Revisiting the Definition of Rapid Intensification of Tropical Cyclones by Clustering the Initial Intensity and Inner-Core Size
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Abstract Rapid intensification (RI) of tropical cyclones (TCs) provides a great challenge in operational forecasting and contributes significantly to the development of major TCs. RI is commonly defined as an increase in the maximum sustained surface wind speed of at least a certain threshold within 24 hr. The most widely used threshold is 30 kt (15.4 m/s), which was determined statistically. Here we propose a new definition for RI by objectively clustering TCs using the intensification rate, initial intensity, and radius of the maximum wind speed (RMW). A group of 770 samples is separated at a threshold of 45 kt (23.2 m/s). The threshold is 40 kt (20.6 m/s) for the western North Atlantic, where TC size measurements are more reliable. Monte Carlo experiments demonstrate that the proposed threshold is robust even considering the uncertainty in RMW of as high as 30 km. We show that, when a TC undergoes RI, its maximum wind speed is approximately 60 ± 15 kt (30.9 ± 7.7 m/s) and the RMW is 45 ± 20 km. The new threshold outperforms the conventional threshold of 30 km/24 hr in describing the bimodal distribution of lifetime maximum intensity and explaining the annual count of Category 5 TCs. This new definition provides a more physically based threshold and describes a more reliable representation of extreme events. Although more comparisons are needed for operational application, it is likely to be desirable for case-based process studies and could provide a more valuable metric for TC intensification classification and research.

1. Introduction

Rapid intensification (RI) is the dramatic strengthening of a tropical cyclone (TC) over a short period and poses a challenge for short-term weather forecasting and TC simulation (Cangialosi et al., 2020; DeMaria et al., 2021; Emanuel, 2017; Rappaport et al., 2012; Rogers et al., 2013; Kaplan et al., 2015; L. Wu et al., 2022). RI also has a substantial influence on the climatological distribution of TC intensity and most intense TCs undergo RI during their lifetimes (Lee et al., 2016).

Thus, RI is undoubtedly important in understanding TCs. RI is commonly defined as an increase in the maximum sustained surface wind speed ($V_{max}$) of at least a certain threshold within 24 hr. The most widely used threshold was proposed by Kaplan and DeMaria (2003), who defined RI as the 95th percentile of over-water 24-hr intensity changes in the Atlantic TCs, which was 30 kt/24hr. However, many other thresholds exist in the literature. For instance, Kaplan et al. (2010) discussed the thresholds of 25 (12.9 m/s), 30 (15.4 m/s), and 35 kt (18.0 m/s) per 24 hr, and highlighted the practical importance of the RI threshold for operational forecasting. Lee et al. (2016) documented 35 kt/24hr as the optimal RI threshold describing the bimodal distribution of the lifetime maximum intensity (LMI).

The above statistical thresholds are invariable and have been widely used in analyses of both internal and environmental processes during RI. However, the conclusions depend heavily on the chosen threshold, and one may reasonably question whether thresholds can be defined in other ways rather than statistically. A more rigorous definition of RI is desirable, especially for process-based studies. Here we revised the conventional threshold via clustering intensification-related metrics and thereby proposed a threshold considering some of the physical properties of the vortex. The physical mechanisms underlying TC intensification are complex and much effort has been devoted to understanding them (Emanuel, 2018; Montgomery & Smith, 2014; Y. Wang & Wu, 2004). Environmental and inner-core processes may both play important roles in determining whether and when a TC will...
undergo RI. Here, we focus on the initial vortex state, represented by TC intensity and vortex size metrics, such as $V_{\text{max}}$ and the radii of gale-force (34-kt, $R_{34}$) and maximum winds (RMW). These metrics were selected because they are routinely reported by the operational centers and their effects on intensification have been demonstrated in both observational and theoretical studies.

A TC of medium intensity is more likely to undergo RI (Kaplan et al., 2010; Xu & Wang, 2018; Y. Wang et al., 2021). When a TC is relatively weak, the inner-core inertial stability and heating efficiency increase with intensity, and thus, the TC intensifies (Schubert & Hack, 1982; Shapiro & Willoughby, 1982). However, as the intensity of the storm nears its maximum potential intensity, the frictional dissipation counteracts the heating efficiency and the intensification rate decreases. The maximum intensification rate is typically observed at approximately 60 ~ 70 kt, where the intensification potential is close to the weakening rate due to surface friction (Kaplan et al., 2010; Y. Wang et al., 2021).

