Exploring Spatial Variations and Determinants of Dietary Diversity Among Children in Ethiopia: Spatial and Multilevel Analysis Using EDHS (2011–2016)
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Introduction: Dietary diversity has a significant impact on children’s nutritional health. For developing and implementing interventions, it is critical to understand the regional distribution of dietary diversity and underlying factors. However, the application of spatial techniques in dietary studies has not been well documented. The study’s goal was to look into the regional variances and factors that influence children’s dietary diversity. Further, we have discussed the spatial correlation of dietary diversity with nutritional status.

Methods: Data from the National Demographic and Health Survey were used during analyses. This work evaluated the overall dietary diversity of children aged 6–23 months based on the 2017 WHO and UNICEF classification of minimum dietary diversity (MDD). The Local Anselin Moran’s I was estimated to look into the regional variation of dietary diversity and hotspot and cold spot areas. Further, multivariate multilevel logistic regression was used for factor analyses.

Results: Overall, only 13.3% (95% CI: 10.2–14.7%) of children in 2011 and 24% (95% CI: 15.5–26.5%) in 2016 achieved MDD. We identified statistically significant clusters of high inadequate dietary diversity (hotspots) in the districts of northern Ethiopia, notably in the Amhara, Tigray, and Afar regions, and clusters of low inadequate dietary diversity (cold spots) in the country’s central and western regions. In both studies, the frequency of dietary diversity was significantly higher among older children, those who had media exposure, and mothers and fathers who had received formal education.

Conclusion: According to our findings, the MDD of children in Ethiopia, as measured by WHO dietary assessment, slightly increased from 2011 to 2016. The dietary diversity of children was distributed non-randomly in different districts across regions of Ethiopia. Localized intervention and preventative methods to improve dietary patterns and culture can be developed using existing socio-demographic factors and districts with a larger distribution of inadequate dietary diversity.

Keywords: dietary diversity, DD, minimum dietary diversity, MDD, under-five children, spatial, multilevel, Ethiopia

Introduction
Dietary Diversity (DD) emerges as a predictor of children’s micronutrient intake and nutritional status.1 Dietary diversity is a simple metric that is widely used as a proxy for dietary quality, micronutrient sufficiency, and food availability.1,2 DD refers to the consumption of foods from major nutritionally significant types of food while maintaining a balance between plant and animal source meals, according to the World Health Organization (WHO).3–5 Moreover, Minimum Dietary Diversity

(MDD) is the consumption of five or more food groups from the eight recommended food groups, namely breast milk, grains, roots, and tubers, legumes, and nuts, dairy products (infant formula, milk, yogurt, cheese), flesh foods (meat, fish, poultry, and liver/organ meats), eggs, vitamin-A rich fruits and vegetables, other fruits and vegetables, for higher dietary quality and to meet daily energy and nutrient requirements.3,5,6

Following exclusive breastfeeding, the introduction of adequate supplementary food around the age of 6 months is critical for an infant’s optimal growth and development.4 DD is the potential determinant of a child’s linear growth and it has a predisposing correlation with a child’s body fat composition.4,7 Poor diets, on the other hand, are a major contributor to the rising occurrence of anthropometric failures in children.8,9 Consumption of a varied diet has been linked to a reduction in childhood malnutrition.7 Undernutrition manifests in the forms of wasting, stunting, underweight, and micronutrient deficiencies.10,11 Lack of food access, low food utilization, and large seasonal fluctuations in food security are the main causes of child wasting and stunting.9,12,13

Globally, the severity of food insecurity and micronutrient deficiencies are high across several countries in South Asia and Sub-Saharan Africa.14 Among Sub-Saharan countries, the MDD is low, 23% in Rwanda,15 16% in Burundi, 2% in Zimbabwe, and 46% in Tanzania.16 In Ethiopia, there is an improvement in the proportion of children who meet the MDD, 6.3% in 2005 to 13.5% in 2016;17 however, the levels remain unacceptably low. Previous research has revealed factors connected to children’s DD such as mother’s age, maternal educational level,12,16 marital status, maternal occupation,19 ANC visit, residence, region, child’s gender, birthing sequence, childbirth interval, child’s age,18 number of under-5 children,19 currently breastfeeding, paternal educational status, household wealth status,12,20 family size, desire for pregnancy, media exposure, antenatal and postnatal care.17,21

Many public health measures have been carried out across Ethiopia to improve the culture of DD and the nutritional status of children. However, the MDD for children in Ethiopia remains low at.20 This is considered to be not uniformly distributed and varies across time in the country. The country, on the other hand, does not have a system in place to identify places where there is a lack of nutritional diversity. Furthermore, most studies that examined the DD of children aged 6–23 months are based on the older classification of MDD based on seven food groups. Further, Ethiopia has been attacked by drought for many periods, which in turn increases food insecurity and may worsen malnutrition.

This research aimed to look at the spatial patterns and trends of dietary diversity and its predictors in Ethiopia based on data obtained from two previous national Demographic and Health Surveys (DHS). As a result, these findings are critical for those who make decisions and those who work with them to design policies and respond accordingly based on geographic evidence.

