Determination of RNA polymerase binding surfaces of transcription factors by NMR spectroscopy
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In bacteria, RNA polymerase (RNAP), the central enzyme of transcription, is regulated by N-utilization substance (Nus) transcription factors. Several of these factors interact directly, and only transiently, with RNAP to modulate its function. As details of these interactions are largely unknown, we probed the RNAP binding surfaces of Escherichia coli (E. coli) Nus factors by nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy. Perdeuterated factors with [1H,13C]-labeled methyl groups of Val, Leu, and Ile residues were titrated with protonated RNAP. After verification of this approach with the N-terminal domain (NTD) of NusG and RNAP we determined the RNAP binding site of NusE. It overlaps with the NusE interaction surface for the NusG C-terminal domain, indicating that RNAP and NusG compete for NusE and suggesting possible roles for the NusE:RNAP interaction, e.g. in antitermination and direct transcription:translation coupling. We solved the solution structure of NusA-NTD by NMR spectroscopy, identified its RNAP binding site with the same approach we used for NusG-NTD, and here present a detailed model of the NusA-NTD:RNAP:RNA complex.

Transcription of genomic information from DNA to RNA is the initial step in gene expression, with RNA polymerase (RNAP) being the key enzyme of this process in all domains of life1. Bacterial core RNAP consists of five subunits, 2x α, β, β′, and ω. While the α subunits promote the assembly of the enzyme and are target of many regulatory proteins2–4, the β and β′ subunits form the active site and catalyze RNA synthesis5,6. The ω subunit is supposed to play a structural rather than a functional role. It binds to the N- and C-termini of the β′ subunit to prevent β′ aggregation until the ωβ′ complex is integrated into the RNAP7. During initiation of transcription the σ factor binds to core RNAP to form the holo enzyme, and σ is also essential for the recognition and melting of promoter regions (reviewed in8). The transcription cycle consists of three major phases: initiation, elongation, and termination. It is highly regulated by a multitude of transcription factors that bind to RNAP modifying its action. Prominent examples are the N utilization substance (Nus) factors that influence especially elongation and termination. Among all transcription factors NusG (Spt5 in archaea and eukaryotes) is unique as it is the only one that is universally conserved9. Escherichia coli (E. coli) NusG is a two-domain protein, with an N-terminal domain (NTD) and a C-terminal domain (CTD) connected via a flexible linker10. During elongation NusG-NTD binds to RNAP, enhancing the elongation rate and suppressing pauses10,11. To fulfill this function NusG-NTD contacts the β′ clamp helices (β′ CH) and the β gate loop (βGL), closing the active site cleft so that the nucleic acids are locked and the transcription elongation complex (TEC) is stabilized (Fig. 1)12,13. Although NusG/Spt5-NTDs highly likely have the same function in all domains of life, NusG/Spt5-CTDs are targets of various interaction partners and thus serve as recruitment platform for further accessory factors. In E. coli, NusG-CTD binds to the termination factor Rho,
promoting Rho-dependent termination. Additionally, *E. coli* NusG-CTD interacts with ribosomal protein S10 to couple transcription and translation (Fig. 1). S10 is identical to transcription factor NusE that forms a complex with NusB and as such is involved in antitermination. In the multiprotein antitermination complex RNAP is modified to be able to read through termination signals, a process that is essential for efficient transcription of ribosomal RNA operons or the DNA of lambdoid phages. The NusE:NusB complex formed during antitermination binds to the single stranded, highly conserved BoxA RNA sequence and is anchored to RNAP via NusE:NusG-CTD interaction. However, NusE is also able to bind directly to RNAP where it remains during elongation. This interaction may be involved in antitermination, and the binding site on RNAP is suggested to be located in the β subunit.

NusA is a multidomain protein consisting of an NTD, an S1, and two K-homology RNA binding domains, KH1 and KH2, the latter three forming the SKK domain. In *E. coli* and several other proteobacteria the NusA C-terminus comprises two acidic repeat domains, AR1 and AR2. With its multitude of interaction partners, NusA is able to accomplish various functions. It modulates Rho-dependent and intrinsic termination, it either prolongs pauses or introduces new ones, and it is part of the antitermination complex (reviewed in). NusA interacts directly with RNAP via NusA-NTD and NusA-AR2 (Fig. 1). While a high resolution solution nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) structure is available for the complex of NusA-AR2 and the CTD of the RNAP α subunit (α-CTD), the RNAP interaction surface of NusA-NTD is not experimentally defined in atomic detail. A low resolution electron microscopy structure of the *Bacillus subtilis* (B. subtilis) NusA-NTD:RNAP complex as well as initial binding models are available and all studies suggest that NusA-NTD binds to the flap region of the β subunit at the RNA exit channel. However, the exact RNAP binding surface on NusA-NTD remains to be determined.

Knowledge of the RNAP interaction surfaces of transcription factors is crucial for the complete understanding of RNAP regulation. Owing to the molecular mass of RNAP (*E. coli* RNAP ~390 kDa), the main techniques to study RNAP:transcription factor complexes structurally in atomic detail are X-ray crystallography and electron microscopy. However, RNAP regulation heavily depends on transient interactions and dynamics, i.e. information not easily accessible by these techniques. Thus, we chose to study *E. coli* RNAP:Nus factor interaction by NMR spectroscopy to identify the RNAP binding surface of these transcription factors. Our approach is based on observations that even in systems >100 kDa methyl groups are excellent NMR probes as they are still mobile enough to produce highly resolved spectra with good signal intensities owed to their fast motions around the methyl axis.

**Results and Discussion**

**RNAP interface of NusG-NTD.** To identify the RNAP binding surface of transcription factors the methyl groups of Ile (δ1), Leu (δ1 or δ2), and Val (γ1 or γ2) residues of the respective, deuterated factor were labeled with [1H,13C]-labeled transcription factor; for clarity, all protein names without prefix refer to *E. coli* proteins. The titration of this [I,L,V]-labeled regulator with protonated RNAP was observed by two-dimensional (2D) [1H,13C]-methyl transverse relaxation optimized spectroscopy (TROSY). As a test case for the applicability of this method, we asked whether we were able to confirm...
the RNAP binding surface of NusG-NTD. This surface is known from a crystallographic study of the archaean Spt4/5 complex with the β′ clamp domain of RNAP and biochemical experiments on NusG and RfaH, the latter being a paralog of NusG12,13.

