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Abstract. Influence of age and body mass index on arterial stiffness and left ventricular hypertrophy in patients with arterial hypertension II stage. Kolesnyk T.V., Nadiuk A.V., Kosova H.A. Based on the cumulative data of scientific research reference values for the central blood pressure and its amplification were proposed in patients with different cardiovascular risk factors. Still, the possibility of its practical implementation in patients of different age groups has not been fully studied. There were examined 132 untreated patients (23 females and 109 males) with uncom-plicated essential hypertension, which were divided into 3 groups depending on age (WHO, 2012): I group included 47 patients of the young age, II group - 50 middle-aged patients and III group – 35 elderly patients. Measurements of central systolic blood pressure (cSBP), SBP amplification (SBP Amp), pulse wave velocity (PWV) were carried out by Arteriograph Tensioclinic (Tensiomed, Hungary). Target-organ damage included LVH which was determined by echocardiographic left ventricular mass index (LVMI), adjusted for body surface (g/m²) or height (LVMI²), aortic PWV>10 m/s. It was established that the use of a personalized approach in the examination of patients with arterial hypertension makes it possible to increase the efficiency of diagnosis of hypertensive-mediated subclinical organ damage. So in order to identify impairment of the vascular wall in patients of a young age with hypertension, with overweight and obesity, the reference values of PWV and cSBP in accordance with the age norm should be taken into account. It was particularly in this cohort of patients that the greatest adverse effect of vascular stiffness and body mass index (BMI) on the formation of left ventricular hypertrophy was revealed, while in elderly people it is necessary to use the reference values of cSBP, depending on the degree of hypertension.
According to WHO experts in 2013, cardiovascular mortality is 17 million people per year, which is one third of deaths worldwide. Almost 9.5 million deaths is result of arterial hypertension (AH) [8].

According to the results of the international epidemiological population survey aimed to assess cardiovascular risk factors among the urban population in Ukraine, carried out in Dnipropetrovsk city (2009-2013), AH was detected in 45.7% of the subjects and a progressive increase in the prevalence of hypertension with age was found.

Thus, among respondents in the age group of 30-39 years, hypertension was detected in 31.5% of cases, at the age of 40-49 years – in 29.8% with a subsequent almost twofold increase, at the age of 50-59 years – in 55.6%, and at the age of 60-69 years the prevalence of hypertension reached 68.6% [6].

Obesity is an independent risk factor affecting the prevalence of hypertension. In a population-based study, M.R. Movahed et al., it was revealed that in 2009, AH was present in 50.7% of obese patients [19]. According to a population-based study conducted in Dnipropetrovsk, only 29.3% of the population had normal body weight, and overweight and obesity of degree I-III were totally 70.7%. Of these: overweight was found in 39.18%, obesity of I degree - 22.75%, II degree - 7.78%, and III degree – 1.03% of the population [4].

Due to this, it is necessary to obtain reliable markers of damage of the vascular component and criteria for stratifying the risk of cardiovascular complications, already at an early stage of the development of the disease, available in real medical practice. It has been established that at the preclinical stages of the development of AH an increase in arterial wall stiffness has a high prognostic significance.

Arterial stiffness is the most important determinant of increased systolic blood pressure (SBP) and pulse blood pressure (PBP) and, therefore, the main cause of many cardiovascular complications and events, including left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH). Also, an increase in vascular stiffness is a leading component of the development of atherosclerotic vascular lesions and, therefore, stroke, heart attack, renal failure [11]. Measurement of the pulse wave velocity (PWV) is the “gold standard” for determining the elastic characteristics of arteries [11].

Evaluation of PWV provides additional information about the elastic properties of the arterial system. Normally, it should not exceed 10 m/sec [13].

It has been established that an increase in PWV only by 1m/sec increases the risk of death by 15%, and therefore it is considered as an independent predictor of the development of cardiovascular diseases and cardiovascular mortality [23]. It was observed that the prognostic value of PWV is most important in the early stages of hypertension [3].

