Growth Differentiation Factor 15 Predicts Chronic Liver Disease Severity
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Background/Aims: Growth differentiation factor 15 (GDF-15) belongs to the transforming growth factor-β superfamily. GDF-15 is emerging as a biomarker for several diseases. The aim of this study was to determine the clinical performances of GDF-15 for the prediction of liver fibrosis and severity in chronic liver disease. Methods: The serum GDF-15 levels were examined via enzyme immunoassay in 145 patients with chronic liver disease and 101 healthy individuals. The patients with chronic liver disease consisted of 54 patients with chronic hepatitis, 44 patients with compensated liver cirrhosis, and 47 patients with decompensated liver cirrhosis. Results: Of the patients with chronic liver diseases, the decompensated liver cirrhosis patients had an increased serum GDF-15 (3,483 ng/L) level compared with the patients with compensated liver cirrhosis (1,861 ng/L) and chronic hepatitis (1,232 ng/L). The overall diagnostic accuracies of GDF-15, as determined by the area under the receiver operating characteristic curves, were as follows: chronic hepatitis=0.656 (>574 ng/L), sensitivity, 53.7%; specificity, 79.2%; compensated liver cirrhosis=0.886 (>760 ng/L), sensitivity, 75.6%; specificity, 92.1%), and decompensated liver cirrhosis=0.984 (>869 ng/L), sensitivity, 97.9%; specificity, 94.1%). Conclusions: This investigation represents the first study to demonstrate the availability of GDF-15 in chronic liver disease. GDF-15 comprised a useful biomarker for the prediction of liver fibrosis and severity in chronic liver disease. (Gut Liver 2017;11:276-282)
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INTRODUCTION

Chronic liver disease has high global mortality rates. However, clinical outcomes are diverse due to liver remnant synthesis, causes, and the occurrence of hepatocellular carcinoma, making prognosis difficult to predict. In patients with chronic liver disease, it is critical to have a clear understanding of hepatic fibrosis and its severity because it could help clinicians predict patient prognosis and choose a liver transplant date. Over the past 20 years, many prognosis prediction models have been suggested, but each had various limitations, creating the need for a simpler and more accurate biomarker. From the clinical point of view, distinguishing between compensated and decompensated cirrhosis when predicting patient prognosis is important. However, current models including Child-Turcotte-Pugh (CTP) and Model for End-stage Liver Disease (MELD) scores cannot distinguish between compensated and decompensated cirrhosis.¹

Growth differentiation factor 15 (GDF-15) is a transforming growth factor β (TGF-β) protein involved in infection, fibrosis, and apoptosis pathways in the presence of tissue damage or disease. GDF-15 is also known as TGF-PL (placental), macrophage inhibitory cytokine-1, prostate derived factor, placental bone morphogenetic protein, and placental growth factor-β, and its mRNA is known to be distributed particularly in the liver but also in the kidneys, heart, and lungs. In the presence of hypoxia, anoxia, inflammation, short-wave length exposure, and tissue injuries, the GDF-15 gene is expressed by activated macrophages and GDF-15 protein expression increases.² The mechanisms behind this are not clearly known, but they are thought to be related to inflammation by CCR2-mediated chemotaxis and known to be involved with connective tissue fibrosis.³

This study aimed to investigate the effectiveness of GDF-15...
for predicting liver disease severity and fibrosis as well as the applicability of biomarkers in patients with chronic liver diseases, which are primarily caused by inflammation and fibrosis.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

1. Patients

A total of 101 patients admitted for medical check-ups between August 2013 and December 2013 were included in the control group, while 145 patients with chronic liver diseases were included in the patient group and identified as having chronic hepatitis, compensated cirrhosis, or decompensated cirrhosis.

Among the patients with chronic liver diseases, patients with hepatocellular carcinoma were excluded from the study. Patients on anti-inflammatory drugs such as aspirin and steroids, diagnosed with other cancers, or with autoimmune diseases such as gout and rheumatic arthritis were also excluded from this study because these factors could affect GDF-15 concentrations. In addition, considering the traditional technology that could use GDF-15 as the biomarker for infections, patients with damaged liver cells from past infections in the past were tested, and the result showed that the GDF-15 blood concentrations were uniformly increased. Hence, patients with toxic or acute hepatitis were also excluded from this study.

