Temperature Impact on Magnesium Isotope Fractionation in Cultured Foraminifera
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Element incorporation in shell calcite precipitated by foraminifera reflects the chemical and physical properties of the seawater the foraminifera lived in and can therefore be used to reconstruct paleo environmental conditions. One of the most prominent proxies for past seawater temperature is Mg/Ca of foraminiferal calcite. Still, in addition to seawater temperature, also biomineralization processes impact foraminiferal Mg/Ca values. As the impact of biomineralization plays a major role and is not necessarily constant, it is imperative to identify the mechanism by which Mg is incorporated and thereby understand how temperature influences Mg incorporation. Biomineralization is discriminating against Mg to different degrees and hence investigating the fractionation of Mg isotopes at different temperatures and for species with contrasting calcification pathways can be used to better understand the pathway of Mg during biomineralization. Overall, we observe that foraminifera with higher Mg content have δ²⁶Mg values closer to those of seawater. Moreover, controlled temperature culture experiments show that parallel to an increase in Mg/Ca, δ²⁶Mg in the tests of large benthic foraminifer Amphistegina lessonii decreases when sea water temperatures increase. This negative correlation between shell Mg/Ca and δ²⁶Mg suggests a two-step control on the incorporation of Mg during biomineralization. Using a simple model, we can explain both trends as a result of a stable Mg pool, which is only little fractionated with respect to sea water and a temperature dependent Mg pool which shows a higher fractionation with respect to sea water during biomineralization. The stable, not much fractionated pool is relatively large in high Mg foraminifera, whereas for the low Mg foraminifera the transport of Mg over a cell membrane probably results in the observed inverse correlation. Here we present a model using the Mg isotope fractionation we established for A. lessonii to explain the general trends for both high- and low-Mg/Ca foraminifera. A process-based understanding remains crucial a robust interpretation of foraminifer Mg-isotopes.
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INTRODUCTION

Past climates and environments can be reconstructed using foraminiferal shell chemistry. The fractionation of many stable isotopes and incorporation of many elements (i.e., proxies) are known to be dependent on seawater chemistry and/or physical parameters. Temperature, for example, influences the foraminiferal calcite’s oxygen stable isotope ratio ($\delta^{18}$O$_{\text{calcite}}$; McCrea, 1950; Urey et al., 1951) and salinity affects the Na/Ca of foraminiferal calcite (Wit et al., 2013; Allen et al., 2016; Mezger et al., 2016; Bertlich et al., 2018). With appropriate calibrations, these chemical imprints can be used to reconstruct seawater salinity and temperature and thereby allow inferring regional or global (changes in) climate. The Mg/Ca value of foraminiferal calcite reflects sea water temperature (Nürnberg et al., 1996) and can therefore be applied to fossil foraminifera to reconstruct past bottom water (Lear et al., 2000) and sea surface (Barker et al., 2005) temperatures. The exact mechanisms behind this relation between Mg content and temperature remains elusive. Even for inorganic carbonates, for which the effect of temperature on Mg/Ca has been comprehensively studied by controlled precipitation experiments (e.g., Mucci, 1987), no real consensus has been made so far. Increased precipitation rate at higher temperatures has been proposed to explain an increase in Mg incorporation at higher temperatures (Chilingar, 1962), but this has been also disputed by several studies (Mucci and Morse, 1983; Mucci et al., 1985).

Even though the fundamentals behind Mg incorporation as a function of temperature in carbonates are illusive, foraminiferal Mg/Ca remains a popular proxy for temperature reconstructions (Nürnberg et al., 1996; Anand et al., 2003). There are, however, other complications that must be considered when applying this proxy. It has been shown that beside the major impact of temperature, also other variables like salinity and pH can have a minor, but measurable effect on Mg incorporation (Kisakürek et al., 2008; Allen et al., 2016; Geerken et al., 2018; Gray et al., 2018). Furthermore, foraminiferal Mg/Ca in particular is highly species-dependent, leading to species-specific Mg/Ca-temperature calibrations (Toyofuku et al., 2011). What is common for foraminifera, however, is that they incorporate (much) less Mg than is expected from inorganic (i.e., non-biological) precipitation of calcite from seawater (Bentov and Erez, 2006). The biomineralization mechanism responsible for the formation of foraminiferal chambers apparently prevents incorporation of much of the Mg present in seawater either by (active) removal or exclusion of Mg during the calcification process (Erez, 2003; Bentov and Erez, 2006; de Nooijer et al., 2014a). This strong control of foraminifera on Mg uptake or removal results in a test composition 10 to 100-fold lower in Mg/Ca$_{\text{calcite}}$ than expected from inorganically precipitated calcite (Zeebe and Sanyal, 2002; Segev and Erez, 2006). To illuminate the pathway of Mg during calcification and the effect of temperature on this process, we can investigate the magnesium isotopic signature of these foraminifers ($\delta^{26}$Mg), since selective removal or uptake of Mg would likely change Mg fractionation.

