Localization of overlap modes and topological charge, vortices and monopoles in $SU(3)$ LGT
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1. Motivation

Overlap fermions [1] possess exact chiral symmetry on the lattice, realize the Atiyah-Singer index theorem [2] and provide a local definition of the topological charge density [3]. This makes them an ideal tool for investigating the chiral and topological QCD vacuum structure.

In this talk we shall summarize some results of a recent extended study [4] of the vacuum structure of quenched QCD at zero temperature. One of the lessons is that there exists a whole family of topological descriptions, ranging from an UV filtered density (characterized by a cut-off scale $\lambda_{\text{cut}}$ and sign-coherent selfdual clusters) to a topological density with high $O(a)$ resolution (also called “all-scale density”) forming global, sign-coherent lower-dimensional structures [5]. The other lesson is that, apart from the overall chirality that clearly distinguishes zero and non-zero modes, the localization features are smoothly changing from one to the others. The non-zero modes, through the local chirality, still feel the (filtered) topological background.

This talk focuses on two aspects of Ref. [4], the localization of the low-lying eigenmodes and of the all-scale topological density. The localization properties of the low-lying modes [6, 7, 8, 9] have attracted interest since they are hypothetically pinned down on singular defects [10] which are responsible for confinement. Candidates for this role are monopoles and vortices as located by Abelian or center projection (for a review see [11]). The localization of the all-scale topological density has also been considered [6] for similar reasons. In particular, peaks are expected on vortex intersections etc. and usually searched for by zero modes [12, 13]. We stress that the mechanism behind the formation of the peculiar singular and global structure [5] appearing at low density is unknown. This structure is necessary for the negativity [14, 8, 4] of the two-point function $C(x-y) = \langle q(x)q(y) \rangle$ required by reflection positivity [15]. This aspect of topological charge is complementary to the instanton-like clustering of the UV filtered density in approximately (anti-)selfdual domains [4]. Thus, the low-lying modes and the (unfiltered) topological density can be seen in closer relation to the mechanism of confinement. On a macroscopical level, such a relation is well established: the removal of vortices or monopoles from lattice configurations simultaneously destroys the topological charge and restores chiral symmetry [16, 17, 18].

2. Localization of overlap eigenmodes

We use the massless Neuberger [1] overlap Dirac operator

$$D_{ov}(0) = \frac{\rho}{a} \left( 1 + D_W/\sqrt{D_W^\dagger D_W} \right), \quad \text{with} \quad D_W = M - \frac{\rho}{a},$$

(2.1)

the Wilson Dirac operator with hopping term $M$ and negative mass $\rho/a$. The quenched ensembles of [4] were generated by the Lüscher-Weisz action, for $\beta = 8.45$ on lattices $12^3 \times 24$, $16^3 \times 32$ and $24^3 \times 48$, for $\beta = 8.1$ on $12^3 \times 24$ and for $\beta = 8.0$ on $16^3 \times 32$. First results have been reported by Y. Koma [8] at Lattice 2005. At that time, we estimated the dimension of zero modes and non-zero modes in the lowest bins of the spectrum from the volume $V$ dependence of the Inverse Participation Ratios (IPR), $\text{IPR} = V I_2 = V \sum |\psi_a(x)|^4$, averaged over the respective modes. The average IPR should follow a power law

$$\langle \text{IPR} \rangle = c_1 + c_2 V^{1-d^*/4},$$

(2.2)
Figure 1: Fractal dimension $d^*(n)$ obtained from fits of the volume dependence of the averages of $I_n$, for zero modes and for non-zero modes in bins $\Delta \lambda = 50$ MeV, for three ensembles with the same $\beta = 8.45$ and different volumes.

allowing to infer the fractal dimension $d^*$. We concluded [8] that zero modes are $d^* = 2$ and next-to-zero modes $d^* = 3$ dimensional. Now we refine this statement by considering generalized IPR’s [19]. With their help one should be able to find lower dimensions for regions of higher density if a multifractal structure is physically realized. The second moment $I_2$ of the scalar density $p(x) = |\psi_\lambda(x)|^2$ is replaced by higher one, $I_n = \sum_x |\psi_\lambda(x)|^{2n}$, such that a sequence of dimensions $d^*(n)$ can be extracted from the volume scaling of $\langle I_n \rangle \propto L^{d^*(n)/n}$. The result of this analysis is shown in Fig. 1. This plot shows that the regions of higher scalar density are lower dimensional (between $d^* = 0$ and 1). There is a gradual change of the localization properties from zero modes to non-zero modes.

