Biological characteristics and probiotic effect of *Leuconostoc lactis* strain isolated from the intestine of black porgy fish
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**Abstract**

A strain of lactic acid bacteria, *Leuconostoc lactis*, was isolated from the intestinal tract of black porgy, *Sparus macrocephalus*, and identified by conventional biochemical characteristics and 16S rDNA gene sequence analysis. The isolated strain had the ability of bile tolerance and resistance to low pH, and survived well in the trypsinase and pepsin solution. But the highly concentrated dose of trypsinase and pepsin affect the viability of the isolated strain. The isolate was resistant to several antibiotics, including Cephalothin, Ceftriaxone, Imipenem and Tobramycin. The isolate could auto-aggregate itself and coaggregate with other bacteria *in vitro*. The autoaggregation percentage increased to 23.29% after 20 h of incubation. The percentage of coaggregation were respectively 31.21%, 29.44%, 10.74%, 16.49%, 24.36%, 24.41% and 20.99% for *Vibrio parahaemolyticus*, *Listeria monocytogenes*, *Escherichia coli* O157, *Salmonella typhimurium*, *Shigella*, *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Proteusbacillus vulgaris* after 20 h incubation of a mixed suspension. The supernatant of the strain inhibited the growth of several pathogens, such as *V.parahaemolyticus*, *Vibrio harveyi*, *Vibrio alginolyticus*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Escherichia coli* O157, *Salmonella typhimurium*, *Bacillus subtilis*, *Proteusbacillus vulgaris* and *Shigella*. These results indicated that the isolate, *Leuconostoc lactis*, might be an attractive candidate for perspectival strain for probiotics in marine aquaculture.
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**Introduction**

With the development of commercial scale aquaculture, it has become apparent that disease can be a significant limiting factor for the fish in sea water. Chemotherapeutic agents, *i.e.* antibiotics, could lead to the evolution of resistance among pathogenic bacteria (Angulo 2000; Balcázar et al., 2006) and should be minimized in the prevention of disease of marine fish. Probiotic, a kind of live microorganism, may reduce the incidence of disease or lessen the severity of disease outbreaks as the substitution for chemotherapeutic agents (Reid et al., 2003).

Probiotics are defined as microbial dietary adjuvants that beneficially affect the host by modulating mucosal and systemic immunity, as well as improving nutritional and microbial balance in the intestinal tract. Lactic acid bacteria (LAB) is generally used as the probiotics in aquaculture, and have not been found to cause infectious disease for fishes (Verschuere et al., 2000). The mechanisms of LAB used as probiotic include the production of inhibitory substances against pathogens, competition for essential nutrients, adhesion sites and tolerance for pH and bile in stomach-intestinal tract. As a ‘Generally Recognized As Safe’ (GRAS) classification, the role of LAB has been extensively studied in the digestive tract of larvae and juvenile fish (Ringø et al., 1998).

The black porgy, *Sparus macrocephalus*, had been widely cultured in eastern China for its fast growth rate, readily adaptation to different environment, and better resistance to disease. In the study, a strain of lactic acid bacteria from the intestine of the fishes, had been isolated and identified, and its biological characteristics and probiotic effect were evaluated for the potentially applications in marine aquaculture.
Materials and Methods

Fish and bacteria sampling

Ten healthy black porgies (about 50 g) were collected from a commercial fish farm without antibiotic in the fish feeds at Zhoushan islands, Zhejiang province, China, in June 2010. Each fish was dissected aseptically after capture, and its intestine was taken out and washed in use of sterile 50% artificial seawater (Rei-Sea, Tokyo, Japan). The intestine samples were grinded and serially diluted with sterile artificial seawater (Rei-Sea, Tokyo, Japan). Then solutions were plated onto MRS-agar medium containing 2% agar for selection of lactic acid bacteria. The MRS broth (BD, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA) was prepared with artificial seawater. The plates were incubated at 30 °C for 3 days under aerobic conditions. Subsequently, the loopful clones from the MRS-agar medium were inoculated in MRS broth and incubated at 30 °C in an anaerobic jar.

