AOTF-based hyperspectral imaging phase microscopy
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Abstract: Phase imaging microscopy with incoherent object illumination is convenient and affordable for biomedical research and clinics since it provides easy integration with a variety of bright-field optical microscopes. We report the design of a new hyperspectral imaging system based on a combination of a spatial light modulator (SLM) and an acousto-optic tunable filter (AOTF) for phase imaging microscopy. Contrast of phase-only objects originates from matched spectral and spatial filtering performed by the SLM and the AOTF located in Fourier-conjugate optical planes in the back-end of the optical system. The system is designed as an add-on to a standard optical microscope with incoherent diascopic sample illumination.
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1. Introduction

Hyperspectral imaging (HSI) is the main application of acousto-optic tunable filters (AOTFs) in biophotonics [1,2]. A number of specific applications of AOTFs in biomedical HSI has been reported to date [3–7]. AOTFs feature high spectral resolution (typically, 20 to 100 cm−1 depending on AOTF design), random access wavelength tuning with ~10 µs transient time, and electronic control of transmitted bandwidth. Typical throughput of an AOTF is above 90% for linearly polarized light in visible and near-infrared spectral ranges.

Angular selectivity of Bragg diffraction in an AOTF enables phase imaging applications. First demonstrations of acousto-optic phase imaging were performed with coherent laser illumination [8,9]. Later on, it has been demonstrated that a special type of AOTF transfer function, namely bandpass spatial frequency filter [10–12], can be used for contrast enhancement of phase images with incoherent illumination [13,14]. For this purpose, nearly-wide-angle geometry of Bragg acousto-optic diffraction in birefringent crystals is used.

Several spectral phase imaging techniques with broadband or tunable illumination sources have been reported to date. Popescu et al. introduced the diffraction phase microscopy method and demonstrated its performance with spectrally filtered incoherent light [15,16]. Rinehart et al. demonstrated quantitative phase imaging with a wavelength-swept supercontinuum light source and a Mach–Zehnder interferometer [17]. Similar interferometric phase imaging techniques were later developed by Chen et al. using a tunable laser diode [18] and by Machikhin et al., who used an AOTF as an external monochromator for a low-coherent diode source [19].

In this work, we describe and characterize a phase imaging system based on an AOTF and a spatial light modulator (SLM) with programmable transmission for phase imaging. Image acquisition, calibration, and digital processing for fusion of phase and intensity images are described. Unlike previous works [15–19], we do not use interferometry for phase imaging and the setup has an architecture of a bright-field HSI system. The phase image is extracted by means of spatial filtering, and bright-field spectral images are used for intensity calibration.
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2. Hyperspectral phase imaging system design

2.1. Wide-angle AOTF

A non-collinear wide-aperture paratellurite AOTF is a common device for HSI applications [20]. Image processing is associated with broad angular spectrum of the optical beam. Wide-angle diffraction type (noncritical phase matching geometry) is used in AOTFs for HSI with narrow transmission passband. The wavelength of diffracted light $\lambda$ is reciprocal to the ultrasound frequency $F$. This type of filters can be analyzed as a space-invariant optical system and characterized with the transfer function in $k$-space [21].

The efficiency of Bragg diffraction for plane waves at high coupling between incident and diffracted light is expressed as [22]

$$T = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + R^2}} \sin \frac{\pi}{2} \sqrt{1 + R^2}, \quad (1)$$

where $R$ is the phase mismatch parameter that depends on propagation direction of a plane wave component in the crystal. The wave vector diagram of noncollinear anisotropic Bragg phase matching in a uniaxial crystal is shown in Fig. 1. The optical wave vectors $\mathbf{k}_o$ and $\mathbf{k}_e$ correspond to orthogonal polarizations. They are coupled by means of the acoustic wave vector $\mathbf{k}_{ac}$, which makes an angle $\alpha$ with the crystal symmetry axis [110]. The axis $x''$ corresponds to the central angular spectrum component of the optical beam and makes an angle $(\alpha + \theta_0)$ with the crystal axis [001].

![Wave vector diagram of anisotropic Bragg diffraction: (a) definition of angular coordinates for off-axial diffraction in a uniaxial crystal; (b) conical phase matching near the wide-aperture diffraction point.](image)