In Ref. [4] we have described methods to estimate the dimension of an arbitrary distribution at any level of the density. Both methods assume a cluster analysis already made to separate peaks of the distribution from the rest of the system. The emerging set of connected clusters, as function of a running parameter, e.g. the lower density cut-off for the clusters, characterizes the distribution [4]. In the random walker method, for random walkers moving inside a cluster, the return probability to the cluster center, $P(0,t) \propto t^{-d^*/2}$, provides an estimate of the dimension $d^*$ depending on the adopted cut-off. Another cut-off dependent dimension $d^*$ can be inferred, in the covering-sphere method, from the growth (from 0 to 1) of the cumulative fraction $Q_{\text{cumulative}}$ of a cluster’s total charge that is covered by a 4D sphere of radius $R$. This growth begins $Q_{\text{cumulative}} \propto R^{d^*}$ [4].

Fig. 2 shows for selected modes in an ensemble of 170 lattices $16^3 \times 32$ at $\beta = 8.45$ the number of clusters (i.e. separate maxima) on the left and the effective dimension $d^*$ of the clusters on the right as function of the cut-off $p_{\text{cut}}$. The dimension was estimated by the random walker method. Percolation, that is not shown here, sets in between $p_{\text{cut}}/p_{\text{max}} < 0.1$, i.e. rather low for the zero modes, and $p_{\text{cut}}/p_{\text{max}} = 0.3$ for the 120-th modes.

We conclude that all modes, including the zero modes, percolate at sufficiently low density. If cut at an average level of density, 5 to 20 different peaks are discernible, depending on the mode. The zero modes, before finally percolating, too, do not exceed a dimension $d^* = 2$ as long as $p_{\text{cut}}/p_{\text{max}} > 0.2$.

1Although the results are similar, the effective dimensions obtained by the two methods do not strictly agree.
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Figure 2: Cluster analysis of selected eigenmodes, averaged over the ensemble mentioned in the text, as function of the cut-off $p_{\text{cut}}/p_{\text{max}}$ for the scalar density. Left: number of clusters in the mode; right: effective dimension $d^*$ of the mode.

3. Localization of topological charge

For a Dirac operator satisfying the Ginsparg-Wilson relation, the topological charge density can be expressed as a local trace (with the massless overlap operator $D(0)$)

$$q(x) = -\text{tr} \left[ \gamma_5 \left( 1 - \frac{a}{2} D(0;x,x) \right) \right], \quad Q = \sum_x q(x) \quad (3.1)$$

over color and spinor indices. The UV filtered densities are obtained by casting this into a spectral sum and using a mode-truncation $|\lambda| < \lambda_{\text{cut}}$. Without truncation, we have evaluated the density only for a small subset of two of our ensembles (53 configurations $12^3 \times 24$ for $\beta = 8.1$ and 5 configurations $16^3 \times 32$ for $\beta = 8.45$) representing almost equal volume. Fig. 3 shows, analogously to the last figure, the cluster composition and dimension of the unfiltered topological density as function of $q_{\text{cut}}$ (meant as a cut-off for $|q(x)|$) for the two lattices. The left plot shows that for $q_{\text{cut}}/q_{\text{max}} > 0.5$ only few isolated spikes are detected. They do not have a sufficient extension that a power-law decay of $P(0,t)$ could be determined (“0-dimensional”). The right plot shows that for $q_{\text{cut}}/q_{\text{max}} < 0.5$ the dimension starts growing to $d^* = 2.5$. At the maxima of multiplicity, at

Figure 3: Cluster analysis of the all-scale topological density for the $16^3 \times 32$ lattice at $\beta = 8.45$ and the $12^3 \times 24$ lattice at $\beta = 8.1$ as function of the cut-off $q_{\text{cut}}/q_{\text{max}}$. Left: number of clusters; right: effective dimension of the clusters from the random walker method.

With $a \to 0$, the maximal number of clusters rises strongly.
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Figure 4: The ratio $Q_{\text{cumulative}}(R)$ of the charge covered by the sphere to the full cluster charge for the largest cluster is shown as function of $R$ for various cut-off values, for the $12^3 \times 24$ lattice at $\beta = 8.1$ (left) and the $16^3 \times 32$ lattice at $\beta = 8.45$ (right). Note the abrupt change at $q_{\text{cut}}/q_{\text{max}} = 0.2$ (left) and 0.25 (right).