All isolates were examined for phenotypic properties including Gram reaction, cellular morphology and colony pigmentation. To be tested for gas production, the isolates were inoculated into phenol red broth (Ishida et al., 2000) containing 1% glucose, 1% peptone, 0.5% sodium chloride and 0.0018% phenol red with a Durham tube (pH 6.28), and incubated at 30 °C for 48 h.

PCR amplification of 16S rDNA sequence and phylogenetic tree

The genomic DNA of the isolated strain was extracted by TaKaRa Mini BEST Bacterial Genomic DNA Extraction Kit Ver.2.0 (Takara, Dalian, China). PCR-mediated amplification primers were respectively a forward primer (5’-GAGCGGATAACAATTTCACACAGG-3’) and a reverse primer (5’-CGCCAGGGTTTTCCCAGTCACGAC-3’) and DNA polymerase was Takara Ex Taq (Takara, Tokyo, Japan). The PCR amplifications reaction was carried out in sterile seal tubes with cap locks and per- formed in the following conditions: initial denaturation of template DNA at 94 °C for 4 min; then 30 cycles consisting of denaturation at 94 °C for 30 s, annealing at 55 °C for 30 s, extension at 72 °C for 50 s, and a final extension at 72 °C for 10 min. The PCR-products were purified and ligated into a pMD18-T vector. The inserted DNA was analyzed by an automatic sequencer. The BLAST programs were applied in order to search the sequences similarity in 16S rDNA databases. Sequences were then aligned with GenBank (Stoeisser et al., 2001) and phylogenetic trees were drawn after distances had been determined by neighbor-joining algorithm (Saitou et al., 1987) using the same software.

Effect of pH on survival rate of the isolate

The bacterial suspension of the isolate was serially diluted in sterile PBS and flooded with 100 µL on the surface of MRS agar with the pH of 1.5, 2.5, 3.5 and 4.5, respec-


tively. The MRS agar with pH 5.5 was used as control. The plates were incubated for 24 h at 30 °C in an anaerobic condition. After incubation, the viable bacteria on every plate were counted. For each assay in this study, triplicate was performed to correct for intra-assay variation.

Tolerance for the bile salt

The bacterial were prepared with sterile PBS containing 0, 1, 2, 3, 4 (g/L) bile, and then incubated for 6 h at 30 °C in an anaerobic condition. After incubation, the suspensions were serially diluted in sterile PBS and counts of viable bacteria were determined by plate count using MRS agar (De Man et al., 1960) incubated in an anaerobic condition at 30 °C.

Survival in trypsinase and pepsin juice in vitro

The isolate was evaluated by checking the tolerance of the simulated gastric juice and the simulated intestinal juice in vitro. Trypsinase and pepsin were purchased from Shanghai songon Company (songon, Shanghai, China). The bacterial suspension (10³ cfu/mL of the isolate) was prepared with sterile PBS containing trypsinase of 1, 3, 5, 7 g/L at pH 6.8, respectively. Tolerance for pepsin juice was determined using a bacterial suspension (10³ cfu/mL of the isolate) in sterile PBS containing pepsin of 0, 4, 6, 8,10 g/L respectively at pH 3.0, respectively. After incubation for 6 h at 30 °C in an anaerobic jar condition, each bacterial suspension of 100 µL was flooded on the surface of the MRS agar and then was counted.

