Abstract

In this work, we discuss the inverse problem for second order differential pencils with boundary and jump conditions dependent on the spectral parameter. We establish the following uniqueness theorems: (i) the potentials $q_i(x)$ and boundary conditions of such a problem can be uniquely established by some information on eigenfunctions at some internal point $b \in (\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi)$ and parts of two spectra; (ii) if one boundary condition and the potentials $q_i(x)$ are prescribed on the interval $[\pi/(1 - \alpha), \pi]$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, then parts of spectra $S \subseteq \sigma(L)$ are enough to determine the potentials $q_i(x)$ on the whole interval $[0, \pi]$ and another boundary condition.
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1 Introduction

Inverse spectral problems are a branch of science that seeks to determine the coefficients of the boundary value problems from their spectral characteristics. This kind of problems often arise in mathematics, mechanics, physics, electronics, geophysics, and various branches of natural sciences and engineering [2, 3, 14, 17, 18, 24]. First studies and results of inverse problems for classical Sturm–Liouville operators were given by Ambartsumyan in 1929 [1], and this field of science has been developed by many researchers in the next years [8, 21, 29, 33, 37, 38]. In particular, some aspects of the inverse problem theory for differential pencils and for spectral jump and boundary conditions have been investigated in [4, 6, 23, 29–35].

In this paper, we investigate the boundary value problem $L := L(q_1, q_0, h_1, h_0, H_1, H_0, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ for the differential pencil

$$-y'' + (2 \rho q_1(x) + q_0(x))y = \rho^2 y, \quad x \in (0, \pi),$$

(1.1)
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with boundary conditions

\[ U(y) := y'(0) - (h_1 \rho + h_0)y(0) = 0, \quad (1.2) \]
\[ V(y) := y'(\pi) + (H_1 \rho + H_0)y(\pi) = 0, \quad (1.3) \]

and the interior discontinuity

\[ y\left(\frac{\pi}{2} + 0, \rho\right) = \alpha y\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - 0, \rho\right), \]
\[ y'\left(\frac{\pi}{2} + 0, \rho\right) = \alpha^{-1}y\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - 0, \rho\right) + (\beta \rho + \gamma)y\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - 0, \rho\right). \quad (1.4) \]

The parameters \(h_k, H_k, k = 0, 1 \ (h_1, H_1 \neq \pm i), \alpha > 0, \beta \) and \(\gamma\) are complex, and \(\rho\) is a spectral parameter. The complex-valued functions \(q_k(x)\) belong to the space \(W^2_2(0, \pi)\).

Some special cases of problem (1.1)–(1.4) arise after an application of the method of the separation of variables to the varied assortment of physical problems. For example, some of the problems with boundary conditions depending on the spectral parameter occur in the theory of small vibrations of a damped string and freezing of the liquid [19, 26]. These problems also appear in the connection with an acoustic wave propagation in a rectangular duct with a uniform mean flow profile and walls with finite acoustic impedance [13]. Moreover, boundary value problems with discontinuity conditions arise in heat and mass transfer problems [16], in vibrating string problems when the string is loaded additionally with point masses [26], and in diffraction problems [27].

To recover the potential on all interval and all coefficients in boundary conditions in the usual case, it is necessary to know two spectra of the boundary value problem with different boundary conditions [36]. Indeed, if a finite number of eigenvalues are deleted, the potential is not uniquely determined by one full spectrum and one partial spectrum. Mochizuki and Trooshin [20] showed that the spectral data of parts of two spectra and a set of values of eigenfunctions at some internal point suffice to determine the potential, and they addressed the interior inverse problem of Sturm–Liouville operators on the finite interval \([0,1]\). Afterwards, this technique has been used by some authors to survey the inverse problem of Sturm–Liouville operators in various forms [10, 22, 25, 29, 33].

