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ABSTRACT

Diagnosis is the foundation of a correct intervention. However, diagnostic errors result in wrong interventions. This is a global phenomenon, where it is a common problem; which has been understudied. Looking at the Philippines, diagnostic errors are three times most likely to make pregnant women develop obstetric complications. In Kenya, there is a high annual maternal mortality prevalence rate of 362/100,000 live births, with Bungoma County exceeding the national maternal mortality prevalence rate of 382/100,000 live births annually. Maternal mortality more often than not, a factor, that arises from morbidity is fueled by diagnostic errors that required determination of its consequences on obstetric outcomes in Bungoma county. Thus, this study investigated the prevalence of diagnostic errors as predictors of obstetric outcomes among post-natal mothers in Bungoma County. The study employed a descriptive cross-sectional research design, which was hospital-based (Bungoma and Webuye hospitals). Systematic sampling was used to obtain 384 respondents and purposive sampling to select 8 health care workers as key informants. Data was collected using a structured questionnaire and an interview guide. The pre-test was done; validity was established through crosschecking and reliability calculated using the Cronbach method (0.89). Using a statistical package for social sciences version 25, descriptive statistics were run. The study revealed a prevalence ratio of 3.996 whereas delayed diagnosis was 43.1%, missed 38.8%, absent diagnosis 27.5%, wrong diagnosis 34.9%, misinterpretation of results 24.1, unmatched 26.3% and unnecessary investigation 9.3%. The study demonstrates that a correct diagnosis is a viable strategy in preventing unsafe obstetric outcomes and by extension minimizing morbidity and mortality among pregnant women.
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I. INTRODUCTION

According to [1] 600,000 women in the world die each year from pregnancy-related complications and 15% of all pregnant women develop life-threatening complications (morbidity). A diagnostic error occurs whenever there is a misdiagnosis, missed diagnosis, wrong diagnosis or delayed diagnosis including misinterpretation of radiological and laboratory results [2]. Maternal and perinatal morbidity such as pre-term birth, infection, hypertensive disease, and intrapartum asphyxia are significant causes of unsafe pregnancy outcomes mostly in the global south [3]. Pregnant mothers are at a higher risk of dying during the pregnancy period. Most of the deaths occur around the time of birth, during delivery and immediately after giving birth (postpartum period), with the first 24 hours being the most critical [4]. Ninety-nine per cent of these deaths occurred in developing countries, with Sub Saharan Africa (SSA) region alone accounting for 66% of these deaths [5]. These deaths do however mask the magnitude of the challenges that women face during the process of pregnancy and childbirth. For any one maternal death, 100 women develop severe maternal morbidity from life-threatening obstetric complications referred to as near misses [6]. Intrapartum care and hypertensive disease remain high priority areas for addressing perinatal mortality in sub-Saharan Africa [3]. The mortalities and morbidities can be significantly minimized if the right diagnosis is made early enough and management or intervention made. If the diagnosis is missed incorrect or a diagnostic error occurred, then an adverse outcome may result [6]. In Kenya matters of maternal mortality rate is of concern too, making it among the top ten countries where maternal mortality is high, and the country still records average maternal mortality of 362 deaths per 100,000 live births [7]. Bungoma County still records a national mortality ratio of 382 maternal deaths per 100,000 live births [8], yet
collectively the recommended maternal mortality ratio in Kenya should be 147/100,000 live births. Therefore, this led to this study investigating the prevalence of diagnostic errors as predictors of obstetric outcomes in Bungoma County.

II. PROBLEM STATEMENT

Diagnostic errors may be significant but less recognized in health care particularly in a birthing facility setting. Looking at the Philippines, the prevalence of diagnostic errors in obstetrics stood at 29.8% overall and the likelihood odds of those mothers getting complications (morbidity) were 2.96 [9]. In Kenya, diagnostic errors appear to have presented a blind spot in obstetric care and this could as well be contributing to morbidity and mortality. This was evidenced by [6] report of maternal audits that revealed over 75% of maternal deaths were related to health care worker factors among them diagnostic errors. In Bungoma County, the maternal mortality ratio stands at 382/100,000 whereas the national average is 362/100,000, which is beyond the national target of 147 per 100,000 live births (8). Bungoma County, despite having a maternal mortality ratio of 382/100,000, is not near the national mortality ratio target of 147 per 100,000 live births [10]; which led to this study to investigate the prevalence of diagnostic errors as predictors of obstetric outcomes in Bungoma County.

III. CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK
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A cross-sectional research design was used for this study. The design was flexible in describing and analysing the prevalence of diagnostic errors among postnatal mothers at Bungoma county hospitals. The study was carried out at two referral hospitals in Bungoma County which were Bungoma and Webuye. The study targeted all mothers admitted in postnatal wards due to pregnancy and pregnancy complications. What guided the choice of this category of the study population was that these mothers in the postnatal wards had delivered at the facility and had pregnancy or pregnancy-related complications.

Systematic random sampling was used to get the post-natal mothers in this study where every kth number was picked from the list of mothers on discharge. The post-natal mothers provided their records for verification through content analysis during the interview. Purposive sampling was used to pick the health workers for key informant interview. Quantitative data were collected using structured questionnaires from mothers, while interview guides were used on health workers. Obstetric outcomes information was extracted from the individual mothers, ANC booklet and files through content analysis. Two research assistants were recruited and trained for two days and they assisted in data collection. Sampled mothers were given a questionnaire by the guidance of the research assistants to provide answers and also provided an MCH booklet for verification in addition to medical records. Healthcare workers were interviewed by lead researcher diagnostic errors leading to obstetric outcome (safe and unsafe).

The researcher coded and entered the data from questionnaires into the computer and analysed using the statistical SPSS version 25. Descriptive statistics were presented in tables in form of proportions. Qualitative data (interviews) were analysed and reported verbatim. Ethical clearance was obtained from Masinde Muliro University of Science and Technology; Institutional Ethics and Review Committee (IERC), Bungoma County Research Committee and National Commission for Science, Technology, and Innovation (NACOSTI). The researcher had to maintain the anonymity and confidentiality of the participants.

IV. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A cross-sectional research design was used for this study. The design was flexible and was in describing and analysing the prevalence of diagnostic errors among postnatal mothers at Bungoma county hospitals. The study was carried out at two referral hospitals in Bungoma County which were Bungoma and Webuye. The study targeted all mothers admitted in postnatal wards due to pregnancy and pregnancy complications. What guided the choice of this category of the study population was that these mothers in the postnatal wards had delivered at the facility and had pregnancy or pregnancy-related complications.

Systematic random sampling was used to get the post-natal mothers in this study where every kth number was picked

from the list of mothers on discharge. The post-natal mothers provided their records for verification through content analysis during the interview. Purposive sampling was used to pick the health workers for key informant interview. Quantitative data were collected using structured questionnaires from mothers, while interview guides were used on health workers. Obstetric outcomes information was extracted from the individual mothers, ANC booklet and files through content analysis. Two research assistants were recruited and trained for two days and they assisted in data collection. Sampled mothers were given a questionnaire by the guidance of the research assistants to provide answers and also provided an MCH booklet for verification in addition to medical records. Healthcare workers were interviewed by lead researcher diagnostic errors leading to obstetric outcome (safe and unsafe).

The researcher coded and entered the data from questionnaires into the computer and analysed using the statistical SPSS version 25. Descriptive statistics were presented in tables in form of proportions. Qualitative data (interviews) were analysed and reported verbatim. Ethical clearance was obtained from Masinde Muliro University of Science and Technology; Institutional Ethics and Review Committee (IERC), Bungoma County Research Committee and National Commission for Science, Technology, and Innovation (NACOSTI). The researcher had to maintain the anonymity and confidentiality of the participants.

