Emission Characteristics and Control Device Effectiveness of Particulate Matters and Particulate-phase PAHs from Urban Charbroiling Restaurants: A Field Test
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ABSTRACT

Urban restaurants that charbroil meat are a major emission source of fine particulate matter (PM) and polyaromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) and receive frequent public complaints in large Korean cities. This study evaluated the effectiveness of newly installed pollution control equipment, including electrostatic precipitators (ESPs) and filters, at five charbroiling restaurants in different metropolitan areas near Seoul. The PM in the exhaust gas, which was sampled from the inflow and the outflow of the control devices, was measured with a 3-stage cascade impactor. The particle-bound PAHs, following pretreatment, extraction, and concentration, were then quantitatively analyzed using high-resolution gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS). According to our field tests, the flue gas emitted by these five restaurants contained average PM₁₀, PM₂.₅, and PAH concentrations of 22.6 mg m⁻³, 22.1 mg m⁻³, and 4,127.1 ng m⁻³, respectively. In addition, the ratio of the PM₂.₅ to the PM₁₀ was 0.98, and the correlation coefficient between the PM₁₀ and the particulate-phase PAHs was 0.95, suggesting a close relationship between the fine particle fraction and PAHs. The air pollution control equipment demonstrated an overall removal efficiency above 90%, but specific cases exhibited an unexpectedly low efficiency (30%), indicating the necessity of periodic cleaning and consistent maintenance.
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INTRODUCTION

In recent years, there has been a proliferation of interest in the air quality of large cities. In addition to volatile organic compounds (VOCs), visible smoke containing fine particles and odors discharged from charbroiling restaurants mostly using grills deteriorates urban air, because the flue gas is exhausted without emissions control (Seo et al., 2015; Schauer et al., 1999). The amount of particulate matter (PM) exhausted from meat charbroiling is very small, comprised mostly of PM₂.₅ in tiny liquid or solid droplets (Lee, 1999; Lee et al., 2001; Lee et al., 2009). The fine particulate matters from charbroiling contain various noxious elements such as harmful gases, black carbon and polyaromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) (Dockery and Stone, 2007; Brunekeef and Holgate, 2002).

According to the national statistics of 2015, biomass burning produced 12.5% (1,943.2 t year⁻¹) of the total PM₂.₅ (16,145.6 t year⁻¹) in the metropolitan area; charbroiling meat from domestic restaurants discharged 276.2 t year⁻¹ which corresponds to 1.7% (NIER, 2019). Seoul released 125.3 t year⁻¹ of PM₂.₅ to the atmosphere, 21.8% of the total national emissions; Gyeonggi-do followed with 124.8 t year⁻¹. In addition to the associated health risks of heart attacks, lung damage, aggravated asthma, cancer and premature death due to disease, the discharging gas stream involves pungent smells generating a local nuisance and causing public complaints.

Nevertheless, since there are no legal standards or regulations to control charbroiling flue gas from restaurants in Korea, some local governments such as Gyeonggi-do have initiated investigation of field conditions and financing to support procurement of cleaning devices. Studies on fine particle charbroil exhaust have been carried out on a lab scale using chambers, and emission coefficients of PAHs and particulate matters have been evaluated depending on meat type and grilling method (Lee et al., 2009; Park et al., 2009; Bong et al., 2010; Kang et al., 2014; Park et al., 2015).

On the other hand, there have only been a limited number of studies that have attempted to evaluate the effectiveness of different control devices for local charbroiling restaurants (Lee et al., 2011). They have reported removal efficiencies of PM against the control facilities operated at charbroiling restaurants, which ranged from 54.62% to 98.98% (PM₂.₅), 54.76% to 98.98% (PM₁₀), and 89.61% to 99.96% (the total...
PM (TOP)). In accordance, we attempted to investigate the emission characteristics of fine dust (PM10 and PM2.5) and PAHs in particulate matters from five local restaurants serving traditional charbroiled items, and to evaluate the effectiveness of currently installed control devices based on in situ observation in this study.

