The stressed female brain: neuronal activity in the prefrontal but not infralimbic region of the medial prefrontal cortex suppresses learning after acute stress
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Introduction

Stressful life events are often accompanied by disruptions in cognitive and emotional processes related to learning and memory. In humans, stressful experiences can induce or exacerbate the symptoms of stress-related mental illness including post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) and generalized anxiety disorder (Kessler, 1997; Brown, 1998; Lupien and Lepage, 2012). Despite the alarming statistics, relatively little is known about the brain circuits and mechanisms that modulate these stress differences in the stress response. There is, however, considerable information about the mechanisms that modulate the stress response in males. For example, the prefrontal cortex (PFC) is necessary for mediating the effects of controllability during stress (Amat et al., 2005), and it can exert inhibitory control over the amygdala (LeDoux, 2000; Rosenkranz and Grace, 2001; Sotres-Bayon et al., 2004; Likhtik et al., 2005; Hoover and Vertes, 2007). Other studies in humans indicate that blood flow to these structures (and presumably neuronal activity) is disrupted by stressful life events. For instance, humans expressing symptoms of PTSD exhibit hyperactivity in the amygdala with concomitant mPFC hypoactivity (Coffey et al., 1995; Brenner et al., 1997; Liberson et al., 2013; Shin et al., 2015; Rauch et al., 2006; Etkin and Wager, 2007; Koening and Grafman, 2009; Lebron-Milad et al., 2012, 2013; Tang et al., 2012; Kong et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2013). It has been suggested that neuronal hyperactivity in the amygdala occurs when the mPFC releases its control over it, which is theoretically necessary for emotional regulation. This putative mechanism is supported by reports of increased functional connectivity between the mPFC and amygdala during extinction recall, a phenomenon which is impaired in people suffering from PTSD (Milad et al., 2009).

Functional connections between the amygdala and mPFC mediate some sex differences in behavior in humans and rodent...
Animals were anesthetized with sodium pentobarbital (50 mg/kg) administered i.p. In order to prevent stress effects on learning, animals were acclimated to the conditioning chamber for 60 min, after which they were transferred to another room. The obturators were removed, and injectors (with projections 1 mm past the guide cannula) were inserted into cannula. Groups of female rats were bilaterally infused with either 0.5 μl artificial cerebrospinal fluid (aCSF) vehicle or 0.5 μg (1 μg/μl) of γ-aminobutyric acid (GABA_A) receptor agonist muscimol. Muscimol suppresses neuronal activity within the areas of interest for several hours (Martín, 1991; Micó and Di Scala, 2000). At the dose used in the present study, the effects of muscimol will have dissipated prior to the start of training 24 h later. All infusions were administered at a rate of 0.125 μl/min for 4 min for a total infusion volume of 0.5 μl. After 2 min to allow for diffusion, the obturators were replaced.

To assess the eyelid response, we used electromyography (EMG), which measures muscle activity, around the eyelid. To measure EMG, insulated wires (attached to a headstage) were implanted through the periorbital muscles of the eyelid. Additional electrodes were implanted to administer stimulation to the eyelid, which served as the unconditioned stimulus (US). Acrylic dental cement was applied to the skull and anchored by skull screws to secure the headstage and cannulas in place. To prevent occlusion, obturators were placed into the cannulas after implantation.

VAGINAL CYTOLOGY

After recovery from surgery, animals were assessed for phases of the estrous cycle by vaginal smears. Each day, a cotton-tipped applicator was dipped in sterile 0.9% saline and inserted into the vaginal canal. Vaginal cells were placed onto slides and stained with 1% toluidine for estrous phase identification. Estrus is characterized as dense clusters of non-nucleated blue cornified cells, and proestrus is evident by dark purple-stained nucleated epithelial cells. Diestrus 1 is identified by a combination of leukocytes and few cornified epithelial cells, and diestrus 2 by very sparse leukocyte and nucleated epithelial cell types. All animals began experimentation during the diestrus 2 phase because the stress effect on learning is most pronounced during this phase of the estrous cycle (Shors et al., 1998).
STRESS PROCEDURE
Immediately following the microinfusions of either aCSF or muscimol, animals in the stressed groups were taken into another room (a different context from conditioning and infusions) and were subjected to inescapable swim stress. The animals were placed into a round plastic container about 12" in diameter, which had been filled with room temperature water (21–23°C) to a height of 11". The rats were in the water for 15 min, after which they were thoroughly dried with a towel and returned to their respective home cages. Animals that were in the unstressed groups were returned to their home cages after the infusions.