Alongside this method, in [9], Hochstadt and Lieberman found the half inverse problem method and showed that if the potential is prescribed on \([1/2, 1]\), one spectrum can uniquely determine the potential on the whole interval \([0,1]\). Hochstadt–Lieberman type theorem was also investigated by many scholars for differential operators in the next years [4, 11, 12, 30, 31]. Then Gesztesy and Simon generalized Hochstadt–Lieberman type theorem and recovered the Sturm–Liouville operator with Robin boundary conditions from parts of one spectrum and partial information on the potential [7]. They showed that if the coefficient \(h_0\) and the potential are provided on \([0, 1/2 + \alpha/2]\) for some \(\alpha \in (0, 1)\), then parts of one spectrum can give the coefficient \(h_1\) and the potential on all of \([0,1]\). Insofar as we know, Mochizuki–Trooshin and Hochstadt–Liebmaman type theorems for differential pencils with spectral boundary and jump conditions have not been considered before. The target of this work is to investigate two inverse problems to \(L\) by these two techniques. We would like to investigate the inverse problems for \(L\) by some information on eigenfunctions at some internal point and parts of two spectra taking Mochizuki–Trooshin type
theorem as well as from partial information on the potentials and parts of a finite number of spectra by Gesztesy–Simon type theorem. This brings certain difficulties, and the results obtained are a generalization of the classical Sturm–Liouville problems.

The main goal of this paper is to present the potentials \( q_k(x) \) and the parameters \( h_k, H_k, k = 0, 1 \) by developing the ideas of the Mochizuki–Trooshin and Gesztesy–Simon methods [7, 20]. The present paper is organized as follows. Section 2 is devoted to some preliminaries. In Sect. 3, two uniqueness theorems for boundary value problem (1.1)–(1.4) are proved.

2 Preliminaries

In the first part of the paper, we provide the solution of the boundary value problem \( L \) and its spectral characteristics. At first, we remind the following notation from [5].

The values of the parameter \( \lambda = \rho^2 \) for which \( L \) has nonzero solutions are called eigenvalues, and the corresponding nontrivial solutions are called eigenfunctions. The set of eigenvalues is called the spectrum of \( L \).

Let \( y(x, \rho) \) be the solution of differential pencil (1.1) under the initial conditions \( y(0, \rho) = 1, y'(0, \rho) = h_1 \rho + h_0 \) and jump conditions (1.4). From [23, 34], this solution can be obtained in the following form for sufficiently large \( \rho \):

\[
y(x, \rho) = \cos(\rho x - Q(x)) + h_1 \sin(\rho x - Q(x)) + O\left(\frac{1}{\rho} \exp(|\Im \rho| x)\right), \quad x < \frac{\pi}{2},
\]

\[
y(x, \rho) = \left(\alpha^+ - \frac{1}{2} \beta h_1\right) \left(\cos(\rho x - Q(x)) + A_1 \sin(\rho x - Q(x))\right) + \left(\alpha^- + \frac{1}{2} \beta h_1\right) \left(\cos(\rho(x - x) - Q(\pi) + Q(x))\right) + A_2 \sin(\rho(x - x) - Q(\pi) + Q(x)) + O\left(\frac{1}{\rho} \exp(|\Im \rho| x)\right), \quad x > \frac{\pi}{2},
\]

where \( Q(x) = \int_0^x Q_1(t) \, dt \), \( A_1 = \frac{2\alpha^+ h_1 - \beta}{2\alpha^- + \beta h_1} \), and \( A_2 = \frac{2\alpha^- h_1 - \beta}{2\alpha^+ + \beta h_1} \) in which \( \alpha^\pm = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha \pm \alpha^{-1}) \). Since

\[
\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + h_1^2}} = \cos\left(\frac{1}{2i} \ln \frac{i - h_1}{i + h_1}\right), \quad \frac{h_1}{\sqrt{1 + h_1^2}} = \sin\left(\frac{1}{2i} \ln \frac{i - h_1}{i + h_1}\right),
\]

and analogously

\[
\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + A_j^2}} = \cos\left(\frac{1}{2i} \ln \frac{i - A_j}{i + A_j}\right), \quad \frac{A_j}{\sqrt{1 + A_j^2}} = \sin\left(\frac{1}{2i} \ln \frac{i - A_j}{i + A_j}\right), \quad j = 1, 2,
\]

we have formulae (2.1) and (2.2) as follows for sufficiently large \( \rho \):

\[
y(x, \rho) = \sqrt{1 + h_1^2} \cos\left(\frac{1}{2i} \ln \frac{i - h_1}{i + h_1} - (\rho x - Q(x))\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{\rho} \exp(|\Im \rho| x)\right), \quad x < \frac{\pi}{2},
\]

\[
y(x, \rho) = \left(\alpha^+ - \frac{1}{2} \beta h_1\right) \sqrt{1 + A_j^2} \cos\left(\frac{1}{2i} \ln \frac{i - A_j}{i + A_j} - (\rho x - Q(x))\right)
\]
Moreover, we know that these functions and derivatives with respect to $x$ are entire in $\rho$ of exponential type.

