INTRODUCTION

Pulmonary hypertension (PH) is defined as pressure elevation in the pulmonary circulation with a mean pulmonary artery pressure over 25 millimeters of mercury (mmHg) and can arise from a multitude of physiologic insults resulting in increased pulmonary vascular resistance. This sustained elevation in pressure leads to strain on the right ventricle and eventual heart failure if untreated. In addition to resulting in chronic issues, PH impacts the approach to resuscitation, as common interventions such as volume administration or intubation can be deleterious in the setting of right heart failure.

Despite having substantial clinical impact, PH remains under-diagnosed. Over the last 30 years, clinicians outside the emergency department (ED) have increasingly recognized the risks of PH and right ventricular failure, but this diagnosis has been underappreciated during emergency care. Quantifying the burden of PH in the ED is difficult, as it is a heterogeneous condition, with five groups defined by the World Health Organization based upon the underlying etiology. Data are sparse for the rates of patients with PH presenting to EDs, and...
there are no studies of the diagnosis or management of PH in the ED. The only demographic study of all groups of PH in the ED was a single-center study, finding a 0.84% prevalence of PH in ED visits. A large epidemiologic study of ED visits focused on Group 1 PH, or pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH), a rare disease with estimates of 5-15 cases per one million adults. Yet even this rare condition was responsible for approximately 0.01% of all ED visits. The remaining literature on the assessment of PH in the ED is limited to case reports and a small observational study.

Improving the care of patients with PH in the ED begins with appropriate recognition of the condition. While it may seem evident that patients with PH have higher-acuity ED presentations as compared to other patients, the magnitude of this discrepancy is unknown. Quantifying the prevalence and acuity of patients with PH in the ED is therefore integral to designing future studies of the emergency management of PH.

Our objective was to characterize the prevalence of PH among adult patients presenting to the ED, identify demographic patterns of these patients, and to evaluate admission rates, hospital length of stay (LOS), and inpatient mortality for these patients.

METHODS

We analyzed the Nationwide Emergency Department Sample (NEDS) database, developed for the Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project (HCUP) sponsored by the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality and constructed annually using records from state ED databases and state inpatient databases, to collect data on all ED visits, regardless of disposition. NEDS is the largest ED database in the US, yielding national estimates of hospital-based ED visits, and providing a snapshot of demographics for selected conditions. Unweighted, it contains data from approximately 30 million ED visits each year. Weighted, it estimates roughly 135 million ED visits per year. This study was declared exempt from review by the institutional review board of Massachusetts General Hospital.

Patients included for analysis were those ages 18 years and older, with any ED visit, with a diagnosis that met the 9th or 10th revision of the International Classification of Diseases, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM or ICD-10-CM, respectively) codes for PH, including Groups 1-5 of PH in the first through 10th diagnosis field. Patients were included if they had a code for PH as an ED diagnosis or hospital diagnosis. The list of included ICD-9-CM and ICD-10-CM codes are provided in the Supplemental File.

In the HCUP outpatient databases, the first listed diagnosis is the condition considered to be chiefly responsible for the visit. We collected data from NEDS from 2011–2015, including demographic characteristics of age, gender, and national quartile for median household income, as estimated by the patient’s home ZIP code. Primary insurance types were categorized as public (Medicare and Medicaid), private, self-pay, and other. ED visit data were reviewed, including diagnoses, ED disposition, and hospital disposition. We also reviewed hospital characteristics, such as geographic region (Northeast, South, Midwest, and West) as defined by the US Census Bureau, and annual ED visit volume, trauma center designation, urban or rural status, and teaching status.

The primary outcome measure was inpatient, all-cause mortality. The secondary outcomes were hospital admission rates and hospital LOS. Of note, data on ED LOS, ED observation unit admission, and intensive care unit admission are not available in NEDS.

