Melatonin enhances DNA repair capacity possibly by affecting genes involved in DNA damage responsive pathways
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Abstract

Background: Melatonin, a hormone-like substance involved in the regulation of the circadian rhythm, has been demonstrated to protect cells against oxidative DNA damage and to inhibit tumorigenesis.

Results: In the current study, we investigated the effect of melatonin on DNA strand breaks using the alkaline DNA comet assay in breast cancer (MCF-7) and colon cancer (HCT-15) cell lines. Our results demonstrated that cells pretreated with melatonin had significantly shorter Olive tail moments compared to non-melatonin treated cells upon mutagen (methyl methanesulfonate, MMS) exposure, indicating an increased DNA repair capacity after melatonin treatment. We further examined the genome-wide gene expression in melatonin pretreated MCF-7 cells upon carcinogen exposure and detected altered expression of many genes involved in multiple DNA damage responsive pathways. Genes exhibiting altered expression were further analyzed for functional interrelatedness using network- and pathway-based bioinformatics analysis. The top functional network was defined as having relevance for “DNA Replication, Recombination, and Repair, Gene Expression, [and] Cancer”.

Conclusions: These findings suggest that melatonin may enhance DNA repair capacity by affecting several key genes involved in DNA damage responsive pathways.
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Background

Melatonin (MLT), a hormone secreted by the pineal gland, plays an important role not only in the regulation of the circadian rhythm but also in the modulation of cancer risk by acting as an anti-inflammatory agent and an antioxidant (reviewed in [1,2]). Recent epidemiologic evidence have pointed to an inverse relationship between night shift work and melatonin levels [3], which provides a possible explanation for the observation of increased breast cancer risk among female shift workers [4,5]. By the same token, significantly decreased plasma melatonin levels have been found in patients with endometrial cancer [6,7]. In animal studies, melatonin levels have been found in patients with endometrial cancer [6,7]. In animal studies, melatonin has been shown to inhibit the development of spontaneous and chemically induced mammary carcinogenesis [8], colon and hepato-carcinogenesis, [9], and carcinogenesis of soft tissue, the lung, the uterine cervix and the vagina [10-13].

In vitro functional analyses using cancer cell lines such as MCF-7 have been performed to investigate the effects of melatonin on cell growth, DNA synthesis, cell cycle, and apoptosis [14-17]. These studies have shown that melatonin may reduce MCF-7 cell proliferation by modulating cell-cycle kinetics, DNA synthesis, and apoptotic processes. Other studies have further demonstrated that melatonin can inhibit fatty acid growth-factor uptake [18] and telomerase activity in cancer cells [19]. The role of melatonin in DNA repair pathways was also recently documented in a study that showed a protective role for melatonin against oxidative DNA damage [20].

However, the impact of melatonin on DNA repair capacity for DNA strand breaks, the most common type of DNA damage, has not been previously examined using the comet assay, a reliable method for detecting and measuring DNA damage and DNA repair capacity [21]. Moreover, little is known about how melatonin affects
expression of the genes involved in DNA damage response. In the current study, we performed both a comet assay and genome-wide expression assay using breast cancer cells (MCF-7) and colon cancer cells (HCT-15) to investigate the impact of physiological concentrations of melatonin on DNA repair capacity and expression of genes in DNA damage responsive pathways.

Methods

Cell culture and treatments

MCF-7, a human breast cancer cell line, and HCT-15, a human colon cancer cell line, were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Manassas, VA). MCF-7 cells were maintained as monolayer cultures in 25 cm² polystyrene culture flasks (Falcon, Becton Dickinson Science, Le Pont de Claix, France) in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 1% penicillin-streptomycin (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) and 0.01 mg/ml insulin (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO). HCT-15 cells were maintained in Roswell Park Memorial Institute (RPMI) 1640 media supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and 1% penicillin-streptomycin. Cells were incubated at 37°C in a humid atmosphere containing 5% CO₂ and subcultured every 3–4 days.

