Efficacy and safety of first-line avelumab in patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer: results from a phase Ib cohort of the JAVELIN Solid Tumor study
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ABSTRACT

Introduction Avelumab, an antiprogrammed death ligand-1 antibody, is approved as a monotherapy for treatment of metastatic Merkel cell carcinoma and advanced urothelial carcinoma, and in combination with axitinib for advanced renal cell carcinoma. We report the efficacy and safety of first-line avelumab in advanced non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC).

Methods In a phase I expansion cohort of the JAVELIN Solid Tumor trial, patients with treatment-naive, metastatic, or recurrent NSCLC received 10 mg/kg avelumab intravenously every 2 weeks. Endpoints included best overall response, duration of response (DOR), progression-free survival (PFS), overall survival (OS), and safety.

Results Overall, 156 patients were enrolled and treated. Median duration of follow-up was 18.6 months (range, 15 to 23 months). The objective response rate was 19.9% (95% CI, 13.9 to 27.0), including complete response in 3 (1.9%) and partial response in 28 (17.9%). Median OS was 14.1 months (95% CI, 11.3 to 16.9) and median PFS was 4.0 months (95% CI, 2.7 to 5.4). Treatment-related adverse events occurred in 107 patients (68.6%), including ≥ grade 3 TRAEs in 19 (12.2%). Immune-related adverse events and infusion-related reactions occurred in 31 (19.9%) and 40 patients (25.6%), respectively. No treatment-related deaths occurred.

Conclusion Avelumab showed antitumor activity with a tolerable safety profile as a first-line treatment in patients with advanced NSCLC. These data support further investigation of avelumab in the phase III JAVELIN Lung 100 study.

Trial registration details ClinicalTrials.gov NCT01772004; registered January 21, 2013.

INTRODUCTION

Monoclonal antibodies targeting immune checkpoint proteins are established treatments for metastatic non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). In 2016, the antiprogrammed cell death protein-1 (PD-1) antibody pembrolizumab was approved as first-line monotherapy for patients with metastatic NSCLC without targetable epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) or anaplastic lymphoma kinase (ALK) gene defects and with ≥50% programmed death ligand 1 (PD-L1) expression on tumor cells.1 Based on results of the phase III KEYNOTE-042 study, approval of pembrolizumab monotherapy in the first-line setting was expanded in the USA for patients with ≥1% PD-L1 expression on tumor cells.3 By contrast, in a phase III trial of first-line nivolumab (anti-PD-1) monotherapy versus platinum-based chemotherapy in patients with advanced NSCLC with ≥5% PD-L1 expression on tumor cells, nivolumab did not significantly improve progression-free survival (PFS) or overall survival (OS).4 More recently, immune checkpoint inhibitors (pembrolizumab and atezolizumab) have been assessed in combination with chemotherapy in the first-line NSCLC setting and have shown superior efficacy compared with chemotherapy alone in several randomized trials.5–7

Avelumab, a human anti-PD-L1 immunoglobulin G1 antibody with a wild-type Fc region, has been shown in preclinical models to induce antitumor activity via adaptive and innate effector cells.8 Avelumab has been approved in some countries as monotherapy for metastatic Merkel cell carcinoma, as monotherapy for advanced urothelial carcinoma that has not progressed with platinum-containing chemotherapy (first-line maintenance therapy) or following disease progression, and in combination...
with axitinib for first-line treatment of advanced renal cell carcinoma. In previous clinical trials, avelumab has demonstrated clinical activity and a tolerable safety profile in patients with various other tumor types, including platinum-treated NSCLC. Here, we report efficacy and safety data from a phase I expansion cohort of the JAVELIN Solid Tumor trial in which patients with advanced NSCLC received first-line avelumab. Preliminary findings from this cohort led to the initiation of the phase III JAVELIN Lung 100 study (NCT02576574) of first-line avelumab versus platinum-based doublet chemotherapy in patients with PD-L1-positive NSCLC.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design and treatment

JAVELIN Solid Tumor (NCT01772004) is an international, multicohort, open-label phase I trial. In this phase Ib NSCLC expansion cohort, patients, who were unselected for PD-L1 expression, had histologically confirmed stage IV (per International Association for the Study of Lung Cancer classification, seventh edition) or recurrent NSCLC, no prior treatment for metastatic or recurrent disease, and no activating EGFR mutation or ALK translocation/rearrangement (tumors with non-squamous cell histology were tested if mutational status was unknown). General eligibility criteria for the JAVELIN Solid Tumor trial have been reported previously.

Procedures and assessments

Patients received avelumab 10 mg/kg by intravenous infusion every 2 weeks until disease progression, unacceptable toxicity, or other criteria for withdrawal were met. Patients were permitted to continue treatment despite progression according to the investigator’s decision and in agreement with the patient if no new symptoms appeared, existing symptoms did not worsen, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) performance status did not decrease, and the investigator did not consider it necessary to administer a salvage therapy. Dose reductions were not permitted. Premedication with an antihistamine (diphenhydramine or equivalent) and acetaminophen was given 30 to 60 min before each infusion. Treatment was permanently discontinued for any grade ≥3 adverse event (AE) except for specified transient AEs (reported previously). Grade 2 AEs were managed by treatment delays of ≥2 subsequent omitted doses; events that did not resolve to grade ≤1 or recurrent resulted in permanent discontinuation of avelumab. Clinical activity and safety were analyzed in all patients who received ≥1 dose of avelumab.

