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Abstract

Background: Food security status has been assessed as a representative score for households; however, different members in the same household may perceive and report food insecurity differently. A high prevalence of food insecurity has been reported among Latino households, therefore understanding differences in reporting food insecurity by Latino father-mother dyads may improve accuracy of assessment and plans to address food insecurity. This study aimed to 1) determine demographic characteristics and/or food-related factors associated with perceptions of food security status among Latino father-mother dyads, and 2) identify factors associated with discordance in perceptions of food insecurity between dyads.

Methods: Baseline data were used from a community-based, youth obesity prevention program among Latino families (n = 106 father-mother dyads). Food security was assessed with a 2-item food insecurity screen. Logistic regression models were used to evaluate associations between reporting food security status and predictor variables for fathers, mothers, and dyad-discordant responses.

Results: Food insecurity was reported by 39% of fathers and 55% of mothers. Adjusted odds of reporting food insecurity were significantly higher for fathers perceiving their neighborhood was unsafe vs. safe (OR: 3.7, p < 0.05) and reporting lower vs. higher household income (OR: 3.2, p < 0.05). Adjusted odds of reporting food insecurity were significantly higher for mothers perceiving their neighborhood was unsafe vs. safe (OR: 4.1, p < 0.01) and reporting lower vs. higher home availability of fruit and vegetable (OR: 5.5, p < 0.01). Dyad discordance in reporting food security status occurred in 24% of the dyads. Adjusted odds of dyad discordant reports of food insecurity status were significantly higher for dyads reporting discordant responses regarding previous nutrition education (OR: 3.4, p < 0.05) and higher home fruit and vegetable accessibility (OR: 3.1, p < 0.05) compared to dyads reporting concordant responses. Among the 28 dyads who reported discordant nutrition education participation, 21 reported that fathers had never participated but mothers had participated more than once.

(Continued on next page)
Conclusions: Differential factors were associated with reporting food security among Latino father-mother dyads. Nutrition education for fathers that improves awareness of home food supplies and a better understanding of how food accessibility influences maternal perceptions may improve dyad discordance in reporting household food security.
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Background

Food security status, defined as access to enough food for an active, healthy life, has frequently been assessed and viewed as a representative score for the household [1]. However, the extent that all members of the household experience food insecurity may differ depending on their perception of adequacy and quality of household food stores, and worry or anxiety about home food availability [2]. Some studies indicated that females have reported higher levels of food insecurity than males [3–5]. Higher food insecurity in female-led versus male-led households was attributed to women’s socioeconomic disadvantages in female-led households, such as lower income or more dependents [4]. Differences in measurement of food insecurity between mother and father pairs in a qualitative study were based on different interpretations of terms such as “household”, “balanced meal”, and “worry” used in questions to assess food insecurity [6]. Within male and female pairs in the same household in Bangladesh, discordant responses to food security questionnaires were observed in 19% of pairs [7]. In another study, couple discordance has been reported for additional diverse household and individual characteristics such as income and marital length [8].

The reported prevalence of food insecurity among Hispanic households in the U.S. based on 2019 data from the Current Population Survey’s Food Security Supplement was 15.6%, which was higher than the 10.5% prevalence reported for U.S. households overall [9]. The prevalence of food insecurity among U.S. Hispanic households was also significantly increased from 1999 to 2000 to 2015–2016 based on National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) data [10]. Food insecurity is an important issue for Latino youth and adults, because of associations with inadequate nutrient intake [11–14], under-consumption of healthy foods [14], and a high prevalence of obesity [15–17]. Latinos play a significant role in the U.S. economy accounting for 17% of the labor force [18], often working several jobs to attain financial gains. Income and other social determinants of health such as lack of access to jobs, inadequate transportation and wages, and inequities in assets and wealth may contribute to the disproportionately high rates of food insecurity among Latinos. Eligible immigrant families, in particular, may not access food assistance to address food insecurity because of immigration policy changes and enforcement activities [19]. Federal food and nutrition assistance programs and community-based nutrition education have been used to address food insecurity leading to positive outcomes regarding food security status [20–22].

Previous studies with Latino youth and adults as well as other racial/ethnic groups have identified a variety of factors influencing household food insecurity. These include demographic and economic characteristics such as sex, marital status, educational attainment, acculturation, household income, and employment status [4, 23, 24]. Home food environment and other environmental factors were also reported, including social support from family members, home food availability, home food accessibility [24–27], and neighborhood safety and geographic location [23, 28]. In addition, discordant perceptions of food security in the same households were observed between Latino parents and adolescents where discordance was associated with parent-adolescent conflict, presence of a female adolescent and household income [24].

