Secular increase in the prevalence of gestational diabetes and its associated adverse pregnancy outcomes from 2014 to 2021 in Hebei province, China
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Objective: We aimed to investigate the secular prevalence of gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) and evaluate its adverse pregnancy outcomes among pregnant women in Hebei province, China.

Methods: We analyzed the data from the monitoring information management system for pregnant women in 22 hospitals of Hebei province, China. In this study, 366,212 individuals with singleton live births from 2014 to 2021 were included, of whom 25,995 were diagnosed with gestational diabetes. We described the incidence of common complications and further analyzed the clinical characteristics in GDM patients and the relationship between GDM and adverse pregnancy outcomes.

Results: The top 3 pregnancy complications in Hebei province are anemia, gestational hypertension, and GDM. The average incidence of GDM was 7.10% (25,995/366,212). The incidence rate of GDM significantly increased from 2014 to 2021 ($\chi^2$ trend = 7,140.663, P < 0.001). The top 3 regions with GDM incidence were Baoding (16.60%), Shijiazhuang (8.00%), and Tangshan (3.80%). The incidence of GDM in urban pregnant women (10.6%) is higher than that in rural areas (3.7%). The difference between the GDM and Non-GDM groups was statistically significant in terms of maternal age, gravidity, parity, education level, and incidence of pregnancy complications (gestational hypertension, heart diseases, and anemia) (P < 0.05). GDM individuals were at significantly increased risk of most assessed adverse pregnancy outcomes, including premature delivery, Cesarean delivery, uterine inertia, neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) admission, Apgar (activity-pulse-grimace-appearance-respiration) score at 1 min, and macrosomia (P < 0.05). The multivariate logistic regression analysis showed that GDM was an independent risk factor in terms of
Introduction

Gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) is one of the most common complications during pregnancy and is defined as carbohydrate intolerance of any degree with onset or first recognition during pregnancy (1). The prevalence of GDM varies substantially worldwide, ranging from 1% to >30% and continued to increase during the past few decades (2). The incidence of GDM in China was reported to be 11.91% (3). Women with GDM are more likely to experience an adverse outcome, including Cesarean delivery, preeclampsia, soft issue injury of the birth canal, and severe maternal morbidity (4). An increasing number of studies have shown that women with a history of GDM are at an increased risk of being diagnosed as having type 2 diabetes (5). Moreover, long-term complications of mothers with GDM also include cardiovascular disease and other metabolic diseases (6). Furthermore, the incidence of neonatal abortion, stillbirth, macrosomia, neonatal respiratory distress syndrome, neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) admission, and hypoglycemia of newborns in pregnant women with gestational diabetes increased (7). In addition to the short-term perinatal consequences associated with GDM, there are long-term complications for newborns. Studies have found that the risks of obesity, metabolic syndrome, type 2 diabetes, and impaired insulin sensitivity and secretion in offspring of mothers with GDM are 2- to 8-fold of those in offspring of mothers without GDM (4).

The GDM diagnosis is associated with both immediate and long-term adverse consequences for both mother and her offspring. Therefore, we set out to investigate the secular prevalence of GDM and better assess its adverse pregnancy outcomes with gestational diabetes changes from 2014 to 2021 in Hebei province, China.

Materials and methods

Study area

Hebei province is located between 113° 27’ and 119°50’E and 36°05’ and 42°40’N and located in the Bohai Sea to the east, Taihang Mountains to the west, and Yanshan Mountains to the north. It covers an area of 188,800 km². It has jurisdiction over 11 prefecture-level cities including Shijiazhuang, Tangshan, and Handan. Hebei province is the only province in China with plateau, mountain, hill, plain, lake, and seashore. The region is an important grain- and cotton-producing area in China. In 2021, the total permanent population of the province will be 74.48 million, and the gross domestic product (GDP) will reach 4,039.13 billion yuan.

Data collection

This study retrospectively collected 394,898 delivery data from 1 January 2014 to 31 December 2021 from the monitoring information management system for pregnant women in 22 hospitals of Hebei province, China. We acquired informed consent from all subjects. The inclusion criteria covered delivery over 28 weeks and singleton live birth. Exclusion criteria included stillbirth, multiple births, pre-gestational diabetes, and incomplete data. A total of 366,212 deliveries were included, of which 25,995 were diagnosed with GDM. The flowchart of case registration was shown in Figure 1.

