Receptor Encoding of Moving Tactile Stimuli in Humans. I. Temporal Pattern of Discharge of Individual Low-Threshold Mechanoreceptors
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Moving tactile stimuli have been extensively employed in the work of somatosensory psychologists and neurophysiologists since the 1800s (e.g., see Hall and Donaldson, 1885). That moving tactile stimuli should prove useful in studying the PNS of human subjects was first suggested by the earliest papers on the response properties of single afferents (Valbo and Hagbarth, 1968; Hagbarth et al., 1970). Specifically, movement across the receptive field was shown most effective in evoking activity in mechanoreceptors supplying the glabrous skin of the hand. Moreover, the discharge rate was shown to increase with both the velocity and abrasiveness of stimulus objects, and to vary with the direction of stimulus motion. These observations were subsequently extended to mechanoreceptors in the human radial (Järvieliä et al., 1976) and infraorbital nerves (Johansson et al., 1988a,b).

To better understand the motion-encoding properties of human cutaneous low-threshold mechanoreceptors, we conducted a quantitative study of individual afferents supplying three skin areas of particular significance for manipulative or orofacial motor behaviors: the palm and finger area innervated by the median nerve, the dorsum of the hand innervated by the radial nerve, and the perioral region innervated by the inferior alveolar nerve. Tactile stimuli were provided by a brushing stimulator used in previous psychophysical studies to characterize human capacity to discriminate velocity and direction of motion (Essick and Whitsel, 1985a,b; Essick et al., 1988, 1989, 1991, 1992). The stimulator had also been used to study the response properties of single somatosensory cortical neurons in primates (Whitsel et al., 1972; Essick and Whitsel, 1985a,b).

In this article, it is demonstrated that many mechanoreceptors, particularly the slowly adapting type II units, discharge in a remarkably consistent manner upon replication of the same brushing stimulus to the receptive field. Furthermore, the rich temporal response pattern can be related to the forces which compress, indent and stretch the skin. It is shown that highly reliable differences are evoked in the discharge by varying the velocity at which the stimulus moves across the receptive field. Moreover, different directions of movement evoke differences in the discharge that are consistently observed upon replication of the same stimuli. In the following companion paper of this series (Essick and Edin, 1994), we continue the analysis by examining the capacity of the mean firing rate of individual mechanoreceptors to encode information about the velocity of motion and the impact of changes in stimulus direction and force on this capacity.
Materials and Methods

Subjects. Six males (ages 23–50; mean = 31.8) and eight females (ages 20–28; mean = 21.9) recruited from the University of Umeå community participated in one or more microneurography experiment conducted during a 4 month period. In nine experiments, cutaneous low-threshold mechanoreceptive afferents (hereafter referred to as mechanoreceptors or units) in the right median nerve were studied; in nine experiments, in the right radial nerve; in three experiments, in the right inferior alveolar nerve; and in four experiments, in the left inferior alveolar nerve. During each 4–6 hr long recording session, the subject was comfortably seated in an adjustable dental chair. During recordings of the median and radial nerves, the right arm was supported on a custom-built arm rest. The hand rested on a mound of clay molded to fit either the back of the hand (for median nerve recordings) or the palm (for radial nerve recordings). No other support was required to keep the hand in the desired position. During inferior alveolar nerve recordings, the jaw was stabilized in an open position by an interocclusal bite block. Only dentally trained personnel were recruited for experiments involving the face.

Prior to every session, the microneurographic recording technique and tactile stimulation protocol were fully explained to the subject and informed consent was obtained. The experiments were reviewed and approved by the local ethical committee at the University of Umeå in accord with the Declaration of Helsinki. Reimbursement was provided at SEK50/hr.

Neurophysiological recording technique. Single-unit recordings from mechanoreceptors originating in the glabrous and nonglabrous skin of the hand were obtained from a tungsten microelectrode percutaneously inserted into the median and radial nerves, respectively (Valbo and Hagbarth, 1968; Torebjörk et al., 1987). The body of the microelectrode was 200 μm in diameter, but tapered to 5–15 μm at its distal tip. The tungsten was insulated with lacquer to within 10–30 μm of the tip (this provided an impedance of 100–750 kΩ, as measured in situ at 1 kHz). The neural signals were amplified (10,000 ×; bandwidth = 0.47–5 kHz) by an electromechanical near-infrared recording site. An uninsulated reference microelectrode was first inserted 5–10 cm proximal to the elbow. A small electrical current was intermittently delivered while the micro-neuropgrapher manipulated the microelectrode in search of the nerve. The subject signified that the nerve had been approximated in response to short-lasting and localized paresthesias within its innervation territory. A second, insulated recording microelectrode was then carefully inserted in parallel to the reference microelectrode and was gently manipulated in small steps. A second experimenter palpated the skin within the peripheral distribution of the nerve under study until the electrical activity of a single unit was identified and isolated.

Recordings from the inferior alveolar nerve were made employing a technique similar to that described above (Johansson and Olsson, 1976; Truisset et al., 1992). Manual handling of the microelectrode was considered to be avoided as much as possible to prevent local anesthesia of the lower teeth. As such, the microelectrodes were inserted by an intraoral approach into the mandibular nerve proximal to its entry into the mandibular foramen (located on the medial side of the ramus of the mandible). Stable single-unit recordings typically lasted 20–30 min, but with a few units recording conditions were stable for more than 2 hr.

Unit characterization and classification. After a unit was isolated, calibrated nylon monofilaments (delivering 0.06, 0.12, 0.25, 0.5, 1, 2, 4.8 mN) were employed to locate the skin position of lowest mechanical threshold and to define the threshold force. The total region of skin over which the unit was responsive to four times the threshold force was then determined and measured. This region defined the receptive field (RF). Receptor adaptation was evaluated by the sustained application for two or more seconds of a filament that delivered at least four times the threshold force (Johansson, 1978; Valbo and Johansson, 1978). Units that exhibited sustained discharge for the duration of the stimulation were classified as slowly adapting (SA), and otherwise as fast adapting (FA). Additionally, units were classified as spontaneously active if they exhibited a sustained discharge in the absence of external stimulation.

Based on RF size, adaptation properties, presence of spontaneous activity, and regularity of evoked response, all units were classified as SAI, SAI, FAI, or FAlI using standard criteria recommended by Valbo and others (Knibestol and Valbo, 1970; Johansson and Valbo, 1983; Valbo, 1989). As such, SAI units were recognized as slowly adapting afferents which exhibited a high dynamic sensitivity and highly variable interspike intervals during sustained skin indentation (Igo and Muir, 1969; Chambers et al., 1972; Edin, 1992). In contrast to SAI units, the receptive fields of SAII units may contain more than one highly sensitive spot. SAII units exhibit a more irregular discharge rate, always a single impulse per adapting (FA). Additionally, units were classified as spontaneously active if they responded to tactile stimulation of individual hairs than to the skin surface.