Different perceptions and experiences regarding food insecurity by members in the same households could lead to inaccurate assessment of food security status. Therefore, if one parent in the household perceives a higher level of household food security than another parent, they may underestimate the prevalence when reporting for the household, which could impact eligibility for food and nutrition assistance programs and underestimate federal and state level prevalence information. Awareness of the differences between father-mother dyad reports of food insecurity and factors accounting for these differences could help those who plan food and nutrition assistance programs to better address food insecurity.

To date, differences among Latino father-mother dyads in reporting food security status have not been reported. The purpose of this study was to 1) determine demographic characteristics and/or food-related factors associated with perceptions of food insecurity status among Latino father-mother dyads in the same households, and 2) identify those factors correlated with discordance in perceptions of food insecurity between the dyads.
Methods
Design and setting
The current study used cross-sectional baseline data from a community-based intervention program to improve paternal parenting practices and youth energy balance-related behaviors (Padres Preparados, Jóvenes Saludables) [29]. Latino fathers or male caregivers (hereafter referred to as fathers) and early adolescents (10–14 years) were the primary research participants, however mothers or female caregivers (hereafter referred to as mothers) were also invited to attend educational sessions and complete evaluation data collection procedures as part of the intervention. Baseline data collection occurred from 2017 to 2020 at three churches and two Latino-serving non-profit community centers in the Minneapolis/St. Paul metropolitan area.

Participants
Participants were recruited using flyers, word of mouth, and announcements at community events. Eligibility criteria for fathers included self-identifying as Latino, speaking Spanish, and having meals with the youth participant at least three times in a week. Father-adolescent dyads were the primary research participants; however, mothers were also welcome to attend the same data collection and educational sessions with fathers. Eligibility criteria for mothers included self-identifying as Latina, speaking Spanish and as spouses/partners of fathers who were parents of the youth participants. Eligibility criteria for mothers did not include frequency of meals with the youth participant; however, meal frequency with mothers was higher than meal frequency with fathers (58% of mothers vs. 42% of fathers had meal frequency ≥ 7 times/week, p = 0.02) according to results from the baseline survey. Three hundred sixty-nine families were interested in the Padres Preparados, Jóvenes Saludables study, and 277 families remained after screening for eligibility. Baseline data, which included sociodemographic information, height and weight, and questionnaire data regarding food-related activities and the home food environment were analyzed in the current study from 106 father-mother dyads where both had completed food security questions. All survey questions were first written in English (unless tested, valid questions or scales were available in Spanish), translated into Spanish by research team members whose native language was Spanish, and back translated into English to confirm the accuracy of the translation. The research team was comprised of primarily native Spanish speaking individuals who came to consensus after discussion about how to structure questions and also reviewed questions for potential understanding by participants. After completion of the questionnaire, fathers received cash compensation ($35) for participating in baseline data collection procedures. Fathers and mothers provided informed consent prior to participation. The study was approved by the University of Minnesota Institutional Review Board.

Measures
Anthropometric measures
Trained researchers measured body weight and height of fathers and mothers using a digital scale (BWB-800 Scale, Tanita) and a stadiometer based on standard procedures [30]. Measurements were completed twice to the nearest 0.1 kg weight and 0.1 cm for height. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated using the average weight and height (kg/m²).

Sociodemographic characteristics
Fathers and mothers individually reported their age, educational attainment, employment status, household income, number of years in the U.S., language spoken at home, and marital status. Age, educational attainment, employment status, and household income were dichotomized as < median age vs. ≥ median age, < high school vs. ≥ high school, full-time/self-employed vs. part-time/not-employed, household income < $25,000 vs. ≥ $25,000. Acculturation score group was based on the number of years in the U.S. (coded as 0 = < 10 years, 1 = ≥10 and < 20, 2 = ≥20 and < 30, 3 = ≥30) and language spoken at home (coded as 0 = only native or more native language than English, 1 = English equal to native language, 2 = only English or more English than native language) [31]. A clarification was included with this question indicating that native languages were Spanish or any language other than English. The acculturation score group was the sum of the coded responses for number of years in the US and language spoken at home and was categorized as low (0–1 point), middle (2 points), or high acculturation score group (3–5 points). In a previous study, the acculturation score group was associated with education, income, and health insurance among Hispanic adults [31].

