Evolution of the Quiescent Disk Surrounding a Superoutburst of the Dwarf Nova TW Virginis

Zhibin Dai, Paula Szkody, and Peter M. Garnavich

1 Yunnan Observatories, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 396 Yangfangwang, Guandu District, Kunming, 650216, People’s Republic of China
2 Key Laboratory for the Structure and Evolution of Celestial Objects, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 396 Yangfangwang, Guandu District, Kunming, 650216, People’s Republic of China
3 Center for Astronomical Mega-Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 20A Datun Road, Chaoyang District, Beijing, 100012, People’s Republic of China
4 University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, No.19(A) Yuquan Road, Shijingshan District, Beijing, 100049, People’s Republic of China
5 University of Washington, Seattle, WA, 98195, USA
6 University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, IN, 46556, USA

Received 2020 August 27; revised 2020 October 24; accepted 2020 November 8; published 2020 December 16

Abstract

In this paper, we investigate portions of the Kepler K2 Short Cadence light curve of the dwarf nova (DN) TW Vir at quiescence, using light-curve modeling. The light curve was separated into 24 sections, each with a data length of ~0.93 days, comprising 4 sections before, and 20 after a superoutburst (SO). Due to morphological differences, the quiescent orbital modulation is classified into three types. Using a fixed disk radius and the two component stellar parameters, all 24 synthetic disk models from these sections show a consistent configuration, consisting of a disk and two hotspots: one at the vertical side of the edge of the disk and the other on the surface of the disk. Before the SO, the disk and a ringlike surface-hotspot are suddenly enhanced, triggering a precursor, and then the SO. At the end of the quiescent period following the SO and before the first normal outburst, the edge-hotspot becomes hotter, while the surface-hotspot switches into a “coolspot” with a coverage of nearly half of the disk’s surface. During quiescence, the surface-hotspot is always located at the outer part of the disk, with a constant radial width. A flat radial temperature distribution of the disk is found, and appears flatter when approaching the outburst. Like many U Gem-type DN with orbital periods of 3–5 hr, the mass transfer rate is significantly lower than the predictions of the standard/revised models of CV evolution.

Unified Astronomy Thesaurus concepts: Interacting binary stars (801); Cataclysmic variable stars (203); Dwarf novae (418); U Geminorum stars (1732); Accretion (14); White dwarf stars (1799); Light curves (918)

1. Introduction

Dwarf novae (DN) constitute a subclass of cataclysmic variables (CVs; Warner 2003), semi-detached interacting binaries in which a Roche lobe-filling secondary star transfers matter to a more massive white dwarf with a weak magnetic field (B < 10^8 G). The transferred material spreads into a disk surrounding the white dwarf via a viscous process, and a hot and bright spot is created where the mass transfer stream strikes the disk. One interesting observational feature of DN is the existence of two types of large-amplitude and quasi-periodic outbursts: frequent normal outbursts (NO), and occasional superoutbursts (SO). Compared with an NO, the SO has a larger amplitude (~0.7 mag higher than the maximal light of an NO) and a longer duration time (about two weeks).

Based on a typical α-disk model (Shakura & Sunyaev 1973), both the NO and the SO are described as viscosity changes. The disk instability model (DI; Osaki 1974; Lasota 2001) and the mass transfer instability model (MTI; Bath 1975) have been used to describe the NO. The thermal–tidal instability model (TTI; Osaki 1989, 1996), the enhanced mass transfer model (EMT; Smak 2004, 2008, 2009), and the pure thermal instability model (PTI; Cannizzo et al. 2010, 2012) were developed to explain the SO. Many tests of these models (see, Smak 2013; Osaki & Kato 2013, 2014) have been conducted to judge the most plausible mechanism for both NO and SO (Idan et al. 2010; Ramsay et al. 2017; Dubus et al. 2018). Most of the tests support the DI model, in which an NO with an amplitude of 2–5 mag, lasting a few days to a few weeks, is attributed to the continuous pileup of disk material transferred from the secondary star; when the annulus of the disk exceeds the local critical surface density, the propagation of a heating front rapidly ignites the whole disk. This means that the NO switches from a cold and low-viscosity disk to a hot and high-viscosity disk. Further modifications to the DI model are required to solve the remaining problems (see Smak 2000; Bgkowska & Olech 2014).

