Spatially differentiated expression of quadruplicated green-sensitive RH2 opsin genes in zebrafish is determined by proximal regulatory regions and gene order to the locus control region
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Abstract
Background: Fish are remarkably diverse in repertoires of visual opsins by gene duplications. Differentiation of their spatiotemporal expression patterns and absorption spectra enables fine-tuning of feature detection in spectrally distinct regions of the visual field during ontogeny. Zebrafish have quadruplicated green-sensitive (RH2) opsin genes in tandem (RH2-1, –2, –3, –4), which are expressed in the short member of the double cones (SDC). The shortest wavelength RH2 subtype (RH2-1) is expressed in the central to dorsal area of the adult retina. The second shortest wave subtype (RH2-2) is expressed overlapping with RH2-1 but extending outside of it. The second longest wave subtype (RH2-3) is expressed surrounding the RH2-2 area, and the longest wave subtype (RH2-4) is expressed outside of the RH2-3 area broadly occupying the ventral area. Expression of the four RH2 genes in SDC requires a single enhancer (RH2-LCR), but the mechanism of their spatial differentiation remains elusive.

Results: Functional comparison of the RH2-LCR with its counterpart in medaka revealed that the regulatory role of the RH2-LCR in SDC-specific expression is evolutionarily conserved. By combining the RH2-LCR and the proximal upstream region of each RH2 gene with fluorescent protein reporters, we show that the RH2-LCR and the RH2-3 proximal regulatory region confer no spatial selectivity of expression in the retina. But those of RH2-1, –2 and –4 are capable of inducing spatial differentiation of expression. Furthermore, by analyzing transgenic fish with a series of arrays consisting of the RH2-LCR and multiple upstream regions of the RH2 genes in different orders, we show that a gene expression pattern related to an upstream region is greatly influenced by another flanking upstream region in a relative position-dependent manner.

Conclusions: The zebrafish RH2 genes except RH2-3 acquired differential cis-elements in the proximal upstream regions to specify the differential expression patterns. The input from these proximal elements collectively dictates the actual gene expression pattern of the locus, context-dependently. Importantly, competition for the RH2-LCR activity among the replicates is critical in this collective regulation, facilitating differentiation of expression among them. This combination of specificity and generality enables seemingly complicated spatial differentiation of duplicated opsin genes characteristic in fish.
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Background

In vertebrates, visual opsins are classified into five phylogenetic types that originated in their common ancestor. RH1 is the rod opsin or rhodopsin responsible for dim-light vision. The other four are cone opsins for color vision: they are SWS1, SWS2, RH2 and M/LWS, and mainly sensitive to UV, blue, green and red light, respectively [1]. These different types of opsin genes are generally expressed in distinct types of photoreceptor cells that are arrayed in the retina to assure color discrimination [2].

Among vertebrates, fish have experienced gain and loss of visual opsins repeatedly by gene duplications and deletions. For example, zebrafish (Danio rerio) have ten visual opsin genes: a tandem array of spectrally distinct two LWS genes (LWS-1 and LWS-2) and that of four RH2 genes (RH2-1, RH2-2, RH2-3 and RH2-4), single-copy SWS1 and SWS2 genes, and two RH1 genes [3, 4]. Medaka (Oryzias latipes) [5] and cichlid (Nile tilapia, Oreochromis niloticus) [6, 7] have nine and eight opsin genes, respectively.

Newly replicated daughter genes are identical; hence typically only one is likely to be preserved and the others become pseudogenes due to functional redundancy. Nevertheless, if the replicates undergo a process of sub-functionalization, i.e. taking a different part of original function which the ancestral gene had, both genes are more likely to be preserved [8]. The subtype opsin genes in fish indeed achieved the subfunctionalization by differential spatial and temporal expression patterns within the retina as well as divergent absorption spectra of the encoding photopigments [3, 5, 9–14].

In the case of zebrafish, all the four subtypes of RH2 are expressed in the short (or accessory) member of double cones (SDCs) and both two LWS subtypes are expressed in the long (or principal) member of double cones (LDCs) [13, 15, 16]. However, they are differentiated in the expression pattern in the retina [13]. Fish eyes continue to grow through the lifetime by adding new cells to the peripheral zones [17]. Concomitantly, early-expressed subtypes are located centrally in the adult retina. The shortest wavelength RH2 subtype (RH2-1) is expressed earliest and in the central to the dorsal area of the adult retina. The second shortest wave subtype (RH2-2) is expressed subsequently overlapping with RH2-1 but extending outside of it. The longer wave RH2 subtype (RH2-3) is expressed later and in a region surrounding the RH2-2 area, and the longest wave RH2 subtype (RH2-4) is also expressed later and outside of the RH2-3 area, broadly occupying the ventral area. Similarly, the shorter wave LWS subtype (LWS-2) is expressed earlier and in the central-to-dorsal area in the adult retina, and the longer wave LWS subtype (LWS-1) is expressed later in the development and confined peripherally with largely occupying the ventro-nasal area of the adult retina [13]. Thus, in zebrafish, each replicated opsin gene is expressed in a portion of the expression area of their hypothetical ancestral gene, which is presumed to have been expressed throughout the retina, while maintaining the cell-type specificity. As a result, retinal regions that detect spectrally distinct portions of the visual field in the water acquired different spectral sensitivity and presumably different color vision [13].

