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Abstract

Introduction: Opioid overdose is a growing problem in the US. Often, residents are first responders to community and in-hospital opioid overdoses, and so, hands-on naloxone administration education is necessary. While residents get a brief algorithm on suspected opioid overdose during their mandatory American Heart Association basic life support training, there is a lack of hands-on standardized curricula on how to administer this lifesaving medication. Methods: To fill this gap, we developed a hands-on workshop for medical trainees on how to respond to an opioid overdose. Trainees who completed our workshop left with a first-responder naloxone kit using the Massachusetts statewide open prescription. All attendees were asked to take a voluntary pre- and posttraining survey. Results: A total of 80 trainees from a variety of specialties and training levels participated in this workshop. We were able to successfully link the pre- and postdata of 29 participants. Trainees were assessed on comfort in administering naloxone as a first responder and in teaching patients how to administer naloxone (via a 5-point Likert scale) and on percentage of time they prescribed naloxone to high-risk patient populations. We saw statistically significant increases in comfort in using naloxone and comfort in teaching patients to administer naloxone. Discussion: This innovative curriculum provides an adaptable, short, and effective workshop with hands-on practice for medical trainees at a variety of training levels. The workshop can efficiently train future health care professionals how to approach an opioid overdose.
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Educational Objectives

By the end of this workshop, learners will be able to:

1. Identify the signs and symptoms of opioid overdose.
2. Describe the mechanism of how nasal naloxone reverses an opioid overdose.
3. Administer single-step and two-step nasal naloxone via hands-on demonstration.
4. Advocate for appropriate prescribing of naloxone to high-risk patients and first responders.

Introduction

In 2017, the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services declared the opioid crisis a public health emergency.1 Although the overall opioid national prescribing rate has been declining since 2012 (81.3 prescriptions per 100 persons in 2012 versus 66.5 prescriptions per 100 persons in 2016), the amount of illicitly made fentanyl continues to rise, further contributing to opioid overdose deaths.1 In response to the opioid crisis, the Department of Health and Human Services has focused on five major priorities: better addiction prevention and treatment, better targeting of overdose reversing drugs, better data, better pain management, and better research.1

Naloxone nasal spray is indicated for the emergency treatment of known or suspected opioid overdose with respiratory or central nervous system depression.2 In 2015, the Food and Drug Administration approved a prefilled, single-dose intranasal spray containing 4 mg of naloxone hydrochloride in 0.1 ml.2 Between 2010 and 2014, the number of states expanding naloxone access to individuals including at-risk patients, relatives, and first responders increased from 16 to 30.3 There have been several observational studies looking at the impact of opioid overdose deaths were six times higher in 2017 compared to 1999, with over 47,000 deaths attributed to opioid overdose in 2017.1
Previous overdose education and naloxone distribution targeted high-risk patients who used opioids or their family members. In 2018, Dr. Jerome Adams, the U.S. Surgeon General, released an advisory encouraging education on naloxone use for bystanders such as health care practitioners, family and friends of people with opioid use disorder, and community members at the front line for these high-risk patients. However, evidence suggests that medical providers not only are underprescribing but lack comfort with opioid overdose prevention techniques.

A survey of emergency medicine providers showed a lack of time, a lack of training, and a lack of knowledge in willingness to prescribe naloxone. While trainees (residents and fellows) are given a brief algorithm on suspected opioid overdose during their American Heart Association basic life support (BLS) training, there remains a lack of standardized hands-on curricula on how and when to administer naloxone.

According to an opioid summary by the National Institute on Drug Abuse, Massachusetts is in the top 10 states with the highest rates of opioid overdose deaths, twofold higher than the national rate. In 2017, there were 2,054 opioid-related deaths in the state; 30% were from the county and neighboring county of our institution. Given the significant number of opioid overdose deaths in our community and the lack of a standard curriculum for providing opioid overdose education for trainees in our residency, we developed a program to meet this need. The goal of this program was to measure the impact of a 15-minute overdose education training workshop led by volunteer internal medicine residents, pharmacists, and public safety officers on self-efficacy and comfort of residents at an urban health center. A unique aspect of our workshop was that, using the Massachusetts statewide open prescription, it expedited providing a naloxone kit to trainees who completed the session.

