Advances in the imaging of gastroenteropancreatic neuroendocrine neoplasms
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**Abstract**

Gastroenteropancreatic neuroendocrine neoplasms comprise a heterogeneous group of tumors that differ in their pathogenesis, hormonal syndromes produced, biological behavior and consequently, in their requirement for and/or response to specific chemotherapeutic agents and molecular targeted therapies. Various imaging techniques are available for functional and morphological evaluation of these neoplasms and the selection of investigations performed in each patient should be customized to the clinical question. Also, with the increased availability of cross sectional imaging, these neoplasms are increasingly being detected incidentally in routine radiology practice. This article is a review of the various imaging modalities currently used in the evaluation of neuroendocrine neoplasms, along with a discussion of the role of advanced imaging techniques and a glimpse into the newer imaging horizons, mostly in the research stage.
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**Core Tip:** The prognosis of gastroenteropancreatic neuroendocrine neoplasms (GEPNEs) depends on the stage of the disease and tumor grade. Traditional imaging techniques like multiphase contrast-enhanced computed tomography perform well at disease staging. For tumor grading, histopathological examination, with determination of number of mitoses and Ki-67 index is considered optimal. Advances in imaging techniques have enabled detection of smaller neuroendocrine neoplasms (< 2 cm). By analysing functional information like diffusion, perfusion and tumor heterogeneity, quantitative imaging is currently focused on noninvasive prediction of the grade of GEPNEs preoperatively.
INTRODUCTION

Gastroenteropancreatic neuroendocrine neoplasms (GEPNENs) are a rare and heterogeneous group of tumors that originate from the gastrointestinal and pancreatic neuroendocrine cells[1,2]. They may be benign or malignant and may or may not secrete hormones. Use of the previous terminology - carcinoid tumor - is no longer encouraged. The gastrointestinal tract (GIT), having the highest density of neuroendocrine cells in the body, is the most common site of involvement of neuroendocrine neoplasms (NENs), comprising nearly 60% of all NENs[3]. Pancreatic NENs (PNENs) account for about 7% of all GEPNENs [4]. Most PNENs are sporadic. However, association with four familial syndromes (in up to 25%) is well described: multiple endocrine neoplasiatype I, von Hippel Lindau syndrome, neurofibromatosis type I and tuberous sclerosis[5,6].

Classification based on histological differentiation and grade is desirable as it provides insight into tumor biology, clinical course and helps in planning management. In 2019, the 5th edition of the World Health Organization (WHO) classification of tumors series published the latest NEN classification (Table 1)[7]. The latest WHO classification recognizes that well-differentiated NENs may be high grade, but they are distinct from the poorly differentiated neuroendocrine carcinomas (NECs). Most GEPNENs are well-differentiated and slowly growing. Grade 3 NENs are most common in the pancreas, but can occur throughout the GIT[7]. Given the differences in prognosis, tumor grade is the most important factor determining the treatment of GEPNENs. The treatment of GEPNENs depends on grade, differentiation, site of origin, and stage of tumor, and the opinion about the best treatment strategy is evolving. Surgical resection remains the cornerstone and is the only curative treatment. For patients with small (< 2 cm), low-grade NENs, decisions on surgery vs active surveillance need to be individualized based on tumor size, morphology (homogeneous, well circumscribed tumor < 1 cm correlate with low malignant potential) and patient characteristics like age and presence of comorbidities[8].

The commonly used imaging modalities include ultrasonography (US), computed tomography (CT), magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and positron emission tomography (PET)-CT. Imaging is primarily aimed at accurate detection, characterization and staging of these neoplasms and also at assessment of response to treatment. Sensitivity of common imaging modalities used in the evaluation of GEPNENs are summarized in Table 2.

Improvements and advances in the imaging techniques have mainly focused on the noninvasive prediction of the grade of the NENs. The European Neuroendocrine Tumor Society (ENETS) has also recommended that preoperative assessment of the grade of the NENs is essential for prognosis prediction and management planning[9]. In addition, with the improvements in the imaging technologies, the detection rates of small NENs have significantly improved, with many often being detected incidentally.

US

Transabdominal ultrasonography (USG) often is the commonest initial modality used for patients with gastrointestinal symptoms. It has value in the detection of liver metastases (sensitivity reaching 85%-90%). PNENs in general appear as hypoechoic masses with a hyperechoic halo on USG[10].

However, transabdominal USG has limitations. It has a poor sensitivity (13%-27%) for the detection of GEPNENs[11]. The technique is dependent on the experience of the operator. Presence of bowel gas and increased subcutaneous fat can obscure adequate visualization.

The advent of harmonic imaging, pulse inversion sequence, low mechanical index techniques and ultrasound contrast agents (UCAs) has enabled routine application of contrast-enhanced ultrasound (CEUS) to overcome the limitations of conventional B mode USG. The inherent advantage of CEUS is its ability to assess tumor-enhancement patterns in real time during transabdominal USG[12]. The enhancement patterns are described during arterial, portal venous and late phases. UCAs are gas microbubbles stabilized by a shell, the composition of which varies depending on the type of contrast agent. UCAs are blood pool agents and increase the back scatter of US, enhancing the echogenicity of flowing blood[12]. Harmonic imaging detects harmonic signals from the microbubbles and CEUS specific US modes filter signals from the background tissue, thereby showing even very slow blood flow without Doppler related artifacts. UCAs, unlike CT and MRI contrast agents, are excreted by the lungs and hence can be used safely in patients with deranged renal function.
Table 1 World Health Organization Classification and grading criteria for neuroendocrine neoplasms of the gastrointestinal tract and hepatopancreatobiliary organs (7)

| Terminology | Differentiation       | Grade | Mitoses/2 mm² | Ki-67 index |
|-------------|-----------------------|-------|---------------|-------------|
| NEN grade 1 | Well differentiated    | Low   | < 2           | < 3%        |
| NEN grade 2 | Intermediate          | 2-20  | 3%-20%        |             |
| NEN grade 3 | High                  | > 20  | > 20%         |             |
| SCNEC       | Poorly differentiated  | High¹ | > 20          | > 20%       |
| LCNEC       |                       |       | > 20          | > 20%       |
| MiNEN       | Well or poorly...     | Variable | Variable | Variable |

¹Poorly differentiated neuroendocrine carcinomas are not formally graded, but are considered high-grade by definition.

