Intense Breeding within Lentil Landraces for High-Yielding Pure Lines Sustained the Seed Quality Characteristics
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Abstract: Landraces are a valuable source of genetic variability for breeders to develop high-yielding lentil varieties. Apart from productivity, simultaneous breeding for lentil seed nutritional quality is of paramount importance for wider lentil consumption. This work examined the indirect effect of single plant selection for high yield on important seed quality traits within three Greek lentil landraces (“Elassona” (EL), “Lefkada” (L), and “Evros” (EV)). The breeding methodology applied was proved to help either maintain or improve such characteristics in the high-yielding second-cycle lines (SLs) selected. Compared to the parental landrace “Elassona”, the high-yielding lines showed increased crude fiber by 30–110%; the line 2-SL-EL-6 had higher starch content by 3.9% and reduced cooking time by 6.67 min, while the 2-SL-EL-10 line had higher crude fiber by 73%. In the case of “Lefkada”, the high-yielding lines selected maintained the protein content present in the parental landrace, apart from the 2-SL-L-1 where a decrease by 5% was recorded; however, most of them showed increased crude fiber (5.59–7.52%) in comparison with the parental landrace (4.65%). Finally, in relation to the “Evros” parental landrace, the 2-SL-EV-3 and 2-SL-EV-4 showed higher crude fiber and reduced cooking time. This study provides evidence that proper management of genetic variability could improve productivity without compromising or sometimes improving some seed quality traits.
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1. Introduction

Lentil (Lens culinaris Medik.) is probably the oldest grain legume to be domesticated [1] and one of the most important pulse crops worldwide due its nutritional characteristics. It is considered an excellent source of complex carbohydrates, protein, minerals, vitamins, and dietary fibers [2,3]. Lentil is a highly nutritious legume both as human food and animal feed, and the chemical composition of the seeds is affected by genetic and environmental factors [4]. Despite its agronomic and nutritional value, lentil seed production remained at low levels and attracted much less attention by plant breeders than cereal grains until recently [4]. Currently, the extensive recognition of lentil’s health benefits resulted in breeding varieties that are more productive and nutritious [4,5].
It is widely accepted that genotype affects lentil chemical properties considerably [3,6]; thus, to identify lentil genotype variability for seed quality traits would be valuable for the improvement of lentil quality and the production of varieties with high nutrition value, such as high protein content [7,8]. Wang and Daun [6] reported significant variability in protein content ranging from 24.3% to 30.2%, whereas Wang et al. [9], who found crude protein content values between 251.5 and 292.5 g/kg dry matter, concluded that cultivar moreover had a considerable impact on other nutritious constituents like starch, ash, and soluble dietary fiber. Starch content constitutes the highest proportion of the seed in lentil and was recorded to range between 35 and 53% [10], 49 and 65% [11], and 41 and 49% [12], while, in the case of ash content, values were recorded ranging from 2.13–3.42% [13] and 2.3–3.5% [2]. As for dietary fiber, which includes the plant-cell skeletal remains that are resistant to digestion [14], Huisman and van der Poel [15] and Hulse [16] found that lentil decorticated seeds contained 0.9 g of crude fiber/100 g, and other researchers reported values between 3.8% and 6% of dry seed weight [13]. Interestingly, Sulieman [17] reported that cultivars with high starch content generally had lower lipid content. Regarding lipid content, Devos [10] identified a range from 1–2%, while, in other studies, values were documented ranging from 0.5–2.8% and 1–1.3% [2]. More specifically for fat, according to Adsule et al. [18] and Muehlbauer et al. [19], lentil seeds contain approximately 0.6 g of fat/100 g of dried seeds, whereas Hulse [16] found concentrations of 1.8 g of fat/100 g of decorticated lentil seeds.

Apart from seed chemical composition, genetic variability was documented for physicochemical characteristics, seed size, and seed processing (soaking, cooking, and dehulling). Cooking quality is connected with cooking time, which affects nutrient and anti-nutrient contents [2,9,13]. The seed coat of pulses is often indigestible and may have a bitter taste; thus, it could affect cooking quality and eventually consumption [3]. Seed coat and hot water ability to penetrate the cotyledon are genetically controlled and, thus, cause variability in cooking time in lentil varieties [20–22]. The cooking time of Turkish lentil varieties was recorded between 15.2 and 23.9 min [13]; Jood et al. [11] reported values ranging between 38 and 43 min, while Vandenberg [23] recorded cooking times varying from 15 to 20 min. Another parameter is water retention, i.e., the ability of food material to hold water against gravity, defined as “water absorption” [24]. Lentil genotype affected seed hydration capacity that ranged from 0.028–0.053 g/seed according to Özer and Kaya [13], while other researchers reported a range of 0.019–0.023 g/seed in different lentil varieties [11]. Finally, significant differences among lentil varieties were documented in physical properties and morphological characteristics, such as 1000-grain weight and size values [13,21].

