock). Study physicians also received

---

Table 1. Simplified algorithm for community health worker identification of postpartum maternal infection

| Signs and symptoms screened by community health workers | Classification |
|--------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| High fever: temperature 102.4°F (39.1°C) or higher      | Suspected puerperal sepsis |
| Fever: temperature 100.6°F-102.3°F (38.1°C-39.0°C)      | Suspected puerperal sepsis if fever present at examination or history of fever AND any other sign or symptom listed is present |
| History of fever                                        | Other suspected illness |
| Lower abdominal or pelvic pain                          | Other suspected illness |
| Abnormal or foul-smelling discharge                     | Other suspected illness |
| Severe vaginal bleeding                                 | Other suspected illness |
| Severe headache AND blurred vision                      | Other suspected illness |
| Leaking urine and/or stool                              | Other suspected illness |
| Convulsions or unconscious                              | Other suspected illness |
| Lower abdominal pain (without fever)                    | Other suspected illness |
| Fever only: temperature 100.6°F-102.3°F (38.1°C-39.0°C)  | Suspected local infection |
| History of fever only                                   | Suspected local infection |
| Abnormal or foul-smelling vaginal discharge (without fever) | Suspected local infection |
| Burning on micturition                                  | Suspected local infection |
| Cough or difficulty breathing                           | Suspected local infection |
| Pus or pain from tear, c-section or episiotomy wound    | Suspected local infection |
| Swollen, red, or painful breast                         | Suspected local infection |
remote training by both local and a US-based based obstetrician experienced with the pulmonary biopsy tool (Tao Sampling by Cook Medical Brush) for endometrial biopsy. Biopsy specimens were sent for aerobic and anaerobic culture and molecular detection of bacterial etiologic agents that were previously identified and/or plausible [37].

**Statistical analysis**

Data were analyzed in Stata 13 (Stata Corporation, College Station, TX, USA). The unit of analysis were CHW–physician assessment pairs. Associations between physicians and CHWs assessments were examined across sites and overall at two levels: the CHW and physicians classification of maternal infections (using the combination of symptoms and signs, as guided by the algorithm) as either suspected PP sepsis, other suspected illness, or suspected local infection. Physician agreement and sensitivity and specificity of individual signs and symptoms were calculated. To cross-check CHW categorization of suspected PP sepsis we additionally synthesized findings from individual signs and symptoms identified to derive a second estimate of what the anticipated CHW categorization would have been if CHWs correctly identified all the signs. The physicians’ assessment and classification were considered the gold standard for calculating sensitivity and specificity. Sensitivity and
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Ethical review

The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) at Johns Hopkins, Bloomberg School of Public Health and the Ethical Review Committees at the International Centre for Diarrheal Disease Research, Bangladesh, the Aga Khan University in Pakistan, and the Child Health Research Foundation in Bangladesh.

RESULTS

Analyses included 878 women across the three study sites. In each site, the study sample included the first 75 cases and 225 controls who completed the interview, were identified within 0-42 days postpartum and assessed by a physician within 2 days of the CHW assessment.

Detection of signs and symptoms

Table 2, Table 3 and Table 4 summarize findings on the CHW-Physician agreement on the presence and/or absence of signs and symptoms in the three sites. Of the two clinical signs (high and moderate fever) and three symptoms (history of fever, lower abdominal pain, foul/abnormal discharge) used to identify suspected PP sepsis, only lower abdominal pain (27%-38%) was observed with modest frequency across all three sites. In Matiari and Karachi, reported history of fever was additionally observed in one-third of women. Recorded fever (high temperature) was only rarely recorded by thermometer at the time of CHW or physician examination, and reported abnormal vaginal discharge were reported in 11% of cases in Matiari, 6% in Karachi and 4% in Sylhet.

Across the three sites, CHW-Physician agreement was highest for moderate and high fever (K=0.84, P<0.001; overall agreement of ≥92%) and lowest for lower abdominal pain (K=0.30-0.34; P<0.001. While the frequency of reporting of clinical signs and symptoms for other conditions was low, CHW-physician agreement was high for all symptoms but severe headache/blurred vision (K=0.13-0.38) and lower abdominal pain without fever (K=0.39-0.57). Across sites, similar trends were observed, with almost all of the Kappa scores reaching statistically significant levels. While the prevalence of clinical signs and symptoms for the other non-PP sepsis conditions was of low to moderate prevalence, CHW-physician agreement was high for all symptoms except for severe headache/blurred vision (K=0.13-0.38, P<0.001) and lower abdominal pain without fever (K=0.39-0.57, P<0.001). Across sites, similar trends were observed.

