The Risk of Spontaneous Preterm Birth according to Maternal Pre-pregnancy Body Mass Index in Twin Gestations
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ABSTRACT

Background: Maternal obesity is a well-known risk factor for both total preterm birth (PTB) and spontaneous PTB in singleton gestation, whereas this association is not well determined in multiple pregnancy. The objective of this study was to determine the risk of spontaneous PTB according to the pre-pregnancy body mass index (BMI) in twin gestations.

Methods: The association between the risk of PTB and pre-pregnancy BMI was determined in women pregnant with twins between 2004 and 2014. Pre-pregnancy BMI values were divided into three groups (underweight/normal/overweight and obese). PTB was classified as spontaneous PTB (following preterm premature rupture of membranes, preterm labor, or cervical insufficiency) or medically indicated PTB (cesarean section or induction of labor because of maternal/fetal indications).

Results: A total of 1,959 women were included in the analysis, and the percentages of total PTB and spontaneous PTB were 13.1% and 9.3%. The percentages of total PTB and spontaneous PTB in three groups were 14.1%, 11.9%, 16.3%, respectively, and 11.0%, 8.0%, 12.5% ($P < 0.05$ between normal and overweight/obese women). The risks of total and spontaneous PTB in overweight/obese women were higher than those in women with normal weight, even after adjustment for prior history of PTB, age, maternal height, parity, in vitro fertilization-embryo transfer (IVF-ET) (odds ratio [OR], 1.43; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.01–2.03; OR, 1.58; 95% CI, 1.05–2.36).

Conclusion: The risks of both total and spontaneous PTB were significantly greater in the overweight/obese group than in the normal BMI group.
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INTRODUCTION

Preterm birth (PTB) is related to increased risk for neonatal morbidity and mortality,1,2 resulting in a substantial socioeconomic burden.3 Although there is solid evidence about the association between microbial invasion of the amniotic cavity and PTB,4,5 several other complicated and multifactorial processes are associated with PTB. Genetics, lifestyle factors (such as diet, physical activity, maternal obesity, or maternal age), and psychological factors...
Among these risk factors, lifestyle factors are of specific concern because they are adjustable risk factors.

Maternal obesity is a major health issue, and pregnant women with obesity are at the increased risk for adverse maternal and perinatal outcomes, such as cesarean section, diabetes, fetal death in utero, and preeclampsia. Among these risk factors, lifestyle factors are of specific concern because they are adjustable risk factors.

In addition, previous studies have also reported an association of PTB with maternal obesity in singleton gestation, and this association was observed in both spontaneous and medically indicated PTB. In women with obesity, the risk of medically indicated PTB was explained by higher risk of obesity-related obstetric complications such as maternal diabetes and preeclampsia. The relationship between spontaneous PTB and obesity allowed us to have newer insights on the pathophysiology of PTB, such as sterile intra-uterine inflammation or alterations in adipokine regulation.

The relationship between PTB and maternal obesity is not as well evaluated in multiple pregnancy as in singleton pregnancy. This is an important issue, because multiple pregnancy itself increases the risk of PTB, compared with singleton pregnancy. In the current study, we evaluated the risk of spontaneous PTB according to the pre-pregnancy body mass index (BMI) in twin gestations.

METHODS

This retrospective cohort study included women pregnant with twins who gave birth in Seoul National University Hospital or Seoul Metropolitan Government-Seoul National University Boramae Medical Center between 2004 and 2014. We reviewed clinical characteristics including age, height, pre-pregnancy weight, parity and previous history of PTB (gestational age of delivery [GAD] < 37 weeks). Data for variables were based on information from electronic medical records. All patients were asked to provide maternal pre-pregnancy body weight information at the time of admission. The study population was divided into three groups according to the maternal pre-pregnancy BMI; underweight (BMI < 18.5), normal (BMI 18.5 to 22.9), overweight/obese (BMI 23 to 24.9/BMI > 25). Cases with fetal death in utero or cases without documentation for the pre-pregnancy BMI in the medical records were excluded.

