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Abstract

The development planning in India has been started from First five year plan in 1951. There was focused on the agriculture. Later on, Industries, education, poverty, unemployment and health etc. all the sectors have been touched. Today, there is going on 12th five year plan. But, it is an illusively higher truth that even with the introduction of a number and varied development programs in India, the country in general and backward areas in particular, have not tasted the fruits of development as yet due to serious reasons (Purohit, 1986). The mountains are not good at the flat land conditions which do not attract more to the agricultural and industrial developments. There are 70.64% of marginal land holder out of total holdings and 17.77% of small land holder out of total holdings (Mittal, 2008). So we need to utilize the agricultural field very carefully. Thus, rural out-migration is dominant in Uttarakhand due to the negative relationship between population and regional development (Bhandari, 2007). Therefore, the figure of socio-economic structure is carried out and the study area is characterized by different developmental zones in this paper.

Introduction:

A well planned, balanced and multi-faceted, multi-level development of a region is a prerequisite of the concept of an overall development. It is an illusively higher truth that even with the introduction of a number and varied development programs in India, the country in general and backward areas in particular, have not tasted the fruits of development as yet due to a series of problems (Purohit, 1996). The only one planning cannot be implemented to all over the country, because there are intra-regional and inter-regional variations in the natural and cultural personality in the whole country. Some parts of the country have mountains and plateau while some has plains. Some parts are very rich in the resource like Chota Nagpur plateau; while some is resource less like Thar Desert. Therefore, the spatio-functional factors thus happen to be very important while formulating the development plans (Purohit, 1996).

In the planning process in India, some special regions have been identified for their integrated development such as tribal regions, backward regions, agricultural and industrial regions and hill regions etc (Purohit, 1996). The mountain ranges and hill areas of India have a crucial role to play in determining the climate and physiography of the country and are prime determinants of socio-economic development of plain areas as the rivers have their genesis here and the protection and climate control they provide have enabled India to sustain its position as an economic power. (Planning Commission, 2008). In the wake of their specific location, problems and state and need
of development, hill areas in Indian planning have been covered under ‘special area program’. The special hill area development program at present is in practice in the following states (Purohit, 1996) –
1. Uttarakhand
2. Assam (Mikir hills and north cocher hills)
3. West Bengal (Darjeeling)
4. Tamilnadu (Nilgiris)

The mountains are not good at the flat land conditions which do not attract more to the agricultural and industrial developments. The only 29.3% of the total geographical area is under mountains and hills, while there is 43% area under plain region (Khuller, 2011). Thus the development planning must be different in each zone.

Study area
Rudraprayag district is located in the central part of the Garhwal region. It is located from 30°10’ N – 30°47’N latitude and 78°45’ E – 79°30’ E longitude. It covers 1990 km² area of the ground. The altitude of the region ranges from 670 M. to 6968 M. Uttarkashi lies on the North and North-Western side, Tehri lies on the Western side, Pauri lies on the Southern side and Chamoli lies on the Eastern side. The almost part of the district is covered by the Mandakini River catchments. The Mandakini and its tributaries, i.e. Madhyamaheshwar River, Kali River, Lastar Gad etc. made different structures and landforms in the valley and people are living and establishing their colonies on the river terraces side by the river. The Geographical location of Rudraprayag district is presented in the Fig-1.

The Location Of The Study Area
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Source: Aster DEM & Arc0 Gis9.3 Software.
Research Methodology:-
The present study attempts to present the structure of socio-economic condition as a developmental zone in Rudraprayag district. To study the development zone in Rudraprayag district, 16 villages are selected. The demographic and socio-economic data were delineated using primary survey. Field survey using portable handheld Garmin Montana 650 GPS has been conducted for ground truthing. The spatial mappings of demographic characteristics and migration conditions have been done using Arcgis 9.3 software.