Seed cooking quality is one of the most important factors for the utilization of lentil as food because it is generally consumed in its cooked form. This characteristic is associated with the ease and cost of food preparation [3]; thus, emphasis should be put on improving or maintaining it. Selection for the improvement of seed quality characteristics is possible in lentil since genetic variability was recorded for total starch, protein, 1000-seed weight, seed color, and other quality characteristics [25]. Landraces present a valuable gene pool for a breeder to develop elite lines and varieties [26,27]. Vlachostergios et al. [28] applied intense single-plant selection among widely spaced individual plants in three lentil landraces aiming at second-generation sister lines of high yielding potential. When evaluated at farming density, the derived lines had mean grain yields 8%, 10%, and 20% higher compared to their respective ancestors [28]. The above findings support the view that the development of pure line cultivars that fully meet the needs of sustainable agriculture is possible [29] and, at the same time, ensures optimum use of resources across variable conditions [30]. The main criterion for the selection within the Greek landraces was the yielding potential [28]; however, seed quality is an important parameter for lentil consumption; thus, the effect of the breeding method applied to the seed quality characteristics should be evaluated. The aim of the current study was to investigate the indirect effect of intense breeding for high yield on seed quality characteristics, as well as level of variability for these traits among the derived second-generation sister lines.
2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Genetic Material

For the study, 30 second-generation single-plant sister lines were used, obtained via the honeycomb breeding methodology [29]. Selection was applied within three lentil landraces grown under a nil-competition regime, i.e., individual plants were widely spaced to preclude any plant-to-plant interference for inputs. In brief, within each landrace, selection of 30 out of 1000 initially established plants led to first-generation sister lines. Then, evaluation of the first-generation sister lines at the nil-competition regime at three locations followed by single-plant selection within the outstanding lines led to the development of 20 second-generation sister lines, which were further evaluated according to the nil-competition methodology at three locations. Finally, the most promising lines were tested under the common farming density at five environments to verify their yield superiority over their respective parental landrace. A detailed description of the breeding procedure is provided by Vlachostergios et al. [28], as presented in Figure 1.

Figure 1. A line diagram of the breeding scheme including single-plant selection at the nil-competition regime within the parental landrace (PL) and the sister lines (SLs), with final SL evaluation at a dense stand (adapted from Vlachostergios et al. [28]). The seed material studied in the current work originated from one of the above final trials at a dense stand.

Seed material for quality analysis for the present study originated from one of the above final trials at farming conditions. That trial was established at the farm of the Fodder Crops and Pastures Institute in Larissa (39°36' north (N), 22°25' east (E), 74 meters above sea level (masl)) during 2015 under rain-fed conditions without any application of chemicals and fertilizers, while weeds were handled by hand-weeding as described by Vlachostergios et al. [28]. The experimental design was a randomized complete block design with three replications. The second-generation lines included in the trial were (i) 10 from the landrace “Elassona” (EL), coded as “2-SL-EL”, (ii) 10 from the landrace “Lefkada” (L) coded as “2-SL-L”, and (iii) seven from the landrace “Evros” (EV) coded as “2-SL-EV”, whereas (iv) the three parental landraces (PL) were also included.
2.2. Agronomic and Morphological Seed Characteristics

The 1000-seed weight in grams was determined by counting 200 lentil seeds using an electronic seed counter and scales. The results are expressed as the mean of duplicate measurements. Seed width and thickness were measured in mm for 20 seeds per plot. The seed yield as presented by Vlachostergios et al. [28] is provided in relation with the seed quality traits.

2.3. Seed Coat Color

The seed coat color of intact lentil seeds was recorded by a Minolta CR-410 chroma meter (Minolta, Osaka, Japan) using the granular material attachment CR-A50. Data were collected for L* = lightness ranging from 0 (black) to 100 (white), a* = redness/greenness, b* = yellowness/blueness, C* = chroma, and H = hue. A white porcelain reference plate (Y = 93.66, X = 0.3150, and y = 0.3217) supplied with the instrument was used for calibration. All color parameters for each sample were the instrument average of three independent measurements.

2.4. Physicochemical Characteristics

Seed coat percentage was determined for 20 seeds per plot as the weight ratio between coat and cotyledons expressed in percentage after removing the seed coat from the cotyledons, having soaked and kept the seeds for 24 h at 105 °C.

The hydration increase of lentil seeds was calculated as the percentage increase in mass of lentils soaked in distilled water for 12 and 24 h. Hydration capacity expressed as hydration capacity per seed was determined by dividing the mass gained by the seeds either in 12 or 24 h by the number of seeds present in the sample [31]. All tests were carried out in duplicate.

Cooking time was estimated according to the method described by Iliadis [32]. Twenty grams of lentil seeds from each plot were added in 200 mL of distilled water in 250-mL conical flasks placed in a 100 °C water bath. After 20 min of initial cooking, samples of 10 seeds were taken from each flask at 5-min intervals. Needle intrusion depth was measured using a penetrometer (Sur PNR-6, Berlin Germany) for loading of 50 g and gravity of 0.2 sec. Seeds were considered cooked when the penetration value was 4 mm.

2.5. Nutritional Quality Seed Traits

The nutritional quality traits were determined in duplicate finely ground samples. Seeds were ground in a Cyclotec mill to pass a 1.0-mm screen. The mineral ash percentage calculated on dry weight basis, total lipids, crude fiber, and total protein content (measured with the Kjeldhal method, N × 6.25) were determined using official methods [33]. Carbohydrates were determined by the difference.

