Introduction

The usage of ionizing radiation for medical purposes has increased significantly over the last few decades, which has increased cancer risk associated with this increased utilization of ionizing radiation [1]. Radiation exposure can cause measurable injuries to the hematopoietic, gastrointestinal and central nervous systems, contingent on radiation exposure doses [2]. This exposure can also lead to water’s radiolysis, which produces reactive oxygen species like free radical and hydroxyl ions. Due to the presence of unpaired electrons, free radicals are very active. They can damage biological molecules such as the deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA), protein and membrane lipids, resulting in biologic and cellular damage [2,3]. In assessing the degree of radiation exposure to the body, changes in blood values have been established as being an advantage. The hematopoietic system, consisting of bone marrow and lymph tissues, has been described as the body’s most radiosensitive organ [4]. Two modes of treatment for cancer are predominant aside from surgery. The first is chemotherapy, which used cytostatic drugs. Radiotherapy is the other. Radiotherapy is the medical use of ionizing radia-
tion in cancerous cells’ treatment [5,6]. However, one significant challenge in cancer cells’ radiotherapy is the exposure of surrounding tissues to undesirable radiation doses, leading to biological damage [5]. The need to develop drugs that can reduce the deleterious and harmful effect of radiation and perform reproductive functions becomes vital.

The year 1948 marked the hallmark in the discovery of a compound that offers protection against radiation. The discovery aroused the US Army’s interest, and the compound discovered then was cysteine [7]. Patt et al. [8] were the pioneer researchers to examine the protective effect of amino-acid cysteine in mice and rats exposed to lethal radiation doses. The report revealed cysteine’s potential to enhance mice and rats’ survival against radiation-induced lethality [9]. However, it was discovered that cysteine, as a radioprotector posed severe challenges, as it was toxic and caused nausea and vomiting at the level of the dose required for protection [10]. The need to reduce the toxicity level led to further development program initiated in 1959 by the US Army and conducted at the Walter Reed Institute of Research. During this time, more than 4,000 compounds were synthesised and tested. One of the active compounds discovered during the same study was WR-2721, also known as amifostine [8]. To date, it remains the most reliable of those synthesised in the Walter Reed series [11], and amifostine is the only radioprotective drug approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for use in radiation treatment [6]. Although amifostine was the only radioprotector drug approved by FDA against radiation, for thwarting xerostomia in patients treated for head and necked cancer, there remains its cumulative toxicity on exposure to double doses of X-ray radiation based on reported folklore medicine use.

Materials and Methods

1. Plant collection, identification and extract preparation

The rhizome, stem, and leaves of Costus afer were harvested from uncultivated farmland at Ikole-Ekiti in Ekiti State, South-west, Nigeria, in December 2019. A Botanist (Mr Esinuolle Donatus) at the Herbarium, Department of Botany, University of Ibadan, Nigeria, where voucher specimen number UJH-22932 was deposited, made the botanical identification and authentication of the plant. The leaf, stem and rhizome were hand-searched mechanically to ensure they were pest-free. They were also rinsed with tap water and air-dried for a few days at room temperature. After that, they were pulverised at the Biomedical Research Laboratory, School of Chemistry & Physics, University of KwaZulu-Natal (UKZN), Pietermaritzburg campus, with an electric grinder to provide enough surface area for maceration to occur. The powder material (638.03 g) of Costus afer was macerated in 3.75 L of high quality (99.9% pure) methanol for 72 hours at room temperature. The macerated solution was shaken intermittently to ensure thorough mixing. The macerated solution was placed in an air-tight container and stored in a refrigerator at 4°C until the time of use.

2. Animal care and selection

Fifty-four male BALB/c mice of mass between 37–43 g, 11–13 weeks old were used for this study. The animals were inbred at the Animal House of the School of Life Sciences, University of KwaZulu-Natal Animal Research Ethics Committee (UKZN, AREC) approved the
research protocol used in this study with a protocol reference number AREC/026/019D. Moreover, all our procedures conformed to the National Institute of Health guidelines for laboratory animal care and used in biomedical research [21]. Throughout the study, they were kept in the animal house, maintained under a strictly controlled temperature of between 23°C–25°C, with 12-hour light and dark cycle and were given free access to a standard diet and clean water ad libitum. The experimental animals were humanely handled and kept inside clean well-vented transparent plastic-type IV cages with wood shavings and naturally illuminated animal room. Behavioural enrichment in the mouse cages in the form of egg boxes and shredded paper were provided. The mice were allowed some days to acclimatise to animal room conditions before treatment commenced. All animals were examined, and clinical signs were recorded daily before and after dosing during the treatment period. The mass of the animals was also recorded.

