Rigging the nose: a pilot study using barbed sutures in patients with deviated nose
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Abstract

Background A deviated nose is a very common developmental feature in Caucasian populations and represents a frequent indication for rhinoplasty, either functional or esthetic. We aim to assess the pattern of nasal axis stabilization after rhinoplasty in the deviated nose on the basis of anthropometric measurements: median face axis (MFA), interpupillary meridian axis (IMA), and scoliosis angle (SA); to study possible effects of rigging the nose in the midfacial soft tissues using absorbable soft sutures; to investigate possible side effects.

Methods Seventy patients with a non-traumatic deviated nose (n = 50 as control group, n = 20 as treated group with placement of barbed suture in the midfacial soft tissues) underwent rhinoplasty procedures. We retrospectively examined the pattern of deviation recurrence over time in a consecutive series of 70 non-traumatic patients undergoing primary esthetic or functional rhinoplasty (n = 50 as control group, n = 20 as treated group with placement of barbed suture in the midfacial soft tissues). Patients were followed up at 1 week, 2 weeks, 1 month, 3 months, and 1 year postoperatively.

Results Ninety-three percent (65/70) of the patients had an asymmetric face after comparing the two anthropometric angles MFA and IMA. Overcorrection of NA at one week postoperatively was observed in 1.25% and 35.7% of patients in the control and treated groups, respectively (p = 0.006). MFA shifted from 4.16° ± 3.1° preoperatively to 1.2° ± 1.4° at 1 week, 1.0° ± 1.1° at 2 weeks, 1.1° ± 1.4° at 1 month, 1.1° ± 1.5° at 3 months, and 1.7° ± 2° at 1 year in the control group. In the treated group, MFA shifted from 5.7° ± 2.9° preoperatively to −0.34° ± 2.9° at 1 week, 1.0° ± 1.1° at 2 weeks, 0.59° ± 0.96° at 1 month, 1.22° ± 0.83° at 3 months, and 1.63° ± 0.8° at 1 year. Differences between the groups were significant at 1 week (p = 0.02), but differences were not significant at 2 weeks, 1 month, 3 months, and 1 year.

Conclusions This pilot study demonstrates that the progressive re-deviation pattern is altered during the first weeks after surgery in the barbed sutures group. Absorbable barbed sutures in facial soft tissues are well tolerated. Longer lasting sutures may help to obtain definite advantage.

Level of evidence: Level IV, therapeutic study.
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Introduction

A deviated nose is a very common developmental feature in Caucasian populations and represents a frequent indication for rhinoplasty, either functional or esthetic. Nasal axis (NA) deviation is often associated with midfacial asymmetry [1–3]. Evolutionary biologists postulate that facial symmetry represents a sign of health and genetic quality, and therefore is attractive [4, 5].

NA deviations are classified as linear, C-shaped, or S-shaped. Midfacial asymmetry may be present in any of the three dimensions of the face while maxillary hypoplasia is defined as a decrease in all three planes [6, 7].

Although the central role of septoplasty for adequate correction of the deviated nose is acknowledged, rationales or patterns for recurrences after proper rhinoplasty remain unclear [8–10]. Surgeons have theorized that recurrence over
months depends entirely on the cartilaginous “memory” structure of the nasal pyramid [11–13].

Most patients with a non-traumatic deviated nose present with an asymmetric face [3, 6, 7, 12]. This asymmetry is present both in the maxillary support and in facial soft tissues. As a result, when the nose is set in the midline after rhinoplasty, additional tension is created on the hypoplastic side of the face, whereas the wider side of the face loosens. The resulting imbalance between the sides of the face will even increase with facial expressions. It is unclear whether these tensile forces in facial soft tissues, fascia, and muscles play a role in the progressive re-deviation process over several months until healing of bony and cartilaginous structures is completed and definitive stretching of soft tissues is obtained [3].

Any method of counteracting the postoperative imbalance in facial soft tissues might help in obtaining better results. In this perspective, we aimed to investigate the safety and potential efficacy of a novel surgical technique for rigging the nose in the midline using barbed sutures.