Diagnostic approaches and criteria

Pregnant women at 24–28 weeks of gestation were tested for fasting 75-g oral glucose tolerance. GDM was diagnosed if one or more thresholds are met or exceeded: fasting blood glucose 92 mg/dl (5.1 mmol/L), 1-h blood glucose 180 mg/dl (10.0 mmol/L), and 2-h blood glucose 153 mg/dl (8.5 mmol/L) (8).

Macrosomia: a birth weight greater than 4,000 g.

Preterm birth: any birth at less than 37 weeks based on the best obstetric estimate.

Statistical analyses

The continuous data were tested for normality using the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. The data description was presented
as mean ± standard deviation (mean ± SD) or median [interquartile range (IQR)] for continuous variables and percentages for categorical variables. The counting data are expressed in percentage (%). T-test is used for the comparison between groups of measurement data that conform to normal distribution, F-test is used for the comparison between groups of counting data that do not conform to normal distribution, and χ² test is used for the comparison between groups of counting data. Taking the occurrence of adverse maternal and infant outcomes as the dependent variable, the multivariate logistic regression model was used to analyze the risk factors after adjusting for the confounding factors. All statistical tests of hypotheses will be two-sided, and the criterion for statistical significance is α = 0.05. Statistical analyses were done with SPSS version 17.0 software (IBM).

## Results

### Maternal characteristics

After excluding the deliveries that met the exclusion criteria, there were a total of 366,212 deliveries left from the monitoring information management system for pregnant women in 22 hospitals of Hebei province, China, from 2014 to 2021. The top 3 pregnancy complications in Hebei province are anemia, gestational hypertension, and GDM, all of which had an increasing trend. In addition, 25,995 individuals were diagnosed as having GDM. The average incidence of GDM was 7.10% (25,995/366,212). The incidence rate of GDM significantly increased from 2014 to 2021 (χ² trend = 7,140.663, P < 0.001) (Figure 2). In the study population, the median age of the GDM group was 30 years (IQR, 27–33 years). The top 3 regions with GDM incidence were Baoding (16.60%), Shijiazhuang (8.00%), and Tangshan (3.80%). The incidence of GDM in urban pregnant women (10.6%) is higher than that in rural areas (3.7%). The demographic and obstetric difference between the two groups was statistically significant in terms of hospital grade, region, maternal age, gravidity, parity, education level, times of prenatal examination, and the incidence of pregnancy complications (heart diseases and anemia) (P < 0.05). There was no significant difference in marital status (P > 0.05) (Table 1).

### Risk of adverse outcome by gestational diabetes mellitus

GDM individuals were at significantly increased risk of most assessed adverse pregnancy outcomes, including premature delivery, Cesarean delivery, uterine inertia, and gestational hypertension (P < 0.05). There was no statistical difference in puerperal infection, infection of Cesarean section, and placental abruption (P > 0.05). For infant outcomes, there are significant differences in the term of NICU admission, Apgar score at 1 min, and macrosomia (P < 0.05). There was no significant difference in sex of newborn, Apgar score at 5 min, and Apgar score at 10 min between the two groups (Table 2).
Univariate logistic regression analysis showed that GDM was a risk factor of premature birth, Cesarean delivery, uterine inertia, gestational hypertension, and macrosomia (P < 0.05), but GDM was not associated with the risk of puerperal infection, infection of Cesarean section, and placental abruption (P > 0.05) (Table 3). After adjusting for age, gravidity, parity, education level, and gestation-induced hypertension and anemia, the multivariate logistic regression analysis model showed that the incidence of premature birth in GDM women was 1.290 times higher than that in non-GDM women [odds ratio (OR) = 1.290, 95% CI: 1.227–1.506]. GDM individuals were also at significantly increased risk of most adverse pregnancy outcomes, including Cesarean delivery (OR = 1.222, 95% CI: 1.188–1.253), uterine inertia (OR = 1.896, 95% CI: 1.731–2.077), placental abruption (OR = 1.273, 95% CI: 1.007–1.610), gestational hypertension (OR = 1.962, 95% CI: 1.876–2.052), NICU admission (OR = 1.227, 95% CI: 1.008–1.494), and macrosomia (OR = 1.652, 95% CI: 1.574–1.735) compared with non-GDM individuals. The analyses showed no difference in puerperal infection and infection of Cesarean section between the two groups (Figure 3).

