Multiple plastic biliary stent placement in the management of large and multiple choledochal stones: single center experience and review of the literature
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Abstract

Introduction: Endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) with sphincterotomy is the first step treatment modality of choledocholithiasis. In spite of an extended sphincterotomy, 10–15% of complex choledochal stones (larger than 15 mm and/or more than 3 stones) cannot be removed and recurrent ERCP procedures may be needed. Aim: To evaluate the role and efficiency of multiple biliary stent application in the treatment of large and multiple choledochal stones.

Material and methods: Patients with complex choledochal stones and patients with inadequate choledochal clearance during ERCP were included in the study. The study group was divided into 2 groups as the placement of single (n = 27 patients) or multiple stents (n = 58 patients). After a mean time interval of 21 days (10–28), the ERCP procedure was tried for the second time and a stent was placed in case of recurrence.

Results: Successful biliary drainage was provided in both groups. The decrease in the longitudinal or transverse size of the stones after stent placement was found to be statistically significant in both groups (p = 0.001). Cholestatic enzymes (alkaline phosphatase (ALP), γ-glutamyltransferase (GGT)) and bilirubin levels decreased significantly in both groups following stenting (p = 0.001). Additionally, multiple stents functioned as a bridge starting from the first ERCP to full clearance in patients with large and multiple stones which could not be removed at once and saved them from the possible morbidities of an invasive operation.

Conclusions: Endoscopic multiple biliary stent placement should be preferred in the treatment of patients with complex choledochal stones and high rates of co-morbidity, as a safe alternative to surgery.
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Introduction

Endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) with sphincterotomy is the first step treatment modality of choledocholithiasis. In spite of an extended sphincterotomy, 10–15% of complex choledochal stones (larger than 15 mm and/or more than 3 stones) cannot be removed and recurrent ERCP procedures may be needed [1, 2]. Especially in
elderly patients, the percentage of full clearance is reported to be low [3–6]. Complex stones, periampullary diverticula, biliary anatomy with anomalies (duct tortuosity or strictures), and history of gastric, duodenum or pancreatic surgery are among the reasons for failure in extraction of stones with ERCP [7]. Extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy (ESWL), electrohydraulic or laser lithotripsy or chemical melting can be used alone or in combination with ERCP in the treatment of complex stones. Although these are effective methods, they are harder to find in every center and the procedures take a longer time [8]. Unfortunately, elderly patients with various co-morbidities cannot tolerate prolonged procedures.

Temporary plastic biliary stent placement is a safe and alternative procedure that can provide biliary drainage and function as a bridge until later procedures for stone removal in high-risk patients with various comorbidities in whom the initial ERCP has failed [9–12]. Short-term biliary stent placement can lead to a decrease in the size or degradation of the stones and improve the success of upcoming removal procedures [13]. Although the efficacy of ERCP and biliary stenting is known in elderly patients, published literature about the effects of multiple biliary stenting in treatment is still limited.

Aim

In our study, we evaluated high-risk patients with large and multiple choledochal stones which would be hard to extract despite extended sphincterotomy in the recent 2 years at our clinic. We did not perform a sphincterotomy initially in all the patients, or after performing one, we placed 1 or 2 stents and increased the number of stents until providing complete clearance of stones without the need for surgery. All patients’ records were obtained from institutional based computer software and later we evaluated the demographics of patients and results retrospectively to analyze the safety and efficacy of multiple biliary stenting.

Material and methods

Following the approval of the ethics committee and obtaining informed consent, patients with high-risk comorbidities and complex choledochal stones in whom we had performed therapeutic ERCP between January 2014 and June 2016 were included in the study. They were divided into 2 groups as the first group (group 1) with a single stent and the second group (group 2) with multiple stents.

In both groups, patients were over 65 years old, with complex stones that had been defined before, and all were high-risk patients with chronic and systemic diseases that had rendered them unable to tolerate a prolonged procedure (cerebrovascular accident, chronic artery disease, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, etc.), with an ASA scores of 3 or more. Additionally, patients who had not accepted conventional open surgery or patients with juxta-ampullary or interpapillary diverticulum, biliary strictures or bile duct anomalies which could prevent sphincterotomy were included. Patients with a diagnosis of supplicative cholangitis, pancreatic head malignancy, cholangiocellular cancer, hydatid cyst that had opened into the biliary tract, sclerosing cholangitis, or biliary fistula were excluded.

All of the ERCP procedures were performed by the same endoscopist, whose mean number of ERCP per year was over 600. In patients with multiple stones, the number and size were determined with ultrasound, computed tomography or magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography (MRCP) and the size of the largest stone (longitudinal and/or transverse) was set as the criterion. In secondary and multiple procedures, the decrease in the size or number of stones was determined compared with the first procedure.

