Psychological stress is considered as one of the limiting factors in the management of type-2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM). Therefore, the basic objective of the present study was to evaluate the antidiabetic effect of metformin, diazepam, and their combination in cooccurring T2DM and stress condition (DMS). T2DM was induced in the male rats by administering streptozotocin (45 mg/kg, i.p.) and nicotinamide (110 mg/kg, i.p.) with time lag of 15 min. Rats were subjected to two sessions of cold restraint stress paradigm for one hour on the sixth and seventh day after streptozotocin injection. Administration of metformin (25 mg/kg, p.o.) and diazepam (1 mg/kg, p.o.) in combination from the seventh to thirteenth day after streptozotocin injection showed better improvement in glucose tolerance and insulin sensitivity compared to monotherapy of either drug. In addition, the combination significantly attenuated DMS-induced hyperglycemia, hypertriglyceridaemia, hypercorticosteronemia, anxiety-like behavior, and insulin resistance through modulating insulin signaling pathway in the liver compared to monotherapy. Further, improvement of mitochondrial function, integrity, and oxidative stress in hippocampus, hypothalamus, prefrontal cortex, striatum, amygdala, and nucleus accumbens was observed with the combination. Therefore, metformin in combination with diazepam may be a better therapeutic option in the management of T2DM with cooccurring stress condition.

1. Introduction

Diabetes mellitus is the most common chronic endocrine metabolic disorder. The prevalence of diabetes is rising rapidly worldwide with a projected estimation of about 439 million diabetic people globally by 2030 [1]. The majority of the diabetic patients belong to the type-2 class of diabetes mellitus (T2DM). Considerable research has confirmed biological and behavioral variables as risk factors for the development of T2DM [2]. Psychological distress has long been considered as one of the predisposing factors in the development of T2DM. Stress is an aversive stimulus which disturbs physiological homeostasis and is reported to play an important role in the genesis and pathophysiology of different psychological disorders [3]. Stress can be measured as the actual exposure to events assumed to be stressful, or as an individual’s interpretation and perception of stressors and can be assessed across all contexts of life. However, the role of psychosocial risk factors, including psychological characteristics, social relationships, and stressors, in the development of T2DM has received much less attention.

Only a small number of studies have explored the role of psychosocial stress on the development of diabetes. Clinical studies suggest that there is a strong relationship between psychological stress and diabetes. One study reports that there is one measure of work stress, effort-reward imbalance (a model based on the importance of the reciprocal balance between the effort spent at work and the intrinsic and extrinsic rewards received), and diabetes incidence in men but not in women [4]. In contrast, another measure of work stress, iso-strain (the combination of high demands, low control, and social isolation) as a predictor of diabetes incidence in women but not in men [5]. A meta-analysis of the work stress-diabetes literature failed to show statistically significant relationships
between any individual aspect of work-related stress and risk of diabetes [6]. Recently, a preclinical study reveals that psychological stress after T2DM induction aggravates the progression of diabetes [7]. Thus, psychological stress could be an important factor in the management of T2DM.

Insulin resistance is considered as an important pathophysiological mechanism for the progression to both T2DM and neurodegeneration conditions [8–10]. In most cases, insulin resistance is associated with a complex network of signaling pathways, including reduced insulin-stimulated tyrosine phosphorylation of insulin receptor (IR) and insulin receptor substrate (IRS) as well as Akt serine phosphorylation in the main target tissues of insulin, including the liver, skeletal muscle, and adipose tissue [11, 12]. Several studies have recommended that the phosphorylation levels of IRS-1 on serine residue 307 (IRS-1ser307) and of Akt on serine residue 473 (Aktser473) in rodents could be used as insulin resistance markers [10, 13, 14]. Thus, information regarding insulin resistance would be crucial in the development of novel drugs in the pharmacotherapy of cooccurring T2DM and stress condition.

Mitochondria are produced in the cell body, transported to specific neuronal locations of increased energy demands such as synapses [15], and play an important role in the neuronal activity pertinent to specific neurotransmitters [16, 17]. Brain mitochondrial function has been reported to decline in both diabetes and stress conditions [18], indicating that mitochondrial dysfunction is common to both disorders. Recently, it has been reported that mitochondrial electron transport chain enzyme activity increased while mitochondrial integrity decreased with the cooccurring T2DM and stress condition in experimental animals [7]. Moreover, both hyperglycemia [19] and stress [20] are reported to elicit an increase in reactive oxygen species production in brain. Increased mitochondrial biogenesis is part of the cellular response to oxidative stress [21]. Therefore, as mitochondria are the common substrate for both T2DM and stress, drugs targeted to mitochondria would be a better therapeutic option in the management of T2DM with cooccurring stress condition.

Metformin (N, N-dimethylimidodicarbonimidic diamide) is one of the most widely used antihyperglycemic agents as the first-line drug therapy for management of T2DM [22, 23]. Metformin inhibits gluconeogenesis through mechanisms linked to perturbation of mitochondrial function [24]. Complex I of mitochondrial respiration chain is considered as one of the possible targets of metformin action [25]. Metformin also inhibits mitochondrial transition pore and mitochondria-linked cell death [26]. Benzodiazepines are commonly prescribed anxiolytics to T2DM patients with history of stress [27, 28]. Diazepam is widely prescribed for the treatment of anxiety, insomnia, or stress disorders. Diazepam augmented the blood glucose level in hyperglycemic rats; however, it did not alter the same in presence of metformin in the animals [29]. Thus, the antihyperglycemic activity of metformin is not impaired in the presence of diazepam. Despite its promising neuroprotective properties, the exact mechanism of diazepam in neuroprotection is not fully understood. It has also been reported that diazepam acts on translocator proteins apart from other actions such as gamma-amino butyric acid-A receptor stimulation and hypothermia [30]. Translocator proteins located at the contact site between outer and inner mitochondrial membrane and thus regulate the mitochondria-linked apoptosis [31, 32]. Therefore, both metformin and diazepam have mitochondrial effects apart from other reported mechanisms. As stress can influence diabetaogensis, the management of T2DM by the combination therapy could be a better choice over monotherapy.

