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Abstract
Living benthic foraminifera have been widely used as ecological indicators in coastal ecosystems. There is, however, a lack of studies on their response to trace element pollution in tropical estuarine systems. Here we analyze the living assemblages of benthic foraminifera, collected in 2016, in the Cachoeira River Estuary (CRE) in northeastern Brazil, to understand their response to natural and anthropogenic stressors, including trace element pollution. Some species were good bioindicators of specific environmental conditions, such as the agglutinant Paratrochammina clossi which preferred mangrove areas and anoxic conditions. In addition, the calcareous Ammonia tepida and Cribroelphidium excavatum, dominant within the whole system disregarding organic or trace element pollution, seem to resist even in the areas most polluted by trace elements. Interestingly, C. excavatum showed a particular positive relationship with trace element pollution (specifically by Cu and Pb), outnumbering the opportunistic A. tepida in the areas with higher pollution of these metals. However, for other species, it is still difficult to constrain to which parameters they respond (i.e., Haynesina germanica and Elphidium gunteri, which in the present study seem to respond to natural conditions, whereas in the literature they are regarded as indicators of trace element and organic pollution, respectively). Therefore, our findings shed light on the response of benthic foraminiferal species in a highly polluted and highly mixed tropical estuarine system and highlight the need to understand the complexity of these environments when applying foraminiferal biological indexes to avoid imprecise conclusions.
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Introduction
Tropical estuarine ecosystems and their surrounding areas are commonly affected by anthropogenic stressors and activities, such as harbors, industries, fishing, agriculture, and disposal of domestic sewage (often in natura) (Flemer and Champ 2006; Marins et al. 2007). When the estuary’s capacity for dispersion is exceeded, organic and inorganic contaminants start to accumulate and alter the quality of the water and sediment (e.g., eutrophication process, enhanced toxicity) (Rabalais 2002; Tappin 2002). Among these
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contaminants, toxic metals (hereinafter referred to as trace elements) have become a global problem and are a major concern in the scientific and public communities. Because they have a high affinity for the fine sediment fraction and do not degrade, they can accumulate or even be biomagnified along the trophic chain (Ip et al. 2004; Gu et al. 2011), posing a threat to marine life (Stankovic et al. 2014).

Among the marine organisms, the benthic community is potentially impacted by the trace-element accumulation in the sediment, since they are directly exposed to the contaminants. Metal-impacted benthic communities are commonly characterized by reduced abundance, lower species diversity, and shifts in community composition from sensitive to tolerant taxa (Clements et al. 1992 and references therein). To investigate the impact on the benthic community, it is therefore important to understand the spatial distribution of the trace elements, their accumulation mechanisms in the sediment (e.g., influence of hydrodynamics, organic matter, and other environmental variables), and how they affect the benthic community distribution.

For this purpose, benthic foraminifera have been proven to represent an excellent low-cost tool, being regarded as good bioindicators of both natural stress and human interference in estuarine areas (Alve 1995). Previous studies based on the modification of their assemblage’s composition and diversity have shown their potential to evaluate the environmental conditions and the ecological quality status (i.e., Alve et al. 2009, 2016; Bouchet et al. 2012, 2013, 2020; Barras et al. 2014; Dimiza et al. 2016; Jorissen et al. 2018). In particular, the sensitivity of these organisms to the combined effects of ocean warming and local impacts (Prazeres et al. 2017; Bergamin et al. 2019), to organic pollutants (Alve 1995; Burone et al. 2006; Martins et al. 2016a), and more specifically to trace element pollution (Frontalini et al. 2009, 2018; Frontalini and Coccioni 2011; Jayaraju et al. 2011; Martins et al. 2013; Mojtabahid et al. 2006) has been well demonstrated. However, these methodologies have been used more extensively in temperate environments, as they have been historically limited in tropical areas.

Nonetheless, in recent decades, the number of studies using foraminifera as bioindicators in tropical areas has increased, which a focus on the foraminiferal response to natural (Debenay et al. 2001; Duleba and Debenay 2003; Laut et al. 2016a; Belart et al. 2019) and anthropogenic (Vilela et al. 2004, 2011; Teodoró et al. 2009; Eichler et al. 2015; Laut et al. 2016b, 2021a, b; Belart et al. 2018; Raposo et al. 2018; Pregnolato et al. 2018; Martins et al. 2020) environmental stresses. A few studies addressing the effect of trace element pollution on the benthic foraminiferal community have also been performed (Debenay and Fernandez 2009; Lacuna and Alviro 2014; Martínez-Colón et al. 2009, 2018a; b; Sánchez et al. 2020). However, along the Brazilian coastline, most of these investigations were based on the total assemblage (not distinguishing living from dead organisms) and therefore could not constrain the effect of the trace elements in the living organisms (e.g., Vilela et al. 2004, 2011; Donnici et al. 2012; Damasio et al. 2020), with the exception of the studies by Duleba et al. (2018), Martins et al. (2020), and Castelo et al. (2022) in Southeastern Brazil. This highlights an important gap in such studies along the extensive Brazilian coastline and in tropical regions as a whole.

To accurately understand (and predict) the global impact of trace elements on the living benthic organisms’ communities, it is crucial to comprehend how they affect the different marine environments across the globe. Therefore, in our study, we aim to fill this gap by providing new insights into the living benthic foraminifera as bioindicators of natural and anthropogenic stressors, particularly focusing in the response to trace element pollution. For this, we investigated a tropical mesotidal estuary in the northeastern coast of Brazil, the Cachoeira River Estuary (CRE). The CRE is an estuarine system that receives discharges enriched in organic and inorganic pollutants from agricultural and industrial activities, as well as from an inefficient sewage treatment plant (Souza et al. 2009), and that is regarded to be affected by trace element pollution (Laut et al. 2021c). Given the severe anthropogenic influence on this estuary, we aim to contribute to knowledge about the ecology of benthic foraminifera in coastal areas that are exposed to similar highly urbanized conditions.

**Study Area**

The CRE (14° 45′ to 14° 50′ S and 39° 05′ to 39° 01′ W), located in the city of Ilhéus in the state of Bahia (NE Brazil), comprises an area of approximately 16 km² and is characterized by a semidiurnal mesotidal regime, with a tidal range of 2 m (Souza et al. 2009; Bahia 2017). It is formed by the convergence of the Cachoeira, Itacanoeira, and Santana rivers (Fig. 1) and represents the largest estuary in the southern part of the state (Almeida et al. 2006). The climate in the region is tropical, warm, and humid, with an annual temperature of 23.3 °C and precipitation exceeding 2000 mm per year (Schiavetti et al. 2005). The average fluvial discharge in the basin is 24.1 m³/s and quickly responds to precipitation, which is higher mainly between November and January (Bahia 2017).

The CRE is a typical tropical estuary dominated by mangroves, which cover an area of approximately 13 km² of vegetation in shrub and semi-shrub stages and a restinga vegetation strip with trees and undergrowth vegetation over sandy deposits of Quaternary origin (Barbosa and Domingues 1996). The decomposition of *Rhizophora mangle* and *Laguncularia racemosa* leaves acts as a natural source of organic matter (OM) in the estuary (Oliveira et al.
The mangroves have been suffering serious damage from landfills, domestic and industrial effluent discharges, and the removal of sand from the river to meet construction demand (Fidelman 2005). The mangrove vegetation removal, in addition to the contaminants and OM contributions from rivers and the continent, turns the CRE into a vulnerable ecosystem (Fidelman 2005). In fact, the estuary has already been identified as a super eutrophic environment.

Fig. 1 Sample stations in the Cachoeira River Estuary, the location of the sewage treatment plant (STP), the airport, the port of Ilhéus, and the Itacaneoira and Santana rivers. The dotted line delimits the upper and lower estuary.
This condition is made worse during periods of drought due to lower fluvial discharge (Lucio 2010). In addition, a sewage treatment plant (STP) was installed in the CRE in 2000. This increased the discharge of effluent highly enriched in nutrients and therefore intensified the eutrophication processes in the system (Souza et al. 2009; Silva et al. 2015).

