Change-of-variable formula for the bi-dimensional fractional Brownian motion in Brownian time

Raghid Zeineddine

Abstract

Let $X^1, X^2$ be two independent (two-sided) fractional Brownian motions having the same Hurst parameter $H \in (0, 1)$, and let $Y$ be a standard (one-sided) Brownian motion independent of $(X^1, X^2)$. In dimension 2, fractional Brownian motion in Brownian motion time (of index $H$) is, by definition, the process $Z_t := (Z^1_t, Z^2_t) = (X^1_{Yt}, X^2_{Yt}), t \geq 0$. The main result of the present paper is an Itô’s type formula for $f(Z_t)$, when $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ is smooth and $H \in [1/6, 1)$. When $H > 1/6$, the change-of-variable formula we obtain is similar to that of the classical calculus. In the critical case $H = 1/6$, our change-of-variable formula is in law and involves the third partial derivatives of $f$ as well as an extra Brownian motion independent of $(X^1, X^2, Y)$. We also discuss the case $H < 1/6$.
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1 Introduction

Our aim in the present paper is to provide a change-of-variable formula for the fractional Brownian motion in Brownian time (fBmBt) in multi-dimension. For simplicity of the exposition and because the computations are rather involved, we will stick on dimension 2, which is representative of the difficulty. In dimension 1, the mathematical definition of fBmBt (together with its terminology) was introduced in our previous paper [12]. Let us give an analogue definition in dimension 2. Set

\[ Z_t := (Z^1_t, Z^2_t) = (X^1_t, X^2_t), \quad t \geq 0, \]  

where \( X^1, X^2 \) are two independent (two-sided) fractional Brownian motions having the same Hurst parameter \( H \in (0, 1) \), and \( Y \) is a standard (one-sided) Brownian motion independent of \((X^1, X^2)\).

The present work may be seen a natural follow-up of [12], in which we proved a change-of-variable for fBmBt in dimension one, that is, for \( Z^1 \). Before stating the results we have obtained, let us start with some historical comments and relationships with the existing literature. When the Hurst index of the fractional Brownian motion is \( H = 1/2 \), we note that \( Z^1 \) reduces to the iterated Brownian motion (iBm), a process introduced by Burdzy in [1]. iBm is self-similar of order \( \frac{1}{4} \), has stationary increments, and it is neither a Dirichlet process, nor a semimartingale, nor a Markov process in its own filtration. A key question was therefore how to define a stochastic calculus with respect to it. A beautiful answer was given by Khoshnevisan and Lewis [5], who developed a Stratonovich-type stochastic calculus with respect to iBm. Recall that the Stratonovich integral of a continuous process \( X \) with respect to another continuous process \( Y \) may be defined (provided the limit exists in some suitable sense) as follows:

\[
\int_0^t X_s dY_s := \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{2^n t-1} \frac{1}{2} (X_{k2^{-n}} + X_{(k+1)2^{-n}})(Y_{(k+1)2^{-n}} - Y_{k2^{-n}}).
\]

As observed in [6], in the iBm case it appears to be a very hard task to work directly with definition (1.2). To circumvent this difficulty, a nice idea of Khoshnevisan and Lewis have
consisted in modifying the definition (1.2) by replacing the uniform dyadic partition in the right-hand side by a suitable arrays of Brownian stopping times, relying to some classical excursion-theoretic arguments. Based on this new definition for the symmetric integral, Khoshnevisan and Lewis obtained, for the iBm (corresponding to $H = \frac{1}{2}$) and in dimension 1, a change-of-variable formula having a classical form:

$$f(Z^1_t) = f(0) + \int_0^t f(Z^1_s)d^sZ^1_s, \quad t \geq 0. \quad (1.3)$$

A natural question was then to extend (1.3) for other values of $H$. We did it in the joint paper [12] with Nourdin, by proving the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.1** Let $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth and bounded enough function.

1. If $H > \frac{1}{6}$ then

$$f(Z^1_t) = f(0) + \int_0^t f'(Z^1_s)d^sZ^1_s, \quad t \geq 0. \quad (1.3)$$

2. If $H = \frac{1}{6}$ then, with $\kappa_3 \simeq 2.322$,

$$f(Z^1_t) - f(0) + \frac{\kappa_3}{12} \int_0^t f'''(Z^1_s)d^3Z^1_s = \int_0^t f'(Z^1_s)d^sZ^1_s, \quad t \geq 0,$$

where $\int_0^t f'''(Z^1_s)d^3Z^1_s$ is a random variable equal in law to $\int_0^t f''(X^1_s)dW_s$, for $W$ a two-sided Brownian motion independent of the pair $(X^1, Y)$.

3. If $H < \frac{1}{6}$, then

$$\int_0^t (Z^1_s)^2d^sZ^1_s$$

does not exist (even stably in law).

Theorem 1.1 was proved by combining some techniques introduced in [5] with a recent line of research in which, by means of Malliavin calculus, one aims to exhibit change-of-variable formulas in law with a correction term which is an Itô integral with respect to martingale independent of the underlying Gaussian processes. Papers dealing with this problem and which are prior to our work include [2, 3, 4, 7, 10, 11].

In the present paper, our main aim is to extend Theorem 1.1 to the bi-dimensional case. To reach this goal, we follow and use a strategy introduced in [7] and [11]. We continue to let $X^1, X^2, Y, Z$ be as in (1.1), and we set $X = (X^1, X^2)$. The following definition will play a pivotal role in the sequel.
Definition 1.2 Let $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuously differentiable function, and fix a time $t > 0$.
1) Provided it exists, we define $\int_0^t \nabla f(X_s) dX_s$ to be the limit in probability, as $n \to \infty$, of
\[
O_n(f, t) = \sum_{j=0}^{[2/n^2 t]} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \left( \frac{X_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}^1 + X_{j2^{-n/2}}^1}{2}, \frac{X_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}^2 + X_{j2^{-n/2}}^2}{2} \right) \left( X_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}^1 - X_{j2^{-n/2}}^1 \right) + \sum_{j=0}^{[2/n^2 t]} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \left( \frac{X_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}^1 + X_{j2^{-n/2}}^1}{2}, \frac{X_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}^2 + X_{j2^{-n/2}}^2}{2} \right) \left( X_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}^2 - X_{j2^{-n/2}}^2 \right). 
\] (1.4)

2) When $O_n(f, t)$ defined by (1.4) does not converge in probability but converges stably instead, we denote the limit by $\int_0^t \nabla f(X_s) d^* X_s$.

A first preliminary result, which concerns the bi-dimensional fractional Brownian motion $X$, can now be stated. An analogue result for the fBmBt $Z$ will be the object of the forthcoming Theorem 1.4.

Theorem 1.3 Let $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function belonging to $C_b^\infty$, and fix a time $t > 0$.

1. If $H > 1/6$ then $\int_0^t \nabla f(X_s) dX_s$ is well-defined, and we have
\[
f(X_t) = f(0) + \int_0^t \nabla f(X_s) dX_s. \tag{1.5}
\]

2. If $H = 1/6$ then $\int_0^t \nabla f(X_s) d^* X_s$ is well-defined, and we have
\[
f(X_t) - f(0) - \int_0^t D^3 f(X_s) d^3 X_s \overset{law}{=} \int_0^t \nabla f(X_s) d^* X_s \tag{1.6}
\]
where $\int_0^t D^3 f(X_s) d^3 X_s$ is short-hand for
\[
\int_0^t D^3 f(X_s) d^3 X_s = \kappa_1 \int_0^t \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^3} (X_s^1, X_s^2) dB_s^1 + \kappa_2 \int_0^t \frac{\partial f}{\partial y^3} (X_s^1, X_s^2) dB_s^2 \tag{1.7}
\]
\[
+ \kappa_3 \int_0^t \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^2 \partial y} (X_s^1, X_s^2) dB_s^3 + \kappa_4 \int_0^t \frac{\partial f}{\partial x \partial y^2} (X_s^1, X_s^2) dB_s^4
\]
with $B = (B^1, \ldots, B^4)$ a 4-dimensional Brownian motion independent of $X$, $\kappa_1^2 = \kappa_2^2 = \frac{1}{36} \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} \rho^3(r)$ and $\kappa_3^2 = \kappa_4^2 = \frac{1}{18} \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} \rho^3(r)$ with $\rho$ defined in (2.25).

3. If $H < 1/6$, for $f(x, y) = x^3$ then
\[
\int_0^t \nabla f(X_s) d^* X_s \text{ does not exist, even stably in law.} \tag{1.8}
\]

So, it is impossible to write an Itô’s type formula.
Theorem 1.3 together with a suitable extension of the Khoshnevisan-Lewis definition for the Stratonovich integral (see the next section for a precise statement) with respect to $Z$ then lead to the following change-of-variable formula for $2D \ fBm \ B_t$, which represents the main finding of our paper.

**Theorem 1.4** Let $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function belonging to $C_\infty^b$, and fix a time $t > 0$.

1. If $H > 1/6$ then $\int_0^t \nabla f(Z_s) dZ_s$ is well defined, and we have

$$f(Z_t) = f(0) + \int_0^t \nabla f(Z_s) dZ_s.$$  \hfill (1.9)

2. If $H = 1/6$ then $\int_0^t \nabla f(Z_s) d^* Z_s$ is well defined, and we have

$$f(Z_t) - f(0) - \int_0^t D^3 f(Z_s) d^3 Z_s \overset{law}{=} \int_0^t \nabla f(Z_s) d^* Z_s$$  \hfill (1.10)

where $\int_0^t D^3 f(Z_s) d^3 Z_s$ is short-hand for

$$\int_0^t D^3 f(Z_s) d^3 Z_s = \kappa_1 \int_0^Y \frac{\partial^3 f}{\partial x^3} (X_s^1, X_s^2) dB_s^1 + \kappa_2 \int_0^Y \frac{\partial^3 f}{\partial y^3} (X_s^1, X_s^2) dB_s^2 + \kappa_3 \int_0^Y \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2 \partial y} (X_s^1, X_s^2) dB_s^3 + \kappa_4 \int_0^Y \frac{\partial^3 f}{\partial x \partial y^2} (X_s^1, X_s^2) dB_s^4,$$

with $B = (B^1, \ldots, B^4)$ is a 4-dimensional two-sided Brownian motion independent of $X$, and $\kappa_1, \ldots, \kappa_4$ as in Theorem 1.3 (B is also independent from $Y$.)

3. If $H < 1/6$, for $f(x,y) = x^3$ then

$$\int_0^t \nabla f(Z_s) d^* Z_s \text{ does not exist, even stably in law.}$$  \hfill (1.11)

So, it is impossible to write an Itô’s type formula.

A brief outline of the paper is as follows. In section 2, we introduce the framework and the preliminaries to prove our results, as well as the notation and some technical lemmas. In section 3, we prove Theorem 1.3. In section 4, we prove Theorem 1.4 and finally in section 5, we give the proof of a technical lemma.
2 Framework, preliminaries, notation and technical lemmas

2.1 The framework of Theorem 1.4

In this section, we explain and introduce the missing definitions of the mathematical objects appearing in Theorem 1.4.

1. Khoshnevisan-Lewis’ definition of the Stratonovich-integral with respect to the 1D fBmBt

Since the paths of $Z^1$ are very irregular (precisely: Hölder continuous of order $\alpha$ if and only if $\alpha$ is strictly less than $H/2$), as a matter of fact we won’t be able to define a stochastic integral with respect to it as the limit of Riemann sums with respect to a deterministic partition of the time axis. A winning idea, borrowed from Khoshnevisan and Lewis [5, 6], is to approach deterministic partitions by means of random partitions defined in terms of hitting times of the underlying Brownian motion $Y$. As such, one can bypass the random “time-deformation” forced by $Y$, and perform asymptotic procedures by separating the roles of $X$ and $Y$ in the overall definition of $Z^1$.

Following Khoshnevisan and Lewis [5, 6], we start by introducing the so-called intrinsic skeletal structure of $Z^1$. This structure is defined through a sequence of collections of stopping times (with respect to the natural filtration of $Y$), noted

$$\mathcal{S}_n = \{T_{k,n} : k \geq 0\}, \quad n \geq 1, \quad (2.12)$$

which are in turn expressed in terms of the subsequent hitting times of a dyadic grid cast on the real axis. More precisely, let $\mathcal{D}_n = \{j2^{-n/2} : j \in \mathbb{Z}\}, \quad n \geq 1,$ be the dyadic partition (of $\mathbb{R}$) of order $n/2$. For every $n \geq 1$, the stopping times $T_{k,n}$, appearing in (2.12), are given by the following recursive definition:

$$T_{k,n} = \inf \{s > T_{k-1,n} : Y(s) \in \mathcal{D}_n \setminus \{Y(T_{k-1,n})\}\}, \quad k \geq 1.$$ 

Note that the definition of $T_{k,n}$, and therefore of $\mathcal{S}_n$, only involves the one-sided Brownian motion $Y$. Also, for every $n \geq 1$, the discrete stochastic process

$$\mathcal{Y}_n = \{Y(T_{k,n}) : k \geq 0\}$$

defines a simple random walk over $\mathcal{D}_n$. As shown in [5, Lemma 2.2], as $n$ tends to infinity the collection $\{T_{k,n} : 1 \leq k \leq 2^n t\}$ approximates the common dyadic partition $\{k2^{-n} : 1 \leq k \leq 2^n t\}$ of order $n$ of the time interval $[0, t]$. More precisely,

$$\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |T_{[2^n s],n} - s| \to 0 \quad \text{almost surely and in } L^2(\Omega). \quad (2.13)$$
Based on this fact, one may introduce the counterpart of (1.2) based on \( T_n \), namely,

\[
V_n(f, t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor 2^n t \rfloor - 1} f \left( \frac{Z_{T_k,n}^1 + Z_{T_{k+1},n}^1}{2} \right) \left( Z_{T_{k+1},n}^1 - Z_{T_k,n}^1 \right).
\]

So, the integral of \( f(Z_1^1) \) with respect to \( Z_1^1 \) is defined as

\[
\int_0^t f(Z_1^1) dZ_1^1 := \lim_{n \to \infty} V_n(f, t),
\]

provided the limit exists in some sense.

2. A suitable definition for the Stratonovich-integral with respect to the 2D fBmBt

In the light of the previous definition of the integral with respect to 1D fBmBt and of Definition 1.2, it might seem natural to introduce the following definition for the integral with respect to the 2D fBmBt based on \( T_n \).

**Definition 2.1** Let \( f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R} \) be a continuously differentiable function, and fix a time \( t > 0 \). Provided it exists, we define

\[
\int_0^t \nabla f(Z_s) dZ_s := \lim_{n \to \infty} \tilde{O}_n(f, t),
\]

(2.14)

If \( \tilde{O}_n(f, t) \) defined by (2.14) does not converge in probability but converges stably, we denote the limit by \( \int_0^t \nabla f(Z_s) d^* Z_s \).

2.2 Some preliminary results

We provide now a description of the tools of Malliavin calculus that we need in this article. We follow in this section the idea introduced in [7]. The reader is referred to [9] for details and any unexplained result.

Let \( X = (X_1^1, X_2^2)_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \) be a 2D fBm with Hurst parameter belonging to \((0, 1)\). For all \( n \in \mathbb{N}^* \), we let \( \mathcal{E}_n \) be the set of step \( \mathbb{R}^2 \)-valued functions on \([-n, n] \), and \( \mathcal{E} := \cup_n \mathcal{E}_n \). Set \( \varepsilon_t = 1_{[0,t]} \) (resp. \( 1_{[t,0]} \)) if \( t \geq 0 \) (resp. \( t < 0 \)). Let \( \mathcal{H} \) be the Hilbert space defined as the closure of \( \mathcal{E} \) with respect to the inner product

\[
((\varepsilon_{t_1}, \varepsilon_{t_2}), (\varepsilon_{s_1}, \varepsilon_{s_2}))_{\mathcal{H}} = C_H(t_1, s_1) + C_H(t_2, s_2), \quad s_1, s_2, t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R},
\]
where \( C_H(t, s) = \frac{1}{2}(|s|^{2H} + |t|^{2H} - |t-s|^{2H}) = E(X_i^s X_i^t) \) (i equals 1 or 2). The mapping \((\varepsilon_t, \varepsilon_s) \mapsto X_1^t + X_2^s\) can be extended to an isometry between \( \mathcal{H} \) and the Gaussian space associated with \( X \). Also, let \( \mathcal{F}_n \) denote the set of step \( \mathbb{R} \)-valued functions on \([-n, n]\), \( \mathcal{F} := \cup_n \mathcal{F}_n \) and \( \mathcal{G} \) denote the Hilbert space defined as the closure of \( \mathcal{F} \) with respect to the scaler product induced by \[ (\varepsilon_t, \varepsilon_s)_{\mathcal{G}} = C_H(t, s), \quad s, t \in \mathbb{R}. \] (2.16)

The mapping \( \varepsilon_t \mapsto X_i^t \) (i equals 1 or 2) can be extended to an isometry between \( \mathcal{G} \) and the Gaussian space associated with \( X_i \).

We consider the set of smooth cylindrical random variables, i.e. of the form

\[ F = f(X(\rho_1), \ldots, X(\rho_m)), \quad \rho_i \in \mathcal{H}, \ i = 1, \ldots, m, \]

where \( f \in C_b^\infty \) is bounded with bounded derivatives. The derivative operator \( D \) of a smooth random variable of the above form is defined as the \( \mathcal{H} \)-valued random variable

\[ DF = \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(X(\rho_1), \ldots, X(\rho_m))\rho_i =: (D_{X^1}F, D_{X^2}F). \] (2.17)

For example, if \( F = f(X_1^t, X_2^s) \) with \( f \in C_b^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2) \), then

\[ DF = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(X_1^t, X_2^s)(\varepsilon_t, 0) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(X_1^t, X_2^s)(0, \varepsilon_s). \]

So, we deduce from (2.17) that

\[ D_{X_1}F = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(X_1^t, X_2^s)\varepsilon_t \quad \text{and} \quad D_{X_2}F = \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(X_1^t, X_2^s)\varepsilon_s. \]

In particular, we have

\[ D_{X^j}X_i^k = \delta_{jk}\varepsilon_t \quad \text{for} \ j, k \in \{1, 2\}, \text{and} \delta_{jk} \text{the Kronecker symbol}. \]

For any integer \( k \geq 2 \), one can define, by iteration, the \( k \)-th derivative \( D^kF \) (which is a symmetric element of \( L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{H}^{\otimes k}) \)). As usual, for any \( k \geq 1 \), the space \( \mathbb{D}^{k,2} \) denotes the closure of the set of smooth random variables with respect to the norm \( \|\cdot\|_{k,2} \) defined by

\[ \|F\|_{k,2}^2 = E(F^2) + \sum_{j=1}^k E[\|D^jF\|^2_{\mathcal{H}^{\otimes j}}]. \]

The Malliavin derivative \( D \) satisfies the chain rule. If \( \varphi : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \) is \( C_b^1 \) and if \( F_1, \ldots, F_n \) are in \( \mathbb{D}^{1,2} \), then \( \varphi(F_1, \ldots, F_n) \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2} \) and we have

\[ D\varphi(F_1, \ldots, F_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_i}(F_1, \ldots, F_n)DF_i. \]
We have the following Leibniz formula. For any \( F, G \in \mathbb{D}^{q,2} \) \((q \geq 1)\) such that \( FG \in \mathbb{D}^{q,2} \), for \( i \in \{1, 2\} \), we have

\[
D^q_{X_i}(FG) = \sum_{l=0}^{q} \binom{q}{l} (D^l_{X_i}(F))(\circ)(D^{q-l}_{X_i}G),
\]

(2.18)

where \( \circ \) stands for the symmetric tensor product. In particular, we have the following formula. Let \( \varphi, \psi \in C_b^q(\mathbb{R}^2) \) \((q \geq 1)\), and fix \( 0 \leq u < v \) and \( 0 \leq s < t \). Then \( \varphi(\frac{X^1+X^1}{2}, \frac{X^2+X^2}{2})\psi(\frac{X^1+X^1}{2}, \frac{X^2+X^2}{2}) \in \mathbb{D}^{q,2} \) and for \( i \in \{1, 2\} \) we have

\[
D^q_{X_i}\left( \varphi\left(\frac{X^1+X^1}{2}, \frac{X^2+X^2}{2}\right)\psi\left(\frac{X^1+X^1}{2}, \frac{X^2+X^2}{2}\right) \right)
\]

\[
= \sum_{l=0}^{q} \binom{q}{l} \frac{\partial^l \varphi}{\partial x^l_i}\left(\frac{X^1+X^1}{2}, \frac{X^2+X^2}{2}\right)\frac{\partial^{q-l} \psi}{\partial x^{q-l}_i}\left(\frac{X^1+X^1}{2}, \frac{X^2+X^2}{2}\right)
\]

\[
\times \left( \varepsilon_s + \varepsilon_t \right)^{\circ l} \left( \varepsilon_u + \varepsilon_v \right)^{(q-l)}. \]

(2.19)

A similar statement holds for \( u < v \leq 0 \) and \( s < t \leq 0 \).

If a random element \( u \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{H}) \) belongs to the domain of the divergence operator, that is, if it satisfies

\[
|E\langle DF, u \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}| \leq c_u \sqrt{E(F^2)} \quad \text{for any } F \in \mathcal{F},
\]

then \( I(u) \) is defined by the duality relationship

\[
E\left( FI(u) \right) = E\left( \langle DF, u \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \right),
\]

for every \( F \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2} \).

For every \( n \geq 1 \), let \( \mathbb{H}_n \) be the \( n \)th Wiener chaos of \( X \), that is, the closed linear subspace of \( L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P}) \) generated by the random variables \( \{H_n(X(h)), h \in \mathcal{H}, \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}} = 1\} \), where \( H_n \) is the \( n \)th Hermite polynomial. The mapping

\[
I_n(h^{\otimes n}) = H_n(X(h)),
\]

(2.20)

provides a linear isometry between the symmetric tensor product \( \mathcal{H}^{\otimes n} \) and \( \mathbb{H}_n \). The following duality formula holds

\[
E\left( FI_n(h) \right) = E\left( \langle D^n F, h \rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}} \right),
\]

for any element \( h \in \mathcal{H}^{\otimes n} \) and any random variable \( F \in \mathbb{D}^{n,2} \). In particular, we have

\[
E\left( FI_n^{(i)}(h) \right) = E\left( \langle D^n_{X_i} F, h \rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}} \right), \quad i = 1, 2,
\]

(2.21)
for any \( h \in \mathcal{G}^n \) and \( F \in \mathbb{D}^{n,2} \), where we write \( I_n^{(i)}(h) \) whenever the corresponding \( n \)-th multiple integral is only with respect to \( X^i \).

Finally, we mention the following particular cases (the only one we will need in the sequel): if \( f, g \in \mathcal{G}, \ n, m \geq 1 \) and \( i \in \{1, 2\} \), then we have the classical multiplication formula

\[
I_n^{(i)}(f^\otimes n) I_m^{(i)}(g^\otimes m) = \sum_{r=0}^{n+m} r! \binom{n}{r} \binom{m}{r} I_{n+m-2r}^{(i)}(f^\otimes n+m-r \otimes g^\otimes n+m-r) \langle f, g \rangle^\mathcal{G}.
\]

We have also the following isometric property,

\[
E[I_n^{(i)}(f^\otimes n)]^2 = n! (f, f)^n_{\mathcal{G}},
\]

and, for \( j \in \{1, 2\} \),

\[
D_{X^j}(I_n^{(i)}(f^\otimes n)) = \delta_{j,n} I_{n-1}^{(i)}(f^\otimes n-1).
\]

### 2.3 Notation

Throughout all the forthcoming proofs, we shall use the following notation. For all \( k, n \in \mathbb{N} \) we write

\[
\varepsilon_{k2^{n/2}} = 1_{[0,k2^{-n/2}]}, \quad \delta_{k2^{n/2}} = 1_{[(k-1)2^{-n/2}, k2^{-n/2}]},
\]

For all \( k \in \mathbb{Z}, H \in (0, 1) \), we write

\[
\rho(k) = \frac{1}{2}(|k + 1|^{2H} + |k - 1|^{2H} - 2|k|^{2H}).
\]

For any sufficiently smooth function \( f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R} \), the notation \( \partial_{1...12...2}^k \) (where the index 1 is repeated \( k \) times and the index 2 is repeated \( l \) times) means that \( f \) is differentiated \( k \) times with respect to the first component and \( l \) times with respect to the second one.

We denote for any \( j \in \mathbb{Z} \), \( \Delta_{j,n} f(X^1, X^2) := f \left( \frac{X^1_{(j+1)2^{-n/2} + X^1_{2^{-n/2}}}}{2}, \frac{X^2_{(j+1)2^{-n/2} + X^2_{2^{-n/2}}}}{2} \right) \).

For \( i \in \{1, 2\}, H \in (0, 1), X^{i,n}_j := 2^{nH} X^i_{j2^{-n/2}} \).

**Definition 2.2** For any \( t \in \mathbb{R}^+ \) and any \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), we define:

\[
K_n^{(1)}(f, t) := \frac{1}{24} \sum_{j=0}^{[\frac{2^{n+1}}{2}]} \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{111} f(X^1, X^2) I_3^{(1)}(\delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}})
\]

\[
K_n^{(2)}(f, t) := \frac{1}{24} \sum_{j=0}^{[\frac{2^{n+1}}{2}]} \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{222} f(X^1, X^2) I_3^{(2)}(\delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}})
\]
\[ K_n^{(3)}(f, t) := \frac{1}{8} \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n^2}{2} \rfloor} \Delta_{j,n} \partial_1 \partial_2 f(X^1, X^2) I_1^{(1)}(\delta_{(j+1)^2-n/2}^2) I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(j+1)^2-n/2}^2) \]

\[ K_n^{(4)}(f, t) := \frac{1}{8} \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n^2}{2} \rfloor} \Delta_{j,n} \partial_1 \partial_2 f(X^1, X^2) I_2^{(1)}(\delta_{(j+1)^2-n/2}^2) I_1^{(2)}(\delta_{(j+1)^2-n/2}^2). \]

For any \( r \in \mathbb{N}^* \) and \( \psi \in C^\infty_b(\mathbb{R}^{2r}, \mathbb{R}) \), we define \( \xi \) as follows:

\[ \xi = \psi(X^1_{s_1}, X^2_{s_1}, \ldots, X^1_{s_r}, X^2_{s_r}), \quad (2.26) \]

where \( s_1, \ldots, s_r \in \mathbb{R} \). In the proofs contained in this paper, \( C \) shall denote a positive, finite constant that may change value from line to line.

### 2.4 Some technical lemmas

A key tool in our analysis will be the next lemma, which can be deduced from the following Taylor’s theorem with remainder.

**Theorem 2.3** Let \( n \) be a nonnegative integer. If \( g \in C^n(\mathbb{R}^2) \), then

\[ g(k) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq n} \partial^\alpha g(l) \frac{(k - l)^\alpha}{\alpha!} + R_n(l, k), \quad (2.27) \]

where

\[ R_n(l, k) = n \sum_{|\alpha| = n} \frac{(k - l)^\alpha}{\alpha!} \int_0^1 (1 - u)^n [\partial^\alpha g(l + u(k - l)) - \partial^\alpha g(l)] du \]

if \( n \geq 1 \), and \( R_0(l, k) = g(k) - g(l) \). In particular, \( R_n(l, k) = \sum_{|\alpha| = n} h_\alpha(l, k)(k - l)^\alpha \), where \( h_\alpha \) is a continuous function with \( h_\alpha(l, l) = 0 \) for all \( l \). Moreover,

\[ |R_n(l, k)| \leq (n + 1) \sum_{|\alpha| = n} M_\alpha |(k - l)^\alpha|, \]

where \( M_\alpha = \sup \{ |\partial^\alpha g(l + u(k - l)) - \partial^\alpha g(l)| : 0 \leq u \leq 1 \} \).

Thanks to the previous theorem we deduce the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.4** Let \( f \in C^{13}_b(\mathbb{R}^2), \) then

\[ f(b, d) = f(a, c) + \sum_{i=1}^7 \sum_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 2i-1} C(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \partial_1^{\alpha_1} \partial_2^{\alpha_2} f \left( \frac{a + b}{2}, \frac{c + d}{2} \right) (b - a)^{\alpha_1} (d - c)^{\alpha_2} + R_{13}((b, d), (a, c)), \]
where \( \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{N} \), and

\[
|R_{13}((b, d), (a, c))| \leq C_f \sum_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 13} |b - a|^{\alpha_1} |d - c|^{\alpha_2},
\]

with \( C_f \) is a constant depending only on \( f \). On the other hand, we have \( C(1, 0) = C(0, 1) = 1 \), \( C(3, 0) = C(0, 3) = \frac{1}{2} \) and \( C(2, 1) = C(1, 2) = \frac{1}{8} \). The other constants: \( C(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \) could also be determined explicitly, but won’t need their explicit values.

**Proof.** By applying (2.27) to \( f \), we get

\[
f(b, d) = f(a, c) + \sum_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \leq 13} \partial^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}_{a, b} f(a, c) \frac{(b - a)^{\alpha_1} (d - c)^{\alpha_2}}{\alpha_1! \alpha_2!} + R_{13}((b, d), (a, c)).
\]

Since \( f \in C^1 \), observe that \( \exists C_f > 0 \) such that

\[
\left| \sum_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \leq 13} \partial^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}_{a, b} f(a, c) \frac{(b - a)^{\alpha_1} (d - c)^{\alpha_2}}{\alpha_1! \alpha_2!} \right| \leq C_f \sum_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 13} |b - a|^{\alpha_1} |d - c|^{\alpha_2},
\]

and such that

\[
|R_{13}((b, d), (a, c))| \leq 13 \sum_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 13} M_{(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)} |b - a|^{\alpha_1} |d - c|^{\alpha_2}
\leq 13 \sup_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 13} M_{(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)} \sum_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 13} |b - a|^{\alpha_1} |d - c|^{\alpha_2}
\leq C_f \sum_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 13} |b - a|^{\alpha_1} |d - c|^{\alpha_2}.
\]

So, we deduce that

\[
f(b, d) = f(a, c) + \sum_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \leq 12} \partial^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}_{a, b} f(a, c) \frac{(b - a)^{\alpha_1} (d - c)^{\alpha_2}}{\alpha_1! \alpha_2!} + \tilde{R}_{13}((b, d), (a, c)), \quad (2.28)
\]

where

\[
|\tilde{R}_{13}((b, d), (a, c))| \leq C_f \sum_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 13} |b - a|^{\alpha_1} |d - c|^{\alpha_2}.
\]

For each \( i \in \{1, \ldots, 12\} \) and each \( \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = i \), we define \( g_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, i} \) as

\[
g_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, i}(a, c) = \frac{(a + b, c + d)}{2}\frac{(a + b, c + d)}{2}
+ \sum_{\beta_1 + \beta_2 \leq 13 - i} \partial_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, i} g_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, 1} \left( \frac{a + b}{2}, \frac{c + d}{2} \right)
\times \frac{(a - b)^{\beta_1} (c - d)^{\beta_2}}{2^{\beta_1, \beta_2}} + \tilde{R}_{13-i}((a, c), \left( \frac{a + b}{2}, \frac{c + d}{2} \right))
\]

\[
= g_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, i}(a, c) + \sum_{\beta_1 + \beta_2 \leq 13 - i} C(\beta_1, \beta_2) \partial_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, i} g_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, i} \left( \frac{a + b}{2}, \frac{c + d}{2} \right)
\times b - a)^{\beta_1} (d - c)^{\beta_2} + \tilde{R}_{13-i}((a, c), \left( \frac{a + b}{2}, \frac{c + d}{2} \right)).
\]
By replacing $g_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,i}(a,c)$ in (2.28) we get
\[
f(b,d) = f(a,c) + \sum_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2 \leq 13} \tilde{C}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \tilde{\partial}^{\alpha_1,\alpha_2}_{1\ldots12\ldots2} f \left( \frac{a+b}{2}, \frac{c+d}{2} \right) (b-a)^{\alpha_1} (d-c)^{\alpha_2} + R_{13}((a,c), \left( \frac{a+b}{2}, \frac{c+d}{2} \right)),
\] (2.29)

where
\[
|R_{13}((a,c), \left( \frac{a+b}{2}, \frac{c+d}{2} \right))| \leq C_f \sum_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2=13} |b-a|^{|\alpha_1|} |d-c|^{\alpha_2}.
\]

Let us prove that $\forall i \in \{1, \ldots, 6\}$ and $\forall \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ / $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 2i$, we have
\[
\tilde{C}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = 0.
\] (2.30)

Indeed, let $f(x,y) = x^{\alpha_1} y^{\alpha_2}$. Thanks to (2.29), we get
\[
b^{\alpha_1} d^{\alpha_2} = a^{\alpha_1} c^{\alpha_2} + \sum_{\beta_1+\beta_2 \leq 2i-1} \tilde{C}(\beta_1, \beta_2) \tilde{\partial}^{\beta_1,\beta_2}_{1\ldots12\ldots2} f \left( \frac{a+b}{2}, \frac{c+d}{2} \right) (b-a)^{\beta_1} (c-d)^{\beta_2}
\]
\[
+ \alpha_1! \alpha_2! \tilde{C}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) (b-a)^{\alpha_1} (d-c)^{\alpha_2}. \tag{2.31}
\]

Let us now change $a$ into $b$ and $c$ into $d$ in the previous formula, so to get
\[
a^{\alpha_1} c^{\alpha_2} = b^{\alpha_1} d^{\alpha_2} + \sum_{\beta_1+\beta_2 \leq 2i-1} \tilde{C}(\beta_1, \beta_2) \tilde{\partial}^{\beta_1,\beta_2}_{1\ldots12\ldots2} f \left( \frac{b+a}{2}, \frac{d+c}{2} \right) (a-b)^{\beta_1} (c-d)^{\beta_2}
\]
\[
+ \alpha_1! \alpha_2! \tilde{C}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) (b-a)^{\alpha_1} (c-d)^{\alpha_2}. \tag{2.32}
\]

Observe that if in (2.32) $\beta_1 + \beta_2$ is odd (resp. is even) then $(a-b)^{\beta_1} (c-d)^{\beta_2} = (-1)^{\beta_1+\beta_2} (b-a)^{\beta_1} (d-c)^{\beta_2}$ (resp. $(b-a)^{\beta_1} (d-c)^{\beta_2}$). So, by taking the sum of (2.31) and (2.32) we get
\[
b^{\alpha_1} d^{\alpha_2} + a^{\alpha_1} c^{\alpha_2} = a^{\alpha_1} c^{\alpha_2} + b^{\alpha_1} d^{\alpha_2} + \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} \sum_{\beta_1+\beta_2 = 2k} 2 \tilde{C}(\beta_1, \beta_2) \tilde{\partial}^{\beta_1,\beta_2}_{1\ldots12\ldots2} f \left( \frac{b+a}{2}, \frac{d+c}{2} \right) (b-a)^{\beta_1}
\]
\[
\times (d-c)^{\beta_2} + 2 \alpha_1! \alpha_2! \tilde{C}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) (b-a)^{\alpha_1} (d-c)^{\alpha_2},
\]
leading to
\[
0 = \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} \sum_{\beta_1+\beta_2 = 2k} 2 \tilde{C}(\beta_1, \beta_2) \tilde{\partial}^{\beta_1,\beta_2}_{1\ldots12\ldots2} f \left( \frac{b+a}{2}, \frac{d+c}{2} \right) (b-a)^{\beta_1} (d-c)^{\beta_2}
\]
\[
+ 2 \alpha_1! \alpha_2! \tilde{C}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) (b-a)^{\alpha_1} (d-c)^{\alpha_2}. \tag{2.33}
\]

We deduce thanks to (2.33) that, for $i = 1$ and each $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 2$, we have $\forall a,b,c,d \in \mathbb{R}$,
\[
2 \alpha_1! \alpha_2! \tilde{C}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) (b-a)^{\alpha_1} (d-c)^{\alpha_2} = 0,
\]
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implying in turn $\tilde{C}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = 0$. Then, a simple recursive argument shows that for all $\forall i \in \{1, \ldots, 6\}$ and $\forall \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{N} / \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 2i$ we have $\tilde{C}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = 0$. As a result, (2.30) holds true.

It remains to prove that $\tilde{C}(1, 0) = \tilde{C}(0, 1) = 1$, $\tilde{C}(3, 0) = \tilde{C}(0, 3) = \frac{1}{m}$ and $\tilde{C}(2, 1) = \tilde{C}(1, 2) = \frac{1}{8}$. Thanks to (2.29) and (2.30), by taking $f(x, y) = x$ (resp. $f(x, y) = y$) we deduce immediately that $\tilde{C}(1, 0)$ (resp. $\tilde{C}(0, 1)$) equals 1. By taking $f(x, y) = x^3$ (resp. $f(x, y) = y^3$) we deduce that $\tilde{C}(3, 0)$ (resp. $\tilde{C}(0, 3)$) equals $\frac{1}{3}$. Finally, by taking $f(x, y) = x^2y$ (resp. $f(x, y) = xy^2$) we deduce that $\tilde{C}(2, 1)$ (resp. $\tilde{C}(1, 2)$) equals $\frac{1}{8}$. The proof of Lemma 2.4 is complete.

The following lemma gathers several estimates that will be needed while completing the proof of our theorems.

**Lemma 2.5** Suppose that $H < 1/2$. Then

1. For all $j, k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $u \in \mathbb{R}$,
   \[
   |\langle \varepsilon_u, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle G| \leq 2^{-nH},
   \]
   (2.34)

2. For all integers $r, n \geq 1$ and all $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$, and with $C_{H,r}$ a constant depending only on $H$ and $r$ (but independent of $t$ and $n$),
   \[
   \sum_{k,l=0}^{\lceil 2^{n/2} \rceil - 1} |\langle \delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}} \delta_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle G|^r \leq C_{H,r} t 2^n(\pi^{-r}H).
   \]
   (2.35)

3. For all integer $n \geq 1$ and all $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$,
   \[
   \sum_{k,l=0}^{\lceil 2^{n/2} \rceil - 1} |\langle \varepsilon_{k2^{-n/2}} \delta_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle G| \leq 2^{-nH-1} + 2^{1+n/2} t^{2H+1},
   \]
   (2.36)
   \[
   \sum_{k,l=0}^{\lceil 2^{n/2} \rceil - 1} |\langle \varepsilon_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}} \delta_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle G| \leq 2^{-nH-1} + 2^{1+n/2} t^{2H+1}.
   \]
   (2.37)

**Proof.**

1) We have, for all $0 \leq s \leq t$ and $i \in \{1, 2\}$,
   \[
   E(X_u^i(X_t^i - X_s^i)) = \frac{1}{2}(t^{2H} - s^{2H}) + \frac{1}{2}(|s - u|^{2H} - |t - u|^{2H}).
   \]
   Thanks to (2.16), we have
   \[
   \langle \varepsilon_u, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle G = E(X_u^i(X_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} - X_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}^i)) \text{.}
   \]
   Since for $H < 1/2$ one has $|b^{2H} - a^{2H}| \leq |b - a|^{2H}$ for any $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^+$, we immediately deduce (2.34).
2) Thanks to (2.16), for \( i \in \{1, 2\} \), we have that
\[
\langle \delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}; \delta_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle_{\mathcal{G}} = (E[(X_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}^i - X_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}}^i)(X_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}}^i - X_{l2^{-n/2}}^i)])^r.
\]

Thus,
\[
\sum_{k,l=0}^{[2^{n/2}t]-1} |\langle \delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}; \delta_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle_{\mathcal{G}}|^r
= 2^{-nrH-r} \sum_{k,l=0}^{[2^{n/2}t]-1} ||k - l + 1|^{2H} + |k - l - 1|^{2H} - 2|k - l|^{2H}|^r.
\]

By first setting \( p = k - l \) and then applying Fubini, we get that the latter quantity is equal to:
\[
2^{-nrH-r} \sum_{p=1-\lfloor 2^{n/2}t \rfloor}^{[2^{n/2}t]-1} ||p + 1|^{2H} + |p - 1|^{2H} - 2|p|^{2H}|^r (p + \lfloor 2^{n/2}t \rfloor) \wedge \lfloor 2^{n/2}t \rfloor - p \vee 0
\leq 2^{-nrH-r} \sum_{p=1-\lfloor 2^{n/2}t \rfloor}^{[2^{n/2}t]-1} ||p + 1|^{2H} + |p - 1|^{2H} - 2|p|^{2H}|^r
\leq C_{H,r} t \frac{2}{r} 2^{-nrH},
\]

where \( C_{H,r} := \frac{1}{2^r} \sum_{p=-\infty}^{+\infty} ||p + 1|^{2H} + |p - 1|^{2H} - 2|p|^{2H}|^r \). Observe that \( C_{H,r} \) is finite because \( H < \frac{1}{2} \). This shows (2.35).

