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Abstract: The term “diabetic hand” was born to describe the complications of the diabetes mellitus on the hand resulting in a rigid hand with a poor mobility. The traumatic wounds with soft tissue involvement increase the risk of infection. Staphylococcus aureus methicillin-resistant (MRSA) is the most common bacterium isolated in the hand infections. The case report of a type 2 diabetic patient, dialysed with chronic obstructive peripheral artery disease and Monckeberg sclerosis of the vessels of the hands, ischemic necrosis of the right hand fingers is reported by the authors. In the diabetic patient the hands can be infected in the same way that feet could be infected too. Several amputation interventions have been performed with the intent of saving part of the hand. The diabetic hand syndrome (DHS) is an important pathology that requires strong antibiotics and surgery to avoid severe disability as rigidity, contracture and amputation. Apparently, in the last years good results are reported using antibiotic, surgical and hyperbaric treatment. In conclusion it would be wrong to ignore or underestimate this disease and any doctor should practice a scrupulous visit of the hands in the diabetic patient.
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1. Introduction

The diabetic hand syndrome (DHS) is defined as a clinical condition based on a vascular, neuropathic and infective pathogenesis caused by a chronic hyperglycemia with or without a pre-existing ischemia triggered by traumatic lesions of the upper limbs. Our impression is that in the last 20 years the interest about this pathology is going decreasing so that most of the doctors ignore the existence of this disease [1]. The term “diabetic hand” was born to describe the complications of the diabetes mellitus on the hand resulting in a rigid hand with a poor mobility. The immunodeficiency causes a higher risk of severe hand infections, sometimes life-threatening. The outcome of the hand infection depends by the early diagnosis and treatment [2].

The morbidity of the DHS in terms of lost job, inability and permanent disability compared to the diabetic foot is sharply higher. However in the last years the decreasing interest is proved by the poor scientific publications on the DHS [3].

The compact anatomy of the hand promotes a rapid propagation of the infection with severe damages. The etiology of the disease is multi-factorial. The traumatic
wounds with soft tissue involvement increase the risk of infection. The most common bacterium is the staphylococcus (Table 1) but also other microorganisms can be involved both as single bacterium infection and as polymicrobial infection including Gram- bacteria (Enterobacter, Streptococcus, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, anaerobic bacteria and fungal infection) [4, 5]. Staphylococcus aureus methicillin-resistant (MRSA) is the most common bacterium isolated in the hand infections. The fungal infection can result in a trivial onychomycosis but also in a life-threatening disease if the infection is severe with deep layers involvement. The viral infections are rare, anyway sometimes Papillomaviridae, Herpesviridae, Picornaviridae (coxsackievirus and enterovirus) and Poxviridae (orthopoxvirus and parapoxvirus) were isolated in patients with DHS. In the study published by Lamm and Choi (2004) the 49% of the infections were caused by Gram+ bacteria and the 47.6% by Gram- bacteria. Staphylococcus aureus was the most common bacterium isolated in the hand infections (34%). Enterococcus was isolated in the 8% of the cases. The Gram- bacteria Klebsiella pneumoniae, Citrobacter spp, Morganella morganii and Enterobacter spp were isolated respectively in 7%, 7%, 5.6% and 5.6% (Table 1) [4].

The DHS is more common in patients affected by diabetes mellitus type II rather than diabetes mellitus type I. Anyway the early diagnosis and treatment are more important than the type of diabetes and not all of the symptoms are related to the diabetes.

The natural evolution of the DHS is the fulminant sepsis due to the infection and to the progressive hand necrosis (Meleney’s gangrene).