Upon addition of RNAP, the methyl group signals of [I,L,V]-NusG-NTD decreased in intensity, but not uniformly over all signals (Fig. 2a), likely caused by a combination of several effects. First, a general loss of signal intensity is owed to [I,L,V]-NusG-NTD:RNAP complex formation as the molecular mass (MM) of the complex is roughly 30-fold that of [I,L,V]-NusG-NTD (MM NusG-NTD = 14 kDa, MM RNAP = 389 kDa), resulting in severe line broadening. Second, by binding of [I,L,V]-NusG-NTD to RNAP, the specifically labeled methyl groups of [I,L,V]-NusG-NTD located in the binding interface get into close proximity of the RNAP protons, and the resulting intermolecular dipole-dipole interactions cause an additional contribution to relaxation, so that the signal intensity of methyl groups in the binding surface decreases more strongly than that of methyl groups located elsewhere in [I,L,V]-NusG-NTD.

Figure 2. RNAP binding site of NusG-NTD. (a) Titration of [I,L,V]-NusG-NTD with protonated RNAP. Methyl-TROSY spectra of [I,L,V]-NusG-NTD in the absence, black, and in the presence of RNAP (1:1 molar ratio, cyan; 1:2 molar ratio, red). Selected signals are labeled. (b) Relative signal intensity of [I,L,V]-NusG-NTD after addition of RNAP in equimolar concentration vs. residue number of NusG-NTD. The dashed black line indicates the average relative signal intensity. Dark red and light red lines indicate the thresholds for strongly affected (55% of the average relative intensity) and slightly affected (75% of the average relative intensity) methyl groups, respectively. (c) Mapping of affected methyl groups onto the NusG-NTD structure (Protein Data Bank (PDB) ID: 2K06, cartoon representation, grey). Ile, Leu, and Val residues are in stick representation with the carbon atoms of their methyl groups as spheres. Strongly affected methyl groups, dark red; slightly affected methyl groups, light red; unaffected methyl groups, grey; unassigned methyl groups, black. Secondary structure elements and termini are labeled. (d) Mapping of affected residues onto the NusG-NTD structure (surface representation). For graphical illustration of the interaction site the complete amino acid was colored as affected in lieu of the methyl group. Colors are as in (c). Two amino acids on either side of affected Ile/Leu/Val residues are highlighted in yellow unless they were unaffected Ile/Leu/Val residues. (e) Model of NusG-NTD as in (d) bound to E. coli RNAP (PDB ID: 4KMU). The model is based on the structure of the Pyrococcus furiosus (P. furiosus) Spt4/5 complex bound to the RNAP clamp domain (PDB ID: 3QQC). NusG-NTD was superposed on Spt5 and RNAP β′ subunit on the clamp domain. As NusG-NTD and RNAP were treated as rigid bodies and no further optimization was carried out some minor clashes occur. β subunit, light blue; β′ subunit, light green; β′ CH, dark green; βGL, cyan.
Finally, signal intensities can be influenced by chemical exchange processes in the intermediate range of the NMR timescale. Quantitative analysis of signal intensities for the 1:1 complex revealed two patches in the protein structure where signal intensities changed noticeably (Fig. 2b,c). Patch 1 comprises residues in helix α3 and strands β1 and β3, while patch 2 is formed by residues located in helices α1 and α2, and these two patches are located at nearly opposite sides of NusG-NTD. No assigned, but unaffected methyl groups were found in either of these patches, involved in the interaction. Thus we graphically extended the representation of patches 1 and 2 by including the two residues preceding and following each affected Ile, Leu, or Val residue, unless they were unaffected Ile, Leu, or Val residues, resulting in two continuous regions (Fig. 2d). In a model of NusG-NTD bound to RNAP based on the crystal structure of the archaeal Spt4/5: β′ clamp domain complex\(^1\), residues of patch 1 are in direct proximity of the β′ CH, indicating that we identified correctly the β′ CH binding site (Fig. 2e). The NTD of RfaH, an E. coli paralog of NusG, not only interacts with the β′ CH, but also binds to the βGL via His65, Thr66, and Thr67 which form an HTT motif located at the N-terminus of helix α2 (Supplementary Fig. 1)\(^2\). Although this interaction does not contribute significantly to the overall affinity of RfaH-NTD for RNAP it is essential for the antipausing activity of RfaH\(^3\). Similarly, structurally homologous residues in NusG-NTD (Ser79-His81) have been proposed to be involved in βGL binding, suggesting that this interaction is a general feature of NusG-like proteins\(^4\). NusG-NTD patch 2 corresponds to the RfaH region that is in immediate neighborhood of the βGL binding motif suggested for RfaH-NTD (Supplementary Fig. 1)\(^5\). Due to the absence of Ile, Leu, and Val residues in the NusG-NTD region that is structurally homologous to the HTT motif in RfaH, no direct interaction about this region is available in our experiments (Supplementary Fig. 1). Thus, we conclude that either the βGL binding surface in NusG-NTD differs slightly from the one in RfaH-NTD or that patch 2 constitutes only part of the βGL interaction surface or that residues of patch 2 are indirectly affected as they are located next to the actual binding site.

The clamp domain undergoes structural rearrangements during the transcription cycle, having closed and open conformations, and NusG-NTD/RfaH-NTD is proposed to lock the clamp in a closed state during elongation by making bridging contacts between the β′ CH and the βGL so that the downstream DNA is completely encircled\(^3\). Hence, the elongation complex is stabilized and structural rearrangements that occur during pausing are prevented, which, in turn, leads to increased processivity. As we used core RNAP in our experiments the clamp is probably in an open state. Thus our findings indicate that in the absence of nucleic acids NusG-NTD contacts the β′ CH and βGL either separately or simultaneously, suggesting that the RNAP claw is in a conformation that allows these contacts or that NusG-NTD induces a closed state.

