Histopathological evaluation of formalin toxicity in *Arapaima gigas* (Arapaimidae), the giant fish from Amazon
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This study aimed to determine the lethal concentration and the structural and ultra-structural effects caused by the formalin exposure on juveniles of *Arapaima gigas*. Ninety fish (60.1±2.5g and 20.2±0.9cm) were exposed to 0, 22, 44, 66, 88 and 110mg L⁻¹ in order to determine the lethal concentration (LC₅₀-96h) that was 36.4mg L⁻¹ of formalin. Sublethal effects were evaluated using histopathological analysis on the gills and assessment of behavioral alterations and clinical signs. The LC₅₀ of formalin for 24, 48 and 72h was 88.3, 64.7 and 56.8mg L⁻¹ respectively. Clinical signs and behavioral changes were found: erratic swimming, lethargy, crowding on the water surface, loss of hydrodynamic equilibrium, spasms and agonistic confrontation, which were observed only at 88 and 110mg L⁻¹. The histological alteration index (HAI) showed that 66, 88 and 100mg L⁻¹ presented significant difference (p<0.05) in relation to unexposed fish, indicating that moderate damage to the gills of fish exposed to formalin had occurred. The mean values of alteration (MVA) for 22, 44, 66, 88 and 110mg L⁻¹ were 1.14, 1.29, 1.51, 1.53 and 1.60 respectively, and differences in this index were only observed with 110 mg L⁻¹ of formalin. It is therefore possible to conclude that sublethal concentrations of formalin (22.0mg L⁻¹) did not compromise the health of juveniles of *A. gigas*. Finally, concentrations greater than to LC₅₀-96h may be carefully used for short-term exposure, since the MVA for all concentrations tested only indicated localized lesions that did not compromise gills functionality of exposed fish.
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na superfície da água, perda de equilíbrio hidrodinâmico, espasmos e confronto agonísticos, observados apenas nas concentrações de 88 e 110mg L\(^{-1}\). O índice de alteração histológica (IAH) mostrou que as concentrações de 66, 88 e 100mg L\(^{-1}\) apresentaram diferenças significativas (p<0,05) em relação aos controles, indicando a ocorrência de danos moderados nas brânquias dos peixes expostos a formalina. Os valores médios de alteração (VMA) para as concentrações 22, 44, 66, 88 e 110mg L\(^{-1}\) foram 1,14, 1,29, 1,51, 1,53 e 1,60; respectivamente, e as diferenças na composição desse índice foram observados apenas na exposição com 110mg L\(^{-1}\) de formalina. Foi possível concluir que concentrações suficientes de formalina (22mg L\(^{-1}\)) não comprometem a saúde de juvenis de \textit{A. gigas}. Concentrações de formalina acima da Cl\(_{50,96h}\) podem ser usadas cuidadosamente para banho de curto tempo, uma vez que o VMA para todas as concentrações testadas indicou apenas lesões localizadas que não comprometem a funcionalidade das brânquias dos peixes expostos.

**INTRODUCTION**

In Brazil, the production of \textit{Arapaima gigas} Schinz, 1822 commonly known as pirarucu has been intensified over the last few years, despite great challenges in consolidating the production chain. Diseases caused by ectoparasites are one of the biggest concerns, due to the low survival rates of these fish, especially during the initial stages of life (fry and juveniles) when rearing this species. Among these problems related to intensive production of \textit{A. gigas} are the high rates of infestation mainly due to monogeneans \textit{Dawestrema cycloanthistrioides} and \textit{Dawestrema cycloanthistrium}, and trichodiniids \textit{Trichodina heterodentata} and \textit{Trichodina fariai} (Delgado et al. 2007, Araújo et al. 2009, Marinho et al. 2013). These ectoparasites have been responsible for epizootic outbreaks that have caused economic losses to aquaculture of \textit{A. gigas}.

