Divergence, undistortion and Hölder continuous cocycle superrigidity for full shifts
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Abstract. In this article, we will prove a full topological version of Popa’s measurable cocycle superrigidity theorem for full shifts [Popa, Cocycle and orbit equivalence superrigidity for malleable actions of w-rigid groups. Invent. Math. 170(2) (2007), 243–295]. Let $G$ be a finitely generated group that has one end, undistorted elements and sub-exponential divergence function. Let $H$ be a target group that is complete and admits a compatible bi-invariant metric. Then, every Hölder continuous cocycle for the full shifts of $G$ with value in $H$ is cohomologous to a group homomorphism via a Hölder continuous transfer map. Using the ideas of Behrstock, Drutu, Mosher, Mozes and Sapir [Divergence, thick groups, and short conjugators. Illinois J. Math. 58(4) (2014), 939–980; Thick metric spaces, relative hyperbolicity, and quasi-isometric rigidity. Math. Ann. 344(3) (2009), 543–595; Divergence in lattices in semisimple Lie groups and graphs of groups. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 362(5) (2010), 2451–2505; Tree-graded spaces and asymptotic cones of groups. Topology 44(5) (2005), 959–1058], we show that the class of our acting groups is large including wide groups having undistorted elements and one-ended groups with strong thick of finite orders. As a consequence, irreducible uniform lattices of most of higher rank connected semisimple Lie groups, mapping class groups of $g$-genus surfaces with $p$-punches, $g \geq 2$, $p \geq 0$; Richard Thompson groups $F$, $T$, $V$; Aut($F_n$), Out($F_n$), $n \geq 3$; certain (two-dimensional) Coxeter groups; and one-ended right-angled Artin groups are in our class. This partially extends the main result in Chung and Jiang [Continuous cocycle superrigidity for shifts and groups with one end. Math. Ann. 368(3–4) (2017), 1109–1132].
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1. Introduction

During studying measurable orbit equivalence, Popa established his celebrated cocycle superrigidity theorem for Bernoulli shifts in the measurable setting \cite{Popa1, Popa2}: for certain groups $G$ the full shift action $G \curvearrowright \prod_{G} (A, \mu)$ is $\mathcal{U}_{\text{fin}}$-measurable cocycle superrigid, for every finite set $A$, where $\mathcal{U}_{\text{fin}}$ is the class of Polish groups, which arise as closed subgroups of the unitary groups of finite von Neumann algebras. The class $\mathcal{U}_{\text{fin}}$ contains all countable groups. In \cite{Higson} we proved a topological version of Popa’s theorem by showing that for every non-torsion (i.e. a group containing at least one element, which has infinite order) finitely generated group $G$ with one end, every full shift $G \curvearrowright A^G$ is continuous $H$-cocycle rigid for every countable group $H$. Shortly after that, Cohen \cite{Cohen} removed the ‘non-torsion’ assumption in \cite{Higson}. As one expects, this continuous cocycle superrigidity theorem has applications in continuous orbit equivalence theory, which was systematically studied in \cite{Rue}. As Popa’s theorem holds for the class $\mathcal{U}_{\text{fin}}$ groups containing all countable groups, one may wonder whether we can extend the main result in \cite{Higson} to other target groups belonging to $\mathcal{U}_{\text{fin}}$. More precisely, we study continuous cocycles for shifts with target groups being any Polish groups that admit compatible bi-invariant metrics. Following \cite{Rue2, Rue3}, we denote this class of groups by $G_{\text{inv}}$, which contains the class $\mathcal{U}_{\text{fin}}$.

On the other direction, in 1994, in a seminal paper \cite{Katok}, Katok and Spatzier established their pioneering results on rigidity aspects of hyperbolic actions of higher rank abelian groups $\mathbb{Z}^k$, $k \geq 2$. They showed that for standard $\mathbb{Z}^k$-hyperbolic actions, $k \geq 2$ including all known irreducible examples, every Hölder continuous cocycle into $\mathbb{R}^l$ is Hölder cohomologous to a constant cocycle without any assumptions on periodic data as Livšic’s theory for $\mathbb{Z}$-actions. Subsequently, many results of rigidity for cocycles in higher rank abelian group actions have been proved, see the recent monograph \cite{Rue4} for details and references. In light of these results it is natural to investigate whether results of \cite{Katok} can be extended for hyperbolic actions of groups beyond $\mathbb{Z}^k$. This paper is our first steps to understand this question when we consider our ‘simplest Anosov action’, the full shifts of general countable groups, and the target groups of cocycles are complete and admits compatible bi-invariant metrics. Following \cite{Rue5, Rue6}, we investigate the following class of groups:

$$G_{H} := \{ G \mid \text{Every Hölder continuous cocycle } c : G \times A^G \to H \text{ is Hölder cohomologous to a group homomorphism from } G \text{ to } H \text{ for all } H \in G_{\text{inv}} \text{ and all finite set } A \}.$$  

Since any continuous cocycles into discrete target groups are automatically Hölder continuous, we have $G_{H} \subseteq G$, where $G$ is defined in \cite{Higson} as follows:

$$G := \{ G \mid \text{Every continuous cocycle } c : G \times A^G \to H \text{ is continuous cohomologous to a group homomorphism from } G \text{ to } H \text{ for all countable discrete group } H \text{ and all finite set } A \}.$$  

We proved in \cite{Higson} that if $G$ is finitely generated and non-torsion, then $G$ belongs to $G$ if and only if $G$ has one end. We expect the same conclusion still holds if we replace $G$ with $G_{H}$. Along this direction, we prove the following theorem, which is a counterpart of \cite[Theorem 1]{Higson} in our setting.
THEOREM 1.1. Let $A$ be a finite set and $G$ be a finitely generated infinite group. Let $\sigma : G \acts X \subseteq A^G$ be a subshift. Assume the following conditions are satisfied:

1. $G$ has one end and its divergence function grows sub-exponentially (see §2.1).
2. $G$ has slow growth distortion property ( abbreviated as (SDT) property) (see Definition 2.3).
3. $\sigma$ is topological mixing, and the homoclinic equivalence relation $\Delta_X$ has strong a-specification (see §3.2) for every element $a$ in $G$ with (SDT) property.

Then every Hölder continuous cocycle $c : G \times X \to H$ on any group $H \in \mathcal{G}_{\text{fin}}$ is cohomologous to a homomorphism via a continuous transfer map. If we further assume $G$ has undistorted elements (see §2.3), then this transfer map can be chosen to be Hölder continuous.

From this theorem, we deduce the following.

COROLLARY 1.2. Let $G$ be a finitely generated infinite group. If $G$ has one end, undistorted elements and its divergence function grows sub-exponentially. Then $G \in \mathcal{G}_H$.

We organize our paper as follows. In §2, we review definitions and elementary properties of divergence functions, distortion property, undistorted elements and translation numbers. In §3, we review Hölder continuous cocycle, homoclinic equivalence relation for shifts and also introduce a modified version of specification property for shifts to deal with rigidity of Hölder continuous cocycles. In this section, we also establish a key lemma about construction of invariant holonomies for undistorted elements. In §4, we prove our main theorem by combining our techniques in [12] with our sub-exponential growth rate of divergence functions to get a universal solution of continuous transfer map and show that it is indeed Hölder continuous whenever we have undistorted elements. Finally, using ideas in [4, 5, 17, 18] we illustrate that our class $\mathcal{G}_H$ contains wide groups having undistorted elements and one-ended groups with strong thick of finite orders. This implies that irreducible uniform lattices of most of higher rank connected semisimple Lie groups, mapping class groups of $g$-genus surfaces with $p$-punctures, $g \geq 2$, $p \geq 0$; R. Thompson groups $F$, $T$, $V$; Aut($F_n$), Out($F_n$), $n \geq 3$; certain (two-dimensional) Coxeter groups; and one-ended right-angled Artin groups are in $\mathcal{G}_H$.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we recall some definitions and set up notation. Throughout this paper, $G$ is a finitely generated, non-torsion group unless otherwise specified.

2.1. Divergence functions. Let $(X, d)$ be a geodesic metric space, typically, we will take $X$ to be a Cayley graph of $G$ endowed with the word metric with respect to some symmetric generating set. The main reference for divergence functions we use are [4, §3] and [17, §3.1]. Note that there are several definitions of divergence functions, here we use [17, Definition 3.1] by taking $\delta = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\gamma = 2$ since these two constants will guarantee these definitions are equivalent by [17, Corollary 3.12(2)] when $X$ is a Cayley graph of a finitely generated one-ended group.
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We define the divergence of a pair of points $a, b \in X$ relative to a point $c \notin (a, b)$ as the length of the shortest path from $a$ to $b$ avoiding a ball around $c$ of radius $d(c, (a, b))/2 - 2$, where $d(c, (a, b)) := \min\{d(c, a), d(c, b)\}$. If no such path exists, then we define the divergence to be infinity. The divergence of a pair of points $a, b$, $\text{Div}(a, b)$, is the supremum of the divergences of $a, b$ relative to all $c \in X \setminus [a, b]$.

