Discovery of a highly selective VEGFR2 kinase inhibitor CHMFL-VEGFR2-002 as a novel anti-angiogenesis agent
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Abstract Angiogenesis is an essential process in tumor growth, invasion and metastasis. VEGF receptor 2 (VEGFR2) inhibitors targeting tumor angiogenic pathway have been widely used in the clinical cancer treatment. However, most of currently used VEGFR2 kinase inhibitors are multi-target inhibitors which might result in target-associated side effects and therefore limited clinical toleration. Highly selective VEGFR inhibitors are still highly demanded from both basic research and clinical application point of view. Here we report the discovery and characterization of a novel VEGFR2 inhibitor (CHMFL-VEGFR2-002), which exhibited high selectivity among structurally closed kinases including PDGFRs, FGFRs, CSF1R, etc. CHMFL-VEGFR2-002 displayed potent inhibitory activity against VEGFR2 kinase in the biochemical assay (IC50 = 66 nmol/L) and VEGFR2 autophosphorylation in cells (EC50 100 nmol/L) as well as potent anti-proliferation effect against VEGFR2 transformed BaF3 cells.
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1. Introduction

Angiogenesis, the formation of new blood vessels from preexisting vasculature, is one of the hallmarks of cancerous growth\(^1,2\). When new blood vessels grow in tumors, they provide tumors with essential nutrients and oxygen to sustain tumor growth\(^3\). Tumor angiogenesis is also an essential process for tumor invasion and metastasis\(^4\). So anti-angiogenesis is one of the main strategies for treatment of cancers\(^\). Among the many of the angiogenic factors, vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) has been identified as the most critical factor in regulating normal physiological and tumor angiogenesis\(^6,7\). The pro-angiogenic signaling molecule VEGF comprises seven secreted glycoproteins due to splicing variation, and VEGFR receptors include VEGFR-1 (Flt1), VEGFR-2 (KDR), VEGFR-3 (Flt4) and the neuropilins (NP-1 and -2) with different functions in various cell types\(^8\). VEGF and its receptors induce proliferation, migration, and cell survival through VEGF-induced signaling in endothelial cells\(^9\). Among them, receptor VEGFR2 is mainly responsible for cell proliferation, vascular permeability, cell migration, and cell survival through VEGF-induced signaling in endothelial cells\(^10\).

Therefore, VEGFR2 is considered as an important therapeutic target for anti-angiogenesis therapy in most solid tumors. U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has already approved anti-VEGFR2 agents for the treatment of multiple tumors\(^7,11\). Several orally active small-molecule tyrosine kinase inhibitors of VEGFR2 are now in clinical use such as sunitinib\(^12\), sorafenib\(^13\), apatinib\(^14\), lenvatinib\(^15\), tivozanib\(^16\), etc. In addition, many candidate drugs were tested in clinical trials, such as lucitanib\(^17\), vatalanib\(^18\), etc. VEGFR2 inhibitors have become an important arsenal in the treatment of various types of cancers, including renal cell carcinoma (RCC), gastrointestinal stromal tumor (GIST), hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) and leukemia. However, serious side effects associated with currently available anti-VEGF agents limited their long-term usage\(^19,20\), and there is growing evidence that sunitinib causes abnormalities in thyroid function\(^21-23\). In addition, some multi-target VEGFR2 inhibitors have been found to have myelosuppression side effects\(^24\). Therefore, new VEGFR2 inhibitors with safer treatment window are still in great demand.

In this study, to achieve less toxicity of VEGFR2 inhibitors, we discovered a new highly selective VEGFR2 inhibitor CHMFL-VEGFR2-002 by high-throughput screening of our in-house generated small molecule library. CHMFL-VEGFR2-002 displayed potent and specific inhibition of VEGFR2 kinase activity\(^\) in vitro\(^\) and\(^\) in vivo\(^\), suggesting that this compound might become a potential angiogenesis inhibitor and a tool for studying VEGFR2.