Therefore, the study evaluates the antihyperglycemic and anti-hypertriglyceridaemic activity of metformin and diazepam in T2DM rats with repeated CRS exposure. The level of corticosterone in the blood and ulcers in the stomach region was estimated as a measure of stress in the T2DM rats with cooccurring stress condition. Further, the potential anxiolytic-like effect of metformin and diazepam was evaluated in the elevated plus maze (EPM) in the above condition. In addition, the antidiabetic, antistress, and anxiolytic-like activity of metformin was evaluated in presence of diazepam in the above condition. At the molecular level, the extent of phosphorylation of IRS-1 and Akt was evaluated to elaborate on the insulin resistance in the above condition. At the subcellular level, mitochondrial function and integrity were investigated in six brain regions such as hippocampus (HIP), hypothalamus (HYP), prefrontal cortex (PFC), striatum (STR), amygdala (AMY), and nucleus accumbens (NAC) to elaborate on the mitochondrial basis of the combination therapy. Oxidative stress markers such as extent of lipid peroxidation (LPO) and antioxidant enzyme activities like superoxide dismutase (SOD) and catalase (CAT) were estimated in the above brain regions to study the mitochondrial-dependent antioxidant mechanism.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Animals. Male Charles Foster strain albino rats (200–250 g) were purchased from the Central Animal House, Institute of Medical Sciences, Banaras Hindu University (BHU), and were housed in polypropylene cages under controlled environmental conditions (25 ± 1°C, 45–55% relative humidity and 12:12 h light/dark cycle). All experiments were conducted in compliance with the principles of laboratory animal care (NIH publication number 85–23, revised 1985) guidelines. Experiments on animals were approved by the Institutional Animal Ethics Committee of BHU, Varanasi, India (Protocol number Dean/II-12/CAEC/328). The animals had free access to commercial rat feed (Doodh dhara Pashu Ahar, India) and water ad libitum unless stated otherwise during the experiment. Animals were acclimatized for at least one week before using them for experiments and exposed only once to every experiment.

2.2. Chemicals. Streptozotocin, thiobarbituric acid (TBA), tetra methyl rhodamine methyl ester (TMRM), and dexamethasone were procured from Sigma (St. Louis, MO, USA). Antibodies such as phospho-IRS-1ser307, total IRS,
phosphor-Akt$^{ser^73}$, total Akt, and beta-actin were purchased from Abcam Plc., Cambridge, USA. All other chemicals and reagents were available commercially from local suppliers and were of analytical grade.

2.3. Induction of Cooccurring T2DM and Repeated CRS (DMS). The T2DM was induced in overnight fasted rats by a single injection of streptozotocin (45 mg/kg, i.p.), 15 min after nicotinamide (110 mg/kg, i.p.) administration. Streptozotocin was dissolved in 0.1 M citrate buffer (pH 4.5) and nicotinamide was dissolved in physiological saline [33]. Further, two stress sessions 24 hr apart were performed during 08:00 hr to 12:00 hr on the 6th and 7th day of streptozotocin injection and were consisting of a 1 hr restraint period (rat restrainers were transparent plastic tubes 15 cm long × 6.5 cm width) in a 4°C room [7, 34].

2.4. The Experimental Design. The experimental design consists of three sets of experiments. The animals were acclimatized for seven days and were randomly divided into five groups, namely, control, diabetes with repeated CRS (DMS), DMS + MET, DMS + DZ, and DMS + MET + DZ in each of the experiment. The experimental protocol was followed for 13 days for all experiments. The day animals received the streptozotocin injection was considered as day-1 (D-1). The rats were exposed to repeated CRS procedures to all the group animals except control group rats on D-6 and D-7. On D-7, after 1 hr to CRS paradigm, metformin (25 mg/kg, p.o.; [35]) was administered to DMS + MET and DMS + MET + DZ group animals while diazepam (1 mg/kg, p.o.; [36, 37]) was administered to DMS + DZ and DMS + MET + DZ group rats after 30 min to metformin treatment. This treatment schedule was continued for seven consecutive days, that is, D-13 of the experimental design. The experiments 1 and 2 were performed for the oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) and insulin tolerance test (ITT), respectively. In experiment 3, all the animals were killed after 1 hr to the last dose on D-13 of the experimental schedule by decapitation. The blood and liver were stored immediately at −80°C till further study. The brains were removed and microdissected [38] into hippocampus (HIP), hypothalamus (HYP), prefrontal cortex (PFC), striatum (STR), amygdala (AMY), and nucleus accumbens (NAC) and stored immediately at −80°C till further study.

2.5. Oral Glucose Tolerance Test (OGTT). Oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) is considered as a classical and model-based estimate of beta-cell function [39]. The OGTT was performed on overnight fasted rats on the 13th day of the experimental schedule. Metformin, diazepam, their combination, and vehicle were administered 60 min prior to glucose administration (2 g/kg, i.g.). The blood samples were collected through retroorbital puncture just before glucose load (0 min) and at 30, 60, and 120 min after glucose administration. Plasma glucose concentrations were determined with glucose GOD PAP kit (Priman Instrument Pvt. Ltd., India) based on glucose oxidase method [40].