2.6. Statistical Analysis

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was conducted for randomized complete block design. The significance level of all hypotheses tested was pre-set at p < 0.05, using the Tukey test (p < 0.05). Pearson correlation coefficients were also calculated for all traits. All statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS software package (ver. 18. SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

3. Results

The recorded values of the seed quality characteristics for the three parental landraces and second-generation high-yielding sister lines [28] revealed variability in several traits. The effect of the selection for high seed yield on important seed quality characteristics on each of the three Greek landraces is present below in detail.
3.1. “Elassona” Second-Generation Sister Lines

Concerning the EL lentil landrace, the protein, ash, lipids, 1000-seed weight, seed diameter and thickness, seed coat percentage, and hydration index were not affected by the selection for high yield (Tables 1 and 2). Similarly, seed coat color parameters (L*, a*, b*, C*, and H) and hydration parameters were not affected (data not shown). However, the crude fiber values of the selected genotypes differed from the EL parental landrace and ranged from 3.21% to 6.94%. In five selections, significant variability was found, and an increase from 30% to 110% was recorded in comparison with the parental landrace. The high-yielding selection 6 (2-SL-EL-6) had similar crude fiber concentration with the original genotype, whereas the high-yielding selection 10 (2-SL-EL-10) showed increased crude fiber by 73% in comparison with the EL parental landrace (Table 1).

Table 1. Seed yield and nutritional quality seed traits of the parental landrace “Elassona” (EL-PL) and second-generation sister lines (SLs) originating from “Elassona” (EL).

| Lentil Genotypes | Seed Yield (kg/ha) | Protein (%) | Ash (%) | Crude Fiber (%) | Lipids (%) | Starch (%) |
|------------------|--------------------|-------------|--------|----------------|------------|------------|
| EL-PL            | 1124               | 28.09       | 2.65   | 3.26           | 1.06       | 53.58      |
| 2-SL-EL-1        | 1278               | 26.80       | 3.05   | 4.81 **        | 0.77       | 53.12      |
| 2-SL-EL-2        | 1170               | 27.30       | 3.02   | 3.35           | 0.63       | 54.47      |
| 2-SL-EL-3        | 1038               | 27.49       | 3.02   | 4.69 **        | 0.72       | 52.66      |
| 2-SL-EL-4        | 1196               | 26.88       | 2.88   | 6.94 **        | 0.48       | 51.12 **   |
| 2-SL-EL-5        | 1225               | 28.05       | 3.10   | 3.93 *         | 0.63       | 52.86      |
| 2-SL-EL-6        | 1387 *             | 26.24       | 2.88   | 3.21           | 0.69       | 55.67 **   |
| 2-SL-EL-7        | 1059               | 27.85       | 2.92   | 3.62           | 0.83       | 53.29      |
| 2-SL-EL-8        | 1210               | 27.30       | 3.16   | 3.76           | 0.84       | 53.57      |
| 2-SL-EL-9        | 1265               | 27.28       | 3.11   | 3.92           | 0.79       | 53.55      |
| 2-SL-EL-10       | 1352 *             | 27.66       | 2.99   | 5.65 *         | 0.72       | 52.35 *    |

*, ** Significant differences at the 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability.

Table 2. Agronomical, morphological, seed coat %, hydration index, and cooking time of the parental landrace “Elassona” and second-generation sister lines originating from “Elassona”.

| Lentil Genotypes | 1000-Seed Weight (g) | Diameter (mm) | Thickness (mm) | Seed Coat Percentage (%) | Hydration Index (12 h) | Hydration Index (24 h) | Cooking Time (min) |
|------------------|----------------------|---------------|----------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| EL-PL            | 32.90                | 4.57          | 2.51           | 8.01                      | 0.83                  | 0.90                  | 41.67             |
| 2-SL-EL-1        | 35.13                | 4.79          | 2.51           | 7.73                      | 0.83                  | 0.93                  | 33.33 **          |
| 2-SL-EL-2        | 40.33                | 5.00          | 2.56           | 7.77                      | 0.84                  | 0.94                  | 38.33             |
| 2-SL-EL-3        | 37.17                | 4.79          | 2.64           | 7.89                      | 0.83                  | 0.92                  | 36.67 *           |
| 2-SL-EL-4        | 36.20                | 4.80          | 2.55           | 7.85                      | 0.84                  | 0.96                  | 43.33             |
| 2-SL-EL-5        | 29.07                | 4.28          | 2.50           | 7.99                      | 0.85                  | 0.96                  | 31.67 **          |
| 2-SL-EL-6        | 34.33                | 4.78          | 2.57           | 7.89                      | 0.83                  | 0.93                  | 35.00 **          |
| 2-SL-EL-7        | 36.20                | 4.77          | 2.53           | 7.40                      | 0.86                  | 0.95                  | 35.00 **          |
| 2-SL-EL-8        | 36.03                | 4.73          | 2.58           | 8.02                      | 0.77                  | 0.91                  | 43.33             |
| 2-SL-EL-9        | 34.70                | 4.59          | 2.59           | 8.00                      | 0.86                  | 0.95                  | 35.00 **          |
| 2-SL-EL-10       | 34.30                | 4.43          | 2.56           | 7.52                      | 0.82                  | 0.91                  | 36.67             |

*, ** Significant differences at the 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability.