Medaka and cichlids also show differential expression of tandemly-arrayed opsin genes, which were replicated independently from zebrafish [5, 9–12]. Hence, fish appear to have established regulatory mechanisms for the differential expression of subtype opsins repeatedly. We wondered how fish could accomplish such seemingly complicated regulation for the differential expression in parallel.

We previously showed that, in zebrafish, a single enhancer, named RH2-LCR, was located at the 15-kb upstream of the RH2 gene cluster and was necessary and sufficient for the SDC-specific expression of all the four RH2 genes [18]. In larvae, it was shown that the relative distance from the RH2-LCR to the genes affects their expression levels whereas RH2-4 is relatively insensitive to the distance effect [18]. We also showed that the two LWS genes of zebrafish are regulated by a single enhancer (LAR) [19]. In the case of LWS genes, the closer gene to LAR, LWS-1, is expressed later and more peripherally than LWS-2 [13]. The proximal upstream region of LWS-1 appears to have an active role in specifying the spatial expression to the peripheral retina while that of LWS-2 allows expression throughout the retina in conjunction with LAR. In the presence of the LWS-1 upstream region, however, the gene expression from the LWS-2 promoter is excluded from the area where LWS-1 is expressed [19]. From these observations we hypothesize that the tandemly arrayed genes compete for their interaction with the RH2-LCR/LAR and that the relative distance influences the likeliness, e.g. a closer gene can have a greater chance to interact with it, while a proximal regulatory region can alter this stereotype pattern [19].

In this study, we test the hypothesis for the zebrafish RH2 genes. We first investigate the evolutionary and functional conservation of the RH2-LCR. We further make a series of transgenic fish carrying reporter genes under variously reordered proximal upstream regions from the RH2 genes together with the RH2-LCR.

Results

The RH2-LCR regulatory function is evolutionarily conserved

The genomic sequence of the RH2 locus was compared between two superorders Ostariophysi (e.g. zebrafish)
and Acanthopterygii (e.g. medaka and *Tetraodon*). Although the RH2 gene duplications are known to have occurred independently in the two superorders [3, 5], a portion of the RH2-LCR was highly conserved among them (Fig. 1a, b). This is consistent with the RH2 opsin genes being expressed in the SDC in both zebrafish and medaka [13, 15, 16, 20].

To test if the sequence conservation reflects its functional importance, we introduced five deletions to the RH2-LCR in the RH2-1/GFP-PAC. The RH2-1/GFP-PAC is a PAC-vectored clone, modified from the RH2-PAC containing all the four RH2 genes to replace the exon 1 of RH2-1 with a GFP (green fluorescent protein) reporter gene [18]. The reporter expression in the zebrafish retina was lost only when the central 100 bp of the RH2-LCR was deleted, which corresponds to the region of the highest sequence similarity between species (Additional file 1: Figure S1).

To further test its functional conservation, we introduced the orthologous sequence of medaka to zebrafish for its regulatory activity. We used a BAC-vectored clone from medaka [5] encompassing the orthologous RH2-LCR and all the medaka RH2 genes (RH2-A, -B, -C) in which the exon 1 of RH2-A was replaced with GFP. We observed GFP expression in the SDCs in zebrafish (Fig. 1c, d). The removal of the LCR abolished the GFP expression in the retina (Fig. 1c). Consistently, when the medaka RH2-LCR was injected together with the 3-kb upstream region of RH2-A conjugated to a GFP reporter, the GFP expression was also observed in the SDCs in zebrafish (Fig. 1e). Thus, the RH2-LCR is an evolutionarily conserved regulatory region that has been present prior to the independent gene duplication events in zebrafish and medaka lineages, and has maintained the regulatory function to drive SDC-specific gene expression.

When we coupled the RH2-LCR with the proximal upstream region of *keratin 8* as a basal promoter, which presumably has no spatial specificity of expression in the retina [18, 21, 22], the GFP reporter was expressed in all SDCs throughout the retina of the transgenic fish (Fig. 2a). Thus, the RH2-LCR confers no spatial selectivity in the retina on the expression regulation, reflecting its presumed ancestral state prior to gene duplications.

