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A B S T R A C T

Background: Lockdown has been one of the major worldwide strategies to control the spread of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). Its consequences on the well-being of individuals needs to be better understood. The objective of this work was to evaluate the impact of lockdown on the well-being of a general population and the factors associated with this potential impairment of well-being in a population that has been only lightly affected by COVID-19 such as in Reunion island, an overseas French department.

Methods: An online survey was proposed to the population of Reunion Island between the 35th and 54th days of lockdown relative to pre- and per-lockdown periods. Well-being was measured by the 5-item World Health Organization Well-Being Index, with some questions about sleep habits (Pittsburgh questionnaire), weekly physical activity (IPAQ), health, and lifestyle.

Results: Four hundred volunteers answered the survey. They reported a 15.7% decrease in well-being (p < 0.001), accompanied by increased anxiety (p < 0.001), decreased weekly physical activity (p < 0.001), delayed and poorer quality sleep (p < 0.001). Multivariate logistical analysis showed that impairment in well-being during lockdown was independently associated with an increase in anxiety (odds ratio (OR): 4.77 (3.26–6.98), p < 0.001), decrease in weekly physical activity (OR: 0.58 (0.43–0.79), p < 0.001), and poor-quality sleep (OR: 0.29 (0.19–0.43), p < 0.001).

Conclusions: This study suggested an impairment in well-being during lockdown, associated with anxiety, lack of physical activity and sleep disruptions. Public policies must consider these factors as levers for improving the well-being of the population in order to effectively combat the spread of COVID-19.

© 2020 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

In the absence of effective treatment for coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), lockdown appeared as an effective strategy to control its spread [1]. The French government applied strict lockdown measures for 54 days, reducing the spread of the disease in France, COVID-19 hospitalizations and deaths [2]. Mental health problems are one of the main causes of disease burden in the world [3], and the lockdown has short- and long-term negative psychological effects [4]. It is therefore essential to know how to limit its deleterious effects.

People who were isolated for two weeks due to contact with MERS patients suffered from high rates of anxiety symptoms and anger during isolation, and showed mental health effects even at four to six months after removal from isolation.

Mental health could be defined as a state of well-being [5,6]. During COVID-19 lockdown, where daily rhythms are disrupted and freedom of movement and assembly are limited, physical inactivity [7], stress [8] and sleep disturbances [9] can be a source of mental discomfort. In France, freedom of movement has been limited to basic necessities, essential medical appointments, and professional travel that cannot be replaced by teleworking [10]. Individual outside physical activity (PA) was still possible within the limit of 1 h daily and within a maximum 1-km area around the home. A clear understanding of the impact of COVID-19 lockdown effects could provide a lever to improve the well-being and improve effectiveness of lockdown and public policies against COVID-19 spread.

Accordingly, the objective of this work was to investigate the impact of lockdown and its related factors on well-being in the general population. We hypothesized that sleep habits and weekly
physical activity would contribute to well-being impairment during lockdown.

2. Methods

2.1. Study sample

An online survey was proposed to the adult population (age ≥ 18 y) of Reunion island between the 35th and 54th days of lockdown. Four hundred volunteers answered the online survey. This study was applied according to the rules of the French Data Protection Authority (CNIL) and all subjects gave their written informed consent to participate.

2.2. Online survey

Responders were asked to answer all questions applying to himself/herself twice, when considering the last month before and during the lockdown. The 5-item World Health Organization Well-Being (WHO-5) index was proposed to participants to measure their subjective well-being. The overall WHO-5 score was rated from 0 (absence of well-being) to 100 (maximal well-being) [6]. This questionnaire has presented a good internal consistency, with a Cronbach coefficient alpha of 0.84 in the general population [11].

Six items of the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI) were selected and included one question about sleep quality, one about sleep latency, and those about sleep duration, bedtime and morning awakening [12]. This questionnaire has shown an adequate internal consistency (Cronbach coefficient alpha of 0.69) [13]. Responders were also asked if they frequently felt anxious, using a scale ranging from never to always (including 6 levels). The level of PA during work and leisure was investigated using selected questions from the International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ) [14]. These questions evaluated the duration and frequency of moderate and intense PAs. Energy expenditure was assumed to correspond to 4 METs/min for moderate PA and 6 METs/min for intense PA [14,15]. Sociodemographic and lifestyle information were collected.

2.3. Statistical analyses

All statistical analyses were performed using Statview® software (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC, USA).

