Fluorine-18-fluorocholine PET/CT parameters predictive for hematological toxicity to radium-223 therapy in castrate-resistant prostate cancer patients with bone metastases: a pilot study
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Purpose This study aims to predict hematological toxicity induced by ²²³Ra therapy. We investigated the value of metabolically active bone tumor volume (MBTV) and total bone lesion activity (TLA) calculated on pretreatment fluorine-18-fluorocholine (¹⁸F-FCH) PET/CT in castrate-resistant prostate cancer (CRPC) patients with bone metastases treated with ²²³Ra radionuclide therapy.

Patients and methods ¹⁸F-FCH PET/CT imaging was performed in 15 patients with CRPC before treatment with ²²³Ra. Bone metastatic disease was quantified on the basis of the maximum standardized uptake value (SUV), total lesion activity (TLA = MBTV × SUVmean), or MBTV/height (MBTV/H) and TLA/H. ¹⁸F-FCH PET/CT bone tumor burden and activity were analyzed to identify which parameters could predict hematological toxicity [on hemoglobin (Hb), platelets (PLTs), and lymphocytes] while on ²²³Ra therapy. Pearson’s correlation was used to identify the correlations between age, prostate-specific antigen, and ¹⁸F-FCH PET parameters.

Results MBTV ranged from 75 to 1259 cm³ (median: 392 cm³). TLA ranged from 342 to 7198 cm³ (median: 1853 cm³). Patients benefited from two to six cycles of ²²³Ra (n = 56 cycles in total). At the end of ²²³Ra therapy, five of the 15 (33%) patients presented grade 2/3 toxicity on Hb and lymphocytes, whereas three of the 15 (20%) patients presented grade 2/3 PLT toxicity. Age was correlated negatively with both MBTV (r = −0.612, P = 0.015) and TLA (r = −0.596, P = 0.018). TLA, TLA/H, and MBTV/H predicted hematological toxicity on Hb, whereas TLA/H and MBTV/H predicted toxicity on PLTs at the end of ²²³Ra cycles. Receiver operating characteristic curve analysis allowed to define the cutoffs for MBTV (915 cm³) and TLA (4198 cm³) predictive for PLT toxicity, with an accuracy of 0.92 and 0.99.

Conclusion Tumor bone burden calculation is feasible with ¹⁸F-FCH PET/CT with freely available open-source software. In this pilot study, baseline ¹⁸F-FCH PET/CT markers (TLA, MBTV) have shown abilities to predict Hb and PLT toxicity after ²²³Ra therapy and could be explored for patient selection and treatment optimization.
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Introduction ²²³Ra dichloride (²²³RaCl₂) is the first α-particle emitter therapeutic agent approved by the European agency since 2013, with benefits in overall survival and delay in symptomatic skeletal events for patients with metastatic castrate-resistant prostate cancer (CRPC) [1]. Recent post-hoc analyses of the phase III ALSYMPCA trial support the previously established safety profile and confirm the therapeutic efficacy of ²²³Ra [2–5].

The most common side effects are diarrhea, nausea, vomiting, and thrombocytopenia [2–4,6,7]. In the ALSYMPCA trial, thrombocytopenia was the main hematologic toxicity induced by ²²³Ra rather than placebo, with grade 3/4 toxicity
frequencies of 6% (3% grade 3 and 3% grade 4 toxicity) [3,8] and bone marrow suppression in less than 3% of patients, with no case of myelodysplasia or primary bone tumor [7].

Because of the treatment duration of 24 weeks (6 months) with respect to an overall survival of 15 months [3], the risk of severe hematological toxicity needs to be limited. Severe hematological toxicities necessitated treatment interruption as only 387 (63%) of the 541 patients enrolled in the ALSYMPCA trial received all six 223Ra injections [3].

To date, there are no established metabolic or imaging markers to predict or to minimize the risk of grades 2–4 hematotoxicity in patients eligible for 6-monthly injections of 223Ra.