LCNEC: Large cell neuroendocrine carcinoma; MiNEN: Mixed neuroendocrine non-neuroendocrine neoplasm; NEN: Neuroendocrine neoplasm; SCNEC: Small-cell neuroendocrine carcinoma.

Table 2 Sensitivity of common imaging modalities used in the evaluation of gastroenteropancreatic neuroendocrine neoplasms

| Imaging modality          | Sensitivity                                      |
|---------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| Transabdominal USG        | 13%-27% for GEPNEN                               |
| Contrast enhanced ultrasound | 99% in detecting liver metastases               |
| Endoscopic ultrasonography| 82%-93% for PNEN                                  |
| CECT                      | 63%-82% for PNEN                                  |
| CE MRI                    | 79% for PNEN                                      |
| DWI                       | 83% for liver metastases                         |

USG: Ultrasonography; GEPNEN: Gastroenteropancreatic neuroendocrine neoplasms; CECT: Contrast enhanced computed tomography; CE MRI: Contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging; DWI: Diffusion-weighted imaging.

The differential perfusion on CEUS has been shown to identify and diagnose pancreatic tumors. Pancreatic adenocarcinomas are in general hypovascular, while NENs are hypervascular. Takeda et al [13] found three patterns of hyperenhancement of PNENs and found that CEUS was useful in the differentiation of PNENs from pancreatic adenocarcinomas. Malagò et al [14] also showed that the enhancement patterns of nonfunctioning PNENs on CEUS (hyper-, iso- or hypovascular) correlated well with Ki-67 index and CEUS improved the detection of hepatic metastases. Hypervascular lesions had lower Ki-67 index. Another study showed that the enhancement patterns of NENs on CEUS correlated significantly with CT enhancement pattern and histological Ki-67 index and CEUS was a good predictor of response of tumors to somatostatin analogues [15].

Elastography
Elastography is an advancement in USG that enables real-time measurement of tissue stiffness along with display in colors superimposed on the grey scale images [16]. In general, elastography helps with the differentiation of benign and malignant lesions based on stiffness, as malignant lesions are usually hard. Only a few studies have reported the usefulness of transabdominal shear wave elastography (SWE) in the evaluation of pancreatic tumors. Park et al [17] showed that elastography can differentiate benign and malignant solid pancreatic lesions based on the difference in the shear wave velocity values (relative stiffness) between the tumor and the normal parenchyma. An early study by Uchida et al [18] found that NENs were homogeneous and soft on elastography, comparable to the normal pancreas. They also reported that a combination of elastography and B mode USG, improved the diagnostic accuracy to 90%, from 70%-80% when B mode USG was used alone. However, if the visualization on the baseline B mode USG is suboptimal, the results of CEUS and elastography are also often unsatisfactory. These limitations have been overcome by the use of endoscopic ultrasound (EUS).
duct dilatation, and peripancreatic lymph nodes were associated with nonbenign tumors and required (MVD) evaluated on histology and that hypoenhancing PNENs correlated with poorly differentiated tumors. A comparative study from Mayo Clinic found that CT enteroclysis had 100% sensitivity and 96.2% specificity for the detection of small bowel NEN. A recent comparative study by Kamaoui et al. showed that CT enteroclysis had 100% sensitivity and 96.2% specificity for the detection of small bowel NEN. A comparative study from Mayo Clinic found that CT enterography was better than capsule endoscopy in detecting small bowel tumors, with a sensitivity of up to 93%. Studies have shown that up to 42% of PNENs may not show arterial phase hyperenhancement. Such arterial phase hypoenhancing tumors were associated with a significantly lower 5-year survival (54%) compared to the lesions which were isoenhancing (89%) or hyperenhancing (93%) [32]. A recent comparative study (oral administration of neutral contrast agent like mannitol for bowel distension) or CT enteroclysis recommended in patients with suspected NEN [35,36] (Figure 1). For PNEN, the late arterial phase acquired at 40-45 s (pancreatic phase) suits best. For detection of small bowel NENs, CT enterography (oral administration of neutral contrast agent like mannitol for bowel distension) or CT enteroclysis (administration of neutral contrast via nasojejunal tube) is required. CT enteroclysis combines the advantages of enteroclysis with imaging capabilities of multidetector computed tomography (MDCT). Study by Kamaoui et al. showed that CT enteroclysis had 100% sensitivity and 96.2% specificity for the detection of small bowel NEN. A comparative study from Mayo Clinic found that CT enterography was better than capsule endoscopy in detecting small bowel tumors, with a sensitivity of up to 93%. This ability of CT enterography to detect small bowel tumors remains high (sensitivity of 88%) even in the presence of gastrointestinal bleeding. The characteristic imaging feature on CT scan suggesting the diagnosis of NENs is their intense enhancement in the late arterial phase, owing to the hypervascular nature of the tumor. The arterial phase also helps in outlining the relationship of the tumor with the adjacent arteries. Using the maximum intensity projection technique, virtual angiographic images can be obtained. Volume rendering techniques applied to the arterial phase provide easily explainable images to the surgeon. Portal venous phase helps to draw the relationship of the tumor with major veins, especially the splenic vein and the superior mesenteric vein for PNENs. Dual-phase imaging is also crucial for the evaluation of hepatic metastases. The classic liver metastases from NEN, being hypervascular, are most evident on the arterial phase images. About 6%-15% of NEN liver metastases are appreciated only in the arterial phase, owing to the hypervascular nature of the tumor. The additional benefit of EUS is its ability to guide accurate tissue sampling via fine needle aspiration and core biopsy [23]. CEUS can also be performed through EUS with the use of second generation UCAs (e.g., Sonovue), which produce harmonic signals at low acoustic powers. A recent study showed that the time intensity curve analysis during CEUS showed high diagnostic accuracy in grading PNENs, and could differentiate grade 1/2 tumors from grade 3 tumors/carcinomas [24].

