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Abstract

Background: Conditional survival (CS) has been established as a clinically relevant prognostic factor for cancer survivors, and the CS in gallbladder (GB) cancer has not yet been fully evaluated. In this study, we evaluated the cancer-specific CS rate and cancer-specific survival (CSS) rate in patients with GB cancer at multiple time points and investigated prognostic factors which affect cancer-specific CS rate to provide more accurate survival information.

Methods: Between 2004 and 2013, a total of 9760 patients with GB cancer were identified from the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) data. The 3-year cancer-specific CS rate was calculated using the covariate-adjusted survival function in the Cox model for each year since diagnosis, and the results were analyzed together with the adjusted CSS rates at the same time points. Cox proportional hazards regression was performed to ascertain the individual contribution of factors associated with CSS rate at diagnosis and cancer-specific CS rates at 1, 3, and 5 years after diagnosis.

Results: The adjusted 5-year CSS rate was 26.1%. The adjusted 3-year cancer-specific CS rates at 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 years after diagnosis were 55.5, 72.2, 81.5, 86.8, and 90.5%, respectively. At the time of diagnosis, age, race, histology, grade, T, N, and M categories, surgery, radiotherapy, insurance status, and marriage status were significant prognostic factors of CSS. Five years after diagnosis, however, T and M categories were significant prognostic factors for survivors ($P = 0.007$ and $P = 0.009$, respectively), whereas surgery and radiotherapy were not.

Conclusions: T and M categories were significant prognostic factors even 5 years after the initial diagnosis, whereas local treatments at the time of diagnosis were not, suggesting that patients with GB cancer at high risks might need further adjuvant therapy after primary treatments. The combined analysis of CSS and cancer-specific CS rates offered more accurate survival information for patients who have already survived a certain period of time after diagnosis.
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Introduction

Although gallbladder (GB) cancer is not a common malignancy with approximately 5000 new cases per year in the United States, it is the most common biliary tract cancer and the fifth most common gastrointestinal malignancy [1]. The prognosis of GB cancer is poor, with a 5-year overall survival (OS) rate of 5%–17% [2–5]. North Indians [6] and South American Indians [7] have a high incidence of GB cancer with a worse prognosis, as the 5-year survival rate is reported to be less than 10%.

However, more aggressive surgery and the use of adjuvant therapy have improved survival outcomes over the last few decades [8–11].

Prognostic estimation for cancer patients is usually performed using the cancer-specific survival (CSS) measurement. As the CSS rate is defined as the survival probability from diagnosis to a specific time point, CSS understimates the actual survival rates of the cancer survivors who have already survived a certain period. This underestimation is prominent in cancer patients with poor CSS such as GB cancer patients.

Cancer-specific conditional survival (CS) rate is defined as the probability that a cancer patient will survive some additional number of years, given the condition that
the patient has already survived for a certain number of years. In actual clinical practice, the cancer-specific CS rate is informative for patients and their clinicians because the cancer-specific CS rate applies the condition that the patient is still alive [12]. Incorporating information on alive status generates more relevant survival estimation (CS rate) than the classical CSS rate [13]. Therefore, the use of cancer-specific CS rate is meaningful during patient counseling.

Furthermore, the cancer-specific CS rate can be used as a surrogate for cure rate. If the cancer-specific CS rate reaches a plateau (i.e., ceiling) at a certain time point, a patient who survives to the time point with no evidence of disease can be considered to be cured [14]. Analyzing the cancer-specific CS rate of GB cancer may provide quantitative insight into the curability of GB cancer.

In this study, we calculated the cancer-specific CS rate of GB cancer using the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) database between 2004 and 2013. The over-time changes of prognostic significance of patient, tumor, and treatment-related factors were analyzed.

Methods and materials
Patient population
The SEER 18-registry dataset (a set of 18 population-based regional cancer registries) was used in this study. Patients who were pathologically or clinically diagnosed with primary GB cancer (ICD-0-3 code 23.9/WHO 2008) between 2004 and 2013 were identified. The patients with unknown survival time were excluded. Tumors were classified according to the 7th edition of the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) staging manual [15].

Statistical analysis
The formula of cancer-specific CS rate is as follows [12]; 
\[ \text{CSS}(t) = \frac{\sum_i I(t_i > t)}{\sum_i I(t_i > 0)} \]
where \( t \) is the time point and \( I(t) \) is the indicator function of survival time. The cancer-specific CS rate can be defined as the 3-year CS rate of the selective patients who survived for 2 years \( n = 50 \) calculated by using newly formatted survival time (subtraction of 2 years from the initial survival time).

In this formula, the initial settings of 100 patients and the 2-year time interval are eliminated. Cancer-specific CS rates can be defined as the 3-year CS rate of the selective patients who survived for 2 years \( n = 50 \) calculated by using newly formatted survival time (subtraction of 2 years from the initial survival time).

