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ABSTRACT

2-Chloro-3-tosyl-5,5-dimethyl-2-cyclohexenone was subjected to a series of regiospecific Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling reactions in suspensions of nine different substituted boronic acids, Pd(OAc)₂, P(Ph)₃, K₂PO₃, and 1,4-dioxane solvent, under sealed tube conditions. The regiospecific substitution of the tosyl group by the aryl group in preference over the chloride group was observed. A comparison between the bromo- and tosylate group’s reactivities is highlighted. Using the methodology, the products: 2-chloro-3-aryl-5,5-dimethyl-2-cyclohexenones were isolated in greater than 85% yields. Good quality crystals of three representative compounds were obtained by slow evaporation technique and subjected to single crystal XRD studies, Hirshfeld surface analysis, 3-D energy framework, and molecular docking studies. Crystal data for compound 3: C₁₅H₁₇ClO₄S: monoclinic, space group P2₁/c; (no. 14), a = 8.8687(3) Å, b = 10.5537(4) Å, c = 16.6862(7) Å, β = 98.597(3)°, V = 1561.78(10) Å³, Z = 4, T = 290 K, μ(MoKα) = 0.390 mm⁻¹, Dcalc = 1.399 g/cm³, 13623 reflections measured (6.716° ≤ 2θ ≤ 54.962°), 3570 unique (Rint = 0.0467, Rsigma = 0.0512) which were used in all calculations. The final R₁ = 0.0452 (I > 2σ(I)) and wR₂ = 0.1019 (all data). Crystal data for compound 5e: C₂₀H₁₈O₂FCl: monoclinic, space group P2₁/c (no. 14), a = 6.4900(5) Å, b = 18.6070(13) Å, c = 14.2146(11) Å, β = 102.324(2)°, V = 1677.0(2) Å³, Z = 2, T = 296(2) K, μ(MoKα) = 0.239 mm⁻¹, Dcalc = 1.309 g/cm³, 25575 reflections measured (6.262° ≤ 2θ ≤ 52.224°), 3283 unique (Rint = 0.0494, Rsigma = 0.0307) which were used in all calculations. The final R₁ = 0.0875 (I > 2σ(I)) and wR₂ = 0.2056 (all data). Crystal data for compound 5a: C₁₅H₁₅ClO₄S: triclinic, space group P-1 (no. 2), a = 6.7517(6) Å, b = 8.8376(9) Å, c = 12.6094(12) Å, α = 109.538(3)°, β = 98.597(3)°, γ = 90.417(3)°, V = 699.52(12) Å³, Z = 1, T = 290 K, μ(MoKα) = 0.410 mm⁻¹, Dcalc = 1.376 g/cm³, 28754 reflections measured (6.114° ≤ 2θ ≤ 59.288°), 3898 unique (Rint = 0.0544, Rsigma = 0.0349) which were used in all calculations. The final R₁ = 0.1101 (I > 2σ(I)) and wR₂ = 0.2481 (all data).
The newly synthesized compounds were purified by column chromatography and recrystallized using petroleum benzine (60-74 °C). Good quality crystals of three representative compounds which include the substrate tosylate 3 and two products: 2-chloro-3-(3′-fluoro-4′-phenyl)-phenyl-5,5-dimethyl-2-cyclohexene (5e) and 2-chloro-3-(2′-benzothio phenyl)-5,5-dimethyl-2-cyclohexene (5h) were obtained by slow evaporation technique and subjected to single crystal X-ray diffraction study. Their evaluation unambiguously confirms the formation of the tosylate and its regiospecific conversion into 2-chloro-3-aryl-5,5-dimethyl-2-cyclohexenones, by ipso-substitution of tosyl group. The Hirshfeld 3-D energy framework and in silico docking studies are reported.

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials and characterization

All reactions were performed using oven-dried glass apparatus. Analytical Reagent grade solvents were purchased from SD Fine Chemicals Limited and Merck; Bangalore and used without further purification. The progress of the reactions was periodically monitored by Thin Layer Chromatography analysis of aliquots (Merck 60F254 precoated silica plates) at a regular interval of time. The purification of the crude compounds was done by column chromatography using silica gel (Merck, 60-120 mesh) as the stationary phase and 2.5% ethyl acetate and petroleum benzine (60-74 °C) as the mobile phase. IR spectra of the compounds were recorded using Bruker ALPHA-P instrument. GC-MS were recorded on Agilent instrument equipped with Ascentis Express C18 (50 mm x 2.1 mm x 2.7 µm) column. 

The 1H NMR and 13C NMR of the novel compounds were obtained on Bruker AC 400 spectrometer using CDCl3 as solvent and tetramethylsilane as internal standard. Chemical shifts are reported in δ (ppm downfield) with reference to tetramethylsilane. Elemental analyses were carried out with a VarioMicro Cube V1.9.7 CHNS mode elemental analyzer. Melting point of the compounds isolated as solids was recorded using VEEGO melting point apparatus model: VMP-DS and remain uncorrected.

