Assessment of Scleral Contour Changes in High Myopia by Optical Coherence Tomography
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Purpose: This study aimed to establish and validate optical coherence tomography (OCT) based diagnostic criteria of high myopia.

Methods: This was a cross-sectional study including 100 eyes of high myopia with axial length larger than 26.5 mm and 100 control eyes, which were examined by spectral-domain OCT. Vertical and horizontal OCT of 9 mm scanning across fovea were analyzed. OCT characteristics including mirror artifact, scleral sink due to steep inclination of posterior sclera, scleral visibility, abnormality of outer retinal layer, foveoschisis, and dome-shaped macula were assessed in each group.

Results: The mean axial length was 28.65 ± 2.07 mm (range, 26.51–34.59 mm) in high myopia group and 23.79 ± 0.99 mm (range, 21.26–25.94 mm) in control group. Among the OCT characteristics noted at high frequency in the eyes with high myopia, three criteria achieving high sensitivity and specificity were determined: scleral sink over 500 μm, scleral visibility over 100 μm, and dome-shaped macula. Under conditions of presence of any of three criteria in either horizontal or vertical OCT scanning, the diagnostic sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, and negative predictive value for high myopia were found to be 95.0%, 98.0%, 98.0%, and 95.1%, respectively. In a new set of OCT images from 50 eyes with high myopia and 50 eyes of nonhigh myopia cases, the OCT-based criteria also proved similar level of diagnostic validity.

Conclusions: The OCT-based criteria, which directly addressed posterior scleral contour changes, may lead to an intuitive and accurate diagnosis of high myopia. Also, the criteria may contribute to early detection and monitoring of eyes that cannot be defined as high myopia but can progress. OCT may be useful for monitoring high myopia patients as OCT can detect myopia-associated retinal pathologies as well as scleral contour changes.
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conus, and myopic optic neuropathy [1,6,7]. Recently, optical coherence tomography (OCT) has enabled us to describe new myopic disease entities such as myopic traction maculopathy and dome-shaped macula (DSM) [8,9]. Essentially, axial elongation is believed to play a key role in mediating these pathologic changes [10]. Histological studies in HM eyes have provided evidence for scleral thinning at the posterior pole [11]. Progressive elongation and scleral thinning directly lead to changes in the curvature and radius of the sclera [11,12]. Consequent severe deformation of the sclera may be presented as posterior staphyloma. There have been reports which addressed scleral contour changes

Fig. 1. Optical coherence tomography characteristics of high myopia. (A) Mirror artifact (arrow), image appears to be folded onto itself because of extreme structural curvature. (B) Large scleral sink (double arrow), a height difference in the retinal pigment epithelium due to steep inclination of sclera. (C) Enhanced scleral visibility (double arrow), arrowheads indicate a choriocapillary interface. (D) Disruption of interdigitation zone (arrowhead). (E) Low intensity or disruption of the ellipsoid zone (arrowhead). (F) Dome-shaped macula (arrow), relatively localized region of scleral thickening under the macula, arrowhead indicates paravascular inner retinal defect.
in OCT as major characteristics of HM [10,12,13]. Although Fang et al. [14] reported OCT-based diagnosis in different stages of HM, their study mainly focused on choroidal thickness changes according to the different stages of myopic maculopathy. Few studies validated HM characteristics of OCT as the diagnostic criteria.

The advantage of OCT-based diagnosis is that the evaluation of posterior structures may reflect essential pathophysiology of HM [15]. Also, quantitative monitoring of the progression by OCT, which is much more frequently performed than axial length measurement, is feasible. It is useful especially in eyes with previous refractive surgery or cataract extraction [16,17]. It may also address the early phase of HM which cannot be defined by conventional criteria. This study was conducted to identify and validate novel OCT criteria for diagnosing HM.