Afferent sampling bias. With the tungsten microelectrode, single unit recordings are presumably most easily obtained from large diameter axons. The functional properties of cutaneous mechanoreceptors are, however, unrelated to axonal conduction velocities and hence to axon diameter (Jurtshuk et al., 1981; Mackel, 1988). Thus, whereas the sample is biased towards fast-conducting low-threshold afferents (SAI, SAI, FAI, and FAII), and against mechanoreceptive C-fibers, the sampling bias should have no bearing on the reported properties of large-diameter, low-threshold afferents.

A total of 93 cutaneous mechanoreceptors were isolated and classified (see Table 1). Seventy of these were quantitatively studied with moving hair stimuli. For the other 23 units, either the RF was not accessible by the tactile stimulator, isolation was lost prior to data collection, or the records were later determined to be multiunit.

Tactile stimulator. Moving tactile stimuli were delivered across the RF by a brush stimulating used previously in psychophysical and neurophysiological studies (see Fig. 1A; see also Essick and Whitsett, 1983; Essick et al., 1988, 1989). The stimulator device consisted of a servomotor and controlling electronic circuitry. The motor shaft rotated a perpendicularly positioned brass carrying tube to which the handle of a brush was connected. Brushing stimuli were delivered in both clockwise and counter-clockwise directions at velocities greater than 10 cm/sec with an error < 1%, at velocities between 1 and 10 cm/sec with an error < 5%, and at 0.5 cm/sec with an error < 10%. The angular position of the shaft of the motor was signaled with a resolution of ±0.25° and was used to determine the approximate onset and completion of each stimulus presentation.

Stimulus trials. Each stimulus trial consisted of a brush movement over a thin Teflon plate taped to the skin (see Fig. 1B). A 1.4 cm × 1.4 cm aperture in the plate was centered over the RF of the afferent under study and defined the precise area of skin to receive the stimulus. The edges of the Teflon plate were beveled so that the bristles moved smoothly onto and off of the skin without generating noticeable mechanical transients. The bristles of the brush were trimmed so that they moved as a coherent bundle across the skin. As such, each stimulus resembled a rectangular shaped object moving at a constant velocity onto, across, and then off of the skin. The bristles did not “dance” on the skin or move in directions or velocities contrary to that provided by the servomotor. The width of the brush always exceeded the width of the receptive field.

Force measurements and timing. To sample the time-varying forces applied by the brush to the skin, a special mechanical interface between the shaft of the motor and the brush was designed and fabricated (see Fig. 1B). Specifically, a 35 mm long aluminum beam was perpendicular to...
Figure 1. A, Schematic illustration of tactile stimulator. B, Enlarged view of the brush and force transducers in relationship to the aperture, through which the receptive field was exposed. Note the photodiodes (IREDS) and the photosensors on the edges of the aperture.

Use of different brushes. Stimuli were provided by four brushes which differed in apparent stiffness and friction (see Table 2). The rationale for using more than one brush in the experiments was severalfold. First, we were particularly interested in examining the response of mechanoreceptors distributing to the hairy skin of the hand to brushes that could be assumed to deliver relatively large tangential forces, given the recently demonstrated, exquisite sensitivity of these mechanoreceptors to lateral skin stretch (Edin and Abbs, 1991; Edin, 1992). In contrast, we were primarily interested in studying the response of mechanoreceptors distributing to the face to the soft brush used in all previous human psychophysical studies of facial sensory capacity (e.g., Essick et al., 1989, 1991). Second, it was important to determine whether gross changes in the force impacted on the peripheral encoding of stimulus velocity and direction.

Brushes of different apparent stiffness were anticipated to apply different resultant forces to the receptive field. Since the friction with the skin differed among brushes, the angle at which the resultant force was delivered differed. Thus, the relative magnitudes of the normal and tangential forces were expected to also vary among brushes.

In addition to the use of more than one brush, the magnitude of the forces delivered by the same brush was varied by changing the degree to which the bundle of bristles bent as it passed over the skin (this changed the magnitude, but not the direction of the resulting force vector). The brush extension was assessed by the distance that the brush extended through the aperture in the absence of the skin field. To categorize this measure, we referred to a minimal degree of extension (viz., 1–2 mm) as a short extension; to a moderate degree of extension (viz., 2–3.5 mm) as a medium extension; and greater extensions (viz., 3.5–5 mm) as a long extension.

Figure 2 illustrates the geometric mean ± 1 standard deviation normal force (Fig. 2A) and tangential force (Fig. 2B) delivered to the skin. Each bar represents the averaged force delivered by one of the four brushes at one extension (that is, averaged over the time interval during which the bristles contacted only the skin; cf. Fig. 6). The data from all units were pooled to generate the bar graphs. The means are convincingly rank-ordered in agreement with our a priori hypothetical ordering of the stiffness of the brushes. Similarly, the degree to which the bundle of bristles bent as it passed over the skin affected the level of applied

| Table 2. Brushes used for stimulus delivery |
|--------------------------------------------|
| Description | Bristle length | Bristle width | Percentage data | Coined name for brush |
|--------------|----------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------------|
| Camel's hair | 2.75 cm        | 1.2 cm        | 51              | Brown                |
| Richeson #9100, size ½, nylon with Plexiglas handle (England) | 1.2 cm | 1.7 cm | 7 | Stiff brown |
| Morilla #250-F, size 6 white taklon | 2.5 cm | 1.3 cm | 40 | White |
| Yasutomo ¥% NB-78 Silverado (Japan) | 1.7 cm | 1.3 cm | 2 | Stiff white |

Data describe brushes used to deliver moving tactile stimuli across the receptive fields of the mechanoreceptors studied. As indicated by the fourth column, most of the data were collected with two brushes, a "brown brush" and a "white brush." The brushes are listed in their order of "stiffness," as subjectively determined by palpation.
force in accord with our hypothesis (compare the forces exerted by each brush at different extensions). Note that the normal force varied roughly 15-fold from 24 mN (brown brush, short extension) to 370 mN (stiff white brush, medium extension). Moreover, the tangential forces were approximately 60-70% of the normal forces (Fig. 2A-C). The standard deviations shown in Figure 2 do not reflect the consistency at which the same force was applied upon repetition of the same stimulus, but importantly, the total extent to which variations in all experimental factors exerted an influence on the applied force (e.g., variations in RF locations, subtle variations in brush extension and the manner in which the stimulator was positioned on the skin from run to run, stimulus velocity, direction and orientation). As such, each mean ± 1 SD should be interpreted as the range of values most commonly observed in the experiments.

