Cerebellar Golgi cell models predict dendritic processing and mechanisms of synaptic plasticity
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Abstract

The Golgi cells are the main inhibitory interneurons of the cerebellar granular layer. Although recent works have highlighted the complexity of their dendritic organization and synaptic inputs, the mechanisms through which these neurons integrate complex input patterns remained unknown. Here we have used 8 detailed morphological reconstructions to develop multicompartmental models of Golgi cells, in which Na, Ca, and K channels were distributed along dendrites, soma, axonal initial segment and axon. The models faithfully reproduced a rich pattern of electrophysiological and pharmacological properties and predicted the operating mechanisms of these neurons. Basal dendrites turned out to be more tightly electrically coupled to the axon initial segment than apical dendrites. During synaptic transmission, parallel fibers caused slow Ca-dependent depolarizations in apical dendrites that boosted the axon initial segment encoder and Na-spike backpropagation into basal dendrites, while inhibitory synapses effectively shunted backpropagating currents. This oriented dendritic processing set up a coincidence detector controlling voltage-dependent NMDA receptor unblock in basal dendrites, which, by regulating local calcium influx, may provide the basis for spike-timing dependent plasticity anticipated by theory.

Author Summary

The Golgi cells are the main inhibitory interneurons of the cerebellum granular layer and play a fundamental role in controlling cerebellar processing. However, it was unclear how spikes are processed in the dendrites by specific sets of ionic channels and how they might contribute to integrate synaptic inputs and plasticity. Here we have developed detailed multicompartmental models of Golgi cells that faithfully reproduced a large set of
experimental findings and revealed the nature of signal interchange between dendrites and axo-
somatic compartments. A main prediction of the models is that synaptic activation of apical
dendrites can effectively trigger spike generation in the axonal initial segment followed by
rapid spike backpropagation into basal dendrites. Here, incoming mossy fiber inputs and
backpropagating spikes regulate the voltage-dependent unblock of NMDA channels and the
induction of spike timing-dependent plasticity (STDP). STDP, which was predicted by theory,
may therefore be controlled by contextual information provided by parallel fibers and
integrated in apical dendrites, supporting the view that spike timing is fundamental to control
synaptic plasticity at the cerebellar input stage.

**Introduction**

The cerebellar Golgi cell (1–3) is the principal inhibitory interneuron of the cerebellar
granular layer (4) and is supposed to play a critical role for spatio-temporal reconfiguration of
incoming inputs by regulating neurotransmission and synaptic plasticity along the mossy fiber –
granule cell pathway (5–7). Golgi cells are wired in feed-forward and feed-back loops with granule
cells and form a functional syncytium through gap junctions (8). The excitatory inputs are
conveyed by mossy fibers and granule cell ascending axons on the basal dendrites and by parallel
fibers on the apical dendrites (9). The inhibitory inputs come from other Golgi cells (10) and from
Lugaro cells (11,12). The Golgi cells eventually inhibit large fields of granule cells through an
extended axonal plexus. Fundamental issues that remain unexplored are how synaptic inputs
control Golgi cell spike generation and whether dendritic processing provides the basis for spike-
timing dependent plasticity (STDP), which has been predicted by theory (13). Interestingly, mossy
fiber – Golgi cell synapses express NMDA channels (fundamental for synaptic plasticity) at mossy
fiber synapses (9) and the dendrites express a diversified set of Ca, Na and K ionic channels (14) that could impact on dendritic computation. A prediction about the possible interactions of these multiple active properties is quite hard and requires a detailed computational analysis of the electrogenic architecture of the neuron and of synaptic integration.

The complement of Golgi cell ionic channels was initially determined with somatic whole-cell recordings using bath-applied pharmacological blockers (15). In the absence of more detailed information, an initial computational model assumed that Golgi cell intrinsic electroresponsiveness could be generated by active properties concentrated in the soma, while a passive dendritic tree was used to balance the electrotonic load (Solinas et al., 2007a, b). That same model, once reimplemented into a realistic morphology, was used to test electrical transmission through gap junctions in Golgi cell pairs (8). But since then, the discovery of active dendritic properties (14) and of the complexity of Golgi cell wiring in the local microcircuit (9,10) has changed the perspective. In order to get insight on how this neuron might work based on the interaction of its membrane and synaptic mechanisms, we have developed realistic computational models of Golgi cells based on detailed morphological reconstructions and on the new electrophysiological and immunohistochemical data that have become available about the properties of dendrites and synapses. The new models, further than faithfully reproducing the rich pattern of Golgi cell electrophysiological responses recorded in vitro and in vivo, provided testable predictions for dendritic processing, synaptic integration and STDP. Interestingly, NMDA receptor-dependent STDP in basal dendrites turned out to be controlled by information provided by parallel fibers and integrated in apical dendrites, suggesting the way spike timing might supervise synaptic plasticity at the cerebellar input stage.

RESULTS
We have reconstructed, optimized and simulated 8 multicompartmental GoC models based on detailed morphologies obtained from mouse cerebellum (Fig. 1A and Supporting Information S2). The voltage dependent ionic channels were distributed over the entire neuronal structure, based on indications derived from electrophysiology and immunostaining (14), generating models with active properties in dendrites, soma, axonal initial segment (AIS) and axon. The ionic channel subtypes, their distribution over the compartments belonging to the same section (Fig 1B), their maximum conductance ranges, as well as the spike features used as template, were the same for the 8 Golgi cell models. The models were tuned through automatic optimization of maximum conductance values based on a genetic algorithm, while targeting an electrophysiological template (see Methods), and were carefully validated against a wealth of experimental data. All the models were autorhythmic (Fig. 2C) and current injection (0.1nA to 0.5nA) increased the firing rate (Fig. 2D). During the current steps, spike discharge showed frequency adaptation. At the end of the steps, membrane potential showed a hyperpolarization followed by a pause in spike firing. These properties resembled those observed experimentally (15).