Table 5, Table 6 and Table 7 document data on the frequency and validity of historical factors reported by mothers, as well as the clinical signs and symptoms observed by CHWs. In Sylhet, sensitivity (Se) and specificity (Sp) were very high for recorded high fever (Se=100%; Sp=98%) and reported history of fever (Se=90%; Sp=90%). The other PP Sepsis signs and symptoms showed low sensitivity (recorded moderate fever (Se=33%), lower abdominal/pelvic pain (Se=57%) and abnormal vaginal discharge (Se=48%)), however, specificity was high (≥88%). For all other signs and symptoms assessed, sensitivity was low ranging from 13% for vaginal bleeding to 57% for lower abdominal pain, while specificity exceeded 87%. In Matiari, among PP sepsis symptoms and symptom assessed, low sensitivity and high specificity were generally observed: high fever (Se=22%; Sp=100%), moderate fever (Se=32%; Sp=96%), history of fever (Se=78%; Sp=83%), lower abdominal pain (Se=75%; Sp=59%), and vaginal discharge (Se=58%; Sp=82%). The sensitivity for symptoms of other clinical conditions showed a range of very low sensitivity (vaginal discharge with no fever, Se=23%) to high (severe headache and blurred visions, Se=71%; history of fever with no other clinical signs or symptoms,
Table 2. Kappa statistics for agreement between assessments by community health workers and physicians in Sylhet, Bangladesh (n=278)

| Frequency (%) | Agreement (%) | Overall Agreement (%) | Kappa | SE | P-value |
|---------------|--------------|-----------------------|-------|----|---------|
|               | +            | -                     | Adjusted | Un-adjusted |     |

**Suspected puerperal sepsis / Other suspected illness / Suspected local infection**

**Clinical signs:**
- High fever: temperature 102.4°F (39.1°C) or higher
- Fever: Temperature 100.6°F-102.3°F (38.1°C-39.0°C)

**Symptoms:**
- History of fever
- Lower abdominal or pelvic pain
- Abnormal or foul-smelling discharge

**Other conditions**

| Clinical signs: |
|----------------|
| Fever only: temperature 100.6°F-102.3°F (38.1°C-39.0°C) |

| Frequency (%) | Agreement (%) | Overall Agreement (%) | Kappa | SE | P-value |
|---------------|--------------|-----------------------|-------|----|---------|
|               | +            | -                     | Adjusted | Un-adjusted |     |

*Physician and community health worker assessed as positive.

SE – standard error

Table 3. Kappa statistics for agreement between assessments by community health workers and physicians in Matiari, Pakistan (n=300)

| Frequency (%) | Agreement (%) | Overall Agreement (%) | Kappa | SE | P-value |
|---------------|--------------|-----------------------|-------|----|---------|
|               | +            | -                     | Adjusted | Un-adjusted |     |

**Suspected puerperal sepsis / Other suspected illness/suspected local infection**

**Clinical signs:**
- High fever: temperature 102.4°F (39.1°C) or higher
- Fever: Temperature 100.6°F-102.3°F (38.1°C-39.0°C)

**Symptoms:**
- History of fever
- Lower abdominal or pelvic pain
- Abnormal or foul-smelling discharge

**Other conditions**

| Clinical signs: |
|----------------|
| Fever only: temperature 100.6°F-102.3°F (38.1°C-39.0°C) |

| Frequency (%) | Agreement (%) | Overall Agreement (%) | Kappa | SE | P-value |
|---------------|--------------|-----------------------|-------|----|---------|
|               | +            | -                     | Adjusted | Un-adjusted |     |

*Physician and community health worker assessed as positive.