Fluorine-18-fluorocholine PET-CT (18F-FCH PET/CT) has been used successfully to detect metastatic and recurrent prostate cancer [9,10]. Measurements of metastatic global [visceral, lymph node (LN), and bone] tumor burden in prostate cancer on 18F-FCH PET/CT over the course of different treatments (abiraterone, enzalutamide, 223Ra) were found to be predictive of PSA progression being a potential surrogate marker of treatment outcome [11,12]. Although alkaline phosphatase (ALP) emerged as the leading biomarker for 223Ra treatment response [2,3,5], previous studies suggested that 18F-FCH PET/CT could be a good imaging marker for prostate cancer cell proliferation [13,14]. Several 18F-FCH PET/CT-derived metabolic parameters were previously shown to correlate with disease progression and overall survival [11,12,15] in CRPC patients undergoing various systemic therapies (chemotherapy, anti-androgen therapy, spiulucel T, 223Ra). Segmentation algorithms were developed to delineate the metabolic volume corresponding to prostate cancer lesions [11,15,16] to correlate tumor burden to survival. In this pilot study, our aim is to assess the relevance of 18F-FCH PET-derived imaging parameters to predict hematological toxicity induced by 223Ra therapy with implications in treatment optimization and patient selection.

**Patients and methods**

We prospectively analyzed 18F-FCH PET/CT from 15 patients, with symptomatic bone metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer and no evidence of visceral metastases on 18F-FCH PET/CT, treated with 223Ra therapy. All patients had documented disease progression (on the basis of PSA kinetics and radiological criteria) before the initiation of 223Ra therapy. All procedures performed in this study involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards. Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study.

Each patient received at least two injections of 55 kBq/kg 223Ra (Xofigo; Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals Inc., Bayer santé, Lyon, France), administered at 4-week intervals for a maximum of six intravenous injections, at the Division of Nuclear Medicine, Department of Radiology, University Institute of Cancer from Toulouse-OncoPole (IUCT-OncoPole), between September 2014 and February 2017. The indication for 223Ra therapy was validated for each patient by the IUCT-OncoPole Prostate Cancer Tumor Board. 18F-FCH PET/CT before 223Ra therapy is a standard practice in our institution to rule out lymph node or visceral metastases. All patients treated and having performed PET/CT exams in our Department signed consent statements as requested by the ethical standards of the IUCT-OncoPole.

Symptomatic disease was defined as the regular use of nonopioid or opioid analgesic medication or treatment with external beam radiation therapy within the previous 12 weeks for cancer-related bone pain. Patient follow-up generally consisted of a monthly assessment of pain (numeric scale 0–10), opiate medication consumption, and laboratory assessments including hematologic status [hemoglobin (Hb), platelet (PLT) and lymphocyte counts], ALP, and PSA before every cycle (details on previous treatments and biology pre-223Ra are shown in Table 1).

18F-FCH PET/CT imaging

Patients refrained from eating and drinking for 3–4 h before undergoing PET/CT. Imaging was performed using GE Healthcare Discovery IQ PET/CT (GE Healthcare, 2014). The CT scanning parameters were as follows: 120 kV, maximum 190 mA/slice, rotation time of

### Table 1 Patient characteristics before 223Ra treatment (N = 15)

| Characteristics                          | Distribution [n (%)] |
|------------------------------------------|----------------------|
| Age [median (range)] (years)             | 75 (55–88)           |
| ECOG performance status (%)              | 14 (93.33)           |
| 0–1                                      | 14 (93.33)           |
| ≥ 2                                      | 1 (6.67)             |
| Pain score (numeric scale from 0 to 10)  | 8 (53.33)            |
| 0–2                                      | 8 (53.33)            |
| 3–5                                      | 4 (26.67)            |
| 5–10                                     | 3 (20)               |
| PSA [median (range)]                     | 50 (3.03–674)        |
| Alkaline phosphatase [median (range)]    | 126 (39–391)         |
| Previous therapy with docetaxel          | 10 (66.67)           |
| Concomitant/previous treatment with      |                      |
| Abiraterone                              | 7 (46.67)            |
| Enzalutamide                             | 6 (40)               |
| Denosumab                                | 9 (60)               |
| Previous EBRT for bone metastases        | 11 (73.33)           |
| Extent of bone disease on previous bone scan |                     |
| < 6 metastases                           | 2 (13.33)            |
| 6–20 metastases                          | 3 (20)               |
| > 20 metastases                          | 10 (66.67)           |
| Hematologic values [median (range)]      |                      |
| Hemoglobin (g/dl)                        | 12.85 (9.3–14.6)     |
| Neutrophils (absolute) (×10^9/l)         | 4 (2.2–5)            |
| Platelets (×10^9/l)                      | 215 (131–273)        |
| Lymphocytes (absolute) (×10^9/l)         | 1.4 (0.3–2.44)       |