**METHODS**

**Test Charbroiling Restaurants**

Test restaurants larger than 300 m² were selected from the following five metropolitan cities in Korea: Yongin, Gunpo, Hanam, Osan and Bucheon. Table 1 summarizes the five test charbroiling restaurants. All of the sites served both charcoal-broiled beef and pork, but Restaurant C also served duck. Electrostatic precipitators (ESPs) prevented gas emissions at four of the restaurants from releasing the flue gas approximately 5,400 to 13,900 m³ h⁻¹, and only Restaurant D discharged the waste gas of 4,500 m³ h⁻¹ with a retrofitted filter type. Restaurants A and E had ESPs with a post-filter including packed beds with activated carbon granules. Restaurants B and C collect oil fumes, odors, and fine dust using an ESP with a cylindrical cell precipitator. Restaurant D used a porous ceramic filter (PCF) unit. In general, filtration using filters allowed lower flow rates than ESP (Restaurant B and C) due to the high pressure resistance. The smoke from the table was collected by individual hoods and conveyed through round duct line to the control unit. Each control unit was designed to aim for a 90% reduction in fine dust and 70% reduction in odor (GGEC, 2019).

**Sampling and Analysis**

Exhaust gas was sampled from the inflow and outflow of the control devices as depicted in Fig. 1. As summarized in Table 2, we focused on busy hours in the evening (19:00–21:00), and took measurements twice in July and November of 2018.

A cascade impactor (Johnas II; Paul Gothe GmbH, Germany) with 47 mm polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) membrane filters with 0.5 µm open pores (Pall Corp., Mexico) designed according to the official method of International Standard Organization (ISO) 23210 was used to collect particulate matter (ISO, 2009). A 3-stage cascade impactor with a back-up stage was used to collect the particulates from the exhaust duct. The first stage of the impactor captured the particles larger than 10 µm, the second one collected the particles between 2.5 µm and 10 µm, and the particles smaller than 2.5 µm were retained in the third stage. In this study, based on the definition of the Korean standard testing method (ES 01301.1b) for PM measurement from flue gas stream, isokinetic sampling was achieved at 97–105% according to the flow velocity and temperature of the flow (KMOE, 2019).

New filters were reserved in a desiccator for 24 hours before sampling, and weighed three times using an analytical electric balance with an accuracy of 0.01 mg. Dust concentration of the flue gas was evaluated by measuring the collected particulate matter amount with the same procedure as that for new filter papers (Bong et al., 2010).

**Table 1. Summary of test restaurants.**

| Restaurant | Location | Main Meat Type | Area (m²) | No. of Tables | Control System (capacity m³ h⁻¹) |
|------------|----------|---------------|-----------|---------------|---------------------------------|
| A          | Yongin-si| Beef          | 360       | 39 (8)        | ESP (5,400)                     |
| B          | Gunpo-si | Pork          | 400       | 43 (12)       | ESP (11,600)                    |
| C          | Hanam-si | Duck          | 400       | 37 (19)       | ESP (13,900)                    |
| D          | Osan-si  | Pork          | 300       | 30 (1)        | PCF (10,000)                    |
| E          | Bucheon-si| Pork         | 330       | 44 (10)       | ESP (4,500)                     |

*Number in the parentheses denotes those tables connected to hood and duct system.*

**Fig. 1.** View of on-site sampling: (a) Restaurant D and (b) Restaurant E.
Prior to the sample analysis, the recovery rate was tested by defining reagent blanks were analyzed and subtracted from the measured values of the samples. The limit of detection (LOD; ng) of PAHs was used for PAH quantification via the preparation and concentration steps. The prepared sample gas was injected into the GC-MS system (Zymark, USA) for PAHs analysis followed an official method for both particulate and gaseous-phase PAHs from stationary emission sources. This method recommends isokinetic extraction and collection of PAHs on an appropriate resin in impingers from the stack or in the upstream of flue gas (CARB, 1997).

Test PAHs comprised 23 species based on the Standard Method for Air Pollution Analysis (NIER, 2018). A high-resolution gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) system (6890 GC/5973N MSD; Hewlett Packard, USA) was used for PAH quantification via the pre-treatment, extraction and concentration steps. The prepared sample gas was injected into the GC-MS system. PAHs were extracted by solvent (hexane:acetone = 9:1) at 150°C for 15 minutes in an extractor (ASE 200E; Dionex Corp, USA), and then concentrated by an auto-centrifugal evaporator (TurboVap LV; Zymark, USA). A curve calibration from 0.25 mg L⁻¹ to 1 mg L⁻¹ was used to quantify concentration of 16 compounds from 0.1 mg mL⁻¹ to 2 mg mL⁻¹ (Supelco, St. Louis, MO).