Discussion

As a special physiological period for women, pregnancy has undergone great changes in its physiology, including reproductive system, endocrine system, and vascular system. As a touchstone during pregnancy, it may trigger potential abnormal glucose and lipid metabolism and then cause metabolic diseases during pregnancy. The top 3 pregnancy complications in Hebei province are anemia, gestational hypertension, and GDM. As a common disease of glucose metabolism in pregnancy, GDM is induced by insulin resistance and pancreatic β-cell dysfunction during pregnancy, which is a transitory form of diabetes. The documented prevalence of GDM varies substantially worldwide, ranging from 1% to >30%. In this study, the average incidence of GDM was 7.10%. The incidence of GDM increased from 2014 to 2021 in Hebei province. Studies have identified a number of GDM risk factors, such as advanced maternal age, previous history of GDM, ethnicity, multiparity, multiple births, genetic heritability, and family history of type 2 diabetes mellitus (2, 3, 9, 10). In this study, we demonstrate that rural, advanced maternal age, high educational level, lack of prenatal examination time, and multiparity are associated with the occurrence of GDM. Therefore, we should do early prevention, detection, and intervention in the management of blood glucose in the advanced maternal age and multiparous individuals, improve the level of grassroots doctors in the screening and management of GDM, and strengthen the publicity of the importance of perinatal health care for township personnel to avoid the occurrence of GDM.

GDM has been identified as one of the major obstacles in achieving improved maternal and child health (11–13). For maternal adverse outcomes in this study, we found that GDM was an independent risk factor for premature birth, Cesarean delivery, uterine inertia, placental abruption, and gestational hypertension. Later retrospective and prospective observational studies indicated that GDM was indeed associated with poor maternal outcomes (preeclampsia, polyhydramnios, operative delivery, shoulder dystocia, birth canal lacerations, etc.) (2, 4, 14). Therefore, we should identify high-risk factors of premature delivery and placental abruption in GDM pregnant women early to avoid the occurrence of adverse outcomes. Moreover,
| Characteristics               | GDM (n=25,995) | Control group (n=340,217) | F^2  | P     |
|------------------------------|----------------|---------------------------|------|-------|
| Age (years)                  | 30.0 (27.0, 33.0) | 28.0 (26.0, 31.0) | 3,510.30 | <0.001 |
| Age (years)                  |                |                           | 16,919.79 | <0.001 |
| Area                         |                |                           |       |       |
| Baoding                      | 11,722 (16.6%) | 58,894 (83.4%) | 5,510 | <0.001 |
| Chengde                      | 278 (2.8%)     | 9,510 (97.2%) | 6,479.80 | <0.001 |
| Shijiazhuang                 | 12,143 (8.0%)  | 139,918 (92.0%) |       |       |
| Tangshan                     | 627 (3.8%)     | 15,996 (96.2%) |       |       |
| Xingtai                      | 152 (0.7%)     | 20,674 (99.3%) |       |       |
| Qinhuangdao                  | 371 (1.5%)     | 24,322 (98.5%) |       |       |
| Zhangjiakou                  | 109 (0.7%)     | 16,159 (99.3%) |       |       |
| Cangzhou                     | 72 (0.7%)      | 9,846 (99.3%) |       |       |
| Chengshui                    | 160 (1.0%)     | 15,233 (99.0%) |       |       |
| Handan                       | 361 (1.2%)     | 29,665 (98.8%) |       |       |
| Region                       |                |                           |       |       |
| Urban                        | 19,200 (10.6%) | 161,169 (89.4%) | 6,779.02 | <0.001 |
| Rural                        | 6,795 (3.7%)   | 179,048 (96.3%) |       |       |
| Hospital levels              |                |                           |       |       |
| Primary                      | 34 (0.7%)      | 4,697 (99.3%) |       |       |
| Secondary                    | 6,733 (3.7%)   | 174,190 (96.3%) |       |       |
| Tertiary                     | 19,228 (10.6%) | 161,330 (89.4%) |       |       |
| Age (years)                  |                |                           | 1,714.49 | <0.001 |
| <18                          | 23 (2.9%)      | 760 (97.1%) |       |       |
| 18-35                        | 20,951 (6.5%)  | 302,426 (93.5%) |       |       |
| 35-40                        | 4,239 (11.8%)  | 31,774 (88.2%) |       |       |
| ≥40                          | 782 (12.9%)    | 5,257 (87.1%) |       |       |
| Marital Status               |                |                           | 2.355  | 0.125 |
| Single                       | 116 (8.1%)     | 1,309 (91.9%) |       |       |
| Married                      | 25,879 (7.1%)  | 338,908 (92.9%) |       |       |
| Education                    |                |                           | 1,532.87 | <0.001 |
| University and above         | 13,187 (9.2%)  | 130,195 (90.8%) |       |       |
| Junior and Senior Secondary  | 12,427 (5.8%)  | 203,137 (94.2%) |       |       |
| Primary and illiterate       | 381 (5.2%)     | 6,885 (94.8%) |       |       |
| Gravidity (times)            |                |                           |       |       |
| 1                            | 7,853 (6.0%)   | 122,484 (94.0%) | 353.425 | <0.001 |
| ≥2                           | 18,142 (7.7%)  | 217,733 (92.3%) |       |       |
| Parity (times)               |                |                           |       |       |
| Primipara                    | 14,069 (5.9%)  | 225,304 (94.1%) | 1,562.22 | <0.001 |
| Multipara                    | 11,926 (9.4%)  | 114,913 (90.6%) |       |       |
| Prenatal examination (times) |                |                           |       |       |
| ≤3                           | 767 (3.3%)     | 22,619 (96.7%) | 2,352.64 | <0.001 |
| ≥4-Jul                       | 9,768 (5.5%)   | 166,301 (94.5%) |       |       |
| Complications during pregnancy|                |                           |       |       |
| Heart diseases               |                |                           | 23.356 | <0.001 |
| Yes                          | 95 (11.4%)     | 739 (88.6%) |       |       |
| No                           | 25,900 (7.1%)  | 339,478 (92.9%) |       |       |
| Anemia                       |                |                           | 107.086 | <0.001 |
| Yes                          | 9,917 (7.7%)   | 118,972 (92.3%) |       |       |
| No                           | 16,078 (6.8%)  | 221,245 (93.2%) |       |       |