3. Acute toxicity study
Twenty male mice were used for the toxicity test. The mice were divided into four groups of five animals in each group. The acute toxicity test of Costus afer extract was determined over a 14-day observation period. CAE was administered by oral gavage at doses of 100 mg/kg, 200 mg/kg, 300 mg/kg, and 400 mg/kg body weight. The mice were observed for 14 days for signs of acute toxicity and death [22]. CAE’s oral administration to mice did not produce death or toxic effect in the treated groups during the 14 days observation period. The median dose (250 mg/kg) was chosen and used for further studies in the present work.

4. Administration of extracts
Fifty-four male BALB/c mice were used for the experiment. The mice were randomly divided into six groups, with nine animals in each group. The grouping and treatment of animals are presented in Table 1. Animals in the group code CAE, CAE-3Gy and CAE-6Gy received 250 mg/kg body weight of extract of Costus afer by oral gavage for 6 days before radiation exposure.

| Group code | Treatment |
|------------|-----------|
| CNT        | Control (un-irradiated) |
| CAE        | Animals treated with 250 mg/kg body weight only (un-irradiated) |
| IR_3Gy     | Irradiated (3 Gy) animals only |
| IR_6Gy     | Irradiated (6 Gy) animals only |
| CAE_3Gy    | Irradiated (3 Gy) animals treated with 250 mg/kg body weight |
| CAE_6Gy    | Irradiated (6 Gy) animals treated with 250 mg/kg body weight |

CNT, control; CAE, Costus afer extract; IR, ionizing radiation.

5. Procedure for irradiation
An hour after the last administration of the extract, the mice were exposed to X-ray radiation at the Department of Radiotherapy and Oncology, Grey’s Hospital, Pietermaritzburg, South Africa. A Linear Accelerator (LINAC) manufactured by Varian (model: Clinac 2100C) serves as the radiation source. The LINAC uses electricity to produce energy beams of X-rays and beams of electrons usually collimated to treat cancer patients. Nine animals packed inside a specially designed transparent plastic cage and their movement restrained during the irradiation process. A total of 36 mice (excluding animals in group CNT & CAE) were exposed to 6-MV photons from LINAC, and the irradiated groups were exposed to whole-body low energy X-ray radiation dose of 300 cGy and 600 cGy at a dose rate of 400 MU/min under a standard condition of 100 monitor units (MU) = 1 Gy. A source to the surface distance of 90 cm at a depth of 10 cm was used for the irradiation, while a field size of 40 cm × 24 cm was found suitable for the irradiation process. After the radiation exposure, the mice were put into their cages and transferred back to the animal house.

6. Body mass and relative organ mass
The animals' body mass was recorded on the day they were randomised into different groups, and every day during the pretreatment process. These served as the initial masses. Two mice from each group were euthanised by cervical dislocation 48 hours after irradiation, the visceral organs (kidney and liver) of the mice were surgically removed, rinsed in 0.9% normal saline, blotted with filter paper, weighed, and the relative organ mass was calculated and expressed as a percentage of the body mass.

\[
\text{Relative organ mass} = \left(\frac{\text{Absolute organ mass (g)}}{\text{Body mass of mouse on the day of sacrifice (g)}} \right) \times 100
\]

7. Determination of hematological parameters
Forty-eight hours after the irradiation, all the animals were euthanised by cervical dislocation, and blood samples were collected from them. The blood collection was done from the posterior vena cava of the heart using a 23-gauge needle and a 1-mL syringe into ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) bottles with anticoagulant for hematological analysis. The packed cell volume (PCV), hemoglobin (Hb), red blood cell (RBC), total white blood cell count (WBC), neutrophils, lymphocytes, monocytes, eosinophils, platelet count values were determined using the Sysmex XE-2100 Haematology Automated Analyser machine.

