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Abstract
Recent development in communication of wireless communication for automobile industry have aided the growth of SITS (Smart Intelligent Transport System) which solves numerous vehicular based communication service concerns like traffic congestion, accidental mishap etc. VANET (Vehicular Ad-hoc Network) a characteristic class of MANET (Mobile ad-hoc Network) which is a fundamental element of SITS in which the moving vehicles inter connected and communicates with each other remotely. Wireless technologies play an important part in assisting both Vehicle to Infrastructure (V2I) and Vehicle to Vehicle (V2V) correspondence in VANET. The existing scheduling technique does not consider the environmental factor which affects the throughput performance and increases packet drop rate which result in degradation of service quality. Here in this work the author propose a RHU (Rural, Highway and Urban) environment model considering the environmental factor. The efficient environmental model algorithm is incorporated into slotted aloha in IEEE 802.11p MAC protocols which aided as a spine for assisting both safety application and non-Safety applications. Experiments are conducted for collision and throughput efficiency for varied traffic load and speed of vehicle. The experimental result shows the proposed environmental model impact on collision and throughput efficiency for varied environment and thus helps improving QoS for VANET application.
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1. Introduction
As a basic necessities of a contemporary life, automobile have kept on developing since they were designed in the SIR (Second Industrial Revolution). These days, individuals expect more than automobile quality and unwavering quality. With the ever growing advancement of communication of Information technology (IT), outfitting or providing vehicle with remote or wireless correspondence capacities is relied upon to be the future characteristic necessities. Associated automobiles on the go are composed, coordinated, and proactive, and will make ready for supporting different applications for street wellbeing or safety standard of road infrastructure (e.g., crash recognition, path change cautioning etc…), intelligent and environmental friendly transportation (e.g., Smart Traffic signaling controlling system and efficient public transport system administration). There are two prompt main motivations of conveying wireless connectivity to automobiles. The first is to enhance proficiency, security, and safeness of street transportation infrastructure frameworks. Massive urbanization result an expanding populace of vehicles in huge urban communities, which is in charge result in congestion of road and the outcomes result in gigantic financial expense and ecological issues. It is accounted for that the expense of additional travel time and fuel because of clog in 498 U.S. urban ranges was at that point USD 121 billion in 2011, and delivered amid vehicle traffic clog was 56 billion pounds, contrasted with USD 24 billion and 10 billion pounds in 1982, respectively [1]. Connected vehicle arrangements are exceptionally encouraging to lighten movement congestion of vehicle through smart vehicle control and administration [2], and additionally to enhance the street safety by means of on-board equipped driving assistance and intelligent warning frameworks [3]. The second one is the constantly expanding mobile information interest of clients on street. Provisioning vehicles to the Internet can be imagined not just to take care of the mobile devices information demand [4], additionally improve wellbeing of...
services related to safety applications, for example, smart tracking and antitheft system [5] and online diagnosis [6].

VANET depicts a characteristic structure of a MANET in which vehicle or user that are moving behave as a node to transmit packet among the vehicles, or an RSU (Road side unit) which as a communication range of 0.1 to 0.9 kilometers if considering 802.11p. It is intended and designed to cater V2V and V2I association over network without a standard structured infrastructure. It is important to be recognize that the ITS (Intelligent transport system) intentions is to cater road safety and offers a contented tourism or journey experience to motorist [7] [8]. There have been various study initiatives such as CVIS, WAVE (Wireless Access in Vehicular Environments) and ASV (Advanced Safety Vehicle) which is carried out across various countries to make ITS into a practicality. VANETs are intendent to provision safety and non-safety application services. Safety related application service such as collision avoidance; pre-crash sensing or lanes changing are intended to reduce accidents on roads by using traffic management application services. Non-Safety application services allow users to access various application like browsing internet data, video conferencing and bill payment services etc... travelling in vehicle. The noticeable variance between non-safety and safety service is that the safety services are proficient of transmitting and handling data in real time [9]. The motorist can avail all classes of application from the nearby RSU effortlessly using wireless technologies [10]. Figure 1 represent the envisioned architecture of V2I.

