Residual Effect of Integrated Nutrient Management on Soil Properties pH, EC, OC and CaCO₃
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A B S T R A C T

A field experiment was conducted during Kharif season of 2008-2009 on typic haplustert at the research farm of Department of soil science and Agricultural Chemistry, College of Agriculture, Latur. The recommended variety MAUS-71 of soybean was used for this experiment. The experiment was conducted in RBD comprising three replications and nine treatments viz. T1(100%RDF), T2(100%RDF+10 t FYM ha⁻¹), T3(50%RDF + 10 t FYM ha⁻¹ +Biofertilizer), T4(100% RDF + 10 t FYM ha⁻¹ +Biofertilizer), T5(100%RDF + 45Kg ha⁻¹), T6(50%RDF + 10t FYM ha⁻¹ + 45kg S ha⁻¹), T7(100%RDF + 45kg S ha⁻¹ + Biofertilizer), T8(50% RDF+10 t FYM ha⁻¹ + 45kg S ha⁻¹ + Biofertilizer), T9(100%RDF + 10 t FYM ha⁻¹ +45kg S ha⁻¹ + Biofertilizer). Chemical properties viz. soil pH, EC and CaCO₃ content in soil were not affected due to different treatments but organic carbon content in the soil was affected due to combined application of chemical fertilizers along with enough bulk of farm yard manure containing treatments. Highest organic carbon content in the soil was affected due to combined application of chemical fertilizers along with enough bulk of farm yard manure containing treatments. Highest organic carbon (1.38%) was recorded due to use of 100% RDF +10 t FYM ha⁻¹ + 45 kg S ha⁻¹ + Biofertilizer (T9) treatment followed by 50% RDF +10 t FYM ha⁻¹ + 45 kg S ha⁻¹ + Biofertilizer (T8). Organic carbon content in soil samples collected after harvest of the crop was increased in all the treatments over initial (0.31%) soil sample due to residual effect of soybean crop grown under INM treatment.

Introduction

Soybean (Glycine max L. merill) often designated as ‘Golden Bean’ is basically a pulse crop and gained the importance as an oilseed crop as it contains 20% cholesterol free oil. It is a legume crop belonging to family leguminaceae and subfamily papilionaceae. Being a legume plant, soybean has ability to fix atmospheric nitrogen with the help of bacteria and to add organic matter in the soil, thereby increasing the productivity of soil.

India ranks fifth in area and production of soybean in the World. The total production in
India was 108.02 lakh MT on an area of 9.62 million hectares with productivity of 1124 kg ha\(^{-1}\). In Maharashtra soybean production during 2008-2009 was 36.50 lakh MT on area of 30.70 lakh ha with productivity of 1189 kg ha\(^{-1}\). Average consumption in India is 4812 TMT giving the sixth rank in largest consumer of soybean in World, (Anonymous, 2008).

Efficient management of organic and inorganic sources is a prerequisite for achieving continuous productivity of crops in an economically and ecologically sustainable manner. Organic matter forms a very important source of plant nutrients. Chemical fertilizers are commonly used for supply of major nutrients, whereas organic manures are used to supply both macro and micronutrients and sustain amount of humic substances particularly humic acid and fulvic acid that helps to maintain soil pH.

Thus for maintenance of the soil fertility, productivity and soil health with the FYM, compost and other organic sources are gaining importance. Biofertilizers cannot replace chemical fertilizers, but certainly are capable of reducing their input. Seed inoculation with effective Rhizobium inoculants is recommended to ensure adequate. Nodulation and \(\text{N}_2\) fixation for maximum growth and yield of pulse crop.

