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Abstract

With the increasing demand for quality control in the traditional Chinese medicine industry, there is a need for the development of quality markers and a quick, non-destructive technique for the discrimination of related species. In our previous study, ultra-performance liquid chromatography (UPLC) was used for the simultaneous determination of five compounds, including three alkaloids (nitidine chloride, chelerythrine, and magnoflorine), one flavonoid (aurantiamarin), and one lignan (sesamin). In this study, the simultaneous quantification of the above-mentioned compounds could be used to discriminate the powders of roots from those of stems. To further test the reliability of the five compounds, seventy-two batches of wild and seventy-five batches of cultivated *Z. nitidum* samples collected from Guangdong, Guangxi, and Fujian provinces in China were analyzed by UPLC and near-infrared spectroscopy (NIRS). In general, the quantitative results of UPLC were consistent with those of NIRS, and cultivated *Z. nitidum* has similar major bioactive compounds as the wild one, as supported by principal component analysis. Consequently, these five major bioactive compounds are suggested as potential quality markers. In addition, the NIRS method with discriminant analysis successfully differentiated *Z. nitidum* from three related species (*Z. avicennae*, *Z. scandens* and *Toddalia asiatica*) of the Rutaceae family. In summary, this study provides a method for the rapid identification of *Z. nitidum* and discrimination of root and stem powders, and suggests five compounds as quality markers for the evaluation of *Z. nitidum*.

Introduction

*Zanthoxylum nitidum* (Roxb.) DC. is a widely used medicinal plant distributed in southern China, southeast Asia, and Australia [1, 2]. In the Chinese Pharmacopoeia 2020 edition, the dried roots of *Z. nitidum* are recorded as *Zanthoxyl Radix* and are mainly used for traumatic...
injury, stomachache, toothache, rheumatic arthralgia, snakebite, and burns [3]. In India, different parts of the plant are traditionally used for medicinal purposes [4]. Modern pharmacological studies have shown that Z. nitidum has anti-inflammatory [5], anti-nociceptive, and other activities [2].

Z. nitidum is an evergreen woody climber with a height of 1–2 m, with an ovoid leaf that has a nail-like thorn along the vein (Fig 1A). Z. nitidum has a corymbothrysus and flowers from March to May (Fig 1B). The fruiting period is typically from September to November (Fig 1C). Hook-like prickles are present on the stems (Fig 1D). The dried roots of Z. nitidum are harvested and widely used in Chinese medicine. The correct use of plant sources is very important for therapeutic approaches. However, the roots of Z. avicennae, Z. scandens and Toddalia asiatica in S1 Fig (see Supplementary material) from the Rutaceae family have appeared in herb markets, leading to confusion. This has attracted our attention for quality control of Z. nitidum.

Fig 1. Morphology of Zanthoxylum nitidum. A. Opposite leaflet blades, two sides of leaves with prickles; B. Flowers 4-merous, peranth in 2 series; C. Fruit pedicel 2–5 mm, reddish-brown follicles; D. Nail-like, prickly stem; E. The branch root, main root, and the part connecting the root and stem from left to right; F. Slices of dried roots of Z. nitidum.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0270315.g001
At present, more than 150 chemical constituents have been isolated and identified from *Z. nitidum*, most of which include alkaloids [6], coumarins, lignans, flavonoids, terpenes, steroids, and alkylamides. There are 88 alkaloids and associated glycosides identified in *Z. nitidum*, and 52 benzophenanthridine alkaloids represent the most important alkaloids. Moreover, four aporphine alkaloids, four flavonoids, and fifteen lignans have been identified in *Z. nitidum* [6]. In our previous study, an ultra-performance liquid chromatography (UPLC) system was developed for the simultaneous quantification of the five most abundant compounds (three alkaloids, one flavonoid, and one lignan) in *Z. nitidum*: namely, nitidine chloride, chelerythrine, magnoline, aurantiamarin (also called hesperidin), and sesamin [7]. According to the literature, both stem and root samples contain alkaloids; however, the root has stronger anti-inflammatory activity than the stem [8]. Whether these five compounds could be used as quality markers for the discrimination of root and stem powders remains uninvestigated.

Near-infrared spectroscopy (NIRS) is currently applied in multiple industries because of its advantages, such as being rapid (some measurements are in the millisecond range), non-destructive, low-cost, and simple to use. Since the late 1980s, NIRS has been used as a continuous monitoring and process control tool for food, agriculture, and pharmaceutical industries [9, 10]. Rapid discrimination of *Flos Mume* [11], *Gentiana* [12], *Chrysanthemum* [13], *Digitalis purpurea* [14], and their related species using NIRS has been shown to be feasible. However, a method for the rapid identification of *Z. nitidum* and discrimination from its confused species from the same family needs to be developed.

Excessive exploitation and destruction of natural habitats have sharply reduced the natural sources of *Z. nitidum* [15]. Thus, *Z. nitidum* has been cultivated in some counties of Guangdong province to conserve *Z. nitidum* resources. Currently, there are no known methods and quality markers to evaluate cultivated *Z. nitidum*. In this study, 75 cultivated and 72 wild samples of *Z. nitidum* were collected and evaluated using UPLC. Then, a rapid quantitative analysis of the five major bioactive compounds was performed using NIRS.

Collectively, the three alkaloids combined with one flavonoid and one lignan can be used as potential markers for distinguishing the authenticity of *Z. nitidum*. In this study, quantified profiling and NIRS were employed to evaluate the quality of the roots and stems of *Z. nitidum*, roots of *Z. nitidum* and its related species, and cultivated vs wild resources using those five compounds.

