Abstract

Background: Juvenile idiopathic arthritis (JIA) can cause osseous deformity in the temporomandibular joint (TMJ) and may impair mandibular growth. This study aimed to evaluate whether facial asymmetry determined clinically or by morphometric analysis of three-dimensional (3D) photographs in JIA patients is associated with an asymmetric affection of the TMJ.

Methods: Of 76 consecutive JIA patients with a mean age of 11.7 years (range: 6.3–17.9), facial asymmetry was evaluated clinically (chin asymmetry, gonion asymmetry), and stereophotogrammetrically with 3D photographs. The facial surfaces were demarcated, then mirrored, superimposed using semi-automated landmarks, and quantitatively assessed (chin asymmetry, Hausdorff distances). Clinical and digital measurements were related to the diagnosis of right and left TMJ involvement derived from magnetic resonance images (MRI).

Results: Twenty-seven (34%) patients had an asymmetrical osseous deformity of the TMJ. By clinical evaluation, chin asymmetry was related to asymmetrical osseous destruction ($p = 0.02$), but gonion asymmetry was not ($p = 0.14$). In regard to 3D-photograph based morphometric measurements, chin asymmetry was also related to asymmetrical osseous destruction ($p = 0.01$), but neither the mean ($p = 0.06$) nor the maximal Hausdorff distance ($p = 0.67$). Despite the attested significance, none of the chin asymmetry evaluation methods appeared to hold sufficient predictive value (positive predictive values $\leq 54\%$; coefficient of determination $\leq 7\%$).

Conclusions: For the assessment of facial asymmetry in JIA patients, morphometric measurements originating from 3D-photographs seem to deliver results comparable to the clinical assessment methods. The asymmetry of the face, especially around the chin, appears to be related to asymmetrical TMJ destruction, but none of the investigated measurement methods of the face were able to reliably predict the TMJ affection. Thus, facial asymmetry assessments, both qualitatively in a clinical setting and quantitatively based on 3D-photographs, have limited diagnostic value for TMJ involvement in JIA patients.
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Background

Juvenile idiopathic arthritis (JIA) is a term that encompasses all forms of autoimmune, non-infective inflammatory joint diseases of unknown aetiology with an onset before the age of 16 years [1]. It is the most common rheumatoid disorder in childhood [2], with an estimated involvement of the temporomandibular joint (TMJ) in approximately 40–96% of the children [3].

The inflammatory activity in the TMJ is seen as the source of two distinct morphopathologies: Osteochondral lesions within the joint itself, and - since the TMJ is also an important centre of growth during childhood - craniofacial growth disturbances [4]. Patients whose TMJs are not affected to the same degree on both sides are expected to develop dentofacial asymmetry [5, 6].

While several diagnostic approaches, such as ultrasound examination or the evaluation of panoramic films and cephalograms, have been suggested and ultimately questioned [7] in the past, contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) remains undisputedly the ideal diagnostic tool for the assessment of inflammatory activity and osteochondral degradation of the TMJ [8–10].

Previous reports indicate that certain facial morphological features, such as an antegonial notching or chin asymmetry, could be interpreted as signs of impaired growth representing a structural damage in the TMJ [11, 12]. As arthritis of the TMJ is frequently asymptomatic, a dependable facial examination could serve an important purpose to disclose possible craniofacial growth deficiency and to establish a timely interventional strategy [13]. With the introduction of three-dimensional (3D) photography as documentation method for facial morphology [14], it is assumed that the diagnostic value of facial assessment to detect TMJ involvement can be increased. Indeed, the advocated advantages of an evaluation of the face by means of stereophotogrammetry include not only an improved reproducibility, high spatial resolution, and no ionizing radiation, but also the possibility to conduct quantitative analyses from morphometric measurements.

The clinical relevance of linking facial diagnostics to TMJ deformation is evident, as it would facilitate a timely intervention for craniofacial growth deficiency treatment. The purpose of this study was therefore to investigate in JIA patients whether an association between facial assessment – either clinical or stereophotogrammetrical – and osseous deformity in the TMJ – as attested on MRI – could be detected. More specifically, the aims were (1) to disclose any potential associations between facial asymmetry and an asymmetrical osseous destruction of the TMJ, (2) to evaluate the predictive value of different facial examinations, and (3) to disclose possible relationships between 3D-photography based facial measurements and the administered drugs.