Methods

Sampling

In November 2016, 30 stations were sampled (Fig. 1) for both biotic and abiotic parameters. The stations were distributed along 11 transects. The stations in the middle of the channel were identified with the letter “A” and the stations in the margins with the letters “B” and “C”. Due to navigation difficulties, only one station was sampled in transect 1 (CH01-C) and two stations in transect 2 (CH02-A and CH02-C). The geographic coordinates of the stations are reported in Table S1. According to the tide report (station Port of Ilhéus–Malhado), the higher syzygy tide was 2.1 m, whereas the lower one was 0.1 m during the collection period (DHN 2016).

The definition of the estuary sectors was considered in accordance with a previous study in the CRE (Silva et al. 2015). The estuary mouth was represented by the station CH01-C and the transect CH02. The lower estuary was represented by the transects CH03-CH08 (where CH05 and CH06 represent the connection with the Itacaneira and Santana rivers, respectively). And the upper estuary was represented by the transects CH09–CH11 (Fig. 1). All abiotic variables of the water and the sediment were treated accordingly to the respective standard methodology, as described by Laut et al. (2021c).

Physical and Chemical Properties of the Water

Physical and chemical parameters of the seawater were obtained at the interface between the water and sediment, using a multiparametric probe (YSI 6600-V2 Xylem Water Solutions, Singapore). The depth ranged from 1.1 to 6.1 m depending on the station. Salinity, temperature, pH, dissolved oxygen (DO), chlorophyll-a (Chl-a), total dissolved solids (TDS), turbidity (NTU +), and the transparency of the water (using a Secchi disk) were measured (detailed measurements for each station are listed in Table S1).

Geochemical Analyses of the Sediment

The sediment samples were collected from the side of a vessel with a small adapted Ekman-type sampler (with ca. 3-L capacity), which had an upper aperture that allowed the separation of the surface of the sediment without major disturbances in the layer. The uppermost part of the sediment was collected for grain-size (ca. 300 mL) and geochemical analyses (50 mL, from the uppermost first cm). The granulometric analysis followed the protocol detailed by Laut et al. (2021c).

For the OM, the sediment samples were dried in an oven at 100 °C. A standard aliquot (80 g) was then treated with hydrogen peroxide (H$_2$O$_2$ 10%) to remove the OM, weighted again, and the percentage of OM in the aliquot was calculated. The OM can be interpreted as all organic compounds from living or dead animals or vegetal vestiges, including carbon, hydrogen, oxygen, nitrogen, or other elements. Then, as a final step before the grain-size analysis, the carbonate content was removed by adding hydrochloric acid (HCl 36%) until stabilization of the reaction was achieved and no dissolution of carbonate could be observed (adapted from Suguio 1973). To determine sediment particle size, approximately 1 g of the samples without OM and carbonate content were treated with a sodium hydroxide solution (NaOH) medium dispersant for 24 h and then analyzed using a Particle Size Analyzer (CILAS 1190-3P Instruments, Germany).

Total organic carbon (TOC) and total sulphur (TS) were analyzed with a carbon and sulphur analyzer (LECO SC-632 LECO, Australia) in accordance with the protocols from the American Society for Testing Materials (protocol ASTM D4239, ASTM 2008) and the United States Environmental Protection Agency (protocol NCEA C1282, Schumacher 2002). The C/S ratio was also calculated and used as a proxy of sediment oxygenation.

The trace elements were extracted by an acid digestion in double distilled nitric acid (2 M HNO$_3$), using a ratio of 50 mg of dried sample to 0.5 mL of acid for 4 h and heating at 100 °C. After cooling, the volume was adjusted to 5 mL with ultrapure water (resistivity > 18 MΩ cm) and analyzed with an inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometer (ICP-MS, model ELAN DRC II, Perking Elmer-Sciex, Norwalk, CT, USA). The analysis followed the certified protocol DORM-4 by the National Research Council Canada (NRC) (Willie et al. 2012). Performing the extraction with the 2 M HNO$_3$, as well as not using any complexing agents, allowed only a partial extraction of the elements in the sediment. Therefore, this method provides the releasable fraction (defined here as the fraction of free metals in the sediment, i.e., the bioavailable fraction), which is more effective to risk assessment for trace element pollution than the total fraction (Takáč et al. 2009). The analyzed chemical elements were aluminum (Al), arsenic (As), cadmium (Cd), chromium (Cr), cesium (Cs), copper (Cu), iron (Fe), mercury (Hg), magnesium (Mg), manganese (Mn), nickel (Ni), lead (Pb), strontium (Sr), and zinc (Zn).
To identify possible anthropic contributions, we calculated some pollution indicators, such as the Contamination Factor and the Modified Degree of Contamination Index (CF and mCd, respectively, Spagnoli et al. 2021) and the Pollution Load Index (PLI, Tomlinson et al. 1980). The CF is an index developed to evaluate the contamination of a given toxic substance in a basin based on the background concentrations, and the mCd represents the sum of all CFs of the elements analyzed for such a basin (Spagnoli et al. 2021). The PLI represents the pollution level in three possible classes, which are PLI = 0: unpolluted pristine conditions; PLI = 1: baseline levels of pollutants; and PLI > 1: progressive deterioration of the environmental quality by pollution (Tomlinson et al. 1980). The CF, mCd, and PLI were calculated for each station according to the following equations:

$$CF = \frac{C_{element}}{C_{background}}$$

$$mCd = \sum CF_n$$

$$PLI = \sqrt[\text{mCd}]{CF_1 \times CF_2 \times \ldots \times CF_n}$$

where $C_{element}$ is the concentration of the element in a given station, $C_{background}$ is the background concentration of the area, and $CF_n$ are all the CFs of the elements within a specific station. As the background concentrations were not yet investigated in the CRE, we considered previously published papers in the Bahia state from estuary settings (i.e., Barros et al. 2008; Fostier et al. 2016; Friedmann Angeli et al. 2019; Almeida et al. 2020). It is important to highlight that we are aware that using the regional background concentrations could result in a biased calculation if the estuaries considered are dissimilar to the CRE. One could argue that another option would be to consider the average global concentrations of trace elements in shale or granite. However, this would likewise add bias to the calculation. Therefore, we decided to keep the regional background concentrations. The background concentrations are reported in Table S2.

**Foraminiferal Analysis**

Sediment samples were collected in three replicates by independent deployments of the sediment grab at each station. A total of 50 mL of sediment from the first centimeter was taken from each replicate and stained with rose-Bengal (2 g/L) to identify the living specimens. The sediment was wet sieved (500–63 µm) and dried at 60 °C for 48 h. The sorting of foraminifera from the sediments was performed under a stereoscope microscope. A micro-splitter was used in the case of too many specimens in one sample. In contrast, when there were too few individuals, the minimum number of 100 specimens per replicate was targeted (Fatela and Taborda 2002). When the average of the replicates did not show the minimum number of specimens, we discarded the respective station from the statistical analyses. The foraminiferal density FD (individuals/50 mL) in each sample was calculated based on the average number of specimens counted in the three replicates and the number of times the samples were split (if that was the case).

We calculated the species richness ($S = \text{number of species}$) and the Fischer $\alpha$ index in PAST software (Hammer et al. 2001) and the diversity of the Shannon Wiener diversity index ($H^\prime$) by the formula $H^\prime = \sum p_i \ln p_i$, where $p_i$ is the proportion of the species in the samples. The taxonomic identification of the species largely followed Brönnimann (1979), Boltovskoy et al. (1980), Poag (1981), Loeblich and Tappan (1987), Walton and Sloan (1990), Yassini and Jones (1995), Martins and Gomes (2004), Laut et al. (2014, 2017), and Raposo et al. (2016). All the species names were revised on the online platform World Register of Marine Species-WoRMS (Hayward et al. 2021).

