Competition and Homeostasis of Excitatory and Inhibitory Connectivity in the Adult Mouse Visual Cortex
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Abstract

During cortical development, synaptic competition regulates the formation and adjustment of neuronal connectivity. It is unknown whether synaptic competition remains active in the adult brain and how inhibitory neurons participate in this process. Using morphological and electrophysiological measurements, we show that expressing a dominant-negative form of the TrkB receptor (TrkB.T1) in the majority of pyramidal neurons in the adult visual cortex does not affect excitatory synapse densities. This is in stark contrast to the previously reported loss of excitatory input which occurs if the exact same transgene is expressed in sparse neurons at the same age. This indicates that synaptic competition remains active in adulthood. Additionally, we show that interneurons not expressing the TrkB.T1 transgene may have a competitive advantage and obtain more excitatory synapses when most neighboring pyramidal neurons do express the transgene. Finally, we demonstrate that inhibitory synapses onto pyramidal neurons are reduced when TrkB signaling is interfered with in most pyramidal neurons but not when few pyramidal neurons have this deficit. This adjustment of inhibitory innervation is therefore not a cell-autonomous consequence of decreased TrkB signaling but more likely a homeostatic mechanism compensating for activity changes at the population level.
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Introduction

The brain has a strong capacity to learn and adapt to the environment. This adaptation is mainly mediated by the continuous gain, loss, and functional adjustment of synapses based on neuronal activity patterns. Synaptic competition, whereby inputs that are more capable of activating the postsynaptic neuron are strengthened while those that are less capable are lost, is crucial for such adaptation in the developing nervous system. A classic example is ocular dominance plasticity in the primary visual cortex (V1) where monocular deprivation during development causes retraction of thalamic projections serving the closed eye and expansion of those serving the open eye (Hubel et al. 1977). This competitive process operates through 2 separate mechanisms. Correlation-based plasticity weakens the deprived eye responses, while homeostatic synaptic scaling normalizes total postsynaptic drive by increasing the responses to both eyes (Frenkel and Bear 2004; Mrsic-Flogel et al. 2007; Kaneko, Stellwagen et al. 2008; Kaneko, Hanover et al. 2008; Kaneko et al. 2012).

Besides presynaptic competition for postsynaptic targets, postsynaptic competition for presynaptic inputs also occurs in the cortex. When expression of neuroligin-1 (Kwon et al. 2012)
or BDNF (English et al. 2012) is reduced in a small subset of excitatory neurons, they become less attractive postsynaptic targets and end up receiving fewer excitatory synaptic inputs than their neighbors. In contrast, if the genetic deficit is present in all excitatory neurons, synapse numbers are unaffected. This implies that presynaptic axons choose their postsynaptic targets based on relative differences in the expression of specific signaling proteins and that some form of homeostatic process is in place to normalize synapse numbers.

Until now, studies on synaptic competition have only been performed during development. An important question that remains unanswered is therefore whether this type of synaptic competition is still operational in adulthood, after the majority of synaptic connections have already been established. Additionally, it is unknown whether and how interneurons take part in such competitive regulation of synaptic connectivity.

To address these questions, we made use of mice carrying a cre-dependent transgene driving expression of a dominant-negative BDNF receptor (TrkB.T1). We have previously shown that expressing TrkB.T1 in a low percentage (<1%) of pyramidal neurons of young adult V1 strongly reduces the density and head size of dendritic spines (Chakravarthy et al. 2006). By making use of another cre-transgenic line, we now expressed the exact same TrkB.T1 transgene in most (>80%) pyramidal neurons, but not in interneurons, of the cortex starting around the same age (Heimel et al. 2010). We find that broad expression of TrkB.T1 does not reduce the size or numbers of excitatory synapses. This difference between sparse and broad expression shows that competition for postsynaptic targets continues into adulthood. In contrast to excitatory synapses, inhibitory synapses onto pyramidal neurons of young adult V1 strongly reduces the density and head size of dendritic spines (Chakravarthy et al. 2006). By making use of another cre-transgenic line, we now expressed the same TrkB.T1 transgene in most (>80%) pyramidal neurons, but not in interneurons, of the cortex starting around the same age (Heimel et al. 2010). We find that broad expression of TrkB.T1 does not reduce the size or numbers of excitatory synapses. This difference between sparse and broad expression shows that competition for postsynaptic targets continues into adulthood. In contrast to excitatory synapses, inhibitory synapses onto pyramidal neurons are reduced only when the TrkB.T1 transgene is expressed broadly but not when expressed sparsely. This suggests that population-based rather than cell-autonomous processes regulate inhibitory innervation in the adult cortex.

Materials and Methods

Transgenic Mice

We made use of mice carrying a cre-dependent transgene driving expression of either the TrkB.T1 receptor fused to the enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP) (mouse line TLT-817) or a membrane-associated EGFP-F-transgene (mouse line TLG-498) under the control of the Thy-1 promoter. For sparse expression, these lines were crossed to the CaMKIIα-cre-transgenic mouse line Cre 3487. All the above-mentioned lines were described previously (Chakravarthy et al. 2006, 2008). Broad expression of the TrkB.T1-EGFP transgene in most cortical pyramidal neurons was achieved by crossing the TLT-817 line to G35-3 cre mice which express cre under the control of the KAI promoter (Sawtell et al. 2003; Heimel et al. 2010). Mice were crossed to C57BL/6JOlafHsd background for at least 6 generations. We will refer to the broadly expressing TLT-817‘G35-3-cre’ mice as “TrkB.T1-broad,” and to the sparsely expressing TLT-817‘Cre-3487’ mice as “TrkB.T1-sparse.” TLG-498 ‘Cre-3487’ double-positive mice are referred to as “EGFP-sparse.” All experiments were approved by the institutional animal care and use committee of the Royal Netherlands Academy of Arts and Sciences.

