Estimating Component Yield for CLT Production
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Abstract – The emergence of cross-laminated timber (CLT) for building construction in North America may provide an additional and possibly more valuable product market for hardwood logs. Using the RaySaw sawing and ROMI rough mill simulators and a digital databank of laser-scanned low-grade yellow-poplar (Liriodendron tulipifera) logs, we examine the yield-recovery potential for components used in the production of CLT. Results include a sawing yield of 65% and a rough-mill yield of 78%, for a total material yield of approximately 50%. This study confirmed the usability of yellow poplar as a material for the production of CLT and allows to estimate the impact on our forest resource of increased use of yellow poplar CLT.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Cross Laminated Timber (CLT) refers to large-scale, solid wood panels with windows and supply line openings precut using CNC equipment in the manufacturing plant. These prefabricated panels leave the manufacturing plant on trucks ready to be installed at the construction site [1]. Indications exist that CLT may be a cost-competitive building material, thereby using hardwoods from US forests generating economic opportunities in rural regions. However, at the current time, little understanding exists as to the implications of the impact, positive or negative, on forest health, landowner finances, and rural economies from a potential increased use of hardwoods to manufacture CLT. This study investigated the production of CLT using hardwoods by simulating the cut-up of logs and timbers for CLT components, thereby estimating the yield recovery potential of hardwood CLT.

II. RESEARCH METHODS

Banks [11] defines simulation as the imitation of a real process over time. The forest products industry employs simulation to find optimum or sub-optimum solutions for the cut-up of their raw material. Typically, solid wood components are cut in a two-step process. The log is first cut into timbers (often referred to as lumber in industry parlance); the timbers then are cut into components after drying. To simulate these real-world processes, this study employed heuristic, iterative, optimum or sub-optimum search algorithms to obtain the overall highest value from the material processed. Although there is no time component involved, the industry still refers to these algorithms as simulation or as simulation optimization [11].

Simulation was used to investigate the potential yield of usable components from logs digitized using the US Forest Service high-resolution laser scanner [12], which then were sawn to timbers using the RaySaw sawing simulator [13]. The resulting timbers were thereafter cut to CLT components using the ROMI simulator [14].

A. Logs

Twenty-two medium quality yellow-poplar logs were randomly selected from two sites in the Central Appalachian region of the United States. These logs were graded to US Forest Service log grades to establish quality and market value [15]. Under Forest Service log grading rules, the best saw log grade is Factory 1, followed by Factory 2, and the lowest grade commonly sawn in mills in the United States is Factory 3. The logs
used in this study were all Factory 2 grade logs. Table 1 lists the diameter, length, market value, whole log volume, sawn green volume, number of boards produced, and the kiln dried planed volume of the boards (timbers). Market value was determined by using an average of prices mills are paying for logs at the time of publication.

B. High-resolution laser scanner

The yellow-poplar logs (Table 1) were imaged using a US Forest Service high-resolution laser scanner system [12]. This scanning system enables determination of accurate shape and volume measurements to create a complete digital representation of a log. A rendering of log number 12 in 3D is shown in Figure 1.

C. Sawing simulator

The laser-scanned logs (Table 1) were then virtually sawn into timbers using the RaySaw sawing simulator [13]. RaySaw was configured to simulate a band sawmill operating with a 5mm thick saw kerf. Defect types, sizes, and locations on the timbers were predicted using modeled relationships among external defect indicators and internal features [16]. The target thickness of the dried and surfaced CLT lamination layers was set to 34 mm, following the European Standard FprEN 16351 [17]. A drying allowance was added to the green timber sawing thickness to allow for drying shrinkage. To determine the target green thickness of the timbers cut, a green allowance based on a yellow-poplar tangential shrinkage factor of 8.2% (i.e., 4 mm) was added [18]. Using the tangential shrinkage factor provides a maximum drying loss and provides a conservative estimate of recovery. Also, a surfacing allowance of 6 mm and a sawing variation allowance of 1 mm were added to the final target thickness. Thus, RaySaw [13] was configured to saw rough timbers of 45 mm thickness. Figure 2 shows an end view of log number 12, including defect locations with a typical sawing pattern used in this study. The sawing pattern found by RaySaw sawing simulator [13] maximizes the width of the timbers that results from the clear face of the log.

