Functional Outcome of Cemented Bipolar Hemiarthroplasty For Unstable Intertrochanteric Femur Fracture in Elderly Osteoporotic Patients
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Abstract
Introduction: Hip fractures in elderly are frequent and their number is increasing fast. Intertrochanteric hip fractures account for approximately half of the hip fractures in elderly; out of this more than 50% fractures are unstable.
In osteoporotic elderly patients, primary stabilization with dynamic hip screws has high failure rates due to implant failure, cut-out of head and penetration into hip. Treatment with cemented bipolar hemiarthroplasty in such patients lessen the duration of recumbency, early rehabilitation the patient, decreases the pulmonary post-operative complications and return of these subjects to the pre-injury level of activity more quickly.
Methodology: This is a longitudinal study, in which 24 patients with age>70 years who had unstable intertrochanteric fracture with no major associated injury who came to orthopedics department, Thiruvananthapuram Medical College, were treated with cemented bipolar hemiarthroplasty.
Result: Patients who underwent cemented bipolar hemiarthroplasty, at 2 weeks, 6 weeks, 3 moths had better Harris hip score than who underwent DHS and they were statistically significant (p<0.001). They were more pain free and had greater range of hip movements. They could be mobilized very early compared with the other group and were able to resume their daily activities early than other group. Harris hip score at final follow up at 1 year in both groups were comparable and no significant difference couldn’t be found out statistically.
Conclusion: After analyzing functional results we conclude that this method is well tolerated by aged patients. Early ambulation is possible thus lessening the chance of developing pulmonary complications and bed sores and a resurgery is less frequently required. This also improves their quality of life and the ability to go back to their original functional status much earlier than DHS.
Keywords: Cemented bipolar hemiarthroplasty, DHS, functional; outcome early mobilization.

Introduction
Hip fractures in elderly are frequent, and their number is increasing fast. Intertrochanteric hip fractures account for approximately half of the hip fractures in the elderly; out of this more than 50% fractures are unstable.
The factors most significant for instability and fixation failure are (1)Loss of posteromedial
support (2) Severe comminution (3) Subtrochanteric extension of the fracture (4) Reverse oblique fracture (5) Shattered lateral wall (6) Extension into femoral neck area and (7) Poor bone quality.

Unstable patterns occur more commonly with increased age and with low bone mineral density. The results of unstable fractures are less reliable and have a high rate of failure (8%-25%). Major problems involved in these fractures are (1) Association with substantial morbidity and mortality due to prolonged immobilization (2) Malunion (3) Great financial burden to the family (4) Associated medical problems like diabetes and hypertension.

In osteoporotic elderly patients, primary stabilization with dynamic hip screws/sliding screws is not always successful. In order to rehabilitate the patient and lessen the duration of recumbency, concept of bipolar prosthesis and use of synthetic bone cement was introduced, which reduced the complication of implant failure.

Aims and Objectives
1. Primary: Functional outcome of cemented bipolar hemiarthroplasty in unstable intertrochanteric fractures in elderly osteoporotic patients.
2. Secondary: To compare the functional outcome of cemented bipolar hemiarthroplasty with DHS in unstable intertrochanteric fractures in elderly osteoporotic patients.
3. Tertiary: To study the complications associated with cemented bipolar hemiarthroplasty in unstable intertrochanteric fractures in elderly osteoporotic patients.

Review of Literature
Ambrose Pare in 1634 recognized hip fractures. Philips in 1867 introduced the conservative treatment of hip fractures with longitudinal and lateral traction to eliminate shortening or deformity.

Whitman in 1902 advocated careful reduction and holding of fractures in a hip Spica with unpublished result. Watson Jones in 1955 estimated union rate of 40% using this method. Von Langenbeck in 1850 was the first person to use nail for neck of femur. Smith Peterson used triflanged nail for open reduction and internal fixation of intertrochanteric fractures.

Ender (2) in 1970 reported the use of multiple flexible condylocephalic nails which were introduced for stabilization of intertrochanteric fractures. Medoff in 1991 described a new device that allowed bidirectional impaction of the fracture. In his study of two unstable intertrochanteric fractures he noted no cases of implant failure.

Baumgaertner in 1995 defined the tip apex distance (T.A.D). They defined less than 25mm was associated with no incidence of cut out. Sadowski (6) in 2002 supported the use of intramedullary nail rather than a 95 degree screw plate fixation for sub trochanteric and transverse trochanteric fractures.

