Loss-of-function PCSK9 mutants evade the unfolded protein response sensor GRP78 and fail to induce endoplasmic reticulum stress when retained
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The proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type-9 (PCSK9) plays a central role in cardiovascular disease (CVD) by degrading hepatic low-density lipoprotein receptor (LDLR). As such, loss-of-function (LOF) PCSK9 variants that fail to exit the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) increase hepatic LDLR levels and lower the risk of developing CVD. The retention of misfolded protein in the ER can cause ER stress and activate the unfolded protein response (UPR). In this study, we investigated whether a variety of LOF PCSK9 variants that are retained in the ER can cause ER stress and hepatic cytotoxicity. Although overexpression of these PCSK9 variants caused an accumulation in the ER of hepatic low-density lipoprotein receptor (LDLR), overexpression of LOF PCSK9 variants that fail to exit the endoplasmic reticulum storage disease; EV, empty vector; GOF, gain-of-function; GRP78, 78-kDa glucose-regulated protein; GRP94, 94-kDa glucose-regulated protein; CVD, cardiovascular disease; ER, endoplasmic reticulum; ERAI, ER activated indicator; ERSD, endoplasmic reticulum kinase; SSO, splice-switching oligomer; TG, thapsigargin; UPR, unfolded protein response; VP, vasopressin; XBP1, spliced XBP1; PUMA, p53-up-regulated modulator of apoptosis; siGRP94, siRNA targeted against 94-kDa glucose-regulated protein; GRP94, 94-kDa glucose-regulated protein; GRP78, 78-kDa glucose-regulated protein; PCSK9, proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type-9; ATF, activating transcription factor; CHOP, CCAAT/enhancer-binding protein homologous protein; CVD, cardiovascular disease; ER, endoplasmic reticulum; ERAI, ER activated indicator; ERSD, endoplasmic reticulum storage disease; EV, empty vector; GOF, gain-of-function; GRP78, 78-kDa glucose-regulated protein; GRP94, 94-kDa glucose-regulated protein; IRE1α, inositol-requiring enzyme-1α; LDLR, low-density lipoprotein receptor; LOF, loss-of-function; PERK, protein kinase RNA-like endoplasmic reticulum kinase; SSO, splice-switching oligomer; TG, thapsigargin; UPR, unfolded protein response; VP, vasopressin; XBP1, X-box–binding protein-1; PCSK9SV, PCSK9 splice variant; sXBP1, spliced XBP1; PUMA, p53-up-regulated modulator of apoptosis; siGRP94, siRNA targeted against GRP94; IP, immunoprecipitation; PCSK9; PCSK9 frameshift variant.

The discovery of PCSK9 has provided a novel therapeutic target for the management of CVD (1, 2). PCSK9 is mainly expressed and secreted by liver hepatocytes where it degrades the LDLR and promotes elevated circulating LDL levels (3, 4), a well-known risk factor of cardiovascular dysfunction (5, 6). Following its characterization, genetic screens revealed that gain-of-function (GOF) mutations in the PCSK9 gene represented a third locus associated with autosomal-dominant hypercholesterolemia (7). In addition to the discovery of the first GOF variant, PCSK9S127R, further investigations led to the identification of a wide range of LOF PCSK9 variants (8, 9). In contrast to GOF mutations, which enhance PCSK9-mediated LDLR degradation and promote increased circulating LDL levels, LOF mutations increase hepatic LDLR expression and reduce circulating LDL levels (10). The Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) study reported that nonsense (C679X/Y142X) and missense (R46L) mutations in PCSK9 were associated with an 88 and 47% reduction in the risk of developing coronary heart disease.

The proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type-9 (PCSK9) plays a central role in cardiovascular disease (CVD) by degrading hepatic low-density lipoprotein receptor (LDLR). As such, loss-of-function (LOF) PCSK9 variants that fail to exit the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) increase hepatic LDLR levels and lower the risk of developing CVD. The retention of misfolded protein in the ER can cause ER stress and activate the unfolded protein response (UPR). In this study, we investigated whether a variety of LOF PCSK9 variants that are retained in the ER can cause ER stress and hepatic cytotoxicity. Although overexpression of these PCSK9 variants caused an accumulation in the ER of hepatic low-density lipoprotein receptor (LDLR), overexpression of LOF PCSK9 variants that fail to exit the endoplasmic reticulum storage disease; EV, empty vector; GOF, gain-of-function; GRP78, 78-kDa glucose-regulated protein; GRP94, 94-kDa glucose-regulated protein; CVD, cardiovascular disease; ER, endoplasmic reticulum; ERAI, ER activated indicator; ERSD, endoplasmic reticulum kinase; SSO, splice-switching oligomer; TG, thapsigargin; UPR, unfolded protein response; VP, vasopressin; XBP1, spliced XBP1; PUMA, p53-up-regulated modulator of apoptosis; siGRP94, siRNA targeted against 94-kDa glucose-regulated protein; GRP94, 94-kDa glucose-regulated protein; GRP78, 78-kDa glucose-regulated protein; PCSK9SV, PCSK9 splice variant; sXBP1, spliced XBP1; PUMA, p53-up-regulated modulator of apoptosis; siGRP94, siRNA targeted against GRP94; IP, immunoprecipitation; PCSK9; PCSK9 frameshift variant.
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ER PCSK9 retention does not activate the UPR

disease, respectively (11). Additional LOF variants such as PCSK9G236R, PCSK9N354I, and PCSK9Q152H were subsequently identified and extensively studied in vitro (10, 12). The phenotype associated with such LOF mutations occurs due to the inability of the ER-resident nascent pro-PCSK9 to undergo autocatalytic cleavage and maturation in the ER, thereby leading to its retention. This was found to drive LDLR expression and accounts for the low circulating LDL levels found in patients harboring such mutations (10, 12).