Table 3 describes the instrumental conditions used in the analysis. For quality control, filter and reagent blanks were analyzed and subtracted from the measured values of the samples. The limit of detection (LOD; ng) of PAHs was defined as the mean blank value plus three standard deviations. Prior to the sample analysis, the recovery rate was tested by spiking standards of 16 target PAHs on cleaned filters. The average recoveries of PAHs were 70–120%.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

In this study, we investigated the effectiveness of air pollution control facilities in charbroiling restaurants to remove particulate matters. Particulate matter such as PM₁₀ and PM₂.₅ contained in the exhaust gas flow, which are listed in the Korea Enforcement Decree of Clean Air Conservation ACT and as a U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) hazardous air pollutant (HAP), were closely investigated based on facility performance.

**Emission Characteristics**

**Particulate Matter**

The inflow amount of the particulate matters from charbroiling exhausts is summarized in Table 4. The highest average level of PM₂.₅ was 41.1 mg m⁻³ in Restaurant C, and 38.5 mg m⁻³, 14.1 mg m⁻³, 12.6 mg m⁻³ and 4.3 mg m⁻³ for Restaurants A, E, D and B respectively. The collected particulate matters were distributed widely in size with working time, and the deviation by restaurant was large. A large amount of total particulate matters (13.6 mg m⁻³) was released from Restaurant D that roasted 3.3 kg of pork, while Restaurant B cooking 10 kg and 13 kg of pork exhausted a relatively small amount of TPM (4.6 mg m⁻³). Restaurant C produced a very large amount of TPM when grilling greasy duck meat. Incomplete combustion from the conversion of

| Item               | Conditions                      |
|--------------------|---------------------------------|
| GC                 | 6890 GC (Agilent Technologies)  |
| Detector           | 5973N MSD (Agilent Technologies) |
| Column             | J&W HP-5 (30 m x 0.32 mm x 0.25 μm; Agilent Technologies) |
| Column flow        | 1.5 mL min⁻¹                    |
| Auto injector      | G4513A                          |
| Purge flow         | He (99.999%), 20.0 mL min⁻¹      |
| Inlet temperature  | 300°C                           |
| GC temperature program| 60°C (5 min) → 10°C min⁻¹ → 200°C (5 min) → 10°C min⁻¹ → 200°C (5 min) → 310°C (10 min) → 320°C (5 min) |
fat-forming elements in the meat to oily phases at high temperatures over charcoal generated a large amount of smoke. The concentration of particulate matter was highly related to meat type, grilling amount, fat content and seasonings added (Park et al., 2015; Heo et al., 2016).

On the other hand, the average emission factors (EFs) of PMs and PAHs for on-site cooking at five restaurants were evaluated and are summarized in Table 5. The values that were found in other references that were carried out with similar conditions are also listed. Restaurant E briöling both seasoned pork and raw pork belly showed lower EF values of PMs than other restaurants that mainly served marinated and seasoned meat. Korean charbroiling restaurants deal with meat marinated with various seasonings, so the smoke produced varies from site to site. Therefore, in this field test, particulate matters produced including oily impurities tended to adhere to the inner surface of the duct and final discharges to the control facility may have varied depending on the capacity of the hood and duct lines. As can be seen in Restaurant E, higher EF values appeared in simulated lab tests due to its simple duct line and excellent suction hood (Lee et al., 2020). Indeed, large quantities of waste gas could be dispersed inside the restaurant without being transported to the control facility. That was one of the reasons that lower EF values were collected from the entrance of the control facility.

Average mass concentrations of PM at the three size fractions are shown in Fig. 2. The highest concentrations of particles were observed at sizes ≤ 2.5 μm. The overall average emission rate of PM_{2.5} relative to PM_{10} for the 5 test restaurants was 0.98, which indicated that most of the particulate matter formed from charbroiling fine dust was smaller than 2.5 μm. This value was slightly higher than other reported values such as 0.95 for pork roasting, 0.88–0.97 for various meats and 0.77 to 0.98 for pork and beef (Park et al., 2015; Heo et al., 2016). Most of the total particulate matter (TMP), 93–98%, belonged to PM_{2.5}. Thus, cooking meat by charbroiling generated a large amount of ultra-fine dust.

