Gamma-range synchronization of fast-spiking interneurons can enhance detection of tactile stimuli
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We tested the sensory impact of repeated synchronization of fast-spiking interneurons (FS), an activity pattern thought to underlie neocortical gamma oscillations. We optogenetically drove ‘FS-gamma’ while mice detected naturalistic vibrissal stimuli and found enhanced detection of less salient stimuli and impaired detection of more salient ones. Prior studies have predicted that the benefit of FS-gamma is generated when sensory neocortical excitation arrives in a specific temporal window 20–25 ms after FS synchronization. To systematically test this prediction, we aligned periodic tactile and optogenetic stimulation. We found that the detection of less salient stimuli was improved only when peripheral drive led to the arrival of excitation 20–25 ms after synchronization and that other temporal alignments either had no effects or impaired detection. These results provide causal evidence that FS-gamma can enhance processing of less salient stimuli, those that benefit from the allocation of attention.

Neocortical oscillations in the gamma range (~30–80 Hz) are hypothesized to benefit sensory processing, a prediction that has generated significant debate. In support of this view, elevated gamma activity is correlated with the allocation of attention¹–⁴ and predicts enhanced performance on sensory detection tasks⁵–⁷. Further support comes from a variety of computational studies that have found that realistic modeling of gamma oscillations in neural networks (emerging from synchronous activity in fast-spiking interneurons) can enhance the relay of signals in simulated neural networks⁸–¹².

These different lines of evidence suggest that gamma expression could explain some of the functional consequences of shifting attention. Specifically, the allocation of attention augments neural and perceptual responses to subthreshold or liminal inputs, the stimuli that require an additional boost for effective processing. However, as emphasized in a number of studies, the relationship between gamma and enhanced processing associated with attention may be purely correlative, an epiphenomenal consequence of increased excitability in the neocortex¹³,¹⁴. This debate surrounding gamma has, to date, only been informed by correlative data. There has not yet been a direct causal examination of the impact, either positive or negative, of realistic gamma oscillations on perception.

A mechanistic understanding of the circuit-level activity patterns driving neocortical gamma is essential to considering its potential role in sensory processing, as well as to guiding causal interventions to test this dynamic. Correlative, causal and computational evidence indicates that gamma in the 30–80 Hz range depends on synchronous activity of FS¹⁰,¹⁵–²¹. Coincident FS activity drives a period of concentrated inhibition, the relaxation from which creates a ‘window of opportunity’ for adjacent pyramidal neurons to relay signals to downstream targets²². These excitatory spikes recruit nearby FS, thereby initiating the next gamma cycle²¹. The time constant of this inhibition (on the order of ~15 ms) explains why gamma occurs in the 30–80 Hz range²³. In vivo data supporting this mechanism have shown phase-locking of FS and pyramidal neurons during periods of high-amplitude gamma activity¹⁷. Further, selective optogenetic drive of FS in vivo entrains a rhythm that emulates many properties of endogenous gamma¹⁹,²⁰,²⁴,²⁵. Because the mechanism that generates gamma is inherent to understanding this phenomenon¹⁰,²¹, throughout we refer to ‘FS-gamma’. Our findings pertain to gamma emerging through FS synchronization and not simply to electrophysiological activity in this frequency range. The local field potential (LFP) measurements that are typically used to characterize gamma are considered to be a consequence of this underlying mechanism.

In this study, we examined the behavioral impact of directly entraining FS-gamma in the primary sensory neocortex. To test this question in a well-controlled model, we selectively activated FS at 40 Hz in the barrel cortex of mice engaged in detecting vibrissal deflections. Barrel cortex is required for detection of such passive vibrissal stimuli²⁶–²⁸, making this target appropriate for measuring the impact of realistic FS-gamma on perception. We found that endogenous gamma at stimulus onset predicted detection of naturalistic tactile stimuli, specifically for the detection of less salient input. When we used light pulses to entrain peristimulus FS, we similarly found improved detection of less salient naturalistic stimuli. To test whether this improvement was a product of more general transformations resulting from increased inhibitory tone or whether it required access to the cyclical window of optimal processing generated by FS-gamma, we tested the detection of 40-Hz vibrissae deflections presented at temporal lags relative to the induced FS synchrony. In this artificial condition, gamma also selectively enhanced detection of less salient stimuli. Further, we only observed improved detection when the relative timing of feed-forward, sensory-driven excitation arrived in the window of opportunity created by prior FS synchronization. A limited sample of single-unit recordings from layer II/III showed that induction of
FS-gamma led to temporal sharpening that predicted behavioral benefit but that firing rate averaged across this population did not increase, indicating that generic increases in rate are not a sufficient explanation for our findings. Neither rate nor temporal changes predicted a behavioral deficit that we observed at one temporal lag in the artificial stimulus condition, which indicates that population-level transformations in layer II/III cannot be simplistically interpreted as the sole driver of the perceptual transformations observed. In sum, these data provide correlative and causal evidence that local FS-gamma can enhance neocortical processing for harder-to-perceive stimuli, consistent with a role for this dynamic in behavioral states such as increased attention.

RESULTS

Mice can detect vibrissal deflections

We trained head-fixed mice to respond to 400-ms sequences of vibrissae deflections. These sequences were either stochastic (‘naturalistic’ stimulation) or 40-Hz pulses of uniform amplitude. If mice licked a reward spout within 500 ms of the first deflection, a drop of water was delivered (Fig. 1a). Mice sustained performance of the detection task consistently over weeks, with an average of 495 ± 96 trials per session (n = 8 mice; 27,534 ± 11,483 total trials per mouse; range indicates s.d.). In interleaved trials, we applied optogenetic drive to FS, as described below. Mice did not respond directly to this stimulation, showing nearly identical false alarm rates on catch trials with light present or absent (Fig. 1b; n = 8 mice; 0.22 ± 0.04 versus 0.21 ± 0.06; P = 0.84, Wilcoxon signed-rank test; range indicates s.d.). Further, reaction times on ‘hit’ trials were also indistinguishable during light-present and light-absent trials (211 ± 32 ms versus 210 ± 32 ms, respectively; P = 1.0, Wilcoxon signed-rank test).

