Article

Novel Metallo-Supramolecular Polymers with 1-Thioxophosphole Main-Chain Units and Remarkable Photoinduced Changes in Their Resonance Raman Spectra

Ivana Šloufová 1,*, Tereza Urválková 1,2, Muriel Hissler 2,* and Jiří Vohlídal 1,*

1 Deptartment of Physical and Macromolecular Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Charles University, 128 40 Prague 2, Czech Republic
2 CNRS, University Rennes, ISCR-UMR 6226, 35000 Rennes, France
* Correspondence: sloufovi@natur.cuni.cz (I.Š.); muriel.hissler@univ-rennes1.fr (M.H.);
vohlidal@natur.cuni.cz (J.V.)

Abstract: New low-bandgap unimers, with the central thiophene-(1-thioxophosphole)-thiophene (TPT) ring sequence and 2,2′,6′,2″-terpyridin-4′-yl (tpy) end groups connected to the central unit via conjugated linkers of different size, are prepared and assembled with Zn(II) and Fe(II) ions to metallo-supramolecular polymers (MSPs) that are studied regarding their properties. The most interesting feature of Zn-MSPs is the luminescence extended deep into the NIR region. Fe-MSPs not only show the metal-to-ligand charge transfer (MLCT) manifested by the MLCT band (an expected feature) but also an as-yet-undescribed remarkable phenomenon: specific damping of the bands of the TPT sequence in the resonance Raman spectra taken from solid Fe-MSPs using the excitation to the MLCT band (532 nm). The damping is highly reversible at the low laser power of 0.1 mW but gradually becomes irreversible as the power reaches ca. 5 mW. The revealed phenomenon is not shown by the same Fe-MSPs in solutions, nor by Fe-MSPs containing no phosphole units. A hypothesis is proposed that explains this phenomenon and its dependence on the irradiation intensity as a result of the interplay of three factors: (i) enhancement of the MLCT process by excitation radiation, (ii) the electron-acceptor character of the 1-thioxophosphole ring, and (iii) morphological changes of the lattice and their dependence on the population of new structures in the lattice.

Keywords: coordination polymer; intramolecular charge transfer; iron(II) metallo-supramolecular polymer; metal-to-ligand charge transfer; MLCT; phosphole; terpyridine

1. Introduction

Soft semiconducting organic and organic/inorganic materials for applications in photovoltaics, optoelectronics, and molecular electronics are of permanently high interest [1–6]. In the last decades, the variety of these materials has grown to include metallo-supramolecular polymers (MSPs). Molecules of these materials are composed of properly designed, mostly organic low-molar-mass building blocks with chelate end-groups (referred to as unimers [7]) and metal ions in an alternating arrangement. Since MSPs are typically formed by the spontaneous self-assembly of the mentioned species through reversible metal–ligand coordination, their stability is effectively controlled by thermodynamics. As a result, the MSP chains can spontaneously partially or completely disassemble at elevated temperatures or in a solution; in this state, they exchange ions and/or unimer units with the environment and reassemble into structurally the same or a different MSP after cooling and/or drying. Therefore, these polymers are called constitutional dynamic polymers, or dynamers [8]. Structural dynamics make MSPs promising materials for the development of functional self-organized architectures, with their tunable properties, self-healing capability, and environmental adaptability. In addition, the incorporation of metal complex...
linkages into polymer chains has opened new approaches for tuning their magnetic, redox, electrochromic, and optical properties [3–6,9–15].

The dynamics and stability of MSP chains are basically tuned by varying the combinations of metal–unimer end groups. The optoelectronic and other properties are further tuned by varying the structure of the unimer’s central parts, which should enable the transfer of charge and/or energy. Of course, coordination linkers also contribute significantly to these properties. The tridentate 2,2′:6′,2″-terpyridin-4-yl group (tpy) is an attractive chelate end-group for conjugated MSPs, because it forms well-defined octahedral complexes with a wide range of transition metal ions through $d\pi$-$p\pi^*$ bonding, which allows electronic communication between the complexed units [2,16]. The kinetic stability of $[M(tpy)_2]^{2+}$ links (M stands for metal(II) ions) ranges from labile (e.g., $M = \text{Zn}^{2+}$ or $\text{Cu}^{2+}$) to almost stable (e.g., $\text{Fe}^{2+}$ and $\text{Ru}^{2+}$) [17]. The kinetic stability is often referred to as constitutional dynamics [8], e.g., fast for $[\text{Zn}(tpy)_2]^{2+}$ and slow for $[\text{Fe}(tpy)_2]^{2+}$ links [8,18,19]. The $[M(tpy)_2]^{2+}$ links are also of high importance for the luminescence of conjugated MSPs, which can be strong for those ones with, e.g., $[\text{Zn}(tpy)_2]^{2+}$ links but practically quenched for those with $[\text{Fe}(tpy)_2]^{2+}$ links.

A high variety of linear-conjugated MSPs have been prepared by assembling $\text{Ru}^{2+}$, $\text{Fe}^{2+}$, $\text{Zn}^{2+}$, $\text{Co}^{2+}$, and other ions with $\alpha,\omega$-bis($tpy$) (het)arylene and oligo(het)arylene unimers containing main-chain phenylene- [16,20–24], fluorene- [20,25–27], and thiophene-based [13,28–32] constitutional units. These units are, however, composed of $\sigma$-bonded highly aromatic rings, which do not enable an efficient delocalization of $\pi$-electrons owing to the rather high autonomy of aromatic systems. Therefore, the incorporation of sufficiently stable units with lowered aromaticity into unimers had become desirable, and the phosphole ring has been found to be a good candidate for this purpose [33–38]. The phosphorus atom in a $P$-substituted ring acquires pyramidal geometry in which its lone electron pair hardly interacts with the endocyclic diene $\pi$-electrons [35,37,38]. Therefore, the delocalization of electrons in the phosphole ring stems from the so-called $\sigma$-$\pi$ hyperconjugation involving the exocyclic $P$-$R$ $\sigma$-bond and the endocyclic diene $\pi$-system [35,37].

As a result, phosphole is less aromatic compared to pyrrole and thiophene [37,39,40]. Mixed phosphole–thiophene derivatives are widely investigated phosphole-based $\pi$-conjugated systems with low band-gap energies and a high potential of structural variations, by the choice of the $P$-atom substituent $R$ and the oxidation of the ring $P$-atom to $P = \text{S}$ or $P = \text{O}$ [36,41–44]. We recently reported a new $\alpha,\omega$-bis($tpy$) unimer (TPT) containing a central unit composed of a 1-thioxophosphole-2,5-diyl central ring surrounded by two thiophene-2,5-diyl rings and showed that the band-gap energy of this new unimer is significantly lower compared to the energy of $\alpha,\omega$-bis($tpy$)terthiophene [19]. This proved that replacing the central thiophene-2,5-diyl unit with a low-aromaticity phosphole unit significantly increased the delocalization of the electrons in the unimer as well as in its MSPs, compared to the corresponding bis($tpy$)terthiophene unimers and their MSPs (1-thioxophosphole unit caused a red shift relative to bis($tpy$)terthiophene unimers of almost 100 nm). In the present paper, we report the preparation and characterization of a series of new conjugated low-bandgap bis($tpy$) unimers with a TPT-type central unit and different linkers connecting $tpy$ end-groups to this unit (see Scheme 1), assembly of these unimers with $\text{Fe}^{2+}$ and $\text{Zn}^{2+}$ ions into corresponding metallo-supramolecular polymers (Fe-MSP and Zn-MSP), and characterization of these MSPs. Finally, we report an as-yet-unknown and remarkable phenomenon that exhibited all new Fe-MSPs derived from unimers with a 1-thioxophosphole unit: reversible photoinduced selective attenuation of the Raman bands of the central TPT-type central unit in the resonance Raman spectra excited to the metal-to-ligand charge transfer band (MLCT band).
2. Materials and Methods

**Materials.** Isopropylmagnesium chloride (2.0 M solution in diethyl ether, concentration checked by titration), 2-bromo-4-hexylthiophene, N-iodosuccinimide (NIS), 1,7-octadiyne, copper iodide, disopropylamine, bis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(II) dichloride (Pd(PPh$_3$)$_2$Cl$_2$), tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(0) (Pd(PPh$_3$)$_4$), titanium(IV) isopropoxide, sulphur, zinc(II) perchlorate hexahydrate, iron(II) perchlorate hydrate (all Aldrich), potassium carbonate, magnesium sulphate (VWR), 4'-4-bromophenyl)-2,2',6',2''-terpyridine, and 4'-bromo-2,2',6',2''-terpyridine (TCI) were used as received. $P,P'$-dichlorophenylphosphine (Aldrich) was distilled trap-to-trap before use. Hexane (Lachner) and acetonitrile (ACN) (Aldrich) were stored over molecular sieve; tetrahydrofuran (Aldrich) was distilled trap-to-trap before use. Methanol was bubbled with Argon before use; diethyl ether, pentane, dichloromethane, chloroform (Lachner), and acetonitrile (ACN) were obtained.

