Recurrent hamstring muscle injury: applying the limited evidence in the professional football setting with a seven-point programme
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ABSTRACT

Recurrent hamstring injuries are a major problem in sports such as football. The aim of this paper was to use a clinical example to describe a treatment strategy for the management of recurrent hamstring injuries and examine the evidence for each intervention. An professional footballer sustained five hamstring injuries in a relatively short period of time. The injury was managed successfully with a seven-point programme—biomechanical assessment and correction, neurodynamics, core stability, eccentric strengthening, an overload running programme, injection therapies and stretching/relaxation. The evidence for each of these treatment options is reviewed. It is impossible to be definite about which aspects of the programme contributed to a successful outcome. Only limited evidence is available in most cases; therefore, decisions regarding the use of different treatment modalities must be made by using a combination of clinical experience and research evidence.

INTRODUCTION

Hamstring muscle injuries (HMIs) present one of the greatest challenges for those working with athletes and are known to be the most common injuries in sports that involve high-speed running such as athletics,1 cricket2 and the various football codes.3–8 Recurrence levels are high, ranging from 12% to 63%.4–6 9–12 The first month after return to play (RTP) is the highest risk time for recurrence,4 13 though the risk remains elevated for at least 12 months.9 14 15 Despite a rapidly expanding body of the literature investigating HMI in recent decades,16 there has been no significant reduction in HMI rates in professional sport17 18 and recurrences typically cost the athlete more playing time than primary injury.10 17 19 HMIs are heterogeneous, making their prognosis difficult to predict20 and there is no consensus on a single clinical or functional test or imaging investigation that provides strict criteria for safe RTP.21 A recent systematic review of risk factors for recurrent HMI determined that only five prospective studies fulfilled its inclusion criteria and concluded that no risk factor could be judged as having strong or moderate evidence (box 1).22 Evidence for addressing modifiable risk factors includes hamstring muscle strengthening and effecting change in associated properties such as hamstring:quadriceps (H/Q) strength ratios and optimum angle of peak torque26 for which reliability for the different measures varies.17 38

There is little evidence regarding the efficacy of various treatments for HMI. Recent reviews of interventions for acute hamstring injury39 40 included only three randomised studies that included time to RTP as an outcome. No paper studied the same intervention. Consequently, clinicians are obliged to consider the evidence that is available in conjunction with their own clinical experience and apply it to the individual case in determining the particular treatment regime to be implemented.41

The aim of this paper was to use a clinical example to describe a treatment strategy for the management of recurrent hamstring injuries and examine the evidence for each intervention.

CLINICAL PRESENTATION

A 26-year-old professional footballer first sustained an injury to his right hamstring when sprinting during a match. Clinically42 and radiologically43 he presented with a grade 2 biceps femoris musculotendinous junction strain (figure 1). Intermuscular haemorrhage tracking around the sciatic nerve was noted.

Past injuries included left adductor strain 18 months prior; left soleus strain 18 months prior; left proximal hamstring tendinopathy 17 months prior; right knee grade 3 medial collateral ligament (MCL) tear 16 months prior; right Achilles reactive tendinopathy onset 8 months prior and left adductor strain 6 months prior.

Treatment included

RICE followed by soft tissue massage, stretching, core strengthening, progressive agility and neuromuscular control exercises, a graded running programme and an isolated hamstring strengthening programme with specific emphasis on eccentric exercises. He returned to full-team training (RTT) 21 days postinjury, RTP 30 days postinjury and then played the next three games without incident.

Two days after his third game, when the player was walking, he experienced a gradual onset of posterior thigh pain. Clinically, he presented with a grade 1 hamstring strain that was MRI negative. He RTT 7 days postinjury and RTP 16 days postinjury, playing a full game without incident. The player then went on holiday for a month which involved long periods of travel, sustained sitting and minimal exercise.

On returning to preseason training, he complained of ‘tightness’ in his right hamstring from the second day of training, worsening until day 5 when he was withdrawn from training reporting...
more intense discomfort after kicking a ball in shooting for goal. Clinically, he presented with a grade 1 hamstring injury that was confirmed on MRI (figure 2) to be more medial, towards the epimysium and not continuous with the scar tissue from the initial injury.

Given the player’s history of lower back pain, symptoms of ‘tightness’ on the right side and a feeling of general restriction throughout the lumbar spine, an epidural corticosteroid injection was performed at L4–5 followed by 2 days of complete rest from physical activity.

Postinjection the player reported a general improvement in his feeling of ‘freedom’ on the right side and a feeling of general restriction throughout the lumbar spine, an epidural corticosteroid injection was performed at L4–5 followed by 2 days of complete rest from physical activity.
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Figure 2 Axial T2-weighted image with fat saturation showing interfascicular oedema (arrows) centrally reflecting grade 1 injury, in the long head of biceps femoris.