2.6. Insulin Tolerance Test (ITT). Insulin tolerance test (ITT) is a simple and reliable method of estimating insulin sensitivity [41, 42]. The ITT was performed on overnight fasted rats on the 13th day of the experimental schedule. Metformin, diazepam, their combination, and vehicle were administered 60 min prior to insulin administration (0.4 IU/kg, s.c.). The blood samples were collected through retroorbital puncture just before glucose load (0 min) and at 30, 60, and 90 min after glucose administration. Plasma glucose concentrations were determined with glucose GOD PAP kit (Priman Instrument Pvt. Ltd., India) based on glucose oxidase method [40].

2.7. Estimation of Plasma Glucose and Triglyceride Level. On the D-1, 3, 7, and 13 of the experimental protocol, 1 mL of blood was collected through retroorbital puncture and centrifuged at 3000×g for 5 min at 4°C [43] to obtain plasma for measuring the glucose, triglyceride, and corticosterone levels. The plasma glucose and triglyceride was determined spectrophotometrically (Beckman Coulter DU 7400 UV-VIS Spectrophotometer, Fullerton, CA) in triplicate using the glucose GOD PAP kit (Priman Instrument Pvt. Ltd., India) and triglyceride GPO-PAP kit (Span Diagnostics Ltd., India), respectively.

2.8. Estimation of Plasma Corticosterone Level. The plasma corticosterone was quantified in a High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) with Ultraviolet (UV) detector system (Waters, USA), according to Woodward and Emery [44] with minor modifications using dexamethasone as an internal standard [45]. Briefly, 500 µL of plasma containing known quantity of dexamethasone was extracted with 5 mL of dichloromethane. The dichloromethane extract was evaporated to dryness and dissolved in 100 µL of mobile phase. Twenty microliters of extract was injected into HPLC system for quantification. Mobile phase consisted of methanol : water (70 : 30) at a flow rate of 1.2 mL/min and CORT was detected at 250 nm using UV detector (Model 2849, Waters, USA). The chromatogram was recorded and analyzed with Empower software (Version 2.0).

2.9. Estimation of Ulcer Index. The stomach was cut through greater curvature and a blind observer calculated the ulcer index by following standard protocol [46].

2.10. Anxiety-Like Behavior in EPM Test. The plus maze consisted of two opposite open arms, 50×10 cm, crossed with two opposite open arms of the same dimensions with walls of 40 cm high. The arms were connected with a central square (10×10 cm) to give the apparatus a plus-sign appearance. The maze was kept elevated 50 cm above the floor in a dimly lit room. The rats were placed individually on the central square of the plus maze facing an enclosed arm. The percentage time spent and the numbers of entries made by the rat, during the next 5 min, on the open arms were recorded as an index of anxiety. Further, the total arm entries were recorded as an index of locomotor activity. An arm entry was defined when all four limbs of the rat were on the arm [47].
2.11. Western Blot Analysis. For Western blot analysis, the liver tissues were lysed in buffer containing complete protease inhibitor cocktail. Protein concentrations were determined according to Bradford (1976; [48]). A standard plot was generated using bovine serum albumin. An aliquot of each sample was electrophoresed in 10% SDS-PAGE gels for phospho-IRS-1<sup>ser473</sup>, total IRS, phosphor-Akt<sup>ser473</sup>, and total Akt proteins, transferred to polyvinylidene fluoride membranes and probed with specific antibodies. The membrane was incubated overnight with polyclonal rabbit anti-phospho-IRS-1<sup>ser0307</sup>, total IRS, phosphor-Akt<sup>ser473</sup>, and total Akt proteins, transferred to polyvinylidene fluoride membranes and probed with specific antibodies. The membrane was incubated overnight with polyclonal rabbit anti-phospho-IRS-1<sup>ser473</sup> (1:10,000, Abcam Plc., Cambridge, USA), monoclonal anti-total IRS (1:1000, Abcam Plc., Cambridge, USA), monoclonal anti-phospho-Akt<sup>ser473</sup> (1:10,000, Abcam Plc., Cambridge, USA), and polyclonal anti-total Akt (1:1000, Abcam Plc., Cambridge, USA) primary antibodies. After detection with the desired antibodies against the proteins of interest, the membrane was stripped with stripping buffer (25 mM Glycine pH 2.0, 2% SDS) for 30 min at room temperature and reprobed overnight with rabbit anti β-actin polyclonal primary antibody (Abcam Plc., Cambridge, USA) at a dilution of 1: 500 to confirm equal loading of protein. Further, membrane was probed with corresponding secondary antibodies. Immuno-reactive band of proteins were detected with chemiluminescence using enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL) reagents (Amersham Bioscience, USA). Quantification of the results was performed by densitometric scan of films. The immuno-reactive area was determined by densitometric analysis using Biovis gel documentation software.

2.12. Assessment of Mitochondrial Function, Integrity, and Oxidative Stress

2.12.1. Mitochondria Isolation Procedure. Mitochondria were isolated by following standard procedure of Pedersen et al. [49]. Briefly, the brain regions were homogenized in (1:10, w/v) ice cold isolation buffer (250 mM sucrose, 1 mM EGTA, and 10 mM HEPES-KOH, pH 7.2) followed by centrifugation at 600 × g/5 min. The resultant supernatant was centrifuged at 10,000 × g/15 min. The resultant pellets were suspended in 1 mL medium (250 mM sucrose, 0.3 mM EGTA, and 10 mM HEPES-KOH, pH 7.2) and again centrifuged at 14,000 × g/10 min. All centrifugation procedures were performed at 4°C. The final mitochondrial pellet was resuspended in medium (250 mM sucrose and 10 mM HEPES-KOH, pH 7.2) and used within 3 h. The mitochondrial protein content was estimated using the method of Lowry et al. [50].

2.12.2. Estimation of Mitochondrial Succinate Dehydrogenase (SDH) Activity. The mitochondrial SDH was determined by following the method of Old and Johnson [51] based on the progressive reduction of NBT to formazan (dfz) measured at 570 nm. The mean SDH activity of each region was expressed as micromole formazan produced per min per milligram of protein.

