This study explores the potential of photogrammetric datasets and remote sensing methods for the assessment of a meteorological catastrophe that occurred in Ordu, Turkey in August 2018. During the event, flash floods and several landslides caused losses of lives, evacuation of people from their homes, collapses of infrastructure and large constructions, destruction of agricultural fields, and many other economic losses. The meteorological conditions before and during the flood were analyzed here and compared with long-term records. The flood extent and the landslide susceptibility were investigated by using multisensor and multitemporal data. Sentinel-1 SAR (Synthetic Aperture Radar), Sentinel-2 optical data, and aerial photogrammetric datasets were employed for the investigations using machine learning techniques. The changes were assessed both at a local and regional level and evaluated together with available damage reports. The analysis of the rainfall data recorded during the two weeks before the floods and landslides in heavily affected regions shows that the rainfall continued for consecutive hours with an amount of up to 68 mm/hour. The regional level classification results obtained from Sentinel-1 and Sentinel-2 data by using the random forest (RF) method exhibit 97% accuracy for the flood class. The landslide susceptibility prediction performance from aerial photogrammetric datasets was 92% represented by the Area Under Curve (AUC) value provided by the RF method. The results presented here show that considering the occurrence frequency and immense damages after such events, the use of novel remote sensing technologies and spatial analysis methods is unavoidable for disaster mitigation efforts and for the monitoring of environmental effects. Although the increasing number of earth observation satellites complemented with airborne imaging sensors is capable of ensuring data collection requirement with diverse spectral, spatial, and temporal resolutions, further studies are required to automate the data processing, efficient information extraction, and data fusion and also to increase the accuracy of the results.

1. Introduction

Natural hazards such as floods, earthquakes, and landslides occur at various frequencies on the Earth. Over the last twenty years, 7,348 natural hazard-related disasters were recorded worldwide by EM-DAT (Emergency Events Database), one of the foremost international databases of such events. In total, disasters claimed approximately 1.23 million lives, an average of 60,000 per annum, and affected a total of over 4 billion people (many on more than one occasion); and these numbers represent a sharp increase in the number of recorded disaster events when compared with the previous twenty years [1]. With increasing anthropogenic activities and climate change, the number and effects of landslide and flooding events are also rising. Breugem et al. [2] discussed the influences of global warming on heavy precipitation events and stated that they have been intensified and can be a fingerprint of the impacts of climate change. Such extreme events are expected to occur more frequently due to global warming [3] and pose risk for severe floods [4]. The return
period of natural hazards in Turkey is quite high due to the geological and geomorphological conditions (e.g., high seismicity, young and steep topography, being climatological transition area, and weak lithological elements). Due to the rapid urbanization and industrialization, which expand urban areas and increase transportation structures, multidisciplinary research projects should be carried out in order to reduce the losses of properties and lives sourced from natural disasters.

The extreme precipitation events have short durations. In order to predict the precipitation extreme accurately and to respond to changes in the risk of floods adequately, their monitoring and modeling with high spatial and temporal resolution are needed [2, 5]. Investigations on the conditions of occurrence, period, magnitude, and severity of a natural hazard and identification of possible consequences are crucial to prevent future hazards from turning into natural disasters. Conventionally, such studies have been performed by fieldwork of geologists and geomorphologists (e.g., [6]), while they should be performed without interruption to reduce the investment costs, to increase the service life, to ensure sustainable environmental protection, and to increase the living quality. Examples to the investigations on various landslides triggered by rainfall exist in the literature (e.g., [7–9]). However, the data collection in large areas and mountainous regions is time-consuming, difficult, and even impossible. In addition, proper site selection for settlements and transportation structures is an exhaustive process and can be nonviable with conventional methods. Therefore, the use of geospatial technologies, and in particular remote sensing and photogrammetric techniques to obtain fast and accurate results, is needed.

Flood events are often caused by heavy rainfall, snow melting, dam failure, and lake or river overflows [10–12]. The factors such as lack of proper infrastructure development, rapid urbanization, and insufficient consideration of environmental and climate conditions cause the flood hazard to be more destructive [13–16]. Since the consequences of floods depend highly on the location, the extent, and the depth of the flood, it is very important to know the surface properties and to evaluate all parameters in the event of flood.