All of the procedures were performed under sedation anesthesia (midazolam 0.05 mg/kg + pethidine 0.5 mg/kg) in a prone or semi-lateral position with a standard side-viewing Pentax duodenoscope. Prophylactic antibiotics and analgesics were administered. Following the cannulation with the ERCP catheter and cholangiography, in case of a subtotal clearance of stones, a plastic stent of 8.5 Fr or 10 Fr (Boston Scientific) size was placed over the guidewire (with/without sphincterotomy) with its distal end in the duodenum. A second stent was placed depending on the symptoms of the patient or size of the stone and the patient was called for 3 weeks later. Chemical melting of stones was not performed in any of the patients. In later ERCP sessions, if there was a decrease in the size of the stone, following the removal of stents with polypectomy snare or rat-tooth foreign body snare, stones were cleared with standard ERCP processes (balloon sphincterotomy, basket extraction, mechanich lithotripsy, etc.).
Demographics of patients (age, gender), comorbid diseases, clinical features, complications of ERCP and stenting, successful or failed stone removals were registered.

Statistical analysis

The SPSS program was used for the evaluation of registered data. Mean test and standard deviation was performed for continuous and categorical variables. Student’s t test or Wilcoxon rank sum test was used for continuous variables and Fisher’s exact test or $\chi^2$ for categorical data. Kaplan-Meier analysis was performed for the time interval of stent patency. A p-value under 0.05 was accepted to be statistically significant.

Results

A total of 85 patients (group 1: 27, group 2: 58) were included in the study. Forty-two of the patients were male and 43 were female, with the mean age of 66.9 (28–92). A single plastic stent was placed in the first group (31.7%) and multiple plastic stents (minimum 2) were placed in the patients of group 2 (68.2%). In group 2, the average number of applied stents was 4.08 (2–12) (Photos 1 A, B).

The mean numbers of ERCP sessions in groups 1 and 2 were 2.74 (2–5) and 5.18 (2–19), respectively ($p = 0.02$). Mean duration of the overall endoscopic therapy was 76.51 (21–161) days in group 1 and 277.5 (18–2326) in group 2.

Clinical features of the patients at the time of hospital admission are shown in Table I. In group 1, cholangitis was present in 5 patients, jaundice in 14 patients, abdominal pain in 14 patients, and pancreatitis in 9 patients. Those clinical findings were 6, 42, 12 and 15 respectively in the second group. Cholangitis and jaundice were statistically more common in group 1 ($p = 0.046$ and $p = 0.035$), while there were no statistically significant differences in means of clinical findings between the two groups. There were no significant differences in demographics or comorbidity rates, either.

The mean size of the choledochus in group 1 was found to be 15.7 ±4.6 (8–27) mm and 17.2 ±5.2 (7–40) mm in group 2 ($p = 0.79$).

The average stent staying time in the choledochus was 76.5 ±45.3 (21–161) days in group 1 and 277.5 ±388.3 (18–2326) days in group 2, which was significantly longer than group 1 ($p = 0.004$).

The mean size of choledochal stones (mean ± SD) was 8.55 ±5.71 mm in group 1 and 16.35 ±8.45 mm in group 2 before stenting. The mean size in group 2 was significantly larger than that in group 2 ($p = 0.003$).

Table I. Clinical features of the patients at the time of hospital admission

| Clinical features | Group 1 (n) | Group 2 (n) | P-value |
|-------------------|------------|------------|---------|
| Cholangitis       | 5          | 6          | 0.046   |
| Jaundice          | 14         | 42         | 0.035   |
| Pancreatitis      | 9          | 15         | 0.12    |
| Abdominal pain    | 14         | 12         | 0.89    |
The mean follow-up of patients in groups 1 and 2 were 226.5 ±383.7 (21–1267) and 308.3 ±407.8 (18–2326) days, respectively ($p = 0.034$).

Following stenting, the mean size of choledochal stones was found to be 7.27 ±7.22 mm in group 1 and 12.23 ±6.1 mm in group 2. The decrease in the size of choledochal stones was statistically significant when compared to the state before stenting ($p = 0.001$).

Cholestatic enzymes – alkaline phosphatase (ALP), γ-glutamyltransferase (GGT) – and bilirubin levels decreased significantly in both groups following stenting ($p = 0.001$).

Sphincterotomy during ERCP could not be performed in 3 patients in group 1 and 8 patients in group 2, because of papilla placement inside of a duodenal diverticulum. Mechanical lithotripsy was performed in 17 and 33 patients in each group, respectively.

When the size of the stone remained the same after the first ERCP session, additional stents were placed in 13 patients in group 1 (48.1%) and 21 patients in group 2 (36.2%). Initially those 13 patients in group 1 were transferred to group 2. Finally, in 31 of 34 patients in whom two stents were placed in group 2 complete stone clearance was achieved (91.1%).