CLASSICAL CONDITIONING
Training occurred one day (24 h) after the end of stressor exposure. The rats were trained with classical eyelink conditioning using a delay paradigm. The conditioned stimulus (CS) was an 80 dB, 850 ms white noise. The unconditioned stimulus (US) commenced 750 ms after the onset of the CS and co-terminated with it. The US consisted of a 100 ms, 0.5 mA periorbital eyelid stimulation, which is sufficient to reliably elicit an eyelink response. Eyelinks were detected as significant changes in the magnitude of the electromyographic (EMG) activity recorded from the eyelid muscles. To be considered a conditioned response (CR), the elevated EMG activity had to persist more than 10 ms and exceed 0.3 mV with a standard deviation of 3, when compared to the baseline activity recorded for 250 ms before the onset of each CS. Once the number of eyelink responses were determined, the number of blinks that occurred 250 ms before the onset of the US was calculated. These responses are considered adaptive CRs because they occur close to the onset of the US and are not sensitized responses to the US. Animals were exposed to 100 trials of training each day for 4 days. At the end of each day (session) of training, rats were returned to their home cages.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS
To assess performance, we calculated the percentage of CRs that were emitted over each of the four sessions (100 trials) of training. The percentage of responses was analyzed with stress versus no stress and drug versus vehicle as independent variables, with a within-subjects variable for sessions (days of training). Much of the acquisition occurs during the first 100 trials of training. To further assess differences in acquisition, the first 100 trials were analyzed in blocks of 20 trials. These data were analyzed with a mixed factor ANOVA. If the interactions were significant, Tukey HSD post hoc comparisons were used to detect significant differences between groups and variables.

HISTOLOGY
To verify the location of the cannula, rats were injected intraperitoneally with a lethal dose of sodium pentobarbital (100 mg/kg) and transcardially perfused with 0.9% saline solution for exsanguination. This was followed by 10% buffered formalin. The brains were then removed and post-fixed in Hamilton syringes to infuse 0.5 μl Evans blue dye (1 mg/ml) to mark the site of infusion. Brains were then removed and post-fixed in formalin for at least three days. They were then subjected to cryoprotection and were sectioned into 40 μm thick sections using a cryostat. Every third slice was mounted onto pre-gelled slides and stained with 0.1% neutral red to verify the accuracy of cannula placements.

A rater blind to group assignments in the behavioral data assessed cannula tip locations. If the tip of the injection cannula, which protruded 0.5 mm beyond the guide cannula, was within the dorsal boundary of the PL cortex, then it was considered to be in the correct location for PL infusions. For IL infusions, the cannula tip sites needed to be within the IL region, leaving the PL area intact. Placements within the mPFC were between ±3.20 and ±2.70 mm relative to bregma. The sites of drug infusions were assessed by track markings of the infusion cannula (Figure 1). Rats were excluded from analysis if placements were not within either the PL or IL area, or if the PL was excessively damaged by the cannula or the infusions. In experiment 1, the number of animals in each group was as follows: vehicle aCSF and unstressed (n = 7), vehicle aCSF and stressed (n = 6), muscimol and unstressed (n = 10), and muscimol and stressed (n = 8). For experiment 2, the number of animals per group was: vehicle aCSF and unstressed (n = 8), vehicle aCSF and stressed (n = 7), muscimol and unstressed (n = 7), and muscimol and stressed (n = 7).