SE – standard error
Table 4. Kappa statistics for agreement between assessments by community health workers and physicians in Karachi, Pakistan (n = 300)

| SUSPECTED PUEPERAL SEPSIS / OTHER SUSPECTED ILLNESS / SUSPECTED LOCAL INFECTION | FREQUENCY (%) | AGREEMENT (%) | OVERALL AGREEMENT (%) | KAPPA | SE | P-VALUE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Clinical signs:** | | | | | | |
| High fever: temperature 102.4°F (39.1°C) or higher | 0.7 | 100 | 100 | 99 | 0.97 | 0.00 | – |
| Fever: Temperature 100.6°F-102.3°F (38.1°C–39.0°C) | 0.7 | 44 | 99 | 98 | 0.97 | 0.44 | 0.05 <0.01 |
| **Symptoms:** | | | | | | |
| History of fever | 29 | 76 | 85 | 81 | 0.63 | 0.61 | 0.06 <0.01 |
| Lower abdominal or pelvic pain | 38 | 69 | 63 | 66 | 0.33 | 0.32 | 0.06 <0.01 |
| Abnormal or foul-smelling discharge | 6.3 | 46 | 91 | 85 | 0.70 | 0.37 | 0.06 <0.01 |
| **Other conditions** | | | | | | |
| **Clinical signs:** | | | | | | |
| Fever only: temperature 100.6°F-102.3°F (38.1°C–39.0°C) | 0.0 | – | – | – | – | – |
| **Symptoms:** | | | | | | |
| Severe vaginal bleeding | 0.7 | 15 | 96 | 92 | 0.85 | 0.11 | 0.06 0.03 |
| Severe headache and blurred vision | 14 | 45 | 76 | 66 | 0.32 | 0.25 | 0.05 0.00 |
| Leaking urine and/or stool | 0.7 | 100 | 100 | 99 | 0.97 | 0.00 | 0.00 – |
| Convulsions or unconscious | 0.3 | 33 | 99 | 99 | 0.97 | 0.33 | 0.04 <0.01 |
| Lower abdominal pain (without fever) | 12 | 49 | 83 | 75 | 0.50 | 0.33 | 0.06 <0.01 |
| History of fever only | 29 | 76 | 85 | 81 | 0.63 | 0.61 | 0.06 <0.01 |
| Abnormal or foul-smelling vaginal discharge (without fever) | 1.0 | 21 | 96 | 92 | 0.85 | 0.17 | 0.06 <0.01 |
| Burning on micturition | 11 | 57 | 90 | 84 | 0.68 | 0.48 | 0.06 <0.01 |
| Severe vaginal bleeding | 0.7 | 8 | 90 | 84 | 0.80 | 0.42 | 0.06 <0.01 |
| Lower abdominal pain (without fever) | 48 | 76 | 85 | 81 | 0.63 | 0.61 | 0.06 <0.01 |
| History of fever only | 37 | 217 | 100 | 77 | 90 | 95 | 91 97 |
| Abnormal or foul-smelling vaginal discharge (without fever) | 1.0 | 21 | 96 | 92 | 0.85 | 0.17 | 0.06 <0.01 |
| Burning on micturition | 11 | 57 | 90 | 84 | 0.68 | 0.48 | 0.06 <0.01 |
| Cough or difficulty breathing | 0.7 | 8 | 90 | 84 | 0.80 | 0.42 | 0.06 <0.01 |
| Severe headache and blurred vision | 41 | 149 | 22 | 66 | 38 | 29 | 48 87 81 92 |
| Lower abdominal pain (without fever) | 107 | 79 | 11 | 81 | 57 | 50 | 64 88 79 94 |
| Abnormal or foul-smelling discharge | 11 | 243 | 12 | 12 | 48 | 27 | 69 95 92 98 |
| **Other conditions** | | | | | | |
| **Clinical signs:** | | | | | | |
| Fever only: temperature 100.6°F-102.3°F (38.1°C–39.0°C) | 0.0 | – | – | – | – | – |

SE – standard error  
*Physician and community health worker assessed as positive.

