Floristic analysis of semi-arid mountain ecosystems of the Griqualand West centre of plant endemism, Northern Cape, South Africa
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Abstract. Van Staden N, Siebert SJ, Cilliers DP, Wilsenach D, Frisby AW. 2020. Floristic analysis of semi-arid mountain ecosystems of the Griqualand West centre of plant endemism, Northern Cape, South Africa. Biodiversitas 21: 1989-2002. The Griqualand West Centre (GWC) is one of 13 centres of endemism in South Africa. Despite its unique flora, it remains poorly conserved and studied. A recent study identified an extensive geographical core area for the GWC, but endemic plant species were found to be absent from certain parts within these borders. To address this, we refined the current GWC borders based on an ecological niche model, which predicted that endemic species are restricted to four mountain ranges within GWC. Mountain flora within these refined borders were then floristically compared to assess whether they are hotspots of endemism. Floristically, the Asteraceae, Fabaceae, Malvaceae, and Poaceae were the dominant plant families. Mountain ecosystems differed from one another at species level, with indicator species determined by mean annual precipitation, Cation Exchange Capacity, cation exchange ratio, cation-exchange capacity, sand content, and soil pH. Compositionally, environmental factors are possible drivers of niche partitioning, environmental filtering and habitat specialization in each mountain ecosystem. Limestone and banded ironstone habitats were identified as conservation priority areas, since they contained the highest numbers of rare and threatened GWC restricted-range species, of which six were narrow endemics.
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INTRODUCTION

Mountain ecosystems are characterized by distinct florae (Harrison et al. 2009), due to habitat heterogeneity (Noroozi et al. 2018; Chakraborty 2019). Mountains are therefore considered to function like edaphic islands (Rajakaruna 2004), with specific microclimates and -habitats to which plant species are adapted by developing special traits (Rajakaruna 2004; Rajakaruna 2018), resulting in speciation and species-rich florae (Kruceberg 1969). Many unique edaphic florae of mountain ecosystems have been found to be associated with centres of endemism (Van Wyk and Smith 2001; Williamson and Balkwill 2015; Noroozi et al. 2018). Edaphic florae are therefore rich in endemic, edaphic specialists (Schmiedel and Jürgens 1999; Siebert et al. 2002). This phenomenon is typical for banded ironstone (Jacobi et al. 2007; Markey and Dilllon 2010), quartzite (Schmiedel and Jürgens 1999; Curtis et al. 2013), and carbonate soils (Peñas et al. 2005; Siebert and Siebert 2005; Mota et al. 2008). Mountain florae of GWC are characterized by these rock types (Frisby et al. 2019), and has a heterogeneous undulating landscape with diverse climate and unique vegetation types (Mucina and Rutherford 2006). Despite GWC's distinct vegetation, and known endemic flora of 24 endemics and two near-endemic plant species (Frisby et al. 2019), our understanding regarding its plant diversity patterns is limited. Botanical studies in GWC are few (Wilman 1946; Mostert 1967; Frisby et al. 2019; Van Munster et al. 2019) and, hence, a descriptive assessment of the endemic edaphic flora across different mountain geologies of GWC is required to encourage conservation initiatives (Table 1).

Globally, centres of endemism are inadequately conserved with some regions not being included within borders of protected areas (Millar et al. 2017). Hence, centres of endemism should garner more conservation attention and it is, therefore, essential to understand the patterns and drivers of endemism (Noroozi et al. 2018; Taylor-Smith et al. 2020). Accurate identification of the floristic borders of centers of endemism is imperative to aid with designs for effective and strategic biodiversity conservation and management (Wang et al. 2020). Accurate demarcation of centres of endemism at a finer scale is necessary to ensure comprehensive conservation.
and management of species to be protected (Cañadas et al. 2014). Endemic species have the potential to serve as flagship species, and conservation action will become more effective by focusing on regions where endemics occur exclusively (Noroozi et al. 2018; Taylor-Smith et al. 2020). This seems logical especially when funding for conservation is limited (Margules and Pressey 2000).

This study was conducted to promote conservation strategies by providing conservation authorities with detailed information to ensure proper conservation of GWC, by focusing on priority areas where endemic species occur at a finer scale. This paper addresses two primary aims to develop a better understanding of the GWC and its flora. Firstly, the borders of GWC are refined to establish which main mountain ranges fall within the centre by using a MaxEnt spatial model based on geology, climate, and topography in combination with distribution data of GWC endemics. Refining the borders of GWC will (i) result in a smaller geographical region that will allow for focused botanical studies and, (ii) ensure targeted conservation of endemic plant species. Secondly, the flora associated with the main mountain ecosystems within these newly refined borders will be described. By doing so, knowledge regarding floristic characteristics of the ecosystems will depict the distinctness of the mountain floras. Mountain floras will be described based on (i) dominant plant families, (ii) common species, (iii) indicator plant species, (iv) threatened and endemic species, and (v) species composition.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study area**

GWC was first proposed and mapped by Van Wyk and Smith (2001). Recently, borders were described and set by Frisby et al. (2019). This description was based on QDG’s of the total distribution of GWC endemic species *per se*, which resulted in an extensive area (75 172 km²).

The GWC falls within the Savanna Biome. The landscape is heterogeneous with mountain ranges and/or ridges trending north-south (Figure 1), with the intermontane valleys filled with Kalahari sands (Mucina and Rutherford 2006). In the east, GWC consists of the dolomitic Ghaap Plateau bordering on an undulating set of low banded ironstone hills called the Asbestos- and Kuruman Hills. The landscape becomes more rugged in the west of GWC due to the quartzitic Langberg. These mountain systems are each characterized by endemic vegetation units, i.e. Ghaap Plateau Vaal Bushveld, Kuruman Mountain Bushveld, and Koranna-Langberg Mountain Bushveld (Mucina and Rutherford 2006).

