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Abstract

The wheat curl mite (WCM), Aceria tosichella Keifer, is a major pest of cereals worldwide that also comprises a complex of at least 16 genetic lineages with divergent physiological traits, including host associations and specificity. The goal of this study was to test the extent to which host-plant species and landscape spatial variation influence WCM presence and population density across the entire area of Poland (>311,000 km²). Three important findings arose from the results of the study. (1) The majority of WCM lineages analyzed exhibited variation in patterns of prevalence and/or population density on both spatial and host-associated scales. (2) Areas of occurrence and local abundance were delineated for specific WCM lineages and it was determined that the most pestiferous lineages are much less widespread than was expected, suggesting relatively recent introductions into Poland and the potential for further spread. (3) The 16 WCM lineages under study assorted within four discrete host assemblages, within which similar host preferences and host infestation patterns were detected. Of these four groups, one consists of lineages associated with cereals. In addition to improving basic ecological knowledge of a widespread arthropod herbivore, the results of this research identify high-risk areas for the presence of the most pestiferous WCM lineages in the study area (viz. the entirety of Poland). They also provide insight into the evolution of pest species of domesticated crops and facilitate testing of fundamental hypotheses about the ecological factors that shape this pest community.

Introduction

All effective and sustainable management strategies rely on core ecological knowledge of the distribution and abundance of the target organism and the factors that influence these
outcomes. Predicting species distributions and population densities has become a fundamental goal of many of basic and applied ecological disciplines [1–3], including practical applications such as conservation and wildlife management and forecasting parasite and pest population dynamics (e.g. [4–9]). Niche models that are routinely employed for predicting species distributions often focus solely on abiotic factors when evaluating the potential habitat suitability for a species of interest (e.g. [10, 11]). However, consideration of biotic interactions in modeling species distributions should improve our ability to predict distribution patterns [12]. This is especially important for organisms (i.e. parasites or plant pests) that have co-evolved under facultative or obligatory associations with other organisms (i.e. hosts) and whose distribution and abundance depend intrinsically on those of their hosts. As such, a central question regarding spatial variation of host-associated species concerns the extent to which their distribution and population density are determined by host-related variation or by geographic variation. In general, the interplay of spatial- and host-associated variation on species distribution and abundance has not been adequately addressed (e.g. [13]).

In the present study, we explore this question using the herbivorous mite *Aceria tosichella* Keifer (wheat curl mite; WCM hereafter) as a study system. This mite occurs in nature in a variety of genetically divergent lineages (i.e. genotypes; likely cryptic species) that are associated with numerous grass hosts in both host-specific and generalist relationships [14–16].

Despite the great economic importance of WCM as a worldwide pest of cereals [17, 18] its large-scale distribution and abundance have not been studied. This shortcoming hinders WCM management strategies by reducing our ability to predict herbivore pressure. The major negative impact of WCM is its ability to vector several economically important plant viruses, including wheat streak mosaic virus (WSMV), a major global pathogen of wheat for which WCM is the only known arthropod vector. This potyvirus may cause as much as 100% yield loss in wheat; it can also reduce yields in barley, oats and rye [18, 19]. The spread of this WCM-virus nexus to cereal producing regions worldwide is of increasing importance, as it continues to impact regions invaded long ago (e.g. North America), while also emerging as a pest in Australia, South America and Europe (e.g. [18, 20–24]). Thus, a comprehensive understanding of WCM distribution and abundance dynamics is of great importance to cereal pest managers as well as ecologists, as information gathered from such systems is essential to advance basic knowledge of species occurrence and population density.

The current lack of core ecological data for the WCM complex is due in part to the fact that detection and identification of WCM genotypes in environmental samples is technically challenging. The mites are tiny (ca. 0.2 mm) and the various genotypes exhibit intraspecific morphological homogeneity. Therefore, their identification is currently based on DNA barcoding using the mitochondrial COI marker gene as well as nuclear regions, e.g. 28S rDNA D2 [25, 26]. Since the cryptic diversity of WCM is a relatively recent discovery [14–16], the majority of ecological data to date have been recorded for WCM sensu lato, rather than for individual genotypes. Such lack of precision may have serious consequences, as genotypes within species complexes may differ with respect to host range, life-history traits, tolerance to environmental factors, pesticide resistance, ability to transmit diseases and other key biological traits (e.g. [27, 28]). The host specificity of some WCM lineages has been experimentally tested and divergent host preferences, ranging from specialists to generalists, have been observed [29]. Moreover, the most polyphagous WCM genotypes currently known (designated as MT-1 and MT-8) are distributed globally and considered to have the greatest pest and invasion potential [25, 30, 31].