Table 5. Sensitivity and specificity of historical factors reported by mothers and clinic signs and symptoms observed by community health workers across sites in Sylhet, Bangladesh (n = 278)

| SUSPECTED PUEPERAL SEPSIS / OTHER SUSPECTED ILLNESS / SUSPECTED LOCAL INFECTION | TP | TN | FP | FN | SENSITIVITY % | 95% CI | SPECIFICITY % | 95% CI |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Clinical signs:** | | | | | | | | |
| High fever: temperature 102.4°F (39.1°C) or higher | 1 | 270 | 7 | 0 | 100 | 3 | 100 | 98 95 99 |
| Fever: Temperature 100.6°F-102.3°F (38.1°C–39.0°C) | 2 | 257 | 15 | 4 | 33 | 4 | 78 | 95 91 97 |
| **Symptoms:** | | | | | | | | |
| History of fever | 37 | 214 | 23 | 4 | 90 | 77 | 97 | 90 86 94 |
| Lower abdominal or pelvic pain | 107 | 79 | 11 | 81 | 57 | 50 | 64 88 79 94 |
| Abnormal or foul-smelling discharge | 11 | 243 | 12 | 12 | 48 | 27 | 69 95 92 98 |
| **Other conditions** | | | | | | | | |
| **Clinical signs:** | | | | | | | | |
| Fever only: temperature 100.6°F-102.3°F (38.1°C–39.0°C) | 1 | 277 | 0 | 0 | – | – | – | – |
| **Symptoms:** | | | | | | | | |
| Severe vaginal bleeding | 4 | 252 | 15 | 7 | 36 | 11 | 69 | 94 91 97 |
| Severe headache and blurred vision | 41 | 149 | 22 | 66 | 38 | 29 | 48 | 87 81 92 |
| Leaking urine and/or stool | 0 | 277 | 1 | 0 | – | – | – | – |
| Convulsions or unconscious | 3 | 275 | 0 | 0 | – | – | – | – |
| Lower abdominal pain (without fever) | 48 | 145 | 9 | 76 | 39 | 30 | 48 | 94 89 97 |
| History of fever only | 37 | 214 | 23 | 4 | 90 | 77 | 97 | 90 86 94 |
| Abnormal or foul-smelling vaginal discharge (without fever) | 1 | 264 | 6 | 7 | 13 | 0 | 53 | 98 95 99 |
| Burning on micturition | 26 | 199 | 12 | 41 | 39 | 27 | 52 | 94 90 97 |
| Cough or difficulty breathing | 13 | 220 | 14 | 31 | 30 | 17 | 45 | 94 90 97 |
| Pus or pain from tear, c- section or episiotomy wound | 8 | 257 | 4 | 9 | 47 | 23 | 72 | 99 96 100 |
| Swollen, red, or painful breast | 4 | 252 | 3 | 19 | 17 | 5 | 39 | 99 97 100 |

TP – true positive, TN – true negative, FP – false positive, FN – false negative, CI – confidence interval
Table 6. Sensitivity and specificity of historical factors reported by mothers and clinic signs and symptoms observed by community health workers across sites in Matiari, Pakistan (n=300)

|                              | TP  | TN  | FP  | FN  | Sensitivity % | 95% CI | Specificity % | 95% CI |
|------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|---------------|--------|---------------|--------|
| **Suspected puerperal sepsis / Other suspected illness / Suspected local infection** |     |     |     |     |               |        |               |        |
| **Clinical signs:**          |     |     |     |     |               |        |               |        |
| High fever: temperature 102.4°F (39.1°C) or higher | 2   | 289 | 2   | 7   | 22            | 3      | 60            | 100    |
| Fever: Temperature 100.6°F-102.3°F (38.1°C-39.0°C) | 6   | 270 | 11  | 13  | 32            | 13     | 57            | 96     |
| **Symptoms:**                |     |     |     |     |               |        |               |        |
| History of fever             | 95  | 147 | 31  | 27  | 78            | 70     | 85            | 83     |
| Lower abdominal or pelvic pain | 81  | 114 | 78  | 27  | 66            | 83     | 59            | 52     |
| Abnormal or foul-smelling discharge | 32  | 202 | 43  | 23  | 58            | 44     | 71            | 82     |
| **Other conditions**         |     |     |     |     |               |        |               |        |
| Fever only (temperature 100.6°F-102.3°F (38.1°C-39.0°C) | 1   | 299 | 0   | 0   | –             | –      | –             | –      |
| **Symptoms:**                |     |     |     |     |               |        |               |        |
| Severe vaginal bleeding      | 3   | 259 | 35  | 3   | 50            | 12     | 88            | 84     |
| Severe headache and blurred vision | 105 | 102 | 50  | 43  | 71            | 63     | 78            | 67     |
| Leaking urine and/or stool   | 2   | 298 | 0   | 0   | –             | –      | –             | –      |
| Convulsions or unconscious   | 0   | 296 | 1   | 3   | 0             | 0      | 71            | 100    |
| Lower abdominal pain (without fever) | 22  | 213 | 42  | 23  | 49            | 34     | 64            | 84     |
| History of fever only        | 95  | 147 | 31  | 27  | 78            | 70     | 85            | 83     |
| Abnormal or foul-smelling vaginal discharge (without fever) | 5   | 257 | 21  | 17  | 23            | 8      | 45            | 92     |
| Burning on micturition       | 16  | 250 | 23  | 11  | 59            | 39     | 78            | 92     |
| Cough or difficulty breathing | 37  | 204 | 34  | 25  | 60            | 46     | 72            | 86     |
| Pus or pain from tear, c-section or episiotomy wound | 13  | 268 | 8   | 11  | 54            | 33     | 74            | 97     |
| Swollen, red, or painful breast | 7   | 247 | 37  | 9   | 44            | 20     | 70            | 87     |