In addition, the vortex size reflects feedback between the primary circulation and inner-core processes (Mallen et al., 2005; Sparks & Toumi, 2022), and affects the subsequent intensification (Emanuel, 1989). Studies based on best-track data have confirmed that RI events rarely occur when RMW is larger than 100 km because a small RMW favors intensification due to the conservation of angular momentum (Carrasco et al., 2014; Xu & Wang, 2018). It has also been known that the inward contraction of the eye wall, usually represented by a decrease in RMW, often occurs simultaneously with intensification (Schubert & Hack, 1982; Shapiro & Willoughby, 1982). In a seminal study, Shapiro and Willoughby (1982) showed that the response of low-level tangential wind tendency to diabatic heating is greater inside RMW than outside. Thus, when RMW decreases, the potential energy of the vortex increases, and the balanced tangential winds intensify simultaneously. Recent studies also show that rapid contraction of RMW could occur prior to RI (Li et al., 2019, 2021; Li, Wang, & Tan, 2022; Stern et al., 2015; Q. Wu & Ruan, 2021). However, cases also exist in which RI co-occurs with steady RMW (Kieu, 2012; Qin et al., 2018). Therefore, we only considered RMW instead of its changes in this work.

Other metrics that reflect the outer size of the TC, specifically the average radius of the 34-kt wind speed (R34) and TC fullness, were also examined in this study. Notably, Carrasco et al. (2014) showed that $R_{34}$ aids in RI prediction. In addition, Guo and Tan (2017) proposed the concept of TC fullness, a metric calculated from RMW and $R_{34}$ [defined as $\left(1 - \frac{\text{RMW}}{R_{34}}\right)$], and demonstrated that a high TC fullness is essential for intense TC development. In this study, a joint clustering method was implemented based on the K-means algorithm. Such clustering algorithms have been widely used in TC research. For instance, Camargo et al. (2007) utilized joint clustering analysis to group western North Pacific TC tracks based on their locations of genesis and subsequent tracks. Arnott et al. (2004) analyzed the characteristics of extratropical transitions based on the results of K-means. Guo and Tan (2017) clustered the evolution curves of the Atlantic Hurricanes to analyze TC fullness. Moreover, clustering has been widely used to detect outliers or extreme events (e.g., Chawla & Gionis, 2013). Therefore, we chose to detect extreme intensification using this technique. Using a joint clustering algorithm, the common features for the RI events were extracted and the RI and non-RI clusters were subsequently separated. We focused on Atlantic TCs to the west of 55°W from 2004 to 2020, mainly considering better data quality than those for other basins and periods. Our data pre-processing and main methods, including the unsupervised clustering technique, are introduced in Section 2. The sensitivity experiments and statistical characteristics of TCs undergoing RI, as defined with the new threshold, are presented in Section 3, where the performance of this new threshold is also explored. Section 4 discusses the main results and summarizes the key findings.

2. Data and Methods

TC data were obtained from the International Best Track Archive for Climate Stewardship (IBTrACS, v4000, Knapp et al., 2010). For consistency and quality, we only utilized data from the National Hurricane Center for the Atlantic and East Pacific, and from the Joint Typhoon Warning Center for the remainder of the globe, for 2004–2020. The two USA agencies measure intensity as the 1-min sustained maximum 10-m wind speed ($V_{\text{max}}$). The intensification rate was defined as the change of $V_{\text{max}}$ during each 24-hr interval (hereafter $\Delta V_{24}$). We only obtained records for the standard observational times: 00, 06, 12, and 18 Coordinated Universal Time (UTC). RI may occur for consecutive 24-hr periods and some of the tracks overlapped. In our study, we only selected TCs between 30°N and 30°S to minimize the influence of extra-tropical transition. To eliminate the topographic effects, we chose only TC tracks over the ocean, and all TC centers were at least 100 km from the coastline. The
distance to the nearest landmass was provided by IBTrACS for each TC location. This pre-processing is similar to previous studies (e.g., Kaplan & DeMaria, 2003; Ma et al., 2019).

Environmental factors were also analyzed, with the relative humidity, wind, and sea surface temperature (SST) data obtained from the fifth generation of atmospheric reanalysis from the fifth version of ECMWF re-analysis (ERA5, Hersbach et al., 2020). The horizontal and temporal resolutions of the ERA5 data are 0.25° and 6 hr, respectively. For ERA5 we also analyzed the data for 00, 06, 12, and 18 only.