Statistical Analysis

All analyses included appropriate inflation using sampling weights, and we estimated variance using all observations in the database to account for domain-level variance. ED visits by patients with PH were compared to all ED visits. We reported weighted frequencies and proportions with corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CI) for patient and hospital characteristics, and used chi-square test to test statistical significance. Hospital-based mortality was computed by dividing the number of ED and inpatient, any-cause deaths by the number of PH-related ED visits. Because no unique patient identifiers were provided with ED records, the unit of analysis for the study was an ED visit. We ran bivariate analyses to explore associations of inpatient death, total ED visits, ED disposition, and hospital LOS with PH visits.

We ran a multivariable logistic-regression model to test the relationship between PH ED visits and inpatient mortality. Our goal was to assess the outcomes attributable to PH, while controlling for confounders. We selected variables a priori for possible inclusion in the model; the final model was chosen using lowest Akaike’s information criterion with the following...
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predictors: age, gender, patient’s primary health insurance, geographic location, trauma center status, and teaching status of the hospitals. To assess change over time in admission rates and inpatient mortality rates for pulmonary hypertension visits, we ran logistic regression models with year as a continuous variable. All analyses were conducted using SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC) software. A two-sided P-value of < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Characteristics of Study Subjects

From 2011 to 2015, there was a weighted estimate of 4,233,762 US ED visits, with an annual average of 846,752 visits among adults with PH, which accounted for 0.78% (95% confidence interval [CI], 0.75-0.80%) of all US ED visits for adults. Table 1 shows the weighted results. Patients with PH were significantly older than the entire ED cohort, with a higher percentage of visits for patients 61 years and older, and were comprised of more women, at 61.0% compared to 57.3% for all ED visits (P < 0.001). PH patients were more likely to have public insurance (84.4% vs 49.8%, P < 0.001) and have been seen at metropolitan teaching hospitals (53.0% vs 46.3%, P < 0.001). A PH code was the primary code for 118,351 visits, unweighted, for a weighted frequency of 525,904 visits (0.096%, 95% CI, 0.092-0.10).

Main Results

Of the weighted 4,233,762 ED visits for patients with a diagnosis of PH, 86.9% were admitted to the hospital, compared to an admission rate of 16.3% for all other ED visits (P < 0.001) (Table 2). Likewise, hospital LOS was higher in the PH group than the remainder of the ED patients admitted at 6.2 days vs 4.8 days (P < 0.001), and the inpatient mortality was also higher for the PH group (4.5% vs 2.6%, P < 0.001). The rate of death in the ED was lower in the PH cohort compared to all other ED visits, at 0.13% vs 0.17% (P < 0.001). The admission rate was over 85% for all years studied, although there was a slight decrease in admission rates for PH visits between 2011 and 2015, with a peak in 2012 at 88.0% and 86.3% in 2015 (P < 0.001).

The top 10 ICD-9 primary diagnosis codes for admitted patients were hearing loss (389), pneumonia (486), obstructive chronic bronchitis (49,121), acute kidney failure (5849), urinary tract infection (5990), atrial fibrillation (42,731), acute subendocardial myocardial infarction, (41,071), cerebral artery occlusion (43,491), other chest pain (78,659), and acute pancreatitis (5770).

Patients with PH had an unadjusted odds ratio mortality of 1.24 (95% CI, 1.22-1.26) and an adjusted odds ratio (aOR) of inpatient mortality of 1.34 (95% CI, 1.31-1.37), compared to all other ED visits. Over the five-year period, the inpatient mortality remained relatively stable, between 3.8 and 5.1% (P = 0.09) (Figure 1). Age had the strongest association with mortality, with significant increases in mortality for each decile of life, including an aOR of 10.6 for those over 80 years old (95% CI, 10.06–11.22) compared to a reference of ages 18-30 years. Visits by PH patients in metropolitan teaching hospitals and those with trauma level designation were also associated with increased mortality. Female gender and private insurance status were associated with decreased aOR for mortality (Table 3).