Material and Methods

We conducted a retrospective photographic analysis of 70 consecutive patients who complained of a significantly deviated or crooked nose. Patients who underwent esthetic or functional primary rhinoplasty, without a significant history of trauma, were included in this retrospective review. In this pilot study, 50 patients were included in the control group, and 20 patients were included in the barbed suture treatment group. The senior author performed all operations with an open rhinoplasty approach using modern advanced techniques for correcting asymmetries in the nose and releasing all possible spring mechanisms.

High-resolution photographs were taken with a professional digital camera (Canon EOS 7D and 35–105 mm f/4 Canon lens, Canon Inc., Tokyo, Japan). Baseline and postoperative photographs at 1 week, 2 weeks, 1 month, 3 months, and 1 year were analyzed. One patient who later sustained trauma and required surgical reduction at 6 months was excluded and two patients (one in the control group and one in the treated group) were lost to follow-up.

Photographs were assessed using the GIMP 2.8.22 (Gnome Foundation, USA) software by both authors. Three anthropometric measurements of the NA were obtained for each patient at each step (Fig. 1):

- A horizontal interpupillary line was drawn, and a perpendicular line was drawn from the middle of this line. The angle between this meridian and a line connecting the nasion (N) to the nasal tip (T) was defined as the interpupillary meridian axis (IMA).
- NA is evaluated relative to IMA and MFA, and 0° indicated that no deviation was measurable. Given that the variable of interest was the degree and not the laterality of asymmetry, we did not distinguish left and/or right deviations.
- The deviation angles of the C-shaped crooked nose were measured as follows. First, a line was drawn from N to the apex of the convexity (A). From this point, a second line was drawn to the tip of the nose, and the scoliosis angle (SA) was measured; 180° indicated that the nose was perfectly straight, and no scoliosis was measurable. In the C-shaped nose, NA was assessed until the apex of convexity (A) using MFA and IMA coordinates.

Surgical technique

At the end of the open rhinoplasty procedure, before closing the incision, bidirectional 2–0 poliglecaprone barbed sutures were placed through the nasal septal dorsum at two points,
with one point near the cartilaginous osseous junction and one point more caudally in the cartilaginous dorsum. The suture was then passed through the soft tissues of the midface, exiting contra-laterally to the preexistent nasal deviation (Fig. 2). Delivery to the middle of the cheek was obtained by a 20-G 90-mm spinal needle. The tension was adjusted before placing the splint, and a medical tape was placed on the emergence of the suture on the cheek. At postoperative day 2, the patient was seen in the office for removal of light nasal packing, and the sutures were cut at the skin level.

### Statistical analysis

Quantitative variables are expressed as median, mean, standard deviation, and interquartile rank. Angle distributions were assessed by t test (paired samples) or Wilcoxon test (non-parametric samples). Evolution of angles over time after surgery was analyzed using a generalized linear mixed (GLMM) model. The SAS 9.4 software was used for the analysis.

### Results

Among the 70 enrolled patients, IMA and MFA significantly differed in 65 patients (93%) at baseline ($p = 0.026$). The relationship between MFA and SA ($R_{Spearman} = 0.71$, $p < 0.0001$) and between IMA and SA ($R_{Spearman} = -0.56$, $p < 0.0001$) showed a significantly increasing trend. The treated group had significantly higher MFA than the control group (MFA = 5.7° ± 2.9° vs. 4.16° ± 3.1°; $p = 0.018$). SA was not significantly different between the two groups (treated group, 170.8° ± 6.1° vs. control group, 171.7° ± 6.2°). Figure 3a presents the distribution of MFA and SA in the control and treated groups.

A total of 67 patients were available for statistical evaluation after surgery. One patient in the control group and one patient in the treated group were lost to follow-up. One patient in the treated group, who sustained trauma at 6 months requiring surgical reduction, was excluded.

