Investigation of PH-Assisted Human Serum Albumin (HSA)-Cobalt (Co) Binding Using Nanomechanical Deflection and Circular Dichroism
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Abstract

Human serum albumin (HSA)-cobalt (Co) binding assay could serve as a marker for early detection of myocardial ischemia. However, the binding mechanism of the HSA-Co assay is very complex and hard to detect. During myocardial ischemia, the physiological pH may change with subsequent decrease in binding of Co with HSA. In this paper, we have investigated the binding of Co with HSA functionalized on the surface of microcantilever at acidic, basic and neutral pHs. Conformational change of HSA upon injection of Co(II) ions resulted in deflection of cantilever sensors. Furthermore, spectroscopic insight was obtained using circular dichroism to analyze conformational change of HSA-Co(II) binding.
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Introduction

Human serum albumin (HSA) is a protein that is abundantly present in blood plasma and is extensively studied. It plays an important role in developing colloidal osmotic pressure and controlling pH in blood circulation [1-3]. The HSA is well-known to be a transporter of various physiological metal ions such as Ca2+, Zn2+, Co2+, and Cu2+ as well as a carrier of variety of lipids and drugs [4-9]. The wide-ranging specificity of HSA can be attributed to the presence of distinct binding sites [5-8]. However, Co(II) binding has recently attracted much attention mainly due to its potential of becoming a cardiac biomarker to measure myocardial ischemia, which is a condition that precedes myocardial necrosis [10-16]. Decrease in binding ability of Co ions to ischemia modified albumin can assist in developing a biosensor comparable to or better than electrocardiogram (ECG) diagnosis [17]. Literature currently reports only one clinical assay (albumin cobalt binding (ACB) test), which is a calorimetric test, and it is known to lack specificity [17-20].

Cardiac diseases, such as myocardial infarction and necrosis, are the leading cause of death worldwide, especially in developing countries [21]. Portable sensors that are highly sensitive and selective are required for diagnostic purposes in resource-limited countries. One of the versatile diagnostic tools with promising future is the microcantilever sensor platform. Conformational changes in protein molecules induced by binding of analytes such as metal ions or other target molecules are capable of sensitively altering the microcantilever bending [22-29]. Microcantilever sensors have the advantage of detection of target molecules in a small volume of samples as compared to other spectroscopic and calorimetric techniques which are extensively used in for HSA-Co(II) binding. High sensitivity of the cantilevers has direct significance in early detection of diseases. Therefore, microcantilever sensors offer an opportunity for development of biosensing platforms with small volumes of samples for real-time, high sensitivity diagnosis [22,29-32]. Recently, pH-assisted conformation change of HSA was examined using microcantilever sensors, which showed the possibility of detecting conformational change of HSA by variation of pH using nanomechanical bending [33]. This study and many previous reports show that microcantilever sensors have the potential to be sensitive micromechanical pH sensors [33-36].

It is important to examine the HSA-Co(II) binding at acidic, basic and neutral pH because during myocardial ischemia, the physiological pHs may change resulting in decrease in binding of Co(II) with HSA [17,19,37]. In the present study, we have examined the effect of binding of Co(II) on HSA at a solid-liquid interface and compared it with spectroscopic results obtained in liquid environment. To best of our knowledge there is no such study reported on solid-liquid interface for HSA-Co(II) binding. This study confirms that the HSA immobilized on a solid substrate, i.e. a microcantilever beam shows similar results as in a liquid environment.

Materials and Methods

Materials

All chemicals including CoCl2·6H2O, NaCl, Na2PO4, 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl) carbodiimide (EDC), N-Hydroxysulfosuccinimide (sulfo-NHS) and 2-(N-morpholino)ethanesulfonic acid (MES) were purchased from Sigma Aldrich. Ligands carboxy-PEG12-lipoamide (PEG12-CL), and solvents such as ethanol were purchased from Fisher Scientific. Native HSA which typically contains 0.5-1.5 moles of fatty acids bound to one mole of HAS, was purchased from Sigma Aldrich. Deionized (DI) water with resistivity of 18 MΩ·cm-1 from Milli-Q water purification system was used in all the experiments. Commercially available silicon microcantilevers (Micromotive Co., Mainz, Germany) were used in all the experiments. The dimensions of the cantilever were 500 µm in length, 90 µm in width, and 1 µm in thickness.