Landslide is also one of the most common and destructive natural hazards. As an example, a total of 23,041 landslides were enlisted in Turkey between 1950 and 2018 [17]. It is necessary to generate landslide inventories and to identify landslide-prone areas, in order to support regional land use and infrastructure planning and to increase the awareness for natural hazards and risks. Therefore, the preparation of accurate and up-to-date landslide susceptibility and hazard maps is of great importance for landslide hazard mitigation efforts. Among commonly observed triggering factors for landslides, extreme precipitation and earthquakes can be listed (see, e.g., [18–21]), which also cause debris slides and flows (e.g., [22]).

Although the different geodata collection platforms (i.e., spaceborne, airborne, and terrestrial) provide great flexibility in data acquisition times and viewing geometries, and the sensor types are diverse (e.g., SAR (Synthetic Aperture Radar), optical, Light Detection and Ranging (LiDAR), Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS), and smartphones), new algorithmic approaches can exploit their potential by ensuring efficient data integration. Thus, the efficiency and the reliability of the results can be increased for many applications including environmental monitoring and disaster management. Earth observation (EO) data from space is very useful in various stages of disaster mitigation efforts by providing information without direct contact with the hazard-prone region. Satellite optical and radar sensors are primary data providers for the flood assessment and monitoring. The EO datasets obtained from optical and SAR sensors are often employed for flood extent mapping in the literature. When these two types of sensors are compared, identification of flood extent from optical images is easier due to the different radiometric responses of water surfaces [23]. Various techniques such as single-band thresholding, spectral water indices [24], segmentation and classification [25, 26], and fusion [27] have been applied for flood extraction from optical data in the literature. Since optical imaging is affected by the cloud cover, which limits their usability for flood mapping, they are used for postflood analysis mostly [28]. With the increased temporal and spatial availability of SAR data, this technology has become a valuable information source for flood mapping. SAR systems penetrate the clouds and do not need sunlight for operation; and thus, they can provide important advantages in obtaining accurate flood information. Different methods have been used for flood mapping using SAR data in the literature, such as visual interpretation [29], histogram thresholding [30, 31], supervised classification [32, 33], automatic segmentation [34], region growing [35], change detection [36], and interferometric SAR coherence [37]. Lal et al. [38] included also rainfall data together with SAR and optical satellite data for evaluating extreme flood hazard events in Kerala, India. On the other hand, as a high-resolution geodata source, aerial photogrammetric techniques can provide the required timely 3D datasets for regional landslide susceptibility and hazard assessments with centimetre or decimeter level accuracy. Unlike optical satellite images, aerial stereo images can be taken subsequent to a hazard with better flexibility for the time of acquisition and are affected less by clouds. However, EO satellites can provide data regularly with a large swath, such as Sentinel-1 and Sentinel-2 missions of the European Space Agency (ESA [39]).

Landslides and floods have been frequently encountered in the Black Sea Region of Turkey [7, 9, 40–43], which cause serious loss of lives and properties. In August 2018, a catastrophic meteorological event in Ordu Province located in the Black Sea Region occurred, which resulted in flash floods and triggered landslides. Consequently, the flash flood and the landslides caused several deaths and injuries. Many infrastructure elements, such as bridges, roads, and houses, were collapsed or damaged by the flood and/or the landslides. The landslides triggered by the meteorological event caused serious damages on hazelnut gardens, buildings, and enforced evacuations of villages. The aims of the present study are to investigate different aspects of this catastrophic meteorological event by employing photogrammetric techniques, to demonstrate the usability of multisensor remote sensing data for the pre- and postdisaster assessments, and
to produce landslide susceptibility and flood extent maps of the selected substudy areas. The geological and geomorphological characteristics of the area were investigated with the help of photogrammetric datasets to assess the landslide-prone regions in a subpart of the study site. The potential of the Sentinel-1 and Sentinel-2 datasets, which were obtained under wet and dry weather conditions, was investigated to map and to analyze the flood event. In addition, aerial photogrammetric flight datasets were processed in 3D to prepare the landslide inventory and to produce landslide susceptibility map in a part of the study area. With the approach developed here, data of the past could be related and interpreted, and practical and reliable data collection and processing methods were proposed for the future that allows monitoring of the changes. Additionally, the results obtained from the present study can be used for land-use planning and suitable site selection works for infrastructures; and hence, this will contribute to natural disaster mitigation efforts.