**Materials and methods**

**Sample preparation**

Fresh root samples from 72 batches of wild and 75 batches of cultivated *Z. nitidum* were collected from Guangdong, Guangxi, and Fujian provinces in China (Fig 1). The roots and stems of seven cultivated *Z. nitidum* samples were collected from three counties in the Guangdong province. In addition, fifteen batches of *Z. nitidum*, five batches of *Zanthoxylum avicennae*, five batches of *Zanthoxylum scandens* and five batches of *Toddalia asiatica* root samples were collected from Guangdong and Guangxi provinces in China for discrimination and identification. All samples were authenticated by Prof. Dongming Ma and deposited at the College of Forestry Engineering of Shandong Agriculture and Engineering University. The branch root, main root, and the part connecting the root and stem are shown in Fig 1E (from left to right). Slices of dried roots of *Z. nitidum* are shown in Fig 1F. After air-drying in the sun, the dried samples were crushed and sifted through a 60-mesh sieve.

**NIR spectroscopic data collection**

The NIR spectra of the samples were collected at 8 cm⁻¹ intervals over the spectral region of 4,000–10,000 cm⁻¹ using an Antaris MXFT-NIR System (Thermo Scientific, Madison, WI,
USA) equipped with a hand-held optical fiber reflectance adapter. Each spectrum was obtained by averaging 64 scans. All samples were allowed to equilibrate to room temperature (25˚C) before NIR spectral scanning to ensure that the samples were analyzed at the same temperature. The humidity in the laboratory was maintained at an ambient level.

**UPLC data collection**

The samples were extracted with 100 mL methanol in a conical flask under heating reflux for 90 min. The extracts were then stored at 4˚C. The supernatant of the extract was filtered to obtain the sample for UPLC analysis.

An improved method for the simultaneous determination of five chemical compounds using UPLC was developed in our research, which has been briefly described in reference [7]. Chromatographic analysis was performed using a Waters ACQUITY UPLC H-CLASS system. An HSS T3 column (150 mm × 2.1 mm, 1.8 μm) was used for chromatographic separation was maintained at 35 ± 5˚C. The mobile phase consisted of acetonitrile (A) and 0.5% aqueous formic acid (B, adjusted to a pH of 5.0, with ammonium hydroxide) and was delivered following the following gradient program: 0–1 min, linear gradient of 10–20% A; 1–5 min, 10–18% A; 5–16 min, 18–28% A; 16–27 min, 28–36% A; 27–35 min, 36–60% A; 35–40 min, 60–70% A; 40–40.1 min, 70–0% A; and 40.1–42 min, 0% A. The flow rate of the mobile phase was 0.4 mL/min with an injection volume of 2 μL. UV monitoring was performed at 273 nm.

**Data processing**

TQ Analyst (version 8.0, Thermo Scientific, Madison, WI, USA) was used to divide the calibration and validation sets, mathematically pretreat the spectra, establish the calibration models, and perform other computations. Origin (version 9.1) was used to generate figures.

**Results and discussion**

**UPLC analysis of five major bioactive compounds in root and stem**

Nitidine chloride, a benzophenanthridine alkaloid which is the main biologically active constituent in Z. nitidum, had a root/stem content ratio ranging from 1.71 to 5.86, with a mean of 2.83 (Table 1). Chelerythrine, another benzophenanthridine alkaloid, had a larger variation of root/stem ratio than that of nitidine chloride, ranging from 1.32 to 18.5, and a mean of 3.86.

| Origin          | nitidine chloride | chelerythrine | magnoflorine | aurantiamarin | sesamin |
|-----------------|------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|---------|
|                 | root             | stem          | r/s          | root          | stem    | r/s    | root        | stem          | r/s    | root        | stem          | r/s    |
| Dianbai, Guangdong | 1.78            | 0.76          | 2.34         | 2.54          | 0.29    | 8.76   | 3.99        | 1.64          | 2.44   | 1.67        | 3.18          | 0.53   | 0.66        | 0.47          | 1.40   |
| Gaozhou, Guangdong | 0.63            | 0.13          | 4.85         | 0.74          | 0.04    | 18.5   | 4.67        | 1.45          | 3.21   | 1.83        | 1.37          | 1.34   | 0.58        | 0.28          | 2.07   |
| Gaozhou, Guangdong | 1.29            | 0.22          | 5.86         | 2.09          | 1.58    | 1.32   | 3.43        | 1.43          | 2.39   | 1.48        | 1.54          | 0.96   | 0.71        | 0.37          | 1.92   |
| Yuntan, Guangdong | 1.06            | 0.34          | 3.12         | 1.77          | 0.16    | 11.06  | 2.24        | 1.61          | 1.4    | 2.9         | 1.07          | 2.71   | 0.82        | 0.78          | 1.05   |
| Yuntan, Guangdong | 0.80            | 0.39          | 2.05         | 0.99          | 0.16    | 6.19   | 3.03        | 1.86          | 1.63   | 3.09        | 3.96          | 0.78   | 0.71        | 0.60          | 1.18   |
| Yuntan, Guangdong | 1.51            | 0.4           | 3.78         | 2.36          | 0.18    | 13.11  | 2.38        | 1.27          | 1.87   | 3.81        | 2.83          | 1.35   | 0.53        | 0.36          | 1.47   |
| Yuntan, Guangdong | 1.13            | 0.66          | 1.71         | 1.08          | 0.59    | 1.83   | 4.62        | 2.53          | 1.83   | 3.65        | 5.57          | 0.66   | 0.72        | 0.73          | 0.99   |
| Mean (n = 7)    | 1.17            | 0.41          | 2.83         | 1.65          | 0.43    | 3.86   | 3.48        | 1.68          | 2.07   | 2.63        | 2.79          | 0.94   | 0.68        | 0.51          | 1.32   |
| SD              | 0.4             | 0.23          | 1.54         | 0.72          | 0.54    | 6.18   | 0.99        | 0.42          | 0.61   | 0.97        | 1.62          | 0.74   | 0.1         | 0.19          | 0.42   |