### PAHs

The smoke generated by burning of fat contained a large proportion of PAHs (KFDA, 2007). Charbroiling meat released PAHs by decomposition of various organic elements at high temperatures between 550°C and 950°C (McDonald et al., 2003). Since the PAH production rate decreased at temperatures between 550°C and 950°C (McDonald et al., 2003), Charbroiling meat release PAHs contained in PM_{10} and PM_{2.5} in exhaust smoke were quantitatively identified and are summarized in Fig. 3.

The highest concentration of total PAHs contained in PM_{10}, 6,761.4 ng m⁻³, was found at Restaurant C, and Restaurant B discharged the least, 1,120.5 ng m⁻³. The maximum PM_{2.5} amount, 6,323.4 ng m⁻³, was measured at Restaurant A, and

| Site | PM_{2.5} (mg m⁻³) (range) | PM_{10} (mg m⁻³) (range) | TPM (mg m⁻³) (range) | PM_{2.5}/PM_{10} | PM_{2.5}/TPM |
|------|---------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|------------------|--------------|
| A    | 38.5 (1.9–59.6)           | 39.3 (2.8–60.4)          | 39.5 (3.2–60.8)      | 0.98             | 0.97         |
| B    | 4.3 (1.4–8.4)            | 4.5 (1.7–8.6)            | 4.6 (1.9–8.7)        | 0.96             | 0.93         |
| C    | 41.1 (13.9–58.5)         | 41.4 (14.0–59.2)        | 41.8 (14.1–59.6)     | 0.99             | 0.98         |
| D    | 12.5 (0.2–33.7)          | 13.2 (0.5–35.1)         | 13.6 (0.6–35.3)      | 0.95             | 0.93         |
| E    | 14.1 (4.9–25.9)          | 14.5 (5.0–26.3)         | 14.6 (5.0–26.3)      | 0.97             | 0.97         |
| Average | 22.1 (0.2–59.6)      | 22.6 (0.5–37.9)         | 22.8 (0.6–60.8)      | 0.98             | 0.97         |

### Table 4. Inflow concentrations of PM_{2.5}, PM_{10} and TPM to control facilities at each site.

| Site | Meat Type | PM | This study | References | McDonald et al. (2003) |
|------|-----------|----|------------|------------|------------------------|
|      |           | PM |            |            | PM         | PAHs*                  | PM | PAHs           |
| A    | Beef      | PM_{2.5} | 7.94 | 1.30 | 3.23        | 6.12        | 7.28 | 39.12 |
|      |           | PM_{10} | 8.10 | 1.34 | 4.08        | 6.31        | –    | –              |
|      |           | TMP    | 8.16 | –    | 4.80        | –           | –    | –              |
| B    | Pork      | PM_{2.5} | 1.13 | 0.28 | 3.07        | 0.13        | 3.25        | –    |
|      |           | PM_{10} | 1.18 | 0.29 | 3.82        | 0.14        | 3.32        | –    |
|      |           | TMP    | 1.21 | –    | 3.87        | 0.14        | –    | –              |
| C    | Duck      | PM_{2.5} | 7.87 | 0.84 | 8.12        | 3.59        | 6.91 | 28.35 |
|      |           | PM_{10} | 7.93 | 1.29 | 8.22        | 3.97        | –    | –              |
|      |           | TMP    | 7.99 | –    | 8.99        | –           | –    | –              |
| D    | Pork      | PM_{2.5} | 11.80 | 2.16 | –           | –           | 16.09 | 45.60 |
|      |           | PM_{10} | 12.36 | 3.28 | –           | –           | –    | –              |
|      |           | TMP    | 12.74 | –    | –           | –           | –    | –              |
| E    | Pork      | PM_{2.5} | 2.48 | 0.46 | –           | 4.15        | –    | –              |
|      |           | PM_{10} | 2.53 | 0.48 | –           | 4.72        | –    | –              |
|      |           | TMP    | 2.57 | –    | –           | 5.02        | –    | –              |

*PAH unit: PAHs-mg kg-meat⁻¹; Lee et al. (2011); Lee et al. (2020); Park et al. (2015); Park et al. (2011).
Fig. 2. Mass concentration distribution of different size groups of PM for each restaurant.