2. Study Area

The study area is located in Ordu Province and covers parts of Terme and Carsamba districts of Samsun Province as well. Both provinces are located in the northern part of Turkey, in Black Sea Region. Figure 1 shows the location of the study area, the subareas used for the evaluation of the results, and the locations and altitudes of meteorological stations. Ordu Province has a long coastal part (ca. 100 km) with mostly sand beaches. A wet climate dominates in the region with rainfall throughout the year. There are 36 major and minor streams in Ordu, and the most commonly observed natural hazards in the area between 1950-2011 were mass movements (i.e., landslide and rockfall) (88%) and floods (9%) [44].

The stratigraphic sequence begins with the Late Cretaceous-aged dacite, rhyolite, rhyodacite, and undifferentiated andesite, pyroclastics, and continues with Late Cretaceous-Eocene aged clastics and carbonates in the study area (Figure 2). Paleocene-Eocene aged granitoids intrude into Late Cretaceous-Eocene aged units in the region. Volcanic rocks developed due to the volcanic activity during the Middle Eocene and Late Eocene periods and are also observed in the study area. Volcanic rocks in humid climatic environments are highly sensitive to weathering. In the region, a thick regolith zone on the volcanic rocks exists, and this zone is prone to landsliding. The weak sedimentary rocks in the region are also susceptible to landsliding. The Eocene aged clastics and carbonates are observed together with the Middle-Late Eocene aged volcanics and sedimentary rocks [45].

According to the damage report published by the Turkish State Meteorological Service, stream beds overflowed due to heavy rainfall in the Ordu province on August 02, 2018, and flooded in low-rise buildings. Due to heavy rainfall, transportation was disrupted in some areas and partial collapses occurred on highways. Cevizdere District of Uney overflowed and destroyed two bridges and interrupted the transportation between Ordu and Samsun cities for some time (Figures 3(a) and 3(b)). The flooding caused damages in the basements of hundreds of buildings (Figures 3(c) and 3(d)).

Ordu area is one of the most important hazelnut producers in the world. Hazelnut harvests in the towns of Ikizce, Caybaş, Unye, Fatsa, and Carsamba were flooded, and heavy damages occurred in hazelnut gardens and other agricultural lands (Figures 3(e) and 3(f)). The three days of almost non-stop precipitation in Ikizce district was classified as “violent rain” according to the Glossary of Meteorology [47]. Landslides occurred in the region as a result of excessive and prolonged rainfall. One person died due to the landslide. It also caused damages on the roads and in the villages as well (Figures 3(g) and 3(h)).

3. Materials and Methods

A methodological workflow is proposed here to assess the landslide susceptibility and the flood extent based on the availability and the characteristics of the existing geospatial datasets. The overall methodological workflow of the study given in Figure 4 consists of three main sections: flood extent mapping by using SAR and optical remote sensing data, landslide inventory and susceptibility map production using high-resolution aerial photogrammetric datasets, and the statistical analysis of short and long-term meteorological data. In the first part, meteorological data provided by the Turkish State Meteorological Service for a total of 12 stations in Samsun and Ordu Provinces were analyzed. In the second part, Sentinel-1 SAR data and Sentinel-2 optical data were used for the generation of flood extent maps. In the last part, aerial photogrammetric images were used to produce landslide susceptibility maps. Details on each part are given in the following subsections.

