Optical Properties of Gold After Intense Short-Pulse Excitations
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Intense ultrashort laser pulses can create highly excited matter with extraordinary properties. Experimental and theoretical investigations of these extreme conditions are very complex and usually intertwined. Here, we report on a theoretical approach for the electron scattering rates and the optical properties in gold at elevated temperatures. Our theory is based on the degree of occupancy of the conduction band as well as inputs from ab initio simulations and experimental data. After the electron system has reached a quasi-equilibrium, the occupancy is fully determined by the electron temperature. Thus, our approach covers the important relaxation stage after fast excitations when the two-temperature model can be applied. Being based on the electronic structure of solids, the model is valid for lattice temperatures up to melting but the electron temperature might exceed this limit by far. Our results agree well with recent experimental data for both the collision frequencies and the conductivity of highly excited gold. Scattering of sp-electrons by d-electrons is found to be the dominant damping mechanism at elevated electron temperatures and depends strongly on the number of conduction electrons, hence, revealing the microscopic origin of the conductivity change after heating. The supportive benchmarks with experiments are very valuable as the underlying scattering rates determine a number of other transport, optical and relaxation properties of laser-excited matter.
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1 INTRODUCTION

The interaction of short laser pulses with matter has drawn broad attention over the last few decades due to its importance for fundamental science as well as for a plentitude of applications in diverse fields [1–9]. Advances in laser technology have opened new possibilities and new research areas [10–12] and allowed for remarkable qualitative improvements of temporal, spatial and energy resolution of experiments [13–18]. Accordingly, the capabilities to probe and control materials properties even at extreme conditions and ultrashort time scales have been enhanced considerably in the last decade. The combination of short-pulse optical lasers and free-electron lasers has proven to be a particularly suitable tool to create highly excited states of matter and probe its properties when utilizing the versatility of the free-electron laser with respect to photon energies and pulse duration.

The absorption of laser energy by solid materials drives the electrons in a nonthermal state with an energy distribution specific to the material and the excitation process [19–22]. Subsequently, the electrons relax to a Fermi distribution in each band on times scales of a few to a few hundred femtoseconds [23–25]. This stage is followed by the recombination of excited electrons into lower
bands leading to the equilibration of the occupation numbers in the bands and finally an energy transfer from the electrons to the lattice that was initially unaffected by the laser [26, 27]. Transiently, there exists a stage in the relaxation that can be described with an elevated electron temperature and a relatively cold lattice. Understanding this stage is very useful when interpreting experiments with ultrafast excitations. Detecting this behavior sets a well-defined limit to the time the ultrafast equilibration processes need to establish a Fermi distribution and an equilibrium band occupation. Moreover, these states can deliver deep insights about the process of electron-phonon coupling and electron collisionality in general. Finally, this stage sets the initial conditions for melting or ablation processes at very high energy inputs.

The optical properties of materials are known to be modified during the excitation and relaxation process. Thus, they reflect the different relaxation stages and can be employed to probe the properties of matter at highly excited conditions. The optical response can be measured in pump-probe experiment through the reflection and/or the transmission [28–32]. These direct data can then be recast as internal optical properties like the dynamic conductivity either directly for bulk materials or with the help of the Helmholtz equations for heated films [33, 34]. Time-resolved ellipsometric measurements can also provide the complex refractive index of a material [35, 36]. Moreover, the DC electrical conductivity can be obtained with terahertz (THz) probe reflectivity [37] or probe transmission [38, 39]. THz probes provide more direct access to DC conductivity in contrast to measurements with optical frequencies that require to be extrapolated in the near zero-frequency limit [37].

Whereas reflection and transmission are the directly measured quantities in experiments, theoretical models usually start with the evaluation of the dielectric function or dynamic conductivity [40], which can be based on ab initio methods [41–44], quantum statistical approaches [45–47], classical simulations [48] or a Drude or Drude–Lorentz model [44, 49]. For extreme conditions and ultrashort time scales, the modelling of these properties remains challenging. The use of a constant value for the optical properties in many models is a crude approximation and may lead for instance to a wrong evaluation of the energy deposition and the damage threshold [50, 51]. The full nonequilibrium or, at least, temperature-dependent dielectric function should thus be rigorously evaluated. One crucial parameter is the damping rate of conducting electron states which is usually described as a scattering rate with other electrons, phonons and lattice imperfections. To date, this scattering rate and particularly its dependence on the electron temperature is still under discussion due to discrepancies found in both existing experiments [30, 39, 52] and also theoretical calculations [30, 53]. In a previous study by Fourment et al. [30], it has been shown that the scattering rate of the conduction electrons in gold is mostly determined by the number of holes in the d-band. These calculations have assumed that the sp and d-band states lie within certain energy boundaries instead of a projected density of states (PDOS) with orbitals hybridization. Moreover, a recent study by Chen et al. [39] has found a much smaller collision frequency of heated gold.