There is now ample evidence supporting the notion that the retention of PCSK9 in the ER leads to a significant reduction of circulating LDL levels. In addition, we have recently demonstrated that ER stress blocks the secretion of PCSK9 in cultured hepatocytes and mice, and this was associated with increased hepatic LDLR expression and reduced circulating LDL levels (13). Furthermore, the retention of PCSK9 in the ER via GRP94 promotes elevated hepatic LDLR levels (14).

Despite the potential benefits of this strategy for reducing circulating LDL levels, ER storage diseases (ERSDs) are a common end point of ER protein retention and contribute to a wide range of human disorders (15, 16). The accumulation of retained/misfolded proteins in the ER leads to ER stress and triggers the UPR (17–19). A well-described form of ERS occurs as a result of ER arginine vasopressin (VP) retention triggers the UPR (17–19). A well-described form of ERS occurs as a result of ER arginine vasopressin (VP) retention (21). The UPR signaling cascade consists of three major transducers located at the ER membrane that are activated upon dissociation of GRP78 from their intra-ER domains. These “arms of the UPR” include (a) inositol-requiring protein-1α (IRE1α), which splices and activates X-box–binding protein–1 (XBP1), (b) activating transcription factor-6 (ATF6), and (c) protein kinase RNA (PKR)–like ER kinase (PERK), which promotes the expression of the proapoptotic CCAAT/enhancer–binding protein homologous protein (CHOP). Collectively, this cascade of UPR mediators acts to increase the folding capacity of the ER while reducing its burden by blocking global synthesis and nascent polypeptide influx (18).

Despite the well-documented phenomenon of ERSD, we now report that the ER retention of LOF PCSK9 variants does not cause UPR activation or apoptosis. This hypothesis was tested using a number of LOF PCSK9 variants, including the naturally occurring PCSK9G236V, in HuH7, HK-2, and N2a cell lines. Furthermore, ER stress and apoptosis were examined in cells treated with a splice-switching oligomer (SSO) to induce the retention of an endogenously expressed PCSK9 splice variant (PCSK93V) lacking its catalytic domain, which is required for autocatalytic cleavage (22). Finally, immunoprecipitation experiments show that GRP94 masks ER-resident pro-PCSK9 from GRP78, which is widely known to act as the major sensor of UPR activation (23, 24). To substantiate this observation, ER PCSK9 retention was shown to cause ER stress/UPR activation following knockdown of GRP94.

Results
Retention of PCSK9 variants in the ER does not induce the UPR

Cultured HuH7 hepatocytes, known to express PCSK9, were transiently transfected with either wildtype PCSK9 (PCSK9WT) or PCSK9Q152H. Endogenous PCSK9 expression was then compared with the cytomegalovirus (CMV)–driven V5-labeled PCSK9 constructs by immunoblotting using an anti-PCSK9 antibody (Fig. 1A). Cells transfected with PCSK9Q152H exhibited 6.2-fold greater levels of ER-resident pro-PCSK9 than that of endogenously expressed PCSK9 found in empty vector (EV)–transfected controls and ~3-fold greater levels than PCSK9WT-transfected controls. To confirm the cellular retention of PCSK9Q152H, ELISAs were performed on the media from the PCSK9-transfected cells and demonstrated an 87% reduction in the secreted form of the protein (Fig. 1B). Activation of the ER stress–inducible transcription factor SREBP2 (25, 26), a regulator of PCSK9 expression (27), was also examined in PCSK9Q152H-transfected HuH7 cells and found to be 5-fold down-regulated compared with PCSK9WT-transfected control (Fig. 1C). To investigate the effect of PCSK9 variants on UPR activation, HuH7 cells cotransfected with the ER activated indicator (ERAI) plasmid in addition to plasmids encoding a variety of PCSK9 variants were examined via immunoblotting of GRP78 and CHOP. The ERAI plasmid encodes an ER stress–inducible FLAG-XBP1 and was used as an additional tool to characterize UPR activation in our model (13, 28). A group of cells were also treated with the ER stress–inducing agent thapsigargin (TG; 100 nM) to serve as a benchmark of UPR activation. PCSK9 variants examined in this experiment included PCSK9WT and PCSK9Q152S, which undergo autocatalytic cleavage and secretion, as well as PCSK9Q152H, PCSK9Q152D (29), and a C-terminal PCSK9 frameshift variant (PCSK9FS), all of which fail to exit the ER. Despite the retention of these PCSK9 variants in the ER, UPR activation was not observed as determined by the absence of GRP78, activated spliced XBP1 (sXBP1), and CHOP induction at the protein level (Fig. 1D). As expected, cells exposed to TG showed increased protein expression of these UPR markers. Furthermore, to investigate whether cells expressing variant PCSK9Q152H exhibited increased susceptibility to UPR activation, transfected cells were also treated with TG. Consistent with data from HuH7 cells, immunoblots of HK-2 and N2a cell lysates confirm that retention of PCSK9Q152H fails to induce UPR activation (Fig. 1E). Furthermore, the level of UPR induction by TG treatment was similar in cells transfected with PCSK9WT and PCSK9Q152H, demonstrating that ER retention of PCSK9 did not enhance the ability of TG to activate the UPR. Additionally, real-time PCR experiments demonstrated that mRNA levels of sXBP1, ATF6, and GRP78 were not significantly different (Fig. 1F). The temporal effect of ER PCSK9 retention on UPR activation was also examined via immunoblotting for GRP78 (Fig. 1G). These data demonstrate that the expression level of PCSK9Q152H in the ER was greatest 48 h post-transfection. Despite this observation, GRP78 expression was not induced by PCSK9Q152H at any of the time points examined. To lend sup-
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Figure 1. Retention of PCSK9 variants in the ER does not induce the UPR. HuH7 cells were seeded in DMEM and transfected with either EV control, WT, Q152H, Q152S, Q152D, or FS V5-labeled PCSK9 variants for 48 h. Cells were also treated with TG (100 nM) for 24 h to serve as a positive control for UPR activation. In addition to V5-PCSK9 transfection, HuH7 cells were also cotransfected with the ERAI plasmid encoding FLAG-XBP1. A, CMV-driven PCSK9 expression was compared with endogenous PCSK9 expression in HuH7 cells. B, an ELISA was run on the media from HuH7 cells transfected with either PCSK9WT or PCSK9Q152H. C, SREBP2 activation, in the form of nuclear SREBP2 (nSREBP2), was also examined in PCSK9Q152H-transfected cells and compared with controls via immunoblotting. D and F, immunoblot and real-time PCR analyses of these cells were performed for ER stress markers ATF6, GRP78, sXBP1, and CHOP. Immunoblot analysis was also completed on V5-PCSK9 in whole cell lysate and medium to examine PCSK9 maturation and secretion, respectively. GFP, originating from the bicistronic pIRE2-EGFP V5-PCSK9 plasmids, served as a transfection control. E, HK-2 and N2a cells transfected with either PCSK9WT or PCSK9Q152H were also examined for UPR activation in the presence or absence of TG. G, a time course experiment was carried out in HuH7 cells transfected with either PCSK9WT or PCSK9Q152H to identify the time point yielding the greatest level of PCSK9 retention. H and I, mouse primary hepatocytes were also transfected with PCSK9WT, PCSK9Q152H, PCSK9Q152D, and PCSK9S386A and examined for ER stress marker expression of GRP78, ATF4, sXBP1, and IRE1α via real-time PCR and immunoblotting. Differences between treatments were assessed with unpaired Student’s t tests. All values are represented as means with error bars representing S.D. B, *, p < 0.05 versus WT. F, *, p < 0.05 versus WT. F and H, nonsignificant (NS).
port to our observations made in HuH7, HK-2, and N2a immortalized cell lines, freshly isolated primary mouse hepatocytes transfected with PCSK9 variants PCSK9_Q152H, PCSK9_Q152D, and PCSK9_S386A were also examined for UPR activation. In addition to the aforementioned variants, which fail to undergo autocatalytic cleavage due to mutations at the site of cleavage, PCSK9_S386A is an ER-retained variant that fails to undergo autocatalytic cleavage due to a point mutation in the catalytic domain (30). Consistent with our findings in immortalized cell lines, real-time PCR and immunoblot data reveal that the UPR is no more active in cells expressing ER-retained PCSK9 variants than in those expressing the WT secreted form of the protein (Fig. 1, H and I).