Functionalization of the cantilevers

Silicon microcantilevers were cleaned using piranha (H2SO4:H2O2 (3:1)) for 10 min and washed with plenty of DI water and rinsed in ethanol. After air-drying inside the hood, freshly cleaned

*Corresponding author: Garima Thakur, Department of Chemical and Materials Engineering, University of Alberta, Edmonton, AB, T6G 2V4, Canada, Tel: 780-492-8664; E-mail: garima@ualberta.ca

Received December 19, 2013; Accepted February 21, 2014; Published February 24, 2014

Citation: Thakur G, Kim S, Prashanthi K, Thundat T (2014) Investigation of PH-Assisted Human Serum Albumin (HSA)-Cobalt (Co) Binding Using Nanomechanical Deflection and Circular Dichroism. J Nanomed Nanotechnol S5:008. doi:10.4172/2157-7439.S5-008

Copyright: © 2014 Thakur G, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.
microcantilevers were coated with 5/50 nm Ti/Au layer using electron-beam evaporator. Freshly coated microcantilevers were then again cleaned using piranha for 30 s to 1 min and washed with DI water before rinsing in ethanol. Air dried microcantilevers were treated with 1 mM PEG_{12}-CL solution in PBS buffer (20 mM NaPO_{4}, 0.15 M NaCl) at pH 7.4 for 3 hrs. Excess reagent was washed away by cleaning microcantilevers in buffer solution, followed by activation using crosslinking agent EDC and sulfo-NHS. The microcantilever was immersed in 0.2 M EDC and 50 mM sulfo-NHS prepared in MES buffer (0.1 M MES, 0.5 M HCl) at pH 6 for at least 90 min. Excess of the reagent was removed by washing the cantilever in buffer solution. The cantilever was then immersed in HSA (0.2 mg/ml) in PBS buffer pH 7.4 for 3 hrs. The surface of silicon substrate coated with Ti/Au (5/50 nm) layer was functionalized by HSA in the same manner as described above. Cantilevers without any protein modification except self-assembled monolayer (SAM) formation on gold face of cantilever were used as reference cantilevers.

**Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR)**

NEXUS 670 FTIR (Thermo Nicolet, Madison, WI, USA) was used with variable angle accessory equipped with mercury-cadmium-telluride detector cooled using liquid nitrogen. The sample spectra of PEG_{12}–CL SAM and HSA modified sample substrates were carried out at an incident angle of 60 degrees with p-polarized light. The resolution was 4 cm^{-1} with total 200 scans.

**Fluorescence spectroscopy**

Fluorescence measurements were carried out on Cary Eclipse-Varian Fluorescence Spectrometer (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) with Xenon flash lamp. The emission and excitation slit width was set at 5 nm each. Excitation wavelength was 280 nm.

**Circular dichroism (CD)**

The CD spectra were measured on an OLIS DSM 17 Circular Dichroism instrument (OLIS Inc. Bogart, Georgia, USA). Quartz cell of 0.02 cm path length was used to contain sample. The spectra were recorded in the far-UV region with wavelength between 195 and 275 nm. The spectrum was recorded with five scan accumulations. The data was analyzed using CD pro software.

**Atomic force microscopy (AFM)**

Asylum MFP-3DAFM with MFP head and scanner was used for tapping mode microscopy. The protein was immobilized on silicon wafer coated with Ti/Au: 5/50 nm. Freshly prepared samples were used for AFM imaging conducted in air.

**Cantilever deflection measurements**

Cantilever deflection measurements were monitored using homemade optical beam deflection system previously reported by our group [33]. The “compressive stress” (negative deflection, towards silicon side) and “tensile stress” (positive deflection, towards gold side) of the cantilever were measured by using the laser beam reflected of the free-end of the cantilever into a position sensitive detector (PSD). The experiments were performed in a stainless steel flow cell (Scentris, Vecco, Santa Barbara, CA). The buffer solution was flowed through the cell using a syringe pump and a flow rate of 10 µL/min. The analyte was introduced into the flow system by switching the flow using a low pressure liquid chromatography injection-port loop arrangement with a volume of 1000 µL without altering the flow rate.

The principle on which microcantilever sensors detect adsorbed analytes is either resonance frequency variation using mass loading or surface stress measurements [35]. The differential surface stress variation due to molecular adsorption on one of its surfaces can be calculated using Stoney’s equation [37–41]. The Stoney’s formula gives the relationship between radius of curvature, R, and the differential surface stress, ∆σ, induced on the microcantilever surface:

\[ \Delta \sigma = \frac{E t^3}{6 R (1 – \nu)} \]  

where \( E \) is Young’s Modulus (168.5 GPa), \( t \) is thickness of the cantilever, and \( \nu \) is Poisson’s ratio (0.07) [35,37,42]. This equation can be modified into a simpler expression:

\[ \Delta \sigma = \frac{1}{3} \frac{E}{(1 – \nu)} \Delta z \]  

where \( I \) is the effective length, and \( \Delta z \) is the deflection of the cantilever.