**Instrumentation.** $^1$H and $^{13}$C spectra were recorded on a Varian Unity INOVA 400 or Varian SYSTEM 300 instrument in $\text{CD}_2\text{Cl}_2$ or $\text{CDCl}_3$ and referenced to the solvent signal: 7.25 ppm ($\text{CDCl}_3$) or 5.32 ppm ($\text{CD}_2\text{Cl}_2$) for $^1$H spectra and 77.0 ppm ($\text{CDCl}_3$) or 53.84 ppm ($\text{CD}_2\text{Cl}_2$) for $^{13}$C spectra. $^{13}$C NMR spectra of unimers were recorded on Bruker AVANCE III (600 MHz) (Bruker Corporation, Billerica, MA, USA). Coupling constants, $J$ (in Hz), were obtained by the first-order analysis. The UV/vis spectra were recorded on a Shimadzu UV-2401PC instrument (Shimadzu Corporation, Kyoto, Japan); photoluminescence spectra were recorded on a Fluorolog 3-22 Jobin Yvon Spex instrument (Horiba, Kyoto, Japan), using four-window quartz cuvette (1 cm) for solutions and quartz glass support for films. The photoluminescence absolute quantum yields were determined using an integration sphere Quanta-φ F-3029. Raman spectra were recorded on a DXR Raman spectrometer (Thermo Fischer Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) interfaced to an Olympus microscope (objective 50×), using full-range gratings (3300–40 cm$^{-1}$, 400 lines/mm) and thin films of Fe-MSPs deposited on glass slides.

Scheme 1. Structures of the prepared new unimers (abbreviations derived from the names of linkers conning terpy groups to the central unit) and the reference TPT unimer. (Adapted from ref. [19]).

---

[Diagram of molecular structures]
2.1. Synthesis

Synthetic pathways to unimers are presented in Scheme 2. In summary, the central unit of unimers, 2,5-bis(4-hexylthiophen-2-yl)(1-phenyl-1-thioxo)phosphole, was prepared by using the Sato protocol [45] and procedure described earlier [19] and then iodinated using N-iodosuccinimide. The linkers’ precursors caped by tpy group, 4′-(ethynlaryl)terpyridines, were prepared using the procedures described in [46–48] and connected to the central unit by the Sonogashira coupling.

![Scheme 2](image)

2.2. Precursors of Linkers

4′-Ethynl-2,2,6,2-terpyridine was prepared from commercial 4′-bromo-2,2,6,2-terpyridine by the procedure described in [46,47]. Other precursors, 4′-(ethynlaryl)-2,2,6,2-terpyridines, were prepared by Sonogashira coupling of the corresponding bromoderivatives with trimethylsilylacetylene followed by the deprotection of ethynyl group [47,48]. Briefly: 4′-(bromoaryl)terpyridine (Equation (1)), copper iodide (2 mol %), and Pd(PPh₃)₂Cl₂ (2 mol %) were dissolved in THF/triethylamine (1:1 by vol.) mixed solvent, and (trimethylsilyl)acetylene (Equation (2)) was added. The reaction mixture was heated to 60 °C, and the course of the reaction was monitored by the gas chromatography. After the starting materials were consumed, the volatiles were evaporated, the solid crude product was dissolved in diethyl ether, and the obtained solution was filtered through basic alumina. The purified intermediate was then dissolved in THF/methanol mixed solvent and mixed with potassium carbonate to remove the TMS groups protecting the ethynyl groups.

For 4′-(4-Ethynlphenyl)-2,2,6,2-terpyridine was prepared from commercial 4′-(4-bromophenyl)-2,2,6,2-terpyridine. 4′-(5-Ethynylthiophen-2-yl)-2,2,6,2-terpyridine and 4′-(5-ethynyl-3-hexylthiophen-2-yl)-2,2,6,2-terpyridine were synthesized from corresponding bromo-derivatives prepared by the procedure described in [18,48]. The spectroscopic characteristics of the linker precursors, except for the last one (see next paragraph), are available in the above indicated references.

4′-(5-ethynyl-3-hexylthiophen-2-yl)-2,2′,6′,2″-terpyridine was obtained as a yellowish solid in the isolated yield of 55% by the above-described procedure.

1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃, δ/ppm): 8.69–8.73 (m, 2H, A6), 8.67 (d, J = 7.93 Hz, 2H, A3), 8.57 (s, 2H, B3), 7.90 (td, J = 7.00, 1.70 Hz, 2H, A4), 7.37 (ddd, J = 7.45, 4.77, 1.29 Hz, 2H, A5), 7.25 (s, 1H, thiophene), 3.49 (s, 1H, ethynyl), 2.78 (t, J = 8.10 Hz, 2H, Hex1), 1.62–1.75 (m, 2H, Hex2), 1.48–1.50 (m, 2H, Hex3), 1.24–1.32 (m, 4H, Hex4 + Hex5), 0.82–0.87 (m, 3H, Hex6). HRMS found m/z 423.5725 [M + H⁺] and C₂₇H₂₆N₃S requires 423.58.

FT-IR (cm⁻¹): 3217 (m), 3172 (s), 3072 (m), 3062 (m), 3049 (m), 3013 (m), 2954 (s), 2931 (s), 2868 (m), 2854 (s), 1602 (s), 1585 (s), 1568 (s), 1557 (s), 1539 (s), 1466 (s), 1435 (m), 1394 (s), 1378 (m), 1267 (m), 1124 (m), 1096 (w), 1089 (m), 1073 (m), 1026 (m), 989 (m), 964 (w), 984 (m), 894 (m), 853 (m), 793 (s), 777 (s), 751 (s), 742 (s), 676 (s), 658 (m), 617 (s), 587 (m), 549.61 (w), 403 (w).
2.3. Precursor of the Central Unit

2,5-bis(4-hexylthiophen-2-yl)(1-phenyl-1-thioxo)phosphole (1) was prepared by using the Sato protocol [45] and a modified procedure described earlier for the compound without hexyl groups [19]. A solution of 2,2′-(octa-1,7-diyn-1,8-diyl)bis[4-hexylthiophene] (0.55 g, 1.25 mmol) in diethyl ether was tempered to −50 °C, and 1.1 equivalent of Ti(OiPr)₄ (0.4 mL, 1.375 mmol) and 2.1 equivalent of 1PrMgCl (1.4 mL; 2.62 mmol) were added. The mixture kept at −50 °C for 2 h and changed its color from yellow to dark orange. Then, PhPCl₂ (0.18 mL, 1.3 mmol) was added to in situ formed titanocene; the mixture was kept at −50 °C for next 20 min, then at 0 °C for 1 h, and finally at room temperature monitored by ³¹P NMR. After PhPCl₂ was consumed and intermediates were absent, all volatiles were evaporated, and orange fluorescent solid was diluted in DCM and filtrated over basic alumina under inert atmosphere. Then, purity of product was checked by NMR, and phosphole was immediately oxidized by addition of sulfur. Oxidation was followed also by ³¹P NMR, where incorporation of sulfur causes the up-field shift from 12 to ca 52 ppm. Resulting product was purified by column chromatography using silica gel and heptane/DCM (4/1 by vol) as a mobile phase and isolated as a yellow-orange solid with a yield of 73% (0.53 g, 0.92 mmol).