GDM, gestational diabetes mellitus.
standardizing the diet and weight management of GDM pregnant women can reduce Cesarean section caused by macrosomia. During delivery, we should pay attention to the diet of GDM pregnant women to avoid uterine weakness caused by an insufficient diet. In addition, we should also be alert to placental abruption during delivery. Studies suggested that hyperglycemia is known to increase the risk of preeclampsia (7, 15). Abnormal lipid metabolism in patients with hypertension can easily be complicated by abnormal glucose metabolism. Previous studies have shown that gestational diabetes may be related to puerperal infection and Cesarean section incision infection (16–18). However, this study showed that the incidence of puerperal infection and Cesarean section infection in pregnant women with GDM was not different from that in the non-GDM group. The possible reasons are as follows: The management of GDM patients in the perinatal nutrition outpatient department makes the blood sugar of patients stable in the perinatal period and the use of antibiotics and

| TABLE 2 | Adverse outcome of individuals with GDM in Hebei from 2014 to 2021. |
|----------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
|          | GDM (n=25,995)    | Control group (n=340,217) | F/² | P       |
| Maternal |                   |                   |     |         |
| Premature delivery | 2,112 (8.1%) | 17,792 (5.2%) | 393.807 | <0.001 |
| Delivery mode |                   |                   |     |         |
| Spontaneous delivery | 15,470 (59.5%) | 174,983 (51.4%) | 631.472 | <0.001 |
| Cesarean section | 10,525 (40.5%) | 165,234 (48.6%) |     |         |
| Uterine inertia | 608 (2.3%) | 3,949 (1.2%) | 272.791 | <0.001 |
| Puerperal infection | 8 (0.0%) | 66 (0.0%) | 1.547 | 0.214 |
| Infection of Cesarean section | 2 (0.0%) | 20 (0.0%) | 0.132 | 0.716 |
| Placental abruption | 79 (0.3%) | 844 (0.2%) | 2.994 | 0.084 |
| Gestational hypertension | 2,803 (10.8%) | 15,758 (4.6%) | 1,899.06 | <0.001 |
| Neonate |                   |                   |     |         |
| Gender |                   |                   |     |         |
| Male | 13,328 (51.3%) | 173,735 (51.1%) | 0.408 | 0.523 |
| Female | 12,667 (48.7%) | 166,482 (48.9%) |     |         |
| Apgar score |                   |                   |     |         |
| 1 min | 10.0 (10.0, 10.0) | 10.0 (10.0, 10.0) | 153.922 | <0.001 |
| 5 min | 10.0 (10.0, 10.0) | 10.0 (10.0, 10.0) | 1.161 | 0.281 |
| 10 min | 10.0 (10.0, 10.0) | 10.0 (10.0, 10.0) | 0.437 | 0.508 |
| Admission to NICU | 116 (4.0%) | 1,665 (0.5%) | 0.929 | 0.335 |
| Macrosomia | 2,389 (9.2%) | 22,608 (6.6%) | 245.956 | <0.001 |

