The prevalence of alcoholic and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in adolescents and young adults in the United States: analysis of the NHANES database
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Abstract

Background: The prevalence of fatty liver disease is potentially increasing in adolescents and young adults (AYAs) due to the obesity and alcohol pandemics. The aim of this study was to assess the prevalence of alcohol-associated fatty liver disease (ALD) and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) in a representative U.S. cohort utilizing transient elastography to directly measure hepatic steatosis and suspected fibrosis.

Methods: AYAs (age 15–39 years) with valid FibroScan® measurements in the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) database (2017–2018) were included in the analyses. Those with viral hepatitis, pregnancy, or ALT/AST > 500 U/L were excluded. The population was divided into those with excessive alcohol consumption (ALQ130) and those without. Controlled attenuation parameter (CAP) score ≥ 248 dB/m was used to identify suspected ALD and NAFLD. In those with evidence of ALD, the following cutoffs of liver stiffness measurement (LSM) were used for suspected fibrosis: F ≥ F2 at LSM ≥ 7.5 kPa and F ≥ F3 at ≥ 9.5 kPa, respectively. In those with suspected NAFLD, the following LSM cutoffs were used: F ≥ F2 at 6.1 and F ≥ F3 at ≥ 7.1, respectively. Cutoffs were chosen based on published literature to maximize sensitivity.

Results: Comparing to those without, subjects with excessive alcohol consumption tended to be older (29.8 vs 28.5 years), have a higher BMI (29.3 vs 28.9 kg/m²), and be from a White ethnicity (65.3% vs. 55.4%). In subjects with excessive alcohol consumption, suspected ALD was present in 56.59% (95% CI 41.57–70.49). In those with suspected ALD, suspected significant fibrosis (F ≥ F2) was present in 12.3% (95% CI 4.74–28.34) and advanced fibrosis (F ≥ F3) was present in 6.31% (95% CI 0.69–39.55). Similarly, in subjects without excessive alcohol consumption, suspected NAFLD was present in 40.04% (36.64–43.54). In those with suspected NAFLD, suspected significant fibrosis (F ≥ F2) was present in 31.07% (27.25–35.16) and suspected advanced fibrosis (F ≥ F3) was present in 20.15% (16.05–24.99).
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Conclusion: A significant percentage of AYAs are at risk for ALD and NAFLD and a subset of these subjects is at risk for significant fibrosis. Efforts should focus on increasing awareness of the prevalence of ALD and NAFLD in this population and to mitigate modifiable risk factors.
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Background
Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) and alcoholic liver disease (ALD) are the two most common causes of chronic liver diseases and are characterized by the accumulation of excessive amounts of fat within the hepatocytes. NAFLD is currently considered as the most common cause of chronic liver disease worldwide and is the second leading cause of liver transplantation in the United States [1]. The prevalence of NAFLD is steadily and exponentially increasing with 25% of the adult population being affected in the year 2010 compared to only 15% in 2005. In a more recent cross-sectional study from 2011 to 2014, the overall prevalence of NAFLD among US adults was 21.9%, representing 51.6 million individuals affected, among which nearly 5 million (9.7%) had suspected advanced fibrosis [2].

Similarly, ALD counts for one of the very relevant, most prevalent and fastest growing liver diseases in the United States with an overall prevalence of 2%. Moreover, ALD is one of the most common causes of end-stage liver disease, with 50% of the overall mortality in cirrhosis patients being attributed to alcohol, and 50% of alcohol-related mortality being attributed to liver disease [3–5]. The increasing prevalence in NAFLD is mainly attributed to the large increase in the international prevalence of obesity and metabolic syndrome [6, 7], while the increasing prevalence of ALD is tightly linked to the worldwide increase in alcohol consumption as shown in the World Health Organization reports in 2016 [8].

Worrisomely, the demographic pattern of alcohol consumption has further shifted to the younger age groups resulting in a more substantial loss of productive life years and an increase in the alcohol-attributable mortality in the adolescents and young adults (AYAs), which is the age group most responsible for disability-adjusted life years (DALY) [8, 9].

