HIGHER CONVEXITY FOR COMPLEMENTS
OF TROPICAL VARIETIES

MOUNIR NISSE AND FRANK SOTTILE

Abstract. We consider Henriques’ homological higher convexity for complements of tropical varieties, establishing it for complements of tropical hypersurfaces and curves, and for nonarchimedean amoebas of varieties that are complete intersections over the field of complex Puiseux series. We conjecture that the complement of a tropical variety has this higher convexity, and prove a weak form of our conjecture for the nonarchimedean amoeba of a variety over the complex Puiseux field. One of our main tools is Jonsson’s limit theorem for tropical varieties.

A tropical hypersurface is a polyhedral complex in \( \mathbb{R}^n \) of pure dimension \( n-1 \) that is dual to a regular subdivision of a finite set of integer vectors. This implies that every connected component of its complement is convex. A classical (archimedean) amoeba of a complex hypersurface also has the property that every connected component of its complement is convex [2, Ch. 6, Cor. 1.6].

To understand the complement of general amoebas, Henriques introduced a homological notion of higher convexity [3]: An open subset \( X \) of \( \mathbb{R}^n \) is \( k \)-convex, if, for all affine planes \( L \) of dimension \( k+1 \), the natural map on \( k \)th reduced homology

\[
i_k : \tilde{H}_k(X \cap L) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_k(X)
\]

is an injection. Connected and 0-convex is ordinary convexity. Henriques conjectured that the complement of an amoeba of a variety of codimension \( k+1 \) in \( (\mathbb{C}^*)^n \) is \( k \)-convex, and proved that the map \( i_k \) sends no positive class to zero [3]. Bushueva and Tsikh [1] used complex analysis to prove Henriques’ conjecture when the variety is a complete intersection. Other than these cases, Henriques’ conjecture remains open.

An amoeba is the image in \( \mathbb{R}^n \) of a subvariety \( V \) of the torus \( (\mathbb{C}^*)^n \) under the coordinatewise map \( z \mapsto \log |z| \). Similarly, the coamoeba is the image in \( (S^1)^n \) of \( V \) under the coordinatewise argument map. Lifting to the universal cover and taking closure gives the lifted coamoeba in \( \mathbb{R}^n \). There is also a nonarchimedean coamoeba and a lifted nonarchimedean coamoeba [10]. The complement of either type of lifted coamoeba of a variety of codimension \( k+1 \) is \( k \)-convex [9], which was proven using tropical geometry.

We investigate Henriques’ higher convexity for complements of tropical varieties. It is elementary that every component of the complement of a tropical hypersurface is convex. For the other extreme, we show that the complement of a tropical curve in \( \mathbb{R}^n \) is
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(n−2)-convex. Both proofs rely on only some properties of tropical varieties and hold for polyhedral complexes having these properties. This leads us to conjecture that the complement of a tropical variety of pure codimension k+1 is k-convex.

Suppose that \( K \) is an algebraically closed field having a nonarchimedean valuation with value group dense in \( \mathbb{R} \) and that \( V \subset (K^\times)^n \) is a subvariety. The closure in \( \mathbb{R}^n \) of the image of \( V \) under the coordinatewise valuation map is its nonarchimedean amoeba, which is a tropical variety. When \( K \) is the field of complex Puiseaux series, methods from analysis, both archimedean and nonarchimedean, may be used to study nonarchimedean amoebas. Jonsson showed that such a nonarchimedean amoeba is a limit of archimedean amoebas [4, Thm. B], generalizing work of Rullgård [11, Thm. 9] and Mikhalkin [7, Cor. 6.4] for hypersurfaces. This, together with a technical lemma, allows us to use the result of Bushueva and Tsikh [1] to establish our conjecture for the nonarchimedean amoeba of a complete intersection in \((K^\times)^n\). The weak form (that the map \( \iota_k \) sends no positive cycle to zero) for any subvariety of \((K^\times)^n\) also follows by Henriques’s result for amoebas.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 1 we give background material. In Section 2, we define combinatorial tropical hypersurfaces and curves in \( \mathbb{R}^n \), and prove that their complements are 0-convex and (n−2)-convex, respectively. In Section 3 we prove our results about nonarchimedean amoebas stated above.

1. Background

We provide some background on tropical varieties, state Jonsson’s limit theorem, and discuss higher convexity.

1.1. Tropical varieties. Let \( \mathbb{C} \) be the complex numbers. The map \( z \mapsto \log |z| \) is a homomorphism from the complex torus \((\mathbb{C}^\times)^n\) to the real numbers \( \mathbb{R} \). This induces the map \( \text{Log} : (\mathbb{C}^\times)^n \to \mathbb{R}^n \). The image of subvariety \( V \) of \((\mathbb{C}^\times)^n\) under \( \text{Log} \) is its amoeba, \( \mathcal{A}(V) \).