Food security
Food security was assessed using a 2-item food insecurity screen in Spanish. The screening tool used 2-items from the US Department of Agriculture 18-item Household Food Security Survey [1], including “Within the past 12 months, we worried about whether our food would run out before we got money to buy more” and “Within the past 12 months, the food we bought just didn’t last and we didn’t have money to get more”, with response options of often true or sometimes true vs. never true. Hager et al. reported that an affirmative response (often true or sometimes true) to at least one of these two questions showed 97% sensitivity and 83% specificity for detection of household food insecurity [32].
Participation in food assistance programs

Current participation in any of the following food or financial assistance programs was assessed (yes/no): Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants & Children (WIC), Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program-Education (SNAP-Ed), free or reduced-price meals at school, and the Minnesota Family Investment Program. Ever having participated in any of the following nutrition education programs was assessed (yes/no): SNAP-Ed, Expanded Food and Nutrition Education Program (EFNEP), WIC, and Cooking Matters.

Physical home food environment

Questions about home food availability and accessibility were adapted from a previous cross-sectional study of adolescents (Project EAT - Eating Among Teens) [33]. Home food availability was assessed by summing coded responses to six questions regarding availability of fruits, vegetables, junk foods, soda pop, sweets, and potato chips (coded as 1 = never, 2 = sometimes, 3 = usually, 4 = always) [33, 34]. Scores for fruit and vegetable (FV) availability were the sum of the coded responses to the questions about availability of fruits and vegetables. Home FV accessibility was assessed by summing coded responses for three questions regarding accessibility of FV (coded as 0 = never, 1 = sometimes, 2 = usually, 3 = always) [33, 35]. Summed coded responses were dichotomized as < median value vs. ≥ median value. Project EAT variable information was reported with α = 0.61 for home FV availability and α = 0.78 for home FV accessibility among a study population including 3.5% Hispanic participants [35].

Meal frequency with the youth participant

A variable of meal frequency with the youth program participant (coded as 0 = never, 1 = 1–2 times, 2 = 3–4 times, 3 = 5–6 times, 4 = 7 times, 5 = > 7 times) was adapted from Project EAT [36]. This variable was a family meal patterns variable with α = 0.78 in a sample population including 3.5% Hispanic participants [33].

Environmental factors

Neighborhood safety was assessed based on agreement with two questions adapted from Saelens et al. [37] regarding perceptions of whether the crime rate makes walking in their neighborhood unsafe during the day and at night (coded as 1 = strongly disagree to 4 = strongly agree). Neighborhood safety was described as a crime safety variable with test-retest reliability r = 0.80 in a sample population which was 9.3% Hispanic/Latino [37]. Family stress was assessed using three questions about the importance of family relations, conflict between personal and family goals, and individualism among family members (coded as 1 = not at all worried to 5 = extremely worried). This measure was adapted from Cervantes et al. as a cultural/family conflict variable with test-retest reliability of r = 0.86 in a Hispanic population [38]. These variables were represented by the sum of the coded responses, dichotomized as < median value vs. ≥ median value.

Statistical analysis

Demographic data were described using means and standard deviations (mean ± SD) or frequencies for categories for fathers and mothers. To determine the differences in demographic characteristics between fathers and mothers, t-test or Fisher’s exact tests were conducted.

Associations between food security and predictor variables were examined among fathers and mothers separately using logistic regression models. Preliminary analyses were conducted to assess appropriateness of variables for inclusion in regression models. Fisher’s exact tests were used to determine differences in socio-demographic characteristics, Participation in food assistance programs, physical home food environment, and environmental factors by food security status. Predictor variables that showed differences by either fathers’ or mothers’ report of food insecurity were considered for inclusion in the regression models (p < 0.15), including neighborhood safety, household income, current participation in food assistance programs, home FV availability, and family stress. Interactions between predictor variables were tested. The logistic regression models were created for fathers and mothers separately. In the models, food security status served as the dependent variable. Neighborhood safety, household income, current participation in food assistance programs, home FV availability, and family stress were included as independent variables based on the preliminary analyses and tests for multicollinearity.