DISCUSSION

In this nationally representative sample of ED visits, presentations by patients with ICD-9-CM and ICD-10-CM codes corresponding to PH were relatively common, accounting for 0.78% of weighted visits, similar to the results of a recent, single-center study. PH can arise from numerous etiologies, including idiopathic, connective-tissue disease, or drug-related causes (Group 1); left heart failure (Group 2); hypoxemic respiratory disease (Group 3); chronic thromboembolic disease (Group 4); and miscellaneous causes, such as sarcoidosis or sickle cell disease (Group 5). An older study using the NEDS database evaluated the rate of ED visits for patients with Group 1 PH, a rare condition with a reported prevalence of only 6.6-25 cases per million per year. A single-center study analyzed the demographics of all five PH groups presenting to the ED, but no study has previously assessed ED visits for patients with all five groups in a large, nationwide dataset. Present in almost 1% of all ED visits, PH is relatively common for a condition that has not been previously well described in the ED literature and is associated with significantly increased resource utilization and inpatient mortality.

In this investigation, most patients with PH were women, consistent with prior studies of PH. PH patients were significantly older than the remaining ED patient population, likely tracking with the development of PH secondary to comorbidities, such as left heart failure, hypoxic lung disease, and other chronic medical conditions. With improved treatments for PH, the life expectancy is increasing and coupled with the aging of the population, recognizing PH in the ED will become more important. Not only does the management of PH differ from other chronic medical conditions, but among patients with comorbidities such as congestive heart failure, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease or interstitial lung disease, PH is associated with an increased attributable mortality.