EBRT, external beam radiotherapy; ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; PSA, prostate-specific antigen.
0.5 s, slice thickness and interval of 2.5 mm, and pitch of 1.375. Patients were injected with 1.9 MBq/kg 18F-FCH and a computed tomography (CT) scan and sequential emission PET were obtained from the skull to the mid-thighs using 2 min acquisition per bed position 45 min after 18F-FCH injection. Images were reconstructed using the vendor-supplied maximum-likelihood expectation maximization algorithm, VPHD-S, with CT-driven attenuation correction [17].

**Bone segmentation and PET quantifications**

Image processing was performed using the Beth Israel PET/CT viewer plugin for Fiji (Fiji Is Just ImageJ) [18]. This software is developed as a free and open-source PET/CT viewer for research purpose (http://petctviewer.org) [19,20]. On the basis of Fiji/ImageJ, advanced image processing is allowed because of ImageJ services and plugins.

To calculate the metabolic bone tumor volume (MBTV), bone tumor volume was assessed on CT images, which were presegmented using Weka Trainable [21] segmentation on the basis of a machine learning algorithm. The previous dataset of 10 learning patients was used to feed the machine learning algorithm by manual delineation of bone tissue using a patient’s CT with no cancer disease. The bone classifier generator was applied to the CT series of the 18F-FCH PET/CT. All bone segmentations were controlled visually by a physician. The CT series were modified according to the bone segmentation; all nonsegmented voxels were assigned to a −1000 HU density.

To calculate MBTV, the PET/CT viewer handled image fusion and selected voxels with a double PET and CT value condition.

Bone metastatic disease was quantified on the basis of the standardized uptake value (SUV) representing the measured voxel activity divided by the injected radioactivity normalized by body weight. Bone lesions were defined as skeletal structures with a cutoff of SUV of at least 3 [15,16] to select significant tumor uptake on the whole skeleton. MBTV for the whole skeleton was computed using the bone-segmented CT transposed to the PET volume; only voxels with an SUV of at least 3 and corresponding to a CT value more than −1000 (i.e., selected during bone segmentation) were included in the final MBTV value (Fig. 1).

The activity distribution within the volume of interest [total lesion activity (TLA)] was also computed as the product of SUV mean of the whole skeleton volume of interest and MBTV. MBTV and TLA were also normalized for patient height in m (MBTV/H, TLA/H).

**Biological parameters**

Hematologic toxicity was assessed following the Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE v.4.03). Evaluation was performed at baseline and before each dose of 223Ra. If the total leukocyte or PLT counts did not return to the normal range within six weeks of the last 223Ra administration, despite proper standard clinical management, we carried out new imaging and biology studies, and clinical and disease status were reassessed to carefully decide the premature end of treatment.

In patients with grade 3–4 toxicity, the next 223Ra treatment was postponed until hematological status returned to normal or to grade 1 hematological toxicity. Patients with grade 3–4 toxicities on Hb or Plt had red blood cell or platelets transfusions.

**Statistics**

Continuous variables are given as median and range and categorical variables as percentages. For pain evaluation, we used a standard numeric pain score (each patient was scored using a 10-point scale). Bone metastatic disease and 18F-FCH PET/CT bone tumor burden and activity were analyzed using comparisons of means analysis of variance to identify which parameters were predictive of hematological toxicity (on Hb, PLTs, and lymphocytes) at the end of radionuclidic bone therapy. Pearson’s correlation coefficient was used to identify the correlations between age, PSA, and 18F-FCH PET parameters.

**Results**

The distribution of patient characteristics assessed during the study is shown in Table 1. The majority of patients [10 (67%)] were treated with 223Ra after docetaxel chemotherapy. Abiraterone or enzalutamide was administered before 223Ra in seven (47%) and six (40%) of the patients respectively, whereas two patients received 223Ra and abiraterone concomitantly. Nine (60%) patients were treated concomitantly with a RANK ligand agonist as a bone-targeting agent. The median number of 223Ra doses administered was four (range: 2–6), for a total of cycles administered of 56. Only seven (47%) of 15 patients completed the planned six injections. Early treatment discontinuation occurred in eight patients because of disease progression [bone and LN progression in five (33%) patients] and because of hematologic toxicity [grade 2–4 anemia or thrombocytopenia in three (20%) patients] (Table 2).