Previous measurements on foraminiferal $\delta^{26}$Mg include mainly small planktonic and benthic hyaline species as well as porcelaneous species (Chang et al., 2004; Pogge von Strandmann, 2008; Wombacher et al., 2011; Maeda et al., 2019). Their observations suggest that foraminiferal species with low Mg incorporation also have a relatively depleted Mg isotopic composition compared to other (biogenic) carbonates. Porcelaneous species, with a higher Mg content, have a lower magnitude of fractionation, leading to a less depleted Mg isotopic composition which is closer to other organisms, e.g., corals calcite (Pogge von Strandmann, 2008; Saulnier et al., 2012). Estimates of non-biological fractionation vary, but are in the order of −1 to −3.88‰ (Galy et al., 2002; Saulnier et al., 2012; Mavromatis et al., 2013), and could be a function of precipitation rate (Mavromatis et al., 2013). The additional fractionation by hyaline and porcelaneous foraminiferal species is −3.2 to 5.5‰ (summarized in Pogge von Strandmann, 2008). The offset in the magnitude of Mg fractionation between hyaline and porcelaneous foraminifera supports the concept that these groups precipitate their shells using contrasting calcification pathways. The largest fractionation has been found for species with a low Mg content (Wombacher et al., 2011), which may reflect the cellular mechanism that they employ to create a supersaturated fluid with considerably less Mg than that of seawater. This observation supports the hypothesis that occasional transport of Mg ions by transmembrane calcium transport (Nehrke et al., 2013; de Nooijer et al., 2017) is an important source for the Mg that is incorporated into the foraminiferal shell wall of hyaline foraminifera, since cross membrane ion transport favours lighter isotopes over heavy ones. However, the relation between Mg content and $\delta^{26}$Mg needs to be studied in more detail to provide insights into processes involved in Mg uptake or removal during calcification. For this purpose, especially larger benthic foraminifera are of interest, since this group includes species which have hyaline shells but intermediate to high Mg content. This group has only been studied once before (Maeda et al., 2019) but could provide vital insights into the hyaline calcification pathway.

If the amount and isotopic composition of Mg incorporated both are determined by the same process within the biomineralization mechanism, the $\delta^{26}$Mg will also reflect temperature and hence may be used as a palaeoceanographic temperature proxy. First results show a negative relation between culture temperature and foraminiferal shell $\delta^{26}$Mg (Maeda et al., 2019), which is opposite to the relationship observed in inorganically precipitated calcite (Galy et al., 2002; Li et al., 2012), though earlier studies showed no significant impact of temperature on foraminiferal $\delta^{26}$Mg (Pogge von Strandmann, 2008; Wombacher et al., 2011), or inorganically precipitated calcite (Saulnier et al., 2012). If foraminiferal $\delta^{26}$Mg is independent of temperature but at the same time correlated to the average Mg/Ca of a species, it may constrain Mg/Ca-based temperature reconstructions based on species for which no modern-day calibration is available. This is, for example, a challenge when relying on extinct species (i.e., when reconstructing climates on longer timescales), though
variability in sea water Mg isotope composition over geological time scales would have to be taken into account. To investigate the link between temperature and foraminiferal Mg/Ca and δ²⁶Mg, we cultured the large benthic species Amphistegina lessonii at a range of temperatures (18–26°C) and investigated the relationship between δ²⁶Mg and Mg/Ca in a range of benthic foraminiferal species.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Foraminiferal Samples**

In this study we analysed specimens of Amphistegina lessonii grown under a range of controlled temperature conditions, as well as larger benthic foraminifera collected from an Indo-Pacific reef aquarium. Several species of foraminifera were selected from surface sediment samples of coral debris from the tropical reef aquarium at Royal Burgers’ Zoo in Arnhem, the Netherlands which is known for a large diversity in benthic foraminifera (Ernst et al., 2011). Specimens of A. lessonii, Heterostegina depressa, Sertoria orbitolis, Spiroloculina angulata, Spiroloculina communis, Quinqueloculina pseudoreticulina and Miliolinella labiosa were selected from the sediment to investigate the relationship between Mg/Ca and δ²⁶Mg. Due to low numbers, specimens of Spiroloculina angulata and Spiroloculina communis were combined into the group “Spiroloculina spp.”