In this study the host and spatial patterns of WCM genotype prevalence and abundance was presented for the first time on a broad landscape scale (>300 000 km²), on the basis of extensive spatially-explicit sampling of quantitative data and DNA barcoding. Specifically, we
described how WCM genetic lineages in Poland vary in space and with respect to host plant. First, considering the obligatory associations of WCM lineages with their host plants we hypothesized that (i) the host plant is the main factor influencing prevalence and population density of non-monophagous WCM genotypes, i.e. that these measures will reflect a relative preference between different host species, whereas (ii) landscape spatial variation should be the primary factor affecting prevalence and population density of monophagous WCM genotypes. Second, we expected that two globally spread WCM genotypes (viz. MT-1 and MT-8), due to their pest and invasion potential and acceptance of multiple grass species as hosts [25], are widely distributed and abundant across the whole area of Poland. This assumption is additionally supported by previous studies showing that the majority of Poland offers optimal thermal conditions for the development of both lineages [32]. Third, we hypothesized that genetic lineages within the WCM complex avoid competition through the partitioning of resources in terms of host species or spatial distribution. We expected that, according to the competitive exclusion principle [33], parameters of infestation of particular WCM genotypes will reflect different host preferences or different patterns of prevalence and abundance in the studied area.

Methods

Sampling design

The study did not include human participants and/or tissue, animals, embryos or tissues. The study did not require field permit. The field survey was carried out on the entire area of Poland, which covers > 311,000 km², from June to August of 2013 & 2014. The localities accessed were not protected. To achieve an even distribution of sampling localities, a stratified random sampling scheme was employed. The studied area was divided into squares, each measuring 30 x 30 km, to form spatial strata. Within each stratum a 1 x 1 km square of agrarian landscape was selected at random. Randomisation was restricted to agrarian cover types based on the Corine Landcover database [34]. Altogether, 362 squares were sampled. For map and other details see [32]. Names of the sampling locations and their geographic coordinates are held in the public repository Zenodo under DOI:10.5281/zenodo.168045.

Eight species of cereals and unprotected wild grasses commonly associated with agrarian landscapes and evenly distributed within Poland [35] were collected from the centre of each 1 km² square. These were: wheat (Triticum aestivum L.), triticale (xTriticosecale Wittm), rye (Secale cereale L.), oat (Avena sativa L.), barley (Hordeum vulgare L.), quackgrass (Elymus repens (L.) Gould), tall oat-grass (Arrhenatherum elatius (L.) Beauv. ex Presl & Presl), and smooth brome (Bromus inermis Leyss). Each sample consisted of at least ten plant shoots of a given grass species. Shoots were placed separately in plastic bags, to protect from drying, labelled and transported to the laboratory (in coolers when necessary). A total of 1253 samples were collected, which comprised 10,965 grass shoots. Samples were stored at 4°C ± 1°C for a maximum of five days before being examined for the presence of mites under a stereo-microscope. Entire plants were inspected and the number of WCM specimens found was recorded, after which WCM specimens were soaked in ATL buffer for subsequent DNA identification.

DNA barcoding

DNA was extracted from mite specimens that had been stored in ATL buffer according to the non-destructive method described in [36]. The mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (CO1) gene fragment was amplified by PCR using the degenerate primers bcdF01 and bcdR04 [37]. Reactions were carried out in 10 μL reaction volumes containing 5 μL Type-it Multiplex PCR Master Mix (Qiagen GmbH), 0.5 μL of each primer and 4 μL of DNA template. Reactions
were initially heated for 5 min at 95°C followed by 35 cycles of 30 s at 95°C, 30 s at 50°C, and 1 min at 72°C; with a final step of 15 min at 72°C. Amplicons were diluted (10 μL dH2O: 3 μL amplicon) and analysed by electrophoresis in 1% agarose gels. Samples presenting visible, individual bands were directly sequenced in both directions using 0.5–1 μL of amplicon and 50 pmol of each primer. Sequencing was performed with BigDye Terminator v3.1 on an ABI Prism 3130XL or 3730 Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). Trace files were checked and edited using MEGA6 [38]. A subsequent BLAST search of each sequence was performed on the NCBI GenBank database. Sequences of WCM lineages were aligned using CLUSTALW in MEGA6 with default gap weighting parameters. Alignment of CO1 sequences covering 603 bp of the 5-terminus of the COI gene fragment was checked by translating aligned DNA sequences into amino acids. Neighbour-joining analysis was performed in MEGA6 to visualize the clustering of novel haplotypes (i.e. lineages) (data not shown). Uncorrected p-distances with standard error estimates (obtained using a bootstrap procedure with 1000 replicates) were calculated between and within WCM clusters using MEGA6 and new lineages were declared when inter-cluster divergence was at least 10x the intra-cluster variation [39]. Sequences have been deposited in NCBI GenBank under the accession numbers KX430258 to KX430320. Following DNA extraction, mite exoskeletons were preserved in 70% ethyl alcohol. In cases where obtained sequences did not match any previously recorded WCM lineages [25, 26], exoskeletons were mounted on slides and morphologically identified using a phase-contrast microscope.

Statistical analysis
To test how the prevalence (i.e. probability of occurrence) and population density (i.e. the number of individuals per shoot) of different WCM genetic lineages was related to host species and how they varied spatially, a generalized additive model (GAM) was used [40]. This method is well suited to test our hypothesis, as it can incorporate in one model both categorical predictors (host species) and spatial smoothing (geographic location). Splines used in GAM allow to flexibly fit complicated, nonlinear functions and thus are well suited to model spatial patterns.