The relationship between ALD and NAFLD is a two-sided and a rather complex one. For instance, numerous previous studies showed a possible protective effect of mild to moderate alcohol consumption on the development and progression of NAFLD [10–12], however; a more recent meta-analysis has shown the presence of possible confounders [13]. In fact, more recent studies have shown worse histological outcomes among NAFLD patients with moderate alcohol consumption [14, 15]. Similarly, a recent meta-analysis has suggested obesity as an independent risk factor for increased mortality among a specific ALD population [16].

Despite the significant prevalence and burden, the overall awareness of these two entities remains limited in the general population [17, 18]. Our aim is to assess the prevalence and burden of ALD and NAFLD in a representative cohort of AYAs in the United States by utilizing vibration-controlled transient elastography (VCTE) to directly measure hepatic steatosis and suspected fibrosis.

Methods
Database
The National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) database is a major project of the National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS) [19], which is affiliated with the Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). The program is composed of subsets of studies that were designed to better understand the health and nutritional needs of children and adults in the United States and has been running for the last seven decades. This survey-based program annually examines a nationally representative sample of ~ 5000 individuals located in counties across the United States. The NHANES interview includes demographic, socioeconomic, dietary, and health-related questions. The examination includes – but is not limited to – medical, physiological and laboratory measurements performed by highly trained medical personnel at a central laboratory, in addition to interview questionnaires and standardized physical examination.

Data from the survey is used in epidemiological and health-related studies and helps designing further health programs and services. The survey was approved by the Institutional Review Board at the Center for Disease Control and Prevention, and informed consent was obtained from all participants. Data from NHANES 2017–2018 is the most recent survey cycle that provided transient elastography information as determined by FibroScan® and was utilized for this analysis.

Definitions and inclusion criteria
Participants between 15 and 39 years with demographic (age, gender, ethnicity), alcohol intake data and a valid FibroScan® were included in the analysis. Those with chronic viral hepatitis B or C, missing alcohol consumption data, pregnancy, Alanine Aminotransferase (ALT), Aspartate Aminotransferase (AST) values > 500 U/L, or
missing or incomplete elastography data were excluded. The inclusion criteria and participants stratification algorithm is shown in Fig. 1 (also see Additional file 1).

Daily alcohol consumption was calculated as: Alcohol consumption = \((\text{average frequency of alcohol intake per year} \times \text{average drinks per day})/(365 \text{ days})\) using The Alcohol Use Questionnaire (ALQ) 130{In the past 12 months, on those days that {you/SP} drank alcoholic beverages, on the average, how many drinks did {you/he/she} have?} and ALQ 121{During the past 12 months, about how often did {you/SP} drink any type of alcoholic beverage? PROBE: How many days per week, per month, or per year did {you/SP} drink?} in the NHANES database. Excessive alcohol consumption was defined as male > 2 drinks/day and female > 1 drink/day, and the population was stratified into those with excessive alcohol consumption and those without. A “current smoker” was defined as any adult who has smoked 100 cigarettes in his/her lifetime and who currently smokes cigarettes. A “former smoker” was defined as any adult who has smoked at least 100 cigarettes in his/her lifetime but who had quit smoking at the time of interview. A “never smoker” was defined as any adult who has never smoked, or who has smoked less than 100 cigarettes in his/her
lifetime. Controlled attenuation parameter (CAP) score ≥ 248 dB/m was used to define steatosis and to further stratify the population into suspected ALD and suspected NAFLD [20].

**Statistical analysis**

**Characteristics of study participants**

In addition to CAP score and liver stiffness measurement (LSM), the following socio-demographic information and laboratory data were collected for analysis: age, sex, race/ethnicity, alcohol intake status, smoking status (never smoked, former smoker, current smoker), Body Mass Index (BMI), ALT, AST, total bilirubin, albumen, Mean Corpuscular Volume (MCV), platelet count, and Haemoglobin A1C (HBA1C).

**Outcome measures**

In those with evidence of ALD, the cut-offs of LSM used for suspected fibrosis were $F \geq F_2$ at LSM $\geq 7.5$ kPa and $F \geq F_3$ at $\geq 9.5$ kPa, respectively. In those with suspected NAFLD, LSM cut-offs were $F \geq F_2$ at 6.1 and $F \geq F_3$ at $\geq 7.1$, respectively. These cut-offs were chosen based on the published literature to maximize sensitivity [20–22]. We considered a 2-sided p value of < 0.05 as statistically significant. Chi-square test was used to compare categorical variables and t-test for continuous variables. Appropriate survey weights were applied for all analyses which were performed using Stata version 17 (StataCorp. 2021. *Stata Statistical Software: Release 17*. College Station, TX: StataCorp LLC.).