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₂Cl₂) δ 7.88 (dd, J = 14.0, 8.3, 1.5 Hz, 2H, σₚₚ), 7.48 (dd, J = 7.0, 5.3, 1.8 Hz, 1H, pₚₚ), 7.42 (dd, J = 8.5, 6.5, 3.0 Hz, 2H, mₚₚ), 7.21 (s, 2H, th5), 6.95 (t, J = 1.3 Hz, 2H, th3), 2.97–2.84 (m, 4H, C-CH₂-CH₂), 2.51 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 4H, hex1), 1.87 (dq, J = 5.1, 2.6 Hz, 4H, C-CH₂ CH₂), 1.50 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 4H, hex2), 1.26 (qd, J = 6.1, 5.5, 2.3 Hz, 12H, hex3-5), 0.92–0.83 (m, 6H, hex6).

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CD₂Cl₂) δ 145.9 (d, J = 21.3 Hz), 144.1, 135 (d, J = 17.1 Hz), 132.5 (d, J = 3.0 Hz), 131.2 (d, J = 11.6 Hz), 130.2, 129.5 (d, J = 5.6 Hz), 129.3 (d, J = 12.7 Hz), 128.4, 122.4, 32.2, 31.2, 30.8 (d, J = 15.5 Hz), 29.7 (d, J = 13.3 Hz), 29.4, 23.1 (d, J = 16.6 Hz), 14.4.

³¹P NMR (162 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, δ/ppm): 51.77.

FT-IR (cm⁻¹): 3073 (w), 3062 (w), 2963 (m), 2870 (w), 1455 (w), 1435 (w), 1422 (w), 1416 (m), 1308 (w), 1292 (w), 1261 (s), 1228 (m), 1185 (s), 1094 (s), 1019 (s), 936 (w), 921 (w), 908 (m), 863.47 (m), 799 (s), 749 (m), 740 (m), 696 (m), 667 (m), 641 (w), 626 (m), 606 (w), 579 (w), 565 (m), 540 (m), 517 (m), 509 (m), 478 (m), 460 (w), 437 (w), 402 (m).

HRMS found m/z [M + H⁺] 579.234, and C₃₄H₄₄P₅S₃ requires 579.896.

2,5-bis(4-hexyl-5-iodothiophen-2-yl)(1-phenyl-1-thioxo)phosphole (2): 1 was iodinated using N-iodosuccinimide. A solution of 1 (0.345 g, 0.6 mmol) in DCM (20 mL) was cooled to −78 °C, and a solution of N -iodosuccinimide (0.284 g, 1.25 mmol) in ACN (1 mL) was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was stirred at −78 °C for 15 min and then allowed to warm to room temperature, at which point it was kept in the dark for 18 h. Then, volatiles were removed, and the solid red crude product was dissolved in DCM, washed with saturated Na₂S₂O₃ solution and then three times with water, and finally purified by column chromatography (silica gel, heptane/DCM (4/1 v/v)). 2 was isolated as a red powder (yield 0.339 g, 0.408 mmol, 68%).

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, δ/ppm): 7.84 (ddd, J = 14.1, 8.3, 1.4 Hz, 2H, σₚₚ), 7.50 (td, J = 7.1, 1.8 Hz, 1H, pₚₚ), 7.42 (td, J = 7.5, 3.1 Hz, 2H, mₚₚ), 7.00 (s, 2H, th), 2.84 (tt, J = 5.9, 2.8 Hz, 4H, C-CH₂-CH₂), 2.44 (td, J = 7.4, 3.1 Hz, 4H, hex1), 1.87 (dt, J = 6.9, 3.4 Hz, 4H, C-CH₂ CH₂), 1.43 (p, J = 7.4 Hz, 4H, Hex2), 1.33–1.17 (m, 12H, Hex3 + Hex4 + Hex5), 0.94–0.81 (m, 6H, Hex6).

³¹P NMR (162 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, δ/ppm): 51.36.

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, δ/ppm): 148.1, 146.5 (d, J = 20.9 Hz), 139.9 (d, J = 17.2 Hz), 132.8 (d, J = 3.1 Hz), 131.1 (d, J = 11.9 Hz), 129.7, 129.5 (d, J = 12.5 Hz), 129 (d, J = 1.7 Hz), 128.8 (d, J = 6.0 Hz), 128.2, 77.9, 32.3 (d, J = 35.8 Hz), 29.6 (d, J = 13.0 Hz), 29.2, 23 (d, J = 29.7 Hz), 14.4.

FT-IR (cm⁻¹): 2948 (s), 2925 (s), 2866 (m), 2853 (s), 1464 (w), 1452 (w), 1439 (m), 1412 (m), 1298 (m), 1101 (s), 1016 (w), 846 (w), 836 (w), 825 (w), 749 (m), 719 (s), 692 (w), 666 (s), 603 (w), 522 (m).

HRMS found m/z [M + Na⁺] 853.009, and C₃₄H₄₁I₂Na₅P₅S₃ requires 853.657.
2.4. Coupling of Precursors to Unimers

**General procedure.** The chosen linker-tpy derivative (Equation (2)) and the diiodo-derivative 2 (Equation (1)) were dissolved in THF, catalytic amounts (2%) of Pd(PPh₃)₄ and diisopropylamine were added, and the reaction mixture was kept at 80 °C for 48 h (conversion was monitored by TLC). Then, volatiles were evaporated, and the obtained red solid was dissolved in DCM and washed with brine and water. The crude product was purified by washing with pentane and diethyl ether to remove the isomeric phospholene derivative (this byproduct is formed by base-catalyzed isomerization of phosphole ring in which one phosphole C=C bond is shifted to the exo position, changing cyclohexane into cyclohexene ring [38]), and by column chromatography (alumina and DCM or hexane/THF or toluene/aceton mobile phase). Purification was the most difficult job. Products were isolated as a dark red–violet powders in yields around 10% due to high losses during purification. For ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra of unimers, see the Supporting Information (Figures S1 and S2).

2.5. Unimer E

This unimer was successfully purified by liquid chromatography using DCM and basic alumina. Product was obtained as dark red solid with a yield of 11% (23 mg, 0.02 mmol).

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, δ/ppm): 8.78–8.71 (m, 4H, A6), 8.67 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 4H, A3), 8.56 (s, 4H, B3), 8.01–7.89 (m, 6H, σₚ + A4), 7.64–7.48 (m, 3H, mₚ + pₚ), 7.41 (ddd, J = 7.6, 4.8, 1.3 Hz, 4H, A5), 7.32 (s, 2H, thiophene), 3.02 (s, 4H, C-CH₂-CH₂), 2.77 (q, J = 6.6, 5.8 Hz, 4H, Hex1), 1.98 (s, 4H, C-CH₂-CH₂), 1.61 (d, J = 15.9 Hz, 10H, Hex2 + water), 1.33 (dd, J = 9.2, 4.2 Hz, 12H, Hex-3-5), 0.98–0.82 (m, 6H, Hex6).

³¹P NMR (121 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, δ/ppm): 51.28.

¹³C NMR (101 MHz CD₂Cl₂, δ/ppm): 156.2, 156.1, 150.2, 149.8, 147.6 (d, J = 19.9 Hz), 137.4, 136.8 (d, J = 17.5 Hz), 133.5 (d, J = 3 Hz), 131.2 (d, J = 11.9 Hz), 130.9, 129.6 (d, J = 3.7 Hz), 129.6 (d, J = 12 Hz), 129.2 (d, J = 5 Hz), 128.8, 124.6, 122.5, 121.6, 119.6, 96.9, 87.2, 32.2, 31.2, 30.6, 29.3, 23.2, 22.8, 14.5.

FT-IR (cm⁻¹): 3058 (w), 3011 (w), 2950 (w), 2926 (m), 2857 (w), 2193 (m), 1598 (m), 1371 (w), 1268 (m), 1171 (w), 1103 (w), 1093 (w), 1069 (w), 996 (w), 986 (w), 892 (w), 793 (s), 744 (m), 742 (m), 720 (w), 690 (w), 661 (m), 617 (w), 523 (w).

HRMS found m/z 1089.393 [M + H⁺], and C₆₈H₆₂N₆PS₃ requires 1090.428.

