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Abstract: Early reports have suggested that smell loss may be an early symptom associated with the pandemic known as coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). The possibility that severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) might cause olfactory dysfunction is certainly plausible. Patients presenting to specialized smell clinics are commonly diagnosed with upper respiratory infection (URI)-associated olfactory loss and most are presumed to be viral related. In acute phases of infection, it is common to experience some smell loss as a result of nasal inflammation, mucosal edema, and obstruction of airflow into the olfactory cleft. In most cases, these episodes of smell loss are self-limiting and coincide with resolution of URI symptoms. However, in some cases the smell loss persists for months to years and this is presumed to occur through a more direct olfactory insult by the virus. It remains too early to know whether infection with SARS-CoV-2 causes persistent olfactory dysfunction. However, given the scale of this pandemic, if SARS-CoV-2 does cause chronic olfactory loss in even a small portion of those infected, then the overall population prevalence could be quite large. This review provides a brief, practical overview of viral-associated olfactory loss, realizing that evidence related to COVID-19 will likely not be clear for some time. Our goal is to highlight the existence and importance of this condition and provide information geared for both providers and patients. Practical suggestions regarding evaluation and treatment will be provided, realizing that there may be constraints on medical resources and the nature of this pandemic remains dynamic. © 2020 ARS-AAOA, LLC.
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Early reports have suggested that acute smell loss may be an early symptom associated with the worldwide pandemic known as coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). In addition, smell and/or taste loss has been noted in the absence of other known symptoms of the disease. Although these reports are occurring at an alarmingly high frequency both abroad and in the United States, they have yet to be verified with hard data, including testing of both smell function and/or COVID-19. The possibility that severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) might cause olfactory dysfunction is certainly plausible. Another closely related coronavirus, the 2004-2005 pandemic SARS-CoV, has also been linked with prolonged anosmia, whereas experimental introduction of a “common cold” variant coronavirus (229E) has been shown to cause impaired olfactory ability in human subjects. Patients presenting to specialized smell and taste clinics are commonly diagnosed with upper respiratory infection (URI)-associated olfactory loss and most are presumed to be viral related. In acute phases of infection, it is very common to experience some smell loss as a result of nasal inflammation, mucosal edema, and obstruction of airflow into the olfactory cleft. In most cases, these episodes of smell loss are self-limiting and coincide with resolution of URI symptoms. However, in some cases the smell loss persists for months to years and this is presumed to occur through a more direct olfactory insult by the virus. Although the onset of viral-associated olfactory loss is often sudden, patients rarely pay attention to this symptom acutely given the common co-occurrence of associated
URI symptoms. Therefore, there is often a significant delay between onset of olfactory loss and presentation to a provider’s office for evaluation, with 1 study documenting an average of 3 years between symptom onset and olfactory testing. This delay is likely related to a lack of awareness of this condition among both patients and medical providers. It remains too early to know whether infection with SARS-CoV-2 causes persistent olfactory dysfunction. In fact, early anecdotal reports have noted return of function within 14 days, although this has not been demonstrated yet in a population-based study. However, given the scale of this pandemic, if SARS-CoV-2 does cause chronic olfactory loss in even a small portion of those infected, then the overall population prevalence could be quite large.

Until recently, olfactory loss was often considered innocuous, something that might be annoying to patients but rarely life-threatening or life-altering. However, a number of high-profile studies have found an association between olfactory loss and increased 5-year mortality rates. This association persists even after controlling for neurologic disease (known to impact olfaction) and weight loss (presumably from dietary modification). Whether olfactory loss truly contributes to increased mortality, or is simply an associated factor, is still unknown, but these studies suggest that loss of smell is important, nonetheless. Additionally, there are many studies demonstrating that olfactory dysfunction impacts quality of life, because this loss affects food enjoyment, social interaction, and incidence of depression.

The purpose of this primer is to provide a brief, practical overview of viral-associated olfactory loss, realizing that evidence related to COVID-19 will likely not be clear for some time. Our overall goal is to highlight the existence and importance of this condition and provide information geared for both providers and patients on presentation, natural history, and available treatments. Practical suggestions regarding evaluation and treatment will be provided, realizing that there may be constraints on medical resources and the nature of this pandemic remains dynamic.