**EUS elastography**

EUS elastography of the pancreas has been shown to be a promising imaging technique in several studies [25-27]. However, a prospective study by Hirsch et al. [28] including 70 patients with undifferentiated pancreatic masses showed an overall lower sensitivity (41%), specificity (53%) and accuracy (45%) for the detection of malignancy. One of the early studies evaluating conventional strain elastography for pancreatic lesions showed significant strain difference between benign and malignant masses [29]. The major utility of EUS elastography is in increasing the yield of sampling by aiding better tumor targeting, especially in the background of pancreatic parenchymal fibrosis [30]. WJE EUS is a recent development and studies evaluating its utility in the pancreas are just emerging [31,32]. A recent comparative study suggested that conventional strain elastography was superior to SWE in the characterization of pancreatic lesions [33].

**CT**

CT is the cornerstone and the most commonly performed imaging modality for the diagnosis and preoperative staging of GEPNEN. Standard CT scan has low sensitivity (~60%) for the detection of GEPNENs [34]. Dynamic dual-phase protocol, which includes the arterial and portal venous phases, is recommended in patients with suspected NEN [35,36] (Figure 1). For PNEN, the late arterial phase acquired at 40-45 s (pancreatic phase) suits best. For detection of small bowel NENs, CT enterography (oral administration of neutral contrast agent like mannitol for bowel distension) or CT enteroclysis (administration of neutral contrast via nasojejunal tube) is required. CT enteroclysis combines the advantages of enteroclysis with imaging capabilities of multidetector computed tomography (MDCT). Study by Kamaoui et al. [37] showed that CT enteroclysis had 100% sensitivity and 96.2% specificity for the detection of small bowel NEN. A comparative study from Mayo Clinic found that CT enterography was better than capsule endoscopy in detecting small bowel tumors, with a sensitivity of up to 93%. This ability of CT enterography to detect small bowel tumors remains high (sensitivity of 88%) even in the presence of gastrointestinal bleeding. The characteristic imaging feature on CT scan suggesting the diagnosis of NENs is their intense enhancement in the late arterial phase, owing to the hypervascular nature of the tumor. The arterial phase also helps in outlining the relationship of the tumor with the adjacent arteries. Using the maximum intensity projection technique, virtual angiographic images can be obtained. Volume rendering techniques applied to the arterial phase provide easily explainable images to the surgeon. Portal venous phase helps to draw the relationship of the tumor with major veins, especially the splenic vein and the superior mesenteric vein for PNENs. Dual-phase imaging is also crucial for the evaluation of hepatic metastases. The classic liver metastases from NEN, being hypervascular, are most evident on the arterial phase images. About 6%-15% of NEN liver metastases are appreciated only in the arterial phase [40,41]. However up to 16% are hypovascular and show delayed enhancement [40].

One of the early studies suggested that the size of the tumor is an important prognostic factor, with tumors < 1 cm showing lesser incidence of liver metastasis (20%-30%) compared to those with > 1 cm (> 40% risk) [42]. Studies have shown that up to 42% of PNENs may not show arterial phase hyperenhancement [43,44]. Such arterial phase hypoenhancing tumors were associated with a significantly lower 5-year survival (54%) compared to the lesions which were isoenhancing (89%) or hyperenhancing (93%) [45]. Rodallec et al. [46] found that tumor enhancement on CT scan correlated with microvascular density (MVD) evaluated on histology and that hypoenhancing PNENs correlated with poorly differentiated tumors and a decrease in overall survival rate. These studies showed that the CT enhancement characteristics of NENs have a prognostic value. Galliotti et al. [4] found that incidentally detected PNENs, size > 3 cm, complex enhancement pattern and, presence of calcification, vascular invasion, main pancreatic duct dilatation, and peripancreatic lymph nodes were associated with nonbenign tumors and required...
Figure 1 49-year-old man with epigastric pain and raised serum gastrin levels. A and B: Axial contrast enhanced pancreatic phase computed tomography (CT) images show a well-defined hyperenhancing mass (arrow in A) in the head and neck of pancreas, abutting the proper hepatic artery along with two hyperenhancing focal lesions in the liver (arrowheads in B), indicating hepatic metastases; C and D: Axial portal venous phase CT images show retention of contrast in the lesions in both locations. Thickened gastric mucosal folds is also noted (arrowheads in C).

more aggressive course of management.