**Methods**

**Study Design**

The extent of spatial patterns of dietary diversity and associated factors among Ethiopian children aged 6–23 months was determined using a population-based repeated cross-sectional study. Which is based on an existing data set of two consecutive EDHSs surveys conducted in 201122 and 2016.23 The Demographic Health Survey (DHS) is a multi-round cross-country survey that assesses population health with a focus on maternal health and child health, as well as population health indicators of global health significance. Data collection was done in partnership with the Central Statistical Agency (CSA) and the Ministry of Health of Ethiopia.

For both surveys, the DHS used a multistage sampling method, with clusters being chosen first and households being picked second. With clusters, EAs (enumeration areas) sample households were chosen, and cluster selection was stratified by place of residence (rural/urban) and districts. The full EDHS report included a detailed sampling technique.22,23 Location data (latitude and longitude coordinates) were also taken from selected EAs.

**Study Setting**

Ethiopia is a country located in the horn of Africa. Its geographical coordinates are 9.145° N latitude and 40.4897° East longitude.24

The total land area is estimated to be 1,126,829km². As a landlocked country, It is bordered by Djibouti, Eritrea, Kenya, Somalia, South Sudan, Sudan, and Somaliland (Somalia).25 Agriculture is the predominant economic activity accounting for over 83.9% of its GDP, this agricultural activities are mainly dependent on rainfall.26 Each of the country’s nine administrative regions and two
administrative cities is organized into zones, districts, towns, and kebeles (the smallest administrative units).

Study Tool and Measurement

Dependent Variables

The dietary diversity indicator utilized in the analysis was constructed using data from the DHS survey’s 24-hour recall of food groups.\textsuperscript{22,23} According to WHO and UNICEF guidelines the DD score for children 6–23 months of age, defined as the proportion of children aged 6–23 months who consumed foods from at least five of the eight food groups within a 24-h period.\textsuperscript{5} 1-grains, roots and tubers, 2-legumes and nuts, 3-dairy products, 4-meat, fish, poultry, and liver/organ meats, 5-eggs, 6-vitamin A-rich fruits and vegetables, 7-other fruits and vegetables, and 8-breast milk are the eight food groups. In this study, the MDD score of the children was divided into two categories. Those children between 6 and 23 months who consumed at least five food categories in the last 24 h before an interview are considered to have met the MDD requirements, their MDD score categorized as having adequate minimum dietary diversity. Furthermore, children aged between 6 and 23 months who consumed less than five food categories in the last 24 h before an interview were considered to have not met the MDD requirements, their MDD score categorized as having inadequate minimum dietary diversity.

Independent Variables

The design and development of the conceptual framework for the study were guided by the literature review for factors that are associated with children’s DD.\textsuperscript{3,7–9,12,13,15,17–21} These cover factors related to child, maternal, household, and community characteristics that impact children’s diet. The main exposure variables were wealth, household food insecurity access, mother’s marital status, family size, maternal age, maternal education, maternal occupation, child sex, child age in a month, paternal education, paternal occupation, and child’s place of birth order.

Data Management and Analysis

To restore the survey’s representativeness and account for sampling design when computing standard errors to provide valid statistical estimates, the data were weighted using sampling weight, primary sampling unit, and strata before any statistical analysis. STATA version 14.1 was used to create descriptive and summary statistics for non-spatial analysis. A spatial map was also produced for visual presentation of DD at the regional and district level using ArcGIS version 10.8 and Sat Scan version 9.6. The district demarcation shapefile for Ethiopia was obtained from the CSA database.

Spatial Autocorrelation Analysis

The global spatial autocorrelation (Global Moran’s I) statistic measure was used to assess whether MDD among children was dispersed, clustered, or randomly distributed in the study area. It was used to detect the spatial autocorrelation of DD: calculated Moran’s I values close to −1 indicate inadequate dietary among children are dispersed, whereas I close to +1 indicate inadequate dietary clustered and inadequate dietary among children is distributed randomly if I value zero. A statistically significant Moran’s I (p < 0.05) leads to rejection of the null hypothesis and indicates the presence of spatial autocorrelation.

Hot Spot Analysis

By generating Gi* statistics for each area, hot spot analyses were generated to determine how spatial autocorrelation varies over the research areas. The statistical significance of clustering is determined by the Z-score, and the significance is determined by the p-value. If the z-score is between −1.96 and +1.96, the p-value would be larger than 0.05, then the null hypothesis cannot be rejected; the pattern displayed is most likely be the result of random spatial processes. If the z-score is outside the range, the observed spatial pattern is likely too unique to be due to chance, and the p-value will be significant. A high Gi* statistical output indicates a “hotspot,” while a low Gi* indicates a “cold spot.”.

