Pediatric aggressive giant cell granuloma of nasal cavity
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ABSTRACT

INTRODUCTION: Giant cell granuloma (GCG) is a non-neoplastic osseous proliferative lesion of unknown etiology. Although a benign disease process, GCG can be locally destructive. It is extremely rare to have a pediatric case of GCG occurring in the nasal cavity with intracranial invasion.

PRESENTATION OF CASE: We report a case of an aggressive and recurrent giant cell granuloma with intracranial invasion in a 10 years old female patient which was completely excised with endoscopic craniofacial resection.

DISCUSSION: A literature review on pathogenesis, diagnosis and management is also performed.

CONCLUSION: The most common treatment for giant cell granuloma is surgery, ranging from simple curettage to resection. However, it must be completely excised in cases of aggressive and extensive lesion because of the high recurrence rate after incomplete removal.

© 2015 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. on behalf of Surgical Associates Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Giant cell granuloma (GCG) is a rare benign proliferative osteolytic lesion that commonly occurs in the maxilla and mandible [1]. GCG usually occur after the second decade of life and has been known for female predominance in spite of existing controversy [2]. GCG was first described by Jaffe in 1953 as a giant cell reparative granuloma [3]. Since GCGs were revealed to be locally aggressive in nature and they can occur without history of trauma, the term “reparative” has not been used recently [4]. There have been few cases of GCG occurring in the nasal cavity with intracranial invasion [5]. We report a 10-year-old girl who had an aggressive GCG occurring in the nasal cavity with intracranial invasion. Her GCG was initially treated with endoscopic excision, but recurred in 6 weeks. The recurrent lesion was eventually completely excised with endoscopic craniofacial resection.

2. Presentation of case

A 10-year-old girl was referred to our institution with one-month history of epistaxis, nasal obstruction, proptosis, and epiphora. The patient denied any history of pain, headache, neurologic symptoms, diplopia or visual disturbance. There was no history of trauma or previous sinonasal operation. On physical examination, a huge, partially reddish mass was observed through the right nostril and the outer surface of the mass was smooth. The mass completely occupied the right nasal cavity and pushed the nasal septum towards the left side, resulting in the left nasal cavity being completely obstructed. The mass has slightly displaced the right eyeball towards the lateral side and mild exophthalmos was observed. There were no palpable masses or lymphadenopathies in the neck. Routine hematological and biochemical tests including serum calcium, alkaline phosphatase, phosphorous, renal function, and parathyroid hormone were within normal limits.

Paranasal sinus computerized tomography (PNS CT) scanning and magnetic resonance imaging (PNS MRI) revealed a large multiseptated cystic expansile lesion with peripheral enhancement in the right sinonasal cavity. The mass has extensions into the orbit, ethmoid sinus and anterior cranial fossa (Fig. 1A). With these imaging studies, the first impression of the radiologist was an infected mucocele.

Endoscopy with biopsy revealed the outer surface of the mass was smooth and rubbery with the inside being cystic in nature, filled with loose connective tissue and hematoma-like material. Histopathological result of the preoperative biopsy was uncertain and was described as ‘ulcerative and necrotic tissue’ or ‘granulation tissue proliferation’. The histopathologist reported that there is the possibility of pyogenic granuloma. From these results, the authors concluded that the lesion was not a neoplastic disease but an inflammatory lesion combined with granulomatous lesion and mucocele. The patient underwent endonasal endoscopic resection without external approach. The mass originated from the lateral wall of the nasal cavity and has eroded the lamina papyracea,
medial wall of maxilla, and anterior skull base. The mass was excised piecemeal using forceps and microdebrider. Strong adhesion in the frontal sinus and intracranial portions were noted. Complete removal of the intracranial lesion and frontal sinus lesion was not achieved because of massive bleeding and poor operation fields. Promptly, an emergent consultation with the neurosurgeon was conducted to completely remove. His opinion was that further resection may not be necessary because of the possibility of spontaneous involution. Eventually, the operation was concluded even with remnant lesions (Fig. 1B). Histopathologic diagnosis was giant cell granuloma and sections showed fibroblastic proliferation with rich osteoclast-like polynuclear giant cells interspersed with spindle-shaped stroma (Fig. 2).

One month after the operation, the patient did not complain of any headache, nasal obstruction and exophthalmos and her postoperative course seemed to be uneventful. She visited the
3. Discussion

GCG can be clinically classified as non-aggressive or aggressive type [6]. In general, aggressive GCGs affect children at an earlier age, were larger at the time of diagnosis, and recurred more frequently than non-aggressive type. Especially, GCG affecting the cranial bone is extremely rare and has a locally aggressive and destructive behavior [1]. In this case, we were able to remove the lesion completely through an elevator incision without difficulty. Histologically, the major components of GCGs are multinucleated giant cells related to focal areas of hemorrhage, abundant spindle-shaped fibroblastic cells, low mitotic activity, cyst formation and reactive bone formation [7,9]. The aggressive type had a higher relative size index of giant cells, and recurrent GCG had a higher relative size index of giant cells and fractional surface area occupied by giant cells. However, they described histologic differences between the two groups (non-aggressive vs. aggressive) were unclear [6]. It is also difficult to decide plan of invasive operation range depending on only uncertain histopathological result of the preoperative biopsy and radiological finding in pediatric case. In addition, it may be difficult to differentiate it from the other giant cell lesions with similar histological appearance and clinical course. Aneurismal bone cyst, giant cell tumor (osteoclastoma) and Brown tumor characterized by hyperparathyroidism are the lesions that must be included in the differential diagnosis.

The traditional treatment of choice for GCG has been surgical excision. The extent of tissue removal ranges from simple curettage to en bloc resection. Recurrence rates following surgery have been reported at 11–72% and were higher in patients with aggressive lesions [2,6].

Non-surgical treatments include supplementary modalities such as corticosteroid intralesional injection, calcitonin, interferon alpha, and radiation. These procedures should be considered as secondary tools for lesions that cannot be completely resected because of higher possibility of additional surgery [9].

We learned a significant lesson about diagnosis & treatment through very interesting experience in the aggressive change after the first surgery. We have two main questions under treatment for GCG of this case. First, how far in advance it can be judged an aggressive type of GCG? Second, what extent it will determine the surgical range in pediatric case of GCG? In conclusion, only complete resection is the appropriate treatment for GCG because, accurate diagnosis is difficult and recurrent GCG develops a more aggressive behavior in a short duration.

4. Conclusion

We report a pediatric case of aggressive GCG that originated from the nasal cavity with intracranial invasion. The extent of surgical treatment includes a wide range of options. However, we have to consider complete resection of GCG as a means of the high priority, despite younger age.
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