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ABSTRACT

The aim of the research: correlation levels of the psychological component of quality of life and medical-epidemiological characteristics of patients with fractures of the proximal thigh.

Solved problem: to determine the possibility of predicting the impact of medical-epidemiological and anamnestic characteristics of patients with fractures of the proximal thigh on their quality of life after treatment of fractures of the proximal thigh.

Main scientific results: natural increase in comorbidity in the presence of comorbidi-ties, low functional status and an increase in age characteristics were established. The probable influence of comorbidity on the decrease of the functional state according to the ASA classification also stated. An increase in the duration of treatment of patients with surgical treatment and a longer period of injury marked. The decrease in the quality of life of patients with increasing age characteristics, increasing comorbidity and reducing the indicators of functional status according to the ASA classification have been established.

Area of practical use of research results: medical institutions of traumatological profile.

Innovative technological product: determining the ability to predict the quality of life of patients after treatment of fractures of the proximal thigh, which allows you to predict the results of treatment such patients.

Scope of application of the innovative technological product: clinical medical traumatological practice of use of possibilities of forecasting of results of treatment of fractures of proximal hip department.

© The Author(s) 2020. This is an open access article under the CC BY license http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

1. Introduction

1.1. Object of research

Correlation levels of the psychological component of quality of life and medical-epi-demiological characteristics of patients with fractures of the proximal thigh.

1.2. Problem description

The global modern trend for steady growth of primordial significant levels of musculo-skeletal apparatus diseases and injuries has numerous medical and social consequences, such as a significant share of temporary and permanent disability and mortality, high economic losses, high prevalence and primary morbidity, significant levels of DALY, etc. [1, 2]. Among all diseases of the musculoskeletal system and the consequences of trauma, the bone fractures occupy first places. At the same time, the world literature data indicate a significant advantage of femoral fractures among all skeletal fractures, namely fractures of the proximal femur (further – FPF).

1.3. Suggested way to solve the problem

According to the literature, FPF among the entire world community in terms of its medical, social and epidemiological characteristics and consequences are the most severe among the list of all fixed injuries of the bones of the lower extremities. There are about 2 million FPF cases annually registered [3]. At the same time, according to existing forecasts, until 2050 the frequency of such fractures expected within 6–6.26 million cases [4, 5]. In Ukraine, the frequency of such fractures is also disappointing. In Ukraine, every year the diagnosis of FPF is recorded in 100–150 patients per 100 thousand population with a steady upward trend [6, 7].
There is a global trend of exponential increase in frequency of FPF among older people [8]. About 140,000 intervertebral fractures are diagnosed each year, FPF among adult patients accounts for about 5.00–14.00 % of all skeletal fractures and from 32.00 % to 65.00 % for all hip fractures [9, 10]. Moreover, fractures of the femoral neck are found much more often (75.30–80.20 %) in contrast to swivel fractures (19.80–24.70 %) [11].

Based on this, FPF requires close attention from the entire medical community. Solving this problem requires a comprehensive interdisciplinary approach that will provide answers to a number of traumatological, surgical, therapeutic, social, economic and psychological issues and will eliminate the negative post-treatment risks, reduce the number of possible complications and mortality rates [12].

The aim of the research was to determine the possibility of predicting the impact of medical-epidemiological and anamnestic characteristics of patients with fractures of the proximal femur of their quality of life.

2. Materials and methods of the research

The study is based on the prospective study of medical-epidemiological and anamnestic characteristics of patients with fractures of the proximal femur, who were treated on the basis of the Municipal Non-Profit Enterprise “City Clinical Multidisciplinary Hospital No. 17” Kharkiv City Council in 2018–2019.

We surveyed 238 people who received FPF treatment in 2018–2019, in mean age of 70.63±0.84 years. There were 161 (67.65 %) females and 77 – (32.35 %) males among them.

All patients signed an informed consent for voluntary participation in the study. All relevant permits and a positive decision was made by the commission on compliance with international ethical and biotic norms and requirements were obtained.

To determine physical health (PH) components and mental health (MH) components of quality of life (QOL) we used a generally accepted and adapted in Ukraine questionnaire to determine levels QOL – Short Form Medical Outcomes Study (SF-36) [13].