2. Result and discussion

2.1. CHMFL-VEGFR2-002 inhibits VEGFR2 kinase activity in vitro

To discover a highly selective VEGFR2 inhibitor, we screened a house-made kinase inhibitor library using VEGFR2-transformed BaF3 cells (Supporting Information Table S1) and we found CHMFL-VEGFR2-002 as a potent VEGFR2 inhibitor. The synthetic route of CHMFL-VEGFR2-002 was shown in Scheme 1. To characterize the activity of CHMFL-VEGFR2-002 against VEGFR2 kinase, we expressed VEGFR2 protein and performed kinase inhibition assays. As shown in Fig. 1A, CHMFL-VEGFR2-002 inhibited VEGFR2 kinases with high potency (IC\(_{50}\) = 66 nmol/L). In BaF3 cell lines, CHMFL-VEGFR2-002...
was highly potent for inhibition of the proliferation of Tel-VEGFR2-BaF3 cells (GI$_{50}$ = 150 nmol/L, Fig. 1B). In order to further confirm the on-target effect of CHMFL-VEGFR2-002, we then examined its effect for the blockage of VEGFR2 autophosphorylation in VEGFR2 transformed BaF3 isogenic cell lines. The results demonstrated that upon 2 h of CHMFL-VEGFR2-002 treatment, the phosphorylation of VEGFR2 at Y951, Y996, Y1059 and Y1175 residues was significantly inhibited in TEL-VEGFR2-BaF3 cells (EC$_{50}$ = 137, 143, 116 and 167 nmol/L, respectively,Fig. 1C). Therefore, CHMFL-VEGFR2-002 can effectively inhibit the VEGFR2 signaling pathway. In addition, we further detected the inhibition of CHMFL-VEGFR2-002 on VEGFR2 through the Cellular Thermal Shift Assay, the results showed that it could significantly stabilize the protein with increasing temperature (from 39.4 to 50 $^\circ$C, Fig. 1D). In order to further understand the detailed binding mechanism, we then docked CHMFL-VEGFR-002 into the X-ray structure of VEGFR2 kinase (PDB ID: 4AG8). The results showed that the compound adopted a typical type II binding mode with VEGFR2 kinase, which is similar to sunitinib$^{23}$. The indazole amine moiety of compound formed three hydrogen bonds with Cys919 and Glu917 in the hinge binding area. Two canonical hydrogen bonds were formed between the methyl benzamide moiety and the Glu885 and Asp1046 (Fig. 1E).

In addition, to further understand the better activity of CHMFL-VEGFR2-002 over other compounds screened as listed in Table S1, we then chose three representative compounds including compounds 2, 10 and 14 to dock them into VEGFR2 kinase (Supporting Information Fig. S4). The results showed that all of these compounds could adopt a type II binding mode which is similar to CHMFL-VEGFR2-002. The data also demonstrated that there were three key elements which contributed to the binding capability including the hydrogen bonds in the hinge binding area (Cys919 and Glu917), gatekeeper region hydrophobic pocket, and the DFG-out region hydrophobic pocket. Comparing to CHMFL-VEGFR2-002, all of compounds 2, 10 and 14 lost one of the hydrogen bonds in the hinge binding region (Fig. S4A, C and E). In addition, compounds 10 and 14 lost the hydrophobic pocket interaction comparing to compound 2 and CHMFL-VEGFR2-002 (Fig. S4B, D, F and G). In the DFG-out region hydrophobic pocket, compounds 10 and 14 provided more hydrophobic interaction than compounds 2 and CHMFL-VEGFR2-002 (Fig. S4H). Combining all of these factors together, the more key hydrogen bonds in the hinge binding area and hydrophobic interaction in the gatekeeper region of kinase possibly gave CHMFL-VEGFR2-002 better potency than other screened compounds.