The action potentials were generated in the AIS with forward propagation in the axon and back-propagation in the soma and dendrites (Fig. 1E). Axonal invasion took about 1 ms according to experimental delay times in the Golgi cell - granule cell circuit (18), while dendritic back-propagation occurred in about 0.5 ms. Backpropagating spikes were 50-80% of AIS size in the basal dendrites but were severely reduced to 10-20% of AIS size in the apical dendrites, as expected from the differential distribution of Na channels anticipated by (14).

**Fig 1 – Fundamental electroresponsiveness in a multicompartmental Golgi cell model.** (A) 3D morphological reconstruction of a GoC [from (19)] including dendrites (blue), soma (black), axonal initial segment and axon (red). The apical dendrites where distinguished based on their projection into the
molecular layer (ML), while basal dendrites remained in the granular layer (GL). (B) Localization of ionic
channels in the different model sections according to immunohistochemical, electrophysiological and
pharmacological data. (C) Spikes during pacemaking in the different sectors indicated in B. Note the strong
spike reduction in apical GoC dendrites but the effective backpropagation into basal dendrites. (D)
Responses to 100-200-300 pA step current injections in the model.

(E) Spikes (taken from C) on expanded time scale. Note that spikes are generated in the AIS and then
propagate with increasing delay to soma, axon, basal and apical dendrites. In this latter, spikelets are
severely delayed, reduced and slowed down. When not otherwise specified, examples in the next figures
will be taken from this same Golgi cell model.

Intrinsic model electroresponsiveness with somatic current injection

Following parameter optimization and validation, all the models behaved as typical Golgi
cells and showed minor differences in the maximum ionic conductance values (Fig 2A-B),
demonstrating that individual cell morphology did not impact remarkably on physiological
properties.

In the Golgi cell models, the average input resistance was 339.3 ± 128.3 MΩ (n=8 models)
and spontaneous firing frequency was at 5.4±1.7 Hz (n=8 models) (Fig. 2C). The frequency /
current relationship was almost linear in a range up to ~100 Hz (Fig. 2D).

Fig 2 – Quantitative aspects of Golgi cell models. (A) The morphologies of the 8 Golgi cells used for
modeling. (B) The table shows the balance of maximum ionic channel conductances in the 8 GoC models,
demonstrating their similarity. (C) The box-and-whiskers plots show the distribution of input resistance
(measured from current transients elicited by 100 ms steps from -70m to – 80 mV in the soma) and basal
firing frequency. (D) Frequency-intensity curves for the 8 GoC models compared to the experimental range [dotted lines from (15)]. The same color code is used for the cells in B and D.

While the properties reported in Fig. 2 were counter checked during the optimization process (the models that did not comply with the reference parameters were eliminated), a more extended set of electroresponsive properties typical of the Golgi cells (15,20) emerged providing an important proof for model validation. A sag of 5-8 mV was elicited during negative current injection (Fig. 3A). Rebound bursting was elicited on return to rest from a hyperpolarized potential (Fig. 3B). Phase-reset occurred following a brief current pulse injection (Fig. 3C). Resonance emerged by challenging the model with repetitive current steps (repetition frequency 1-15 Hz), which caused a preferential response at low frequency (1-4 Hz) (Fig. 3D). that were previously remapped onto specific ionic channels (16,21): phase reset was related to calcium entry and the subsequent activation of Kca2.2 channels, the length of the interspike interval was modulated by the H current, rebound bursts were generated by low-threshold Ca channels, resonance depended on Kv7.x. Therefore, the information extracted from the template was sufficient to optimize the ionic conductance balance toward properties beyond those directly assessed during model construction.

Fig 3 – High level model validation: sags, rebounds, phase-reset and resonance. The model was simulated in operating conditions capable of revealing typical GoC behaviors not considered for model construction. (A) Response to hyperpolarizing currents. The model generates sagging hyperpolarization. The box-and-whiskers plot shows sag amplitude in the 8 models. (B) Return to resting activity following hyperpolarizing currents. The model transiently generates a rebound burst (arrow). The box-and-whiskers plot shows burst frequency in the 8 models. (C) Responses following a depolarizing current pulse. The
model shows phase-reset. The box-and-whiskers plot shows the pause length in the 8 models. (D)

Responses following injection of current steps at different frequencies. The model shows resonance of output spike frequency. The traces illustrate the resonance protocol and an enlargement of the spike bursts used for measuring the output frequency. The box-and-whiskers plot shows resonance frequency in the 8 models.

**Ionic currents in different model compartments**

The ionic currents generated in the different Golgi cell model compartments are illustrated in Fig. 4. While the distribution of ionic channels was constrained by immunohistochemistry, electrophysiology and pharmacology, the maximum ionic conductances were the true unknown of the models and were set through automatic parameter optimization. These values eventually determined the ionic current densities shown in Fig. 4.

**AIS and soma.** The AIS, in addition to sodium channels (Nav1.6), also hosted high-threshold calcium channels, potassium channels and H channels (Cav2.2, Kca1.1, HCN1/ HCN2, Kv7.x). The soma hosted sodium channels (Nav1.6), high-threshold and low-threshold calcium channels (Cav2.2, Cav3.1) and various potassium channels (Kca1.x, Kca3.1, Kv3.4). The AIS Na current proved critical for action potential generation (22) and had a 3 times higher density compared to soma (13.5 mA/cm² vs. 4.5 mA/cm²). The Cav2.2 channels were also expressed in AIS and caused the intracellular calcium concentration [Ca^{2+}]_i to reach levels 3 times higher than in the soma. This peculiar phenomenon was also observed in Cartwheel neurons of the Cochlear Nucleus and in cerebral cortex L5 Pyramidal cells (23,24). The main effect of the calcium current and of the associated [Ca^{2+}]_i increase was to activate Kca1.1 and Kca3.1 and to regulate action potential generation along with the voltage-dependent K current Kv3.4. HCN1 and HCN2
increased the action potential threshold and regulated the pacemaker cycle, while Kv7.x was critical for resonance as anticipated by (16,21).

**Dendrites.** The basal and apical dendrites hosted, in addition to a low density of sodium channels (Nav1.6), a repertoire of calcium channels (Cav2.2, Cav2.3, Cav3.1) and calcium-dependent potassium channels (Kca2.2). These currents sustained spike backpropagation, which was much more effective in the basal than apical dendrites.