The resolution of the discrepancies and uncertainties of recent studies requires a thorough description of the material and optical properties, high-quality experimental data and well-suited relaxation codes to combine the two. With this combination, one can then harness the power of short-pulse excitations to reveal the relaxation pathways, collisionality and thermodynamics of strongly driven matter. Here, we report on the scattering rate and the electrical conductivity of gold under electron-phonon nonequilibrium with special emphasis to compare our theoretical approach to recent experimental results. Gold is an ideal candidate to study such properties of highly excited matter since it has been investigated extensively with short laser pulses [29, 30, 34, 39, 54, 55].

Our calculations are based on the temperature-dependent degree of filling of the sp and d-bands as in Ref. [30] but using a PDOS. We find that the electron-electron scattering is the major contributor to the total collision frequency at elevated electron temperature and is fully determined by the occupations of the bands. However, our results suggest a much lower electron-ion rate for cold gold in order to match the recent experimental data of Ref. [39]. These new settings translate into a good agreement with experiments for the DC and AC conductivities of heated gold. The latter reveals different qualitative behavior depending on the probe wavelength but remains constant and equals the DC conductivity at very low photon energies hence supporting THz radiations as an adequate probe for the static limit. The good agreement between the calculations and the experiment suggests that the Drude model is well suited to describe the DC and the real part of AC conductivity of highly excited matter at photon energies below the interband threshold once an appropriate description of the scattering rates is applied.

2 THEORETICAL MODEL

Laser-heating may induce strong modifications of the material’s optical properties due to the excited electron states created. Here, we consider optical excitation of gold, where two active bands contribute, namely, the 5d valence and the 6sp conduction electrons. The additional excitation of core electrons is possible with X-rays or XUV pulses [39, 56] but should not be addressed here, mainly, due to the ultrashort lifetimes of such core holes.

We consider times when the electron system is fully thermalized, hence a Fermi distribution is established. This relaxation stage is quickly reached as the kinetic stage with non-Fermi electron distributions lasts only a few femtoseconds [21, 24, 25]. Moreover, the sp and d-bands are assumed to be in equilibrium, i.e., they share a common temperature and chemical potential. This condition sets the lower temporal limit when our approach is valid since the occupation of these bands may stay out of equilibrium for several hundreds of femtoseconds [56, 57]. The assumption of equilibrated upper bands is fulfilled in many existing experiments [29–31, 33] since such a quasi-equilibrium state is reached at probe time [56]. For such cases, we can describe the material properties with quasi-equilibrium electron states that are uniquely defined by the electron temperature.
Still, the properties described here require ultrafast probing as part of the electron’s energy will be transferred to the lattice. This stage of nonequilibrium persists, at least, several picoseconds [54, 58]. Thus, our investigations can be seen as providing snap-shots of the optical properties during the electron-phonon temperature equilibration.

The density of states (DOS) of the two upper bands for solid gold is calculated from density functional theory employing Elk [59]. This code uses augmented-plane waves to treat all electrons. We use an 80 × 80 × 80 k-point grid, spin-orbit coupling and the local density approximation to evaluate the ground state which also sufficiently describes the elevated temperatures considered here [60]. Results for the DOS are shown in Figure 1, where the Fermi energy $E_F$ sets the zero of the energy scale. At room temperature, the DOS accounts for a fully occupied 5$d$-band and a half-filled 6$p$ conduction band. The equilibrium occupation of these states at elevated temperatures according to Fermi statistics,

$$f(E, \mu, T_e) = \left\{ \exp\left[\frac{(E - \mu)}{k_B T_e}\right] + 1 \right\}^{-1},$$

with an electron temperature $T_e$ and a chemical potential $\mu$ is plotted in Figure 1 for different electron temperatures. As one can see, the $sp$-band is increasingly populated at higher electron temperatures while the corresponding chemical potential $\mu$ shifts towards higher energies. We obtain $\mu$ from the conservation of the total number of electrons, both in the $sp$- and $d$-bands, $n_{\text{tot}}$ [40], by solving the equation $n_{\text{tot}}(T_e, \mu) = n_{\text{tot}}(0 \, \text{K}, E_F)$. Here, $n_{\text{tot}}$ is determined by Eq. 2 with the respective Fermi functions. In the following, we apply an unchanged DOS for all temperatures as its changes remain negligible for the temperature range of interest [60].