GDM, gestational diabetes mellitus; NICU, neonatal intensive care unit.

| TABLE 3 | Univariate logistic regression analysis model of maternal and infant outcomes of GDM individuals. |
|----------|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Factors | OR (95% CI) | P       |
| Maternal |                   |                   |     |         |
| Premature delivery | 1.603 (1.529, 1.680) | <0.001 |
| Cesarean section | 1.338 (1.353, 1.424) | <0.001 |
| Uterine inertia | 2.039 (1.871, 2.223) | <0.001 |
| Puerperal infection | 1.587 (0.762, 3.305) | 0.214 |
| Infection of Cesarean section | 1.309 (0.306, 5.600) | 0.716 |
| Placental abruption | 1.226 (0.973, 1.544) | 0.084 |
| Gestational hypertension | 2.489 (2.385, 2.596) | <0.001 |
| Neonate |                   |                   |     |         |
| Admission to NICU | 0.911 (0.755, 1.101) | 0.335 |
| Macrosomia | 1.422 (1.360, 1.486) | <0.001 |

GDM, gestational diabetes mellitus; NICU, neonatal intensive care unit; OR, odds ratio.
enhancement of postpartum nursing consciousness reduced the incidence of infection.

In addition to being associated with adverse maternal outcomes, GDM is associated with adverse fetal outcomes. In this study, we found that GDM was an independent risk factor for NICU admission and macrosomia. This is consistent with previous literature reports (12, 19). The rise of maternal blood glucose will cause hyperinsulinemia in the fetus, and insulin cannot be transported through the placenta, which will promote the deposition of liver glycogen, protein synthesis, and fat deposition, thus promoting the growth and development of the fetus. However, the development of each organ of the fetus is asymmetric, and the volume of insulin-sensitive tissues, such as fat and muscle, increases, but the volume of the brain and kidney does not increase, resulting in poor tolerance of the fetus to hypoxia in utero and poor adaptability to the external environment, resulting in increased complications of newborns (20). Maternal GDM can also lead to fetal hypoglycemia in the immediate postpartum period when the newborn is still hyperinsulinemic, and this may in turn lead to an increase in NICU admission (21). Moreover, GDM can increase the risk of respiratory distress in the newborn infant that again can lead to an increase in NICU admission (22). The instability of blood glucose is related to the increase in NICU occupancy rate of macrosomia and neonates (20). Health education, medical nutrition treatment, exercise guidance, and blood glucose monitoring are important interventions to stabilize the blood glucose of GDM pregnant women. However, the standardized questionnaire did not include the survey of intervention measures, so we cannot make an analysis on this part of the content. However, with the promotion of our guide and the GDM training program, our clinicians’ diagnosis and treatment level is constantly improving. In the early stage, we will guide and intervene in patients with GDM risk factors to avoid the occurrence of GDM. For pregnant women diagnosed with GDM, we carry out nutrition education and sports guidance and take measures to manage the blood sugar of GDM pregnant women during labor to ensure that the blood sugar of GDM pregnant women is stable, so as to reduce the occurrence of adverse pregnancy outcomes. Moreover, a study had found that fetal sex may influence maternal glucose metabolism in pregnancy. A male fetus is associated with poorer β-cell function, higher postprandial glycemia, and an increased risk of GDM in the mother (23). However, in our study, there was no statistical difference in fetal sex between two groups. The differences in research results may be related to race and the diagnostic criteria of GDM.

Conclusions

GDM constitutes a significant global health burden, which remains to be the most common metabolic disturbance during pregnancy. Increasing evidence suggests that GDM has significant short- and long-term complications for both the mother and the offspring. There is a high need to prioritize preventive healthcare for pregnant women at risk for GDM. In addition, for GDM individuals, we should achieve standardized management to achieve the goal of stable blood sugar, so as to reduce maternal and infant adverse outcomes. This study only evaluates recent complications, and we will further track long-term effects. This study has a large amount of data and high reliability of the experimental results.
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