2.2. CHMFL-VEGFR2-002 is a highly selective inhibitor for VEGFR2

VEGFR kinase family comprises of three members, including VEGFR1, VEGFR2, and VEGFR3. To see whether our compound achieved selectivity among the three kinases, we examined its anti-proliferation effects on VEGFR-transformed BaF3 cells. The data showed that CHMFL-VEGFR2-002 selectively inhibited
TEL-VEGFR2-BaF3 (GI50 = 150 nmol/L), but not TEL-VEGFR1/3-BaF3 (GI50 > 10,000 nmol/L). In addition, since VEGFR2 shares high sequence similarity with other receptor kinases, including RET, FGFR, CSF1R and PDGFRα/β, and most of the current VEGFR inhibitors often target these kinases, we tested the compound in a panel of kinase transformed BaF3 cells. The results revealed that it was highly selective among 18 kinases (Fig. 2A and Table 1) compared with sunitinib. Besides VEGFR2, it also displayed efficacy against PDGFRα (GI50 = 620 nmol/L) and PDGFRβ (GI50 = 618 nmol/L). To confirm its effects on PDGFR kinases, we also examined the phosphorylation of PDGFRα on TEL-PDGFRα-BaF3 cells (EC50 = 720 nmol/L) and phosphorylation of PDGFRβ on TEL-PDGFRβ-BaF3 cells (EC50 = 437 nmol/L), which showed that CHMFL-VEGFR2-002 potently inhibited VEGFR2 with 4–7-fold selectivity over PDGFRα and PDGFRβ (Fig. 2B). Collectively, these results illustrated that CHMFL-VEGFR2-002 is a highly selective VEGFR2 inhibitor.

2.3. CHMFL-VEGFR2-002 inhibited VEGF-induced angiogenesis in vitro

HUVEC is commonly used as a cell model for angiogenesis study. To see if our compound could inhibit VEGFR2 kinase activity, we treated HUVEC cells with CHMFL-VEGFR2-002, and the results showed that VEGFR2 was phosphorylated in the DMSO group and 0.3 μmol/L of CHMFL-VEGFR2-002 diminished VEGFR2 phosphorylation (Fig. 3A). The results from in vitro capillary tube formation showed that, compared with the intense capillary tube networks formed by HUVEC plated onto BD Matrigel in the control group, treatment of the cells with CHMFL-VEGFR2-002 at 3 μmol/L induced significant reduction in the total branch lengths of tubular network structures and the formation of new tubes decreased in a concentration-dependent manner (Fig. 3B).

To see whether CHMFL-VEGFR2-002 affects VEGF-induced migration of HUVEC cells, we performed transwell invasion assays and the results showed that CHMFL-VEGFR2-002

| Anti-proliferation activity of CHMFL-VEGFR2-002 in BaF3 cell lines. |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Cell line               | CHMFL-VEGFR2-002 GI50 (nmol/L) | Sunitinib GI50 (nmol/L) |
| BaF3                    | >10,000                  | 2560                    |
| TEL-VEGFR2-BaF3         | 150                      | 5                       |
| TEL-PDGFRα-BaF3         | 620                      | 1                       |
| TEL-PDGFRβ-BaF3         | 618                      | <1                      |
| TEL-VEGFR1-BaF3         | 9900                     | 350                     |
| TEL-VEGFR3-BaF3         | >10,000                  | 570                     |
| TEL-cKIT-BaF3           | >10,000                  | 81                      |
| TEL-ABL-BaF3            | >10,000                  | 110                     |
| P210-BaF3               | >10,000                  | 1550                    |
| TEL-ABL-BaF3            | >10,000                  | 1460                    |

Table 1 Anti-proliferation activity of CHMFL-VEGFR2-002 in BaF3 cell lines.
suppressed the direct migration of HUVEC cells (Fig. 3C). In addition, data from wound-healing assay showed apparent migration in untreated HUVEC cells after 12 h, but the treatment of CHMFL-VEGFR2-002 and sunitinib caused less migrated HUVEC cells across the plates. The inhibition of migration in HUVEC cells by CHMFL-VEGFR2-002 was dose-dependent (Fig. 3D). These data showed that CHMFL-VEGFR2-002 can inhibit endothelial cell migration, invasion and tube formation in vitro, which are important steps in angiogenesis.