For the temperature-controlled culture experiment, living specimens of the benthic, symbiont bearing foraminifera Amphistegina lessonii were transferred from sediment to Petri dishes and kept at constant temperature (21°C) and salinity (32) using (0.2 µm) filtered but otherwise unmodified North Atlantic Sea water as a culture medium. Specimens were fed with a solution of freeze-dried Dunaliella salina in sea water every week, and light was provided 12 h per day. These specimens were used as the parental generation for the culture experiment.

**Culture Set-Up**

Asexual reproductions events resulted in a large number (>30) of genetically identical offspring called a “clone group.” Two days after the reproduction events, when the juveniles had developed two to three chambers, all juveniles from the same clone group were collected and divided into three subgroups. This procedure is required to confidentially exclude genetic variability as a potential cause for any potential differences observed in the outcome of the experiment (de Nooijer et al., 2014b). Each subgroup was transferred into set-ups with one of three temperature settings (18, 21, and 26°C), while all other factors (such as food availability and salinity) are kept constant, identical to the culture conditions of the parental generation. Salinity was measured weekly and was found to be constant at 32, DIC was monitored weekly and was found to be 2,153 ± 138 µmol/L (mean ± SD) across all treatments, temperatures remained within ±0.1°C of the target values and to simulate a natural daylight cycle, light was switched on 12 h per day. Cultures were maintained for 8 wk to allow for sufficient growth, and at the end of the experiment foraminifera had a final diameter of at least 200 µm. Due to the very small proportion of carbonate in the initial two to three chambers of the foraminifera present at onset of the experiment compared to the total amount of carbonate present at the end of the experiment, it can be assumed that the impact of the initial chambers’ composition on the overall shell composition is negligible.

All specimens were then cleaned using an adapted version of the “Barker protocol” (Barker et al., 2003). In short, foraminifera were cleaned using an oxidizing step in which organics were removed with a H₂O₂ solution (0.5 ml 30% w/v H₂O₂ in 49.5 ml 0.1 M NH₄OH), and consequently, after gentle ultrasonication, rinsed with ultrapure water and dried in a laminar flow cabinet and dissolved in preparation for isotope measurements. For each Mg isotope analysis about 60 µg of material, roughly six specimens per measurement, was dissolved.

**Magnesium Isotope Analyses**

**Magnesium Isotope Analyses at MARUM**

Samples from the culture experiment and the aquarium were measured on two different occasions. Samples from the Indo-Pacific aquarium at Burger’s Zoo and the inhouse Mg isotope standard NIOZ-Mg, consisting of 1,000 µg/g Mg in 5% HNO₃ were measured in the Isotope Geochemistry Laboratory at MARUM, University of Bremen (Germany).

At MARUM, we followed the method of Wilckens et al. (2019) and adapted it to the sample matrix of foraminifera by using a one-step separation instead of a two-step separation. Approximately 1.5 µg Mg was loaded onto Bio-Rad BIO-spin® columns (Vogl et al., 2020). The purification and elution of Mg was done with 0.8 M HCl. The separation is based on published distribution coefficients for the resin AG 50W X8, 200–400 mesh (after Strelow, 1960; Stredow et al., 1965). The reliability of each chemical session was checked with a procedural blank and reference materials. Mg isotope measurements were performed on a ThermoFisher Scientific Neptune Plus MC-ICP-MS equipped with a stable introduction system (SIS) and a high-efficiency x-cone in low and medium resolution as described in (Vogl et al., 2020). The purified samples were dissolved in 2% HNO₃ and adjusted to 200 ng/g Mg. The measurements were done in the standard-sample bracketing method using a pure Mg ICP standard (Alfa Aesar Magnesium plasma standard solution; Specpure) as the bracketing standard. The evaluation of single measurement sequences and the conversion of the measured Mg isotope ratios into the DSM-3 scale, the international reference materials DSM-3 and Cambridge-I (Cam-I) were analysed in every sequence.

The uncertainty of the samples is reported as two standard deviations (2 sd). The instrumental precision and internal long-term repeatability for δ²⁶Mg was ± 0.07‰ and for δ²⁵Mg was ± 0.04‰ (2sd, n = 5), obtained by the repeated analysis of the reference material Cambridge-I (Cam-I). δ²⁵Mg values for an internal seawater standard (bottom seawater SuSu Knolls; δ²⁶Mg = −0.82 ± 0.08‰; δ²⁵Mg = 0.43 ± 0.07‰; 2sd, n = 3), JCP-1 (δ²⁶Mg = −1.99 ± 0.04‰; δ²⁵Mg = −1.04 ± 0.08‰; 2sd, n = 4) and Cam-I (δ²⁶Mg = −2.55 ± 0.05‰; δ²⁵Mg = −0.44 ± 0.06‰; 2sd, n = 6) are within analytical uncertainty in agreement with literature values, calculated from published values for seawater.
by Foster et al. (2010; δ26Mg = −0.82 ± 0.06‰; δ25Mg = −0.43‰; 2 σd, n = 26), for JCP-1 by (Wombacher et al., 2011; δ26Mg = −2.01; δ25Mg = −1.05‰) and for Cam-1 by Pogge von Strandmann et al. (2011; −2.62 ± 0.04; 2 σd, n = 43).