*P < 0.05, compared to the stem using Student’s t test.
r/s: root-to-stem content ratio. SD: standard deviation.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0270315.t001
Magnoflorine, an important quaternary aporphine alkaloid, had a moderate variation between root and stem, ranging from 1.4 to 3.21 mg/g, with a mean of 2.07 (Table 1). The content of the three alkaloids in the root was more than 2-fold of that in the stem.

Interestingly, the root/stem aurantiamarin content ratio was irregular; that is, the content in some root samples was higher than that in the stems, while content in some root samples was lower than that in the stems. The mean root/stem ratio of aurantiamarin was 0.94 (Table 1). Sesamin was more abundant in most root samples than in the stems; one exception was the sample from Yuntan, Guangdong, where the root/stem ratio was close to 1. The mean root/stem ratio of sesamin was 1.32 (Table 1). Principal component analysis (PCA) was performed to obtain a global view of the four metabolite profile differentiations in samples from the two tissues, and the results showed a separation between root and stem samples (S2 Fig). Collectively, a comprehensive comparison of the above four major bioactive compounds, excluding aurantiamarin, can discriminate root and stem samples.

*Z. nitidum* is a perennial medicinal plant harvested throughout the year, with the overground parts removed after 5–6 years of growth. The underground roots are used as the medicinal part based on the Pharmacopoeia of the People’s Republic of China [6]. In a study on the determination of nitidine chloride in different parts of *Z. nitidum*, it was found that the root contained 0.15% nitidine chloride and the old branch contained 0.06% [16]. The fact that the content in roots is 2.5-fold that in stems is comparable with the mean root/stem content ratio (2.8) in our study (Table 1). It is noticeable that some root samples from Gaozhou and Yuntan have comparable content to that of the stem. Thus, if only nitidine chloride is used as an indicator, it is difficult to discriminate between root and stem slices or powders. Both roots and stems of *Z. nitidum* have reported anti-inflammatory activity, with roots having stronger activity than stems [17]. Moreover, polar extracts of *Z. nitidum* roots and stems have different antibacterial activities [18]. To prevent the misuse of roots and stems, nitidine chloride coupled with two other alkaloids and one lignan is suggested as a quality marker for the correct use of *Z. nitidum*.

**Application of NIR spectroscopy for the discrimination of *Z. nitidum* from its confused species**

NIR spectroscopy is a rapid, powerful, non-destructive, and low-cost alternative with significant practical advantages compared to conventional methods of analysis [19–21]. Herein, NIR spectroscopy was used to rapidly distinguish *Z. nitidum* from its related species.

Discriminant analysis, distance matching, and quality control (QC) compare search can be used to identify the spectrum of an unknown sample by comparing it to multiple types of standard samples. Discriminant analysis was used in this study. The optimum selection of wavebands for the calibration models of identification was 4,119.21–9,881.46 cm⁻¹. The mahalanobis distances to the four species were obtained from the computational simulations by TQ Analyst software using raw spectrum with no pre-treatment (Table 2). Minimum mahalanobis distance was selected as the predicted species. The rate of consistency of identification by detecting classical taxonomy morphology was 100%, indicating very good reliability.

Some plants of the Rutaceae family have been reported to contain nitidine chloride. For example, *Zanthoxylum rubescens* contains nitidine chloride and chelerythrine [22]. Magnoflorine and nitidine chloride have been detected in *Zanthoxylum scandens* [23]. *Toddalia asiatica* from the Rutaceae family contains nitidine chloride, magnoflorine and chelerythrine [24]. However, unlike *Z. nitidum*, *T. asiatica* does not contain sesamin. Except for the qualitative chemical properties, the quantitative concentrations of the five major bioactive compounds among *Z. nitidum* and three confused species were also distinctive. This might make NIRS
suitable for a rapid and cost-effective method for identifying *Z. nitidum*. NIRS has the potential to differentiate *Z. nitidum*, *Z. avicennae*, *Z. scandens* and *Toddalia asiatica* from the Rutaceae family.