2.12.3. Estimation of Mitochondrial Membrane Potential (MMP). The Rhodamine dye taken up by mitochondria was measured with spectrofluorometer (Hitachi, F-2500, Japan; [52]). Briefly, the mitochondrial suspension was mixed with TMRM solution and incubated for 5 min at 25°C followed by frequent washings (four times) to remove any unbound TMRM. The fluorescence emission was read at an excitation λ of 535 ± 10 nm and emission λ of 580 ± 10 nm using slit no. 10. The peak fluorescence intensity recorded was around 570 ± 5 nm. The intensity of fluorescence was recorded which was considered to be proportional to MMP.

2.12.4. Estimation of Mitochondrial Lipid Peroxidation (LPO). Mitochondrial MDA content was measured as a marker for LPO described by Uchiyama and Mihiara [33] and modified by Sunderman et al. [54]. Briefly, the chromophore formed in the reaction was measured at 532 nm. The MDA concentrations are expressed as micromoles of MDA/mg of protein.

2.12.5. Estimation of Mitochondrial Superoxide Dismutase (SOD) Activity. The activity of SOD was assayed by the method of Kakkar et al. [55] based on the formation of NADH-phenazinemethosulfate-nitro blue tetrazolium formazan measured at 560 nm against butanol as blank. A single unit of the enzyme was expressed as 50% inhibition of NBT reduction/min/mg of protein under the assay conditions.

2.12.6. Estimation of Mitochondrial Catalase (CAT) Activity. Decomposition of hydrogen peroxide in presence of CAT was followed at 240 nm [56]. The results were expressed as units (U) of CAT activity/min/mg of protein.

2.13. Data Analysis. The results were expressed as mean ± S.E.M. The statistical significance for time-course effects on plasma glucose, TG, and CORT levels and parameters in the EPM test paradigm was analyzed by two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by post hoc Bonferroni test. All other datasets were analyzed by one-way ANOVA followed by post hoc Student Newman-Keuls test. P < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant throughout the experimental data analysis.

3. Results

3.1. Metformin and Diazepam Combination Enhanced Glucose Tolerance during OGTT in DMS Rats More Than Metformin or Diazepam Monotherapy. Table 1 illustrates the effect of metformin or diazepam or their combination on plasma glucose levels during OGTT in DMS exposed rats. Repeated measures of two-way ANOVA revealed that there were significant differences in plasma glucose levels among group (F (4, 100) = 1432; P < 0.05), time (F (3, 100) = 418.1; P < 0.05), and an interaction (F (12,100) = 52.5; P < 0.05) between group and time. Post hoc analysis showed that metformin but not diazepam monotherapy decreased the glucose level at 30 min after glucose loading compared to DMS rats. Moreover, the combination of metformin and diazepam showed remarkable improvement in glucose response at 30 min after glucose loading compared to metformin monotherapy. This effect persisted up to 120 min after glucose loading during OGTT.
3.2. Metformin and Diazepam Combination Increased Insulin Sensitivity during ITT in DMS Rats More Than Metformin or Diazepam Monotherapy. Table 2 illustrates the effect of metformin or diazepam or their combination on plasma glucose levels during ITT in DMS exposed rats. Repeated measures of two-way ANOVA revealed that there were significant differences in plasma glucose levels among group (F (4, 100) = 1392, \( P < 0.05 \)), time (F (3, 100) = 28.5; \( P < 0.05 \)), and an interaction (F (12, 100) = 1.5; \( P < 0.05 \)) between group and time. Post hoc analysis showed that metformin but not diazepam monotherapy decreased significantly the plasma glucose level at 30 min after insulin injection compared to DMS rats. Moreover, the combination of metformin and diazepam showed remarkable improvement in insulin sensitivity at 30 min after insulin injection compared to metformin monotherapy. This effect persisted up to 90 min after insulin injection during ITT.

3.3. Metformin and Diazepam Combination Reduced DMS-Induced Increase in the Plasma Glucose, Triglyceride, and Corticosterone Levels in Rats More Than Metformin or Diazepam Monotherapy. Table 3 illustrates the effect of metformin or diazepam or their combination on DMS-induced alterations in plasma glucose, triglyceride, and corticosterone levels. Repeated measures of two-way ANOVA revealed that there were significant differences in plasma glucose, triglyceride, and corticosterone levels among group (F (4, 100) = 6220; \( P < 0.05 \)), (F (4, 100) = 746.2; \( P < 0.05 \)) and (F (4, 100) = 350.7; \( P < 0.05 \)), time ((F (3, 100) = 15570; \( P < 0.05 \)), (F (3, 100) = 2027; \( P < 0.05 \)) and (F (3, 100) = 1525; \( P < 0.05 \)), resp.) and an interaction ((F (12, 100) = 1568; \( P < 0.05 \)), (F (12, 100) = 231.3; \( P < 0.05 \)), and (F (12, 100) = 6220; \( P < 0.05 \)), resp.) between group and time. Post hoc analysis showed that there were no significant differences among groups in plasma glucose or triglyceride or corticosterone levels on D-1. Streptozotocin injection caused significant increase in the plasma glucose, triglyceride, and corticosterone levels on D-3 of the experimental schedule compared to vehicle treated rats. Further, exposure to repeated CRS augmented the levels of plasma glucose, triglyceride, and corticosterone on D-7 compared to control animals. Metformin treatment significantly decreased the DMS-induced increase in all the biochemical parameters in the plasma on D-13 of the experimental schedule. However, diazepam significantly reduced the DMS-induced increase in the level of corticosterone only in the plasma of the rats. Furthermore, metformin and diazepam combination significantly reduced the DMS-induced increase in all the biochemical parameters in the plasma on D-13 compared to metformin and diazepam monotherapy.