Approximately 90% of the data (11,116 stimulus trials) were obtained with either the white or the brown brush. Moreover, 88% of these data (9753 trials) were obtained with 2-3.5 mm of extension of the bristles through the aperture. Given these conditions, the brown and white brush typically delivered normal forces within the ranges 21.8-67.6 mN and 151-257.2 mN, respectively (see Fig. 2A). The values for the brown brush (camel's hair) are consistent with those previously reported in the literature by other investigators (Franzén et al., 1984). Since most of the data were collected with these two brushes, the forces delivered by them were analyzed further.

The applied stress was calculated as the vectorial sum of normal and tangential forces divided by the total area of skin contacted by the bundle of bristles. The angle of stress application was calculated from the normal and tangential force measurements using elementary trigonometry. These two variables are plotted as a function of the velocity of stimulus motion in Figure 2, D and E. While the stress applied by the white brush at a medium extension (466 mN/cm²; on the average) was approximately 4.5 times that applied by the brown brush (103 mN/cm²; see Fig. 2D), the angle was approximately 10° less for the brown brush (46.2°; on the average) than it was for the white brush (57.6°; see Fig. 2E). Moreover, the magnitude of the applied stress appeared relatively unaffected by stimulus velocity whereas the angle of stress application decreased slightly with velocity for both brushes. The dynamic friction between the skin and the brush is by definition the ratio of the tangential force to the normal force while the brush is moving. It was on average 0.72 for the brown brush and 0.57 for the white brush, that is, a relatively larger proportion of the total applied stress was thus directed tangentially for the brown brush than for the relatively stiffer white brush (see Fig. 2C–E).

In order to determine the reliability at which the same forces were attained for the same stimulus upon replication, an estimate of the coefficient of variation was calculated for the averaged normal force and the averaged tangential force for each unit. The geometric mean estimates were 4.16% and 5.04%, respectively. This reflects a remarkable degree of consistency of the same stimulus to the same receptive field. It should be noted that the coefficients of variation for the white brush were actually smaller than these mean values. Specifically, from the units studied with both brushes, it was determined that the estimates for the normal and tangential forces with the white brush were only 43% and 58% of those with the brown brush, respectively. Considered together, these findings confirm that the brushing stimulator provided a quantifiable and reliable stimulus.

**Stimulation protocol.** A MS-DOS operated 386 stimulus delivery system was used to control stimulus sequence, velocity, and direction. Randomized blocks of moving stimuli were typically delivered at five or seven velocities (from 0.5 to 32 cm/sec) in each of two opposing directions (clockwise and counterclockwise). Interstimulus intervals were 4-6 sec in length. Blocks of trials were repeated 4-10 times (median = 6 times). The data from each series of blocks constituted a run. If the unitary recording lasted long enough, the servomotor was rotated 90° to permit stimulation in the two orthogonal directions. Alternatively, the unit was studied with a change in the brush (so as to increase or
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of a particular set of n spike trains proceeded by creating a 1600 x n matrix. The analysis of similarity and circle in A correspond to the sample sets in B and C (diamond, 10 imp/set; circle, 120 imp/set). Subjectively in agreement, the spike trains in C do appear more “similar” to each other than the trains in B. D-F, Spikes trains were constructed simulating response durations ranging from 0.1–1.0 sec. The discharge rates were sampled at 400 Hz and the mean rG plotted against response duration (D). For uncorrelated spike trains of short duration viewed in an extended time window, rG approached 0.3 but was below 0.2 for response durations >60% of the time window. Indeed, when the whole time window is considered, the spike trains in E do appear more “similar” to each other than the trains in F. The open diamond and circle in D correspond to the sample set in E and F (diamond, 0.1 sec response duration; circle, 0.9 sec response duration).

Signal and data processing. All analog signals were sampled with 12 bits of resolution, force signals were sampled at 400 Hz, the signals from the photosensors at 800 Hz, and the nerve signal at 12,800 Hz. These were accomplished by a second 386 computer which ran a custom-designed data acquisition and analysis program (SC/ZOOM, Department of Physiology, University of Umeå, Sweden). All signals were stored on an optical disk for off-line processing.

After each experiment, the individual nerve spikes were first retrieved from the sampled records and inspected using previously described algorithms (Edin et al., 1988). The records were then sorted by stimulus velocity and direction on a run-by-run basis.

The time of occurrence of each retrieved spike was stored and used to calculate the instantaneous discharge rate. The discharge rate at any moment was defined as the inverse of the interspike interval throughout the duration of the interspike interval. This calculated rate was then “sampled” at 800 Hz so that it could be treated quantitatively as other sampled signals. Importantly, the discharge rate calculated in this manner did not represent any data reduction. Since the “sampling bin” was shorter than the shortest interspike interval in the record, it was possible to reconstruct the time of occurrence of each spike (no unit ever showed instantaneous discharge rates greater than 500 Hz).

Analyzing spike train similarity. From visual inspection of the recorded spike trains it was evident that afferents displayed marked differences in the degree to which replication of the same stimulus conditions evoked the same pattern of activity. Because we were unaware of any previously described method to assess spike train similarity, a quantitative method was developed. First, the instantaneous discharge rate was calculated for each spike train in a time window that spatially corresponded to a brush movement of 2 cm (e.g., 1 sec window for a brush velocity of 2 cm/sec). Second, within this time window, the discharge rate was sampled into a 1600 element vector. The sampling frequency in the temporal domain was thus 400 Hz for a brush velocity of 0.5 cm/sec and 25,600 Hz for 32 cm/sec. The analysis of similarity of a particular set of n spike trains proceeded by creating a 1600 x n matrix. Third, the intraclass correlation coefficient, rG (Koch, 1985), was calculated with respect to the n aligned spike trains by feeding estimates from a one-factor analysis of variance (the one factor represented by the 1600 rows of the 1600 x n matrix) into the equation

\[ r_G = 1 - \frac{SS_{rep}}{SS_{rep} + SS_{err}}(n - 1) \]

in which n is the number of replications, SSrep is the sum of squares over the 1600 rows, and SSerr is the error sum of squares. Evidently rG approaches unity when SSrep >> SSerr, that is, when most of the variance is explained by the rows rather than by differences among the n columns (replications). In contrast, when SSrep >> SSerr, most of the variance attributed to differences among the replications and rG approaches zero. Thus, rG should have a value close to zero for uncorrelated spike trains and close to unity for similar ones.

The following three factors were a priori considered to potentially influence the lowest attainable level of rG: the ratio between the duration of the time window used for the calculations and the duration of stimulus evoked activity, the mean discharge rate during the response period, and the frequency at which the instantaneous discharge rate was sampled. Extensive simulations with generated uncorrelated spike trains were carried out to determine the sensitivity of rG to these factors as well as to provide reference values for interpretation of the analyses of the recorded spike trains (Fig. 3).