Quality markers tested in cultivated and wild *Z. nitidum* using UPLC

The range of nitidine chloride concentration of cultivated plants from 4 counties in Guangdong Province was 0.27 to 2.48 mg/g (Fig 2 and Table 3). In fact, these four cultivated species were the counterparts of wild plants from the same county. For wild *Z. nitidum*, except for 4 counties in Guangdong province, plants from three counties in Guangdong province, six counties in Guangxi province and two counties in Fujian province were harvested and subjected to UPLC analysis. The concentration of nitidine chloride from wild plants from these 15 counties ranged from 0.19 to 3.47 mg/g. Chelerythrine had a more varied concentration in a

---

Table 2. Validation of the results of mahalanobis distance in the false identification model.

| No. | Distance to *Z. nitidum* | Distance to *Z. avicennae* | Distance to *Z. scandens* | Distance to *T. asiatica* | Predicted species | True or False |
|-----|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|--------------|
| 1   | 3.3462                   | 5.2485                    | 5.6797                  | 5.5248                   | *Z. nitidum*     | True         |
| 2   | 0.6925                   | 1.7914                    | 2.2175                  | 1.7464                   | *Z. nitidum*     | True         |
| 3   | 0.7370                   | 1.7700                    | 2.4403                  | 2.1017                   | *Z. nitidum*     | True         |
| 4   | 1.0561                   | 2.5509                    | 3.1498                  | 2.8673                   | *Z. nitidum*     | True         |
| 5   | 2.0518                   | 2.6290                    | 2.2601                  | 2.2123                   | *Z. nitidum*     | True         |
| 6   | 1.2334                   | 2.0760                    | 2.1563                  | 1.5464                   | *Z. nitidum*     | True         |
| 7   | 1.5442                   | 2.4957                    | 2.3390                  | 2.1846                   | *Z. nitidum*     | True         |
| 8   | 0.8631                   | 1.9857                    | 2.5159                  | 1.9877                   | *Z. nitidum*     | True         |
| 9   | 1.7711                   | 2.8211                    | 3.1405                  | 2.6548                   | *Z. nitidum*     | True         |
| 10  | 1.4613                   | 3.2957                    | 3.7207                  | 3.6664                   | *Z. nitidum*     | True         |
| 11  | 1.4194                   | 1.9444                    | 2.2396                  | 2.2904                   | *Z. nitidum*     | True         |
| 12  | 1.5750                   | 2.8132                    | 3.1744                  | 2.9642                   | *Z. nitidum*     | True         |
| 13  | 0.9634                   | 1.5240                    | 2.2830                  | 2.0205                   | *Z. nitidum*     | True         |
| 14  | 1.0252                   | 2.7622                    | 3.1876                  | 2.6012                   | *Z. nitidum*     | True         |
| 15  | 1.0922                   | 2.9623                    | 3.2503                  | 2.6864                   | *Z. nitidum*     | True         |
| 16  | 2.1897                   | 0.1278                    | 1.0214                  | 1.2258                   | *Z. avicennae*   | True         |
| 17  | 2.2515                   | 0.1261                    | 0.9847                  | 1.2582                   | *Z. avicennae*   | True         |
| 18  | 2.0943                   | 0.2228                    | 1.1771                  | 1.4398                   | *Z. avicennae*   | True         |
| 19  | 2.2045                   | 0.1329                    | 1.1638                  | 1.4237                   | *Z. avicennae*   | True         |
| 20  | 2.1946                   | 0.0352                    | 1.0593                  | 1.2845                   | *Z. avicennae*   | True         |
| 21  | 2.6312                   | 1.0672                    | 0.0910                  | 1.0188                   | *Z. scandens*    | True         |
| 22  | 2.7854                   | 1.1624                    | 0.2034                  | 1.0819                   | *Z. scandens*    | True         |
| 23  | 3.0363                   | 1.5039                    | 0.6589                  | 1.0552                   | *Z. scandens*    | True         |
| 24  | 2.6871                   | 1.1646                    | 0.1166                  | 1.0056                   | *Z. scandens*    | True         |
| 25  | 2.5875                   | 1.0181                    | 0.1596                  | 1.1162                   | *Z. scandens*    | True         |
| 26  | 2.4980                   | 1.4389                    | 1.0959                  | 0.1860                   | *T. asiatica*    | True         |
| 27  | 2.2745                   | 1.2361                    | 1.0167                  | 0.1906                   | *T. asiatica*    | True         |
| 28  | 2.6660                   | 1.5796                    | 1.1532                  | 0.3706                   | *T. asiatica*    | True         |
| 29  | 2.2884                   | 1.3185                    | 1.0725                  | 0.1224                   | *T. asiatica*    | True         |
| 30  | 2.4557                   | 1.3961                    | 1.0655                  | 0.1425                   | *T. asiatica*    | True         |

Mahalanobis distances to the four species were determined using the discriminant analysis method. The predicted species were determined according to the minimum mahalanobis distance. The "True or False" column shows the distinguished results between the real and predicted species.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0270315.t002
larger range than that of nitidine chloride. The mean concentration of chelerythrine in the cultivated and wild plants was 80% and 50% higher than that of nitidine chloride, respectively. The highest chelerythrine content was found in cultivated plants from Yuntan, Guangdong, up to 5.58 mg/g (Figs 2 and 3, Table 3). Magnoflorine had a comparable amount in cultivated and wild plants with that of chelerythrine; in contrast, the highest magnoflorine content was found in wild plants from Tiane, Guangxi, up to 6.05 mg/g (Figs 2 and 3, Table 3).

The mean content of aurantiamarin in cultivated plants was higher than that in wild plants; conversely, the mean content of the three above-mentioned alkaloids in wild plants was higher than that in cultivated plants (Figs 2 and 3, Table 3). Of five major bioactive compounds, the mean concentration of sesamin was the lowest, up to 0.48 and 0.36 mg/g in cultivated and wild plants, respectively (Table 3).