Magnesium Isotope Analyses at NIOZ

Samples of Amphistegina lessonii from the temperature-controlled culture experiment were measured at the Royal NIOZ, using triplicates, each consisting of 60 µg foraminiferal carbonate. Mg from the samples was purified by passing them through cation exchange columns with an aspect ratio of ~14.2, containing 900 µL AG-50W X12 (wet) resin (Bio-Rad) following a miniaturized procedure of Pogge Von Strandmann (2008). Mg isotope ratios were determined using a Thermofinnigan Neptune Plus multicollector ICP-MS equipped with a Scott-double pass cyclonic spry chamber (SIS) equipped with a PFA-ST nebulizer using a 50 µL/min uptake capillary (ESI). For higher sensitivity, a Jet-sample cone was used in combination with a H-skimmer cone. The instrument was tuned daily for optimum signal stability with a typical sensitivity of ~70 V/ppm (typical measurement solutions contained 100 ng/g Mg dissolved in 2% HNO3 made from 2 times sub-boiling distilled HNO3) and a background lower than 6 mV (24Mg) using Faraday collectors equipped with amplifiers using 1011 Ω resistors. Initial measurements included Ca and Na for monitoring possible matrix interferences prior the Mg isotopic analysis, but this was omitted after several analytical runs where no Ca or Na was detected in the purified samples. North Atlantic 0.2 µm filtered seawater (NASW) was included as a long-term procedure standard and as reference material in every analytical session. Samples and reference materials were measured using sample-standard bracketing against an inhouse ICP Mg (NIOZ-Mg) standard (Alpha Aeser). All solutions were matched within 10% of the Mg concentration. Values were converted to the DSM-3 scale using the NIOZ-Mg house standard, which was found to have a δ25Mg of −0.77% (±0.04‰, 2 σd) and δ26Mg of −1.48% (±0.06‰, 2 σd) relative to standard DSM-3. This was measured at MARUM (2.3.1). NASW was found to have δ25Mg of −0.44% (±0.02‰, 2 σd) and δ26Mg of −0.85% (±0.04‰, 2 σd) relative to standard DSM-3, which is very close to values reported by (Pogge von Strandmann, 2008; δ25Mg = −0.44‰ (±0.08‰, 2 σd), δ26Mg = −0.83‰ (±0.09‰, 2 σd)) and (Young and Galy, 2004; δ25Mg = −0.42‰ (±0.08‰, 2 σd), δ26Mg = −0.82‰ (±0.04‰, 2 σd)). Measured isotope ratios were converted to δ25Mg and δ26Mg values using the following equation

\[ \delta^{25}\text{Mg}(\text{‰}) = \left( \frac{^{25}\text{Mg}_{\text{sample}}}{^{25}\text{Mg}_{\text{standard}}} - 1 \right) \times 1000 \]

where \( x \) is either 25 or 26. Standard measurements and analytical details are summarized in Table 1, Table 2.

Comparing these measured and corrected values with δ25Mg and δ26Mg values of other marine carbonates (Figure 1), all δ25Mg and δ26Mg follow the same linear relationship, the terrestrial line, proving the internal consistency of this new data set.

Potential CN interferences, while technically possible due to contamination by carbon from the atmosphere or from the resin used are highly unlikely. Standard, blanks and sample measurements would be affected by atmospheric carbon alike, considering the stability of atmospheric CO2 concentrations on such short time scales as the measurements for this study. If carbon would have originated from the resin, this would likely be accompanied by additional problems such as polymers clogging up the sampling system. Such issues have not been observed during the measurements, and we thus assume no significant interferences due to the resin. Nitrate from the acid used represents a potential source of N, though since all solutions were diluted from a single batch of acid, this would also lead to a constant impact across all measurements. The peak-
procedure performed removes this potential CN interference, since these would introduce constant contributions to all mass 26 measurements.

All statistical analysis was performed using RStudio Version 1.1.453.

