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ABSTRACT
Eddy covariance (EC) measurements of turbulent fluxes of momentum, sensible heat and latent heat – in addition to net radiation measurements – were conducted for three consecutive years in an urban environment: Helsinki, Finland. The aims were to: (1) quantify the detection limit and random uncertainty of turbulent fluxes, (2) assess the systematic error caused by EC calculation-procedure choices on the energy balance residual and (3) report the energy balance of the world’s northernmost urban flux station. The mean detection limits were about 10% of the observed flux, and the random uncertainty was 9–16%. Of all fluxes, the latent heat flux – as measured with a closed-path gas analyser – was most prone to systematic calculation errors due to water vapour interactions with tube walls: using a lag window that is too small can cause a 15% lack of data (due to the dependency of lag time on relative humidity) and omitting spectral corrections can cause on average a 26% underestimation of the flux. The systematic errors in EC calculation propagate into the energy balance residual and can be larger than the residual itself: for example, omitting spectral corrections overestimates the residual by 13% or 18% on average, depending on the analyser.

Keywords: eddy covariance, urban, energy balance, flux uncertainty, flux error

1. Introduction
Approximately 75% of Europe’s population lives in urban environments and a quarter of EU’s land surface has been directly affected by urbanisation (EEA, 2006). This type of land-use change affects urban climate through alteration in surface–atmosphere interactions through energy fluxes. The urban surface energy balance of a building-air volume can be expressed as in the studies by Oke (1988), Offerle et al. (2005) and Sailor (2011)

\[ R_n + Q_F - \Delta Q_S = H + LE + Q_A + \varepsilon_{EB}. \]  

where the left-hand side is the available energy with net radiation \( R_n \), anthropogenic heat release \( Q_F \), including buildings, transportation and human metabolism) and change in heat storage \( \Delta Q_S \), including air, trees, buildings, soil, etc.). The right-hand side includes turbulent fluxes of sensible heat \( H \) and latent heat \( LE \) in addition to advection through the volume \( Q_A \), which is not readily measurable. The error term \( \varepsilon_{EB} \) that includes all other small processes such as precipitation is also assumed negligible. Direct measurement techniques of \( R_n \) are readily available, \( H \) and \( LE \) are measured using the only direct flux measurement technique, the eddy covariance (EC) method, but no standardised, direct methods for the estimation of \( Q_F \) and \( \Delta Q_S \) are available. Consequently, these two terms are commonly treated together as the energy balance residual

\[ Res = R_n - H - LE = \Delta Q_S - Q_F, \]  

which is negative when there is an additional energy source fueling \( H \) and \( LE \), i.e. the building-air volume loses heat \( \Delta Q_S < 0 \), there is an anthropogenic heat release \( Q_F > 0 \), or both. The determination of \( Res \) suffers from the differences in energy flux source areas, which is a common and unavoidable problem in urban micrometeorological measurements (Oke, 2006).

As a consequence of the land cover changes that have led to the alteration of energy balance components, many models have been developed to describe this surface–atmosphere coupling (Grimmond et al., 2010, 2011). The increase in computation power has started to allow the inclusion of these processes to numerical weather prediction, and some operational weather prediction models already have urban environments incorporated in them.
Nevertheless, there is still a shortage of observational studies of surface-atmosphere interactions in cities due to the diversity in city types and locations on Earth. Only within the past decade have EC flux measurements been conducted in urban environments. Most of the studies are concentrated on mid-latitude cities (Nemitz et al., 2002; Christen and Vogt, 2004; Grimmond et al., 2004; Rotach et al., 2005; Moriwaki and Kanda, 2006; Offerle et al., 2006; Pigeon et al., 2007; Lemonsu et al., 2008; Bergeron and Strachan, 2010; Wood et al., 2010) but recently also lower latitudes (<30°N, Frey et al., 2011) and higher latitudes (>60°N, Vesala et al., 2008) have been investigated.

Owing to the earlier start of turbulent flux measurements at non-urban sites, more effort on the methodological developments of the EC technique is made using data from, for example, forests, whereas such work with urban flux data is scarce. The turbulent fluxes over an urban surface differ from those over a forest due to a higher roughness, fraction of impervious surfaces and anthropogenic heat sources; and thus the atmosphere is less unstably stratified. The effect of EC calculation procedures on flux values at non-urban sites was extensively studied in Mauder and Foken (2006), Mauder et al. (2007) and Mauder et al. (2008), whereas Richardson et al. (2006), He et al. (2010) and Billesbach (2011) made an analysis on uncertainties incorporated in EC measurements at vegetative sites. Careful assessment of EC calculation procedures is needed in order to minimise systematic errors in flux calculations, and uncertainty analysis is important for assessing the systematic and unsystematic uncertainties incorporated in flux measurements. Uncertainty assessment is also vital for data assimilation (Raupach et al., 2005).

The quantification of errors stemming from EC calculation procedures and general EC flux uncertainty are especially important in urban environments, as the energy balance residual can be significant and cannot be easily measured (Grimmond and Oke, 1999; Christen and Vogt, 2004; Lemonsu et al., 2004; Bergeron and Strachan, 2010). Consequently, all errors made in the calculation of turbulent heat fluxes from EC data are propagated to the estimation of the anthropogenic heat release and heat storage change. For comparison, the energy balance at natural sites (without $Q_F$) is not usually closed and the EC method is suspected to underestimate the energy fluxes. The underestimation is commonly observed to be about 20% (Wilson et al., 2002; Launiainen, 2010; Nordbo et al., 2011). Thus, the residual of the energy balance gives an upper limit for the possible $\Delta Q_S$ and $Q_F$.

The aims of this study are (1) to quantify the random uncertainty and detection limit of turbulent urban heat fluxes, (2) to provide estimates for the systematic errors caused by combinations of EC flux calculations, (3) to assess the propagation of the systematic error into the urban energy balance residual term and (4) to report 3 years’ energy flux data for the world’s northernmost urban EC flux measurement site.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Study site

The measurements were conducted at the SMEAR III station (Station for Measuring Ecosystem-Atmosphere Relationships), 4 km north-east of downtown Helsinki, Finland. The station consists of (1) a 31-m-high lattice tower (60°12.17′N, 24°57.67′E in WGS84) and (2) rooftop measurements on top of a university building, which is 100 m north-east from the tower. The tower is located on a small hill, peaking at 29 m.a.s.l., with a deepest fall of 12° towards the south-east (120°–180°, Fig. 1). The surroundings are characterised by heterogeneity, with surface coverage including impervious surfaces (such as buildings and parking lots), patchy forests and grassland. The area can be divided into three surface coverage sectors (urban 320°–40°, road 40°–180° and vegetation 180°–320°) designated according to the dominant surface cover. The shortest distance to the shore line is 1 km, and no water surfaces are within the estimated flux footprint.

Fig. 1. An overview of the surrounding area of the EC tower: white height contour lines (m) superimposed on a Google Earth picture. The locations of the SMEAR III tower and the auxiliary meteorological instruments are marked with a red star and circle, respectively. Black lines indicate the borders of the three sectors (urban 320°–40°, road 40°–180° and vegetation 180°–320°).
area (Vesala et al., 2008). Furthermore, the station has formed a part of the Helsinki Testbed project since its beginning (Koskinen et al., 2011). For further information on the station and the measurement site, see Vesala et al. (2008) and Järvi et al. (2009a,b).