3.1. Analysis of Meteorological Data. Precipitation data of 12 stations were analyzed to comprehend the meteorological conditions of the two weeks of extreme rainfall period, in which floods occurred. The locations and the altitudes of the stations are shown in Figure 1. The altitudes of the meteorological stations range between 5 m and 790 m. Among those, Ordu and Uney Meteorological Stations are the oldest and have records since 1959 except for a few years of gaps (no measurement available). The yearly precipitations of both stations are presented in Figure 5 including long-term averages. The average annual precipitation value of Ordu is 1045.2 mm while that of Uney is 1175.3 mm. From Figure 5, it can be seen that although the yearly precipitation value of Ordu is close to the long-term average for 2018, Unye has one of the highest values (1527.6 mm) in its history for this year.

The monthly total precipitation values in 2018 are given in Figure 6 together with long-term monthly averages calculated based on 61 and 60 years measurements between 1959 and 2019 for Ordu and Uney, respectively. Again, although Ordu values exhibit high similarity to the long-term averages, Uney monthly precipitation values are significantly higher especially for July (2.5 times), August (1.9 times), and September (2.8 times). It is evident that the heavy rainfall affected the western parts of the area considered in the study.

Two flood events were recorded in the region on August 2nd and 8th, with the latter one being much more
destructive. Daily precipitation values between 1 and 15 August 2018 are provided in Figure 7 cumulatively. Extreme precipitation on the days of 8th and 9th of August is clearly visible in the Figure especially for Caybasi-Ikizce area, Persembe, Terme, Fatsa, Unye, and Salipazari districts. Very high hourly rainfall for the same districts was observed for consecutive hours (Figure 8). When the rainfall data is closely inspected, the higher rainfall values were recorded at the western parts of the study area. In addition, the intense rainfall occurred at higher altitudes. Rainfall at high altitudes caused floods at low altitudes and damaged the settlements and infrastructure here. In addition, the intense rainfall saturated the slope material and triggered the landslides. Especially, the landslides were triggered by August 8, 2018, rainfall because some of the August 2, 2018, rainfall infiltrated and saturated the regolith and the weak zones on the slopes; and the August 8, 2018, rainfall triggered the saturated slope material. In addition, the maximum rainfall intensity of the August 2, 2018, rainfall was about 50 mm/hour while that of the August 8, 2018, rainfall was about 70 mm (Figure 8). With the prolonged heavy rainfall on August 8 and 9, 2018, floods and landslides were triggered at the same time, which increased the destructive effects of the floods at the lower altitudes.

3.2. Flood Extent Mapping by Employing Optical and Radar Remote Sensing Data. Since the visual interpretation of optical data is an easier task when compared with SAR data, a feature level data fusion approach was employed here for flood extent mapping. Since both datasets were geometrically aligned (coregistered), the training areas (polygons) collected from Sentinel-2 images were utilized also for Sentinel-1 data. Sentinel-1B SAR and Sentinel-2A/2B data obtained from ESA Copernicus Open Access Hub [49] were used in this study for flood extent mapping using the Sentinel Application Platform (SNAP) Tool of ESA [50]. Sentinel-1 is a constellation composed of two satellites, i.e., Sentinel-1A and Sentinel-1B, operating at the same orbit with a joint revisit time of 6 days (12 days each) [51]. The SAR sensors acquire data from C-band with $\lambda = 5.7$ cm wavelength and have a swath-width of 250 km and spatial resolution of $5m \times 20m$ in the IW mode. The Sentinel-2 constellation contains Sentinel-2A and Sentinel-2B satellites, which are twins and has a joint revisit time of five days [52]. The MultiSpectral Instruments (MSI) aboard Sentinel-2 satellites takes images with pushbroom principle with a swath-width of 290 km. Each MSI has 13 spectral bands covering visible, red-edge, near-infrared (NIR), and short-wave infrared (SWIR) wavelengths with spatial resolutions ranging between 10 m and
The absolute geolocation specification for Sentinel-2 provided by ESA is 20 m. However, Pandžić et al. [53] reported even higher accuracy, which was better than 10 m, in their tests over Serbia and Austria.