Since 2004, the wide use of satellites, in situ observations, aircraft reconnaissance, and routine post-season analyses have significantly reduced the observation error, especially for the Atlantic basin to the west of 55°W. Unfortunately, large uncertainties still exist in the RMW data. Even for the western North Atlantic, RMW was not best tracked until the 2021 hurricane season (Landsea, 2022), although the data during earlier periods has been utilized extensively for various basins (e.g., Li, Wang, & Tan, 2022; Xu & Wang, 2015, 2018; Q. Wu & Ruan, 2021). Thus an independent test was performed, by applying the best-tracked data of 2021 to the clustering model that was trained with the data from 2004 to 2020. Landsea (2022) also reported that the uncertainty with RMW observation can be as high as 16 nautical miles (30 km) for TCs stronger than 64 kt (Category 1). Similar to the study by Li, Tang, and Wang (2022), we carried out Monte Carlo experiments here to estimate the potential influence of observation uncertainties, in which 1,000 samples were produced by adding random noise from a Gaussian distribution with a mean of 0 and a standard deviation of 30 km to each RMW value. In addition, to estimate the influence of uncertainty from \( \max \) measurements, we conducted another Monte Carlo experiment, in which 1,000 subsamples were produced by adding random noise from a uniform distribution on the interval ±10 knots to each intensity change value (Bhatia et al., 2019).

The clustering algorithm requires input samples, which consisted of a combination of the initial \( \max \) and/or initial TC size metrics (RMW, R₃₄, TC fullness) at \( t = 0 \) hr, and the \( \Delta V_{24} \) of the subsequent 24-hr period. The primary clustering algorithm used in this study was K-means. This algorithm partitions the samples into subsets while minimizing the variance within each cluster. In addition, another unsupervised artificial neural network, the self-organizing map (SOM) (Kohonen, 1990, 2013; Liu et al., 2006) was used for comparison. The SOM fits initially random weights to observations by comparing their Euclidean distances through competitive training. For both methods, the number of clusters, \( K \), significantly affects the clustering results; their performance can be evaluated using the silhouette (Rousseeuw, 1987) and Davies–Bouldin scores (Davies & Bouldin, 1979). The silhouette score measures the similarity of the points within each cluster and is defined as follows:

\[
S = \frac{b - a}{\max(a, b)},
\]

where \( a \) is the mean distance between one point and all other points in the same cluster and \( b \) is that of the nearest cluster. Thus a higher silhouette score indicates higher similarity within each cluster and a better clustering model. In contrast, the Davies-Bouldin score compares the average similarity between each pair of clusters. It can be defined as follows:

\[
DB = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \max_{j \neq i} R_{ij},
\]

where \( R_{ij} \) measures the ratio between cluster diameter (\( s_i \), i.e., the average distance between cluster centroid and the points within cluster \( C_i \)) and the distance between the currents \( C_i \) and \( C_j \). Therefore, a smaller Davies–Bouldin score indicates a better clustering result. Both metrics were used in this study to calculate the distance of clusters in the parameter space and determine the optimal \( K \).

The \( \max \), RMW, R₃₄, and TC fullness data were used for clustering. The environmental factors were not analyzed for clustering because only the properties of the initial vortex were considered in this study. The intensity and size data were pre-processed and normalized before input to the clustering models because their units and scales are different. All the variables are available for each input sample. It should be noted that we only consider the intensifying events for clustering due to their practical importance. Seven sensitivity experiments were performed to find the optimal combination of input variables, and the main model we used here clustered the input of \( \max \), RMW, and \( \Delta V_{24} \) into 8 clusters. The details of these experiments are summarized in the supplementary material (Text S1 and Table S1 of Supporting Information S1).
3. Results

3.1. Overall Distribution of the Intensification Rate

A total of 886 storms and 12,530 intensifying events were extracted from the global best-track data, and 107 storms and 1,443 events were extracted for the western North Atlantic basin. The probabilistic distribution (Figure 1a) of $\Delta V_{24}$ for the global basins is continuous and no discernible gap appears near 30 kt/24 hr, which is the traditional threshold. Moreover, this continuity suggests that the traditional threshold, which only considers the intensification rate, is a purely statistical or practical choice. This is similar to the findings of Kowch and Emanuel (2015). Figure 1b depicts the cumulative frequency distribution, similar to that in figure 2 of Kaplan and DeMaria (2003), but using our data for all TCs instead of the North Atlantic alone. However, the curves for the different initial intensities did not converge until $\Delta V_{24}$ was above the 97th percentile (approximately 40 kt/24 hr). This discrepancy partially justifies the need for further examination of the statistical RI thresholds.

