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ABSTRACT  Decision-making regarding healthcare expenditure hinges heavily on an individual’s health status and the certainty about the future. This study uses data on propensity of general health exam (GHE) spending to show that despite the debate on the necessity of GHE, its objective is clear—to obtain more information and certainty about one’s health so as to minimise future risks. Most studies on this topic, however, focus only on factors associated with GHE uptake and overlook the shifts in behaviours and attitudes regarding different levels of cost. To fill the gap, this study analyses a dataset of 2068 subjects collected from Hanoi (Vietnam) and its vicinities using the baseline-category logit method. We evaluate the sensitivity of Vietnamese healthcare consumers against two groups of factors (demographic and socioeconomic-cognitive) regarding payment for periodic GHE, which is not covered by insurance. Our study shows that uninsured, married and employed individuals are less sensitive to cost than their counterparts because they value the information in reducing future health uncertainty. The empirical results challenge the objections to periodic health screening by highlighting its utility. The relevance of behavioural economics is further highlighted through a look at the bounded rationality of healthcare consumers and private insurance companies in using and providing the service, respectively.
Attempts to dissect healthcare service expenditures as part of some behavioural trends are often obscured by the fact that individual behaviours occur under specific conditions and with private information and assessment. This study looks into the data of a specific healthcare spending: general health examinations (GHE). While it seems rational to regularly check one’s health to timely deal with the onset of an unexpected illness, the practice of periodic GHE is a rather modern phenomenon. The beginning of GHE could be traced back to the British physician Horace Dobell in 1861 when he sought a measure to monitor the health status of patients with tuberculosis (Dobell, 1961). Over the past two centuries, despite our intuition that regular health screenings could help prevent certain illnesses, studies have shown mixed results about their effects (Mehrotra and Prochaska, 2015; Ponka, 2014; Himmelstein and Phillips, 2016). The existing literature on the topic has also skewed toward Western practice of GHE, its limitations and benefits, and in turn, leaves an unfilled gap for research on this matter in developing countries such as Vietnam. This paper examines the case of Vietnam, an emerging economy where about 80% of its population of nearly 100 million have health insurance (Vietnam Plus, 2017). The analysis focuses on the sensitivity of Vietnamese healthcare consumers’ willing payments for GHE against two groups of factors, namely demographic and socioeconomic-cognitive, as this service is not covered by Vietnam’s health insurance (International Cooperation Department, 2017). Although the study is limited in its geographical scope, it nonetheless seeks to shed light on the behaviours of healthcare consumers in general when thinking about measures to minimise future risks.

Literature review. This section will look into the controversial nature of periodic GHE, the literature in developing countries and in Asia, the reason why Vietnam is chosen for the study, and the framework for identifying factors affecting consumer health behaviour.

On periodic GHE. Studies objecting to periodic GHE often point to (i) its high costs, (ii) the resulting wasted time and resources, (iii) its inconclusive support for reducing morbidity or mortality, and (iv) non-evidence-based approach (Kast et al., 2004; Merenstein, Daumit and Powe, 2006; Krogboll et al., 2012; Howard-Tripp (2011); Coffield et al., 2001; Thrall, 2009; Schäfer et al., 2012; Trogdon and Hylands, 2008; Yarnall et al., 2003). One of the most long-standing studies on routine general checkups is carried out in Canada where the practice has been discouraged and even abandoned since 1979 due to poor evidence on its effectiveness (Canadian Medical Association, 1979; MacMillan, MacMillan and Offord, 1993; Thombs, Lewin, and Tonelli, 2017).

Although it is worth noting that resources could be allocated to higher-quality medical services instead of the periodic GHE (Hayward et al., 1991; US Public Health Service (1994)), there are proven benefits to this kind of health screening. First, regular check-ups help facilitate patient-physician relationship, raising mutual trust and potentially contributing to positive health outcomes (Ponka, 2014; Kelley et al., 2014). Second, the service may assist marginalised groups that would otherwise miss out on regular health screening (Ponka, 2014). Third, it reduces patient anxiety and provides physicians with evidence-based preventive measures, such as early detection of risk factors and a more updated patient profile over time (Fletcher, 2007; Lin et al., 2011; Duerksen, Dubey and Iglar, 2012; Kermott et al., 2012). Another outcome often attributed to routine health check-ups is higher rates of cervical cancer screening, faecal occult blood testing, and cholesterol screening (Boulware et al., 2007), as well as new diagnoses that could have been missed or delayed (Himmelstein and Phillips, 2016).