D. Timbers cut-up simulator

Prior to defecting the timbers resulting from the sawing process using RaySaw [13], the thickness and width of the timbers were shrunk by the tangential shrinkage factor of 8.2% [18] to account for drying. Also, to produce usable material for the manufacture of CLT, the timbers, which are roughly edged (i.e., contain some wane) and contain defects not allowed for the manufacture of CLT, need to be defected. To remove the wane and the defects from the timbers to comply with manufacturing specifications [17], the ROMI simulator [14] was employed as ROMI is a well tested and widely used rough mill simulator [19]. ROMI processes rough random width and length timbers and produces dimensional parts, shown in blue, that meet user size and grade specifications (Figure 3). ROMI reports the number and volume of parts produced including the number of cutting operations required to achieve those results. Although ROMI can process parts using a rip-first, chop-first, or combined rip- and chop-first operation, we employed a rip-first operation mode only. This processing method is more commonly used in real-world mills and offers greater mill throughput than do other processing methods.
E. CLT production specifications

To assure the quality and the safety of the product used for structures, standards have been established as to the material and the processes. Thus, the simulations conducted complied with CLT production requirements according to the European Standard FprEN 16351 [17] and to the American Standard ANSI/APA PRg 320-2012 [19]. In particular, the production of three-layered panels with edge-bonded timber layers was simulated, as it would not be economically realistic to extract wide enough material from the logs procured for three-layered panels with plain timber layers. For plain timber layers, the minimum lamination timber width for a 34 mm thick lamination is 136 mm [17]. For edge-bonded timber layers, the European standard does not specify a minimum lamination timber width, but the American standard [19] specifies a minimum timber width of 1.5 times the lamination thickness, or 51 mm for a 34-mm thick layer. Thus, we used 51 mm as the minimum acceptable timber width in the simulations. We simulated the production of finger-jointed timbers with 20-mm long fingers. The minimum and maximum length of the timbers finger jointed was 200 mm and 5 meters, respectively.

To assure the structural integrity of the CLT panels produced, the standards describe timber characteristics that are known to weaken their strength, knots among them. The European standards ignore knots less than 6 mm in diameter, and exclude knots larger than 6 mm from a zone 20 mm plus 3 times the knot diameter from the end of the timber. Unfortunately, ROMI’s [14] defect proximity rules control defect placement only along the lengthwise edges of the strip, not along the ends. Thus, ROMI produced sound lamination timbers and allowed defects as large as 50 cm² surface area on 90-mm and wider timbers, and defects up to 15 cm² on 51-mm wide timbers. Because the ROMI simulation program is not able to handle defect proximity specifications at the end of lamination timbers, yield will be slightly overstated. In addition, when drying lumber, degrade (e.g., splits, checks, and warp) occurs, none of which was taken into account by our simulation, introducing another inaccuracy to our results.

III. RESULTS

U.S. hardwood sawmills typically cut logs such that the opening cut results in timber with a minimum width of 100 mm for Common grade timber, or 150 mm for Selects and Better grades, thereby following minimum width requirements stipulated in the NHLA rules for the measurement and inspection of hardwoods [20]. However, in this study, the sawing focused on maximizing the production of timber for the manufacture of CLT. Thus, more flexibility existed in the design of the sawing patterns than normally enjoyed by sawyers. By narrowing the opening face, we were able to reduce the amount of wood in the slabs (i.e., residues) and increase recovery on some logs.

A. Production yields

Table 1 lists the volumes (kiln dried planed volume) and the number of timbers (number of boards produced) obtained from each log from the simulation analysis using RaySaw [13]. Overall, 259 timbers (boards) totaling 7.02 m³ when dried and surfaced were sawn from 12.70 m³ of logs.