**Climate**

GWC falls within the summer rainfall region of South Africa. Rainfall is highly erratic, and a semi-arid climate prevails. From east to west, a gradient of increasing aridity is evident. In the east, the Ghaap Plateau receives higher rainfall, whereas the Langberg in the west is a region of lower, more arid rainfall (Table 1). The Kuruman Hills receive higher rainfall than the southern Asbestos Hills of the same geology (Mucina and Rutherford 2006). The mountains are slightly cooler than the lower-lying areas (Mucina and Rutherford 2006; Frisby et al. 2019).

**Geology and soil**

Three subgroups of the Ghaap Group (Griqualand West Basin) are found in GWC, namely Campbell Rand, Schmidtsdrif and Asbestos Hills (Van Wyk and Smith 2001; Eriksson et al. 2006). The former two subgroups dominate on the Ghaap Plateau. Soils are rich in lime due to the prevalence of dolomite, limestone, and chert (Keyser 1997). Therefore, the soil of the Ghaap Plateau is rich in both magnesium (Mg) and calcium (Ca) (McCarthy and Rubidge 2005). On dolomites, soils are sandy and dark brown to reddish, while shallow, black, turfy and alkaline soils are found on limestone. Furthermore, the soil is underlain by weathered rock and slightly leached (Mucina and Rutherford 2006; AGIS 2007).

The Asbestos Hills subgroup dominates on the Kuruman- and Asbestos Hills that consist mainly of banded ironstone. Additionally, jaspilite, chert and riebeckite asbestos are associated with these two mountain ecosystems (Keyser 1997; Mucina and Rutherford 2006). Soils are sandy and shallow with 60-80% of the soil surface covered with boulders or rocks (Mucina and Rutherford 2006; AGIS 2007).

The geology of the Langberg consists of clastic sediments such as quartzite (white, pink and green), greywacke, lavas, conglomerate, and hematite of the Olifantshoek Supergroup (Keyser 1997; Mucina and Rutherford 2006). Arenaceous rocks (derived from or containing sand) of the Volop Group are well exposed in the landscape with red-brown arenites of the Matsap Subgroup overlying the Hartley Formation, which is a layer of conglomerate (Moen 2006). Slopes are steep (mostly 10-50°) with limited soil cover. Soils are rocky with exposed boulders and/or rocks covering more than 80% of the landscape (AGIS 2007). Soil texture varies from sandy loam to sandy clay loam (AGIS 2007).

**Table 1.** Summary of environmental conditions associated with each GWC mountain landscape (Mucina and Rutherford 2006)

| Mountain            | Approx. area (km²) | Altitude (m.a.s.l.) | MAT (°C) | MAP (mm) | Geology                      |
|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------|----------|------------------------------|
| Ghaap Plateau       | 14 997             | 1 100-1 500         | 17.1     | 370-425  | Dolomite, limestone          |
| Kuruman Hills       | 1 236              | 1 100-1 800         | 16.8     | 355-375  | Banded ironstone             |
| Asbestos Hills      | 2 117              | 1 100-1 800         | 16.8     | 290-360  | Banded ironstone             |
| Langberg            | 1 204              | 1 000-1 850         | 16.8     | 225-295  | Quartzite                    |

Note: Abbreviations in the table are as follows: Meters above sea level (m.a.s.l.), Mean annual temperature (MAT) and Mean annual precipitation (MAP).
Data collection and analysis

Refining the borders

MaxEnt software (Elith et al. 2011; Phillips et al. 2019) was used to develop an ecological niche model for GWC based on bioclimatic variables. MaxEnt uses probability of occurrence to calculate the conditions in which species occur (Phillips et al. 2009). A total of 95 verified occurrence records for 24 endemics and two near-endemic species identified by Frisby et al. (2019) were used as presence records in the model. A total of 19 bioclimatic variables obtained from WorldClim version 2 (Fick and Hijmans 2017) represented environmental conditions (See supplementary, Table A1). An 80/20 split was applied to the occurrence records, with 80% of records (n=76) used to train the model and 20% (n=19) used to test the accuracy of the model prediction. Default settings were used, except for the replication number that was set to 100. The AUC score was used to determine the accuracy of the model (Bean et al. 2012), where an AUC of 1 would indicate a perfect prediction and 0.5 a random prediction (Phillips et al. 2006). An AUC of 0.979 was obtained suggesting a good model prediction. To convert the model output to a binary output usable for delineation purposes, a threshold was applied. The tenth percentile training presence logistic threshold (0.2772), that is suitable to (i) use when dealing with centres of endemism (Escalante et al. 2013) as well as (ii) studies relying on presence-only data (Callen and Miller 2015), was used. The binary output was finally intersected with the boundary delineated by Frisby et al. (2019) as well as the geology (See supplementary, Table A2) preferred by endemics in GWC.

Floristic analysis

Historical data

Species lists for GWC were obtained from BODATSA (Ranwashe 2019). This data was supplemented with specimen records obtained from herbaria with collections from the GW region, including PUC, KMG, PRU, KSAN, NMB, BLFU, and PRE. All distribution data were captured at species level at QDG resolution. Further distribution data were supplemented from literature sources (Van Wyk and Smith 2001; Mucina and Rutherford 2006).