Single-crystal X-ray diffraction (XRD) data were collected at 290 K on Bruker Apex II diffractometer. The complete intensity data set was processed using SAINT software [8]. Direct method was employed to solve the structure of the compounds using SHELXS program, followed by refinement by full matrix least squares based on F2 using SHELXL [9]. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically, while hydrogen atoms were fixed at chemically allowed positions. After several cycles of refinement, the structures of molecules were refined anisotropically, while hydrogen atoms were fixed at chemically allowed positions. After several cycles of refinement, the structures of molecules 3, 5e and 5h were finally reduced to the Goodness-of-Fit to 1.04, 1.055, and 1.06, respectively (Table 1). All geometrical data were calculated using PLATON [10], ORTEP and packing diagrams were generated using MERCURY [11]. The bond length and bond angle values are summarized in Tables 2 and 3, which are in agreement with reported structures [12,13]. The Hirshfeld surfaces were mapped on dnorm and electrostatic potential using a STO-3G basis set at the Hartree-Fock (HF) level of theory. The associated two-dimensional fingerprint plots were used to calculate the percentage contribution of various interatomic contacts towards the formation of three-dimensional Hirshfeld surface, using CrystalExplorer 17.5 software [14-16].

![Scheme 1. Regiospecific synthesis of 2-chloro-3-aryl-5,5-dimethyl-2-cyclohexenones (5a-i).](image-url)

Table 1. Crystal structure and refinement statistics.

| Properties / Compound | 3 | 5e | 5h |
|-----------------------|---|---|---|
| CCDC                  | 1875712 | 1940404 | 1940405 |
| Empirical formula     | C19H17ClO4S | C19H17ClO4S | C19H17ClO4S |
| Formula weight        | 328.79 | 328.79 | 328.79 |
| Temperature (K)       | 290 | 290 | 290 |
| Crystal system        | Monoclinic | Monoclinic | Triclinic |
| Space group           | P21/c | P21/c | P1 |
| a (Å)                 | 8.86(7) | 6.49(5) | 6.75(6) |
| b (Å)                 | 10.55(7) | 18.60(7) | 8.38(7) |
| c (Å)                 | 16.68(6) | 14.21(6) | 12.60(4) |
| α (°)                 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| β (°)                 | 89.80(3) | 102.32(2) | 98.59(3) |
| γ (°)                 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| Volume (Å³)           | 1561.70(8) | 1677.0(2) | 699.52(12) |
| Z                      | 4 | 4 | 2 |
| μ (g/cm³)             | 1.398 | 1.309 | 1.376 |
| μ (mm⁻¹)              | 0.390 | 0.239 | 0.410 |
| F(000)                | 688.0 | 688.0 | 302.0 |
| Crystal size (mm³)    | 0.35 x 0.33 x 0.30 | 0.34 x 0.29 x 0.25 | 0.39 x 0.35 x 0.30 |
| Radiation              | MoKα (λ = 0.71073 Å) | MoKα (λ = 0.71073 Å) | MoKα (λ = 0.71073 Å) |
| 2θ range for data collection (°) | 6.716 to 54.962 | 6.262 to 52.224 | 6.114 to 59.288 |
| Index ranges           | -11 ≤ h ≤ 11 | -12 ≤ k ≤ 13 | -9 ≤ h ≤ 9 |
|                        | -12 ≤ k ≤ 13 | -22 ≤ h ≤ 22 | -9 ≤ k ≤ 9 |
|                        | -21 ≤ s ≤ 18 | -17 ≤ s ≤ 17 | -12 ≤ s ≤ 12 |
| Reflections collected | 13623 | 25575 | 28875 |
| Independent reflections | 3570 [Rwp = 0.0467, Rp = 0.0512] | 3283 [Rwp = 0.0494, Rp = 0.0307] | 3891 [Rwp = 0.0554, Rp = 0.0349] |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 3570/0/193 | 3283/0/211 | 3898/0/176 |
| Goodness-of-fit on F2  | 1.041 | 1.055 | 1.060 |
| Final R indexes [1σ(2σ)] | R1 = 0.0452, wR2 = 0.0906 | R1 = 0.0875, wR2 = 0.1968 | R1 = 0.1101, wR2 = 0.2374 |
| Final R indexes [all data] | R1 = 0.0612, wR2 = 0.1019 | R1 = 0.1060, wR2 = 0.2056 | R1 = 0.1374, wR2 = 0.2481 |
| Largest diff. peak/hole (e Å⁻³) | 0.29/0.33 | 0.29/0.37 | 0.50/0.50 |
The interaction energies in a molecule, resulting in the formation of three-dimensional architecture of a crystal, were calculated using CrystalExplorer17.5 software [17,18]. The software was also used to calculate interaction energies in the representative crystals of compounds 3, 5e and 5h.

Crystallographic structure of 121p (H-rad) protein with a resolution of 1.54 Å was obtained from protein data bank (PDB). The heteroatom and ligand data were removed from the protein pdb file and used for further docking studies. The novel molecules 5e and 5h were taken as ligands. Ligand and protein molecules were converted into pdbqt format in PyRx 0.8 docking tool, with a built-in Vina wizard [19]. The protein and ligand were docked with a grid box size of 42.1, 39.87, 43.82 Å and grid centre 5.86, 25.15, 11.93 Å. The atomic interactions and electrostatic maps of the ligands were calculated using the autogrid module. Out of several possible interactions, the complex with lowest binding energy was considered for ligand-protein docking studies. Molecular graphics laboratory (MGL) tools were used to analyze the results from Vina Wizard and the best conformation with lowest binding energy was exported for 2D plot generation using LigPilot+ [20]. The docking conformation of the best complex was represented using PyMOL [5].

