Clinical significance of protocadherin 8 (PCDH8) promoter methylation in non-muscle invasive bladder cancer
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Abstract

Background: PCDH8 is a novel tumor suppressor gene, and frequently inactivated by promoter methylation in human cancers. However, there is little information regarding PCDH8 methylation in non-muscle invasive bladder cancer (NMIBC). The aim of this study was to investigate the methylation status of PCDH8 in NMIBC and its clinical significance.

Methods: The methylation status of PCDH8 in 233 NMIBC tissues and 43 normal bladder epithelial tissues was examined by methylation-specific PCR (MSP), and then analyzed the correlations between PCDH8 methylation and clinicopathological features. Subsequently, Kaplan-Meier survival analysis and Multivariate Cox proportional hazard model analysis was used to investigate the correlation between PCDH8 methylation and prognosis of patients with NMIBC.

Results: PCDH8 methylation occurred frequently in NMIBC tissues than those in normal bladder epithelial tissues. In addition, PCDH8 methylation significantly correlated with advanced stage, high grade, larger tumor size, tumor recurrence and progression in NMIBC. Kaplan-Meier survival analysis revealed that patients with PCDH8 methylated have shorter recurrence-free survival, progression-free survival and five-year overall survival than patients with PCDH8 unmethylated. Multivariate analysis suggested that PCDH8 methylation was an independent prognostic biomarker for recurrence-free survival, progression-free survival and five-year overall survival simultaneously.

Conclusions: PCDH8 methylation may be associated with tumor progression and poor prognosis in NMIBC and may be used as a potential biomarker to predict the prognosis of patients with NMIBC.
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control, and some of them have tumor-suppressive functions [11-16]. In recent years, studies reported that protocadherins often inactivated by promoter methylation in human cancers, and the aberrant promoter methylation can be used as potential biomarker for tumor diagnosis, surveillance, or prognosis, such as PCDH8, PCDH10, PCDH17, and PCDH20, which are considered as tumor suppressor genes in tumors [11-21]. Recently, the inactivation of PCDH8 caused by promoter methylation has been reported in human cancers, including bladder cancer [13-16]. In our previous study, we found that PCDH8 promoter methylation occurs frequently in bladder cancer, and associates with poor outcomes of bladder cancer patients [13]. However, our previous study included both non-muscle invasive and muscle invasive disease, and the clinical significance of PCDH8 promoter methylation in NMIBC remains largely unclear.

In the present study, the methylation status of PCDH8 in NMIBC and normal bladder epithelial tissues was examined using MSP. Then we investigated the correlation between PCDH8 methylation status and clinicopathologic parameters in NMIBC cases. Moreover, we assessed the influence of PCDH8 methylation on the outcomes of NMIBC patients to evaluate its clinical significance.

Materials and methods
Patient tissue specimens
A total of 233 patients with bladder cancer who had a transurethral resection of bladder tumor between January 2004 and January 2008 at the Third Hospital of Hebei Medical University were recruited. All patients were histopathologically diagnosed as non-muscle invasive bladder transitional cell carcinoma for the first time, and they did not receive preoperative anti-cancer therapy. In addition, the normal bladder epithelial tissues obtained from 43 inpatients with bladder stone were also collected as controls; these samples were examined pathologically to exclude the possibility of incidental tumors. The tissue samples were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen after resection and stored at -80°C until examined. The bladder cancers were graded and staged according to 1973 WHO grading system and 2002 TNM classification [22,23]. Tumor therapy and follow up strategies were performed according to international guidelines [22-24] Recurrence was defined as a new tumor observed in the bladder after initial curative resection and progression was defined as a disease with a higher TNM stage when relapsed [25]. Follow-up continued until the death of the patient or to 60 months if the patient remained alive. This study was approved by the ethics committee of Third Hospital of Hebei Medical University, and written informed consent was obtained from all of the participants.

DNA extraction, bisulfite modification and MSP
Genomic DNA from the tissue samples was extracted using DNeasy Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) following the manufacturer’s instructions. The quality of extracted DNA was assessed using NanoDrop ND-1000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, USA). The extracted DNA was treated with bisulfite using EpiTect Bisulfite Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The bisufite modified DNA was then used for MSP. The methylation status of PCDH8 was detected using primers specific for PCDH8 unmethylated and methylated sequences respectively, as our reported previously [18]. The following primers were used: unmethylated: forward 5'-GGTGGTTATTGTTATTTGTTT-3' and reverse 5'-CCAAACAACTCTAAAAACACACA-3'; methylated: forward 5'-CGGTTATTGTTATTTCCGT-3' and reverse 5'-ACGAACCTCTAAAAACCGGCG -3'. The PCR amplification of the modified DNA consisted of one cycle of 95°C for 5 min, 40 cycles of 95°C for 30 s, 60°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 30 s, and 1 cycle of 72°C for 5 min. Water blanks were included with each assay, in vitro methylated DNA and unmethylated DNA (New England Biolabs, Beverly, MA, USA) was used as methylation and unmethylation positive control. PCR products were separated in 2% agarose gel, stained with ethidium bromide, and visualized under ultraviolet illumination for analysis. Samples were scored as methylation positive when methylated alleles were present in the methylated DNA lane and methylation negative when bands were present only in the unmethylated DNA lane [18].