With this concept, the 3-year cancer-specific CS rates for the patients who survived for \( x \) years were computed by the following procedures: (1) selection of \( x \)-year survivors; (2) subtraction of \( x \) years from the initial survival time; and (3) calculation of a 3-year CS rate for the survivors using the modified survival time.

The Kaplan–Meier method was used to estimate the CSS and cancer-specific CS rates. To calculate 95% confidence intervals (CIs) of the CSS and cancer-specific CS rates, the log–log transformation of survival was used [16].

Multivariate Cox proportional-hazards regression was performed to evaluate the hazard of CSS rate at the time of diagnosis and cancer-specific CS rates for multiple survival periods (1, 3, and 5 years after diagnosis). For instance, to compute the cancer-specific CS rate at 1 year after diagnosis, 1-year survivors were selected. After subtraction of 12 months from their survival time, a multivariate analysis was performed. Incorporated variables for the analysis at diagnosis were demographic (age at diagnosis, sex, race, marital status, insurance status), tumor (histology, grade, T, N, and M categories), and treatment-related factors (surgical extent, radiotherapy). Only the variables which were prognostic with \( P \) value less than 0.1 in the analysis of the previous period were selected and incorporated in the next period’s multivariate analysis sequentially. The multivariate Cox proportional hazards regression was performed using SPSS version 22.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

At the same time, considering the potential influence of covariates on the survival at each time point, the covariate-adjusted survival function in the Cox model was used to estimate the adjusted CSS and cancer-specific CS rates. Specifically, the CSS and cancer-specific CS rates calculated with an adjustment for age, sex, race, histology, grade, T, N, and M categories, surgery, radiotherapy, insurance, and marital status. The log–log-based point-wise CIs were obtained for the adjusted CSS and cancer-specific CS rates [17]. For example, to calculate the adjusted 3-year cancer-specific CS rate at 1 year after diagnosis, the patients who have survived 12 months were selected and these 12 months were subtracted from the survival times of the survivors. Subsequent analysis was performed using the Cox regression while incorporating all variables. Given the estimated coefficients from the Cox model, a covariate-adjusted survival function estimate was performed, and the estimated survival
rate at 36 months (that is, 48 months from diagnosis) was obtained as the adjusted 3-year cancer-specific CS rate at 1 year after diagnosis.

For subgroup analyses, the variables, which were found to be significant prognostic factors in the multivariate analyses, were selected to divide patients into multiple risk groups. The adjusted CSS rates and 3-year cancer-specific CS rates at 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 years after diagnosis were calculated and compared among risk groups.

All calculations of unadjusted or adjusted CSS and cancer-specific CS rates were carried out using STATA/MP (ver. 14.2; StataCorp LP, College Station, TX, USA).

**Results**

**Demographic and clinicopathologic characteristics**

A total of 9760 patients diagnosed with GB cancer between 2004 and 2013 were included in our analyses. The number of patients who were still alive was 3232, 1178, and 612 at 1, 3, and 5 years after diagnosis, respectively. Table 1 shows the characteristics of the patients at diagnosis as well as at 1, 3, and 5 years after diagnosis.

At diagnosis, the majority of patients were women \((n = 6748, 69.1\%)\) and white \((n = 7528, 77.1\%)\). A considerable proportion of patients had high-risk tumors with advanced T category (T3 or T4, \(n = 4492, 46.0\%)\), lymph node involvement \((n = 2623, 26.9\%)\), and distant metastasis \((n = 3266, 33.5\%)\). A majority of patients \((n = 6321, 64.8\%)\) received surgical treatment at primary site. Radiotherapy was administered in 1254 (12.8\%) patients.

**Trends of CSS and adjusted CSS rates**

The overall CSS rate was 54.4, 40.2, 34.3, 31.6, and 29.6\% at 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 years, respectively. The covariate-adjusted CSS rate showed a similar trend as the unadjusted CSS rate, although that was slightly lower (54.6, 38.3, 31.3, 28.3, and 26.1\% at 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 years, respectively) (Fig. 1).

**Trends of CS and adjusted CS rates**

While the CSS rate decreased over time, the 3-year cancer-specific CS rate increased gradually in each successive year. The increased 3-year cancer-specific CS rate approached a plateau phase (82.3, 88.0, and 92.3\% at 3, 4, and 5 years, respectively), suggesting that the majority of survivors at 5 years after diagnosis achieved stable cures of their GB cancer (Fig. 1). Even after covariate adjustment, the cancer-specific CS rates increased over time to reach a plateau. Although the rates were slightly lower than the unadjusted rates, the adjusted cancer-specific CS rate reached to 90.5\% at 5 years after diagnosis.

