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Highlights

- Molecular clock analysis indicates an ancient origin of animals in the Cryogenian
- Diversification into animal phyla occurred in the Ediacaran, before the Cambrian
- Uncertainties in the fossil record and the molecular clock affect time estimates
- A precise timeline of animal evolution cannot be obtained with current methods
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In Brief

dos Reis et al. study the uncertainty in Bayesian estimates of the time of origin of animals using phylogenomic data. They find that disentangling the timeline of early animal evolution is hard given the large uncertainty associated with the fossil record of early animals and the strong violation of the molecular clock in ancient phylogenies.
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SUMMARY
The timing of divergences among metazoan lineages is integral to understanding the processes of animal evolution, placing the biological events of species divergences into the correct geological timeframe. Recent fossil discoveries and molecular clock dating studies have suggested a divergence of bilaterian phyla >100 million years before the Cambrian, when the first definite crown-bilaterian fossils occur. Most previous molecular clock dating studies, however, have suffered from limited data and biases in methodologies, and virtually all have failed to acknowledge the large uncertainties associated with the fossil record of early animals, leading to inconsistent estimates among studies. Here we use an unprecedented amount of molecular data, combined with four fossil calibration strategies (reflecting disparate and controversial interpretations of the metazoan fossil record) to obtain Bayesian estimates of metazoan divergence times. Our results indicate that the uncertain nature of ancient fossils and violations of the molecular clock impose a limit on the precision that can be achieved in estimates of ancient molecular timescales. For example, although we can assert that crown Metazoa originated during the Cryogenian (with most crown-bilaterian phyla diversifying during the Ediacaran), it is not possible with current data to pinpoint the divergence events with sufficient accuracy to test for correlations between geological and biological events in the history of animals. Although a Cryogenian origin of crown Metazoa agrees with current geological interpretations, the divergence dates of the bilaterians remain controversial. Thus, attempts to build evolutionary narratives of early animal evolution based on molecular clock timescales appear to be premature.

INTRODUCTION
The timing and tempo of the evolutionary emergence of animal biodiversity has been among the most enduring problems in evolutionary biology. Innumerable hypotheses have been proposed to explain how the transition to multicellularity was effected, why it occurred when it did, and why it did not occur much earlier in Earth history [1]. Much of the molecular genetic toolkit required for animal development originated deep in eukaryote evolutionary history [2], and it has been widely held that the emergence of complex multicellular organisms was preceded until the oxygenation of the biosphere [3, 4]. Other potential, but not necessarily mutually exclusive, triggers for animal diversification include the release of their forebears from the environmental strictures of the Cryogenian or Ediacaran Snowball Earth [5, 6] and the effects of cosmic radiation [7], polar wander [8], continental fragmentation [9], H2S toxicity [10], salinity [11, 12], a scarcity of trace metal micronutrients [13], a pulse of continental weathering yielding nutrients to the oceans [14], global warming [15], or an escalatory predator-prey arms race [16, 17]. Although these hypotheses propose more or less proximal causal mechanistic relationships with metazoan diversification, they rely ultimately on presumed temporal coincidence. This is challenging since the timing and the threshold of extrinsic environmental effects is invariably as unclear as the timing of the phenomena that they have been invoked to explain (e.g., [16]), varying from the origin of animals, eumetazoans, or bilaterians, to the origin of the animal phyla themselves, their crown radiations, or the sum total of this diversity. Indeed, it has been argued that the oxygenation of global oceans was a consequence, not a cause, of metazoan evolution [19]. Reconciling these competing hypotheses requires calibration to a common absolute timescale.