2.6. Unimer EPh

This unimer was successfully purified by liquid chromatography using basic alumina and toluene/acetone (gradient 0–2% of acetone). Product was isolated as a dark red solid with a yield of 6.5% (12 mg, 0.02 mmol).

¹H NMR (300 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, δ/ppm): 8.80 (s, 4H, B3), 8.77–8.68 (m, 8H, A6+A3), 7.99–7.88 (m, 10H, A4+σₚ + ph), 7.66 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 4H, ph), 7.57–7.45 (m, 3H, mₚ + pₚ), 7.40 (ddd, J = 7.5, 4.8, 1.2 Hz, 4H, A5), 7.27 (s, 2H, thio), 2.98 (s, 4H, C-CH₂-CH₂), 2.70 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 4H, Hex1), 1.94 (s, 4H, C-CH₂-CH₂), 1.59 (m, 4H, Hex2), 1.31 (d, J = 3.9 Hz, 12H, Hex-3-5), 0.95–0.87 (m, 6H, Hex6).

³¹P NMR (121 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, δ/ppm): 51.23.

¹³C NMR (151 MHz CD₂Cl₂, δ/ppm): 156.8 (s), 156.6 (s), 149.8 (s), 149.8 (s), 149.1 (s), 147.2 (d, J = 21 Hz), 139 (s), 137.5 (s), 135.8 (d, J = 17.7 Hz), 132.8 (s), 132.3 (s), 131.2 (d, J = 12.2 Hz), 129.6 (d, J = 6.6 Hz), 129.6 (d, J = 12.2 Hz), 129.4 (s), 128.8 (s), 127.9 (s), 124.6 (s), 124.5 (s), 121.7 (s), 120.4 (s), 119. (s), 98.1 (s), 84.5 (s), 32.2 (s), 30.6 (s), 29.9 (s), 29.9 (s), 29.3 (s), 23.29 (s), 22.9 (s), 14.5 (d, J = 5 Hz).

FT-IR (cm⁻¹): 3051 (w), 2949 (m), 2929 (m), 2856 (w), 2191 (w), 1979 (w), 1970 (w), 1958 (w), 1940 (w), 1603 (m), 1584 (s), 1566 (m), 1523 (w), 1508 (w), 1466 (m), 1441 (m), 1412 (w), 1388 (m), 1097 (w), 1038 (w), 989 (w), 832 (m), 791 (s), 745 (m), 737 (w), 718 (m), 692 (w), 668 (w), 661 (w), 621 (w), 521 (w).

HRMS found m/z 1241.4556 [M + H⁺], and C₆₀H₆₂N₆PS₃ requires 1242.6128.
2.7. Unimer ET

This unimer was successfully purified by using chromatography on basic alumina, first with the hexane/THF solvent system of increasing gradient polarity from 4/1 to 1/1 by volume and then second with DCM/1% methanol mobile phase. Product was obtained as a red–violet solid with a yield of 10% (10 mg, 0.007 mmol).

The FT-IR (cm$^{-1}$) values are as follows:

- $1395$ (m), $1373$ (w), $1266$ (w), $1125$ (w), $1097$ (w), $1073$ (w), $1022$ (w), $989$ (w), $893$ (w), $1600$ (w), $1583$ (s), $1568$ (m), $1558$ (m), $1549$ (w), $1532$ (w), $1465$ (m), $1445$ (w), $1435$ (w), $129.1$ (s), $128.8$ (s), $124.6$ (s), $123$ (s), $121.6$ (s), $120.9$ (s), $120$ (s), $91.4$ (s), $87.6$ (s), $32.2$ (s), $31.4$ (s), $30.5$ (s), $29.9$ (s), $29.9$ (d, $J = 8.07$ Hz), $27.8$ (s, $J = 11.1$ Hz), $29.6$ (s), $29.6$ (s), $29.3$ (s), $23.2$ (s), $22.9$ (s), $14.5$ (s).

The $^1$H NMR (600 MHz, CD$_2$Cl$_2$, $\delta$/ppm) values are as follows:

- $8.7$ (d, $J = 4.4$ Hz, 4H, A6), $8.67$ (d, $J = 8.07$ Hz, 4H, A3), $7.86$–$7.93$ (m, 6H, A4 + $\sigma_{ph}$), $7.50$–$7.55$ (m, 1H, $p_{ph}$), $7.40$ (d, $J = 7.5, 4.7, 1.2$ Hz, 4H, A5), $7.24$ (d, $J = 3.9$ Hz, 2H, C4), $7.27$ (s, 2H, D3), $2.97$ (br. s, 4H, C-CH$_2$-CH$_2$), $2.89$ (s, 4H, C-CH$_2$-CH$_2$), $1.90$–$1.95$ (m, 4H, C-CH$_2$-CH$_2$), $1.25$–$1.32$ (m, 16H, Hex2-5), $0.87$–$0.92$ (m, 6H, Hex6).

The $1^1$P NMR (121 MHz, CD$_2$Cl$_2$, $\delta$/ppm): $51.2$ (s, 1P).

The $1^3$C NMR (151 MHz, CD$_2$Cl$_2$, $\delta$/ppm) values are as follows:

- $156.8$ (s), $156.2$ (s), $149.7$ (s), $149.5$ (s), $147.4$ (d, $J = 19.9$ Hz), $144$, $143.1$, $137.6$, $136.3$ (d, $J = 16.6$ Hz), $133.7$, $132.9$ (d, $J = 3.0$ Hz), $131.2$ (d, $J = 11.1$ Hz), $129.7$ (d, $J = 5.5$ Hz), $129.6$ (d, $J = 13.10$ Hz), $129.4$, $128.9$, $126.6$, $125.1$, $124.7$, $121.7$, $119.8$, $117.4$, $113.1$, $88.5$, $32.2$, $30.5$, $30.3$, $30.1$, $29.3$, $23.1$, $22.9$, $14.5$.

**FT-IR (cm$^{-1}$):** $3054$ (w), $2964$ (m), $2932$ (m), $2909$ (m), $2853$ (w), $2180$ (w), $1600$ (m), $1584$ (m), $1262$ (s), $1096$ (s), $1020$ (s), $865$ (m), $799$ (s), $743$ (m), $731$ (w), $683$ (w), $666$ (m), $659$ (w), $621$ (w), $514$ (w).

HRMS found m/z $1253.3685$ [M + H$^+$] and $C_{88}H_{90}N_8PS_5$ requires $1254.6683$.

2.8. Unimer ET6

This unimer was successfully purified by using chromatography on basic alumina first with the hexane/THF (3/2 by volume) mixed mobile phase and obtained as a dark violet solid with a yield of 10% (10 mg, 0.007 mmol).

2,5-bis[4-hexyl-5-(5-ethynyl-3-hexylthiophen-2-yl)tpy]-thiophen-2-yl 1-phenyl-1-thioxophosphate (ET6).

Column chromatography on basic alumina, using hexane/THF (3/2 by volume) mixed mobile phase. Fractions containing product were collected and evaporated, washed with diethyl ether/pentane (1/1, v/v) and gained as dark violet solid (10 mg, 10%).

The FT-IR (cm$^{-1}$) values are as follows:

- $1395$ (m), $1373$ (w), $1266$ (w), $1125$ (w), $1097$ (w), $1073$ (w), $1022$ (w), $989$ (w), $893$ (w), $888$ (w), $844$ (w), $839$ (w), $793$ (m), $743$ (m), $718$ (w), $687$ (w), $667$ (w), $659$ (w), $622$ (w), $616$ (w).

**HRMS found m/z** $1421.5563$ [M + H$^+$] and $C_{76}H_{66}N_6PS_5$ requires $1421.9872$.

**Assembly of Zn-MSPs and Fe-MSPs and its monitoring in solution.** For monitoring the progress in the assembly, we used the procedure described in [18,28,29]. Briefly, a measured volume of a solution of Zn$^{2+}$ or Fe$^{3+}$ perchlorate ($2 \times 10^{-3}$ M in chloroform/acetonitrile mixed solvent (1/1, v/v)) was added into a unimer (U) solution ($2 \times 10^{-5}$ M) in the same solvent by using a Hamilton syringe. The metal to unimer (Zn$^{2+}$/U) mole ratio $r$ ($r = M^{2+}$/U) varied from 0 to 3. The UV/vis absorption and the photoluminescence emission spectra of the solutions were measured at room temperature one day after preparation. Films
of solid MSPs for spectral measurements were prepared by mixing equimolar amounts of chosen unimer and metal salt in solution \((r = 1)\), followed by the drop casting of the solution on a quartz substrate and drying the wet film. Polymers are further denoted by symbols composed of the metal symbol and the unimer abbreviation; for example, ZnET stands for the MSP formed from Zn\(^{2+}\) ions and unimer ET, and FeEPh stands for the MSP prepared from ions Fe\(^{2+}\) and unimer EPh.

