Stereoselective Reduction of Imines with Trichlorosilane Using Solid-Supported Chiral Picolinamides
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Abstract: The stereoselective reduction of imines with trichlorosilane catalyzed by chiral Lewis bases is a well-established procedure for the synthesis of enantio-enriched amines. Five supported cinchona-based picolinamides have been prepared and their activity tested in a model reaction. The comparison of different supporting materials revealed that polystyrene gave better results than silica in terms of stereoselectivity. The applicability of the solid-supported catalyst of choice to the reduction of different imines was also demonstrated. Additionally, for the first time, a catalytic reactor containing a polymer-immobilized chiral picolinamide has been employed for the stereoselective reduction of imines with trichlorosilane under continuous flow conditions.
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1. Introduction

Nowadays, chiral organocatalysts can be successfully employed as alternative catalytic species to enzymes or chiral organometallic complexes to perform stereoselective transformations [1]. However, the main issue associated with the use of organic molecules as catalysts is the need of high loadings (typically 1–10 mol %) when compared to other systems. The immobilization of the catalysts onto a solid support can overcome this drawback favoring an easy recovery and recycling of the catalytic species [2–4]. More recently, the combination of supported catalysts with continuous flow processes resulted in the development of catalytic reactors: [5,6] in these systems, the insoluble catalyst is confined to the reactors and purification steps necessary to isolate the product are significantly reduced [7]. Due to the absence of coordinated metal species, solid supported organocatalysts are particularly suitable to the application in catalytic reactors, since the risk of metal leaching is intrinsically avoided [8,9].

Among the metal-free methodologies available for the enantioselective reduction of imines [10,11], the use of trichlorosilane represents one of the most successful ways. The low cost of the reducing agent made the reduction method very attractive and pushed numerous groups to develop several different classes of chiral Lewis bases able to guarantee excellent levels of enantioselectivity in the reduction of differently functionalized ketoimines [12–16].
In the literature, only a few reports on the stereoselective reduction of imines with trichlorosilane promoted by solid supported chiral organocatalysts have appeared. Kocovsky’s research group reported the use of supported chiral formamides derived from N-methyl valine for the reduction of ketoimines with HSiCl3. These catalysts were supported onto insoluble [17] and soluble polymers [18] as well as onto soluble dendrons [19]. These systems showed good reactivity, enantioselectivities up to 91%, and could be easily recycled. However, the application of supported chiral organocatalysts in a catalytic reactor for the reduction of imines with HSiCl3 is still unknown. Here we wish to report the first example of a continuous flow process for the stereoselective synthesis of chiral amines mediated by trichlorosilane using solid supported picolinamides.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Catalyst Synthesis

In this work, we prepared five solid supported chiral picolinamides derived from cinchona alkaloid primary amines using silica and polystyrene as supporting material. The general strategy to prepare silica supported catalysts involved the functionalization of the double bond of the quinuclidine ring with a trimethoxysilyl derivative, necessary to graft onto commercially available silica nanoparticles. The synthesis of catalysts A and B is illustrated in Figure 1. The primary amine derived from cinchona alkaloids (1a or 1b) was converted into the corresponding picolinamide by reaction with picolinic acid and isobutyl chloroformate in the presence of triethylamine (TEA) in THF. The double bonds of compounds 2a and 2b were then quantitatively converted into trimethoxysilyl derivatives 3 by reaction with trimethoxysilane HSi(OMe)3 using dcpPtCl2 as a catalyst for the hydrosilylation reaction. Final grafting was performed in the presence of commercially available SiO2 nanoparticles (Apex Prepsil Silica Media 8 μm) in toluene at 80 °C for 24 h. Catalyst loadings were determined by weight difference.

As illustrated in Figure 2, polystyrene supported catalyst C was prepared starting from compound 4, a supported organocatalyst available in our laboratories [20]. 4 was reacted with picolinic acid and thionyl chloride in the presence of triethylamine in DMF for 18 h at room temperature. The loading of C (0.4 mmol/g) was determined from the loading of the starting material 3 [21].
For the preparation of polystyrene supported catalyst D an alternative strategy was employed (Figure 3): chiral picolinamide 2c derived from 9-amino-epi-cinchonine was subjected to radical initiated thiol-ene coupling (initiated by AIBN) with solid 5, a polystyrene bearing a thiol functionality [22]. The loading of D (0.3 mmol/g) was determined by weight difference.

Catalyst E (loading = 0.2 mmol/g) was prepared starting from picolinamide 2b following the same strategy used for the preparation of D (Figure 4).