The electron density of a given band is calculated as

$$n_j(T_e) = \int dE \; f(E, \mu, T_e) \; D_j(E),$$

where $f$ denotes the band’s index, $D_j$ the partial DOS of the band and $f$ is the Fermi equilibrium distribution. The increase of the electron temperature leads to a rise of the conduction electron density and, correspondingly, a decrease in the occupation of the $d$-band, satisfying the conservation of the total electron density

$$n_{\text{tot}} = n_{ sp} + n_d.$$

For matter initially at room temperature, the energy absorbed by the electrons while being heated to a new temperature $T_e$ can be written as

$$\Delta u = u(T_e) - u(300 \, \text{K})$$

with

$$u(T_e) = \int dE \; E \; f(E, \mu, T_e) \; D_{\text{tot}}(E),$$

where $D_{\text{tot}} = D_{ sp} + D_d$ is the total density of states. The internal energy Eq. 3 also determines the heat capacity of the electrons, $c_v$, via the temperature derivative at constant volume $c_v = \partial u / \partial T_e$. Thus, all thermodynamic variables of the electron system are uniquely defined by the absorbed energy or, equivalently, the electron temperature.

Now we turn to the optical properties as expressed by the frequency-dependent conductivity. It is directly linked to the complex dielectric function via $\varepsilon(\omega) = \varepsilon_\infty + i \omega/\omega_0$, with the dielectric constant $\varepsilon_\infty$ and the vacuum permittivity $\varepsilon_0$. The dielectric function is an intrinsic property and once known, all other optical properties like the absorption coefficient, the penetration depth or the reflectivity can easily be inferred [40]. For bulk materials, the reflectivity of the surface for normal incidence is given by

$$R = \frac{(n - 1)^2 + k^2}{(n + 1)^2 + k^2},$$

where $n$ and $k$ are the real and the imaginary parts of the complex refractive index $n$, respectively. The latter is related to the dielectric function via $\varepsilon = n^2 + k^2$. For thin foils, the thickness of the material needs to be included and multiple reflection effects are encountered [61], which introduces further complexity when inferring the internal material properties, like $\varepsilon$, from measured data. The reflectivity of a material is a directly measurable quantity. Hence, it appears to be more intuitive to compare this quantity with results of theoretical models. However, in the case of gold, the Drude model is not suitable to correctly evaluate the real part of the dielectric function since interband contributions dominate below 2 eV photon energy [52]. Accordingly, a comparison for the dynamical conductivity is more reliable.

Within the Drude formalism, the complex frequency-dependent electrical conductivity is given by

$$\sigma(\omega, T_e) = \frac{\sigma_0(T_e)}{1 - i \omega / \tau_{\text{DC}}(T_e)},$$

where $\omega$ is the probe frequency. In the zero-frequency limit $\omega \to 0$, $\sigma$ reduces to the DC conductivity $\sigma_0$ with

$$\sigma_0(T_e) = \frac{e^2}{m_p} \frac{n_{ sp} (T_e)}{\nu_{ sp}(T_e)}.$$
Here, \( e \) is the electron charge and \( m_{sp} \) is the effective mass of the conduction electrons taken to be equivalent to the free electron mass for gold \[62\]. \( n_{sp} \) is the \( sp \)-electron density obtained with Eq. 2 and \( \nu_{tot} \) denotes the total scattering rate. The real part of the AC conductivity is determined as

\[
\sigma_r(\omega, T_i, T_e) = \frac{e^2 n_{sp}(T_e)}{m_{sp}} \frac{\nu_{tot}(T_i, T_e)}{\omega^2 + \nu_{tot}^2(T_i, T_e)}. \tag{7}
\]

The total scattering rate \( \nu_{tot} \) contains contributions from both electron-ion/phonon and electron-electron scattering:

\[
\nu_{tot}(T_i, T_e) = \nu_{ei}(T_i) + \nu_{ee}(T_e). \tag{8}
\]