Retention of VP variants induces the UPR in hepatocytes

To assess whether the accumulation of misfolded proteins does indeed cause UPR activation in hepatocytes, HuH7 cells transfected with either PCSK9_WT or its ER-retention variant PCSK9 Q152H, PCSK9 Q152D, and PCSK9 S386A were also examined for UPR activation. In addition to the aforementioned variants, which fail to undergo autocatalytic cleavage due to mutations at the site of cleavage, PCSK9 S386A is an ER-retained variant that fails to undergo autocatalytic cleavage due to a point mutation in the catalytic domain (30). Consistent with our findings in immortalized cell lines, real-time PCR and immunoblot data reveal that the UPR is no more active in cells expressing ER-retained PCSK9 variants than in those expressing the WT secreted form of the protein (Fig. 1, H and I).

ER retention of a PCSK9 splice variant via RNAi does not cause UPR activation

To confirm and extend our overexpression studies using exogenously expressed PCSK9 variants, UPR activation was examined in response to the retention of endogenously expressed PCSK9SV in HuH7 cells. To achieve this, HuH7 cells were transfected with an SSO that promotes the expression of a PCSK9SV lacking exon 8 that encodes the catalytic domain. This form of PCSK9SV fails to undergo autocatalytic cleavage and secretion as demonstrated previously (22). Our data demonstrate that sterol deprivation, which induces PCSK9 expression (13), was necessary to attain the SSO-induced formation of ER-resident PCSK9SV (Fig. 3A). Consistent with our overexpression studies using the CMV-driven PCSK9 plasmids, increasing the ER content of endogenously expressed PCSK9SV also did not correlate with increased expression of the ER stress control. Similar to the immunoblot data in Fig. 2, A and B, a significant increase in mRNA levels of sXBPI, ATF4, ATF6, and GRP78 was observed in HuH7 cells transfected with the variant VP G17V (Fig. 2C). Given that chemical ER stress blocks the secretion of PCSK9 from hepatocytes (13), ELISA was used to determine whether VP G17V reduced the content of PCSK9 in the media from HuH7 cells. Mouse primary hepatocytes were also transfected with VP WT and VP G17V and examined for UPR marker expression of GRP78, ATF4, and sXBPI using real-time PCR. Differences between treatments were assessed with unpaired Student’s t tests. All values are represented as means, and error bars represent S.D. C and E, *p < 0.05 versus VP WT.
markers IRE1α and GRP78. Effective alternative splicing of PCSK9 in the presence of the SSO was confirmed via real-time PCR using primers that span exon 8. Given the size of this exon, the PCR product of full-length PCSK9 had an expected size of 582 bp, whereas that of the PCSK9SV lacking exon 8 was 408 bp (Fig. 3B). Quantification of these data shows that the SSO significantly reduced the abundance of PCSK9 mRNA (\( p < 0.05 \)) while increasing the abundance of PCSK9SV mRNA (\( p < 0.05 \)) in the presence and absence of sterol (Fig. 3C). Consistent with the immunoblot data, mRNA levels of ER stress markers were not affected by the SSO (Fig. 3D). To confirm the cellular retention status of PCSK9 resulting from SSO treatment, secreted PCSK9 was examined via ELISA and found to be significantly reduced (\( p < 0.05 \)) (Fig. 3E). The PCSK9 SSO used in this study, also known as hP872, was identical to that reported by Rocha et al. (22) (Fig. 3F).

