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Abstract—Before the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) crisis, most academic institutions, i.e., schools, colleges, and universities in Kuwait utilized face-to-face settings, and hence, were based on only traditional methods of learning. Although many academic institutions have started employing blended learning, several institutions continue to stick with conventional procedures. The sudden outbreak of the COVID-19 crisis has shaken the educational sector in Kuwait, and the Middle East and North Africa region by forcing instructors to shift to the online mode of teaching overnight to maintain their status. Many instructors hesitant of readjusting their conventional pedagogical approach have had no option except shifting solely to an online teaching environment. Consequently, the purpose of this study is to shed light on the challenges and highlight the success factors of e-learning and the online teaching approach during the COVID-19 crisis. This study also proposes some useful suggestions for professionals in higher education institutions, i.e., senior managers, leaders, and others, on dealing with the challenges associated with the online paradigm in the post-COVID-19 crisis time-period.
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I. INTRODUCTION

E-learning or online education is an electronic system through which education can be imparted via the internet. The key objective of the e-learning system is to provide education in situations where students and instructors are separated by time and/or distance [1]. Since the e-learning system enables students to learn and complete their courses without physically attending courses in an on-campus setting, it was deemed as the only solution during the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) crisis as a preventive precaution. During the lockdown imposed due to COVID-19, all academic institutions, including schools, universities, and colleges, were closed in Kuwait by the end of February 2020 Consequently, university leaders, faculty members/instructors, and administrators faced significant challenges in adapting to online teaching, maintaining the minimum communication with students, and supporting the learning process. The preparations to utilize e-learning were initiated after the universities decided to suspend on-campus lectures, which challenged the faculty members to prepare virtual lectures in a short period of time for some universities in Kuwait. The transition to online learning was certainly a challenge for many academic institutions in Kuwait because of the deficiency in utilizing e-learning, as reflected in its low adoption rate, low quality, and the style of pedagogy, before COVID-19. This deficiency was the result of universities preferring traditional lectures over online courses, due to which there were limited online classes offered before COVID-19, and the implementation of the online paradigm remained restricted.

In this regard, the extent to which faculty members have successfully mastered the challenges and relevant success factors of e-learning remains unknown. The extensive closure of educational institutions required rapid transformation in technological innovations and digitalization in the educational context [2]. Indeed, digitalization in educational institutions has been prominent even before the start of the COVID-19 crisis. However, universities in Kuwait have lagged behind in terms of information and communication technologies (ICT) transformation. This requires an exploration of the challenges being faced by faculty members as well as their satisfaction with the online teaching environment. The present study surveyed faculty members of five universities in Kuwait as a target group as instructors were expected to outline the challenges of online teaching in the current situation appropriately; these members were selected regardless of whether they belonged to the “digital native” generation. The study aims were:

1) To explore the impediments to online teaching during COVID-19 among higher educational institutions in Kuwait.
2) To provide recommendations for the success of online learning during COVID-19 and post-COVID-19.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

The growing popularity of ICT in various economic sectors has been beneficial for different industries. The use of ICT has also increased in the educational sector globally [3], [4]. The growing popularity of e-learning has offered the sector economic advantages such as lowered costs of the scarce resources of textbooks, building, space, capacity, etc. Additionally, e-learning in terms of distance learning and education has provided students with easier communication, accessibility, and convenience than that available in traditional education settings. Additionally, the rising pressure to acquire education at convenient times due to the busy work and life schedules, and commitments of working learners and students makes online education an attractive option for them [5]. Therefore, online teaching and e-learning have become popular among US colleges in the...
last decade [6]–[9]. However, academic institutions in Kuwait have not matched up with universities in the US and other Western countries as online teaching is still in its infancy in Kuwait. Indeed, e-learning has enabled universities in the US to expand their current geographic reach and be recognized globally as educational providers even before COVID-19. The online teaching phenomena has been a part of mainstream teaching in Western universities long before COVID-19 [10]. Hence, the transition from the traditional lecture setting to online teaching was a much smoother process for such universities as compared to universities in the Middle East and North Africa region, where there are low adoption rates of online teaching, and limited capacities in terms of information and technology infrastructure and other enablers of e-learning.