TP – true positive, TN – true negative, FP – false positive, FN – false negative, CI – confidence interval

Table 7. Sensitivity and specificity of historical factors reported by mothers and clinic signs and symptoms observed by community health workers across sites in Karachi, Pakistan (n=300)

|                              | TP  | TN  | FP  | FN  | Sensitivity % | 95% CI | Specificity % | 95% CI |
|------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|---------------|--------|---------------|--------|
| **Suspected puerperal sepsis / Other suspected illness / Suspected local infection** |     |     |     |     |               |        |               |        |
| **Clinical signs:**          |     |     |     |     |               |        |               |        |
| High fever: temperature 102.4°F (39.1°C) or higher | 2   | 298 | 0   | 0   | –             | –      | –             | –      |
| Fever: Temperature 100.6°F-102.3°F (38.1°C-39.0°C) | 2   | 293 | 1   | 4   | 33            | 4      | 78            | 100    |
| **Symptoms:**                |     |     |     |     |               |        |               |        |
| History of fever             | 87  | 157 | 17  | 39  | 69            | 60     | 77            | 90     |
| Lower abdominal or pelvic pain | 113 | 86  | 49  | 52  | 69            | 61     | 76            | 64     |
| Abnormal or foul-smelling discharge | 19  | 236 | 15  | 30  | 39            | 25     | 54            | 94     |
| **Other conditions**         |     |     |     |     |               |        |               |        |
| Fever only (temperature 100.6°F-102.3°F (38.1°C-39.0°C) | 0   | 300 | 0   | 0   | –             | –      | –             | –      |
| **Symptoms:**                |     |     |     |     |               |        |               |        |
| Severe vaginal bleeding      | 2   | 275 | 9   | 14  | 13            | 2      | 38            | 97     |
| Severe headache and blurred vision | 42  | 157 | 84  | 17  | 71            | 58     | 82            | 65     |
| Leaking urine and/or stool   | 2   | 298 | 0   | 0   | –             | –      | –             | –      |
| Convulsions or unconscious   | 1   | 295 | 4   | 0   | 100           | 3      | 100           | 99     |
| Lower abdominal pain (without fever) | 36  | 189 | 42  | 33  | 52            | 40     | 64            | 82     |
| History of fever only        | 87  | 157 | 17  | 39  | 69            | 60     | 77            | 90     |
| Abnormal or foul-smelling vaginal discharge (without fever) | 3   | 274 | 9   | 14  | 18            | 4      | 43            | 97     |
| Burning on micturition       | 32  | 220 | 17  | 31  | 51            | 38     | 64            | 93     |
| Cough or difficulty breathing | 2   | 225 | 28  | 21  | 55            | 40     | 70            | 89     |
| Pus or pain from tear, c-section or episiotomy wound | 25  | 233 | 10  | 32  | 44            | 31     | 58            | 96     |
| Swollen, red, or painful breast | 33  | 208 | 19  | 40  | 45            | 34     | 57            | 92     |