Materials and Methods (Methodology)
- Study Design– Longitudinal study
- Study Settings– Department of Orthopaedics, Government Medical College, Thiruvananthapuram.
- Study Period - Jan 2015 to Sept 2016
- Study Population

Inclusion Criteria
1. Age of the patient at least 70yrs and older
2. Femoral intertrochanteric fracture confirmed on antero-posterior and lateral hip radiographs.
3. Should be unstable fracture AO/Orthopedics Trauma Association. Alphanumeric Classification (A 2.2,A2.3, A3.1,A3.2,A3.3)
4. Patient ambulatory prior to fracture.

Exclusion Criteria
1. Age less than 70 years
2. Associated major injuries of lower extremity
3. Any infection around the affected hip.

**Surgical Technique**

With patient in lateral position, we used posterolateral lateral modified Gibson’s approach. Proximally fibers of gluteus maximus were dissected along skin incision to expose fracture site reaching an end on view of the femoral neck, femur head and the attached neck is extracted through this window. Then we started femoral canal preparation using reamer and serial broach’s. In cases highly comminuted greater trochanter two holes on lateral aspect of proximal femur5cm below vastus ridge 2 holes were placed 2cm away from each other. A stainless steel wire was passed from outside through one hole in the medullary canal and then taken out from another hole and passed over the greater trochanter in a figure of eight fashion. The implant placement is now started taking into consideration of these facts.

1. Anteversion which is decided by using long axis of the leg as guide as lesser trochanter will be fractured in almost all cases.

2. Length of the implant to be inserted in the femur is decided by carefully judging soft tissue tension.

3. No excess cement should spread on fracture site as it interferes with union of the fracture

Version, length and so tissue tension of the implant are assessed using trial prosthesis. Second generation cementing technique is used. Once the prosthesis was fixed, the broken trochanter and calcar were again retightened by tensioning the wire cables if needed. And incision is closed in layers with drain.

**Post-operative and Rehabilitation Protocol:** All patients were treated with Quadriceps strengthening exercises immediately post op and partial weight bearing on 2nd or 3rd post-operative day with the help of walking frame. Thereafter patients started full weight bearing with support of a stick at around 10 to 14 days.

**Results and Observation**

There were 49 patients with intertrochanteric fractures of various types in which, 1 patient died and the cause of death was not related to the surgery. The analysis of the patient’s data, intra operative data and post-operative outcome is as follows.

| Age in Years | Frequency | Percent |
|--------------|-----------|---------|
| <75          | 17        | 34.7    |
| 75-79        | 14        | 28.6    |
| 80-84        | 13        | 26.5    |
| >85          | 5         | 10.2    |
| Total        | 49        | 100     |

**Percentage distribution of Sample according to age**

| Age in Years | N  | Mean | Sd |
|--------------|----|------|----|
| CBA          | 24 | 78.7 | 4.6|
| DHS          | 25 | 76.7 | 4.9|
Percentage Distribution of the Sample according to Sex

| Sex   | Frequency | Percent |
|-------|-----------|---------|
| Male  | 24        | 49.0    |
| Female| 25        | 51.0    |
| Total | 49        | 100.0   |

Percentage distribution of the sample according to AO/Classification

| Type of factures | Frequency | Percent |
|------------------|-----------|---------|
| A2.2             | 11        | 22.4    |
| A2.3             | 14        | 28.6    |
| A3.1             | 15        | 30.6    |
| A3.2             | 1         | 2.0     |
| A3.3             | 8         | 16.3    |
| TOTAL            | 49        | 100.0   |

**Functional Outcome**

Out of 49 patients studied we were able to follow up 48 patients and 1 patient died due unrelated medical cause. All patients were subjected to Harris hip score at 2 weeks, 6 weeks, 3 months, 6 months and 1 year follow ups. Patients who underwent cemented bipolar hemiarthroplasty 2 weeks, 6 weeks, 3 months had better Harris hip score than who went DHS and they were statistically significant (p<.001). Patients who underwent cemented bipolar hemiarthroplasty were more pain free and had greater range of hip movements. They could be mobilized very early compared with the other group and were able to presume their daily activities early than other group. While patients who underwent DHS could not be mobilized early due to pain at the fracture site and both hip and knee joint stiffness. Patients who had low Harris hip score initially continued to have low score finally. In the following months; i.e. 6th month and 1 year both groups had better Harris hip scores. The reason may be that fracture had already united and patients could be mobilized in the DHS group. Harris hip score at final follow up in both groups were comparable and no difference couldn’t be found out statistically. Of 49 patients we had 27.1% patients with excellent Harris hip score, 56.3% good Harris hip score, 12.5% patients had fair and 4.2% had poor scores. Harris hip score had no association with any of the variables used in our study.