Gamma is present in spontaneous SI activity

Gamma oscillations have previously been observed in rodent primary somatosensory neocortex (SI), both during baseline conditions29,30 and in response to sensory drive31,32. These oscillations also arise in SI in vitro when pyramidal cells of the upper layers are stimulated with ramping optogenetic stimuli33,34. Using chronically implanted stereoelectrodes in layer II/III, we recorded LFP activity while mice performed the detection task. The average prestimulus power spectra for 2-s intervals of intertrial data averaged over an entire session showed a typical 1/f falloff (where f is frequency in hertz) and did not contain peaks in the gamma range (Fig. 2a). This finding replicates recent reports in mouse barrel neocortex using this analysis method35. When we looked at finer temporal scales, however, distinct bouts of spontaneously occurring increases in 30–80 Hz power were evident during the prestimulus period. Examples of these distinct epochs are illustrated in Figure 2b and Supplementary Figure 1. These bouts of gamma were identifiable in the raw LFP traces and in time-frequency spectrograms computed by either wavelet and Fourier transforms. They were detected at a rate of 0.89 Hz during intertrial intervals without licking.

Multiunit and single-unit firing patterns were strongly modulated as a function of the phase of spontaneous gamma LFP power (Fig. 2c). Multiunit spike activity was concentrated at the trough of the LFP (mean phase across electrodes = 182.2 ± 26.9°, 180° indicates trough). This alignment and increase in phase-locking replicates many prior studies1,4,6,17,30,36, indicating that the endogenous gamma that occurs in mouse barrel neocortex is associated with the same transformations observed in monkey higher visual neocortex1 and ferret frontal neocortex17. This pattern of phase-locking of FS is also consistent with computational studies indicating the importance of synchrony in this cell class for the generation of naturally occurring gamma8,10,21,37 and with recent optogenetic data from mouse SI showing that selective drive of FS generates naturalistic gamma in vivo19,20,25.

Optogenetically entrained gamma in awake mice

We brought gamma oscillations under experimental control by expressing the gene for channelrhodopsin-2, a light-gated cation channel (H134R variant), in parvalbumin-positive (PV) cells in the upper layers of the neocortex (Supplementary Fig. 2). In this layer, PV neurons are uniformly FS19. We emulated naturally occurring bouts of gamma by presenting 1-ms light pulses at 40 Hz for 600 ms. The light stimulus began 100 ms before the onset of vibrissal deflections and ended 100 ms after (Fig. 1a). Light power at the surface of the cortex was 30 mW/mm², a lower level than that used in a previous study from our laboratory19.

This optical input drove robust gamma entrainment in the LFP of awake mice (Fig. 3a) and short-latency action potentials in the multiunit activity (Fig. 3b). Optogenetic stimulation induced a 40 Hz peak in the LFP power spectral density (Fig. 3c), consistent with prior findings in anesthetized preparations15,20,25. Light pulses activated well-isolated FS, with evoked spikes concentrated 2–3 ms after the onset of the laser (Fig. 3d), similar to previous findings19,25. We refer to these effects as ‘entrained’ FS-gamma because the network activity impact of these oscillations on spiking mimics physiological gamma in vivo17,19 (Fig. 2c) and optogenetic manipulation of this type can be used to phase-reset ongoing endogenous gamma oscillations19. The observed effects are consistent with FS activation, rather than a light-induced artifact at the electrode interface, as such artifacts deflect toward negative voltages.
Acquisition and characterization of vibrissal stimuli
To test the association of endogenous and entrained gamma with the detection of vibrissae deflections possessing natural stimulus statistics, we recorded the motions of an ex vivo vibrissa contacting a textured, rotating surface and presented these patterns to behaving mice (Fig. 4a and Supplementary Fig. 3a). Using this approach, we generated 17 distinct ‘movies’ of vibrissal motion to replay for psychophysical testing. High-velocity micromotions are a common feature of vibrissal motion during surface contact, including during free behavior38,39, and are widely regarded as a key driver of salience in the vibrissa sensory system. Neurons in SI are more effectively driven by higher velocity vibrissal motions40; higher velocity of small-amplitude motions predicts increased behavioral detection probability41, and individual high-velocity micromotion events drive spiking in SI of awake, freely behaving rodents42. The frequency of vibrissal motion for our stimuli was 180–200 Hz (Fig. 4b). The 17 natural stimuluses were typified by the presence of high-frequency micromotions, with a mean peak velocity of $1.75 \times 10^3 \pm 0.41 \times 10^3$ degrees/s and maximal peak velocity of $5.53 \times 10^2 \pm 1.73 \times 10^3$ degrees/s (Fig. 4c). The occurrences of these micromotions were evenly distributed relative to the timing of entrained FS-gamma, with no bias in alignment to a phase or temporal delay of optogenetic drive (Fig. 4d).

We presented four mice with these 17 naturalistic velocity profiles interleaved with the periodic stimuli described below. To ensure that all trials were drawn from epochs in which mice were actively performing the task, we only analyzed blocks of trials in which behavioral performance was high ($d’$ was above 1.25; Fig. 1a and Supplementary Fig. 4). We also eliminated trials in which mice licked during a 1.5-s interval before trial onset. These filtering steps left 27.9 ± 9.0% of the original trials in our analysis, with a minimum of 469 trials per mouse in the naturalistic stimulation condition.

The 17 natural stimuli were not equivalent in terms of their detectability, with mean $d’$ across mice ranging from 1.57 ± 0.47 to 2.66 ± 0.55. Consistent with prior psychophysical studies43, stimulus detectability was correlated with higher velocity of vibrissal motion, as the integrated velocity envelope during the first 100 ms of stimulation significantly predicted $d’$ (Fig. 4e, $n = 17$ stimuli; $R^2 = 0.4468$; $P = 0.0034$; mean reaction time across stimuli of 206 ± 20 ms).

Endogenous gamma predicts detection of less salient stimuli
Increased probability of sensory detection, and increased salience of sensory stimuli, have both been found to correlate with increased peristimulus gamma44,45. We similarly found that peristimulus gamma in SI predicted detection of vibrissal motion for less salient sensory stimuli. Our natural stimuli showed a nonsignificant broad-

**Figure 2** Gamma is expressed in distinct bouts of activity in the LFP, associated with enhanced phase locking of spiking activity. (a) Average LFP power spectral density for three electrodes from three mice (multitaper spectra with time-bandwidth product of 3 and taper count of 5, computed over 2-s prestimulus intervals for an entire session; shaded region represents s.e.m.). A peak in the gamma band was not apparent when averaging over these longer intervals. (b) Two examples of gamma events as they appear in the time-frequency spectrograms (top), band-passed LFP (blue lines) and raw LFP (black lines), and occurred approximately once per second. See Supplementary Figure 1 for examples from all mice. (c) Single-unit cycle histograms normalized by baseline firing rate and plotted as a function of the endogenous level of 30–80 Hz oscillations in the prestimulus period. Each detected spikes from a single trial (top) and the average of 96 trials from a single session (bottom). The vast majority of spikes occurred 2–3 ms after the start of the light pulse, replicating prior findings in anesthetized mice19.
Figure 4 Generation and characterization of naturalistic vibrissal stimuli. (a) Three examples of movements of an ex vivo B2 vibrissa during contact with a sandpaper-covered rotating drum, recorded to obtain naturalistic stimulation patterns. (b) Power spectral densities computed for the three naturalistic stimuli shown in a, revealing a peak at ~200 Hz. (c) Peak micromotion velocities for all deflections in the three example waveforms. The range is comparable to velocities measured from the vibrissae of freely behaving rats. For each stimulus, deflections with a peak velocity over 100°/s were aligned according to their latency from pulses of 40-Hz light. These high-velocity micromotion events were evenly distributed throughout the gamma cycle, preventing any preferential phase alignment. (e) Velocity envelope of the three naturalistic stimuli shown in a. (f) Relationship between the integrated velocity envelope for the first 100 ms of each naturalistic stimulus and the mean detectability of that stimulus across \( n = 4 \) mice (1,981 total trials); detection rates for the three traces shown in a are indicated. Dashed line indicates linear regression line for all stimuli.