TP – true positive, TN – true negative, FP – false positive, FN – false negative, CI – confidence interval
Se = 78%). Specificity was high for all symptoms assessed with the exception of severe headache or blurred vision (Sp = 67%). In Karachi, a similar picture emerged. Among PP sepsis symptoms and symptom assessed, low sensitivity and high specificity were generally observed: moderate fever (Se = 33%; Sp = 100%), history of fever (Se = 69%; Sp = 90%), lower abdominal pain (Se = 69%; Sp = 64%), and vaginal discharge (Se = 39%; Sp = 94%). Sensitivity for symptoms of other clinical (non-PP Sepsis) conditions ranged from 13% for severe vaginal bleeding to 71% for severe headache and blurred vision; high specificity was seen throughout.

### CHW classification of illness

Following collection of data on signs and symptoms, CHWs were asked to classify illness into one of five categories: suspected sepsis, other suspected illness, suspected local infection, other or no infection. Sensitivity and specificity analyses were adjusted for verification bias and are presented across sites in Figure 2. The combined site sensitivity of CHW’s correct classification of PP sepsis was 82% (range across sites of 78% - 95%) and specificity 90% (range 85%-95%).

To explore the validity of the CHW’s application of the algorithm over time, we measured the adjusted sensitivity and specificity of CHW diagnosis of sepsis by groupings of days postpartum in the following increments: 0-2 days, 0-7 days, 0-14 days, 0-28 days and 0-42 days (Figure 3). Overall results suggest that the adjusted sensitivity and specificity were similar by day postpartum.

**Figure 2.** Adjusted sensitivity and specificity for CHW identification of PP sepsis vs Physician assessment across study sites.

**Figure 3.** Adjusted sensitivity and specificity by days postpartum across sites.
DISCUSSION

This study sought to assess the validity of CHW identification of maternal puerperal sepsis using a clinical diagnostic algorithm in Bangladesh and Pakistan with physician assessment and classification used as the gold standard. CHWs were able to identify and classify suspected cases of PP sepsis with high sensitivity (82%) and specificity (90%) in all sites. The AUROC measures were also promising: indicating a probability of 89%-97% that CHWs equipped with the algorithm could correctly distinguish between positive and negative cases. The CHW and physician overall agreement was also high for four of five signs and symptoms assessed for PP sepsis: documented high and moderate fever, history of fever and abnormal vaginal discharge, reaching statistical significance in this assessment of CHW: physician agreement. The most sensitive and specific of the signs and symptoms of PP sepsis also included documented high fever and reported history of fever. However, high fever was rarely actually documented via thermometer: only reported history of fever was of moderate prevalence. The other signs – also more prevalent - while specific, were less sensitive. Across all sites, the sensitivity and specificity were similar by days postpartum; indicating that CHWs were able to apply the tool throughout the postpartum window with a high degree of validity.

While not the primary focus of the study, the opportunity to save lives by asking women about other life-threatening or serious complications via the algorithm could not be ignored. Kappa statistics varied by site, but the signs or symptoms with high Kappa’s common to the three sites were severe vaginal bleeding; convulsions or unconsciousness; abnormal or foul vaginal discharge (without fever); and pus or pain from tear, C/S or episiotomy wound (Sylhet and Karachi only) (all adjusted Kappas >0.80). Severe vaginal bleeding and convulsions or altered consciousness are critical signs of late post-partum hemorrhage and severe pre-eclampsia/eclampsia respectively – both serious life-threatening complications requiring urgent referral to specialized obstetric care. Other conditions such as abnormal vaginal discharge (without fever) or cough are less worrisome symptoms but also require non-urgent referral to an appropriate level of care. However, while not consistently prevalent across sites, leaking urine or stool strongly suggests a bladder or rectal vaginal fistula, or severe damage to the pelvic floor – either of which are serious issues that require surgical intervention. And swollen, red or painful breast suggest mastitis, an infection of the breast that can become serious for the mother and contribute to inadequate milk production – impacting the newborn. These require validation in different contexts but show promise as community-based method to identify other post-partum complications.