The datasets used in the study intercept the during- and postdisaster conditions. Two Sentinel-1B datasets, DS1 and DS2, taken on August 10, 2018, were the closest available to the flood date and were obtained in interferometric wide (IW) swath mode with dual polarization (VV and VH). The Sentinel-2B MSI image (DS3) was acquired on August 9th during the flood and was partially cloudy. The Sentinel-2A MSI imagery (DS4) was taken on October 3, 2018, (after the flood) and is almost cloud-free. Level 2 products of Sentinel-2, which are terrain-corrected, were processed here. Both SAR and optical data were preprocessed before feature extraction for calibration, radiometric enhancement (e.g., speckle filtering and cloud masking), and the removal of geometric distortions and alignment differences (i.e., terrain correction, coregistration, and resampling). The Sentinel-2 data were resampled to 10 m in SNAP software using the bilinear interpolation method. Further details on the preprocessing steps applied here can be found in Tavus et al. [54].

Figure 2: Geological characteristics of the study area [46].
The study area is complex due to rugged topography and mixed land cover with inland water bodies (i.e., streams and rivers), urban settlements, open terrain, and agricultural and dense forest areas. Flood mapping using SAR data over hilly and mountainous areas has shortcomings due to the radar imaging principle, which cause geometric distortions such as layover, foreshortening, and shadows (no data areas). In addition, underwater vegetation and urban areas exhibit very complex signal returns due to multiple-bounce scattering. On the other hand, water surfaces can be easily detected due to low SAR backscattering. For these reasons, Polarimetric SAR (PoSAR) information was extracted and utilized together with SAR intensity information and with optical data for detecting flooded areas [54]. Single Look Complex (SLC) data of Sentinel-1 were used at the polarimetric decomposition stage. At this stage, the covariance matrix (C2) with a dimension of $2 \times 2$ method was extracted for polarimetric decomposition in SNAP. The matrix elements were postprocessed for despeckling with Improved Lee Sigma et al., 2009 [55]. The Lee sigma filter has been applied.

Figure 3: Some examples to the damages after Aug 8th, 2018, flood in Ordu (photo credits: TRT [48]).

Figure 4: Overall methodological workflow of the study.
in different studies and achieved successful results [56, 57] and was evaluated with different filter sizes by Tavus et al. [31] for flood extent mapping. Based on the visual checks, it was determined that 7×7 window size provided the best results. For these reasons, here, the Improved Lee Sigma filter, which solves the disadvantages of the Lee Sigma filter such as bias problem, was preferred and applied with 7×7 window size.

Finally, the SAR dataset was terrain-corrected and orthorectified by using the Range Doppler Terrain Correction algorithm before performing the classification (see Figure 4).

On the other hand, both Sentinel-2 images were employed for extracting additional features on the land cover of the study area including flooded areas and for selecting training areas (polygons) for supervised classification of five classes (agriculture, urban area, flooded area, forest, and permanent water). The training polygons for all classes except the flood were delineated in DS4. The areas with flood were selected in DS3, which is partially cloudy, and the floodwater was still visible in some regions. In addition to the intensity data obtained from all 13 bands of Sentinel-2 sensors, NDVI (normalized difference vegetation index) and MNDWI (modified normalized difference water index) information were generated to be used as supplementary features to improve the classification. The MNDWI yields to better separation of settlement areas from water and employs short-wave infrared (SWIR) band instead of near-infrared (NIR) when compared with the normalized difference water index (NDWI) [58]. Here, DS3 was used particularly to select training samples for the flooded areas. The training polygons contain 8,782 pixels in urban, 8,212 pixels in permanent water, 84,048 pixels in forest, 2,636 pixels in flood, and 75,745 pixels in agriculture classes.

The random forest (RF), which is an ensemble ML technique of decision trees (DTs) [59], was used as the semisupervised classification method for the determination of the five classes in SNAP. In the RF method, the DTs were created randomly at the training stage and evaluated for the best score based on the average of the results of the trees. The RF is aimed at selecting the most important features when creating the DTs. Samples required for all classes for model
Cumulative rainfall between 1-15 August, 2018

Figure 7: Cumulative rainfall in selected stations between 1 and 15 August 2018.

Figure 8: Hourly rainfall in selected stations between 1 and 15 August 2018.
training were collected from all feature images, and the polygons of the representative areas were manually delineated in Sentinel-2 datasets (DS3 and DS4) as mentioned above.