![V2I Architecture](image)

There are open challenges and issues as follows. It requires continuous session as it breaks during ESS transition, require many AP’s to cover entire city. Difficult to achieve seamless roaming, rapid changes in topology, possible frequent disconnections, and environment-introduced multi-path fading: vehicles and stationary objects in big numbers create manifold signal paths, thus causing superposition of numerous copies of the transmitted signal. This leads to inter-symbol interference (ISI) between the successive orthogonal frequency-division multiplexing (OFDM) symbols on a sub-carrier [11], mobility-introduced Doppler spread: when a moving vehicle receives a wireless signal, its perceived frequency will be Doppler shifted. The shift, which depends on the velocity of a vehicle, can destroy the orthogonality between the adjacent sub-carriers [11]. Does not guarantee good packet reception: there is no approved ideal case that packets have been either perfectly received or not received at all [11], there are weaknesses in particular environments: Environment factors impact significantly the characteristics of DSRC.

Bandwidth varies in both baseband and broadband internet access; however broadband always refer to high speed connection with data transfer rate equal to or more than 256 kbps [12], while U.S. Federal Communication Commission (FCC) in 2010 has defined basic Broadband data transfer rate of at least 1 Mbps upstream and 4 Mbps downstream [13]. The minimum bandwidth required to provide broadband basic internet connection on moving
vehicles is 384 kbps, for both up and down link [14]. Topological structure of persistently changing traffic network has several challenges in providing seamless internet connectivity. There are also requirements of reliability and accessibility between V2X integration even at the extreme vehicular velocity of about 300 km/h. An efficient handover mechanism to integrate vehicle-land connectivity is still a big challenging issue that remains to be resolved [15]. Though JorgOtt & Dirk Kutsche, 2004 [16] have managed internet on a moving vehicle using Wi-Fi (802.11b), they faced a number of challenges like 1) transmission range 2) efficient channel handover mechanism 3) high data transfer rate 4) persistent connection and 5) wire equivalent security for suitable applications. Richard et al. 2006, have raised many other issues with respect to the performance of Wi-Fi (802.11b) based internet connection on moving vehicles which includes 1) automation of connection and authentication process 2) application level most common protocol HTTP wherein multi round trips traits needs to be addressed because most web pages required multiple transactions to complete a request, leading to under-use of transfer opportunities 4) Packet loss rate [17]. Many of these challenges like authentication & association, RF range, Signal Interference, High Data transfer rate, Wire equivalent security, have been adequately addressed in DSRC. Depending upon use of applications various challenges [18, 19] exist using 802.11 based network. One of the challenges to VANETS is the so called grey-zone phenomenon. This phenomenon causes poor or intermediate packet reception that most commonly occurs in the realistic vehicular environments. Additionally, these phenomena should be considered as the normal case for VANET, thereby requiring error-compensation instruments at higher-layers. The other general challenges are Limited transmission capacity, Discontinuous coverage and Vehicles unsystematically arriving/leaving the area. Following Table 1 is the list of a few challenges that exist with respect to Transport Layer TCP and network layer IP over DSRC and other generic challenges on 802.11 based vehicular wireless network.

| Standard      | Frequency Band in GHz | Speed in Mbps | Indoor Signal Range in Mtr. | Approximate Outdoor Signal Range in Mtr. | Mobility support in km/h | Suitable for Outdoor Network |
|---------------|-----------------------|---------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Wi-Fi 802.11a | 5.1-5.8               | 25-54         | 30                          | 45                                      | 40-120                   | Low                           |
| Wi-Fi 802.11b | 2.4-2.5               | 11            | 30                          | 100                                     | 40-150                   | Low                           |
| Wi-Fi 802.11g | 2.4                   | 6-54          | 35                          | 140                                     | 40-120                   | Low                           |
| Wi-Fi 802.11n | 2.4/5                 | 2.54          | 70                          | 250                                     | 40-120                   | Low                           |
| DSRC 802.11p  | 5.8-5.9               | 3-27          | 30                          | 1000                                    | 40-150                   | High                          |
| MBWA 802.20   | 3.5                   | 80            | NR                          | 15                                      | 100-250                  | High                          |
| WiMax 802.16  | 2.3, 2.5, 3.5         | 1-3Gbps       | NR                          | ≈50km                                   | 60-250                   | High                          |
| Bluetooth 802.15.1 | ISM band 2.4-2.48 | 1-24          | 10                          | 100                                     | 20-30                    | Very low                      |
| Infrared GPS  | 835-1035 nm           | 1-2           | 100                         | 250                                     | Medium                   | Medium                        |
| Cellular      | Operator Dependent    | 384 kbps-2    | NR                          | 3000                                    | 100-50                   | High                          |