The strong performance of CHWs across all sites may have been enhanced by the experience of the implementing partners and their well-established CHWs programs. In Sylhet, CHWs have been providing community-based services for nearly two-decades. Assessments dating back to 2005-2006 have shown that CHWs were able to correctly classify very severe disease in newborns with a sensitivity of 91%, specificity of 95%, and kappa of 0.85 ($P<0.001$) [27]. Successful implementation of the algorithm in addition to other community-based activities ultimately contributed to a 34% reduction in neonatal mortality [11]. CHWs have subsequently been used to provide family planning [40], promote umbilical cord cleansing with chlorhexidine [41], and most recently, to screen and treat maternal genitourinary tract infections with the aim of preventing pre-term births [42]. In Matari, the well-established national cadre of “Lady Health Workers” have been utilized as the cornerstone of community-based service delivery. For nearly a decade, the Matari site has sought to test innovations to the standard package of community-based activities, including the use of CHWs to promote antenatal care and maternal health education, use of clean delivery kits, facility births, immediate newborn care, identification of danger signs, and careseeking. Collectively these have activities been shown to significantly reduce neonatal mortality (RR 0.85, 0.76-0.96; $P=0.02$) [43]. Among children under 5, CHWs in Matari have also demonstrated effectiveness in implementing community case management of WHO-defined severe pneumonia; a process requiring intensive screening of children in addition to treatment and follow-up [44]. In Karachi, while CHW programmatic activities are more nascent when compared against the two other sites, teams have been operating for a nearly a decade; providing services to low income residents in peri-urban coastal fishing villages. Proximity to secondary and tertiary care facilities, has meant that much of the programmatic content of services has emphasized rapid identification of illness and referral.

This is one of the first studies to equip CHWs in a low resource setting with a diagnostic tool for real-time postpartum infection screening in the home, and to our knowledge – the only one to date to assess CHWs ability to use an algorithm to identify post-partum women with prospective PP sepsis. Reports found on other studies to validate alternative strategies to identify maternal morbidity describe different retrospective methods and have demonstrated mixed results. In Benin, midwife-administered questionnaires in home and clinic settings at 6 months postpartum demonstrated poor validity for detecting common postpartum morbidities including anemia (34% sensitivity, 66% specificity), incontinence (5% sensitivity, 98% specificity), UTIs (2%
sensitivity, 95% specificity), and prolapse (18% sensitivity, 91% specificity) [45]. In Brazil, efforts to compare maternal recall of complications related to pregnancy and childbirth with medical records similarly suggested that women could not accurately recall the occurrence of obstetric complications, including hemorrhage and infection, although recollection of process indicators like hysterectomy or blood transfusion were much higher. The length of time after delivery for these queries was not specified, but the authors note that increasing length of time from the delivery was associated with poorer recall [46]. Our study assessing CHW algorithm use was administered to post-partum women – asking about their current symptoms – rather than specific complications such as hemorrhage or care interventions and consequently does not have the potential recall bias of other morbidity measurement methods and tools.

Elsewhere in the literature, encouraging evidence on the effectiveness of screening for conditions in the home is emerging. Among newborns, CHWs have been used effectively to screen for fetal alcohol spectrum disorders [47], categorize weight in Uttar Pradesh, India [48] as well as conduct infection screening in several South Asian settings, including Mirzapur [28] and Sylhet [27], Bangladesh; and Gadchiroli, Maharashtra, India [49]. Among children under 5, CHWs have demonstrated effectiveness in screening for neurodevelopmental status [50], as well as pneumonia [44], diarrhea [51], and malaria [52]. In Sylhet, a randomized controlled trial is under way to screen and treat pregnant women between 13 and 19 weeks of gestation for abnormal vaginal flora and urinary tract infections – as a means to prevent pre-term birth [42]. Project activities rely on CHWs to provide routine antenatal and postnatal home-based care in addition to screening and treating of pregnant women between 13 and 19 weeks of gestation for abnormal vaginal flora and urinary tract infections [42]. As part of postnatal care activities, CHWs assess mothers for vital status, fever, uterine tenderness and symptoms of postpartum hemorrhage [42]. Women with suspected postpartum complications (defined as fever >38.3°C, abdominal/uterine tenderness, self-report of excessive hemorrhage) are identified and referred to health facilities for additional care and treatment [42]. While the project is presently under way, measurement of maternal morbidity including PP sepsis is anticipated to be a key outcome. Beyond this study, no additional studies were identified in the literature which emphasize community-based postpartum infection screening in low resource settings and none were found to emphasize maternal post-partum screening focussed on puerperal sepsis.