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Characteristics of Phosphole Unimers and Their Polymers

The UV/vis spectral changes accompanied by assembly of polymers ZnET and FeET are shown in Figure 1, and similar figures for the assembly of the other MSPs are available in Figure S3 in Supplementary Information. For clarity, each spectral set is divided into two parts: the set for the ions (M) to unimer (U) mole ratios \(r = M/U\) from 0 to ca 0.5 (left) and the set for \(r > 0.5\) (right). The main spectral changes are the following: (i) development of a new band at ca 330 nm, (ii) red shift of the HOMO–LUMO band of the unimer, (iii) slight narrowing but practically no change in the intensity of the band at 285 nm, and (iv) development of a new band at around 600 nm in the systems with Fe\(^{2+}\) ions. The stable band at 285 nm is mainly contributed to by transitions in the pyridine rings [30], and it can advantageously be used to normalize the spectra of solid MSPs (vide infra). The new bimodal UV/vis band at around 350 nm is associated with transitions within coordinated tpy groups (unlike their conformation anti in free tpy groups). Essential bimodality of this band is of vibrational origin [46,49,50]. The red shift of the HOMO–LUMO band proves an increase in the delocalization of electrons upon binding unimer molecules into MSP chains. The band at around 600 nm is associated with electronic transitions relating to the metal-to-ligand charge transfer (MLCT) that is typical of [Fe(tpy)\(_2\)]\(^{2+}\)-type species [2–7,16,21,22,49–51].

![Figure 1](image_url)

**Figure 1.** The UV/vis spectral changes accompanying assembly of Zn\(^{2+}\) (up) and Fe\(^{2+}\) (down) ions with unimer ET at mole ratios \(r\) from 0 to ca 0.5 (left) and at \(r > 0.5\) (right).
As can be seen, the UV/vis spectral sets for the M$^{2+}$/U systems of the mole ratios $r$ from 0 to 0.5 (Figure 1, left column) showed a few isosbestic points (IP), whereas the sets for higher ratios $r$ (Figure 1, right columns) did not. The presence of IPs generally indicates that defined initial species are transformed into other defined ones. Therefore, the occurrence of IPs in the spectral sets for ratios $r$ up to 0.5 indicates that the new species are “butterfly” dimers U-M$^{2+}$-U. The IP at ca. 310 nm has been observed in almost all spectral sets for assembling of terpyridine and its 4′-derivatives with Zn$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{2+}$ ions [18,29,46,51,52]. The absence of IPs in the spectral sets for ratios $r$ > 0.5 is consistent with the consecutive stepwise assembly of the preformed U-M$^{2+}$-U species and M$^{2+}$ ions to form [-M$^{2+}$-U]$_{n}$ chains of various lengths, i.e., more than one defined species. This difference between the two assembly stages proves that the tpy end-groups of semi-bounded unimeric units are less reactive than the tpy end-groups of free unimer molecules, which indicates that there is an efficient electron density transfer along the MSP chains derived from conjugated unimers.

The effects of linker structure on the UV/vis spectral characteristics of the unimer and MSPs are shown in Figure 2. As can be seen expected, the $\lambda_{UV}$ of the unimer noticeably red-shifted, and its molar absorption coefficient substantially increased with the increasing size of the $\pi$-conjugated linker. The same $\lambda_{UV}$ values of ET and ET$_{6}$ indicate practically the same band-gap energy of these unimers, despite the steric hindrances caused by the hexyl groups in ET$_{6}$. However, these hindrances are clearly manifested by the reduced value of $\varepsilon_{UV}$ for ET$_{6}$. The $\varepsilon_{UV}$ values of the unimers showed a similar trend with the increasing linker size, with $\lambda_{UV}$ up to the dominant value for ET, followed by a drop of about ca. 30% to the already-justified value for ET$_{6}$. Interestingly, the agreement of the $\varepsilon_{UV}$ of EPh and ET$_{6}$ indicates that the steric hindrance of the hexyl side group in ET$_{6}$ quantitatively cancels the positive effect of replacing the benzene ring with a thiophene ring (the difference between ET and EPh is just canceled).
In contrast to the unimers, Zn-MSPs showed an alternating (red-shift/blue-shift) dependence of both $\lambda_{UV}$ and $\epsilon_{UV}$, with an increasing linker size. Surprisingly, the highest $\lambda_{UV}$ value showed ZnE (not ZnET), which, however, showed a much lower value of $\epsilon_{UV}$ compared to ZnET. Unlike Zn-MSPs, Fe-MSPs showed a dependence on the linker size that was similar to that of free unimers: a continuous growth of $\lambda_{UV}$ and a growth of $\epsilon_{UV}$ up to FeET, followed by a drop of about ca. 25% to the value for FeET6. As for the MLCT bands, unlike the $\lambda_{UV}$ values, the $\lambda_{MLCT}$ values of Fe-MSPs showed a decrease with the increasing linker size, with an exceptionally low value for FeEPh. However, the $\epsilon_{MLCT}$ values of Fe-MSPs qualitatively showed the same trend as the $\epsilon_{UV}$ values.

As for the linker-size dependences of the $\lambda_{UV}$, the data for the solid unimers and MSPs significantly differed from those for their solutions (Figure 2). As can be seen in Table 1, the $\lambda_{MLCT}$ values of the solid samples are red-shifted by up to 39 nm (unimer ET) compared to the values for the solution. This red-shift is a measure of the extent of conformational changes (planarization increasing the overlaps of $\pi$-orbitals) of solvated molecules accompanying their packing into solid-state lattices [53], which is highly variable. For example, these red shifts observed for Zn-MSPs varied from 2 nm (ZnTPT), via 15 and 10 nm for ZnE and ZnEPh, to 20 nm for ZnET and 27 nm for ZnET6 (see Table 1). A detailed analysis of these shifts is beyond the scope of this paper. The red shifts found for Fe-MSPs range from 3 nm (FeE) to 32 nm (FeET6). Note that the planes of near-neighboring tpy-unimer units in an MSP chain are ideally perpendicular to each other due to the octahedral coordination of the tpy groups to metal ions. The whole UV/vis spectra of Fe-MSP films are shown in Figure 3, where the wavelengths of the laser beams used to excite the Raman spectra, $\lambda_{exc}$, are also indicated. In summary, the considerable variability of the linker effects on the spectral characteristics is indicative of the complexity of their origin.

Luminescence spectra of free unimers and their Zn-MSPs are shown in Figures S4 and S5 in ESI, and the spectral characteristics determined are summarized in Table 1. The emission bands are very broad with broad, flat maxima, indicating the simultaneous presence of many different chromophores in the emitting layers. The emission band of ZnET films also showed a normalized intensity of 0.8 up to about 750 nm and an intense tail extending deep into the NIR region. Notably, the solution spectra of Zn-MSPs range deeply into the NIR region. The Stokes shifts of unimers and Zn-MSPs range from ca. 4000 to 5000 cm$^{-1}$ (Table 1). They are roughly about 1000 to 2000 cm$^{-1}$ larger than the shifts observed for the Zn-MSPs derived from unimers with oligophenylene main-chains and EPh linkers to tpy end-groups [26].

