A new thermodynamic description for the self-propagating high temperature synthesis (SHS – reaction) is presented in the “inverse” version. This description is worked out for the diffusion barrier, thickness of which is at the limit, i.e. its value is infinitesimally small. The solution to the diffusion equation delivered in the description can be easily extended for the diffusion barrier of a greater thickness. The Ni/Al multi-layers system is treated as a virtual eutectic alloy solidifying with the rate equal to that involved by the self-propagating reaction. It is suggested to inverse the curves obtained for solidification in order to characterize the melting completed by the formation of the AlNi – intermetallic phase required in the self-propagating synthesis.
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1. Introduction

Usually, the Ni/Al physical vapor deposited (PVD – method) multilayers are subjected to self – propagating high temperature synthesis (SHS), [1]. It is assumed that the reaction occurs under steady-state and at a constant temperature. However, it is expected that the intermixing of nickel and aluminum at the multilayer interfaces, taking place during deposition, results in nucleation of two intermetallic phases i.e.: Al3Ni2, and Al3Ni. The appearance of the mentioned phases is justified thermodynamically and additionally by the Phase Rule applied for the isothermal / isobaric formation of this complex, [2]. These intermetallic phases form a kind of a diffusion barrier and complicate the SHS – reaction. It was also proved that the intermetallic phases formation is the result of both Ni – substrate dissolution and subsequent meta-stable solidification of the dissolved zone which became liquid, [3]. In fact, the meta-stable dissolution of aluminum in the Ni – substrate leads to the formation of the liquid film in the Ni – sub-layers, as justified thermodynamically, Fig. 1. Although, the solidification begins in stable condition, it transforms immediately into meta-stable solidification according to the thermodynamic criterion formulated for the appearance / disappearance of the peritectic reaction during investigated processes, [4].

According to the mentioned criterion, and Phase Rule, the existence of the AlNi – phase in the diffusion barrier is not possible. Instead of that, the meta-stable Al3Ni2 – phase is created, [3]. The formation of the Al3Ni2 – intermetallic phase as the result of peritectic reaction between AlNi and adequate liquid would be possible during the initial transient stable solidification, only. Consequently, the meta-stable solidification of the Al3Ni2 – phase occurs according to the phenomenon of partitioning. On the other hand, the formation of the Al3Ni – compound is the result of the undercooled peritectic reaction during both initial transient stable and final meta-stable solidifications.

Fig. 1. Ni-Al phase diagram for meta-stable dissolution of aluminum in nickel; formation of the dx – liquid film in the nickel is possible at the TD (~80°C); the diagram justifies that the dissolution should occur at the liquidus minimum, for Nmin = 66 at.% Al (Thermocalc Software calculation)
The aim of the current model is to develop an “inverse”, thermodynamic model for the self-propagating reaction (synthesis) when the diffusion barrier is at the limit, that is, its thickness is at the limit, i.e. its value is infinitesimally small. The development of the “inverse” model is possible / justified since melting and solidification are opposed phenomena. Instead of studying melting, the “inverse” model gives a more effective tool to apply the diffusion equation. The use of the diffusion equation is adequate to show how two hypothetical eutectic “ingredients” appear during solidification.

2. Solution to the diffusion equation

The diffusion equation for the “inverse” model of the self-propagation reaction is as follows:

\[ \frac{dC(x,z)}{dz} = -\frac{D(T_{\text{max}})}{v} \nabla^2 C(x,z) \]  

(1)

\( T_{\text{max}} \) – maximum temperature reached during steady-state propagation of the reaction,
\( v \) – steady-state rate of the self-propagation reaction (determined theoretically, [1]),
\( D \) – diffusion coefficient in the liquid,
\( C \) – Ni solute concentration in the liquid ahead of the s/l interface.

\[ v^2 = \frac{3A \exp\left(-\frac{E}{RT_{\text{max}}}\right)}{\left(\delta'\right)^3 \frac{RT_{\text{max}}}{RT_0}} \left(1 - \frac{T_0}{T_{\text{max}}}\right)^2 \]  

(2)

\( A \) – the Arrhenius factor,
\( E \) – activation energy for the mass diffusion,
\( R \) – gas constant,
\( \delta' \) – 1/4 of the bilayer thickness (the sum of the \( A \) and \( B \) layer thicknesses \( \delta \)),
\( T_0 \) – initial temperature of the system.