The mean ratio of stent patency was 44.4% in group 1 and 77% in group 2, which was significantly higher than the first group, with the Kaplan-Meier method ($p = 0.03$) (Figure 1).

ERCP findings of the patients are shown in Table II. Hemorrhage due to sphincterotomy was not encountered in any of the patients. In group 1, 11 of the patients had history of cholecystectomy; 3 patients were operated on. In the same group, juxtadiverticular papilla was observed in 2 patients. In 1 of those patients, duodenal perforation occurred during the cannulation of the papilla. In emergency surgery, cholecystectomy, choledochal exploration and T-tube drainage were performed. In the multiple-stent group, juxtadiverticular papilla was observed in 2 and intra-diverticular papilla was observed in other 2 patients.

There were no complications due to biliary stenting. Cholangitis was not encountered in the early term in any of the patients. In one patient in group 1, acute cholangitis developed on the fifth day, despite successful stenting and drainage. The patient did not

![Figure 1. Three-month stent patency rates of group 1 and 2 – Kaplan-Meier analysis](image)

| Table II. Characteristics of the patients according to ERCP findings |
|-------------------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| ERCP findings                                   | Group 1 | Group 2 | $P$-value |
| Size of choledochus (mean) [mm]                 | 15.7    | 17.2    | 0.79     |
| Stent staying time (mean) [day]                 | 76.5    | 277.5   | 0.004    |
| Size of choledochal stones at admission (mean) [mm] | 8.5    | 16.5    | 0.003    |
| Size of choledochal stones after stenting (mean) [mm] | 7.2    | 12.2    | 0.001    |
| Follow-up duration (mean) [day]                 | 226.5   | 308.3   | 0.034    |
| Number of ERCP sessions (mean)                  | 2.7     | 5.1     | 0.02     |
| Number of sphincterotomies (mean)               | 24      | 50      | 0.91     |
| Number of mechanical lithotripsy (mean)         | 17      | 33      | 0.77     |
| Number of applied stents (mean)                  | 1       | 4.08    | –        |
| Ratio of stent patency (%)                       | 44.4    | 77      | 0.03     |
accept another ERCP procedure, underwent emergency surgery, and cholecystectomy, choledochal exploration and T-tube drainage were performed. He died at the intensive care unit on postoperative day 5.

In the multiple stent group, 17 of the patients had a history of cholecystectomy, and 6 patients were operated on. Four of those 6 patients were operated on because they did not want to continue stenting sessions. One patient was operated on because of a cholecystogastric fistula that had developed 30 days after the first ERCP (cholecystectomy, choledochal exploration and primary repair). The last patient was operated on urgently because of acute cholecystitis on the 204th day following multiple stenting. In the same group, another patient died because of his comorbid disorders on the 31st day at the intensive care unit after a successful second ERCP session.

The difference in the rate of stent migration was not statistically significant between the groups (2 patients in group 1 (7.4%) and 8 patients in group 2 (13.7%)) ($p = 0.655$). Wirsung duct cannulation and pancreatic stent placement were performed in 2 patients in the first group and in 1 patient in the second group, without any complications such as pancreatitis. Wirsung cannulation was not statistically significant between groups ($p = 0.157$). The other complications did not show any statistically significant difference between groups (Table III).

**Discussion**

Conventional ERCP techniques (such as sphincterotomy, stone extraction with balloon and basket catheterization) can fail in 5–10% of patients with large and multiple choledochal stones [7, 8]. The rate of successful extraction decreases with the increasing size of the stone [14]. Patients with older age (> 65) and concomitant diseases such as cerebrovascular accident, cardiopulmonary disorders or chronic obstructive pulmonary disease are more susceptible to possible complications and are also high-risk patients for surgical interventions. Temporary biliary stenting is a safe alternative by preventing stone impaction and cholangitis until definitive treatment in this patient group [10, 15, 16].

In this study, we aimed to report our cases in which we have performed successful biliary clearance, claiming that multiple biliary stenting provides a reduction in stone size and a better 3-month patency, with a review of up-to-date literature.

Endoscopic biliary stenting was first introduced in the early 1980s [17] and self-expanding metallic stents (SEMS) were shown to be more effective than plastic stents in the late 1980s [18]. The size of biliary plastic stents varies between 5 Fr and 12 Fr, their lengths between 1 and 18 cm [19].

In the literature, 2nd ERCP sessions with stenting have been reported to be successful, with a 70% rate in removing biliary tract stones [11, 20]. Additionally, multiple stent placement has been shown to be effective in the long-term treatment of biliary strictures following liver transplantation, with low rates of recurrence and stricture resolution rates up to 70–100% [21].