### Table 1. (a) Gram-positive organisms in 57 specimens; and (b) Gram-negative organisms in 57 specimens (from Lamm e Choi modified) [4].

| Organism                     | Number of occurrences | %     |
|------------------------------|-----------------------|-------|
| (a) Gram-positive organisms  |                       |       |
| Staphylococcus aureus        | 24                    | 34.0  |
| Enterococcus spp             | 6                     | 8.0   |
| Streptococcus spp            | 4                     | 5.6   |
| Clostridium clostridiforme   | 1                     | 1.4   |
| Total                        | 35                    | 49.0  |
| (b) Gram-negative organisms  |                       |       |
| Klebsiella pneumoniae        | 5                     | 7.0   |
| Citrobacter freundii         | 5                     | 7.0   |
| Morganella morganii          | 4                     | 5.6   |
| Enterobacter spp             | 4                     | 5.6   |
| Pasteurella multocida        | 3                     | 4.2   |
| Escherichia spp              | 3                     | 4.2   |
| Proteus vulgaris             | 3                     | 4.2   |
| Pseudomonas aeruginosa       | 2                     | 2.8   |
| Aeromonas caviae             | 1                     | 1.4   |
| Eubacterium lenticus         | 1                     | 1.4   |
| Acinetobacter spp            | 1                     | 1.4   |
| Edwardsiella tarda           | 1                     | 1.4   |
| Xanthomonas maltophilia      | 1                     | 1.4   |
| Total                        | 34                    | 47.6  |

2. Review of the Literature

Usually the hand is in an advanced condition of palmar deep sepsis and/or soft tissue infection. Often a partial amputation must be performed and nevertheless the mortality rate is significant. The stereotypical sepsis characteristics in the diabetic hand of the tropical population were studied by Gill et al (2003) [6] and they suggested the term of “tropical diabetic hand syndrome”. We have poor data on this syndrome that suggest poor results. The study of Akintewe et al in 1984 [1] indicates that in 4 patients out of 5 a partial amputation was necessary. In the most representative study (31 patients in Tanzania), Abbas et al [6] shows that in the 13% of the cases a full arm amputation was performed with a significant mortality rate (13%). These patients would need a prolonged hospitalization with infusion antibiotics and 2/3 would need a surgical treatment (debridment, incision and drain or amputation).

Bacteria are the most involved microorganisms but also fungal, viral and protozoal infections are described [3, 7]. In the diabetic hand infections Gram- bacteria were isolated in the 52% of patients. In the DHS Gram- infections were reported in 52% [8]. Gram- predominancy (Pseudomonas 10.6%, Proteus 7.8%, Enterobacter 5.7%), Gram+ bacteria (S. aureus 16.5%, Streptococcus beta-haemolyticus 12.1%, S. viridans 7.1%) were found in diabetic patients. Candida albicans was found just in the diabetic hand group (2.8%) (Table 2).

### Table 2. Frequency of organisms isolated on culture.

| Organism                     | Diabetic hand | Non-diabetic hand |
|------------------------------|--------------|-------------------|
| S.aureus                     | N 44         | % 15.6            |
| S.epideridism                | 24           | 15.6%             |
| Strept.-β-Haem.              | 34           | 12.1%             |
| S.viridans                   | 20           | 7.1%              |
| Enterococcus                 | 18           | 11.7%             |
| Pseudomonas                  | 30           | 10.6%             |
| E.coli                       | 94           | 33.3%             |
| K.pneumoniae                 | 6            | 3.9%              |
| Klebsiella sp                | 4            | 2.6%              |
| Proteus                      | 22           | 7.8%              |
| Enterobacter                 | 16           | 5.7%              |
| Aerobes                      | 14           | 5%                |
| Candida                      | 8            | 2.8%              |

