Abstract: Volatile organic compounds (VOCs) of Petrosia ficiformis were investigated for the first time. The VOCs from fresh and air-dried sponge were obtained by hydrodistillation and headspace-solid phase microextraction and they were analysed by coupled gas chromatography-mass spectrometry. Aliphatic compounds with octan-3-one, oct-1-en-3-ol, and heptadecane were noted. The great difference among the fresh and dried sponge VOCs obtained by hydrodistillation was noticed for fatty acids and derivatives abundance and 1H-indole increased (up to 6.00 %) in the dried sponge. Both methods enabled obtaining more complete VOCs profile and drying significantly changed their composition.
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INTRODUCTION

Petrosia is one of 4 genera of Petrosiidae family belonging to the order Haplosclerida, which is known among sponges as the most prolific source of secondary metabolites. Petrosia genus includes 122 species belonging to two subgenera (Petrosia and Strongylophora) according to the Word Register of Marine Species (WoRMS). A recent review paper compared secondary metabolites isolated from the sponges of the genus Petrosia. It was reported that associated microorganisms can account for up to 60 % of the fresh weight of marine sponges and it is believed that these sponge-associated microorganisms (such as bacteria, fungi, cyanobacteria, and unicellular algae) may be involved in the biosynthesis of natural products.

Petrosia ficiformis (Poiret, 1789; Haplosclerida, Petrosiidae) is a marine sponge found across the Mediterranean and in the Eastern Atlantic. The mixtures of high-molecular weight polyacetylenes with 46-55 carbons were isolated from P. ficiformis. Five additional polyacetylenes up to 52 carbons, isolated from P. ficiformis from the Mediterranean Sea, were also reported. Polyacetylenes with two terminal 1-yn-3-ol-4-ene moieties and 46 total carbons isolated from Mediterranean P. ficiformis were identified and evaluated for their biological activity. It was found that they inhibit sea urchin egg development and act as a potent toxin against Artemia salina. Petroformynes, isopetroformynes, and various oxidized or isomerized analogues were reported in P. ficiformis and most of these analogues exhibited lethality against brine shrimp. Sterols have rarely been isolated from Petrosia sponges in temperate regions, but P. ficiformis contains sterol compounds with cyclopropane in the branch at C-17. Petrosterol (26,27-cycloocta)sterol, a steroid with a cyclopropane ring at C-25 and C-26, was isolated from P. ficiformis collected in the Bay of Naples and later ficisterol (23-ethyl-24-methyl-27-norcholesta-5,25-dien-3β-ol) was found as the minor component. The relative abundance of phospholipids classes varies with sponge species, but amino phospholipids, especially phosphatidyethanolamine and phosphatidylcholine were found in P. ficiformis. Its phospholipids also included numerous branched fatty acids (e.g. (Z,Z)-25-methylhexa-
cosa-5,9-dienoic acid and (Z,Z)-24-methylhexacosa-5,9-dienoic acid). There were no data about volatile organic compounds (VOCs) of this marine sponge that are the focus of this investigation. The present research has the following key goals: a) isolate VOCs of fresh *P. ficiformis* (FrPF) and air-dried *P. ficiformis* (DrPF) retrieved by both headspace solid-phase microextraction (HS-SPME) and hydrodistillation (HD); b) identify the isolated VOCs by gas chromatography–mass spectrometry analysis (GC-MS); b) compare VOCs of FrPF and DrPF.

**EXPERIMENTAL**

**Marine Sponge Sample**

*P. ficiformis* sample was collected in the Adriatic Sea near Rtina peninsula (Island of Pag), with the exact geographical location being: 44° 19’ 10’’ N; 15° 15’ 37’’ E. The sampling depth was 6 m with a sea temperature of 18 °C. Upon collection, the sample was placed in an airtight container filled with seawater and immediately delivered to the laboratory. Until processing, the fresh sample was stored and kept in the laboratory freezer, while the air-dried sample was kept in the dark at room temperature for 10 days. Before the analysis, the used sponge sample was cleaned of stones and other visible foreign matters. Both fresh and air-dried sponge samples were sliced into small pieces and used for headspace solid-phase microextraction (HS-SPME) and hydrodistillation (HD).

**Headspace Solid-Phase Microextraction (HS-SPME)**

HS-SPME was performed with PAL Auto Sampler System (PAL, RSI 85, CTC Analytics AG, Switzerland) using SPME fibres with PDMS/DVB (polydimethylsiloxane/divinylbenzene) and DVB/CAR/PDMS (divinylbenzene/carboxene/polydimethylsiloxane) coatings. Both fibres were obtained from Agilent Technologies (Santa Clara, CA, USA). Prior to the use, the fibres were conditioned according to the manufacturer’s instructions. 1 g of fresh or dry sponge sample was placed into 20 mL glass vials and hermetically sealed with a screw cap containing polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE)/silicone septum. The samples were equilibrated for 15 min at 60 °C and then extracted for 45 min. The injector temperature was set to 250 °C isothermally at 70 °C, then temperature gradient from 70 °C to 200 °C at 3 °C/min and further retention for 15 min.