The risk of PTB was compared according to maternal pre-pregnancy BMI. The GAD was defined as the GAD of the first baby. PTB was classified as spontaneous PTB (preterm labor, preterm premature rupture of membranes, or cervical insufficiency) and medically indicated PTB (cesarean section or induction of labor due to maternal/fetal indications). In addition, the pregnancy outcomes such as GAD, birthweight, gestational diabetes mellitus and preeclampsia were also evaluated.

Statistical analysis

The Mann-Whitney U test or Kruskal-Wallis test was used for analysis of statistical associations between continuous variables, and we performed Fisher’s exact test or χ² test for analysis of statistical associations between categorical variables. Binary logistic regression analysis was done to adjust for confounding variables. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 22.0 for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA), and P < 0.05 was considered significant.
Ethics statement
The present study protocol was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Board of Seoul National University College of Medicine (registry No. 1301-129-462). Informed consent was waived by the board because of the retrospective nature of the study.

RESULTS
During the study period, a total of 1,959 women met the inclusion criteria and were included in the analysis. In the study population, the percentages of total PTB and spontaneous PTB (GAD < 34 weeks) were 13.1% and 9.3%, respectively.

The clinical characteristics are summarized in Tables 1 and 2. The frequencies of underweight and overweight/obese women were 14.9% and 18.8%, respectively. Overweight/obese women were older and more likely to develop gestational diabetes mellitus.

The percentages of total PTB in underweight, normal, overweight/obese women were 14.1%, 11.9%, and 16.3%, respectively (P = 0.076), and that of spontaneous PTB were 11.0%, 8.0%, and 12.5% (P < 0.05), respectively (Fig. 1). The risk of total or spontaneous PTB was significantly different between the women with normal weight and the overweight/obese women (P < 0.05 for both). However, the risk of total or spontaneous PTB between the underweight group and the normal group did not reach statistical significance (P = not significant). In normal weight group, the risk of PTB was lowest among the three groups.

The risk of total PTB was higher in overweight/obese group than in normal weight group, even after adjustment for a history of prior PTB, age, maternal height, parity, in vitro fertilization-

Table 1. Clinical characteristics according to the pre-pregnancy BMI

| Parameters                  | Group 1 (underweight, BMI < 18.5) | Group 2 (normal, 18.5 ≤ BMI < 23) | Group 3 (overweight & obese, BMI ≥ 23) | P1   | P2   | P3   | P4   |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------|------|------|------|
| No. of patients             | 292                              | 1,300                            | 368                                  |      |      |      |      |
| Age, yr                     | 31.9 ± 3.4                       | 32.7 ± 3.3                       | 33.1 ± 3.9                           | < 0.001 | NS  | < 0.001 | 0.001 |
| Nulliparity                 | 226/286 (79.0)                   | 1,007/1,273 (79.1)               | 261/349 (74.8)                       | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| Previous PTB                | 6/291 (2.1)                      | 19/1,299 (1.5)                   | 11/368 (3.0)                         | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| Height, cm                  | 163.0 ± 4.8                      | 161.7 ± 5.2                      | 160.3 ± 5.5                          | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 |
| Pre-pregnancy weight, kg    | 46.7 ± 3.4                       | 53.6 ± 4.5                       | 66.1 ± 7.7                           | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 |
| IVF-ET                      | 125/277 (45.1)                   | 642/1,226 (52.4)                 | 182/334 (54.5)                       | < 0.05 | NS  | < 0.01 | 0.05 |
| Pre-pregnancy BMI           | 17.6 ± 0.7                       | 20.5 ± 1.2                       | 25.7 ± 2.7                           | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 |

Data shown are mean ± standard deviation or number (%). BMI = body mass index, IVF-ET = in vitro fertilization-embryo transfer, NS = not significant, PTB = preterm birth.

*P value of comparison between groups 1 and 2; *P value of comparison between groups 2 and 3; *P value of comparison between groups 1 and 3; *P value of comparison among groups 1, 2, and 3.