Table 1. Physical network standard overview

In this work the author propose an efficient environmental model such Rural (R), Highway (H) and Urban (U) for V2I environment considering the environmental factor. The proposed RHU model is incorporated into the Slotted-Aloha in IEEE 802.11p MAC protocols, which served as a spine for catering Safety application and Non-Safety applications. The 802.11p also known as DSRC/WAVE technologies support low latency V2I communication.

The paper organization is as follows: The literature survey is presented in Section two. The proposed environmental model considering environmental factor are presented in Section three. The results and the experimental study are presented in the section four. The concluding remark is discussed in the last section.

2. Literature Survey

Every technology has some strength and weakness in terms of reliability, effectiveness, efficiency, cost, maintenance and ease of use. The primary objective of almost all the vehicular
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wireless technology is to provide safety. The architecture of DSRC is so defined that it is suitable for safety as well as non-safety applications. Various researches have been conducted on DSRC technology and confirmed its effectiveness. According to vehicular wireless technology comparison depicted in Table 1, it is evident that DSRC is most suitable in terms of cost, data transfer rate and signal range. DSRC works on Wi-Fi pattern and there are various previous researches made on 802.11 based infotainment based services. Jorg Ott and Dirk Kutscher [16], [20] experimented with V2X communication using IEEE 802.11b. They found 802.11b supports persistent Internet connection on slow moving vehicles with high data rate. It has the capability to intermittently connect on fast moving vehicles at the approximate speed of 40-180 km/h with data rate suitable for applications which does not require continuous connection like sending an email message, browsing a web page etc. Sporadic connection has several intricacies in accessing applications which require continuous session like VOIP, video streaming, remote database access, file access etc. Both they empirically showed a vehicle moving at the velocity of 180km/h has about 10 seconds of persistent connection to single pass through AP. They managed to transmit 9 MB of data in single pass through Connectivity Island with single AP which confirm the feasibility of internet using 802.11b on moving vehicle even at the speed of 180 km/h. The most common Internet protocol suite TCP and UDP has been tested in various scenarios and found TCP outperform UDP in a moving vehicle. Though internet has successfully been tested, yet many issues remain to be addressed. In [21] Cooperative Vehicle-Infrastructure Systems (CVIS) equipment’s are used to measure and study the effects of the 802.11p communication channel in various environments. Measurements are carried out based on the packet transacted data and the received signal strength indicator (RSSI). The results presented in the paper show the effects of the environments on Packet Loss Rate and Network throughputs.

The effects of vehicle mobility speeds are not clearly described in the research work presented in [21]. In [22] the IEEE 802.11p is compared with the IEEE 802.11b for V2V (i.e. Vehicle to Vehicle Networks) networks in different environments. The mobility models and the simulations tools that can be used to simulate VANETS are also discussed. The results presented in this paper prove that the IEEE 802.11p is efficient and out performs the IEEE 802.11b protocol considering safety and non-safety applications operating under the Urban and highway environmental models. The performance comparisons are carried out considering end to end delays, delivery ratios and network throughput. Urban Environment models are complex and difficult to model. The urban model is dependent on the terrain elevations, traffic density, road layouts, vegetation and vehicle types. The study presented in [23] proves this fact. In [23] the V2I field testing results conducted in the city of Bologna, Italy is presented and the communication and measurements results are discussed. A WAVE point coordination function (WPCF) is proposed in [24] for mobility management. The paper highlights the drawbacks of the 802.11p MAC in handling high number of users and high mobility speeds [25] of vehicles in the V2I scenario. In [25] an analytical model for the throughput of the EDCA mechanism in IEEE 802.11p protocol is discussed. The simulation results described in the paper prove the accuracy of the analytical model developed by the authors. The results also prove that the throughput reduces when the mobility speed of the users is high. The authors in [25] have considered generic noise in the analytical model, which is not the case in the real world scenario. There is a need to develop an analytical model for the throughput of the transport layer considering urban, rural and highway conditions.