Percentage Distribution of the Sample according to Functional Assessment based on Harris Hip Score at 1 year

| HHS 1 year  | CBA  | DHS  | TOTAL | X2  | df  | p   |
|-------------|------|------|-------|-----|-----|-----|
|             | N    | %    | N     | %   | N   | %   |
| Poor        | 0    | 0.0  | 2     | 8.3 | 2   | 4.2 |
| Fair        | 3    | 12.5 | 3     | 12.5| 6   | 12.5|
| Good        | 13   | 54.2 | 14    | 58.3| 27  | 56.3|
| Excellent   | 8    | 33.3 | 5     | 20.8| 13  | 27.1|
| Total       | 24   | 100.0| 24    | 100.0| 48  | 100.0|
Distribution of Sample According- to Post Operative Complications.

Discussion
Mortality rate of 34.6% have been reported for trochanteric fractures treatment with traction only, against 17.5% for those, treated by operative methods. The incidence of the hip fracture is closely related to age and increases almost exponentially, so that about 90% of hip fractures occur after age 70. The cross sectional geometry of the lower limb bones including the femur may play an important role in the difference of the hip fracture risk between women and men.

Indications of bipolar hemiarthroplasty: Grimsrud et al (2005) reviewed 39 consecutive patients with unstable 3 and 4 part intertrochanteric hip fractures who were treated with cemented bipolar hemiarthroplasty. Patients had better prognosis, early full weight bearing, rapid rehabilitation and few complications in the study. In our study we also had similar results. It helped in early mobilization of patient with good and fast improvement in Harris hip score. Complications like pressure sores, aspiration pneumonia are very rare with surgery. Cemented bipolar hemiarthroplasty has given constant good results in terms of early ambulation and good mid-term survival rates, less implant related complications and faster over-all rehabilitation in comminuted unstable intertrochanteric fractures and results are constant as compared to variable results given by DHS. Final outcome in both the groups were more or less comparable except for the fact that there was early ambulation in hemiarthroplasty group.

Certain studies have also shown that there are higher rates of dislocation with posterior approach when compared with lateral trans trochanteric and antero-lateral approach. Hence we used lateraltrans trochanteric approach for our patients. Stainless steel wire wiring technique for fixation of greater trochanter as shown in case series by Zhang Q et al. We had used this technique for stabilization for highly comminuted fractures in our study. In our study we has encountered no delayed or non-union of greater trochanter.

Functional results: Bipolar prosthetic replacement can be recommended as the preferred treatment in selected cases of unstable comminuted intertrochanteric fractures in the elderly. In such cases augmentation with cement fixation is a must. Rodop et al. in a study of primary bipolar hemi prosthesis for unstable intertrochanteric fractures in 37 elderly patients obtained 17 excellent (45%) and 14 good (37%) results after 12 months according to the Harris hip scoring system. A total of 18 out of 23 patients in our study had a good to excellent result (71%). The Harris hip score at 2 weeks, 6 weeks and 3 months post operatively was significantly higher in patients who underwent bipolar arthroplasty (76.15 ± 6.11) (Range 68-85) compared to those
in the internal fixation group (64.89 ± 5.66) (range 61-75) which is statistically significant (p<0.001). Our results were comparable to study by Sancheti et al and Elmorsy et al which has shown that primary bipolar hemiarthroplasty in comminuted extra capsular neck femur fracture which provided early mobilization, stable and pain free hip with early rehabilitation and return to daily routine life with less reoperation is fairly economical especially for developing countries. Early infections can be either superficial or deep. Complications in our study included superficial infections only and no deep infections. All superficial infections healed with prompt treatment. The findings in present study suggests that primary cemented bipolar hemiarthroplasty is a good choice for unstable intertrochanteric fractures in the elderly and saves time as well as cost, has no significant complications and provides sustainable functional outcomes.

**Limitations of the Study**
The limitation of present study is the short duration of follow up.

**Conclusion**
Hemiarthroplasty using bipolar prosthesis for the unstable intertrochanteric fractures of the femur in elderly has good clinical result in terms of early post-operative ambulation. Primary hemiarthroplasty thus provide a stable, pain-free and mobile joint with acceptable complication rate as seen in our study however a large prospective randomized study comparing the use of intramedullary devices against primary hemiarthroplasty for unstable osteoporotic fractures will be needed. Final outcome depends on the general condition and post-operative rehabilitation of the patient. Patient selection is very important as we are directing this to the elderly people with osteoporotic bone and have unstable intertrochanteric fractures. This also improves their quality of life and the ability to go back to their original functional status much earlier than dynamic hip screw hence bipolar hemiarthroplasty should be considered as one of the modalities of the treatment of unstable osteoporotic intertrochanteric fractures in elderly.
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