Methods

Patients

This is a retrospective study of patients diagnosed for JIA (according to the International League of Associations for Rheumatology criteria [15]) seen jointly at the Clinic for Orthodontics and Paediatric Dentistry of the local university and the University Children’s hospital during the years of 2017 and 2018. Inclusion criteria were complete clinical records comprising a MRI of the TMJ, a 3D-photography of the face, a clinical assessment, and the medical history of the patients. The MRI had to be performed at a maximal interval of 3 months to the 3D-photography and the clinical assessment (which were both always taken on the same day). Children with no consent for retrospective data analysis were not considered.

Patient histories were screened and drugs administered for JIA treatment were recorded as none, “systemic” (i.e., systemic immunosuppressive therapy), or “local” (i.e., local corticosteroid TMJ injection).

MRI evaluation of the TMJ

In adherence to the institutional protocol [16] and in accordance with the evidence-base [8], MRI evaluation of the TMJ was conducted on contrast-enhanced sequences performed at 1.5 Tesla (Discovery MR450, GE Medical Systems, Milwaukee, USA) with a TMJ surface coil in closed mouth position, performed at the local University Children’s hospital. A systematic evaluation of the TMJ affection was performed by an experienced board certified paediatric radiologist (three levels: TMJ not affected, inflammatory activity without osseous destruction, inflammatory activity with osseous destruction), for each joint independently, based on the criteria of established progressive scoring systems both for the level of inflammation and the degree of osteochondral deformation [17–19]. Inflammatory activity was assessed on fat-saturated T2-weighted images based on the presence and degree of joint effusion, synovial thickening, and bone marrow oedema, in addition to contrast-enhanced images for the presence and extension of joint enhancement. Osseous deformity was established on gradient echo images, identifying the shape and integrity of the temporal bone (articular eminence and glenoid fossa) and mandibular condyle.

Clinical and digital assessment of facial asymmetry

Clinical assessment of facial asymmetry was performed by an experienced board certified orthodontist. Facial asymmetry was established in cases of palpable differences at the antegonial notching (“Clinical Gonion Asymmetry”; present or absent) and of evident chin deviation (“Clinical Chin Asymmetry”; present or absent) [11].
Digital assessment of facial asymmetry was based on the 3D-photographs (Vectra 3 M, Canfield Scientific, New Jersey, USA) of the patients, produced as part of the annual check-up. The stereophotographs were taken while the patients were seated with the face positioned according to the Frankfurt horizontal plane. The patients were instructed to maintain a neutral facial expression in maximal incisal overlap. The 3D photographic images were processed with an open source software (3D Slicer, Release 4.4.0) [20] and several extension modules (in order of application: EasyClip, CMFreg, Model To Model Distance, Mesh Statistics Extension, ShapePopulationViewer) [21]. The file format of the stereophotographs was transformed from object (obj) files to visualization toolkit (vtk) files and edited by removing neck, hair, and the forehead, 1 cm above the eyebrows.

In order to determine the asymmetry of the lower face, the faces were mirrored and superimposed for a best-match registration of the midface, using five semi-automated landmarks (i.e., subnasal, bilateral inner and outer angle of the eye). Following the landmarks registration, the Hausdorff distance (HAD) between the original and the mirror picture was measured for the cropped lower face as region of interest, and mean HAD as well as maximum HAD were recorded for every patient separately. Asymmetry of the chin area was visualized using a colour coding for the distance of the mirrored face to the original face (see Fig. 1).

All examiners (MRI evaluation, clinical assessment and analysis of the 3D-photography) were blinded to the results of the other examination methods. Twenty randomly selected cases were re-measured, three months apart, to establish repeatability.