**Materials and Methods**

The cross-sectional, case-control study was approved by the institutional review board of Samsung Medical Center and conformed to the Declaration of Helsinki. We retrospectively reviewed electronic medical records of 1,670

---

**Fig. 2.** Representative optical coherence tomography image of high myopia, describing the measurement of scleral sink, scleral visibility, and dome-shaped macula. (A) The 9 mm optical coherence tomography line scans through the fovea, the binarized and original images were overlapped for measurement. Scale bar indicates 200 μm; (a) indicates the amount of scleral sink, the height difference between the lowest and highest points of the retinal pigment epithelium; (b) indicates the distance of scleral visibility; and (c) indicates dome-shaped macula which is defined when inward protrusion of retinal pigment epithelial line is more than 50 μm from the baseline. (B) The original image was converted to a binary image. (C) The binarized image was converted to a binarized outline image.
eyes of 1,021 patients who had undergone preoperative examinations before cataract surgery in the Samsung Medical Center between June 1, 2018 and December 31, 2019. Among them, 100 eyes from 65 consecutive patients were enrolled for the HM group. As the refractive error was inaccurate due to cataract or previous refractive surgery, HM was defined as an axial length longer than 26.5 mm in this study. For the control group, 100 non-HM eyes from 65 cataract patients with age and sex-matched to HM group were enrolled for the same study period. Non-HM was defined as generally inconsistent with HM. In other words, patients with an axial length of less than 26.5 mm and without pathological myopic fundus features were selected for the control group. The exclusion criteria were a history of vitreoretinal surgery, poor quality OCT image due to severe cataract, and the presence of retinal diseases other than complications of HM.

All the patients underwent a complete ocular examination in preparation to undergo cataract surgery including color fundus photography or ultra-wide-field fundus photography (Optos, Dunfermline, UK), spectral-domain OCT (Spectralis HRA + OCT; Heidelberg Engineering, Heidelberg, Germany) with a wavelength of 870 nm, and biometry based on swept-source OCT (Argos; Movu, Santa Clara, CA, USA) for the measurement of axial length.

### Analysis and measurement of spectral-domain OCT

Horizontal and vertical OCT scans (9 mm in length) by enhanced depth imaging mode across the fovea were recorded. The scanning was conducted under a standardized imaging protocol and standardized mesopic lighting conditions. Each OCT B-scan had 25 to 35 frames averaged to improve the image quality. The horizontal and vertical scan were exported for the analysis of OCT characteristics including mirror artifact, scleral sink, disruption of interdigitation zone, disruption or low intensity of ellipsoid zone, peripapillary intrachoroidal cavitation, paravascular inner retinal defect, epiretinal membrane, choroidal neovascularization, foveoschisis, DSM, and macular hole (Fig. 1A-1F). These characteristics were independently evaluated by two examiners (YKS and GWL), both of whom were masked to HM or non-HM group. If there was a difference in the OCT analysis by the two examiners, we tried to consider a third-independent examiner (KJC).

All OCT images were analyzed using Image J software ver. 1.47 (US National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA; http://imagej.nih.gov/ij/). In HM eyes, OCT frequently reveals steep inclination due to the high curvature of posterior sclera. It was expressed as “scleral sink” in this study. We defined the amount of scleral sink as the Y-axis difference between the lowest and highest points of retinal pigment epithelium in horizontal and vertical OCT scanning with a 9-mm scan length. If the mirror artifact was visible, the highest point of retinal pigment epithelium was measured as possible. The mirror artifact occurs when the area of interest to be imaged crosses the zero-delay line and results in an inverted image [18]. DSM was defined as an inward bulging of the retinal pigment epithelial line more than 50 μm above a baseline (Fig. 2A-2C).

### Binarization of spectral-domain OCT images for the measurement of scleral visibility

All exported spectral-domain OCT images were converted to binarization by Image J software. The binarization was done by a modified Niblack method. The binarized image was converted to outline binarized image for

### Table 1. Baseline characteristics of high myopia group and control group

| Characteristics | High myopia group (n = 65) | Control group (n = 65) |
|-----------------|---------------------------|------------------------|
| No. of eyes     | 100                       | 100                    |
| Sex             |                           |                        |
| Male            | 36                        | 35                     |
| Female          | 29                        | 30                     |
| Age (yr)        | 50.2 ± 13.4 (11–79)       | 50.1 ± 13.2 (13–79)    |
| Axial length (mm)| 28.65 ± 2.07 (26.51–34.59)| 23.79 ± 0.99 (21.26–25.94) |

Values are presented as number or mean ± standard deviation (range).
objective measurement. We defined scleral visibility as the distance from the subfoveal choroid-scleral border to the lowest outline in the binarized outline image (Fig. 2). The length of scleral visibility in each eye was represented by the average of measurement in horizontal and vertical scanning across fovea.