In a first approach, a unit was sampled to respond during a 750 msec period of a 1 sec window (Fig. 3B,C). The simulated mean discharge rate during the response period ranged from 5 to 320 impulses per second (imp/sec). For each mean discharge rate, 20 sets of 10 spike trains were generated. For each such set, the instantaneous discharge rate was calculated and sampled at 100, 400, and 1600 Hz. The rG for each set was calculated for either the entire 1 sec time window or just the 750 msec response period. The overall and standard error of the mean rG for each mean discharge rate and sampling frequency was calculated and plotted as shown in Figure 3A.

Several important observations were made. First, rG approximated zero for uncorrelated spike trains irrespective of the mean discharge

\[ \text{Figure 3. Twenty sets of 10 uncorrelated random spike trains were generated in a 1 sec time window with a fixed response duration of 750 msec (A-C) or from 0.1–1.0 sec (D-F). Each vertical bar indicates the SEM, and each grayed zone, the total range of values for } r_G. \text{ A-C. For mean discharge rates ranging from 5–320 Hz, } r_G \text{ was calculated when the discharge rate had been sampled at 100 (dashed line), 400, or 1600 Hz (solid lines). When } r_G \text{ was calculated for the 750 msec duration of the response only (lower curves in A), } r_G \text{ was virtually zero for all mean discharge rates. If the whole 1 sec window of sampled values was included in the calculation, } r_G \text{ increased with the mean discharge rate. The open diamond and circle in A correspond to the sample sets in B and C (diamond, 10 imp/sec; circle, 120 imp/sec). Subjectively in agreement, the spike trains in C do appear more “similar” to each other than the trains in B. D-F, Spikes trains were constructed simulating response durations ranging from 0.1–1.0 sec. The discharge rates were sampled at 400 Hz and the mean } r_G \text{ plotted against response duration (D). For uncorrelated spike trains of short duration viewed in an extended time window, } r_G \text{ approached 0.3 but was below 0.2 for response durations >60% of the time window. Indeed, when the whole time window is considered, the spike trains in E do appear more “similar” to each other than the trains in F. The open diamond and circle in D correspond to the sample set in E and F (diamond, 0.1 sec response duration; circle, 0.9 sec response duration).} \]
The rlc thus seems to be a useful indicator of spike train similarity. It yields results that are intuitively convincing, yet it is quite insensitive to potentially complicating factors, such as the mean discharge rate of the spike train and rate at which the discharge rate is sampled. The rlc was not significantly influenced by the frequency at which the instantaneous discharge rate was sampled. The rlc calculated for the lowest sampling frequency (100 Hz, dashed lines, Fig. 3A) while different in a statistical sense was practically identical to the means obtained with considerably higher sampling frequencies (400 and 1600 Hz, solid lines, Fig. 3A). Moreover, as illustrated in Figure 3A, the values for 400 and 1600 Hz were inseparable. Importantly, 400 Hz was the lowest frequency rate at which the instantaneous discharge rate was sampled in the present study.

In a second approach, the duration of the response period was varied while the simulated mean discharge rate was kept constant at 60 imp/sec (Fig. 3D–F). For short response durations, the mean rlc approached 0.3 but approximated 0.0 for response durations close to the length of the 1 sec window. That the rlc increased when the response duration became small fits well the intended use of this index as a measurement of similarity. The spike trains in Figure 3E, for instance, are indeed "similar" despite that the spikes are uncorrelated for the duration of the response.

The rlc, thus seems to be a useful indicator of spike train similarity. It yields results that are intuitively convincing, yet it is quite insensitive to potentially complicating factors, such as the mean discharge rate of the spike train and rate at which the discharge rate is sampled.

Handling alignment errors. All replications were not perfectly aligned in the 1600 × n matrix. This was true in particular for the first eight units for which the photosensors had not yet been installed. Although the alignment errors never exceeded 100 samples (i.e., 100 rows in the matrix), they would have decreased the accuracy of any measurement of similarity. Alignment was achieved by an iterative procedure that involved calculating the cross-correlation between single replications and the mean of all replications. The rationale for this procedure was as follows. Consider a set of identical replications that contains a single maligned replication. The peak of the cross-correlation between the maligned replication and the mean of all replications will appear at a certain offset from zero, whereas for the rest of the replications the offset of the peak will be close to zero. If the maligned replication is translated in a direction that reduces the offset of the cross-correlation peak, the set gradually becomes better aligned. Similar reasoning can be applied to a set in which all replications are similar but not identical. In the 1600 × n matrix, each vector was translated to reduce the offset of the cross-correlation peak and the mean of the remaining vectors until no vector had to be translated more than one element. To illustrate the effect of this alignment procedure, consider the records in Figure 4A. These were aligned using the signal on from the angular measuring device on the stimulator (see above), but because of its limited resolution the records were incorrectly aligned. This is evident from both the superimposed records of the forces and the instantaneous discharge rates. In Figure 4B, the records are shown after the realignment. Note that both superimposed force and discharge rate records are now clearly well aligned.

Results
Quantitative data were obtained from 70 cutaneous, low-threshold mechanoreceptors in the human median nerve (20 units), radial nerve (23 units), and inferior alveolar nerve (27 units). The response of each mechanoreceptor to stimulus motion at multiple velocities (median = 7) in each of two opposing directions was obtained. Ten units were, in addition, studied with opposing directions delivered along a second orientation across the receptive field, orthogonal to the first. Moreover, 16 units were studied with more than one brush or bristle extension against the skin. Finally, 15 units were stimulated through more than one aperture so as to vary the total area of skin exposed to the moving brush. All together, a total of 156 runs of single-unit data were collected constituting the neural response to 12,323 stimulus trials. Force data were available for 62 of the 70 quantitatively studied units. (Fabrication of the force transducing circuitry was not completed until after the first eight units had been studied.) Moreover, force data obtained during runs in which the aperture was reduced in size were discarded because all bristles of the brush were not on the skin in these trials.

Description of quantitatively studied units
Roughly half of the afferents were slowly adapting (15 SAI and 24 SAII) and half were fast adapting (29 FAI, 1 FAII, and 1 FA-hair). Of the SAIIs, 13/24 were spontaneously active (geometric mean background firing rate = 5.5 imp/sec). In addition, two SAI and two FAI units exhibited minimal background activity (geometric mean background firing rate = 2.5 imp/sec). Approximately half of the spontaneously active units were located in each of the radial and inferior alveolar nerves (none were located in the median nerve).

The receptive fields of all 70 units are shown in Figure 5A–C. Note that the receptive fields of the median and radial nerves were distributed over widely different locations on the skin.
regions innervated by these nerves. No units with receptive fields between the digits were studied because their fields could not be accessed with the stimulator. In contrast to the nerves of the hand, most all receptive fields of the inferior alveolar nerve were accessible to the brushing stimulator. The receptive fields were not uniformly distributed over the supply area of the mental nerve. Rather, they were concentrated on the vermillion and transitional epithelium with the hairy skin of the lower lip.