Statistical methods
Descriptive statistics were calculated for all variables, the distribution of the continuous variables (HAD mean, HAD max., digital chin asymmetry) was verified with a Shapiro-Wilk test, and intraclass correlation coefficients (ICC) for absolute agreement were calculated to confirm repeatability of the measurements. Contingency tables were computed to reveal possible associations between the MRI diagnosis and the asymmetry results, together with Pearson’s chi-squared tests and positive predictive values for categorical variables. A ROC analysis was performed to detect the value of digital chin asymmetry with best discriminative ability to discern patients with TMJ involvement. Possible relationships between the continuous results of the digital assessments and the MRI diagnosis were investigated with a Mann-Whitney U-test, and the predictive value for each continuous variable was established by calculating the Cox & Snell coefficient of determination based on binary logistic regression models. Contingency tables and Mann-Whitney U-tests were also applied to disclose potential associations between the treatment modality and the results of the digital assessment. The significance level for all statistical tests was set to a \( p \)-value \( p < 0.05 \). All analyses were run in SPSS (version 24.0; IBM Corp., Armonk, New York, USA).

Results
Seventy-six patients (50 females, 65.8%) were identified and analysed. Patient data, results of clinical examinations and administered JIA-related medication since diagnosis were retrieved from patient files. JIA-Subtype and disease duration are summarised in Table 1. The patients’ age at the clinical assessment / 3D-photography was 11.7 years (IQR: 9.6–14.0).

| JIA-Subtype                      | N   | %   |
|---------------------------------|-----|-----|
| Oligoarticular                  | 42  | 55.3|
| Oligoarticular extended         | 6   | 7.9 |
| Polyarticular RF negative       | 16  | 21.0|
| Enthesitis-related arthritis    | 3   | 3.9 |
| Psoriasis arthritis             | 4   | 5.3 |
| Systemic                        | 1   | 1.3 |
| Not classified                   | 4   | 5.3 |

| Age                             | Median (y) | IQR (y) |
|---------------------------------|------------|---------|
| Age at diagnosis                | 4.5        | 2.7–7.0 |
| Age at clinical assessment and 3D-photography | 11.7 | 9.6–14.0 |
| Disease duration                | 5.8        | 3.4–9.4 |

\( N \) number of patients; \( IQR \) interquartile range
ranged from 6.3 to 17.9 years with a mean age of 11.7 years.

Of all 76 patients, 60 (75.9%) were administered medicinal therapy for JIA treatment: 31 (40.8%) received systemic immunosuppressive drugs, and 29 (38.2%) were subject to local corticosteroid TMJ injection.

The repeatability of the digital measurements was high, with slightly better agreement for chin asymmetry (ICC: 1.0) than for the Hausdorff distances, HAD max (ICC: 0.95) or HAD mean (ICC: 0.81). The reliability of the applied MRI scoring system for inflammatory activity and osteochondral deformity is uncontested and has been documented elsewhere [19].

The descriptive results of the MRI observations are shown in Table 2. Approximatively 50% of the assessed joints showed inflammatory activity without osseous affections, and an osseous deformity was diagnosed in further 25% (left) to 29% (right) of the cases. The outcomes of the asymmetry assessments are summarized in Table 3. Chin asymmetry was clinically observed in 32% of the cases, and the digital assessment resulted in a mean asymmetry of 3 mm (range: 0 mm – 6 mm). According to the ROC analysis (Fig. 2), 4 mm proved to be the amount of digital chin asymmetry with the highest discriminative power (Area under Curve: 0.652). Thus, for further testing, digital chin asymmetry was binned in < 4 mm and ≥ 4 mm. Of all 76 patients, 33 (43.4%) had a digital chin asymmetry of at least 4 mm. None of the continuous variables (digital chin asymmetry and HAD) followed normal distribution (p < 0.001).

The relationship between the various facial asymmetry assessments and an asymmetrical osseous destruction in the TMJ (as observed in the MRI) are investigated in Table 4 for categorical results and in Table 5 for the reported distances. The correlations between asymmetrical osseous destruction and facial asymmetry were statistically significant for clinical chin asymmetry (p < 0.02) and digital chin asymmetry (both as categorical and continuous variable: p < 0.01). Nevertheless, none of the evaluated facial assessment seem to hold sufficient diagnostic value: the predictive value (≤54%) and the coefficient of determination (< 8%) of all facial assessments (clinical or digital) remained disappointingly low.

The associations between the various digital asymmetry assessments and the treatment options received are explored in Table 6, in which an association between chin asymmetry and a past administration of local corticosteroid injection is disclosed.