Histology and Immunohistochemistry

Mice that were 8–10 weeks of age were anaesthetized with 0.1 mL/g bodyweight sodium pentobarbital (Janssen Laboratories, Beerse, Belgium) and perfused with 4% paraformaldehyde in phosphate buffered saline (PBS). Brains were dissected, postfixed for 2 h, and subsequently stored at 4°C in PBS. Coronal sections of 50 µm were made using a vibratome (Leica VT1000S, Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany). Free-floating sections were double-stained using mouse anti-NeuN or anti-GFP antibodies (1:500, Chemicon) and rabbit anti-parvalbumin (PV) (1:1000, Swant) followed by Alexa 488-conjugated goat anti-mouse antibodies (1:500, Molecular Probes) and Alexa 568 conjugated goat anti-rabbit antibodies (1:500, Molecular Probes).

Diolistics

DiI-coated Tefzel tubing was prepared as described earlier (Chakravarthy et al. 2006). Briefly, a mixture of 0.15 mg DiI (Molecular Probes), 50 µL methylene chloride, and 12 mg of 1.1 µm tungsten particles (Bio–Rad, Veenendaal, the Netherlands) was spread on a glass slide and air-dried. Subsequent to resuspension in 1 mL distilled water and sonication, the mixture was sucked into Tefzel tubing (Bio-Rad). The suspension was withdrawn after 2 min and nitrogen gas was passed to dry the tube. The tube was cut into 13-mm pieces and was used in a Helios Gene Gun (Bio-Rad) to shoot 50-µm coronal sections (prepared as described for immunohistochemistry) at 100 psi through a membrane filter of 3-µm pore size and 8 × 10⁵ pores/cm² (Corning, Acton, MA, USA). Sections were stored in PBS at room temperature for at least 12 h to ensure good filling of the labeled neurons.

Confocal Microscopy

DiI-labeled neurons from layer II/III of V1 were imaged using a Carl Zeiss CLSM 510 Meta confocal microscope (Zeiss, Göttingen, Germany) with HeNe (543 nm) laser. Only those DiI-labeled neurons that were also positive for TrkB.T1 were imaged in TrkB.T1-broad mice. In the littermate controls, all DiI-labeled pyramidal neurons from layer II/III were imaged. The dendritic segments after the first branch point of basal dendrites were imaged with a ×63 oil-immersion objective and at an optical zoom of ×2.5. Each image was a stack of 50 planes with 200-nm steps. Care was taken to ensure that the acquisition parameters were so adjusted that the entire detector range was used for the spines. PV-NeuN or PV-GFP double-stained sections were imaged with HeNe (543 nm) and Argon (488 nm) lasers. The images were acquired as nonoverlapping single planes with a ×63 oil-immersion objective using a pinhole of 80 µm.

Spine Classification and Image Analysis

The image stacks were subjected to 3D reconstruction using Zeiss CLSM 510 Meta. Dendritic protrusions were classified as spines (mushroom, long, stubby, and thin) and filopodia (Chakravarthy et al. 2006). All dendritic protrusions in a 15-µm segment of proximal basal dendrites 5 µm after the first branching point were counted and thus classified. Zeiss CLSM Image browser 5 overlay tools was used for size determinations. The head diameter was counted by using the longest straight line in the spine head. A bent-line tool was used to measure the length of the spine neck. Subsequent to image acquisition, all analysis was done blind to the genotype of the mice. Statistical significance was determined by using a multilevel analysis to accommodate nested data.

PV Bouton Analysis

PV boutons around NeuN- or GFP-positive neurons of layer II/III cells from V1 were counted using ImagePro after confocal images
were converted into 8-bit RGB TIFF files. The NeuN- or GFP-positive cells were marked using a free-drawing tool. Using customized macros, a 2-µm-wide ring was drawn around all NeuN- or GFP-positive cells. All cells whose edges were <2 µm from the border of the image were omitted from analysis. Automated counting was carried out to determine the number of PV boutons within the 2-µm ring. In short, the puncta were marked by applying median- and low-pass filters to inverted images of the red channel. A minimized image was created by selecting the minimum pixel intensity value for each pixel in median-and low-pass filtered images, providing a better edge selection. Low-pass filtered images were then subtracted from the resultant image and a fixed value of 150 was added to the intensity. Puncta were defined in images with a constraint of size, and were further defined by watershed transform (e.g., a dumbbell-shaped punctum was demarcated as 2 separate puncta). Finally, the number of puncta was counted between initial and expanded boundaries (within the 2-µm perisomatic ring) for each cell. Analysis was carried out blind to the identity of the genotype. Statistical significance was determined by using a multilevel analysis.