Five days later he started another pre-season game. After 5 min, he sprinted with the ball and crossed the ball with a whipping action and immediately felt some tightness in his hamstring, without an associated feeling of tearing or pain, and was thereafter unable to sprint with confidence. He left the pitch and again presented clinically with a grade 1 injury. Subsequent MRI was reported as a small grade 2 injury (figure 3). This injury was again within the long head of biceps femoris muscle, at a similar level, but not continuous with the site of any previous injury.
After this fourth episode the player underwent a more prolonged and intensive rehabilitation which included progressions based on the percentage of normal running activity in a game (accelerations, repeated efforts, total distance and high-speed distance). The strength programme had an even greater bias on eccentric hip and knee dominant hamstring strength exercises. He RTT 25 days postinjury and RTP 34 days postinjury as a substitute coming on late in the game. Despite extensively warming up prior to joining the play, after 2 min he accelerated at medium intensity and felt some hamstring pain. He played the few remaining minutes but was unable to sprint. Clinically, he presented with a grade 2 strain but MRI showed only a small myofascial tear (figure 4). The player had completed a full week’s training prior to this game including repeated maximal sprinting and match play situations at full intensity.

At this stage, the player had sustained five hamstring injuries in 5 months, none very severe clinically, all of which had undergone a routine yet comprehensive rehabilitation and graded RTP programme that had been successful with other players.

Table 1 summarises the episodes of hamstring injury.

Investigations
Between the fifth episode and RTP, a number of additional investigations were performed, including a standard lumbar and posterior thigh MRI; an upright lumbar MRI with standing, sitting and hamstring flexion views and nerve conduction studies, all of which were normal. Isokinetic assessment was conducted 22 days after the final episode using a Biodex 3. After familiarisation, the player completed five maximal efforts of concentric knee flexion and extension from 0° to 90° knee flexion at 60/°s. The results demonstrated right hamstring strength > left (142 Nm vs 128 Nm, 10% asymmetry); right H/Q ratio < left (0.57 vs 0.62) and right peak torque angle > left (24° vs 23°).

THE SEVEN-PART MANAGEMENT PLAN
The clinical challenge was to identify and address any possible factors that may have contributed to the recurrences, and to review and modify the rehabilitation programme accordingly. Potentially relevant risk factors and modifiable hamstring muscle properties are noted in table 2.

It was decided early in this injury management to prolong the rehabilitation period to enable a higher volume of intervention and loading. Given the lack of evidence on known univariate risk factors, we investigated a range of other issues that the available evidence and our collective clinical experience suggested may be contributing to elevated risk of reinjury.

Biomechanical assessment and correction
A biomechanical assessment included review by a sports podiatrist. Significant findings included asymmetrical ankle joint dorsiflexion (L=7.5 cm vs R=9 cm on dorsiflexion lung testing)\(^ {45} \) \(^ {46} \) and a positive Trendelenburg sign\(^ {47} \) on the right side with associated internal femoral rotation on treadmill gait analysis. Pelvic assessment was suggestive of a slight anterior rotation of the right ilium with associated functional leg length discrepancy (left approximately 5 mm longer than right).

The player was fitted with custom-made semirigid orthotics to address the 5 mm leg length discrepancy. They were worn in both trainers and football boots from the start of the rehabilitation programme. Specific hip abductor and lateral trunk strengthening exercises were increased into his programme.

Osteopathic manual therapy was started aiming at achieving a sustained resolution of the anterior tilt of the right ilium. Initial manipulation of the ilium was performed by an osteopathic specialist in Germany. Further sacroiliac joint (SIJ) manipulation was undertaken on a weekly basis and combined with specific stretches of the posterior chain. Other manipulations performed included right anterior astragalum (anterior-external position), anterior fibula head, internal hip, anterior iliacum and horizontal tal sacrum, T11-L2, T7-C1 level and first rib (right side) and occipital-C1-C2.

Neurodynamics
Although adverse neurodynamic signs were not objectively identified clinically or radiologically—SLR and slump test had at all times been consistently equal and within normal ranges as were repeated normal lumbar MRIs—the player continued to complain of symptoms consistent with a neural contribution to his presentation. Relevant complaints included prolonged sitting, especially while driving, causing numbness and aching in the right buttock and posterior thigh. The player also complained of a ‘general restriction’ in the right side during swing phase of high-speed running and kicking, and an asymmetrical feeling of heaviness on the right side when fatigued. These symptoms were addressed in two ways.

The first epidural (L4/5) resulted in an immediate resolution of these neural symptoms. However, the player felt that the effect wore off after 4–5 days which coincided with a long car journey in which the player had been sitting for 3 h. It is unclear whether the effect of the epidural had indeed worn off rapidly, or if it had been mechanically counteracted by sustaining the provocative sitting and flexion. Due to the initial success of the epidural and unknown influence of the prolonged sitting and flexion on negating its effectiveness, a second epidural was performed between the fourth and fifth episodes, with a more prolonged rest period followed by strict instructions on avoiding any periods of prolonged sitting.

The initial rehabilitations included mobilising the neural structures within the posterior thigh via sliding techniques\(^ {48} \) complemented by mobilising and releasing areas along the course of the sciatic nerve. This included passive accessory mobilisation and manipulation of lower lumbar segments, manual release and stretching of the hip rotators, intermuscular mobilisation of the hamstring muscle group and mobilisation of the proximal tibio-fibular joint due to its close proximity to the common peroneal nerve.