MFA significantly decreased after surgery in both groups ($p < 0.0001$). At 1 week, overcorrection was observed in 1.25% of the control group and 35.7% of the treated group ($p = 0.006$). MFA shifted from 4.16° ± 3.1° preoperatively to 1.2° ± 1.4° at 1 week, 1.0° ± 1.1° at 2 weeks, 1.1° ± 1.4° at 1 month, 1.1° ± 1.5° at 3 months, and 1.7° ± 2° at 1 year in the control group. In the treated group, MFA shifted from 5.7° ± 2.9° preoperatively to −0.34° ± 2.9° at 1 week, 1.0° ± 1.1° at 2 weeks, 0.59° ± 0.96° at 1 month, 1.22° ± 0.83° at 3 months, and 1.63° ± 0.8° at 1 year. Differences between groups was significant at week 1 ($p = 0.02$) but showed no significance at week 2, 1 month, 3 months, and 1 year. The trend in the evolution of the MFA after surgery is shown in Fig. 3b. Long-term relative improvement of NA was better in the treated group than in the control group, as the treated group had significantly higher NA deviation than the control group and both groups ended with the similar long-term values. However, due to heterogeneity of the groups, the difference was not statistically significant ($p > 0.05$).

SA in the treated group changed from 170.8° ± 6.1° to 179.2° ± 1.7° at 1 week, 180° ± 1.1° at 2 weeks, 180° ± 1.1° at 1 month, and 180° ± 1.1° at 1 year, whereas in the control group, the SA changed from 171.7° ± 6.2° at baseline to 180° ± 0.5° at 2 weeks, 179.7° ± 1.3° at 1 month, and 17.1° ± 2.1° at 1 year. No significant difference in SA was observed between the two groups ($p = 0.44$) (Fig. 3c).

### Discussion

A high proportion of patients with congenitally deviated nose present with asymmetric facial growth [1, 14]. This relationship may be a factor for requesting rhinoplasty. In studies
targeting patients seeking rhinoplasty, the reported rates of associated facial asymmetry varied and ranged from 30 to 97% depending on the objective or subjective measurement of facial features [1–3, 6, 7, 15].

A simple subjective assessment for a general rhinoplasty population of 234 patients showed that 38% was perceived as having facial asymmetry [6]. When the same group is studied by objective anthropometric measurements, almost all patients (97% of 234 patients) exhibited significant degrees of facial asymmetry [6].

In our study, the comparison between MFA and IMA demonstrates a discrepancy in 93% of patients. These measurements address only two vertical variables in the face, which should be equal if the face is strictly symmetric in its vertical dimension [16]. While these two variables do not constitute a complete anthropometric assessment, it is a very simple method of establishing vertical facial asymmetry.

However, comparison between these two variables will not detect asymmetric width of the face. In our study, IMA and MFA significantly differed ($p = 0.026$). We suggest that the angle between MFA and IMA could be used to quickly establish the degree of facial asymmetry in a vertical dimension. For clarity, we mainly focused on MFA during the follow-up period. We chose to assess MFA because the use of IMA is questionable as midfacial hypoplasia may cause orbital dystopia. Patients with orbital dystopia often spontaneously compensate by tilting their head; therefore, we believe that MFA more closely relates to objective perception.

The nasal septal traction model [17, 18] postulates that the nasal septum exerts a morphogenetic influence on the
surrounding skeletal tissue. As such, a deviated septum will often induce asymmetries in the growth of maxilla and facial soft tissues including fascia, muscles, skin envelope, and fat [2, 18]. These facial soft tissue depth asymmetries have been reported at a high frequency, affecting approximately 50% of landmarks acquired from a contemporary adult general Caucasian population by CT and 3D analysis. [19, 20] This frequency is much higher in a population of rhinoplasty candidates [6, 7].

Although recurrence of nasal deviation is a major drawback of surgery, only a few authors have reported their own experiences and success rates quantitatively. Cho and Jang [21] reported successful (described as excellent or good) outcomes in 80.2% of 631 patients, and unsuccessful (fair or no change) outcomes in 19.8% of patients, with a 6.5% revision rate. Erdem et al. [22] reported excellent or good results in 58.3% of 120 patients, and moderate or poor results in 41.7% of the patients. The reported deviation angles were 6.8° and 152° preoperatively and 2.0° and 173° postoperatively with I- and C-shaped crooked noses, respectively.