Unequivocal fossil evidence of animals is limited to the Phanerozoic. Older records of animals are controversial: organic biomarkers indicative of demosponges [20] are apparently derived ultimately from now symbiotic bacteria [21]; putative animal embryos fossils [22] are alternately interpreted as protists [23–25]; and contested reports of sponges [26, 27], molluscs [28], and innumerable cnidarians [29], as well as putative traces of eumetazoan or bilaterian grade animals [30–34], all from the Ediacaran. Certainly, there are no unequivocal records of crown-group bilaterians prior to the Cambrian [35], and robust evidence for bilaterian phyla does not occur until some 20 million years into the Cambrian [36, 37]. There is, nevertheless, increasingly general acceptance of a Precambrian history to animal evolution, and it is only its extent that remains open to debate. Was there an explosive radiation of bilaterian phyla close to the base of Cambrian [36, 38, 39]? Or is there an extensive Precambrian bilaterian
history that extends deep into the Cryogenian [35], the absence of a fossil record merely reflecting preservation, collection, and/or interpretation biases?

It has been hoped that these questions may be answered, and a timescale for animal evolutionary history established, using molecular clock methodology. Indeed, there is a long history of attempts to estimate the timing of animal diversification [40], yielding ages for crown Metazoa that range between 1,298 Ma [41] and 615 Ma [42]. The disparity between molecular clock estimates and fossil evidence of clade age minima has diminished in association with the development of molecular clock methodology, particularly in accommodating rate variation. Molecular clock timescales are considered good enough by some to begin to synthesize evolutionary narratives integrating evidence of extrinsic environmental and ecological evolution from the geological record with intrinsic biological evolution [43, 44].

Most recent divergence time analyses have been undertaken within a framework of Bayesian inference because it is capable of integrating much of the uncertainty associated with divergence time estimation, viz. the relationships between fossil evidence and clade age, rate variation among lineages (the relaxed clock), branch length estimation, tree topology, and parameters such as data partitioning. Yet, few studies have considered the cumulative impact of these uncertainties on the precision of evolutionary timescales e.g., [45, 46].

Here we show that the precision of molecular clock estimates of times has been grossly over-estimated. Using a Bayesian method to estimate the timescale of metazoan diversification, we performed sensitivity analyses to explore the impact of the different sources of uncertainties. We used a large amino acid alignment (38,577 sites) of 203 nuclear encoded proteins for 71 species (based on [35, 47]). We employed four fossil calibration strategies that accommodate different interpretations of the fossil record and show that these have a dramatic impact on the estimated times. We also explored the use of different relaxed clock models and show that at this level of divergence the molecular clock is significantly violated. We tested for the effects of different data partitioning strategies and show that this, too, has a significant impact on divergence time estimates. Finally, we show that competing phylogenetic hypotheses yield very different divergence time estimates. An evolutionary timescale for metazoan diversification that accommodates these uncertainties has precision that is insufficient to discriminate among causal hypotheses. Though some of this uncertainty can be reduced through increased precision of calibrations afforded by statistical modeling of fossil occurrence, more sequence data, reduced topological uncertainty, etc., the limitations of the fossil record and the confounding effect of times and rates will remain, making it difficult to achieve the precision required to test competing hypotheses on the causes and consequences of metazoan diversification.

RESULTS

The Impact of Uncertainty in Fossil Calibrations

Fossil calibrations are affected by numerous sources of uncertainty, including phylogenetic interpretation, dating of the rocks, and estimates of the time gap between the fossil minimum and the true clade ages [46]. This uncertainty is accommodated by statistical distributions describing the prior paleontological estimates of the true node ages within a phylogeny. Since a node cannot be older than its ancestors, the specified calibration densities are truncated to accommodate this intrinsic constraint from tree topology, generating the effective prior used by the dating program. The resulting marginal prior densities on clade ages can be quite different from the fossil calibration densities specified originally [49].