**Fig 4 – Ionic currents in model sections.** The figure shows the ionic currents and calcium concentration changes generated by membrane channels in the GoC model when a spike occurs during autorhythmic firing. Note the localization of channels in different sections and the different calibration scales.

**Selective switch-off of ionic currents in the model**

The impact of ionic channels on spontaneous firing was evaluated by selective switch-off imitating pharmacological blockade (14,15) (Fig.5). The switch-off of the main calcium current (Cav2.2) uncovered oscillatory bursting at 4-5Hz. A similar oscillatory bursting was seen with switch-off Kca2.2 in the apical and basal dendrites, indicating that the main effect of Cav2.2 was to control Kca2.2. The switch-off of Cav2.3 had no visible impact on spontaneous firing. The switch-off of Kca1.1 and Cav3.1 caused just a slight increase in spontaneous firing frequency. As a whole, during spontaneous activity, the apical dendrites ionic channels showed little involvement. The switch-off of HCN1 and HCN2 abolished the sag and reduced spontaneous firing frequency to 2.2 ±2.1 Hz (n=8). The switch-off of Cav3.1 in the apical and the somatic sections abolished rebound bursts. All these tests were in good matching with pharmacological experiments (14,15) and provided a strong support to model validation by showing that the ionic
maximum conductances set through automatic optimization were mechanistically related to the main functional properties of the Golgi cell.

Fig 5 – Selective switch-off of ionic mechanisms. Counter-testing of the GoC model obtained by switch-off of ionic channels in specific model sections. (A) Effect on basal firing of the switch-off of Cav2.2, Kca2.2, Kca1.1, Cav2.3, Cav3.1. These simulations imitate the experiments on pharmacological block reported in (14). (B) Effect on the responses to negative currents steps (during the step and in the rebound phase) of the switch-off of HCN (HCN1 and HCN2) and Cav3.1.

Synaptic properties of the Golgi cell model

The implications of dendritic processing were analyzed by using realistic representations of synaptic transmission, including presynaptic release dynamics of vesicle cycling, neurotransmitter diffusion and postsynaptic receptors activation (see (25–29). The models were endowed with parallel fiber, ascending axon and mossy fiber synapses using information taken from literature (9) (Fig. 6A). The parallel fiber on apical dendrites and ascending axon on apical and basal dendrites were facilitating (paired pulse ratio, PPR>1) and were endowed with AMPA receptors. The mossy fiber on the basal dendrites, at least 20µm away from the soma, were neither facilitating nor depressing (paired pulse ratio, PPR=1) and were endowed with AMPA receptors and NMDA NR2B receptors. The basal dendrites received GABA-A receptor-mediated inputs (Fig. 6A). The only part of the neuron devoid of synapses was the dendritic trunk hiding in the PC layer.

Unitary EPSCs at their generation site had fast kinetics but the EPSCs were reduced in amplitude and slowed down when seen from the soma, as expected from electrotonic decay along the dendrites (Fig. 6B). The EPSCs generated on apical dendrites were more heavily filtered than
those generated on basal dendrites. Moreover, mossy fiber EPSCs had a small but sizeable NMDA
current component. In aggregate, the simulations confirmed the interpretation of experimental
EPSC properties (9). Unitary IPSCs were also similar to those reported experimentally (10). The
unitary EPSCs and IPSCs had small size (10 pA range at -70 mV) and generated comparatively
small voltage deflections with PPR resembling that of the EPSCs and IPSCs (Fig. 6B). The
ascending axon EPSPs were faster than parallel fiber EPSPs but slower that mossy fiber EPSP,
whose kinetics were protracted by the NMDA current.

Fig 6 – Model responses to synaptic inputs. (A) The figure shows the localization of mossy fibers (MF),
ascending axon (AA), parallel fiber (PF), and inhibitory (Inh) synapses. (B) Synaptic currents generated by
single MF, AA, PF and Inh synapses. The thin line is the current generated at the synaptic site, the thin line
is the current recorded in the soma. The dashed line is the NMDA current. The corresponding EPSPs and
IPSPs are reported at the bottom. In all cases the responses are elicited by a stimulus pair at 50 Hz.

The efficiency of synaptic activation

While the picture shown in Fig. 7B is a direct reflection of the known EPSC properties and
dendritic filtering, the synaptic response is expected to change when the number of synapses
increases and EPSPs grow enough to engage dendritic voltage-dependent mechanisms (Fig. 8A).
In order to predict the efficiency of spatial integration over the different input channels, the model
was stimulated with an increasing number of synchronous synaptic inputs. The mossy fiber,
ascending axon and parallel fiber inputs required the simultaneous activation of 2-3 synapses to
generate a spike, supporting the ability of the GoC models to integrate synaptic inputs with high
efficiency.
When the model was stimulated with a short burst (5 spikes@100Hz) delivered either through the mossy fibers (20 synapses), the ascending axon (20 synapses), the parallel fibers (89 synapses), all the three excitatory inputs generated a short spike burst followed by a pause that effectively reset the pacemaker cycle (cf. the PSTHs in Fig. 7B to Fig. 3C) (see S3 Movie-MF, S4 Movie-AA, S5 Movie-PF). Synaptic inhibition delivered in correspondence with the excitatory burst (20 synapses) reduced the number of emitted spikes but did not impact remarkably on the pause length (Fig. 7C). The effect of inhibition on the number of emitted spikes and of the subsequent pause depended on the number of excitatory synapse and was poorly sensitive to the relative excitation/inhibition phase in the ±10 ms range (Fig. 7D). These response patterns resembled those observed in vivo following punctuate facial stimulation (30).