Tumor assessments were performed every 6 weeks for the first year and every 12 weeks thereafter by investigators according to Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors 1.1 (RECIST 1.1) and modified immune-related response criteria. Safety was assessed every 2 weeks at each visit, and AEs were graded according to National Cancer Institute Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events V.4.0. Immune-related AEs (irAEs) were identified using a prespecified list of Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities (MedDRA)-preferred terms followed by comprehensive medical review. Infusion-related reactions (IRRs) were identified using an expanded definition that included both a prespecified list of MedDRA-preferred terms (IRR, drug hypersensitivity, or hypersensitivity reaction) that occurred post infusion within 48 hours, and additional signs or symptoms that occurred on the day of infusion and resolved within 2 days.

PD-L1 expression was assessed using a proprietary immunohistochemistry assay (PD-L1 IHC 73-10 pharmDx; Dako, Carpinteria, California). In previous studies comparing the 73-10 PD-L1 assay with the 22C3 assay used in pembrolizumab trials, the 73-10 assay showed greater sensitivity, and the ≥80% PD-L1 cut-off for the 73-10 assay was found to be comparable to the ≥50% PD-L1 cut-off for the 22C3 assay (manuscript in press). PD-L1-positive status was predefined as PD-L1 expression of any intensity on ≥1% of tumor cells; PD-L1 expression status was also assessed using cut-offs of ≥50% and ≥80% in post hoc analyses.

Prespecified endpoints assessed in this expansion cohort included confirmed best overall response, duration of response (DOR), and PFS based on investigator assessment according to RECIST 1.1, best overall response based on investigator assessment according to modified immune-related response criteria, OS, PD-L1 expression, and safety (all secondary endpoints in the overall JAVELIN Solid Tumor trial protocol).

Statistical analysis

Planned enrollment was 150 patients, which was based on the anticipated sample size required to estimate and provide 95% Clopper-Pearson CIs for potential objective response rates (ORRs). Safety data were summarized using descriptive statistics. Time-to-event endpoints were estimated using the Kaplan-Meier method, while 95% CIs for medians were calculated using the Brookmeyer-Crowley method. P values for the association between PD-L1 status and ORRs were determined using the Fisher’s exact test. Comparisons between other subgroups were not prespecified and are reported descriptively.

RESULTS

Patient characteristics and disposition

Between March 18, 2015, and November 19, 2015, 156 patients were enrolled from seven countries in North America, Europe, and Asia (table 1). The median age was 69.5 years (range, 41 to 90 years), 83 patients (53.2%) were male, and most patients (108 (69.2%)) had an ECOG performance status of 1. Tumor histology was squamous in 46 patients (29.5%) and non-squamous in 110 (70.5%). A total of 139 patients (89.1%) were ever smokers (current or previous) and 17 (10.9%) had never smoked. PD-L1 expression was evaluable in 111 patients (71.2%), of whom 88 (79.3%) had PD-L1-positive tumors.
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**Table 1** Patient demographics and baseline characteristics

| Characteristic | N=156 |
|----------------|-------|
| **Age** | |
| Median (range), years | 69.5 (41 to 90) |
| <65, n (%) | 51 (32.7) |
| ≥65, n (%) | 105 (67.3) |
| **Sex, n (%)** | |
| Male | 83 (53.2) |
| Female | 73 (46.8) |
| **Geographic region, n (%)** | |
| North America | 127 (81.4) |
| Europe | 25 (16.0) |
| Asia | 4 (2.6) |
| **Race, n (%)** | |
| White | 124 (79.5) |
| Black or African American | 12 (7.7) |
| Asian | 6 (3.8) |
| Other | 14 (9.0) |
| **ECOG PS, n (%)** | |
| 0 | 46 (29.5) |
| 1 | 108 (69.2) |
| 2* | 2 (1.3) |
| **Smoking status, n (%)** | |
| Never used | 17 (10.9) |
| Regular user | 29 (18.6) |
| Occasional user | 2 (1.3) |
| Former user | 108 (69.2) |
| **Time since first diagnosis, median (range), months** | 2.0 (0.02 to 143.5) |
| **Time since diagnosis of metastatic disease, median (range), months†** | 1.5 (0.2 to 92.0) |
| **Tumor histology, n (%)** | |
| Squamous cell carcinoma | 46 (29.5) |
| Non-squamous cell carcinoma | 110 (70.5) |
| **EGFR mutation status, n (%)** | |
| Wild type | 137 (87.8) |
| Mutant‡ | 1 (0.6) |
| Unknown | 18 (11.5) |
| **ALK mutation status, n (%)** | |
| Wild type | 140 (89.7) |
| Mutant | 0 |
| Unknown | 16 (10.3) |
| **KRAS mutation status, n (%)** | |
| Wild type | 6 (3.8) |
| Mutant | 10 (6.4) |
| Unknown | 140 (89.7) |
| **PD-L1 expression ≥1% of tumor cells, n (%)** | |
| Positive | 88 (56.4) |
| Negative | 23 (14.7) |
| Not evaluable§ | 45 (28.8) |

*Both patients had an ECOG PS of 1 at baseline, which had increased to 2 at the first dose of study treatment.
†Data missing for six patients.
‡This patient was permitted to enroll following discussions between the investigator and the sponsor based on expected resistance to available tyrosine kinase inhibitor therapy.
§Reasons for PD-L1 expression not being evaluable included tumor sample containing insufficient tumor cells (<100), non-evaluable sample type (eg, cytology specimen), and no tumor tissue available for analysis.
ALK, anaplastic lymphoma kinase; ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status; EGFR, epidermal growth factor receptor; PD-L1, programmed death ligand-1.