To assess the robustness of estimated Metazoan divergences to calibration choice, we established temporal constraints on the ages of 34 clades based on fossil evidence (Table 1). These were used as the basis for four competing sets of calibration densities, reflecting different interpretations of the fossil evidence (Table S1). Under strategies 1 and 2, the age of crown Metazoa has the minimum constraint based on a protostome interpretation of the Ediacaran Kimberella, whereas in strategies 3 and 4 it is based on the disputed biogeochemical evidence of Cryogenian demosponges [20, 27]. In strategy 1, all 34 calibrations were modeled as uniform distributions with soft bounds [50]. In strategies 2–4, we used different calibration densities for 14 phylum and superphylum crown nodes. In strategy 2, these 14 nodes are modeled using a skew-normal distribution with the mode of the distribution near the minimum bound and the tail extending into the past. These calibrations represent an optimistic interpretation of the fossil minima as a close approximation of the true clade age. In strategies 3 and 4, the 14 nodes use truncated Cauchy distributions [51] with either a long tail (strategy 3) or a short tail (strategy 4), extending back in time. This represents a pessimistic interpretation of palaeontological evidence in which the first fossil records of clades are a poor approximation of their antiquity. Note that the Cauchy is a heavy-tailed distribution, that is, it places considerable probability mass on its tail (contrary to the skew normal, which is light tailed). The calibration-based time prior is shown in Table S2.

The program MCMCtree [52] was used to obtain posterior time estimates under these four strategies and on the fixed tree topology of Figure 1. The evolutionary rates on branches of the tree were assumed to vary independently among lineages (the independent rates [IR] model [53]). All gene alignments were concatenated and analyzed as a single partition (1P) under the LG + G amino acid substitution model. In all instances, we first ran the analyses without sequence data to establish the effective time prior. This allowed us to evaluate the impact of truncation, which can yield marginal time priors that differ considerably from the original fossil evidence [49, 51].

Calibration strategy has a large impact on estimated divergence times (Figure 1A, Table S3, and Figure S1). Estimates under strategy 1 indicate that Metazoa originated 833–681 Ma, Bilateria 638–615 Ma, Deuterostomia 628–594 Ma, and Protostomia 626–598 Ma (Table S3). When the skew-normal distribution is employed (which places the majority of its probability mass near the minimum age bound; strategy 2), the resulting posterior time estimates agree largely with those obtained using the uniform prior time distribution of strategy 1 (Table 1). In contrast, calibration densities modeled with the Cauchy distribution (strategies 3 and 4) exhibit strong truncation effects in the time priors (Figures 1B and 1C), resulting in substantially older time estimates (Figure 1D). This can be seen, for example, in association with crown Bilateria, Deuterostomia, and Protostomia,
where truncation caused the effective priors to place considerable probability mass beyond the maximum bound of 636.1 Ma (Figure 1C). This differs significantly from the specified calibration densities (cf. Figure 1B), resulting in posterior time estimates that are substantially older than those derived using strategies 1 and 2 (Figure 1D). For example, estimates under strategy 3 indicate Metazoa originated 834–795 Ma, Bilateria 759–685 Ma, Deuterostomia 722–644 Ma, and Protostomia 712–644 Ma (Table S3, cf. strategy 1) Thus, truncation can have dramatic and perhaps surprising effects. These effects may be hard to predict, highlighting the challenges in constructing fossil calibrations, as calibrations based on the same fossil information can unintentionally lead to dramatically different priors and posterior estimates of divergence times.

Age estimates for the younger nodes are similar under all four calibration strategies (e.g., nodes 68, 86, and 92; Table S3). However, the posterior age estimates of nodes close to the root exhibit dramatic differences among the different calibration
Figure 1. The Effect of Fossil Calibrations on Posterior Divergence Time Estimates of Metazoans

(A) Time trees showing posterior divergence time estimates for major metazoan groups. Nodes are drawn at the posterior means obtained and horizontal bars represent 95% HPD intervals. Estimates were obtained with MCMCTree using the LG + Γ + F model, IR, and with the 203 proteins concatenated into a super alignment.

(B–D) Calibration, prior, and posterior densities for four ancient nodes in the metazoan phylogeny; coloring relates to the calibration strategy employed as in (A). (The phylogeny with species names is provided in Figure 6.)
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strategies (e.g., Figure 2A). This appears to reflect a paucity of palaeontological evidence, requiring very different scenarios for the timing and tempo of metazoan diversification. Strategies 3 and 4 yield timescales that strongly favor an early Cryogenian (834–780 Ma) diversification, evidently constrained by the root age, while the age estimates arising from calibration strategies 1 and 2 are compatible with metazoans diversifying at any time within the Cryogenian, though these analyses are not otherwise very informative (Figure 1D).

