Effect of whey protein supplementation after resistance exercise on the muscle mass and physical function of healthy older women: A randomized controlled trial
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Methods: We carried out a randomized controlled trial, with 81 healthy women, aged 65–80 years, allocated to three groups of 27 participants each: the exercise and protein supplementation group, the exercise only group, and the protein supplementation only group. A 24-week program of resistance exercise, carried out twice per week, was combined with whey protein supplementation, containing 22.3 g of protein. The total protein intake for participants in all three experimental groups was adjusted to a level of at least 1.2 g/kg bodyweight/day, and more during the intervention period. Between-group differences in the pre- to post-intervention change in skeletal muscle mass and physical function were evaluated using an analysis of variance.

Results: The pre- to post-intervention increase in the skeletal muscle mass index was significantly higher for the exercise only group than for the protein supplementation only group (P = 0.008), and significantly higher for the exercise and protein supplementation group than for either the exercise only (P = 0.007) or protein supplementation only (P < 0.001) groups. Similarly, the increase in grip strength and gait speed was significantly greater for the exercise and protein supplementation group than for the protein supplementation only group (grip strength P = 0.014, gait speed P = 0.026).

Conclusions: Whey protein supplementation, ingested after resistance exercise, could be effective for the prevention of sarcopenia among healthy community-dwelling older Japanese women. Geriatr Gerontol Int 2018; 18: 1398–1404.
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Introduction

Sarcopenia is defined as an age-related decrease in skeletal muscle mass and physical function.1 Among older Japanese individuals, the risk for falls is higher among women than men, with a decrease in physical function being a further risk factor for falls.2

The postprandial muscle protein synthesis response is modulated by the type and amount of total protein of the supplement.3 There is current evidence of a positive effect of a supplementation of approximately 20–25 g of whey protein or daily total protein intake of at least 1.2 g/kg bodyweight/day during resistance exercise training in older individuals.4–8 Leucine contained in whey protein has been reported to stimulate muscle protein synthesis by activating the mammalian target of the rapamycin signaling pathway.4–8 As muscle protein synthesis peaks after exercise, followed by a gradual time-dependent decrease, providing whey protein after exercise in a supplement form that is quickly digested and absorbed could be important in optimizing muscle protein accumulation.5,10 However, previous studies have not identified a benefit of protein supplementation after resistance exercise in enhancing muscle mass among older individuals.11 In these studies, the effect of combining resistance exercise to whey protein supplementation was not evaluated, using a meal intake in which the total daily protein intake amount was at least 1.2 g/kg bodyweight/day. Therefore, the aim of the present study was to specifically evaluate the effect of a whey protein supplement, ingested after resistance exercise, in a nutritional state in which total energy and protein intake was maintained above the recommended amount, on the muscle mass and physical function among community-dwelling healthy older Japanese women, using a randomized controlled design.

Methods

Participants

Participants were 200 community-dwelling older women, aged 65–80 years, from the Higashi-harima community in Hyogo, Japan. This study was approved by the ethics committee of Hyogo University. The trial was registered at the University Hospital Medical Information Network (UMIN000023713).

Sample size was calculated to detect an increase in leg muscle strength of 3.3 kg (standard deviation 1.5 kg) during nutritional supplementation, combined with exercise, in older individuals compared with exercise alone.12 Assuming a similar response to supplementation in the present study, identified using a repeated measures analysis of variance (ANOVA), at a power of 80% with alpha set at 0.05 (2-tailed), 19 individuals were to be included in each group. To be conservative and to allow for a potential drop-out rate of 25%, we aimed to enroll 27 individuals per group.

Using the recommendation from the PROT-AGE study group of a total protein intake of at least 1.2 g/kg bodyweight/day, with a higher concentration during resistance exercise training, as a baseline reference, participants completed a dietary survey to...
screen for daily protein ingestion. Based on the results of this dietary survey, 28 participants were excluded due to a daily protein intake <1.2 g/kg/day, with another 46 participants excluded due to an intake >1.3 g/kg/day. In addition, 45 participants with a history of sarcopenia, obesity, type 2 diabetes or kidney disease, within 1-year of the intervention, were excluded. Written informed consent was obtained from the remaining 81 participants enrolled in the trial.