3) Thanks to (2.16), for \( i \in \{1, 2\} \), we have that
\[
\langle \xi_{k2^{-n/2}}; \xi_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle_{\mathcal{G}} = E[X_{k2^{-n/2}}^i(X_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}}^i - X_{l2^{-n/2}}^i)].
\]

Thus,
\[
\sum_{k,l=0}^{[2^{n/2}t]-1} |\langle \xi_{k2^{-n/2}}; \xi_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle_{\mathcal{G}}|
= 2^{-nH-1} \sum_{k,l=0}^{[2^{n/2}t]-1} |(l + 1)^{2H} - l^{2H} + |k - l|^{2H} - |k - l - 1|^{2H}|
\leq 2^{-nH-1} \sum_{k,l=0}^{[2^{n/2}t]-1} |(l + 1)^{2H} - l^{2H}| + 2^{-nH-1} \sum_{k,l=0}^{[2^{n/2}t]-1} ||k - l|^{2H} - |k - l - 1|^{2H}|.
\]
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We have
\[
2^{-nH-1} \sum_{k,l=0}^{[2^n/2t]-1} |(l + 1)^{2H} - l^{2H}| = 2^{-nH-1}[2^{n/2}t] \sum_{l=0}^{[2^n/2t]-1} ((l + 1)^{2H} - l^{2H})
\]
\[= 2^{-nH-1}[2^{n/2}t]([2^{n/2}t])^{2H} \leq \frac{1}{2} 2^{n/2}t^{2H+1}. \tag{2.38}
\]

On the other hand, a telescoping sum argument leads to
\[
2^{-nH-1} \sum_{k,l=0}^{[2^n/2t]-1} |k - l|^{2H} - |k - l - 1|^{2H} | \leq 2^{-nH-1} + 2^{n/2}t^{2H+1}. \tag{2.39}
\]

By combining (2.38) and (2.39) we deduce (2.36). The proof of (2.37) may be done similarly.

\[\]

Lemma 2.6 Suppose that \( H < 1/2 \). Then

1. For \( t \geq 0 \)
\[
\sum_{j=0}^{[2^{n/2}t]-1} \left| \left\langle \varepsilon_{j2^{-n/2} + \varepsilon_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \right\rangle_g \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} t^{2H}. \tag{2.40}
\]

2. For \( s \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( t \geq 0 \)
\[
\sum_{j=0}^{[2^{n/2}t]-1} \left| \left\langle \varepsilon_s, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \right\rangle_g \right| \leq 2t^{2H}. \tag{2.41}
\]

Proof.
1) Thanks to (2.16) we have
\[
\left\langle \varepsilon_{j2^{-n/2}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}} \right\rangle_g = \frac{1}{2} 2^{-nH}(|j + 1|^{2H} - |j|^{2H})
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{2} 2^{-nH}(|j + 1|^{2H} - |j|^{2H} - 1). \tag{2.40}
\]

Hence, we get that
\[
\left\langle \frac{\varepsilon_{j2^{-n/2} + \varepsilon_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}}}{2}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \right\rangle_g = \frac{1}{2} 2^{-nH}(|j + 1|^{2H} - |j|^{2H}).
\]
and, by a telescoping argument, it yields

$$\sum_{j=0}^{[\frac{\pi t}{2}] - 1} \left| \langle \varepsilon_s, \delta(j+1)2^{-n/2} \rangle_G \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} t^{2H},$$

which proves (2.40).

2) Thanks to (2.16), for \( i \in \{1, 2\} \), we have

$$\langle \varepsilon_s, \delta(j+1)2^{-n/2} \rangle_G = E[X^i(X^i_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} - X^i_{j2^{-n/2}})]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} 2^{-nH} (|j+1|^{2H} - |j|^{2H}) + \frac{1}{2} 2^{-nH} (|s2^{-n/2} - j|^{2H} - |s2^{-n/2} - j-1|^{2H}).$$

We deduce that

1. If \( s \geq 0 \):

   (a) if \( s \leq t \):

   $$\sum_{j=0}^{[\frac{\pi t}{2}] - 1} \left| \langle \varepsilon_s, \delta(j+1)2^{-n/2} \rangle_G \right|$$

   $$\leq \frac{1}{2} t^{2H} + \frac{1}{2} 2^{-nH} \sum_{j=0}^{[\frac{\pi s}{2}] - 1} ((s2^{n/2} - j)^{2H} - (s2^{n/2} - j-1)^{2H})$$

   $$+ \frac{1}{2} 2^{-nH} |s2^{n/2} - s2^{\frac{n}{2}}|^{2H} - |s2^{n/2} - s2^{\frac{n}{2}} - 1|^{2H}|$$

   $$+ \frac{1}{2} 2^{-nH} \sum_{j=0}^{[\frac{\pi t}{2}] - 1} ((j + 1 - s2^{n/2})^{2H} - (j - s2^{n/2})^{2H})$$

   $$= \frac{1}{2} t^{2H} + \frac{1}{2} 2^{-nH} ((s2^{n/2})^{2H} - (s2^{n/2} - |s2^{\frac{n}{2}}|)^{2H})$$

   $$+ \frac{1}{2} 2^{-nH} |s2^{n/2} - s2^{\frac{n}{2}}|^{2H} - |s2^{n/2} - s2^{\frac{n}{2}} - 1|^{2H}|$$

   $$+ \frac{1}{2} 2^{-nH} (([\frac{\pi t}{2}] - s2^{n/2})^{2H} - (|s2^{\frac{n}{2}} - s2^{n/2} + 1|^{2H}),$$

   where we have obtained the first equality by a telescoping argument. As a consequence of the previous calculation, and since \( |b^{2H} - a^{2H}| \leq |b - a|^{2H} \) for \( H < 1/2 \) and \( a, b \in \mathbb{R}_+ \), we deduce that

   $$\sum_{j=0}^{[\frac{\pi t}{2}] - 1} \left| \langle \varepsilon_s, \delta(j+1)2^{-n/2} \rangle_G \right|$$

   $$\leq \frac{1}{2} t^{2H} + \frac{1}{2} 2^{-nH} (s2^{n/2})^{2H} + \frac{1}{2} 2^{-nH} (s2^{n/2})^{2H} + \frac{1}{2} 2^{-nH} (|2^{\frac{n}{2}}t| - [2^{\frac{n}{2}}s] - 1)^{2H}$$
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\[ \leq \frac{1}{2} t^{2H} + \frac{1}{2} t^{2H} + \frac{1}{2} 2^{-nH} ([2^n t])^{2H} + \frac{1}{2} 2^{-nH} ([2^n t])^{2H} \]

\[ \leq 2t^{2H}, \]

meaning that (2.41) holds true.

(b) if \( s > t \): by the same argument that was used in the previous case, we have

\[ \sum_{j=0}^{[2^n t]-1} |\langle \varepsilon_x, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle_G | \]

\[ \leq \frac{1}{2} t^{2H} + \frac{1}{2} 2^{-nH} \sum_{j=0}^{[2^n t]-1} |(s2^{n/2} - j)^{2H} - (s2^{n/2} - j - 1)^{2H} | \]

\[ = \frac{1}{2} t^{2H} + \frac{1}{2} 2^{-nH} ((s2^{n/2})^{2H} - (s2^{n/2} - [2^n t])^{2H}) \]

\[ \leq \frac{1}{2} t^{2H} + \frac{1}{2} 2^{-nH} ([2^n t])^{2H} \leq t^{2H}, \]

and (2.41) holds true.

2. If \( s < 0 \):

\[ \sum_{j=0}^{[2^n t]-1} |\langle \varepsilon_x, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle_G | \]

\[ \leq \frac{1}{2} t^{2H} + \frac{1}{2} 2^{-nH} \sum_{j=0}^{[2^n t]-1} |(j + 1 + (-s)2^{n/2})^{2H} - (j + (-s)2^{n/2})^{2H} | \]

\[ = \frac{1}{2} t^{2H} + \frac{1}{2} 2^{-nH} \sum_{j=0}^{[2^n t]-1} ((j + 1 + (-s)2^{n/2})^{2H} - (j + (-s)2^{n/2})^{2H} ) \]

\[ = \frac{1}{2} t^{2H} + \frac{1}{2} 2^{-nH} (([2^n t] + (-s)2^{n/2})^{2H} - (-s2^{n/2})^{2H} ) \]

\[ \leq \frac{1}{2} t^{2H} + \frac{1}{2} (t + (-s))^{2H} - (-s)^{2H} \]

\[ \leq \frac{1}{2} t^{2H} + \frac{1}{2} t^{2H}, \]

where the second equality follows from a telescoping argument and the last inequality follows from the relation \( |b^{2H} - a^{2H}| \leq |b - a|^{2H} \) for any \( a, b \in \mathbb{R}_+ \). The last inequality shows that (2.41) holds true.
Lemma 2.7 Suppose that $f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and set $H = 1/6$. Fix $t \geq 0$. Then

$$
\sup_{n \geq 1} \sup_{i_1, i_2 \in \{0, \ldots, \lfloor \frac{2\pi}{H} t \rfloor - 1\}} \sum_{i_3, i_4 = 0} \left| E \left( \Delta_{i_1, n} f_1(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{i_2, n} f_2(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{i_3, n} f_3(X^1, X^2) \times \Delta_{i_4, n} f_4(X^1, X^2) I_1^{(1)}(\delta_{(i_1+1)2-n/2}) I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(i_3+1)2-n/2}) I_1^{(1)}(\delta_{(i_4+1)2-n/2}) I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(i_4+1)2-n/2}^\circ) \right) \right| \leq C(t + t^2),
$$

where $C$ is a positive constant depending only on $f$ (but independent of $n$ and $t$).

Proof. By the product formula \[(2.22)\], we have

$$
I_1^{(1)}(\delta_{(i_3+1)2-n/2}) I_1^{(1)}(\delta_{(i_4+1)2-n/2}) = I_2^{(1)}(\delta_{(i_3+1)2-n/2} \otimes \delta_{(i_4+1)2-n/2}) + \delta_{(i_3+1)2-n/2, \delta_{(i_4+1)2-n/2}}^\circ (2.42)
$$

$$
I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(i_3+1)2-n/2}) I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(i_4+1)2-n/2}) = I_4^{(2)}(\delta_{(i_3+1)2-n/2} \otimes \delta_{(i_4+1)2-n/2}^\circ) + 4 I_3^{(2)}(\delta_{(i_3+1)2-n/2} \otimes \delta_{(i_4+1)2-n/2}^\circ) (2.43)
$$

Thanks to \[(2.42)\] we deduce that, for all $i_1, i_2 \in \{0, \ldots, \lfloor \frac{2\pi}{H} t \rfloor - 1\},$

$$
\sum_{i_3, i_4 = 0} \left| E \left( \Delta_{i_1, n} f_1(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{i_2, n} f_2(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{i_3, n} f_3(X^1, X^2) \times \Delta_{i_4, n} f_4(X^1, X^2) I_1^{(1)}(\delta_{(i_1+1)2-n/2}) I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(i_3+1)2-n/2}^\circ) I_1^{(1)}(\delta_{(i_4+1)2-n/2}) I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(i_4+1)2-n/2}^\circ) \right) \right| \leq \sum_{i_3, i_4 = 0} \left| E \left( \Delta_{i_1, n} f_1(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{i_2, n} f_2(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{i_3, n} f_3(X^1, X^2) \times \Delta_{i_4, n} f_4(X^1, X^2) I_2^{(1)}(\delta_{(i_1+1)2-n/2} \otimes \delta_{(i_4+1)2-n/2}^\circ) I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(i_3+1)2-n/2}^\circ) I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(i_4+1)2-n/2}^\circ) \right) \right| + \sum_{i_3, i_4 = 0} \left| E \left( \Delta_{i_1, n} f_1(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{i_2, n} f_2(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{i_3, n} f_3(X^1, X^2) \times \Delta_{i_4, n} f_4(X^{(1)}, X^{(2)}) I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(i_3+1)2-n/2}^\circ) I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(i_4+1)2-n/2}^\circ) \right) \right| \left| \delta_{(i_3+1)2-n/2, \delta_{(i_4+1)2-n/2}^\circ} \right| \leq M_{n,1}(i_1, i_2, t) + M_{n,2}(i_1, i_2, t),
$$

with obvious notation at the last line. Set

$$
\phi(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4) := \Delta_{i_1, n} f_1(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{i_2, n} f_2(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{i_3, n} f_3(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{i_4, n} f_4(X^1, X^2).
$$
Let us prove that, for \( i \in \{1, 2\} \), \( \exists C > 0 \) such that:
\[
\sup_{n \geq 0} \sup_{i_1, i_2 \in \{0, \ldots, [2^T n] - 1\}} M_{n,i}(i_1, i_2, t) \leq C(t + t^2). \tag{2.44}
\]

1. For \( i = 1 \): thanks to the duality formula (2.21), we have
\[
M_{n,1}(i_1, i_2, t) = \sum_{i_3, i_4=0}^{[2^T n] - 1} \left| E \left( D_{X^1}(\phi(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4)), \delta_{(i_3+1)2^{-n/2}} \otimes \delta_{(i_4+1)2^{-n/2}} \right) \times I_2(\delta_{(i_3+1)2^{-n/2}}) I_2(\delta_{(i_4+1)2^{-n/2}}) \right|.
\]

Observe that, thanks to (2.18) and (2.19), we have
\[
D_{X^1}(\phi(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4)) = \sum_{j=1}^{4} \phi_j(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4) \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{i,2^{-n/2}} + \varepsilon_{(i+1)2^{-n/2}}}{2} \right),
\]
where \( \phi_j(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4) \) is a quantity having a similar form as \( \phi(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4) \) and arising when one differentiates \( \Delta_{i,n} f_j(X^1, X^2) \) in \( \phi(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4) \) with respect to \( X^1 \). By combining this fact with (2.34), we get
\[
M_{n,1}(i_1, i_2, t) \leq (2^{-n/6})^2 \sum_{j=1}^{4} \sum_{i_3, i_4=0}^{[2^T n] - 1} \left| E \left( \phi_j(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4) I_2(\delta_{(i_3+1)2^{-n/2}}) I_2(\delta_{(i_4+1)2^{-n/2}}) \right) \right|
\]
\[
= \sum_{j=1}^{4} M_{n,1}^{(j)}(i_1, i_2, t)
\]
with obvious notation at the last line. We have to prove that, for all \( j \in \{1, \ldots, 4\} \), one has \( \sup_{n \geq 0} M_{n,1}^{(j)}(i_1, i_2, t) \leq C(t + t^2) \). Let us do it. Thanks to (2.43), we have
\[
M_{n,1}^{(j)}(i_1, i_2, t) = 2^{-n/3} \sum_{i_3, i_4=0}^{[2^T n] - 1} \left| E \left( \phi_j(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4) I_2(\delta_{(i_3+1)2^{-n/2}}) I_2(\delta_{(i_4+1)2^{-n/2}}) \right) \right|
\]
\[
+ 42^{-n/3} \sum_{i_3, i_4=0}^{[2^T n] - 1} \left| E \left( \phi_j(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4) I_2(\delta_{(i_3+1)2^{-n/2}}) I_2(\delta_{(i_4+1)2^{-n/2}}) \right) \right|
\]
\[
\times \left| \langle \delta_{(i_3+1)2^{-n/2}}, \delta_{(i_4+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle \right|
\]
\[
+ 22^{-n/3} \sum_{i_3, i_4=0}^{[2^T n] - 1} \left| E \left( \phi_j(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4) \right) \right| \left| \langle \delta_{(i_3+1)2^{-n/2}}, \delta_{(i_4+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle \right|^2.
\]
Thanks to the duality formula (2.21), to (2.19) and to (2.34) and since $\phi_j$ is bounded, we deduce that

$$
\left| E \left( \phi_j(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4) I_4^{(2)} \left( \delta_{(i_3+1)2-\frac{n}{2}} \otimes \delta_{(i_4+1)2-\frac{n}{2}} \right) \right) \right|
= \left| E \left( \langle D_X^2 \phi_j(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4) \rangle, \delta_{(i_3+1)2-\frac{n}{2}} \otimes \delta_{(i_4+1)2-\frac{n}{2}} \right) \right|
\leq C_j (2^{-n/6})^4,
\left| E \left( \phi_j(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4) I_4^{(2)} \left( \delta_{(i_3+1)2-\frac{n}{2}} \otimes \delta_{(i_4+1)2-\frac{n}{2}} \right) \right) \right|
= \left| E \left( \langle D_X^2 \phi_j(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4) \rangle, \delta_{(i_3+1)2-\frac{n}{2}} \otimes \delta_{(i_4+1)2-\frac{n}{2}} \right) \right|
\leq C_j (2^{-n/6})^2.
$$

By combining these inequalities with (2.35), we get

$$
M^{(j)}_{n,1}(i_1, i_2, t) \leq C_j 2^{-n/2} t^2 + C_j t^2 2^{-n/3} 2^{n/3} + C_j t^2 2^{-n/3} 2^{n/6}
\leq C_j (t + t^2).
$$

Hence $\exists C > 0$ such that for all $j \in \{1, \ldots, 4\}$, $\sup_{n \geq 0} \sup_{i_1, i_2 \in [0, \ldots, [2 \pi t^-1]} M^{(j)}_{n,1}(i_1, i_2, t) \leq C (t + t^2)$. So, we have the desired conclusion (2.44) for $i = 1$.

2. for $i = 2$ : Thanks to (2.33), we have

$$
M_{n,2}(i_1, i_2, t) = \sum_{i_3, i_4 = 0}^{[\frac{2 \pi t}{2}]-1} \left| E \left( \phi(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4) I_4^{(2)} \left( \delta_{(i_3+1)2-\frac{n}{2}} \otimes \delta_{(i_4+1)2-\frac{n}{2}} \right) \right) \right|
\times \left| \langle \delta_{(i_3+1)2-\frac{n}{2}}, \delta_{(i_4+1)2-\frac{n}{2}} \rangle \right|
+ 4 \sum_{i_3, i_4 = 0}^{[\frac{2 \pi t}{2}]-1} \left| E \left( \phi(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4) I_4^{(2)} \left( \delta_{(i_3+1)2-\frac{n}{2}} \otimes \delta_{(i_4+1)2-\frac{n}{2}} \right) \right) \right|
\times \left| \langle \delta_{(i_3+1)2-\frac{n}{2}}, \delta_{(i_4+1)2-\frac{n}{2}} \rangle \right|^2
+ 2 \sum_{i_3, i_4 = 0}^{[\frac{2 \pi t}{2}]-1} \left| E \left( \phi(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4) \right) \right| \left| \langle \delta_{(i_3+1)2-\frac{n}{2}}, \delta_{(i_4+1)2-\frac{n}{2}} \rangle \right|^3.
$$

By the same arguments as used in the previous case, we deduce that

$$
M_{n,2}(i_1, i_2, t) \leq C(2^{-n/6})^4 t 2^{n/3} + C(2^{-n/6})^2 t 2^{n/6} + Ct \leq Ct.
$$
Hence, \( \exists C > 0 \) such that \( \sup_{n \geq 1} \sup_{i_1, i_2 \in \{0, \ldots, [2^{n-1}]-1\}} M_{n, 2}(i_1, i_2, t) \leq C(t + t^2) \). So, we have the desired conclusion \((2.44)\) for \( i = 2 \). This ends the proof of Lemma \( 2.7 \).

Lemma 2.8 Suppose that \( f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4 \in C^\infty_b(\mathbb{R}^2) \) and set \( H = 1/6 \). Fix \( t \geq 0 \). Then

\[
\sup_{n \geq 1} \sup_{i_1, i_2 \in \{0, \ldots, [2^{n-1}]-1\}} \sum_{i_3, i_4 = 0}^{[2^n]-1} \left| E \left( \Delta_{i_1, n} f_1(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{i_2, n} f_2(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{i_3, n} f_3(X^1, X^2) \right) \right| \leq C(t + t^2),
\]

where \( C \) is a positive constant depending only on \( f \) (but independent of \( n \) and \( t \)).

Proof. By symmetry, the proof is very similar to the proof of Lemma \( 2.7 \) and is left to the reader.

Lemma 2.9 Suppose that \( f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4 \in C^\infty_b(\mathbb{R}^2) \) and set \( H = 1/6 \). Fix \( t \geq 0 \). For \( i \in \{1, 2\} \), we have

\[
\sup_{n \geq 1} \sup_{i_1, i_2 \in \{0, \ldots, [2^{n-1}]-1\}} \sum_{i_3, i_4 = 0}^{[2^n]-1} \left| E \left( \Delta_{i_1, n} f_1(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{i_2, n} f_2(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{i_3, n} f_3(X^1, X^2) \right) \right| \leq C(t + t^2),
\]

where \( C \) is a positive constant depending only on \( f \) (but independent of \( n \) and \( t \)).

Proof. We will consider only the case \( i = 2 \) (by symmetry, the proof is very similar for \( i = 1 \)). Set

\[
\phi(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4) := \Delta_{i_1, n} f_1(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{i_2, n} f_2(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{i_3, n} f_3(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{i_4, n} f_4(X^1, X^2).
\]

Using the product formula \( (2.22) \), we have that \( I_3^{(2)}(\delta_{(i_3+1)}^{(2)} 2^{-n/2}) \) equals

\[
I_6^{(2)}(\delta_{(i_3+1)}^{(2)} 2^{-n/2} \otimes \delta_{(i_4+1)}^{(2)} 2^{-n/2}) + 9I_4^{(2)}(\delta_{(i_3+1)}^{(2)} 2^{-n/2} \otimes \delta_{(i_4+1)}^{(2)} 2^{-n/2}) \delta_{(i_3+1)}^{(2)} 2^{-n/2} \delta_{(i_4+1)}^{(2)} 2^{-n/2}) + 18I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(i_3+1)}^{(2)} 2^{-n/2} \otimes \delta_{(i_4+1)}^{(2)} 2^{-n/2}) \delta_{(i_3+1)}^{(2)} 2^{-n/2} \delta_{(i_4+1)}^{(2)} 2^{-n/2})^2 + 6(\delta_{(i_3+1)}^{(2)} 2^{-n/2} \delta_{(i_4+1)}^{(2)} 2^{-n/2})^3.
\]
Thanks to the duality formula (2.21), we get

\[
\sum_{i_3,i_4=0}^{[2^{\frac{n}{t}}]-1} \left| E \left( \phi(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4) \right) \left( \delta^{\otimes 3}_{(i_3+1)2^{-n/2}} \right) \left( \delta^{\otimes 3}_{(i_4+1)2^{-n/2}} \right) \right| = \sum_{i_3,i_4=0}^{[2^{\frac{n}{t}}]-1} \left| E \left( \phi(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4) I_3^{(2)} \left( \delta^{\otimes 3}_{(i_3+1)2^{-n/2}} \right) I_3^{(2)} \left( \delta^{\otimes 3}_{(i_4+1)2^{-n/2}} \right) \right) \right|
\]

\[
+ 9 \sum_{i_3,i_4=0}^{[2^{\frac{n}{t}}]-1} \left| E \left( \phi(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4) I_4^{(2)} \left( \delta^{\otimes 2}_{(i_3+1)2^{-n/2}} \otimes \delta^{\otimes 2}_{(i_4+1)2^{-n/2}} \right) \right) \right| \left| \langle \delta_{(i_3+1)2^{-n/2}}, \delta_{(i_4+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle \right|
\]

\[
+ 18 \sum_{i_3,i_4=0}^{[2^{\frac{n}{t}}]-1} \left| E \left( \phi(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4) I_2^{(2)} \left( \delta^{\otimes 2}_{(i_3+1)2^{-n/2}} \otimes \delta_{(i_4+1)2^{-n/2}} \right) \right) \right| \left| \langle \delta_{(i_3+1)2^{-n/2}}, \delta_{(i_4+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle \right|^2
\]

\[
+ 6 \sum_{i_3,i_4=0}^{[2^{\frac{n}{t}}]-1} \left| E \left( \phi(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4) \right) \right| \left| \langle \delta_{(i_3+1)2^{-n/2}}, \delta_{(i_4+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle \right|^3.
\]

Thanks to the duality formula (2.21), we get

\[
\sum_{i_3,i_4=0}^{[2^{\frac{n}{t}}]-1} \left| E \left( \phi(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4) \right) \left( \delta^{\otimes 3}_{(i_3+1)2^{-n/2}} \right) \left( \delta^{\otimes 3}_{(i_4+1)2^{-n/2}} \right) \right| = \sum_{i_3,i_4=0}^{[2^{\frac{n}{t}}]-1} \left| E \left( \langle D^4_X \left( \phi(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4) \right), \delta^{\otimes 3}_{(i_3+1)2^{-n/2}} \otimes \delta^{\otimes 3}_{(i_4+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle \right) \right|
\]

\[
+ 9 \sum_{i_3,i_4=0}^{[2^{\frac{n}{t}}]-1} \left| E \left( \langle D^4_X \left( \phi(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4) \right), \delta^{\otimes 2}_{(i_3+1)2^{-n/2}} \otimes \delta^{\otimes 2}_{(i_4+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle \right) \right| \times \left| \langle \delta_{(i_3+1)2^{-n/2}}, \delta_{(i_4+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle \right|
\]

\[
+ 18 \sum_{i_3,i_4=0}^{[2^{\frac{n}{t}}]-1} \left| E \left( \langle D^2_X \left( \phi(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4) \right), \delta_{(i_3+1)2^{-n/2}} \otimes \delta_{(i_4+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle \right) \right| \times \left| \langle \delta_{(i_3+1)2^{-n/2}}, \delta_{(i_4+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle \right|^2
\]

\[
+ 6 \sum_{i_3,i_4=0}^{[2^{\frac{n}{t}}]-1} \left| E \left( \phi(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4) \right) \right| \left| \langle \delta_{(i_3+1)2^{-n/2}}, \delta_{(i_4+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle \right|^3.
\]
Observe that, thanks to (2.18) and (2.19), for any \( k \in \{1, 2, 3\} \), we have
\[
D^{2k}_{\mathcal{X}^2}(\phi(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4)) = \sum_{a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4 = 2k} \phi(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4)(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4) \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{i_2} 2^{-n/2} + \varepsilon_{i_4} 2^{-n/2}}{2} \right)^{\otimes a_1} \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{i_2} 2^{-n/2} + \varepsilon_{i_4} 2^{-n/2}}{2} \right)^{\otimes a_2} \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{i_2} 2^{-n/2} + \varepsilon_{i_4} 2^{-n/2}}{2} \right)^{\otimes a_3} \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{i_2} 2^{-n/2} + \varepsilon_{i_4} 2^{-n/2}}{2} \right)^{\otimes a_4}
\]
where \((a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) \in \mathbb{N}^4\) and \(\phi(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4)(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4)\) is a quantity having a similar form as \(\phi(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4)\) and arising when one differentiates \(\Delta_{i, n} f_j(X^1, X^2)\) in \(\phi(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4)\) \(a_j\)-times with respect to \(X^2\). Thanks to (2.45), (2.34), (2.35) and since \(\phi(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4)(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4)\) is bounded, we deduce that
\[
\left| \frac{[2^{2/3} t]}{2} - 1 \right| \sum_{i_3, i_4 = 0}^{[2^{2/3} t] - 1} \left| E \left( \phi(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4) I_3^{(2)}(\delta_{i_3+1}^\otimes 2^{-n/2}) I_3^{(2)}(\delta_{i_4+1}^\otimes 2^{-n/2}) \right) \right| \leq C(2^{-n/6})^4 2^n t^2 + C(2^{-n/6})^4 \sum_{i_3, i_4 = 0}^{[2^{2/3} t] - 1} \left| \langle \delta_{i_3+1} 2^{-n/2}, \delta_{i_4+1} 2^{-n/2} \rangle \right|
\]
\[
+ C(2^{-n/6})^2 \sum_{i_3, i_4 = 0}^{[2^{2/3} t] - 1} \left| \delta_{i_3+1} 2^{-n/2} \delta_{i_4+1} 2^{-n/2} \right|^2 + C \sum_{i_3, i_4 = 0}^{[2^{2/3} t] - 1} \left| \langle \delta_{i_3+1} 2^{-n/2}, \delta_{i_4+1} 2^{-n/2} \rangle \right|^3 \leq Ct^2 + C2^{-n/3} t + C2^{-n/6} t + Ct.
\]
Hence, we deduce immediately that
\[
\sup_{n \geq 1} \sup_{i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4 = 0} \left| \frac{[2^{2/3} t]}{2} - 1 \right| \sum_{i_3, i_4 = 0}^{[2^{2/3} t] - 1} \left| E \left( \phi(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4) I_3^{(2)}(\delta_{i_3+1}^\otimes 2^{-n/2}) I_3^{(2)}(\delta_{i_4+1}^\otimes 2^{-n/2}) \right) \right| \leq C(t+t^2),
\]
which end the proof of Lemma 2.9.

\textbf{Lemma 2.10} Suppose that \(f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4 \in C_b^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)\) and set \(H = 1/6\). Fix \(t \geq 0\). Then
\[
\sup_{n \geq 1} \sum_{i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4 = 0}^{[2^{2/3} t] - 1} \left| E \left( \prod_{a=1}^{4} \Delta_{a, n} f_a(X^1, X^2) I_1^{(1)}(\delta_{i_3+1} 2^{-n/2}) I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{i_4+1} 2^{-n/2}) \right) \right| \leq C(t + t^2 + t^3 + t^4),
\]
where \(C\) is a positive constant depending only on \(f\) (but independent of \(n\) and \(t\)).

\textbf{Proof.} The proof, which is quite long and rather technical, is postponed in Section 5.

\(\blacksquare\)
Lemma 2.11 Suppose that $f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4 \in C^\infty_b(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and set $H = 1/6$. Fix $t \geq 0$. Then

$$\sup_{n \geq 1} \left| \sum_{i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4 = 0}^{[2^{2t}] - 1} E \left( \prod_{a=1}^{4} \Delta_{i_a,n} f_a(X^1, X^2) I_a^{(1)}(\delta_{(i_a+1)2^{-n/2}}(\delta_{(i_a+1)2^{-n/2}})) \right) \right| \leq C(t + t^2 + t^3 + t^4),$$

where $C$ is a positive constant depending only on $f$ (but independent of $n$ and $t$).

**Proof.** By symmetry, the proof is very similar to the proof of Lemma 2.10 and is left to the reader.

Lemma 2.12 Suppose that $f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4 \in C^\infty_b(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and set $H = 1/6$. Fix $t \geq 0$. For $i \in \{1, 2\}$, we have

$$\sup_{n \geq 1} \left| \sum_{i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4 = 0}^{[2^{2t}] - 1} E \left( \prod_{a=1}^{4} \Delta_{i_a,n} f_a(X^1, X^2) I_a^{(i)}(\delta_{(i_a+1)2^{-n/2}})(\delta_{(i_a+1)2^{-n/2}}) \right) \right| \leq C(t + t^2 + t^3 + t^4),$$

where $C$ is a positive constant depending only on $f$ (but independent of $n$ and $t$).

**Proof.** The proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 2.10 and is left to the reader. See also [11, Lemma 3.5] for a very similar result.

Lemma 2.13 Suppose $H = 1/6$. Then

1. For all $j \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\left| \langle D^2 X^2 \xi, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle \right| \leq C 2^{-n/6}, \quad (2.46)$$

$$\left| \langle D^2 X^2 \xi, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle \right| \leq C 2^{-n/3}, \quad (2.47)$$

where $C$ is a positive constant depending only on $\psi$ introduced in (2.26).

2. For all $j \in \mathbb{N}$, for $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$

$$E[\langle D^2 X^2 K_n^{(i)}(f, t), \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle^2] \leq C 2^{-n/3}(t^2 + t + 1), \quad (2.48)$$

where $C$ is a positive constant depending only on $f$.

3. For all $j \in \mathbb{N}$, for $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$

$$E[\langle D^2 X^2 (K_n^{(i)}(f, t)), \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle^2] \leq C 2^{-2n/3}(t^2 + t + 1), \quad (2.49)$$

where $C$ is a positive constant depending only on $f$. 
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4. For all $j \in \mathbb{N}$, for $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$

$$E[\langle D^2_X (K_n^{(i)}(f, t)), \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2}^2 \rangle^4] \leq C 2^{-2n/3} (t^3 + t^2 + t + 1),$$

(2.50)

where $C$ is a positive constant depending only on $f$.

Proof.

1) Observe that, thanks to (2.17) (see also the example given after (2.17)), we have

$$D^2_X \xi = \sum_{k=1}^{r} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_{2k}} (X^1_{s_1}, X^2_{s_1}, \ldots, X^1_{s_r}, X^2_{s_r}) \varepsilon_{s_k},$$

As a consequence, since $\psi$ is bounded and thanks to (2.34), we deduce that

$$|\langle D^2_X \xi, \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2}^2 \rangle| \leq C \sum_{k=1}^{r} |\langle \varepsilon_{s_k}, \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2}^2 \rangle| \leq C 2^{-n/6},$$

which proves (2.46). On the other hand, we have

$$D^2_X \xi = \sum_{k,k'=1}^{r} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x_{2k} \partial x_{2k'}} (X^1_{s_1}, X^2_{s_1}, \ldots, X^1_{s_r}, X^2_{s_r}) \varepsilon_{s_k} \otimes \varepsilon_{s_{k'}}.$$ 

So, as previously, we deduce that

$$|\langle D^2_X \xi, \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2}^\otimes \rangle| \leq C (2^{-n/6})^2 = C 2^{-n/3},$$

which proves (2.47).

2) We will prove (2.48) for $i = 2, 3$. The proof is similar for the other values of $i$.

(a) For $i = 2$ : Thanks to (2.18) and (2.24), we have

$$D^2_X K_n^{(2)}(f, t) = \frac{1}{24} \sum_{l=0}^{[\frac{2\pi t}{4}]-1} \Delta_{l,n} \partial_{222} f (X^1, X^2) I_3^{(2)} (\delta_{(l+1)2-n/2}^\otimes) \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{l2-n/2} + \varepsilon_{(l+1)2-n/2}}{2} \right)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{8} \sum_{l=0}^{[\frac{2\pi t}{4}]-1} \Delta_{l,n} \partial_{222} f (X^1, X^2) I_2^{(2)} (\delta_{(l+1)2-n/2}^\otimes) \delta_{(l+1)2-n/2}.$$ 
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So, we deduce that

\[
E \left[ \langle D_{X^2} K_n^{(2)}(f, t), \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle \right]^2 \]

\[\leq 2 \left( \frac{1}{24} \right)^2 \sum_{l,k=0}^{\left[ \frac{2}{2} t \right]-1} |E[\Delta_{l,n} \partial_{2222} f(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{k,n} \partial_{2222} f(X^1, X^2) \times I_3^{(2)}(\delta_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}}) I_3^{(2)}(\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}})] |
\times \left| \left\langle \frac{\epsilon_{l2^{-n/2}} + \epsilon_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}}}{2}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \right\rangle \right| 
\times \left| \left\langle \frac{\epsilon_{k2^{-n/2}} + \epsilon_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}}{2}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \right\rangle \right| 
\times 2 \left( \frac{1}{8} \right)^2 \sum_{l,k=0}^{\left[ \frac{2}{2} t \right]-1} |E[\Delta_{l,n} \partial_{2222} f(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{k,n} \partial_{2222} f(X^1, X^2) \times I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}}) I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}})] |
\times \left| \left\langle \delta_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \right\rangle \right| \left| \left\langle \delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \right\rangle \right| .
\]

By Lemma 2.9, 2.34, 2.16, 2.25 and since

\[
|E[\Delta_{l,n} \partial_{2222} f(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{k,n} \partial_{2222} f(X^1, X^2) I_3^{(2)}(\delta_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}}) I_3^{(2)}(\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}})]|
\]

is uniformly bounded in \( n \) (because \( f \in C^\infty_b(\mathbb{R}^2) \) and thanks to the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and to (2.23)), we deduce that

\[
E \left[ \langle D_{X^2} K_n^{(2)}(f, t), \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle \right]^2 \leq C(2^{-n/6})^2 (t + t^2) + C(2^{-n/6})^2 (\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\rho(n)|)^2 
\]

\[
\leq C 2^{-n/3} (t^2 + t + 1),
\]

which proves (2.48) for \( i = 2 \).

(b) For \( i = 3 \): Thanks to (2.18) and (2.24), we have

\[
D_{X^2} K_n^{(3)}(f, t)
\]

\[=
\frac{1}{8} \sum_{l=0}^{\left[ \frac{2}{2} t \right]-1} \Delta_{l,n} \partial_{1222} f(X^1, X^2) I_1^{(1)}(\delta_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}}) I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}}) 
\times \left( \frac{\epsilon_{l2^{-n/2}} + \epsilon_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}}}{2} \right) 
\times 2 \sum_{l=0}^{\left[ \frac{2}{2} t \right]-1} \Delta_{l,n} \partial_{1222} f(X^1, X^2) I_1^{(1)}(\delta_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}}) I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}}) \delta_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}}.
\]
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Hence, we deduce that

\[
E[\langle D_{X^2}K_n^{(3)}(f, t), \delta_{(j+1)2^{n-2}/2} \rangle^2] = 2C_42^{|2i-3|/2} \sum_{l,k=0}^{2l+1} |E[\Delta_{l,n}\partial_{122}f(X^1, X^2)\Delta_{k,n}\partial_{122}f(X^1, X^2)\
\times I_1^{(1)}(\delta_{(l+1)2^{n-2}/2})I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(k+1)2^{n-2}/2})I_1^{(1)}(\delta_{(k+1)2^{n-2}/2})I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(k+1)2^{n-2}/2})]|
\times |\langle \delta_{(l+1)2^{n-2}/2}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{n-2}/2} \rangle| |\langle \delta_{(k+1)2^{n-2}/2}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{n-2}/2} \rangle|.
\]

Since \( f \in C_b^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2) \), since \( X^1 \) and \( X^2 \) are independent, and thanks to the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and to (2.23), we have

\[
|E[\Delta_{l,n}\partial_{122}f(X^1, X^2)\Delta_{k,n}\partial_{122}f(X^1, X^2)\
\times I_1^{(1)}(\delta_{(l+1)2^{n-2}/2})I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(k+1)2^{n-2}/2})I_1^{(1)}(\delta_{(k+1)2^{n-2}/2})I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(k+1)2^{n-2}/2})]|
\times |\langle \delta_{(l+1)2^{n-2}/2}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{n-2}/2} \rangle| |\langle \delta_{(k+1)2^{n-2}/2}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{n-2}/2} \rangle| \leq C(2^{n/12})^4 \leq C.
\]

Thanks to the previous estimation, to Lemma 2.7 and to (2.34) (see also (2.25) for the definition of \( \rho \)), we deduce that

\[
E[\langle D_{X^2}K_n^{(3)}(f, t), \delta_{(j+1)2^{n-2}/2} \rangle^2] \leq C(2^{-n/6})^2(t + t^2) + C(2^{-n/6})^2(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\rho(n)|)^2 \leq C2^{-n/3}(t^2 + t + 1),
\]

which proves (2.48) for \( i = 3 \).

Finally, we have proved (2.48).

3) We will prove (2.49) for \( i = 2, 3 \). The proof is similar for the other values of \( i \).
(a) For $i = 2$ : Thanks to (2.18) and (2.24), we have
\[
D_{X^2}^2 (K_n^{(2)} (f, t))
= \frac{1}{24} \sum_{l=0}^{l \leq t} \Delta_{l,n} \partial_{22222} f (X^1, X^2) I_3^{(2)} \left( \delta_{(l+1)2-n/2}^{\otimes 3} \right) \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{l2-n/2} + \varepsilon_{(l+1)2-n/2}}{2} \right) \delta_{(l+1)2-n/2}^{\otimes 2}
+ \frac{1}{4} \sum_{l=0}^{l \leq t} \Delta_{l,n} \partial_{2222} f (X^1, X^2) I_2^{(2)} \left( \delta_{(l+1)2-n/2}^{\otimes 2} \right) \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{l2-n/2} + \varepsilon_{(l+1)2-n/2}}{2} \right) \delta_{(l+1)2-n/2}^{\otimes 2}
+ \frac{1}{4} \sum_{l=0}^{l \leq t} \Delta_{l,n} \partial_{222} f (X^1, X^2) I_1^{(2)} \left( \delta_{(l+1)2-n/2}^{\otimes 2} \right) \delta_{(l+1)2-n/2}^{\otimes 2}.
\]
So, we have
\[
\langle D_{X^2}^2 (K_n^{(2)} (f, t)), \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2}^{\otimes 2} \rangle^2
\leq C \sum_{l,k=0}^{l \leq t} \Delta_{l,n} \partial_{22222} f (X^1, X^2) \Delta_{k,n} \partial_{22222} f (X^1, X^2) I_3^{(2)} \left( \delta_{(k+1)2-n/2}^{\otimes 3} \right) \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{l2-n/2} + \varepsilon_{(l+1)2-n/2}}{2} \right) \delta_{(l+1)2-n/2}^{\otimes 2}
\times I_3^{(2)} \left( \delta_{(k+1)2-n/2}^{\otimes 2} \right) \delta_{(l+1)2-n/2}^{\otimes 2}
\times \langle \frac{\varepsilon_{l2-n/2} + \varepsilon_{(l+1)2-n/2}}{2}, \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2}^{\otimes 2} \rangle^2
+ C \sum_{l,k=0}^{l \leq t} \Delta_{l,n} \partial_{2222} f (X^1, X^2) \Delta_{k,n} \partial_{2222} f (X^1, X^2) I_2^{(2)} \left( \delta_{(k+1)2-n/2}^{\otimes 2} \right) \delta_{(l+1)2-n/2}^{\otimes 2}
\times I_2^{(2)} \left( \delta_{(k+1)2-n/2}^{\otimes 2} \right) \delta_{(l+1)2-n/2}^{\otimes 2}
\times \langle \frac{\varepsilon_{k2-n/2} + \varepsilon_{(k+1)2-n/2}}{2}, \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2}^{\otimes 2} \rangle \langle \delta_{(l+1)2-n/2}, \delta_{(k+1)2-n/2} \rangle \delta_{(l+1)2-n/2}^{\otimes 2}
\times \langle \delta_{(l+1)2-n/2}, \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2} \rangle \langle \delta_{(k+1)2-n/2}, \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2} \rangle \delta_{(l+1)2-n/2}^{\otimes 2}
+ C \sum_{l,k=0}^{l \leq t} \Delta_{l,n} \partial_{222} f (X^1, X^2) \Delta_{k,n} \partial_{222} f (X^1, X^2) I_1^{(2)} \left( \delta_{(l+1)2-n/2}^{\otimes 2} \right) \delta_{(l+1)2-n/2}^{\otimes 2}
\times I_1^{(2)} \left( \delta_{(k+1)2-n/2}^{\otimes 2} \right) \delta_{(l+1)2-n/2}^{\otimes 2} \delta_{(k+1)2-n/2}^{\otimes 2} \delta_{(l+1)2-n/2}^{\otimes 2} \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2}^{\otimes 2} \delta_{(k+1)2-n/2}^{\otimes 2} \delta_{(l+1)2-n/2}^{\otimes 2} \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2}^{\otimes 2}.
\]
Thanks to (2.34) (see also (2.25) for the definition of $\rho$), we get
\[
E\left(\langle D_{X^2}^{2} (K_n^{(2)} (f, t)), \delta_{(l+1)2-n/2} \rangle^2 \right)
\leq C(2^{-n/6})^4 \sum_{l,k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{2^t}{2} \rfloor - 1} |E(\Delta_{l,n} \partial_{2222} f(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{k,n} \partial_{2222} f(X^1, X^2) )
\times I_{3}^{(2)} (\delta_{(l+1)2-n/2}) I_{3}^{(2)} (\delta_{(k+1)2-n/2}) |
+ C(2^{-n/6})^4 \sum_{l,k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{2^t}{2} \rfloor - 1} |E(\Delta_{l,n} \partial_{2222} f(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{k,n} \partial_{2222} f(X^1, X^2) )
\times I_{2}^{(2)} (\delta_{(l+1)2-n/2}) I_{2}^{(2)} (\delta_{(k+1)2-n/2}) ||\rho(l-j)|||\rho(k-j)||
\times I_{1}^{(2)} (\delta_{(l+1)2-n/2}) |||\rho(l-j)||^2 ||\rho(k-j)||^2
\leq C 2^{-2n/3}(t + t^2) + C 2^{-2n/3} \left( \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} |\rho(r)| \right)^2 + C 2^{-2n/3} \left( \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} |\rho(r)|^2 \right)^2
\leq C 2^{-2n/3}(1 + t + t^2),
\]
where we the second inequality follows by Lemma 2.9 and by the uniformly boundedness on $n$ of :
\[
\left| E(\Delta_{l,n} \partial_{2222} f(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{k,n} \partial_{2222} f(X^1, X^2) ) I_{2}^{(2)} (\delta_{(l+1)2-n/2}) I_{2}^{(2)} (\delta_{(k+1)2-n/2}) \right|
\text{and } \left| E(\Delta_{l,n} \partial_{2222} f(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{k,n} \partial_{2222} f(X^1, X^2) ) I_{1}^{(2)} (\delta_{(l+1)2-n/2}) I_{1}^{(2)} (\delta_{(k+1)2-n/2}) \right|.
\]
We deduce from the last inequality that (2.49) holds true for $i = 2$.