Consistent with other human microneurographic studies, the receptive fields were small circular and oval areas, only a few millimeters in diameter. In Figure 5D, receptive field area is summarized by nerve and class. The geometric mean receptive field area was 0.055 cm² (log SD 0.49). For the sample, receptive field area did not vary among mechanoreceptors of different nerves and/or classes (p = 0.17). The thresholds as measured at the most sensitive spot within the RF are shown in Figure 5E. The overall geometric mean threshold was 0.57 mN (log SD 0.51). However, the thresholds for the mechanoreceptors in the median nerve (geometric mean 1.09 mN) exceeded those in the inferior alveolar nerve (geometric mean 0.36 mN; p < 0.02). No other statistically significant difference (at the 0.05 level) in receptive field size or threshold was observed among units of different nerves or classes. Note that in Figure 5, as elsewhere in this article, all fast adapting units are grouped into a common (fast adapting, FA) category. This is because only two fast adapting units were not classified as type I (see Fig. 5A, B), and their responses to moving stimuli could not be differentiated from those of the FAIs.

Consistency of neural response to replication of the same stimulus

Figure 6 shows all records with the stiff brown brush (compare Table 2) moving at 1 cm/sec in the radial-to-ulnar (R → U) direction over the receptive field of an SAII receptor. The receptor was located in the glabrous skin at the junction of the middle and distal phalanx of the index finger. The data in this figure were extracted from a run comprising 280 trials with stimuli of different velocities (0.5–32 cm/sec) delivered in both the R → U and the ulnar-to-radial (U → R) directions. Figure 6 serves to illustrate several general statements related to both the employed stimulation method and the response of single afferents.

First, stimuli were delivered with little variability in both velocity and applied forces: to illustrate, the force and photosensor records each represent 10 superimposed single trials. Second, the response onset of most afferents showed very little variability. The particular afferent in Figure 6 responded within a time window < 11 msec long, a period during which the brush at most moved 110 μm across the skin. (The ability of individual afferents to accurately signal the stimulus onset will be dealt with in more detail in a subsequent paper devoted to the analysis...
of population responses.) Third, the responses evoked by replication of the same stimulus were remarkably similar in general. The duration of the response and the discharge rates were similar from trial to trial for all receptor classes, and for slowly adapting receptors, the detailed patterns of the spike trains typically from trial to trial for all receptor classes, and for slowly adapting receptors, the detailed patterns of the spike trains typically matched as well. For instance, between the 2nd and 10th replication in Figure 6, about 20 min had elapsed and the receptor had been subjected to more than 100 brush stimuli of different velocities and directions. The two spike trains were nevertheless virtually identical (compare trains 2 and 10, Fig. 6).

Response similarity was assessed by calculating the intraclass correlation coefficient, $r_{IC}$, of the instantaneous discharge rate. For the spike train rasters in Figure 6, the $r_{IC}$ value was 0.783. As is described in the companion article of this series, both SA and FA receptors typically respond well to brush velocities at about 2 cm/sec. For this reason, responses to stimuli moving at a velocity of 2 cm/sec were selected for a first analysis (D→P or L→M directions; 10 SA1, 7 SAII, and 30 FA). For units tested with more than one brush or brush extension, a run was identified for the analysis based on the similarity of the applied forces to those of runs obtained from other units. The two most striking findings are readily observed in Figure 7A, which shows the means and cumulative distributions of $r_{IC}$ by nerve and unit type. In all cases the $r_{IC}$ was calculated for the duration of the longest response among the replications. First, the SA units as a group displayed significantly larger $r_{IC}$ than the FA units (Kolmogorov-Smirnov, $p = 0.0027$). This difference was most pronounced for units located on the hand. The SAII units in the hand exhibited particularly high $r_{IC}$. Second, the $r_{IC}$ was generally lower and showed a larger variability among units recorded from the inferior alveolar nerve.

Figure 7B illustrates the range of responses for units from the hand and the face. The units were chosen from the lower and upper range of the distribution of $r_{IC}$. The $r_{IC}$ values were found to correspond well to the impression obtained from inspecting the raster plots and the plots of the discharge rates: units with markedly variable responses to the replicated stimulus showed low $r_{IC}$ values, whereas the units which showed practically identical spike trains yielded $r_{IC}$ values close to unity. Because not even the units with the lowest $r_{IC}$ approached the range of $r_{IC}$ values of simulated uncorrelated spike trains (compare Fig. 3A), it was concluded that even the units showing the highest variability (e.g., FAI unit 17-4 in Fig. 7B) displayed significant similarities from replication to replication.

The $r_{IC}$ analysis was extended to all velocities employed. The differences in $r_{IC}$ among nerves and unit types observed at the 2 cm/sec brush velocity were consistently observed at all brush velocities (Fig. 8). Throughout the velocity range tested, SA afferents as a group displayed a higher consistency in their responses than FA units. Furthermore, whereas the consistency in the response was similar at all velocities for the SA units, FA units showed an increased consistency in the middle range of velocities (4–16 cm/sec). Finally, afferents recorded from hand nerves showed higher response consistencies than afferents of the same class recorded from the inferior alveolar nerve.

**Differential sensitivity to the phases of the complex mechanical stimulus**

Importantly noted, the response duration did not precisely coincide with the interval during which the brush was in actual contact with the receptive field (note the extent of the receptive fields as indicated in Figs. 7B, 10–11, 14). Indeed, some units
began to discharge before the brush had reached their receptive fields, that is, when the skin in front of the moving brush was compressed (e.g., SAI unit 25-1, Fig. 7B). Similarly, many units responded to the stretch imposed on the skin when the brush had moved beyond the receptive field (e.g., SAI unit 23-1, Fig. 7B). As a consequence, multiple peaks and troughs in the discharge rate were common. These peaks seemed to correspond to the sequential, partially overlapping phases of the mechanical stimulation: compression, indentation and stretch of the skin. With a minority of the units (e.g., SAI unit 5-1, FAI unit 20-4, Fig. 7B), the response seemed to be mainly invoked by skin indentation. Other units showed brisk responses to skin compression (e.g., SAI unit 25-1, Fig. 7B); whereas still others showed evidence of responding to all three phases of the brush stimulus (e.g., SAI unit 23-3, FAI unit 19-1, Fig. 7B). The unique responses of individual mechanoreceptors thus seemed to reflect a differential sensitivity to the various components of the mechanical deformation produced by the moving brush stimulus.

Effect of previous stimulus direction on response patterns

The data for the analyses shown in Figure 7 were selected without respect to the prehistory of the stimulation, that is, about...
one-half of the spike trains were preceded by trials with brush movements in the same direction (L → M or D → P) and the other half by brush movements in the opposite direction.

