Abstract: The effect of poorly treated pain is well documented in the literature. To offer support for the development of geriatric-specific pain management protocols, this review sought to scope the literature to identify what has been accomplished in geriatric burn pain management and offer suggestions. Arksey and O’Malley’s scoping review methodology was employed with extensive database and grey literature searches. A narrative synthesis was employed to analyse the evidence. The PRISMA extension guidelines for scoping reviews were followed in reporting this review. Sixteen evidence types comprising eleven reviews, two retrospective studies, two book chapters, and one practice guideline were retained in the review. The two retrospective studies emerged from the United States. The review findings suggest that though a plethora of generic pain assessment tools exist, they are yet to be validated in the older adult burn population. Pain management strategies involved the use of pharmacological agents (mainly opioids), but no outcome regarding pain relief was reported. Key issues identified include cautious use of opioids, oversedation concerns, and varied complexities associated with pain management. Though the literature remains largely unexplored, the complexities associated with geriatric burn pain management suggest a need for a multidisciplinary approach. More prospective studies are also needed to evaluate both pharmacological and non-pharmacological interventions in the geriatric burn population.
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1. Introduction

The older adult population is one of the fastest-growing populations and at the same time, remains highly susceptible to burn injuries [1,2]. The increased susceptibility of older adults to burn injuries is related to varied factors such as diminishing physical strength, altered protective mechanisms, poor eyesight, presence of several pre-morbid conditions, and diminished reaction time [3]. The process of aging is associated with several physiological alterations that result in decreased functional reserves and a diminished ability to adapt to the injury. The relatively atrophic skin of elderly persons makes the resulting burns deeper [4,5]. The severity of the hypermetabolic response to burn injury increases with age and may result in an exaggerated response to the injury among elderly persons [5]. Clinical management of elderly persons with burns, therefore, remains a challenge with poor outcomes such as increasing morbidity levels/development of complications during acute hospitalisation and high mortality risk [5,6].

Among other therapeutic management strategies for burned patients, burn-related pain remains a major clinical problem due to its complex nature [7]. At least four forms of burn pain were identified in the existing literature: procedural, background, breakthrough [7] and post-operative pain [8,9], which may also be associated with distress and anxiety creating a need for a multimodal, multidisciplinary approach to burn pain management [7]. Additionally, the burn pain experience is uniquely individualistic and can even vary for the same person throughout the recovery trajectory [10]. Burn pain and its management may be particularly problematic for elderly burned patients considering potential underlying...
chronic pain, pre-existing co-morbidities, altered pharmacogenomic/pharmacological responses, and polypharmacy issues [1,2,11]. Experimental research has also observed the existence of reduced pain tolerance to stimuli among the elderly [12]. Additional barriers such as underreporting of pain and misconceptions among healthcare staff can also contribute to the suboptimal management of pain among elderly burned patients [13].

The effect of poorly treated burn-related pain is well documented in the literature. For instance, poorly managed post-burn pain can contribute to the development of chronic pain, paresthesia [14–17] and even worsen psychological issues and interfere with activities of daily living [18,19]. Moreover, the presence of burn-related pain has been correlated with suicidal ideations by the time of discharge from the burn unit [20]. The presence of acute pain can also provoke anxiety and reluctance among patients to participate in a therapeutic regimen which can translate into longer hospital stays [21]. For the elderly, poorly treated pain can increase the incidence of delirium [22]. In the long term, quality of life may be adversely affected [23].

Successful pain management involves pain assessment using validated, age and condition-specific tools, intervention, and evaluation by trained staff [24]. Though varied pharmacological and non-pharmacological strategies exist to manage burn-related pain [25,26], there are still reports of poorly treated pain [21]. For elderly burned patients, this may be worse considering the complexities associated with the injury, ageing process, and other underlying issues. Although burn pain management protocols exist, they may not necessarily reach the elderly burn population. The urgent need for geriatric-specific pain and anxiety management protocols was re-echoed recently [2], particularly as elderly persons are a high-risk group when it comes to burn injuries [1–3]. As a starting point, this review sought to scope the literature to identify what has been accomplished in geriatric burn pain management and offer suggestions for further studies.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Review Design

A scoping review approach was utilised for this study [27]. Scoping review focuses on mapping key concepts and identifying gaps in an area [28] which makes it appropriate for this review. Additionally, scoping review permits the inclusion of varied study types which makes it useful for the current review. The PRISMA Extension Guidelines for Scoping Reviews were followed in reporting this review [29].