The experimental unit in the analysis was a single shoot. For each WCM genetic lineage two separate models were fitted: one for prevalence (with Bernoulli distribution for the response and the logit link function) and one for population density (with Tweedie distribution for WCM counts and the log link function). Predictors were: host species (categorical, parametric predictor) and geodetic coordinates (smooth two-dimensional splines). Additionally, to account for uneven sampling effort, the natural log—of the number of leaves in each sample was used as an offset.

Maps were produced by predicting mite presence and abundance for the most abundant host at a given site based on the GAM models. The values on maps represent the predicted probability of occurrence or population log-density per shoot. Host-niche similarities between WCM lineages were expressed as Pearson correlation coefficients between population densities calculated for each WCM lineage on the various host species.

Statistical analysis was performed in R version 3.3 [39] using the mgcv 1.8 package [41]. Heat-maps showing similarity matrices were produced with the pheatmap package [42].

Results
Host infestation and host niche similarity of WCM genotypes
Overall, 16 WCM lineages were collected across Poland, including seven identified in the course of previous studies (MT-1 to MT-6 and MT-8) [25] and nine new lineages (designated as MT-9, MT-10, MT-12 to MT-15, and MT-27 to MT-29). The uncorrected p-distances
between lineages ranged from 6.7% to 30.4%, whereas the intra-lineage diversity ranged from 0 to 2.4% (S1 Fig). All sampled plant species, with the exception of rye, hosted more than one WCM lineage although they differed in infestation parameters. Nine WCM lineages were associated with only one host plant species (hereafter ‘monophagous’). Four genotypes (MT-2, MT-4, MT-12, MT-13) were each found on two hosts, although MT-2 and MT-4 were found predominantly on quackgrass and only once each on wheat and were thus considered quackgrass-associated monophagous lineages, whereas MT-12 and MT-13 were considered as ‘oligophagous’. Three genotypes (MT-1, MT-3, MT-8) were collected from several hosts ('polyphagous'). Among monophagous lineages, MT-9, MT-10 and MT-14, all were associated with smooth brome, with MT-9 attaining the highest population density. The highest infestation parameters on quackgrass were reached by the polyphagous MT-3, and on tall oat-grass by the monophagous MT-5. Cereals were predominantly occupied by MT-1 and MT-8 (S2 Fig; S1 Table).

The WCM lineages clustered into four groups by host preference (Fig 1). Group 1 included lineages associated with cereals, with two polyphagous genotypes predominant on cereals and two monophagous lineages found only on wheat. Groups 2 and 3 comprised lineages associated with quackgrass and smooth brome, respectively. The fourth group was represented by tall-oat-grass-associated specialists and the oligophagous MT-12. There was no correlation between host niches for lineages MT-3 and MT-8, nor between MT-12 and any genotype belonging to Group 2. Additionally, the lineage MT-12 showed a strong negative correlation with genotypes belonging to Groups 1 and 3. Finally, the three polyphagous lineages (MT-1, MT-3 and MT-8) negatively correlated with the smooth brome- and tall-oat-grass-associated groups (3 and 4) (Fig 1).

The effect of host and spatial variation on infestation parameters of WCM genotypes

Host-plant species significantly influenced both prevalence and population density of all polyphagous WCM lineages and the oligophagous lineage MT-13, as well as population density of MT-12 (Tables 1 and 2; Figs 2–5). The prevalence of MT-12 was not significantly different between its two hosts (tall oat-grass and quackgrass). There was significant spatial variation in prevalence in eight out of 13 genetic lineages tested (Table 1). Population density also showed a substantial amount of spatial variation; the trend surface was a significant predictor in 10 of 13 lineages (Table 2). Maps of probability of occurrence (prevalence) and predicted population densities are presented for all WCM lineages having a significant effect of spatial variation on infestation parameters (Figs 2–4, 6 and 7).

Discussion

The general paucity of basic ecological studies is one of the main gaps in existing knowledge of the wheat curl mite (WCM) complex, hindering its monitoring and the prediction of its occurrence. The results of this study reveal the ecological complexity of a herbivorous mite species, A. tosichella sensu lato (WCM), that appears to be in the midst of a species radiation event in which distinct genotypes appear to be separated pre-zygotically due to divergent host preferences [15, 29]. This complexity was also found to extend to spatial distributions of the diverging WCM genotypes over the area of Poland (> 300,000 km²), independent of the distributions of their hosts, which were each widespread across the study area. Extensive sampling and a comprehensive dataset reveal for the first time fundamental information on the distribution and abundance of currently known WCM genotypes in European agricultural landscapes. Three important findings emerged from this descriptive study.
First, we found that in addition to genetic differences and divergent host preferences (e.g. [29]) the majority of WCM lineages exhibit variation in patterns of infestation parameters on both spatial and host scales. The obligatory dependence of phytophagous organisms on plants suggests that host plant availability should be among the most important factors affecting spatial distribution of herbivore populations [12, 43]. In this study WCM infestation parameters were tested for plant species that were both common and widespread across the study area, allowing a comparison of the effects of host versus geographic variation. Contrary to our

Fig 1. The heatmap representing host niche similarity measured as correlation between population log-densities of particular wheat curl mite (WCM) lineages on grass host species. Correlation coefficient is expressed with colors explained on the scale on the left. Numbers indicate the groups of WCM lineages that clustered according to host associations (1 - with cereals, 2 - with quackgrass, 3 - with smooth brome, 4 - with tall oat-grass).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0169874.g001
expectation, the results showed that the host plant was the main factor influencing population density of only one oligophagous WCM genotypes, namely MT-12. Whereas, population density and prevalence of three polyphagous WCM lineages and oligophagous MT-13 was mediated by both host species and spatially (i.e. geographically) determined factors. Therefore, a deeper understanding of biotic and abiotic characteristics (e.g. microclimate, topography, environmental heterogeneity, natural enemies, competitors) that may influence spatial geographic variation of these WCM lineages will be necessary to elucidate the mechanisms.