**Presentation and evaluation**

The diagnosis of viral-associated olfactory loss is made primarily via patient history. Classically, a patient will lose ability to smell during the course of a viral URI. Most often, the subjective loss of smell will not be realized by the patient until after the infection has resolved. Because smell loss can be insidious, months may go by before they realize there is a problem. Patients may or may not appreciate the relevance of the infection unless asked directly, because not all illnesses are particularly severe or otherwise noteworthy. Because smell informs the flavor of food, patients may also complain of altered taste, describing food as bland. A detailed history would also rule out other causes of ongoing olfactory loss or symptoms that are indicative of more worrisome pathology (Table 1). Physical examination for any suspected etiology of smell loss should include nasal endoscopy, usually performed by an otolaryngologist, and would be expected to be normal in cases with viral-associated olfactory loss. Imaging is rarely done unless symptoms and examination suggest an alternative diagnosis such as chronic rhinosinusitis or a mass lesion. Formal smell testing is an important aspect of the evaluation in order to document the precise degree of olfactory loss as compared to population norm, which reflects an overall diminishing ability to smell as age advances. The most common forms of smell testing are the Smell Identification Tests and the Sniffin’ Sticks battery of tests, the latter of which evaluates threshold, discrimination, and identification. These tests allow the degree of smell loss to be quantified into hyposmia (some smell present but less than normal) and anosmia (no discernible smell). Unfortunately, these tests are not widely available in general otolaryngology offices and thus formal, objective olfactory testing is unlikely to be feasible during or shortly after the COVID-19 pandemic for most patients.

**Natural history**

At the time of diagnosis, roughly two-thirds of patients will have hyposmia by testing, and one-third will be anosmic; however, most of this data comes from specialized smell and taste centers and thus may be skewed toward patients who failed to improve. The natural history of viral-associated smell loss is for there to be some degree of spontaneous recovery. The exact degree of improvement is hard to capture on a population level because few patients immediately present to centers capable of testing. Available data suggests that 40% to 60% of patients will have some spontaneous improvement in the years following initial diagnosis. In 1 of the largest series, the percentage of anosmic and hyposmic patients exhibiting clinically significant improvement was 46% and 35%, respectively. Unfortunately, only 15% of those who present with anosmia will achieve normal smell levels, whereas 25% of those with hyposmia improve to normal over time.

**Mechanisms of disease and viral pathogens**

In typical viral-associated olfactory loss it is assumed there has been direct damage to the olfactory system. The site of damage is widely believed to be at the level of the olfactory epithelium, with biopsy studies revealing abnormal findings of increased respiratory metaplasia and neuraformation. However, animal models have demonstrated direct damage to the olfactory bulb with minimal epithelial damage. Noting the high rates of spontaneous improvement in smell function over time, an epithelial-based etiology is more likely given the known ability for the olfactory receptor neurons to regenerate after damage.

Without further data at the time of this writing, the effect of SARS-CoV-2 on the olfactory epithelium is unknown. If
TABLE 1. Symptoms that could suggest an alternative diagnosis

| Symptom                                      | Typical smell loss characteristics   | Possible condition            | Endoscopic exam |
|----------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|
| Nasal congestion and/or drainage             | Fluctuating smell loss               | Chronic sinusitis or rhinitis | Mucosal inflammation |
| Nasal congestion and/or bleeding             | Gradual smell loss                   | Sinonasal tumor               | Unilateral mass/polyp |
| Headache or neurologic changes/vision changes| Gradual smell loss                   | Intracranial tumor            | Normal          |
| Memory problems                              | Gradual smell loss                   | Dementia                      | Normal          |
| Tremor, bradykinesia, muscular stiffness     | Gradual smell loss                   | Parkinson’s disease           | Normal          |

Olfactory training

In the absence of proven pharmacotherapy, olfactory training (OT) has emerged as a primary treatment strategy for viral-associated olfactory loss (Appendix 1). The concept behind OT is analogous to physical therapy after a stroke or other neurologic insult. Faced with an injury and resultant deficit, existing neural pathways can be strengthened and “retrained” in order to compensate. Otolaryngologists are most familiar with balance therapy for vestibular disease or audiological training after cochlear implantation. OT is thought to be similar, retraining the brain to correctly interpret the neurologic signals received as odors generate unique impulses that travel through the olfactory nerves, olfactory bulb, and olfactory cortex. In addition, animal models have shown an activity-dependent survival of olfactory receptor neurons during development and regeneration.

Traditional OT utilizes twice daily training sessions involving 4 odors specifically chosen from distinct chemical classes of odorants. For each odor, the patient inhales through the nostrils for 15 seconds, concentrating on the odor and its intended smell. After a short break of 10 seconds, the next odor is inhaled and the process repeated for all odorants. The duration of therapy is usually a minimum of 6 months, although therapy is often continued longer if the patient is demonstrating progress.