The 81 healthy older participants were allocated to three groups, each with 27 participants: the exercise and protein (EX + PRO) group, the exercise only (EX) group, and the protein only (PRO) group. A stratified randomization strategy was used for group allocation to achieve a comparable age distribution among the groups, using a single blind method. None of the participants had taken part in a structured program of resistance exercise within 5 years before the study intervention.

**Intervention design**

The EX + PRO intervention consisted of ingestion of a whey protein supplement after the resistance exercise program. Effects of the EX + PRO intervention were evaluated against the EX group (control group 1), in which participants completed the same exercise program, but without protein supplementation, and the PRO group (control group 2), in which participants ingested the same protein supplement, but without prior exercise. The intervention period was 24 weeks, with participants completing their assigned protocol twice a week.

**Measurement of body composition**

The body mass index was calculated by dividing bodyweight (kg) by height squared (kg/m²). Body fat percentage and upper and lower limb muscle mass were evaluated using multifrequency bioelectrical impedance (In-Body bioelectrical impedance analyzer; Bio Space, Seoul, Korea).

**Measurement of physical functions**

The following measures of physical function were evaluated: grip strength, knee extension strength and gait speed. Grip and knee extension strength were measured by handheld dynamometry (T.K.K5401; Takei Instruments, Tokyo, Japan; μ-tus F-100; ANIMA, Tokyo, Japan). Gait speed was measured in time units (0.01 s), using a stopwatch. Sarcopenia was calculated using the skeletal muscle mass index (SMI), physical function parameters, and the diagnostic algorithm and cut-off values defined by the Asian Working Group for Sarcopenia. Blood pressure was measured using an upper arm cuff with participants in a supine position.

**Nutritional survey**

A nutritional survey was carried out to document the total daily energy intake, the total intake of protein (adjusted by bodyweight, g/kg/day), fat and carbohydrates. The survey was complemented by weighing the daily food intake (Excel Eiyou version 5.0; Kenpakusha, Tokyo, Japan). The nutrition questionnaire and weighing of the daily food intake were completed on five consecutive days before the start of the intervention, and on a daily basis during the 24-week intervention period.

**Daily activity survey**

Participants recorded their daily activity for three consecutive days, before the start of the program, with the information used to calculate each participant’s regular physical activity level. Again, individual interviews were used to complete missing information. The estimated energy requirement to meet each individual’s physical activity level was calculated as the basal metabolic rate × bodyweight (kg) × physical activity level.

**Resistance exercises**

The exercise intervention program included both bodyweight resisted and resistance band exercises. Bodyweight resisted lower body exercises included rising and sitting from a chair, and leg extensions. Resistance elastic band exercises (REP BAND; Magister Corporation, Chattanooga, TN, USA) included upper and lower body exercises. The resistance load (50–70% of the 1 repetition maximum) was modified, in a standardized fashion, over the 24-week program. The detailed exercise regimen is presented in Appendix S1.

**Protein supplementation**

Protein supplementation was provided to participants in the EX + PRO and PRO groups. The protein supplement contained 92 kcal of energy, 22.3 g of protein, 0.3 g of fat, 0.1 g of carbohydrate, 1225 mg of valine, 2975 mg of leucine and 1175 mg of isoleucine per 25 g of one intake serving (Whey Protein; Ezaki Glico Company, Tokyo, Japan).

**Nutritional management**

Total protein intake for participants in all three experimental groups was adjusted to a level of at least 1.2 g/kg/day, and more during the intervention period. Nutritional management was provided by a nutritionist, and was based on the Japanese Dietary Reference Intakes and individual results on the nutrition survey carried out before the intervention. The detailed nutritional management regimen is presented in detail in Appendix S2.