Intensification is likely strongly influenced by the initial state of the vortex, which is reflected in part by the initial intensity and size. Thus, it is useful to analyze the joint frequency distributions of $\Delta V_{24}$ and the initial $V_{\text{max}}$ and RMW (Figure 2). The $\Delta V_{24}$ increased with $V_{\text{max}}$ when $V_{\text{max}}$ was lower than approximately 60 kt (30.9 m/s), and $\Delta V_{24}$ could be up to 100 kt/24 hr. When the TC was stronger than 75 kt (38.6 m/s), $\Delta V_{24}$ decreased. TCs with this intensity range are generally well organized and far from their maximum potential intensity (Kaplan et al., 2010; Xu & Wang, 2018). RI was also more likely to arise when RMW is less than 100 km, and $\Delta V_{24}$ generally decreased as the RMW increased. A smaller RMW reflects higher inner-core inertial stability and dynamic efficiency, which enhances intensification (Schubert & Hack, 1982). The 95th percentile of $\Delta V_{24}$ is also significantly higher in this range of RMW and $V_{\text{max}}$. For the North Atlantic, where the TC size measurement is more reliable, $\Delta V_{24}$ was generally lower; however, the $V_{\text{max}}$ and RMW trends were similar. $\Delta V_{24}$ increased with $V_{\text{max}}$ at values of less than approximately 60 kt and decreased with $V_{\text{max}}$ at values of higher than 80 kt. RI generally occurred when RMW was smaller than 100 km. These results align with the findings from previous studies (Carrasco et al., 2014; Xu et al., 2016; Xu & Wang, 2018). In addition, RI mainly occurs with medium $V_{\text{max}}$ and small RMW, which improves the separation of RI events in parameter space and promotes clustering performance.

Figure 1. (a) The frequency distributions of 24-hr intensification rate ($\Delta V_{24}$); (b) The cumulative frequency distributions of $\Delta V_{24}$ for the initial intensity of different scales, that is, Tropical depressions ($V_{\text{max}} \leq 33$ kt), Tropical storms ($34 \leq V_{\text{max}} \leq 63$ kt) and Hurricanes ($V_{\text{max}} \geq 64$ kt). Note the distributions in this figure was calculated using all $\Delta V_{24}$ instead of the positive values alone.
3.2. Sensitivity Experiments

We performed a series of sensitivity experiments to choose an optimal number of clusters (K) and a combination of input variables. The best performance is found in the model with initial $V_{\text{max}}$, RMW, and $\Delta V_{24}$ as input, which is shown here as an example. When the intensifying events were clustered into 8 groups, the Davies-Bouldin score reached a minimum, and the silhouette score was relatively high (Figure 3). These metrics indicated the distance between clusters reaches the minimum in the three-dimensional space ($V_{\text{max}}$, RMW and $\Delta V_{24}$) when clustered into 8 groups. We then analyzed $\Delta V_{24}$ with such a configuration, and an 'RI' cluster with a significantly higher $\Delta V_{24}$ was distinctly separated from the remainder of the dataset (Figure 4a). The minimum $\Delta V_{24}$ of this 'RI' cluster is 45 kt/24hr Figure 3 suggests that clustering the TCs into six groups was also reasonable. However, the overlaps between the RI and non-RI clusters were much broader, although RI clusters still emerged at a threshold of approximately 35–40 kt/24hr (Figure 4b). Therefore, the 8-cluster model was chosen owing to its superior overall performance. Most of the 770 TC events in the RI cluster had a $\Delta V_{24}$ greater than or equal to 45 kt/24hr. For North Atlantic, on the other hand, a similar optimal configuration was also found, and the threshold is 40 kt/24hr for North Atlantic. The detailed results of other sensitivity experiments can be found in the supplementary text.