The European Association of Non-Invasive Studies of Large Arteries carried out joint work within the framework of the Arterial Stiffness’ Collaboration in order to determine the reference values of PWV. The study included 11092 almost healthy individuals who were divided into age groups over decades (in the years <30, 30-39, 40-49, 50-59, 60-69 and over 70), which were further stratified into groups according to the degree of blood pressure (BP) elevation. As a result, reference and normal values of PWV were proposed for different age groups in accordance with the degree of blood pressure elevation [12].

In France, Annie Herbert et al. [16] in the framework of The Reference Values for Arterial Measurements Collaboration analyzed data from 77 studies in 53 centers of 45,436 healthy individuals, who were not treated for hypertension, dyslipidemia, had no obvious cardiovascular diseases and diabetes. Using additional data about the level of brachial systolic and diastolic blood pressure, age, gender, smoking status, lipid profile parameters, glucose and creatinine level stratification of cardiovascular risk was carried out. The levels of central SBP (cSBP) were determined and its amplifications (AmpBP) were calculated as percentiles for the population: “Normal” indicators for individuals without cardiovascular risk (CVR) factors and “reference” values for individuals with any CVR factor. Normal and reference values of cSBP and AmpBP were proposed depending on the age category and, separately, on the degree of hypertension [16]. However, the possibility of practical application of these indicators in patients of different age groups, taking...
introduce the presence of increased body weight or obesity remains not fully understood.

Therefore, the main objective of our work was to define the features of central and peripheral blood pressure, the elastic properties of the arterial wall and left ventricular hypertrophy in patients with essential hypertension of stage II, depending on age and body mass index (BMI).

**MATERIALS AND METHODS OF RESEARCH**

We examined 132 patients (23 women and 109 men) with stage II AH, who were stratified into three groups according to the WHO 2012 classification. The first group consisted of 47 patients of young age (from 31 to 45 years), the second group consisted of 50 middle-aged people (from 46 to 60 years), and the third group – 35 elderly people (from 61 to 75 years).

The mean age in the first group was 38.00 (35.00; 41.00) years, in group II – 53.00 (50.00; 57.00) years and 66.00 (62.00; 68.00) years in group III. All groups differed significantly in age (p<0.05).

The stage and degree of arterial hypertension were established according to the guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of arterial hypertension (Order of the Ministry of Health of Ukraine N 384 of 24.05.2012 and guidelines of ESH/ESC 2013, 2018). In all examined groups, 1 degree hypertension was registered more often: in 32 patients (68.09%) in group I, in 30 patients (60.00%) from group II and in 22 (62.86%) patients in group III. Degree II AH was found in 20 (21.27%) patients in the first group, in 13 (26.00%) in group II, and in 7 (20.00%) in group III. Degree III hypertension was registered in 2 (4.26%) persons in the first group, in 3 (6.67%) patients from group II and in 2 (5.71%) in group III.

Exclusion criteria included symptomatic hypertension, NYHA functional class III-IV heart failure with LV ejection fraction <40%, coronary heart disease, cardiac arrhythmias, diabetes mellitus and severe comorbid conditions.

Parameters of central (cSBP, cPBP, AmpBP) and peripheral hemodynamics (SBP and diastolic BP (DBP) and PBP and ankle-brachial index (ABI)), as well as the characteristics of the elastic properties of the arterial wall (PWV, pulse wave return time (RT) and augmentation index (AI)) were determined by arteriograph Tensioclinic (Tensiomed, Hungary) using the oscillometric method [22].

Additional analysis of the PWV according to the reference value adjusted for age and degree of hypertension proposed in the Arterial Stiffness’ Collaboration study 2010, was made [12]. Analysis of the levels of cSBP and AmpBP was performed in accordance with reference values adjusted for age and the degree of hypertension determined in the Reference Values for Arterial Measurements Collaboration study, 2014 [16].

Evaluation of LV structural remodeling was performed using transthoracic echocardiography (EchoCG) with assessment of anteroposterior size of the left atrium (LA), left ventricular end-diastolic internal dimension (LVIDd) and end-systolic ID (LVIDs) and right ventricle (RV), LV end-diastolic volume (LVEDV) and end-systolic volume (LVESV), LV ejection fraction (LV EF), stroke volume (SV), interventricular septum wall thickness (IVST) and LV posterior wall thickness (LVPW). The LV myocardium mass (LVMM) was calculated using the formula of the American Echocardiographic Society. LV hypertrophy (LVH) was determined with indicators of LV myocardial mass index (LVMMI) by body surface area (g/m²) and by indexation of LVMM to height²/³ (LVMMI²/³), since this allows to analyze the actual degree of LVH excluding the influence of patient weight.