**Roles of proximal regulatory regions in area specificity**

We further modified the RH2-PAC to create the RH2-1/GFP-RH2-2/RFP-PAC and the RH2-3/GFP-RH2-4/RFP-PAC in which the exon 1 of RH2-1 or RH2-3 was replaced with GFP and that of RH2-2 or RH2-4 was replaced with RFP (red fluorescent protein), respectively, so that we could visualize the expression pattern of two RH2 genes simultaneously (Additional file 1: Figures S2 and S3). We confirmed that these transgenic zebrafish lines indeed recapitulated the corresponding RH2 genes’ expression as we previously showed using the single-gene replacement constructs (RH2-1/GFP-PAC, RH2-2/GFP-PAC, RH2-3/GFP-PAC, and RH2-4/GFP-PAC) [18]. This further confirmed that the RH2-PAC contains the complete set of cis-regulatory regions.

We next established transgenic zebrafish lines using only the upstream regions of RH2-1, RH2-2, RH2-3 and RH2-4 coupled respectively with the RH2-LCR and the GFP reporter (Fig. 2b): the upstream sequence of RH2-1 (4.2 kb) and the entire intergenic regions upstream of RH2-2 (3.0 kb), of RH2-3 (2.6 kb), and of RH2-4 (7.4 kb). The transgenic lines showed that the RH2-1 and RH2-2 constructs drove GFP expression confined to the central-to-dorsal area of the retina and the RH2-4 construct to the ventral area, largely recapitulating the respective expression pattern of these genes (Fig. 2c, see also Additional file 1: Figures S2 and S3) [13]. By contrast, the RH2-3 construct drove GFP expression in all SDCs of the retina, markedly different from its native narrow expression pattern surrounding the native RH2-2 expression area (Fig. 2c, see also Additional file 1: Figure S3C, D) [13]. Taken together, the proximal upstream regions of RH2-1, -2 and -4 are capable of specifying expression area in the retina whereas that of RH2-3 is not. It was also noted that the transgenic fish with the RH2-3 construct showed considerable ectopic expression of GFP in non-SDC photoreceptors throughout the retina, while those with the RH2-1, -2 and -4 constructs did not (Fig. 2d).

**Effect of relative distance to the RH2-LCR among proximal regulatory regions on the spatial expression pattern in the retina**

We then combined two genes’ proximal regulatory regions, each coupled with either GFP or RFP reporter gene, under the RH2-LCR (Fig. 3), and established transgenic zebrafish lines with these constructs. This was to test the previously inferred effect of relative distance to the RH2-LCR among genes [18].

When the RH2-1 upstream region was placed closer to the RH2-LCR than the other and was combined with either of the RH2-3, RH2-4 or *keratin 8* upstream region (Fig. 3a, b, and Additional file 1: Figure S4A, B), GFP expression driven by the RH2-1 upstream region was similar to its native pattern, confined in the central-to-dorsal region of the retina. On the other hand, expression pattern of the second gene, represented by RFP expression, varied. The RH2-3 upstream region drove RFP expression in a narrow area outside the RH2-1/GFP area in one transgenic line, similar to its native pattern (Fig. 3a). In another transgenic line with the same construct, the RFP expression was evident in the central-to-dorsal area but weaker in the ventral area (Additional file 1: Figure S4A). Interestingly, the RFP and GFP expression showed
Fig. 1 (See legend on next page.)
The present study shows that (i) the regulatory role of the RH2-LCR in SDC-specific expression is evolutionarily conserved; (ii) the RH2-LCR and the RH2-3 proximal regulatory region provide no spatial selectivity of expression in the retina; (iii) the proximal regulatory regions of RH2-1, −2 and −4 are capable of inducing spatial...
differentiation of expression; (iv) these regulatory regions influence with each other to modulate the actual output in a position-dependent manner, which most strikingly determines the spatial pattern of RH2-3 expression. Since the ancestral RH2 gene was likely expressed in the entire area of the retina, we suggest that the upstream of RH2-3 maintained the ancestral regulatory feature while those of the others achieved functional modification.

The following lines of evidence support that competition for the interaction with the RH2-LCR among the replicated genes underlies the position-dependent regulation.

First, we often observed reciprocal gradients of expression between two reporter genes in our transgenic fish including those in which one is completely repressed (Fig. 3, Additional file 1: Figure S4). Furthermore, genes closer to the RH2-LCR, which should have a higher chance to interact with the enhancer, were more likely and broadly activated, while activation of other genes located further from the LCR was often interrupted (Figs. 3 and 4, Additional file 1: Figure S4).