**Determination and validation of OCT-based diagnostic criteria**

Statistical analysis was executed using SAS ver. 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA) and R ver. 3.5.1 (The R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria; https://www.r-project.org/). The receiver operating characteristic analyses were performed to determine the optimal cutoff values of scleral sink and scleral visibility, which provide discrimination between the HM and control groups. The ideal cutoff value corresponded to the maximal value of the Youden index. The sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, and negative predictive value were evaluated for establishing putative diagnostic criteria. To validate the OCT-based diagnostic criteria of HM, we acquired a new set of OCT images from 50 eyes with HM and 50 eyes of non-HM cases. Based on the criteria, the independent observer blinded to the information of each case determined the diagnosis of HM among the new set of images.

**Results**

The clinical characteristics of the 100 eyes (65 patients) with HM were analyzed. There were 36 male patients and 29 female patients with a mean age of 50.2 ± 13.4 years (range, 11–79 years). The mean axial length was 28.65 ± 2.07 mm (range, 26.51–34.59 mm). In age and sex-matched control eyes, 100 eyes (65 patients) were studied. There were 35 male patients and 30 female patients. The mean age was 50.1 ± 13.1 years (range, 13–79 years) and the mean axial length was 23.79 ± 0.99 mm (21.26–25.94 mm) (Table 1). According to meta-analysis of pathologic myopia study classification [7], nine eyes had tessellated fundus, 73 eyes had diffuse chorioretinal atrophy, 14 eyes had patchy chorioretinal atrophy, and four eyes had macular atrophy. In the control group, no eyes showed HM fundus characteristics.

The analysis of OCT images in both groups revealed the following results. Mirror artifact was noted only in 41 HM eyes. In the control group, the mean amount of scleral sink

![Fig. 3. Receiver operator characteristic (ROC) curve for (A) scleral sink and (B) scleral visibility. The area under the curve of the ROC curve obtained from the scleral sink is 0.975 and the p-value is <0.001. The cutoff value obtained through the Youden index was 402 μm (asterisk, 91% sensitivity, 94% specificity). The area under the curve of the ROC curve obtained from scleral visibility was 0.929 and the p-value was <0.001. The cutoff value obtained through the Youden index was 32 μm (asterisk, 86.5% sensitivity, 94.5% specificity).](image-url)
Table 2. The incidence of optical coherence tomography characteristics of high myopia group and control group

| Variable                        | Control group |                                      |                                      | High myopia group (n = 100) |                                      |                                      |                                      |                                      |                                      |                                      |                                      |                                      |
|---------------------------------|---------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
|                                 | Total         | 21.0–23.0 mm                        | 23.0–24.0 mm                        | 24.0–25.0 mm                  | 25.0–26.5 mm                    | Total                                 | 26.5–27.0 mm                        | 27.0–28.0 mm                        | 28.0–29.0 mm                        | 29.0–31.0 mm                        | 31.0–33.0 mm                        | 33.0–35.0 mm                        |
|                                 | (n = 100)     | (n = 17)                             | (n = 44)                             | (n = 29)                       | (n = 10)                         | (n = 100)                            | (n = 23)                             | (n = 28)                             | (n = 18)                             | (n = 14)                             | (n = 11)                             | (n = 6)                             |
| Mirror artifact                 | 0             | 0                                     | 0                                     | 0                              | 0                                | 41                                    | 3                                     | 5                                     | 7                                     | 11                                    | 9                                     | 6                                     |
| Scleral visibility (µm)         |               |                                       |                                       |                                |                                  |                                       |                                       |                                       |                                       |                                       |                                       |                                       |
| >50                             | 2             | 0                                     | 0                                     | 0                              | 0                                | 31                                    | 4                                     | 11                                    | 11                                    | 5                                     | 94                                    | 17                                    | 11                                    | 11                                    |
| >100                            | 0             | 0                                     | 0                                     | 0                              | 0                                | 70                                    | 11                                    | 17                                    | 17                                    | 15                                    | 10                                    | 11                                    | 6                                     |
| Disruption of IZ                | 31            | 4                                     | 11                                    | 11                             | 5                                | 94                                    | 17                                    | 28                                    | 18                                    | 14                                    | 11                                    | 6                                     |
| Disruption of EZ                | 1             | 0                                     | 0                                     | 0                              | 1                                | 40                                    | 8                                     | 11                                    | 6                                     | 6                                     | 3                                     | 6                                     |
| Dome-shaped macula              | 0             | 0                                     | 0                                     | 0                              | 0                                | 17                                    | 4                                     | 2                                     | 1                                     | 2                                     | 5                                     | 3                                     |
| Epiretinal membrane             | 0             | 0                                     | 0                                     | 0                              | 0                                | 12                                    | 2                                     | 6                                     | 2                                     | 1                                     | 1                                     | 0                                     |
| Foveoschisis                    | 0             | 0                                     | 0                                     | 0                              | 0                                | 5                                     | 0                                     | 2                                     | 2                                     | 0                                     | 1                                     | 0                                     |
| PIRD                            | 0             | 0                                     | 0                                     | 0                              | 0                                | 4                                     | 2                                     | 0                                     | 1                                     | 1                                     | 1                                     | 0                                     |
| CNV                             | 0             | 0                                     | 0                                     | 0                              | 0                                | 3                                     | 0                                     | 1                                     | 1                                     | 1                                     | 0                                     | 0                                     |
| PICC                            | 0             | 0                                     | 0                                     | 0                              | 0                                | 2                                     | 0                                     | 0                                     | 1                                     | 1                                     | 0                                     | 0                                     |
| Macular hole                    | 0             | 0                                     | 0                                     | 0                              | 0                                | 0                                     | 0                                     | 0                                     | 0                                     | 0                                     | 0                                     | 0                                     |