TW Vir is a well known U Gem-type DN (O’Connell 1932). The short cadence (SC; 1 minute sampled) light curve observed during Campaign 1 of the Kepler K2 mission (K2-C1; Howell et al. 2014) observed a complete SO and two incomplete NO (Dai et al. 2016). Dai et al. (2017) used the quiescent portions of this light curve to improve the orbital period of TW Vir to 0.182682(3)d, and to search for orbital modulation, finding a double-hump orbital modulation similar to many other low-inclination DN (e.g., 1RXS J0632+2536 and RZ Leo (Dai et al. 2016)). Using a synthetic program, XRBinary developed by E. L. Robinson, and a nonlinear fitting code, NMfit, Dai et al. (2018) were able to reproduce the averaged double-hump orbital modulation of TW Vir with a disk model consisting of a disk and two hotspots (one at the vertical side of the edge of the disk, and the other on the surface of the disk). Although XRBinary was...
The complete K2-C1 SC light curve of TW Vir in K2 magnitudes. The stable quiescent light curves before the first NO, covering a duration of ∼35 days, interrupted by the SO lasting ∼15 days, are divided into two parts: pre-SO and post-SO, indicated by the bold vertical dashed lines. The blue and black dashed areas refer to the last section (a4) before the SO and the first section (b1) after the SO, respectively.

Figure 1. The complete K2-C1 SC light curve of TW Vir in K2 magnitudes. The stable quiescent light curves before the first NO, covering a duration of ∼35 days, interrupted by the SO lasting ∼15 days, are divided into two parts: pre-SO and post-SO, indicated by the bold vertical dashed lines. The blue and black dashed areas refer to the last section (a4) before the SO and the first section (b1) after the SO, respectively.
secondary humps are “noisy.” The remaining eight sections shown in the bottom panel of Figure 4 illustrate a type-III modulation, with a plateau rather than a typical secondary hump around phase 0.25. All three types of modulation commonly show a stable primary hump at phase 0.75, and a highly variable secondary hump around phase 0.25. Note that the changes in the three types of light curve are not in a successive order, but are probably related to stochastic processes caused by the white dwarf’s accretion, illustrating the variability in a typical CV during quiescence.

Figure 2. The first half of the quiescent 24 sections (a1-a4 and b1-b8) in normalized magnitude, obtained from the K2-C1 SC light curve of TW Vir. The black, red, and blue light curves correspond to the type-I, -II, and -III modulations shown in Figure 4, respectively. The vertical dotted lines are the separators between the two continuous sections.
3. Synthetic Analysis

To further prepare the data for input to XRBinary, all 24 normalized and phased light curves were binned with a uniform phase resolution of 0.01. The model-2irra and the disk height, following a power-law function with a fixed index of 1.1, are the same as those in Dai et al. (2018). The parameters in the disk model of TW Vir derived by Dai et al. (2018) is preset to the initial parameters of the nonlinear fitting code NMfit. To focus on the disk variations before and after the SO, the six

![Figure 3. The second half of the 24 sections (b9-b20). The colors of the light curves are the same as those in Figure 2.](image)
parameters $q$, $i$, $M_{\text{edd}}$, $T_{\text{ed}}$, $R_{\text{in}}$, and $R_{\text{out}}$ are fixed during the calculations using NMfit, similarly to the no-filter and $V$ band disk models for KZ Gem in Dai et al. (2020). Since the TTI model implies that a slowly precessing eccentric disk is not present at quiescence, the eccentricity of the disk is neglected in our disk model. The parameter uncertainties are estimated using the same method as that used in Dai et al. (2018).

All 17 parameters, consisting of 6 fixed and 11 adjustable parameters, are listed in Table 1. The three adjustable parameters, $H_{\text{edge}}$, $\mu$, and $L_{\text{ad}}$ indicate that the radial effective temperature distribution of the disk changes during the 24 disk models, corresponding to sections (a1–a4 and b1–b20). Including the two parameters $T_{\text{inner}}$ (disk temperatures at $R_{\text{in}}$) and $T_{\text{outer}}$ (disk temperatures at $R_{\text{out}}$), five parameters are used to describe the disk without hotspots. The edge-hotspot can be described by the three parameters $T_{\text{es}}$, $C_{\text{es}}$, and $W_{\text{es}}$. For the surface-hotspot, the two parameters $C_{\text{ss}}$ and $W_{\text{ss}}$, which are the centering phase and full width of the surface-hotspot in the angular direction, respectively, replace $\zeta_{\text{ssmin}}$ and $\zeta_{\text{ssmax}}$. In order to directly model implies that a slowly precessing eccentric disk is not present at quiescence, the eccentricity of the disk is neglected in our disk model. The parameter uncertainties are estimated using the same method as that used in Dai et al. (2018).