RESULTS
EXPERIMENT 1. NEURONAL ACTIVITY IN THE PRELIMBIC CORTEX IS NECESSARY TO SUPPRESS LEARNING AFTER STRESS IN FEMALES

Experiment 1 determined whether neuronal activity within the PL area of the mPFC was necessary for the stress-induced impairment of eyelink conditioning in females. To test this, the PL cortex of adult female rats was bilaterally infused with either muscimol or aCSF vehicle in a different context from training or the stress procedure. Immediately following infusions, animals were taken into another room and were either stressed or unstressed. One day after stressor exposure, all rats were trained with 100 trials of delay eyelink conditioning for four consecutive days.

A 2 × 2 × 4 (stress versus no stress × drug versus vehicle × sessions of training) analysis of variance revealed a significant interaction between the injection with the GABA<sub>A</sub>-receptor agonist and stress exposure ([F(1,27) = 13.12, p < 0.01] and a significant three-way interaction among the agonist, stressor exposure, and sessions of training ([F(3,81) = 2.75; p < 0.05]). A Tukey HSD post hoc test revealed that the females that received bilateral aCSF injections into the PL before the stressor expressed fewer CRs than those that were not stressed (p < 0.01). Interestingly, females that were infused with muscimol into the PL cortex during the stressor emitted more CRs than those that were injected with the vehicle during the stressor (p < 0.01). As expected, the percentage of CRs increased across the four days of delay conditioning ([F(3,87) = 6.68; p < 0.01], indicating that learning occurred over the sessions of training. A one-way repeated measures ANOVA indicated that stressed females injected with vehicle did not learn as they did not express more CRs across the four days of training ([F(3,15) = 0.16; p > 0.05]). As illustrated in Figure 2, unstressed rats injected with muscimol 24 h before training performed similarly to their respective control animals.
that learned well (p < 0.05). Therefore, muscimol alone did not adversely affect conditioned responding 24 h after it was injected. It should also be noted that although there was cortical damage caused by the cannulation, it did not disrupt performance. The vehicle-injected control (aCSF/no stress) animals emitted consistent and well-timed CRs, which increased in number across sessions of training. It is also important to note that the effects of stress on performance of the CR are not due to performance effects, at least as far as can be proven. In previous studies, we found no effect of the stressor exposure on amplitude of the unconditioned response or on responses that are already acquired (Wood and Shors, 1998; Bangasser and Shors, 2004).

To examine early acquisition, the first session of 100 trials was analyzed as 20-trial blocks with a 2 × 2 repeated measures ANOVA. The analysis revealed a main effect of the agonist [F(1,27) = 4.42, p < 0.05] and block [F(4,108) = 20.90, p < 0.01]. Again, females infused with vehicle aCSF and stressed did not express many CRs during the first 100 trials, suggesting that they were unable to learn the association in response to the stressful event [F(4,20) = 2.76, p < 0.05].

To further illustrate differences in performance, we have presented the data as the percentage of animals reaching a learning criterion of 60% conditioned responding in any session of training (Figure 3). The unstressed animals learned well, whereas all of the females that were exposed to the stressor and received vehicle did not. Interestingly, most (~88%) of the stressed females whose PL cortices were inactivated during the stressor learned well. The percentage of animals that reached the learning criterion differed between groups, χ²(1, N = 31) = 78.0, p = 0.00. Therefore, bilateral infusions of muscimol into the PL during the stressor prevented the effect of stress on conditioning. These data indicate that neuronal activity within the PL is necessary to suppress learning after stress in female rats.

**EXPERIMENT 2. ACTIVATION OF THE INFRALIMBIC CORTEX DURING THE STRESSOR IS NOT NECESSARY FOR THE STRESS EFFECT ON LEARNING IN FEMALES**

Experiment 2 focused on the role of the IL subregion of the mPFC. The IL was bilaterally inactivated with muscimol infusions restricted to this region during the swim stressor. As before, learning was assessed with classical eyeblink conditioning 24 h after the stressor had ceased (Figure 2). A 2 × 2 × 4 analysis of variance for stress (no stress versus stress) × drug (aCSF versus muscimol) × training sessions revealed no effect of the GABA agonist [F(1,24) = 0.81, p > 0.05], but a main effect of stress [F(1,24) = 17.49, p < 0.01]. The percentage of CRs increased across sessions [F(3,72) = 6.69, p < 0.01], confirming that learning had occurred. Stressed females, however, that were infused with either muscimol [F(3,18) = 0.68, p > 0.05] or vehicle [F(1,18) = 0.91, p > 0.05] did not learn well as training progressed.