The Helsinki metropolitan area (765 km$^2$) is the world’s northernmost urban area among those with a population of over a million. The city is located on the coast of the Gulf of Finland and is the northernmost EU state capital. The weather is either marine or continental depending on the origin of the prevailing air mass, and the temperatures during winter are much higher than expected on the grounds of the latitude due to the mitigating effect of the North Atlantic Drift. However, the northern location causes a wide range in day length: sun-lit hours are 6 and 19 hours at the winter and summer solstices, respectively. The climatological monthly mean temperatures (1971–2000) range from −4.9°C for February to 17.2°C for July, and the annual precipitation is 642 mm (Drebs et al., 2002).

2.2. Eddy covariance measurements

The eddy covariance method was used to measure turbulent fluxes of sensible and latent heat in addition to momentum. The measurements were made from the tower at 31 m height, and the setup consisted of a three-dimensional sonic anemometer (USA-1, Metek GmbH, Germany) for acquiring the three wind components and sonic temperature, and two infrared gas analysers for measuring the fluctuations in CO$_2$ and H$_2$O density and mixing ratio, respectively (open-path analyser LI-7500 and closed-path analyser LI-7000; LI-COR, Lincoln, NE, USA). The open-path analyser was set 20 cm below the anemometer, in a 30° slanted position to attempt to hinder rain droplets from staying in the measurement volume. Furthermore, a thermometer (semiconductor thermometer, LM335; National Semiconductor, Santa Clara, CA, USA) was placed on the LI-7500 in February 2009 to measure the analyser surface temperature. The closed-path analyser LI-7000; LI-COR, Lincoln, NE, USA) was set 20 cm below the anemometer and was drawn down to the infrared analyser through a 41 m length of steel tube, with an inner diameter of 8 mm and a mean flow rate of 17 l min$^{-1}$. The tube was heated (initially 4 W m$^{-1}$, and from 8 September 2010 onwards 16 W m$^{-1}$) to avoid water vapour condensation on tube walls. In addition, a mesh was installed to the inlet (acid-proof steel, diameter 6 mm, thickness 1 mm) and a filter was installed just before the analyser (PTFE filter, 1 μm pore size, diameter 50 mm, Gelman Acro 50) to prevent dirt from disturbing the measurements. Furthermore, the raw EC data were sampled at 10 Hz and stored for post-processing. The data were acquired between October 2007 and September 2010, representing three consecutive years.

2.3. Auxiliary meteorological measurements

In addition to the EC measurements, several meteorological variables were measured from the tower and the rooftop. Incoming and outgoing short- and long-wave radiation (CNR1; Kipp&Zonen, Delft, Netherlands) were measured from the tower at 31 m height to provide the net radiation, $R$. According to a cosine response, the 99% source area is a circle with a 31 m radius. The area consists of vegetation (45%), rock (35%) and impervious surface (20%); and the snow-free albedo is 0.15±0.05 (mean and standard deviation, for times when global radiation input >10 W m$^{-2}$). Data were divided into daytime and night-time observations using an incoming solar radiation limit of 4 W m$^{-2}$. Furthermore, air temperature (platinum resistant thermometer, Pt-100), air pressure (silicon aneroid barometer, Vaisala DPA500; Vaisala Oyj, Vantaa, Finland), relative humidity (RH, platinum resistance thermometer and thin film polymer sensor, Vaisala HMP243), wind speed ($U$, cup anemometer, Vaisala WAA141), wind direction (wind vane, Vaisala WAV151) and precipitation (rain gauge, Pluvio2, Ott Messtechnik GmbH, Germany) were measured at the rooftop site (24 m a.g.l., 51 m a.s.l.). Data were divided into thermal seasons according to the 5-d running mean temperature: spring and fall are periods with temperatures between 0°C and 10°C, and winter and summer have temperatures below 0°C and over 10°C, respectively. Snow cover is interpreted to prevail when the 5-d running-mean short-wave radiation albedo exceeds 0.3. This value is twice the albedo of the source area under the $R$ measurements and is low enough to include both old and wet snow.

2.4. Eddy covariance calculations

The turbulent fluxes of sensible heat ($H$, W m$^{-2}$), latent heat ($LE$, W m$^{-2}$) and momentum ($\tau$, kg m$^{-2}$ s$^{-2}$) were measured with the above-described setup. The fluxes are calculated from covariances between a respective scalar and the vertical wind speed ($w$) as

$$H = \rho_a c_{pa} \overline{u w}$$

$$LE_{cp} = \overline{L \theta' w'}$$

$$\tau = -\rho_a \sqrt{\overline{u'^2} + \overline{v'^2}} = -\rho_a u^2,$$

where $\rho_a$ (kg m$^{-3}$) is the density of air, $c_{pa} = 1004$ J kg$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$ the specific heat of air at constant pressure and $L$ (J kg$^{-1}$) the latent heat of vapourisation of
water, which was calculated as a function of air temperature according to Aubinet et al. (2000). \( T^*, w^*, u^*, v^* \) and \( q_{OP}^* \) represent the deviations from the time averages of virtual temperature (K) (see Liu et al. 2001), vertical wind speed (m s\(^{-1}\)), two horizontal wind speed components (m s\(^{-1}\)), the water vapour density (kg m\(^{-3}\)) from the open-path analyser and water vapour mixing ratio (mmol mol\(^{-1}\)) from the closed-path analyser, respectively. \( M_w \) and \( M_a \) are the molar masses of water and air and \( u^* \) is the friction velocity (m s\(^{-1}\)). In this paper, the residual (eq. 2) calculated using \( LE_{OP} \) will be denoted \( Res_{OP} \) and likewise the residual using \( LE_{CP} \) is \( Res_{CP} \). All turbulent heat fluxes are defined positive when directed upward.

Furthermore, the turbulent fluxes provide a possibility to calculate the dimensionless atmospheric stability \( \zeta = \frac{z}{L} \), where \( z \) is the measurement height, \( d \) the displacement height and \( L = \frac{\rho_c k}{\gamma g} \) the Obukhov length (m, \( k = 0.4 \) and \( g = 9.81 \text{m s}^{-2} \)). The sign of \( \zeta \) is solely determined by the sign of \( H \), and three stability categories are used in this paper: unstable \((\zeta < -0.01)\), neutral \((-0.01 < \zeta < 0.01)\) and stable \((\zeta > 0.01)\).

However, the flux calculations are not as clear-cut as implied above: several steps have to be performed to gain more accurate flux estimates. In the following, 10 flux calculation procedures are represented. One calculation method combination is named standard, whereas others are obtained by making one modification to the standard calculation method combination at a time.