2.2. Information Sources and Search Strategy

An initial limited search was carried out in PubMed and CINAHL with a set of pre-planned search terms which were modified as the search proceeded and subsequently, informed the development of a detailed search strategy. The information sources/databases searched include EMBASE, CINAHL, PubMed, Cochrane Library and Web of Science. The reference lists of the potential papers were also hand-searched for potential papers. To fully scope, MedNar and Trove were searched for grey literature. The databases were searched from inception to March 2021. The search terms used to guide the process were: “burn injury” or “burns” or “burn trauma” or “burns” or “burn injury” or “burns trauma” or “major burns” AND “pain management” or “pain relief” or “pain control” or “pain reduction” or “managing pain” or “analgesia” AND “geriatrics” or “older adults” or “elderly” or “aged” or “older” or “elder” or “elderly” or “senior”.

2.3. Study Selection

All studies from the database search were pooled to EndNote X9.2 following which duplicates were removed. Title and abstract screening were carried out to identify relevant studies. Full-text versions of the relevant studies were retrieved. The following inclusion criteria were applied: (1) studies reporting pain management among elderly persons with burns irrespective of the design (2) reported in English. Studies that included other age groups in addition to older burned patients were considered for inclusion if they presented
data regarding pain management in the older burned patients. Preprints were however excluded. The study selection process was reported using a PRISMA flowchart (see Figure 1 below).

**Figure 1.** PRISMA Flowchart of Study Selection.

2.4. Data Synthesis

Standard information was obtained from each study into an MS Excel 2013 worksheet designed for this purpose (see Table 1). Details regarding pain and pain management of elderly burned patients reported across the studies were also transferred to the analytical grid. The author then examined these findings and formulated codes across the included studies. Similar codes were aggregated to develop concepts that formed the basis of undertaking a narrative synthesis (see Supplementary Table S1).

3. Results

3.1. Study Characteristics

Following the extensive search and screening, sixteen sources of evidence were retained in the review. This comprised of eleven reviews [7,19,30–38]; two retrospective
studies [39,40]; two book chapters [41,42]; and one practice guideline [43]. The two retrospective studies emerged from the United States [39,40]. All the reviews and book chapters discussed burn management in the elderly broadly with few sections dedicated to pain management which may highlight the limited scope of work regarding geriatric burn pain management. A summary of the study characteristics is presented below in Table 1:

Table 1. Summary of included studies.

| Author(s)/Year/Setting | Evidence/Study Type | Aim and Methods |
|------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| Abu-Sittah et al. [32] | Review              | To evaluate risk factors, pathophysiological and immunological conditions that affect response to burn injury in the elderly population. Literature review. |
| Askay et al. [19]     | Review              | To review the literature on the physiologic and psychologic effects of acute burn pain. Literature review. |
| Connor-Ballard, [34]  | Review              | To provide an in-depth discussion of pain management (topical medications and the psychological aspects of burn pain). Literature review. |
| Davidge and Fish, [30]| Review              | To review the specific issues that need to be considered when treating older adults with burn injury. Literature review. |
| Faucher et al. [43]   | Practice guidelines | To review principles of pain management and to present a reasonable approach to the management of the complex pain associated with burn injury/Systematic literature review and expert opinion. |
| Honari et al. [40] USA| Retrospective study | To assess the range of requirements and use of opioids among elderly patients with burns of different age categories. Retrospective chart review. |
| Huang et al. [35]     | Review              | To review the modern trend of management of elderly burn patients. Literature review. |
| Hutchison et al. [39] USA| Retrospective study | To retrospectively characterise pain management strategies (including opioids and non-opioid adjuncts) in this patient population. A retrospective cohort study of patients age >65 with burns < 20% total body surface area (TBSA). |
| Keck et al. [31]      | Review              | To give an overview of the current literature concerning specific considerations concerning elderly burns. Literature review. |
| Montgomery, [38]      | Review              | To provide an overview of pain management in burn injury. Literature review. |
| Norman and Judkins [33]| Review              | To provide an overview of the management of pain in the patient with burns. Literature review. |
| Nosanov et al. [36]   | Review              | To describe the characteristics of pain following burn injury, methods of assessment, multimodal pharmacological treatment, and non-pharmacological adjuncts. Literature review. |
| Pham [41]             | Book chapter        | To present the injury epidemiology, pathophysiologic differences and acute management challenges in older patients. |
| Sheaffer [42]         | Book chapter        | To review burn care considerations in general, with an emphasis on managing comorbidity in the setting of elderly burn care. |
| Sheridan [37]         | Review              | To delineate the unique aspects of care for young children and the elderly that impact the treatment of burn injury followed by a discussion of the research priorities for young children and the elderly. Literature review and expert opinion. |
| Summer et al. [7]     | Review              | To provide an overview of the types of pain associated with a burn injury, describe how these different types of pain interfere with the phases of burn recovery, and summarise pharmacologic pain management strategies across the continuum of burn care. Literature review. |

3.2. Concepts

Two concepts emerged from the data: (1) strategies employed in managing geriatric burn pain, and (2) Key issues in managing pain in geriatric burns.
3.2.1. Concept 1: Strategies Employed in Managing Geriatric Burn Pain

Pain Assessment

No burn-specific pain assessment tool was identified for the geriatric population across the included studies. Generic tools that were identified include visual analog scale (VAS), numeric rating scale (NRS), verbal description scales (VDS) and the McGill Pain Questionnaire [7,41]. One study suggested that the VDS is particularly suited for assessing pain in older adults, including those with mild to moderate cognitive impairment [7]. It was however observed in another study that observational scales may be more useful when the cognitive impairment or mechanical ventilation preclude self-reporting [41].