As in Experiment 1, we analyzed the percentage of CRs during each block of 20 trials on the first day of training. There was a main effect of stressor exposure [F(1,24) = 10.86, p < 0.01] and blocks of training [F(4,96) = 9.47, p < 0.01]. There was also a significant interaction between stressor exposure and the blocks of training [F(4,96) = 2.69, p < 0.05]. A Tukey post hoc analysis confirmed that the unstressed females that received either bilateral vehicle aCSF or muscimol infusions into the IL emitted more CRs than the females that were injected with vehicle just before the stressor (p < 0.05). There was no deficit in responding as a result of the cannula implantation in general as unstressed, vehicle-treated rats could learn. Responding did not increase in the females that were injected with aCSF and stressed, when examined over the five 20-trial blocks of the first training session [F(4,24) = 1.11, p > 0.05]. The stressed, muscimol-treated females did emit CRs during the first day of training [F(4,24) = 3.02, p < 0.05], but performance was not maintained throughout the later sessions of training, as described above.

As in the first experiment, we analyzed data according to the number of animals that achieved a significant level of conditioned responding, which was 60% during at least one session of training. In the unstressed groups, most of the animals learned well, reaching at least 60% CRs in at least one session of training. In contrast, only 30% of the females that were infused with aCSF and stressed reached this learning criterion (Figure 3). Similarly, most of the animals that were stressed while their IL was inactivated did not learn (only 14% reached criterion). The percentage of animals that reached criterion did not differ between the intact or inactivated IL groups, χ²(1, N = 29) = 2.66, p = 0.10. These data further support the conclusion that neural activity within the IL...

---

**FIGURE 1** Representative sections were stained with 0.1% neutral red, and reconstructions of the bilateral cannula tip placement within the PL and IL subregions at bregma −2.20 mm are illustrated here. For the PL, cannula tips were implanted at an angle of 15° to avoid damage to the sinus. For the IL, cannula tips were angled at 30° to avoid damage to the overlying prelimbic cortex. Animals whose infusion sites were not correctly placed were excluded from the study. It is noted that cortical damage due to the permanent cannula implantation appears extensive. However, animals that received aCSF infusions learned well, and therefore, the damage did not interfere with performance of the associative learning task (image adapted from Paxinos and Watson [1997]).
FIGURE 2 | (A) Female rats that were injected with vehicle and exposed to acute swim stress 24 h before the 4 days of training emitted significantly fewer conditioned responses than those that were not stressed and expressed no evidence of learning (p < 0.05). Females that were stressed while their prelimbic mPFC was inactivated learned well, expressing more conditioned responses than the stressed controls (p < 0.05). These data suggest that neural activity within the prelimbic subregion of the mPFC is necessary to suppress learning in females after an acute stressful event.

(B) Again, females that were injected with vehicle and exposed to acute swim stress emitted significantly fewer conditioned responses than those that were not stressed (p < 0.05). Likewise, those that were stressed while their infralimbic cortex was inactivated also did not learn (p > 0.05), expressing fewer conditioned responses than the unstressed animals (p < 0.05). These data suggest that neural activity within the infralimbic subregion of the mPFC is not part of the necessary circuit that impairs learning in females after a stressful event.

during the stressor is not necessary to suppress associative learning in females.