The study was approved by Biomedical Ethical Commission of the Dnipropetrovsk Medical Academy, Ukraine. Protocol N 1, 2016 Jan 20.

Statistical processing of the research results was carried out using Excel 2010, a software product STATISTICA 6.1. For quantitative parameters with asymmetric distribution, the average values were estimated in medians and interquartile range (25% and 75% percentiles), presented as Me (25%; 75%). The assessment of the reliability of the difference of the means for quantitative parameters with asymmetric distribution was carried out according to the Mann-Whitney U criteria. Correlative analysis was performed using the Spearman's rank correlation
coefficients [5]. Differences between the indicators were considered significant at \( p<0.05 \).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Analysis of level of SBP in groups (Table 1), revealed its elevation with age (\( p>0.05 \)), that corresponds to the data of J.G. Wang et al. which showed gradual increasing of SBP level with aging, whereas DBP increases till sixth decade, and then stabilizes or gradually decreases [21]. However, among the examined patients the level of the DBP was similar in all groups (\( p>0.05 \)). The level of PBP was the highest among the elderly persons and the difference was significant compared with the middle-aged group (\( p<0.0045 \)). Comparing the PBP level in elderly and young patients showed a tendency toward significant difference (\( p=0.054 \)). Increased PBP in the arteries of small and large caliber leads to the early development of atherosclerosis [20]. The highest heart rate (HR) was found in young patients. Significantly higher HR was in younger patients compared with other groups (\( p<0.02 \)), and HR in patients of group II and III did not differ significantly (\( p>0.05 \)). The parameter of the ABI between groups did not differ statistically.

**Table 1**

| Parameters                  | I group, young age, \( n=47 \) | II group, middle age, \( n=50 \) | III group, elderly, \( n=35 \) |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| SBP. mm Hg.                | 145.5 (135.00; 162.00)         | 151.00 (136.00; 163.00)         | 153.00 (140.00; 172.00)       |
| DBP. mm Hg.                | 89.50 (80.00; 101.00)\(^{a}\)  | 95.00 (84.00; 102.00)           | 91.00 (86.00; 100.00)         |
| PBP. mm Hg.                | 56.50 (48.00; 66.00)           | 56.50 (48.00; 61.00)            | 62.00 (55.00; 73.00)\(^{a}\)  |
| Heart rate. beats/min.     | 75.50 (62.00; 86.00)           | 66.00 (59.00; 75.00)            | 68.0 (58.00; 78.00)\(^{a}\)   |
| cSBP. mm Hg.               | 134.15 (123.80; 151.60)        | 149.25 (135.40; 169.10)         | 151.20 (134.00; 179.50)\(^{a}\) |
| cSBP above norm adjusted to the age (number of the patient (%)) | 42 (89.36%) | 48 (96.00%) | 27 (77.14%) |
| cSBP above the norm adjusted to the degree of hypertension (number of the patients (%)) | 34 (72.34%) | 45 (90.00%) | 31 (88.57%) |
| AmpBP                      | 11.05 (6.40; 15.20)           | 9.25 (-7.50; 8.70)             | -5.90 (-8.00; 4.40)          |
| AmpBP above the norm (number of the patients (%)) | 22 (46.81%) | 38 (76.00%) | 29 (82.86%) |
| ABI                        | 1.28 (1.18; 1.35)             | 1.30 (1.20; 1.40)              | 1.30 (1.20; 1.40)            |

Notes: - \( p<0.05 \) between the groups I and II; \( ^{a} \) - \( p<0.05 \) between the groups I and III; & - \( p<0.05 \) between the groups II and III.