**Multivariate Analysis**

An additive logarithmic transformation log (1 + X) was used prior to statistical analysis to remove the effects of orders of magnitude differences between variables (i.e., biotic and abiotic) and to normalize the data (Brakstad 1992; Manly 1997). A principal component analysis (PCA) was carried out to reduce the data matrices composed of several variables to a small number of components representing the main modes of variation. The PCA was performed on selected environmental parameters (i.e., salinity, pH, DO, PLI, mCd, mud, sand, TOC, and C/S) as primary variables. The PCA also allowed us to plot supplementary variables, namely diversity indices and relative abundances of benthic foraminiferal species (> 2% in at least one sample) (Frontalini et al. 2009). In this way, the PCA was performed only using the primary variables, whereas the supplementary ones were solely projected without affecting the analysis and used to understand their changes against the principal components. Statistical analyses were performed using Statistica 8.0 (Weiß 2007).

Multiple regression analyses were performed to detect the significant environmental variables that affected the species distribution. For this, we built a model for estimating species distribution change based on the selected environmental variables that are the same as in the PCA. To see which environmental variables are significant in their distribution, we examined the coefficients table, which shows the estimate of regression beta coefficients and the associated $t$-statistic and $p$-values. The species which showed a significant $p$-value are shown in Table 1 and the models for all species are reported in the Online Resource.
1. The multiple regression analyses were performed using the software R 4.1.1 (R Core Team 2021).

To create a better representation of the empirical data (i.e., environmental variables, community metrics, and dominant species), interpolation maps were created (Azpurua and Ramos 2010) within the software ArcMap 10.5®. Several methods and settings were tested, and the Inverse Distance Weighting (IDW) method resulted as the most reliable one to represent the sediment and biotic variable distribution in the CRE, and the Spline method to represent the water-related variables. We defined the interpolation settings as the following: cell size = 3, power = 2, number of points = 12, and the color scheme was represented by the color ramp as spectrum full light, with 30 classes and a classification method of equal intervals. The metric coordinates were in accordance with the datum WGS84 (UTM 24S). The coverage of our sampling includes nearby sites (maximum distance of 1.8 km among transects) located in strategic regions to include the different environmental gradients (seawater and river input, connection to other estuaries, connection to mangroves, connection to the sewage treatment plant). Therefore, this coverage is appropriate for the interpolations that show the distribution of the sedimentary and water-related environments within the CRE. All the data used for the interpolations is shared in Table S1 (environmental variables) and Table S3 (biotic variables).

Results

Water and Sediment Characterization

Abiotic data has been previously described and discussed by Laut et al. (2021c). The environmental assessment of the physical and (geo)chemical properties of the water and sediment is presented in Figs. 2, 3, 4, and 5 (see Table S1 for detailed results).

Table 1 Significant environmental variables identified in the multiple regression analyses performed for species

| Environmental variable | A. tepida | B. doniezi | C. excavatum | P. clossi |
|------------------------|-----------|------------|--------------|-----------|
|                        | T-value   | P-value    | T-value      | P-value   |
| pH                     |           |            |              |           |
| Sal                    |           |            |              |           |
| DO                     | 2.21      | 0.044 *    |              |           |
| TOC                    |           |            |              |           |
| C/S                    | 3.68      | 0.002 **   |              |           |
| Sand                   | −2.23     | 0.043 *    | 2.15         | 0.050 *   |
| Mud                    |           |            |              |           |
| mCd                    | −2.33     | 0.035 *    | −2.42        | 0.030 *   |
| PLI                    | 2.36      | 0.033 *    | −3.66        | 0.003 **  |

Stars show the level of significance based on the p-value: (*) p-value < 0.05; (**) p-value < 0.01; (***) p-value < 0.001

The estuary mouth showed a salinity of ca. 37 and a pH of around 8.6. These prevailing marine conditions extended until the middle of the estuary (CH08 transect). Then salinity and pH gradually decreased, reaching 1.40 and 7.41, respectively, in the upper estuary (Fig. 2). The temperature varied from 27.3 to 29.8 °C. The stations closest to the ocean had the lowest temperatures, whereas the inland regions had the highest ones. The DO showed a maximum of 6.60 mg/L at the mouth and a minimum of 0.00 mg/L in the region close to the Itacanoeria River. The Chl-a values varied from a minimum of 0.90 μg/L at the mouth to 15–45 μg/L between the lower and upper estuary sectors. The transparency ranged between 0.5 and 1.6 m, with the lowest value in the station beside the STP (CH10-C) in the upper estuary. The TDS showed a minimum of 1.77 g/L in the upper estuary and a maximum of 36.9 g/L at the mouth. The NTU + varied between 0.90 and 61.7, with the highest values in the lower part of the estuary.

The CRE sediments were mainly represented by sand and some silty sands with OM ranging from 0.6% (CH03-A) to 53% (CH10-C) (Fig. 3 and Table S1). The TOC and TS showed minimum values of 0.01% and 0.05%, respectively, in the mouth (CH03-C), and a maximum in the central region of the estuary (TOC of 4.67% at CH09-B and TS of 1.21% at CH06-B). The C/S ratio was higher in the area between the lower and upper estuary (Fig. 3).

Trace element concentrations are shown in Figs. 4 and 5 and are listed in detail in Table S1. Higher concentrations of these elements were mainly found in the middle estuary, where the values reached 29.0 μg/g (As), 43.0 μg/g (Cr), 114 μg/g (Cu), 497 μg/g (Mn), 37.6 μg/g (Ni), 877 μg/g (Pb), and 390 μg/g (Zn). Cadmium was commonly found in low concentrations throughout the estuary (up to 0.57 μg/g). The concentrations of Hg were high throughout the estuary (up to 0.32 μg/g). The highest values of Cs (2.57 μg/g) and Sr (475 μg/g) were found in the mouth region (Table S1).
Fig. 2  Interpolation maps showing the distribution of the water-related parameters measured at the interface between water and sediment in the Cachoeira River Estuary
Fig. 3 Interpolation maps showing the distribution of OM (organic matter) (%), TOC (total organic carbon) (%), TS (total sulphur) (%), and C/S (carbon/sulphur ratio) in the sediment of the Cachoeira River Estuary.
Fig. 4 Interpolation maps showing the distribution of the bioavailable (trace) elements Al, Cu, Fe, Mg, Mn, Pb, Sr, and Zn (in μg/g) in the sediment of the Cachoeira River Estuary.
Maximum values of CF of Cu, Mg, Mn, Ni, and Pb were found in the middle estuary, and maximum values of Cd, Hg, Mn, and Ni were found in the upper estuary. The PLI ranged between 0.1 and 7.2, in which CH03-A (close to the mouth) presented the lowest PLI and CH08-B (at the beginning of the upper estuary) the highest PLI (Table S1).

Foraminiferal Analysis

Forty-nine living benthic foraminiferal taxa were identified throughout the CRE, represented by 30 calcareous species and 19 agglutinated ones (Table S3). The upper CRE included four stations with low (< 100 ind/50 mL) (CH09-B, CH09-C, CH10-B, CH11-B) or barren FD (CH11-A and CH11-C). The only station with low FD in the lower part of the estuary was CH04-C (Fig. 6). The highest FD (3,500 ind/50 mL) was found in CH08-A. The species list and all the community metrics are reported in Table S3. The H' diversity was highest (1.55) at station CH01-C at the mouth of the CRE. This site also had the highest species richness (22 species) (Fig. 6). The lowest value of diversity was in the upper estuary, at station CH10-C (0.49). The calcareous species were dominant in most of the estuary.

Fig. 5 Interpolation maps showing the distribution of the bioavailable (trace) elements As, Cd, Cr, Cs, Hg, and Ni (in μg/g) in the sediment of the Cachoeira River Estuary
and were replaced by agglutinated species in the innermost area (Fig. 6).