**Hydrodistillation (HD)**

Modified Cleverapparatus with pentane (Kemika, Zagreb, Croatia) and diethyl ether (Kemika, Zagreb, Croatia) solvent trap (v/v ratio 1:2) was used for distillation. 100 g of fresh sample and 30 g of air-dried sample were used separately. HD was carried out for 2 hours. After HD, the solvent trap layer was carefully separated using a glass pipette, concentrated by the slow flow of nitrogen up to 0.05 mL and later used for GC-MS analysis.

**Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS) Analysis of VOCs**

GC-MS analysis was carried out on Agilent Technologies (Palo Alto, CA, USA) gas chromatograph model 8890A equipped with mass selective detector model 5977E. Separation of the compounds was achieved on an HP-MS column (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) 30 m x 0.25 mm containing a non-polar stationary phase (5 % diphenyl / 95 % dimethylpolysiloxane) and 0.25 μm film thickness. The following operating conditions for the gas chromatograph were used: 250 °C injector temperature; 300 °C detector temperature; column temperature program: 2 min isothermally at 70 °C, then temperature gradient from 70 °C to 200 °C at 3 °C/min and further retention for 15 min.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

**Headspace Composition of VOCs isolated by HS-SPME**

Headspace composition of both FrPF (HS-FrPF) and DrPF (HS-DrPF) were analysed using solid-phase microextraction (HS-SPME). Two fibres of different polarities were used: divinylbenzene/carboxene/polydimethylsiloxane (DVB/CAR/ PDMS, f1) and polydimethylsiloxane/divinylbenzene (PDMS/ DVB, f2) to get more profound headspace profile. In HS-FrPF 88.36 % (f1) and 89.22 % (f2) and in HS-DrPF 95.46 % (f1) and 94.65 % (f2) of total VOCs were identified (Table 1). Aliphatic compounds were dominant in both HS-FrPF (56.24 %, f1; 66.00 %, f2) and HS-DrPF (65.16 %, f1; 37.38 %, f2) (Figure 1) samples analysed with both fibres with octan-3-one (HS-FrPF, f1) and heptadecane (HS-FrPF, f2; HS-DrPF, both fibres) as two most abundant compounds (Figure 2). Octan-3-one, fatty acid derivative known as C₈-oxylinipin,[15] was identified only in HS-FrPF (24.02 %, f1; 15.39 %, f2). This compound was not detected after air-drying probably because of its high volatility. Several more C₈-oxylinipins were identified, such as oct-1-ene-3-ol, oct-4- en-3-ol, octan-2,3-dione, octan-2-one, octanal, (E)-oct-2 en-1-ol and volatile pheromone (3E,5Z)-octa-1,3,5-triene (fucoserratene) (Table 1). Heptadecane was the most abundant hydrocarbon. It is known that hydrocarbons are characteristic compounds for marine invertebrates and algae and form part of their defence system.[16] It can be observed that the air-dried sponge sample analysed with f1 contains the largest share of this compound, 2.6 times higher than the analysed fresh sample on the same fibre.
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Table 1. The volatile organic compounds isolated by headspace solid-phase microextraction (HS-SPME) and analysed by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) from *P. ficiformis* samples: (I-fresh *P. ficiformis* extracted by DVB/CAR/PDMS fibre, II-air-dried *P. ficiformis* extracted by DVB/CAR/PDMS fibre, III-fresh *P. ficiformis* extracted by PDMS/DVB fibre, IV-air-dried *P. ficiformis* extracted by PDMS/DVB fibre).