Results and Discussions:-
When one deals with concepts such as levels of development, it is well known that there is a number of value judgments involved (Sita & Prabhu, 1989). There are selected demographic, economic and social factors to make the levels of development of the study area, which is presented as follows –

Indicators Of Socio-Economic Structure
There are selected three indicators to make the socio-economic structure of the study area. They are –

| S.No. | Demographic Indicators | Economic Indicators | Social Indicators |
|-------|------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 1     | Density                | Irrigated Area      | Educational Institutions |
| 2     | Sex Ratio              | Un-Irrigated Area   | Medical facilities |
| 3     | SC Population          | Cultivable Waste    | Drinking Water Facilities |
| 4     | ST Population          | Net Shown Area      | Post Offices |
| 5     | Literacy               | Income From Agriculture | Banking Facilities |
| 6     | Male Literacy          | Fruit Tree Density  | Commercial Banks |
| 7     | Female Literacy        | Livestock Density   | Road Facilities |
| 8     | Total Working Population |                   | Distance From District Headquarter |
| 9     | Total Cultivators      |                     | Electricity Facilities |
| 10    | Total H.H. Industry Population |                |                     |

All the indicators of the development, i.e. demographic, economic and social indicators are comprised with the help of ratio scale as follows -

Demographic Index (2011)
The demographic index of the study area is made by 10 demographic parameters which are described above. All the sample villages are put in the hierarchy order under each demographic parameter. Each village got the rank between of 1-16 and the aggregate score of demographic index is made by it. The demographic index is made by using this formula

\[
T.S. = \frac{\text{Dem.I.}}{\text{I.S.}}
\]

Where, Dem.I. = Demographic Index
T.S. = Total score of all units
I.S. = Total score of individual unit

Thus, the demographic index of the study area is presented in the table 2.

| Table 2: Score of Demographic Index, 2011. |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Villages | S.No. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | Total Score | Dem.I. |
|----------|-------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|-------------|--------|
| Balsundi | 15    | 1 | 11| 2 | 12| 14| 10| 12| 9 | 8 | 8  | 94          | 12.45  |
| BarawTalla | 78   | 6 | 5 | 2 | 11| 10| 13| 10| 8 | 5 | 8  | 78          | 15     |
| Temariapalla | 15  | 5 | 11| 2 | 13| 13| 12| 14| 12| 8 | 105| 11.14       |        |
| BhainsGaon | 11   | 14| 11| 2 | 8 | 7 | 8 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 79 | 14.81       |        |
| Dovalya   | 4     | 16| 9 | 2 | 9 | 8 | 9 | 7 | 13| 8 | 85 | 13.76       |        |
| Jaikhanda | 3     | 2 | 7 | 2 | 10| 11| 11| 11| 10| 4 | 71 | 16.48       |        |
Economic Index (2011)
The economic index of the study area is made by 7 economic parameters which are described above. All the sample villages are put in the hierarchy order under each economic parameter. Each village got the rank between of 1-16 and the aggregate score of economic index is made by it. The economic index is made by using the following formula -

\[
E.I. = \frac{T.S.}{I.S.}
\]

Where, E.I. = Economic Index
T.S. = Total score of all units
I.S. = Total score of individual unit

The economic index of the study area is presented in the table 3.

Table 3: -Score of Economic Index, 2011.