Overall, the binding surfaces identified here are consistent with the previously published interaction sites of NusG-NTD, demonstrating that the present approach may be used to determine the RNAP binding surfaces of transcription factors in solution in a single experiment using intact RNAP and avoiding molecular alteration of the constituents. However, the limited number of NMR probes and their distribution over the structure restricts the structural resolution of the resulting binding site. Although we are not able to distinguish between methyl groups that are directly involved in the molecular interaction from those that are only indirectly affected, the careful interpretation of the surface representation allows us to identify the interaction surface.

RNAP interface of NusE. Transcription factor NusE/S10 not only interacts with RNAP via NusG, but it is also able to bind directly and specifically to the RNAP β subunit during transcription\(^1\).\(^4\).\(^6\).\(^9\).\(^20\). The function of this interaction is still unknown. In order to study the molecular details of this interaction we determined the RNAP binding surface of NusE with the same approach as for NusG-NTD. As NusE alone is very unstable and tends to aggregate we used a NusE variant that lacks the ribosome binding loop (NusE\(^\Delta\)) in complex with NusB for our experiments\(^3\). The presence of NusB does not influence the NusE\(^\Delta\)-RNAP interaction\(^2\). For the NMR titration, we labeled the methyl groups of Ile, Leu, and Val residues of NusE\(^\Delta\) in the deuterated NusB:NusE\(^\Delta\) complexes with \(^1\)H,\(^13\)C ([I,L,V]-NusE\(^\Delta\)). Upon addition of protonated RNAP, [I,L,V]-NusE\(^\Delta\) methyl group signals decreased in varying proportion (Fig. 3a,b). All highly and slightly affected methyl groups are located in helices α1 and α2 as well as strands β1 and β4 (Fig. 3c). Inspection of the surface representation and the graphical extension as carried out for NusG-NTD result in a continuous patch (Fig. 3d). As the 7 Ile, 10 Leu, and 7 Val residues of NusE\(^\Delta\) (86 residues overall) are distributed evenly over the sequence and the structure, our definition of the interaction surface is highly reliable. The RNAP binding site is opposite of the NusB:NusE\(^\Delta\) interface and the ribosome integration site, i.e. the NusE\(^\Delta\)-RNAP interaction is not only possible within the context of the NusB:NusE\(^\Delta\) complex, but also when NusE is integrated into the ribosome\(^3\).\(^5\).\(^6\).\(^9\).\(^20\). NusE could thus simultaneously accommodate the ribosome and the RNAP.

Interestingly, NusE\(^\Delta\)’s binding surface for RNAP strongly overlaps with that for NusG-CTD so that binding of NusE\(^\Delta\) to RNAP and NusG-CTD should be mutually exclusive (Fig. 3e)\(^3\). Thus we asked whether NusG-CTD and RNAP compete for binding to NusE. We performed a \(^1\)H,\(^15\)N-heteronuclear single quantum coherence (HSQC) displacement experiment in which the complex NusB:\[^{15}\text{N}]-\text{NusE}^\Delta\)-RNAP was titrated with NusG-CTD (Fig. 4a). In the one-dimensional (1D) \(^1\)H,\(^15\)N-HSQC spectra signals of \[^{15}\text{N}]-\text{NusE}^\Delta\) strongly decreased upon NusB:\[^{15}\text{N}]-\text{NusE}^\Delta\)-RNAP complex formation as the increase
of the molecular mass leads to significant line broadening. Titration with NusG-CTD reversed this effect, demonstrating the displacement of RNAP from NusB:[15N]-NusEΔ. The corresponding 2D [1H,15N]-HSQC spectra show that released NusB:[15N]-NusEΔ binds to RNAP (Supplementary Fig. 2). Dashed black line, average relative signal intensity; dark red and light red lines, thresholds for strongly affected (60% of the average relative intensity) and slightly affected (80% of the average relative intensity) methyl groups, respectively. (c) Mapping of affected methyl groups onto the NusB:NusEΔ complex structure (PDB ID: 3D3B; NusB, purple; NusEΔ, light grey). NusB in surface, NusEΔ in cartoon representation. Ile, Leu, and Val residues in NusEΔ are represented as sticks with the carbon atoms of their methyl groups as spheres. Strongly affected methyl groups, dark red; slightly affected methyl groups, light red; unaffected methyl groups, grey; unassigned methyl groups, black. Secondary structure elements and termini are labeled. (d) Mapping of affected residues onto the NusB:NusEΔ complex structure (surface representation). Colors are as in (c). For graphical illustration of the interaction site the complete amino acid was colored as affected in lieu of the methyl group. Two amino acids on either side of an affected Ile/Leu/Val residue are highlighted in yellow unless they were unaffected Ile/Leu/Val residues. (e) Structure of the NusB:NusEΔ:NusG-CTD complex. The NusEΔ:NusG-CTD complex (PDB ID: 2KVQ, NusG-CTD in blue cartoon representation) was superposed on the NusB:NusEΔ complex from (d).
of the NusE:RNAP complex might play various roles during transcription (Fig. 4d). It might be involved either in transcription:translation coupling as the ribosome could directly contact RNAP via S10, e.g. when the RNA tether is relatively short, or in transcription antitermination where NusB:NusE is part of the antitermination complex. The amount of free NusE that is not bound to the ribosome is estimated to be very low, but it is essential for transcription antitermination. Thus tethering of NusE or the NusB:NusE complex to RNAP might be an early event in transcription antitermination to increase the local NusE concentration. NusE would remain bound to the TEC until transferred to NusG-CTD during assembly of the antitermination complex. As ribosomal operons comprise a very high density of transcribing RNAPs with high elongation rates, tethering NusE directly to RNAP would ensure fast and efficient transcription antitermination in these operons.