We denote by $
abla_1(\rho) := V(y(x, \rho))$ the characteristic function for $L$. This relation together with (1.3) and (2.4) gives for sufficiently large $\rho$:

$$
\nabla_1(\rho) = \rho \sqrt{1 + H_2^2} \left( \left( \alpha^2 + \frac{1}{2} \beta h_1 \right) \right) 
\times \sqrt{1 + A_2^2} \sin \left( \frac{1}{2i} \ln \frac{i - H_1}{i + H_1} + \frac{1}{2i} \ln \frac{i - A_1}{i + A_1} - (\rho \pi - Q(\pi)) \right) 
\times \left( \alpha^2 + \frac{1}{2} \beta h_1 \right) \sqrt{1 + A_2^2} \sin \left( \frac{1}{2i} \ln \frac{i - H_1}{i + H_1} + \frac{1}{2i} \ln \frac{i - A_2}{i + A_2} \right) 
+ O(\exp(|\Im \rho| \pi)).
$$

The roots of this characteristic function are the eigenvalues of $L$ [5], and these eigenvalues have the following asymptotic formula for sufficiently large $n$:

$$
\rho_n = n + \omega + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right),
$$

where $\omega = \frac{1}{2} Q(\pi) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \ln \frac{i - H_1}{i + H_1} + \frac{1}{2\pi} \ln \frac{i - A_1}{i + A_1}$. Also, using the known method [5], one gets

$$
|\Delta(\rho)| \geq C_3|\rho| \exp(|\Im \rho| \pi)
$$

for large enough $\rho \in G_\delta := \{ \rho \in \mathbb{C}; |\rho - \rho_n| \geq \delta, \forall n\}$ and some positive constant $C_3$.

In virtue of Ref. [7, 15], we bring the following lemma which is momentous to demonstrating the main results of our article.

**Lemma 2.1** For any entire function $g(\rho) \neq 0$ of exponential type, the following inequality holds:

$$
\lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{n(r)}{r} \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} h_\rho(\theta) d\theta,
$$

where $n(r)$ is the number of zeros of $g(\rho)$ in the disk $|\rho| \leq r$ and $h_\rho(\theta) := \limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{\ln |g(re^{i\theta})|}{r}$ with $\rho = re^{i\theta}$.

**Lemma 2.2** Assume that $f(z)$ is an entire function of order less than one. If $\lim_{|x| \to \infty, x \in \mathbb{R}} f(ix) = 0$, then $f(z) = 0$ on the whole complex plane.

### 3 Inverse problem with partial information

In this section, two uniqueness theorems are brought that are the main results of this work. We would like to recover the differential pencil and the coefficients used in
boundary conditions from some information on eigenfunctions at some interior point and parts of two spectra in Theorem 3.1. Taking partial information on the potentials and a subset of eigenvalues, we also study this inverse problem in Theorem 3.3.

So, we consider a boundary value problem and let

\[ \text{Proof} \]

Consider two sequences \( l, r \) and let \( \rho, \sigma \in L \) with the initial conditions

\[ \text{Theorem 3.1} \]

\[ k = 0, 1 \]

then \( q \) and \( \tilde{q} \) are known \( \gamma \) beside \( L := L(q_0, q_1, h_0, h_1, \mathcal{H}_0, \mathcal{H}_1, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) \) beside \( \mathcal{L} := L(q_0, q_1, h_0, h_1, \mathcal{H}_0, \mathcal{H}_1, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) \). We note that the parameters \( \alpha, \beta, \) and \( \gamma \) are known a priori. Also, if a symbol shows an object in \( \mathcal{L} \), then the same symbol with tilde shows the corresponding object in \( \mathcal{L} \).

