Role of Fine Needle Aspiration Cytology in Diagnosing Non-Malignant Breast Lesions
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INTRODUCTION

Breast masses is the most common presenting complaint in females and majority of them are diagnosed to have non-neoplastic or benign neoplastic lesions [1]. Benign proliferative breast disease is a complex process that can present as a true neoplasm or sometimes represent hormone induced hyperplasia [2]. In male breast, gynaecomastia is the most common clinical and pathological abnormality encountered [3].

Breast has a remarkable ability to undergo benign hyperplasia and many carcinomas can be cytologically bland, thus causing difficulty in scrutinizing such cases under microscope. Hence, triple diagnostic parameters to be applied for evaluating breast lesions, which includes clinical assessment, mammography and cytology [4].

Fine needle aspiration cytology (FNAC) helps in making binary decision between a benign and malignant breast lesion. FNAC is an easy, cost effective, minimally invasive procedure that provides rapid diagnosis of neoplastic, hyperplastic and inflammatory masses with minimal complications. Some of the causes of diagnostic pitfalls are scant cellularity in cystic lesion, only necrotic debris on cytology that can be present both in inflammatory as well as neoplastic lesions, reactive epithelial atypia in inflammatory conditions, worrying appearance of fibroadenoma and low grade ductal carcinoma mimicking benign hyperplasia. Rarely, skin adnexal tumor, soft tissue tumor of chest wall and secondary carcinoma can mimic primary breast lesion [4]. Hence, histopathological examination should be used in conjunction with other diagnostic modalities for accurate diagnosis which in turn guides clinician/surgeon to use appropriate treatment strategies.

AIMS AND OBJECTIVES

1. To assess distribution pattern of non-malignant breast lesions.
2. To evaluate diagnostic accuracy of FNAC using cytohistological correlation.
MATERIALS AND METHODS
Cross-sectional descriptive study with the use of secondary data was done for 2 years in the department of Pathology, SDM College of Medical Sciences, Dharwad, Karnataka, India.

Inclusion Criteria
- All the non-neoplastic and benign neoplastic breast lumps where FNAC was performed in our department.

Exclusion Criteria
- Cases where the aspirate was acellular or hemorrhagic and malignant breast neoplasms were excluded from the study.

FNAC was performed under sterile condition using disposable 22-23G needle and 10ml syringe. Aspirated material was placed on the glass slide and smear was performed. In cystic lesion, with first prick fluid was aspirated and second prick was performed to get material from underlying solid area if any. Fluid sample after aspiration was centrifuged and then subjected to routine processing. Air dried smears were stained with Leishman stain, whereas alcohol fixed smears were stained with H & E stain and Papanicolaou stain. Ziehl-Neelson stain for Acid fast bacilli was done on air dried smear whenever required. Slides were collected from the departmental archive and were reviewed.

RESULTS
Of the total 339 cases, 11 (3.24%) were males and 328 (96.75%) were females. Age group ranged from 10 to 70 years. Spectrum of breast lesions that were encountered: Non-granulomatous inflammatory lesion (5.89%), Granulomatous inflammatory lesion (3.53%), Fat necrosis (2.06%), Duct ectasia (0.29%), Galactocele (2.94%), Gynaecomastia (3.24%), Duct papilloma (2.65%), Nipple adenoma (0.29%), Fibroadenoma (72.27%), Fibroadenoma with fibrocystic change (5.01%) and Benign Phyllodes tumor (1.76%) (Table-1). Fibroadenoma was the commonest lesion (72.27%).

| Diagnosis                                      | No. of cases |
|------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| 1. Inflammatory - Non Granulomatous             | 20 (5.89%)   |
| - Granulomatous                                 | 12 (3.53%)   |
| - Fat necrosis                                  | 07 (2.06%)   |
| 2. Duct ectasia                                 | 01 (0.29%)   |
| 3. Galactocele                                  | 10 (2.94%)   |
| 4. Gynaecomastia                                | 11 (3.24%)   |
| 5. Duct papilloma                               | 09 (2.65%)   |
| 6. Nipple adenoma                               | 01 (0.29%)   |
| 7. Fibroadenoma                                 | 245 (72.27%) |
| 8. Fibroadenoma with fibrocystic change         | 17 (5.01%)   |
| 9. Benign Phyllodes tumor                       | 06 (1.76%)   |
| TOTAL NO. OF CASES                              | 339          |

98 cases were followed up with biopsy, out of which 95 cases were concordant and 3 were discordant (Table-2).

| Cytological Diagnosis                      | No. of cases | Histopathological diagnosis            |
|--------------------------------------------|--------------|----------------------------------------|
| 1. Inflammatory lesion                      | 11           | Mastitis (11)                          |
| 2. Fat Necrosis                             | 03           | Fat necrosis (02)                      |
|                                             |              | Infiltrating ductal carcinoma (01)     |
| 3. Galactocele                              | 03           | Galactocele (03)                       |
| 4. Duct papilloma                           | 05           | Intraductal papilloma (05)             |
| 5. Fibroadenoma                             | 73           | -Fibroadenoma (71)                     |
|                                             |              | -Infiltrating ductal carcinoma (01)    |
|                                             |              | -Benign Phyllodes tumor (01)           |
| 6. Benign Phyllodes tumor                   | 03           | Benign Phyllodes tumor (03)            |
| TOTAL NO. OF CASES                          | 98           | Concordant – 95                        |
|                                            |              | Discordant - 03                        |