This workshop fills a unique gap in the available medical education curricula as it is associated with bystander naloxone distribution and can be accomplished in 15 minutes. A search of MedEdPORTAL using the keyword naloxone identified three unique publications: One includes naloxone training within a larger multiday substance use disorder training session for students, another discusses an adverse event for pulmonary edema after naloxone administration, and the third addresses indications for naloxone for inpatient opioid reversal. While there is literature on the importance of naloxone training for medical trainees, our curriculum is unique in that it is a stand-alone brief workshop whose primary focus is on naloxone education for health care providers.

Methods

Our Institution
The workshop took place at Boston Medical Center (BMC), a 567-bed urban tertiary care academic institution in Boston, Massachusetts, affiliated with Boston University School of Medicine (BUSM). All evaluation procedures were approved and deemed exempt by the BUSM Institutional Review Board. The graduate medical education department supports over 800 residents and fellows ranging across all medical and surgical specialties. Our institution serves the surrounding community, which includes a culturally, socially, and economically diverse population. At the time of the workshop, all BMC trainees were mandated to complete BLS training.

Program Details

Our initial pilot cohort was the internal medicine intern class of 2017 to whom we provided a 5-minute presentation during intern orientation. This presentation included how to recognize and respond to an opioid overdose, how naloxone works to reverse the effects of opioids, and the differences between two-step naloxone and one-step naloxone. This was followed by a 10-minute hands-on demonstration by our pharmacist trainers on how to assemble and administer single-step and two-step naloxone as a first responder. During this time, the interns practiced assembling both formulations, asked questions about the curriculum, and filled out an open prescription to obtain naloxone as a first responder. As this was a pilot implementation, we gathered informal, formative feedback on workshop effectiveness and how to improve the training.

Program Expansion and Details
Following our pilot training, we expanded the workshop to include all graduate medical trainees and faculty at BMC with four additional 3-hour training workshops in the fall of 2017. The target audience was all BMC medical/surgical residents and fellows and BUSM/BMC faculty. Recruitment for the workshops was via department-wide email invitations, electronic advertisements, and flyer distribution throughout the medical campus. At the drop-in workshops, we had four to six workshop trainers per hour who trained groups of 10 residents/fellows at a time. Trainers consisted of volunteer BMC internal medicine residents and fellows ranging across all medical and surgical specialties. Our institution serves the surrounding community, which includes a culturally, socially, and economically diverse population. At the time of the workshop, all BMC trainees were mandated to complete BLS training.
residents, emergency medicine residents, public safety officers, Project ASSERT (Alcohol & Substance Abuse Services, Education and Referral to Treatment) staff, and inpatient pharmacists. Participants had the opportunity to obtain a naloxone kit as a first responder, utilizing the open prescription for naloxone under the prescriptive authority of Dr. Alexander Walley, the medical director of the Opioid Overdose Prevention Pilot Program at the Massachusetts Department of Public Health (MA DPH).

Simultaneously, the Massachusetts Medical Society and the MA DPH devised an educational platform around issues of safer prescribing practices and substance use disorder by identifying 10 core competencies for residents and fellows to complete. We developed a partnership with the BMC graduate medical education department in which attending the naloxone workshop allowed residents and fellows to fulfill one of the competency requirements.

Implementation of Naloxone Training Curriculum
The target audience for this curriculum included residents, fellows, faculty, and nonclinicians. We took an experiential learning approach so that learners could combine knowledge along with psychomotor skills in knowing when and how to administer naloxone. It was assumed that participants had no preexisting knowledge of naloxone use for opioid overdose; however, all participants had completed BLS certification prior to attending the workshop.