The rate of Gram- bacteria was between 31-73% in workers [2]. Different groups of Gram- bacteria were reported in patients affected by diabetes. These groups include: E. coli 0.72-4.2% [2], Proteus (Vulgaris Proteus in 4.2%) [2], Pseudomonas aeruginosa (1.3-7.2%) [2], Klebsiella (3.5-7%) [2], Enterobacter (1.9- 5.6%) [2] and Aerobacter aerogenes in 0.72% [2]. Klebsiella was the most common Gram- bacterium isolated jointly with Staphylococcus in polymicrobial infections (Jalil et al.) [9] and Klebsiella, Enterobacter, Proteus and E.coli, were found in 25% of microorganisms by Belcher et al. [10]. Connor et al. [11] reported Gram- bacteria in 31% including Escherichia coli, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Proteus vulgaris, Klebsiella, Serratia, Haemophilus, Enterobacter, Eichenella, Pasteurella and Neisseria. Dorko et al [12] reported Neisseria spp., Staphylococcus Coagulase...
negative between 5.7% and 12.6% (8); Streptococcus spp in 5.6-16% [2]. Staphylococcus β-haemolyticus were mostly S. pyogenes between 3.6 and 12.5% [2]. Dorko et al. [12] reported streptococcus α-haemolyticus in 22.4%. Not-β-haemolyticus S.Viridians were found in 1.3% [7], 2.16% [7]. Stevenson et al. [13] reported S. faecalis in 0.7%-1.7%. Streptococcus agalactiae was isolated in a patient with diabetic hand [2]. Enterococcus was isolated in four cases and in three of them it was E. faecalis (9%) [2], while anaerobic bacteria were reported in 9%. Stevenson et al. found Bacteroides in 5.9% and others anaerobic bacteria in 5.3% of hand infections. Lastly Eichenella in 2.7%, Prevotella (1.5%), Peptostreptococcus (1.2%) [2]. Connor et al. [11] isolated anaerobic bacteria in 9% including Clostridium perfringens, Bacteroides, Fusobacterium and Veillonella. A fungal infection should be considered in the differential diagnosis of the hand infection in the immunocompromised patient or in a not-responder patient to the standard treatment. In the study published by Jalil et al. in the 10.3% Candida was isolated [9] and it has been reported as associated with chronic paronychia. Viral infection was caused mostly by herpes simplex HSV 1 e HSV2.

3. Case Study

Mister F., 58 years old, is a little business owner with a long story of disease. He got sick with diabetes mellitus type 2 when he was 31 and at 48 started hemodialysis due to renal complications. In 1989 high values of glycemia (500 mg/dL), triglycerides (1500 mg/dl), cholesterol (700 mg/dL) were detected. In 1999, with a reported creatinine >9 mg/dL started hemodialysis 3 times/week. Since then arterial insufficiency begins with lower limbs ulcers and both feet finger amputations. In 2012 right leg amputation was mandatory and in the 2014 several right hand finger amputations were performed.

In our patient, in addition to functional and angiographics diagnostic techniques, a simple hand X-ray highlighted that the hand’s vessels were completely enclosed in a wide calcium shell coming up to vessels of the small phalanges. (Figure 1 Monckeberg’s sclerosis).

Then we decide to perform a tissue debridement. The surgical treatment is very gentle as the small vessels disease is really nasty and irreversible so it’s primary to avoid high pressure tissue zone (tight stitches, folded flaps…) that could be the trigger for the ischemic necrosis. The use of dermal replacement based on ialuronic acid (HMPA®) (Figure 2) allows a reconstructive surgery with functional preservation of the hand (07.01.2015).

At the right hand, furthermore we perform a partial resection of the IV finger (01.03.2017) (Figure 3). Figure 4 shows at MRI the vascular and bone situation with evidence of vessels calcification (Monckeberg’s sclerosis). One month after begins ischemic necrosis of the I finger (Figure 5) so a metacarpophalangeal joint resection is performed (5.4.2017) (Figure 6) with diaphyseal resection of the I metacarpus and closing using a sliding flap. Two months after we perform a surgical revision of the II finger (Figure 7) and a partial resection of the proximal phalanges (21.6.2017). The current situation is shown in figure 8.
Figure 2. Partial resection V finger with dermal graft bioconductive (HMPA®) (07.01.2015).

Figure 3. Partial resection IV finger (01.03.2017).

Figure 4. Hand MRI with vessels calcification (Monckeberg’s sclerosis).

Figure 5. Ischemic necrosis I finger.
4. Discussion

Not everybody knows that in the diabetic patient the hands can be infected in the same way that feet could be infected too. (Montes de Oca 2008) [14].

Three are the causes of the ischemia in the dialysis patient’s hand:

1. arteriovenous fistula that steals oxygenated blood to the hand;
2. big vessels disease that prevents blood from perfusing the hand;
3. small vessels disease (metacarpal and digital vessels) acts as another obstacle to the hand’s blood circulation.