The starch was also affected by the selection for high yield and ranged from 51.12% to 55.67%. It is noteworthy that, in selection 6 (2-SL-EL-6), the starch content increased by 3.9%. However, in two selections (2-SL-EL-4 and 2-SL-EL-10), inferior values were observed, and the remaining high-yielding sister lines showed no significant differences in starch concentration (Table 1). Cooking time connected with the consumption value of lentils varied from 31.67 to 43.33 min (Table 2). Remarkably, the high-seed-yielding selection 6 had a reduced cooking time by 6.67 min compared to the EL parental landrace.

In general, the protein content and seed quality characteristics of the high-yielding lines selected were not affected by the breeding methodology, since no differences were recorded in comparison with the parental landrace. However, the high-yielding selection 6 had higher starch content and shorter cooking time, while the high-yielding selection 10 was richer in crude fiber (Tables 1 and 2).
3.2. “Lefkada” Second-Generation Sister Lines

The L lentil landrace and the high-yielding second-generation sister lines showed variability for protein (26.8%–28.3%), crude fiber (3.89%–7.52%), and starch content (48.55%–53.9%) (Table 3).

Table 3. Seed yield and nutritional quality traits of the parental landrace “Lefkada” (L-PL) and second-generation sister lines originating from “Lefkada”.

| Lentil Genotypes | Seed Yield (kg/ha) | Protein (%) | Ash (%) | Crude fiber (%) | Lipids (%) | Starch (%) |
|------------------|--------------------|-------------|---------|----------------|------------|------------|
| L-PL             | 1136               | 28.33       | 2.72    | 4.65           | 0.92       | 51.67      |
| 2-SL-L-1         | 1455 **            | 26.82 *     | 3.07    | 5.66 **        | 0.67       | 52.36      |
| 2-SL-L-2         | 1343 **            | 28.90       | 3.13    | 6.16 **        | 0.73       | 49.39 *    |
| 2-SL-L-3         | 1379 **            | 28.30       | 3.24 *  | 5.05           | 0.88       | 51.48      |
| 2-SL-L-4         | 1395 **            | 27.42       | 3.50    | 7.30 **        | 0.68       | 49.65      |
| 2-SL-L-5         | 1297               | 27.03 *     | 2.95    | 5.39 *         | 0.53 *     | 52.89      |
| 2-SL-L-6         | 1446 **            | 27.72       | 2.67    | 7.52 **        | 0.58       | 50.09      |
| 2-SL-L-7         | 1278               | 28.10       | 2.76    | 5.59 *         | 0.93       | 51.17      |
| 2-SL-L-8         | 1259               | 27.43       | 2.80    | 3.89 *         | 0.70       | 53.90 *    |
| 2-SL-L-9         | 1357 **            | 27.80       | 2.64    | 6.66 **        | 0.74       | 48.55 **   |
| 2-SL-L-10        | 1507 **            | 27.31       | 3.28    | 5.01           | 0.77       | 52.32      |

*, ** Significant differences at the 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability.

The selection for high yield in the L lentil landrace did not affect the protein content in the majority of the high-yielding sister lines compared with the parental landrace. Among the high-yielding selections, only 2-SL-L-1, which had the second highest yield (1455 kg/ha), showed a decrease in protein content by 5% (Table 4). However, the selection for high yield was accompanied by an increase in crude fiber for eight selections in comparison with the parental landrace (Table 3).

Table 4. Agronomical, morphological, seed coat %, hydration index, and cooking time of the parental landrace “Lefkada” and second-generation sister lines originating from “Lefkada”.

| Lentil Genotypes | 1000-Seed Weight (g) | Diameter (mm) | Thickness (mm) | Seed Coat Percentage (%) | Hydration Index (12 h) | Hydration Index (24 h) | Cooking Time (min) |
|------------------|----------------------|---------------|----------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| L-PL             | 30.27                | 4.34          | 2.56           | 8.55                     | 0.83                  | 0.92                  | 33.33             |
| 2-SL-L-1         | 37.90                | 4.72          | 2.62           | 7.70                     | 0.85                  | 0.94                  | 33.33             |
| 2-SL-L-2         | 34.83                | 4.74          | 2.54           | 8.20                     | 0.80                  | 0.95                  | 26.67             |
| 2-SL-L-3         | 33.20                | 4.54          | 2.53           | 8.10                     | 0.84                  | 0.95                  | 28.33             |
| 2-SL-L-4         | 36.27                | 4.61          | 2.48           | 7.81                     | 0.82                  | 0.92                  | 31.67             |
| 2-SL-L-5         | 36.30                | 4.78          | 2.60           | 7.96                     | 0.83                  | 0.93                  | 30.00             |
| 2-SL-L-6         | 38.27                | 4.90          | 2.55           | 7.89                     | 0.83                  | 0.92                  | 31.67             |
| 2-SL-L-7         | 32.17                | 4.37          | 2.52           | 7.98                     | 0.83                  | 0.94                  | 26.67             |
| 2-SL-L-8         | 35.10                | 4.63          | 2.49           | 8.16                     | 0.80                  | 0.90                  | 30.00             |
| 2-SL-L-9         | 36.20                | 4.80          | 2.54           | 8.15                     | 0.88                  | 0.97                  | 30.00             |
| 2-SL-L-10        | 31.85                | 4.22          | 2.55           | 7.96                     | 0.81                  | 0.89                  | 35.00             |

*, ** Significant differences at the 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability.

