A global mean sea surface temperature dataset for the Last Interglacial (129–116 ka) and contribution of thermal expansion to sea level change
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Abstract. A valuable analogue for assessing Earth’s sensitivity to warming is the Last Interglacial (LIG; 129–116 ka), when global temperatures (0 to +2 °C) and mean sea level (+6 to 11 m) were higher than today. The direct contribution of warmer conditions to global sea level (thermosteric) is uncertain. We report here a global network of LIG sea surface temperatures (SST) obtained from various published temperature proxies (e.g. faunal and floral plankton assemblages, Mg/Ca ratios of calcareous organisms, and alkenone U′37). We summarize the current limitations of SST reconstructions for the LIG and the spatial temperature features of a naturally warmer world. Because of local δ18O seawater changes, uncertainty in the age models of marine cores, and differences in sampling resolution and/or sedimentation rates, the reconstructions are restricted to mean conditions. To avoid bias towards individual LIG SSTs based on only a single (and potentially erroneous) measurement or a single interpolated data point, here we report average values across the entire LIG. Each site reconstruction is given as an anomaly relative to 1981–2010, corrected for ocean drift, and where available seasonal estimates are provided (189 annual, 99 December–February, and 92 June–August records). To investigate the sensitivity of the reconstruction to high temperatures, we also report maximum values during the first 5 millennia of the LIG (129–124 ka). We find mean global annual SST anomalies of 0.2 ± 0.1 °C averaged across the LIG and an early maximum peak of 0.9 ± 0.1 °C, respectively. The global dataset provides a remarkably coherent pattern of higher SST increases at polar latitudes than in the tropics (demonstrating the polar amplification of surface temperatures during the LIG), with comparable estimates between different proxies. Polewards of 45° latitude, we observe annual SST anomalies averaged across the full LIG of > 0.8 ± 0.3 °C in both hemispheres with an early maximum peak of > 2.1 ± 0.3 °C. Using the reconstructed SSTs suggests a mean LIG global thermosteric sea level rise of 0.08 ± 0.1 m and a peak contribution of 0.39 ± 0.1 m, respectively (assuming warming penetrated to 2000 m depth). The data provide an important natural baseline for a warmer world, constraining the contributions of Greenland and Antarctic ice sheets to global sea level during a geographically widespread expression of
1 Introduction

The timing and impacts of past and future abrupt and extreme climate change remain highly uncertain. A key challenge is that historical records of change are too short (since 1850 CE) and their amplitude too small relative to projections for the next century (IPCC, 2013; PAGES2k Consortium et al., 2017), raising concerns over our ability to successfully plan for future change. While a wealth of geological, chemical, and biological records (often referred to as “natural archives” or “palaeo”) indicate that large-scale and often multi-millennial duration shifts in the Earth system took place in the past (Thomas, 2016; Steffen et al., 2018; Lenten et al., 2008; Thomas et al., 2020), there are limited global datasets of such events. A comprehensive database of environmental conditions during periods of warmer-than-present-day climate is essential for constraining uncertainties surrounding projected future change, including sea level rise, extreme weather events, and the climate–carbon cycle. In this regard, the Last Interglacial (LIG), an interval spanning approximately 129 000 to 116 000 years ago, is of great value (Dutton et al., 2015). Described as a “super-interglacial” (Turney and Jones, 2010; Overpeck et al., 2005), the LIG was one of the warmest periods of the last 800 kyr, experiencing relatively high polar temperatures compared to the global mean (“polar amplification”) (Past Interglacials Working Group of PAGES, 2016; Hoffman et al., 2017; Turney and Jones, 2010; Capron et al., 2017), with the most geographically widespread expression of high global mean sea level in the recent geological record (GMSL, +6.6 to +11.4 m) (Dutton et al., 2015; Grant et al., 2014; Kopp et al., 2009; Rohling et al., 2017), abrupt shifts in regional hydroclimate (Wang et al., 2008; Thomas et al., 2015), and elevated atmospheric CO₂ concentrations (relative to the pre-industrial period) of ~290 ppm (Köhler et al., 2017; Schneider et al., 2013; Barnola et al., 1987; Petit et al., 1999), suggesting non-linear responses in the Earth system to forcing (Steffen et al., 2018; Thomas, 2016; Dakos et al., 2008; Thomas et al., 2020). Importantly, there remains considerable debate over the contribution of sources to the highstand in global sea level (Dutton et al., 2015; Rohling et al., 2019). Previous work has suggested ocean thermal expansion contributed some 0.4 m (McKay et al., 2011), while Greenland Ice Sheet melt is estimated at some 2 m (NEEM Community Members, 2013) and melting mountain glaciers ~0.6 m (Dutton et al., 2015), implying Antarctic mass loss > 3.6 m (Fogwill et al., 2014; Turney et al., 2020a; DeConto and Pollard, 2016; Dutton et al., 2015; Rohling et al., 2019). Constraining the different contributions to GMSL during the LIG requires a comprehensive ocean temperature database to precisely quantify the role of ocean thermal expansion, compare them to climate model-generated temperature estimates, and use these temperature estimates to drive ice sheet models (Fogwill et al., 2014; Mercer, 1978; DeConto and Pollard, 2016; Sutter et al., 2016; Hoffman et al., 2017; Clark et al., 2020).

