Cerebrospinal fluid-induced retardation of amyloid β aggregation correlates with Alzheimer’s disease and the APOE ε4 allele
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A B S T R A C T

Misfolding and aggregation of amyloid β (Aβ) are key features of Alzheimer’s disease (AD) pathogenesis, but the molecular events controlling this process are not known in detail. In vivo, Aβ aggregation and plaque formation occur in the interstitial fluid of the brain extracellular matrix. This fluid communicates freely with cerebrospinal fluid (CSF). Here, we examined the effect of human CSF on Aβ aggregation kinetics in relation to AD diagnosis and carrier status of the apolipoprotein E (APOE) ε4 allele, the main genetic risk factor for sporadic AD. The aggregation of Aβ was inhibited in the presence of CSF and, surprisingly, the effect was more pronounced in APOE ε4 carriers. However, by fractionation of CSF using size exclusion chromatography, it became evident that it was not the APOE protein itself that conveyed the inhibition, since the retarding species eluted at lower volume, corresponding to a much higher molecular weight, than APOE monomers. Cholesterol quantification and immunoblotting identified high-density lipoprotein particles in the retarding fractions, indicating that such particles may be responsible for the inhibition. These results add information to the yet unresolved puzzle on how the risk factor of APOE ε4 functions in AD pathogenesis.

© 2016 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is a devastating neurodegenerative disorder that increases with age. Given the suffering for the patients and relatives, costs for society are enormous. The neuropathological hallmarks of AD are amyloid β (Aβ) aggregates called amyloid plaques and neurofibrillary tangles consisting of hyperphosphorylated tau protein (Blennow et al., 2006). Cerebral β-amyloidosis in AD, along with findings of several causative mutations affecting Aβ production and/or aggregation in familial forms of AD, point to the significant role Aβ plays in the etiology of the disease (Glenner et al., 1984; Masters et al., 1985; Bertram and Tanzi, 2012). Aβ is produced from the amyloid precursor protein (APP; a type I transmembrane protein highly but not exclusively expressed in neurons) by sequential cleavages by β- and γ-secretase (Andreasson et al., 2012). This processing of APP releases several Aβ peptides of different lengths. Aβ1-40 is the most abundant Aβ form, whereas a 42 amino acid long, less abundant form (Aβ1-42) is the most aggregation-prone and builds up the cores of the Aβ plaques seen in AD brains (Portelius et al., 2010).

The human APOE gene encodes the APOE protein which is a 299 residue apolipoprotein abundant in biological fluids and involved in the transport of lipids (Verghese et al., 2013). The gene has three alleles: APOE ε2, ε3 and ε4, and the translated APOE proteins differ in sequence, structure and affinity for Aβ (Frieden and Garai, 2012; Mahley and Rall, 2000), thereby influencing the aggregation of Aβ (Strittmatter et al., 1993). The APOE ε4 allele is strongly associated with the sporadic late-onset AD (Corder et al., 1993; Liu et al., 2013; Poirier et al., 1993). The mechanism of this association remains unknown but seems to be intimately linked to increased risk of brain Aβ aggregation in ε4 carriers (Poirier et al., 1993).

The strong genetic link between the APOE ε4 allele and cerebral β-amyloidosis suggests that cholesterol may play a direct role in the pathogenesis of AD (Puglielli et al., 2003; Liu et al., 2013). Lipoprotein particles are cholesterol-rich particles produced in the...
astrocytes and are found in both plasma and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) (Ladu et al., 1998). Lipoprotein particles are relatively high affinity \( \beta \) binding proteins with a K_d in the nanomolar range, capable of regulating \( \beta \) aggregation (Cole and Ard, 2000). Plasma contains three classes of lipoproteins in order of increasing size: very low density lipoproteins (VLDL), low density lipoproteins (LDL) and high density lipoproteins (HDL) (LaDu et al., 1998). In plasma, APOE ε4 tends to associate with VLDL particles, and APOE ε3 prefers to associate with high density HDL particles (Puglielli et al., 2003). In contrast to plasma, most CSF lipoproteins are HDL-like in both density and size (Holtzman et al., 1999; Borghini et al., 1995).