**Factors associated with CSS and cancer-specific CS rates**

Upon multivariate analysis at the time of diagnosis, patients who were young, white, had papillary histology, had low grade tumors, low T, N, and M categories, underwent surgery and radiotherapy, were insured, and were married had significantly higher CSS rates than the controls (Table 2). At 1 year after diagnosis, age 80 years or more \((P = 0.007)\), black race \((P = 0.040)\), high grade \((P = 0.043)\), high T, N, and M categories \((P < 0.001 \text{ for all})\) were identified as significantly adverse predictors, and papillary histology \((P = 0.003)\), surgery \((P = 0.012)\), and radiotherapy \((P = 0.015)\) were significantly favorable predictors. At 3 years after diagnosis, T3–4 and M1 diseases continued to predict low cancer-specific CS rate for survivors \((P < 0.001 \text{ and } P = 0.038, \text{ respectively})\). On multivariate analysis incorporating age, T and M categories, and surgery at 5 years after diagnosis, we found T category (for T2 disease, hazard ratio [HR] 1.346 and 95\% CI 0.567–3.199, \(P = 0.501\); for T3–4 disease, HR 3.388 and 95\% CI 1.400–8.196; \(P = 0.007\)) and M category (for M1, HR 4.620 and 95\% CI 1.476–14.457; \(P = 0.009\)) to be persistently significant prognostic factors.

**Subgroup analysis of CSS and cancer-specific CS rates**

The adjusted 5-year CSS rates of patients with T1, T2, and T3–4 disease were 56.8, 31.6, and 9.9\%, respectively. The adjusted 3-year cancer-specific CS rate for patients with T3–4 disease showed the greatest improvement from 13.9\% at 1 year after diagnosis to 84.4\% at 5 years after diagnosis. However, the significant inferiority of the 3-year cancer-specific CS rate continued at 3 years after diagnosis. The 3-year cancer-specific CS rates at 5 years for patients with T1, T2, and T3–4 disease were 94.0, 93.0, and 84.4\%, respectively, suggesting that the 5-year survivors with T1–2 diseases achieved stable cures of their disease.

The adjusted 5-year CSS rate of patients with distant metastasis (M1 disease) at time of diagnosis was only 2.5\%. The improvement of 3-year cancer-specific CS rate was decelerated and eventually ceased at 76.7\% at 5 years after diagnosis, indicating that patients with M1 disease at diagnosis still experience disease progression despite surviving 5 years (Fig. 2).

Surgery increased the 5-year CSS rate from 8.8\% to 37.3\%, with a 3-year cancer-specific CS rate of 90.5\% at 5 years after diagnosis. However, radiotherapy did not show any CSS and cancer-specific CS benefits. The patients who had low cancer-specific CS rates at an early period in the radiotherapy group were prone to have a low CSS rate at the late period. At 5 years after diagnosis, the CSS rates were 25.7\% and 7.8\% in the non-radiotherapy group and radiotherapy group, respectively. The
Table 1 Characteristics of patients with gallbladder cancer at the time of diagnosis and survivor characteristics at 1, 3, and 5 years after diagnosis