Fig. 3. Concentrations of total PAHs in (a) PM$_{2.5}$ and (b) PM$_{10}$ for each restaurant.
the minimum amount, 1,065.4 ng m\(^{-3}\), was released from Restaurant B. Thus, the total PAHs concentrations varied widely depending on restaurants with the variables of meats, cooking amount, cooking pattern, griddle materials and ventilation power and system. In particular, emission rates tended to show proportionality to amount of particulate matter in the exhaust except at Restaurant C. Most PAHs for Restaurant C, mainly grilling duck, were found at PM\(_{2.5}\). Although 99% of PM\(_{10}\) belongs to PM\(_{2.5}\), the total PAHs within PM\(_{2.5}\) was unexpectedly low, 2,388.7 ng m\(^{-3}\), representing only 34.6% of PM\(_{10}\).

PAH compounds are classified with toxic equivalency factors (TEFs) based on a representative material’s toxicity. For the U.S. EPA, the toxicity of benzo[a]pyrene is 1, and other PAHs are labeled relatively as a conversion value of the toxic equivalency (TEQ). As a result of TEQ evaluation, Restaurant C showed the highest value, 165.1 ng TEQ m\(^{-3}\), in PM\(_{10}\), which was similar to the concentration of total PAHs. The total PAH concentrations in PM\(_{2.5}\) were 6,323.4 ng m\(^{-3}\) and 2,636.1 ng m\(^{-3}\) at Restaurants A and D, respectively. However, by introducing TEF, Restaurant D, which contained more benzo[a]pyrene, showed a higher value of 99.9 ng TEQ m\(^{-3}\) compared to Restaurant A with a value of 95.5 ng TEQ m\(^{-3}\).

PAH emission factors (mg kg\(^{-1}\)) within PM\(_{2.5}\) and PM\(_{10}\) of Restaurants A, B, C, D, and E were 1.30/1.34, 0.28/0.29, 0.84/1.29, 2.16/3.28, and 0.46/0.48, respectively (Table 5). All of the PAH emission factors in this study were lower than those of previous studies. McDonald et al. (2003) reported PAH emission factors within gas and PM\(_{2.5}\) from meat cooking under fired charbroiling (beef: 39.12; pork: 45.60; duck: 28.35).

Emission characteristics of PAH compounds according to the number of aromatic rings were investigated in terms of the summation of concentrations with ring type and fraction of total PAHs, as summarized in Fig. 4. The PAH emission rates in this study were dominated by 3–4-ring compounds, with a value of 3,998.3 ng m\(^{-3}\) for 3-ring PAHs including acenaphthene, fluorene, phenanthrene and anthracene from Restaurant A, representing 61.4% of total PAHs. Other test restaurants also produced high 3-ring PAH amounts: 452.3 ng m\(^{-3}\) (40.4%), 4,355.7 ng m\(^{-3}\) (64.4%), 1,981.2 ng m\(^{-3}\) (56.8%) and 1,315.2 ng m\(^{-3}\) (46.8%) for Restaurant B, C, D, and E respectively.

Only a small amount of compounds containing 6 rings such as indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene, dibenz[a,h]anthracene, and benzo[ghi]perylene were found from the test restaurants except for Restaurant A. The average value by ring number for five restaurants was 58.6% for those with 3 rings, 28.4% with 4 rings, 10.6% with 5 rings, 2.0% with 2 rings and 0.4% with 6 rings.

The results of the present investigation show that, while release of compounds with a moderate boiling point (approximately 300–400°C) was low, such PAHs containing 3–4 rings tended to exist at high levels in the emission flow. High-boiling-point compounds with 5–6 rings at temperatures above 500°C were maintained at low levels in the emissions. Similar tendencies were found in other domestic studies; for example, 2–3-ring PAHs or 3–4-ring PAHs occupied the largest amounts of compounds (Choi, 2013; Lee, 2011). In contrast, one study found high proportions of 4–6-ringed PAHs in emission gases of meat roasting (Li et al., 2018). This result was attributed to fat contents and added seasonings in addition to the cooking conditions based on cultural food background.