Antibiotic susceptibility of the isolate

The microorganism, Staphylococcus aureus (ATCC29213) strain was employed as reference strain. Antimicrobial susceptibility was studied by employing the method described in reference (Bauer et al., 1966). The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) was defined as the lowest concentration of an agent that yielded no growth or a marked change in the appearance of growth, compared to the growth on a control plate. The isolate was cultured in MRS at 30 °C in an anaerobic jar for 24 hours. The concentration of suspensions was adjusted to 10⁶ cfu/mL and the isolate was disseminated on the surface of MRS agar plates. Antibiotic discs were placed on the surface of the MRS (three discs in each plate) and the plates were incubated for 24 hours at 30 °C in an anaerobic jar. After the incubation, the diameter of the halos was measured.

Autoaggregation assay in vitro

Autoaggregation assays were performed according to Del Re (Del Re et al., 2000) with certain modifications. Autoaggregation ability was measured as autoaggregation percentage. The isolate was cultured on MRS for 24 h in an anaerobic jar at 30 °C, then the cells were fuged for 15 min at 5000 g. The pellet was washed three times in sterile PBS and resuspended in sterile PBS to adjust an OD₆₀₀ of 0.25 ±
0.05 (Collado et al., 2008). The autoaggregation assay was done by the bacterial suspension. The bacterial suspension of 4 mL was mixed for 10 s and incubated at room temperature for different times (0 h, 2 h, 4 h, 6 h, 8 h, 10 h, 20 h). The absorbance (A) of bacterial suspension was measured at OD600. The autoaggregation percentage is expressed as: 

$$A\% = \left(\frac{A_0 - A_t}{A_0}\right) \times 100$$

where $A_t$ represents the absorbance at time $t = 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 20$ h and $A_0$ represents the absorbance at $t = 0$.

Coaggregation assay \textit{in vitro}

The method for preparing the cell suspensions for coaggregation was the same as that for autoaggregation assay. The bacterial pathogens used in this study were \textit{Vibrio parahaemolyticus} (\textit{V. parahaemolyticus}), \textit{Staphylococcus aureus} (\textit{S. aureus}), \textit{Shigella}, \textit{Listeria monocytogenes} (\textit{L. monocytogenes}), \textit{Escherichia coli} O157 (\textit{E. coli O157}), \textit{Salmonella typhimurium} (\textit{S. typhimurium}), \textit{Proteusbacillus vulgaris} (\textit{P. vulgaris}). Then equal volumes (2 mL) of the isolate bacterial suspension and pathogen bacterial suspension were mixed together for 10 s. The absorbance (A) at OD600 of the suspension was measured after 0 h, 2 h, 4 h, 6 h, 8 h, 10 h, 20 h of incubation at room temperature (Kos, 2003). The coaggregation percentage is expressed as:

$$A\% = \left(1 - \frac{A_{mix}}{A_0}\right) \times 100$$

where $A_{mix}$ represents the absorbance at time $t = 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 20$ h; $A_0$ represents the absorbance at $t = 0$. And each assay was performed in triplicate to correct for intra-assay variation.

Antimicrobial activity assay

The bacterial pathogens, \textit{V. parahaemolyticus}, \textit{Vibrio Harveyi} (\textit{V. Harveyi}), \textit{Vibrio alginitolyticus} (\textit{V. alginitolyticus}), \textit{S. aureus}, \textit{Shigella}, \textit{E. coli O157}, \textit{S. typhimurium}, \textit{P. vulgaris} and \textit{Bacillus subtilis} (\textit{B. subtilis}), were cultured in LB at 37 °C for 24 h. \textit{L. monocytogenes} was cultured in BHI at 37 °C for 24 h. \textit{Lactococcus lactis subsp. cremoris} (\textit{L. lactis subsp. cremoris}) was cultured in MRS for 24 h in an anaerobic jar at 37 °C. \textit{Aspergillus niger} (\textit{A. niger}) was cultured in PDA at 37 °C for 24 h. The supernatant from cultures of the isolate was prepared by centrifugation at 5000 g for 15 min, sterilized by passage through 0.45 μm Millipore membrane (Millipore, USA) (Balcázar et al., 2008). Antimicrobial activity assay was measured by Oxford Cup. The indicator strains subcultured in culture medium agar plates were flooded with 100 μL of indicator bacteria. The Oxford Cups including the supernatant of 200 μL were placed on the surface of the agar (four Oxford Cup in each plate, one was used for control with no fermentation MRS). Then the plates were incubated in the agar according to their requirements for 24 hours. After the incubation, the diameter of the halos displayed the inhibitory activity.