Calibration strategies 1–4 are based on a protostome interpretation of the Ediacaran Kimberella (552.85 Ma), to constrain the minimum time of divergence of Protostomia, Bilateria, Eumetazoa, and Metazoa (Table 1). However, to some, there is no unequivocal fossil evidence of metazoans prior to the Cambrian. In this view, interpreting Kimberella as a protostome leads to unduly ancient estimates for the origin of all the more universal clades encompassing Protostomia. To assess the impact of using Kimberella as a minimum constraint on the age of the protostome clade, we employed a variation of calibration strategy 1 in which the next-oldest record of Protostomia, the Cambrian Aldanella vanjiangensis (532 Ma), was used in place of Kimberella. The resulting divergence time estimates are effectively the same as those derived using strategy 1 (Figure S3). Thus, even under the assumption that the fossil record of metazoan is limited to the Cambrian, our estimates require an Ediacaran origin for most crown-bilaterian phyla, a late Cryogenian-early Ediacaran origin of crown Bilateria, and an early Cryogenian origin of crown Metazoa.

The Impact of Strong Violations of the Molecular Clock in Ancient Timescales
When rate variation across a phylogeny is extreme (that is, when the molecular clock is seriously violated), the rates calculated on one part of the phylogeny will serve as a poor proxy for estimating divergence times in other parts of the tree. In such instances, divergence time estimation is challenging and the analysis becomes sensitive to the rate model used.

To examine the impact of this uncertainty, we re-estimated the divergence times of metazoans assuming an autocorrelated rates (AR) model [53] under calibration strategy 1. This relaxed-clock model imposes a correlation of rates between ancestral and descendant branches by modeling rate change on the tree as a geometric Brownian diffusion process [53, 54]. We found that the choice between AR versus IR relaxed-clock models has a strong impact on the estimated divergences (Table 1 and Figure 2B). Our results show that many posterior time estimates for young nodes using the AR model are older than those derived using the IR model, whereas a few nodes, especially the deep nodes, are younger (Table 1 and Figure 2B). In particular, the divergences of crown Metazoa (764–650 Ma), crown Bilateria (619–596 Ma), crown Deuterostomia (611–587 Ma) and crown Protostomia (599–578 Ma) are substantially younger.

The AR model penalizes extreme rate variation over short time intervals and effectively imposes local clocks for closely related species while allowing large rate variation among distant clades. This contrasts with the IR model, which assumes that the variance of the rate is independent of the divergence time, so that the variance is the same whether the species are closely or distantly related. Figure 3 shows the change in the shape of the log-normal distribution of rates under the AR model across 500 million years of evolution and highlights the extreme level of rate variation in Metazoan phylogeny. At short timescales, the distribution is more symmetrical and has a smaller variance than at longer timescales. In the case of the IR model with \( \mu = 0.089/100\) million years and \( \sigma^2 = 0.468/100\) million years, the log-normal distribution has the same shape as that for 100 million years for the AR (Figure 3, third plot).

Which clock model should be used? Bayes factors have been used to decide between competing clock models (such as the IR and AR models, e.g., [55]) in phylogenetic analysis. MCMCTree does not yet implement Bayes factors, and so we did not calculate them here. Further work will be required to assess the suitability of the various clock models to describe rate evolution in the metazoan phylogeny. Thus, in attempting to encompass
The Impact of Data Partitioning

Partitioning of the molecular sequence alignment may impact on divergence time estimates [56, 57]. To explore this, the protein alignment was divided into two, four, five, and ten partitions, according to the relative amino acid substitution rates among genes (see the Supplemental Experimental Procedures). The posterior mean times for the most ancient nodes tended to increase as the number of partitions increases (Figure 2C). For example, divergence time estimates for crown Metazoa vary from 833–681 Ma (single partition) to 834–787 Ma (ten partitions; Table 1). The discrepancy between age estimates increases with proximity to the root, regardless of whether or not the nodes are calibrated (Figure 2C). Age estimates on intermediate nodes (e.g., all vertebrates and most arthropod nodes) do not vary significantly with partition strategy; for a small number of nodes, younger date estimates were obtained when more partitions were used (Figure 2C and Table 1). Overall, nodes with highly variable time estimates among different partitions are those without calibration or are close to the root, where the calibrations are least informative (Table S4 and Figure S2).