Let \( K \) be an algebraically closed valued field whose value group \( G \) is a non-zero divisible additive subgroup of \( \mathbb{R} \). Its valuation is a surjective homomorphism \( \nu : K^\times \to G \), which induces a map \( \nu : (K^\times)^n \to G^n \). The closure in \( \mathbb{R}^n \) of the image of a variety \( V \subset (K^\times)^n \) under the map \( \nu \) is its nonarchimedean amoeba, \( \mathcal{T}(V) \).

There is an equivalent definition of \( \mathcal{T}(V) \). An integer vector \( a \in \mathbb{Z}^n \) forms the exponents of a Laurent monomial, \( x^a := x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_n^{a_n} \). A Laurent polynomial \( f \) is a \( K \)-linear combination of Laurent monomials,

\[
 f = \sum_{a \in A} c_a x^a \quad \text{where} \quad c_a \in K^\times .
\]

The finite subset \( A \subset \mathbb{Z}^n \) is the support of \( f \). The coordinate ring of \((K^\times)^n\) is the ring of Laurent polynomials \( K[x_1, x_1^{-1}, \ldots, x_n, x_n^{-1}] \). Given a vector \( w \in \mathbb{R}^n \) and a Laurent polynomial \( f \) with support \( A \subset \mathbb{Z}^n \), we have a piecewise linear map

\[
 \mathbb{R}^n \ni z \longmapsto \min \{ \nu(c_a) + w \cdot a \mid a \in A \} .
\]

The tropical hypersurface \( \text{trop}(f) \) is the set where this minimum occurs at least twice. This is also the set where the piecewise linear map (2) is not differentiable. Given a variety
$V \subset (\mathbb{K}^\times)^n$, let $I$ be its ideal in the ring of Laurent polynomials. Its tropical variety is

$$\bigcap_{f \in I} \text{trop}(f).$$

By the fundamental theorem of tropical geometry \cite{2}, this tropical variety equals its nonarchimedean amoeba, $\mathcal{T}(V)$. If $V$ has dimension $r$, then $\mathcal{T}(V)$ admits (non-canonically) the structure of a polyhedral complex of pure dimension $r$. There are positive integral weights $\alpha_\sigma$ associated to each polyhedron $\sigma$ of maximal dimension $r$ so that the weighted complex is \textit{balanced}. We explain this. Let $\tau$ be an $(r-1)$-dimensional polyhedron in $\mathcal{T}(V)$. Modulo the affine span $\langle \tau \rangle$ of $\tau$, each $r$-dimensional polyhedron $\sigma$ incident on $\tau$ ($\sigma \in \text{star}(\tau)$) determines a primitive vector $v_\sigma$. The balancing condition is that

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \text{star}(\tau)} \alpha_\sigma v_\sigma = 0 \mod \langle \tau \rangle.$$

We are primarily concerned with nonarchimedean amoebas when the field $\mathbb{K}$ is the complex Puiseaux field. This is the algebraic closure of the field of rational functions $\mathbb{C}(s)$, which is the quotient field of the ring of univariate polynomials $\mathbb{C}[s]$. An element of the Puiseaux field is a fractional power series of the form

$$c = \sum_{m \geq 0} a_m s^{\frac{p+m}{q}},$$

where $a_m$ is a complex number and $p, q$ are integers with $q > 0$. The valuation $\nu: \mathbb{K}^\times \to \mathbb{Q}$ is the minimum exponent appearing in the power series with a non-zero coefficient.

1.2. Jonsson’s limit theorem. Let $d(x, y)$ be the Euclidean distance in $\mathbb{R}^n$ between $x$ and $y$. If $\emptyset \neq A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is closed and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then the distance between $x$ and $A$ is

$$d(x, A) := \inf \{d(x, a) \mid a \in A\},$$

which is attained as $A$ is closed. If $B$ is closed, then $d(A, B) = \inf \{d(a, b) \mid a \in A, b \in B\}$. A family $\{A_t \mid t > 0\}$ of closed subsets of $\mathbb{R}^n$ has Kuratowski limit $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ if we have the following equality,

$$\mathcal{T} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \forall \epsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0 \text{ such that } 0 < t < \delta \Rightarrow d(x, A_t) < \epsilon\} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \forall \epsilon > 0 \forall \delta > 0 \exists 0 < t < \delta \text{ with } d(x, A_t) < \epsilon\}. \quad (3)$$

When this occurs, we write

$$\lim_{t \to 0} A_t = \mathcal{T}.$$

Observe that $\mathcal{T}$ is closed. More interesting is if $Z$ is a compact set disjoint from $\mathcal{T}$.

Lemma 1.1. If $Z$ is a compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$ that is disjoint from $\mathcal{T}$, then there is a $\delta > 0$ such that if $0 < t < \delta$, then $Z \cap A_t = \emptyset$.

