Improvement in quality of life in patients with Fistula-In-Ano, following surgical management, a prospective observational study
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Abstract

Background: Fistula-in-ano may lead to significant worsening of quality of life of affected person. But studies documenting the impact of treatment on quality of life are very limited in Indian population. Hence the current study was conducted with an objective of assessing the improvement in quality of life in patients undergoing surgical management of fistula-in-ano in a tertiary care teaching hospital in south India. Methodology: The current study was a prospective observational study, conducted in the department of general surgery of Dr. SMCSI Medical college hospital, between February and December 2016. A total of 45 subjects aged between 20 to 80 years, suffering from various types of fistula in ano, managed with surgical intervention were included in the study. Quality of life before and after surgery was assessed by GIQLI questionnaire. Results: Among the 45 subjects, 71.11% were males majority were aged between 41 to 60 years. The proportion of Inter sphincteric fistula was 48.88% whereas Trans-sphincteric fistula and Supra sphincteric fistula were 33.33% and 17.77% respectively. Fistulectomy was conducted in 44.44% of cases. Fistulotomy and insertion of cutting setons were done in 31.11% and 24.44% cases. Mean values of symptoms pre and post-operative were 49.50 and 66.25 and the association was statistically significant (P<0.01). Mean associated physical disease was 14.25 before surgery and the post-operative mean was 22.76 with a statistically significant mean difference (P<0.01). Preoperative Mean values for emotions, social integration, and effects of treatment were 7.65, 8.26 and 3.00 respectively, whereas Post-operative means were 15.27, 14.40 and 3.65. There were statistically significant mean differences for Emotions, social integration and effects of treatment. Mean Total score of pre-surgery was 89.05 and post-surgery was 120.26 with statistically significant mean difference. (P<0.01). Conclusions: 1) There is a significant improvement in the quality of life postoperatively in patients of Fistula in ano. 2) GIQLI score is an useful tool to assess the quality of life in Fistula in ano patients.
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Introduction

A fistula-in-ano is an infected tunnel which communicates anal canal or rectum and is in continuity with a secondary opening in the perianal skin and these external openings may be one or more. The majority of anal fistulas have common pathophysiology and are caused due to the cryptoglandular infection but the complexity of pathology differs due to the involvement of sphincter [1]. Successful treating of fistula in ano is more difficult to surgeons and choosing of right surgery technique also more difficult because of its consequences like reoccurrence, profound fecal incontinence (FI) and impaired quality of life (QOL) [2]. There are multiple surgical methods available for anal fistula, however, Fistulotomy (FT) is the best therapeutic option, for structurally eradicating an anal fistula with a cure rate of 90% [3]. The tract of the fistula is laid open in Fistulotomy (FT). In Fistulotomy (FT) postoperative incontinence ranges from 4% to 62% in few reported incidences [4-6]. when the complexity of the perianal fistula tract increases the Severity of FI and showing a negative impact on QOL.

For high fistula-in-ano, a multitude of surgical treatment options is available like fistulotomy, fistulectomy, mucosal advancement flap (MAF), fibrin glue, fibrin plug, fistulotomy with the reconstruction of the sphincter complex and re-routing a high fistula to a more superficial level followed by fistulotomy. Optimal outcomes of any therapeutic option are the eradication of sepsis, cure and negligible effect on continence [7]. The impact of a
therapy on quality of life (QoL) is important and may influence patient’s choice of different therapeutic interventions. The Gastrointestinal Quality of Life Index (GIQLI) questionnaire was developed by the surgeon Eypasch which contains 36 questions and was one of the best, widely used and assessed tool to assess the QoL in patients with Fistula-in-ano and relative diseases [8,9].

Few of the existing studies focused on the assessment of QoL in patients with Fistula in ano but still, there is a gap which makes difficult to choice of surgery for both surgeons and patients [8,10, 11].

The aim of the current study was to assess the QoL in patients with recurrent fistula-in-ano and improvement in QoL after successful surgical treatment.

Aims and Objectives

- To assess the quality of life in patients with fistula-in-ano before and after surgery.
- To assess the QoL of fistula in ano patients by using GIQLI tools.

Materials and Methods

Study setting: The study was conducted in Dr. SMCSI Medical College, Karakonam, Trivandrum, in the department of general surgery.

Study design: The study was a prospective observational study.