The divergence of $X$ is given by $\text{Div}_X(n) := \max\{|\text{Div}(a, b)|, d(a, b) \leq n\}$. We say $\text{Div}_X(\cdot)$ grows sub-exponentially if $\lim_{n \to \infty} \text{Div}_X(n) r^n = 0$ for all $0 < r < 1$.

One can check $\lim_{n \to \infty} \text{Div}_X(n) r^n = 0$ for all $0 < r < 1$ if and only if $\lim_{n \to \infty} \log(\text{Div}_X(n))/n = 0$. Moreover, if $\text{Div}_X(\cdot)$ is a polynomial, then it grows sub-exponentially.

Recall that given two non-decreasing functions $f, g : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$, we write $f \preceq g$ if there exists a constant $C \geq 1$ for which $f(x) \leq Cg(Cx + C) + Cx + C$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$; we set $f \asymp g$ if both $f \preceq g$ and $g \preceq f$.

It is easy to check that the $\asymp$-equivalence class of the divergence functions is a quasi-isometry invariant when $X = \text{Cayley}(G)$ and $d$ is a word metric. It is also clear that the property of having sub-exponential growing divergence function is preserved under quasi-isometry.

2.2. Distortion and (SDT) property. We first recall the definition of distortion functions, which is needed for the definition of (SDT) property.

**Definition 2.1.** (Cf. [28]) Let $K$ be a subgroup of a group $G$, where $K$ and $G$ are generated by finite sets $T$ and $S$, respectively. Then the distortion function of $K$ in $G$ is defined as $\Delta_K^G : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$, $x \mapsto \max\{\ell_T(k) : k \in K, \ell_S(k) \leq x\}$, where $\ell_S$ denotes the word length function with respect to the finite generating set $S$. We also define the compression function $\rho^G_K$ as follows: $\rho^G_K : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$, $x \mapsto \min\{\ell_S(k) : k \in K, \ell_T(k) \geq x\}$.

For later use, we will frequently work with $\rho^G_K$ rather than $\Delta_K^G$. Roughly speaking, one can think of $\rho^G_K$ as the inverse function of $\Delta_K^G$ (see the proposition below). Note that we could not define the inverse function of $\Delta_K^G$ directly since $\Delta_K^G$ and $\rho^G_K$ may not be strictly monotone. However, we still use $(\rho^G_K)^{-1}(c)$ to denote the number sup\{${\lambda > 0 : \rho^G_K(\lambda) \leq c}$\}. This makes sense by Proposition 2.2.1 below.

Given two non-decreasing functions $f, g : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$, we write $f \asymp g$ if there exists $c > 0$ such that for $f(x) \leq cg(cx)$ and $g(x) \leq cf(cx)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Let us record properties of these functions for later use.

**Proposition 2.2.**

1. Both $\Delta_K^G$ and $\rho^G_K$ are constant on open intervals $(n, n + 1)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, non-decreasing and do not depend on the choice of finite generating sets $T$ and $S$ up to $\asymp$ and hence also up to $\sim$.

2. $\Delta_K^G(\ell_S(k)) \geq \ell_T(k)$ and $\rho^G_K(\ell_T(k)) \leq \ell_S(k)$ for all $k \in K$. In particular, if $K = \langle g \rangle$ and $g$ is of infinite order, then $\Delta_K^G(\ell_S(g^i)) \geq i$ and $\rho^G_K(i) \leq \ell_S(g^i)$ for all $i \geq 1$ by taking $T = \langle g^{\pm 1} \rangle$.

3. $\Delta_K^G(\rho^G_K(x) - 1) < x < \rho^G_K(\Delta_K^G(x) + 1)$ and $(\rho^G_K)^{-1}(x) \leq \Delta_K^G(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

4. If $K$ is infinite, then $\Delta_K^G$ is at least and $\rho^G_K$ is at most linear up to $\sim$. 
(5) If $K = (g)$, $T = \{g^{\pm1}\}$, where $g \in G$ has infinite order and $S = S^{-1}$. Then $\rho_K^G$ is subadditive, i.e. $\rho_K^G(x + y) \leq \rho_K^G(x) + \rho_K^G(y)$; $\Delta_K^G$ is superadditive, i.e. $\Delta_K^G(x + y) \geq \Delta_K^G(x) + \Delta_K^G(y)$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+$. 

Proof. We leave the proofs of (1) and (2) as exercises.

For (3), assume that there exists $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $\Delta_K^G(\rho_K^G(x) - 1) \geq x$. Then by the definition of $\Delta_K^G$, there exists some $k \in K$ such that $\ell_S(k) \leq \rho_K^G(x) - 1$ and $\ell_T(k) \geq x$. From the definition of $\rho_K^G$, we deduce that $\rho_K^G(x) \leq \ell_S(k) \leq \rho_K^G(x) - 1$, a contradiction. This proves the first half inequality $\Delta_K^G(\rho_K^G(x) - 1) < x$ for every $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$. The second half inequality $x < \rho_K^G(\Delta_K^G(x) + 1)$ can be proved in a similar way. The last inequality follows directly from the definition of $(\rho_K^G)^{-1}(x)$.

For (4), we denote the constant $\sup_{t \in T} \ell_S(t)$ by $c$. For each $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$, we can find some $k \in K$ such that $x \leq \ell_T(k) < x + 1$ as $K$ is infinite, then clearly, $\ell_S(k) < c(x + 1)$. Therefore, $\rho_K^G(x) \leq \ell_S(k) < c(x + 1)$, i.e. $\rho_K^G$ is at most linear. Now, we can combine this fact with the inequality $x < \rho_K^G(\Delta_K^G(x) + 1)$ from (3) and the fact that $\rho_K^G$ is non-decreasing to deduce that $\Delta_K^G$ is at least linear up to $\approx$.

For (5), to prove the subadditivity part, we use the definition of $\rho_K^G$ to find non-negative integers $i$ and $j$ such that $\ell_T(g^i) \geq x$, $\ell_T(g^j) \geq y$ and $\ell_S(g^i) = \rho_K^G(x)$, $\ell_S(g^j) = \rho_K^G(y)$. Then $i \geq x$ and $j \geq y$, hence $\ell_T(g^{i+j}) = i + j \geq x + y$ and $\ell_S(g^{i+j}) \leq \ell_S(g^i) + \ell_S(g^j) = \rho_K^G(x) + \rho_K^G(y)$. Clearly, this implies that $\rho_K^G(x + y) \leq \rho_K^G(x) + \rho_K^G(y)$. The superadditivity part can be proved in a similar way.

\[\square\]

Definition 2.3. Let $G$ be a finitely generated infinite group. We say $G$ has slow growth distortion property (abbreviated as (SDT) property) if there exists some non-torsion element $g$ in $G$ such that $I(r) := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} r^{\rho_K^G(i)} < \infty$ for all $0 < r < 1$. We also say such a $g$ has (SDT) property or $g$ is an (SDT) element.

We record the following facts for later use.

Proposition 2.4.

(1) If a function $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is non-decreasing and continuous except at most countably many points. Then for every $r > 0$, $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f^{(i)}(r) dx < \infty$ if and only if $\int_1^{\infty} f^{(x)} dx < \infty$.

(2) Let $f, g : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ be functions as in (1). If $f \approx g$, then $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f^{(i)}(r) < \infty$ for all $r \in (0, 1)$ if and only if $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} g^{(i)}(r) < \infty$ for all $r \in (0, 1)$.

(3) If $f(x) = x^{1/m}$, where $m \geq 1$, then $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f^{(i)}(r) < \infty$ for all $r \in (0, 1)$.

(4) If there exist some $c > 0$, $m \geq 1$ such that $\Delta_K^G(x) \leq c(x)^m$ for all $x > 0$, then $I(r) := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} r^{\rho_K^G(i)} < \infty$ for all $r \in (0, 1)$.

(5) More generally, if $\Delta_K^G(\cdot)$ grows sub-exponentially, i.e. $\lim_{n \to \infty} \log(\Delta_K^G(n))/n = 0$, then $I(r) := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} r^{\rho_K^G(i)} < \infty$ for all $0 < r < 1$.

Proof. The proof of (1) and (2) are left as exercises.