**Hematologic toxicity rate**

At the end of the therapy, five of the 15 (33%) of patients presented persistent grade 2–4 toxicity on Hb and lymphocytes, whereas three (20%) of 15 patients presented grade 2–4 PLT toxicity (Tables 2 and 3). There were no grade 3–4 toxicities on absolute neutrophil counts.

Severe hematologic toxicity during treatment (grade 3–4) necessitating transfusions occurred in three (20%) of 15 patients: one patient had pancytopenia (grade 3 Hb and lymphocyte toxicity and grade 2 PLTs toxicity); one patient had combined grade 3 Hb toxicity and grade 2 lymphocyte toxicity; and one patient had grade 3 Hb and...
PLTs toxicity because of $^{223}$Ra therapy (Table 3). All patients with severe hematologic toxicity presented diffuse osteomedullary disease.

**MBTV and TLA**

Among the $^{18}$F-FCH PET parameters, MBTV ranged from 75 to 1259 cm$^3$ (median: 393 cm$^3$) and TLA ranged from 343 to 7198 cm$^3$ (median: 1854 cm$^3$) (Table 4).

Age was correlated negatively with both MBTV ($r = -0.612$, $P = 0.015$) and TLA ($r = -0.596$, $P = 0.018$). Age, Gleason score, previous hormonal therapy, radiotherapy, and docetaxel therapy were associated with TLA ($P < 0.001$). TLA and TLA/H, and MBTV/H predicted hematological toxicity on Hb, whereas TLA/H and MBTV/H predicted PLTs toxicity at the end of $^{223}$Ra cycles (Table 4). Receiver operating characteristic curve analysis allowed to define cutoffs for MBTV (915 cm$^3$) and TLA (4198 cm$^3$) that would predict PLT toxicity with an accuracy of 0.92 and 0.99, respectively (Fig. 2). In terms of toxicities on Hb or lymphocytes, receiver operating characteristic curves did not allow to define cutoffs.

**Discussion**

$^{223}$Ra therapy was shown to have a favorable therapeutic effect, good tolerance, and low toxicity, being the only bone-targeted drug associated with a survival benefit.
[2,3]. Compared with β-emitters, the α-emitter $^{223}$Ra appears to have less toxic effects on the bone marrow, given its short-range emissions [22–24]. It has been calculated that after six intravenous injections with 55 kBq/kg of $^{223}$Ra, the absorbed α dose to bone cells is about 16 Gy and the corresponding absorbed dose to the bone marrow is ~1.5 Gy [23], suggesting a very low risk of medullary toxicity. Indeed, $^{223}$Ra myelotoxicity is rare [1,25], with no cases of myelodysplastic syndrome, acute myelogenous leukemia, or aplastic anemia described in 2–3-year follow-up studies [4,6,26]. Despite a better toxicity profile than β emitters, both anemia and thrombocytopenia have been reported with $^{223}$Ra in the ALSYMPCA trial, severe toxicities leading to early treatment interruption. Identification of predictive factors for hematologic toxicity may enable the selection of patients with a better benefit/risk balance for $^{223}$Ra therapy.

This study was designed to explore a segmentation methodology to assess bone tumoral infiltration using $^{18}$F-FCH PET/CT before $^{223}$Ra therapy and predict hematologic toxicity such as anemia and thrombocytopenia. In our study, we observed grade 3 hematologic toxicity in 20% of patients, with a high proportion of patients with grade 3 anemia or grade 3 thrombocytopenia in patients having received a previous treatment with docetaxel (30 and 10%), more frequently than in the ALSYMPCA trial (12 vs. 10% of patients with grade 3 anemia and 4 vs. 2% with grade 3 thrombocytopenia among patients treated previously with docetaxel vs. no previous use of docetaxel) [5].

Although anemia can be associated with abiraterone therapy, the two patients who received abiraterone concomitantly with $^{223}$Ra did not develop any hematological toxicity up to 6 months after the sixth and last injection of $^{223}$Ra.

