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Abstract
Social media users can be influenced directly by their close relationships, such as their friends, family, and colleagues. They can also be influenced by those who follow them through shared information, goals, news, and opinions. Generally, an influencer is someone who entices an influence to do the same action, make the same decision, or change their behavior. He can also communicate information, ideas, and thoughts to multiple users. There are many tools to identify influencers. It cannot be found simply through their big follower number or their shared media number. Thus, influencer identification is one of the essential tasks in social media research. Several approaches and metrics have been proposed in the literature to identify influencers. In this article, we explored the issue of identifying social media influencers while providing a generic view of social media influence. First, we presented a literature synthesis on the influence of social media. Then, we categorized the works and illustrated the leading solutions in literature to identify influencers in social media. A discussion and suggestions for potential future directions in this area accompanied this presentation. We believe these briefings are critical to resolving the issue discussed in this article.
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1 Introduction

1.1 Context
Digital networks have a huge impact on people’s daily lives, leading dozens of new researchers to study this rapidly growing issue that extends throughout multiple sub-domains. As social networks become more prominent, a researcher’s first logical thought upon analyzing these networks is how to get specific information from the data. That makes marketing applications explore social media to understand user trends and offer new products. Military applications have analyzed them to detect terrorist groups and their further socialization Cialdini and Goldstein (2004). The health and biomedical fields Sendi and Omri (2015) have also benefited from social media analysis by exploring discussions on health topics and analyzing patient behaviors. Analysis can also predict relationship quality, event detection and characterization as good or bad Iraklis and Williams (2020) anticipating the number of followers they might have Imamori and Tajima (2016), enhancing interaction activity and user similarities Xiang et al. (2010). And by combining temporal information with a social network structure, user interests Bao et al. (2013) are predicted.

As the researchers’ results found, the network structure proves to be of utmost importance. A graph with nodes and edges, where each edge symbolizes the connection between two users, whereas each node represents one user, can be used to model a social network. Users have a personal web page and connect with different friends to share content and interact with each other, and build social relationships by following others or adding a theme as a friend.

In associated with social influence Peng et al. (2018) an influencer entices an influence to do the same action or make the same decision, and there can also be no uncertainty Peng
et al. (2017). Users might well be instantly influenced by their true and intimate relationships (friends, family, classmates, coworkers, etc.) while they spend more time with them, and by famous content creators that they follow, by consulting their shares daily: actuality, goals, news, and opinion. Thus, users are sharing influence while sharing media and posts. The information and knowledge management community has recently attached importance to the topic of identifying significant users from social media as “the source of influence”. Although studies have concentrated on measuring levels of ability to identify knowledgeable users and determining levels of influence to identify influential users. The process starts by analyzing the influence of the nodes and then progressively maximizes the influence of an initial set of nodes to obtain the influential nodes. It is a challenging task that has the potential for considerable usefulness in many applications.

Several important works in the literature deal with influence and many new works have recently been attracted by identifying important and significant influencer nodes. In this paper, we will deal with the social media influence environment, influencer identification, and important nodes, and we will classify important existing works.

The following section discusses the main related surveys cited in the literature.

1.2 Related surveys

Many important works dealing with social media analysis have been proposed in the literature. We are going to classify them according to their state of the art and their metrics. The first social media analysis technique Adedoyin-Olowe et al. (2014), Tabassum et al. (2018) was classified as an unsupervised, semi-supervised, or supervised learning method. The SM community detection Azaouzi et al. (2019) and social media structure discovery have two types of structure networks: static or dynamic according to space and time. Some others worked to drown the social media users’ relationships as a graph with nodes and edges. Authors Bian et al. (2019) reviewed, analyzed, and classified some main literature works into two main categories: the most influential nodes in the graph and the most significant nodes. Works dealing with the inferring of user interest profiles have been classified in Piao and Breslin (2018). Another target user personality has been detailed by its authors in Andreassen et al. (2016). Depending on the sort of machine learning utilized in each approach, the information transmitted could be rumors Alzanin and M.Azmi (2018). The authors proceeded by dividing the problem of rumor detection into three different categories: hybrid approaches; supervised approaches; and unsupervised approaches. There are also important works dealing with the analysis of social media influence, such as the works detailed in Peng et al. (2018); Sun and Tang (2011); Peng et al. (2017). The authors of the contribution presented in Peng et al. (2018) classified several notable works using switch algorithms and models. In Sun and Tang (2011), the authors also described various methods and algorithms for calculating measures related to social influence. An important new work Azaouzi et al. (2021) deals with the problem of influence maximization under privacy protection. They divide models into two categories: group node-based models and individual models. And, by proposing different algorithms, different methodologies, and also diverse frameworks, they demonstrated that one key solves the problem of maximizing influence at the individual level Kempe et al. (2003). The perspectives of influencer marketing, their content strategies, and the attractiveness of their sponsored recommendations are reviewed to promote the marketing tactic. The three research factors used by authors to categorize papers were the source, message, and audience Hudders et al. (2021). Social network applications are becoming highly interested in the topic of community detection Plantie and Crampes (2013).

There is much work dealing with the influence of others on social media. We aimed to classify some of them subjectively according to their aims and the taxonomy used in classification. Table 1 below summarizes the related surveys.

To the best of our knowledge and after these related surveys studies, no one proposed a classification of influencer node. Moreover, no work has been found to deal with the global problem of social media influencer identification. By comparison with the main related surveys, such as Bian et al. (2019); Adedoyin-Olowe et al. (2014); Tabassum et al. (2018); Piao and Breslin (2018); Alzanin and M.Azmi (2018); Hudders et al. (2021); Andreassen et al. (2016); Peng et al. (2018); Plantie and Crampes (2013) presented previously, our study presents the following major differences:

- We are not only dealing with influence maximization or significant node identification. Our aim is the identification of many types of social network influencers.
- Unlike some existing surveys, dealing with only one type of social network, that of Twitter, our study exploits different types of social networks, such as micro-blogging, egocentric networks, Google+ dataset, Facebook, Amazon, DBLP, and Wiki.
- Our study is characterized by two important points: exhaustiveness and recent bibliographical references. Indeed, our study represents coverage of different fields of application.

1.3 Motivation and contribution

1.3.1 Motivation

Numerous important works in the literature deal with user interests and influence, which have changed over time and have played a vital role in all analysis processes. Thus, we
have dealt with social media strategy, the first user influ-
ence, to simulate the real environment of users.