\textit{Proof.} By \cite{2}, if $z \in Z$, so that $z \notin \mathcal{T}$, then there are $\epsilon, \delta > 0$ such that for every $0 < t < \delta$, we have $d(z, A_t) > \epsilon$. Since $Z$ is compact, there are $\epsilon, \delta > 0$ such that if $z \in Z$ and $0 < t < \delta$, then $d(z, A_t) > \epsilon$. In particular, $0 < t < \delta$ implies that $Z \cap A_t = \emptyset$. \hfill \Box
Let $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathbb{C}^s \times (\mathbb{C}^s)^n$ be a subvariety whose every component maps dominantly onto the first factor, $\mathbb{C}^s$, which has coordinate $s$. Then $\mathcal{V}$ is a family of varieties over an open subset $U$ of $\mathbb{C}^s$, with fiber $\mathcal{V}_s$ over $s \in U$. Equivalently, $\mathcal{V}$ is a variety in the torus $(\mathbb{C}(s)^s)^n$ over $\mathbb{C}(s)$. Extending scalars to the Puiseaux field gives a variety $\mathcal{V} \subset (\mathbb{K}^s)^n$ with tropicalization $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{V})$. In this context, Jonsson [4] proved the following.

**Proposition 1.2 (Jonsson).** We have $\lim_{s \to 0} \frac{-1}{\log|s|} \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{V}_s) = \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{V})$.

For this, $\nu(s) = 1$. More generally, $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{V})$ should be scaled by $\nu(s)$. To summarize Jonsson’s Theorem, the nonarchimedean amoeba of $V$ is the limit of (appropriately scaled) amoebas of fibers of the family $\mathcal{V}$. Stated in this way, Jonsson’s Theorem holds in the broader context of tropicalizations of varieties in $(\mathbb{K}^s)^n$.

**Proposition 1.3.** Let $W \subset (\mathbb{K}^s)^n$ be any variety. Then there is a smooth curve $C$, a point $o \in C$, a local parameter $u$ at $o$, and a family of varieties $\mathcal{V} \subset (C \setminus \{o\}) \times (\mathbb{C}^s)^n$ over $C \setminus \{o\}$ with fiber $\mathcal{V}_a$ over $a \in C \setminus \{o\}$ such that

$$\lim_{a \to o} \frac{-1}{\log|u(a)|} \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{V}_a) = \mathcal{T}(W).$$

If $W$ is a complete intersection, then we may choose the family $\mathcal{V}$ so that every fiber $\mathcal{V}_a$ is a complete intersection.

The proof we give uses the following result of Katz.

**Proposition 1.4 (Thm. 1.5 [5]).** Let $W$ be a variety in $(\mathbb{K}^s)^n$. Then there is a finite extension $L$ of $\mathbb{C}(s)$ and a variety $W'$ in $(L^s)^n$ with the same tropicalization and the same Hilbert polynomial as $W$.

This is discussed in the paragraph following the statement of Theorem 1.5 in [5].

**Proof of Proposition 1.3.** By Proposition 1.4, we may assume that $W$ is defined over a finite extension of $\mathbb{C}(s)$, which is the function field, $\mathbb{C}(C)$, of a smooth complex curve $C$. The inclusion $\mathbb{C}(s) \subset \mathbb{C}(C)$ induces a dominant rational map $\pi: C \to \mathbb{C}$. If we let $o \in \pi^{-1}(0)$ and $u$ be a local parameter at $o$, then $u$ generates $\mathbb{C}(C)$ over $\mathbb{C}(s)$.

Replacing $C$ by an affine neighborhood of $o$ if necessary, there is a family of varieties $\mathcal{W} \subset (C \setminus \{o\}) \times (\mathbb{C}^s)^n$ over $C \setminus \{o\}$ such that, when scalars are extended to $\mathbb{K}$, gives the variety $W \subset (\mathbb{K}^s)^n$. We claim that

$$\lim_{a \to o} \frac{-1}{\log|u(a)|} \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{W}_a) = \nu(u) \cdot \mathcal{T}(W).$$

This follows from nearly the same arguments as Proposition 1.2, which are given in Section 4 of [4]. To obtain the statement of the proposition, set $\mathcal{V} := e^{-\nu(u)}\mathcal{W}$.

Finally, if the original variety $W \subset (\mathbb{K}^s)^n$ was a complete intersection then so is the variety we replace it by in $(\mathbb{C}(C)^s)^n$ as they have the same Hilbert polynomial. Thus there is an open subset $U$ of $C$ such that $\mathcal{W}$ is flat over $U$, and in fact so that $\mathcal{W}_a$ is a complete intersection for $a \in U$. \[\square\]
1.3. Higher convexity. Let $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$ be a closed set with finitely many connected components such that the pair $(\mathbb{R}^{k+1}, Y)$ is triangulated. Set $Y^c := \mathbb{R}^{k+1} \setminus Y$. Let $Y_1, \ldots, Y_m$ be the bounded connected components of $Y$. Then there exist open subsets $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_m$ of $\mathbb{R}^{k+1}$ with disjoint closures, where $\beta_i$ a neighborhood of $Y_i$ and $\gamma_i := \partial \beta_i$, the boundary of $\beta_i$, is a $k$-cycle in $Y^c$.