DISCUSSION

Exposure to an acute stressful event can dramatically impair new learning in females, when assessed with classical eyeblink conditioning (Wood and Shors, 1998). This effect depends on anatomical connections between the mPFC and basolateral amygdala, suggesting that the mPFC communicates with the amygdala during the stressful event to suppress learning in the near future (Maeng et al., 2010). The present set of experiments went beyond these findings to identify which part of the mPFC is involved – the PL or the IL. The PL cortex has dense projections to the basolateral amygdala, whereas the IL cortex does not. Based on these connections, we hypothesized that the PL region would be necessary. To test this hypothesis, each subregion was bilaterally inactivated during a short 15 min episode of inescapable swim stress. One day later, all animals were trained with classical eyeblink conditioning. Females with suppressed excitatory activity within the PL cortex during the stressor performed similarly to the unstressed females, rapidly learning the CR. In contrast, females with reduced activity in the IL cortex during the stressor behaved similarly to the stressed females with intact IL activity; neither group learned. These data suggest that the PL but not the IL cortex is critically engaged during a stressful event to suppress learning in females. Along with data from disconnection studies, we further conclude that the PL region of the mPFC communicates with the BLA during a stressful event to suppress learning in females.

DIFFERENCES BETWEEN THE PRELIMBIC AND INFRALIMBIC CORTEXES

There are numerous anatomical and functional differences between the PL and IL (Vertes, 2004; Izquierdo et al., 2006; Radley et al., 2006; Vidal-Gonzalez et al., 2006; Hoover and Vertes, 2007;
ditioned fear (Burgos-Robles et al., 2009). In contrast, neuronal activity within the IL is critical for inhibiting conditioned fear (Bland et al., 2005). The present data are consistent with studies reporting that activity within the PL mPFC mediates the expression of conditioned fear (Choi et al., 2010, 2012; Sierra-Mercado et al., 2011; Sotres-Bayon et al., 2012; Pendyam et al., 2013). In fear conditioning, the PL augments conditioned fear responding. For instance, PL neuronal firing activity is greater in animals that fail to recall extinction, learning that requires the inhibition of conditioned fear responses. These animals express more conditioned fear (Burgos-Robles et al., 2009). In contrast, neuronal activity within the IL is critical for inhibiting conditioned fear after the animal has undergone extinction (Milad and Quirk, 2002; Lebrón et al., 2004; Vidal-Gonzalez et al., 2016; Sierra-Mercado et al., 2011). These regional differences in the mPFC may be important to the present phenomenon as well because excitatory activity within the PL during the 15 min swim stress was necessary to impair aversive conditioning, while activity within the IL was not. However, it remains possible that the longer lasting effects of stress on learning (those that occur after the stressor to maintain the suppression) could involve activity within the IL. Minimally, the present data suggest that activity within the PL and IL are differentially regulated by stressful life events to alter associative learning in females.

PL CONNECTIONS TO THE AMYGDALA

In humans, connections between the mPFC and amygdala have been implicated in mood disorders. Specifically, a hyperactive amygdala and hypoactive mPFC are associated with PTSD females that were not stressed. However, very few of the females that were stressed while their infralimbic cortex was inactivated learned; they performed similarly to the stressed females without inactivation. These data support the conclusion that the prelimbic area of the mPFC, but not the infralimbic cortex, is critically engaged during a stressful event to suppress learning in females.

LEBRÓN ET AL. (2004; CORRELL ET AL., 2005; LIKHTIK ET AL., 2005; SIERRA-MERCADO ET AL., 2011). For instance, cells from the two regions fire with different patterns of activity during an operant conditioning task; PL neurons respond rapidly but transiently to reward, whereas IL neurons are slower to respond but respond for longer periods of time (Burgos-Robles et al., 2013). These data suggest a different time course of action between the IL and PL during the execution of the same behavior. Also, while immediate early gene c-fos activity increased within both regions immediately after exposure to a stressor, the response in the PL grew significantly larger with time (Bland et al., 2005). The present data are consistent with studies reporting that activity within the PL mPFC mediates the expression of conditioned fear (Choi et al., 2010, 2012; Sierra-Mercado et al., 2011; Sotres-Bayon et al., 2012; Pendyam et al., 2013). In fear conditioning, the PL augments conditioned fear responding. For instance, PL neuronal firing activity is greater in animals that fail to recall extinction, learning that requires the inhibition of conditioned fear responses. These animals express more conditioned fear (Burgos-Robles et al., 2009). In contrast, neuronal activity within the IL is critical for inhibiting conditioned fear after the animal has undergone extinction (Milad and Quirk, 2002; Lebrón et al., 2004; Vidal-Gonzalez et al., 2016; Sierra-Mercado et al., 2011). These regional differences in the mPFC may be important to the present phenomenon as well because excitatory activity within the PL during the 15 min swim stress was necessary to impair aversive conditioning, while activity within the IL was not. However, it remains possible that the longer lasting effects of stress on learning (those that occur after the stressor to maintain the suppression) could involve activity within the IL. Minimally, the present data suggest that activity within the PL and IL are differentially regulated by stressful life events to alter associative learning in females.