Before each experiment, stock subconfluent monolayers of MCF-7 or HCT-15 cells were incubated with 0.25% trypsin-EDTA at 37°C for 3–5 min. MCF-7 cells were then resuspended in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS and 0.01 mg/ml insulin and HCT-15 cells were resuspended in RPMI 1640 media supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum. Cell number and viability were determined by staining a small volume of cell suspension with 0.4% trypan blue saline solution and examining the cells in a hemocytometer. For all assays, MCF-7 cells were seeded at a density of 3~5×10⁵ cells/ml in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS, 0.01 mg/ml insulin, and 1% penicillin-streptomycin for 24 hr (37°C, 5% CO₂), and HCT-15 cells were seeded in RPMI 1640 supplemented with 10% FBS. After 24 hours, the media were replaced with fresh media containing either 1 nM melatonin (Sigma Chemical Co., St Louis, MO, USA), or the diluent (ethanol, final concentration 0.000005%) and cells were incubated for another 24 hours. Methyl methanesulfonate (MMS, mutagenic agent) (0.0125%, v/v, Sigma Chemical Co., St Louis, MO, USA) or PBS was added to the culture medium for an 1-hour treatment followed by an extra 3-hour recovery time in fresh medium. Cells were then harvested for the comet assay and RNA extraction.

Comet assay

DNA damage was assessed through the use of a comet assay that was first described by Östling & Johanson [22] and later improved by Singh et al. [23]. Following MLT and MMS/PBS treatment as described above, cells were harvested and mixed with 0.5% low-melting agarose [24] and fixed onto frosted slides pre-coated with 1.0% normal melting agarose (NMA). The slides were immersed in cold, freshly made lysing solution in the dark at 4°C for at least 1 hr and then rinsed with neutralization buffer to remove detergents and salts. Slides were then placed in a horizontal electrophoresis device at 4°C in alkaline buffer (pH > 13) for 30 min to allow for DNA unwinding and expression of alkali-labile damage, followed by electrophoresis for 30 min (25V, 300mA), which allows damaged and/or broken DNA to migrate away from the nucleus. After electrophoresis, the slides were washed three times with a neutralization buffer. Slides were then stained with ethidium bromide (10 μg/ml), visualized by fluorescence microscopy, and analyzed using the Komet 5.0 comet assay analysis software which quantitatively determines the extent of DNA damage in each sample using the mean Olive tail moment calculation as previously described [25]. The head of the comet image consists of intact DNA, while the tail material is comprised of damaged DNA. Longer “tails” are associated with a greater accumulation of damage. Olive tail moment is defined as the function of the tail length and the percentage of DNA in the tail. Olive tail moment = (Tail.mean-Head. mean) X Tail%DNA/100. The mean Olive tail moment was determined for 200 cells (two slides with 100 cells per slide) from each of the four treatment groups (MLT, MLT+MMS, MMS, and Control) and all pairwise comparisons were examined using Fisher’s PLSD.

Genome-wide expression microarray analysis

Genome-wide expression array analysis was only performed following MLT+MMS and MMS treatments. MCF-7 cells were harvested and total RNA was isolated using the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA), with on-column DNA digestion, according to the manufacturer’s instructions for mammalian cells. Genome-wide expression differences among MLT/MMS and diluents/MMS treated cells were determined by using the HumanHT-12 v4 Expression BeadChip, Illumina’s latest whole-genome expression array system (Illumina, San Diego, CA). Samples were run in biological duplicate. Array hybridization and signal scans were performed by Yale University’s W.M. Keck Foundation Biotechnology Research Laboratory. The images were analyzed using the Beadstudio software. Quality control and data analysis were carried out according to the instructions provided by Illumina. All array data have been uploaded to the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database, and can be accessed via their website (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/; accession number pending).

Pathway-based network analysis

The set of differentially expressed transcripts was investigated for network and functional interrelatedness using
the Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA) software tool. Fold changes in gene expression were determined with the normalized signal intensities of probes from MLT+MMS treatment divided by the signal intensities from MMS treatment. The set of differentially expressed genes and their fold change values were inputted into the IPA program and used to identify interaction models of genes using the Ingenuity Knowledge Base, a manually curated database of functional interactions extracted from peer-reviewed publications (http://www.ingenuity.com). A Fisher's exact test based on the hypergeometric distribution was then done for each identified network to determine the likelihood of obtaining at least the same number of interrelated molecules by chance.