### Table 1. Electronic spectral data of unimers and MSPs ($r = 1/1$; $\lambda_{UV}$, $\lambda_{MLCT}$, and $\lambda_{F}$ are the wavelengths of maxima of the respective absorption and luminescence bands; $\epsilon$ is the molar absorption coefficient (m$^2$/mol cm$^{-1}$); $\Phi$ is the luminescence quantum yield; and $\Delta S = 1/\lambda_{UV} - 1/\lambda_{F}$ is the Stokes shift.)

| Unimer | $\lambda_{UV}$, nm | $\lambda_{MLCT}$, nm | $\lambda_{F}$, nm ($\Phi$, %) | $\Delta S$, cm$^{-1}$ |
|--------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Polymer | | | | |
| TPT [19] | 482 ($\epsilon = 29$) | 520 | - | - | 603 (19%) | 685 (0.7%) | 4160 | 4630 |
| ZnTPT | 507 ($\epsilon = 39$) | 509 | - | - | 634 | 641 (0.5%) | 3950 | 4100 |
| FeTPT | 491 ($\epsilon = 22$) | 499 | 625 ($\epsilon = 50$) | 626 | - | - | - |
| ZnE | 497 ($\epsilon = 33$) | 511 | - | - | 623 (9%) | 705 (0.2%) | 4070 | 5390 |
| ZnE | 518 ($\epsilon = 43$) | 533 | - | - | 642 | 702 (7%) | 3730 | 4520 |
| FeE | 508 ($\epsilon = 29$) | 511 | 609 ($\epsilon = 66$) | 616 | - | - | - |
| EPh | 500 ($\epsilon = 42$) | 526 | - | - | 635 (15%) | 669 (0.3%) | 4250 | 4060 |
| ZnEPh | 505 ($\epsilon = 51$) | 515 | - | - | 649 | 673 (4%) | 4350 | 4560 |
| FeEPh | 510 ($\epsilon = 51$) | 518 | 580 ($\epsilon = 74$) | 590 | - | - | - |
| ET | 506 ($\epsilon = 60$) | 545 | - | - | 641 (22%) | 730 (0.3%) | 4160 | 4650 |
| ZnET | 513 ($\epsilon = 66$) | 533 | - | - | 680 | 723 (3%) | 4710 | 4950 |
| FeET | 518 ($\epsilon = 62$) | 538 | 601 ($\epsilon = 99$) | 615 | - | - | - |
| ET6 | 505 ($\epsilon = 43$) | 538 | - | - | 642 (8%) | 700 (0.2%) | 4230 | 4300 |
| ZnET6 | 508 ($\epsilon = 45$) | 535 | - | - | 674 | 692 (5%) | 4850 | 4490 |
| FeET6 | 518 ($\epsilon = 45$) | 540 | 584 ($\epsilon = 61$) | 592 | - | - | - |
Figure 3. (Left): UV/vis spectra of Fe-MSP films. (Right): UV/vis spectra of films of the ET unimer and FeET polymer with the marked positions of the laser-beam wavelengths used for the excitation of Raman spectra.

3.2. Time Changes in Raman Spectra of Films of Fe-MSPs with Phosphole Units

Fe-MSPs derived from tpy-type unimers generally show such weak luminescence that their Raman spectra (RS) are well-measurable. The strong luminescence of Zn-MSPs makes measuring their RS impossible. The resonance RS (RRS) were excited at wavelengths $\lambda_{exc}$ of 445, 532, and 633 nm (see Figure 3b), currently using the laser power at the sample of 0.1 mW. The off-resonance RS ($\lambda_{exc} = 780$ nm) were collected with 50 times stronger excitation (5 mW). One of us noticed that with a longer acquisition time of the RRS of Fe-MSP, the spectral pattern visibly changes during the acquisition. To verify this, a set of consecutive RS was measured for each Fe-MSP sample, each with an acquisition time of 15 s, until spectral changes were evident (5–10 min). Examples of these sets obtained for with different $\lambda_{exc}$ are shown in Figure 4 (for other examples, see Figure S6 in ESI).

The spectra were primarily analyzed by the subtraction method. The spectra collected in the first and last 15 s of exposure are labeled $F$ and $L$, respectively. The difference spectra (DS) obtained by subtracting $L$ from $F$ identify changes in the spectral pattern caused by the total radiation load of the sample. As can be seen, the off-resonance RS (780 nm) gave a structureless DS, indicating only the attenuation of the luminescence background. In contrast, the resonance RS gave DS with well-resolved bands, indicating that the radiation induced some structural changes in Fe-MSP films.

The richest and strongest DS provided the RRS taken with $\lambda_{exc} = 532$ nm, though this line is much further from $\lambda_{MLCT}$ of FeET (615 nm) than $\lambda_{exc} = 633$ nm. However, the MLCT bands of conjugated Fe-MSPs are significantly contributed to, with transitions in the central parts of unimer units, shifting $\lambda_{MLCT}$ to longer wavelengths [19,28]. However, $\lambda_{exc}$ of 532 nm is near to the $\lambda_{MLCT} = 552$ nm of [Fe(tpy)$_2$]$^{2+}$ (H-tpy stands for unsubstituted terpyridine) [46,50]. This indicates that the first step of the revealed photo-induced phenomenon is the excitation of [Fe(tpy)$_2$]$^{2+}$ links. The DS obtained with $\lambda_{exc} = 532$ nm are analyzed in detail in the following sections.
caused by the total radiation load of the sample. As can be seen, the off-resonance RS (780 nm) gave a structureless DS, indicating only the attenuation of the luminescence background. In contrast, the resonance RS gave DS with well-resolved bands, indicating that the radiation induced some structural changes in Fe-MSP films.

The richest and strongest DS provided the RRS taken with $\lambda_{\text{exc}} = 532$ nm, though this line is much further from $\lambda_{\text{MLCT}}$ of FeET (615 nm) than $\lambda_{\text{exc}} = 633$ nm. However, the MLCT bands of conjugated Fe-MSPs are significantly contributed to, with transitions in the central parts of unimer units, shifting $\lambda_{\text{MLCT}}$ to longer wavelengths [19,28]. However, $\lambda_{\text{exc}}$ of 532 nm is near to the $\lambda_{\text{MLCT}} = 552$ nm of [Fe(H-tpy)$_2$]$^{2+}$ (H-tpy stands for unsubstituted terpyridine) [46,50]. This indicates that the first step of the revealed photo-induced phenomenon is the excitation of [Fe(H-tpy)$_2$]$^{2+}$ links. The DS obtained with $\lambda_{\text{exc}} = 532$ nm are analyzed in detail in the following sections.

Figure 4. Time evolutions of the Raman spectra of FeET films measured using various $\lambda_{\text{exc}}$. Black—first RS ($F$); red—last measured RS ($L$); blue ($D = F - L$)—difference spectrum. Accumulation time 15 s; total time 6–9 min; laser power at the sample: 0.1 mW for 532 and 633 nm and 5 mW for 445 and 780 nm.

3.3. Analyses of the Spectral Changes

Identification of stable and unstable spectral components. The simplest way to obtain the spectral components that changed or did not change during some process is by the method of weighed subtraction of representative spectra, which mostly is a part of the spectrometer operating software. In this procedure, the first difference spectrum ($D$) is obtained by subtracting the last measured spectrum ($L$) from the first measured spectrum ($F$) of the given series:

$$D = F - aL$$

Here, $a$ is the subtraction coefficient obtained iteratively by observing the changes caused by the subtraction, such that no negative peaks occur in the $D$ spectrum. Since $F$ includes all initial bands, while $L$ includes only the bands that survived, $D$ represents the spectral component disappearing under the excitation radiation. Accordingly, the second difference spectrum ($S$) is calculated using the formula:

$$S = F - bD$$

represents the spectral component that remained intact by the excitation radiation. (The subtraction coefficient $b$ is obtained similarly as coefficient $a$). The source spectra $F$ and $L$, together with the obtained spectral components, are shown in the upper section of Figure 5.
Here, \( a \) is the subtraction coefficient obtained iteratively by observing the changes caused by the subtraction, such that no negative peaks occur in the \( D \) spectrum. Since \( F \) includes all initial bands, while \( L \) includes only the bands that survived, \( D \) represents the spectral component disappearing under the excitation radiation. Accordingly, the second difference spectrum (\( S \)) is calculated using the formula:

\[
S = F - bD
\]

represents the spectral component that remained intact by the excitation radiation. (The subtraction coefficient \( b \) is obtained similarly as coefficient \( a \)). The source spectra \( F \) and \( L \), together with the obtained spectral components, are shown in the upper section of Figure 5.