Equipment/Environment
This training was best run in any classroom or hall where there were several tables for trainers and trainees to be seated in small groups. The equipment necessary for the training included a printed version of Appendix A, which served as a handout on recognizing an overdose and reviewed how to administer naloxone. Additional materials would include a single-step and a two-step naloxone demonstration kit. If available (Appendix A, slide 5, shows both demonstration kits; Appendix D shows another view of the single-step kit).

Personnel
Trainers were volunteer pharmacists, public safety officers, and internal medicine residents. We used a multidisciplinary approach to training; however, all trainers were equally qualified to lead the workshop. Prior to the workshop, each trainer attended a brief review of the curriculum described in Appendices A and B led by a clinical pharmacy specialist. This training required one volunteer trainer per table of five to 10 trainees. Using Appendix B as a guide, the trainer led a discussion of the 5-minute curriculum and conducted a 5-minute hands-on demonstration of how to administer the two types of naloxone as a first responder. Appendix C serves as a sample training video for future trainers’ reference or for programs that may not have live trainers.

Evaluation
We used a pre/post survey design (Appendix E) to evaluate the efficacy of this program. We had three primary outcome measures: (1) comfort administering naloxone as a first responder, (2) comfort teaching patients how to administer naloxone, and (3) percentage of time participants prescribed naloxone to patients with opioid addiction or high-risk IV drug use behaviors. Both comfort items were measured on a 5-point scale (1 = extremely uncomfortable, 5 = extremely comfortable). The percentage of time prescribing naloxone to patients was measured on a 4-point scale (0%-25%, 26%-50%, 51%-75%, and 76%-100%).

Anonymous electronic surveys soliciting participation in the program were sent approximately 1 month prior to implementation and were blinded using a unique identifier created by participants. At that time, we collected baseline data from potential participants on the three outcomes mentioned above. A total of 80 trainees from a variety of specialties and training levels participated in this workshop. Approximately 1 month after implementation, we sent out the same survey to all program participants, asking them to respond to questions on the same three outcomes. We then linked these 1-month postdata to the predata in order to make comparisons. In total, we were able to successfully link the pre- and postdata of 29 participants, 80% of whom were residents and 20% of whom were fellows.

Preintervention Survey Data
The preintervention survey showed 66% of trainees (residents and fellows) were prescribing less than 25% naloxone to patients with opioid use disorder or patients with high-risk behaviors including IV drug use. Despite attending required BLS instruction that mandated naloxone training and being at an institution very focused on harm reduction for patients with substance use disorder, only 37% of trainees felt moderately to extremely comfortable administering naloxone as a first responder, and just 18% felt moderately to extremely comfortable teaching their patients how to administer naloxone.

Results
To compare participant responses before and after participation, we used a series of nonparametric sign tests appropriate for Likert-type data. As shown in the Table, we saw statistically significant increases in comfort using naloxone as well as in comfort teaching patients to administer naloxone. We did not
see a statistically significant change among participants in the percentage of time naloxone was prescribed. However, we can think of three compelling reasons for this finding: It could be that 1 month was not adequate for participants to see enough patients with these issues to appreciably change the frequency at which they prescribed naloxone, especially given that we measured in 25% increments; that trainees who made the effort to attend were already prescribing naloxone at a high rate but lacked comfort with regard to the medication itself and thus sought out this additional training; or that trainees, despite increased comfort, did not actually change their prescribing behavior.

**Discussion**

We designed a multidisciplinary workshop focused on naloxone training for health care trainees. Although we presumed that our participants already had some baseline knowledge about naloxone, either from BLS or from the robust focus on substance use disorder at BMC, our results indicated that the workshop positively reinforced attendees to have increased self-efficacy in administering and teaching patients how to administer naloxone.

In our experience, aligning the workshop to meet one of the Massachusetts Medical Society’s core competencies for prevention and management of prescription drug misuse allowed an increased number of trainees to undergo formal in-person naloxone training and served as an incentive.