Regular health screening in developing countries and Asia. In recent years research on periodic health screening has also emerged in developing countries and in Asia, with many studies analysing factors influencing consumer health behaviour. Participation in regular health exams among Brazilian women was linked to four factors, namely being younger than 40 years old, having higher educational level, having private health insurance and being married (Leal et al., 2005). Meanwhile, in Saudi Arabia where healthcare is free of charge, six factors were found to increase the likelihood of receiving periodic GHE, including high age, education, being married, daily consumption of fruits and vegetables, diagnoses of diabetes, and a clinic/hospital visit for injury/illness within the past two years (El Bcheraoui et al., 2015). A study on health screening behaviour among Singaporeans similarly named low education and income levels as two factors associated with low uptake of periodic GHE (Wong et al., 2015). The results were also confirmed in a study in South Korea, such that both demographic (gender, age) and socioeconomic attributes (education, income, health status, living habits) are strongly linked to the willingness to take a physical exam (Yeo and Jeong, 2012). In terms of occupation, Chinese civil servants and retired government officials were reported to have more frequent annual physical exams than farmers, especially the elderly, due to their better health knowledge (Sun et al., 2014). In South Korea, a recent study found workers at enterprises with more than 300 employees are more likely to participate in the national GHE than those at enterprises with fewer than 50 employees (Kang et al., 2017).

Another line of research in Asia looks for the impacts of a periodic checkup, such as improved healthcare utilisation in the short term in Japan (Ren, Okubo and Takahashi, 1994), increased surgical treatment for uterine fibroids in Chinese women (Wu, Yang and Zhou, 2010), higher hepatitis B vaccine uptake in Hong Kong (Chan et al., 2009), positive lifestyle changes in South Korea (Son et al., 2012; Yeo and Jeong, 2012), and higher likelihood of early treatment of some target diseases in Taiwan (Lin et al., 2011). For the large part, the literature of GHE in Asia seems to focus more on the effects of early examination toward a specific disease in a specific demographics, with cancer screening the most prevalent (Tang, Solomon, and McCracken, 2000; Yeoh, Chew, and Wang, 2006; Mehrotra, Zaslavsky and Ayanian, 2007; Domingo et al., 2008; Kim et al., 2011; Koo et al., 2012; Siew and Sunny, 2013).

Why Vietnam. It is important to point out that much of the literature on periodic health screening, whether in the West or Asia, has left out the cost of the medical service itself, with the exception of the service being free or covered by insurance in some cases (El Bcheraoui et al., 2015; Yeo and Jeong, 2012). Medical cost, as expected, may significantly determine people’s acceptance of periodic GHE (Oboler et al., 2002). This study for the first time seeks to evaluate the sensitivity to periodic GHE cost of a group of consumers, particularly Hanoi (Vietnam), continuing the line of recent studies such as (Vuong and Nguyen, 2015; Vuong, 2015; Vuong, 2016a; Vuong, 2016b).

Vietnam, which has a young population and a low GDP per capita of approximately US$2,000, offers a case study of human behaviour in the healthcare sector of a developing Asian country. Vietnamese health consumers are facing increasingly common issues—higher medical costs and widening income inequalities—that, in the worst case, could push them to the point of destitution (Vuong, 2015; UNDP Vietnam, 2016). This
happened as a result of the health sector reforms in the 1980s. Before Vietnam changed from a centrally planned economic system to a market-oriented one, state subsidies played a major role in its health system, with healthcare services and drugs free of charge. The deregulation of the medical system has since brought about massive changes in healthcare delivery, access and financing (Chaudhuri and Roy, 2008). One of the significant changes to health financing is compulsory health insurance for all citizens from 2008 (Do, Oh and Lee, 2014), although the coverage has reached only 81.7% of the population as of 2017 (Vietnam Plus, 2017). Universal coverage is envisioned in the next few years. However, despite insurance coverage, the introduction of user fees at both public and private facilities has led to a sharp increase in out-of-pocket (OOP) expenses (Chaudhuri and Roy, 2008). A recent study shows that the OOP cost is still so high that the current insurance package, though reduces the vulnerability to high healthcare costs, needs reforms (Nguyen et al., 2012).