In vivo, \( \beta \) aggregation and plaque formation occur in the interstitial fluid of the brain extracellular matrix. This fluid communicates freely with CSF. Here, we examined \( \beta \) aggregation kinetics in the presence of human CSF to test if CSF from AD patients was different from CSF from cognitively normal individuals in this regard and whether any AD-associated differences in \( \beta \) aggregation kinetics were related to APOE genotype. Results from biochemical analysis of CSF fractions indicate that the factor responsible for the APOE genotype-associated effect on the aggregation kinetics of Aβ1-42 is not the ApoE protein itself but rather the HDL particles of which ApoE is a constituent.

2. Results

The formation of Aβ42 fibrils was monitored as a function of time using a highly reproducible thioflavin T fluorescence assay (Hellstrand et al., 2010; Cohen et al., 2013). The aggregation of recombinant Aβ (the full 42 amino acid sequence with an extra N-terminal methionine, which does not influence aggregation kinetics M1-42) (Walsh et al., 2009), here called Aβ42, was monitored in 20 mM Hepes/NaOH, 140 mM NaCl, 1 mM CaCl\(_2\), pH 8.0 at 37°C under quiescent condition in the presence of 10% CSF from two different cohorts (Table 1).

There was a clear retardation of Aβ42 aggregation in the presence of CSF, mainly seen as a prolonged lag phase, observed from both cohorts. Scatter plots of the resulting t½ values for samples from cohorts A and B are shown in Fig. 1. While the difference between healthy controls and AD lacking an APOE ε4 allele was not statistically significant, the more profound retardation observed for AD patients carrying at least one APOE ε4 allele was statistically significant (p ≤ 0.02).

To explore the molecular determinants behind the observed retardation, CSF was fractionated on a superose 6 size exclusion chromatography, and the aggregation kinetics was measured for Aβ42 solutions supplemented with samples from the resulting fractions. The observed aggregation curves were sigmoidal in appearance with a lag phase, a growth phase and a final plateau (Fig. 2). The half time (t½) of aggregation was extracted from each curve as the point in time where the ThT fluorescence is half-way in between the initial base line value (lag) and the final plateau value. Fractions 14–18, specifically fraction 15 was found to retard Aβ42 fibril formation compared to buffer, primarily observed as an extension of the lag phase (Fig. 2). Fractions 14–18 retarded Aβ42 aggregation significantly, and fraction 15 produced the longest extension of the lag phase (t½ = 169 ± 0.1 min) compared to the other fractions. The cholesterol concentration was high in fractions 14–17, and the highest value (0.17 ± 0.002 mg/ml) was observed for fraction 15 (Fig. 3). Immunoblot analysis (Fig. 4) identified bands for ApoE (~36 kDa) in fractions 8–17, ApoA-I (~29 kDa) in fractions 10–18, and ApoJ (~39.8 kDa) in fractions 13–15, indicating that these fractions were rich in apolipoproteins. The bands were most intense for fraction 14–15 (ApoE), 15 (ApoA-I) and 14 (ApoJ). This finding was significant as it coincides closely

---

**Table 1**

Demographic data of two independent case-control cohorts of CSF samples obtained from Alzheimer’s disease and Other Cognitive Disorders Unit, Hospital Clinic, Barcelona, Spain, and the second cohort from subjects in the Piteå Dementia Project.

| Cohort 1 | | Cohort 2 | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Controls | AD | Controls | AD |
| | ApoE4 (−) | ApoE4 (+) | ApoE4 (−) | ApoE4 (+) | ApoE4 (+) |
| Number of subjects | 25 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 21 | 20 | 14 |
| Age/years mean(SD) | 64.4(8.8) | 68.4(7.9) | 59.9(7.3) | 69.8(4.4) | 80.2(5.5) | 76.6(7.7) | 74.5(6.9) |
| Gender (# females) | 16 | 8 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 11 | 9 |
with the fractions found to delay aggregation kinetics (Fig. 3). Fractions containing lower molecular weight substances, compared to HDL complexes, were all found to have no effect on the aggregation kinetics (data not shown).

3. Discussion

CSF was used as a surrogate matrix for brain extracellular fluid and it was found that CSF retarded Aβ aggregation in an APOE ε4-dependent manner. After chromatographic separation, fraction 15 influenced aggregation kinetics the most and was found to contain HDL based on cholesterol and immunoblot analyses. Our data suggest that APOE ε4 affects the structure of HDL or the interaction of HDL with Aβ42 to further inhibit Aβ aggregation.