Lemma 1.5. The classes of the cycles $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_m$ form a basis for $\tilde{H}_k(Y^c)$.

We remark that we take coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}$. Fixing an orientation for $\mathbb{R}^{k+1}$ gives each $\gamma_i = \partial \beta_i$ the outward orientation. A nonzero homology class $\zeta \in \tilde{H}_k(Y^c)$ is positive if it is a nonnegative integer combination of the classes $[\gamma_i]$.

A cycle class $[\gamma] \in \tilde{H}_k(Y^c)$ vanishes in $\tilde{H}_k(\mathbb{R}^{k+1})$, and so it is the boundary of a $(k+1)$-chain $Z$ in $\mathbb{R}^{k+1}$. Then $[\gamma] \in \tilde{H}_k(Y^c)$ is non-zero if and only if for every $(k+1)$-chain $Z$ with $\partial Z = \gamma$, we have $Z \cap Y \neq \emptyset$.

Henriques gave a homological generalization of convexity. An open set $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is $k$-convex if for any affine linear space $L$ of dimension $k+1$, the natural map $\iota_k : \tilde{H}_k(L \cap X) \to \tilde{H}_k(X)$ is an injection. The set $X$ is weakly $k$-convex if the map $\iota_k$ does not send any positive cycle to zero. Note that 0-convex and connected is the ordinary notion of convex.

Let $Y$ be a polyhedral complex of codimension $k+1$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$ consisting of finitely many polyhedra. An affine subspace $L$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$ of dimension $k+1$ is transverse to $Y$ if $L$ meets each polyhedron $\sigma$ of $Y$ transversally. That is, if $L \cap \sigma \neq \emptyset$, then $\sigma$ has dimension $n-k-1$ and $L$ meets it transversally, necessarily in a single point in the relative interior of $\sigma$.

Henriques proved a moving lemma [3, Lemma 3.6], which implies that it suffices to take $L$ in the definition of $k$-convexity to be a translate of some rational affine space—one of the form $M \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}$, for $M$ an affine subspace of $\mathbb{Q}^n$ of dimension $k+1$. The same proof shows that it suffices to take $L$ to lie in a dense subset of such subspaces.

2. Combinatorial tropical varieties

A “combinatorial tropical variety” of dimension $r$ is a polyhedral complex in $\mathbb{R}^n$ of pure dimension $r$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$ that has some of the properties of a nonarchimedean amoeba. Mikhalkin and Rau [8] call these tropical cycles. Consequently, a result about some type of combinatorial tropical variety implies the same result for nonarchimedean amoebas. Let $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a finite set of points and $c \in \mathbb{R}^A$ a vector whose components $c_a$ are indexed by elements $a$ of $\mathcal{A}$. These define a piecewise linear function on $\mathbb{R}^n$,

$$T(\mathcal{A}, c) := x \mapsto \min\{c_a + w \cdot a \mid a \in \mathcal{A}\}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

Its graph $\Gamma(\mathcal{A}, c)$ is a polyhedral complex of dimension $n$ whose facets lie over the domains of linearity of $T(\mathcal{A}, c)$. Its ridge set is the union of faces of dimension at most $n-1$, which lies over the set $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A}, c)$ where $T(\mathcal{A}, c)$ is not differentiable. This set $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A}, c)$ is an combinatorial tropical hypersurface and it consists of the points $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ where the minimum in (4) is attained at least twice. The following is elementary and not original.
Proposition 2.1. The set $\mathcal{T}(A, c)$ is a polyhedral complex of pure dimension $n-1$ whose complement is 0-convex.

Proof. The projection of $\Gamma(A, c)$ to $\mathbb{R}^n$ is a piecewise linear homeomorphism. The first statement follows as its ridge set has pure dimension $n-1$ and the second as the components of the complement of the ridge set consists of the interiors of the facets of $\Gamma(A, c)$. □

When $A \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$, the set $\mathcal{T}(A, c)$ is the tropicalization of the hypersurface

$$\{ x \in (\mathbb{K}^\times)^n \mid 0 = \sum_{a \in A} s^a x^a \},$$

where $\mathbb{K}$ is a valued field with value group $\mathbb{R}$ and $s \in \mathbb{K}$ has $\nu(s) = 1$.