| Characteristic       | At diagnosis (n = 9760) | Time after diagnosis | 1 year (n = 3232) | 3 years (n = 1178) | 5 years (n = 612) |
|----------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| **Age at diagnosis (years)** |                        |                      |                   |                   |                   |
| < 65                 | 3056 (31.3)             | 1223 (37.8)          | 470 (39.9)        | 251 (41.0)        |                   |
| 65–79                | 3975 (40.7)             | 1339 (41.4)          | 493 (41.9)        | 261 (42.6)        |                   |
| ≥ 80                 | 2729 (28.0)             | 670 (20.7)           | 215 (18.3)        | 100 (16.3)        |                   |
| **Sex**              |                        |                      |                   |                   |                   |
| Men                  | 3012 (30.9)             | 956 (29.4)           | 320 (27.2)        | 158 (25.8)        |                   |
| Women                | 6748 (69.1)             | 2276 (70.4)          | 858 (72.8)        | 454 (74.2)        |                   |
| **Race**             |                        |                      |                   |                   |                   |
| White                | 7528 (77.1)             | 2506 (77.5)          | 908 (77.1)        | 473 (77.3)        |                   |
| Black                | 1163 (11.9)             | 370 (11.4)           | 128 (10.9)        | 64 (10.5)         |                   |
| Others               | 1040 (10.7)             | 347 (10.7)           | 139 (11.8)        | 73 (11.9)         |                   |
| Unknown              | 29 (0.3)                | 9 (0.3)              | 3 (0.3)           | 2 (0.3)           |                   |
| **Histology**        |                        |                      |                   |                   |                   |
| Non-papillary        | 9415 (96.5)             | 3007 (93.0)          | 1059 (89.9)       | 547 (89.4)        |                   |
| Papillary            | 345 (3.5)               | 225 (7.0)            | 119 (10.1)        | 65 (10.6)         |                   |
| **Grade**            |                        |                      |                   |                   |                   |
| Grade 1 or 2         | 3648 (37.4)             | 1821 (56.3)          | 716 (60.8)        | 372 (60.8)        |                   |
| Grade 3 or 4         | 2874 (29.4)             | 790 (24.4)           | 243 (20.6)        | 121 (19.8)        |                   |
| Unknown              | 3238 (33.2)             | 621 (19.2)           | 219 (18.6)        | 119 (19.4)        |                   |
| **T category**       |                        |                      |                   |                   |                   |
| T1                   | 1520 (15.6)             | 817 (25.3)           | 433 (36.8)        | 257 (42.0)        |                   |
| T2                   | 2354 (24.1)             | 1276 (39.5)          | 504 (42.8)        | 241 (39.4)        |                   |
| T3–4                 | 4492 (46.0)             | 979 (29.4)           | 212 (18.0)        | 99 (16.2)         |                   |
| Unknown              | 1394 (14.3)             | 160 (5.0)            | 29 (2.5)          | 15 (2.5)          |                   |
| **N category**       |                        |                      |                   |                   |                   |
| N0                   | 5521 (56.6)             | 2220 (68.7)          | 927 (78.7)        | 495 (80.9)        |                   |
| N1–2                 | 2623 (26.9)             | 786 (24.3)           | 188 (16.0)        | 82 (13.4)         |                   |
| Unknown              | 1616 (16.6)             | 226 (7.0)            | 63 (5.3)          | 35 (5.7)          |                   |
| **M category**       |                        |                      |                   |                   |                   |
| M0                   | 5594 (57.3)             | 2665 (82.5)          | 1085 (92.1)       | 568 (92.8)        |                   |
| M1                   | 3266 (33.5)             | 408 (12.6)           | 40 (3.4)          | 16 (2.6)          |                   |
| Unknown              | 900 (9.2)               | 159 (4.9)            | 53 (4.5)          | 28 (4.6)          |                   |
| **Surgery**          |                        |                      |                   |                   |                   |
| No                   | 3393 (34.8)             | 348 (10.8)           | 55 (4.7)          | 32 (5.2)          |                   |
| Yes                  | 6321 (64.8)             | 2873 (88.9)          | 1119 (95.0)       | 578 (94.4)        |                   |
| Unknown              | 46 (0.5)                | 11 (0.3)             | 4 (0.3)           | 2 (0.3)           |                   |
| **Radiotherapy**     |                        |                      |                   |                   |                   |
| No                   | 8329 (85.3)             | 2483 (76.8)          | 949 (80.6)        | 505 (82.5)        |                   |
| Yes                  | 1254 (12.8)             | 687 (21.3)           | 215 (18.3)        | 100 (16.3)        |                   |
| Unknown              | 177 (1.8)               | 62 (1.9)             | 14 (1.2)          | 7 (1.1)           |                   |
| **Insurance**        |                        |                      |                   |                   |                   |
| No                   | 1484 (15.2)             | 436 (13.5)           | 134 (11.4)        | 47 (7.7)          |                   |
| Yes                  | 5311 (54.4)             | 1769 (54.7)          | 547 (46.4)        | 204 (33.3)        |                   |
| Unknown              | 2965 (30.4)             | 1027 (31.8)          | 497 (42.2)        | 361 (59.0)        |                   |
adjusted 3-year cancer-specific CS rate at 5 years after diagnosis in the radiotherapy group was 82.5% (Fig. 3).

In subgroup analyses for patients treated with surgery, T and M categories were still significant prognostic factors. The 5-year CSS rates of patients with T1, T2, and T3–4 diseases were 65.7, 48.9, and 14.9%, respectively, and the patients with T1 and T2 diseases had 3-year cancer-specific CS rates of higher than 90% at 5 years after diagnosis (94.2% and 92.5%, respectively), whereas those with T3–4 diseases did not (78.6%). Even after surgery, patients with M1 disease showed a low 5-year CSS rate (7.1% vs. 45.5%) and 3-year cancer-specific CS rate (42.8% vs. 91.6%) at 5 years after diagnosis compared with patients with M0 disease.

When radiotherapy was administered after surgery, the adjusted CSS rate at 1 year after diagnosis increased from 65.0% to 77.3%. However, radiotherapy decreased the 3-year cancer-specific CS rate at an early period, resulting in a 5-year CSS rate of 34.1% in the radiotherapy group, which was similar to 38.7% in the non-radiotherapy group (Fig. 4).

Discussion
In the present study, we found that the 3-year cancer-specific CS rate of GB cancer patients increased over time, resulting in the covariate-adjusted 3-year cancer-specific CS rate of 90.5% at 5 years after diagnosis. The covariate-adjusted 5-year CSS rate was 26.1%. Therefore, 26.1% of GB cancer patients who survived 5 years can be expected to survive 3 more years with 90.5% of probability. T and M categories were significant prognostic factors at the time of diagnosis and their prognostic effects persisted until 5 years after diagnosis. However, local treatments at the time of diagnosis including surgery and radiotherapy were not prognostic factors at 5 years after diagnosis. The combined information of CSS and cancer-specific CS rates allowed more efficient prognostic and predictive analyses.

