Catalytic Temperature Effects on Conversion Efficiency of PM$_{2.5}$ and Gaseous Emissions from Rice Husk Combustion
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Abstract: Most studies on honeycomb catalysts have been conducted using simulation models and exhaust experiments from automobiles. Very few monolithic catalyst studies have been applied to the agricultural sector, especially the catalyst exhaust system for flue purification from the biomass industry. The importance of exhaust gas purification and particulate removal from biomass power plants has become critical for evaluating the performance and environmental sustainability of biomass combustion. This is one of the first studies to investigate the performance of honeycomb catalysts for the oxidation of flue (PM$_{2.5}$), (CO), and (SO$_2$) from a rice husk briquette combustion system. The experimental setup comprised a fixed-bed electric furnace, the catalyst, an aerosol sampler, and a flue gas analyzer. Rice husk (0.1 g/mL density) and rice husk briquettes (0.8 g/mL density), were burned at 600–1000 °C for 3 min. From the results, the catalyst CO conversion rate was 100% at the optimum heated temperatures of 427.4–490.3 °C. At these temperatures, the inhibition effect of the chemisorbed CO was significantly minimized, enhancing the adsorption of oxygen, which reacted with CO to form CO$_2$. However, SO$_2$ oxidation was lower than that of CO because platinum-based catalysts are generally more attracted to CO in the presence of oxygen. The emission of PM$_{2.5}$ decreased from its uncatalyzed-value (1169.9 mg/m$^3$ and 1572.2 mg/m$^3$) to its catalyzed values (18.9 mg/m$^3$ and 170.1 mg/m$^3$). This is a significant result in ensuring cleaner production of energy from rice husk.
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1. Introduction

Biomass energy is the oldest energy source for humans. Bioenergy is a key factor in a low-carbon future, and its demand is projected to increase significantly, accounting for 17% of global energy by 2060 [1]. At this rate, the energy from biomass will contribute to an increasing carbon-saving of 20% by the year 2060, cutting “greenhouse” releases comprehensively. The utilization of biomass, such as rice husks, presents a huge potential for increasing the quota of bioenergy in the power sector [2]. According to the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) statistics, estimated global rice husk production is approximately 156.4 million tons. This is distributed among Africa (6.6 million tons), the Americas (7.8 million tons), Asia (141.0 million tons), and Europe (4.0 million tons) [3]. The increasing world population increases the demand for more food and, consequently, has a greater environmental impact. Therefore, it is of great importance to explore the possibility of introducing a metal honeycomb catalyst in biomass combustion systems to reduce future environmental impacts and climate change effects. Pyrolysis of rice husk (separating the bio-oil from the ash) combustion has been performed to minimize particulate matter (PM) emissions [4]. However, the particulate matter and gaseous emissions such as carbon monoxide (CO), nitrogenous oxide (NOx), and sulfur dioxide (SOx), from these systems
are significant and there is less infrastructural investment compared to direct combustion. In addition, rice husk ash has been used in cement-based materials for environmental benefits and performance improvement [4–6]. This is an additional advantage of direct combustion. The production of solid biofuels, such as rice husk briquettes, can substitute wood fuel utilization. Rice husk briquettes are advantageous in terms of handling, storage, transportation, and calorific value compared to direct rice husks.

Biomass incineration is the easiest technique for producing biomass energy, either through direct combustion or as co-combustion with other fuels such as poultry litter and natural gas co-combustion to generate electricity using a sterling engine [7] and hot water using shells and a tube heat exchanger [4]. However, it has been plagued with the release of toxic pollutants, such as PM, CO, NOx, and SOx. PM forms a key part of air pollution and is responsible for many deaths globally. Although biomass combustion is characterized by toxic emissions, it is still used in many developing economies and small industries. This has led researchers to attempt to find ways to reduce the emissions. Studies have been conducted to investigate the best-case scenarios for low PM emissions considering the combustion temperature, secondary air-/primary air-to-fuel ratio, excess air ratio, primary and secondary measures [8,9], nature of the biomass feedstock, and particle morphology [10].

However, the desired level of emission reduction is yet to be achieved. Therefore, further studies are required to control these emissions [11]. The nitrogen, sulfur, and carbon contents of biomass fuels are converted into gaseous emissions as flue gases during reduction [12]. Studies have investigated ways to reduce these gaseous emissions using fuel particle size and density [13]. There is a great concern for “climate change” moderation and the likely risk of energy shortages have increased the acceptance of biomass utilization [14]. However, combustion emissions remain high and require further study. Air-quality management and the conservation of sources of biomass utilized primarily for incineration necessitates comprehensive and systematic examination, with a focus on practical applications. For sustainability enhancement, future emission decreasing procedures, with an emphasis on airborne contaminants such as carbon monoxide (CO), methane, oxides of nitrogen (NOx), polycyclic aromatic compounds, and particulate matter, must be studied and verified in practical environments. These emissions can be considerably reduced using catalyst exhaust systems. Exhaust flue treatment to prevent pollutant emissions is essential in greenhouses and depends on the type and quality of the chosen methods and biomass source. The environmental advantage of biomass utilization compared to fossil fuels, can only be competitive and preferred because of its low emissions. Biomass, such as rice husk, has been used as an auxiliary to study the combustion behavior of sewage sludge biomass [15].

The catalytic combustion of biomass involves the use of a catalyst before or during combustion. The catalyst effect during the heterogeneous combustion of the air–fuel mixture is based on the contact of the fuel particles with the superficial oxygen of the catalyst and the serial regeneration of the catalyst surface by oxygen from the gas phase [16]. Depending on the characteristics of the catalyst, it is possible to achieve complete oxidation of many fuels, even at 250–300 °C. The use of a catalyst significantly decreases the combustion temperature of organics. The ability of a chemical catalyst to reduce the temperature required for the complete combustion of biomass, such as rice husk, is important for amorphous silica production with a porous structure, high surface area, increased densification, and reduced Si-O-Si band angles. This can ease the needs for thermo-chemical balance, boiler construction-materials, and component wear, and can reduce heat loss from the boiler-wall and increase the explosion-safety for heat-producing installations.