Figure 4 shows the so-called infinite-sites plot in which the width of the 95% HPD interval is plotted against the posterior mean. The precision of node age estimates, as reflected in the 95% HPD interval, increases with the number of partitions (Figure 4). Dividing the data into more partitions gives narrow HPD intervals, as indicated by the reduced regression coefficients in the plot. The extent of this reduction diminishes with higher numbers of partitions (for example, compare four, five, and ten partitions), indicating that, given the fixed set of calibrations and fixed sequence data, the number of partitions may already be near optimal in terms of dating precision. Nodes with the widest HPD interval are those with no fossil calibrations, indicating that including more calibration points is likely to improve the precision of the time estimates. Finally, since the plots are very scattered (very low $R^2$ values), adding more sequence data may lead to smaller HPDs, and hence more precise node age estimates.

Impact of Phylogenetic Uncertainty

All of the preceding analyses employed a fixed tree topology (Figure 1), yet the phylogenetic position of some metazoan taxa remains the subject of debate [58]. To account for this uncertainty, we analyzed 161 alternative binary trees, accounting for uncertainties in the positioning of Bilateria, chaetognaths, molluscs, nematodes, and xenacoelomorphs. The results of these analyses show that nodes are affected differently depending on the tree topology. For example, some nodes are characterized by time estimates that remain similar across all topologies (Figure 5). These nodes are usually well calibrated and/or the local phylogeny well accepted, such as in crown deuterostomes and arthropods (Figure 5). In contrast, nodes with uncertain phylogenetic relationships exhibit considerable variation in estimated ages. These include the nodes close to the root of the tree, such as Metazoa, Bilateria, and Cnidaria; this variation increases with proximity to the root. For example, moving the position of Placozoa around the eumetazoan node has a profound impact on the estimated age of the root (Figure 5).

DISCUSSION

The timing of the emergence of animals has troubled evolutionary biologists at least since Darwin, who was sufficiently incredulous that he considered the abrupt appearance of animal fossils in the Cambrian as a challenge to his theory of evolution by natural selection [59]. There has been, as a result, a long history of attempts to rationalize a rapid radiation of animals through theories of non-uniform evolutionary processes, such as homeotic mutations, removal of environmental restrictions on larger body sizes, through to the assembly of gene regulation kernels—proposed both as an explanation for rapid rates of innovation followed by subsequent constraint against fundamental innovation of new body plans after the Cambrian [60, 61]. Indeed,
there have been explicit attempts to accommodate rapid rates of phenotypic evolution in the early Cambrian, compatible with these hypotheses and a semi-literal (albeit phylogenetically constrained) reading of the fossil record [38].

And yet our results, as have others before them, suggest that there is no justification for invoking non-uniform mechanisms to explain the emergence of animals and their phylum-level body plans. Our analysis attempts to integrate different interpretations of the animal fossil record in informing the minimum age of animal clades. Some of these identify fossil evidence of animals extending into the Cryogenian [20, 62], whereas, at the other extreme, others argue that coherent evidence of animals is limited to the Cambrian or the terminal few millions of years of the Neoproterozoic [63]. Although a case may be made for the restriction of animal fossils to the Phanerozoic, there is only negative evidence (an absence of uncontroversial animal fossils) supporting a Cambrian explosion of animals. This is the long-standing conundrum of the Cambrian—whether the first animal fossils faithfully reflect an explosion in animal biodiversity or merely an explosion of fossils [64]. The results of our study—which integrates fossil and molecular evidence to establish an evolutionary timescale—suggest that the Cambrian explosion is a phenomenon of fossilization, while biological diversity was established in the Neoproterozoic. Integrating all of the sources of uncertainty that we explore (Figure 6, Table 1) allows us to conclude that crown Metazoa originated 833–650 Ma, fully within the Cryogenian, while the component clades of crown Eumetazoa (746–626 Ma), crown Bilateria (688–596) Ma, crown Deuterostomia (662–587 Ma), and crown Protostomia (653–578 Ma) all diverged within a Cryogenian to early- or mid-Ediacaran interval.