Patients with PH were significantly more likely to be admitted to the hospital than all other patients, at a rate of approximately 87%, similar to the previously published report of ED patients with Group 1 PH, at 82%. Likewise, other studies have found an increasing rate of hospitalizations associated with PH, including both Group 1 and secondary PH. A prior study demonstrated that the mean hospital LOS for PH increased from 5.89 days to 6.67 days (p = 0.04) between 2010 and 2013. These values are consistent with our findings for PH admissions originating from the ED, at 6.2 days, significantly longer than the average LOS for all other admissions from the ED. These findings indicate that patients with PH have high acuity in the ED. Although emergency physicians traditionally have not focused on this patient population, they recognize the acuity of their ED presentations, as they only discharge about 11%.
| ED Visit and Hospital Characteristics | Sampled Unweighted ED Visits, n | PH Visits Weighted, n (%) | 95% CI | All Other ED Visits Weighted, n (%) | 95% CI | P-value |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|-------|-------------------------------------|-------|---------|
| Overall                              | 121,503,743                   | 4,233,762 (0.78)         | 0.75-0.80 | 541,266,724 (99.22) | 99.20-99.25 | < 0.001 |
| Age, years                           |                               |                          |       |                                     |       |         |
| 18-30                                | 31,633,471                    | 67,213 (1.59)            | 1.49-1.69 | 141,853,675 (26.21) | 25.96-26.46 | < 0.001 |
| 31-40                                | 20,130,189                    | 114,276 (2.70)           | 2.58-2.82 | 90,156,103 (16.66) | 16.54-16.78 |       |
| 41-50                                | 19,095,656                    | 257,371 (5.98)           | 5.87-6.28 | 85,328,858 (15.76) | 15.65-15.88 |       |
| 51-60                                | 17,815,726                    | 257,371 (6.08)           | 5.87-6.28 | 79,640,167 (14.71) | 14.60-14.83 |       |
| 61-70                                | 12,909,470                    | 793,668 (18.75)          | 18.53-18.97 | 57,234,217 (10.57) | 10.48-10.67 |       |
| 71-80                                | 10,092,759                    | 1,034,252 (24.43)        | 24.18-24.67 | 44,269,286 (8.18) | 8.04-8.32 |       |
| >80                                  | 9,824,472                     | 1,427,806 (33.72)        | 33.06-34.39 | 42,784,418 (7.90) | 7.71-8.10 |       |
| Gender                               |                               |                          |       |                                     |       | < 0.001 |
| Male                                 | 51,679,738                    | 1,651,243 (39.00)        | 38.74-39.27 | 231,359,767 (42.74) | 42.51-42.98 |       |
| Female                               | 69,824,005                    | 2,582,519 (61.00)        | 60.73-61.26 | 309,906,957 (57.26) | 57.02-57.49 |       |
| Primary health insurance             |                               |                          |       |                                     |       | < 0.001 |
| Public                               | 60,883,058                    | 3,576,092 (84.47)        | 83.86-85.07 | 269,425,287 (49.78) | 49.21-50.35 |       |
| Private                              | 33,302,392                    | 493,085 (11.65)          | 11.05-12.24 | 150,158,160 (27.74) | 27.18-28.30 |       |
| Self-pay                             | 20,338,141                    | 86,003 (2.03)            | 1.88-2.18 | 89,467,529 (16.53) | 15.95-17.11 |       |
| Other                                | 7,180,152                     | 78,582 (1.89)            | 1.70-2.01 | 32,215,748 (5.95) | 5.62-6.28 |       |
| Median household income by ZIP code  |                               |                          |       |                                     |       | < 0.001 |
| 1 (lowest)                           | 40,894,148                    | 1,235,970 (29.19)        | 27.81-30.58 | 180,555,833 (33.36) | 32.18-34.53 |       |
| 2                                    | 31,486,020                    | 1,064,985 (25.15)        | 24.02-26.29 | 141,378,476 (26.12) | 25.29-26.95 |       |
| 3                                    | 26,541,369                    | 989,032 (23.36)          | 22.40-24.32 | 119,089,347 (22.00) | 21.21-22.80 |       |
| 4 (highest)                          | 19,926,113                    | 863,663 (20.40)          | 18.82-21.98 | 88,385,486 (16.33) | 15.31-17.35 |       |
| unknown                              | 2,656,093                     | 80,112 (1.89)            | 1.65-2.14 | 11,857,582 (2.19) | 2.06-2.32 |       |
| Geographic location                  |                               |                          |       |                                     |       | 0.004   |
| Northeast                            | 22,026,007                    | 733,850 (17.33)          | 15.62-19.05 | 103,308,707 (19.09) | 17.72-20.45 |       |
| South                                | 24,564,967                    | 1,027,327 (24.27)        | 22.04-26.49 | 124,582,458 (23.02) | 21.55-24.49 |       |
| Midwest                              | 52,412,565                    | 1,756,352 (41.48)        | 38.91-44.06 | 215,506,895 (39.82) | 38.00-41.63 |       |
| West                                 | 22,500,204                    | 716,233 (16.92)          | 15.34-18.49 | 97,868,663 (18.08) | 16.98-19.19 |       |
| Trauma center                        |                               |                          |       |                                     |       | 0.11    |
| No                                   | 51,678,478                    | 1,757,596 (41.51)        | 39.03-44.00 | 232,190,969 (42.90) | 41.18-44.61 |       |
| Yes                                  | 69,825,265                    | 2,476,166 (58.49)        | 56.01-60.97 | 309,075,755 (57.10) | 55.39-58.82 |       |
| Hospital teaching status             |                               |                          |       |                                     |       | < 0.001 |
| Metropolitan teaching                | 54,365,929                    | 2,242,816 (52.97)        | 50.49-55.46 | 250,316,054 (46.25) | 44.41-48.08 |       |
| Metropolitan non-teaching            | 47,415,858                    | 1,532,608 (36.20)        | 33.87-38.53 | 198,375,635 (36.65) | 35.05-38.25 |       |
| Nonmetropolitan                      | 19,721,956                    | 458,338 (10.83)          | 9.80-11.86 | 92,575,034 (17.10) | 16.21-17.99 |       |
| Urban location                       |                               |                          |       |                                     |       | < 0.001 |
| No                                   | 6,492,623                     | 76,945 (1.82)            | 1.53-2.11 | 30,706,683 (5.67) | 5.24-6.10 |       |
| Yes                                  | 115,011,120                   | 4,156,817 (98.18)        | 97.89-98.47 | 510,560,041 (94.33) | 93.90-94.76 |       |