**Table 1 continued**

| Characteristic | N=156 |
|----------------|-------|
| **PD-L1 expression ≥50% of tumor cells, n (%)** | |
| Positive | 53 (34.0) |
| Negative | 58 (37.2) |
| Not evaluable§ | 45 (28.8) |
| **PD-L1 expression ≥80% of tumor cells, n (%)** | |
| Positive | 38 (24.4) |
| Negative | 73 (46.8) |
| Not evaluable§ | 45 (28.8) |

Of 156 patients, 3 (1.9%) had a confirmed complete response (CR) and 28 (17.9%) had a confirmed partial response (PR), resulting in an ORR of 19.9% (95% CI, 13.9% to 27.0%); 17 patients (10.9%) were not evaluable for response per RECIST (missing evaluations or not assessable; table 1). ORRs were observed in 17.4% (95% CI, 7.8% to 31.4%) of patients with squamous and 20.9% (95% CI, 13.7% to 29.7%) of patients with non-squamous histology. ORRs in ever smokers and never smokers were 20.9% (95% CI, 14.4% to 28.6%) and 11.8% (95% CI, 1.5% to 36.4%), respectively. In patients who had unknown EGFR or ALK mutation status, ORRs were 16.7% (95% CI, 3.6% to 41.4%) and 18.8% (95% CI, 4.0% to 45.6%), respectively. Of the three patients who had a CR, two had a preceding PR. Response was ongoing in 15 of 31 patients at data cut-off (figure 1A).

The median time to response was 11.4 weeks (range, 5.1 to 29.6 weeks) and the median DOR in patients with confirmed responses was 12.0 months (95% CI, 6.93 months to not estimable). Of 142 patients who were evaluable for changes in target lesions (ie, those with

---

Based on a ≥1% cut-off. EGFR and ALK mutation status were unknown in 18 (11.5%) and 16 (10.3%), respectively. At data cut-off (February 15, 2017), the median follow-up was 18.6 months (range, 15 to 23 months). Patients received a median of 12 avelumab infusions (range, 1 to 49) over a median duration of 5.5 months (range, 0.5 to 22.5 months). At data cut-off, 26 patients (16.7%) remained on study treatment. Reasons for permanent treatment discontinuation were disease progression (82 (52.6%), AEs (24 (15.4%), withdrawal of consent (9 (5.8%)), death (9 (5.8%)), and other reasons (6 (3.8%)).
a baseline and on-study tumor assessment available), 93 (65.5%) had a reduction in tumor size of any level, while 43 (30.3%; including 12 patients with unconfirmed responses) had ≥30% reduction (figure 1B and online additional file 1), with no notable trends based on tumor histology or smoking status (online additional file 2). The median PFS in all patients was 4.0 months (95% CI, 2.7 to 5.4 months) and the 6-month PFS rate was 38.5% (95% CI, 30.7% to 46.3%) (online additional file 3). The median OS was 14.1 months (95% CI, 11.3 to 16.9 months) and the 12-month OS rate was 56.6% (95% CI, 48.2% to 64.1%) (online additional file 3).

In analyses of efficacy in subgroups with PD-L1 expression levels of ≥1%, ≥50%, and ≥80%, ORRs by RECIST 1.1 were 19.3% (95% CI, 11.7% to 29.1%), 22.6% (95% CI, 12.3% to 36.2%), and 26.3% (95% CI, 13.4% to 43.1%), respectively (table 3). Patients who were not evaluable for PD-L1 status had an ORR of 26.7% (95% CI, 14.6% to 41.9%). Best change in target lesions by PD-L1 status is shown in online additional file 2. In PD-L1+ and PD-L1− subgroups (≥1% and <1% cut-offs, respectively), median PFS was 4.0 months (95% CI, 2.7 to 6.0 months) and 1.5 months (95% CI, 1.35 to 5.4 months), and median OS was 14.1 months (95% CI, 11.2 to 18.2 months) and 11.3 months (95% CI, 1.6 to not estimable), respectively.

In analyses of response by immune-related criteria, four additional patients, who did not achieve a response according to RECIST 1.1, had an objective response, resulting in an immune-related ORR of 22.4%, including immune-related CRs in four patients (2.6%) and immune-related PRs in 31 patients (19.9%). In PD-L1 subgroups with expression levels of ≥1%, ≥50%, and ≥80%, immune-related ORRs were 23.9% (95% CI, 15.4% to 34.1%), 28.3% (95% CI, 16.8% to 42.3%), and 34.2% (95% CI, 19.6% to 51.4%), respectively. In the overall population, median PFS based on immune-related criteria was 6.9 months (95% CI, 5.4 to 9.7 months).