Fig 7 – Simulations of synaptic excitation and inhibition. (A) The traces show spikes elicited by a single stimulus on 2 MF, 3 AA, and 12 PFs. The number of synapses that need to the activated simultaneously in order to elicit a spike is shown in the box-and-whiskers plots, which report the effect of stimuli delivered after switch-off of pacemaking with a small negative current. (B) PSTH of responses elicited by a short train (5 impulses @100 Hz) to 20 MF, 20 AA, and 89 PF synapses. Note that the response bursts are followed by a pause (corresponding to phase-reset). (C) PSTH of responses elicited by a short train (5 impulses @100 Hz) to 20 MF, 20 AA, and 89 PF synapses plus 20 inhibitory synapses activated 10 ms after the excitatory input. Note that the decrease of response bursts compared to B. (D) Number of spikes and pause length as a function of the number of inhibitory synapse and of the lag of inhibition with respect to excitation.

Active dendritic currents during synaptic transmission
The results reported above suggest that dendritic excitation in Golgi cells is rather complex. First, given the differential density of Na channels, the basal dendrites show a more efficient spike backpropagation than the apical dendrites (Fig. 1C,D). Secondly, Ca channels are localized differentially (Fig 1B), with high-threshold Ca channels (Cav2.2) located in basal dendrites (as well as in soma and initial segment) and low-threshold Ca channels (Cav3.1) located in distal dendrites (as well as in soma). We thought these factors could reverberate into an asymmetric dendritic excitation during synaptic transmission.

Fig.8 illustrates the underlying ionic mechanisms and Ca transients. Local spikes in basal dendrites were associated with rapid calcium transients, which were sustained by Cav2.2 and traversed the μM range. Excitation propagating to apical dendrites was slowly integrated by Cav3.1 activation causing Ca spikelets raiding a prolonged calcium wave. The slow local depolarization in apical dendrites was associated with a massive calcium wave sustained by Cav3.1 and traversing the μM range. The major repolarizing role was sustained by small-K channels (KCa2.2) in apical dendrites, which outperformed big-K channels (KCa1.1) by almost one order of magnitude. These simulations support the conclusion that dendritic processing in Golgi cells is markedly asymmetric.

**Fig 8 – Ionic current in the dendrites during synaptic transmission.** The traces show the ionic currents and intracellular calcium concentration changes elicited by activation of a short bursts of synaptic activity in either MFs in basal dendrites or PFs in apical dendrites.

**Prediction of coincidence detection and STDP between parallel fiber and mossy fiber activity**
Fig. 9A illustrates the effect of a short burst (5 spikes@100Hz) delivered either through mossy fibers (20 synapses), parallel fibers (89 synapses) or both. Mossy fiber stimulation on basal dendrites determined rapid synaptic currents that were transmitted to AIS. AIS generated spikes, which travelled back to the basal dendrites and partially to the apical dendrites. Parallel fiber stimulation on apical dendrites activated slow local currents that were transmitted to AIS generating spikes, which travelled back to the basal dendrites and at a much lesser extent to the apical dendrites. Joint mossy fiber and parallel fiber stimulation improved AIS activation generating again a robust backpropagation into basal dendrites. Finally, inhibition severely impaired both spike generation and dendritic backpropagation.

The electrogenic architecture of GoCs revealed by simulations suggested that dendritic processing could implement a coincidence detector driving spike-timing dependent plasticity (STDP). Fig. 9B shows the effect of phase-correlated parallel fiber and mossy fiber inputs. When a parallel fiber input precedes a mossy fiber input, this latter falls in the AHP region of the backpropagating spike. The NMDA channels do not unblock (Fig. 9C) and the associated Ca influx is small. This would result in LTD. When a parallel fiber input follows a mossy fiber input, this latter intercepts the upstroke of the backpropagating spike. NMDA channels unblock (Fig. 9C) raising the associated Ca influx considerably. This would result in LTP. Activation of inhibitory synapses dumps the burst and reduced backpropagation, thereby effectively preventing NMDA channel unblock and LTP.

**Fig 9 – Predictions of dendritic computation and STDP.** (A) Dendrite – AIS interplay during synaptic transmission. Stimulation of basal dendrites boosts the AIS encoder that sends backpropagating spikes in basal dendrites (only small spikelets are transmitted to the apical dendrites). Stimulation of apical dendrites generates a slow local response boosting the AIS encoder that sends backpropagating spikes in basal
dendrites. Conjoint stimulation of apical and basal dendrites reinforces AIS encoding and spike backpropagation in basal dendrites. Activation of inhibitory synapses severely impairs both spike generation and backpropagation. (B) STDP at mossy fiber inputs. Backpropagating spikes are elicited by PF stimulation either ~10 ms before or ~10 ms after a single synapse activation in the MFs. The NMDA receptor-dependent current ($I_{\text{NMDA}}$) generated at the MF synapse is shown in the two cases. The bottom plot shows a theoretical STDP curve (from (31)) showing modest $I_{\text{NMDA}}$ changes leading into the LTD region and large $I_{\text{NMDA}}$ changes leading into the LTP region. (C) The NMDA receptors operate as coincidence detectors of mossy fiber synaptic transmission and depolarization caused by spike backpropagation. The NMDA channel gating curve (corresponding to voltage-dependent Mg unblock) is intercepted in different points depending on whether spike backpropagation is present (MF & PF), reduced by inhibition (MF & PF & inh), or absent (MF).

**DISCUSSION**

The simulation of multicompartmental models of cerebellar Golgi cells showed an asymmetric communication between cell compartments, with apical dendrites being favored for integration of multiple synaptic inputs and basal dendrites for rapid spike back-propagation and NMDA receptor voltage-dependent regulation. The electrogenic architecture of Golgi cells therefore set up a cellular coincidence detector of activities conveyed by mossy fibers and parallel fibers, with important reflections on the way Golgi cells perform local computations and regulate STDP.

The multicompartmental models used here allowed to integrate complex data sets and to predict electroresponsive dynamics in functional conditions that would be hard to test experimentally. The Golgi cell models were parameterized using objective optimization routines targeting cell firing as a template and yielding the maximum conductance of voltage-dependent
ionic channels in the soma, dendrites, AIS and axon (14). The models were validated toward high-level electroresponsive properties including rebound sags and bursts, phase reset and resonance (14,15,20). Interestingly, all the 8 optimized models reproduced response patterns consistent with experimental Golgi cell activity with a similar ionic conductance balance (cf. Fig. 1D) that can be taken as a canonical property of these neurons. But then an obvious question arises: why previous models, with simplified morphology, passive dendrites and a limited set of ionic mechanisms collapsed into the soma (8,16,21,32) were also able to capture the same response patterns? Simpler models already predicted that phase reset was due to calcium entry and the subsequent activation of Ca channels, that the length of the interspike interval was modulated by H channels, that rebound bursts were generated by low-threshold Ca channels, and that resonance depended on an M-like current. The answer is that the channels indicate above are all located in the AIS or in its proximity and do not engage specific dendritic interactions. As said, the importance of ionic channels distribution emerged during dendritic synaptic integration.