Figure 5. Comparison of relevant RS: (Top): the first (\( F \)) and last (\( L \)) RS of the FeET (532 nm) series and RSs of the disappearing (\( D \)) and stable (\( S \)) components obtained by the subtraction method; (Middle): pure spectral components obtained by factor analysis (FA) of the whole spectral set; (Bottom): off-resonance RS of the precursor central unit and RRS of [Fe(T-tpy)_2]SO_4 complex (T-tpy stands for 4′-(2-thienyl)terpyridine).

The whole spectral sets were more accurately processed by the ‘factor analysis’ method. All spectra in the set were first baseline-corrected by the method of orthogonal differences (see Figures S7 and S8 for the reliability of this correction), and the resulting set was subjected to the factor analysis using the ‘singular value decomposition’ algorithm [54]. This method generally provides two or more subspectra and a set of coefficients from which any spectrum of the set can be reconstructed (for details see ESI). The analyses showed that any spectrum of a given set can be reconstructed with sufficient accuracy from just two subspectra. Linear combinations of the two subspectra, which showed no negative band, gave the subspectra of pure spectral components (\( D_{FA} \) and \( S_{FA} \)) that agreed well with the spectra (\( D \) and \( S \)) obtained by the subtraction method. The structures lying behind the pure spectral components were estimated by comparing the spectral components with the spectra of related compounds, which are shown at the bottom of Figure 5. The disappearing component (\( D; D_{FA} \)) closely resembles the RS of 2,5-di(2-thienyl)-1-thioxophospholes [41,43,44] and of the diiodo precursor of new unimers. This spectral component can, therefore, be assigned to the TPT-type central parts of unimeric units. The stable spectral component (\( S; S_{FA} \)) agrees well with the RRS of [Fe(T-tpy)_2]SO_4
and other [Fe(R-tpy)₂] complexes, so it can be assigned to the tpy units in octahedral bis(tpy)Fe linkages.

**Kinetics and reversibility of the changes.** The baseline-corrected spectra of the whole set were deconvolved to the contributions of individual bands (Figure 6), and the time dependences of the integral band intensities were plotted (Figure 7). The plots clearly divided the bands into stable ones, marked with *, which evidently belong to the coordinated tpy units, and the disappearing ones, which showed a narrow range of mean lifetimes (44 ± 3 s), confirming that they belong to the same constitutional unit: the central TPT-type unit. (See also Figures S9 and S10 with similar data for FeEPh).

![Figure 6. Deconvolution of the first and last RRS of FeET (λexc = 532 nm; 0.1 mW).](image)

![Figure 7. Time evolution of individual Raman bands of FeET exposed to the excitation radiation.](image)

The reversibility of spectral changes was examined by measuring RS from the same sample site, after a time delay during which the sample was not irradiated. The stability of the sample position in the spectrometer was checked with an optical microscope before and after each pause. Representative results of the recovery experiments are shown in Figure 8. Spectrum recovery was observed for all MSPs with a thioxophosphole unit. Notably, the RS of FeTPT were recovering significantly faster than the spectra of new Fe-MSPs with linkers to tpy groups.
The reversibility of spectral changes was examined by measuring RS from the same sample site, after a time delay during which the sample was not irradiated. The stability and reversibility of spectral changes after each pause were examined continuously. Insets—details of the central parts of RRSs. Laser power 0.1 mW; accumulation time 15 s; total time 6 min.

The effect of the excitation beam intensity on the reversibility of spectral changes was also tested. The reversibility of spectral changes was found to decrease until it disappears with increasing intensity of the excitation beam. The Fe-MSPs behaved differently than the Fe complexes of monotopic tpy ligands. The images of films of various samples treated with an intense laser beam (5 mW) are shown in Figure 9. The FeET and FeTPT films showed stable greenish-yellow traces of illuminated sites, while the [Fe(H-tpy)2]SO4 complex was partially ablated and partially carbonized.

Figure 9. Optical microscopy images of films of two phosphole Fe-MSPs and [Fe(tpy)2]SO4 showing traces drawn by a 532 nm laser beam with a power of 5 mW.

4. Discussion

4.1. Structures Responsible for the Spectral Changes

The obvious participation of [Fe(tpy)2]2+ groups raised the question of whether or not the found spectral changes originate from them. We did not notice such spectral changes in earlier studies of conjugated Fe-MSPs derived from α,ω-bis(tpy) unimers [18,25,28–30,55–57]. However, to be sure, we checked the behavior of related simple Fe complexes with monotopic tpy ligands and FeTt MSP from our recent study [15] (Figure 10). No change in spectral pattern, only a decrease in the spectral intensity due to ablation, was recorded (especially for complexes with monotopic tpy ligands). Thus, it is clear that Fe(tpy)2 groups alone do not cause the revealed spectral changes and that the presence of 1-thioxophosphole or a related unit in the active structure is the second necessary condition. (See also Figure S11).
4.2. Mechanistic Considerations

Mere warming of the measured MSP can be ruled out as the cause of the revealed changes, because, in general, it only non-specifically increases the spectral background and broadens Raman bands [58,59]. The phosphole-to-phospholene ring isomerization consisting in the shift of one double bond from the phosphole ring into the exo position yielding a cyclohexene ring has also been considered. However, this isomerization is known to be irreversible [35,37,38], which contradicts the reversibility observed. This isomerization can be, thus, also ruled out as the cause of the observed phenomenon. The spin-crossover process (low-spin to high-spin state transition) of Fe ions that consists in the transition of two electrons from the bonding to antibonding orbitals was also excluded, though it might occur even at room temperature [60–62]. Such transition distorts the central octahedron by mainly extending the metal-to-ligand bonds with vibrations that lie at low frequencies (due to the high mass of Fe ions) [63]. However, all significant spectral changes occurred at much higher frequencies and relate exclusively to the bands of 1-thioxophosphole units, not tpy units. Moreover, this process in iron complexes is known to have specific steric requirements and ligands such as those by pyrazole or tetrazole rings [64]. Thus, the spin-crossover process can be also ruled out as the cause being sought.

Photoinduced oxidation of Fe(II) ions seems to be the most probable cause of the observed changes. The mere existence of the long-time stable MLCT band associated with the $[\text{Fe(tpy)}_2]^{2+}$ units proves a reversible partial transfer of electrons from Fe$^{2+}$ ions into tpy ligands, i.e., reversible partial oxidation of these ions even in daylight. Therefore, excitation into this band can be expected to enhance this transfer. If the transferred electrons are not consumed in a ligand modification, the overall photo-enhanced MLCT should remain reversible. Simple $[\text{Fe(tpy)}_2]^{2+}$ complexes and Fe-MSPs without thioxophosphole rings behaved this way, but Fe-MSPs with these rings did not. The strongly electron-withdrawing group P = S can be considered the reactive center responsible for this exceptional behavior. This group has already been proposed as the cause of the intramolecular charge transfer from a thiophene ring into the adjacent thioxophosphole ring [43]. Moreover, DFT calculations [19,43,44] showed that the electron density of the LUMO of the TPT structures is mainly localized on the thioxophosphole ring. Thus, the most likely scenario is the reduction of the P atom by the transferred electron to form a sulfide anion, which compensates for the increased charge of the iron ion (Scheme 3).
The motion of an electron in a solid lattice is associated with the lattice deformation, mostly acting against this motion [53, 65].

- Repeating units with newly formed ions Fe$^{3+}$ and S$^{-}$ represent “structure islands”, with the distribution of localized charges significantly differing from the original distribution in the surrounding intact domains, which introduces instability into the lattice. The greater the number of new ions in the original lattice, the less stable this lattice is.
- Stabilization of the disrupted lattice requires either restoring its original structure or creating a new structure by conformational changes and displacements of mobile counterions, which is not easy in a solid.

The above points can be summarized in the mechanism shown in Scheme 4.

At low-intensity excitation, the frequency of electron transfers from Fe$^{2+}$ ions to unimeric units will be low, and, thus, the lattice disturbance will also be small. In such a case, the dominant original structure will successfully counteract the transformations that are unfavorable to it, and the electron transfer process should be essentially reversible. The increase in excitation intensity will increase the population of new ions in the lattice, until the disruption of its original structure becomes so large that the transition to a new structure optimal for the presence of new ions stabilizes the lattice and the photo-enhanced MLCT process becomes irreversible.