Evaluation of the identified individual medical-epidemiological and anamnestic characteristics of the examined groups by PH and MH components of QOL questionnaire SF-36 was performed using quartiles. For these purposes the entire sample of patients was distributed by PH and MH components according to questionnaire SF-36 on 4 quartiles with the following limit values:

- **PH**: ≤ 21.56 for the 1-st quartile; 21.56–22.525 – for the 2-nd quartile; 22.525–23.94 – for the 3-rd quartile and ≥ 23.94 – for the 4-th quartile.
- **MH**: ≤ 41.235 – for the 1-st quartile; 41.235–44.425 – for the 2-nd quartile; 44.235–47.9375 – for the 3-rd quartle and ≥ 47.9375 – for the 4-th quartile.

There were 59, 60, 60 and 59 patients in the subgroups which corresponded to 1-st, 2-nd, 3-rd and 4-th quartiles.

To assess the relationship between indicators between a bilateral criterion of rank correlation Spearman’s R was calculated. Verification of the normality of the distribution of the trait was determined using criteria Kolmogorov-Smirnov test of normality and Shapiro-Wilk’s test of normality. The level of significance threshold (error α) in the work was taken at the level of 0.05 (p=0.05) with an indication of the exact value of the level of reliability p. The calculation of correlation levels was performed using the application package IBM SPSS 25.0 for Windows.

3. Results

Correlation levels of dependence of medical-epidemiological and anamnestic characteristics and physical health (PH) components and mental health (MH) components of quality of life (QOL) regarding patients with FPF on quartiles of MH component were investigated.

For the 1st quartile (the lowest values of MH) and MH component of the QOL were obtained features provided in Table 1.

It should be noted that in patients who had the lowest MH profile (1st quartile) there was a decrease in MN levels of the QOL component with increasing age characteristics of the examined patients with FPF (age of patients significantly inversely correlated with the average strength with of the MH component: \( r = -0.46; \ p < 0.001 \)), which is a natural phenomenon. It was also probably natural to note a decrease in the functional state with increasing comorbidity (significant direct average correlation of comorbidity with the functional state according to the ASA classification:
r=0.79; p<0.001). Also, the results regarding the statement of the best functional state in connection with the absence of comorbidity diseases (CD): reliable average strength inverse correlation between the absence of CD and the functional state according to the ASA classification (r=–0.52; p<0.001), that also be seen as expected result. At the same time, there was an increase in the duration of hospitalization of patients during surgical treatment (the duration of hospitalization was significantly directly with the average force correlated with the type of treatment chosen: r=0.63; p<0.001) – Table 1.

Table 1
Matrix of correlations of medical-epidemiological and anamnestic characteristics of patients of the 1st quartile on mental health (MH) component of quality of life (n=59)

| Indicators                  | Age     | Comorbidity | Absence of concomitant diseases (CD) | Functional state according to ASA | Duration of treatment, days | Type of treatment | Duration of injury, days | PH       | MH       |
|-----------------------------|---------|-------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|----------|----------|
| Age                         | r 1.00  | –0.06       | –0.05                               | –0.10                            | –0.23                       | –0.10             | –0.25                  | –0.10    | –0.46    |
| p                           | –       | 0.65        | 0.71                                | 0.44                             | 0.08                        | 0.45              | 0.06                   | 0.43     | <0.001   |
| Comorbidity                 | r –0.06 | 1.00        | –0.55                               | 0.79                             | 0.17                        | 0.05              | 0.06                   | 0.12     | 0.07     |
| p                           | 0.65    | –           | <0.001                              | 0.001                            | 0.21                        | 0.72              | 0.64                   | 0.35     | 0.59     |
| Absence of CD              | r –0.05 | –0.55       | 1.00                                | –0.52                            | –0.09                       | –0.12             | –0.06                  | –0.12    | –0.22    |
| p                           | 0.71    | <0.001      | –                                   | <0.001                           | 0.52                        | 0.38              | 0.65                   | 0.38     | 0.09     |
| Functional state according to ASA | r –0.10 | 0.79      | –0.52                               | 1.00                             | 0.10                        | 0.02              | –0.01                  | 0.07     | 0.08     |
| Duration of treatment, days | p 0.44  | <0.001     | <0.001                              | –                                | 0.47                        | 0.87              | 0.96                   | 0.62     | 0.52     |
| p                           | –0.23  | 0.17        | –0.09                               | 0.10                             | 1.00                        | 0.63              | 0.81                   | 0.18     | 0.14     |
| Type of treatment           | p 0.08  | 0.21        | 0.52                                | 0.47                             | –                           | <0.001            | <0.001                 | 0.18     | 0.28     |
| p                           | –0.10  | 0.05        | –0.12                               | 0.02                             | 0.63                        | 0.30              | 0.72                   | 0.11     | 0.13     |
| Duration of injury, days    | p 0.45  | 0.72        | 0.38                                | 0.87                             | <0.001                      | –                 | <0.001                 | 0.41     | 0.32     |
| p                           | –0.25  | 0.06        | –0.06                               | –0.01                            | 0.81                        | 0.72              | 1.00                   | 0.06     | 0.17     |
| PH                          | 0.06    | 0.64        | 0.65                                | 0.96                             | <0.001                      | <0.001            | –                      | 0.66     | 0.20     |