The merit of catalysts compared to other optional secondary equipment such as filters or electrostatic precipitators is their ability to effectively reduce gaseous and PM emissions [17–19]. Moreover, they function during the non-efficient phases, such as the ignition, combustion, and “burn-out phases”. They are also used for key operational situations due to user behavior. Generally, they do not require electricity for their operation,
although a specific level of temperature is essential for the catalytic process. Furthermore, oxidizing catalysts work efficiently when they operate at about 300–450 °C, specifically for converting the emissions of gaseous organic-compounds for wood combustion [20]. Catalytic converters in the automobile industry have been used for decades to reduce exhaust emissions [21]. Metallic honeycomb catalysts are primarily used because of their outstanding low pressure drop at high mass flow and high cell density compared to ceramic foam or structures [22]. Metallic honeycomb catalysts are produced through corrugation, mostly containing iron as a support material and platinum, chromium, aluminum, and rare metals [23]. Honeycomb catalysts are used for the catalytic combustion and CO oxidation. All studies on catalysts have been conducted using simulation models and exhaust experiments from automobiles. Thus, very few monolithic catalyst studies have been applied to the agricultural sector, especially the catalyst exhaust system for flue purification from the biomass industry. The importance of exhaust gas purification and particulate removal from biomass power plants has become critical for evaluating the performance and environmental sustainability of biomass combustion. Biomass is used to heatup greenhouse farms and produce the hot air required for drying agricultural crops such as paddy rice. Research has been conducted to develop a platinum-based catalyst with iron oxide as a co-catalyst for methane combustion in the automobile industry to control air pollution [24].

Investigating the performance and suitability of such catalysts is important for the biomass combustion industry. The conservation of air quality and sustainability of agricultural production and processing are crucial as technology advances. Previous research on the catalytic combustion of rice husk specifically, has been on the use of chemicals for rice husk fuel pretreatment to achieve energy efficiency [25], such as mineral acid pretreatment for the removal of impurities [26,27]. Studies have been conducted on specific gas emissions, such as NOx reduction through oxy biomass combustion technology [28]. However, studies on metallic honeycomb catalysts have been used to reduce emissions from vehicles in the automobile industry [21,24] and very few studies have investigated the performance of a metallic honeycomb catalyst on PM, CO, and SO2 emission reduction from biomass combustion systems such as rice husks. It is important to evaluate the environmental sustainability of a biomass combustion system integrated with a metal honeycomb catalyst. Abah et al. (2020) reported low NOx emissions from rice husk combustion (2.84 ppmv at 600 °C), but high PM (30.2 mg/g) and CO (72.66 ppmv) emissions. PM2.5, SO2, and CO were the most potent emissions from rice husk combustion [13,29].

The catalytic characteristics of platinum has been one of the most interesting topics of research [30]. Because the oxidic species have low attraction for CO adsorption and the enhancement of the conversion requires high temperatures, platinum was marked as the active surface for CO oxidation [31–33]. The platinum–iron catalyst supported by γ-alumina is designed to convert CO; therefore, it does not increase the emission of other harmful substances. However, emission control by catalysts has been well studied. Most of these studies have focused on modeling and theoretical simulations. Practical use studies have focused on exhaust from the automobile industry during the combustion of fossil fuels. However, pollution from biomass combustion poses a significant risk to public health, especially in developing countries. Therefore, the practical adaptation and application of monolithic catalysts in the bioenergy industry is imperative. There have been no attempts to investigate the application of this catalyst in a rice husk combustion system.

Previous catalytic investigations have proved that CO exposure to surfaces coated with oxygen (O2) causes CO2 to be rapidly formed. On the other hand, O2 (oxygen) exposed to CO-coated surfaces does not lead to the rapid formation of CO2 in the Eley–Rideal and Langmuir–Hinshelwood models [34]. Dubien et al. (1998) reported that O2 coverage does not hinder CO adsorption; however, O2 adsorption is hindered by adsorbed CO [35]. Other studies employed “surface exclusion models” to investigate CO adsorption on surfaces coated with oxygen. In these studies, oxygen coverage was restricted to below a monolayer, though CO could be adsorbed at the “unfilled-sites”. Nievergeld (1998)
described that CO and O₂ “coverage can become unity” [36]. In this research, the Eley–Rideal mechanism was proposed to examine the detected phenomena and referred to the findings of Zhou et al., 1986 [37], who suggested that CO can “chemisorb on sites” previously coated with O₂ [38].

Therefore, the purpose of the present study was to evaluate the performance of an adapted platinum–iron–alumina (Pt–Fe–alumina) monolith catalyst on PM₂.₅, CO, and SO₂ reduction from the exhaust system of a rice husk combustion system. The specific objective was to compare emissions from the catalyzed and non-catalyzed combustions. The research hypothesis was to test the performance of the platinum–iron–alumina honeycomb catalyst on exhaust flue gas from rice husk and rice husk briquette combustion, specifically for lower PM₂.₅ emissions and 100% CO and SO₂ conversion. The novelty of the present study is that it applies an adapted catalyst integrated into the exhaust system of a biomass combustion system for a practical flue gas directly from rice husk combustion.