Spatial Interpolation of Minimum Dietary Diversity Among Children

To anticipate the unsampled from sampled values, the spatial interpolation approach was used. For predicting and producing smooth surfaces of childhood DD, the kriging interpolation approach was utilized.\textsuperscript{27} As a result, in this study, ordinary kriging was employed to evaluate the burden of inadequate dietary diversity among children.

Cluster Analysis

Spatial analysis tools SaTScan and ArcGIS were used to perform final confirmatory spatial studies. The SaTScan identifies areas geographically where significant higher aggregate rates. Its results show hotspot areas in circular
windows, showing that distributions inside the windows are higher than predicted when compared to the distributions outside of the cluster windows. Hot spot areas with a high cluster of inadequate dietary diversity and Coldspot areas with low-level clusters were identified in ArcGIS.

### Multilevel Logistic Regression
At the individual and community levels, multivariable multilevel logistic regression was performed to investigate factors associated with DD. In the analysis, four models were used. The first model, which does not include any explanatory factors, assesses the degree of the cluster variation on DD. The second model contains only individual-level variables, the third model contains only community-level variables, and the fourth model contains both individual-level and community-level variables. A P-value of <0.05 was used to define statistical significance. Adjusted Odds Ratios (AOR) with their corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated to identify the independent predictors of DD.

To evaluate the variation between clusters, the intra-cluster correlation coefficient (ICC), Median Odds Ratio (MOR), and proportional change in variance (PCV) statistics were calculated. MOR is a measure of unexplained cluster heterogeneity, while ICC was employed to explain cluster variation. PCV measures the total variation attributed by individual-level factors and community-level factors in the multilevel model as compared to the null model PCV.

### Ethical Considerations
We requested DHS Program for permission to obtain and utilize the data from [http://www.dhsprogram.com](http://www.dhsprogram.com) for this study, and they agreed. Data obtained from the DHS is de-identified data before sharing with the public, thus participants identifiers are removed and institutional ethical review was waived, ensuring the regulations for the protection of human subjects. In infield surveys, GIS coordinates are only collected for the EA as a whole, and not for individual households, and the measured coordinates are randomly displaced within a large geographic area.

### Results
#### Dietary Diversity Among Children
From the 2011 and 2016 EDHS, a total of 2936 and 2906 children aged 6–23 months were enrolled in the study. The result of the univariate analysis showed a higher number of participants were from rural residents 86.3% and 87.7% in both surveys. In 2011, the mean age of the responding women at the of their first delivery was much lower than in 2016. Furthermore, women’s education levels were much lower in 2011 than they were in 2016. Regarding their partners, 48.6% and 43.7% were illiterate in 2011 and 2016, respectively (Table 1).

Overall, dietary diversity shows a slight increment across the survey. In both surveys, Breast milk, Grains, Legumes, and Dairy foods were the most commonly used up food groups while Meat/fish, vitamin A rich, and other fruits and vegetables were less frequently consumed (Figure 1). Of the children, only 13.3% (95% CI: 10.2–14.7%) in 2011 met the minimum acceptable diet compared to 24% (95% CI: 15.5–26.5%) in 2016.

#### Spatial Trends of Dietary Diversity Among Children in Ethiopia
We presented the results in Figures 2 and 3. The regional distribution of dietary diversity demonstrated significant spatial variation across the country over time. The country’s diet variety was clustered significantly, according to the Global Moran’s I values (0.18–0.48).

The geographic distribution of inadequate dietary diversity is shown in Figures 4 and 5. Using Getis-Ord Gi* statistics, we identified significant spatial variability in the distribution of inadequate dietary diversity in the two survey periods. Districts from the South and North Wollo Amhara regions, Zone 4 and Zone 1 of Afar region, West Hararge and Jima of Oromia region, and Gurage and Zone 1 of SNNP, and Gambella regions were significantly associated with inadequate dietary diversity in the 2011 EDHS (Figure 4), whereas districts from the central and western parts of Ethiopia were significantly associated with adequate dietary diversity. The outliers were found on Amhara, Afar, Benishangul-Gumuz, Gambella, and SNNP regions (Figure 6).

In the 2016 EDHS, the highest prevalence of Inadequate dietary diversity (hotspots) was identified in Tigray, Afar, Amhara, Somali, and Gambella regions (Figure 5). We observed that the Inadequate diet diversity is worse in the northern part of Ethiopia. North Wollo, South Wollo, South Gondar, West Gojam, East Gojam, North Shewa, Wag Hemra, and Oromia Zone are the districts of the Amhara regions with high prevalence. The zone identified in the Tigray region is found in the southern and central parts. Similarly, four
Table 1  Characteristics of the Study Participants in the 2011 and 2016 EDHS