Research on Vietnamese healthcare, therefore, has mostly considered the rising OOP expenditure as a major barrier to quality healthcare (Chaudhuri and Roy, 2008; Vu et al., 2016; Van Minh et al., 2013; Nguyen et al., 2012), and left out the personal expenditure for periodic health examinations. This study arises from the need to assess the case in Vietnam as that might present a typical barrier to anticipatory care for health consumers in developing countries today. This research contributes to the literature by increasing understanding of some of the demographic and socioeconomic-cognitive factors that influence individuals to follow or not follow regular health screening guidelines.

Identifying factors affecting human health decisions. Given the controversial nature of periodic health check-up, it is important to take into account factors that could affect the behaviour and attitude of people in considering this service’s cost. With low income as a strong predictor for the low participation in GHE (Wong et al., 2015; Yeo and Jeong, 2012, Dryden et al., 2012; Kuo and Lai, 2013; Sun et al., 2014; Nakanishi, Tatar and Fujiwara, 1996; Wong et al., 2015), this study excludes the income factor from the scope of the investigation, instead focusing on two groups of factors that might influence with the level of willing payments for this service. The first is demographic: sex, marriage and job status; the second is socioeconomic and cognitive: health insurance, perception toward public health status, and hesitation to take GHE due to distrust in healthcare quality (see Table 1).

Below is a brief review of the research on the correlation between these factors and periodic health attendance.

In terms of sex, the majority of studies over the years have shown females to be more inclined to take regular physical examinations than males (Nupponen, 1996; Jepson et al., 2000; Wall and Teeland, 2004; Lai and Kalyniak, 2005; Cherrington, Corbie-Smith and Pathman, 2007; Dryden et al., 2012). Two studies (Hsu and Gallinagh, 2001; Karwalajtyts et al., 2005) were found to exhibit no difference in GHE uptake by sex (Dryden et al., 2012). Notably, periodic GHE has been shown to have an obvious, positive impact for female patients on detecting and dealing with breast cancer and uterine fibroids (Yeoh, Chew and Wang, 2006; Domingo et al., 2008; Wu, Yang and Zhou, 2010). Yet, despite widespread fear of cancer, on the health-seeking practices of Vietnamese people, studies have noted the lack of knowledge, as well as irregular check-ups among local women concerning breast and cervical cancer (Pham and McPhee, 1992; McPhee et al., 1997; Domingo et al., 2008).

In terms of marriage, married people are shown to have a higher likelihood of participating in annual physical check-ups, as seen in both developing and developed countries (Lai and Kalyniak, 2005; Leal et al., 2005; El-Haddad et al., 2015).

| Characteristics | N | Percentage (%) |
|-----------------|---|----------------|
| **Dependent variable** | | |
| Affordable self-paid cost for a periodic GHE (‘AffCost’) | | |
| Less than VND1mn | 876 | 42.36 |
| From VND1mn to 2mn | 909 | 43.96 |
| Above VND2mn | 283 | 13.68 |
| **Independent variables** | | |
| Sex (‘Sex’) | | |
| Male | 728 | 35.2 |
| Female | 1340 | 64.8 |
| Marital status (‘Maritalst’) | | |
| Married | 1186 | 57.35 |
| Unmarried | 877 | 42.41 |
| Other | 5 | 0.24 |
| Job status (‘Jobstt’) | | |
| Stable | 1123 | 54.3 |
| Unstable | 171 | 8.27 |
| Student | 548 | 26.5 |
| Retired | 37 | 1.79 |
| Home-maker | 85 | 4.11 |
| Other | 104 | 5.03 |
| Socioeconomic-cognitive factors | | |
| Health insurance (‘HealthIns’) | | |
| Yes | 1700 | 82.21 |
| No | 368 | 17.79 |
| Hesitation due to distrust in healthcare quality (‘HesitateTrustHq’) | | |
| Yes | 554 | 26.79 |
| No | 1514 | 73.21 |
| Perception toward public health status (‘CHPerc’) | | |
| Good | 337 | 16.3 |
| Quite good | 722 | 34.91 |
| Not good, problematic | 749 | 36.22 |
| Unknown | 260 | 12.57 |

| Note: VND1mn ≈ US$45 (formal exchange rate: US$1 equals approximately 22,200 Vietnamese Dong as of November 2016) | | | |
check-up (Naimi et al., 2006). Health insurance has been shown to improve the efficiency of healthcare services usages and was especially beneficial for the poor who have demand for screenings and treatment (Finkelstein, 2002; Ross and Mirowsky, 2000; Meer and Rosen, 2004). In Vietnam, national health insurance has been shown to have limited success in reducing medical expenses (Nguyen, 2012; Nguyen et al., 2012). Of the studies on periodic GHE in both developing and developed countries, health insurance coverage is related to a higher probability of using this service (Culica et al., 2002; Yeo and Jeong, 2012; Leal et al., 2005).