2. Materials and Methods
2.1. Rice Husk and Rice Husk Briquette Fuel

Japanese rice husk (Koshihikari) and briquette samples made from rice husk (Koshihikari) were used as the combustion fuel for the combustion experiments (Figure 1). The rice husk is of the Oryza sativa species and consists of standard-size Japonica-husk (about 5 mm). They were made into samples, weighing 3 g, and grouped as “JPN samples”. It has a bulk density of 0.1 g/mL. The rice husk briquette was obtained and made into samples, weighing 3 g, and grouped as “RB samples” with a particle size of <2.0 mm and a density of 0.8 g/mL. The RB samples were prepared by grinding and compressing the rice husk at approximately 300 °C. Rice husks were selected based on availability because the study was conducted in Japan. Rice husk briquettes are advantageous in terms of handling, storage, transportation, and calorific value compared to direct husk briquettes.

Figure 1. Images of JPN and RB samples prepared for combustion experiments.

2.2. Elemental Analysis of the Samples

The elemental analysis of JPN and RB samples were carried out by the Unicube elemental model device. With its direct temperature programmed desorption technique, which guarantees a high dynamic measurement range of samples with C:N and C:S elemental ratios of approximately 12,000:1, in addition to its reliable baseline separation, it has a unique ability for improving the capacity for and consistency of gas separation. The Unicube could assess the samples’ C-N and C-S main proportions.
2.3. Analysis of the Particle Size Distribution by the Beckman Coulter Model

The distribution of the particle sizes was studied by employing the Beckman Coulter model (SA3100) device. Prior to the analysis, the samples were dried at oven-temperature of 105 °C for 5 h. The most popular and efficient method for evaluating surface area and pore size is the gas sorption methodology. It has a 0.4–200 nm nanoparticle diameter as its limit. In this method, gas is adsorbed into the pores of the samples and onto their surfaces. Adsorption is the condensation of gas molecules from a sample. The sample tube weight was recorded prior to the introduction of the samples for outgassing. The weight of the outgassed sample was also recorded upon the commencement of the analysis. The Beckman Coulter model assumes that all adsorption discovered is because of the gas that was adsorbed. The stationary balanced volumetric procedure was applied to the isotherms of the adsorption and desorption. The ideal gas law was applied to evaluate the free space and volume of the adsorbed dosage.

2.4. Analysis of Surface Area and Volume of Pores

The Beckman Coulter (SA3100) was used to obtain the surface area and pore volumes. The Langmuir surface area model was applied to the adsorption isotherm data with type 1 properties. Type 1 isotherms are obtained when the studied sample is micro-porous, which is observed by a sharp rise of the adsorbed volume at low pressure. The calculation of the Langmuir model assumes that only a monolayer is formed. Hence, the formula is stated below where $V_A$ is the adsorbed volume (cc), $V_M$ is the volume (cc) of the monolayer.

$$\frac{P_s}{V_A} = \frac{1}{bV_M} + \frac{P_s}{V_M}$$

Therefore, plotting this $\frac{P_s}{V_A}$ vs. $P_s$ or $\frac{P_s}{P_o}$ linearly generated the volume of the monolayer $V_M$. The surface area was estimated by applying formula 2 below, $N_A$ is Avogadro’s number (g), $A_m$ is the cross-sectional area occupied by each adsorbate molecule ($m^2$), $S_{Langmuir}$ is the Langmuir surface area ($m^2/g$), while $M_v$ is the molecular gram volume (cc).

$$S_{Langmuir} = \frac{N_A \times A_m \times V_M}{M_v}$$

The t-plot method was used to estimate the area and volume of the micropore. This was carried out to categorize the sample pore creation and sizes. The estimated t-plot data (volume of the micropore and surface area of the macropores and meso) were then employed in addition to the Brunauer–Emmett–Teller model (BET) surface area to measure the micropore and surface area.

2.5. The Differential Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA)

The differential thermal balance system ((TGDTA) 7300) was used to conduct the thermogravimetric analysis. This model was used to study the change in mass and temperature of the samples under standard environment. The investigation was performed as a function of time/temperature during which the temperature of the fuel and the position of the material were varied corresponding to a preset system. TGDTA can detect chemical changes such as dehydration, oxidation, evaporation, sublimation, adsorption, desorption, and decomposition, at high levels of sensitivity. The equipment has an automatic sampler for continuous recording. The weight of the samples was approximately 10–15 mg, under air environment. The measured data was examined by the TA-Data analyzing software. TGA involves techniques for evaluating the properties of materials to variations in temperature, such as enthalpy, mass change, and thermal capacity. The oven chamber was heated to 700 °C. The normal heating level was kept between 10–40 °C/min.
2.6. Oxidizing Metal Honeycomb Catalysts

Honeycomb monoliths comprise thin channels with an inner catalytic surface and diameters of approximately 1 mm. There are many types of honeycomb catalysts such as ceramics, metal foams, pellets, and metal ribbon catalysts. The metal honeycomb catalyst was considered suitable for the present study because it showed great potential in the research findings of Tomita et al. [24]. Moreover, as a monolith, its outer layer is thin with a high surface area and durability. It has a high cross-sectional aperture ratio making it possible to suppress the passage of emission gases and pressure loss. Because it is made of metal, it has a high physical strength against damage from dropping. It can then be regenerated and cleaned. The catalyst was a platinum-based catalyst, with iron oxide as the co-catalyst. Water activation treatment was performed and acted as an interface between the platinum and co-catalyst. The catalyst was produced according to the method described by Tomita et al. (2012) [24]. Thiol-capped AuNPs are adsorbed efficiently onto the surfaces of oxide gels in non-polar solvents. Heat treatment was then applied at various temperatures and gas conditions to dodecanethiol (DDT)-capped AuNPs. When a catalyst is used for the oxidative decomposition reaction, oxygen and organic substances are adsorbed on the catalyst active sites. Consequently, the combustible substances were combusted at moderate temperatures (oxidative decomposition). At the interface between metal platinum (Pt) substrates and ferrous oxide (Fe₂O) is the active site for O₂. Hence, the dissociated atomic oxygen atom possesses moderate adsorption energy and is very reactive; thus, its expected CO conversion. The Arrhenius collision theory is given as follows

\[ K = A e^{-\frac{E_a}{RT}} \]  

where \( K \) is the rate constant, \( E_a \) is the activation energy (J/mol), \( R \) is the ideal gas constant, \( T \) is the temperature (Kelvin), and \( A \) is the pre-exponential factor. Therefore, we hypothesized that the heat-treated catalyzed combustion would emit lower gaseous and PM\(_{2.5}\) emissions from the viewpoint of the collision theory on reaction rates.