The flow rate was kept constant during all the experiments. This arrangement made certain that the microcantilever was under constant flow with no change in flow rate. It should be noted that the cantilever was immersed in the buffer solution and set to stabilize at a persistent flow-rate to obtain a constant baseline.

**Results and Discussion**

**Surface characterization**

The ligand PEG_{12}–CL was used for immobilization on the gold coated face of the cantilever. This ligand binds to the gold surface strongly forming PEG-islands [43] and provides improved flexibility to biomolecules. We have chosen PEG based crosslinking ligand to provide required sensitivity to HSA molecules as this crosslinking ligand prevents non-specific interactions, and provides more spatial orientation to HSA due dithiolate anchoring terminal towards gold face [43,44]. The characterization of the surface with SAM (PEG_{12}–CL) was achieved using FTIR and fluorescence spectroscopy. The IR spectra for the immobilized SAM (Figure 1A) shows characteristic peaks for ligand (-black) at 3740 cm^{-1} (\nu_s –OH), 2959 and 2921 cm^{-1} (\nu_s –CH_{2}), 1459 cm^{-1} (\nu_s –C=O-H), 1253 cm^{-1} (\nu_C –C=O-H), 1459 cm^{-1} (\nu_s –C=O-H), 1253 cm^{-1} (\nu_C –C=O-H) [45]. The surface conjugation of HSA was accomplished using EDC coupling reaction and the IR spectrum (blue) (Figure 1A) showed the amide I and amide II bands at 1654 and 1566 cm^{-1}, respectively. Moreover, there is a broad peak between 3500 and 3000 cm^{-1} showing extensive hydrogen bonding [46,47]. Figure 1B shows the fluorescence spectra of HSA modified gold substrate and the reference gold substrate, it can be clearly seen that the band at 360 nm is for Tryptophan (Trp) residue present in the protein [48]. The fluorescence spectra of HSA modified gold-surface suggests

---

**Figure 1:** IR spectra of PEG_{12}-CL SAM modified gold slide (black) and HSA modified gold slide (blue) (A); Fluorescence spectra of the HSA modified slide (blue) and reference gold slide (black) (B).
3. Reference cantilever signal was taken from PEG 12-CL modified signal of cantilevers modified with PEG12-CL SAM (black) (Figure signals of cantilevers modified with HSA (blue) and average deflection lower the pH, respectively. A flow rate of 10 µL/min was found to be an to 150 mM sodium chloride and 20 mM dibasic sodium phosphate. due to change in the refractive index. The buffer strength was optimized set of cantilevers with average smoothing to reject the noise observed a deflection signals after averaging the signals from at least 5 different cantilever for common mode rejection. The signals were analyzed as J Nanomed Nanotechol

Factors affecting the pH-dependent binding

It is important to identify interaction between the analyte and the ligand used for immobilization in comparison with protein under similar conditions. At various pH conditions the carboxyl moiety tends to have different reactivity. It can be easily perceived that at pH 7 and pH 12 the carboxyl terminal-group of PEG12-CL will be in deprotonated form as compared to pH 3. Moreover, the amide (O=C-NH) group present in PEG will be protonated in presence of excess H+ ions at pH 3. PEG chains on the surface will have intermolecular H-bonding interactions that would rather vary under different pH conditions [53,54].

![Figure 2: AFM of PEG modified gold surface (A); AFM of HSA immobilized surface roughness from 2 -15 nm (B). (shown by 3D images).](image)

![Figure 3: Average deflection of HSA immobilized cantilever (blue) and reference PEG12-CL SAM immobilized cantilever (black) upon injection of 100 mM CoCl2 at various pHs A) pH 7; B) pH 12; C) pH 3. The signals are filtered from noise by smoothening and baseline adjustment is done by subtracting a straight line in Origin Pro 8 (graphing and data analysis software). Inset shows the unfiltered cantilever signals.](image)

that Trp residues were oriented towards the surface instead of being embedded inside the protein [48,49]. Moreover, the AFM images of the self-assembled PEG layers on gold substrate showed the height of approximately 1.6 -2 nm. The AFM images also showed that the SAM was organized homogeneously on the surface with island height upto 6 ± 4 nm (Figure 2A). HSA modified substrate showed height up to 16 ± 4 nm and globular protein molecules were arranged in well-dispersed fashion on the surface (Figure 2B).