In general, the content of five major bioactive compounds in cultivated *Z. nitidum* was comparable with wild samples (Figs 2 and 3, Table 3), as supported by PCA analysis S3A Fig (see Supplementary material). The first two PCs [PC1 (39.0%) versus PC2 (30.3%)] and PC3 (19.2%) were distributed in a disorderly manner, where wild and cultivated samples did not cluster separately. These results, coupled with the discrimination of roots and stems with these

| Statistic          | nitrined chloride (mg/g) | chelerythrine (mg/g) | magnoflorine (mg/g) | aurantiamarin (mg/g) | sesamin (mg/g) |
|--------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|----------------|
|                    | cultivated (n = 75)      | wild (n = 72)        | cultivated (n = 75) | wild (n = 72)        | cultivated (n = 75) | wild (n = 72) |
| minimum            | 0.2682                   | 0.1936               | 0.1322              | 0.0033               | 0.8470          | 0.6885        |
| maximum            | 2.4819                   | 3.4671               | 5.5769              | 4.2251               | 4.8597          | 6.0478        |
| mean               | 1.1240                   | 1.4658               | 2.0249              | 2.1483               | 2.2592          | 2.3359        |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0270315.t003
five ingredients, suggest that nitidine chloride, chelerythrine, magnoflorine, aurantiamarin, and sesamin can act as markers for the quality control of *Z. nitidum*.

**Spectral features and establishment and spectral pre-treatment selection for the calibration models**

Here, NIR spectroscopy was examined as a possible alternative to UPLC for the analysis of five major bioactive compounds in *Z. nitidum* root powder. The spectra of the sample set of *Z. nitidum* plants are shown in Fig 4. Partial least squares regression (PLSR) is a classic modeling method that has been widely applied in quantitative models because of the high quality of its results. The advantages of PLSR include its good forecasting ability and relative simplicity. PLSR has also been widely applied to establish quantitative calibration models for traditional Chinese medicine [25]. PLSR explains the maximum amount of variability in the data by reducing the dimensionality of the spectral data by calculating the factors. Based on the pre-treated NIR spectra, an NIR quantitative analysis model for the five bioactive components in *Z. nitidum* was established using the PLSR with UPLC analysis data as the true values. The 147
samples were randomly divided into calibration and validation sets at a 3:1 ratio. The accuracy of the calibration models is influenced by light scattering, which introduces non-linearities that can significantly influence the spectra. Both are processes in which electromagnetic radiation is scattered. This problem is commonly resolved by preprocessing the spectra prior to the modeling step. The application of a scatter correction method to in-line processing of NIR spectra is ubiquitous; no exception has been found in the literature. In most cases, the choice of preprocessing method is empirical or arbitrary. The three most used scatter correction methods are multiplicative scatter correction (MSC), standard normal variate (SNV), and spectral derivatives [26, 27]. In this study, MSC and SNV were tested to reduce the effects of particle size.

Table 4 shows the spectral pre-treatment data and its results for the calibration models. The effects of SNV and MSC on the correlation coefficient of determination were optimized. Derivatives can remove both additive and multiplicative effects in the spectra and have been used in analytical spectroscopy for decades [26]. As shown in Table 4, the spectral derivatives have a significant impact on the R² and root mean square error of calibration (RMSEC) of the calibration models. To achieve the optimal predicted effect, spectral pre-treatment methods with the highest R² and lowest RMSEC were chosen for the calibration models. MSC and the first derivative (FD) are considered effective options for nitidine chloride, chelerythrine, magnoflorine, and aurantiamarin. For the desired effect, the spectra were smoothed using the

![Near infrared spectra of the Z. nitidum samples.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0270315.g004)
Savitzky Golay (SG) filter algorithm before derivation to prevent noise magnification. By comparing $R^2$, MSC-SD-SG was applied to build a calibration model for sesamin in *Z. nitidum*.

### Selection of the optimum number of factors for the calibration models

The 'underfittedness' problem is caused by insufficient information resulting from a limited number of factors; however, choosing more factors than the optimum values introduced in the model will bring about the 'overfittedness' problem. Either 'underfittedness' or 'overfittedness' will reduce the predictive power of the established models [28]. The optimum number of factors corresponding to the lowest RMSECV values was selected for the calibration models. The relationship between RMSECV and the factors for all five compounds is shown in Fig 5. Therefore, the optimum selection of factors for the calibration model of sesamin was eight, and the remaining four components were 10.

### Selection of the wave band for the calibration models

The spectral band between 4,000 and 10,000 cm$^{-1}$ has the advantages of abundant information and high intensity [29]. The software-optimized spectrum band is often intercepted for analysis because it avoids unnecessary interference effects of additional peaks. In many cases, the optimized band of the software cannot contain all the information of the compound to be simulated; therefore, RMSECV and $R_c^2$ are used to select the optimal band. Lower RMSECV and higher $R_c^2$ values indicate more reasonable band selection. According to RMSECV and $R_c^2$ shown in Table 5, the optimum wave bands for the calibration models of nitidine chloride, chelerythrine, magnoflorine, aurantiamarin, and sesamin were 4,200–4,600 cm$^{-1}$, 4,200–5,400 cm$^{-1}$, 4,000–6,000 cm$^{-1}$, 4,300–5,300 cm$^{-1}$, and 4,300–5,800 cm$^{-1}$, respectively.

### Evaluation of the established models

The calibration and predictive ability of the model were evaluated using $R^2$, RMSEC, RMSECV, and RMSEV [30], and the ratio of validation to deviation (RPD) was used as the
standard for evaluation of the established models [31]. The model was also cross-validated using UPLC (Fig 6).