Statistical analysis
Statistical analysis was conducted using SAS version 8.0 (SAS Institute, Cary, N.C., USA). Fisher’s exact test was used to assess the difference of PCDH8 methylation status between NMIBC patients and controls. Chi-square test was used to assess the relationship between PCDH8 methylation and clinicopathologic features. Kaplan-Meier survival analysis and log-rank test were used to assess differences in progression free survival and overall survival.

Table 1 The methylation status of PCDH8 in NMIBC and normal bladder epithelial (NBE) tissues

| Group   | M (%)     | U (%)     | P        |
|---------|-----------|-----------|----------|
| NMIBC   | 128 (54.9) | 105 (45.1) | <0.0001  |
| NBE     | 0 (0.0)   | 43 (100.0) |          |

M: Methylation; U: Unmethylation.
the differences of recurrence-free survival, progression-free survival and five-year overall survival between patients with PCDH8 methylated and unmethylated. Multivariate Cox proportional hazard model analysis was used to assess the independent prognostic effect of PCDH8 methylation. A two-sided p value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

The methylation status of PCDH8 in NMIBC and normal bladder epithelial tissues

In the current study, the methylation status of PCDH8 in NMIBC and normal bladder epithelial tissues was examined by MSP. We found that PCDH8 methylation occurred in 128 (54.9%) patients with NMIBC (Figure 1). However, no methylation was detected in controls, and the difference between these two groups was statistically significant. The result is shown in Table 1.

Relationship between PCDH8 methylation and clinicopathological characteristics

The main purpose of this study was to investigate the clinical significance of PCDH8 methylation in NMIBC, so we investigated the relationships between PCDH8 methylation and clinicopathological features in bladder cancer. The association of PCDH8 methylation with the clinicopathological features is summarized in Table 2. The promoter methylation of PCDH8 in NMIBC tissues was correlated with, advanced stage (P = 0.0138), high grade (P = 0.0010), larger tumor size (P = 0.0482), tumor recurrence (P < 0.0001) and tumor progression (P < 0.0001) significantly. However, the promoter methylation of PCDH8 was not correlated with age, gender, and tumor number.
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**Figure 2 Correlations between PCDH8 methylation and recurrence-free survival in NMIBC patients.** Patients with PCDH8 methylated showed significantly shorter recurrence-free survival than patients without (P < 0.0001, log-rank test).
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**Figure 3 Correlations between PCDH8 methylation and progression-free survival in NMIBC patients.** Patients with PCDH8 methylated showed significantly shorter progression-free survival than patients without (P < 0.0001, log-rank test).
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**Figure 4 Correlations between PCDH8 methylation and five-year overall survival in NMIBC patients.** Patients with PCDH8 methylated showed significantly shorter five-year overall survival than patients without (P = 0.0177, log-rank test).

| Features | Variables | No. | M (%) | U (%) | P     |
|----------|-----------|-----|-------|-------|-------|
| Age      | ≤65       | 86  | 46(53.5) | 40(46.5) | 0.7342 |
|          | >65       | 147 | 82(55.8) | 65(44.2) |       |
| Sex      | Male      | 161 | 94(58.4) | 67(41.6) | 0.1135 |
|          | Female    | 72  | 34(47.2) | 38(52.8) |       |
| Number   | Single    | 142 | 82(57.8) | 60(42.2) | 0.2814 |
|          | Multiple  | 91  | 46(50.6) | 45(49.4) |       |
| Size     | ≤3 cm     | 139 | 69(49.6) | 70(50.4) | 0.0482 |
|          | >3 cm     | 94  | 59(62.8) | 35(37.2) |       |
| Grade    | G1/G2     | 144 | 67(46.5) | 77(53.5) | 0.0010 |
|          | G3        | 89  | 61(68.5) | 28(31.5) |       |
| Stage    | Ta        | 95  | 43(45.3) | 52(54.7) | 0.0138 |
|          | T1        | 138 | 85(61.6) | 53(38.4) |       |
| Recurrence | No       | 127 | 40(31.5) | 87(68.5) | <0.0001|
|          | Yes       | 106 | 66(62.3) | 40(37.7) |       |
| Progression | No     | 175 | 80(45.7) | 95(54.3) | <0.0001|
|          | Yes       | 58  | 48(82.8) | 10(17.2) |       |

M: Methylation; U: Unmethylation.