Table 1. Parameters of the generalized additive model examining the prevalence of genetic WCM lineages (expressed as proportion of infested shoots) in relation to the host plant species and geographic coordinates (which represent spatial variation).

| Lineage | df | Chi-sq. | p    | edf | F   | p    |
|---------|----|---------|------|-----|-----|------|
| MT-1    | 4  | 74.34   | <0.0001 | 18.8 | 83.8 | <0.0001 |
| MT-2    |    | 18.7    | 17.1  | 0.5820 |
| MT-3    | 6  | 6918.0  | <0.0001 | 18.4 | 200.0 | <0.0001 |
| MT-4    |    | 13.9    | 24.0  | 0.0494 |
| MT-5    |    | 16.0    | 64.3  | <0.0001 |
| MT-6    |    | 18.8    | 31.8  | 0.0348 |
| MT-8    | 8  | 3140.0  | <0.0001 | 18.2 | 261.4 | <0.0001 |
| MT-9    |    | 17.6    | 59.8  | <0.0001 |
| MT-10   |    | 10.6    | 3.8   | 0.9750 |
| MT-12   | 2  | 4.4     | 0.1750 | 15.3 | 21.9  | 0.1750 |
| MT-13   | 2  | 14.7    | 0.0006 | 18.8 | 35.2  | 0.0132 |
| MT-14   |    | 5.9     | 2.6   | 0.8530 |
| MT-27   |    | 4.4     | 2.3   | 0.7900 |

Estimated degrees of freedom, “edf”, reflect the smoothness of the fitted surface. Degrees of freedom for the host plant are equal to the number of hosts that were found to infest a given lineage. If there was only one host found or two hosts among which one had only single shoot infested, this value cannot be determined, as there is no host—associated variability.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0169874.t001

Table 2. Parameters of the generalized additive model examining the population density of WCM genetic lineages (expressed as number of specimens per shoot) in relation to the host plant species and geographic coordinates (which represent spatial variation).

| Lineage | df | Chi-sq. | p    | edf | F   | p    |
|---------|----|---------|------|-----|-----|------|
| MT-1    | 4  | 34.08   | <0.0001 | 18.1 | 6.16 | <0.0001 |
| MT-2    |    | 17.3    | 3.70  | <0.0001 |
| MT-3    | 6  | 185.6   | <0.0001 | 18.3 | 17.30 | <0.0001 |
| MT-4    |    | 10.2    | 5.55  | <0.0001 |
| MT-5    |    | 14.4    | 2.42  | 0.0010 |
| MT-6    |    | 12.7    | 3.29  | <0.0001 |
| MT-8    | 8  | 123.5   | <0.0001 | 17.4 | 9.75  | <0.0001 |
| MT-9    |    | 16.5    | 8.97  | <0.0001 |
| MT-10   |    | 11.5    | 2.54  | 0.0027 |
| MT-12   | 2  | 7.4     | 0.0006 | 12.6 | 1.52  | 0.0994 |
| MT-13   | 2  | 14.4    | <0.0001 | 17.6 | 2.54  | 0.0002 |
| MT-14   |    | 6.7     | 1.16  | 0.3240 |
| MT-27   |    | 6.6     | 0.00  | 1.0000 |

See Table 1 for explanations.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0169874.t002
underlying their population dynamics. However, geographic location alone can also have a dominant effect on distribution of organisms, as was shown for bees, spiders, earthworms and plants in European agricultural grasslands [44]. The population density of six and abundance of four among eight monophagous lineages were affected by spatial variation (Tables 1 and 2), which partially supported our predictions. Neither the abundance nor prevalence of the MT-14 or MT-27 lineages, nor the prevalence of the MT-2 or MT-10 lineages were correlated with geographical variation suggesting that they were accidental, with no spatial factors affecting infestation parameters. Instead, infestation parameters of these lineages may be influenced by other factors, such as e.g. biotic interactions on a smaller scale. The outcomes of this research facilitate further studies on distribution and population dynamics of WCM genotypes to determine the scale and type of data (e.g. geographically-related vs. trophic interplay) that need to be considered.
The second important result of this study was the recognition of areas of occurrence and varied abundance for particular WCM lineages on an extensive landscape scale (viz. the entirety of Poland, the eighth largest country in Europe). From an applied point of view, spatial distribution maps are especially important for lineages associated with cereals (Group 1, Fig 1), and among them particularly for the two polyphagous and most pestiferous MT-1 and MT-8 [25]. Contrary to our expectations of their high frequency and wide distribution across the country, results showed only four areas of high prevalence, suggesting that these genotypes may have been introduced into Poland recently. Interestingly, the pattern of their prevalence appears to reflect the path of their dispersal in Poland though a pass between the Sudeten and Carpathian ranges (the Moravian Gate), in addition to the western part of the country (Figs 2C and 4C), which are the warmest regions in Poland [45]. Indeed, the population density patterns of MT-1, MT-8 and additionally MT-3 (which also sporadically infest cereals) suggest that these lineages fare poorly in cold regions (see Figs 2D, 3D and 4D), including the north central (Gulf of Gdańsk) and northeastern regions and southern mountain ranges. This

