Production of biodiesel from *Annona muricata* seeds
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**Abstract.** Biodiesel is one of the effective alternative fuels to overcome the problems associated with environmental issues and energy crisis. However, the production of biodiesel from edible oil has provoked the food versus fuel dispute. Thus, a non-edible crop, *Annona muricata*, is selected as the raw material to produce oil for biodiesel production. In this study, *A. muricata* oil was extracted with n-hexane at 70 °C for 6 h. Subsequently, the oil was transesterified with methanol and potassium hydroxide (KOH) to produce biodiesel. The significance of transesterification parameters including temperature, time, catalyst concentration, and oil-to-methanol ratio on biodiesel yield (%) was established through two-level factorial design. The factorial design shows that all parameters are significant. Besides, the high content of monounsaturated fatty acid (oleic acid) in the resultant biodiesel suggests that *A. muricata* biodiesel could display good fuel quality. This hypothesis is proven by the excellent calorific value (39.21 MJ kg\(^{-1}\)).

**1 Introduction**

The world population has grown rapidly in recent years. According to the Population Reference Bureau [1], the world population will increase by 31% and reach 9.8 billion in 2050. Due to this, the world will experience a surging demand towards energy in the future. Fossil fuel as the primary energy source has contributed 80% to the total energy demand, in which 58% is used in the transportation sector [2]. However, the sources of fossil fuel around the world are depleting rapidly [3]. Furthermore, fossil fuel is the major cause of global warming and many other environmental impacts.
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Biodiesel is one of the efficient alternative fuels to replace conventional petroleum fuel [3]. The advantages of biodiesel compared to conventional diesel are low smoke and particulates, low carbon dioxide emission, high cetane number, and others [4]. Currently, transesterification is the most favourable process to convert animal fats and vegetable oils (i.e., triacylglycerols) to biodiesel [3].

Currently, more than 95% of biodiesel is produced from edible oils such as palm oil, soybean oil, and sunflower oil, which are easily available on a bulk scale from the agricultural industry. However, this situation has raised the concern that the feedstock may compete with food materials, for instance, the food versus fuel debate. Hence, attention has shifted to non-edible oils including Jatropha curcas L., Pachira glabra, and Moringa oleifera [5]. However, the cultivation of biodiesel feedstock renders it economically uncompetitive with petroleum-based fuels as the cultivation of feedstock requires costly resources such as land and water [6]. Additionally, the expansion of agricultural land for the growth of feedstock could result in biodiversity loss, deforestation, and increasing carbon emissions from altering the land usage [7]. Moreover, the increased use of water and land would create waste with high nitrogen levels and cause toxicity, eutrophication, and oxygen consumption. Hence, in selecting the suitable feedstock, it is suggested that focus should be given on waste products [6]. Besides, according to Anastas and Warner [8], the use of renewable feedstock is one of the principles to produce a greener chemical, process, or product. This is because the cost of feedstock contributed to 70%–95% of the total production costs [9]. Therefore, biodiesel produced from renewal feedstock or waste products could significantly reduce the overall production costs.

Therefore, in this study, Annona muricata (soursop) seed is selected as the feedstock. This plant is receiving a lot of attention recently due to the extensive usage in the medical area such as for hypoglycemic, hypotensive, and cancer treatment [10]. Thus, the by-products of the plant, e.g., seeds, are available in abundance, which makes it favourable for producing biodiesel [11-12]. A. muricata is widely cultivated in tropical areas throughout the world, including Southeast Asia and southern Florida. Particularly in tropical countries such as Malaysia, soursop is found to be one of the fruits that contribute significantly to the economic growth. Due to its pleasant smell and taste, the pulp can be consumed fresh or served in the form of juice, ice cream, and candy [10].

Hence, the objective of this research is to determine the suitability of A. muricata seed oil as a potential source for biodiesel production. This was achieved by determining the significant parameters for the transesterification process. Besides, the characterisation of biodiesel was carried out to determine the functional groups, fatty acid compositions, and fuel properties of the produced biodiesel.

2 Material and methods

2.1 Preparation of feedstock

A. muricata seeds (Figure 1) were collected and washed using tap water to remove particles. The cleaned seeds were dried under the sun for at least 4 h. Subsequently, the seeds
were milled with a grinding machine. Afterwards, the ground seeds were sieved to acquire the desired sizes.
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**Fig. 1.** *A. muricata* seeds.

### 2.2 Extraction of *Annona muricata* oil

The extraction was carried out using a Soxhlet extractor under the optimised extraction conditions determined from the previous study. The optimised conditions for the extraction are 200 ml, 6 h, 5 g, 0.71 mm, and 72 °C of solvent volume, extraction time, sample weight, sample size, and operating temperature, respectively. The sample with the desired weight was placed in a cellulose thimble and fed to the Soxhlet extractor. The solvent used for the extraction was n-hexane. The entire setup was heated in a heating mantle under the constant temperature (72 °C). After the extraction, the solvent was evaporated at 80–90 °C to evaporate n-hexane and recover the oil.

### 2.3 Experimental design

Various parameters have to be optimised for the transesterification reaction including reaction temperature, reaction time, catalyst concentration, and oil-to-methanol ratio. It is difficult and impractical to isolate and test each variable individually. Therefore, Design Expert software was employed, where the optimisation started with factorial design to identify the key parameters affecting the reaction that produced the highest yield and desired fatty acid component.