The central pressure in the aorta is hemodynamically significant blood pressure, which is mean pressure in the aorta during one cardiac cycle [7]. Scientific evidence from the past 10 years suggests that central pressure is better associated with future cardiovascular events than the level of brachial blood pressure [7, 13, 22]. Many studies have shown that, compared to the SBP on the brachial artery, cSBP is more closely related to the thickness of the intima-media complex, the mass of the left ventricle, and damage to other target organs in the general population and in hypertensive patients [7, 10, 20, 22]. According to the results of our study, it was established that the cSBP level in elderly people was significantly higher by 11.28% than in young patients and was not significantly different compared with middle-aged patients (\( p=0.002 \)). The level of cSBP in patients of middle age was significantly higher (by 10.12%) than in the young (\( p=0.002 \)).
For a more detailed analysis of central hemodynamics, an analysis of the levels of cSBP and AmpBP was performed in accordance with reference values adjusted for age and the degree of hypertension determined in the Reference Values for Arterial Measurements Collaboration study, 2014 [16]. Result of our study showed that among young and middle-aged patients, an increase in the level of cSBP is more commonly detected according to the reference values adjusted for age, however among elderly patients, increasing of cSBP level according to the reference values adjusted to the degree of hypertension is more significant. (Fig. 1).

The difference between cSBP in aorta and brachial SBP is called amplification blood pressure (AmpBP) [9]. This parameter reaches its maximum at a young age and decreases with age [1]. The AmpBP level reflects the stiffness of the aorta and normally the cSBP level is 10–12 mm lower than the SBP level in the brachial artery [22]. Studies have shown that low amplification of pulse BP among older age groups has received greater prognostic value than the level of brachial BP [3, 18].

According to the results of the study, it was determined that in young patients the AmpBP level (11.05 (6.40; 15.20) mm Hg) was the highest (p<0.0001) and corresponded to generally accepted norms (+10-12 mm). In middle-aged and elderly patients the AmpBP level (0.25 (-7.50; 8.70) and -5.90 (-8.00; 4.40) mm Hg, respectively) was significantly lower than in young patients (p<0.0001). In groups the decrease in AmpBP was found in 82.86% of the elderly, in 76.00% – middle aged and in 44.81% of young patients with stage II hypertension.

Analysis of elastic characteristics of the arterial wall (Table 2) revealed that the level of PWV increases with the age. It was found that in elderly patients PWV was significantly higher by 11% than in young patients (p<0.00001) and 8.11% higher than in middle-aged patients (p<0.005). Pathological increase in PWV is registered more often among patients of group I – 77.14%, compared to 62.00% in group II and 51.06% in group III.

For a more precise diagnosis of the vascular wall damage as a target organ, there was made an analysis of the PWV according to the reference value adjusted for age and degree of hypertension proposed in the ArterialStiffness’ Collaboration study 2010 [12].
Table 2

| Parameters | I group, young age, n=47 | II group, middle age, n=50 | III group, elderly, n=35 |
|------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|
| AI, %      | -46.25 (-59.60; -28.60)$^a$ | -9.25 (-33.90; 21.20)    | 8.7 (-22.7; 35.60)     |
| PWV, m/sec | 10.00 (8.20; 10.50)$^b$   | 10.20 (9.30; 11.50)$^c$  | 11.10 (10.00; 12.10)   |
| PWV, m/sec adjusted above age norm (%) | 42.56% | 24.0% | 20.86% |
| RT, msec   | 117.50 (108.00; 134.00)$^d$ | 104.50 (97.00; 121.00)  | 100.00 (92.00; 111.00) |

Notes: * - р<0.05 between the groups I and II; $ - р<0.05 between the groups I and III; $ - р<0.05 between the groups II and III.

In the group of young patients, the percentage of the patients with increased PWV raised to 93.62%, due to exceeding the age norm in patients with PWV<10 m/sec (+42.56%). In the group of middle-aged patients 86.0% of individuals had an increase in PWV above the age norm (+24.0%) and among elderly patients - 80.00% (+20.86%). The need for a more personalized assessment of PWV value is extremely important. It was found that patients with PWV in the aorta >75th percentile compared with the group of patients where the level of PWV corresponded to the value of <75th percentile are more often diagnosed with target organ damage, specifically: carotid atherosclerosis – almost 9 times more often, thickening of intima-media complex – 2 times more often (p<0.05). Moreover, all cases of microalbuminuria were diagnosed specifically among patients with PWV >75th percentile (32.40%) (p<0.01) [2].

