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Abstract

Aims:

In the present study, we used the densitometry software from the Oculus Pentacam to compare postoperative corneal clarity between penetrating keratoplasty (PK) and Descemet's stripping endothelial keratoplasty (DSAEK) in patients with Fuchs' dystrophy.

Methods:

A retrospective comparative study was carried out at Manchester Royal Eye Hospital. In 28 patients with Fuchs' dystrophy, corneal densitometry measurements were performed 12–18 months after corneal transplantation. The correlations of the densitometry measurements with the best corrected visual acuity (BCVA) and central corneal thickness (CCT) were analysed and compared between eyes that underwent PK and those that underwent DSAEK.

Results:

Corneal densitometry measurements in the 33 eyes showed no significant differences between the PK and DSAEK post-surgery groups. There was no significant correlation between CCT and corneal densitometry measurements in either group (P > 0.05 in both cases). After DSAEK, corneal densitometry measurements were significantly correlated with BCVA in the central (P = 0.01), posterior (P = 0.007), and full-depth (P = 0.008) 0–2 mm zones of the cornea but not in PK group. The postoperative CCT was significantly different between the two groups (P < 0.01).

Conclusion:

The two types of corneal transplantation resulted in different outcomes in terms of corneal densitometry measurements. Improved visual acuity after DSAEK was found to positively correlate with improvements in corneal clarity. Oculus Pentacam provides an objective evaluation tool to monitor corneal status after surgery.

Introduction

Fuchs' endothelial dystrophy (FED) is usually treated using corneal transplantation [1, 2], which restores healthy endothelial function [3]. The first description of FED was published in 1900 by Ernst Fuchs, who described deposits known as guttata on the Descemet's membrane beneath the corneal endothelium; an abnormal extracellular matrix and endothelial cell loss were also apparent [4].

During the initial phase of the FED, epithelial oedema is absent. However, it builds up progressively in later stages. The degree of epithelial oedema is estimated by measuring the central corneal thickness (CCT). Corneal oedema begins within the central optical area and is a key cause of reduced vision in FED [5]. This is particularly severe in the morning due to reduced tear evaporation during sleep [6]. As the cornea...
becomes more oedematous, discomfort occurs, followed by extreme pain and photophobia. The eventual effects of extreme endothelial cell loss include stromal swelling, the creation of connective tissue in the stroma and epithelium, corneal oedema, and a clear vision reduction. (Kim, 2004, Laser in situ keratomileusis versus laser-assisted subepithelial keratectomy for the correction of high myopia)

In 1900, the first successful full-thickness keratoplasty was performed by Edward Zirm. Since then, this approach has seen considerable alteration and progress. Currently, over 3,000 corneal transplants are conducted annually in the United Kingdom alone [7]. FED transplants account for 25.8% of the overall proposed number for corneal transplant[8]. Historically, FED was treated using full-thickness (penetrating) keratoplasty (PK), which replaces all five corneal layers. However, as only the endothelial layer is diseased in FED, it is possible to use Descemet's stripping automated endothelial keratoplasty (DSAEK), whereby only the posterior stroma and endothelium are replaced [9].

The success of these surgical procedures is evaluated in terms of best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA) and CCT. Clinically, Pentacam (OCULUS Optikgerate GmbH, Wetzlar, Germany) images are routinely used to assess patients undergoing transplant surgery. However, an update in computer software now allows corneal clarity to be measured in the same image. In the present study, we aimed to evaluate the use of corneal densitometry using Pentacam to assess the outcome of PK and DSAEK.

**Methods**

**Participants:** This study was a retrospective, comparative, non-randomised cross-sectional study. It was approved by the Central Manchester University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Manchester, United Kingdom, and by the local national research ethics committee. The study followed the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki, and written, informed consent was obtained from all subjects after the study's nature had been explained to them. The study included patients with FED who had been visiting the Cornea Clinic of the Manchester Royal Eye Hospital. Patients were recruited from the corneal transplantation database, which started on 3rd of November 2015 till 1st of December 2017 for adult participants and juvenile by 2nd of June 2016 till December 2017.

Clinical notes were examined, and relevant information such as age, sex, date of surgery, and type of surgery was recorded for descriptive data analysis, as were postoperative parameters, including BCVA (in LogMAR) and clinical details.

**Pentacam Imaging:** All imaging was performed by the same trained staff in a dark room 12–16 months after surgery. A single image that met the quality requirements of Pentacam analysis was taken from each patient. This study's inclusion criteria were: age ≥ 18 years, history of FED corneal transplantation (PK or DSAEK), completion of a 1-year post-treatment follow-up, availability of a Pentacam image from that time.

**Surgical Procedures:** The surgical procedures (DSAEK and PK) were each performed by one of two surgeons; they used identical techniques during the respective procedures to minimise variation [10]. PK
was performed using a standard technique that employs a Hessburg-Barron trephine (JedMed Instrument Co., St. Louis, MO) [11]. DSAEK was conducted according to standard techniques [12-14]. As FED is a corneal disease associated with ageing, a triple procedure of cataract extraction, intraocular lens implantation, and corneal transplantation was performed in that order when necessary.