By the fundamental theorem of tropical geometry \[12\], the nonarchimedean amoeba $\mathcal{C}$ of a curve $C$ in $(\mathbb{K}^\times)^n$ admits the structure of a finite balanced rational polyhedral complex in $\mathbb{R}^n$ of pure dimension one. Putting such a structure on $\mathcal{C}$, we have that $\mathcal{C}$ consists of finitely many vertices and edges, with each edge an interval (possibly unbounded) of a line. Furthermore, each edge $e$ is equipped with a positive integral weight $\alpha_e$ and is parallel to a vector $v_e \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. We assume that $v_e$ is primitive in that its components are relatively prime. There are exactly two primitive vectors, $v_e$ and $-v_e$, that are parallel to $e$, one for each direction along $e$. Finally, balanced means that for every point $p \in \mathcal{C}$, we have

$$0 = \sum_e \alpha_e v_e, \quad (5)$$

the sum over all edges $e$ incident on $p$ where $v_e$ points away from $p$. This sum \[5\] is nonempty as $\mathcal{C}$ is pure and therefore has no isolated points.

Lemma 2.2. Suppose that $w \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and that $p \in \mathcal{C}$. Then either we have that $w \cdot v_e = 0$ for all edges $e$ incident on $p$, or else there are two edges $e, f$ incident on $p$ with

$$w \cdot v_e < 0 < w \cdot v_f.$$

Proof. This follows from \[5\] as each weight $\alpha_e$ is positive. □

Definition 2.3. A locally finite polyhedral complex $\mathcal{C}$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$ of pure dimension one is \textit{weakly balanced} if Lemma 2.2 holds for $\mathcal{C}$. That is, if for all $w \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $p \in \mathcal{C}$, either $w \cdot v_e = 0$ for all edges $e$ incident on $p$ or there are two edges $e, f$ incident on $p$ with

$$w \cdot v_e < 0 < w \cdot v_f.$$

Here, $v_e$ and $v_f$ are vectors pointing away from $p$ that are parallel to $e$ and $f$, respectively.

Weakly balanced graphs admit unbounded paths in nearly every direction.

Lemma 2.4. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a weakly balanced graph and $w \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be nonzero. Then, for every point $p$ of $\mathcal{C}$ which has an incident edge $e$ with $w \cdot v_e \neq 0$, there is a continuous path $\gamma: [0, \infty) \to \mathcal{C}$ with $\gamma(0) = p$ where $w \cdot \gamma(t)$ is unbounded and strictly increasing for $t \in [0, \infty)$, and we may assume that $\gamma$ contains an edge $f$ incident to $p$ with $w \cdot v_f > 0$.

In particular, every component of a weakly balanced graph is unbounded.
Proof. Let us assume that if \( w \cdot q > w \cdot p \) and \( q \) is a vertex of \( \mathcal{C} \) having an incident edge \( e \) with \( w \cdot v_e \neq 0 \), then there is a continuous function \( \gamma_1: [0, \infty) \to \mathcal{C} \) such that \( w \cdot \gamma_1(t) \) is an increasing unbounded function. The base case of the induction are those vertices \( p \) of the complex \( \mathcal{C} \) with \( w \cdot p \) maximal, which is covered later in this paragraph as edges \( e \) emanating from \( p \) with \( w \cdot v_e > 0 \) are unbounded. Let \( p \in \mathcal{C} \) be a point with an incident edge \( f \) such that \( w \cdot v_f \neq 0 \). Since \( \mathcal{C} \) is weakly balanced, there is an edge \( e \) incident to \( p \) with \( w \cdot v_e > 0 \). If \( e \) is unbounded in the direction of \( v_e \), set \( \gamma(t) := p + tv_e \), which gives the desired path.

Otherwise, let \( q \) be the vertex incident to \( e \) in the direction of \( v_e \). Then \( w \cdot q > w \cdot p \) and the direction of \( e \) at \( q \) is \(-v_e\). Since \( w \cdot (-v_e) \neq 0 \), the induction hypothesis holds. Let \( \gamma_1: [0, \infty) \to \mathcal{C} \) be a path with \( \gamma_1(0) = q \) and \( w \cdot \gamma_1(t) \) increasing and unbounded. Suppose that \( p + t_0v_e = q \). Then \( t_0 > 0 \) and we define \( \gamma(t) \) by \( \gamma(t) := p + tv_e \) for \( 0 \leq t \leq t_0 \) and \( \gamma(t) = \gamma_1(t - t_0) \) for \( t \geq t_0 \). Then \( \gamma \) is the desired increasing path. \( \square \)

**Theorem 2.5.** The complement of a weakly balanced graph in \( \mathbb{R}^n \) is \((n-2)\)-convex.

**Proof.** We must show that for any hyperplane \( L \) that meets \( \mathcal{C} \), the map

\[
\iota_{n-2}: \tilde{H}_{n-2}(L \cap \mathcal{C}^c) \longrightarrow \tilde{H}_{n-2}(\mathcal{C}^c)
\]

is injective.

The reduced homology group \( \tilde{H}_{n-2}(L \cap \mathcal{C}^c) \) is free with one generator for each bounded connected component of \( L \cap \mathcal{C} \). We assume that \( \mathcal{C} \not\subset L \), for otherwise there are no bounded connected components of \( L \cap \mathcal{C} \) and \( \tilde{H}_{n-2}(L \cap \mathcal{C}^c) \) vanishes.