To prove (3), observe that for any $k \geq 1$, $\# \{i \in \mathbb{N} : k \leq f(i) < k + 1\} = \# \{i \in \mathbb{N} : k \leq i^{1/m} < k + 1\} \leq (1 + k)^m - k^m + 1$. Hence, $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f^{(i)}(r) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f^{(k)}((1 + k)^m - k^m + 1)$ $r^k \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. 

Proof. The proof of (1) and (2) are left as exercises.
To prove (4), by Proposition 2.2 (3), we have \( x < \rho^G_K(\Delta^G_K(x) + 1) \leq \rho^G_K(c(x)^m + 1) \). Hence, \( \rho^G_K(y) \geq ((y - 1)/c)^{m+1}) \). Then apply part (3).

The proof of (5) is similar to the above proof of (4). \( \square \)

In this paper, we are mainly interested in the case \( K = \langle g \rangle \) for some non-torsion element \( g \) in \( G \). For later use, when there is no danger of confusion, we will simply write \( \rho^\lambda(x) \) (respectively, \( \Delta^\lambda(x) \)) for \( \rho^G_{\langle g \rangle}(x) \) (respectively, \( \Delta^G_{\langle g \rangle}(x) \)) with \( T = \{ g^{\pm 1} \} \).

2.3. Undistorted elements and translation numbers. To give explicit examples of groups with (SDT) property, let us first look at the special case when there exists some non-torsion element \( g \) with \( \Delta^\lambda(x) \) grows at most linearly. In general, recall the following well-known definition.

**Definition 2.5.** Let \( G \) be a finitely generated infinite group and \( K \) be a finitely generated subgroup of \( G \). We say \( K \) is undistorted in \( G \) if there exists some constant \( c > 0 \) such that \( \Delta^G_K(n) \leq cn \) for all \( n \geq 1 \).

Another way to characterize undistorted elements is to use translation numbers that we recall below.

Fix any finite generating set \( S \) of \( G \), let \( g \in G \) be an element of infinite order. As \( \ell^S(g^{m+n}) \leq \ell^S(g^m) + \ell^S(g^n) \) for every \( m, n \in \mathbb{N} \), the limit \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \ell^S(g^n)/n \) exists and equals to \( \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \ell^S(g^n)/n \) [41, Theorem 4.9]. The translation number of \( g \) with respect to \( S \) is defined by \( \tau^S(g) := \lim_{n \to \infty} \ell^S(g^n)/n \) [25, §6]. Some elementary properties of translation numbers are established in [25, Lemma 6.3]. An element \( g \in G \) is called an undistorted element if \( \tau^S(g) > 0 \) for some (and hence every) finite generating set \( S \) of \( G \) [22, Definition 1.1]. We say that a finitely generated group \( G \) satisfies property (UD) if there exists an element \( g \in G \) and a finite generating set \( S \) such that \( \ell^S(g^k) \geq k \) for every \( k \in \mathbb{N} \). As \( \tau^S(g^m) = |m| \tau^S(g) \) for every \( g \in G, m \in \mathbb{Z} \), it is clear that a group \( G \) has property (UD) if and only if it has an undistortion element \( g \), i.e \( \tau^S(g) \neq 0 \) for some (and every) finite generating set \( S \) of \( G \).

We have the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.6.** Let \( G \) be a finitely generated infinite group with a finite symmetric generating set \( S \) and \( g \) be an element in \( G \) with infinite order. The following statements are equivalent.

1. \( \langle g \rangle \) is undistorted in \( G \), i.e. \( \Delta^\lambda(n) \leq cn \) for some \( c > 0 \) and all \( n \geq 1 \).
2. \( g \) is an undistorted element in \( G \), i.e. \( \tau^S(g) > 0 \).
3. There exists some positive constant \( \lambda \) such that \( \ell^S(g^n) \geq \lambda n \) for all \( n \geq 1 \).
4. There exists some positive constants \( \lambda, \mu \) such that \( \ell^S(g^n) \geq \lambda n - \mu \) for all \( n \geq 1 \).
5. There exists some positive constant \( \lambda \) such that \( \rho^\lambda(g) \geq \lambda n \) for all \( n \geq 1 \).
6. There exists some positive constants \( \lambda, \mu \) such that \( \rho^\lambda(g) \geq \lambda n - \mu \) for all \( n \geq 1 \).

**Proof.** (1) \( \Rightarrow \) (2). From Proposition 2.2(2)(3), we deduce \( n < \rho^\lambda(\Delta^\lambda(n) + 1) \leq \rho^\lambda(cn + 1) \leq \ell^S(g^{cn + 1}) \). Hence \( \ell^S(g^{cn + 1})/(cn + 1) \geq n/(cn + 1) \). Then take lim on both sides.

(2) \( \Rightarrow \) (1), (2) \( \Rightarrow \) (3) \( \Rightarrow \) (4) \( \Rightarrow \) (2), (3) \( \Leftrightarrow \) (5) \( \Leftrightarrow \) (6). All these are clear. \( \square \)
LEMMA 2.7. Let $G$ be a finitely generated infinite group with (SDT) property, $G'_\infty$ be the subgroup generated by all elements with (SDT) property in $G$ and $G'_{\infty,1}$ be the subgroup generated by all elements that are undistorted, then both $G'_\infty$ and $G'_{\infty,1}$ are infinite normal subgroups of $G$.

Proof. By definition, any (SDT) element has infinite order, hence $G'_\infty$ and $G'_{\infty,1}$ are infinite. Now, for any $h \in G$ and any non-torsion element $g \in G, \ell_S(tg^n g^{-1}) \geq \ell_S(g^n) - 2\ell_S(t)$, hence $\rho_{tg^n}(n) \geq \rho_g(n) - 2\ell_S(t)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $S$ is any finite generating set of $G$. Hence $tg^n$ has (SDT) property (respectively, is undistorted) if $g$ has the same property, so $G'_\infty$ and $G'_{\infty,1}$ are normal subgroups of $G$. □

3. Hölder continuous cocycles, homoclinic equivalence relation and specification property for shifts

3.1. Hölder continuous cocycles and homoclinic equivalence relation. Let $(G, X)$ be a subshift, where $X \subset A^G$ for some finite set $A$, $S$ be a finite symmetric generating set of $G$. We write $B(n) := \{g \in G : \ell_S(g) \leq n\}$, where $\ell_S$ be the word length on $G$ induced by $S$. Following [39, p. 245], a function $f : X \to H$ is called Hölder continuous if there exist $C > 0$ and $0 < r < 1$ such that for every $n \geq 0$ and every $(x, y) \in X \times X$ satisfying $x_{B(n)} = y_{B(n)}$ we have $d(f(x), f(y)) \leq Cr^n$. A cocycle $c : G \times X \to H$ is Hölder continuous if $c(g, \cdot) : X \to H$ is Hölder continuous for every $g \in G$. We say two Hölder continuous cocycles $c_1, c_2 : G \times X \to H$ are Hölder cohomologous if $c_1(g, x) = b(gx)^{-1}c_2(g, x)b(x)$ holds for all $g \in G$ and all $x \in X$ for some Hölder continuous map $b : X \to H$.

Let us comment on this definition. In general, for two metric spaces $(X, d)$, $(Y, d')$, a function $f : X \to Y$ is Hölder continuous if for some positive constants $c, \alpha, d'(f(x), f(x')) \leq Cd(x, x')^\alpha$ holds for all $x, x'$ in $X$. But this definition depends on the specific metric $d$ rather than the topology of $X$, which is not satisfactory when dealing with a subshift $(G, X)$. Thus we need a meaningful definition of Hölder continuous map that is independent of the specific metric on $X$, such a definition is given in [30, p.109] for $\mathbb{Z}^d$-subshift. Choosing $d(x_1, x_2) := (1/2)^{sup \{n(x)_{B(n)} = (x_2)_{B(n)}\}}$ in [30, p. 109], one can check the definition in [30, p. 109] is reduced to the one in [39, p. 245].

Note that in the definition of Hölder continuous cocycles, $C$ and $r$ may depend on $g$.

As in [12], we will use $\Delta_X$ to denote the homoclinic equivalence relation for a subshift $(G, X)$.