Slice Preparation and Electrophysiology

All slice electrophysiology was performed on >3-month-old transgenic mice and age-matched controls. For miniature excitatory postsynaptic current (mEPSC) recordings from pyramidal neurons, mice were anaesthetized using isoflurane and then decapitated, and their brains removed and chilled in ice-cold carbonated (95% O2/5% CO2) sucrose-based artificial cerebrospinal fluid (ACSF) containing 3.5 mM KCl, 2.4 mM CaCl2, 1.3 mM MgSO4, 1.2 mM KH2PO4, 10 mM glucose, 26 mM NaHCO3, and 212.5 mM sucrose. Slices were stored in carbonated normal ACSF containing 110 mM choline chloride, 7 mM MgCl2, and perfused with 5 mL ice-cold carbogenated choline chloride-based ACSF containing (mM): 120 CsMeSO3, 8 NaCl, 15 CsCl2, 10 TEA-Cl, 10 HEPES, 2 QX-314, 4 MgATP, 10 mM K2 phosphocreatine, 0.3 mM GTP. pH was set to 7.3, and osmolality to 290 mOsm. The reversal potential for chloride was at the holding potential, ensuring no interference from incoming mIPSCs. For experiments on fast-spiking interneuron mEPSCs, the GABA_A receptor antagonist GABAzine (1 µM; Ascent Scientific LTD) was added to the bath solution. The intracellular solution for recording mIPSCs contained 70 mM K-glucuronate, 70 mM KCl, 0.5 mM EGTA, 10 mM HEPES, 4 mM Mg-ATP, 4 mM K2 phosphocreatine, 0.4 mM GTP (PH 7.3 and 290 mOsm). Here, the α-Amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid (AMPA) receptor blocker 6,7-dinitroquinoxaline-2,3-dione disodium salt (DNQX; Ascent Scientific LTD), was applied in the extracellular solution (10 µM).

mEPSC/mIPSC Recording

For recordings in TrkB.T1-sparse and EGFP-sparse mice, transgene-expressing pyramidal neurons were identified based on EGFP fluorescence. For TrkB.T1-broad mice and their wild-type littermates, pyramidal neurons were identified based on their pyramidal morphology and typical regular firing pattern. Fast-spiking interneurons were identified based on their round morphology and typical fast-spiking firing pattern with strong after-hyperpolarization. To isolate action potential independent events, recordings were performed in the presence of 1 µM tetrodotoxin citrate (Ascent Scientific LTD, Bristol, UK). For spontaneous EPSC (sEPSC) and mEPSC recordings, the pipettes were filled with K-glucuronate internal solution containing 110 mM K-glucuronate, 10 mM KCl, 10 mM HEPES, 4 mM Mg-ATP, 10 mM K2 phosphocreatine, 0.3 mM GTP. pH was set to 7.3, and osmolality to 290 mOsm. The reversal potential for chloride was at the holding potential, ensuring no interference from incoming mIPSCs. For experiments on fast-spiking interneuron mEPSCs, the GABA_A receptor antagonist GABAzine (1 µM; Ascent Scientific LTD) was added to the bath solution. The intracellular solution for recording mIPSCs contained 70 mM K-glucuronate, 70 mM KCl, 0.5 mM EGTA, 10 mM HEPES, 4 mM Mg-ATP, 4 mM K2 phosphocreatine, 0.4 mM GTP (PH 7.3 and 290 mOsm). Here, the α-Amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid (AMPA) receptor blocker 6,7-dinitroquinoxaline-2,3-dione disodium salt (DNQX; Ascent Scientific LTD), was applied in the extracellular solution (10 µM).

mEPSC/mIPSC Analysis

Mini Analysis (Synaptosoft, Inc., Decatur, GA, USA) was used for analyzing mEPSCs and mIPSCs. For mEPSCs and mIPSCs onto pyramidal neurons, the amplitude threshold was set at 9 pA, which was >3× root-mean-square (RMS) noise in all recordings. For mEPSCs and sEPSCs onto fast-spiking interneurons, the amplitude threshold was set at 8 pA. After automatic detection of events by the software, each event was visually inspected. Recordings with a systematic drift in average mEPSC and mIPSC rise time of >10% were excluded. mEPSCs with rise times >3 ms were omitted. Interevent intervals and amplitude averages of mEPSCs and mIPSCs, and number of action potentials in response to depolarizing current injections in TrkB.T1-expressing neurons versus their controls were compared for statistical significance by using a multilevel analysis and repeated measures, two-way ANOVA, respectively.

AMPA/NMDA Ratios

For recording AMPA/N-Methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) ratios, layer II/III pyramidal neurons were patched with intracellular solution containing (mM): 120 CsMeSO3, 8 NaCl, 15 CsCl2, 10 TEA-Cl, 10 HEPES, 2 QX-314, 4 MgATP, 0.3 Na2GTP (pH 7.3). GABAzine (1 µM) was added to the extracellular solution to block GABA_A receptors, and glycine (10 µM) was added to saturate the NMDA receptor co-agonist binding site. EPSCs were evoked by extracellular stimulation using a monopolar stimulating pipette positioned 50–100 µm next to the soma of the recorded neuron. Stimulation position and strength (~20 µA for 0.2 ms) were adjusted to obtain an evoked AMPA with a smooth rise and decay. Then, EPSCs were recorded every 10 s with the neuron alternating between a holding potential of –80 and +40 mV. For analysis, 10–20 EPSCs at each holding potential were averaged. The size of the AMPA receptor component was defined as the peak
amplitude of the EPSC recorded at −80 mV, while the NMDA receptor component was defined as the response 30 ms later at +40 mV.

**Statistics**

Comparisons between control and transgenic groups were conducted using multilevel analysis to account for dependency of the observations in each mouse. In this case, a two-level multilevel model, where cell measurements are nested in mice was used (Aarts et al. 2014).