2.2. Stereoselective Reduction of Imines with HSiCl3 in Batch

The solid-supported catalysts A–E were tested in the reduction of imine 6a with HSiCl3 in CH2Cl2 at room temperature for 18 h. Silica supported catalyst A gave the corresponding amine 7a in moderate yield and low ee (Table 1, entry 1). The use of catalyst B resulted in a complete conversion of the starting material and the enantioselectivity improved up to 62% (entry 2). The use of polystyrene-supported catalyst C afforded chiral amine 7a in 95% yield but very low ee of 23% (entry 3); this was probably due to an incomplete conversion of primary amine 4 into C [23]. Gratifyingly, upon using polystyrene supported catalyst D, the reaction proceeded in quantitative yield and 90% ee (entry 4), a result comparable to the one obtained with the homogeneous catalyst [24]. Lowering the catalyst loading to 10 mol % did not alter the reaction outcome (entry 5), while using 5 mol % of D resulted in slightly lower ee (entry 6). Using the pseudo enantiomer catalyst E amine 7a obtained a 98% yield and a lower ee of 63% (entry 7).
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Table 1. Screening of supported catalysts in the reduction of imine 6a with HSiCl3.

| Entry | Catalyst | Yield (%) | ee (%) |
|-------|----------|-----------|--------|
| 1     | A        | 64        | 30 (S) |
| 2     | B        | 95        | 62 (S) |
| 3     | C        | 95        | 23 (S) |
| 4     | D        | 98        | 90 (R) |
| 5     | D        | 95        | 91 (R) |
| 6     | D        | 95        | 79 (R) |
| 7     | E        | 95        | 63 (S) |

1 Reaction conditions: 0.1 mmol 6a, 0.02 mmol supported catalyst, 0.5 mmol HSiCl3 in CH2Cl2 (1 mL); 2 isolated yield; 3 determined by HPLC on chiral stationary phase; 4 10 mol % of D was used; 5 5 mol % of D was used.

Having established the best reaction conditions, we tested catalyst of choice D in the reduction of different substituted imines with HSiCl3 (Table 2). Electron poor imine 6b was converted into the corresponding chiral amine 7b with 93% yield and 85% ee (entry 1). 4-Fluoro-substituted imine 6c and 4-trifluoromethyl-substituted imine 6d afforded the corresponding products 7c and 7d in quantitative yield and 87% and 84% ee, respectively (entries 2 and 3). The reaction with electron rich imine 6e afforded amine 7e in 96% ee and 85% ee (entry 4).

Table 2. Reduction of various imines with HSiCl3 using polystyrene supported catalyst D.

| Entry | R          | PG         | Yield (%) | ee (%) |
|-------|------------|------------|-----------|--------|
| 1     | 6b: 4-NO2-C6H4- | PMP        | 93        | 85     |
| 2     | 6c: 4-F-C6H4- | PMP        | 98        | 87     |
| 3     | 6d: 4-CF3-C6H4- | PMP        | 97        | 84     |
| 4     | 6e: Naphtyl  | Ph         | 96        | 85     |

1 Reaction conditions: 0.1 mmol 6, 0.01 mmol D, 0.5 mmol HSiCl3 in CH2Cl2 (1 mL); 2 isolated yield; 3 determined by HPLC on chiral stationary phase.

2.3. Continuous Flow Reaction

We next decided to focus our attention on the preparation of catalytic reactors in order to perform the stereoselective reduction of imines with trichlorosilane under continuous flow conditions. Preliminary studies showed that recovery and recycling of the immobilized catalyst were feasible: in the same conditions of Table 1, entry 4, polystyrene-supported picolinamide D was recycled three times. However, while after the first recycle (second run) the reaction promoted a 90% yield and 88% ee, already after the second recycle a clear decrease in enantioselectivity was observed (80% yield, 67% ee), that further dropped in the fourth run (77% yield, 37% ee). However, the behavior of the supported catalyst under continuous flow conditions was investigated.

A Omnifit glass column (i.d. = 1 cm, l = 10 cm) was filled with catalyst D (170 mg, 0.05 mmol) and was equipped with one fixed-length endpiece and one adjustable-length endpiece (bed length = 1 cm, internal volume = 0.78 mL): See Supplementary Materials. A 0.05 M solution of imine 6b and trichlorosilane (5 equiv.) in dry dichloromethane was injected to the reactor through a syringe pump with a flow rate of 0.02 mL/min (residence time 25 min, determined experimentally) at room
temperature. The outcome of the reactor was collected into a flask containing NaOH 10% solution. After phase separation and concentration, the product was isolated with no need for further purifications. Every 25 min, the product was collected and analyzed in order to determine the conversion and the ee. Results of the continuous flow experiments are reported in Table 3.