A cross-tabulation of household food security, as reported by fathers and mothers, was examined to evaluate concordance within dyads using Fisher’s exact test. Father and mother dyads were dichotomized into perceived concordance or discordance regarding food security status. Concordance was defined as dyads where both the father and mother reported food security status or both the father and mother reported food insecurity status. The predictors for dyad concordance in reports of food security status were determined using concordance or discordance with distinct characteristics of the variables within dyads. Fisher’s exact tests were used to determine appropriateness of covariates for inclusion in the logistic regression model. Predictors that showed differences by dyads reported food security status were considered for inclusion in the regression models (p <
including home food availability, home FV accessibility, acculturation score group, and ever participated in nutrition education. Interactions between predictor variables were tested showing that home food availability was significantly associated with home FV accessibility, therefore, the home food availability variable was not included in the model. Logistic regression analysis was used to evaluate the predictors for discordance in reports of food security within dyads.

The level of significance was set at \( p < 0.05 \). Statistical analysis was performed using R version 3.5.1 and SPSS for Windows, version 26.

**Results**

**Participant characteristics**

Similarities among fathers and mothers were observed regarding education level and household income (Table 1). About one-third had less than a high school education and about one-half had a household income less than $25,000. Significant differences were observed between fathers and mothers in age (fathers were slightly older than mothers), BMI (mothers had slightly higher BMIs than fathers), employment status (more fathers were employed full time than mothers), and acculturation score group (more mothers were in the lower acculturation score group than fathers) (all \( p < 0.05 \)) (Table 1). Of participants, 93% of fathers and 91% of mothers reported being married.

Approximately half of participants reported being food insecure (39% for fathers and 55% for mothers) with significantly more mothers than fathers reporting food insecurity (\( p < 0.05 \)) (Table 1). The majority of dyads reported discordant food security or insecurity status (76% of dyads, \( n = 81 \)), while reports from 25 dyads were discordant. In the dyads who reported discordant food security status, 44 dyads reported food security, and 37 dyads reported insecurity. In the dyads who reported discordant food security status, 21 mothers reported food insecurity status while fathers did not and four fathers reported food insecurity status while mothers did not.

**Factors associated with reporting food insecurity by fathers and mothers**

The number of both mothers and fathers perceiving their neighborhood to be safe vs. unsafe differed by reports of food security or insecurity status (Table 2). Household income and current participation in food assistance programs differed significantly between fathers who reported food security and food insecurity (\( p < 0.05 \)). Home FV availability and family stress differed between mothers who reported food security vs. food insecurity (\( p < 0.05 \)) (Table 2). After assessment for appropriateness of variables for inclusion in regression models, neighborhood safety, household income, current participation in food assistance programs, home FV availability, and family stress were included as covariates in the logistic regression models (Table 3). Adjusted odds of reporting food insecurity were significantly higher for fathers who perceived their neighborhood was

| Table 1: Demographic characteristics and food security status of 106 father-mother dyads |
|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| Father | Mother | \( p \) |
|---|---|---|
| \( n \) | 106 | 106 |
| **Age, mean ± SD** | | |
| 43.0 ± 7.6 | 40.1 ± 6.4 | < 0.01 |
| **BMI, mean ± SD** | | |
| 29.5 ± 3.4 | 31.2 ± 5.2 | 0.01 |
| **Educational attainment, n (%)** | | |
| < High school | 36 (34) | 38 (37) |
| ≥ High school | 69 (65) | 66 (63) |
| **Employment status, n (%)** | | |
| full/self-employed | 90 (88) | 46 (45) |
| not full/self-employed | 12 (12) | 56 (55) |
| **Household income, n (%)** | | |
| < $25,000 | 41 (41) | 41 (45) |
| ≥ $25,000 | 59 (59) | 50 (55) |
| **Acculturation score group, n (%)** | | |
| Low | 42 (41) | 71 (69) |
| Middle | 44 (43) | 23 (22) |
| High | 17 (16) | 9 (8) |
| **Marital status, n (%)** | | |
| Married | 96 (93) | 95 (91) |
| Not married | 7 (7) | 9 (9) |
| **Food security, n (%)** | | |
| Food insecure | 41 (39) | 58 (55) |
| Food secure | 65 (61) | 48 (45) |

