The Electrical Properties of Some Composite Materials Based on Sodium and Tantalum Oxides
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Two samples of Na–Ta oxides were synthesized by the hydrothermal method at reaction temperatures of 160°C (sample A) and 200°C (sample B). For reference, a third sample of pure NaTaO\textsubscript{3} was prepared by the sol–gel method (sample C). Using X-ray diffraction, scanning electron microscopy, UV-vis diffuse reflectance spectra and electric measurements, structural, morphologic, spectroscopic and electric properties of samples were investigated. The structural characterization by X-ray diffraction revealed that samples A and B are mixtures of Na–Ta oxides (including NaTaO\textsubscript{3} and other compounds), whilst sample C is pure NaTaO\textsubscript{3}. UV-vis diffuse reflectance spectra allowed evaluation of the band gap energy (\(E_g\)), resulting in 3.88 eV for sample A, 3.93 eV for sample B and 4.1 eV for sample C. Electrical resistivity measurements, over the temperature range 300–450 K, showed a typical semiconductor behavior of the investigated samples, with the effective activation energy, \(E_a\), of 0.47 eV (sample A), 0.45 eV (sample B) and 0.82 eV (sample C). Based on the Mott variable range hopping model, the conductivity mechanism in the investigated samples was analyzed. The results shown that the density of states at the Fermi-level, \(N(E_F)\) is constant in the investigated temperature range and the typical values of \(N(E_F)\) are \(0.713 \times 10^{18} \text{ eV}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-3}\) (sample A), \(0.621 \times 10^{18} \text{ eV}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-3}\) (sample B) and \(0.855 \times 10^{17} \text{ eV}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-3}\) (sample C). Other parameters of VRH model such as the hopping distance \(R\) and the hopping energy \(W\) have also been computed and the following values at the room temperature were obtained: \(R = 15.7\text{ nm and } W = 86\text{ meV for sample A}\); \(R = 16.3\text{ nm and } W = 89\text{ meV for sample B}\) and \(R = 26.7\text{ nm and } W = 147\text{ meV for sample C}\).
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1. Introduction

NaTaO\textsubscript{3} is a typical perovskite oxide and has many applications in UV-detection [1], gas sensors [2], nanoelectronics and electroceramic memories [3]. Recent researches showed that the NaTaO\textsubscript{3} composite ceramics can be used as a new thermoelectric material for energy generation [4, 5], or as a promising photocatalyst [6].

To prepare NaTaO\textsubscript{3} powder, solid state synthesis method may be used [7], but it requires high temperatures and long calcination time. Recently, other methods have been used to synthesize NaTaO\textsubscript{3} powder such as sol–gel [8], alkalide reduction method [9], and solvothermal method [10].

The present paper is a natural step further in finding new materials. In this respect we obtained and characterized new composite materials based on NaTaO\textsubscript{3} and other sodium and tantalum oxides, that may result from the obtaining reaction, aiming to compare their electrical properties with those of NaTaO\textsubscript{3}.

Two powder samples of Na–Ta oxide composite materials were synthesized by the hydrothermal method at the reaction temperatures of 160°C (sample A) and 200°C (sample B). For reference, a third sample of pure NaTaO\textsubscript{3} was prepared by the sol–gel method (sample C). Based on the X-ray diffraction (XRD), scanning electron microscopy (SEM), UV-vis spectra and electric resistivity measurements over the temperature range from 30°C to 175°C, the morpho-structural, photocatalytic and electrical properties of samples were investigated. From the electrical measurements of resistivity, the effective activation energy, \(E_a\) of samples was determined and the static conductivity mechanism in the samples was explained using Mott’s variable range hopping (VRH) model.

2. Obtaining and characterization of samples

Two samples of Na–Ta oxide composite were synthesized by the hydrothermal method. The starting materials were 0.5 g of tantalum powder (with purity 99.9%), 5 ml HF, 0.5 g citric acid in 75 ml H\textsubscript{2}O and NaOH for pH adjustment at 6.5. The mixture was introduced into Morey-type autoclave (with 37.6 ml capacity) occupying 80% of the total volume. The mixture from autoclave was maintained at the temperature of 160°C (for sample A) and 200°C (for sample B), for 12 h. After decantation and filtration, the resulting precipitate was washed with distilled water on a filter paper and then laid out to dry in an air oven, at 80°C, resulting in powder samples. The sample of pure NaTaO\textsubscript{3} (denoted by sample C)
was synthesized by the sol–gel method using 1 ml tantalum ethoxide and 1 M sodium hydroxide, which was added to 1:1 alcohol–water solution. The precipitate was filtered, washed several times with bi-distilled water and dried at 100°C for 2 h. Then, for crystallization the sample was heat treated for 6 h at 600°C, at a heating rate of 5°C/min.

The crystalline structure of the obtained samples was investigated by XRD using PANalytical — X'Pert PRO MPD diffractometer with Cu tube. The XRD patterns of the investigated samples are presented in Fig. 1, which shows that samples A and B consist of mixture of Na-Ta oxides (including NaTaO$_3$ and other compounds such as tantalum hydrogen oxide H$_4$O$_7$Ta$_2$, sodium hydroxide hydrate H$_9$NaO$_5$ and tantalum oxide Ta$_2$O$_2$), whilst sample C is pure NaTaO$_3$ (according to the standard data JCPDS card No. 74-2478).