### 2.2. Synthesis and analytical data

#### 2.2.1. Synthesis of 2-chloro-3-tosyl-5,5-dimethyl-2-cyclohexene (3)

To a solution of 2-chloro-5,5-dimethyl-1,3-cyclohexanediene (2, 11.49 mmole) and triethylamine (2.32 g, 22.98 mmole) in 30 mL THF, stirred at room temperature, was added p-toluenesulfonylchloride (p-TsCl, 2.5 g, 13.157 mmole) over a period of 1 hour. After completion of addition, the reaction mixture was heated to reflux and the progress of the reaction...
was periodically monitored by TLC analysis of small aliquots at regular intervals of time. After the complete conversion was indicated by disappearance of starting material on TLC, the reaction mixture was diluted with water and extracted with ethyl acetate (3 × 20 mL). The organic layer was separated, washed with water (3 × 20 mL), brine solution (3 × 20 mL) and purified by column chromatography using 2.8 ethyl acetate: petroleum benzene (60-74 °C) as mobile phase and silica gel (100-200 mesh) as stationary phase to isolate 3.6 g of 2-chloro-3-tosyl-5,5-dimethyl-2-cyclohexenone (Scheme 1).

2-Chloro-3-(3'-fluoro-4'-phenyl)-phenyl-5, 5-dimethyl-2-cyclohexene (5e): Color: White. Yield: 94%. M.p.: 82-84 °C. FT-IR (KBr, 3-tosyl-5,5-dimethyl-2-cyclohexenone (100-200 mesh) as stationary phase to isolate 3.6 g of 2-chloro-3-tosyl-5,5-dimethyl-2-cyclohexenone (3) (Scheme 1).

5,5-Dimethyl-1,3-cyclohexadienedione (1) was sequentially converted to 2-chloro-5,5-dimethyl-2,3-cyclohexadienedione (2) by reaction with N-chlorosuccinimide (NCS) in CHCl₃ [5]. Compound 2 on heating with p-toluenesulfonylchloride (p-TsCl) with triethylamine (TEA) in THF furnished the novel organoazotetra:2-chloro-3-tosyl-5, 5-dimethyl-2-cyclohexene (3).

The compound 3 is a suitable substrate for Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling reaction, with two possible reaction sites: the carbon bearing the chlorine and the carbon bearing the tosyl group. Hence, as trial reaction 3 was subjected to Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling reaction with 2-methoxysulphenyl boronic acid (4a), using different palladium catalysts: Pd(PPh₃)₄, Pd(OAc)₂ and Pd(dppf)Cl₂, in the presence of differing bases: K₂CO₃/Na₂CO₃ and K₃PO₄ in DMF/1,4-dioxane/THF solvents. Out of several catalytic systems used, the best results with respect to reaction duration and isolated yields of mono-arylated product 2-chloro-3-(3'-methoxy) phenyl-5,5-dimethyl-2-cyclohexene (5a) was obtained by employing Pd(OAc)₂ (0.19 mmoles), K₂CO₃ (4.09 mmoles) in 5 mL 1,4-dioxane solvent at 110 °C under nitrogen atmosphere. The reaction conditions were further optimized and eight different aryl boronic acids (4b-i) were reacted with compound 3 under the same reaction conditions to obtain compounds 5b-i in greater than 85% isolated yields. Each reaction was carried for a minimum of three trials and the optimized yields and reaction durations are given in Table 4.

Formation of products 5a-i confirms the regiospecific nature of the reaction due to differential carbon-chlorine and carbon-tosyl bond strengths.

3.1. Mechanism

In general, α,β-unsaturated ketones are well recognized to be polarized with the separation of a discrete negative charge on the oxygen and corresponding positive charge on the β-vinyl carbon. In the reactant molecule 3, this renders the carbon-tosylate bond to cleave easily, rendering the tosylate group to become a good leaving group [21]. Further, the present study, in comparison to our earlier report [5] clearly indicates that between the bromo and the tosylate substituents, the reactivity of the tosylate group is slightly lesser when compared to the bromo substituent. The tosyl group, under the conditions employed by us takes a rather longer duration of time for completion of the reaction in comparison to the bromo-analogue, indicating that even though the tosyl group is a good leaving group, it has reactivity lesser than the bromo-group in terms of leaving group capacity. These results are in good concordance with the recent findings of other scientists. Therefore, all these studies indicate that the reactivity of leaving groups is bromo > triflate > tosylate > chloro [22]. The rest of the reaction mechanistic cycle for our reactions, we postulate that to traverse through accepted routes reported by other scientists for the Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling reaction [3, 5, 23].