By Lemma 1.5, if \( \mathcal{C}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{C}_m \) are the bounded connected components of \( L \cap \mathcal{C} \), then there are open subsets \( \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_m \) with disjoint closures and \( \beta_i \supset \mathcal{C}_i \) such that if \( \gamma_i := \partial \beta_i \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, m \), then the cycle classes \([\gamma_i]\) are a basis for \( \tilde{H}_{n-2}(L \cap \mathcal{C}^c) \).

Suppose that \( \zeta \in \tilde{H}_{n-2}(L \cap \mathcal{C}^c) \) with \( \iota_{n-2}(\zeta) = 0 \) in \( \tilde{H}_{n-2}(\mathcal{C}^c) \). There are unique integers \( b_1, \ldots, b_m \) such that \( \zeta = [\gamma] \) where \( \gamma \) is the cycle

\[
\gamma = \sum_{i=1}^{m} b_i \gamma_i.
\]

Since \( \iota_{n-2}(\zeta) = 0 \), there is a \((n-1)\)-chain \( Z \) in \( \mathcal{C}^c \) with \( \partial Z = \gamma \). If we set

\[
\beta := - \sum_{i=1}^{m} b_i \beta_i,
\]

then \( Z + \beta \) is closed in \( \mathbb{R}^n \), and hence bounds an \( n \)-chain \( D \) in \( \mathcal{C}^c \).

Suppose that \( \zeta \neq 0 \), so that some coefficient \( b_i \) is nonzero. Then \(-b_i \gamma_i \) forms part of the boundary of \( D \), and we conclude that for every point \( p \) of the interior of \( \beta_i \), \( D \) contains a neighborhood of \( p \) in one of the halfspaces defined by the hyperplane \( L \).

Since \( \beta_i \cap \mathcal{C} \) is nonempty and contained in the interior of \( \beta_i \), and \( \mathcal{C} \not\subset L \), there is a point \( p \) of \( \beta_i \cap \mathcal{C} \) lying in the closure of \( \mathcal{C} \setminus (L \cap \mathcal{C}) \). If \( w \) is a vector normal to \( L \), then there is an edge \( e \) of \( \mathcal{C} \) incident to \( p \) with \( w \cdot v_e \neq 0 \). Possibly replacing \( e \) by another edge incident to \( p \) and \( w \) by \(-w \), we may assume that \( w \cdot v_e > 0 \) and that \( e \) meets the interior of \( D \). By Lemma 2.4, there is a path \( \gamma: [0, \infty) \to \mathcal{C} \) with \( \gamma(0) = p \), \( w \cdot \gamma(t) \) an unbounded
and increasing function of $t$, and whose image contains the edge $e$. Observe that $L$ is the set of points $x$ with $w \cdot x = w \cdot p$.

By our choice of $e$ and $w$, there is some $t_0 > 0$ such that $\gamma(t_0)$ lies in the interior of the $n$-chain $D$. Since $w \cdot \gamma(t)$ is unbounded, but $D$ is bounded, there is a point $t_1 > t_0$ with $\gamma(t_1)$ lying on the boundary $Z + \beta$ of $D$. As $w \cdot \gamma(t_1) > w \cdot \gamma(0)$ and $\gamma(0) = p$, we see that $\gamma(t_1) \notin L$, and therefore is a point of $Z$. But this implies that $C \cap Z \neq \emptyset$, contradicting that $Z$ is a chain in $C^c$. We conclude that $\zeta = 0$, which implies that $t_{n-2}$ is injective. \hfill \Box

3. Complex nonarchimedean amoebas

Let $\mathbb{K}$ be the field of complex Puiseaux series in the variable $s$ with $\nu(s) = 1$. We use Jonsson's Theorem to study the complement of the nonarchimedean amoeba $T$ of a variety $V$ in $(\mathbb{K}^\times)^n$. By Proposition 1.3, there is a smooth curve $C$, a point $o \in C$, a local parameter $u$ at $o$, and a family $\mathcal{V} \subset (C \setminus \{o\}) \times (\mathbb{C}^\times)^n$ with

$$\lim_{a \to o} \frac{-1}{\log |u(a)|} \mathcal{A}(V_a) = T.$$ 

If $V$ has codimension $r$, then $\mathcal{V}$ and its fibers have codimension $r$, and if in addition $V$ is a complete intersection, then so are the fibers $V_a$ of $\mathcal{V}$.

Let $a(t)$ for $t$ positive and near $0$ be the analytic arc defined by $u(a(t)) = t$. If we set

$$\mathcal{A}_t := \frac{-1}{\log t} \mathcal{A}(V_{a(t)}),$$

then we have

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \mathcal{A}_t = T.$$ 

In particular, for every point $x \in T$ and every $t$ sufficiently small, there is a point of $\mathcal{A}_t$ close to $x$. We need more, that these points of $\mathcal{A}_t$ lie in a given affine $(k+1)$-plane through $x$. The following technical lemma, whose proof we defer, guarantees this.