| No. | Compound                              | RI   | Area (%) ± SD* |
|-----|---------------------------------------|------|----------------|
| 1   | 2-Aminopropane (Isopropylamine)       | 470  | 1.56±0.00      |
| 2   | N,N-Dimethylmethanimine               | 482  | 1.66±0.21      |
| 3   | Propan-2-ol                           | 510  | –              |
| 4   | Methylsulfonylmethane                 | 515  | –              |
| 5   | 3-Methylbutanal (Isovaleraldehyde)    | 652  | 2.03±0.38      |
| 6   | Pentanal                              | 698  | 1.04±0.20      |
| 7   | 3-Methylbutan-1-ol (Isobutyl alcohol) | 734  | –              |
| 8   | N-Methylproline                       | 750  | 0.43±0.06      |
| 9   | Pyridine                              | 753  | 5.08±0.56      |
| 10  | Pentan-1-ol                           | 765  | –              |
| 11  | 2-Methylpropanoic acid (Isobutyric acid) | 785  | 0.24±0.07      |
| 12  | Hexanal                               | 800  | –              |
| 13  | 3-Methylbutanoic acid (Isovaleric acid) | 834  | 0.83±0.03      |
| 14  | (3E,5Z)-Octa-1,3,5-triene (Fucoserraten) | 880  | 1.15±0.25      |
| 15  | 2,5-Diethyfurane                     | 888  | –              |
| 16  | Bromoform                             | 892  | –              |
| 17  | Heptanal                              | 901  | 1.11±0.15      |
| 18  | 2,6-Dimethylpyrazine                  | 922  | –              |
| 19  | 2-Ethylhexan-1-ol                     | 954  | –              |
| 20  | Benzaldehyde                          | 954  | 0.75±0.56      |
| 21  | 3-Methylbutyl propanoate (Isobutyl propionate) | 969  | 0.49±0.00      |
| 22  | (Methyltrisulfanyl)methane (Dimethyl trisulfide) | 972  | –              |
| 23  | Oct-1-ene-3-ol                        | 980  | –              |
| 24  | Oct-4-ene-3-one                       | 981  | 1.12±0.43      |
| 25  | Octan-3-one                           | 984  | 24.02±0.38     |
| 26  | Phenol                                | 984  | 0.77±0.12      |
| 27  | Octan-2,3-diene                       | 987  | –              |
| 28  | Octan-2-one                           | 992  | –              |
| 29  | Octanal                               | 1005 | –              |
| 30  | 1-Methyl-4-(propan-2-yl)benzene (p-Cymene) | 1026 | 0.24±0.05      |
| 31  | 1,3,3-Trimethyl-2-oxacyclohexane (Eucalyptole) | 1031 | –              |
| 32  | Phenylmethanol (Benzyl alcohol)       | 1042 | 1.61±0.15      |
| 33  | 2-Phenylacetothyde (Benzencataldehyde) | 1050 | 1.50±0.12      |
| 34  | (S)-Oct-2-enal                        | 1063 | 0.76±0.22      |
| 35  | 1-Phenylnaphthene (Acetophenone)      | 1072 | –              |
| 36  | Nonan-2-one                           | 1091 | 0.55±0.08      |
| 37  | Nonan-3-one                           | 1091 | –              |
| 38  | 1,3,3-Trimethylbicyclo[2.2.1]heptan-2-one (Fenchone) | 1096 | 0.34±0.00      |
| 39  | 3,7-Dimethylocta-1,6-dien-3-ol (Linalool) | 1102 | –              |
| 40  | Nonanal                               | 1102 | –              |
| 41  | 2,6-Dimethylcyclohexan-1-ol           | 1110 | 0.97±0.21      |
| 42  | 4-Methyl-1-(1-methyl(ethyl) bicyclo[3.1.0]hexan-3-one (β-Thujone) | 1110 | –              |
| 43  | 2-Phenylethanol (Phenylenol alcohol)  | 1118 | 1.82±0.74      |
| 44  | Dodecane                              | 1203 | –              |
| 45  | Dodec-2-ene                           | 1213 | –              |
| 46  | 2,6,6-Trimethylcyclohexene-1-carbaldehyde (β-Cyclocitrail) | 1226 | 0.77±0.18      |
| 47  | (2E)-3,7-Dimethylocta-2,6-dienal (β-Citral) | 1243 | 1.65±0.16      |
| 48  | 1H-Indole                             | 1292 | 0.95±0.10      |
| 49  | Undecan-2-one                         | 1294 | 0.55±0.07      |
| 50  | 4-(2,6-Trimethylcyclohexen-1-yl)butan-2-one (β-Ionone) | 1485 | –              |
| 51  | Pentadecane                           | 1503 | 1.86±0.08      |
| 52  | 2,4-Dimethylbutyl phenol              | 1513 | –              |
| 53  | Hexadecane                            | 1602 | 0.81±0.01      |
| 54  | Heptadecane                           | 1680 | –              |
| 55  | Heptadecane                           | 1703 | 22.51±0.36     |

* SD is the standard deviation of sample triplicate; RI – retention index.
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A higher percentage of heptadecane in the dry sample can be attributed to the presence of cyanobacteria living symbiotically with sponges. It has been discovered that the biosynthetic pathway from cyanobacteria consists of the acyl-acyl carrier protein reductase and the aldehyde decarbonylase, which together convert the intermediates of fatty acid metabolism into alkanes and alkenes. Several other saturated hydrocarbons such as hexadecane and pentadecane were identified in smaller proportions in almost all samples (Table 1).