Answers concerning the before and during lockdown periods were compared using two-sided Wilcoxon, two-sided repeated-measures analyses of variance (RM-ANOVA), or Pearson’s two-sided $\chi^2$ test when appropriate. Effect size was calculated based on eta squared for the parametric variables, Cramer’s V for the nominal variables, and the ratio z to the square root of the sample for the nonparametric variables.

Adjusted multivariate logistic regression analyses were performed to explain overall WHO-5 indexes in quartiles, expressed as odds ratio (OR) and using a 95% confidence interval (95%CI), including as independent variables: body mass index, work status under lockdown, age, sex, presence of chronic disease, changes in anxiety, sleep, and PA during lockdown. Significance was set at $p < 0.05$.

3. Results

Out of all responders (233 women, age: 29.8 ± 11.5 y), none of them reported being infected by COVID-19. A majority (362, 90.5%) were working or students before lockdown. During lockdown, 198 (61%) worked at home, 40 (11.0%) worked at their usual workplace, 15 (4.2%) worked at both, and 73 (20.2%) lost their activity. The majority of responders (393 subjects, 98.3%) reported a good health prior to lockdown. Sixty-eight responders reported having chronic

### Table 1

Changes in anxiety, weekly physical activity, sleep habits and quality before and during COVID-19 lockdown.

|                  | Before Lock-down | During Lock-down | Difference | Effect size | P   |
|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------|-------------|-----|
| 5-WHO well-being index (/100) | 70.9 ± 17.0 | 55.2 ± 21.0 | −15.7 | 0.30 | <0.001 |
| Sleep duration (h) | 7.5 ± 1.2 | 7.8 ± 1.7 | −0.3 | 0.04 | <0.001 |
| Bedtime (h) | 22.5 ± 1.0 | 24.2 ± 1.9 | −1.7 | 0.49 | <0.001 |
| Morning awakening (h) | 6.7 ± 1.6 | 8.7 ± 2.2 | 2.0 | 0.45 | <0.001 |
| Nap duration (min) | 1.2 ± 0.6 | 1.7 ± 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.29 | <0.001 |
| Overall physical activity (METs-min/week) | 2466 ± 1853 | 1788 ± 1558 | −678 | 0.14 | <0.001 |
| Moderate physical activity (METs-min/week) | 709 ± 621 | 614 ± 589 | −95 | 0.03 | <0.001 |
| Intense physical activity (METs-min/week) | 1757 ± 1507 | 1174 ± 1261 | −583 | 0.16 | <0.001 |

|                  | Median | Interquartile range | Median | Interquartile range | Difference | Effect size | P   |
|------------------|--------|---------------------|--------|---------------------|------------|-------------|-----|
| Sitting time (h) | 4.5 | 3.0 | 7.5 | 8.0 | 3.0 | 0.58 | <0.001 |
| Sleep latency (min) | 20 | 30 | 30 | 45 | 10 | 0.54 | <0.001 |

|                  | n (%) | n (%) | Difference | Effect size | P   |
|------------------|-------|-------|------------|-------------|-----|
| Nap frequency    |       |       |            |             |     |
| never            | 184   | 46.0  | 185 46.2   | 1 0.2       | 0.36 | <0.001 |
| sometimes        | 195   | 48.8  | 155 38.8   | −40 −10.0   | 0.36 | <0.001 |
| Everyday         | 21    | 5.2   | 60 15.0    | 39 9.8      | 0.36 | <0.001 |

|                  |       |       |            |             |     |
| Anxiety          |       |       |            |             |     |
| never            | 80    | 20.0  | 55 13.8    | −25 −6.2    | 0.36 | <0.001 |
| sometimes        | 202   | 50.5  | 200 50.0   | −2 −0.5     | 0.36 | <0.001 |
| Often            | 99    | 24.8  | 113 28.2   | 14 3.4      |     |
| Always           | 19    | 4.7   | 32 8.0     | 13 3.3      |     |

|                  |       |       |            |             |     |
| Sleep quality    |       |       |            |             |     |
| very bad         | 8     | 2.0   | 101 25.2   | 93 23.2     | 0.22 | <0.001 |
| fairly bad       | 82    | 20.5  | 120 30.0   | 38 9.5      |     |
| fairly good      | 230   | 57.5  | 92 23.0    | −138 −34.5  |     |
| Very good        | 80    | 20.0  | 87 21.8    | 7 1.8       |     |
diseases including respiratory, cardiovascular, cancer, or metabolic diseases.