Several chemotherapeutic products have been used to control ectoparasites in fish from aquaculture industry worldwide, and formalin is one of these (Cruz et al. 2005, Yao et al. 2011, Pahor-Filho et al. 2012, Santos et al. 2012, Ayuba et al. 2013). Fish farming use formalin for treating disease control is possible eliminating the parasites only when death was confirmed, the fish were weighed, measured and numbered.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Obtainment and fish acclimatization.** Juveniles of \textit{Arapaima gigas} (47.5/72 13.9g and 17.45±1.8cm) were obtained from a commercial fish farm in the state of Amazonas, northern Brazil. The fish apparently healthy were transported to the Applied Zoology and Ichthyoparasitology Laboratory of the Nilton Lins University, Manaus, AM (Brazil), and were acclimatized for 10 days in 500L tanks with constant aeration and water exchange. During this period, the fish were fed until reaching apparent satiety, three times a day, using commercial extruded feed for carnivorous fish with 45% crude protein.

**Determination of the lethal concentration (LC\(_{50,96h}\)) of formalin.** Initially, the fish were subjected to 24h of food deprivation before beginning the experiments, to enable emptying of the gastrointestinal tract. The lethal concentration of formalin for \textit{A. gigas} was determined by using acute toxicity test over a 96h exposure period. The concentrations used had been previously determined through preliminary tolerance tests, in which the values chosen for the acute toxicity test corresponded to the lowest test concentration (110mg L\(^{-1}\)) able to cause 100% lethality, and the highest test concentration (22mg L\(^{-1}\)) that did not cause lethality (0%) among the fish. The concentrations were prepared from 37% formaldehyde (Sigma-Aldrich\textsuperscript{®}).

To determine the lethal concentration (LC\(_{50,96h}\)), the experimental design comprised an entirely randomized block using five concentrations of formalin: 22, 44, 66, 88 and 110mg L\(^{-1}\), and a control (0mg L\(^{-1}\)), with three repetitions each. The exposures were administered out in 120 L aquariums, 90 fish were divided in 6 treatments with 3 replicates each and 5 fish by replicate. The distribution of the fish and the treatments in the aquariums were performed randomly, in a static system, with a 12-h photoperiod and constant aeration for complete homogenization of the product. Lethality throughout the assay was recorded after 24, 48, 72 and 96h.

The tolerance of the fish to formalin was observed by descriptive qualitative and quantitative analysis over the period of 96h. To assess the death of each fish, the following criteria were considered: absence of opercular movement and reaction to any external stimulus. When death was confirmed, the fish were weighed, measured and numbered. The LC\(_{50}\) was estimated using the Trimmmed Spearman-Karber method (Hamilton et al. 1977). The degree of toxicity of the product was
estimated through a qualitative description, in accordance with the methodology of Zucker (1985).

**Behavioral evaluation and analysis of the clinical signs.** To evaluate the behavior of the animals, descriptive qualitative analysis was performed based on the following criteria: erratic swimming, lethargy, concentration at the surface, loss of balance, spasms and agonistic confrontations (Andrade et al. 2005), after adaptation. Moreover, anatomopathological analysis was applied in order to observe the external surface of the fish.

**Water quality.** Water quality was monitored throughout the acclimatization period (baseline) and experimental period. The following physical and chemical water variables were determined: dissolved oxygen, temperature and electric conductivity using digital multiparameter (YSI-85/10, USA); and pH using digital pH meter (YSI-60/10, USA). The concentrations of total ammonia (NH₃ + NH₄⁺) (mg L⁻¹), nitrate (NO₃⁻) (mg L⁻¹) and nitrite (NO₂⁻) (mg L⁻¹) were assessed through the colorimetric method, as described by Verdouw et al. (1978) and Boyd & Tucker (1992), respectively, and the total alkalinity was evaluated through titration (Boyd & Tucker 1992).

**Procedures for collection and histopathological analysis of the gills.** Among fish submitted to the acute toxicity test, the gills of 6 fish of each treatment randomly collected were removed, and fixed in 10% buffered formalin (phosphate) for histopathological analysis in historesin (Technovit 7100™ resin kit) (Hossler 1980). The second gill arch was chosen, from which the bone tissue was removed, the gill filaments were selected and embedded in historesin, in a sagittal position, to obtain sections that showed the afferent/efferent longitudinal axis of the gill filament and the lamellae in a perpendicular position. The samples were gradually dehydrated in alcohol (50-100%), such that they were immersed in infiltration and polymerization procedures were carried out following the recommended protocol for the Technovit 7100™ resin kit.