In [26] the effects of user node or vehicle mobility speeds on network throughput are discussed. The research presented shows throughput degradation when the user node speed is increased. In [26] it is concluded that the user node mobility parameter is important factor to be considered in network performance analysis. Based on the literature review it is clear that the environmental conditions are critical to achieve accurate and acceptable simulation studies.

3. Environmental Modelling in VANET

The author aim to design efficient environmental model such as rural, highway and urban, so that it is well-suited with the IEEE 802.11p protocol that is designed to accommodate the provision of wireless admission in VANET [27], [28]. The proposed environment model is incorporate in to slotted aloha [13, 29] and experiment are conducted for collision and throughput efficiency. The author is intended to design an efficient environment model for V2I.
Here the author consider different environment models such as rural, highway and urban (RHU), where vehicles moves through numerous RSUs placed alongside these environment models and gain access to internet for stipulated session. Let consider that automobile require to transmit a packet when it is in the RSUs coverage range, and pays for channel access attempts. As both the achievable bandwidth and channel contention level fluctuate over session period, the automobile needs to take decision when to transmit packet by considering channel availability, the infotainment applications quality of service necessity, and requirement level of contention in current and forthcoming session slots considering signal degradation of environment factor.

3.1. Proposed RHU Environmental Model for V2I

In this work the author consider a different scenario such as urban, rural and highway environmental model for V2I, where multiple RSUs are set up and they are interconnected through support (spine) network to provide infotainment application facilities to automobiles or end user within their range of coverage. In this work the author focus on a automobile that wants to transmit a packet of size $S_{pkt}$ when it travels through a part of this RHU environment model with a set of RSUs $I = \{1, \ldots, y\}$, where the vehicles pass through the $x^{th}$ RSU before the $y^{th}$ RSU for $x \leq y$ with $x, y \in I$. The author assumes that the $y^{th}$ RSU has a communication range $TR_y$. The author also considers that the automobile is associated to one RSU at a period. If the communication radius of the RSUs is coinciding, then appropriate handover between the RSUs will be done based on [30]. Here the author considers that the RSUs are designed in such a way that any location in this network of RHU environmental model is concealed by an RSU. The proposed model can be extended to consider the situations where the area of coverages of adjacent RSUs is inaccessible from each other.

3.2. RHU Traffic Modelling

Let $\mu$ represent the average number of vehicles passing through a stationary RSU per unit time. Let consider that the number of vehicles passing into this segment of the RHU scenario follows a Poisson method [31] with the mean arrival rate $\mu$. Let $d$ represent the density of vehicle i.e. the number of vehicles per unit distance along the RHU road segment, and $u$ be the mobility speed of the vehicles. From [32], we have

$$\mu = du.$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

The context between the speed $u$ and vehicle density $d$ is represented by the following Equation 2 [25]:

$$u = u_{ffs} \left(1 - \frac{d}{d_{max}}\right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $u_{ffs}$ is the free-flow mobility speed of automobile on the road without any other automobile, and $d_{max}$ is the maximum automobile load.

As we are evaluating the vehicle movement in steady state, all the automobiles within the communication range of RSU are presumed to travel with the identical speedness $u$ in (2). The floor function is represented by $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$. The maximum amount of user automobile that can be put up within the communication area of the $y^{th}$ RSU is represented by:

$$V_{\max, y} = \lfloor 2d_{y, \max} \rfloor, \hspace{1cm} \forall y \in I.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

3.3. RHU Environmental Channel Model

Wireless signal radio propagations are affected from factor such as fading, shadowing, and path loss. Subsequently the communication remoteness among the RSU and the user vehicle differs in the RHU environment, due to signal degradation of path loss the author focus on the foremost effect of channel attenuation for different environmental model. The bandwidth at slot $t$ time is represented as:

$$bw_t = C_{bw} \log_2 \left(1 + \frac{T^p N_0 C_{bw} d_t^\alpha}{1}\right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)
where, \( T^p \) is the transmission power of the user automobile, \( C_{\text{BW}} \) is the network channel data rate, \( d\) is the distance between the closest RSU and the automobile at slot \( t \) time, and \( \gamma \) is the exponent of path loss.