The robustness of clustering highly depends on the quality of best-track data, especially considering the uncertainty with RMW is high. In the Monte Carlo experiments, we found the threshold of 45 kt/24hr is robust even when the uncertainty of RMW was set to 30 km. In the 1,000 perturbed samples, 95% (952) achieved the same threshold of 45 kt/24hr while 5% (48) produced a threshold of 40 kt/24hr. In addition, when the North Atlantic model was applied to the 2021 hurricane season, an RI group was also classified and the minimum $\Delta V_{24}$ (i.e., threshold) was 40 kt/24hr (Figure 5), consistent with the

**Figure 2.** The ratio of positive $\Delta V_{24}$ as a function of (a) the initial maximum sustained surface wind speed ($V_{\text{max}}$) and (b) the initial radius of the maximum wind (RMW) for the global basins. (c) and (d) are the same as (a) and (b) but for the western North Atlantic basin. The y-axis in each subplot is $\Delta V_{24}$ (units: kt/24hr) and the x-axis shows the initial $V_{\text{max}}$ (units: kt) and RMW (units: km), respectively. The unit for the blue shadings is %.

**Figure 3.** The silhouette and Davies–Bouldin scores as functions of the number of clusters when initial $V_{\text{max}}$, radius of the maximum wind, and $\Delta V_{24}$ are input for clustering. The models were trained using global data.
threshold identified using data of earlier periods. The results of the above two tests indicate the proposed threshold is robust, even considering the high uncertainty of RMW measurements. Nevertheless, the threshold of 30 or 35 kt/24hr was not found in these experiments.

3.3. Characteristics and Evaluation of the New Threshold

The threshold of 45 kt/24hr was selected due to its better overall performance in the sensitivity experiments. This threshold corresponds to the 97th percentile of the global over-water ΔV_{24}. For the global basins, the mean ΔV_{24}, \( V_{\text{max}} \), and RMW of this cluster were 54 kt/24hr, 62 kt, and 45 km, respectively (Table 1). On the other hand, these properties for the TCs with a ΔV_{24} of over 30 kt/24hr, were 40 kt/24hr, 58 kt, and 52 km, respectively.

Analyses for the individual basins were performed next. The global RI threshold of 45 kt/24hr was found for most basins, except for the North Atlantic (NA) and East Pacific (EP), where the RI threshold was 40 kt/24hr (Table 1). These differences are likely to be an artifact since the measurements were provided by varied agencies. However, it is also possible that the background ΔV_{24} varies among basins (Figure 6), as already noticed by previous studies (e.g., Xu & Wang, 2015; Xu & Wang, 2018). For instance, The intensification rates are significantly higher in the western North Pacific (WP) and North Indian Ocean (NI) than in EP and NA. The 95th percentile of ΔV_{24} in the former two basins was 40 and 40 kt/24hr, respectively, while in the latter two basins it was 35 kt/24hr. Monte Carlo experiments also showed that the 95th percentile of ΔV_{24} in EP and NA is 37.5 kt/24hr, while for the other basins it is 41.5 kt/24hr. Therefore different thresholds exist even though the percentile-based method was used. Such differences can be attributed to various environmental conditions. Using an idealized numerical simulation, Li et al. (2021) noted a higher ΔV_{24} with a vertical sounding from WP than NA, especially when SST is lower than 28°C.

Overall RI clusters, the initial intensity was approximately 60 ± 15 kt, and the radius was approximately 45 ± 20 km, while RMW was significantly larger (65 ± 37 km) for the non-RI clusters (Table S2 of Supporting Information S1). Moreover, intensity and size varied significantly among many basins. For instance, the average ΔV_{24} of the RI cluster for the North Indian Ocean (60.4 kt/24hr) was significantly higher than that for the North Atlantic (49.4 kt/24hr). The RI cases over the South Indian Ocean were initially

Figure 4. Intensification rate (ΔV_{24}) of different clusters with (a) eight and (b) six clusters when initial \( V_{\text{max}} \), radius of the maximum wind, and ΔV_{24} are input for clustering. The rapid intensification (RI) cluster is labeled as Cluster A in both subplots. The models were trained using global data. Only the three most rapidly intensifying clusters are plotted for better display clarity. The results with all clusters can be found in Figure S1 of Supporting Information S1.