pH-dependent HSA-Co (II) binding

The deflection signals were acquired by taking the average deflection signals of cantilevers modified with HSA (blue) and average deflection signal of cantilevers modified with PEG12-CL SAM (black) (Figure 3). Reference cantilever signal was taken from PEG12-CL modified cantilever for common mode rejection. The signals were analyzed as a deflection signals after averaging the signals from at least 5 different set of cantilevers with average smoothing to reject the noise observed due to change in the refractive index. The buffer strength was optimized to 150 mM sodium chloride and 20 mM dibasic sodium phosphate. Only a small amount of 0.1 M NaOH or 0.1 M HCl was used to raise or lower the pH, respectively. A flow rate of 10 µL/min was found to be an optimum and used for all the experiments reported in this study. After acquiring baseline CoCl2 (100 mM, 1000 µL) was injected with time frame of around 100 min.

It was revealed from our previous studies that the conformation change of HSA can be monitored by switching the pH using microcantilever sensors [33]. To understand the effect of pH on binding of Co(II) to HSA, we expanded this investigation further and explored potential pH-based biosensing capabilities of cantilevers. Figure 3A shows two deflection signals for PEG12-CL SAM (black) and HSA (blue) immobilized cantilevers at pH 7 by monitoring the mean deflection of different cantilever sets upon injection of CoCl2 (100 mM). The deflection signals match the time period of injection of 100 min. In this case, upon injection of analyte a compressive stress was observed on the microcantilever. The bending signal reaches a maximum deflection about 100 min (injection time). After 100 min the signal returns to equilibrium value due to the washing out of the complex from both HSA and ligand immobilized cantilevers. For the PEG12-CL modified cantilever maximum deflection was 113±18 nm (corresponding surface stress 27±4.3 mN/m). Binding of Co(II) to the protein showed the maximum deflection at 306±45 nm (resulting in surface stress of 73±10.8 mN/m). These results indicate that the Co(II) binds strongly to the protein in comparison to the ligand. However, the complex ion is progressively washed away from the surface due to liquid flow as observed in all these experiments. The significant bending of the microcantilever shows conformational changes in the protein due to binding of Co(II) complex on the negatively charged globular protein in N (normal) conformation [31,33,34]. After binding with Co(II) complex, change in N conformation to an elongated protein structure is one possibility [50]. However, the reverse kinetic shows the complex formed is not strong enough and there is a relaxation of conformation as all the excess ions are washed away by passing buffer. In this case, upon injection of analyte a compressive stress was observed on surface of microcantilever.

The maximum deflection for the ligand PEG12-CL was observed at 45 ± 10 nm corresponding to a surface stress of 10.8 ± 2.4 mN/m (Figure 3B). At pH 12, HSA is considered to exist in A (aged) conformation which exists above pH 9 for HAS [33,37]. Basic (B) or A conformational transition might lead to a partial unfolding in protein under basic conditions due to loss of some percentage of α-helix [33,37,50-52]. For the injection of CoCl2 to HSA immobilized cantilever the maximum deflection was 102 ± 16 nm (surface stress of 24 ± 3.8 mN/m). The analyte appears to get washed away by passing buffer solution (pH 12) shows that there is a relaxation in protein conformation back to basic (B) or A. At pH 7, the interaction of analyte with the immobilized protein on the microcantilever induced very low surface stress in comparison to pH 12. The deflection of HSA immobilized microcantilever was 13 ± 4 nm resulting in surface stress of 3 ± 0.7 mN/m. Whereas, at pH 3 the injection of CoCl2 show minimal deflection of 3 ± 2 nm (surface stress 0.72 ± 0.4 mN/m) for the ligand.
Therefore, at pH 7 and 12 the surface of microcantilever will be shielded by a ligand which is negatively charged and favorable for binding with positive counter ions. The pKa value of carboxylic acids is generally between 3 and 4 [55], however PEG12-CL is a complex carboxylate ion due to electron donating PEG group, which reduces its acidity. From pH 3 to 4.7, [4,5] the surface stress measured at µM (orange) and mM (blue) concentrations (B); Injection of micro molar concentrations showing deflection of HSA immobilized cantilevers at pH 7 (C).