The CS rate is higher than the CSS rate estimated at the time of diagnosis. If a patient is still alive 2 years after diagnosis, the 3-year CS rate at 2 years after diagnosis is higher than the 5-year CSS rate, because the 5-year CSS rate includes the probability of patients who died within 2 years after diagnosis. For patients who survive, the CS rate can offer more accurate information regarding survival estimation compared with the traditionally used CSS rate. The cancer-specific CS rate is usually higher than the CSS rate even in patients who are alive with disease, and the difference between both rates is even more distinct for patients with poor prognosis cancers [18]. Therefore, the cancer-specific CS rate is a more relevant prognostic factor compared with the CSS rate, especially for the survivors of poor prognosis cancers.
Table 2 Cox proportional hazards regression analyses of cancer-specific survival at the time of diagnosis and cancer-specific conditional survival for gallbladder cancer survivors at 1, 3, and 5 years after diagnosis

| Characteristics          | At diagnosis (n = 9760) | 1 year after diagnosis (n = 3232) | 3 years after diagnosis (n = 1178) | 5 years after diagnosis (n = 612) |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
|                          | Patients | CSD | HR (95% CI) | P value | Survivors | CSD | HR (95% CI) | P value | Survivors | CSD | HR (95% CI) | P value | Survivors | CSD | HR (95% CI) | P value | Survivors | CSD | HR (95% CI) | P value |
| Age at diagnosis (years) |           |     |             |         |           |     |             |         |           |     |             |         |           |     |             |         |           |     |             |         |           |
| < 65                     | 3056     | 1760 (57.6) | Reference | 1.178 (1.104–1.256) | < 0.001 | 1223 | 477 (39.0) | Reference | 470 | 63 (13.4) | Reference | 251 | 15 (6.0) | Reference | 0.971 (1.472–1.998) | 0.937 |
| 65–79                    | 3975     | 2177 (54.8) | 0.988 (0.931–1.049) | 0.689 | 0689 | 2276 | 813 (35.7) | 0.040 | 128 | 15 (11.7) | 0.782 (0.455–1.346) | 0.375 | 64 (7.8) | 0.030 | 0.045 (0.30) | 0.132 |
| ≥ 80                     | 2729     | 1495 (54.8) | 0.997 (0.914–1.087) | 0.958 | 139 | 17 (12.2) | 0.861 (0.515–1.441) | 0.056 | 73 (1.4) | 0.0970 | 2 (0.0) | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Sex                      |           |     |             |         |           |     |             |         |           |     |             |         |           |     |             |         |           |     |             |         |           |
| Men                      | 3012     | 1633 (54.2) | Reference | 0.988 (0.931–1.049) | 0.689 | 0689 | 2276 | 813 (35.7) | 0.040 | 128 | 15 (11.7) | 0.782 (0.455–1.346) | 0.375 | 64 (7.8) | 0.030 | 0.045 (0.30) | 0.132 |
| Women                    | 6748     | 3799 (56.3) | 0.997 (0.914–1.087) | 0.958 | 139 | 17 (12.2) | 0.861 (0.515–1.441) | 0.056 | 73 (1.4) | 0.0970 | 2 (0.0) | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Race                     |           |     |             |         |           |     |             |         |           |     |             |         |           |     |             |         |           |     |             |         |           |
| White                    | 7528     | 4148 (55.1) | Reference | 0.988 (0.931–1.049) | 0.689 | 0689 | 2276 | 813 (35.7) | 0.040 | 128 | 15 (11.7) | 0.782 (0.455–1.346) | 0.375 | 64 (7.8) | 0.030 | 0.045 (0.30) | 0.132 |
| Black                    | 1163     | 683 (58.7) | 1.095 (1.009–1.189) | 0.040 | 128 | 15 (11.7) | 0.782 (0.455–1.346) | 0.375 | 64 (7.8) | 0.030 | 0.045 (0.30) | 0.132 |
| Others                   | 1040     | 596 (57.3) | 0.977 (0.914–1.087) | 0.958 | 139 | 17 (12.2) | 0.861 (0.515–1.441) | 0.056 | 73 (1.4) | 0.0970 | 2 (0.0) | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Unknown                  | 29       | 5 (17.2) | 0.374 (0.156–0.900) | 0.028 | 9 | 0 (0.0) | 0.873 | 0 | 0 (0.0) | 0.0970 | 2 (0.0) | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Histology                |           |     |             |         |           |     |             |         |           |     |             |         |           |     |             |         |           |     |             |         |           |
| Non-papillary            | 9415     | 5343 (56.7) | Reference | 0.988 (0.931–1.049) | 0.689 | 0689 | 2276 | 813 (35.7) | 0.040 | 128 | 15 (11.7) | 0.782 (0.455–1.346) | 0.375 | 64 (7.8) | 0.030 | 0.045 (0.30) | 0.132 |
| Papillary                | 345      | 89 (25.8) | 0.550 (0.444–0.680) | < 0.001 | 225 | 42 (18.7) | 0.622 (0.454–0.851) | 0.003 | 119 | 10 (8.4) | 0.792 (0.141–1.527) | 0.486 | 65 (1.5) | 0.132 | 0.132 | 0.132 |
| Grade                    |           |     |             |         |           |     |             |         |           |     |             |         |           |     |             |         |           |     |             |         |           |
| Grade 1–2                | 3648     | 1549 (42.5) | Reference | 0.988 (0.931–1.049) | 0.689 | 0689 | 2276 | 813 (35.7) | 0.040 | 128 | 15 (11.7) | 0.782 (0.455–1.346) | 0.375 | 64 (7.8) | 0.030 | 0.045 (0.30) | 0.132 |
| Grade 3–4                | 2874     | 1760 (61.2) | 1.523 (1.419–1.634) | < 0.001 | 790 | 353 (44.7) | 1.152 (1.004–1.322) | 0.043 | 243 | 40 (16.5) | 0.744 (0.506–1.093) | 0.132 | 121 (6.5) | 0.030 | 0.045 (0.30) | 0.132 |
| Unknown                  | 3238     | 2123 (65.6) | 1.144 (1.052–1.244) | 0.002 | 621 | 229 (66.9) | 0.776 (0.639–0.942) | 0.010 | 219 | 21 (9.6) | 0.703 (0.409–1.200) | 0.200 | 119 (3.2) | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |

Note: HR = Hazard Ratio, CI = Confidence Interval, CSD = Cancer-specific survival at the time of diagnosis, CSCD = Cancer-specific conditional survival.
Table 2 continued

| Characteristics | At diagnosis (n = 9760) | 1 year after diagnosis (n = 3232) | 3 years after diagnosis (n = 1178) | 5 years after diagnosis (n = 612) |
|-----------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
|                 | Patients CSD HR (95% CI) | Survivors CSD HR (95% CI) | P value | Survivors CSD HR (95% CI) | P value | Survivors CSD HR (95% CI) | P value | Survivors CSD HR (95% CI) | P value |
| T category      |                         |                                  |         |                          |         |                          |         |                          |         |
| T1              | 1520 525 (34.5) Reference | 817 160 (19.6) Reference | 0.012 1276 361 (28.3) | < 0.002 433 34 (7.9) Reference | 0.010 241 12 (5.0) 1.346 (0.567–3.199) | 0.501 |
| T2              | 2354 870 (370) 1.153 (1.032–1.289) | 0.012 1276 361 (28.3) |         |                          |         |                          |         |                          |         |
| T3–4            | 4492 3033 (67.5) 2.356 (2.133–2.602) | < 0.001 979 551 (56.3) 2.916 (2.405–3.337) | < 0.001 212 62 (29.2) 3.948 (2.486–6.270) | < 0.001 99 13 (13.1) 3.388 (1.400–8.196) | 0.007 |
| Unknown         | 1394 1004 (72.0) 1.897 (1.676–2.148) | < 0.001 160 90 (56.3) 2.629 (1.913–3.613) | < 0.001 29 9 (31.0) 7.250 (2.871–18.309) | < 0.001 15 0 (0.0) NA (NA) | 0.980 |
| N category      |                         |                                  |         |                          |         |                          |         |                          |         |
| N0              | 5521 2601 (47.1) Reference | 2220 646 (29.1) Reference | 1.213 (1.137–1.294) | < 0.001 188 41 (21.8) 1.727 (0.645–1.915) | 0.248 82 12 (14.6) | NA |
| N1–2            | 2623 1683 (64.2) 1.277 (1.173–1.392) | < 0.001 226 109 (48.2) 1.230 (0.947–1.598) | 0.120 63 11 (17.5) 1.088 (0.429–2.763) | 0.858 35 2 (5.7) | |
| Unknown         | 1616 1148 (71.0) 1.277 (1.173–1.392) | < 0.001 226 109 (48.2) 1.230 (0.947–1.598) | 0.120 63 11 (17.5) 1.088 (0.429–2.763) | 0.858 35 2 (5.7) | |
| M category      |                         |                                  |         |                          |         |                          |         |                          |         |
| M0              | 5594 2427 (43.4) Reference | 2665 831 (31.2) Reference | 2.050 (1.912–2.199) | < 0.001 400 258 (63.2) 2.346 (1.987–2.769) | < 0.001 40 12 (30.0) 2.022 (1.040–3.932) | 0.038 16 4 (25.0) 4.620 (1.476–14.457) | 0.009 |
| M1              | 3266 2385 (73.0) 2.050 (1.912–2.199) | < 0.001 400 258 (63.2) 2.346 (1.987–2.769) | < 0.001 40 12 (30.0) 2.022 (1.040–3.932) | 0.038 16 4 (25.0) 4.620 (1.476–14.457) | 0.009 |
| Unknown         | 900 620 (68.9) 1.417 (1.274–1.575) | < 0.001 159 73 (45.9) 1.051 (0.784–1.409) | 0.741 53 11 (20.8) 1.093 (0.447–2.675) | 0.845 28 0 (0.0) NA (NA) | 0.976 |
| Surgery         |                         |                                  |         |                          |         |                          |         |                          |         |
| No              | 3393 2427 (71.5) Reference | 348 190 (54.6) Reference | 0.576 (0.532–0.624) | < 0.001 2873 968 (33.7) 0.754 (0.605–0.940) | 0.012 1119 162 (14.5) 4.403 (1.466–13.219) | 0.008 578 33 (5.7) 3.000 (0.372–24.190) | 0.302 |
| Yes             | 6321 2970 (47.0) 0.576 (0.532–0.624) | < 0.001 2873 968 (33.7) 0.754 (0.605–0.940) | 0.012 1119 162 (14.5) 4.403 (1.466–13.219) | 0.008 578 33 (5.7) 3.000 (0.372–24.190) | 0.302 |
| Unknown         | 46 35 (76.1) 0.980 (0.684–1.404) | 0.913 11 4 (36.4) 0.841 (0.299–2.365) | 0.742 4 0 (0.0) NA (NA) | 0.969 2 0 (0.0) NA (NA) | 0.994 |
| Radiotherapy    |                         |                                  |         |                          |         |                          |         |                          |         |
| No              | 8329 4694 (56.4) Reference | 2483 829 (33.4) Reference | 949 120 (12.6) Reference | 505 25 (5.0) | NA |
| Yes             | 6321 2970 (47.0) 0.576 (0.532–0.624) | < 0.001 2873 968 (33.7) 0.754 (0.605–0.940) | 0.012 1119 162 (14.5) 4.403 (1.466–13.219) | 0.008 578 33 (5.7) 3.000 (0.372–24.190) | 0.302 |
| Unknown         | 46 35 (76.1) 0.980 (0.684–1.404) | 0.913 11 4 (36.4) 0.841 (0.299–2.365) | 0.742 4 0 (0.0) NA (NA) | 0.969 2 0 (0.0) NA (NA) | 0.994 |
### Table 2 continued