Table 2. Pregnancy outcomes according to the pre-pregnancy BMI

| Outcomes                  | Group 1 (underweight, BMI < 18.5) | Group 2 (normal, 18.5 ≤ BMI < 23) | Group 3 (overweight & obese, BMI ≥ 23) | P1   | P2   | P3   | P4   |
|---------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------|------|------|------|
| No. of patients           | 292                              | 1,300                            | 368                                  |      |      |      |      |
| GAD                       | 36.2 ± 2.9                       | 36.4 ± 2.9                       | 35.9 ± 3.6                           | NS | NS  | NS | NS |
| Birthweight, g            |                                  |                                  |                                      |      |      |      |      |
| Presenting twin           | 2,375.6 ± 533.1                  | 2,435.6 ± 589.0                  | 2,421.3 ± 700.5                      | < 0.05 | NS  | < 0.01 | < 0.05 |
| Non-presenting twin       | 2,272.3 ± 624.5                  | 2,325.3 ± 620.8                  | 2,343.3 ± 712.2                      | < 0.05 | 0.066 | < 0.01 | < 0.01 |
| Gestational diabetes      | 9/247 (3.6)                      | 47/1,143 (4.1)                   | 35/329 (10.6)                        | NS  | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 |
| Preeclampsia              | 16/255 (6.3)                     | 106/1,160 (9.1)                  | 37/304 (12.2)                        | NS  | NS  | < 0.05 | 0.055 |

Data shown are mean ± standard deviation or number (%). BMI = body mass index, GAD = gestational age of delivery, NS = not significant.

*P value of comparison between groups 1 and 2; *P value of comparison between groups 2 and 3; *P value of comparison between groups 1 and 3; *P value of comparison among groups 1, 2, and 3.
Table 3. Multiple logistic regression analysis for the association between pre-pregnancy BMI and total/spontaneous PTB

| Variables          | Total PTB |       |  | Spontaneous PTB |       |  |
|--------------------|----------|------|---|----------------|------|---|
|                    | Adjusted OR | 95% CI | P | Adjusted OR | 95% CI | P |
| Overweight/obese   | 1.43     | 1.01–2.03 | 0.046 | 1.58 | 1.05–2.36 | 0.027 |
| Prior history of PTB | 2.93     | 1.19–7.19 | 0.019 | 4.63 | 1.82–11.81 | 0.001 |
| Age, yr            | 1.00     | 0.95–1.04 | NS  | 1.02 | 0.97–1.08 | NS  |
| Height, cm         | 0.99     | 0.96–1.02 | NS  | 1.01 | 0.97–1.04 | NS  |
| Multiparous        | 0.89     | 0.60–1.34 | NS  | 0.78 | 0.47–1.29 | NS  |
| IVF-ET             | 0.66     | 0.48–0.93 | 0.015 | 0.83 | 0.57–1.23 | NS  |

BMI = body mass index, PTB = preterm birth, OR = odds ratio, CI = confidence interval, IVF-ET = in vitro fertilization-embryo transfer, NS = not significant.

DISCUSSION

The principal findings of the current study were that 1) the percentages of total PTB were 14.1%, 11.9%, 16.3% in underweight, normal, and overweight/obese women, respectively, and the percentages of spontaneous PTB were 11.0%, 8.0%, 12.5% in these groups; 2) when compared to normal weight group, the rate of total or spontaneous PTB was significantly higher in overweight/obese group, and this difference remained significant after adjustment for history of prior PTB, age, maternal height, parity, IVF-ET.

In singleton pregnancy, many studies have reported the association between maternal obesity and the risk of total and spontaneous PTB. In Sweden, Cnattingius et al. concluded that overweight and obese women at early pregnancy were related to increased risks of preterm delivery, especially extremely preterm delivery. Several other studies have also reported similar findings in singleton pregnancy.