Entrained FS-gamma and naturalistic stimulation

To directly test the impact of FS-gamma, we optogenetically drove FS in conjunction with naturalistic vibrissal stimulation. On trials where FS were driven, all mice showed a selective enhancement in detection of less salient stimuli (Fig. 5c). This observation is reflected in a negative correlation between the \( d' \) for the 17 natural stimuli (i.e., whether they were more salient for a given mouse) and the impact of entrained gamma on detection (Fig. 5b). We also applied the same manipulation in a mouse that was not expressing channelrhodopsin-2, and saw no significant relationship between baseline performance and the impact of the optical drive (\( R^2 = 0.1842, P = 0.30, \) Supplementary Fig. 3b).

As a summary metric across mice of the impact of entrained FS-gamma as a function of stimulus detectability, we ranked the relative detectability of each of the 17 naturalistic stimuli for each animal, and then averaged the effect of this manipulation across these ordinal data (Fig. 5d). When considered as a function of relative detectability, eight of the nine weakest stimuli showed increased detection with FS-gamma entrainment. We also found that seven of the eight strongest stimuli were negatively impacted. This finding of impaired detection for salient stimuli is in apparent contrast to the lack of an association between endogenously generated and hit-or-miss trials for highly salient natural stimuli and a lack of an impact of driving FS-gamma on detection of highly salient periodic stimuli described below.

Entrained FS-gamma and periodic stimulation

The detection of less salient naturalistic stimuli was enhanced by entrainment of FS-gamma. This enhancement could have arisen from nonspecific effects due to selective recruitment of FS, such as generalized arousal or enhanced signal-to-noise ratio through the presence of increased inhibition. Alternatively, these effects could depend on enhancement of the specific temporal pattern of activity associated with FS-gamma. Specifically, prior computational studies\(^{10,12} \) and experimental work\(^{17,19,44} \) predict that excitatory input arriving ~20–25 ms after an event of FS synchrony will be preferentially transmitted downstream, as a result of increases in synchrony across pyramidal neurons\(^{12,18} \) and potentially by increases in their firing rate\(^{10,12} \). This optimal temporal window is predicted to be created by a variety of mechanisms, including but not limited to the relaxation of inhibition, as described below.

To test whether arrival of input during this window was associated with improved psychophysical performance, we combined 40-Hz FS activation with 40-Hz vibrissae deflections at a range of temporal alignments. Such precise alignment of an external stimulus with an internal gamma rhythm is unlikely to occur under natural conditions for several reasons, most importantly the fact that natural micromotion patterns of vibrissae themselves created during surface contact will typically occur at much higher frequencies\(^{36,39} \). Although artificial, this manipulation allowed us to directly test whether the timing of peripheral input relative to the optimal window created by FS-gamma–shaped behavior.

We shifted the temporal lag between optical stimulus and sensory drive at 40 Hz by 5-ms steps, as in a prior study in anesthetized mice\(^ {19} \). The latency from peripheral deflection to arrival of sensory-driven excitatory input to neocortex was ~8–10 ms (Supplementary Fig. 5). As such, neocortical spikes evoked by vibrissal stimuli presented 12.5 ms after a light pulse would be predicted to arrive at the optimal window 20–25 ms after a prior bout of FS synchrony. For this temporal offset, the propagation delay between the periphery and neocortex positions the excitatory barrage from sensory drive to arrive after the decay of FS-driven inhibition but just before the onset of the subsequent bout of inhibition (Fig. 6a).

In the first two mice we trained, we presented stimuli of nine different amplitudes to construct psychophysical response curves (Supplementary Fig. 4a). Based on these curves, we selected for intensive study a less salient amplitude of stimulation that generated intermediate detection rates. In these two subjects and in six subsequent mice, we randomly interleaved these threshold stimuli (~800°/s peak velocity) with maximum-amplitude stimuli (~2,000°/s peak velocity). As in the naturalistic stimulation condition, we only analyzed trials from blocks in which \( d' \) was above 1.25 (minimum of 631 trials per mouse).
For less salient stimuli, detection was enhanced only for the 12.5 ms temporal offset condition (Fig. 6a). On these trials, d′ was higher than baseline for seven of eight mice (Fig. 6a; mean difference from baseline = 0.23 ± 0.18, P = 0.039; Wilcoxon signed-rank test with Bonferroni correction). Hit rate for the 12.5-ms offset was also enhanced, and, as indicated above, we observed no change in the false alarm rate on catch trials (Fig. 1b and Supplementary Fig. 6). To determine the likelihood that a single offset would show these effects under the hypothesis that entrained FS-gamma has no impact on performance, we applied a permutation test (P < 0.01; Online Methods). In contrast, for all temporal offsets other than 12.5 ms, d′ did not differ from baseline or was significantly lower (7.5-ms offset, mean difference from baseline = −0.30 ± 0.21, P = 0.0195; Wilcoxon signed-rank test with Bonferroni correction). Thus, shifting entrained gamma oscillations by as little as 5 ms relative to sensory input led to significant variation in task performance. These data indicate that behavioral enhancement by optogenetic stimulation requires temporal processes specific to FS synchronization, rather than nonspecific effects such as heightened arousal or general signal-to-noise transformations resulting from the manipulation.