**Results**

**Subject characteristics according to alcohol consumption**

Among the total number of noninstitutionalized civilian population screened in the database (N = 9254), our final sample consisted of 1319 AYAs after excluding those with viral hepatitis, pregnancy, ALT or AST $> 500$ U/L, missing data on alcohol consumption, and/or missing VCTE data. We reported the baseline characteristics of the study population (N = 1319), along with those with excessive alcohol consumption (N = 100) compared to those without (N = 1219) in Table 1. The prevalence of excessive alcohol consumption was estimated for the whole study population (Fig. 2). The prevalence of steatosis was then estimated for those with excessive alcohol consumption (i.e.: ALD prevalence) and compared to those without (i.e.: NAFLD prevalence) (Fig. 3). The characteristics both groups (ALD vs NAFLD) are shown in Table 2.

| Table 1 | Baseline characteristics of the study population |
|---------|-----------------------------------------------|
|         | Total (N = 1319) | Excessive alcohol consumption (N = 100) | No excessive alcohol consumption (N = 1219) | p value |
| Age (years)—mean (95% CI) | 28.63 (28.08–29.18) | 29.82 (28.61–31.03) | 28.52 (27.95–29.09) | 0.049 |
| Male—% (95% CI) | 52.21 (47.94–56.46) | 63.49 (53.12–72.74) | 51.17 (46.3–56.02) | 0.049 |
| BMI (kg/m$^2$)—mean (95% CI) | 29.02 (28.01–30.02) | 29.36 (28.79–30.83) | 28.99 (27.96–30.02) | 0.575 |
| Race/ethnicity—% (95% CI) | | | | |
| Non-Hispanic White | 56.29 (49.71–62.66) | 65.39 (55.99–73.72) | 55.45 (48.53–62.16) | 0.049 |
| Non-Hispanic Black | 12.19 (8.68–16.86) | 9.19 (4.71–17.17) | 12.47 (8.9–17.2) | |
| Hispanic | 21.79 (16.35–28.42) | 16.25 (9.47–26.45) | 22.3 (16.72–29.09) | |
| Non-Hispanic Asian | 4.77 (3.22–7.01) | 1.31 (0.44–3.89) | 5.09 (3.4–7.56) | |
| Non-Hispanic other | 4.96 (3.68–6.66) | 7.86 (5.88–15.29) | 4.69 (3.31–6.62) | |
| Smoking Status—% (95% CI) | | | | |
| Never | 61.91 (55.82–67.65) | 37.63 (22.42–55.76) | 64.16 (57.64–70.2) | < 0.001 |
| Former | 17.3 (13.28–22.22) | 11.33 (5.13–23.19) | 17.85 (13.54–23.17) | |
| Current | 20.79 (17.03–25.13) | 51.03 (35.67–66.21) | 17.99 (14.62–21.94) | |
| Lab values—mean (95% CI) | | | | |
| Total bilirubin (mg/dL) | | | | |
| AST (IU/L) | 22.47 (21.3–23.64) | 28.19 (21.14–35.24) | 21.94 (21.02–22.85) | 0.064 |
| ALT (IU/L) | 24.72 (23–26.45) | 32.27 (24.28–40.25) | 24.03 (22.45–25.6) | 0.038 |
| Albumin (g/dL) | | | | |
| MCV (fL) | 87.79 (84.18–91.43) | 89.94 (88.47–91.41) | 87.59 (85.94–88.24) | 0.001 |
| Platelet count (10$^3$ cells/μL) | 253.18 (246.33–260.02) | 249.03 (234.65–263.42) | 253.56 (246.49–260.63) | < 0.001 |
| HbA1C (%) | 5.3 (5.2–5.4) | 5.22 (5.1–5.35) | 5.31 (5.27–5.35) | 0.002 |
In the excessive alcohol consumption group, the characteristics of those with ALD were compared to those without in Table 3. Similarly, among the non-excessive alcohol consumption group, the characteristics of those with NAFLD were compared to those without liver involvement in Table 4.