| NAME         | 1  | 2  | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | Total Score | E.I.  |
|--------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|-------------|-------|
| Balsundi     | 16 | 1  | 10 | 8  | 10 | 10 | 7  | 62          | 15.05 |
| BarawTalla   | 16 | 7  | 12 | 7  | 9  | 8  | 8  | 67          | 13.93 |
| Temariapalla | 16 | 9  | 4  | 6  | 8  | 4  | 12 | 59          | 15.81 |
| BheinasGaon  | 16 | 3  | 8  | 3  | 11 | 2  | 8  | 51          | 18.29 |
| Dovalya      | 6  | 10 | 7  | 8  | 13 | 13 | 6  | 63          | 14.81 |
| Jaikhandra   | 16 | 11 | 16 | 9  | 14 | 4  | 10 | 80          | 11.66 |
| Jurani       | 16 | 12 | 13 | 8  | 12 | 6  | 12 | 79          | 11.81 |
| Hyuna        | 16 | 9  | 9  | 4  | 15 | 7  | 9  | 69          | 13.52 |
| Tankila      | 16 | 8  | 11 | 5  | 7  | 11 | 11 | 69          | 13.52 |
| Kapaniya     | 4  | 4  | 5  | 7  | 2  | 6  | 4  | 32          | 29.16 |
| Ghegar       | 3  | 2  | 3  | 8  | 3  | 12 | 1  | 32          | 29.16 |
| MathyaGaon   | 16 | 14 | 16 | 16 | 5  | 14 | 5  | 86          | 10.85 |
| Arkhund      | 16 | 15 | 16 | 16 | 4  | 9  | 5  | 81          | 11.52 |
| Dharriyaj    | 5  | 5  | 1  | 1  | 6  | 1  | 6  | 25          | 37.32 |
| Sisau        | 2  | 13 | 2  | 16 | 16 | 5  | 2  | 56          | 16.66 |
| Sirwadi      | 1  | 6  | 6  | 2  | 1  | 3  | 3  | 22          | 42.41 |
| Total        |    |    |    |    |    |    |    | 933         |       |

Social Index (2011)
The social index of the study area is made by 9 social parameters which are described above. All the sample villages are put in the hierarchy order under each social parameter. Each village got the rank between of 1-16 and the aggregate score of social index is made by it. The social index is made by using the following formula.
T.S.
S.I. = -----
I.S.

Where, S.I. = Social Index
T.S. = Total score of all units
I.S. = Total score of individual unit

The social index of the study area is presented in the table 4.

**Table 4:** Score of Social Index, 2011

| VILLAGES     | 1  | 2  | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | 8  | 9  | Total Score | S.I. |
|--------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|-------------|------|
| Balsundi     | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 2  | 1  |   | 100         | 13.92|
| BarawTalla   | 2  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 16 | 16 | 1  | 5  | 1  | 44          | 31.64|
| Temariapalla | 3  | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 11 | 1  |   | 96          | 14.5 |
| BhainsGaon   | 2  | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 1  |   | 100         | 13.92|
| Dovalya      | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 14 | 1  |   | 112         | 12.43|
| Jaikhanda    | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 10 | 1  |   | 108         | 12.89|
| Jurani       | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 1  | 4  | 1  | 87          | 16   |
| Hyuna        | 3  | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 3  | 1  |   | 88          | 15.82|
| Tankila      | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 8  | 1  |   | 106         | 13.13|
| Kapaniya     | 3  | 2  | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 9  | 1  |   | 80          | 17.4 |
| Ghegar       | 1  | 2  | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 13 | 1  |   | 82          | 16.98|
| MathyaGaon   | 2  | 1  | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 6  | 1  |   | 75          | 18.56|
| Arkhund      | 2  | 2  | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 12 | 1  |   | 67          | 20.78|
| Dhariyaj     | 3  | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 7  | 1  |   | 92          | 15.13|
| Sisau        | 2  | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 15 | 1  |   | 84          | 16.57|
| Sirwadi      | 3  | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 1  | 1  |   | 71          | 19.61|

The Development of the study area is tried to measure with the help of Demographic Index (Dem.I.), Economic Index (E.I.) and Social Index (S.I.) of the study area. The aggregate scores of the entire index make the Development Index (D.I.).

D.I. = Dem.I. + E.I. + S.I.

Where, D.I. = Development Index
Dem.I. = Demographic Index
E.I. = Economic Index
S.I. = Social Index

Thus, the D.I. (Development Index) of the study area is presented in the following table 5.