Fig. 5 depicts the average mass distribution of individual polynuclear hydrocarbons for charbroiling emissions from all of the test restaurants. Among the 23 species, phenanthrene, fluoranthene, pyrene, anthracene, and fluorine appeared in the largest amounts, in that order. Phenanthrene accounted for 44.5%, 33.7%, 47.1%, 41.7% and 38.9% of the total PAHs for five restaurants with 3, 4, 5, 6 rings, and E respectively.

**Table 5.** Concentration of PAHs in PM\(_{10}\) released from charbroiling sources according to number of benzene rings.

| Ring Type | Concentration (ng m\(^{-3}\)) |
|-----------|------------------------------|
| 2 Ring    | 3 Ring                      |
| A         | 3,998.3                     |
| B         | 1,938.2                     |
| C         | 362.0                       |
| D         | 452.3                       |
| E         | 324.3                       |
| 3 Ring    |                              |
| A         | 316.8                       |
| B         | 455.7                       |
| C         | 392.4                       |
| D         | 973.2                       |
| E         | 1,315.2                     |
| 4 Ring    |                              |
| A         | 4,355.7                     |
| B         | 978.3                       |
| C         | 1,981.2                     |
| D         | 1,914.2                     |
| E         | 1,645.2                     |
| 5 Ring    |                              |
| A         | 455.7                       |
| B         | 978.3                       |
| C         | 1,981.2                     |
| D         | 1,914.2                     |
| E         | 1,645.2                     |
| 6 Ring    |                              |
| A         | 455.7                       |
| B         | 978.3                       |
| C         | 1,981.2                     |
| D         | 1,914.2                     |
| E         | 1,645.2                     |

Fig. 4. Average concentration of PAHs in PM\(_{10}\) released from charbroiling sources according to number of benzene rings.
Ministry of Environment established legislation for emission standards of 8 PAHs including benzo[a]pyrene that will go into effect in 2020 (ME, 2018). The national standard allows a discharge of 0.05 mg m⁻³ from an emission source. The measured values in this study were much lower than the government guideline. However, charbroiling restaurants are common in residential and commercial areas, and emit harmful pollutants that can be dispersed into the surrounding areas.

An investigation into the effects of the degree of roasting on PMs and PAH emissions was attempted at Restaurant D as summarized in Table 6. While pork (3.3 kg) was roasted on “medium” for the first investigation, the second test was served “very well done,” with a slightly burned surface. An examination focusing on PMs and PAHs emissions showed an obvious difference according to roasting conditions. The concentration of PM₁₀ increased by almost 52 times from 0.5 mg m⁻³ for general “medium broiling” to 25.8 mg m⁻³ for slight surface burning. Total PAHs in PM₁₀ also increased from 62.4 ng m⁻³ to 6,918.1 ng m⁻³, an increase of approximately 111 times. Benzo[a]pyrene, known primary carcinogen, greatly increased from 6.5 ng m⁻³ to 134.0 ng m⁻³.

It can be concluded that the cooking method, particularly charbroiling, directly influences the emission amounts of PAHs and particulate matter. In the traditional culture of Korea, people prefer “well-done” to “raw” meat. Therefore, environmental and health aspects should be taken into consideration at charbroiling restaurants. Grilling assistants and nearby residents could be consistently exposed to hazardous species. This long-term exposure, even at low levels, may be more harmful than direct inhalation by customers (Badyda et al., 2018).

**Dependency of PAH Emission on Particulate Matters**

The mass proportions of PAHs discharged from meat charbroiling were 0.01633–0.02644 wt% for PM₁₀ and 0.0034–0.0326 wt% for PM₂.₅. For reference, Seo et al. (2010) found values of 0.02585 wt% and 0.06630 wt% for pork and beef grilling, respectively. Fig. 6 compares the correlations of PAHs to concentrations of PM₁₀ and PM₂.₅, and the overall coefficients were 0.9522 and 0.8944, respectively. The second uncertain release from Restaurant C introduced a slightly lower correlation coefficient (R²) for PM₂.₅. Such irregular performances may be common in practice. Thus, after-treatment facilities must be designed to handle a sufficient capacity.

Since particle-bound PAHs were investigated in this study, the relationship was quite linear with high dependencies for both PM₁₀ and PM₂.₅. Nevertheless, the linearity varied by restaurant. As a consequence, and as more particulates were discharged, more harmful PAHs were emitted.