Values are number of eyes.
IZ = interdigitation zone; EZ = ellipsoid zone; PIRD = paravascular inner retinal defect; CNV = choroidal neovascularization; PICC = peripapillary intrachoroidal cavitation.
was measured as 195.8 ± 91.4 μm in the horizontal direction and 221.8 ± 112.7 μm in the vertical direction. In HM group, the mean amount of scleral sink was 897.0 ± 462.4 μm in the horizontal direction and 925.9 ± 406.0 μm in the vertical direction, which were larger than those in the control group (p < 0.001). The scleral layer was usually invisible in the control group. The length of subfoveal scleral visibility in the binarized outline image was measured on both horizontal and vertical scans and was averaged. The mean scleral visibility was 4.7 ± 15.9 μm in the control group and 142.1 ± 89.6 μm in HM group (p < 0.001). In 100 eyes of HM group, the OCT revealed DSM in 17 eyes, epiretinal membrane in 12 eyes, foveoschisis in five eyes, choroidal neovascularization in three eyes, and peripapillary intrachoroidal cavitation in two eyes. Disruption of the interdigitation zone was observed in 94 eyes of the HM group and 31 eyes of the control group. Low intensity or disruption of the ellipsoid zone was observed in 40 eyes of the HM group and 1 eye of the control group. The macular hole was not observed in all eyes. Receiver operating characteristic analyses were performed to determine the optimal value that can discriminate HM and control eyes only by OCT. The cutoff value that measured the amount of scleral sink was 402 μm, with 91% sensitivity and 94% specificity. The cutoff value of scleral visibility was 32 μm, with 86.5% sensitivity and 94.5% specificity (Fig. 3A, 3B).

Besides, for a more intuitive judgment, we analyzed the number of eyes with the amount of scleral sink over 300, 400, 500, and 600 μm (1.5, 2, 2.5, 3 times of reference; 200 μm scale bar) and the length of scleral visibility over 50 and 100 μm (quarter, half of the scale bar). The amount of scleral sink over 300, 400, 500, and 600 μm was observed in 99, 92, 85, and 79 eyes, respectively, among 100 eyes of HM, and in 29, 7, 2, and 1 eye, respectively, among 100 control eyes. The scleral visibility over 50 and 100 μm was observed in 83 and 70 eyes of the HM group, respectively, and in 4 and 0 eyes of the control group, respectively. The detailed incidence of OCT characteristics in HM and non-HM control groups according to the axial length is shown in Table 2.