**Statistical analysis**

Between-group differences in the distribution of physical characteristics were evaluated, pre-intervention, using an independent group one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) analysis; between-group differences in SMI, grip strength and gait speed that were below the cut-off values were evaluated using a χ²-test analysis. Between-group differences in outcome variables, measured pre- and post-intervention, were evaluated using a two-way ANOVA. Changes in measured outcomes of bodyweight, limb muscle mass, SMI, grip strength, knee extension strength, gait speed and nutritional intake were evaluated between groups (EX + PRO, EX and PRO) and time (pre- and post-intervention) using a repeated measures ANOVA, with group as the independent factor and time as the repeated factor. For identified main effects and interactions, multiple comparisons were carried out using the Tukey post-hoc analysis, with the rate of change (Δ) in measured outcomes, pre- to post-intervention, compared using an unpaired one-way ANOVA. On multivariate regression analysis, physical characteristics were used as a predictive factor of the pre- to post-intervention change in lower limb muscle mass and knee extension. All statistical analyses were carried out using IBM SPSS statistical software (IBM, Tokyo, Japan), with the level of significance defined as a P-value <0.05.

**Results**

Six participants, two in each of the three experimental groups, were unable to complete the intervention after randomization due to a lack of motivation (n = 4), knee pain (n = 1) and a change in residence (n = 1; Fig. 1). Baseline measures of physical characteristics are listed in Table 1, with no between-group differences identified. There was no difference in the physical activity level and estimated energy requirement between the groups in the pre-intervention period. The mean adherence rate to the program, over the 24-weeks of the intervention, was 90.1% in the EX + PRO group, 86.6% in the EX group and 86.5% in the PRO group.
The pre- to post-intervention changes in muscle mass and physical function are reported in Table 2. A significant group by time interaction was identified for lower limb muscle mass (P < 0.001), SMI (P < 0.001), grip strength (P = 0.04), knee extension strength (P < 0.001) and gait speed (P = 0.019). The pre- to post-intervention rate of change (Δ; Fig. 2) in lower limb muscle mass, SMI and knee extension strength was significantly greater for the EX group than for the PRO group (lower limb muscle mass P = 0.018, SMI P = 0.008, knee extension strength P = 0.027), and significantly greater for the EX + PRO group than for both the EX (lower limb muscle mass P = 0.038, SMI P = 0.007, knee extension strength P = 0.005) and PRO (lower limb muscle mass, SMI, knee extension strength P < 0.001) groups. The change in upper limb muscle mass, grip strength and gait speed was also significantly greater for the EX + PRO group than for the PRO group (upper limb muscle mass P = 0.029, grip strength P = 0.014, gait speed P = 0.026).

Total energy and nutritional intake values, before and during the intervention, are reported in Table 2. All participants were able to ingest a total protein amount of at least 1.2 g/kg/day, and more during the intervention period. The total energy intake during the intervention was never below the estimated energy requirement. There was no significant group by time interaction for total energy intake, total protein, protein/bodyweight, fat and carbohydrate intake.

Discussion

In the present study, we report the effectiveness of whey protein supplementation, ingested after resistance exercises, in increasing lower limb muscle mass, SMI and knee extension strength among community-dwelling healthy older Japanese women. Therefore, whey protein supplementation might be effective for the prevention of sarcopenia among healthy older women when ingested after resistance exercise in a nutritional state in which total energy and protein intake was maintained above the recommended amount.

Increases of 10–15% in muscle strength and 5% in muscle mass have been reported with high intensity (>80% 1 maximum

---

**Figure 1** Flow chart of study participants. Participants with a pre-intervention protein ingestion of 1.2–1.3 g/kg bodyweight/day were randomly allocated to one of the three experimental groups: completing the exercise intervention with supplementation following (EX + PRO), completing only the exercise intervention (EX group) and ingestion of the supplementation only (PRO group).
Nutritional supplementation for sarcopenia