The role of various CT quantitative parameters based on enhancement of the NEN in the arterial or pancreatic and the venous phases has been evaluated in the prediction of tumor grade. Kim et al.[47] found that portal enhancement ratio (HU value of the tumor divided by the HU value of pancreatic parenchyma on portal phase images) had the best odds ratio (49.6) and a cutoff value of < 1.1 had a sensitivity of 92% and specificity of 81% in differentiating grade 3 PNENs from grade 1 and 2. This high sensitivity and specificity of portal enhancement ratio in differentiating neuroendocrine carcinomas from well-differentiated NENs was also confirmed by another study[48]. Yamada et al.[49] showed that corrected true enhancement values in the pancreatic phase had a sensitivity of 92%, specificity of 84% and area under the curve of 0.897 in the differentiation of grade 1 from grade 2 PNENs. D’Onofrio et al [50] showed that various tumor enhancement parameters (tumor permeability ratios, tumor parenchyma ratios, tumor arterial ratio and tumor venous ratio) were significantly different between grade 1 and grade 3 and between grade 2 and grade 3 PNENs. However, these values could not differentiate grade 1 from grade 2 tumors.

**Figure 2 and 3.**

Dual-energy CT

Dual-energy CT (DECT) is an advancement in CT, which allows acquisition of images at two energy levels, with lower energy being 80-100 kVp and higher being 140 kVp. Using DECT, material decomposition of images and generation of iodine maps, virtual noncontrast images, and monochromatic images at different energy levels is possible (Figures 2 and 3). Monoenergetic images at low keV (55 keV) in the pancreatic phase of DECT show improved image contrast for evaluation of pancreatic masses[51]. Monoenergetic spectral images improve the sensitivity of detection of NENs like insulinomas, particularly the hypovascular and isodense appearing tumors and the sensitivity is comparable to MRI[52]. One study showed that iodine uptake obtained from DECT is useful in the differentiation of hepatocellular carcinoma from liver metastases arising from NENs, with the former showing significantly higher iodine uptake (3.8 ± 1.2 vs 2.3 ± 0.6)[53]. This iodine uptake parameter on DECT may also be used in assessing the response to treatment of NENs.

**Figure 4 and 5.**

Perfusion CT

Perfusion CT is a technique that measures the dynamic changes in the attenuation of the tissues after contrast administration. It allows quantitative measurement of tissue perfusion, thereby assisting in the assessment of tumor viability and biological behavior[54]. The commonly used quantitative parameters of perfusion CT in oncology are blood flow, blood volume, vascular permeability-surface area product and mean transit time (Figures 4 and 5). These parameters serve as imaging biomarkers of tumor angiogenesis, which is ideally assessed histologically by calculating the MVD[55]. NENs are among the tumors with significant angiogenesis. Unlike majority of the cancers, where increased tumor
vascularity is associated with aggressive behavior, higher microvascular density in NENs is associated with a low tumor grade[54]. Low MVD was found to be an unfavorable prognostic factor for PNENs in several studies despite the presence of other favorable conventional histoprognostic factors, and call for a more aggressive treatment approach[56-58]. A study by d’Assignies et al[59] on 36 patients with PNENs found a significant correlation between MVD and blood flow assessed by using perfusion CT. In their study, the authors found that tumors that are small (< 2 cm), benign (grade 1), with a proliferation index of ≤ 2%, and without histological signs of microvascular involvement had a significantly higher blood flow. Volume perfusion CT has been shown to improve the detection of pancreatic insulinomas, particularly the ones which have transient hyperenhancement (comprising 30% cases)[60,61]. A recent study demonstrated that addition of low dose perfusion CT to contrast enhanced CT improved the detection rate of PNENs from 83.6% to 89.1% and found that blood flow parameters were significantly different between grade 1 and grade 2 tumors[62].

Perfusion CT has also been shown to have a role in monitoring response to treatment with antiangiogenic drugs. Few studies have shown that the perfusion parameters of PNENs and liver metastases decrease as early as 48 h after treatment with anti-angiogenic drugs and perfusion CT offers a significant role in an early noninvasive assessment[63,64]. A major limitation of perfusion CT is the higher radiation dose, resulting in an additional dose of approximately 7 mSv[65].
Figure 4 Volume perfusion computed tomography images of a 56-year-old man with recurrent hyperinsulinemic hypoglycemia. A: Axial pancreatic phase computed tomography image shows a hyperenhancing lesion in the pancreatic tail (arrow); B-D: Color-coded parametric maps for blood volume (B), blood flow (C) and mean transit time (D) of the tumor (arrow) and normal pancreatic tissue; E: Chart shows mean value of each perfusion parameter of the tumor. Blood flow in the tumor was higher (247 mL/100 mL/min) compared to normal pancreatic parenchyma (72 mL/100 mL/min); F: Time attenuation curve shows dynamic enhancement pattern of the tumor corresponding to transient hyperenhancement. Histopathology after enucleation proved the tumor to be grade 1 insulinoma.

Figure 5 Volume perfusion computed tomography images of a 67-year-old man with a large grade 3 neuroendocrine neoplasm involving body and tail of pancreas. A and B: Axial arterial phase computed tomography images with circular regions of interest placed at two different locations in the lesion (*); C-H: Parametric maps for blood flow (C and D) and blood volume (E and F) with mean value of each perfusion parameter (G and H) are shown. Lower values of mean blood flow, mean blood volume and mean transit time are features of high grade neuroendocrine neoplasm.

**MRI**

MRI is best performed as a problem-solving tool when CT scan findings are equivocal or negative, and is aimed at acquiring images of the lesion and organ with better soft tissue contrast. For instance, MRI has shown better sensitivity for the detection of liver metastases compared to CT and somatostatin receptor scintigraphy[66]. The absence of exposure to ionizing radiation makes MRI the apt modality for screening young individuals suspected of having NEN and those with syndromic association who require multiple follow up imaging[67]. Most NENs are hypointense on T1-weighted and hyperintense on T2-weighted images[10]. Contrast enhancement pattern and morphologic appearances are similar to...
that seen in CT scan (Figure 6). For the detection of PNENs, the sensitivity of MRI ranges from 85% to 100% and specificity from 75% to 100%[68].