The analysis revealed a decrease in overall WHO-5 score by 15.7% (Table 1), greater anxiety scores, and significant changes in sleep habits during lockdown: the responders went to bed later, fell asleep later and woke up later in the morning. They also reported longer night sleep with more frequent and longer naps, but with a decrease in sleep quality. There was an increase in time spent in the sitting position, a decrease in weekly PA, a slight decrease in moderate weekly PA, and a higher decrease in intense weekly PA.

Those reporting the highest decrease in well-being (fourth and third quartiles) also reported the highest decrease in their total (p < 0.05), moderate (p < 0.05) and intense (p < 0.05) weekly PAs (Fig. 1A). They also reported the greatest impairments in anxiety (p < 0.05), sleep quality (p < 0.05), sleep latency (p < 0.05), and morning awakening (p < 0.05) (Fig. 1B). Adjusted multivariate logistical analyses revealed that the impairment in well-being in those individuals was independently associated with age, a reduction in weekly PA, an increase in anxiety, and a poor sleep quality (Table 2).

4. Discussion

The current study sought to study the effect of lockdown on the mental health of the Reunion island population. Our results suggested a deep impairment in well-being during lockdown, associated with several independent factors including age, anxiety, lack of PA and disrupted sleep.

Mental health has both positive and negative dimensions: the positive dimension refers to notions of well-being and coping skills; while the negative one includes psychological distress and psychiatric disorders [6]. Thus, mental health is not considered as only the absence of depression/anxiety symptoms but also as some degree of psychological well-being [11]. Moreover, a subjective definition of well-being has the quality of being correlated with both economic [5] and neurobiological aspects [16]. Studying mental well-being by the WHO-5 index is a strategy for understanding what individuals experience. Two thresholds are considered: a threshold ≤50 is used to detect patients suffering from depression and a change in threshold of 10 points on the WHO-5 scale marks a clinical change [6]. Here, a major decrease in the WHO-5 scores seemed to appear during lockdown (Fig. 1A), exceeding these thresholds were 131 (32%) responders who reported a WHO-5 score <50 (vs. 77 (19%) before lockdown).

Accordingly, a recent Chinese study showed that COVID-19 lockdown affected the psychological health of the population in Hubei province, including more depression, anxiety and impaired well-being [17]. More generally, previous studies on isolation have shown short- and long-term negative psychosocial effects [4], mainly following isolation in response to severe acute respiratory
syndrome in 2003. Consistent with COVID-19 lockdown observations, these studies mainly described a high prevalence of various symptoms of psychological distress and negative responses, including fear, sadness, emotional disturbance, depression, stress, low mood, irritability, or anger [4]. In the long term, these subjects were at risk to report anxiety, alcohol abuse or dependency and post-traumatic stress symptoms [18].

Sedentary behaviors expose populations to well-known risks related to insufficient PA, including cardiovascular or psychological risks [19]. Here, we observed that responders reported a decrease in their weekly overall, moderate and intense PAs (Fig. 1B); which was also independently correlated with a deterioration in their weekly overall, moderate and intense PAs (Fig. 1B); which was also independently correlated with a deterioration in their well-being (Table 1). These results are consistent with two recent studies about COVID-19 lockdown in Portugal [20] and Belgium [21], in which both reported a decrease in energy expenditure during COVID-19 lockdown. We can therefore think that the lack of PA is a determining factor in the well-being under lockdown.

Sleep habits were previously proposed as lifestyle factors that could be an important component of mental health during lockdown [22]. We observed that most individuals reported longer and shifted sleeps. This shift in the sleep habits was associated with impaired well-being in our study (Fig. 1C, Table 1). This result is consistent with longitudinal, transversal or experimental studies revealing that reduced or disrupted sleep is a risk factor for depression and anxiety [23]. Changes in the duration or timing of light exposure, the lack of PA, and absence or disruptions of socioprofessional synchronizers have the potential to desynchronize circadian rhythms, affect mood [24], and finally contribute to the impairment of well-being. Thus, it is reasonable to suppose that disruption of circadian rhythms would contribute to impairment in sleep quality and well-being.

Taken together, these results suggest that measures and advice to promote PA at home or outside, as was the case in France (1 h/d and close to home), or advice on sleep hygiene could improve the well-being of populations during lockdown. Finally, it can be thought that improving the well-being of individuals during lockdown may allow them to better comply with the rules of the lockdown and may help to better fight against the spread of COVID-19.

There are several limitations of the present study. The relatively small sample size may limit to the generalizability of these results. However, these results are consistent with previously published studies [17,20,21]. The study population was relatively young, although age has been found to be an important factor in well-being impairment in this population. Thus, the possibility emerges that older populations may have a greater impairment in well-being than has been reported in the current study and previous ones. This issue needs to be explored in future work.