The social media strategy makes users more dependent:
content is organized and categorized, and switching algo-
rithms, user activity, and past choices increase the usage
rate. One of its strategies is that publication occurrence
depends on users’ last choices and their degree of impor-
tance, the rate of publication consulted, and users’ litiga-
tion. These networks use cookies and algorithms to collect

Table 1 Classification of the main surveys

| Reference             | Aims                                  | Taxonomy(ies) studied                                                                 | Finding                                                                 |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Piao and Breslin (2018) | Inferring User Interests              | - Data Collection<br>- Data Representation<br>- Data Construction<br>- Data Enhancement | Both questionnaires and extrinsic evaluation procedures have similar levels |
| Alzanin and M.Azmi (2018) | Detecting rumors                     | - Unsupervised approaches<br>- Supervised approaches<br>- Hybrid approaches         | There is still a critical need to include many languages               |
| Sun and Tang (2011)    | Social influence analysis models      | Comprehensive survey                                                                  | An important and challenging research area                               |
| Adedoyin-Olowe et al. (2014) | Social Network Analysis Using Data Mining Techniques | - Unsupervised Methods<br>- Semi-supervised methods<br>- Supervised methods         | The usefulness of data mining techniques in locating important content and information among the massive amounts of data created |
| Tabassum et al. (2018) | Social network analysis               | Comprehensive survey                                                                  | Still a challenge for many of the metrics that need to traverse the entire or most of the graph on every update |
| Andreassen et al. (2016) | The relationship between addictive use | Behavioral addiction                                                                  | It is necessary to conduct an additional study on these understudied interactions |
| Plantie and Crampes (2013) | Community Detection                  | - Graph partition<br>- Hypergraph<br>- Concept graphs or Galois lattices             | There should be more approaches developed, and software tools to support them are anticipated to follow |
| Azaouzi et al. (2019)  | Community detection                   | - Static network<br>- Dynamic network<br>- Real-world datasets<br>- Synthetic datasets | Important topic                                                         |
| Bian et al. (2019)     | Identifying Top-k Nodes in Social Networks | - Influential nodes<br>- Significant nodes                                           | - The work in this area is quite limited. <br>- The research area of mining top-k nodes in dynamic networks is still relatively new |
| Peng et al. (2018)     | Influence analysis                    | Comprehensive survey                                                                  | Social networks are on the horizon, and social influence analysis is a crucial field to address needs |
| Azaouzi et al. (2021)  | Influence maximization Models         | - Individual models<br>- Group node-based models                                       | Many more problems and challenges will show up during the progress of the social network journey |
| Hudders et al. (2021)  | Social media influencers’ strategy    | Comprehensive survey                                                                  | - Research on influencer marketing is flourishing <br>- Continuing research on the subject is estimated to grow |
| Our Survey             | Influence and identifying influencers | Comprehensive survey                                                                  | - Interesting issue <br>- The amount of ongoing research is anticipated to rise |
and international trending topics; international action or famous events; and personal activity.

Social media allows users to follow each other and share details about their activities and postings. They can like each other’s publications, photos, videos, opinions, and disputes. It allows the user to see similar consulted publications, and it offers the possibility to chat and create direct communication and influence. Their friend or an influencer can be inspired by seeing the publication. That is why we thought that social media users were sharing influence.

All of those influence the user’s choice, use, ideas, focus, and personality. Users prefer personalized and guided services that are matched by their choice of searches and hope. So we are dealing with the influence and influencers on social media networks.

1.3.2 Contributions

The main contributions of this article can be summarized in the following points:

– First, we dealt with the social media influence environment to study the general concept of our aims.
– Secondly, our principal contribution was influencer identification and important nodes, followed by classifying important works dealing with them and reviewing them.
– Finally, in a third step, new research directions concerning our survey review are discussed.

1.4 Paper Organization

The rest of this work is organized as follows: In Section 2, we presented the review methodology adopted in this work by providing the information sources and the research questions. In section 3, the social media influence background is presented and discussed. Section 4 is devoted to detailing an overview of social media influence. Then, section 5 contained a literature synthesis on the social media influence that we have treated in this work. Section 6 presented the discussions and research challenges that are synthesized at the end of this research work. We concluded the study by proposing several research challenges in Section 7.

2 Review methodology, sources of information and research questions

2.1 Review methodology

We have assumed a systematic methodology to elaborate on this current work on social media influence. The following is a description of the review approach used, inspired by Kitchenham and Charters (2007); Helali and Omri (2021); Souiden et al. (2022). The review procedure is a series of processes that make up the review protocol. We begin by providing the various information sources and then the search and selection criteria for the main bibliographical references that we used to undertake this study. Next, we present the questions section that we have to provide answers to throughout this literary work.

According to our objective, we do not aim to create a proper list dealing with social media influence but to introduce some important work. We focused on works from reputable publications. The initial research was based on keywords from recent works. After that, we filtered our search results by choosing according to a few norms, such as the publication date and/or writer competence. If the same, we find a similar approach or idea. Then, based on a full-text screening, title, and abstract, we obtain our primary studies list. Finally, to ensure the quality of the assessment form, we choose several articles arbitrarily based on the previous stage’s selected results.

2.2 Sources of information considered

Concerning the bibliography used, we proceed to search various information sources to lead to the realization of this study. To choose sources related to our focus problem, we selected research articles from journals, conference proceedings, books, and magazines. Thus, we considered the following databases in our research: IEEE Xplore Springer1, ScienceDirect2, Scopus3, ACM Digital Library4, Taylor5, Francis6, Google Scholar7.

We also screened the majority of related high-profile conferences, such as SIGKDD, ICDT, SIGMOD, ICML, WWW, VLDB, ICDE, and EDBT, to find out about recent work. Figure 1 shows that papers reviewed percentages from different types of resources.

2.3 Research questions

In our research, we are going to answer some proposed questions that are identified in this current section. Therefore, this part focuses on question determination, as below. Then, we will answer those questions through the research review.

– RQ1: Who can influence social media users?

1 https://link.springer.com
2 http://www.sciencedirect.com
3 https://www.scopus.com
4 https://www.acm.org/digital-library
5 https://www.taylorandfrancis.com
6 http://ieeexplore.ieee.org
7 https://scholar.google.co.in
Social Media Influence: background

3.1 Social Networks representation

Social media networks can be represented as a set of profile sites Aggarwal and Subbian (2014) or virtual communities. Their structure, by default, is considered static, with a static topology and information. After that, it can be transformed into dynamic networks at a specific time \( t \) if new nodes and edges are added. Networks have a specific topology Peng et al. (2018): internet sites where users connect and communicate with others; and mobile social networks. According to the contribution Bian et al. (2019), the authors show that we can model a social network by a graph \( G = \{V, E\} \) where \( V = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_i\} \) represents a set of nodes and \( E = \{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_j\} \) represents a set of edges. In the network graph, actors are denoted by \( V \), and social interactions and relations between them are denoted by \( E \). Edge measures relay the influence built on the concepts of a pair of nodes. Each node \( v_i \) can be active or inactive, and the diffusion of influence can propagate from the active node to its neighbor, and the links correspond to social relations.