However, the competition does not seem to be the only mechanism governing the context-dependent regulation
of the RH2 locus. The absence of RFP expression from the RH2-3 upstream sequence in the ventral retina (Fig. 3a and Additional file 1: Figure S4A) might indicate that the regulatory region upstream of RH2-1, which was located between the RH2-LCR and the RH2-3 upstream in the transgenic lines, represses gene expression in the ventral zone not only of itself but also of RH2-3 (Fig. 5). It should also be noted that in the double reporter constructs in this study, any promoters including that of RH2-4 failed to induce gene expression when located downstream of the RH2-3 promoter due to its blocking activity (Fig. 3e, f). Nevertheless the expression of endogenous RH2-4, located downstream of RH2-3, is robustly induced in the ventral area (see Additional file 1: Figure S3). This fact implies a mechanism that should interfere the blocking activity by RH2-3 over RH2-4. Perhaps, the repressive action by the upstream of RH2-1 (and also of RH2-2) towards RH2-3 in the ventral retina might play a role in it (Fig. 5). On the other hand, the translocation of the RH2-LCR to the downstream of RH2-3 diminished the activation of RH2-1 [18] and RH2-2, while extending the expression of RH2-3 (Fig. 4). Taken together, these results show that the appropriate positioning of the cis-regulatory elements within the locus is crucial for the collective regulation of the quadruplicates.

It should also be noted that the reporter expression induced by the RH2-3 promoter with the RH2-LCR was not only in the SDCs but also in other types of the photoreceptors. Although such ectopic expression was not clear in the upstream sequences of the other genes,
previous studies, in fact, reported that the RH2-LCR sometimes induced weak expression in long single cones, where SWS2 is specifically expressed normally [18, 23]. When reporter genes are integrated in the RH2-PAC clone, however, we have never seen their expression ectopically in non-SDC photoreceptor cells. Therefore, there should be a cis-regulatory mechanism that involves not only the RH2-LCR but also other cis-elements within the locus to strictly specify the RH2 expression to the SDCs. It can be further speculated that this collective regulation might depend on the genomic context, as was suggested in the Hoxd cluster and the Fgf8 locus, where multiple enhancers cooperatively defines the expression patterns of the target genes [24, 25]. Recently, sine oculis homeobox homolog 7 (Six7) was implicated in regulation of the RH2 genes in zebrafish [26]. To deepen our understanding of the cell type-specific regulation, roles of such trans-regulatory factors should be studied in parallel with cis-regulatory mechanisms.

We asked in this study how the ceaseless duplications and differentiations of the opsin genes in teleosts are accompanied by elaborate building of regulatory mechanism
to have the replicates differentially expressed with each other. We found that the competitive regulation between the replicates by a single enhancer plays an important role in the differentiation of the RH2 genes. Remarkably, the LWS in zebrafish utilizes a similar mechanism for their differential expression [19]. We propose that such competitive regulation is advantageous to preserve the replicated opsin genes from pseudogenization in fish, since the competition can intrinsically differentiate and subfunctionalize the replicates by assigning them to a distinct set of the photoreceptor cells. At the same time it precludes a void space in the retina that expresses none of the replicates: without competitive regulation, it might be possible that all lose cis-elements necessary to be expressed in some part of the retina through accumulation of mutations in their regulatory regions during the process of differentiation of expression patterns. In fact, it was shown that tandem duplication is the exclusively predominant event in the expansion of the opsin repertoires in fish rather than the whole genome duplication or retroposon-mediated duplications, which do not allow such coordinate regulation in cis [27].

In addition, the similarity between the RH2 and LWS in the expression pattern (i.e., central-to-dorsal vs. ventral) as well as in the cis-regulatory mechanisms strongly indicates that the both systems utilize shared trans-regulatory components that distinguish different areas of the retina, which might have helped their convergent differentiation. In fact, a recent study revealed that retinoic acid signaling regulates the differential expression of the two LWS genes [28]. Such an extracellular signal might also impact on the regulation of the RH2 genes, though its involvement is still elusive. On the other hand, it should be emphasized that zebrafish also acquired new mutations in the upstream sequences of some subtypes (RH2-1, RH2-2, RH2-4 and LWS-1) independently for several times to have the spatially polarized differentiation patterns (this study and [19]). This seems to be in a sharp contrast with the duplicated red/green opsin genes in catarrhine primates including human, which have seemingly more or less equivalent promoters with each other, and, as a result, are randomly expressed in some part of the retina through accumulation of mutations in their regulatory regions during the process of differentiation (this study and [19]).

The β-globin cluster in human is a well-known example of spatiotemporally-patterned regulation among tandem replicates. The developmental switching of the gene expression along the tandemly clustered genes is also regulated by stage-specific cis-elements associated with some of the early-expressed genes together with the competitive regulation that is a function of the gene order [30, 31]. On the other hand, random competition among duplicated genes with apparently equivalent promoters takes place in regulation of olfactory receptor genes to improve the dimension of odor recognition [32–34]. An artificially induced duplication of Protocadherin-α cluster also resulted in stochastic expression of replicates, but not patterned one [35]. These cases might indicate that the only competition among duplicated genes tends to result in stochastic regulation by shared enhancers, and that differential stereotyped spatiotemporal expression further requires additional cis-regulatory elements associated with some, but not necessarily all, of the replicates to dictate stage or tissue specificity.