As the self-propagating reaction occurs (in first approximation) at constant rate, it involves the following simplification:

\( D(T_{\text{max}}) \approx \text{const.} \)

This assumption is justified since, practically, the \( T_{\text{max}} \) temperature does not vary during the process under investigation, [1].

The diffusion equation is formulated for the Ni-Al – system considered as the virtual eutectic system, Fig. 2, with the eutectic point corresponding to the Ni – solute concentration in the AlNi – phase (created by the self-propagating reaction), Fig. 3a.

Fig. 2. Hypothetical eutectic phase diagram used for the solution of the diffusion equation, Eq. (1)

The hypothetical eutectic phase diagram, Fig. 2, is simplified (sophisticated) but useful in the current consideration. However, in the more realistic situation the AlNi – phase should exhibit its melting temperature higher than the melting point for the \( N^F \) – solution. The \( N^F \) – equilibrium solution is always formed due to the nickel diffusion into liquid aluminum, [2].

However, in the more realistic situation the AlNi – phase should exhibit its melting temperature higher than the melting point for the \( N^F \) – solution. The \( N^F \) – equilibrium solution is always formed due to the nickel diffusion into liquid aluminum, [3]. It is not possible to preserve pure aluminum in such a system, [3].

The current solution to the diffusion equation, Eq. (1), is given for the “inverse” problem, that is, for the situation when

\[ \text{Ni} + N^F \rightarrow \text{AlNi} \]
The Lever Rule is fulfilled as follows: $C_{0,A} = \frac{\lambda_{Ni}}{\lambda_{NF}}$, Fig. 4.

$\lambda_{NF}$ – width of the Al(NF) – lamella,
$\lambda_{Ni}$ – width of the Ni – lamella,
$T_{max} = \text{const.}$, since, $v = \text{const.}$

Usually, $T_{max} = 1273^\circ\text{C}$, [5]. Therefore, when, $v = \text{const.}$

$$2, \frac{dC(x,y,z) dz}{dt} = -D \nabla^2 C(x,y,z)$$ (3)

Additionally, for the steady-state solidification, when $\frac{dz}{dt} = v$ is valid, and for the lamellar growth, Fig. 5:

$$\frac{dC(x,y,z)}{dt} = -D \left(\frac{T_{max}}{v}\right) \nabla^2 C(x,y,z)$$ (3a)

$$\frac{dC(x,z)}{dz} = -\frac{D(T_{max})}{v} \nabla^2 C(x,z)$$ (4)

In the current model the diffusion equation is given in two dimensions only:

$$\frac{\partial^2 \delta C}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \delta C}{\partial z^2} + \frac{v}{D} \frac{\partial \delta C}{\partial z} = 0$$ (8)

The solution of this equation can be shown as a product of two functions, i.e.:

$$\delta C(x,z) = X(x) Z(z)$$ (9)

with

$$Z(z) = \exp \left[ \left( -\frac{v}{2D} - \sqrt{\frac{v^2}{4D^2} + \omega^2} \right) z \right]$$ (10)

$$X(x) = A \cos(\omega x) + B \sin(\omega x)$$ (11)

Some boundary conditions are as follows, Fig. 4, Fig. 5:

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial x} = 0, \text{ for } x = 0, \text{ and for } x = S_\alpha + S_\beta \quad (12)$$

$$\delta C(S_\alpha,z) = C(S_\alpha,z) - C_E = 0 \quad (13)$$

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial z} = \frac{vC_0}{D}; \ (J = A,B) \text{ for } z = 0, \text{ and } 0 \leq x < S_\alpha; S_\alpha < x \leq S_\alpha + S_\beta \quad (14)$$