The polymerized samples were removed from the histological molds and were then attached to wooden blocks measuring approximately 3x4cm, with the aid of Araldite® glue. The blocks were trimmed and placed in a Leica RM 2125 RT microtome to be cut into sections of 3μm in thickness, in the sagittal orientation in relation to the gill filaments. The slices were placed in a water bath, mounted on histological slides and dried on a plate heated to 37°C for approximately 1 h. Staining was performed using the basic fuchsin and toluidine blue technique (Kiernan 1999). The material was analyzed and images from 20 random fields were recorded with the material in a sagittal position, viewed with the aid of an Olympus BX41 microscope coupled to a camera and connected to a computer.

All histopathological alterations on the gills of A. gigas specimens that underwent acute toxicity tests for 96 h were investigated. The histopathological alterations were evaluated by descriptive and qualitative analyses, using the mean assessment value (MAV) as described by Schwaiger et al. (1997) and calculating the histological alteration index (HAI), as described by Poleksic & Mitrovic-Tutundzic (1994).

**Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) on the gills.** The second gill arch was also analyzed through SEM to observe possible gill alterations due to formalin. The samples were fixed in 1.5% glutaraldehyde buffer and dehydrated in an increasing series of alcohol exchanges, from 50 to 100%, for 10 min per exchange, and performing the last one twice. The samples were then placed in metal baskets and were transferred to the critical point in a CPD O 30 chamber. Approximately 50 mL of absolute ethanol was added until it covered the basket. Once the chamber had been isolated, liquid CO₂ was injected and several substitutions were performed until the ethanol had been completely removed. The dry sample was removed from the critical point and mounted using double-sided tape on a metallic stub support to begin the metallization process with gold using a vacuum Sputter SCD 050 BAL-TEC device. This procedure sought to increase the conductivity of the surface of the sample through a fine layer (20-30nm) of gold (Silveira 1998). The samples were observed and photographed using a scanning electron microscope (LEO 435VP) and the images were stored in a digital file.

**Statistical analyses.** All the data were initially assessed with regard to the assumptions of normal distribution and homoscedasticity, using the Shapiro-Wilk and Bartlett tests, respectively. The physical and chemical variables of the water during the experiment were assessed through analysis of variance (one-way-ANOVA), followed by Tukey’s test to compare means. To observe the effect of formalin on the histopathological indexes (HAI and MAV) of the gills, the Kruskal-Wallis test was used, followed by Dunn’s test (Zar 2010). The behavioral evaluation and the analysis on the clinical signs of the fish that were exposed to different formalin concentrations were evaluated through the chi-square test (χ²). Linear regression was used to calculate the determination coefficient R² and the equation of the line (y = a + bx) that describes LC₅₀ for formalin (p<0.05).

**Ethical in animal experimentation.** This study was developed in accordance with the principles upheld by the Brazilian College of Animal Experimentation (Cobea) and approved by the Nilton Lins University Ethical Committee on Animal Research under the Protocol N° 001/2012.

**RESULTS**

The physical and chemical variables in the water of the aquaria did not present differences among the groups with different concentrations of formalin during the 96h of exposure (Table 1). Over the 96h of the experiment, there was no mortality among the unexposed fish. However, 100% mortality was observed at the highest concentrations tested (Table 2).

The LC₅₀ for formalin for *Arapaima gigas* was of 36.4mg L⁻¹ and the linear equation that represents the curve of the concentration-response relationship with formalin during the 96h of exposure of *A. gigas* (Fig.1). The lowest 95% confidence interval values were observed during the 96h period (Fig.2).

Fish exposed to the highest concentrations (88 and 110mg L⁻¹) of formalin presented behavioral alterations such as erratic swimming, lethargy, concentration at the water surface of tanks, loss of hydrodynamic balance, spasms, agonistic confrontation; after 13h of exposure. Behavioral changes were not observed among the fish exposed to the other concentrations over the 96-h period of exposure (Table 3). Clinical signs relating to the external anatomical characteristics of the fish were only observed among those exposed to 88 and 110mg L⁻¹ of formalin (Table 4).