A 5-minute didactic followed by a 10-minute hands-on demonstration allowed trainees to engage in an honest discussion of their preconceived notions about naloxone use and opioid overdoses, perceived barriers to naloxone use, and prescribing practices. By utilizing demonstration drug devices, the hands-on portion let each trainee learn how to assemble and administer both single-step and multistep naloxone as a first responder.

Given the simplicity of the curriculum, trainings can be conducted by anyone, including volunteer physicians, pharmacists, and nonclinical staff, which would incur no additional financial cost for small or large medical institutions. We used trainers from multiple disciplines who were able to initiate discussions on safe naloxone storage and use, hospital and community access, and examples of overdoses first responders could encounter. Pharmacist trainers provided the benefit of being able to answer medication-specific questions trainees had about naloxone. Public safety trainers provided real-world perspectives on safely responding to opioid overdoses. Physician trainers were able to emphasize the importance of prescribing to high-risk patients and reducing the stigma of substance use disorder.

We have adapted this curriculum to train large groups of hospital staff members from a variety of clinical and nonclinical backgrounds. We hosted the training workshop as multiple several-hour sessions to help accommodate schedules of working physicians and trainees; however, other institutions may choose to provide shorter workshops that can be completed over multiple days. With that in mind, we believe that this resource is adaptable and transferable to other health care education institutions and is a valuable tool for any first responder.

Via the open prescription, we were able to prescribe naloxone to interested first-responder trainees. In doing this, we aimed to reduce the stigma of carrying naloxone as a first responder and reinforced the Surgeon General’s statement encouraging bystander naloxone education and use. Overall, our naloxone training workshop fostered a community focus on harm reduction and advocacy for hospital-wide naloxone trainings.

**Limitations**

This curriculum is limited as it is an isolated workshop. Several residents and fellows were unable to attend because of their individual hospital schedules despite our incentivizing participation to meet a core competency. While many participants attended the training and completed a preworkshop in-person survey, there was overall a lower response rate on the postsurvey as it was administered via email 1 month after the workshop.

Our results are limited in their scope as we surveyed trainees who attended, a disproportionate number of whom were from...
the internal medicine department. While we can presume that trainees in other specialties had increased self-efficacy in naloxone administration, we cannot definitively make this conclusion. Given the nature of our survey evaluation, participation bias may have inflated our results. The lack of change in naloxone prescribing patterns may have been due to inadequate time for behavior change thanks to short postsurvey collection time or may have been affected by selection bias. Because we assessed impact only 1 month after training, the longitudinal impact of this educational intervention is unknown. Surveying these trainees 1 year after the intervention may provide more insight on how this training impacted their knowledge, prescribing patterns, and naloxone administration as first responders. Future iterations of the curriculum could include objective outcomes of change in naloxone prescribing for at-risk patients with opioid use disorder and coprescriptions with new opioids, as well as assessing change in frequency of opioid overdose education during patient encounters.

Limitations related to implementation of this curriculum may include health insurance coverage and access to an open prescription for naloxone. Additionally, the curriculum relies upon a large volunteer trainer workforce, which may not be available at all institutions. Implementing the curriculum requires adequate demonstration devices and supplies.

Conclusions and Reflections
Given the rising rates of opioid overdose deaths, there is an increasing public health need to train first responders how to approach an opioid overdose efficiently. Our innovative curriculum provides an adaptable, short, effective, and hands-on workshop for medical trainees. The curriculum can be delivered to small or larger groups of participants at a variety of training levels.

Reflecting on the implementation and evaluation of our workshop, we plan to continue to expand this program to all hospital nonclinical staff as well as the surrounding local community. Moving forward, we will adapt the hands-on naloxone training to include only the single-step naloxone, which is the preferred modality. In the future, we hope to incorporate a more robust survey to assess whether our intervention has an impact on medical trainees’ prescribing practices or use of naloxone as first responders.

Our hope is that widespread use of this curriculum with medical trainee first responders will not only augment knowledge of how to respond to an opioid overdose but also increase comfort with naloxone use.
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E. Pre- and Postintervention Survey.docx
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