3.4. Diazepam in Combination with Metformin Reduced Gastric Ulcer in DMS Exposed Rats More Than Either Metformin or Diazepam Monotherapy. The effect of metformin or diazepam or their combination on DMS-induced gastric ulcer in rats is depicted in Figure 1. Statistical analysis by one-way ANOVA revealed that there were significant differences in gastric ulcer index (F (4, 25) = 49.29; \( P < 0.05 \)) among groups on D-13. Post hoc test showed that DMS induced significant increase in the gastric ulcers in rats compared to vehicle treated animals. Administration of either metformin or diazepam significantly reduced the DMS-induced increase in the gastric ulcers in rats. Moreover, diazepam treated rats showed significant decrease in the DMS-induced ulcers compared to vehicle treated rats.

Table 1: Effect of metformin, diazepam, or their combination on plasma glucose level during OGTT in cooccurring T2DM and RS exposed rats.

| Groups         | 0 min      | 30 min     | 60 min     | 120 min    |
|----------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Control        | 74.3 ± 1.3 | 143.6 ± 1.8| 157.3 ± 3.9| 111.5 ± 3.8|
| DMS            | 512.4 ± 12.9 | 969.2 ± 34.3 | 1202.8 ± 26.0 | 1192.7 ± 21.8 |
| DMS + MET      | 420.3 ± 6.6 | 609.6 ± 25.5 | 690.0 ± 23.5 | 555.6 ± 24.2 |
| DMS + DZ       | 499.0 ± 9.7 | 941.9 ± 23.0 | 1156.6 ± 16.2 | 1188.5 ± 29.0 |
| DMS + MET + DZ | 206.9 ± 4.3 | 445.5 ± 24.0 | 448.7 ± 26.0 | 426.3 ± 9.0 |

All values are mean ± SEM (n = 6). \(^a\)P < 0.05 compared to control, \(^b\)P < 0.05 compared to DMS, \(^c\)P < 0.05 compared to DMS + MET, and \(^d\)P < 0.05 compared to DMS + DZ (repeated measures of two-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni post hoc test).

Table 2: Effect of metformin, diazepam, or their combination on plasma glucose level during ITT in cooccurring T2DM and RS exposed rats.

| Groups         | 0 min      | 30 min     | 60 min     | 90 min     |
|----------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Control        | 73.2 ± 2.6 | 58.1 ± 3.4 | 56.4 ± 3.3 | 54.3 ± 4.2 |
| DMS            | 510.5 ± 12.3 | 448.3 ± 18.9 | 439.7 ± 19.7 | 437.0 ± 21.5 |
| DMS + MET      | 418.4 ± 5.6 | 395.9 ± 4.5 | 393.8 ± 3.7 | 390.0 ± 4.1 |
| DMS + DZ       | 493.3 ± 9.2 | 437.6 ± 9.5 | 431.2 ± 10.6 | 427.0 ± 9.4 |
| DMS + MET + DZ | 203.1 ± 5.9 | 146.4 ± 3.9 | 142.1 ± 3.8 | 140.0 ± 3.3 |

All values are mean ± SEM (n = 6). \(^a\)P < 0.05 compared to control, \(^b\)P < 0.05 compared to DMS, \(^c\)P < 0.05 compared to DMS + MET, and \(^d\)P < 0.05 compared to DMS + DZ (repeated measures of two-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni post hoc test).
### Table 3: Effect of metformin, diazepam, or their combination on plasma glucose, triglyceride, and corticosterone levels in cooccurring T2DM and RS exposed rats.

| Groups          | Control | DMS | DMS + MET | DMS + DZ | DMS + MET + DZ |
|-----------------|---------|-----|-----------|----------|---------------|
| **Plasma glucose (mg/dL)** |         |     |           |          |               |
| Control         | 76.3 ± 1.0 | 76.0 ± 2.8 | 74.5 ± 2.7 | 75.3 ± 1.7 | 74.9 ± 2.6 |
| DMS             | 75.8 ± 0.8 | 256.3 ± 3.0<sup>a</sup> | 261.1 ± 2.5<sup>a</sup> | 254.3 ± 2.7<sup>a</sup> | 264.6 ± 1.3<sup>a</sup> |
| DMS + MET       | 75.5 ± 0.6 | 568.0 ± 5.6<sup>a</sup> | 572.9 ± 5.1<sup>a</sup> | 566.2 ± 4.8<sup>a</sup> | 574.1 ± 0.7<sup>a</sup> |
| DMS + DZ        | 75.1 ± 0.8 | 577.6 ± 2.5<sup>a</sup> | 425.8 ± 4.7<sup>a</sup> | 425.8 ± 4.7<sup>a</sup> | 212.5 ± 5.2<sup>a,b,c,d</sup> |
| **Plasma triglyceride (mg/dL)** |         |     |           |          |               |
| Control         | 85.9 ± 1.5 | 90.5 ± 1.2 | 88.8 ± 1.5 | 91.3 ± 1.2 | 91.7 ± 1.2 |
| DMS             | 87.4 ± 1.6 | 195.2 ± 4.1<sup>a</sup> | 194.1 ± 7.0<sup>a</sup> | 196.2 ± 4.8<sup>a</sup> | 200.0 ± 5.7<sup>a</sup> |
| DMS + MET       | 87.3 ± 1.6 | 471.0 ± 9.8<sup>a</sup> | 474.2 ± 11.1<sup>a</sup> | 470.1 ± 9.8<sup>a</sup> | 484.9 ± 12.6<sup>a</sup> |
| DMS + DZ        | 87.1 ± 1.5 | 483.3 ± 10.1<sup>a</sup> | 365.6 ± 9.0<sup>a</sup> | 484.1 ± 9.6<sup>a</sup> | 146.0 ± 7.1<sup>a,b,c,d</sup> |
| **Plasma corticosterone (µg/dL)** |         |     |           |          |               |
| Control         | 15.8 ± 0.4 | 15.9 ± 0.3 | 15.4 ± 0.6 | 15.2 ± 0.7 | 15.4 ± 0.7 |
| DMS             | 16.3 ± 0.4 | 34.4 ± 1.5<sup>a</sup> | 32.0 ± 1.1<sup>a</sup> | 31.7 ± 1.0<sup>a</sup> | 31.3 ± 1.0<sup>a</sup> |
| DMS + MET       | 15.9 ± 0.4 | 187.7 ± 5.3<sup>a</sup> | 188.4 ± 5.7<sup>a</sup> | 186.7 ± 6.5<sup>a</sup> | 191.1 ± 6.0<sup>a</sup> |
| DMS + DZ        | 15.9 ± 0.4 | 191.5 ± 8.8<sup>a</sup> | 120.5 ± 3.9<sup>a</sup> | 107.1 ± 2.6<sup>a,b,c</sup> | 77.6 ± 5.5<sup>a,b,c,d</sup> |