The two cognitive factors used in this study, namely perception toward public health status and hesitation to take GHE due to distrust in healthcare quality, are based on the understanding that mutual trust and communications could affect health outcomes (Glantz et al. 2008; Jepson et al., 2000). Problems in the health system could diminish such trust. A large-scale review of patient safety and care quality in developing countries in Southeast Asia found four major concerns, namely (i) patient infection risk, (ii) medications errors, (iii) maternal and perinatal care quality, and (iv) the overall healthcare quality (Harrison, Cohen and Walton, 2015). In Vietnam where healthcare services are being privatized, researchers have noted how many public hospitals are focusing on reaching revenues target rather than improving services (Minh (2011); Cong and Mai, 2014). A study on tuberculosis treatment and management in Vietnam highlights the distrust of patients and their families in the quality of healthcare delivery, such that the lower levels and status of the healthcare system are associated with low trust (Johansson and Winkvist, 2002). Vietnamese patients are known to frequently doubt their physicians’ advice, and thus, fail to follow through on the treatment (Vuong and Nguyen, 2015). Such distrust could hold people back from participating in periodic health screening. Here, because the doctors rely on a one-size-fits-all examination, the patients could feel as though their doctors are not genuinely interested in their views. This presents an asymmetrical relationship: the patients by feel as though their doctors are not genuinely interested in their participating in periodic health screening. Here, because the lower levels and status of the healthcare system are associated with low trust (Johansson and Winkvist, 2002). Vietnamese patients are known to frequently doubt their physicians’ advice, and thus, fail to follow through on the treatment (Vuong and Nguyen, 2015). Such distrust could hold people back from participating in periodic health screening. Here, because the doctors rely on a one-size-fits-all examination, the patients could feel as though their doctors are not genuinely interested in their views. This presents an asymmetrical relationship: the patients by feel as though their doctors are not genuinely interested in their participating in periodic health screening. Here, because the lower levels and status of the healthcare system are associated with low trust (Johansson and Winkvist, 2002).

This study will take into account the crisscrossing influences of the above factors over another in the decisions regarding the cost of periodic GHE in Vietnam.

**Method**

**Research questions.** This study sets out to answer the following three research questions (RQ):

**RQ1.** Given that health insurance, though mandatory in Vietnam, does not cover periodic health screenings, what are the probabilities of insured and uninsured health consumers willing to pay for this service? And what price level is the deal breaker?

**RQ2.** Is there empirical evidence to support the view that (i) perception of public health, (ii) trust in healthcare quality, (iii) job and (iv) marriage status influence the willingness of paying for periodic health checks?

**RQ3.** Is the likelihood of paying determined by the need for information and certainty about one’s health status?

**Study design and variables.** A data team of Vuong and Associates collected a total of 2068 observations from September to October 2016 through a randomised survey at various clinics, schools, companies and households in Hanoi and the surrounding areas. The final dataset, with its data descriptor approved by Nature Research (Vuong, 2017a), was also deposited in the Open Science Framework (Vuong, 2017b) and Harvard’s Dataverse (Ho, 2018).

To answer RQ1-3, the study uses two types of variables, dependent and independent variables, as described in Table 1 in the Results section. The categorisation of the dependent variable “AffCost”, which stands for Affordable self-paid cost for a periodic GHE, was based on the per capita health expenditure in Vietnam, which rose from US$14 in 1995 to US$86 in 2012 (Pham, 2016; Hoang et al., 2015).

**Statistical analysis.** Raw data was entered into MS Excel and saved as CSV files. Data manipulation and categorical structuring with multi-way contingency data tables were executed using R 3.3.1. The categorical regression technique using BCL models, specified in (Agresti, 2013a), was used for analyses. All dependent and explanatory variables in this article are discrete variables consisting of multiple categories. The multinomial logistic regression model was applied to evaluate the impact of the response variable, as well as their tendencies to change when the predictors change.

Logistic regression was used here for two reasons: (i) the model is comprised of predetermined number of variables, thus showing each variable’s significance more clearly; and (ii) explanations for estimated coefficients in empirical calculations can be acquired directly. Moreover, the BCL model can provide all odds ratios simultaneously between baselines and other categories.