Okur et al. [23] found deviation angles of 7.6° and 147° preoperatively and 1.9° and 167° postoperatively in 27 patients with I- and C-shaped crooked noses, respectively. Ellis and Gilbert [24] reported that the correction rates were 75% for rhinoplasty deformities without any detail. Hussein et al. [25, 26] reported a preoperative mean NA deviation of 10.7 ± 3.05 and a postoperative mean NA deviation of 1.101 ± 0.904 in 15 I-shaped patients. Pontius et al. [16] reported on 70 patients (mean follow-up of 13.1 months) with improvement in all patients, but 7 patients presented noticeable persistent deviation and 3 patients elected revision surgery.

To our best knowledge, only one study reported long-term results in a series of 264 patients with severe nasal deviation and compared spreader grafting techniques in a randomized study. Barone et al. [25, 26] evaluated results of different types of spreader grafts or flaps and found out that the use of both spreader flap and spreader graft obtained the best long-term outcome for adequate correction of nasal deviation. SA was corrected from preoperative value 166.2 ± 3.8° to 178.5 ± 1.5° in the group with both spreader flaps and grafts.

Certain assumptions have been made on the etiology of nasal shift recurrence, such as bone or cartilage “memory,” scar retraction, splinting, or facial envelope effect, and we briefly criticize them. Immediate nasal deviation recurrence would likely be linked to inadequate surgery. Persistent deviation of the nasal septum, unaddressed discrepancies in nasal bone lengths, uncorrected asymmetries in the upper lateral cartilages or nasal tip, and inadequate bony foundation [27] are major causes of surgical failures. Modifications of splinting techniques may affect the width of nasal bones but they cannot affect the NA due to the lack of support on the facial skeleton, even if advocated by some authors [28].

If the surgeon is experienced and uses advanced techniques, these drawbacks should be minimized. As demonstrated by Barone et al. [25, 26] spreader grafts and flaps probably improve the long-term results. However, despite the adequacy of the technique used, the nose may not maintain its correct position during the early postoperative stage, and it may deviate to its previous position to some extent during the first months.

An underestimated factor, in our opinion, is the extrinsic factor, namely the facial envelope effect [3]. Although most authors postulate that the long-term stability of the nose is derived from rigid or semi-rigid intrinsic structures, they also acknowledge the importance of facial muscles and soft tissues during development. A well-known example of soft tissue interaction is encountered with nasal growth in cleft lip patients [29]. The pattern of deformities is a result of both the asymmetric bony base and the unbalanced biomechanical forces acting in the nose. During development, any asymmetry of muscle action or skin tension will cause deformities of the nose and face as observed in cleft lip nose, Parry-Romberg syndrome, and torticollis. The paradox is that little attention is paid to the same tissues and their changes after rhinoplasty in a congenitally deviated nose.

Rohrich et al. [30] and Hafezi et al. [31] recognized that the nasal deviation is closely related to facial asymmetry and that the nose tends to deviate from the wider side of the face toward the hypoplastic side of the face. As a result, when the nose is set at the midline after rhinoplasty, additional tension is created on the hypoplastic side of the face, while the wider side of the face loosens (Fig. 4). The tension of the narrower side will even increase with facial expressions and smiling. Over time, these tissues gradually stretch and accommodate. This soft tissue constraint may explain at least partially why a nose that is perfectly straight after surgery may re-deviate progressively toward the hypoplastic side over a relatively short period [3].