The normalized phase mismatch parameter $R$ is calculated as [12]:

$$R(\theta, \varphi) = \frac{2L \cos \varphi}{\lambda} \left\{ n_e (\sin \theta \sin \psi + \cos \theta \cos \varphi \cos \psi) - \kappa \sin \psi - \sqrt{n_0^2 - (n_e \sin \theta - \kappa)^2 - n_e^2 \cos^2 \theta + [n_e (\sin \theta \sin \psi + \cos \theta \cos \varphi \cos \psi) - \kappa \sin \psi]^2} \right\}, \quad (2)$$

where $L$ is the piezotransducer length; $\psi$ is the acoustic walk-off angle; $\kappa = F \lambda / V = \lambda |\mathbf{k}_{ac}| / 2\pi$ is the normalized ultrasonic frequency; the ordinary wave refractive index is $n_o = \sqrt{\varepsilon_{11}}$, and the
extraordinary wave refractive index is
\[ n_e(\theta, \varphi) = \sqrt{\varepsilon_{11} \varepsilon_{33} \left[ \sin^2 \varphi + \cos^2 \varphi \sin^2(\theta + \alpha) \right] + \varepsilon_{33} \cos^2 \varphi \cos^2(\theta + \alpha)} \] (3)

The transfer function \( T(\theta, \varphi) \) is obtained by substituting (2) into (1).

The value of \( \theta_0 \) and the frequency \( F \) are chosen to satisfy the conditions
\[ \frac{\partial R}{\partial \theta} \bigg|_{\theta=\theta_0} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial^2 R}{\partial \theta^2} \bigg|_{\theta=\theta_0} > 0 \] (4)

The wide-angle phase matching frequency \( F_{wa} \) corresponds to the case when the equities (4) hold simultaneously with \( R = 0 \). As shown in Fig. 1(b), the locus of phase matched wave vectors at \( F > F_{wa} \) is a cone around the point \( (\theta, \varphi) = (\theta_0, 0) \) in k-space. The base of the cone is obtained by intersecting the normal surface of the ordinary wave with the normal surface of the extraordinary wave shifted by \( \hat{k}_{ac} \) as the translation vector (see Fig. 1(b)). For a given ultrasound frequency \( F \), the radius of the cone base increases with the optical wavelength \( \lambda \). Existence of the annular transfer function ensures that the optical beam after a band-pass spatial frequency filter is diffracted in a narrow bandwidth.

### 2.2. Confocal HSI system

One of effective layouts of an AOTF-based HSI system is a confocal system with an AOTF placed near an intermediate image plane. This type of the optical system can provide the lowest geometrical and chromatic aberrations [23–25]. The lateral chromatic aberration is minimal in a strictly confocal AOTF position that enables controlling transmission bandwidth by means of spread-spectrum RF signals without deterioration of the image resolution [26].

The block diagram of the phase imaging setup is shown in Fig. 2. The optical system of the inverted microscope is unchanged. It consists of a halogen lamp, a bright-field condenser, a sample holder (object plane \( I_0 \)), an objective lens, a tube lens, and a port-selecting mirror or a beam splitter. Since phase objects broaden the angular spectrum of transmitted light, a high-NA objective is required. Light wave after a phase object can be represented as a composition of the transmitted (non-scattered) component and the high-frequency modulation component. The optical system is designed to block the non-scattered component of the phase-modulated light wave as described below.

The confocal optical system relays the image twice: first from the microscope optical port (\( I_1 \) plane) to the AOTF input, and second from the AOTF output to the CCD (\( I_3 \) plane). The intermediate image plane \( I_2 \) is in the acousto-optic interaction region inside the AOTF. A field lens at the interface between the microscope and the HSI system is used for correction of the ray paths since the optical train of the microscope is not telecentric. Two Fourier-domain spatial filters are used in this system. The first is the SLM in the front focal plane \( F_1 \) of the first relay lens. This SLM operates as the amplitude mask blocking low spatial frequencies of the beam that correspond to the transmitted components of light wave. High-frequency components of the angular spectrum are transmitted by the SLM and diffracted by the AOTF. The second spatial filter is a static iris diaphragm in the back focal plane \( F_2 \) of the second relay lens, i.e. the aperture stop, that is adjusted to transmit only the diffracted 1st-order beam from the AOTF output.

It has been demonstrated elsewhere [14] that for a flat field with pure phase modulation in \( I_1 \) plane,
\[ U_1(x, y) = U_0 \exp[i\varphi(x, y)], \] (5)

applying an amplitude mask \( T_{am}(x, y) \) that blocks the non-scattered light component results in the transmitted field in \( I_2 \) plane expressed as a convolution
\[ U_2(x, y) = \varphi(x, y) \otimes H_{am}(x, y) \] (6)
where $H_{am}(x, y)$ is the two-dimensional Fourier transform

$$H_{am}(x, y) = \int \int T_{am}(\xi, \eta) \exp \left(-2\pi i \frac{x\xi + y\eta}{\lambda f}\right) \, d\xi \, d\eta$$

(7)
corresponding to field transformation by a lens with the focal length $f$ at the wavelength $\lambda$ [21].