Short-term results (3 months) are also consistent with a decrease both in size and number of the stones [13, 15, 22]. In our study, mean size of the stones (mean ± SD) decreased significantly in both groups 1 (7.27 ±7.22 mm) and 2 (12.23 ±6.1 mm) following stent placement ($p = 0.001$).

The mechanism underlying the decrease in size and number of the stones is not clear yet. Respiratory and intestinal movements are thought to have a role with the friction effect. Stenting provides the continuity of biliary drainage by preventing stone impaction in the ampulla. Multiple stent placement can provide more effective biliary drainage, and reduce the amount of duodenogastric reflux and the rate of stent obstruction by tapering the accumulation of calcium bilirubinate. The results of single and multiple stent placements have been compared in patients with biliary strictures following pancreatitis and liver function tests have been shown to come down to normal levels in all of the patients in the second group [23].

**Table III. Comparison of complications between groups**

| Complications         | Group 1 (n) | Group 2 (n) | P-value |
|-----------------------|------------|------------|---------|
| Technical failure     | 2          | 2          | 0.67    |
| Duodenal perforation  | 1          | 0          | 0.27    |
| Acute cholangitis     | 1          | 0          | 0.27    |
| Cholecystogastric fistula | 0      | 1          | 0.15    |
| Stent migration       | 2          | 8          | 0.655   |
| Wirsung duct cannulation | 2        | 1          | 0.157   |
Multiple stenting also rounds the faceted stones by the friction effect and enables the spontaneous passage of stones [14]. Stent obstruction is a complication that may be encountered in the short term, requiring re-stenting [22, 24]. Although the underlying mechanism is not clear yet, calcium bilirubinate precipitation following bilirubin deconjugation resulting from bacterial colonization on the stent is thought to be responsible [25]. Stents of size 10 Fr have been shown to have a better patency, because larger stents do not provide any advantage when compared to 10 Fr stents [26].

In our study, 3-month patency of multiple stents was found to be better when compared to group 1 (p = 0.03), which may be an indicator showing their advantage in biliary drainage. We observed an increase in the rate of complete stone removal with multiple-stent application. In 12 (92.3%) of 13 patients and in 19 of 21 patients in group 2 in whom a reduction in the size of stones had not been achieved after the 1st ERCP, multiple stent placement was successful. Additional benefits of multiple stents are their increasing effect on biliary drainage with the ‘wicking’ phenomenon [8] and papillary dilatation, which is especially useful in patients with juxtadiverticular or paradiverticular localizations without the need for a sphincterotomy.

Expandable biliary stent lithotripsy with covered SEMS is another alternative method that has been defined in the literature in the treatment of choledocholithiasis. This technique has been applied especially in patients with anastomotic biliary strictures and a decrease in the number of ERCP sessions but with recurrence rates of strictures up to 9–47% [27–29]. Similar to this procedure, we preferred using multiple plastic stents in cases with complex stones without sphincterotomy when the papillae are in risky localizations and provided successful extraction. So we were also aware of the possible complications of endoscopic biliary sphincterotomy such as hemorrhage or perforation, which may be encountered with rates up to 13% and 11%, respectively [30]. We did not cause any complications due to sphincterotomy in our series.

In our study, we used biliary stenting for choledochal stones which were hard, dangerous or impossible to extract with conventional ERCP, thus shortening the length of the procedural time and avoiding complications due to extra cannulation such as post-ERCP pancreatitis, cholangitis, hemorrhage or perforation. Post-ERCP cholangitis is reported to have a mortality rate up to 8–20% [31], but fortunately we did not encounter any. We also did not observe post-ERCP pancreatitis, which is reported to have an incidence of 5%, in any of our cases [13]. Stent migration occurs in a dilated duct, when the stone pushes the stent towards the duodenum or proximal bile ducts [14]. The migration rate is reported to be 5% for plastic stents [31]. In our series, migration rates were 7.4% (2 patients) in group 1 and 13.7% (8 patients) in group 2; the difference was not statistically significant (p = 0.655).

The advantage of our study was the fact that all of the ERCP procedures were performed by a single endoscopist. Additionally, the patient and type of procedure selection criteria were defined at the first ERCP procedure, so we did not have bias in our results. A disadvantage of the study is the limited number of cases, which also limits the significance of the statistics. Another limitation was the additional ERCP sessions performed for multiple stents.

Conclusions

In this study, complete stone extraction was performed successfully in high-risk patients whom conventional ERCP techniques were insufficient, which we believe may contribute to the literature in the light of up-to-date studies as a new method. Although there are increasing data suggesting the advantage of multiple stenting, previous studies usually defined the procedure with 3 or 4 stents, but in our study the mean number of stents was 4.08 [2–12]. We believe that this technique may be a safe and effective alternative for conventional ERCP or surgery in high-risk patients and cases with abnormal papilla localization without the need for sphincterotomy, but multicenter studies with more extended study groups are needed for more certain results.
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