Materials and Methods

The field experiment was conducted at Research farm, Department of soil science and Agril. Chemistry, College of Agriculture, Latur during \textit{Kharif} season of 2008-2009 using soybean (MAUS-71). Soil reaction and electrical conductivity was determined in 1:2.5 soil:water suspension using digital pH meter (Jackson,1967) and conductivity bridge (Jackson,1967) respectively. Modified method of Walkley and Black as described by Piper (1934) was used for the estimation of organic carbon from soil. Calcium carbonate was determined by Rapid titration method (Puri, 1949). The experiment was conducted in RBD comprising three replications and nine treatments viz. \(T_1\) (100\% RDF.), \(T_2\)(100\% RDF + 10 t FYM ha\(^{-1}\)) \(T_3\) (50\% RDF + 10 t FYM ha\(^{-1}\) + Biofertilizer). \(T_4\)(100\% RDF + 10 t FYM ha\(^{-1}\) + Biofertilizer).\(T_5\) (100\% RDF+45Kg S ha\(^{-1}\)). \(T_6\) (50\% RDF + 10t FYM ha\(^{-1}\) +45kg S ha\(^{-1}\)) \(T_7\) (100\% RDF + 45kg s ha\(^{-1}\) + Biofertilizer) \(T_8\)(50\% RDF + 10 t FYM ha\(^{-1}\) +45kg S ha\(^{-1}\) + Biofertilizer) \(T_9\) (100\%RDF+ 10 t FYM ha\(^{-1}\) + 45kg S ha\(^{-1}\) + Biofertilizer).

The experimental soil was deep black with clay in texture, calcareous in nature and slightly alkaline in reaction. Before sowing initial soil sample was collected from 0-15 cm depth covering experimental area which was analysed for various physico-chemical properties presented in table 1.

Results and Discussion

Residual Effect of INM on soil chemical properties

Representative soil samples were collected from each plot after harvest of soybean crop to study residual effect of INM on soil chemical properties. The results regarding soil pH, EC, organic carbon and CaCO\(_3\) are presented in table 2.

Soil pH

The residual effect of integrated nutrient management on pH of the soil is presented in table 1. The results regarding pH of soil was not affected significantly due to different treatments. Lower (8.12) and highest (8.23) pH of soil was recorded with the treatment \(T_8\) (50\% RDF + 10 t FYM ha\(^{-1}\) +45 kg S ha\(^{-1}\) + Biofertilizer) and \(T_1\) (control) respectively.
The data further revealed that the pH of soil was decreased than the initial pH of soil (8.40).

This decrease in pH might be due to the continuous use of urea-N, which initiated to acid forming reactions in the soils. A decrease in pH of soil under farmyard manure treatments may be due to deactivation of Al$^{3+}$ and concomitant release of basic cation up to its decomposition, Mann et al., (2006) and Swarup and Ghosh (1979).

Laxminarayana and Patiram (2005) showed that the pH of the soil decreased slightly with the addition of organic manures over the initial value, that might be attributed to the formation of organic acids during decomposition of organic matter.

**Soil EC**

The data presented in table 2 indicated that the difference in soil EC values due to different treatments were not reach to the level of significance. Minimum (0.123 dsm$^{-1}$) and maximum (0.138 dsm$^{-1}$). EC values were recorded due to T1 (control) and T9 (100% RDF + 10 t FYM ha$^{-1}$ +45 kg S ha$^{-1}$ + Biofertilizer) respectively. The data regarding residual effect of INM on soil EC further reveals that the EC (0.160 dsm$^{-1}$) of initial soil sample was higher as compared to the samples collected after harvest of the crop. This decrease in EC of post harvest soil sample might be due to leaching of salts due to rains and utilization of nutrients by the crop. Similar results were also observed to Mann et al (2006).