2.3. Point-spread function

Equation (7) describes the point spread function (PSF) of the phase imaging system. The mask $T_{am}(x, y)$ is a radially symmetric bandpass function

$$T_{am}(x, y) = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{at } r_{\text{min}} < x^2 + y^2 < r_{\text{max}}; \\
0 & \text{otherwise.} 
\end{cases}$$

(8)

The bounding radii of the mask, $r_{\text{min}}$ and $r_{\text{max}}$, are determined by the optical system configuration. The upper bound radius $r_{\text{max}}$ corresponds to the AOTF angular aperture and the radius of the output iris diaphragm. The lowest spatial frequency specifies the image processing mode and the PSF of the system. HSI without phase imaging is obtained at $r_{\text{min}} = 0$. The minimum radius $r_{\text{min}}$ required for phase imaging mode is

$$r_{\text{min}} \geq \frac{\text{NA}_{\text{cond}}}{K_{\text{obj}}} f,$$

(9)

where NA$_{\text{cond}}$ is the condenser numerical aperture, $K_{\text{obj}}$ is the microscope objective magnification, and $f$ is the focal length of the first relay lens.

The simulations in Fig. 3 show the PSF absolute value $|H_{am}(x, y)|$ corresponding to different ratios $r_{\text{min}}/r_{\text{max}}$. The PSF with $r_{\text{min}} = 0$, Fig. 3(a), is plotted for reference only since phase imaging is not possible without the dark-field mask. The grayscale map in each plot is normalized.
to the maximum of \(|H_{am}(x, y)|\). The simulations demonstrate that the minimum possible \(r_{\text{min}}\) satisfying (9) provides the best contrast since the sidelobes increase with \(r_{\text{min}}/r_{\text{max}}\). On the other hand, the resolution, which is determined by the width of the main maximum of the PSF, remains almost constant.

![Fig. 3. PSF of an imaging system with a ring-shaped pupil: (a) \(r_{\text{min}} = 0\), HSI operation mode; (b) \(r_{\text{min}}/r_{\text{max}} = 0.33\); (c) \(r_{\text{min}}/r_{\text{max}} = 0.5\); (d) \(r_{\text{min}}/r_{\text{max}} = 0.66\). White line — central profile. Inset — amplitude mask transmission.](image)

### 2.4. System layout and components

The experimental phase imaging system is shown in Fig. 4. The optical beam emerges from the side port of the microscope. One steering mirror before the first relay lens and two mirrors after it (not shown in Fig. 2) were used for fine beam alignment.

![Fig. 4. Experimental setup photograph. The beam path is marked with arrows.](image)
Japan) having HD resolution of 1920×1080 pixels and 8.5 µm pixel pitch. The SLM was controlled with a HDMI interface board (BBS Bild- und Lichtsysteme GmbH., Bad Wiessee, Germany). The software was a custom script in MATLAB (The Mathworks Inc., Natick, MA). The images were captured with Alta U32 CCD (Apogee Imaging Systems Inc., Roseville, CA) 16 bit monochrome camera with 6.8 µm pixel pitch.

The HSI phase imaging system was commissioned with a standard inverted optical microscope Ti-E (Nikon Instruments, Tokyo, Japan). The microscope has an infinity-conjugated optical scheme with a high-NA apochromatic objective lens 40×/0.95 Plan Apo λ. An additional DS-Fi2 color CCD (Nikon Instruments) installed in the microscope eyepiece port was used for frame tracking and capturing reference images. For illumination, a standard 100 W halogen lamp was used with a diascopic condenser, NA_{cond} = 0.52.

2.5. Image processing and false color fusion

At each wavelength, two images are captured in different modes. Without the band-pass mask applied to the SLM, the grayscale image is a monochromatic component of a HSI datacube. The digitized images \( \tilde{I} \) are normalized using a standard procedure for background subtraction and flat field correction [28]

\[
\tilde{I}_{\text{hsi}}[\lambda] = \frac{I_{\text{hsi}}[\lambda] - B_{\text{hsi}}}{I_{\text{flat}}[\lambda] - B_{\text{flat}}}
\]

(10)

where \( I[\lambda] \) are the arrays of spectral images and \( B \) are the background images with the AOTF switched off; flat field images were captured without an object. All image processing operations are pixel-wise. The normalized images with an amplitude mask applied are calculated similarly:

\[
\tilde{I}_{\text{mask}}[\lambda, T_{\text{am}}] = \frac{I_{\text{mask}}[\lambda, T_{\text{am}}] - B_{\text{mask}}}{I_{\text{flat}}[\lambda] - B_{\text{flat}}}
\]

(11)

Calibration according to (10) and (11) provides equal scaling of intensity for bright-field spectral images and for filtered phase images. The bright-field spectral image in this case is used as a reference for calibrating intensity of phase images since the absolute value of recorded intensity varies across the spectrum both for technical reasons (variable source intensity, throughput of the system elements, and CCD efficiency) and owing to absorption in the object. Spectral sensitivity of the system is proportional to \( I_{\text{flat}}[\lambda] - B_{\text{flat}} \), which includes all technical factors but does not depend on the parameters of \( T_{\text{am}} \).