Quantified temperature reconstruction data for the LIG are often drawn from disparate publications and repositories (usually reported alongside other Late Pleistocene data). To obtain reliable temperature reconstructions, it has until recently proved necessary to determine a global estimate of the magnitude of warming using only a selected number of “high-quality” records; the resulting temperature reconstructions of LIG temperatures ranged from 0.1 to > 2 °C warmer than present (CLIMAP, 1984; White, 1993; Hansen, 2005; Rohling et al., 2008; Turney and Jones, 2010). With the ever-increasing number of quantified temperature reconstructions of the LIG reported in individual publications, it is crucial that these datasets are brought together to derive a comprehensive reconstruction of global change during the LIG. A further consideration is that, in contrast to terrestrial sequences, marine records typically provide a continuous record of LIG conditions (Turney and Jones, 2010, 2011) and, thus, an opportunity to determine the sensitivity of GMSL to sea surface temperature (SST) conditions during the interglacial (including early maximum temperatures). Given a possible warming of 2 °C (Turney and Jones, 2010; Fischer et al., 2018), the LIG potentially provides insights into the drivers of sea level rise and the long-term impacts under a global temperature target set out in the 2016 Paris Climate Agreement (Schellnhuber et al., 2016).

Here we present version 1.0 of the Last Interglacial SST database (Turney et al., 2019). This database builds on the previously published 2010 data compilation of Turney and Jones (2010), and includes substantially more records. Importantly, the micro-organisms used to determine SSTs move along with the currents and encounter a range of temperatures during their life cycle (van Sebille et al., 2015; Doblin and van Sebille, 2016; von Rydénfeldt et al., 2000). As a result, previous work has suggested that ocean drift of microorganisms can have a major influence on reconstructed environmental change (van Sebille et al., 2015; Monroy et al., 2017; Kienast et al., 2016; Hellweger et al., 2016; Rembauville et al., 2016; Viebahn et al., 2016; Nooteboom et al., 2019) and also potentially explains the divergence between laboratory culture and core-top calibrations (Anand et
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Figure 1. Last Interglacial proxy-based annual sea surface temperature dataset and modelled inherited signal. Histogram showing the number of Last Interglacial records of annual sea surface temperature binned by 10° latitude (a) with virtual microfossil temperature offsets defined as the difference between along-trajectory recorded temperatures and local temperatures (b) and distance (c) travelled in the Japanese Ocean model For the Earth Simulator (OFES; run between 1981 and 2010 CE) determined for 30 d “lifespans” of planktic organisms (van Sebille et al., 2015).

2 Methods

2.1 Global compilation

We have compiled a global network of published quantified SSTs using faunal and floral plankton assemblages, Mg / Ca and Sr / Ca ratios of calcareous organisms, and U\(^{37}\) estimates across the period of record interpreted as representing the LIG. In many instances, we used the period represented by low \(^{18}\)O values in benthic foraminifera shells (the lightest isotopic values during 90–150 ka representing minimum global ice volume). In some sequences, \(^{18}\)O values were not reported, and therefore we relied on other complementary proxies, for instance, the CaCO\(_3\) content of sediments as a measure of glacial–interglacial variability (Turney and Jones, 2010; Cortese et al., 2013) (Figs. 1 and 2). Whilst the age control points defining the plateaus in \(^{18}\)O and other proxies are not absolutely dated with chronological uncertainties of 1 to 2 millennia (Martinson et al., 1987; Lisiecki and Raymo, 2005), it is important to note that we are not aiming to resolve centennial- and millennial-scale variability through the interglacial. We acknowledge that some individual SST estimates may not fall within the LIG or have been excluded (due to these chronological uncertainties), but we consider the averaging of values across the full interglacial
to provide a robust value for each record and ultimately the regional and global reconstructions.