Histopathological alterations were observed in the gills of the fish exposed to different concentrations of formalin, classified only as stages I and II in comparison with the exposed fish (Table 5 and Fig.3).

The HAI calculation presented a significant difference at the concentrations of 66, 88 and 110mg L⁻¹, in comparison with the controls, thus indicating that severe modification to the gill tissue had occurred (Fig.4). The mean assessment value (MAV) showed differences in the fish exposed to different concentrations of formalin, thus indicating that the tissue presented the stages of both stage 1 (absence of tissue alteration) and stage 2 (occurrence of lesions located separately), as demonstrated in Figure 5.

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) showed that the gills of the control fish had a normal appearance, with filaments positioned parallel along the gill arch, defined lamellae and well organized epithelial surface. Moreover, SEM also confirmed the structural alterations in the gills of A. gigas.
the A. gigas specimens exposed to different concentrations of formalin (Fig.6A,B). At the concentration of 66mg L-1, the gill filaments presented fewer evident lamellae (Fig.6C). Orifices in mitochondria-rich cells (MRC) and mucous cells (MC) were reported occurring at lower intensity in the filaments exposed to lower concentrations (22mg L-1) of formalin (Fig.6D). At the concentrations of 88 and 110mg L-1, high proliferation of MRCs and MCs was observed (Fig.6E,F). Increased mucus secretion was observed beginning at the concentration of 66mg L-1, while epithelium dislocation and hemorrhage were reported only at concentrations of 88 and 110mg L-1 (Fig.7A-F).

**DISCUSSION**

Arapaima gigas exposed to different concentrations of formalin, the physical and chemical characteristics of the water did not change, as also reported in other studies (Burka et al. 1997, Fajer-Ávila et al. 2003, Pahor-Filho et al. 2012). Such parameters

---

**Table 1.** Physical and chemical parameters of water quality during the 96h exposure of juveniles of *Arapaima gigas* to different concentrations of formalin. Values are expressed as mean ± standard deviation.

| Parameters | 0     | 22    | 44    | 66    | 88    | 110   |
|------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| DO (mg L-1) | 7.0±0.3a | 6.8±0.3a | 6.9±0.4a | 6.9±0.4a | 7.1±0.1a | 7.2±0.1a |
| Temp (°C)  | 25.8±0.4a | 25.1±0.3a | 25.0±0.3a | 25.5±0.2a | 25.7±0.5a | 25.3±0.4a |
| pH         | 6.2±0.2a | 6.1±0.6a | 6.2±0.4a | 5.8±0.9a | 5.8±0.0a | 6.2±0.0a |
| EC (µS/cm) | 21.7±1.7a | 22.2±2.9a | 22.7±3.7a | 23.2±3.3a | 23.5±1.7a | 23.9±2.4a |
| TA (mg L-1) | 1.2±0.9a | 1.3±0.7a | 1.3±0.8a | 1.3±0.7a | 1.4±0.8a | 1.5±0.03a |
| NO₂ (mg L-1) | 0.005±0.0004a | 0.005±0.0008a | 0.005±0.005a | 0.06±0.0a | 0.005±0.0a | 0.005±0.0a |
| NO₃ (mg L-1) | 0.05±0.0a | 0.05±0.0a | 0.05±0.0a | 0.05±0.0a | 0.05±0.0a | 0.05±0.0a |
| Alkal (mg L-1) | 11.2±4.8a | 10.4±5.8a | 11.1±4.6a | 10.1±1.2a | 11.3±0.0a | 11.6±0.0a |

DO = dissolved oxygen (mg L-1), Temp = temperature (°C), pH = hydrogen potential, EC = electrical conductivity (µS/cm), TA = total ammonia (mg L-1), NO₂ = nitrite (mg L-1), NO₃ = nitrate (mg L-1), Alkal = total alkalinity (CaCO₃ mg L-1); Different letters in the same line indicate significant difference by Tukey’s test (p<0.05).