Fig 3. Host-related differences in prevalence (A) and population density (B), and spatial patterns of prevalence (C) and population density (D) for WCM MT-3 lineage. For explanation see Fig 2.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0169874.g003
pattern is consistent with a recent study that modeled the most thermally suitable areas in Poland for population development of MT-1 and MT-8, which showed that the majority of Poland offers optimal thermal conditions for growth of both lineages from May to September [32].

Integrating a spatial component into ecological research on the WCM complex, combined with the identification of high-risk areas for pestiferous lineages [32] should enable prediction of invasions and development of WCM management strategies at landscape scales. Knowledge of the distribution and greatest abundance of lineages MT-1 and MT-8 is crucial for prediction of future outbreaks of these pests. With predicted rise in global temperatures, population densities of these lineages should be monitored, as their polyphagy may enhance their ability to occupy new regions, as was shown for a polyphagous butterfly in Britain [46]. Considering the low values of infestation parameters of two other cereal-associated lineages, MT-15 and MT-27 (S1 Table), it seems likely that they were accidentally present on wheat, a possible artifact of
collection bias within agrarian landscapes. In addition, the existence of monophagous specialists on cereal species would be quite unexpected, given the recent creation of these grass species by human hands. It is likely that these lineages occupy hosts that were not sampled in this study.

The third notable outcome arising from the results of this study is the discovery of four host-assemblages among the 16 studied WCM lineages, within which similar host preferences and host infestation patterns were detected. The association of several (from three to five) genetically distinct (COI p-distance >11%) WCM lineages sharing the same host species (Fig 1, S1 Fig) was unexpected. This raises the question of connectivity between different WCM genotypes and the mechanisms of their genetic divergence and speciation. In the case of WCM genotypes associated with different plant species, ecological isolation via host-associated divergence can function as an effective barrier to gene flow (e.g. [47–49]). Conversely, different WCM genotypes sharing the same host species are more likely to make contact, so gene flow between them should be possible. However, even if gene flow between WCM genotypes is ongoing, post-zygotic barriers may act by reducing hybrid fitness, thus driving the evolution of

![Graphs showing host-related differences in prevalence and population density for WCM MT-12 and MT-13 lineages.](Fig 5. Host-related differences in prevalence (A, C) and population density (B, D) for WCM MT-12 (A, B) and MT-13 (C, D) lineages. For explanation see Fig 2.)

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0169874.g005
of pre-zygotic isolation [50]. This phenomenon was observed in two cryptic populations of another eriophyoid species complex [51]. The extent of gene flow between WCM lineages has not been tested but such studies are warranted for WCM lineages that share both host and spatial distribution, including MT-1 and MT-8 on wheat, MT-9 and MT-10 on smooth brome, and all quackgrass-associated lineages (Group 2, Fig 1).

Sympatry of genotypes or cryptic species within a species complex, such as in WCM, may be explained by occupation of different niches on the host-plant (allotropy sensu [52]) or allopatric speciation, i.e. reuniting after a long period of geographic isolation (e.g. [53]). Alternatively, a new species that evolves by occupying a new host could be brought back into contact with its progenitor species following an identical host shift by the latter [54]. If reproductive incompatibility between the two genotypes remains incomplete, gene flow may recommence, possibly followed by speciation reversal (sensu [55]). If reproductive incompatibility is complete, the two cryptic species will simply co-occur.
Fig 7. Spatial patterns of population density for WCM MT-2 (A), MT-4 (B), MT-6 (C), MT-10 (D) and MT-13 (E) lineages. For explanation see Fig 2.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0169874.g007
According to the competitive exclusion principle, interacting species within a community require sufficient genetic, phenotypic and ecological disparity to coexist [33]. Thus, depending on the extent of the ecological similarity between WCM cryptic genotypes, such sympatry may persist or one may displace the other. Partitioning resources within a host (i.e. multiple niches on a single host) could allow WCM genotypes to minimize competition and consequently coexist. Moreover, competitive interactions may affect geographic ranges especially between closely related species [12]. This may explain why distributions of WCM lineages associated with the same host species, while overlapping, are not in fact identical.

In addition to improving basic ecological knowledge of a widespread arthropod herbivore, the results of this research identify high-risk areas for the presence of the most pestiferous WCM lineages in the study area (viz. the area of Poland). The results raise many important questions for future laboratory and field investigations, e.g. regarding abiotic and biotic factors affecting the distributions of particular WCM genotypes, competitive displacement and coexistence between closely related genotypes, the role of host plant in gene flow between genotypes (including domesticated vs. wild host species), the possible range expansion of the pestiferous WCM lineages, and the factors, both ecological and genetic, that lead to the development of pest species of domesticated crops.