### 2.4.1. Standard calculation method combination

In the standard flux calculation method combination, the flux calculations start with raw data despiking and linear detrending (Table 1). Second, the 2-D rotation method is used to set the \( x \)-axis parallel to the mean wind direction and the vertical wind to zero. Third, the closed-path water vapour mixing ratio is converted relative to dry air to avoid dilution effects (Aubinet et al., 2000), and the water vapour density is calculated as a function of air temperature according to Aubinet et al. (2000). Each cross-wind iteration step (cross-wind correction) is named standard, whereas other steps that are within the iteration are marked with a grey background. See text for further details.

| ID number | Averaging period (min) | Despiking | Relative Cross-wind Iteration | Spectral correction (all) | Surface heating \( (H) \) | Open- and closed-path latent heat \( (LE_{OP}) \) | Open- and closed-path latent heat \( (LE_{CP}) \) |
|-----------|-------------------------|-----------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 1         | Standard                | x         | x                             | x                         | x                         | x                               | x                                |
| 2         | No spec.                | x         | x                             | x                         | x                         | x                               | x                                |
| 3         | Theor. spec.            | x         | x                             | x                         | x                         | x                               | x                                |
| 4         | No iteration            | x         | x                             | x                         | x                         | x                               | x                                |
| 5         | PF                      | x         | x                             | x                         | x                         | x                               | x                                |
| 6         | SHC                     | x         | x                             | x                         | x                         | x                               | x                                |
| 7         | Theor. lag\(^c\)        | x         | x                             | x                         | x                         | x                               | x                                |
| 8         | 5 min                   | x         | x                             | x                         | x                         | x                               | x                                |
| 9         | 10 min                  | x         | x                             | x                         | x                         | x                               | x                                |
| 10        | 20 min                  | x         | x                             | x                         | x                         | x                               | x                                |
| 11        | 60 min                  | x         | x                             | x                         | x                         | x                               | x                                |

\(^a\) Experimental (exp) or theoretical (theor) spectral correction for \( LE_{OP} \).
\(^b\) PF: planar fitting somewhat as in Wilczak et al. (2001).
\(^c\) Theoretical lag window for \( q_{CP} \) as shown in Fig. 7.
for $q_{\text{OP}}$ and a $RH$-dependent value for $q_{\text{CP}}$. The equations for $t_{\text{lag}}(RH)$ are determined on a monthly basis following the maintenance (e.g. tube cleaning, filter changes) of the measurement system. Fifth, the raw covariances are obtained from the cross-covariance peak, and a cross-wind correction, as mentioned in the study by Liu et al. (2001), is applied to $w^T$. Sixth, an iteration loop, which contains three corrections, starts. The iteration is needed because corrections are interrelated. Within the loop, spectral corrections to all covariances are made to account for low- and high-pass filtering. The underestimation of the low-frequency transport is caused by linear detrending (Rannik and Vesala, 1999) and a limited averaging period (Finnigan et al., 2003). Furthermore, the underestimation of the high-frequency transport is caused by the limited dynamic frequency response of the anemometer and gas analyser, the response mismatch between sensors, sensor separation, path averaging of the sonic and by path averaging of the open-path sensor or the attenuation of $q_{\text{CP}}$ in the sampling tube leading to the closed-path sensor (see e.g. Moncrieff et al., 1997).

Here, we perform the spectral corrections using the transfer function method initiated by Moore (1986) and further developed by Horst (1997, 2000) and Massman (2000, 2001). In the method, the flux attenuation ($F_a$, values between 0 and 1) is used for calculating the correct covariance ($\overline{w^s s_{\text{corr}}}^{T}$) from the measured one ($\overline{w^s s_{\text{meas}}}^{T}$) as

$$F_a = \frac{\overline{w^s s_{\text{meas}}}^{T}}{\overline{w^s s_{\text{corr}}}^{T}}$$

(7)

$F_a$ is obtained by integrating a model co-spectrum ($C_{w}(f)$) multiplied by a low and high frequency loss transfer function ($TF_{LF}$, $TF_{HF}$) as

$$F_a = \frac{\int_0^{\infty} TF_{LF} TF_{HF} C_{w}(f) df}{\int_0^{\infty} C_{w}(f) df}$$

(8)

where $C_{w}$ is the frequency-weighted cospectrum that is normalised by covariance and multiplied by natural frequency, $f$ (Hz), see Appendix S1 in Supporting Information for the forms of co-spectra. Furthermore, the shape of $TF_{HF}$ can be described solely by one variable, the response time (see e.g. Järvi et al., 2009a), which is then used for correcting the high-frequency loss.

The low-frequency transfer function is always determined theoretically (Rannik and Vesala, 1999), whereas the high-frequency transfer function (and thus the response time) can be estimated in several ways. We correct $wT$, $w'^{q_{\text{OP}}}$ and $u'^w$ using the theoretical $TF_{HF}$ formulae (Moncrieff et al., 1997) and $w'q_{\text{CP}}$ using experimental $TF_{HF}$. The closed-path water vapour measurements are treated differently because the $q_{CP}$ signal is known to be attenuated in the tube, and the attenuation is known to increase with $RH$ (Ibrom et al., 2007; Massman and Ibrom, 2008) and tube age (Leuning and Judd, 1996; Su et al., 2004; Mammarella et al., 2009).

The transfer functions are calculated for about 1-month-long data sets that are further divided into seven different $RH$ classes. For each period, $TF_{HF}$ is gained by dividing the mean water vapour co-spectrum, with the mean temperature co-spectrum in a similar manner as in Mammarella et al. (2009) and Nordbo et al. (2011). The closed-path water vapour response time ($t_{\text{HF},0}$) for a certain period can then be retrieved from a fit to $TF_{HF}$. The longer the response time, the larger the high-frequency loss.

After applying the spectral corrections within the iteration loop, sonic heating correction (Liu et al., 2001) is applied to $wT$ using primarily $w'^{q_{\text{CP}}}$, and a density correction (Webb et al., 1980) is applied to $w'^{q_{\text{CP}}}$. The iteration is continued until the covariances change by less than 1%. The iteration is important because the changes in covariances affect the stability which in turn affects the spectral corrections.

### 2.4.2. Different calculation method combination

The above-described standard flux calculation method combination is altered in different ways to provide insight into the effect of calculation steps on the final flux estimates. Table 1 lists the different flux calculation methods, and different method combinations are given identification numbers (IDs) that are referred to in the following text.

The need of spectral corrections is assessed by leaving the corrections out (ID2) and by using the theoretical transfer functions (Moncrieff et al., 1997) instead of $RH$-dependent functions for $\overline{w^T q_{\text{OP}}}^{T}$ (ID3). Moreover, the effect of iteration is studied by discarding the interdependency of corrections (ID4). The coordinate rotation is also performed using an alternative technique: the planar fit method (Wilczak et al., 2001, ID5). In our version of the method, two tilt angles are derived from a three-dimensional planar fit to the three 30 min averaged wind components of individual 2-month periods. Within the periods, the data were divided into 18 wind direction planes to take into account the surrounding topography, which is so complex that it cannot be described even with higher-order planar fits (e.g. quadratic, not shown). The tilt angles are then used for rotating the winds of an individual 30 min period to streamline coordinates. This results in residual mean vertical wind velocities that are considered to be random noise or originate from large-scale motion. The mean of the standard deviations for all wind direction bins was 1.5 degrees for both tilt angles.

In addition to the above-mentioned modifications, still two adjustments are made to improve either the open-
the closed-path evapotranspiration (E). A surface heating correction (Grell and Burba, 2007; Burba et al., 2008; Järvi et al., 2009a) is performed to the open-path evapotranspiration to take into account the density fluctuations caused by the analyser itself (ID6). The corrected evapotranspiration is

\[ E = E_0 + \delta \frac{(T_s - T_a)q}{rt_a} \left( 1 + \frac{\rho_s}{\rho_d} \right) \]  

(9)

where \( E_0 \) is the WPL-corrected evaporation (mmol m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\)), \( \delta \) the fraction of heat that stays in the boundary layer of the analyser and is relevant for the heating correction, \( T_s \) and \( T_a \) the surface and air temperatures (K), \( q \) the molar density of water vapour from the closed-path analyser (mol m\(^{-3}\)), \( r_t \) the aerodynamic transfer resistance (s m\(^{-1}\)), \( U_{iC} \), the ratio between molar masses of air and water (1.6077) and \( \rho_s \) and \( \rho_d \) are the densities of water vapour and dry air, respectively (kg m\(^{-3}\)). The surface temperature can further be expressed as a function of air temperature \( T_s = a_1 T_a + a_0 \). Here, the fitting method introduced by Järvi et al. (2009a) was further developed. Data were divided into six radiation classes due to the strong relationship of the heating correction on solar radiation. For each radiation class, coefficients \( a_1 \) and \( a_0 \) were solved from the linear fitting between \( T_s \) and \( T_a \) measured at the open-path surface from February 2009 to September 2010. The measured \( T_s \) was also used in eq. (9) when \( \delta \) was solved using the \( E \) from closed-path measurements and \( E_0 \) from WPL-corrected open-path measurements (see coefficients in Appendix S1 in Supporting Information). The coefficients were then used to correct the open-path \( E \) through the whole 3-yr measurement period.

