Desmoplastic variant of ameloblastoma of the maxilla: A case report

Kwang-Joon Koh1,* , Ha-Na Park1, Kyoung-A Kim1
1Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Radiology, School of Dentistry and Institute of Oral Bioscience, Chonbuk National University, Jeonju, Korea

ABSTRACT
The desmoplastic variant of ameloblastoma is a rare form of ameloblastoma characterized by unique radiographic and histologic features. A 46-year-old female was referred to our hospital, complaining of swelling in the left upper lip area. Radiographic findings revealed an ill-defined multilocular lesion with a large cystic lesion and thick sclerotic trabeculae on the left anterior maxilla. After the patient underwent partial osteotomy, histologic analysis revealed a desmoplastic ameloblastoma with no evidence of a hybrid lesion or cyst formation. The radiographic findings in the present case were different from those described in previous case reports. These findings are of special importance due to the unfamiliar radiographic and histologic features of this lesion. (Imaging Sci Dent 2015; 45: 241-5)

KEY WORDS: Ameloblastoma; Jaw; Neoplasms

Desmoplastic ameloblastoma is a rare variant of ameloblastoma characterized by unique radiographic and histologic features.1–3 This tumor shows a predilection for the anterior maxilla3–5 and occurs most commonly in the third through seventh decades of life.6,7 It accounts for 4%–5% of all ameloblastomas,6,8 and usually presents as a painless, expansile mass.3 The radiographic features of desmoplastic ameloblastoma differ markedly from those of other ameloblastoma variants. Radiographically, it either exhibits a mixed radiolucent/radiopaque lesion or presents with multilocular radiolucencies that have ill-defined or well-defined borders.2 It usually shows cortical expansion and tooth displacement, but it rarely shows root resorption. Histologically, it shows abundant, densely collagenous stroma containing small nests and strands of odontogenic epithelium.9 This report describes a rare case of desmoplastic ameloblastoma characterized by peculiar radiographic features.

Case Report
A 46-year-old female visited Chonbuk National University Dental Hospital with the complaint of swelling in the left upper lip area. She had begun to notice the painless swelling two months previously. Her past medical history was non-remarkable. A clinical examination found a bony and hard gingival swelling that extended from the left maxillary incisors to the premolar regions, as well as displacement of the left maxillary lateral incisor and canine. Neither tenderness nor pus discharge was observed upon palpation. A panoramic radiograph (Fig. 1) and a periapical radiograph (Fig. 2) showed an ill-defined multilocular radiolucency with a large cystic lesion extending from the left upper central incisor to the premolar area. Thick sclerotic trabeculae were observed in the lesion, as well as displacement of the left maxillary incisors to the premolar regions, as well as displacement of the left maxillary lateral incisor and canine. Neither tenderness nor pus discharge was observed upon palpation. A panoramic radiograph (Fig. 1) and a periapical radiograph (Fig. 2) showed an ill-defined multilocular radiolucency with a large cystic lesion extending from the left upper central incisor to the premolar area. Thick sclerotic trabeculae were observed in the lesion, as well as displacement of the left maxillary lateral incisor and canine. However, no loss of lamina dura or root resorption was observed. Facial computed tomographic (CT) images (Fig. 3) revealed an ill-defined multilocular lesion with a cystic lesion and thick trabeculae on the left anterior maxilla. Thinning, expansion, and perforation of the buccal and palatal cortical plates were noted. The lesion expanded into the left maxillary sinus with destruction of the anteromedial wall and mucosal thickening. With the tentative...
diagnosis of an odontogenic myxoma or ameloblastoma, the patient underwent partial osteotomy. After an operation, a biopsy was performed, and the histologic analysis (Fig. 4) revealed a non-encapsulated mass with small, scattered tumor nests of epithelium in the fibrous stroma. Small areas of tumor nests showed some columnar cells with reversed nuclear polarity. The stroma showed extensive desmoplasia and new bone formation with osteoblastic rimming. The specimen was consistent with desmoplastic ameloblastoma. The patient has been disease-free for three years since the operation and is under routine follow-up care. The present case differed from the lesions described in previous case reports in that it presented with a multilocular radiolucency that had thick sclerotic trabeculae, and showed no root resorption of the involved teeth.

Discussion

Ameloblastoma is a benign but locally aggressive polymorphic neoplasm that consists of proliferating odonto- genic epithelium. Three types of intraosseous ameloblastomas exist: the conventional or solid/multicystic variant, the unicystic variant, and the desmoplastic variant.

In 1984, Eversole et al. first described the desmoplastic variant of ameloblastoma, which is distinguished from conventional ameloblastoma based on its tendency to involve the anterior maxilla and its unique appearance. Desmoplastic ameloblastoma also differs from other types of ameloblastoma in that it is located in the anterior or premolar regions of the maxilla or mandible, and its radiographic appearance is often more typical of a mixed lesion. Some authors have suggested that desmoplastic ameloblastoma tends to exhibit rapid growth and progressive behavior. Desmoplastic ameloblastoma accounts for 4%-5% of all ameloblastomas. It occurs in patients in the third through seventh decades of life. A clinicopathological analysis of the 68 cases of desmoplastic ameloblastoma that have previously been reported found that more than 70% of the cases occurred in the anterior and premolar regions of the jaw. Contrary to reports arguing that desmoplastic ameloblastoma has an anterior maxillary predilection, Effiom and Odukoya reported that 81% of cases showed a mandibular predilection, with 82% of cas-
Conventional ameloblastoma predominantly occurs in patients in the third through fifth decades of life, comprising 92% of cases in this age group. Unilocular forms have been found to be significantly more common in younger patients. It has been well established that the majority of cases showing radiolucency.