Decompensated cirrhosis was defined as the patient having complications such as variceal bleeding, ascites, encephalopathy, and jaundice. Compensated cirrhosis was identified when patients did not display any of the aforementioned complications.

2. Methods

Once informed consent is obtained, demographical characteristics, clinical characteristics, and laboratory results of each group were investigated and analyzed and the patients were followed on an outpatient basis. Blood was collected from patients who agreed to genetic testing. The study was reviewed and approved by Chungnam National University School of Medicine, Institutional Review Board.

The blood concentration of GDF-15 measured by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) using a human GDF-15 ELISA kit (R&D Systems Inc., Minneapolis, MN, USA). Body mass index (BMI), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alanine aminotransferase (ALT), cirrhosis discriminant score (CDS), Child-Pugh score, and MELD score were used, and the participants' basic characteristics are shown in Table 1.

The blood specimens were centrifuged, and AST and ALT activity and blood concentration analyses were performed of the supernatant. The blood analysis kit was then used according to the manufacturer's instructions. CDS measured the number of platelets in the blood specimen and the prothrombin time. The obtained score was added accordingly to the score system and then classified.

The Child-Pugh score was based on the sum of the scores from following systems: (1) serum bilirubin <2 mg/dL (score 1), 2 to 3 mg/dL (score 2), >3 mg/dL (score 3); (2) serum albumin >3.5 g/dL (score 1), 2.8 to 3.5 g/dL (score 2), <2.8 g/dL (score 3); (3) prothrombin time international normalized ratio (PT INR) <1.70 (score 1), 1.71 to 2.20 (score 2), >2.20 (score 3); (4) no ascites (score 1), adjustable ascites (score 2), nonadjustable ascites (score 3); (5) no hepatic encephalopathy (score 1), easily treated hepatic encephalopathy (score 2), noneasily treated hepatic encephalopathy (score 3).

MELD score was calculated by the equation of 9.6×ln (creatinine mg/dL)+3.8×ln (bilirubin mg/dL)+11.2×ln (INR)+6.4.

3. Statistical analysis

The resulting values are expressed as mean±standard deviation and the statistical analyses were performed using SPSS for Windows version 21 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). The p-values <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Patient gender, disease causes, and clinical information were compared using Fisher exact test, while the x2 chi square test was used for categorical data. The correlation among GDF-15, CDS, MELD score, and CTP score was replaced by the log value and analyzed using Spearman correlation coefficients.

Determinates of GDF-15 levels were tested using a univariate binary logistic regression analysis. All variables, which were tested significant in the univariate model, were inserted in a multivariate binary logistic regression model with inclusion method. Results of binary logistic regression analysis were presented as odds ratio and 95 % confidence interval (CI).

To confirm the effectiveness of GDF-15 in diagnosis, the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve was used to determine the area under the ROC curve. The cutoff value was set up to find the sensitivity and specificity as well as the predictability for positives and negatives.

**RESULTS**

1. Clinical characteristics of the patient group

A total of 246 blood samples collected for this study from 101 healthy adults and 145 patients with chronic liver disease were used, and the participants' basic characteristics are shown in Table 1.

The control group consisted of 55 men and 46 women (n=101). The ratios of men to women in the chronic hepatitis, compensated cirrhosis, and decompensated cirrhosis groups were 28/26, 26/18, and 18/29, respectively, and no significant difference was seen among groups (p=0.741).

The average age of the control group was 46±9 years, while those of the chronic hepatitis, compensated cirrhosis, and decompensated cirrhosis groups were 45±13, 59±10, and 56±12
years, respectively, showing that those in the control group and chronic hepatitis group were significantly younger (p<0.01).

Causes of chronic liver disease in the 54 patients with chronic hepatitis consisted of hepatitis B virus (n=39), hepatitis C virus (n=12), and alcohol intake (n=3), while causes of compensated cirrhosis included hepatitis B virus (n=22), hepatitis C virus (n=7), and alcohol (n=7), and other autoimmune diseases or unknown causes (n=8) and causes of decompensated cirrhosis included hepatitis B virus (n=8), hepatitis C virus (n=8), alcohol (n=27), and other (n=4), respectively.