**Figure 3** Animals that learned the conditioned eyeblink response well reached a learning criterion of 60% conditioned responses. Most, or all of the unstressed vehicle- and muscimol-treated animals reached this learning criterion, whereas none or very few of the vehicle-treated stressed females did. Most of the females without activity in the prelimbic cortex during the stressor learned well, performing as well as females that were not stressed. However, very few of the females that were stressed while their infralimbic cortex was inactivated learned; they performed similarly to the stressed females without inactivation. These data support the conclusion that the prelimbic area of the mPFC, but not the infralimbic cortex, is critically engaged during a stressful event to suppress learning in females.

**Figure 4**
FIGURE 4 | There are both structural and functional differences between the prelimbic and infralimbic subregions of the mPFC. Based on these differences and the present data, a prelimbic mPFC-BLA circuit in the modification of learning by stress is proposed here. In fear conditioning, this circuitry has been described for the expression of fear. Assuming that there is an overlap of circuitry with that mediating the responses to stress, we propose similar mPFC-BLA interactions that might mediate the stress-induced learning suppression in females. Prelimbic activity sends excitatory input to the basolateral amygdala, which stimulates the central amygdala to elicit the response to stress and impair conditioning.

| PRELIMBIC CORTEX       | INFRALIMBIC CORTEX                        |
|------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| • dense projections to the BLA | • robust projections to the BNST, NTS, ITC |
| • emotional and cognitive processes | • autonomic and visceromotor processes |
| • microstimulation enhances conditioned fear expression | • extinction learning |
| • necessary to suppress learning after stress in females | • microstimulation inhibits conditioned fear expression |
| • not necessary to suppress learning after stress in females |

The prefrontal cortico-amygdalar circuits are reportedly dysfunctional in women with major depressive disorder, whereas other circuits predominate in men (Kong et al., 2013). Increased activation of the amygdala and decreased activation of the prefrontal cortex were observed in women with PTSD as they anticipated the presentation of negative images. In contrast, women with fewer symptoms of PTSD presented more activity in their prefrontal cortex, which correlated with enhanced performance during attention shifting (Aupperle et al., 2012). These findings suggest that the prefrontal cortex and amygdala interact with one another in humans but are differentially responsive in women suffering from PTSD. The findings reported here may model some of the adverse consequences of stress-induced learning suppression in females. Prelimbic activity sends excitatory input to the basolateral amygdala, which stimulates the central amygdala to elicit the response to stress and impair conditioning.
stressful life experience in women, most notably acute stress disorder and PTSD. Alternatively, these responses may simply represent the “normal” healthy response to stressful life events.

CONCLUSION

The present data indicate that the PL cortex is critically engaged during stress to impair learning in females, whereas the EL cortex is not. Based on previous data, we propose that acute stress exposure activates the prefrontal area and its connections to the basolateral amygdala. This may influence eyeblink circuitry in the cerebellum and/or hippocampus to elicit the learning deficit, an effect of stress that is only expressed in females. There is a higher prevalence of stress disorders in women, indicating a greater sensitivity to stressful life experiences. This finding may have important implications for dealing gender-considered therapies for women who suffer from stress-related illnesses.
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