All values are mean ± SEM (n = 6).<sup>a</sup>P < 0.05 compared to control, <sup>b</sup>P < 0.05 compared to DMS, <sup>c</sup>P < 0.05 compared to DMS + MET, and <sup>d</sup>P < 0.05 compared to DMS + DZ (repeated measures of two-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni post hoc test).

#### Figure 1: The effect of metformin (MET), diazepam (DZ), and their combination on gastric ulcer in T2DM and repeated CRS paradigm (DMS) exposed rats. All values are mean ± SEM (n = 6).<sup>a</sup>P < 0.05 compared to control, <sup>b</sup>P < 0.05 compared to DMS, <sup>c</sup>P < 0.05 compared to DMS + MET, and <sup>d</sup>P < 0.05 compared to DMS + DZ (one-way ANOVA followed by Student Newmann-Keulst test).

3.5. **Metformin and Diazepam Combination Exhibited Better Anxiolytic Activity in DMS Exposed Rats More Than Their Monotherapy.** Figure 2 illustrates the effect of metformin or diazepam or their combination on percentage of open arm entries to total arm entries Figure 2(a) and percentage of open arm time spent to total arm time spent Figure 2(b), and total arm entries Figure 2(c) in EPM test paradigm of DMS exposed rats. Statistical analysis by repeated measures of two-way ANOVA revealed that there were significant differences in percentage open arm entries and time spent among groups ((F(4,50) = 40.97; P < 0.05) and (F(4,50) = 34.65; P < 0.05), resp.), time ((F(1, 50) = 52.99; P < 0.05) and (F(1, 50) = 22.80; P < 0.05), resp.) and an interaction between group and time ((F(4, 50) = 7.66; P < 0.05) and (F(4, 50) = 4.79; P < 0.05), resp.). However, there were no significant differences in total arm entries in EPM paradigm among group (F(4, 50) = 0.72; P > 0.05), time (F(1, 50) = 9.92; P > 0.05), and there was no significant interaction between group and time (F(4, 50) = 0.90; P > 0.05). Post hoc analysis showed that DMS paradigm caused significant increase in the percentage open arm entries and time spent on D-7 compared to control rats and was sustained up to D-13. Metformin and diazepam significantly ameliorated the DMS-induced increase in the percentage open arm entries and time spent in the EPM test. Moreover, treatment of both diazepam and metformin significantly reduced the DMS-induced increase in the percentage open arm entries and time spent compared to metformin and diazepam administration.

3.6. **Metformin and Diazepam Combination Enhanced the Serine Phosphorylation of IRS-1<sup>ser307</sup> and Akt<sup>ser473</sup> in the Liver**
3.7. Effect of Metformin or Diazepam or Their Combination on DMS-Induced Alterations in Mitochondrial SDH and MMP in Discrete Brain Regions. Figure 5 depicts the effect of metformin, diazepam, or their combination on DMS-induced changes in mitochondrial SDH and MMP in different brain regions. Statistical analysis by one-way ANOVA revealed that there were significant differences in percentage in mitochondrial SDH and MMP in HIP (F (4, 25) = 72.12; \( P < 0.05 \)) and HYP (F (4, 25) = 75.19; \( P < 0.05 \)), resp.), PFC (F (4, 25) = 50.29; \( P < 0.05 \)) and (F (4, 25) = 70.10; \( P < 0.05 \), resp.), STR (F (4, 25) = 6.3; \( P < 0.05 \), resp.), PFC (F (4, 25) = 32.54; \( P < 0.05 \)) and (F (4, 25) = 43.08; \( P < 0.05 \), resp.), STR (F (4, 25) = 6.91; \( P < 0.05 \)) and (F (4, 25) = 56.40; \( P < 0.05 \), resp.), AMY ((F (4, 25) = 54.94;
Figure 3: The effect of MET, DZ, and their combination on DMS-induced changes in the level of expression of phospho-IRS$_{ser307}$ (p-IRS$_{ser307}$) and total IRS in the liver tissues. The blots are representative of p-IRS$_{ser307}$ and total IRS (a) in the liver tissues. The results in the histogram are expressed as a ratio of relative intensity of levels of protein expression of either p-IRS$_{ser307}$ or total IRS to $\beta$-actin and a ratio of relative intensity of level of expression of p-IRS$_{ser307}$ to total IRS. All values are mean ± SEM of three separate sets of independent experiments.