![Fig. 2. Distribution of the surveyed groups depending on the PWV increasing (%)](image-url)
The return time of the pulse wave (RT, ms) also reflects aortic stiffness, and the smaller it is, the higher the vascular stiffness. Normally, it is not less than 124 ms [22]. According to the results, the lowest RT level was registered in elderly persons. Among the examined patients in the first group, normal RT was detected in 17 (48.57%) patients, in group II – in 11 people (22.00%), in group III RT was increased in all patients.

Condition of the aorta and large arteries is also reflected by the augmentation index. The value of AI is the ratio of the maximum pressure during systole to the value of cPBP [7]. In healthy young people, AI has a negative value (~10% and below), but with aging or an increased risk of cardiovascular events, it becomes more positive [15]. We found that only among patients of group I, AI was normal and increased with age. It was established that in patients of a young age, AI was 6.31 times lower than in elderly patients (p<0.00001) and 5 times less than in middle-aged patients (p<0.00001).

According to some authors, AI is also a predictor of cardiovascular events [14]. Data of a meta-analysis of Vachopoulos C. et al., 2010 showed that with an increasing of AI by every 10%, the risk of cardiovascular diseases rises by 32% [23]. Also in an epidemiological study in the population of Copenhagen (Denmark), Julie Hjorts Janner et al. in 2012 it was found that an increase in AI is an important predictor of cardiovascular events and mortality [17]. However, AI is not a direct parameter of stiffness but the result of interaction between several factors. The BP level and heart rate are the most important variables that affect the AI [14]. It was established that an increase in AI in patients with hypertension is a predictor of total mortality even in patients with end-stage kidney disease [3].

Assessment of structural and functional state of the heart according to the results of EchoCG has shown that the dimensions of the LV – LVIDd, LVIDs, as well as the volumes of the LV – LVEDV and LVESV corresponded to the standard values and did not differ significantly in groups (p>0.05). The size of the LA was in the normal range in all groups of patients (p>0.05). The LVEF was preserved and did not differ significantly between the groups (p>0.05).

An increased thickness of the LVPW and IVS (Table 3) was revealed in all groups, specifically: in the I group – 1.00 (0.98; 1.16) and 1.30 (1.20; 1.40) cm, in group II – 1.00 (0.92; 1.11) and 1.35 (1.30; 1.50) cm, in group III 1.0 (0.95; 1.17) and 1.37 (1.20; 1.50) cm, respectively, which exceeded the standard values but did not differ significantly (p>0.05).

The highest value of the LV myocardium mass index was found in group III – 110.50 (101.74; 129.49) g/m², it decreased with age and was 108.8 (102.20; 120.56) in group II and in group I – 100.75 (87.80; 118.91) g/m². In elderly people, LVMM was by 9.75 g/m² more than in young people (p=0.041), and in group of middle-aged people, 8.1 g/m² more than in young people (p=0.036).

The value of LVMMI²,7 exceeded the threshold in elderly patients (50.92 (46.41; 61.70) g/height²,7) and middle age (52.75 (47.48; 58.13) g/height²,7), and in young patients was normal (44.11 (37.02; 54.15) g/height²,7). By LVMMI²,7 III and I groups differed significantly by 6.18 g/height²,7, 13.37% (p=0.013), and group II and I by 8.64 g/height²,7, 16.38% (p=0.0008).

It has been shown that a more sensitive indicator of LVH evaluation is LVMMI²,7. Depending on the value of LVMMI, the frequency of LVH detection was 29.27% in group I patients, 51.06% in group II patients and 51.61% in group III. But the groups did not differ in the frequency of LVH diagnosis. And depending on the value of LVMMI²,7, LVH (LVH⁻) was diagnosed in 43.90%, 82.30% and 74.42% of cases in groups I, II and III, respectively and the difference was significant among patients of groups I and III, I and II (p<0.05).