**Results**

**Mouse Models to Investigate Differential Effects of Sparse or Broad Expression of the Dominant-Negative TrkB.T1 Receptor**

To study the differential effects of TrkB.T1 expression in sparse or most cortical pyramidal neurons in the young adult visual cortex, we made use of mouse line TLT-817, which carries a transgene containing the Thy1-promoter-driving expression of TrkB.T1 fused to EGFP in a cre-dependent fashion. This line was crossed to 2 different cre-transgenic lines, which dictated whether the TrkB.T1-EGFP transgene was activated in sparse or most cortical pyramidal neurons. Both crosses have been described previously (Chakravarthy et al. 2006; Heimel et al. 2010). Crossed with the first line, the CaMKIIα-promoter-driven cre-expressing line cre3487, TrkB.T1-EGFP expression was limited to <1% of all neurons in a Golgi staining-like fashion and started around 6 weeks after birth, increasing further over the next few weeks (Chakravarthy et al. 2006) (Fig. 1A,B). At this age, neurite growth and retraction and synapse turnover have decreased to mature levels. Crossed with the second line, the KA1-promoter-driven cre-expressing line (G35-3),TrkB.T1-EGFP was expressed in 80–90% of all pyramidal neurons of the neocortex and hippocampus (Heimel et al. 2010) (Fig. 1C,D). Expression could first be observed around 5–6 weeks after birth in all extragranular layers of the neocortex and reached adult levels a few weeks later. Thus, these mouse lines showed expression of the same TrkB.T1-EGFP transgene, starting at practically the same age, but in different numbers of pyramidal neurons allowing us to directly compare morphological and electrophysiological properties of the transgene-expressing cells.

**Broad Expression of TrkB.T1 in Adult V1 Does Not Induce Changes in Spine Morphology or Density**

We have previously shown that expressing TrkB.T1 in sparse pyramidal neurons from layer II/III of adult V1 (8–10 weeks of age) results in the loss of large dendritic spines. This was characterized by a 60% reduction in large mushroom spines and 85% reduction in stubby spines while there was a 2- to 3-fold increase in long and thin spines and a 22-fold increase in filopodia. The average head size of spines was reduced by 40%, while spine length was increased by 30% (Chakravarthy et al. 2006). To investigate whether this was caused by a cell-autonomous process or induced by relative differences in TrkB signaling between cortical neurons, we analyzed spine morphology in adult V1 of TrkB.T1-broad mice also 8–10 weeks of age. Scattered neurons were labeled with DiI by diolistics (Benediktsson et al. 2005) in fixed slices of V1 (Fig. 2A). EGFP fluorescence was checked to verify that DiI-labeled cells also expressed TrkB.T1. In stark contrast to the situation in TrkB.T1 sparse mice, proximal basal dendrites of layer II/III pyramidal neurons of TrkB.T1-broad mice and littermate controls revealed no differences in the head size (0.67 ± 0.01 μm, n = 424 spines from 3 mice vs. 0.63 ± 0.01 μm, n = 543 spines from 5 mice P = 0.12) and length (0.79 ± 0.02 μm, n = 425, vs. 0.75 ± 0.02 μm, n = 543, P = 0.40) of dendritic spines (Fig. 2B). Also the densities and shapes of the spines (Fig. 2C) were similar in TrkB.T1-broad mice and littermate controls. TrkB.T1-broad mice and littermate controls had similar densities (all indicated as number of protrusions per 15 μm) of mushroom (10 ± 0.42, n = 37 dendrites, vs. 10.5 ± 0.34, n = 47, P = 0.32), stubby (0.28 ± 0.11 vs. 0.28 ± 0.11, P = 0.72), long (1.46 ± 0.22 vs. 1.93 ± 0.26, P = 0.44), and thin spines (0.27 ± 0.09 vs. 0.26 ± 0.08, P = 0.88) and filopodia (0.14 ± 0.06 vs. 0.04 ± 0.04, P = 0.32). The total protrusion density
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**Figure 1.** Sparse and broad expression of TrkB.T1-EGFP in the double transgenic mice. (A and B) A representative image of sparse expression of TrkB.T1 and schematic of the constructs used to generate the double transgenic mice. Cre-dependent, Thy1-promoter-driven TrkB.T1-EGFP transgene, and the CamKIIα-promoter-driven cre-recombinase transgene. (C and D) A representative image of broad expression of TrkB.T1 and schematic of the constructs used to generate the double transgenic mice. Cre-dependent, Thy1-promoter-driven TrkB.T1-EGFP transgene and the KA1-promoter-driven cre-recombinase transgene. The resulting offspring showed TrkB.T1-EGFP expression in all layers of the cortex except the granular layer (Layer IV). Scale bars in A and C are 75 and 250 μm, respectively.
Increased Excitatory Synapses but Unchanged Spontaneous Activity in Fast-Spiking Interneurons

The fact that spine densities and mEPSC frequencies and amplitudes in TrkB.T1-broad mice were normal, while they were strongly impaired in TrkB.T1-sparse mice suggests that competition for presynaptic partners still occurs in the adult visual cortex. We then asked the question whether inhibitory neurons participate in this competitive process. In TrkB.T1-broad mice, inhibitory neurons do not express the transgene and may thus have a competitive advantage over excitatory neurons, which could be reflected in an increase in the excitatory inputs they receive. We recorded mEPSCs in electrophysiologically defined fast-spiking interneurons (predominantly PV-expressing interneurons), identified by their high-frequency action potential firing upon current injection and characteristic action potential shape, of TrkB.T1-broad mice and control littermates (Fig. 3A). Indeed, we found that the average distribution of interval times between events was lower in TrkB.T1-broad mice (62.2 ± 4.9 ms, n = 8 cells from 5 mice) compared with controls (79.8 ± 5.3 ms, n = 10 cells from 5 mice, P = 0.02; Fig. 3B,C). mEPSC amplitudes were unchanged (21.3 ± 0.6 vs. 21.7 ± 1.1 pA, P = 0.76; Fig. 3D). These findings suggest that fast-spiking interneurons in TrkB.T1-broad mice have a larger number of excitatory synapses or that the probability of presynaptic vesicle release is increased.