Field sampling

The four mountain ecosystems within the refined border of the GWC were sampled in the wet season. Total rainfall, obtained from the SAWS for January to April 2018 (sampling year), ranged between 160.6 mm and 422.4 mm (west to east). A total of eight Modified-Whittaker plots (50 m x 20 m) were sampled per mountain system. The 50 m sides of transects were placed parallel to the slope of the mountain with the 20 m sides perpendicular to the 50 m sides. Two 1 m² sub-plots were sampled within two opposite corners of the plot. All rooted herbaceous individuals within sub-plots were identified up to species level and counted. Soil samples were collected for each Modified-Whittaker plot and five soil samples were randomly collected at a depth of 0-10 cm, depending on soil depth due to rockiness. From this, a composite sample was compiled and thoroughly mixed. Macro- and micro-nutrients of soil samples were analyzed using a portable XRF analyzer (Koch et al. 2017). Particle size distribution, soil pH, EC, CEC, percentage clay, and silt were analyzed according to procedures prescribed by the Non-Affiliated Soil Analysis Work Committee (1990).

Data analysis

Floristic analysis was conducted on the four mountain ranges within the refined borders of GWC. Plant lists were compiled for each mountain system based on historical distribution records that were obtained from herbarium specimens and combined with collected field data. A total
of 44 field-collected plant specimens that could not be identified below genus level, were excluded from plant lists. Combined historical and field-collected data in the 1 m² sub-plots were used to identify the 20 largest plant families of each mountain which were furthermore ranked based on the number of species. Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient tests were performed in Statistica version 13.3 (TIBCO 2017) to assess similarity of plant family rankings between mountains. This correlation analysis followed a pairwise comparison between mountain combinations and Spearman’s rho (ρ), ranging from -1 and 1 (Schober et al. 2018), and was calculated for each pairwise rank. Significance was determined at p<0.05. Jaccard similarity coefficients were performed on presence or absence of collected species data within 1 m² sub-plots using PAST (Hammer et al. 2001). This analysis was conducted to establish the degree of similarity between sampled mountain systems based on presence/absence of herbaceous species. Plant species sampled in sub-plots of the Modified-Whittaker plots were ranked based on their overall abundances to reveal common plant species. NMDS scatter plot of 1 m² field collected data, using the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity distance measure, was constructed in Primer 6 (2012) to compare herbaceous species composition of mountain ecosystems. To assess whether clustering in the NMDS was significant, Non-parametric PERMANOVA analysis was conducted in Primer 6 (2012). Furthermore, indicator species analysis was performed in RStudio using the IndVal function under the labdsv package (Roberts 2016) and significance levels were set at p<0.05. To correlate abundance of collected indicator plant species within sub-plots with environmental variables, CCA was performed in Canoco 5 (Šmilauer and Lepš 2014).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Refined borders of GWC

The niche model had an average AUC of 0.979 for the 100 replicate runs, suggesting high model performance and a very good prediction (Phillips et al. 2006). Bioclimatic variables that showed the highest model contribution included temperature seasonality (annual range in temperature), precipitation seasonality (annual range in precipitation) and precipitation of the driest quarter (Table 2). This model output was overlaid onto the geology that is known to harbor GWC endemics and the core area boundary proposed by Frisby et al. (2019). The refined area (Figure 2), where all three layers overlap, is strongly associated with mountainous habitats with their associated unique geology and cooler climate, implying that endemic plant species are absent from the warmer, sand-filled valleys. Thus, the mountains of GWC are identified as hotspots within the centre of endemism due to topographic heterogeneity, geology and climate (Cañañas et al. 2014; Noroozi et al. 2018; Tordoni et al. 2020). The newly refined boundaries of GWC covers 24 075 km², a surface area three times smaller than the core area of 75 172 km² as proposed by Frisby et al. (2019). The refined boundaries of GWC are thus identified as conservation priority and emphasize the need to focus on a finer scale when defining centres of plant endemism. Focusing conservation efforts on the endemic rich mountains will ensure that rare species are protected (Noroozi et al. 2018). However, a systematic conservation approach (Margules and Pressey 2000) and development of conservation plans are required (Tordoni et al. 2020), since identified hotspots of endemism within GWC lie outside the borders of established protected areas, i.e. Mokala National Park, Witsand Nature Reserve, and Tswalu Kalahari Reserve.

Table 2. Estimates of the relative contributions of bioclimatic variables (BIO) to the MaxEnt model for GWC endemics. Values shown are averages of 100 replicate runs.

| Variable | Percent contribution (%) |
|----------|--------------------------|
| BIO1 = Annual Mean Temperature | 0.2 |
| BIO2 = Mean Diurnal Range (Mean of monthly (max temp - min temp)) | 1 |
| BIO3 = Isothermality (BIO2/BIO7) (* 100) | 0 |
| BIO4 = Temperature Seasonality (standard deviation *100) | 37.2 |
| BIO5 = Max Temperature of Warmest Month | 0.4 |
| BIO6 = Min Temperature of Coldest Month | 0.4 |
| BIO7 = Temperature Annual Range (BIO5-BIO6) | 2.4 |
| BIO8 = Mean Temperature of Wettgest Quarter | 0.5 |
| BIO9 = Mean Temperature of Driest Quarter | 0.2 |
| BIO10 = Mean Temperature of Warmest Quarter | 0 |
| BIO11 = Mean Temperature of Coldest Quarter | 0.1 |
| BIO12 = Annual Precipitation | 5.5 |
| BIO13 = Precipitation of Wettest Month | 0.1 |
| BIO14 = Precipitation of Driest Month | 1.6 |
| BIO15 = Precipitation Seasonality (Coefficient of Variation) | 27.8 |
| BIO16 = Precipitation of Wettest Quarter | 0.1 |
| BIO17 = Precipitation of Driest Quarter | 21.4 |
| BIO18 = Precipitation of Warmest Quarter | 1.3 |
| BIO19 = Precipitation of Coldest Quarter | 0 |
Soil characteristics