Faculty members in higher education institutes have increasingly been asked to conduct lectures and teach online [11]; however, they still remain reluctant to embrace different forms of teaching due to increased workload, fear of new technology, reliability of technology, and skepticism about student learning objectives and outcomes in the online learning environment [1], [12]–[14]. Consequently, fostering the faculty’s perceptions of online teaching challenges is critical for the strategic plan of higher academic institutions. To accomplish this objective, it is important for administrators to understand how faculty perceive the challenges presented by online teaching and what factors shape the success of online delivery methods.

The existing literature expands on the role of instructors in the online teaching environment and whether this role is similar or different than instructors’ role in conventional settings. For instance, Easton (2003) emphasized the importance of student-instructor interactions and concluded that online teaching elevated the workload of academic instructors, making it more labor intensive than traditional teaching [15]. Easton’s study suggested that it is important to clarify the roles of instructors in the online teaching environment by focusing on communication skills for effective virtual teaching. Another study compared the attitudes of non-business instructors and students at several academic institutions [16]. The results revealed that instructors were willing to teach online courses again in the future and students were satisfied with the online teaching experience, but the quality of online teaching was lower than that in traditional classroom settings. Furthermore, the interactions between instructors and students played no defining role in the students’ satisfaction experiences [16]. Similarly, Ryan, Hodson, and Nagia (2004) suggested that support systems, technology partnerships, and policies should be in place before redesigning online nursing education courses [17]. For redesigning online courses, the strategies of collaboration, rethinking communication, and faculty development and training should be used. Their study further showed that redesigning and rethinking faculty roles emerged as the number one priority area to address in the online teaching context. It also indicated that faculty members who had taught online before were more satisfied and competent in online contexts compared with those who had never taught online before [17].

A strand of literature supports the importance of online teaching and the e-learning context for the future as they provide wider learning opportunities than the traditional teaching context [18]. Advantages in the online environment can be gained by providing an adequate infrastructure that is vital to support active participation among instructors and students, which would then lead to a sense of ownership [19]. An earlier study indicated government support as a key driver for the promotion of e-learning in higher education institutions in Saudi Arabia [20]. Many studies have also emphasized faculty perceptions of e-learning in higher education institutions [19], [21]–[23]. A study reviewed the challenges faced by instructors when developing online learning programs and found inadequate technical skills, time limitations, poor infrastructure, lack of organizational strategy and support, and negative attitude to be the major challenges [3]. To the best of my knowledge, only limited studies have emphasized the emerging challenges of the online learning paradigm during the COVID-19 crisis, and provided recommendations for the success of online learning in the post-COVID-19 time-period.

III. METHODS

The purpose of the present research was to determine the perceptions of faculty members about online teaching in terms of its challenges and success factors during the COVID-19 crisis. Therefore, the data collection process started at the end of February 2020 when academic institutions were completely closed in Kuwait and was completed in March 2021. The survey consisted of two parts. Part one of the survey contained questions to obtain the demographic information of the respondents such as their age, gender, rank, and years of teaching experience (online and offline). Part two of the survey comprised 21 Likert-type questions concerning impediments to online teaching. A total of 84 completed surveys were received which made-up more than 17% of the acceptable response rate for online surveys [24]. Half of the respondents were fully employed in non-profit and public higher educational institutions in Kuwait, whereas the remaining half worked in small private higher academic institutions.

IV. FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

Table I presents the demographic characteristics of the respondents. Nearly three-fourths of the respondents were males, and slightly less than 25% held an academic rank of associate or full professor. All respondents were full-time employees, and 90% had 10 or more years of college teaching experience.

When asked whether they had taught an online course before, slightly more than 65% of the respondents answered affirmatively. Of this group, the top two disciplines that had been taught online by the respondents were business administration (49%) and marketing (13%); however, only 4% and 5% had taught accounting and finance online, respectively. This suggests that offering online courses in the business field is extremely demanding during COVID-19 times.
Table II presents the respondents’ answers to the 21 Likert-type questions on the impediment to online teaching. These questions have been rearranged into similar groups by five different categories. Specifically: statements 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8 are concerned with technology-related problems; statements 1 and 2 focus on interaction and learning problems; statements 14, 15, 16, and 17 focus on course management and autonomy problems; statements 18, 19, 20, and 21 deal with appreciation of online teaching performance; and statements 9, 10, 11, 12, and 13 focus on regulation and control problems. Tables III and IV display the mean, standard deviation, and significance level for each statement.