**Table 2.** Mortality (%) of *Arapaima gigas* exposed to different concentrations of formalin during 96h of exposure.

| Concentration (mg L-1) | Exposure time (h) | 24 | 48 | 72 | 96 |
|-----------------------|------------------|----|----|----|----|
| 0                     |                  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  |
| 22                    |                  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 6.6 |
| 44                    |                  | 0  | 20.0 | 20.0 | 26.6 |
| 66                    |                  | 6.6 | 40.0 | 53.4 | -  |
| 88                    |                  | 33.4 | 66.6 | -  | -  |
| 110                   |                  | 100 | -  | -  | -  |

**Table 3.** Behavioral alterations of *Arapaima gigas* during the acute toxicity test with formalin for 96h

| Behavioral alterations | Formalin concentrations (mg L-1) | 0 | 22 | 44 | 66 | 88 | 110 |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------|---|----|----|----|----|-----|
| Erratic swimming      |                                | 0+ | 0+ | 0+ | 4+ | 13±1+ | 14±1b |
| Lethargy              |                                | 0+ | 0+ | 0+ | 0+ | 1+±10+ | 12±1b |
| Concentration at the water surface |                | 0+ | 0+ | 0+ | 0+ | 0+ | 8±2b |
| Loss of hydrodynamic balance |                 | 0+ | 0+ | 0+ | 0+ | 4+±13±1b | 14±1b |
| Spasms                |                                | 0+ | 0+ | 0+ | 0+ | 1±0+ | 8±2b |
| Agonistic confrontation|                                | 0+ | 0+ | 0+ | 0+ | 0+ | 8±2b |

Different letters in the same line indicate significant difference by χ² test (p<0.05).

**Table 4.** Clinical signs observed among *Arapaima gigas* during the acute toxicity test with formalin (LC₅₀-96h)

| Clinical signs | Formalin concentrations (mg L-1) | 0 | 22 | 44 | 66 | 88 | 110 |
|----------------|---------------------------------|---|----|----|----|----|-----|
| Corneal opacity |                                | 0+ | 0+ | 0+ | 0+ | 12±0+ | 6±1b |
| Opaque gill    |                                | 0+ | 0+ | 0+ | 0+ | 12±2b | 2±0 |
| Hyperemia with hemorrhage in the caudal peduncle |            | 0+ | 0+ | 0+ | 0+ | 0±+ | 2±0 |
| Friable gill   |                                | 0+ | 0+ | 0+ | 0+ | 0±+ | 12±2b |
| Excess mucous on the skin and gill |               | 0+ | 0+ | 0+ | 0+ | 3±+ | 15±1b |

Different letters in the same line indicate significant difference (p<0.05) by χ² test.

Fig.1. Concentration-response relationship curve of formalin during 96h exposure of *Arapaima gigas*.

Fig.2. Lethal concentration (LC₅₀) of formalin for *Arapaima gigas* exposed to different concentrations during exposure periods of 24, 48, 72 and 96 hours.

the A. gigas specimens exposed to different concentrations of formalin (Fig.6A,B). At the concentration of 66mg L⁻¹, the gill filaments presented fewer evident lamellae (Fig.6C). Orifices in mitochondria-rich cells (MRC) and mucous cells (MC) were reported occurring at lower intensity in the filaments exposed to lower concentrations (22mg L⁻¹) of formalin (Fig.6D). At the concentrations of 88 and 110mg L⁻¹, high proliferation of MRCs and MCs was observed (Fig.6E,F). Increased mucus secretion was observed beginning at the concentration of 66mg L⁻¹, while epithelium dislocation and hemorrhage were reported only at concentrations of 88 and 110mg L⁻¹ (Fig.7A-F).
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Table 5. Frequency of histopathological alterations (according to Poleksic & Mitrovic-Tutundzic 1994 method) on the gills of *Arapaima gigas* 96h after exposure to different concentrations of formalin