3.2 Influence on Social Media

Social influence is a concept that includes a wide range of phenomena, such as:

- Socialization is the process through which individuals acquire the knowledge, skills, attitudes, values, norms, and appropriate actions of their community Shahr et al. (2019), which powerfully directs behavior in private settings as well Cialdini and Goldstein (2004).
- Obedience and authority Individuals are frequently rewarded for behaving under the opinions, advice, and directives of authority figures Cialdini and Goldstein (2004).
- Compliance professionals are forever attempting to establish that they are working for the same goals as they are, in essence, their teammates Cialdini (2001).
- Conformity refers to the act of changing one’s behavior to match the responses of others Cialdini and Goldstein (2004).
- Persuasion, the ability to use its effect, is frequently a crucial element of success Cialdini and NJ. (2002).

Social networking users tend to act like their “friends” or “neighbors” Singlaand and Richardson (2008); Sun and Tang (2011). This phenomenon can be summarized in the following points:

- Social Influence: Social media users are more likely to imitate their friends’ actions. Thus, social influence causes people to endorse the behaviors of others.
- Selection: People who are characterized as close to them are more likely to bond with each other.
- Variables that may be confusing: Other unidentified variables exist, and people may act similarly to each other.

Social influence Cercel and Trausan-Matu (2014) can be defined as the influence of a person or a group of people on each other. This influence is manifested through the imposition of a set of attitudes and behaviors. Considering two users, \( U_1 \) and \( U_2 \), from the social network. \( U_1 \) has a direct influence on \( U_2 \) or indirectly influences the point of view of \( U_2 \), and transmits influence to \( U_2 \). According to Peng et al. (2018), social influence is defined as a level of uncertainty or a binary relationship between one (the influencer) and the other (the influenced) to do the same action or choice. The level of social influence can be quantified by a continuous real number or by a degree of uncertainty (e.g., weaker, weak, strong, stronger, etc.) and can have different values Peng et al. (2017). The fact that \( U_1 \) influences \( U_2 \), does not imply that \( U_2 \) necessarily affects \( U_1 \).

3.3 Two-step and multi-step communication flow theory

Since relationships are organized around a network of peers, with no centralized entities of control in social media, users are thrown into a global stream of communication Elanor (2013). Social media networks have reshaped communication at different levels, such as mass communication’s two-step flow of communication theory Oren (2019) and multi-step communication. The two-step flow communication theory can be used to describe how influence works on social media. According to Ognyanova (2017) in the two-step model, the theory’s concept of
personalized content through opinion leaders Oren (2019) and direct media effects are hampered by social interactions and audience selectivity in exposure, perception, and retention. The two-step flow theory was expanded upon by the multi-step paradigm Ognyanova (2017). The multi-step flow paradigm describes the way media and interpersonal influence shape public opinion. Understanding whether someone’s opinion was influenced by their social links or if they simply chose social ties that shared that opinion, to begin with, is important in the context of the multi-step flow of communication Ognyanova (2017).

### 3.4 Influencers identification

Nowadays, social media homepages are limited to showing their users priority on the activities of their friends who are used to communicating with or reacting to their statuses, pics, media, or stories. This task can be studied by utilizing the rich text and user interactions on social media Zheng et al. (2020). Some research deals with the person who influences others, each defines a type and researches its impact on others. Nowadays, social media homepages are limited to showing users the activities of their friends, who are used to communicating with or reacting to their statuses, pics, media, or stories. Some research deals with the person who influences others, each defines one influencer type and researches its impact on others. In the book, Shah et al. (2018) and according to its authors, influential people play an important role in information sharing. Being the most popular or the first to affirm a new concept are two examples of being influential. They also provide a strategy for identifying network infrastructure pioneers based on a specific topic of interest. People who adopt and introduce new ideas before they are known, influencing others, are known as trendsetters. They are not always famous or popular. In the same way, not all the latest inventors are trendsetters since only a few of them can spread their ideas in their social circles. They also suggest a reliable way to describe the spread of creativity by presenting each issue as a collection of trends that can be applied to many different scenarios. Results from algorithm simulations on a large Twitter dataset show an ability to quantify indirect and direct influence, and early adoption is also an important trait for influencing others.

All this is to distinguish between the trendsetters and the others, who, although having a high degree, only accept trends when they have become well known. Other specified innovation hubs score a lower degree of acceptance of a new idea than follower hubs. Followers Innovation Hubs are trendsetters, while hubs are influencers. In the dissemination of information, influential people have a crucial effect. Having more Wang et al. (2020) followers than others does not mean more interaction. There are several ways to be influential, such as being the most famous or being the first to implement a new idea. The most influential users Erlandsson et al. (2016); Zareie et al. (2019); Zhao et al. (2019); Sun and Ng (2012) played an important role in spreading the information. Others aim to find influencers Rodriguez-Vidal et al. (2019); Subbian et al. (2014); Harrigan et al. (2021); Tsugawa and Kimura (2018); Kaple et al. (2017); Chia et al. (2021); Harrigan et al. (2021) in different ways. The authors of the works presented in Cervellini et al. (2016); Saez-Trumper et al. (2012) deal with a trendsetter who is not automatically popular or famous but whose thoughts have been broadcast on the network. As a result, they serve the same purpose with a different name Zhou et al. (2019): influence nodes. Identifying influential users has important implications in e-commerce and media Jain and Sinha (2020); Sun et al. (2016); Yang et al. (2019); Sheikhahmadi et al. (2017). Another example is influential actors Qasem et al. (2015, 2017), who have followers Probst (2013), are famous on Instagram Jin et al. (2019), and have popular content Ding et al. (2015). This phenomenon causes a significant increase in the size of the social network. In addition, news influencers Alp and Ogüdöncü (2018) have been identified as specialists on a specific topic. Table 2 summarizes this work and gives further details.