We have therefore not attempted to generate a time series of SSTs through the LIG. Previous studies have highlighted that individual site δ^{18}O changes in benthic foraminifera (for instance, during deglaciation) may be offset by several millennia as a result of local deep-water temperature and δ^{18}O seawater variations (Govin et al., 2015; Waelbroeck et al., 2008) (Fig. 2). In an attempt to bypass some of these issues, other studies have attempted alignment of marine records to speleothem-dated ice core reconstructions (Hoffman et al., 2017), but modelled age uncertainties can be in the order of millennia (e.g. Hoffman et al., 2017, Fig. S7), while the assumed synchrony of extra-regional changes has challenges; for instance, more than half of reported Pacific marine cores (those from the Northern Hemisphere) in a recent study were correlated to the Antarctic EPICA Dome C δD (Hoffman et al., 2017), with warming in the south known to lead the north by 1 to 2 millennia (Hayes et al., 2014; NEEM Community Members, 2013; Kim et al., 1998; Rohling et al., 2019). The development of accurate and precise age estimates for LIG records is urgently needed to resolve the timing of global climate change but will require a considerable future international effort (Govin et al., 2015). Given the relatively large chronological uncertainties associated with comparing global SST time series (Hoffman et al., 2017; Govin et al., 2015; Capron et al., 2017), we have therefore not attempted to generate a time series of changes within the LIG but instead determine average temperatures as a robust estimate of mean climatic conditions. Whilst not offering precisely dated geochronological frameworks, the global ice volume minima as represented by the δ^{18}O plateau and/or associated proxy measures of interglacial conditions are sufficiently well-defined in all marine records to accommodate local deep-water temperature and δ^{18}O variations, sampling resolution, and/or sedimentation rates to identify the LIG, thereby maximizing the number of records that have reported quantified SSTs across the interglacial (Cortese et al., 2013; Govin et al., 2015); a minimum of three SST values across the LIG in each record was required for inclusion in our dataset. This is not to downplay the significance of millennial-scale climate variability across the LIG (Galaasen et al., 2014; Rohling et al., 2002; Tzedakis et al., 2018; Jones et al., 2017), but our approach does provide some benefits. Whilst our approach sacrifices temporal control, it does minimize the uncertainty of zonal and global temperature averages.

To quantify the temperature difference between the LIG and present day, we do not compare the LIG estimates to the relatively poor observational coverage of earlier periods, including the 19th century (pre-industrial) (Hoffman et al., 2017) or the long-term annual means calculated from 1900 to 1997 (Capron et al., 2014), both of which have considerable uncertainties given the limited network of “observations” prior to the satellite era (Brohan et al., 2006; Huang et al.,

---

**Figure 2.** Relationships between δ^{18}O plateau and sea surface temperatures and environmental changes across the Last Interglacial. (a) Insolation changes calculated from Laskar et al. (2004). Sea surface temperatures (dashed purple lines) across the Last Interglacial (light orange shading) compared to the benthic foraminifera δ^{18}O data (solid green lines) for selected sites in different ocean basins: (b) M23414 (North Atlantic) (Kandiano et al., 2004), (c) MD85674 (equatorial Indian Ocean) (Bard et al., 1997), (d) GeoB 3603-2 (southern Indian Ocean) (Schneider et al., 1999), and (e) MD06-2986 (southern Pacific Ocean) (Cortese et al., 2013). (f) The probabilistic reconstructed global sea level curve is reported by Kopp et al. (2009); heavy lines mark median projections, dashed lines the 16th and 84th percentiles, and dotted lines the 2.5th and 97.5th percentiles.
Figure 3. Simplified scheme for the generation of the Last Interglacial (LIG) sea surface temperature (SST) database providing an overview of the data collection and processing. The numbered boxes set out the stages required to generate a global database of surface temperatures from marine records: (1) location, (2) Last Interglacial and modern SSTs (including drift calculation), and (3) metadata, including method of temperature reconstruction and associated uncertainty. Grey boxes indicate additional processing of data from the original publications, generating new outputs (which are provided in the database).

Here instead we report SSTs expressed as anomalies relative to global “modern” instrumental and satellite observations across the period 1981–2010 obtained from HadISST (Rayner et al., 2003). Each LIG temperature record is linked to at least one literature source, the citation of which includes author(s), year of publication, and typical archiving information (e.g. journal, volume, issue, pages, publisher, and place of publication). Where multiple temperature estimates have been published over time from the same site, we chose the most recent publication for inclusion in the database (so long as the data were not flagged as erroneous) (Fig. 3). Note that alkenone proxies are interpreted as providing annual SST estimates.