**Statistical Analysis:** Data analyses were carried out using SPSS V22.0 statistics software package for Windows. Descriptive statistics were presented as means ± standard deviation. The data normality was examined using the Shapiro–Wilk test, which is more appropriate for small sample sizes (N < 50). When parametric analysis was possible, the Student’s t-test for two independent samples was used. All P-Values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

**Results**

A total of 33 eyes of 28 patients were studied. The sample characteristics were comparable between the groups; however, there were more women than men in both groups (PK, 11:2; DSAEK, 15:5); importantly, this did not constitute a significant difference between the groups (P=0.96). The mean age of patients in the PK group was 70.0 ± 10.0 years, while that in the DSAEK group was 69.9 ± 9.84 years (P=0.96).

In the PK group, seven eyes (53.8%) achieved a postoperative BCVA of 0.3 LogMAR or better, while in the DSAEK group, 15 eyes (75%) achieved a postoperative BCVA of 0.3 LogMAR or better. The mean postoperative BCVA in the DSAEK group was 0.19 ± 0.12 LogMAR, which was better than that in the PK group (0.37 ± 0.22 LogMAR; P= 0.01).

Densitometry measurements showed that DSAEK causes less light scattering than PK in all layers of both the inner 0–2 mm zone of the cornea and the surrounding 2–6 mm annulus zone. However, further statistical analysis showed that none of the densitometry measurements’ differences were significant (P>0.05 in all cases; Table 1).

**Table 1:** Postoperative densitometry outcome in both central 0-2 mm and surrounding 2-6 mm of the corneal for anterior, middle, posterior and full depth layers for both PK and DSAEK.
| Zones   | Layers          | PK (M±SD)       | DSAEK (M±SD)    | P Value |
|---------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------|
| 0-2 mm  | Anterior        | 26.05±3.16      | 25.26±5.33      | 0.63    |
|         | Central         | 23.19±3.05      | 21.59±3.43      | 0.18    |
|         | Posterior       | 18.34±2.54      | 17.87±4.26      | 0.72    |
|         | Full Depth      | 22.53±2.75      | 21.56±3.77      | 0.43    |
| 2-6 mm  | Anterior        | 29.13±4.34      | 26.44±5.8       | 0.16    |
|         | Central         | 26.03±5.15      | 22.55±5.68      | 0.08    |
|         | Posterior       | 20.06±3.8       | 18.38±4.66      | 0.28    |
|         | Full Depth      | 25.07±4.31      | 22.44±5.01      | 0.11    |

The mean CCT 1 year after PK treatment was 510.8 ± 54.9 μm, while that 1 year after DSAEK treatment was 639.55 ± 59.0 μm. Both CCT and the thinnest corneal area thickness were significantly different between the PK and DSAEK groups (P<0.05) (Table 2).

### Table 2: Postoperative central corneal thickness and thinnest area in both PK and DSAEK.

|                  | PK (M±SD)       | DSAEK (M±SD)    | P Value |
|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------|
| CCT              | 510.8±54.9      | 639.55±59.0     | <0.01*  |
| Thinnest Area    | 493.5±60.7      | 605.2±53.5      | <0.01*  |

Postoperative BCVA was not significantly correlated with the densitometry measurements and CCT after surgery in the PK group. However, in the DSAEK group, both correlations were significant (P<0.05; correlation between postoperative BCVA and densitometry measurement at the full-depth 0–2 mm: r = 0.57, P=0.008; at the middle 0–2 mm: r = 0.53, P=0.01; at the posterior 0–2 mm: r = 5.80, P=0.007).

Neither group showed a significant correlation between CCT and densitometry measurements in any corneal layers and any of the zones (P>0.05). Figures 1 shows a linear regression comparison between the two groups at those zones found a significant correlation in DSAEK.

### Discussion

DSAEK is a comparatively new method for transplanting the endothelium from a donor cornea, and it is now the primary surgical treatment of FED [10, 15, 16]. DSAEK has several advantages over PK in patients with endothelial dystrophy; it is associated with fewer complications and a shorter recovery time [10, 17, 18]. Several studies have reported that PK and DSAEK differ in several areas [18], including rates
of rejection and post-operation BCVA. Updates from Nanavaty have reported similar findings [17]. However, up to our knowledge, the present study was the first to find that postoperative densitometry measurements differed between DSAEK and PK in patients with FED, which show a significant correlation to BCVA in the DSAEK group.

Scheimpflug imaging has numerous applications in corneal assessment. Moreover, with the updated software, it may be possible to measure the amount of backscattered light in diverse cornea regions [19, 20]. In this regard, corneal transparency is attributable to complex mechanisms, including size, regularity, and collagen fibrils arrangement [21].