Table 2 presents the yield in CLT components from the timbers sawn from each log using the ROMI lumber rough mill simulation software [14]. Yield for individual timbers ranged from a low of 67.80% to a high of 89.21% with an overall average yield of 78.09%. While higher yields may be achievable, it would result in excessive numbers of narrow and short parts, resulting in costly handling and glue-up for CLT panels. Thus, the simulation setting were such that a higher prioritization of CLT. More flexibility existed in the design of the sawing patterns than normally enjoyed by sawyers. By narrowing the opening face, we were able to reduce the amount of wood in the slabs (i.e., residues) and increase recovery on some logs.

Table 1

| Log   | Diameter (mm) | Length (m) | Market value (US$) | Whole log volume (m³) | Sawn green volume (m³) | Boards produced (units) | Dried planed volume (m³) |
|-------|---------------|------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| #     | (mm)          | (m)        |                      |                       |                        |                         |                         |
| 1     | 457           | 4.267      | 37.40                | 1.046                 | 0.736                  | 18                      | 0.608                   |
| 2     | 350           | 3.877      | 3.658                | 0.909                 | 0.545                  | 12                      | 201                     |
| 3     | 350           | 3.877      | 3.658                | 0.909                 | 0.545                  | 12                      | 201                     |
| 4     | 356           | 3.877      | 22.80                | 0.679                 | 0.489                  | 14                      | 0.416                   |
| 5     | 350           | 3.877      | 3.658                | 0.909                 | 0.545                  | 12                      | 201                     |
| 6     | 350           | 3.877      | 3.658                | 0.909                 | 0.545                  | 12                      | 201                     |
| 7     | 350           | 3.877      | 3.658                | 0.909                 | 0.545                  | 12                      | 201                     |
| 8     | 350           | 3.877      | 3.658                | 0.909                 | 0.545                  | 12                      | 201                     |
| 9     | 350           | 3.877      | 3.658                | 0.909                 | 0.545                  | 12                      | 201                     |
| 10    | 350           | 3.877      | 3.658                | 0.909                 | 0.545                  | 12                      | 201                     |
| 11    | 350           | 3.877      | 3.658                | 0.909                 | 0.545                  | 12                      | 201                     |
| 12    | 350           | 3.877      | 3.658                | 0.909                 | 0.545                  | 12                      | 201                     |
| 13    | 350           | 3.877      | 3.658                | 0.909                 | 0.545                  | 12                      | 201                     |
| 14    | 350           | 3.877      | 3.658                | 0.909                 | 0.545                  | 12                      | 201                     |
| 15    | 350           | 3.877      | 3.658                | 0.909                 | 0.545                  | 12                      | 201                     |
| 16    | 350           | 3.877      | 3.658                | 0.909                 | 0.545                  | 12                      | 201                     |
| 17    | 350           | 3.877      | 3.658                | 0.909                 | 0.545                  | 12                      | 201                     |
| 18    | 350           | 3.877      | 3.658                | 0.909                 | 0.545                  | 12                      | 201                     |
| 19    | 350           | 3.877      | 3.658                | 0.909                 | 0.545                  | 12                      | 201                     |
| 20    | 350           | 3.877      | 3.658                | 0.909                 | 0.545                  | 12                      | 201                     |
| 21    | 350           | 3.877      | 3.658                | 0.909                 | 0.545                  | 12                      | 201                     |
| 22    | 350           | 3.877      | 3.658                | 0.909                 | 0.545                  | 12                      | 201                     |