RESULTS

δ^{25}Mg and δ^{26}Mg Values and Derived Mg/Ca From the Temperature Experiment

The culture medium was found to have δ^{25}Mg and δ^{26}Mg values of −0.44‰ and −0.81‰ (relative to DSM-3), respectively, which corresponds to open ocean seawater (Young and Galy, 2004; Ra and Kitagawa, 2007; Pogge von Strandmann, 2008; Foster et al., 2010). Values for δ^{25}Mg and δ^{26}Mg measured in the cultured foraminifera range from −1.29 to −1.15‰ and −2.48 to −2.21‰, respectively, (Table 3). The δ^{26}Mg values show a strong, negative correlation with temperature in A. lessonii (δ^{26}Mg = −0.03 (±0.003 se) * T − 1.66 (±0.07 se); p-value < 0.01, adjusted R^2 = 0.94; Figure 2).

The Mg/Ca values of the foraminifera was calculated using a species-specific temperature calibration (van Dijk et al., 2019b), resulting in Mg/Ca ratios of 13.9, 19.0, and 27.4 mmol/mol for the specimens stemming from the 18, 21, and 26°C treatment, respectively.

Mg Isotopic Composition of Foraminifera From the Indo-Pacific Aquarium

The Mg-isotopic fractionation between species derived from the coral debris collected from the aquarium (kept at 26.0 ± 0.5°C during the last 20 yr; Ernst et al., 2011) varies greatly between species, though the variability within the hyaline foraminifera appears to be larger than within the analysed species of porcelaneous foraminifera (Table 4). Mean δ^{26}Mg_DS M-3 ± 2 SD of the foraminiferal samples varies

FIGURE 1 | The relationship between δ^{25}Mg and δ^{26}Mg values in our dataset combined with published data from other biogenic carbonates (Pogge von Strandmann, 2008; Wombacher et al., 2011; Yoshimura et al., 2011; Maeda et al., 2019) can be described as δ^{25}Mg = 0.510 * δ^{26}Mg − 0.024 (p value < 2e−16; R^2 = 0.998).
between $-2.09 \pm 0.09\%$ and $-2.88 \pm 0.03\%$ for the investigated hyaline species (*Amphistegina lessonii* and *Heterostegina depressa*) and ranges from $-3.08 \pm 0.07$ to $-3.00 \pm 0.04$ for the porcelaneous species (*Sorites orbitolis*, *Spiroculina* spp., *Quinqueloculina pseudoreticulina*, *Miliolinella labiosa*).

**DISCUSSION**

### δ²⁶Mg in Marine Calciifiers

A wide range in values in magnesium isotope ratios has been observed for marine calcifiers. Earlier studies on the relationship between temperature and δ²⁶Mg values of biogenic and abiotic calcium carbonate showed highly variable Mg isotope ratios and trends (Figure 3). For sponges, a slight positive correlation with temperature is observed, though different species show different response to both absolute temperature as well as changes in seawater temperature. Previously published δ²⁶Mg values for planktonic foraminifera range from $-4$ to $-5.5\%$ (Chang et al., 2004; Pogge von Strandmann, 2008; Wombacher et al., 2011), showing a slight negative relationship with temperature, which is not present when considering individual species.

Although the isotopic composition of Mg in seawater is assumed to be rather uniform based on the residence time of Mg in seawater which is several orders of magnitude higher than ocean mixing time (Foster et al., 2010; Lécuyer, 2016), different biotic and abiotic processes result in highly variable isotope

| Temperature °C | δ²⁵Mg DS0-3‰ (±2 SD) | δ²⁶Mg DS0-3‰ (±2 SD) |
|----------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| 18             | $-1.15 \pm 0.03$       | $-2.20 \pm 0.09$       |
|                | $-1.17 \pm 0.03$       | $-2.27 \pm 0.09$       |
| 21             | $-1.22 \pm 0.05$       | $-2.34 \pm 0.04$       |
|                | $-1.17 \pm 0.05$       | $-2.30 \pm 0.04$       |
|                | $-1.20 \pm 0.05$       | $-2.32 \pm 0.04$       |
| 26             | $-1.27 \pm 0.02$       | $-2.53 \pm 0.07$       |
|                | $-1.27 \pm 0.02$       | $-2.48 \pm 0.07$       |
|                | $-1.29 \pm 0.02$       | $-2.46 \pm 0.07$       |
fractionation. Variability could be caused by kinetic effects or differences in precipitation rates (Immenhauser et al., 2010; Mavromatis et al., 2013) or by microscopic fluid inclusions that have been observed in inorganic calcite growth experiments (Saulnier et al., 2012). While fluid inclusions so far have not been observed in biogenic calcite, precipitation rates among marine calcifiers vary (e.g., Ullmann, 2016) and are known to impact the isotopic composition of calcium carbonates (DePaolo, 2011). Well established estimates of carbonate precipitation rates would therefore be a valuable addition to take into account and could allow inter-taxa comparisons as well as deepen our understanding of Mg isotope incorporation, such data is unfortunately very difficult to obtain. The largest differences in fractionation are most likely