Finally, the prevalence and stage of suspected fibrosis for ALD and NAFLD were separately collected and compared in both groups.

The prevalence of excessive alcohol intake (N = 100) was 8.49% (95% CI 6.43–11.13) in the general population. In comparison to those without excessive alcohol consumption (N = 1219), subjects with excessive alcohol consumption (N = 100) were older (29.8 vs 28.5 years, p = 0.049), more likely to be male (63.4% vs 51.1%, p = 0.049), of Caucasians descendants (65.3% vs. 55.4%, p = 0.049), current smokers (51% vs. 17.9%, p < 0.001), had higher AST (28.2 vs. 21.9 U/L, p = 0.064), ALT (32.3 vs. 24 U/L, p = 0.038) and MCV (90 vs. 87.6 fL, p < 0.001), and had lower platelet counts (249 vs. 253.5 $10^3$/uL p < 0.001) and HbA1C (5.22% vs. 5.31%, p = 0.002).

Moreover, compared to those with no evidence of ALD based on low CAP score, subjects with ALD (N = 52) tended to be older (30.0 vs 26.5 years, p = 0.785), more males (74.1% vs 49.6%, p = 0.044), with higher BMI (32.6 vs 25.1 kg/m², p ≤ 0.001), Caucasians (65.7 vs 64.8%, p = 0.256), current smokers (61.0% vs 38%, p ≤ 0.104), had higher AST (33.8 vs 20.9 IU/L, p = 0.062) and ALT (41.5 vs 20.2 IU/L, p = 0.042), comparable albumin (4.2 vs 4.19 g/dL, p = 0.816) and MCV (89.8 vs 90.1 fL, p = 0.808) levels, with higher platelet count (260.1 vs 234.9 $10^3$ cells/uL, p = 0.065) and HbA1C (5.3% vs 5.0%, p = 0.015).

The prevalence of ALD in AYAs
Among those with excessive alcohol consumption, 56.59% (95% CI 41.57–70.49) had ALD compared to 43.41% (95% CI 29.51–58.43) who had no evidence of liver involvement based on CAP ≥ 248 dB/m. The prevalence of suspected fibrosis in the ALD group was as follows (Fig. 4): F0–F1 87.7% (95% CI 71.66–95.26), F ≥ F2 12.3% (95% CI 4.74–28.34) and F ≥ F3 6.31% (95% CI 0.69–39.55).

Moreover, compared to those with no evidence of ALD based on low CAP score, subjects with ALD (N = 52) tended to be older (30.0 vs 26.5 years, p = 0.785), more males (74.1% vs 49.6%, p = 0.044), with higher BMI (32.6 vs 25.1 kg/m², p ≤ 0.001), Caucasians (65.7 vs 64.8%, p = 0.256), current smokers (61.0% vs 38%, p ≤ 0.104), had higher AST (33.8 vs 20.9 IU/L, p = 0.062) and ALT (41.5 vs 20.2 IU/L, p = 0.042), comparable albumin (4.2 vs 4.19 g/dL, p = 0.816) and MCV (89.8 vs 90.1 fL, p = 0.808) levels, with higher platelet count (260.1 vs 234.9 $10^3$ cells/uL, p = 0.065) and HbA1C (5.3% vs 5.0%, p = 0.015).
Table 2  Characteristics of study participants with alcoholic liver disease in patients with excessive alcohol consumption compared to non-alcoholic fatty liver disease