Conclusions
Our study highlights the differential cis-elements embedded in the upstream regions of the RH2 genes as well as their relative distance to the RH2-LCR as fundamental cis-regulatory features that collectively shape the differential expression of the quadruplicated opsin genes in zebrafish (Fig. 5). Other fish such as medaka, cichlids, guppy (Poecilia reticulata), four-eyed fish (Anableps anableps) and barfin flounder (Verasper moseri) are also known to have opsin genes duplicated and differentiated in the spatiotemporal expression patterns to adjust their visual sensitivity to heterogeneity in their ontogeny and environment with fine-tuned absorption spectra of the visual pigments [5, 9–12, 27]. Therefore it is anticipated that these differentiated opsins also adopted proximal cis-regulatory mutations to differentiate the expression patterns, probably based on concerted regulation through enhancer-sharing generated upon duplications. In order to deepen our understanding of the unique expansion of the opsin repertoires in fish, future studies should further clarify the evolutionary steps of the gene regulatory mechanisms in different lineages.

Methods
Sequence comparison of RH2 locus among zebrafish, medaka and Tetraodon
The sequence surrounding the zebrafish RH2 locus was obtained from Ensembl genome database of zebrafish, and corresponds to the nucleotide position 32265746–32385745 (120 kb) of chromosome 6 in zebrafish assembly version 6. The sequence surrounding the medaka RH2 locus was obtained from medaka UT genome browser and corresponds to the nucleotide position 1505256–1705255 (200 kb) of scaffold84 of version 1.0. The sequence surrounding the Tetraodon RH2 locus was obtained from Ensembl genome database of Tetraodon, and corresponds to the nucleotide position 5110001–5140000 (30 kb) of chromosome 11 in Tetraodon (Tetraodon nigroviridis) assembly version 7 in a reverse orientation. The Sequence alignment between zebrafish, medaka and Tetraodon was made with the mVISTA program [36, 37] using the AVID algorithm [38]. Window size was set as 100 bp.
Usage of zebrafish

All animal protocols were approved by the University of Tokyo animal care and use committee (Approval numbers C-09-02 and C-09-03). The strains of zebrafish (Danio rerio) used in the present study were WIK [39] and TL [40], each for microinjection and for mating with transgenic fish of WIK, respectively. They were maintained at 28.5 °C in a 14-h light/10-h dark cycle as described by [41].

Modification of zebrafish RH2-PAC and medaka RH2-BAC clones

The RH2-PAC and the medaka RH2-BAC (3302) clones were obtained in [18] and [5], respectively. The insertion of reporter genes and the removal and translocation of the RH2-LCR was all done by the recombineering technique in EL250 [42]. We inserted two I-SceI recognition sites into the vector backbones as described in [19] and [18] in order to facilitate integration of the construct into the genome with the meganuclease [43]. An additional file describes the details of the construction (Additional file 1: Document S1).

Construction of reporter-expression plasmids

We used pT2GFP-TKPA [19], a derivative from the plasmid clone pT2AL200R150G, which contains the Tol2 transposase recognizing sequences, L200 and R150 [44], as a basal vector backbone for the construction of the GFP expression constructs of the upstream regions of RH2-1, −2, −3, −4 and keratin 8, attached with the RH2-LCR to integrate the transgene via Tol2 transposon-mediated transgenesis. The double reporter constructs with the RH2-LCR were also made in the same vector backbone. An additional file describes the details of the construction (Additional file 1: Document S1).

Microinjection of DNA constructs into zebrafish embryos

We utilized three different methods of microinjection for transgenesis of reporter constructs. We linearized the GFP reporter plasmid of the 3-kb upstream sequence of medaka RH2-A by restriction digest with Eco47III to perform co-injection with the linear fragment of medaka RH2-LCR that was amplified by PCR. The co-injection protocol is described in [18, 19]. The plasmid DNAs derived from pT2GFP-TKPA were prepared at final concentration of 25 ng/μl using Plasmid Mini Kit (QIAGEN) or Plasmid Midi Kit (QIAGEN), and were resuspended in 0.1 M KCl and tetramethyl-rhodamin dextran added as a tracer. They were co-injected into the cytoplasm of embryos at the one-cell stage with mRNA of Tol2 transposase of 27 ng/μl that was prepared through in vitro transcription from pCS-TP using mMESSAGE mMACHINE kit (Ambion) [44, 45]. The RH2-PAC-derived constructs (20 ng/μl) were injected with I-SceI meganuclease (0.5 units/μl) (New England Biolabs, Beverly, MA) in the solution of 0.5 X commercial meganuclease buffer with tetramethyl-rhodamin dextran tracer [43].