As these techniques were components of the previous failed rehabilitations, a more aggressive neural mobilisation approach was started incorporating an SLR-biased technique sensitised
Table 1  Summary of features of each hamstring injury episode

| Episode | Days until next episode | Onset | In | Mechanism | Clinical grade | AKE deficit | Days to walk pain free | Grade | Site          | Distance from ischial tuberosity | Days to RTT | Days to RTP | Eccentric strength sessions pre-RTP | Team training sessions pre-RTP | Days missed | Games played to next episode |
|---------|-------------------------|-------|----|-----------|----------------|-------------|------------------------|-------|--------------|-------------------------------|-------------|-------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|-----------------------------|
| 1       | 46                      | Sudden| Match | Sprint  | 2              | 15°         | 2                      | 2     | Long head    | 11.7 cm                      | 21          | 30          | 6                                | 5                            | 3            | 3                           |
| 2       | 49                      | Insidious | Theme park | Walking-2 days postgame | 1              | 0°          | 1                      | 0     | N/A          | N/A                          | 7           | 16          | 5                                | 4                            | 1            | 1                           |
| 3       | 27                      | Sudden on gradual | Training | Kicking (shooting at goal) | 1              | 0°          | 1                      | 1     | Long head    | 23.6 cm                      | 10          | 21          | 7                                | 4                            | N/A preseason | 1                           |
| 4       | 35                      | Gradual | Match | After long high-speed run | 1              | 0°          | 1                      | 2     | Long head    | 27.9 cm                      | 25          | 34          | 11                               | 7                            | 4            | 0                           |
| 5       | Sudden Match | Low speed run 2 min after 81 min on bench | 1              | 0°          | 2                      | Short head | 28 cm                  | 28          | 38          | 12                               | 7                            | 5            |                             |
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with internal hip rotation, adduction and dorsiflexion. This was applied daily with a dosage of 3×10 repetitions.

Core stability/neuromuscular control/lumbar spine strengthening

The player’s core stability programme was redesigned. The programme included a combination of global core type exercises; control exercises with an emphasis on transversus abdominis and internal oblique recruitment and reformer-based Pilates exercises with the aim of combining lumbopelvic control with dynamic recruitment of the hip extensors and hamstring muscles. The player continued to participate in the squad injury prevention programme, a multistation session conducted twice per week before training. These sessions comprise a circuit of proprioceptive, neuromuscular control, core stability/strength and a varied lower limb strength exercise.

Core strengthening was supplemented using Document Based Care (DBC; www.dbc-clinic.com) core strengthening machines which have been designed to isolate the specific muscles involved in trunk core stability through a series of specific loaded exercises incorporating the main global lumbar movements (extension, rotation, flexion and side flexion) while limiting movement and activity in the muscles around the hips and thoracic spine. Exercises on the DBC machines were completed every other day from week 2 of the rehabilitation.

Increase strength in hamstrings with eccentric-biased programme

Eccentric strengthening should form an essential part of any hamstring rehabilitation programme and the player was exposed to this early in all the rehabilitations. Initially, this was in the form of manual eccentric strengthening exercises completed prone on the plinth in three sets of six repetitions with maximal pain-free effort. From week 2, the player completed a 1-in-3 day-strength programme which included both hip and knee dominant hamstring exercises. The programme included double and single leg reverse dead lifts, Nordic hamstring exercises with variations of hip angle, high box step ups, YoYo fly-wheel hamstring exercises and slide board hamstring curls in a bridge position.

In the final ‘failed’ rehabilitation, the player completed 11 strength sessions; in the latter rehabilitation the number of strength sessions was similar (12) with a similar volume of eccentric strength exercises. The significant difference was an increase in the number of exercises which focused on faster speed of contraction at longer hamstring muscle lengths such as drop lunges (hip dominant) and single leg cable hamstring curls in a bridge position.

Table 2  Currently proposed risk factors after episode 5

| Risk factor                                | Proposed by         |
|--------------------------------------------|---------------------|
| History of HMI                             | 5 episodes in 5 months |
| Age≥23/24                                  | age 26              |
| Black African/Caribbean ethnicity          | Yes                 |
| Past ACL/major knee injury history         | No: as per definition in study but Gd 3 MCL 18 months prior |
| Past osteitis pubis                        | No: as per definition in study but bilateral Sportsman’s hernia repair 8 years prior |

Non-modifiable risk factors

Bilateral hamstring strength asymmetry:≥8% (REFS)

Concentric h/Q ratio<0.66 (REFS)

Optimum angle (REFS) 23° (vs 24°)

HMI, hamstring muscle injury.

Table 3  Summary of the running overload programme

| Cycle | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
|-------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Day 1 | 50% speed+match | 60% speed+match | 70% speed+match | 80% speed+match | 85% speed+match | 90% speed+match | 95% speed+match | 100% speed+match |
| Day 2 | MAS/assessment | MAS | MAS | MAS | MAS | MAS | MAS | MAS |
| Day 3 | Bike | Off | Pool | Off | Bike | Off | Pool | Off |

MAS, maximal aerobic speed.