Note: r – the correlation coefficient; p – the statistical significance of the difference

Correlation analysis of patients with FPF 3rd quartile (higher MH component) for MH component recorded in Table 3.
### Table 2
Matrix of correlations of medical-epidemiological and anamnestic characteristics of patients of the 2nd quartile on mental health (MH) component of quality of life (n=60)

| Indicators                  | Sex          | Age          | Comorbidity       | Absence of CD   | Functional state according to ASA | Duration of treatment, days | Type of treatment | Duration of injury, days | PH | MH |
|-----------------------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|----|----|
| Sex                         | r 1.00       | – 0.14       | – 0.19            | 0.08            | – 0.17                            | – 0.26                      | – 0.10            | – 0.23                   | 0.07| – 0.01 |
|                            | p 0.28       | 0.14         | 0.57              | 0.18            | 0.04                              | 0.46                        | 0.08              | 0.62                     | 0.91| 0.18 |
| Age                         | r – 0.14     | 1.00         | <0.001            | 0.18            | – 0.03                            | – 0.02                      | – 0.24            | – 0.06                   | – 0.02| 0.18 |
|                            | p 0.28       | – 0.98       | 0.18              | 0.81            | 0.91                              | 0.06                        | 0.63              | 0.87                     | 0.18| 0.18 |
| Comorbidity                 | r – 0.19     | <0.001       | 1.00              | 0.42            | 0.90                              | – 0.01                      | – 0.17            | – 0.09                   | – 0.04| 0.11 |
|                            | p 0.14       | 0.98         | 0.96              | <0.001          | 0.96                              | 0.20                        | 0.05              | 0.74                     | 0.07| 0.40 |
| Absence of CD               | r 0.08       | 0.18         | – 0.42            | 1.00            | – 0.46                            | 0.11                        | 0.07              | 0.10                     | – 0.09| 0.14 |
|                            | p 0.57       | 0.18         | <0.001            | 1.00            | – 0.39                            | 0.62                        | 0.45              | 0.49                     | 0.49| 0.30 |
| Duration of treatment, days | r – 0.26     | – 0.02       | – 0.01            | 0.11            | – 0.04                            | 1.00                        | 0.68              | 0.91                     | 0.08| 0.11 |
|                            | p 0.04       | 0.91         | 0.96              | 0.39            | 0.78                              | – <0.001                    | <0.001            | 0.53                     | 0.42| 0.20 |
| Type of treatment           | r – 0.10     | – 0.24       | – 0.17            | 0.07            | – 0.15                            | 0.68                        | 1.00              | 0.70                     | 0.10| 0.06 |
|                            | p 0.46       | 0.06         | 0.20              | 0.62            | 0.25                              | <0.001                      | –                 | <0.001                   | 0.45| 0.65 |

Note: r – the correlation coefficient; p – the statistical significance of the difference

### Table 3
Matrix of correlations of medical-epidemiological and anamnestic characteristics of patients of the 3rd quartile on mental health (MH) component of quality of life (n=60)

