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ABSTRACT

Salmonella is one of the most pathogenic genera implicated in food-borne bacterial outbreaks and diseases. There are numerous transmission routes for Salmonellosis, but the majority of the human infections are derived from consumption of contaminated foods especially those of animal origin. The study was undertaken to determine the incidence and distribution of salmonella species in poultry meat shop premises. A total no of 150 samples were procured from poultry shop premises in north Kolkata and analyzed for presence of salmonella strains. The study concluded that overall prevalence of salmonella species amongst all the samples as 4%. All the eight salmonella isolates were subjected to antibiotic sensitivity test. In this method highest sensitivity was recorded against Ciprofloxacin (83.33%) and Chloramphenicol (83.33%) followed by Ampicillin, Cefotaxime, Ceftazidine, Nalidixic acid and Gentamicin (50% each). Low sensitivity was observed against Tetracycline (16.66%).
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Introduction

Food-borne diseases are the main problem particularly in developing countries and cause the majority of illness and death around the World. Food is the most important vehicle that transmits micro-organisms to human (Vernam, 1991). Among these micro-organisms salmonellae still a major cause of food-borne human diseases (Soultose *et al*., 2003; Carraminana *et al*., 2004). Poultry and poultry products are frequently contaminated with salmonellae that can be transmitted to human through the handling of raw poultry carcasses and products, or through consumption of undercooked poultry meat (Bailey and Cosby, 2003; Kimura *et al*., 2004). Salmonella outbreaks have been associated with improper cooking method, reheating of food and improper handling of food by food preparer (Gorman *et al*., 2002). Poultry meat is contaminated with salmonellae not only by infected poultry, but also by cross contamination with faeces, water, instruments and worker’s hand during the slaughter process and handling. Chicken might thus provide the main transmission route of infection, especially with the increasing consumer demand for this food. *Salmonella* species are a leading bacterial cause of acute gastroenteritis. These are of great concern because of their ability to...
cause large outbreaks of food borne illnesses involving a variety of foods of plant and animal origin (Holley, 2011). Nevertheless, foods of animal origin such as eggs, poultry, pork or beef are generally associated with the transmission of this bacterial species (Pires et al., 2010; Callaway et al., 2008; Braden, 2006).

Considering the aforesaid aspects, the present study was carried out to generate data on prevalence, isolation and characterization of Salmonella spp. from meat shop premises in North Kolkata.

**Materials and Methods**

Materials for this investigation were collected from North Kolkata, West Bengal. For this study, altogether 150 samples were collected randomly from various retails meat shops premises. Samples were collected aseptically by cotton swabs and kept in the sterile vial with NSS for further use. All the materials immediately after collection were placed inside a thermo flask containing ice cube for transportation into the laboratory for examination.

**Inoculum Preparation**

Each sample from same poultry meat premises were pooled and pasted in a separate pastle and mortar with 10 ml NSS and thoroughly suspended with the help of paste and mortar. Then about 5 ml of this suspension was added aseptically into the enrichment media Selenite F. broth (Hi-Media) with the help of a sterile pipette and incubated at 37°C for 24 hrs after that a loopful of inoculums from the broth was streaked directly on Macconkey’s agar, Salmonella shigella agar and Brilliant Green agar plate (Hi-Media) separately and incubated at 37°C for 24-48 hrs respectively.

**Identification of Salmonella spp**

Colonies of salmonella strains appeared colourless, translucent to opaque and generally irregular edge on Macconkey’s agar and opaque, translucent, uncoloured colonies or sometimes black centered colonies on salmonella shigella agar were considered as presumptive of salmonella spp.

Morphologically typical colonies were identified by Gram Staining, Motility test and Biochemical screening test were performed as per method described by Edward and Ewing (1972) and Cruickshank et al. (1975). The following Biochemical tests were performed such as Triple sugar iron agar, IMVIC, Citrate Utilization test, Urease and Sugar Fermentation test for confirmation of salmonella spp.

**Results and Discussion**

The present work was undertaken in order to characterize the isolates of Salmonella spp. from poultry. This investigation work was undertaken during the period from April, 2011 to September, 2011. Altogether 150 samples were examined for isolation of the salmonella spp.