Result and Discussion

Isolation and identification of the bacterial strain from the fish intestine

50 strains were isolated from the fish intestines samples, of which 1 isolate strain was considered to be lactic acid bacteria according to the morphological, biochemical characteristics and the lactic acid metabolic products. The isolate strain showed initial characterization of Gram-positive and no spore formation, and could produce small colonies without pigment and grow well in MRS broth at 30 °C. The strain could produce acid by pH determination after fermentation, gas from glucose and dextran from sucrose, and hydrolyze arginine (Harrigan 1990). The metabolism was consistent with facultative anaerobes. The strain was able to ferment saccharose, mannitol, maltose, cellobiose, glucose and sorbitol. The result of biochemical tests were showed in Table.1. The characteristics of the strain were the same as those of lactic acid bacteria.

PCR amplification of 16S rDNA sequence and phylogenetic tree

Judgement from the bands of the PCR amplification using two primers in an ethidium stained agarose gel, the length of PCR product was about 1500 bp (Figure 1). The phylogenetic analysis was performed with the 16S rDNA gene sequences of the 19 taxa most closely related to the presumed LAB. The phylogenetic tree based on maximum likelihood was shown in Figure 2. The strain of highest similarity was \textit{Leuconostoc citreum} strain W-4 (FJ378896.1 in GenBank), and the second highest similarity was \textit{Leuconostoc garlicum} (AY456086.1 in GenBank). It eventually became clear that the isolate could be assigned to species. Sequencing result established the character of \textit{Leuconostoc lactis}, so that the isolate in the study was available. Based on 16S rDNA sequence analysis, the isolate above was identified as a strain of lactic acid bacteria, \textit{Leuconostoc lactis}.

\textbf{Table 1 - Biochemical characteristics of the isolates.}

| Item                  | Biochemical test |
|-----------------------|------------------|
| Arginine dihydrolase  | -                |
| Aesculin              | +                |
| Sorbitol              | +                |
| Saccharose            | +                |
| Mannitol              | +                |
| Maltose               | +                |
| Gelatin liquefaction  | -                |
| Salicin               | +                |
| Nitrate reduction     | -                |
| Cellobiose            | +                |
| Glucose               | +                |

“-”, the strains negative; “+”, the strains positive.
Effect of pH on survival rate of the isolate

pH value was one of factors influencing survival of bacteria in gastric juice, and so the different pH values were investigated about its effect on the survival of bacteria. When pH was 1.5, the number of living bacteria was only 0.43x10^6 cfu/mL, obviously less than the 6.8x10^6 cfu/mL living bacteria in control group that pH was 5.5 (Figure 3). The result indicated that pH value could have the key effect on the isolated strain and the viable counts of the isolate came down with pH value decreasing, but the isolate still could survive well in acid condition.

The isolate tolerance for the bile salt

Tolerance to bile is important for the probiotic strains to grow and survive in the digestive tract. In this experiment, the number of living bacteria was showed in Figure 4. Compared to the control (0 g/L), the viable bacteria decreased from 6.3x10^6 to 1.7x10^6 cfu/mL when the bile concentration was 4 g/L. The isolate could tolerate bile, but high bile concentration could affect the survival of the isolate.

Survival in Trypsinase and Pepsin in vitro

The survival of the bacterial strain in pepsin solution is showed Figure 5 at pH 3.0. The number of viable bacteria...
could maintain at $10^6$ cfu/mL. But different pepsin concentrations had an effect on the survival of the bacterial. When the pepsin concentration was 10 g/L, the viable counts of the strain decreased to $1.7 \times 10^6$ cfu/mL, compared to the control (0 g/L) $3.3 \times 10^6$ cfu/mL. According to the result, the isolate could survive well under the action of pepsin, but the pepsin could influence survival of the bacteria to a certain extent.