Regarding starch content, the selection 2-SL-L-8 had higher starch content in comparison with the parental landrace, although it was not differentiated for seed yield (Table 3). The selections 2-SL-L-2 and 2-SL-L-9 showed reduced values by ~5%, while the other five high-yielding selections did not differ for starch content (Table 3). The breeding scheme applied aimed at seed yield increase, and seven out of the total 10 second-generation sister lines had higher yield. At the same time, the methodology based on a single-plant selection sustained the other seed quality characteristics in the “Lefkada” landrace. The 1000-seed weight, seed diameter and thickness, seed coat percentage, hydration index, and cooking time remained constant in comparison with the “Lefkada” parental landrace (Table 4). Also, the seed coat color parameters (L*, a*, b*, C*, and H) and the hydration increases, coefficients, and capacities were not altered (data not shown).
3.3. “Evros” Second-Generation Sister Lines

Concerning the EV lentil landrace, the protein, ash, lipids, 1000-seed weight, seed diameter and thickness, seed coat percentage, and hydration index were not affected by the selection for high yield (Tables 5 and 6). Also, no effect on seed coat color parameters (L*, a*, b*, C*, and H) and hydration increases, coefficients, and capacities was found (data not shown). Nevertheless, the seed characteristics of the EV second-generation sister lines showed variability for crude fiber, starch content, and cooking time ranging from 3.12% to 5.62%, 49.93% to 54.06%, and 31.67 to 41.67 min, respectively (Table 5). Noticeably, six sister lines selected had increased crude fiber in comparison with the parental landrace “Evros”, and two of them (2-SL-EV-3 and 2-SL-EV-4) had significantly higher seed yield (Table 5). The starch content was decreased by 4.7% in the high-seed-yielding selection 2-SL-EV-3, while it was not altered in the high-seed-yielding selection 2-SL-EV-4 compared to the parental landrace EV. Three second-generation sister lines, including the two highest-yielding 2-SL-EV-3 and 2-SL-EV-4 selected lines, showed a highly significant lower cooking time by almost 10 min compared to the original genotype.

Table 5. Seed yield and nutritional quality traits of the parental landrace “Evros” (EV-PL) and second-generation sister lines originating from “Evros”.

| Lentil Genotypes | Seed Yield (kg/ha) | Protein (%) | Ash (%) | Crude Fiber (%) | Lipids (%) | Starch (%) |
|------------------|--------------------|-------------|--------|-----------------|------------|------------|
| EV-PL            | 1100               | 28.19       | 2.62   | 3.12            | 0.86       | 53.57      |
| 2-SL-EV-1        | 992                | 27.24       | 2.62   | 3.82            | 0.93       | 54.06      |
| 2-SL-EV-2        | 1194               | 29.44       | 2.64   | 5.62 **         | 0.85       | 49.93 **   |
| 2-SL-EV-3        | 1455 **            | 29.02       | 2.67   | 4.86 **         | 0.96       | 51.07 *    |
| 2-SL-EV-4        | 1412 **            | 27.64       | 2.74   | 4.91 **         | 0.88       | 52.37      |
| 2-SL-EV-5        | 1188               | 27.37       | 2.51   | 4.08 *          | 1.11       | 53.46      |
| 2-SL-EV-6        | 1048               | 27.33       | 2.50   | 5.25 **         | 0.89       | 52.52      |
| 2-SL-EV-7        | 1187               | 27.94       | 2.61   | 4.41 **         | 0.90       | 52.61      |

*, ** Significant differences at the 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability.

Table 6. Agronomical, morphological, seed coat %, hydration index, and cooking time of the parental landrace “Evros” and second-generation sister lines originating from “Evros”.

| Lentil Genotypes | 1000-Seed Weight (g) | Diameter (mm) | Thickness (mm) | Seed Coat Percentage (%) | Hydration Index (12 h) | Hydration Index (24 h) | Cooking Time (min) |
|------------------|----------------------|---------------|----------------|--------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| EV-PL            | 35.40                | 4.62          | 2.54           | 7.94                     | 0.85                   | 0.95                  | 41.67               |
| 2-SL-EV-1        | 39.37                | 5.03          | 2.56           | 7.88                     | 0.86                   | 0.94                  | 40.00               |
| 2-SL-EV-2        | 36.73                | 4.94          | 2.58           | 7.73                     | 0.86                   | 0.95                  | 31.67 **            |
| 2-SL-EV-3        | 34.40                | 4.53          | 2.58           | 7.72                     | 0.84                   | 0.93                  | 31.67 **            |
| 2-SL-EV-4        | 33.17                | 4.33          | 2.59           | 7.60                     | 0.81                   | 0.92                  | 31.67 **            |
| 2-SL-EV-5        | 35.27                | 4.80          | 2.51           | 8.04                     | 0.84                   | 0.94                  | 40.00               |
| 2-SL-EV-6        | 36.57                | 4.79          | 2.62           | 7.98                     | 0.82                   | 0.92                  | 36.67               |
| 2-SL-EV-7        | 35.67                | 4.62          | 2.54           | 8.13                     | 0.85                   | 0.94                  | 35.00               |

*, ** Significant differences at the 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability.