$q_{\text{cut}}/q_{\text{max}} = 0.2$ (for the coarse) and 0.25 (for the fine lattice), we find the same $d^* = 1$. Close to these maxima the covering sphere method also finds a change shown in Fig. 4, signaling the onset of percolation. Below $q_{\text{cut}}/q_{\text{max}} < 0.05$ the cluster composition goes over to two sign-coherent global clusters of charge $Q_+$ and $Q_-$ which fill the volume and build the total charge $Q$ of the configuration. A distance between clusters, $C, C'$, can be defined as $\Delta_{C,C'} = \max_{\pi \in C} (\min_{\pi' \in C'} |x - y|)$. When the percolation is complete, the two remaining clusters have $\Delta \approx 2a$, i.e. are closely intertwining each other.

4. Lower dimensional objects and confining vacuum defects

Here we shall offer an explanation for the even lower-dimensional local clusters of the unfiltered topological charge inside the global clusters (with $d^* \approx 2.5$). For $1 < d^* < 2$, monopoles and vortices are good candidates to cause the localization of charge. These are the two types of confining defects which are intimately connected [17]. If one sort is removed, the other one disappears together with the topological charge (all zero modes) and the non-zero modes close to $\lambda = 0$ [18]. To demonstrate the correlation we have used the Indirect Maximal Center Gauge (IMCG) [20]. In a first step the Maximally Abelian Gauge (MAG) is accomplished, followed by Abelian projection: each MAG-fixed $^sU_{\text{link}} \in SU(3)$ is replaced by the closest diagonal matrix $D_{\text{link}} \in SU(3)$. The norm $||^sU_{\text{link}} - D_{\text{link}}||$ is called non-Abelianicity. The monopole worldlines are located on cubes (i.e. links of the dual lattice) where the Abelian magnetic charges $(m_1^{(1)}, m_1^{(2)}, m_1^{(3)})$ ($\sum m_1^{(k)} = 0$) are not all vanishing. In a second step, within residual Abelian gauge transformations $h \in U(1)^2$, we find the Maximal Center Gauge (MCG) which brings $^sD_{\text{link}}$ as close as possible to multiples of unity, $z_{\text{link}} \times \text{diag}(1, 1, 1)$ with $z_{\text{link}} \in Z(3)$ being the links after center projection. Center plaquettes, for which $p = \prod_{\text{link} \in \partial p} z_{\text{link}} \neq 1$, mark the presence of a vortex that is geometrically located on the dual (“vortex”) plaquette $^p$. A peculiarity of $SU(3)$ compared to $SU(2)$ is vortex splitting.

Density and connectivity describe the “vortex matter” corresponding to some given gauge field ensemble. For $\beta = 8.45$ we find the probability for a dual site to be adjacent to $n$ vortex plaquettes as shown by the histogram in the left of Fig. 5. Here 87% of sites belong to the bulk ($n = 0$), 4.3% are adjacent to 3 plaquettes (corner), 3.8% to 4 plaquettes (planar vortex) etc. The probability for a dual link to be adjacent to $n$ vortex plaquettes is shown in the histogram on the right of Fig. 5. This
Figure 5: The connectivity of the vortex structure. Left: histogram of dual sites w.r.t. the number $n$ of adjacent vortex plaquettes; Right: histogram for dual links w.r.t. the number $n$ of adjacent vortex plaquettes.

is an important input for the construction of a realistic effective vortex model [21] for confinement. Thus 93% of the links belong to the bulk ($n = 0$), 6.25% are adjacent to $n = 2$ plaquettes, 0.4% to $n = 3$ plaquettes (branching) etc.

Close to the monopoles, the non-Abelianicity and the modulus of the topological density $|q(x)|$ show an excess above their bulk averages. Thus they are positively correlated. Fig. 6 illustrates the enhanced probability to find a site of the original lattice close to a monopole and/or vortex if for the (unfiltered) topological density at the site $|q(x)| > 0.2 q_{\text{max}}$ is fulfilled. This proves that the confining defects are the preferred location for topological charge.

5. Summary

We have summarized the localization of eigenmodes and of the unfiltered all-scale topological density $q(x)$ provided by our recent investigation of the vacuum structure based on the overlap operator [4]. In addition, we have presented first results relating the low dimensionality of $q(x)$ at densities above the percolation threshold to a local correlation with monopoles and vortices detected in the course of IMCG. More results and corresponding observations concerning the lowest modes will be published elsewhere [22].

Figure 6: The probability $P$ for a site of the original lattice to be adjacent (closest) to a monopole (left) and to a vortex (right) depending on the unfiltered topological density. The horizontal blue lines show the a priori probability $P_0$ for a site to be close to a monopole or vortex. The histogram covers the interval from 0 to $q_{\text{max}}$. 
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