The general equation of the baseline-categorical logit model is:

\[
\ln \frac{\pi_i(x)}{\pi_j(x)} = \alpha_j + \beta_j^T x, \quad j = 1, \ldots, J - 1
\]

in which \(x\) is the independent variable; and \(\pi_i(x) = P(Y = j|x)\) its probability. Thus \(\pi_i = P(Y_j = 1)\) with \(Y\) being the dependent variable. In the logit model in consideration, the probability of an event is calculated as:

\[
\pi_i(x) = \frac{e^{\alpha_i + \beta_i^T x}}{1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} e^{\alpha_i + \beta_i^T x}}
\]

with \(\sum_i \pi_i(x) = 1; \sum_i \alpha_i = 0; \) and \(\sum_i \beta_i = 0; \) in which \(n\) is the number of observations in the sample, \(j\) the categorical values of an observation \(i\), and \(h\) a row in basic matrix \(X\).

Estimated probabilities can be used to predict the possibilities of the person’s expenditure for a periodic GHE (low, medium, high) in certain conditions of such socio-economic and demographic variables (Vuon, 2015; Vuong, 2016a; Agresti, 2013b).

Estimated coefficients were computed through multi-variable logistic regression and were used to calculate empirical probabilities (Agresti, 2013b; Vuong, Napier and Tran, 2013; Vuong and Nguyen, 2017; Vuong, Nguyen and Vuong, 2017). The z-value and p-value indicate statistical significance of predictor variables in the models, with \(p<0.05\) being the conventional level of statistical significance required for a positive result (Vuong, Napier and Tran, 2013).

**Results**

**Descriptive statistics.** During the data survey, one in six persons refused to be interviewed for questionnaires. There are more females than males in the sample (64.80% vs. 35.2%). Married individuals and those with stable jobs accounted for a higher proportion (57.35% and 54.30% respectively) among participants. Due to health insurance being compulsory, 82.21% reported to be insured.
As shown in Table 1, the majority of respondents have a positive attitude toward medical service quality; 73.21% of them do not have any hesitation toward GHE. Regarding respondents’ perceptions toward public health status, “bad” (problematic) is the most-received answer (36.22%), mostly with environmental pollution and hygiene and food safety issues cited as reasons. With respect to an acceptable charge for a periodic GHE participation, lower than VND2mn is the most favored answer (86.32%).

Estimation probability of willing payments for GHE against socioeconomic and cognitive factors

Estimation. Employing logistic regression estimations with the dependent variable “AffCost” against three independent variables “HealthIns”, “HesitateTrustHq” and “CHPerc”, the results are reported in Table 2.

Table 2 shows that most estimated coefficients are statistically significant, implying correlations between the three socioeconomic factors mentioned above and the acceptable cost of periodic GHE. However, since not all of estimated coefficients are statistically significant, the goodness-of-fit test is used to ensure more solid conclusions. The estimated multi-way contingency table (Supplementary Table A in Supplementary file) shows that all fitted counts are larger than 5; coupled with a reasonable sample size, $G^2 = 2\sum \text{observed} \ln \left( \frac{\text{observed}}{\text{expected}} \right)$ is deduced to have an approximate $\chi^2$ distribution (Agresti, 2013a, b; Vuong, Nguyen and Vuong, 2017).

Considering the null hypothesis $H_0$: $\beta_i = 0$, $P$-value is computed as follows:

$$P - value = \Pr \left( \chi^2_{(df)} < G^2 \right) = \Pr \left( \chi^2_{(8)} < 11.4258 \right) = 0.179$$

Although the $P$-value = 0.179 does not present a fantastic goodness-of-fit, when combining with 6 out of 8 highly significant coefficients, the specification is still reasonable well fitted for further consideration. That means the model, albeit imperfect, is still worthwhile for considering the following empirical observations.

Regression equations are constructed depicting relationships between the response variable and the predictor variables as follows:

$$\ln \frac{\pi_{\text{low}}}{\pi_{\text{hi}}} = .746 + .595 \text{yes.HealthIns} + .515 \text{yes.HesitateTrustHq} - .608 \text{bad.CHPerc}$$

$$\ln \frac{\pi_{\text{med}}}{\pi_{\text{hi}}} = .828 + .433 \text{yes.HealthIns} + .23 \text{yes.HesitateTrustHq} - .118 \text{bad.CHPerc}$$

Fig. 1 A diagram of decision-making by health consumers at different GHE price levels under two cases, with and without health insurance. A: Insured health consumers are more likely to pay for GHE when the cost is low. B: Uninsured health consumers, by contrast, are more inclined to pay for GHE even when the cost is high.