\( p \)-value derived by \( t \)-test for age and BMI and Fisher’s exact test for educational attainment, employment status, household income, acculturation score group, marital status, and food security. SD Standard deviation, BMI Body mass index.
Table 2 Frequency of food security status by predictor variables (106 Latino fathers and mothers)

| Predictor variables | Fathers | | Mothers | |
|---------------------|---------|--|---------|--|
|                     | Food secure (n = 65) n (%) | Food insecure (n = 41) n (%) | p | Food secure (n = 48) n (%) | Food insecure (n = 58) n (%) | p |
| Age                 | Younger | 24 (37) | 16 (39) | 1.00 | 24 (50) | 25 (43) | 0.56 |
|                     | Older   | 40 (62) | 25 (60) | 24 (50) | 33 (56) |
| Educational attainment | < High school | 20 (31) | 16 (39) | 0.53 | 19 (39) | 19 (33) | 0.68 |
|                     | ≥ High school | 44 (68) | 25 (60) | 29 (60) | 37 (66) |
| Employment status   | Full-time | 59 (90) | 31 (83) | 0.35 | 24 (51) | 22 (40) | 0.32 |
|                     | Not full-time | 6 (9) | 6 (16) | 23 (48) | 33 (60) |
| Household income    | < $25,000 | 17 (28) | 24 (60) | < 0.01 | 17 (40) | 24 (48) | 0.53 |
|                     | ≥ $25,000 | 43 (71) | 16 (40) | 25 (59) | 25 (51) |
| Acculturation score group | Low | 26 (42) | 16 (25) | 0.86 | 33 (33) | 38 (79) | 0.37 |
|                     | Middle | 25 (25) | 19 (30) | 9 (9) | 14 (29) |
|                     | High   | 11 (11) | 6 (9) | 6 (6) | 3 (6) |
| Current participation in food assistance programs a | Never | 42 (64) | 17 (41) | 0.03 | 25 (52) | 25 (44) | 0.56 |
|                     | ≥ 1 time | 23 (35) | 24 (58) | 23 (47) | 31 (55) |
| Ever participated in nutrition education b | Never | 32 (49) | 13 (33) | 0.15 | 16 (34) | 13 (23) | 0.27 |
|                     | ≥ 1 time | 33 (50) | 26 (66) | 30 (65) | 43 (76) |
| Home food availability c | Lower availability | 27 (42) | 21 (56) | 0.22 | 12 (26) | 20 (36) | 0.29 |
|                     | Higher availability | 37 (57) | 16 (43) | 34 (73) | 35 (63) |
| Home FV availability | Lower availability | 27 (41) | 18 (46) | 0.69 | 15 (31) | 36 (64) | < 0.01 |
|                     | Higher availability | 38 (58) | 21 (53) | 33 (68) | 20 (35) |
| Home FV accessibility | Lower availability | 20 (31) | 12 (30) | 1.00 | 12 (26) | 23 (40) | 0.15 |
|                     | Higher accessibility | 43 (68) | 27 (69) | 34 (73) | 34 (59) |
| Neighborhood safety | Safe | 34 (53) | 10 (26) | 0.01 | 27 (56) | 18 (31) | 0.02 |
|                     | Unsafe | 29 (46) | 28 (73) | 21 (43) | 39 (68) |
| Family stress       | Less stress | 35 (54) | 16 (39) | 0.16 | 25 (52) | 16 (28) | 0.02 |
|                     | More stress | 29 (45) | 25 (60) | 23 (47) | 41 (71) |

*p-value derived by Fisher’s exact test

a WIC, SNAP-Ed, free or reduced-price meals at school, and the Minnesota Family Investment Program; b SNAP-Ed, EFNEP, WIC, and Cooking Matters; c Food included fruits, vegetables, junk foods, soda pop, sweets, and potato chips

Table 3 Associations between food insecurity status and household environmental factors (106 Latino father-mother dyads)

| Predictors of food insecurity | Fathers (n = 92) | | Mothers (n = 88) | |
|-------------------------------|------------------|--|------------------|--|
|                              | Adjusted odds ratio [95% confidence interval] | | | |
| Neighborhood safety (ref: safer) | 3.7* [1.3, 10.3] | | 4.1** [1.5, 11.4] |
| Household income (ref: > = $25000) | 3.2* [1.2, 8.2] | | 1.6 [0.6, 4.2] |
| Current participation in food assistance programs a (ref: at least 1 program) | 2.1 [0.8, 5.5] | | 1.9 [0.7, 5.2] |
| Home FV availability (ref: higher) | 1.1 [0.4, 3.0] | | 5.5** [2.0, 15.4] |
| Family stress (ref: less stress) | 2.0 [0.7, 5.2] | | 2.4 [0.9, 6.5] |
| Cox-Snell R² | 0.21 | | 0.25 |

*a WIC, SNAP-Ed, free or reduced-price meals at school, and the Minnesota Family Investment Program; ** p < 0.05, *** p < 0.01

FV fruit and vegetable, SNAP-Ed Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program-Education, EFNEP Expanded Food and Nutrition Education Program
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unsafe vs. safe (odds ratio (OR): 3.7) and had a lower vs.
higher household income (OR: 3.2). Adjusted odds of
reporting food insecurity were significantly higher for
mothers who perceived their neighborhood was unsafe
vs. safe (OR: 4.1) and reported lower vs. higher home
availability of FV (OR: 5.5).