It is well known that the response of cutaneous mechanoreceptors may be influenced several minutes after the cessation of a mechanical stimulus (Pubols, 1982a,b). No evidence was found in the present study, however, to indicate that the movement direction in the previous trial caused short- or long-term changes in unit responsivity. The effect of the trial immediately preceding each of those used for the $r_{ic}$ analysis shown in Figure 7B was assessed by comparing two subsets of data: one consisting of trials preceded by trials of the same stimulus direction and the other preceded by the opposite stimulus direction. The results of this analysis are exemplified by Figure 9. The mean instantaneous discharge rates and the spike trains for the SAI unit 22-4 unit were virtually identical for the two groups of trials with different prehistories (see Fig. 9A-C). Thus, movement direction in previous trials exerted a negligible effect on SA units. Moreover, previous movement direction did not appear to greatly contribute to the increased variability of the FA units (see Fig. 7B).

Response patterns for different velocities and movement directions

The records in Figure 10 illustrate a striking observation common to almost all SA units and to many FA units. Although the discharge rates increased dramatically with increasing brush velocities (note the vertical scale bar in Fig. 10A,D), the peaks and troughs of the rates showed a similar pattern of modulation relative to the skin surface irrespective of the stimulus velocity. The similarity was most striking at nearby velocities but with some units the spatial response profiles showed a definite resemblance even at velocities differing eightfold in magnitude (Fig. 10F). The spatial response pattern for most skin mechanoreceptors was thus preserved for stimuli moving in the same direction but at different velocities.

In contrast, the spatial responses patterns were markedly different for stimuli moving in opposite directions even though the discharge rates were often similar (though seldom identical; Fig. 10B,E). Moreover, the responses evoked by the brush at any particular spatial position on the skin did not match for opposing directions, that is, the response profile in one stimulus direction was not simply a mirror image of the response profile in the opposite stimulus direction (Fig. 10B). These observations thus extend and give further support to the conclusion presented above: The units exhibited a differential sensitivity to the components of the complex mechanical stimulus, that is, skin compression, indentation and stretch produced by the moving brush. Moreover, the discharge evoked from any given unit depends on the direction of motion. The SAI unit in Figure 10D-F, for example, responded briskly to the skin compression evoked by the brush when it moved in the D → P direction but not when the brush moved in P → D direction (Fig. 10E). In contrast, the SAII unit in Figure 10 responded more briskly to skin indentation and less to skin stretch when the brush moved in the D → P direction than when the brush moved in the P → D direction (Fig. 10A,B).

For 10 units, responses were recorded to brush stimuli moving in two orthogonal orientations. The responses of two of these units are shown in Figure 11. The white brush was moved over the receptive field of the FAI unit in the radial-ulnar and proximal-distal orientations (Fig. 11A,B) and the brown brush over the receptive field of an SAII unit in the medial-lateral and superior-inferior orientations (Fig. 11C,D). The responses were not only markedly different for stimuli moving in opposite directions, but also for stimuli moving in different orientations. Again, the magnitude of the responses was relatively similar in opposing directions, but the patterns were different. Moreover, there was little resemblance of response patterns to stimuli of different orientations.

Response patterns for different force magnitudes

The effect of changing the brush extension and thereby changing the total force applied by the brush to the skin was studied with 16 units. In Figure 12, the responses of two units to the brown brush are compared with those evoked by the white brush (compare Table 2). With these two brushes, markedly different magnitudes of forces were delivered to the skin as evident from the superimposed force tracings. The typical and most striking effect of increasing the force was an increase in the number of evoked action potentials (e.g., compare Fig. 12A,C). As a consequence, higher discharge rates were commonly observed with greater forces. For some units increasing the applied force also revealed previously inappreciable or nonobserved elements in the re-
Figure 9. The response of a SAI (A–C) and FAI (D–F) unit to brush movements in the distal → proximal direction at 2 cm/sec immediately preceded by stimuli in the same (A, D) or in the opposite direction (B, E). The mean instantaneous discharge rates were calculated for each of the two sets of spike trains and superimposed in C and F. The vertical scale bars indicate 50 imp/sec.

Response patterns for different total areas of skin stimulated

As noted above, action potentials were often evoked both before and after the brush was in contact with the receptive field. The majority of the receptors did not start to discharge as soon as the brush touched the skin and ceased to discharge before the brush left the skin. A few receptors, however, started to respond as soon as the brush touched the skin or did not cease to discharge before the brush left the skin. Since the receptive field was centered in the aperture and always was smaller in size, it seemed unlikely that slight reductions of the aperture size should significantly influence the receptors' responses to the brush stimuli. The effect of reducing the aperture size to expose less skin surrounding the RF was studied in 15 units. In these experiments, the dimensions of the reduced aperture always equalled or exceeded those of the RF.

The effect of reducing the aperture dimensions is exemplified by the data shown in Figure 13. Stimuli were delivered in the medial-lateral orientation across the face over a total of 1.96 and 0.25 cm² of skin (i.e., using the 1.4 cm × 1.4 cm and 0.5 cm × 0.5 cm aperture, respectively). For FAI unit 19-1 (Fig. 13A) the reduction in aperture size resulted in loss of a stretch-related response component for stimuli moving in the L → M direction, whereas a compression-related component was lost for stimuli moving in the opposite direction. In contrast, reducing the aperture size had little effect on the response of a second FAI unit from the same subject (Fig. 13B). For this latter unit, the notable difference with the reduced aperture was a additional burst of spikes. The burst was apparently evoked when the brush initially contacted the skin at a site in close proximity of the RF border. Thus, reducing the aperture size had either little effect or altered those components of the response evoked by the brush when in contact only with the skin surrounding the RF.

Sensitivity of response patterns to unidentified stimulus attributes

As demonstrated above, many units responded remarkably consistently to replication of well-controlled stimuli even when these trials, as in the present experiment, were mingled among hundreds of other trials of different stimulus specifications. The significance of this is not clear, but for teleological reasons it is tempting to attribute functional meaning to such consistent behavior; that is, the detailed spatiotemporal response profiles carry relevant information about the applied stimuli. For five units (one SAI, two SAIL, and two FAI), the sensitivity to stimulus attributes other than those purposely controlled was examined in the following manner. The position of the aperture was carefully noted (marked on the skin) as well as the position of the brushing stimulator in relation to the RF. After one or several runs for which either the aperture plate, the position of the aperture plate, the brush or its extension had been altered, the original experimental conditions ("set-up") were reproduced as closely as possible. An example of data obtained is provided in Figure 14. Shown are the responses of one SAILI unit in the glabrous skin to the same stimuli delivered in two runs separated by about an hour in time. It is clear from Figure 14, A and B, that details of the response profiles were markedly different for the two runs. Force tracings and mean instantaneous discharge rates for the two runs have been superimposed for comparison in Figure 14, C and D.