Interpretations. One remarkable aspect revealed in the analysis was the subtle changes in the behaviour and attitude of Vietnamese healthcare consumers when the cost shifts from low to high even by a mere VND1mn (about US$45). Here we draw out some interesting patterns of behaviour.

First, Fig. 1 summarises the changes in probabilities of customers’ willing payments when controlling the factors: health insurance, perception toward the state of public health, and hesitation due to mistrust in healthcare quality (Supplementary Table B). The results answer RQ1 by highlighting two points clearly. First, when the cost is high, the likelihood of paying for GHE is low (<24%), signalling that VND2mn might be the deal breaker. Second, insured individuals are more willing to pay for a periodic health check when the cost is low while the opposite is true for uninsured individuals, with the differences in probability ranging between 5 and 8 percentage points in both cases.

This pattern of behaviour seems counterintuitive on the surface, yet, is in fact understandable given that Vietnamese national health insurance does not cover the cost for GHE but for clinical examination and treatment (International Cooperation Department, 2017). In this context, the insured are certain about being covered in case they have some health problems; hence, they tend to be more comfortable with paying at the low cost for a general health check-up. This certainty about having a guarantee for illness treatment later, however assuring it might feel, in no way reduces the risks of a health crisis. Thus, the attitude of insured people in this case shows a level of bounded rationality (Editorial, 2017). On the other hand, the uninsured tend to be more willing to pay for more expensive health screening likely because they are seeking information about their health status to minimise the risks concerning their future health.

While the result here reflects the behaviour of a specific region of Vietnam, this attitude, in closer examination, helps explain the rationality in the conventional practice of private insurance providers—inviting potential insurance buyers to take a GHE at designated places for free. In terms of information economics,
such measure allows the insurance companies to gain information about their potential customer’s health and ensure profitability. This interpretation refutes the viewpoint that GHE has no value (Kast et al., 2004; Nupponen, 1996; Merenstein, Daumit and Powe, 2006).

In addition, as shown in Fig. 2, cognitive factors such as perception of public health and the distrust in healthcare service are both associated with the shifts in the willingness to pay for GHE. This result, addressing RQ2, is observed in both the insured and uninsured, although Fig. 2 presents only cases of the insured. Here, Fig. 2a shows the probabilities of high and medium spending increase with the trust in healthcare service quality, while it is the opposite for the low cost. For perception toward public health, Fig. 2b shows that the probability of low spending increases when the view shifts from “bad” to more favourable, while the probabilities of medium and high spending decrease. Details of probabilities could be viewed in Supplementary Information, with Supplementary Tables A and B and Supplementary Figs. A, B, C, D.

Estimating probability of willing payments for GHE against demographic factors

Estimation. The same logistic regression procedures are applied to figure out the correlations between periodic GHE willing payments and three demographic factors: gender, job status and marital status. Table 3 displays the estimation results of logistic regression model among these above variables.

Using the goodness-of-fit test, the fitted contingency table is computed and presented in Supplementary Table C (all supplementary tables and figures are provided in the file: Supplementary Information.pdf).

The P-value is calculated as: $Pr(\chi^2(8) < 18.6811) = 0.017 (<0.05)$, suggesting a satisfactory goodness-of-fit for the model. This inference reinforces the associations between the above-mentioned variables which were reported in Supplementary Table C.

In the same manner, Eq. 13 and Eq. 14 are formed based on Table 3:

$$\ln \frac{\pi_{\text{low}}}{\pi_{\text{hi}}} = 1.141 - 0.444 \, \text{Jobstt} + 0.852 \, \text{Other. Maritalstt} - 0.265 \, \text{Male} \quad (13)$$

$$\ln \frac{\pi_{\text{med}}}{\pi_{\text{hi}}} = 1.05 + 0.45 \, \text{Jobstt} + 0.544 \, \text{Other. Maritalstt} - 0.234 \, \text{Male} \quad (14)$$

Distributions of probabilities of periodic GHE willing payments conditional upon gender, marital status and health insurance are presented in Supplementary Table D.