8. Histopathology examination
Shortly after collecting blood samples on 48-hour post-irradiation,
two mice were taken from the euthanised animals, and their visceral organs (kidney and liver) were harvested. The mass of the fresh livers and both kidneys were determined (measured in grams) after which they were fixed in 10% buffered formalin. Two hours post-immersion in formalin, the tissues were dehydrated in an ascending grade of ethanol, cleared in xylene and embedded in paraffin wax. Serial sections of 4-μm thick were obtained on glass slides using a rotary microtome. The deparaffinized sections were stained routinely with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) and mounted. All sections were examined with a standard light microscope (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) and scanned digitally by an Aperio CS2 (Leica Biosystems, Heidelberg, Germany). Images and sections were evaluated under ×10, ×20, and ×40 magnification. Images were taken from the digitally scanned slides with ImageScope Software (Leica) and stored as jpeg image files.

9. Statistical analysis
The hematological parameters were analysed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), followed by Tukey’s multiple comparison test in which all the treatment groups were compared with the control group. SPSS statistical package version 20.0 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA) was used for the analysis. Results are reported as mean ± SEM (standard error of the mean) and p < 0.05 were considered significant values.

Results
1. Effect of extract on relative organ mass
Exposure of animals to radiation can cause a reduction in food intake, which may lower the immune system, thereby causing changes in the body weight of the exposed living animals. Moreover, these changes depend on the types of radiation, the dose of radiation and post-irradiation time (latent period). In this investigation, the mean relative organ mass of the kidneys in the control group (CNT) was 1.13 ± 0.04 as compared with the mean relative organ mass in the irradiated groups (IR_3Gy and IR_6Gy) of 0.76 ± 0.01 and 0.93 ± 0.04, respectively. Our results revealed that radiation significantly (p < 0.05) decreased the relative organ mass of the kidney of the irradiated groups when compared with the un-irradiated group (control). However, there was no significant alteration in the pretreatment groups’ relative organ mass (CAE_3Gy and CAE_6Gy) compared with the irradiated groups only (Table 2). The mean relative organ mass in the treatment groups (CAE_3Gy and CAE_6Gy) were 0.80 ± 0.07 and 0.89 ± 0.05, respectively. The relative organ mass of the irradiated groups’ kidneys decreased compared with the control, but there was no significant improvement in the pretreatment groups. The levels of radiation-induced damage observed in the pretreatment groups’ kidney did not cause noticeable changes in their relative organ mass, probably due to the short period between exposure and the time the organs were harvested. Similar results were observed in the liver analysis. The mean relative organ mass of the liver in the control group was 6.71 ± 0.08. At the same time, the mean relative organ mass for the irradiated groups was 5.50 ± 0.04 and 4.88 ± 0.05 for group IR_3Gy and IR_6Gy, respectively. There was no significant increase in the relative organ mass of the pretreatment groups.

2. Effect of extract on hematological parameters

1) red blood cell

Table 3 shows a significant (p < 0.05) decrease in the mean value of RBCs of the irradiated groups (IR_3Gy & IR_6Gy) when compared with the control (CNT). Moreover, there was significant difference (p < 0.05) in the erythrocyte value of CAE group when compared with the control (CNT). However, the pretreatment of mice in groups (CAE_3Gy and CAE_6Gy) with the extract did not improve the blood parameter, as there was no significant increase in the red blood count of the pretreatment groups.

2) Packed cell volume

Table 3 shows a significant (p < 0.05) reduction of the mean value of PCV of mice in groups (IR_3Gy and IR_6Gy) when compared with the control (CNT). Moreover, there was significant difference (p < 0.05) in the erythrocyte value of CAE group when compared with the control (CNT). However, the pretreatment of mice in groups (CAE_3Gy and CAE_6Gy) with the extract did not improve the blood parameter, as there was no significant increase in the red blood count of the pretreatment groups.