Trendsetters are people who support and propagate innovative thoughts and have an influence on others before they become famous. Cervellini et al. (2016) propose a methodology for identifying themes in network infrastructure based on a certain topic of interest. And Saez-Trumper et al. (2012) used different ranking algorithms to identify themes. Popular content is by and about famous people on social media. A random walk model was presented by Ding Ding et al. (2015) to quantify the influence of users and the popularity of tweets. Another influencer type is called the prophet, who are knowledgeable bloggers and have a strong capacity to predict the future. Zhang et al. (2015) propose a method for identifying influential blogs that can predict trending hashtags. It is based on keywords or phrases that describe topics or events that are well-known in the community. Influencers are people who have the power to influence someone or something’s personality, evolution, or attitude. Authors like Rodríguez-Vidal et al. (2019) used a topic modeling approach to manage the textual signal in tweets after experimenting with numerous signals and machine learning algorithms. Harrigan et al. (2021) are using freely available data from social media APIs, and influential mavens on social media may be discovered. Chia et al. (2021) integrated the social capital and social exchange theories, as well as the social learning theory, to identify ideal Kaple et al. (2017) proposed a method to maximize public participation and build smart cities through the use of social networks. To detect influencers, Pudjajana et al. (2018) employ SNA metrics (DC, CC, BC) and weight on the SNA measurement. A method for identifying network
| Nodes type       | Qualification                                                                 | Identification Process                                                                 | Related research                                | Data          | Results                                                                                     |
|------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Trendsetters     | Support and propagate influenced thoughts before becoming famous               | - Topic of interest.                                                                   | - Cervellini et al. (2016)                    | - Yelp Dataset | - Performs better                                                                           |
|                  |                                                                                | - Ranking algorithms                                                                   | - Saez-Trumper et al. (2012)                   | - Twitter     | - The ability to locate a large fraction of trendsetters                                   |
| Popular Contents | Contents of Popular and Famous People                                          | Random walk model                                                                     | - Ding et al. (2015)                          | Twitter       | Better than PageRank method                                                                 |
| Influencers      | Influence personality or attitude                                              | - Topic modeling approach                                                             | - Rodríguez-Vidal et al. (2019)               | Twitter       | - Perform above the average                                                                 |
|                  |                                                                                | manage textual signal and machine learning algorithms                                 | - Harrigan et al. (2021)                      | General       | - Decision-makers                                                                           |
|                  |                                                                                | - Using freely available data                                                         | - Chia et al. (2021)                          | General       | - The structural and relational dimensions influenced SMIs’ propensity.                      |
|                  |                                                                                | - Integration of the social capital and social exchange theories                      | - Kaple et al. (2017)                         | - General     | - Good performance                                                                          |
|                  |                                                                                | - A method to maximize public participation and build smart cities                    | - Subbian et al. (2018)                       | - General     | - Proved                                                                                    |
|                  |                                                                                | - SNA metrics (DC, CC, BC)                                                             | - Tsugawa and Kimura (2018)                    | - Hoax dataset.| - Outperforms PageRank, PMIA and Weighted Degree baselines up to 8 % in terms of precision, |
|                  |                                                                                | - A method based on their social capital value.                                       | - Sunil and Lingam (2019)                     | DBLP network  | recall, and F1-measure.                                                                     |
|                  |                                                                                | - Random sampling                                                                     |                                               | Twitter-follow| - Possible benefit                                                                          |
|                  |                                                                                | - Greedy algorithms                                                                   |                                               | SNAP          | - Optimal                                                                                   |
| Prophets         | Knowledgeable and strong capacity to predict the future                       | Keywords or phrases describing topics or events                                       | - Zhang et al. (2015)                         | General       | Outperform                                                                                  |
| Influential nodes| Increase an interest propagation process’s asymptotic reach.                   | Centrality metrics                                                                     | - Zhou et al. (2019)                          | General       | Optimal late-time                                                                           |
| Influential users| Authoritative actors                                                           | - WCI integrates 10 different elements into two                                       | - Jain and Sinha (2020)                       | - Twitter     | - The most followers or the highest number of tweets                                         |
|                  |                                                                                | - Top 1% following growth.                                                             | - Yang et al. (2019)                          | - Twitter     | - Proved                                                                                    |
|                  |                                                                                | - Neighbors’ adoption delays, and the spreading                                       | - Sheikahmadi et al. (2017)                   | - Twitter     | - Outperforms(speed and capacity)                                                            |
|                  |                                                                                | - A multi-features model-based and ranks impact using the Page-Rank concept           | - Sun et al. (2016)                           | - Sina Weibo   | - More powerful                                                                             |
| Most/High Influential Users | The top-k users                                                               | - Degree Centrality and Page Rank Centrality                                         | - Erlendsson et al. (2016)                    | Facebook      | - A lower execution time                                                                    |
|                  |                                                                                | - An algorithm is interested in the messages                                          | - Zareie et al. (2019)                        | General       | - Effectiveness                                                                             |
|                  |                                                                                | - Interactions                                                                        | - Sun and Ng (2012)                           | - Facebook and Twitter | - Proved                                                                                    |
|                  |                                                                                | - High influence user discovery algorithm                                              | - Zhao et al. (2019)                          | Microblogging | - Best performance                                                                          |
| Micro-influencers| A special kind of influencers, who are harder to find, less famous but with a higher engagement power over their communities | Automatically approach and highlight personality traits and community values, by analyzing their writings | Leonardi and Monti (2020)                     | Twitter dataset| Best performing                                                                             |
|                  |                                                                                |                                                                                        |                                               |               | - Impact                                                                                   |
| Nodes type          | Qualification                                                                 | Identification Process                                                                 | Related research                     | Data                | Results                          |
|--------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|
| Influential Actors | Tweets generate an enormous number of retweets                                | - Novel influence degree.                                                               | - Qasem et al. (2015)               | Asterisk -Twitter     | - Proved -Optimal                |
|                    |                                                                               | - The attractiveness model is defined with the T measure                               | - Qasem et al. (2017)               |                     |                                  |
|                    |                                                                               | - Alp and Öğüdicü (2018)                                                               | Turkish tweets -Twitter              |                     |                                  |
|                    |                                                                               | - Zheng et al. (2020)                                                                 |                                      |                     |                                  |
|                    |                                                                               | - Fang et al. (2014)                                                                  |                                      |                     |                                  |
|                    |                                                                               | - Asterisk -Twitter                                                                  |                                      |                     |                                  |
| Topical influencers| Experts on a given topic                                                      | Related features and network feature information.                                      | Alp and Öğüdicü (2018)              | Turkish tweets       | - More efficient. - Comprehensive |
|                    |                                                                               | - Based on the language attention network and influence convolution network            | Zheng et al. (2020)                 | Twitter             | - Effectiveness and improve the performance |
|                    |                                                                               | - Unified hypergraph to model users, images, and various types of relations           | Fang et al. (2014)                  |                     |                                  |
| Airline Influencers| influencers relevant to the brands of several airline companies              | SNA-based approach                                                                    | Izdihardiant and Ruldeviyani (2021)| Tweets of Indonesian Airline | Important                      |
| Instafamous        | Instagram celebrities                                                          | Test the effects of celebrities                                                        | Jin and Ryu (2020)                  | Instagram            | Discusses theoretical implications |
| Lead or Follow      | Mobilizers and propagators                                                     | Systematic literature review                                                           | Florian, 2013 Probst (2013)         | OSN data set         | Discussion                      |
| Consumer Profiles   | Consumer of products or services                                               | Analyzing noisy social media and messages                                             | Hernandez et al. (2013)             | Twitter              | A 10% random sample              |
| Partisan Slant      | Political people                                                                | A natural language processing algorithm to analyze at scale                            | Karamshuk et al. (2016)             | Twitter              | Inferred with an accuracy of 60-77% |
| Pathogenic          | As terrorist supporters exploit large communities of supporters for conducting attacks on social media. | A classification algorithm to classify accounts                                         | Alvari et al. (2018)                | Twitter              | F1-score of 0.6 and via supervised learning identified 71% of the PSMs |
| Central nodes       | Centrality is the most prominent measure that shows the node importance from the information flow standpoint | DICeNod, the compressive sensing-based framework                                      | Mahyar et al. (2018)                | Real networks from SNAP | Very well in terms of number of correctly identified central nodes |
| Influential mavens  | Influencer marketing                                                            | user network, user behaviour, message readability, and message structure               | Harrigan et al. (2021)              | Twitter              | Effectiveness and efficiency     |
| The Most Influential Spreading          | spread of important information (highly beneficial or alarming if false content) | UACD, a novel method combining both user-specific and topological information            | Adnan et al. (2022)                 | Amazon EC2           | Scalable and can process a very large network |
| Critical nodes      | Whose removal reduced the flow of hate                                          | Analysed the graph characteristics then classified as containing evidence of hateful content | Alorainy et al. (2022)              | A collected and large dataset | Effectiveness                  |
influencers based on their social capital value was proposed by Subbian et al. (2014). Switch Sunil and Lingam (2019) most of the currently used algorithms created to address these issues have the greedy algorithm as their foundation. And the two-level approach was proposed (SI) for an epidemic model for maximizing the influence spread and a multithreading approach for implementation. Tsugawa and Kimura (2018) used degree, betweenness, proximity, PageRank, and the k-core index, and used the common sample methodologies SEC, BFS, DFS, and random sampling to find influencers in different social networks. Switch topic Alp and Ogüdücü (2018) defined the topical influencers as experts on a given topic. And to identify the theme, an approach that combines related features and network feature information was used. It is widely acknowledged that the distribution of influence varies over topics. The work of Zheng et al. (2020) is based on the language attention network and influence convolution network to detect on-demand topic-specific influencers. Another work dealing with Topic-Sensitive Influencer Fang et al. (2014). They used a unified hypergraph to model users, images, and various types of relations. Influencers of airline services Izdihardian and Ruldeviyani (2021) are also detected on Twitter and the results showed their higher influence. Influential nodes in complex networks are often those that increase an interest propagation process’s asymptotic reach. Zhou et al. (2019) a thorough evaluation of centrality metrics based on their capacity to detect early and late influencer nodes. Lead or follow the influence of mobilizers and propagators in networks and society funded by Probst (2013) using a systematic literature review to find relevant publications. Influential users are authoritative actors and are a term used to describe influential users. The work of Jain and Sinha (2020) used WCI (Weighted Correlated Influence), a new concept that integrates the relative influence of 10 different elements into two different feature sets: timeline and trend specific. Yang et al. (2019) developed the component study of the characteristics of Houston’s top 1% of Twitter users in terms of the following growth. Another important work by Sheikhahmadi et al. (2017) where weights are allocated to neighbors based on their adoption delays and the spreading influence of nodes is identified. Via a multi-features model, Sun et al. (2016) and based on a user identification solution with a lot of clouts. The model investigates if a user’s attributes, such as follower count, can be used to infer whether he or she is an influential user, and then ranks influential individuals based on their impact using the Page-Rank concept. Others aim to find the most influential users, or “top k” users, who are considered the most influential. Erlandsson et al. (2016) found the Degree Centrality and Page Rank Centrality and compared the theme to the outcomes of association rule learning. Zareie et al. (2019) proposed the idea of a user behavior analysis-based high-influence user discovery algorithm (HIUD). Also, influential actors are individuals whose tweets generate an enormous number of retweets, resulting in a larger social network, proposed in 2015 by Qasem et al. (2015) find via a method for detecting influential people utilizing a novel influence degree. Then, Qasem et al. (2017), an extended method for identifying important actors, was formed based on the attractiveness model defined with the T measure.