3.3. Landslide Inventory and Susceptibility Mapping with Aerial Photogrammetry. Aerial photogrammetric datasets acquired on 17 August 2018 (after the flood) by the General Directorate of Mapping (GDM), Turkey, were used here for landslide inventory preparation and susceptibility assessment. 11 stereo images taken in a single strip over the Ikizce-Caybasi area, which was one of the most affected sites from landslides triggered by the intense and prolonged rainfall, were utilized for the investigations. The images were taken in four spectral bands (RGB and NIR) by using Ultracam Eagle camera produced by Vexcel Imaging, Austria, and have high spatial resolution (30 cm). The camera has a frame

---

**Figure 9:** Lithological map of Ikizce-Caybasi area [46].
format of 20,010 × 13,080 pixels with 0.005 mm detector size and 100.5 mm focal distance. To produce the Digital Surface Model (DSM), Digital Terrain Model (DTM), and orthophotos, the interior and exterior orientation parameters, which were estimated in a bundle block adjustment process using GNSS surveyed ground control points at GDM, were employed in Trimble Inpho software [60]. The orthophotos and the grid DSM/DTM have resolutions of 30 cm and 1 m, respectively, with a location accuracy of ca. 15 cm [61].

In the last few decades, the importance of landslide susceptibility assessments and mapping has increased significantly, and researchers using various methods have produced landslide susceptibility maps (e.g., [62, 63]). In the present study, landslide susceptibility evaluations were performed in the towns İkizce and Caybasi in Ordu (see Figures 1 and 9) because the most of landslides occurred in this part of the region at the same time with the flood as well. A total of 25 landslide polygons, which were delineated manually using the DSM and the orthophotos produced in the study, form the landslide inventory (Figure 10). The mass movements were classified as deep-seated circular active failures according to the characteristics published by Cruden and Varnes [64]. The polygon sizes range from 0.4 km² to 5.4 km², with a total area of 17 km².

To assess the landslide susceptibility in the İkizce-Caybasi area, the preparatory parameters were investigated. For this purpose, the lithological map and topographic factors with respect to landslides were assessed. The most prone lithologies observed in the subarea are weak sedimentary rocks and volcanic (see Figure 9). Approximately 40% of

| Parameters                           | İkizce-Caybasi area | Landslide inventory area |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|
|                                      | Min | Max | Mean | Std. Dev. | Min | Max | Mean | Std. Dev. |
| DTM (m)                              | 93.0 | 894.5 | 386.6 | 130.9 | 151.8 | 703.6 | 412.9 | 96.0 |
| Slope gradient (°)                    | 0 | 86.9 | 23.1 | 13.9 | 0 | 77.1 | 17.6 | 12.3 |
| Slope aspect (°)                      | -1 | 360 | 179.0 | 106.9 | -1 | 360 | 194.4 | 112.9 |
| TWI                                  | -3.16 | 25.31 | 4.29 | 2.45 | -1.70 | 25.23 | 4.55 | 2.65 |
| Distance to drainage (m)              | 0 | 1706.1 | 477.8 | 342.3 | 0 | 1661.8 | 406.7 | 300.7 |
| Plan slope curvature                  | -3.73 | 6.35 | 3.50 | 7.91 | 0 | 1.44 | 3.58 | 6.60 |
| Profile slope curvature               | -4.41 | 5.17 | -8.81 | 6.14 | -2.30 | 1.93 | -1.54 | 6.10 |
the landslides were observed in the weak claystone-sandstone. 20% of the landslides were mapped on Early-Middle Eocene aged sandstone and mudstone, 20% of the landslides were mapped on Maastrichtian-Palaeocene aged mudstone, limestone, sandstone, and marl, and 16% of the landslides mapped on Middle-Late Eocene aged andesite, basalt, and pyroclastic rocks. Six topographic parameters, altitude, slope gradient, slope aspect, plan and profile slope curvatures, topographic wetness index, and a hydrological factor distance to drainage network were evaluated as the landslide preparatory parameters in the region [61]. Descriptive statistics of these parameters for the subarea and the area with landslides were investigated, respectively (Table 1). As a result, the failures within the subarea are observed on the mean topographic slopes of 17°, in areas with a mean topographic wetness index (TWI) value of 4.55, and at a mean distance of approximately 406 m from the drainage network as shown in Table 1.