Table 2. Effect of extract on the relative organ mass

| Code | Kidneys | Liver |
|------|---------|-------|
| CNT  | 1.13 ± 0.04 | 6.71 ± 0.08 |
| CAE  | 0.89 ± 0.05 | 5.10 ± 0.18 |
| IR_3Gy | 0.76 ± 0.01 | 5.50 ± 0.04 |
| IR_6Gy | 0.93 ± 0.04 | 4.88 ± 0.05 |
| CAE_3Gy | 0.80 ± 0.07 | 5.46 ± 0.04 |
| CAE_6Gy | 0.89 ± 0.05 | 4.19 ± 0.32 |

Values are presented as mean ± standard deviation (n = 2).
CNT, control; CAE, Costus afer extract; IR, ionizing radiation.
3) Hemoglobin
There was a slight reduction in the mean value of Hb of mice in the irradiated groups (IR_3Gy and IR_6Gy) when compared with the control (CNT) and a significant decrease (p < 0.05) in the Hb of mice in CAE group was recorded when compared with the control (CNT) (Table 3). However, the treatment of mice with the extract before exposure seemed to have a slight increase in the mean of Hb of the group CAE_3Gy and group CAE_6Gy at a significant level (p < 0.05) when compared with the irradiated groups (IR_3Gy and IR_6Gy).

4) White blood cell
Ionizing radiation caused a significant reduction (p < 0.05) in the mean of WBC in groups IR_3Gy and IR_6Gy when compared to the control (CNT). Similarly, the mice that received extract only (CAE group) showed a significant reduction (p < 0.05) in their WBC when compared with the control (CNT). The alterations in the WBC of mice among the treatment groups (CAE_3Gy and CAE_6Gy) were significantly increased compared with the control (CNT) (Table 3).

5) Neutrophils count
Table 3 shows a significant reduction (p < 0.05) in the mean of the irradiated groups’ significant neutrophils compared with the control (CNT). Moreover, a significant reduction (p < 0.05) in the neutrophil of mice in the CAE group was observed when compared with the control (CNT). The treatment of mice with CAE did not statistically increase the mean of neutrophil in the group CAE_3Gy, whereas, there was a significant improvement in the group CAE_6Gy compared with group IR_6Gy.

6) Lymphocytes count
In Table 4, ionizing radiation caused a significant decrease (p < 0.05) of the mean of the lymphocyte count in the irradiated groups compared with the control (CNT). Similarly, a significant reduction (p < 0.05) in the lymphocyte of mice in the CAE group was discovered when compared with the control (CNT). However, the treatment of mice with the extract significantly improved the lymphocyte count in the group CAE_6Gy. There was no significant improvement in the lymphocyte count for group CAE_3Gy relative to group IR_3Gy.

7) Monocytes
Table 4 shows a non-significant (p > 0.05) reduction in the monocyte count for both the irradiated groups alone compared with the control (CNT). Whereas, a slight significant increase (p < 0.05) in the monocyte of mice in the CAE group was observed when compared with the control (CNT). The CAE administration did not offer protection against the damaging effect of X-ray radiation on the pretreatment groups’ monocyte count, as these groups show a non-significant increase in their mean value compared with groups IR_3Gy and IR_6Gy.

8) Eosinophils
Table 4 shows a slight reduction in the mean eosinophils count of the groups IR_3Gy and IR_6Gy caused by radiation, which was significant compared with the control (CNT). Also, a significant reduction (p < 0.05) in the eosinophil of mice in the CAE group when compared with the control (CNT) was discovered. The slight increase in pretreatment group CAE_6Gy was significant (p < 0.05) compared with group IR_6Gy, that of group CAE_3Gy was not significant relative to group IR_3Gy.

9) Platelet
The significant reduction of platelet count caused by ionizing radiation is shown in Table 4. The irradiated groups (IR_3Gy and IR_6Gy) revealed a statistically significant decrease (p < 0.05) in the platelet count compared with the control (CNT). Similarly, the mice in the CAE group had a significant reduction (p < 0.05) in their platelet count when compared with the control (CNT). There was an improvement in the pretreatment groups (CAE_3Gy and CAE_6Gy) as evidence in an increase in platelet count compared with the irradiated groups (IR_3Gy and IR_6Gy).