The first term, scattering of \( sp \)-electrons with ions/phonons \( \nu_{ei} \), increases linearly with the ion temperature \( T_i \) at low temperatures. According to Ref. \[30\], the electron-electron collision frequency is determined by the scattering of \( sp \) electrons with \( d \)-band electrons. The latter process also needs \( d \)-band holes due to Pauli-blocking of the final states. Thus we have

\[
\nu_{tot}(T_i, T_e) = BT_i + A n_d(T_e) \left[ n_{d \text{full}} - n_d(T_e) \right], \tag{9}
\]

where the constant \( B \) equals \( \nu_{ei} \) at 300 K. The electron-ion rate for cold gold \( \nu_{ei} = 0.084 \text{ fs}^{-1} \) is fixed by experiments at ambient conditions \[52,62\]. The constant \( A = V^2 0.36 \text{ fs}^{-1} \), with \( V = 1.69 \times 10^{-29} \text{ m}^3 \) being the volume of the unit cell, is found to be almost constant when \( T_e \) increases \[30\]. \( n_{d \text{full}} \) labels the electron density of the full \( d \)-band and the temperature-dependent \( n_d(T_e) \) can be calculated with Eq. 2. This description of the scattering rate has been used successfully for warm dense gold \[30\] and also for solid gold excited near the ablation threshold \[42\].

### 3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We demonstrate how the optical properties of gold are modified by elevated electron temperatures up to 20 kK while we keep the lattice close to room temperature. Such quasi-equilibria with well-defined electron and phonon temperatures occur in many experiments \[29–31,33,63\] during the relaxation process after ultrafast excitation. Indeed, probing these states is essential to determine important parameters like the electron-phonon coupling.

The electron temperature reached in the experiment depends on the absorbed energy which is much easier to determine experimentally. Figures 2A,B show the electron temperature and the density in the conduction band of gold, respectively, in dependence of the absorbed energy. The temperature is determined by inverting Eq. 3 whereas the \( sp \)-density is evaluated by Eq. 2. To convert the absorbed energy per mass into the specific internal energy, we use a mass density of \( \rho_{Au} = 19.3 \text{ g cm}^{-3} \). At ambient conditions, gold contains one \( sp \) electron per atom corresponding to a conduction band density of \( n_{sp} = 5.9 \times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-3} \).

The results shown in Figure 2 take the entire energy from an excitation process to be stored by the electron system directly after excitation. During and shortly after the excitation, energy may be transferred to the lattice even if the electron...
phonon coupling in gold is small [58, 64], which can be included by simply adjusting the energy absorbed into the electrons. In Figure 2A, the electron temperature increases strongly nonlinearly with the absorbed energy. This effect can be attributed to the highly degenerate sp electrons at room temperature and the influence of d-band electrons which have a major contribution to the total heat capacity at higher electron temperatures.

With increasing energy density, hence temperature, the d-band electrons, located below the Fermi energy and serving as a reservoir of particles, are more and more excited into the empty states of the conduction band. This thermal excitation of d-electrons results in a rise of the sp-electron density as shown in Figure 2B, where we compare our calculations with experimental data (green triangles) inferred from measurements after short optical excitation [29, 52]. Our results show satisfactory agreement with the experiment although the large error bars do not confine the theory strongly.

The increase in sp-density strongly influences the electron-electron scattering rate shown in Figure 3A as a function of the electron temperature. It is obtained with the second term of Eq. 8 and, thus, is determined by the densities of electrons and holes in the d-band. At very low electron temperatures, the d-band of gold is fully occupied. Hence, Pauli blocking prevents scattering by the d-band electrons and \( \nu_{ee} \) remains nearly zero. For temperatures above ~4 kK, thermal excitation of d-electrons becomes significant which increases the density in the conduction band as seen in Figure 2B and creates some empty states (holes) below the Fermi level. The increase of the density of holes in the d-band activates efficient electron-electron scattering leading to a strong rise of \( \nu_{ee} \) with the temperature. Moreover, the increase of \( \nu_{ee} \) shows a quadratic nature (~ \( T_e^2 \)) revealing, therefore, a typical Fermi-liquid behavior.

We compare our calculations with experimental data obtained from Ref. [39]. In the experiment, a thin gold foil was strongly heated with XUV pulses at different energy densities and probed with THz radiations. The temporal resolution was 700 ± 200 fs. \( \nu_{ee} \) was derived from the total scattering rate that was inferred from the THz conductivity measurements [39]. The comparison shows good agreement with the experimental data over a wide range of temperatures.