We have previously shown that cortical activity in TrkB.T1-broad mice is reduced (Heimel et al. 2010). To understand what the combination of increased excitatory input and reduced network activity meant for the activity of fast-spiking interneurons, we assessed the spontaneous EPSCs onto fast-spiking interneurons, recorded in the absence of TTX. We found that there was no change in the interevent interval (TrkB.T1-broad: 57.1 ± 4.9 ms, n = 8 cells from 5 mice, vs. control littermates: 54.1 ± 3.8 ms, n = 10 from 5 mice, P = 0.61; Fig. 3E,F) or amplitude (TrkB.T1-broad: 26.6 ± 1.0 pA, control littermates: 29.0 ± 2.1 pA, P = 0.37, Fig. 3G) of sEPSCs. It should be noted that network activity in vitro is not necessarily a reflection of in vivo network activity. However, these findings do suggest that spontaneous excitatory activity in the visual cortex of TrkB.T1-broad mice is indeed decreased, causing the spontaneous activity of fast-spiking interneurons to be unaltered despite increased numbers of excitatory synapses.

Intrinsic Firing Properties and AMPA/NMDA Ratios Are Unchanged upon Broad Expression of TrkB.T1

To obtain a better understanding of the reduced network activity in TrkB.T1-broad mice that we observed previously (Heimel et al. 2010), we studied the intrinsic firing properties and AMPA/NMDA ratios in these mice. We found that the intrinsic firing properties of fast-spiking interneurons were similar in TrkB.T1-broad and control littermate mice, with no significant differences in the firing thresholds (TrkB.T1-broad: 70.3 ± 4.5 mV, n = 10 from 5 mice, vs. control littermates: 71.3 ± 4.1 mV, P = 0.57; Fig. 3H) or mean firing rates (TrkB.T1-broad: 101.8 ± 20.5 Hz, n = 8 from 5 mice, vs. control littermates: 107.1 ± 18.8 Hz, P = 0.61; Fig. 3I). Similarly, the AMPA/NMDA ratios of fast-spiking interneurons were not significantly different between TrkB.T1-broad and control littermate mice (TrkB.T1-broad: 1.05 ± 0.15, n = 7 from 5 mice, vs. control littermates: 1.01 ± 0.12, P = 0.69; Fig. 3J). These findings suggest that the reduced network activity in TrkB.T1-broad mice is not caused by changes in intrinsic firing properties or AMPA/NMDA ratios of fast-spiking interneurons.
controls. (Fig. 4). In TrkB.T1-broad mice, the mean number of action potentials in response to depolarizing current steps were not significantly different compared with their controls (TrkB.T1: n = 20 cells from 10 mice, vs. control: n = 10 cells from 7 mice, F_{1,59} = 0.15, P = 0.67; Fig. 4A). Also the resting membrane potential was unaltered (TrkB.T1: −70.8 ± 0.6 mV, n = 17 cells from 10 mice, vs. control: −71.0 ± 0.7 mV, n = 6 cells from 4 mice, P = 0.83; Fig. 4B), as were the threshold for initiation of action potential (TrkB.T1: −35.1 ± 0.91 mV, n = 13 cells from 8 mice, control: −34.2 ± 0.49 mV, n = 6 cells from 4 mice, P = 0.45; Fig. 4C), after-hyperpolarization (TrkB.T1: 6.24 ± 0.35 ms, n = 19 cells from 10 mice, vs. control: 6.62 ± 0.52 ms, n = 10 cells from 7 mice, P = 0.48; Fig. 4D), and time from spike onset to the peak of after-hyperpolarization (TrkB.T1: 3.0 ± 0.09 ms, n = 19 cells from 10 mice, vs. control: 3.12 ± 0.08 ms, n = 10 cells from 7 mice, P = 0.65; Fig. 4E). Input resistance did not differ between TrkB.T1-broad mouse and control littermates (TrkB.T1: 90.2 ± 5.5 MΩ, n = 19 cells from 10 mice vs. controls: 85.5 ± 12.9 MΩ, n = 10 cells from 7 mice, P = 0.60).

Another possible explanation for the reduced network activity could be a lower relative NMDA receptor contribution in excitatory synapses, which could reduce the supralinear integration of strong evoked stimulation (Larkum et al. 2009) while leaving weak mEPSCs unaffected. To investigate whether this was the case, we recorded AMPA/NMDA ratios in these mice. We found that the AMPA/NMDA ratio was unchanged in broadly expressing TrkB.T1 mice compared with wild-type littermates (0.37 ± 0.03, n = 10 cells from 3 mice, vs. 0.36 ± 0.03, n = 9 cells from 3 mice; P = 0.56; Fig. 4F). Together, these data show that the deficit in network activity observed in broadly expressing TrkB.T1 mice cannot be explained by a problem in postsynaptic NMDA receptor expression or by altered intrinsic firing properties of pyramidal neurons (see Discussion).