Establishment of transgenic zebrafish

For the generation of transgenic lines, the injected embryos were grown to sexual maturity and crossed with non-injected fish in a pair-wise fashion. The genomic DNA extracted from a pool of the resulting embryos was examined for the presence of the transgene by PCR amplification of the GFP DNA segment as described in [46]. Importantly, the screening did not rely on presence of the fluorescence in the eyes to avoid biased selection of founder lines. Fish of the subsequent generations were screened again for the presence of the transgene by PCR amplification of the GFP from genomic DNA extracted from the fins. The spatial expression patterns of the reporters in the retina were analyzed in the generation of the offspring from the injected fish (F1) or later.

Transient assay of GFP expression levels in zebrafish embryos

The injected embryos were grown in 0.003 % 1-phenyl-2-thiourea after 12–24 h post fertilization (hpf) to disrupt pigment formation. Then their eyes were examined at 5 dpf (days post fertilization) for GFP fluorescence under a dissecting fluorescent microscope, and the number of eyes expressing GFP was determined as described by [47]. The eyes were scored as “+++”, “++”, “+”, and “-” when GFP was expressed in more than 50 cells, in 5–50 cells, in 1–4 cells, and in no cells per eye, respectively.

Immunohistochemistry and image capture

Immunostaining was carried out against the retina sections from adult fish or embryonic whole-mount eye cups, following the protocol described before [47]. Images of GFP, RFP and Cy3 fluorescence were captured using a Zeiss 510 laser-scanning confocal microscope (Carl Zeiss). In case the entire part of the retina sections could not be captured by one image, two overlapping images were collected and then aligned manually to represent the whole retina as a single image.

Availability of data and materials

All the supporting data are included as additional files.

Additional file

Additional file 1: Figure S1. Deletion mutagenesis of the RH2-LCR in the RH2-1/GFP-PAC. Figure S2. Simultaneous recapitulation of the RH2-1 and RH2-2 expression by the GFP and RFP reporters. Figure S3. Simultaneous recapitulation of the RH2-3 and RH2-4 expression by the GFP and RFP.
The GFP and RFP expression in the transgenic fish of the double promoter-reporter constructs with the RH2-LCR. Document S1. Design of reporter constructs used for the transgenesis in the study. (PDF 16104 kb)

Abbreviations
RH1: Rhodopsin; SW51: Short-wave-sensitive 1-cone-opsin; SW2S: Short-wave-sensitive 2-cone-opsin; RH2: Rod-opsin-like cone-opsin; LWS: Long-wave-sensitive cone-opsin; SDC: Short member of double cones; LDC: Long member of double cones; RH2-LCR: RH2-locus control region; LAR: LWS activating region; PAC: P1-derived artificial chromosome; BAC: Bacterial artificial chromosome; GFP: Green fluorescent protein; RFP: Red fluorescent protein; Hpf: Hours post fertilization; Dpf: Days post fertilization.

Competing interests
The authors declare no conflict of interests.

Authors’ contributions
T.T. and S.K. conceived the study. T.T. performed most of the experiments with help from R.M. and R.A.. T.T. and S.K. wrote the manuscript. All authors have read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Acknowledgements
We are grateful to Dr. N. G. Copeland for the E. coli strains EL250, to Drs. T. V. Vithelis and D. Hyde for the antibody against the zebrafish green opsin, to Dr. V. Korch for the construct of the k8rt promoter, and to Dr. K. Kawakami for the plasmid vectors of the Tol2 transposon and transposase. We thank Dr. Deborah L. Stenkamp for proofreading and commenting on the manuscript. T.T. was supported by a Grant-in-Aid for JSPS Fellows (19–4820), S.K. was supported by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B) (16H05015), (A) (19H07018), and (A) (22247036) from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science and Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Priority Areas “Comparative Genomics” (20170008) and “Cellular Sensor” (21026007) from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology of Japan.

Author details
1Department of Integrated Biosciences, Graduate School of Frontier Sciences, the University of Tokyo, Kashiwanoha 5-1-5, Kashiwa 277-8562 Chiba, Japan.
2Department of Advanced Nephrology and Regenerative Medicine, Division of Tissue Engineering, the University of Tokyo Hospital, Hongo 7-3-1, Bunkyo-ku 113-8655Tokyo, Japan.