The application of the breeding scheme as described by Vlachostergios et al. [28] for two selection cycles allowed the selection for higher yield without compromising important seed quality characteristics for the majority of the genotypes selected compared to their respective parental landraces. The variation and descriptive statistics for important parameters like nutritional quality traits (Table S1, Supplementary Materials), agronomical, morphological, and seed coat characteristics (Table S2, Supplementary Materials), and hydration parameters and cooking time (Table S3, Supplementary Materials) were not differentiated in comparison with the parental landraces.

Finally, the correlation between agronomical, morphological, physicochemical, and nutritional quality traits and seed coat characteristics was estimated. A negative correlation was found between starch content and crude fiber (r_{st.-cf} = -0.856 **), whereas seed diameter and 1000-seed weight were positively correlated (r_{sd-1000sw} = 0.881 **) (Table 7). Also, a positive correlation was found between L* (lightness) and 1000-seed weight, b* (yellowness/blueness), C* (chroma), H (hue) (r_{L-1000sw} = 0.690 **, r_{L-b} = 0.855 **, r_{L-C} = 0.851 **, and r_{L-H} = 0.738 **, respectively), between H (hue) and b* (yellowness/blueness) and C* (chroma) (r_{H-b} = 0.879 ** and r_{H-C} = 0.788 **, respectively), and between C* (chroma) and b* (yellowness/blueness) (r_{C-b} = 0.985 **).
Table 7. Correlation between agronomical, morphological, physicochemical nutritional quality traits and seed coat characteristics.

|                  | Yield | Protein | Ash  | Crude Fiber | Lipids | Starch | 1000-Seed Weight | Diameter | Thickness | L* | a* | b* | C* | H   | Seed Coat | Hydration Increase (24 h) | Cooking Time |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|-------------|--------|--------|------------------|----------|-----------|----|----|----|----|-----|-----------|--------------------------|--------------|
| Yield            | 1     | 0.005   | 0.359| 0.468**     | 0.014  | 0.012  | 0.017            | 0.017    | 0.017    | 0.017| 0.017| 0.017| 0.017| 0.017| 0.017    | 0.017                    |              |
| Protein          | 1     | 0.203   | 0.107| 0.425*      | 0.425* | 0.425* | 0.425*           | 0.425*   | 0.425*   | 0.425*| 0.425*| 0.425*| 0.425*| 0.425*| 0.425*   | 0.425*                   |              |
| Ash              | 1     | 0.163   | 0.163| -0.163      | 0.163  | 0.163  | -0.163           | 0.163    | -0.163   | -0.163| -0.163| -0.163| -0.163| -0.163| -0.163   | -0.163                   |              |
| Crude fiber      | 1     | 0.151   | 0.152| -0.144      | -0.144 | -0.144 | -0.144           | 0.151    | 0.151    | 0.151| 0.151| 0.151| 0.151| 0.151| 0.151    | 0.151                    |              |
| Lipids           | 1     | 0.044   | 0.044| -0.203      | -0.203 | -0.203 | -0.203           | 0.044    | 0.044    | 0.044| 0.044| 0.044| 0.044| 0.044| 0.044    | 0.044                    |              |
| Starch           | 1     | 0.102   | 0.102| 0.102       | 0.102  | 0.102  | 0.102            | 0.102    | 0.102    | 0.102| 0.102| 0.102| 0.102| 0.102| 0.102    | 0.102                    |              |
| 1000-Seed Weight | 1     | 0.690   | 0.690| 0.690       | 0.690  | 0.690  | 0.690            | 0.690    | 0.690    | 0.690| 0.690| 0.690| 0.690| 0.690| 0.690    | 0.690                    |              |
| Diameter         | 1     | 0.158   | 0.158| 0.586**     | 0.586  | 0.586  | 0.586**          | 0.158    | 0.158    | 0.158| 0.158| 0.158| 0.158| 0.158| 0.158    | 0.158                    |              |
| Thickness        | 1     | 0.032   | 0.032| 0.032       | 0.032  | 0.032  | 0.032            | 0.032    | 0.032    | 0.032| 0.032| 0.032| 0.032| 0.032| 0.032    | 0.032                    |              |
| L*               | 1     | 0.170   | 0.170| 0.170**     | 0.170  | 0.170  | 0.170**          | 0.170    | 0.170    | 0.170| 0.170| 0.170| 0.170| 0.170| 0.170    | 0.170                    |              |
| a*               | 1     | 0.034   | 0.034| 0.034       | 0.034  | 0.034  | 0.034            | 0.034    | 0.034    | 0.034| 0.034| 0.034| 0.034| 0.034| 0.034    | 0.034                    |              |
| b*               | 1     | 0.985   | 0.985| 0.985**     | 0.985  | 0.985  | 0.985**          | 0.985    | 0.985    | 0.985| 0.985| 0.985| 0.985| 0.985| 0.985    | 0.985                    |              |
| C*               | 1     | 0.788   | 0.788| 0.788**     | 0.788  | 0.788  | 0.788**          | 0.788    | 0.788    | 0.788| 0.788| 0.788| 0.788| 0.788| 0.788    | 0.788                    |              |
| H                | 1     | 0.017   | 0.017| 0.017       | 0.017  | 0.017  | 0.017            | 0.017    | 0.017    | 0.017| 0.017| 0.017| 0.017| 0.017| 0.017    | 0.017                    |              |
| Seed Coat        | 1     | 0.017   | 0.017| 0.017       | 0.017  | 0.017  | 0.017            | 0.017    | 0.017    | 0.017| 0.017| 0.017| 0.017| 0.017| 0.017    | 0.017                    |              |
| Hydration Increase (24 h) | 1 | 0.017   | 0.017| 0.017       | 0.017  | 0.017  | 0.017            | 0.017    | 0.017    | 0.017| 0.017| 0.017| 0.017| 0.017| 0.017    | 0.017                    |              |
| Cooking Time     | 1     | 0.017   | 0.017| 0.017       | 0.017  | 0.017  | 0.017            | 0.017    | 0.017    | 0.017| 0.017| 0.017| 0.017| 0.017| 0.017    | 0.017                    |              |