The CRE was dominated by *Ammonia tepida*, which presented high relative abundances of up to 89.1%. Three other species were identified in most of the stations with significant relative abundances: *Ammonia parkinsoniana* (up to 30.8%), *Cribroelphidium excavatum* (up to 40.4%), and *Paratrochammina closii* (up to 100%). *Haynesina germanica* was also found in several stations, but with lower relative abundances (up to 10%).

### Multivariate Analyses

The PCA showed that ~62.1% of data variance can be explained by the first two principal components (factors). In particular, the eigenvalues of component 1 (horizontally, 40.8 of inertia) and component 2 (vertically, 21.3 of inertia) are 3.7 and 1.9, respectively. Sand, mud, TOC, PLI, mCd, and C/S are the predominant variables in the first component, whereas the major contributors to the second component are pH, salinity, and DO (Fig. 7). On the basis of the distribution of these
environmental variables in the PCA plane, the first component can be tentatively interpreted as a grain-size gradient, and the second component as the marine influence gradient (higher marine influence is defined as the higher influence of pH, salinity, and DO). Supplementary variables, namely the relative abundance of species and the diversity indices, were plotted over the PCA plane to reveal their relationships in relation to the first two components. In particular, diversity indices are positively related to the second component (i.e., the degree of marine influence), so the highest values of S and Fischer α indexes appear to be mostly found in the areas the highest marine influence of the estuary. Some of the species appear to be influenced by the grain-size gradient, such as C. excavatum, which shows relative abundances positively related with an increased percentage of fine sediments, which are enriched in TOC and pollutants. On the other hand, B. striatula exhibits the opposite pattern (Fig. 7). Additionally, some species show a preference for areas with less marine influence, such as P. closii, A. parkinsoniana, Miliammina fusca, and Ammottium morenoi being placed towards negative values of the second component.

The multiple regression analyses revealed the significant environmental variables in the species distributions (Table 1), which were DO, C/S, Sand, mCd, and PLI. Only four species had their distributions significantly affected by those variables, and the mode in which they were affected (either positively or negatively) was particular to each species. For instance, the dominant species A. tepida was positively related to DO and negatively related to sand and mCd, whereas P. closii was negatively related to DO and not related to any other environmental variable. Although the species Bolivina doniezi is not present in high abundance in the estuary, it is found in many stations, and it shows significant association with sand and PLI and a negative association with mCd. The most striking result, however, was with the species C. excavatum, which showed a positive and very strong relationship with mCd and C/S.

**Discussion**

**Environmental Characterization of the Estuary**

The CRE system shows great environmental variability due to marine influence in the mesotidal regime, the fluvial input by many freshwater discharges, and the OM from several sources (e.g., mangrove vegetation natural deposition, STP discharges, households with poor sanitation).

On the basis of our data, it is possible to observe a higher salinity, lower pH, lower temperature, and higher TDS values in the lower estuary that are related to the enhanced marine influence (Fig. 2). The fluvial influence starts to be more evident around the transects CH07 and CH08, delimiting the upper estuary region, as documented in previous studies in the CRE (Souza et al. 2009; Silva et al. 2015; Laut et al. 2021c). Although this well-defined boundary could be identified based on water parameters, the sedimentary system is much more dynamic and complex.

Relatively higher values of OM, TOC, and TS are associated with the upper estuary. The increased content of organic material and higher Chl-a in the water match well with previous studies that classified the area as a eutrophic or hypertrophic region with higher input of nutrients and higher Chl-a concentrations (Silva et al. 2015). Despite this, high values of OM, TOC, and TS are also found in the lower estuary, mainly in connection with the Itaçanoeira and Santana rivers (Fig. 3 and Table S1). Higher OM can be attributed to the contribution of the mangroves (Oliveira et al. 2013), but extreme values above 40% identify highly impacted areas characterized by diffuse sources (poor household sanitation around station CH06–B) and/or point sources (the STP next to the stations CH09–C and CH10–C) of OM input (Laut et al. 2021c). The values of OM in the CRE are comparatively higher than those of other estuarine systems associated with mangroves along the coast of Brazil, such as the Potengi estuary, São João estuary, Paraíba do Sul Delta, Surui Estuary, and Itacorubí estuary, which revealed an OM range between 0.3 and 14.3% (Laut et al. 2016b).

The TOC values found in the CRE are comparable to the values found in highly industrialized regions with significant organic input from commercial ports, domestic sewage, and/or runoff from agricultural fields (e.g., Jobos Bay in Puerto Rico, TOC: 3.7–10.3%, Martínez-Colón et al. 2021; and Guanabara Bay in Brazil, TOC: 2.1–5.5%, Martins et al. 2020). However, the anthropogenic influence alone cannot be related to higher values of TOC everywhere. For instance, the Arade estuary in Portugal (0.62–1.81%; Laut et al. 2014) and the Walton backwater in England (0.07%–1.97%; Aston and Hewitt 1977), located in temperate regions, are affected by high anthropogenic activity but show lower TOC values. Considering that TOC is a quantitative and not a qualitative (i.e., terrestrial or marine) estimation of the total organic carbon, the organic matter found in the CRE could also be refractory, originating from the mangroves. Therefore, it is likely that the high levels of TOC in the CRE come from a combination of both natural (mangroves) and anthropogenic (STP, urban area discharge) sources.

The CRE also shows similar TS values to those found in other impacted coastal ecosystems along the Brazilian coast (Laut et al. 2016b and references there included). The C/S ratio indicates the oxygenation conditions of the sedimentary environment. As proposed by Duleba et al. (2018), values of C/S > 5 indicate oxygenated bottom water and mostly oxic sediment; C/S between 5 and 1.5 defines sedimentary
Fig. 7 PCA ordination diagram based on the selected environmental and foraminiferal (i.e., indices and species) variables (upper plot); scatter diagram plotting sampling stations (bottom plot).
deposits that undergo periods of anoxia, whereas C/S < 1.5 suggests background water and anoxic sediment. Using this classification, six stations would be classified as oxic (CH01–C, CH08–A, CH10–A, CH10–B, CH11–A, and CH11–B), four as anoxic (CH02–A, CH03–A, CH03–C, and CH06–A), and the others as having experienced periods of anoxia. This trend correlates well with the low value of DO observed throughout most of the system and highlights the great spatial heterogeneity of the sedimentary environment. Overall, the fact that the CRE shows high OM and TOC as in other tropical polluted areas (e.g., Martins et al. 2020; Martínez-Colón et al. 2021) suggests environmental degradation and the effects of STP discharges and OM of terrestrial origin in the ecosystem.

Trace Elements in the Sediment

The PLI, which gives an overall assessment of sediment trace element pollution, indicates progressive deterioration of environmental quality in the CRE. In fact, the PLI values shown in the present study are higher than in other polluted areas worldwide (Martins et al. 2015a, c; Damak et al. 2019; Francescangeli et al. 2020). The trace elements that are mainly responsible for this high PLI are the As, Cu, Hg, Ni, and Zn, concentrations of which exceed the US Environmental Protection Agency (US-EPA) Effects Range Low (ER-L), and Pb, with concentrations exceeding the Effects Range Median (ER-M) (Table S1). The ER-L indicates the concentration below which toxic effects are scarcely observed or predicted, while the ER-M indicates the concentration above which effects are generally or always observed (Long et al. 1995). Therefore, the Pb in the CRE already reaches toxic concentrations.

The proximity of the estuary to several agricultural fields of cocoa cultivation (mainly located upstream along the Cachoeira river; Cassano et al. 2009), which are associated with the use of chemical fertilizers, is likely an important source of trace elements in the CRE (Chepote et al. 2012). In the case of Zn, this metal that is present in many fertilizers (e.g., in the form of zinc sulfate) could also be enhanced by local fires in the agricultural areas (Chiba et al. 2011). In addition, Cu and Pb are also associated with agricultural activity, such as the use of several pesticides with arsenates and metal–organic compounds that could reach the estuary through percolation or runoff processes (Tiller 1989). Zn, Cu, and Pb also originate from urban activities (Dalto et al. 2006).