Study period: The study was conducted between February 2016 to December 2016.

Sample size: This study has included 45 patients.

Inclusion criteria

- All the subjects with age between 20 and 80 years, belonging to both sexes were included in the study.
- Patients with different types of fistula-in-ano (i.e. Inter sphincteric fistula, Trans-sphincteric fistula, Supra sphincteric fistula) were included.
- Patients who underwent either Fistulectomy, Fistulotomy and insection of cutting sections were considered.

Exclusion criteria: Patients suffering from other anorectal disorders which can affect the QOL were excluded.

Ethical considerations: The study was approved by the institutional human ethics committee. Informed written consent was obtained from all study participants.

Confidentiality of the study participants was maintained throughout the study.

Study procedure: Selected data were elicited from the patients and recorded in structured proforma.

The data was collected on Socio demographic parameters like age, gender etc. and detailed history of presence fistula in ano as well as type of surgery which was performed; QoL of patients was assessed by using GIQLI questionnaire.

Study tools [12]: “The GIQLI questionnaire has 36 items that can be scored from 0 (worst) to 4 (best) to measure five dimensions of quality of life.

The five dimensions are:

- Symptoms (19 items)
- Associated physical disease (7 items)
- Emotions (5 items)
- Social integration (4 items)
- Effects of treatment (1 item)”

The differences in the pre- and post-operative GIQLI score total and score of each dimension were analyzed using Wilcoxon rank test.

Statistical analysis: Descriptive analysis of all the explanatory and outcome variables was done using frequency and proportion for categorical variables.

Postoperative and preoperative mean values of the GIQLI scores were compared by paired T-test. P value < 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

IBM SPSS version 21 was used for statistical analysis.

Results

A total of 45 subjects were included in this study.
Table-1: Descriptive analysis of Sociodemographic parameters of the study population (N=45).

| Parameter          | Frequency | Percentage |
|--------------------|-----------|------------|
| Gender             |           |            |
| Male               | 32        | 71.11%     |
| Female             | 13        | 28.88%     |
| Age Groups         |           |            |
| 20 to 40 Years     | 9         | 20.0%      |
| 41 to 60 Years     | 24        | 53.33%     |
| 61 to 80 Years     | 12        | 26.66%     |
| Types of fistula   |           |            |
| Inter sphincteric fistula | 22 | 48.88% |
| Trans-sphincteric fistula | 15 | 33.33% |
| Supra sphincteric fistula | 8 | 17.77% |
| Type of surgery    |           |            |
| Fistulectomy       | 20        | 44.44%     |
| Fistulotomy        | 14        | 31.11%     |
| Insertion of cutting setons | 11 | 24.44% |

The study population includes 71.11% males and 28.88% females. The majority of study participants were distributed in 41 to 60 years age group (53.33%). Only 20% were under 20 to 40 years age group and 26.66% of participants in 61 to 80 years age group. The proportion of Inter sphincteric fistula was 48.88% whereas Trans-sphincteric fistula and Supra sphincteric fistula were 33.33% and 17.77% respectively. Fistulectomy was conducted in 44.44% of cases. Fistulotomy and insertion of cutting setons were done in 31.11% and 24.44% cases. (Table-1)

Table-2: Comparison of Preoperative and postoperative GIQLI scores in the study population (N=45).

| Parameter          | Preoperative Mean + SD | Postoperative Mean + SD | P Value |
|--------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|---------|
| Symptoms           | 49.50 + 10.11          | 66.25 + 9.8             | <0.01   |
| Associated physical disease | 14.25 + 6.92       | 22.76 + 7.03            | <0.01   |
| Emotions           | 7.65 + 3.96            | 15.27 + 5.54            | <0.01   |
| Social integration | 8.26 + 2.97            | 14.40 + 3.20            | <0.01   |
| Effects of treatment | 3.00 + 1.09         | 3.65 + 1.01             | <0.01   |
| Total score        | 89.05 + 22.65          | 120.26 + 22.36          | <0.01   |