| Variables                        | 2011 EDHS Weighted Frequency (%) | 2016 EDHS Weighted Frequency (%) | Variables                        | 2011 EDHS Weighted Frequency (%) | 2016 EDHS Weighted Frequency (%) |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Sex of child                     |                                  |                                  | Sex of child                     |                                  |                                  |
| Male                             | 1490.7(50.8)                     | 1370.5(47.2)                     | Male                             | 687.5(23.4)                      | 663.6(22.8)                      |
| Female                           | 1446.0(49.2)                     | 1535(52.8)                       | Female                           | 657.8(22.40)                     | 607.3(20.9)                      |
| Age of child                     |                                  |                                  | Age of child                     |                                  |                                  |
| 6–11m                            | 1108.6(37.8)                     | 1120.8(38.6)                     | 6–11m                            | 589.7(20.08)                     | 651.1(22.4)                      |
| 12–17 m                          | 996.1(33.9)                      | 1056.9(36.4)                     | 12–17 m                          | 523.4(17.82)                     | 529.0(18.2)                      |
| 18–23 m                          | 832.2(28.34)                     | 728.4(26.1)                      | 18–23 m                          | 478.4(16.29)                     | 455.2(15.6)                      |
| Under five children in the household |                                  |                                  |                                  |                                  |                                  |
| ANC visit                         |                                  |                                  | ANC visit                         |                                  |                                  |
| Yes                              | 1681.0(57.40)                    | 1910.8(66.2)                     | Yes                              | 1522.6(52.71)                    | 1370.6(47.8)                     |
| No                               | 1247.7(42.60)                    | 977.5(33.8)                      | No                               | 427.5(14.80)                     | 389.5(13.6)                      |
| Age of mother at first birth      |                                  |                                  | Age of mother at first birth      |                                  |                                  |
| <20                              | 2140.5(72.9)                     | 2112.7(72.7)                     | <20                              | 1414.7(48.59)                    | 1202.1(43.7)                     |
| 20–34                            | 794.2(27.04)                     | 789.8(27.2)                      | 20–34                            | 1242.6(42.68)                    | 1143.7(41.6)                     |
| 35–49                            | 2.04(0.07)                       | 3.7(0.13)                        | 35–49                            | 252.7(8.68)                      | 405.5(14.7)                      |
| Current marital status           |                                  |                                  | Current marital status           |                                  |                                  |
| Not in union                     | 189.9(6.47)                      | 154.9(5.3)                       | Not in union                     | 333.6(11.36)                     | 1058(36.41)                      |
| In union                         | 2746.9(93.53)                    | 2751.3(94.7)                     | In union                         | 2585.6(88.04)                    | 1785.8(61.5)                     |
| Birth interval                   |                                  |                                  | Birth interval                   |                                  |                                  |
| ≤24 months                       | 405.7(16.70)                     | 455.0(19.4)                      | ≤24 months                       | 1958.5(66.69)                    | 2369.0(81.5)                     |
| >24 months                       | 2023.8(81.30)                    | 1885.6(80.6)                     | >24 months                       | 978.2(33.31)                     | 537.2(18.5)                      |
| Residence                        |                                  |                                  | Residence                        |                                  |                                  |
| Urban                            | 401.6(13.68)                     | 356.9(12.3)                      | Urban                            | 242.7(8.3)                       | 211.9(7.3)                       |
| Rural                            | 2535.1(86.32)                    | 2549.3(87.7)                     | Rural                            | 2694(92.7)                       | 2694.2(92.7)                     |
| Household size                   |                                  |                                  | Household size                   |                                  |                                  |
| 1–4                              | 815.2(27.76)                     | 906.5(31.2)                      | 1–4                              | 1553.5(52.8)                     | 1233.7(42.2)                     |
| 5–9                              | 1976.4(67.30)                    | 1826.8(62.9)                     | 5–9                              | 1729.5(5.9)                      | 1670.3(57.5)                     |
| Mother educational level         |                                  |                                  | Mother educational level         |                                  |                                  |
| No education                     | 1988.4(67.71)                    | 1741.1(59.91)                    | No education                     | 1553.5(52.8)                     | 1233.7(42.2)                     |
| Primary                          | 820.93(27.95)                    | 919.1(31.6)                      | Primary                          | 1729.5(5.9)                      | 1670.3(57.5)                     |
| Secondary and above              | 127.4(4.34)                      | 245.9(8.5)                       | Secondary and above              | 1377.5(47.0)                     | 1670.3(57.5)                     |

Abbreviations: ANC, Antenatal Care; EDHS, Ethiopian Demographic and Health Survey.
zones from the Afar region and three zones in the Somali region were significantly associated with a high inadequate dietary diversity. Furthermore, high clusters of inadequate dietary diversity include border areas of Tigray, in parts of Amhara, Afar, Somali, and Gambella (Figure 7).

Figure 1 Minimum dietary diversity and food consumption among children aged 6–23 months in Ethiopia (2011, 2016 EDHS). Abbreviations: EDHS, Ethiopian Demographic and Health Survey; Veg, Vegetables.