|                          | Alcoholic liver disease | Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease | p value |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------|
| Age (years)—mean (95% CI) | 30.02 (28.80–31.25)     | 30.00 (29.28–30.72)               | 0.968   |
| Male-% (95% CI)          | 74.10 (56.42–86.34)     | 58.09 (50.92–64.92)               | 0.115   |
| BMI (kg/m²)—mean (95% CI) | 32.65 (30.98–34.31)     | 34.16 (32.80–35.43)               | 0.109   |
| Race/ethnicity-% (95% CI) |                         |                                   |         |
| Non-Hispanic White       | 65.78 (51.21–77.89)     | 50.39 (41.47–59.28)               | 0.056   |
| Non-Hispanic Black       | 5.36 (1.50–17.41)       | 9.56 (5.91–15.11)                 |         |
| Hispanic                 | 21.12 (12.96–32.52)     | 29.08 (21.19–38.49)               |         |
| Non-Hispanic Asian       | 0.55 (0.07–4.25)        | 6.14 (4.15–8.98)                  |         |
| Non-Hispanic other       | 7.16 (2.29–20.29)       | 4.83 (3.23–7.16)                  |         |
| Smoking status-% (95% CI) |                         |                                   |         |
| Never                    | 26.66 (12.77–47.44)     | 59.88 (51.56–67.67)               | <0.001  |
| Former                   | 12.31 (4.83–27.98)      | 22.4 (16.56–29.56)                |         |
| Current                  | 61.03 (45.18–74.85)     | 17.72 (12.97–23.74)               |         |
| Lab values—mean (95% CI) |                         |                                   |         |
| Total bilirubin (mg/dL)  | 0.45 (0.31–0.59)        | 0.46 (0.41–0.5)                   | 0.905   |
| AST (IU/L)               | 33.81 (21.67–45.95)     | 23.9 (22.4–25.4)                  | 0.087   |
| ALT (IU/L)               | 41.55 (26.48–56.62)     | 31.15 (27.29–35.01)               | 0.142   |
| Albumin (g/dL)           | 4.2 (4.11–4.3)          | 4.14 (4.06–4.22)                  | 0.379   |
| MCV (fl)                 | 89.8 (87.72–91.88)      | 86.34 (85.53–87.15)               | 0.002   |
| Platelet count (10³ cells/uL) | 260.16 (240.48–279.85) | 265.58 (254.51–276.64)            | 0.591   |
| HbA1C (%)                | 5.38 (5.13–5.63)        | 5.46 (5.37–5.55)                  | 0.548   |

The prevalence of NAFLD in AYAs

Among those with no excessive alcohol consumption (N = 1219), the prevalence of NAFLD was 40.04% (95% CI 36.64–43.54) compared to 59.96 (95% CI 56.46–63.36) who had no liver involvement. The prevalence of suspected fibrosis in the NAFLD group was as follows (Fig. 5): F0–F1 68.93% (95% CI 64.84–72.75), F ≥ F2 31.07 (95% CI 27.25–35.16) and F ≥ F3 20.15% (95% CI 16.05–24.99).

Also comparably, those with NAFLD (N = 506) tended to be older (30.0 vs 27.5 years, p < 0.001), more males (58.0% vs 46.5%, p = 0.016) than females, had significantly higher BMI (34.1 vs 25.5 kg/m², p < 0.001), Hispanics (29.0% vs 17.7%, p = 0.001), former smokers (22.4 vs 14.8, p = 0.054), had higher AST (23.9 vs 20.6 IU/L, p = 0.018) and ALT (31.1 vs 19.2, p ≤ 0.001), lower albumin (4.1 vs 4.2 g/dL, p = 0.02), higher platelet counts (265.5 vs 245.4 10³ cells/uL, p < 0.001) and higher HbA1C (5.4% vs 5.2%, p < 0.001).

Discussion

The main findings of this study are the following: (1) a significant percentage of AYAs consume excessive amounts of alcohol placing them at risk of ALD; (2) in those with excessive alcohol consumption, 57% have evidence of ALD based on CAP and 12% are at risk of significant suspected fibrosis based on LSM; (3) in those without excessive alcohol consumption, 40% have evidence of NAFLD with 31% at risk of significant fibrosis; (4) male gender, obesity, smoking, and certain ethnicities were associated with higher burden of liver disease.