Table 3. Effect of methanol extract of Costus afer and X-ray radiation on the RBC, PCV, hemoglobin, WBC, and neutrophils of mice

| Group code | RBC (× 10^12/L) | PCV (L) | Hb (g/dL) | WBC (× 10^9/L) | Neutrophils (× 10^9/L) |
|------------|----------------|---------|-----------|----------------|-----------------------|
| CNT        | 11.05 ± 0.58   | 57.20 ± 2.06 | 17.46 ± 0.12 | 9.22 ± 0.10 | 7.63 ± 0.31 |
| CAE        | 9.94 ± 0.35*   | 47.47 ± 3.70* | 16.38 ± 0.22* | 7.60 ± 0.21* | 5.16 ± 0.41* |
| IR_3Gy     | 9.41 ± 0.38*   | 33.96 ± 0.22* | 13.60 ± 0.13* | 2.54 ± 0.11* | 5.43 ± 0.37* |
| IR_6Gy     | 8.99 ± 0.47*   | 33.23 ± 1.09* | 12.20 ± 0.21* | 1.26 ± 0.13* | 2.74 ± 0.43* |
| CAE_3Gy    | 9.69 ± 0.58    | 41.10 ± 1.09** | 14.64 ± 0.27** | 3.38 ± 0.33** | 5.20 ± 0.35   |
| CAE_6Gy    | 9.61 ± 0.18    | 41.83 ± 0.82** | 14.56 ± 0.39** | 2.95 ± 0.38** | 6.38 ± 1.04** |

Values are presented as mean ± standard error of mean (n = 9). CNT, control; CAE, Costus afer extract; IR, ionizing radiation; RBC, red blood cell; PCV, packed cell volume; WBC, white blood cell. *p < 0.05 versus CNT, **p < 0.05 versus IR_3Gy & IR_6Gy. Values along the same column with different superscripts are significantly different at 5% (p < 0.05) level.
ated groups (IR_3Gy and IR_6Gy).

3. Effect of extract on histology kidney and liver of mice after exposure to X-ray radiation

The histopathological examination of kidney and liver sections stained with H&E showed that X-ray radiation-induced changes in mice’s kidney and liver renal architecture in a dose-dependent manner. Fig. 1 presents the pathological analysis results of the kidney in various groups studied. Group CNT mice (control group) showed that sections from both left and right kidneys examined the renal architecture seem intact with a normal cortex and medulla in which normal convoluted tubules and tubular epithelial cells, glomeruli, blood vessels and stromal tissues are seen (Fig. 1A). Meanwhile, the kidney section of mice pretreated with the extract alone (group CAE) showed a normal cortex and few foci of mild sloughing off tubular epithelial cells (Fig. 1B). The mice exposed to 3 Gy and 6 Gy of X-ray radiation, group IR_3Gy and IR_6Gy, respectively, showed few foci of mild cloudy swelling of the epithelial cells in the cortex-medullary junction (Fig. 1C, 1D). The mice in the groups (CAE_3Gy and CAE_6Gy) pretreated with extract before exposure to X-ray radiation of 3 Gy and 6 Gy showed no visible lesion. The renal architecture

| Code       | Lymphocytes (× 10^9/L) | Monocytes (× 10^9/L) | Eosinophils (× 10^9/L) | Platelet (× 10^9/L) |
|------------|------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|---------------------|
| CNT        | 4.94 ± 0.13            | 2.53 ± 0.03          | 3.60 ± 0.15            | 3,401.00 ± 45.36    |
| CAE        | 3.66 ± 0.37*           | 3.52 ± 0.04          | 2.80 ± 0.21*           | 1,355.80 ± 254.74*  |
| IR_3Gy     | 3.58 ± 0.21*           | 2.18 ± 0.02          | 2.20 ± 0.03*           | 551.60 ± 5.31*      |
| IR_6Gy     | 1.29 ± 0.10*           | 1.84 ± 0.04*         | 1.60 ± 0.13*           | 340.40 ± 35.49*     |
| CAE_3Gy    | 2.01 ± 0.24            | 2.10 ± 0.07          | 2.60 ± 0.05            | 1,272.00 ± 197.43** |
| CAE_6Gy    | 2.33 ± 0.19**          | 1.80 ± 0.03          | 2.80 ± 0.10**          | 471.20 ± 40.13**    |

Values are presented as mean ± standard error of mean (n = 9).
CNT, control; CAE, Costus afer extract; IR, ionizing radiation.
*p < 0.05 versus CNT; **p < 0.05 versus IR_3Gy & IR_6Gy. Values along the same column with different superscripts are significantly different at 5% (p < 0.05) level.
seems intact with a normal cortex and medulla in which normal convoluted tubules and tubular epithelial cells, glomeruli, blood vessels and stromal tissues are seen (Fig. 1E, 1F).