Overload running programme

Hamstring rehabilitation protocols typically involve incremental progression of running speed with supplementary conditioning when appropriate. The total running and non-weight-bearing training load is usually progressed based on standard weekly training distances and high-speed running intensities. During the player’s previous HMI, this type of running progression was administered, with the player RTT after completing various objective assessments.

Running load was significantly increased during this latter rehabilitation. The programme was designed to overload the player with high-speed running to provide greater exposure to excessive eccentric loads during this specific movement. It comprised eight sets of 3 day cycles with consecutive days of running followed by a day of ‘off legs’ conditioning (pool training). The first of the two running days was progressed over the 24-day period based on both the maximum running speed and the player’s individual training and match high-speed running and accelerations/deceleration requirements.

The second of the two running days was a submaximal running session based on the player’s maximal aerobic speed (MAS). This session (4×8×100 m at 120% MAS or 6 m/s) was, therefore, conducted eight times and this not only improved the player’s aerobic running power but also served as a regular fitness test. As the session was standardised, close heart rate (HR) and Heart Rate Variability (HRV) monitoring determined the player’s adaptation to this standard protocol during the rehabilitation.

Table 3 demonstrates the programme.

The programme was monitored using GPS tracking which provided data on variables including total distance, number of high-speed efforts, high-speed metres and accelerations/decelerations during training sessions. In conjunction with video-based tracking of matches (Prozone), this provides an objective measure of each player’s ‘standard week’ in terms of training and match loads. From this standard week incremental load percentages can be calculated.
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Injection therapies
The player consulted an international physician 5 days after the last episode. The physician concluded that this HMI was not serious and that abnormalities in the lumbar region were contributing to the reinjuries. He injected a number of sites with local anaesthetic followed by a mixture of Traumeel and Actovegin. Injections were performed in the lumbar regions centrally, over the facet joints and the iliolumbar ligaments bilaterally and the right SIJ. Three similar injections were administered into and adjacent to the HMI site.

Stretching/yoga/relaxation
The player started regular sessions of yoga-based stretching and relaxation with a qualified DRU yoga instructor. These consisted of DRU fascial warm-up techniques; intense hamstring and low back stretches; guided DRU relaxations and DRU breath training (techniques to improve lung capacity, stamina and to activate the relaxation response through the body). Sessions were undertaken for 60 min twice per week. The player soon reported subjective improvement in his hamstring freedom.

OUTCOME
The player RTT 33 days postinjury and was deemed available for selection in 39 days. He then RTP 42 days postinjury. He played 33 out of a possible 42 games until the end of the season. He missed seven games with a ruptured plantaris but did not miss any due to hamstring problems. He continued this treatment regime at maintenance level during this period.

DISCUSSION
History and recurrence risk
There are a number of possible causative links between the player’s previous injuries and this series of HMI. These included right knee grade 3 MCL rupture 16 months prior. Managed conservatively and despite causing no knee symptoms since RTP persistent laxity may induce a subtle change in knee biomechanics during end-stage swing phase. It is possible that incomplete rehabilitation from the player’s right adductor strain 18 months prior may increase load on the lateral hamstrings to compensate for medial weakness. However, the player has not had any adductor symptoms since the injury and his objective signs on pre season screening were within ‘normal’ ranges.

Right Achilles tendinopathy pain earlier in the season may have resulted in altered running mechanics and reduced plantar flexor power though this was not specifically measured. The player had proximal left hamstring pain 17 months prior reporting a similar feeling of ‘restriction’ during swing phase when high-speed running. Investigations of the lumbar spine at that time showed no abnormality and he was diagnosed with proximal hamstring tendinopathy. The symptoms of pain soon resolved, but a feeling of ‘restriction’ persisted for approximately 1 year.

SEVEN PART MANAGEMENT PLAN
Seven interventions were performed following the fifth episode of HMI. There are varying degrees of evidence for these interventions.

Biomechanical assessment and correction
A significant association between functional leg length asymmetries of greater than 1.8 cm and approximately four times greater risk of HMI has been demonstrated in professional footballers. Leg length asymmetries have also been associated with innominate bone rotation, anteriorly on the side of the shortened and posteriorly on the side of the lengthened limb. SJJ dysfunction has also been reported to be associated with gluteal hip extensor weakness in patients with lower back pain, compared with patients with lower back pain without SJJ dysfunction. Elite sprinters who subsequently sustained HMI also demonstrated reduced concentric hip extensor strength relative to uninjured sprinters. These findings suggest that there may be a relationship between SJJ dysfunction, gluteal hip extensor weakness and HMI.

Despite treatment restoring the normal load transfer patterns on dynamic pelvic girdle testing during active straight leg raise in supine and in weightbearing the player consistently demonstrated a small leg length asymmetry. Despite this asymmetry being considerably less than 1.8 cm and within normal variance and measurement error, given the circumstances of the player’s recurrent injury history, custom-made orthotics were prescribed to correct this asymmetry. They were immediately well tolerated and remain a component of the player’s overall management regimen even though their specific effect is incalculable.