The morphology of samples was observed using a PANalytical SEM and the images are shown in Fig. 2. As can be seen in Fig. 2, samples consist of grains, connected each other in agglomerated clusters and the grain size of sample C is smaller than that of samples A and B.

![Fig. 1. XRD patterns of the samples.](image1)

![Fig. 2. SEM images of the samples: (a) sample A, (b) sample B, and (c) sample C.](image2)

**3. Results and discussion**

Electrical resistance of the samples at different temperatures, $T$, in the range 30–175°C, was measured using a laboratory-made experimental setup. The sample was introduced into a glass tube (diameter $D = 3.8$ mm and length $L = 11$ mm), which is placed in an electric furnace.

**Fig. 3. UV-vis spectra of the samples.**

The UV-VIS spectroscopic analysis was performed using DRUV-VIS spectrometer Lambda 950 Perkin-Elmer (within the working range 200–600 nm). Figure 3 shows the absorbance spectra that were converted from reflection by the Kubelka–Munk method [8]. The wavelength at the absorption edge, $\lambda_g$ was determined as the intercept on the wavelength axis of the tangent line, drawn on the absorption spectrum. As can be observed from Fig. 3, the wavelength at the absorption edge was $\lambda_g = 319$ nm for sample A, $\lambda_g = 315$ nm for sample B and $\lambda_g = 302$ nm for sample C. The values of the band gap energy ($E_g$) were computed from the UV-vis spectra using the following equation [11]:

$$E_g (\text{eV}) = \frac{1240}{\lambda_g (\text{nm})}. \quad (1)$$

The values obtained for $E_g$ are 3.88 eV for sample A, 3.93 eV for sample B and 4.1 eV for sample C, being very close to the values reported in Ref. [11] where the one-step hydrothermal method for the obtaining of NaTaO$_3$ has been used. In Ref. [8] values of $E_g$ in order of 4.0–4.1 eV were reported for NaTaO$_3$ obtained by sol–gel method, whilst in Ref. [12], value of $E_g = 3.8$ eV was reported for NaTaO$_3$ obtained by the ultrasonic method.

The presence of other sodium and tantalum oxides apart from NaTaO$_3$ leads to decrease in the band gap energy value, which may be useful for photocatalysis in that it takes place at lower frequencies than in the case of pure NaTaO$_3$ (closer to the visible range).
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According to Eq. (3), by fitting the experimental dependences ln(σ(T−1/4)) with a linear equation one obtains the parameters T₀ of samples. From Eq. (4) and using the values obtained for T₀ we have computed the density of the localized states at the Fermi level N(E_F). The values obtained for parameters T₀ and N(E_F) are shown in Table I.

From Table I it is observed that the Mott parameters obtained for the samples A and B are approximately equal. The density of localized states at the Fermi level, N(E_F), is smaller for sample C than for the samples A and B, due to the fact that sample C consists of a single phase of pure NaTaO₃.

| Samples | T₀ [K] | N(E_F) [cm⁻³ eV⁻¹] | R [nm] | W [meV] |
|---------|--------|---------------------|--------|---------|
| A       | 0.27 × 10⁹ | 7.13 × 10¹⁷ | 15.7   | 15.1   | 14.3   | 86     | 97     | 115    |
| B       | 0.31 × 10⁹ | 6.21 × 10¹⁷ | 16.3   | 15.7   | 14.8   | 89     | 100    | 119    |
| C       | 2.25 × 10⁹ | 0.855 × 10¹⁷ | 26.7   | 25.7   | 24.2   | 147    | 164    | 197    |

4. Conclusions

Two composite material samples of Na-Ta oxides were synthesized by the hydrothermal method at different reaction temperatures for 12 h (160°C for sample A and 200°C for sample B). As reference sample, another one, consisting of pure NaTaO₃ has been prepared by the sol–gel method (sample C).

The samples were analyzed by XRD, SEM, UV-vis spectra and electrical measurements.

The band gap energy, E_g evaluated by UV-vis spectra measurements, was 3.88 eV for sample A and 3.93 eV for sample B, very close to E_g of pure NaTaO₃ (4.01 eV for sample C). Consequently, the samples A and B can be used in photocatalysis in a similar manner as NaTaO₃.

The effective activation energy of the electrical conductivity E_a evaluated from electrical resistivity measurements, was 0.47 eV for sample A, 0.45 eV for sample B and 0.82 eV for sample C, confirming thus the typical semiconductor behaviour of samples.

Using the computed values of N(E_F) and Eqs. (5) and (6) we have determined the hopping distance R and the hopping energy W at three temperatures within the measurement range. The obtained values of R and W are shown in Table I. As can be observed from Table I, for all samples the hopping distance, R decreases with the increase in temperature and the hopping energy, W increases with the increase in temperature. The hopping distance R and the hopping energy W are larger for sample C than for the samples A and B. This result can also be correlated to the fact that sample C has only one phase (of NaTaO₃) whilst the samples A and B are mixtures of sodium and tantalum oxides.

Based on the results shown in Table I one can observe that the ratio of the hopping energy W and the thermal energy kT has values between the range of (3–4) and αR is much larger than unity for all samples. These results are in agreement with the Mott variable-range-hopping (VRH) model [18, 19].
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