**ER PCSK9 retention does not induce apoptosis**

It is well-established that chronic or severe ER stress can trigger apoptotic cell death (31, 32). For this reason, we examined the effect of ER PCSK9 retention on apoptosis as well as selective mediators of apoptosis. HuH7 cells transfected with PCSK9WT and PCSK9Q152H, in the presence or absence of TG (100 nM), were examined for apoptosis-induced DNA damage via TUNEL staining (Fig. 4A). No difference in TUNEL staining was observed between cells expressing PCSK9WT and the PCSK9Q152H retention variant. The abundance of the proapoptotic mediator PUMA was also examined in PCSK9-transfected HK-2 cells, and no significant difference was observed in the presence or absence of TG (100 nM; Fig. 4B). Lastly, cell viability was also assessed in PCSK9-transfected HK-2 and HuH7 cells. Although TG treatment led to a significant increase
in the cytotoxicity of HK-2 and HuH7 cells (*, *p < 0.05 and †, *p < 0.05, respectively), ER retention of PCSK9 did not alter cell viability in either cell line (Fig. 4, C and D). In contrast to PCSK9Q152H, the expression of VPQ17V significantly increased cell death compared with VPWT control (Fig. 4E). To confirm our findings, apoptosis marker expression was also examined in

Figure 4. ER PCSK9 retention does not induce apoptosis. HuH7 and HK-2 cells were seeded in DMEM and transfected with either PCSK9WT or PCSK9Q152H for 48 h. Cells were also treated with TG (100 nM) or DMSO vehicle control for 24 h. A, HuH7 cells were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde and stained for apoptosis-induced DNA damage using a 594-labeled TUNEL stain (red). Following TUNEL staining, cells were also stained for GFP to identify cells transfected with the bicistronic pIRE2-EGFP V5-PCSK9 plasmids (green). B, transfected HK-2 cells were also examined for the apoptosis marker PUMA via immunoblot analysis. C and D, cell viability assays were carried out on transfected HK-2 and HuH7 cells using trypan blue stain and lactate dehydrogenase assays, respectively. E, a lactate dehydrogenase assay was also carried out on cells transfected with VPQ17V, a mutant known to induce ER stress as a result of ER retention (21). F, freshly isolated mouse primary hepatocytes were transfected with PCSK9 variants PCSK9Q152H, PCSK9Q152D, and PCSK9S386A and examined for the apoptosis markers caspase-3 and PUMA via real-time PCR. G, apoptosis marker expression of caspase-3 and PUMA was also examined in VP-transfected mouse primary hepatocyte using real-time PCR. Differences between treatments were assessed with unpaired Student’s t tests. All values are represented as means, and error bars represent S.D. C, *, *p < 0.05 versus DMSO-treated WT. D, *, *p < 0.05 versus DMSO-treated WT. F and G, *, *p < 0.05 versus VPWT. C, D, and E, nonsignificant (NS).
mouse primary hepatocytes. Similar to our findings in HuH7 and HK-2 cells, real-time PCR experiments demonstrate that the retention of VPG17V, but not PCSK9Q152H, PCSK9Q152D, or PCSK9S386A, caused an induction of proapoptotic mediators caspase-3 and PUMA relative to WT secreted controls (Fig. 4, F and G).

**Proteasomal inhibition increases ER PCSK9 content**

ER-associated degradation, a process mediated by the proteasome, plays a major role in the removal of misfolded/accumulated proteins from the ER (33). For this reason, we investigated whether the proteasome is responsible for the removal of retained PCSK9 and elicits a protective state against UPR activation in cells transfected with PCSK9Q152H. Moreover, use of the proteasome inhibitor MG132 in combination with CMV-mediated overexpression served as a tool to further enhance exogenous ER-PCSK9 content as a means of causing ER stress. Immunofluorescence staining of V5 was used to visualize the perinuclear localization and accumulation of PCSK9 (Fig. 5A).

**Figure 5. Proteasomal inhibition increases ER PCSK9 content.** To examine the influence of the proteasome and test whether further increasing the ER content of PCSK9Q152H leads to ER stress, HK-2 cells transfected with V5-labeled PCSK9 were treated with the proteasomal inhibitor MG132 (MG; 1 μM) for 24 h. A, to visualize the extent of ER PCSK9 retention, cells were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde and stained using a V5 primary antibody and a 594-labeled secondary antibody (red). B, ER stress markers IRE1α, GRP78, and CHOP as well as ER PCSK9 content and maturation were examined via immunoblot analysis. C, apoptosis markers PUMA and cleaved caspase-3 (cCasp3) were also examined using immunoblotting.
absence of MG132 (Fig. 5B). Consistently, MG132 treatment induced the expression of proapoptotic markers cleaved caspase-3 and PUMA, but no difference was observed as a result of PCSK9Q152H expression with respect to its WT counterpart (Fig. 5C).