Unhealthy alcohol use and obesity are the two major drivers of the burden of fatty liver disease (FLD) across the globe [23, 24]. Alcohol is known to cause metabolic insult, but obesity-related liver diseases have grown from a scarcely known disease to a mounting epidemic over the last two decades. Evidently, in the twenty-first century, two things that have become more prevalent in AYA are unhealthy patterns of alcoholism and metabolic syndrome secondary to obesity. Several studies have stressed upon the entwining pathophysiology of NAFLD and ALD [25, 26]. We conducted a population-based cross-sectional retrospective study using a U.S representative sample from NHANES database 2017–2018 to screen AYAs using a valid FibroScan measurement. In our study, the prevalence of excessive alcohol intake was 8.49% (95% CI 6.43–11.13) in the general population. We demonstrated that a significant fraction of AYAs is at a higher risk for ALD and NAFLD (Figs. 4 and 5).
Compared to the most recently reported US prevalence of both diseases from the same database on 2008 cycle (age cut off: 18 or above), our results show a significantly higher prevalence for NAFLD (40.04% vs. 11.01%) and ALD (56% vs. 3.94%), confirming previously predicted exponential growth of the trends and burden of these two diseases [5]. The large difference between the two rates can be explained by the obesity and alcohol pandemics. However, the large difference seen in ALD rates can also be explained by the objectivity of our study in detecting the disease using a valid transient elastography results, and hence minimizing the underdiagnoses of this disease which has been historically underreported [4]. Furthermore, a subsection of AYA is at the risk of developing significant liver fibrosis. This study aimed to create awareness in AYA by assessing the prevalence and burden of ALD and NAFLD in a representative cohort of AYAs in the United States. In comparison to non-excessive alcohol consumption, AYAs with excessive alcohol consumption were found to be of Caucasians descendants (65.3% vs. 55.4%, p = 0.049), were current smokers (51% vs. 17.9%, p < 0.001), were older (29.8 vs 28.5 years, p = 0.049), and had higher BMI (29.2 vs. 27.6 kg/m²) with slight male predilection more (63.4% vs 51.1%, p = 0.049). Ethnic factors play a role, as evidenced by epidemiological studies comparing different ethnic groups observing the rates of alcoholic cirrhosis. Ethnicity factors are postulated to play a role in liver metabolism via several genetic polymorphisms [27]. In our study prevalence of AYAs with excessive alcohol consumption was highest in the Caucasian race. This racial predilection can be postulated to population demographics and culture as explained in an epidemiological overview of the general population [28]. Another rationale for the increased risk of excessive alcohol intake in the Caucasian race could be linked to a low socioeconomic profile of various subgroups. A study by Williams and the group showed how low socioeconomic status is often related to disease burden and correlates to excessive alcohol consumption [29]. Although alcohol consumption is increasing in younger people more rapidly than ever, our study demonstrated that excessive alcohol intake is slightly higher in older individuals in the AYAs group. We believe that the addictive and cumulative nature of alcohol consumption is responsible for such findings. Hart et al. did an exciting analysis showing how high BMI and alcohol consumption have a supra-additive

| Table 3 Characteristics of participants with alcoholic liver disease compared to those without in the excessive alcohol consumption group |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Alcoholic liver disease | No alcoholic liver disease | p value |
| Age (years)—mean (95% CI) | 30.02 (28.80–31.25) | 29.56 (26.53–32.58) | 0.785 |
| Male—% (95% CI) | 74.10 (56.42–86.34) | 49.65 (34.56–64.81) | 0.044 |
| BMI (kg/m²)—mean (95% CI) | 32.65 (30.98–34.31) | 25.16 (23.99–26.34) | <0.001 |
| Race/ethnicity—% (95% CI) |
| Non-Hispanic White | 65.78 (51.21–77.89) | 64.87 (48.55–78.33) | 0.256 |
| Non-Hispanic Black | 5.36 (1.50–17.41) | 14.18 (5.91–30.3) | 0.133 |
| Hispanic | 21.12 (12.96–32.52) | 9.88 (4.01–22.36) | |
| Non-Hispanic Asian | 0.55 (0.07–4.25) | 2.3 (0.58–8.71) | 0.133 |
| Non-Hispanic other | 7.16 (2.29–20.29) | 8.77 (3.75–19.14) | 0.536 |
| Smoking status—% (95% CI) |
| Never | 26.66 (12.77–47.44) | 51.95 (29.78–73.37) | <0.001 |
| Former | 12.31 (4.83–27.98) | 10.05 (3.3–26.77) | 0.843 |
| Current | 61.03 (45.18–74.85) | 38 (20.86–58.78) | 0.536 |
| Lab values—mean (95% CI) |
| Total bilirubin (mg/dL) | 0.45 (0.31–0.59) | 0.55 (0.42–0.68) | 0.212 |
| AST (IU/L) | 33.81 (21.67–45.95) | 20.91 (18.23–23.59) | 0.006 |
| ALT (IU/L) | 41.55 (25.48–56.62) | 20.24 (14.08–26.4) | 0.042 |
| Albumin (g/dL) | 4.2 (4.11–4.3) | 4.19 (4.09–4.28) | 0.816 |
| MCV (fL) | 89.8 (87.72–91.88) | 90.11 (88.17–92.06) | 0.808 |
| Platelet count (10³ cells/uL) | 260.16 (240.48–279.85) | 234.97 (218.29–251.64) | 0.065 |
| HbA1C (%) | 5.38 (5.13–5.63) | 5.02 (4.92–5.12) | 0.015 |
interaction to cause liver disease [30]. Another study by Abeysekera demonstrated that raised BMI and adiposity were positively linked with increasing steatosis grade (p < 0.0001) [22].