| Indicators                  | Age          | Place of residence | Comorbidity | Absence of CD | Functional state according to ASA | Duration of treatment, days | Type of treatment | Duration of injury, days | PH | MH |
|-----------------------------|--------------|--------------------|-------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|----|----|
| Age                         | r 1.00       | – 0.22             | <0.001      | – 0.22        | <0.001                            | – 0.29                      | – 0.10            | – 0.25                   | – 0.17| 0.17 |
|                            | p 0.09       | 0.98               | 0.99        | 0.99          | 0.02                              | 0.47                        | 0.05              | 0.19                     | 0.19| 0.17 |
| Place of residence          | r – 0.22     | 1.00               | 0.09        | – 0.05        | 0.09                              | 0.25                        | 0.10              | 0.23                     | 0.30| 0.17 |
|                            | p <0.001     | 0.09               | 1.00        | – 0.64        | 0.75                              | – 0.04                      | – 0.02            | – 0.01                   | – 0.04| 0.18 |
| Comorbidity                 | r 0.98       | 0.50               | – 0.05      | 0.69          | 0.50                              | 0.06                        | 0.46              | 0.07                     | 0.02| 0.19 |
|                            | p 0.09       | 0.69               | <0.001      | 1.00          | – 0.63                            | – 0.02                      | – 0.12            | – 0.05                   | – 0.04| 0.04 |
| Absence of CD               | r – 0.29     | 0.25               | – 0.04      | – 0.02        | 0.02                              | 1.00                        | 0.49              | 0.80                     | 0.25| 0.11 |
|                            | p 0.02       | 0.06               | 0.76        | 0.91          | 0.91                              | – 0.02                      | <0.001            | 0.06                     | 0.39| 0.01 |
| Duration of treatment, days | r – 0.10     | 0.10               | – 0.02      | – 0.12        | 0.24                              | 0.49                        | 1.00              | 0.62                     | 0.18| 0.01 |
|                            | p 0.47       | 0.46               | 0.90        | 0.37          | 0.07                              | <0.001                      | –                 | <0.001                   | 0.17| 0.95 |
| Type of treatment           | r – 0.25     | 0.23               | – 0.01      | – 0.05        | 0.15                              | 0.80                        | 0.62              | 1.00                     | 0.30| 0.07 |
|                            | p 0.05       | 0.07               | 0.97        | 0.71          | 0.25                              | <0.001                      | –                 | 0.02                     | 0.61| 0.07 |

Note: r – the correlation coefficient; p – the statistical significance of the difference
In general, patients of the 3rd quartile on the MH component were characterized by a natural increase in comorbidity in the presence of CD (comorbidity significantly inversely correlated with the average force with the absence of CD: \( r=-0.64; p<0.001 \)) and low functional status strong correlation of comorbidity with the functional state according to the ASA classification: \( r=0.75; p<0.001 \). Naturally, the best functional state was observed in the absence of CD (the absence of CD significantly correlated with the average force with the functional state according to the ASA classification: \( r=0.49; p<0.001 \)) and longer duration of injury (significant direct strong correlation of treatment duration and duration of injury: \( r=0.80; p<0.001 \)) – Table 3.

The last quartile analysis for the MH component (higher levels of the MH component) was performed among patients with FPF of the 4th quartile – Table 4.

Table 4

| Indicators                      | Sex    | Age    | Comorbidity | Absence of CD | Functional state according to ASA | Duration of treatment, days | Type of treatment | Duration of injury, days | PH    | MH    |
|--------------------------------|--------|--------|-------------|---------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|-------|-------|
|                                | \( r \) | \( p \) |             |               |                                  |                             |                   |                         |       |       |
| Sex                            | 1.00   | <0.001 | 0.25        | -0.32         | 0.29                             | 0.08                        | 0.18              | <0.001                  | -0.40 | -0.17|
| Age                            | 0.38   | 0.05   | 0.01        | 0.03          | 0.55                             | 0.16                        | 0.99              | <0.001                  | 0.20  |       |
| Comorbidity                    | -0.32  | <0.001 | -0.79       | 1.00          | -0.74                            | -0.07                       | -0.19             | -0.02                   | 0.22  | 0.24  |
| Absence of CD                 | 0.29   | 0.01   | 0.87        | -0.74         | 1.00                             | 0.13                        | 0.18              | 0.09                    | -0.44 | -0.52 |
| Functional state according to ASA| 0.03  | <0.001 | <0.001      | <0.001        | <0.001                           | <0.001                      | <0.001            | <0.001                  | <0.001| <0.001|
| Duration of treatment, days    | 0.08   | <0.03  | 0.14        | 0.07          | 0.13                             | 1.00                        | 0.70              | 0.87                    | -0.10 | -0.09 |
| Type of treatment              | 0.18   | <0.05  | 0.26        | -0.19         | 0.18                             | 0.70                        | 1.00              | 0.71                    | -0.04 | -0.06 |
|                                | 0.16   | 0.71   | 0.05        | 0.16          | 0.17                             | <0.001                      | <0.001            | 0.76                    | 0.67  |       |

Note: \( r \) – the correlation coefficient; \( p \) – the statistical significance of the difference