The closed-path \( E \), on the other hand, is largely affected by the processes in the sampling tube and filter, and thus a larger-than-normal lag window (6–30 s) was used for \( q_{CP} \). Consequently, it is worth making a comparison with \( LE_{CP} \) values gained using a ‘theoretical lag window’ and using mean lag values when a lag time is not found (ID7). Monthly checks were performed to the flow rate in the tube, leading to the closed-path analyser. The theoretical lag window was made to range from the theoretical lag time to twice its value as commonly done with closed-path measurements. For instance, for an average flow rate of 171pm, tube length 41 m, inner diameter 8 mm, the theoretical lag time would be \( t_{lag} = 7.1 \) s and the window would be 7.1–14.2 s. Linear dependency on time between measurement points was assumed.

Finally, as the last test, the averaging period was varied to be 5, 10, 30 and 60 min. The appropriate averaging time was also studied using Ogive analysis (Moncrieff et al., 2004). The Ogives were then divided to three categories following Foken et al. (2006): (1) convergent Ogives within the 30 min interval, (2) Ogives with a distinct extreme value before the final value and (3) Ogives not convergent even for 219 min.

2.5. Flux quality assurance and uncertainty analysis methods

The EC data quality was assured using four methods. According to the stationarity test introduced by Foken and Wichura (1996), the flux covariance calculated for a 30 min interval should not deviate more than 30% from the mean of the covariances of 5 min subperiods. Furthermore, according to the intermittency test by Mahrt et al. (1998), the ratio of the standard deviation of the 5 min averaged flux to the absolute value of the 30 min averaged flux should not rise above unity. Finally, the skewness and kurtosis were to stay within the range of \([-2, 2]\) and \([1, 8]\) to ensure a near gaussian turbulent signal (Vickers and Mahrt, 1997). The latter two are applied to individual wind component and scalar time series, and they filter out spiked raw data efficiently. The stationarity and intermittency criteria filtered out \( H \) data, especially during sunrise and sunset, and \( LE \) data during night. The percentages of rejected data for all the fluxes are shown in Tables 1 and 2. In addition, 12.3% of flux data were omitted due to probable flow distortion when the wind was between 0° and 50°.

Table 2. Flux omittance percentages for momentum (\( \tau \)), sensible heat (\( H \)) and closed- and open-path latent heat (\( LE_{OP} \), \( LE_{CP} \)). Values are given for omittance due to all criteria for all data and for day- and night-time data, and for omittance only due to flux stationarity and intermittency. Also, the percentage of unacceptably skewness and kurtosis of the zonal wind (\( u \)), meridional wind (\( v \)), vertical wind (\( w \)), temperature (\( T \)), \( H_2O \) concentration from the open-path analyser (\( q_{OP} \)) and closed-path analyser (\( q_{CP} \)) are given. Values are calculated for raw 10 Hz data after despiking. See text for further details.

| % | \( \tau \) | \( H \) | \( LE_{OP} \) | \( LE_{CP} \) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| All criteria | 21.74 | 38.11 | 46.20 | 46.30 |
| All criteria, day-time | 19.64 | 40.05 | 48.69 | 57.05 |
| All criteria, night-time | 24.07 | 33.29 | 38.78 | 33.79 |
| Flux stationarity | 18.30 | 30.98 | 28.15 | 33.08 |
| Flux intermittency | 10.53 | 21.49 | 32.43 | 30.07 |

Skewness | 0.17 | 0.30 | 0.03 | 0.88 | 9.91 | 2.49 |
| Kurtosis | 0.55 | 0.97 | 0.25 | 2.42 | 12.8 | 7.69 |
In addition to the data quality assurance, the detection limit and random uncertainty for all fluxes were calculated. The detection limit, which quantifies the background noise, was defined somewhat following Wienhold et al. (1994). It was calculated as the standard deviation of the cross-covariance function at points \( \pm 50 \) to 150 seconds around the maximum. In other words, a 3001-data-point-long cross-covariance vector with the real covariance at point 1501 is calculated, and the detection limit is further calculated as the standard deviation of the covariance at points 1/1000 and 2001/3001. Thus, the detection limit has units of covariance and can be converted to have units of flux. The random flux error, on the other hand, was calculated according to Lenschow et al. (1994) as

\[
\sigma^2_{\Gamma}(\Gamma) \approx 2 \frac{\Gamma_f}{\Gamma} \mu_{\Gamma},
\]

(10)

where \( \Gamma \) is the averaging period (30 min), \( \Gamma_f \) the integral time scale defined as the time point when the autocorrelation function of the covariance drops to \( e^{-1} \approx 0.3679 \) of its peak value and \( \mu_{\Gamma} \) is the flux variance

\[
\mu_{\Gamma} = \mu_s \mu_w + \overline{w^2 s^2},
\]

(11)

where \( \mu_s \) and \( \mu_w \) are the variances of the scalar \( s \) and \( w \). The term \( 2 \frac{\Gamma_f}{\Gamma} \) represents the error due to a finite flux averaging time that excludes the effect of larger eddies. In other words, shorter \( \Gamma_f \) gives faster covariance autocorrelation losses and a lesser effect of large eddies. Furthermore, \( \mu_{\Gamma} \) describes the errors in the variance of the covariance. \( \sigma^2_{\Gamma}(\Gamma) \) has units of covariance squared and can be converted to have units of flux. Random uncertainties at more homogeneous sites are often also calculated using the repeated sampling method (Hollinger and Richardson, 2005), but this procedure does not work for complex urban sites due to flux footprint issues and is thus not used in this study.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Climatological conditions

The study period was warmer than the climatological mean of 5.6 °C (Drebs et al., 2002) with a temperature of 6.5 ± 8.7 °C (mean ± standard deviation, Fig. 2a). Year 2010 had a record cold winter and a record warm summer, with monthly means ranging from −10.7 °C (January) to 22.0 °C (July). The winters in 2008 and 2009, conversely, were mild and typical for Helsinki with near-average January temperatures of 0.2 ± 3.2 °C. The number of snow-covered days for the three consecutive winters are 30, 81 and 112, with a mean albedo of 0.50 ± 0.11 (for times when global radiation

![Fig. 2.](image-url) (a) 5-d running means of air temperature (\( T \), °C) and wind speed (\( U \), ms\(^{-1}\)) at 31 m height. (b) Daily precipitation (mm d\(^{-1}\)) and 5-d running mean of relative humidity (\( RH \),%).
input > 10 W m$^{-2}$). The values are not dissimilar to the
neighbourhood scale measurements at an urban site in
Montreal (Bergeron and Strachan, 2010). Winter, spring
and fall covered similar fractions of the measurement
period to each other (with 22%, 20% and 20% occurrence)
whereas summer covered more, at 38% of the period.
The mean wind speed at 31 m height was 3.4 ± 1.6 m s$^{-1}$
(Fig. 2a). South-west was the prevailing wind direction for
all years, with a 20% occurrence. Furthermore, the relative
humidity during the study period was 75.0 ± 16.5%, which
is 5% lower than the climatological mean (Fig. 2b).
The annual precipitation sum, conversely, was 13% above
the climatological mean with a value of 720 mm yr$^{-1}$
(Fig. 2b).