**Lemma 3.1.** Suppose that $L$ is an affine $(k+1)$-plane that is transverse to $T$. For every $\epsilon > 0$, there is a $\delta > 0$ such that for every $x \in L \cap T$ and $0 < t < \delta$ we have

$$d(x, L \cap \mathcal{A}_t) < \epsilon.$$ 

We deduce our main result.

**Theorem 3.2.** The complement $T^c$ of the nonarchimedean amoeba $T$ of a variety $V \subset (\mathbb{K}^\times)^n$ of codimension $k+1$ is weakly $k$-convex. If $V$ is a complete intersection, then the complement is $k$-convex.

**Proof.** It suffices to use affine $(k+1)$-planes $L$ that meet $T$ transversally to test the $k$-convexity of $T^c$. Let $L$ be an oriented affine $(k+1)$-plane that meets $T$ transversally and let $\zeta \in \tilde{H}_k(L \cap T^c)$ be a cycle.

Let $\{x_p \mid p \in \Pi\}$ be the finite set $L \cap T$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be such that if $\beta_p$ is the closed ball of radius $\epsilon$ in $L$ centered at $x_p$ for $p \in \Pi$, then these balls are disjoint. If $\gamma_p := \partial \beta_p$ for $p \in \Pi$, then the cycle classes of $\{\gamma_p \mid p \in \Pi\}$ are a basis for $\tilde{H}_k(L \cap T^c)$ and they span
its cone of positive cycles. Thus there is an integer combination \( \gamma \) of the \( \gamma_p \) with \( [\gamma] = \zeta \). Suppose that \( \iota_k(\zeta) = 0 \) in \( \tilde{H}_k(\mathcal{T}^c) \). Then there is a \((k+1)\)-chain \( Z \) in \( \mathcal{T}^c \) with \( \partial Z = \gamma \).

Let \( \mathcal{A}_t \) for \( t \) positive and near 0 be a family of scaled amoebas \([\mathbf{0}]\) of varieties of codimension \( k+1 \) that converges to \( \mathcal{T} \). As \( Z \) is compact, Lemma 1.1 implies that there is some \( \delta > 0 \) such that \( Z \) is disjoint from \( \mathcal{A}_t \) for all \( 0 < t < \delta \). As \( [\partial Z] = \zeta \), we conclude that \( \iota_k(\zeta) = 0 \) in \( \tilde{H}_k(\mathcal{A}_t^c) \) for any \( 0 < t < \delta \).

By Lemma 3.1 after possibly shrinking \( \delta \), if \( p \in \Pi \) and \( 0 < t < \delta \), then \( d(x_p, L \cap \mathcal{A}_t) < \epsilon \). Thus for all \( 0 < t < \delta \), the scaled amoeba \( \mathcal{A}_t \) meets each ball \( \beta_p \) and each sphere \( \gamma_p \) is disjoint from \( \mathcal{A}_t \), as \( \gamma_p \subset Z \). In particular, the cycle classes \( [\gamma_p] \) for \( p \in \Pi \) are linearly independent in \( \tilde{H}_k(L \cap \mathcal{A}_t^c) \) and they span a subset of its positive cone.

By Henriques’ Theorem \([3\text{ Thm. 4.1}]\), the complement \( \mathcal{A}_t^c \) of the scaled amoeba is weakly \( k \)-convex. Since \( \iota_k(\zeta) = 0 \), \( \zeta \) is not in the positive cone of \( \tilde{H}_k(L \cap \mathcal{A}_t^c) \) and so it is not a positive integer combination of the \( [\gamma_p] \). Consequently, \( \zeta \) is not a positive class of \( \tilde{H}_k(L \cap \mathcal{T}^c) \). This shows that \( \mathcal{T}^c \) is weakly \( k \)-convex.

Now suppose that \( V \) is a complete intersection. By Proposition 1.3, we may assume that \( \mathcal{A}_t \) is the amoeba of a complete intersection, and so by the Theorem of Bushueva and Tsikh \([1]\), \( \mathcal{A}_t^c \) is \( k \)-convex. As \( \iota_k(\zeta) = 0 \) in \( \tilde{H}_k(\mathcal{A}_t^c) \), we conclude that \( \zeta = 0 \) in \( \tilde{H}_k(L \cap \mathcal{A}_t^c) \). Since the cycle classes \( [\gamma_p] \) for \( p \in \Pi \) are linearly independent in both \( \tilde{H}_k(L \cap \mathcal{A}_t^c) \) and \( \tilde{H}_k(L \cap \mathcal{T}) \), we conclude that \( \zeta = 0 \) in \( \tilde{H}_k(L \cap \mathcal{T}) \), and so \( \mathcal{T}^c \) is \( k \)-convex.