(b) For $i = 3$ : Thanks to (2.18) and (2.24), we have
\[
D_{X^2}^{2} (K_n^{(3)} (f, t))
= \frac{1}{8} \sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor \frac{2^t}{2} \rfloor - 1} \Delta_{l,n} \partial_{1222} f(X^1, X^2) I_{1}^{(1)} (\delta_{(l+1)2-n/2}) I_{2}^{(2)} (\delta_{(l+1)2-n/2})
\times \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{l2-n/2} + \varepsilon_{(l+1)2-n/2}}{2} \right)^{\otimes 2}
+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor \frac{2^t}{2} \rfloor - 1} \Delta_{l,n} \partial_{1222} f(X^1, X^2) I_{1}^{(1)} (\delta_{(l+1)2-n/2}) I_{1}^{(2)} (\delta_{(l+1)2-n/2})
\times \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{l2-n/2} + \varepsilon_{(l+1)2-n/2}}{2} \right)^{\otimes 2} \delta_{(l+1)2-n/2}
+ \frac{1}{4} \sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor \frac{2^t}{2} \rfloor - 1} \Delta_{l,n} \partial_{1222} f(X^1, X^2) I_{1}^{(1)} (\delta_{(l+1)2-n/2}) \delta_{(l+1)2-n/2}.$
So, we deduce that

\[
\langle D_{X}^{2} (K_n^{(3)}(f, t)), \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}^{\otimes 2} \rangle^2
\]

\[
\lesssim C \sum_{l,k=0}^{\lceil 2^{2l/3} \rceil -1} \Delta_{l,n} \partial_{1222} f(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{k,n} \partial_{1222} f(X^1, X^2) I_1^{(1)} (\delta_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}}) \\
\times I_2^{(2)} (\delta^{\otimes 2}_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}}) I_1^{(1)} (\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}) I_2^{(2)} (\delta^{\otimes 2}_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}) \\
\times \left\langle \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{l2^{-n/2}} + \varepsilon_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}}}{2}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \right) \right\rangle^2 \\
\times \left\langle \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{k2^{-n/2}} + \varepsilon_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}}{2}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \right) \right\rangle^2
\]

\[
+ C \sum_{l,k=0}^{\lceil 2^{2l/3} \rceil -1} \Delta_{l,n} \partial_{1222} f(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{k,n} \partial_{1222} f(X^1, X^2) I_1^{(1)} (\delta_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}}) \\
\times I_1^{(1)} (\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}) \left( \delta_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \right)^2 \left( \delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \right)^2
\]

Thanks to (2.31), we get

\[
E\left( \langle D_{X}^{2} (K_n^{(3)}(f, t)), \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}^{\otimes 2} \rangle^2 \right)
\]

\[
\lesssim C (2^{-n/6})^4 \sum_{l,k=0}^{\lceil 2^{2l/3} \rceil -1} |E(\Delta_{l,n} \partial_{1222} f(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{k,n} \partial_{1222} f(X^1, X^2)) \\
\times I_1^{(1)} (\delta_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}}) I_2^{(2)} (\delta^{\otimes 2}_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}}) I_1^{(1)} (\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}) I_2^{(2)} (\delta^{\otimes 2}_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}) |
\]

\[
+ C (2^{-n/6})^4 \sum_{l,k=0}^{\lceil 2^{2l/3} \rceil -1} |E(\Delta_{l,n} \partial_{1222} f(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{k,n} \partial_{1222} f(X^1, X^2)) \\
\times I_1^{(1)} (\delta_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}}) I_1^{(1)} (\delta_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}}) I_1^{(1)} (\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}) I_1^{(1)} (\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}) |
\times |\rho(l-j)||\rho(k-j)|
\]
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4) We will prove (2.50) for $i = 2, 3$. The proof is similar for the other values of $i$.

(a) For $i = 2$ :

$$+ C (2^{-n/6})^4 \sum_{I, k = 0}^{[2^{\frac{7}{2}} t] - 1} | E \left( \Delta_{t, n} \partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{k, n} \partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) \right) \times I^{(1)}_1 \left( \delta_{(t+1)2^{-n/2}} \right) I^{(1)}_1 \left( \delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}} \right) | |\rho(t - j)|^2 |\rho(k - j)|^2.$$

By Lemma 2.7 and similar arguments as in the case $i = 2$, we get

$$E\left( \left< D_X^2 \left( K_n^2(f, t) \right), \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}^{\otimes 2} \right> \right) \leq C 2^{-2n/3}(t + t^2) + C 2^{-2n/3} \left( \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} |\rho(r)| \right)^2 + C 2^{-2n/3}(\sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} |\rho(r)|^2)^2 \leq C 2^{-2n/3}(1 + t + t^2).$$

Thus, (2.49) holds true for $i = 3$.
\[
\times \prod_{a=1}^{4} |\langle \delta_{(i_4+1)2^{-n/2}}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle |
\]
\[
= Z_{n,1}^{(2)}(t) + Z_{n,2}^{(2)}(t).
\]

Thanks to (2.33) and to Lemma 2.12 we have
\[
Z_{n,1}^{(2)}(t) \leq C(2^{-n/6})^4(t + t^2 + t^3) = C2^{-2n/3}(t + t^2 + t^3).
\]

On the other hand,
\[
\left| E \left( \prod_{a=1}^{4} \Delta_{i_a,n} \partial_{222} f(X^1, X^2) \right) \right|
\]
is uniformly bounded in \( n \). In fact, since \( f \in C_b^\infty \) and by applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality two times, we get
\[
\left| E \left( \prod_{a=1}^{4} \Delta_{i_a,n} \partial_{222} f(X^1, X^2) \right) \right| \leq \prod_{a=1}^{4} \| I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(i_a+1)2^{-n/2}}) \|_{L^4}.
\]

Thanks to the Hypercontractivity property of the \( p \)th multiple integral with \( p \geq 1 \) (see for example Theorem 2.7.2 in [9]), we have for \( a \in \{1, \ldots, 4\} \),
\[
\| I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(i_a+1)2^{-n/2}}) \|_{L^4} \leq C \| I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(i_a+1)2^{-n/2}}) \|_{L^2} \leq C2^{-n/6},
\]

where \( C \) is some positive and finite constant, and we have the last inequality thanks to (2.23). We deduce immediately from the last inequality that \( \exists C > 0 \) such that for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \)
\[
\left| E \left( \prod_{a=1}^{4} \Delta_{i_a,n} \partial_{222} f(X^1, X^2) \right) \right| \leq C. \tag{2.51}
\]

So, we get
\[
Z_{n,2}^{(2)}(t) \leq C(2^{-n/6})^4 \sum_{i_1,i_2,i_3,i_4=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 1} |\rho(i_1 - j)||\rho(i_2 - j)||\rho(i_3 - j)||\rho(i_4 - j)|
\]
\[
\leq C2^{-2n/3} \left( \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} |\rho(r)|^4 \right) = C2^{-2n/3}.
\]

Consequently, we deduce that \( E\left( \langle D_{X^2}(K_n^{(2)}(f, t)), \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle^4 \right) \leq C2^{-2n/3}(1 + t + t^2 + t^3) \). Hence, we have proved (2.50) for \( i = 2 \).
(b) For $i = 3$:

\[
\langle D_{X^2}(K_n^{(3)}(f, t)), \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle^4
\leq C \sum_{i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4=0}^{2^{|\mathbb{F}|}-1} \prod_{a=1}^{4} \Delta_{i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4} \partial_{1222} f(X^1, X^2) I_1^{(1)}(\delta_{(i_1+1)2^{-n/2}}) I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(i_1+1)2^{-n/2}})
\times \prod_{a=1}^{4} \left\langle \frac{\epsilon_{i_a}2^{-n/2} + \epsilon_{(i_a+1)2^{-n/2}}}{2}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \right\rangle
\times C \sum_{i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4=0}^{2^{|\mathbb{F}|}-1} \prod_{a=1}^{4} \Delta_{i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4} \partial_{1222} f(X^1, X^2) I_1^{(1)}(\delta_{(i_1+1)2^{-n/2}}) I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(i_1+1)2^{-n/2}})
\times \prod_{a=1}^{4} \left\langle \delta_{(i_a+1)2^{-n/2}}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \right\rangle.
\]

Thus, we have

\[
E\left(\langle D_{X^2}(K_n^{(3)}(f, t)), \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle^4\right)
\leq C \sum_{i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4=0}^{2^{|\mathbb{F}|}-1} \left| E\left(\prod_{a=1}^{4} \Delta_{i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4} \partial_{1222} f(X^1, X^2) I_1^{(1)}(\delta_{(i_1+1)2^{-n/2}}) I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(i_1+1)2^{-n/2}})\right)\right|
\times \prod_{a=1}^{4} \left| \left\langle \frac{\epsilon_{i_a}2^{-n/2} + \epsilon_{(i_a+1)2^{-n/2}}}{2}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \right\rangle \right|
\times C \sum_{i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4=0}^{2^{|\mathbb{F}|}-1} \left| E\left(\prod_{a=1}^{4} \Delta_{i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4} \partial_{1222} f(X^1, X^2) I_1^{(1)}(\delta_{(i_1+1)2^{-n/2}}) I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(i_1+1)2^{-n/2}})\right)\right|
\times \prod_{a=1}^{4} \left| \left\langle \delta_{(i_a+1)2^{-n/2}}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \right\rangle \right|
= Z_{n,1}^{(3)}(t) + Z_{n,2}^{(3)}(t),
\]

with obvious notation at the last line. Thanks to (2.34) and to Lemma 2.10 we have

\[
Z_{n,1}^{(3)}(t) \leq C(2^{-n/6})^4(t + t^2 + t^3) = C2^{-2n/3}(t + t^2 + t^3).
\]

On the other hand, we have that

\[
\left| E\left(\prod_{a=1}^{4} \Delta_{i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4} \partial_{1222} f(X^1, X^2) I_1^{(1)}(\delta_{(i_1+1)2^{-n/2}}) I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(i_1+1)2^{-n/2}})\right)\right|
\]

is uniformly bounded in $n$ (by the same arguments that has been used to prove
Consequently, we get
\[
Z_{(3)}^{(3)}(t) \leq C(2^{-n/6})^4 \sum_{i_1,i_2,i_3,i_4=0}^{2^{|t|}-1} |\rho(i_1 - j)||\rho(i_2 - j)||\rho(i_3 - j)||\rho(i_4 - j)|
\]
\[
\leq C2^{-2n/3}\sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} |\rho(r)|^4 = C2^{-2n/3}.
\]
We deduce that 
\[
E(⟨D_X(K^{(3)}_n(f,t)),\delta_{(j+1)2-n/2}⟩^4) \leq C2^{-2n/3}(1 + t + t^2 + t^3).
\]
Hence (2.50) holds true for \(i = 3\).

Finally, we have proved (2.50), which ends the proof of Lemma 2.13.

3 Proof of Theorem 1.3

We suppose \(H < 1/2\). The proof in the case \(H \geq 1/2\) is immediate and, consequently, is left to the reader.

**Definition 3.1** For all \(p,q \in \mathbb{N}\) such that \(p + q\) is odd, we define \(V_n^{p,q}(f,t)\) as follows:
\[
V_n^{p,q}(f,t) := \sum_{j=0}^{2^{|t|}-1} \Delta_{j,n}f(X_1,X_2)(X_{(j+1)2-n/2}^1 - X_{j2-n/2}^1)^p(X_{(j+1)2-n/2}^2 - X_{j2-n/2}^2)^q.
\]

(3.52)

We have the following proposition which will play a pivotal role in the sequel:

**Proposition 3.2** If \((H > 1/6 \text{ and } p + q \geq 3)\) or if \((H = 1/6 \text{ and } p + q \geq 5)\), then
\[
V_n^{p,q}(f,t) \xrightarrow{L^2} 0, \text{ as } n \to \infty.
\]

**Proof.** We suppose that \(p\) is even and \(q\) is odd (the proof when \(p\) is odd and \(q\) is even is exactly the same). We have, for all \(k \in \mathbb{N}^+\), \(x^{2k} = \sum_{i=1}^k b_{2k,i}H_{2i}(x) + b_{2k,0}\) and \(x^{2k-1} = \sum_{i=1}^k a_{2k-1,i}H_{2i-1}(x)\), where \(H_n\) is the \(n\)th Hermite polynomial, \(b_{2k,i}\) and \(a_{2k-1,i}\) are some explicit constants (if interested, the reader can find these explicit constants, e.g., in [13 Corollary 1.2]). Set
\[
\phi(j,j') := \Delta_{j,n}f(X_1,X_2)\Delta_{j',n}f(X_1,X_2).
\]
Recall that for \(i \in \{1,2\}\) we denote \(X_{j}^{i,n} := 2^{n/2}X_j^{i-2}.\) We distinguish two cases: if \(p \neq 0\) and if \(p = 0.\)
1. If $p \neq 0$ : Then, we have

$$V_n^{p,q}(f,t) = b_{p,0} 2^{-nH(p+q)} \sum_{k=1}^{2^{\frac{n}{2}t}-1} a_{q,k'} \sum_{j=0}^{2^{\frac{n}{2}t}-1} \Delta_{j,n} f(X^1, X^2) H_{2k'-1} (X_{j+1}^{2,n} - X_j^{2,n})$$

$$+ 2^{-nH(p+q)} \sum_{k=1}^{2^{\frac{n}{2}t}-1} a_{q,k} b_{p,k} \sum_{j=0}^{2^{\frac{n}{2}t}-1} \Delta_{j,n} f(X^1, X^2) H_{2k} (X_{j+1}^{1,n} - X_j^{1,n})$$

$$\times H_{2k'-1} (X_{j+1}^{2,n} - X_j^{2,n})$$

$$= b_{p,0} \sum_{k=1}^{2^{\frac{n}{2}t}-1} a_{q,k} V_{n,1} (f, k', t) + \sum_{k=1}^{2^{\frac{n}{2}t}-1} a_{q,k} b_{p,k} V_{n,2} (f, k, k', t),$$

(3.53)

with obvious notation at the last equality. Let us now prove the convergence to 0 as $n$ tends to infinity, in the $L^2$ sense, of $V_{n,1} (f, k', t)$ and $V_{n,2} (f, k, k', t)$ for all $k \in \{1, \ldots, \frac{q+1}{2} \}$ and $k' \in \{1, \ldots, \frac{q+1}{2} \}$,

(a) Convergence to 0, in $L^2$, of $V_{n,1} (f, k', t)$ : For all $k' \in \{1, \ldots, \frac{q+1}{2} \}$,

$$E \left[ \left( V_{n,1} (f, k', t) \right)^2 \right]$$

$$= 2^{-nH(p+q)} \sum_{j,j'=0}^{2^{\frac{n}{2}t}-1} E \left( \phi(j, j') H_{2k'-1} (X_{j+1}^{2,n} - X_j^{2,n}) \right)$$

$$= 2^{-nH(p+q)} \sum_{j,j'=0}^{2^{\frac{n}{2}t}-1} E \left( \phi(j, j') I_{2^{\frac{n}{2}t}-1}^{(2)} (\delta_{j+1}^{(2)} 1) I_{2^{\frac{n}{2}t}-1}^{(2)} (\delta_{j+1}^{(2)} 1) \right)$$

$$= 2^{-nH(p+q)} \sum_{a=0}^{2^{\frac{n}{2}t}-1} a^2 \sum_{j,j'=0}^{2^{\frac{n}{2}t}-1} E \left( \phi(j, j') \right)$$

$$\times \langle \delta_{j+1}^{(2)} 1, \delta_{j+1}^{(2)} 1 \rangle^a$$

$$= 2^{-nH(p+q)} \sum_{a=0}^{2^{\frac{n}{2}t}-1} a^2 \sum_{j,j'=0}^{2^{\frac{n}{2}t}-1} E \left( \langle D_{X^2}^{2k'-2-2a} (\phi(j, j')) \right),$$

$$\delta_{j+1}^{(2)} 1, \delta_{j+1}^{(2)} 1 \rangle^a$$

$$= \sum_{a=0}^{2^{\frac{n}{2}t}-1} a^2 \left( \frac{2k'-1}{a} \right)^2 Q_n^{(k',a)} (t),$$

with obvious notation at the last equality and with the second equality following from (2.20), the third one from (2.22) and the fourth one from (2.21). Recall that $f \in C_b^\infty$. We have the following estimates.
• Case $a = 2k' - 1$

$$|Q_n^{(k', 2k' - 1)}(t)| \leq 2^{-nH(p+q-(2k'-1))} \epsilon^{2\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{j,j'=0}^{[2\frac{n}{2}] - 1} E(|\phi(j, j')|) \times |\langle\delta_{(j+1)2-n/2}; \delta_{(j'+1)2-n/2}\rangle|^{2k'-1} \leq C2^{-nH(p+q-(2k'-1))} t \epsilon^{n(2k'-1)H} = Ct2^{-n[H(p+q-\frac{1}{2})]}$$

where we have the second inequality by (2.35).

• Preparation to the cases where $0 \leq a \leq 2k' - 2$

Thanks to (2.19) we have

$$D_{X^2}^{4k'-2-2a}(\phi(j, j')) = \sum_{l=0}^{4k'-2-2a} \phi_l(j, j')$$

(3.54)

where $\phi_l(j, j')$ is a quantity having a similar form as $\phi(j, j')$. So, we have

• Case $1 \leq a \leq 2k' - 2$

$$|Q_n^{(k', a)}(t)| \leq 2^{-nH(p+q-(2k'-1))} \epsilon^{2\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{l=0}^{4k'-2-2a} \sum_{j,j'=0}^{[2\frac{n}{2}] - 1} E(|\phi_l(j, j')|) \times$$

$$|\langle\left(\frac{\phi_{j2-n/2} + \phi_{(j+1)2-n/2}}{2}\right)^l \otimes \left(\frac{\phi_{j2-n/2} + \phi_{(j+1)2-n/2}}{2}\right)^{2k'-2-a-l}\rangle|^{a}$$

$$\delta_{j2-n/2}^{\otimes 2k'-1-a} \otimes \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2}^{\otimes 2k'-1-a} \epsilon^{\langle\delta_{(j+1)2-n/2}; \delta_{(j'+1)2-n/2}\rangle}$$

$$\leq C2^{-nH(p+q-(2k'-1))} t \epsilon^{2k'-2-2a} \sum_{j,j'=0}^{[2\frac{n}{2}] - 1} |\langle\delta_{(j+1)2-n/2}; \delta_{(j'+1)2-n/2}\rangle|^{a} \leq C t2^{-n[H(p+q+2k'-1-a)-\frac{1}{2}]},$$

where we have the second inequality thanks to (2.34) and the third one thanks to (2.35).
\[ \begin{align*}
\bullet \text{Case } a = 0 & \\
|Q_n^{(k',0)}(t)| & \leq 2^{-nH(p+q-(2k'-1))} \sum_{l=0}^{2^nH-1} \sum_{j,j'=0}^{2^nH-1} E(|\psi(j,j')|) \times \\
& \left| \left\langle \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{j2-n/2} + \varepsilon_{(j+1)2-n/2}}{2} \right)^l \otimes \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{j'2-n/2} + \varepsilon_{(j'+1)2-n/2}}{2} \right)^{k'-2-l} \right|, \delta^{\otimes 2k'-1}_{(j+1)2-n/2} \otimes \delta^{\otimes 2k'-1}_{(j'+1)2-n/2} \right|^2,
\end{align*} \tag{3.55} \]

We define
\[ E_{n'}^{(k',l)}(j,j') := \left| \left\langle \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{j2-n/2} + \varepsilon_{(j+1)2-n/2}}{2} \right)^l \otimes \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{j'2-n/2} + \varepsilon_{(j'+1)2-n/2}}{2} \right)^{k'-2-l} \right|, \delta^{\otimes 2k'-1}_{(j+1)2-n/2} \otimes \delta^{\otimes 2k'-1}_{(j'+1)2-n/2} \right|^2, \tag{3.56} \]

If \( l = 0 \), observe by (2.34) that
\[ E_{n'}^{(k',0)}(j,j') \leq (2^{-nH})^{4k'-3} \left| \left\langle \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{j'2-n/2} + \varepsilon_{(j'+1)2-n/2}}{2} \right), \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2} \right|^2. \]

If \( l \neq 0 \) then
\[ E_{n'}^{(k',l)}(j,j') \leq (2^{-nH})^{4k'-3} \left| \left\langle \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{j2-n/2} + \varepsilon_{(j+1)2-n/2}}{2} \right), \delta_{(j'+1)2-n/2} \right|^2. \]

By combining these previous estimates with (3.56), (2.30) and (2.37) we deduce that
\[ |Q_n^{(k',0)}(t)| \leq C2^{-nH(p+q-(2k'-1))} (2^{-nH})^{4k'-3} (2^{-nH-1} + 2^{1+n/2} t^{2H+1}) \leq C2^{-nH[p+q+2k'-1]} + C2^{-nH[p+q+2k'-2]} t^{2H+1}. \]

Finally, we deduce that for all \( k' \in \{1, \ldots, \frac{q+1}{2} \} \),
\[ E[|V_{n,1}(f,k',t)|^2] \leq C \left( \sum_{a=1}^{2k'-1} 2^{-n[H(p+q+2k'-1-a)-\frac{1}{2}]} \right) + C2^{-n[H(p+q+2k'-2)-\frac{1}{2}]} t^{2H+1} + C2^{-nH[p+q+2k'-1]} \tag{3.57} \]

It is clear that either for \( H > 1/6 \) and \( p + q \geq 3 \) or for \( H = 1/6 \) and \( p + q \geq 5 \), we have \( V_{n,1}(f,k',t) \to 0 \) as \( n \) tends to infinity.
(b) Convergence to 0, in $L^2$, of $V_{n,2}(f, k, k', t)$: For all $k \in \{1, \ldots, \frac{p-1}{2}\}$ and $k' \in \{1, \ldots, \frac{q-1}{2}\}$, thanks to (2.20) we have

\[
V_{n,2}(f, k, k', t) = 2^{-nH(p+q-(2k'-1))} \sum_{j,j'=0}^{[\frac{2\pi}{2}]} E \left( \phi(j, j') \right) I_{2k}^{(1)} \left( (2^{\frac{\alpha}{\mu}} \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2})^{\otimes 2k} \right) \times I_{2k'}^{(2)} \left( (2^{\frac{\alpha}{\mu}} \delta_{(j'+1)2-n/2})^{\otimes 2k'-1} \right)
\]

We thus get

\[
E \left[ (V_{n,2}(f, k, k', t))^2 \right] = 
2^{-nH(p+q-(2k'-1))} \sum_{a=0}^{2k'-1} a! \left( \frac{2k'-1}{a} \right) 2^{[\frac{2\pi}{2}]} E \left( \phi(j, j') \right) \times I_{2k}^{(1)} \left( (2^{\frac{\alpha}{\mu}} \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2})^{\otimes 2k} \right) \times I_{4k'-2-2a}^{(2)} \left( \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2} \otimes \delta_{(j'+1)2-n/2} \right) \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2}, \delta_{(j'+1)2-n/2}^{a} \right)
\]

\[
= 2^{-nH(p+q-(2k'-1))} \sum_{a=0}^{2k'-1} a! \left( \frac{2k'-1}{a} \right) 2^{[\frac{2\pi}{2}]} E \left( \left( D_{X_2}^{4k'-2-2a} \phi(j, j') \right), \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2}^{a} \otimes \delta_{(j'+1)2-n/2}^{a} \right) I_{2k}^{(1)} \left( (2^{\frac{\alpha}{\mu}} \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2})^{\otimes 2k} \right) I_{2k'}^{(1)} \left( (2^{\frac{\alpha}{\mu}} \delta_{(j'+1)2-n/2})^{\otimes 2k'} \right) \times \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2}^{a}, \delta_{(j'+1)2-n/2}^{a} \right)
\]

\[
= \sum_{a=0}^{2k'-1} a! \left( \frac{2k'-1}{a} \right) \hat{G}^{(k', a)}(t),
\]

with obvious notation at the last equality. Recall that $f \in C_b\infty$. We have the following estimates.
• Case $a = 2k' - 1$

$$|\tilde{Q}_{\alpha}^{(k',2k'-1)}(t)| \leq 2^{-nH(p+q-(2k'-1))} \sum_{j,j'=0}^{2\tilde{t}} \| I_{2k}^{(1)} \left( (2 \frac{nH}{2} \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2})^\otimes 2^k \right) \|_2 \| I_{2k}^{(1)} \left( (2 \frac{nH}{2} \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2})^\otimes 2^k \right) \|_2$$

$$\leq C 2^{-nH(p+q-(2k'-1))} \sum_{j,j'=0}^{2\tilde{t}} \| \left( (2 \frac{nH}{2} \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2})^\otimes 2^k \right) \|_2 \| \left( (2 \frac{nH}{2} \delta_{(j'+1)2-n/2})^\otimes 2^k \right) \|_2$$

$$\leq C 2^{-nH(p+q-(2k'-1))} \sum_{j,j'=0}^{2\tilde{t}} \| \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2}, \delta_{(j'+1)2-n/2} \|_2$$

where the third inequality is a consequence of (2.23) and the fourth one a consequence of (2.23).

• Preparation to the cases where $0 \leq a \leq 2k' - 2$

Thanks to (3.53), we have

$$\left| E \left( D^{2k'-2-2a} X^2 \phi(j,j'), \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2}^\otimes 2^k \otimes \delta_{(j'+1)2-n/2}^\otimes 2^k \right) \right|$$

$$\leq \sum_{l=0}^{4k'-2-2a} \left| E \left( \phi(j,j') I_{2k}^{(1)} \left( (2 \frac{nH}{2} \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2})^\otimes 2^k \right) I_{2k}^{(1)} \left( (2 \frac{nH}{2} \delta_{(j'+1)2-n/2})^\otimes 2^k \right) \right) \right|$$

$$\leq C \sum_{l=0}^{4k'-2-2a} \left( \frac{\varepsilon j^2-n^2 + \varepsilon (j+1)^2-n^2}{2} \right)^{4k'-2-2a-l} \left( \frac{\varepsilon j^2-n^2 + \varepsilon (j+1)^2-n^2}{2} \right)^{4k'-2-2a-l}$$

$$\leq C \sum_{l=0}^{4k'-2-2a} \left( \frac{\varepsilon j^2-n^2 + \varepsilon (j+1)^2-n^2}{2} \right)^{4k'-2-2a-l} \left( \frac{\varepsilon j^2-n^2 + \varepsilon (j+1)^2-n^2}{2} \right)^{4k'-2-2a-l}$$
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By the same arguments that was used in the study of $V_{n,1}(f, k', t)$, we deduce that

- Case $1 \leq a \leq 2k' - 2$
  $$|\hat{Q}_{n}^{(k',a)}(t)| \leq C t 2^{-n[H(p+q+2k'-1-a)-\frac{1}{2}]}.$$

- Case $a = 0$
  $$|\hat{Q}_{n}^{(k',0)}(t)| \leq C 2^{-n[H(p+q+2k'-1)]} + C 2^{-n[H(p+q+2k'-2)-\frac{1}{2}]} t^{2H+1}.$$  

Finally, we deduce that, for all $k \in \{1, \ldots, \frac{p}{2}\}$ and $k' \in \{1, \ldots, \frac{q+1}{2}\}$,

$$E \left[ \left( V_{n,2}(f, k, k', t) \right)^2 \right] \leq C t \left( \sum_{a=1}^{2k'-1} 2^{-n[H(p+q+2k'-1-a)-\frac{1}{2}]} t \right) + C 2^{-n[H(p+q+2k'-2)-\frac{1}{2}]} t^{2H+1} + C 2^{-n[H(p+q+2k'-1)]}.$$  

It is clear that either for $H > 1/6$ and $p + q \geq 3$ or for $H = 1/6$ and $p + q \geq 5$, we have $V_{n,2}(f, k, k', t) \xrightarrow{L^2} 0$ as $n$ tends to infinity.

Combining (3.57), (3.57) and (3.58), we deduce that

$$E \left[ \left( V_{n}^{p,q}(f, t) \right)^2 \right] \leq C \sum_{k'=1}^{\frac{q+1}{2}} \left( \sum_{a=1}^{\frac{2p}{2}} 2^{-n[H(p+q+2k'-1-a)-\frac{1}{2}]} t \right) + 2^{-n[H(p+q+2k'-2)-\frac{1}{2}]} t^{2H+1} + 2^{-n[H(p+q+2k'-1)]}.$$  

Hence, the desired conclusion of Proposition 3.2 holds true when $p \neq 0$.

2. **If $p = 0$**:

We have

$$V_{n}^{0,q}(f, t) = 2^{-nH\frac{q}{2}} \sum_{k'=1}^{\frac{q+1}{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{2p}{2}} a_{q,k'} \Delta_{j,n} f(X^{1}, X^2) H_{2k'-1} (X_{j+1}^{2,n} - X_{j}^{2,n}).$$

By the same calculation as in the previous case, we deduce that

$$E \left[ \left( V_{n}^{0,q}(f, t) \right)^2 \right] \leq C \sum_{k'=1}^{\frac{q+1}{2}} \left( \sum_{a=1}^{\frac{2p}{2}} 2^{-n[H(q+2k'-1-a)-\frac{1}{2}]} t \right) + 2^{-n[H(q+2k'-2)-\frac{1}{2}]} t^{2H+1} + 2^{-n[H(q+2k'-1)]}.$$  
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Hence, the desired conclusion of Proposition 3.2 holds true when \( p = 0 \) as well, which ends up the proof of Proposition 3.2.

### 3.1 Proof of (1.5)

Thanks to Lemma 2.4, we have

\[
f(X^{1}_{(j+1)2-n/2}, X^{2}_{(j+1)2-n/2}) - f(X^{1}_{j2-n/2}, X^{2}_{j2-n/2})\]

\[
= \Delta_{j,n} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(X^{1}, X^{2})(X^{1}_{(j+1)2-n/2} - X^{1}_{j2-n/2}) + \Delta_{j,n} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(X^{1}, X^{2})(X^{2}_{(j+1)2-n/2} - X^{2}_{j2-n/2}) + \sum_{i=2}^{7} \sum_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 2i-1} C(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \Delta_{j,n} \frac{\partial^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}}{\partial x^{\alpha_1} \partial y^{\alpha_2}} f(X^{1}, X^{2})(X^{1}_{(j+1)2-n/2} - X^{1}_{j2-n/2})^{\alpha_1} \times (X^{2}_{(j+1)2-n/2} - X^{2}_{j2-n/2})^{\alpha_2} + R_{13}((X^{1}_{(j+1)2-n/2}, X^{2}_{(j+1)2-n/2}), (X^{1}_{j2-n/2}, X^{2}_{j2-n/2})).
\]

By Definition 1.2 and (3.52), we can write

\[
f(X^{1}_{[2\hat{n}+1]2-n/2}, X^{2}_{[2\hat{n}+1]2-n/2}) - f(0,0) \quad (3.61)
\]

\[
= O_{n}(f,t) + \sum_{i=2}^{7} \sum_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 2i-1} C(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) V^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}_{n} \frac{\partial^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}}{\partial x^{\alpha_1} \partial y^{\alpha_2}} f(t) + \sum_{j=0}^{[2\hat{n}+1]-1} R_{13}((X^{1}_{(j+1)2-n/2}, X^{2}_{(j+1)2-n/2}), (X^{1}_{j2-n/2}, X^{2}_{j2-n/2})).
\]

By Lemma 2.4, we have

\[
\left| \sum_{j=0}^{[2\hat{n}+1]-1} R_{13}((X^{1}_{(j+1)2-n/2}, X^{2}_{(j+1)2-n/2}), (X^{1}_{j2-n/2}, X^{2}_{j2-n/2})) \right| \leq C_{f} \sum_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 13} \sum_{j=0}^{[2\hat{n}+1]-1} |X^{1}_{(j+1)2-n/2} - X^{1}_{j2-n/2}|^{\alpha_1} |X^{2}_{(j+1)2-n/2} - X^{2}_{j2-n/2}|^{\alpha_2}.
\]

So, we deduce by the independence of \( X^{1} \) and \( X^{2} \) that

\[
\left| \sum_{j=0}^{[2\hat{n}+1]-1} E \left( R_{13}((X^{1}_{(j+1)2-n/2}, X^{2}_{(j+1)2-n/2}), (X^{1}_{j2-n/2}, X^{2}_{j2-n/2})) \right) \right| \leq C_{f} \sum_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 13} \sum_{j=0}^{[2\hat{n}+1]-1} E(|X^{1}_{(j+1)2-n/2} - X^{1}_{j2-n/2}|^{\alpha_1}) E(|X^{2}_{(j+1)2-n/2} - X^{2}_{j2-n/2}|^{\alpha_2}) \quad (3.62)
\]
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\[
\sum_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 13} \sum_{j=0}^{[2^{nH(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)}] - 1} 2^{-nH(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)} E[|G|_{\alpha_1}] E[|G|_{\alpha_2}] 
\]
\[
\leq C_f \sum_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 13} E[|G|_{\alpha_1}] E[|G|_{\alpha_2}] 2^{-nH(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) - \frac{1}{2}} t \to_{n \to \infty} 0,
\]
with \( G \sim N(0,1) \). On the other hand, by the almost sure continuity of \( f(X^1, X^2) \), one has, almost surely and as \( n \to \infty \),
\[
f(X^1_{[2^{nH(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)}] - n/2}, X^2_{[2^{nH(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)}] - n/2}) - f(0,0) \to f(X^1_t, X^2_t) - f(0,0).
\]
(3.63)

Finally, the desired conclusion (1.5) follows from (3.62), (3.63) and the conclusion of Proposition 3.2, plugged into (3.61).

### 3.2 Proof of (1.6)

We define \( W_n(f,t) \) by
\[
W_n(f,t) := \left( K^{(1)}_n(f,t), K^{(2)}_n(f,t), K^{(3)}_n(f,t), K^{(4)}_n(f,t) \right),
\]
where, for all \( i \in \{1, \ldots, 4\} \), \( K^{(i)}_n(f,t) \) is given in Definition 2.2. Let also define \( W(f,t) \) as follows,
\[
W(f,t) := \left( \kappa_1 \int_0^t \partial_{111} f(X^1_s, X^2_s) dB_1^s, \kappa_2 \int_0^t \partial_{222} f(X^1_s, X^2_s) dB_2^s, \kappa_3 \int_0^t \partial_{122} f(X^1_s, X^2_s) dB_3^s, \kappa_4 \int_0^t \partial_{112} f(X^1_s, X^2_s) dB_4^s \right),
\]
where \((B^1, \ldots, B^4)\) is a 4-dimensional Brownian motion independent of \((X^1, X^2)\), \( \kappa_1^2 = \kappa_2^2 = \frac{1}{36} \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} \rho^3(r) \) and \( \kappa_3^2 = \kappa_4^2 = \frac{1}{32} \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} \rho^3(r) \) with \( \rho \) defined in (2.25).

The following theorem will play a crucial role in the proof of (1.6).

**Theorem 3.3** Suppose \( H = 1/6 \) and fix \( t \geq 0 \). Then, as \( n \to \infty \),
\[
(X^1, X^2, W_n(f,t)) \to (X^1, X^2, W(f,t)),
\]
in \( D_{\mathbb{R}^2}[0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^4 \).

**Proof.** The proof of Theorem 3.3 will be done in several steps.
3.2.1 **Step 1:** Tightness of \((X^1, X^2, W_n(f, t))\) in \(D_{\mathbb{R}^2}[0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^4\).

It suffices to prove that \((W_n(f, t))_n\) is bounded in \(L^2(\mathbb{R}^4)\). We have

\[
E\left(\|W_n(f, t)\|_{\mathbb{R}^4}^2\right) = E\left(\|(K_n^{(1)}(f, t), K_n^{(2)}(f, t), K_n^{(3)}(f, t), K_n^{(4)}(f, t))\|_{\mathbb{R}^4}^2\right)
\]

(3.64)

On the other hand:

1. For \(p = 1, 2\), thanks to Lemma 2.9 we have

\[
\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E\left(\|(K_n^{(p)}(f, t))\|_{\mathbb{R}^4}^2\right) \leq C(t + t^2).
\]

2. Thanks to Lemma 2.7 we have

\[
\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E\left(\|(K_n^{(3)}(f, t))\|_{\mathbb{R}^4}^2\right) \leq C(t + t^2).
\]

3. Thanks to Lemma 2.8 we have also

\[
\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E\left(\|(K_n^{(4)}(f, t))\|_{\mathbb{R}^4}^2\right) \leq C(t + t^2).
\]

By combining these previous estimates with (3.64), we deduce that \(\exists C > 0\) independent of \(n\) and \(t\) such that

\[
E\left(\|W_n(f, t)\|_{\mathbb{R}^4}^2\right) \leq C(t + t^2),
\]

which proves the boundedness, in \(L^2(\mathbb{R}^4)\), of \((W_n(f, t))_n\) and consequently its tightness.

3.2.2 **Step 2.**

By Step 1, the sequence \((X^1, X^2, W_n(f, t))\) is tight in \(D_{\mathbb{R}^2}[0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^4\). Consider a subsequence converging in law to some limit denoted by

\((X^1, X^2, W_\infty(f, t))\)

(for convenience, we keep the same notation for this subsequence and for the sequence itself).

We have to show in this step that, conditioned on \((X^1, X^2)\), the laws of \(W_\infty(f, t)\) and \(W(f, t)\) are the same: Let \(\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_4)\) denote a generic element of \(\mathbb{R}^4\) and, for \(\lambda, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^4\), write \(\langle \lambda, \beta \rangle\) for \(\sum_{i=1}^4 \lambda_i \beta_i\). Let us define \(g_1 := \partial_{111} f, g_2 := \partial_{222} f, g_3 := \partial_{122} f\) and \(g_4 := \partial_{112} f\). We consider the conditional characteristic function of \(W(f, t)\) given \((X^1, X^2)\):

\[
\phi(\lambda) := E\left(e^{i\langle \lambda, W(f, t) \rangle} | X^1, X^2\right).
\]
Observe that \( \phi(\lambda) = e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{p=1}^{4} \lambda_p^2 q_p} \) where, for \( p \in \{1, \ldots, 4\} \),

\[
q_p = \kappa_p^2 \left( \int_0^t g_p^2(X_{s_p}^1, X_{s_p}^2) ds \right).
\]

Observe that \( \phi \) is the unique solution of the following system of PDEs:

\[
\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \lambda_p}(\lambda) = \phi(\lambda)(-\lambda_p q_p), \quad p = 1, \ldots, 4,
\]

where the unknown function \( \phi : \mathbb{R}^4 \to \mathbb{C} \) satisfies the initial condition \( \phi(0) = 1 \).