Aside from cocoa cultivation and other agricultural activities (such as cattle breeding), industrial activities in Itabuna and Ilhéus, as well as their high urbanization, have been identified as sources of contamination in aquatic macrophytes along the CRE (Klumpp et al. 2002). In fact, the different metals were associated with specific sources such as agricultural (Cu), industrial (Cr), and urban (Al, Cu) sources (Klumpp et al. 2002). High urbanization has also been related to pollution by Hg in coastal environments (Ferraro et al. 2006, 2009; Mirlean et al. 2009) and could be related to high values around the CRE as well. Therefore, there is a combination of several different pollution sources in the hydrographic basin of the Cachoeira River: an industrial hub including paint and dye factories, many agricultural fields with extensive use of pesticides and fertilizers, and untreated and diffuse discharge of urban sewage (Manzini et al. 2010).

Besides the anthropogenic influence, another possible explanation for the high number of elements exceeding the US-EPA thresholds as well as the high PLI values could be the influence of the rainy season (conditions prevailing during sampling). As previously shown as a relevant factor in other studies (i.e., in the Maracaípe River estuary, Northeastern Brazil, Coimbra et al. 2015; in the Pearl River estuary, southern China, Ip et al. 2004; and in a New Caledonian lagoon, Dalto et al. 2006), the rainfall conditions can enhance the mobilization of the metals in the sediments (Coimbra et al. 2015). In fact, Laut et al. (2021c) suggested an influence of sediment oxygenation, which can also increase with rainfall conditions and in regions under marine influence (Duleba et al. 2018). These observations therefore highlight the importance of more seasonal studies in the area.

Foraminiferal Assemblages’ Metrics and Estuarine Conditions

The living assemblage of the CRE was dominated by *A. tepida* but also represented by typical estuarine species from the South Atlantic, such as the calcareous *A. parkinsoniana*, *Bolivina inflata*, *Bolivina striatula*, *B. doniezi*, *C. excavatum*, *Cribroelphidium poeyanum*, and the agglutinated species *Ammoastuta inepta*, *Ammoastuta salsa*, *Ammotium cassis*, *Ammotium morenoi* (previously referred as *Ammotium salsum*), *Arenoparrella mexicana*, *Haplophragmoides wilberti*, *M. fusca*, and *P. clossi* (Bonetti and Eichler 1997; Barbosa and Suguio 1999; Debenay and Guillou 2002; Duleba and Debenay 2003; Disaró 2006; Burone and Pires-Vanin 2006; Souza et al. 2010, Teodoro et al. 2010; Donnici et al. 2012; Laut et al. 2011, 2012, 2016b; Martins et al. 2016b).

The heterogeneous distribution of the foraminiferal assemblages is associated with the high spatial variability in the ecosystem of the CRE. Higher values of FD, S, and diversity (Fisher’s α and H’) indices are associated with the areas of higher marine influence (PCA, Fig. 7), as also observed in other estuarine ecosystems worldwide (e.g., the coast of Vendée, France, Armynot du Châtelet et al. 2004; the Arade Estuary, Portugal, Laut et al. 2014; and the Guadiana Estuary, Camacho...
et al. 2015; Laut et al. 2016a). The lower FD throughout the estuary, with the dominance of very few species, is an indication of a system under environmental stress. Our results suggest that the stress is mainly triggered by anthropogenic sources. Both the community metrics and PCA reveal that the majority of the species are negatively related to the pollutants (i.e., PLI and mCd) and, in part, positively related to coarser grain size. Given the high affinity of trace elements for finer sediments (Ip et al. 2004; Gu et al. 2011), they could be acting as a trap for these elements, explaining the negative relation of the species distribution to the mud fraction. The complex combination of influencing factors such as marine influence, pollutant concentrations, and grain-size gradients highlights the importance of using a multivariate approach to identify the main parameters impacting the foraminiferal distribution.

A limited number of specimens (low FD) and a domination of the assemblage by agglutinated species are found in the uppermost part of the CRE (CH10 and CH11 transects) and this implies that the stronger fluvial influence makes the environmental conditions unfavorable for most of the foraminifera species. A similar trend was observed by Laut et al. (2021c) in the Almada Estuary, adjacent to the CRE, showing a clear relation between higher foraminiferal diversity and the marine influence. Foraminiferal diversity is also reduced in the anoxic sites connected to the northwards Ita-canoeira estuary (CH05-A, CH05-B, CH05-C). These sites correspond to a densely urbanized area and show high percentages of OM in the sediment, which could trigger high microbial activity and lead to very low DO. As a result, this region is dominated by the opportunistic species (A. tepida) and the anoxic-resistant species (P. closii) (Table S3).

**Foraminiferal Species and Environmental Conditions**

The observed dominance of A. tepida in many different environmental settings, disregarding the oxygen conditions, the grain size, or the marine (or river) influence, highlights why this species is often considered opportunistic with high tolerance to both natural stress and pollutants (Ruiz et al. 2005; Bouchet et al. 2007; Frontalini and Coccioni 2008; Frontalini et al. 2009; Debenay and Fernandez 2009; Souza et al. 2010; Martins et al. 2013, 2014, 2015a, b; Laut et al. 2014, 2016a). *Ammonia tepida* is constant throughout the system, even in the upper estuary where the dominance of this calcareous form was unexpected due to higher fluvial influence (e.g., Debenay et al. 2003; Laut et al. 2014; Camacho et al. 2015). In addition, our results reveal that the trace elements present in the sediment do not have a decisive impact on the A. tepida distribution (PLI is not significant in the multiple regression analyses, Table 1). The exception was for Cu and Pb (Fig. 4), where the abundance of this species decreases as concentrations increase (Fig. 6). This exception is reflected in the significant negative relationship of A. tepida to mCd in the multiple regression analyses, since the mCd is directly linked to the higher CFCu and CFPb in the stations where A. tepida has a lower abundance.

The reduction in the relative abundances of A. tepida is associated with an increase in the relative abundances of *C. excavatum* and suggests a better adaptation of *C. excavatum* to finer sediments enriched in TOC and pollutants (Fig. 7). This is also revealed by the opposite trend observed between A. tepida and C. excavatum in the multiple regression analyses. While A. tepida is negatively associated with mCd, *C. excavatum* shows a positive and strong association with the contamination index. Indeed, in the areas that *C. excavatum* is mainly reported (Fig. 6), there are the highest Cu and Pb concentrations and the highest mCd, which also shows a clear relation to *C. excavatum* in the PCA. Previous studies have related *C. excavatum* to higher TOC (Aveiro Lagoon, Martins et al. 2015a) and pollution resulting from industrial effluents and heavy metals in estuarine systems (Sharifi et al. 1991; Armynot du Châtelet and Debenay 2010). However, in other studies, salinity was also an important environmental variable for *C. excavatum* and it was not possible to distinguish between the impact of pollution and the influence of salinity in their distribution (e.g., Debenay and Guillou 2002; Armynot du Châtelet and Debenay 2010). In the present study, however, this species does not show any relation to salinity or other indicators of marine influence. This is clear in the PCA and in the multiple regression analysis (where the only significant environmental variables for this species were C/S, mCd, and PLI). Therefore, our findings reveal that *C. excavatum* seems to respond more clearly to pollution than to salinity.