*, ** Significant differences at the 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability.
4. Discussion

Legumes could provide a sustainable solution for food production in terms of protein security. Concerning nutrition, landraces have higher seed protein content than modern varieties, as recorded in common bean [34]. In this sense, lentil landraces of good quality and high nutritional value that are adapted to local environmental conditions present a valuable source of genetic variability [28]. In this work, the selection of second-generation sister lines employed single-plant yield as the main selection criterion [28] without focusing on quality characteristics as a direct selection criterion. The scope of studying multiple quality characteristics was to investigate the indirect effect of this breeding scheme on seed quality. Variability regarding important seed quality traits and physicochemical characteristics was recorded for the three Greek lentil landraces and the second-generation sister lines examined. The main significant differences were identified in protein, crude fiber, starch content, and cooking time. Remarkably, some high-yielding selected lines displayed unaltered protein content and other important quality characteristics.

Iqbal et al. [35] stated that a major challenge in legume breeding is to increase productivity and nutritional quality; however, they recognized that quality evaluation requires screening both in the field and laboratory; thus, it is a time- and resource-consuming selection process. This method of single-plant selection for high seed yield under a nil-competition regime presents an approach that could minimize the time and resources required to improve productivity and maintain nutritional seed quality. Interestingly, the same methodology was also recommended as an effective agronomic practice to improve the sanitary status of lentil landraces seed stock during the seed propagation process, especially in case of seed-borne viruses that are transmitted by seed in high rate [36].

The protein concentration measured in the present study ranged from 26% to 28%, which agrees with the values reported by Wang and Daun [6], who calculated a mean level of 27.2% in protein content. Likewise, protein contents reported in lentils were around 25% [37], between 24.3% and 30.2% [12], and between 22.1 and 27.4% [38]. In this work, the protein content was unaltered in comparison with the parental landraces, even when the seed yield increased. Thus, direct selection for high yield in the EL landrace helped to maintain the seed protein content. A similar picture was drawn in the case of all the L high-yielding sister lines selected, apart from the 2-SL-L-1 that showed a decrease by 5%. This was also the case for the protein content of the EV second-generation sister lines that did not differ compared to the parental landrace, even for the two selections with the highest yield (2-SL-EV-3 and 2-SL-EV-4). Such results are particularly promising since several researchers recorded a negative correlation between yield and protein content, which can be alleviated by breeding for both traits since sufficient variation for these coexists in legumes [39]. Iqbal et al. [35] suggested that an approach to exploit genotypic variability within legume populations is to select for increased yield and simultaneously aim to maintain a constant protein level. The breeding scheme of this study (Figure 1) [28] supplemented with the evaluation of quality traits could be proposed in alignment with the above recommendation.

In terms of crude fiber, it ranged from 3.12% to 7.52% for the three landraces and their second-generation sister lines studied; such levels are in agreement with those reported for Turkish lentil varieties (between 3.8% and 6%) [13]. In this study, the selection for high yield was accompanied by stable or increased crude fiber content in some selections originating from EL, L, and EV landraces. The crude fiber content increased (2-SL-EL-10) or remained stable (2-SL-EL-6) in two high-yielding selections from the EL landrace, while the crude fiber for the eight L selections increased. Differences in fiber content among lentil cultivars exist due to genetic variability, suggesting a rationale for cultivar-based food labeling [40].