Figure 5. Intensification rate (ΔV_{24}) of different clusters for the 2021 North Atlantic hurricane season. The rapid intensification (RI) cluster is labeled as Cluster A. The dashed lines depict the average ΔV_{24} for each cluster.
significantly weaker and larger than those over the western North Pacific, whereas the typical $\Delta V_{24}$ and RI thresholds were similar for the two basins. Using a single threshold of 30 kt/24hr failed to detect many of these differences. For example, significant difference in RMW and initial intensity were observed between the RI events detected by clustering over the North Indian Ocean and western North Pacific. However, when the conventional threshold was used, there was no such difference in the inner-core size. A significant difference ($p < 0.01$) also exists in $\Delta V_{24}$ between Western and Eastern Pacific basins, as determined by the new threshold, while no difference could be detected ($p = 0.7$) using the conventional threshold of 30 kt/24hr. However, we used a fixed period of 24hr for all RI events, and some of the events overlapped, and the results are likely to be different if consecutive RI events are considered as one.

The performance of the new threshold in explaining the distribution and variation of TC intensity was also examined. Lee et al. (2016) noted that the LMI follows a bimodal distribution, and the modes indicate two types of TCs: those that undergo RI (RI TCs) and those that do not (non-RI TCs). Here, we compared the effects of different thresholds on the distributions of the global and North Atlantic TCs (Figure 7). Note that only the TC data with both $V_{\text{max}}$ and RMW recordings over the period 2004–2020 were used and thus the distributions differ slightly from Lee et al. (2016), who used all data from 1981 to 2012. Similar to their results, RI TCs, defined with either the clustering or traditional threshold, comprised the majority of the major TCs (LMI $\geq$ 96 kt, Category 3), with a peak at approximately 120 kt. However, the clustering threshold was more effective in separating the non-RI TCs, especially for the North Atlantic. When the clustering threshold was used, 80% of RI TCs had an LMI of over 96 kt for the North Atlantic, and this ratio is 82% for the global TCs. In contrast, with the threshold of 30 kt/24hr, 65% and 72% of the RI TCs became major TCs for the North Atlantic and the globe, respectively.

The high correlation between the number of RI events and major TCs further demonstrated the benefits of the new threshold. Figure 8 plots the LMI against the number of RI events with the traditional and the proposed thresholds. When the clustering threshold was used, LMI clearly increased with the number of RI events per storm. However, when the threshold of 30 kt/24hr was used, the number of RI events was not highly related to LMI, especially for the Category 5 TCs (LMI $\geq$ 137 kt). This was also demonstrated by a higher correlation between LMI and the number of RI events (0.62 vs. 0.52, $p < 0.05$). In addition, the number of RI events identified by the new threshold better explained the annual variation of the major TCs (Figure 8c), especially for

| RI threshold (kt/24hr) | Percentile | $\Delta V_{24}$ (kt/24hr) | $V_{\text{max}}$ (kt) | RMW (km) |
|------------------------|------------|---------------------------|----------------------|---------|
| Global                 | 45         | 97th                      | 53.7 ± 9.8           | 61.7 ± 16.6 | 46 ± 20 |
| NATL                   | 40         | 98th                      | 49.4 ± 11.0          | 64.3 ± 14.4 | 41 ± 23 |
| EPAC                   | 40         | 96th                      | 51.8 ± 9.9           | 59.9 ± 14.7 | 46 ± 19 |
| WPAC                   | 45         | 97th                      | 55.7 ± 9.0           | 63.8 ± 15.7 | 44 ± 17 |
| SPAC                   | 45         | 96th                      | 55.3 ± 9.1           | 59.6 ± 15.6 | 51 ± 21 |
| NIO                    | 45         | 96th                      | 60.4 ± 10.0          | 56.5 ± 8.8  | 47 ± 19 |
| SIO                    | 45         | 97th                      | 55.0 ± 10.1          | 49.6 ± 11.3 | 53 ± 12 |

Note. The percentiles were calculated using all $\Delta V_{24}$ instead of the positive values alone. EPAC, East Pacific; NIO, North Indian Ocean; NATL, North Atlantic; SIO, South Indian Ocean; SPAC, South Pacific; WPAC, Western North Pacific.
Category 5 TCs, as indicated by relatively larger slopes of their fitted lines. The correlations between the number of TCs stronger than Category 3 (LMI ≥ 96 kt) and the clustering-based and conventional thresholds were both 0.81. However, the correlation between the number of Category 5 TCs and RI events with the new threshold was 10% higher than that with the traditional one (0.85 vs. 0.75, \( p < 0.05 \)). These improvements demonstrated the potential of the proposed threshold in the research of major TCs.