**Table 5:** Aggregate Score of Villages.

| VILLAGES     | Dem.I. | S.I. | E.I.  | D.I.  |
|--------------|--------|------|-------|-------|
| Balsundi     | 12.45  | 13.92| 15.05 | 41.42 |
| BarawTalla   | 15     | 31.64| 13.93 | 60.56 |
| Temariapalla | 11.14  | 14.5 | 15.81 | 41.46 |
| BhainsGaon   | 14.81  | 13.92| 18.29 | 47.02 |
| Dovalya      | 13.76  | 12.43| 14.81 | 41    |
| Jaikhanda    | 16.48  | 12.89| 11.66 | 41.03 |
| Jurani       | 10.64  | 16   | 11.81 | 38.45 |
| Hyuna        | 11.36  | 15.82| 13.52 | 40.7  |
| Tankila      | 11.36  | 13.13| 13.52 | 38.01 |
| Kapaniya     | 26     | 17.4 | 29.16 | 72.56 |
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Levels Of Socio-Economic Development

The aggregate score makes disparities in the study area. This disparity clearly divides the study area into different levels of development zone. The scores range between a high of 101.85 for Ghengar village to a low of 38.01 for Tankila village. There is difference of 63.84 points between high and low range. The study area is divided into four levels of development. This is presented in table 6 and fig 2.

Table 6:- Levels of Development.

| Levels of Development | Aggregate Score | Category | Levels | No. of villages |
|-----------------------|-----------------|----------|--------|-----------------|
|                       | 80<             | A        | Very High | 2               |
|                       | 60-70.99        | B        | High     | 3               |
|                       | 40-59.99        | C        | Medium   | 9               |
|                       | 40>             | D        | Low      | 2               |

Fig 2:- Levels of Development.

Table 6 reveals that maximum village of the study area are under medium development class. There are 9 villages in it. The very high class comprises 2 villages which score is more than 80 points. They are Ghengad (101.85) and Sirwadi (93.64). The lowest category which score is less than 40 points also comprises 2 villages. There are Jurani (38.45) and Tankila (38.01) villages in it. The high category class comprises 3 villages. The maximum share of the villages is of medium levels of development.

On the basis of above division, the whole study area is divided into four different levels of development (table 7).

Table 7:- Levels of Development of Villages, 2011.

| S.No. | Villages | NyayPanchayat | Block | D.I. | Category | Levels of Development |
|-------|----------|---------------|-------|------|----------|-----------------------|
| 1     | Ghengar  | DangiBhardar  | Jakholi | 101.85 | A        | Very High             |
There are 16 villages selected for the study. Two villages, Ghengad and Sirwadi, which come under very high category, are of Jakholi block. Their score points are more than 80. Ghengad is very large village by size and population. There are 253 household in this village. The demographic score of Ghengad is very high (55.71) among all villages. The literacy condition, total working population condition is very good in this village. The economic score of this village is 29.16 points which is third highest among all villages. The upper part of the village is Upraun condition where dry farming is focused while the lower portion is Talaun condition, where cereals are the main part of production. Sirwadi village come under remotest village of Jakholi block. It is 58 km far from district headquarter (VD, Census of India, 2011). This village got the top rank due to economic activities. Its economic index is 42.41 point which is highest among all study villages. The farmers focus on commercial agriculture. The main crops which are focused are millets (kodo, jhangora, marcha), pulses, vegetables etc. The people get 4217 rs (primary survey data) average from such products. Therefore, the people get profit here. The sex ratio of this village is 1112 (Very High). It is because of the out-migration of the male members in search of jobs. The high index of development is due to economic activities of this village.

Out of three villages in high category, two are from Jakholi Block (Kapaniya and Dhariyaj) and one is from Agastyamuni Block (BarawTalla). Kapaniya village is so much facilitated by market because it is completely attached with Jakholi market area. Therefore, the villagers sell their primary product easily. The economic index of this village is 29.16 point which is equal to Ghengad village and third highest among all villages. The maximum shares of primary product come from milk and vegetables. The average rs 2422 get each family through agriculture. The demographic index of this village is 26 point. The literacy condition of this village is very good. There are 16.7% people (primary survey data) involve in service sector. The improvement of Dhariyaj village is due to economic activity. The economic index of this village is 37.32 point, which is second highest score among all villages. Its main source of primary income is fruits. Although, the dry farming condition of this village is also very good, but the attack of wild animals always destroy their production. Therefore, the people are not taking interest in such production and only focusing on fruits and grass (primary observation). The third village is BarawTalla. Its D.I. (Development Index) is 60.56 point which is greatly support by social index. Its Social Index is 31.64 point which is highest among all villages. There are two schools (primary & middle school), two medical centres (Ayurvedic Hospital & MCW centre) and one post office centre available. Although it is very far from block headquarter (Agastyamuni), but it is advanced by road facility. The economic condition of the village is not so good, because the total area of the village is only 29 hec², which is very small in comparison to other villages. Therefore, the people only focus for self consumption rather than commercialization of agriculture.