Results of multifactorial correlation analysis showed that there is a direct relationship between the age of the patients and the duration of hypertension in group I and II (r=+0.32; p<0.05 and r=+0.30; p<0.05, respectively). In the group I, an increase in age was also associated with a significant decrease in AmpBP (r=-0.40; p<0.05) and parameter of RT (r=-0.36; p<0.05). Increase in BMI correlated with the duration of hypertension in patients of group I (r=+0.32; p<0.05) and in patients of group III, with an increase in ABI (r=+0.52; p<0.05).

It was established that the decreasing of ABI in different age groups has its own interrelation features with the parameters of vascular stiffness. Thus, in the group of young persons, the ABI decreased in the presence of higher level of SBP and cSBP (r=-0.44 and r=–0.36, respectively; p<0.05), and in the elderly, with an increase in PWV (r=-0.57; p<0.05), as well with decrease of RT (r=+0.64; p<0.05). While value of PWV in patients of group III associated with a longer history of hypertension (r=+0.33; p<0.05).

Correlation analysis of the obtained data with the EchoCG parameters has showed that in group I an increase in BMI was associated with an increase in LVPW thickness and LVMMI²,7 (r=+0.40 r=+0.37, respectively; p<0.05). Decrease in LVEF was associated with age and increasing of BMI only in group II patients (r=−0.31 and r=−0.33; p<0.05).
The structural characteristics of the left ventricle by EchoCG data

| Parameter | LVPWT, sm | IVST, Sm | LVMMI, g/m² | LVMMI 2.7, g/height² |
|-----------|-----------|----------|-------------|----------------------|
| I group   | 1.00      | 1.30     | 100.75      | 44.11                |
|           | (0.98; 1.16) | (1.20; 1.40) | (87.80; 118.91) | (37.02; 54.15) |
| II group  | 1.00      | 1.35     | 108.8       | 52.75                |
|           | (0.92; 1.11) | (1.30; 1.50) | (102.20; 120.56)* | (47.48; 58.13)* |
| III group | 1.0       | 1.37     | 110.50      | 50.92                |
|           | (0.95; 1.17) | (1.20; 1.50) | (101.74; 129.49) | (46.41; 61.70)* |

Notes: * - p<0.05 between the groups I and II; $ - p<0.05 between the groups I and III; & - p<0.05 between the groups II and III.

Analysis of correlations between the parameters of EchoCG and the characteristics of the elastic properties of the vascular wall revealed that only in group I LVMMI and LVMMI 2.7 were associated with the level of SBP (r=+0.34 and r=+0.35 respectively; p<0.05), DBP (r=+0.49 and r=+0.42 respectively; p<0.05), cSBP (r=+0.45 and r=+0.42 respectively; p<0.05), AmpBP (r=-0.39 and r=-0.31 respectively; p<0.05) and AI (r=+0.38; p<0.05 and r=+0.33; p<0.05 by groups respectively).

CONCLUSION
1. In the context of modern requirements for the personification management of each individual case of hypertension, relying on modern international guidelines and diagnostic possibilities in
today's hypertensiology, it is necessary to take into account the peculiarities of hypertension in patients of different age groups.

2. Thereby, among younger patients the AmpBP level and PWV value are more sensitive indicators of vascular stiffness and for the elderly – the cSBP level and ABI.

3. We have established that for a personalized approach in patients of young age with hypertension, reference values of cSBP adjusted for age should be taken into account, and in elderly people – reference values of cSBP adjusted for degree of hypertension. For the middle-aged group all indicators of the elastic properties of the vascular wall have the same diagnostic significance.

4. For the early detection of patients with a high risk of subclinical target organ damage, especially among young patients, not only the pathologically increased level of PWV should be considered but also the age reference values of PWV.

5. Particular attention should be paid to young patients with overweight and obesity, because it is exactly this age category that has a relationship between the increase in PWV adjusted for age and an increase in BMI.

6. Not only elevated level of SBP and DBP, but also an increased cSBP level, AI value, and pathological decrease of AmpBP influenced the formation of LVH among young people.

7. Only in young patients with AH, the LVMMI_{2,7} increasing is associated with an increasing in BMI.
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