Supporting Information

S1 Fig. Heat map representing pairwise uncorrected p—distances for mtDNA COI gene between all currently detected wheat curl mite (WCM) lineages in Poland and Trisetacus silvestris (GenBank Acc nos. KC776549-KC776550) as an outgroup species. Up to five sequences representing each WCM lineage were used (GenBank Acc nos: KX430258 to KX430320).

S2 Fig. Density (with 95% confidence intervals) of wheat curl mite (WCM) lineages on the studied host plant species.

S1 Table. Infestation parameters of the wheat curl mite (WCM) genetic lineages on different host plants. P—prevalence [percentage of shoots infested], I—intensity [mean number of specimens per infested shoot], D—density [mean number of specimens per all shoots] with 95% confidence intervals [CI], n—number of all shoots under study, k—number of shoots infested by mites.

Acknowledgments

The Authors thank to Łukasz Broda, Marta Gołębiewska, Paulina Kaczmarek, Agnieszka Kiedrowicz, Piotr Kozłowski, Wojciech Kwiatkowski, Anna Labrzycka, Aleksandra Łukasiewicz, Joanna Papakul, Ewa Puchalska, Dagmara Rakowska, Jarosław Raubic, Elżbieta Rożanśka, Aleksandra Smoczyńska, Karol Szkaradkiewicz, Natalia Wróblewska for help in counting mites and laboratory analyses.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: LK AS.

Data curation: LK.
Formal analysis: LK.
Funding acquisition: AS.
Investigation: AS LK ML WS.
Methodology: LK AS ML.
Project administration: AS.
Software: LK.
Supervision: AS.
Visualization: LK ML.
Writing – original draft: AS BR ML LK WS.
Writing – review & editing: AS BR ML LK WS.

References
1. Crawford PHC, Hoagland BW. Using species distribution models to guide conservation at the state level: The endangered American burying beetle (Nicrophorus americanus) in Oklahoma. J Insect Conserv. 2010; 14(5): 511–21.
2. Elith J, Kearney M, Phillips S. The art of modelling range-shifting species. Methods Ecol Evol. 2010; 1 (4): 330–42.
3. Balestrieri A, Bogliani G, Boano G, Ruiz-González A, Saino N, Costa S, et al. Modelling the distribution of forest-dependent species in human-dominated landscapes: Patterns for the pine marten in intensively cultivated lowlands. PLoS ONE. 2016; 11(7): e0158203. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0158203 PMID: 27368056
4. Beeton NJ, McMahon CR, Williamson GJ, Potts J, Bloomer J, Bester MN, et al. Using the Spatial Population Abundance Dynamics Engine for conservation management. Methods Ecol Evol. 2015; 6(12): 1407–16.
5. Ge X, He S, Wang T, Yan W, Zong S. Potential distribution predicted for Rhynchophorus ferrugineus in China under different climate warming scenarios. PLoS ONE. 2015; 10(10): e0141111. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0141111
6. Biber-Freundenberger L, Ziemacki J, Tonnang HEZ, Borgemeister C (2016) Future risks of pest species under changing climatic conditions. PLoS ONE. 2016; 11(4): e0153237. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0153237 PMID: 27054718
7. Gilioli G, Pasquali S, Marchesini E. A modelling framework for pest population dynamics and management: An application to the grape berry moth. Ecol Modell. 2016; 320: 348–57.
8. Leal AI, Rainho A, Martins RC, Granadeiro JP, Palmeirim JM. Modelling future scenarios to improve woodland landscapes for birds in the Mediterranean. J Nat Conserv. 2016; 30: 103–12.
9. Nielson RM, Murphy RK, Millsap BA, Howe WH, Gardner G. Modeling late-summer distribution of golden eagles (Aquila chrysaetos) in the western United States. PLoS ONE. 2016; 11(8): e0159271. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0159271 PMID: 27556735
10. Bateman BL, Pidgeon AM, Radeloff VC, Vanderwal J, Thogmartin WE, Vavrus SJ, et al. The pace of past climate change vs. potential bird distributions and land use in the United States. Glob Chang Biol. 2016; 22(3): 1130–44. doi: 10.1111/gcb.13154 PMID: 26691721
11. Hill NJ, Tobin AJ, Reside AE, Pepperell JG, Bridge TCL. Dynamic habitat suitability modelling reveals rapid poleward distribution shift in a mobile apex predator. Glob Chang Biol. 2016; 22(3): 1086–96. doi: 10.1111/gcb.13129 PMID: 26464050
12. Wisz MS, Pottier J, Kissling WD, Pellissier L, Lenoir J, Damgaard CF, et al. The role of biotic interactions in shaping distributions and realised assemblages of species: Implications for species distribution modelling. Biol Rev Camb Philos Soc. 2013; 88(1): 15–30. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-185X.2012.00235.x PMID: 22686347
13. Penczykowski RM, Laine AL, Koskella B. Understanding the ecology and evolution of host-parasite interactions across scales. Evol Appl. 2016; 9: 37–52. doi: 10.1111/evo.12294 PMID: 27087838
Spatial and Host-Related Variation in Prevalence and Population Density of Cryptic Mite Genotypes