2.7. Experimental Setup and Procedure

For the experimentation, the set up included F100 lab-based electric furnace, the catalyst, the aerosol sampler, and the flue gas analyzer (Figure 2). The aerosol sampler quantifies PM in real time, with an air flowrate of 3 L/min and uses “size-selective cascade impactors” [9]. The flue gas sampler is appropriate for a broad range of certified industrial measurements of emissions. It comprises of two important components: a control unit and an analyzer box. The control unit is used to control the emission measurement and the analysis box. The analyzer box comprises sensor system and electronics, which are essential for performing emission measurements. The JPN and RB samples were combusted separately, and the duration of each experiment was 3 min. Combustion experiments were performed at furnace temperatures ranging from 600 °C to 1000 °C using a normal exhaust pipe with no catalyst (non-catalytic combustion). Similarly, a set of combustion experiments was performed using an exhaust pipe with a catalyst (catalytic combustion). Two metal honeycomb catalysts were used in the present study. One was undetachable at the base of the exhaust pipe. The second (detachable) catalyst was placed on top of the undetachable catalyst. The detachable catalyst was preheated prior to each combustion experiment. The temperature of the catalyst was recorded. Readings were taken at 1 s intervals, representing 180 values for a 3-min combustion time. Table 1 summarizes the specifications and details of the combustion experiments.
Figure 2. Experimental setup showing the exhaust system integrated with the metal honeycomb catalyst.

Table 1. Experimental conditions and catalyst specifics.

| Catalyst                        | Metal Honeycomb                  |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Material composition           | Pt/Fe-Alumina catalyst           |
| Surface diameter (cm)           | 5.5                               |
| Length (cm)                     | 5.0                               |
| Number of channels              | 1024                              |
| Average channel size (cm)       | 0.3                               |
| Heating temperature range (°C)  | 100–600                           |
| Combusted fuel samples          | Rice husk briquette              |
| Rice husk briquette weight (g)  | 3.0                               |
| Fuel combustion temperature range (°C) | 600–1000                   |
| Combiusted air intake (m/s)     | 1.5                               |
| Sample combustion duration (min)| 3.0                               |

The energy required to heat up the catalyst was calculated by first determining the specific heat capacity of the constituent elements of the catalyst and then adopting the following equation

\[ E_{\text{heat}} = mc\Delta t \]  

where \( m \) is the mass of the catalyst, \( c \) is the heat capacity of the catalyst, and \( \Delta t \) is the temperature difference.

3. Results and Discussion
3.1. Ultimate Analysis of the Samples

The ultimate analysis of JPN and RB samples are reported in Table 2. The calculated volume measurements for RB and JPN are shown in Table 3. The amount of matter out of which char is produced during incineration is referred to as the carbon content. High carbon content biofuels are considered to require an extended char combustion phase. JPN’s carbon content was 37.53 wt.%db., and that of RB was 39.37 wt.%db. Therefore, the RB fuel was anticipated to require extended char incineration. This can lead to more emitted emissions.
Therefore, the workload of the catalyst inside the exhaust would increase. Moreover, JPN had a higher t-plot and Langmuir surface area (Table 4).

Table 2. C, H, N, S ultimate analysis of JPN and RB fuel samples in wt.%db.

| Element | JPN   | RB   |
|---------|-------|------|
| C       | 37.53 | 39.37|
| H       | 5.05  | 5.41 |
| N       | 0.18  | 0.34 |
| S       | 0.23  | 0.11 |

Table 3. Physical parameters of JPN and RB.

| Parameter          | JPN    | RB    |
|--------------------|--------|-------|
| Volume (mL/g)      | 0.0243 | 0.0230|
| Mean (nm)          | 18.51  | 27.09 |
| Median (nm)        | 10.39  | 13.33 |
| Mode (nm)          | 75.42  | 77.77 |
| Calculation range (nm) | 3.695–155.8 | 3.807–117.4 |

Table 4. Surface area and pore volume analyses of JPN and RB samples.

| Parameter                              | JPN    | RB    |
|----------------------------------------|--------|-------|
| t-plot surface area (m²/g)             | 9.829  | 8.004 |
| Pore size (Desorp.) vol. (mL/g)        | 0.02447| 0.02392|
| Pore size (Adsorp.) vol. (mL/g)        | 0.02422| 0.02245|
| Total pore vol. (mL/g)                 | 0.0216 | 0.0184|
| Micropore volume (mL/g)                | –0.00329| –0.00272|
| Langmuir surface area (m²/g)           | 0.935  | 0.602 |