| Species                      | $\delta^{25}\text{Mg}_{\text{DSM-3}}$ (±2 SD) | $\delta^{26}\text{Mg}_{\text{DSM-3}}$ (±2 SD) |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| Hyaline species              |                                           |                                          |
| Amphistegina lessonii        | $-1.08$ (±0.07)                           | $-2.09$ (±0.08)                          |
| Heterostegina depressa       | $-1.49$ (±0.03)                           | $-2.88$ (±0.03)                          |
| Porcelaneous species         |                                           |                                          |
| Sorites orbitolis            | $-1.56$ (±0.04)                           | $-3.00$ (±0.04)                          |
| Spiroloculina spp. (angluata+communis) | $-1.60$ (±0.03) | $-3.08$ (±0.07)                          |
| Quinqueloculina pseudoreticulina | $-1.59$ (±0.03) | $-3.06$ (±0.05)                          |
| Milololina labiosa           | $-1.56$ (±0.04)                           | $-3.06$ (±0.06)                          |

**TABLE 4** | Mean $\delta^{25}\text{Mg}$ and $\delta^{26}\text{Mg}$ values (±2 standard deviations; SD) relative to DSM-3 of a variety of benthic foraminiferal species collected from coral debris of the Indo-Pacific aquarium of Burgers’ Zoo, Netherlands.
due to biogenic processes though considering the large differences observed between organisms even under similar temperatures. Such a biogenic contribution to the overall Mg isotope fractionation is apparently variable amongst organisms and between species as $\delta^{26}\text{Mg}$ can vary up to 4‰. For example, $\delta^{26}\text{Mg}$ values for coccolithophores are reported as high as $\sim $1‰ (relative to DSM-3), whereas planktonic foraminifera have values as low as $\sim $−5.5‰ (Chang et al., 2004; Pogge von Strandmann, 2008; Wombacher et al., 2011).

Abiogenic carbonate shows $\delta^{26}\text{Mg}$ values between $-2.8$ and $-2.4$‰ with a slight positive correlation between temperature and both Mg incorporation and $\delta^{26}\text{Mg}$ (Li et al., 2012): i.e., more Mg is incorporated at higher temperature, and the Mg is at the same time less depleted with respect to heavy isotopes. In contrast a strong negative relationship of $\delta^{26}\text{Mg}$ with temperature has been observed for coccoliths (Wombacher et al., 2011). Since all coccolith samples were collected from the field, it was suggested by Wombacher et al. (2011) that the observed trend may, however, have been indirect. Here we observe a similar trend for intermediate Mg/Ca foraminifera from controlled growth experiments, suggesting that temperature somehow affects Mg isotopes in some marine calcifiers. Since biomineralization processes vary largely between taxa, conclusions drawn from foraminifera presented here cannot be transferred to other organisms without caution though.

$\delta^{26}\text{Mg}$ in Foraminiferal Calcite and the Effect of Temperature

Results from previous studies with ours show that foraminiferal $\delta^{26}\text{Mg}$ values range between $\sim 5.5$ and $\sim 1$‰ (DSM-3; Chang et al., 2004; Maeda et al., 2019; Pogge von Strandmann, 2008; Wombacher et al., 2011; Yoshimura et al., 2011; Young and Galy, 2004). To investigate the relation between $\delta^{26}\text{Mg}$ and Mg content, we plotted data from our study as well as previous studies, of which both these parameters were known or calculated through well-established calibrations, in Figure 4. On a species level, the $\delta^{26}\text{Mg}$ values decrease with increasing Mg content, although the range in fractionation itself increases at lower Mg/Ca values. This suggests that for species with high Mg/Ca calcite shells, precipitation resembles more closely inorganic precipitation from an open sea water reservoir, whereas at low Mg/Ca values (i.e., when organisms discriminate against Mg-incorporation) fractionation can be either close to inorganic values (open system) or there may be strong offsets from sea water towards more negative values. This implies that the observed range at low Mg/Ca reflects mainly the biological control and to a lesser extend the inorganic fractionation effects, viz. for example the large range in $\delta^{26}\text{Mg}$ for planktonic foraminifera at relatively uniform Mg/Ca values (Figure 4; Wombacher et al., 2011; Yoshimura et al., 2011).