Statements 1 and 2 in Table II deal with perspectives on instructor-student interactions and learning experience. The major challenge faced by the respondents during online teaching was the lack of social interaction with students. Importantly, 21% of respondents felt that online learning hinders social interactions and personal relationships, thereby affecting the students’ learning experiences. This result is supported by previous studies [15], [16].

Statements 14, 15, 16, and 17 address problems related to autonomy and course management. Interestingly, a majority of the respondents felt that online teaching requires more time commitment, and half of the respondents also felt that course content autonomy, involvement, and decision-making are lagging in online teaching. Additionally, nearly 50% of respondents agreed that online teaching formats demand more time for grading and feedback. This may be due to the more frequent interactions with students and more individualized service features of online courses. Another contributing factor could be the substantial student enrollments in certain sections, leading to a bigger class size; this trend is common among large educational institutions in Kuwait.

**TABLE I: PARTICIPANTS’ DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE**

| Participants’ Profile                | Percentage (%) |
|-------------------------------------|----------------|
| Gender                              |                |
| Female                              | 27%            |
| Male                                | 73%            |
| Age Group                           |                |
| 25–34 years                         | 3%             |
| 35–44 years                         | 41%            |
| 45–54 years                         | 32%            |
| 55–64 years                         | 18%            |
| 65 years and above                  | 6%             |
| Academic Rank                       |                |
| Instructor                          | 38%            |
| Assistant Professor                 | 38%            |
| Associate Professor                 | 18%            |
| Full Professor                      | 6%             |
| Years of Traditional Teaching Experience |          |
| Below 10 years                      | 10%            |
| 10 years and above                  | 90%            |
| Have you taught an online course before? |            |
| Yes                                 | 65%            |
| No                                  | 35%            |
| If yes, which discipline have you taught online? |  |
| Business Administration             | 49%            |
| Management                          | 10%            |
| Accounting                          | 4%             |
| Computer Science                    | 2%             |
| Human Resource Management           | 8%             |
| Marketing                           | 13%            |
| Economics                           | 6%             |
| Finance                             | 5%             |
| Information Systems                 | 3%             |

**TABLE II: PARTICIPANT RESPONSES ABOUT IMPEDIMENTS TO ONLINE TEACHING**

| Statement                                                                 | Strongly Disagree | Disagree | Neither Agree nor Disagree | Agree | Strongly Agree |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|----------|----------------------------|-------|---------------|
| Instructor-Student Interaction                                            |                   |          |                            |       |               |
| 1. Lack of personal relationship with students                            | 44%               | 32%      | 15%                        | 5%    | 4%            |
| 2. Lack of social interaction within the class                             | 46%               | 33%      | 9%                         | 6%    | 6%            |
| Technology-related Problems                                                |                   |          |                            |       |               |
| 3. Inadequate technology support                                          | 6%                | 21%      | 27%                        | 32%   | 15%           |
| 4. Inadequate instructor training                                          | 9%                | 15%      | 29%                        | 24%   | 24%           |
| 5. Frequent technology failures                                            | 3%                | 24%      | 29%                        | 15%   | 29%           |
| 6. Rapidly changing software or delivery systems                          | 3%                | 21%      | 41%                        | 21%   | 15%           |
| 7. Lack of fast access for users                                           | 15%               | 12%      | 29%                        | 32%   | 12%           |
| 8. Personal anxiety/fear regarding technology/online teaching              | 21%               | 24%      | 32%                        | 12%   | 12%           |
| Regulation and Control Problems                                            |                   |          |                            |       |               |
| 9. Lack of enrollment limits                                               | 21%               | 3%       | 41%                        | 27%   | 9%            |
| 10. Lack of control over student cheating                                 | 9%                | 6%       | 21%                        | 32%   | 32%           |
| 11. Mismatch with accreditations and ministry requirements                 | 14%               | 9%       | 24%                        | 41%   | 12%           |
| 12. Lack of policies or standards for online courses                       | 6%                | 12%      | 24%                        | 38%   | 21%           |
| 13. Lack of control over property rights                                   | 12%               | 12%      | 24%                        | 44%   | 9%            |
| Course Management Problems                                                 |                   |          |                            |       |               |
| 14. Lack of autonomy over course content                                   | 9%                | 12%      | 29%                        | 44%   | 6%            |
| 15. Lack of faculty involvement in course decision-making                 | 9%                | 15%      | 24%                        | 27%   | 27%           |
| 16. Time commitment                                                        | 0%                | 12%      | 15%                        | 38%   | 35%           |
| 17. Inadequate time for grading and feedback                               | 12%               | 6%       | 32%                        | 41%   | 9%            |
| Performance Appraisal and Appreciation Problems                            |                   |          |                            |       |               |
| 18. Increased workload                                                     | 9%                | 9%       | 18%                        | 38%   | 27%           |
| 19. Lack of focus on research activities/projects                          | 2%                | 24%      | 20%                        | 36%   | 18%           |
| 20. Online work not valued for promotion and                              | 6%                | 15%      | 50%                        | 12%   | 18%           |
...followed by technology-related problems \((M = 2.95, \ SD = 1.03)\), regulation and control problems \((M = 2.90, \ SD = 1.04)\), performance appraisal and appreciation problems \((M = 2.89, \ SD = 0.85)\), and instructor-student interaction problems \((M = 1.05, \ SD = 0.94)\). This indicates that faculty members’ overall perceptions ranged from agreement to approaching strong agreement for e-learning in higher education in Kuwait.