After some modifications and for the plane s/l interface, Fig. 5:

$A$ – for the N F (generally, for the $\alpha$ – eutectic phase), that is for $x \in [0,S_\alpha]$, $z \geq 0$, the value of the $B$, and $\omega$ – parameters results from the following condition:

$$\frac{\partial \delta C(x,z)}{\partial x} \bigg|_{x=0} = 0 \quad (15)$$

It is obvious, Eq. (15), that: $-\omega \sin(\omega \cdot 0) + \omega \cos(\omega \cdot 0) = 0$, which yields $B = 0$. It also yields, Eq. (15), Eq. (13), that:

$$A \cos(\omega S_\alpha) = 0 \quad \omega = \omega_{2n-1} = \frac{(2n-1)\pi}{2S_\alpha}, \ n = 1,2,\ldots$$

Combining Eq. (9), Eq. (10), and Eq. (11) it can be written (with the use of Eq. (13) and Eq. (15)) that solution to Eq. (8), is:

$$\delta C(x,z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{2n-1} \cos \left( \frac{(2n-1)\pi x}{2S_\alpha} \right) \exp \left[ -\frac{v}{2D} - \sqrt{\frac{v^2}{4D^2} + \left( \frac{(2n-1)\pi}{2S_\alpha} \right)^2} z \right]$$ (16)

where, $A_{2n-1}$, are constant.

Assuming that the $v = \text{const.}$ is rather low velocity, one can assume that:

$$\frac{(2n-1)\pi}{2S_\alpha} >> \frac{v}{2D} \quad (16a)$$
hence, for the self - propagating reaction (synthesis):
\[
\frac{\partial C(x, z)}{\partial z} = \sum_{n=1}^{2n-1} A_{2n-1} \cos \left( \frac{(2n-1)\pi x}{2S_a} \right) \exp \left( -\frac{(2n-1)\pi}{2S_a} z \right)
\]
(16*)

The following conditions are to be applied in order to define the \( A_{2n-1} \) parameter:
\[
\left. \frac{\partial \Delta C(x, z)}{\partial z} \right|_{z=0} = f_a(x), \quad f_a(x) < 0, \quad x \in [0, S_a]
\]
(17)
then:
\[
\left. \frac{\partial \Delta C(x, z)}{\partial z} \right|_{z=0} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{2n-1} \left( \frac{(2n-1)\pi x}{2S_a} \right) \cos \left( \frac{(2n-1)\pi x}{2S_a} \right)
\]
(18)
and for the self-propagating reaction:
\[
\left. \frac{\partial \Delta C(x, z)}{\partial z} \right|_{z=0} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{2n-1} \left( \frac{(2n-1)\pi x}{2S_a} \right) \cos \left( \frac{(2n-1)\pi x}{2S_a} \right)
\]
(18*)