The finding that obese women are at higher risk for total PTB in the current study is consistent with the results of previous studies concerning multiple gestation. A study by Suzuki et al. indicated that maternal obesity was an independent risk factor for very PTB in...
We asked the question of why obesity is related to the risk of spontaneous PTB in both singleton and multiple pregnancy. The biologically plausible mechanism is that obesity is related to the presence of a mild inflammatory condition. Obesity is a known risk factor for coronary heart disease, and a mild inflammatory condition has been suggested as the possible mechanism of this association. Also, the study of Ramsay et al. showed that obesity in pregnancy could lead to an elevated inflammatory state. This state results in increased secretion of pro-inflammatory cytokines (e.g., interleukin (IL)-1 and tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-alpha), which may cause contraction of the myometrium and weakening of membranes. Another reason could be that insulin resistance associated with obesity might increase the risk of PTB, because the level of C-reactive protein or cytokines (IL-1, IL-6, or TNF-alpha) is elevated in adults with insulin resistance. In addition, the risk of genitourinary tract infection, which is one of the risk factors of chorioamnionitis, is increased in obese women, and such infections inducing chorioamnionitis may be affected by up-regulation of the inflammatory process. Lastly, it has been suggested that obesity is a factor in vulnerability to infection.

In contrast to the result with regard to singleton pregnancy, the risk of medically indicated PTB was not increased in overweight/obese women in the current study. The complications unique to multiple pregnancy (e.g., twin-to-twin transfusion syndrome and monoamniotic twin) constituted the causes of medically indicated PTB in part, and the difference in the indication of PTB may be one of the contributing causes of this difference between singleton and multiple pregnancy.

In singleton pregnancy, Shaw et al. showed that being underweight (maternal pre-pregnancy BMI < 18.5) was related to modest risks for spontaneous PTB (GAD ≤ 36 weeks), especially GAD ≥ 32 weeks. In the current study, underweight group had a higher risk of total and spontaneous PTB than normal weight group, but this difference did not reach statistical significance.

Table 3 shows that IVF-ET reduces the risk of total PTB (OR, 0.66; 95% CI, 0.48–0.93). There are several reports whether pregnancy conceived with assisted reproductive technique (ART) is related to maternal and fetal outcomes. Luke et al. studied the effects of fertility status on adverse perinatal outcomes in twin pregnancies and found that the risk of PTB was increased among IVF twins. In contrast, the study by Geisler et al. reported that there is no significant difference in the risk of PTB in assisted and naturally conceived twin pregnancies. These results show that there is a disagreement on the relation between PTB and the type of conception in twin pregnancy.

Although this study reported statistically significance about the association of pre-pregnancy BMI with spontaneous PTB in twin pregnancy, it has several limitations. First, we adopted self-reported pre-pregnancy weights to calculate pre-pregnancy BMI as other several studies. Self-reported weights make bias for underestimated pre-pregnancy BMI. In addition, we could not evaluate several factors such as diet or physical activity. But Khatibi et al. reported that controlling dietary fiber intake and physical activity during leisure in pregnant women had no influence on the association between pre-pregnancy BMI and PTB. Third, the
Institute of Medicine guidelines about gestational weight gain (GWG) has had an important role to guide prenatal care in clinical practice. There are several reports about the relation between GWG and maternal-fetal outcomes. In this study, GWG besides pre-pregnancy BMI also might affect the risk of PTB. To analyze the effect on PTB, the rate of GWG (per weeks in each trimester) because of the difference in GAD is needed. These data in this study are limited and further study is needed.

In clinical practice, overweight/obese women planning to become pregnant could be recommended for preconceptual weight loss. Adequate control or reduction of body weight before pregnancy could help overweight/obese women with twin pregnancies to lower the risk of spontaneous PTB.

In conclusion, the risks of both total and spontaneous PTB were significantly greater in the overweight/obese group than in normal BMI group.