3.2. Crystal and molecular structure description

Good quality crystals of three representative compounds: 2-chloro-3-tosyl-5,5-dimethyl-2-cyclohexene (3), 2-chloro-3-(3'-fluoro-4'-phenyl)-phenyl-5,5-dimethyl-2-cyclohexene (5e) and 2-chloro-3-(2'-benzoxophenyl)-5, 5-dimethyl-2-cyclohexene (5h) were obtained by slow evaporation method in AR grade petroleum benzene solvent and subjected to single-crystal XRD studies.
The compounds 2-chloro-3-tosyl-5, 5-dimethyl-2-cyclohexenone (3) and 2-chloro-3-(3'-fluoro-4'-phenyl)-phenyl-5,5-dimethyl-2-cyclohexenone (5e) crystallize in monoclinic system (P2₁/c). However, 2-chloro-3-(2'-benzothiophenyl)-5,5-dimethyl-2-cyclohexenone (5h) crystallizes in triclinic system (P-1). The molecular view of compounds 3, 5e and 5h are given in Figure 1. The crystal structure and refinement details for the compounds 3, 5e and 5h are summarized in Table 1. In compound 3, the Cg1 and Cg2 [ring centroid of C9/C14] planes are inclined to each other about the central -SO₃ group with the dihedral angle of 62.38(9)°. The S1 atom in the central part of the structure exhibits distorted trigonal geometry, confirmed by the bond angle values of O4-S1-O3=120.14°, O3-S1-O1=109.54° and O4-S1-O1=102.02°. In molecule 5e, the Cg1 plane makes a dihedral angle of 57.82° and 24.03° with Cg2 [ring centroid of C9/C14] and Cg3 [ring centroid of C15/C20] planes, respectively.
Table 5. Hydrogen bonding geometry (Å, °).

| Compound | D-H···A | D-H | H···A | D···A | ∠D-H···A | Symmetry code |
|----------|---------|------|-------|-------|----------|---------------|
| 3        | C10-H10···O4 | 0.93 | 2.58  | 3.43  | 154      | -1-x, 1/2+y, 1/2-z |
|          | C11-H11···O2 | 0.93 | 2.58  | 3.371 | 143      | 2-x, 1/2+y, 1/2-z |
|          | C3-H3A···O1  | 0.93 | 2.62  | 3.437 | 143      | 1-x, 1/2+y, 1/2-z |
| 5e       | C11-H11···Cl1* | 0.93 | 2.84  | 3.634 | 144      | 1-x, 1/2+y, 1/2-z |
| 5h       | C20-H20···F1* | 0.93 | 2.47  | 2.928 | 111      | 1-x, 1/2+y, 1/2-z |
|          | C8-H8A···O1  | 0.93 | 2.72  | 3.606 | 165      | -1+x, y, z      |

* Weak intermolecular interaction.
* Intramolecular interaction.

The plane of central fluorophenyl ring Cg2 makes the dihedral angle of 35.09° with Cg3 plane, indicating more twist between the planes of Cg1 and Cg2, due to electrostatic repulsion of hydrogen atoms on the ring systems. In molecule 5h, the Cg2 plane makes a dihedral angle of 22.90° with Cg4 [ring centroid of S1-C9/C16].

The packing diagrams for molecules 3, 5e, and 5h are depicted in Figure 2. The crystal structure of compound 3 is stabilized by intermolecular hydrogen bonding interactions of the type C3-H3A···O1, C10-H10···O4 and C11-H11···O2 connecting the molecules in the form of one-dimensional polymeric chains propagating infinitely along crystallographic b-axis (i), while these interactions generate the layer stacking appearance along crystallographic a-axis (ii). In the crystal structure of molecule 5e, the packing of molecules was established by a weak intermolecular interaction of the type C8-H8A···O1 incorporating an S(6) closed ring motif.

3.3. Hirshfeld surfaces and two-dimensional fingerprint calculations

The three-dimensional Hirshfeld surfaces were mapped on dnorm and electrostatic potential for the compounds 3, 5e and 5h, with the following area and volume: 335.11, 357.45, 304.41 Å² and 383.93, 412.37, 343.47 Å³, respectively. The Hirshfeld surfaces for all the compounds were obtained in transparent mode to visualize atoms and functional groups involved in the molecular structures, as shown in Figure 3. The intermolecular interactions (Table 5) involved in the crystal packing of the compounds 3, 5e, and 5h were investigated. In the given orientations of Hirshfeld surfaces mapped on dnorm, the reader can notice the donor parts of intermolecular interactions listed in Table 5. The bright red coloured circular spots labelled as 1, 2 and 3 on dnorm of compound 3 reveals the donor parts of intermolecular C10-H10···O4, C11-H11···O2 and C3-H3A···O1 interactions respectively. Fortunately, on the posture of dnorm surface of compound 5e, both, the donor and acceptor regions of weak intermolecular C11-H11···Cl1 interaction are seen at the bright red colored regions labelled as 1 and 2 respectively. Whereas, only the donor part (labelled as 1) of intermolecular C8-H8A···O1 interaction is visualized on the dnorm of compound 5h.
Further, in the same way, the similar donor and acceptor regions of intermolecular interactions incurred in the crystal packing of the compounds 3, 5e and 5h are recognized as blue and red colored patches on the Hirshfeld surfaces mapped on electrostatic potentials. The presence of other blue and red colored patches on it demonstrates the positions of electro positive and negative elements of the molecules [28].