Figure 3B depicts the total scattering frequency \( \nu_{tot} \) in gold in dependence of the electron temperature. This scattering rate is a crucial quantity for an accurate description of optical and transport properties in laser-excited materials as shown in Eqs 5–7. We obtain it via Eq. 8 as a sum of electron-electron and electron-ion contributions. For cold gold, the almost full occupation of the d-band at room temperature prevents electron-electron scattering. The scattering of sp-electrons with the phonons prevails and \( \nu_{tot} \approx \nu_{ee} \). While increasing the electron temperature and keeping the lattice cold (blue solid line), we observe a strong rise of \( \nu_{tot} \) with respect to \( T_e \). This can be directly attributed to an increase of the electron-electron scattering rate \( \nu_{ee} \) as seen in Figure 3A and resulting from an increase in the number of d-band holes. At such conditions, \( \nu_{ee} \) clearly dominates and has the largest contribution to the total collision frequency.

We compare our theoretical predictions with different sets of experimental data. There are some discrepancies between the THz data [39] (blue circles) and our results for a lattice at room temperature. However, to match the experimental conditions of the THz data including some electron-phonon energy transfer, we increase the lattice temperature up to \( T_l = 470 \) K. That corresponds to the value obtained with a two-temperature model at 700 fs, using a slow electron-phonon coupling [39, 64]. This small increase of \( T_l \) results in a rise of \( \nu_{tot} \) by a factor of 1.6 from its initial value, hence increasing \( \nu_{tot} \) (orange dashed line) which now matches the THz data well. In contrast to Figure 3A, the data point at \( T_e = 6500 \) K also agrees much better with the theoretical line.

The experimental data shown with green triangles [52] and gray diamonds [30] were obtained from gold excited by optical pulses. The experiment of Ref. [52] uses an optical pump of 400 nm wavelength and probes at 800 nm with a temporal resolution of 540 fs whereas the experiment of Ref. [30] was pumped and probed with an 800 nm laser at a delay of 100 fs. Both of these data sets show similar qualitative behavior than the THz measurements [39] but are quantitatively higher. According to Ref. [39], this could be due to different experimental conditions especially the use of a thick target in Ref. [30].

The gray data points [30] in Figure 3B are only matched when input parameters from Ref. [30] are used, i.e., a much larger electron-ion collision frequency for cold gold and a lattice temperature at 880 K (gray solid line). In Ref. [30], \( \nu_{ei}^{cold} \) is set to 0.129 fs\(^{-1}\). However, this is at least 1.5 times larger than the value determined by many other experiments with gold [52, 62, 65] or by fitting the room temperature experimental dielectric function of gold [42, 62]. Overall, we find good agreement between experimental data [39] and our model, suggesting that Eq. 8 can indeed satisfactorily describe scattering rates in rapidly heated gold after a few hundred fs and until lattice melting.

More directly measured optical properties provide a better test for existing models. Figure 4 shows results for the DC conductivity of gold as a function of the electron temperature. We obtain it according to Eq. 6 which includes the lattice contribution through \( \nu_{ei}(T_l) \). For cold gold, we find \( \sigma_0 =
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Although our results show a still rising cant rise of $\sigma$ at elevated $T_e$, whereas a good match with the optical data [52] is only observed at $T_e = 20$ kK, $n_p$ increases only by a factor of approx. 1.25 while $\gamma_{tot}$ rises over more than 11 times its initial value (see Figures 2B, 3B). Similar to Figure 3B, a better agreement with the THz data at $T_e = 6500$ K is obtained by increasing the lattice temperature $T_e$ to 470 K in order to fully match the THz experimental conditions (orange dashed line). A further rise of $T_e$ lowers $\sigma_0$ and our theory then matches the optical data better.