Figure 2 The global spatial autocorrelation of dietary diversity in 2011 EDHS. Abbreviation: EDHS, Ethiopian Demographic and Health Survey.
Kriging Interpolation of Inadequate Diet Diversity

The Kriging approach predicted the distributions of inadequate dietary diversity by interpolating the collected data to areas where data were not available. High-risk areas are shown by red prediction zones, and children living in such areas were vulnerable to a lack of dietary diversity. According to EDHS 2011, Inadequate dietary diversity was detected in the Amhara, Afar, Tigray’s border areas, east SNNPR, south Oromia, the northern part of Benishangul-Gumuz, and Gambella regions, while relatively low inadequate dietary diversity was predicted in the Addis Ababa, west Oromia, Harari, and Dire Dawa regions (Figure 8). In the 2016 EDHS, Amhara, Afar, Southern Tigray, Somali, and Gambella (Zone1) were predicted as more risky areas for inadequate dietary diversity among children compared to other regions (Figure 9).

Spatial Scan Analysis

A total of 216 significant clusters were discovered (43 in 2011, and 173 in 2016). Among them, there were 14 primary clusters and 63 secondary clusters. In 2011, the spatial window was found in Ethiopia’s Southern Somali area. The cluster’s spatial window has had a radius of 48.2 km and was centered at 9.721962 N, 42.330861 E, with a relative risk (RR) of 1.14 and Log-Likelihood ratio (LLR) of 14.5. This suggests that children living within the spatial window were 1.14 times more vulnerable to inadequate dietary diversity than children living outside the window (Table 2, Figure 10). Whereas the secondary clusters’ spatial window was centered at 12.188915 N, 40.452641 E, with a 77.7 km radius, a RR of 1.14, and LLR of 10.3.

In 2016, the primary spatial window was in Somali, while secondary spatial windows were located in Afar and Dire Dawa regions. The primary clusters’ spatial window...
was centered at 11.868414 N, 40.08828 E, with a radius of 267.3 km, a RR of 1.22, and a LLR of 43.3. The secondary clusters spatial window, on the other hand, was centered at 6.023458 N, 44.80750 E, with a radius of 461.0 km, a RR of 1.21, and a LLR of 24.3 (Table 2, Figure 11).

**Multilevel Analysis**

From the total variation in dietary diversity across the participants, 28% in 2011 EDHS and 63% in 2016 EDHS was attributable to clustering. The comparison of each effect of model 0–3 is shown in Table 3. We saw...
decreased variance from ICC, MOR, and deviance. The log-likelihood ratio and proportional change in variances increased as global expectations. The smaller deviation, in particular, indicates that the model is fit.

The result of the multilevel analysis is presented below. Adjusted odds ratios (AOR) for 2011 and 2016 EDHS are shown in Tables 4 and 5 respectively. Individual/household level multivariable multilevel mixed-effect logistic
regression analysis, the odd of having adequate dietary diversity were more than two times higher among children older than one year in both surveys, compared to infants. Similarly, children from households with good media exposure were greater odd of having adequate dietary diversity in both surveys. Furthermore, among community-level factors, children living in Amhara, Afar, Tigray, Somali, Benishangul-Gumuz, SNNP, and the Gambella regions were associated with having lower adequate dietary diversity compared to Dire Dawa.

In 2011 EDHS, having a mother who has completed formal education, large birth interval, having ANC visits, and living in the smaller family were positively associated with meeting the MDD (Table 4). Furthermore, in 2016
EDHS, a higher level of wealth index and children from urban households were positively associated with meeting the MDD (Table 5).

**Discussion**

We used a multilevel and spatial mapping approach based on the 2011 and 2016 EDHSs to examine the dietary diversity of children aged 0–23 months. This is, to the best of our knowledge, the first study to look into the geographical-level distribution of dietary diversity and their contributions/associations with Ethiopian children’s nutritional status. Further, we examined DD both at the individual and community levels.

Improvements in infant and young child feeding (IYCF) practices are critical for a child’s nutrition, health, and development, with long-term advantages. Based on two consecutive EDHS surveys, our results indicated that the minimum requirement of a diversified diet was met by 13.3% (95% CI: 10.2–14.7%) and 24% (95% CI: 15.5–26.5%) of the children aged 6–23 months in 2011 and 2016, EDHS respectively. In terms of figures from other Sub-Saharan African countries, this is in line with findings from Rwanda (23%), and Burundi (16%), which were lower than Tanzania (46%), while these findings are by far higher than a report from Zimbabwe, which is (2%). In the end, despite the progress that has been made, the child feeding practices have yet to progress to the point where they can be considered adequate.

So far, only a few research have looked at the spatial disparity of inadequate dietary diversities while considering the overall distribution of under-nutrition. Our study indicates that MDD among children at national and regional levels are non-random (Moran’s I: 0.18 and 0.48, 0.01) in 2011 and 2016 datasets respectively, which is consistent with the findings from Table 2.
Further, the result of regression analysis indicated that the observed variation in DD among children in Ethiopia is attributed to both individual and community-level factors. The finding of both spatial and regression analysis over the past two surveys identified high-risk regions consistently.

Figure 10 SaTScan scan statistics analysis of Inadequate dietary diversity, 2011 EDHS. **Abbreviation:** EDHS, Ethiopian Demographic and Health Survey.