Mean values of symptoms pre and post-operative were 49.50 and 66.25 and the association was statistically significant (P=<0.01). Mean associated physical disease was 14.25 before surgery and the post-operative mean was 22.76 with a statistically significant mean difference (P=<0.01). Preoperative Mean values for emotions, social integration, and effects of treatment were 7.65, 8.26 and 3.00 respectively whereas Post-operative means were 15.27, 14.40 and 3.65. There were statistically significant mean differences for Emotions, social integration and effects of treatment. Mean Total score of pre-surgery was 89.05 and post-surgery was 120.26 with statistically significant mean difference. (P=<0.01) (Table-2)

Discussion

The majority of Fistula- in- ano patients were facing worse QoL because of its reoccurrence after surgical treatment and long-term effects like fecal incontinence. QoL of fistula in ano patients was affected by not only because of physical problems but also integrated with some social aspects. Even though there was an advancement in surgery techniques no one is giving satisfactory results. Thus electing of surgery technique for fistula in ano was still a difficult job for both surgeons as well as patients. As per available literature few of the existing studies concentrated on this field, but our study focused on QOL of Fistula in ano patients by comparing pre and postoperative mean values of GIQIL scores.

As per the current study findings, Inter sphincteric fistula was observed in 48.88% cases whereas Trans-sphincteric fistula and Supra sphincteric fistula were 33.33% and 17.77% respectively. Among study participants, 44.44%
underwent Fistulotomy the proportions of Fistulotomy were 31.11% and insertion of cutting was done in 24.44% of cases. Like the current study in the study of Visscher et al [11], the proportion of of Inter sphincteric fistulas (83.67%) were more than the trans-sphincteric and Supra sphincteric fistulas (16.32%).

Our study findings show that there was an improvement in pre to post-operative Mean values of symptoms (49.50 vs 66.25) and the same type of results was found for associated physical disease (14.25 Vs 22.76), emotions (7.65 and 15.27), Social integration (8.26 Vs 14.40) whereas effects of treatment also showing 3.00 before treatment and 3.65 after treatment. Preoperative mean of GIQLI Total score was 89.05 and post-surgery was 120.26 with statistically significant mean difference (P = <0.01). Seneviratne SA et al [10], have reported significant difference between the average preoperative (97.02) and postoperative (116.14) GIQLI scores (P < 0.01) in a group of patients undergoing surgery for recurrent fistula in ano. As per this study, the worst affected aspects were emotions, social integration and effects of treatment.

Like our study in the study of Leroy A et al [13] QOL of the patients was assessed by PFDI-20 and PFQ-7 questionnaires and reported a significant decrease in stool incontinence and improved quality of life and their emotional state. preoperatively, 50% (2/4) of the patients reported anxiety compared to 0% (0/4) postoperatively (P=0.4). Similarly, 75% (3/4) complained of a decrease in their quality of life (social, sports, etc.) preoperatively compared with 0% (0/4) postoperatively (P >0.9).

In study by Owen et al [14], QOL was worse on two of eight SF-36 domains in patients with fistula in ano. Patients with recurrent disease, secondary extensions, urgency were associated with worse quality of life in multiple domains. Ortiz H et al [15] have reported an increase in the median (interquartile range) score in the CCF-FI scale from 0.00 before the intervention to l in the postoperative period (p = 0.001).

Significant improvement was reported in physical functioning, role limitations-physical, bodily pain, vitality, social functioning and mental health. As per this study, No statistically significant differences were found in general health perception or in role limitations-emotional. Grucela, A. et al [2], have assessed QOL by the European QOL index (EQ-5D) and functional results with the Fecal Incontinence Severity Index (FISI) in patients with fistula in ano and other anorectal conditions. There was significant improvement in overall pain and QOL following surgery.

There is wide variation across the studies in the methodology, more specially the choice of tools used for assessment of QOL. This makes cross study comparison very difficult. But in spite of these differences, majority of the published studies have reported significant improvement in symptoms, and quality of life in different domains after surgical intervention for fistula in ano. This makes the role of surgical intervention highly impactful and indispensable in management of fistula in ano.

Conclusions

1. There is a significant improvement in the quality of life postoperatively in patients of Fistula in ano.

2. GIQLI score is a useful tool to assess the quality of life in Fistula in ano patients.

Recommendations

1. There is a need to develop a disease specific quality of life score to assess QoL in fistula in ano patients. This brings uniformity across the studies and hence make cross study comparisons more meaningful.

2. All possible efforts should be made by treating Health care providers to make a positive impact on quality of life by providing appropriate intervention along with effective counselling of affected patients.
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