Rituximab during pregnancy in neuromyelitis optica: A case report
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Neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorder (NMOSD) is a rare autoimmune disorder of the CNS, which predominantly targets the optic nerves and spinal cord leading to blindness and paralysis.¹ There is a 6–9:1 ratio affecting females far more often than males, and there is an increased risk of relapse during and immediately after pregnancy.² B-cell depletion therapy has been reported to prevent rebound in postpartum relapses.³⁴ In this report, we describe the safety and clinical effect of B-cell depletion in both the patient and her infant baby.

Clinical report

At 1-month postpartum, a 33-year-old Japanese American female developed a lower thoracic sensory level and lower extremity motor weakness from a longitudinally extensive transverse myelitis from T2 to T4. She developed bilateral optic neuritis 2 months later and tested seropositive for the aquaporin-4 (AQP4) antibody with a titer >160 U/mL by ELISA followed by cell-based assay and performed by ATHENA diagnostics. She was diagnosed with NMOSD, and IV rituximab infusions were started using standard dosing of 1,000 mg infused twice with 2 weeks between doses. She remained clinically stable and conceived 3 months after last rituximab infusion with corresponding %CD19 + count <1% and an NMO titer of 14.1 U/mL (figure, A). Because of the severity of her postpartum relapses and personal experience, a physician-patient informed decision was made to continue rituximab throughout pregnancy.

Pregnancy course

B-cell depletion was maintained essentially at zero throughout pregnancy (figure, A). Optical coherence tomography was performed during pregnancy and followed very same principles emphasized in the OSCAR-IB methodology as were prior measures.⁵ Subclinical thinning of the ganglion cell-inner plexiform (GCIP) layer compared to baseline was also noted in the left eye (figure, B) during her neuro-ophthalmologic visit before infusion. Infusion of rituximab of 1,000 mg was given at 24 weeks of pregnancy. The rest of her pregnancy was clinically unremarkable under the care of a high-risk obstetrician and she delivered a healthy male at 38-week gestation via vaginal delivery.

Infant and maternal outcome

Appearance, Pulse, Grimace, Activity, Respiration scores were normal and the infant’s %CD19 + cells were 1% at birth. At 2 months, the infant’s %CD19 + count rose to 23%. As a result, no change in the infant’s vaccination schedule was made and standard vaccinations were given. No
infections, normal development, and normal B-cell counts were reported at 6-month follow-up. AQP4 antibody testing in the infant’s serum was negative.

As for the mother, post-delivery follow-up revealed her to be well and her physical examination to be stable. She was continued on rituximab. No neurologic or infectious sequelae at the 6-month follow-up were reported. GCIP thickness of her left eye returned to baseline when evaluated at 4 months postpartum (figure, B).

**Discussion**

We describe the clinical outcome of using rituximab in a patient with NMOSD during pregnancy, which appeared safe and well tolerated both to mother and infant. Treatment with B-cell depleting therapy in NMO during pregnancy is controversial because of scarce data.2,3 Nevertheless, as prior studies have shown, the annualized relapse rate of 1.8 in patients with postpartum NMOSD is substantially higher than preceding pregnancy.6 The decision to continue the patient on rituximab through pregnancy was made to reduce the risk of relapse. Interestingly, progressive thinning of the GCIP thinning in NMOSD has been described in prior studies, which was also noted in this case.7 Because this patient’s GCIP had been stable over time, a thinning of the GCIP during pregnancy could represent changes associated with inflammation and less likely degeneration, because recovery to pre-existing baseline was seen after pregnancy. The GCIP thinned slightly during the time of her pregnancy and recovered its prepregnancy caliber after our patient gave birth. We also found this finding to be interesting and postulate that the transient GCIP thinning could be a result of alterations in the immune environment during pregnancy. Whether GCIP could be used as a marker in pregnancy in NMOSD is unknown.

Previous studies of rituximab exposure during pregnancy in other autoimmune or hematologic conditions have shown that %CD19 + levels in the newborn are less than 1% at birth and tend to recover to normal levels after 6–8 weeks.8 In our case, %CD19 + cells in the newborn were consistent with prior reports with rapid recovery seen by 3 months.8 In addition, no infections or other hematologic abnormalities were reported in the newborn. Because of the rapid recovery of the %CD19 + cell counts, infants exposed to rituximab in utero are expected to have a normal vaccination response.9

This case illustrates a favorable outcome in NMOSD and newborn well-being after gestational exposure to rituximab. We suggest that rituximab can be considered during pregnancy when there are increasing concerns and clinical history suggesting a high risk for gestational and postpartum relapse. We also recommend that a multidisciplinary approach should be taken involving neurology, neuro-ophtalmology, high-risk obstetrics, and pediatrics to follow the patient and infant closely.
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