| No | Village     | Block     | D.I.  | Grade |
|----|-------------|-----------|------|-------|
| 2  | Sirwadi     | Jakholi   | 37.32| Low   |
| 3  | Kapaniya    | Jakholi   | 30.31| Medium |
| 4  | Dhariyaj    | Jakholi   | 29.16| Medium |
| 5  | BarawTalla  | Agastyamuni | 42.41| High |
| 6  | Arkhund     | Jakholi   | 58.29| High |
| 7  | Sisau       | Jakholi   | 54.13| Medium |
| 8  | BhainsGaon  | Agastyamuni | 47.02| Medium |
| 9  | MathyaGaon  | Jakholi   | 46.12| Medium |
| 10 | Temariapalla| Agastyamuni | 41.46| Medium |
| 11 | Balsundi    | Agastyamuni | 41.42| Medium |
| 12 | Jaikhanda   | Agastyamuni | 41.03| Medium |
| 13 | Dovalya     | Agastyamuni | 41    | Medium |
| 14 | Hyuna       | Ukhimath  | 40.7 | Medium |
| 15 | Jurani      | Ukhimath  | 38.45| Low   |
| 16 | Tankila     | Ukhimath  | 38.01| Low   |

| Village      | Block     | D.I.  | Grade |
|--------------|-----------|------|-------|
| Sirwadi      | Jakholi   | 37.32| Low   |
| Kapaniya     | Jakholi   | 30.31| Medium |
| Dhariyaj     | Jakholi   | 29.16| Medium |
| BarawTalla   | Agastyamuni | 42.41| High |
| Arkhund      | Jakholi   | 58.29| High |
| Sisau        | Jakholi   | 54.13| Medium |
| BhainsGaon   | Agastyamuni | 47.02| Medium |
| MathyaGaon   | Jakholi   | 46.12| Medium |
| Temariapalla | Agastyamuni | 41.46| Medium |
| Balsundi     | Agastyamuni | 41.42| Medium |
| Jaikhanda    | Agastyamuni | 41.03| Medium |
| Dovalya      | Agastyamuni | 41    | Medium |
| Hyuna        | Ukhimath  | 40.7 | Medium |
| Jurani       | Ukhimath  | 38.45| Low   |
| Tankila      | Ukhimath  | 38.01| Low   |

Very High Developed Area
High Developed Area
Medium Developed Area
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villages is ≤ 1000 rs. They focus on self consumption only. The migration in these villages is high also. There are 5 villages where the migration is ≥ 30%. Temariyaplla and Hyuna villages have maximum migration (59.4% & 59.3% respectively). The social index in these villages is not also good. There is only Arkhund village which S.I. (20.78 point) is more than 20 points.

**Low Developed Area**
There are only 2 villages in this zone. Both villages are from Ukhimath Block (Jurani and Tankila). The D.I. of these villages is < 40 points. The demographic, social and economic index of these villages is very poor. Both villages are very remote situated. Jurani is 46 km and Tankila is 39 km far from town area. People are involved in traditional farming which is good in the ecological point of view rather than economic condition.

**Conclusions:**
In the present day context, disparities are very common in the levels of development in all the regions in terms of least moderate and adequate development (Purohit, 1986). Some are developed, some are developing and the rest are under developed condition. The concept of development or we can say regional development is the scientific use of resources and equitable distribution of resources and their conservation (Kumar, 2004). The systematic arrangements of infrastructure development with full of social amenities are found in the developed regions and the high share of different economic sectors in the GDP make them economically well strong, while the same conditions are vice versa in the under developed region. This condition is also seen in the district. Development consists of
many elements in concert within an integrated social structure (Kumar, 2004). It affects the socio-economic structure of the region.
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