The electrogenic architecture of Golgi cells

As in other central neurons, like Purkinje cells (29,33), inferior olivary cells (34), pyramidal neurons (35)], in Golgi cells the dendritic calcium channels played a fundamental role. A variety of Ca channels were located in the dendrites (14) and AIS (24,36). The models showed that, in basal dendrites, N-type channel activation followed the action potential trajectory generating rapid Ca transients. In the apical dendrites, T-type channels boosted slow Ca spikes that were enhanced by R-type channels. It should be noted that the N-type channels in basal dendrites enhanced pacemaking while the T-type and R-type channels of the apical dendrites did not affect it substantially. Moreover, the T-type channels sustained post-inhibitory rebound bursts. Other Ca
channels (P-type, L-type) were not introduced but they may conceivably amplify and modify the local response. Recently, dendritic Ca spikes have been measured in Golgi cells (37) following mossy fiber stimulation and have been related to activation of T-type and L-type channels and to the induction of long-term synaptic plasticity. The small-K KCa channels had also fundamental roles: in basal dendrites they caused phase-reset, dumped basal firing frequency and prevented rhythmic low-frequency bursting, while in apical dendrites they limited calcium spike duration. The big-K KCa channels in soma, dendrites and AIS enhanced spike after-hyperpolarization. In general, the electrogenic architecture of the Golgi cell was such that the basal dendrites were more rapidly interacting with the AIS and the firing mechanism than the apical dendrites, which conveyed to AIS slow current controlling spike burst generation.

A critical role was played by Na channels, which were located at decreasing density in AIS > axon > soma > basal dendrites >> apical dendrites. Due to this differential distribution, fast Na spikes were generated in the AIS (22) and propagated down along the axon. While uncertainty remains about Na channel density in the axon, the assumption of homogeneous channel distribution yields transmission delays compatible with feedback inhibition measured in the granule cell – Golgi cell loop (18). The spikes also invaded the soma and efficiently backpropagated in basal dendrites but much less so in apical dendrites, which showed heavily filtered spikelets in their terminal part.

A relevant set of ionic channels was located in the AIS, presumably to increase current density and improve control over the firing cycle. These channels controlled high level features of neuron discharge: the HCN channels regulated pacemaker frequency and sags, the M-channels regulated low-frequency resonance, and the LVA channels enhanced burst generation (see (16,21). Surprisingly the model predicted high local Ca raise in AIS during spikes, similar to Cartwheel.
neurons of the Cochlear Nucleus and in cerebral cortex L5 Pyramidal cells (23,24). This effect, which promoted local KCa channel activation, remains to be demonstrated experimentally.

Predictions of dendritic integration

The Golgi cell models provided testable predictions about the interplay of basal and apical dendrites under various patterns of excitation and inhibition (8–10).

First, simulations showed how the dendrites transferred synaptic currents generated by mossy fibers, granule cell ascending axons and parallel fibers. Dendritic filtering reduced and slowed down the AMPA EPSCs on their way to the soma, more effectively in apical than basal dendrites. The NMDA current protracted the time course and enhanced temporal summation at mossy fiber synapses. These simulations confirmed the interpretation of synaptic currents recorded in patch-clamp experiments in vitro (9).

Secondly, simulations predicted anew the somatic voltage changes caused by the activation of specific synaptic pathways. All the excitatory synapses showed high efficacy, with just a few active contacts capable of driving a spike, but the mossy fiber and ascending axon synapses proved ~5 times more effective that parallel fiber synapses. Repetitive transmission induced spike bursts followed by phase reset, generating a burst-pause pattern similar to that observed in vivo (30). The inhibitory synapses were strategically placed to shunt currents travelling between the distal part of dendrites and soma and effectively reduced AIS activation as well as backpropagating spikes.

Thirdly, simulations revealed a marked asymmetry in dendritic integration. During repetitive synaptic activation, the basal dendrites showed rapid spike bursts travelling back from the AIS, while the apical dendrites generated slow local depolarizations poorly affected by AIS activity.
Implications for Golgi cell computation and plasticity

Model simulations suggest that apical dendrites can regulate the number of AIS spikes that then backpropagate into basal dendrites with consequent regulation of NMDA channel unblock (when the mossy fiber synapses are also active). In this way, the apical dendrites could integrate multimodal information coming from parallel fibers and then influence the coincidence of spikes with specific synaptic inputs arriving on the basal dendrites. And since many Golgi cells can pulsate together due to gap-junctions and reciprocal inhibition, spike timing would extend over the interneuron network. This property would be important for mossy fiber – Golgi cell STDP, which has been hypothesized on theoretical basis and has been though to occur just at the mossy fiber – Golgi cell synapses (13). It is worth noticing that the Golgi cells pacemaker oscillation occurs in the theta band, which is the most efficient in generating STDP at the neighboring mossy fiber – granule cell synapses (31) and that granule cells NMDA receptor unblock could be critically controlled by Golgi cells regulating spatiotemporal network coding (7). Therefore, the Golgi cell electrogenic architecture may play a central role in controlling granular layer network functioning and plasticity as a whole.

Conclusions

The present simulations, beyond faithfully reproducing the rich pattern of Golgi cell electrophysiological properties recorded in vitro and in vivo, suggest that Golgi cells operate as oriented coincidence detectors of parallel fiber and mossy fiber activity. The strong coupling of basal dendrites to the AIS encoder makes them easy to invade by backpropagating spikes regulating NMDA channel unblock and STDP induction at the mossy fiber inputs. In turn, apical
dendrites can integrate time-dispersed parallel fiber inputs and influence the AIS encoder controlling spike generation. Oriented dendritic processing could therefore set up a cellular coincidence detector and explain a critical aspect of the learning mechanisms in the cerebellar granular layer. This prediction waits for electrophysiological confirmation using selective optogenetic stimulation of the different synaptic pathways impinging onto the Golgi cells.