2.4. Pharmacokinetics of CHMFL-VEGFR2-002

To evaluate the efficacy of CHMFL-VEGFR2-002 in animal models, we first examined the pharmacokinetic profile of CHMFL-VEGFR2-002. With 10 mg/kg oral administration, the plasma concentrations \(C_{\text{max}}\) peaked within 30 min \(T_{\text{max}}\) suggesting a rapid entrance of the drug into the systemic circulation. The plasma concentrations then declined with an effective half time \(t_{1/2}\) of 2.9 h for CHMFL-VEGFR2-002. The AUC\(_{0-\text{inf}}\) (area under the curve) was 2265.7 ± 692.9 ng/mL·h for the 10 mg/kg of CHMFL-VEGFR2-002 by oral administration. Comparably, this compound displayed better PK (pharmacokinetics) profiles than sunitinib in the same formulation (Table 2). The calculated bioavailability (F%) of CHMFL-VEGFR2-002 is 49%, indicating that the compound is suitable for oral administration in the in vivo experiments. All in vivo studies were approved by the Hefei Institutes of Physical Science Ethics Committee, Chinese Academy of Sciences (Hefei, China).

2.5. CHMFL-VEGFR2-002 exhibits low acute toxicity

We then evaluated the toxicity profile of this compound in animals. During acute toxicity study with ICR mice (dosed only once in the first day and continued to observe animals’ behavior and body weight for 7 days), we did not observe any death and body weight loss in animals with CHMFL-VEGFR2-002 up to 2000 mg/kg dosage which indicating a low acute toxicity profile.
Comparably, sunitinib started to show toxicity at 500 mg/kg which resulted in apparent body weight loss though it recovered starting from day 4. 1000 mg/kg single dosage of sunitinib resulted in unrecovered body weight loss and 2000 mg/kg dosage led to mice death on day 3 (Table 3 and Fig. S4).

### Table 3: Acute toxicity test of CHMFL-VEGFR2-002 and sunitinib.

| Compd.          | Dosage (mg/kg) | Concentration (mg/mL) | Volume (mL/mouse) | Number of deaths (death/total) |
|-----------------|----------------|-----------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|
| Vehicle (HKI)   | 0              | 0                     | 0.2               | ♂: 0/2                        |
| CHMFL-VEGFR2-002| 2000           | 200                   | 0.2               | ♂: 2/2                        |
|                 | 1000           | 100                   | 0.2               | ♂: 0/2                        |
|                 | 500            | 50                    | 0.2               | ♂: 0/2                        |
|                 | 250            | 25                    | 0.2               | ♂: 0/2                        |
|                 | 125            | 12.5                  | 0.2               | ♂: 0/2                        |
| Sunitinib       | 2000           | 200                   | 0.2               | ♂: 2/2                        |
|                 | 1000           | 100                   | 0.2               | ♂: 0/2                        |
|                 | 500            | 50                    | 0.2               | ♂: 0/2                        |
|                 | 250            | 25                    | 0.2               | ♂: 0/2                        |
|                 | 125            | 12.5                  | 0.2               | ♂: 0/2                        |

- Not applicable.

To test the anti-angiogenic effect of CHMFL-VEGFR2-002 in vivo, we used the transgenic zebrafish (kdrl:EGFP) as a rapid and visual model for the evaluation of anti-angiogenic action in vivo. Zebrafish embryos were incubated 72 h with CHMFL-VEGFR2-002, DMSO, and sunitinib. Digital images were captured using the fluorescence microscope and the fluorescence images showed that, similar to sunitinib, CHMFL-VEGFR2-002 strongly inhibited the formation of intersegmental vessels in a dose-dependent manner with the intersegmental vessels almost completely blocked at the concentration of 1 μmol/L (Fig. 4). Therefore, the anti-angiogenesis effect of CHMFL-VEGFR2-002 can also be demonstrated in zebrafish model.

### Table 2: PKs of CHMFL-VEGFR2-002 and sunitinib.

| Parameter                  | CHMFL-VEGFR2-002       | Sunitinib                |
|---------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
|                          | i.v. (1 mg/kg)         | p.o. (10 mg/kg)          | i.v. (1 mg/kg) | p.o. (10 mg/kg) |
| AUC_{0-\infty} (ng/mL·h) | 443.292 ± 36.858       | 2194.607 ± 759.148       | 142.7 ± 40.3  | 927.2 ± 107.5  |
| AUC_{0-\infty} (ng/mL·h) | 452.771 ± 34.465       | 2265.7 ± 692.912         | 144.8 ± 39.6  | 1095.9 ± 96.7  |
| MRT_{0-\infty} (h)       | 0.956 ± 0.18           | 4.156 ± 1.338            | 0.98 ± 0.04   | 7.63 ± 0.80    |
| C_{max} (ng/mL)          | 1331.896 ± 525.603     | 455.579 ± 17.611         | 236.0 ± 66.8  | 175.0 ± 21.7   |
| T_{max} (h)              | 0.017 ± 0              | 0.5 ± 0                  | 0.33 ± 0      | 1.25 ± 1.06    |
| t_{1/2} (h)              | 2.416 ± 0.952          | 2.931 ± 0.614            | 1.05 ± 0.34   | 1.38 ± 0.46    |
| F (%)                    | 49.51                  | —                        | —             | 75.7           |