**Evaluation of Abatement Efficiency**

The effectiveness of control devices for PM₂.₅, PM₁₀ and TPM is summarized in Table 7. Abatement efficiency was evaluated by averaging repeated measurements of particulate matter before and after the devices operated in test restaurants. The first investigation during July of 2018 capture efficiency values for PM₂.₅: 99.1% for Restaurant A, 31.5% for B, 92.5% for C, 39.6% for D, and 92.9% for E. However, the absolute amount of final exhaust was 0.6 mg m⁻³ from Restaurant A, 1.7 mg m⁻³ from B, 4.1 mg m⁻³ from C, 0.1 mg m⁻³ from D and 0.9 mg m⁻³ from E. The second measurement in November of 2018 showed respective capture efficiency of 98%, 90.2%, 88.3%, 94.9% and 23.8%
Table 6. Emissions of PM\textsubscript{10} and PAHs depending on roasting conditions at Restaurant D.

| Roast weight (kg) | 1\textsuperscript{st} test | 2\textsuperscript{nd} test |
|------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
| Broiling condition | medium | very well-done |
| PM\textsubscript{10} (mg m\textsuperscript{-3}) | 0.5 | 25.8 |
| PM\textsubscript{2.5} (mg m\textsuperscript{-3}) | 0.2 | 24.9 |
| Total PAHs (ng m\textsuperscript{-3}) | 62.4 | 6,918.1 |
| Carcinogenic PAHs (ng m\textsuperscript{-3}) | 47.4 | 1,187.9 |
| Benzo[a]pyrene (ng m\textsuperscript{-3}) | 6.5 | 134.0 |

for the restaurants. The mass concentration of PM\textsubscript{2.5} after use of the control devices was very low, ranging from 0.3 mg m\textsuperscript{-3} to 4.1 mg m\textsuperscript{-3}. Restaurants B and D had low PM concentrations for the first measurement before the device showed very low dust capture efficiency. Although it is difficult to handle low-concentration flows, the current facilities mitigate the output of fine particulates and probably PAHs up to certain levels.

Restaurant D using a filtration device showed 94.4% and 94.5% reduction for PM\textsubscript{2.5} and PM\textsubscript{10} in the second test compared to the removal efficiency in the first test, likely due to the very low inlet flow rate and concentration. Since filter efficiency is closely related to flow inertia, low dust loading and low flow velocity might have resulted in low efficiency. On the contrary, filter-type dust collectors would be clogged by fine particulate matter without being cleaned for a long time. Thus, tightened filter media can capture the dust with high efficiency.

The device performance for PM\textsubscript{2.5} at Restaurant E dropped from 92.9% in the first investigation to 24% in the second. Despite similar inlet concentrations to the device, 12.9 mg m\textsuperscript{-3} and 15.4 mg m\textsuperscript{-3}, the downstream concentrations were 0.9 mg m\textsuperscript{-3} and 11.7 mg m\textsuperscript{-3} respectively. One of the key factors used to determine control efficiency was maintenance of the facility. While the first measurement was carried out 2 days after cleaning, the second was performed after 21 days of operation. In other words, the cleaning interval was closely associated with capture performance, particularly in an ESP.

However, the test beds, Restaurants B and D, showed exceptional inconsistencies between those operation parameters. If the amount of inlet PM was very small, a meaningful evaluation of treatment efficiency of the control facilities could not be obtained. First of all, it seems that discretionary operation and management of the site determined the effectiveness of the air purifier. Thus, air pollution control devices including ventilation ducts and hoods in charbroil restaurants must not be prepared only based on the theoretical design, but on-site applications should also be considered.

As for the PAHs shown in Fig. 7, Restaurant A with 6 small ESPs with individual capacities of 30 m\textsuperscript{3} min\textsuperscript{-1}, removed both PMs and PAHs with high efficiency. Restaurants B, D and E showed an apparent dependency of PAH removal efficiency on particulate collection. Despite the high-efficiency removal of PMs in Restaurant D, the PAH removal efficiencies for the second measurement were only 47.4% and 26.2% for PM\textsubscript{10} and PM\textsubscript{2.5} respectively. The reason that...
Table 7. Reduction efficiency of particulate matters by control facilities.

