Distinct transport mechanism in *Candida albicans* methylammonium permeases

B. Neuhäuser

Received: 4 March 2020 / Revised: 1 September 2020 / Accepted: 3 September 2020
© The Author(s) 2020

**Abstract**

It is crucial for the growth and development of an organism whether ammonium is transported across its membranes in a form of NH$_4^+$ or NH$_3$. The transport of both molecules follows different pH-dependent gradients across membranes and transport of both substrates differentially affects the internal and external pH. As a consequence, they directly influence the physiology and organism development. CaMep2 from *Candida albicans* shows a dual transceptor function in ammonium transport and sensing. CaMep2 senses low ammonium availability and induces filamentous growth. CaMep1, by contrast, is only active in transport, but not involved in ammonium signaling. Here, both proteins were heterologously expressed in *Xenopus laevis* oocytes. This study identified electrogenic NH$_4^+$ transport by CaMep1 and electroneutral NH$_3$ transport by CaMep2, which might be a prerequisite for the induction of pseudohyphal growth.
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**Introduction**

While early experiments indicated the need of an active ammonium transport system in organismal membranes (Hackette et al. 1970), transport proteins for ammonium (this term designates to the sum of ammonium [NH$_4^+$] and ammonia [NH$_3$]) were first identified in the 90th in the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (Marini et al. 1994) and the plant *Arabidopsis thaliana* (AMT = Ammonium Transporter) (Ninnemann et al. 1994). In yeast, they have been identified by complementation assays showing transport of methylammonium. This determined their denomination as Meps (MEthylammonium Permeases). Upon sequence homology and functional analysis, proteins of this AMT/Mep/Rh family have been identified in a great quantity of organisms throughout all kingdoms of life (Ludewig et al. 2007). All these ammonium transporter proteins share a high sequence homology and a common protein structure with 11 transmembrane helices forming a central pore (Mayer et al. 2006). Three subunits build a functional trimer which is regulated by the interaction of the subunits (Yuan et al. 2007; Loqué et al. 2007; Neuhäuser et al. 2007). Within the substrate transporting pore, the homology between the transporters is especially pronounced (Neuhäuser et al. 2009, 2014). Therefore, it is surprising that different transport mechanisms have been proposed for different protein subfamilies or transporters from different organisms. Molecular dynamic simulations and functional assays suggested ammonia (NH$_3$) (Javelle et al. 2008), ammonium ion (NH$_4^+$) (Mayer et al. 2006), net ammonium transport (NH$_3$ + H$^+$) (Neuhäuser and Ludewig 2014), and ammonium transport coupled to protons (NH$_4^+$ + H$^+$) (Ortiz-Ramirez et al. 2011). For proteins of the Rh-Rhesus like subfamily, NH$_3$ transport (Ludewig 2006) as well as electrogenic transport has been shown (Nakhoul et al. 2005; Abdulnour-Nakhoul et al. 2016). The substrate specificity of the bacterial model transporter AmtB of *Escherichia coli* was disputed for a long time but recent lipid-dependent ammonium-induced currents indicated net NH$_4^+$ transport by EcAmtB (Mirandela et al. 2019). The ammonium transporters from *Archaeoglobus fulgidus* Amt1 and Amt3 showed electrogenic...
transport (Wacker et al. 2014). Ammonia transport strictly
coupled to proton transport is evident in proteins of the plant
AMT1 subfamily (Mayer et al. 2006; Neuhäuser et al. 2007).
Investigated proteins from the plant AMT2 subfamily show-
ing closer sequence homology to Rh-Rhesus or bacterial
AmT8 proteins (Ludewig et al. 2007) all mediated
electroneutral NH3 transport (Guether et al. 2009; Neuhäuser
et al. 2009; Straub et al. 2014). Recently, a dependence of
transport on substrate deprotonation from NH4+ to NH3 has
been shown for many ammonium transporters (Ariz et al.
2018). Therefore, the difference in transport mechanism might
only result from a difference in the ratio in which proton
transport is coupled to ammonia transport after initial
deprotonation.