Obesity is one of the most important modifiable risk factors which determines the risk of cirrhosis in heavy drinkers [30].

Current study also exhibits interesting comparative findings blood chemistry in AYAs. AYA with excessive
alcohol consumption had higher AST (28.2 vs. 21.9 U/L, \( p = 0.064 \)), ALT (32.3 vs. 24 U/L, \( p = 0.038 \)) and MCV (90 vs. 87.6 fL, \( p < 0.001 \)), and had lower platelet counts (249 vs. 253.5 \( 10^3/uL \) \( p < 0.001 \)) and HbA1C (5.22% vs. 5.31%, \( p = 0.002 \)). Hence, no unique pattern of liver enzymes or other markers has been seen.

Alcohol is the fourth leading preventable cause of death in the United States [31], and nearly 25% of the world's population at present is thought to have been affected with NAFLD [32]. According to a metaanalysis by Anderson et al., the pooled mean prevalence of NAFLD children from general population studies were 7.6% (95% CI 5.5–10.3%) and 34.2% (95% CI 27.8–41.2%) [33]. These numbers are still rising and can often lead to serious irreversible liver fibrosis and damage. There is a linear relationship between the liver injury rate and overall alcohol consumption [34, 35]. FLD comprises a broad spectrum of diseases ranging from asymptomatic or early disease to advanced pathologies. Thus, both alcohol and obesity, which are preventable risk factors for liver disease-associated mortality and morbidity, should be taken more seriously. Our aim through this paper was to provide a critical, comparative analysis of AYAs who are at risk of developing NAFLD and ALD.

An increase in inflammation (by alcohol or fatty acid oxidation) leads to an increase in stiffness, which causes irreversible scarring and fibrosis, thereby causing significant liver illnesses. Hepatic fibrosis has been established as a dynamic process with the potential for considerable resolution. Unlike an alcoholic liver disease, there is no unique elevation pattern of liver enzymes for diagnosing NAFLD.

We used transient electography to quantify hepatic steatosis and suspected fibrosis. Due to considerable inter-observer variability, traditional USS imaging is not a very sensitive diagnostic tool to assess mild liver steatosis compared to liver biopsy [36]. The FDA has approved Vibration-Controlled Transient Elastography (Fibroscan). This noninvasive diagnostic modality detects the degree of swelling, measures the degree of stiffness, and gives us a quantitative measure of liver steatosis [37, 38]. Fibroscan entails a CAP value that stands for controlled attenuation parameter, which provides a reasonable estimate of the degree of steatosis. The CAP score is a promising tool for the noninvasive detection of hepatic steatosis [39]. Another measurement in Fibroscan is called liver stiffness measurement (LSM), which is based on shear wave elastography. According to Siddiqui et al., a controlled attenuation parameter cutoff value of 270 dB/m detects any hepatic steatosis (95% CI 0.78–0.93) [40]. We used a cutoff of 248 dB/m to identify suspected ALD and suspected NAFLD in the two cohorts (with and without excessive alcohol consumption). Based on the CAP score after stratifying individuals into ALD and NAFLD, we used LSM to quantify suspected fibrosis. We used a LSM cutoff value > 7.5 kPa for F > F2 and 9.5 kPa for F > F3. Most of these cutoffs were chosen based on published literature to maximize the sensitivity [41].