3.2. TGA of the Samples

The head start and end temperatures of the samples were assessed applying the curve from the TGA assessment. The preliminary combustion stage for biomass such as rice husk is moisture removal (drying). The moisture content of the samples was lost at about 150 °C. This is in agreement with the results of a previous study [26]. The next incineration phase is the decomposition of volatiles. The beginning temperature for volatiles decomposition was the temperature where volatile combustion started. The volatiles’ combustion commenced at 150 °C. The final decomposition temperature refers to the temperature before carbonization. The volatiles combustion terminal temperature for the fuel was 388.4 °C and 376.3 °C for RB and JPN fuels, in that order. Dynamic thermal analyses (TG and DTG) data were generated for the RB and JPN fuels. The rate of the decomposition of volatiles and total TG loss was considerably different. RB and JPN had a two-stage degradation. RB samples had a higher TG loss of 5.4% at 151.2 °C than JPN (5.0%). This loss occurred principally because of moisture removal. Therefore, the RB volatile decomposition rate occurred slowly compared to JPN. Partial decomposition of volatiles leads to a higher emission of particulates. The TG curves for the two fuels (Figure 3) underwent a slow mass loss at increasing temperatures. JPN fuel had better volatile decomposition (51.1%) compared to RB fuel (48.8%), indicating that JPN experienced efficient thermal degradation compared to RB. Hence, JPN fuel had a greater TG loss of 63.6% compared to that of RB fuel (61.2%). The density of JPN fuel was lower, and the drying process began before (54.1 °C) that of RB fuel (70.0 °C). Consequently, the total drying and combustion of JPN fuel was better.
3.3. Mechanism of the Metal Honeycomb Catalyst Surface Oxidation Reaction and Flue Combustion from the Arrhenius Collision Theory Perspective

The collision theory states that the chemical reaction rate is proportional to the number of collisions between the reactant particles/molecules. The increase in the frequency of collisions of the reactant particles results in a faster reaction rate. Temperature is a key factor affecting the reaction rate, as given by the Arrhenius theory in Equation (3). Figure 4 shows the important exhaust parameters for the heat-treated catalyst (hot HC) and non-heat-treated catalyst (cold HC) combustion of rice husks. The flue gas velocity, stack temperature, and percentage oxygen availability of the hot catalyst were significantly higher than those of the non-heat-treated catalyst combustion. Therefore, the oxidative reaction on the surfaces of the heat-treated catalyst proceeded faster, resulting in more CO conversion into CO₂. The higher flue temperature indicated that the PM was thermally oxidized as it encountered the hot catalyst surface.

Figure 3. Thermogravimetric analysis of (a) rice husk and (b) rice husk briquette.

Figure 4. Comparative stack temperature, flue, and percentage oxygen from heat-treated catalyzed and non-heat-treated catalyzed rice husk combustion. Note: Hot HC is heat-treated catalyst; No HC is non-catalyst.
As seen in Figure 4, the flue temperature varied significantly, and the combustor had a constant air intake of 1.5 m/s for both the preheated and non-preheated catalysts. Therefore, the oxygen molecules from the heat-treated catalyst combustion moved faster and readily collided with carbon monoxide and SO\(_2\) gas on the surface of the catalyst, resulting in the conversion of CO to CO\(_2\) and the chemisorbed SO\(_{2}^{2-}\). The additional heat helped activate the active sites on the surface of the catalyst and aided the flue gas to overcome the energy barrier for the oxidation reaction. At lower temperatures, the individual particles could barely overcome the energy barrier; however, at higher temperatures, the particles easily overcame the energy barrier to collide more frequently. In addition, as shown in Figure 4, the exhaust temperature of the heat-treated catalyst was significantly higher than that of the non-heat-treated catalyst. Therefore, the potential flue particle emissions (PM\(_{2.5}\)) were further combusted, reducing their volumes just before leaving the exhaust. From the Arrhenius equation, the activation energy represents the sensitivity of the oxidation to temperature changes. Therefore, the oxidation rates with large activation energies progress rapidly with additional temperature. The SO\(_2\) conversion proceeds slowly compared to the CO conversion owing to competition for the absorbed oxygen on the catalyst surface. SO\(_2\) gained electrons from oxygen to become chemisorbed (SO\(_{2}^{2-}\)). As illustrated in Figure 5, the PM (C\(_x\)H\(_y\)) underwent further combustion as they diffused through the heated catalyst surface, forming CO\(_2\).

\[
C_{x}H_{y}(PM) + 2O_2 + CO \rightarrow 2CO_2 + H_2O + Heat
\]

\[
SO_2 + 2e^- (active \ sites) \rightarrow SO_2^{2-} \text{ chemisorbed}
\]

\[
O^2^- \text{ chemisorbed} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}O_2 + 2e^- (active \ sites)
\]

Figure 5. Illustration of the metallic honeycomb catalyst flue oxidative decomposition reaction. Note: For illustration, PM in this study is referred to as C\(_x\)H\(_y\).
Figure 5 and Table 5 illustrate the platinum–iron alumina (Pt–Fe) honeycomb catalyst flue oxidation, surface reactions, and the effect of temperature on the emission conversion on the catalyst surface. As predicted, the heat-treated catalyst combustion emitted lower amounts of gaseous and PM$_{2.5}$ emissions. This was because the additional heat supplied to the catalyst energized the individual molecules/particles, causing them to move faster and react with the absorbed oxygen molecules on the activated active sites of the catalyst to form new products. Carbon monoxide reacts with chemisorbed oxygen to form CO$_2$. The catalyst chemisorbed CO on its active sites, which at high temperatures enhanced CO oxidation to CO$_2$. However, chemisorbed CO inhibits CO oxidation at low temperatures. At low catalyst temperatures, almost the entire surface of the catalyst is covered by CO, and oxidation occurs between the molecular oxygen physically absorbed on top of an absorbed CO layer.