Figure 11 SaTScan scan statistics analysis of Inadequate dietary diversity, 2016 EDHS. **Abbreviation:** EDHS, Ethiopian Demographic and Health Survey.
The study findings indicated a clear spatial pattern of inadequate dietary diversities across the regions of Ethiopia over the past decade. Significant clusters were detected in the Amhara, Afar, Benishangul-Gumuz, Somali, and Gambella in 2011 and 2016, and the SNNP region in 2011. The high rates of inadequate dietary diversities showed in the districts in the Tigray, Amhara, Afar, Somali, Benishangul-Gumuz, Gambella, and South Oromia. Overall, Tigray, Amhara, Afar, and Somali regions were among the higher risk regions of inadequate dietary diversities across time. The high-risk regions were consistently detected in both hotspot and cluster/outlier analyses, and they may be vulnerable to poor nutritional outcomes. Furthermore, previous studies conducted on childhood nutritional status identified these areas as higher risk regions for anthropometric failures. This might show that the findings are valid and highlight that proper child feeding practice plays a significant role in children’s nutritional status. Moreover, the Amhara, South and West Tigray, Afar, Somali, west Gambella, and Somali regions of Ethiopia have the highest magnitude of inadequate dietary diversity, according to spatial interpolations. This suggests that more attention and nutritional interventions are needed in the regions within the cluster windows.

The observed variations in dietary diversity can be ascribed to both individual and community-level factors, according to our findings. Our analysis indicated, older children had more adequate dietary intake as compared to younger children. Previous research has linked a higher risk of inadequate dietary diversity among younger children. We also found that media exposure, access, and utilization of radio and TV were also positively associated to meet the adequate DD in both surveys. This result was in line with previous research. Further, when compared others to in the Dire Dawa, regions such as Tigray, Amhara, Afar, Somali, and Benishangul-Gumuz have been negatively associated with child adequate dietary diversity across time.

According to the findings of this study, children from wealthier households had a more diverse diet than children from poorer households. Other research also suggested that children’s dietary diversity has been affected by households’ economic status. It could be a proxy for wealth because it reflects increased access to foods purchased outside the household. We also found that child dietary diversity is significantly associated with maternal education. Similar findings have been reported by other studies. This may be due to, Mothers who have received formal education are more knowledgeable about healthy child feeding, which may aid them in adopting essential nutrients.

These findings have valuable policy implications for intervention and program design. Our finding indicated that regions associated with significant inadequate dietary diversity among children aged between 6 and 23 months were consistent in the spatial and regression analysis outputs. These findings will give attention and allow interventions to address hot spot areas associated with inadequate dietary diversity of children at local administrative levels. Furthermore, these findings are

| Table 3 Model Estimates of MDD in 2011 and 2016 EDHS |
|-----------------------------------------------------|
| **2011 EDHS**                                      | Model 0 | Model 1 | Model 2 | Model 3 |
| Variance                                          | 0.67    | 0.60    | 0.49    | 0.58    |
| ICC                                               | 0.28    | 0.13    | 0.08    | 0.078   |
| LLR                                               | −1064.4 | −709.7  | −1024.0 | −684.3  |
| Deviance                                          | 1470    | 1192    | 1411    | 1132    |
| PCV                                               | Reference | 0.61 | 0.74    | 0.79    |
| MOR                                               | 2.1     | 2.0     | 1.9     | 1.8     |
| **2016 EDHS**                                      | Model 0 | Model 1 | Model 2 | Model 3 |
| Variance                                          | 1.24    | 0.54    | 0.31    | 0.26    |
| ICC                                               | 0.63    | 0.24    | 0.31    | 0.23    |
| LLR                                               | −1420.5 | −1294.6 | −1277.1 | −1225.5 |
| Deviance                                          | 2700    | 2809    | 6300    | 2060    |
| PCV                                               | Reference | 0.82 | 0.74    | 0.83    |
| MOR                                               | 2.1     | 1.93    | 1.57    | 1.74    |

**Abbreviations:** EDHS, Ethiopian Demographic and Health Survey; ICC, intraclass correlation; LLR, Log-Likelihood Ratio; MDD, Minimum Dietary Diversity; MOR, Median odds ratio; PCV, proportional change in variance.
### Table 4 Factors Associated with MDD in the 2011 EDHS. Results from Multilevel Analysis