CI, confidence interval; ED, emergency department; PH, pulmonary hypertension.
Accordingly, the inpatient mortality was significantly higher for patients with PH than other patients admitted via the ED, with a persistent inpatient mortality rate of 4-5% over the years studied. These findings are concordant with the previously published mortality rate for Group 1 PH patients, at 5.4%. A population-based analysis of mortality data from the National Vital Statistics System for 2001–2010 found that PH as any contributing cause of death was 5.5 per 100,000 in 2001 and 6.5 per 100,000 in 2010.

Prior studies of PH have had disparate results regarding gender-related differences in mortality, with some finding increased mortality in women, and others, increased mortality in men. In the current study, while PH patients were more commonly women, men had a higher risk of inpatient mortality, consistent with prior studies based in the ED. The reason for the discrepancy in prior, gender-based findings is not clear and merits further investigation.

Not surprisingly, older age was most strongly associated with increased inpatient mortality, with significant increases in mortality for each decile of life, including an aOR of 10.6 for those over 80 years old. While intuitive, this finding has been shown in other studies of Group 1 and secondary PH alike. Visits by PH patients to metropolitan teaching hospitals and those with trauma level designation were also associated with increased mortality, likely reflecting the complexity of patients at these institutions.

Patients with PH often experience a substantial delay between the onset of symptoms and diagnosis, with one study finding a two-year lag for 21% of patients with Group 1 PH, leading to patients being diagnosed late in their course. Delay in diagnosis correlates with decreased survival. As this current study demonstrates, patients with an existing diagnosis of PH were relatively common among ED visits, and with the historical under-appreciation of PH, more undiagnosed patients may also be presenting. The ED is a major point of contact with the healthcare system for many patients, providing an opportunity for the emergency physician to consider the diagnosis and make timely referrals. The most common presenting symptom for patients with PH is dyspnea, a common and nonspecific complaint in the ED. Given this vague presentation for patients with a high-acuity condition, increased awareness among emergency physicians is essential to improving timely diagnosis.

### Table 2. Outcomes for United States emergency department (ED) visits for patients with pulmonary hypertension (PH), 2011-2015.

| Outcome                          | PH            | All Other ED Visits | P-value |
|---------------------------------|---------------|---------------------|---------|
| ED Disposition, n (weighted %)  |               |                     |         |
| Discharged                      | 98,270 (10.44)| 92,972,703 (77.26)  | < 0.001 |
| Admitted to hospital            | 820,892 (86.89)| 19778120 (16.28)    | < 0.001 |
| Death in ED                     | 1,230 (0.13)  | 209,273 (0.17)      | < 0.001 |
| Hospital LOS (days), mean (95% CI)| 6.21 (6.13-6.28) | 4.83 (4.78-4.88)    | < 0.001 |
| Inpatient mortality, n (weighted %)| 36,708 (4.51) | 517,463 (2.63)      | < 0.001 |

CI, confidence interval; ED, emergency department; LOS, length of hospital stay; PH, pulmonary hypertension

### Figure 1. Change in inpatient mortality rate for pulmonary hypertension (PH) emergency department visits in the United States, 2011-2015. P = 0.09 for trend.
LIMITATIONS

The NEDS database relies on administrative rather than clinical data, and this study was not designed to reflect details of clinical care in the ED that may have affected mortality or admission rates. Second, studies based upon ICD-9-CM and ICD-10-CM codes are always at risk of classification bias, and this is a particular issue with a previously under-reported condition such as PH. A review of patients with moderate to severe PH in the VA system found that only 17% of these patients had PH documented as a diagnosis in their medical records.5

Other studies have shown that Group 1 PH is recorded in public records at a higher prevalence than it is at specialized centers.19 It is unknown whether the larger records are overestimating the prevalence or whether the specialized centers are underestimating. As PH can arise from multiple comorbidities known to be associated with increased mortality, such as left-sided heart failure and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, these comorbidities may be responsible for the increased utilization and mortality seen in the PH cohort. However, patients with PH complicating heart failure26 and pulmonary disease28 have higher mortality that patients with those conditions without PH.