Table 5. Emission pattern of JPN and RB samples versus catalyst performance.

| Temperature ($^{\circ}$C) | SO$_2$ (ppm) | CO (ppm) | PM$_{2.5}$ (mg/m$^3$) |
|--------------------------|--------------|----------|---------------------|
|                           | JPN Sample   | Rice Husk Briquette Sample |
|                           | HC Combustion | No HC Hot HC Cold HC Hot HC Cold HC Hot HC Cold HC Hot HC Cold HC Hot HC Cold HC No HC |
| 427.4                    | 600          | 416      | 78                   | 0      | 8837 | 43.4                    | 5045 | 86.1                   | 464.4 |
| 481.3                    | 700          | 711      | 162                  | 2236   | 0    | 9427 | 1095 | 128.2                  | 286.5 |
| 490.3                    | 800          | 4       | 188                  | 15,212 | 0    | 60,368 | 1095 | 128.2                  | 286.5 |
| 534.1                    | 900          | 468      | 70                   | 53,682 | 56   | 266,984 | 4345 | 165.0                  | 86.1 |
| 523.4                    | 1000         | 1074     | 344                  | 85,327 | 6262 | 504,448 | 4345 | 165.0                  | 86.1 |

Note: Hot HC means heat-treated catalyst with temperature range of 327.5–579.7 $^{\circ}$C; Cold HC means non-heat-treated catalyst; No HC means no catalyst was used.

As the catalyst temperature increases, CO oxidation proceeds through the reaction between absorbed oxygen atoms and gas phase CO, according to the Eley–Rideal reaction [39]. As CO oxidation proceeds, active sites initially occupied by chemisorbed CO become available for oxygen and SO$_2$ adsorption. Therefore, the CO conversion rate increases because of the improved balance of adsorbed CO and oxygen, considering the stoichiometry of the surface reaction.

3.4. Carbon Monoxide (CO) and Sulfur Dioxide (SO$_2$) Concentration Analysis from the Combustion of JPN Samples

Catalytic oxidation is dependent on the interaction between the active sites and reactants. The reactants express themselves through several chemisorption/desorption reactions. Figure 6 shows the effect of the catalyst temperature on CO and SO$_2$ concentrations from the combustion of JPN samples. As shown in Figures 4 and 5, when the temperature of the catalyst was low, the activation of the active sites of the catalyst was also low. As the low-temperature flue approaches the surface of the low-temperature catalyst, less CO is adsorbed, with no associative desorption of molecularly absorbed oxygen, leading to a low oxidation reaction. Salomons et al., 2006 [40] reported that significant associative desorption of oxygen was detected at 426.85 $^{\circ}$C. The adsorbed oxygen can exist as chemisorbed oxygen and oxides. One of the surface reaction pathways is the combina-
tion of adsorbed oxygen atoms and molecularly adsorbed CO. Therefore, the ability of the catalyst to significantly convert CO to CO$_2$ is greatly limited. Hence, CO emissions were high due to the limited oxidation caused by the reduced adsorption of CO and the low associative desorption of oxygen.

![Figure 6](image_url)

**Figure 6.** Catalyst temperature effect on CO and SO$_2$ concentration from JPN combustion at 600 °C.

The temperature of the (non-detachable) catalyst at the base of the exhaust pipe was slightly higher than that of the detachable catalyst causing the temperature of the flue to drop further after contact with the detachable catalyst. This results in a poor flue particle (PM) combustion phase. At temperatures below 260 °C, the activity of the catalyst is reduced [41], indicating low performance. However, as the temperature of the detachable catalyst increases, it creates a large temperature difference between the two catalysts in a reverse order and CO adsorption increases. Similarly, oxygen desorption increased and the inhibitory effect of CO on its oxidation decreased. Consequently, CO conversion and SO$_2$ chemisorption were enhanced. Thus, more CO can be adsorbed, and the combustion of flue particles is optimized. In addition, the increased catalyst temperature led to an increase in the average kinetic energy of the constituent particles, thereby increasing the collision rate of the particles and the readiness to form new products.

At a constant velocity and pressure, the CO conversion rate of the catalyst increased as the preheated temperature of the catalyst increased until it reached its optimum temperature of 427.4 °C. The high catalyst temperature increases the flue combustion intensity and increases the chances of CO on the surface of the catalyst to react with oxygen and be oxidized. Beyond this point, a further increase in the catalyst temperature resulted in increased CO emission because of CO agglomeration and loss of balance between oxygen desorption and CO and SO$_2$ adsorption. The balance between the CO level and adsorbed oxygen molecules is dependent on temperature and excess air. When excess air is constant, as was the case in the present experiment, the temperature increases beyond the equilibrium point lead to a higher CO concentration owing to its inhibition effects due to the overcrowded active sites of the catalyst. As the CO generated by the rapid combustion of the rice husk in the combustion chamber approaches the preheated catalyst, it undergoes slow oxidation to form CO$_2$. A high combustion temperature consumes more oxygen, and, because CO correlates with the percentage of oxygen in the exhaust gas, low excess oxygen results in increased CO formation.

The small error bars (% error bars) indicate high reliability and low variability. For the catalytic temperature effect on SO$_2$ concentration, the catalyst temperature did not significantly affect the SO$_2$ concentration, similarly to that of CO. The catalyst material consisted of a fraction of platinum and iron oxide as co-catalysts. Platinum-based catalysts readily react with CO to form CO$_2$. Therefore, the SO$_2$ concentration was relatively steady, even at
different catalyst temperatures. The lowest SO₂ concentration (78 mg/L) was obtained at a catalyst temperature of 427.4 °C. A possible explanation is that SO₂ gained electrons from oxygen to become chemisorbed SO₂⁻ on the catalyst. Oxygen-assisted combustion, which generally improves exhaust oxidation, is limited at higher furnace combustion temperatures and constant airflow. Therefore, the exhaust combustion efficiency decreased.