**Neurons in Broadly Expressing TrkB.T1 Mice Receive Less Inhibitory Input**

We next analyzed whether inhibitory synapses onto pyramidal neurons were also differentially affected by expression of TrkB.T1 in most or sparse pyramidal neurons in V1. We therefore assessed inhibitory input to layer II/III pyramidal neurons in V1 by whole-cell recordings in TrkB.T1-broad mice and littermate controls (Fig. 5A). We found that mIPSC frequencies were strongly decreased in TrkB.T1-broad mice. The average distribution of time intervals between events was increased in TrkB.T1-broad mice (474 ± 57 ms, n = 6 cells from 5 mice) compared with the controls (315 ± 30 ms, n = 18 cells from 11 mice, P = 0.02) (Fig. 5B, cumulative distribution of the mIPSC amplitudes are shown in Fig. 5D). Miniature IPSCs in TrkB.T1-broad mice showed no significant difference in amplitude compared with controls (26.8 ± 2.9 pA, n = 6 cells from 5 mice, vs. 26 ± 1.51 pA, respectively, n = 18 cells from 11 mice, P = 0.72; Fig. 5C, cumulative distribution of the mIPSC amplitudes are shown in Fig. 5E). These findings indicate that either the number of inhibitory synapses onto TrkB.T1-expressing neurons or the probability of presynaptic vesicle release of the inhibitory inputs was reduced. Because spontaneous excitatory input to inhibitory neurons is unaltered in TrkB.T1-broad mice, this suggests that cortical pyramidal neurons in these mice receive less inhibitory input.

**Inhibitory Input of Sparse TrkB.T1-Expressing Neurons Is Unaltered**

To understand whether this reduction in inhibitory input was regulated cell autonomously or depended on changes in neuronal activity at the network level, we also analyzed inhibitory synaptic input in sparse TrkB.T1-expressing neurons. To this end, mIPSCs were recorded in whole-cell configuration in

---

Figure 3. Miniature EPSCs in fast-spiking interneurons show an increase in frequency, while spontaneous EPSCs remain unchanged. (A) Sample recording of high-frequency action potentials from a fast-spiking (FS) interneuron elicited by current injection. (B) Representative mEPSCs, recorded from FS interneurons in TrkB.T1-broad mice and littermate controls. (C) Averages and cumulative distributions of interevent intervals in TrkB.T1-broad mice (n = 8 cells) and littermate controls (n = 10) show a significant difference (P = 0.03). (D) Averages and cumulative distributions of amplitudes of mEPSCs into FS interneurons in TrkB.T1-broad mice and littermate controls are not different (P = 0.76). (E) Representative spontaneous EPSCs in TrkB.T1-broad mouse and their littermate controls (F) and (G) Averages of interevent intervals (P = 0.61) and amplitudes (P = 0.37) of spontaneous EPSCs in TrkB.T1-broad mice (n = 8 cells) and their littermate controls (n = 10) are not significantly different.

2010), we investigated whether intrinsic firing properties of pyramidal neurons in these animals were changed. We did however not find any evidence for changes in the intrinsic properties of L2/3 pyramidal neurons or their likelihood to fire action potentials (Fig. 4). In TrkB.T1-broad mouse, the mean number of action potentials in response to depolarizing current steps were not significantly different compared with their controls (TrkB.T1: n = 20 cells from 10 mice, vs. control: n = 10 cells from 7 mice, F_{1,59} = 0.15, P = 0.67; Fig. 4A). Also the resting membrane potential was unaltered (TrkB.T1: −70.8 ± 0.6 mV, n = 17 cells from 10 mice, vs. control: −71.0 ± 0.7 mV, n = 6 cells from 4 mice, P = 0.83; Fig. 4B), as were the threshold for initiation of action potential (TrkB.T1: −35.1 ± 0.91 mV, n = 13 cells from 8 mice, control: −34.2 ± 0.49 mV, n = 6 cells from 4 mice, P = 0.45; Fig. 4C), after-hyperpolarization (TrkB.T1: 6.24 ± 0.35 ms, n = 19 cells from 10 mice, vs. control: 6.62 ± 0.52 ms, n = 10 cells from 7 mice, P = 0.48; Fig. 4D), and time from spike onset to the peak of after-hyperpolarization (TrkB.T1: 3.0 ± 0.09 ms, n = 19 cells from 10 mice, vs. control: 3.12 ± 0.08 ms, n = 10 cells from 7 mice, P = 0.65; Fig. 4E). Input resistance did not differ between TrkB.T1-broad mouse and control littermates (TrkB.T1: 90.2 ± 5.5 MΩ, n = 19 cells from 10 mice vs. controls: 85.5 ± 12.9 MΩ, n = 10 cells from 7 mice, P = 0.60).
We found that there was no change in the interevent intervals (567 ± 48 ms, \(n = 7\) cells from 5 mice vs. 598 ± 64 ms, \(n = 9\) cells from 8 mice; \(P = 0.54\); Fig. 6B), nor in mIPSC amplitudes (31.5 ± 1.64 pA, \(n = 7\) cells from 5 mice vs. 31.4 ± 1.3 pA, \(n = 9\) cells from 7 mice, \(P = 0.96\)) in TrkB.T1-expressing pyramidal neurons and their EGFP-expressing counterparts (Fig. 6C). We conclude that inhibitory synaptic inputs in sparse TrkB.T1-expressing neurons are unaffected. This implies that the decrease in inhibitory inputs observed in pyramidal neurons in TrkB.T1-broad mice is not mediated through a cell-autonomous mechanism, but that it is more likely a homeostatic compensation for reduced network activity.