Pharmacological Management of Pain

All sources of evidence included in this review underscored the importance of exercising caution when using pharmacological agents to manage pain in the older burned patient considering potential adverse effects and underlying comorbidities. Generally, opioids [37,39,41,42] such as fentanyl [30,34,40], oxycodone [40], and hydromorphone [40] were mentioned to be titrated to the patient’s needs and individual circumstances to manage procedural and breakthrough pain. In one paper, it was mentioned that the initial opioid dose for pain should be 25–50% of that in younger adults and titrated upwards to improve comfort [41]. More specifically, another review noted that fentanyl 25 micrograms administered intravenously over one to two minutes should be considered in incremental doses [34]. In one study, it was observed that elderly burned patients were discharged home with more opioids than utilised during their inpatient stay [39].

The use of acetaminophen as a non-opioid adjunct for managing pain in older burned patients was also reported [39] though another study cautioned that it might increase the risk of liver failure in older persons with preexisting liver diseases [31]. Subanesthetic doses of ketamine administered via intravenous or oral may be considered for procedures requiring deep sedation [37,38]. However, ketamine may lead to the emergence of delirious reactions, and it is recommended that it should be used concomitantly with midazolam [34,38]. Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) and gabapentoids were used less frequently [39] with one study noting that NSAIDs should be used with caution [33]. The use of meperidine was mentioned to be contraindicated in elderly burn patients with renal failure as its metabolites (normeperidine) may accumulate and cause seizures, confusion, and myoclonus [43]. Barbiturates, benzodiazepines, and tricyclic anti-depressants also require cautious use in older persons with reduced clearance [41].

Monitoring

Following administration of the pharmacological agents, it is necessary to monitor the elderly burned patient for both therapeutic and adverse effects. The simultaneous use of ketamine and midazolam may cause respiratory and cardiac suppression [38]. Thus, it was recommended that a Registered Nurse, certified and experienced in the safe intravenous administration of these medications should be present during their usage [34]. Additionally, other monitoring equipment (such as telemetry and pulse oximetry), anesthesia, oxygen, and respiratory therapy personnel need to be present in case of an adverse event [34]. Neurological exams may also be required prior to the use of opioids [42].

3.2.2. Concept 2: Key Issues in Managing Pain in Geriatric Burns

Varied issues were noted that require consideration in managing pain following burns in older persons. Older persons may have poor tolerance to opioids which may make it difficult to optimise pain control [30]. Additionally, the assumptions that pain perception decreases with age and chronic pain is a normal part of ageing can serve as barriers to attaining optimum pain control [32,41]. The need for cautious use of opioids and underlying comorbidities may also deter their use altogether leading to poor management of pain [31,35]. Healthcare providers’ concerns regarding oversedation may also lead to undertreatment of pain [36]. Older adults with burns may also have difficulty in expressing
pain which may adversely affect the pain management process [37]. The use of medications such as beta-blockers may also mask potential signs of pain [37]. Based on these complexities, it emerged that a multi-disciplinary approach to managing burn pain in older persons is warranted. This team should include pharmacists to aid in carefully titrating medications to reduce polypharmacy and geriatricians to support the comprehensive care of the older burned patient [36,41].

4. Discussion

This review sought to scope the literature to map what has been accomplished in geriatric burn pain management. The review findings highlight that geriatric burn pain management remains largely unexplored creating critical gaps that warrant attention [2]. There is a general lack of pain assessment tools specific to the geriatric burn population and the generic types available which are often employed have not been validated among this group. This makes it difficult to draw conclusions regarding the overall utility of the generic scales in assessing pain in older burned patients. The review findings further note that opioids and acetaminophen are the most used pharmacological approaches to burn pain management. However, none of the included studies offered data regarding outcomes associated with these medications which makes it difficult to conclude their overall effect. Moreover, non-pharmacological approaches to burn pain management remains poorly evaluated among older persons with burns. Though the literature remains largely unexplored, the complexities associated with geriatric burn pain management suggest a need for a multidisciplinary approach including pharmacists and specialists from geriatric medicine to offer comprehensive support whilst considering underlying comorbid and polypharmacy issues. Overall, the evidence base regarding burn pain management in older burned patients is largely limited. The way forward is to develop geriatric-specific pain management protocols and evaluate their effects using prospective approaches.