Table 2 Descriptive statistics of the analysed sample

| Factor | Na | N (%) |
|--------|----|-------|
| MRI    |    |       |
| Left TMJ not affected | 76 | 19 (25.0%) |
| Left TMJ inflammatory activity without osseous destruction | 76 | 37 (48.7%) |
| Left TMJ inflammatory activity with osseous destruction | 76 | 20 (26.3%) |
| Right TMJ not affected | 76 | 15 (19.7%) |
| Right TMJ inflammatory activity without osseous destruction | 76 | 38 (50.0%) |
| Right TMJ inflammatory activity with osseous destruction | 76 | 23 (30.3%) |
| Left and right TMJ not affected | 76 | 12 (15.8%) |
| TMJ asymmetrical inflammatory activity | 76 | 31 (39.2%) |
| TMJ asymmetrical osseous destruction | 76 | 27 (34.2%) |

Discussion

This is the first study to assess three-dimensional (3D) facial morphology in patients with JIA in direct comparison to TMJ involvement ascertained by MRI diagnostics. It is seemingly also the first attempt to conduct a direct comparison between clinical assessment and stereophotogrammetric measurements for facial asymmetry in JIA patients.

To detect inflammation activity and early joint damage is a primordial diagnostic goal with direct impact on the treatment strategy. The development of new combination treatment strategies and the introduction of biologic response modifying drugs have reformed the management of juvenile idiopathic arthritis (JIA) towards early aggressive interventions [22]. These advances have substantially amplified the prospect of achieving disease remission or, at least, minimize the levels of disease activity, but underscore the need for rapid diagnosis and initiation of treatment [23]. Yet timely diagnosis is routinely complicated owing to the fact that the course of JIA, characterized as monocyclic, polycyclic or chronic, remains decidedly variable.

The prospect of being able to use any clinical assessment of facial features as a rapid, non-expensive and
non-invasive diagnostic tool to detect an ongoing process in the TMJ has prompted several studies [6, 11, 12, 24–28]. While certain clinical tests aim to disclose the inflammatory activity in the TMJ, the evaluation of face morphology and asymmetry targets to reveal structural damage in the TMJ and its association to impaired growth. The literature on the diagnostic value of clinical facial assessment to detect TMJ involvement in JIA patients indicates that statistically significant positive correlations between clinical findings and MRI diagnosis indeed exist [11, 26]. More specifically, previous investigators stated that antegonial notching and chin asymmetry could allegedly be interpreted as signs of impaired growth representing a structural damage in the TMJ [11, 12].

The present findings portray in agreement with these reports an evident significant relationship between osseous lesions in the TMJ and clinically detectable facial asymmetry, especially for the chin. The results clearly indicate that the chin region is more indicative than any assessment of the shape of the mandible, and suggest that the degree of asymmetry should be measured at the chin and neither at the gonion region nor through the stereophotogrammetrically acquired Hausdorff distance.

Yet despite the observed statistical significance, which clearly demonstrate a relationship between face morphology and structural damage in the TMJ, the suitability of the clinical assessment as a test was called into question by some of the above-mentioned authors themselves, either because the low sensitivity such a test would yield [26], or because the statistical significance was lost when other factors were added to the equation [11]. Our results corroborate the

| Table 3 Assessment of Asymmetry: Clinical examination and measurements based on 3D-photography (“Digital examination”) |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Factor**                                                      | **Na** | **N (%)** | **Mean (SD) mm** | **Median (IQR) mm** | **Range mm** |
| Clinical Examination                                            |        |           |                  |                     |              |
| Clinical Chin Asymmetry                                         | 76     | 24 (31.6%)| 3.0 (1.7)        | 3.0 (2.0)           | 0–6.0        |
| Clinical Gonion Asymmetry                                       | 76     | 45 (59.2%)| 1.7 (0.6)        | 1.6 (0.8)           | 0.7–3.7      |
| Digital Examination                                             |        |           |                  |                     |              |
| Digital Chin Asymmetry                                          | 76     |           | 16.6 (8.6)       | 14.1 (7.9)          | 6.1–57.7     |
| HAD mean                                                        | 76     |           | 3.0 (1.7)        | 3.0 (2.0)           | 0–6.0        |
| HAD maximum                                                     | 76     |           | 1.7 (0.6)        | 1.6 (0.8)           | 0.7–3.7      |
| HAD maximum                                                     | 76     |           | 16.6 (8.6)       | 14.1 (7.9)          | 6.1–57.7     |