14. Carew M, Schiffer M, Umina P, Weeks A, Hoffmann A. Molecular markers indicate that the wheat curl mite, *Aceria tosichella* Keifer, may represent a species complex in Australia. Bull Entomol Res. 2009; 99(5): 479–86. doi: 10.1017/S0007485308006512 PMID: 19224660

15. Miller AD, Skoracka A, Navia D, Mendonça RS, Szydło W, Schultz MB, et al. Phylogenetic analyses reveal extensive cryptic speciation and host specialization in an economically important mite taxon. Mol Phylogenet Evol. 2013; 66(3): 928–40. doi: 10.1016/j.ympev.2012.11.021 PMID: 23246929

16. Skoracka A, Kuczyński L, de Mendonça RS, Dabert M, Szydło W, Knihinicki D, et al.Cryptic species within the wheat curl mite *Aceria tosichella* (Keifer) (Acari: Eriophyidae), revealed by mitochondrial, nuclear and morphometric data. Invertebr Syst. 2012; 26(4): 417–33.

17. Navia D, Ochoa R, Welbourn C, Ferragut F. Adventive eriophyoid mites: A global review of their impact, pathways, prevention and challenges. Exp Appl Acarol. 2010; 51(1–3): 225–55. doi: 10.1007/s10493-009-9327-2 PMID: 19844795

18. Navia D, de Mendonça RS, Skoracka A, Szydło W, Knihinicki D, Hein GL, et al. Wheat curl mite, *Aceria tosichella*, and transmitted viruses: An expanding pest complex affecting cereal crops. Exp Appl Acarol. 2013; 59(1–2): 95–143. doi: 10.1007/s10493-012-9633-y PMID: 23179064

19. Wegulo SN, Hein GL, Klein RN, French RC. Managing Wheat streak mosaic. University of Nebraska-Lincoln Extension. 2008;EC1871. http://extensionpubs.unl.edu/publication/9000016365448/managing-wheat-streak-mosaic.

20. Coutts BA, Banovic M, Kehoe MA, Severtson DL, Jones RAC. Epidemiology of *Wheat streak mosaic virus* in wheat in a Mediterranean-type environment. Eur J Plant Pathol. 2014; 140(4): 797–813.

21. Coutts BA, Cox BA, Thomas GJ, Jones RAC. First report of *Wheat mosaic virus* infecting wheat in western Australia. Plant Dis. 2013; 98(2): 285.

22. McMechan AJ, Tatineni S, French R, Hein GL. Differential transmission of *Triticum mosaic virus* by wheat curl mite populations collected in the Great Plains. Plant Dis. 2014; 98(6): 806–10.

23. Seifers DL, Martin TJ, Harvey TL, Fellers JP, Michaud JP. Identification of the wheat curl mite as the vector of *Triticum mosaic virus*. Plant Dis. 2009; 93(1): 25–9.

24. Stephan D, Moeller I, Skoracka A, Ehrig F, Maiss E. Eriophyid mite transmission and host range of a *Brome streak mosaic virus* isolate derived from a full-length cDNA clone. Arch Virol. 2008; 153(1): 181–5. doi: 10.1007/s00705-007-1065-3 PMID: 17957328

25. Skoracka A, Rector B, Kuczyński L, Szdyło W, Hein G, French R. Global spread of wheat curl mite by its most polyphagous and pestiferous lineages. Ann Appl Biol. 2014; 165(2): 222–35.

26. Szydło W, Hein G, Denizhan E, Skoracka A. Exceptionally high levels of genetic diversity in wheat curl mite (*Acaria Eriophyidae*) populations from Turkey. J Econ Entomol. 2015; 108(4): 1545–52. doi: 10.1093/jee/tov185 PMID: 26470294

27. Bickford D, Lohman DJ, Sodhi NS, Ng PKL, Meier R, Winker K, et al. Cryptic species as a window on biogeographical pathways, prevention and challenges. Exp Appl Acarol. 2010; 51(1–3): 45–55. doi: 10.1007/s10493-009-9327-2 PMID: 19844795

28. Delatte H, Duyck PF, Triboire A, David P, Becker N, Bonato O, et al. Differential invasion success among biotypes: Case of *Bemisia tabaci*. Biol Invasions. 2009; 11(4): 1059–70.

29. Skoracka A, Kuczyński L, Szydło W, Rector B. The wheat curl mite *Aceria tosichella* (Acari: Eriophyidae) is a complex of cryptic lineages with divergent host ranges: Evidence from molecular and plant bio-assay data. Biol J Linn Soc. 2013; 109(1): 165–80.

30. Wosula EN, McMechan AJ, Hein GL. The effect of temperature, relative humidity, and virus infection status on off-host survival of the wheat curl mite (*Acaria Eriophyidae*). J Econ Entomol. 2015; 108(4): 1545–52. doi: 10.1093/jee/tov185 PMID: 26470294

31. Wosula EN, McMechan AJ, Oliveira-Hofman C, Wegulo SN, Hein GL. Differential transmission of two isolates of *Wheat streak mosaic virus* by five wheat curl mite populations. Plant Dis. 2016; 100(1): 154–8.