The second most dominant group of compounds were benzene derivatives (Figure 1). In HS-FrPF higher presence of benzaldehyde was found compared to HS-DrPF (6.9 times, f1; 2.0 times, f2) (Figure 2). As already explained in the previously published research[18-20] the loss of benzaldehyde during air drying could be due to its high volatility. On the other hand, benzyl alcohol percentage increased in the dry samples (9.2 (f1) – 18.9 (f2) times). Phenylpropane derivatives are derived from phenylalanine with the side-chain shortened by two carbon atoms which can take place β-oxidatively or non-oxidatively.[21]

The compounds containing nitrogen showed similar abundance when analysed with f1 (8.30 %, HS-FrPF; 7.94 %, HS-DrPF), but when analysed with f2 they were 15.3 times higher in HS-DrPF (1.50 %, HS-FrPF; 22.90 %, HS-DrPF) (Figure 1). The volatile amine, N,N-dimethylmethanamine (trimethylamine) has been frequently used as a freshness parameter of marine organisms. Trimethylamine is formed from trimethylamine oxide (TMAO) as the result of the action of bacteria that cause spoilage,[22] which may explain the higher percentage of this amine in dry samples (2.1 (f1) – 19.1 (f2) times). The aromatic heterocyclic compound pyridine was found in all samples and its percentage decreased 1.0 (f2) – 1.7 (f2) times after air-drying. Pyridine derivatives are often part of biomolecules in marine sponges such as alkaloids.[23]

Among terpenes, p-cymene, eucalyptol, fenchone, linalool, β-thujone and β-citral were detected in a low percentage. Other compounds such as norisoprenoids, organohalogen compounds and fatty acid derivatives were detected with minor abundance in the total headspace composition.

### Composition of the VOCs Obtained by Hydrodistillation

Analysis of the VOCs in the hydrodistillate resulted in 88.53 % in FrPF (HD-FrPF) and 77.02 % in DrPF (HD-DrPF) of the total identified compounds (Table 2).

In both samples, the group of aliphatic compounds predominated with 44.99 % in HD-FrPF and 36.67 % in HD-DrPF (Figure 3). Oct-1-en-3-ol, oxylipin known as global metabolome that induces the defence of marine invertebrates and algae,[15] had the greatest abundance in HD-DrPF. It decreased 30.1 times after drying probably because of its high volatility (Figure 4). Nine more oxylipins were detected and identified (Table 2). Heptadecane, as the second most abundant aliphatic compound in HD-FrPF, was present with lower abundance than in the headspace of the samples extracted by HS-SPME (Figure 4).

The second most represented group of identified compounds was benzene derivatives (17.27 %, HD-FrPF; 12.09 %, HD-DrPF) (Figure 3) with diisobutyl phthalate as the dominant compound (8.44 %, HD-FrPF; 1.80 %, HD-DrPF) (Figure 4). Di-n- phthalates were found in the marine sponges Cinachyrella cavernosa[24] and Haliclona sp.,[25] and their presence in sponges potentially originates from cyanobacteria on sponges. Two more benzene derivatives in higher abundance were identified: 1,4-xylene (2.87 %, HD-FrPF; 2.37 %, HD-DrPF) and benzaldehyde (2.43 %, HD-FrPF; 2.36 %, HD-DrPF).

In HD-FrPF 15.06 % of the total identified compounds belonged to the group of fatty acids and derivatives (Figure 3). In HD-DrPF, the portion of this group decreased 41.1 times. Two hexadecanoic acid esters, methyl (Z)-hexadec-7-enoate (3.77 %) and ethyl (E)-hexadec-9-enoate (3.66 %), were identified in HD-FrPF with higher abundance, but were not detected in HD-DrPF (Figure 4). The reason for fatty acid esters decrement after the drying may be oxidation reactions and lipid decomposition that can occurred during the drying.

Among the compounds containing sulphur, dimethyl trisulphide was quantitatively important, and its proportion increased after the drying (5.31 %, HD-FrPF; 7.50 %, HD-DrPF) (Figure 3). It was also the most abundant compound in HD-DrPF. Dimethyl trisulphide, along with other compounds, could be responsible for the strong, unpleasant smell that this sponge produced. The role of sulphur compounds in marine sponges is probably the defence against predators.[26,27]

The second most abundant compound in HD-DrPF was 1H-indole with 6.00 % (Figure 4). The indoles alkaloids are broadly present in the metabolism of marine organisms, especially sponges.[28] Indole alkaloids containing a benzopyrrole skeleton exhibit antibacterial, antimicrobial, cytotoxic and antineoplastic properties.[29,30]