The pathological analysis of the liver of mice in the group CNT (control) and group CAE (mice received extract only) revealed that a normal hepatic architecture is evident with a typical ratio of portal triads and hepatic lobules. No congestion is seen in the hepatic sinusoids. Hepatocytes seem to be within normal histological limits and no evidence of adhesion and inflammation (Fig. 2A, 2B). The liver histology of the mice exposed to 3 Gy and 6 Gy showed that there are random foci of mild single-cell hepatocellular necrosis. Moderate congestion is seen in the hepatic sinusoids (Fig. 2C, 2D). Group CAE_3Gy mice (mice pretreated with extract followed by 3 Gy) showed foci of mild random single hepatocellular necrosis. Hepatocytes seem to be within normal histological limits. The mice in the group CAE_6Gy (mice pretreated with extract followed by 6 Gy) showed a normal hepatic architecture that is evident with a typical ratio of portal triads and hepatic lobules. Mild congestion is seen in the hepatic sinusoids. Hepatocytes seem to be within normal histological limits (Fig. 2E, 2F).

**Discussion and Conclusion**

Exposure of humans to ionizing radiation can cause the production of free radicals and reactive oxygen species (ROS) which are capable of damaging the DNA, protein and membrane lipids, thus resulting in biological and cellular damages [23]. Since the significant component of the human body is primarily made up of water, there is a high probability of radiation interacting with the water molecules and in the process cause radiolysis of water, which produces free radicals. The interaction of radiation with water leads to the breakage of bonds holding the water molecules together and thus producing fragments such as hydrogen and hydroxyls. These fragments are highly mobile due to unpaired electrons and can combine to form toxic substances [24]. Reports from experimental and clinical studies have revealed that kidneys are relatively more radiosensitive organs than other organs. The development of radiation-induced injuries sustain by the kidney may take months to years before manifesting [25]. Radiation-induced liver disease (RILD) is a dose-preventing intricacy of the liver exposed to radiation, and the therapy alternative for RILD is restricted [26]. Even in acute cases, it causes the liver's inability to carry out its metabolic functions, leading to death. Ingold et al. [27] reported the first description of radiation-induced liver disease as a significant complication associated with the liver’s disease radiotherapy. Even though this disease's latent period takes 4–8 weeks post-radiation treatment, an investigation has revealed that the disease has a potency of manifesting as early as 2 weeks or as late as seven months.

**Fig. 2.** Effects of *Costus afer* and X-ray radiation on the histological parameters of mice: the pathological analysis results of the liver. (A) Light micrograph of the liver section of the control mouse, a normal hepatic architecture is evident with a normal ratio of portal triads and hepatic lobules (H&E, ×400). (B) Light micrograph of the liver section of mice treated with CAE, no congestion is seen in the hepatic sinusoids (H&E, ×400). (C) Light micrograph of the liver section of mice exposed to 3 Gy of X-ray showing random foci of mild hepatocellular necrosis (arrows) (H&E, ×400). (D) Light micrograph of the liver section of mice exposed to 6 Gy of X-ray showing random foci of mild hepatocellular necrosis (arrows) (H&E, ×400). (E) Light micrograph of the liver section of mice treated with CAE & exposed to 3 Gy of X-ray showing no visible lesion (H&E, ×400). (F) Light micrograph of the liver section of mice treated with CAE & exposed to 6 Gy of X-ray showing no visible lesion (H&E, ×400). CNT, control; CAE, *Costus afer* extract; H&E, hematoxylin and eosin staining.
post-irradiation therapy [28,29]. Even though the clinical application of ionizing radiation in radiotherapy and other medical areas is widely accepted and has accrued colossal success, the damage to the healthy surrounding tissues has limited its usage. Thus, there is an urgent need to develop drugs from plants and herbs capable of scavenging free radicals, thus protecting the normal cells during radiotherapy and reducing radiation’s harmful effect in an emergency radiation accident. This is the motivation for this work.