Table 3. Continuous flow stereoselective reduction of imine 6b with HSiCl3.

| Entry | Running Time (min) | Yield (%) | ee (%) |
|-------|-------------------|-----------|--------|
| 1     | 0–50              | 98        | 47     |
| 3     | 50–75             | 98        | 44     |
| 4     | 75–100            | 93        | 30     |
| 5     | 100–125           | 93        | 25     |
| 6     | 125–150           | 87        | 22     |
| 7     | 150–175           | 86        | 20     |

1 Determined by 1H-NMR; 2 determined by HPLC on chiral stationary phase.

As reported in Table 3, the continuous flow process was run for 3 h and chiral amine 7b was continuously obtained in very high yield. Unfortunately, the enantioselectivity of the process was quite low and it dropped to 47% ee (Table 3, entry 1) and to 20% after 150 h of operation (entry 7). Some organic residues coming from the catalyst degradation have been detected in the crude reaction mixture and that may account for the low enantioselectivity observed.

Overall, these results demonstrate how the use of a catalytic reactor can be advantageous in terms of product isolation and unit operations, however the system requires further studies in order to increase the stereoselectivity of the process.

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. General Information

Dry solvents were purchased and stored under nitrogen over molecular sieves (bottles with crown caps). Reactions were monitored by analytical thin-layer chromatography (TLC) using silica gel 60 F 254 pre-coated glass plates (0.25 mm thickness) and visualized using UV light. Flash chromatography was carried out on silica gel (230–400 mesh). Proton NMR spectra were recorded on spectrometers operating at 300 MHz (Bruker Fourier 300 or AMX 300, Milano, Italy). Proton chemical shifts are reported in ppm (δ) with the solvent reference relative to tetramethylsilane (TMS) employed as the internal standard (CDCl3 δ = 7.26 ppm). Commercial grade reagents and solvents were used without further purifications. Commercially available HSiCl3 was freshly distilled under nitrogen atmosphere before use. Reagents mixtures were fed to continuous flow reactors using Syringe Pump Chemix Fusion 100. Continuous flow catalytic reactors were prepared using Glass Omnifit columns (Sigma-Aldrich, Milano, Italy) equipped with one adjustable-length end piece.

3.2. Catalysts Synthesis

Compound 2a: Picolinic acid (1.5 mmol) was placed into a two necked flask and dissolved in dry THF (12 mL) under nitrogen atmosphere. Triethylamine (2.2 mmol) was added and the mixture was cooled to 0 °C. Ethyl chloroformate (1.5 mmol) was added dropwise and the mixture was stirred for...
15 min at the same temperature, then 2 h at room temperature. After reaction time, a solution of \(1a\) [25] (1.5 mmol) in dry THF (8 mL), was added slowly. The reaction was stirred at room temperature for 3 h. After reaction time, \(\mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{O}\) (3 mL) was added and the crude was concentrated in vacuum, diluted with AcOEt and then washed with \(\mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{O}\). Collected organic phases were dried with \(\mathrm{Na}_2\mathrm{SO}_4\), concentrated in a vacuum, and the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel eluting with \(\mathrm{CH}_2\mathrm{Cl}_2:\mathrm{MeOH}\) 95:5. Compound \(2a\) was obtained as brownish oil (1.04 mmol, 77% yield). All analytical data are in agreement with literature [24]. \(^1\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\) (300 MHz, \(\mathrm{CDCl}_3\)) \(\delta\) \(1\mathrm{H}\) 8.88 (br s, 1H), 8.70 (d, \(J = 4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1\mathrm{H}\)), 8.46 (d, \(J = 4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1\mathrm{H}\)), 8.03–7.96 (m, 2H), 7.75 (d, \(J = 3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1\mathrm{H}\)), 7.71–7.66 (m, 1H), 7.42 (d, \(J = 4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1\mathrm{H}\)), 7.34–7.26 (m, 2H), 5.80–5.69 (m, 1H), 5.62 (br s, 1H), 5.01–4.91 (m, 2H), 3.94 (s, 3H), 3.44–3.36 (m, 1H), 3.33–3.23 (m, 2H), 2.81–2.68 (m, 2H), 2.27 (br, 1H), 1.66–1.48 (m, 4H), 0.96–0.88 (m, 1H).