Carotenoid degradation products, C1_{12}-norisoprenoids, were more abundant in the hydrodistillate of the dry sample. β-Ionone increased 3.6 times after the drying, and β-cyclohomocitral, was detected only in the dry sample. Chlorophyll derivatives phytane and hexahydrofarnesyl acetone (phytane) were found. After the drying, their content increased, probably due to the degradation of chlorophyll.[31]
Figure 1. The volatile organic compounds of *P. ficiformis* extracted by headspace solid-phase microextraction (HS-SPME), analysed by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) and sorted by structural groups. Extraction by DVB/CAR/PDMS fibre (f1): HS-FrPF (f1) – fresh *P. ficiformis*; HS-DrPF (f1) – air-dried *P. ficiformis*. Extraction by PDMS/DVB fibre (f2): HS-FrPF (f2) – fresh *P. ficiformis*; HS-DrPF (f2) – air-dried *P. ficiformis*.

Figure 2. The most abundant compounds from *P. ficiformis* samples extracted by HS-SPME and analysed by GC-MS. The volatile organic compounds of *P. ficiformis* extracted by headspace solid-phase microextraction (HS-SPME), analysed by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) and sorted by structural groups. Extraction by DVB/CAR/PDMS fibre (f1): HS-FrPF (f1) – fresh *P. ficiformis*; HS-DrPF (f1) – air-dried *P. ficiformis*. Extraction by PDMS/DVB fibre (f2): HS-FrPF (f2) – fresh *P. ficiformis*; HS-DrPF (f2) – air-dried *P. ficiformis*.
### Table 2. The VOCs from *P. ficiformis* isolated by HD and analysed by GC-MS; (VI - HD of fresh *P. ficiformis*, VII - HD of air-dried *P. ficiformis*). SD is standard deviation of sample triplicate; RI – retention index.