**Safety**

AEs (irrespective of relationship to treatment)

In total, 156 patients (100%) had an AE of any grade. AEs led to permanent treatment discontinuation in 31 patients (19.9%). Twenty patients (12.8%) died following an AE that was unrelated to treatment; no deaths were considered related to treatment. IRR, identified using an expanded definition, was the most common AE and occurred in 40 patients (25.6%), including grade ≥3 AEs in five patients (3.2%). Most IRRs (34 of 40 patients) occurred after the first infusion, and eight patients (5.1%) permanently discontinued treatment because of an IRR.

**Treatment-related AEs**

Treatment-related AEs (TRAEs) of any grade occurred in 107 patients (68.6%; table 4). Of 19 patients (12.2%)...
who had a grade ≥3 TRAE, three (1.9%) had a grade 4 TRAE (IRR, pneumonitis, and acute respiratory distress syndrome, which each occurred in one patient (0.6%)). The only grade 3 TRAEs that occurred in >1 patient were IRR (5 (3.2%)) and fatigue (4 (2.6%)). Seventeen patients (10.9%) had a TRAE that led to permanent treatment discontinuation (online additional file 4).

**Serious TRAEs**

Serious TRAEs occurred in 15 patients (9.6%), and the most common (≥2 patients) were IRR (4 (2.6%)) and pneumonitis (2 (1.3%)).

**Immune-related adverse events**

Thirty-one patients (19.9%) had an irAE, of which one patient (0.6%) had a grade ≥3 irAE (pneumonitis; table 5). The most common category was endocrine irAEs, which included 15 patients (9.6%) with either hypothyroidism or hyperthyroidism, and one patient (0.6%) with adrenal insufficiency. In addition, cutaneous irAEs, including immune-mediated rash or pruritus, occurred in 12 patients (7.7%), pneumonitis occurred in five patients (3.2%), and immune-mediated diarrhea or colitis occurred in three patients (1.9%).

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, first-line avelumab monotherapy showed clinical activity and an acceptable safety profile in patients with treatment-naive advanced NSCLC. The ORR was 19.9% and the median DOR was 12.0 months. In comparison, ORRs in studies of avelumab in patients with platinum-treated advanced NSCLC, who were unsellected for PD-L1 status, were 14% in a separate phase I cohort of the JAVELIN Solid Tumor trial22 and 15% in the phase III JAVELIN Lung 200 trial.23 In the current study, patients with ≥50% and ≥80% PD-L1-positive tumors had ORRs (by RECIST 1.1) of 22.6% and 26.3%, respectively. However, a high proportion of patients (28.8%) were not evaluable for tumor PD-L1 expression, and patient numbers were low, particularly in the high PD-L1-positive subgroup (n=38), which hampers interpretation of the biomarker data. In the overall population, the median PFS was 4.0 months and the median OS was 14.1 months.

Response rates and PFS assessed using immune-related criteria were slightly increased compared with analyzes based on RECIST 1.1. Although the study included small subgroups with unknown EGFR and ALK mutation status, ORRs in these patients were similar to the ORR in the overall population. Safety outcomes were comparable to previous studies of avelumab and other anti-PD-L1/PD-L1 agents in NSCLC.24-26

Data from this study can be considered in the context of similar early-phase studies of other anti-PD-L1/PD-L1 antibodies administered as first-line monotherapy for PD-L1-positive NSCLC, although cross-trial comparisons should be interpreted with caution as eligibility criteria and patient populations may differ, and companion assays to detect PD-L1 expression were developed independently for each agent. In a cohort of a phase I study (KEYNOTE-001) in which patients with treatment-naive NSCLC received pembrolizumab, the ORR was 27%, median PFS was 6.2 months, and median OS was 22.1 months; efficacy was increased in patients whose tumors had high PD-L1 expression (≥50%) of tumor cells PD-L1+ using the 22C3 assay, which is comparable to ≥80% of tumor cells PD-L1+ using the more sensitive 73-10

**Table 3** ORR according to tumor PD-L1 status (cut-off indicates percentage of tumor cells expressing PD-L1)

|                  | PD-L1 positive | PD-L1 negative | P value |
|------------------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| ≥1% cut-off      |                |                |         |
| Patients, n      | 88             | 23             | –       |
| ORR (95% CI), %  | 19.3 (11.7 to 29.1) | 8.7 (1.1 to 28.0) | 0.353   |
| Median PFS (95% CI), months | 4.0 (2.7 to 6.0) | 1.5 (1.4 to 5.4) | –       |
| Median OS (95% CI), months | 14.1 (11.2 to 18.2) | 11.3 (1.6 to NE) | –       |
| ≥50% cut-off     |                |                |         |
| Patients, n      | 53             | 58             | –       |
| ORR (95% CI), %  | 22.6 (12.3 to 36.2) | 12.1 (5.0 to 23.3) | 0.207   |
| Median PFS (95% CI), months | 5.4 (2.8 to 9.6) | 2.4 (1.4 to 2.8) | –       |
| Median OS (95% CI), months | 14.2 (11.9 to NE) | 13.6 (6.8 to 18.2) | –       |
| ≥80% cut-off     |                |                |         |
| Patients, n      | 38             | 73             | –       |
| ORR (95% CI), %  | 26.3 (13.4 to 43.1) | 12.3 (5.8 to 22.1) | 0.109   |
| Median PFS (95% CI), months | 5.4 (2.7 to 11.1) | 2.7 (1.4 to 4.2) | –       |
| Median OS (95% CI), months | 14.2 (12.4 to 16.9) | 14.0 (8.4 to 19.7) | –       |