**GRP94 masks ER-resident PCSK9 from GRP78**

Although GRP78 interacts with a diversity of client proteins (34), recent studies have demonstrated that endogenously expressed PCSK9 interacts with GRP78 to a significantly greater extent following knockdown of GRP94 (14). Given the role of GRP78 as a major trigger of UPR activation, we tested whether GRP94 could mask ER-resident PCSK9 or pro-PCSK9 from detection by GRP78. Furthermore, the interaction between PCSK9Q152H and GRP78 was compared with the interaction between GRP78 and VP (Fig. 6A). These coimmunoprecipitation experiments demonstrate that the VP retention variant interacts with GRP78 but not GRP94 (Fig. 6A). In contrast, PCSK9 was pulled down by GRP94 and GRP78 with the latter requiring a longer exposure of the immunoblots. Lastly, immunoprecipitation of the ER-retention variants VP(G17V) and PCSK9(G152H) pulled down more GRP78 and GRP94 (respectively) than their secreted WT counterparts. In a reciprocal manner, the interaction between GRP94 and PCSK9 was confirmed via immunoprecipitation of GRP94 (Fig. 6B), and the interaction of GRP78 with VP was confirmed via immunoprecipitation of GRP78 (Fig. 6C). Consistent with Fig. 6A, these immunoblots also demonstrate that GRP78 and GRP94 form stronger interactions with the ER-retention variants of VP and PCSK9 than their WT counterparts. Lastly, coimmunoprecipitation experiments of PCSK9-transfected cells were performed in the presence and absence of small interfering RNA (siRNA) targeted against GRP94 (siGRP94; Fig. 6D). As reported previously (14), we observed a significantly greater level of interaction between PCSK9 and GRP78 under conditions of GRP94 knockdown. Furthermore, we also observed more GRP78 in complex with PCSK9Q152H than with PCSK9WT under such conditions.

**ER retention of PCSK9 variants leads to UPR activation in the absence of GRP94**

Given that we observed a greater level of GRP78 association with the PCSK9Q152H variant in the absence of GRP94, our next aim was to determine whether this specific condition would lead to UPR activation. As such, UPR activation was assessed in HuH7 cells cotransfected with either PCSK9WT or PCSK9Q152H in combination with scrambled siRNA or siGRP94. Cells expressing PCSK9Q152H, in the presence of siGRP94, exhibited increased expression of ER stress markers from all three arms of the UPR (ATF6, IRE1α, and CHOP) (Fig. 7A). To further substantiate the relationship between PCSK9 and GRP94, we observed a modest but consistent increase in GRP94 expression in cells transfected with PCSK9Q152H compared with those transfected with the PCSK9WT counterpart (Fig. 7, A and B). Furthermore, cells transfected with both siGRP94 and PCSK9Q152H showed a significant increase in cytotoxicity compared with control groups (Fig. 7, C and D; *, p < 0.05). The effect of GRP94 on UPR activation in response to
ER PCSK9 retention was also assessed using PCSK9 variants PCSK9Q152D and PCSK9S386A. Consistent with our findings in Fig. 7A, we observed that cotransfection of HuH7 cells with siGRP94 and ER-retained PCSK9 variants led to increased expression of UPR markers GRP78 and nuclear ATF6 relative to those transfected with siGRP94 and PCSK9WT (Fig. 7E).

Marked overexpression of mouse PCSK9 does not induce the UPR in murine liver

Based on our cell culture studies, we examined whether transgenic mice overexpressing PCSK9 (PCSK9transgenic), which increases the ER content of nascent PCSK9, would exhibit signs of ER stress. The livers from these mice were examined for UPR activation via immunoblotting for IRE1α/H9251 and GRP78 (Fig. 8A) and real-time PCR of sXBP1 (Fig. 8B), which yielded no substantial difference between PCSK9WT and PCSK9transgenic mice. Furthermore, PCSK9 knockout (KO) mice showed no induction of the UPR compared with the other groups. LDLR immunoblotting and real-time PCR of PCSK9 served as controls for PCSK9 expression, yielding a significant increase in hepatic LDLR expression and a 100-fold increase in PCSK9 mRNA in PCSK9transgenic mice compared with WT mice (Fig. 8, A and C). Lastly, ER stress was also examined via immunohistochemical staining of the livers for ER stress markers KDEL and CHOP in PCSK9WT and PCSK9transgenic mice as well as a control group of WT mice treated with the ER stress-inducing agent tunicamycin (500 μg/kg for 24 h). Consistent with immunoblot and real-time PCR data, no difference in KDEL or CHOP expression was observed between PCSK9WT and PCSK9transgenic mice (Fig. 8D).

**Discussion**

A number of ERSDs have been characterized to date (15, 16). Such diseases arise as a result of genetic mutations that lead to the synthesis of a misfolded de novo protein product, which fails to exit the ER (Fig. 9). Frequently, the disease phenotype occurs due to the absence of the mature functional protein at its native site of action. Another well-established mode of action for pathology in ERSDs is chronic activation of the UPR in response to ER stress. A well-characterized ERSD occurring in liver results from a number of established mutations in α1-antitrypsin Z that induce ER stress, liver cirrhosis, and hepatocellular carcinoma (35, 36). Additional examples affecting other tissues include arginine vasopressin mutations (G14R and G17V) in familial neurohypophyseal diabetes insipidus (20, 21, 37), cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator ΔF508 in cystic fibrosis (38), thyroglobulin mutations in congenital goiter and hyperthyroidism (39), and mutations in the Notch receptor that lead to cerebral autosomal-dominant arteriopathy and leukoencephalopathy (40).