The anatomical relationship between biceps femoris, which is also the most commonly injured of the hamstring muscles, and SJJ stiffness has been demonstrated. Several authors have also demonstrated the relationship between SJJ dysfunction and alterations in load transfer throughout the pelvis via leg asymmetries during walking, altered muscle activation timing and strength including earlier hamstring activity onset during functional movements and compromised pelvic stability. Subjects recovering from HMI have similarly been shown to demonstrate earlier hamstring activity onset when preparing for single leg stance, suggesting a common trend of altered neuromuscular control between patients with SJJ dysfunction and hamstring injury.

However, it appears that this relationship between SJJ dysfunction and the hamstrings relates to muscle activity onset timing rather than the muscle length as was proposed. The hamstring length has also been shown not to be associated with the degree of lumbar lordosis or anterior pelvic tilt in several studies despite excessive anterior pelvic tilt being suggested to be associated with HMI.

SJJ dysfunction has been reported in subjects with HMI though the inter-rater reliability of many of the tests used is known to be poor with almost all subjects including controls in these studies identified as having some level of dysfunction. While Cibulka et al. claimed that SJJ manipulation resulted in an increase in hamstring peak torque measured isokinetically, significant methodological flaws undermine the interpretation of this outcome and further research is required to validate the role of SJJ manipulation in the treatment of the player with HMI. It is also important to differentiate between studies diagnosing SJJ dysfunction and those based on identifying the SJJ as a pain source using a combination of manual pain provocation tests.

Asymmetries in hip joint ROM have also been associated with SJJ dysfunction in patients with lower back pain and pelvic innominate tilt has been reported to alter following SJJ manipulation. Future research is proposed to investigate the effects of a pelvic belt on injured hamstring function. Despite the current lack of clarity in the evidence, the theoretical basis for manual therapy to treat clinically diagnosed SJJ dysfunction justifies consideration in the management of the player with HMI.

Neurodynamics
Neural tension has been shown not to be a risk factor for HMI in two prospective studies in Australian Rules...
football. However, its relationship with hamstring flexibility suggests that such ‘sub-threshold’ risk factors may combine to increase the recurrence risk. Neural tension has been shown to limit movement and increase stretch resistance when compared to hamstring flexibility measures without added neural tension with this attributed to the extensibility of the neural tissues.

Adverse neural tension, defined as a positive slump test reproducing the player’s posterior thigh pain, subsequently reduced by cervical extension, was present in over half of a sample of rugby union players with a history of two or more clinically diagnosed grade 1 HMI in the past 2 years. This contrasted with no positive tests in age, gender and rugby position-matched control subjects. Despite this there was no difference in hamstring flexibility between groups. The authors suggested that the proximity of the sciatic nerve to the hamstrings implicates scarring potentially compromising the normal mobility and nutrition of the sciatic nerve. This suggests that clinical signs of adverse neural tension, or more aptly ‘neurodynamics’ as proposed by Shacklock, can persist beyond functional recovery.

Research has shown that including slump stretching in the rehabilitation of AFL players with clinically diagnosed grade 1 HMI and a positive slump test resulted in fewer missed matches prior to RTP. Sciatic nerve slider exercises have also been shown to immediately increase lumbar flexion and slump test ROM in comparison to hamstring muscle stretching in isolation in a small pilot study of young footballers.

Shacklock suggests that the primary mechanical fault in the subject with positive neurodynamic tests may be one of ‘reduced sliding (neural sliding dysfunction)’ which implies a lack of mobility rather than excessive tension. Despite the player consistently demonstrating clinically acceptable symmetrical ranges and absence of symptoms on SLR and slump testing, his history and symptom of a ‘lack of freedom’ when running at high speed suggested a neural component to his presentation. Following the most recent HMI more aggressive and frequent neural mobilisation techniques were included in the player’s management regimen, particularly immediately prior to training and playing.

The rate of MRI-negative scans for clinically diagnosed HMI ranges from 14% to 45%. This relatively common clinical presentation implies a referred posterior thigh pain, subsequently injection. This was undertaken following his third injury. On returning to running 4 days post-injection, during which time he had rested from all physical activity and avoided any sustained sitting, the player reported immediate and complete relief of his symptom of ‘lack of freedom’ during swing phase of running. However, an unavoidable long drive the following day corresponded to a return of his posterior thigh ache when sitting and during his next running session the day after he reported his ‘lack of freedom’ again. This sequence further indicated a mechanical relationship between his lumbar spine and running symptoms and hence the epidural injection was repeated at the next available opportunity.

Unilateral lumbar spine zygapophyseal joint mobilisations have been shown to immediately improve SLR ROM which is a valid measure of lower limb mechanosensitivity. Ankle dorsiflexion induces a different and earlier pattern of muscle activation and also significantly reduces SLR ROM. Oscillatory unilateral lumbar spine mobilisation at L4-5 has also been shown to induce immediate side-specific changes in lower limb sympathetic nervous system(SNS) activity, specifically a sympatho-excitatory response.

Although the optimal dose, grade and vertebral levels of lumbar manual therapy require further investigation and the mechanisms by which the increase in SLR ROM and SNS stimulation are unknown, this evidence suggests that beneficial effects from this intervention are possible. Such manual therapy has been an integral component of the player’s pretraining and match routine throughout and since his rehabilitation and is reported to provide improved freedom of lumbar and lower limb mobility.