$^a$P < 0.05 compared to control, $^b$P < 0.05 compared to DMS, $^c$P < 0.05 compared to DMS + MET, and $^d$P < 0.05 compared to DMS + DZ (one-way ANOVA followed by Student Newmann-Keuls test).

3.8. Effect of Metformin or Diazepam or Their Combination on DMS-Induced Alterations in Mitochondrial LPO and Activities of SOD and CAT in Discrete Brain Regions. The effect of metformin or diazepam or their combination on DMS-induced changes in mitochondrial LPO and activities of SOD and CAT in different brain regions is illustrated in Figure 6. One-way ANOVA revealed that there were significant differences in percentage in mitochondrial LPO and activities of SOD and CAT in all the brain regions compared to their monotherapy.
The effect of MET, DZ, and their combination on DMS-induced changes in the level of expression of phospho-Akt$^{\text{ser473}}$ (p-Akt$^{\text{ser473}}$) and total Akt in the liver tissues. The blots are representative of p-Akt$^{\text{ser473}}$ and total Akt (a) in the liver tissues. The results in the histogram are expressed as a ratio of relative intensity of levels of protein expression of either p-Akt$^{\text{ser473}}$ or total Akt to $\beta$-actin and a ratio of relative intensity of level of expression of p-Akt$^{\text{ser473}}$ to total Akt. All values are mean $\pm$ SEM of three separate sets of independent experiments. $^aP<0.05$ compared to control, $^bP<0.05$ compared to DMS, $^cP<0.05$ compared to DMS + MET, and $^dP<0.05$ compared to DMS + DZ (one-way ANOVA followed by Student Newmann-Keuls test).

**Figure 4:**

4. Discussion

The primary objective of the present study was to evaluate the antidiabetic efficacy of metformin and diazepam in cooccurring T2DM and repeated CRS exposed rats. Additionally, the study also examined the effect of coadministration of metformin and diazepam for antidiabetic, antistress, and anxiolytic-like activities in the above model. Metformin exhibited antidiabetic, antistress, and anxiolytic-like activities in the cooccurring condition of T2DM and stress. However,
diazepam showed antistress and anxiolytic-like activities but not antidiabetic activity in the above condition. Metformin and diazepam combination enhanced antidiabetic, antistress, and anxiolytic-like activities compared to metformin treatment in cooccurring T2DM and repeated CRS exposed rats. We for the first time report that the combination therapy improved the glucose tolerance and insulin sensitivity in the cooccurring T2DM and stress condition. Further, the combination improved the insulin resistance in the liver of cooccurring T2DM and repeated CRS exposed rats. The combination therapy showed marked improvement in mitochondrial function, integrity, and oxidative stress in all the brain regions in the above condition more than their monotherapy. Thus, metformin in combination with diazepam would be a better therapeutic option in the management of cooccurring T2DM and stress condition.

To assess the effect of metformin, diazepam, and their combination on cooccurring T2DM and stress condition-induced disturbance in the glucose homeostasis, the OGTT and ITT were performed. OGTT is an important index for the evaluation of beta cell function while ITT indicates the insulin sensitivity [57]. The impairment in glucose tolerance and insulin sensitivity during T2DM is reported to be improved by metformin treatment [40, 58]. In the present study, the co-occurring condition impaired glucose tolerance and insulin sensitivity. Metformin, but not diazepam monotherapy, improved glucose tolerance in the above cooccurring condition. Interestingly, the improvement of glucose tolerance in the cooccurring condition was better with combination therapy than metformin monotherapy. Moreover, the combination improved insulin sensitivity in the cooccurring condition of T2DM and stress compared to metformin monotherapy. These results suggest the fact that the combination may have potential therapeutic effect on the insulin signaling pathway in the above condition.

Experimental as well as clinical studies report hyperglycemia and aberrant lipid profile in T2DM with stress condition [7, 59–61]. Similar to earlier findings, the levels of glucose and triglyceride were elevated with the cooccurring T2DM and stress exposure. Metformin exhibited anti-diabetic activity in terms of reducing glucose as well as triglyceride in the rats exposed to both T2DM and stress paradigm. Metformin decreases T2DM-induced increase in the level of glucose and triglyceride in the plasma of both animals and patients [62, 63]. However, this is the first report of effect of metformin on the rats exposed to both T2DM and stress paradigm. Further, metformin in presence of diazepam exhibited pronounced antihyperglycemic and anti-hypertriglyceridaemic effect than metformin monotherapy in cooccurring T2DM and stress exposed rats. In an earlier report, it has been documented that diazepam treatment augmented the hyperglycemic condition in hyperglycemic rats and this effect was ameliorated with metformin administration [29]. However, in the present study diazepam did not alter the hyperglycemic condition in the cooccurring condition of T2DM and stress. This discrepancy could be due to both the mode of induction of diabetes and the presence of stress. These effects indicate that this combination shows prominent antidiabetic effect in terms of reducing blood glucose and triglyceride levels in cooccurring T2DM and stress condition.

It is demonstrated that hypercorticosteronemia is observed in both T2DM and stress conditions and also in their cooccurring situation [7, 64–66], a result of overactivation of hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal cortex-(HPA-) axis function [67]. Similar to earlier observations, there was significant increase in the level of corticosterone in the plasma in cooccurring T2DM and stress exposed animals in the current study. In addition, this cooccurring condition exhibited significant ulcers in the stomach of the animals providing further evidence as a peripheral marker of HPA-axis dysfunction. Diazepam potentiated the antistress activity of metformin in the T2DM rats exposed to repeated stress paradigm in terms of reducing in the plasma corticosterone and gastric ulceration more than metformin and diazepam monotherapy. Similar to our findings, metformin regulated plasma corticosterone in T2DM subjects [68, 69]. However, the mechanism of reduction of corticosterone by metformin is still not clear. It has also been postulated that metformin exhibits the antidiabetic effect by downregulating the glucocorticoid receptors in the brain tissues of rats with hypercorticosteronemia [68]. Diazepam modulates HPA-axis function during stress conditions and thus reduces the level of plasma corticosterone in both animals and patients [27, 30]. It has been suggested that activation
of corticosteroid receptors plays a significant role in the pathogenesis of anxiety-like behaviors in diabetic rats [70]. Further, corticosteroid receptor antagonists synergize the anxiolytic-like activity of diazepam in the diabetic rats [70]. Thus, it can be assumed that the metformin and diazepam in combination exhibited antistress effect in cooccurring diabetic-stress condition probably acting through a common corticosteroid-dependent mechanism. This contention, however, has to be further investigated.