Table 2 Relationship between PCDH8 methylation and clinicopathological characteristics in NMIBC (n = 233)
The impact of PCDH8 methylation on the clinical outcome of NMIBC

To examine if PCDH8 promoter methylation is a potential predictor of the prognosis in NMIBC, the recurrence-free survival, progression-free survival and five-year overall survival was analyzed, and the NMIBC patients was divided into two subgroup according to PCDH8 methylation status. Kaplan-Meier survival analysis and log-rank test suggested that NMIBC patients with PCDH8 methylated had significantly shorter recurrence-free survival (P < 0.0001; Figure 2), progression-free survival (P < 0.0001; Figure 3) and five-year overall survival (P = 0.0262; Figure 4) than patients with PCDH8 unmethylated respectively. Moreover, multivariate Cox proportional hazard model analysis indicated that PCDH8 promoter methylation in tissues was an independent predictor of shorter recurrence-free survival (P < 0.0001; Table 3), progression-free survival (P = 0.0036; Table 4) and five-year overall survival (P = 0.0015; Table 5).

Discussion

Bladder cancer is a multifaceted disease with clinical outcome difficult to predict, and the morphological similar tumors can behave differently [2]. Thus, new biomarkers are needed to predict the outcome of bladder cancer, in addition to commonly used clinicopathological parameters [2]. In recent years, more and more researchers are interested in the aberrant methylation of different genes in bladder cancer for some reasons [9,10,26]. Firstly, aberrant methylation in the promoter regions of the tumor suppressor genes at CPG islands has been recognized as one of the hallmarks of human cancers and associated with silence of gene expression, which may be used as potential biomarker in human cancers [27-31]. Secondly, DNA methylation can be reversed by demethylating agents, which may used as effective therapeutic target. PCDH8 is a novel tumor suppressor gene, and commonly inactivated by aberrant promoter methylation in human cancers [11-16]. In the current study, we are focused on the methylation of PCDH8 in NMIBC, and clarified its clinical significance.

In this study, the methylation status of PCDH8 in NMIBC tissues and normal bladder epithelial tissues was examined by MSP. MSP is a rapid, simple, sensitive, specific, cost effective method for methylation detection, and allowing the rapid examination of multiple samples, which is convenient for routine clinical use [32,33]. We found that PCDH8 methylation occurred frequently in NMIBC crises.

Table 3 The predictive value of PCDH8 methylation for the recurrence-free survival in non muscle invasive bladder cancer (n = 233)

| Variable                        | Univariate analysis | Multivariate analysis |
|--------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
|                                | HR 95% CI P         | HR 95% CI P          |
| PCDH8 methylation (M vs. U)    | 7.083 2.576-14.621 <0.0001 | 4.739 1.872-12.053 <0.0001 |
| Age (>65 vs. ≤65)              | 1.241 0.768-5.724 0.7931 |                      |
| Sex (Male vs. Female)          | 0.926 0.753-3.761 0.8541 |                      |
| Number (Multiple vs. Single)   | 1.411 0.674-12.653 0.7244 |                      |
| Size (>3 cm vs. ≤3 cm)         | 1.537 0.687-10.431 0.7196 |                      |
| Grade (G3 vs. G1/G2)           | 5.067 1.933-10.763 0.0006 | 2.055 1.644-8.431 0.0137 |
| Stage (T1 vs. T2)              | 2.073 1.027-9.754 0.0176 | 1.371 0.824-6.084 0.0735 |

HR: Hazard Ratio; M: Methylated; U: unmethylated.

Table 4 The predictive value of PCDH8 methylation for the progression-free survival in non muscle invasive bladder cancer (n = 233)

| Variable                        | Univariate analysis | Multivariate analysis |
|--------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
|                                | HR 95% CI P         | HR 95% CI P          |
| PCDH8 methylation (M vs. U)    | 4.893 1.872-9.433 <0.0001 | 2.523 1.654-7.431 0.0036 |
| Age (>65 vs. ≤65)              | 0.896 0.873-5.215 0.8614 |                      |
| Sex (Male vs. Female)          | 1.213 0.855-5.217 0.5461 |                      |
| Number (Multiple vs. Single)   | 1.322 0.729-8.537 0.4668 |                      |
| Size (>3 cm vs. ≤3 cm)         | 1.227 0.579-11.460 0.4962 |                      |
| Grade (G3 vs. G1/G2)           | 3.679 1.463-7.754 0.0017 | 1.874 1.237-6.873 0.0233 |
| Stage (T1 vs. T2)              | 1.625 0.893-6.792 0.0614 |                      |