The associated factors in the diabetic hand syndrome are similar to the associated factors in the lower limbs syndrome: 1. High levels of glycemia. 2. Neuropathy due to the hyperglycemia, metabolic pathway that leads to an increased intraneural sorbitol level. The not-zymotic proteic glycation determines a depletion of the myoinositol levels and ATP decreased levels and neuronal degeneration, slower conduction velocity and higher glycated haemoglobin, proving medium-high levels of glycemia in the last six-eight weeks. 3. The vascular theory: hyperglycemy causes reologic modifies with a subsequent increased endoneural vascular resistance, blood flow reduction and neural hypoxemia. 4. The diabetes mellitus is a risk factor for the arteriolar compromission and the atheroma making process, progression and complications (stenosis, obliteration) with a decreased blood flow, perfusion pressure and ischemia. 5. Mechanical, chemical, physical, thermal or biological trauma are risk factors.

In our review, gram+ bacteria were isolated in the 50% of the hand infections and the most common bacterium was S. aureus (Table 2). According to this result we use flucloxacinil and erythromycin in the first line treatment regardless to the fact that in the DHS Gram- bacteria were found too. Diabetes promotes infections and has negative aftermath on the carbohydrate metabolism and glycemia. Firstly Mann and Peacock [15] noted a Gram- predominancy in DHS in 1977, subsequently Francel et al. [16] had different results with a mixed culture grow (63%). These infections are related with a more severe tissue damage and secondary deformity. In 1998, in a 12 years study, Gunther [17] enlisted 128 diabetic patients. Mansigh and Sawh [18] used a double antibiotic therapy to destroy both Gram+ and Gram- bacteria. This predominancy inversion was not demonstrated in others high risk group as in the alcohol addiction and abuse, HIV patients, intravenous drugs addicts and steroids addiction. Kour et al. [19] reported the negative effects of the gram- and mixed infections on the wounds. In the Lamm and Choi study, 3 out of 5 diabetic patients had a Gram+ infection and required surgery and 2 or more fingertip amputations. It demonstrates the importance to choose the right antibiotics to treat Gram+ and Gram- infections. Gentamicin and penicillin penicillinase resistant (cloxacillin, ampicillin, penicillin G) combination could be the best first line treatment but the renal function and gentamicin blood levels must be monitorated.
5. Conclusion

The diabetic hand syndrome is common in young-adult people, in diabetes mellitus type II with a poor metabolic control and subsequent high level of the glycated haemoglobin, therefore with an high risk of complications (neuropathy of peripheral arteries). Trauma is the trigger for the infection process, late diagnosis and treatment lead to an high amputation risk and to an increased morbility and mortality. The surgical treatment should: save life, preserve the function and, lastly, look out for aesthetics. Based on evidences, we can divide the DHS in 4 phases: 1. superficial wound and ulcer, 2. deep wound and ulcer, 3. Abscess and osteomyelitis, 4. Necrosis and gangrene.

The DHS is an important pathology that requires strong antibiotics and surgery to avoid severe disability as rigidity, contracture and amputation. The success depends by an early diagnosis and antibiotic treatment in the first 24-48h with immobilization of the limb. If the infection is not under control the surgical option must be considered (incision, drain, debridment or amputation). The right choice of the antibiotics depends by the knowledge of the most common involved microorganisms. Once we know the microorganisms involved we should change the antibiotics based on the antimicrobial susceptibility.

In the last 10 years the relation among risk factors, mostly diabetes mellitus and Gram- infections, has been studied. The Gram- infections can’t be treated just with a first line antibiotic treatment but these patients should be treated in hospital to treat the hand infection and to optimize the metabolic control of the disease, also using insulin. Though the bacteria involved in skin and tissue infections are very common (Gram+, staphylococcus, streptococcus), sometimes bowel bacteria are involved (Gram- and anaerobes). The first line treatment provides the use of broad-spectrum intravenous antibiotics and possibly the surgical drain in case of abscess. The late treatment increases the risk of amputation. In case of amputation or loss of function after surgery rehabilitation should be considered.

Apparently, in the last years good results are reported using antibiotic, surgical and hyperbaric treatment.

In conclusion it would be wrong to ignore or underestimate this disease and any doctor should practice a scrupulous visit of the hands in the diabetic patient.
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