The results of our analyses leads us to reject the hypothesis that metazoans, eumetazoans, bilaterians, protostomes, deuterostomes, ecdysozoans, lophotrochozoans, or, for that matter, any of the component phylum-level total groups, originated in the Cambrian. The uncertainties from competing interpretations of the fossil record, through the choice of rate models and sequence partition strategies, to competing phylogenetic hypotheses all contribute to an evolutionary timescale that lacks sufficient precision to rule out many hypotheses. The situation is compounded by at least two additional sources of uncertainty that we did not study here: uncertainty introduced by the birth-death time prior, and its failure to accommodate diversified sampling of species in phylogenies [65], and uncertainty due to the substitution model, which may have an important effect when estimating branch lengths in ancient phylogenies [66, 67]. Some of the uncertainty in metazoan divergence times can be reduced, for example, by the addition of more sequence data, constraining local rate variation rate through the addition of more taxa. However, the improvements in precision possible even with genome-scale sequence data will be limited by the confounding effects of time and rate, which is the crux of the problem.

No matter how imprecise, our timescale for metazoan diversification still indicates a mismatch between the fossil evidence...
used to calibrate the molecular clock analyses and the resulting divergence time estimates. This is not altogether surprising since, by definition, minimum constraints of clade ages anticipate their antiquity. Nevertheless, it is the extent of this prehistory that is surprising, particularly since the conditions required for exceptional fossil preservation, so key to evidencing the existence of animal phyla in the early Cambrian, obtained also in the Ediacaran [68]. However, the early Cambrian is characterized
by a global sea level rise associated with increased tectonic activity leading to the destruction of older rock sequences by erosion and subduction. Although this may have promoted the innovation and radiation of skeletonizing animals [14], it will also have diminished the fossil record of their forebears [9]. That said, there remains a record of metazoan- and bilaterian-like fossil remains and traces in the Ediacaran that we considered insufficiently robust to substantiate a minimum constraint on metazoan clades but that invariably informed maxima. Further insights into the biology of these organisms and others like them might explain away apparent inconsistencies between molecular clock estimates of deep metazoan clade ages and their fossil record.

Nevertheless, attempts to build evolutionary narratives of animal evolution based on recent molecular clock studies appear to be premature. They fail to integrate different sources of uncertainties, which make accurate and precise divergence time estimates impossible with current data and methods. Progress may be possible through analysis of combined morphological and molecular data, which allow fossil species to be integrated into divergence time analyses on par with their living relatives [69, 70]. Combined analyses are expected to reduce uncertainty in prior node ages as compared to traditional analysis based on simplistic fossil-based constraints [71, 72]. However, most such analyses conducted to date have yielded unacceptably old divergence time estimates, even older than traditional node-calibrated studies [73]. Otherwise, statistical analyses of fossil stratigraphic data may yield more objective time priors (e.g., [74–76]) and more informative calibrations. Above all, establishing unequivocal evidence for...
the presence of metazoan clades in the late Neoproterozoic, as well as for the absence in more ancient strata, will probably have more impact than any methodological advance in improving the accuracy and precision of divergence time estimates for deep metazoan phylogeny. Realizing the aim of a timescale of early animal evolution that is not merely accurate, but sufficiently precise to effect tests of hypotheses on the causes and consequences of early animal evolution, will require improved models of trait evolution and improved algorithms to allow analysis of genome-scale sequence data in tandem with morphological characters.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Molecular Data Assembly
Two independent molecular datasets [35, 47] were combined into a single amino acid alignment. The alignments were updated with additional proteins from GenBank to include five additional species (Homo sapiens, Mus musculus, Omithorhynchus anatinaus, Tribolium castaneum, and Caenorhabditis elegans). Sequences were re-aligned [77], and alignment gaps were removed [78]. The combined alignment consists of 203 nuclear encoded proteins (38,577 amino acid positions) from 71 species (missing data 21.49%). This process recovered the original alignments but included extra species and sequences of genes previously missing or incomplete. The alignment was also divided into two, four, five, and ten partitions according to the relative evolutionary rates of proteins (measured by the distance between Hydra magnipapillata and Strongylocentrotus purpuratus).