Materials and Methods

Model construction

In this work we have reconstructed and simulated active multicompartmental models of cerebellar Golgi Cells (GoCs) in NEURON (38,39). The models were based on 8 mouse GoC detailed morphologies. The voltage-dependent ionic channels were distributed according to immunohistochemical, electrophysiological and pharmacological data (8,14). The gating properties were obtained from previous works (16,21,40–42) taking care of matching as much as possible the GoC genotype. The synaptic distribution and receptor gating properties were also precisely matched to experimental determinations (9,10). The models were optimized with BluePyOpt (43,44) against features extracted from templates derived from experimental recordings (15). This is the first family of GoC models accounting for active excitable properties in the axonal initial segment (AIS), axon, dendrites and soma and for selective activation of different receptor types at distinct synaptic locations.

Morphology. Detailed morphologies of 8 GoCs (Neurolucida reconstructions taken from sagittal slice of male and female P23 - P29 mice; (19)) were downloaded from NeuroMorpho.org and imported in the NEURON simulator. Four morphologies showed the axon stemming from the
soma and four from a basal dendrite. This morphological characteristic is rather common in the brain (45) and was reported also in cerebellar granule cells (46) and in the inferior olivary nucleus. Each morphology was segmented into compartments and the dendrites, axons, soma and axon initial segment (AIS) were identified based on their location and geometrical properties. The distinction between basal and apical dendrites was performed based on their proximity to the soma and Purkinje cell layer (19). The dendrites contained in the granular layer were classified as basal dendrites, whereas the branches projecting to and located in the molecular layer were classified as apical dendrites. The geometrical properties of model compartments are reported in Supporting Information (S1 Table).

Passive properties. The passive properties of the GoC models were set to match passive current transients and spike shape using the following parameters. Axial resistance was set to the standard value of $R_a = 122 \, \Omega \cdot \text{cm}$ (29) for all the sections. Membrane capacitance was set to the standard value $C_m = 1 \, \mu\text{F/cm}^2$ in all compartments except in dendrites, were it was set to $C_m = 2.5 \, \mu\text{F/cm}^2$ to match spike amplitude. Leakage conductance was set to $G_L = 3 \times 10^{-5} \, \text{S/cm}^2$ in all compartments except in the axon, where it was set at $G_L = 1 \times 10^{-6} \, \text{S/cm}^2$ according to recent measurements (47,48). The reversal potentials were set to +60 mV sodium channels, -80 mV for potassium channels, -20 mV for HCN channels, -70 mV for Cl channels (16,21). The reversal potential for leakage was adjusted to -55 mV in order to guarantee proper basal firing frequency. The resulting input resistance, calculated with a 10 mV negative step from -70 mV, was $339.3 \pm 128.3 \, \Omega$ in agreement with experimental determinations (Forti et al., 2006).
Membrane mechanisms. The GoC models, in the absence of more specific information, were endowed with ionic mechanism that were previously validated and used in GrC models (29,48), PC models (28,40,41,49) and Golgi cell models (8,16,21) (see Table 1).

Table 1. Ionic mechanisms in the GoC model. The table shows the main properties of ionic channels used in the Golgi cell models. For each ionic channel type, the columns specify the maximum ionic conductance $G_{i\text{-}\text{max}}$ (value of the model in Fig. 1 along with the range of parameters in the 8 models), ionic channels reversal potential $E_{\text{rev}}$, the type of ionic channel mathematical representation and the reference from which the ionic channel gating equations have been taken, Markovian or Hodgkin-Huxley (HH).

| Type  | Location | $G_{\text{max}}$ (S/cm²) | $E_{\text{rev}}$ (mV) | Type of ionic Channel model | Reference |
|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|
| Na CHANNEL | |
| Nav1.6 | Apical Dendrites | 0.0049 | 60 | Markovian | (41,50,51) |
| | Basal Dendrites | 0.008 | | | (0.006 – 0.00135) |
| | Soma | 0.149 | | | (0.143 – 0.24) |
| | AIS | 0.172 | | | (0.17 – 0.2) |
| | Axon | 0.011 | | | (0.0072 – 0.025) |
| K CHANNEL | |
| Kv1.x | Soma | 0.005 | -80 | HH | (41) |
| | | (0.004 – 0.007) |
| Kv3.4 | Soma | 0.149 | -80 | HH | (50,51) |
| | | (0.11 – 0.195) |
| | Axon | 0.0091 | | | |
### Ca DEPENDENT K CHANNELS

| Channel | Region          | Mean   | Range          | Model | Reference |
|---------|-----------------|--------|----------------|-------|-----------|
| **Kv4.3** | Soma            | 0.004  | 80 HH          |       | (52)      |
|         | (0.0035 - 0.0085) |        |                |       |           |
| **Kv7.x** | AIS             | 0.0002 | 80 HH          |       | (16,21,42)|
|         | (0.00022 - 0.0003) |        |                |       |           |

### Ca CHANNEL

| Channel | Region          | Mean   | Range          | Model | Reference |
|---------|-----------------|--------|----------------|-------|-----------|
| **Cav2.2** | Basal Dendrites | 0.0013 | 137.5 HH       |       | (42)      |
|         | (0.00125 - 0.0019) |        |                |       |           |
|         | Soma            | 0.0087 |                |       |           |
|         | (0.0078 - 0.0165) |        |                |       |           |
|         | AIS             | 0.0059 |                |       |           |
|         | (0.0045 - 0.0075) |        |                |       |           |
| **Cav2.3** | Apical Dendrites | 0.0012 | 137.5 HH       |       | (54)      |
|         | (0.0012 - 0.0017) |        |                |       |           |
| **Cav3.1** | Apical Dendrites | 3.69e-5 | 137.5 HH       |       | (40)      |
|         | (3.6e-5 – 7.5e-5) |        |                |       |           |
|         | Soma            | 3.40e-5 |                |       |           |
|         | (3e-5 – 9.5e-5) |        |                |       |           |
Nav1.6 – The sub-type of GoC sodium channels was determined experimentally (51) but only recently their distribution on the dendritic tree was suggested by electrophysiological experiments (14). The gating mechanism was taken from (41). The maximum conductance values were set according to literature, with AIS (8) > soma > basal dendrite > apical dendrite > axon (14).