The average BMI of the control and chronic liver disease groups were 24.2±2.94, 24.1±3.7, 22.8±5.9, and 24.1±3.6, respectively; there were no statistically significant differences among groups (p=0.382). The average AST level was higher in the liver disease group than in the control group, but there was no major difference seen among the liver disease subgroups. The average ALT level was higher in the chronic hepatitis and compensated cirrhosis groups than in the control and decompensated cirrhosis groups.

The CDS, CTP score, and MELD score, which can predict liver fibrosis and severity in patients with cirrhosis, were 6.7±1.6 versus 8.7±1.7, 5.3±0.7 versus 8.2±1.9, and 8.7±2.7 versus 13.8±4.7, respectively, significantly higher in the patients with decompensated cirrhosis (p<0.01).

In a multivariate analysis, GDF-15 levels were independent predictors of chronic liver diseases (Table 2).

2. Blood GDF-15 concentration in patients with chronic liver diseases

When the blood GDF-15 concentration was measured within the 95% CI of each patient group, the average value in the control group was 490 ng/L, but that value rapidly increased in the chronic liver disease patients to >1,000 ng/L. In particular, GDF-15 concentration in the decompensated cirrhosis group was 3,483 ng/L, which was clearly higher than that in the chronic hepatitis patients at 1,232 ng/L and that in the compensated cirrhosis patients at 1,861 ng/L, indicating a statistically significant difference (p<0.01).

In a multivariate analysis, GDF-15 levels were independent predictors of chronic liver diseases (Table 2).

Table 1. Characteristics of Study Participants (n=246)

|                 | Healthy person (n=101) | Chronic hepatitis (n=54) | Compensated LC (n=44) | Decompensated LC (n=47) | p-value |
|----------------|------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|---------|
| Sex (M/F)      | 55/46                  | 28/26                    | 26/18                 | 18/29                   | 0.741   |
| Age, yr        | 46±9                   | 45±13                    | 59±10                 | 56±12                   | <0.01   |
| Etiology       |                        |                          |                       |                         |         |
| HBV            | -                      | 39 (72.2)                | 22 (50)               | 8 (17.0)                | -       |
| HCV            | -                      | 12 (22.2)                | 7 (15.9)              | 8 (17.0)                | -       |
| Alcohol        | -                      | 3 (5.6)                  | 7 (15.9)              | 27 (57.4)               | -       |
| Others         | -                      | 0                        | 8 (18.2)              | 4 (8.6)                 | -       |
| BMI            | 24.2±2.94              | 24.1±3.7                 | 22.8±5.9              | 24.1±3.6                | 0.382   |
| AST            | 22                     | 44                       | 50                    | 41                      | 0.002   |
| ALT            | 24                     | 56                       | 42                    | 22                      | 0.046   |
| CDS            | -                      | -                        | 6.7±1.6               | 8.7±1.7                 | <0.01   |
| Child-Pugh score | -                    | -                        | 5.3±0.7               | 8.2±1.9                 | <0.01   |
| MELD score     | -                      | -                        | 8.7±2.7               | 13.8±4.7                | <0.01   |
| GDF-15, ng/L   | 490                    | 1,232                    | 1,861                 | 3,483                   | <0.01   |

Data are presented as number (%) or mean±SD.
LC, liver cirrhosis; M, male; F, female; HBV, hepatitis B virus; HCV, hepatitis C virus; BMI, body mass index; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; CDS, cirrhosis discriminant score; CTP score; Model for End-stage Liver Disease; GDF-15, growth differentiating factor 15.