Scheme 3. Probable chemical nature of the observed phenomenon. ~~~ indicating continuation of polymer chain.

5. Conclusions

While the replacement of the central thiophene ring with a phosphole ring in a molecule of the α,ω-bis(tpy)terthiophene unimer caused a substantial red-shift of the unimer optical band (Δλ$_{UV}$ = 75 nm) [19] but no increase in the molar absorption coefficient ε, the incorporation of conjugated linkers between the TPT-type central unit and tpy end-groups caused only a small red shift of λ$_{UV}$ (+15 to 25 nm) but a substantial increase
in the unimer $\varepsilon$ value up to a more than twofold value, depending on the linker structure. Moreover, the linkers also significantly extended the unimer luminescence emission into the NIR region, but they did not improve the luminescence quantum efficiency. The closeness of the $\lambda_{UV}$ values and the difference in the $\varepsilon$ values also remained reduced, to an extent, in Zn- and Fe-MSPs. Quite remarkable is the fact that the highest $\lambda_{MLCT}$ value exhibited the Fe-MSP without linkers, FeTPT, which nevertheless also showed the lowest $\varepsilon$ value.

The most remarkable part of this article is undoubtedly the discovery of the reversible disappearance of the bands of the central phosphole unit in the resonance Raman spectra of Fe-MSPs, since a similar phenomenon has not been described so far. The fact that the phenomenon was exhibited exclusively by Fe-MSPs in which the MLCT process occurs and that the phenomenon is induced by radiation with a wavelength falling within the absorption MLCT band logically leads to the hypothesis that the phenomenon is related to the MLCT process. We experimentally demonstrated that the mere presence of the MLCT is a necessary but not a sufficient condition for the observed phenomenon to occur. We also found that none of the FeMSPs exhibit this process in a solution. This suggests that inhibited conformational changes can play a crucial role in the process. These experimental facts became the basis of the proposed hypothesis about the mechanism of the discovered phenomenon. We are, naturally, aware that this is a primary hypothesis, the possible proof of which will require additional experimental data and theoretical calculations, though we do not have the needed expertise for implementing them. Relatively large electronically excited systems with iron ions are not at all easy to perform calculations on.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/polym14235207/s1, Figure S1. $^1$H NMR spectra of prepared unimers (measured in CD$_2$Cl$_2$); Figure S2. $^{13}$C NMR spectra of prepared unimers (measured in CD$_2$Cl$_2$). Monitoring of Zn-MSP and Fe-MSP assembly in solution; Figure S3. Changes in absorption spectra accompanying a stepwise assembly of MSPs; Figure S4. The UV/vis and photoluminescence solution spectra of unimers; Figure S5. The UV/vis and photoluminescence solution spectra of MSPs; Figure S6. The unimer luminescence quenching in response to the unimer binding to Fe$^{2+}$ ions; Figure S7. Time evolution spectral sets of the resonance (532 nm, 0.1 mW) and off-resonance (780 nm, 5 mW) Raman spectra; accumulation time 15 s; total time 6–9 min. Processing of Raman spectral sets by Factor analysis (FA); Figure S8. Results of factor analysis of time evolution spectral set of FeET, excitation 532 nm; Figure S9. Overlaid baseline corrected spectra of the spectral sets of indicated compounds, normalized to the most intense spectral band; Figure S10. Raman spectral set for FeEPh (532 nm, 0.1 mW) and the set after subtraction of fluorescence background to better see changes in spectral pattern; Figure S11. Deconvolution of the second and next-to-the-last Raman spectrum of FeEPh and kinetics of obtained bands.

**Author Contributions:** I.S.: Raman spectroscopy, spectral data processing and interpretation, draft preparation and editing; T.U.: synthesis, assembly of MSPs, draft preparation; M.H. and J.V.: methodology, writing—review and editing, supervision. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** Financial supports of the Czech Science Foundation (project 22-02005S) and the COST Action CM1302 (SIPS) European Network on Smart Inorganic Polymers are greatly acknowledged.

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** Not applicable.

**Data Availability Statement:** Not applicable.

**Acknowledgments:** The authors thank J. Zednik and S. Hybelbauerova for the advanced NMR measurements and A. Chernyshev for supplying the unimer Tt.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.
54. Palacký, J.; Mojzeš, P.; Bok, J. SVD-Based Method for Intensity Normalization, Background Correction and Solvent Subtraction in Raman Spectroscopy Exploiting the Properties of Water Stretching Vibrations. *J. Raman Spectrosc.* 2011, 42, 1528–1539. [CrossRef]

55. Rais, D.; Menšík, M.; Štenclová-Bláhová, P.; Svoboda, J.; Vohlidal, J.; Pfleger, J. Time-Resolved Transient Optical Absorption Study of Bis(Terpyridyl)Oligothiophenes and Their Metallo-Supramolecular Polymers with Zn(II) Ion Couplers. *J. Phys. Chem. A* 2015, 119, 6203–6214. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

56. Rais, D.; Pfleger, J.; Menšík, M.; Zhigunov, A.; Štenclová, P.; Svoboda, J.; Vohlidal, J. Singlet Fission in Thin Films of Metallo-Supramolecular Polymers with Dithio Thiophene-Bridged Terpyridine Ligands. *J. Mater. Chem. C* 2017, 5, 8041–8051. [CrossRef]

57. Hladysh, S.; Václavková, D.; Vrbata, D.; Bondarev, D.; Havlíček, D.; Svoboda, J.; Zedník, J.; Vohlidal, J. Synthesis and Characterization of Metallo-Supramolecular Polymers from Thiophene-Based Unimers Bearing Pybox Ligands. *RSC Adv.* 2017, 7, 10718–10728. [CrossRef]

58. Kupfer, S.; Zedler, L.; Guthmuller, J.; Bode, S.; Hager, M.D.; Schubert, U.S.; Popp, J.; Graefe, S.; Dietzek, B. Self-Healing Mechanism of Metallopolymers Investigated by QM/MM Simulations and Raman Spectroscopy. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2014, 16, 12422–12432. [CrossRef]

59. Bode, S.; Zedler, L.; Schacher, F.H.; Dietzek, B.; Schmitt, M.; Popp, J.; Hager, M.D.; Schubert, U.S. Self-Healing Polymer Coatings Based on Crosslinked Metallosupramolecular Copolymers. *Adv. Mater.* 2013, 25, 1634–1638. [CrossRef]

60. Bousseskou, A.; McGarvey, J.J.; Varret, F.; Real, J.A.; Tuchagues, J.P.; Dennis, A.C.; Boillot, M.L. Raman Spectroscopy of the High- and Low-Spin States of the Spin Crossover Complex Fe(Phen)$_2$(NCS)$_2$: An Initial Approach to Estimation of Vibrational Contributions to the Associated Entropy Change. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 2000, 318, 409–416. [CrossRef]

61. Guetlich, P.; Gaspar, A.B.; Garcia, Y. Spin State Switching in Iron Coordination Compounds. *Beilstein J. Org. Chem.* 2013, 9, 342–391. [CrossRef]

62. Khusniyarov, M.M. How to Switch Spin-Crossover Metal Complexes at Constant Room Temperature. *Chem. A Eur. J.* 2016, 22, 15178–15191. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

63. Devid, E.J.; Martinho, P.N.; Kamalakar, M.V.; Šalitroš, I.; Prendergast, Ú.; Dayen, J.F.; Meded, V.; Lemma, T.; González-Prieto, R.; Evers, F.; et al. Spin Transition in Arrays of Gold Nanoparticles and Spin Crossover Molecules. *ACS Nano* 2015, 9, 4496–4507. [CrossRef]

64. Attwood, M.; Turner, S.S. Back to Back 2,6-Bis(Pyrazol-1-Yl)Pyridine and 2,2′:6′,2″-Terpyridine Ligands: Untapped Potential for Spin Crossover Research and Beyond. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 2017, 353, 247–277. [CrossRef]

65. Kittel, C. *Kittel’s Introduction to Solid State Physics*, 8th ed.; Global Edition; Wiley: Hoboken, NJ, USA, 2018.