NE, not estimable (not reached); ORR, objective response rate; OS, overall survival; PD-L1, programmed death ligand 1; PFS, progression-free survival.
In patients with NSCLC treated with first-line nivolumab in the phase I CheckMate 012 trial, the ORR was 23%, median PFS was 3.6 months, and median OS was 19.4 months.25 In addition, in patients with PD-L1-negative NSCLC (≥50% PD-L1 expression on tumor cells; SP263 assay).28 Similarly, in the MYSTIC trial, durvalumab alone or in combination with tremelimumab (anticytotoxic T-lymphocyte-associated protein 4) was not superior to platinum-based doublet chemotherapy in patients with PD-L1-positive tumors (≥5% PD-L1 expression on tumor cells; 28-8 assay).4 More recently, several phase III trials have reported superior ORR for anti-PD-1 or anti-PD-L1 antibodies combined with chemotherapy versus chemotherapy alone in NSCLC irrespective of PD-L1 expression.5-7 However, combination regimens may be associated with increased toxicity burden; thus checkpoint inhibitor monotherapy with pembrolizumab remains a standard first-line treatment for PD-L1-high NSCLC.30 31 The ongoing phase III JAVELIN Lung 100 study (NCT02576574), which was initiated in 2015, is assessing first-line avelumab monotherapy compared

Table 4  TRAEs (any grade in ≥5% of patients or grade ≥3 in any patient) and IRRs

| N=156 | Any grade | Grade ≥3 |
|-------|-----------|---------|
| Any TRAE, n (%)* | 107 (68.6) | 19 (12.2) |
| Fatigue | 32 (20.5) | 4 (2.6) |
| Nausea | 19 (12.2) | 0 |
| Diarrhea | 12 (7.7) | 0 |
| Chills | 11 (7.1) | 0 |
| Decreased appetite | 10 (6.4) | 1 (0.6) |
| Arthralgia | 9 (5.8) | 0 |
| Dry skin | 9 (5.8) | 0 |
| Pruritus | 8 (5.1) | 0 |
| Fever | 8 (5.1) | 0 |
| Vomiting | 8 (5.1) | 0 |
| Pneumonitis | 5 (3.2) | 1 (0.6) |
| Lipase increased | 4 (2.6) | 1 (0.6) |
| Hypokalemia | 2 (1.3) | 1 (0.6) |
| Hyponatremia | 2 (1.3) | 1 (0.6) |
| Acute respiratory distress syndrome | 1 (0.6) | 1 (0.6) |
| Endocrine disorder | 1 (0.6) | 1 (0.6) |
| Hypertension | 1 (0.6) | 1 (0.6) |
| Hypoxia | 1 (0.6) | 1 (0.6) |
| Musculoskeletal chest pain | 1 (0.6) | 1 (0.6) |
| Nephrotic syndrome | 1 (0.6) | 1 (0.6) |
| Pneumothorax | 1 (0.6) | 1 (0.6) |
| IRR, n (%)† | 40 (25.6) | 5 (3.2) |

*Incidence of treatment-related IRR based on the single MedDRA preferred term is not listed.
†Composite term, which includes AEs categorized as IRR, drug hypersensitivity, or hypersensitivity reaction that occurred on the day of or day after infusion, in addition to signs and symptoms of IRR that occurred on the same day of infusion and resolved within 2 days (including AEs classified by investigators as related or unrelated to treatment).
AE, adverse event; IRR, infusion-related reaction; MedDRA, Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities; TRAE, treatment-related adverse event.

Table 5  Immune-related adverse events (any grade in any patient; n=156)

| Patients, n (%) |
|----------------|
| Any grade | Grade ≥3 |
| Any immune-related adverse event | 31 (19.9) | 1 (0.6) |
| Immune-mediated thyroid disorder | 15 (9.6) | 0 |
| Hypothyroidism | 14 (9.0) | 0 |
| Hyperthyroidism | 1 (0.6) | 0 |
| Immune-mediated rash or pruritus | 12 (7.7) | 0 |
| Pruritus | 6 (3.8) | 0 |
| Rash | 6 (3.8) | 0 |
| Rash maculopapular | 2 (1.3) | 0 |
| Pruritus generalized | 1 (0.6) | 0 |
| Rash erythematous | 1 (0.6) | 0 |
| Rash macular | 1 (0.6) | 0 |
| Immune-mediated pneumonitis | 5 (3.2) | 1 (0.6) |
| Immune-mediated colitis | 3 (1.9) | 0 |
| Diarrhea | 2 (1.3) | 0 |
| Colitis | 1 (0.6) | 0 |
| Immune-mediated adrenal insufficiency | 1 (0.6) | 0 |
| Autoimmune disorder | 1 (0.6) | 0 |
with platinum-based doublet chemotherapy in patients with PD-L1-positive NSCLC. The primary analysis population in the JAVELIN Lung 100 study consists of patients with high PD-L1-expressing tumors (≥80% of tumor cells; 73-10 assay); hence, this study will provide an assessment of avelumab in a patient population similar to those of earlier trials of anti-PD-1/PD-L1 monotherapy.