Successful pain management commences with pain assessment using validated, age-specific tools appropriate for the patient’s condition [24]. The review findings suggest that generic pain assessment tools such as NRS, VAS, and VDS may be appropriate for older adults with burns. The potential of acute confused states, delirium and cognitive impairment occurring among older persons with burns adds an extra layer of complexity in the burn pain management process. It has therefore been recommended that tools such as the Pain Assessment in Advanced Dementia (PAINAD), the Non-communicative Pain Assessment Instrument (NOPPAIN) and the Abbey Pain Scale may be considered [24]. These tools are however yet to be validated among the older adult burn patient population [41]. Within the adult burn patient population, it was reported that pain assessment tools such as the Critical Care Pain Observation Tool (CCPOT) and the Adult Nonverbal Scale (ANVS) are able to discriminate pain intensity but correlate poorly with the patients’ self-reports of pain based on the NRS and VAS pain scale scores which is suggestive that not all tools are able to accurately assess a patient’s pain [44]. For burned older adults who are unable to self-report their pain, healthcare staff reliance on only objective tools may potentially lead to undertreatment of pain. As noted in this review, pre-existing usage of medications such as beta-blockers and other underlying conditions may even mask the presentation and intensity of pain among burned older adults which can impact objective pain assessment [37]. These findings create a need to ascertain the validity, reliability, feasibility, and outcomes associated with commonly used pain assessment tools in the older adult burn patient population taking into consideration their unique needs. More so, these findings may suggest a need for a multidimensional pain assessment tool specific to the geriatric burn population. As highlighted by Pham, these assertions remain critical issues for consideration/further work [41], particularly considering the increasing ageing population and their increased risk of being involved in burn injuries [45].

Several studies have observed the notable mortality risk [3,4,46–48] and increased mortality rates [49] among older adults with burns. However, the current review did not identify specific pain assessment and interventions at the end of life. Pain management
at the end-of-life phase in burn care remains blurred. In fact, palliative/end-of-life care guidelines are generally lacking in the burn unit though mortality risk/death may be a reality that may adversely affect the quality of comfort care rendered to older burned adults at the end of life [50]. This is an area that requires input from palliative care services to ensure that pain and other distressing symptoms are satisfactorily managed [50,51].

Further to the above, the review findings highlight the preponderance of opioids (alongside ketamine and midazolam) and acetaminophen in the pharmacological management of procedural and breakthrough pain; used cautiously and titrated incrementally based on individual circumstances and with ongoing monitoring. Interestingly, there were no outcomes noted across the studies included in this review. Though varied pharmacological approaches may exist for younger persons, these cannot be transferred directly to older burned patients without considering important factors such as underlying comorbidities [32]. The finding represents a significant gap as without outcomes, it is difficult, if not impossible to draw strong conclusions. Moreover, the preponderance of pharmacological measures observed in this review suggests that the potential of non-pharmacological approaches for older adults with burns remains largely unexplored. As noted in a previous systematic review, non-pharmacological pain management measures may have an overall positive effect on pain outcomes with no adverse effects in burn patients [25]. Specifically, in children and adolescents, distraction and virtual reality were observed to be effective in reducing pain perception and distress during procedures [53,54]. Similarly, non-pharmacological approaches such as virtual reality and hypnosis may help to relieve procedural pain and reduce mental distress among adults with burns [55]. Community-dwelling older persons may also benefit from non-pharmacological pain management strategies such as acupressure, acupuncture, and guided imagery [56]. The utility, suitability, and feasibility of the non-pharmacological approaches need to be explored further alongside the pharmacological approaches and their outcomes ascertained to provide quantitative evidence that can inform the formulation of practice guidelines and protocols.

The review findings further suggest that older burned patients need a holistic approach to pain management which can be attainable by considering a multi-disciplinary approach to care. The burn care team needs further support from other specialists such as geriatricians to plan a holistic pain management plan for an older burned patient. A holistic approach to pain management for the elderly was underscored as essential in literature [52] which requires further work in the geriatric burn patient population. Having such a team may potentially help to overcome barriers that may hinder the optimal management of burn pain and potentially improve patient outcomes [57–59].

5. Conclusions

In conclusion, the pain remains an unmet need in the geriatric burn patient population and evidence to underpin practices remain limited. Several gaps exist and the review findings may serve as a guide for further studies in geriatric burn pain management. Strategies remain poorly evaluated and outcomes are largely unexplored. Despite this, the review findings point towards a need for a holistic, multidisciplinary approach to burn pain management. To the author’s best knowledge, this is the first review to comprehensively scope and map all that is known regarding geriatric burn pain management. Despite this strength, some limitations are noteworthy. Firstly, most of the evidence sources are review papers with limited primary studies, which may affect the strength of conclusions drawn. Secondly, only studies reported in English were retained in the review which may have led to the exclusion of non-English studies.
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