Let $f : X \to H$ be a Hölder continuous map. For every $n \geq 1$, we define maps

$$c_f^{(g),+}(n, x, y) = \left( \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} f(g^j x)^{-1} \right) \left( \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} f(g^j y)^{-1} \right)^{-1},$$

$$c_f^{(g),-}(n, x, y) = \left( \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} f(g^{-j} x) \right) \left( \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} f(g^{-j} y) \right)^{-1}.$$ 

LEMMA 3.1. Assume that $g$ is an (SDT) element in $G$, $H$ is complete with respect to a compatible bi-invariant metric $d$, e.g. $H \in \mathcal{G}_{inv}$. Then the maps $c_f^{(g),+}, c_f^{(g),-} : \Delta_X \to H$
defined by
\[ c_{f}^{(g),+}(x, y) := \lim_{m \to \infty} c_{f}^{(g),+(m)}(x, y), \]
\[ c_{f}^{(g),-}(x, y) := \lim_{m \to \infty} c_{f}^{(g),-(m)}(x, y), \]
for every \((x, y) \in \Delta_X\) are well defined and satisfy the cocycle condition
\[ c_{f}^{(g),+}(x, y)c_{f}^{(g),+}(y, z) = c_{f}^{(g),+}(x, z), \]
\[ c_{f}^{(g),-}(x, y)c_{f}^{(g),-}(y, z) = c_{f}^{(g),-}(x, z), \]
for every \((x, y), (y, z) \in \Delta_X\).

Furthermore, there exists \(C' > 0\) such that for every \(N \in \mathbb{N}\), if \((x, y) \in \Delta_X\) with \(x_h = y_h\) for every \(h \notin B(N)\) then
\[ d(c_{f}^{(g),+}(x, y), c_{f}^{(g),+(m+\rho_{\ell})}(x, y)) \leq C' \sum_{j=\rho_{\ell}}^\infty r^{\rho_{\ell}(j)} \]  
(1)
for every \(m \geq 0\); Here, \(r\) is the constant appeared when defining Hölder continuity of \(f\).

Proof. Let \((x, y) \in \Delta_X\) such that \(x_h = y_h\) for all \(h \notin B(N)\). For every \(j > (\rho_{\ell})^{-1}(N)\) and every \(h \in B(\rho_{\ell}(j) - N - 1)\), one has \(\ell_{S}(g^{-j}h) \geq \ell_{S}(g^{-j}) - \ell_{S}(h) \geq \rho_{\ell}(j) - (\rho_{\ell}(j) - N - 1) = N + 1\), hence \((g^{j}x)_{B(\rho_{\ell}(j) - N - 1)} = x_{g^{-j}B(\rho_{\ell}(j) - N - 1)} = \rho_{\ell}(j) = N + 1\). Thus, for every \((\rho_{\ell})^{-1}(N) < n < n'\), one has
\[ d(c_{f}^{(g),+(n)}(x, y), c_{f}^{(g),+(n')})(x, y)) \]
\[ = d\left((\prod_{j=0}^{n-1} f(g^{j}x)^{-1}) \cdot (\prod_{j=0}^{n-1} f(g^{j}y)^{-1})^{-1}, (\prod_{j=0}^{n'-1} f(g^{j}x)^{-1}) \cdot (\prod_{j=0}^{n'-1} f(g^{j}y)^{-1})^{-1}\right) \]
\[ = d\left(1_{H}, \left(\prod_{j=n}^{n'-1} f(g^{j}x)^{-1}\right) \cdot \left(\prod_{j=n}^{n'-1} f(g^{j}y)^{-1}\right)^{-1}\right) \]
\[ = d\left(\prod_{j=n}^{n'-1} f(g^{j}x)^{-1}, \prod_{j=n}^{n'-1} f(g^{j}x)^{-1}\right) \]
\[ \leq \sum_{j=n}^{n'-1} d(f(g^{j}x)^{-1}, f(g^{j}x)^{-1}) \]
\[ = \sum_{j=n}^{n'-1} d(f(g^{j}x), f(g^{j}y)) \]
\[ \leq C' \sum_{j=n}^{n'-1} r^{\rho_{\ell}(j)} \leq C r^{-N-1} \sum_{j=n}^\infty r^{\rho_{\ell}(j)}. \]
Thus, \(\{c_{f}^{(g),+}(x, y)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) is a Cauchy sequence since \(g\) has (SDT) property. As \(H\) is complete, \(c_{f}^{(g),+}\) is well defined. Choose \(C' = Cr^{-N-1}\), then we also get (1) from the last inequality. Similarly, \(c_{f}^{(g),-}\) is also well-defined. Note that the cocycle identities follow by limiting the corresponding relations at the levels \(m\). \(\square\)
3.2. Specification property for shifts. For the proof of Theorem 1.1, we need the following version of specification property for a subshift. This is one key novelty in this paper since to handle $\mathcal{G}_{\text{inv}}$-target (Hölder continuous) cocycles, we need to generalize the version of specification used in Schmidt’s approach in [39]. In his definition, it involves some cone structure that is defined using the Euclidean structure of $\mathbb{Z}^d$, so to extend this definition to general groups actions, we need a suitable counterpart for the Euclidean structure. Note that we only need a degenerate cone (i.e. a line) structure when defining the specification property used in [12].

Definition 3.2. Let $G$ be a finitely generated group with a finite generating set $S$. Let $a$ be an element in $G$. Let $r_j = \rho_a(j)/4$. For every $R \geq 0$ we define

$$\Delta^+(a, R) := \{(x, x') \in \Delta_X : x_{a^k B([r_j] + R)} = x'_{a^k B([r_j] + R)}, \text{ for all } k \geq 0\},$$

$$\Delta^-(a, R) := \{(x, x') \in \Delta_X : x_{a^{-k} B([r_j] + R)} = x'_{a^{-k} B([r_j] + R)}, \text{ for all } k \geq 0\}.$$

We say that the equivalence class $\Delta_X(\tilde{x})$ of a point $\tilde{x} \in X$ has strong a-specification (respectively, a-specification) if $\Delta_X(\tilde{x}) \cap a^{-1} \Delta_X(\tilde{x})$ (respectively, $\Delta_X(\tilde{x})$) is dense in $X$, and if there exist constants $s' \geq 1$, $t' \geq 0$ with the following property: for any $R \geq 0$, if $x, x' \in \Delta_X(\tilde{x})$ satisfy that $x_{B(N)} = x'_{B(N)}$, where $N = [s' \ell_S(a) \rho_a^{-1}(4R) + 2R + t']$, then we can find an element $y$ in $\Delta_X(\tilde{x})$ such that $(x, y) \in \Delta^+(a, R)$ and $(x', y) \in \Delta^-(a, R)$. Moreover, we say that the homoclinic equivalence relation $\Delta_X$ has strong a-specification (respectively, a-specification) if there exists a point $\tilde{x} \in X$ such that $\Delta_X(\tilde{x})$ has strong a-specification (respectively, a-specification).

Remark 3.3. In the above definition of $r_j$, one can take a different ratio than $1/4$ as long as it is less than $1/2$. This will be clear from the proof of Lemma 3.4 below. For later use, we will take $a$ to be an (SDT) or undistorted element.

We record the following lemmas for later use.

LEMMA 3.4. Let $g$ be an element in $G$ with infinite order. Then $(\bigcup_{j \geq 0} g^{-j} B([r_j] + R)) \cap (\bigcup_{j \geq 0} g^j B([r_j] + R)) \subseteq B(\ell_S(g) \rho_g^{-1}(4R) + 2R)$.

Proof. Suppose $h = g^{-j_1} h_1 = g^{j_2} h_2$ for some $j_1, j_2 \geq 0$ and $h_i \in B([r_{j_i}] + R), i = 1, 2$. Then $g^{j_1 + j_2} = h_1 h_2^{-1}$; hence, $\rho_g(j_1 + j_2) \leq \ell_S(g^{j_1 + j_2}) = \ell_S(h_1 h_2^{-1}) \leq [r_{j_1}] + [r_{j_2}] + 2R \leq 2r_{j_1} + 2r_{j_2} + 2R \leq 2\rho_g(j_1 + j_2)/4 + 2R$. therefore, $\rho_g(j_1 + j_2) \leq 4R$, and $j_1 + j_2 \leq \rho_g^{-1}(4R)$, so $\ell_S(h) = \ell_S(g^{j_2} h_2) \leq \ell_S(g^{j_2}) + \ell_S(h_2) \leq \ell_S(g) j_2 + r_{j_2 + j_1} + R \leq \ell_S(g) \rho_g^{-1}(4R) + \rho_g(j_1 + j_2)/4 + R \leq \ell_S(g) \rho_g^{-1}(4R) + 4R$. \hfill $\square$

LEMMA 3.5. Let $(G, A^G)$ be the full shift. If $a \in G$ is an (SDT) element, then $\Delta_X(\tilde{x})$ has strong a-specification, where $\tilde{x} = (0)_G$, i.e. $\tilde{x}$ is the element in $X = A^G$ with every coordinate to be a constant $0 \in A$.