It should be noted that for patients of the 4th quartile on the MH component of QOL with increasing age characteristics was characterized by an increase in comorbidity (age significantly directly with the average force correlated with comorbidity: \( r=0.41; p<0.001 \)), a decrease in functional status (mean strength correlation of age and functional state according to the ASA classification: \( r=0.53; p<0.001 \)) and decrease in PH and MH levels of QOL components (significant inverse mean strength correlation of age with PH and MH components of QOL: respectively \( r=-0.84; p<0.001 \) and \( r=-0.67; p<0.001 \)). These patients were naturally characterized by an increase in comorbidity in the presence of CD (comorbidity significantly inversely correlated with the absence of CD: \( r=-0.79; p<0.001 \)) and with a decrease in functional status (direct strong significant correlation of comorbidity with functional status according to the ASA classification: \( r=0.87; p<0.001 \)). There
was also a natural probable decrease in the levels of pH and MN of the components of the QOL with increasing comorbidity (inverse weak correlation of comorbidity significantly with the PH and MH components: respectively \( r=-0.29; p=0.02 \) and \( r=-0.40; p<0.001 \)). In addition, it was natural to increase the functional parameters in the absence of CD (the absence of CD was significantly inversely correlated with the functional state according to the ASA classification: \( r=-0.74; p<0.001 \)). It was determined decrease in PH and MH of the components of the QOL with a decrease in the functional state (functional state according to the ASA classification with the average strength was significantly inversely correlated with the PH and MH components QOL: respectively \( r=-0.44 \) \( p<0.001 \) and \( r=-0.52 \) \( p<0.001 \)) and an increase in the length of stay of patients in the clinic during surgical treatment and a longer period of injury (a direct strong correlation is likely between the duration of treatment and the type of treatment chosen and the duration of injury: respectively \( r=0.70 \) and \( r=0.87, p<0.001 \)) – Table 4.

4. Discussion

Our results on the impact of certain medical-epidemiological and anamnestic characteristics of patients with fractures of the proximal thigh at the level of their quality of life after treatment are fully consistent with the data obtained during other similar studies [14–16]. According to the results of the study, it was determined that with increasing age characteristics there is a decrease of QOL; increase in comorbidity and decrease in functional state. At the same time, it was observed that there was an increase in the duration of hospitalization of patients during surgical treatment.

Study limitations. Some inaccuracies in the subjective assessment of the psychological limitations of the quality of life by individual patients are possible. These inaccuracies do not have a significant impact on the overall levels of the psychological component of the quality of life at the regional level.

Prospects for further research. Subsequent clinical studies will be conducted to establish the forecasted models of determination of post-treatment levels of physical and mental health (psychological) components of quality of life in patients with fractures proximal hips.

5. Conclusions

1. With increasing age characteristics of the examined patients, a decrease in the level of MH (significant mean inverse correlation of an increase in the age characteristics of patients and an increase in the MN level of QOL components: \( r=-0.46; p<0.001 \) – 1st quartile; \( r=-0.67; p<0.001 \) – 4th quartile) and PH (probable significant mean inverse correlation of increase in age characteristics and increase in PH components of QOL: \( r=-0.84; p<0.001 \) – 4th quartile) components of QOL were determined.

2. An increase in comorbidity with increasing age characteristics of patients with hip fracture (reliable moderate strength correlation the increase in age characteristics and an increase in the presence of comorbidities: \( r=0.41; p<0.001 \) – 4th quartile) stated.

3. A decrease of functional status of patients in the presence of concomitant morbidity (significant direct mean correlation of greater comorbidity and low functional status according to the ASA classification: \( r=0.79; p<0.001 \) – 1st quartile) was determined. An increase of age characteristics (average strength is likely direct correlation of increase in age characteristics and decrease in functional state according to ASA classification: \( r=0.53; p<0.001 \) – 4th quartile) and comorbidity (reliable direct strong correlation of increase in comorbidity and decrease in functional state according to ASA classification: \( r=0.87; p<0.001 \) – 4th quartile) also stated.

4. The impact of comorbidity on the decrease in functional status (probable strong direct correlation of existing comorbidities with a decrease in functional status according to the ASA classification: \( r=0.90; p<0.001 \) – 2nd quartile; \( r=0.75; p<0.001 \) – 3rd quartile) was recorded.

5. An increase in the duration of hospitalization of patients during surgical treatment (moderate strength is a direct correlation of the increase in the duration of hospitalization with surgical treatment: \( r=0.63; p<0.001 \) – 1st quartile; \( r=0.68; p<0.001 \) – 2nd quartile; \( r=0.49; p<0.001 \) – 3rd quartile; \( r=0.70; p<0.001 \) – 4th quartile) stated.

6. Definitely significant decreases in pH and MN of QOL components with a decrease in functional state (mean force significant inverse correlation of decrease in functional state according to ASA classification and increase in pH and MN levels of QOL components: respectively
the 4th quartile) were determined.
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