ScMep2 and its homolog CaMep2 were both shown to be
involved in the initiation of invasive growth in low ammoni-
um conditions (Marini et al. 1997; Biswas and Morschhäuser
2005; Neuhäuser et al. 2011). The respective Mep1 and Mep3
proteins were not involved in this mechanism. Yeast Mep
proteins seem to be subjected to post-translational regula-
tion by the Npr1 kinase (Dubois and Grenson 1979; Grenson
and Dubois 1982; Grenson 1983; Boeckstaens et al. 2007;
Neuhäuser et al. 2011). CaMep2 completely lost activity in
the npr1 mutant background. The knockout of Npr1 as well
led to a loss of CaMep2-mediated initiation of invasive growth
(Neuhaus et al. 2011). The CaNpr1 and ScNpr1 kinases
were proposed to phosphorylate and thereby activate the re-
spective Mep2 proteins at a conserved serine residue in the
protein C-termini. A phospho-mimic mutation of this S457D
was able to activate ScMep2 transport (van den Berg et al.
2016). The respective serine residue in CaMep2 is found at
amino acid position 453.

Even though the nature of the transported substrate and the
activity of the different Meps have been proposed to be crucial
for yeast growth, the transport mechanisms of Mep trans-
porters have only partially been addressed (Boeckstaens
et al. 2008). Transporter activity dependence on the pH sug-
gested ammonium transport by Mep1 and electroneutral trans-
port by Mep2 (Boeckstaens et al. 2008). A pore-lining twin-
His motif is conserved throughout almost all AMT/Mep/Rh
proteins, non-electrogenic as well as electrogenic (Ganz et al.
2020). Only some fungal Mep1 proteins exhibit a glutamate
residue in the position of the first histidine residue. Ex-
bond of this histidine residue (H194E) in the ScMep2 pore changed
the pH dependence of ScMep2-mediated transport and sug-
gested an associated change in the transport mechanism
(Boeckstaens et al. 2008). Recently, electroneutral NH3 trans-
port by ScMep2 was shown to be accompanied with an intra-
cellular pH modification and was proposed to initiate
filamentation signaling (Brito et al. 2020). ScMep1 on the
other side mediated electrogenic transport was not able to
initiate filamentation and differently affected yeast cytosolic
pH in vivo (Brito et al. 2020). Transport by ScMep2 H194E did
no further induce filamentous growth and exhibit a cytosolic
pH decrease similar to ScMep1 (Brito et al. 2020).

So far, there is no compelling evidence arguing for ammo-
nium transport by CaMep1 and ammonia transport by
CaMep2. This study addresses the important issue of transport
mechanisms in the Candida albicans Mep1 and Mep2 pro-
teins by the use of direct uptake and electrophysiology mea-
surements in Xenopus laevis oocytes. It shows net NH4+ trans-
port by CaMep1 and electroneutral NH3 transport by CaMep2
which might be the prerequisite for the induction of pseudo-
hyphal growth by CaMep2 as it was recently proposed for
ScMep2 (Brito et al. 2020).

Material and methods

Constructs

The coding sequences of CaMep1 (orf19.1614) and CaMep2
(orf19.5672) were amplified from wild-type Candida albicans
(SC5314) genomic DNA using primers containing the
respective restriction enzyme cut sites for direct cloning into the
pOO2 vector for in vitro transcription (Table S1). PCR
products were directly digested and ligated into pOO2;
the sequences were validated by Sanger sequencing.
Mutagenesis was performed by PCR using primers with the
respective miss-matches (Table S1). Mutagenesis was con-
firmed by Sanger sequencing. RNA for oocyte injection was
transcribed in vitro using the mMESSAGE mMACHINE™
SP6 Transcription Kit (Thermo Scientific, Bremen,
Germany).