Such drastic differences in the details of the response pattern were observed with all five units despite the fact that the aperture plate was in the same position, that the stimulator was oriented the same way within a few degrees, that the brush delivered comparable forces, and that the brush moved with the same velocity. Furthermore, these differences were observed despite the fact that all five units showed a high degree of response consistency to the same stimulus within each run (for all units $r_{iv} > 0.67$; compare Fig. 7).
Discussion

Most previous investigators who have employed moving tactile objects have been mainly interested in the encoding of surface features rather than of the velocity and direction of the relative motion between the object and skin (Johnson and Lamb, 1981; LaMotte and Whitehouse, 1986; Goodwin and Morley, 1987a,b; LaMotte and Srinivasan, 1987a,b; Morley and Goodwin, 1987; Srinivasan and LaMotte, 1987). In their experiments, the objects were made to contact the primate fingertip in a manner so as...
Figure 11. A and B, Responses and receptive field of a representative FAI unit. A, Mean instantaneous discharge rates to 2 cm/sec brush movements in the radial → ulnar (R → U), ulnar → radial (U → R), distal → proximal (D → P), and proximal → distal (P → D) directions. B, Spike rasters represented in spatial coordinates: each dot represents the position of the brush on the skin when a spike was evoked. C and D, As in A and B but for a SAII unit and stimuli in the medial-lateral and superior-inferior orientations.

Given the different manner in which peripheral afferents are engaged by these two modes of relative movement, it is reasonable to hypothesize that there must also be differences in their central neural processing. Moreover, for each mode, movement-related information may be provided by more than one peripheral mechanism. For example, for real movement across the skin, (1) the patterns of discharge evoked in individual mechanoreceptors, (2) the intensities at which the population of excited receptors discharge, (3) the spatial distribution of receptors activated at any instant in time and changes in the distribution over time, and (4) the times at which the receptive fields along the path of motion are successively activated, all represent hypothetical encoding mechanisms. In fact, the present work was motivated in large part by the desire to obtain data bearing on these possibilities.

In this and the following companion article (Essick and Edin, 1994), we show that information about velocity and direction is available in the stimulus-evoked discharges of the population of excited receptors (viz., by a within-fiber code or one that does not depend on either the spatial locations of the receptive fields or their spatiotemporal sequence of activation). That this information is, in fact, sufficient to specify stimulus velocity and direction will be shown in a subsequent report. Moreover, the different hypothetical population-encoding schemes for moving tactile stimuli in humans will be addressed (including across-fiber schemes that depend solely on the spatial locations of the receptive fields or their spatiotemporal sequence of activation).

Human cutaneous low-threshold mechanoreceptors respond in a highly consistent manner to moving tactile stimuli

Three main results from this study are that (1) human low-threshold cutaneous mechanoreceptors in the glabrous and hairy skin of the hand and face respond in a highly consistent manner to replication of the same moving brush stimulus, (2) the discharge of the individual mechanoreceptor exhibits highly reliable differences to stimuli moving at different velocities, and (3) different directions of movement evoke differences in the discharge that are consistently observed upon replication of the same stimuli. With the very same stimulus employed in the present study, a similar finding was reported for the mechanoreceptors innervating the hairy skin of the monkey hindlimb (Whitsel et al., 1972). Indeed, several studies conclude that cutaneous mechanoreceptors exhibit highly consistent responses when subjected to replication of well-controlled mechanical stimuli. Hair receptors in the cat, for instance, demonstrate a high degree of consistency in their response from one replication to the next to air-jet stimuli (Goldfinger and Amassian, 1980;
Ray et al., 1985). Similarly, when embossed dot arrays are swept repetitively over the glabrous skin of the human fingertip, SAI and FAI receptors respond in such a consistent manner that the dot pattern can be reconstructed from spatial event plots of their discharge (Phillips et al., 1990, 1992). Likewise, a response profile that varies little from replication to replication is evoked in monkey slowly adapting receptors by a step stroked across the fingerpad (LaMotte and Srinivasan, 1987a). The remarkable degree of consistency in the periphery stands in marked contrast to that observed for many primate motion-sensitive, somatosensory cortical neurons (Hyvärinen and Poranen, 1978; Costanzo and Gardner, 1980; Essick and Whitsett, 1985b; Lee and Whitsett, 1992).

It should be noted that even those slowly adapting skin receptors that responded in a highly reproducible fashion to the brush stimuli appeared quite 'noisy' upon manual stimulation. That is, when the skin was manually poked or stroked all skin receptors responded in a seemingly irregular and inconsistent manner. Our interpretation of this apparent paradox is that these receptors encode mechanical stimuli with such high fidelity that they faithfully reflect even subtle irregularities in stimulus delivery.

Although most afferents showed consistent responses to moving tactile stimuli, there was a substantial number of units, in particular among the FA units, that were exceptional in this regard as evidenced by relatively low $r_{nc}$ values (Fig. 7). This does not necessarily imply, however, that FA units are more "noisy" than the SA units. Indeed, an equally plausible interpretation is that the stimulus parameters rigorously controlled in the present experiments, that is, the brush velocity and the applied forces, did not represent the most important stimulus features for these skin mechanoreceptors. Clearly, there were perceptually important mechanical events at the brush-skin interface that were not reflected in the stimulus parameters we investigated. Texture information, for example, enabled the subjects to readily differentiate the stroke of the brush from that of a pencil. However, such information was not represented in the measured forces. It is plausible that movements of individual bristles on the skin might thus have been a significant stimulus feature for FA units as well as some SAI units, whereas it likely played a minor role in the response of SAII units.

A similar reason may explain why mechanoreceptors supplying the face appeared to exhibit a lower degree of consistency than mechanoreceptors from the hand (Fig. 7). The facial skin, particularly in areas close to the mouth, is pliable and easily deformed. It was indeed our observation that the skin was visibly deformed by the brush during many runs. It seems reasonable to assume that subtle variations in these deformations contributed to variations in the receptor response. Thus, we do not claim that facial mechanoreceptors per se are less consistent than mechanoreceptors in the glabrous or nonglabrous skin of the hand.
Relationship between mechanical stimulus and response patterns

A moving tactile stimulus consists of a complex sequence of mechanical events. For example, when a brush moves across the skin, the skin in front is compressed, the subjacent skin is indented and the skin behind is stretched. As a result, a mechanoreceptor located in the movement path is subjected to partially overlapping phases dominated by compression, indentation or stretch. Indeed, most receptors we studied responded to skin stimulation even when the brush was not in direct contact with the receptive field.