**Diffusion-weighted imaging**

Diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) is a widely used technique in clinical imaging as it reflects the microscopic environment of the neoplasm including tumor cellularity and extracellular matrix. The application of DWI in oncology is mainly in tumor detection and assessing response to chemotherapy and radiotherapy. Wang et al[69] demonstrated that the apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) values of PNEN correlated well with Ki-67 labelling index, thus indicating that DWI has a prognostic value (Figure 6). Another study showed that the ADC values were significantly different between benign and non-benign PNENs (1.48 × 10⁻³ mm²/s vs 1.04 × 10⁻³ mm²/s, respectively)[70]. Lotfalizadeh et al[71] showed that DWI has the additional value in identification of high grade tumors (grade 3) and can accurately differentiate grade 3 from grade 1/2 tumors (AUROC-0.96). The ADC values showed an inverse relation with the grade of the tumor.

Another major utility of DWI is in the detection and characterization of liver metastases. Several studies have shown that DWI is more sensitive for the detection of liver metastases than T2-weighted and multiphase gadolinium-enhanced MRI, especially for smaller lesions[72-74]. Besa et al[75] showed that the ADC of liver metastases from NEN weakly and significantly correlated negatively with tumor grade and Ki-67 and that the mean ADC and the minimum ADC values were significantly different between the three grades (1.6, 1.35 and 0.9 × 10⁻³ mm²/s and 0.84, 0.5 and 0.27 × 10⁻³ mm²/s for grades 1, 2 and 3, respectively). DWI is hence recommended in routine MRI abdomen protocol for the detection of liver metastases from NEN.

Histogram analysis of the ADC of the whole tumor has also been shown to predict tumor grade and aggressiveness. Pereira et al[76] found that whole tumor histogram analysis of the ADC, including the skewness and kurtosis can reliably differentiate grade 1 from grade 2/3 tumors. Another study also showed that this histogram analysis of ADC was useful in predicting tumor grade, vascular invasion and metastasis (node, liver) in PNENs and that ADC_{mean} and ADC_{kurtosis} were the best markers in identifying tumor aggressiveness[77].

DWI is also useful in predicting and assessing response to various medical treatments for NENs. A recent study by Le Bihan et al[78] showed that the change in the ADC values of liver metastases from NENs after transarterial radioembolization was significantly different between the partial response and progressive disease groups, thus concluding that ADC can be used as an additional marker for treatment response evaluation.

While DWI investigates diffusion of water molecules in tissues, it does not detect perfusion of blood. Intravoxel incoherent motion (IVIM) DWI detects translational motion of water molecules in a voxel and can simultaneously quantify their diffusion and microcirculation in tissue capillary network[79]. IVIM images are quantified by ADC, which integrates the effects of both diffusion and perfusion. IVIM therefore enables evaluation of tissue perfusion without the requirement of a contrast agent. The quantitative parameters in IVIM include the pure diffusion coefficient (D_{iso}), which reflects the diffusion of water molecules, the pseudodiffusion coefficient (D_{pseudo}), which reflects the diffusion movement of capillary microcirculation perfusion, and the perfusion fraction (f), which represents the volume ratio between the perfusion effect of local microcirculation and the overall molecular diffusion (Figures 7 and 8). IVIM-DWI is a useful method to assess true tumor cellularity of PNEN, represented by tissue diffusion, as increased microcirculation of hypervascular PNENs may cause the pseudodiffusion effect and thus leads to the overestimation of ADC values[79]. Hwang et al[80] observed that IVIM DWI can differentiate grade 1 from grade 2 or 3 PNENs. They found that pure diffusion coefficient is a better marker of tumor cellularity than ADC, and was significantly higher in grade 1 PNENs, thereby enabling prediction of tumor grade on imaging. A recent study showed that D_{iso} and D_{pseudo} parameters help in the differentiatation of high grade PNENs from pancreatic adenocarcinoma with high diagnostic accuracy (0.460 vs 0.579 × 10⁻³ mm²/s and 13.361 vs 4.985 × 10⁻³ mm²/s, respectively)[81].

**Diffusion kurtosis imaging**

Diffusion kurtosis imaging (DKI) is a new rapidly advancing MRI technique based on the concept that water molecules in biological environment have non Gaussian properties. This is in contrast to standard DWI that calculates ADC using monoexponential analysis, assuming that diffusion of water in tissues follows Gaussian behavior[82]. At higher b values (> 1000 s/mm²), due to the barriers encountered by water molecules in tissues, there is deviation from Gaussian distribution. The deviation when quantified, in fact represents the tissue microenvironment. Two quantitative parameters, diffusion coefficient (D) and kurtosis (K), representing deviation from Gaussian distribution, can be extracted from DKI. DKI thus provides a more accurate model of diffusion and quantifies tissue heterogeneity, and irregularity of cellular microstructure by capturing non Gaussian diffusion parameters (Figure 9)[82,83]. A drawback which hampers its use in routine practice is the long acquisition time due to scan acquisition at multiple b values. There are studies showing application of DKI for the assessment of the pancreas[84,85]. Shi et al[83] found that the radiomics model of DKI and T2 weighted imaging could improve the diagnostic accuracy for PNENs.
Figure 6: Magnetic resonance images of a 24-year-old woman with multiple endocrine neoplasia-type 1 syndrome and pancreatic neuroendocrine neoplasm. A-C: Axial magnetic resonance images through the head of pancreas show a round heterogeneous mass (arrow) which appears hypointense on T1-weighted image (A), hyperintense on T2-weighted image with central cystic / necrotic change (B) and shows peripheral hypointensity on apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) image (C) suggesting diffusion restriction along the periphery (ADC = 0.93 × 10⁻³ mm²/s); D-F: Axial dynamic contrast enhanced T1-weighted images show hyperenhancement of the tumor along the periphery in pancreatic phase (D), with contrast retention in venous (E) and delayed (F) phase images. The patient also had bilateral inferior parathyroid and left superior parathyroid adenomas.