**Figure 1** DNA damage repair capacity as assessed by the Comet Assay. (A) Representative cell images from each of the four treatment groups using MCF-7 cells. (B) Mean Olive tail moment determined from each of the four treatment groups using HCT-15 and MCF-7 cells. Among the samples not treated with mutagen, the difference in tail moment between cells in the control group and MLT-treated group was not significant in both cell lines (p=0.976 for MCF-7, p=0.928 for HCT-15). However, among the mutagen-treated populations, cells with MLT pretreatment had a significantly shorter mean Olive tail moment (p<0.0001 in both cell lines) compared to cells without MLT pretreatment. The error bar corresponds to mean±SD.
**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis of DNA damage repair capacity was performed using the Komet 5.0 comet assay analysis software. Differences in DNA repair were determined for all pairwise associations of the four treatment groups (MLT, MLT+MMS, MMS, and Control) using ANOVA Fisher’s PLSD for the mean Olive tail moment with the SAS statistical software package, version 9.1 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC). The Student’s t-test was performed to compare gene expression levels using normalized expression values from the Illumina expression array for the MLT+MMS and MMS treatment groups. Transcripts were identified as significantly influenced by MLT treatment if their expression differences fit the criteria of \( p<0.05 \).

**Results**

To study the impact of melatonin on DNA repair capacity for MMS-induced strand breaks, the comet assay was used to assess the differences in DNA damage accumulation and repair capacity among four treatment groups (MLT, MLT+MMS, MMS, and Control). Figure 1A shows a representative comet assay image from each treatment group using MCF-7 cells, and Figure 1B depicts the mean Olive tail moment for each group in MCF-7 and HCT-15 cells. For MCF-7 cells, the mean Olive tail moments were 0.18±0.10 for the control group, 0.22±0.10 for the MLT treatment group, 1.16±6.51 for MLT+MMS, and 24.13±13.12 for MMS only. For HCT-15, the Olive tail moments were 0.97±0.52 for the control group, 0.86±0.50 for the MLT treatment group, 10.80±5.65 for MLT+MMS, and 29.51±16.10 for MMS only.

Among the samples not treated with mutagen, the differences in mean Olive tail moments between cells in the control group and those in the MLT treatment group were not statistically significant for either cell line (\( p=0.976 \) for MCF-7, \( p=0.928 \) for HCT-15), indicating that without inducing damage, there is no difference in detected DNA damage levels. However, among the mutagen-treated populations, cells with MLT pretreatment had a significantly shorter mean Olive tail moment (\( p<0.001 \) in both cell lines), as depicted in Figure 1B, indicating increased DNA repair capacity compared to cells without MLT pretreatment.

To further investigate possible mechanisms of the observed protective effect of MLT on DNA repair capacity induced by mutagen exposure, we performed a genomewide expression microarray analysis. The identified transcripts affected by MLT upon MMS exposure were investigated for functional interrelatedness using the IPA software. There were 104 genes identified from the expression microarray analysis, as shown in Additional file 1: Table S1. A list of the top 5 networks significantly associated with the set of input genes is displayed in Table 1. The network most significantly associated with the set of altered genes was defined as having relevance for “DNA Replication, Recombination, and Repair, Gene Expression, and Cancer” (Score=34, Figure 2).

This network consists of 36 genes, 16 of which exhibited altered expression following MLT treatment (ARHGAP6, ...)