Compound \(2b\): prepared starting from \(1b\) [25] according to the procedure described for \(2a\) (1.25 mmol, 75% yield). \(^1\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\) (300 MHz, \(\mathrm{CDCl}_3\)) \(\delta\) \(1\mathrm{H}\) 9.04–8.93 (m, 1H), 8.85 (d, \(J = 4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1\mathrm{H}\)), 8.56 (d, \(J = 5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1\mathrm{H}\)), 8.46–8.40 (m, 1H), 8.11 (d, \(J = 8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1\mathrm{H}\)), 8.02–7.99 (m, 1H), 7.76–7.68 (m, 2H), 7.62–7.57 (m, 1H), 7.50–7.46 (m, 1H), 7.37–7.33 (m, 1H), 5.95–5.84 (m, 1H), 5.60 (br s, 1H), 5.15–5.07 (m, 2H), 3.28–3.19 (m, 3H), 2.88–2.73 (m, 2H), 2.31 (br s, 1H), 1.71–1.53 (m, 3H), 1.50–1.42 (m, 1H), 0.99–0.92 (m, 2H).

Compound \(2c\): prepared starting from \(1c\) [25] according to the procedure described for \(2a\) (1.17 mmol, 78% yield). \(^1\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\) (300 MHz, \(\mathrm{CDCl}_3\)) \(\delta\) \(1\mathrm{H}\) 8.94 (bs, 1H), 8.75 (d, \(J = 7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1\mathrm{H}\)), 8.03 (d, \(J = 2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1\mathrm{H}\)), 7.75–7.69 (m, 1H), 7.65–7.60 (m, 1H), 7.50–7.49 (d, \(J = 4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1\mathrm{H}\)), 7.37 (dd, \(J = 2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1\mathrm{H}\)), 5.80–5.69 (m, 1H), 5.64 (br s, 1H), 5.03–4.94 (m, 2H), 3.42–3.18 (m, 3H), 2.88–2.73 (m, 2H), 2.31 (br s, 1H), 1.71–1.53 (m, 3H), 1.50–1.42 (m, 1H), 0.99–0.92 (m, 2H).

Compound \(3a\): \(2a\) (0.5 mmol) and (dcp)\(\text{PtCl}_2\) (0.025 mmol) were dissolved in dry THF (8 mL) under nitrogen atmosphere and trimethoxysilane \(\text{HSi(OMe)}_3\) (1.5 mmol) was slowly added. The mixture was stirred at 60 °C for 24 h. After reaction time, the solvent was evaporated under vacuum and the residue was purified by column chromatography (the product easily degrades during column chromatography). \(^1\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\) (300 MHz, \(\mathrm{CDCl}_3\)) \(\delta\) \(1\mathrm{H}\) 8.94 (bs, 1H), 8.75–8.6 (m, 1H), 7.97–8.05 (m, 2H), 7.76 (bs, 2H), 7.43 (s, 1H), 7.33 (d, 2H), 6.61 (bs, 1H), 3.96 (s, 3H), 3.59 (s, 9H), 3.26–3.34 (m, 2H), 3.06 (bs, 1H), 2.80 (bs, 1H), 2.48 (bs, 1H), 1.86 (m, 2H), 1.59–1.72 (m, 3H), 1.35 (m, 2H), 0.98 (bs, 1H), 0.52 (m, 2H) ppm.

Compound \(3b\): prepared starting from \(2b\) according to the procedure described for \(3a\) (0.5 mmol, 99% yield). It was in the following step without any further purification (the product easily degrades during column chromatography). \(^1\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\) (300 MHz, \(\mathrm{CDCl}_3\)) \(\delta\) \(1\mathrm{H}\) 9.28 (m, 1H), 8.91 (d, 1H), 8.49–8.54 (m, 2H), 8.13 (d, 1H), 8.06 (d, 1H), 7.63–7.76 (m, 4H), 7.36 (m, 1H), 5.97 (bs, 1H), 3.55 (s, 9H), 3.26–3.31 (m, 2H), 3.08 (bs, 1H), 2.80 (bs, 1H), 2.45 (bs, 1H), 1.88 (m, 2H), 1.54–1.72 (m, 3H), 1.33 (m, 2H), 1.09 (bs, 1H), 0.85 (m, 2H) ppm.