*Haynesina germanica*, in instead, shows a higher affinity for marine settings than pollution and a higher affinity for the lower estuary. In the present study, it is found in lower relative abundances, which is often the case in Brazilian estuaries (Souza et al. 2010; Laut et al. 2016b, 2021c) but very different than in temperate or Mediterranean regions where it is frequently dominant (Armynot du Châtelet et al. 2004; Horton and Murray 2007; Laut et al. 2014). *Haynesina germanica* was previously regarded as generalist, associated with either intermediary zones between the upper and lower estuary (Debenay and Guillou 2002; Armynot du Châtelet et al. 2004; Laut et al. 2016b) or with higher marine influence (Huelva Coast, Spain, Ruiz et al. 2005). Interestingly, *H. germanica* has also been regarded as a bioindicator of heavy metal pollution (Bergamin et al. 2003; Romano et al. 2009; Frontalini et al. 2009; Laut et al. 2014), but this is not indicated by our findings. Therefore, the factors controlling the distribution of this generalist species are still difficult to constrain, but it seems that *H. germanica* prefers relatively colder waters and marine conditions.
Another species positively related to marine influence was *E. gunteri* (as also shown in Eichler et al. 2003; Martins et al. 2013, 2014, 2015a, b; Laut et al. 2014). This cosmopolitan species (Debenay and Guillou 2002; Armonyot du Châtelet et al. 2004; Laut et al. 2014, 2016a, 2021b) is shown in our study to be negatively related to pollutants (Fig. 7). Therefore, our findings reveal *E. gunteri* as a potential indicator of good water exchange and reduced anthropogenic influence. This is, however, not the usual observation for this species, which has been previously associated with regions impacted by OM pollution (Eichler et al. 2007; Laut et al. 2014; Vilela et al. 2014). In the CRE, *E. gunteri* is not present in the regions with the highest OM percentages. Therefore, we cannot observe a clear relationship between *E. gunteri* and OM since it occurs within a large range of OM from 0.6 to 23.7%.

With all observations being considered, we believe that this species’ distribution is likely mainly affected by marine influence rather than OM pollution.

As for the agglutinated species *Trochammina inflata*, also related to a higher marine influence in the PCA, it was actually reported in a great range of salinity values in the CRE (from 2.75 in CH11-B to 37.0 in CH06-C). This reveals a tolerance of *T. inflata* to great salinity variations (also suggested by Martins et al. 2013, 2014, 2015a; Laut et al. 2014). In the meantime, it is not possible to observe a clear relationship between this species and pollutants in the multivariate analyses, and therefore, *T. inflata* is likely a better indicator of natural stress than anthropogenic stress.

The dominant agglutinated species in the CRE, *P. clossi*, is reported in other regions of the Brazilian coast normally associated with mangroves (Disaró 2006; Laut et al. 2012, 2016c, 2021a), but often in lower relative abundances than in the present study. In the PCA, *P. clossi* is related to lower marine influence and in the opposite relation to DO. This pattern is confirmed in the multiple regression analyses, which revealed a significant negative relationship between *P. clossi* and DO. This is highlighted by its highest abundance in strongly anoxic conditions in the CRE and suggests a tolerance (or even a preference) of this species to paralic environments.

Another species showing preference for the lower marine influence in the CRE is the dominant *A. parkinsoniana*, which has been previously related to intermediate estuary zones around the globe (Debenay and Guillou 2002; Debenay et al. 2002; Laut et al. 2016a) and is sensitive to pollution by trace elements (Frontalini and Coccioni 2008; Coccioni et al. 2009). In the present study, however, there is no clear relation between this species and pollution by trace elements (PLI, mCd). It is also not clear if their distribution is affected by sediment grain size. Therefore, the factors controlling this species are still difficult to constrain, but our results suggest that this species prefers low salinity conditions (16.0 in the upper estuary), and their use as an indicator of anthropogenic influence or trace element pollution should be treated with caution.

Finally, we highlight the importance of increasing the knowledge of the ecology of benthic foraminifera species to trace elements and organic pollutants in highly urbanized estuarine areas to enhance their potential as bioindicators. While for some species, it is clear to which environmental conditions they respond, for other species it is still difficult to constrain given the multiple sources of stress from both anthropogenic and natural causes.

**Conclusion**

This study reveals that the foraminiferal distribution in the Cachoeira River Estuary is mostly driven by grain size, pollutants, and level of marine influence. In addition, increased runoff and input of freshwater due to the humid conditions typical of subtropical climates leads to highly mixed water and a heterogenic sedimentary environment. This dynamic system is associated with the heterogenic distribution of the living assemblages. The agglutinant species *Paratrochammina clossi* shows a preference for anoxic conditions, whereas *Cribroelphidium excavatum* thrives in the areas most polluted by trace elements. In addition, the opportunistic calcareous species *Ammonia tepida* was not particularly impacted by the trace elements in the sediment, with the exception to Cu and Pb (indicated by the modified degree of contamination index). Interestingly, the sister species *Ammonia parkinsonia*, previously regarded as sensitive to trace element pollution, did not show a clear relationship with trace elements in the present study. Therefore, our findings reveal that while some species are good bioindicators of specific environmental conditions (i.e., trace element or organic pollution, grain size, marine proximity), other species are still difficult to constrain given the complexity of the environmental gradients in which they are found. Therefore, to enhance the potential of these species to be used in further studies on coastal ecosystems under similar conditions, it is essential to increase knowledge of their ecology. This contribution represents the first assessment in South Bahia (NE Brazil) to evaluate living foraminifera communities and their relationships to trace element pollution as well as to physical–chemical and sedimentological parameters.

**Supplementary Information** The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s12237-022-01095-5.

**Acknowledgements** Prof. Dr. Lazaro Laut deeply thanks the National Council for Scientific and Technological Development- CNPq for the research grant (process 302582/2019-3). We warmly thank Dr. Masoud A. Rostami for his collaboration on the multiple regression analysis and the anonymous reviewers for their contributions to the improvement of the manuscript.
Funding Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL. This study was financed in part by the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior–Brasil (CAPES)–Finance Code 001, that provided the Master Fellowships of Débora Raposo. The funds for the sample collections in the field were supported by the project entitled “Foraminíferos e Tecambebas dos estuários do litoral oriental do Brasil” from the INOVA/UNIRIO Program of the Universidade Federal do Estado do Rio de Janeiro (UNIRIO) in partnership with the Universidade Estadual de Santa Cruz (UESC).

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article’s Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

Almeida, A.O., P.A. Coelho, J.T.A. Santos, and N.R. Ferraz. 2006. Crustáceos decapódios estuários de Ilhéus, Bahia, Brasil (Decapod estuarine crustaceans from Ilhéus, Brazil). *Biota Neotropica* 6 (2). https://doi.org/10.1590/S1676-06032006000200024.

Almeida, L.C., J.B. da Silva Júnior, I.F. dos Santos, V.S. de Carvalho, A.S. Santos, G.M. Hadlich, and S.L.C. Ferreira. 2020. Assessment of toxicity of metals in river sediments for human supply: Distribution, evaluation of pollution and sources identification. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 158: 111423. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2020.111423.

Alve, E. 1995. Benthic foraminiferal responses to estuarine pollution: a review. *Journal of Foraminiferal Research* 25: 190–203. https://doi.org/10.2113/gsfrj.25.3.190.

Alve, E., A. Lepland, J. Magnusson, and K. Backer-Owe. 2009. Monitoring strategies for re-establishment of ecological reference conditions: Possibilities and limitations. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 59: 297–310. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2009.08.011.

Alve, E., S. Korsun, J. Schönfeld, N. Dijkstra, E. Golikova, S. Hess, et al. 2016. Foram-AMBI: A sensitivity index based on benthic foraminiferal faunas from North-East Atlantic and Arctic fjords, continental shelves and slopes. *Marine Micropaleontology* 122: 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marmico.2015.11.001.

Armynot du Châtelet, E., J.-P. Debenay, and R. Soulard. 2004. Foraminiferal proxies for pollution monitoring in moderately polluted harbors. *Environmental Pollution* 127: 27–40. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0269-7491(03)00256-2.