Other works have defined special influencers that can be an inspiration for many important other works by trying to identify and find those influencer nodes on social media networks. MARWICK (2013) wrote a book that describes content creators who enjoy fame and influence others by using social media to maintain an active communication network. Other works could use the larger social graph or friends list and broadcast audience recordings to Marwick, who proved its importance. In the work Enke and Borchers (2019), the authors described three types of influencers: digital opinion leaders, those who are likely to have an impact on others in their immediate area, and content creators, who are people who develop content for social media using their talents to create social media content. They also defined moderators, who initiate interaction procedures and utilize their position to try to lead and continue conversations, and they proved the importance and strategy of influencer communication, in which social media influencers are performed or addressed within tasks that are strategically important to the objectives of business goals. Campbell and Grimm (2019); Campbell and Farrell (2020) aimed at users with large communities of followers. Like celebrity influencers and mega-influencers, last but not least, are individuals who have already earned 1 million or more followers on social media, have seen considerable follower growth, and have gained celebrity status through a well-established skill. Recording Campbell and Grimm (2019) authors’ social media influencers’ posts on their social media channels in exchange for compensation. In their next work, they affirmed that influencers can be simultaneously social media personas, celebrities, experts in particular fields, and peer consumers. They add another definition of influencers and micro-influencers, whose ads are indistinguishable from other consumer-generated content. And also, they defined influencers as individuals who post to their social media accounts in exchange for compensation and as consumers paid to endorse products and promote them to their followers. They affirmed that micro-influencers, Campbell and Grimm (2019); Campbell and Farrell (2020); Leonardi and Monti (2020) or influencers with relatively small online followings, are more effective, often implying enjoyment or simply usage than other influencers and celebrities.

Using social media to identify influential users is a complex process, but one that is extremely important for several Zhang et al. (2015) applications. Previous research has determined skill levels for detecting knowledgeable users and
influence degrees for recognizing influential users. Generally, they mainly depend on the analysis of textual content but also on links to the structure of social media networks. Bloggers use the blogosphere as a platform to post articles, communicate ideas and discuss views. Blogosphere data is dynamic and changes with information updates over time. In the work presented in Zhang et al. (2015), the authors attempted to find bloggers who anticipate future popular trends, known as prophetic bloggers. In this work, the authors examined the chronological characteristics and content of blog data and proposed a method to analyze the ability of bloggers to predict buzzwords. Bloggers are judged on the types of words used in their blogs. For example, four recent, related, frequent, and/or thorough factors are considered for this goal: content similarity, post-precocity, buzzword coverage, and frequency of entry. In the work of Zhang et al. (2015), the authors stated that by inferring buzzwords, they detected buzzwords, which allows the assessment of bloggers’ buzzword prediction ability. The contribution proposed by Bian et al. (2014a), was interested in the detection of epidemics. To discover the spread of a virus as soon as possible, they have selected many social network nodes that they consider most relevant. To maximize the benefits of companies’ products and services, consumer profiles are detected and analyzed Hernandez et al. (2013). By analyzing a dataset from Twitter, the linguistic indicators of partisan conflict in mainstream and social media during political upheaval are explored Karamshuk et al. (2016). Others deal with pathogenic social media accounts, such as terrorist supporters exploiting large communities Alvari et al. (2018) and critical nodes, Alorainy et al. (2022) and a high detection of top-k central nodes Mahyar et al. (2018). Identifying the users who are most influential in spreading information can aid in the creation of effective tactics Adnan et al. (2022).