Novel highly selective VEGFR2 kinase inhibitor

2.6. CHMFL-VEGFR2-002 inhibits embryonic angiogenesis in zebrafish models

To test the anti-angiogenic effect of CHMFL-VEGFR2-002 in vivo, we used the transgenic zebrafish (kdrl:EGFP) as a rapid and visual model for the evaluation of anti-angiogenic action in vivo. Zebrafish embryos were incubated 72 h with CHMFL-VEGFR2-002, DMSO, and sunitinib. Digital images were captured using the fluorescence microscope and the fluorescence images showed that, similar to sunitinib, CHMFL-VEGFR2-002 strongly inhibited the formation of intersegmental vessels in a dose-dependent manner with the intersegmental vessels almost completely blocked at the concentration of 1 μmol/L (Fig. 4). Therefore, the anti-angiogenesis effect of CHMFL-VEGFR2-002 can also be demonstrated in zebrafish model.

2.7. CHMFL-VEGFR2-002 inhibits tumor growth in vivo

In clinic, peritoneal dissemination of tumors often indicates poor prognosis for cancer patients. To evaluate the effect of CHMFL-VEGFR2-002 on tumor dissemination, we treated the mice after intraperitoneal inoculation of MKN45 cells and found that CHMFL-VEGFR2-002 could reduce tumor numbers on the mesentery without affecting body weights of the animals (Fig. 5A). No significant weight loss was observed when compared with the control group (Fig. 5B). In addition, B16-F10 is a malignant mouse melanoma cell line that is highly prone to metastasis. To see if CHMFL-VEGFR2-002 could prolong the overall survival of animals inoculated with B16-F10, we treated the animals through oral administration daily with the compound, and as shown in...

Figure 4 CHMFL-VEGFR2-002 inhibited angiogenesis in zebrafish and tumor growth. CHMFL-VEGFR2-002 inhibited the Intersegmental vessel (ISV) growth. The transgenic zebrafish (EGFP) was treated with DMSO, CHMFL-VEGFR2-002, and sunitinib.
Fig. 5C, CHMFL-VEGFR2-002 increased the animal survival compared with vehicle group.

3. Conclusions

Taken together, we discovered a novel highly selective inhibitor of VEGFR2 with good anti-angiogenetic efficacy and safety, although its potency could be further improved. Unlike existing VEGFR2 inhibitors, CHMFL-VEGFR2-002 is a highly selective VEGFR2 inhibitor and has no activity against many other kinases, such as RET and c-KIT. CHMFL-VEGFR2-002 provides a potential antineoplastic drug candidate and a research tool for the study of anti-angiogenesis process.

4. Experimental

4.1. Synthetic route of compound CHMFL-VEGFR2-002

All solvents and reagents were used as obtained. $^1$H NMR and $^{13}$C NMR spectra were recorded with a Bruker 500 MHz NMR spectrometer (Karlsruhe, Germany) and referenced to deuterium dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO-d$_6$). Chemical shifts are expressed in ppm. In the NMR tabulation, s indicates singlet; d, doublet; t, triplet; q, quartet; m, multiplet; and br, broad peak. LC/MS experiments were performed on an Agilent 6224 TOF (Santa Clara, CA, USA) using an ESI source coupled to an Agilent 1260 Infinity HPLC system operating in reverse mode with an Agilent Eclipse Plus C18 1.8 μm, 3.0 mm×50 mm column. Purification of the final compounds were performed with an Isco CombiFlash Rf$^+$ system (Lincoln, NE, USA) (silica flash column 4 g) using a gradient of 0%—10% MeOH in DCM over 20 min at a flow rate of 18 mL/min. The purities of all final compounds were above 95%.