Solution structure of NusA-NTD from E. coli. The six domains comprising transcription factor NusA associates with RNAP via NusA-NTD, which is necessary and sufficient for the enhancement of pausing during transcription. To determine the solution structure of NusA-NTD by NMR spectroscopy we initially tried a construct containing amino acids Met1-Ile137 carrying an N-terminal His6-tag, NusA(1–137). The high degree of heterogeneity in the peak intensities as well as the spectral overlap in the [1H,15N]-HSQC spectrum of the [15N]-labeled protein, however, prevented further analysis (Supplementary Fig. 3). A shorter construct, NusA-NTDΔ, consisting of amino acids Met1-Met125 and a cleavable C-terminal His6-tag, allowed nearly complete backbone and side chain resonance assignment. No resonances were found for residues Asp103, Arg104, Thr106, Thr107, and Gln108. These are located in a flexible loop so that severe line broadening may occur caused by either fast solvent exchange or conformational exchange on the intermediate chemical shift time scale. Structure determination was performed on the basis of 1565 distance and 193 dihedral restraints derived from multiple NMR experiments (Table 1).

NusA-NTDΔ comprises four α-helices (α1: Asn2–Ala17, α2: Pro19–Ile137) and four β-strands (β1: Val45–Asp50, β2: Asp55–Val65, β3: Glu74–Thr76, β4: Gly90–Gln96) and its structure resembles that of NusA-NTDs from other bacteria. It is L-shaped, with a globular head and a mainly α-helical body (Fig. 5a and b). In the latter α1, α2, α4, β1, and β2 surround an elongated hydrophobic core, and the long β2 strand protrudes into the globular head. The C-terminal...
helix \( \alpha_4 \) connects NusA-NTD and the NusA-SKK domain (linker helix). The globular head comprises \( \alpha_3, \beta_3, \beta_4 \), and the N-terminal part of \( \beta_2 \). While the head is mainly acidic, the body exhibits large basic patches (Supplementary Fig. 4).

To date, structures of NusA proteins from different bacteria are available, and although all NusA-NTDs are similar in their overall architecture, they differ in the position of the linker helix (Supplementary Fig. 5a–f). For NusA-NTD from *B. subtilis* (BsNusA-NTD), NMR data suggest that this helix occurs in two alternative conformations in solution \(^{28}\). However, we have no indication for the presence of multiple conformations of helix \( \alpha_4 \) in NusA-NTD\(^\Delta\). Moreover, unambiguous \([^{15}N]\)-nuclear Overhauser enhancement spectroscopy (NOESY) cross peaks between hydrophobic amino acids could be observed in NMR experiments, demonstrating a direct interaction between helix \( \alpha_4 \) and helices \( \alpha_1 \) and \( \alpha_2 \) in NusA-NTD\(^\Delta\) (Supplementary Fig. 5g). As crystal structures of full length NusA from *Thermotoga maritima* (TmNusA, protein data bank (PDB) IDs: 1HH2, 2L2F), *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (MtnusA, PDB ID: 1K0R) and *Planctomyces limnophilus* (PlNusA, PDB ID: 4MTN) show that the NusA-SKK domain is connected

| Distance restraints               | total  | 1507 |
|----------------------------------|--------|------|
| intraresidual                   |        | 329  |
| sequential                      |        | 386  |
| medium range                    |        | 321  |
| long range                      |        | 471  |
| Hydrogen bond restraints         |        | 58   |
| Dihedral restraints              |        | 193  |
| Restraint violations             |        |      |
| rms distance violation (Å)       | 0.006  | (±0.0011) |
| max. distance violation (Å)      | 0.11   |      |
| rms dihedral violation (°)       | 0.05   | (±0.02) |
| max. dihedral violation (°)      | 0.8    |      |
| rmsd bond length (Å)             | 0.00070| (±0.00009) |
| rmsd bond angle (°)              | 0.13   | (±0.012) |
| Atomic coordinate precision     |        |      |
| backbone atoms (Å)               | 0.80\(^a\) |      |
| all heavy atoms (Å)              | 1.13\(^a\) |      |
| Ramachandran plot statistics\(^b\) |      |      |
| most favored regions (%)         | 90.5   |      |
| additional allowed regions (%)   | 8.8    |      |
| generously allowed regions (%)   | 0.2    |      |
| disallowed regions (%)           | 0.5    |      |

Table 1. Experimental constraints for structure calculation of NusA-NTD\(^\Delta\). \(^a\)residues Met1-Arg123. \(^b\)determined by PROCHECK-NMR.

![Figure 5. Solution structure of NusA-NTD\(^\Delta\). (a) Structural ensemble of the 20 accepted lowest energy structures in ribbon representation colored according to secondary structure (\( \alpha \)-helices, blue; \( \beta \)-strands, green; loops, grey). (b) Cartoon representation of the calculated structure with the lowest energy. Secondary structure elements are colored as in (a) and labeled. Helix \( \alpha_4 \) is highlighted in purple, the head and body parts are indicated.](image-url)
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 is the center of the interaction surface, although it contains only a
limited number of Ile, Leu, or Val residues resulting in a low structural resolution (Fig. 6d). Our binding site is in accordance with cleavage experiments using NusA variants NusA(S29C) and NusA(S53C), that indicated that S29 is located in the NusA:RNAP interface, while S53 is at the opposite side of NusA-NTD (Fig. 6d)27. Moreover, our results generally agree with mutational analyses showing that the concave side of the β-sheet is involved in NusA-NTD:β-flap interaction28.

Model of the NusA:RNAP complex. NusA has various effects on transcription elongation and termination with the NusA-NTD:RNAP interaction being probably one key step within the regulatory mechanism27. NusA-NTD contacts the RNA exit channel by binding to the β-flap tip helix of the β-flap region, but the resolution of the electron microscopy structure of a NusA-NTD:RNAP complex was too low to unambiguously determine the orientation of NusA-NTD bound to RNAP26. Cleavage and crosslinking experiments on the one hand and mutational analyses as well as NMR studies on BsuNusA-NTD and a short β-flap construct on the other hand lead to two binding models27,28.