4 Overview of Social Media Influence

4.1 Users’ interest

The degree of influence a social media user has on their friends, as well as their desire to spread additional information, can be detected from interest similarity. Authors have submitted that people users are more affected and influenced by their friends’ opinions than by other influencers Domingos and Richardson (2001); Staab et al. (2005). In Xie et al. (2014), the measure of similarity between two users is based on a random walk distance based on tags and image correlation and was examined in folksonomy data. It outperforms proof in terms of user profile enrichment. Generally, social media users demonstrate Bian et al. (2014b) different interests and hold different expertise levels for numerous kinds of interests, such as sports, music, history, and so on. Authors Sendi et al. (2017) assumed that users’ interests were discovered from uncertain information. Another way to categorize users’ profiles Mabrouk et al. (2020, 2018) as an outcome, the culture of the user’s interest profile will be critical for a full analysis of their influence on their friends, diffusion actions, and future action prediction.

4.2 User’s relationship

The main reason that social networks influence users’ behavior changes is their relationship. As users’ relationship strengths are not equal, social media users do not have the same degree of friendship and intimacy. Relationship strength can be detected by interaction comments, likes, chats, etc. Previously, social network studies centered on binary friendship relationships. Switch authors Xiang et al. (2010) can lead to a wide variety of relationship strengths (for example, mixing acquaintances and best friends) to estimate relationship strength. They create an unsupervised model based on user similarities and interaction activity, weak and strong relationships. Their model represents the full spectrum and infers a continuous-valued relationship strength for links. For the inference, they used a coordinate ascent optimization approach to create their link-based latent variable model. They have proved and evaluated it on Facebook and LinkedIn. The authors Chader et al. (2017) did not accept the binary relation. They had related weights that recorded the strength of people’s relationships; they tested the effectiveness of the CoBSP process. The motive comes from the belief that those with the closest relationships with users can give more information about them. In the work of Zarrinkalam et al. (2017, 2019), they detect user interests by focusing on social relationships, user-generated content, and temporal factors.

4.3 Interests change

After taking into account the users’ utterances, gender Ouni et al. (2022b), their activeness, and vulnerability to their friends’ influence, the work of Budak et al. (2014) proved that interests vary during life. They established an inference algorithm that strikes a balance between the latest estimates of interests and the old estimate of interests, and using Twitter data, they funded a precision of 0.9 for the top-5 interests. Users have a variety of interests, such as music, history, sports, and so on Bian et al. (2014a). The degree of influence he has on his friends is determined by his interest in distributing new information and must be varied for different categories. The interest profile learning is decisive for a complete analysis of a user’s impact on his contacts, spread actions, and future action prediction. Another idea Wang et al. (2018) is to use the UNITE systemic framework with interesting
extraction, which considers both text and information. Their approach to experimental results on Sina Weibo. An important work Sendi et al. (2019) aims to derive and monitor on Twitter the temporal interest topics and also handle the user’s interest, social various dimensions, and dynamical characteristics. Moreover, over time on social media, through user activities’ frequent tags, users, and organizations from their social media posts Shah et al. (2018), the evolution of one’s attitude through time is observed. The suggested approach also incorporates the use of the semantic web, particularly the DBpedia ontology, which analyzes the content of tweets in the user’s Twitter feed.

4.4 Infer interests

To deduce users’ interests, authors have taken into account their communication, their activity state, and their sensitivity according to the influence of their friends. A probabilistic model of social data has been proposed by Budak et al. (2014). This model is unsupervised and well-scalable with many users and interests. The authors of Han et al. (2015), were interested in determining the similarities of interest given to two users, without having any idea about their interests. They showed that similarities between people depend on similar demographic information and having more common friends. Attribute inference can be performed by mixing social graphs and Jia et al. (2017) behaviors, by more common friends. Attribute inference can be performed by mixing social graphs and Jia et al. (2017) behaviors, by more common friends.