Previous papers have shown that Aβ aggregation is inhibited by CSF and that the extent of inhibition is dependent on the type of APOE allele present (Chauhan et al., 1996; Wisniewski et al., 1993). Chauhan et al. revealed that CSF from control groups with an APOE ε3/3 genotype, resulted in faster Aβ aggregation than those with APOE ε4/4 or APOE ε3/2 genotype. Conversely, the APOE ε4/4 genotype was associated with a slower Aβ aggregation effect. Our current study has supported Chauhan et al., as it was observed that CSF inhibits Aβ aggregation with CSF from APOE ε4 carriers. Wisniewski et al., used synthetic Aβ1–40 to examine the inhibitory effect of CSF. In the current study, we used recombinant Aβ (M1–42) to ensure that the Aβ42 preparations were not contaminated by C-terminally truncated species that are common in synthetic Aβ1–42 preparations, due to suboptimal coupling efficiency in chemical peptide synthesis and known to influence Aβ1–42 fibrillization kinetics (Finder et al., 2010).

We fractionated CSF to isolate the retarding factor and the coincidence of strongest retarding effect and elution of HDL-like particles suggest that such particles may be the component in CSF which is responsible for the Aβ inhibition effect. These particles contained apolipoproteins ApoA-I, ApoE and ApoJ that have been previously shown to bind to soluble Aβ (LaDu et al., 1995, Koudinov et al., 1994). A similar experiment was performed by LaDu et al., using FPLC in tandem with Superose 6 columns. Western blot analysis of SDS-PAGE of selected FLPC fractions confirmed the co-localization of ApoE and Aβ to the same fractions (LaDu et al., 2012). Normally, lipoprotein particles are separated by ultracentrifugation in potassium bromide gradients due to the density at which they float. However the high salt gradients and shear force may disturb the lipoprotein complex (LaDu et al., 1998). Hence size exclusion chromatography was performed to preserve the native particle composition. We note however, that the retarding effect was retained also after ammonium sulfate fractionation (data not shown).

Cholesterol quantification is a commonly used method to confirm the presence of HDL particles in plasma fractions (Gustafsson et al., 2007). In our experiments, cholesterol was quantified in fractions after gel filtration and high concentrations correlated with the retardation effect. This finding, along with the immunochemical detection of several HDL-associated proteins (ApoE, ApoA and ApoJ), led us to conclude that HDL-like particles were present in these fractions and that they were responsible for retarding Aβ aggregation. It has further been shown that the ApoE- and ApoJ-containing lipoproteins are similar in size and density to plasma HDL and that ApoA-I make up 70% of the HDL particle (Fagan et al., 1999; Koudinov et al., 1996; Rached et al., 2015). Further, CSF does not contain other lipoprotein particles, such as VLDL or LDL (Orvós, 1999), which makes the association of the retarding effect of CSF on Aβ42 aggregation with HDL-like particles even stronger.

ApoE was detected in several non-retarding CSF fractions. Thus,
the retarding effect is not associated with ApoE4 itself but rather with an APOE e4-associated alteration in HDL composition and/or structure. The delay in Aβ42 aggregation, with the most pronounced effect being an extension of the lag phase, has the same signature as recently observed for the chaperone DNAJ B6, which was inferred to mainly inhibit primary nucleation through interaction with nuclei or small aggregates (Mansson et al., 2014) to prevent their further growth into fibrils. While inhibition of secondary nucleation or elongation produces distinctly different effects of aggregation curves (Arosio et al., 2014; Cohen et al., 2015), the HDL-like particles seem to primarily inhibit primary nucleation. Such an effect could arise from binding of monomer, leading to lower effective concentration, or binding of nuclei or small aggregates to inhibit their further growth (Arosio et al., 2014).

The enhanced retardation observed here for APOE e4 carriers may reflect their triggering of a defense mechanism to produce higher levels of HDL-like particles or more effective HDL-like particles to limit Aβ42 aggregation. Reports have also shown that APOE e4 is associated with increased Aβ oligomer formation (Hashimoto et al., 2012; Garai et al., 2014). Inhibition of Aβ aggregation might result in and be consistent with increased amounts of soluble Aβ oligomers as shown in the above reports. This argument is well supported by two papers from our group, where it was stated that the toxic oligomeric species are predominantly formed from monomeric peptide molecules through a fibril-catalyzed secondary nucleation reaction (Cohen et al., 2013, 2015).