Kv1.x - The low threshold Kv1.x channels (summarizing properties of Kv1.1 and Kv1.2), in accordance with experiments (55), were placed on the somatic compartment. The gating mechanism was taken from (41).

Kv3.4 – This ionic channel with delayed rectifier properties was restricted to the somatic compartment (56). The gating mechanism was taken from (41).
Kv4.3 – This ionic channel with A-type properties was placed in the soma. The gating mechanism was taken from a previous GoC model (16,21).

Cav2.2 and Cav2.3 – The high-threshold calcium channels were recently suggested to have distinct locations (14). Accordingly, the N-type calcium channels (Cav2.2) were placed in basal dendrites. Localization in the soma and AIS was inferred from immunolocalization data (57). The R-type calcium channels (Cav2.3) were placed in the distal dendrites. The gating mechanism of Cav2.2 was taken from a previous GrC model (42), that of Cav2.3 from (54).

Cav3.1 – The presence of low threshold T-type calcium channels (Cav3.1) on the soma was reported by immunohistochemistry (58) and on the apical dendrites was suggested by electrophysiology (14). Accordingly, we placed Cav3.1 in these locations. The gating mechanism was taken from (40).

KCa1.1, KCa2.2 and KCa3.1 – The big-k calcium-dependent potassium channel (Kca1.1), which can cluster with Cav2.x channels, was placed on the dendritic, somatic and AIS compartments based on immunohistochemical and electrophysiological data (59). The gating mechanism was taken from (40). The small-k calcium-dependent potassium channel (KCa2.2) was placed in the dendrites on the basis of electrophysiological recordings showing its importance in limiting calcium-dependent bursting (15) and based on the fact that KCa2.2 doesn’t normally coexist with either KCa3.1 or Cav3.1 (see below and the section on results). The gating mechanism was taken from a previous GoC model (16,21), with the caveat that this channel model describes more closely KCa2.2 (SK2) than KCa2.3 (SK3) typical of Golgi cells (60,61). The middle conductance calcium-dependent potassium channel (KCa3.1) was placed in the soma (62). The gating mechanism was taken from mitral cells of the olfactory bulb (53).
HCN1 and HCN2 – The H-channels, which were identified electrophysiologically in GoCs (15), have been located in the AIS (Vervaeke, 2012). The gating mechanism was taken from a previous GoC model (16,21).

Kv7.x – The M-current was identified electrophysiologically (15) and was assumed to correspond to Kv7.x channels (15,57). Based on anchoring of Kv7.x to Ankyrin-G (63,64), the channels were placed in the AIS using gating mechanisms developed for the GrC (42).

Calcium dynamics – The calcium buffer was taken from a PC model (28,40,49) and modified to contain Parvalbumin (65) and Calmodulin (66), the typical calcium binding proteins of the Golgi cell. The calcium pumps density was increased with respect to default to stabilize spike generation over 2 sec simulations. The density of calcium pumps was set to $2 \times 10^{-9}$ mol/cm$^2$ for the apical dendrites, $5 \times 10^{-9}$ mol/cm$^2$ for the basal dendrites, $1 \times 10^{-7}$ mol/cm$^2$ for the soma and $1 \times 10^{-8}$ mol/cm$^2$ for the AIS.

Synaptic mechanisms. Golgi cells receive synapses from different excitatory pathways (parallel fiber and ascending axon from granule cells, mossy fibers from various brain regions including the DCN; (67)) and from inhibitory neurons. Excitatory synapses have been recently characterized in detail (9). The parallel fiber synapses were distributed on the apical dendrites, one per compartment. The ascending axon synapses were placed on the basal dendrites, one per section at some distance from the mossy fiber synapses (9). The mossy fiber synapses were placed on the basal dendrites using sections which were, at least, 20 micron away from the soma (68). The inhibitory synapses on GoCs are still an open issue but recent evidence reports them both on the apical and basal dendrites (12). The apical dendrites reportedly receive a low amount of inhibition.
from molecular layer interneurons and Lugaro cells, while the basal dendrites receive inhibition
from other GoCs and the deep cerebellar nuclei (DCN) (69)

The synapses were modelled using dynamic mechanisms for presynaptic neurotransmitter
release (25,27), in which key parameters area the recovery and facilitation time constant ($\tau_{REC}$ and
$\tau_{FAC}$) and release probability ($p$), and specific kinetic schemes for AMPA, NMDA and GABA
receptors. AMPA receptors were placed at mossy fiber and ascending axon synapses on the basal
dendrites and at parallel fiber synapses on apical dendrites, NMDA receptors were placed only at
mossy fiber synapses on basal dendrites, GABA receptors were placed at Golgi cell inhibitory
synapses on both dendrites. Glycinergic synapses (11) and extrasynaptic NMDA receptors (70)
were not taken into consideration since their activation would require mechanisms that were not
investigated in the present model. The ionic reversal potential was set to 0 mV for AMPA and
NMDA receptors and to -70 mV for GABA receptors.

The AMPA receptor kinetic scheme for the parallel fiber and ascending axon synapses was
the same as in PCs (28). The synapses were configured with the following parameters: $p = 0.4,$
$\tau_{REC} = 35.1$ ms, $\tau_{FAC} = 55$ ms, and AMPA $G_{max} = 1200$ pS. This allowed to obtain short-term
facilitation with AMPA-EPSCs of ~25pA (at -70 mV) (9) matching EPSCs kinetics recorded from
the soma.