We show a distinct negative relation (slope $\sim -0.03$‰/°C) between foraminiferal $\delta^{26}\text{Mg}$ and Mg/Ca for the hyaline species A. lessonii (Figure 2). The overall trend is about three times more sensitive as observed for porcelaneous Amphisicorlus kudakajimensis (slope $\sim 0.01$‰/°C; Maeda et al., 2019). Such a difference most likely reflects a difference in the biomineralization between these species, which is also evident in the contrasting Mg/Ca of these species: A. kudakajimensis typically has Mg/Ca ratios of $\sim$150 mmol/mol, whereas A. lessonii has an order of magnitude lower Mg/Ca ratio, typically of 20–30 mmol/mol (e.g., van Dijk et al., 2019a; Geerken et al., 2019). The sensitivity of the isotopic composition of incorporated Mg to temperature also varies between these species: A. lessonii being less sensitive (slopes of the calibration regression being 1.69 mmol/mol/°C in A. lessonii (van Dijk et al., 2019b) compared to 2.74 mmol/mol/°C in A. kudakajimensis (Maeda et al., 2017). Overall, the isotopic sensitivity seems to increase with organismal biomineralization against Mg during biomineralization.

The higher temperature sensitivity of both Mg/Ca and Mg isotopes in A. lessonii suggests that the incorporation and fractionation of Mg is intrinsically coupled, which is supported by the observation that most isotopically depleted values are associated with species most depleted in Mg (Figure 4). The process-based explanation for the observed relation between Mg incorporated and the isotopic fractionation will also have to relate to the banded within-wall Mg distribution, which occurs in alternating low- and high-concentration bands in many benthic and planktonic species (Erez, 2003; Sadekov et al., 2005; Bentov and Erez, 2006; Steinhardt et al., 2015; Fehrenbacher et al., 2017). Although the exact mechanism responsible for Mg-transport during calcification remains to be fully determined, it is generally thought that both precipitation from seawater and from a Mg-depleted fluid are involved. In many reports, the higher Element/Ca ratio (El/Ca) of elements such as Mg, Na or K are found in the first layers precipitated and the lower El/Ca bands are precipitated later (e.g., van Dijk et al., 2019a; Geerken et al., 2019). There are some exceptions with reports on highest El/Ca at the end of chamber wall formation (Jonkers et al., 2016) or alternations of high- and low-El/Ca bands in the spherical chamber of Orbulina universa (Spero et al., 2015). Here we use these observations and recent concepts in foraminiferal biomineralization models to explore the coupled Mg/Ca and Mg isotope behaviour with a simple two-endmember-mixing model resembling foraminifer chamber formation.

Foraminiferal Mg Incorporation During Biomineralization

Calcifying perforate foraminifera form an organic, protective envelope around their shell that shields the site of calcification from the direct environment and allows for chemical manipulation, such as increasing the pH of the calcifying fluid (Erez, 2003; Jörgensen et al., 1985; de Nooijer et al., 2009). The Mg isotope signal of the first calcium carbonate formed during biomineralization likely represents that of inorganic calcium carbonate formed from the sea water trapped by the protective envelope (Figure 5). As the newly formed carbonate layer grows, more Ca$^{2+}$ ions are transported from the sea water to the site of calcification through selective calcium ion channels in the protective envelope. While these channels mainly transport calcium ions, they likely bring a small amount of Mg$^{2+}$ ions into the site of calcification. While these transmembrane transport channels have not yet been identified in
foraminifera, they have long been suspected (Nehrke et al., 2013; Marchitto et al., 2018) and Ca-ATPases with a strong Ca-selectivity over Mg$^{2+}$ are known from other organisms (Drake et al., 1996; Xiang et al., 2007). It is also likely that as this transport happens, these channels also discriminate against heavier Mg isotopes, which leads to an increasingly depleted $\delta^{26}$Mg signal being recorded in the calcium carbonate. Channel activity and/or selectivity could vary as a function of temperature. Bulk shell $\delta^{26}$Mg represents a mixed signal, consisting of the initial layer of carbonate, formed from the sea water Mg ions at the start of calcification, and the later leaked-in Mg ions transported through the discriminating ion channels. The bulk shell $\delta^{26}$Mg therefore depends on the isotopic composition of the sea water (determining the first endmember), the fractionation caused by the ion channels (determining the second endmember) as well as the ratio of initial to derived carbonate formed during calcification, which determines mixing of the endmembers. Both endmembers differ in the process that supplies the Mg$^{2+}$ to the calcifying fluid, but once the Mg has arrived in the calcifying fluid, precipitation of both endmembers will be impacted by the same processes that also affect inorganic calcite precipitation such as Rayleigh fractionation. As modelled results show, the effect of Rayleigh fractionation on the bulk shell $\delta^{26}$Mg signal would cause a slightly, but significantly positive correlation with temperature (Pogge von Strandmann, 2008), which is the opposite of the trend observed here. It can therefore be concluded that the biogenic effect caused by the transmembrane transport is large enough to overcome possible effects caused by Rayleigh fractionation and still be measurable. Rayleigh fractionation therefore only plays a minor role in foraminiferal $\delta^{26}$Mg signals, which appear to be