All 17 parameters, consisting of 6 fixed and 11 adjustable parameters, are listed in Table 1. The three adjustable parameters, $H_{\text{edge}}$, $\mu$, and $L_{\text{ad}}$ indicate that the radial effective temperature distribution of the disk changes during the 24 disk models, corresponding to sections (a1–a4 and b1–b20). Including the two parameters $T_{\text{inner}}$ (disk temperatures at $R_{\text{in}}$) and $T_{\text{outer}}$ (disk temperatures at $R_{\text{out}}$), five parameters are used to describe the disk without hotspots. The edge-hotspot can be described by the three parameters $T_{\text{es}}$, $C_{\text{es}}$, and $W_{\text{es}}$. For the surface-hotspot, the two parameters $C_{\text{ss}}$ and $W_{\text{ss}}$, which are the centering phase and full width of the surface-hotspot in the angular direction, respectively, replace $\zeta_{\text{ssmin}}$ and $\zeta_{\text{ssmax}}$. In order to directly

Figure 4. From top to bottom: black, red, and blue phased light curves denote the type-I, -II, and -III orbital modulations in a normalized flux, folded on the orbital period of 0.182682(3)d. The line colors are the same as those in Figures 2 and 3.
describe the temperature of the surface-hotspot, the parameter $T_{\text{ratio}}$ is replaced with group parameters $T_{es0}$ (temperature of the disk surface surrounding surface-hotspot) and $T_{es}$ (temperature of surface-hotspot), similarly to the other group parameters $R_{s0d}$ and $R_{ss}$ which indicate the position of the surface-hotspot in the radial direction. Thus, a surface-hotspot needs six parameters: $C_{ss}, W_{ss}, T_{ssd0}, T_{ss}, R_{s0d}$, and $R_{ss}$. With the luminosity of the two hotspots ($L_{ss} = L_{e} - L_{es}$), where $L_{e}$ is the luminosity of the disk with hotspots) and mass transfer rate from the secondary star to the disk ($M_{\text{in}} \approx L_{\text{acc}} R_{\text{out}} / G M_{\text{wd}}$, where $L_{\text{acc}}$ is the luminosity of the edge-hotspot), there are a total of 16 parameters describing the disk model of TW Vir.

The secondary star in the typical 2D CV configuration (the middle panels of Figures 5 and 6) visualized by Phoebe 2.0\textsuperscript{11} can be omitted due to its identical size in all 24 disk models. To visualize the two hotspots on the disk, both are filled with black and dark blue rather than the color referred to in the color bar, because most of the temperature differences between the two hotspots and the surrounding regions of the disk are not large enough to clearly distinguish the hotspots from the disk. The black surface-hotspot shown in the bottom panel of Figure 6 (b20) is an exception, denoting a lower temperature than that of the rest of the disk. The normalized and relative flux contributions from the four components, i.e., two component stars, the disk, and two hotspots, are overlapped in the right-hand panels of Figures 5 and 6. Their zero-points\textsuperscript{12} are plotted in Figure 7. Despite the highest zero-point of the relative flux contribution being from the disk, the disk only causes a small-amplitude sinusoidal modulation. The two component stars also only show a small-amplitude ellipsoidal modulation. Hence, the two hotspots dominate the morphology of the modulation. The edge-hotspot located near phase 0.75 explains the stable primary hump, while the surface-hotspot, with its changing position, gives rise to the variable secondary hump. Although the surface-hotspot changes very fast and without any apparent order, we found an interesting relationship between the peaks of the surface-hotspot contributions and the corresponding phases, as plotted in Figure 8. This diagram shows the three types of modulations, separated into three different phase regions. Note that no peak contribution appears in the phase range of 0.2 ~ 0.5.

The relative measurements of the goodness of fit, $\chi^2$ (i.e., the variance between the calculated and observed light curves) for all 24 disk models shown in Figure 9 are in the range of 6 ~ 21. There are only two disk models (a1 and b12) where $\chi^2 > 20$, and the average $\chi^2$ is ~10.7.

### 4. Quiescent Disk Evolution

Investigating the variations in all 16 parameters of the disk model of TW Vir shown in Figures 10 and 11 produces an evolution scenario of the quiescent disk around the SO. The SO separates the disk evolution into two stages in time order: pre-SO\textsuperscript{13} from quiescent to the SO, and post-SO to the next NO. The former stage covers ~4 days (a1~a4), far shorter than the latter stage with a coverage of ~20 days (b1~b20).