Factors associated with dyad discordance in reporting
food security status
Differences within dyads in reported acculturation score
group, ever participated in nutrition education, home
food availability, and home FV accessibility tended to be
associated with dyad discordance in reporting food se-
curity status compared with other variables (p < 0.1)
(Table 4). After assessment for multicollinearity, dyad
discordances in reported acculturation score group, ever
participated in nutrition education, and home FV ac-
cessibility were included in logistic regression models as co-
variates. Adjusted odds of discordant dyad reports of
food insecurity were significantly higher for dyads with
discordant responses regarding previous nutrition educa-
tion and home FV accessibility (OR: 3.4 and 3.1, respec-
tively), compared to dyads who reported concordant
responses regarding previous nutrition education and
home FV accessibility (Table 5). Twenty-eight father-
mother dyads reported discordance in ever participated
in nutrition education. Among them, 21 dyads reported
that fathers had never participated in nutrition education
but mothers participated more than once.

Discussion
The prevalence of household food insecurity was re-
ported more often by Latina mothers compared with La-
tino fathers. Food security status was associated with
neighborhood safety in both fathers and mothers, and
with household income and home FV availability in fa-
thers and mothers, respectively. Dyad discordance in
reporting food security status occurred in 24% of the
dyads and was related to dyad differences in reported
frequencies of ever participated in nutrition education
and perceived home FV accessibility.

The association between neighborhood safety and food
insecurity was observed in mothers and fathers, provid-
ing evidence that the previous relationship observed be-
tween neighborhood safety and food insecurity among
females [28] was also observed among males. Beliefs
about neighborhood safety based on threats of crime or
violence may increase perceptions of the difficulty of
accessing resources [39], including those that influence
food security such as community gardens, food pantries,
or discount grocery stores. Therefore, in the current
study, a perceived lack of neighborhood safety among
both fathers and mothers may have been considered a
barrier to accessing food resources, which contributed to
assessment of food insecurity.

Household income is a well-known variable associated
with food security status among both males and females
[4, 12, 40]. The current study showed that low house-
hold income was related to reporting food insecurity
only by fathers within dyads which may indicate that fa-
thers have greater responsibility for household income
as primary wage earners or that mothers may have less
income information to consider [4, 41].

Low home FV availability was related to food insecur-
ity only in mothers. This finding is consistent with two
prior studies, one which observed a significant associ-
ation between food insecurity and healthy food availabil-
ity among a study population which was 86% female
[26], and another which reported that food insecurity
was associated with home FV availability among females
[42]. Compared with fathers, mothers may be more
aware of FV prices, which are generally more expensive
than foods of lower nutritional value [43]. Mothers may
also be more aware of the supply of FV at home based
on meal planning and food preparation responsibilities,
therefore more aware of how household food security
status is related to home food availability than fathers.
While fathers and mothers would share information
about neighborhood safety, they might have different in-
formation related to foods, resulting in different factors
associated with food security status.

Dyad discordance in reporting food security status was
associated with discordance in reporting two variables,
ever participated in nutrition education and home FV
accessibility. Discordance in reporting participation in
nutrition education was derived from the dyads where
fathers had never participated in nutrition education
while mothers had participated, rather than the dyads
where mothers had never participated while fathers had
participated. Participation in SNAP and SNAP-Ed were
related to improvement in recipients’ food security sta-
tus in other studies [21, 22]. Therefore, participation
among fathers in such programs may provide fathers
with food resource management skills, thereby improv-
ing home availability of healthy foods and stretching
food dollars to address financial concerns, resulting in
an increased number of dyads concordant in reported
food security status as well as improvement in food
security.