### Table 1  Physical characteristics of participants, pre-intervention

|                     | EX + PRO group (n = 25) | EX group (n = 25) | PRO group (n = 25) | EX + PRO vs EX | PRO vs EX | EX vs PRO | P-value |
|---------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------|-----------|-----------|---------|
| Age (years)         | 70.6 ± 4.2              | 70.6 ± 4.2        | 70.6 ± 4.6        | 0.837          | 1.000     | 1.000     |
| SBP (mmHg)          | 116 ± 9                 | 114 ± 10          | 118 ± 6           | 0.735          | 0.775     | 0.331     |
| DBP (mmHg)          | 68 ± 9                  | 69 ± 7            | 73 ± 12           | 0.921          | 0.113     | 0.235     |
| Height (cm)         | 152.6 ± 5.7             | 155.3 ± 5.7       | 154.3 ± 5.1       | 0.194          | 0.503     | 0.806     |
| Bodyweight (kg)     | 51.5 ± 6.1              | 55.4 ± 7.2        | 53.3 ± 5.9        | 0.081          | 0.59      | 0.457     |
| BMI (kg/m²)         | 22.1 ± 2.1              | 22.9 ± 2.9        | 22.3 ± 2.1        | 0.286          | 0.876     | 0.559     |
| Body fat (%)        | 28.5 ± 6.1              | 29.7 ± 6.8        | 29.1 ± 5.5        | 0.774          | 0.937     | 0.936     |
| Physical activity   | 1.75 ± 0.04             | 1.76 ± 0.05       | 1.75 ± 0.05       | 0.884          | 0.991     | 0.821     |
| Estimated energy    | 1865 ± 220              | 2008 ± 260        | 1929 ± 214        | 0.081          | 0.59      | 0.456     |
| Upper limb muscle   | 3.5 ± 0.7               | 3.8 ± 0.7         | 3.4 ± 0.6         | 0.113          | 0.926     | 0.059     |
| Lower limb muscle   | 10.7 ± 1.2              | 10.8 ± 1.4        | 11.0 ± 1.3        | 0.904          | 0.662     | 0.899     |
| SMR (kg/m²)         | 6.1 ± 0.5               | 6.1 ± 0.7         | 6.0 ± 0.6         | 0.999          | 0.984     | 0.974     |
| Grip strength       | 22.4 ± 3.4              | 23.1 ± 5.3        | 23.0 ± 3.7        | 0.83           | 0.87      | 0.996     |
| Knee extension      | 23.8 ± 6.3              | 26.7 ± 3.8        | 26.3 ± 5.9        | 0.159          | 0.262     | 0.958     |
| Gait speed (m/s)    | 1.3 ± 0.1               | 1.3 ± 0.1         | 1.3 ± 0.1         | 0.971          | 0.915     | 0.802     |
| SMI ≤ cut-off value | 28.0                    | 24.0              | 28.0              | 0.999          | 1.000     | 0.999     |
| Grip strength ≤ cut-off value (%) | 8.0 | 16.0 | 8.0 | 0.667 | 1.000 |
| Gait speed ≤ cut-off value (%) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.000 | 1.000 |

Mean value ± standard deviation. The results of a non-paired one-way ANOVA or χ²-test showed no differences in the characteristics of the three groups pre-intervention. BMI, body mass index; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; EX, exercise only group; EX + PRO, exercise and protein group; PRO, protein only group; SBP, systolic blood pressure; SMI, skeletal muscle mass index.

### Table 2  Comparison of muscle mass, physical function and nutritional intake pre- and post-intervention, as well as during the intervention