The AMPA and NMDA receptor kinetic scheme for the mossy fiber synapses were taken
from a granule cell model (48). The NMDA receptor was modified from the original work with a
new kinetic scheme built to reproduce the NR2B subunit-containing receptors (71). About 10% of
the 5.5pA maximum current was converted into calcium influx. The synapses were configured
with the following parameters: $p = 0.43,$ $\tau_{REC} = 5$ ms, $\tau_{FAC} = 8$ ms, AMPA $G_{max} = 1200$pS and
NMDA $G_{max} = 10000$ pS. This allowed prevent facilitation or depression with AMPA-EPSC peak
of ~25pA (at -70 mV) and a slow NMDA receptor-dependent EPSC temporal summation (9)
matching EPSCs kinetics recorded from the soma.

The GABA-A receptor kinetic scheme for the inhibitory synapses was taken from recent
work that has identify \( \alpha_3 \beta_3 \gamma_2 \) GABA subunit containing receptors in the apical and basal dendrites
(12,72). The synapses were configured with the following parameters: \( p = 0.5, \tau_{REC} = 15 \text{ ms}, \tau_{FAC} = 4 \text{ ms}, \) reversal potential = -70 mV and GABA \( G_{max} = 2600 \text{pS} \). This allowed to prevent facilitation
and depression with GABA-EPSCs of ~3.5 pA (at -80 mV) (10) and matching IPSCs kinetics
recorded from the soma.

Model optimization

The optimization workflow has been adapted from the one used for the GrC model
(29)(48). We used a genetic algorithm to optimize the maximum ionic conductances (the free
parameters in the model) for the ionic channels located in all compartments belonging to the same
section (apical and basal dendrites, soma, AIS and axon). Optimization occurred against features
extracted from data reported in (15), which provides highly controlled and reliable whole-cell
patch clam recordings of GoC discharge at rest and during current injection. The features used
were: action potential width, ISI coefficient of variation (ISI_CV), AHP depth, Action potential
height, mean firing frequency, spike count.

The simulations were performed with BluePyopt, using NEURON 7.7 and Python 2.7.15
with fixed time step (0.025ms) (39). The temperature was set at 32\(^\circ\) as in slice recordings (15) and
all ionic current kinetics were normalized to this value using \( Q_{10} \) corrections (29)(48). The
stimulation protocol included three positive current injections (0.2, 0.4, 0.6 nA) lasting for 2s.
The optimizations used a population size of 576 individuals and were repeated for 10 generations. The optimizations (running on the Piz Daint - CSCS supercomputer) required 16 nodes (36 cores each) with a computational time ranging from 2:30 to 4:30 hours, depending on the complexity of each morphology.

Model validation

Model validation consisted in a series of steps, automatically performed with custom-made Python protocols. The first validation step involved the simulation of each individual of the last generation to assess two fundamental properties: (1) the presence of spontaneous firing when the correct combination of conductances was achieved and (2) the ability of the models to generate the correct I/O relationship. The first validation criterion required a basal frequency between 2 and 15Hz and an ISI_CV below 0.3 (see Fig. 1). The second validation criterion required that the average frequency during the same current steps used for optimization (0.4 nA and 0.6 nA) fell within the experimental range (see Fig. 2).

The second validation step assessed the depth of the sag. Each individual was injected with a negative current step (-0.2 nA) lasting for 1000 ms (Fig. 3). Validation required that the sag depth fell between 4.4 mV and 10mV (8,15,16,21). In order to do so, a specific optimization was run and the successful models were passed to the third validation step. These validation protocols achieved a success rate, for the eight morphologies, of 32 ± 27.1%10-20% of the last population.

The third validation step assessed the compatibility of the model with experimental pharmacological blockade of Cav2.2, Kca1.1 and Kca2.2 ionic channels (14). The channels conductance was reduced up to 90%. Models capable of generating bursts, with Cav2.2 partially
blocked, were taken in consideration for their response to reductions of Kca2.2 and Kca1.1 conductance.

Data analysis

The optimizations results, validations and single simulations where analyzed with custom Python scripts and, for specific cases, with MATLAB 2018a/b provided by the University of Pavia. The morphologies were plotted with Vaa3D (73).

Model dissemination

The models will be made available on the Brain Simulation Platform (BSP) of the Human Brain Project as a “live paper” containing a selection of routines and optimization scripts. A wider selection will be made available on the HBP knowledge Graph. The models will also be uploaded on ModelDB.
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SUPPORTING INFORMATION CAPTIONS

S1 Table. Dimension and number of compartments in the Golgi cell model. The table shows parameters of compartments used in the 8 Golgi cell models taken from NeuroMorpho (19).

S2 Figure. Electroresponsiveness of the 8 Golgi cell models. The GoC experimental template is shown at the top along with the step current injection protocol used to elicit the electrical response (15). The same stimulation protocol was applied to the 8 Golgi cell models. For each model the panels show the morphological reconstruction and the electrical response. Note the similarity among the models and between them and the experimental case.

S3 Movie-MF. Golgi cell model activation by a mossy fiber burst. The same GoC model shown in Fig. 1 is activated with a burst (5 spikes@100Hz) delivered through the mossy fibers (20 synapses). The model generates a short spike burst followed by a pause that effectively reset the pacemaker cycle. Membrane potential is represented in color code (scale at the top left) on the model morphology. The plots show membrane potential traces taken in the soma, basal dendrite and apical dendrite.

S4 Movie-AA. Golgi cell model activation by an ascending axon burst. The same GoC model shown in Fig. 1 is activated with a burst (5 spikes@100Hz) delivered through the ascending axons (20 synapses). The model generates a short spike burst followed by a pause that effectively reset the pacemaker cycle. Membrane potential is represented in color code (scale at the top left) on the model morphology. The plots
show membrane potential traces taken in the soma, basal dendrite and apical dendrite.

**S5 Movie-PF. Golgi cell model activation by a parallel fiber burst.** The same GoC model shown in Fig. 1 is activated with a burst (5 spikes@100Hz) delivered through the parallel fibers (89 synapses). The model generates a short spike burst followed by a pause that effectively reset the pacemaker cycle. Membrane potential is represented in color code (scale at the top left) on the model morphology. The plots show membrane potential traces taken in the soma, basal dendrite and apical dendrite.