| Variables                        | Model 0          | Model I          | Model II         | Model III         |
|----------------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| **Age of the child (month)**     |                  |                  |                  |                  |
| 6–11m                            | 1.00             | 2.4(1.6–3.5)***  | –                | 1.00             |
| 12–17 m                          |                  | 2.4(1.7–3.6)***  | –                |                  |
| 18–23 m                          |                  | 3.5(2.4–5.2)***  | –                | 3.3(2.8–4.9)***  |
| **Birth interval**               |                  |                  |                  |                  |
| ≤24 months                       | 1.00             | 1.5(1.0–2.2)***  | –                | 1.00             |
| >24 months                       |                  | 1.3(0.9–1.9)     | –                |                  |
| **Age of mother at first birth** |                  |                  |                  |                  |
| <20                              | 1.00             | 0.9(0.7–1.3)     | –                | 1.00             |
| 20–34                            |                  | Empty            | –                |                  |
| 35–49                            |                  | Empty            | –                |                  |
| **ANC visit**                    |                  |                  |                  |                  |
| Yes                              | 1.00             | 1.7(1.3–2.3)***  | –                | 1.00             |
| No                               |                  | 1.8(1.3–2.4)***  | –                |                  |
| **Household size**               |                  |                  |                  |                  |
| 1–4                              | 1.00             | 0.7(0.5–0.9)***  | –                | 1.00             |
| 5–9                              |                  | 0.6(0.4–0.9)*    | –                | 0.9(0.5–1.7)     |
| 10 and more                      |                  |                  | –                |                  |
| **Under five children in the household** | 1.00         |                  |                  |                  |
| 1                                | 1.00             | 1.1(0.7–1.6)     | –                | 1.00             |
| 2                                |                  | 1.0(0.7–1.5)     | –                |                  |
| 3                                |                  | 1.6(0.9–2.6)     | –                |                  |
| **Mother educational level**     |                  |                  |                  |                  |
| No education                     | 1.00             | 1.8(1.3–2.5)***  | –                | 1.00             |
| Primary                          |                  | 1.6(1.1–2.2)***  | –                |                  |
| Secondary and above              |                  | 2.2(1.3–4.1)***  | –                | 2.4(1.3–4.5)***  |
| **Watching television**          |                  |                  |                  |                  |
| No at all                        | 1.00             | 1.8(1.3–2.5)***  | –                | 1.00             |
| Once a week                      |                  | 1.9(1.8–2.7)***  | –                |                  |
| **Region**                       |                  |                  |                  |                  |
| Dire Dawa                        | –                | 1.00             | –                | 1.00             |
| Tigray                           | –                | 3.3(2.1–5.7)***  | 1.8(1.2–4.23)*  |
| Afar                             | –                | 1.4(1.1–3.4)***  | 2.1(1.3–3.5)**  |
| Amhara                           | –                | 3.4(2.1–4.7)***  | 4.0(2.2–5.4)**  |
| Oromia                           | –                | 2.0(1.2–3.3)**   | 2.1(1.2–3.2)*   |
| Somali                           | –                | 2.6(1.5–4.0)**   | 2.9(1.9–3.7)*   |
| Benishangul gumz                 | –                | 1.4(1.0–2.9)*    | 1.6(1.4–2.8)*   |
| SNNP                             | –                | 1.3(1.00–1.7)*   | 1.2(1.0–3.5)*   |
| Gambella                         | –                | 1.7(1.2–0.98)    | 1.8(1.4–3.9)**  |
| Harari                           | –                | 0.6(0.5–1.2)     | 0.7(0.5–1.2)    |
| Addis Ababa                      | –                | 0.6(0.52–1.0)    | 0.6(0.4–0.8)*   |