Injection of oocytes, electrophysiology, and 15N uptake

The electrophysiological methods are described in more detail
elsewhere (Mayer and Ludewig 2006). Briefly, oocytes were
ordered at Ecocyte Bioscience (Castrop-Rauxel), presorted,
and injected with 50 nl of cRNA (0.8 µg/µl). Always two
different controls were used in parallel. Control oocytes were
either not injected or injected with 50 nl of RNAse-free H2O.
Oocytes were kept in ND96 for 4 days at 18 °C and then
placed in a small recording chamber. The recording solution
was 110 mM choline chloride, 2 mM CaCl2, 2 mM MgCl2,
and 5 mM MES, pH adjusted to 5.5 with Tris. Variable am-
monium concentrations were added in a form of NH4Cl salt.
Currents without added ammonium were subtracted at each
electrical voltage. The voltage dependence δ of the Km was calculated
using the following equation: 

\[ K_m^{(5)} = K_m^{(0mV)} \times \exp(5 \times e \times V / k \times T), \]

where δ is the fractional electrical distance, e is the
elementary charge, V is the membrane potential, k is the
Boltzmann’s constant, and T is the absolute temperature.

 Springer
For the determination of ammonium uptake, the oocytes were incubated for 30 min in a recording solution additionally containing 3 mM 98% $^{15}$N added as ammonium sulfate salt. The oocytes were washed six times in H$_2$O before they were separately put in tin cups for isotope ratio mass spectrometry measurements. Cups were balanced before usage and after freeze-drying of the oocyte. The cup with oocyte was closed, balanced again, and used for $^{15}$N and total N determination by isotope ratio mass spectrometry. For measurement, an element analyzer (EuroVector, HEKAttech, Wegberg, Germany) coupled to a mass spectrometer (Delta Plus Advantage, Thermo Scientific, Bremen, Germany) was used. $\delta^{15}$N values are calculated vs. air-N$_2$ using the following equation: $\delta^{15}$N = (isotope ratio of sample/isotope ratio of air - 1) $\times$ 1000. Data are given as means ($n \geq 8$) $\pm$ SE, capital letters indicate statistical significance ($p < 0.05$) given by one-way ANOVA followed by the post hoc Tukey HSD analysis.

Results

Net ion transport by CaMep1

CaMep1 transports ammonium (here NH$_4^+$ and/or NH$_3$) in a largely Npr1-independent manner (Biswa and Morschhäuser 2005; Neuhäuser et al. 2011). Here, heterologous expression of CaMep1 in Xenopus laevis oocytes was used to address the form of substrate transported by CaMep1. The expression of ammonium transporters in Xenopus laevis oocytes has frequently been used for their characterization. Most AMT/Mep/Rh family members analyzed so far were functionally integrated into the oocyte membrane (Neuhäuser et al. 2007, 2009; Guether et al. 2009; Wu et al. 2019; Bindel and Neuhäuser 2019; Brito et al. 2020). The oocytes do not possess endogenous ammonium transporters and provision of ammonium in concentrations of up to 10 mM to water-injected or non-injected controls did not trigger any unspecific currents. This applies to all oocyte batches used during this study. Oocytes expressing CaMep1 yielded large ammonium-dependent currents implying a general net ammonium ion transport function for CaMep1. This transport was concentration-dependent and saturated with increasing ammonium concentrations showing half-maximal transport rates at a $K_m$ of ~0.2 mM (Fig. 1a). The affinity strongly depended on the membrane potential, indicating that like plant AMT1 transporters (Mayer et al. 2006; Neuhäuser et al. 2007), NH$_4^+$ enters deeply into the membrane electric field before being deprotonated with a fractional electrical distance of $\delta_{\text{NH}_4^+} = 0.375$. Therefore, NH$_4^+$ will pass about 37.5% of the membrane electrical field before it is deprotonated to NH$_3$ (Ganz et al. 2019, 2020).