A case of Fibroadenoma on FNAC (Fig-1A) showed cohesive cluster of ductal epithelial cells with nucleus showing overlapping and mild nuclear atypia. Few myoepithelial cell nuclei and bare bipolar nuclei seen. Corresponding histopathology slide showed Infiltrating ductal carcinoma not otherwise specified grade I with extensive ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS) component (Fig-1B). Another case of Fibroadenoma on FNAC (Fig-2A) showed sheets of benign ductal epithelial cells, myoepithelial cell nuclei along with few stromal fragments and many bare bipolar nuclei in the background. On histopathology, it showed features of
Benign Phyllodes tumor (Fig-2B). In one case of Fat necrosis on FNAC (Fig-3A); many foamy histiocytes, multinucleated giant cells and necrotic background was seen. Histopathologically, it showed features of Infiltrating ductal carcinoma not otherwise specified grade I (Fig-3B).

Diagnostic accuracy of FNAC was found to be 96.93%.
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Fig-1A: FNAC- Fibroadenoma (Pap10x, inset 40x)

Fig-1B: Histopathology - Infiltrating Ductal Carcinoma – Not Otherwise Specified Grade I with Extensive DCIS Component; I.(H&E 10x); II.(H&E 40x)

Fig-2A: FNAC- Fibroadenoma - I.(Pap 10x); II.(Pap 40x)

Fig-2B: Histopathology - Benign Phyllodes Tumor; I.(H&E 4x); II.(H & E 10x); III,IV.(H & E 40x)
DISCUSSION

The present study was undertaken to evaluate distribution pattern of non-malignant breast lesions that occurs in both the gender. FNAC plays an important role in pre-operative diagnosis of breast lesions. In the present study, age at presentation ranged from 10 to 70yrs. and such wide age range was also observed by Badge S A et al., [5] and Tiwari M et al., [6] affecting 10 – 80yrs and 17 – 56yrs in their respective study. 96.75% were females which correlated well with study done by Naik P et al., [7] and Gardas V et al., [8] with 97.5% and 92.9% female patients respectively.

Fibroadenoma was the commonest lesion seen in the present study with 72.27%, which was similar to the study done by Badge S A et al., [5] and Naik P et al., [7] with 55% and 75.6% of fibroadenoma cases respectively. Gynaecomastia represented 3.24% of cases in the present study which was comparable with the study done by Elmadhoun et al., [1] (3%), Albasri et al., [9] (3.1%) and Chandanwale S S et al., [10] (3.32%). We found a diagnostic accuracy of 96.93%. Jayaram G et al., [11] found FNAC diagnostic accuracy of 97.1% in their study on non-malignant breast lumps.

In the present study there were 3 cyto-histological discordant cases. A case of Fibroadenoma on cytology, was diagnosed as Infiltrating ductal carcinoma not otherwise specified grade I with extensive ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS) component on histopathology. This false negative diagnosis occurred due to clinical presentation of small breast lump with no skin or underlying chest wall involvement in a middle aged women with extensive DCIS component in the lesion which was sampled on FNAC, and showed tight clusters of ductal epithelial cells with mild nuclear atypia, few bare bipolar nuclei were seen which was further confused as fibroadenoma. Rogers L A et al., [12] in their study found that well differentiated invasive ductal carcinoma and ductal carcinoma in situ can mimic fibroadenoma as 20% of fibroadenomas can show cytological atypia or have isolated cells with intact cytoplasm and naked nuclei are seen in some ductal carcinomas.

Another case of Fibroadenoma on FNAC was diagnosed on histopathology as Benign Phyllodes tumor. Cellular smear with sheets of ductal epithelial cells and few stromal fragments led to misdiagnosis. Veneti S et al., [13] in their study mentioned that hypercellular stromal fragments that are more common
in Phyllodes tumor can be seen in fibroadenoma as well.

One case of Fat necrosis on cytology was confirmed as Infiltrating ductal carcinoma, not otherwise specified grade 1 on histopathology. Cytological smears showed multinucleated giant cells, histiocytes in a background of necrotic debris with few atypical cells which was considered as reactive atypia in ductal epithelial cells. Ducatman B S et al., [14] have mentioned in their study that fat necrosis can mimic carcinoma both clinically as well as mammographically and cytological smears can show histiocytes, multinucleated cells, atypical cells, neutrophils, lymphocytes, plasma cells. Study done by Kollur S M et al., [15], found that giant cells in breast carcinoma can be due to palpation granuloma or fat necrosis indicating extra-tumoral reactive process.

CONCLUSION

FNAC is minimally invasive, cost effective, outpatient procedure to effectively categorize various breast lesions. High diagnostic accuracy rate makes it an effective tool which aids in appropriate management. This study aimed at knowing the distribution pattern of non-malignant breast lesions, evaluating the cytohistological correlation and analyzing the cause for 3 false negative cases. Clinical history, mammography, FNAC and histopathology should be used methodically to come to an effective diagnosis.
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