Let \( l(n) \) and \( r(n) \) be two sequences of the natural numbers such that

\[ l(n) = \frac{n}{\sigma_1} (1 + \epsilon_{1n}), \quad 0 < \sigma_1 \leq 1, \epsilon_{1n} \to 0, \]

\[ r(n) = \frac{n}{\sigma_2} (1 + \epsilon_{2n}), \quad 0 < \sigma_2 \leq 1, \epsilon_{2n} \to 0, \]

and let \( \mu_n \) be the eigenvalues of \( L_1 := L(q_0, q_1, h_0, h_1, \mathcal{H}_0, \mathcal{H}_1, \alpha, \beta, \gamma), \mathcal{H}_k \neq \mathcal{H}_k, \mathcal{H}_k \in \mathbb{R} \) for \( k = 0, 1 \).

**Theorem 3.1** Consider two sequences \( l(n) \) and \( r(n) \) such that \( \sigma_1 > \frac{2b}{\pi} - 1 \) and \( \sigma_2 > 2 - \frac{2b}{\pi} \) as \( b \in \left( \frac{\pi}{2}, \pi \right) \). If, for any \( n \),

\[ \lambda_n = \tilde{\lambda}_n, \quad \mu_{l(n)} = \tilde{\mu}_{l(n)}, \]

\[ \langle \tilde{y}_{l(n)}, \tilde{y}_{r(n)} \rangle_{x-b} = 0, \]

where \( \langle y, z \rangle := y'z' - yz \), then \( q_k(x) = \tilde{q}_k(x) \) a.e. on \( [0, \pi] \) and \( h_k = \tilde{h}_k, H_k = \tilde{H}_k, k = 0, 1 \).

It is needed to express the following lemma to prove Theorem 3.1.

**Lemma 3.2** Consider a sequence of natural numbers

\[ m(n) = \frac{n}{\sigma} (1 + \epsilon_n), \quad 0 < \sigma \leq 1, \epsilon_n \to 0. \]

(1) Let \( \sigma > \frac{2b}{\pi} \) for \( b \in \left( 0, \frac{\pi}{2} \right) \). If, for any \( n \),

\[ \lambda_{m(n)} = \tilde{\lambda}_{m(n)}, \quad \langle \tilde{y}_{m(n)}, \tilde{y}_{m(n)} \rangle_{x-b} = 0, \]

then \( q_k(x) = \tilde{q}_k(x) \) a.e. on \( [0, b] \) and \( h_k = \tilde{h}_k, k = 0, 1 \).

(2) Let \( \sigma > 2 - \frac{2b}{\pi} \) for \( b \in \left( \frac{\pi}{2}, \pi \right) \). If, for any \( n \),

\[ \lambda_{m(n)} = \tilde{\lambda}_{m(n)}, \quad \langle \tilde{y}_{m(n)}, \tilde{y}_{m(n)} \rangle_{x-b} = 0, \]

then \( q_k(x) = \tilde{q}_k(x) \) a.e. on \( [b, \pi] \) and \( H_k = \tilde{H}_k, k = 0, 1 \).

**Proof** Let \( y(x, \rho) \) be the solution to equation (1.1) with the initial conditions \( y(0, \rho) = 1 \) and \( y'(0, \rho) = h_1 \rho + h_0 \). Also, let \( \tilde{y}(x, \rho) \) be the solution of the corresponding equation with tilde and with the initial conditions \( \tilde{y}(0, \rho) = 1 \) and \( \tilde{y}'(0, \rho) = \tilde{h}_1 \rho + \tilde{h}_0 \). Multiplying (1.1) by
\( y(x, \rho) \) and the corresponding equation by \( y(x, \rho) \), using the difference of these results, and integrating on \([0, b]\), we get

\[
G_b(\rho) := \int_0^b \left( 2\rho Q_1(x) + Q_0(x) \right) y(x) \overline{y}(x) \, dx + (h_1 - \overline{h}_1) \rho + h_0 - \overline{h}_0
\]

\[
y'(b)\overline{y}(b) - y(b)\overline{y}'(b),
\]

(3.1)

where \( Q_k(x) = q_k(x) - \overline{q}_k(x) \), \( k = 0, 1 \). According to the assumptions of the theorem, we have

\[
G_b(\rho_m(n)) = 0.
\]

In the following, we must show that \( G_b(\rho) = 0 \) for all \( \rho \neq \rho_n \).