REFERENCES

1. Eichenwald EC, Stark AR. Management and outcomes of very low birth weight. *N Engl J Med* 2008;358(16):1700-11.
2. Mathews TJ, MacDorman MF. Infant mortality statistics from the 2009 period linked birth/infant death data set. *Natl Vital Stat Rep* 2013;61(8):1-27.
3. Russell RB, Green NS, Steiner CA, Meikle S, Howse JL, Poschman K, et al. Cost of hospitalization for preterm and low birth weight infants in the United States. *Pediatrics* 2007;120(1):e1-9.
4. Gonçalves LF, Chaiworapongs A, Romero R. Intrauterine infection and prematurity. *Ment Retard Dev Disabil Res Rev* 2002;8(1):3-13.
5. Spong CY. Prediction and prevention of recurrent spontaneous preterm birth. *Obstet Gynecol* 2007;110(2 Pt 1):405-15.
6. Weiss JL, Malone FD, Vidaver J, Ball RH, Nyberg DA, Comstock CH, et al. Threatened abortion: a risk factor for poor pregnancy outcome, a population-based screening study. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2004;190(3):745-50.
7. Cnattingius S, Villamor E, Johansson S, Bonamy AK, Persson M, Wikström AK, et al. Maternal obesity and risk of preterm delivery. *JAMA* 2013;309(22):2362-70.
8. Li D, Liu L, Odouli R. Presence of depressive symptoms during early pregnancy and the risk of preterm delivery: a prospective cohort study. *Hum Reprod* 2009;24(1):146-53.
9. Littleton HL, Breitkopf CR, Berenson AB. Correlates of anxiety symptoms during pregnancy and association with perinatal outcomes: a meta-analysis. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2007;196(5):424-32.
10. Ward K. Genetic factors in common obstetric disorders. *Clin Obstet Gynecol* 2008;51(1):74-83.
11. Gibson CS, MacLennan AH, Dekker GA, Goldwater PN, Dambrosia JM, Munroe DJ, et al. Genetic polymorphisms and spontaneous preterm birth. *Obstet Gynecol* 2007;109(2 Pt 1):384-91.
13. Torloni MR, Betrán AP, Daher S, Widmer M, Dolan SM, Menon R, et al. Maternal BMI and preterm birth: a systematic review of the literature with meta-analysis. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med* 2009;22(11):957-70.

14. Martin JA, Hamilton BE, Ventura SJ, Osterman MJ, Wilson EC, Mathews TJ. Births: final data for 2010. *Natl Vital Stat Rep* 2012;61(1):1-72.

15. Pakrashi T, Defranco EA. The relative proportion of preterm births complicated by premature rupture of membranes in multifetal gestations: a population-based study. *Am J Perinatol* 2013;30(1):69-74.

16. World Health Organization Western Pacific Region; International Association for the Study of Obesity; International Obesity Task Force. *The Asia-Pacific Perspective: Redefining Obesity and Its Treatment*. Sydney, Australia: Health Communications Australia Pty Limited; 2000.

17. Khatibi A, Brantsaeter AL, Sengpiel V, Kacerovsky M, Magnus P, Morken NH, et al. Prepregnancy maternal body mass index and preterm delivery. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2012;207(3):212.e1-212.e7.

18. McDonald SD, Han Z, Mulla S, Beyene J. Overweight and obesity in mothers and risk of preterm birth and low birth weight infants: systematic review and meta-analyses. *BMJ* 2010;341:c3428.

19. Djelantik AA, Kunst A, van der Wal M, Smit H, Vrijkotte T. Contribution of overweight and obesity to the occurrence of adverse pregnancy outcomes in a multiethnic cohort: population attributable fractions for Amsterdam. *BJOG* 2012;119(3):283-90.

20. Suzuki S, Inde Y, Miyake H. Maternal obesity as a risk factor for very pre-term delivery in dichorionic twin pregnancies. *J Obstet Gynaecol* 2010;30(4):354-6.

21. Al-Obaidly S, Parrish J, Murphy KE, Maxwell C. Maternal pre-gravid body mass index and obstetric outcomes in twin gestations. *J Perinatol* 2014;34(6):425-8.

22. Wang Z, Nakayama T. Inflammation, a link between obesity and cardiovascular disease. *Mediators Inflamm* 2010;2010:535918.

23. Ramsay JE, Ferrell WR, Crawford L, Wallace AM, Greer IA, Sattar N. Maternal obesity is associated with dysregulation of metabolic, vascular, and inflammatory pathways. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2002;87(9):4231-7.

24. Romero R, Espinoza J, Kusanovic JP, Gotsch F, Hassan S, Erez O, et al. The preterm parturition syndrome. *BJOG* 2006;113 Suppl 3:17-42.