One limitation of the present study is that it was not possible to compare the effect on Aβ aggregation with respect to APOE e4 status between controls and AD cases. However, this does not affect the interpretability of the major findings in the study.

4. Conclusion

CSF retards Aβ42 aggregation in an APOE e4-dependent manner with the strongest effect observed for APOE e4 carriers. The effect correlates with the concentration of HDL-like particles in size exclusion fractions of CSF, strongly suggesting HDL-like particles as the retarding factor in CSF. The kinetic signature with an extended lag phase as the most pronounced effect implies interference with primary nucleation.

5. Materials and methods

5.1. Patients

Two independent case-control cohorts of CSF samples were used in this study. Cohort 1 was prospectively recruited from the Alzheimer’s disease and Other Cognitive Disorders Unit, Hospital Clinic, Barcelona, Spain, and consisted of 25 controls and 25 CE patients. Ten of the AD patients had no APOE e4 allele, while 15 had at least one e4 allele. All of the controls were APOE e4 negative. The second cohort consisted of 20 healthy controls and 59 selected, based on the number of APOE alleles, AD cases from subjects in the Piteå Dementia Project (Andreassen et al., 1999). The AD cases comprised of 20 patients with no APOE e4 allele, 20 with one APOE e4 allele, and 19 with two APOE e4 alleles. For the controls no APOE status was available. The demographics of the cohorts are shown in Table 1. All AD patients fulfilled the NINCDS-ADRSA criteria for “probable” AD according to the old criteria (McKhann et al., 1984) for cohort 1 and the revised criteria (McKhann et al., 2011) for cohort 2. The study was carried out in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

5.2. Isolation of Aβ42 monomer and aggregation assay

Aβ (M1-42), here called Aβ42, was expressed in E. coli from a synthetic gene (Walsh et al., 2009). Recombinant Aβ42 was purified using ion exchange and gel filtration chromatography to generate Aβ42 monomers, which were then lyophilized and stored as dried powder at −20 °C. Each day, one lyophilized Aβ42 aliquot was dissolved in 1 ml 6 M GuHCl and the monomer was isolated by gel filtration on a 1 x 30 cm Superdex 75 column in 20 mM Hepes/NaOH, 1 mM CaCl2, pH 8.0. The center of monomer peak was collected in low-binding Eppendorf tube (Genuine Axygen Quality, Microtubes, MCT-200-L-C) on ice and the concentration determined from the integrated absorbance of the collected fraction of the chromatogram using ε280=1400 L mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹. For all CSF fractions, the Aβ42 monomer, was diluted with Hepes buffer (20 mM) containing NaCl (0.14 M) and ThT was added from a concentrated stock to obtain the final concentrations of 4 μM Aβ42 monomer and 10 μM ThT in 20 mM Hepes/NaOH, 0.14 M NaCl, 1 mM CaCl2, pH 8.0. Each CSF fraction was supplemented with buffer from a concentrated stock, pH adjusted, and diluted to obtain 20% CSF in 20 mM Hepes/NaOH, 0.14 M NaCl, 1 mM CaCl2, pH 8.0, and mixed 1:1 with the Aβ42 solution, so that the final samples contained 2 μM Aβ42, 6 μM ThT and 10% CSF. The final concentration of exogenous Aβ42 (2 μM=9 μg/ml) is four orders of magnitude higher than what is found in CSF (1 ng/ml), of which 10% (v/v) is present in the reaction mixture. Therefore any contribution of endogenous Aβ42 from the CSF can be neglected. The samples were mixed in low-binding tubes on ice and loaded into a black 96-well plate with clear bottom, half-area and non-binding surface (Corning 3881, Sigma Aldrich). The kinetic experiment was started by placing the plate at 37 °C in a plate reader (BMG Fluostar Omega, Optima or Galaxy). The fluorescence was read through the bottom of the plate using a 340 nm excitation filter and a 380 nm emission filter every minute for up to 350 min. The half time of aggregation (τ1/2) was defined as the point where the fluorescence minus initial baseline reached half the value of the equilibrium plateau and was extracted from all curves by fitting a sigmoidal function to the fluorescence intensity, y, as a function of time, t:

\[ y = a + b/(1 + \exp(-t - t_{1/2})/k) \]

and plotted relative to CSF fraction number.