We confirm that overexpression of a mutant form of VP that is retained in the ER resulted in UPR activation and increased...
Figure 8. Marked overexpression of mouse PCSK9 does not induce the UPR in murine liver. To examine whether elevated levels of nascent ER-resident PCSK9 promote UPR activation in vivo, we tested the hypothesis in a transgenic murine model known to express ~40–100-fold greater levels of PCSK9 than WT controls. A and B, immunoblot and real-time PCR analyses were carried out in the livers of these mice, PCSK9 KO mice and WT controls, to characterize ER stress marker expression of IRE1α, GRP78, and sXBP1. C, a control real-time PCR was also done to confirm the overexpression of PCSK9 in our model. D, formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded liver sections from these mice in addition to mice treated with the ER stress–inducing agent tunicamycin (500 µg/kg; single injection for 24 h) were also stained for ER stress markers KDEL and CHOP. LDLR staining served as a control for the status of PCSK9 expression. Differences between treatments were assessed with unpaired Student’s t tests. All values are represented as means, and error bars represent S.D. B, nonsignificant (NS). C, *, p < 0.05 versus WT.
cytotoxicity. In contrast to these findings and with striking consistency, overexpression of LOF PCSK9 variants that accumulate in the ER did not exhibit UPR activation or apoptosis. These findings were confirmed using three cell lines (HuH7, HK-2, and N2a) originating from tissues known to express PCSK9 (liver, kidney, and brain, respectively) and with a number of PCSK9 variants (PCSK9Q152H, PCSK9Q152D, and PCSK9FS) known to be retained in the ER. Despite the absence of UPR signaling, a distinct expansion of the ER was observed in HuH7 and HK-2 cells expressing PCSK9Q152H relative to those expressing PCSK9WT controls. This phenomenon, which is an initial response to ER stress (41, 42), appears to occur in a manner independent of the UPR. Although the key players in this process are not yet validated, one possibility is that ER stress-inducible genes like SREBP2 promote membrane biogenesis by inducing de novo synthesis and uptake of lipid (43, 44). However, given that ER PCSK9 retention blocks SREBP2 activation (Fig. IC), increased de novo synthesis is likely not the culprit. PCSK9Q152H expression, however, is known to result in enhanced cell surface LDLR expression (10) and likely allows the cells to acquire the ER expansion–enabling lipid from LDLR-mediated uptake of LDL.

Given that few reports have documented an absence of UPR activation resulting from ER protein retention, the remainder of this discussion will focus on the key differences between PCSK9 and other mutants known to cause ER stress when retained. An initial foundational observation stems from an epidemiological line of evidence: LOF PCSK9 mutations, including PCSK9Q152H, are naturally occurring and confer a substantial reduction in the risk of developing CVD (11, 45, 46). As of yet, the absence/inhibition of circulating PCSK9 has not been associated with deleterious effects other than mild rashes at the site of mAb injection (47). Taken together, these factors imply a positive evolutionary selection pressure for LOF PCSK9 mutations. In support of this notion, the frequency of LOF PCSK9 mutations is relatively high, especially in Africans (48).

Indeed, it was recently shown that the PCSK9 mutation C679X, encoding a form of PCSK9 that fails to be secreted (8), has a prevalence of 3.7% in African women (49).

It is also worth considering the implications associated with the observation that nascent PCSK9WT forms pro-PCSK9 oligomers while in the ER (1). Given that ER protein oligomers and/or aggregates are a principal cause of UPR activation and that ER-resident pro-PCSK9 oligomers are naturally occurring, it is therefore evident that cells expressing PCSK9 have adapted a mechanism to prevent constitutive UPR activation and cell death.

Furthermore, in contrast to a number of cell surface/secretory proteins that transit the ER, PCSK9 folding and maturation can occur in a manner independent of ER chaperones. In support of this concept, our previous studies (13) as well as those of others (45) have demonstrated that PCSK9 undergoes maturation in the presence of agents that induce ER Ca\(^{2+}\) depletion regardless of the obligate Ca\(^{2+}\) dependence of ER chaperones. In addition, endogenously expressed PCSK9 does not stably interact with endogenously expressed ER luminal GRP78 (14), which is interesting given the well-established broad client base of this chaperone (50). These findings, in addition to those highlighted in this report, suggest that ER-resident pro-PCSK9 may be undetected and unaffected by major ER chaperones like GRP78. GRP78 is a ubiquitously expressed chaperone involved in the folding of polypeptide chains, nascent chain translocation, resolving the accumulation/removal of misfolded protein in the ER, and modulating the activation of ER stress transducers (51, 52). GRP78 owes its importance in biological systems to its promiscuity, which relies on a client-binding domain known to seek 7–11-residue peptides forming \(\beta\) strands with alternating hydrophobic residues (53, 54). It is estimated that one GRP78-binding site would arise every 36 amino acids within a randomly generated peptide chain (54).

In addition to demonstrating that the interaction between PCSK9 and GRP78 was not as strong as that of PCSK9 and GRP94, our coimmunoprecipitation data also indicate that GRP78 forms a more abundant complex with the retained VP\(^{G17V}\) variant than with the VP\(^{WT}\) control. These data suggest that ER-retained mutant VP\(^{G17V}\) sequesters a greater amount
of ER-resident GRP78 (Fig. 6A), likely as a result of increased ER abundance relative to secreted VPWT control. In turn, increased VPQ152H-mediated GRP78 sequestration hindered the capacity of this chaperone to act as a blocker of UPR activation and ultimately led to the observed ER stress response (Fig. 2A). Although a similar effect was observed with PCSK9Q152H interacting with GRP94 (versus PCSK9WT) (Fig. 6A), this chaperone is not known to play a direct role as a UPR sensor or mediator of these findings, mice expressing 100-fold greater levels of (Fig. 5) and masking by GRP94 (Figs. 6 and 7). In support of result of a combination of efficient proteasomal degradation