Here we use the mean temperature estimates to constrain the role of thermal expansion in global sea level rise across the LIG and provide boundary conditions for future modelling studies investigating the impact of warming on polar ice sheets. To determine the greatest possible contribution of warming to ocean thermal expansion and ice sheet melt, we used the published age models to identify the maximum annual SST within the first 5 kyr of the LIG (i.e. 129–124 ka). For the purpose of this sensitivity analysis, the maximum temperatures were assumed to be synchronous globally, a scenario we recognize as unlikely but which does provide an upper limit for warming in the “early” LIG. To provide an upper estimate on the magnitude of warming in
polar waters over the deglaciation, we also report here the difference between late Marine Isotope Stage 6 mean SSTs (∼140–135 ka) and the maximum early LIG SSTs for marine cores in the mid- to high-latitudes. To calculate the anomaly relative to present day, we utilize SSTs from the nearest 0.5° latitude × 0.5° longitude averaged across the period 1981–2010 (Rayner et al., 2003). For the uncertainties calculated for the regional and global SST anomalies, we incorporate the uncertainties from the proxies (reported in the database), and the uncertainties associated with estimating regional and global temperatures from limited spatial coverage. To achieve this we propagated the SST uncertainties for each measurement through each of the averaging steps (i.e. temporal to grid cell to zonal to area-weighted global) in our ocean-area-weighted average (McKay et al., 2011). We used quoted uncertainty estimates for each study where reported; if not available, we applied proxy-specific uncertainty estimates. Although the impact of the spatial coverage was not explored in this study, it has been previously estimated using the same approach (McKay et al., 2011). In that study, the uncertainty associated with the limited spatial range of the oceanographic proxies was estimated by calculating 1000 random 1-year global SST anomalies over the 20th century and comparing them to averages derived using only the palaeoceanographic network. No systematic biases were identified, with a 1σ uncertainty estimated to be <0.1 °C. In this study, we have expanded the spatial network and consider ±0.1 °C to be a reasonable, high-end uncertainty estimate.

The database comprises six worksheets of data comprising maximum annual temperatures during the early LIG (defined here as the maximum temperature reported within the first 5 millennia of the LIG; 129–125 ka), mean annual temperature, the Marine Isotope Stage 6/5 SST difference, December to February temperature (DJF; Northern Hemisphere winter and Southern Hemisphere summer), June to August temperature (JJA; Northern Hemisphere summer and Southern Hemisphere winter), and summary statistics (see the Supplement).

- The early maximum and mean annual SST dataset comprises 189 marine sediment and coral records from latitudes spanning from 55.55° S (radiolaria assemblage transfer function reconstruction obtained from site V18-68) (CLIMAP, 1984) to 72.18° N (planktonic foraminifera assemblage modern analogue technique from site V27-60) (Vogelsang et al., 2001).

- The mean December–February SST dataset comprises 99 marine sediment records from latitudes spanning from 61.24° S (diatoms transfer function reconstruction obtained from site PS58/271-1) (Esper and Gersonde, 2014) to 72.18° N (planktonic foraminifera assemblage modern analogue technique from site V27-60) (Vogelsang et al., 2001).

- The mean June–August SST dataset comprises 92 marine sediment records from latitudes spanning from 54.55° S (radiolaria assemblage transfer function reconstruction obtained from site V18-68) (CLIMAP, 1984) to 72.18° N (planktonic foraminifera assemblage modern analogue technique from site V27-60) (Vogelsang et al., 2001).

In total, the Last Interglacial SST database comprises a total of 203 unique sites described in 100 publications.

2.2 Ocean drift

Crucially, modern calibration relationships are an average developed using a selected number of locations that will not necessarily capture the range of “signal drift”. This drift is caused by the fact that planktic SST recorders can be transported over considerable distances in the water column before being deposited, which particularly applies to all those sites that lie under strong boundary currents or near major ocean fronts (van Sebille et al., 2015). Unfortunately, Ocean General Circulation Models (OGCMs) typically have insufficient spatial resolution to capture mesoscale features that are critical for modelling the lateral drift of particles (Nooteboom et al., 2020). To investigate the impact of drift on SST reconstructions, we therefore used contemporary ocean circulation as a first-order approximation for the LIG. Whilst we acknowledge that there was likely a weakening of the Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation (AMOC) during the early LIG (Shackleton et al., 2020; Turney et al., 2020a; Thomas et al., 2020; Jones et al., 2017), subsequent recovery after 127 ka appears to have established a global circulation comparable to present day as suggested by recent ocean δ13C modelling results across the mid-interglacial (Bengtson et al., 2020). We performed an experiment with virtual particles in an eddy-resolving ocean model (the Japanese Ocean model For the Earth Simulator, OFES) (Masumoto et al., 2004), which has a 1/10° horizontal resolution and near-global coverage between 75° S and 75° N (van Sebille et al., 2012). Utilizing the 3D velocity field of the model, we used the Parcels code (http://oceancparsels.org/, last access: 4 December 2020; Lange and van Sebille, 2017) to compute the trajectories of more than 170 000 virtual planktic particles that end up at each of the sites by tracking them backwards in time, first simulating the sinking to these sites at 200 m d−1 and subsequently the advection at 30 m depth for a lifespan of 30 d; coral SSTs were not corrected for drift. Given the lifespan of most organisms that have been used to generate a temperature signal (Jonkers et al., 2015; Bijma et al., 1990), we consider a 30 d drift provides a reasonable estimate of the drift distance. Previous work has demonstrated comparable uncertainties between different models (van Sebille et al., 2015), providing confidence in the use of the OFES for the purposes of this study.
During the 30 d lifespans, we recorded the temperatures along the trajectories and compared those to the local temperature at 30 m water depth at the site where the particles would end up on the ocean floor. This resulted in daily temperature anomalies along the trajectories, which were averaged through the lifespan and over the 840 virtual particles that ended up at each site and then subtracted from the reported LIG estimates (Fig. 1 and Database). With the recent recognition that core-top calibrations may be incorrect given historic changes in marine communities that have accompanied anthropogenic warming (Jonkers et al., 2019), it should be noted that SST proxy calibrations based on regional core-top calibrations may give an incorrect absolute value, an aspect that will form the focus of future work.