Studies examining outcomes after OT have consistently demonstrated significant improvements in objective olfactory testing, including those with posttraumatic, postviral, and age-related olfactory loss. This includes 2 randomized controlled studies focused specifically on those with viral-associated olfactory loss. These studies both showed more improvement in those undergoing OT vs the control interventions. Damm et al. found that olfactory function improved in 15 of 24 participants (63%) of the high-concentration training group and in 6 of 31 participants (19%) of the low-concentration training group (p = 0.03) in subjects with a duration of olfactory dysfunction of <12 months. Altundag et al. found improvement with both classic OT (4 different odors) and modified OT (3 sets
of 4 odors changed every 4 months) compared with control, with the greatest improvement with a modified regimen.

There are a couple of caveats worth discussing when considering OT for patients with olfactory loss. The first is that expectations should be managed, because it would be rare for OT alone to dramatically improve someone’s sense of smell. Therefore, someone with complete anosmia should not on average expect complete normalization. The typical improvement is in the range of 4 to 6 points on the Sniffin’ Sticks composite scale; which is above the minimal clinically important difference but is still nonetheless considered to be a modest improvement.\(^3\) For example, a patient with complete anosmia may regain some smell function but remain subjectively diminished or, conversely, a patient with mild hyposmia may potentially improve into normal range. The second point is that many providers recommend modified OT. Modified OT involves rotating odors periodically, usually monthly or at least every 3 months. At least 1 study has demonstrated superiority of modified OT over traditional OT and most patients find it more interesting to vary odors to keep the therapy from getting stale.\(^3\) Last, actually obtaining odorants to perform training can be a practical limitation.\(^3\) Proprietary smell retraining kits can be purchased, although these are still not widely available nor easy to obtain. For many patients, it is easiest to use essential oils or various household items (eg, coffee, cinnamon, vanilla) for therapy. Providing patients with a list of odorants, instructions for therapy, and a diary to document progress is helpful to augment compliance. Last, scheduled follow-up with repeated objective olfactory testing gives patients something to look forward to and a quantifiable way to measure progress.

Practical recommendations

1. Patients experiencing sudden complaints of smell and/or taste loss irrespective of coexisting symptoms should be considered suspicious for COVID-19.

   Frequent reports of smell/taste complaints in patients who have tested positive for COVID-19 support a cautious approach in using this symptom as a possible indicator of infection. In addition, the symptom of smell loss may be a useful indicator of COVID-19 infection in those with mild cases that may otherwise act unwittingly as a vector for spread without proper isolation measures. Nasal endoscopy and/or imaging would not be recommended in the acute setting, because findings are unlikely to impact treatment recommendations and these evaluations risk unnecessary exposure of healthcare workers.

2. Patients with acute loss of smell and taste after COVID-19 should assume they may have viral-associated smell loss.

   If a patient loses their smell after suffering from COVID-19 and it fails to improve after recovery of other symptoms, it is reasonable to assume they have viral-associated smell loss, provided there are no other concerning symptoms (Table 1). Although evaluation by an otolaryngologist is ideal and ultimately should be done, this may not be feasible during or shortly after the COVID-19 pandemic. Furthermore, the scarcity of medical resources and potential risks of nasal endoscopy may limit the availability of objective olfactory testing, nasal endoscopic examination, and imaging in the near future. A patient education handout is provided in Appendix 2 which can supplement virtual care.

3. Oral and/or topical corticosteroids should not be given to treat acute smell loss in a patient with active COVID-19.

   The use of systemic corticosteroids to treat COVID-19 has been controversial. Early commentary and recommendations from the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention suggested corticosteroids “should be avoided unless indicated for other reasons, such as management of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease exacerbation or septic shock.”\(^35\),\(^36\) However, more recent reports and expert recommendations have suggested judicious use of short courses of systemic corticosteroids may be beneficial in those with severe lung injury.\(^37\),\(^38\) In our opinion, acute smell loss would not be an appropriate indication given lack of proven benefits and possible risks. Recommendations are less clear for the patient with persistent smell loss who has definitely recovered from COVID-19. Traditionally, clinicians might consider a short course of oral and/or topical steroids in the setting of viral-associated olfactory loss despite the limited evidence showing benefit, usually weighing risks and benefits on a case-by-case basis. At this point in time, we would advise caution prescribing corticosteroids until data is available with regard to efficacy and risks specific to the COVID-19 population.

4. OT therapy can be started prior to formal medical evaluation.

   If loss of smell persists for several weeks following resolution of other COVID-19 symptoms, then one should be concerned that smell loss has become persistent. Although data is limited, there is some indication that there may be a finite period of time during which spontaneous recovery could be expected to occur after viral-associated smell loss. Certainly, those with loss >5 years do not often see further improvement. Additionally, at least 1 study of OT found that patients receiving the greatest benefit from training were those with smell loss for <12 months.\(^31\) Therefore, it makes sense to start OT sooner rather than later.