Figure 7: Intravoxel incoherent motion diffusion-weighted imaging in a 46-year-old woman with proven grade 1 pancreatic neuroendocrine neoplasm. A: Axial diffusion weighted image (b = 800 s/mm²) shows a small pancreatic lesion with diffusion restriction (arrow); B: Color-coded diffusion map shows true diffusion coefficient, D = 2.33 × 10⁻³ mm²/s; C: Color-coded perfusion map shows pseudodiffusion coefficient, D* = 5.37 × 10⁻³ mm²/s; D: Color-coded perfusion fraction map shows a value, f = 3.2%; E: Signal decay curve of the tumor (purple) shows fall in signal at lower b values with plateau at higher b values. In comparison, normal pancreas (orange) shows lesser diffusion restriction than the tumor.

MR elastography
MR elastography (MRE) is a phase-contrast-based MRI technique for the evaluation of mechanical tissue properties noninvasively, e.g., tissue stiffness. MRE of pancreas is at an early stage. Recent studies have used MRE to differentiate healthy from pathological pancreatic tissue [86]. The normal pancreatic stiffness in adults measured by MRE is 1.1–1.21 kPa [86]. Shi et al [87] used MRE for the characterization of solid pancreatic masses. They found that malignant masses had significantly higher stiffness (3.27 kPa) than benign masses (1.96 kPa). PNENs had a median stiffness of 2.32 kPa. They also suggested that stiffness ratio (ratio of stiffness of mass to normal parenchyma) may perform better in the differentiation of benign from malignant pancreatic masses.
Figure 8 Intravoxel incoherent motion diffusion-weighted imaging in a 67-year-old man with grade 3 pancreatic neuroendocrine neoplasm. A: Axial diffusion weighted image (b = 200 s/mm$^2$) with circular region of interest in the tumor; B: Color-coded diffusion map shows true diffusion coefficient, $D = 0.84 \times 10^3$ mm$^2$/s; C: Color-coded perfusion map shows pseudodiffusion coefficient, $D* = 5.01 \times 10^3$ mm$^2$/s; D: Color-coded perfusion fraction map shows a value, $f = 4.5\%$; E: Signal decay curve shows steeper decay at low b values and continued fall at higher b values [in comparison to grade 1 pancreatic neuroendocrine neoplasm (PNEN) (Figure 7), true diffusion coefficient is lower in grade 3 PNENs].

MRI perfusion

MRI perfusion techniques for the assessment of tumor perfusion have the major advantage that they lack adverse effects of radiation compared to the radiation-intensive CT perfusion. T1-weighted dynamic contrast enhanced (DCE) MRI is the technique applied in the evaluation of tumors in the abdomen[88]. This provides both semiquantitative and quantitative information on the microvascular perfusion of the tissue. The semiquantitative analysis is based on the time-signal intensity curve and the quantitative analysis is based on the Tofts two-compartment pharmacokinetic model (intravascular and extravascular-extracellular compartments) with the parameters evaluated being Ktrans (volume transfer constant, wash in), Kep (reverse efflux rate constant, wash out) and Ve (extravascular extracellular space volume fraction)[89]. This technique has shown promising results in the evaluation of PNENs. A study by Donati et al[90] showed that Ktrans and Kep values were higher in NENs (2.709 ± 0.110/min; 5.957 ±
PET CT AND PET MRI

Hybrid anatomical and functional imaging using PET/CT is a valuable tool in the current practice of grading and management of NENs. In general, in dual tracer PET/CT (somatostatin receptor imaging with Ga68DOTATATE/TOC/NOC PET/CT and glucose metabolism with FDG PET/CT), low-grade tumors, which express somatostatin receptors, bind to somatostatin analog, but not to FDG[93]. In contrast, poorly differentiated GEPNENs with high Ki-67 index would be negative on somatostatin PET/CT but FDG avid[94]. Zhang et al[95] suggested that dual tracer PET/CT may be used as an alternative to tissue sampling, as it reflects both cellular somatostatin receptor expression and glucose metabolism. The authors also found a positive correlation between SUVmax (standardized uptake value) and Ki-67 index with respect to FDG PET/CT and negative correlation with respect to Ga68DOTATATE PET/CT. However, low-grade insulinomas show low expression of somatostatin receptors in contrast to other secreting and nonsecreting NENs and are frequently not detected on Ga68DOTATATE PET/CT[96]. Since virtually all benign insulinomas express glucagon-like peptide 1 (GLP-1) receptors (incretin receptors), these receptors can be targeted by PET/CT for preoperative localization of occult benign insulinomas. GLP-1R PET/CT had higher sensitivity than MRI and SPECT/CT for localization of benign insulinomas in a study by Antwi et al[97]. Glucose dependent insulinoctropic polypeptide receptor (GIPR) is another incretin receptor overexpressed in GEPNENs. It is a potential target for imaging the small percentage (~10%) of GEPNENs which do not express SSTR and GLP1R, as confirmed by studies in animal models[98].

With technical advances, simultaneous PET and MRI acquisition in an integrated scanner is now possible. The first study in 2013 by Beiderwellen et al[99] showed that every lesion detected on PET/CT was identified on PET/MRI. Hope et al[100] evaluated hepatic lesions in patients with NENs using Ga68DOTATOC PET/CT and PET/MRI and found that there was a strong correlation between SUVmax obtained in PET/CT and PET/MRI. However, due to the high cost, the routine use of PET/MRI is limited.