4.5 Emerging and trending topic

The work proposed in Bao et al. (2013) was occupied by trending topics. It could be related to important news or subjects being discussed by a large number of microbloggers. The authors supposed that publishing posts on a popular topic proved the user’s interest. The latter are replicated in posts published on the same trending topics. In the work presented in Dang et al. (2016), the authors aim at emerging topics and are concerned with the substance of important emerging events such as regulatory enforcement, election campaigns, natural disasters, and traffic accidents. Dynamic Bayesian networks are used to uncover developing topics within their methodology. For this, they started the topic diffusion characteristics in the early phase, as well as non-emerging topics with several topology features, and they compared emerging and then, in a given time interval, generated an emerging keyword candidate term list based on term frequency. Next, create a DBN-based model for each candidate to calculate the probability of the candidate emerging as a keyword based on the joint conditional probabilities of the specified features.
information (e.g., age, location) or more mutual friends tend to have more similar tastes. Another interesting work is that of Xiang et al. (2010). This work consists of modeling the strength or weight of the relationship using a latent variable model on Facebook and LinkedIn. The simulation results of this model showed better autocorrelation and classification performance compared to the results obtained using different raw data elements. The contribution proposed by Zarrinkalam et al. (2017, 2019) leveraged influencers’ interests in active social media users. By using a graphical description model with three types of information, this model showed its performance. Important work was also proposed by Shah et al. (2018), where the authors focused on modeling user interests through the social media network graph and the semantic web. This model has also proven its effectiveness. In the same year, i.e., 2018, Wang et al. (2018) used both content and network structure to extract interest from microblogging and significantly outperform basic methods. Another unsupervised approach that allows inferring user interests from microblogs has been proposed by Budak et al. (2014). The most direct measure of their inference technique is quality. For the top five interests, this model yielded an accuracy of 0.9. Zhang et al. (2015) targeted the chronological and content aspects underlying blog data, and a method of analyzing the power of bloggers to predict hashtags has been implemented and tested. This technique has also shown its performance. In 2017, Chader et al. (2017) show that targeted friendships are not created equal. A methodology for detecting emerging trends has been proposed by Dang Dang et al. (2016). Their DBN model is based on DBN and uses an early detection strategy based on a dynamic Bayesian network for emerging topics in microblogging networks. They choose features among the topological attributes of the subject distribution and use dynamic changes in the conditional probabilities computed by DBNs to recognize new trends. Jia et al. (2017) proposed an AttriInfer model to infer user attributes in online social networks using Markov random fields. They incorporated behaviors and social graphics, benefited from both positive people and people with negative training experience, and scaled across large online communities. They proved that the optimized version of AttriInfer is much more flexible than the fundamental version. Li et al. (2012) proposed their model called “Home Locations Using a Universal and Discriminative Influence Model,” which proved its effectiveness. Saez-Trumper et al. (2012) tried to find trendsetters in news networks by proposing a robust method. They showed their ability to locate a large portion of trendsetters, regardless of the top 10% of trend adopters, in authentic situations. In their contribution, when nodes with high degree trends are late, the temporal corrosion function diminishes their impact on outcome ranking and helps to underlie variations in the behavior of TS and PR outcomes over time. Rather than using the tags or just the photos, they used both user-generated tags and image correlation. The Multifaceted Folksonomy Graph (MFG) was proposed by Xie et al. (2014). The Multifaceted Folksonomy Graph (MFG) was proposed. Recently, Wang et al. (2020), examined the impact of influential leaders on the spread of famous games: Sina Weibo’s Travel Frog and assessed the spread trends, as well as the growth of KOL group networks and keywords UGC. They show that the information propagation event continues via periods of robust and slow forward growth, reaching its peak. In the same year, Mabrouk et al. (2020) proposed two approaches: the first is a hybridization of ontology and linear SVM, while the second is a hybridization of ontology and FSVM, where they proved that the SVM fuzzy semantic classifier works exceptionally well Mabrouk et al. (2018). A unique weighted correlated influence metric for Covid-19 is used to identify influential users on Twitter and was also proposed Jain and Sinha (2020). In this work, the authors verified that the individual with the most followers or the greatest number of tweets is not always the most impactful. Trend-specific influence metrics are insufficient to identify influential users. Harrigan et al. (2021) attempted to identify influencers on social networks using data widely accessible from the APIs of these networks, and influential mavens have been identified. They found that big social data can be used by decision-makers to identify influential customers. Also, Chia et al. (2021) proposed searching for the best influencer by combining theories of social capital and socialization with social theories of learning. A complete framework for identifying ideal SMIs has been developed. They proved that the effects of trust and social identification on PMIs’ desire to share unboxing journals in the cognitive dimension of the social capital theory were found to be insignificant. The cognitive factor did not influence the propensity of PMIs to post unboxing notices in the community, unlike the structural and relational dimensions. Finding the most influential entities within the network has proved to be NP-hard. The proposed approach More and Lingam (2019) provides a balance between influence spread and execution time. Others Yang et al. (2014) deal with uncovering social network sybils in the wild and terrorist community evolution detection Chaabani and Akaichi (2022) proposing an artificial bee colony optimization, and then applying the BCTTC to track terrorist evolution. In the work of Hodas et al. (2016), an experiment exploring social media usage during disaster scenarios, combining electroencephalograms, personality surveys, and prompts, is proposed. To detect spammer Aswani et al. (2018) using bio-inspired computing and compromised accounts, the authors Bohacik et al. (2017); Ouni et al. (2022a) used an anomaly model trained on the previous login data of users. Another important work Arora et al. (2019) proposes a mechanism for measuring the influencer index across popular social media platforms.
| Reference              | Problem                                      | Concept                                                                 | Network                | Proved                                                                                           |
|------------------------|----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Bao et al. (2013)      | Predict users’ interests                    | Predict users’ interests: theoretical ideas and integration and time information | Micro-blogging         | The accuracy of users’ interest predictions                                                   |
| Bian et al. (2014a)    | Predicting Trending Messages and Diffusion Participants | Epidemic-oriented, interest-oriented, and social-oriented influence | Micro-blogging         | The superiority of this method                                                                 |
| Budak et al. (2014)    | Inferring User Interests                     | Probabilistic model for people’s preferences throughout time           | Twitter data           | The most direct measurement and the top five interesting have a precision of 0.9              |
| Chader et al. (2017)   | All friends are not equal                    | Closest relationships to the profiled user discover more useful information about him | Egocentric networks    | Relevance of their prior hypothesis                                                            |
| Dang et al. (2016)     | Emerging topics                             | Use dynamic changes to recognize new trends.                            | Micro-blogging networks | Effectiveness                                                                                   |
| Jia et al. (2017)      | Inferring User Attributes                    | Integrates behaviors and social graphs, positive and negative         | Google+ dataset        | - AttriInfer outperforms: efficiency and flexibility                                           |
|                        |                                              |                                                                         |                        | - AttriInfer’s optimized version is more flexible                                             |
| Shah et al. (2018)     | User Interest                                | Activities are a part of both the user’s and the network’s actions     | General                | Quite effective                                                                                 |
| Han et al. (2015)      | Alike People, Alike Interests                | Infer users’ interest similarity: demographic information, friendships, and interests | Facebook data          | More similar likes with similar demographic information(age, location)                        |
| Wang et al. (2018)     | Oriented interest extraction                 | UNITE for collecting interests using textual and structural information | Microblog               | Outperforms the baseline methods significantly                                                 |
| Saez-Trumper et al. (2012) | Finding Trendsetters                      | A robust method for rating trendsetters of innovation diffusion         | Twitter                | - The ability to locate a large fraction of trend-setters.                                     |
|                        |                                              |                                                                         |                        | - Nodes having a high degree, the time corrosion function decreases                             |
| Xie et al. (2014)      | Community-aware                              | -User-generated tags and image correlation. - The multi-faceted folksonomy graph (MFG) | NUS-wide dataset       | Outperforms the preceding ones.                                                                |
| Li et al. (2012)       | User Profiling                               | UDI determines how likely a user can follow others                      | Big volume of data     | Effectiveness                                                                                   |
| Xiang et al. (2010)    | Relationship Strength                       | Relationship strength assessment using a latent variable model         | Facebook and LinkedIn  | The graph auto-correlation and classification performance                                        |
| Zhang et al. (2015)    | Inferring User Attributes                    | Predict hashtags                                                      | General                | Outperform                                                                                     |
| Zarrinkalam et al. (2017) | User interest                               | Inferred interests as a link prediction problem using a graph description model | General                | Significantly improved                                                                         |
| Zarrinkalam et al. (2019) | User interest prediction               | - User interests vary with time. - Prediction                          | Twitter                | Better performance                                                                             |
| Reference               | Problem                                      | Concept                                                                 | Network | Proved                                                                                             |
|------------------------|----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Wang et al. (2020)     | The power of opinion                         | - Propagation trends                                                   | Sina Weibo | - Information propagation<br>- Slow growth is hitting                                             |
|                        |                                              | - Growth of KOL group networks and UGC keywords                         |         |                                                                                                    |
| Harrigan et al. (2021) | Identifying influencers                      | Influential mavens                                                      | Twitter | Decision-makers                                                                                     |
| Jain and Sinha (2020)  | Influence measure                            | Weighted Correlated Influence (WCI)                                     | Twitter | - The most followers or the highest number of tweets<br>- Trend-specific influence measurements are insufficient |
| Mabrouk et al. (2020)  | Profile Classification                       | - Hybridization of ontology and linear SVM                              | General | Semantic fuzzy SVM classifiers perform well                                                          |
|                        |                                              | - Hybridization of ontology and FSVM.                                   |         |                                                                                                    |
| Chia et al. (2021)     | Ideal social media influencers               | - Combining the social capital and socialization theories with the social theories of learning | General | The structural and relational dimensions influenced SMI's propensity                               |
| Yang et al. (2014)     | Sybils in the Wild                           | - Use ground-truth data about the behavior of Sybils in the wild to create a measurement-based, real-time Sybil detector | OSNs    | - Still act with no explicit social ties<br>- Effective                                             |
|                        |                                              | - Characterization of Sybil graph topology on a major OSN              |         |                                                                                                    |
| More and Lingam (2019) | Optimizing time for influence diffusion      | A novel methodology based on gradient approach                          | SNAP and SLNDC | The greedy algorithm only finds local minimum influence spread<br>- Poorly and limited               |
| Arora et al. (2019)    | Measuring the Social Media Influencer Index  | A mechanism for measuring the influencer index across popular social media platforms | Facebook, Twitter, and Instagram | In the highest accuracy of 93.7% followed by the KNN regression with 93.6%                           |
| Mahajan and Kaur (2021)| Social influence                             | A novel event recommendation system to suggest an event where the chances of a user's participation are high | IoTCFR- IoT data | Better recommendation quality                                                                      |
| Hodas et al. (2016)    | The User's Personality Influences Content Engagement | An experiment combining electroencephalograms, personality surveys, and prompts | EEG Data | Personality and mood are highly correlated between friends via homophily                            |
| Bohacik et al. (2017)  | Detecting Compromised Accounts               | An anomaly model trained on the previous login data of users           | Pokec   | A real potential                                                                                   |
| Chaabani and Akaichi (2022) | Terrorist communities' evolution detection | - An Artificial Bee Colony optimization<br>- BCTTC to track terrorist evolution | the Global Terrorism Database | Good results for small and large communities                                                         |
to identify buzz in social media Aswani et al. (2017). This is also detected via a hybrid artificial bee colony approach integrated with k-nearest neighbors to identify and segregate buzz and a proposed hybrid bio-inspired approach.