|                     | Pre-intervention | Post-intervention | P-value, group × time interaction |
|---------------------|------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|
|                     | EX + PRO group   | EX group          | PRO group                        |                               |
| Bodyweight (kg)     | 51.5 ± 6.1       | 55.4 ± 7.2        | 53.3 ± 5.9                      | 52.6 ± 6.2                    | 55.9 ± 7.2                    | 53.1 ± 5.9                      | <0.001                           |
| Upper limb          | 3.5 ± 0.7        | 3.8 ± 0.7         | 3.4 ± 0.6                       | 3.5 ± 0.7                    | 3.8 ± 0.7                     | 3.3 ± 0.6                       | 0.060                            |
| Lower limb muscle   | 10.7 ± 1.2       | 10.8 ± 1.4        | 11.0 ± 1.3                      | 11.2 ± 1.2                   | 11.1 ± 1.4                    | 11.1 ± 1.3                      | <0.001                           |
| mass (kg)           | SMI (kg/m²)      | 6.1 ± 0.5         | 6.1 ± 0.6                       | 6.0 ± 0.6                    | 6.3 ± 0.5                     | 6.2 ± 0.7                       | 6.0 ± 0.6                       | <0.001                           |
| Grip strength       | 22.4 ± 3.4       | 23.1 ± 5.3        | 23.0 ± 3.7                      | 23.6 ± 3.5                   | 23.7 ± 5.6                    | 23.6 ± 4.4                      | 0.040                            |
| Knee extension      | 23.8 ± 6.4       | 26.7 ± 3.8        | 26.3 ± 5.9                      | 26.4 ± 5.5                   | 27.8 ± 4.3                    | 25.1 ± 5.6                      | <0.001                           |
| strength (kg)       | Gait speed (m/s) | 1.3 ± 0.1         | 1.3 ± 0.1                       | 1.3 ± 0.1                    | 1.3 ± 0.2                     | 1.3 ± 0.1                       | 0.019                            |
|                     | Pre-intervention | During intervention | P-value, group × time interaction |                               |
|                     | Total energy intake (kcal/day) | 1806 ± 191 | 1840 ± 165 | 1813 ± 175 | 1847 ± 188 | 1889 ± 172 | 1850 ± 171 | 0.704 |
| Protein (g/day)     | 64.1 ± 6.9       | 69.2 ± 8.2        | 67.4 ± 7.2                     | 70.3 ± 7.9                   | 75.6 ± 8.2                    | 73.1 ± 7.3                      | 0.425                            |
| Protein/bodyweight  | 1.3 ± 0.0        | 1.3 ± 0.0         | 1.3 ± 0.0                       | 1.4 ± 0.0                    | 1.4 ± 0.1                     | 1.4 ± 0.1                       | 0.660                            |
| Fat (g/day)         | 54.8 ± 9.0       | 53.9 ± 8.4        | 54.6 ± 6.7                      | 54.0 ± 8.0                   | 53.0 ± 5.7                    | 55.1 ± 6.1                      | 0.769                            |
| Carbohydrates (g/day) | 263.9 ± 42.0   | 269.5 ± 34.3      | 262.2 ± 44.2                   | 268.1 ± 42.6                 | 277.3 ± 38.6                  | 265.4 ± 34.2                    | 0.411                            |

Mean value ± standard deviation. Two-way ANOVA, group (the exercise and protein [EX + PRO] group, the exercise only [EX] group, and the protein only [PRO] group) × time (pre- and post- or during the intervention period) interaction.
the effects of exercise are modulated by an individual's nutritional status, with poor nutritional status (e.g. sarcopenia) lowering the benefits of exercise on muscle mass and strength. Therefore, it is important to note that the benefits of combining a moderate-intensity resistance exercise program with whey protein supplementation reported in the present study are specific to healthy older women who had no history of obesity and/or type 2 diabetes, and a good nutritional status.

Over the duration of our 24-week intervention period, participants in all groups maintained a sufficient daily intake of protein ≥1.2 g/day. Furthermore, the total energy intake was never below the estimated energy requirement. The fact that protein intake was equivalent across the groups underlines the specific effectiveness of our whey protein supplementation in increasing muscle mass and strength, with identified differences not likely to be due to between-group differences in total protein and energy intake. We propose that failure of previous studies to identify a benefit of protein supplementation and exercise on muscle mass and strength among older individuals is likely due to a lack of controlling for habitual total protein intake and, therefore, of the total daily intake of protein. There is a benefit of exercise alone in improving muscle mass and strength in the EX group, as long as the nutritional requirements for habitual total energy and protein are satisfied. We did not observe an increase in muscle mass and strength in the PRO group. However, the increase in SMI and knee extension strength was greater in the EX + PRO group.