We used our NMR data to dock NusA-NTDΔ to the β-flap tip helix of elongating Thermus thermophilus RNAP (TtRNAP, PDB ID: 2O5I) using HADDOCK41 (Fig. 7a). In the model most reliable according to HADDOCK, the body of NusA-NTDΔ binds the β-flap tip helix via its concave side, which

Figure 7. Model for the binding of NusA-NTDΔ to elongating RNAP. (a) NusA-NTDΔ (cartoon and surface representation, pink) is docked to elongating TtRNAP (PDB ID: 2O5I, surface representation). Residues in NusA-NTDΔ that are affected by RNAP binding are highlighted in yellow and two amino acids on either side of an affected Ile/Leu/Val residue are colored in light pink unless they were unaffected Ile/Leu/Val residues. α1, light grey; α2, dark grey; β, blue; β’, pale green; ω, olive; β-flap tip helix, teal; RNA, orange; DNA, black. (b) Binding of exiting RNA by NusA. The orientation of NusA-NTDΔ is the same as in (a), the position of TmNusA-SKK was modeled by superposing TmNusA-NTD (PDB ID: 1L2F) on NusA-NTDΔ. RNA was taken from the MtNusA-SKK:RNA complex (PDB ID: 2ASB). Representation of NusA-NTDΔ, TtRNAP and nucleic acids as in (a). The β’-dock domain is highlighted in green. TmNusA-SKK (brown) is in surface representation with residues affected by RNA binding highlighted in red according to Schweimer et al.4. The grey line shows a possible path of exiting RNA, the estimated base numbers are indicated.

limited number of Ile, Leu, or Val residues resulting in a low structural resolution (Fig. 6d). Our binding site is in accordance with cleavage experiments using NusA variants NusA(S29C) and NusA(S53C), that indicated that S29 is located in the NusA:RNAP interface, while S53 is at the opposite side of NusA-NTD (Fig. 6d)27. Moreover, our results generally agree with mutational analyses showing that the concave side of the β-sheet is involved in NusA-NTD:β-flap interaction28.

Model of the NusA:RNAP complex. NusA has various effects on transcription elongation and termination with the NusA-NTD:RNAP interaction being probably one key step within the regulatory mechanism27. NusA-NTD contacts the RNA exit channel by binding to the β-flap tip helix of the β-flap region, but the resolution of the electron microscopy structure of a NusA-NTD:RNAP complex was too low to unambiguously determine the orientation of NusA-NTD bound to RNAP26. Cleavage and crosslinking experiments on the one hand and mutational analyses as well as NMR studies on BsuNusA-NTD and a short β-flap construct on the other hand lead to two binding models27,28.

We used our NMR data to dock NusA-NTDΔ to the β-flap tip helix of elongating Thermus thermophilus RNAP (TtRNAP, PDB ID: 2O5I) using HADDOCK41 (Fig. 7a). In the model most reliable according to HADDOCK, the body of NusA-NTDΔ binds the β-flap tip helix via its concave side, which
is in accordance with other models. The body is oriented towards the RNA exit channel so that the globular head interacts with the β′ subunit, the latter being in agreement with previous findings that the β′ subunit might also be involved in NusA-NTD binding. This orientation allows a tight interaction with the RNAP and is similar to the orientations suggested in earlier models, although the absolute position of NusA-NTD strongly depends on the residues chosen as restraints and the position of the β flap tip helix.

Next, we integrated the NusA-SKK domain into the model (Fig. 7b). As the structure of E. coli NusA-SKK is not available and as the position of the linker helix is similar in PlNusA and NusA-NTD, we first used the crystal structure of PlNusA as template. This, however, led to heavy steric clashes of the PlNusA-SKK domain and RNAP which could be prevented by rotating the PlNusA-SKK domain away from the RNAP, using the 3-4 residues following the linker helix as anchor. Alternatively, the linker helix itself might rotate slightly. Thus, we modeled the position of TmNusA-SKK by superposing TmNusA-NTD (PDB ID: 1L2F) on NusA-NTD, and we added a short piece of RNA from the MnNusA-SKK:RNA complex structure (PDB ID: 2ASB, Fig. 7b). Either way, the NusA-SKK domain can be positioned correctly for RNA binding. As NusA-NTD is necessary and sufficient for enhancing transcriptional pausing and recognizes duplex RNA, exiting RNA might first contact a basic patch on the helical bundle of the NusA-NTD body (Supplementary Fig. 4), which is in direct vicinity of the RNA exit channel. The RNA then wraps around the NusA-SKK domain, which, in turn, recognizes specific RNA signals (Fig. 7b). Crosslinking experiments showed that the RNA region −16 to −23 lies near the NusA-NTD in full-length NusA and that the −34 to −40 region of exiting RNA contacts the NusA-KH2 domain, which is consistent with our model. Moreover, the NusA-S1 domain is placed in the vicinity of the β′ dock domain, being in accordance with a genetically shown NusA-SL:β′ dock interaction and cleavage experiments using Fe(III)-(S)-2-[4-(2-bromoacetamido)benzyl]ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (FeBABE). The position of the C-terminus of NusA-SKK roughly orients the two NusA-AR domains towards the α-subunits of RNAP and thus localizes NusA-AR2 close to the α-CTD, sterically simplifying a NusA-AR2:α-CTD interaction.

Finally, it has been speculated that the reorientation of helix α4 stabilizes RNA hairpins. However, not only does NusA exhibit large conformational plasticity, but, in addition, the β flap tip helix is also a highly mobile element. During the transcription cycle the flexibility of the β flap tip helix is important for the regulation of the size of the RNA exit channel, of which the β flap forms the outer wall. Thus, we suggest that the orientation of NusA-NTD bound to RNAP as well as the position of helix α4 may vary, depending on the position of the β flap tip helix. Moreover, this structural flexibility is complemented by the other NusA domains, which are all elastically connected.