The present study established that 12–18 months after surgery, the mean corneal densitometry in FED patients was somewhat higher than the normative value quantified in a previously published age-matched set [22, 23]. Patients who undergo PK still receive sutures that must be extracted, while patients who undergo DSAEK do not. Overall, in patients with PK, the corneal graft/host intersection requires 12–24 months of recovery and complete suture extraction. Moreover, as PK involves full-thickness grafting, it contradicts the eyes’ structural and immunological integrity and can cause more significant harm than DSAEK. Indeed, PK causes greater damage to corneal tissue. The greater activation of keratocytes likely occurs as a reaction to trauma, leading to raised corneal densitometry measurements.

The average endothelial cell density loss per year after successful PK ranges from 7.8% between 3 and 5 years after surgery [24] to 4.2% between 5 and 10 years after surgery [25]. This is contrasted with a remarkable 50% loss of endothelial cells just 6 months after successful DSAEK [26]. Because the present study involved only a small sample and was retrospective, we had limited ability to research this matter. Therefore, future research must conduct a well-planned research that explores the function of the endothelium pump or alternative factors contributing to enhanced corneal transparency in FED following DSAEK.

The present research confirmed that postoperative BCVA is significantly better after DSAEK than after PK, probably because partial suture extraction is necessary during PK. Regarding postoperative BCVA measurement, comparisons between DSAEK and PK are best carried out after entire suture extraction; an additional review of each patient's clinical notes revealed that postoperative BCVA is improved after entire suture extraction. The present study found a considerable association between postoperative BCVA and densitometry quantification in the DSAEK group, corroborating previous studies [27, 28]. However, this correlation did not occur in the PK group. Positive correlations were also found in the central, posterior, and full depth layers.

We should clarify that we excluded patients from our data analysis if they experienced complications during or after surgery. DSAEK is renowned for providing swifter visual rehabilitation than PK. Nonetheless, this has to be confirmed through additional research employing signals that are more dependable than visual results (e.g. contrast susceptibility and higher order aberration HOA steps following procedures), as well as larger sample sizes.
After surgery, visual acuity improvements can take several months or even years [26, 29, 30]. In this regard, visual acuity can be affected by the lamellar graft's thickness and the interfere optical quality of the graft/host interface [28, 31, 32]. However, there was no correlation between BCVA and corneal thickness in the current study compared to the previous studies [33].

Increased corneal densitometry was found in the stroma, the anterior cornea; overall, corneal thickness was also improved. Therefore, the changes detected using densitometry appeared to affect the whole stroma and consisted of more than simply an increase in light scatter as a result of sub-epithelial fibrosis or augmented scatter from the graft/host interface, although both components still can cause light scattering in post-DSAEK patients. (Kim, 2004, Laser in situ keratomileusis versus laser-assisted subepithelial keratectomy for the correction of high myopia)

A significant relationship between visual outcome and corneal densitometry was recently discovered after DSAEK [27], corroborating observations of the present study. To some extent, Koh et al. reported higher values than we did. However, their study did not clearly define which thickness and diameter the densitometry measurements were acquired from. For this reason, the measurements are not directly comparable with those of the present study.

Poor graft interface can lead to graft failure. This illustrates the importance of maintaining a pristine graft interface and avoiding wrinkles in the graft across the pupillary area. In this regard, greater curvature disparities between recipient and donor corneas may promote wrinkle development [15, 34].

After surgery, gradual improvements in visual acuity are associated with increases in optical aberrations and corneal backscatter, which signify that the cornea is steadily restored after corneal oedema, with an average total corneal breadth of about 700 mm. In fact, reduced BCVA after DSAEK is sometimes believed to be caused by corneal backscatter (haze) [33, 35, 36]. However, backscatter cannot influence vision since it is not distributed around the retina. In contrast, forward scatter does alter vision, but it naturally causes glare rather than diminished visual acuity [37-40].

The present study found that the patients' average CCT was 510.8 ± 54.9 \(\mu\)m 1 year after PK and 639.55 ± 59.0 \(\mu\)m 1 year after DSAEK. This constituted a significant difference \(P=0.0001\) and is similar to the results of [41] who documented an average CCT of 535.0 ± 45.0 \(\mu\)m 6 months after PK; this had increased to 580.0 ± 59 \(\mu\)m 5 years later. Nonetheless, the present study found no statistical correlation between BCVA and CCT in either group. Furthermore, CCT varies greatly among normal eyes.

The present study results agree with those of Terry et al. with regards to DSAEK graft thickness. They stated the following: 'graft thickness may have a small effect on visual outcomes in the extremes of thickness, but not in the common range of 100 mm to 200 mm', and 'donor thickness has a tenuous relationship with visual outcomes, accounting for only 5% of the variance in vision between patients, and should play a minimal role in surgical planning' [39].
The present study was limited by its relatively small sample size and the possibility that suture removal may have affected the outcome, especially with respect to BCVA.

In conclusion, the present study showed that corneal densitometry can be used to detect subtle changes that occur in the cornea after transplantation and to monitor recovery. Densitometry may also further our understanding of the cornea in both normal and diseased conditions.
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**Figure 1**

The correlation between BCVA and Corneal densitometry in PK and DSAEK (* represent significant at P-value of <0.05).