Recall that our purpose is to show that

\[
E(e^{i(\lambda, W_\infty(f,t))}|X^1, X^2) = E(e^{i(\lambda, W(f,t))}|X^1, X^2).
\]

Thanks to (3.65) this is equivalent to show that

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_p} E(e^{i(\lambda, W_\infty(f,t))}|X^1, X^2) = E(e^{i(\lambda, W_\infty(f,t))}|X^1, X^2)(-\lambda_p \kappa_p^2 \int_0^t g_p^2(X_{s_p}^1, X_{s_p}^2) ds).
\]

Hence we have to show that, for all \( p \in \{1, \ldots, 4\} \),

\[
E(iK_n^{(p)}(f,t) e^{i(\lambda, W(f,t))}|X^1, X^2) = E(e^{i(\lambda, W(f,t))}|X^1, X^2)(-\lambda_p \kappa_p^2 \int_0^t g_p^2(X_{s_p}^1, X_{s_p}^2) ds).
\]

Equivalently, for every random variable \( \xi \) of the form \( \psi(X_{s_1}^1, X_{s_2}^2, \ldots, X_{s_r}^1, X_{s_r}^2) \), with \( r \in \mathbb{N}^*, \psi : \mathbb{R}^{2r} \to \mathbb{R} \) belonging to \( C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2r}) \) and \( s_1, \ldots, s_r \in \mathbb{R} \), for all \( p \in \{1, \ldots, 4\} \), we have to prove that

\[
E(iK_n^{(p)}(f,t) e^{i(\lambda, W(f,t))}) = E(\xi e^{i(\lambda, W_\infty(f,t))}(-\lambda_p \kappa_p^2 \int_0^t g_p^2(X_{s_p}^1, X_{s_p}^2) ds)).
\]

Since \( (X^1, X^2, W(f,t)) \) is defined as the limit in law of \( (X^1, X^2, W_n(f,t)) \) and since \( W_n(f,t) \) is bounded in \( L^2 \), we have

\[
E(iK_n^{(p)}(f,t) e^{i(\lambda, W(f,t))}) = \lim_{n \to \infty} E(iK_n^{(p)}(f,t) e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))}) \xi).
\]

Thus, we have to prove that, for all \( p \in \{1, \ldots, 4\} \),

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} E(iK_n^{(p)}(f,t) e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))}) = E(\xi e^{i(\lambda, W_\infty(f,t))}(-\lambda_p \kappa_p^2 \int_0^t g_p^2(X_{s_p}^1, X_{s_p}^2) ds)).
\]

(3.66)
Let us compute $E(iK_n^{(p)}(f, t) e^{i(λW_n(f, t))}ξ)$ for $p = 3$ (the calculations are very similar for the other values of $p$). We have

$$E(iK_n^{(3)}(f, t) e^{i(λW_n(f, t))}ξ)$$

$$= \frac{i}{8} 2^{-\frac{3}{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{[\frac{3}{2}t] - 1} E \left( Δ_{j,n} \bar{∂}_{122} f(X^1, X^2) H_1(X_{j+1}^1 - X_j^1) H_2(X_{j+1}^2 - X_j^2) e^{i(λW_n(f, t))}ξ \right)$$

$$= \frac{i}{8} 2^{-\frac{3}{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{[\frac{3}{2}t] - 1} E \left( Δ_{j,n} \bar{∂}_{122} f(X^1, X^2) I_1^{(1)}(2\pi \tilde{δ}_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}) I_2^{(2)}(2\pi \tilde{δ}_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}) e^{i(λW_n(f, t))}ξ \right)$$

$$= \frac{i}{8} \sum_{j=0}^{[\frac{3}{2}t] - 1} E \left( \langle DX^1(Δ_{j,n} \bar{∂}_{122} f(X^1, X^2) e^{i(λW_n(f, t))}ξ), δ_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle I_2^{(2)}(2\pi \tilde{δ}_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}) \right),$$

where the second equality follows from (2.20) and the last one follows from (2.21). Thanks to (2.18), the first Malliavin derivative with respect to $X^1$ of $Δ_{j,n} \bar{∂}_{122} f(X^1, X^2) e^{i(λW_n(f, t))}ξ$ is given by

$$D_{X^1}(Δ_{j,n} \bar{∂}_{122} f(X^1, X^2) e^{i(λW_n(f, t))}ξ)$$

$$= Δ_{j,n} \bar{∂}_{122} f(X^1, X^2) e^{i(λW_n(f, t))}ξ \left( \frac{ε_{j2^{-n/2}} + ε_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}}{2} \right)$$

$$+ iΔ_{j,n} \bar{∂}_{122} f(X^1, X^2) e^{i(λW_n(f, t))}ξ D_{X^1}(λ, W_n(f, t)) + Δ_{j,n} \bar{∂}_{122} f(X^1, X^2) e^{i(λW_n(f, t))} ξ D_{X^1}. $$

Thus, by (3.67), we have

$$E(iK_n^{(3)}(f, t) e^{i(λW_n(f, t))}ξ)$$

$$= \frac{i}{8} \sum_{j=0}^{[\frac{3}{2}t] - 1} E \left( Δ_{j,n} \bar{∂}_{122} f(X^1, X^2) e^{i(λW_n(f, t))}ξ I_2^{(2)}(2\pi \tilde{δ}_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}) \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{8} \sum_{j=0}^{[\frac{3}{2}t] - 1} E \left( Δ_{j,n} \bar{∂}_{122} f(X^1, X^2) e^{i(λW_n(f, t))}ξ \langle DX^1(λ, W_n(f, t)), δ_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle I_2^{(2)}(2\pi \tilde{δ}_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}) \right).$$
Thanks to (2.18), the second Malliavin derivative of \( \Delta \) with respect to \( X \) is given by

\[
\frac{i}{8} \sum_{j=0}^{[\frac{N}{2}]-1} E \left( \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} \langle D_{X^1} \xi, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle \right)
\]

where

\[
= A_n(t) + B_n(t) + C_n(t),
\]

with obvious notation at the last equality.

In the next steps we will prove firstly that \( A_n(t) \to 0 \) as \( n \to \infty \), then that \( B_n(t) \to -\lambda_3 \kappa_3^2 E(\xi e^{i(\lambda, W_\infty(f,t))} \times \int_0^t g_3^2(X_s^1, X_s^2) ds) \) and finally that \( C_n(t) \to 0 \).

### 3.2.3 Step 3: Proof of the convergence to 0 of \( A_n(t) \).

Thanks to the duality formula (2.21), we have

\[
A_n(t) = \frac{i}{8} \sum_{j=0}^{[\frac{N}{2}]-1} E \left( \left\langle D_{X^2}^2 \left( \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} \xi, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \right) \right\rangle \right.
\]

\[
\cdot \left( \frac{\epsilon_{j2^{-n/2}} + \epsilon_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}}{2}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \right). \tag{3.68}
\]

Thanks to (2.18), the second Malliavin derivative of \( \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} \xi \) with respect to \( X^2 \) is given by

\[
D_{X^2} \left( \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} \xi \right)
= \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{12222} f(X^1, X^2) e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} \xi \left( \frac{\epsilon_{j2^{-n/2}} + \epsilon_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}}{2} \right)^{\otimes 2}
+ 2 \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{1222} f(X^1, X^2) \left( \frac{\epsilon_{j2^{-n/2}} + \epsilon_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}}{2} \right)^{\otimes 2} D_{X^2} \left( e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} \xi \right)
+ \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{1222} f(X^1, X^2) D_{X^2} \left( e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} \xi \right).
\]

On the other hand, we have

\[
D_{X^2} \left( e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} \xi \right) = ie^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} \xi D_{X^2} \left( \lambda, W_n(f, t) \right) + e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} D_{X^2} \xi,
\]

and

\[
D_{X^2}^2 \left( e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} \xi \right)
= -e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} \xi \left( D_{X^2} \left( \lambda, W_n(f, t) \right) \right)^{\otimes 2} + ie^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} \xi D_{X^2} \left( \lambda, W_n(f, t) \right)
+ 2ie^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} D_{X^2} \left( \lambda, W_n(f, t) \right) \otimes D_{X^2} \xi + e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} D_{X^2}^2 \xi.
\]
Thus, we finally get

\[
D_X^2 \left( \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{1122} f(X^1, X^2) e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} \xi \right) = \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{11222} f(X^1, X^2) e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} \xi \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{j2^{-n/2}} + \varepsilon_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}}{2} \right) \bigotimes D_X^2 \langle \lambda, W_n(f,t) \rangle
\]  

(3.69)

+ 2i \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{11222} f(X^1, X^2) e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} \xi \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{j2^{-n/2}} + \varepsilon_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}}{2} \right) \bigotimes D_X^2 \xi

- \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{1122} f(X^1, X^2) e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} \xi (D_X^2 \langle \lambda, W_n(f,t) \rangle) \bigotimes D_X^2 \xi

+ 2i \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{11222} f(X^1, X^2) e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} \xi (D_X^2 \langle \lambda, W_n(f,t) \rangle) \bigotimes D_X^2 \xi

By replacing the quantity (3.69) in (3.68), we get

\[
A_n(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{2^\frac{n}{2} - 1} E \left( \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{11222} f(X^1, X^2) e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} \xi \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{j2^{-n/2}} + \varepsilon_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}}{2} \right) , \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \right)^3
\]

\[+ 2i \sum_{j=0}^{2^\frac{n}{2} - 1} E \left( \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{11222} f(X^1, X^2) e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} \xi (D_X^2 \langle \lambda, W_n(f,t) \rangle) , \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \right)^2 \times \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{j2^{-n/2}} + \varepsilon_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}}{2} , \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \right)^2
\]

\[+ 2 \sum_{j=0}^{2^\frac{n}{2} - 1} E \left( \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{11222} f(X^1, X^2) e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} (D_X^2 \xi , \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}) \right)^2 \times \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{j2^{-n/2}} + \varepsilon_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}}{2} , \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \right)^2
\]

\[+ \sum_{j=0}^{2^\frac{n}{2} - 1} E \left( \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{11222} f(X^1, X^2) e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} (D_X^2 \xi , \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}) \right)^2 \times \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{j2^{-n/2}} + \varepsilon_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}}{2} , \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \right)^2
\]

\[+ i \sum_{j=0}^{2^\frac{n}{2} - 1} E \left( \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{11222} f(X^1, X^2) e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} (D_X^2 \xi , \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}) \right) \times \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{j2^{-n/2}} + \varepsilon_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}}{2} , \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \right)^2
\]
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\[
+2i \sum_{j=0}^{\lceil 2^n t \rceil - 1} E \left( \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{1122} f(X^1, X^2) e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f, t))} \left( D_{X^2}^2 \langle \lambda, W_n(f, t) \rangle \right) \right) \\
\times \left( \frac{\varepsilon_j 2^{-n/2} + \varepsilon_{j+1} 2^{-n/2}}{2}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \right) \\
+ \sum_{j=0}^{\lceil 2^n t \rceil - 1} E \left( \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{1122} f(X^1, X^2) e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f, t))} \left( D_{X^2}^2 \xi, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \right) \right) \\
\times \left( \frac{\varepsilon_j 2^{-n/2} + \varepsilon_{j+1} 2^{-n/2}}{2}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \right) \\
= \sum_{i=1}^{7} A_{n,i}(t),
\]

with obvious notation at the last equality. Let us prove the convergence to 0 of \( A_{n,i}(t) \) for \( i \in \{1, \ldots, 7\} \).

Thanks to the boundedness of \( e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f, t))} \) and \( \xi \), and since \( f \in C_b^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2) \), we get

\[
|A_{n,1}(t)| \leq C \frac{2^n}{2^{n/3}} \sum_{j=0}^{\lceil 2^n t \rceil - 1} \left| \left( \frac{\varepsilon_j 2^{-n/2} + \varepsilon_{j+1} 2^{-n/2}}{2}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \right) \right|
\leq C 2^{-n/3} t^{1/3},
\]

where the last inequality follows from (2.40). Hence, \( A_{n,1}(t) \to 0 \) as \( n \to \infty \).

Convergence of \( A_{n,2}(t) \) to 0

Thanks to the boundedness of \( e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f, t))} \) and \( \xi \), and since \( f \in C_b^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2) \), we get

\[
|A_{n,2}(t)| = \left| 2i \sum_{p=1}^{4} \lambda_p \sum_{j=0}^{\lceil 2^n t \rceil - 1} E \left( \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{1122} f(X^1, X^2) e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f, t))} \xi \right) \\
\times \left( D_{X^2} K_n^{(p)}(t), \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \right) \times \left( \frac{\varepsilon_j 2^{-n/2} + \varepsilon_{j+1} 2^{-n/2}}{2}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \right) \right|^2
\leq C \sum_{p=1}^{4} |\lambda_p| \sum_{j=0}^{\lceil 2^n t \rceil - 1} E \left( \left| D_{X^2} K_n^{(p)}(t), \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \right| \right) \\
\times \left( \frac{\varepsilon_j 2^{-n/2} + \varepsilon_{j+1} 2^{-n/2}}{2}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \right) \right|^2
\leq C \sum_{p=1}^{4} |\lambda_p| A_{n,2}^{(p)}(t),
\]
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with obvious notation at the last equality. We will prove that

$$A_{n,2}^{(p)}(t) \leq C2^{-n/12} (t^{4/3} + 1) + C2^{-n/6}t.$$  (3.70)

In fact, it suffices to consider the cases $p = 2, 3$. The previous inequality could be proved similarly for the other values of $p$.

1. For $p = 2$: By Definition 2.2

$$K_n^{(2)}(t) = \frac{1}{24} \sum_{l=0}^{[\frac{2\pi}{t}] - 1} \Delta_{l,n} \partial_{222} f(X^1, X^2) I_3^{(2)}(\delta_{(l+1)2-n/2}).$$

So, thanks to (2.18) and (2.24), we have

$$D_{X^2} K_n^{(2)}(t) = \frac{1}{24} \sum_{l=0}^{[\frac{2\pi}{t}] - 1} \Delta_{l,n} \partial_{222} f(X^1, X^2) I_3^{(2)}(\delta_{(l+1)2-n/2}) \left( \begin{array}{c} \varepsilon_{l2-n/2} + \varepsilon_{(l+1)2-n/2} \\ 2 \end{array} \right)$$

and we get

$$A_{n,2}^{(2)}(t) \leq C \sum_{j,l=0}^{[\frac{2\pi}{t}] - 1} E \left( I_3^{(2)}(\delta_{(l+1)2-n/2}) \right) \left\| I_3^{(2)}(\delta_{(l+1)2-n/2}) \right\|_2 \left( \begin{array}{c} \varepsilon_{l2-n/2} + \varepsilon_{(l+1)2-n/2} \\ 2 \end{array} \right)$$

$$+ C \sum_{j,l=0}^{[\frac{2\pi}{t}] - 1} E \left( I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(l+1)2-n/2}) \right) \left\| I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(l+1)2-n/2}) \right\|_2 \left( \begin{array}{c} \varepsilon_{l2-n/2} + \varepsilon_{(l+1)2-n/2} \\ 2 \end{array} \right)$$

$$\leq C(2^{-n/6})^2 \sum_{j,l=0}^{[\frac{2\pi}{t}] - 1} \left\| I_3^{(2)}(\delta_{(l+1)2-n/2}) \right\|_2 \left( \begin{array}{c} \varepsilon_{l2-n/2} + \varepsilon_{(l+1)2-n/2} \\ 2 \end{array} \right)$$

$$+ C(2^{-n/6})^2 \sum_{j,l=0}^{[\frac{2\pi}{t}] - 1} \left\| I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(l+1)2-n/2}) \right\|_2 \left( \begin{array}{c} \varepsilon_{l2-n/2} + \varepsilon_{(l+1)2-n/2} \\ 2 \end{array} \right)$$
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\[ \leq C 2^{-n/3} 2^{-n/4} \sum_{j,l=0}^{[2^{t/2} t] - 1} \left| \left\langle \frac{\varepsilon_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}} + \varepsilon_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}}}{2}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \right\rangle \right| \]

\[ + C 2^{-n/3} 2^{-n/6} \sum_{j,l=0}^{[2^{t/2} t] - 1} \left| \left\langle \delta_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \right\rangle \right| \]

\[ \leq C 2^{-7n/12} (2^{-n/6} + 2^{n/2} t^{4/3}) + C 2^{-n/6} t \]

\[ \leq C 2^{-n/12} (t^{4/3} + 1) + C 2^{-n/6} t. \]

The second inequality follows from Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and (2.34). The third inequality follows from (2.23), whereas the fourth one follows from (2.35), (2.36) and (2.37). As a result, (3.70) holds true for \( p = 2 \).

2. For \( p = 3 \): By definition (2.22)

\[ K_n^{(3)}(t) = \frac{1}{8} \sum_{l=0}^{[2^{t/2} t] - 1} \Delta_{l,n} \delta_{122} f(X, X^2) I_{1}^{(1)} \left( \delta_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}} \right) I_{2}^{(2)} \left( \delta_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}} \right). \]

Thus, by (2.23) and (2.24), we have

\[ D X^3 K_n^{(3)}(t) \]

\[ = \frac{1}{8} \sum_{l=0}^{[2^{t/2} t] - 1} \Delta_{l,n} \delta_{122} f(X, X^2) I_{1}^{(1)} \left( \delta_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}} \right) I_{2}^{(2)} \left( \delta_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}} \right) \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}} + \varepsilon_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}}}{2} \right) \]

\[ + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{l=0}^{[2^{t/2} t] - 1} \Delta_{l,n} \delta_{122} f(X, X^2) I_{1}^{(1)} \left( \delta_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}} \right) I_{2}^{(2)} \left( \delta_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}} \right) \delta_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}}. \]

Hence, we get

\[ A_n^{(2)}(t) \]

\[ \leq C \sum_{j,l=0}^{[2^{t/2} t] - 1} E \left( \left| I_{1}^{(1)}(\delta_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}}) \right| \right| I_{2}^{(2)}(\delta_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}}) \right) \]

\[ \times \left| \left\langle \frac{\varepsilon_{j2^{-n/2}} + \varepsilon_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}}{2}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \right\rangle \right| \left| \left\langle \frac{\varepsilon_{j2^{-n/2}} + \varepsilon_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}}{2}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \right\rangle \right|^2 \]

\[ + C \sum_{j,l=0}^{[2^{t/2} t] - 1} E \left( \left| I_{1}^{(1)}(\delta_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}}) \right| \right| I_{2}^{(2)}(\delta_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}}) \right) \left| \left\langle \delta_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \right\rangle \right| \]

\[ \times \left| \left\langle \frac{\varepsilon_{j2^{-n/2}} + \varepsilon_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}}{2}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \right\rangle \right|^2. \]
\[ \leq C(2^{-n/6})^2 \sum_{j,l=0}^{[\frac{2\pi t}{t}]-1} \left| I_1^{(1)} \left( \delta_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}} \right) \right| \left| I_2^{(2)} \left( \delta_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}} \right) \right| \times \left| \left\langle \frac{\varepsilon_{j2^{-n/2}} + \varepsilon_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}}}{2}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \right\rangle \right| \]
\[ + C(2^{-n/6})^2 \sum_{j,l=0}^{[\frac{2\pi t}{t}]-1} \left| I_1^{(1)} \left( \delta_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}} \right) \right| \left| I_2^{(2)} \left( \delta_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}} \right) \right| \left| \left\langle \delta_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \right\rangle \right| \]
\[ \leq C2^{-n/3}2^{-n/12}2^{-n/6} \sum_{j,l=0}^{[\frac{2\pi t}{t}]-1} \left| \left\langle \frac{\varepsilon_{j2^{-n/2}} + \varepsilon_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}}}{2}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \right\rangle \right| \]
\[ + C2^{-n/3}(2^{-n/12})^2 \sum_{j,l=0}^{[\frac{2\pi t}{t}]-1} \left| \left\langle \delta_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \right\rangle \right| \]
\[ \leq C2^{-n/12}(t^{4/3} + 1) + C2^{-n/6}t, \]

where the previous inequalities are shown by using the same arguments as in the case of \( p = 2 \). So, (3.70) holds true for \( p = 3 \).

Finally, we deduce that \( |A_{n,2}(t)| \leq C2^{-n/12}(t^{4/3} + 1) + C2^{-n/6}t. \)

Convergence of \( A_{n,3}(t) \) to 0

Thanks to the boundedness of \( e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} \) and \( \xi \), and since \( f \in C_b^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2) \), we get

\[ |A_{n,3}(t)| \leq C \sum_{j=0}^{[\frac{2\pi t}{t}]-1} E(\langle DX^2\xi, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle) \times \left| \left\langle \frac{\varepsilon_{j2^{-n/2}} + \varepsilon_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}}{2}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \right\rangle \right|^2 \]
\[ \leq C(2^{-n/6})^2 \sum_{j=0}^{[\frac{2\pi t}{t}]-1} \left| \left\langle \frac{\varepsilon_{j2^{-n/2}} + \varepsilon_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}}{2}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \right\rangle \right| \]
\[ \leq C2^{-n/3}t^{4/3}, \]

where the second inequality comes from (2.46) and (2.34), and the last one from (2.40).

We deduce that \( A_{n,3}(t) \to 0 \) as \( n \to \infty \).

Convergence of \( A_{n,4}(t) \) to 0

Thanks to the boundedness of \( e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} \) and \( \xi \), and since \( f \in C_b^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2) \), we get

\[ |A_{n,4}(t)| \leq C \sum_{j=0}^{[\frac{2\pi t}{t}]-1} E(\langle DX^2(\lambda, W_n(f,t)), \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle) \times \left| \left\langle \frac{\varepsilon_{j2^{-n/2}} + \varepsilon_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}}{2}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \right\rangle \right| \]
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We deduce that
\[
A_n(t) \to 0
\]
where the last inequality comes from (2.49) and (2.40). As a consequence, the convergence of 
\[
A_n(t)
\]
with obvious notation at the last equality. Thanks to (2.48) and to (2.40), we have

\[
|A_n(t)| \leq C2^{-n/3}(t^2 + t + 1) t^{1/3}.
\]

We deduce that

\[
|A_n(t)| \leq C2^{-n/3}(t^2 + t + 1) t^{1/3}.
\]

As a consequence, the convergence of \( A_n(t) \) to 0 is shown.

Convergence of \( A_n(t) \) to 0

Thanks to the boundedness of \( e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} \) and \( \xi \), and since \( f \in C_b^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2) \), we deduce that

\[
|A_n(t)| = \left| \sum_{j=0}^{[\frac{2\pi t}{2}]-1} E \left( \langle D_{X_j} K_n^{(p)}(t) , \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle \right) \right|
\]

\[
\times \left| \left| \frac{\xi_j 2^{-n/2} + \xi_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}}{2} , \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \right| \right|
\]

\[
\leq C \sum_{p=1}^{4} |\lambda_p| \sum_{j=0}^{[\frac{2\pi t}{2}]-1} E \left( \left| \langle D_{X_j} K_n^{(p)}(t) , \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle \right| \right)
\]

\[
\times \left| \left| \frac{\xi_j 2^{-n/2} + \xi_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}}{2} , \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \right| \right|
\]

\[
\leq C \sum_{p=1}^{4} |\lambda_p| \sum_{j=0}^{[\frac{2\pi t}{2}]-1} \| \langle D_{X_j} K_n^{(p)}(t) , \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle \|_2
\]

\[
\times \left| \left| \frac{\xi_j 2^{-n/2} + \xi_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}}{2} , \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \right| \right|
\]

\[
\leq C 2^{-n/3}(t^2 + t + 1)^{1/3} t^{1/3},
\]

where the last inequality comes from (2.49) and (2.40). As a consequence, the convergence to 0 of \( A_n(t) \) is shown.
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Convergence of $A_{n,6}(t)$ to 0

Thanks to the boundedness of $e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f, t))}$ and $\xi$, and since $f \in C^\infty_b(\mathbb{R}^2)$, we deduce that

$$|A_{n,6}(t)| \leq C \sum_{j=0}^{[\frac{2}{t}]t-1} E\left(\left|\left\langle DX^2(\lambda, W_n(f, t)), \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}\right\rangle\right|\left\langle DX^2\xi, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}\right\rangle\right)$$

$$\quad \times \left|\left\langle \frac{\varepsilon_j2^{-n/2} + \varepsilon_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}}{2}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}\right\rangle\right|$$

$\leq C 2^{-n/6} \sum_{p=1}^{4} |\lambda_p| \sum_{j=0}^{[\frac{2}{t}]t-1} E\left(\left|\left\langle DX^2(K_n(t)), \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}\right\rangle\right|\right)$$

$$\quad \times \left|\left\langle \frac{\varepsilon_j2^{-n/2} + \varepsilon_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}}{2}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}\right\rangle\right|$$

$$= C \sum_{p=1}^{4} |\lambda_p| A_{n,6}^{(p)}(t),$$

with obvious notation at the last equality, and where the second inequality comes from (2.46).

We will prove that

$$A_{n,6}^{(p)}(t) \leq C 2^{-n/12}(t^{4/3} + t + 1).$$

(3.71)

Actually, it suffices to prove this inequality for $p = 2, 3$, the proof for the other values of $p$ being entirely similar.

1. For $p = 2$ :

$$\left|\left\langle DX^2(K_n^{(2)}(t)), \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}\right\rangle\right|$$

$$\leq C \sum_{l=0}^{\left[\frac{2}{t}\right]t-1} I_3^{(2)}(\delta_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}}) \left|\left\langle \frac{\varepsilon_j2^{-n/2} + \varepsilon_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}}}{2}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}\right\rangle\right|$$

$$\quad + C \sum_{l=0}^{\left[\frac{2}{t}\right]t-1} I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}}) \left|\left\langle \delta_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}\right\rangle\right|.$$
\[+C2^{-n/6}\sum_{j,l=0}^{[2^{m}t]-1} E\left(\left|I^{(2)}_1(\delta_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}})\right|\right)\left|\left\langle\left(\frac{\epsilon_{j2^{-n/2}} + \epsilon_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}}{2}\right), \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}\right\rangle\right|\]

\[\times\left|\left\langle\left(\frac{\epsilon_{j2^{-n/2}} + \epsilon_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}}{2}\right), \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}\right\rangle\right|\]

\[\leq C2^{-7n/12}\sum_{j,l=0}^{[2^{m}t]-1} \left|\left\langle\left(\frac{\epsilon_{j2^{-n/2}} + \epsilon_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}}{2}\right), \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}\right\rangle\right|\]

\[+C2^{-n/2}\sum_{j,l=0}^{[2^{m}t]-1} \left|\left\langle\delta_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}\right\rangle\right|\]

\[\leq C2^{-7n/12}(2^{-n/6} + 2^{n/2}t^{4/3}) + C2^{-n/6}t \leq C2^{-n/12}(t^{4/3} + t + 1),\]

where the second inequality comes from (2.34), the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and (2.23). The third inequality is a consequence of (2.35), (2.36) and (2.37). As a result, (3.71) holds true for \(p = 2\).

2. For \(p = 3\):

\[\left|\left\langle D_{X}^{(3)}(K^{(3)}_{n}(t)), \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}\right\rangle\right|\]

\[\leq C\sum_{l=0}^{[2^{m}t]-1} \left|I^{(1)}_1(\delta_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}})\right|\left|I^{(2)}_2(\delta_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}})\right|\left|\left\langle\left(\frac{\epsilon_{l2^{-n/2}} + \epsilon_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}}}{2}\right), \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}\right\rangle\right|\]

\[+C\sum_{l=0}^{[2^{m}t]-1} \left|I^{(1)}_1(\delta_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}})\right|\left|I^{(2)}_2(\delta_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}})\right|\left|\left\langle\delta_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}\right\rangle\right|\]

Using the same arguments as in the previous case, we deduce that

\[A^{(3)}_{n,6}(t)\]

\[\leq C2^{-n/6}\sum_{j,l=0}^{[2^{m}t]-1} E\left(\left|I^{(1)}_1(\delta_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}})\right|\left|I^{(2)}_2(\delta_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}})\right|\right)\]

\[\times\left|\left\langle\left(\frac{\epsilon_{l2^{-n/2}} + \epsilon_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}}}{2}\right), \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}\right\rangle\right|\left|\left\langle\left(\frac{\epsilon_{l2^{-n/2}} + \epsilon_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}}}{2}\right), \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}\right\rangle\right|\]

\[+C2^{-n/6}\sum_{j,l=0}^{[2^{m}t]-1} E\left(\left|I^{(1)}_1(\delta_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}})\right|\left|I^{(2)}_2(\delta_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}})\right|\right)\left|\left\langle\delta_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}\right\rangle\right|\]

\[\times\left|\left\langle\left(\frac{\epsilon_{l2^{-n/2}} + \epsilon_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}}}{2}\right), \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}\right\rangle\right|\]
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\[ C^{2-n/3} \sum_{j,l=0}^{[2\pi t]-1} \| I_1^{(1)}(\delta_{l+1}2^{-n/2}) \|_2 \| I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{l+1}2^{-n/2}) \|_2 \times \left| \left\langle \frac{\varepsilon_{l+1}2^{-n/2} + \varepsilon_{l}2^{-n/2}}{2}, \delta_{l+1}2^{-n/2} \right\rangle \right| \]

\[ + C^{2-n/3} \sum_{j,l=0}^{[2\pi t]-1} \| I_1^{(1)}(\delta_{l+1}2^{-n/2}) \|_2 \| I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{l+1}2^{-n/2}) \|_2 \left| \left\langle \delta_{l+1}2^{-n/2}, \delta_{l+1}2^{-n/2} \right\rangle \right| \]

\[ \leq C^{2-n/12}(2^{-n/6} + 2^{n/2}t^{4/3}) + C^{2-n/6}t \leq C^{2-n/12}(t^{4/3} + t + 1). \]

Hence (3.71) holds true for \( p = 3 \).

Finally, we have shown that \(|A_n,6(t)| \leq C^{2-n/12}(t^{4/3} + t + 1)\). As a result, it converges to 0.

**Convergence of \( A_n,7(t) \) to 0**

Thanks to the boundedness of \( e^{i\langle \lambda, W_n(f,t) \rangle} \) and \( \xi \), and since \( f \in C^\infty_b(\mathbb{R}^2) \), we deduce that

\[ |A_n,7(t)| \leq C \sum_{j=0}^{[2\pi t]-1} E \left( |\langle D_2^\lambda, \xi, \delta_{l+1}2^{-n/2} \rangle| \right) \times \left| \left\langle \frac{\varepsilon_{l+1}2^{-n/2} + \varepsilon_{l}2^{-n/2}}{2}, \delta_{l+1}2^{-n/2} \right\rangle \right| \]

\[ \leq C^{2-n/3} \sum_{j=0}^{[2\pi t]-1} \left| \left\langle \frac{\varepsilon_{l+1}2^{-n/2} + \varepsilon_{l}2^{-n/2}}{2}, \delta_{l+1}2^{-n/2} \right\rangle \right| \]

\[ \leq C^{2-n/3}t^{1/3}, \]

where the second inequality comes from (2.47) and the last one from (2.40). It is now clear that \( A_n,7(t) \) converges to 0.

**3.2.4 Step 4: Study of the convergence of \( B_n(t) \).**

\[ B_n(t) = -\frac{1}{8} \sum_{j=0}^{[2\pi t]-1} E \left( \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{12} f(X^1, X^2) e^{i\langle \lambda, W_n(f,t) \rangle} \xi \langle D_1 (\lambda, W_n(f,t)), \delta_{l+1}2^{-n/2} \rangle \right) \times I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{l+1}2^{-n/2}) \]
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Our purpose in this subsection is to prove the following result:

\[ \text{Proof of (3.75)} \]

with obvious notation at the last equality. We will prove that

\[ B_n(t) \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} -\kappa_3^2 E\left( e^{i(\lambda, W_n(t,f,t))} \xi \times \int_0^t g_3^2(X_s^1, X_s^2) \, ds \right), \quad (3.73) \]

where \( g_3 := \partial_{122} f, \) see the beginning of Step 2. The proof of (3.73) will be done in several steps. Firstly, we will prove the convergence of \( B_n^{(3)}(t) \) to \(-\kappa_3^2 E\left( e^{i(\lambda, W_n(t,f,t))} \xi \times \int_0^t (\partial_{122} f(X_s^1, X_s^2))^2 \, ds \right)\). Then, we will prove the convergence to 0 of \( B_n^{(p)}(t) \) for the other values of \( p \).

**Study of the convergence of \( B_n^{(3)}(t) \).**

\[ B_n^{(3)}(t) = -\frac{1}{8} \sum_{j=0}^{[2\pi I] - 1} E \left( \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) e^{i(\lambda, W_n(t,f,t))} \xi \langle D_{X^1} (K_n^{(3)}(t)), \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle \right. \]

\[ \times \left. \langle D_{X^1} (K_n^{(3)}(t)), \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}) \right). \]

(3.74)

Our purpose in this subsection is to prove the following result:

\[ B_n^{(3)}(t) \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} -\kappa_3^2 E\left( e^{i(\lambda, W_n(t,f,t))} \xi \times \int_0^t (\partial_{122} f(X_s^1, X_s^2))^2 \, ds \right). \]

(3.75)

**Proof of (3.75).** Thanks to (2.18) and (2.24), we have

\[ \langle D_{X^1} (K_n^{(3)}(t)), \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle \]

\[ = \frac{1}{8} \sum_{k=0}^{[2\pi I] - 1} \Delta_{k,n} \partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) I_1^{(1)}(\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}) I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}) \]

\[ \times \left( \frac{\xi_{k2^{-n/2} + \xi_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}}}{2} , \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \right) \]

\[ + \frac{1}{8} \sum_{k=0}^{[2\pi I] - 1} \Delta_{k,n} \partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}) \langle \delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}} , \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle. \]
Using the duality formula (2.21), we deduce that

\[ B_n^{(3)}(t) = -\frac{1}{64} \sum_{j,k=0}^{\frac{3}{2} t - 1} E \left( \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{k,n} \partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) I_1^{(1)} (\delta_{(k+1)2-n/2}) I_2^{(2)} (\delta_{(k+1)2-n/2}) \right) \times I_2^{(2)} (\delta_{(j+1)2-n/2}) e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} \xi \left( \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{k2-n/2} + \varepsilon_{(k+1)2-n/2}}{2} \right), \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2} \right) \]

\[ \Rightarrow \frac{1}{64} \sum_{j,k=0}^{\frac{3}{2} t - 1} E \left( \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{k,n} \partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) I_1^{(1)} (\delta_{(k+1)2-n/2}) \right) \times I_2^{(2)} (\delta_{(j+1)2-n/2}) e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} \xi \left( \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{k2-n/2} + \varepsilon_{(k+1)2-n/2}}{2} \right), \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2} \right) \]

\[ = -\frac{1}{64} \sum_{j,k=0}^{\frac{3}{2} t - 1} E \left( D_{X^2} \left( \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{k,n} \partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) I_1^{(1)} (\delta_{(k+1)2-n/2}) \right) \times I_2^{(2)} (\delta_{(j+1)2-n/2}) e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} \xi \left( \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{k2-n/2} + \varepsilon_{(k+1)2-n/2}}{2} \right), \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2} \right) \right) \]

\[ = B_n^{(3,1)}(t) + B_n^{(3,2)}(t), \tag{3.76} \]

with obvious notation at the last equality. In the following two steps, we will prove firstly that \( B_n^{(3,2)}(t) \to -\kappa_3^2 E \left( e^{i(\lambda, W_\infty(f,t))} \xi \times \int_0^t (\partial_{122} f(X_s^1, X_s^2))^2 ds \right) \), then that \( B_n^{(3,1)}(t) \to 0 \) as \( n \to \infty \).

1. **Convergence of** \( B_n^{(3,2)}(t) \) **as** \( n \to \infty \): Let us prove that

\[ B_n^{(3,2)}(t) \to -\kappa_3^2 E \left( e^{i(\lambda, W_\infty(f,t))} \xi \times \int_0^t (\partial_{122} f(X_s^1, X_s^2))^2 ds \right). \tag{3.77} \]

**Proof of** (3.77). Thanks to (2.18) and (2.24), we have

\[ D_{X^2} \left( \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{k,n} \partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) I_1^{(1)} (\delta_{(k+1)2-n/2}) I_2^{(2)} (\delta_{(k+1)2-n/2}) e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} \xi \right) \]
\[
= D_{X^2}^2 \left( \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{k,n} \partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) \right) I_2^{(2)} (\delta^{\otimes 2}_{(k+1)2-n/2}) e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} \xi + 2D_{X^2} \left( \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{k,n} \partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) \right) \otimes D_{X^2} \left( I_2^{(2)} (\delta^{\otimes 2}_{(k+1)2-n/2}) e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} \xi \right) + \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{k,n} \partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) D_{X^2}^2 \left( I_2^{(2)} (\delta^{\otimes 2}_{(k+1)2-n/2}) e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} \xi \right).
\]

We also have

\[
D_{X^2} \left( \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{k,n} \partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) \right) = \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{k,n} \partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{j2-n/2} + \varepsilon_{(j+1)2-n/2}}{2} \right) + \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{k,n} \partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{k2-n/2} + \varepsilon_{(k+1)2-n/2}}{2} \right),
\]

and

\[
D_{X^2}^2 \left( \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{k,n} \partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) \right) = \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{k,n} \partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{j2-n/2} + \varepsilon_{(j+1)2-n/2}}{2} \right)^2 + \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{k,n} \partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{k2-n/2} + \varepsilon_{(k+1)2-n/2}}{2} \right)^2 + \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{k,n} \partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{k2-n/2} + \varepsilon_{(k+1)2-n/2}}{2} \right)^2.
\]

On the other hand, we have

\[
D_{X^2} \left( I_2^{(2)} (\delta^{\otimes 2}_{(k+1)2-n/2}) e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} \xi \right) = 2I_1^{(2)} (\delta^{\otimes 2}_{(k+1)2-n/2}) e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} \xi \delta_{(k+1)2-n/2} + I_2^{(2)} (\delta^{\otimes 2}_{(k+1)2-n/2}) D_{X^2} \left( e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} \xi \right) = 2I_1^{(2)} (\delta^{\otimes 2}_{(k+1)2-n/2}) e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} \xi \delta_{(k+1)2-n/2} + iI_2^{(2)} (\delta^{\otimes 2}_{(k+1)2-n/2}) e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} \xi \times D_{X^2} \left( \langle \lambda, W_n(f,t) \rangle \right) + I_2^{(2)} (\delta^{\otimes 2}_{(k+1)2-n/2}) D_{X^2} \left( e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} \xi \right).
\]

Also

\[
D_{X^2}^2 \left( I_2^{(2)} (\delta^{\otimes 2}_{(k+1)2-n/2}) e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} \xi \right) = 2 \xi \delta^{\otimes 2}_{(k+1)2-n/2} + 4I_1^{(2)} (\delta^{\otimes 2}_{(k+1)2-n/2}) \delta_{(k+1)2-n/2} \otimes D_{X^2} \left( e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} \xi \right).
\]
From (3.79), (3.80), (3.81) and (3.82) plugged into (3.78), we deduce that

\[
+ I_2^{(2)} (\delta_{(k+1)2-n/2}^\otimes) D_{X^2} (\xi^{(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} \xi) = 2 e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} \xi \delta_{(k+1)2-n/2}^\otimes + 4 i I_1^{(2)} (\delta_{(k+1)2-n/2}^\otimes) e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} \xi \delta_{(k+1)2-n/2}^\otimes \delta X^2 (\xi) + i I_2^{(2)} (\delta_{(k+1)2-n/2}^\otimes) e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} \xi D_{X^2} ((\lambda, W_n(f,t))) - I_2^{(2)} (\delta_{(k+1)2-n/2}^\otimes) e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} \xi \\
\times (D_{X^2} ((\lambda, W_n(f,t))))^\otimes + 2 i I_2^{(2)} (\delta_{(k+1)2-n/2}^\otimes) e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} D_{X^2} ((\lambda, W_n(f,t)))^\otimes D_{X^2} (\xi) + I_2^{(2)} (\delta_{(k+1)2-n/2}^\otimes) e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} D_{X^2} (\xi).
\]

From (3.79), (3.80), (3.81) and (3.82) plugged into (3.78), we deduce that

\[
D_{X^2} (\Delta_{j,n} \partial_{122} f (X^1, X^2) \Delta_{k,n} \partial_{122} f (X^1, X^2) I_2^{(2)} (\delta_{(k+1)2-n/2}^\otimes) e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} \xi) = \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{12222} f (X^1, X^2) \Delta_{k,n} \partial_{1222} f (X^1, X^2) I_2^{(2)} (\delta_{(k+1)2-n/2}^\otimes) e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} \xi \\
\times \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{j2-n/2} + \varepsilon_{(j+1)2-n/2}}{2} \right) + 2 \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{1222} f (X^1, X^2) \Delta_{k,n} \partial_{1222} f (X^1, X^2) I_2^{(2)} (\delta_{(k+1)2-n/2}^\otimes) e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} \xi \\
\times \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{k2-n/2} + \varepsilon_{(k+1)2-n/2}}{2} \right) + 4 \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{1222} f (X^1, X^2) \Delta_{k,n} \partial_{1222} f (X^1, X^2) I_2^{(2)} (\delta_{(k+1)2-n/2}^\otimes) e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} \xi \\
\times \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{j2-n/2} + \varepsilon_{(j+1)2-n/2}}{2} \right) \delta D_{X^2} ((\lambda, W_n(f,t))) + 2 \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{1222} f (X^1, X^2) \\
\times \Delta_{k,n} \partial_{1222} f (X^1, X^2) I_2^{(2)} (\delta_{(k+1)2-n/2}^\otimes) e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{j2-n/2} + \varepsilon_{(j+1)2-n/2}}{2} \right) \delta D_{X^2} (\xi) + 4 \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{1222} f (X^1, X^2) \Delta_{k,n} \partial_{1222} f (X^1, X^2) I_2^{(2)} (\delta_{(k+1)2-n/2}^\otimes) e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} \xi \\
\times \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{k2-n/2} + \varepsilon_{(k+1)2-n/2}}{2} \right) \delta D_{X^2} ((\lambda, W_n(f,t))) + 2 \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{1222} f (X^1, X^2) \Delta_{k,n} \partial_{1222} f (X^1, X^2) \\
\times \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{k2-n/2} + \varepsilon_{(k+1)2-n/2}}{2} \right) \delta D_{X^2} (\xi) + 2 \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{1222} f (X^1, X^2) \Delta_{k,n} \partial_{1222} f (X^1, X^2).
\]
By plugging (3.83) in $B^{(3,2)}_n(t)$, we get

$$
B^{(3,2)}_n(t) = \frac{1}{64} \sum_{j,k=0}^{[\frac{n}{2}]-1} E \left( \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{1222} f(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{k,n} \partial_{1222} f(X^1, X^2) I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}) \right) \times e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f, t))} \xi \delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}^2 + 4i \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{1222} f(X^1, X^2) \Delta_k \partial_{1222} f(X^1, X^2)
$$

$$
\times \Delta_{k,n} \partial_{1222} f(X^1, X^2) I_1^{(2)}(\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}) e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f, t))} \delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}} D_{X^2}(\xi)
$$

$$+ i \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{1222} f(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{k,n} \partial_{1222} f(X^1, X^2) I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}) e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f, t))} \xi
$$

$$\times D_{X^2}(\langle \lambda, W_n(f, t) \rangle) - \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{1222} f(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{k,n} \partial_{1222} f(X^1, X^2) I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}})
$$

$$\times e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f, t))} \xi \langle D_{X^2}(\langle \lambda, W_n(f, t) \rangle) \rangle^2 + 2i \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{1222} f(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{k,n} \partial_{1222} f(X^1, X^2)
$$

$$\times I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}) e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f, t))} D_{X^2}(\langle \lambda, W_n(f, t) \rangle) \delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}} D_{X^2}(\xi) + \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{1222} f(X^1, X^2)
$$

$$\times \Delta_{k,n} \partial_{1222} f(X^1, X^2) I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}) e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f, t))} D_{X^2}(\xi).$$
\[
-\frac{2i}{64} \sum_{j,k=0}^{[\frac{d}{d}]} E \left( \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{k,n} \partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) I_{2}^{(2)}(\delta_{(k+1)2-n/2}^{\otimes 2}) e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f, t))} \right) \\
\times \left\langle \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{j2-n/2} + \varepsilon_{(j+1)2-n/2}}{2} \right) \otimes D_{X^2}(\langle \lambda, W_n(f, t) \rangle), \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2}^{\otimes 2} \right\rangle \\
\times \langle \delta_{(k+1)2-n/2}, \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2} \rangle \\
-\frac{2}{64} \sum_{j,k=0}^{[\frac{d}{d}]} E \left( \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{k,n} \partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) I_{2}^{(2)}(\delta_{(k+1)2-n/2}^{\otimes 2}) e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f, t))} \right) \\
\times \left\langle \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{j2-n/2} + \varepsilon_{(j+1)2-n/2}}{2} \right) \otimes D_{X^2}(\langle \lambda, W_n(f, t) \rangle), \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2}^{\otimes 2} \right\rangle \\
\times \langle \delta_{(k+1)2-n/2}, \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2} \rangle \\
-\frac{4}{64} \sum_{j,k=0}^{[\frac{d}{d}]} E \left( \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{k,n} \partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) I_{1}^{(2)}(\delta_{(k+1)2-n/2}^{\otimes 2}) e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f, t))} \right) \\
\times \left\langle \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{j2-n/2} + \varepsilon_{(j+1)2-n/2}}{2} \right) \otimes D_{X^2}(\langle \lambda, W_n(f, t) \rangle), \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2}^{\otimes 2} \right\rangle \\
\times \langle \delta_{(k+1)2-n/2}, \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2} \rangle \\
-\frac{2i}{64} \sum_{j,k=0}^{[\frac{d}{d}]} E \left( \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{k,n} \partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) \right) \\
\times \left\langle \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{j2-n/2} + \varepsilon_{(j+1)2-n/2}}{2} \right) \otimes D_{X^2}(\langle \lambda, W_n(f, t) \rangle), \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2}^{\otimes 2} \right\rangle \\
\times \langle \delta_{(k+1)2-n/2}, \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2} \rangle \\
-\frac{2}{64} \sum_{j,k=0}^{[\frac{d}{d}]} E \left( \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) \right) \\
\times \left\langle \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{j2-n/2} + \varepsilon_{(j+1)2-n/2}}{2} \right) \otimes D_{X^2}(\langle \lambda, W_n(f, t) \rangle), \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2}^{\otimes 2} \right\rangle \\
\times \langle \delta_{(k+1)2-n/2}, \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2} \rangle \\
-\frac{4i}{64} \sum_{j,k=0}^{[\frac{d}{d}]} E \left( \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) \right) \\
\times \left\langle \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{j2-n/2} + \varepsilon_{(j+1)2-n/2}}{2} \right) \otimes D_{X^2}(\langle \lambda, W_n(f, t) \rangle), \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2}^{\otimes 2} \right\rangle \\
\times \langle \delta_{(k+1)2-n/2}, \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2} \rangle \\
\rangle_{X^2} \langle \lambda, W_n(f, t) \rangle, \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2}^{\otimes 2} \rangle \\
\rangle_{X^2} \langle \lambda, W_n(f, t) \rangle, \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2}^{\otimes 2} \rangle \\
\rangle_{X^2} \langle \lambda, W_n(f, t) \rangle, \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2}^{\otimes 2} \rangle \\
\rangle_{X^2} \langle \lambda, W_n(f, t) \rangle, \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2}^{\otimes 2} \rangle \\
\rangle_{X^2} \langle \lambda, W_n(f, t) \rangle, \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2}^{\otimes 2} \rangle \\
\rangle_{X^2} \langle \lambda, W_n(f, t) \rangle, \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2}^{\otimes 2} \rangle 
\]
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\[-\frac{4}{64} \sum_{j,k=0}^{[\frac{2\pi}{16}]^{-1}} E \left( \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{k,n} \partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) I_1^{(2)}(\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}) e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} \right) \]
\times \left\langle \delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}, \frac{\partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2)}{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \right\rangle \left(\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}\right) \]
\[-\frac{i}{64} \sum_{j,k=0}^{[\frac{2\pi}{16}]^{-1}} E \left( \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{k,n} \partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) I_1^{(2)}(\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}) e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} \right) \]
\times \left\langle D^2_{X^2}(\langle \lambda, W_n(f,t) \rangle), \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \right\rangle \left(\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}\right) \]
\[+ \frac{1}{64} \sum_{j,k=0}^{[\frac{2\pi}{16}]^{-1}} E \left( \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{k,n} \partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) I_1^{(2)}(\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}) e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} \right) \]
\times \left\langle D^2_{X^2}(\langle \lambda, W_n(f,t) \rangle), \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \right\rangle \left(\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}\right) \]
\[-\frac{2i}{64} \sum_{j,k=0}^{[\frac{2\pi}{16}]^{-1}} E \left( \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{k,n} \partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) I_1^{(2)}(\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}) e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} \right) \]
\times \left\langle D^2_{X^2}(\langle \lambda, W_n(f,t) \rangle), \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \right\rangle \left(\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}\right) \]
\[-\frac{1}{64} \sum_{j,k=0}^{[\frac{2\pi}{16}]^{-1}} E \left( \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{k,n} \partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) I_1^{(2)}(\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}) e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} \right) \]
\times \left\langle D^2_{X^2}(\langle \lambda, W_n(f,t) \rangle), \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \right\rangle \left(\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}\right) \]
\[= \sum_{i=1}^{16} B_{n,i}^{(3,2)}(t). \]

Now, we will prove firstly that $B_{n,10}^{(3,2)}(t) \rightarrow -\kappa_2^2 E \left( e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} \xi \times f_0^t (\partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2))^2 ds \right)$, then we will prove the convergence to 0 of $B_{n,i}^{(3,2)}(t)$ for $i \in \{1, \ldots, 16\} \setminus \{10\}$.