#### 4.1. Pre-SO

##### 4.1.1. Disk

Inspection of Figure 7 indicates that the relative flux contributions (from large to small) are from the disk, the surface-hotspot, the two component stars, and the edge-hotspot. In the last model (a4, ~2 days prior to the peak of the precursor), the faint, cool disk suddenly switches to bright and hot. Assuming that $T_{\text{inner}}$ and $T_{es}$ are the indicators of the disk–white dwarf (mass accretion from the disk to the white dwarf occurring in the inner part of the disk) and stream–disk interactions (mass transfer from the secondary star to the disk occurring in the outer part of the disk), the decrease of $T_{\text{inner}}$ and the small-amplitude variations in $T_{es}$ from the a1 to a3 models indicate a surprising decrease in mass accretion, but stable mass transfer. This implies that the disk continues to accumulate material preparatory to the upcoming SO, supporting the DI model (Osaki 1974; Lasota 2001), which requires a

\textsuperscript{11} The version of Phoebe used for the CV plotting is 2.0a2 (Prša et al. 2016).

\textsuperscript{12} Since the zero-points of the flux contributions from the edge-hotspot in all 24 disk models are equal to zero, they are replaced with the peaks of the flux contributions.

\textsuperscript{13} In a strict sense, the four disk models (panels (a1)–(a4) of Figure 5) only show the disk variations before the precursor rather than the SO. This precursor, with a light maximum ~0.5 mag lower than the following SO, is like the typical SU UMa-type DN (e.g., V1504 Cyg and V344 Lyr (Cannizzo et al. 2010, 2012; Osaki & Kato 2013, 2014)).
continuous accumulation of material to form a propagating and heating front on the disk. A stable $M_t$ (panel (e) of Figure 10) further supports the DI model, rather than the EMT model\(^\text{14}\) (Smak 1991, 2004, 2008).

\(^{14}\) We cannot totally rule out the possibility that the enhanced mass transfer required by the EMT model appears during the following rising branch of the precursor.

### 4.1.2. Hotspots

The larger increment of the luminosity of the two hotspots ($L_{hs}$) from the a3 to the a4 model than that of $L_{d0}$ suggests that the two hotspots generate the increase in system light before the SO. Compared with the moderate edge-hotspot, the surface-hotspot located at phase $\sim 0.3$, abruptly becomes much hotter and brighter in the a4 disk model (the beginning of the

**Figure 5.** The first half of the 24 disk models, corresponding to the sections shown in Figure 2. Phased and binned light curves, superimposed with the best-fitting light curves, are plotted in the left-hand panels. The small vertical solid lines plotted in the top right corner of all the left-hand panels denote the error bars of the binned light curves. The fluxes of all light curves are normalized. The median time of each section is labeled on the Y-axis of the left-hand panels. The colors of the light curves are the same as those in Figure 2. All the middle panels show their corresponding 2D disk configurations at phase 0.75, using Phoebe 2.0. The colors in the 2D disk configuration denote the effective temperatures in units of 1000 K. The secondary star is located on the right-hand side of the disk in a clockwise rotation direction. The relative flux contributions from four model components are plotted in the right-hand panels. The dotted and short dashed lines refer to the relative flux contributions from the two stellar components (white and red dwarfs) and the disk without the hotspots, respectively. The solid and long dashed lines denote the relative flux contributions from the edge-hotspot and the surface-hotspot, respectively, indicating which component is contributing to the actual light curve.
precursor), due to a significant enhancement of $T_{ss}$ and an increase in the relative flux contribution from 25% to $\sim$40%. The contributions from the disk and the edge-hotspot reach $\sim$40%, and $\sim$6%, respectively, while the contribution from the two component stars in the a4 model suddenly drops to its lowest level of $\sim$9%. The 2D CV configurations shown in the middle panels (a1)–(a4) of Figure 5 visually demonstrate that the surface-hotspot extends to almost comprise an annulus on the disk surface. Thus, we speculate that the surface-hotspot may be related to a heating front on the disk. When the surface-hotspot becomes hotter at the outer part of the disk, a heating front may be excited, which then propagates the inner part of the disk inward to finally ignite the precursor and the proceeding SO. The inward-propagating front causes the fast-rising light, which is the signature of an outside-in outburst. This shape is similar to the two NO that follow the SO. All three outbursts being of an outside-in variety is consistent with the predictions of the TTI model (Osaki 1989, 1996, 2005; Osaki & Kato 2013).