4.1.1. 6-Iodo-1H-indazol-3-amine (2)

Hydrazine (1.13 g, 35.3 mmol) was dissolved in butyl alcohol (80 mL) and treated with 2-fluoro-4-iodobenzonitrile (5.8 g, 23.5 mmol). The resulting solution was stirred at 115 °C for 5 h. Upon removal from heat, a white solid precipitated. This solid was collected by filtration and washed with isopropyl alcohol then diethyl ether and dried in vacuo at 50 °C to give the title compound as a white solid (4.5 g, 74%).$^1$H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d$_6$) δ 11.45 (s, 1H), 7.62 (s, 1H), 7.50 (d, $J = 8.3$ Hz, 1H), 7.18 (d, $J = 8.3$ Hz, 1H), 5.43 (s, 2H); $^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-d$_6$) δ 149.88, 143.08, 126.23, 122.71, 118.43, 113.80, 93.04; LC–MS (ESI, m/z) 259.97 [M+H]$^+$. 

4.1.2. 2-((3-Amino-1H-indazol-6-yl)thio)-N-methylbenzamide (3)

To a mixture of 2 (3.8 g, 14.6 mmol) and DMF (20 mL) were added methyl 3-mercaptopropionate (2.9 g, 1.75 mmol), Na$_2$CO$_3$ (3.1 g, 29.2 mmol), xantphos (0.23 g, 0.4 mmol) and Pd$_2$(dba)$_3$ (1.4 g, 1.5 mmol). The reaction mixture was placed into an oil bath preheated to 80 °C and stirred at this temperature for 14 h under argon. Then the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature before pouring into water (200 mL) a brown solid precipitates out. This solid was collected by filtration and washed with DCM and dried in vacuo at r.t. to give the title compound as a brown solid (3.1 g, 70%).$^1$H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d$_6$) δ 11.49 (s, 1H), 8.35 (d, $J = 4.4$ Hz, 1H), 7.69 (t, $J = 7.5$ Hz, 1H), 7.45 (dd, $J = 7.5$, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.31–7.24 (m, 2H), 7.22 (dd, $J = 7.3$, 6.6 Hz, 1H), 6.94 (d, $J = 7.9$ Hz, 1H), 6.88 (dd, $J = 8.3$, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 5.43 (s, 2H), 2.77 (s, 3H); $^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-d$_6$) δ 149.88, 143.08, 126.23, 122.71, 118.43, 113.80, 93.04; LC–MS (ESI, m/z) 299.10 [M+H]$^+$. 

4.1.3. 2-((3-Acetamido-1H-indazol-6-yl)thio)-N-methylbenzamide (CHMFL-VEGFR2-002)

To a solution of 3 (300 mg, 1 mmol) in DMF (5 mL) were added DIEPA (451.5 mg, 3.5 mmol). The mixture was stirred at 0 °C then acetyl chloride (235.5 mg, 3 mmol) was added. The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for 8 h. Then it was diluted with EtOAc (50 mL), washed with water (100 mL × 3)
and brine (100 mL). The organic layers were dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate and concentrated. The residue was diluted with THF (10 mL) and 1,2-DAP (0.5 mL, 6 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at 60 °C for 4 h and then diluted with EtOAc (50 mL), washed with water (50 mL x 3) and brine (50 mL). The organic layers were dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, concentrated and purified by silica gel column chromatography (eluting with 0%–5% MeOH in DCM) to afford CHMFL-VEGFR2-002 (184 mg, 54%) as a white solid. 1H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ 12.71 (s, 1H), 10.42 (s, 1H), 8.37 (d, J = 4.5 Hz, 1H), 7.80 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.54–7.39 (m, 2H), 7.36–7.22 (m, 2H), 7.00 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 2.77 (d, J = 4.6 Hz, 3H), 2.10 (s, 3H); 13C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ 168.85, 168.31, 141.90, 141.07, 137.28, 136.28, 132.77, 130.71, 130.27, 128.20, 126.48, 124.27, 124.11, 116.07, 114.80, 26.55, 23.33; LC-MS (ESI, m/z) 341.11 [M + H]+.