To establish the OCT-based diagnostic criteria of HM, we selected the combination of parameters enabling high sensitivity and specificity of diagnosis with the least number of parameters. Based on the dataset from 200 eyes in the current study, the combination of the following three parameters was identified to satisfy the above requirement. The three parameters were the amount of scleral sink over 500 μm, the length of scleral visibility over 100 μm, and DSM. The presence of any of the three parameters in the horizontal or vertical OCT scanning served as diagnostic criteria for HM with diagnostic sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, and negative predictive value as 95.0%, 98.0%, 98.0%, and 95.1%, respectively (Table 3). In a new set of horizontal and vertical OCT images from 50 eyes with HM and 50 eyes of non-HM cases, the OCT-based diagnostic criteria of HM also revealed high sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, and negative predictive value as 100%, 96.0%, 96.2%, and 100%, respectively (Table 3).

### Discussion

Although the importance of myopia is increasing, the definition of myopia has not been consistent [19,20]. Recently, the diagnosis of high myopia by evaluating the refractive error has become increasingly difficult because of cataract progression and prior refractive or cataract surgery. And in this study, nine eyes had only tessellated fundus and were unable to diagnose pathologic myopia according to the meta-analyses of pathologic myopia study.

### Table 3. Sensitivity, specificity, and predictive value of OCT-based diagnostic criteria in detecting high myopia

| The presence of any one of three OCT characteristics | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) | Positive predictive value (%) | Negative predictive value (%) |
|------------------------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Scleral sink >500 μm in horizontal or vertical direction, scleral visibility >100 μm, or dome-shaped macula | 95.0           | 98.0           | 98.0                        | 95.1                        |
| Validation dataset† | 100            | 96.0           | 96.2                        | 100                         |

OCT = optical coherence tomography.†Spectral-domain OCT with 9 mm scan length, 870 nm scanning laser; †Validation dataset consisted of 50 eyes with pathologic myopia and 50 eyes with control.
classification (category 1). Recently, The OCT examinations are much more frequently conducted than axial length measurements. Because OCT has become an essential test for ophthalmology by providing quantitative and qualitative assessments [21], OCT-based diagnosis of HM is hypothesized to be of benefit.

In HM, OCT has enabled the detailed observation of macular pathologies with the progression of axial elongation and stretching of the globe, even in the presence of media opacity such as cataract [19,22]. Several OCT characteristics such as paravascular inner retinal defect, peripapillary intrachoroidal cavitation, paravascular retinal cysts, vascular microfolds, paravascular lamellar holes, epiretinal membrane, vitreomacular traction, incomplete posterior vitreous detachment, myopic tractional maculopathy, tractional internal limiting membrane detachment, myopic foveoschisis, choroidal neovascular membrane, posterior retinal detachment, and macular hole were reported [15,23,24]. The present study revealed that certain OCT characteristics such as mirror artifact, high amount of scleral sink, large length of scleral visibility, paravascular inner retinal defect, epiretinal membrane, choroidal neovascularization, foveoschisis, and DSM were not observed at all in non-HM control eyes.

Although the longer scanning length OCT and ultra-wide field OCT is recently introduced, the 9 mm OCT scanning length has been widely used with successful visualization of the shape of the posterior pole [13]. In this study, we investigated the morphologic characteristics of HM in terms of posterior choriocapillary configurations via OCT. The posterior chorioscleral structural alterations related to HM resulted in steep inclinations on OCT [13], which was expressed as a scleral sink in this study. In the control group, scleral sink over 500 μm was noted only in 2% of eyes. The result signifies that scleral sink over 500 μm confirms the diagnosis of the eye as HM with 98% specificity. Scleral sink over 500 μm was used as the diagnostic criteria using OCT while considering specificity, sensitivity, and cutoff values.

OCT in HM eyes with significant thinning of the retinocapillary layers may allow visualization of the whole choroidal layer, posterior sclera, episclera, and in some cases, even Tenon's capsule [25]. Recently, Fang et al. [14] reported that progressive and continuous choroidal thinning plays a key role in the progression from no maculopathy to tessellation and to diffuse atrophy. However, the resolution of the OCT was insufficient to reveal the entire posterior scleral layer, except for severe myopia [26]. Because of these limitations associated with the current OCT technology, the report on the posterior scleral layer is rare except on DSM [26]. In this study, we developed a technique of binarization by Image J, to quantify the visibility of posterior sclera. In the non-HM group, the sclera was invisible in 89% of eyes and the maximum scleral visibility was 80 μm, thereby signifying that the scanning light cannot penetrate enough into sclera in non-HM control eyes as they have intact retinal pigment epithelium and choroid than HM eyes. Thus, the scleral visibility over 100 μm constitutes one of the diagnostic criteria for HM with 70% sensitivity and 100% specificity. We utilized SD-OCT with a wavelength of 870 nm. In case of employment of OCT utilizing longer wavelength, such as swept-source OCT, the cutoff value might be longer than 100 μm. Also, age and skin color are correlated with melanin pigmentation in retinal pigment epithelium and choroid, which would influence the amount of scleral visibility.