Changes in the ICD-9-CM and ICD-10-CM coding during the study period may also have affected the results. The top ICD-9 primary diagnosis codes for admitted patients refer to the indication for the outpatient visit in the HCUP database, and therefore, do not necessarily reflect the reason the patient was admitted. NEDS does not contain patient identifiers. We were therefore unable to assess the frequency of return visits, repeat admissions, or long-term outcomes.

CONCLUSION

In this nationally representative sample of US ED visits, presentations by patients with ICD-9-CM and ICD-10-CM codes corresponding to PH were relatively common, accounting for 0.78% of visits by adults. Patients with PH were significantly more likely to be admitted to the hospital than all other patients and had an increased risk of inpatient mortality compared to all other ED visits. Older age was most strongly associated with increased inpatient mortality. With the aging of the population, recognizing PH will become increasingly important for ED clinicians. As PH often presents with only vague symptoms, emergency physicians should be aware of this common, high-acuity condition to improve timely diagnosis.

Table 3. Association of pulmonary hypertension (PH) emergency department (ED) visits with inpatient mortality in the United States, 2011-2015.

| Variables                   | aOR (95% CI)        | P-value |
|-----------------------------|---------------------|---------|
| PH disease                  |                     |         |
| No                          | 1 (Reference)       |         |
| Yes                         | 1.34 (1.31-1.37)    | < 0.001 |
| Age                         |                     |         |
| 18-30                       | 1 (Reference)       |         |
| 31-40                       | 1.39 (1.34-1.44)    | < 0.001 |
| 41-50                       | 2.39 (2.29-2.50)    | < 0.001 |
| 51-60                       | 3.97 (3.79-4.16)    | < 0.001 |
| 61-70                       | 5.69 (5.41-5.97)    | < 0.001 |
| 71-80                       | 7.38 (7.01-7.78)    | < 0.001 |
| > 80                        | 10.63 (10.06-11.22) | < 0.001 |
| Gender                      |                     |         |
| Male                        | 1 (Reference)       |         |
| Female                      | 0.78 (0.77-0.78)    | < 0.001 |
| Primary health insurance    |                     |         |
| Public                      | 1 (Reference)       |         |
| Private                     | 0.90 (0.88-0.92)    | < 0.001 |
| Self-pay                    | 1.02 (0.98-1.05)    | 0.36    |
| Other                       | 0.96 (0.91-1.02)    | 0.15    |
| Geographic location         |                     |         |
| Northeast                   | 1 (Reference)       |         |
| South                       | 0.88 (0.84-0.92)    | < 0.001 |
| Midwest                     | 0.92 (0.88-0.96)    | < 0.001 |
| West                        | 1.10 (1.05-1.16)    | < 0.001 |
| Trauma center               |                     |         |
| No                          | 1 (Reference)       |         |
| Yes                         | 1.13 (1.09-1.18)    | < 0.001 |
| Hospital teaching status    |                     |         |
| Metropolitan teaching       | 1 (Reference)       |         |
| Metropolitan non-teaching   | 0.85 (0.82-0.89)    | < 0.001 |
| Nonmetropolitan             | 0.89 (0.85-0.93)    | < 0.001 |

aOR, adjusted odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; PH, pulmonary hypertension.
Demographics and Outcomes of PH Patients in US EDs

Address for Correspondence: Susan R. Wilcox, MD, Massachusetts General Hospital, Department of Emergency Medicine, Zero Emerson Place, Office 3B, Boston, MA 02114. Email: swilcox1@partners.org.