Fig. 3. The axial (A), coronal (B) computed tomographic (CT) images show an ill-defined multilocular lesion with a large cystic lesion, thick trabeculae, expansion, and perforation of the both buccal and palatal cortical plates. C. A sagittal CT image shows an ill-defined multilocular radiolucency with a large cystic lesion. D. A sagittal CT image shows bony expansion to the left maxillary sinus.

Fig. 4. Photomicrographs show small, scattered tumor nests and new bone formation, as well as desmoplastic changes in the stroma. A. H&E stain, 100 ×. B. H&E stain, 200 ×.
multilocular ameloblastomas arise in the mandible, with most in the molar and ramus regions. The present case showed multilocular radiolucency with a large cystic lesion of the anterior maxilla.

Desmoplastic ameloblastoma appears as a diffuse, mixed radiolucent and radiopaque lesion with a honeycomb or soap-bubble pattern. Kawai et al. reported that 25% of cases showed a mixed radiolucent and radiopaque pattern with new bone formation. The remainder of the cases were radiolucent.

MacDonald-Jankowski et al. found a significantly higher proportion of unilocular lesions with well-defined margins in conventional ameloblastomas, but Poon et al. reported that only 16% of cases showed unilocular lesions.

However, Kaffe et al. reported that desmoplastic ameloblastoma appeared as a multilocular lesion in 20% of cases and as a unilocular lesion in 33% of cases, while the remaining 47% of lesions were not loculated. Unlike other ameloblastomas, desmoplastic ameloblastoma has poorly defined borders, and it may be mistaken for a benign fibro-osseous lesion. A CT scan is used to obtain a three-dimensional image of the lesion and to better examine the soft tissues. Although ameloblastoma is more likely than odontogenic myxoma to appear as a unilocular lesion, these two tumors have no significant differences regarding locularity.

Lo Muzio et al. reported that six of 10 odontogenic myxomas were multilocular and four were unilocular. Therefore, other radiographic features are required to differentiate ameloblastoma and odontogenic myxoma. One such feature is the border between the lesion and the adjacent normal tissue. MacDonald-Jankowski et al. reported that ameloblastoma was significantly better defined than odontogenic myxoma. The unique radiographic features of the desmoplastic variant of ameloblastoma, which often give the impression of a fibro-osseous lesion, seem to reflect the characteristic aggressive behavior of the tumor in many cases. This may also explain the ill-defined border of desmoplastic ameloblastoma.

Kaffe et al. and Li reported tooth displacement in 48%-92% of desmoplastic ameloblastomas, whereas root resorption was found in 8.7%-33% of desmoplastic ameloblastomas. However, Lo Muzio et al. reported root resorption in 20% of odontogenic myxomas.

One advantage of CT over magnetic resonance imaging is the ability to distinguish desmoplastic ameloblastoma from other fibro-osseous lesions through the detection of thick bony trabeculae. In several reports, tumors were incorrectly diagnosed as fibro-osseous lesions based on the history, clinical findings, and radiographic appearance.

The present case showed an ill-defined expansile multilocular radiolucency with perforation of the cortical plate, unlike the encapsulated mixed radiolucent and radiopaque pattern previously described for these lesions. Furthermore, the present case showed a thick trabecular pattern with tooth displacement. Therefore, odontogenic myxoma and ameloblastoma were included in the differential diagnosis.

A biopsy was performed for the final diagnosis of the lesion. Desmoplastic ameloblastoma appears as compressed islands and thin cords of ameloblastic epithelial cells embedded in a dense collagenized stroma. Areas of stellate reticulum are rare to absent. The present case involved a non-encapsulated mass with small, scattered tumor nests of epithelium in the fibrous stroma. An invasive growth pattern of this type into marrow spaces and the lack of demarcation with fibrous connective tissues are correlated with the indistinct border observed in desmoplastic ameloblastoma. The non-encapsulated nature of the mass seems to be related to the ill-defined border, and is an important difference from well-defined fibro-osseous lesions.

Histologically, cystic changes in the tumor mass of desmoplastic ameloblastoma have been reported. However, no cystic changes were found in the large cystic lesion of the present case. A desmoplastic ameloblastoma with features of other histologic types is considered to be a hybrid lesion. A hybrid ameloblastoma is composed of desmoplastic ameloblastoma and conventional follicular or plexiform ameloblastoma. The present case showed no histological findings characteristic of conventional follicular or plexiform ameloblastoma. Therefore, the lesion in our case was not hybrid ameloblastoma.

Desmoplastic ameloblastoma often shows prominent bone formation. Thompson et al. suggested that the production of new bone results from an attempt to repair the damaged laminated bone trabeculae resorbed by the tumor expansion. Kawai et al. proposed that the adjacent bone trabeculae tend to persist because osteoblastic activity is more vigorous than osteoclastic activity and may be induced by newly formed bony trabeculae. The present case showed prominent new bone formation with osteoblastic rimming in the solid mass. The new bone formation may have been reflected by the thick sclerotic trabeculae seen on the radiographs.

Most cases of desmoplastic ameloblastoma have been described as having an ill-defined border and a propensity to recur at least as often as conventional ameloblastoma.
Following surgical treatment, the patient has remained disease-free for three years and is currently under routine follow-up care. The careful analysis of clinicopathological and radiological findings is recommended to ensure an accurate diagnosis of desmoplastic ameloblastoma.
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