It has been reported that DSM was observed in 9.3% to 20.1% of highly myopic eyes [27-29]. In this study, DSM over 50 μm of dome height was noted in 17.0% of the HM group, similar to previous studies. DSM was not observed in the control group. Consequently, the presence of DSM also constitutes one of the diagnostic criteria for HM with 100% specificity and 17% sensitivity. Although the underlying mechanism for the development of DSM remains unclear, the occurrence of DSM was potentially related to the local thickening of the subfoveal sclera and the parfoveal scleral thinning in a progressive and symmetric manner [26,30,31].

Several reports suggest that the disruption or decreased reflectivity of the ellipsoid zone is one of the OCT characteristics of HM [15,32]. The loss of the interdigitation zone was also thought to be the evidence of overlying photoreceptor degeneration [33,34]. Circumscribed loss of outer foveal layer is observed in various diseases such as geographic atrophy and chorioretinal atrophy [35]. However, in HM eyes, diffuse loss of outer foveal layer is observed. The current study also revealed that intact four hyperreflective bands of the outer foveal layer were not delineated in high proportion in HM group. Other OCT features such as epiretinal membrane, choroidal neovascularization, foveoschisis, and paravascular inner retinal defect were observed in HM but not in control eyes. Although the OCT
characteristics corresponding to degeneration in the outer foveal layer are of great importance, the changes at the cellular level are rather frequently noted in diverse maculopathies such as age-related macular degeneration and pachychoroid spectrum diseases [33,36]. Thus, microstructural change such as disruption in the ellipsoid zone and interdigitation zone was not considered as a diagnostic criterion. OCT-based diagnostic criteria were selected in consideration of the gross structural changes reflecting the progressive increase in axial length. Diagnostic criteria were selected among combinations of several OCT characteristics, enabling the highest diagnostic sensitivity and specificity of HM with the least number of characteristics. Based on this rule, scleral sink, scleral visibility, and DSM were determined as diagnostic criteria (Table 3). The diagnostic value of the OCT-based criteria was also supported by the validation test utilizing a new set of 100 cases.

There are several limitations to this study. First, our study population only consisted of Asian ethnicity. Retinochoroidal thickness and the amount of pigmentation may vary significantly in other ethnicities [37,38]. A possible reason for invisible sclera in most of the non-HM eyes would be the presence of greater pigmentation in Asian eyes, compared to Caucasians. Further studies in other ethnic populations would be meaningful. Second, our study only described measurements and characteristics using the OCT with a wavelength of 870 nm. Different OCT modalities have different resolution and speed; however, most of the features described in this study might be observed on other OCT modalities. Third, because the inclusion criteria for HM group depended only on axial length over 26.5 mm, the HM group in the current study may not represent all the HM eyes. For example, a case with a refractive error larger than -8 diopters, but an axial length of less than 26.5 mm was not included in this study. However, such a case might not be so frequent in the real world.

In conclusion, we propose OCT-based diagnostic criteria of HM in this study. With high sensitivity and specificity, we may make the diagnosis of HM in the eyes with any of three OCT characteristics; scleral sink over 500 μm in the horizontal or vertical direction, or scleral visibility over 100 μm, or DSM. This novel OCT criteria that focus on scleral contour can be meaningful for diagnosing HM patients who had unknown or inaccurate refractive error due to cataract progression, previous cataract or refractive surgery.

HM is defined as refractive error or axial length. However, considering that OCT examination is conducted much more frequently than axial length test, that the early phase of HM is not obvious by conventional diagnostic criteria, and that HM is a progressive disease, OCT-based suspicion may be meaningful for eyes that cannot be defined as HM by conventional criteria to monitoring and early detection.
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