| No. | Compound | RI  | Area (%) ± SD* |
|-----|----------|-----|----------------|
|     |          |     | V              | VI             |
| 1   | 2-Methyl-1H-pyrole | 750  | 0.32±0.07 | 0.16±0.10 |
| 2   | Furan-2-carbaldehyde (Furfural) | 830  | 0.16±0.04 | 0.16±0.09 |
| 3   | (Z)-Hex-2-enal | 857  | 0.39±0.01 | 0.41±0.10 |
| 4   | 4-Methylcyclohexane | 863  | – | 0.03±0.03 |
| 5   | Ethylbenzene | 868  | 1.00±0.01 | 0.67±0.03 |
| 6   | 1,4-Xylene (p-Xylene) | 878  | 2.87±0.15 | 2.37±0.08 |
| 7   | (3E,5Z)-Octa-1,3,5-triene (Fucoserratene) | 879  | 0.19±0.02 | – |
| 8   | Cycloocta-1,3,5,7-tetraene | 880  | – | 0.08±0.03 |
| 9   | Heptan-2-one | 889  | 0.71±0.04 | 0.28±0.07 |
| 10  | Styrene | 890  | 0.10±0.00 | – |
| 11  | 1,2-Xylene (o-Xylene) | 894  | – | 0.61±0.03 |
| 12  | Nonane | 900  | – | 0.58±0.01 |
| 13  | (Z)-Hept-4-enal | 901  | 2.48±0.16 | – |
| 14  | Heptanal | 902  | 0.61±0.01 | 0.22±0.07 |
| 15  | 3-(Methylsulfonyl)propanal (Methional) | 906  | 0.19±0.01 | 0.22±0.07 |
| 16  | Benzaldehyde | 961  | 2.43±0.16 | 2.38±0.68 |
| 17  | (Methyltrisulfanyl)methane (Dimethyl trisulfide) | 972  | 5.33±0.10 | 7.50±3.34 |
| 18  | Heptan-1-ol | 975  | 0.30±0.01 | – |
| 19  | Oct-1-en-3-ol | 982  | 8.65±0.58 | 0.29±0.04 |
| 20  | Octan-2,5-dione | 983  | – | 0.28±0.09 |
| 21  | Phenol | 984  | – | 0.22±0.01 |
| 22  | Octan-2,3-dione | 987  | 0.18±0.05 | – |
| 23  | Benzonitrile | 986  | – | 0.20±0.01 |
| 24  | 2,4,6-Trime-thylpyridine | 987  | – | 0.45±0.01 |
| 25  | Octan-3-one | 988  | 1.60±0.03 | – |
| 26  | Octan-2-one | 992  | 0.38±0.03 | – |
| 27  | Octanal | 1005 | 0.18±0.01 | 0.12±0.01 |
| 28  | 1-Methylypyrole-2-carbaldehyde | 1010 | 0.25±0.00 | 0.17±0.03 |
| 29  | (E,E)-Hepta-2,4-dienal | 1012 | 0.07±0.00 | – |
| 30  | Bis(1-Methylethyl) disulphide (Disopropyl disulphide) | 1016 | – | 0.05±0.05 |
| 31  | 2-Ethylhexan-1-ol | 1029 | 0.13±0.00 | 0.12±0.02 |
| 32  | 1,3,3-Trimethyl-2-oxacycloc(2.2.2)octane (Eucalyptole) | 1035 | 0.26±0.08 | – |
| 33  | Phenylmethanol (Benzyl alcohol) | 1040 | 0.59±0.20 | 0.61±0.07 |
| 34  | 2-Phenylethylcyclohexylaldehyde (Benzenzacetaldehyde) | 1050 | 1.17±0.30 | 1.75±0.66 |
| 35  | 2-Methylpyridine | 1061 | 0.15±0.02 | – |
| 36  | (E)-Oct-2-enal | 1063 | 0.66±0.06 | 0.22±0.03 |
| 37  | 1-Phenylenethane (Acetophenone) | 1066 | – | 0.40±0.10 |
| 38  | (Z)-Oct-2-en-1-ol | 1071 | 2.12±0.07 | – |
| 39  | Octan-1-ol | 1074 | – | 0.03±0.01 |
| 40  | Dicyclopentylpropane | 1075 | 2.65±0.18 | 0.11±0.00 |
| 41  | (E,E)-Octa-3,5-dien-2-one | 1083 | 0.33±0.05 | – |
| 42  | Nonan-2-one | 1091 | 0.10±0.02 | 0.20±0.04 |
| 43  | Nonanal | 1102 | 0.15±0.01 | 0.32±0.07 |
| 44  | 3,7-Dimethyl-1,6-dien-3-ol (Linalool) | 1104 | 0.40±0.01 | 0.45±0.12 |
| 45  | 2,6-Dimethylcyclohexan-1-ol | 1110 | 0.17±0.03 | 0.24±0.05 |
| 46  | 2-Phenylenol (Phenylethanol) | 1117 | 0.28±0.15 | – |
| 47  | Phenylpropan-2-one (Phenylacetone) | 1124 | – | 0.10±0.01 |
| 48  | 1-(4-Methylcyclohex-3-en-1-yl)ethanone | 1130 | – | 0.34±0.05 |
| 49  | 1,7,7-Trimethylcycloc(CC.2.1)heptan-2-one (Camphor) | 1139 | 0.12±0.04 | 0.04±0.04 |
| 50  | (E,Z)-Non-2,6-dienal | 1156 | 1.02±0.10 | 0.45±0.18 |
| 51  | (Z)-Non-2-en-1-ol | 1162 | 0.44±0.04 | 0.28±0.11 |
| 52  | 1,7,7-Trimethylcycloc(CC.2.1)heptan-2-ol (Borneol) | 1167 | – | 0.03±0.03 |
| 53  | Decan-2-one | 1194 | 0.15±0.00 | 0.28±0.02 |
| 54  | Decanal | 1200 | 0.50±0.02 | 0.33±0.03 |
| 55  | 1-Methoxy-4-(prop-2-en-1-yl)benzene (Estragole) | 1201 | – | 0.17±0.03 |
| 56  | (3Z,5Z)-2,6-Dimethylcyclohexa-3,5,7-trien-2-ol | 1209 | – | 0.20±0.02 |
| 57  | 2-Methyl-1-methyl-4-(1-methyllethyl)benzene (Carvacrol methyl ether) | 1250 | 0.09±0.00 | – |

* SD is the standard deviation of sample triplicate; RI – retention index.
### Table 2. Continued.