A two-level factorial design was employed. Temperature (A), reaction time (B), catalyst concentration (C), and oil-to-methanol ratio (D) were selected as the independent variables. The response chosen was the percentage of biodiesel produced and oleic acid. 16 experimental runs were determined by Design Expert software.

### 2.4 Transesterification reaction

The transesterification experiments were conducted using a reflux condenser under different conditions. The prepared chemicals (w/w) of potassium hydroxide (KOH) and methanol (CH₃OH) for molar ratio-to-oil parameter was added to the oil. The mixture was heated at the desired temperature for a defined time under continuous stirring using a magnetic stirrer. Subsequently, the product from the transesterification was allowed to settle
for 24 h to separate fatty acid methyl ester (FAME) and glycerol layers. The percentage of biodiesel yield was determined by the weight of biodiesel layer with the weight of \textit{A. muricata} oil used. Furthermore, the oleic acid percentage was determined by gas chromatography (GC).

2.5 Gas chromatography analysis

The fatty acid composition of biodiesel was identified using gas chromatography (GC PerkinElmer Clarus 680).

2.6 Biodiesel fuel properties

The biodiesel fuel properties were tested by the Intertek Testing Laboratories. Two biodiesel fuel properties were evaluated in this study. Meanwhile, calorific value was evaluated in accordance to ASTM D240.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Factorial design

The significance of the factors, namely temperature (A), reaction time (B), catalyst concentration (C), and oil-to-methanol ratio (D) was evaluated using a two-level factorial design. The biodiesel yield was studied. The result of analysis of variance (ANOVA) for the transesterification reaction is given in Table 1. All the terms with \textit{p}-value smaller than 0.05 are considered as significant. Thus, B, C, and AD are significant.

| Source                                      | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F-value | \textit{p}-value Prob > F |
|---------------------------------------------|----------------|----|-------------|---------|--------------------------|
| Model                                       | 15197.00       | 5  | 3039.40     | 5.19    | 0.0132                   |
| Temperature                                 | 30.03          | 1  | 3.03        | 0.051   | 0.8254                   |
| Reaction time                               | 7276.94        | 1  | 7276.94     | 12.42   | 0.0055                   |
| Catalyst concentration                      | 2928.97        | 1  | 2928.97     | 5.00    | 0.0493                   |
| Oil-to-methanol ratio                       | 23.18          | 1  | 23.18       | 0.040   | 0.8463                   |
| Interaction between temperature and oil-to-methanol ratio | 4937.87 | 1  | 4937.87     | 8.43    | 0.0157                   |
| Residual                                    | 5857.75        | 10 | 585.78      |         |                          |
| Cor. Total                                  | 21054.76       | 15 |             |         |                          |
3.2 Fatty acid composition of biodiesel

Fatty acid composition of biodiesel is a key element that influences the properties of the produced FAME. The fatty acid profile of *A. muricata* biodiesel is very close to *Jatropha curcas L.* biodiesel. The biodiesel produced from both sources consist of high percentages of monounsaturated fatty acid (i.e. oleic acid). *A. muricata* biodiesel consists of 47.02% of oleic acid, whereas *Jatropha curcas L.* biodiesel has 45.79% of oleic acid. Furthermore, *A. muricata* biodiesel consists of 23.55% of saturated fatty acid and 76.96% of unsaturated fatty acid. On the other hand, the saturated fatty acid and unsaturated fatty acid contents of *Jatropha curcas L.* biodiesel are 18.82% and 78.06%, respectively. *Jatropha curcas L.* biodiesel have similar characteristics to petroleum diesel [13]. Thus, the high similarity of the fatty acid composition of *A. muricata* biodiesel with *Jatropha curcas L.* biodiesel indicates that *A. muricata* seeds could be the potential feedstock for biofuel development.

3.3 Biodiesel fuel properties

Calorific value of fuel is one of the important properties as it could affect fuel consumption. Generally, calorific value is the measure of fuel energy content. A fuel with high calorific value is able to generate greater engine power output for a given amount of fuel consumed. The calorific value of *A. muricata* biodiesel was 39.21 MJ kg\(^{-1}\). This is higher than the values for *Annona diversifolia* biodiesel and comparable with *Jatropha curcas L.* and *Moringa peregrine* biodiesel.

| Fuel Properties          | This Study | *Jatropha curcas L*[14] | *Moringa peregrine*[13] | *Annona diversifolia*[15] |
|--------------------------|------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| Calorific Value (MJ kg\(^{-1}\)) | 39.21      | 40.427                  | 40.119                   | 36.30                     |

4 Conclusion

In conclusion, biodiesel is successfully produced from a novel feedstock, *A. muricata* seeds in this study. The seeds are able to produce approximately 20%–30% of oil by using n-hexane solvent extraction method. Subsequently, the oil can be easily converted to biodiesel through one-step base-catalysed transesterification reaction. In addition, the two-level factorial design confirms that the parameters including temperature, time, catalyst concentration, and oil-to-methanol ratio are significant on biodiesel yield.

Furthermore, the results from the GC analysis also display high content of monounsaturated fatty acid. With that, it could be hypothesised that the biodiesel produced might possess good fuel quality. This hypothesis is then supported by the calorific value of the produced biodiesel.

As a conclusion, *A. muricata* seeds could be a potential material to produce biodiesel. Moreover, with the added advantage of the broad range of medical usage, *A. muricata* is believed to become a promising source for sustainable production of biodiesel in the near future.
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