In summary, the results from this phase Ib study showed that avelumab monotherapy has clinical activity and acceptable safety as a first-line treatment for patients with advanced NSCLC, providing the rationale for further studies. Findings from the phase III JAVELIN Lung 100 study will help clarify the potential role of avelumab monotherapy in the NSCLC treatment landscape.

Author affiliations
1Division of Medical Oncology, The Ohio State University James Cancer Hospital, Columbus, Ohio, USA
2Department of Medical Oncology, CHU Sart Tilman Liege and Liege University, Liege, Belgium
3Institute for Melanoma Research & Education, California Cancer Associates for Research & Excellence, Encinitas, California, USA
4Hematology Oncology Associates of the Treasure Coast, Port St. Lucie, Florida, USA
5Sharp Healthcare, San Diego, California, USA
6Department of Pneumology, Thoracic Oncology, University Hospital Centre Nantes, Nantes, France
7Novant Health Oncology Specialists, Winston-Salem, North Carolina, USA
8University of Cincinnati Cancer Institute, Cincinnati, Ohio, USA
9Providence Cancer Center, Portland, Oregon, USA
10Cancer Institute of New Jersey, New Brunswick, New Jersey, USA
11University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center—Simmons Cancer Center. DW has provided advisory services for and received travel expenses from Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany. RPS has provided consultancy or advisory services and received research funding from AbbVie, Amgen, AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, Bristol Myers Squibb, Celgene, Eli Lilly, Foundation Medicine, GlaxoSmithKline, Merck KGaA, Novartis, Pfizer, Roche/Genentech, Takeda Oncology; received travel expenses from AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, Bristol Myers Squibb, Celgene, Eli Lilly, EMD Serono (a business of Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany), Intuitive Surgical, Merck KGaA, Perdua Pharma, Pfizer, Roche/Genentech, Sanofi Genzyme, Spectrum Pharmaceuticals, and Symmesex; provided consultancy or advisory services for Aptitude Health, Evedo Biosciences, Illumina, Moderna, Pharma Mar, and Precision Oncology; and received research funding from Acelera Pharma, Aeglea Biotherapeutics, ARMO BioSciences, Astellas Pharma, CellDex Therapeutics, Clovis Oncology, Daiichi Sankyo, EMD Serono (a business of Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany), G1 Therapeutics, GRAIL, Ipsen, Neon Therapeutics, OncogeneX Pharmaceuticals, Takeda Oncology, Tesaro, and Transgene, and the University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center—Simmons Cancer Center. The responsibility for disclosure may be dependent on the agreement between the study investigators, co-investigators, and study teams at each of the participating centers and at Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany, and EMD Serono, Inc; a business of Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany.

Acknowledgements The authors thank the patients and their families, investigators, co-investigators, and study teams at each of the participating centers and at Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany, and EMD Serono, Inc; a business of Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany.

Contributors Conception and design: CFV, HJG, DZ, NM, MB, and JLG. Provision of study materials or patients: CFV, GJ, EFM, NI, CHR, JB, FLC, KK, JM, JCM, MT, DS, and DW. Collection and assembly of data: All authors. Data analysis and interpretation: All authors. Manuscript writing: All authors. Final approval of manuscript: All authors.

Funding This trial was sponsored by Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany and is part of an alliance between Merck KGaA and Pfizer. Medical writing support was provided by ClinicalThinking and funded by Merck KGaA and Pfizer.