As shown in Table IV, the means range from 1.14 to 2.23 for the respondents who did not teach online courses. An examination of the five categories reveals that the highest mean is for the category of technology-related problems \((M = 2.33, \ SD = 0.82)\), followed by regulation and control problems \((M = 2.25, \ SD = 0.85)\), performance appraisal and appreciation problems \((M = 1.88, \ SD = 0.87)\), course management problems \((M = 1.74, \ SD = 0.88)\), and instructor-student interaction problems \((M = 1.14, \ SD = 0.93)\). This indicates that faculty members have recognized challenges relating to technology, control, performance appraisal, and communication in e-learning implementation in higher education in Kuwait during COVID-19.

### TABLE III: MEANS AND STANDARD DEVIATIONS FOR EACH SURVEY STATEMENT FOR RESPONDENTS WHO TAUGHT ONLINE (N=55)

| Statement | Mean | SD |
|-----------|------|----|
| Instructor-Student Interaction | 1.44 | 1.02 |
| 1. Lack of personal relationship with students | 1.5 | 1.68 |
| 2. Lack of social interaction within the class | 1.56 | 1.18 |
| Technology-related Problems | 1.62 | 1.26 |
| 3. Inadequate technology support | 1.1 | 1.34 |
| 4. Inadequate instructor training | 1.74** | 1.42 |
| 5. Frequent technology failures | 1.8 | 1.5 |
| 6. Rapidly changing software or delivery systems | 1.86* | 1.58 |
| 7. Lack of fast access for users | 1.92 | 1.66 |
| 8. Personal anxiety/fear regarding technology/online teaching | 1.98** | 1.74 |
| Regulation and Control Problems | 2.04 | 1.82 |
| 9. Lack of enrollment limits | 1.82** | 1.9 |
| 10. Lack of control over student cheating | 1.46** | 1.98 |
| 11. Misalignment with accreditations and ministry requirements | 2.22* | 1.06 |
| 12. Lack of policies or standards for online courses | 1.49* | 1.14 |
| 13. Lack of control over property rights | 2.34 | 0.99 |
| Course Management Problems | 3.33 | 1.98 |
| 14. Lack of autonomy over course content | 2.46 | 1.38 |
| 15. Lack of faculty involvement in course decision-making | 1.59 | 1.06 |
| 16. Time commitment | 2.54* | 1.33 |
| 17. Inadequate time for grading and feedback | 2.58* | 1.03 |
| Performance Appraisal and Appreciation Problems | 2.54 | 1.33 |
| 18. Increased workload | 4.46* | 0.86 |
| 19. Lack of focus on research activities/projects | 3.89 | 0.78 |
| 20. Online work not valued for promotion and tenure | 1.86* | 0.97 |
| 21. Inadequate compensation for instruction | 1.34 | 0.79 |

SD = standard deviation, *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001.

Table V presents the results for the closed ended questions asked in the survey to measure the level of satisfaction with online teaching among instructors in Kuwait. Interestingly, almost 65% would teach online courses in the future, and are satisfied with online teaching despite the challenges faced by them in the online environment. It is worth noting that the percentage of respondents answering in the affirmative to the two closed-ended questions is similar to the percentage of
respondents who have taught online courses before (Table I). This indicates that faculty members who had taught online classes before were slightly more satisfied with the online environment compared with those who had not taught online classes before, possibly because the former would have mastered the technical skills and gained the experience required for the online context. This finding is confirmed by a past study [17].