We hold the following integral representation for two bounded functions \( H_c(x, t) \) and \( H_s(x, t) \):

\[
y(x, \rho) = \cos(\rho x - Q(x)) + h_1 \sin(\rho x - Q(x))
\]

\[
+ \int_0^x H_c(x, t) \cos \rho t \, dt + \int_0^x H_s(x, t) \sin \rho t \, dt, \quad x < \frac{\pi}{2}.
\]

(3.2)

[34]. Thus, consider \( Q_\pm(x) = Q(x) \pm \overline{Q}(x) \),

\[
y(x, \rho)\overline{y}(x, \rho) = \frac{1 + h_1\overline{h}_1}{2} \cos Q_-(x) + \frac{h_1 - \overline{h}_1}{2} \sin Q_-(x)
\]

\[
+ \frac{1 - h_1\overline{h}_1}{2} \cos 2(\rho x - Q_+(x)) - \frac{h_1 + \overline{h}_1}{2} \sin 2(\rho x - Q_+(x))
\]

\[
+ \int_0^x H'_c(x, t) \cos (2\rho t - Q_+(t)) \, dt
\]

\[
+ \int_0^x H'_s(x, t) \sin (2\rho t - Q_+(t)) \, dt, \quad x < \frac{\pi}{2},
\]

(3.3)

where \( H'_c(x, t) \) and \( H'_s(x, t) \) are bounded functions. Now, by taking (3.3), one gets

\[
|y(x, \rho)\overline{y}(x, \rho)| \leq M \exp(2|\rho||x|),
\]

(3.4)

and therefore this result together with (3.1) implies that

\[
|G_b(\rho)| \leq (M_1 + M_2|\rho|) \exp(2b|\sin \theta|).
\]

(3.5)

Using the above result and considering the indicator

\[
h(\theta) := \limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{\ln |G_b(r \exp(i\theta))|}{r},
\]

(3.6)

we obtain

\[
h(\theta) \leq 2b|\sin \theta|,
\]
and so

\[ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} h(\theta) \, d\theta \leq \frac{b}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |\sin \theta| \, d\theta = \frac{4b}{\pi}. \]  

(3.7)

Taking the hypothesis of the lemma and (2.5), one gets, for sufficiently large \( r \),

\[ n(r) \geq 2 \sum_{\frac{\pi}{2} \left( \frac{\pi}{2} + O(\pi^{-2}) \right) < r} 1 = 2\sigma \left[ 1 + \epsilon(r) \right], \]

in which \( n(r) \) is the number of roots of \( G_b(\rho) \) in the disk \( |\rho| \leq r \). Thus, for \( \sigma > \frac{2\pi}{\omega} \), we obtain that

\[ \lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{n(r)}{r} \geq 2\sigma \geq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} h(\theta) \, d\theta. \]  

(3.8)

Lemma 2.1 together with (3.8) yields that \( G_b(\rho) = 0 \) on the whole complex plane.

Now, substituting (3.3) into (3.1), we can write that

\[ (h_1 - \tilde{h}_1)\rho + h_0 - \tilde{h}_0 + \int_0^b (2\rho Q_1(x) + Q_0(x)) \left[ \frac{1 + h_1 \tilde{h}_1}{2} \cos Q_+(x) + \frac{h_1 - \tilde{h}_1}{2} \sin Q_+(x) \right. \]

\[ + \left. \frac{1 - h_1 \tilde{h}_1}{2} \cos 2(\rho x - Q_+(x)) - \frac{h_1 + \tilde{h}_1}{2} \sin 2(\rho x - Q_+(x)) \right] dx \]

\[ + \int_0^b (2\rho Q_1(x) + Q_0(x)) \int_0^x H'_i(x,t) \cos(2\rho t - Q_+(t)) \, dt \]

\[ + \int_0^b H'_i(x,t) \sin(2\rho t - Q_+(t)) \, dt \right] dx = 0. \]