- **Convergence of $B_{n,10}^{(3,2)}(t)$**:

\[B_{n,10}^{(3,2)}(t) = \frac{1}{32} \sum_{j,k=0}^{[\frac{2\pi}{16}]^{-1}} E \left( \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{k,n} \partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} \right) \]
\times \left(\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}\right)^3. \]
Let us show that
\[
B_{n,10}^{(3,2)}(t) \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} -k_3^2 E \left( e^{i(\lambda, W(t))} \xi \times \int_0^t \left( \partial \Delta_{k,n} f(X_t^1, X_t^2) \right)^2 ds \right).
\]
(3.84)

Let us prove firstly that, a.s.,
\[
\frac{1}{32} \sum_{j,k=0}^{(2^n t)-1} \Delta_{j,n} \partial \Delta_{k,n} f(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{k,n} \partial \Delta_{k,n} f(X^1, X^2) \langle \delta_{(k+1)/2 - n/2}, \delta_{(j+1)/2 - n/2} \rangle^3
\]
\[
\xrightarrow{n \to \infty} \kappa_3^2 \int_0^t \left( \partial \Delta_{k,n} f(X_t^1, X_t^2) \right)^2 ds.
\]
(3.85)

We have, see (2.25) for the definition of \( \rho \) :
\[
\frac{1}{32} \sum_{j,k=0}^{(2^n t)-1} \Delta_{j,n} \partial \Delta_{k,n} f(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{k,n} \partial \Delta_{k,n} f(X^1, X^2) \rho(j - k)^3
\]
\[
= \frac{2^{-n/2}}{32} \sum_{j,k=0}^{(2^n t)-1} \Delta_{j,n} \partial \Delta_{k,n} f(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{k,n} \partial \Delta_{k,n} f(X^1, X^2) \rho(j - k)^3
\]
\[
= \frac{2^{-n/2}}{32} \sum_{r=1-[2^n t]}^{(2^n t)-1} \rho(r)^3 \sum_{k=0 \nabla (-r)} \Delta_{r+k,n} \partial \Delta_{k,n} f(X^1, X^2)
\]
\[
\times \Delta_{k,n} \partial \Delta_{k,n} f(X^1, X^2),
\]
where the last equality comes from the simple change of variable \( r = j - k \), together with a Fubini argument. Observe that
\[
\left| 2^{-n/2} \sum_{k=0 \nabla (-r)}^{(2^n t)-1} \Delta_{r+k,n} \partial \Delta_{k,n} f(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{k,n} \partial \Delta_{k,n} f(X^1, X^2) \right|
\]
\[
- \int_0^t \left( \partial \Delta_{k,n} f(X_t^1, X_t^2) \right)^2 ds \right| \leq 2^{-n/2} \sum_{k=0 \nabla (-r)}^{(2^n t)-1} \Delta_{r+k,n} \partial \Delta_{k,n} f(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{k,n} \partial \Delta_{k,n} f(X^1, X^2)
\]
\[
- 2^{-n/2} \sum_{k=0 \nabla (-r)}^{(2^n t)-1} \partial \Delta_{k,n} f(X_{k-2-n/2}^1, X_{k-2-n/2}^2) \Delta_{k,n} \partial \Delta_{k,n} f(X^1, X^2) \right|
\]
\[
+ 2^{-n/2} \sum_{k=0 \nabla (-r)}^{(2^n t)-1} \partial \Delta_{k,n} f(X_{k-2-n/2}^1, X_{k-2-n/2}^2) \Delta_{k,n} \partial \Delta_{k,n} f(X^1, X^2)
\]
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with obvious notation at the last equality. Let us prove the convergence to 0 of $r_{1,n}, r_{2,n}$ and $r_{3,n}$. For any fixed integer $r > 0$ (the case $r \leq 0$ being similar), by Theorem 2.3 and since $f \in C_b^\infty$, we have

$$r_{1,n} \leq \|\partial_{122}f\|_\infty 2^{-n/2} \sum_{k=0}^{[2^t] - 1} |\Delta_{r+k,n} \partial_{122}f(X^1, X^2) - \partial_{122}f(X^1_{k2^{-n/2}}, X^2_{k2^{-n/2}})|$$

$$\leq C 2^{-n/2} \sum_{k=0}^{[2^t] - 1} |\partial_{1122}f(X^1_{k2^{-n/2}}, X^2_{k2^{-n/2}})| \times |X^1_{(r+k+1)2^{-n/2}} + X^1_{(r+k)2^{-n/2}} - 2X^1_{k2^{-n/2}}|$$

$$+ C' 2^{-n/2} \sum_{k=0}^{[2^t] - 1} |\partial_{1222}f(X^1_{k2^{-n/2}}, X^2_{k2^{-n/2}})| \times |X^2_{(r+k+1)2^{-n/2}} + X^2_{(r+k)2^{-n/2}} - 2X^2_{k2^{-n/2}}|$$

$$+ C' 2^{-n/2} \sum_{k=0}^{[2^t] - 1} |R_1\left(\left(\frac{X^1_{(r+k+1)2^{-n/2}} + X^1_{(r+k)2^{-n/2}}}{2}, \frac{X^2_{(r+k+1)2^{-n/2}} + X^2_{(r+k)2^{-n/2}}}{2}\right)\right)|$$

$$\leq C t \sup_{0 \leq k \leq [2^t] - 1} |X^1_{(r+k+1)2^{-n/2}} + X^1_{(r+k)2^{-n/2}} - 2X^1_{k2^{-n/2}}|$$

$$+ C t \sup_{0 \leq k \leq [2^t] - 1} |X^2_{(r+k+1)2^{-n/2}} + X^2_{(r+k)2^{-n/2}} - 2X^2_{k2^{-n/2}}|$$

$$+ C' 2^{-n/2} \sum_{k=0}^{[2^t] - 1} |R_1\left(\left(\frac{X^1_{(r+k+1)2^{-n/2}} + X^1_{(r+k)2^{-n/2}}}{2}, \frac{X^2_{(r+k+1)2^{-n/2}} + X^2_{(r+k)2^{-n/2}}}{2}\right)\right)|.$$
By Theorem 2.3 and since \( f \in C^\infty_b \), we have
\[
| R_1 \left( (X^1_{k^2-n/2}, X^2_{k^2-n/2}), \left( \frac{X^1_{(r+k+1)2^{-n/2}} + X^1_{(r+k)2^{-n/2}}}{2}, \frac{X^2_{(r+k+1)2^{-n/2}} + X^2_{(r+k)2^{-n/2}}}{2} \right) \right) |
\leq C \left( |X^1_{(r+k+1)2^{-n/2}} + X^1_{(r+k)2^{-n/2}} - 2X^1_{k^2-n/2}| 
+ |X^2_{(r+k+1)2^{-n/2}} + X^2_{(r+k)2^{-n/2}} - 2X^2_{k^2-n/2}| \right).
\]

We finally get
\[
r_{1,n} \leq C \sup_{0 \leq k \leq [2^{n-t}]^{-1}} \left( |X^1_{(r+k+1)2^{-n/2}} + X^1_{(r+k)2^{-n/2}} - 2X^1_{k^2-n/2}| 
+ |X^2_{(r+k+1)2^{-n/2}} + X^2_{(r+k)2^{-n/2}} - 2X^2_{k^2-n/2}| \right).
\]

By Heine’s theorem, the last quantities converge to 0 almost surely. Thus, \( r_{1,n} \to 0 \) as \( n \to \infty \). We can prove similarly that \( r_{2,n} \to 0 \) as \( n \to \infty \). Finally, it is clear that \( r_{3,n} \to 0 \) as \( n \to \infty \). Hence, we have proved that, for all fixed \( r \in \mathbb{Z} \), a.s.,
\[
2^{-n/2} \sum_{k=0}^{([2^{n-t}]^{-1} - r) \wedge ([2^{n-t}]^{-1})} \Delta_{r+k,n} \partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{k,n} \partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) \longrightarrow_{n \to \infty} \int_0^t (\partial_{122} f(X^1_s, X^2_s))^2 ds.
\]

By combining a bounded convergence argument with (3.86) (observe that \( \kappa_3^2 := \frac{1}{32} \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} \rho^3(r) < \infty \)), we deduce that, a.s.,
\[
\frac{1}{32} \sum_{j,k=0}^{[2^{n-t}]^{-1}} \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{k,n} \partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) \langle \delta_{(r+k+1)2^{-n/2}}, \delta_{(r+k)2^{-n/2}} \rangle^3 \longrightarrow_{n \to \infty} \kappa_3^2 \int_0^t (\partial_{122} f(X^1_s, X^2_s))^2 ds.
\]

Thus (3.85) holds true.

Since \( (X^1, X^2, W_n(f,t)) \to (X^1, X^2, W_\infty(f,t)) \) in \( D_{\mathbb{R}^2}[0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^4 \), we deduce
the following convergence in law in $\mathbb{R}^3$, 
\[
\left( e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))}, \xi, \frac{1}{32} \sum_{j,k=0}^{[\frac{2}{3}t]-1} \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{k,n} \partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) \times (\delta_{(k+1)2-\cdot/2}, \delta_{(j+1)2-\cdot/2})^{3} \right) \xrightarrow{\text{Law}} \left( e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))}, \xi, \kappa_3^2 \int_0^t (\partial_{122} f(X_s^1, X_s^2))^2 ds \right).
\]
By boundedness of $e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))}$, $\xi$ and $\partial_{122} f$, we deduce that (3.84) follows.

- Convergence to 0 of $B_{n,1}^{(3,2)}(t)$, $B_{n,2}^{(3,2)}(t)$ and $B_{n,3}^{(3,2)}(t)$. Let us first focus on $B_{n,1}^{(3,2)}(t)$. Since $f \in C_b^\infty$, $e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))}$ and $\xi$ are bounded and by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and (2.23), we have
\[
\left| E \left( \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{1222} f(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{k,n} \partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(k+1)2-\cdot/2}) e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} \xi \right) \right| \leq C \| I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(k+1)2-\cdot/2}) \|_2 \leq C 2^{-n/6}.
\]
Combining this fact with (2.34) and (2.35), we deduce that
\[
| B_{n,1}^{(3,2)}(t) | \leq C 2^{-n/6} (2^{-n/6})^2 \sum_{j,k=0}^{[\frac{2}{3}t]-1} | \langle \delta_{(k+1)2-\cdot/2}, \delta_{(j+1)2-\cdot/2} \rangle | \\
\leq C 2^{-n/6} 2^{-n/3} 2^{n/3} = C t 2^{-n/6}.
\]
Let us now turn to $B_{n,2}^{(3,2)}(t)$ and $B_{n,3}^{(3,2)}(t)$. Relying to the same arguments, we get
\[
E_{n,2}^{(3,2)}(t) \leq C t 2^{-n/6} \\
E_{n,3}^{(3,2)}(t) \leq C t 2^{-n/6}.
\]
It is now clear that $B_{n,1}^{(3,2)}(t)$, $B_{n,2}^{(3,2)}(t)$ and $B_{n,3}^{(3,2)}(t)$ converge to 0 as $n \to \infty$.

- Convergence to 0 of $B_{n,4}^{(3,2)}(t)$ and $B_{n,7}^{(3,2)}(t)$. Let us first focus on $B_{n,4}^{(3,2)}(t)$. Since $f \in C_b^\infty$, $e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))}$ and $\xi$ are bounded and by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and (2.23), we have
\[
\left| E \left( \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{1222} f(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{k,n} \partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) I_1^{(2)}(\delta_{(k+1)2-\cdot/2}) e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} \xi \right) \right| \leq C \| I_1^{(2)}(\delta_{(k+1)2-\cdot/2}) \|_2 \leq C 2^{-n/12}.
\]
Combining this fact with (2.34) and (2.35), we get

\[
|B^{(3,2)}_{n,4}(t)| \leq C2^{-n/12}  \sum_{j,k=0}^{[2^\frac{n}{2}t]-1} \left| \left\langle \frac{\varepsilon j - n/2 + \varepsilon (j+1)2^{-n/2}}{2}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \right\rangle \right| \\
\times \left| \delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}} \right| \left| \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \right|^2 \\
\leq C2^{-n/12} \sum_{j,k=0}^{[2^\frac{n}{2}t]-1} \left| \delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}} \right| \left| \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \right|^2 \\
\leq C2^{-n/12} 2^{-n/6} \sum_{j,k=0}^{[2^\frac{n}{2}t]-1} \left| \delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}} \right|^2 \\
\leq C2^{-n/12} 2^{-n/6} t 2^{n/6} \leq Ct 2^{-n/12}.
\]

Let us now turn to \( B^{(3,2)}_{n,7}(t) \). By the same arguments, we get

\[
|B^{(3,2)}_{n,7}(t)| \leq Ct 2^{-n/12}.
\]

It is now clear that \( B^{(3,2)}_{n,4}(t) \) and \( B^{(3,2)}_{n,7}(t) \) converge to 0 as \( n \to \infty \).

- Convergence to 0 of \( B^{(3,2)}_{n,5}(t) \) and \( B^{(3,2)}_{n,8}(t) \). Let us first focus on \( B^{(3,2)}_{n,5}(t) \). Since \( f \in C_{0}^{\infty} \), \( e^{it(\lambda,W_{n}(f,t))} \) and \( \xi \) are bounded and thanks to (2.34) and to the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we get

\[
|B^{(3,2)}_{n,5}(t)| \leq C 2^{-n/6} \sum_{j,k=0}^{[2^\frac{n}{2}t]-1} E \left( |I^{(2)}_{2}\left( \delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}} \right)| \right| D_{X^{2}}(\langle \lambda, W_{n}(f,t) \rangle), \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle \right) \\
\times \left| \delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}} \right| \left| \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \right| \\
\leq C 2^{-n/6} \sum_{p=1}^{4} |\lambda|_{p} \sum_{j,k=0}^{[2^\frac{n}{2}t]-1} E \left( |I^{(2)}_{2}\left( \delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}} \right)| \right| D_{X^{2}}(K^{(p)}_{n}(t)), \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle \right) \\
\times \left| \delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}} \right| \left| \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \right| \\
\leq C 2^{-n/6} \sum_{p=1}^{4} |\lambda|_{p} \sum_{j,k=0}^{[2^\frac{n}{2}t]-1} \left| \delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}} \right| \left| \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \right| \left| D_{X^{2}}(K^{(p)}_{n}(t)), \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle \right| \\
\times \left| \delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}} \right| \left| \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \right| |. 
\]

Due to (2.23), (2.48) and (2.35), we have

\[
|B^{(3,2)}_{n,5}(t)| \leq C 2^{-n/6} 2^{-n/6} 2^{-n/6} (t^2 + t + 1) \sum_{j,k=0}^{[2^\frac{n}{2}t]-1} \left| \delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}} \right| \left| \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \right| \\
\leq C(t^2 + t + 1)^{\frac{1}{2} - n/6}.
\]
Let us now turn to $B_{n,8}^{(3,2)}(t)$. The same arguments shows that

$$|B_{n,8}^{(3,2)}(t)| \leq Ct\left(t^2 + t + 1\right)^{5/2}2^{-n/6}.$$ 

It is now clear that $B_{n,5}^{(3,2)}(t)$ and $B_{n,8}^{(3,2)}(t)$ converge to 0 as $n \to \infty$.

- Convergence to 0 of $B_{n,6}^{(3,2)}(t)$ and $B_{n,9}^{(3,2)}(t)$. Let us first focus on $B_{n,6}^{(3,2)}(t)$. Since $f \in C_6^\infty$, $e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))}$ is bounded and due to (2.34), (2.46), (2.23) and (2.35), we have

$$|B_{n,6}^{(3,2)}(t)| \leq C2^{-n/6}\sum_{j,k=0}^{[2^{5/2}]-1} E\left(|I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}^{\otimes 2})|\langle D\lambda_2(\xi), \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}\rangle\right)$$

$$\times\langle \delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}\rangle^2 \leq C2^{-n/6}2^{-n/6}\sum_{j,k=0}^{[2^{5/2}]-1} \|I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}^{\otimes 2})\|_2\|\langle \delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}\rangle\|_2 \leq C2^{-n/2}t^2n^3 = Ct^{2-n/6}.$$ 

Let us now turn to $B_{n,9}^{(3,2)}(t)$. The same arguments shows that

$$|B_{n,9}^{(3,2)}(t)| \leq Ct^{2-n/6}.$$ 

We deduce that $B_{n,6}^{(3,2)}(t)$ and $B_{n,9}^{(3,2)}(t)$ both converge to 0 as $n \to \infty$.

- Convergence to 0 of $B_{n,11}^{(3,2)}(t)$. Since $f \in C_6^\infty$, $e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))}$ and $\xi$ are bounded and due to (2.48), (2.23) and (2.35), we have

$$|B_{n,11}^{(3,2)}(t)| \leq C \sum_{j,k=0}^{[2^{5/2}]-1} E\left(|I_1^{(2)}(\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}})\|\langle D\lambda_2(\xi), \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}\rangle\right)$$

$$\times\langle \delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}\rangle^2 \leq C \sum_{p=1}^{4} |\lambda_p| \sum_{j,k=0}^{[2^{5/2}]-1} E\left(|I_1^{(2)}(\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}})\|\langle D\lambda_2(K_n^{(p)}(t)), \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}\rangle\right)$$

$$\times\langle \delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}\rangle^2 \leq C \sum_{p=1}^{4} |\lambda_p| \sum_{j,k=0}^{[2^{5/2}]-1} \|I_1^{(2)}(\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}})\|_2\|\langle D\lambda_2(K_n^{(p)}(t)), \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}\rangle\|_2$$

$$\times\langle \delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}\rangle^2.$$ 
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\[ C 2^{-n/12}2^{-n/6}(t^2 + t + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{j,k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{2\pi f t}{T} \rfloor - 1} \langle \delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle^2 \]
\[ \leq Ct(t^2 + t + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}} 2^{-n/12}. \]

Hence, \( B_{n,11}^{(3,2)}(t) \) converge to 0 as \( n \to \infty \).

- **Convergence to 0 of \( B_{n,12}^{(3,2)}(t) \):** Since \( f \in C_b^\infty \), \( e^{i(\lambda,W_n(f,t))} \) is bounded and due to (2.46), (2.23) and (2.35), we have
  \[ |B_{n,12}^{(3,2)}(t)| \leq C \sum_{j,k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{2\pi f t}{T} \rfloor - 1} E \left( |I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}})\langle D_{X^2}(\xi), \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle| \right) \]
  \[ \times \langle \delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle^2 \]
  \[ \leq C 2^{-n/6} \sum_{j,k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{2\pi f t}{T} \rfloor - 1} \| I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}) \|_2 \langle \delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle^2 \]
  \[ \leq C 2^{-n/12}t. \]

Thus, \( B_{n,12}^{(3,2)}(t) \) converge to 0 as \( n \to \infty \).

- **Convergence to 0 of \( B_{n,13}^{(3,2)}(t) \):**
  \[ |B_{n,13}^{(3,2)}(t)| \leq C \sum_{j,k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{2\pi f t}{T} \rfloor - 1} E \left( |I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}})\langle D_{X^2}(\lambda,W_n(f,t))), \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle| \right) \]
  \[ \times \langle \delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle^2 \]
  \[ \leq C \sum_{p=1}^4 |\lambda_p| \sum_{j,k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{2\pi f t}{T} \rfloor - 1} E \left( |I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}})\langle D_{X^2}(K^{(p)}_n), \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle| \right) \]
  \[ \times \langle \delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle^2 \]
  \[ \leq C \sum_{p=1}^4 |\lambda_p| \sum_{j,k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{2\pi f t}{T} \rfloor - 1} \| I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}) \|_2 \| D_{X^2}(K^{(p)}_n), \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \|_2 \]
  \[ \times \langle \delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle^2 \]
  \[ \leq C 2^{-n/6}2^{-n/3}(t^2 + t + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}} 2^{n/3} t = C 2^{-n/6} (t^2 + t + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}} t, \]

where the fourth inequality is due to (2.23), (2.49) and (2.35). Hence, \( B_{n,13}^{(3,2)}(t) \) → 0 as \( n \to \infty \).

- **Convergence to 0 of \( B_{n,14}^{(3,2)}(t) \):** Since \( f \in C_b^\infty \) and thanks to (2.23), (2.50) and
\[
|B^{(3,2)}_{n,14}(t)| \leq C \sum_{j,k=0}^{[2^{\frac{h}{2}} t] - 1} E \left( |I_2^{(2)}(\delta^{(3)}_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}})| \langle D_{X^2}(\langle \lambda, W_n(f, t) \rangle), \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle^2 \right) \\
\times \left| \langle \delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle \right| \\
\leq C \sum_{j,k=0}^{[2^{\frac{h}{2}} t] - 1} \| I_2^{(2)}(\delta^{(3)}_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}) \|_2 \| D_{X^2}(\langle \lambda, W_n(f, t) \rangle), \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle^2 \|_2 \\
\times \left| \langle \delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle \right| \\
\leq C \sum_{p=1}^{4} |\lambda_p| \sum_{j,k=0}^{[2^{\frac{h}{2}} t] - 1} \| I_2^{(2)}(\delta^{(3)}_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}) \|_2 \| D_{X^2}(K_n^{(p)}(t)), \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle^2 \|_2 \\
\times \left| \langle \delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle \right| \\
\leq C 2^{-n/6} 2^{-n/3} (1 + t + t^2 + t^3)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{j,k=0}^{[2^{\frac{h}{2}} t] - 1} \left| \langle \delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle \right| \\
\leq C 2^{-n/6} (1 + t + t^2 + t^3)^{\frac{1}{2}} t.
\]

It is now clear that \(B^{(3,2)}_{n,14}(t) \to 0\) as \(n \to \infty\).

- **Convergence to 0 of \(B^{(3,2)}_{n,15}(t)\):** Since \(f \in C^\infty\) and thanks to (2.46), (2.48), (2.23) and (2.35), we obtain

\[
|B^{(3,2)}_{n,15}(t)| \leq C \sum_{j,k=0}^{[2^{\frac{h}{2}} t] - 1} E \left( |I_2^{(2)}(\delta^{(3)}_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}})| \langle D_{X^2}(\langle \lambda, W_n(f, t) \rangle), \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle \right) \\
\times \left| \langle D_{X^2}(\xi), \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle \right| \left| \langle \delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle \right| \\
\leq C 2^{-n/6} \sum_{p=1}^{4} |\lambda_p| \sum_{j,k=0}^{[2^{\frac{h}{2}} t] - 1} E \left( |I_2^{(2)}(\delta^{(3)}_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}})| \langle D_{X^2}(K_n^{(p)}(t)), \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle \right) \\
\times \left| \langle \delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle \right| \\
\leq C 2^{-n/6} \sum_{p=1}^{4} |\lambda_p| \sum_{j,k=0}^{[2^{\frac{h}{2}} t] - 1} \| I_2^{(2)}(\delta^{(3)}_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}) \|_2 \| D_{X^2}(K_n^{(p)}(t)), \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle^2 \|_2 \\
\times \left| \langle \delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle \right| \\
\leq C (2^{-n/6})^3 (t^2 + t + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{j,k=0}^{[2^{\frac{h}{2}} t] - 1} \left| \langle \delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle \right| \\
\leq C 2^{-n/6} (t^2 + t + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}} t.
\]
Hence, $B_{n,15}^{(3,2)}(t) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

- Convergence to 0 of $B_{n,16}^{(3,2)}(t)$: Since $f \in C_b^\infty$ and thanks to (2.47), (2.23) and (2.35), we deduce that

$$|B_{n,16}^{(3,2)}(t)| \leq C \sum_{j,k=0}^{[2^n t/2]-1} E\left(\left|I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}})\right| \left|D_{X^2}^2(\xi) \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}^{\otimes 2}\right| \right) \times \left|\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}} - \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}\right| \leq C 2^{-n/3} \sum_{j,k=0}^{[2^n t/2]-1} \left|I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}})\right| \left|\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}^{\otimes 2} - \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}^{\otimes 2}\right| \leq C 2^{-n/6} t.$$

Thus, $B_{n,16}^{(3,2)}(t) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

Finally, we have shown that

$$B_n^{(3,2)}(t) \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} -\kappa^2 \frac{3}{2} E\left(e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} \xi \times \int_0^t \partial_{t_{122}} f(X^1_s, X^2_s)^2 ds \right),$$

and (3.77) holds true. \hfill \blacksquare

Recall that we are proving (3.75). Moreover, by (3.76), $B_n^{(3)}(t) = B_n^{(3,1)}(t) + B_n^{(3,2)}(t)$. So, it remains to prove the convergence to 0 of $B_n^{(3,1)}(t)$.

2. Convergence to 0 of $B_n^{(3,1)}(t)$ as $n \to \infty$.

$$B_n^{(3,1)}(t) = -\frac{1}{64} \sum_{j,k=0}^{[2^n t/2]-1} E\left(D_{X^2}^2 \left(\Delta_{j,n}\partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{k,n}\partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) \times I_1^{(1)}(\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}) I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}^{\otimes 2}) e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} \xi \right) \right) \times \left(\left\|e^{i\left(\frac{\varepsilon k2^{-n/2} + \varepsilon (k+1)2^{-n/2}}{2}\right)} - \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}\right\|^{\otimes 2}\right).$$

Observe that

$$D_{X^2}^2 \left(\Delta_{j,n}\partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{k,n}\partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) I_1^{(1)}(\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}) I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}^{\otimes 2}) e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} \xi \right) \times \left(\left\|e^{i\left(\frac{\varepsilon k2^{-n/2} + \varepsilon (k+1)2^{-n/2}}{2}\right)} - \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}\right\|^{\otimes 2}\right).$$

$$= I_1^{(1)}(\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}) D_{X^2}^2 \left(\Delta_{j,n}\partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{k,n}\partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}^{\otimes 2}) e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} \xi \right).$$
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As in the case of (3.83), the same calculations show that

\[
D_{X^2}^2 \left( \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{k,n} \partial_{1122} f(X^1, X^2) I_2^{(2)} (\delta_{(k+1)2-\nu/2}^{(2)} e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} \xi ) \right)
\]

\[
= \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{12222} f(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{k,n} \partial_{11122} f(X^1, X^2) I_2^{(2)} (\delta_{(k+1)2-\nu/2}^{(2)} e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} \xi )
\]

\[
\times \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{j2-\nu/2} + \varepsilon_{j(j+1)2-\nu/2}}{2} \right)^2 + 2 \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{1222} f(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{k,n} \partial_{11122} f(X^1, X^2)
\]

\[
\times I_2^{(2)} (\delta_{(k+1)2-\nu/2}^{(2)} e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} \xi ) \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{j2-\nu/2} + \varepsilon_{j(j+1)2-\nu/2}}{2} \right) \otimes \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{k2-\nu/2} + \varepsilon_{k(k+1)2-\nu/2}}{2} \right)
\]

\[
\Delta_{j,n} \partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{k,n} \partial_{11122} f(X^1, X^2) I_2^{(2)} (\delta_{(k+1)2-\nu/2}^{(2)} e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} \xi )
\]

\[
\times \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{k2-\nu/2} + \varepsilon_{k(k+1)2-\nu/2}}{2} \right)^2 + 4 \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{1222} f(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{k,n} \partial_{11122} f(X^1, X^2)
\]

\[
I_1^{(2)} (\delta_{(k+1)2-\nu/2}^{(2)} e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} \xi ) \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{j2-\nu/2} + \varepsilon_{j(j+1)2-\nu/2}}{2} \right) \otimes \delta_{(k+1)2-\nu/2}
\]

\[
+ 2i \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{k,n} \partial_{11122} f(X^1, X^2) I_2^{(2)} (\delta_{(k+1)2-\nu/2}^{(2)} e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} \xi )
\]

\[
\times \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{j2-\nu/2} + \varepsilon_{j(j+1)2-\nu/2}}{2} \right) \otimes D_{X^2} (\langle \lambda, W_n(f, t) \rangle) + 2 \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{1222} f(X^1, X^2)
\]

\[
\times I_1^{(2)} (\delta_{(k+1)2-\nu/2}^{(2)} e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} \xi ) \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{k2-\nu/2} + \varepsilon_{k(k+1)2-\nu/2}}{2} \right) \otimes D_{X^2} (\langle \lambda, W_n(f, t) \rangle)
\]

\[
+ 2 \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{k,n} \partial_{11122} f(X^1, X^2) I_2^{(2)} (\delta_{(k+1)2-\nu/2}^{(2)} e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} \xi )
\]

\[
\times \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{k2-\nu/2} + \varepsilon_{k(k+1)2-\nu/2}}{2} \right) \otimes D_{X^2} (\langle \lambda, W_n(f, t) \rangle) + 2i \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{1222} f(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{k,n} \partial_{11222} f(X^1, X^2)
\]

\[
e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} \xi \delta_{(k+1)2-\nu/2}^{(2)} + 4i \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{k,n} \partial_{11122} f(X^1, X^2)
\]

\[
\times I_1^{(2)} (\delta_{(k+1)2-\nu/2}^{(2)} e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} \xi ) \delta_{(k+1)2-\nu/2}^{(2)} \otimes D_{X^2} (\langle \lambda, W_n(f, t) \rangle) + 4 \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{1222} f(X^1, X^2)
\]

\[
\times \Delta_{k,n} \partial_{11222} f(X^1, X^2) I_1^{(2)} (\delta_{(k+1)2-\nu/2}^{(2)} e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} \xi ) \delta_{(k+1)2-\nu/2}^{(2)} \otimes D_{X^2} (\langle \lambda, W_n(f, t) \rangle)
\]

\[
+ i \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{k,n} \partial_{11122} f(X^1, X^2) I_2^{(2)} (\delta_{(k+1)2-\nu/2}^{(2)} e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} \xi )
\]

\[
\times D_{X^2} (\langle \lambda, W_n(f, t) \rangle) - \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{1222} f(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{k,n} \partial_{11222} f(X^1, X^2) I_2^{(2)} (\delta_{(k+1)2-\nu/2}^{(2)} e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} \xi )
\]

\[
\times D_{X^2} (\langle \lambda, W_n(f, t) \rangle) \right)^2 + 2i \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{1222} f(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{k,n} \partial_{11222} f(X^1, X^2)
\]

\[
small{73}
\]


\[ \times I_2^{(2)}(\delta^{(2)}_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}) e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} D_{X^2}(\langle \lambda, W_n(f,t) \rangle) \otimes D_{X^2}(\xi) + \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) \times \Delta_{k,n} \partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) I_2^{(2)}(\delta^{(2)}_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}) e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} D_{X^2}(\xi). \]

Hence, we have

\[ B_n^{(3,1)}(t) = - \frac{1}{64} \sum_{j,k=0}^{2^{|F|}} E \left( \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{1222} f(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{k,n} \partial_{1122} f(X^1, X^2) I_1^{(1)}(\delta^{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}) \right) \times I_2^{(2)}(\delta^{(2)}_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}) \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{j2^{-n/2}} + \varepsilon_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}}{2}, \frac{\varepsilon_{k2^{-n/2}} + \varepsilon_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}}{2}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \right)^{\otimes 2} \]

\[ \times \left\langle \frac{\varepsilon_{j2^{-n/2}} + \varepsilon_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}}{2}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \right\rangle \]

\[ \times \frac{1}{64} \sum_{j,k=0}^{2^{|F|}} E \left( \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{k,n} \partial_{11222} f(X^1, X^2) I_1^{(1)}(\delta^{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}) \right) \times I_2^{(2)}(\delta^{(2)}_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}) \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{k2^{-n/2}} + \varepsilon_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}}{2}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \right)^{\otimes 2} \]

\[ \times \left\langle \frac{\varepsilon_{k2^{-n/2}} + \varepsilon_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}}{2}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \right\rangle \]

\[ \times \frac{4}{64} \sum_{j,k=0}^{2^{|F|}} E \left( \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{1222} f(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{k,n} \partial_{1122} f(X^1, X^2) I_1^{(1)}(\delta^{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}) \right) \times I_1^{(2)}(\delta^{(2)}_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}) \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{j2^{-n/2}} + \varepsilon_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}}{2}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \right)^{\otimes 2} \]

\[ \times \left\langle \frac{\varepsilon_{j2^{-n/2}} + \varepsilon_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}}{2}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \right\rangle \]
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\[-\frac{2i}{64} \sum_{j,k=0}^{\left \lfloor \frac{t}{2} \right \rfloor -1} E \left( \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{1222} f(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{k,n} \partial_{1122} f(X^1, X^2) I_1^{(1)} (\delta_{(k+1)2-n/2}) \right) \\
\times I_2^{(2)} (\delta_{(k+1)2-n/2}) e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} \xi \\
\times \left\langle \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{j2-n/2} + \varepsilon_{(j+1)2-n/2}}{2} \right) \otimes D(X^2) (\xi, \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2}) \right\rangle \\
\times \left\langle \frac{\varepsilon_{k2-n/2} + \varepsilon_{(k+1)2-n/2}}{2}, \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2} \right\rangle \right\rangle \\
\times \left\langle \frac{\varepsilon_{k2-n/2} + \varepsilon_{(k+1)2-n/2}}{2}, \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2} \right\rangle \right\rangle \\
\times \left\langle \frac{\varepsilon_{k2-n/2} + \varepsilon_{(k+1)2-n/2}}{2}, \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2} \right\rangle \right\rangle \\
\times \left\langle \frac{\varepsilon_{k2-n/2} + \varepsilon_{(k+1)2-n/2}}{2}, \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2} \right\rangle \right\rangle \right\rangle \\
\times \left\langle \frac{\varepsilon_{k2-n/2} + \varepsilon_{(k+1)2-n/2}}{2}, \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2} \right\rangle \right\rangle \right\rangle \right\rangle \right\rangle \right\rangle \\
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\[-\frac{2}{64} \sum_{j,k=0}^{[\frac{2t}{\xi}]-1} E \left( \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{122} f(X_1, X_2) \Delta_{k,n} \partial_{122} f(X_1, X_2) I_1^{(1)}(\delta_{(k+1)2-n/2}) e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} \xi \right) \times (\delta_{(k+1)2-n/2}, \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2}) \left( \frac{\epsilon_{k2-n/2} + \epsilon_{(k+1)2-n/2}}{2}, \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2} \right) \]

\[-\frac{4i}{64} \sum_{j,k=0}^{[\frac{2t}{\xi}]-1} E \left( \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{122} f(X_1, X_2) \Delta_{k,n} \partial_{122} f(X_1, X_2) I_1^{(1)}(\delta_{(k+1)2-n/2}) \right) \times I_1^{(2)}(\delta_{(k+1)2-n/2}) e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} \xi \left( \delta_{(k+1)2-n/2}, \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2} \right) \]

\[-\frac{4}{64} \sum_{j,k=0}^{[\frac{2t}{\xi}]-1} E \left( \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{122} f(X_1, X_2) \Delta_{k,n} \partial_{122} f(X_1, X_2) I_1^{(1)}(\delta_{(k+1)2-n/2}) \right) \times I_1^{(2)}(\delta_{(k+1)2-n/2}) e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} \xi \left( \delta_{(k+1)2-n/2}, \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2} \right) \]

\[-\frac{i}{64} \sum_{j,k=0}^{[\frac{2t}{\xi}]-1} E \left( \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{122} f(X_1, X_2) \Delta_{k,n} \partial_{122} f(X_1, X_2) I_1^{(1)}(\delta_{(k+1)2-n/2}) \right) \times I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(k+1)2-n/2}) e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} \xi \left( \delta_{(k+1)2-n/2}, \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2} \right) \]

\[+\frac{1}{64} \sum_{j,k=0}^{[\frac{2t}{\xi}]-1} E \left( \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{122} f(X_1, X_2) \Delta_{k,n} \partial_{122} f(X_1, X_2) I_1^{(1)}(\delta_{(k+1)2-n/2}) \right) \times I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(k+1)2-n/2}) e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} \xi \left( \delta_{(k+1)2-n/2}, \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2} \right) \]

\[-\frac{2i}{64} \sum_{j,k=0}^{[\frac{2t}{\xi}]-1} E \left( \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{122} f(X_1, X_2) \Delta_{k,n} \partial_{122} f(X_1, X_2) I_1^{(1)}(\delta_{(k+1)2-n/2}) \right) \times I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(k+1)2-n/2}) e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} \xi \left( \delta_{(k+1)2-n/2}, \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2} \right) \]
Let us prove the convergence to 0, as $n \to \infty$, of $B_{n,i}^{(3,1)}(t)$ for $i \in \{1, \ldots, 16\}$.