The key success factors for the online teaching environment can be attained by overcoming the challenges and problems faced by academic instructors and faculty members in Kuwait, who had to rapidly learn and practice online learning tools and software; things could have been different if they had already mastered it. The time lost in learning the tools and technology could have been spent on creating more valuable content for online courses. However, it is better late than never. A key argument here is to close the gap between students’ conventional learning and development, and the experience and skills required to enter the information economy, i.e., the online paradigm [25]. The need to prepare students for using technological skills and digital resources right from primary school is well acknowledged in Kuwait. Although adequate technical infrastructure and ICT implementation are required to create an effective instructional context, instructors and students must also be encouraged to apply digital tools. It must also be noted that increasing digital literacy competence among instructors cannot be ensured without adequate training. Hence, it is vital to integrate ICT tools with learning objectives and outcomes in a way to be better prepared for digitalization in academic institutions and remain open.

One factor to ensure the success of online teaching is to resolve technology-related problems by providing regular and intensive training sessions for all late adopters of technology; these sessions could be formal or informal. The information and technology infrastructure has to be utilized to its fullest in higher academic institutions. Hence, senior management should invest substantially in technology infrastructure as the main driver of financial value generation and intensive training sessions for all late adopters of technology; these sessions could be formal or informal. The information and technology infrastructure has to be utilized to its fullest in higher academic institutions. Hence, senior management should invest substantially in technology infrastructure as the main driver of financial value generation and intensive training sessions for all late adopters of technology; these sessions could be formal or informal. The information and technology infrastructure has to be utilized to its fullest in higher academic institutions. Hence, senior management should invest substantially in technology infrastructure as the main driver of financial value generation and intensive training sessions for all late adopters of technology; these sessions could be formal or informal. The information and technology infrastructure has to be utilized to its fullest in higher academic institutions. Hence, senior management should invest substantially in technology infrastructure as the main driver of financial value generation and intensive training sessions for all late adopters of technology; these sessions could be formal or informal. The information and technology infrastructure has to be utilized to its fullest in higher academic institutions. Hence, senior management should invest substantially in technology infrastructure as the main driver of financial value generation and intensive training sessions for all late adopters of technology; these sessions could be formal or informal. The information and technology infrastructure has to be utilized to its fullest in higher academic institutions. Hence, senior management should invest substantially in technology infrastructure as the main driver of financial value generation and intensive training sessions for all late adopters of technology; these sessions could be formal or informal. The information and technology infrastructure has to be utilized to its fullest in higher academic institutions. Hence, senior management should invest substantially in technology infrastructure as the main driver of financial value generation and intensive training sessions for all late adopters of technology; these sessions could be formal or informal. The information and technology infrastructure has to be utilized to its fullest in higher academic institutions. Hence, senior management should invest substantially in technology infrastructure as the main driver of financial value generation and intensive training sessions for all late adopters of technology; these sessions could be formal or informal. The information and technology infrastructure has to be utilized to its fullest in higher academic institutions. Hence, senior management should invest substantially in technology infrastructure as the main driver of financial value generation and intensive training sessions for all late adopters of technology; these sessions could be formal or informal. The information and technology infrastructure has to be utilized to its fullest in higher academic institutions. Hence, senior management should invest substantially in technology infrastructure as the main driver of financial value generation and intensive training sessions for all late adopters of technology; these sessions could be formal or informal. The information and technology infrastructure has to be utilized to its fullest in higher academic institutions. Hence, senior management should invest substantially in technology infrastructure as the main driver of financial value generation and intensive training sessions for all late adopters of technology; these sessions could be formal or informal. The information and technology infrastructure has to be utilized to its fullest in higher academic institutions. Hence, senior management should invest substantially in technology infrastructure as the main driver of financial value generation and intensive training sessions for all late adopters of technology; these sessions could be formal or informal. The information and technology infrastructure has to be utilized to its fullest in higher academic institutions. Hence, senior management should invest substantially in technology infrastructure as the main driver of financial value generation and intensive training sessions for all late adopters of technology; these sessions could be formal or informal. The information and technology infrastructure has to be utilized to its fullest in higher academic institutions. Hence, senior management should invest substantially in technology infrastructure as the main driver of financial value generation and intensive training sessions for all late adopters of technology; these sessions could be formal or informal. The information and technology infrastructure has to be utilized to its fullest in higher academic institutions. Hence, senior management should invest substantially in technology infrastructure as the main driver of financial value generation and intensive training sessions for all late adopters of technology; these sessions could be formal or informal. The information and technology infrastructure has to be utilized to its fullest in higher academic institutions. Hence, senior management should invest substantially in technology infrastructure as the main driver of financial value generation and intensive training sessions for all late adopters of technology; these sessions could be formal or informal. The information and technology infrastructure has to be utilized to its fullest in higher academic institutions. Hence, senior management should invest substantially