4.2. Post-SO

4.2.1. Disk

In the first two models after the SO (b1 and b2), $T_{inner}$ significantly decreases from $\sim$9400 K (in the a4 model before the SO) to $\sim$5700 K after the SO, then gradually increases up to
a maximum of $\sim 16,000$ K in the b4 model. Based on the b5 to b13 models, $T_{\text{inner}}$ shows a small amplitude fluctuation around an average of $9400$ K. After that, $T_{\text{inner}}$ continuously decreases to $\sim 6200$ K, similarly to the b1 and b2 models. In contrast to the large amplitude variations in $T_{\text{inner}}$, $T_{\text{outer}}$ is almost constant at $\sim 4000$ K, with only a small amplitude variation. Closer inspection of Figure 7 shows that the disk dominates the system light, similarly to the situation before the SO. A significant increase in the relative flux contribution from the disk after the SO implies that the disk brightened by the SO cannot immediately dim to its previous quiescent state prior to the SO.

4.2.2. Hotspots

Panel (b) of Figure 10 shows that the luminosity of the two hotspots significantly drops to a low, almost constant level,
indicating two stable hotspots after the SO. The edge-hotspot, with a nearly constant peak in relative flux contribution ($\sim 8\%$ shown in Figure 7), is present around phase 0.75 (the right panels of Figures 5 and 6). An inspection of panel (g) in Figure 11 indicates that the edge-hotspot becomes wider when approaching the SO, then has an average width of 0.2 phase after the b5 model. The surface-hotspot, located at the outer part of the disk ($R_{ss0} > 0.77 R_{out}$), has an almost constant width of 0.23 $R_{out}$ in the radial direction. Assuming that this hotspot results from the release of gravitational potential energy on the surface of the disk, caused by inward material flow through the disk (see Cannizzo et al. 1988; Frank et al. 2002; Warner 2003), it could appear when the inward material flow crosses the low- to high-density region. The near-constant inner radius of the surface-hotspot ($R_{ss0}$) implies that this inward material flow encounters a stable transition region of disk density at 0.77 $R_{out}$ ($\sim 57 R_{wd}$), causing an increase in the local temperature, and finally forming the hotspot. In spite of the constant radial position of the surface-hotspot shown in panel (b) of Figure 11, the surface-hotspot shows conspicuous differences before and after the SO, indicated by the variations in $T_{ss}$, $C_{ss}$, and $W_{ss}$. The relative flux contribution from the surface-hotspot decreases from $\sim 40\%$ (a4) to $\sim 1\%$ (b1), the smallest contributor to the system light shown in Figure 7. The two panels (d) and (f) in Figure 11 show that during the b1–b4 models, the surface-hotspot with a low average temperature of 5500 K, gradually shifts from phase 0.7 to 0.4, close to that before the SO. Between

Figure 8. The relationship between the peaks of the relative flux contributions from the surface-hotspot and the corresponding phases are plotted. The colors are the same as those in Figure 4. The two vertical dashed lines indicate phases 0.8 and 1.2, respectively. The horizontal dashed line refers to the relative flux contribution of 3%. All 24 sections are separated into three regions: type-II modulations falling into the left region with a phase range of 0.5 $\sim$ 0.8, and type-I and -III modulations falling into the middle two regions lower and higher than 3%, with a phase range of 0.8 $\sim$ 1.2. No data appears in the right region with a range of 1.2 $\sim$ 1.5.

Figure 9. Diagram of $\chi^2$ against time. The dashed line refers to the average.
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15 The abnormal b20 model is excluded, and a small width of $<0.08R_{out}$ only appears in the b15 and b17 models.
the b5 and b18 models, the surface-hotspot with a higher temperature remains at phase $\sim 0.4$.

5. Discussion

5.1. Hotspots before the NO

The last disk model (b20) before the NO shows two hotspots on a normal disk, with a relative flux contribution increasing to $\sim 70\%$. The edge-hotspot located at phase 0.75, similarly to that in the previous models, shows the highest temperature, $T_{es} \sim 10^4$ K, and the smallest width in the angular direction, $W_{es} \sim 0.07$ phase. Assuming that this enhanced $T_{es}$ is caused by the increased mass transfer rate from the secondary star, the disappearance of a stable orbital modulation in the following NO interval may be explained by unstable mass transfer.\(^{16}\)

In opposition to the edge-hotspot, the surface-hotspot, with a similar position and width in the angular direction, shows the largest coverage, $0.93 R_{out}$, in the radial direction (i.e., $R_{so}$ is suddenly lowered from an average of $\sim 57 R_{wd}$ to $\sim 5 R_{wd}$ close to the white dwarf’s surface), and the lowest temperature, $T_{ss} \sim 4000$ K, noticeably below $T_{so} \sim 5000$ K. Thus, the surface-hotspot is actually a “coolspot,”\(^{17}\) as visualized in panel (b20) of Figure 6. Assuming that the other half of the disk with the higher temperature is regarded as a surface-hotspot (i.e., the “coolspot” is opposite its normal position on the disk), this anomalous surface-hotspot could imply that the disk is on the way to switching to a hot status (i.e., the following NO).