*HAD* Hausdorff Distance; *IQR* interquartile range; *Na* eligible patients; *SD* standard deviation

**Fig. 2** ROC-curves illustrating the discriminatory power of the different digital chin asymmetry levels (1 mm – 6 mm) to predict an asymmetrical osseous destruction diagnosed on the MRI. *AUC*: Area under the curve
questionable usefulness of facial assessment as a diagnostic tool. Although it is apparent that chin asymmetry correlated significantly to an asymmetrical bone destruction, the predictive value of facial asymmetry remained disappointingly low.

This study's main goal was to evaluate the benefits of facial assessment derived from stereophotogrammetric technology, grounded on the assumption that the diagnostic value could be increased through a quantitative analysis of morphometric measurements. The hypothesis that quantitative analyses can improve diagnostics could not be substantiated.

Limited reports exist on the relationship between 3D facial photographs of JIA patients and presumed uni- or bilateral TMJ involvement established on cephalograms [14] or panoramic films [29, 30]. As outlined in the introduction, scientific literature has produced ample and rich evidence that these two-dimensional radiographs fail to reflect TMJ lesions and their extent reliably [7]. This has been acknowledged by the authors of the previous reports who stressed the pivotal necessity of studies that would base the TMJ involvement on MRI diagnostics [29]. The use of MRI offers not only superior diagnostic accuracy, but allows to grade the osseous lesions reliably and as such permits to distinguish between the degree of destruction, an aspect that has been thitherto blatantly neglected for bilateral TMJ involvement. Disregarding the shortcomings of the earlier studies and applying the mandatory reservations when juxtaposing the results of different research projects, the mean 3.5 mm chin deviation for unilaterally affected TMJ reported by the earlier work [30] seems comparable to the 4 mm median deviation confirmed in our study. Yet in stark contrast to those who conclude that a significant relationship could serve as validation of a testing method, this present study provides a more comprehensive analysis. Calculating the coefficient of variance to reveal how well the different measurements of asymmetry can explain an asymmetrical TMJ destruction, it is unambiguously evident that the confirmed significant associations do not comprise enough predictive power.

In order to enable a direct comparison to the clinical evaluation, digital chin asymmetry was categorized into a binary variable. Defining a threshold value for the asymmetry assessment was necessary, since the subclinical asymmetry present in literally all individuals [31] had to be excluded. Pursuant to the descriptive values and the ROC analysis, 4 mm seemed to provide the best discriminating cutpoint. One should however be cognizant that dichotomizing continuous variables is intrinsically problematic, as it leads to loss of information and degradation of statistical power [32]. This became obvious in the results concerning the relationship between the assessment of the treatment options received and the various digital asymmetry assessments: the continuous variable of chin asymmetry was significantly associated to local corticosteroid treatment, an observation lost in the binary variable.

The discovered relationship between digital chin asymmetry and a past administration of local corticosteroid injection is open to interpretation. The observational nature of this study does obviously not allow to establish any

### Table 4
Comparison of the facial asymmetry results – presented as binary outcome - among patients diagnosed with or without asymmetrical destruction between the right and left TMJ in the MRI

| MRI diagnosis of TMJ | Clinical: Gonion Asymmetry | Clinical: Chin Asymmetry | Digital: Chin Asymmetry (≥ 4 mm) |
|---------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Asymmetrical osseous destruction | Na n (%) | P* | Na n (%) | P* | Na n (%) | P* |
| No                  | 49 26 (53%) | 0.14 | 11 (22%) | 0.02 | 16 (31%) | 0.01 |
| Yes                 | 27 19 (70%) | 13 (48%) | 17 (63%) | 0.67 |
| Positive predictive value | 42% | 54% | 52% |

MRI magnetic resonance imaging; n patients in this category; Na patients eligible; TMJ temporomandibular joint