Table.1 Physico-chemical properties of soil as influenced by INM

| Sr. No. | Soil parameters | Estimate and units |
|---------|----------------|--------------------|
| A)      | Physical parameters |                |
| 1       | Coarse sand     | 15.10              | Per cent      |
| 2       | Fine sand       | 17.90              | Per cent      |
| 3       | Silt            | 23.55              | Per cent      |
| 4       | Clay            | 43.45              | Per cent      |
| 5       | Textural class  | Clayey             |                |
| 6       | Bulk density    | 1.29               | Mg m$^{-3}$    |
| 7       | Particle density| 2.44               | Mg m$^{-3}$    |
| 8       | Porosity        | 48.50              | Per cent      |
| B)      | Fertility parameters |            |
| 1       | Ph              | 8.40               |                |
| 2       | EC              | 0.16               | dsm$^{-1}$     |
| 3       | Organic carbon  | 0.31               | Per cent      |
| 4       | CaCO$_3$        | 5.00               | Per cent      |
| 5       | Available nitrogen | 175.61         | Kg ha$^{-1}$  |
| 6       | Available phosphorus | 22.44           | Kg ha$^{-1}$  |
| 7       | Available potassium | 243.15          | Kg ha$^{-1}$  |
| 8       | Available sulphur | 16.25             | Kg ha$^{-1}$  |
Table 2 Chemical properties of soil as influenced by INM

| Treatment details | pH (1:2.5) | EC (dsm-1) (1:2.5) | OC (%) | CaCo₃ (%) |
|-------------------|------------|--------------------|--------|-----------|
| T1 (100% RDF)    | 8.23       | 0.123              | 0.78   | 4.95      |
| T2 (100% RDF+10 t FYM ha⁻¹) | 8.19       | 0.132              | 1.11   | 4.62      |
| T3 (50% RDF+10 t FYM ha⁻¹ + Biofertilizer) | 8.16       | 0.129              | 0.89   | 4.51      |
| T4 (100% RDF+10 t FYM ha⁻¹ + Biofertilizer) | 8.22       | 0.134              | 1.19   | 4.68      |
| T5 (100% RDF+45 Kg S ha⁻¹) | 8.15       | 0.125              | 0.80   | 4.78      |
| T6 (50% RDF+10 t FYM ha⁻¹ + 45 kg S ha⁻¹) | 8.18       | 0.131              | 0.98   | 4.47      |
| T7 (100% RDF+45 kg S ha⁻¹ + Biofertilizer) | 8.14       | 0.127              | 0.84   | 4.84      |
| T8 (50% RDF+10 t FYM ha⁻¹ + 45 kg S ha⁻¹ + Biofertilizer) | 8.12       | 0.136              | 1.25   | 4.15      |
| T9 (100% RDF+10 t FYM ha⁻¹ + 45 kg S ha⁻¹ + Biofertilizer) | 8.20       | 0.138              | 1.38   | 4.31      |
| Initial          | 8.40       | 0.160              | 0.31   | 5.0       |
| S.E.+            | 0.08       | 0.005              | 0.01   | 0.39      |
| CD at 5%         | NS         | NS                 | 0.03   | NS        |

Organic carbon

The results regarding residual effect of integrated nutrient management on organic carbon are presented in table 2. It is evident from the results that the organic carbon content in soil was increased significantly due to treatment T9 (100% RDF + 10 t FYM ha⁻¹ + 45 kg S ha⁻¹ + Biofertilizer). The treatment T9 (1.38 %) was significantly superior over rest of the treatments. Lower organic carbon (0.78%) content was recorded due to treatment T1 (Control). Further, it was observed from the data that the organic carbon in soil was increased in soil samples collected after harvest of soybean crop as compared to initial soil samples (0.31 %). This increase in organic carbon over initial soil samples might be due to residual effect of soybean crop which might be responsible for addition of organic residues in soil. Another reason for this might be the application of FYM in soil which increases the organic carbon content in soil. These results are in conformity with the results of Jenkinson and Johnston(1977). They reported that farm yard manure increased organic carbon directly and also by improving crop yields, resulting in increased left over of root and plant biomass in the soil.

Calcium carbonate

The results regarding residual effect of INM on calcium carbonate content in soil after harvest of soybean crop indicated in table 2 revealed that there was no significant effect on CaCO₃ content of soil due to different treatments. However, higher (4.95%) calcium carbonate was recorded with the treatment T1 (control) and lower (4.15%) content of calcium carbonate content in the post harvest soil samples than the initial (5%) soil samples. It indicates that soybean crop
decreases the calcium carbonate content in the soil because of addition of sufficient organic matter in soil.
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