5.2. Quiescent Disk Temperature Distribution

Based on the energy dissipation rate in the disk, Frank et al. (2002) proposed that the effective temperature of a steady-state optically thick disk is a power-law function of the disk radius ($T_{disk} \propto R_{disk}^{-3/4}$) under a constant mass transfer rate from the secondary star. An inspection of panel (f) of Figure 10 indicates that the quiescent disk of TW Vir is not a steady-state thick disk, due to its derived flat radial temperature distribution (Figure 12). Along with the variations in $T_{inner}$, the power index shows an opposite variation, in that $\mu$ continuously increases to near zero before the SO (a1–a4), then decreases from near zero (b1 and b2) to a minimum of $-0.38$ (b4), oscillates around an

\(^{16}\) Although this assumption seems to support the MTI model (Smak 1991), the K2-C1 data gap after BJD 2456846.84 prevents any further investigation of the following variations in the disk and hotspots.

\(^{17}\) To recheck this abnormal surface-hotspot, we carried out several trials with different initial parameter sets to search for other possible results with a normal $T_{so}$. Although some convergent results were obtained, the best-fitting model shows the lowest $\chi^2 \sim 7.8$. 

Figure 10. The variations in six parameters of the disk model: $L_{disk}, L_{hs}, T_{inner}, T_{outer}, M_{tr}$, and $\mu$ are plotted. The upper and lower lines in panel (f) correspond to $\mu = -0.00$ and $-0.75$, respectively. The light gray filled rectangle indicates a 15 day SO. The colors of the symbols are consistent with those of the light curves in Figures 2 and 3.
average of \(-0.22\) (b5–b13), and finally recovers to be near zero again at the end of the quiescence before the NO. This implies that the disk temperature distribution of TW Vir is much flatter when approaching an outburst, similarly to the short-period DN V4140 Cyg, with \(\mu \approx -0.36\) in quiescence and \(\mu \approx -0.25\) in outburst (Borges & Baptista 2005). In contrast, the radial temperature distributions of Z Cha and OY Car are flat in quiescence, where \(\mu > -0.2\), and almost follow the power-law function of a steady-state optically thick disk in outburst, with \(\mu < -0.7\) (Horne 1985; Wood 1990; Rutten et al. 1992). Idan et al. (2010) further claimed that all observed DN in quiescence have flat radial temperature profiles, which is in excellent agreement with the prediction of the DI model.

According to the standard DI model, the two critical effective temperatures, \(T_{+\text{crit}}\) and \(T_{-\text{crit}}\), corresponding to the principal critical values of the minimal and maximal surface densities of the disk, \(\Sigma_{\text{min}}/\Sigma_{\text{max}}\), can be estimated by the following two formulas (Lasota 2001):

\[
T_{+\text{crit}} = 7200 \alpha_H^{-0.002} \left(\frac{M_{\text{wd}}}{M_\odot}\right)^{0.03} \left(\frac{R_{\text{disk}}}{10^6 \text{cm}}\right)^{-0.08} \text{Kelvin, } \tag{1}
\]

\[
T_{-\text{crit}} = 5800 \alpha_C^{-0.001} \left(\frac{M_{\text{wd}}}{M_\odot}\right)^{0.03} \left(\frac{R_{\text{disk}}}{10^6 \text{cm}}\right)^{-0.09} \text{Kelvin, } \tag{2}
\]

where \(M_{\text{wd}} = 1.1 M_\odot\) for TW Vir (Dai et al. 2018), and \(\alpha_H\) and \(\alpha_C\) are the two constant disk viscosities (Shakura & Sunyaev 1973) on
Figure 12. The short-dashed lines denote the radial effective temperature distributions of the averaged, \(a_4\) and \(b_1\) disk models. The three long-dashed lines refer to the radial effective temperature distributions of a steady-state optically thick disk under three constant mass transfer rates: \(10^{-9}, 10^{-10}\), and \(10^{-11}\), in units of \(M_\odot\) yr\(^{-1}\), marked by the digits superimposed on the lines. The outer disk region located at the right side of the vertical dotted line at \(R_{\text{disk}} \sim 0.2 R_{\text{out}}\) shows where \(T_{\text{disk}} < T_{\text{crit}}\) in quiescence.