Tolerance of the isolate to trypsinase was examined in PBS containing trypsinase concentrations from 0 to 7 g/L with incubating 6 h. Compared with the control group (0 g/L), the viable bacteria reached to $10^6$ cfu/mL at the trypsinase concentrations 1, 3, 5, 7 g/L. The result (Figure 6) showed that the isolate could survive better in trypsinase condition. When the trypsinase concentrations increased, the viable counts of the strain decreased. The trypsinase concentration 0 g/L responded to the viable count, $3.8 \times 10^6$ cfu/mL, and the trypsinase concentration 7 g/L responded to the viable count, only $3.3 \times 10^6$ cfu/mL. In Figure 6, the trypsinase concentrations were 0 to 3 g/L, the number of the viable count decreased rapidly, then tended to steady from 3 to 7 g/L.

If Lactic acid bacteria was used as dietary adjunct, it must tolerate the stomach acidity and survive in the enzyme solution of digestive tract (Conway et al., 1987). The isolate, Leuconostoc lactis, survived well under the action of pepsin and trypsinase in the experiment.

Antibiotic susceptibility of the isolate

Antibiotic resistance is an increasing worldwide problem. The LAB is considered as generally recognized as safe (GRAS) by the FDA (Food and Drug Administration, USA) (Svensson 1999). With the antibiotic being used widely, some of the LAB appeared antimicrobial resistance. Resistance or susceptibility to antibiotic had deserved a special consideration in terms of classification of lactic acid bacteria for its association with human infections (Felten et al., 1999). For the antibiotics assayed, inhibition halos had been compared to results published for NCCLS reference. The isolate was sensitive to common antibiotic used in clinical applications, however represented resistance against cephalothin, ceftriaxone, imipenem and tobramycin (Table 2). The observation indicated that the isolate showed low probability of antibiotic resistance.

| Antibiotic Strains | Antibiotic Strains |
|--------------------|--------------------|
| Amoxicillin        | Imipenem           |
| Compound amoxicillin| Cefazidime 1       |
| Third generation cephalosporin | Sulfamethoxazole |
| Compound piperacillin | Tobramycin       |
| Ticarcillin        | Amikacin           |
| Cephalothin        | Gentamicin         |
| piperacillin       | Nalidixic Acid     |
| Ceftriaxone        | Peftloxacin        |
| Cefazidime         | Ciprofloxacin      |
| Aztreonam          | Oxacin             |

Note: “S” was sensitive, “R” was resistant.

**Autoaggregation assay**

Autoaggregation of probiotic strains appeared to be related to adhesion to intestinal epithelial cells (Boris et al., 1997; Del Re et al., 2000; Reid et al., 1988). The autoaggregation percentage was measured by the sedimentation rate. Result showed that the isolate could autoaggregate itself and the autoaggregation percentage increased from 7.8% to 23.29% during 2-20 h (Figure 7). Compared with other probiotic strains, autoaggregation ability of the isolate was very normal. The percentage of autoaggregation of Bifidobacteria animalis subsp. lactic BB12 and Lactobacillus casei Shirota were respectively 36.7% and 17.9% (Yong et al., 2010).