In the present study, we assessed Costus afer extract’s ability in mitigating radiation-induced hematological and histopathological disorder in mice. The results showed that X-ray radiation caused a significant alteration in the hematological parameters as evident in the degree of blood counts. Our findings revealed that 3 Gy and 6 Gy dose of X-ray radiation caused a substantial reduction in the PCV, Hb, WBC, lymphocytes, and platelet counts compared with those in control (CNT) and extract only (CAE) groups. The pretreatment of mice with the extract of Costus afer improved the listed hematological variables with a significant increase in their mean values. The reduction in the named hematological variables among the irradiated groups is an indication that whole-body irradiation is mostly observed in the proliferating bone marrow progenitor cell. The decrease in the number of WBC and lymphocytes in the irradiated groups and the corresponding increase in the treatment groups are comparable to Shirazi et al. [26]. They reported that pretreatment with melatonin in rats (10 mg/kg) before exposure to 2 Gy and 8 Gy statistically increased the number of WBC and lymphocytes at 4-hour post-irradiation.

Moreover, gastrointestinal and haemopoietic cells in the bone marrow, which happen to be the most radiosensitive organs, are essential for maintaining life, and any injury to these cells can damage normal physiological activities [11]. The present study results concur with the findings of Gowda et al. [13], who reported that electron beam radiation caused a significant reduction in the Hb, erythrocytes, leukocytes, PCV, and the platelet count 48-hour post-irradiation in male rats. Similarly, the results of the present study are consistent with the findings of Eshak and Osama [30], who showed a substantial decrease in WBC, RBC, PCV, Hb, and platelet exposure of 4 Gy and 6 Gy of gamma radiation to animals. In the present study, X-ray radiation caused a significant reduction in the mean of PCV and Hb. Our result is also in total agreement with the findings of Udem and Ezeasor [31], who reported that Costus afer extract caused a significant reduction in the Hb, RBC, and PCV compared with the control group. A non-significant decrease in the mean of RBC was observed in the mice exposed to both radiation doses. This may be attributed to erythrocyte’s relatively radioreistant nature compared with other blood’s cellular components [4]. The observed decrease in the mean value of erythrocytes observed in this study might be due to mature RBC damage. In addition, it may be due to hemolysis and decreased erythrocyte production [32]. The present study revealed that the given radiation doses significantly lowered the number of neutrophils in the experimental animals; giving rise to a condition known as neutropenia. Neutrophils are mostly present in the WBC. The pretreatment of mice with the CAE partially ameliorated the damaged. WBC assist the body in fighting infectious and destroying harmful bacteria that spread into the body. Neutrophils are the most crucial protector present in the WBC that fights against infection. Report from literature revealed the radioprotective and antioxidant properties of Costus afer. For instance, the plant is used to cure ailments or conditions such as rheumatism, cough, hepatic disorders, miscarriages, hemorrhoids, inflammation, arthritis, helminthic, epileptic attack, as well as purgative, diuretics. It has also been tested as a cure for poison [33,34]. Okugbo and Oriakhi [35] reported that Costus afer could serve as free radical scavengers, acting perhaps as critical antioxidants, which could treat the disease that results from oxidative damage.

The inhibition of lipid peroxidation is another biomarker in determining the radioprotective property of the plant. The study conducted by Moody and Okwagbe [36] on plant stem extracts of Costus afer shows that the plant possesses potent antioxidants in vitro. The results obtained by Tonkiri et al. [17] show that Costus afer exhibits high antioxidant and free radical scavenging activities. The plant is a significant source of natural antioxidants, which may be of great value in hindering the progress of various oxidative stress and modulation of drug-induced toxicity. The present study results correlate with the report of Abdelmageed Marzook et al. [37], who earlier worked on the radioprotective efficacy of Costus in protecting hematological parameters. They revealed that Costus speciosus offered protection on hematological parameters (RBC, hematocrit, WBC, and reticulocytes) against gamma radiation. The findings of Anyasor et al. [33] revealed that the aqueous fraction of leaves and stem bark of Costus afer exhibited a high degree of inhibition of lipid peroxidation. In another related development, Anyasor et al. [18] reported that Costus afer contained anti-oxidative properties, the plant could serve as bioactive, and antioxidants compounds for nutrition and therapeutic purposes. Other studies have shown that Costus afer possess antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, anti-cancer, hepatoprotective and could stimulate total lymphocytes proliferation [33,38]. Research has shown that free radicals can cause oxidative stress that can result in cellular and biological damage [39].