**Core stability/neuromuscular control/lumbar spine strengthening**

A progressive agility and trunk stabilisation programme significantly reduced the HMI recurrence rate at both 2 weeks and 12 months following RTP when compared with a stretching and isolated progressive hamstring strengthening programme. Cameron et al. also showed that AFL players with poorer swing leg movement discrimination were more likely to subsequently suffer HMI and that a running technique programme achieved improvements in the same neuromuscular control measure. Hamstring muscle stiffness, as measured by the passive knee extension test, was significantly reduced by a 4-week programme of two specific exercises performed twice per week. A ‘soccer-specific balance training programme’ resulted in a significant reduction in HMI rates in a professional women’s football team.

Such research findings suggest that improvements in lumbo-pelvic control can reduce demands on the hamstrings, especially biceps femoris, and subsequently, injury. The player’s ongoing programme incorporated all components of the programmes suggested to be effective in preventing reinjury and minimising demands on the hamstrings.

A localised approach to core strengthening with the utilisation of DBC machines complemented this programme. In conjunction with functional exercise, isolated strengthening of the trunk muscles was also undertaken with the goal of optimising the rate and gain in ‘core strength’.

**Increase strength in hamstrings with eccentric-biased programme**

 Eccentric exercise is the most researched means of achieving changes in hamstring muscle properties and reductions in HMI rates. While the 2010 Cochrane Collaboration systematic review
on hamstring injury prevention concluded that there was insufficient evidence to draw conclusions on the efficacy of interventions used for preventing hamstring injury in football, its inclusion criteria is perhaps too strict to dismiss the findings of excluded research from clinical practice. Several studies have investigated the effect of various forms of eccentric exercise on HMI rates in sport, and optimum angle measured on isokinetic equipment. The vast majority reported significant effects on their respective outcome measures.

Previous HMI has been associated with reduced eccentric hamstring strength and biceps femoris EMG activation towards full knee extension in athletes who have returned to training for their sport. It has also been associated with shifting optimum angle towards greater angles of knee flexion compared with the uninjured leg, which is the opposite effect to eccentric training. Given that previous HMI is a strong risk factor for future injury, properties such as these may contribute to this risk.

Contrasting, previous HMI has been shown to not significantly affect peak torque or H/Q ratios. Similarly, eccentric exercise programmes have been shown not to significantly affect peak torque or H/Q ratios, perhaps questioning their validity as risk factors for reinjury. Fatigue induced by a soccer-specific treadmill protocol and repeated eccentric isokinetic testing was shown to result in decreasing eccentric torque output, especially at higher testing speeds. This suggests that the effects of previous injury, eccentric exercise and fatigue on hamstring muscle performance are contraction type, knee angle and parameter specific and it may be critical to work the muscle accordingly in rehabilitation. The weight of evidence indicates that the terminal swing phase represents the highest risk phase of the gait cycle during high-speed running when it is known that the hamstrings are most active and eccentrically as the knee is extending while also at peak length. This suggests that eccentric training, ideally at lengthened ranges, is the most indicated form of exercise in the rehabilitation and prevention of HMI.

Croisier demonstrated in a large prospective study of professional footballers that preseason normalisation of strict strength imbalance criteria that included bilateral asymmetry and various H/Q ratios reduced injury rates to that of non-imbalanced players. The discriminatory benefits of eccentric testing were also demonstrated with over 30% of players identified as imbalanced exclusively on this basis. Unfortunately, the optimum angle was not included in this study. Similarly, the normalisation of isokinetically determined strength deficits in 17 of 18 athletes with recurrent HMI was associated with a zero recurrence rate in the subsequent 12 months. These findings indicate that isokinetically determined imbalance criteria can prospectively identify players at higher risk of subsequent hamstring injury and that the normalisation of such criteria, irrespective of the form of exercise undertaken to achieve this, can significantly reduce hamstring injury rates.

Isokinetic testing was conducted after the completion of six strengthening sessions, 22 days post the latter injury and 8 and 16 days prior to RTP and RTP, respectively. While eccentric testing and mixed speed and contraction H/Q ratios have been reported to be more sensitive in detecting imbalances, there is a low risk of discomfort and injury. In our setting, this risk was not justifiable. Concentric peak flexion torque was 10% greater in the injured leg though average eccentric peak torque reduction in athletes with a similar history of HMI has been shown to be more than double the concentric reduction.

Optimum angles were almost symmetrical and preferable to those reported in uninjured subjects, immediately after high-dose eccentric exercises and after a pre-season programme in uninjured footballers. They were comparable to a similarly recurrently injured AFL player following an extensive eccentric-based rehabilitation programme. However, the optimum angle has only been studied prospectively once thus far and there was no difference between the injured and uninjured groups of sprinters.

Concentric H/Q ratios would indicate that the player remains predisposed to injury according to the thresholds reported in some studies, but not others. Based on the parameters that were measured and Croisier’s criteria defining pre-season muscle imbalance, the player would not be classed as imbalanced though he would have failed to meet the more strict normalisation criteria and was not subjected to eccentric testing.