| No. | Compound                                                                 | RI      | Area (%) ± SD*  |
|-----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|-----------------|
| 58  | 2-(2,6,6-Trimethylcyclohexen-1-yl)acetalddehyde (8-Cyclohomocitral)       | 1261   | 0.20±0.03       |
| 59  | Dec-2-en-1-ol                                                             | 1273   | 1.32±0.10       |
| 60  | 2,6,11-Trimethyltridecane                                                | 1275   | 0.33±0.00       |
| 61  | 1H-Indole                                                                 | 1292   | 0.52±0.02       |
| 62  | 2-Decylxirane                                                             | 1307   | 0.28±0.01       |
| 63  | Undecanal                                                                 | 1308   | –               |
| 64  | 4,6-Dimethyldecane                                                        | 1325   | 0.39±0.02       |
| 65  | Octyl 2-methylpropanoate (Caprylyl isobutyrate)                          | 1348   | 0.44±0.02       |
| 66  | Dodecan-2-one                                                             | 1349   | 0.28±0.03       |
| 67  | Dodecan-3-one                                                             | 1387   | –               |
| 68  | Phenoxynbenzene (Diphenyl ether)                                          | 1396   | 0.25±0.00       |
| 69  | Dodecanol                                                                 | 1409   | 1.17±0.12       |
| 70  | 3-Methyldecaneonic acid                                                   | 1417   | –               |
| 71  | (E,E)-6,10-Dimethyldeca-5,9-dien-2-one (E,E)-Geranylacetone              | 1452   | 0.19±0.00       |
| 72  | (Z)-Dodec-8-en-1-ol                                                       | 1468   | –               |
| 73  | Dodecan-1-ol                                                              | 1468   | 1.20±0.03       |
| 74  | (E)-Dodec-2-en-1-ol                                                       | 1473   | 0.62±0.00       |
| 75  | 1-Methyl-4-[(6-methylene)-5-en-2-yl)cyclohexa-1,3-diene (y-Curcumene)    | 1475   | 0.19±0.19       |
| 76  | (E)-4-(2,6,6-Trimethylcyclohexen-1-yl)but-3-en-2-one (β-limonene)         | 1485   | 0.94±0.08       |
| 77  | Tridecan-2-one                                                            | 1497   | –               |
| 78  | Pentadecane                                                               | 1503   | 0.48±0.05       |
| 79  | 2-Bromododecane                                                           | 1505   | 0.69±0.02       |
| 80  | Tridecanol                                                                | 1511   | 1.33±0.16       |
| 81  | 2,5-Diter-butylphenol                                                     | 1514   | –               |
| 82  | 1-(4-Hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)prop-2-one (Guaiacyl acetone)                | 1531   | 0.45±0.01       |
| 83  | 3-Methyldecane                                                            | 1552   | –               |
| 84  | (E,E)-3,7,11-Trimethyltridecena-1,6,10-trien-3-ol (Nerolidol)             | 1564   | 0.12±0.02       |
| 85  | Tetradecan-2-one                                                          | 1569   | 0.30±0.15       |
| 86  | Hexadec-1-ene                                                             | 1579   | 1.29±0.17       |
| 87  | Tridecaneritile                                                           | 1592   | –               |
| 88  | Hexadecane                                                                | 1600   | 0.45±0.02       |
| 89  | (Z)-Tetradec-9-en-1-al                                                    | 1603   | 1.58±0.06       |
| 90  | Tetradecanal                                                              | 1614   | 3.17±0.52       |
| 91  | 3-[1-Hydroxy-2-(methylamino)methyl]phenol (Phenylephrine)                 | 1660   | 0.11±0.02       |
| 92  | 1-(4-Isopropylphenyl)-2-methylpropanol (Farnesyl acetate)                 | 1667   | 0.45±0.15       |
| 93  | (Z)-Tetradec-11-en-1-ol                                                   | 1678   | 3.89±0.12       |
| 94  | Tetradecaneritilene                                                       | 1679   | –               |
| 95  | 13-Methyltetradecanal                                                     | 1681   | 0.40±0.11       |
| 96  | (Z)-Heptadec-3-ene                                                        | 1687   | 0.91±0.06       |
| 97  | Heptadecane                                                               | 1703   | 5.85±0.26       |
| 98  | Pentadecane                                                               | 1711   | 0.63±0.10       |
| 99  | Methyl tetradecanoate (Methyl myristate)                                  | 1726   | 0.29±0.01       |
| 100 | 8-Methyleptadecane                                                        | 1758   | 0.73±0.04       |
| 101 | 4-Methyleptadecane                                                        | 1760   | 0.53±0.04       |
| 102 | Methyl 12-methyltetradecanoate                                            | 1786   | 0.68±0.03       |
| 103 | Octadec-1-ene                                                             | 1790   | –               |
| 104 | Pentadecaneritile                                                         | 1792   | –               |
| 105 | 9-Methyln-treadecanoate                                                   | 1793   | –               |
| 106 | Octadecane                                                                | 1804   | 0.14±0.05       |
| 107 | 2,6,10,14-Tetramethylhexadecane (Phytane)                                 | 1809   | –               |
| 108 | 2-Phenoxyethoxybenzene (Diphenyl glycol)                                  | 1811   | –               |
| 109 | Hexadecanal                                                               | 1822   | –               |
| 110 | 3,7,11-Trimethyltrideca-2,6,10-trienyl acetate (Farnesyl acetate)         | 1844   | 0.27±0.07       |
| 111 | 6,10,14-Trimethylpentadecan-2-one (Hexahydrofarnesyl acetone)             | 1846   | 0.14±0.01       |
| 112 | (Z)-Hexadeca-1,9-diene                                                    | 1863   | –               |
| 113 | (Z)-Hexadec-11-enal                                                       | 1871   | 0.15±0.15       |
| 114 | bis(2-Methylpropyl)benzene-1,2-dicarbosxylate (Dioisobutyl phthalate)     | 1872   | 8.44±1.12       |
| 115 | Hexadecan-1-ol                                                            | 1883   | 0.37±0.08       |