specified that overexpression of the PCSK9Q152H variant induced

masks ER-resident pro-PCSK9 oligomers from detection by

the UPR in the ER (14). Our data also suggest that GRP94

resulted in a significant reduction of circulating LDL cholesterol (13). We now also confirm that it fails to induce ER stress despite the known link among misfolded protein accumulation, UPR activation, cell death, and disease (18). Our findings demonstrate that PCSK9Q152H likely fails to cause ER stress as a result of a combination of efficient proteasomal degradation (Fig. 5A) and masking by GRP94 (Figs. 6 and 7). In support of these findings, mice expressing 100-fold greater levels of PCSK9 mRNA also failed to exhibit UPR activation (Fig. 8). We are now actively assessing whether the overexpression of LOF variants of PCSK9, including PCSK9Q152H, in the livers of PCSK9 KO mice cause ER stress/UPR activation and/or apoptotic cell death. Taken together, our results indicate that the ER retention of mutant forms of PCSK9 unable to undergo autocatalytic cleavage does not trigger detrimental ER stress, UPR activation, and cytotoxicity. This has important implications for the design of novel therapeutics targeting PCSK9. Specifically, our findings suggest that the development of small molecule inhibitors of PCSK9 autocatalytic cleavage may serve as an effective alternative to the costly biologic PCSK9 inhibitors that are currently available.

Experimental procedures

Cell culture and transfections

HuH7, HK-2, and N2a cells were routinely grown in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Sigma-Aldrich), 100 IU/ml penicillin, and 100 µg/ml streptomycin (both from Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific) at 37 °C with 5% CO2. To examine the effect of ER PCSK9 retention on UPR activation, cells were seeded in 6-well plates to a confluence of 60% and transfected with plasmids encoding PCSK9 variants 24 h later. Cells were transfected in penicillin/streptomycin–free medium with Xtremerene transfection agent (Xtremegene HP, catalogue number 6366236001, Roche Applied Science) at a 3:1 ratio with plasmid DNA (3 µl of transfection reagent/1 µg of DNA/1 ml of medium). To block GRP94 expression, siRNA targeted against GRP94 was purchased from Dharmacon (catalogue number M-006417-02-0005). RNAiMAX (catalogue number 13778030) transfection reagent was used for siRNA transfections; siRNA transfection mixtures contained 100 nm siRNA and 3 µl of RNAiMAX/ml of complete medium. Prior to treatment of cells, transfection mixtures were incubated in Opti-MEM (100 µl of Opti-MEM per treatment; Thermo Fisher Scientific) for 30 min at room temperature prior to transfection according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Transfection mixture-containing medium was removed from cells after 24-h incubation and replaced with either fresh medium or medium containing the ER stress–inducing agent Tg (100 nm; Sigma-Aldrich) for an additional 24 h prior to cell lysis. The plasmids used in these studies included the bicistronic pRES2-EGFP plasmids encoding V5-PCSK9WT and its variants PCSK9Q152S, PCSK9Q152H, PCSK9Q152D, and PCSK9FS. VPWT and its variants VPQ14R and VPQ17V were cloned into pEGFP-N1 as described previously (21), and PCSK9S386A was cloned into a pcDNA3.1 plasmid.

Immunoblotting

Cells were washed in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and resuspended in lysis buffer containing SDS and protease inhibitor (catalogue number 4693159001, Roche Applied Science). Total cell protein was normalized using a protein assay (catalogue number 5000121, Bio-Rad). Protein samples were resolved using standard Western blotting procedures on 7 or 10% acrylamide gels and subsequently transferred to nitrocellulose membranes using the Bio–Rad Mini Trans-Blot system (catalogue number 1703930). Membranes were then blocked in 5% skim milk in TBS for 1 h and then incubated in primary antibody overnight for 16 h at 4 °C. The primary antibodies used in this study include the following: anti-ATF6 (catalogue number 70B1413.1, Novus Biologicals), anti-CHOP (catalogue number SC-793, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), anti-FLAG (catalogue number F3165, Sigma-Aldrich), anti-GRP94 (catalogue number 610979, BD Biosciences), anti-IRE1α (catalogue number 3294, Cell Signaling Technology), anti-PCSK9 (catalogue number NB300-959, Novus Biologicals), anti-V5 (catalogue number sc-83849, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), anti-GFP (catalogue number sc-8334, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), anti-GFP (catalogue number sc-8334, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), anti-β-actin (catalogue number ab8227, Sigma-Aldrich). Membranes were visualized using EZ-ECL chemiluminescent reagent (catalogue number 20-500-500, Froggabio), and relative band intensities were quantified using ImageLab software (Bio-Rad). Band intensities represent the mean of three replicates adjusted to membranes reprobed for β-actin.

Coimmunoprecipitations

Cells grown in 10-cm dishes were collected in ice-cold non-denaturing IP buffer containing 20 mM Tris HCl, 137 mM NaCl, 1% Nonidet P-40, 2 mM EDTA, protease inhibitor, and phosphatase inhibitor (PhoSTOP, Roche Applied Science). Cells were further lysed by passing through an insulin syringe 20 times. Following lysis, samples were centrifuged at 4 °C for 30 min at 20,000 × g. Supernatants were transferred to new sam-
ple tubes, and cell debris–containing tubes were discarded. One milligram of protein from each sample was subsequently incubated with 2 μg of capture antibody targeted against V5 (catalogue number sc-83849, Santa Cruz Biotechnology) or GFP (catalogue number sc-8384, Santa Cruz Biotechnology) for V5-PCSK9– and GFP-VP– transfected cells, respectively, on a rotating platform for 24 h at 4°C. Following this period, samples were exposed to 100 μl of Protein G magnetic Surebeads (catalogue number 1614023, Bio-Rad) for an additional 2 h on the rotating platform at 4°C. The beads were then magnetized, and the remaining sample was placed in tubes labeled “input” to serve as controls. The magnetic bead slurry was subjected to four consecutive washes using non-denaturing IP buffer. Protein complexes bound to the beads were collected by boiling the slurry with 100 μl of 4× SDS-PAGE sample/loading buffer. Successful pulldown was confirmed by reprobing immunoblots with anti-PCSK9 antibody (catalogue number NB300-959) and anti-GFP antibody (catalogue number NB600-308).