We further analyzed the environmental factors affecting the intensification processes in the western North Atlantic, namely mid-level relative humidity, deep vertical wind shear, and SST (Figure 9). These variables were similar between the RI events, as defined by the clustering and traditional thresholds. Although a slightly higher mid-level humidity, lower vertical wind shear, and higher SST were observed for RI events defined by the clustering threshold, the differences are not statistically significant. The results partially validate our choice of using metrics representing the initial vortex property only.

4. Discussion and Conclusion

Rapid intensification is of great importance in TC research. Conventionally, RI is defined statistically. In this study, we proposed a physically orientated threshold and incorporated properties of the vortex into its definition. To do so, we treated RI as an extreme-detecting problem and cluster the initial \( V_{\text{max}} \) and RMW, with a subsequent \( \Delta V_{24} \) for all global TCs from 2004 to 2020. These variables were selected because they define the initial state of the vortex before RI, as demonstrated by previous theoretical and observational studies (e.g., Carrasco et al., 2014; Li et al., 2021; Mallen et al., 2005; Y. Wang et al., 2021; Sparks & Toumi, 2022) and our sensitivity experiments. Over 12,000 events extracted from the IBTrACS best-track data were clustered using the K-Means clustering algorithm; one cluster with a minimum \( \Delta V_{24} \) of 45 kt/24hr (23.2 m/s/24hr) was thereby distinctly separated from the others. A significant gap between the RI and non-RI clusters was found, indicating that RI can be separated statistically and physically by vortex property. The RI threshold was the same as the global value across all the individual basins except for the North Atlantic and East Pacific, where it was 40 kt/24hr (20.6 m/s/24hr).

It should be noted that the results obtained from the clustering analysis rely heavily on data quality. RMW has a relatively large uncertainty because of limited temporal and spatial resolution in the satellite observations (Demuth et al., 2004), although the data has been widely used for various basins (e.g., Carrasco et al., 2014; Li, Wang, & Tan, 2022; Xu & Wang, 2015, 2018; Q. Wu & Ruan, 2021). Even for the Atlantic basin, where we have high confidence in the data quality, the uncertainty could be as high as 16 nm (30 km) (Landsea, 2022). To assess the impact of data uncertainty, we conducted Monte Carlo experiments and an independent test for the 2021 hurricane season. The results demonstrated the robustness of the proposed threshold, even with an uncertainty of 30 km in RMW. Nevertheless, this uncertainty is expected to decrease with improved observation facilities (e.g.,
Combot et al., 2020) and the clustering results could be revised with more accurate measurements, especially for basins other than the Atlantic.

We do not compare the performances of the two thresholds in operations due to the scope of this work. The operational and practical choices of RI definition could be based purely on frequency. However, a more rigorous definition based on, for instance, the initial vortex state as advocated here may be desirable for case-based process study and trend analysis. As a first step, we present this new definition of RI from a different angle and emphasize on physical properties of the vortex behind the results. The clustering method identified an initial wind speed of 60 ± 15 kt and an RMW of 45 ± 20 km as the typical conditions for the RI cluster. While for the non-RI clusters, the average RMW mounts up to 65 km. Around these initial values, eye and eye-wall formation would be expected (Vigh et al., 2012). The onset wind speed cannot be much larger because, above this value, the frictional dissipation increases, and ΔV_{24} is constrained as the TC approaches the maximum potential intensity.

Figure 8. The distribution of lifetime maximum intensity (LMI) and the number of rapid intensification (RI) events per storm with (a) the threshold of 30 kt/24-hr and (b) the clustering threshold. (c) Scatter plot of the normalized annual number of RI events versus the normalized annual number of tropical cyclones of different categories. Each variable was normalized using its own mean and standard deviation prior to plotting. The solid lines show linear regression.
On the other hand, a small RMW reflects a high inner-core inertial stability and dynamical efficiency, which enhances intensification (Schubert & Hack, 1982). The inertial stability within the RMW was on the order of $10^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$ or about 20 f at 20°N and became important near these wind speeds. A small RMW also favors intensification due to the conservation of angular momentum. Both numerical and observational studies (Fischer et al., 2020; Li et al., 2021; Li, Wang, & Tan, 2022; Sitkowski et al., 2011; Y.-F. Wang & Tan, 2020) showed that the typical RMW value during RI is approximately 30–50 km, which is consistent with our results. These results also suggest that accurate measurement and prediction of RMW near $V_{max}$ of 60 kt would be expected to play a significant role in improving RI predictions.