This high toxicity rate led to frequent treatment interruption as less than half of the patients completed the six planned $^{223}$Ra administrations, and three (20%) patients specifically interrupted treatment because of hematological toxicity.

We found a significant association of the $^{18}$F-FCH PET parameter TLA with the occurrence of both anemia and thrombocytopenia during $^{223}$Ra treatment. We also identified a high predictive value for thrombocytopenia, which is more frequently associated with $^{223}$Ra therapy [3,6,7], whereas Hb and lymphocyte toxicity may be related more frequently to long-term consequences of

![Table 2 Patients' hematological characteristics at the end/ interruption of $^{223}$Ra treatment (N = 15)]

| Patients' characteristics after $^{223}$Ra | Distribution [n (%)] |
|------------------------------------------|----------------------|
| Number of $^{223}$Ra cycles administered |                      |
| 6                                       | 7 (47)               |
| 4                                       | 2 (13)               |
| 3                                       | 5 (33)               |
| 2                                       | 1 (7)                |
| Reasons for $^{223}$Ra interruption      |                      |
| Hb grade 3 toxicity                     | 1                    |
| Platelets grade 3 toxicity              | 1                    |
| Pancreatitis (grade 3 Hb + PLT toxicity, grade 2 Ly toxicity) | 1 |
| Morphological/PET bone and lymph node progression | 5 (33) |
| Hematologic toxicity                    |                      |
| Hb grade 2–4 toxicity                   | 5 (33)               |
| Lymphocyte grade 2–4 toxicity           | 5 (33)               |
| Platelets grade 2–4 toxicity            | 3 (20)               |
| Hematologic values at the end of $^{223}$Ra [median (range)] | |
| Hb (g/dl)                               | 11.1 (7–14.8)        |
| Neutrophils (absolute, ANC) (×10⁹/l)    | 3.16 (1.22–9.8)      |
| Platelets (×10⁹/l)                      | 192 (39–244)         |
| Lymphocytes (absolute) (×10⁹/l)         | 0.9 (0.3–1.8)        |

ANC, absolute neutrophil count; Hb, hemoglobin; Ly, lymphocytes; PLT, platelets.

![Table 3 Patients' clinical history and $^{18}$F-FCH PET characteristics related to hematologic toxicity on $^{223}$Ra treatment]

| Hematologic toxicity | Gleason score | Number of $^{223}$Ra injections | Previous RT | Previous docetaxel | Previous ABT | Diffuse OM ext | Baseline PSA (ng/ml) | MBTV (cm³) | TLA |
|----------------------|---------------|----------------------------------|-------------|-------------------|--------------|----------------|---------------------|-------------|-----|
| Hb grade 3           | 8             | 4                                | 1           | 1                 | 1            | 1              | 205                 | 120.51      | 1182.38 |
| PLT grade 2          |               |                                  |             |                   |              |                |                     |             |      |
| Ly grade 3           |               |                                  |             |                   |              |                |                     |             |      |
| Hb grade 2           | 7             | 2                                | 1           | 1                 | 0            | 1              | 25                  | 135.59      | 970.33 |
| PLT grade 2          |               |                                  |             |                   |              |                |                     |             |      |
| No toxicity          | 9             | 6                                | 1           | 0                 | 0            | 0              | 7                   | 7.7         | 76.5 |
| Ly grade 2           | 7             | 6                                | 1           | 1                 | 1            | 1              | 486                 | 25.5        | 218.85 |
| No toxicity          | 7             | 4                                | 1           | 1                 | 0            | 1              | 109                 | 5.41        | 71.95 |
| Hb grade 3           | 9             | 6                                | 1           | 1                 | 0            | 1              | 5                   | 0.34        | 3.59  |
| Ly grade 2           |               |                                  |             |                   |              |                |                     |             |      |
| No toxicity          | 9             | 3                                | 0           | 0                 | 0            | 0              | 40                  | 225.27      | 2640.96 |
| No toxicity          | 7             | 3                                | 1           | 1                 | 1            | 1              | 86                  | 81.26       | 634.12 |
| Ly grade 2           | 8             | 6                                | 0           | 1                 | 1            | 1              | 49                  | 16.89       | 146.22 |
| No toxicity          | 7             | 6                                | 0           | 0                 | 1            | 0              | 10                  | 1.9         | 23.26 |
| No toxicity          | 9             | 6                                | 1           | 0                 | 0            | 0              | 3                   | 10.31       | 99.41 |
| Hb grade 3           | 7             | 6                                | 1           | 1                 | 1            | 1              | 674                 | 358.25      | 2651.64 |
| PLT grade 3          |               |                                  |             |                   |              |                |                     |             |      |
| Ly grade 2           | 7             | 3                                | 1           | 1                 | 1            | 1              | 50                  | 12.36       | 100.06 |
| No toxicity          | 9             | 6                                | 0           | 1                 | 0            | 0              | 152                 | 57.99       | 513.92 |
| ABT, androgen-blocking therapy such as abiraterone and/or enzalutamide; $^{18}$F-FCH, fluorine-18-fluorocholine; Hb, hemoglobin; Ly, lymphocytes; MBTV, metabolically active bone tumor volume; OM ext, osteomedullary extension assessed on bone scan when > 20 lesions with uptake; PLT, platelets; RT, radiotherapy; TLA, total bone lesion activity.
previous radiotherapy and chemotherapy as reported previously [27,28].