Regarding the starch content measurements in this study, a range from 48.55% to 55.67% was close to levels previously reported [38]; this parameter was affected in comparison with the parental landraces in some high-yielding selections of the EL, L, and EV landraces. Thus, the starch content in the two high-yielding EL selections was reduced (2-SL-L-2 and 2-SL-L-9), while the starch content of the EV selections decreased or remained constant in comparison with the EV parental landrace.
Lentil genetic resources display significant variability for seed quality characteristics such as color, ranging from yellow to red-orange to green, brown, and black, as well as seed coat and cotyledon color [41]. Other quality traits that may be affected by cultivar are cooking quality, seed size, uniformity, and absence of split and discolored seeds [42]. We found that the intense breeding applied in three lentil landraces not only resulted in maintaining color and seed size unaltered compared with the parental landraces, but also resulted in improving cooking time in some of the lines selected. Jood et al. [11] reported that lentil cultivars differed in seed hydration capacity, and flat seeds with large surface area absorbed more water in comparison with those with narrow surface. A similar connection with seed size and water absorbance was identified also by Özer and Kaya [13], since flat lentil varieties with a large surface area revealed a more swollen appearance after water treatment, and varieties with narrow surface area absorbed less water per seed. In the current work, no differences were recorded for hydration capacity, seed size, and seed color, apart from cooking time which decreased, especially in the “Elassona” and “Evros” high-yielding selected lines by almost 6 and 10 min, respectively.

Several important qualitative characteristics examined like average seed weight, protein content, and cooking quality showed high broad-sense heritability [21]. Plant breeders are interested mainly in traits having high heritability since they result in greater advance under selection. The values reported for seed weight of lentil were 62.8% [43], 87.0% [44], 91.0% [45], 98.0% [21,46], and 99.0% [47]. High broad-sense heritability was also found for protein content with a value of 84.0% [47] and cooking quality with 82.0% [21] and 98.0% [47]. Finally, moderate to high broad-sense heritability was found for lentil grain yield as reported by several researchers, ranging from 41.0% [45] to 48.0% [44], 59.7% [43], 83.9% [46], and 96.0% [47].

Several researchers reported correlations between different seed quality characteristics in lentil genotypes. In this work, a negative correlation was found between starch content and crude fiber. A negative correlation was identified between starch and protein content [6,25], and also 1000-seed weight and protein concentration [25]. Similarly, a negative correlation was reported for seed yield and protein content, whereas cooking time and seed weight were positively correlated [21]. Seed yield was previously positively correlated to both cooking time and seed size, which affects cooking quality [21], a sign that seed size might be used to predict cooking time [47]. However, in this work, no correlation was found between cooking time and seed size, supporting the fact that the above might not be always be the case. A positive correlation was found between the 1000-seed weight and seed starch, total raffinose family oligosaccharides, and sucrose, while there was no correlation between the seed coat color and protein concentration [48]. Finally, in this work, while there was no correlation between the protein content and seed color, the seed diameter and 1000-seed weight were positively correlated, showing that the increase in seed weight is connected with an increase in seed diameter rather than its thickness.

Breeding strategies include the development of more productive varieties with favorable quality characteristics. In the case of lentil, research is underway for the development of improved plant types with high yielding ability and resistance to biotic and abiotic stress, while better nutrition quality is also a major breeding objective. So far, however, studies often focused on either yield gain or quality improvement rather than the influence of breeding techniques on such parameters combined. The procedure presented here provides the tools to exploit the natural genetic variability within landraces and develop, in a short time, pure-line varieties adaptable to a wide range of conditions that moreover display desirable characteristics [28]. It is recognized that yield is negatively correlated with protein content, and it is important to understand the relationship among different quality parameters for the selection of new cultivars with favorable quality characteristics [25]. There is evidence supported by this study that the proper management of genetic variability shows potential for the simultaneous increase of productivity and seed quality characteristics. There is need for more in-depth studies regarding the nutritional quality of this low-cost protein source and the influence of compounds such as fiber and related substances [49].
Even though huge genetic variation facilitates the selection of superior genotypes, and selection within a landrace is definitely one of the oldest plant breeding methods, the innovation brought about by the method presented here is that it leads toward the identification of a different class of genotypes in order to develop varieties in accordance with the main principles of sustainability. Based on the well-established negative relationship between genotype yield and competitive ability, breeding in conditions that ensure nil-competition instead of dense stand, and applying single-plant yield as the main selection criterion puts additional emphasis on highlighting the “weak competitor of high plant yield efficiency” ideotype [30]. The hypothesis of this approach is that genotypes characterized as “weak competitors” are exceptionally resilient to environmental forces that induce acquired intra-crop variation and, thus, could be employed to optimize resource use at crop level, while, at the same time, efficiency in resource use at single-plant level promotes stability due to better overall results in case of missing plants in the field [50].

5. Conclusions

The development of varieties combining high productivity and favorable nutritional characteristics constitutes a challenge in lentil breeding for increased consumption and widespread cultivation. The applied breeding scheme and selection for high yield based on plant performance at ultra-low density ensured in two cycles of selection that the seed quality characteristics of the landraces parental population remained unaltered. This could be an effective strategy to manipulate the existing genetic variability for seed quality and develop varieties combining higher seed yield and quality. According to the results of this work, the proposed breeding procedure favors the selection of high-yielding ideotypes that sustain qualitative characteristics. This innovative approach in breeding methodology provides evidence that breeding for high yield without degrading quality traits might be feasible.
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