Figure 13. Mass transfer rates as a function of the orbital period. The red and blue lines refer to the mass transfer rates expected by the standard and revised models (Knigge et al. 2011), respectively. The constellation names represent the CVs listed in Table 2.


## Table 2

| CV name | aSubtype | bMₜ |
|---------|----------|------|
| BD Pav  | UG       | 1.1±0.2 |
| GY Cnc  | UG       | 2.1±0.3 |
| PY Per  | UGZ      | 2.0±1.2 |
| V729 Sgr| UG       | 3.2±2.0 |
| V513 Peg | UG      | 3.6±2.2 |
| V811 Cyg | UGSS    | 3.3±2.1 |
| aTWVir  | UG       | 1.6±0.7 |

### Notes.

a The constellation names are marked in Figure 13.
b Abbreviations are the same as those in Table A.2 in Dubus et al. (2018).
c The unit of Mₜ is 10⁻¹⁰ Mₒ yr⁻¹.
d Calculated in this paper.

## 6. Conclusions

The quiescent K2-C1 SC light curve of TW Vir, separated into 24 sections with an optimal data length of 0.93 days, including 4 before and 20 after an SO, shows morphological differences that can be roughly classified into three types, based on different levels of the light minima. Changes in orbital modulation appear randomly, but a stable primary hump caused by an edge-hotspot is present at phase 0.75, while a lower-amplitude secondary hump is highly variable. The differences in these three types are plausibly explained by a surface-hotspot with different positions and intensities.

Using the nonlinear fitting code, XRBinary and NMfit, 24 disk models for the corresponding 24 sections are calculated. The model parameters do not show large differences before and after the SO, demonstrating that the accretion pattern is not broken by the SO. All 24 disk models indicate that the disk dominates the flux contributions to the quiescent system light. Based on the variations of the 16 parameters in the 24 disk models, a complete quiescent disk evolution scenario around the SO is obtained. Below is a summary of our findings:

1. Pre-SO: The mass accretion from the disk to the white dwarf gradually declines, while the mass transfer from the secondary to the disk remains stable before a precursor to the SO occurs.
2. Precursor: The start of the precursor shows an enhanced and ringlike surface-hotspot located at phase ~0.3. The faint and cool disk switches to be bright and hot. A stable edge-hotspot develops during this stage.
3. Post-SO: The outer part of the disk remains at an almost constant temperature of ~4000 K, similar to that observed in the pre-SO stage. However, the inner part of the disk experiences a large variation, starting with a cool state at the end of the SO. An edge-hotspot with an average angular width of 0.2 phase is located at phase 0.75. The surface-hotspot with a large amplitude variation in temperature remains almost constant (0.77–1.0 Rₜₜₜ) in the radial direction, while showing large changes in the angular direction.
4. End of quiescence: Before the first NO following the SO, the edge-hotspot abruptly becomes much hotter, and the surface-hotspot changes to an anomalous “coolspot” covering over half of the disk’s surface. The derived radial temperature distribution of the disk is flat at quiescence, with a disk power-law of >-0.38, and much flatter when approaching the outbursts.
5. A mass transfer rate estimated from the edge-hotspot is 0.8–3.3 × 10⁻¹⁰ Mₒ yr⁻¹, typical for U Gem-type DN with orbital periods in the range of 3–5 hr, as listed in Table 2 (Dubus et al. 2018), have a similar mass transfer rate to TW Vir. The mass transfer rates of these U Gem-type DN appear to be overestimated by the standard/revised models.

This work was partly supported by the CAS Light of West China Program, the Chinese Natural Science Foundation (No. 11933008), and the Science Foundation of Yunnan Province (No. 2016FB007). P.S. acknowledges support from NSF grant AST-1514737. We thank Colin Littlefield for his time-resolved
power spectra, and Edward L. Robinson for his helpful suggestions regarding the XRBinary program.

Software: XRBinary (v2.4), NMfit (v2.0), Phoebe (v2.0; Prša et al. 2016).