In our pilot study, age, Gleason score, diffuse osteomedullary extension on bone scan, previous hormonal therapy, radiotherapy, and docetaxel therapy were associated with some $^{18}$F-FCH PET metabolic parameters, such as high TLA values in Kruskal–Wallis multiple comparisons, confirming that patients with advanced disease and multiple previous treatments presented more extensive bone disease.

Moreover, hematological toxicity such as grade 3 anemia observed in two patients was multifactorial as both had extensive progressive bone disease, previous chemotherapy with docetaxel and cabazitaxel, radiotherapy, and one of them had received treatment with $^{153}$Sm 3 years before $^{223}$Ra therapy. Both patients developed Hb toxicity as early as the end of the first cycle and had further documented medullary or lepto-meningeal extension.

Previous scoring systems related to quantification methods for bone tissue infiltration on planar bone scintigraphy using various automated systems rendering a bone scan index (BSI) as a percentage of the tumor burden on the total skeletal mass on the basis of identifying and quantifying hotspots as lesions on the basis of databases (e.g. EXINI bone [29,30], BONENAVI [31]) enabled the prediction of overall survival after hormonal treatment [32] or docetaxel therapy [33] with decreasing BSI percentages. However, at present, there is only one study assessing BSI on initial bone scintigraphy assessment to predict hematologic toxicity before $^{223}$Ra [34].

Bone tumoral burden was also assessed on $^{18}$F-fluoride PET/CT using a volumetric semiautomatic quantification of whole-body skeletal tumor burden and was identified as an independent predictor of overall survival in 42 patients with CRPC treated with $^{223}$Ra [35]. In a more recent publication, the same authors used their method of quantification on $^{18}$F-FCH PET/CT to assess bone marrow failure in 41 patients with metastatic prostate cancer after $^{223}$Ra therapy and identified that the

### Table 4  $^{18}$F-FCH PET/CT parameters characterizing metabolic bone tumor burden before $^{223}$Ra treatment

| $^{18}$F-FCH PET/CT parameters | Median and ranges for $^{18}$F-FCH PET/CT parameters | Median (range) |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|----------------|
| MBTV (cm$^3$)                 | 392.72 (75.15–1259.38)                            |                |
| TLA (cm$^3$)                  | 1853.96 (342.61–7198.11)                          |                |
| MBTV/H (cm$^3$)               | 2.29 (0.44–733)                                   |                |
| TLA/H (cm$^3$)                | 10.415 (2.039–37.224)                             |                |

### MBTV and TLA as $^{18}$F-FCH PET/CT parameter predictive for hematologic toxicity (one-way ANOVA, paired-samples test)

| $^{18}$F-FCH PET/CT parameter | Toxicity (Hb, PLT, Ly) | Mean  | Confidence interval | Nontoxicity (Hb, PLT, Ly) | Mean  | Confidence interval | P value |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|-------|---------------------|--------------------------|-------|---------------------|---------|
| TLA                           | Hb                     | 3873  | 3371.8–4374.2       | Hb                       | 2105  | 1603.8–2606.2       | 0.01    |
| MBTV/H                        | Hb                     | 4.525 | 4.24–5.025          | Hb                       | 2.308 | 1.807–2.811         | 0.004   |
| TLA/H                         | Hb                     | 21.68 | 28.8–16.38          | Hb                       | 12.03 | 7.01–19.1           | 0.019   |
| MBTV/H                        | PLT                    | 4.671 | 3.08–5.26           | PLT                      | 2.642 | 1.05–3.23           | 0.014   |
| TLA/H                         | PLT                    | 22.08 | 21.49–22.87         | PLT                      | 13.54 | 11.98–14.15         | 0.001   |