CaMep2 function in oocytes

Furthermore, the wild-type CaMep2 transporter was expressed in Xenopus laevis oocytes. Previous growth and uptake experiments in Candida albicans showed a 20–30% contribution of CaMep2 to the total ammonium uptake. When CaMep2 was expressed in oocytes, it did not yield any ammonium-induced currents (Fig. 2a, b). This might imply a non-functionality of CaMep2 in oocytes or electroneutral NH$_3$ transport by CaMep2. Protein structures of ScMep2 as well as CaMep2 imply an autoinhibition of the transporters by their cytosolic C-terminal protein end. This autoinhibition was proposed to be disrupted by CaMep2 phosphorylation at S$_{453}$ (van den Berg et al. 2016). Mimicking phosphorylation by mutation of serine 453 into aspartate should therefore be expected to activate the transporter. Nevertheless, no currents were observed in the S$_{453}$D mutant (Fig. 2a, b). A mutation of the pore-lining histidine 188 to glutamate would resemble the pore profile of the NH$_4^+$-transporting CaMep1 in the CaMep2 pore and this was shown to change the transport mechanism of ScMep2 (Boeckstaens et al. 2008; Brito et al. 2020). This mutation did as well not induce ammonium-dependent currents. Despite the potentially activating mutations, no currents could be observed in the CaMep2 variants, even at very negative membrane potential.

CaMep2 is transporting ammonia into oocytes of Xenopus laevis

To observe a general ammonium/ammonia transport capacity of CaMeps, oocytes expressing CaMep1, the CaMep2 variants as well as water-injected control oocytes not expressing any Mep transporter were subjected to 3 mM $^{15}$NH$_4^+$ supplied in the form of ammonium sulfate. Oocytes expressing CaMep2 wild-type showed significant uptake of $^{15}$N compared with water-injected oocytes even though this uptake was not as high as for wild-type CaMep1 (Fig. 3). The proteins with the potentially activating mutations H$_{188}$E or S$_{453}$D rather decreased the CaMep2-mediated uptake of $^{15}$N into the oocytes. Combined with the current measurements, these results suggest electroneutral transport of NH$_3$ by CaMep2.

Discussion

The exact form of substrate transported by ammonium transporters has been investigated for several AMT/Mep/Rh family members from distinct organisms (Nakhoul et al. 2005; Mayer et al. 2006; Ludewig et al. 2007; Javelle et al. 2008; Neuhäuser et al. 2009; Ortiz-Ramirez et al. 2011; Wacker et al. 2014; Abdulnour-Nakhoul et al. 2016; Mirandela et al. 2019). For Mep proteins of Candida albicans, the transported substrate has been kept an open question (Brito et al. 2020).
Experiments in Saccharomyces cerevisiae showed different pH optima for the transport activity of ScMep1 and ScMep2 (Boeckstaens et al. 2008). ScMep2 had a pH optimum in the acidic range around pH 4 (Boeckstaens et al. 2008). ScMep1 showed a transport optimum at pH 6. The differences in pH dependence were proposed to be an indication of distinct transport mechanisms in different yeast ammonium transporters (Boeckstaens et al. 2008). Finally, net NH$_4^+$ transport by ScMep1 and electroneutral NH$_3$ transport by ScMep2 were shown (Brito et al. 2020). Different transport mechanisms have as well been suggested for plant AMT1 and AMT2 subfamily members. While ammonium transport was always accompanied by charge transport in AMT1 transporters (Mayer et al. 2006; Neuhäuser et al. 2007), several plant AMT2 members showed ammonium uptake, but no ammonium-induced currents (Guether et al. 2009; Neuhäuser et al. 2009, 2014; Straub et al. 2014). The electroneutral NH$_3$ transport was strongly pH-dependent as well and, surprisingly, increased at more acidic pH (Guether et al. 2009).