Moreover, an advantage of the clustering threshold is its ability to explain the bimodal distribution of the LMI. Both clustering and traditional thresholds can explain the secondary peak at around 120 kt. However, Lee et al. (2016) reported that in the North Atlantic, 30% of RI TCs became minor TCs ($LMI < 96$ kt). The ratio is reduced to 20% if the clustering threshold is used. Similar results were found for the global distribution. In addition, the new definition also showed a better correlation with the variation in the annual number of Category 5 TCs over the past decades compared to that of the traditional threshold, demonstrating the potential and importance of this new threshold for major TC research.

Environmental factors are undoubtedly important for TC intensification and many of such factors are included in the forecasting systems (e.g., Kaplan & DeMaria, 2003). However, previous studies found that the environmental conditions for the RI and intensifying TCs are similar (Hendricks et al., 2010), and argued RI is a weak function of the environmental conditions (Kowch & Emanuel, 2015). We also found that the difference in the mid-level humidity, vertical wind shear, and SST between the RI events, with different thresholds, is marginal. Therefore favorable environmental factors are essential but are likely insufficient for RI. Although the environmental influences require further investigation, such results validate our selection of using the inner-core metrics only.

This work suggests the potential of clustering to define an RI threshold with an objective and plausible physical basis and demonstrates its advantages in explaining the distribution of major TCs. We did not directly compare the operational applications of proposed and conventional thresholds, but the higher threshold proposed here would reduce the sample size of RI events, which may impact its operational usefulness in some aspects.

Figure 9. Composite fields of: (a), (b), (c) 600-hPa relative humidity (units: %; shaded); (d), (e), (f) 200- and 850-hPa vertical wind shear (units: m/s); and (g), (h), (i) sea surface temperature (units: °C) for the (a), (d), (g) rapid intensification (RI) using the clustering threshold ($\geq 40$ kt/24hr); (b), (e), (h) RI using the traditional threshold ($\geq 30$ kt/24hr); and (c), (f), (i) the difference. The units for the x- and y-axes are degrees. The 2 circles indicate radii of 5 and 10° from the tropical cyclone center.

(Y. Wang et al., 2021). On the other hand, a small RMW reflects a high inner-core inertial stability and dynamical efficiency, which enhances intensification (Schubert & Hack, 1982). The inertial stability within the RMW was on the order of $10^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$ or about 20 f at 20°N and became important near these wind speeds. A small RMW also favors intensification due to the conservation of angular momentum. Both numerical and observational studies (Fischer et al., 2020; Li et al., 2021; Li, Wang, & Tan, 2022; Sitkowski et al., 2011; Y.-F. Wang & Tan, 2020) showed that the typical RMW value during RI is approximately 30–50 km, which is consistent with our results. These results also suggest that accurate measurement and prediction of RMW near $V_{max}$ of 60 kt would be expected to play a significant role in improving RI predictions.

Moreover, an advantage of the clustering threshold is its ability to explain the bimodal distribution of the LMI. Both clustering and traditional thresholds can explain the secondary peak at around 120 kt. However, Lee et al. (2016) reported that in the North Atlantic, 30% of RI TCs became minor TCs ($LMI < 96$ kt). The ratio is reduced to 20% if the clustering threshold is used. Similar results were found for the global distribution. In addition, the new definition also showed a better correlation with the variation in the annual number of Category 5 TCs over the past decades compared to that of the traditional threshold, demonstrating the potential and importance of this new threshold for major TC research.

Environmental factors are undoubtedly important for TC intensification and many of such factors are included in the forecasting systems (e.g., Kaplan & DeMaria, 2003). However, previous studies found that the environmental conditions for the RI and intensifying TCs are similar (Hendricks et al., 2010), and argued RI is a weak function of the environmental conditions (Kowch & Emanuel, 2015). We also found that the difference in the mid-level humidity, vertical wind shear, and SST between the RI events, with different thresholds, is marginal. Therefore favorable environmental factors are essential but are likely insufficient for RI. Although the environmental influences require further investigation, such results validate our selection of using the inner-core metrics only.

This work suggests the potential of clustering to define an RI threshold with an objective and plausible physical basis and demonstrates its advantages in explaining the distribution of major TCs. We did not directly compare the operational applications of proposed and conventional thresholds, but the higher threshold proposed here would reduce the sample size of RI events, which may impact its operational usefulness in some aspects.

Systematic
analyses are thus required, including the ability of operational systems in detecting RI events, influences of different precursors, and damage caused by different RI events, before the proposed threshold is used in operation.
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