Numbers of Perisomatic Boutons of Parvalbumin-Expressing Interneurons Are Only Reduced if TrkB.T1 Is Expressed in Most Pyramidal Neurons

The observation that the mIPSC frequency was reduced in pyramidal cells of TrkB.T1-broad mice could be explained by a reduction of inhibitory synapse numbers, which should be detectable by morphological analyses. We therefore quantified PV-positive inhibitory boutons surrounding pyramidal neurons in TrkB.T1-broad mice and control animals. We found that the number of boutons per cell was 25% lower in TrkB.T1-broad mice (9.6 ± 0.32, \(n = 110\) cells from 3 mice) when compared with their littermate controls (12.83 ± 0.41, \(n = 114\) cells from 3 mice; \(P = 0.041\); Fig. 7B). This suggests that the number of perisomatic inhibitory synapses is reduced when TrkB.T1 is expressed in most pyramidal neurons in V1.

Because individual pyramidal neurons expressing the TrkB.T1 transgene in TrkB.T1-sparse mice did not show any difference in mIPSC frequency or amplitude, we expected that these cells would not show this reduction in inhibitory synapse numbers. To confirm this, sections of V1 of TrkB.T1-sparse mice and EGFP-sparse control mice were stained for GFP and PV (Fig. 7A). We found that the number of puncta per cell was indeed not changed in TrkB.T1-sparse mice (12.67 ± 0.60, \(n = 31\) cells from 3 mice) compared with the EGFP-sparse controls (12.30 ± 0.45, \(n = 44\) cells from 6 mice, \(P = 0.69\); Fig. 7C). We conclude that inhibitory perisomatic boutons formed by PV-expressing interneurons are reduced only when most pyramidal neurons in V1 express the TrkB.T1 transgene.

Discussion

In this study, we show that expression of the dominant-negative BDNF receptor TrkB.T1 in the majority of pyramidal neurons in adult V1 has strikingly different effects on cortical wiring than expression of the same transgene in a sparse neuron population. Broad expression does not lead to the reduction of dendritic spine numbers and synaptic connections that occurs when sparse neurons express the transgene. Very recently, it was observed that reduced expression of neuroligin-1 (Kwon et al. 2012) or BDNF (English et al. 2012) in individual neurons in the developing cortex is also more detrimental to spine density than gene modification in all neurons. Together, these studies establish that relative differences in the levels of these synaptic signaling molecules are more important than their absolute levels for attracting presynaptic partners, and that this mechanism contributes to competition between postsynaptic neurons for presynaptic inputs.

An important conclusion from our study is that the competition of postsynaptic neurons for synaptic input in the cortex also...
occurs in adulthood. Earlier studies in hippocampal cultures have shown similar processes during network development (Burronie et al. 2002; Hartman et al. 2006). However, these studies suggested that this form of synaptic competition only occurs during early development, and that it is absent when synapse formation has already occurred. In our study, the expression of TrkB.T1 started at an age at which synapse formation and neurite outgrowth have reached mature levels, excluding developmental effects of defective BDNF signaling on neuronal morphology (Bibel and Barde 2000). Therefore, we conclude that in the visual cortex competition for postsynaptic partners is not limited to development but continues into adulthood. Interestingly, the apparent discrepancy of our findings with hippocampal culture experiments may represent a difference between cortex and hippocampus, as we have shown in an earlier study that extensive spine loss also does not occur in individual TrkB.T1-expressing CA1 neurons in vivo (Chakravarthy et al. 2006).

To our knowledge, it is unknown whether inhibitory neurons compete with excitatory neurons for presynaptic partners. Our finding that fast-spiking interneurons (which are mainly PV positive) in TrkB.T1-broad mice show an increased mEPSC frequency suggests that they may. These interneurons do not express the TrkB.T1 transgene. Therefore, it could be that if most neighboring excitatory neurons express this transgene, interneurons become more attractive postsynaptic partners. However, we cannot rule out other explanations for this observation.

Although spine densities and mEPSC frequencies and amplitudes in broad TrkB.T1-broad mice are normal, we previously found that excitatory synaptic transmission is hampered in these mice. Spontaneous and visually evoked activity in V1 of TrkB.T1-broad mice is reduced in vivo and evoked excitatory currents are reduced in cortical slices (Heimel et al. 2010). Such differences between evoked and miniature excitatory currents have been observed before and can be mediated by various underlying mechanisms (Fredj and Burronie 2009; Weber et al. 2010; Hua et al. 2011; Sara et al. 2011; Kavalali et al. 2011; Ramirez and Kavalali 2012; Raino et al. 2012; Ramirez et al. 2012). The cause of the reduced synaptic efficacy in TrkB.T1-broad mice is not clear. It could be that the increase in excitatory inputs to interneurons occurs in adulthood. Earlier studies in hippocampal cultures have shown similar processes during network development (Burronie et al. 2002; Hartman et al. 2006). However, these studies suggest that this form of synaptic competition only occurs during early development, and that it is absent when synapse formation has already occurred. In our study, the expression of TrkB.T1 started at an age at which synapse formation and neurite outgrowth have reached mature levels, excluding developmental effects of defective BDNF signaling on neuronal morphology (Bibel and Barde 2000). Therefore, we conclude that in the visual cortex competition for postsynaptic partners is not limited to development but continues into adulthood. Interestingly, the apparent discrepancy of our findings with hippocampal culture experiments may represent a difference between cortex and hippocampus, as we have shown in an earlier study that extensive spine loss also does not occur in individual TrkB.T1-expressing CA1 neurons in vivo (Chakravarthy et al. 2006).