**Notes:** 1: reference group; p<0.05*; P<0.01**; p<0.001***.

**Abbreviations:** EDHS, Ethiopian Demographic and Health Survey; MDD, Minimum Dietary Diversity; SNNPR, Southern Nations, Nationalities, and People's Region.
| Variables                        | Model 0 | Model I | Model II | Model III |
|---------------------------------|---------|---------|----------|-----------|
| **Child's sex**                 |         |         |          |           |
| Female                          | 1.00    | –       | 1.00     |           |
| Male                            | 0.9(0.8–1.2) | –       | 1.0(0.8–1.2) |           |
| **Age of the child (months)**   |         |         |          |           |
| 6–11 m                          | 1.00    | –       | 1.00     |           |
| 12–17 m                         | 2.0(1.6–2.5)** | –       | 2.0(1.5–2.5)** |           |
| 18–23 m                         | 2.1(1.6–2.7)** | –       | 2.1(1.6–2.7)** |           |
| **Age of mother at first birth**|         |         |          |           |
| <20                             | 1.00    | –       | 1.00     |           |
| 20–34                           | 1.3(1.1–1.6)** | –       | 1.2(1.0–1.5)** |           |
| 35–49                           | 0.4(0.1–2.1) | –       | 0.3(0.6–1.7) |           |
| **Household size**              |         |         |          |           |
| 1–4                             | 1.00    | –       | 1.00     |           |
| 5–9                             | 1.1(0.9–1.3) | –       | 1.1(0.8–1.3) |           |
| 10 and more                     | 1.2(0.8–1.9) | –       | 1.3(0.8–2.1) |           |
| **Household Wealth**            |         |         |          |           |
| Poorest                         | 1.00    | –       | 1.00     |           |
| Poorer                          | 2.1(1.5–3.0)** | –       | 1.4(1.0–2.0)** |           |
| Middle                          | 3.3(2.4–4.6)** | –       | 2.3(1.6–3.2)** |           |
| Richer                          | 3.5(2.5–4.9)** | –       | 2.5(1.7–3.6)** |           |
| Richest                         | 5.0(3.7–6.8)** | –       | 3.0(1.9–4.8)** |           |
| **Reading news paper**          |         |         |          |           |
| Does not read                   | 1.00    | –       | 1.00     |           |
| Read once a week                | 1.7(1.2–2.3)** | –       | 1.5(1.2–2.2)** |           |
| **Residence**                   |         |         |          |           |
| Urban                           | –       | 1.00    | –        | 1.00      |
| Rural                           | –       | 1.42[1.02–1.88] | –       | 0.6(0.4–0.9)** |
| **Region**                      |         |         |          |           |
| Dire Dawa                       | –       | 1.00    | –        | 1.00      |
| Tigray                          | –       | 5.3(4.5–8.1)** | –        | 3.2(2.0–4.3)** |
| Afar                            | –       | 1.5(1.1–2.1)** | –        | 2.0(1.3–3.6)* |
| Amhara                          | –       | 3.0(2.2–4.3)** | –        | 4.5(3.2–5.3)** |
| Oromia                          | –       | 2.1(1.2–3.5)** | –        | 2.1(0.9–3.1) |
| Somali                          | –       | 3.5(2.1–5.2)** | –        | 2.8(1.8–4.6)** |
| Benishangul-Gumuz               | –       | 1.2(1.1–2.9)** | –        | 1.6(1.2–2.9)* |
| SNNP                            | –       | 1.3(0.8–1.67) | –        | 1.2(0.5–2.5) |
| Gambella                        | –       | 1.8(1.3–2.8)** | –        | 1.7(1.3–2.5)** |
| Harari                          | –       | 0.8(0.52–1.21) | –        | 0.7(0.6–1.20) |
| Addis Ababa                     | –       | 0.8(0.52–1.8) | –        | 0.5(0.3–0.9)* |

**Notes:** 1: reference group; *p<0.05; **p<0.01; ***p<0.001

**Abbreviations:** EDHS, Ethiopian Demographic and Health Survey; MDD, Minimum Dietary Diversity; SNNPR, Southern Nations, Nationalities, and People's Region.
supremely important for the Ministry of Health and Regional Health Bureau to give attention to those hot spot areas for implementing intervention programs to have good progress towards achieving sustainable development goal target for nutrition.

This research had several strengths. To begin with, the study was based on large nationally representative data-sets, thus it has adequate statistical power to generalize findings to children aged 6–23 months in the study area. Second, the study’s estimates were completed after the data had been weighted to account for probability sampling and nonresponse, ensuring that the results were representative at national and regional levels. Further, to explore the sources of variations in DD across time, spatial and multilevel analytical approaches were used. This allowed for the identification of similar and statistically significant hotspot areas with inadequate feeding practices across the surveys, as well as the development of effective interventions.

The current study has various limitations that must be noted when evaluating the results. The study’s cross-sectional design makes it impossible to infer causation between the independent and dependent variables. Furthermore, this study did not consider several unobserved confounders, including Altitude and soil constraints, which were not investigated as part of the survey. The findings of this survey should be interpreted in light of the above limitations. However, we believe that our findings and recommendations will greatly contribute to a better understanding of Ethiopian childhood feeding practices.

Conclusion
According to the findings of this study, from the 2011 to 2016 survey in Ethiopia, the MDD for children as measured by WHO dietary assessment shows a slight increment. The spatial distribution of DD in both surveys was not random. A large area of the Amhara region, some parts of Afar, Somali, a few parts of Tigray, Benishangul-Gumuz, and Gambella were continued to be areas of inadequate dietary diversity. Reasons could include socioeconomic and demographic characteristics. Although greater children’s dietary diversity was consistently linked to improved household living standards, other sociodemographic characteristics impacted DD differently in each survey.

The fact that the spatial distribution and statistical association were well supported by one another showed the distribution is scientifically sound. The observed sociodemographic factors as well as districts with poor feeding practices can be used to develop localized intervention and preventative programs to improve children feeding practices. Further, as a practical recommendation, the authors believe that activities designed in a targeted approach to provide information and raising awareness about healthy feeding practices would be useful. The government’s initiatives to improve infant and young child feeding practices should be further scaled up.

Abbreviations
AOR, Adjusted Odds Ratio; ANC, Antenatal Care; CSA, Central Statics Agency; CI, Confidence Interval; COR, Crude Odds Ratio; DHS, Demographic and Health Survey; DD, Dietary Diversity; EA, Enumeration Area; EDHS, Ethiopia Demographic and Health Survey; ICC, Intra Class Correlation Coefficient; LLR, Log-Likelihood Ratio; MDD, Minimum Dietary diversity; MOR, Median odds ratio; OR, Odds Ratio; RR, Relative Risk; PVC, Proportional Change in Variance; SNNPR, Southern Nations, Nationalities, and Peoples’ Region; WHO, World Health Organization.
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