RADIOMICS, TEXTURE ANALYSIS AND MACHINE LEARNING

Radiomics is the process of conversion of digital biomedical images to mineable data and the subsequent analysis of this data[101]. Texture analysis is an imaging technique under the wider arena of radiomics, that extracts, analyzes and interprets quantitative imaging features, and enables objective assessment of tumor heterogeneity beyond what is possible to human eyes[102]. In statistical-based model of texture analysis, from each voxel in a region of interest, various first order (e.g., first-order entropy, kurtosis, skewness, standard deviation, mean intensity) and second order (e.g., contrast, uniformity, second order entropy, etc.), or higher order features are extracted and analyzed using post processing software. As mentioned previously, tumor grade is an important prognostic factor of NENs and their prediction noninvasively is valuable.

CT radiomics is increasingly finding its place in the grading of NENs. Canellas et al[103], evaluating PNENs on CT scan, found that tumors with high entropy (a texture parameter reflecting tissue heterogeneity) values had 3.7 times higher odds of being aggressive (grades 2 and 3). In this study, entropy was a better predictor of tumor grade than the size of the lesion. Choi et al[104] found that lower kurtosis, lower sphericity and higher skewness correlated to grade 2 or 3 PNENs. A study on 3D texture analysis of PNENs in 100 patients showed that kurtosis was significantly different between all the three grades and entropy could differentiate grade 1 from grade 3 and grade 2 from grade 3, but not grade 1 from grade 2 tumors[50]. These results of CT texture analysis were confirmed in other recent studies[105,106] thus emphasizing its role in the prediction of tumor grade.

MRI radiomics also help in characterizing PNENs. MRI texture analysis was found to be useful in differentiating nonfunctioning PNEN from solid pseudopapillary neoplasm in a study by Li et al[107]. Nonlinear discriminant analysis was found to have the lowest misclassification rate of all the types of analyses performed in their study. Shindo et al[108] studied ADC histogram for differentiation of pancreatic adenocarcinoma from PNENs. In their study, ADC entropy had the highest area under the curve (AUC) for differentiating adenocarcinoma from NEN. De Robertis et al[77] found that ADC
Table 3 Summary of important research studies on imaging of gastroenteropancreatic neuroendocrine neoplasms

| Ref.          | Number (n) | Modality                        | Results                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Conclusion                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
|--------------|------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Takada et al [25], 2019 | 30         | Contrast-enhanced harmonic EUS  | Three parameters in TIC showed high diagnostic accuracy: Echo intensity change - 87%; Rate of enhancement - 87%; Enhancement ratio for node/pancreatic parenchyma - 88.5% | Contrast-enhanced EUS and TIC analysis show high diagnostic accuracy for grading of PNEN                                                                                                                |
| Worhunsky et al [45], 2014 | 118       | APCT                            | 5-year overall survival: Hypoenhancing - 54%; Isoenhancing - 89%; Hyperenhancing - 93%. On multivariate analysis only hypoenhancement (HR 2.32, P = 0.02) was independently associated with survival | Hypoenhancement of PNEN on APCT (22% of well-differentiated PNEN) was an independent predictor of poor outcome                                                                                         |
| Rodallec et al [46], 2006 | 37         | Dual-phase contrast-enhanced CT  | Poorly differentiated NEC: Hypoattenuating - 71%; Isoattenuating or weakly hyperattenuating - 29%; Well-differentiated NEC: moderately or strongly hyperattenuating - 53%. Poor enhancement at pancreatic phase and less vascularized tumors were associated with decreased survival rate | Enhancement of PNEN at CT correlated with microscopic tumor vascularity. Low-enhancing PNEN correlated with poor differentiation and lower overall survival |
| Park et al [48], 2020 | 69         | Dynamic CT                      | NEC (compared to well-differentiated NEN): Significantly higher frequencies of main pancreatic ductal dilatation, birefringent duct dilation, vascular invasion; Significantly lower conspicuity of interface between tumor and parenchyma, AER and PER. PER < 0.8 showed 94.1% sensitivity, 88.5% specificity for differentiation of NEC from well-differentiated NEN. On combining 3 significant CT features, the sensitivity and specificity for diagnosing NEC were 88.2% and 88.5% respectively | Tumor parenchyma enhancement ratio in portal phase is useful to distinguish NECs from well-differentiated NENs. Combining qualitative and quantitative CT features aid in achieving good diagnostic accuracy in differentiation between NEC and well-differentiated NEN |
| d’Assignies et al [59], 2009 | 36         | MDCT perfusion                  | Tumor blood flow and intratumoral MVD showed high correlation (r = 0.620, P < 0.001). Blood flow was significantly higher in: Grade 1 than grade 2/3 tumors; Tumors with proliferation index ≤ 2% (P = 0.005). Tumors without histological signs of microscopic vascular involvement (P = 0.008). Mean transit time was longer in tumors with lymph node (P = 0.02) or liver (P = 0.05) metastasis | Perfusion CT is feasible in patients with pancreatic NENs and reflects MVD. Perfusion CT measurements correlated with histoprognostic factors, such as proliferation index and WHO grading |
| Canellas et al [101], 2018 | 80         | MRI                             | MRI features associated with aggressive tumors: Size ≥ 2 cm (OR = 4.8); T2 non-bright lesions (OR = 4.6); Presence of pancreatic ductal dilatation (OR = 4.9); Diffusion restriction (OR = 4.9) | MRI can assess aggressiveness of PNEN and identify patients at risk for early disease progression after surgical resection                                                                                     |
| d’Assignies et al [102], 2013 | 59         | MRI                             | DWI (71%-71.6%) was more sensitive than T2 weighted images (55.6%) and dynamic CEMRI (47.5%-48.1%). Combination of these sequences improved detection of liver metastases. Specificity of each sequence was comparable (89%-100%). | DWI is more sensitive for detection and characterization of liver metastases from NENs than T2-weighted and dynamic gadolinium-enhanced MRI                                                                 |
| Canellas et al [103], 2018 | 101        | CECT with texture analysis      | CT features predictive of a more aggressive tumor: Size ≥ 2 cm (OR = 3.3); Vascular involvement (OR = 25.2); Pancreatic ductal dilatation (OR = 6); Lymphadenopathy (OR = 6.8); Entropy (OR = 3.7); Differences (P < 0.05) in progression free survival were found for: Grade 1 vs grade 2 vs grade 3 tumors; PNEN with vascular involvement; Tumors with entropy values > 4.65 | CT texture analysis and CT features are predictive of aggressiveness and can be used to identify patients at risk of early disease progression after surgical resection |
| De Robertis et al [77], 2018 | 42         | MRI and histogram analysis      | ADC entropy is significantly higher in grade 2/3 tumors (sensitivity: 83.3%, specificity: 61.1%). ADC kurtosis is higher in PNENs with vascular involvement, nodal and hepatic metastases (sensitivity: 85.7%, specificity: 74.3%) | Whole tumor ADC histogram analysis can predict aggressiveness in PNENs. ADC entropy and ADC kurtosis are the most accurate parameters for identification of PNEN with malignant behavior |
| Luo et al [112], 2020 | 93         | CECT with application of a CNN based DL algorithm | AUC = 0.81 of arterial phase in validation set was significantly higher than those of venous (AUC = 0.57, P = 0.03) and arterial/venous phase (AUC = 0.70, P = 0.03) in predicting the pathological grading of PNENs. The AUC and accuracy of DL algorithm for diagnosing grade 3 PNEN were 0.80% and 79.1%. There was significant difference in OS and PFS between the predicted G1/2 and G3 groups | The CNN-based DL method showed a relatively robust performance in predicting pathological grading of PNENs from CECT images |
| Gao et al | 96         | CEMRI with                      | The average accuracy of the five trained CNNs | With the help of GAN, the CNN
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[114], 2019 application of deep learning algorithm on images ranged between 79.08% and 82.35%, and the range of micro-average AUC was between 0.8825 and 0.8932. The average accuracy and micro-average AUC of the averaged CNN were 81.05% and 0.8847 respectively showed the potential to predict the grades of PNENs on CEMRI