Ca content exceeded 11,000 mg/kg on the Ghaap Plateau, whilst Mg reached levels above 5,000 mg/kg (Table 3). Consequently, the Ca: Mg ratio was above 2 and the soil pH>7. These soil chemical properties of dolomite and limestone soil are supported by Lee (1999). Iron (Fe) levels were high (>50,000 mg/kg) on banded ironstone Asbestos- and Kuruman Hills (Table 3) due to presence of hematite (Fe₂O₃) and magnetite (Fe₃O₄) (Trendall 2013). Ca: Mg ratios were high due to lower concentrations of Mg (<3000 mg/kg) and higher Ca content (>3000 mg/kg). Furthermore, the two banded ironstone habitats were characterized by more acidic soils (pH<7) (Thompson and Sheehy 2011). This suggests that that banded ironstone differs from acidic serpentine soils that are usually associated with higher concentrations of Mg than Ca (Robinson et al. 1996; Alexander 2011). Aluminum (Al) levels exceeded 30,000 mg/kg on the Langberg and Asbestos Hills (Table 3). Despite Al being one of the most abundant metals in soils, the availability thereof to plants is dependent on low soil pH (Gupta et al. 2013; Bojórquez-Quintal et al. 2017). When soil acidity increases, Al can become available to plants and inhibit plant growth (Abedi et al. 2013; Bojórquez-Quintal et al. 2017). However, Al may be beneficial to certain taxa or contribute to the development of tolerance mechanisms in plants (Bojórquez-Quintal et al. 2017). In addition, Al levels act as an environmental filter (Abedi et al. 2013) that contribute to compositional and structural changes in plant communities (Mota et al. 2018). Acid and sandy soils, especially those associated with quartzite, are known to be rich in Al, low in clay content and all of potassium (K), sodium (Na), Mg and Ca, and, hence, considered nutrient-poor (Negreiros et al. 2014; Do Carmo and Jacobi 2016). EC, an indicator of soil fertility (Fourie 2019), for the dry Langberg and Asbestos Hills, was below 23 Ms/m and indicating lower fertility (Table 3). In contrast, EC values were higher (>30 Ms/m) for the Kuruman Hills and Ghaap Plateau. A soil fertility gradient, together with a rainfall gradient, could thus be observed for GWC as indicated by the dendrogram of Jaccard Similarity based on sampled species (Figure 3).
Table 3. Mean concentrations with standard deviation of four elements and physical properties of soils associated with each mountain (n=8). LB=Langberg; AH=Asbestos Hills; KH=Kuruman Hills; GP=Ghaap Plateau.

|                | Ca (mg/kg) | Mg (mg/kg) | Fe (mg/kg) | Al (mg/kg) | Ca: Mg | pH | EC (Nsm/m) | CEC cmol(+)/kg |
|----------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|--------|----|------------|----------------|
| LB             | 1347±291   | 2643±664   | 1374±1279  | 3368±3568  | 0.5±0.6 | 4.9±0.4 | 19.3±11.2  | 14.9±2.3       |
| AH             | 4040±1237  | 3320±651   | 52437±10021| 36319±4703 | 1.2±0.3 | 6.0±0.3 | 22.4±11.9  | 19.1±2.2       |
| KH             | 3126±2573  | 2946±438   | 6166±19585 | 27055±5043 | 1.1±0.8 | 5.5±0.3 | 31.8±20.2  | 20.6±2.7       |
| GP             | 11844±9241 | 5296±2790  | 18876±4721 | 30142±6981 | 2.2±3.2 | 7.6±0.6 | 32.6±14.2  | 20.2±3.1       |

Table 4. Comparison of field-collected (Field) data with historical herbarium records (Hist.) regarding taxa numbers represented in the flora of each mountain ecosystem of GWC. Unique species are those plant species that are not shared between mountain ranges.

|                | Langberg | Asbestos hills | Kuruman hills | Ghaap plateau |
|----------------|----------|----------------|---------------|---------------|
| Families       | 65       | 39             | 75            | 40            |
| Genera         | 192      | 89             | 252           | 93            |
| Species        | 325      | 126            | 472           | 114           |
| Species: Genus| 1.69     | 1.42           | 1.87          | 1.23          |
| Species:Family | 5        | 3.23           | 6.29          | 2.85          |
| N Unique Species | 3122 | 35             | 112           | 113           |
| % Unique Species | 31.38 | 27.78         | 23.73         | 12.28         |

Flora of GWC’s mountain ecosystems

Sampling effort

A plant list of historical data records was compiled for each mountain system within GWC. As would be expected, historical data indicated higher taxa numbers than field data based on eight Modified-Whittaker plots per system (Table 4). Restricted sampling effort resulted in certain taxa not being found (Spyreas 2016). However, each mountain flora was associated with unique plant species. These species were restricted to specific habitats and can be considered habitat specialists within GWC (Anderson and Ferree 2010; Williamson and Balkwill 2015). Comparing field data with historical data revealed that unique species of the Asbestos Hills were more restricted in distribution and difficult to locate, despite a comparable number of overall species recorded. The opposite was observed for the Ghaap Plateau with unique species seemingly widespread and difficult to locate, despite a comparable number of field data with historical herbarium records (Spyreas 2016).