5.3. Fractionation of CSF by gel size exclusion chromatography

A pool of leftover, non-traceable CSF samples (40 ml) from clinical routine analysis of normal (i.e. non-AD) patients was centrifuged at 2250 × g for 10 min at room temperature (25 °C). The supernatant was transferred by pipetting. After continuous mixing, 1 ml was aliquoted and frozen at −20 °C. Centrifuged CSF (4 ml) was concentrated using an amicon filter (10 kDa MWCO) and 0.2 ml of the concentrate was applied independently to Superose 6 10/300 GL column. All protein fractions were eluted with isocratic PBS buffer (0.14 M NaCl, 0.0027 M KCl and 0.010 M phosphate, pH 7.4); flow rate 0.5 ml/min, 1 ml fractions. All fractions from CSF were stored at −20 °C and tested against the ThT kinetic assay.

5.4. Cholesterol analysis

Cholesterol was quantified in all fractions from gel exclusion chromatography, using commercially available kits (Cholesterol Infinity Kit, Thermofisher). The cholesterol standard was MCC Cal 2 (Abbott Laboratories, USA), which contained 10.05 mM cholesterol. Each fraction, standard and blank (PBS), respectively, was
pipetted (20 μl) into a 96 well plate. The Infinity™ Cholesterol Liquid Stable reagent (100 μl) was added to the wells and mixed well (15x) on the ELISA plate reader at 37 °C for 15 min. Absorbance was recorded at 500 nm and 660 nm in the ELISA plate reader. Readings at 660 nm were subtracted from readings at 500 nm to correct for optical imperfections in the plate.

5.5. Immunoblotting

Biotinylated molecular weight marker (Sigma Aldrich, Cat No. B2787) was diluted 1:20 in 1 x Nu-PAGE LDS sample buffer (without DTT). CSF not subjected to size exclusion chromatography was used as positive control and incubation of membrane with no primary antibody was used as negative control. Fractions 8–20 from size exclusion chromatography were diluted 2 x with Nu-PAGE LDS sample buffer containing 0.5 M DTT. Undiluted CSF fractions were tested against the Apol antibody. The molecular weight marker, along with all fractions, including CSF, were separated by electrophoresis in Nu-PAGE 10% Bis-Tris gels (Invitrotech Corporation) using the Nu-PAGE mini-gel system (Xcell II Mini-Cell [Novenx, San Diego, CA, USA]). The proteins were transferred (0.7 mA/cm²) to Immobilon PVDF membranes (Millipore Corporation, Billerica, MA, USA) using the semi-dry blotting technique (Trans-Blot semi-dry Transfer cell). Blocking was performed for 1 h at room temperature (RT) using 5 % dry milk powder in PBS-T (0.05 % v/v Tween 20) (Blocking grade blocker, Non-fat dry milk, Bio-Rad Laboratories, USA). Incubations with the primary antibodies (diluted 1:2000 in 5 % dry milk) were performed overnight at + 4 °C. The following primary antibodies were used to monitor the presence of lipoproteins in CSF: monoclonal mouse anti-human apolipoprotein E antibody; monoclonal mouse anti-human apolipoprotein A1 antibody; monoclonal mouse anti-human apolipoprotein J/Clusterin antibody (Novus Biologicals, Europe). The membranes were washed for 6 x 10 min in PBS-T (PBS with 0.05 % v/v Tween 20) and then incubated for 1 h at room temperature (RT) with biotinylated anti-mouse lgG antibody (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA, USA) (1 mg/ml), diluted 1:3000 in PBS-T. A second PBS-T wash was performed for 6 x 10 min after which the membranes were incubated for 1 h at RT with streptavidin-biotinylated horseradish peroxidase complex (GE Healthcare UK Limited, Buckinghamshire, UK) diluted 1:3000 in PBS-T. Following 6 x 10 min washes, the membranes were developed for 2 min with Amersham ECL select immunoblot detection reagent (GE Healthcare) solution according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The emitted signal was detected by a Fujiﬁlm LAS-3000 System (FUJIFILM Corporation).
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