This can be rewritten as

\[ (h_1 - \tilde{h}_1)\rho + 2\rho \int_0^b Q_1(x) \left[ \frac{1 + h_1 \tilde{h}_1}{2} \cos Q_+(x) + \frac{h_1 - \tilde{h}_1}{2} \sin Q_+(x) \right] dx \]

\[ + h_0 - \tilde{h}_0 + \int_0^b Q_0(x) \left[ \frac{1 + h_1 \tilde{h}_1}{2} \cos Q_+(x) + \frac{h_1 - \tilde{h}_1}{2} \sin Q_+(x) \right] dx \]

\[ + 2\rho \int_0^b \cos(2\rho t - Q_+(t)) \left[ \frac{1 - h_1 \tilde{h}_1}{2} Q_1(t) + \int_t^b H'_i(x,t) Q_1(x) \, dx \right] dt \]

\[ + 2\rho \int_0^b \sin(2\rho t - Q_+(t)) \left[ -\frac{h_1 + \tilde{h}_1}{2} Q_1(t) + \int_t^b H'_i(x,t) Q_1(x) \, dx \right] dt \]

\[ + \int_0^b \cos(2\rho t - Q_+(t)) \left[ \frac{1 - h_1 \tilde{h}_1}{2} Q_0(t) + \int_t^b H'_i(x,t) Q_0(x) \, dx \right] dt \]

\[ + \int_0^b \sin(2\rho t - Q_+(t)) \left[ -\frac{h_1 + \tilde{h}_1}{2} Q_0(t) + \int_t^b H'_i(x,t) Q_0(x) \, dx \right] dt = 0. \]
On the base of Riemann–Lebesgue lemma, we have, for sufficiently large $\rho$,

\[
\begin{align*}
\int_0^b \cos(2\rho t - Q_1(t))\frac{h_1}{2} Q_1(t) + \int_0^b H'_1(x, t)Q_1(x) \, dx \, dt &= 0, \\
\int_0^b \sin(2\rho t - Q_1(t))\frac{h_1 h_0}{2} Q_1(t) + \int_0^b H'_1(x, t)Q_1(x) \, dx \, dt &= 0,
\end{align*}
\]

(3.9)

and

\[
\begin{align*}
h_1 - \tilde{h}_1 + 2 \int_0^b Q_1(x)\frac{h_1}{2} \cos Q_1(x) + \frac{h_1}{2} \sin Q_1(x) \, dx &= 0, \\
h_0 - \tilde{h}_0 + \int_0^b Q_0(x)\frac{h_1}{2} \cos Q_0(x) + \frac{h_1}{2} \sin Q_0(x) \, dx &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]

(3.10)

Equations (3.9) and the completeness of “cos” and “sin” [5] result in that, for $k = 0, 1$,

\[
Q_k(t) + \int_t^b H''_k(x, t)Q_k(x) \, dx = 0 = Q_k(t) + \int_t^b H''_k(x, t)Q_k(x) \, dx,
\]

(3.11)

where the functions $H''_k(x, t)$ and $H''_k(x, t)$ are bounded. These homogeneous Volterra integral equations have only zero solution $Q_k(x) = 0, k = 0, 1$ for $x \in (0, b)$. So, $Q_k(x) = \tilde{Q}_k(x), k = 0, 1$ a.e. on $[0, b]$. Moreover, from (3.10), one can easily get that $h_k = \tilde{h}_k, k = 0, 1$.

By use of the change of variable $x \rightarrow \pi - x$, the segment $(b, \pi)$ is converted to the segment $(0, \pi - b)$. So, by repeating the previous discussions for the supplementary problem $\hat{L} := L(Q_1, q_0, H_1, H_0, h_1, h_0, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, we get

\[
-y'' + (2\rho q_1(x) + q_0(x))y = \lambda y, \quad x \in (0, \pi),
\]

(3.12)

\[
q_k(x) = \tilde{q}_k(\pi - x), \quad k = 0, 1,
\]

(3.13)

\[
U(y) := y'(0) + (H_1 \rho + H_0)y(0) = 0,
\]

(3.14)

\[
V(y) := y'(\pi) - (h_1 \rho + h_0)y(\pi) = 0,
\]

(3.15)

the subject is proved on $(b, \pi)$. Since the conditions of Lemma 3.2 are satisfied to $\hat{L}$, we can similarly give that $Q_k(x) = Q_k(\pi - x) = 0, k = 0, 1$ on $(0, \pi - b)$. So $Q_k(x) = \tilde{Q}_k(x), k = 0, 1$ a.e. on $[b, \pi]$ and $H_k = \tilde{H}_k, k = 0, 1$. The proof is completed. \hfill \square