| Restaurant | PM   | 1st measurement |                | 2nd measurement |                |
|------------|------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
|            |      | Control Facility Inlet Conc. (mg m\(^{-3}\)) | Control Facility Outlet Conc. (mg m\(^{-3}\)) | Control Efficiency (%) | Control Facility Inlet Conc. (mg m\(^{-3}\)) | Control Facility Outlet Conc. (mg m\(^{-3}\)) | Control Efficiency (%) |
|            |      | APM\(_{2.5}\) | 30.8 | 0.3 | 99.1 | 46.2 | 0.91 | 98.0 |
|            |      | PM\(_{10}\)  | 31.6 | 0.6 | 98.2 | 47.0 | 0.95 | 98.0 |
|            |      | TMP         | 32.0 | 0.9 | 97.3 | 47.1 | 0.98 | 97.9 |
|            |      | B           |      |    |     |      |      |      |
|            |      | APM\(_{2.5}\) | 2.5  | 1.7 | 31.5 | 6.1  | 0.59 | 90.2 |
|            |      | PM\(_{10}\)  | 2.8  | 2.0 | 26.7 | 6.2  | 0.63 | 89.8 |
|            |      | TMP         | 3.0  | 2.3 | 23.2 | 6.2  | 0.66 | 89.4 |
|            |      | C           |      |    |     |      |      |      |
|            |      | APM\(_{2.5}\) | 54.6 | 4.1 | 92.5 | 27.6 | 3.22 | 88.3 |
|            |      | PM\(_{10}\)  | 55.1 | 4.4 | 91.9 | 27.7 | 3.30 | 88.1 |
|            |      | TMP         | 55.7 | 4.8 | 91.3 | 27.8 | 3.35 | 87.9 |
|            |      | D           |      |    |     |      |      |      |
|            |      | APM\(_{2.5}\) | 0.2  | 0.1 | 39.6 | 24.9 | 1.40 | 94.4 |
|            |      | PM\(_{10}\)  | 0.5  | 0.4 | 31.1 | 25.8 | 1.41 | 94.5 |
|            |      | TMP         | 1.2  | 0.8 | 34.1 | 25.9 | 1.41 | 94.6 |
|            |      | E           |      |    |     |      |      |      |
|            |      | APM\(_{2.5}\) | 12.9 | 0.9 | 92.9 | 15.4 | 11.7 | 24.4 |
|            |      | PM\(_{10}\)  | 13.3 | 1.3 | 90.3 | 15.6 | 11.9 | 23.8 |
|            |      | TMP         | 13.6 | 1.6 | 88.3 | 15.7 | 12.0 | 23.8 |

PAH removal was not as high as that of PM seemed to be the addition of compounds remaining in the filter to the fine particles in the exhaust. The current control facilities that focus on particulate matter mitigation are inadequate for gaseous pollutants such as PAHs, odors, and other potential materials including NO\(_x\) and SO\(_x\). Although they are much reduced, these hazardous pollutants should be reduced even more through additional collectors.

CONCLUSIONS

This study focused on the performance of air pollution control devices in filtering the PM and particulate-phase PAHs generated by urban charbroiling restaurants. Four of the five test restaurants (the exception being Restaurant B) emitted fine particles (PM\(_{10}\)) at levels that exceeded the national guideline (10–70 mg m\(^{-3}\)); in particular, PM\(_{2.5}\) formed more than 90% of the total particulate matter. Furthermore, the concentrations of the PM\(_{2.5}\) and PM\(_{10}\) displayed strong correlations with that of the particulate-phase PAHs (R\(^2\) = 0.8944 and 0.9522, respectively). Many of these PAHs were characterized by moderate to high boiling points, i.e., they were composed of 3 or more aromatic rings, with phenanthrene (b.p.: 336°C) contributing 41.1% of the total PAH emissions. The primary carcinogen, benz[a]pyrene, exhibited an average concentration of 72.3 ng m\(^{-3}\), which is lower than the emission guideline for general industry (0.05 mg m\(^{-3}\)). The installed pollution control equipment at the test restaurants achieved average reduction efficiencies of 88.7% for PM\(_{2.5}\) and 88.1% for PM\(_{10}\), and the emitted PAHs decreased with the particulates. However, the devices at the different restaurants varied in terms of success, and we observed inconsistent parametric relations in some of the cases.
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