4.2. Expression and purification of human VEGFR-2-CD from SF9 cells

The catalytic domain (amino acids 786–1356) of human VEGFR-2 was PCR amplified from a cDNA library prepared from a mix of human cancer cell lines, and cloned into pFastBac HTA vector (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). The recombinant bacmid was transfected into SF9 by cellfectin (Invitrogen). The protein was expressed by infecting SF9 cells and protein purification was done following the previous protocol.

4.3. ADP-Glo biochemical assay

The ADP-Glo kinase assay (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) was used to screen for VEGFR2 inhibition of CHMFL-VEGFR2-002. The kinase reaction system contains 3 μL of VEGFR 2 (40 ng/μL), 3 μL of serially diluted CHMFL-VEGFR2-002, 3 μL of substrate poly(4:1 Glu, Tyr) peptide (1.2 μg/μL) (Promega), and 3 μL of 200 μM/L ATP (Promega). The reaction in each tube was started immediately by addition of ATP and maintained at 37 °C for 1 h. 12 μL of ADP-Glo reagent was then added to each well to stop the reaction and consume the remaining ATP in 40 min. Finally, 10 μL of the kinase assay reagent was added to the wells and incubated for 30 min to generate a luminescent signal. Luminescence signals were measured using an automated plate reader (Envision, PE, USA) and dose-response curves were fitted using Prism 5.0 (GraphPad Software Inc, San Diego, CA, USA).

4.4. Cell culture

The permanently transformed BaF3 cell line and MKN45 cell line were cultured in RPMI 1640 medium (Corning, Comrington, NY, USA) containing 10% fetal calf serum (FBS) and supplemented with 2% l-glutamine 1% penicillin/streptomycin. The BaF3 cell line was incubated with 1 ng/mL IL-3, 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) in RPMI 1640 medium (Corning) and supplemented with 2% l-glutamine 1% penicillin/streptomycin. Human Umbilical Vein Endothelial Cell (HUVEC) cell lines were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (Rockefeller, Maryland, MD, USA) and cultured in vascular cell basal medium containing endothelial cell growth kit-VEGF, 10% FBS, 2% l-glutamine, and 1% penicillin/streptomycin. All cell lines were maintained in medium at 37 °C and 5% CO2.

4.5. BaF3 isogenic cell line generation

MSCV-based retroviral expression vectors and transgenic BaF3 cell lines were constructed as previously described.

4.6. Anti-proliferation assay

The cells were grown in 96-well plates (1800 cells/well) and various concentrations of compounds were added. Cell proliferation was measured after 72 h of treatment with the compound. CCK8 assay was performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions and measured in a microplate reader (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). Data were normalized to control (DMSO) and GI50 values were calculated using Prism 5.0 (GraphPad Software Inc).

4.7. Antibodies and immunoblotting

The following antibodies were purchased from Cell Signaling Technology (Danvers, MA, USA): VEGFR2 antibody (#9698), phospho-VEGFR2 (Tyr951) antibody (#4991), phospho-VEGFR2 (Tyr996) antibody (#2474), phospho-VEGFR2 (Tyr1059) antibody (#3817), phospho-VEGFR2 (Tyr1175) antibody (#3770), PDGFRα antibody (#3174), phospho-PDGFRα (Tyr754) antibody (#2992), PDGFRβ antibody (#4564), phospho-PDGFRβ (Tyr857) antibody (#3170). All the antibodies were used at a dilution of 1:1000 in immunoblotting.

4.8. The Cellular Thermal Shift Assay (CETSA)

Cells were pre-treated with the compound of indicated concentrations for 1 h. Cells were collected and transferred to PCR tubes for incubation at different temperatures for 3 min. Samples were then treated with three freeze/thaw cycles in liquid nitrogen. Insoluble proteins were separated by centrifugation, and the soluble proteins were analyzed using Western blotting.

4.9. Molecular modeling

All calculations were performed using the Schrödinger Suite (LLC, New York, NY, USA). The VEGFR2 complex (PDB ID: 4AG8) was used for docking studies. The crystal structure was prepared using the Protein Preparation Wizard. The ligand structures were built in Maestro and prepared for docking using LigPrep (LigPrep 3.4, Schrödinger) and further docked into the receptor by the IFD protocol (Induced Fit Docking protocol, Schrödinger).