Beyond these demographic results, our study extends the literature in a number of ways. First, we extricated the CAP score by Fibroscan and determined the suspected fibrosis occurrences in NAFLD and ALD individuals in our two cohorts of excessive alcohol and non-excessive
alcohol consumption. While alcohol consumption is a well-thought-out cause of hepatic steatosis in the ALD process, NAFLD spans the spectrum of hepatic steatosis in the absence of alcohol consumption.

An epidemiological study by Abeysekera et al. had coherent conclusions to our findings. The participants with steatosis and alcohol use disorder were reported to have four times higher risk of developing fibrosis [22]. In the excessive alcohol consumption cohort, our results were consistent with the occurrence of 56.59% (95% CI 41.57–70.49) of individuals who were suspected of ALD (CAP score ≥248 dB/m). These findings were consistent with another study by Niezen et al. [42]. However, in a recent study by Unalp-Arida A, which validated no association of heavy alcohol consumption development of steatosis [43].

In subjects without excessive alcohol consumption (N=1219), suspected NAFLD was present in 40.04% (95% CI 36.64–43.54) of individuals.

In the fraction of individuals with suspected ALD, we found that for stage F0–F1 prevalence of suspected fibrosis was 87.7% (95% CI 71.66–95.26), for subsequent significant fibrosis stage (F ≥ F2) prevalence was 12.3% (95% CI 4.74–28.34), and advanced fibrosis stage F ≥ F3 6.31% (95% CI 0.69–39.55). For individuals with suspected NAFLD (N=506), the prevalence of suspected fibrosis was stratified. For the stage F0–F1 prevalence was 68.93% (95% CI 64.84–72.75), for subsequent stage of suspected significant fibrosis F ≥ F2 prevalence was 31.07 (95% CI 27.25–35.16) and for suspected advanced fibrosis stage F ≥ F3 prevalence was 20.15% (95% CI 16.05–24.99).

These results were coherent with the findings observed in a community-based ABCD (Alimentazione, Benessere Cardiovascolare e Diabete) study of 890 individuals by Petta et al., which showed a stark high 60% prevalence of NAFLD in the general population and amongst NAFLD patients, there was a high prevalence of advanced fibrosis in specific variants (OR 3.06, 95% CI 1.08–8.65, P < 0.05) [44]. NAFLD was prevalent in 3.1% (28 of the 890 participants) and had evidence of advanced fibrosis (≥ F3).

Limitations

Our study has several limitations. Firstly, despite using strict exclusion criteria, responses entail a degree of recall error considering the nature of the questionnaire for alcohol consumption history. This also leads to the generalizability of our findings to other patient populations requires clarification. For diagnosing NAFLD, we used the Fibroscan, which has limited sensitivity and validity to detect fibrosis equivalent to stage F2 or less [37, 45]. Since our data were collected from a national database, we also suspect the inter-system and interobserver variability for the measurement of CAP score and LSM is coherent with the findings of Ferrioli et al. [46]. We suspect that prevalence NAFLD could have been underreported in the AYAs group. Also, there is a significant overlap between NAFLD and ALD alcohol-related liver disease in many individuals in the AYAs subgroup. This may again make our cohort less generalizable to the standard population, especially in AYAs. This was also evident in a study by Long et al., where authors stressed the role of alcoholism in hepatic steatosis patients with NAFLD [47]. Finally, in spite of the high certainty of representation of the general population in our initial cohort, how representative our sample was after applying the inclusion and exclusion criteria will remain unclear.

Conclusion

Our study draws attention to the growing prevalence of FLD in AYA. Since there is limited evidence regarding understanding factors contributing to FLD in AYA, we need to focus more on mitigating these risk factors. Large randomized control trials are a curial necessity to have early and accurate detection of diffuse liver diseases in AYAs. Our study showed that a significant percentage of AYAs are at risk for ALD and NAFLD, and a fraction of these subjects are at risk of developing liver fibrosis. Efforts should focus on increasing awareness of the burden of ALD and NAFLD in this population and mitigate the modifiable risk factors. Educational programs, lifestyle interventions, and awareness are essential to acknowledge the prevalence of these two clinical entities, which are often intertwined in AYAs.
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