### 3.2. Flux quality and error analysis

The detection limits and the random flux errors were
calculated using quality-checked data from 2009 (Section
2.5), and the fluxes shown here and in the following
two sections are calculated using the standard method
combination. The mean detection limit for $\tau$ is
0.0414 kg m$^{-1}$ s$^{-2}$ and $H$ 5.02 W m$^{-2}$ (Table 3). The $LE$
detection limits for closed- and open-path measurements
are 4.73 W m$^{-2}$ and 5.20 W m$^{-2}$, and they do not deviate
statistically significantly from each other, and they do not deviate
statistically significantly from each other ($p > 0.99$). The
ratio of the detection limit to the observed flux is on
average about 10% of the observed flux for all fluxes,
which implies that the system is sensitive enough to
measure the desired fluxes. To our knowledge, this type
of detection limit has not been reported for any other
urban site. The mean random uncertainties are close to
the detection limits, and again the latent heat flux values
do not deviate statistically significantly from each other.
The random uncertainty — as percentages of the observed
flux — is least for $H$ (9.0%) and almost as good for
$\tau$ (12.4%). The latent heat flux measurements are slightly
more inaccurate, with random uncertainties of 16.1% and
15.8% for closed- and open-path measurements, respecti-
vely. The percentage values are close to those observed
at vegetative sites (Finkelstein and Sims, 2001; Billesbach,
2011); no similar urban studies are available.
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**Fig. 3.** (a) The ratio of the flux detection limit to the observed
flux for momentum ($\tau$), sensible heat ($H$) and closed- and open-
path latent heat ($LE_{CP}$, $LE_{OP}$). Values are given for three wind
direction sectors: urban (320–40°), road (40–180°) and vegetation
(180–320°). Bars indicate means, and errorbars the 25th and 75th
percentiles. (b) Same as (a) but for the ratio between the random
flux error and the observed flux. Data are quality checked (as per
text) and covers 2009.

The detection limit and random flux error, as percentages of the observed fluxes, for different wind direction
sectors are shown in (Fig. 3). The momentum flux
measurements are most reliable for the urban sector and
worst for the vegetation sector according to both variables.
The reason may be that the atmosphere is more unstably
stratified in the urban sector, and the turbulence is better
developed. The $H$ measurements are also best for the urban
sector and worst for the road sector, whereas the $LE$
measurements perform best for the vegetation sector with
strongest fluxes and are worst for the urban sector. All of
the means for different sectors are within the 25th and 75th
percentiles of the values of the other sectors.

### 3.3. Energy flux partitioning

Energy fluxes at the site vary largely through a year,
over a day and with wind direction. Energy flux time
series with half-an-hour flux values and 5-d running means
of daily and nightly average fluxes and 5-d running means
of daily and nightly average fluxes are shown in Fig. 4. $R_n$, $H$ and $LE$ will be discussed here, whereas $Res$ will
be discussed in the following section. $R_n$ ranges from −130

---

**Table 3.** Flux error analysis for momentum ($\tau$), sensible heat ($H$) and closed- and open-path latent heat ($LE_{CP}$, $LE_{OP}$). Mean random flux error (units of flux), the ratio between the random flux error and the observed flux (%), the detection limit (units of flux) and the ratio
between the detection limit and the observed flux (%) for all quality checked data in 2009.

|        | $\tau$ | $H$   | $LE_{CP}$ | $LE_{OP}$ |
|--------|--------|-------|-----------|-----------|
| Detection limit | 0.0414 kg m$^{-1}$ s$^{-2}$ | 5.02 W m$^{-2}$ | 4.73 W m$^{-2}$ | 5.20 W m$^{-2}$ |
| Detection limit/flux | 9.95% | 10.60% | 10.69% | 10.81% |
| Random uncertainty | 0.0464 kg m$^{-1}$ s$^{-2}$ | 6.39 W m$^{-2}$ | 6.70 W m$^{-2}$ | 7.63 W m$^{-2}$ |
| Random uncertainty/flux (%) | 12.36% | 9.05% | 16.14% | 15.75% |
to 900 W m$^{-2}$, and the diurnal and seasonal patterns follow the solar angle. The 5-d running mean nocturnal $R_n$ is negative throughout the year due to long-wave cooling and in summer it is lower than in winter due to higher surface temperatures. The day-time means range from $-16$ W m$^{-2}$ in winter to 150 W m$^{-2}$ in mid-summer. $H$ varies between $-190$ W m$^{-2}$ and 560 W m$^{-2}$ being negative during night-time and in winter, indicating stable stratification. Similar behaviour was reported in Vesala et al. (2008). $LE_{CP}$ and $LE_{OP}$ vary between $-40$ W m$^{-2}$ and 500 W m$^{-2}$, respectively. Negative fluxes are observed during 4.5% and 4.6% of occasions for $LE_{CP}$ and $LE_{OP}$. 

Fig. 4. Time series of net radiation ($R_n$), sensible heat flux ($H$), latent heat flux measured with a closed- and open-path analyser ($LE_{CP}$, $LE_{OP}$), and residuals of the energy balance ($Res_{CP}$, $Res_{OP}$), which is defined $Res = R_n - H - LE$. Grey dots indicate 30 min average fluxes, and the continuous and dashed lines are the 5-d running mean averages of day-time and night-time data, respectively. Turbulent flux data have been quality screened according to the text.
respectively; and for over 80% of these occasions the wind is blowing from the vegetation sector where, anecdotally, dew formation is occasionally observed to develop. Furthermore, the open-path analyser underestimates \( LE \) by a few percent when compared with \( LE_{CP} \) (\( LE_{CP} = 1.06 \ LE_{OP} + 0 \), \( R^2 = 0.9762 \), RMSE = 9.7766).

Energy flux partitioning generally differs for the various surface covers. Figure 5 shows the median diurnal cycles of energy fluxes normalised by the absolute value of \( R_n \). The normalisation is done to minimise the effect of seasonal and synoptic variation, and cycles are presented for 20 degree wind segments. The upward flux is strongest in the urban sector throughout the day and in the traffic sector during morning and late afternoon rush hours (Fig. 5a). The vegetation sector has the weakest \( H \), and no peaks are seen in the morning or afternoon. A slight heat island effect is seen in the urban and road sector since \( H \) is larger there during night compared with the vegetation sector. Latent heat flux, however, is largest when the wind is blowing from the direction with most forest and traffic (160 – 220°, Fig. 5b and c). Morning peaks of latent heat are also seen during rush hour in the road sector but not elsewhere. The Bowen ratio (\( H/LE \)) varies strongly with wind direction, and the mean absolute value is smallest for the vegetation sector (0.37 ± 3.55), and almost the same for the urban sector (1.90 ± 3.77) and road sectors (1.65 ± 2.88). These results corroborate the findings reported in Vesala et al. (2008) for \( H \) and \( LE \) measured at the same site for the nine-month measurement period.

Furthermore, during snow cover, \( LE \) is largest in the urban and road sectors: this is perhaps consistent with enhanced winter-time evaporation caused by anthropogenic snow melting (not shown). A mean sublimation rate of 10 W m\(^{-2}\) is seen for directions of vegetation. \( H \) is also observed to be double as large in the direction of buildings and roads in comparison with vegetative surfaces. For comparison, Lemonsu et al. (2008) observed that after snowmelt in springtime, Montreal’s \( LE \) dropped to half of its share of \( R_n \) and the share of \( H \) increased by a third.