Conflicts of Interest: By the WestJEM article submission agreement, all authors are required to disclose all affiliations, funding sources and financial or management relationships that could be perceived as potential sources of bias. This work was supported by an institutional grant, The Eleanor and Miles Shore Fellowship Program, through Harvard Medical School, hosted by the Department of Emergency Medicine at Massachusetts General Hospital. There are no conflicts of interest or other sources of funding to declare.

Copyright: © 2020 Wilcox et al. This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY 4.0) License. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

REFERENCES

1. Hoeper MM, Bogaard HJ, Condiffe R, et al. Definitions and diagnosis of pulmonary hypertension. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2013;62(25 Suppl):D42-50.
2. Harjola VP, Mebazaa A, Celutkiene J, et al. Contemporaneous management of acute right ventricular failure: a statement from the Heart Failure Association and the Working Group on Pulmonary Circulation and Right Ventricular Function of the European Society of Cardiology. Eur J Heart Fail. 2016;18(3):226-41.
3. Savale L, Weatherald J, Jais X, et al. Acute decompensated pulmonary hypertension. Eur Respir Rev. 2017;26(146):170992.
4. Wilcox SR, Kabrhel C, Channick RN. Pulmonary hypertension and right ventricular failure in emergency medicine. Ann Emerg Med. 2015;66(6):619-28.
5. Maron BA, Choudhary G, Khan UA, et al. Clinical profile and underdiagnosis of pulmonary hypertension in US veteran patients. Circ Fail. 2013;6(5):906-12.
6. Naeije R, Brimioulle S, Dewachter L. Biomechanics of the right ventricle in health and disease (2013 Grover Conference series). Pulm Circ. 2014;4(3):395-406.
7. Russell FM, Kline JA, Lahm T. High rate of isolated right ventricular dysfunction in patients with non-significant computed tomographic pulmonary angiography. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2017;36(2):281-4.
8. Russell FM, Moore CL, Courtney DM, et al. Independent evaluation of a simple clinical prediction rule to identify right ventricular dysfunction in patients with shortness of breath. Am J Emerg Med. 2015;33(4):542-7.
9. Simonneau G, Gatouillis MA, Adatia I, et al. Updated clinical classification of pulmonary hypertension. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2013;62(25 Suppl):D34-41.
10. Bowman JK, Dutta S, Zheng H, et al. Patients with pulmonary hypertension presenting to the emergency department. Am J Emerg Med. 2019;pii:S0735-6775(19)30679-5.
11. Rich JD, Rich S. Clinical diagnosis of pulmonary hypertension. Circulation. 2014;130(20):1820-30.
12. Stein PD, Matta F, Hughes PG. Scope of the problem of pulmonary arterial hypertension. Am J Med. 2015;128(8):844-51.
13. Cruz M Dela, Devey JS. Emergency department diagnosis of pulmonary hypertension in a patient with left atrial sarcoma. Int J Emerg Med. 2014;7:32.
14. Olaes K, Mailhot T, Perera P. Pulmonary hypertension, hemoptysis and an echocardiographic finding of a ventricular septal defect. West J Emerg Med. 2012;13(6):516.
15. Chen Y, Guo L, Li Y, Chen G-LL, et al. Severe pulmonary arterial hypertension secondary to lupus in the emergency department: proactive intense care associated with a better short-term survival. Int J Rheum Dis. 2014;18(3):331-5.
16. Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project (HCUP). Overview of the Nationwide Emergency Department Sample (NEDS). 2016. Available at: https://www.hcup-us.ahrq.gov/nedsoverview.jsp. Accessed October 26, 2017.
17. Thenappan T, Ryan JJ, Archer SL. Evolving epidemiology of pulmonary arterial hypertension. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2012;186(6):707-9.
18. Humbert M, Sitbon O, Chauvat A, et al. Pulmonary arterial hypertension in France. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2006;173(9):1023-30.
19. Peacock AJ, Murphy NF, McMurray JJ V, et al. An epidemiological study of pulmonary arterial hypertension. Eur Respir J. 2007;30(1):104-9.
20. Agarwal R, Gomberg-Maitland M. Current therapeutics and practical management strategies for pulmonary arterial hypertension. Am Heart J. 2011;162(2):201-13.
21. Nathan SD, Barbera JA, Gaine SP, et al. Pulmonary hypertension in chronic lung disease and hypoxia. Eur Respir J. 2019;53(1):1801914.
22. Hayes D, Black SM, Tobias JD, et al. Influence of pulmonary hypertension on patients with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis awaiting lung transplantation. Ann Thorac Surg. 2016;101(1):246-52.
23. Hayes D, Black SM, Tobias JD, et al. Influence of pulmonary hypertension on survival in advanced lung disease. Lung. 2015;193(2):213-21.
24. Hayes D, Black SM, Tobias JD, et al. Prevalence of pulmonary hypertension and its influence on survival in patients with advanced chronic obstructive pulmonary disease prior to lung transplantation. COPD. 2016;13(1):50-6.
25. Zolty R. Challenges in pulmonary hypertension associated with left heart disease. Expert Rev Cardiovasc Ther. 2019;17(6):461-72.
26. Rosenkranz S, Gibbs JSR, Wachter R, et al. Left ventricular heart failure and pulmonary hypertension. Eur Heart J. 2016;37(12):942-54.
27. Opitz CF, Blindt R, Blumberg F, et al. Pulmonary hypertension: hemodynamic evaluation: hemodynamic evaluation - recommendations of the Cologne Consensus Conference 2010. Disch Med Wochenschr. 2010;135 Suppl:S78-86.
28. Seeger W, Adir Y, Barbera JA, et al. Pulmonary hypertension in chronic lung diseases. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2013;62(25 Suppl):D109-16.
29. Voswinckel R, Reichenberger F, Enke B, et al. Acute effects of the combination of sildenafil and inhaled treprostinil on haemodynamics and gas exchange in pulmonary hypertension. Pulm Pharmacol Ther. 2008;21(5):824-32.
30. George MG, Schieb LJ, Ayala C, et al. Pulmonary hypertension surveillance: United States, 2001 to 2010. Chest. 2014;146(2):476-95.
31. Sikachi RR, Sahni S, Mehta D, et al. Nationwide trends in inpatient
admissions of pulmonary hypertension in the United States from 2000 to
2013. *Adv Respir Med.* 2017;85(2):77-86.