Following the isokinetic test, the player completed a further six strengthening sessions, two high-speed running sessions and six team training sessions prior to RTP. While isokinetic testing was not repeated immediately prior to RTP, it is reasonable to expect that his isokinetic profile would have continued to improve as a result of this training. Continued optimisation of such factors remains a focus of the player’s management indefinitely beyond his RTP.

Recent ‘incontrovertible evidence demonstrated ‘spectacularly good’ results in reducing both injury and recurrence rates in footballers with an eccentric Nordic hamstring exercise programme. New injuries and recurrences were reduced by 60% and 85%, respectively. The number needed to treat to prevent one injury (new or recurrent) was only 13 and to prevent one recurrence was only 3. No injuries were sustained during the exercise and there was no increase in the injury rate during the intervention period. The lack of significant decrease in the injury rate during the intervention period indicates that a critical volume of exercise needs to be reached before its effects are realised. However, once this volume is reached the effects were highly substantial. The authors suggest that the shift in optimum length, previously shown to be achieved by eccentric exercise and this particular exercise, may be the mechanism by which such favourable effects were achieved.

The Nordic hamstring exercise also has its criticisms including being bilateral and thus potentially promoting existing asymmetries and that it is a single joint exercise, whereas biceps femoris which is the most commonly injured hamstring muscle is biarticular. It is also typically performed at slow velocities and at relatively short muscle lengths until subjects have improved sufficiently to be able to lower themselves to the ground. However, it has been shown to significantly and immediately shift optimum length and when performed in sufficient volume also dramatically reduce injury rates. Unfortunately, the scheduling within a 6-week English Premier League preseason renders the application of this volume of exercise very difficult to implement.

The need for eccentric training to be the foundation of muscle injury rehabilitation has already been outlined. However, it is important to note that in the elite sports setting, both in terms of striving to prevent HMI en masse, and within the context of injury rehabilitation, administering sufficient load to the hamstrings is a major challenge. In the context of an HMI rehabilitation which commonly average 3–4 weeks the scheduling of optimal exercises and prescription of optimal dose are paramount. While most evidence for changing muscle properties revolves around the Nordic hamstring exercise, its limitations as outlined above demand that other exercises be prescribed for
the player with acute unilateral injury. The programme should be progressed not just in terms of resistance and volume but also in velocity, muscle length at contraction and multiple joint motion. A range of such exercises have been outlined in previous studies\textsuperscript{122 133 128} and include manual eccentrics in various positions, forward deceleration steps, box drops landing in squat or lunge, eccentric forward pulls, eccentric single and stiff leg dead lifts. Other exercises with similar goals include slide-board leg curls, hamstring catapuls, sprinter eccentric leg curls and loaded hip bridges.

Pain and acute injury healing may contraindicate resistance exercise for the first 3–5 days and the player should also return to training and playing not in a fatigued state thus requiring recovery time. This can leave time for as few as 5–7 intensive strengthening sessions if conducted every other day should the player RTP on 3 weeks.

This player completed 11 strengthening sessions prior to the latter injury. Following this episode, he completed 12 sessions prior to RTP. While a similar number of sessions, the mechanism of injury and clinical presentation of this episode was less severe, enabling a more rapid progression to more functional, multijoint, higher speed and greater length at contraction exercises, such as the hip dominant drop lunges and knee dominant sprinter eccentric leg curls in single leg standing.

The player consistently produced greater force on the injured leg with isometric testing measured by a dynamometer in supine at 90° of hip and knee flexion\textsuperscript{129} and in prone at 15° knee flexion during the latter stages of the latter rehabilitation.\textsuperscript{15 71} Asking’s ballistic SLR test\textsuperscript{130} was also devoid of pain and insecurity.

Several imaging studies\textsuperscript{44 63 131 132} have demonstrated pathological signs and biceps femoris atrophy and increased strain in a significant proportion of subjects up to 2 years beyond RTP. Given that recurrence risk remains elevated for up to a year and that the effects of eccentric exercise have also been shown to be temporary,\textsuperscript{36 114} it is imperative that the player continues his customised, varied eccentric programme indefinitely.

**Overload running programme**

Peak hamstring force has been shown to significantly increase with increasing running speed from 80% to 100% effort\textsuperscript{118} and so running at high speed is an essential and the most functional component of late stage rehabilitation. By contrast, peak hamstring stretch does not change significantly with increases in speed from 80% to 100%\textsuperscript{123} so a player should only increase speed above this level once full ROM has been restored. The player will only overcome their anxieties and fear of reinjury having successfully completed a sufficient volume of this unique task which is an inherent demand of most sports. AFL players demonstrated relatively poor performance in their first match on RTP\textsuperscript{133} and it is reasonable to postulate this is associated with a lack of confidence with sprinting especially given the relationship between sprint distance and repetitions in successful football matches.\textsuperscript{134} Sprinters have reported taking a median of 16, and up to 50 weeks, to feel that they have returned to preinjury levels of performance.\textsuperscript{44} While in-house data trends and research\textsuperscript{135} suggests that there is some risk in players completing high volumes of high-speed running, the risk in not performing a sufficient volume of such training contributing to reinjury upon returning to play may be even higher. Thus following the latter injury an overload approach was applied to the running component of the rehabilitation programme for three reasons:

1. High-speed running provides functional eccentric loading.\textsuperscript{19 51}

2. Expose the player and his hamstrings to substantially more running load than is required during a typical week then taper just prior to RTP. This was administered to achieve physiological and psychological benefits while recognising that the match situation and its associated sympathetic responses and injury risk being 15 times greater than training\textsuperscript{11} cannot be readily simulated.