We also quantified the impact of entrained FS-gamma on the detectability of maximum-amplitude, perceptually salient periodic stimuli, which had a baseline hit rate of 0.90 ± 0.03. In contrast to the enhancement observed with the less salient stimulus, we saw a nonsignificant trend toward enhancement at the 12.5 ms condition, and no significant changes for any other temporal offset with our optogenetic

Figure 6  |  Entrained FS-gamma enhances detection of less salient periodic stimuli at a specific optimal temporal offset between FS synchronization and sensory drive. (a) Summary of findings in prior studies showing that FS-gamma creates a window of opportunity for optimal excitatory firing immediately before a subsequent FS synchronization event; for a 40-Hz gamma rhythm, this cycle repeats every 25 ms (top left). Temporal offsets between optogenetic light pulses and vibrissae deflections used in this study (top right). Vibrissa stimulation 12.5 ms after FS synchronization (green triangle) caused stimulus-evoked neocortical excitation to occur in this window of opportunity, reinforcing the endogenous pattern of FS-gamma expression. The main plot shows the relationship between temporal offset of vibrissal stimulation and difference in d′ from baseline for n = 8 mice (threshold stimulus intensity trials only; see Fig. 1). The temporal offset was a significant modulator of response (P = 0.0016, Friedman test), with a significant change relative to the ‘no laser’ condition for 7.5-ms and 12.5-ms offsets (see Results for P values). (b) Same as in a, but for trials on which the maximal, highly salient amplitude stimulus was presented. There was no significant effect of changing the temporal offset for detection of these stimuli (P = 0.711, Friedman test). (c) Absolute d′ values for individual mice (B = baseline ‘no laser’ condition; different shades of gray are used to distinguish individuals). (d) Relationship between baseline performance and d′ modulation by the 12.5 ms temporal offset condition for individual mice. Error bars indicate s.e.m. *P < 0.05.
Physiological effects of vibrissa stimulation and FS-gamma
We analyzed 35 well-isolated regular-spiking cells, classified by waveform shape (Supplementary Fig. 7) or multiunit activity (15 different electrodes, 3 mice). We only included units obtained from stereotrodes on which a rapid sensory-driven response was observed. Entrained FS-gamma transformed the spiking response in two ways that replicated prior findings in anesthetized mice 19. First, for naturalistic and periodic stimulation, optogenetic manipulation suppressed baseline firing rates and decreased the average sensory-driven spike rate during the peristimulus period, independent of the relative alignment of sensory stimulation and light (Fig. 7a–e). Second, across all laser-to-vibrissae stimulus alignments, there was a significant increase in the vector strength (a measure of response periodicity of single units) during the peristimulus period (Fig. 7a–e), indicative of enhanced phase-locking to entrained FS-gamma. Third, in agreement with prior findings 19, delivering the sensory stimulus 12.5 ms after each laser pulse resulted in a selective enhancement in spike precision (a measure of the width of the multiunit evoked response to the first vibrissae deflection) and the strongest enhancement of gamma power in spike-time distributions (Fig. 7f and Supplementary Fig. 8). This finding is consistent with the arrival of sensory-driven excitatory input ~8–10 ms after stimulation, which aligns the excitatory spikes to the optimal phase of postinhibitory decay (Fig. 6a and Supplementary Fig. 5).

The absence of mean increases in firing rate across our sample (in fact, we observed significant decreases in mean rate) directly indicate that population-level gain cannot explain our behavioral findings. We note that a small subset of regular-spiking cells did show rate increases, which indicates that firing enhancement in a select group could have provided sensory benefit. In contrast, enhanced temporal sharpening did predict conditions that led to behavioral benefit for the natural and artificial stimuli. Neither rate nor temporal transformations predicted the decreased detectability observed with the 7.5-ms latency artificial alignment condition (Fig. 6a), underscoring that population-level transformations of the layer II/III neurons we sampled cannot provide a sufficient explanation for the full range of perceptual results.

**DISCUSSION**
We tested the behavioral impact of mechanistically realistic FS-gamma in a local neocortical circuit. Endogenous expression of higher gamma power predicted the detection of less salient sensory stimuli, and driving FS at 40 Hz enhanced the detection of less salient sensory stimuli. This finding was replicated across naturalistic and periodic stimuli. Stimuli that were highly salient were not benefitted by FS-gamma. Detection of highly salient periodic stimuli was neither aided nor penalized by entrained FS-gamma, and endogenous gamma did not predict enhanced or decreased detection of highly salient naturalistic stimuli, though FS-gamma did impair detection of these naturalistic inputs. Studies in rodents 25–28 have shown that transient silencing or lesioning of SI impairs the detection of less salient tactile stimuli.
The present findings are consistent with the view that FS-gamma beneficially impacts processing of hard-to-perceive stimuli that require neocortical circuitry and especially those that may require the allocation of attention to be detected consistently.

**FS-gamma generates windows of opportunity for spiking**

A simple conceptual framework can explain how emulated FS-gamma enhanced detection of the naturalistic and periodic stimuli used here (Supplementary Fig. 9). Prior studies have predicted that synchronous activation of FS should create a ‘window of opportunity’ 20–25 ms later and that inputs arriving from the periphery during this window should show increased gain and/or synchrony. Below we discuss the motivations for these mechanistic predictions, but considering the basic conceptual framework requires only the prediction that such a window exists.

High-velocity micromotions are widely regarded as a key driver of sensory responses and behavioral detection in the vibrissal sensory system41,42. During the periodic stimulation condition in our experiments, high-velocity micromotions repeatedly occurred at specific latencies relative to FS synchrony. Given the well-documented lag of ~8–10 ms for transmission of high-velocity vibrissal motions from periphery to SI (Supplementary Fig. 5), the 12.5 ms latency drove peripheral inputs arriving in neocortex 20–25 ms after FS synchronization, during the predicted optimal window. Under the ‘artificial’ conditions of alignment in the periodic stimulation task, only sensory stimulation arriving at this latency should access this window. Therefore, it is the only latency that would be predicted to drive enhanced relay and, in turn, improved behavioral performance.

In contrast, naturalistic stimuli have a much higher rate of high-velocity micromotions. In the present experiment, mean vibrissal frequencies fell in the range of 180–200 Hz, corresponding to ~10 such events per 25-ms FS-gamma cycle. These high-velocity micromotions occurred at random times relative to the beneficial window created by FS synchronization (Fig. 4d and Supplementary Fig. 9). However, because micromotions occur at a high rate, they can consistently drive peripheral input that arrives during the beneficial window and yield enhanced sensory processing in the presence of FS-gamma. The enhanced detection observed when combing FS-gamma with the least salient naturalistic stimuli indicates that there is not an apparent penalty for also having inputs arrive outside the beneficial window. This finding is in contrast to the more artificial periodic stimulation condition with a 7.5-ms latency, in which all inputs arrived just before the window and resulted in impaired performance. Put another way, the conceptual framework predicts that naturalistic stimuli received the benefit of FS-gamma presence by having high-velocity micromotions that drove activity in the optimal window, but there was no perceptual penalty for extra high-velocity micromotions at other latencies.