Figure 3 shows the real part of the AC conductivity in gold in dependence of the electron temperature and at different laser wavelengths. We observe quite different qualitative behaviors of the AC conductivity depending on the wavelength of the probe. Applying near-infrared probes, the AC conductivity monotonically increases with the electron temperature. That is the case observed for 2 μm wavelength, i.e. 0.62 eV photon energy, and similar to optical results at a wavelength of 800 nm in Refs. [29, 41]. In such regime of optical wavelengths, we have $\omega \gg \gamma_{tot}$ and therefore $\sigma_r \propto \gamma_{tot}$ in Eq. 7 (note the logarithmic scale in Figure 5). At slightly longer wavelengths, see cases for 5–15 μm, our results show a still rising $\sigma_r$ at low electron temperature, but it is reversed at elevated $T_e$. The decrease of $\sigma_r$ is due to a strong increase of $\gamma_{tot}$ as seen in Figure 3B such that $\gamma_{tot} \gg \omega$ and thus inverting the proportionality to $\sigma_r \propto 1/\gamma_{tot}$ see Eq. 7.

At 25 μm wavelength (49.6 meV) and above, the AC conductivity monotonically decreases with increasing electron temperature. The decrease of $\sigma_r$ at elevated $T_e$ is due to the relation $\omega \ll \gamma_{tot}$ hence $\sigma_r \propto 1/\gamma_{tot}$. For these wavelengths, $\sigma_r$ displays a similar qualitative behavior than the DC conductivity. Moreover, for probe wavelengths above 15 μm, both $\sigma_0$ and $\sigma_r$ almost yield the same quantitative value at $T_e \geq 10$ kK.

Figure 6 displays the real part of the broadband AC conductivity for gold at different electron temperatures. Note the logarithmic scale of both axes. The rectangles represent the data obtained by measurements using THz transmission [39]. The horizontal dimension is the range of frequency components in the THz cycle and the vertical dimension indicates the uncertainty of the experimental data. The circles correspond to optical data at 1.55 eV photon energy and are inferred from reflection and transmission measurements [29].

We plot the DC conductivity $\sigma_0$ at room temperature with a blue dashed line. The calculated AC conductivity for cold gold (gray line) matches well the experimental data, both in the THz and optical regimes. Moreover, it shows that $\sigma_r$ is constant and equals $\sigma_0$ over the range from zero to 0.01 eV, hence, making THz radiations an adequate tool to probe DC conductivity of materials as in Refs. [37, 39]. We also show our results for electron temperatures of 9000 ± 900 K and 16,000 ± 1500 K, respectively, while keeping the lattice cold. The shaded areas are determined by the uncertainty of the electron temperature according to the experimental conditions of the THz data [39]. Our calculated $\sigma_r$ agree well with both the THz measurements and the optical data. The green data point for optical photons is not fully matched. This is reasonable since, according to Ref. [39], the THz data average over a slightly different range of temperature conditions than the optical ones. Moreover, at probe time the lattice is slightly heated and, therefore, increases $\gamma_{tot}$ (see Figure 3B), which should result in a rise of $\sigma_r$ for optical photons. In the THz range, $\sigma_r \propto 1/\gamma_{tot}$ decreases with increasing $T_e$ while the opposite behavior is observed at higher photon energies and in the optical regime (where $\sigma_r \propto \gamma_{tot}$), as seen in Figures 4, 5, respectively. These comparisons demonstrate that the Drude model can describe the DC and the real part of the AC conductivity of strongly heated gold satisfactorily if interband contributions are small and the scattering rates and the band occupations are well determined.

Figure 6 | Real part of the broadband electrical conductivity $\sigma$ for gold at room temperature (gray line) and at electron temperatures of $T_e = 9000 \pm 900$ K (green line) and $16,000 \pm 1500$ K (red line) according to Eq. 7. The calculations are compared with THz measurements (triangles) from Ref. [39] and with optical conductivity data from Ref. [29] (full circles). The DC electrical conductivity at room temperature is shown with a blue dashed line.
4 CONCLUSION

We have studied the optical properties of highly excited gold in a nonequilibrium state with very different electron and phonon temperatures. We have shown that the scattering rates are the crucial quantities that determine most of the optical and transport properties in strongly heated metals. To that end, the occupation of the upper bands must be known as it fully determines the increase of the free carriers’ density and the electron-electron collision frequency which is the dominant rate at elevated electron temperatures. Although the lattice remains relatively cold at probe time, slight variations of the phonon temperature are observable in the optical properties. Our results match experimental data very well giving confidence in using the Drude model for probe wavelengths below the interband threshold.

The methods described here are also applicable to other metals with simple and complex band structures and, thus, can help to reveal the behavior of materials after short-pulse excitations. In particular, the phase of electron-phonon temperature equilibration can be studied as the electrons are in this phase in quasi-equilibrium that the phase of electron-phonon temperature equilibration can be below the interband threshold.
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