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of [12, Lemma 4], but we use Lemma 3.4 now instead of [12, Lemma 3]. \hfill $\square$
4. **Proof of Theorem 1.1**

Recall that the main steps in the proof of [12, Theorem 1] is to apply [12, Lemma 2, Corollary 2, Lemma 5, Lemma 6, Lemma 7, Lemma 1] successively. In this section, we prepare the corresponding lemmas in our Hölder setting. However, as the Hölder case is much more complicated than the continuous one, we need to add several more technical innovations. Since the general strategy of our proof follows closely the one developed in [12], we encourage the readers to consult beforehand the results there, which are less technical.

**Lemma 4.1.** Let $G$ be a group with a finite symmetric generating set $S$ and $(G, X)$ be a subshift. Let $c : G \times X \to H$ be a Hölder continuous cocycle with $H \in \mathcal{G}_{\text{inv}}$. Assume that $G$ has one end, subexponential divergence function and $g, h$ are (SDT) elements in $G$. Then $c(f_g)_+(x, y) = c(f_h)_+(x, y)$ for all $(x, y) \in \Delta_X$, where $f_g(x) := c(g, x)$, $f_h(x) := c(h, x)$ for all $x \in X$.

**Proof.** First, since $\lim_{n \to \infty} \ell_S(g^n) = \infty$, after passing to a subsequence, we may assume $3\ell_S(g^n)/2 < \ell_S(g^{n+1})$ for all $n \geq 1$. Then, for each large enough $n$ (e.g. any $n$ such that $\ell_S(g^n) > \ell_S(h(n))$, we may find $m(n)$ such that $2\ell_S(g^n) < \ell_S(h(m(n)) < 3\ell_S(g^n)$. This is possible since $|\ell_S(h(m(n)) - \ell_S(h^{m(n)+1})| \leq \ell_S(h) < 3\ell_S(g^n) - 2\ell_S(g^n)$.

Note that we have the following facts.

1. We may assume $(m(n))_n$ is an increasing sequence after passing to a subsequence since $3\ell_S(g^n) < 2\ell_S(g^{n+1})$.
2. $\ell_S(g^{-n}h(m(n))) \geq \ell_S(h(m(n)) - \ell_S(g^n) \geq \ell_S(g^n) \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$.
3. $\ell_S(g^{-n}h(m(n))) \leq \ell_S(h(m(n))) + \ell_S(g^n) \leq (1 + 2 + 1)\ell_S(h(m(n)))$, also $\leq (1 + 3)\ell_S(g^n)$; hence $\ell_S(g^{-n}h(m(n))) \leq 4 \cdot \min\{\ell_S(g^n), \ell_S(h(m(n))\}$.

Since both $g$ and $h$ are (SDT) elements, by Lemma 3.1, the cocycle relation and the definition of $c_f^{(g), +, (n)}$, we just need to show

$$\lim_{m = m(n) \to \infty} \lim_{n \to \infty} d(c(h^n, x)^{-1}c(h^n, y), c(g^n, x)^{-1}c(g^n, y)) = 0.$$  

Since $(x, y) \in \Delta_X$, write $x|_{B(2R)} = y|_{B(2R)}$ for some $R > 0$.

Let $n \gg 1$. Since $G$ has one end, we can find a path $p = p(n)$, which avoids the ball with radius $\min\{\ell_S(g^{-n}), \ell_S(h^{-m(n)})\}/2 - 2$ to connect $g^{-n}$ with $h^{-m}$. Write $g^{-n} = h^{-m}s_1^{-1} \cdots s_k^{-1}$, where $k \leq \text{Div}_X(\ell_S(g^{-n}h^m))$ and $s_i \in S$. Then from the following equality:

$$c(g^n, x) = c(s_k, s_{k-1} \cdots s_1 h^m x)c(s_{k-1}, s_{k-2} \cdots s_1 h^m x) \cdots c(s_1, h^m x)c(h^m, x),$$

we deduce that

$$d(c(h^m, x)^{-1}c(h^m, y), c(g^n, x)^{-1}c(g^n, y))$$

$$= d(c(g^n, x)c(h^m, x)^{-1}, c(g^n, y)c(h^m, y)^{-1})$$

$$= d(c(s_k, s_{k-1} \cdots s_1 h^m x)c(s_{k-1}, s_{k-2} \cdots s_1 h^m x) \cdots c(s_1, h^m x), c(s_k, s_{k-1} \cdots s_1 h^m y)c(s_{k-1}, s_{k-2} \cdots s_1 h^m y) \cdots c(s_1, h^m y))$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=1}^k d(c(s_j, s_{j-1} \cdots s_1 h^m x), c(s_j, s_{j-1} \cdots s_1 h^m y)).$$
Now, note that \((s_{j-1} \cdots s_1 h^m x)_{B(M)} = (s_{j-1} \cdots s_1 h^m y)_{B(M)}\) for all \(1 \leq j \leq k\), where \(M = \min(\ell_S(g^{-n}), \ell_S(h^{-m})) / 2 - 2 - R - 1 \geq \ell_S(g^{-n} h^{-m}) / 8 - R - 3\).

Hence, we have the following estimation.

\[
\sum_{j=1}^{k} d\left(c(s_j, s_{j-1} \cdots s_1 h^m x), c(s_j, s_{j-1} \cdots s_1 h^m y)\right) \\
\leq kCr^M \leq C \cdot \text{Div}_X(\ell_S(g^{-n} h^{-m})) r^{\ell_S(g^{-n} h^{-m}) / 8 - R - 3} \\
\to 0, \quad \text{as } n \to \infty \text{ since } \lim_{n \to \infty} \ell_S(g^{-n} h^{-m}) = \infty.
\]

Here \(r := \max\{r_s : s \in S\}, C := \max\{C_s : s \in S\}\), where \(r_s, C_s\) are the constants that appeared when defining the Hölder continuity of \(c(s, -)\).

\[\square\]

**Remark 4.2.** One can also use Gersten’s definition of divergence functions in [24] for the above proof (still assuming the subexponential growing condition on these functions). For the relation between this function and the one used in this paper, see [17, Lemma 3.13].

Similar to [12, Corollary 2], we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.3.** Let \(G\) be a group with a finite symmetric generating set \(S\) and \((G, X)\) be a subshift. Let \(c : G \times X \to H\) be a Hölder continuous cocycle with \(H \in \mathcal{G}_{\text{inv}}\). Assume that \(G\) has one end and \(g\) is an (SDT) element in \(G\). Then \(c_{f_g}^{(g),+}(x, y) = c_{f_g}^{(g),-}(x, y)\) for all \((x, y) \in \Delta_X\), where \(f_g(x) := c(g, x)\) for all \(x \in X\).

**Lemma 4.4.** Let \(X \subset A^G\) be a subshift and \(f : X \to H\) be Hölder continuous, where \(H \in \mathcal{G}_{\text{inv}}\). Assume that \(\Delta_X(\tilde{x})\) has \(g\)-specification for some point \(\tilde{x} \in X\), where \(g \in G\) is an element with (SDT) property and the cocycles \(c_f^{(g),\pm} : \Delta_X \to H\) in Lemma 3.1 are equal. Then
\[
\lim_{(x, x') \to \Delta} d(c_f^{(g),+}(x, x'), e_H) = 0, \quad \text{where } \Delta \subset X \times X \text{ denotes the diagonal.}
\]

**Proof.** Fix any \(l > 1, R > 0\).

Now, fix \((x', x) \in \Delta_X\) and suppose \(d(x', x)\) is sufficiently small such that \(x_{B(L)}' = x_{B(L)}\), where \(L \geq [s']^2 \ell_S(g) \rho^{-1}(4R + 2R + t')\) for some \(s', t'\) as in Definition 3.2, then \(\Delta_X(\tilde{x})\) has \(g\)-specification implies there exists some \(y \in \Delta_X(\tilde{x})\) with \((x', y) \in \Delta^-(g, R)\) and \((x, y) \in \Delta^+(g, R)\).