The results of landslide susceptibility evaluations provide the first insight on the landslide-prone areas, which is particularly essential for acquiring rapid results when working with high-resolution datasets. Using the lithological map and topographic factors, the landslide susceptibility model of the subarea was produced with the RF method. The use of the RF method is rather new for landslide susceptibility modeling (e.g., [65–70]). Currently, there has been a significant increase in the implementation of ML algorithms for the production of landslide susceptibility maps. The major problems with the expert-based techniques, which can be considered as the alternative methods, are the time and labor-intensive processing and sometimes accessibility to the area. Here, the RF method was selected since it was found...
very effective among other ML algorithms by Seygen et al. [69]. The landslide inventory data were used to train the model. For the model training, the landslide polygons were used with an 80/20 ratio. Owing to the imbalanced distribution of the landslides in the subarea, only the region in the middle part of the site (marked with black in Figure 10) was implemented for the model training. The larger landslides in the southern part of the subarea and the other landslides in the East were not employed in the model training stage but used for accuracy assessment. A total of 139,096,240 grid cells (8 feature classes each with pixels labelled as 6,954,812 landslide and 10,432,218 non-landslide) were implemented in the training stage. The total number of grid cells in the study area is 88,790,485. The receiver operating characteristics (ROC) curves and the area under the curve (AUC) were used for accuracy assessment [71].

4. Results and Discussion

When the long-term annual precipitation data for Ordu and Unye were evaluated (Figure 5), it was observed that the variations between 2017-2018 and 2018-2019 were quite large. In 2017, the precipitation amount in Ordu was among the lowest since 1959. On the other hand, the precipitation in Unye in 2018 was among the highest from the beginning of the measurements. Having such high variations between different years may have triggered the floods. In addition, the monthly averages for Unye between July and September...
2018 were up to 2.8 times when compared with the long-term monthly averages (Figure 6). This fact is not visible in Ordu monthly averages, since the annual precipitation of Ordu was very close to the long-term averages. When the cumulative data between 1 and 15 August (Figure 7) were considered, the extreme precipitation on August 2nd was followed by heavy rainfall on August 8th and 9th, which increased the destructive effects. In addition, the rainfall was concentrated in 2-3 hours at most on these days (Figure 8).

Figure 11 shows the RF classification results of the whole area affected by flooding. The flooded areas shown with cyan in the Figure correspond with the expectations based on the topography and evidenced by the damage reports. Although the settlement areas were classified correctly, the agricultural areas and forests could not be separated sufficiently especially in mountainous areas. One potential reason for this is radar shadows, which can be investigated in more detail in the future. The permanent water areas could be classified accurately thanks to the posthazard data of Sentinel-2 (DS4). The results were evaluated also for the two subparts: Terme area (Figure 12), which is plain and the floodwater remained longer, and Ikizce-Caybasi (Figure 13), which is the same area in which landslide susceptibility results were produced. The performance of the RF model developed within the

![Figure 13: Result of the flood analysis produced for Ikizce-Caybasi area.](image-url)
Figure 14: Landslide susceptibility map of Ikizce-Caybasi area (a) and the ROC curves obtained from the RF model (b).
The accuracy value calculated for the flood mapping was provided by the SNAP Tool and evaluated here by considering the correct classification percentage and accuracy statistics. Accordingly, the overall correct classification % of the model was 90.51%, while the accuracy value calculated for the flood class in the model was 0.97.

As one of the most landslide-prone area in Turkey, up-to-date landslide susceptibility maps, which depict spatial probabilities for landslides likely to occur in the future, need to be produced for Ordu Province as a fundamental mitigation measure. On-demand aerial image acquisition for DSM and orthophoto production offers an advantage. The use of ML methods with high predictive capacity for landslide susceptibility model construction increases the reliability of these maps. The RF model training outputs were used for the whole subarea dataset to produce the resultant landslide susceptibility map (Figure 14(a)). The resultant landslide susceptibility map obtained by using the model was assessed in 5 equally divided classes as follows: very low, low, moderate, high, and very high (Table 2). The sizes of the areas with high and very high susceptibility values were 10.9 km$^2$ and 3.4 km$^2$, respectively.