It is worth to note that the introduced function \( f(x) \), has the following properties:
\[
f(x), \quad -2S_a \leq x \leq 2S_a.
\]
\[
f(-x) = f(x), \quad f(x + 2S_a) = -f(x)
\]
(19)
\[
f(x) = \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \cos \left( \frac{n\pi x}{2S_a} \right)
\]
(20)
\[
a_n = \frac{1}{S_a} \int_{-S_a}^{S_a} f(x) \cos \left( \frac{n\pi x}{2S_a} \right) dx
\]
(21)
Assuming \( f(x + 2S_a) = -f(x) \), it yields: \( a_{2k} = 0, k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \)
for \( n = 2k \)
\[
A_{2n-1} = \sum_{n=1}^{2n-1} \int_{-S_a}^{S_a} f(x) \cos \left( \frac{(2k-1)\pi x}{2S_a} \right) dx, \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots
\]
for
\[
n = 2k - 1, k = 1, 2, \ldots
\]
Finally, the Fourier’s series for \( f(x) \) can be shown as:
\[
f(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{2k-1} \cos \left( \frac{(2k-1)\pi x}{2S_a} \right)
\]
(22)
and after some rearrangements:
\[a/\] for rapid solidification,
\[
A_{2n-1} = \left. -\frac{\partial \Delta C(x, z)}{\partial z} \right|_{z=0} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{S_a} \frac{f_a(x) \cos \left( \frac{(2n-1)\pi x}{2S_a} \right) dx}{2n-1},
\]
(23)
\[b/\] for self-propagating reaction,
\[
A_{2n-1} = -\frac{4}{(2n-1)\pi} \int_{0}^{S_a} f_a(x) \cos \left( \frac{(2n-1)\pi x}{2S_a} \right) dx, \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots
\]
(23*)
The obtained solution, Eq. (16), Eq. (16*) as well as Eq. (23), Eq. (23*) satisfies:
\[
\left. \frac{\partial \Delta C(x, z)}{\partial z} \right|_{z=0} = \left. \frac{\partial \Delta C(x, z)}{\partial z} \right|_{z=S_a} = 0
\]
(24)
\[
\left. \frac{\partial \Delta C(x, z)}{\partial z} \right|_{z=0} = f_a(x) = f_a(-x) = -f_a(-x + 2S_a)
\]
\[
= -\left. \frac{\partial \Delta C(x, +2S_a, z)}{\partial z} \right|_{z=0}, \quad x \in [0, S_a]
\]
(25)
according to the assumptions:\( f_a(-x) = f_a(x), f_a(x + 2S_a) = -f_a(x) \).
\[b/\] for the Ni (generally, for the \( \beta \) – eutectic phase), that is for:
\[x \in [S_a, S_a + S_b], \quad z \geq 0:\]
\[a/\] for rapid solidification,
\[
\Delta C(x, z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_{2n-1} \cos \left( \frac{(2n-1)\pi (x - S_a + S_b)}{2S_b} \right)
\]
\[
\exp \left[ -\frac{\nu}{2D} \sqrt{\left( \frac{2n-1)\pi}{2S_b} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{2\nu^2}{4D^2} + \left( \frac{(2n-1)\pi}{2S_b} \right)^2 \right)} z \right]
\]
(26)
\[
B_{2n-1} = \left. -\frac{\partial \Delta C(x, z)}{\partial z} \right|_{z=0} = \left. \frac{\partial \Delta C(x, +S_a, z)}{\partial z} \right|_{z=0}
\]
\[
\left. \frac{2}{S_b} \int_{S_a}^{S_b} f_b(x) \cos \left( \frac{(2n-1)\pi (x - S_a + S_b)}{2S_b} \right) dx \right|_{S_a}^{S_b}
\]
(27)
\[b/\] for the self-propagating reaction,
\[
\Delta C(x, z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_{2n-1} \cos \left( \frac{(2n-1)\pi (x - S_a + S_b)}{2S_b} \right)
\]
\[
\exp \left( -\frac{(2n-1)\pi}{2S_b} z \right)
\]
(26*)
\[
B_{2n-1} = -\frac{4}{(2n-1)\pi} \int_{S_a}^{S_a} f_b(x) \cos \left( \frac{(2n-1)\pi (x - S_a + S_b)}{2S_b} \right) dx
\]
\[
n = 1, 2, \ldots
\]
(27*)
\[
\left. \frac{\partial \Delta C(x, z)}{\partial z} \right|_{z=0} = f_b(x), \quad x \in [0, S_b]
\]
(28)
The obtained solution to the diffusion equation, Eq. (8), can be localized on the phase diagram under assumption that undercooling for the $\alpha$ – phase is: $\Delta T_\alpha = T_E - T_\alpha^*$, and for the $\beta$ – phase is: $\Delta T_\beta = T_E - T_\beta^*$. Fig. 6, where, $T_E$ – equilibrium eutectic temperature, $T_\alpha^*$, $T_\beta^*$ – real temperature of the s/l interface, respectively. This undercooling is described by the following functions: $T_\alpha(x,0)$, and $T_\beta(x,0)$, (bold lines); $T$ – temperature.