Since \((x', y) \in \Delta^-(g, R), x', y\) satisfy that \((g^j x')_{B([r_j] + R)} = (g^j y)_{B([r_j] + R)}\) for all \(j \geq 0\). Then we deduce the following
\[
d\left(\prod_{j=0}^{l-1} f(g^j x')^{-1}, \prod_{j=0}^{l-1} f(g^j y)^{-1}\right) \leq \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} d(f(g^j x'), f(g^j y)) \leq \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} Cr^{[r_j] + R} \\
= Cr^R \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} r^{[r_j]} \leq r^R C \sum_{j \geq 0} r^{[r_j]}.
\]

Hence, \(d(c_f^{(g),+}(y, x'), e_H) \leq r^R C \sum_{j \geq 1} r^{[r_j]}\). Here, \(r, C\) are the constants that appeared when defining \(f\) as Hölder continuous. We have used \(d(f(g^j x'), f(g^j y)) \leq Cr^{[r_j] + R}\) and \(\sum_{j \geq 0} r^{[r_j]} < \infty\) (since \(g\) has (SDT) property).
Next, since \((x, y) \in \Delta^+(g, R), (g^{-j}x)|_{B([r_j]+R)} = (g^{-j}y)|_{B([r_j]+R)}\) for all \(j \geq 0\). We deduce the following
\[
d\left(\prod_{j=1}^{l-1} f(g^{-j}x), \prod_{j=1}^{l-1} f(g^{-j}y)\right) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} d(f(g^{-j}x), f(g^{-j}y)) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} Cr^{[r_j]+R} = Cr^R \sum_{j \geq 1} r^{[r_j]} \leq r^RC \sum_{j \geq 1} r^{[r_j]}.
\]
Hence \(d(c_f^{(g)}, -(x, y), e_H) \leq r^RC \sum_{j \geq 1} r^{[r_j]}\). Thus, we deduce
\[
d(c_f^{(g),+}(x, x'), e_H) \leq d(c_f^{(g),+}(x, x'), c_f^{(g),+}(x, y)) + d(c_f^{(g),+}(x, y), e_H)
\]
\[
= d(c_f^{(g),+}(y, x'), e_H) + d(c_f^{(g),-}(x, y), e_H)
\]
\[
\leq 2Cr^R \sum_{j \geq 0} r^{[r_j]} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } R \rightarrow \infty.
\]

**Lemma 4.5.** Assume that there exists a point \(\bar{x} \in X\) such that \(\Delta_X(\bar{x}) \cap g^{-1}\Delta_X(\bar{x})\) is dense in \(X\), where \(g \in G\) has (SDT) property, and \(\lim_{(x, x') \to \Delta} d(c_f^{(g),+}(x, x'), e_H) = 0\), where \(f : X \to H\) is Hölder continuous and \(H \in \mathcal{G}_{inv}\), then there is a continuous map \(b : X \to H\) such that the map \(x \mapsto b(gx)^{-1}f(x)b(x)\) is constant on \(X\).

If \(g\) is undistorted, \(\Delta_X(\bar{x})\) has strong \(g\)-specification and the cocycles \(c_f^{(g),\pm} : \Delta_X \to H\) in Lemma 3.1 are equal. Then \(b\) can be taken to be Hölder continuous.

**Proof.** Observe that the proof of [12, Lemma 6] also works for \(H \in \mathcal{G}_{inv}\), so we are left to check the continuous map \(b\) defined there is Hölder continuous under the assumptions in the second part.

From the proof of [12, Lemma 6], we know that for any \(x, x' \in \Delta_X(\bar{x}) \cap g^{-1}\Delta_X(\bar{x})\),
\[
d(b(x), b(x')) = d(b(x)b(x')^{-1}, e_H) = d(c_f^{(g),+}(x, x'), e_H).
\]
Since \(g\) is undistorted, from Proposition 2.6, we know \(\rho_g(n) \geq \lambda n\) for some \(\lambda > 0\) and all \(n \geq 1\). Hence, \(\rho_g^{-1}(n) \leq n/\lambda\).

Now, for any \(R \in \mathbb{N}\), let \(N = \lfloor s'/\lambda \rfloor \ell_S(g)4R + 2R + \lceil r' \rceil + 1\). Note that \(N \geq s'\ell_S(g)(4R/\lambda) + 2R + r' + 1 \geq \lfloor s'/\lambda \rfloor \ell_S(g)(4R) + 2R + r'\). In addition, from the estimate in the proof of Lemma 4.4, we know that if \(x_{B(N)} = x_{B(N)}',\) then
\[
d(c_f^{(g),+}(x, x'), e_H) \leq 2Cr^R \sum_{j \geq 0} r^{[r_j]}\].
Here \(r, C\) are the constants from the definition of Hölder continuity for \(f\).

Hence, if \(x_{B(N)} = x_{B(N)}'\), then \(d(c_f^{(g),+}(x, x'), e_H) \leq C'r'^N\), where
\[
C' := 2C \sum_{j \geq 0} r^{[r_j]-(\lceil r' \rceil+1)/[(2+4\ell_S(g)[s'/\lambda])]}, \quad 0 < r' = r^{1/(2+4\ell_S(g)[s'/\lambda])} < 1.
\]
Therefore, \(d(b(x), b(x')) \leq C'r'^N\) for \(N = \lfloor s'/\lambda \rfloor \ell_S(g)4R + 2R + \lceil r' \rceil + 1\). Let \(R\) change, then we deduce that for all \(N \in \mathbb{N}\), we have \(d(b(x), b(x')) \leq (C'/'r')r'^N\) for all \(x, x' \in \Delta_X(\bar{c}) \cap g^{-1}\Delta_X(\bar{x})\) satisfying \(x_{B(N)} = x_{B(N)}'\).
Then since $\Delta_X(\bar{x}) \cap g^{-1}\Delta_X(\bar{x})$ is dense in $X$ and $b$ is continuous, a simple density argument implies that for all $x, x' \in X$ with $x_{B(N)} = x'_{B(N)}$, $d(b(x), b(x')) \leq (C'/r')^r r/N$ for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence $b$ is Hölder continuous.

**Lemma 4.6.** Assume that $G$ has one end, $g_i \in G$ is an element with (SDT) property, and there exists a point $\bar{x} \in X$ such that $\Delta_X(\bar{x}) \cap g_i^{-1}\Delta_X(\bar{x})$ is dense in $X$ and $\lim_{(x, x') \to \Delta} d(c(g_i, x), c(g_i, x'), e_H) = 0$, for all $i = 1, \ldots, k$, where $k$ is any positive integer (maybe infinity). Then there is a continuous map $b : X \to H$ such that the map $x \mapsto b(gx)^{-1}c(g, x)b(x)$ is constant on $X$ (depending only on $g$) for all $g \in \langle g_1, \ldots, g_k \rangle$, where $c : G \times X \to H$ is a Hölder continuous cocycle and $H \in \mathcal{G}_\text{inv}$. If we further assume $g_i$ is undistorted and $\Delta_X(\bar{x})$ has strong $g_i$-specification for all $i$, then such a $b$ can be taken to be Hölder continuous.

**Proof.** The proof is the same as the proof of [12, Lemma 7] after replacing [12, Lemma 6, Lemma 2] with Lemma 4.5 and Lemma 4.1 respectively.

The following lemma is a variant of [12, Lemma 1] as we replace ‘continuous’ by ‘Hölder continuous’.

**Lemma 4.7.** Let $G$ be a countable group and $\alpha$ be an action of $G$ on a compact metrizable space $X$ by homeomorphisms. Let $H$ be a topological group with a bi-invariant metric $d$ on $H$. Let $c : G \times X \to H$ be a Hölder continuous $H$-cocycle. Assume that there exists a subgroup $G_0 < G$ and a Hölder continuous map $b : X \to H$ such that for any fixed $g \in G_0$ the map $x \mapsto b(gx)^{-1}c(g, x)b(x)$ is constant on $X$, and $\alpha|_{G_0}$ is topological mixing. Then $c : N_G(G_0) \times X \to H$ is cohomologous to a homomorphism, where $N_G(G_0)$ is the normalizer of $G_0$ in $G$.

**Proof.** The proof of [12, Lemma 1] still works here since we do not change the transfer map.

Using these lemmas, we can finish the proof of Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2.

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** The same proof as the proof of [12, Theorem 1] still works here. Note that we need to use Lemma 2.7 now instead of [12, Lemma 8].

**Proof of Corollary 1.2.** By Lemma 3.5, we can apply Theorem 1.1 to full shifts.

5. Examples, groups in $G_H$ and remarks

Besides full shifts, we give another class of subshifts satisfying assumptions of Theorem 1.1.

**Example 5.1.** (The generalized golden mean subshifts) Let $A = \{0, 1, \ldots, k\}$ and $F_1, \ldots, F_m$ be non-empty finite subsets of $G$. Let $X(F_1, \ldots, F_m)$ be a subset of $A^G$ consisting of all $x \in A^G$ such that for every $1 \leq j \leq m$, $g \in G$, there exists $g_j \in gF_j$ such that $x_{g_j} = 0$. Then it is a subshift of $A^G$. Using the same argument as in the proof of
[12, Lemma 10], we also obtain that $\Delta_X$ has strong $a$-specification in the sense of definition 3.2 for every element $a$ in $G$ with infinite order. Hence, similar to the proof of [12, Corollary 4], we know that the generalized golden mean subshifts $X(F_1, \ldots, F_m)$ are examples of our Theorem 1.1

Remark 5.2. In our main theorem, if we drop the condition of specification, the result does not hold any more even for the special case $G = \mathbb{Z}^d$, $d > 1$, see for example [39, Example 4.3].