Dominant plant families

Combined historical and field data revealed that the four most species-rich families across the four mountain landscapes were the Poaceae, Asteraceae, Fabaceae and Malvaceae in descending order (See supplementary, Table A4). These plant families are known to be of the largest and most widespread families, not only in southern Africa, but on a global scale. Members of these four families are known to occupy a variety of habitats and persist under various environmental conditions (Koekemoer et al. 2014). More specifically, the Asteraceae, Fabaceae, and Poaceae have been found to dominate plant communities on limestone and dolomite (Ludwig 1999; Siebert and Siebert 2005), banded ironstone (Jacobi and Do Carmo 2008; Markey and Dillon 2010; Gibson et al. 2012), as well as quartzite (Curtis et al. 2013; Neri et al. 2019). Since GWC is situated in the Savanna Biome, the representation by members of the Malvaceae can be ascribed to their preferred association and diversification in savanna landscapes (Koekemoer et al. 2014; Soares et al. 2015). The joined fifth most species-rich plant families in GWC, i.e. Cyperaceae and Scrophulariaceae is respectively associated either with the lower rainfall (Langberg and Asbestos Hills) or higher rainfall mountains (Kuruman Hills and Ghaap Plateau). The Scrophulariaceae is widely distributed globally and is common in drier, open savanna-grasslands, as well as mountainous areas (Fischer 2004; Koekemoer et al. 2014). Furthermore, some taxa are habitat specialists since they prefer rocky and dry granitic outcrops and/or ferricretes and, hence are often drought tolerant (Clements et al. 2002; Fischer 2004; Koekemoer et al. 2014). Many Scrophulariaceae have also been found to be metallophytes and, hence able to tolerate heavy metals in soils especially copper (Cu) and cobalt (Co) in southern Africa (Faucon et al. 2009). In contrast, the Cyperaceae is mostly found in moister habitats in savanna-grassland regions (Koekemoer et al. 2014). Since the Ghaap Plateau is underlain by dolomite and limestone, soils tend to be rich in lime (CaO), alkaline, high in clay content and poorly drained (Mustart et al. 1994). This provides a suitable habitat for taxa in the Cyperaceae. Ludwig (1999), as well as Swadek and Burgess (2012), conducted studies on North American limestones and found that the Cyperaceae was respectively the fourth and fifth most diverse plant family. Both studies recorded 17...
taxa within the Cyperaceae, a number that corresponds to the number of taxa present on the Ghaap Plateau (See supplementary, Table A4). The Kuruman Hills and Ghaap Plateau are rocky habitats. Consequently, presence of rock crevices, drainage lines and shallow depressions where rainwater can collect, serves as microhabitats for the Cyperaceae to establish successfully (Porembiski and Barthlott 2000; Jacobi and Do Carmo 2008).

**Diversity on family- and species level**

Most diverse families of the Asbestos Hills correlated significantly with the most diverse families of the Kuruman Hills (Table 5; \(\rho=0.88; \ p<0.05\)). Jaccard similarity, based on sampled plant species (Table 6), also revealed highest similarity between these two mountain landscapes (38%). Similarities between the Kuruman- and Asbestos Hills could be ascribed to the fact that both these mountains are characterized by banded ironstone (Van Wyk and Smith 2001) and the same vegetation type, namely the Kuruman Mountain Bushveld (Mucina and Rutherford 2006). Dendrograms of Spearman correlation (See supplementary, Figure B1) and Jaccard similarity (Figure 3) indicated that the floristic difference between the two banded ironstone habitats is most likely attributed to rainfall, since the Kuruman Hills receives higher rainfall than the southern lying Asbestos Hills (Table 1).

The Langberg family diversity was moderately correlated (Table 5) with the Asbestos Hills (\(\rho=0.77; \ p<0.05\)), and the least with the Ghaap Plateau (\(\rho=0.56; \ p<0.05\)). This is likely attributed to differences in pH values (low vs. high) since the Langberg has acidic soils and the Ghaap Plateau is alkaline (Table 3). Jaccard similarity indicated that the Asbestos Hills and Langberg share 34% of their species (Table 6), despite differences in geology. Both these landscapes are characterized by lower rainfall (Table 1), suggesting a shared drought-tolerant and/or resistant flora (Kimball et al. 2017).

The Kuruman Hills and Ghaap Plateau mountain systems revealed similar family diversity (Table 5; \(\rho=0.72; \ p<0.05\)). This finding could be attributed to the higher rainfall regime associated with these two systems within GW (Table 1). Therefore, the most species-rich plant families shared in high abundances, such as Cyperaceae, are adapted to these moister systems (See supplementary, Table A4). However, on species level, the Ghaap Plateau and Kuruman Hills had the lowest Jaccard similarity (Table 6; 22%) which indicates differing species-specific colonization on contrasting geological substrates. Spearman correlation (Table 5) revealed that the Ghaap Plateau and Asbestos Hills were also similar in their most diverse plant families (\(\rho=0.70; \ p<0.05\)), but even more so at species level (Table 6, 34.6%). This was unexpected since these systems differ in geology and rainfall regimes. The only meaningful explanation would be that the Ghaap Plateau and Asbestos Hills are in close proximity to one another (less than 50 km). Therefore, plant species may easily migrate between the two systems especially when species have wide niche breadths and are generalist plant species (Sklénář et al. 2014; Zhang et al. 2016).

**Species composition**

NMDS analysis of floristic sub-plot data revealed clustering according to mountain ecosystems (Figure 4). PERMANOVA analysis revealed that the clustering was significant (See supplementary, Table A5; Pseudo-F=9.138; \(p<0.001\)). Although Langberg plots were dispersed without a clear cluster, herbaceous assemblages differed significantly between mountains (See supplementary, Table A5). These findings are in accordance with studies which have found that plant communities underlay by banded ironstone (Jacobi et al. 2007; Gibson et al. 2012), dolomite and limestone (Siebert and Siebert 2005; Zietsman and Bredenkamp 2007; Mota et al. 2008) and quartzites (Wild et al. 1963; Schmiedel and Jürgens 2004) are distinct. In semi-arid savannas, soil nutrients and rainfall are of the most important factors determining vegetation dynamics, especially for the herbaceous layer (Siebert and Dreber 2019). Despite similar geologies, the separate clustering displayed by the Kuruman Hills and Asbestos Hills emphasizes the important role of rainfall in driving compositional differences, since both have the same rock type, but the latter is a drier system.