These findings stand in sharp contrast with those studies in which moving tactile stimuli were only simulated. Although human studies have not been conducted to date, an air-jet stream (Goldfinger and Amassian, 1980) and Optacon device (Gardner and Palmer, 1989) have been employed to study cutaneous afferents in the cat and monkey, respectively. Both types of stimuli lack the tangential force components that are obligatory with most naturally occurring moving stimuli. As a result, application of these stimuli effectively maps out the receptive field in a manner similar to that observed from use of von Frey hairs. Peaks in the discharge correspond to particularly sensitive parts of the receptive field ("hot spots"; Goldfinger and Amassian, 1980). Receptive fields studied with the Optacon most often exhibit uniform sensitivity, with one spike typically evoked by every cycle of the vibrating probes (Gardner and Palmer, 1989).

Accordingly, the spatial discharge profiles generated in opposing directions by these "frictionless" stimuli are remarkably similar when aligned to common spatial loci.

In contrast, with a moving brush the response to stimuli moving in opposite directions are typically quite different and cannot be made to appear the same by any simple temporal rotation or realignment. A similar finding has been reported for SA receptors in the glabrous skin of the monkey digit using smoothly graded stepped surfaces stroked across the skin (LaMotte and Srinivasan, 1987a). Furthermore, there is no simple correspondence between the discharge pattern and those features of the receptive field demonstrated by purely indenting stimuli (e.g., "hot spots," cf. LaMotte and Srinivasan, 1987a).

Mechanoreceptors display differences to stimuli that move at different velocities and directions

With increasing brush velocity, the rate of change of the stretching, compressing and indenting force components all increase. This fact paired with the pronounced dynamic sensitivity of both FA and SA units provides a simple and yet convincing explanation as to why practically all units displayed an increased discharge rate with increased brush velocity. That the underlying mechanoelectrical events are similar even though they occur at different rates at different velocities, is suggested by the striking preservation of the details of the spatial discharge pattern.
Most low-threshold mechanoreceptors, however, exhibit distinct differences in response to brush stimuli moving in different directions even at the same stimulus velocity. These differences are most pronounced in the spatial discharge patterns. Consistent directional differences in discharge intensity (e.g., mean firing rate) are also observed, but they are often relatively subtle. Directional differences in the discharge may be explained by asymmetrical sensitivity to stretch or compression with respect to the orientation of the stimulation. Indeed, many human SAII units in the glabrous skin exhibit differential sensitivity to stretch in opposite directions (Johansson, 1978). The information provided by individual skin receptors is thus, in principle, able to differentiate not only between stimuli moving at different velocities but also between stimuli moving in different directions.

Implications for the encoding of information about tactile motion
The ability to appreciate the direction of a moving tactile stimulus is greatly enhanced when the stimulus applies tangential forces, as when, for instance, a brush or a stylus is moved across the skin (Gould et al., 1979; Bender et al., 1982; Norrsell and Olausson, 1992). Indeed, subjects readily detect the direction of skin stretch applied to discrete points on the skin (Halpem, 1949). Hence, we must conclude that the skin mechanoreceptors convey useful movement-relevant information as a result of the forces applied tangential to the skin. But why does the addition of skin stretch and compression improve psychophysical performance?

The richness and the consistency of the response patterns evoked by the moving brush stimuli invite attributing functional significance to these patterns; that is, the details of the patterns provide useful information about tactile events including the direction of motion (compare Fig. 11). For several reasons, however, we are reluctant to yield to this temptation. First, this explanation loses most of its appeal when one considers the computational demands on a putative neural system responsible for extracting information from the detailed discharge patterns of individual receptors. Such a system must be able to process the discharge pattern in the spatial rather than the temporal domain since the patterns evoked at different stimulus velocities are similar only when expressed as a function of position on the skin. This, in turn, implies a computational algorithm that is sensitive to the time of occurrence of individual spikes but insensitive to the time interval between spikes. Whereas such computations are readily carried out on a computer when the actual stimulus velocities are known (compare Fig. 11), we are unaware of any neural mechanisms that could accomplish this feat.
The second reason we are reluctant to attribute an important functional role to the detailed temporal pattern for the encoding of brush direction is that a seemingly insignificant modification of the stimulus produces a drastic change in the response patterns (compare Fig. 14). It is difficult to imagine any neuronal processing scheme that could map distinctly different response patterns to almost identical stimulus parameters, that is, to one direction of movement.

A more attractive explanation as to why the skin stretch that normally accompanies movements improves psychophysical performance on direction discrimination tasks is that the lateral stresses and strains simply recruit additional receptors surrounding the moving stimulus (Gould et al., 1979). Moreover, the rate at which the population is recruited as well as its spatial distribution is dictated by the velocity and direction of motion, respectively. Accordingly, the accuracy with which the stimulus can be specified is predicted to be greater when a large population of afferents is activated in a particular spatiotemporal sequence than when a small population is activated directly under the stimulus. In this view it is not necessary to assign any functional role to the directional asymmetries in the discharge patterns exhibited by the individual strain-sensitive receptors. The consistency of the discharge patterns upon stimulus replication is thus considered an epiphenomenon: In order to reliably respond with a certain number of spikes or a certain mean firing rate to a particular stimulus (i.e., with a certain intensity), the receptor is endowed with certain response characteristics that reflect the sequential compression, indentation and stretch of the skin.

That skin stretch and compression are unnecessary for the percept of tactile motion, however, is well established. An illusion of movement is readily produced by a sequence of short-durations, discrete indentations of the skin along a cutaneous path (Kirman, 1983; Szaniszlo et al., 1992). This has been demonstrated even in experiments for which only two widely separated stimuli were sequentially delivered (Sherrick and Rogers, 1966). Although human subjects can discriminate the direction of such stimuli (Gardner and Sklar, 1986; Craig and Baihua, 1990; Evans and Craig, 1991; Essick et al., 1992), the capacity to appreciate their velocity has received little attention (Essick et al., 1991). Consistent with the existing psychophysical observations, somatosensory cortical neurons in primates have been shown to respond to discontinuously moving stimuli in the same manner as to continuously moving natural stimuli, and to differentially signal opposing directions (Gardner, 1988; Gardner et al., 1992). Thus, it is generally accepted that central neural mechanisms can infer the direction, and probably the velocity, of moving tactile stimuli solely from the times at which the spatially distributed mechanoreceptors are activated.

That detailed discharge patterns evoked in individual mechanoreceptors are not necessary for the processing of moving tactile stimuli can be tested in psychophysical experiments. This hypothesis predicts that the ability to discern between different movement directions and velocities is the same whether the skin is stimulated with exclusively indenting stimuli (e.g., with an Optacon stimulator that does not evoke complex discharge patterns in individual afferents; Gardner and Palmer, 1989) or with stimuli involving forces tangential to skin (e.g., with a moving brush) provided that the receptor population engaged is the same. In a recent preliminary study this issue was, in fact, addressed and the prediction was fulfilled (Rath and Essick, 1992). Indeed, direction discrimination was very similar for both modes of stimulation suggesting that lateral skin stretch is sufficient but not necessary for this sensory function.
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