Use of the sternocleidomastoid flap in association with a dermal regeneration template and a skin graft in the temporal region reconstruction

Case report

A 69-year-old Caucasian male was evaluated in our clinic for a large, bloody, esophitic, and ulcerated lesion of the right temporoparotideal region associated with several actinic keratoses of the head skin (Figure 1A). A complete peripheral paralysis of the right facial nerve was observed.

Accurate medical history showed familiar ischemic cardiopathy, arterial hypertension, and type 1 diabetes. The patient was a heavy smoker (40 cigarettes/day) and...
a moderate wine drinker. He was a retired mason. The remote pathological history showed in 2007 a surgical excision of a basocellular skin carcinoma of the frontal region and in 2010 a second surgical intervention for another basocellular skin carcinoma of the right preauricular region. After 1 year, a new lesion in the preauricular region was detected and investigated with a punch biopsy; the histological examination revealed a relapse of the basocellular carcinoma. The patient did not accept the recommended surgical procedure, and after 14 months, he came to our attention with the above-described clinical situation. A computed tomography (CT) scan was required and it showed a cutaneous lesion of $6 \times 4$ cm of the temporoparietal right region infiltrating the anterior part of the right auricle, the skin, the subcutaneous tissues, the parotid gland, the temporal muscle, and the zygomatic arch. No signs of infiltration of the temporomandibular joint and the temporal bone were observed.

The patient was collegially evaluated by ENT and plastic surgeons and was therefore prepared for surgery. After skin marking, a circular incision at 1.5 cm from tumor border, including the anterior part of the auricle, was performed (Figure 2A). A full-thickness excision, associated with superficial parotidectomy with facial nerve sacrifice, was carried out to split the infiltrated tissues from the healthy tissues underlying the temporal bone and adjacent structures. The CT finding of zygomatic arch infiltration was macroscopically confirmed and its partial excision was required; the temporomandibular joint was completely preserved.

At the end of the ablative step, there was a circular loss of substance of 10 cm in diameter and 3.5 cm in depth, composed of periosteum, deep temporal fascia, temporalis muscle, superficial temporal fascia, subcutis, and skin (Figure 2B). The intraoperative histology was used and it was negative without infiltration of the defect margins.

Surgical incision was then extended to the neck following the anterior margin of the SCM muscle; subcutaneous tissues and platysma muscle were incised. The dissection was continued by splitting the superficial cervical fascia and by sacrificing the transverse cervical nerve and the great auricular nerve to expose entirely the SCM muscle. Afterward, its sternal and clavicular origins were discontinued and the deep layer of the superficial cervical fascia was split from the muscle. The minor pedicles were ligated and the dissection was continued cranially up to the pivot point located 1.5 cm below the entry point of the dominant pedicle in the SCM muscle. During flap elevation, careful attention was paid to identify the spinal accessory nerve: it was split from the SCM muscle to preserve the correct shoulder functionality and to guarantee the widest arch of rotation (Figure 2C).

After elevation, the muscular flap was rotated 180° cranially and its free part was fanned out and sutured circumferentially to the residual deep temporal fascia to cover the deperiosted temporal bone (Figure 2D). The muscular tissue was then covered with Integra® Dermal Regeneration Template single layer (Integra LifeSciences, Inc., Plainsboro, NY, USA; Figure 2E) and a thin dermal-epidermal graft was harvested from the right thigh (Figure 2F). A drainage tube was placed in the cervical space and removed after 4 days. The graft was covered with a wet moulage and the dressing was changed after 5 days: the percentage of engraftment was near 100% (Figure 1B). The patient was discharged after 10 days and was evaluated postoperatively after 15, 30, 90, 180, and 360 days (Figure 1C). Definitive histological examination performed on surgical specimen demonstrated a radical exeresis of the basocellular carcinoma. Oncological follow-up was scheduled every 3 months.

**Discussion**

The SCM muscle flap is well described in head-and-neck reconstruction and can be used as a muscular,
myocutaneous, and myosseous flap. From the literature analysis, the SCM flap presents a few limits: Larson and Goepfert [5] questioned its reliability and the limited arc of rotation due to the presence of the accessory nerve. Alvarez et al. [6] focused their attention on the neck deformity after the transposition of the whole SCM muscle; no functional alterations of the head rotation were reported.

A debate is still open about its oncological safety. Its use after ablative surgery, performed for malignant tumors, is documented in the literature [2]. However, the authors did not demonstrate its safe use in patients with a N+ neck [2]. Therefore, SCM flap use in a metastatic neck must be carefully mediated; in our opinion, considering the published data, an evidence of positive cervical nodes could be considered as an absolute contraindication.

The SCM flap, in addition to recipient and donor site general complications, has an unreliable skin paddle: in more than 50% of the cases, a complete skin loss is observed. Such event is justified by the tenuous blood supply of the skin isle due to the platysma presence: it is
theoretically unlikely that the SCM perforators would be the major blood supply to this skin [5].

In this case report, the challenge was represented by the reconstruction of the loss of substance resulting after the exeresis of an \(T3N0M0\) (stage III) basocellular carcinoma of the right temporoparietal region in a multi-problematic patient.

Patient's comorbidities and surgical defect characteristics made the reconstruction with SCM flap the only available option, as the others were impracticable or unsafe, exposing the patient to a possible reintervention in case of failure. In particular, data obtained from medical history and color Doppler ultrasonography showed a seriously compromised macrovascular and microvascular pattern: a strict contraindication to local and distant flap use. Moreover, the depth of surgical defect and its floor composed of the deperiosted temporal bone did not allow the use of a skin graft alone or of Integra® Dermal Regeneration Template with skin graft. Therefore, regional flaps were the only feasible way to achieve an efficient reconstruction in our patient. Possible options were the trapezius flap and the supraclavicular artery island flap. However, they were not considered due to the surgical defect dimensions and the length of the surgery in our hands. During the preoperative workup, an angio-CT focused on neck and shoulder vessels was performed with the aim to assess the patency of the right transverse cervical artery (TCA): images obtained showed an obstruction of more than 50% of the lumen of the right TCA compared to the contralateral one. Such findings oriented our decision toward the SCM flap use. We chose the form without the skin paddle, considering the poor conditions of the patient’s vessels. Considering surgical site depth, and to avoid a postsurgical excessive scar retraction, the muscle was covered with Integra® Dermal Regeneration Template single layer and a skin graft was harvested from the left thigh. As stated in the literature, dermal regeneration templates can be successfully used over flap muscles and in combination with skin grafts [7–9]. We do think that, in these patients presenting high comorbidities, this two-step technique was perfectly adapted. Combining the old technique of the flap with the approach of using an Integra® template together with a skin graft allowed us to obtain a satisfactory reconstruction, to keep operation time and burden as low as possible, and to avoid further complications for this patient.

In our patient, both ablative and reconstructive steps were successful: oncological radicality was achieved as demonstrated by free margins described by the pathologist on surgical specimen, and reconstructive and aesthetic outcome, evaluated after 1 year, was acceptable as shown in Figure 2 (Figure 1C). Functional restrictions due to nerve injury were limited.

Probably, a better final aesthetic outcome could have been achieved if different reconstructive options would have been used; however, the patient’s comorbidities forced us to choose this flap.

**Conclusion**

In our experience, the SCM flap in association with a dermal regeneration template and a skin graft can be considered as a reliable and possible option in temporal region reconstruction when local or systemic conditions of the patient do not permit other reconstructive options.
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