Proof of Theorem 3.1 According to Lemma 3.2 and taking the assumptions $(y_{r,n}, \hat{y}_{n,l})_{x=b} = 0$ and $\lambda_n = \tilde{\lambda}_n$, we imply that $q_k(x) = \tilde{q}_k(x)$ on $x \in [b, \pi]$ and $H_k = \tilde{H}_k, k = 0, 1$. Therefore it is enough to show that $\hat{q}_k(x) = \tilde{q}_k(x)$ for $x \in [0, b]$ and $h_k = \tilde{h}_k, k = 0, 1$.

When $b \in [\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi]$, we have (3.1) as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
G_b(\rho) := \int_0^b (2\rho Q_1(x) + Q_0(x))y(x)\hat{y}(x) \, dx + (h_1 - \tilde{h}_1)\rho + h_0 - \tilde{h}_0
\end{align*}
\]
\[ y_n(x) = \alpha_n y_n(x), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, x \in [b, \pi] \tag{3.17} \]

for constants \( \alpha_n \). Together with (3.16) and equality \( \langle y, z \rangle_{x=\pi} = \langle y, z \rangle_{x=0} \), this yields \( \mathbb{G}_b(\lambda_n) = 0 \) and analogously \( \mathbb{G}_b(\mu_{\beta(0)}) = 0 \).

The total of roots \( \lambda_{\alpha} \), i.e., \( n_{\lambda_{\alpha}}(r) = 1 + r[1 + \epsilon(r)] \), and \( \mu_{\beta(0)} \), i.e., \( n_{\mu_{\beta(0)}}(r) = 1 + r\sigma_1[1 + \epsilon(r)] \), inside the disc of radius \( r \) is \( n(r) = 2 + r[1 + \sigma_1 + \epsilon(r)] \). So we get, for \( \sigma_1 > \frac{2b}{\pi} - 1 \),

\[
\lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{n(r)}{r} \geq (1 + \sigma_1) > \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} h(\theta) \, d\theta. \tag{3.18}
\]

Lemma 2.1 together with (3.18) yields that \( \mathbb{G}_b(\lambda) = 0 \).

Now, similar to the proof of Lemma 3.2, we can show that \( q_k(x) = \tilde{q}_k(x) \) a.e. on \([0, b]\) and \( h_k = \tilde{h}_k, k = 0, 1 \). The proof is completed. \( \square \)

Another result of this paper is achieved by the Gesztesy–Simon method, in which a subset of eigenvalues and partial information on the potentials are used to establish the uniqueness theorem for \( L \).

**Theorem 3.3** Consider \( \sigma(L) \) as the spectrum of \( L \). If the coefficient \( H_k \) and the potentials \( q_k(x), k = 0, 1 \) are provided on \([\pi/2(1-\alpha), \pi]\) for some \( \alpha \in (0, 1) \), then a subset \( S \subseteq \sigma(L) \) satisfying the following inequality for sufficiently small \( \epsilon > 0 \) and whole large enough \( \lambda_0 \in \mathbb{R} \)

\[
\sharp[\{ \lambda \in S; \lambda \leq \lambda_0 \}] \geq (1 - \alpha)\sharp[\{ \lambda \in \sigma(L); \lambda \leq \lambda_0 \}] + \frac{\alpha}{2} + \epsilon
\]

is sufficient to determine the coefficient \( h_k \) and the potentials \( q_k(x), k = 0, 1 \) on \([0, \pi]\).