The dataset of all 147 samples was divided into calibration and validation sets in a ratio of approximately 3 to 1 using the kennard-stone method. Based on the data treatment procedure and the largest absolute regression coefficient, the best predictive performance PLS models for each quality parameter were built, as shown in Table 5. The RMSEC values for the calibration models of nitidine chloride, chelerythrine, magnoflorine, aurantiamarin, and sesamin were 0.2660, 0.2860, 0.3180, 0.4050, and 0.0642, respectively. The correlation coefficient of determination in calibration ($R_c^2$) values between 0.94 and 0.97 of five compounds. The correlation coefficient of determination in validation ($R_v^2$) values of nitidine chloride, chelerythrine, magnoflorine, and aurantiamarin were greater than 0.90, the $R_v^2$ value of sesamin was 0.85. The RPD is defined as the ratio of the standard deviation of the response variable to RMSECV. The RPD values of chelerythrine and magnoflorinen were greater than 2.5 and 3, respectively, indicating good and excellent prediction accuracy. The RPD values of nitidine chloride and

![Graph](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0270315.g005)

**Fig 5. Effect of different principal factors on RMSECV of the calibration set. A. nitidine chloride, chelerythrine, magnoflorine and aurantiamarin; B. sesamin.**

Table 5. Calibration and validation results for quality parameters of *Z. nitidum* using PLS models.

| Compound       | Wave Band cm$^{-1}$ | Pre-treatment spectral | Calibration set | Cross-validation | Validation set | RPD |
|----------------|----------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|-----|
|                |                      |                        | RMSEC | $R_c^2$ | RMSECV | $R_v^2$ | RMSEV | $R_v^2$ |       |
| nitidine chloride | 4200–4600           | MSC-FD                 | 0.266  | 0.9394 | 0.433  | 0.8353  | 0.279  | 0.9075  | 2.1878 |
| chelerythrine   | 4200–5400           | MSC-FD                 | 0.286  | 0.9707 | 0.488  | 0.9137  | 0.449  | 0.9391  | 2.7565 |
| magnoflorine    | 4000–6000           | MSC-FD                 | 0.318  | 0.9573 | 0.58   | 0.8536  | 0.5    | 0.9559  | 3.1062 |
| aurantiamarin   | 4300–5300           | MSC-FD                 | 0.405  | 0.9423 | 0.711  | 0.8173  | 0.758  | 0.9148  | 2.0405 |
| sesamin         | 4300–5800           | MSC-SD-SG              | 0.0642 | 0.9418 | 0.126  | 0.756   | 0.0542 | 0.8509  | 1.7291 |

RMSEC: root mean square error of calibration, $R_c^2$: correlation coefficient of determination in calibration, RMSECV: root mean square error of cross-validation, $R_v^2$: correlation coefficient of determination in cross-validation, RMSEV: root mean square error of validation, $R_v^2$: correlation coefficient of determination in validation, and RPD: ratio of validation to deviation.

[https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0270315.t005](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0270315.t005)
Fig 6. Scatter plots of measured and predicted values for the abundance of five constituents of _Zanthoxylum nitidum_ in the calibration and validation sets: A. nitidine chloride; B. chelerythrine; C. magnoflorine; D. aurantiamarin; E. sesamin. ◨ represents calibration; ◆ represents validation; The straight lines show the regressive curve with the equation $y = x$.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0270315.g006
aurantiamarin were between 2.0 and 2.5, indicating that semi-quantitative validations or rough estimations are possible. The RPD value of sesamin was 1.72, indicating that the model can discriminate low from high values of the response variable [32]. PCA analysis using NIRS-based analysis of five major bioactive compounds showed no difference with UPLC in the wild and cultivated samples (S3 Fig).

The accuracy of NIRS methods depends on the particle size, homogeneity, temperature, and presentation of the sample. The key to this problem is the choice of chemometric methods and spectral pre-treatment. Various chemometrics methods, such as PCA and PLS, have been employed extensively. Spectral pre-treatments, such as smoothing, derivative methods, MSC, SNV, centering methods, and normalization, aim to remove variations in spectra due to changes in the laboratory environment and sample.

Conclusions
Quantitative applications for the analysis of natural products have been reported. For alkaloids, there have been reported methods for the determination of three main xanthine alkaloids in roasted coffee, caffeine, theobromine and theophylline using PLS models based on NIR spectroscopy and HPLC [33]. In addition, isoquinoline alkaloids, such as berberine, jatrorrhizine, and palmatine, were analyzed simultaneously by NIR spectroscopy and HPLC to differentiate species of *Phellodendri cortex*, *Phellodendri chinense cortex* and *Phellodendri amurenensis cortex* [34]. Similarly, NIR spectroscopy was used to determine the berberine, palmatine, jatrorrhizine, and total alkaloid contents of coptis extracts [35]. However, methodologies for benzophenanthridine and aporphine-type alkaloids have not been investigated. In this study, the first application of NIRS to simultaneously analyze nitidine chloride, chelerythrine, and magnoflorine in *Z. nitidum* was reported, with good predictive results.

Five major bioactive compounds in *Z. nitidum* were simultaneously determined using NIRS. After spectral pre-treatments, PLS was utilized to build calibration models. The results suggest that each model was reliable. We verified the feasibility of the simultaneous determination of multiple compounds, particularly trace constituents, in a short time using NIRS. Collectively, quality control of *Z. nitidum* using five major compounds by UPLC coupled with NIRS has the potential to discriminate different tissue powders (e.g., root and stem) of *Z. nitidum* and make a quick distinction between *Z. nitidum* and its related species.