V. RESULTS

A. Prevalence of Diagnostic Errors at Antenatal Care

The study sought to investigate the prevalence of diagnostic errors as predictors of obstetric outcomes. To investigate this prevalence, diagnostic error attributes on whether they were exhibited during ANC visits was done. The summary of the responses is shown in Table I. The majority of diagnostic errors were delayed diagnosis 92 (28.1%) with other diagnostic errors being missed diagnosis 56 (17.1%), absent diagnosis 31 (9.5%), wrong diagnosis 46 (14.1), misinterpretation of tests 26 (8.0), unmatched diagnosis 26 (8.0) and unnecessary investigation 27 (8.3). The results indicate that during ANC visits, there exist diagnostic errors that may significantly affect obstetric outcomes.

| Diagnostic Errors and Obstetric Outcome | Percentage (%) |
|----------------------------------------|----------------|
| Delayed diagnosis                       | 28.1%          |
| Missed diagnosis                        | 17.1%          |
| Absent diagnosis                        | 9.5%           |
| Wrong diagnosis                         | 14.1%          |
| Misinterpretation of tests              | 8.0%           |
| Unmatched diagnosis                     | 8.0%           |
| Unnecessary investigation               | 8.3%           |

N=327.

B. Prevalence of Diagnostic Errors against Obstetric Outcomes

Tables II showed that delayed diagnosis contributed to 43.1% unsafe obstetric outcomes, missed diagnosis contributed to 38.8% unsafe obstetric outcomes, absent
Diagnosis contributed to 27.5% unsafe obstetric outcomes, the wrong diagnosis contributed to 34.9% unsafe obstetric outcomes, misinterpretation contributed to 24.2% unsafe obstetric outcomes, unmatched diagnosis contributed to 26.3% unsafe obstetric outcomes and unnecessary investigation contributed to 19.3% unsafe obstetric outcomes.

Key informant interviews corroborated the findings from this study. The key informant stated that:

- The extent of diagnostic errors seems to be on the rise and is generally associated with the present training of health care providers, lack of basic diagnostic equipment for most health facilities during focused antenatal care such as ultrasound.
- Any single diagnostic errors expose a pregnant woman and her baby to a high risk of complication and possible death.
- Correct diagnosis improves the pregnancy outcome, lowers the cost and prevents the cost. Initial diagnosis and final diagnosis are up to almost 10% due to poor history taking and irrelevant investigations.
- With diagnostic error, a client is given a wrong intervention leading to an effect on the pregnancy. A common misdiagnosis occurs in pre-eclampsia where it is confused for hypertension.

VI. DISCUSSION

This study employed the use of the Postulated Theoretical Framework (11) that envisaged to understand the variables that contributed to increased diagnostic errors which were predictors of obstetric outcomes in health facilities in Bungoma County. According to [10], the maternal mortality ratio was 382/100,000 live births annually. This number was above Kenya’s average annual maternal mortality ratio of 362/100,000. The researcher investigated the variables that were contributing towards increased diagnostic errors in Bungoma, which were: delayed diagnosis, missed diagnosis, absent diagnosis, the wrong diagnosis, misinterpretation, unmatched diagnosis and unnecessary investigation.

It was established that delayed diagnosis contributed 43.1% to unsafe obstetric outcomes and 56.9% to a safe obstetric outcome. The delayed diagnosis was established as the main predictor of unsafe obstetric outcomes among pregnant women in Bungoma County. Prompt diagnosis of a condition is at the epicentre of reproductive health. Failure to promptly diagnose a condition that might develop into an adverse condition predisposes the pregnant women towards a negative and riskier obstetric outcome that might also be fatal. This study found out that most pregnant women who developed adverse conditions during pregnancy and even fatalities among them were contributed by delayed diagnosis. Missed diagnosis contributed 38.8% to an unsafe obstetric outcome and 61.2% to a safe obstetric outcome. Professionalism in dealing with pregnancy and pregnant women is paramount to achieving Sustainable Development Goal 3 (SDG#3). Missed diagnosis during ANC and natal potentially may lead to adverse outcomes that go against SDG#3. The researcher posited that missed diagnosis contributed to an increased maternal mortality ratio of 382/100,000 in Bungoma County. Besides, absent diagnosis contributed 27.5% to unsafe obstetric outcomes, with about 72.5% safe obstetric outcome. Hidden conditions give a false negative medical diagnosis which contributes towards wrong medical conclusions. At Bungoma County, it was established that it contributed to about a quarter of the unsafe obstetric outcomes in Bungoma County. This also meant that there was no retesting of these false-negative to try to manage the
prevailing conditions that might be accessed from the pregnant woman’s medical records and home history.