The author considers the power spectral density \( \frac{N_0}{2} \) and zero mean Gaussian noise. The author also considers a scenario with fixed data rate. Since Lognormal shadowing path loss model provides an efficient way in finding path loss receptions compared to the other model that are available such as Rayleigh and Nakagami path loss models for wireless environments, the author have selected it as the channel model [33]. In log-normal environment shadowing path loss model, the signal to noise ratio \( (d)_{dB} \) at a distance \( d \) from the transmitter to the receiver is represented as follows:

\[
\gamma(d)_{dB} = P_t - PL(d_o) - 10\eta\log_{10} \left( \frac{d}{d_o}\right) - X_\sigma - P_n, \tag{5}
\]

where \( PL(d_o) \) signifies the path loss at a reference distance \( d_o \), \( P_t \) signifies the transmission power in dBm, \( \eta \) means the exponential path loss, \( X_\sigma \) represents the noise power in dBm and \( \sigma \) represents a zero mean Gaussian random variable with standard deviation \( \sigma \).

Based on Equation (5) the path loss \( \gamma(d)_{dB} \) obtained for rural environment is 1.79 with standard deviation equal 3.3, for rural environment is 1.61 with standard deviation equal 3.4 and for highway environment is 1.85 with standard deviation equal 3.2. Based on these path loss values for different environment model \( \gamma \) the bandwidth of the destination vehicle is estimated using Equation (4) and thus improving throughput efficiency and reducing packet collision of our model.

The proposed RHU environmental model with bears the closest similarity to our work and is used for comparisons in the experimental study presented here. The comparison of proposed RHU environmental model with its counterparts is summarized in Table 2.

| Table 2. Comparison with other work |
|-------------------------------------|
| **RHU-EM** | **MS-ALOHA** [34] | **OF-VANET** [35] | **SLOP** [29] | **ZCOR** [37] |
| Environmental model used | RHU | urban and highway | Urban street | intelligent driver | Monte Carlo |
| Scheduling Algorithm Used | Slotted aloha | MS-ALOHA | Slotted aloha | Wave-Slotted aloha | R-ALOHA |
| Simulator used | SIMITS | VISSIM | Yes (NA) | YES (NA) | NS-2 simulation |
| MAC USED | 802.11p MAC | 802.11p MAC | Uniform MAC [38] | 802.11p MAC | 802.11e MAC |

4. Results and Analysis

The system environment used is windows 8.1 64-bit operating system with 6GB of ram. The author have used dot net framework 4.0 and C# 6.0 programming language for the proposed work and conducted experimental study on following parameter for throughput efficiency and packet collision for varied number of vehicle users and evaluate the performance of varied environmental model. The authors have considered IEEE 802.11p also known as DSRC/WAVE which has six services channel and one control channel and S-ALOHA as MAC scheduling algorithm. The modulation scheme used is QPSK which has as a transfer rate of 9 Mbps (Megabits per seconds) with coding rate of 0.75 and in experiment 1 the number of vehicle is varied to 10, 20, 30 and 40 and in experiment 2 the mobility speed (it represent number of frames per cycle) is varied 3, 6 and 9 (where 3 represent the maximum speed and 9 represent the minimum speed) and the number of vehicle is fixed to 40 and simulation is conducted for Rural (R), Highway (H) and Urban (U) environmental model.

Experiment 1: Collision, Successful Transmission and Throughput Efficiency Considering Varied Vehicle Density for RHU Environmental Model

In Figure 2 it shows the throughput efficiency for varied user in highway environmental model. Figure 3 shows the throughput efficiency for varied user in rural environmental model. Figure 4 shows the throughput efficiency for varied user in urban environmental model. From all
In Figure 2, 3 and 4 it can be seen that throughput achieved is increased when the number of user vehicle is increased.