- **Convergence to 0 of $B_{n,1}^{(3,1)}(t)$, $B_{n,2}^{(3,1)}(t)$ and $B_{n,3}^{(3,1)}(t)$.** Since $f \in C_b^\infty$ and thanks to (2.34), (2.23), (2.36) and (2.37) we have

$$
|B_{n,1}^{(3,1)}(t)| \leq C(2^{-n/6})^2 \left\| \sum_{j,k=0}^{[2^{\frac{n}{6}}]-1} E \left( \left| I_1^{(1)}(\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}) \right| \right) \right\|_2^2 \left\| \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{k2^{-n/2}} + \varepsilon_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}}{2}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \right) \right\|_2

\leq C(2^{-n/6})^2 \left\| \sum_{j,k=0}^{[2^{\frac{n}{6}}]-1} \left\| I_1^{(1)}(\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}) \right\|_2 \left\| I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}) \right\|_2 \right\|

\leq C2^{-n/2}2^{-n/12} \sum_{j,k=0}^{[2^{\frac{n}{6}}]-1} \left\| \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{k2^{-n/2}} + \varepsilon_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}}{2}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \right) \right\|_2

\leq C2^{-n/2}2^{-n/12}(2^{-n/6} + 2^{n/2}t^{4/3}) = C2^{-3n/4} + C2^{-n/12}t^{4/3}.

Hence, $B_{n,1}^{(3,1)}(t) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

The same arguments show that

$$
|B_{n,2}^{(3,1)}(t)| \leq C2^{-3n/4} + C2^{-n/12}t^{4/3},

|B_{n,3}^{(3,1)}(t)| \leq C2^{-3n/4} + C2^{-n/12}t^{4/3}.

Thus, $B_{n,2}^{(3,1)}(t)$ and $B_{n,3}^{(3,1)}(t)$ converge to 0 as $n \to \infty$.
• Convergence to 0 of $B_{n,4}^{(3,1)}(t)$ and $B_{n,7}^{(3,1)}(t)$. By the same arguments that was used previously, we have

$$|B_{n,3}^{(3,1)}(t)| \leq C(2^{-n/6})^2 \sum_{j,k=0}^{2^n t-1} \|I_1^{(1)}(\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}})\|_2 \|I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}})\|_2 \times \langle \delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle$$

where the last inequality follows from (2.23) and (2.35).

We can prove similarly that $|B_{n,7}^{(3,1)}(t)| \leq C2^{-n/6}t$. Thus, $B_{n,4}^{(3,1)}(t)$ and $B_{n,7}^{(3,1)}(t)$ converge to 0 as $n \to \infty$.

• Convergence to 0 of $B_{n,5}^{(3,1)}(t)$ and $B_{n,8}^{(3,1)}(t)$.

$$|B_{n,5}^{(3,1)}(t)| \leq C2^{-n/6} \sum_{j,k=0}^{2^n t-1} E \left( \|I_1^{(1)}(\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}})\|_2 \|I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}})\|_2 \times \langle \delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle \right)$$

$$\times \left| \left\langle \frac{\varepsilon_{k2^{-n/2}} + \varepsilon_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}}{2}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \right\rangle \right|$$

$$\leq C2^{-n/6} \sum_{p=1}^{4} \lambda_p \sum_{j,k=0}^{2^n t-1} E \left( \|I_1^{(1)}(\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}})\|_2 \|I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}})\|_2 \times \langle \delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle \right)$$

$$\times \left| \left\langle \frac{\varepsilon_{k2^{-n/2}} + \varepsilon_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}}{2}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \right\rangle \right| .$$

Observe that by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the independence of $X^{(1)}$ and $X^{(2)}$, (2.23) and (2.35), we get, for all $p \in \{1, \ldots, 4\}$,

$$E \left( \|I_1^{(1)}(\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}})\|_2 \|I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}})\|_2 \langle \delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle \right)$$

$$\leq \|I_1^{(1)}(\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}})I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}})\|_2 \|D_{X^2}(K_n^{(p)}(t)), \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \|_2$$

$$= \|I_1^{(1)}(\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}})\|_2 \|I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}})\|_2 \|D_{X^2}(K_n^{(p)}(t)), \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \|_2$$

$$\leq C2^{-n/12}2^{-n/6}2^{-n/6}(t^2 + t + 1)^{1/2} .$$
We deduce that

\[ |B_{n,5}^{(3,1)}(t)| \]
\[ \leq C 2^{-n/12} 2^{-n/2} (t^2 + t + 1)^{\frac{7}{2}} \sum_{j,k=0}^{\left\lfloor \frac{2\pi t}{3}\right\rfloor - 1} \left| \left\langle \frac{\xi_{k2^{-n/2}} + \xi_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}}{2}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \right\rangle \right|\]
\[ \leq C 2^{-3n/4} (t^2 + t + 1)^{\frac{7}{2}} + C 2^{-n/12} (t^2 + t + 1)^{\frac{7}{2}} t^{4/3}. \]

By the same arguments, we get

\[ |B_{n,8}^{(3,1)}(t)| \leq C 2^{-3n/4} (t^2 + t + 1)^{\frac{7}{2}} + C 2^{-n/12} (t^2 + t + 1)^{\frac{7}{2}} t^{4/3}. \]

Hence, we deduce that \( B_{n,5}^{(3,1)}(t) \) and \( B_{n,8}^{(3,1)}(t) \) converge to 0 as \( n \to \infty \).

- Convergence to 0 of \( B_{n,6}^{(3,1)}(t) \) and \( B_{n,9}^{(3,1)}(t) \). We can write, using (2.46) among other things and following the same route as previously,

\[ |B_{n,6}^{(3,1)}(t)| \leq C 2^{-n/6} \sum_{j,k=0}^{\left\lfloor \frac{2\pi t}{3}\right\rfloor - 1} E \left( |I_1^{(1)}(\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}})| |I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}})| \right)
\times \left| \left\langle \frac{\xi_{k2^{-n/2}} + \xi_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}}{2}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \right\rangle \right|
\leq C 2^{-n/3} \sum_{j,k=0}^{\left\lfloor \frac{2\pi t}{3}\right\rfloor - 1} \|I_1^{(1)}(\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}})\|_2 \|I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}})\|_2
\times \left| \left\langle \frac{\xi_{k2^{-n/2}} + \xi_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}}{2}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \right\rangle \right|
\leq C 2^{-n/12} 2^{-n/2} (2^{-n/6} + 2n/2^{4/3}) = C 2^{-n/4} + C 2^{-n/12} t^{4/3}. \]

We can prove similarly that

\[ |B_{n,9}^{(3,1)}(t)| \leq C 2^{-n/4} + C 2^{-n/12} t^{4/3}. \]

Hence, it is now clear that \( B_{n,6}^{(3,1)}(t) \) and \( B_{n,9}^{(3,1)}(t) \) converge to 0 as \( n \to \infty \).

- Convergence to 0 of \( B_{n,10}^{(3,1)}(t) \). We can write, using (2.45) and proceeding as previously,

\[ |B_{n,10}^{(3,1)}(t)| \leq C 2^{-n/6} \sum_{j,k=0}^{\left\lfloor \frac{2\pi t}{3}\right\rfloor - 1} E \left( |I_1^{(1)}(\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}})| \right) \left( \delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \right)^2 \]
By similar arguments, we have

\[
\leq C2^{-n/6} \sum_{j,k=0}^{[2\frac{t}{n}]-1} \|I_1^{(1)}(\delta(k+1)2^{-n/2})\|_2 \langle \delta(k+1)2^{-n/2}, \delta(j+1)2^{-n/2} \rangle^2
\]

\[
\leq C2^{-n/6}2^{-n/12} \sum_{j,k=0}^{[2\frac{t}{n}]-1} \langle \delta(k+1)2^{-n/2}, \delta(j+1)2^{-n/2} \rangle^2
\]

\[
\leq C2^{-n/12}t.
\]

Hence, \( B_{n,10}(t) \to 0 \) as \( n \to \infty \).

- **Convergence to 0 of \( B_{n,11}(t) \).** By similar arguments, we have

\[
|B_{n,11}(t)| \leq C2^{-n/6} \sum_{j,k=0}^{[2\frac{t}{n}]-1} E\left( \|I_1^{(1)}(\delta(k+1)2^{-n/2})\|_2 \|I_1^{(2)}(\delta(k+1)2^{-n/2})\|_2 \right) 

\times \left| \langle D_{X^n}(\langle \lambda, W_n(f, t) \rangle), \delta(j+1)2^{-n/2} \rangle \right| \left| \langle \delta(k+1)2^{-n/2}, \delta(j+1)2^{-n/2} \rangle \right|
\]

\[
\leq C2^{-n/6} \sum_{p=1}^{4} |\lambda_p| \sum_{j,k=0}^{[2\frac{t}{n}]-1} E\left( \|I_1^{(1)}(\delta(k+1)2^{-n/2})\|_2 \|I_1^{(2)}(\delta(k+1)2^{-n/2})\|_2 \right) 

\times \left| \langle D_{X^n}(K_n^{(p)}(t)), \delta(j+1)2^{-n/2} \rangle \right| \left| \langle \delta(k+1)2^{-n/2}, \delta(j+1)2^{-n/2} \rangle \right|
\]

Observe that, using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, \( (2.23) \) and \( (2.48) \), we get

\[
E\left( \|I_1^{(1)}(\delta(k+1)2^{-n/2})\|_2 \|I_1^{(2)}(\delta(k+1)2^{-n/2})\|_2 \right) \leq \|I_1^{(1)}(\delta(k+1)2^{-n/2})\|_2 \|I_1^{(2)}(\delta(k+1)2^{-n/2})\|_2 \|D_{X^n}(K_n^{(p)}(t)), \delta(j+1)2^{-n/2} \rangle \|_2
\]

\[
= \|I_1^{(1)}(\delta(k+1)2^{-n/2})\|_2 \|I_1^{(2)}(\delta(k+1)2^{-n/2})\|_2 \|D_{X^n}(K_n^{(p)}(t)), \delta(j+1)2^{-n/2} \rangle \|_2
\]

\[
\leq C(2^{-n/12})^2 2^{-n/6}(t^2 + t + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\]

So, we deduce that

\[
|B_{n,11}(t)| \leq C2^{-n/2}(t^2 + t + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{j,k=0}^{[2\frac{t}{n}]-1} \left| \langle \delta(k+1)2^{-n/2}, \delta(j+1)2^{-n/2} \rangle \right|
\]

\[
\leq C2^{-n/6}(t^2 + t + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}} t.
\]

Thus, it is now clear that \( B_{n,11}(t) \to 0 \) as \( n \to \infty \).

- **Convergence to 0 of \( B_{n,12}(t) \).** Since \( f \in C_b^\infty \) and thanks to \( (2.34) \), \( (2.23) \), \( (2.46) \)
and \((2.35)\), we have
\[
\left| B_{n,12}^{(3,1)} (t) \right|
\leq C 2^{-n/6} \sum_{j,k=0}^{2^\ell t - 1} E \left( \left| I_1^{(1)} (\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}) \right| \left| I_2^{(2)} (\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}) \right| \left| \langle D_{X^2} (\lambda, W_n (f, t)) \rangle \right| \times \left| \langle \delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle \right| \right)
\leq C 2^{-n/3} \sum_{j,k=0}^{2^\ell t - 1} \left( \left| I_1^{(1)} (\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}) \right| \left| I_2^{(2)} (\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}) \right| \right) \left( \left| \langle D_{X^2} (\lambda, W_n (f, t)) \rangle \right| \times \left| \langle \delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle \right| \right)
\leq C 2^{-n/3} (2^{-n/12})^2 2^{n/3} t = C 2^{-n/6} t.
\]
Hence, \(B_{n,12}^{(3,1)} (t) \to 0\) as \(n \to \infty\).

**Convergence to 0 of \(B_{n,13}^{(3,1)} (t)\)**: By similar arguments as before, we have
\[
\left| B_{n,13}^{(3,1)} (t) \right|
\leq \sum_{j,k=0}^{2^\ell t - 1} E \left( \left| I_1^{(1)} (\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}) \right| \left| I_2^{(2)} (\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}) \right| \left| \langle D_{X^2} (\lambda, W_n (f, t)) \rangle \right| \times \left| \langle \delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle \right| \right)
\leq \sum_{j,k=0}^{2^\ell t - 1} \left( \left| I_1^{(1)} (\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}) \right| \left| I_2^{(2)} (\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}) \right| \right) \left( \left| \langle D_{X^2} (\lambda, W_n (f, t)) \rangle \right| \times \left| \langle \delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle \right| \right)
\leq C 2^{-n/12} (2^{-n/6} 2^{-n/3} (t^2 + t + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}).
\]

Observe that, thanks to Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the independence of \(X^1\) and \(X^2\), \((2.28)\) and \((2.41)\), we have
\[
E \left( \left| I_1^{(1)} (\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}) \right| \left| I_2^{(2)} (\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}) \right| \left| \langle D_{X^2} (\lambda, W_n (f, t)) \rangle \right| \times \left| \langle \delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle \right| \right)
\leq \left| I_1^{(1)} (\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}) \right| \left| I_2^{(2)} (\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}) \right| \left| \langle D_{X^2} (\lambda, W_n (f, t)) \rangle \right| \left| \langle \delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle \right|
\leq C 2^{-n/12} (2^{-n/6} 2^{-n/3} (t^2 + t + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}).
\]
So, we deduce that
\[
\left| B_{n,13}^{(3,1)} (t) \right| \leq C 2^{-n/12} (2^{-n/6} 2^{-n/3} (t^2 + t + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}) \sum_{j,k=0}^{2^\ell t - 1} \left| \langle \frac{\epsilon_{k2^{-n/2}} + \epsilon_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}}{2}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle \right|\]
\[ \leq C2^{-n/12}2^{-n/2}(t^2 + t + 1)^{1/2}(2^{-n/6} + 2^{n/2}t^{4/3}) \]
\[ = C2^{-n/4}(t^2 + t + 1)^{1/2} + C2^{-n/12}(t^2 + t + 1)^{1/2}t^{4/3}. \]

We deduce that \( B^{(3,1)}_{n,13}(t) \to 0 \) as \( n \to \infty \).

- **Convergence to 0 of \( B^{(3,1)}_{n,14}(t) \).** Using \([2.50]\) among other things, we obtain

\[
\begin{align*}
|B^{(3,1)}_{n,14}(t)| & \leq \sum_{j,k=0}^{2^{\lceil \frac{n}{6} \rceil} - 1} E \left( \left| I_1^{(1)}(\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}) \right| I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}) \right) \left| D_{X^2}^2(\langle \lambda, W_n(f, t) \rangle, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}) \right| \\
& \leq C \sum_{p=1}^{4} (\lambda_p)^2 \sum_{j,k=0}^{2^{\lceil \frac{n}{6} \rceil} - 1} \left\| I_1^{(1)}(\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}) \right\|_2 \left\| I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}) \right\|_2 \\
& \quad \times \left\| D_{X^2}^2(K_n^{(p)}(t), \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}) \right\|_2 \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{k2^{-n/2}} + \varepsilon_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}}{2}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \right) \\
& \leq C2^{-n/4}(t^3 + t^2 + t + 1)^{1/2} + C2^{-n/12}(t^3 + t^2 + t + 1)^{1/2}t^{4/3}. 
\end{align*}
\]

Hence, \( B^{(3,1)}_{n,14}(t) \to 0 \) as \( n \to \infty \).

- **Convergence to 0 of \( B^{(3,1)}_{n,15}(t) \).** Arguing as previously, we obtain

\[
\begin{align*}
|B^{(3,1)}_{n,15}(t)| & \leq C \sum_{j,k=0}^{2^{\lceil \frac{n}{6} \rceil} - 1} E \left( \left| I_1^{(1)}(\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}) \right| I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}) \right) \\
& \quad \times \left\| D_{X^2}^2(\langle \lambda, W_n(f, t) \rangle, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}) \right\|_2 \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{k2^{-n/2}} + \varepsilon_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}}{2}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \right) \\
& \leq C2^{-n/6} \sum_{p=1}^{4} (\lambda_p) \sum_{j,k=0}^{2^{\lceil \frac{n}{6} \rceil} - 1} E \left( \left| I_1^{(1)}(\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}) \right| I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}) \right) \\
& \quad \times \left\| D_{X^2}^2(K_n^{(p)}(t), \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}) \right\|_2 \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{k2^{-n/2}} + \varepsilon_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}}{2}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \right) \\
& \leq C2^{-n/4}(t^3 + t^2 + t + 1)^{1/2} + C2^{-n/12}(t^3 + t^2 + t + 1)^{1/2}t^{4/3}. 
\end{align*}
\]
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Thanks to (2.47) among other things, we obtain

\[ \left| \langle D_X^2(K_n^{(p)}(t)), \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle \right| \leq C 2^{-n/6} \sum_{p=1}^{2^6 t} \sum_{j,k=0}^{2^6 t-1} \| I_1^{(1)}(\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}) \|_2 \| I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}) \|_2 \times \left| \left\langle \frac{\varepsilon_{k2^{-n/2}} + \varepsilon_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}}{2}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \right\rangle \right| \]

\[ \leq C 2^{-n/6} \sum_{p=1}^{2^6 t} \sum_{j,k=0}^{2^6 t-1} \| I_1^{(1)}(\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}) \|_2 \| I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}) \|_2 \times \left| \left\langle \frac{\varepsilon_{k2^{-n/2}} + \varepsilon_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}}{2}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \right\rangle \right| \]

\[ \leq C 2^{-n/6} 2^{-n/12} 2^{-n/6} (t^2 + t + 1)^{1/2} (2^{-n/6} + 2^n/2 t^{4/3}) = C 2^{-n/4} (t^2 + t + 1)^{1/2} + C 2^{-n/12} (t^2 + t + 1)^{1/2} t^{4/3}. \]

So, we deduce that \( B_{n,15}^{(3,1)}(t) \to 0 \) as \( n \to \infty. \)

- Convergence to 0 of \( B_{n,16}^{(3,1)}(t) \). Thanks to (2.47) among other things, we obtain

\[ |B_{n,16}^{(3,1)}(t)| \leq C 2^{-n/6} \sum_{j,k=0}^{2^6 t-1} \| I_1^{(1)}(\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}) \|_2 \| I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}) \|_2 \times \left| \left\langle \frac{\varepsilon_{k2^{-n/2}} + \varepsilon_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}}{2}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \right\rangle \right| \]

\[ \leq C 2^{-n/6} \sum_{j,k=0}^{2^6 t-1} \| I_1^{(1)}(\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}) \|_2 \| I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}) \|_2 \times \left| \left\langle \frac{\varepsilon_{k2^{-n/2}} + \varepsilon_{(k+1)2^{-n/2}}}{2}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \right\rangle \right| \]

\[ \leq C 2^{-n/4} (t^2 + t + 1)^{1/2} + C 2^{-n/12} (t^2 + t + 1)^{1/2} t^{4/3}. \]

Hence, we get that \( B_{n,16}^{(3,1)}(t) \to 0 \) as \( n \to \infty. \)

Finally, we have shown that \( B_{n}^{(3,1)}(t) \to 0 \) as \( n \to \infty. \)

We have proved that

\[ B_n^{(3,2)}(t) \to -\kappa_3^2 E \left( e^{i(\lambda W(t), f(t))} \xi \times \int_0^t \left( \partial_{122} f(X_s^1, X_s^2) \right)^2 ds \right), \]

and

\[ B_n^{(3,1)}(t) \to 0. \]
Taking into account that $B_n^{(3)}(t) = B_n^{(3,1)}(t) + B_n^{(3,2)}(t)$ by (3.73), we deduce that

$$B_n^{(3)}(t) \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} -\kappa_3^2 E \left( e^{i\lambda W_n(f,t)} \xi \times \int_0^t (\partial_{122} f(X_s^1, X_s^2))^2 \, ds \right).$$

Consequently, (3.75) holds true.

In order to prove (3.73), it remains to prove the convergence to 0 of $B_n^{(p)}(t)$, defined in (3.72), for $p = 1, 2, 4$.

**Proof of the convergence to 0 of $B_n^{(1)}(t)$.**

$$B_n^{(1)}(t) = -\frac{1}{8} \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{2}{t} \rfloor - 1} E \left( \Delta_{jk} \partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) e^{i\lambda W_n(f,t)} \xi \langle D_X^1 (K_n^{(1)}(t)), \delta_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle I_2^{(2)}(\delta_2^{(l+1)2^{-n/2}}) \right).$$

Recall that, by Definition 2.2,

$$K_n^{(1)}(t) = \frac{1}{24} \sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor \frac{2}{t} \rfloor - 1} \Delta_{lk} \partial_{111} f(X^1, X^2) I_3^{(1)}(\delta_3^{(l+1)2^{-n/2}}).$$

We deduce that

$$B_n^{(1)}(t) = -\frac{1}{64} \sum_{j,l=0}^{\lfloor \frac{2}{t} \rfloor - 1} E \left( \Delta_{jk} \partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{lk} \partial_{111} f(X^1, X^2) I_2^{(2)}(\delta_2^{(l+1)2^{-n/2}}) \right) \left( \delta_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \right)$$

$$- \frac{1}{8} \sum_{j,l=0}^{\lfloor \frac{2}{t} \rfloor - 1} E \left( \Delta_{jk} \partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{lk} \partial_{111} f(X^1, X^2) I_3^{(1)}(\delta_3^{(l+1)2^{-n/2}}) \right) \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{l+1/2} + \varepsilon_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}}}{2}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \right)$$

$$= B_n^{(1,1)}(t) + B_n^{(1,2)}(t).$$

Let us prove the convergence to 0 of $B_n^{(1,1)}(t)$ and $B_n^{(1,2)}(t)$.
1. Convergence to 0 of $B_n^{(1,1)}(t)$. Observe that, thanks to (2.21), we have

$$B_n^{(1,1)}(t) = \frac{1}{64} \sum_{j=0}^{2|\mathcal{E}|} E \left( \left( D_{X_2}^2(\Delta_{j,n}\partial_{122}f(X_1, X^2)\Delta_{l,n}\partial_{111}f(X_1, X^2) e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} \right) \delta_{\xi}^{(2)} \left( \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}^{(2)}, \delta_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}}^{(2)} \right) \right).$$

We have shown before that

$$D_{X_2}^2(\Delta_{j,n}\partial_{122}f(X_1, X^2)\Delta_{l,n}\partial_{111}f(X_1, X^2) e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} \xi)$$

$$= D_{X_2}^2(\Delta_{j,n}\partial_{122}f(X_1, X^2)\Delta_{l,n}\partial_{111}f(X_1, X^2)) e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} \xi + 2D_{X_2}^2(\Delta_{j,n}\partial_{122}f(X_1, X^2)\Delta_{l,n}\partial_{111}f(X_1, X^2)) \otimes D_{X_2}^2(e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} \xi)$$

+ $\Delta_{j,n}\partial_{122}f(X_1, X^2)\Delta_{l,n}\partial_{111}f(X_1, X^2)D_{X_2}^2(e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} \xi).$

that

$$D_{X_2}^2(\Delta_{j,n}\partial_{122}f(X_1, X^2)\Delta_{l,n}\partial_{111}f(X_1, X^2))$$

$$= \Delta_{j,n}\partial_{122}f(X_1, X^2)\Delta_{l,n}\partial_{111}f(X_1, X^2) \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{j2^{-n/2}} + \varepsilon_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}}{2} \right)$$

$$+ \Delta_{j,n}\partial_{122}f(X_1, X^2)\Delta_{l,n}\partial_{111}f(X_1, X^2) \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{l2^{-n/2}} + \varepsilon_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}}}{2} \right),$$

that

$$D_{X_2}^2(\Delta_{j,n}\partial_{122}f(X_1, X^2)\Delta_{l,n}\partial_{111}f(X_1, X^2))$$

$$= \Delta_{j,n}\partial_{1222}f(X_1, X^2)\Delta_{l,n}\partial_{1112}f(X_1, X^2) \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{j2^{-n/2}} + \varepsilon_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}}{2} \right)$$

$$+ 2\Delta_{j,n}\partial_{1222}f(X_1, X^2)\Delta_{l,n}\partial_{1112}f(X_1, X^2) \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{l2^{-n/2}} + \varepsilon_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}}}{2} \right) \otimes \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{l2^{-n/2}} + \varepsilon_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}}}{2} \right)$$

$$+ \Delta_{j,n}\partial_{1222}f(X_1, X^2)\Delta_{l,n}\partial_{1112}f(X_1, X^2) \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{l2^{-n/2}} + \varepsilon_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}}}{2} \right) \otimes \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{l2^{-n/2}} + \varepsilon_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}}}{2} \right),$$

that

$$D_{X_2}^2(e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} \xi) = ie^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} \xi D_{X_2}(\lambda, W_n(f, t)) + e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f,t))} D_{X_2}^2 \xi.$$
and that
\[
D_{X_2}^2 \left(e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f, t))} \xi \right)
=\left( -e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f, t))} \xi \right) (D_{X_2} \lambda, W_n(f, t)) \right) ^{\otimes 2} + i e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f, t))} \xi D_{X_2}^2 \left( \lambda, W_n(f, t) \right)
+2i e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f, t))} D_{X_2} \left( \lambda, W_n(f, t) \right) D_{X_2} \xi + e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f, t))} D_{X_2}^2 \xi.
\]
We deduce that
\[
D_{X_2}^2 \left( \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{l,n} \partial_{111} f(X^1, X^2) e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f, t))} \xi \right)
=\Delta_{j,n} \partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{l,n} \partial_{111} f(X^1, X^2) e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f, t))} \xi \left( \frac{\varepsilon j_{2-n/2} + \varepsilon (j+1)2-n/2}{2} \right)^{\otimes 2}
+2\Delta_{j,n} \partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{l,n} \partial_{111} f(X^1, X^2) e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f, t))} \xi \left( \frac{\varepsilon j_{2-n/2} + \varepsilon (j+1)2-n/2}{2} \right) \xi
+\Delta_{j,n} \partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{l,n} \partial_{111} f(X^1, X^2) e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f, t))} \xi \left( \frac{\varepsilon j_{2-n/2} + \varepsilon (j+1)2-n/2}{2} \right)^{\otimes 2}
+2i \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{l,n} \partial_{111} f(X^1, X^2) e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f, t))} \xi \left( \frac{\varepsilon j_{2-n/2} + \varepsilon (j+1)2-n/2}{2} \right)
\]
By plugging (3.88) into (3.87), we deduce that
\[
B_{n,(1)}^2 \left( t \right)
=\frac{1}{64} \sum_{j,l=0}^{|\frac{\pi}{2}-1} E \left( \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{1222} f(X^1, X^2) \Delta_{l,n} \partial_{111} f(X^1, X^2) e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f, t))} \xi D_{X_2} \left( \lambda, W_n(f, t) \right) \right) ^{\otimes 2}
\times \left\langle \left( \frac{\varepsilon j_{2-n/2} + \varepsilon (j+1)2-n/2}{2} \right), \delta (j+1)2-n/2 \right\rangle ^2 \delta (j+1)2-n/2, \delta (j+1)2-n/2 \right\rangle
\]
\[- \frac{1}{32} \sum_{j,l=0}^{[\frac{2\pi t}{l}]-1} E \left( \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{i} f(X^1, X^2) \right) \left( \partial_{11} f(X^1, X^2) e^{i(\lambda W_n(f,t))} \xi I_2^{(1)}(\delta) \right) \left( \delta_{(l+1)2-n/2} \right) \]

\times \left( \langle \left( \frac{\xi_{j} + \xi_{(j+1)2-n/2}}{2} \right), \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2} \rangle \right) \left( \langle \frac{\xi_{(l+1)2-n/2}}{2}, \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2} \rangle \right) \left( \delta_{(l+1)2-n/2}, \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2} \right) \]

\[- \frac{1}{64} \sum_{j,l=0}^{[\frac{2\pi t}{l}]-1} E \left( \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{i} f(X^1, X^2) \right) \left( \partial_{11} f(X^1, X^2) e^{i(\lambda W_n(f,t))} \xi I_2^{(1)}(\delta) \right) \left( \delta_{(l+1)2-n/2} \right) \]

\times \left( \langle \left( \frac{\xi_{j} + \xi_{(j+1)2-n/2}}{2} \right), \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2} \rangle \right) \left( \langle \frac{\xi_{(l+1)2-n/2}}{2}, \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2} \rangle \right) \left( \delta_{(l+1)2-n/2}, \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2} \right) \]

\[- \frac{i}{32} \sum_{j,l=0}^{[\frac{2\pi t}{l}]-1} E \left( \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{i} f(X^1, X^2) \right) \left( \partial_{11} f(X^1, X^2) e^{i(\lambda W_n(f,t))} \xi I_2^{(1)}(\delta) \right) \left( \delta_{(l+1)2-n/2} \right) \]

\times \left( \langle \left( \frac{\xi_{j} + \xi_{(j+1)2-n/2}}{2} \right), \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2} \rangle \right) \left( \langle \frac{\xi_{(l+1)2-n/2}}{2}, \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2} \rangle \right) \left( \delta_{(l+1)2-n/2}, \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2} \right) \]

\[- \frac{1}{32} \sum_{j,l=0}^{[\frac{2\pi t}{l}]-1} E \left( \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{i} f(X^1, X^2) \right) \left( \partial_{11} f(X^1, X^2) e^{i(\lambda W_n(f,t))} \xi I_2^{(1)}(\delta) \right) \left( \delta_{(l+1)2-n/2} \right) \]

\times \left( \langle \left( \frac{\xi_{j} + \xi_{(j+1)2-n/2}}{2} \right), \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2} \rangle \right) \left( \langle \frac{\xi_{(l+1)2-n/2}}{2}, \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2} \rangle \right) \left( \delta_{(l+1)2-n/2}, \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2} \right) \]

\[- \frac{1}{32} \sum_{j,l=0}^{[\frac{2\pi t}{l}]-1} E \left( \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{i} f(X^1, X^2) \right) \left( \partial_{11} f(X^1, X^2) e^{i(\lambda W_n(f,t))} \xi I_2^{(1)}(\delta) \right) \left( \delta_{(l+1)2-n/2} \right) \]

\times \left( \langle \left( \frac{\xi_{j} + \xi_{(j+1)2-n/2}}{2} \right), \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2} \rangle \right) \left( \langle \frac{\xi_{(l+1)2-n/2}}{2}, \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2} \rangle \right) \left( \delta_{(l+1)2-n/2}, \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2} \right) \]

\[- \frac{1}{32} \sum_{j,l=0}^{[\frac{2\pi t}{l}]-1} E \left( \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{i} f(X^1, X^2) \right) \left( \partial_{11} f(X^1, X^2) e^{i(\lambda W_n(f,t))} \xi I_2^{(1)}(\delta) \right) \left( \delta_{(l+1)2-n/2} \right) \]

\times \left( \langle \left( \frac{\xi_{j} + \xi_{(j+1)2-n/2}}{2} \right), \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2} \rangle \right) \left( \langle \frac{\xi_{(l+1)2-n/2}}{2}, \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2} \rangle \right) \left( \delta_{(l+1)2-n/2}, \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2} \right) \]

\[- \frac{1}{64} \sum_{j,l=0}^{[\frac{2\pi t}{l}]-1} E \left( \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{i} f(X^1, X^2) \right) \left( \partial_{11} f(X^1, X^2) e^{i(\lambda W_n(f,t))} \xi I_2^{(1)}(\delta) \right) \left( \delta_{(l+1)2-n/2} \right) \]

\times \left( \langle \left( \frac{\xi_{j} + \xi_{(j+1)2-n/2}}{2} \right), \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2} \rangle \right) \left( \langle \frac{\xi_{(l+1)2-n/2}}{2}, \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2} \rangle \right) \left( \delta_{(l+1)2-n/2}, \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2} \right) \]

\langle D_{X^2} \langle \lambda, W_n(f,t) \rangle, \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2} \rangle \rangle \langle \frac{\xi_{(l+1)2-n/2}}{2}, \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2} \rangle \left( \delta_{(l+1)2-n/2}, \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2} \right) \]

\langle D_{X^2} \langle \lambda, W_n(f,t) \rangle \rangle \langle \frac{\xi_{(l+1)2-n/2}}{2}, \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2} \rangle \left( \delta_{(l+1)2-n/2}, \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2} \right) \]
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Let us prove the convergence to 0 of $B^{(1,1)}(t)$ for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, 11\}$.

- **Convergence to 0 of $B^{(1,1)}_{n,1}(t)$, $B^{(1,1)}_{n,2}(t)$ and $B^{(1,1)}_{n,3}(t)$.** Since $f \in C_b^\infty$, $e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f, t))}$ and $\xi$ are bounded and thanks to (2.34), the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, (2.23) and (2.35), we deduce that

$$
|B^{(1,1)}_{n,i}(t)| \\
\leq C(2^{-n/6})^2 \sum_{j,l=0}^{[2^{3/2}t]-1} E \left( |I_2^{(1)}(\delta_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}}^{\otimes 2})| \right) |\langle \delta_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle| \\
\leq C 2^{-n/3} \sum_{j,l=0}^{[2^{3/2}t]-1} \|I_2^{(1)}(\delta_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}}^{\otimes 2})\|_2 \langle \delta_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle \\
\leq C 2^{-n/3} 2^{-n/6} 2^{n/3} t = C 2^{-n/6} t.
$$

We can prove by the same arguments that

$$
|B^{(1,1)}_{n,2}(t)| \leq C 2^{-n/6} t, \\
|B^{(1,1)}_{n,3}(t)| \leq C 2^{-n/6} t.
$$

Hence, $B^{(1,1)}_{n,1}(t)$, $B^{(1,1)}_{n,2}(t)$ and $B^{(1,1)}_{n,3}(t)$ converge to 0 as $n \to \infty$.

- **Convergence to 0 of $B^{(1,1)}_{n,4}(t)$ and $B^{(1,1)}_{n,5}(t)$.** Since $f \in C_b^\infty$, $e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f, t))}$ and $\xi$ are bounded and thanks to (2.34), the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, (2.23), (2.48)
and (2.35), we have

\[ |B_{n,4}^{(1,1)}(t)| \]
\[ \leq C2^{-n/6} \sum_{j,l=0}^{[2\frac{t}{n}]-1} E \left( |I_2^{(1)}(\delta_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}})\langle D_{X^2}(\lambda, W_n(f, t), \delta(l+1)2^{-n/2})\rangle | \right) \]
\[ \times |\langle \delta(l+1)2^{-n/2}, \delta(l+1)2^{-n/2} \rangle| \]
\[ \leq C2^{-n/6} \sum_{p=1}^{4} \sum_{j,l=0}^{[2\frac{t}{n}]-1} E \left( |I_2^{(1)}(\delta_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}})\langle D_{X^2}(K_n^{(p)}(t), \delta(l+1)2^{-n/2})\rangle | \right) \]
\[ \times |\langle \delta(l+1)2^{-n/2}, \delta(l+1)2^{-n/2} \rangle| \]
\[ \leq C2^{-n/6} \sum_{p=1}^{4} |\lambda_p| \sum_{j,l=0}^{[2\frac{t}{n}]-1} \|I_2^{(1)}(\delta_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}})\|_2 \|D_{X^2}(K_n^{(p)}(t), \delta(l+1)2^{-n/2})\|_2 \]
\[ \times |\langle \delta(l+1)2^{-n/2}, \delta(l+1)2^{-n/2} \rangle| \]
\[ \leq C(2^{-n/6})^2 2^{-n/6}(t^2 + t + 1)^{1/2} 2^{n/3} t = C2^{-n/6}(t^2 + t + 1)^{1/2} t. \]

We can prove similarly that \( |B_{n,5}^{(1,1)}(t)| \leq C2^{-n/6}(t^2 + t + 1)^{1/2} t. \) We deduce that \( B_{n,4}^{(1,1)}(t) \) and \( B_{n,5}^{(1,1)}(t) \) converge to 0 as \( n \to \infty. \)

- **Convergence to 0 of \( B_{n,6}^{(1,1)}(t) \) and \( B_{n,7}^{(1,1)}(t).** Since \( f \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty, e^{i(x,W_n(f,t))} \) and \( \xi \) are bounded and thanks to (2.31), (2.46), the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, (2.23) and (2.35), we get

\[ |B_{n,6}^{(1,1)}(t)| \]
\[ \leq C2^{-n/6} \sum_{j,l=0}^{[2\frac{t}{n}]-1} E \left( |I_2^{(1)}(\delta_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}})\langle D_{X^2}(\xi, \delta(l+1)2^{-n/2})\rangle | \right) \]
\[ \times |\langle \delta(l+1)2^{-n/2}, \delta(l+1)2^{-n/2} \rangle| \]
\[ \leq C2^{-n/3} \sum_{j,l=0}^{[2\frac{t}{n}]-1} \|I_2^{(1)}(\delta_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}})\|_2 \|\langle \delta(l+1)2^{-n/2}, \delta(l+1)2^{-n/2} \rangle| \]
\[ \leq C2^{-n/3} 2^{-n/6} 2^{n/3} t = C2^{-n/6} t. \]

We can prove similarly that \( |B_{n,7}^{(1,1)}(t)| \leq C2^{-n/6} t. \) Thus, we get that \( B_{n,6}^{(1,1)}(t) \) and \( B_{n,7}^{(1,1)}(t) \) converge to 0 as \( n \to \infty. \)

- **Convergence to 0 of \( B_{n,8}^{(1,1)}(t).** Thanks to (2.50) among other things, we deduce
that
\[
|B_{n,8}^{(1,1)}(t)| \\
\leq C \sum_{j,l=0}^{|2\pi t|-1} E \left( |I_2^{(1)}(\delta^{(0)}_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}})| \langle D_{X^2}(\lambda, W_n(f, t), \delta^{(0)}_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}) \rangle^2 \right) \\
\times \langle \delta_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle \\
\leq C \sum_{p=1}^4 |\lambda_p| \sum_{j,l=0}^{|2\pi t|-1} E \left( |I_2^{(1)}(\delta^{(0)}_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}})| \langle D_{X^2}(K_n^{(0)}(t), \delta^{(0)}_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}) \rangle^2 \right) \\
\times \langle \delta_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle \\
\leq C \sum_{p=1}^4 |\lambda_p| \sum_{j,l=0}^{|2\pi t|-1} \| I_2^{(1)}(\delta^{(0)}_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}}) \|_2 \| \langle D_{X^2}(K_n^{(0)}(t), \delta^{(0)}_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}) \rangle^2 \|_2 \\
\times \langle \delta_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle \\
\leq C 2^{-n/6} 2^{-n/3} (t^3 + t^2 + t + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}} 2^{n/3} t = C 2^{-n/6} (t^2 + t + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}} t.
\]

It is now clear that \( B_{n,8}^{(1,1)}(t) \to 0 \) as \( n \to \infty \).

- **Convergence to 0 of \( B_{n,5}^{(1,1)}(t) \).** Thanks to (2.39) among other things, we deduce that
\[
|B_{n,9}^{(1,1)}(t)| \\
\leq C \sum_{j,l=0}^{|2\pi t|-1} E \left( |I_2^{(1)}(\delta^{(0)}_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}})| \| D_{X^2}(\lambda, W_n(f, t), \delta^{(0)}_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}) \|_2 \right) \\
\times \langle \delta_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle \\
\leq C \sum_{p=1}^4 |\lambda_p| \sum_{j,l=0}^{|2\pi t|-1} E \left( |I_2^{(1)}(\delta^{(0)}_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}})| \| D_{X^2}(K_n^{(0)}(t), \delta^{(0)}_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}) \|_2 \right) \\
\times \langle \delta_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle \\
\leq C \sum_{p=1}^4 |\lambda_p| \sum_{j,l=0}^{|2\pi t|-1} \| I_2^{(1)}(\delta^{(0)}_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}}) \|_2 \| \langle D_{X^2}(K_n^{(0)}(t), \delta^{(0)}_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}) \rangle^2 \|_2 \\
\times \langle \delta_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle \\
\leq C 2^{-n/6} 2^{-n/3} (t^3 + t^2 + t + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}} 2^{n/3} t = C 2^{-n/6} (t^2 + t + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}} t.
\]

We deduce that \( B_{n,9}^{(1,1)}(t) \to 0 \) as \( n \to \infty \).

- **Convergence to 0 of \( B_{n,10}^{(1,1)}(t) \).** Thanks to (2.36) and (2.38) among other things,
we have
\[
|B_{n,10}^{(1,1)}(t)|
\leq C \sum_{j,l=0}^{[2^{2/3}t]-1} E \left( |I_2^{(1)}(\delta_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}})\langle D_{X^2}^{2} \langle \lambda, W_n(f,t) \rangle, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle \right)
\]
\[
\times \langle (D_{X^2}^{2} \langle \lambda, W_n(f,t) \rangle, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}) \rangle \langle \delta_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle \]
\[
\leq C 2^{-n/6} 4 \sum_{p=1}^{[2^{2/3}t]-1} |\lambda_p| \sum_{j,l=0}^{[2^{2/3}t]-1} E \left( |I_2^{(1)}(\delta_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}})\langle D_{X^2}^{2} (K_n^{(p)}(t), \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}) \rangle \right)
\]
\[
\times \langle \delta_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle \]
\[
\leq C 2^{-n/6} 4 \sum_{p=1}^{[2^{2/3}t]-1} |\lambda_p| \sum_{j,l=0}^{[2^{2/3}t]-1} \|I_2^{(1)}(\delta_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}})\|_{2} \|D_{X^2}^{2} (K_n^{(p)}(t), \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}})\|_{2}
\]
\[
\times \langle \delta_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle \]
\[
\leq C (2^{-n/6})^2 2^{-n/6}(t^2 + t + 1)^{2/3} 2^{n/3} t = C 2^{-n/6}(t^2 + t + 1)^{2/3} t.
\]

Thus, \(B_{n,10}^{(1,1)}(t) \to 0\) as \(n \to \infty\).

- Convergence to 0 of \(B_{n,11}^{(1,1)}(t)\): Thanks to (2.47) among other things, we have

\[
|B_{n,11}^{(1,1)}(t)|
\leq C \sum_{j,l=0}^{[2^{2/3}t]-1} E \left( |I_2^{(1)}(\delta_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}})\langle D_{X^2}^{2} \langle \lambda, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle \right)
\]
\[
\langle (D_{X^2}^{2} \langle \lambda, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}) \rangle \langle \delta_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle \]
\[
\leq C 2^{-n/3} \sum_{j,l=0}^{[2^{2/3}t]-1} \|I_2^{(1)}(\delta_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}})\|_{2} \|\delta_{(l+1)2^{-n/2}}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle \]
\[
\leq C 2^{-n/3} (2^{-n/6})^2 2^{n/3} t = C 2^{-n/6} t.
\]

It is now clear that \(B_{n,11}^{(1,1)}(t) \to 0\) as \(n \to \infty\).