Supporting information
S1 Fig. Root morphological variation among *Z. nitidum* and its related species. The right represents *Z. nitidum*, while the left represents *T. asiatica*.
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S2 Fig. Principal Component Analysis for the four metabolites from root and stem tissues. The black circles represent root samples, while the red ones represent stem samples.

(SDOCX)

S3 Fig. PCA score 3D plot of cultivated and wild *Zanthoxylum nitidum* samples using ultra-high performance liquid chromatography (A) and near infrared spectroscopy (B). The black circles represent cultivated samples, while the red ones represent wild samples. 147 samples from different growth regions were used as contributes for PCA (S1 Table). The blue number represents the highest content of five major representative compounds from 147 samples detailed in S1 Table.
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S1 Table. Habitats, types and the bioactive compound content of 147 Z. nitidum samples using ultra-high performance liquid chromatography and near infrared spectroscopy.
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S2 Table. Calibration curves of the five major bioactive constituents of Z. nitidum.

(DOCX)

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Xinhong Wang, Dongming Ma.

Data curation: Jing Shu, Kai Zhang, Dongming Ma.

Investigation: Peng Wang.

Methodology: Xinhong Wang.

Resources: Weifeng Wei.

Software: Xinhong Wang, Qingwen Wu.

Visualization: Lulu Li, Xiaojun Ma.

Writing – original draft: Xinhong Wang, Qingwen Wu, Peng Wang, Yue Wang, Dongming Ma.

Writing – review & editing: Jing Shu, Dongming Ma.

References

1. Yang G.; Chen D. Alkaloids from the roots of Zanthoxylum nitidum and their antiviral and antifungal effects. Chem Biodivers. 2008; 5(9):1718–1722. https://doi.org/10.1002/cbdv.200890160 PMID: 18816524

2. Cui Y, Wu L, Cao R, Xu H, Xia J, Wang Z, et al. Antitumor functions and mechanisms of nitidine chloride in human cancers. J Cancer. 2020; 11 (5): 1250–1256. https://doi.org/10.7150/jca.37890 PMID: 31956371

3. N.P. Commission. Pharmacopoeia of the People’s Republic of China. China Medical Science and Technology Press: Beijing, China. 2020: 166–167.

4. Bhattacharya S, Zaman M. K, Haldar P. K Antibacterial activity of stem bark and root of Indian Zanthoxylum nitidum. Asian J Pharm Clin Res. 2009; 2(1):30–34. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/265032300.

5. Qin F, Zhang H, Liu A, Wang Q, Sun Q, Lu S, et al. Analgesic effect of Zanthoxylum nitidum extract in inflammatory pain models through targeting of ERK and NF-kappaB signaling. Front Pharmaco. 2019; 10:359. https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2019.00359 PMID: 31068805

6. Lu Q, Ma R, Yang Y, Mo Z, Pu X, Li C. Zanthoxylum nitidum (Roxb.) DC: Traditional uses, phytochemistry, pharmacological activities and toxicology. J Ethno pharmacol. 2020; 260:112946. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jep.2020.112946 PMID: 32492492

7. Wang X, Liang S, Ma D, Xu C, Liu H, Han Z, et al. Distribution survey, phytochemical and transcriptome analysis to identify candidate genes involved in biosynthesis of functional components in Zanthoxylum nitidum. Ind Crop Prod. 2020; 150:112345. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2020.112345.

8. Chen W, Qin Z.H, Zeng D, Han Z.Z, Zhan R.T, Tan Y, et al. Comparative study on effects of anti-contusion injury, analgesia and anti-inflammation of Root and Stem of Zanthoxylum nitidum. J Chin Med Mater. 2015; 38 (11): 2358–2363.

9. Huang H, Yu H, Xu H, Ying Y. Near infrared spectroscopy for on/in-line monitoring of quality in foods and beverages: A review. J Food Eng. 2008; 87 (3):303–313. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2007.12.022.

10. Ciurczak E W, Maldacker T A. Determination of enantiomeric purity of valine by near infrared analysis. Spectroscopy. 1986; 1(1): 36–39.
11. Yan H, Pu Z, Wang Y, Guo S, Wang T, Li S, et al. Rapid qualitative identification and quantitative analysis of Flos Mume based on Fourier transform near infrared spectroscopy. Spectrochim Acta A. 2021; 49:119344. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.saa.2020.119344 PMID: 33360057

12. Shen T, Yu H, Wang Y Z. Discrimination of Gentiana and its related species using IR spectroscopy combined with feature selection and stacked generalization. Molecules. 2020; 25(6):1442. https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules25061442 PMID: 32210010

13. Han B, Yan H, Chen C, Yao H, Dai J, Chen N. A rapid identification of four medicinal Digitalis purpurea using near-infrared reflectance spectroscopy. J Pharm Pharmacol. 2000; 52(10): 1271–1277. https://doi.org/10.1211/0022357001777252 PMID: 11092572

14. Kudo M, Watt R A, Moffat A C. Rapid identification of Digitalis purpurea using near-infrared reflectance spectroscopy. J Pharm Pharmacol. 2000; 52(10): 1271–1277. https://doi.org/10.1211/0022357001777252 PMID: 11092572

15. Peng Z H, Wu M H, Xie Z J, Yang X B, Lai M X. Investigation of wild resource of Kudo M, Watt R A, Moffat A C. Rapid identification of Digitalis purpurea using near-infrared reflectance spectroscopy. J Pharm Pharmacol. 2000; 52(10): 1271–1277. https://doi.org/10.1211/0022357001777252 PMID: 11092572

16. Feng J, Zhou J F, Qin F J, Liang C H, Lai M X, Zhang H Y. Studies on the anti-inflammatory and analgesic activities of different polarities from the roots and stems of Zanthoxylum nitidum (Roxb.) DC. Pharm Clin Chin Mater Med. 2011; 27(6):60–63.