Armynot du Châtelet, E., and J.-P. Debenay. 2010. The anthropogenic impact on the western French coasts as revealed by foraminifera: A review. *Revue De Micropaléontologie* 53: 129–137. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.revmic.2009.11.002.

ASTM - American Society for Testing Materials. 2008. Standard test method for sulfur in the analysis sample of coal and coke using high-temperature tube furnace combustion. v. D4239-08. USA: ASTM International. https://doi.org/10.1520/D4239-08.

Astin, S.R., and C.N. Hewitt. 1977. Phosphorus and carbon distributions in a polluted coastal environment. *Estuarine and Coastal Marine Science* 5 (2): 243–254. https://doi.org/10.1016/0302-3524(77)90020-2.

Azpurua, M.A., and K.D. Ramos. 2010. A comparison of spatial interpolation methods for estimation of average electromagnetic field magnitude. *Progress in Electromagnetics Research* 14: 135–145. https://doi.org/10.2528/PIERM10083103.

Bahia. 2017. Plano Estratégico para Revitalização da Bacia do Rio Cachoeira (Strategic Plan for the Revitalization of the Cachoeira River Basin). RP1 - Diagnóstico Ambiental 1 (6014 (Environmental Assessment Report). http://cachoeira.participacaopublica.com/ficheiros/RP1_DiagnosticoAmbiental.pdf. Accessed 21 May 2021.

Barbosa, J.S.F., and J.M.L. Domingues. 1996. *Mapa geológico do estado da Bahia - Texto explicativo [Geological map of Bahia State - Explanatory text]*. 382. Governo do estado da Bahia: Universidade Federal da Bahia.

Barbosa, C.F., and K. Sugio. 1999. Biosedimentary facies of a subtropical microbial estuary – an example from southern Brazil. *Journal of Sedimentary Research* 69: 576–587. https://doi.org/10.2110/jsr.69.576.

Barras, C., F.J. Jorissen, C. Labrune, B. Andral, and P. Boissery. 2014. Live benthic foraminiferal faunas from the French mediterranean coast: Towards a new biotic index of environmental quality. *Ecological Indicators* 36: 719–743. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2013.09.028.

Barros, F., V. Hatje, M.B. Figueiredo, W.F. Magalhães, H.S. Dórea, and E.S. Emidio. 2008. The structure of the benthic macrofaunal assemblages and sediments characteristics of the Paraúçu estuarine system, NE, Brazil. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* 78 (4): 753–762. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2008.02.016.

Belart, P., I. Clemente, D. Raposo, R. Habib, E.K. Volino, A. Vilar, M.V.A. Martins, L.F. Fontana, M.L. Lorini, G. Panigai, F. Frontalini, M.S.L. Figueiredo, S.G. Vasconcelos, and L. Laut. 2018. Living and dead Foraminifera as bioindicators in Saquarema Lagoon System, Brazil. *Latin American Journal of Aquatic Research* 46 (5): 1055–1072. https://doi.org/10.3856/vol46-issue5-fulltext-18.

Belart, P., R. Habib, D. Raposo, J.M. Ballalai, I. Clemente, M.V.A. Martins, F. Frontalini, M.S.L. Figueiredo, M.L. Lorini, and L. Laut. 2019. Seasonal dynamics of benthic foraminiferal bioconiosis in the tropical Saquarema Lagoonal System (Brazil). *Estuaries and Coasts* 42: 822–841. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12237-018-00514-w.

Bergamin, L., E. Romano, M. Gabellini, A. Ausili, and M.G. Carboni. 2003. Chemical-physical and ecological characterisation in the environmental project of a polluted coastal area: the Bagnoli case study. *Mediterranean Marine Science* 4: 5–20. https://doi.org/10.1023/b:medis.0000012681/mms.225.

Bergamin, L., L. Di Bella, L. Ferraro, V. Frezza, G. Pierfranceschi, and E. Romano. 2019. Benthic foraminifera in a coastal marine area of the eastern Ligurian Sea (Italy): Response to environmental stress. *Ecological Indicators* 96: 16–31. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2018.08.050.

Bolotvskoy, E., G. Giussani, S. Watanabe, and R. Wright. 1980. *Atlas of Benthic Shelf Foraminifera of the Southwest Atlantic*, 147p. Netherlands: Springer, Netherlands.

Bonetti, C., and B.B. Eichler. 1997. Benthic foraminifera and the-camoebians as indicators of river/sea gradients in the estuarine zone of Ipiranguich River – Cananéia/SP, Brazil. *Anais Da Academia Brasileira De Ciências* 69 (4): 545–563.

Bouchet, V.M.P., J.-P. Debenay, P.-G. Sauriau, J. Radford-Knoery, and P. Soletchnik. 2007. Effects of short-term environmental disturbances on living benthic foraminifera during the Pacific oyster summer mortality in the Marennes-Oléron Bay (France). *Marine Environmental Research* 64 (3): 358–383. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marenvres.2007.02.007.
microbiological analyzes in the Paraíba do Sul River Delta, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.]. Pesquisas em Geociências 38: 251–267. https://doi.org/10.22456/1807-9806.35162.

Laut, L.L.M., F.S. Silva, M.V. Alves Martins, M.A.C. Rodrigues, J.O. Mendonça, I.M.M.M. Clemente, V.M. Laut, and I.G. Menzioni. 2012. Foraminíferos do Complexo Sepetiba/ Guaratiba [Foraminifera of the Sepetiba / Guaratiba Complex]. In Baía de Sepetiba: Estado da Arte, ed. M.A.C. Rodrigues, S.D. Pereira, and S.B. Santos, 115–150. Rio de Janeiro: Corbã Ltda.

Laut, L.L.M., I.A. Cabral, M.A.C. Rodrigues, F.S. da Silva, M.V.A. Martins, T. Boski, A.I. Gomes, J.M.A. Dias, L.F. Fontana, V.M. Laut, and J.G. Mendonça-Filho. 2014. Compartimentos Ambientais do Estuário do Rio Arade, Sul de Portugal, com Base na Distribuição e Ecologia de Foraminíferos [Environmental Compartments of the Arade River Estuary, Southern Portugal, Based on the Distribution and Ecology of Foraminifera]. Anuário do Instituto de Geociências – UFRJ 37 (2): 60–74. https://doi.org/10.11137/2014_2_60_74.

Laut, L.L.M., I.M.M.M. Clemente, P. Belart, M.V.A. Martins, F. Frontalini, V.M. Laut, A. Gomes, T. Boski, M.L. Lorini, R.R. Fortes, and M.A.C. Rodrigues. 2016a. Multiproxies (benthic foraminifera, ostracods and biopolymers) approach applied to identify the environmental partitioning of the Guadiana River Estuary (Iberian Peninsula). Journal of Sedimentary Environments 1 (2): 184–201. https://doi.org/10.12957/jse.2016.22534.

Laut, L.L.M., M.V.A. Martins, F.S. da Silva, M.A.C. Crapez, L.F. Fontana, S.B.V. Carvalhal-Gomes, and R.C.C.L. Souza. 2016b. Foraminífera, thecamoebians, and bacterial activity in polluted intertropical and subtropical Brazilian estuarine systems. Journal of Coastal Research 32 (1): 56–69. https://doi.org/10.2112/COASTRES-D-14-00042.1.

Laut, L.L.M., M.V. Alves Martins, F. Frontalini, P. Belart, V.F. Santos, M.L. Lorini, R.F. Fortes, F.S. Silva, S.S.S. Vieira, and P.W.M. Souza Filho. 2016c. Biotic (foraminifera and thecamoebians) and abiotic parameters as proxies for identification of the environmental heterogeneity in Caeté river estuary, amazom coast. Brazil. Journal of Sedimentary Environments 1 (1): 1–16. https://doi.org/10.12957/jse.2016.21264.