**RNA isolation and real-time PCR**

RNA extraction was performed using RNeasy Mini kits (catalogue number 74104, Qiagen) as described previously (7). Total RNA was quantified, normalized, and reverse transcribed into cDNA using a Superscript VILO cDNA synthesis kit (catalogue number 11754050, Thermo Fisher Scientific). Real-time PCR was completed using Fast SYBR Green (catalogue number 4385610, Thermo Fisher Scientific). The relative abundance of PCSK9 and PCSK9V5 mRNAs from experiments involving SSO was examined via quantitative PCR and agarose gel electrophoresis as described previously (14). Quantification of the relative band intensities was done using ImageLab. DNA was quantified and normalized prior to electrophoresis using a NanoDrop™ spectrophotometer.

**Immunofluorescence microscopy and TUNEL assay**

HuH7 and HK-2 cells were seeded in 4-well chamber slides (catalogue number 177399, Thermo Fisher Scientific) to a confluence of 50% and cultured for 24 h. Cells were then incubated in transfection mixture for 24 h and treated with MG132 (1 μM; catalogue number MB699, Sigma-Aldrich) for an additional 24 h. Following treatments, cells were washed in cold PBS, fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde, and permeabilized in 0.2% Triton X-100 (Sigma-Aldrich). Prior to the 1-h incubation with primary antibodies, cells were blocked in PBS-Tween (PBS-T) containing 5% bovine serum albumin (BSA; catalogue number 05470, Sigma-Aldrich). Primary antibodies used for immunofluorescence staining included the following: anti-V5 (catalogue number sc-83849) and anti-GFP (catalogue number NB600-308). All primary antibodies were diluted 1:100 in PBS-T containing 1% BSA. Following primary antibody incubation, cells were incubated in fluorescently labeled secondary antibodies diluted 1:200 in 1% BSA in PBS-T. These included donkey anti-goat 594 (catalogue number A11058, Thermo Fisher Scientific) and goat anti-rabbit 488 (catalogue number R37116, Thermo Fisher Scientific). Fluorescently labeled cells were then counterstained with DAPI (catalogue number D1306, Thermo Fisher Scientific). TUNEL assays were carried out according to the manufacturer’s instructions using a Trevigen kit (catalogue number 4812-30-K, Trevigen).

**PCSK9 ELISA**

Secreted PCSK9 from cell culture models was measured using the human PCSK9 Quantikine ELISA kit from R&D Systems (catalogue number DCP900). Briefly, FBS-free medium from transfected cells was collected and centrifuged at 1000 × g for 10 min to remove floating cells/debris. ELISAs were then carried out according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

**Cell death assays**

Lactate dehydrogenase assays were completed according to the manufacturer’s instructions (catalogue number 04744926001, Roche Applied Science). A novel cell death assay, based on trypan blue staining of cell debris, was also used to quantify cell death. Briefly, HK-2 cells were transfected with either EV control, PCSK9WT, or PCSK9Q152H in the presence or absence of TG (100 nM). The medium, which contained all cell debris, was collected 24 h later and incubated with 10% trypan blue solution (v/v) (catalogue number 15250061, Thermo Fisher Scientific) for 5 min at room temperature. Samples were then centrifuged at 10,000 × g to isolate the cell debris pellet. The pellet was then washed with isopropanol and subsequently incubated in Hank’s balanced salt solution for 30 min at 37 °C to extract trypan blue from the debris. Optical density of trypan blue–containing Hank’s balanced salt solution was then measured using a SpectraMax Plus 384 spectrophotometer at 488 nm (Molecular Devices).

**Immunohistochemistry**

Liver tissues were collected and fixed in formalin, embedded in paraffin, and sectioned at a thickness of 4 μm. KDEL staining was carried out using a 1:40 dilution of the primary antibody with no epitope retrieval (catalogue number ADI-SPA-827, Enzo Life Sciences). CHOP staining was carried out with heat-induced epitope retrieval using a 1:40 dilution of the primary antibody (catalogue number sc-575, Santa Cruz Biotechnology). LDLR staining was carried out using a 1:20 dilution of the primary antibody with no epitope retrieval (catalogue number AF2255, R&D Systems).

**Animal studies**

12-week-old PCSK9 KO mice or transgenic mice overexpressing mouse PCSK9 (3) and age-matched controls on a C57bl/6 background were housed in a 12-h light/dark cycle and fed normal chow and water ad libitum. Control and PCSK9 KO mice were randomly divided into four groups (n = 5 per group) and treated with either PBS vehicle control or the ER stress–inducing agent tunicamycin (500 μg/kg) for 24 h prior to sacrifice. The McMaster University Animal Research Ethics Board approved all procedures.

**Primary hepatocyte isolation**

A two-step hepatic perfusion of EGTA (500 M in HEPES buffer, Sigma-Aldrich) and collagenase (0.05% in HEPES buffer, Sigma-Aldrich) was used in 12-week-old male C57bl/6 mice to
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isolate primary hepatocytes. Following harvest, cells were washed, separated via centrifugation and cell strainers, and plated at a confluence of $1 \times 10^6$ cells/well in William’s E medium (Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 100 IU/ml penicillin, and 100 µg/ml streptomycin.

Statistical analysis

All experiments done during these studies were carried out using a minimum of three replicates. Statistical analysis for differences between groups was performed using two-tailed unpaired Student’s t test. Comparisons between plasma PCSK9 concentrations from the same animals before and after treatment were completed using paired two-tailed Student’s t test. Statistical tests were completed using Prism software (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA). Differences between groups were considered significant at $p < 0.05$, and all values are expressed as mean ± S.D.
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