Catalyst A: Compound \(3a\) (0.5 mmol) was dissolved in dry toluene (10 mL) under a nitrogen atmosphere and then Apex Prepsil Silica Media 8 µm (0.5 g) was added. The mixture was stirred at 80 °C for 48 h after which it was then filtered. The solid was washed with dichloromethane (10 mL) and methanol (10 mL), and it was recovered and dried under high vacuum for 3 h. The organic layer was concentrated under a vacuum and unreacted compound \(3a\) was recovered. A was obtained as a yellowish solid; the loading was determined by weight difference (0.52 g, 0.5 mmol/g).

Catalyst B: prepared starting from \(3b\) according to the procedure described for \(A\) (0.52 g, 0.4 mmol/g).

Catalyst C: a mixture of \(4\) [20] (0.25 g, 0.1 mmol) and triethylamine (0.5 mmol) in dry THF (6 mL) was cooled to 0 °C. Then a solution of picolynoic chloride (0.3 mmol) in dry THF (3 mL) was slowly added under nitrogen atmosphere. The mixture warmed to rt and then stirred at 60 °C for 24 h. After reaction time the solid was filtered, washed with dichloromethane (10 mL) and methanol (10 mL),
and it was recovered and dried under a high vacuum for 3 h. The loading of C was determined from the loading of the starting material (0.26 g, 0.4 mmol/g).

Catalyst D: [22] (0.63 g, 1.0 mmol/g) was suspended in dry toluene (10 mL) under nitrogen atmosphere and then a solution of 2c (1.0 mmol, g) and AIBN (1.0 mmol) in dry chloroform (3 mL) was slowly added. The mixture was stirred at 80 °C for 24 h under nitrogen atmosphere. After this reaction time, the solid was filtered and washed with CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL) and methanol (10 mL) and then dried under high vacuum for 3 h. The organic layer was concentrated in vacuum and unreacted compound 2c was recovered and purified by column chromatography. The loading of D was determined by weight difference (0.65 g, 0.3 mmol/g). So far, solid state NMR spectroscopy did not give well defined spectra: further studies are underway to characterize the immobilized catalysts, also through solid-state IR spectroscopy and electron microscopy (SEM, TEM).

Catalyst E: prepared starting from 2b and 5 according to the procedure described for D (0.65 g, 0.2 mmol/g).

3.3. Stereoselective Reduction of Imines

**General procedure for batch reaction:** supported catalyst (0.02 mmol) and imine (0.1 mmol) were introduced into a vial and dissolved in dry CH₂Cl₂ (1 mL) under inert atmosphere. HSiCl₃ (1 M solution in CH₂Cl₂, 5 equiv.) was added at 0 °C and then the reaction was stirred at room temperature for 18 h. After this reaction time, the supported catalyst was removed by filtration and washed with dichloromethane. The organic layer was treated with NaOH 10% aq. until basic pH = 9. The organic layer was collected, dried with Na₂SO₄, and concentrated under a vacuum. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel. The enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC on chiral stationary phase.

**General procedure for continuous flow reaction:** a 0.05 M mixture of imine 6b and HSiCl₃ (5 equiv.) in dry CH₂Cl₂ was charged into a 2.5 mL SGE gas tight syringe and fixed on a syringe pump. The syringe was connected to the packed bed reactor and flushed at 0.02 mL/min. The reactor was then washed with pure CH₂Cl₂ at the same flow rate. Reactor outcome was collected into a flask containing CH₂Cl₂ and NaOH 10%. The outcome of the reactor was collected into a flask containing NaOH 10% solution. After phase separation and concentration, the product was isolated. Each 25 min the product was collected and analyzed. The yield was determined by NMR and the ee was determined on HPLC on chiral stationary phase.

3.4. Product Characterization

**(R)-4-Methoxy-N-(1-phenylethyl)aniline 7a:** prepared according to the general procedure. The crude mixture was purified by column chromatography on silica gel eluting with hexane/ethyl acetate 95:5. 7a was obtained as a yellowish solid. All analytical data are in agreement with literature. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δH 7.43–7.26 (m, 5H), 6.73 (d, 2H), 6.58 (d, 2H), 4.46 (q, 1H), 3.74 (s, 3H), 1.58 (d, 3H) ppm. The enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC on chiral stationary phase with Daicel Chiralcel OD-H column: eluent Hexane/iPrOH = 99/1, flow rate 0.8 mL/min, λ = 254 nm, τ_major = 22.3 min, τ_minor = 25.1 min.