It was also established that the wrong diagnosis contributed 34.9% to unsafe obstetric outcomes and 65.1% to the safe obstetric outcome. The wrong diagnosis has adverse obstetric outcomes in most cases. With the wrong diagnosis, wrong intervention might be applied and fatalities therein. Basing on the maternal mortality ratio of 382/100,000 live births in Bungoma, the wrong diagnosis will continue to increase affecting Kenya’s target on the national maternal mortality ratio of 147/100,000 [10]. The increased prevalence of maternal mortality ratio in Bungoma County could be the product of the wrong diagnosis which was noted that the wrong diagnosis was the silent killer and might have claimed the lives of many pregnant women after wrong treatment [12]. The findings on the wrong diagnosis were in support of [13] where it was stated that 12 million people in the USA were misdiagnosed annually. Misinterpretation of diagnostic data contributed 24.2% to unsafe obstetric outcomes and 75.8% to the safe obstetric outcome. Lack of specialised treatment at the ANC and maternity is catastrophic to pregnant women. Also, inadequate experience in reproductive health providers working with pregnant women contributes to misinterpretation of the diagnostic data at ANC and maternity. According to [14], diagnostic errors in many instances were caused by failures in the interpretation of the findings mostly radiological and laboratory information. In 2016, the World Health Organisation reported the importance of addressing morbidity and mortality among pregnant women and their foetus/babies (15).

The unmatched diagnosis among the pregnant women contributed 26.3% to unsafe obstetric outcomes and 63.7% to the safe obstetric outcome. This was attributed to either wrong initial or wrong final diagnosis or both were wrongly done after the researcher reviewed the medical records of the participants (MCH Booklet and medical notes). The unmatched cases emanated from the unprofessionalism of the healthcare workers at the ANC and maternity. This was an unfortunate occurrence considering the global campaigns on the promotion of safe motherhood outcome. It was established that unnecessary investigation of the pregnancy progress contributed 19.3% to unsafe obstetric outcomes and 80.7% to the safe obstetric outcome. This led to interference with the pregnancy and creating new potential adverse conditions among pregnant women.

In general, the prevalence of safe obstetric outcomes amongst pregnant women without diagnostic errors was 0.975 whereas safe obstetric outcomes among pregnant women with diagnostic errors were 0.244, which gave a prevalence ratio of 3.995. On the other hand, the prevalence of unsafe obstetric outcomes amongst pregnant women without diagnostic errors was 0.0253 whereas safe obstetric outcomes among pregnant women with diagnostic errors were 0.7556, which gave a prevalence ratio of 0.0335. While most pregnancies and births are uneventful, all pregnancies are at risk. Failure to properly diagnose a condition contributed to about 58% of errors in emergency departments that rippled to obstetric emergencies. Diagnostic errors receive comparatively less attention and yet they were common [5]. The World Health Organisation found that around 15% of all pregnant women develop a potentially life-threatening complication that calls for skilled care, some requiring a major obstetrician intervention to survive [4]. Pregnancy is double-edged – joyful anticipatory time and on the flip side grave concern and anxious time.

VII. CONCLUSION

The delayed diagnosis, missed diagnosis and wrong diagnosis were the main diagnostic errors that contributed to increased unsafe obstetric outcomes in Bungoma County. The unmatched diagnosis during antenatal care visits resulted in a higher prevalence of diagnostic errors among postnatal mothers at Bungoma County hospitals in Kenya. It was established that the prevalence ratios for safe obstetric outcome were 3.996 while for unsafe outcome was 0.0335 in Bungoma County hospitals.

VIII. RECOMMENDATION

The ministry of health (MOH) together with county governments consider the possibility of expanding diagnostic capacity including laboratory services and point of use tests in dispensaries, health centres, sub-county hospitals and county hospitals and intensify human resource upgrading through continuing medical education. Health care providers should undergo mandatory updates especially in emergency obstetric diagnosis and neonatal care.
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