The optimum catalyst temperature for the lowest SO₂ concentration was 427.4 °C. The order in which CO and O₂ adsorption is performed is important. If CO is adsorbed first and covers more than one-third of the surface, subsequent O₂ adsorption will be blocked. Therefore, at lower CO coverages, dissociative O₂ adsorption occurs. However, these two groups formed distinct domains on the catalyst surface. Oxidation can then occur at the boundaries between the domains at a relatively low rate. However, in a mixed domain, adsorbed CO and O₂ come into immediate contact with the oxygen atoms at twice the surface concentration, possibly in the absence of CO. Therefore, stoichiometry is required for the oxidation reaction.

Figure 7 compares the CO and SO₂ concentrations from the catalytic and non-catalytic combustion of JPN samples. Catalytic combustion significantly decreased the CO and SO₂ concentrations compared to non-catalytic combustion. During catalytic combustion, oxygen and unburnt organic substances are adsorbed on the catalyst, activating the oxidative decomposition reaction. The adsorption of CO on an oxygen-covered surface triggers an oxidation reaction. In addition, the increased catalyst temperature eases the burn-out process of particulates and the full conversion of CO to CO₂. The CO on the metal surface readily reacts with oxygen at the metal-support boundary [42,43]. However, the catalyst used in the present study has a high oxygen kinetic order because CO and O₂ are competitively adsorbed at the platinum–iron oxide boundary [24]. The catalytic effect is most felt during the initial combustion phase, in which the temperature of combustion is low and characterized by high CO emissions. The catalytic effect can be applied in the design and construction of biomass boiler systems to decrease emissions during the boiler start-up and initial combustion phases.
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Figure 7. Comparative analysis of SO₂ and CO concentration from a catalytic and non-catalytic combustion of JPN samples. Note: The catalyst was heated at optimal temperature of 427.4 °C.

3.5. Carbon Monoxide (CO) and Sulfur Dioxide (SO₂) Concentration Analysis from the Combustion of RB Samples

From the TGA analysis and particle size distribution, RBs are high-density fuels that require a longer combustion duration than JPN samples. RB combustion is dominated by the smoldering combustion phase and generally emits more PM and less gaseous emissions [13]. The reverse is the case for JPN combustion. Therefore, the catalytic combustion of RB samples was expected to significantly reduce PM and gaseous emissions. Figure 8 presents the heat treatment effects of the catalyst on CO and SO₂ concentrations from the RB
samples. A low catalyst temperature resulted in almost zero CO concentration at a combustion temperature of 600 °C but a moderate SO₂ concentration. SO₂ concentration decreased as the catalyst temperature increased with the combustion temperature. A further increase in the catalyst temperature increased CO emission because the surface of the catalyst was already covered with adsorbed CO, and its exposure to gas phase oxygen did not result in the formation of CO₂. The easy possibility of the molecules of the CO to alter their location indicates that the activation energy for the surface transfer in the “chemisorbed” phase is low, and that CO is an extremely mobile gas under catalytic situations. Oxygen adsorption is dissociative, and its atoms are less mobile on the surface of the catalyst than molecules of CO. As the temperature of the reaction reached its optimum value, the SO₂ conversion rapidly increased to 100%. This is an important result, as previous studies have not obtained 100% conversion [15].
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**Figure 8.** Catalyst temperature effect on CO and SO₂ concentration from RB combustion at 600 °C. The small error bars (%error bars) indicate high reliability and low variability.

Figure 9 compares the gaseous CO and SO₂ concentrations from the catalyzed and non-catalyzed combustion of RB samples. The CO and SO₂ concentrations from the catalytic combustion were significantly lower than those from the non-catalytic combustion. Specifically, a 100% conversion of CO and SO₂ emissions was obtained from catalytic combustion at 700–900 °C combustion temperatures. The SO₂ concentration from the catalytic combustion was significantly reduced at all levels of the combustion temperature compared to the non-catalytic combustion. Specifically, 100% SO₂ conversion was achieved from catalytic combustion at 700–800 °C. Therefore, both CO and SO₂ concentrations were completely avoided in the catalytic combustion of RB samples at combustion temperatures of 700–800 °C. The iron redox phase took part in the reaction, even at lower temperatures. Consequently, molecules of CO combined with the supplied O₂ at the boundary surface with low energy of activation, which was significantly lower than those of other catalysts. The low activation energy arises because the iron oxide forms a thin layer on the alumina surface.
Generally, SO₂ can be chemically adsorbed on any surface. However, from the results of the present study, the oxidation of SO₂ by the catalyst was less compared to CO. The structural shape of the catalyst made it more attractive for CO oxidation. The temperature effects on the CO and SO₂ conversion efficiencies are summarized in Figure 10. At lower temperatures, the catalyst slowly converted CO; however, at higher temperatures, CO and SO₂ conversion was sustained, and complete conversion was achieved, which agrees with the study by Salomons et al. (2007).

The exposure of a surface to oxygen and CO with no initialized reaction leads to a complete oxygen-covered surface. Therefore, all active sites became filled with oxygen, making it difficult for CO or SO₂ to be adsorbed. CO contact with an oxygen-covered surface leads to the rapid formation of CO₂, whereas oxygen exposed to a CO-covered surface does not. However, Dubien et al. (1998) reported that the adsorption of CO was not suppress by O₂ coverage, although oxygen adsorption was subdued by the CO adsorbed. Therefore, at high temperatures, the chemisorption decreased with increasing temperature and decreased the inhibition effect of CO.

3.6. Catalytic Temperature Effect on PM₂.₅ Emission

Figure 11 presents the total concentration of PM₂.₅, from the incineration of RB and JPN fuels. It compares the PM₂.₅ concentration from non-catalyzed, cold-catalyzed, and hot-catalyzed combustion experiments. In cold-catalyzed combustion, the catalyst was not preheated before the experiments. For the JPN combustion, the non-catalytic combustion recorded the highest concentration of PM₂.₅ concentration (826.6 mg/m³) as expected. The PM₂.₅ concentration from the cold catalyst was significantly higher than that from the
preheated catalyst. This is because the preheated catalyst further combests the particles as they come in contact, thereby reducing their total concentration. The preheated catalyst generally increases the flue gas temperature, thus aiding the flue burn-out phase.