**Figure 4** | Modelled Mg/Ca versus $\delta^{26}$Mg calculated over a range of temperatures using the species-specific temperature calibrations for a number of (hypothetical) species (red, blue and green lines). Lines indicate isotopic signatures as a consequence of different relative amounts of low versus high Mg/Ca bands (high: low). Fitted calibration lines (black), including uncertainty intervals are added for A. lessonii (this study) as well as A. kudakajimensis and C. gaudichaudii (Maeda et al., 2019). Also included is published data (Pogge von Strandmann, 2008; Wombacher et al., 2011; Yoshimura et al., 2011; Maeda et al., 2019) for comparison with the model output. When information about Mg/Ca was not available from the same study as the $\delta^{26}$Mg values, Mg/Ca was estimated using accompanying temperature information and published calibrations (Nürnberg et al., 1996; Anand et al., 2003; Raja et al., 2007; Sadekov et al., 2014; de Nooijer et al., 2017). Mg/Ca of specimens from the controlled culture experiment are based on the Mg/Ca-temperature calibration of van Dijk et al. (2019b).
largely controlled by transmembrane transport, similarly to foraminiferal Mg/Ca.

In our model, for simplicity, we assume that species primarily differ in their average Mg/Ca as a consequence of the ratio of seawater- and ion-pump derived Mg. Species with calcite Mg/Ca values of >150 mmol/mol likely precipitate from a solution with a seawater-like Mg concentration (e.g., miliolids or high-Mg/Ca larger benthic foraminifers). Species with lowest Mg/Ca values (i.e., ~1–5 mmol/mol), make most of their carbonate with ion-pump derived Ca and hence also (depleted) Mg. Using the here measured effect of temperature on the Mg/Ca-δ²⁶Mg relationship for A. lessonii, and assuming a 50/50 ratio of Mg in low and high-Mg carbonate bands (Geerken et al., 2019) allows deconvolving the isotope values of both endmembers as function of temperature. Using EPMA analyses, Geerken et al. (2019) established that roughly 50% of the Mg is part of the high Mg-carbonate and the remaining 50% is part of the low Mg-carbonate in A. lessonii. Moreover, average Mg/Ca for A. lessonii can be described as (van Dijk et al., 2019b; Geerken et al., 2019):

\[
\frac{Mg}{Ca} = 2.88 \times e^{(0.086 + T)}
\]

Where \(\frac{Mg}{Ca}\) is in mmol/mol and \(T\) is the temperature in °C. The Mg/Ca of the high and low phases can be calculated:

\[
Mg/Ca_{high \, band} = \frac{Mg/Ca_{total}}{p + \frac{1-p}{v}}
\]

Where \(p\) is the proportion of Mg in the high-Mg/Ca band, which likely varies from species to species but could also vary between specimens of the same species, and \(v\) refers to the ratio between Mg/Ca values in the high- and in the low-Mg/Ca band (2.8; Geerken et al., 2019), but does not affect the relative contribution of both layers on the isotopes. From our results (Figure 2), the effect of temperature on the δ²⁶Mg in A. lessonii can be described by the following equation with slope and intercept given with ± standard error:

\[
\delta^{26}Mg = -0.032 (± 0.003) \times T -1.66 (± 0.073)
\]

With the δ²⁶Mg/temperature-dependency of inorganically precipitated calcite (Li et al., 2012), the δ²⁶Mg of the high-Mg/Ca band can hence be approximated:

\[
\delta^{26}Mg_{high \, band} = 0.0107 (± 0.0018) \times T -2.80 (± 0.05)
\]

And the δ²⁶Mg_{low \, band} is simply:
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CONCLUSION

Here we tested the effect of temperature on $\delta^{26}$Mg in the large benthic, symbiont bearing foraminifera *Amphistegina lessonii*. Results show a significant negative relationship with higher temperatures leading to a more negative isotopic signal in the foraminiferal calcite. This phenomenon can be explained by a combination of two pathways that lead to the incorporation of Mg into the carbonate. Initially formed carbonate comprises $\text{Mg}^{2+}$ ions stemming from the sea water trapped by the protective envelope surrounding the foraminifera during calcification. This isotopic signal gets modified by new $\text{Mg}^{2+}$ ions reaching the site of calcification through $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ ion channels that discriminate against heavier isotopes and thus cause fractionation. The former process explains the Mg isotopes in the high-Mg band commonly found in Rotaliid foraminifera and follows the inorganic $\delta^{26}$Mg-temperature relationship. The second process adds a less depleted phase to the shell wall. Inter-species differences in both average $\delta^{26}$Mg and Mg/Ca can thus be explained by differences in relative contribution of these two phases.
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