**Outlook.** In this conceptually simple single-experiment approach to identify the RNAP interaction surface of transcription factors with NMR spectroscopy (i) complete RNAP is used, (ii) probes in the transcription factor are directly monitored and, most importantly, (iii) none of the interaction partners needs to be modified. In the future, the method will be refined and used to study these interactions in more detail. Moreover, this approach is very general and can thus be transferred to other systems, with a small binding partner interacting with a supramolecular complex.

**Materials and Methods**

**Cloning.** The gene coding for EcNusA-NTD(1–137) was cloned into pET19b via BlpI and BamHI. The resulting E. coli expression vector pET19b_NusA-NTD_1-137 codes for a His8 tag fused to the N-terminus of NusA-NTD, cleavable by PreScission protease.

**Gene expression and protein purification.** NusG-NTD was produced and purified as described, as was NusA-NTD and the NusB:NusE complex and RNAP.

Expression of nusA-NTD(1–137) was carried out in E. coli BL21 (DE3) (Novagen, Madison, WI, USA) harboring pET19b_NusA-NTD_1-137. Lysogeny broth (LB) medium supplemented with 100 μg/ml ampicillin was inoculated with a preculture to an optical density at 600 nm (OD600) of 0.2 and cells were grown at 37°C until they reached an OD600 of 0.7. The temperature was lowered to 20°C and 30 min later overexpression was induced with 2 mM IPTG. After overnight growth, cells were harvested by centrifugation (9,000 × g, 15 min, 4°C) and dissolved in 20 mM tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane (Tris) HCl (pH 7.9), 100 mM NaCl, 10% (v/v) glycerol, 5 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 10 mM imidazole (buffer A). Cell disruption was carried out using a microfluidizer (Microfluidics, Newton, MA, USA). Having centrifuged the lysate (12,000 × g, 30 min, 4°C), the supernatant was applied to a Ni-NTA column (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany), and subsequently the column was washed with buffer A. A step gradient with increasing imidazole concentrations (10–500 mM in buffer A) was used for elution. Fractions containing His- NusA-NTD(1–137) were combined and cleaved during overnight dialysis against 50 mM Tris/HCl (pH 8.0), 150 mM NaCl (molecular weight cut-off (MWCO) 3,500 Da) by PreScission protease (GE Healthcare, Munich, Germany). The protein solution was then dialyzed against 50 mM Tris (pH 7.4), 1 mM dithiothreitol (DTT, buffer B) and reapplied to the Ni-NTA column connected to a QXL FF column (GE Healthcare, Munich, Germany). After washing with buffer B, the Ni-NTA column was removed and the QXL FF column was eluted using a step gradient with increasing NaCl concentrations (0-1 M NaCl in buffer B). Fractions containing pure NusA-NTD(1-137) were dialyzed against the
required buffer, concentrated by ultrafiltration (MWCO 3,000 Da) and stored at −80 °C after freezing with liquid nitrogen.

Proteins were uniformly labeled with \(^{15}\text{N}\) or \(^{15}\text{N}^{13}\text{C}\) by growing \(E.\ coli\) in M9 minimal medium\(^{11,42}\) with addition of \((^{15}\text{NH}_4)_2\text{SO}_4\) (Campro Scientific, Berlin, Germany) or \((^{15}\text{NH}_4)_2\text{SO}_4\) and \(^{13}\text{C}\)-D-glucose (Spectra Stable Isotopes, Columbia, MD, USA) as only nitrogen and carbon source. Expression and purification was the same as for proteins produced in LB medium. Methyl group labeling of Ile, Leu and Val residues with \([^{1}\text{H},^{13}\text{C}]\) in deuterated proteins was performed as described previously\(^{20,40}\).

**NMR spectroscopy.** NMR spectroscopic experiments were conducted on Bruker Avance 600 MHz, 700 MHz and 800 MHz spectrometers, the latter two equipped with cryogenically cooled probes. For resonance assignment of NusA-NTD\(^\Delta\), standard double and triple resonance through-bond experiments were recorded\(^{20,46}\). The protein was in 10 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 6.4) containing 50 mM NaCl at 298 K. NMR data were processed using in-house routines (Apodization, Fourier transformation, phase correction and baseline correction) and visualized with NMRView\(^9\). Distance restraints for structure calculation were derived from \([^{15}\text{N}]\)-edited and \([^{13}\text{C}]\)-edited NOESY spectra with mixing times of 100–120 ms. NOESY cross peaks were classified according to their relative intensities and converted to distance restraints with the following upper limits: 3.0 Å, strong; 4.0 Å, medium; 5.0 Å, weak; 6.0 Å, very weak. Experimental NOESY spectra were validated semi-quantitatively against back-calculated spectra to confirm the assignment and to avoid bias of upper distance restraints by spin-diffusion. Hydrogen bonds were included for backbone amide protons in regular secondary structure if the amide proton did not show a water exchange cross peak in the \([^{15}\text{N}]\)-edited NOESY spectrum. Backbone dihedral restraints were obtained from chemical shift data by using TALOS\(^50\). Existence of a hydrogen bond was assumed if the acceptor of a slowly exchanging amide proton, based on the absence of a water exchange peak in the \([^{15}\text{N}]\)-edited NOESY spectrum, could be identified unambiguously from the results of initial structure calculations. For each hydrogen bond the distance between the amide proton and the acceptor was restrained to less than 2.3 Å and the distance between the amide nitrogen and the acceptor to less than 3.1 Å.

The structure calculation was performed with the program XPLOR-NIH 2.1.2\(^{31}\) using a three-step simulated annealing protocol with floating assignment of prochiral groups including a conformational database potential\(^32\). For the final iteration 80 structures were calculated, the 20 structures of lowest energy were accepted and further analyzed with the programs XPLOR-NIH 2.1.2 and PROCHECK-NMR\(^53\).