**Fig. 5.** False color image representation.
For visualization of both phase and amplitude of the images we use false color representation of the image as shown in Fig. 5. The grayscale phase image $\tilde{I}_{\text{mask}}[\lambda, T_{\text{am}}]$ is converted to hue, and multiplied by the spectral image $\tilde{I}_{\text{hsi}}[\lambda]$.

3. Results

The test samples were cytology smears of human red blood cells (RBCs) obtained by fine needle puncture biopsy. The samples were not stained and contained different RBC density per unit area. The samples included both single RBCs and their aggregates.

The full field of view image is shown in Fig. 6 including the reference image captured at the eyepiece side port of the microscope (a), two image components $I_{\text{hsi}}$ and $I_{\text{mask}}$ at $\lambda = 650$ nm, (b) and (c) respectively, and the false color fused image (d). The images have the resolution of 1320×1320 pixels. The full field of view in the object plane is 180 $\mu$m. Digitally magnified images of single and aggregated RBCs are shown in Fig. 7. The experimental setup was configured with $r_{\text{min}}/r_{\text{max}} = 0.33$. The phase image highlights thickest RBC points and improve the contrast with the background compared to RGB reference and spectral images.

![Fig. 6. Full field-of-view cytology phase image: (a) reference image; (b) spectral image without amplitude mask; (c) phase image; (d) false color fused image.](image1)

![Fig. 7. Magnified 10× cytology phase image: (a) single RBC; (b) aggregated RBCs. The frame size equals 128×128 pixels (~ 18 $\mu$m).](image2)

4. Discussion

Optical wavelength is one of variable parameters of the setup. The fragment of a phase image at different wavelength in the range from 600 to 750 nm is shown in Fig. 8. Non-compensated lateral image drift along the AOTF diffraction plane, which is the vertical direction in the images, was approximately 1 pixel per 20 nm. Neither chromatic magnification nor defocusing were observed in this wavelength range. The image shift does not cause any resolution deterioration and, if necessary, it can be easily eliminated at image acquisition or processing stages via proper selecting the subframe of the CCD. Selection of the operating wavelength was governed by a trade-off of the AOTF and SLM efficiencies. The maximum brightness of the phase image was at $\lambda = 650$ nm.
Fig. 8. Magnified 10× phase images at different AOTF-transmitted wavelengths: (a) 600 nm; (b) 650 nm; (c) 700 nm; (d) 750 nm.

CCD exposure time in the experiments was set equal 0.5 s to obtain good signal to noise ratio. The maximum raw signal before background subtraction was approximately a half of the CCD electron well depth. After background subtraction, the average raw CCD signal was 21000 counts in bright-field HSI imaging mode and 4800 counts in phase imaging mode. The r.m.s. pixel noise was below 80 counts. While the CCD noise did not affect the experimental results at a relatively long exposure, we admit that negative effect of noise can be an important performance limiting factor in real-time image processing applications. There are several solutions that may improve the SNR at short exposures. The first is to use a CMOS or an emCCD camera instead of the CCD used in our experiments. The second option is to use AOTF transmission shaping to increase the diffraction bandwidth [26]. While both of these ways seem to be promising to improve the operation rate, they require in-depth analysis and experimental study and we leave them for future research.

5. Conclusions

The described instrument implements a new type of phase imaging involving matched spectral and spatial filtering of incoherent light. This is a bimodal imaging technique based on acousto-optic HSI. Precisely controlled spatial filtering is a distinguishing feature of this HSI system that enables phase imaging mode. Perfect spatial overlap of intensity and phase images is ensured by a common optical path for these two imaging modes without moving or replaceable elements. That enables imaging of partially absorbing objects when both phase and intensity images are relevant. Besides, bright field spectral imaging can be independently used with the same apparatus for imaging spectroscopy.

The system can be compared to various dark-field imaging techniques [29–33]. Tunable spectral filtering is the principal feature of this particular system. The narrow bandwidth of transmitted light minimizes the blur of the PSF. Programmable SLM as a band-pass angular filter is used to adjust scaling of \( r_{\text{min}} \) and \( r_{\text{max}} \) with \( \lambda \) according to Eq. (7) and maintaining fixed \( H_{\text{am}}(x, y) \) at different wavelengths. Accurate control of the PSF and the transmitted wavelength gives potential for quantitative phase retrieval.

Finally, all image processing is performed in the back-end optical system. That ensures full instrument compatibility with standard bright-field microscopes and white-light diascopic illumination.
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