EUS: Enhanced ultrasonography; TIC: Time-signal intensity curve; APCT: Arterial phase computed tomography; ADC: Apparent diffusion coefficient; CECT: Contrast enhanced computed tomography; CEMRI: Contrast enhanced magnetic resonance imaging; CNN: Convolutional neural network; DWI: Diffusion weighted imaging; NEC: Neuroendocrine carcinoma; NEN: Neuroendocrine neoplasm; AER: Annual equivalent ratio; PER: Portal enhancement ratio; MVD: Microvascular density; WHO: World Health Organization; MDCT: Multidetector row computed tomography; OS: Overall survival; PFS: Progression free survival; AUC: Area under the curve; PNEN: Pancreatic neuroendocrine neoplasm; GAN: Generative Adversarial Network.

histogram analysis of DWI, using radiomics, could predict aggressiveness of PNENs. They found high ADC kurtosis values in tumors with vascular invasion (AUC of 0.763 for a cut off value of 4.13) and distant metastases (AUC of 0.820 for a cut off of 3.642)[77]. The future prospects of radiomics are in the direction of development of a robust predictive model combining qualitative and quantitative imaging parameters.

Machine learning is increasingly being used in medicine and has various applications including detection of disease, classification of images, identifying treatment and monitoring adherence to therapy [109,110]. The standard radiomics analysis on CT or MRI requires marking of the tumor margins for analysis. However, deep learning using convolutional neural network (CNN) performs analysis automatically and provides better results[111]. A few recent preliminary studies have shown the promising role of deep learning using CNN in the prediction of grade of PNEN and survival using contrast enhanced CT[112-114]. Clinical trials for translation of these imaging techniques into clinical practice and validation for routine use are ongoing.

In short, the quantitative parameters derived from imaging, relevant for prognostication of GEPNENs include tumor size, enhancement ratios derived from HU values, iodine uptake on DECT, entropy on CT texture analysis, tumor blood flow, tumor blood volume, and mean transit time on perfusion CT, ADC and ADC histogram analysis of DWI, true and pseudodiffusion coefficients and perfusion fraction on IVIM DWI, Ktrans and Kep on perfusion MRI and SUVmax on dual tracer PET/CT. A combination of qualitative features and quantitative factors with the newer functional imaging techniques enables better tumor classification based on their prognosis. A summary of important studies on advanced imaging in GEPNENs is shown in Table 3.

CONCLUSION

With the recent advances in CT, MRI, USG and hybrid imaging techniques like PET/CT and PET/MRI using dual tracers, smaller pancreatic and bowel NENs are now being increasingly detected and staged. In addition to tumor detection and staging, their non-invasive grading, prognostication and monitoring response to treatment are shown to be feasible and reliable with the emerging studies using quantitative imaging techniques like CT and MR perfusion studies, DWI, IVIM and texture analysis with radiomics. Standardization of these techniques with more large scale studies would be an important future prospect. These advances in imaging will help in making the right treatment choice, contributing to an overall improvement in patient outcome.
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