5. For those patients with persistent loss of smell, formal evaluation by an otolaryngologist should be considered when safe to do so from a public health standpoint.
Conclusion

The above recommendations are made with the expectation that formal evaluation by an otolaryngologist may not be immediately feasible for many patients with viral-associated smell loss during the COVID-19 pandemic, particularly for those in severely impacted regions. Additionally, available medical care may be initially focused on more pressing medical needs. However, it is important to recognize other potential causes of olfactory loss, including chronic rhinosinusitis and in rare instances nasal or intracranial tumors. For those patients who fail to normalize and/or have any concerning symptoms, formal evaluation by an otolaryngologist should take place so that objective smell testing can be performed and other etiologies ruled out.
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Appendix 1

Olfactory training

This technique of olfactory training (OT) is based on the idea that the nerves responsible for providing our sense of smell can be strengthened through exercise. OT has been shown to be effective in multiple clinical studies. However, these results are averaged across multiple patients and it should be remembered that each individual person may improve by different amounts. The improvement in smell is often slow and occurs over many months, even up to 2 years (Fig. A1).

Technique

A. The training consists of smelling 4 different odors: rose, eucalyptus, lemon, and clove, twice a day, every day.
1. Choose 1 odor and smell it for approximately 15 seconds while trying to remember what it once smelled like.
2. Rest for about 10 seconds.
3. Smell the next odor for approximately 15 seconds.
4. Rest for about 10 seconds.
5. Repeat until all 4 odors have been sampled.

B. After 3 months switch to a new set of odors: menthol, thyme, tangerine, and jasmine and train with them as described in part A.

C. After 3 months switch to another new set of odors: green tea, bergamot, rosemary, and gardenia and train with them again as described in part A.

Note: If there is a particular smell you want to be able to smell again, you can add this to your training. The actual substance is not required to produce an effect. Most people purchase essential oils containing the odors listed above. The advantage is ease of use and ability to cap the bottles to prevent gradual decreasing strength of the odor. These can be purchased through multiple online vendors, some holistic medicine shops, and health foods stores.

Appendix 2

Patient education: losing your sense of smell after a viral upper respiratory infection

- A viral infection of the nose or sinuses is 1 of the reasons people may suddenly lose their sense of smell. Sometimes patients will recall having had a cold or a flu just prior to losing their sense of smell. Other times these viral infections are so mild, the person may not remember much at all about having been ill.
- Corona virus 2019 (COVID-19) is 1 of the viral infections that could lead to smell loss, but there are also lots of other types of viruses (eg, other types of coronaviruses, rhinoviruses and influenza viruses) that can cause smell loss.
- When people lose their sense of smell, they often feel like they’ve also lost their sense of taste. Because the flavor of food (the ability to make out the difference between watermelon vs cherry) is dependent on our ability to smell it. The only “tastes” left are the basic ones of sweet/salty/sour/bitter that our tongue delivers directly to our brain.
- People are sometimes able to recover their sense of smell after a viral infection. If this is going to happen, the majority of recovery usually happens in the first several months after being sick. A slower recovery could possibly still happen over the next year or so. Unfortunately, there are many people who are not able to recover on their own.
- Losing your sense of smell and taste can really affect a person’s quality of life. It may be hard to enjoy food and drink. It may be hard to judge personal hygiene. It may be hard to detect dangerous things such as smoke, natural gas, and food that has turned bad.
- Unfortunately, no medications have been proven to help people recover their sense of smell after a virus. There are even some types of medications and sprays that can further harm your ability to smelling. The best thing to do if you have smell loss that persists beyond the time of your cold or flu is to seek treatment from a medical doctor. The type of doctor that will likely know most about smell loss is an otolaryngologist (also known as an ear-nose-throat [ENT] doctor).
- Even though medications may not be helpful, there is a treatment called olfactory training (OT) that can be helpful. OT is a very simple protocol that a person can do themselves at home to help retrain their brain to smell again. OT actually has high-level evidence showing that...
it works. OT may not bring all the sense of smell back, but it is simple and can help many improve. See the OT sheet in Appendix 1 for details.

- In this time of the COVID-19 pandemic, it may not be easy for you to visit your doctor in person about this symptom, but even a telemedicine visit with an otolaryngologist may be a helpful starting point. If this symptom occurs during a time when the hospital systems near you are at capacity and you cannot be seen, even via telehealth, starting with OT until you can get a visit is a good idea. One last important consideration during this time is that, based on information we have currently, losing your sense of smell and taste can be an early sign of COVID-19 infection (and is sometimes the only symptom people have). If this happens to you without any other symptoms, consider self-isolating if possible, so you do not carry the infection to others. If you develop other symptoms of COVID-19 in addition to your smell loss, contact your doctor to be screened and possibly tested.