Competing interests CFV, EFM, NI, and FLC have nothing to disclose. GJ has received research funding from Merck KGaA, Novartis, Pfizer, and Roche; personal fees from AbbVie, Amgen, AstraZeneca, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Daiichi Sankyo, Eli Lilly, Novartis, Pfizer, and Roche; and non-financial support from Amgen, AstraZeneca, Bristol Myers Squibb, Eli Lilly, MedImmune, Merck KGaA, Novartis, Pfizer, Roche. CH’s own stock in Pfizer. JB has provided advisory and speaker services for AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, Bristol Myers Squibb, Merck & Co, Roche, and Servier. KK has received research grants from EMD Serono (a business of Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany) and Merck & Co; has served on advisory boards for EMD Serono and Merck & Co; and has received a honorarium from Merck & Co. JM has received research funding from Merck KGaA; research funding from Amgen, AstraZeneca, Bristol Myers Squibb, EMD Serono (a business of Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany), Incyte, MacroGenics, Merck KGaA, Polymnia LLC, and Sanofi. JCM has provided speaker services for Boehringer Ingelheim and Merck KGaA, and has received travel expenses from Amgen, Eisai, Merck KGaA, and VentriRx Pharmaceuticals. MT has provided advisory and speaker services for Bristol Myers Squibb and Eisai; and advisory services for Array BioPharma, ArQule, Bayer, Blueprint Medicines, Loxo Oncology, Novartis, and Sanofi Genzyme. DS has provided consultancy or advisory services and received research funding from AbbVie, Amgen, AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, Bristol Myers Squibb, Celgene, Eli Lilly, Foundation Medicine, GlaxoSmithKline, Merck KGaA, Nektar, Novartis, Pfizer, Roche/Genentech, and Takeda Oncology; received travel expenses from AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, Bristol Myers Squibb, Celgene, Eli Lilly, EMD Serono (a business of Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany), Intuitive Surgical, Merck KGaA, Perdua Pharma, Pfizer, Roche/Genentech, Sanofi Genzyme, Spectrum Pharmaceuticals, and Symmesex; provided consultancy or advisory services for Aptitude Health, Evedo Biosciences, Illumina, Moderna, Pharma Mar, and Precision Oncology; and received research funding from Acelera Pharma, Aeglea Biotherapeutics, ARMO BioSciences, Astellas Pharma, CellDex Therapeutics, Clovis Oncology, Daiichi Sankyo, EMD Serono (a business of Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany), G1 Therapeutics, GRAIL, Ipsen, Neon Therapeutics, OncogeneX Pharmaceuticals, Takeda Oncology, Tesaro, and Transgene, and the University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center—Simmons Cancer Center. The responsibility for disclosure may be dependent on the agreement between the study investigators, co-investigators, and study teams at each of the participating centers and at Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany, and EMD Serono, Inc; a business of Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany.

Patient consent for publication Not required.

Ethics approval The trial was conducted in accordance with the ethics principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and the International Council for Harmonization Guidelines on Good Clinical Practice. The protocol was approved by the institutional review board or independent ethics committee of each center. All patients provided written informed consent before enrollment.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer-reviewed.

Data availability statement Data are available upon reasonable request. For all new products or new indications approved in both the European Union and the USA after January 1, 2014, Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany, will share patient-level and study-level data after de-identification, as well as redacted study protocols and clinical study reports from clinical trials in patients. These data will be shared with qualified scientific and medical researchers, upon researchers’ requests, as necessary for conducting legitimate research. Such requests must be submitted in writing to the company’s data sharing portal. More information can be found at https://www.merckgroup.com/en/research/our-approach-to-research-and-development/healthcare-clinical-trials/commitment-responsible-data-sharing. Where Merck KGaA has a co-research, co-development or co-marketing/co-promotion agreement or where the product has been out-licensed, it is recognized that the responsibility for disclosure may be dependent on the agreement between parties. Under these circumstances, Merck KGaA will endeavor to gain agreement to share data in response to requests.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 Unported (CC BY 4.0) license, which permits others to copy, redistribute, remix, transform and build upon this work for any purpose, provided the original work is properly cited, a link to the licence is given, and indication of whether changes were made. See https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

ORCID iDs
Claire F Verschraegen http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6544-3089
James L Gulley http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6569-2912

REFERENCES
1 Pai-Scherf L, Blumenthal GM, Li H, et al. FDA approval summary: pembrolizumab for treatment of metastatic non-small cell lung cancer: first-line therapy and beyond. Oncologist 2017;22:1392–9.
2 Reck M, Rodriguez-Abreu D, Robinson AG, et al. Pembrolizumab versus chemotherapy for PD-L1-positive non-small-cell lung cancer. N Engl J Med 2016;375:1823–33.
3. Mok TS K, Wu Y-L, Kudaba I, et al. Pembrolizumab versus chemotherapy for previously untreated, PD-L1-expressing, locally advanced or metastatic non-small-cell lung cancer (KEYNOTE-042): a randomised, open-label, controlled, phase 3 trial. *Lancet* 2019;393:1819–30.

4. Carbone DP, Reck M, Paz-Ares L, et al. First-line nivolumab in stage IV or recurrent non-small-cell lung cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2017;376:2415–26.

5. Gandhi N, Rodriguez-Abreu D, Gadgeel S, et al. Pembrolizumab plus chemotherapy in metastatic non-small-cell lung cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2018;378:2078–92.

6. Socinski MA, Jotte RM, Capuzzo F, et al. Atezolizumab for first-line treatment of metastatic nonsquamous NSCLC. *J Immunother Cancer* 2019;7:12.

7. West H, McLeod M, Hussein M, et al. Atezolizumab in combination with carboplatin plus nab-paclitaxel chemotherapy compared with chemotherapy alone as first-line treatment for metastatic non–squamous non-small-cell lung cancer (IMpower130): a multicentre, randomised, open-label, phase 3 trial. *Lancet Oncol* 2019;20:924–37.

8. Van de Veer AJ, Fallon JK, Tighe R, et al. Systemic immunotherapy of non–muscle invasive muscle bladder cancer with avelumab, an anti–PD-L1 immune checkpoint inhibitor. *Cancer Immunol Res* 2016;4:452–62.

9. Boyerinas B, Jochems C, Fantini M, et al. Antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity activity of a novel anti–PD-L1 antibody avelumab (MSB0010718C) on human tumor cells. *Cancer Immunol Res* 2015;3:1148–57.