An open question is why detection of more salient naturalistic stimuli was suppressed by entrained FS-gamma. In apparent contrast, highly salient periodic stimuli were not impaired, and endogenous expression of higher gamma power did not predict impaired detection of highly salient naturalistic stimuli. Baseline detection rates for highly salient periodic and naturalistic stimuli were around 90% (Fig. 5d and Supplementary Fig. 6), so ceiling effects alone cannot explain this discrepancy. Additional experiments will be required to directly address this issue.

Our findings and this conceptual framework indicate that FS-gamma will benefit perception if sensory inputs are less salient and consist of a high rate of peripheral events (as in many vibrissal or auditory natural scenes) or a consistent, tonic presence of input generating high-frequency drive (such as during sustained visual input while fixating for hundreds of milliseconds between saccades). Stochastic more sparse afferent input could also access this window. Our results suggest that only stimuli occurring at the same frequency as FS-gamma, and with the ‘wrong’ latency offset, would be penalized by the emergence of this dynamic. In concept, however, such stimuli should be relatively rare in natural sensation.

**Potential mechanisms underlying the perceptual benefits**

Generation of FS-gamma has been hypothesized to benefit processing by producing enhanced firing rate in response to sensory stimuli (increased gain) and/or synchronization of local pyramidal activity. Several mechanisms have been proposed for how FS-gamma could lead to firing-rate gain, including cellular-level induction of enhanced excitability resulting from a prior deep inhibition10,44,45. Circuit-level mechanisms have also been proposed, including increased pyramidal excitability through decreased feed-forward recruitment of FS in the period immediately after synchronous FS activation12. Synchronization of pyramidal activity, with or without concomitant rate changes, also has been a proposed consequence of FS-gamma expression and could occur through the enhanced temporal precision enforced by multiple cycles of inhibition. Firing probability will be reduced during the peak of deep inhibition generated by synchronous FS activation and again by the following cycle of inhibition, leaving the ‘window of opportunity’ for pyramidal activation17. Limiting the temporal occurrence of pyramidal firing to this window should increase synchrony, and synchronous activation could benefit downstream relay by an increase in the probability of driving spikes in downstream targets. In Supplementary Figure 10 we provide a graphical representation of the impact of these predictions and further description of them.

**Entrained FS-gamma did not increase net firing rate**

In the limited sample of single units from layer II/III recorded in the present study, we did not observe widespread increases in rate in the presence of entrained FS-gamma and instead observed significant decreases in mean rate (Fig. 7c,e). This finding is inconsistent with the view that rate increases across the local population (for example, layer II/III of the relevant neocortical column) are required to drive the perceptual enhancement we observed. Our limited sample did reveal gain increases in a few RS, posing the possibility that FS-gamma–driven rate enhancement in a sparse, maximally informative subset of neurons could be crucial to behavior, even though the net effect was suppression.

In contrast to the absence of population rate effects, we observed enhanced temporal precision in sensory evoked responses that predicted enhanced performance in the naturalistic and periodic stimulus conditions. These findings imply that local synchrony could underlie the perceptual benefit observed. However, neither rate changes nor temporal precision changes predicted the decreased detection probability observed at the 7.5-ms latency. This failure of local neural transformations to predict positive and negative impacts on behavior underscores the limitations of the current data set and more generally the likelihood that the effect of local FS-gamma on behavior is a network-level phenomenon, not simply the altered readout of a single local neural population–level metric.

**Interpretations relative to other recent reports**

Recent studies examining the neural correlates of sensory performance in both mice and rats have come to a variety of conclusions regarding the relative importance of rate transformations for behavioral success. Authors of a recent study46 concluded that the rate of layer IV firing of barrel neocortex neurons predicted detection of
vibrissal contact with a pole, independent of the phase of vibrissal position at the time of the rate increase. Similarly, others\textsuperscript{28} found that detection of highly salient vibrissal deflections was predicted by increased firing in layer II/III neurons that was not temporally locked to the stimulus, and occurred in a window 50–400 ms after initial passive vibrissa motion. High-frequency (200 Hz) optogenetic activation of FS during this epoch suppressed behavioral performance\textsuperscript{28}. Detection of direct optogenetic stimulation by mice has also suggested that rate, and not temporal pattern or synchronization, are exclusively predictive of performance. Others\textsuperscript{47} recently reported that the rate of activation resulting from optogenetic drive of excitatory neurons across neocortical layers in V1 was the sole predictor of detection of these stimuli. They did not observe a benefit of patterning excitatory drive in the beta and gamma frequency ranges.

In potential contrast to these recent results, our findings do not suggest that mean rate increases across a local population predict perceptual success. However, our findings are supported by another recent study\textsuperscript{48}, which found that behavioral detection of single-neuron stimulation was not simplistically predicted by rate increases, with evoked firing rates of 30 Hz better detected than rates of 90 Hz. Further, direct stimulation of FS was more effective in driving detection than direct stimulation of pyramidal neurons\textsuperscript{48}. This result is in agreement with our finding that FS drive at 40 Hz can systematically enhance detection probability. In further agreement with our results, detection of the change in the orientation of visual input in mice was also enhanced by optogenetic FS drive of area V1, although that study did not measure whether gamma LFP increases were induced by their optogenetic stimuli\textsuperscript{49}.

Reconciliation of these apparently disparate results could arise from experimental differences. Our study probed layer II/III in a passive detection task, in contrast to manipulation of layer IV dynamics in a sensorimotor task in ref. 46 and the stimulation of excitatory neurons across layers in ref. 47. If population-level rate increases in deeper layers predict enhanced detection, but they do not for layer II/III, our findings may be consistent. Although similar in design, the experiment in ref. 28 probed only supramaximal stimuli, and the authors did not attempt to emulate FS-gamma, instead applying FS stimulation at 200 Hz, which are differences that could readily account for the difference in findings.

Although these potential explanations could account for the different conclusions reached across studies, the similarity in the findings in ref. 48 and our results suggests that, at least under the experimental conditions in these studies, mean increases in rate across larger populations of neurons are not a requisite predictor of detection success and that the dynamics driven by FS recruitment are an effective mechanism for enhancing relay.

A role for FS-gamma can be distinct from a role in binding

Correlative studies have shown that local neocortical gamma oscillations are often present at an appropriate time to enhance perceptual processing. The allocation of attention to a specific position in space leads to increased gamma expression during stimulus presentation in the associated region of area V4 (refs. 1,6). These findings, and theoretical and empirical studies implicating fine-timescale synchronization across dispersed representations, coincide with a hypothesis that gamma-mediated temporal organization underlies the binding of images into a coherent percept\textsuperscript{50}. This hypothesis, as well as related predictions linking gamma-timescale activity to the encoding of stimulus features, has been criticized as inconsistent with the fluctuations in the precise frequency of gamma observed in area V1 with changes in stimulus salience\textsuperscript{14}.