2.3 Hemispheric and global calculations

Global mean SST anomalies were calculated by averaging anomalies in a 10° latitude x 10° longitude grid and then averaging them globally after weighting for the area of ocean in each grid cell (Fig. 5). The uncertainty calculated for global SST anomalies incorporates uncertainties in the SST proxies as reported in the original studies, which typically range from 1 to 2 °C, and is then propagated through subsequent steps in the analysis. Additional uncertainty associated with estimating global anomalies from limited spatial coverage and the potential impacts of age uncertainty or averaging non-synchronous data are not considered here. Consequently, the derived estimates do not capture all of the uncertainty in global and zonal SST anomalies; however, the zonal consistency of the results suggests that the signal is large enough to overcome these unquantified sources of uncertainty. Furthermore, whilst some regions may exhibit substantial differences arising from drift (Fig. 4), globally the mean annual temperature estimates are comparable (Fig. 5). The new LIG SST dataset allows us to report the estimated thermosteric contribution to LIG sea levels using the method reported by (McKay et al., 2011). We use the above temperature changes to calculate the thermosteric contribution to LIG sea levels by using the Thermodynamic Equation of Seawater 2010 (TEOS-10). To provide an estimate of thermosteric sea level rise, we explored a range of scenarios where warming penetrated different ocean depths: 700 m, 2000 m (approximately the upper half of the ocean), and 3500 m (the whole ocean). We determined the change in the specific volume of the warmed water column of each 10° latitude x 10° grid cell while holding the salinity constant and neglecting changes in ocean area. Here absolute temperature is considered, as specific volume is more sensitive to temperature changes at warmer temperatures.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Quality control

The Last Interglacial SST database is derived from published articles that have already been peer-reviewed. To generate the database, we undertook a comprehensive check to remove
duplicates, records, erroneous location information, and other errors. In addition, to ensure consistency of data processing and any recalculation (for instance, sea surface temperature anomalies relative to the period 1981–2010 CE), we also checked uncertain metadata reported for individual sites, and directly communicated with selected article authors and/or other experts as part of the record-validation process.

### 3.2 Ocean circulation

A challenge for the Last Interglacial is determining what influence (if any) ocean circulation had on the temperatures experienced (and reconstructed) by organisms that are used to generate SST reconstructions. Addressing this issue is an important objective of the current study, but we found the magnitude of temperature offset (bias) is limited to only a few key locations (Fig. 1), with similar final reconstructions for individual sites, and latitudinally averaged and globally averaged temperatures (Figs. 4 and 5, and Table 1). This provides an important check of our temperature recalculations. As a sensitivity test, we therefore explored virtual planktic particles that “live” for 30 d to investigate whether a prolonged period of drift made a discernible difference (data not reported here). Only a few species have been suggested as living for a longer period of time. For instance, in laboratory experiments the planktic foraminifer *Neogloboquadrina pachyderma* sinistral has been shown to survive up to 230 d (Spindler, 1996), but this species may be an exception due to its ability to survive in sea ice (Dieckmann et al., 1991).

Using 30 d drift to simulate the travelling time and lifespans of virtual planktic particles in the upper part of the water column, we quantified the inherited temperature signal of flora and fauna at each site in the database. The virtual microorganisms with a 30 d “lifespan” travelled from a few dozen to a few hundred kilometres. The temperature offsets are almost all positive in the tropical eastern Pacific, the North Atlantic, and the South China Sea, meaning that the planktic particles originated from warmer climates and hence record a higher temperature estimate than local conditions would suggest; the opposite effect was observed in the tropical western Pacific and Southern Ocean (Fig. 1). The offset can be substantial – with values ranging from −6.9 °C for site MD98-2162 at 4.7° S in the tropical western Pacific (Visser et al., 2003) and up to 3.5 °C for site RC13-110 on the Equator (Pisias and Mix, 1997) – with the largest changes associated with boundary currents and major ocean fronts. Intriguingly, these values are comparable to the difference previously reported for Mg / Ca foraminifera core-top calibration with those obtained from laboratory-cultured Mg / Ca calibrations (Elderfield and Ganssen, 2000; Hönisch et al., 2013). Both the uncorrected and 30 d drift temperatures are provided in the database. These temperature reconstructions led to statistically indistinguishable global temperature (and thermosteric sea level change; Fig. 5). Users of the database are therefore able to use either the authors’ original sea surface temperature determinations or our drift-corrected estimates, as required.