**Figure 2.** Mean (±SD) change in (a) bodyweight, (b) upper and lower limb muscle mass, (c) skeletal muscle mass index (SMI), (d) grip strength, (f) knee extension strength, and (g) gait speed from baseline to the end of the 24-week intervention period, for the three experimental groups (completing the exercise intervention with supplementation following [EX + PRO], completing only the exercise intervention [EX group] and ingestion of the supplementation only [PRO group]). Between-group differences were evaluated using a Tukey post-hoc test; the P-value is shown.
than the EX group, indicating that the use of a whey protein supplement can enhance the effects of resistance exercise.

In the present study, we selected a protein supplement with previously demonstrated effectiveness in enhancing muscle protein synthesis among older individuals. The PROT-AGE study recommended a one-time daily ingestion of 20–25 g of protein as being effective,27 with whey protein having a high digestion and absorption rate,28 compared with other types of protein. Furthermore, there is evidence of a progressive age-associated attenuation of the sensitivity to leucine for high protein synthesis activity in muscles.2,27,28 A previous study reported that muscle protein synthesis in older individuals peaked approximately 1–3 h after resistance exercise, and 1–2 h after ingestion of a whey protein supplement.10,26 Although we identified a benefit of our whey protein supplementation in increasing muscle mass and strength, the physiological mechanisms by which a single dose of ingested whey protein, combined with resistance exercise, influences muscle protein synthesis will need to be clarified.

The present study had several similar limitations to be noted. Foremost, only women were included in our study group to control for known sex-specific differences in age-related muscle atrophy and sarcopenia.25,28 We could not evaluate biomarkers of serum vitamin D related to muscle strength, in our participants.29 Future supplementation, ingested after resistance exercise in a nutritional context, may be effective,3 with whey protein having a high digestion and absorption rate,9,10 compared with other types of protein. Further research evaluating the physiological mechanisms by which a single dose of ingested whey protein, combined with resistance exercise, influences muscle protein synthesis will need to be clarified.
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Appendix S1. The detailed exercise regimen.
(a) Bodyweight resisted exercises (1. rising and sitting from a chair; 2. leg extensions).
(b) Resistance elastic band exercises (1. seated chest press; 2. seated row; 3. knee extensions; 4. squats; 5. knee-ups).
(c) The exercise intervention program: elastic bands of five different resistance levels were used, with the level of resistance individually adjusted using a 1 maximum repetition (1 RM) test for the upper and lower limbs, before the intervention. Exercises were carried out under the supervision of an exercise instructor initially and then completed by participants at home.

Appendix S2. The detailed nutritional management regimen.
(a) Protocol of protein supplementation in the exercise and protein (EX + PRO) group and protein only (PRO) group.
The supplementation consisted of powdered whey protein dissolved in mineral water. Participants in the EX + PRO group ingested the protein supplement within 5 min after completion of the exercise program. The PRO groups ingested the protein supplement 3 h after lunch.
(b) Nutritional management.
Daily activity surveys were also reviewed to ensure sufficient total energy intake for all participants. The nutritionist instructed participants on the protein and energy contained within each serving of food and drink, and the care worker confirmed the intake of the protein supplementation at each meal during the intervention period at the participants’ home. This facilitated guidance regarding desirable food selection and promoted autonomous dietary management. The participants used a food model to choose the appropriate amount of energy, nutrients and food products, and schedule for themselves and choose food compositions based on their objectives for their individual estimated energy requirement, and choose balanced meals divided into staple foods, main meals and side dishes.
Participants in the EX + PRO and exercise only (EX) groups carried out the resistance exercise program 3 h after lunch. In this study, the EX group was instructed to ingest dairy, soy products, meat and fish, with the intake adjusted to the same amount of protein used in the protein supplement EX + PRO and PRO group.
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