* SD is the standard deviation of sample triplicate; RI – retention index.
Table 2. Continued.

| No. | Compound                                                                 | RI  | Area (%) ± SD* | V  | VI  |
|-----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|----------------|----|-----|
| 116 | Nonadec-1-ene                                                           | 1895| 0.41±0.01      | 0.35±0.17 |
| 117 | Methyl (Z)-hexadec-7-enoate                                              | 1899| 3.77±0.16      | –  | –   |
| 118 | (5E,9E)-6,10,14-Trimethylpentadeca-5,9,13-trien-2-one (Farnesyl acetone) | 1919| 0.17±0.03      | 0.31±0.10 |
| 119 | Methyl hexadecanoate (Methyl palmitate)                                  | 1926| 0.63±0.05      | –  | –   |
| 120 | 1-(Pentyl)oxy)tetracane                                                 | 1971| –              | 0.79±0.18 |
| 121 | Methyl 14-methyhexadecanoate                                             | 1975| 1.22±0.10      | –  | –   |
| 122 | Ethyl (Z)-hexadec-9-enoate                                               | 1979| 3.66±0.26      | –  | –   |
| 123 | Icos-1-ene                                                               | 1992| –              | 0.15±0.09 |
| 124 | Icosane                                                                  | 2000| –              | 0.12±0.12 |
| 125 | (Z)-Methyl heptadec-10-enoate                                            | 2015| 0.21±0.01      | –  | –   |
| 126 | (6E,10E)-3,7,11,15-Tetramethylhexadeca-1,6,10,14-tetraen-3-ol            | 2032| 0.21±0.03      | 0.64±0.21 |
| 127 | 3,8-Dimethyl-5-(6-methylhept-5-en-2-yl)(1,3a,4,5,6,8a)-hexahydroazulen-4-ol (Isopachydictyol A) | 2130| 0.73±0.04      | –  | –   |

* SD is the standard deviation of sample triplicate; RI – retention index.

Figure 3. The volatile organic compounds (VOCs) of *P. ficiformis* obtained by hydrodistillation (HD), analysed by gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (GC-MS) and sorted by structural groups. HD-FrPF – hydrodistillate of fresh *P. ficiformis*; HD-DrPF – hydrodistillate of air-dried *P. ficiformis*.

Figure 4. The most abundant compounds of *P. ficiformis* samples obtained by hydrodistillation (HD) and analysed by gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (GC-MS). HD-FrPF – hydrodistillate of fresh *P. ficiformis*; HD-DrPF – hydrodistillate of air-dried *P. ficiformis*. 
CONCLUSION

Since there is no data available on *P. ficiformis* VOCs in the literature and only scarce data regarding polyacetylenes, sterols and phospholipids are present, this paper offers a detailed research on *P. ficiformis* VOCs profile for the first time. Aliphatic compounds were dominant in both FrPH and DrPF samples extracted by HS-SPME and in HD. Heptadecane was the most abundant hydrocarbon in the headspace samples with higher abundance in HD-DrPF and the second most abundant aliphatic compound in HD-FrPH, but with much lower abundance than in the headspace of the samples extracted by HS-SPME. The second most dominant group of compounds were benzene derivatives in both samples. In the headspace of the samples, benzene derivatives with the highest abundance were benzaldehyde and benzyl alcohol and in HD diisobutyl phthalate, 1,4-xylene and benzaldehyde. The great difference between fresh and air-dried samples was noticed in terms of fatty acids and derivatives abundance. Two hexadecanoic acid esters, methyl (Z)-hexadec-7-enoate and ethyl (E)-hexadec-9-enoate, were identified in HD-FrPF in higher abundance but were not detected in HD-DrPF. The compounds containing sulphur, especially dimethyl trisulphide, were mostly detected in HD-DrPF, probably causing an unpleasant smell. The compounds containing nitrogen were present in a higher portion in the samples after air-drying in both types of isolation, particularly *N,N*-dimethylmethanamine in the headspace. The second most abundant compound in HD-DrPF was 1H-indole. Few terpenes, C13-norisoprenoids and chlorophyll derivatives were detected mostly in HD-DrPF. By choosing different methods of isolation of *P. ficiformis* VOCs their more complete profile was obtained for the first time.
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