10. Bavencio (avelumab). [Prescribing information]. Rockland, MA: EMD Serono, a business of Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany, 2020.

11. Bavencio (avelumab). [Summary of product characteristics]. Darmstadt, Germany: Merck KGaA, 2019.

12. Gulley JL, Rajan A, Spigel DR, et al. Avelumab in patients with previously treated metastatic non–small-cell lung cancer (JAVELIN solid tumor): dose–escalation cohort of a multicentre, open-label, phase 1b trial. *Lancet Oncol* 2017;18:599–610.

13. Hassan R, Thomas A, Nemunaitis JJ, et al. Efficacy and safety of avelumab treatment in patients with advanced unresectable mesothelioma: phase 1b results from the JAVELIN solid tumor trial. *JAMA Oncol* 2019;5:351–7.

14. Le Tourneau C, Holmes C, Zarwan C, et al. Avelumab in patients with previously treated metastatic adrenocortical carcinoma: phase 1b results from the JAVELIN solid tumor trial. *J Immunother Cancer* 2018;6:11.

15. Keilholz U, Mehnert JM, Bauer S, et al. Avelumab in patients with previously treated metastatic melanoma: phase 1b results from the JAVELIN solid tumor trial. *J Immunother Cancer* 2019;7:12.

16. Kelly K, Infante JR, Taylor MH, et al. Safety profile of avelumab in patients with advanced solid tumors: a pooled analysis of data from the phase 1 JAVELIN solid tumor and phase 2 JAVELIN Merkel 200 clinical trials. *Cancer* 2018;124:2010–7.

17. Reck M, Yang C-H, Postmus PE, et al. JAVELIN lung 100: updated design of a phase 3 trial of avelumab vs platinum doublet chemotherapy as first-line (1L) treatment for metastatic or recurrent PD-L1+ non–small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC). *Ann Oncol* 2017;28:abstract v827.

18. Mirsadraee S, Osvald D, Alizadeh Y, et al. The 7th lung cancer TNM classification and staging system: review of the changes and implications. *World J Radiol* 2012;4:128–34.

19. Wolchok JD, Hoos A, O’Day S, et al. Guidelines for the evaluation of immune therapy activity in solid tumors: immune-related response criteria. *Clin Cancer Res* 2009;15:7412–20.

20. Feng Z, Schlüchting M, Welzig C, et al. Comparative study of two PD-L1 expression assays in patients with non–small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC). *J Clin Oncol* 2017;35:e20581.

21. Tsao MS, Kerr KM, Kocks M, et al. PD–L1 immunohistochemistry comparability study in real-life clinical samples: results of blueprint phase 2 project. *J Thorac Oncol* 2018;13:1302–11.

22. Gulley JL, Spigel DR, Kelly K, et al. Exposure-response and PD-L1 expression analysis of second-line avelumab in patients with advanced NSCLC: data from the JAVELIN solid tumor trial. *J Clin Oncol* 2017;35:9086.

23. Barlesi F, Vansteenkiste J, Spigel D, et al. Avelumab versus docetaxel in patients with platinum–treated advanced non–small-cell lung cancer (JAVELIN lung 200): an open-label, randomised, phase 3 study. *Lancet Oncol* 2018;19:1468–79.

24. Hui R, Baron EB, Goldman JW, et al. Pembrolizumab as first-line therapy for patients with PD–L1-positive advanced non–small-cell lung cancer: a phase 1 trial. *Ann Oncol* 2017;28:874–81.

25. Gettsinger S, Rizvi NA, Chuwip42, et al. Nivolumab monotherapy for first-line treatment of advanced non–small-cell lung cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2016;34:2980–7.

26. Antonia SJ, Balmanoukian A, Brahmer J, et al. Clinical activity, tolerability, and long-term follow-up of durvalumab in patients with advanced NSCLC: a phase 3, doublet chemotherapy as first-line (1L) treatment (tx) in PD–L1–selected NSCLC. *Ann Oncol* 2019;30(Suppl 5):LBA78.

27. Grote HJ, Feng Z, Schlüchting M, et al. PD–L1 immunohistochemistry assay comparison studies in non–small-cell lung cancer: characterization of the 73–10 assay. *J Thorac Oncol* 2020;12:208–22.

28. Spigel D, de Marinis F, Giacone G, et al. MP1100: interim overall survival (OS) analysis of a phase III study of atezolizumab(atezo) vs platinum–based chemotherapy (chemo) as first-line (1L) treatment (tx) in PD–L1–selected NSCLC. *Ann Oncol* 2019;30(Suppl 5):LBA78.

29. Rizvi NA, Chul Cho B, Reimnuth N, et al. Durvalumab with or without tremelimumab vs platinum–based chemotherapy as first-line treatment for metastatic non–small-cell lung cancer: MYSTIC. *Ann Oncol* 2018;29(suppullo 10):LBA6.

30. Ettinger DS, Asiner DL, Wood DE, et al. NCCN guidelines insights: non–small cell lung cancer, version 5.2018. *J Natl Compr Canc Netw* 2018;16:207–21.

31. Planchard D, Popat S, Kerr K, et al. Metastatic non–small-cell lung cancer: ESMO clinical practice guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. *Ann Oncol* 2018;29:x192–237.