In Figure 5 it shows the average throughput achieved by varying user vehicle and its impact on throughput for RHU environmental model. In Figure, 5 it shows that the throughput achieved in urban environment is high when compared to highway and rural model and the throughput achieved in the rural is low when compared to urban and highway model. The average throughput achieved for 10 users for highway environment is about 2.31 Mbps, for rural environment is about 1.52 Mbps and for urban environment is about 2.68 Mbps. The average throughput achieved for 20 users for highway environment is about 4.12 Mbps, for rural environment is about 3.26 Mbps and for urban environment is about 4.42 Mbps. The average throughput achieved for 30 users for highway environment is about 4.82 Mbps, for rural environment is about 4.44 Mbps and for urban environment is about 5.67 Mbps. The average throughput achieved for 40 users for highway environment is about 5.18 Mbps, for rural environment is about 4.72 Mbps and for urban environment is about 6.11 Mbps.

In Figure 6 it show the number of packet transmitted successfully between V2I. The packet transmitted successfully is high in urban model when compared to highway and rural model and packet transmitted successfully is low in rural model when compared to highway and urban model and also we can see that the packet transmission increases with increasing number of users.

In Figure 7 it show the number of packet collision in V2I. The packet collision is high in rural model when compared to highway and urban model, packet collision is low in urban model when compared to highway and rural model and also we can see that the packet collision increases with increasing number of users.
Experiment 2: Collision, Successful Transmission and Throughput Efficiency Considering Varied Mobility Speed for RHU Environmental Model

In Figure 8 it shows the average throughput achieved by varying vehicle mobility (cycle per frame) speed and its impact on throughput for RHU environmental model. In Figure 8 it shows that the average throughput achieved in urban environment is high when compared to highway and rural model and the average throughput achieved in the rural is low when compared to urban and highway model. The average throughput for achieved 3 cycles per frame for highway environment is about 4.758 Mbps, for rural environment is about 4.37 Mbps and for urban environment is about 5.814 Mbps. The average throughput achieved for 6 cycles per frame for highway environment is about 4.988 Mbps, for rural environment is about 4.523 Mbps and for urban environment is about 5.869 Mbps. The average throughput achieved for 9 cycles per frame for highway environment is about 5.001 Mbps, for rural environment is about 5.001 Mbps and for urban environment is about 6.283 Mbps. From simulation result obtain we can see that throughput efficiency depend on mobility speed of user vehicle as we can see when speed is maximum (3 cycle per frame) the throughput achieved is low and when speed is minimum (9 cycle per frame) the throughput achieved is high for all RHU environment model which is shown in Figure 8.

In Figure 9 it show the number of packet transmitted successfully between V2I for varied cycle per frame (mobility). The packet transmitted successfully is high in urban model when compared to highway and rural model and packet transmitted successfully is low in rural model when compared to highway and urban model and we can see that the packet transmission increases with increasing in mobility speed.

In Figure 10 it show the number of packet collision in V2I for varied cycle per frame (mobility). The packet collision is high in rural model when compared to highway and urban model and packet collision is low in urban model when compared to highway and rural model and also we can see that the packet collision increases with increasing mobility speed.
5. Conclusion

Provide The SITS has been lately engrossed by industry as well as academic world since it has the prospective to save environment, money, lives and travel time. A VANET is a vital element of ITS which uses various communication standards in order to provision services for V2I and V2V. In VANET choosing the right communication standard is an important factor since it defines the data rate, range of transmission and secureness. The existing scheduling technique does not consider the environmental factor which affects the throughput performance and increases packet drop rate which intern incur packet delay. Here in this work the author propose an efficient V2I environment model considering the environmental factor. The efficient environmental model algorithm is incorporated into slotted aloha in IEEE 802.11p MAC protocols which served as a spine for catering safety application and non-Safety applications. The 802.11p also known as DSRC/WAVE technologies support low latency V2I communication. Experiments are conducted for collision and throughput efficiency for different environment model such as rural, urban and highway and also by varying traffic load and by varying mobility of user vehicle. The author studied impact of speed and traffic load on V2I scenario on throughput efficiency and collision which is an important factor of V2I application services. The experimental result shows the proposed environmental model for S-ALOHA and its impact on collision and throughput efficiency and thus helps in improving QoS for VANET application. In future work The author propose a new novel adaptive mac scheduler for varied environmental model proposed in this work to reduce the collision which is an issue in S-ALOHA and improve the throughput efficiency by considering various OFDM modulation scheme such BPSK and QAM that are supported for IEEE 802.11p Protocoldiscussion).
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