Our results can also be compared with those from mid-latitude urban flux sites since no other high-latitude urban measurements are yet available. Table 4 shows a summary of research on energy fluxes in cities located north of 45° N. Martin et al. (2009) showed average diurnal courses of \( H \) from campaigns at lower latitudes in London, Gothenburg, Edinburgh and Manchester and their values for weekdays vary between −20 and +205 W m\(^{-2}\), and Walsh et al. (2004) showed a range from 0 to 190 W m\(^{-2}\) for a year of data from Vancouver. Bergeron and Strachan (2010) studied three sites during two winters in Montreal and had an average diurnal cycle of \( H \) peaking at 140 W m\(^{-2}\) but their \( R_n \) input is >100 W m\(^{-2}\) compared with Helsinki. Lemonsu et al. (2008) also reported a four-week spring campaign in Montreal, with \( H \) peaking at 200 W m\(^{-2}\). Furthermore, Wood et al. (2010) reported that unstable stratification was three times as frequent as stable stratification in their 1.5 yr dataset at 190 m above London, which implies a mostly upward directed \( H \). Vogt et al. (2006) also reported mostly positive \( H \) in summertime Basel, with peaks up to 400 W m\(^{-2}\). Hefter et al. (2011), conversely, gave average diurnal courses of \( LE \) per season for a year of data from London (same site as Wood et al. above), with values ranging between 0 on an autumn night to 60 W m\(^{-2}\) on a summer’s day, which is about the same range as observed for Vancouver (Walsh et al., 2004). Furthermore, winter and spring time courses are observed to stay below 50 W m\(^{-2}\) in Montreal (Lemonsu et al., 2008; Bergeron and Strachan, 2010) and \( LE \) is reported to generally be lower than 100 W m\(^{-2}\) in Basel (Vogt et al., 2006). All in all, our results are in agreement with those from other urban sites when taking into account the high latitude and complex terrain.

### 3.4. Energy balance residual

The residual of the energy balance ranges from –290 W m\(^{-2}\) to 400 W m\(^{-2}\), and the running mean nightly average for data from all wind directions is always negative indicating heat release from storage and/or an anthropogenic heat source (Fig. 4). The running mean daily averages are positive in summer, indicating that more heat is stored than is released from anthropogenic sources, whereas wintertime values are observed to be negative. The residual is smaller (i.e. more negative) in the urban sector implying the existence of an anthropogenic heat source (Fig. 5). Also, the morning and afternoon rush hours in the road sector appear more negative than the corresponding values in other sectors.

The overall magnitude of the energy balance residual is of the same order as observed at other urban sites (Grimmond and Oke, 1999; Christen and Vogt, 2004; Lemonsu et al., 2004; Bergeron and Strachan, 2010, Table 4). The annual variation in \( R_n \) is larger, whereas the diurnal variation in winter is minimal compared with other sites; perhaps due to the northern latitude of Helsinki. Also, surface cover and population densities cause variation between sites. \( Q_F \) has been reported to vary between a few watts per square metre to even hundreds for small areas in Tokyo (Ichinose et al., 1999). Iamarino et al. (2011) estimated the annual mean anthropogenic heat flux for Greater London to be 11 W m\(^{-2}\) in their extensive study. The only urban wintertime study is from Montreal, where an average \( Q_F \) of 35 W m\(^{-2}\) was observed for an urban site and 10 W m\(^{-2}\) for a suburban site (Bergeron and Strachan, 2010). The closest studied cities in terms of latitude are Fairbanks and Reykjavik, where the average \( Q_F \) is reported
to be $6 \text{ W m}^{-2}$ (Oke, 1988) and $35 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$ (Steinecke, 1999). The latter also gives $13 \text{ W m}^{-2}$ for vehicular emissions, which is close to the morning and afternoon rush hour values given by Grimmond (1992) and Bergeron and Strachan (2010). Using characteristic values from Sailor (2011) and a morning rush hour traffic rate of

Fig. 5. Average diurnal cycles for 20 degree wind direction sectors. Hours are labelled with 2-hour resolution and run from 1:00 (local time) closest to the centre to 23:00 (local time) on the outside of the subplots. Colours indicate the median of the energy flux divided by the absolute value of net radiation ($R_n$); see colourbar. The energy fluxes are (a) sensible heat flux ($H$), (b) closed-path latent heat flux ($LE_{CP}$), (c) open-path latent heat flux ($LE_{OP}$), (d) residual of the energy balance using $LE_{CP}$ and (e) residual of the energy balance using $LE_{OP}$. All turbulent fluxes have been quality checked (see text), ratios exceeding 10 have been omitted from the plot, and data cover the whole period from October 2007 to September 2010 inclusive.
Table 4. Heat fluxes in cities with a cold temperate climate (latitude $>45^\circ$N). The ranges of mean diurnal courses are given for the sensible ($H$) and latent ($LE$) heat fluxes, the energy balance residual ($Res = Rn - H - LE = \Delta Qs - Q_F$) in addition to the anthropogenic heat flux ($Q_F$).

| Reference | City                  | Latitude ($^\circ$N) | Data period and season | $H$ (W m$^{-2}$) | $LE$ (W m$^{-2}$) | $Res$ (W m$^{-2}$) | $Q_F$ (W m$^{-2}$) |
|-----------|-----------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| Oke (1988)| Moscow                | 55.750               | 1 yr                   |                  |                  |                   | 127$^a$         |
|           | Montreal              | 45.303               |                        |                  |                  |                   | 99              |
|           | Vancouver             | 49.291               |                        |                  |                  |                   | 19              |
|           | Fairbanks             | 64.849               |                        |                  |                  |                   | 6               |
| Grimmond and Oke (1999) | Vancouver, industr. | 49.267               | 1 month fall, 3 months summer | 69$^b$ | 17$^b$ | $-200$–$400$ |              |
|           | Vancouver             | 49.250               |                        |                  | 84               | 31                | $-80$–$250$     |
| Walsh et al. (2004) | Vancouver           | 49.226               | 1 yr                   | 0–190            | 0–50             | –                 | –               |
| Lemonsu et al. (2008) | Montreal           | 45.503               | 4 weeks spring         | 0–200            | 0–50             | $-100$–$330$     | –               |
| Bergeron and Strachan (2010) | Montreal, rural | 45.547               | 2 winters              | $-10$–$25$       | 0–35             | $-30$–$80$        | –               |
|           | Montreal, subur.      | 45.501               |                        |                  | $-5$–$130$       | 0–35             | $-60$–$140$     |
|           | Montreal, urban       | 45.328               |                        |                  | 0–140            | 0–20             | $-50$–$145$     |
|           |                      |                      |                        |                  |                  |                   | $25$–$45$       |
| Steinecke (1999) | Reykjavik          | 64.134               | 1 yr                   |                  |                  |                   | 35$^e$          |
| Christen and Vogt, 2004 | Basel, urban 1 | 47.556               | 1 month summer         | 10–250           | 0–90             | $-100$–$200$     | 20$^d$          |
|           | Basel, urban 2        | 47.552               |                        |                  | 0–250            | 0–100            | $-80$–$200$     |
|           | Basel, urban 3        | 47.555               |                        |                  | $-10$–$200$      | 0–40             | $-80$–$130$     |
|           | Basel, subur.         | 47.552               |                        |                  |                  | $-5$–$180$       |                  |
|           |                      |                      |                        |                  |                  | 5–150            |                  |
|           |                      |                      |                        |                  |                  | $-100$–$180$     |                  |
| Vogt et al. (2006) | Basel               | 47.556               | 1 month summer         | $-20$–$400$     | 0–100$^c$       | –                 | –               |
| Offerle et al. (2005) | Łódź                | 51.767               | 2 yr                   | 5–200            | 10–105           | $-100$–$180$     | $-30$–$50$$^f$ |
| Märtensson et al. (2006) | Stockholm           | 59.303               | 49 d spring            | 27.7$^e$         | –                | –                 | –               |
| Martin et al. (2009) | London              | 51.518               | ~ 1 month campaigns, different seasons | $-20$–$125$ | – | – | – |
|           | Gothenburg            | 57.700               |                        |                  |                  | $-5$–$60$        | –               |
|           | Edinburgh             | 55.950               |                        |                  |                  | $-25$–$170$      | –               |
|           | Manchester            | 53.483               |                        |                  |                  | $-10$–$205$      | –               |
| Helfter et al. (2011) | London              | 51.518               | 1yr                    |                  |                  | 0–60$^b$         | –               |
| Iamarino et al. (2011) | Greater              | 51.500               | 4yr                    |                  |                  | –                 | 11$^a$          |
|           | London                | 60.210               | 3yr                    | $-17$–$125$      | 11–95$^d$        | 10–88$^d$        | $-62$–$89$$^d$ |
|           |                      |                      |                        |                  | 53–69            | 13$^e$            | –               |