Concordance in reporting home FV accessibility was
associated with concordance in food security status. Ac-
cessibility may provide visible reminders of FV availabil-
ity to both fathers and mothers and support their
common recognition of food security. Previous studies
involving primarily White women [44] and Black, White
and Hispanic women [27] reported an association be-
tween home food accessibility and food security, while
Table 4 Frequency of concordance/discordance in predictor variables by concordance/discordance in dyad-reported food security (106 Latino dyads)

| Predictor variables: categories | Concordance in predictor variables | Dyads reported food security status<sup>a</sup> |  |  |  |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|---|---|---|
|                               | Discordant (n = 25) n (%)          | Concordant (n = 81) n (%)                      | p  |  |  |
| Age: younger or older         | 4 (16)                             | 21 (25)                                       | 0.42 |  |  |
| Educational attainment: < high school or ≥ high school | 7 (29)                             | 32 (40)                                       | 0.35 |  |  |
| Employment status: not full-time or full-time       | 12 (52)                            | 39 (51)                                       | 1.00 |  |  |
| Household income: < $25,00 or ≥ $25,000               | 7 (35)                             | 16 (23)                                       | 0.39 |  |  |
| Acculturation score group: low, middle, or high       | 13 (56)                            | 26 (33)                                       | 0.06 |  |  |
| Current participation in food assistance programs<sup>b</sup>: never or ≥ 1 time | 9 (37)                             | 18 (22)                                       | 0.18 |  |  |
| Ever participated in nutrition education<sup>c</sup>: never or ≥ 1 time | 11 (44)                            | 17 (22)                                       | 0.07 |  |  |
| Home food availability<sup>d</sup>: lower or higher availability | 14 (58)                            | 25 (34)                                       | 0.06 |  |  |
| Home FV availability: lower or higher availability     | 8 (33)                             | 23 (29)                                       | 0.80 |  |  |
| Home FV accessibility: lower or higher accessibility   | 16 (66)                            | 55 (70)                                       | 0.09 |  |  |
| Neighborhood safety: safe or unsafe                    | 7 (28)                             | 25 (32)                                       | 0.81 |  |  |
| Family stress: less or more stress                     | 10 (43)                            | 33 (40)                                       | 0.82 |  |  |

<sup>a</sup> Concordance was defined as dyads where both the father and mother reported food security status or both the father and mother reported food insecurity status; <sup>b</sup> WIC, SNAP-Ed, free or reduced-price meals at school, and the Minnesota Family Investment Program; <sup>c</sup> SNAP-Ed, EFNEP, WIC, and Cooking Matters; <sup>d</sup> Food included fruits, vegetables, junk foods, soda pop, sweets, and potato chips.

Table 5 Associations between discordance in dyad-reported food security status and household environmental factors compared to dyad-reported concordant food security status (88 Latino dyads)

| Predictor variables: categories | Odds ratio [95% confidence interval] |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Acculturation score group (ref; concordant) | 2.4 [0.8, 7.0] |
| Ever participated in nutrition education<sup>a</sup> (ref; concordant) | 3.4* [1.1, 10.0] |
| Home FV accessibility (ref; concordant) | 3.1* [1.0, 9.4] |
| Cox-Snell R² | 0.13 |

<sup>a</sup> SNAP-Ed, EFNEP, WIC, and Cooking Matters; <sup>*</sup> p < 0.05

FV fruit and vegetable, SNAP-Ed Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program-Education, EFNEP Expanded Food and Nutrition Education Program, WIC Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants & Children.
the current study did not show an association between FV accessibility and food security among Latino fathers or mothers. The relationship between home food security and home food accessibility needs to be studied further by gender, healthfulness of foods being assessed for accessibility, and locations.

This study contained four main limitations. First, we used a 2-item food insecurity screening instrument to assess food insecurity, which is a limited measure of food insecurity. Hager et al. reported that the sensitivity and specificity of the 2-item food insecurity screen were 93 and 82%, respectively among caregivers of children in urban medical centers who were mainly Black (54%) and Hispanic (30%) [32]. Harrison et al. further reported that the sensitivity and specificity were 98 and 91%, respectively among adult general medicine outpatients who were mainly Black (51%) and White (42%) [45]. While the 2-item screening instrument was shown to be valid and acceptable in medical settings, the relationship between reports of food insecurity based on the 2-item food insecurity screen and the more comprehensive USDA 18-item Food Security module [1] needs to be evaluated among the Latino population. Second, the eligibility criteria for fathers included eating meals with the youth participants three times/week, whereas frequency of meals with the youth participant was not a criterion for eligibility for mothers. However, meal frequency with the youth participants at baseline was higher among mothers than among fathers. Third, low-income participants were recruited in a limited geographic area, which may not represent the Latino population in the U.S. Because the current participants were interested in a community-based intervention program to improve energy balance-related behaviors of their child, selection bias may have occurred. Finally, the question about participation in food assistance programs was based on current participation, while the food insecurity screen asked about conditions over the past year. Therefore, the perceptions of participants regarding food insecurity may not have matched the timeframe when fathers and mothers were participating in food assistance programs.