in technology infrastructure as the main driver of financial value generation and intensive training sessions for all late adopters of technology; these sessions could be formal or informal. The information and technology infrastructure has to be utilized to its fullest in higher academic institutions. Hence, senior management should invest substantially in technology infrastructure as the main driver of financial value generation and intensive training sessions for all late adopters of technology; these sessions could be formal or informal. The information and technology infrastructure has to be utilized to its fullest in higher academic institutions. Hence, senior management should invest substantially in technology infrastructure as the main driver of financial value generation and intensive training sessions for all late adopters of technology; these sessions could be formal or informal. The information and technology infrastructure has to be utilized to its fullest in higher academic institutions. Hence, senior management should invest substantially in technology infrastructure as the main driver of financial value generation and intensive training sessions for all late adopters of technology; these sessions could be formal or informal. The information and technology infrastructure has to be utilized to its fullest in higher academic institutions. Hence, senior management should invest substantially in technology infrastructure as the main driver of financial value generation and intensive training sessions for all late adopters of technology; these sessions could be formal or informal. The information and technology infrastructure has to be utilized to its fullest in higher academic institutions. Hence, senior management should invest substantially in technology infrastructure as the main driver of financial value generation and intensive training sessions for all late adopters of technology; these sessions could be formal or informal. The information and technology infrastructure has to be utilized to its fullest in higher academic institutions. Hence, senior management should invest substantially in technology infrastructure as the main driver of financial value generation and intensive training sessions for all late adopters of technology; these sessions could be formal or informal. The information and technology infrastructure has to be utilized to its fullest in higher academic institutions. Hence, senior management should invest substantially in technology infrastructure as the main driver of financial value generation and intensive training sessions for all late adopters of technology; these sessions could be formal or informal. The information and technology infrastructure has to be utilized to its fullest in higher academic institutions. Hence, senior management should invest substantially in technology infrastructure as the main driver of financial value generation and intensive training sessions for all late adopters of technology; these sessions could be formal or informal. The information and technology infrastructure has to be utilized to its fullest in higher academic institutions. Hence, senior management should invest substantially in technology infrastructure as the main driver of financial value generation and intensive training sessions for all late adopters of technology; these sessions could be formal or informal. The information and technology infrastructure has to be utilized to its fullest in higher academic institutions. Hence, senior management should invest substantially in technology infrastructure as the main driver of financial value generation and intensive training sessions for all late adopters of technology; these sessions could be formal or informal. The information and technology infrastructure has to be utilized to its fullest in higher academic institutions. Hence, senior management should invest substantially in technology infrastructure as the main driver of financial value generation and intensive training sessions for all late adopters of technology; these sessions could be formal or informal. The information and technology infrastructure has to be utilized to its fullest in higher academic institutions. Hence, senior management should invest substantially in technology infrastructure as the main driver of financial value generation and intensive training sessions for all late adopters of technology; these sessions could be formal or informal. The information and technology infrastructure has to be utilized to its fullest in higher academic institutions. Hence, senior management should invest substantially in technology infrastructure as the main driver of financial value generation and intensive training sessions for all late adopters of technology; these sessions could be formal or informal. The information and technology infrastructure has to be utilized to its fullest in higher academic institutions. Hence, senior management should invest substantially in technology infrastructure as the main driver of financial value generation and intensive training sessions for all late adopters of technology; these sessions could be formal or informal. The information and technology infrastructure has to be utilized to its fullest in higher academic institutions. Hence, senior management should invest sufficiently in technology infrastructure as the main driver of financial value generation and sustainer of market equity in the future. The results of this study suggest that the online teaching environment should be sustained in the future because it is the best way to enhance student-instructor interactions. This should be viewed as a lesson learned from the experienced US universities that have an extensive online teaching and distance learning system in place regardless of any global crisis. In this regard, it has been found that the online teaching paradigm offers no significant face-to-face contact, and no context clues for immediate communication in the first few weeks of the semester, due to which students usually feel anxious about what is expected from them; however, real learning can be effectively achieved when both students and instructors start feeling comfortable in the new online environment [4]. This eventually leads to different stakeholders’ satisfaction, adding value to higher educational institutions. The current study’s results suggest that it is essential for higher education institutions to redesign roles, policies, and standards that suit the online paradigm, and not leave this task up to individuals [4], [17].
The key lesson is to embrace e-learning technology before disaster strikes [26]. Initially, for the higher academic institutions, the online environment can be costly and time consuming. For the instructor, the first crucial step is to redesign new types of assessments to better suit the online paradigm. It is also important to ensure that there is a gradual transformation of traditional education techniques to the new online methodology, rather than a sudden shift and transfer from the former to the latter [4]. We need to be proactive and prepared to adapt to any sudden changes or re-adjust to online delivery modes in times of situations such as the COVID-19 pandemic. Accordingly, higher academic institutions and organizations should prepare contingency plans to deal with challenges such as natural disasters [27].