3. The player’s physical condition required improvement after repeated disruptions to training in recent months. The player’s HR and HRV scores had been disproportionately high relative to his training load. Extra conditioning had been prescribed during this period however the player was still required to play matches and therefore the volume of conditioning had to be adjusted. A period of overload training provided an ideal opportunity to address this.

The results of this programme can be seen in table 4. The high-speed running volume was 49.8% higher in the overload rehabilitation programme than the previous rehabilitation programmes despite a moderate 16.8% increase in training time between the protocols.

The player was also not selected to play by the management when he was first declared available and so may have benefited from an extra week of team training prior to RTP.

**Injection therapies**

Actovegin and Traumeel injections for treating muscle injuries are routine practice in German sports medicine. Actovegin is reported\textsuperscript{136} to promote acceleration of muscle fibre synthesis in damaged muscle and de-toning of the hypertonic muscle bundle. Traumeel S, a homeopathic formulation, is alleged\textsuperscript{137} to suppress the release of inflammatory mediators and stimulate the release of anti-inflammatory cytokines. There have been no controlled trials\textsuperscript{138} into either substance for the treatment of HMI. Reduced HMI time to RTP was reportedly associated with Actovegin injections in professional footballers\textsuperscript{139} though methodological flaws compromise the interpretation of outcomes of this pilot study.

However, there is not likely to be any harm in administering either substance.\textsuperscript{140} While striving for the most comprehensive intervention and rehabilitation programme possible, the player consulted an acclaimed sports medicine physician and underwent a series of such injections. At the very least this had the psychological effect of ensuring the player felt he was provided with all interventions for which expert opinion suggests any benefit.

**Table 4** Total training loads of the player’s final ‘overload’ hamstring rehabilitation protocol compared with the previous ‘standard’ protocol

| Sessions | Duration (min) | Dist (m) | Average distance per minute | High-speed distance (m) | Average high speed distance per minute | High-speed entries | Average HR (bmp) | Training load (RPE×min) |
|----------|---------------|----------|-----------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| Standard | 22            | 1130     | 83541                       | 95.7                   | 8950                                   | 8.94              | 996             | 136                  | 5905                 |
| Overload | 29            | 1320     | 106225                      | 107.7                  | 13409                                  | 14.5              | 1388            | 141                  | 7245                 |

Brukner P, et al. Br J Sports Med 2014;48:929–938. doi:10.1136/bjsports-2012-091400
Stretching/yoga/relaxation
While evidence regarding hamstring flexibility as a risk factor for HMI remains ambiguous, higher stretching frequency during rehabilitation was associated with earlier restoration of AKE ROM and reduced time to RTP. Soft tissue healing theory also advocates stretching within the treatment regime to maximise scar extensibility.

Maximum hamstring muscle lengths are reached during terminal swing phase of high-speed running with increasing speed beyond 80% only affecting the moment and speed at which it occurs. Given that the moment of maximum length coincides with the highest risk phase of the gait cycle and that prior HMI increases mechanical strain at the proximal biceps MTJ even at relatively low eccentric loads, it is essential that optimal tissue extensibility is restored.

Reduced flexibility into hip extension has also been implicated as a risk factor for HMI. Given that the activation level of iliopsoas in the stance leg greatly increases the stretch of the hamstrings of the swing leg and that it was during this moment in the running stride that our player complained of his ‘lack of freedom’ of his injured hamstring, several manual techniques were employed to optimise hip extension ROM on his contralateral leg. However, ROM on modified Thomas’ Test was not significantly limited or asymmetrical at any stage during his various rehabilitations. Currently, we continue to stretch the player’s hamstrings regularly, including from Thomas test position with the contralateral hip concurrently stretched into hip extension. However, we note that static hamstring stretching with the ankle held in plantar grade has been shown not to achieve a change in SLR ROM or neurodynamics.

Dru Yoga is shown to be effective in the treatment and management of low back pain and stress. Whether the relaxation or stretching is contributing is the most benefit remains unknown.

CONCLUSION
We have presented a seven part intervention strategy for the management of recurrent HMI in one player. Whether any one of these interventions was the key factor in the success of this rehabilitation is impossible to determine. There are varying levels of evidence for every individual component of this treatment regimen. This gap between the evidence base and the reality of clinical practice and its variable outcomes intensifies the pressure on clinicians working in professional sport and invites the temptation of speculative interventions. The clinician is obliged to deliver interventions based on the evidence that is available in its varying levels and forms. This is true for the management of many injuries encountered in sports medicine and medicine generally. Our profession must acknowledge this inadequacy and pursue the evidence essential to overcome it.

What are the new findings?
A new rehabilitation protocol is proposed for the management of recurrent hamstring injuries.

How might it impact on clinical practice in the near future?
This paper will help clinicians in determining the rehabilitation protocol for a recurrent hamstring injury.
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