Each mountain system was characterized by certain commonly occurring species (See supplementary, Table A6) as indicated by the top 20 highest ranked taxa based on abundance values per se. Despite certain of these taxa being shared between different mountain systems, their relative frequency differed per system. For example, Eragrostis nindensis Ficalho & Hiern was shared between the Langberg, Asbestos Hills and Kuruman Hills, yet this grass species had the highest abundance values on the Langberg (See supplementary, Table A6). Indicator plant species (Table 7) are characterized by high relative frequency of occurrence in a specific mountain habitat (specificity) and thus were primarily found in that habitat in high numbers (Dufrêne and Legendre 1997). In contrast to common species, indicator plant species provide valuable ecological information on various species groups of different plant communities (Dufrêne and Legendre 1997), especially with respect to their habitat preferences and adaptations to persist under certain environmental conditions (Siebert et al. 2010).

The effects of rainfall and soil properties on indicator plant species were confirmed by CCA analysis (Figure 5). Explanatory variables accounted for 47.7% of the total variation. The first canonical axis explained 70.3% of total variation and the second axis 41% of the variance. The Ghaap Plateau was positively correlated with Ca: Mg ratio, soil pH and negatively correlated with Fe content (Figure 5), with a clear separation between the plots of the Ghaap Plateau and banded ironstone hills. Thus, indicator plant species of the Ghaap Plateau preferred alkaline soil with high Ca: Mg ratios. In contrast, those of the Kuruman Hills are adapted to more acidic soils with high Fe content. A study conducted by Li et al. (2015) in subtropical China, revealed separation of indicator plant species across a pH gradient in combination with other environmental variables. Therefore, soil chemical characteristics can be considered as one of the most significant factors driving...
The Langberg was positively correlated with higher soil sand content. The Ghaap Plateau, as well as Kuruman Hills, were positively correlated with MAP and CEC (Figure 5). Indicator plant species of the Langberg, with its low MAP, high sand content and low CEC values, were separated clearly from other wetter and more nutrient-rich mountain systems (Figure 5). Plots of the Asbestos Hills were clustered intermediately between those of the Ghaap Plateau and Kuruman Hills. There is thus evidence of niche partitioning (Naaf and Wulf 2012) and a filtering effect (Franklin et al. 2013) for herbaceous indicator plant species across the nutrient- and rainfall gradient. The two drier and nutrient-poor systems (Langberg and Asbestos Hills) were predominantly characterized by perennial species of only two life form types (dwarf shrubs and grasses; Table 7). In contrast, the regions of higher rainfall and nutrients (Kuruman Hills and Ghaap Plateau), consisted of both perennial and annual indicator plant species comprising four life form types (dwarf shrubs, forbs, grasses, and sedges; Table 7).

Table 5. Spearman rank correlation (ρ) test of the highest-ranked and most diverse plant families. All correlations were significant (p<0.05). ** highest correlation; * lowest correlation.

|                | Asbestos Hills | Langberg | Kuruman Hills |
|----------------|----------------|----------|---------------|
| Langberg       | 0.77           | 0.66     | 0.72          |
| Kuruman Hills  | 0.88**         | 0.56*    | 0.66          |
| Ghaap Plateau  | 0.70           | 0.56*    | 0.72          |

Table 6. Jaccard similarity coefficient measuring the degree of similarity of plant species between sampled mountain systems. Values are expressed as percentages. ** highest similarity; * lowest similarity.

|                | Langberg | Kuruman Hills | Asbestos Hills |
|----------------|----------|---------------|---------------|
| Kuruman Hills  | 31.2     | -             | -             |
| Asbestos Hills | 34.3     | 38.2**        | -             |
| Ghaap Plateau  | 24.3     | 21.7*         | 34.6          |

Figure 3. Dendrogram of Jaccard Similarity indicating the relatedness of each mountain ecosystem across the pH-, nutrient- and rainfall gradient. Clusters were based on presence and absence of sampled plant species.

Figure 4. NMDS ordination of sampled sub-plots representing herbaceous species assemblages of the four mountain ecosystems.
Despite this study not following a trait-based approach, the large variety of life forms and life history characteristics of indicator species associated with the Kuruman Hills and Ghaap Plateau, suggests that niches increase along a soil fertility and precipitation gradient (Schellenberger Costa et al. 2017). Since indicator species of wetter and more nutrient-rich habitats have more traits (i.e., different life forms and life histories), it can be ascribed to niche partitioning (Naaf and Wulf 2012). In contrast, nutrient-poor and drier mountains have indicator plant species with fewer traits (Wright et al. 2002; Shovon et al. 2020). Therefore, reduction of trait richness of indicator plant species in the drier Langberg and Asbestos Hills are ascribed to environmental filtering. Thus, these dominant traits provide species with competitive vigor and stress tolerance to persist in the associated extreme environmental conditions (Ngireiros et al. 2014). This suggests habitat specialization of indicator plant species (Li et al. 2015).

### Table 7. List of indicator plant species associated with each mountain as determined by indicator species analysis (Indval function of the labdsv package in RStudio).