Consequentially, the current solution, Eq. (16*), and Eq. (26*) can also be shown in the $C(x,0)$, and $T(x,0)$ co-ordinate systems, Fig. 7.

![Fig. 7. Formal presentation of the current solution to the diffusion equation; for $z = 0$; a/ Ni – solute concentration micro-field, b/ real temperature micro-field, with the undercooling taken into account; both shown for a given solidification rate which is equal to $v = \text{const.}$ – rate of the self-propagating reaction](image)

3. Concluding remarks

The obtained solution is to be verified by the mass balance in the $x, z$ – system, i.e.:

$$\int_0^x \int_0^{T_\alpha(x,0)} \delta C(x,z) dx dz + \int_0^x \int_0^{T_\beta(x,0)} \delta C(x,z) dx dz = 0$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{4(-1)^{n-1} D}{(2n-1)\pi} \left( \frac{A_{2n-1}}{S_\alpha^2} \frac{S_\alpha^2}{\left( \frac{t}{v} + \sqrt{\frac{t^2}{\pi} + (2n-1)^2 D^2} \right)^2} + \frac{B_{2n-1}}{S_\beta^2} \frac{S_\beta^2}{\left( \frac{t}{v} + \sqrt{\frac{t^2}{\pi} + (2n-1)^2 D^2} \right)^2} \right) = 0$$

Additionally, the correlation between $A_{2n-1}$, and $B_{2n-1}$ parameter can be defined:

a/ for rapid solidification,

$$B_{2n-1} = \frac{A_{2n-1}}{S_\alpha^2} \frac{S_\alpha^2}{\left( \frac{t}{v} + \sqrt{\frac{t^2}{\pi} + (2n-1)^2 D^2} \right)^2}, \quad n = 1,2,...$$

b/ for the self-propagating reaction,

$$B_{2n-1} = A_{2n-1} \left( \frac{S_\alpha}{S_\beta} \right)^2, \quad n = 1,2,...$$

The global mass balance, Eq. (29) can be plotted as shown in Fig. 8.

![Fig. 8. Total mass balance for the considered eutectic system, Eq. (29)](image)

Also, the local mass balance can be easily presented, Fig. 9, according to Eq. (31).
The local mass balance is satisfied under condition that the $d$–protrusion of the leading eutectic phase is taken into account, theoretically. It is justified since the leading phase protrusion was observed experimentally, [10], and comprehensively described from the thermodynamic viewpoint, [11]-[15].

$$\int_{0}^{S_{0}} \delta C(x,0) \, dx + \int_{S_{0}}^{S_{x}+S_{\beta}} \delta C(x,d) \, dx = 0 \quad (31)$$

Fig. 9. Local mass balance for the studied eutectic system, Eq. (31)

Eq. (32) is also valid for the local mass balance:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{2n-1} \frac{2S_{\alpha}}{(2n-1)\pi} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_{2n-1} \frac{2S_{\beta}}{(2n-1)\pi} \exp \left\{ -\frac{\nu S_{\beta} + \sqrt{\nu^{2} S_{\beta}^{2} + (2n-1)^{2} D^{2} \pi^{2}}}{2DS_{\beta}} d \right\} = 0 \quad (32)$$