Now we will investigate the class of one-ended groups having undistorted elements and subexponential growing divergence functions. Before giving examples of groups with subexponential growing divergence functions, let us review definitions of wide groups, unconstricted groups, and thick groups [4, 5, 18].

Let $X$ be a connected, locally connected topological space. A point $x \in X$ is a (global) cut-point if $X \setminus \{x\}$ has at least two connected components.

Definition 5.3. We call a finitely generated group $G$ unconstricted if one of its asymptotic cones has no cut-points. We say a finitely generated group $G$ is wide if none of its asymptotic cones has a cut-point.

Clearly, wide groups are unconstricted. By Stallings’ ends theorem, we know that unconstricted groups are one-ended groups. Recall that a finitely generated subgroup $H$ of a finitely generated group $G$ is undistorted if any word metric of $H$ is bi-Lipschitz equivalent to a word metric of $G$ restricted to $H$.

Let $G$ be a finitely generated group and $S$ be a finite generating set. Let $\ell_S$ be the word length of $G$ with respect to $S$. Let $G_1$ be a finite index subgroup of $G$. We define $\mathcal{N}_M(G_1) := \{g \in G : \text{dist}(g, G_1) < M\}$, where $\text{dist}(g, G_1)$ denotes the distance of the point $g$ to the set $G_1$ induced by the word length $\ell_S$.

Definition 5.4. (Algebraic network of subgroups) Let $G$ be a finitely generated group, $\mathcal{H}$ be a finite collection of subgroups of $G$ and let $M > 0$. We say $G$ is an $M$-algebraic network with respect to $\mathcal{H}$ if:

- all subgroups in $\mathcal{H}$ are finitely generated and undistorted in $G$;
- there is a finite index subgroup $G_1$ of $G$ such that $G \subset \mathcal{N}_M(G_1)$ and such that a finite generating set of $G_1$ is contained in $\bigcup_{H \in \mathcal{H}} H$;
- any two subgroups $H, H'$ in $\mathcal{H}$ can be thickly connected in $H$ in the sense that there exists a finite sequence $H = H_1, \ldots, H_n = H'$ of subgroups in $\mathcal{H}$ such that for all $1 \leq i < n$, $H_i \cap H_{i+1}$ is infinite.

Definition 5.5. (Algebraic thickness) Let $G$ be a finitely generated group. $G$ is called algebraically thick of order zero if it is unconstricted. We say $G$ is $M$-algebraically thick of order at most $n + 1$ with respect to $\mathcal{H}$, where $\mathcal{H}$ is a finite collection of subgroups of $G$ and $M > 0$, if:

- $G$ is an $M$-algebraic network with respect to $\mathcal{H}$;
- all subgroups in $\mathcal{H}$ are algebraically thick of order at most $n$.

$G$ is said to be algebraically thick of order $n + 1$ with respect to $\mathcal{H}$, when $n$ is the smallest value for which this statement holds. $G$ is algebraically thick of order $n + 1$ if $G$ is algebraically thick of order $n + 1$ with respect to $\mathcal{H}$ for some $\mathcal{H}$. 
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The algebraic thickness property of $G$ does not depend on the word metric on $G$.

Let $X$ be a metric space. For $L, C > 0$, an $(L, C)$-quasi-geodesic is an $(L, C)$-quasi-isometric embedding $f : I \to X$, where $I$ is a connected subset in $\mathbb{R}$. For every subset $A$ of $X$ and $r > 0$, we denote by $N_r(A) := \{x \in X : \text{dist}(x, A) < r\}$. For $C > 0$, a subset $A$ in $X$ is called $C$-path connected if any two points in $A$ can be connected by a path in $N_C(A)$. We say that $A$ is $C$-quasi-convex if any two points in $A$ can be connected in $N_C(A)$ by an $(C, C)$-quasi-geodesic.

**Definition 5.6.** (Tight algebraic network of subgroups) We say a finitely generated group $G$ is an $M$-tight algebraic network with respect to $\mathcal{H}$ if:

- $\mathcal{H}$ is a collection of $M$-quasiconvex subgroups;
- there is a finite index subgroup $G_1$ of $G$ such that $G \subset N_M(G_1)$ and such that a finite generating set of $G_1$ is contained in $\bigcup_{H \in \mathcal{H}} H$;
- and for any two subgroups $H, H' \in \mathcal{H}$ there exists a finite sequence $H = H_1, \ldots, H_m = H'$ of subgroups in $\mathcal{H}$ such that for all $1 \leq i < m$, the intersection $H_i \cap H_{i+1}$ is finite and $M$-path connected.

**Definition 5.7.** (Strong algebraic thickness) Let $G$ be a finitely generated group. $G$ is strongly algebraically thick of order zero if it is wide. Given $M > 0$, we say $G$ is $M$-strongly algebraically thick of order at most $n + 1$ with respect to a finite collection of subgroups $\mathcal{H}$ of $G$, if:

- $G$ is an $M$-tight algebraic network with respect to $\mathcal{H}$;
- all subgroups in $\mathcal{H}$ are strongly algebraically thick of order at most $n$.

We say $G$ is strongly algebraically thick of order $n + 1$ with respect to $\mathcal{H}$, when $n$ is the smallest value for which this statement holds. $G$ is strongly algebraically thick of order $n + 1$ if $G$ is strongly algebraically thick of order $n + 1$ with respect to $\mathcal{H}$ for some $\mathcal{H}$.

The strongly algebraic thickness property of $G$ does not depend on the word metric on $G$.

We list some examples of $G$ with subexponential growing divergence functions.

- **Linear divergence:** from [17, Proposition 1.1], every group is wide if and only if it has linear divergence. Here are some examples of wide groups.
  
  1. Non-virtually cyclic groups satisfying a law are wide [18, Corollary 6.13]. A law is a word $w$ in $n$ letters $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ and a group $G$ satisfies the law $w$ if $w = 1$ in $G$ whenever $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ are replaced by every set of $n$ elements in $G$. Solvable groups, uniformly amenable finitely generated groups are groups satisfying a law [18, Corollary 6.16].

  2. Non-virtually cyclic groups whose centre contains $\mathbb{Z}$ are wide [18, Theorem 6.5].

  3. Products of arbitrary infinite groups are wide [5, Example 1, p. 555]. Note that although [5, Example 1, p. 555] only mentioned that these groups are unconstricted, from there we can get they are actually wide.

  4. Let $G$ be an irreducible lattice in a semisimple Lie group of higher rank. Assume that $G$ is either of $\mathbb{Q}$-rank 1 or is of the form $\text{SL}_n(\mathcal{O}_S)$, where $n \geq 3$, $S$ is a finite set of valuations of a number field $K$ including all infinite valuations, and $\mathcal{O}_S$ is the associated ring of $S$-integers. Then $G$ is wide [17, Proposition 1.1 and Theorem 1.4].
(5) [17, p. 2455, last paragraph] Let \( G \) be a connected semisimple Lie group with finite centre, no nontrivial compact factors and \( \mathbb{R}\)-rank > 1. Let \( \Gamma \) be a uniform lattice of \( G \). Then \( \Gamma \) is quasi-isometric to \( G \) and hence each asymptotic cone of \( \Gamma \) is bi-Lipschitz equivalent to an asymptotic cone of \( G \). With conditions of \( G \), the quotient space \( G/K \) where \( K \) is a maximal compact subgroup of \( G \) is a symmetric space of non-compact type and has no Euclidean factor. Thus, applying [32, Theorem 5.2.1], we get that every asymptotic cone of \( G/K \) is an Euclidean building of rank > 1 and every two points in the asymptotic cone belong to a two-dimensional flat. Therefore, every asymptotic cone of \( G/K \) has no cut points. Hence so are \( G \) and \( \Gamma \). Thus, \( \Gamma \) is wide.

(6) R. Thompson groups \( F := \langle s, t \mid [st^{-1}, s^{-1}ts] = [st^{-1}, s^{-2}ts^2] = 1 \rangle, T, V \) [26, Corollary 2.14].