Received: 19 August 2015 Accepted: 27 October 2015
Published online: 04 November 2015

References
1. Yokoyama S. Molecular evolution of vertebrate visual pigments. Prog Retin Eye Res. 2000;19(4):385–419.
2. Kawamura S. Evolutionary diversification of visual opsin genes in fish and primates. In: Inoue-Maryama M, Kawamura S, Weiss A, editors. From genes to animal behavior: Social structures, personalities, communication by color. Tokyo: Springer; 2011. p. 329–49.
3. Chinen A, Hamaco T, Yamada Y, Kawamura S. Gene duplication and spectral diversification of cone visual pigments of zebrafish. Genetics. 2003;163(2):663–75.
4. Morrow JM, Lacz S, Chang BS. A novel rhodopsin-like gene expressed in zebrafish retina. Vis Neurosci. 2011;24(4):325–35.
5. Matsuno Y, Fukamachi S, Mitani H, Kawamura S. Functional characterization of visual opsin repertoire in Medaka (Oryzias latipes). Gene. 2006;371(2):268–78.
6. Carleton KL, Kocher TD. Cone opsin genes of African cichlid fishes: Tuning spectral sensitivity by differential gene expression. Mol Biol Evol. 2001;18(6):1450–50.
7. Spady TC, Parron JW, Robinson PR, Hunt DM, Bowmaker JK, Carleton KL. Evolution of the cichlid visual palette through ontogenetic subfunctionalization of the opsin gene arrays. Mol Biol Evol. 2006;23(8):1538–47.
8. Zhang J. Evolution by gene duplication: an update. Trends Ecol Evol. 2003;18(6):292–8.
9. Carleton KL, Spady TC, Steelman JT, Kidd MR, McFarland WN, Loew ER. Visual sensitivities tuned by heterochronic shifts in opsin gene expression. BMC Biol. 2008;6:22.
10. Hofmann CM, Carleton KL. Gene duplication and differential gene expression play an important role in the diversification of visual pigments in fish. Integr Comp Biol. 2005;45(4):630–43.
11. Owens GL, Rennison DJ, Allison WT, Taylor JS. In the four-eyed fish (Anableps anableps), the regions of the retina exposed to aquatic and aerial light do not express the same set of opsin genes. Biol Lett. 2012;8(1):86–9.
12. Rennison DJ, Owens GL, Allison WT, Taylor JS. Intra-retinal variation of opsin gene expression in the guppy (Poecilia reticulata). J Exp Biol. 2011;214(Pt 19):3248–54.
13. Takemi M, Kawamura S. Temporal and spatial changes in the expression pattern of multiple red and green subtype opsin genes during zebrafish development. J Exp Biol. 2005;208(20):3737–45.
14. Davies WI, Collin SP, Hunt DM. Molecular ecology and adaptation of visual photopigments in cichlids. Mol Ecol. 2012;21(13):3121–8.
15. Raymond PA, Barthel LX, Rounds ME, Sullivan SA, Knight JK. Expression of rod and cone visual pigments in goldfish and zebrafish: a rhodopsin-like gene is expressed in cones. Neuron. 1993;10(6):161–74.
16. Vithelis TC, Doro CJ, Hyde DR. Cloning and characterization of six zebrafish Photoreceptor opsin cDNAs and immunolocalization of their corresponding proteins. Vis Neurosci. 1999;16(3):571–85.
17. Stenkamp DL. Neurogenesis in the fish retina. Int Rev Cytol. 2007;259:173–224.
18. Tsujimura T, Chinen A, Kawamura S. Identification of a locus control region for quadruplicated green-sensitive opsin genes in zebrafish. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2007;104(31):12813–8.
19. Tsujimura T, Hosoya T, Kawamura S. A single enhancer regulating the differential expression of duplicated red-sensitive opsin genes in zebrafish. PLoS Genet. 2010;6(12):e1001245.
20. Hidatomi O, Satoh T, Tokunaga F. The primary structure and distribution of killifish visual pigments. Vision Res. 1997;37(12):3089–96.
21. Gong Z, Ju B, Wang X, He J, Wan H, Sudha PM. Green-fluorescent protein expression in germ-line transmitted transgenic zebrafish under a stratified epithelial promoter from keratin8. Dev Dyn. 2002;223(2):204–15.
22. Parinov S, Kondrichin I, Korzh Y, Emelyanov A. To2 transposon-mediated enhancer trap to identify developmentally regulated zebrafish genes in vivo. Dev Dyn. 2004;231(2):449–59.
23. Fang W, Bonaffini S, Zou J, Wang X, Zhang C, Tsujimura T, et al. Characterization of transgenic zebrafish lines that express GFP in the retina, pineal gland, olfactory bulb, hatching gland, and optic tectum. Gene Expr Patterns. 2013;13(3–5):150–9.
24. Montavon T, Soshinkova N, Mascrez B, Joyce E, Thevenet L, Splinter E, et al. A regulatory archipelago controls Hox genes transcription in digits. Cell. 2011;147(5):1322–45.
25. Marin M, Attas T, Ruf S, Spitz F. An integrated holo-enhancer unit defines tissue and gene specificity of the Fgf8 regulatory landscape. Dev Cell. 2013;24(5):530–42.
26. Ogawa Y, Shiraki T, Kojishi D, Fukuda Y. Homeobox transcription factor Six7 governs expression of green opsin genes in zebrafish. Proc Biol Sci. 2015;282(1812):20150659.
27. Rennison DJ, Owens GL, Taylor JS. Opsin gene duplication and divergence in ray-finned fish. Mol Phylogenet Evol. 2012;62(3):1008–1008.
28. Mitchell DM, Stevens CB, Frey RA, Hunter SS, Ashino R, Kawamura S, et al. Retinoic Acid signaling regulates differential expression of the tandemly duplicated long wavelength-sensitive cone opsin genes in zebrafish. PLoS Genet. 2011;7(10):e1005483.
29. Smallwood PM, Wang Y, Nathans J. Role of a locus control region in the mutually exclusive expression of human red and green cone pigment genes. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2002;99(2):1008–11.
30. Hanscombe O, Whyatt D, Fraser P, Yannoutsos N, Greaves D, Dillon N, et al. Importance of globin gene order for correct developmental expression. Genes Dev. 1991;5(18):1387–94.
31. Tanimoto K, Liu Q, Bungert J, Engel JD. Effects of altered gene order or tissue and gene specificity of the Fgf8 regulatory landscape. Dev Cell. 2011;24(5):530–42.
32. Fuss SH, Omura M, Mombaerts P. Local and cis effects of the H element on enhancer trap to identify developmentally regulated zebrafish genes in vivo. Dev Dyn. 2004;231(2):449–59.
33. Nishizumi H, Kumasaka K, Inoue N, Nakashima A, Sakano H. Deletion of the core-H region in mice abolishes the expression of three proximal odorant receptor genes in cis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2007;104(20):8067–72.
34. Serizawa S, Miyamichi K, Nakatani H, Suzuki M, Saito M, Yoshihara Y, et al. Negative feedback regulation ensures the one receptor-one olfactory neuron rule in mouse. Science. 2003;302(5653):2088–94.