The two-dimensional fingerprint plots for molecules 3, 5e and 5h are given in Figure 4. The results of the compound 3 showed the inter-contacts H···O, H···H, H···C, and H···Cl have the following contribution 36.3, 33.9, 14.3 and 13.4%, respectively. This indicate, in compound 3, the H···O pairs of contacts have been recorded as the predominant contribution towards the formation of a three-dimensional Hirshfeld surface, which agrees with C-H···O interactions. In the compound 5e, the H···H, H···C, H···Cl, H···Cl and H···F inter contacts have contributed 42.4%, 22.6%, 10.5%, 10.3% and 9.3% respectively. The contribution of H···C and H···Cl to the Hirshfeld surface in compound 5e reflects the presence of weak intermolecular C11-H11···Cl1 interaction. Whereas, in compound 5h, the inter-contacts H···H, H···C, H···Cl, H···O and H···S have contributed 41.7, 14.5, 14.4, 11.8 and 9.7%, respectively, further the H···C and H···O contributions due to the presence of intermolecular C8-H8A···O1 interaction. In the compounds 5e and 5h the H···H pair of contacts is the major contribution.

### 3.4. Three-dimensional interaction energies

The crystal packing with stabilized interactions is visualized and analysed using qualitative energy framework analysis. In this method, we calculated the interaction energy between the various molecular pairs and generated a three-dimensional topology of the dominant interactions in molecular crystal packing of the compounds 3, 5e and 5h. The total interaction energies of the compounds 3, 5e and 5h were resolved into $E_{\text{el}}$, $E_{\text{dis}}$, $E_{\text{pol}}$, and $E_{\text{rep}}$ components.
Molecular orientation

Hirshfeld surface mapped on $d_{norm}$

Electrostatic potentials

Figure 3. The Hirshfeld surfaces mapped on $d_{norm}$ and electrostatic potentials for molecules 3, 5e, and 5h compounds. The bright red color spots on $d_{norm}$ of each molecule indicates intermolecular interactions. The red and blue regions on electrostatic potentials represent the corresponding electrostatic negative acceptor and positive donor potentials involved in intermolecular interactions.

Figure 4. The fingerprint plots compound 3, compound 5e, and compound 5h compounds. The grey-coloured pattern indicates the outline of the full fingerprint. The $d_e$ and $d_i$ along $y$ and $z$ axes are the closest nuclei external and internal to the three-dimensional Hirshfeld surface [29].
The three-dimensional interaction energy profiles of Coloumbic dispersion and total energy components were constructed for the title compounds using default red, green, and blue-colored tubes. The variation of thickness of solid cylinders mapping the molecules in each energy frame works to identify the relative strength of interaction between the molecules, which are also confirmed by their noticeable higher negative energy values are given in Table 6-8 and energy values are given in Figures 5, 6 and 7. In each profile of the title compounds 3, 5e and 5h, the tube size was maintained as 150 with 10kJ/mol cut-off energy values to avoid mapping of weak interactions and for clarity purpose they are depicted in Figure 8-10.

In the cluster of 3 molecules, the maximum total interaction energy was $E_{tot} = -35.5 \text{ kJ/mol} \ [E_{ele} = -10.9 \text{ kJ/mol}; E_{pol} = -4.3 \text{ kJ/mol}; E_{dis} = -46.69 \text{ kJ/mol} \ and \ E_{rep} = 32.1 \text{ kJ/mol}]$ with the molecule interacting at the molecular centroid distance of R = 8.20 Å. The other higher total interaction energy $E_{tot} = -31.4$ was observed for the molecules interacting at R = 7.93 Å [$E_{ele} = -13.2 \text{ kJ/mol}; E_{pol} = -4.8 \text{ kJ/mol}; E_{dis} = -34.7 \text{ kJ/mol} \ and \ E_{rep} = 22.3 \text{ kJ/mol}]$.

The stabilization of 5e molecules, in which the highest total interaction energy $E_{tot} = -53.8 \text{ kJ/mol} \ [E_{ele} = -18.7 \text{ kJ/mol}; E_{pol} = -4.6 \text{ kJ/mol}; E_{dis} = -59.4 \text{ kJ/mol} \ and \ E_{rep} = 34.0 \text{ kJ/mol}]$ was observed for the molecule interacting at R = 4.68 Å. The least total interaction energy $E_{tot} = -2.9 \text{ kJ/mol} \ [E_{ele} = -0.7 \text{ kJ/mol}; E_{pol} = -0.1 \text{ kJ/mol}; E_{dis} = -3.6 \text{ kJ/mol} \ and \ E_{rep} = 0.3 \text{ kJ/mol}]$ in the cluster was found with the two molecules interacting at R = 14.31 Å.