Total 308.50 12.702 8.282 259 7.015
TABLE 2

Yield and production overview for the timber simulations

| Log | Part count | Primary yield | Primary part volume |
|-----|------------|---------------|---------------------|
|     | (#)        | (percent)     | (m$^3$)             |
| 1   | 53         | 82.31         | 0.510               |
| 2   | 24         | 77.79         | 0.220               |
| 3   | 51         | 73.74         | 0.222               |
| 4   | 37         | 79.41         | 0.245               |
| 5   | 43         | 76.93         | 0.187               |
| 6   | 28         | 82.65         | 0.229               |
| 7   | 30         | 79.33         | 0.217               |
| 9   | 18         | 89.21         | 0.179               |
| 10  | 33         | 76.30         | 0.121               |
| 11  | 66         | 78.16         | 0.245               |
| 12  | 92         | 67.80         | 0.382               |
| 13  | 38         | 72.81         | 0.164               |
| 14  | 72         | 80.25         | 0.354               |
| 15  | 35         | 72.07         | 0.171               |
| 17  | 40         | 77.93         | 0.316               |
| 18  | 68         | 81.25         | 0.482               |
| 19  | 47         | 78.44         | 0.259               |
| 20  | 64         | 75.70         | 0.236               |
| 21  | 26         | 74.29         | 0.248               |
| 22  | 26         | 85.51         | 0.114               |
| Total | 891   | 78.09         | 5.702               |

Table 3 shows the total length of components obtained from each log by width. Wider lamination components require fewer glue-joints and minimize material handling and labor costs as opposed to narrower components. A total of 1,716 lineal meters of 51, 90, 110, 130, or 150 mm width was produced with the largest percentage accumulating in the narrowest width. In fact, 33% (572 lineal m) of all components were 51 mm wide, with 12% (214 lineal m), 25% (424 lineal m), 12% (200 lineal m), and 18% (307 lineal m) accruing in 90, 110, 130, and 150 mm widths, respectively (Table 3).

IV. DISCUSSION

Experience and preliminary experiments using the RaySaw [13] and the ROMI [14] simulation software indicate that CLT lamination components of 200 mm and wider can be obtained from the resource, somewhat wider than the component widths investigated in this study. However, doubts exist that lamination timbers this wide would be suitable for CLT production since such wide timbers are prone to cupping and bowing during the drying process, resulting in high degrees of crook or sweep, making the timbers unusable. Thus, production of 200 mm and wider lamination components will likely result in low production yield after drying and will, most likely, not be economical from a cost standpoint. Also, timbers wide enough to cut such large lamination components are typically the higher grade [20] higher value output of the sawing process that commands premium prices in the market for appearance grade lumber. It is, thus, unlikely to be economically feasible to use such timbers for the manufacture of CLT panels.

However, this research has shown that a relatively high yield of narrower laminate timbers can be recovered from yellow-poplar logs typically sawn in US hardwood sawmills. With sawmill yields of 65% on average of the 22 logs sawn and rough mill yields of 78% for a total yield of approximately 50%, this study has shown that relatively high yield when sawing timbers for CLT can be achieved. Yet, this research has also uncovered the need to enhance the capabilities of the ROMI software to account for the location of knots at the ends of components. Also, additional research is needed to better understand the relationship between the type and size of allowable characteristics in the lamination timbers and yield as well as the influence of these characteristics on the mechanical properties of the resulting panels. Furthermore, software is needed to better simulate the drying of timbers, enabling this research to better estimate drying rejects and volumetric shrinkage.

V. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

CLT, an environmentally friendly building material with numerous benefits, is mostly made using softwoods. However, the material has gained interest within the US hardwood industry as a potential market. Special attention is being paid to yellow-poplar CLT panels, as yellow-poplar is a strong yet rather light material, which is well suited for certain building applications. This study investigated the yield of yellow-poplar logs for the manufacture of CLT panels.

Using the RaySaw sawing and the ROMI rough mill simulator and a digital databank of laser-scanned yellow-poplar logs, the yield-recovery potential for timbers used in the production of CLT was investigated. The simulated sawing and cut-up of 22 low-grade yellow-poplar logs resulted in yields of 65% and 78% for the log
sawing and the timbers cut-up, respectively, for an overall yield of 50%. The result from this study confirms the feasibility of manufacturing CLT from yellow poplar logs and provides a base-line for the assessment of the impact that the increased use of hardwood CLT would have on our forest resource.

However, as the simulation software used was not able to cut all the components exactly according to the standards with respect to the location of certain characteristics, the yield information found in this study may change slightly once the software has been adapted. Further research is also needed into the relationship between the acceptance of characters and their size in lamination timbers with respect to yield improvements and to the mechanical properties of resulting panels.
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