These recent results showing gamma fluctuations do not contradict a different view about the potential benefit of gamma, supported by our findings, that increased FS-gamma in a local neocortical circuit could enhance the relay of stimuli to benefit detection\textsuperscript{10,12,44}. Under this view, transformations in pyramidal synchrony or increases in local firing rate associated with FS-gamma can increase the probability of downstream relay. In agreement with this prediction, higher levels of gamma over human occipital lobe predict enhanced detection of change\textsuperscript{2}, and similar increases in human somatosensory neocortex predict heightened detection of innocuous input\textsuperscript{3}. Further, local gamma expression in V1 predicts increased firing in synaptic recipients in V2 with aligned receptive fields\textsuperscript{36}. The present study supports this predicted role for FS-gamma, although the psychophysical demands of the present experiments do not directly test a role in binding or encoding by this dynamic.

Implications for further study

We designed our study to test the behavioral impact of emulating a natural dynamic, the repeated cyclical synchronization of FS in the gamma frequency range. Although our experiments conclusively show enhancement of sensory detection by entrained FS-gamma and the specific requirement for accessing a window of opportunity to achieve this enhancement, they are not conclusive as to the specific neural mechanisms mediating this benefit. Systematic examination of this question will require the local measurement of synchronization and gain of well-isolated single units localized to additional specific cell layers in SI. Simultaneous interrogation of downstream activity in cortical and subcortical targets, including correlational recording and causal control, will be necessary to determine the impact of these transformations on relay.

Another key question and topic for further study is whether the periodicity and frequency range of FS-gamma matters for behavioral benefit, or whether individual events of FS synchronization, potentially occurring stochastically and with greater or lower frequency, can drive the same effects. Our conceptual model does not require a subsequent cycle of synchronized FS activity after the optimal temporal window for relay of signals to benefit (Supplementary Fig. 9). Further, as discussed above, recent work\textsuperscript{47} suggests that rates of activity in the gamma range are not preferentially relayed, though work by others\textsuperscript{48} suggests that such patterning may be beneficial. Our own computational modeling\textsuperscript{12} has predicted that the timing of the next event of FS synchrony (e.g., 25 ms after the prior event) may be optimal in enhancing the efficiency of neocortical relay, by preventing the expression of spikes that would not have contributed to relay occurring outside the optimal temporal window. From this perspective, the rate of FS-gamma at 30–80 Hz may be optimal because it is the fastest rate at which cycles of a beneficial individual temporal transformation can be realized, not because the frequency in itself carries information about the stimulus.

Conclusion

The current results directly address a long-standing debate in neocortical function, whether FS-gamma can impact sensory processing (either positively or negatively). Although theoretical studies have long suggested a role for this dynamic in processes such as attention, the evidence in support of this view has so far been correlative. Arguments against a possible role for FS-gamma have included mechanistic propositions, such as the view that the biophysical properties of neocortical neurons are not suited to benefit from this dynamic\textsuperscript{13}, and information-processing perspectives, such as the view that neocortical transformations on such short timescales would not benefit
readout of perceptual signals. Here we provided causal evidence that FS-gamma can improve psychophysical performance and that such enhancement requires transformations with temporal precision on the ~5-ms timescale. The extent to which these findings generalize to other tasks and brain regions requires additional study, as does detailed examination of the local and downstream transformations in neural activity driven by FS-gamma. Nevertheless, these results provide a unique existence proof that this dynamic can improve the relay of sensory signals through the neocortex.

**METHODS**

Methods and any associated references are available in the online version of the paper.
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ONLINE METHODS

Animals. All mice were male parvalbumin-Cre (PV-Cre) heterozygotes, derived from PV-Cre bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) transgenics back-crossed into a C57BL/6j line (gift from S. Arber, now available as Jackson Laboratory strain B6129P2-PvlacJtm1(cre)Arbr). Mice were 8–14 weeks old at the time of initial surgery (mean age = 11.5±1.26 weeks). Animals were individually housed and maintained on a 12-h reversed light-dark cycle (lights out at 9:00 a.m. or 12:00 p.m.). All experimental procedures and animal care protocols were approved by the Massachusetts Institute of Technology and Brown University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committees and were in accordance with US National Institutes of Health guidelines.

AAV vectors. Sequence encoding ChR2 (ref. 51) fused to the fluorescent protein mCherry was cloned in the antisense direction into pAAV-MCS (Stratagene) to create adeno-associated virus (AAV) DIO ChR2-mCherry (Supplementary Fig. 2). ChR2-mCherry was flanked by a pair of canonical loxp sites and a pair of mutated lox2272 sites52. A woodchuck hepatitis B virus post-transcriptional element was placed in sense direction 5’ of the poly(A). AAV particles of serotype 2 were produced at the Vector Core Facility in accordance with US National Institutes of Health guidelines.

Fiberoptic–electrode implants. Implants were constructed around a custom plastic base, designed in SolidWorks (Dassault Systems) and printed via stereolithography in Accura 55 plastic (American Precision Prototyping). The plastic base held eight electrodes around a central fiberoptic cable (Doric Lenses). Electrodes were made from 12.5 µm polyimide-coated nichrome wire (Kanthal), twisted and heated to form tetrodes or stereotrodes33. In three animals, the electrodes were stationary. In five animals, electrodes were fixed to laser-cut plastic springs (Pololu) individually driven by miniature screws84. Electrodes were attached to a custom electrode interface board (Sunstone) with gold pins (Neuralynx). Individual electrodes were gold-plated to an impedance of 200–400 kΩ. The fiberoptic cable had an inner diameter of 200 µm and a cladding diameter of 260 µm and was terminated with a 1.25 mm metal ferrule (Supplementary Fig. 7).