In the cluster of 5h molecules, the maximum total interaction energy was $E_{tot} = -27.5 \text{ kJ/mol} \ [E_{ele} = -2.9 \text{ kJ/mol}; E_{pol} = -1.4 \text{ kJ/mol}; E_{dis} = -42.0 \text{ kJ/mol} \ and \ E_{rep} = 21.4 \text{ kJ/mol}]$ interacting at R = 7.74 Å and it is observed as least $E_{tot} = -31.1 \text{ kJ/mol} \ [E_{ele} = 0.7 \text{ kJ/mol}; E_{pol} = -0.3 \text{ kJ/mol}; E_{dis} = -7.0 \text{ kJ/mol} \ and \ E_{rep} = 4.0 \text{ kJ/mol}]$ with the molecule interacting at R = 14.68 Å. The above results show that the molecules with lesser distance have relatively strong interaction energy and vice versa, which also holds good with the laws of electrostatics. Further the interaction energy profile of each compound, in which the dispersion energies frame works dominates over the classical electrostatic energy frame works.

### 3.5. Molecular docking analysis

One of the most vigorous ways to tackle cancer is chemotherapy. In order to treat cancer cells different approaches are employed. Newer drugs are being developed with main focus on targeted therapy. One of the important approaches is the in silico molecular docking studies.

---

**Figure 5.** The color-coding pattern of molecules surrounding the original molecule in a cluster of molecule 3 within the default radius of 3.8 Å, when it is viewed along crystallographic a, b and c axes. The color-coding scheme and their interaction energies in component form is given in Table 6.

**Table 6.** Interaction energies (kJ/mol). R is the distance between molecular centroids (mean atomic position) in Å. Total energies, only reported for two benchmarked energy models, are the sum of the four energy components, scaled appropriately (see the scale factor table below) for compound 3.

| Color code | N | Symmetry | R (Å) | Electron density | $E_{disp}$ | $E_{pol}$ | $E_{ele}$ | $E_{rep}$ | $E_{tot}$ |
|------------|---|----------|------|------------------|------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| 1          | 1 | -x, y, -z | 14.43 | B3LYP/6-31G(dp)  | 1.9        | -0.2     | -1.3     | 0.0      | 0.7      |
| 2          | 1 | -x, y, -z | 8.20  | B3LYP/6-31G(dp)  | -10.9      | -4.3     | -46.6    | 32.1     | -35.5    |
| 3          | 2 | x, y, z   | 8.87  | B3LYP/6-31G(dp)  | -7.8       | -2.4     | -14.2    | 9.2      | -16.7    |
| 4          | 2 | -x, y+1/2, -z+1/2 | 6.77 | B3LYP/6-31G(dp)  | -11.1      | -4.7     | -34.0    | 23.8     | -30.1    |
| 5          | 1 | -x, y, -z | 12.87 | B3LYP/6-31G(dp)  | 0.9        | -0.3     | -7.2     | 1.9      | -4.4     |
| 6          | 2 | -x, y+1/2, -z+1/2 | 7.93 | B3LYP/6-31G(dp)  | -13.2      | -4.8     | -31.7    | 22.3     | -31.4    |
| 7          | 2 | x, y+1/2, z+1/2 | 8.38 | B3LYP/6-31G(dp)  | -8.4       | -2.5     | -24.0    | 17.7     | -20.7    |
| 8          | 1 | -x, y, -z | 9.17  | B3LYP/6-31G(dp)  | -12.0      | -2.6     | -12.3    | 5.2      | -22.1    |
| 9          | 2 | -x, y+1/2, z+1/2 | 12.22| B3LYP/6-31G(dp)  | -0.3       | -0.3     | -6.2     | 1.3      | -5.2     |

Energy Model (35)

| Color code | N | Electron density |
|------------|---|------------------|
| CE-HF      | HF/3-21G electron densities | 1.019 0.651 0.901 0.811 |
| CE-B3LYP   | B3LYP/6-31G(dp) electron densities | 1.057 0.740 0.871 0.618 |

2020 – European Journal of Chemistry – CC BY NC – DOI: 10.5155/eurjchem.11.4.261-275.2020
Table 7. Interaction energies (kJ/mol). R is the distance between molecular centroids (mean atomic position) in Å. Total energies, only reported for two benchmarked energy models, are the sum of the four energy components, scaled appropriately (see the scale factor table below) for compound 5e.

| Color code | N | Symmetry | R   | Electron Density | $E_{\text{el}}$ | $E_{\text{disp}}$ | $E_{\text{rep}}$ | $E_{\text{tot}}$ |
|------------|---|----------|-----|------------------|----------------|------------------|----------------|----------------|
|            | 1 | -x, y, z | 6.01| B3LYP/6-31G(dp)  | -8.7           | -5.1             | -34.7          | 27.6          |
|            | 1 | -x, y, z | 4.68| B3LYP/6-31G(dp)  | -18.7          | -4.6             | -59.4          | 34.0          |
|            | 2 | x, y+1/2, z+1/2 | 9.00| B3LYP/6-31G(dp)  | -4.4           | -1.3             | -32.9          | 14.6          |
|            | 2 | x, y, z  | 14.21| B3LYP/6-31G(dp)  | 0.3            | -0.2             | -7.8           | 3.3           |
|            | 2 | x, y, z  | 6.49 | B3LYP/6-31G(dp)  | -12.2          | -2.7             | -34.1          | 23.6          |
|            | 1 | -x, y, z | 12.80| B3LYP/6-31G(dp)  | -13.6          | -4.5             | -18.3          | 11.3          |
|            | 1 | -x, y, z | 13.75| B3LYP/6-31G(dp)  | -0.2           | -0.4             | -11.3          | 4.6           |
|            | 2 | x, y+1/2, z+1/2 | 10.17| B3LYP/6-31G(dp)  | -0.6           | -0.4             | -12.2          | 5.4           |
|            | 2 | x, y, z  | 14.31| B3LYP/6-31G(dp)  | 0.7            | -0.1             | -3.6           | 0.3           |