As shown for plant AMT1 transporters, ammonium transport by CaMep1 induced strong inward-directed currents in Xenopus laevis oocytes. This transport is saturated with half-maximal currents at 0.2 mM (Fig. 1a), which is in accordance with transport mediated by ScMep1 (Brito et al. 2020). The affinity of the transporter was strongly dependent on the membrane potential showing a fractional electrical distance of $\delta_{\text{NH}_4^+} = 0.375$ (Fig. 1b). Assuming that the deprotonation of ammonium in the ammonium transporter pore (Ariz et al. 2018) is the rate-limiting step, the $\delta$ indicates that ammonium passes about 40% of the membrane electric field before it is deprotonated. Therefore, the ammonium affinity might not be determined by the binding pocket at the pore entrance, but rather by pore internal structures involved in ammonium deprotonation. Two conserved structures, a double Phe-gate and a twin-His motif, are lining AMT/Mep transporter pores. While the Phe-gate of AtAMT1;2 does not seem to be involved in deprotonating the ammonium substrate (Ganz et al. 2019), the twin-His motif of AtAMT1;2 was shown to...
be essential for deprotonation (Ganz et al. 2020). The position of the twin-His motif in the protein would be in accordance with deprotonation of the substrate after crossing about 40% of the membrane electric field (Ullmann et al. 2012). As proposed for other AMT/Mep/Rh members, the deprotonation might therefore take place at the position of the twin-His motif in the transporter pore (Ganz et al. 2019, 2020). The first histidine residue in the twin-His motif was not essential for the deprotonation but mutation into a glutamate residue in AtAMT2 decreased the dependence on deprotonation, increased the transport rate, and allowed some bypassing of potassium and possibly ammonium ions (Ganz et al. 2020). This is in line with the observation that, like NH3 transporting plant AMT2s, ScMep2 had a transport optimum in more acidic conditions (Boeckstaens et al. 2008) and mediated electroneutral NH3 transport (Brito et al. 2020). Mutation of the pore-lining histidine 194 to glutamate in ScMep2 changed the pH optimum to pH 6 similar to the pH optimum of ScMep1. Higher transport ammonium rates in yeast were shown for the corresponding ScMep2 H194E mutant but the instability of the mutant transporter in oocytes precluded its characterization in this system (Brito et al. 2020). This likely was the case for the CaMep2 H158E mutant in this study as well again precluding a detailed discussion of this mutant.

Wild-type ScMep2 was clearly stably localized in the oocyte membrane (Brito et al. 2020) as expected for wild-type CaMep2 since both mediated significant uptake of $^{15}$NH$_4^+$ into the oocytes. Still, CaMep2 did not induce any NH$_4^+$ currents when expressed in oocytes (Fig. 2a), as expected if the transported substrate would be NH$_3$. In Candida albicans (Neuhäuser et al. 2011), as well as in Saccharomyces cerevisiae (Boeckstaens et al. 2007), Mep2 function was shown to be dependent on C-terminal phosphorylation by Npr1. Protein structures of CaMep2 and ScMep2 indicate that both proteins are sensitive to an autoinhibition by the non-phosphorylated C-terminus. This autoinhibition is proposed to be relieved by Npr1-dependent phosphorylation (van den Berg et al. 2016). To exclude the general inactivity of CaMep2 by autoinhibition, a S453D mutation was introduced to mimic phosphorylation of the C-terminus. While the corresponding ScMep2 S457D variant was stable in oocytes but did not increase ScMep2 activity, the S453D mutation rather decreased CaMep2 activity (Fig. 3). Relief of the autoinhibition of ScMep2 and CaMep2 therefore does not seem to be needed in Xenopus laevis oocytes. It might be speculated that protein stability is affected by the different membrane composition as shown for EcAmtB (Mirandela et al. 2019) or phosphorylation might be mediated by an oocyte endogenous kinase. Importantly, none of the CaMep2 variants mediated ammonium-induced currents in oocytes (Fig. 2) while the wild-type CaMep2 clearly showed short-term $^{15}$N uptake (Fig. 3).
In conclusion, these experiments suggest net NH$_4^+$ transport (either as NH$_4^+$ or as NH$_3$+H$^+$) by CaMep1, but a distinct transport mechanism of electroneutral NH$_3$ conduction by CaMep2 (Fig. 4). Since a general mechanism of ammonium binding and deprotonation during transport was proposed for all AMT/Mep/Rh proteins (Javelle et al. 2008; Ariz et al. 2018), CaMep1 seems to cotransport the proton by a yet unknown pathway. CaMep2 on the other side seems to exclude the proton back outside. Similar results were recently shown for the methylammonium permeases from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. The ScMep2-specific transport mechanism that induces cytosolic alkalinization was suggested to trigger filamentation (Brito et al. 2020). The similarity in Mep transport characteristics between the *Candida albicans* and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* proteins might indicate that the mechanism of inducing filamentous growth by Mep2-mediated NH$_3$ transport might as well hold true for *Candida albicans*.
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