Table 2. Multivariate Analysis of Blood GDF-15 Concentration Using a Multivariate Logistic Regression Test

| No. | OR   | 95% CI       | p-value |
|-----|------|--------------|---------|
| Chronic liver disease* | 145 | 1.002 | 1.001–1.002 | 0.000 |
| Chronic hepatitis | 54 | 1.001 | 1.000–1.002 | 0.011 |
| Compensated LC | 44 | 1.001 | 1.000–1.002 | 0.009 |
| Decompensated LC | 47 | 1.002 | 1.001–1.003 | 0.002 |

Adjusted for significant variables, including age, aspartate aminotransferase, alanine aminotransferase, Child-Pugh score, and Model for End-stage Liver Disease (MELS) score.
GDF-15, growth differentiating factor 15; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; LC, liver cirrhosis.
*Included chronic hepatitis, compensated LC, and decompensated LC.
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Liver disease severity and GDF-15 were ranked from the highest bivariate Spearman rank correlation coefficient to the lowest, the results were as follows: Child-Pugh score ($r=0.474$), CDS ($r=0.447$), and MELD score ($r=0.415$) ($p<0.01$) (Fig. 1). Furthermore, Child-Pugh score and MELD score showed the highest positive correlation of 0.873.

4. **AUC value of GDF-15 in predicting chronic liver disease severity**

The AUC value of GDF-15 in the ROC curves for chronic hepatitis, compensated liver cirrhosis, and decompensated liver cirrhosis patients were 0.656, 0.886, and 0.984, respectively (Fig. 2).

5. **Sensitivity, specificity, and predictability for positives and negatives of GDF-15 in predicting severity in chronic liver diseases**

On the ROC curve, the value with highest specificity and rapid decrease in sensitivity was set as the optimal cutoff value. When the optimal cutoff value was set as 574 ng/L for patients with chronic hepatitis, the predictability of GDF-15 had a sensitivity of 53.7%, specificity of 79.2%, predictability for positives of 58.0%, and predictability for negatives of 76.2%.

When the optimal cutoff value was set at 760 ng/L for patients with compensated liver cirrhosis, the predictability of GDF-15 had a sensitivity of 75.6%, specificity of 92.1%, predictability for positives of 81.0%, and predictability for negatives of 89.4%. When the optimal cutoff value was set at 869 ng/L for patients with decompensated liver cirrhosis, the predictability of GDF-15 had a sensitivity of 97.9%, specificity of 94.1%, predictability for positives of 88.5%, and predictability of negatives of 99.0% (Table 3).

**DISCUSSION**

Some new facts were discovered through this study. First, patients with chronic liver diseases had higher GDF-15 concentrations than patients in the control group, and the patients with more severe liver diseases showed proportionally higher GDF-15 values. To date, studies have reported that a high serum GDF-15 value was related to lymph node metastasis and a low survival
rate in endometrial cancers and increased incidence of oral leukoplakia, squamous cell carcinoma, and prostate cancer. Additionally, it was reported as the predicting factor of recurrence of colorectal cancer and the independent predicting factor of the mortality from cardiovascular diseases. In addition, GDF-15 was reported to be related to abdominal obesity and insulin resistance, and it was reported as the predicting factors of insulin resistance.

There are few papers on plasma levels of GDF-15 in chronic liver disease, but Liu et al. reported that GDF-15 increased the...
sensitivity and specificity of diagnosis of hepatocellular carcinoma accompanied with cirrhosis when measured with AFP. In addition to this paper, Si et al.\textsuperscript{13} reported that GDF-15 was associated with the pathogenesis of hepatitis C virus.

But so far, no studies to date have investigated the blood GDF-15 concentration in chronic liver diseases. This study is the first to confirm that patients with more severe chronic liver diseases had proportionately higher GDF-15 values.

Second, the increase in GDF-15 seemed to be caused by fibrosis rather than the hepatocellular damage by the infections in chronic liver diseases. To prove this, patients who had cancer, cancer recurrence, or noticeable increases in AST and ALT were excluded from this study to eliminate confounding factors that could arise in cases of cancer or acute infection. Moreover, there was no difference in the average AST and ALT values among groups of patients with chronic hepatitis, compensated liver cirrhosis, and decompensated liver disease. A significant difference in blood GDF-15 concentration was due to hepatocellular damage from infection but appeared to be related to fibrosis level.

The relationship between GDF-15 and fibrosis of various tissues and organs is increasingly being described. Overexpression of GDF-15 caused by chronic and repetitive injuries of tissues or dysfunction of regulation the expression of this cytokine is a major factor in the pathogenesis of organ fibrosis.\textsuperscript{14-16}

An increased GDF-15 expression was observed among others in patients with pulmonary, kidney, and liver fibrosis.\textsuperscript{17}

In patients with chronic liver disease, the prolonged stimulation of hepatic stellate cells being the result of chronic damage to hepatocytes results in the release of profibrogenic abundant factors as GDF-15 and leads to the development of liver cirrhosis.