32. Kuczyński L, Rector BG, Kiedrowicz A, Lewandowski M, Szydło W, Skoracka A. Thermal niches of two invasive genotypes of the wheat curl mite *Aceria tosichella*: Congruence between physiological and geographical distribution data. PLoS ONE. 2016; 11(4): e0154600. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0154600 PMID: 27123590

33. Hardin G. The competitive exclusion principle. Science. 1960; 131: 1292–7. PMID: 14399717

34. European Environment Agency. CLC2006 Technical Guidelines. EEA Technical report no 17/2007; 2007. http://www.eea.europa.eu/publications/technical_report_2007_17

35. Zając A, Zając M. Distribution atlas of vascular plants in Poland. Kraków Jagiellonian University; 2001.

36. Dabert J, Ehnsberger R, Dabert M. *Glaucalges tytonis* sp. n. (Analgoidae, Xolalgidae) from the barn owl *Tyto alba* (Strigiformes, Tytonidae): compiling morphology with DNA barcode data for taxon
descriptions in mites (Acari). Zootaxa. 2008; 1719: 41–52. Available: http://mapress.com/zootaxa/list/2008/zt01719.html.

37. Skoracka A, Dabert M. The cereal rust mite *Abacarus hystrix* (Acari: Eriophyoidea) is a complex of species: Evidence from mitochondrial and nuclear DNA sequences. Bull Entomol Res. 2010; 100(3): 263–72. doi: 10.1017/S000746999990216 PMID: 19671206

38. Tamura K, Stecher G, Peterson D, Filipski A, Kumar S. MEGA6: Molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 6. Mol Biol Evol. 2013; 30(12): 2725–9. doi: 10.1093/molbev/mst197 PMID: 24132122

39. Hebert PDN., Stoeckle MY, Zemlak TS, Francis CM. Identification of birds through DNA barcodes. PLoS Biol. 2004; 2(10): e312. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.0020312 PMID: 15455034

40. Wood SN. Generalized additive models: an introduction with R. Boca Raton, FL: Chapman and Hall/CRC; 2006.

41. R Development Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing; 2016. http://www.R-project.org/.

42. Kolde R. pheatmap: Pretty Heatmaps. 2015. http://cran.r-project.org/package=pheatmap.

43. Lantschner MV, Corley JC. Spatial pattern of attacks of the invasive woodwasp *Sirex noctilio*, at landscape and stand scales. PLoS ONE. 2015; 10(5): e0127099. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0127099 PMID: 25992640

44. Lüscher G, Jeanneret P, Schneider MK, Hector A, Amor et, Baiáz K, et al. Strikingly high effect of geographic location on fauna and flora of European agricultural grasslands. Basic Appl Ecol. 2015; 16(4): 281–90.

45. Hijmans RJ, Cameron SE, Parra JL, Jones PG, Jarvis A. Very high resolution interpolated climate surfaces for global land areas. Int J Climatol. 2005; 25(15): 1965–78.

46. Braschler B, Hill JK. Role of larval host plants in the climate-driven range expansion of the butterfly *Polygona c-album*. J Anim Ecol. 2007; 76(3): 415–23. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2656.2007.01217.x PMID: 17439459

47. Via S. Reproductive isolation between sympatric races of pea aphids. I. Gene flow restriction and habitat choice. Evolution (NY). 1999; 53(5): 1446–57.

48. Schluter D. Ecology and the origin of species. Trends Ecol Evol. 2001; 16(7): 372–80. PMID: 11403870

49. Nosil P. Divergent host plant adaptation and reproductive isolation between ecotypes of *Timema cristinae* walking sticks. Am Nat. 2007; 169(2): 151–62. doi: 10.1086/510634 PMID: 17211800

50. Wu CI, Beckenbach AT. Evidence for extensive genetic differentiation between the sex-ratio and the standard arrangement of *Drosophila pseudobscura* and *D. persimilis* and identification of hybrid fertility factors. Genetics. 1983; 105(1): 71–86. PMID: 17246158

51. Skoracka A. Reproductive barriers between populations of the cereal rust mite *Abacarus hystrix* confirm their host specialization. Ecol Evol. 2008; 22(5): 607–16.

52. Rivas LR. A reinterpretation of the concepts “sympatric” and “allopatric” with proposal of the additional terms “syntopic” and “allotopic.” Syst Biol. 1964; 13(1–4): 42–3.

53. Amor MD, Norman MD, Cameron HE, Strugnell JM. Allopatric speciation within a cryptic species complex of Australasian octopuses. PLoS ONE. 2014; 9(6): e98982. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0098982 PMID: 24964133

54. Berlocher SH, Feder JL. Sympatric speciation in phytophagous insects: Moving beyond controversy? Annu Rev Entomol. 2002; 47(1): 773–815.

55. Seehausen O, Takimoto G, Roy D, Jokela J. Speciation reversal and biodiversity dynamics with hybridization in changing environments. Mol Ecol. 2008; 17(1): 30–44. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-294X.2007.03529.x PMID: 18034800