**ANNOVA, analysis of variance; $^{18}$F-FCH, Fluorine-18-fluorocholine; H, height; Hb, hemoglobin; Ly, lymphocytes; MBTV, metabolic bone tumor volume; PLT, platelets; TBV, total bone volume; TLA, total bone lesion activity.**

![Fig. 2](image-url)

**Receiver operating characteristic curves related to pretherapeutic metabolic $^{18}$F-FCH PET parameters (TLA and MBTV) and platelet toxicity at the end of $^{223}$Ra treatment.**

Acc, accuracy; AUC, area under the curve; $^{18}$F-FCH, fluorine-18-fluorocholine; MBTV, metabolically active bone tumor volume; Se, sensitivity; Sp, specificity; TLA, total bone lesion activity; VPN, negative predictive value; VPP, positive predictive value.
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assessment of total lesion burden was the single independent factor of bone marrow failure [36]. Compared with bone-targeting radiopharmaceuticals, 18F-FCH may provide a quantification of bone metastatic infiltration, enabling a direct estimation of tumoral cells mass, whereas bone scintigraphy and 18F-flouride approaches rely on indirect mechanisms of bone turnover.

To estimate the bone infiltration, we performed a double segmentation on 18F-FCH PET/CT: CT was first segmented to delineate bone tissue using a machine learning algorithm and then voxels were selected on the skeleton segmented PET with a cutoff of SUV of more than 3 as described previously by Kwee et al. [15] to isolate the bone tumoral infiltration from nonmetastatic bone. This double segmentation (bone tissue segmentation and SUV threshold) requires around 15 min of calculation time per patient and does not require any manual intervention. The full software package is freely available on http://petctviewer.org and is available for any operating system (Windows, Mac OSX, GNU/Linux).

This approach enables a direct quantification on 18F-FCH PET of the bone mass containing proliferating tumoral cells [13,14], using MBTV and TLA parameters, also normalized by height, which we found to be associated with the occurrence of hematologic toxicity. This may enable identification of patients for whom 223Ra therapy will be limited because of a high toxicity rate. Normalization by height of bone metabolic parameters might be interesting as 223Ra activity is prescribed as a function of the weight, but tumoral burden and volume is also dependent on a patient’s height.

As shown in our study, baseline 18F-FCH PET/CT appears to be suitable to predict hematological toxicity using quantification of bone tumoral infiltration, but can also provide additional information on disease staging before 223Ra therapy, enabling better patient selection, as patients with visceral extension are excluded. Moreover, recently published studies have shown interesting results related to the concordance between 18F-FCH PET/CT imaging, PSA, and ALP progression [11,12,15], suggesting a potential role for 18F-FCH PET/CT monitoring during treatment with an interesting economic approach, as it might help to avoid useless 223Ra treatment in patients with important bone tumor burden and hematologic toxicity predisposition aggravated by previous therapies.

Our pilot study showed the feasibility of bone tumoral burden quantification using an automatic method on the basis of a user-friendly open-source software. However, as our pilot study is limited by a low number of patients, further multicentric prospective studies with appropriate statistical evaluations of the number of patients to include would be needed to validate the prognostic power and the cutoff value of 18F-FCH PET MBTV and TLA parameters as tools for patient selection before 223Ra therapy.

Conclusion
The predictive value of pretherapeutic 18F-FCH PET/CT for Hb and PLT toxicity after 223Ra therapy may stem from the capacity to assess whole-body cellular tumor burden and the extent of osteomедullary infiltration. We showed for the first time the feasibility of measuring TLA and MBTV on 18F-FCH PET/CT using a new automatic, rapid, segmentation software. Further studies are necessary to assess pretherapeutic 18F-FCH PET/T-based metabolic markers (TLA, MBTV) predicting PLT and Hb toxicity that occurs during 223Ra therapy, with potential implications in patient selection and therapy optimization.
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