As dialogue continues on the optimal scope of work for CHWs [53], efforts are needed to improve integration of care and capitalize on opportunities for treating both mothers and newborns. Study findings here point to the infrequency of signs and symptoms of maternal postpartum infection which could render stand-alone vertical PP sepsis programs cost ineffective. However, post-partum care for mothers and newborns is an area in great need of strengthening [54]. This study, and the ANISA study with its underpinning newborn algorithms may provide an opportunity for generating recommendations on an integrated tool for maternal and newborn infection screening. In settings where CHWs are already in the community and/or home conducting screening for newborns, a more comprehensive approach to service delivery which includes maternal screening is likely to incur minimal incremental costs and concurrently yield improvements in morbidity and mortality. Our findings suggest that CHWs can identify symptoms and signs with very good accuracy as compared to physicians. A community-based screening algorithm should be highly sensitive – so that women suspected of illness can be referred for more expert diagnoses. The most sensitive symptoms and signs were measured fever, and asking about a history of fever, and should be included in other algorithms evaluated or deployed elsewhere. While less sensitive, the limited number of questions needed to ask about the more prevalent signs, the high sensitivity and specificity of CHW overall PP sepsis classification, and the AUROC measures indicate that the spectrum of questions about lower abdominal or pelvic pain and abnormal vaginal discharge also be included in algorithms to optimize correct identification of women who may have PP sepsis and require referral for management. As analyses continue on the risk factors for both maternal and newborn infection, further refinement to the recommended number and timing of home visits may additionally emerge and allow for further programmatic streamlining. While context specific adaptations of the algorithm may be necessary, testing in two settings in two South Asian countries provides a strong foundation for further assessments elsewhere in the region where other cadres of CHWs exist, including in India and sub-Saharan Africa where the majority of maternal deaths occur. While the symptoms and signs of PP sepsis should not differ physiologically between regions, the capabilities of CHWs including their ability to collect clinical data on signs and symptoms may differ.

Limitations

The validation sub-study was part of a larger study on maternal infection which sought to additionally determine the incidence, risk factors and etiology of maternal infection in South Asia. In an effort to capture risk factors and measure incidence, a larger questionnaire was developed for use by CHWs which included a sec-
tion specific to the algorithm which summarized signs and symptoms gathered through queries earlier in the instrument. The assessment of the tool was not predictive, ie, do these symptoms identified actually predict impending sepsis. Rather, our analyses falls under the category of criterion validity assessment – determining if the CHW and physician came to the same conclusion using the tool at (approximately) at the same point in time [55]. Future applications of this algorithm are likely to streamline questions which may facilitate implementation, minimize the potential for reporting and classification errors as well as the time required to assess women, and ultimately, improve the validity of the tool. Study implementation occurred in research sites through cadres of CHWs which are likely to differ from government supported frontline health workers deployed as part of national programs. The successful replication of findings from Sylhet, Karachi and Matiar will depend on a number of factors including the underlying capabilities of CHWs, their existing workloads, quality of initial and in-service training, supervisory structures and referral systems. In settings where CHWs have lower levels of literacy and supporting structural inputs are lacking, validity is likely to be lower. Our findings represent the prevalence in the population-based surveillance systems used in this study and may not reflect the risk in the entire country.

CONCLUSIONS

We endeavored to include mothers in post-partum screening programs that heretofore focused on newborns: assessing the validity of CHW identification of maternal puerperal sepsis using a clinical diagnostic algorithm in Bangladesh and Pakistan with physician assessment and classification used as the gold standard. CHWs with limited training can use a diagnostic algorithm to identify signs and symptoms and classify cases of PP sepsis with high validity. Evaluations of maternal infection providing a forum for emphasizing more integrated care and equal prioritization of mothers in addition to newborns during a period of peak vulnerability. Integrating postpartum infection screening into existing community-based platforms is a promising strategy for improving delivery of integrated maternal and child health care in low resource settings.
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