T2DM patients more vulnerable to stress-related disorders such as anxiety have been associated with poor glycemic control [71, 72]. Diabetic patients with anxiety disorders have shown much pronounced hyperglycemia [73]. Thus, it is assumed that successful treatment of anxiety may improve glycemic control in T2DM subjects. In the present study, cooccurring T2DM and CRS condition exhibited anxiety-like symptoms on D-7 of the streptozotocin injection in the EPM test paradigm and this effect was sustained up to D-13 of the experimental schedule. The anxiety-like behavior is well documented in diabetes [74]. However, this is the first study where T2DM rats exposed to repeated stress paradigm showed anxiety-like behavior. Metformin and diazepam treatment for seven consecutive days attenuated the anxiety-like symptoms in experimental rats exposed to both T2DM and repeated CRS paradigm. Metformin in presence of diazepam caused remarkable reduction in the anxiety-like symptoms in experimental animals exposed to both T2DM and repeated CRS paradigm. The results indicate better anxiolytic-like effect of the combination over either metformin or diazepam monotherapy in these conditions in addition to antidiabetic activity.

The combined results of OGTT and ITT demonstrate that there is impairment in the insulin signaling pathway in the cooccurring T2DM and stress exposed animals. Both metformin and its combination with diazepam therapy showed improvement in the insulin signaling pathway in the above condition. To elaborate on the insulin resistance, we evaluated the extent of phosphorylation of IRS-1ser307 and Aktser473 in the liver. It has already been documented that there is reduction in the phosphorylation of IRS-1ser307 and Aktser473 in the liver of either T2DM or neurodegeneration [11, 12, 75–77]. In the present study, we for the first time report that the phosphorylation of IRS-1ser307 and Aktser473 was reduced in the cooccurring condition of T2DM and stress. Further, the combination of metformin and diazepam improved the insulin resistance in part by increasing the phosphorylation of IRS-1ser307 and Aktser473 in the liver of the cooccurring T2DM and stress exposed animals.

Mitochondria function and integrity are impaired in both T2DM and stress and also in the cooccurring condition of T2DM and stress in brain tissues [7, 18]. Similar to earlier observations, the present study revealed that the cooccurring T2DM and stress condition cause hyperactivity of the mitochondrial SDH enzyme and loss in the mitochondrial integrity in all the brain regions [7, 18]. Further, metformin and diazepam reversed the mitochondrial SDH hyperactivity and loss in integrity in all the brain regions in the T2DM rats also exposed to repeated stress paradigm. It has been reported
that metformin restores the brain mitochondrial function in diabetic condition [78, 79]. However, this is the first report on effect of metformin in repeated stress exposed diabetic rats. In addition, metformin and diazepam combination further attenuated the mitochondrial SDH hyperactivity and loss in integrity in all the brain regions in cooccurring T2DM and stress condition. Diazepam has been shown to modulate mitochondrial activity in the brain tissues [30]. Thus, the combination therapy of metformin and diazepam may have potential mitochondrial-dependent activity in addition to glucocorticoid-mediated mechanism in this condition.

The relationship between lipid oxidative damage and mitochondrial function and integrity is well established in T2DM, stress, and their cooccurring condition [7, 21, 80]. Similar to earlier findings, the present study reveals that the extent of LPO was higher in the cooccurring condition of T2DM and stress in all the brain regions [7, 21, 80]. Metformin and diazepam mitigated the extent of LPO in all the brain regions in T2DM rats also exposed to repeated stress. It has been reported that metformin decreases diabetes-induced increase in LPO in the brain regions [81]. However, this is the first time we report the effect of metformin and diazepam on the extent of LPO in different brain regions in cooccurring T2DM and stress paradigm. The combination of metformin and diazepam attenuated the increase in the extent of LPO in all the brain regions in T2DM rats subjected to repeated CRS paradigm. Moreover, reports also suggest that there is attenuation in the antioxidant defense system in the brain tissues in T2DM, stress, and their cooccurring condition [7, 82]. In the present study, the combination of metformin and diazepam mitigated the decrease in the antioxidant enzymes such as SOD and CAT in all the brain regions in T2DM rats subjected to repeated stress than either monotherapy. Hence, it can be assumed that by improving the antioxidant defense system the combination of metformin and diazepam restores mitochondrial function and integrity and attenuates mitochondrial oxidative damage in all the brain regions.

In conclusion, metformin exhibited antidiabetic effect in addition to antistress and anxiolytic-like activity in the cooccurring condition of T2DM and stress. Further, metformin along with diazepam showed pronounced antiadipetic, anti-stress, and anxiolytic-like effects compared to metformin monotherapy in the above condition. This indicates synergistic effect of the combination. Further, the combination improved glucose tolerance, insulin sensitivity, and resistance in the above condition. The combined regimen improved the mitochondrial function, integrity, and oxidative stress in all the brain regions in the cooccurring condition of T2DM and stress. Thus, metformin in combination with diazepam may be a better therapeutic candidate in the pharmacotherapy of cooccurring condition of T2DM and stress.
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