Laut, L., I. Clemente, M.V.A. Martins, F. Frontalini, D. Raposo, P. Belart, R. Habib, R. Fortes, and M.L. Lorini. 2017. Benthic foraminifera and thecamoebians of Godineau River Estuary, Gulf of Paria, Trinidad Island. Anuário do Instituto de Geociências – UFRJ 40 (2): 118–143. https://doi.org/10.11137/2017_2_118_143.

Laut, L., G. da Matta, G. Camara, P. Belart, I. Clemente, J. Ballalai, E. Volino, and E.C.G. Couto. 2021a. Living and dead foraminifera assemblages as environmental indicators in the Almada River Estuary, Ilhéus, Northeastern Brazil. Micropaleontology 63 (6): 357–381. https://doi.org/10.47894/mpl63.6.01.

Laut, L., M. Lucio, M.Z.T.P.Q.L. 2010. Biogeoquímica do Rio Cachoeira (Bahia, Brasil). [Biogeochemistry of the Cachoeira River (Bahia, Brazil).] Masters’ thesis. Programa de Pós-Graduação em Sistemas Aquáticos Tropicais da Universidade Estadual de Santa Cruz. 44p. PDF: https://bit.ly/3wvhY0V. Accessed 14 March 2018.

Manly, B.F.J. 1997. Randomization and Monte Carlo Methods in Biology. New York: Chapman and Hall.

Mantini, F.F., K.B. Sá, and L.M.A. Plicas. 2010. Metais pesados: fonte e ação toxicológica [Heavy metals: source and toxicological effects]. Fórum Ambiental da Alta Paulista 6 (12): 800–815. https://doi.org/10.17271/19800827612201026.

Marins R.V., F.J.P. Filho, and C.A.S. Rocha. 2007. Geoquímica de Fósforo como Indicador da Qualidade Ambiental e dos Processos Estuarinos do Rio Jaguaribe– Costa Nordeste Oriental Brasileira. [Phosphorus geochemistry as a proxy of environmental estuarine processes at the Jaguaribe River, Northeastern Brazil]. Química Nova 30 (5): 1208–1214. https://doi.org/10.1590/S0100-4042200700500029.

Martínez-Colón, M., P. Hallock, and C. Green-Ruiz. 2009. Strategies for using shallow-water foraminifers as bioindicators of potentially toxic elements: A review. Journal of Foraminiferal Research 39 (4): 278–299. https://doi.org/10.2113/jsfr.39.4.278.

Martínez-Colón, M., P. Hallock, C. Green-Ruiz, and J. Smoak. 2018a. Temporal variability in potentially toxic elements (PTE’s) and benthic Foraminifera in an estuarine environment in Puerto Rico. Micropaleontology 63 (6): 357–381. https://doi.org/10.47894/mpl63.6.01.

Martínez-Colón, M., P. Hallock, C. Green-Ruiz, and J. Smoak. 2018b. Benthic foraminifera as bioindicators of potentially toxic elements (PTE) pollution: Torrecilla Lagoon, Puerto Rico. Ecological Indicators 89: 516–527. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2017.10.045.

Martínez-Colón, M., H. Alegria, A. Huber, H. Kuba Gul, and P. Kurt-Karakus. 2021. Bioaccumulation and biomagnification of potential toxic elements (PTEs): An Avicennia germinans-Uca rapax trophic transfer story from Jobos Bay, Puerto Rico. Ecological Indicators 121: 107038. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2020.107038.

Martins, M.V.A., and V.C.R.D. Gomes. 2004. Foraminíferos da Margem Continental NW Ibérica: Sistemática, Ecologia e Distribuição. [Foraminifera from the Continental Iberia NW Iberia: Systematics, Ecology and Distribution.]. Aveiro: Aveiro University Press.

Martins, M.V.A., F. Frontalini, K.M. Tramonte, R.C.L. Figueira, P. Miranda, C. Sequeira, S. Fernández-Fernández, J.A. Dias, C. Yamashita, L.M. Laut, F.S. Silva, M.A.C. Rodrigues, C. Bernardes, R. Nagai, S.M. Sousa, M. Mahiques, B. Rubio, A. Bernabeu, D. Rey, and F. Rocha. 2013. Assessment of the health quality of Ria de Aveiro (Portugal): Heavy metals and benthic foraminifera. Marine Pollution Bulletin 70: 18–33. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2013.02.003.

Martins, M.V.A., F. Frontalini, M.A.C. Rodrigues, J.A. Dias, L.L.M. Laut, F.S. Silva, I.M.M.M. Clemente, R. Reno, J. Moreno, S. Sousa, N. Zaaboub, M. El Bour, and F. Rocha. 2014. Foraminiferal bio-topes and their distribution control in Ria de Aveiro (Portugal): A multiproxies approach. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment 4: 1789–1807. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-014-4052-7.

Martins, M.V.A., F. Silva, L.M.L. Lazarro, F. Frontalini, I.M. Clemente, P. Miranda, R. Figueira, S.H.M. Sousa, and J.M.A. Dias. 2015a. Response of benthic foraminifera to organic matter quantity and quality and bioavailable concentrations of metals in Aveiro Lagoon (Portugal). PLoS ONE 10 (2): e0118077. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0118077.
Suguio, K. 1973. *Introdução a sedimentologia*. São Paulo: Ed Edgard Blücher.

Takáč, P., T. Szabová, I. Kozáková, and M. Benková. 2009. Heavy metals and their bioavailability from soils in the long-term polluted Central Spiš region of SR. *Plant, Soil and Environment* 55: 167–172. https://doi.org/10.17221/21/2009-PSE.

Tappin, A.D. 2002. An examination of the fluxes of nitrogen and phosphorus in temperate and tropical estuaries: Current estimates and uncertainties. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* 55: 885–901. https://doi.org/10.1006.ecss.2002.1034.

Teodoro, A., W. Duleba, and C. Lamparelli. 2009. Associações de foraminíferos e composição textural da região próxima ao emissário submarino de esgotos domésticos de Cigarras, canal de São Sebastião, SP, Brasil. *Pesquisas em Geociências* 36 (1): 79–94. https://doi.org/10.22456/1807-9806.17876.

Tomlinson, D.L., J.G. Wilson, C.R. Harris, and D.W. Jeffrey. 1980. Problems in the assessment of heavy-metal levels in estuaries and the formation of a pollution index. *Helgoländer Meeresunters* 33: 566–575. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02414790.

Vilela, C.G., D.S. Batista, J.A. Batista-Neto, M. Crapez, and J.J. Mccallister. 2004. Benthic foraminifera distribution in high polluted sediments from Niterói Harbor (Guanabara Bay), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. *Anais Da Academia Brasileira De Ciências* 76 (1): 161–171. https://doi.org/10.1590/S0001-37652004000100014.

Vilela, C.G., D.S. Batista, J.A.B. Neto, and R.O. Ghiselli. 2011. Benthic foraminifera distribution in a tourist lagoon in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil: A response to anthropogenic impacts. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 62 (10): 2055–2074. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2011.07.023.

Vilela, C.G., B.O. Figueira, M.C. Macedo, and J.A. Baptista Neto. 2014. Late Holocene evolution and increasing pollution in Guanabara Bay Rio De Janeiro, SE Brazil. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 79 (1–2): 175–187. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2013.12.020.

Walton, W.R., and B.J. Sloan. 1990. The genus Ammonia Brunnich, 1772: Its geographic distribution and morphologic variability. *Journal of Foraminiferal Research* 20 (2): 128–156. https://doi.org/10.2113/gsfjr.20.2.128.

Willie, S., C. Brophy, V. Clancy, J. Lam, R. Sturgeon, and L. Yang. 2012. *DORM-4*: Fish protein certified reference material for trace metals. National Research Council Canada. https://doi.org/10.4224/crm.2012.dorm-4.

Yassini, I., and B.G. Jones. 1995. *Recent Foraminifera and Ostracoda From Estuarine and Shelf Environments on the Southeastern Coast of Australasia*. Wollongong: University of Wollongong Press.