4.10. Tube formation assay

To investigate the effect of CHMFL-VEGFR2-002 on HUVEC tube formation, Matrigel (BD Biosciences, Lake Franklin, NJ, USA) was placed in pre-chilled 96-well plates (70 μL/well) and polymerized at 37 °C for 30 min. HUVEC cells suspended in complete medium was seeded on Matrigel at a density of 8 × 10³ cells/well with vehicle or CHMFL-VEGFR2-002. After 24 h of incubation, the tubular structure was observed under an optical microscope. Finally, network formation was quantified by the total length (pixels) of the branches using ImageJ image analysis software.
4.11. Wound healing assay

Monolayer HUVEC cells were seeded into 24-well plates and then injured with a yellow pipette tip. The cells were washed with PBS (pH 7.4). A new complete medium containing DMSO or different concentrations of CHMFL-VEGFR2-002 was added to the scratched monolayer. The plates were incubated for 24 h as above. Images were taken with an OLYMPUS digital camera (Tokyo, Japan) and analyzed by ImageJ (Bethesda, USA).

4.12. Transwell invasion assay

A transwell plate filter was coated with 40 μL Matrigel (BD Biosciences) for 4 h at 37°C. After Matrigel polymerization, 100 μL of complete medium was filled in the bottom chamber, and 100 μL of free FBS medium and 5 × 10^4 HUVEC were added to the top chamber. The top chamber contained DMSO or various concentrations of CHMFL-VEGFR2-002. After 24 h, the migrated cells were fixed with methanol and the non-migrating cells were scraped off with cotton. The migrated cells were then stained with 0.05% crystal violet and photographed under a light microscope.

4.13. Pharmacokinetics study

This study protocol was approved by the Hefei Institutes of Physical Science Ethics Committee (Hefei, China). The male Sprague-Dawley rats (200 g) were provided by Laboratory Animal Center of Anhui Medical University (Hefei, China). Pharmacokinetics study were performed as previously described29.

4.14. Acute toxicity study

Study was performed in five-week-old ICR female mice purchased from Nanjing Biomedical Research Institute, Nanjing University (Nanjing, China), and was approved by the Hefei Institutes of Physical Science Ethics Committee, Chinese Academy of Sciences (Hefei, China). Animals were acclimatized to the facilities for three day and then fasted for 12 h with free access to water before experiment. 12 mice were randomly and equally divided into six groups for one compound’s acute toxicity study with oral administration at doses of 0, 125, 250, 500, 1000 and 2000 mg/kg. The animals were observed for 7 days and their weights were recorded every day.

4.15. Drug studies in zebrafish

Transgenic zebrafish embryos were grown according to protocols in a standard environment30. Zebrafish embryos were produced by natural pair mating (3–12 months old) and cultured in embryo water at 28.5°C. Zebrafish embryos were collected and distributed into 12-well microplates with 15 fish in each well. Zebrafish embryos were incubated with CHMFL-VEGFR2-002, sunitinib or DMSO. Embryos treated in each well were then incubated at 28°C for 72 h according to the assay. Embryos receiving DMSO (0.1%) served as a vehicle control, equivalent to no treatment. Imaging was performed using ImageXpress Micro (Molecular Device, San Jose, CA, USA).

4.16. Mice models

All animal experiments were performed in five-week-old female mice purchased from Nanjing Biomedical Research Institute, Nanjing University (Nanjing, China), and all in vivo studies were approved by the Hefei Institutes of Physical Science Ethics Committee, Chinese Academy of Sciences (Hefei, China). 1 × 10^6 MKN45 cells in PBS were prepared and intraperitoneally inoculated into nude mice. Oral administration was started daily after inoculation. To assess the anti-tumor activity of CHMFL-VEGFR2-002, mice were sacrificed on day 17 and autopsied. The number of tumors in the mesentery was counted.

In the survival study, 1 × 10^5 B16-F10 cells were prepared and intraperitoneally inoculated into C57 mice. Oral administration was started daily after inoculation. The date of death was recorded and analyzed by Prism 5.0 (GraphPad Software Inc.).
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