Interpretations. Similar to socio-economic and cognitive variables, however which demographic variables are controlled, the probability of willingness to spend more than VND2mn, the high range, is significantly lower than that of low and medium ranges (high-cost group: 7.6% to 20.4%; low-medium cost: 31.4% to 55.3%). This observation confirms the sensitivity to cost of Vietnamese healthcare consumers, specifically at a price above VND2mn.

The findings, as illustrated in Fig. 3, also answer RQ2 by showing how the willingness to pay for GHE changes when job

| Table 3 Estimation results of regression among willing payments for GHE and sex, job status, marital status |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Intercept** | Jobstt Stable, Other, Maritalstt | **Sex** |
| | | **Male** |
| logit(low|hi) | 1.141** [4.480] | −0.444** [3.548] | 0.852*** [3.059] | −0.265 [−4.253] |
| logit(med|hi) | 1.050*** [5.120] | 0.045 [2.675] | 0.544*** [1.355] | −0.234* [−0.852] |

Significance: **p < 0.0001**, *p < 0.01*, **p < 0.05**; z-value in square brackets; baseline category for: Jobstt = other, Maritalstt = married, Sex = female. Residual deviance: 18.6811 on 8 d.f.
Finally, the fourth shortcoming of this study lies in the possibility of applying other models to explain the health decisions here. Like a large body of research on periodic health screening, the study uses logistic regression to evaluate the association between certain factors and GHE attendance, with the unique focus on consumers’ sensitivity to service cost. Other studies opt to apply health behaviour theories, such as the health belief model (Becker, 1974), to explain the health screening participation (Parsa et al., 2006; Shiloh, Vinter and Barak, 1997). Another potential method is to apply game theory to evaluate the relationships between healthcare consumers and providers or between patients and insurers. For instance, three models of game theory, namely the Prisoner’s Dilemma, the Assurance game, and the Centipede game, were used to analyse the two-way interaction in a medical consultation (Tarrant, Stokes, and Colman, 2004). Agee and Gates (2013) applies game theory to predict that a healthcare market may undergo a price inflation if providers set prices for services autonomously and in a non-cooperative manner. As such, when all parties act in cooperative way, the results are lower administrative costs and higher profit margins for the providers and the insurers (Agee and Gates, 2013).

**Implications.** Nonetheless, given the imperative of understanding issues regarding public health and exploring necessary conditions for bringing more practical preventive medicine measures to the populace, these limitations are considered acceptable for now and should be overcome in future studies. What this study offers are unique insights from a developing country on how the specific pricing of a preventive medical service, in relations to various factors, could influence the decision-making of consumers.

The behaviour of consumers in this survey underlines how people value information or certainty about one’s health status differently. Through the statistical analysis, the study shows that the likelihood of paying is indeed determined by the need for information and certainty (RQ3). In the three cases examined here, the uninsured, married, and employed all seek to stay updated on their physical conditions, and thus, are more willing to pay a higher price for a physical check-up. In economics terms, they are more risk averse than their counterparts due to the justifiable fears of future uncertainty. For example, in case of an unexpected illness, people in these groups will likely face a higher cost, whether that be an expensive hospital bill or disruption to the existing job and lifestyle. Along this line of behavioural economics (Editorial, 2017), the study also explores the connection through bounded rationality between the spending and providing behaviours of healthcare customers and private insurance companies. Here, both sides seek to gain information about health status that could help them lower future risks – either an unexpected sickness or a low profit from a costly medical bill. The rational behaviours of two independent parties who have different interests are harmonised through optimising the value of information. These insights present an often-underappreciated value of general health screening and clearly provides an evidence against the view that the practice is a waste of resources (Kast et al., 2004; Nupponen, 1996; Merenstein, Daumit and Powe, 2006; Krogbøll et al., 2012; Howard-Tripp, 2011; Coﬀey et al., 2001; Thrall, 2009).