Surgical procedure. Naive mice were anesthetized with isofluorane gas anesthesia (0.75–1.25% in 1 l/min oxygen) and secured in a stereotaxic apparatus. The scalp was shaved, wiped with hair-removal cream and cleaned with iodine solution and alcohol. After intraperitoneal (IP) injection of Buprenex (0.1 mg/kg, as an analgesic) and dexamethasone (4 mg/kg, to prevent tissue swelling) and local injection of lidocaine, the skull was exposed with an incision along the midline. After the skull was cleaned, two small stainless-steel watch screws were implanted in the skull plates anterior to bregma, one of which served as ground. Next, a 1.5-mm-diameter craniotomy was drilled over barrel cortex of the left hemisphere (1.5 mm posterior to bregma and 3.5 mm lateral to the midline). We did not target a specific barrel, but post hoc analysis revealed that our implants were located near the rear of the barrel map, centered around the C2 column. Viruses were delivered through a glass micropipette attached to a Quintessential stereotaxic injector (Stoelting). The glass micropipette was lowered through the dura to a depth of 450 µm below the cortical surface. A bolus of 1 µl of virus (AAV DIO ChR2-mCherry; 2 × 10^{12} viral molecules per ml) was injected into the cortex at 0.05 µl/min. After the injection, the pipette was held in place for 10 min at the injection depth and 10 min at a depth of 200 µm before being fully retracted from the brain. The fiberoptic–electrode implant (Supplementary Fig. 7a) was aligned with the craniotomy at an angle of 15° from vertical and lowered to the surface of the cortex, centered approximately 200 µm anterior to the injection site. If notable bleeding occurred during implantation, the surgery was aborted. Once the implant was stable, a small ring of dental acrylic was placed around its base. A drop of surgical lubricant (Surgilube) prevented dental acrylic from contacting the cortical surface. A custom head post made from durable plastic (APPs) was then affixed to the skull with adhesive luting cement (C&B Metabond). Once the cement was dry, the scalp incision was closed with VetBond (3M), and mice were removed from isoflurane. Mice were given 3–10 d to recover before the start of water restriction.

Stimulus delivery and behavioral control. Vibrisae were stimulated by computer-controlled movements of piezoelectric wafers (Noliac). Stimulations consisted of high-speed deflections in the dorsal direction with a raised cosine velocity profile (6 ms rising phase) or naturalistic velocity profiles based on actual vibrissa movements as described above. Vibrissae were held with a silk suture loop fed through a 4-mm, 21-gauge stainless-steel cannula, which was attached to the piezoelectric wafer via a glass capillary tube (0.8 mm outer diameter). Several vibrissae were secured, centered around the C2 vibrissa and gripped ~5 mm from the mystacial pad. Mice were photographed from above at the start of each session to confirm which vibrissae were stimulated. For maximum-amplitude deflections, vibrissae moved approximately 1 mm at the point of contact. All stimulation amplitudes were calibrated using a linear charge-coupled device (CCD) array.

Water delivery was based on gravitational flow controlled by a solenoid valve (Lee Co.) connected with Tygon tubing. Mice received distilled water through a plastic tube mounted on a piezoelectric wafer. This ‘lick tube’ was positioned near the animal’s mouth using a micromanipulator. The water volume was controlled by the duration of valve opening (30–60 ms), calibrated to give an ~8 μl per opening. Individual licks were detected by amplifying and thresholding the output of the piezoelectric wafer using a custom circuit board or Arduino microcontroller.

The light stimulus was delivered through a jacketed fiber-optic cable 200 μm in diameter and 2.5 m long with a numerical aperture of 0.22 (Doric Lenses) connected to a 473 nm laser (Opto Engine). Laser light passed through an adjustable neutral-density filter and a collimator (Thorlabs PAF-X-15-PC-A) before entering the fiber. The 2.5 m fiber was connected to the animal’s head via two mating metal ferrules sheathed in a zirconia sleeve. Light loss for this connection was measured for each implant before surgery, and was around 50%. The amplitude of the light stimulus was calibrated daily with an optical power meter (Thorlabs PM100D with S120C sensor). Light power at the surface of the cortex was estimated to be ~1 mW, or 30 mW/mm² for a 200 µm fiber, a lower level than that used in a previous study from our lab19. We wanted our optogenetic manipulation to modulate F5 synchrony, rather than flood the cortex with inhibition. Because the fiber was not implanted into the brain, this irradiance was roughly the same throughout the granionyoty (1.5 mm diameter). To calculate the depth of light penetration, we used a model based on direct measurements in mammalian brain tissue (http://www.stanford.edu/group/dlab/cgi-bin/graph/chart.php). Light power was strongest in layer II/III, falling off to 1.75 mW/mm² at a depth of 500 µm (approximately layer IV).

All behavioral events, piezoelectric control, reward delivery, laser stimulation and lick measurements were monitored and controlled by custom software written in LabView or Matlab and interfaced with a PCI DIO board (National Instruments).

Trial structure. On each trial with 40-Hz stimuli, vibrissae were stimulated for 400 ms at a single amplitude, varying between 0 (‘blank’ trials) and 1 mm (‘maximal’ trials). Amplitude did not vary within a given trial. For naturalistic stimuli, vibrissae were stimulated with one of 17 400-ms velocity profiles (Results and Supplementary Fig. 3). On laser-stimulation trials, 1-ms pulses of light were delivered at 40 Hz for 600 ms. Vibrissae deflections began after the fourth light pulse. The precise timing of the vibrissae deflections relative to the light pulses varied across five temporal offsets in the periodic stimulus trials, but remained consistent within individual trials. For all sessions, intertrial intervals were uniformly distributed between 4 s and 6 s.

If mice licked the reward spout at any point up to 500 ms after the onset of the vibrissae stimulus, a drop of water was delivered. After a slight delay, any remaining water was removed via vacuum suction. This prevented mice from receiving reward that was not immediately preceded by a vibrissae stimulus. There was no punishment or time-out period for false alarms.

When the vibrissae were removed from the lasso, but the stimulator remained near the face, performance immediately dropped to chance levels. This indicates that mice were notlicking to some other aspect of the stimulus, such as auditory cues or vibrations transmitted through the table.

Behavioral training. Training began after at least 3 d of postoperative recovery and at least 7 d of water restriction (1 ml/d). All training sessions took place near the beginning of the animals’ dark cycle. At the beginning of each session, mice were secured to the head–post apparatus with their bodies placed inside a Falcon tube. For the first five training sessions, mice were head-fixed.
for periods of 5 min to 15 min and given water *ad libitum*, to allow them to accommodate to the experimental apparatus. Vibrissa stimulation was added during the next week of training. At first, post-stimulus reward was delivered regardless of the animal’s response, to establish a contingency between vibrissa deflections and drops of water. During this week, training time was gradually increased to 45 min. As mice became accustomed to performing the task (at approximately day 10 of training), water was only delivered if the reward spout was licked within 500 ms of stimulus onset. Initially, all trials included maximum-amplitude stimuli, with no blank trials. On successive days, blank stimuli (up to 10%) were randomly interleaved and the probability of nonmaximal stimuli was increased.

After reaching criterion on the training task, laser-light stimulation was added on a subset of trials. Laser stimulation was given ~100 ms preceding tactile stimulation for a duration of 600 ms (100 ms post-stimulation) at ~1 mW power on the cortical surface. 50% of trials included delivery of stimuli at a single ‘threshold’ amplitude ranging from 20% to 40% of the maximum stimulus amplitude, with the same duration to peak amplitude (6 ms). 30% of trials were blank, with the remaining 20% of trials at maximum amplitude to keep the animals engaged in the task. On half of all trials, laser stimulation was presented at one of five temporal offsets (Fig. 6a). All trial types were randomly interleaved.