35. Kaneko R, Abe M, Hirabayashi T, Uchimura A, Sakimura K, Yanagawa Y, et al. Expansion of stochastic expression repertoire by tandem duplication in mouse Protocadherin-alpha cluster. Sci Rep. 2014;4:6263.

36. Frazer KA, Pachter L, Pollakov A, Rubin EM, Dubchak I. VISTA: Computational tools for comparative genomics. Nucleic Acids Res. 2004;32 (Web Server issue):W273–279.

37. Mayor C, Brudno M, Schwartz JR, Pollakov A, Rubin EM, Frazer KA, et al. VISTA: Visualizing global DNA sequence alignments of arbitrary length. Bioinformatics. 2000;16(11):1046–7.

38. Bray N, Dubchak I, Pachter L. A global alignment program. Genome Res. 2003;13(1):97–102.

39. Rauch G-J, Granato, M., and Haffter, P.: A polymorphic zebrafish line for genetic mapping using SSLPs on high-percentage agarose gels. Tech Tips Online 1997, T01208.

40. Haffter P, Odenthal J, Mullins MC, Lin S, Farell MJ, Vogeliang E, et al. Mutations affecting pigmentation and shape of the adult zebrafish. Dev Genes Evol. 1996;6(4):260–76.

41. Westerfield M. The zebrafish book: A guide for the laboratory use of zebrafish (Danio Rerio). Eugene: University of Oregon Press; 1995.

42. Lee EC, Yu D, Martinez de Velasco J, Tessarollo L, Swing DA, Court DL, et al. A highly efficient Escherichia coli-based chromosome engineering system adapted for recombinogenic targeting and subcloning of BAC DNA. Genomics. 2001;73(1):56–65.

43. Thmeres V, Grabher C, Ristoratore F, Bournat F, Choulka A, Wittbrodt J, et al. I-SceI meganuclease mediates highly efficient transgenesis in fish. Mech Dev. 2002;118(1–2):91–8.

44. Utasak A, Morvan G, Kawakami K. Functional dissection of the To2 transposable element identified the minimal cis-sequence and a highly repetitive sequence in the subterminal region essential for transposition. Genetics. 2006;174(2):639–49.

45. Kawakami K, Takeha H, Kawakami N, Kobayashi M, Matsuda N, Mishina M. A transposon-mediated gene trap approach identifies developmentally regulated genes in zebrafish. Dev Cell. 2004;7(1):133–44.

46. Hamaoka T, Takechi M, Chinen A, Nishiwaki Y, Kawamura S. Visualization of rod photoreceptor development using GFP-transgenic zebrafish. Genesis. 2002;34(3):215–20.

47. Luo W, Williams J, Smallwood PM, Touchman JW, Roman LM, Nathans J. Proximal and distal sequences control UV cone pigment gene expression in transgenic zebrafish. J Biol Chem. 2004;279(18):19286–93.