**Figure 11.** Comparative PM$_{2.5}$ analysis from a preheated catalyzed, non-preheated catalyzed, and non-catalyzed combustion of RB and JPN samples. Note: The samples were run in triplicates.

PM$_{2.5}$ from the combustion of RB samples was similar to that from the JPN samples; however, there were notable differences. PM$_{2.5}$ from the RB samples was significantly higher than that from JPN samples because of its higher density and less interparticle space (Abah et al., 2020). This is especially true when combusted at lower temperatures. The non-catalyzed combustion of the RB samples recorded the highest PM$_{2.5}$ concentration (1572.2 mg/m$^3$), and the concentration decreased as the temperature increased. The PM$_{2.5}$ concentration from the hot-catalyzed combustion was significantly lower than that from the cold-catalyzed combustion, especially at a combustion temperature of 600 °C. This is because the hot catalyst further combuts the particles as they come in contact and aids the flue burn-out.

Generally, the hot-catalyzed combustion emitted the lowest PM$_{2.5}$ at a combustion temperature of 600 °C for both JPN and RB samples (22.5 mg/m$^3$ and 19.0 mg/m$^3$, respectively). This is a significant result because previous studies have recorded higher concentrations at 600 °C for JPN and RB samples [9,13]. The PM emission increased with increasing combustion temperature due to inadequate excess air caused by the increased combustion temperature, thus leading to insufficient combustion. Therefore, the integration of this catalyst in a biomass combustion system will allow the combustion of biomass at lower combustion temperatures with low particulate emissions. The catalyst can be easily maintained because it can be detached from the exhaust. To avoid clogging, the catalyst was cleaned periodically using catalyst cleaners. The clogging rate is minimized by the heat treatment of the catalyst, which ensures that the unburnt carbon and gases from the combustion chamber are combusted during their movement through the exhaust. Table 5 summarizes the performances of the catalysts.

**4. Conclusions**

The present study evaluated the performance of the integration of a platinum catalyst with iron oxide as a co-catalyst in the exhaust system of a fixed-bed electric furnace. The effects of preheating the catalyst on the PM$_{2.5}$, CO, and SO$_2$ emission profiles were investigated. JPN and RB samples were combusted between 600 and 1000 °C, at catalyst temperatures of 100–600 °C. The preheated catalyst significantly reduced CO, SO$_2$, and PM emissions at the optimum catalyst temperature of 427.4–490.3 °C and varied rice husk combustion temperature of 600 °C and 1000 °C. Our previous studies recorded higher emissions at these combustion temperatures, particularly at 600 °C. Hence, this is a significant result. The RB sample, is a denser fuel and emitted higher emissions from our
previous studies; however, the preheated catalyst significantly stabilized and reduced the emissions that could have been emitted at high temperatures due to reduced chemisorption and, consequently, lower CO inhibition. When the catalyst temperature was low, the CO conversion rate was low because of the low oxidation rate caused by the inhibition effect of the chemisorbed CO and the low average kinetic energy of the particles as they met the surface of the catalyst.

Therefore, this study further explains the inhibition effect of CO on its own oxidation on the surface of the catalyst, at low catalyst temperatures, since more CO is chemisorbed, thus inhibiting its conversion into CO$_2$. At catalyst temperatures below 400 °C, the performance of the catalyst was reduced, and the oxidative reaction occurred. A further temperature increase optimized the flue gas oxidation, increasing the flue combustion intensity, specifically the reaction rate. The catalyst had a 100% CO conversion rate at a preheated temperature of 427.4–490.3 °C for JPN samples and 481.3–534.1 °C for RB samples. Further temperature increases distorted the equilibrium of the oxidative reactants, such as adsorbed oxygen, CO, and SO$_2$.

The exhaust catalyst system used in the present study showed excellent performance and could be integrated into the exhaust systems of large- or small-scale biomass combustion systems. The energy required for preheating can be easily obtained from the biomass fuel. Regardless of the effect of fuel particle size on emissions, this system can effectively reduce gaseous and PM emissions from any biomass combustion plant. The catalyst system was significantly effective in reducing PM emissions from the combustion of RBs. For the JPN samples, it was significant for CO conversion. The catalyst was composed of 99.75% iron oxide and 0.25% platinum supported by alumina, with a total weight of 0.155 kg. Therefore, the energy required for heating the catalyst to the desired temperature of 427.4 °C was calculated to be 0.0183 MJ. As seen in the present study, biomass combustion was less efficient than fossil fuel combustion. Therefore, the introduction of catalytic converters is necessary to control emissions, especially those resulting from air-to-fuel ranges. A wide range is advantageous for catalytic emission control as it reduces the necessity of increasing the air-to-fuel control of the system. The strategy of the three-way catalyst is to simultaneously remove CO, HC, and NOx. Hence, the optimum condition for low emission is a preheated catalyst temperature of 427.4 °C and a 600 °C combustion temperature.

This study has significantly reduced emissions from rice husk combustion, more so than at previously worse combustion temperatures, creating more opportunities for energy generation through biomass combustion. The novelty of this study is its methodology, combining real time PM measurement techniques and flue gas analysis into an experimental set up consisting of an integrated catalyzed exhaust for emission control in a rice husk combustion system. This study was limited to lab-based experimentation. Therefore, environmental impact assessment is required to present this result in a practical perspective and its environmental impact on the general ecosystem and energy input and output relationship.
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