Improved Immuno-Detection of a Low-Abundance Cyclophilin Allows the Confirmation of its Expression in a Protozoan Parasite
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Abstract

Protein samples can be challenging to analyze due to the presence of high-abundance proteins masking low-abundance proteins of interest, such as biomarkers and novel physiological mediators. Cyclophilins are chaperones involved in the cis/trans isomerization of peptidyl-prolyl bonds in peptides or proteins and have been found in every organism sequenced to date. Although considerable progress has been made in the characterization of some cyclophilins expressed in diverse parasites invading humans, the main aspects of low-abundance members of this family remain unknown. In the present work, we present that the combined strategy of using more specific antibodies and increasing the presence of subcellular proteins in the sample, allowed us to confirm the expression of a 21.1 kDa cyclophilin for the first time in *Trypanosoma cruzi*.
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Introduction

Cyclophilins (CyPs) catalyse the cis/trans isomerization of peptidyl-prolyl bonds in peptides or proteins (PPIase activity) [1,2]. Genomes of some prokaryotes, reviewed by Galat [3] and all sequenced-to-date eukaryotes encode from one to several different paralogues of cyclophilins. Their nominal masses may vary from about 17 kDa (monodomain CyP) to several hundred kDa. CyPs have been localized to different cellular compartments, namely the cytoplasm [4], the membranes and mitochondria [5], the endoplasmic reticulum [6], the secretory pathway [7], the spliceosome [8], the nucleus and its membranes [9-11].

The archetypal CyP of the family is CyPA, which has been discovered as a cyclosporin A (CsA) [12] binding protein [4]. More than 20 paralogues of CyPA are expressed in the human body while expression levels and functions of numerous ORFs encoding cyclophilin-like sequences remain unknown. For example, CyPA and a 40 kDa multidomain cyclophilin (CyP-40) have been isolated in sizable quantities which indicate that some members of the cyclophilin family of proteins are abundantly expressed in different mammalian organs [4,13,14]. However, other members of the cyclophilin family may represent a challenging sample to analyze as the protein concentration range spans a few orders of magnitude and organellar proteins are generally low-abundance proteins which are extremely difficult to detect in complex samples.

Although considerable progress has been made in molecular characterization of some cyclophilins expressed in diverse parasites invading humans [15], the knowledge of functional aspects of the differentiated repertoires of the cyclophilins expressed in numerous parasites remains scarce [15,16].

*Trypanosoma cruzi* is the protozoan parasite that causes Chagas disease or American trypanosomiasis. This is a potentially life-threatening illness and it is estimated that about 7 million to 8 million people are infected, mostly in Latin America, where Chagas disease is endemic, but also in other parts of the world through migration from endemic areas (WHO, 2014). The *Trypanosoma cruzi* cyclophilin gene family comprises 15 paralogues whose nominal masses vary from 19 to 110 kDa, but only the 4 of them with high abundance could be isolated from *T. cruzi* epimastigote extracts by cyclosporin A-affinity chromatography [16].

In recent years, several studies have described additional cellular functions for cyclophilins, including roles as chaperones and in cell signaling. Therefore, cyclophilins have proved to be of potential interest in elucidating physiological processes that still remain unknown.

Enrichment in low abundance proteins has become a challenge in the search of biomarkers and mediators. Efforts have been made in characterizing protein profile of biological samples such as placenta [17], urine [18] plants [19], etc. The techniques applied to this goal are diverse and include protein depletion and mass spectrometry [17,20], improved fractionation and purification methods [18], high-resolution NMR [21] among others.

Improving the detection of low-abundance proteins is also a challenge when characterizing molecules in human pathogens. The search on novel molecules to identify new drug targets and also to improve the knowledge about the biology of these microorganisms is under development, supported by improved strategies and new technologies.

In this work, we present an effective strategy for enrichment in low-abundance parasite CyPs to levels that enabled the immunological detection with more specific antibodies. This approach allowed us to identify for the first time the expression of a cyclophilin localized to the endoplasmic reticulum of the parasite.