| Characteristics | At diagnosis (n = 9760) | 1 year after diagnosis (n = 3232) | 3 years after diagnosis (n = 1178) | 5 years after diagnosis (n = 612) |
|-----------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
|                 | Patients | CSD | HR (95% CI) | P value | Survivors | CSCD | HR (95% CI) | P value | Survivors | CSCD | HR (95% CI) | P value | Survivors | CSCD | HR (95% CI) | P value |
| Yes             | 1254     | 641 (51.1) | 0.740 (0.679–0.808) | < 0.001 | 687 | 314 (45.7) | 1.195 (1.035–1.379) | 0.015 | 215 | 45 (20.9) | 1.378 (0.933–2.035) | 0.107 | 100 | 9 (9.0) |
| Unknown         | 177      | 97 (54.8) | 0.876 (0.707–1.085) | 0.225 | 62 | 19 (30.6) | 0.897 (0.558–1.441) | 0.653 | 14 | 1 (7.1) | 0.583 (0.080–4.233) | 0.594 | 7 | 0 (0.0) |
| Insurance       |           |       |             |         |       |       |             |         |       |       |             |         |       |       |             |         |
| No              | 1484     | 804 (54.2) | Reference | 0.891 (0.822–0.966) | 0.005 | 436 | 135 (31.0) | Reference | 1.049 (0.867–1.269) | 0.625 | 134 | 13 (9.7) | NA | 47 | 0 (0.0) | NA |
| Yes             | 5311     | 2766 (52.1) | 0.891 (0.822–0.966) | 0.005 | 1769 | 586 (33.1) | 1.049 (0.867–1.269) | 0.625 | 547 | 53 (9.7) | NA | 204 | 9 (4.4) |
| Unknown         | 2965     | 1862 (62.8) | 1.061 (0.975–1.155) | 0.170 | 1027 | 441 (42.9) | 1.154 (0.948–1.405) | 0.154 | 497 | 100 (20.1) | 1.000 (0.798–1.246) | 0.000 | 361 | 25 (6.9) |
| Marriage        |           |       |             |         |       |       |             |         |       |       |             |         |       |       |             |         |
| No              | 4610     | 2603 (56.5) | Reference | 0.904 (0.852–0.958) | 0.001 | 1365 | 454 (33.3) | Reference | 1.093 (0.965–1.239) | 0.163 | 480 | 70 (14.6) | NA | 237 | 15 (6.3) | NA |
| Yes             | 4689     | 2591 (55.3) | 0.904 (0.852–0.958) | 0.001 | 1723 | 662 (38.4) | 1.093 (0.965–1.239) | 0.163 | 651 | 91 (14.0) | NA | 346 | 19 (5.5) |
| Unknown         | 461      | 238 (51.6) | 0.905 (0.792–1.035) | 0.144 | 144 | 46 (31.9) | 1.011 (0.746–1.371) | 0.942 | 47 | 5 (10.6) | 0.107 (0.050–0.229) | 0.29 | 29 | 0 (0.0) |

Patients at the time of diagnosis and survivors at 1, 3, and 5 years after diagnosis are presented as number of cases. CSD and CSCD are presented as number of cases followed by percentage in parentheses. CSD cancer-specific death, CSCD cancer-specific conditional death, HR hazard ratio, CI confidence interval, NA not applicable.
One of the advantages of the CS model compared with the Kaplan–Meier survival curve or multivariate regression models is that it offers more intuitive and quantitative information about the cure rate. Even without information about cancer recurrence or progression, the percentage of remaining patients when the CS rate reaches a plateau (e.g., > 90%) could be used as a surrogate for the stable cure rate of the disease [14]. By using CS analysis, the benefit of treatments can also be evaluated; the difference of the percentage of patients who reach a plateau of CS rate between control and treatment groups can be considered the improved cure rate from the treatment [19–24].