To our knowledge, it is unknown whether inhibitory neurons compete with excitatory neurons for presynaptic partners. Our finding that fast-spiking interneurons (which are mainly PV positive) in TrkB.T1-broad mice show an increased mEPSC frequency suggests that they may. These interneurons do not express the TrkB.T1 transgene. Therefore, it could be that if most neighboring excitatory neurons express this transgene, interneurons become more attractive postsynaptic partners. However, we cannot rule out other explanations for this observation.

Although spine densities and mEPSC frequencies and amplitudes in broad TrkB.T1-broad mice are normal, we previously found that excitatory synaptic transmission is hampered in these mice. Spontaneous and visually evoked activity in V1 of TrkB.T1-broad mice is reduced in vivo and evoked excitatory currents are reduced in cortical slices (Heimel et al. 2010). Such differences between evoked and miniature excitatory currents have been observed before and can be mediated by various underlying mechanisms (Fredj and Burronie 2009; Weber et al. 2010; Hua et al. 2011; Sara et al. 2011; Kavalali et al. 2011; Ramirez and Kavalali 2012; Raino et al. 2012; Ramirez et al. 2012). The cause of the reduced synaptic efficacy in TrkB.T1-broad mice is not clear. It could be that the increase in excitatory inputs to interneurons...
leads to a stronger recruitment of feed-forward inhibition, which in turn could shunt excitatory synaptic transmission. However, in our view, this is an unlikely explanation, as we observed the weaker excitatory synaptic responses within 2–3 ms after stimulation, which is too early for disynaptic inhibitory input to be recruited (Heimel et al. 2010). Our results also exclude a change in excitability or postsynaptic NMDA receptor expression as a possible explanation (Madara and Levine 2008). Future experiments will thus need to determine which other mechanism may underlie the reduction in evoked excitatory currents in TrkB.T1-broad mice.

Apart from the different effects of sparse and broad expression of TrkB.T1 on excitatory synapses, we found that inhibitory synapses onto TrkB.T1 expressing cells are also affected differentially in these 2 mouse lines, but in the opposite way. Morphological analysis and mIPSC recordings showed that inhibitory inputs onto pyramidal neurons were reduced when TrkB.T1 is expressed broadly in V1. Inhibitory inputs onto sparse neurons expressing the transgene remain unchanged, however. This means that the reduction of inhibitory inputs in TrkB.T1-broad mice cannot be mediated through cell-autonomous downregulation of inhibitory synapses by TrkB.T1-expressing pyramidal cells. The loss of inhibitory synapses also cannot be explained by defective TrkB signaling in interneurons themselves (Rutherford et al. 1997, 1998; Swanwick et al. 2006) as they do not express the transgene in TrkB.T1-broad mice. The loss of inhibitory innervation must thus depend on changes in network activity of cortical neurons.

Possibly, the decreased number of inhibitory synapses is a homeostatic response to the previously observed reduction of excitatory synaptic efficacy and population activity in TrkB-T1-broad mice in vivo (Heimel et al. 2010). This would be in line with previous studies showing changes in inhibitory synaptic transmission as a homeostatic scaling mechanism (Kilman et al. 2002; Knott et al. 2002; Karmarkar and Buonomano 2006; Bartley et al. 2008; Gandhi et al. 2008; Maffei and Turrigiano 2008; Maffei et al. 2010; Keck et al. 2011; Yang et al. 2011). An interesting hypothesis about how inhibitory neurons may normalize network activity is that they normalize their own activity by adjusting their output. In this scenario, reduced activity of few excitatory neurons does not affect population activity, and the output of PV interneurons will thus not be adjusted. If many excitatory neurons are less active, however, PV interneurons receive less input and reduce the number of inhibitory synapses they form. Because PV interneurons are driven by the pooled activity of surrounding neurons (Kerlin et al. 2010; Hofer et al. 2011) and form powerful inhibitory synapses onto the cell bodies and proximal dendrites of their postsynaptic targets they are in an excellent position to control network activity through this mechanism. The observation that in the TrkB.T1-broad mice this homeostatic mechanism does not manage to completely normalize cortical activity could be due to the increase in excitatory synapses that we observe in fast-spiking interneurons of these mice. This may cause these interneurons to “overestimate” the amount of network activity and consequently reduce their output insufficiently.

In conclusion, we demonstrate that adjustments of both inhibition and excitation only occur when TrkB/BDNF signaling is reduced in many neurons in the cortex, effectively normalizing overall cortical connectivity and activity while maintaining differences in postsynaptic drive. We find that competition occurs between neighboring excitatory neurons and possibly also between excitatory and inhibitory neurons. Finally, we show that if network activity is compromised, homeostatic mechanisms such as reducing synaptic inhibition are in place to restore activity to normal levels. These forms of homeostatic plasticity differ strongly from those that regulate excitatory or inhibitory input at a cell-autonomous level, as cell-autonomous mechanisms would result in the disappearance of differences in postsynaptic drive between neurons. The fact that the homeostatic mechanisms we describe here only become apparent when many neurons are challenged may explain why synaptic deficits observed in neuronal cultures, caused by genetic targeting of low numbers of neurons, can sometimes not be observed in transgenic or knockout mouse models in which many or all neurons are targeted (Okamura et al. 2004; Bozdagi et al. 2010). Finally, we show that both synaptic competition and adjustment of inhibitory innervation remain active in the adult cortex. This may have important consequences for our understanding of the pathogenesis of neuronal diseases as the continued activity of both processes can mask deficits in synaptic transmission over prolonged periods of time during disease progression.
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