**Coaggregation assay**

Coaggregation abilities may form a barrier that prevents colonization by pathogenic microorganisms (Boris et al., 1997; Del Re et al., 2000; Reid et al., 1988). Coaggregation with pathogens, may constitute an important host defense mechanism against infection (Rickard et al., 2003). In the study, coaggregation of the isolate with seven enteropathogens was examined. Results showed that the isolate...
coaggregated seven enteropathogens and coaggregation percentage would increase with the prolongation of incubation time. After 20 h of incubation of a mixed suspension (Figure 8), the isolate coaggregated the enteropathogens with the high percentage for \textit{V. parahaemolyticus} (31.21%) and \textit{L. monocytogenes} (29.44%), the middle coaggregation percentages for \textit{Shigella} (24.36%), \textit{S.aureus} (24.41%) and \textit{P. vulgaris} (20.99%), and the low coaggregation percentages for \textit{E. coli} O157 (10.74%) and \textit{S.typhimurium} (16.49%). The autoaggregation percentage was higher than the coaggregation percentage of seven enteropathogens at 2 h, 4 h, 6 h. But at 20 h, the percentage of coaggregation with \textit{V. parahaemolyticus} (31.21%), \textit{L. monocytogenes} (29.44%), \textit{Shigella} (24.36%) and \textit{S.aureus} (24.41%) were higher than isolate itself (Figure 8).

The abilities of bacterial autoaggregation and coaggregation were beneficial properties, which were respectively related to cell adherence and inhibition against pathogen, and played an important role in intestinal tract (Jankovic et al., 2003). The isolate could have the ability of aggregating itself and coaggregating other bacteria, which could be helpful for the healthy of fish in aquaculture.

**Antimicrobial activity assay**

The ability to inhibition against pathogenic bacteria is used to select potentially probiotic bacteria. In this experiment, the indicator strains contained Gram-positive bacteria, Gram-negative bacteria and Fungi. The supernatant of the isolate didn’t showed inhibition to \textit{L. monocytogenes}, \textit{L. lactis subsp.cremoris}, \textit{A.niger}, most effectively inhibition toward \textit{E.coli O157}, \textit{S. typhimurium}, \textit{B. subtilis}, \textit{p.vulgaris}, \textit{V. parahaemolyticus}, \textit{V. alginolyticus}, \textit{V. harveyi} and \textit{Shigella}, low inhibition toward \textit{S. aureus}, as can be seen from Table 3. The metabolite of LAB, such as \textit{H}_{2}\textit{O}_{2}, ethanoic acid, lactic acid and lacticin were found to inhibit a wide variety of pathogens (Ocana et al., 1999). But it needed to be studied which metabolite played an important role in inhibiting pathogens for the isolate.

**Table 3 - Antimicrobial activities of the isolated towards indicator bacteria.**

| Indicator strains | Diameter of inhibition zone (mm) |
|-------------------|----------------------------------|
| \textit{L. lactis subsp.cremoris} | - |
| \textit{A. niger} | - |
| \textit{V. alginolyticus} | 11.2 ± 0.06 |
| \textit{V. parahaemolyticus} | 11.5 ± 0.12 |
| \textit{V. parahaemolyticus} | 11.6 ± 0.05 |
| \textit{L. monocytogenes} | - |
| \textit{B. subtilis} | 10.7 ± 0.15 |
| \textit{S. aureus} | 9.5 ± 0.05 |
| \textit{P. vulgaris} | 12.7 ± 0.11 |
| \textit{Shigella} | 10.3 ± 0.06 |
| \textit{E. coli O157} | 13.7 ± 0.15 |
| \textit{S. typhimurium} | 13.3 ± 0.23 |

“-”none inhibition; the inner diameter of Oxford Cup was 6 mm; the outer diameter of Oxford Cup was 8 mm.

**Conclusion**

In this study, the strain of lactic acid bacteria, \textit{Leuconostoc lactis}, isolated from marine fish, could be better adaptive to survivorship and colonization in the intestinal tract in accordance with its aggregation ability and tolerance for \textit{pH}, bile, trypsinase and pepsin conditions. The inhibition effect on the growth of pathogens, the antibiotic little susceptibility and coaggregation essay indicated its potentially probiotic properties. The above result indicated that the isolate, \textit{Leuconostoc lactis}, could be used as the perspectival strain for probiotics in marine aquaculture.
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