**Table 1 Functions and molecules in the top 5 networks of identified genes regulated by MLT**

| Network | Score | Focus molecules | Top functions | Molecules in network |
|---------|-------|-----------------|---------------|---------------------|
| I       | 34    | 16              | DNA Replication, Recombination, and Repair, Gene Expression, Cancer | ARHGAP6, C1orf23, C2CD2, C2CD4B, CCNB2, CEP63, CEP152, CR2, DCAF11, DYNN1L2, G2P2RD2B, HNRNPD, INT2S, MCART1, mir-183, mir-548i/mir-548a-3p, N4BP2L2, NEIL1, PARP1, PCNA, PCTY1B, POLB, PRDX6, RBM47, SPAG5, SPRY2, TET1, THEM5, TRAPP5, UBE2A, UBE2G2, WRN, XRC1, ZNF264/ZNF805 |
| II      | 31    | 15              | Cell-to-Cell Signaling and Interaction, Cellular Movement, Tissue Development | ADAR81, APPBP2, ASAP2, CADM1, CRB2A2, DENND1A, FAM96A, FRK, GLDN, KIAA0907, LYPD1, MAP3K13, mir-450, mir-873, mir-450b-5p, mir-520d-5p/mir-524-5p, mir-548p, MUL1, NFASC, NPTN, PCKS5, PDE6D, RAD54B, RBM47, SDHAF2, SHB, SLCL16A9, STK10, TBL2, TCEB3, TET1, THSD7A, TMCO2, UBE2Q2, ZNF430 |
| III     | 28    | 14              | Cell-mediated Immune Response, Cellular Movement, Hematological System Development and Function | Acan, ALOX12, ANKLE2, C2orf27A/C2orf27B, CCDC90A, CDC42, CDSKRAP2, CILP2, CLEC2D, CMA1, CST3, CTSH, EIF5A, FN1, HP, IFI27, IL13, IL11RA, ITGA1, LPL, LLGL2, mir-29b/mir-29c/mir-29a, PLUNC, RabbbGTB, RCC2, RPL5, RPL23, SFTPB, SLCL23A2, SOCS5, SPAG4, STAT3, TGFBI, trentin, WDR92 |
| IV      | 28    | 14              | Lipid Metabolism, Small Molecule Biochemistry, Cardiovascular System Development and Function | ATP, C17orf90, C1orf123, Ca2+, CCDC90B, DCAF11, DMC1, FGPS, FXYD6, G protein alpha, GABRP1, GBPSI, GP350, GRB14, GTP, HST1H2A, HST2H2A3/HST2H2A4, HNF4A, IRF-125a-3p, mir-1267/mir-582-3p, MRPS12, NOP16, P2RY2, P2RY3, P2RY5, PLCB3, PRDX5, PKT2, RGL2, RPL30, RPL18A, RTCD1, TAC3, THAP4 |
| V       | 23    | 12              | Cell Death, Cellular Development, Cellular Growth and Proliferation | 26s Proteasome, Akt, BEX2, BRAP, C1L2, CCL3, DYM1K8, ERBB3, ERK1/2, HER, HERBP, HEY1, HRAS, IGE1, ILE17R, IL36A, Insulin, LDL, Mapk, mir-205, NFKB, Oas1h, Olf1508, P38 MAPK, Pdgf, PDK1, PI3K, Pvr, Rasgrf, RGS3, RPS6KA, SIRT4, TUBB, UT2S |
C2CD2, C2CD4B, CEP152, GTF2IRD2B, INTS2, MCART1, N4BP2L2, NEIL1, PARP11, RBM47, TET1, THEM5, TRAPPC1, UBE2A and ZNF264/ZNF805). Among these genes are several with known roles in the regulation of DNA repair activity, including CEP152, a regulator of genomic integrity and cellular response to DNA damage through the ATR-mediated checkpoint signaling pathway [26]. Our results indicate that CEP152 expression was significantly upregulated following MLT treatment. Similarly, N4BP2L2 was induced after MMS exposure and higher
\textit{N4BP2L2} expression was detected in MLT pretreated cells upon MMS exposure. \textit{N4BP2L2}, which encodes a phosphonoformate immuno-associated protein, is phosphorylated by ATM or ATR upon DNA damage. Many other pathways relevant to DNA repair were also identified. As illustrated in Figure 3, genes directly or indirectly influenced by MLT are involved in multiple signaling pathways associated with DNA repair, including IGF1 signaling, EIF2 signaling, JAK/Stat signaling, PI3K/AKT signaling, and P70S6K signaling.

\textbf{Discussion}

The protective role of melatonin in DNA damage response observed in our study is congruent with previous findings. Since melatonin was initially identified as a free radical scavenger in 1993, many studies have shown that melatonin may protect DNA against free radical damage [27-31] by stimulating antioxidative enzymes and scavenging -OH radicals [32,33]. In addition to stimulating DNA repair capacity, melatonin may aid in inactivating the DNA-damaging agent [34]. However, most previous studies have focused their investigation on the role of melatonin in protecting the cell against oxidative DNA damage. Our results demonstrate for the first time that melatonin may also increase DNA repair capacity against strand breaks caused by the DNA damaging agent MMS \textit{in vitro}, as measured by the comet assay.