- Polynomial growth divergence: if a finitely generated group \( G \) is strongly algebraic thick of order \( n \), then its divergence is at most polynomial of degree \( n + 1 \) [4, Corollary 4.17]. Here are some examples of strongly algebraic thick groups. Note that although in [5] the authors only proved that these groups are algebraic thick, the proofs there also imply that they are actually strongly algebraic thick groups.

1. Mapping class groups \( \text{MCG}(\Sigma_{g,p}) \), where \( \Sigma_{g,p} \) is an orientable surface of genus \( g \) with \( p \)-punches satisfying \( 3g + p > 4 \) [5, Theorem 8.1];
2. \( \text{Aut}(F_n), \text{Out}(F_n) \) for \( n \geq 3 \) [5, Theorem 9.2].

- Subexponential growth: in [35, Corollary 6.4], the authors constructed groups whose divergences are subexponential but non-polynomials. However, these are torsion groups and hence do not have undistorted elements.

Non-elementary word hyperbolic groups are examples of groups with at least exponential divergence, see [2, Theorem 2.19] or [27].

Many groups satisfy property (UD). Here we list some of them:

- finitely generated abelian groups [25, Lemma 6.4];
- Heisenberg group \( H_3(\mathbb{Z}) \);
- bi-automatic groups [25, Proposition 6.6]. Geometrically finite hyperbolic groups are biautomatic [19, Theorem 11.4.1];
- mapping class group \( \text{MCG}(\Sigma_{g,m}) \), where \( \Sigma_{g,m} \) is an oriented surface of genus \( g \) and \( m \) punches [21, Theorem 1.1];
- (outer) automorphism group of a finitely generated free group [1, Theorem 1.1];
- semihyperbolic groups [8, III. Lemma 4.18, p. 479]. Note that bi-automatic groups are semihyperbolic [20, p. 59];
- let \( \Gamma \) be an irreducible uniform lattice in a semisimple linear Lie group \( G \neq \text{SO}(n, 1) \). Then \( \Gamma \) is bi-combable, i.e. \( \Gamma \) is semihyperbolic [20, Theorem 1.1 and p. 59]. Indeed, following the remark after [20, Theorem 1.1], we can prove that every irreducible uniform lattice in a connected semisimple Lie group with finite centre is also semihyperbolic;
- \( \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}) \) [11, Examples 2.13–2.15];
- let \( (M; \omega) \) be a closed symplectically hyperbolic manifold and denote by \( \text{Ham}(M; \omega) \) the group of Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms. Then every finitely generated subgroup \( G \) of \( \text{Ham}(M; \omega) \) has undistorted elements [38, Theorem 1.6.A and Remark 1.6.C];

(26) R. Thompson groups \( F := \langle s, t \mid [st^{-1}, s^{-1}ts] = [st^{-1}, s^{-2}ts^2] = 1 \rangle, T, V \) [26, Corollary 2.14].
• R. Thompson groups $F$ [9, Proposition 4], $T$ [10, Corollary 5.4], $V$ [6, Theorem 1.3] (note that the R. Thompson group $V$ is $V_2$ in [6]).

From the above arguments, we know that the class of one-ended groups having undistorted elements and subexponential growing divergence functions will contain the class (UW) and the class (ET), where (UW) is the class of wide groups having undistorted elements and (ET) is the class of one-ended groups being strongly algebraic thick of order $n$ for some $n \geq 1$.

The following groups are in the class (UW):

- let $G$ be a connected semisimple higher rank Lie group with finite centre and no non-trivial compact factors. Let $\Gamma$ be an irreducible uniform lattice in $G$. Then $\Gamma$ is wide and has undistorted elements;
- Baumslag–Solitar groups $BS(m, n)$ with $1 < |m| = |n|$;
- Heisenberg group $H_3(\mathbb{Z})$;
- $\mathbb{Z} \times G$, where $G$ is infinite;
- $SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$, $n \geq 3$;
- R. Thompson groups $F$, $T$, $V$.

To see $BS(m, n) \in (UW)$, observe that it has a finite index subgroup $\mathbb{Z} \times F_n$, which has one end, linear divergence and (UD) when $1 < |m| = |n|$ and the following holds.

**Lemma 5.8.** Let $G' < G$ be a subgroup inclusion of finitely generated groups. If the inclusion map is a quasi-isometry (e.g. $[G : G'] < \infty$), and $G'$ has (UD) property, then $G$ also has (UD) property.

The class of (ET) includes the following groups:

1. $\text{Aut}(F_n)$, $\text{Out}(F_n)$ for $n \geq 3$;
2. Mapping class groups $\text{MCG}(\Sigma_{g, p})$, where $\Sigma_{g, p}$ is a closed, orientable, connected surface of genus $g > 1$ with $p$-punches.

Applying [14, §3], we know that $\text{Aut}(F_n)$, $\text{Out}(F_n)$ and $\text{MCG}(\Sigma_{g, p})$ for $n \geq 3$, $g > 1$, $p \geq 0$ have property $F\mathbb{R}$, i.e every action on an $\mathbb{R}$-tree has a fixed point. As a consequence, they have property FA and hence applying [40, Theorem 15, p. 58] and Stallings’ end theorem we know that they are one-ended groups.

From [35, Corollary 6.4], we ask the following question.

**Question 5.9.** Are there finitely generated groups whose divergences are subexponential but non-polynomial and have undistorted elements?

Our class of groups $G_H$ also contains all one-ended right-angled Artin groups. Let $\Gamma$ be a finite, simplicial graph with vertex set $V$. The right-angled Artin group (RAAG) associated to $\Gamma$ is the group $A_\Gamma$ with presentation

$$A_\Gamma := \{v \mid vw = wv \text{ if } v \text{ and } w \text{ are connected by an edge in } \Gamma\}.$$ 

If $\Gamma$ is connected then $A_\Gamma$ is always has polynomial divergence [3, Corollary 4.8]. Furthermore, if $\Gamma$ has at least three vertices then $A_\Gamma$ has one end when $\Gamma$ is connected [7, Theorem B] or [34, Corollary 5.2]. Because $A_\Gamma$ projects onto $\mathbb{Z}^n$, where $n$ is the number of vertices in $\Gamma$, by adding all commuting relations between the generators, for any generator $s$ in $A_\Gamma$, the length of $s^k$ in $A_\Gamma$ is greater than or equal to the length of $\bar{s}^k$.
in $\mathbb{Z}^n$. Thus, $A_\Gamma$ is undistorted. Therefore, if $V$ has at least three vertices and is connected then the RAAG group $A_\Gamma$ belongs to $G_H$.

Similarly, certain right-angled Coxeter groups (RACGs) also belong to our class. Given a finite simplicial graph $\Gamma$ with vertices $V$, the associated RACG $W_\Gamma$ is the group with presentation

$$W_\Gamma := \{ V \mid v^2 = 1, vw = wv \text{ if } v \text{ and } w \text{ are connected by an edge in } V \}.$$  

For a graph $\Gamma$, we define the associated four-cycle graph $\Gamma^4$ as follows. The embedded loops of length four (i.e. four-cycles) in $\Gamma$ are the vertices of $\Gamma^4$. Two vertices of $\Gamma^4$ are connected by an edge if the corresponding four-cycles in $\Gamma$ share a pair of adjacent edges. Given a subgraph $\Gamma_1$ of $\Gamma^4$, we define the support of $\Gamma_1$ to be the collection of vertices of $\Gamma$ appearing in the four-cycles in $\Gamma$ corresponding to the vertices of $\Gamma_1$. A graph $\Gamma$ is said to be a component with full support (CFS) if there exists a component of $\Gamma^4$ whose support is the entire vertex set of $\Gamma$. A point or vertex in $\Gamma$ is separating if its complement in $\Gamma$ is not connected. If $\Gamma$ is connected, triangle-free; has no separating vertices or edges then $W_\Gamma$ is one-ended [16, Theorem 8.7.2]. Furthermore, if it is joined, i.e a complete bi-partite graph, or CFS then $W_\Gamma$ has a polynomial divergence [15, Theorem 1.1]. Besides, if $W_\Gamma$ is not finite, i.e. there exist two vertices, say $v$ and $w$, of $\Gamma$ that are not connected by an edge, then the element $g := vw$ is undistorted in $W_\Gamma$ by applying Theorems 3.2.16, 3.3.4, 3.4.2 and Corollary 6.12.6 in [16].

Since we are unaware of any criterion to classify one-ended groups with both subexponential divergence function and property (UD), we want to end the paper with the following question.

**Question 5.10.** Let $G$ be a finitely generated non-torsion amenable group that is not virtually cyclic, does $G$ have (UD)? Does $G$ have subexponential divergence function? What about $G$ is any finitely generated infinite group with property (T) but not hyperbolic?
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