### 3.3 Proxy and seasonal effects

To evaluate potential biases in our analysis, we further subsampled our database by proxy type (Fig. 4). The large network of sites and proxies does not appear to demonstrate any significant offset in annual reconstructions (at least within the uncertainty of the reconstructions), although there is a tendency for alkenone temperatures to be at the upper end of the range, implying there may be a seasonal bias, as reported previously (Hoffman et al., 2017). Importantly, we also compiled seasonal quantified temperature estimates that have been reported as the seasonally warmest or coolest months in the year (taken here to represent June–August and December–February depending on the hemisphere being considered). Our result suggests that any bias, if real, is smaller than the uncertainties at the global or zonal level reported here. Intriguingly, the warmest month estimates for the high latitudes in both hemispheres show more muted warming than the mean annual estimates, while the low to
mid-latitudes exhibit considerably cooler estimates (Table 1). In contrast to the alkenone estimates for the annual estimates, the more muted response of foraminifera, radiolaria and diatoms for the seasonal reconstructions implies that they are influenced by a larger part of the seasonal cycle. We therefore consider that seasonal reconstructions should be treated as conservative estimates of temperature for the LIG.

### 3.4 Average and early temperatures during the Last Interglacial

We find global average annual temperatures across the full duration of the LIG were only marginally warmer than present day. We derive a global mean annual temperature anomaly of 0.2 ± 0.1 °C, the same value obtained after correcting for drift (Table 1). These values, however, mask considerable zonal differences, with significantly cooler mean annual uncorrected temperatures (i.e. not corrected for drift) within 23.5° of the Equator (−0.3 ± 0.2°C) and amplified warming polewards (Fig. 5). Ideally, we would have a dense network of records in the mid-latitudes to high latitudes for investigating the impact of warming surrounding polar ice sheets, but unfortunately the number of sites and their spatial distribution does appear to have an impact on the reconstructed values. Comparison of the SST anomalies poleward of 45 and 50° latitude (Table 1) shows substantial differences, most notably in the Southern Hemisphere where a large increase in zonally averaged SST occurs alongside a decrease in the number of records polewards of 50° S (Table 1). For instance, the drift-corrected SSTs for the LIG are 0.8 ± 0.3 °C (n = 13) and 2.7 ± 1.1 °C (n = 3) polewards of 45 and 50° S, respectively. It should also be noted that while the Northern Hemisphere polar estimates are similar for both latitudinal ranges, the majority of sites are in the North Atlantic, with limited representation in the Pacific Ocean (Fig. 1). We therefore recommend that when considering mid- to high-latitude zonal SST averages, the values derived from records polewards of 45° latitude are more likely robust but acknowledge these may be conservative estimates (with considerably larger warming further to the south). We therefore estimate uncorrected “polar” warming in the Northern Hemisphere to be 2.0 ± 0.4 °C and 0.2 ± 0.3 °C in the Southern Hemisphere (Table 1). Correcting for drift decreased the northern estimate to 1.5 ± 0.4 °C and increased in the south to a mean annual SST to 0.8 ± 0.3 °C.

The maximum temperatures of the early LIG were up to 0.9 ± 0.1 °C warmer than 1981–2010, regardless of whether the values were corrected for drift or not (Table 1 and Fig. 6). Similar to the mean SSTs of the LIG, there were apparently considerable zonal differences in the uncorrected values: 0.1 ± 0.2 °C within 23.5° of the Equator, 3.2 ± 0.4 °C polewards of 45° N, and 1.5 ± 1.1 °C polewards of 45° S. After correcting for drift, the estimated SST in the north changed to 1.2 ± 0.9 °C and increased in the south to 2.8 ± 0.4 °C and in the south it changed to 2.1 ± 1.1 °C. The latter estimate from the Southern Hemisphere is ~2 °C (relative to 1981–2010), potentially providing an important constraint for future Antarctic ice sheet model simulations for the LIG (Turney et al., 2020a; Golledge et al., 2015). These data support previous work which reported substantial polar temperature amplification during the LIG, partic-