Although increasing evidence suggests a protective role of melatonin in the DNA repair pathway, the molecular mechanism of melatonin is still unclear, especially with regard to the potential impact of melatonin on the expression of DNA damage responsive genes. Our current study examined genome-wide gene expression affected by MLT upon MMS-induced DNA damage and found that melatonin can affect multiple DNA damage responsive pathways that are involved in several signaling pathways associated with DNA damage.

Upregulation of \textit{CEP152} and \textit{N4BP2L2} associated with MLT pre-treatment in our study showed positive activation of DNA repair capacity. \textit{CEP152} is a centrosomal protein involved in the maintenance of genomic integrity and response to DNA damage, acting as a scaffold facilitating the recruitment of PLK4 and CPAP to the centrosome to ensure a faithful centrosome duplication process [24,34]. Increased \textit{CEP152} expression may facilitate DNA damage checkpoint control and ATR/ATM-mediated phosphorylation in response to accumulation of DNA damage [26,35,36].

It has been suggested that \textit{N4BP2L2} is phosphorylated at serine 199 as a substrate of the protein kinases ATM and ATR in response to DNA damage [37]. ATR is known to be involved mainly in responses to DNA single-strand breaks (SSBs), while ATM is activated in response to DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs). Since ATM and ATR both trigger an overlapping set of cellular responses that promote cell cycle arrest and DNA repair [38], it is suggested that MLT may activate multiple DNA repair processes through the increase of \textit{N4BP2L2} expression and induction of ATR/ATM-mediated damage responsive pathways.

While our data support melatonin’s protective role in DNA strand breaks and illuminate potential molecular pathways by which this role is fulfilled, without the inclusion of additional experimental evidence, our conclusions remain quite exploratory. Future investigations should therefore make use of alternative strand break visualization technologies, including phospho-H2AX labeling, to provide confirmational evidence, assess the kinetics of MLT’s effect on DNA repair, and measure the response in specific biological pathways to MLT induction at the protein level.

\textbf{Conclusion}

Our data support the hypothesis that melatonin may enhance DNA repair capacity and play a protective role in
Additional file

Additional file 1: Table S1. Identified genes affected by melatonin upon MMS-induced DNA damage.

Competing interests
The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contribution
AF and AEH helped with statistical analysis and data interpretation. TZ and YZ helped design the study. RL performed the experiments and drafted the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements
This work was supported by NIH grant ES019155 and funds from Yale University.

Author details
1. Key Laboratory of Environmental Medicine Engineering, Ministry of Education, School of Public Health, Southeast University, Nanjing 210009, China. 2. Department of Environmental Health and Sciences, Yale School of Public Health, New Haven, Connecticut, CT 06520, USA. 3. Department of Epidemiology, Tulane School of Public Health and Tropical Medicine and Tulane Cancer Center, New Orleans, LA 70112, USA.