**(R)-4-Methoxy-N-(1-(4-nitrophenyl)ethyl)aniline 7b:** prepared according to the general procedure. The crude mixture was purified by column chromatography on silica gel eluting with hexane/ethyl acetate 95:5. 7b was obtained as a yellowish solid. All analytical data are in agreement with the literature. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δH 8.16–8.19 (m, 2H), 7.53–7.56 (d, 2H), 6.39–6.42 (m, 2H), 4.47–4.52 (q, 1H), 3.86 (bs, 1H), 3.69 (s, 3H), 1.52–1.53 (d, 3H) ppm. The enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC on chiral stationary phase with Daicel Chiralcel OD-H column: eluent Hexane/iPrOH = 8/2, flow rate 0.8 mL/min, λ = 254 nm, τ_major = 31.6 min, τ_minor = 37.7 min.

**(R)-N-(1-(4-Fluorophenyl)ethyl)-4-methoxyaniline 7c:** prepared according to the general procedure. The crude mixture was purified by column chromatography on silica gel eluting with hexane/ethyl acetate 95:5. 7c was obtained as a yellowish solid. All analytical data are in agreement with the
literature. \[^1\]H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta_H\) 7.28–7.33 (m, 2H), 6.95–7.00 (m, 2H), 6.68 (d, 2H), 6.43 (d, 2H), 4.37 (q, 1H), 3.68 (s, 4H), 1.45 (d, 3H) ppm. The enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC on chiral stationary phase with Daicel Chiralcel OD-H column: eluent Hexane/iPrOH = 97/3, flow rate 1.0 mL/min, \(\lambda = 254\) nm, \(\tau_{\text{major}} = 14.2\) min, \(\tau_{\text{minor}} = 16.5\) min.

(R)-4-Methoxy-N-(1-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)ethyl)aniline 7d: prepared according to the general procedure. The crude mixture was purified by column chromatography on silica gel eluting with hexane/ethyl acetate 95:5. 7d was obtained as a yellowish solid. All analytical data are in agreement with literature. \[^1\]H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta_H\) 7.56 (d, 2H), 7.47 (d, 2H), 6.69 (d, 2H), 6.42 (d, 2H), 4.44 (q, 1H), 3.81 (bs, 1H), 3.68 (s, 3H), 1.48 (d, 3H) ppm. The enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC on chiral stationary phase with Daicel Chiralcel OD-H column: eluent Hexane/iPrOH = 98/2, flow rate 0.8 mL/min, \(\lambda = 254\) nm, \(\tau_{\text{major}} = 17.7\) min, \(\tau_{\text{minor}} = 21.9\) min.

(R)-4-Methoxy-N-(1-(naphthalen-2-yl)ethyl)aniline 7e: Prepared according to the general procedure. The crude mixture was purified by column chromatography on silica gel eluting with hexane/ethyl acetate 95:5. 7e was obtained as a yellowish solid. All analytical data are in agreement with literature. \[^1\]H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta_H\) 7.78–7.82 (m, 4H), 7.41–7.51 (m, 3H), 6.65–6.69 (m, 2H), 6.48–6.52 (m, 2H), 4.53–4.58 (q, 1H), 3.88 (br, 1H), 3.66 (s, 3H), 1.55–1.57 (d, \(J = 6.8\) Hz, 3H) ppm. The enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC on chiral stationary phase with Daicel Chiralcel OD-H column: eluent Hexane/iPrOH = 98/2, flow rate 1.0 mL/min, \(\lambda = 254\) nm, \(\tau_{\text{major}} = 41.7\) min, \(\tau_{\text{minor}} = 52.7\) min.

4. Conclusions

In conclusion, the immobilization of chiral Cinchona alkaloid-derived catalysts for the enantioselective, trichlorosilane-mediated reduction of ketoimines was investigated. A polystyrene-supported picolinamide was identified as a successful heterogeneous organocatalyst able to promote the C=N reduction of imines in high enantioselectivities, typically higher than 85% ee and up to 91% ee. Additionally, for the first time, a polymer-supported chiral organocatalyst was used in a catalytic reactor to perform the enantioselective reduction of imines in a continuous flow process. The flow systems showed promising results in terms of chemical activity, but lower enantioselectivities than those obtained in batch. Further studies aimed to improve the stereoselectivity of the process and the stability of the supported chiral catalyst are currently underway in our laboratories.

Supplementary Materials: Supplementary materials can be accessed at: http://www.mdpi.com/1420-3049/21/9/1182/s1.
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