Mice that did not consume 1 ml of water during the training sessions were supplemented with water in their home cage several hours after the experiment finished.

**Electrophysiology.** Spikes and local field potentials were recorded using twisted-wire nichrome stereotrodes or tetrodes integrated into a custom implant (Supplementary Fig. 7a). Recording electrodes were either implanted 300–400 µm from the surface of the cortex during surgery or lowered to the same depth over the course of several days. Once they reached their final depth, electrodes were not moved for the remainder of the experiment. Throughout each recording session, broadband signals referenced to ground were digitized at 40 kHz (Recorder/64, Plexon). Electrophysiology data were synchronized with behavioral data via digital pulses at the start of each trial.

We measured the delay between the onset of the first deflection and the appearance of the evoked response on our recording electrodes. We found the delay to be 8–10 ms, similar to that in previous reports (Supplementary Fig. 5).

**Histology.** At the end of training, electrodes sites were lesioned with 15 µA of current for 10 s. Mice were transcardially perfused with 100 mM PBS followed by 4% formaldehyde in PBS. Brains were post-fixed for at least 18 h at 4 °C. 60 µm sections were mounted on glass slides with Vectashield (Vector Laboratories), covered with a coverslip and imaged with an upright fluorescence microscope (Supplementary Fig. 2b). Viral expression was confirmed in all animals (n = 8). Expression was generally limited to the upper layers of barrel cortex (II–IV). In one mouse, expression was also observed in the lower layers (V and VI). Along the ventral-medial and anterior-posterior axes, spread of the virus ranged between 0.3 and 1.0 mm, encompassing 1–3 barrel columns.

**Behavioral analysis.** Data analysis was performed in Matlab (Mathworks). Raw data from LabView or Matlab were converted to event times for behavioral analysis. Trials were first selected based on d’ according to the following procedure. (i) Hit rate and false alarm rates were calculated for blocks of 50 trials, slid in one-trial intervals. A negative offset was added to the hit rate and an equal-but-opposite offset was added to false alarms, to prevent d’ saturation. An offset of 0.04 was used for all analyses. Hit rate was capped at a minimum value equivalent to the offset and false alarm rate was capped at a maximum value equivalent to 1 minus the offset. (ii) d’ was calculated as Z(hit rate) – Z(false alarm rate), where Z stands for the inverse of the Gaussian distribution function (Supplementary Fig. 5). (iii) For any blocks with d’ above a value of 1.25, the middle trial was included in the analysis. If fewer than 25% of trials remained after this procedure was carried out, the subject was excluded from analysis (1/9 mice for periodic stimuli, 1/5 mice for naturalistic stimuli). This criterion was established before the start of experimentation.

After d’ trial rejection, trials with prestimulus licks within 1.5 s of trial onset were also eliminated. Trials were selected from the remaining subset based on the parameters of the vibrissae and laser stimuli. Hit rate and d’ were calculated for each animal for each set of parameters.

Because all mice were of the same genotype, and all experienced the same experimental conditions (except the four mice that did not receive the naturalistic stimulation), data collection and analysis were not performed blind to the conditions of the experiments.

**Statistical analysis.** P values were obtained using nonparametric statistical tests, unless otherwise stated. Where there were more than two treatments per animal (such as the 5 stimulus-to-laser temporal offsets plus baseline conditions), the Friedman test was used (nonparametric version of a repeated-measures analysis of variance (ANOVA)). For post hoc comparisons and any tests where we collapsed across temporal offsets, we used the one-sided Wilcoxon signed-rank test if the hypothesis was predicted by previous work (for example, improved performance by the 12.5 ms offset), and a two-sided test otherwise. Whenever multiple tests were performed on the same data set, we adjusted P values using the Bonferroni correction procedure. Because of the Bonferroni corrections (applied via multiplication), some P values are >1. No statistical methods were used to predetermine sample sizes but our sample sizes are similar to those reported in previous publications.

To analyze the significance of the results from Figure 6, we also employed a permutation procedure to determine the likelihood that at least 7/8 animals would improve relative to baseline at any one temporal offset and at least 5/8 animals would have their best performance at that temporal offset, under the null hypothesis that our manipulation had no effect. For each round of the simulation, five temporal offsets plus one baseline condition were randomly ordered for each of 8 mice. After 10,000 repetitions, we counted the total number of rounds in which the requirements were satisfied, and found the probability was always less than 0.01.

In one case (Fig. 5b), we used a t-test with Bonferroni correction to test significance. We used the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test to test for normality before applying this test (P < 0.0005).

**Offline electrophysiological analysis.** Continuous electrophysiological data from the Plexon system was either low-pass filtered (third-order Butterworth) and downsampled to 2 kHz or filtered between 600 Hz and 6,000 Hz (acausal FIR filter) and thresholded to extract spikes. Spikes were sorted offline using custom software written in Matlab (Simple Clust v0.5, available at https://github.com/moorelab/simpleclust). Well-isolated single units were readily matched across days by eye; afterward, cell identity was confirmed using a waveform similarity metric. In total, we isolated 43 units from 3 mice, which persisted an average of 3.29 ± 3.2 d each (range: 1–13 d). The distribution of units was as follows: 26 units from mouse 1, 1 from mouse 2 and 16 from mouse 3. Cluster quality was quantified using L-ratio and isolation distance of the four peak heights of bandpass-filtered waveforms for each electrode. If putative single units had an L-ratio greater than 1 or an isolation distance less than 5, they were rejected. On average, our units had an L-ratio of 0.19 ± 0.20 and an isolation distance of 19.68 ± 15.42, similar to previously reported values for peak heights. We used the peak-to-trough ratio and peak-to-trough separation on 600–6000 Hz filtered waveforms to classify cells as fast-spiking or regular-spiking. We found two distinct clusters of units, one with 35 regular-spiking cells and one with 8 fast-spiking cells (Supplementary Fig. 7d). A subset of well-isolated FS units (2 of 8) were activated by light.

Peristimulus firing rate was calculated as the mean firing rate between 30 ms and 400 ms after stimulus onset. Vector strength was calculated for the same period using the procedure described in ref. 63. Spike precision was calculated as the interquartile range of spike times within the first 25 ms of stimulus onset. Spike precision was calculated only on multiunit activity, as single-unit spike rates were not high enough to observe consistent peri-stimulus time histograms after the first vibrissa deflection. This is the same way that spike precision was measured in a previous paper from our lab.

A Supplementary Methods Checklist is available.
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