ORCID iDs
Zhibin Dai (戴智斌) (https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4280-6630)
Paula Szkody (https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4373-7777)
Peter M. Garnavich (https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4069-2817)

References
Bąkowska, K., & Olech, A. 2014, AcA, 64, 247
Baptista, R., Borges, B. W., & Oliveira, A. S. 2016, MNRAS, 463, 3799
Bath, G. T. 1975, MNRAS, 171, 311
Borges, B. W., & Baptista, R. 2005, A&A, 437, 235
Cannizzo, J., Smale, A. P., & Wood, M. A. 2012, ApJ, 747, 117
Cannizzo, J. K., Shafter, A. W., & Wheeler, J. C. 1988, ApJ, 333, 227
Cannizzo, J. K., Still, M. D., Howell, S. B., Wood, M. A., & Smale, A. P. 2010, ApJ, 725, 1393
Córdova, H., & Mason, K. O. 1982, ApJ, 260, 716
Dai, Z. B., Szkody, P., Garnavich, P. M., & Kennedy, M. R. 2016, AJ, 152, 5
Dai, Z. B., Szkody, P., Kennedy, M. R., et al. 2018, AJ, 156, 153
Dai, Z. B., Szkody, P., Taani, A., Garnavich, P. M., & Kennedy, M. R. 2017, A&A, 606, 45
Dai, Z. B., Szkody, P., Thorstensen, J. R., & Medagangoda, N. I. 2020, ApJ, 893, 58
Dubus, G., Hameury, J.-M., & Lasota, J.-P. 2000, A&A, 373, 251
Dubus, G., Otulakowska-Hypka, M., & Lasota, J.-P. 2018, A&A, 617, A26
Frank, J., King, A., & Raine, D. 2002, Accretion Power in Astrophysics (Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press)
Hameury, J.-M., Lasota, J.-P., & Dubus, G. 1999, MNRAS, 303, 39
Harrop-Allin, M. K., & Warner, B. 1996, MNRAS, 279, 219

Dai, Zhibin, Paula Szkody, Peter M. Garnavich

Hirose, M., & Osaki, Y. 1990, PASJ, 42, 135
Home, K. 1985, MNRAS, 213, 129
Howell, S. B., Sobeck, C., Haas, M., et al. 2014, PASP, 126, 398
Hubeny, I., & Hubeny, V. 1998, ApJ, 505, 558
Idan, I., Lasota, J.-P., Hameury, J.-M., & Shaviv, G. 2010, A&A, 519, A117
Knigge, C., Baraffe, I., Patterson, J., et al. 2011, ApJS, 194, 28
Kromer, M., Nagel, T., & Werner, K. 2007, A&A, 475, 301
Lasota, J.-P. 2001, New Astron. Rev., 45, 449
Littlefield, C., Garnavich, P. M., Kennedy, M., Szkody, P., & Dai, Z.-B. 2018, AJ, 155, 232
Lubow, S. H. 1991, ApJ, 381, 259
Mateo, M., Szkody, P., & Bolte, M. 1985, PASP, 97, 45
Menou, K., Hameury, J.-M., Lasota, J.-P., & Narayan, R. 2000, MNRAS, 314, 498
O’Connell, D. J. K. 1932, BHarO, 890, 18
Osaki, Y. 1974, PASJ, 26, 429
Osaki, Y. 1989, PASJ, 41, 1005
Osaki, Y. 1996, PASP, 108, 39
Osaki, Y. 2005, PJAB, 81, 291
Osaki, Y., & Kato, T. 2013, PASJ, 65, 50
Osaki, Y., & Kato, T. 2014, PASJ, 66, 15
Prša, A., Conroy, K. E., Horvat, M., et al. 2016, ApJS, 227, 29
Ramsay, G., Schreiber, M. R., Gansicke, B. T., & Wheatley, P. J. 2017, A&A, 604, A107
Rutten, R. G. M., van Paradis, J., & Tinbergen, J. 1992, A&A, 260, 213
Shakura, N. I., & Sunyaev, R. A. 1973, A&A, 24, 337
Smak, J. 1991, AcA, 41, 269
Smak, J. 2000, NewAR, 44, 171
Smak, J. 2004, AcA, 54, 221
Smak, J. 2008, AcA, 58, 55
Smak, J. 2009, AcA, 59, 121
Smak, J. 2013, AcA, 63, 109
Warner, B. 1995, Cataclysmic Variables, vol. 28 (Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press)
Warner, B. 2003, Cataclysmic Variables (Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press)
Whitehurst, R. 1988, MNRAS, 232, 35
Wood, J. H. 1990, MNRAS, 243, 219