*Corresponding author: Patricia L. Bustos, Instituto Nacional de Parasitología “Dr. Mario Falata Chaben”. Av. Paseo Colón 568 C1063ACS, Ciudad Autónoma de Buenos Aires, Argentina, Tel: +54 11 4331 4019; E-mail: pato54mar@yahoo.com.ar

Received September 16, 2015; Accepted October 01, 2015; Published October 06, 2015

Citation: Bustos PL, Perrone AE, Milduberger NA, Bua J (2015) Improved Immuno-Detection of a Low-Abundance Cyclophilin Allows the Confirmation of its Expression in a Protozoan Parasite. Immunochem Immunopathol 1: 103. doi: 10.4172/2469-9756.1000103

Copyright: © 2015 Bustos PL, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.
Experimental Section

Parasites

*T. cruzi* CL. Brener clone parasites were cultured in biphasic medium containing 1.5% nutrient agar with the addition of 0.2% rabbit defibrinated blood and Brain Heart Infusion medium (Difco Michigan, USA). Epimastigotes were collected at 5 days of culture (late log phase) at 28°C.

Antibodies anti-**Tc**CyP21 synthetic peptide

From *Tc*CyP21 protein sequence (GenBank Acc. Number KP792643) two specific peptides were synthesized: P1-NTKGTGLNDKPKKC y P2 - VSARADPVVTD (Sigma Co., USA) and coupled to KLH carrier protein by standard procedures. Balb/c mice were immunized with five intra-peritoneal doses of KLH coupled peptide (10 mg/ml each) with Freund’s incomplete adjuvant to raise anti-mouse specific polyclonal antibodies.

Subcellular fractionation

Briefly, *T. cruzi* epimastigotes were washed three times in 15 ml MES buffer (20 mM MOPS, pH 7.0, 250 mM sucrose, 3 mM EDTA). The cell pellet was resuspended in 0.2 ml MES buffer containing 4 mg/ml digitonin and protease inhibitors: 1 mM pepstatine A, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonylfluoride (PMSF) and 0.1 mM Na-potosyl-L-lysine chloromethyl ketone (TLCK). The suspension was incubated at room temperature for 5 min and centrifuged at 10,000 g for 5 min. The resulting supernatant was collected as a cytosolic fraction, and the heavy membrane enriched pellet (membrane-enriched fraction) was collected as a cytosolic fraction, and the heavy membrane enriched pellet (membrane-enriched fraction) to try increase the presence of low-abundance organellar proteins. We observed that while the antibodies against P1 were able to detect the recombinant *Tc*CyP21, this was not the case with the anti-P2 antibodies (Figure 2).

**SDS-PAGE and western blot analysis**

Procedures for SDS–PAGE of protein samples were carried out as described by Laemmli [23]. Briefly, *T. cruzi* epimastigotes were washed three times in 15 ml MES buffer (20 mM MOPS, pH 7.0, 250 mM sucrose, 3 mM EDTA). The cell pellet was resuspended in 0.2 ml MES buffer containing 4 mg/ml digitonin and protease inhibitors: 1 mM pepstatine A, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonylfluoride (PMSF) and 0.1 mM Na-potosyl-L-lysine chloromethyl ketone (TLCK). The suspension was incubated at room temperature for 5 min and centrifuged at 10,000 g for 5 min. The resulting supernatant was collected as a cytosolic fraction, and the heavy membrane enriched pellet (membrane-enriched fraction) was resuspended in phosphate buffer (20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7.0, 3 mM EDTA).

**Immunofluorescence microscopy**

To determine the localization and expression of *Tc*CyP21 in *T. cruzi*, live epimastigotes were labelled with Mitotracker Red (Invitrogen) at 50 nM in PBS-3% glucose for 30 min at 28°C. Epimastigotes were washed in ice-cold PBS and fixed with 1% paraformaldehyde in PBS at 4°C for 1 h. The fixed parasites were washed twice with PBS, allowed to adhere to poly-L-lysine-coated coverslips and permeabilized with 0.1% TritonX-100/PBS for 5 min. After blocking with PBS containing 3% BSA for 1 h at room temperature, epimastigotes were incubated with 3% BSA/PBS with anti-P1-TcCyP21 (1:100) for 1 h. After thoroughly washing with PBS, parasites were stained with Alexa 488-conjugated goat-mouse antibody at 1:1,000 for 1 h. Parasites were mounted with Vectashield (Vector Labs) containing DAPI as DNA staining. Images were obtained with an Olympus DP72 camera coupled to a fluorescence microscope Olympus BX60 and analyzed with Image J software.