This relationship allows to deliver the theoretical definition for the $d$–phase protrusion which results from the current model, Eq. (31):

a/ for rapid solidification,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{2n-1} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{(2n-1)} \left( 1 - \frac{S_{\alpha} \nu S_{\beta} + \sqrt{\nu^{2} S_{\beta}^{2} + (2n-1)^{2} D^{2} \pi^{2}}}{2S_{\beta}} \right) = 0 \quad (33)$$

b/ for the self-propagating reaction,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{2n-1} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{(2n-1)} \left( 1 - \frac{S_{\alpha} \nu S_{\beta} + \sqrt{\nu^{2} S_{\beta}^{2} + (2n-1)^{2} D^{2} \pi^{2}}}{2S_{\beta}} d \right) = 0 \quad (33^*)$$

The theoretical justification for the existence of the $d$–protrusion at the SHS – reaction front (in the “inverse” model) has already been confirmed experimentally for the experiment of the self-propagating synthesis, [5], (the adequate scheme showing the protrusion of the leading phase has also been presented). The mentioned experimental disclosure of the protrusion formation, [5], confirms the cohesion between self-propagating reaction itself and the current “inverse” model for this technology.

The current “inverse” model describes solidification whereas the melting process occurs during self-propagating reaction. However, the current model has almost the same meaning as that which names melting as “inverse solidification”, [17]. In fact, solidification is opposed phenomenon in comparison with melting. Therefore, it is sufficient to inverse the curves plotted in Fig. 7, Fig. 8, and Fig. 9, to characterize the melting phenomenon which occurs in the self-propagating reaction (synthesis).

The presented solution to the diffusion equation is developed for the infinitesimally small diffusion barrier. However, the current model can be easily expanded for the diffusion barrier of higher thickness. The future model for the diffusion barrier of higher thickness, following the present analysis, would be reducible perfectly, mathematically to the current model.

The current description is based on the thermodynamic predictions justifying that the diffusion barrier is formed in the meta-stable condition due to nickel sub-layer dissolution and subsequent solidification of the meta-stable phase, Al$_{3}$Ni$_{2}$, (according to partitioning between the AlNi – liquidus and meta-stable solidus of the Al$_{3}$Ni$_{2}$- phase, Fig. 10). The Al$_{3}$Ni – inter-metallic compound appears in sequence as a result of the peritectic reaction: Al$_{3}$Ni$_{2}$ + liquid $\rightarrow$ Al$_{3}$Ni. The liquid film, essential for solidification, is formed due to meta-stable conditions set up for dissolution and subsequent directional growth of phases. The stable solidification which is created for a while, just before the dominant meta-stable solidification, is very important for the involved course of the directional growth of some phases, [18].

The formation of the liquid film / zone, Fig. 1, in this condition, is analogous / similar to a liquid film formation at the migrating interface created between primary and peritectic phases, [19].

The presented conclusions / predictions are in a good agreement with the observations by the transmission electron microscopy (TEM) dealing with the reaction controlled by the solid state diffusion at the Ni/Al multilayer interfaces, [20]. The reaction involves appearance of the same phases at the multilayer interfaces, as these predicted in the current analysis. It also shows intensive intermixing of aluminum and nickel during their deposition / settlement, [20].
Fig. 10. Principle of the meta-stable formation of the $\text{Al}_3\text{Ni}_2$ – intermetallic phase instead of the expected stable $\text{AlNi}$ – phase; $N_0$ – nominal solute concentration which is equal to the $N_{\min}$ – concentration, Fig. 1; instead of the partitioning between the AlNi – phase liquidus and solidus and subsequent peritectic reaction: $\text{AlNi} + N_1 \rightarrow \text{Al}_3\text{Ni}_2$, the meta-stable partitioning is created between the AlNi – phase liquidus and the meta-stable solidus of the $\text{Al}_3\text{Ni}_2$ – phase (dashed line); thus the meta-stable $\text{Al}_3\text{Ni}_2$ phase forms instead of the stable AlNi – phase; in this case the process is more rapid and peritectic time-consuming reaction is excluded (the bottle-neck phenomenon); consequently the solvus of the $\text{Al}_3\text{Ni}_2$ – phase is shifted towards the AlNi phase field.
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