TROSY spectra\(^79\) were recorded using [[L,V]-labeled protein samples (20 μM) in 25 mM 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid (HEPES, pH 7.5), 50 mM NaCl, 5% (v/v) glycerol, 0.5 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), 10 mM MgCl\(_2\), 10 μM ZnCl\(_2\), 1 mM DTT in 99.9% D\(_2\)O at 298 K. Unlabeled, protonated RNAP in the same buffer was added in two steps (ratios 1:1, 1:2). Non-stereo-specific assignments of methyl groups of NusG-NTD and NusE\(^\Delta\) were taken from previous studies\(^{10,46}\). Signal intensities were normalized by protein concentration and number of scans. As pulse lengths changed less than 1% upon RNAP addition, the influence of these changes on the intensity were neglected. For each titration step the ratio of remaining signal intensities and signal intensities in the spectrum of the free transcription factor were calculated, yielding relative signal intensities. Next, the mean value of all relative intensities in each titration step was determined and experiment-specific thresholds of the mean value were defined. Residues with relative signal intensities below these thresholds were classified as either strongly or slightly affected. Additionally, Leu and Val residues were considered as affected, when at least one of the two signals showed a significant intensity decrease. Only unambiguously assigned signals were used in the analysis.

Proteins for the displacement experiments of \([^{15}\text{N}]\)-NusE\(^\Delta\):NusB from RNAP by NusG-CTD and of NusE\(^\Delta\):NusB from \([^{15}\text{N}]\)-NusG-CTD by RNAP were in 25 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl at 298 K. Separate samples for \([^{13}\text{N}]\)-NusE\(^\Delta\):NusB (50 μM) and \([^{15}\text{N}]\)-NusE\(^\Delta\):NusB:RNAP (25 μM each) were prepared. For the displacement experiments NusG-CTD was added (stock concentration: 1050 μM). Similarly, separate samples for \([^{13}\text{N}]\)-NusG-CTD (50 μM) and \([^{15}\text{N}]\)-NusG-CTD:RNAP\(^\Delta\):NusB (25 μM each) were prepared. For the displacement experiments RNAP was added from a 117 μM stock. The titrations were followed by recording 1D or 2D \([^{1}\text{H},^{13}\text{N}]\)-HSQC spectra after each titration step. 1D spectra were normalized by protein concentration and number of scans. As pulse lengths changed less than 1% upon RNAP addition, the influence of these changes on the intensity were neglected.

**Docking and Molecular Modeling.** The NusG-NTD:RNAP complex was generated based on the crystal structure of Spt4/5 bound to the clamp domain from \(P.\ furiosus\) (PDB ID: 3QQC). \(E.\ coli\) NusG-NTD (PDB ID: 2K06, model 1) was superposed on Spt5 (chain D, root mean square deviation (r.m.s.d.) 1.2 Å). ErRNAP (PDB ID: 4KMU) was positioned by superposing the β′ subunit (chain D) on the clamp domain (chain A, r.m.s.d. 2.4 Å).

Docking of NusA-NTD\(^\Delta\) (model 1) to elongating 7RNAP (PDB ID: 2OSI) was carried out using the HADDOCK webserver\(^41\). Residues in NusA-NTD\(^\Delta\) that were experimentally determined to be affected by RNAP binding (Leu27, Leu31, Ile43, Val45) were defined as active residues. Solvent exposed residues in the β flap tip helix were chosen as active residues (chain C, residues Arg772, Leu773, Ser776, Ile777). Passive residues were automatically determined by HADDOCK. The coordinates of the β flap tip helix in the docked complex relative to the deposited coordinates of NusA-NTD\(^\Delta\) are shown in Supplementary.
Table 1. After docking NusA-NTD\textsuperscript{3} to TRNAP, the position of the NusA-SKK domain was modeled with two alternative procedures. First, PnNusA (PDB ID: 4MTN) was superposed on NusA-NTD\textsuperscript{3} (residues G3-D73 of PnNusA; residues Met1-Thr101 of NusA-NTD\textsuperscript{3}). To avoid clashes with TRNAP the PnNusA-SKK was rotated manually around residues in the linker between PnNusA-NTD and PnNusA-SKK (residues Arg107-Gln109) using PyMOL\textsuperscript{54}. In the second approach TrNusA (PDB ID: 1L2F) was superposed on NusA-NTD\textsuperscript{3} using residues 1–101. Finally, the MnNusA-SKK:RNA complex (PDB ID: 2ASK, residues Ser108-Gly333 of MnNusA-SKK) was superposed on TrNusA-SKK (residues Glu132-Leu344) to position the RNA. RNA base numbers were estimated.

**Programs.** All structures were visualized with PyMOL\textsuperscript{54}. The Adaptive Poisson–Boltzmann Solver (APBS)-Plugin and the PDB2PQR server were used for the determination of the charge surface potential\textsuperscript{55,56}. Superpositions of different NusA-NTDs were done with LSQMAN\textsuperscript{57}, omitting the linker helix (residues Met1-Thr101 of NusA-NTD\textsuperscript{3}, residues Met1-Asn101 of TrnNusA (PDB ID: 1L2F, 1HH2), residues Met1-Asp101 of BnNusA (PDB ID: 2MT4), residues Met1-Phc79 of MnNusA (PDB ID: 2K0R), residues Gly3-Asp73 of PnNusA (PDB ID: 4MTN)). All other superpositions were carried out by PyMOL\textsuperscript{54}.