HR: Hazard Ratio; M: Methylated; U: Unmethylated.
tissues, while no methylation was detected in normal bladder epithelial tissues. This finding indicated that PCDH8 methylation is tumor specific, may be involved in the tumorigenesis of bladder cancer, and giving the possibility to investigate its clinical significance in NMIBC. Subsequently, we investigated the associations between PCDH8 methylation and clinicopathological factors in NMIBC cases only. PCDH8 methylation was significantly associated with higher grade, advanced stage, larger tumor size, and multiple tumor number. These factors are considered as risk factors for the progression of bladder cancer [2-5]. Therefore, PCDH8 may be involved in the progression of NMIBC. Amazingly, when we correlated PCDH8 methylation to the recurrence and progression of NMIBC, we found that PCDH8 methylation significantly associated with the recurrence and progression of NMIBC after initial adequate treatment. Our data suggested that PCDH8 methylation may be correlated with poor outcome of patients with NMIBC, and may be a potential predictive biomarker for the prognosis.

To further investigate the prognostic value of PCDH8 methylation in NMIBC, the recurrence-free survival, progression-free survival and five-year overall survival was analyzed according to the methylation status of PCDH8 in tumor samples. Kaplan-Meier survival analysis and log-rank test demonstrated that patients with PCDH8 methylation had significantly unfavorable recurrence-free survival, progression-free survival and five-year overall survival than patients with PCDH8 unmethylated. Moreover, multivariate Cox proportional hazard model analysis indicated that PCDH8 methylation was an independent prognostic biomarker for recurrence-free survival, progression-free survival and five-year overall survival simultaneously. These results indicate that PCDH8 methylation plays an important role in the initiation and progression of NMIBC, is significantly correlated with poor prognosis independently.

Furthermore, the significant role of PCDH8 methylation in NMIBC indicates the possibility to make it as a potential therapeutic target. Previous studies have revealed that the methylation status of PCDH8 in tumor cell lines can be reversed by demethylating agents and restore PCDH8 expression. The restoration of PCDH8 expression plays crucial role in the inhabitation of tumor cell proliferation, migration and invasion, which are all crucial factors of tumor progression [14-16]. Taken together, these findings suggest the possibility to make PCDH8 methylation a potential target for anticancer therapy in NMIBC.

**Conclusions**

In conclusion, PCDH8 methylation occurred frequently in NMIBC, and correlated higher grade, advanced stage, larger tumor size, tumor recurrence and progression. Moreover, PCDH8 methylation was an independent prognostic biomarker for recurrence-free survival, progression-free survival and five-year overall survival simultaneously. Thus for NMIBC patients with PCDH8 methylated in tumor samples after initial transurethral resection of primary tumor more aggressive adjunctive therapy should be considered, in order to achieve better prognosis. In addition, PCDH8 methylation may be used as an effective therapeutic target in NMIBC. However, our study was limited by relative small sample size in mono-center, and future studies with larger sample size in multiple centers are needed to confirm our findings before used routinely in clinical practice.
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**Table 5 The predictive value of PCDH8 methylation for the five-year overall survival in non muscle invasive bladder cancer (n = 233)**

| Variable                      | Univariate analysis | Multivariate analysis |
|-------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
|                               | HR                  | 95% CI                | P         | HR          | 95% CI     | P         |
| PCDH8 methylation (M vs. U)   | 4.653               | 1.237-7.314           | <0.0001   | 3.017       | 1.542-8.251| 0.0015    |
| Age (>65 vs. ≤65)             | 1.135               | 0.779-6.273           | 0.3471    |             |            |           |
| Sex (Male vs. Female)         | 0.874               | 0.645-3.228           | 0.3761    |             |            |           |
| Number (Multiple vs. Single)  | 1.054               | 0.798-6.417           | 0.3784    |             |            |           |
| Size (>3 cm vs. ≤3 cm)        | 1.253               | 0.913-10.257          | 0.3095    |             |            |           |
| Grade (G3 vs. G1 / G2)        | 3.876               | 1.643-6.024           | 0.0021    | 1.852       | 1.144-5.964| 0.0324    |
| Stage (T1 vs. T2)             | 1.015               | 0.792-7.572           | 0.4338    |             |            |           |

HR: Hazard Ratio; M: Methylated; U: Unmethylated.
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