17. Huang Y L, Feng J, Wang H H, Lai M X. Studies on the anti-bacterial activity of different polarities from the roots and stems of Zanthoxylum nitidum DC. Pharm Clin Chin Mater Med. 2013; 29 (1):103–105.

18. Zhang S, Yao Y, Liu C. Determination of nitidine in different parts of Zanthoxylum nitidum. J Chin Med Mater. 2001; 24(9): 649–650. PMID: 11799776

19. Feng J, Zhou J F, Qin F J, Liang C H, Lai M X, Zhang H Y. Studies on the anti-inflammatory and analgesic activities of different polarities from the roots and stems of Zanthoxylum nitidum (Roxb.) DC. Pharm Clin Chin Mater Med. 2011; 27(6):60–63.

20. Qian J, Zhou G, Hou J, Zhang C, Xia D. Divalent europium-doped near-infrared-emitting phosphor for light-emitting diodes. Nat Commun. 2019; 10 (1):5267. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-13293-0

21. Beč K. B, Grabiska J, Huck C. W. NIR spectroscopy of natural medicines supported by novel instrumental and methods for data analysis and interpretation. J Pharm Biomed Anal. 2021; 193:113686. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpba.2020.113686 PMID: 33142115

22. Moody J. O, Sofowora A. Leaf Alkaloids of Zanthoxylum rubescens. Planta Med. 1984; 50 (1): 101–103. https://doi.org/10.1055/s-2007-969634 PMID: 17340264

23. Nguyen Q. A, Van-Dufat H. T, Michel S, Tillequin, Dumontet V, Sénèvet T. A new phenylpropanoid ester from the bark of Zanthoxylum scandens (Rutaceae). Z Naturforsch C. 2002; 57 (11–12): 986–989. https://doi.org/10.1515/znc-2002-11-1205 PMID: 12562081

24. Zeng Z, Tian R, Feng J, Yang N. A, Yuan L. A systematic review on traditional medicine Toddalia asiatica (L.) Lam.: Chemistry and medicinal potential. Saudi Pharm J. 2021; 29 (8):781–798. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsps.2021.05.003 PMID: 34408540

25. Yan S. M, Liu J. P, Xu, Fu X. S, Cui H F, Yun Z. Y, et al. Rapid discrimination of the geographical origins of an oolong tea (anxi-tieguanyin) by near-infrared spectroscopy and partial least squares discriminant analysis. J Anal Methods Chem. 2014 (2014):704971. https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/704971 PMID: 25054075

26. Rinnan A, Van Den Berg F, Engelsen S B. Review of the most common pre-processing techniques for near-infrared spectra. Trac-Trend Anal Chem. 2009; 28 (10):1201–1222. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2009.07.007.

27. Bogomolov A, Mannhardt J, Heinzerling O. Accuracy improvement of in-line near-Infrared spectroscopic moisture monitoring in a fluidized bed drying process. Front Chem. 2018; 6:388. https://doi.org/10.3389/fchem.2018.00388 PMID: 30364152

28. Li W, Wang Y, Qu H. Near infrared spectroscopy as a tool for the rapid analysis of the Honeysuckle extracts. Vib Spectrosc. 2012; 62:159–164. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vibspec.2012.07.005.

29. Meng Y, Wang S, Cai R, Jiang B, Zhao W. Discrimination and content analysis of fritillaria using near infrared spectroscopy. J Anal Methods Chem. 2015; 752162. https://doi.org/10.1155/2015/752162 PMID: 25789196

30. Ziegel E R. A user-friendly guide to multivariate calibration and classification. Technometrics. 2004; 46 (1):108–110. https://doi.org/10.1198/000149904000000167

31. Li M, Lv W, Zhao R, Guo H, Li J, Han D. Non-destructive assessment of quality parameters in ‘Friar’ plums during low temperature storage using visible/near infrared spectroscopy. Food Control. 2017; 73:1334–1341. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2016.10.054.
32. Nicolai B M, Beullens K, Bobelyn E, Peirs A, Saeys W, Theron K. I, et al. Nondestructive measurement of fruit and vegetable quality by means of NIR spectroscopy: A review. Postharvest Biol Tec. 2007; 46 (2):99–118. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.postharvbio.2007.06.024.

33. Huck C W, Guggenbichler W, Bonn G K. Analysis of caffeine, theobromine and theophylline in coffee by near infrared spectroscopy (NIRS) compared to high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) coupled to mass spectrometry. Anal Chimi Acta. 2005; 538 (1–2):195–203. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aca.2005.01.064.

34. Chan C O, Chu C C, Mok D K W., Chau F T. Analysis of berberine and total alkaloid content in cortex phellodendi by near infrared spectroscopy (NIRS) compared with high-performance liquid chromatography coupled with ultra-visible spectrometric detection. Anal Chim Acta. 2007; 592 (2):121–131. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aca.2007.04.016 PMID: 17512816

35. Qu H B, Liu Q, Cheng Y Y. Determination of the Coptis extracts alkaloids using near infrared diffuse reflectance spectroscopy. Chinese J Anal Chem. 2004; 32(4):477–480.