**References.**

1. Werner, F. & Grohmann, D. Evolution of multisubunit RNA polymerases in the three domains of life. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 9, 85–98 (2011).
2. Ito, K., Iwakura, Y. & Ishihama, A. Biosynthesis of RNA polymerase in *Escherichia coli* III. Identification of intermediates in the assembly of RNA polymerase. *J. Mol. Biol.* 96, 257–271 (1975).
3. Leon, Y. H., Yamazaki, T., Otomo, T., Ishihama, A. & Kyojoku, Y. Flexible linker in the RNA polymerase alpha subunit facilitates the independent motion of the C-terminal activator contact domain. *J. Mol. Biol.* 267, 953–962 (1997).
4. Schweimer, K. *et al.* NusA interaction with the α-subunit of *E. coli* RNA Polymerase is via the UP-element site and releases autoinhibition. *Structure* 19, 945–954 (2011).
5. Mustaev, A. *et al.* Modular organization of the catalytic center of RNA polymerase. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.* 94, 6641–6645 (1997).
6. Zuchkov, E. *et al.* Mapping of catalytic residues in the RNA polymerase active center. *Science* 273, 107–109 (1996).
7. Ghosh, P., Ishihama, A. & Chatterji, D. *Escherichia coli* RNA polymerase subunit omega and its N-terminal domain bind full-length beta to facilitate incorporation into the alpha2beta subassembly. *Eur. J. Biochem.* 268, 4621–4627 (2001).
8. Haugen, S. P., Ross, W. & Gourse, R. L. Advances in bacterial promoter recognition and its control by factors that do not bind DNA. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 6, 507–519 (2008).
9. Werner, F. A nexus for gene expression–molecular mechanisms of Spt5 and NusG in the three domains of life. *J. Mol. Biol.* 417, 13–27 (2012).
10. Mooney, R. A., Schweimer, K., Rösch, P., Gottesman, M. E. & Landick, R. Two Structurally Independent Domains of *E. coli* NusG Create Regulatory Plasticity via Distinct Interactions with RNA Polymerase and Regulators. *J. Mol. Biol.* 391, 341–358 (2009).
11. Arsimovitch, I. & Landick, R. Pausing by bacterial RNA polymerase is mediated by mechanistically distinct classes of signals. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.* 97, 7090–7095 (2000).
12. Martinez-Rucobo, F. W., Sainsbury, S., Cheung, A. C. & Cramer, P. Architecture of the RNA polymerase-Spt4/5 complex and KH domains in transcription factor NusA. *J. Mol. Biol.* 310, 1302–1310 (2011).
13. Sevostyanova, A., Belogurov, G. A., Mooney, R. A., Landick, R. & Arsimovitch, I. The β subunit gate loop is required for RNA polymerase modification by RNA polymerase induction. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.* 107, 501–504 (2010).
14. Burmarn, B. M. *et al.* A NusENusG Complex Links Transcription and Translation. *Science* 328, 501–504 (2010).
15. Li, J., Mason, S. W. & Greenblatt, J. Elongation factor NusG interacts with termination factor rho to regulate termination and antitermination of transcription. *Genes Dev.* 7, 161–172 (1993).
16. Mason, S. W. & Greenblatt, J. Assembly of transcription elongation complexes containing the N protein of phage lambda and the *Escherichia coli* elongation factors NusA, NusB, NusG, and S10. *Genes Dev.* 5, 1504–1512 (1991).
17. Aksoy, S., Squires, C. L. & Squires, C. Evidence for antitermination in *Escherichia coli* RRNA transcription. *J. Bacteriol.* 159, 260–4 (1984).
18. Gottesman, M. E. & Weisberg, R. A. Little lambda, who made thee? *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* 68, 796–813 (2004).
19. Nodwell, J. R. & Greenblatt, J. Recognition of boxA antiterminator RNA by the E. coli antitermination factors NusB and ribosomal protein S10. *Cell* 72, 261–268 (1993).
20. Droemüller, J. *et al.* Exploring RNA polymerase regulation by NMR spectroscopy. *Sci. Rep.* 5, 10825–10835 (2015).
21. Eisenmann, A., Schwarz, S., Prasch, S., Schweimer, K. & Rösch, P. The *E. coli* NusA carboxy-terminal domains are structurally similar and show specific RNAP- and lambdaN interaction. *Protein Sci.* 14, 2018–2029 (2005).
22. Worbs, M., Bourenkov, G. P., Bartunik, H. D., Huber, R. & Wahl, M. C. An extended RNA binding surface through arrayed S1 and KH domains in transcription factor NusA. *Mol. Cell* 7, 1177–1189 (2001).
23. Borokhov, S., Lee, J. & Laptenko, O. Bacterial transcription elongation factors: new insights into molecular mechanism of action. *Mol. Microbiol.* 55, 1315–1324 (2005).
24. Roberts, J. W., Shankar, S. & Filter, J. J. RNA polymerase elongation factors. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* 62, 211–233 (2008).
25. Mah, T. F., Li, J., Davidson, A. R. & Greenblatt, J. Functional importance of regions in *Escherichia coli* elongation factor NusA that interact with RNA polymerase, the bacteriophage lambda N protein and RNA. *Mol. Microbiol.* 34, 523–537 (1999).
26. Yang, X. *et al.* The structure of bacterial RNA polymerase in complex with the essential transcription elongation factor NusA. *EMBO Rep.* 10, 997–1002 (2009).
27. Ha, K. S., Toulokhonov, I., Vassylyev, D. G. & Landick, R. The NusA N-terminal domain is necessary and sufficient for enhancement of transcriptional pausing via interaction with the RNA exit channel of RNA polymerase. *J. Mol. Biol.* 401, 708–725 (2010).
28. Ma, C. *et al.* RNA polymerase-induced remodelling of NusA produces a pause enhancement complex. *Nucl. Acids Res.* 43, 2829–2840 (2015).
29. Tugarinov, V. & Kay, L. E. An isoforme labeling strategy for methyl TROSY spectroscopy. *J. Biomol. NMR* 28, 165–172 (2004).
30. Epstein, V., Dutta, D., Wade, J. & Nudler, E. An allosteric mechanism of Rho-dependent transcription termination. *Nature* 463, 245–249 (2010).
31. Gnatt, A. L., Cramer, P., Fu, J., Bushnell, D. A. & Kornberg, R. D. Structural basis of transcription: an RNA polymerase II elongation complex at 3.3 Å resolution. *Science* 292, 1876–1882 (2001).
Accession codes: Chemical shifts of NusA-NTD³ have been deposited in the Biological Magnetic Resonance Bank Databank, accession number 16868. The atomic coordinates of the NusA-NTD³ structure have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank, accession number 2KWP.

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at http://www.nature.com/srep

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Drögemüller, J. et al. Determination of RNA polymerase binding surfaces of transcription factors by NMR spectroscopy. Sci. Rep. 5, 16428; doi: 10.1038/srep16428 (2015).
This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/