Academic institutions in Kuwait should recognize the importance of e-learning and invest substantially to possess effective and reliable information, and technical capacities and infrastructure; this will enable these institutions to provide a one-stop shop portal for the instructors and students to utilize the online educational environment [28], [29]. Moreover, senior management and leadership in Kuwait must support and smoothen the process of transforming higher educational institutions, which is crucial for their survival. Specifically, senior managers must articulate a strong and clear vision for the stakeholders of academic institutions to accomplish and move forward. Institutional leaders should also readjust and realign their organizational objectives to meet the needs and demands of e-learners [7]. The COVID-19 crisis has taught the higher educational institutions in Kuwait vital lessons about the best practices and most important priorities to respond to and meet the urgent demand of any pandemic or crisis in the future. Indeed, the time is ripe for all of us to reimagine the future we want to live and work in, and start building it. The only way to make a difference in the post-COVID-19 world is to learn to collaborate and cooperate across higher academic institutions and spatial boundaries.

VI. LIMITATIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE STUDIES

Despite its promising findings, the study had a few limitations. First, the study results cannot be generalized as they reflect the responses of a relatively small sample. Second, none of the respondents had taught online courses in quantitative subjects such as production management and statistics or other non-business-related courses such as nursing, medicine, and science, which may allude to the difficulties associated with offering courses in an online environment. It is important to investigate this issue further among higher education institutions in Kuwait. Additionally, it is important to empirically investigate the perceptions and acceptance levels of e-learning among the faculty and students. Future studies can look at investigating the following research questions:

1) The faculty acceptance or resistance of digital education as one of the main barriers to any change management strategy is the lack of management of human acceptance or resistance of that change.

2) How the universities’ ICT tools stimulate instructors’ professional competencies with technological pedagogical knowledge and develop their digital skills to help them successfully master challenges related to online teaching.

3) The extent to which faculty members/instructors have mastered the core challenges of the teaching delivery process, such as lecturing, providing feedback, and conducting online assessments, through an online environment.

4) The relationship between previous online teaching experience and satisfaction levels among faculty members.

5) How the extrinsic and intrinsic reward systems can play an important role in providing incentives for university faculty to teach (or continue teaching) online courses.

6) Measures to enhance the level of student engagement in an e-learning system.

VII. CONCLUSION

The study aimed to explore the online teaching challenges during COVID-19 and provide recommendations to the management and leaders of higher education institutions for the post-COVID-19 crisis time-period. The study revealed that the major challenges encountered by instructors in online teaching were mostly information and technology-related problems, followed by regulation and control problems (policies and standards), and course management problems. The results of this study also suggest that the offering of online courses and teaching is still at an early stage, despite a majority of the respondents indicating their willingness to continue teaching online courses in the future because of their satisfaction with online teaching as early adopters of technology. Therefore, educational institutions must invest substantially in the online teaching paradigm and build resilience in their online teaching systems to ensure their sustainability. It is recommended that further research be undertaken to better understand the online teaching phenomena.
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