6 Discussion and research challenges

One could wonder if we have adequately addressed the majority of the important issues underlying the identification of influencers, considering the volume of works that have been published in this area, such that the solutions suggested are sufficient for the majority of social network analysis tasks. Yet, in our opinion, a large variety of critical research issues should be solved until we can regard influencer identification as a problem-solving technique. We conclude that other significant fields have not yet been fully explored or studied, such as social network graphs and topology, and the relationship between influencers’ identification and community detection, their networked audience switch MARWICK (2013) instead of studied fields, of which we have shown some relevant examples. Thus, we ponder unless we have successfully handled almost all of the critical problems related to this subject in a way that the solutions provided seem suitably sophisticated for most social network analysis tasks.

– Using social media, users first put their basic information, which can be false, into the social media guide to find their interesting topics. After they add friends and some aims or topics, social media algorithms expand their interests. Social media stars propose helpful suggestions for users to enrich their lives: friends from the same region; old school and faculty; and phone contact. It also suggests hobbies related to his work domain or studies. Then, after setting some preferences, social media stars suggest similar pages and friend types and eliminate ignored suggestions. Its suggestions are based on an intelligent system that analysts change needs, trembling all the time to suggest the most helpful. It certainly encourages users to use it more. The most important that users can do here is to search for new aims, new friends, or a new place and all the suggested updates. The challenge was that users could have a period or ephemeral aims. We think time has a big impact on social media users and the importance of a dynamic social media structure for researchers. There are many works in literature dealing with this idea, but no one has put into consideration the social media algorithms and strategies that determine social media influence.

– The majority of works in the literature have focused on the identification of the most influential people and significant nodes, called top-k. It represents an

Table 3 (continued)

| Reference | Problem | Concept | Network |
|-----------|---------|---------|---------|
| Aswani et al. (2017) | Identifying buzz in social media | A hybrid artificial bee colony approach integrated with k-nearest neighbors | Twitter | Successfully giving an accuracy of 98.57% |
interesting research area. Without considering Users can be influenced directly by their friends or groups of friends. They may influence them at the same time. Users share influence. All types of influencers have an important effect and can be the most influential because of their direct contact.

- Influence maximization is the trendiest topic that is being exploited and hopes to maximize users’ influence like marketing publicity. But we do not have a search engine that deals with protecting users from negative and also positive users, who may likewise pose a risk by fostering wrath, resentment, hostility, hatred, and indignation, to minimize influence.

- User interests that change throughout time and trendy topics have a direct relationship with influence detection by simply comparing users’ aims or interest topics. User interests change according to place or locality and season, influencing them, so we can predict influencers’ node switches. For example, they can also change locales.

- Different works deal with the relationship strength and still cannot know the real life and direct relationship. Users may not communicate and discuss clear ideas on social media and may just exchange media or some symbols. Other contributions where the authors have aimed to exploit the users’ locality information without considering that some users have double nationality or can aim with other country topics. The great challenge is, therefore, to find a solution to all these situations. The work of Sinha and Swearingen (2001) showed that suggestions from subject friends are better than recommender systems. The other challenge now is to study users’ influencers’ friends and predict their new aims.

- There are many types of influencers defined as: content creators MARWICK (2013) digital opinion leaders, moderators Enke and Borchers (2019) celebrity influencers, mega-influencers, and other works dealing with micro-influencers Campbell and Grimm (2019); Campbell and Farrell (2020); Leonardi and Monti (2020) that can be identified and found. So research is anticipated to rise.

7 Conclusion and prospects

7.1 Summary

Social media has emerged as a popular platform for members to discuss anything, give opinions, and express feelings and important moments about ideas and facts from everyday life Bao et al. (2013). In this article, we have provided an overview of the current state and future directions of influencer identification on social media. We have reviewed and classified the main existing contributions in the literature into the identification influencers category. At first, we tried to talk more about this domain and alleged it in some works. Then, we cited some other classifications that deal with the same subject, and at least we have applied our classification proposition in some works according to our knowledge. We have proposed some new ideas that can change the orientation of searches on social media users’ interest topics, and why not aim to influence new works?

Our study has some limitations. Most importantly, we did not aim at the structure and topology of social media. We have also noted that we identify significant nodes that influence other nodes in the influenced community and also detect them.

We also know that social media is virtual, but behind this virtual exists a real person whose acts, interactions, and shows are real. They are influenced by internal factors like friends and family, college classmates, and next-door neighbors, and external factors like life coaches, travel bloggers, influence, fashionistas, fitness models, and makeup artists. So we have detailed some important influencers’ identification.

7.2 Prospects

In this state, to conclude our survey, we aimed to synthesize the main research works proposed in the literature, solve the problem of identifying influencers, and present the various algorithms, methods, methodologies, and proposed frameworks. It remains a topical problem, given the importance of the social media community in recent years, and it will continue in the future. Three new avenues may be outlined for this work’s prospects. The first step is to undertake more complete comparison research to provide more information to scholars and particularly on how to find social media influencers. In the second part of the study, we will run more experiments on a multitude of other standard data sets to confirm the principal approaches provided in the literature’s performance and robustness. The third part consists of proposing a new approach to finding new influencers on social networks by using other techniques and criteria based on the new networks’ users’ characteristics.
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