To test this facial envelope hypothesis, we designed a new surgical technique using barbed sutures anchored in the midfacial soft tissues. Traditional sutures could not be used in the face due to constricting loops or knots and possible visibility. However, barbed sutures offer the ability to secure tissues without relying on such knots or loops. They also provide resistance, which is distributed along the whole line of the suture, avoiding possible ischemia of the tissues. They were developed by surgeons John Alcamo, Alan McKenzie, and Gregory Ruff [32] and have gained considerable popularity in laparoscopic, orthopedic, and plastic surgeries. Their field of application encompasses wound closure but also tissue suspension. The nature of the suture and its resorption time influence the holding strength over time, although the authors claim that collagen formation occurs along the barbs [33] and maintains its advantage over time.
The distribution of the barbs, their angle along the suture, and the delivery method of the suture influence the holding strength of the suture. A needle larger than the suture or the introduction of the suture through a hollow delivery needle will create a channeling effect and compromise the ability of the barbs to hold [34]. Barbed sutures in rhinoplasty have been described by Bradford et al. [35] and Allen [36] for fixation of spreader grafts, but the claimed advantage was practical and not conceptual, i.e., avoidance of tying knots in a limited space.

In this study, we anchored the nose at the midline (Fig. 2.), compensating asymmetric tensile strengths resulting from the medialization of the nose. The tension in the suture was adjusted at the end of surgery (Fig. 5). A possible dynamic effect was even possible as the tension increased during facial expressions. We were able to observe a dimple in the cheek either at rest or during changes in facial expressions in one-third of the patients, indicating that the traction effect of the suture was present at rest or during facial expressions (Fig. 6). We were not able to measure any movement of the nose during forceful expressions during the early postoperative period.

No side effects were observed. The dimple that was noticeable in some patients at 1 and 2 weeks postoperatively disappeared without sequela, and no visibility of the suture exit point was observed. None of the patients presented with sensory impairment in the infraorbital nerve area or had facial impairment.

The pattern of slight re-deviation of the nose was definitely altered during the first weeks. Moreover, slight overcorrection was observed in one-third of the patients at weeks 1 and 2. No statistically significant long-term advantage was observed. The treated group showed more severe deviation than the control group—as this was a non-randomized pilot study—and the improvement indeed was better in the treated group in terms of relative improvement, but it did not reach significance over the long term. In this pilot study, we used poliglecaprone sutures, which hold 50% of its tensile strength at 1 week and 0% at 3 weeks [37]. Although some authors claim collagen formation along the barbs [33], we observed that the loss of advantage parallels the resorption of the poliglecaprone material.

Altogether, we suggest that rigging the nose during the healing phase may counteract the unbalanced tension of the soft tissue. We hypothesized that this procedure could help in stabilizing the nose, provided that all structural factors—i.e., advanced septoplasty, spreader grafts, correction of bony, and cartilaginous asymmetries—have been adequately addressed.

As the present study was a pilot study evaluating the feasibility of the technique, the absence of side effects, and the possibility of a positive effect, we did not design a randomized study. This explains that the treated group presented with more severe deviation and scoliosis than the control group and that the statistical evidence was significant only at week...
1 and week 2. However, the results of this pilot study were encouraging; no side effects were observed and the minimal time was added to the overall procedural time. These elements indicate that further evaluation of the technique using barbed sutures should be conducted and that larger, randomized series should be evaluated. Longer lasting sutures such as barbed polydioxanone should also be investigated in an effort to improve long term results.

Conclusions

Postoperative recurrence of nasal deviation is observed in a high number of patients and gradually evolves during the first months before definitive stabilization. We suspect that preexisting asymmetry of the face and related facial envelope uneven tension plays a notable role in the etiology of recurrence. The asymmetry present in the facial soft tissues will result in the imbalance of the elastic and muscular forces after the nose is set back at the midline. Stabilization of the nose in the soft tissues of the cheek contralateral to the deviation using barbed sutures is technically easy and has no side effects. Overcorrection of the NA was obtained in one-third of our patients at week 1, and a gradual slight re-deviation was observed during the first 3 months. Significant improvement of NA was obtained during the first weeks, but no clear definitive advantage over the long term was demonstrated. These encouraging results and the use of longer lasting polydioxanone sutures should be investigated in a larger randomized study.
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