### Table 1. Annual and seasonal temperature estimates for the Last Interglacial. DJF: December to February; JJA: June to August. Temperature anomalies relative to the period 1981–2010 CE. Maximum early temperature is defined as the maximum annual temperature recorded during the estimated first 5 millennia of the Last Interglacial.

|                  | Global SST (°C) | Tropical SST (23.5°N–23.5°S) | SST polewards of 45° N | SST polewards of 50° N | SST polewards of 45° S | SST polewards of 50° S |
|------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Maximum early LIG (n) | (189)          | (87)                          | (22)                  | (20)                  | (13)                  | (3)                   |
| Uncorrected      | 0.9            | 0.1                           | 3.2                   | 3.8                   | 1.5                   | 3.7                   |
| 30 d drift       | 0.9            | 0.1                           | 2.8                   | 3.2                   | 2.1                   | 3.7                   |
| 1σ               | 0.1            | 0.2                           | 0.4                   | 0.4                   | 0.3                   | 1.1                   |
| Mean (n)         | (189)          | (87)                          | (22)                  | (20)                  | (13)                  | (3)                   |
| Uncorrected      | 0.2            | −0.3                          | 2.0                   | 2.8                   | 0.2                   | 2.7                   |
| 30 d drift       | 0.2            | −0.3                          | 1.5                   | 2.3                   | 0.8                   | 2.7                   |
| 1σ               | 0.1            | 0.2                           | 0.4                   | 0.4                   | 0.3                   | 1.1                   |
| DJF (n)          | (99)           | (35)                          | (16)                  | (15)                  | (14)                  | (9)                   |
| Uncorrected      | −0.6           | −0.7                          | −0.1                  | 0.0                   | −0.3                  | 0.8                   |
| 30 d drift       | −0.7           | −0.9                          | −0.5                  | −0.7                  | 0.3                   | 1.0                   |
| 1σ               | 0.2            | 0.3                           | 0.4                   | 0.5                   | 0.3                   | 0.3                   |
| JJA (n)          | (92)           | (35)                          | (20)                  | (19)                  | (4)                   | (1)                   |
| Uncorrected      | −0.4           | −1.1                          | 1.3                   | 1.3                   | −1.9                  | 0.1                   |
| 30 d drift       | −0.5           | −1.2                          | 0.9                   | 0.7                   | −1.2                  | −0.2                  |
| 1σ               | 0.2            | 0.3                           | 0.4                   | 0.4                   | 0.4                   | 1.1                   |
Figure 6. Global and zonal mean annual sea surface temperature (SST) anomalies and SST-derived thermosteric sea level change during the early Last Interglacial reported as uncorrected (panels a and c, respectively) corrected values, i.e. after applying 30 d (panels b and d, respectively) temperature offsets arising from drift with ocean currents. Uncertainty for zonal average reconstructions are given at 1σ. Here ocean warming is assumed to have penetrated to 2000 m depth on average. Temperature estimates are relative to the modern period (1981–2010 CE).

Table 2. Annual temperature-derived thermosteric contributions to global sea level during the Last Interglacial for ocean warming down to different water depths.

| Depth (m) | Uncorrected | 30 d drift | 1σ |
|-----------|-------------|------------|----|
| 700 m     | 0.12        | 0.13       | 0.10 |
| 2000 m    | 0.36        | 0.39       | 0.39 |
| 3500 m    | 0.67        | 0.72       | 0.72 |

3.5 Thermal expansion contribution to Last Interglacial sea level

The LIG is characterized by higher GMSL than present day (+6.6 to +11.4 m) (Grant et al., 2014; Dutton et al., 2015; Turney and Jones, 2010; Rohling et al., 2017, 2019). Here we quantified the contribution of the relatively high temperatures to global sea levels through ocean thermal expansion for warming down to 2000 m water depth (Table 2). We find that through the LIG, the average SST contribution to thermosteric sea level was negligible, approximately 0.05 ± 0.10 m uncorrected for ocean drift and 0.08 ± 0.10 m corrected for drift, consistent with a recent reconstruction of near-modern global ocean heat content and negligible thermosteric sea level rise (Shackleton et al., 2020). But for the early LIG (129–124 ka), using our maximum SST estimate, we obtained high-end estimates of thermal expansion to GMSL of 0.36 ± 0.10 m (uncorrected) and 0.39 ± 0.10 m (drift-corrected). These quantified estimates are comparable to a previously reported value of 0.4 ± 0.3 m (McKay et al., 2011), which used the same methodology as here but a smaller network of SST records. However, we should recognize that the depth of ocean warming is uncertain, and could have extended deeper than 2000 m. If we assume warming penetrated the full ocean depth (down to 3500 m), we obtained a maximum early LIG thermosteric sea level rise of 0.67 ± 0.10 m (uncorrected) and 0.72 ± 0.10 m (drift-corrected) (Table 2). The recently reported early LIG (~129 ka) peak in global ocean heat content reconstructed from isotopic ratios of atmospheric trace gases has determined a maximum thermal expansion of 0.7 ± 0.3 m (Shackleton et al., 2020). To achieve ~0.7 m of thermosteric sea level rise dur-
ing the early interglacial peak in temperatures, we have to use both our maximum estimate of temperature rise and our maximum estimate of the depth of warming. A recent modelling-proxy estimate proposed a range of 0.08 to 0.51 m for peak LIG warmth centred at 125 ka (Hoffman et al., 2017), which is more consistent with our results. Even though 125 ka is later than the peak in global ocean heat content, this is effectively the same event but represents the age uncertainties in the marine records. Although some uncertainty remains in the amplitude of thermal expansion between these studies, it is clear that the sustained high global sea levels across the LIG and the limited role of warming on thermal expansion implies a greater contribution from ice sheets, mountain glaciers, permafrost, and hydrological change. With the greatest warming relative to Marine Isotope Stage 6 in the Atlantic basin (Fig. 7), our results are consistent with previous studies suggesting substantial mass loss from the Greenland and the West Antarctic ice sheets early in the Last Interglacial (Clark et al., 2020; Turney et al., 2020a; Dutton et al., 2015; Mercer, 1978; Hayes et al., 2014; Rohling et al., 2019).