$^a$Annual means obtained by summing up contributions of human metabolism, vehicular traffic and buildings, using, e.g., energy consumption and population statistics.
$^b$Daily means.
$^c$Individual values.
$^d$Same as footnote ‘a’ but for the range of values in a diurnal course.
$^e$Annual average estimated assuming that $\Delta Qs$ has to be zero over a year.
$^f$ $\Delta Qs$ is obtained using the Element surface temperature method, where element surface temperatures are measured and the heat transfer through the elements are modelled. $Q_F = H + LE + \Delta Qs - R_n$.
$^g$Mean of the whole period.
$^h$Range is from autumn night to summer day.
$^i$Values for open-path and closed-path gas analysers.
3000 vehicles per hour at the road close to our tower, $H$ and $LE$ can be estimated to be $21 \text{ W m}^{-2}$ and $10 \text{ W m}^{-2}$ from the traffic on the road. The average fluxes from the road sector during weekday rush hours for times with snow cover are $23 \text{ W m}^{-2}$ for $H$ and $8 \text{ W m}^{-2}$ for $LE$, which correspond well with the estimates. Only fluxes over snow cover are used in the comparison to ensure that the fluxes represent the road as snow cover has minimised evapotranspiration. For comparison, Sailor and Lu (2004) reported anthropogenic heating as much as $300 \text{ W m}^{-2}$ during afternoon rush hours over a vehicle sector.

3.5. Differences in fluxes between calculation types

The effects of different calculation procedures on the turbulent fluxes are shown in Fig. 6 and discussed in the following section.

3.5.1. Spectral corrections.

Leaving out the spectral corrections is not acceptable since it causes a 4.6% underestimation for $\tau$, 3.2% for $H$, 5.1% for $LE_{OP}$ and as much as 26.2% for $LE_{CP}$. For comparison Christen and Vogt (2004) showed that spectral corrections of $H$ in Basel were below 1% and ranged from 2 to 7% for $LE_{OP}$. However, they did not include the low-frequency spectral correction ($T_{FLF}$ in eq. 8), which in our case accounts for almost all spectral correction of $\tau$ and $H$, and 3 percentage points of the correction of $LE_{OP}$ and $LE_{CP}$. Using a theoretical $T_{HF}$, which does not take into account high-frequency $RH$ effects for $LE_{CP}$, would still cause a 22.0% underestimation since a strong dependency of response time ($t_{H,O}$) on $RH$ was observed (not shown). The mean $t_{H,O}$ ranges from 1.0s at 40% $RH$ to 7.1s for 80% $RH$. Mammarella et al. (2009) found a similar $RH$ dependency where $t_{H,O}$ varied between 0.3s and 2.5s for a 7m length of dirty tube, whereas Ibrom et al. (2007) got a range from 2.3s to 8.8s for their 50m tube. Interestingly, the $H$ values are also affected by alterations in $LE_{CP}$ through the sonic heat correction, but the effect is less than a percent. All underestimations are larger in stable than unstable stratification since smaller turbulence becomes more dominant as stability increases and $T_{HF}$ increases (Fig. 6).

Fig. 6. Calculation procedure effects on turbulent flux magnitudes for momentum ($\tau$), sensible heat ($H$) and closed- and open-path latent heat ($LE_{CP}$, $LE_{OP}$), in addition to effects on energy balance residuals ($Res_{CP}$, $Res_{OP}$). Bars give the means over all stabilities; and means for different stability classes are denoted by $V$ (stable, $\zeta > 0.01$), $o$ (neutral, $-0.01 < \zeta < 0.01$), $A$ (unstable, $\zeta < -0.01$). Errorbars denote 25th and 75th percentiles, and the median is denoted by a filled circle ($\bullet$). Red crosses show fluxes that are not affected by a certain calculation procedure. Deviations are calculated as $(\text{flux-flux}_{\text{Standard}})/\text{flux}_{\text{Standard}}$ except for $Res$ where an absolute value of the denominator is used since corrections can easily change the sign of $Res$. 
3.5.2. Iteration. This was needed (flux change > 1%) in 22% of all cases, and almost all (95%) of these situations were characterised by stable stratification. The reason for this is that the spectral peak frequency increases with stability (i.e. the co-spectrum is shifted to higher frequencies), and thus $TF_{HF}$ grows and the fluxes are increased. Nevertheless, the average effect of iteration stays below one percent even though noise is introduced into data (Fig. 6). Mauder et al. (2008) reported that half of the EC data post-processing software used in CarboEurope include iteration but the resulting effect on final flux values is not given. Mauder and Foken (2006), on the other hand, noted that their $LE_{OP}$ was affected by 0.3% and $H$ by 1.5% by the inclusion of an iteration loop.

3.5.3. Planar fitting. The fluxes obtained using planar fitting are on average smaller (Fig. 6, $r$ 5.3%, $H$ 3.9%, $LE_{OP}$ 4.6%, $LE_{CP}$ 5.7%) than with a 2-D rotation as in Vesala et al. (2008). The underestimation is about 3% points larger for unstable situations compared with stable and neutral stratification for all fluxes, which implies that the streamlines are heavily affected by stability. Differences depend also on wind direction and underestimations of 6%, for all fluxes are observed when the wind is blowing from the sloping terrain to the South ($150-210^\circ$), and all mean underestimations exceed 10% for north-westerly winds ($320-360^\circ$). Similar insufficiency of the planar fitting method has also been seen for complex mountainous forest sites (Göckede et al., 2008). Burns et al. (2011) also showed that planar fitting is prone to errors stemming from stability effects in sloping terrain. The flux quality (Section 3.2), on the other hand, did not change statistically significantly when using the planar fitting method as opposed to 2-D rotation.