Since deflection of cantilever was found to be maximum at pH 7, it was decided to run experiments at different injection concentrations at pH 7. Deflections of HSA immobilized microcantilever were examined between 1 µM and 500 mM at pH 7 (Figure 4B). Deflection upon injection at lower concentration of 1 µM CoCl₂ resulted in a significant change in the surface stress of 30 ± 2.4 mN/m. However, at a concentration of 100 µM, the surface stress was determined to be 64.8 ± 4.8 mN/m. Higher concentration of CoCl₂ at 100 and 500 mM resulted in surface stress of 73 ± 10 and 331 ± 52 mN/m, respectively. Figure 4C presents the deflection of cantilever at 1 and 100 µM CoCl₂ injections. After looking at the curves, it is worthwhile to note at lower concentrations there was complexation of Co(II) into intermediate states with HSA during binding kinetics.

Spectroscopic analysis: CD

Furthermore, comparison of conformational change of HSA in solution due to binding of cobalt can be easily examined with the CD spectroscopy (Figure 5). The CD spectra of HSA at pH 7, 12 and 3 showed prominent bands at 208 and 222 nm, showing typical α-helix conformational change by binding of cobalt ions (A); 3D bar graph showing the difference in surface stress measured at µM (orange) and mM (blue) concentrations (B); Injection of micro molar concentrations showing deflection of HSA immobilized cantilevers at pH 7 (C).

Figure 5: CD spectra of HSA with and without addition of 100 mM CoCl₂ (5 µL) at pH 7, 3 and 12.

Since deflection of cantilever was found to be maximum at pH 7, it was decided to run experiments at different injection concentrations at pH 7. Deflections of HSA immobilized microcantilever were examined between 1 µM and 500 mM at pH 7 (Figure 4B). Deflection upon injection at lower concentration of 1 µM CoCl₂ resulted in a significant change in the surface stress of 30 ± 2.4 mN/m. However, at a concentration of 100 µM, the surface stress was determined to be 64.8 ± 4.8 mN/m. Higher concentration of CoCl₂ at 100 and 500 mM resulted in surface stress of 73 ± 10 and 331 ± 52 mN/m, respectively. Figure 4C presents the deflection of cantilever at 1 and 100 µM CoCl₂ injections. After looking at the curves, it is worthwhile to note at lower concentrations there was complexation of Co(II) into intermediate states with HSA during binding kinetics.

Spectroscopic analysis: CD

Furthermore, comparison of conformational change of HSA in solution due to binding of cobalt can be easily examined with the CD spectroscopy (Figure 5). The CD spectra of HSA at pH 7, 12 and 3 showed prominent bands at 208 and 222 nm, showing typical α-helix conformational change by binding of cobalt ions (A); 3D bar graph showing the difference in surface stress measured at µM (orange) and mM (blue) concentrations (B); Injection of micro molar concentrations showing deflection of HSA immobilized cantilevers at pH 7 (C).

Figure 5: CD spectra of HSA with and without addition of 100 mM CoCl₂ (5 µL) at pH 7, 3 and 12.
Table 1: Relative change observed upon CoCl₂ injection by spectroscopic and cantilever experiments.

| PBS Buffer | CD (Molar ellipticity, 8₀ at 210 nm) | Cantilever (surface stress, mN/m) at 100 min |
|------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| pH 7       | -86                               | 73 ± 10 mN/m                                 |
| pH 12      | -29                               | 24 ± 4 mN/m                                  |
| pH 3       | -13                               | 3 ± 0.7 mN/m                                 |

Table 1 shows the respective comparison of CD and microcantilever deflection experiments.

Conclusions

In this paper, we have investigated HSA-Co(II) binding at various pH values using microcantilever sensors. Binding of Co(II) on the HSA immobilized microcantilever shows the significant difference in surface stress, upon variation of pH. At pH 7, maximum surface stress was observed as compared to pH 12 and pH 3. Binding of Co(II) to the immobilized protein might be a multistep pathway as was observed at low concentrations at pH 7. Distinct binding affinities of Co(II) with HSA were observed at various pHs using microcantilever sensors. Moreover, spectroscopic analysis suggests at pH 7, HSA-Co(II) binding leads to greater conformational change with loss of α-helix, which complements that cantilever can be used as a tool for conformational analysis. At present, we were able to demonstrate binding of Co(II)-HAS up to μM sensitivity, which is comparable to CAB60 and ACB19 assays that are already in use. The sensitivity of the method may be increased by decreasing the buffer concentration and also by examining binding of Co(II) with HSA utilizing different buffer systems. This study reveals the significance of protein binding with metal ions influenced by pH at solid-liquid interface. Moreover, it shows that pH-based sensing at solid-liquid interface such as microcantilever systems has potential for detecting cardiac biomarkers.
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