Energy Model (30) | $k_{\text{el}}$ | $k_{\text{disp}}$ | $k_{\text{rep}}$ | $k_{\text{tot}}$
|-------------------|-----------------|------------------|----------------|----------------|
| CE-HF...HF/3-21G electron densities | 1.019 | 0.651 | 0.901 | 0.811 |
| CE-B3LYP...B3LYP/6-31G(dp) electron densities | 1.057 | 0.740 | 0.871 | 0.618 |

Table 8. Interaction energies (kJ/mol). R is the distance between molecular centroids (mean atomic position) in Å. Total energies, only reported for two benchmarked energy models, are the sum of the four energy components, scaled appropriately (see the scale factor table below) for compound 5h.

| Color Code | N | Symmetry | R   | Electron Density | $E_{\text{el}}$ | $E_{\text{disp}}$ | $E_{\text{rep}}$ | $E_{\text{tot}}$ |
|------------|---|----------|-----|------------------|----------------|------------------|----------------|----------------|
|            | 2 | x, y, z  | 11.08| B3LYP/6-31G(dp)  | -5.0           | -2.2             | -8.1           | 7.6           |
|            | 1 | -x, y, z | 14.68| B3LYP/6-31G(dp)  | 0.7            | -0.3             | -7.0           | 4.0           |
|            | 2 | x, y, z  | 6.75 | B3LYP/6-31G(dp)  | -10.3          | -3.0             | -23.9          | 16.6          |
|            | 1 | -x, y, z | 7.74 | B3LYP/6-31G(dp)  | -2.9           | -1.4             | -42.0          | 21.4          |
|            | 2 | x, y, z  | 8.84 | B3LYP/6-31G(dp)  | -3.7           | -2.1             | -27.2          | 13.0          |
|            | 1 | -x, y, z | 7.63 | B3LYP/6-31G(dp)  | -0.3           | -1.8             | -33.0          | 17.9          |
|            | 1 | -x, y, z | 11.86| B3LYP/6-31G(dp)  | -1.7           | -0.5             | -15.4          | 11.7          |
|            | 1 | -x, y, z | 8.25 | B3LYP/6-31G(dp)  | -9.7           | -1.0             | -21.2          | 19.7          |
|            | 1 | -x, y, z | 6.32 | B3LYP/6-31G(dp)  | -7.6           | -1.1             | -30.9          | 17.7          |
|            | 1 | -x, y, z | 11.03| B3LYP/6-31G(dp)  | -12.4          | -3.9             | -15.9          | 7.9           |

Energy Model (30) | $k_{\text{el}}$ | $k_{\text{disp}}$ | $k_{\text{rep}}$ | $k_{\text{tot}}$
|-------------------|-----------------|------------------|----------------|----------------|
| CE-HF...HF/3-21G electron densities | 1.019 | 0.651 | 0.901 | 0.811 |
| CE-B3LYP...B3LYP/6-31G(dp) electron densities | 1.057 | 0.740 | 0.871 | 0.618 |

Figure 6. The color-coding pattern of molecules surrounding the original molecule in a cluster of molecule 5e within the default radius of 3.8 Å, when it is viewed along crystallographic a, b and c axes. The color-coding scheme and their interaction energies in component form is given in Table 7.
In the present work, the molecules 5e and 5h were tested for its inhibitory action against h-ras (121p) by molecular docking studies. The free energy of binding for the compounds 5e and 5h with 121p was determined using PyRx 0.8 docking tool and nine different protein-ligand interactions were observed. Tables 11 and 12 represent the list of binding energies for each interaction and their respective root mean square deviation (RMSD) values. The interactions of the protein with ligands 5e and 5h exhibiting least binding energies (-8.6 and -8.0 kcal/mol) were selected for further analysis. PyMOL representations of the docked ligands and proteins are represented in Figure 11 and 12. The region of interaction between 5e and the protein is represented in Figure 11a, while Figure 12a represents the region of interaction between molecule 5h and the protein. Figures 11b, 11c, 12b, and 12c represent the 3D plot of the regions of interaction between the ligands and the amino acids of the protein. A 2D plot was also generated which represents the amino acids involved in hydrogen bonding and hydrophobic interactions with the ligand (Figures 11d and 12d).