5 Conclusions

During the Last Interglacial (LIG; 129–116 ka), global temperatures were up to 2 °C warmer than present, with marked polar amplification and global sea levels between 6.6 and 11.4 m higher than present, offering a powerful opportunity to obtain key insights into the drivers of future change (a so-called “process analogue”). The contributions of different sources to the LIG sea level highstand remain highly uncertain, however. As a result of relatively warm surface temperatures, ocean thermal expansion was previously estimated to have contributed 0.4 ± 0.3 m. To more precisely constrain this contribution to global mean sea level, we report a new comprehensive database of quantified SST estimates derived from faunal and floral assemblages, Mg/Ca and Sr/Ca ratios of calcareous organisms, and U$^{37}$ estimates from records spanning 55.55°S to 72.18°N. Here we have calculated maximum annual SSTs during the early interglacial (129–124 ka) and mean annual SSTs throughout the LIG (129–116 ka; 189 sites) alongside mean December–February (99 records) and June–August (92 records) values. Temperatures are reported as anomalies relative to the period 1981–2010 CE. To estimate the temperature footprint arising from ocean circulation we also report SST anomalies corrected for 30 d drift to simulate the travelling time and lifespans of virtual planktic particles in the upper part of the water column. Our reconstruction suggests an early LIG maximum global mean annual SST of 0.9 ± 0.1 °C and an average warming across the LIG of 0.2 ± 0.1 °C. However, these values are strongly driven by polar warming of several degrees, with little to no warming in the tropics. We find that the influence of warming on ocean thermal expansion had a limited influence on

4 Data availability

The Last Interglacial SST database is provided as an Excel workbook in the Supplement and by the PANGAEA Data Publisher at https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.904381 (Turney et al., 2019); the data are also available on the NCEI-Paleo/World Data Service for Paleoclimatology at https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/26851 (Turney et al., 2020b). This release comprises a single tab-delimited Excel file. We welcome contributions of additional or clarifying information from authors. These will be incorporated into any subsequent iteration of the database. When using data in this compilation, the original data collector(s), as well as the data compiler(s), will be credited. Given the typically large uncertainties in the absolute dating of each individual record, no attempt has been made to develop individual time series, and only mean values across the LIG have been compiled. For simplicity we record the 1σ (68 %) confidence interval in the site temperature reconstructions. The inclusion of key metadata allows users to interrogate individual records for their own appropriate screening criteria.
global mean sea levels across the full LIG, but with a likely range between 0.39 ± 0.1 m and 0.72 ± 0.10 m early in the interglacial. Our findings therefore imply a relatively greater contribution of ice sheets, mountain glaciers, permafrost, and hydrological change to global sea level during the LIG, likely driven by polar amplification of temperatures. We hope this database may provide a springboard for future studies that can bring to bear new geochronological methods (e.g. tephra) to constrain the age models of individual sequences to submillennial uncertainty, something currently not possible for most reported marine sequences. An improved network of high-resolution, well-dated and quantified LIG climate reconstructions (particularly in data-sparse locations) will enable precise integration of ice sheet, marine, and terrestrial records to better understand Earth system responses to high-latitude warming. The Southern Ocean and North Pacific are regions where major knowledge gaps currently exist.

Supplement. The supplementary figures and version 1.0 of the database (Excel file) are available in the Supplement. The supplement related to this article is available online at: https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-12-3341-2020-supplement.
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