In the present study, multivariate analyses of cancer-specific CS rates at 1, 3, and 5 years after diagnosis also provided useful information that T and M categories were the most significant prognostic factors even at 5 years after diagnosis, whereas surgery and radiotherapy were not. This finding suggests that patients with high risks might benefit from adjuvant treatments including systemic therapy after initial radical treatments.

There is no argument based on our analysis that complete resection with negative margin is a necessary condition for potentially curative treatment, whereas the role of both chemotherapy and radiotherapy have not been fully established [25–27]. In our present study, surgery increased the 5-year CSS rate to 28.5% with a 3-year cancer-specific CS rate of 90.5% at 5 years after diagnosis. However, radiotherapy did not increase CSS or CS rates at 5 years after diagnosis even after covariate adjustment. In the subgroup analysis of the surgery group, although radiotherapy increased the 1-year CSS rate, the lower cancer-specific CS rate at 1 year after diagnosis compared with the non-radiotherapy group indicated a poor prognosis at late period, resulting in no difference in the CSS rate at 5 years after diagnosis between the radiotherapy and non-radiotherapy groups.

Hyder et al. [28] demonstrated a similar conclusion in a propensity score-matched SEER data analysis that adjuvant external beam radiotherapy after curative-intent resection for GB cancer showed a survival benefit at 1 year after diagnosis and the benefit dissipated at
5 years after diagnosis. This result gives an impression that although radiotherapy may delay the progression of the disease, it cannot increase long-term overall survival rate.

However, these results should be interpreted with caution. The discordance between the increased CSS rate and decreased cancer-specific CS rate at 1 year after diagnosis implies survivorship bias; patients who have benefit from radiotherapy might be those at high risk. In spite of the survival benefit of radiotherapy, the survival of the patients at high risk may be shorter than that of the patients at low risk, resulting in the change of the patient cohorts in the late period. Even in the study of propensity score-matched analysis of Hyder et al. [28], the patients with lymph node involvement had a long-term survival benefit from radiotherapy. Wang et al. [5, 29] built nomograms from the SEER database to predict the individualized survival benefit of adjuvant radiotherapy or chemoradiotherapy for patients with resected GB cancer, and these nomograms indicated that at least patients with T2 or N1 disease will gain survival benefit from radiotherapy.

One possible limitation of this study is that the consecutively decreasing number of patients in these time series multivariate analyses might have an influence on statistical significance. However, the sample size at 5 years after diagnosis was more than 600 for the analysis of the five variables, suggesting the impact would be minor.

If most of the patients with a specific adverse feature died during the early period, the cancer-specific CS rate would be less meaningful. For example, the estimated 1-year survival rate of patients in the non-surgery group was only 25.2%. In that case, a high cancer-specific CS rate at 5 years after diagnosis cannot be translated automatically into useless value of surgical treatment. Moreover, the Cox hazard ratio regression at late period is less powerful because of an insufficiently large sample size of patients at high risk (e.g., those with N1-2 diseases). A small number of survivors at 5 years after diagnosis
reflects the low 5-year CSS rate in a risk group, whereas the statistical power of the cancer-specific CS rate at 5 years after diagnosis might be weakened by this small sample size at 5 years after diagnosis.

Jaundice and abnormal liver function could affect the patients' prognosis, and the presence of gallstone(s) is also a major risk factor for GB cancer [30–32]. However, these statuses were not included in the SEER database.

Fig. 4 Covariate-adjusted (Cox model) CSS and 3-year cancer-specific CS rates of gallbladder cancer patients treated with surgery: a CSS rates according to T category; b cancer-specific CS rates according to T category; c CSS rates according to M category; d cancer-specific CS rates according to M category; e rates CSS according to radiotherapy; f cancer-specific CS rates according to radiotherapy. Error bars indicate 95% CIs. CSS cancer-specific survival, CS conditional survival.
SEER data did not offer any information on tumor recurrence or progression, surgical resection margin, and chemotherapy. With the data of patients with tumor recurrence, the conditional progression-free survival rate could be calculated and this rate would be more appropriate to serve as a surrogate for the cure rate of the patients with GB cancer [33].

Conclusions
The cancer-specific CS rate of patients with GB cancer offers more accurate survival information compared with the CSS rate to patients who survived for a certain period. T and M categories were still significant prognostic factors even 5 years after diagnosis, whereas local treatments at the time of diagnosis were not, suggesting that further adjuvant treatments might be helpful for the patients with high T and M categories.
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