The results, therefore, have certain implications for improving health outcomes. Healthcare providers should reconsider the pricing of GHE so as to encourage timely preventive medical practice, as well as to help reduce the out-of-pocket payment. On how pricing could carry certain meanings for consumers, the World Bank (2014) cited a study by Kremer and Glennerster (2011) which shows the willingness of people to adopt many
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**Fig. 3** A diagram of decision-making by male and female health consumers at different GHE price levels under two cases, with and without job and marriage. C. In the case of low-cost GHE, the probability of paying for the service is higher among people without job and marriage than those with job and marriage. D. By contrast, in the case of high-cost, the probability of paying for GHE among people without job and marriage is lower than among those with job and marriage
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**Discussion**

**Limitations.** This paper faces a number of limitations as follows. First, due to the nature of the random sample, the analysis was not able to control for equivalent sizes of subgroups of respondents. Therefore, the results, despite being empirically verified, should be interpreted with caution, with particular attention on the skewness toward a younger population. Second, as the dataset does not reflect the numerical level of households/individual income, the categorical value, albeit useful and successfully modelled, may be somewhat broad for a more useful explanation, such as computing threshold values. Third, the study could not generalise the results beyond the specific region examined here—which is Hanoi and its vicinities.
health goods at a price of zero (or almost zero) and their complete hesitation when prices edge up above zero.

In the case of Vietnam, particularly the metropolis of Hanoi and its surrounding neighbourhood, because a general health check is not fully covered by insurance, any service that is priced at VND2mn or higher may be unaffordable for many people. This is understandable given the monthly income per capita in Vietnam’s urban region was VND4.37mn in 2016 (versus VND3.05mn across the country) (General Statistics Office of Vietnam Health (2018)), a level on par with other developing countries. Reducing the prices of routine health check-ups for Vietnamese consumers could encourage more people, especially the low-income, to take up the service.

For future research direction, it is important to empirically verify and measure influences of socio-economic and demographic factors on GHE in relations to plausible and implementable employment policies and trends of working environment. Moreover, results from different geographical regions are necessary for further research. Such a research attempt would require a significantly larger dataset reflecting different geographical, socio-economic and cultural traits.

Conclusion
The results in this study challenge the usual objections to periodic health screening by highlighting its utility through a number of sensitivity level analyses. In short, the first major finding here is the cost level that is highly sensitive to Vietnamese healthcare consumers. Based on the results, the majority of respondents became very reluctant to pay for a health service equal to or above VND2mn (about US$990).

The study also suggests some factors that could raise the spending willingness of healthcare consumers. Regarding demographic factors, the study confirms that people with different marital and job statuses diverge in their views on healthcare expenditure. Other studies have suggested job and marriage increase the probability of GHE participation (Lai and Kalyniak, 2005; Leal et al., 2005; El-Haddad et al., 2015; Sun et al., 2014; Culica et al., 2002), in this study, we show that stable jobs and marriage could raise the probabilities of higher spending for this service.

Regarding socioeconomic-cognitive factors, studies in the past have shown how the trust in healthcare quality and health insurance coverage could influence the uptake of GHE (Naimi et al., 2006; Culica et al., 2002; Yeo and Jeong, 2012; Leal et al., 2005), this study confirms the effect of these factors on consumers’ spending attitude. Here, distrust in healthcare quality and favourable perception toward public health status could both reduce the likelihood of spending for GHE at the medium or high range (>VND1mn or about US$45). Thus, in order to encourage frequent general health screenings, besides lowering prices, service providers and public health policymakers should seek to reduce consumers’ concerns about the healthcare quality and improve the image of public health status. More importantly, the statistical analysis shows that uninsured people, those without the certainty that they are covered when there are health problems, tend to be less sensitive to cost as they seek information to reduce the uncertainty about their future health risks. This has provided a clear value of periodic GHE—a means to obtain more information about one’s physical condition and thereby minimise future risks.

Finally, in the wake of any public health crisis, the results of this study suggest policymakers highlight the value of information—for instance, awareness of the disease, knowledge one’s physical conditions and the neighbourhood’s—through targeted ads campaigns. Understanding that people often make decisions in an instinctive or ‘heuristic’ manner is the key to improve the effectiveness of public health policies. There has been a similar suggestion in this regard, calling for public health to promote approaches that demand little or no effort as humans are effort minimisers (Hallschwart, 2017; Editorial, 2017). In addition, given that humans naturally crave situations with certainty, when targeting the more risk averse group, another suggestion is to emphasise the utility of a service instead of the perceived loss. Researchers have confirmed that presenting the information as gain-framed messages (“If you regularly examine your health, you will gain more information about your health and be more certain about your future”), rather than loss-framed messages (“If you do not regularly examine your health, you will lack the information about yourself and face more uncertainty later), is effective in nudging people to adopt preventive behaviours (World Bank, 2014). In any cases, what should always be encouraged is for both the patients and physicians to engage in shared and informed decision making.
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