*P value originating from Pearson’s chi-squared test

### Table 5
Comparison of the facial asymmetry results – presented as continuous outcome - among patients diagnosed with or without asymmetrical destruction between the right and left TMJ in the MRI. The coefficient of determination (Cox & Snell R²) is derived from a binary logistic regression analysis predicting the asymmetrical destruction from each continuous variable independently

| MRI diagnosis of TMJ | Digital: Chin Asymmetry (continuous, mm) | Digital: Mean HAD (mm) | Digital: Max. HAD (mm) |
|---------------------|----------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| Asymmetrical osseous destruction | Na Median (IQR) | P* | Na Median (IQR) | P* | Na Median (IQR) | P* |
| No                  | 49 2.0 (3.0) | 0.01 | 1.5 (0.6) | 0.06 | 14.3 (10.4) | 0.67 |
| Yes                 | 27 4.0 (2.0) | 1.7 (0.9) | 13.7 (5.3) | 0.7 |
| Coeff. of determination | 7.3% | 4.1% | 0.7% |

HAD mean Hausdorff Absolute Distance; IQR interquartile range; MRI magnetic resonance imaging; TMJ temporomandibular joint

*P value originating from Mann-Whitney U-test
causality, yet the following hypothesis may be submitted: According to an earlier study [16] corticosteroid injection may possibly cause a progression of TMJ osseous destruction. Thus, the corticosteroid injection could per se be the reason for the growth disturbance of the face. Alternatively, it cannot be ruled out that corticosteroid injections were given specifically in cases with more severe destruction. Either way, the current results seem to be in line with the assumption that intra-articular corticosteroid injections in children with JIA do apparently neither preserve normal growth, nor do they prevent TMJ deformity [16].

Limitations
This investigation suffers from its retrospective nature, its single-centre setting, its cross-sectional approach and the adequate yet restricted amount of individuals. Moreover, it should be recalled that the included patients underwent MRI for TMJ diagnosis specifically because a TMJ involvement was suspected, and were therefore more likely to present clinical signs (asymmetry or others) than other JIA subjects. Lastly, its attempted goal to discern a relationship between face asymmetry and asymmetrically affected TMJ is modest, as any clinical findings of an alteration of face morphology showing a correlation with the MRI finding would only indicate that structural damage in the TMJ has already occurred. Nevertheless, this investigation is important, as it provides crucial information on the applicability of 3D-photograph based morphometric analyses of the face in JIA patients, offers a direct comparison to established clinical assessments, and delivers a cautionary interpretation of significant correlations between face measurements and TMJ lesions.

On a last note, questioning digital assessment as a diagnostic tool to predict a TMJ involvement in a cross-sectional setting does not disqualify stereophotogrammetric based analyses of intra-individual changes. Future research attempts should therefore focus on exploring longitudinal data with serial 3D records of JIA patients.

Conclusions
This is the first study to compare a detailed facial assessment of JIA patients with TMJ involvement as presented on MRI. The findings suggest that a relationship between facial asymmetry and asymmetrical TMJ involvement exist, especially when facial asymmetry is quantified by means of 3D-photography based measurements. The results indicate, however, that all explored facial assessment methods have very limited power to predict an asymmetrical TMJ involvement and are therefore not suitable as diagnostic tools.

Abbrevations
3D: Three-dimensional; HAD: Hausdorff distance; ICC: Intraclass correlation coefficient; JIA: Juvenile idiopathic arthritis; MRI: Magnetic resonance images; TMJ: Temporomandibular joint
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Table 6 Comparison of the 3D-photography based digital asymmetry results among patients with or without TMJ treatment

| Treatment                     | Digital: Chin Asymmetry (continuous, mm) | Digital: Chin Asymmetry (≥ 4 mm) |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
|                               | n  | Median (IQR) | P* | n  | n (%) | P*   |
| Any                           |    |              |    |    |       |      |
| No                            | 16 | 2.0 (2.0)    | 0.75 | 16 | 6 (38%) | 0.59 |
| Yes                           | 60 | 3.0 (2.0)    |       | 60 | 27 (45%) |      |
| Local (with or without systemic drugs) |    |              | 0.03 |    |       | 0.07 |
| No                            | 47 | 2.0 (3.0)    |       | 47 | 17 (36%) |      |
| Yes                           | 29 | 4.0 (2.0)    |       | 29 | 16 (55%) |      |

* P value originating from Mann-Whitney U-test
* P value originating from Pearson’s chi-squared test