Out of 150 samples 6(4%) samples were found to be contaminated with salmonella. Dasgupta, 1975, also isolated 2.36% salmonellae from poultry meat samples which were correlated with the findings of present study. It was also reported that 7.66% salmonella from poultry was isolated by Nag and Koley (1986) which is partially correlated with this finding. Roy. P. et al; (2002) also isolated 11.99% salmonella from poultry products, poultry environment and other sources.
Characterization of the Isolates

In the present study, all the six Salmonella isolates were examined for culture and morphological characterization and were Biochemically screened by TST, IMVIC tests, Nitrate reduction and H2S Production test, indole, citrate and motility test. All the isolates were typical in their characters, i.e., produced typical colonies on Macconkeys agar and BGA agar. All the isolates were constantly motile and gram negative, short rod in their staining reaction. The above finding correlated with Edward and Ewing (1972). The Biochemical characters of the isolates were typical to the genus. All the isolates were indole negative and produced H2S, reduced nitrate. H2S production was observed in five (83.33%) of the isolates. All produced typical acid but (yellow) and alkaline slant (pink) in TST agar. The other observation in this study was accordance with Santu Das (2002). In sugar fermentation reaction, all the 6 isolates fermented glucose, maltose and manitol but negative to lactose, sucrose and salicin. The above results correlated with Edward and Ewings (1972) and other workers like Jana et al. (1995) and Santu Das (2002).

Antiobiotic Sensitivity of Salmonella

Total 150 samples were subjected for isolation of the salmonella spp. from different poultry meat shop premises. Out of 150 samples, altogether six isolates of salmonella spp. were isolated and tested for their sensitivity to eight different antimicrobial drugs commonly used in veterinary practice. The result of sensitivity and resistant pattern of the strains to different antimicrobial drugs were presented as follows-

Table.1 Biochemical Reaction of Salmonella Isolates

| Serial no | Sample no | Reaction on TSI Agar | Methyl red | Voges-Proskauer | Citrate | Urea | motility |
|-----------|-----------|----------------------|------------|-----------------|---------|------|---------|
|           |           | Butt                 | slant      | H2S production | Indole  |      |         |
| 1         | T1        | Acid                 | Alk        | +               | _       | +    | _       |
| 2         | T2        | Acid                 | Alk        | +               | _       | +    | _       |
| 3         | T3        | Acid                 | Alk        | _               | _       | +    | _       |
| 4         | T4        | Acid                 | Alk        | +               | _       | _    | +       |
| 5         | T5        | Acid                 | Alk        | +               | _       | +    | _       |
| 6         | T6        | Acid                 | Alk        | +               | _       | +    | _       |
Table 2 Sugar Fermentation Test of Salmonella Isolates

| Sample no | Glucose | Lactose | Maltose | Sucrose | Manitol | Salcin | Sorbitol |
|-----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--------|----------|
| T1        | +       | _       | +       | _       | _       | +      |          |
| T2        | +       | _       | +       | _       | _       | _      |          |
| T3        | +       | _       | +       | _       | +       | _      |          |
| T4        | +       | _       | +       | _       | +       | _      |          |
| T5        | +       | _       | +       | _       | +       | _      |          |
| T6        | +       | _       | +       | _       | _       | _      |          |

Table 3 Sensitivity and Resistant Pattern of Isolated Salmonella Strains

| Antimicrobial agent | No. of isolates | Salmonella strains | resistant | intermediate | sensitive |
|---------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-----------|--------------|-----------|
| Ampicillin          | 6               | 2                  | 33.33     | 1            | 16.66     | 3         | 50        |
| Ciprofloxacin       | 6               | _                  | _         | _            | _         | 1         | 16.66     | 5          | 83.33     |
| Chloramphenicol     | 6               | 1                  | 16.66     | 2            | 33.33     | 5         | 83.33     |
| Cefazidine          | 6               | 1                  | 16.66     | 2            | 33.33     | 3         | 50        |
| Ceftaxime           | 6               | 2                  | 33.33     | 1            | 16.66     | 3         | 50        |
| Nalidixic acid      | 6               | 1                  | 16.66     | 2            | 33.33     | 3         | 50        |
| Tetracycline        | 6               | 5                  | 83.33     | _            | _         | 1         | 16.66     |
| Gentamicin          | 6               | 2                  | 33.33     | 1            | 16.66     | 3         | 50        |

From Table 3 it could be noted that highest sensitivity was recorded against Ciprofloxacin (83.33%) and Chloramphenicol (83.33%) followed by Ampicillin, Ceftaxime, Cefazidine, Nalidixic acid and Gentamicin (50% each). Low sensitivity was observed against Tetracycline (16.66%). The above findings were partially corroborated with Manie et al. (1998) who detected resistance of salmonella spp. against tetracycline. Rajshekara et al. (2006) also showed resistance against tetracycline.

The study concluded that samples collected from various meat shop premises indicate a very low prevalence; there is no scope of complacency. It requires continuous surveillance of situation including the antimicrobial resistance pattern.
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