3.5.4. Surface heating correction of $LE_{OP}$. The surface heating correction increases $E_{OP}$ on average by 1.6% (Fig. 6), and the slope between $LE_{OP}$ and $LE_{CP}$ decreases from 1.06 to 1.04 (not shown). The correction is the same for both the period from which the parameterisations (eq. 9) were obtained and for the independent period before that. The measured analyser surface was always warmer than the air ($T_s-T_a > 0$), and the mean temperature difference was $3.1 \pm 5.2$ $^\circ$C. For comparison, the open-path CO$_2$ flux increased by 2.5%, whereas Järvi et al. (2009a) gave a 4% increase with a similar method, which was further developed in this paper. Furthermore, Burba and Anderson (2010) noted that the correction is usually only important for CO$_2$ in extremely cold conditions.

3.5.5. Lag time and cross-correlation functions of $LE_{CP}$. The lag time of closed-path H$_2$O measurements is observed to depend strongly on $RH$. Figure 7 shows an example with data from May and June 2010. The fit approaches 39.9 s when $RH$ goes to 100% and 7.1 s when $RH$ goes to 0%. The latter coincides with the theoretical lag time and is close to the observed lag time for CO$_2$ measurements.
(of 7.4 s). When the theoretical lag window (rectangle in Fig. 7) is used, \( LE_{CP} \) is on average 1.8% smaller. The difference is small but logical, considering that the weakest fluxes are observed with high \( RH \). Furthermore, using a theoretical lag window results in 15% less data compared with using our improved method. In the method, a larger lag window is used when trying to find \( t_{lag} \), and if \( t_{lag} \) is not found, the lag time is retrieved from the exponential fit (Fig. 7). The cases when the lag was not found despite a larger window cover 5.8% of the dataset and have a mean \( RH \) of 84%. Water vapour tube effects are widely studied in terms of spectral corrections but less attention is given to the lag time optimisation. Clement (2004) found a similar relative humidity dependency for their 18 m tube, with lag times ranging approximately from 7 to 25 s.

In addition to the increase in lag time, the shapes of the water vapour cross-correlation functions also depend on relative humidity. Figure 8 shows means and standard deviations for normalised cross-correlation function for data in September 2009. Generally, when small-scale turbulence is attenuated in the tube leading to the analyser, the peak of the cross-correlation function is not that sharp. Furthermore, the slope at which the cross-correlation function falls around the peak is always as sharp when \( q' \) is leading \( w' \) \((t > 0 \text{ in Fig. 8})\), but the slope decreases with \( RH \) when \( q' \) is lagging \( w' \). This is due to the adsorption and desorption processes in the tube, which lengthen the time it takes for the water vapour signal to travel down the sampling tube.

### 3.5.6. Flux averaging period

The flux averaging period was studied using Ogive analysis, and (Fig. 9) shows the occurrence of convergent Ogives and those that have a maximum before converging. Non-convergent Ogives are not observed in the quality-checked data, and only classes (1) and (2) in Section 2.4.2 are present. The momentum Ogives converge within 30 min in 71% of the cases, whereas \( H \), \( LE_{CP} \) and \( LE_{CP} \), converge in 85%, 93% and 89% of the time, respectively. The discrepancy is explained by the fact that momentum is transported by larger eddies than scalars are (e.g. Kaimal and Finnigan, 1994). The Ogive convergence did not have a seasonal or diurnal pattern.

The choice of flux averaging period on the final flux values was also studied. Usually, when the averaging period is decreased, the flux also decreases since large-scale turbulence is not taken into account. At the same time, though, the low-frequency spectral correction \((TF_{LF}, \text{ eq. 8})\) increases the flux as a function of averaging period. The increase ranges from about 15% for 5 min averaging to 2% for 60 min averaging. The outcome is that the modes of deviations from 30 min-averaged fluxes show a 5% overestimation of fluxes when using a 5 min averaging period and a similar underestimation for 60 min averaging. Furthermore, 5 and 60 min averaging cause a random uncertainty of about 6% and 8%, respectively.

#### 3.6. Calculation procedure effect on energy balance residual

The monthly average residual of the energy balance is usually positive only from April to July (Fig. 10a), and the annual means are \(-12.7 \ W \ m^{-2}\) and \(-13.9 \ W \ m^{-2}\) for open- and closed-path measurements, respectively. Since

![Fig. 8. Normalised cross-correlation functions of closed-path H\(_2\)O measurements as a function of time shift (s) for different relative humidities. The time lag has been taken into account and negative time shifts correspond to H\(_2\)O signal lagging the vertical wind speed signal. Thick lines are means and shaded patches are standard deviations. Data are from September 2009.](image)
the change in heat storage should be near zero over the 
course of a year, these mean residuals can be attributed to 
anthropogenic heat release (Table 4). The discrepancy 
between fluxes gained with different EC data post-proces-
sing can be even greater than the average residual (Fig. 10b 
and c). Omitting the spectral correction always over-
estimated ResCP because both H and LECP are under-
estimated, and the overestimation can be up to 14 W m⁻² 
with an average of 17.9% (Fig. 6). Also, applying only the 
theoretical spectral correction for LECP overestimates ResCP 
by up to 9 W m⁻² or 12.9% on average. ResOP, 
conversely, is overestimated (12.9%) when spectral correc-
tions are not applied and underestimated (1.4%) when a 
theoretical spectral correction to LECP is made. Planar 
fitting leads to an overestimation of ResCP and ResCP as 
would be expected. The surface heating correction under-
estimates ResOP by 2.7% if the correction is left undone: 
the effect ranges from almost zero in winter to 2 W m⁻² 
during summer when there is a higher global radiation 
input. Likewise, using a theoretical lag window only 
concerns LECP, and ResCP is overestimated only by 0.7% 
if the correction is left out.

4. Conclusions

We presented data from three consecutive years from 
the world’s northernmost urban flux measurement station. 
The turbulent fluxes of sensible and latent heat exhibited 
substantial seasonal and diurnal variation in addition 
to a surface cover dependency. The annual mean residual 
of the energy balance suggests an average anthropo-
genic heat source of about 13 W m⁻², with distinct 
contributions from traffic during rush hours. Furthermore, 
anthropogenic snowmelt might increase evaporation during 
winter.

We tested the effect of various alterations in raw EC 
data post-processing, the key recommendations are as 
follows:

- The detection limits of fluxes should be reported, 
especially at urban sites with low evaporation 
when the fluxes are often close to their detection 
limit.
- The calculation of random uncertainties should be 
performed, especially in relation to model perfor-
mance evaluation.
- Special attention should be paid to water vapour 
interaction with tube walls, which affects closed-
path LE measurements, since using a too small 
lag window can cause a 15% lack of data, and 
leaving out spectral corrections a 26% under-
estimation of LE.
- The surface heating correction of LEOP is less 
crucial (<2% overestimation) as that of CO₂.
- Using planar fitting in complex sites is prone to 
errors stemming from the dependency of flow 
streamlines on stability, and thus should be applied 
with caution.
- The inclusion of iteration when performing correc-
tions does not improve the flux much (<1%), 
but the procedure should be included in flux 
calculation codes since it is sound and not laborious 
to implement.
- The effect of changes in EC flux-calculation proce-
dures on the energy balance residual can be larger 
than the residual itself. Leaving out spectral correc-
tions, for instance, overestimates ResOP by 13% and 
ResCP by 18%.

Most of our results are specific to our measurement 
setup, but the results can be interpreted as an example and 
a warning for anyone performing EC measurements. 
Special caution should be made at urban flux sites – where 
the residual of the energy balance is often interpreted as the 
change in heat storage or anthropogenic heat release – 
since the order of magnitude of the residual is close to 
that of potential systematic errors in flux calculations. 
Nevertheless, a balance between the laborious, but con-
ductive, do-it-yourself approach and global uniform EC 
data post-processing should be strived for.
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