## 5. Conclusion

In an anxiety provoking context such as COVID-19 lockdown, limitation of PAs and sleep disturbances could contribute to an alteration in well-being, reaching clinical threshold in a large proportion of responders. The public policies put in place must consider these factors as levers for improving the well-being of the population in order to effectively combat the spread of COVID-19.
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**Table 2**

Odds ratios (OR) for change in well-being with the COVID-19 containment according to WHO-5 score quartiles (95% confidence interval, 95% CI).

|                          | Crude OR (95% CI) | p     | Adjusted OR (95% CI) | p     |
|--------------------------|------------------|-------|----------------------|-------|
| **Age**                  |                  |       |                      |       |
| 1st quartile             | 1                |       | 1                    |       |
| 2nd quartile             | 1.02 (1.00–1.04) | 0.114 | 1.02 (0.98–1.05)     | 0.367 |
| 3rd quartile             | 1.04 (1.02–1.07) | <0.001| 1.04 (1.01–1.08)     | 0.020 |
| 4th quartile             | 1.07 (1.04–1.10) | <0.001| 1.07 (1.02–1.12)     | 0.003 |
| **Changes in bedtime**   |                  |       |                      |       |
| 1st quartile             | 1                |       | 1                    |       |
| 2nd quartile             | 1.18 (0.82–1.70) | 0.381 | 0.90 (0.71–1.12)     | 0.336 |
| 3rd quartile             | 1.30 (0.94–1.81) | 0.113 | 1.09 (0.88–1.36)     | 0.424 |
| 4th quartile             | 1.60 (1.18–2.18) | 0.002 | 1.17 (0.92–1.50)     | 0.205 |
| **Changes in sleep duration** |              |       |                      |       |
| 1st quartile             | 1                |       | 1                    |       |
| 2nd quartile             | 0.99 (0.84–1.18) | 0.950 | 1.08 (0.84–1.38)     | 0.549 |
| 3rd quartile             | 1.01 (0.85–1.18) | 0.965 | 1.15 (0.92–1.44)     | 0.222 |
| 4th quartile             | 0.87 (0.74–1.03) | 0.104 | 1.06 (0.83–1.35)     | 0.610 |
| **Changes in sleep latency** |             |       |                      |       |
| 1st quartile             | 1                |       | 1                    |       |
| 2nd quartile             | 0.95 (0.79–1.16) | 0.630 | 1.00 (0.99–1.01)     | 0.678 |
| 3rd quartile             | 1.29 (1.01–1.42) | 0.035 | 1.00 (0.99–1.00)     | 0.275 |
| 4th quartile             | 1.39 (1.15–1.64) | <0.001| 1.00 (0.99–1.01)     | 0.449 |
| **Changes in sleep quality** |              |       |                      |       |
| 1st quartile             | 1                |       | 1                    |       |
| 2nd quartile             | 0.60 (0.46–0.79) | <0.001| 0.58 (0.41–0.81)     | 0.001 |
| 3rd quartile             | 0.43 (0.32–0.57) | <0.001| 0.40 (0.28–0.57)     | <0.001|
| 4th quartile             | 0.29 (0.21–0.40) | <0.001| 0.29 (0.19–0.43)     | <0.001|
| **Changes in physical activity** |          |       |                      |       |
| 1st quartile             | 1                |       | 1                    |       |
| 2nd quartile             | 0.74 (0.59–1.94) | 0.011 | 0.72 (0.55–0.94)     | 0.015 |
| 3rd quartile             | 0.68 (0.55–0.85) | <0.001| 0.68 (0.52–0.89)     | 0.005 |
| 4th quartile             | 0.57 (0.46–0.71) | <0.001| 0.58 (0.43–0.79)     | <0.001|
| **Changes in anxiety**   |                  |       |                      |       |
| 1st quartile             | 1                |       | 1                    |       |
| 2nd quartile             | 1.65 (1.27–2.14) | <0.001| 1.90 (1.38–2.63)     | <0.001|
| 3rd quartile             | 2.31 (1.75–3.05) | <0.001| 2.95 (2.09–4.17)     | <0.001|
| 4th quartile             | 3.61 (2.68–4.86) | <0.001| 4.77 (3.26–6.98)     | <0.001|

Adjustment for sex, age, body mass index, chronic disease, and work status during lockdown, plus all variables presented in the Table. It can be noted that age, physical activity, sleep quality and well-being are independently associated with impairment of individual well-being.

Significant quartiles are shown in bold.
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