Moreover, antioxidants have shown to offer resistance against oxidative stress by scavenging free radicals. The report of Atere et al. [40] revealed that the antioxidant activity of Costus afer might
be responsible for its medicinal potentials. Furthermore, their information deduced that polyphenols, flavonoids and other antioxidants compounds account for its ability to scavenge free radicals [40].

Kidneys are critical organs in the body that play basal functions in both health and disease conditions. Due to kidneys' relative radiosensitivity nature, the organs are prone to damage through radiation effects [41]. The exposure of kidneys to radiation during radiotherapy has raised serious concern over the practical applicability of ionizing radiation for therapeutic and diagnostic purposes. The degree of radiation damage on the kidney largely depends on the volume and dose of the incident radiation dose [25,42]. Reports in many clinical and experimental studies have shown that the liver is also one of the most commonly injured organs during radiotherapy for cancers of the abdominal region [43]. In the present investigation, whole-body exposure of mice to 3 Gy and 6 Gy radiation, the histological examination of the kidney revealed a few foci of mild cloudy swelling of the epithelial cells of tubules with a severe flattening of epithelial cells in the cortex-medullary junction. The administration of extract before irradiation showed no significant improvement in the histological examination of the kidney.

Similarly, the liver histology of the mice exposed to 3 Gy and 6 Gy radiation showed that there are random foci of mild single-cell hepatocellular necrosis, whereas, in the pretreatment groups (group CAE_3Gy and CAE_6Gy); hepatocytes seem to be within normal histological limits. This may be due to the time interval between irradiation and the harvesting of organs. Our findings contradict the findings of Tonkiri et al. [17] who reported Costus afer extract's protective ability and showed that the extract could act as a potent hepatoprotective agent against alcohol-induced liver cirrhosis. The contradiction in the results of the present investigation may be because a short time frame between exposure and harvesting of organs was observed. It could also be that the extract was administered over a few days, and lower radiation doses (3 Gy and 6 Gy) were used, which were insignificant when compared with radiation dose tolerance of kidney and liver tissue. The 6 days administration of Costus afer adopted in the present study is negligible compared with the 6 weeks employed in the report of Tonkiri et al. [17]. The administration of the extract over a few days may be one factor for its non-significant effect in the histology analysis. However, the present findings correlate with Udem and Ezeasor [31] report, where the acute and subchronic toxicity of Costus afer was performed in mice. Their results showed no significant lesions in the kidney, liver, heart of the experimental, and control mice were recorded as revealed in the histopathological analysis. The report of their findings is in agreement with the result obtained from the present study. Similarly, the present study agrees with the report of Ezejiofor et al. [44] on the activity of Costus afer against hyperglycemic induced hepatotoxic and histopathological changes; their report showed no histological changes in the harvested organs. However, the hematological analysis revealed that rats treated with the extract of Costus afer had a significant increase in WBC, RBC, Hb, and platelet count compared with the control group. Ezejiofor et al. [44] also saw a significant increase in the lymphocyte level.

In conclusion, evidence from the present study indicates the possible potential of Costus afer to mitigate radiation-induced hematological alterations. Even though CAE's mechanism of action exerts its protective effect is unknown, it may be due to its ability to scavenge free radicals and reactive oxygen species. As reports from literature show, the plant exhibited antioxidant property, capable of neutralising the toxic peroxides and hydroxyl ions formed from the hydrolysis of water molecules after radiation exposure. The various compounds such as polyphenols, flavonoids and other antioxidants present in CAE may also protect hematopoietic cells in mice against radiation-induced damage, leading to increased blood counts in the hematological parameters. The histology examination revealed no visible lesion associated with irradiated and treated mice's kidney and liver. Further studies are warranted to validate the histopathology analysis; we propose varying parameters such as an increase in radiation dose, latent period and quantity (dose) of the extract can help authenticate the radiation-induced disorder to the histopathological parameters. The results of the hematological analysis from the present investigation support local claims of the therapeutic uses of Costus afer in the treatment of various kinds of ailments in folklore medicine; thus, Costus afer plant may be a potent radioprotector in the treatment of cancerous cells and for general use in case of a radiation emergency.
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