Finally we have shown that \(B_{n,1}^{(1,1)}(t) \to 0\) as \(n \to \infty\).

2. Convergence to 0 of \(B_{n,2}^{(1,2)}(t)\). The proof is very similar to the previous one and is left to the reader.
Proof of the convergence to 0 of \( B_n^{(2)}(t) \) and \( B_n^{(4)}(t) \).

The motivated reader may check that there is no additional difficulties to prove the convergence to 0 of \( B_n^{(p)}(t) \) for \( p \in \{2, 4\} \). Indeed, all the arguments and techniques which are needed to this proof, were already introduced and used along the analysis of the asymptotic behaviour of \( B_n^{(p)}(t) \) for \( p \in \{1, 3\} \).

Hence, we may consider that the proof of (3.73) is done.

3.2.5 Step 5: Convergence of \( C_n(t) \) to 0.

Since \( e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f, t))} \) is bounded and \( f \in C_b^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2) \), we deduce that

\[
|C_n(t)| = \left| \frac{i}{8} \sum_{j=0}^{[2^2 t] - 1} \mathbb{E}\left( \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) e^{i(\lambda, W_n(f, t))} \langle D^{12}_X \xi, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}^2 \rangle_2 \langle \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}^2 \rangle_2 \right) \right|
\leq C \sum_{j=0}^{[2^2 t] - 1} \mathbb{E}\left( \left| \langle D^{12}_X \xi, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}^2 \rangle_2 \langle \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}^2 \rangle_2 \right| \right).
\]

Observe that

\[
D^{12}_X \xi = \sum_{k=1}^r \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_{2k-1}}(X^1_{s_1}, X^2_{s_1}, \ldots, X^1_{s_r}, X^2_{s_r}) \varepsilon_{s_k}.
\]

Since \( \psi \in C_b^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2) \), we get

\[
\left| \langle D^{12}_X \xi, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}^2 \rangle_2 \langle \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}^2 \rangle_2 \right| \leq C \sum_{k=1}^r \left| \langle \varepsilon_{s_k}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}^2 \rangle \right|.
\]

We deduce that

\[
|C_n(t)| = C \sum_{k=1}^r \sum_{j=0}^{[2^2 t] - 1} \left| \langle \varepsilon_{s_k}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}^2 \rangle \right| \mathbb{E}\left( \left| I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}^2) \right| \right)
\leq C \sum_{k=1}^r \sum_{j=0}^{[2^2 t] - 1} \left| \langle \varepsilon_{s_k}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}^2 \rangle \right| \left| I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}^2) \right|_2
\leq C 2^{-n/6} \sum_{k=1}^r \sum_{j=0}^{[2^2 t] - 1} \left| \langle \varepsilon_{s_k}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}^2 \rangle \right| \leq C 2^{-n/6} t^{1/3},
\]

where the third inequality follows from (2.23) and the last one by (2.41). It is now clear that \( C_n(t) \) converges to 0.

Finally, putting together the respective conclusions of Steps 1 to 5 lead to the end of the proof of Theorem 3.3.
Definition 3.4 For $f \in C_b^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$, for all $t \geq 0$, we define $V_n^3(f, t)$ as follows:

$$V_n^3(f, t) := \frac{1}{24} 2^{-\frac{nH}{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{[\frac{2\pi}{t}] - 1} \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{111} f(X^1, X^2)(X^1_{j+1} - X^1_j)^3$$

$$+ \frac{1}{24} 2^{-\frac{nH}{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{[\frac{2\pi}{t}] - 1} \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{222} f(X^1, X^2)(X^2_{j+1} - X^2_j)^3$$

$$+ \frac{1}{8} 2^{-\frac{nH}{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{[\frac{2\pi}{t}] - 1} \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2)(X^1_{j+1} - X^1_j)(X^2_{j+1} - X^2_j)^2$$

$$+ \frac{1}{8} 2^{-\frac{nH}{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{[\frac{2\pi}{t}] - 1} \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{112} f(X^1, X^2)(X^1_{j+1} - X^1_j)^2(X^2_{j+1} - X^2_j),$$

where, for $i \in \{1, 2\}$, $X^i_j := 2^{-\frac{nH}{2}} X^i_{j+\frac{3}{2}}$.

Since $x^3 = H_3(x) + 3x$, $x^2 = H_2(x) + 1$ and $x = H_1(x)$. We get, for $H = 1/6$,

$$V_n^3(f, t) = \frac{1}{24} 2^{-\frac{nH}{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{[\frac{2\pi}{t}] - 1} \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{111} f(X^1, X^2) H_3(X^1_{j+1} - X^1_j)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{24} 2^{-\frac{nH}{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{[\frac{2\pi}{t}] - 1} \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{222} f(X^1, X^2) H_3(X^2_{j+1} - X^2_j)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{8} 2^{-\frac{nH}{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{[\frac{2\pi}{t}] - 1} \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2) H_1(X^1_{j+1} - X^1_j) H_2(X^2_{j+1} - X^2_j)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{8} 2^{-\frac{nH}{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{[\frac{2\pi}{t}] - 1} \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{112} f(X^1, X^2) H_2(X^1_{j+1} - X^1_j) H_1(X^2_{j+1} - X^2_j)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{8} 2^{-\frac{nH}{2}} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{[\frac{2\pi}{t}] - 1} (\Delta_{j,n} \partial_{111} f(X^1, X^2) + \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{122} f(X^1, X^2))(X^1_{j+1} - X^1_j) 

\quad + \sum_{j=0}^{[\frac{2\pi}{t}] - 1} (\Delta_{j,n} \partial_{222} f(X^1, X^2) + \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{112} f(X^1, X^2))(X^2_{j+1} - X^2_j) \right)$$
Let us define $P_n(f,t)$ as follows:

$$
P_n(f,t) := \frac{1}{8}2^{-\frac{n}{2}}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{[\frac{\sqrt{n}}{2}]-1} (\Delta_{j,n}\partial_{11}f(X^1, X^2) + \Delta_{j,n}\partial_{12}f(X^1, X^2))(X^1_{j+1} - X^1_j) + \sum_{j=0}^{[\frac{\sqrt{n}}{2}]-1} (\Delta_{j,n}\partial_{22}f(X^1, X^2) + \Delta_{j,n}\partial_{12}f(X^1, X^2))(X^2_{j+1} - X^2_j)\right).
$$

Then, thanks to (2.20) and to the Definition 2.2, we deduce that, for $H = 1/6$,

$$
V_n^2(f,t) = K_n^{(1)}(f,t) + K_n^{(2)}(f,t) + K_n^{(3)}(f,t) + K_n^{(4)}(f,t) + P_n(f,t).
$$

We have the following corollary of Theorem 3.3.

**Corollary 3.5** Suppose $H = 1/6$. Fix $t \geq 0$. Then

$$
(X^1, X^2, V_n^2(f,t)) \overset{f.d.d.}{\longrightarrow} (X^1, X^2, \int_0^t D^3 f(X_s) d^3 X_s),
$$

where $\int_0^t D^3 f(X_s) d^3 X_s$ is short-hand for

$$
\int_0^t D^3 f(X_s) d^3 X_s = \kappa_1 \int_0^t \frac{\partial^3 f}{\partial x^3}(X^1_s, X^2_s) dB^1_s + \kappa_2 \int_0^t \frac{\partial^3 f}{\partial y^3}(X^1_s, X^2_s) dB^2_s + \kappa_3 \int_0^t \frac{\partial^3 f}{\partial x^2\partial y}(X^1_s, X^2_s) dB^3_s + \kappa_4 \int_0^t \frac{\partial^3 f}{\partial x\partial y^2}(X^1_s, X^2_s) dB^4_s
$$

with $B = (B^1, \ldots, B^4)$ a 4-dimensional Brownian motion independent of $X$, $\kappa_1 = \kappa_2 = \frac{1}{4\epsilon} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \rho^3(r)$ and $\kappa_3 = \kappa_4 = \frac{1}{4\epsilon} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \rho^3(r)$ with $\rho$ defined in (2.23). Otherwise stated, (3.90) means that $V_n^{(3)}(f,t)$ converges stably in law to the random variable $\int_0^t D^3 f(X_s) d^3 X_s$.

**Proof.** Thanks to (3.89), if we prove that

$$
P_n(f,t) \xrightarrow{P} 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty,
$$

then we can deduce (3.90) immediately from Theorem 3.3. So, let us prove (3.91).

We define $g := \partial_{11}f + \partial_{22}f$. Thanks to Lemma 2.4, we have

$$
g(X^1_{(j+1)2-n/2}, X^2_{(j+1)2-n/2}) - g(X^1_{j2-n/2}, X^2_{j2-n/2}) = \Delta_{j,n}\partial_{11}g(X^1, X^2)(X^1_{(j+1)2-n/2} - X^1_{j2-n/2}) + \Delta_{j,n}\partial_{22}g(X^1, X^2)(X^2_{(j+1)2-n/2} - X^2_{j2-n/2})
$$

$$
+ \sum_{i=2}^7 \sum_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 2i-1} C(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \Delta_{j,n}\partial_{1i}\partial_{2j}g(X^1, X^2)(X^1_{(j+1)2-n/2} - X^1_{j2-n/2})^{\alpha_1}
$$

$$
\times (X^2_{(j+1)2-n/2} - X^2_{j2-n/2})^{\alpha_2} + R_{13}((X^1_{(j+1)2-n/2}, X^2_{(j+1)2-n/2}), (X^1_{j2-n/2}, X^2_{j2-n/2})).
$$
Since \( f \in C^\infty \), we have in particular that \( \partial_1 g = \partial_{111} f + \partial_{122} f \) and \( \partial_2 g = \partial_{112} f + \partial_{222} f \). So, by combining this fact with the definition of \( V_n^{\alpha_1,\alpha_2}(\cdot, t) \) given in (3.52) and a telescoping argument, we get

\[
\begin{align*}
g(X_{[2r]t}^{1,2-n/2}, X_{[2r]t}^{2,2-n/2}) - g(0,0) &= 82^{n/6} P_n(f, t) + \sum_{i=2}^{7} \sum_{a_1+a_2=2i-1} C(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) V_n^{\alpha_1,\alpha_2}(\partial_{111,112,122} g, t) \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=0}^{[2r]t-1} R_{13}((X_{(j+1)2-n/2}^{1,2-n/2}, X_{(j+1)2-n/2}^{2,2-n/2}), (X_{j2-n/2}^{1,2-n/2}, X_{(j2-n/2)}^{2,2-n/2})).
\end{align*}
\]

This way, we deduce that

\[
P_n(f, t) = \frac{1}{8} 2^{-n/6} (g(X_{[2r]t}^{1,2-n/2}, X_{[2r]t}^{2,2-n/2}) - g(0,0))
\]

with obvious notation at the last equality. Thanks to Proposition 3.52 (3.62) and since, by continuity of \( g(X^1, X^2) \), we have a.s. \( g(X_{[2r]t}^{1,2-n/2}, X_{[2r]t}^{2,2-n/2}) \to g(X^1, X_t) \), we deduce that

\[
r_{n,1}(t) \overset{P}{\to} 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty.
\] (3.92)

So, it remains to prove that

\[
2^{-n/6} \sum_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2=3} C(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) V_n^{\alpha_1,\alpha_2}(\partial_{111,112,122} g, t) \overset{P}{\to} 0.
\] (3.93)

By Lemma 2.4, we have \( C(3, 0) = C(0, 3) = \frac{1}{22} \) and \( C(2, 1) = C(1, 2) = \frac{1}{8} \). As a result,

\[
\sum_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2=3} C(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) V_n^{\alpha_1,\alpha_2}(\partial_{111,112,122} g, t) = V_n^3(g, t),
\] (3.94)

with \( V_n^3(g, t) \) given in Definition 3.4. Thanks to (3.89), we have

\[
2^{-n/6} V_n^3(g, t) = 2^{-n/6} (K_n^{(1)}(g, t) + K_n^{(2)}(g, t) + K_n^{(3)}(g, t) + K_n^{(4)}(g, t)) + 2^{-n/6} P_n(g, t).
\]
By Theorem 3.3, we have that $2^{-n/6} (K_n^{(1)}(g,t) + K_n^{(2)}(g,t) + K_n^{(3)}(g,t) + K_n^{(4)}(g,t)) \to 0$. So, in order to prove (3.93), we have to show that, as $n \to \infty$

$$2^{-n/6} P_n(g,t) \to 0. \quad (3.95)$$

Set $h := \partial_{11} g + \partial_{22} g$. Thanks to Lemma 2.4, we have

$$h(X^1_{(j+1)2-n/2}, X^2_{(j+1)2-n/2}) - h(X^1_{j2-n/2}, X^2_{j2-n/2}) = \Delta_{j,n} \partial_1 h(X^1, X^2) (X^1_{(j+1)2-n/2} - X^1_{j2-n/2}) + \Delta_{j,n} \partial_2 h(X^1, X^2) (X^2_{(j+1)2-n/2} - X^2_{j2-n/2})$$

$$+ \sum_{i=2}^7 \sum_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 2i - 1} C(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{i1\ldots2\ldots} h(X^1, X^2) (X^1_{(j+1)2-n/2} - X^1_{j2-n/2})^{\alpha_1}$$

$$\times (X^2_{(j+1)2-n/2} - X^2_{j2-n/2})^{\alpha_2} + R_{13} ((X^1_{(j+1)2-n/2}, X^2_{(j+1)2-n/2}), (X^1_{j2-n/2}, X^2_{j2-n/2})).$$

Observe that $\partial_1 h = \partial_{111} g + \partial_{122} g$ and $\partial_2 h = \partial_{112} g + \partial_{222} g$. By the same arguments that has been used previously, we get

$$h(X^1_{[2\pi/t]2-n/2}, X^2_{[2\pi/t]2-n/2}) - h(0,0) = 82^{n/6} P_n(g,t) + \sum_{i=2}^7 \sum_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 2i - 1} C(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) V^{\alpha_1,\alpha_2}_n (\partial_{i1\ldots2\ldots} h, t)$$

$$+ \sum_{j=0}^{[2\pi/t]-1} R_{13} ((X^1_{(j+1)2-n/2}, X^2_{(j+1)2-n/2}), (X^1_{j2-n/2}, X^2_{j2-n/2})).$$

Hence, we have

$$P_n(g,t) = \frac{1}{8} 2^{-n/6} (h(X^1_{[2\pi/t]2-n/2}, X^2_{[2\pi/t]2-n/2}) - h(0,0))$$

$$- \frac{1}{8} 2^{-n/6} \sum_{i=2}^7 \sum_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 2i - 1} C(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) V^{\alpha_1,\alpha_2}_n (\partial_{i1\ldots2\ldots} h, t)$$

$$- \frac{1}{8} 2^{-n/6} \sum_{j=0}^{[2\pi/t]-1} R_{13} ((X^1_{(j+1)2-n/2}, X^2_{(j+1)2-n/2}), (X^1_{j2-n/2}, X^2_{j2-n/2})))$$

$$- \frac{1}{8} 2^{-n/6} \sum_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 3} C(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) V^{\alpha_1,\alpha_2}_n (\partial_{1\ldots2\ldots} h, t)$$

$$+ r_{n,2}(t),$$

with obvious notation at the last equality. Thus, we finally have

$$2^{-n/6} P_n(g,t) = - \frac{1}{8} 2^{-n/3} \sum_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 3} C(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) V^{\alpha_1,\alpha_2}_n (\partial_{1\ldots2\ldots} h, t)$$

$$+ 2^{-n/6} r_{n,2}(t).$$
By the same arguments that has been used to prove \([3.92]\), we deduce that \(r_{n,2}(t) \xrightarrow{P} 0\) as \(n \to \infty\). Hence, to prove \([3.95]\) it remains to show that, as \(n \to \infty\)

\[
2^{-n/3} \sum_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 3} C(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) V_n^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}(\partial_{1...12}^a h, t) \xrightarrow{P} 0. \tag{3.96}
\]

In fact, since \(h \in C_b^\infty\) and by the definition of \(V_n^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}(\partial_{1...12}^a h, t)\) given in \([3.52]\), we deduce that

\[
2^{-n/3} \sum_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 3} C(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) V_n^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}(\partial_{1...12}^a h, t) \leq C 2^{-n/3} \sum_{j=0}^{[\frac{2\pi t}{n}] - 1} |X_{j+1}^1 - X_j^1|^3 + C 2^{-n/3} \sum_{j=0}^{[\frac{2\pi t}{n}] - 1} |X_{j+1}^2 - X_j^2|^3 + C 2^{-n/3} \sum_{j=0}^{[\frac{2\pi t}{n}] - 1} |X_{j+1}^1 - X_j^1||X_{j+1}^2 - X_j^2|^2 + C 2^{-n/3} \sum_{j=0}^{[\frac{2\pi t}{n}] - 1} |X_{j+1}^1 - X_j^1|^2 |X_{j+1}^2 - X_j^2| \tag{3.97}
\]

Recall the following notation: for \(i \in \{1, 2\}\), \(X_j^{i,n} := 2^{\frac{n}{2}} X_j^{i,n,2\frac{n}{2}}\). We deduce that, for all \(p \in \mathbb{N}^*,\)

\[
E[|X_{j+1}^i - X_j^i|^p] = 2^{-\frac{n}{p}} E[|X_{j+1}^{i,n} - X_j^{i,n}|^p] = 2^{-\frac{n}{p}} E[|G|^p],
\]

where \(G \sim N(0, 1)\). Thanks to this identity, to the independence of \(X_1, X_2\) and to \([3.97]\), we deduce that

\[
2^{-n/3} E \left( \left| \sum_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 3} C(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) V_n^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}(\partial_{1...12}^a h, t) \right| \right) \\
\leq C 2^{-n/3} 2^{-n/4} E[|G|^3]|2\pi t| + C 2^{-n/3} 2^{-n/4} E[|G|] E[|G|^2]|2\pi t| \\
\leq C 2^{-n/12} t \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} 0.
\]

Convergence \([3.96]\) follows immediately. Consequently, we have proved \([3.95]\), \([3.93]\) and \([3.91]\). It finishes the proof of Corollary \([3.5]\)

We are now ready to prove \([1.6]\). Thanks to Lemma \([2.4]\) we have

\[
f(X_{(j+1)2-n/2}^{1-n/2}, X_{(j+1)2-n/2}^2) - f(X_{(j-1)2-n/2}^1, X_{(j-1)2-n/2}^2) \\
= \Delta_{j,n} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(X_1, X^2) \left(X_{(j+1)2-n/2}^1 - X_{(j-1)2-n/2}^1\right) + \Delta_{j,n} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(X_1, X^2) \left(X_{(j+1)2-n/2}^2 - X_{(j-1)2-n/2}^2\right) \\
+ \sum_{i=2}^7 \sum_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 2i-1} C(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{1...12}^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} f(X_1, X^2) \left(X_{(j+1)2-n/2}^1 - X_{(j-1)2-n/2}^1\right)^{\alpha_1} \\
\times \left(X_{(j+1)2-n/2}^2 - X_{(j-1)2-n/2}^2\right)^{\alpha_2} + R_{13} \left(\left(X_{(j+1)2-n/2}^1, X_{(j+1)2-n/2}^2\right), \left(X_{(j-1)2-n/2}^1, X_{(j-1)2-n/2}^2\right)\right).
\]
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Then, by Definition 1.2 and (3.52), we can write
\[ f(X_1^{\lfloor \frac{2^n}{2} \cdot 2^{-n/2} \rfloor}, X_2^{\lfloor \frac{2^n}{2} \cdot 2^{-n/2} \rfloor}) - f(0, 0) \]
\[ = O_n(f, t) + \sum_{i=2}^{7} \sum_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 2i-1} C(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) V_{n}^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}(\partial_{1...12...2}^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} f, t) \]
\[ + \sum_{j=0}^{[\frac{2^n}{2} t] - 1} R_{13}((X_1^{1(2j+1)/2-n/2}, X_2^{2(2j+1)/2-n/2})), (X_1^{12-n/2}, X_2^{22-n/2})). \]

By the same arguments that has been used to show (3.94), we get
\[ \sum_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 3} C(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) V_{n}^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}(\partial_{1...12...2}^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} f, t) = V_{n}^{3}(f, t). \]

Combining this fact with our Taylor’s expansion, we deduce that
\[ \begin{align*}
O_n(f, t) & = f(X_1^{\lfloor \frac{2^n}{2} \cdot 2^{-n/2} \rfloor}, X_2^{\lfloor \frac{2^n}{2} \cdot 2^{-n/2} \rfloor}) - f(0, 0) - V_{n}^{3}(f, t) \\
& - \sum_{i=3}^{7} \sum_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 2i-1} C(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) V_{n}^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}(\partial_{1...12...2}^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} f, t) \\
& - \sum_{j=0}^{[\frac{2^n}{2} t] - 1} R_{13}((X_1^{1(2j+1)/2-n/2}, X_2^{2(2j+1)/2-n/2})), (X_1^{12-n/2}, X_2^{22-n/2})).
\end{align*} \]

Thanks to Proposition 3.2 we have
\[ \sum_{i=3}^{7} \sum_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 2i-1} C(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) V_{n}^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}(\partial_{1...12...2}^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} f, t) \rightarrow 0. \]

On the other hand, by (3.62) we have
\[ \sum_{j=0}^{[\frac{2^n}{2} t] - 1} R_{13}((X_1^{1(2j+1)/2-n/2}, X_2^{2(2j+1)/2-n/2})), (X_1^{12-n/2}, X_2^{22-n/2}))) \rightarrow 0 \]

Observe also that, by the almost sure continuity of \( f(X_1, X_2) \), one has, almost surely and as \( n \rightarrow \infty \),
\[ f(X_1^{\lfloor \frac{2^n}{2} \cdot 2^{-n/2} \rfloor}, X_2^{\lfloor \frac{2^n}{2} \cdot 2^{-n/2} \rfloor}) - f(0, 0) \rightarrow f(X_1^t, X_2^t) - f(0, 0). \]

Finally, the desired conclusion (1.6) follows from (3.99), (3.100), (3.101) and the conclusion of Corollary 3.3 plugged into (3.98).
3.3 Proof of (1.8)

Using \( b^3 - a^3 = 3\left(\frac{b-a}{2}\right)^2(b-a) + \frac{1}{4}(b-a)^3 \), one can write

\[
O_n(x \mapsto x^3, t) - (X_t^1)^3 = -V_n^3(x \mapsto x^3, t) + \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor 2^{|\pi t|} - 1 \rfloor} \left((X_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}^1)^3 - (X_{j2^{-n/2}}^1)^3\right) - (X_t^1)^3,
\]

where \( O_n(\cdot, t) \) is introduced in Definition 1.2 and \( V_n^3(\cdot, t) \) is given in Definition 3.4. As a result, and since \((\lfloor 2^{|\pi t|} - 3H\rfloor)\) converges to a non-degenerate limit, one deduces that if \( O_n(x \mapsto x^3, t) \) converges stably in law, then \( V_n^3(x \mapsto x^3, t) \) must converge as well. But it is known (see for example (1.8) in [3]) that \( 2^{-n(1+3H)}V_n^3(x \mapsto x^3, t) \) converges in law to a non-degenerate limit. This fact being in contradiction with the convergence of \( V_n^3(x \mapsto x^3, t) \), we deduce that (1.8) holds.

4 Proof of Theorem 1.4

We divide the proof of Theorem 1.4 in several steps.

4.1 Step 1: A key algebraic lemma

For each integer \( n \geq 1 \), \( k \in \mathbb{Z} \) and real number \( t \geq 0 \), let \( U_{j,n}(t) \) (resp. \( D_{j,n}(t) \)) denote the number of upcrossings (resp. downcrossings) of the interval \([2^{-n/2}, (j+1)2^{-n/2}]\) within the first \( \lfloor 2^n t \rfloor \) steps of the random walk \( \{Y(T_{k,n})\}_{k \geq 0} \), where \( (T_{k,n})_{k \geq 0} \) is introduced in 2.12. That is,

\[
U_{j,n}(t) = \sharp \{k = 0, \ldots, \lfloor 2^n t \rfloor - 1 : Y(T_{k,n}) = j2^{-n/2} \text{ and } Y(T_{k+1,n}) = (j + 1)2^{-n/2}\}; \]

\[
D_{j,n}(t) = \sharp \{k = 0, \ldots, \lfloor 2^n t \rfloor - 1 : Y(T_{k,n}) = (j + 1)2^{-n/2} \text{ and } Y(T_{k+1,n}) = j2^{-n/2}\}.
\]

**Definition 4.1** For \( f \in C_b^\infty \) and \( t \geq 0 \), for all \( p, q \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( p + q \) is odd, we define \( \hat{V}_n^{p,q}(f, t) \) as follows:

\[
\hat{V}_n^{p,q}(f, t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor 2^n t \rfloor - 1} f\left(\frac{1}{2}(Z_{T_{k,n}}^1 + Z_{T_{k+1,n}}^1), \frac{1}{2}(Z_{T_{k,n}}^2 + Z_{T_{k+1,n}}^2)\right)(Z_{T_{k+1,n}}^1 - Z_{T_{k,n}}^1)^p \times (Z_{T_{k+1,n}}^2 - Z_{T_{k,n}}^2)^q. \tag{4.102}
\]

While easy, the following lemma taken from [5, Lemma 2.4] is going to be the key when studying the asymptotic behavior \( \hat{V}_n^{p,q}(f, t) \). Its main feature is to separate \((X^1, X^2)\) from \( Y \), thus providing a representation of \( \hat{V}_n^{p,q}(f, t) \) which is amenable to analysis.
Lemma 4.2 Fix $f \in C_b^\infty$, $t \geq 0$ and for all $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $p + q$ is odd, we have then

$$
\tilde{V}^{p,q}_n(f, t) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} f \left( \frac{1}{2}(X_{(j+1)2-n/2}^1 + X_{j2-n/2}^1), \frac{1}{2}(X_{(j+1)2-n/2}^2 + X_{j2-n/2}^2) \right) (X_{(j+1)2-n/2}^1 - X_{j2-n/2}^1)^p \times (X_{(j+1)2-n/2}^2 - X_{j2-n/2}^2)^q (U_{j,n}(t) - D_{j,n}(t)).
$$

4.2 Step 2: Transforming the 2D weighted power variations of odd order

By [5, Lemma 2.5], one has

$$
U_{j,n}(t) - D_{j,n}(t) = \begin{cases} 
1 \{0 \leq j < j^*(n,t)\} & \text{if } j^*(n,t) > 0 \\
0 & \text{if } j^*(n,t) = 0 \\
-1 \{j^*(n,t) \leq j < 0\} & \text{if } j^*(n,t) < 0 
\end{cases},
$$

where $j^*(n,t) = 2^{n/2}Y_{[2^{n+1},n]}$. As a consequence, we have

1. If $j^*(n,t) > 0$:

$$
\tilde{V}^{p,q}_n(f, t) = \sum_{j=0}^{j^*(n,t)-1} f \left( \frac{1}{2}(X_{(j+1)2-n/2}^1 + X_{j2-n/2}^1), \frac{1}{2}(X_{(j+1)2-n/2}^2 + X_{j2-n/2}^2) \right) (X_{(j+1)2-n/2}^1 - X_{j2-n/2}^1)^p (X_{(j+1)2-n/2}^2 - X_{j2-n/2}^2)^q.
$$

2. If $j^*(n,t) = 0$: $\tilde{V}^{p,q}_n(f, t) = 0$.

3. If $j^*(n,t) < 0$:

$$
\tilde{V}^{p,q}_n(f, t) = \sum_{j=0}^{j^*(n,t)-1} f \left( \frac{1}{2}(X_{(j+1)2-n/2}^1 + X_{j2-n/2}^1), \frac{1}{2}(X_{(j+1)2-n/2}^2 + X_{j2-n/2}^2) \right) (X_{(j+1)2-n/2}^1 - X_{j2-n/2}^1)^p (X_{(j+1)2-n/2}^2 - X_{j2-n/2}^2)^q,
$$

where, for $i \in \{1, 2\}$, $X_{t}^{i+} := X_{t}^i$ for $t \geq 0$, $X_{-t}^{i-} := X_{t}^i$ for $t < 0$. 

100
Let us introduce the following sequence of processes $W_{\pm,n}^{p,q}$:

\[
W_{\pm,n}^{p,q}(f, t) = \sum_{j=0}^{[2^{n/2}t]-1} f\left(\frac{1}{2}(X_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}^{1,\pm} + X_{j2^{-n/2}}^{1,\pm}), \frac{1}{2}(X_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}^{2,\pm} + X_{j2^{-n/2}}^{2,\pm})\right) \\
\times (X_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}^{1,\pm} - X_{j2^{-n/2}}^{1,\pm})^p (X_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}^{2,\pm} - X_{j2^{-n/2}}^{2,\pm})^q, \quad t \geq 0
\]

Then, we have that

\[
W_n^{p,q}(f, t) = \begin{cases} 
W_{+,n}^{p,q}(f, t) & \text{if } t \geq 0 \\
W_{-,n}^{p,q}(f, -t) & \text{if } t < 0
\end{cases}.
\]

We then have that

\[
\hat{Y}_n^{p,q}(f, t) = W_n^{p,q}(f, Y_{T[2^{n+1}, n]}).
\]  

(4.103)

### 4.3 Step 3: Known results for the 2D fractional Brownian motion

- If $H > 1/6, p + q \geq 3$ and if $H = 1/6, p + q \geq 5$, then, thanks to (3.59) and (3.60), we have for all $t \geq 0$

\[
E\left[\left(W_{\pm,n}^{p,q}(f, t)\right)^2\right] \leq C \sum_{k' = 1}^{2^{k'-1}} \left( \sum_{a = 1}^{2^{k'-1} - 1} 2^{-n[H(p+q+2k'-1-\frac{1}{2})]a} t^{2H+1} + 2^{-n[H(p+q+2k'-1)]} \right).
\]  

(4.104)

- If $H = 1/6$, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we define $W_n^{(3)}(f, t)$ as follows:

\[
W_n^{(3)}(f, t) = \sum_{p+q=3} C(p, q) W_n^{p,q}(\partial_{s_1\ldots s_2}^p f, t),
\]  

(4.105)

where $C(3, 0) = C(0, 3) = \frac{1}{24}$ and $C(2, 1) = C(1, 2) = \frac{1}{8}$. Then, thanks to Corollary 3.3 we have, for $H = 1/6$, for any fixed $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and as $n \to \infty$

\[
(X_1, X_2, W_n^{(3)}(f, t)) \overset{fdd}{\to} (X_1, X_2, \int_0^t D^3 f(X_s) d^3 X_s)
\]  

(4.106)

where $\int_0^t D^3 f(X_s) d^3 X_s$ is short-hand for

\[
\int_0^t D^3 f(X_s) d^3 X_s = \kappa_1 \int_0^t \frac{\partial^3 f}{\partial x^3} (X_s^1, X_s^2) dB_s^1 + \kappa_2 \int_0^t \frac{\partial^3 f}{\partial y^3} (X_s^1, X_s^2) dB_s^2 + \left( \frac{\partial^3 f}{\partial x^2 \partial y} (X_s^1, X_s^2) dB_s^3 + \kappa_4 \int_0^t \frac{\partial^3 f}{\partial x^2 \partial y} (X_s^1, X_s^2) dB_s^4 \right)
\]

with $B = (B_1, \ldots, B_4)$ a 4-dimensional two-sided Brownian motion independent of $(X_1, X_2), \kappa_1^2 = \kappa_2^2 = \frac{1}{10} \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} \rho^3(r)$ and $\kappa_3^2 = \kappa_4^2 = \frac{1}{12} \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} \rho^3(r)$ with $\rho$ defined in (2.25).
4.4 **Step 4: Moment bounds for** $W_n^{(3)}(f, \cdot)$

Fix $f \in C_b^\infty$ and set $H = 1/6$. We claim the existence of $C > 0$ such that, for all real numbers $s < t$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$E[(W_n^{(3)}(f,t) - W_n^{(3)}(f,s))^2] \leq C \max \left(|s|^{1/3}, |t|^{1/3}\right) \left(2^{-n/2} + |t - s|\right). \tag{4.107}$$

**Proof.** By the definition of $W_n^{(3)}(f,t)$ in (4.105), we deduce that

$$E[(W_n^{(3)}(f,t) - W_n^{(3)}(f,s))^2] \leq C \sum_{p+q=3} E[(W_n^{p,q}(\partial_{1\ldots12}^{p,q}f,t) - W_n^{p,q}(\partial_{1\ldots12}^{p,q}f,s))^2].$$

So, if we prove that for all $f \in C_b^\infty$ and for all $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $p + q = 3$,

$$E[(W_n^{p,q}(f,t) - W_n^{p,q}(f,s))^2] \leq C \max \left(|s|^{1/3}, |t|^{1/3}\right) \left(2^{-n/2} + |t - s|\right),$$

then the conclusion (4.107) follows immediately. In fact, we will prove the last inequality only for $p = 1$ and $q = 2$, the proof being similar for the other values of $p$ and $q$.

For $p = 1, q = 2$, bearing the notation of Step 2 in mind, we have

$$W_{\pm,n}^{1,2}(f,t) = \frac{1}{8} 2^{-\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 1} \Delta_j f(X^{1,\pm}, X^{2,\pm}) (2^{\frac{n}{2}}(X^{1,\pm}_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} - X^{1,\pm}_{j2^{-n/2}}))^2$$

$$\times \left(2^{\frac{n}{2}}(X^{2,\pm}_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} - X^{2,\pm}_{j2^{-n/2}})\right)^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{8} 2^{-\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 1} \Delta_j f(X^{1,\pm}, X^{2,\pm}) H_1\left(2^{\frac{n}{2}}(X^{1,\pm}_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} - X^{1,\pm}_{j2^{-n/2}})\right)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{8} 2^{-\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 1} \Delta_j f(X^{1,\pm}, X^{2,\pm}) H_1\left(2^{\frac{n}{2}}(X^{2,\pm}_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} - X^{2,\pm}_{j2^{-n/2}})\right)$$

$$\times H_2\left(2^{\frac{n}{2}}(X^{2,\pm}_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} - X^{2,\pm}_{j2^{-n/2}})\right)$$

$$= \tilde{W}_{\pm,n}^{1,2}(f,t) + \overline{W}_{\pm,n}^{1,2}(f,t).$$

We claim that:

$$E[(\tilde{W}_{n}^{1,2}(f,t) - \overline{W}_{n}^{1,2}(f,s))^2] \leq C \max \left(|s|^{1/3}, |t|^{1/3}\right) \left(2^{-n/2} + |t - s|\right); \tag{4.108}$$

$$E[(\bar{W}_{n}^{1,2}(f,t) - \overline{W}_{n}^{1,2}(f,s))^2] \leq C \max \left(|s|^{1/3}, |t|^{1/3}\right) \left(2^{-n/2} + |t - s|\right). \tag{4.109}$$

It suffices to prove (4.109), which is representative of the difficulty. To do so, we distinguish two cases according to the signs of $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ (and reducing the problem by symmetry):

\(^*\)When $p = 3$, $q = 0$ or $p = 0$, $q = 3$ the reader will find a very similar result in Step 4 in [12].
(1) If \(0 \leq s < t\) (the case \(s < t \leq 0\) being similar), then

\[
E[(\overline{W}_{1,2}^n(f, t) - \overline{W}_{1,2}^n(f, s))^2] = E[(\overline{W}_{1,2}^n(f, t) - \overline{W}_{1,2}^n(f, s))^2]
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{64} 2^{-n/2} \left( \sum_{j, j' = [2^{n/2}]_1}^{[2^{n/2}]_1} \right) E \left( \Delta_{j,n} f(X^{1,+}, X^{2,+}) \Delta_{j',n} f(X^{1,+}, X^{2,+}) I_1(1) \left( (2^n \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2}) \right) \right.
\]

\[
\left. \times I_2 (2^n \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2}) I_1(1) \left( (2^n \delta_{(j'+1)2-n/2}) \right) I_2 (2^n \delta_{(j'+1)2-n/2}) \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{64} \sum_{j, j' = [2^{n/2}]_1}^{[2^{n/2}]_1} E \left( \Delta_{j,n} f(X^{1,+}, X^{2,+}) \Delta_{j',n} f(X^{1,+}, X^{2,+}) I_1(1) \left( (2^n \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2}) \right) \right.
\]

\[
\left. \times I_2 (2^n \delta_{(j'+1)2-n/2}) I_1(1) \left( (2^n \delta_{(j'+1)2-n/2}) \right) I_2 (2^n \delta_{(j'+1)2-n/2}) \right),
\]

where we have the first equality by (2.20). Relying to the product formula (2.22), we deduce that this latter quantity is less than or equal to

\[
\frac{1}{64} \sum_{j, j' = [2^{n/2}]_1}^{[2^{n/2}]_1} \left| E \left( \Delta_{j,n} f(X^{1,+}, X^{2,+}) \Delta_{j',n} f(X^{1,+}, X^{2,+}) I_2 (2^n \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2}) \right) \right.
\]

\[
\left. \times I_2 (2^n \delta_{(j'+1)2-n/2}) \right| \left| (\delta_{(j+1)2-n/2}, \delta_{(j'+1)2-n/2}) \right|
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{64} \sum_{j, j' = [2^{n/2}]_1}^{[2^{n/2}]_1} \left| E \left( \Delta_{j,n} f(X^{1,+}, X^{2,+}) \Delta_{j',n} f(X^{1,+}, X^{2,+}) I_2 (2^n \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2}) \right) \right.
\]

\[
\left. \times I_2 (2^n \delta_{(j'+1)2-n/2} \delta_{(j'+1)2-n/2}) I_2 (2^n \delta_{(j'+1)2-n/2}) \right| \left| (2^n \delta_{(j'+1)2-n/2}) \right|
\]

\[
=: 2 \sum_{i=1}^{Q_{n,t}} Q_{n,s}^t(s, t).
\]

We have then the following estimates.

- **Case \(i = 1\).** Since \(f \in C_b^\infty\), and thanks to the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and to (2.23), we have

\[
\left| E \left( \Delta_{j,n} f(X^{1,+}, X^{2,+}) \Delta_{j',n} f(X^{1,+}, X^{2,+}) I_2 (2^n \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2}) \right) \right.
\]

\[
\left. \times I_2 (2^n \delta_{(j'+1)2-n/2}) \right| \leq C \| I_2 (2^n \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2}) \|_2 \| I_2 (2^n \delta_{(j'+1)2-n/2}) \|_2
\]

\[
\leq C (2^{-n/6})^2.
\]
We deduce that
\[
Q_n^{+1}(s, t) 
\leq C 2^{-n/3} \sum_{j,j'=[2^n/2s]}^{[2^n/2t]-1} |\langle \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \delta_{(j'+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle|
\]
\[
\leq C 2^{-n/2} \sum_{j,j'=[2^n/2s]}^{[2^n/2t]-1} \frac{1}{2} (|j - j'| + 1|^{1/3} + |j - j' - 1|^{1/3} - 2|j - j'|^{1/3})
\]
\[
= C 2^{-n/2} \sum_{j=[2^n/2s]}^{[2^n/2t]-1} j-\sum_{q=j-[2^n/2t]+1}^{[2^n/2t]-1} |\rho(q)|,
\]
with \(\rho(q)\) defined in (2.25). By a Fubini argument, it comes
\[
Q_n^{+1}(s, t) 
\leq C 2^{-n/2} \sum_{q=[2^n/2s]}^{[2^n/2t]-1} \sum_{j-[2^n/2s]}^{[2^n/2t]-1} |\rho(q)||\langle q + [2^n/2t] \rangle \wedge [2^n/2t] - (q + [2^n/2s]) \vee [2^n/2s]\rangle
\]
\[
\leq C 2^{-n/2} \sum_{q=[2^n/2s]}^{[2^n/2t]-1} \sum_{j-[2^n/2s]}^{[2^n/2t]-1} |\rho(q)||[2^n/2t] - [2^n/2s]| = C 2^{-n/2} [2^n/2t] - [2^n/2s]
\]
\[
\leq C 2^{-n/2} \left( \frac{1}{2^n/2} + |t - s| + \frac{1}{2^n/2} - \frac{1}{2^n/2} \right)
\]
\[
\leq C(2^{-n/2} + |t - s|).
\]
Note that \(\sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} |\rho(q)| < \infty\) since \(H < \frac{1}{2}\).