| Mountain       | Family        | Species                        | Indval | p-value | Frequency | Life history | Life form |
|----------------|---------------|--------------------------------|--------|---------|-----------|--------------|-----------|
| Langberg       | Poaceae       | Brachiaria nigropedata         | 0.48   | 0.001   | 13        | Perennial    | Grass     |
|                | Convolvulaceae| Evolulus alsinoide             | 0.44   | 0.001   | 7         | Perennial    | Dwarf shrub|
|                | Poaceae       | Eragrostis nindensis           | 0.32   | 0.019   | 14        | Perennial    | Grass     |
|                | Poaceae       | Eragrostis chloromelas         | 0.2    | 0.037   | 6         | Perennial    | Grass     |
| Asbestos Hills | Acanthaceae   | Glossochilus burchelli         | 0.69   | 0.001   | 11        | Perennial    | Dwarf shrub|
|                | Poaceae       | Tragus koelerioides            | 0.59   | 0.001   | 21        | Perennial    | Grass     |
|                | Poaceae       | Aristida diffusa               | 0.57   | 0.001   | 32        | Perennial    | Grass     |
|                | Poaceae       | Cymbopogon pospischillii       | 0.54   | 0.001   | 28        | Perennial    | Grass     |
|                | Verbenaceae   | Chascanum pinnatifidum         | 0.35   | 0.003   | 15        | Perennial    | Dwarf shrub|
|                | Malvaceae     | Sida chrysanthua               | 0.32   | 0.007   | 11        | Perennial    | Dwarf shrub|
|                | Malvaceae     | Corchorus asperinifolius       | 0.25   | 0.034   | 12        | Perennial    | Forb      |
|                | Lamiaceae     | Leucas capensis                | 0.21   | 0.028   | 5         | Perennial    | Dwarf shrub|
| Kuruman Hills  | Poaceae       | Diheteropogon amplexens        | 0.81   | 0.001   | 13        | Perennial    | Grass     |
|                | Cyperaceae    | Bulbosystis hispidula          | 0.67   | 0.001   | 15        | Annual       | Sedge     |
|                | Poaceae       | Cymbopogon caesi               | 0.5    | 0.002   | 8         | Perennial    | Grass     |
|                | Euphorbiaceae | Phyllanthus parvulus           | 0.46   | 0.002   | 35        | Perennial    | Dwarf shrub|
|                | Poaceae       | Brachiaria serrata             | 0.45   | 0.001   | 14        | Perennial    | Grass     |
|                | Poaceae       | Elionurus matucis              | 0.44   | 0.001   | 10        | Perennial    | Grass     |
|                | Asteraceae    | Pegoletia retrofracta          | 0.32   | 0.003   | 7         | Perennial    | Dwarf shrub|
|                | Verbenaceae   | Chascanumana demostachyum      | 0.31   | 0.005   | 5         | Perennial    | Dwarf shrub|
|                | Poaceae       | Anthephora pallescens          | 0.31   | 0.008   | 17        | Perennial    | Grass     |
|                | Ebenaceae     | Euclea undulata                | 0.19   | 0.046   | 3         | Perennial    | Dwarf shrub|
|                | Polygalacaceae| Polygala hortentotta           | 0.19   | 0.048   | 3         | Perennial    | Dwarf shrub|
| Ghaap Plateau  | Cyperaceae    | Bulbosystis hamilis            | 0.3    | 0.001   | 25        | Annual       | Sedge     |
|                | Poaceae       | Enneapogon desvauxii           | 0.69   | 0.001   | 11        | Perennial    | Grass     |
|                | Poaceae       | Fingerhuthia africana          | 0.5    | 0.001   | 18        | Perennial    | Grass     |
|                | Oxalidaceae   | Oxalis depressa                | 0.44   | 0.001   | 7         | Perennial    | Forb      |
|                | Poaceae       | Tragus racemos                  | 0.44   | 0.001   | 7         | Annual       | Grass     |
|                | Euphorbiaceae | Euphorbia inaequilator         | 0.42   | 0.002   | 14        | Perennial    | Forb      |
|                | Molluginaceae | Limeum fenestratum             | 0.38   | 0.002   | 6         | Annual       | Dwarf shrub|
|                | Molluginaceae | Limeum argute-carinatum        | 0.34   | 0.003   | 7         | Annual       | Dwarf shrub|
|                | Poaceae       | Eragrostis lehmanniana         | 0.33   | 0.019   | 23        | Perennial    | Grass     |
|                | Cyperaceae    | Cyperus bellus                 | 0.31   | 0.002   | 5         | Perennial    | Forb      |
|                | Poaceae       | Oropetium capense              | 0.25   | 0.008   | 4         | Perennial    | Grass     |
|                | Poaceae       | Eragrostis trichophora         | 0.24   | 0.019   | 8         | Perennial    | Grass     |
|                | Poaceae       | Enneapogon scoparius           | 0.19   | 0.045   | 3         | Perennial    | Grass     |
Threatened and endemic species

The Ghaap Plateau hosted the highest number of GWC endemic plant species followed by the ironstone hills (See supplementary, Table A7). These findings are in accordance with several studies which revealed that limestone and dolomite (Ludwig 1999; Zietsman and Bredenkamp 2007; Mota et al. 2008), as well as banded ironstone (Gibson et al. 2012; Robinson et al. 2019) harbor high numbers of endemics. Six GWC endemic plant species (See supplementary, Table A7) can be considered narrow endemics since they are restricted to a single mountain range (Wild et al. 1963). Three of these restricted endemics were associated with the Ghaap Plateau that included a recently added species, Nerine hesseoides L.Bolus (See supplementary, Table A8), after an outlying locality was confirmed to be a different species. Two other endemics were associated with seasonal pans of the Ghaap Plateau. The ironstone hills harbor one narrow endemic, while the Langberg with its deeper, sandy soils harbors two species. Therefore, these plant species show an edaphic preference (Mason 1946; Rajakaruna 2004) and can be considered as rare (Stebbins 1942; Gaston 1997). Edaphic restricted endemic plant species may be prone to extinction (Harrison et al. 2009) due to low genetic variability (Stebbins 1942). Despite low genetic diversity, narrow resource use abilities and narrowed niche range (Gaston and Kunin 1997), these plants are highly specialized and thus edaphic specialists (Mason 1946; Anderson and Ferree 2010).

Eleven of the 24 GWC endemics were recorded during the field surveys. More commonly sampled endemics included Blepharis marginata (Nees) C.B.Clarke, Calobota cuspidosa (Burch.) Boatwr. & B.-E.vanWyk, Glossochilus burchelli Nees, Searsia tridactyla (Burch.) Moffett and Tarchonanthus obovatus DC. (Table 8). These endemic plant species are also associated with a wider distribution range within GWC and can be considered regional endemics (Cowling et al. 1994) with wider ecological niches compared to narrow endemics (Gaston and Kunin 1997). The random sampling approach of this study did not allow the targeting of rare species with patchy distributions and strict habitat specificity (Stohlgren et al. 2005). It is suggested that future studies must determine optimal sampling effort, sampling time and plot size to ensure more comprehensive data capturing of endemic species in GWC, especially at landscape scale (Zhang et al. 2014). By doing so, conservation efforts of endemic plant species can be promoted since all 24 endemic plant species are of conservation concern, irrespective that none of the endemics are currently regarded as endangered (South African National Biodiversity Institute 2019).
Table 8. List of GWC endemic plant species, number of individuals recorded during Modified-Whittaker plot surveys, mountains where it is known to occur, number herbarium QDG records and Red List category. AH-Asbestos Hills; GP-Ghaap Plateau; KH-Kuruman Hills; LB-Langberg.

| Family            | Taxon                                      | Individuals recorded | Mountains          | QDG records | Category        |
|-------------------|--------------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|-------------|-----------------|
| Acanthaceae       | *Barleria media*                           | -                    | GP, KH             | 4           | Vulnerable      |
|                   | *Blepharis marginata*                      | 51                   | AH, GP, KH, LB     | 12          | Least concern   |
|                   | *Glossochilus burchellii*                  | 56                   | AH, GP, KH         | 10          | Least concern   |
|                   | *Justicia paberata*                        | 4                    | GP, KH, LB         | 30          | Least concern   |
| Aizoaceae         | *Antimima lawsonii*                        | -                    | AH, GP, KH         | 5           | Rare            |
|                   | *Hereroa vilmaniae*                        | 3                    | AH, GP, KH, LB     | 15          | Data deficient  |
|                   | *Lithops aucampiae subsp. euniceae*        | -                    | AH                 | 2           | Vulnerable      |
|                   | *Lithops bromfieldii*                      | -                    | LB                 | 4           | Least concern   |
|                   | *Lithops leslei subsp. burchellii*         | -                    | AH, GP             | 3           | Near threatened |
|                   | *Prenoedema orpennii*                      | -                    | AH, GP, KH, LB     | 23          | Least concern   |
| Amaryllidaceae    | *Nerine hesseoides*                        | -                    | GP                 | 4           | Least concern   |
| Anacardiaceae     | *Searsia tridactyla*                       | 30                   | AH, GP, KH, LB     | 63          | Least concern   |
| Apiaceae          | *Deverra rapaletsa*                        | -                    | GP                 | 2           | Not yet assessed|
| Asteraceae        | *Amphiglossa tecta*                        | 1                    | AH, GP, LB         | 3           | Critically Rare |
|                   | *Cineraria exilis*                         | -                    | GP, KH             | 1           | Data deficient  |
|                   | *Dicoma kurumanii*                         | 4                    | GP, KH             | 1           | Least concern   |
|                   | *Eriocephalus ericoides subsp. griquensis* | 17                   | AH, GP, KH, LB     | 26          | Least concern   |
|                   | *Gnaphalium englerianum*                   | -                    | GP, KH             | 2           | Least concern   |
|                   | *Pentzia stellata*                         | -                    | GP                 | 11          | Near threatened |
|                   | *Tarchonanthus obovatus*                   | 40                   | AH, GP, KH, LB     | 53          | Least concern   |
| Celastraceae      | *Maytenus ilicina*                         | -                    | AH, GP, KH, LB     | 11          | Least concern   |
|                   | *Putterlickia saxatilis*                   | 3                    | AH, GP, KH, LB     | 28          | Least concern   |
| Fabaceae          | *Calobota cuspidosa*                       | 23                   | AH, GP, KH, LB     | 45          | Least concern   |
| Poaceae           | *Brachiaria dura var. pilosa*              | -                    | LB                 | 4           | Data deficient  |

Note: QDG’s were obtained from BODATSA (Ranwashe 2019). Categories for threats were based on the National Red List (South African National Biodiversity Institute 2019).

In conclusion, this study refined the borders of GWC which enabled the floristic description of the four, endemic-rich mountain landscapes within these new borders. These borders were based on an ecological model, which was constructed using presence and absence records of GWC endemics and environmental parameters. Distribution patterns of endemics were restricted to certain mountain landscapes and geologies which allowed for refinement of the model.

A clear soil fertility and rainfall gradient was identified for the GWC and, subsequently, each mountain flora was associated with different family- and species diversity, and composition. All four mountain landscapes were dominated by the Asteraceae, Fabaceae Malvaceae and Poaceae. Furthermore, the Scrophulariaceae dominated on the mountains of lower rainfall that are nutrient-poor (Langberg and Asbestos Hills), whereas the Cyperaceae were prominent on the two mountain systems of higher rainfall and which are more nutrient rich (Kuruman Hills and Ghaap Plateau). Indicator plant species explained the compositional differences since each mountain ecosystem was characterized by habitat specialists adapted to prevailing edaphic and climatic conditions. Primary drivers of the distribution of indicator species were soil pH, Ca: Mg ratios and rainfall. These drivers contributed to niche
partitioning and environmental filtering (dry and nutrient poor vs. wet and nutrient rich).

From a conservation perspective, future botanical studies, and conservation and management strategies, should focus within the refined borders of GWC. The mountains are hotspots of endemics in GWC and should be considered as conservation priority areas. Especially the Ghaap Plateau and the ironstone hills since these systems harbour most of the GWC endemics. Special attention should be given to narrow endemic plant species with restricted distributions within GWC’s borders as well as those species having a category of threat.
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