GDF-15 leads to the extracellular matrix (ECM) accumulation in the mechanism: (1) directly increasing the synthesis of ECM components as procollagen 1a, (2) inhibition of tissue collagenases expression, (3) increasing synthesis of ECM-degrading enzyme inhibitors (as plasminogen activator inhibitor type 1, tissue inhibitors of metalloproteinases),\textsuperscript{18-20}

Patients with chronic liver diseases would have deposited not only extracellular protein but collagen when liver fibrosis occurred; continuous deposits of such substances in the liver would result in structural changes of the liver tissues and induce functional liver impairment, which ultimately leads to portal hypertension and clinical complications. Therefore, understanding liver fibrosis severity is important in predicting the prognosis of patients with chronic liver diseases and choosing treatment and surveillance periods; it is also used to assess treatment reactions.

Regarding studies of the relationships between GDF-15 and fibrosis, when Lambrecht et al.\textsuperscript{21} measured the serum GDF-15 concentration in 119 patients with systemic sclerosis, disease activity and organ involvement showed a strong relationship; in particular, more severe fibrosis in the liver showed a higher GDF-15 concentration. Lok et al.\textsuperscript{22} identified a relationship between myocardial fibrosis and GDF-15 in nonischemic dilated cardiomyopathy. No studies have been performed on the correlation between GDF-15 and liver fibrosis in patients with chronic hepatitis or liver cirrhosis. This study confirmed that there was a strong relationship between them.

Finally, the most critical result of this study was that GDF-15 showed extremely high sensitivity and specificity in distinguishing between chronic hepatitis and liver cirrhosis, and especially in distinguishing the patients with compensated and decompensated liver cirrhosis (at a cutoff of >869 ng/L; sensitivity, 97.9%; specificity, 94.1%).

Of the previous prediction models, CDS is a prediction model for liver fibrosis that is composed of platelets, AST/ALT ratio, and PT INR. A score >8 may reduce the need for liver biopsy for the diagnosis of liver fibrosis,\textsuperscript{23} but it fails to predict chronic liver disease severity. Also, CTP and MELD scores are applied to the prediction models for liver disease severity. CTP scoring had the following weaknesses: two of its five factors, ascites and hepatic coma, are not objective; all factors were treated the same regardless of weighted values, the cutoff value was arbitrarily set without any statistical basis; and patients within the same class were not uniform, so they could have different prognoses.\textsuperscript{24}

Unlike CTP score, MELD score is composed only of objective factors and gives weighted values to factors according to its importance. It is also continuous without the use of arbitrary restrictions. The strength of the MELD score was that even an increase in a single score was proportional to the risk, but it was ambiguous for selecting among the different factors used in multivariable analysis for completing the model and it ignored the importance of ascites; unlike CTP score, which could easily be calculated and applied to clinical settings, its calculation was complicated.\textsuperscript{25} Furthermore, most critically, it could not distinguish between or describe compensated and decompensated liver cirrhosis.\textsuperscript{1} In such cases, the use of GDF-15 is convenient for comparing CDS, CTP score, and MELD score and able to more accurately predict liver fibrosis and liver disease severity. In particular, GDF-15 is believed to be a biomarker that is capable of diagnosing compensated and decompensated liver cirrhosis, which is clinically critical.

Limitations of this study were expressed as follows. Firstly, because a small number of patient group, it was not possible to analyze association between GDF-15 according to the cause of chronic liver disease. And secondly, liver biopsy was not performed to examine the association of GDF-15 and fibrosis.

Despite having a number of limitations, our work generates important findings. In other words, this is the first study to investigate the effectiveness of GDP-15 in patients with chronic
liver diseases, and our findings confirmed it to be a very valuable biomarker for predicting liver fibrosis and chronic liver disease severity. In particular, in the diagnosis of clinically important decompensated cirrhosis, it showed high diagnostic rate; when the cutoff value of 869 ng/L was set, it had a sensitivity of 97.9% and specificity of 94.1%.
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