The performance assessment of the model was investigated with the ROC curves and the AUC statistics. The test grid cells were implemented for the ROC curves. In the ROC curve evaluations, the classes 0 and 1 represent nonlandslide and landslide data, respectively. Accordingly, the AUC value was calculated to be 0.92 that means the prediction performance of the model is enough to estimate possible future landslides in the region (Figure 14(b)).

### Table 2: The landslide probability distribution in Ikizce-Caybasi Area [61].

| Class     | Probability range (%) | Area (km$^2$) | Percentage |
|-----------|-----------------------|---------------|------------|
| Very high | 80-100                | 3.4           | 3.9%       |
| High      | 60-80                 | 10.9          | 12.3%      |
| Moderate  | 40-60                 | 15.2          | 17.2%      |
| Low       | 20-40                 | 17.1          | 19.3%      |
| Very low  | 0-20                  | 42.1          | 47.4%      |

The performance assessment of the model was investigated with the ROC curves and the AUC statistics. The test grid cells were implemented for the ROC curves. In the ROC curve evaluations, the classes 0 and 1 represent nonlandslide and landslide data, respectively. Accordingly, the AUC value was calculated to be 0.92 that means the prediction performance of the model is enough to estimate possible future landslides in the region (Figure 14(b)).

### 5. Conclusions and Future Work

In this study, a meteorological catastrophe that occurred in Ordu in August 2018 was assessed in terms of precipitation data, and landslide susceptibility and flood extent maps by using multitemporal and multisource optical and radar datasets. The present study also summarizes a meteorological catastrophe and its harmful consequences. The long- and short-term precipitation data analysis indicated large yearly and monthly variations in the regions and heavy rainfall over a few hours. Depending on climate change, a further increase in the frequencies of such catastrophic events is expected. To reduce the harmful effects of these catastrophes, the consequences should be understood clearly and the necessary precautions must be considered.

Here, aerial photogrammetric images acquired after the event were utilized for landslide inventory mapping and susceptibility evaluation. Sentinel-1 SAR and Sentinel-2 optical EO data were fused at feature level for the flood extent mapping. A semisupervised ML method, RF, was used both for the landslide susceptibility assessment and the flood mapping. Regarding flood extent mapping using radar and optical EO data by feature-level fusion with the RF method, the results were found suitable for the purposes. High revisit time and availability of Sentinel-1 SAR and Sentinel-2 optical imageries are advantageous for disaster management purposes. In addition, the capabilities of the freely available SNAP Tool ensure usability of the data by different organizations and professionals from various disciplines. However, the application of the processing algorithm requires some level of expertise and standardized methods for this purpose can aid nonexperienced users. The training data required by the supervised classification method were delineated manually on the optical dataset, and the same areas could be used in SAR images, which showed the potential of the combined use of both sensors after geometric preprocessing. Although no fieldwork could be conducted up to date, they may help to assist the determination of the actual land use and the assessment of the ground conditions.

Regarding the landslide susceptibility mapping, the manual interpretation of landslides requires high expertise and is a time-consuming process for engineering geologists and geomorphologists. The development of automated or semi-automated techniques can increase efficiency by decreasing the processing time and operator bias. Landslide inventory preparation is essential to analyze their mechanisms and to predict the landslide hazard and risk. This task is nowadays supported by the EO data with diverse spatial, spectral, and temporal resolutions. However, the development of new processing methods is crucial to handle the increasing complexity of the data obtained from different sensors, to reduce the requirement for computational sources, and to achieve high performance. In future work, the landslide susceptibility map will be expanded to the other parts of the region based on aerial image availability before and after the extreme rainfall. In addition, rule sets will be developed for performing a rule-based classification to increase the generalization potential. Integrated multihazard assessment is also required, and methods for this purpose will also be integrated as suggested by Yanar et al. [72].
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