\(\square\)

**Proof of Lemma 3.1.** The tropical variety \( \mathcal{T} \) admits the structure of a finite polyhedral complex of dimension \( n-k-1 \). Since \( L \) is has dimension \( (k+1) \) and is transverse to \( \mathcal{T} \), it meets \( \mathcal{T} \) only in polyhedra of maximal dimension. Let \( \{\sigma_p \mid p \in \Pi\} \) be the set of maximal polyhedra of \( \mathcal{T} \) meeting \( L \). If we set \( x_p := L \cap \sigma_p \), then \( \{x_p \mid p \in \Pi\} = L \cap \mathcal{T} \). Since \( \mathcal{T} \cap L \) is finite, it suffices to prove the lemma for a single point \( x_p \in L \cap \mathcal{T} \).

Shrinking \( \epsilon \) is necessary, we may assume that if \( \sigma \) is a polyhedron of \( \mathcal{T} \), then

1. If \( \sigma \) does not meet \( L \), then \( d(L, \sigma) > 2\epsilon \),
2. If \( \sigma \neq \sigma_p \), then \( d(x_p, \sigma) > 2\epsilon \).

A plane \( L' \) is \textbf{parallel} to \( L \) if \( L' = L + v \) for some \( v \in \mathbb{R}^n \). By Assumption (1), if \( L' \) is parallel to \( L \) with \( d(L', L) \leq 2\epsilon \), then \( L' \) meets \( \sigma_p \) transversally in a point \( x'_p \). Let \( \theta \) be the minimum angle between \( \sigma \) and \( L \). Then \( \pi/2 \geq \theta > 0 \), and if \( L' \) is parallel to \( L \) with \( d(L', L) \leq \rho \) with \( \rho \leq 2\epsilon \), then \( d(x'_p, x_p) < \rho/\sin \theta \). Let \( \beta \subset L \) be the ball of radius \( \epsilon \) centered at \( x_p \) and \( \gamma = \partial \beta \) the corresponding sphere. Observe that \( d(\gamma, \sigma_p) = \epsilon \sin \theta \).

Let \( Z \) be the union of translates \( \gamma + (z - x_p) \) where \( z \in \sigma_p \) with \( d(z, x_p) \leq \epsilon \). Then \( Z \) is compact and it lies in the closed ball centered at \( x_p \) of radius \( 2\epsilon \). By construction, \( Z \cap \sigma_p = \emptyset \), and by Assumption (2), \( Z \) meets no other polyhedron of \( \mathcal{T} \), and is therefore disjoint from \( \mathcal{T} \). By the definition of Kuratowski limit and Lemma 1.1, there is a \( \delta > 0 \) such that if \( 0 < t < \delta \) then \( d(x, \mathcal{A}_t) < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \sin \theta \) and \( \mathcal{A}_t \) is disjoint from \( Z \).

Fix a positive \( t < \delta \). Let \( y' \in \mathcal{A}_t \) be a point with \( d(x_p, y') < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \sin \theta \). Set \( L' := L + (y' - x_p) \), a plane parallel to \( L \) with \( d(L', L) < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \sin \theta \). Set \( x'_p := L' \cap \sigma_p \), then \( d(x'_p, x_p) < \epsilon/2 \). Let \( \Gamma \subset Z \) be the cylinder

\[ \bigcup \{\gamma + t(x'_p - x_p) \mid t \in [0, 1]\} \].
Observe that
\[ d(x'_p, y') \leq d(x'_p, x_p) + d(x_p, y') < \frac{1}{2} \epsilon + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon \sin \theta \leq \epsilon. \]
Thus \( y' \in \beta' \), where \( \beta' = \beta + (x'_p - x_p) \) is the ball in \( L' \) centered at \( x'_p \) of radius \( \epsilon \). Since \( \gamma' := \partial \beta' \subset Z \), it is disjoint from \( \mathcal{A}_t \), and so we have that
\[ 0 \neq [\gamma'] \in \tilde{H}_k(L' \cap \mathcal{A}_t^c) \]
is a positive cycle (we fix an orientation of \( L' \)). By Henriques’ Theorem [3, Thm. 4.1], \( \mathcal{A}_t^c \) is weakly \( k \)-convex, and so
\[ \iota_k[\gamma'] \in \tilde{H}_k(\mathcal{A}_t^c) \]
is also non-zero. As \( \gamma' = \partial(\Gamma + \beta) \), we do not have \( \gamma + \beta \subset \mathcal{A}_t^c \), so that \( (\Gamma + \beta) \cap \mathcal{A}_t \neq \emptyset \). Since \( \Gamma \subset \mathcal{A}_t^c \), we conclude that \( \beta \) meets \( \mathcal{A}_t \). As \( \gamma \) is disjoint from \( \mathcal{A}_t \), there is a point \( y \in \mathcal{A}_t \) in the interior of \( \beta \), which proves the lemma. \( \square \)
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