**Results**

**Peptide selection for specific antibodies**

In *Trypanosoma cruzi* genome there is a sequence for a 21.1 kDa cyclophilin (GenBank Acc. Number KP792643) named *Tc*CyP21. This protein has a predicted signal peptide of 28 AA and it was inferred to be transcribed in different cell cyle stages: epimastigotes (AI562688, AI562266) [24] and also in trypomastigote and amastigote cDNA 132 libraries (CF889418, CB923590) [25]. However, the transcription of *Tc*CyP21 could not be confirmed by cyclosporin A- affordability chromatography [16].

To evaluate if its expression could be detected by Western blot, antibodies against specific peptides of *Tc*CyP21 protein were raised. To this end, we analyzed the *Tc*CyP21 protein sequence with Bepipred-1.0b, a free server that allows choosing the most immunogenic regions within an aminoaacidic sequence [26]. Of the four peptide options that were identified by the server, two were synthesized, considering both the immunogenicity and the unconserved region of the sequence among the CyP family (Figure 1).

After obtaining the immune sera against each synthetic peptide, they were tested by Western blot against the recombinant protein available in our laboratory. We observed that while the antibodies against P1 were able to detect the recombinant *Tc*CyP21, this was not the case with the anti-P2 antibodies (Figure 2).

We continued our studies with the immune serum against peptide 1 from *Tc*CyP21, identified as anti-P1.

**Anti-P1 was able to detect the native *Tc*CyP21 in a membrane-enriched fraction**

To evaluate if we could confirm the expression of native *Tc*CyP21 by Western blot, we prepared two different protein samples. One of them was total parasite lysate and the other corresponded to a membrane-enriched fraction (as described in the Experimental Section) to try increase the presence of low-abundance organellar proteins. We observed that *Tc*CyP21 could not be detected by anti-P1 in a total parasite lysate. However, when we enriched the sample in organellar membrane proteins (without most of the high-abundance cytosolic proteins), anti-P1 was able to detect a unique band of the expected size for *Tc*CyP21 (Figure 3A).

It is important to highlight that the antibodies against the whole recombinant *Tc*CyP21, failed to detect a unique band against these samples, probably by cross-reacting with the conserved regions of other members of the cyclophilin family (Figure 3B).

**Anti-P1 reveals the native *Tc*CyP21 subcellular localization by immunofluorescence**

To confirm subcellular localization of *Tc*CyP21, we performed immunofluorescence using the anti-P1 antibodies against the epimastigote stage of the parasite. We also used Mitotracker Deep Red as a mitochondrial marker and DAPI as nuclear staining.

We observed that *Tc*CyP21 presented perinuclear distribution,
which appears to be endoplasmic reticulum distribution and did not co-localize with the mitochondrial marker (Figure 4).

The subcellular localization of TcCyP21 was also confirmed by overexpression of TcCyP21-GFP in parasites in epimastigote stage (data not shown).

Conclusions

In the present work, we demonstrated that raising different and more specific antibodies against the TcCyP21 protein and increasing its abundance in the sample by subcellular fractionation and enrichment using digitonin, resulted in a successful strategy to confirm the expression of this low abundance cyclophilin.

This had not been possible before, especially considering that this protozoan parasite expresses two other CyPs with high abundance (TcCyP19 and TcCyP40) and conserved regions with TcCyP21. Although there was in silico evidence that this protein was expressed in the different stages of the parasite life cycle, every attempt of detecting this low abundance TcCyP21 failed, when using total parasite lysate, even when the amount of cells per lane was increased 100 times. However, the TcCyP21 was successfully detected in membrane-enriched fractions, confidently related to an increased presence of this protein in the sample. Other biological samples may present similar challenges with a small number of high-abundance proteins masking the low abundance proteins of interest. Working with less complex samples obtained by any suitable enrichment protocol, such as subcellular fractionation or immunoprecipitation, may alleviate these challenges.

By using more specific antibodies against a selected peptide from TcCyP21, we were also able to avoid the cross-reactivity with the conserved regions among the protein family, which allowed us to obtain a tool for immunodetection of this protein.

The successful confirmation that this low-abundance TcCyP21 was expressed by the parasite reveals the potential of our approach for other biological samples of interest.
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