25. Festa A, D’Agostino R, Howard G, Mykkänen L, Tracy RP, Haffner SM. Chronic subclinical inflammation as part of the insulin resistance syndrome the Insulin Resistance Atherosclerosis Study (IRAS). *Circulation* 2000;102(1):42-7.

26. Hak AE, Stehouwer CD, Bots ML, Polderman KH, Schalkwijk CG, Westendorp IC, et al. Associations of C-reactive protein with measures of obesity, insulin resistance, and subclinical atherosclerosis in healthy, middle-aged women. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 1999;19(8):1986-91.

27. Sebire NJ, Jolly M, Harris J, Wadsworth J, Joffe M, Beard R, et al. Maternal obesity and pregnancy outcome: a study of 287 213 pregnancies in London. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord* 2001;25(8):1175-82.

28. Nohr EA, Vaeth M, Bech BH, Henriksen TB, Cnattingius S, Olsen J. Maternal obesity and neonatal mortality according to subtypes of preterm birth. *Obstet Gynecol* 2007;110(5):1083-90.

29. Shaw GM, Wise PH, Mayo J, Carmichael SL, Ley C, Lyell DJ, et al. Maternal prepregnancy body mass index and risk of spontaneous preterm birth. *Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol* 2014;28(4):302-11.

30. Dhont M, De Sutter P, Ruyssinck G, Martens G, Bekaert A. Perinatal outcome of pregnancies after assisted reproduction: a case-control study. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1999;181(3):688-95.
31. Göçmen A, Güven Ş, Bağıç Ş, Çekmez Y, Şanlıkan F. Comparison of maternal and fetal outcomes of IVF and spontaneously conceived twin pregnancies: three year experience of a tertiary hospital. Int J Clin Exp Med 2015;8(4):6272-6.

32. Isaksson R, Gissler M, Tiitinen A. Obstetric outcome among women with unexplained infertility after IVF: a matched case-control study. Hum Reprod 2002;17(7):1755-61.

33. Koivurova S, Hartikainen AL, Gissler M, Hemminki E, Sovio U, Järvelin MR. Neonatal outcome and congenital malformations in children born after in-vitro fertilization. Hum Reprod 2002;17(5):1391-8.

34. Wisborg K, Ingelslev H, Henriksen TB. In vitro fertilization and preterm delivery, low birth weight, and admission to the neonatal intensive care unit: a prospective follow-up study. Fertil Steril 2010;94(6):2102-6.

35. Luke B, Gopal D, Cabral H, Stern JE, Diop H. Adverse pregnancy, birth, and infant outcomes in twins: effects of maternal fertility status and infant gender combinations; the Massachusetts Outcomes Study of Assisted Reproductive Technology. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2017;217(3):330.e1-330.e15.

36. Geisler ME, O’Mahony A, Meaney S, Waterstone JJ, O’Donoghue K. Obstetric and perinatal outcomes of twin pregnancies conceived following IVF/ICSI treatment compared with spontaneously conceived twin pregnancies. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol 2014;181:78-83.

37. Rasmussen KM, Yaktine AL; Institute of Medicine (US) Committee to Reexamine IOM Pregnancy Weight Guidelines. Weight Gain During Pregnancy: Reexamining the Guidelines. Washington, D.C.: National Academies Press; 2009.

38. Lee EJ, Kim YH, Kwon JY, Park YW. Pregnancy outcome according to gestational weight gain in twin pregnancies on the basis of the 2009 Institute of Medicine (IOM) recommendations. Korean J Obstet Gynecol 2010;53(8):687-93.

39. Kiel DW, Dodson EA, Artal R, Boehmer TK, Leet TL. Gestational weight gain and pregnancy outcomes in obese women: how much is enough? Obstet Gynecol 2007;110(4):752-8.

40. Goldstein RF, Abell SK, Ranasinha S, Misso M, Boyle JA, Black MH, et al. Association of gestational weight gain with maternal and infant outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA 2017;317(21):2207-25.