- Case \(i = 2\). Thanks to the duality formula (2.21) and to the Leibniz rule (2.19), one has that

\[
\left| E \left( \Delta_{j,n} f(X^{1,+}, X^{2,+}) \Delta_{j',n} f(X^{1,+}, X^{2,+}) I_2^{(1)} (\delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \otimes \delta_{(j'+1)2^{-n/2}}) \right) \right| \]
\[
= \left| E \left( D_{X^1}^2 (\Delta_{j,n} f(X^{1,+}, X^{2,+}) \Delta_{j',n} f(X^{1,+}, X^{2,+})) \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \otimes \delta_{(j'+1)2^{-n/2}} \right) \right|
\]
\[
\times I_2^{(2)} (\delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \otimes \delta_{(j'+1)2^{-n/2}}) \right| \]
\[
\times I_2^{(2)} (\delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \otimes \delta_{(j'+1)2^{-n/2}}) \right|
\]
\[
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\]
For instance, we can write

\[
\begin{align*}
\leq E \left( \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{11} f(X^{1,+},X^{2,+}) \Delta_{j',n} f(X^{1,+},X^{2,+}) \right) I_{2}^{(2)} (\delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \\
\times I_{2}^{(2)} (\delta_{(j'+1)2^{-n/2}}) \right) & \left\langle \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{j2^{-n/2}} + \varepsilon_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}}{2} \right) \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \otimes \delta_{(j'+1)2^{-n/2}} \right\rangle \\
+ 2E \left( \left| \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{1} f(X^{1,+},X^{2,+}) \Delta_{j',n} \partial_{1} f(X^{1,+},X^{2,+}) \right| \right) & \left( \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \otimes \delta_{(j'+1)2^{-n/2}} \right) \\
\times I_{2}^{(2)} (\delta_{(j'+1)2^{-n/2}}) \right) & \left\langle \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{j2^{-n/2}} + \varepsilon_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}}{2} \right) \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \otimes \delta_{(j'+1)2^{-n/2}} \right\rangle \\
\leq & d_{n}^{1} + d_{n}^{2} + d_{n}^{3}.
\end{align*}
\]

Observe that, thanks to (4.111), we get

\[
\begin{align*}
d_{n}^{1} & \leq C 2^{-n/3} \left| \left\langle \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{j2^{-n/2}} + \varepsilon_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}}{2} \right) \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \otimes \delta_{(j'+1)2^{-n/2}} \right\rangle \right| , \\
d_{n}^{2} & \leq C 2^{-n/3} \left| \left\langle \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{j2^{-n/2}} + \varepsilon_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}}{2} \right) \otimes \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{j2^{-n/2}} + \varepsilon_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}}{2} \right) \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \otimes \delta_{(j'+1)2^{-n/2}} \right\rangle \right| , \\
d_{n}^{3} & \leq C 2^{-n/3} \left| \left\langle \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{j2^{-n/2}} + \varepsilon_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}}{2} \right) \otimes \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \otimes \delta_{(j'+1)2^{-n/2}} \right\rangle \right| .
\end{align*}
\]

By (2.340), recall that \( |\langle \varepsilon_{u}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle| \leq 2^{-n/6} \) for all \( u \geq 0 \) and all \( j \in \mathbb{N} \). We thus get,

\[
\begin{align*}
d_{n}^{1} + d_{n}^{2} + d_{n}^{3} & \leq C 2^{-n/2} \left( |\langle \varepsilon_{j2^{-n/2}}, \delta_{(j'+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle| + |\langle \varepsilon_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}, \delta_{(j'+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle| \\
+ |\langle \varepsilon_{j2^{-n/2}}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle| + |\langle \varepsilon_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}, \delta_{(j'+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle| \\
+ |\langle \varepsilon_{j2^{-n/2}} + \varepsilon_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}}, \delta_{(j'+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle| \right).
\end{align*}
\]

For instance, we can write

\[
\begin{align*}
2^{-n/2} \sum_{j,j'=[2^{n/2}]^{-1}} \left| \langle \varepsilon_{(j'+1)2^{-n/2}}, \delta_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} \rangle \right| & = \frac{1}{2} 2^{-2n/3} \sum_{j,j'=[2^{n/2}]^{-1}} \left| (j+1)^{1/3} - j^{1/3} + |j' - j|^{1/3} - |j' - j|^{1/3} \right| \\
& = \frac{1}{2} 2^{-2n/3} \sum_{j,j'=[2^{n/2}]^{-1}} \left| (j+1)^{1/3} - j^{1/3} + |j' - j|^{1/3} - |j' - j|^{1/3} \right|.
\end{align*}
\]
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\[ \frac{1}{2} 2^{2n/3} \sum_{j,j'=\lfloor 2n/2 \rfloor}^{\lfloor 2n/2 \rfloor-1} ((j+1)^{1/3} - j^{1/3}) \]
\[ + \frac{1}{2} 2^{2n/3} \sum_{\lfloor 2n/2 \rfloor < j < j' \leq \lfloor 2n/2 \rfloor} ((j' - j + 1)^{1/3} - (j' - j)^{1/3}) \]
\[ + \frac{1}{2} 2^{2n/3} \sum_{\lfloor 2n/2 \rfloor \leq j < j' \leq \lfloor 2n/2 \rfloor} ((j' - j)^{1/3} - (j - j' - 1)^{1/3}) \]
\[ \leq \frac{3}{2} 2^{2n/3} \left( \left\lfloor \frac{2n}{2} t \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} s \right\rfloor \right) \left\lfloor \frac{2n}{2} t \right\rfloor^{1/3} \leq \frac{3t^{1/3}}{2} \left( 2^{-n/2} + |t - s| \right). \]

Similarly,

\[ 2^{-n/2} \sum_{j,j'=\lfloor 2n/2 \rfloor}^{\lfloor 2n/2 \rfloor-1} |\langle \varepsilon_{j2-n/2}; \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2} \rangle| \leq \frac{3t^{1/3}}{2} \left( 2^{-n/2} + |t - s| \right); \]

\[ 2^{-n/2} \sum_{j,j'=\lfloor 2n/2 \rfloor}^{\lfloor 2n/2 \rfloor-1} |\langle \varepsilon_{(j+1)2-n/2}; \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2} \rangle| \leq \frac{3t^{1/3}}{2} \left( 2^{-n/2} + |t - s| \right); \]

\[ 2^{-n/2} \sum_{j,j'=\lfloor 2n/2 \rfloor}^{\lfloor 2n/2 \rfloor-1} |\langle \varepsilon_{j2-n/2} + \varepsilon_{(j+1)2-n/2}; \delta_{(j+1)2-n/2} \rangle| \leq \frac{3t^{1/3}}{2} \left( 2^{-n/2} + |t - s| \right). \]

As a consequence, we deduce

\[ Q_n^+(s, t) \leq Ct^{1/3} \left( 2^{-n/2} + |t - s| \right). \quad (4.114) \]

Combining (4.110), (4.113) and (4.114) finally shows our claim (4.109).

(2) If \( s < 0 \leq t \), then

\[ E[(\overline{W}_{n+1,2}^1(f, t) - \overline{W}_{n}^{1,2}(f, s))^2] = E[(\overline{W}_{n+1}^{1,2}(f, t) - \overline{W}^{1,2}_{n}(f, s))^2] \]
\[ \leq 2E[(\overline{W}_{n+1}^{1,2}(f, t))^2] + 2E[(\overline{W}^{1,2}_{n}(f, s))^2]. \]

By (1) with \( s = 0 \), one can write

\[ E[(\overline{W}_{n+1}^{1,2}(f, t))^2] \leq Ct^{1/3} \left( 2^{-n/2} + t \right). \]

Similarly

\[ E[(\overline{W}_{n}^{1,2}(f, s))^2] \leq C(-s)^{1/3} \left( 2^{-n/2} + (-s) \right). \]
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We deduce that
\[ E[(W_{n,1}^{1,2}(f,t) - W_{n,1}^{1,2}(f,s))^2] \leq C \max \left(t^{1/3}, (t-s)^{1/3}\right) \left(2^{-n/2} + (t-s)\right). \]
That is, (4.109) holds true in this case.

4.5 Step 5: Limits of the 2D weighted power variations of odd order

Fix \( f \in C_b^\infty \) and \( t \geq 0 \). We claim that, if \( H \in \left(\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{2}\right) \) and \( p + q \geq 5 \) then, as \( n \to \infty \),
\[ \tilde{V}_{n}^{p,q}(f,t) \xrightarrow{\text{prob}} 0. \] (4.115)
Moreover, if \( H \in \left(\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{2}\right) \) and \( p + q = 3 \) then, as \( n \to \infty \),
\[ \tilde{V}_{n}^{p,q}(f,t) \xrightarrow{\text{prob}} 0. \] (4.116)
For all \( t \geq 0 \), we define \( \tilde{V}_{n}^{(3)}(f,t) \) as follows
\[ \tilde{V}_{n}^{(3)}(f,t) = \sum_{p+q=3} C(p,q) \tilde{V}_{n}^{p,q}(\partial_1^{p} \partial_2^{q} f, t), \] (4.117)
with \( C(3,0) = C(0,3) = \frac{1}{24} \) and \( C(2,1) = C(1,2) = \frac{1}{8} \). Observe that thanks to (4.103) and (4.105), we have
\[ \tilde{V}_{n}^{(3)}(f,t) = W_{n}^{(3)}(f,Y_{T[2n+1,n]}). \] (4.118)

Then, we claim that, for \( H = 1/6 \), for any fixed \( t \geq 0 \), as \( n \to \infty \)
\[ (X^1, X^2, Y, \tilde{V}_{n}^{(3)}(f,t)) \xrightarrow{fdd} (X^1, X^2, Y, \int_0^Y D^3 f(X_s) d^3 X_s), \] (4.119)
where \( \int_0^t D^3 f(X_s) d^3 X_s \) is short-hand for
\[ \int_0^t D^3 f(X_s) d^3 X_s = \kappa_1 \int_0^t \frac{\partial^3 f}{\partial x^3}(X^1_s, X^2_s) dB^1_s + \kappa_2 \int_0^t \frac{\partial^3 f}{\partial y^3}(X^1_s, X^2_s) dB^2_s + \kappa_3 \int_0^t \frac{\partial^3 f}{\partial x^2 \partial y}(X^1_s, X^2_s) dB^3_s + \kappa_4 \int_0^t \frac{\partial^3 f}{\partial x \partial y^2}(X^1_s, X^2_s) dB^4_s \]
with \( B = (B^1, \ldots, B^4) \) a 4-dimensional two-sided Brownian motion independent of \((X^1, X^2)\) and also independent of \( Y \). The constants \( \kappa_1, \ldots, \kappa_4 \) are the same as in (4.104). Otherwise stated, (4.119) means that \( \tilde{V}_{n}^{(3)}(f,t) \) converges stably in law to the random variable \( \int_0^Y D^3 f(X_s) d^3 X_s \).
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Indeed, combining (4.103), (4.104) together with the independence of \( Y \) and \((X^1, X^2)\) (by the definition of \( Z \) in (1.4)), we deduce that

\[
E\left[(\hat{V}_n^{p,q}(f, t))^2\right] \leq C \sum_{k'=1}^{2k'-1} \left( \sum_{a=1}^{2-n[H(p+q+2k'-1)-a]} 2^{-n[H(p+q+2k'-1)-a]} \right) E(|Y_{T_{2^n}\cap_{j,n}}|) + 2^{-n[H(p+q+2k'-1)]} E(|Y_{T_{2^n}\cap_{j,n}}|^{2H+1}) + 2^{-n[H(p+q+2k'-1)]}.
\]

On the other hand, recall from [5, Lemma 2.3] that \( Y_{T_{2^n}\cap_{j,n}} \xrightarrow{L^2} Y_t \) as \( n \to \infty \). So, combining this fact with the last inequality, we deduce that (4.115) and (4.116) hold true.

Now, using the decomposition (4.118), the conclusion of Step 4 (to pass from \( Y_{T_{2^n}\cap_{j,n}} \) to \( Y_t \)) and the convergence: \( Y_{T_{2^n}\cap_{j,n}} \xrightarrow{L^2} Y_t \), we deduce that the limit of \( \hat{V}_n^{(3)}(f, t) \) is the same as that of

\[
W_n^{(3)}(f, Y_t).
\]

Thus, the proof of (4.119) then follows directly from (4.106) and the fact that \( Y \) is independent of \((X^1, X^2)\) and independent of \((B^1, \ldots, B^4)\).

### 4.6 Step 6: Proving (1.9) and (1.10)

Let us introduce the following notation: for \( f \in C_b^\infty \), for \( j \in \mathbb{N} \), \( \Delta_{j,n} f(Z^1, Z^2) := f\left(\frac{1}{2}(Z_{T_{j,n}}^1 + Z_{T_{j+1,n}}^1), \frac{1}{2}(Z_{T_{j,n}}^2 + Z_{T_{j+1,n}}^2)\right) \). Then, thanks to Lemma 2.4, we have

\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta_{j,n} f(Z_{T_{j,n}}^1, Z_{T_{j,n}}^2) &= \Delta_{j,n} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(Z^1, Z^2)(Z_{T_{j+1,n}}^1 - Z_{T_{j,n}}^1) + \Delta_{j,n} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(Z^1, Z^2)(Z_{T_{j+1,n}}^2 - Z_{T_{j,n}}^2) \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=2}^{7} \sum_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2 = 2i-1} C(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \Delta_{j,n} \partial_{1\ldots2\ldots2}^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} f(Z^1, Z^2)(Z_{T_{j+1,n}}^1 - Z_{T_{j,n}}^1)^{\alpha_1} \\
&\quad \times (Z_{T_{j+1,n}}^2 - Z_{T_{j,n}}^2)^{\alpha_2} + R_{13}\left((Z_{T_{j+1,n}}^1, Z_{T_{j+1,n}}^2), (Z_{T_{j,n}}^1, Z_{T_{j,n}}^2)\right).
\end{align*}
\]

Then, by the Definition 2.4 and (4.102), we can write

\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta_{n} f(f, t) &= \frac{\partial f}{\partial n}(f, t) + \sum_{i=2}^{7} \sum_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2 = 2i-1} C(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \hat{V}_n^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}(\partial_{1\ldots2\ldots2}^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} f, t) \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor 2^n t \rfloor - 1} R_{13}\left((Z_{T_{j+1,n}}^1, Z_{T_{j+1,n}}^2), (Z_{T_{j,n}}^1, Z_{T_{j,n}}^2)\right).
\end{align*}
\]
Thanks to (4.117), we can write
\[
\hat{O}_n(f, t) = f(Z_{T_{[2^n]}_{j,n}}^1, Z_{T_{[2^n]}_{j,n}}^2) - f(0, 0) - \hat{V}_n(f, t)
\]
\[
- \sum_{i=3}^7 \sum_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 2i-1} C(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \hat{V}_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}(\partial_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^T f, t)
\]
\[
- \sum_{j=0}^{[2^n t] - 1} R_{13}((Z_{T_{j+1,n}}^1, Z_{T_{j+1,n}}^2), (Z_{T_{j,n}}^1, Z_{T_{j,n}}^2)).
\]

By Lemma 2.4, we have, with \( G \sim N(0, 1) \),
\[
\sum_{j=0}^{[2^n t] - 1} E \left( \left| R_{13}((Z_{T_{j+1,n}}^1, Z_{T_{j+1,n}}^2), (Z_{T_{j,n}}^1, Z_{T_{j,n}}^2)) \right| \right) \leq C_f \sum_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 13} E \left( \left| Z_{T_{j+1,n}}^1 - Z_{T_{j,n}}^1 \right|^\alpha_1 \left| Z_{T_{j+1,n}}^2 - Z_{T_{j,n}}^2 \right|^\alpha_2 \right)
\]
\[
\leq C_f \sum_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 13} \sum_{j=0}^{[2^n t] - 1} \left\| Z_{T_{j+1,n}}^1 - Z_{T_{j,n}}^1 \right\|_2 \left\| Z_{T_{j+1,n}}^2 - Z_{T_{j,n}}^2 \right\|_2^\alpha_2 \leq C_f 2^{-n(\frac{13}{2} - \frac{H}{2})}. \tag{4.121}
\]

On the other hand, by continuity of \( f \circ Z \) and due to (2.13), one has, almost surely and as \( n \to \infty \),
\[
f(Z_{T_{[2^n t]_{j,n}}}^1, Z_{T_{[2^n t]_{j,n}}}^2) - f(0, 0) \to f(Z_t^1, Z_t^2) - f(0, 0). \tag{4.122}
\]

Finally, when \( H > \frac{1}{6} \) the desired conclusion (1.9) follows from (4.115), (4.116), (4.121) and (4.122) plugged into (4.120). The proof of (1.10) when \( H = \frac{1}{6} \) is similar, the only difference being that one has (4.119) instead of (4.116), thus leading to the bracket term \( \int_0^t D^3 f(Z_s) d^3 Z_s \) in (1.10).

4.7 Step 7: Proving (1.11)

Using \( b^3 - a^3 = 3 \left( \frac{a+b}{2} \right)^2 (b-a) + \frac{1}{4} (b-a)^3 \), one can write,
\[
\hat{O}_n(x \mapsto x^3, t) - (Z_t^1)^3 = -\hat{V}_n(x \mapsto x^3, t) + \sum_{j=0}^{[2^n t] - 1} ((Z_{T_{j+1,n}}^1)^3 - (Z_{T_{j,n}}^1)^3) - (Z_t^1)^3.
\]
As a result and since, by (2.14), \( (Z_{T_{[2^n t]_{j,n}}}^1)^3 \to (Z_t^1)^3 \) a.s. as \( n \to \infty \), one deduces that if \( \hat{O}_n(x \mapsto x^3, t) \) converges stably in law, then \( \hat{V}_n(x \mapsto x^3, t) \) must converge as well. But it
is shown in [13, Corollary 1.2] that $2^{-n(1-\frac{1}{4}H)/2} \tilde{\nu}_n^{(3)}(x \mapsto x^3, t)$ converges in law to a non degenerate limit. This fact being in contradiction with the convergence of $\tilde{\nu}_n^{(3)}(x \mapsto x^3, t)$, we deduce that (1.11) holds.

5 Proof of Lemma 2.10

Thanks to (2.22), we have

$$I_1^{(1)}(\delta_{(i_1+1)^2-n/2}) I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(i_1+1)^2-n/2}) I_1^{(1)}(\delta_{(i_1+1)^2-n/2}) I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(i_1+1)^2-n/2})$$

$$= I_2^{(1)}(\delta_{(i_3+1)^2-n/2} \otimes \delta_{(i_4+1)^2-n/2}) I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(i_3+1)^2-n/2}) I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(i_4+1)^2-n/2})$$

$$+ \langle \delta_{(i_3+1)^2-n/2}, \delta_{(i_4+1)^2-n/2} \rangle I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(i_3+1)^2-n/2}) I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(i_4+1)^2-n/2})$$

$$= I_2^{(1)}(\delta_{(i_1+1)^2-n/2} \otimes \delta_{(i_4+1)^2-n/2}) I_4^{(2)}(\delta_{(i_1+1)^2-n/2} \otimes \delta_{(i_4+1)^2-n/2})$$

$$+4 I_2^{(1)}(\delta_{(i_3+1)^2-n/2} \otimes \delta_{(i_4+1)^2-n/2}) I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(i_3+1)^2-n/2} \otimes \delta_{(i_4+1)^2-n/2}) \langle \delta_{(i_3+1)^2-n/2}, \delta_{(i_4+1)^2-n/2} \rangle$$

$$+2 I_2^{(1)}(\delta_{(i_3+1)^2-n/2} \otimes \delta_{(i_4+1)^2-n/2}) \langle \delta_{(i_3+1)^2-n/2}, \delta_{(i_4+1)^2-n/2} \rangle^2$$

$$+I_4^{(2)}(\delta_{(i_1+1)^2-n/2} \otimes \delta_{(i_4+1)^2-n/2}) \langle \delta_{(i_1+1)^2-n/2}, \delta_{(i_4+1)^2-n/2} \rangle$$

$$+4 I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(i_3+1)^2-n/2} \otimes \delta_{(i_4+1)^2-n/2}) \langle \delta_{(i_3+1)^2-n/2}, \delta_{(i_4+1)^2-n/2} \rangle^2$$

$$+2 \langle \delta_{(i_1+1)^2-n/2}, \delta_{(i_4+1)^2-n/2} \rangle^3.$$
Let us prove that, for any \( i \in \{1, \ldots, 6\} \) there exists \( C > 0 \) (depending only on \( f \)) such that

\[
L_{n,i}(t) \leq C(t + t^2 + t^3 + t^4).
\]

Then the desired conclusion of Lemma \[2.10\] will follow immediately.

Thanks to the duality formula \[2.21\], we have

\[
L_{n,1}(t) = \sum_{i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4 = 0}^{[2^{\frac{t}{2}}]} E \left( \left| \sum_{a=1}^{2} \prod_{a=1}^{2} I_1^{(1)}(\delta_{(i_a+1)2^{-n/2}}) I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(i_a+1)2^{-n/2}}) \right| \right)
\]

\[
\delta_{(i_3+1)2^{-n/2}} \otimes \delta_{(i_4+1)2^{-n/2}} I_4^{(2)}(\delta_{(i_3+1)2^{-n/2}}) \otimes \delta_{(i_4+1)2^{-n/2}}
\]

\[
= \sum_{i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4 = 0}^{[2^{\frac{t}{2}}]} E \left( \left| \sum_{a=1}^{2} \prod_{a=1}^{2} I_1^{(1)}(\delta_{(i_a+1)2^{-n/2}}) I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(i_a+1)2^{-n/2}}) \right| \right)
\]

\[
\delta_{(i_3+1)2^{-n/2}} \otimes \delta_{(i_4+1)2^{-n/2}} \prod_{a=1}^{2} I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(i_a+1)2^{-n/2}}) I_4^{(2)}(\delta_{(i_3+1)2^{-n/2}}) \otimes \delta_{(i_4+1)2^{-n/2}}
\]
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When computing the second Malliavin derivative

\[ D^2_{X(1)} \left( \phi(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4) \prod_{a=1}^{2} I^{(1)}_a \left( \delta_{(i_a+1)2^{-n/2}} \right) \right), \]

there are three types of terms:

(1) The first type consists in terms arising when one only differentiates \( \phi(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4) \). By (2.31), these terms are all bounded by

\[ C 2^{-n/3} \sum_{i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4} \left| E \left( \left\langle D^2_{X(2)} \left( \tilde{\phi}(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4) \prod_{a=1}^{2} I^{(1)}_a \left( \delta_{(i_a+1)2^{-n/2}} \right) \right) I^{(2)}_2 \left( \delta_{(i_4+1)2^{-n/2}} \right) \right\rangle \right|, \]

where \( \tilde{\phi}(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4) \) is a quantity having a similar form as \( \phi(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4) \). By the duality formula (2.21), we deduce that the last quantity is equal to

\[ C 2^{-n/3} \sum_{i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4} \left| E \left( \left\langle D^2_{X(2)} \left( \tilde{\phi}(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4) \prod_{a=1}^{2} I^{(1)}_a \left( \delta_{(i_a+1)2^{-n/2}} \right) I^{(2)}_2 \left( \delta_{(i_4+1)2^{-n/2}} \right) \right) \right\rangle \right| \]

\[ = C 2^{-n/3} \sum_{i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4} \left| E \left( \left\langle D^2_{X(2)} \left( \tilde{\phi}(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4) \prod_{a=1}^{2} I^{(2)}_2 \left( \delta_{(i_a+1)2^{-n/2}} \right) \right) \right\rangle \right| \]

\[ \left( \delta_{(i_3+1)2^{-n/2}} \otimes \delta_{(i_4+1)2^{-n/2}} \right) \prod_{a=1}^{2} I^{(1)}_a \left( \delta_{(i_a+1)2^{-n/2}} \right) \right| \]

When computing the fourth Malliavin derivative

\[ D^4_{X(2)} \left( \tilde{\phi}(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4) \prod_{a=1}^{2} I^{(2)}_2 \left( \delta_{(i_a+1)2^{-n/2}} \right) \right), \]

there are three types of terms:

(a) The first type consists in terms arising when one only differentiates \( \tilde{\phi}(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4) \). Thanks to (2.31), these terms are all bounded by

\[ C 2^{-n} \sum_{i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4} \left| E \left( \left\langle D^4_{X(2)} \left( \tilde{\phi}(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4) \prod_{a=1}^{2} I^{(1)}_a \left( \delta_{(i_a+1)2^{-n/2}} \right) I^{(2)}_2 \left( \delta_{(i_4+1)2^{-n/2}} \right) \right) \right\rangle \right|, \]
where \(\tilde{\phi}(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4)\) is a quantity having a similar form as \(\tilde{\phi}(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4)\). Observe that the last quantity is less than

\[
Ct^2 \sup_{i_1,i_2,i_4 \in \{0,\ldots,[2^\frac{n}{2}] - 1\}} \sum_{i_1,i_2=0}^{[2^\frac{n}{2}] - 1} \left| E \left( \tilde{\phi}(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4) \prod_{a=1}^{2} I_1^{(1)}(\delta_{(i_a+1)2^{-n/2}}) \times I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(i_a+1)2^{-n/2}}) \right) \right| \leq Ct^3 + t^4
\]

where the last inequality is a consequence of Lemma \ref{lem:bound}.

(b) The second type consists in terms arising when one differentiates \(\tilde{\phi}(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4)\) and \(I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(i_2+1)2^{-n/2}})\) but not \(I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(i_2+1)2^{-n/2}})\) (the case when one differentiates \(\tilde{\phi}(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4)\) and \(I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(i_2+1)2^{-n/2}})\) but not \(I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(i_1+1)2^{-n/2}})\) is completely similar). In this case, with \(\rho\) defined in \eqref{eq:rho} and \(\alpha \in \{0,1\}\), the corresponding terms are bounded either by

\[
C2^{-n} \sum_{i_1,i_2,i_3,i_4=0}^{[2^\frac{n}{2}] - 1} \left| E \left( \tilde{\phi}(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4) I_1^{(1)}(\delta_{(i_1+1)2^{-n/2}}) I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(i_2+1)2^{-n/2}}) \times \prod_{a=1}^{2} I_1^{(1)}(\delta_{(i_a+1)2^{-n/2}}) \right) \left| \rho(i_1 - i_3) \right| \right|
\]

or by the same quantity with \(|\rho(i_1 - i_4)|\) instead of \(|\rho(i_1 - i_3)|\). We have obtained the previous estimate by using \eqref{eq:bound1} and \eqref{eq:bound2}. Observe that, by the duality formula \eqref{eq:duality}, we have

\[
\left| E \left( \tilde{\phi}(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4) I_1^{(1)}(\delta_{(i_1+1)2^{-n/2}}) I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(i_2+1)2^{-n/2}}) \prod_{a=1}^{2} I_1^{(1)}(\delta_{(i_a+1)2^{-n/2}}) \right) \right| = \left| E \left( \langle D^{2}_{X^{(2)}} \tilde{\phi}(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4) I_1^{(1)}(\delta_{(i_1+1)2^{-n/2}}) \times \prod_{a=1}^{2} I_1^{(1)}(\delta_{(i_a+1)2^{-n/2}}) \rangle \right) \right|
\]

We have

- For \(\alpha = 0\):

\[
F(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4, 0) = \left| E \left( \langle D^{2}_{X^{(2)}} \tilde{\phi}(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4) \times \prod_{a=1}^{2} I_1^{(1)}(\delta_{(i_a+1)2^{-n/2}}) \rangle \right) \right|
\]
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\[
\leq C(2^{-n/6})^2 \| I^{(1)}_1 (\delta_{(i_1+1)2^{2-n/2}}) \|_2 \| I^{(1)}_1 (\delta_{(i_2+1)2^{2-n/2}}) \|_2 \\
\leq C 2^{-n/2},
\]

where we have the first inequality since \( f \in C_b^\infty \) and thanks to (2.34) and to the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. The second inequality follows from (2.23). 

- For \( \alpha = 1 \): Thanks to (2.18), (2.19), (2.24), (2.34) and (2.23), we have
\[
F(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4, 1) \leq C 2^{-n/3} E \left( \| I^{(1)}_1 (\delta_{(i_1+1)2^{2-n/2}}) \|_2 \| I^{(1)}_1 (\delta_{(i_2+1)2^{2-n/2}}) \|_2 \right) \leq C 2^{-n/2}.
\]

For \( \alpha \in \{0,1\} \), by plugging \( F(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4, \alpha) \) into (5.125), we deduce that the quantity given in (5.125) is bounded by
\[
C t^{-2^{-n/2}} \sum_{i_1, i_2, i_3 = 0}^{2^{2n} - 1} |\rho(i_1 - i_3)| \leq Ct^3 \left( \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} |\rho(r)| \right) \leq Ct^3.
\]

Note that \( \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} |\rho(r)| < \infty \) because \( H = 1/6 < 1/2 \).

(c) The third type consists in terms arising when one differentiates \( \tilde{\phi}(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4) \), \( \tilde{J}^{(2)}_a (\delta_{(i_1+1)2^{2-n/2}}) \) and \( \tilde{J}^{(2)}_b (\delta_{(i_2+1)2^{2-n/2}}) \). In this case, thanks to (2.24) and (2.34), for \( \alpha, \beta \in \{0,1\} \) the corresponding terms can be bounded either by
\[
C 2^{-n} \sum_{i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4 = 0}^{2^{2n} - 1} \left| E \left( \tilde{\phi}(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4) \tilde{J}^{(2)}_a (\delta_{(i_1+1)2^{2-n/2}}) \tilde{J}^{(2)}_b (\delta_{(i_2+1)2^{2-n/2}}) \right) \times \prod_{a=1}^{2} \| I^{(1)}_1 (\delta_{(i_a+1)2^{2-n/2}}) \|_2 \|\rho(i_1 - i_3)\| \|\rho(i_2 - i_3)\|, \]

or by the same quantity with \( |\rho(i_1 - i_4)| |\rho(i_2 - i_4)\| \) or \( |\rho(i_1 - i_3)| |\rho(i_2 - i_4)\| \) or \( |\rho(i_2 - i_4)| |\rho(i_1 - i_3)\| \) instead of \( |\rho(i_1 - i_3)| |\rho(i_2 - i_3)\| \). Observe that
\[
E \left( \tilde{\phi}(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4) \tilde{J}^{(2)}_a (\delta_{(i_1+1)2^{2-n/2}}) \tilde{J}^{(2)}_b (\delta_{(i_2+1)2^{2-n/2}}) \prod_{a=1}^{2} \| I^{(1)}_1 (\delta_{(i_a+1)2^{2-n/2}}) \|_2 \right)
\]
is uniformly bounded in \( n \). So, the quantity given in (5.127) is bounded by
\[
C t^{-2^{-n/2}} \sum_{i_1, i_2, i_3 = 0}^{2^{2n} - 1} |\rho(i_1 - i_3)| |\rho(i_2 - i_3)\| \leq Ct^2 \left( \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} |\rho(r)| \right)^2 \leq Ct^2.
\]

Thanks to (5.128), (5.126) and (5.124), we deduce that the terms of the first type in \( L_{n,1}(t) \) agree with the desired conclusion (5.123).

(2) The second type consists in terms arising when one differentiates \( \phi(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4) \) and \( I^{(1)}_1 (\delta_{(i_1+1)2^{2-n/2}}) \), but not \( I^{(1)}_1 (\delta_{(i_2+1)2^{2-n/2}}) \) (the case where one differentiates \( \phi(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4) \))
and $I_1^{(1)}(\delta_{(i_2+1)2-n/2})$, but not $I_1^{(1)}(\delta_{(i_1+1)2-n/2})$ is completely similar). In this case, thanks to (2.21), the corresponding terms are all bounded either by

$$C 2^{-n/3} \sum_{i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4 = 0}^{[2^{3/2}] - 1} \left| E \left( \tilde{\phi}(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4) I_1^{(1)}(\delta_{(i_2+1)2-n/2}) \prod_{a=1}^{2} I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(i_a+1)2-n/2}^{\otimes \otimes}) \right) \right| \left| \rho(i_1 - i_3) \right|, $$

or by the same quantity with $|\rho(i_1 - i_4)|$ instead of $|\rho(i_1 - i_3)|$. By the duality formula (2.21), the previous quantity is equal to

$$C 2^{-n/3} \sum_{i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4 = 0}^{[2^{3/2}] - 1} \left| E \left( \left. D_{X(2)}^4 \left( \tilde{\phi}(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4) \prod_{a=1}^{2} I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(i_a+1)2-n/2}^{\otimes \otimes}) \right) \delta_{(i_1+1)2-n/2}^{\otimes \otimes} \right) \right| \left| \rho(i_1 - i_3) \right|, $$

When computing the fourth Malliavin derivative

$$D_{X(2)}^4 \left( \tilde{\phi}(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4) \prod_{a=1}^{2} I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(i_a+1)2-n/2}^{\otimes \otimes}) \right),$$

there are three types of terms, exactly as it has been proved previously:

(a) The first type consists in terms arising when one only differentiates $\tilde{\phi}(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4).$

Thanks to (2.34), these terms are all bounded by

$$C 2^{-n} \sum_{i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4 = 0}^{[2^{3/2}] - 1} \left| E \left( \tilde{\phi}(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4) \prod_{a=1}^{2} I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(i_a+1)2-n/2}^{\otimes \otimes}) \right) \right| \left| \rho(i_1 - i_3) \right|. \quad (5.129)$$

Observe that since $f \in C_b^{\infty}$ and thanks to (2.21), (2.34) and (2.23), we have

$$\left| E \left( \left. D_{X(1)} \left( \tilde{\phi}(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4) \prod_{a=1}^{2} I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(i_a+1)2-n/2}^{\otimes \otimes}) \right) \right) \right| \right| \delta_{(i_1+1)2-n/2}^{\otimes \otimes}, \delta_{(i_2+1)2-n/2}^{\otimes \otimes} \right| \right| \right.$$
Hence, we deduce that the quantity given in (5.129) is bounded by
\[
Ct^2 2^{-n/2} \sum_{i_1, i_3 = 0}^{[\frac{2\pi t}{\omega}]-1} |\rho(i_1 - i_3)| \leq C t^3 \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} |\rho(r)| \leq C t^3.
\] (5.130)

(b) The second type consists in terms arising when one differentiates \(\tilde{\phi}(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4)\) and \(I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(i_1+1)2-n/2})\) but not \(I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(i_2+1)2-n/2})\) (the case when one differentiates \(\tilde{\phi}(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4)\) and \(I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(i_2+1)2-n/2})\) but not \(I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(i_1+1)2-n/2})\) is completely similar). In this case, thanks to (2.34) and for \(\alpha \in \{0, 1\}\), the corresponding terms are all bounded either by
\[
C2^{-n} \sum_{i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4 = 0}^{[\frac{2\pi t}{\omega}]-1} \left| E \left( \tilde{\phi}(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4) I_\alpha^{(2)}(\delta_{(i_1+1)2-n/2}) I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(i_2+1)2-n/2}) I_1^{(1)}(\delta_{(i_1+1)2-n/2}) \right) \right| |\rho(i_1 - i_3)||\rho(i_1 - i_4)|
\] (5.131)
or by the same quantity with \(|\rho(i_1 - i_3)|\) instead of \(|\rho(i_1 - i_4)|\). Observe that, by (2.21) and (2.34) among other things and since \(f \in C_0^\infty\), we have
\[
\left| E \left( \tilde{\phi}(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4) I_\alpha^{(2)}(\delta_{(i_1+1)2-n/2}) I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(i_2+1)2-n/2}) I_1^{(1)}(\delta_{(i_1+1)2-n/2}) \right) \right| \\
= \left| E \left( \langle D_X^{(1)}(\tilde{\phi}(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4)), \delta_{(i_1+1)2-n/2} \rangle I_\alpha^{(2)}(\delta_{(i_2+1)2-n/2}) I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(i_2+1)2-n/2}) \right) \right| \\
\leq C2^{-n/6} \left| E \left( \chi(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4) I_\alpha^{(2)}(\delta_{(i_1+1)2-n/2}) I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(i_2+1)2-n/2}) \right) \right| \\
= C2^{-n/6} \left| E \left( \langle D_X^{(2)}(\chi(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4) I_\alpha^{(2)}(\delta_{(i_1+1)2-n/2})), \delta_{(i_2+1)2-n/2} \rangle \right) \right| \\
\leq C2^{-n/2},
\]
where \(\chi(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4)\) is a quantity having a similar form as \(\tilde{\phi}(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4)\). Thus, we get that the quantity given by (5.131) is bounded by
\[
C2^{-n} t \sum_{i_1, i_3, i_4 = 0}^{[\frac{2\pi t}{\omega}]-1} |\rho(i_1 - i_3)||\rho(i_1 - i_4)| \leq C t^2 2^{-n/2} \left( \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} |\rho(r)| \right)^2 \\
\leq C2^{-n/2} t^2.
\] (5.132)

(c) The third type consists in terms arising when one differentiates \(\tilde{\phi}(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4)\), \(I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(i_1+1)2-n/2})\) and \(I_2^{(2)}(\delta_{(i_2+1)2-n/2})\). In this case, thanks to (2.34), for \(\alpha, \beta \in\)
The third type consists in terms arising when one only differentiates \( \{0,1\} \) the corresponding terms can be bounded either by

\[
C 2^{-n} \sum_{i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4 = 0}^{[2^n t]-1} |E \left( \phi(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4) I_2^{(2)} \left( \delta^{\otimes 2}_{(i_1+1)2^n/2} \otimes \delta^{\otimes 2}_{(i_2)2^n/2} \right) \right) \times I_4^{(1)} \left( \delta_{(i_2+1)2^n/2} \right) | \rho(i_1 - i_3) | \rho(i_1 - i_4) | \rho(i_2 - i_4) |,
\]

or by the same quantity with \( |\rho(i_1 - i_3)||\rho(i_2 - i_3)| \) or \( |\rho(i_1 - i_3)||\rho(i_2 - i_4)| \) or \( |\rho(i_2 - i_3)||\rho(i_1 - i_4)| \) instead of \( |\rho(i_1 - i_4)||\rho(i_2 - i_4)| \). Observe that

\[
|E \left( \phi(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4) I_2^{(2)} \left( \delta^{\otimes 2}_{(i_1+1)2^n/2} \otimes \delta^{\otimes 2}_{(i_2)2^n/2} \right) \right) I_4^{(1)} \left( \delta_{(i_2+1)2^n/2} \right) |
\]

is uniformly bounded in \( n \). So, we deduce that the quantity given by (5.133) is bounded by

\[
C 2^{-n/2} \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} |\rho(r)|^3 \leq C 2^{-n/2}. \tag{5.134}
\]

Combining (5.134), (5.132) and (5.130), we deduce that the terms of the second type in \( L_{n,1}(t) \) agree with the desired conclusion (5.123).

(3) The third type consists in terms arising when one only differentiates \( \prod_{a=1}^{2^n t} I_1^{(1)} \left( \delta_{(i_a+1)2^n} \right) \). In this case, thanks to (2.18) and (2.23), the corresponding term is equal to:

\[
\sum_{i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4 = 0}^{[2^n t]-1} |E \left( \phi(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4) \prod_{a=1}^{2^n t} I_2^{(2)} \left( \delta^{\otimes 2}_{(i_a+1)2^n/2} \otimes \delta^{\otimes 2}_{(i_a)2^n/2} \right) \right) | \times | \left( \delta_{(i_1+1)2^n/2} \otimes \delta_{(i_2)2^n/2} \otimes \delta_{(i_3)2^n/2} \otimes \delta_{(i_4)2^n/2} \right) |
\]

\[
\leq 2^{-n/3} \sum_{i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4 = 0}^{[2^n t]-1} |E \left( \phi(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4) \prod_{a=1}^{2^n t} I_2^{(2)} \left( \delta^{\otimes 2}_{(i_a+1)2^n/2} \otimes \delta^{\otimes 2}_{(i_a)2^n/2} \right) \right) | \times I_4^{(2)} \left( \delta^{\otimes 2}_{(i_3+1)2^n/2} \otimes \delta^{\otimes 2}_{(i_4+1)2^n/2} \right) | \rho(i_1 - i_3) | \rho(i_2 - i_4) |
\]

\[
+ 2^{-n/3} \sum_{i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4 = 0}^{[2^n t]-1} |E \left( \phi(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4) \prod_{a=1}^{2^n t} I_2^{(2)} \left( \delta^{\otimes 2}_{(i_a+1)2^n/2} \otimes \delta^{\otimes 2}_{(i_a)2^n/2} \right) \right) | \times I_4^{(2)} \left( \delta^{\otimes 2}_{(i_3)2^n/2} \otimes \delta^{\otimes 2}_{(i_4+1)2^n/2} \right) | \rho(i_2 - i_3) | \rho(i_1 - i_4) |
\]

It suffices to prove that the second quantity agree with the desired conclusion (5.123) (similarly, the first quantity agree as well with (5.123)). Thanks to the duality formula
Finally, we have proved that \( L \) for all \( i \) that \( \epsilon \{1,2,3,4\} \) and used along the previous proof. The motivated reader may check that there is no additional difficulties to prove that \( L \). It remains to prove that \( C \), which agrees with the desired conclusion (5.123).

\[
2^{-n/3} \sum_{i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4 = 0}^{[\frac{2}{3} t] - 1} \left| E \left( \left\langle D_{X(2)}^4 \left( \phi(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4) \prod_{a=1}^2 I_{2}^{(2)}(\delta_{(i_a+1)2^{-n/2}}) \right) \right\rangle \right| \rho(i_2 - i_3) \left| \rho(i_1 - i_4) \right|.
\]

Hence, we get that the quantity given in (5.135) is bounded by

\[
C 2^{-n/3}.
\]

which agrees with the desired conclusion (5.123).

Finally, we have proved that \( L_{n,1}(t) \) agrees with the desired conclusion (5.123).

The motivated reader may check that there is no additional difficulties to prove that for all \( i \in \{2, \ldots, 5\} \), \( L_{n,i}(t) \) agrees with the desired conclusion (5.123). Indeed, all the arguments and techniques which are needed to prove this claim, were already introduced and used along the previous proof.

It remains to prove that \( L_{n,6}(t) \) agrees with the desired conclusion (5.123). Observe that

\[
L_{n,6}(t) = 2^{-n/2} \sum_{i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4 = 0}^{[\frac{2}{3} t] - 1} \left| E \left( \phi(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4) \prod_{a=1}^2 I_{1}^{(1)}(\delta_{(i_a+1)2^{-n/2}}) I_{2}^{(2)}(\delta_{(i_a+1)2^{-n/2}}) \right) \right| \left| \rho(i_3 - i_4) \right|^3
\]

\[
\leq 2^{-n/2} \sum_{i_3, i_4 = 0}^{[\frac{2}{3} t] - 1} \left( \sup_{i_3, i_4 \in \{0, \ldots, [\frac{2}{3} t] - 1\}} \sum_{i_1, i_2 = 0}^{[\frac{2}{3} t] - 1} \left| E \left( \phi(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4) \prod_{a=1}^2 I_{1}^{(1)}(\delta_{(i_a+1)2^{-n/2}}) \right) \right| \left| \rho(i_3 - i_4) \right|^3
\]

\[
\leq C(t + t^2) 2^{-n/2} \sum_{i_3, i_4 = 0}^{[\frac{2}{3} t] - 1} \left| \rho(i_3 - i_4) \right|^3 \leq C(t + t^2) t \left( \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \rho(r) \right|^3 \right) \leq C(t^2 + t^3),
\]
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where the second inequality is a consequence of Lemma 2.7. Thanks to the previous estimate, it is clear that $L_{n,t}(t)$ agrees with the desired conclusion (5.123). The proof of Lemma 2.10 is now complete.
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