Ras genes belong to the class of oncogenes and the proteins they encode have been considered as potential targets for cancer therapy [31]. H-RAS genes encode H-ras protein, that is primarily a GTPase which converts GTP to GDP. This protein is involved in signal transduction from outside the cell to the nucleus to instruct the cell to grow and divide when bound to GTP. The enzyme is inactive or turned off when bound to GDP. Mutations in RAS genes result in H-ras proteins to remain in active state, thereby relaying the signal for cell division, leading to the growth of tumor. RAS mutations have been identified in more than 30% of the human tumors and 100% of the tumors in pancreatic cancer [32]. The docking results indicated molecule 5e showing hydrogen bonding with the amino acid Asp33 with a bond distance of 3.15 Å, while Ser17, Glu31, Val29, Asp119, Phe28, Lys117, Gly15, Gly13, Tyr32 and Thr35 showed hydrophobic interactions (Figure 11d).
Table 9. The list of binding affinities and RMSD values of molecule 5e interaction at different sites of H-ras.

| Ligand   | Binding affinity (kJ/mol) | RMSD (ub) | RMSD (lb) |
|----------|---------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| 121p_5e  | -8.6                      | 0         | 0         |
| 121p_5e  | -8.6                      | 2.188     | 1.281     |
| 121p_5e  | -8.5                      | 2.269     | 1.660     |
| 121p_5e  | -8.5                      | 8.053     | 2.809     |
| 121p_5e  | -8.1                      | 1.281     | 1.175     |
| 121p_5e  | -7.7                      | 7.620     | 2.584     |
| 121p_5e  | -7.6                      | 16.650    | 16.903    |
| 121p_5e  | -7.0                      | 8.028     | 3.079     |
| 121p_5e  | -7.0                      | 22.764    | 20.101    |

Table 10. The list of binding affinities and RMSD values of molecule 5h interaction at different sites of H-ras.

| Ligand   | Binding affinity (kJ/mol) | RMSD (ub) | RMSD (lb) |
|----------|---------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| 121p_5h  | -8.0                      | 0         | 0         |
| 121p_5h  | -8.0                      | 2.944     | 1.505     |
| 121p_5h  | -7.8                      | 6.856     | 2.605     |
| 121p_5h  | -7.0                      | 7.848     | 4.680     |
| 121p_5h  | -7.0                      | 3.258     | 1.563     |
| 121p_5h  | -6.9                      | 1.729     | 1.353     |
| 121p_5h  | -6.9                      | 8.512     | 4.605     |
| 121p_5h  | -6.7                      | 5.854     | 3.658     |
| 121p_5h  | -6.5                      | 4.252     | 3.400     |

Figure 9. Energy frameworks corresponding to \( E_{\text{ele}} \), \( E_{\text{dis}} \) and \( E_{\text{tot}} \) for molecule 5e when viewed along crystallographic \( a \), \( b \) and \( c \) axes. The tube size maintained was 150 and the energy cut-off was 10 kJ/mol. The thickness of solid cylinders represents the relative strength of interaction.

Figure 10d. Janes et al. have reported the inhibitory action of ARS-1620 against KRAS [33].

However, the ligand 5h showed only hydrophobic interactions with Glu31, Val29, Gly15, Ser17, Asp119, Asn116, Ala18, Phe28, Lys117 and Gly13 (Figure 10d). Some of the key amino acids found in the interaction of molecules 5e and 5h with H-ras are the same amino acids which are involved in hydrogen bonding with GDP. Hence, the molecules 5e and 5h could be potential inhibitors against H-ras. Further experimental studies would prove its ability to act as an inhibitor in anticancer therapies.
4. Conclusion

In this article, we report an alternative route for the synthesis of 2-chloro-3-aryl-5,5-dimethylcyclohexenones. The successful regiospecific substitution of the vinylic tosylate group over the vinylic chloride group is highlighted. Single crystal XRD studies of three representative compounds are discussed. The Hirshfeld surface studies confirmed the presence of intermolecular interactions of the type C-H···O, C-H···Cl and C-H···O in the compounds 3, 5e and 5h, respectively. The two-dimensional fingerprint calculations showed that in the compounds 3 and 5e, the H···O and H···H; whereas in molecule 5h the H···H pairs of contacts have been served as major contributions towards crystal packing.

Figure 10. Energy frameworks corresponding to $E_{el}$, $E_{di}$, and $E_{tot}$ for molecule 5h when viewed along crystallographic $a$, $b$ and $c$ axes. The tube size maintained was 150 and the energy cut-off was 10 kJ/mol. The thickness of solid cylinders represents the relative strength of interaction.

Figure 11. Molecular docking of molecule 5e with H-ras. (a) Surface model representing the interacting site of H-ras (121p) with molecule 5e (ligand). Blue color represents ligand and magenta color represents interacting amino acids of the protein. (b) Ribbon representation of the docked ligand with H-ras. (c) 3D representation of the ligand and the interacting amino acids. (d) 2D plot of interaction between ligand and amino acids of H-ras. Green line between the amino acids represents hydrogen bonding and other amino acids show hydrophobic interactions.
The three-dimensional interaction energy framework were studied in all the compounds and the dispersion energy framework works dominated over classical energy framework works. Molecular docking of the products shows good scope for the utilization of the compounds as ligands in anti-neoplastic activity.
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