Received: 31 August 2012 Accepted: 11 December 2012 Published: 7 January 2013

References
1. Blask DE, Hill SM, Dauchy RT, Xiang S, Yuan L, Duplessis T, Mao L, Dauchy E, Sauer LA: Circadian regulation of molecular, dietary, and metabolic signaling mechanisms of human breast cancer growth by the nocturnal melatonin signal and the consequences of its disruption by light at night. J Pineal Res 2011, 51:259–269.
2. Motinha V, Garcia-Maurino S, Talero E, Illanes M: New paradigms in chronic intestinal inflammation and colon cancer: role of melatonin. J Pineal Res 2011, 51:44–60.
3. Schernhammer ES, Rosner B, Willett WC, Laden F, Colditz GA, Hankinson SE: Epidemiology of urinary melatonin in women and its relation to other hormones and night work. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2004, 13:936–943.
4. Schernhammer ES, Kroenke CH, Laden F, Hankinson SE: Night work and risk of breast cancer. Epidemiology 2006, 17:108–111.
5. Wiswanath An, Hankinson SE, Schernhammer ES: Night shift work and the risk of endometrial cancer. Cancer Res 2007, 67:10618–10622.
6. Grin W, Grunberger W: A significant correlation between melatonin deficiency and endometrial cancer. Gynecol Obstet Invest 1998, 45:62–65.
7. Karasek M, Kowalski AJ, Zylinska K: Serum melatonin circadian profile in women suffering from the genital tract cancers. Neuro Endocrinol Lett 2000, 21:109–113.
8. Kothari A, Borges A, Kothari L: Chemoprevention by melatonin and combined melatonin-tamoxifen therapy of second generation nitrosourea-induced mammary tumours in rats. Eur J Cancer Prev 1995, 4:497–500.
9. Anisimov VN, Popovich IG, Zabotinski MA: Melatonin and colon carcinogenesis. I. Inhibitory effect of melatonin on development of intestinal tumours induced by 1,2-dimethylhydrazine in rats. Carcinogenesis 1997, 18:1549–1553.
10. Anisimov VN, Zabotinski MA, Popovich IG, Zaripova FA, Musatov SA, Andre V, Vigueux C, Godard T, Schel F: Inhibitory effect of melatonin on 7,12-dimethylbenzo[a]anthracene-induced carcinogenesis of the uterine cervix and vagina in mice and mutagenesis in vitro. Cancer Lett 2000, 156:199–205.
11. Imaida K, Hagihara A, Yoshino H, Tamaro S, Sano M, Futakuchi M, Ogawa K, Asamoto M, Shitai T: Inhibitory effects of low doses of melatonin on induction of preneoplastic liver lesions in a medium-term liver bioassay in F344 rats: relation to the influence of electromagnetic near field exposure. Cancer Lett 2000, 155:105–114.
12. Vesnushkin GM, Plotnikova NA, Semenchenko AV, Anisimov VN: [Melatonin inhibits urethane-induced carcinogenesis tumors in murine lung]. Vopr Onkol 2006, 52:164–168.
13. Anisimov VN, Popovich IG, Zabotinski MA, Anisimov SV, Vesnushkin GM, Vinogradova IA: Melatonin as antioxidant, geroprotector and anticarcinogen. Biochim Biophys Acta 2006, 1757:573–589.
14. Cos S, Mediavilla MD, Fernandez R, Gonzalez-Lamuno D, Sanchez-Barcelo EJ: Does melatonin induce apoptosis in MCF-7 human breast cancer cells in vitro? J Pineal Res 2002, 32:90–96.
15. Cos S, Fernandez F, Sanchez-Barcelo EJ: Melatonin inhibits DNA synthesis in MCF-7 human breast cancer cells in vitro. Life Sci 1996, 58:2447–2453.
16. Cos S, Recio J, Sanchez-Barcelo EJ: Modulation of the length of the cell cycle time of MCF-7 human breast cancer cells by melatonin. Life Sci 1996, 58:811–816.
17. Cos S, Gonzalez A, Guzmanes A, Mediavilla MD, Martinez-Campa C, Alonso-Gonzalez C, Sanchez-Barcelo EJ: Melatonin inhibits the growth of DMBA-induced mammary tumors by decreasing the local biosynthesis of estrogens through the modulation of aromatase activity. Int J Cancer 2006, 118:274–278.
18. Blask DE, Dauchy RT, Sauer LA: Putting cancer to sleep at night: the neuroendocrine/circadian melatonin signal. Endocrine 2005, 27:179–188.
19. Leone-Bianco MM, Guerrero JM, Reiter RJ, Calvo JR, Pozo D: Melatonin inhibits telomerase activity in the MCF-7 tumor cell line both in vivo and in vitro. J Pineal Res 2003, 35:204–211.