32. Chang W, Weng S, Hsu C, et al. Prognostic factors in patients with
pulmonary hypertension: a nationwide cohort study. *J Am Heart Assoc.*
2016;5(9).

33. Lahm T, Tuder RM, Petrache I. Progress in solving the sex hormone
paradox in pulmonary hypertension. *Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol.*
2014;307(1):L7-26.

34. Link J, Glazer C, Torres F, et al. International Classification
of Diseases coding changes lead to profound declines in
reported idiopathic pulmonary arterial hypertension mortality
and hospitalizations: implications for database studies. *Chest.*
2011;139(3):497-504.

35. Brown LM, Chen H, Halpem S, et al. Delay in recognition of pulmonary
arterial hypertension: factors identified from the REVEAL registry. *Chest.*
2011;140(1):19-26.

36. Humbert M, Sitbon O, Yaici A, et al. Survival in incident and prevalent
cohorts of patients with pulmonary arterial hypertension. *Eur Respir J.*
2010;36(3):549-55.

37. Nawar EW, Niska RW, Xu J. National Hospital Ambulatory Medical
Care Survey: 2005 emergency department summary. *Adv Data.*
2007;(386):1-32.

38. Rich JD, Rich S. Clinical diagnosis of pulmonary hypertension.
*Circulation.* 2014;130(20):1820-30.

39. Mockel M, Searle J, Muller R, et al. Chief complaints in medical
emergencies. *Eur J Emerg Med.* 2013;20(2):103-8.