**Proof** Multiplying (1.1) by \( \tilde{y}(x, \rho) \) and the corresponding equation by \( y(x, \rho) \), using the difference of these results and integrating on \([0, \pi]\), we infer that

\[
\int_{0}^{\pi} \left( 2\rho Q_1(x) + Q_0(x) \right) y(x)\tilde{y}(x) \, dx
\]

\[
= \langle y'(x)\tilde{y}(x) - y(x)\tilde{y}'(x) \rangle_{x=\pi}^0 + \langle y'(x)\tilde{y}(x) - y(x)\tilde{y}'(x) \rangle_{x=0}^{\pi/2}.
\tag{3.19}
\]

By regards to \( \tilde{q}_k(x) = \tilde{q}_k(x), k = 0, 1 \), for \( x \in [\pi/2(1-\alpha), \pi] \), we can give

\[
G_\alpha(\rho) := \int_{0}^{\pi/(2(1-\alpha))} \left( 2\rho Q_1(x) + Q_0(x) \right) y(x)\tilde{y}(x) \, dx + (h_1 - \tilde{h}_1)\rho + h_0 - \tilde{h}_0
\]

\[
= y'(x)\tilde{y}(x) - y(x)\tilde{y}'(x).
\tag{3.20}
\]

On the base of the assumption of the theorem, we have \( G_\alpha(\rho_n) = 0 \) for \( \rho_n \in S \). Now we must show that \( G_\alpha(\rho) = 0 \) for all \( \rho \).
By virtue of (3.4) and (3.20), we infer that
\[
G_\alpha(\rho) \leq (M'_1 + M'_2 |\rho|) \exp(|\Im \rho|(1 - \alpha)\pi)
\]
for constants \(M'_1, M'_2 > 0\). Hence, for \(\lambda = ix\), one obtains that
\[
G_\alpha(ix) \leq (M'_1 + M'_2 |\sqrt{x}|) \exp(\Im \sqrt{1}|\sqrt{x}|(1 - \alpha)\pi). \quad (3.21)
\]
We denote an entire function
\[
\phi(\rho) = \frac{G_\alpha(\rho)}{\Delta_\alpha(\rho)}, \quad (3.22)
\]
where \(\Delta_\alpha(\rho) = \prod_{\lambda \in \sigma(L)} (1 - \frac{\lambda}{\rho})\). Inasmuch as the characteristic function \(\Delta(\rho)\) is an entire function of order \(\frac{1}{2}\), there exists a positive constant \(C\) such that
\[
N_{\Delta_\alpha}(\rho_0) \leq N_{\Delta}(\rho_0) \leq C \sqrt{\rho},
\]
in which \(N_{\Delta_\alpha}(\rho_0) := |\{\lambda \in S; \lambda \leq \rho_0\}|\) and \(N_{\Delta}(\rho_0) := \arg\{\lambda \in \sigma(L); \lambda \leq \rho_0\}\). Using the hypothesis of the theorem, we get
\[
N_{\Delta_\alpha}(\rho_0) \geq (1 - \alpha)N_{\Delta}(\rho_0) + \frac{\alpha}{2} + \epsilon. \quad (3.23)
\]
Some standard computations in Refs. [7, 28] conclude that
\[
\ln |\Delta_\alpha(ix)| = (1 - \alpha) \ln |\Delta(ix)| + \left(\frac{\alpha}{4} + \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right) \ln(1 + x^2).
\]
Consequently,
\[
|\Delta_\alpha(ix)| = |\Delta(ix)|^{1-\alpha} \cdot (1 + x^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{4} + \frac{\epsilon}{2}}. \quad (3.24)
\]
Since \(\sigma(L)\) is the spectrum of \(L\), we have
\[
|\Delta(ix)| \geq C \sqrt{|x|} \exp(\Im \sqrt{|x|}(1 - \alpha)\pi) \quad (3.25)
\]
for sufficiently large \(x\). Now, together with (3.24), this gives
\[
|\Delta_\alpha(ix)| \geq C \sqrt{|x|}^{1-\omega} \exp(\Im \sqrt{|x|}(1 - \alpha)\pi) \cdot (1 + x^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{4} + \frac{\epsilon}{2}}. \quad (3.26)
\]
This result together with (3.21) and (3.22) implies that
\[
\phi(ix) = O\left(\frac{1}{|x|^\omega}\right). \quad (3.27)
\]
From Lemma 2.2, we can get \(\phi(\rho) = 0\) for all \(\rho\), and therefore \(G_\alpha(\rho) = 0\) for all \(\rho\).
Now, by repeating the arguments in the same manner with Theorem 3.1, we get \(q_k(x) = \tilde{q}_k(x)\) a.e. on \([0, \pi/2(1 - \alpha)]\) and \(h_k = \tilde{h}_k, k = 0, 1\). The proof is completed. \(\Box\)
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