Tree Topology
As the relationships among many taxa remain unresolved, 17 species were removed from the dataset to reduce the uncertainty in the topology. This resulted in a smaller alignment of the remaining 54 species (missing data 13.97%). The phylogeny for these 54 species has four uncertain nodes that can be rearranged in three ways and one uncertain node that can be rearranged in two ways, giving $3^3 \times 2 = 162$ possible fully resolved trees that were used for analysis. One of those trees (Figure 1A), mainly based on [52] with adjustments based on more recent discoveries and known controversies, was chosen for the main analysis, whereas the other 161 trees were used to assess the robustness of the time estimates to the various topologies.

Fossil Calibrations
Thirty-four minimum and maximum fossil age constraints were derived from [79] with updates [49, 80]. The minimum ages were determined from the oldest uncontroversial record belonging to one of the two sister clades. These inferred minima are conservative, and the actual origination time of a clade is likely to be older. The maximum ages were derived from the base of the youngest stratigraphic range or geological formation known not to contain any members of the clade of interest [81, 82]. On the basis of these maximum and minimum bounds, we constructed calibration densities for four calibration strategies. In strategy 1, the 34 calibrations are represented as uniform distributions with soft bounds [50]. In strategy 2, 13 calibrations are represented by skew-normal distributions, with the minimum and maximum bounds matching the corresponding quantities of the distribution; the rest of the calibrations are as in strategy 1. Strategy 3 is like strategy 2, but the truncated Cauchy distribution with a long tail [51] is used instead of the skew normal. Strategy 4 is like strategy 3, but the tail of the truncated Cauchy is shorter. The detailed strategies are presented in Table S1.

Divergence Time Estimation
Molecular dating was performed using the program MCMCTree v4.8 [52]. The time unit used was 100 million years. The prior on times was constructed using the fossil calibrations combined with the birth-death process [50] with parameters $\lambda = \mu = 1, n = 0$ (representing a uniform distribution of node ages given the root age).

Because the molecular alignment is large, the likelihood was calculated approximately to save computing time [54, 83]. The approximation uses the gradient and Hessian matrix of the likelihood at the maximum likelihood estimates of branch lengths. These were calculated with the program CODEML [52] using the LG + $I_4 + F$ amino acid substitution model [84, 85]. Both the IR and the AR models were used [53]. The prior on the mean rate (or the ancestral rate) was set to $Q(2, 0)$. This is a diffuse prior with the mean to be 0.05 (or 5 x 10^-10) amino acid substitutions per site per year. The overall mean was derived from the average pairwise amino acid distances between the 203 proteins of Hydra magnipapillata and Strongylocentrotus purpuratus assuming a divergence time around 636.1 Ma. The prior for $n^2$ was set to Q(1, 10), indicating serious violation of the clock. The priors were set using the gamma-Dirichlet prior [56].

The number of iterations, the burn-in, and the sampling frequency were adjusted in test runs of the program. In addition, at least two chains were run to ensure convergence. Convergence was assessed by comparing the posterior means and plotting the time series traces of the samples from two independent runs. The resulting posterior distribution was summarized as the means and 95% HPD intervals.

Detailed methods are given in the Supplemental Experimental Procedures.
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