Conclusions
Among Latino fathers and mothers, three factors were associated with food security including neighborhood safety in both fathers and mothers, household income only in fathers, and home FV availability only in mothers. Differences in associated factors may be dependent on fathers vs. mothers having access to information about different household conditions related to food insecurity. Dyad discordance in reporting food security status was related to dyad differences in frequencies of ever participated in nutrition education and perceptions of home FV accessibility. Nutrition education for fathers may improve dyad discordance in assessment of food security because nutrition education may improve awareness of home food supplies, which may influence perceptions of adequacy. Further research on relationships between food security and maternal perceptions of home food accessibility is needed.

Abbreviations
BMI: Body mass index; FV: Fruit and vegetable; SD: Standard deviations; OR: Odds ratio; CI: Confidence interval; NHANES: National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey; WIC: Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants & Children; SNAP-Ed: Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program-Education; EFNEP: Expanded Food and Nutrition Education Program

Acknowledgements
The authors thank all families, Extension staff and Educators, and community partners who contributed to the development and implementation of this program.

Authors’ contributions
MR, GAHC, SD and YZ were responsible for study design and implementation. MR, AB, YZ, SD and AORP collected the data. SNS analyzed the data and wrote the first draft with contributions from MR. All authors reviewed and commented on subsequent drafts of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding
This project was supported by the Agriculture and Food Research Initiative (Grant no. 2016–68001-24921) from the USDA National Institute of Food and Agriculture. The funder had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Availability of data and materials
The data used in the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declarations
Ethics approval and consent to participate
The Padres Preparados, Jóvenes Saludables study was performed according to the Declaration of Helsinki and all procedures were approved by the University of Minnesota Institutional Review Board Human Subjects Protection Committee (ID Number: 511S80707). All participants provided written informed consent prior to participation. This clinical study is retrospectively registered in the ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT03469752 (08/03/2018).

Consent for publication
Not applicable.

Competing interests
The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details
1. Department of Food Science and Nutrition, University of Minnesota, 1334 Eckles Ave., St. Paul, MN 55304, USA; 2. Extension Center for Family Development, University of Minnesota, 1420 Eckles Ave., St. Paul, MN 55304, USA; 3. Department of Child and Adolescent Health and Social Medicine, School of Public Health, Medical College of Soochow University, 199 Ren Ai Road, Jiangsu 215123 Suzhou, China; 4. School of Public Health, University of Maryland, 4200 Valley Dr. 1142E, College Park, MD 20742, USA.

Received: 30 November 2020 Accepted: 30 April 2021
Published online: 13 May 2021

References
1. Coleman-Jensen A, Gregory CA, Rabbitt MP. Food Security in the U.S. - Measurement. United States Department of Agriculture Economic Research Service. 2019. https://www.ers.usda.gov/topics/food-nutrition-assistance/food-security-in-the-us/measurement.aspx. Accessed 1 Sep 2020.
food security. J Nutr. 1999;129(3):687–92. https://doi.org/10.1093/jn/129.3.687.

41. McIntosh WA, Zey M. Women as gatekeepers of food consumption: a sociological critique. Food Foodways. 1989;3(4):317–32. https://doi.org/10.1080/07409710.1989.9961959.

42. Nunnery DL, Labban JD, Dharod JM. Interrelationship between food security status, home availability of variety of fruits and vegetables and their dietary intake among low-income pregnant women. Public Health Nutr. 2018;21(4):807–15. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1368980017003032.

43. Darmon N, Drewnowski A. Contribution of food prices and diet cost to socioeconomic disparities in diet quality and health: a systematic review and analysis. Nutr Rev. 2015;73(10):643–60. https://doi.org/10.1093/nutrit/nuv027.

44. Atoloye AT, Dunward C. Being motivated by nutrition is associated with healthy home food environment of supplemental nutrition assistance program (SNAP) recipients. J Nutr Educ Behav. 2019;51(1):101–6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jneb.2018.07.013.

45. Harrison C, Goldstein JN, Gbadebo A, Papas M. Validation of a 2-item food insecurity screen among adult general medicine outpatients. Popul Health Manag. 2020. https://doi.org/10.1089/pop.2020.0183.

**Publisher’s Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.