20. Slivinski T, Rzeczyk W, Morawiec-Bajda A, Morawiec Z, Reiter R, Blasjak J: Protective action of melatonin against oxidative DNA damage: chemical inactivation versus base-excision repair. Mutat Res 2007, 634:220–227.
21. Spert G, Schutz P, Hoffmann H: Enhancement of genotoxic effects in the comet assay with human blood samples by phenothiazines. Toxicol Lett 2004, 153:303–310.
22. Ostling O, Johanson KJ: Microelectrophoretic study of radiation-induced DNA damages in individual mammalian cells. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 1984, 123:291–298.
23. Singh NP, McCoy MT, Tice RR, Schneider EL: A simple technique for quantitation of low levels of DNA damage in individual cells. Exp Cell Res 1988, 175:184–191.
24. Czemeclegioi O, Arnold M, Bajt R, Setele F, Eschert H, Haselmann-Weiss U, Antony C, Hoffmann I: Cep152 acts as a scaffold for recruitment of Plk4 and CPAP to the centrosome. J Cell Biol 2010, 191:731–739.
25. Olive PL, Banath JP, Durand RE: Heterogeneity in radiation-induced DNA damage and repair in tumor and normal cells measured using the "comet" assay. Radiat Res 1990, 122:96–100.
26. Kalay E, Yigit A, Aslan Y, Brown KE, Pohl E, Bicknell LS, Kayserik H, Liu Y, Tuyuz B, Numberg G, et al: CEP152 is a genome maintenance protein disrupted in Seckel syndrome. Nat Genet 2011, 43:23–26.
27. Tan LDC, Poeggeler B, Manchester LC, Reiter RJ: Melatonin: a potent, endogenous hydroxyl radical scavenger. Endocr 1993, 47:5–67.
28. Gaia M, Mitty P, Choubey V, Mitra K, Reiter RJ, Bandyopadhyay U: Melatonin inhibits free radical-mediated mitochondrial-dependent hepatocyte apoptosis and liver damage induced during malarial infection. J Pineal Res 2007, 43:372–381.
29. Popov SV, Pashkov AN, Popova TN, Semenikina AV, Rakhmanova TI: Melatonin as a corrector of free radical oxidation processes during toxic damage of liver in rats. Eksp Klin Farmakol 2007, 70:486–51.
30. Farnam P, Lunson J, Nyokong T, Daya S: Melatonin protects against copper-mediated free radical damage. J Pineal Res 2002, 32:237–242.
31. Reiter RJ, Acuna-Castroviejo D, Tan DX, Burkhartd S: Free radical-mediated molecular damage. Mechanisms for the protective actions of melatonin in the central nervous system. Ann N Y Acad Sci 2001, 939:200–215.
32. Osseni RA, Pat P, Bodgan A, Warnet JM, Toutou Y: Evidence of prooxidant and antioxidant action of melatonin on human liver cell line HepG2. Life Sci 2000, 68:387–399.
33. Clapp-Lilly KL, Smith MA, Perry G, Harris PL, Zhu X, Duffy LK: Melatonin acts as antioxidant and pro-oxidant in an organotypic slice culture model of Alzheimer's disease. Neuroreport 2001, 12:1277–1280.
34. Hatch EM, Kulkarni A, Holland AJ, Cleveland DW, Stearns T: Cep152 interacts with Plk4 and is required for centriole duplication. J Cell Biol 2010, 191:721–729.
35. Liu Q, Guntuku S, Cui XS, Matsuoka S, Cortez D, Tamai K, Luo G, Carattini-Rivera S, DeMayo F, Bradley A, et al: Chk1 is an essential kinase that is regulated by Atr and required for the G(2)/M DNA damage checkpoint. Genes Dev 2000, 14:1448-1459.

36. Hanasoge S, Ljungman M: H2AX phosphorylation after UV irradiation is triggered by DNA repair intermediates and is mediated by the ATR kinase. Carcinogenesis 2007, 28:2298-2304.

37. Matsuoka S, Ballif BA, Smogorzewska A, McDonald ER 3rd, Hurov KE, Luo J, Bakalarski CE, Zhao Z, Solimini N, Lerenthal Y, et al: ATM and ATR substrate analysis reveals extensive protein networks responsive to DNA damage. Science 2007, 316:1160-1166.

38. Bonner WM, Redon CE, Dickey JS, Nakamura AJ, Sedelnikova OA, Solier S, Pommier Y: GammaH2AX and cancer. Nat Rev Cancer 2008, 8:957-967.

doi:10.1186/1471-2121-14-1

Cite this article as: Liu et al: Melatonin enhances DNA repair capacity possibly by affecting genes involved in DNA damage responsive pathways. BMC Cell Biology 2013 14:1.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit