Abstract

**Background:** This study aims to propose surgical approaches intended to localize and preserve the marginal mandibular nerve (MMN) during routinely performed head and neck surgical procedures.

**Main body of abstract:** Preservation of the functional integrity of the MMN is a critical measure in the success of orofacial surgeries involving the submandibular triangle. This study systematically reviews the anatomical description of the nerve including origin, course relative to fascial planes, relation to the parotid gland and facial pedicle, branching pattern and anastomosis of nerve and consolidate the findings of several significant studies to determine the "surgically safe" approaches to avoid iatrogenic injury to MMN.

**Short conclusion:** The systematic approaches described in this study have helped the authors precisely determine which particular MMN preserving approach to be adopted for each aspect of head and neck surgery. This has definitely enhanced the quality of surgery performed and the postoperative satisfaction of the patients.
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**Background**

Marginal mandibular nerve is a terminal branch of the extracranial part of the facial nerve and leaves the parotid from its antero-inferior border and travels beneath the platysma muscle and deep cervical neck fascia, after which its course becomes superficial to the facial vessels. From the inferior border of the mandible, the nerve turns upwards across the body of mandible where it gives off motor innervations to risorius, depressor anguli oris, depressor labii inferioris, and mentalis, thereby maintaining facial symmetry during various facial expressions.

Iatrogenic marginal mandibular nerve injuries are common during maxillofacial surgical procedures. It has been documented that the incidence of marginal mandibular nerve (MMN) injury depends on the surgery performed and can range from 0 to 20% after excision of submandibular gland [1–3], 5.6 to 16.3% after parotidectomy and up to 23% after neck dissection [4, 5].

Injury to the nerve results in esthetic and functional deformity. Such deficits can affect the patient’s quality of life and have several legal implications [6]. The esthetic deformity that results during crying has been termed as “asymmetric crying facies” [7, 8]. The functional impairment is in the form of salivary incontinence.

It is imperative to have a clear understanding of its anatomical course, surface, and surgical landmarks that help in nerve localization, along with accurate knowledge on surgical approaches to obviate the detriment caused by nerve palsy.

We have revisited the previously described techniques to identify and preserve the MMN and proposed our techniques with an anatomical basis.

**Main text**

**Sandwich technique**

A flap is raised by dissecting under the submandibular gland fascia in primary cases involving the submandibular region and the nerve is preserved as it is sandwiched between the platysma and submandibular fascia (Figs. 1, 2, and 3). A modification of this is used in re-operative...
cases by raising a flap below the superficial layer of deep cervical fascia, below the hyoid bone, so the nerve is sandwiched between this fascia and platysma muscle (Figs. 4, 5, and 6).

Node of Stahr approach
The facial node is identified (Fig. 7) and dissection is done medially to it to expose the facial artery (Figs. 8, 9, 10, and 11). The facial artery is ligated below the lower mandibular margin to preserve the nerve.

Hayes-Martin approach
The facial vein is identified and ligated over the surface of the submandibular gland at two fingerbreadths below the mandible and the ligated vein is flipped superiorly by
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retracting the superficial cervical fascia, as in the majority of cases, the nerve courses over the facial vein (Figs. 12 and 13).

**Parotid-masseteric fascia approach**
The parotid-masseteric fascia is opened below the platysma, along the course of the facial nerve in the region of angle of the mandible to identify and preserve it (Figs. 14 and 15).

**Pterygo-masseteric sling approach**
The pterygo-masseteric sling is incised to retract the masseter as the nerve travels over the masseter muscle (Figs. 16 and 17).
Pouch technique
The neck skin is incised and the flap is raised in a subcutaneous dissection plane till the area of interest is reached. Then, the platysma-SMAS window is opened and dissection is performed between the branches of the facial nerve (Figs. 18, 19, and 20). This technique avoids a large area of subplatysmal dissection.

Discussion
Surgical anatomy and related considerations
Relation to parotid gland
The marginal mandibular nerve (MMN) leaves from anterior caudal margin of the parotid gland underneath the parotid-masseteric and deep cervical neck fascia just below the angle of the mandible and is anatomically...
protected by a thick superficial musculo-aponeurotic system (SMAS) after it exits the parotid gland [9] (Fig. 21). A systematic meta-analysis report by Marcuzzo et al. [10] reported a prevalence of 30% for MMN originating at the parotid apex and 20% originating at the anterior border of the parotid and established the nerve location relative to the parotid gland. The results suggest that the nerve is above the parotid gland in 76% and below in 18% cases. Study report by Atif et al. [11] shows that in 95% of cases, MMN exits the parotid gland.
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from its anterior border. This is in contrast to reports by Khanfour et al. [12] which concludes that the nerve originates from the parotid apex in 70% cases and is consistent with studies by Batra et al. [13]. The parotid-masseteric fascia technique for approaching condyle using a periangular approach is proposed considering the origin and course of MMN in the parotid region (Figs. 14 and 15).

**Relation to facial vessels**

In majority of cases, the MMN has been reported to course above the lower border of the mandible [14] (Fig. 23). However, in 19% cases, as reported by Owsley et al. [15], the nerve runs 1–3 cm beneath the lower mandibular margin and penetrates the deep cervical fascia close to the insertion of the masseter muscle at its anterior border to become superficial to the facial artery. The importance of the facial artery as a landmark to localize the nerve was highlighted by Balagopal et al. [16] in their study and they concluded that the mean distance from the lower mandibular margin to where MMN intersects the facial artery, considering all branches of the nerve, was found to be 1.73 mm. Huettner et al. [17]
established the “masseteric fusion zone” as the most likely area of iatrogenic injury to the facial nerve during SMAS release. This zone is described at an average distance of 23.1 mm from the gonial angle along the inferior mandibular margin where the MMN exits parotid-masseteric fascia to enter the subplatysmal plane. Further, Hazani et al. [9] proposed that the MMN crosses above the facial artery at about one-fourth distance from the masseteric tuberosity up to mandibular midline and can be used as a reliable landmark for nerve localization. Meta-analysis by Marcuzzo et al. [10] concluded that the MMN lies superficial to facial artery in 44% cases when the nerve has multiple branches and 36% cases when a single nerve branch is found. However, they emphasized
that the relation to the anterior facial vein (AFV) is more constant and reliable as the nerve lies superficial to AFV in most cases.

Seckel [18] described the area close to the crossing point of nerve over facial vessels as “danger zone” in which the platysma-SMAS thins out exposing the MMN to a higher risk of iatrogenic injury. This zone is described as an area of 2 cm radius with the center located at a point 2 cm posterior to labial commissure. Safe dissections in this zone can be ensured by planning dissections superficial to platysma-SMAS as the nerve transits into the subplatysmal plane when it meets the facial artery along the inferior mandibular margin. Blunt dissection is done above the masseteric fascia to mobilize a SMAS-platysma flap sufficiently and care must be taken not to dissect deeper to investing fascia [15]. The prominence of the mandibular body and fibrous adhesions of masseteric ligaments in this region can make the plane of dissection enigmatic [19].

Based on previous cadaver dissection studies, the course of MMN anterior to the facial artery is above the lower mandibular margin. The nerve lies above inferior mandibular margin posterior to facial artery in 81% cases and below the inferior border in 19% cases, with its branches within a radius of 1 cm below the inferior mandibular margin as reported by Dingman and Grabb [20]. However, Wang et al. [21] concluded that in 67% of specimens, the nerve is above the inferior mandibular margin posterior to facial artery and in 33% specimens below the inferior border (Fig. 22).

Although the facial artery is a significant landmark in localizing the MMN, the facial vein is considered a definitive landmark as it exhibits a more dependable relationship with MMN, and the nerve is found lateral to the facial vein in 95% of cases [13]. The prevalence of single-branched MMN coursing on the lateral aspect of the anterior facial vein is reported to be 38% and 57% when multiple branches of nerve were found [10] (Fig. 23).

Based on the relation of the nerve to the facial artery, we recommend the node of the Stahr approach, which can be used to identify MMN by localizing facial artery during mandibular body traumatic surgeries (Figs. 7, 8, 9, 10, and 11). We suggest the use of pterygo-masseteric sling approach for surgeries involving the ramus of mandible, as the nerve anatomically courses underneath masseteric fascia and above the masseter muscle (Figs. 16 and 17).

**Relation to perifacial lymph nodes**

A common instance for MMN palsy is during surgical approaches to submandibular region [12, 22–28]. The MMN is more often jointly found with perifacial lymph nodes in the submandibular triangle (Fig. 24). It is imperative to remove these nodes as they are primary lymphatic draining sites for oral carcinomas and show an increased risk of metastasis [29]. Møller et al. [30] concluded that neck dissections involving level Ib nodes showed the highest incidence of iatrogenic MMN injury. Oncological safety of the nerve during surgical neck
dissection maneuvers has been discussed in several studies [25, 31–33]. The Hayes-Martin technique is a long-established nerve preservation technique used during surgical neck dissections. Tirelli et al. [34] performed 63 neck dissections and concluded that the anterior perifacial nodes were in contact with a nerve in 60% cases and the other nodes were < 5 mm away from the MMN and that in 59% dissections the Hayes-Martin maneuver failed to remove all the perifacial nodes involved. These observations are in line with our recommendation for the use of classic Hayes-Martin maneuver to identify and preserve the MMN in cases of node-negative neck dissections (Figs. 12 and 13).

Relation to the lower border of the mandible
Nelson and Gingrass [35] reported MMN to course below the lower mandibular border in almost 100% cases. They argued that the contrasting results could be attributed to the differences in nerve location in fresh cadaver specimens and clinical practice, in comparison to embalmed cadaver specimens. Savary et al. [36] described that MMN is below the inferior border in relation to the facial artery. Their observations concluded that MMN lies below the mandibular border in 63% cases posterior to facial artery and 27% cases anterior to it. They also proposed that incision placed about 3–4 cm below mandible is safer to avoid nerve damage.

Baker and Conley [37] concluded based on clinical observations during parotidectomies, that the MMN is 1–2 cm below the lower border in almost all the cases and up to 3–4 cm below the lower border in patients with atrophic and lax tissues. They explained this disparity based on the extension of fascia during the rotation of the head to the contralateral side in surgical dissections. This observation is supported by Nason et al. [4] who concluded that the extension of the neck displaces the nerve downward and anteriorly and the lowest point of the nerve is 1.25 ± 0.7 cm inferior to the mandibular margin between the anterior and posterior facial veins based on neck dissections in 133 patients. Based on this concept and considering that the nerve mostly passes below the mandible and is always in the subplatysmal plane, we recommend supra-platysmal dissection of flap till the mandibular lower border and creating a pouch by opening the platysma-SMAS in the area of interest to decrease the chance of nerve injury (Figs. 18, 19, and 20).

Classical description by Dingman and Grabb [20] implies nerve injury can be avoided by placing incisions 2 cm below inferior mandibular margin but on the contrast abovementioned clinical dissection, studies [4, 37] concluded that nerve is at greater risk when the incision is placed 2 cm below the inferior mandibular margin. Marcuzzo et al. [10] concluded after their systematic meta-analysis that the prevalence of one MMN branch being below the margin of the mandible is 39% and this finding is of great significance while placing submandibular incision. We recommend placing the incision in the submandibular crease with caution, considering that the position of nerve inevitably changes with rotation of the neck and pull of the deep cervical fascia.
During surgeries above inferior mandibular margin anterior to the facial vessels, the dissection is advanced from the margin of mandible beneath the platysma supra-periosteally, to avoid the MMN as it lies within the platysma muscle immediately anterior to facial vessels [31]. The MMN lies beneath the deep fascia in this region and hence the dissection plane is established in subplatysmal tissue, reflecting the platysma away from the deep cervical fascia till the inferior mandibular margin, thus maintaining a tissue bridge that protects the nerve from iatrogenic injuries [15]. Based on this, we recommend sandwich technique using a submandibular fascia flap approach in primary submandibular gland surgery (Figs. 1, 2, and 3) and the superficial layer of deep fascia approach in reoperative cases assuming that the nerve is sandwiched and protected between the platysma muscle and deep fascia (Figs. 4, 5, and 6).

Relation to number of branches/anastomosis
Marginal mandibular nerve gives numerous branches towards the nerve end (Fig. 25). This was confirmed by Batra et al. [13] as they concluded that MMN showed more branches at termination (84%) than in its origin and course. They also reported that the nerve shows anastomosis with buccal branch of facial nerve in 12% cases and with mental nerve in 28% cases based on their cadaver dissection study. Woltmann et al. [38] reported anastomosis of MMN with the buccal branch of the facial nerve (42.22%), cervical branch of the facial nerve (22.22%), and no anastomosis (33.55%). Anatomical dissections by Toure et al. [39] have shown nerve connections with buccal and mental branches of the mandibular nerve which coordinate movements of the lower lip and, when injured, may result in spasms and functional synkinesia. The instance of lower lip paralysis is limited due to the variability in branching and anastomosis pattern of MMN with other nerves or its own branches [12, 36] (Table 1). Meta-analysis reports [10] conclude that the MMN more often manifests with single or double branches with a prevalence of 35% and shows most frequent anastomosis with the buccal branch of the facial nerve (20%) and more rarely anastomosis with mental nerve (12%), cervical branch of the facial nerve (5%), great auricular nerve (2%), transverse cervical nerve (2%), cervical (5%), and zygomatic branch (1%) of the facial nerve. De Bonnecaze et al. [40] performed anatomical dissection studies to report the variation in the innervation of facial muscles and distribution of communications with the facial nerve. They concluded that the MMN showed fewer communicating branches in comparison to other facial nerve branches and commented that the lower lip muscles displayed the least supplemental innervation by MMN.
| First author | Type of study/no. of cases/specimens | Landmark | Branching pattern of MMN | Communications of MMN |
|--------------|-------------------------------------|----------|-------------------------|-----------------------|
| Balagopal    | Neck dissection in 202 patients     | Point where facial artery crosses lower mandibular border | 1 branch, 79.7%  2 branches, 12.9%  3 branches, 6.9%  4 branches, 1 patient | 41 patients showed communication with cervical branch of FN |
| Batra        | Embalmed cadavers, 50 facial hemi-halves | Facial artery palpated at antero-inferior angle of masseter, inferior border, and angle of mandible | MMN showed more branches at termination (84%) than in its origin and course | Anastomosis with the following: 1. Buccal branch of FN—12%  2. Mental nerve—28% |
| Al-Hayani    | Post-mortem study in 50 subjects    | Lower border of mandible | 1 branch, 32%  2 branches, 40%  3 branches, 28% | |
| Kim          | Cadaver dissection of 85 facial halves | Go, facial artery, retromandibular vein | 1 branch, 28%  2 branch, 52%  3 branches, 18%  4 branches, 2% | |
| Karapinar    | Cadaver dissection of 44 facial halves | Inferior border of mandible | 1 branch, 36.4%  2 branches, 63.6% | Communication with buccal branch of FN in 4.6 % |
| Woltmann     | 45 hemifaces of 27 Brazilian cadavers | Inferior border of mandible | 1 branch, 31.11%  2 branches, 60%  3 branches, 8.88% | Buccal branch of FN—42.22%  Cervical branch of FN—22.22%  No anastomosis—35.55% |
| S Toure      | Cadaver dissection study on 54 cadavers | Inferior border and angle of mandible | 1 branch, 43%  2 branches, 44%  3 branches, 13% | |
| Ziarah       | 110 cadaveric cervico-facial halves | | 1 branch, 37.7%  2 branches, 52.9%  3 branches, 11.4% | Buccal branch of FN—8%  Cervical branch of FN—12% |
| Dingman      | 100 embalmed cadaveric dissections | Inferior border and facial vessels | 1 branch, 21%  2 branches, 67%  3 branches, 9%  4 branches, 3% | |
| Wang         | 120 cadaveric facial halves         | Inferior border of mandible, facial vessels | No branch, 32%  2 or more branches, 68% | 60%—anastomosis with buccal branch of FN |
| G Toure      | Cadaver dissection on 62 half heads | Facial vessels | 1 branch, 22.6%  2 branches, 29%  3 branches, 12.9%  4 branches or more, 35.48% | Anastomosis with mental nerve—all cases  With buccal branch of FN—42 cases  With buccal branch of mandibular nerve—40 cases |
| Huu-Mu Yang  | Cadaver dissection of 12 embalmed and 4 fresh cadavers | Gonion, facial artery, Inferior border of mandible | 55.2%—MMN offshoots inferior to mandible  MMN crossed Go as a single branch in 86.2%.  MMN bifurcated superio-posterior to Go in 13.8%.  Avg of 1.5 ± 0.6 branches of MMN with more offshoots in inferiorly located nerve | |
| Khanfour     | Cadaver dissection of 30 specimens  | Inferior border of mandible | 1 branch, 36.7%  2 branches, 43.3%  3 branches, 20% | Communications with the following: 1. Main or secondary branches—53.6%  2. Buccal branch of FN—40%  3. Anterior branch of great auricular nerve—3.3%  4. Transverse cervical nerve—.3% |

*FN* facial nerve, *MMN* marginal mandibular nerve
| First author | Type of study/no. of cases/specimens | Landmark | Relation to lower border of mandible |
|--------------|-------------------------------------|----------|-------------------------------------|
| Balagopal   | Neck dissection in 202 patients     | Point where facial artery crosses LBM | Mean distance of 1.73 mm from the LBM to where MMN crossed facial artery |
| Batra       | Embalmed cadavers, 50 facial hemi-halves | Inferior border and angle of mandible | Avg distance from the following: 1. LBM—1.2 cm 2. Angle of mandible—1.5 cm |
| Al-Hayani   | Post-mortem study in 50 subjects    | LBM      | Above LBM—28% Below LBM—44% Above and below LBM—28% Branches above LBM—deep to parotid fascia Branches below LBM—located intrafascially |
| Liu         | Cadaver dissection in 24 specimens  | LBM      | MMN courses within 13.4 mm above and 4.8 mm below in relation to LBM |
| Kim         | Cadaver dissection 85 facial halves | Go, LBM, anterior border of parotid gland | Distribution of MMN nerve within Quadrilateral formed by + 19.8 mm, – 8.1 mm, + 30.0 mm, and – 15.3 mm from 2 sides of LBM Mean distance of 3.4 mm ± 6.0 mm from gonion to MMN at parotid anterior edge |
| Karapinar   | Cadaver dissection of 44 facial halves | LBM      | Avg distance from LBM is 21.91 mm varying from 13.06 to 40.08 mm |
| Hazani      | 18 cadaveric facial halves          | Masseteric tuberosity and mental midline | MMN concluded to lie 3 mm anterior to masseteric tuberosity |
| S Toure     | Cadaver dissection study on 54 cadavers | Inferior border and angle of mandible | Lowest MMN branch courses 17.5 mm LBM |
| Woltmann    | 45 hemifaces of 27 Brazilian cadavers | LBM      | MMN courses between — 1.3 and + 1.2 cm from the LBM 57%—superior to mandibular margin 43%—below inferior mandibular margin |
| Ziarah      | 110 cadaveric cervico-facial halves | LBM      | MMN found within 0.6 cm above and 1.2 cm below LBM 47% above and 53% below the LBM till the nerve reaches facial vessels |
| Potgieter   | Embalmed cadaver dissection of 36 facial halves | Angle of mandible | Median distance from angle of mandible (point A) to the nerve was 2.3 mm inferior to point A |
| Nason       | 133 neck dissections               | LBM      | MMN nerve found 1.25 ± 0.7 cm below the mandible when the neck was extended 54%—MMN > 1 cm below LBM |
| Baker       | Clinical experience on parotidectomies | LBM      | MMN lies 1–2 cm from LBM in almost 100% cases |
| Al-Qahtani  | 52 otolaryngeal patients            | LBM and angle of mandible | Mean nerve position with respect to: Right angle of mandible, 2.7 mm above Right lowest point in LBM, 0.2 mm above Left angle of mandible, 3.4 mm above Left lowest point in LBM, 1.3 mm above Lowest point of MMN branch from right and left angle of mandible was 10 mm and 6 mm below, respectively, Lowest point of MMN branch from right and left lowest point in LBM was 10 mm below in both Highest point of MMN branch from right and left angle of mandible was 20 mm and 13 mm above, respectively Highest point of MMN branch from right and left lowest point in LBM was 10 mm above in both |
| Huu-Mu Yang | Cadaver dissection of 12 embalmed and 4 fresh cadavers | Go, facial artery, LBM | From Go to mentum, MMN is superior to LBM in 44.8% and inferior to LBM in 55.2%. MMN crossed LBM and reached the mandible at a mean distance of 33.1 ± 5.2 mm anterior to Go. MMN lies within 5 mm of Go in 82.8% cases. |
| Khanfour    | Cadaver dissection of 30 specimens  | LBM      | Relation of MMN and branches to LBM at a point midway between angle and symphysis menti of mandible: 80%—above at a mean distance of 1.3 ± 0.12 mm 10%—same level as LBM 10%—below at a mean distance of 1.6 ± 0.1 mm |

LBM lower border of mandible, Go gonion, MMN marginal mandibular nerve
Hence, localization and protection of the nerve and its branches play a pivotal role in comprehensive patient management.

**Anatomic references and landmarks for nerve localization**

Many authors have given several anatomic references and measurements for the localization of MMN and its branches during surgical procedures (Tables 2 and 3). Rossell-Perry et al. [41] outlined the “Marginal branch triangle” limited by the anterior border platysma muscle, base of the mastoid apophysis, and superiorly by the lateral labial commissure. Gulses et al. [42] proposed a triangular area of “safety zone” for nerve preservation defined by Trago-basal line, cantho-gonial line, and line on the border of the mandible; whereas, Yang et al. [27] identified inferior border of the mandible in submental area 4.5 cm anterior to gonion as surgically safe.

However, these studies have rarely described the spatial trajectory of the nerve in three dimensions and henceforth the exact location of the nerve from palpable or visible landmarks can be highly variable [19].

Preoperative percutaneous mandibular marginal branch mapping and continuous intraoperative nerve monitoring reported by Lin et al. [22] concluded that there are reduced accidental nerve injuries due to more accurate identification and preservation during surgical procedures.

Although the precise location of the nerve and its branches is variable, the knowledge about its relationship in soft tissue relative to fascial planes helps the surgeon to determine appropriate depth and plane of dissection to protect the nerve from iatrogenic injuries [19]. Hence, the surgical technique becomes a crucial factor in nerve preservation [43].

Despite these considerations, nerve injuries are common during orofacial surgical procedures. Several studies in the past aimed at analyzing the functionality of MMN following head and neck surgeries [4, 30]. Moller et al. [30] assessed the immediate postoperative and the frequency of permanent nerve damage in 159 patients undergoing level IB and IIA neck dissections. They reported 14% cases with lower lip malfunction after 2 weeks of surgery, and a 2-year follow-up the finding of

| First author | Type of study/no. of cases/specimens | Relation of MMN with facial vessels | Relation to the facial vessels |
|--------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Batra        | Embalmed cadavers, 50 facial hemi-halves | MMN superficial to FA and FV in 100% cases | MMN superficial to FA and FV in 100% cases |
| Kim          | Cadaver dissection 85 facial halves | Relation to FA: Superficial—42% Deep—4% On both sides—54% Relation of cervico-facial div to retromandibular vein: Lies in lateral aspect of vein in 83% specimens |
| Karapinar    | Cadaver dissection of 44 facial halves | Relation to FA: 97.7%—MMN lies laterally 1 specimen—MMN lies between the FA and FV |
| S Toure      | Cadaver dissection study on 54 cadavers | Crossed the facial vessels 24 mm posteroinferior from the angle of mandible Lateral to facial vessel bundle—51 cases Medial to FA and lateral to FV—2 cases Medial to FV and lateral to FA—1 case |
| Potgieter    | Embalmed cadaver dissection of 36 facial halves | MMN lies 2.4 mm superior to a point just anterior to FA (point B) Lies 10.7 mm superior to a point 2 cm anterior to point B |
| Ziarah       | 110 cadaveric cervico-facial halves | MMN branches invariably passed superficial to anterior FV Relation to FA variable |
| Dingman      | 100 embalmed cadaveric dissections | Posterior to FA—81% lies above LBM Anterior to FA—19% lies below LBM |
| Wang         | 120 cadaveric facial halves | Anterior to FA—90% above LBM, 10% below LBM Posterior to FA—67% above LBM, 33% passed in an arc of 0.95 cm below LBM Relation to FA: Superficial to FA—83% Both superficial and deep to FA—15% Deep to FA—2% Superficial to retromandibular vein in 100% cases |
| G Toure      | Cadaver dissection on 62 half heads | MMN lies lateral to FV in 95% cases and hence considered a more reliable landmark. |
| Huu-Mu Yang  | Cadaver dissection of 12 embalmed and 4 fresh cadavers | MMN located ≤ 10 mm from intersection of FA and mandible in 89.7% cases. MMN found below intersection of FA and mandible in 44.8% cases. |

FA facial artery, FV facial vein, MMN marginal mandibular nerve
4–7% cases with permanent lip paralysis following level IB neck dissections. Further, they concluded that there was no reported case of the functional defect following level IIA neck dissections. Nason et al. [4] studied the nerve damage in patients in which nerve is visualized and sacrificed for oncological reasons and compared the findings with patients where the nerve was intended to be preserved. They concluded that among cases with preserved nerve, nerve praxia was present in 29% cases immediate post-operatively and persisted in 16% cases. They also reported that the visualization of nerve did not have a significant effect on the postoperative functionality of the nerve and commented that the incidence of nerve damage was higher in cases of neck dissections followed by radiotherapy.

Management of nerve palsy can be broadly categorized as restorative techniques and reconstructive techniques. Restorative techniques aim at restoring facial symmetry by myotomy or myomectomy of the elevator muscles of the paralytic side or the depressors of the normal side and neurolysis of MMN on the unaffected side [44]. Butler et al. [45] compared the patient satisfaction following treatment of MMN palsy with botulinum toxin and anterior belly of the digastic transfer. They concluded that the anterior belly of digastic transfer is a more permanent and satisfactory solution compared to botulinum toxin therapy. Other reconstructive techniques such as stylohyoid muscle transfer [46] and platysma motor nerve transfer [26] have been discussed in previous studies. Zhai et al. [47] proposed the use of upper buccal or cervical branches to correct marginal mandibular nerve defects and argued that this technique showed better functional results in comparison to greater auricular nerve graft or hypoglossal nerve anastomosis in the reconstruction of facial nerve defects.

Conclusion
MMN injury has both esthetic and functional implications for the patient. It is essential to understand the probable anatomical course and branches of the nerve, landmarks used to isolate the nerve, and strategize surgical approaches aimed deliberately to protect the nerve and avert the repercussions of nerve damage. In this article, various surgical techniques have been discussed in view of the abovementioned considerations to preserve the MMN from iatrogenic injuries during orofacial surgical procedures, which have proven to be highly beneficial in our clinical practice.
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