| Alteration                                                                 | Stage | Formalin concentrations (mg L⁻¹) |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|----------------------------------|
|                                                                           | 0     | 22                               | 44    | 66     | 88     | 110   |
| Lamellar epithelium hypertrophy                                           | I     | 0                                | 0     | 0      | 0      | +     | +     |
| Lamellar epithelium hyperplasia                                           | I     | 0                                | 0     | 0+     | +      | ++    | +++   |
| Proliferation of mucus cells                                              | I     | 0                                | 0     | 0+     | +      | ++    | +++   |
| Proliferation of mitochondria-rich cells (MRCs)                           | I     | 0                                | 0     | 0+     | ++     | +++   | ++++  |
| Constriction of the system of pillar cells                                | I     | 0                                | 0     | 0      | 0      | 0     | 0+    |
| Partial fusion of the lamellae                                            | I     | 0                                | 0     | 0      | +      | ++    | +     |
| Total fusion of several lamellae                                          | I     | 0                                | 0     | 0      | ++     | ++    | +++   |
| Dilation of the marginal channel                                          | I     | 0                                | 0     | 0      | ++     | ++    | +     |
| Epithelial detachment                                                     | I     | 0                                | 0     | 0      | ++     | ++    | ++    |
| Edema                                                                     | I     | 0                                | 0     | 0      | +      | ++    | ++    |
| Lamellar aneurism                                                         | II    | 0                                | 0     | 0      | 0+     | ++    | ++    |
| Epithelial rupture (hemorrhage)                                           | II    | 0                                | 0     | 0      | ++     | ++    | ++    |

0 = absent, 0+ = rarely present, + infrequent, ++ frequent, +++ very frequent.

Fig.3. Histopathological alterations on the gills of *Arapaima gigas* exposed to different concentrations of formalin. (A) Gill filaments of unexposed fish. (B) Lamellar aneurism (tip of the arrow) in exposed fish to 110mg L⁻¹ of formalin. (C) Proliferation of mitochondria-rich cells (MRCs) in exposed fish to 88mg L⁻¹ of formalin (tip of the arrow). (D) Proliferation of mucus cells (MC) in exposed fish to 110mg L⁻¹ (tip of the arrow). (E) Capillary constrictions in exposed fish to 88mg L⁻¹ (tip of the arrow). (F) Hypertrophy of the lamellar epithelium in exposed fish to 110mg L⁻¹ (tip of the arrow). (G) Edema at the concentration in exposed fish to 88mg L⁻¹ (tip of the arrow). (H) Dilatation of the marginal canal at the concentration in exposed fish to 110mg L⁻¹ (tip of the arrow). (I) Mucus secretion in exposed fish to 110mg L⁻¹ (tip of the arrow). (J) Hyperplasia of the lamellar epithelium fish gills exposed fish to 88mg L⁻¹ (tip of the arrow). (K) Lamellar fusion in exposed fish to 66mg L⁻¹ (tip of the arrow). (L) Epithelial rupture and hemorrhage in exposed fish to 110mg L⁻¹ (tip of the arrow). Staining with basic fuchsin and toluidine blue.
Fig. 4. Histopathological alteration index (HAI) of the gills of *Arapaima gigas* after 96 h of exposure to different concentrations of formalin. Values are expressed as mean ± standard deviation. Different letters indicate significant difference by Dunn’s test (p<0.05).

Fig. 5. Mean assessment value (MAV) of the gills of *Arapaima gigas* after 96 h of exposure to different concentrations of formalin. Values are expressed as mean ± standard deviation. Different letters indicate significant difference by Dunn’s test (p<0.05).

Fig. 6. Micrographs using scanning electron microscopy on the gills of *Arapaima gigas* exposed to different concentrations of formalin. (A) Gill filaments of unexposed fish to formalin with well-defined lamellae. (B) Detail of the gill filament (GF) of unexposed fish to formalin with defined lamellae (L). (C) Gill filaments (GF) of fish exposed to 66 mg L⁻¹ of formalin, presenting less evident lamellae (arrows). (D) Detail of the orifices of mitochondria-rich cells (white arrow) and mucous cells (red arrow) on the filaments of fish exposed to 22 mg L⁻¹; (E) Cell proliferation (CP) at the base of filaments of exposed fish to 110 mg L⁻¹, presenting cell proliferation with an increase in the number of MC (red arrow) and MRC orifices at the base of the filaments (white arrow).
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remained within the acceptable limits for rearing this Amazon fish (Cavero et al. 2004). At high temperatures, formalin may present greater toxicity (Warren 1981). Moreover, Burka et al. (1997) reported that during formalin baths, the water needs a supply of dissolved oxygen, because the addition of this chemotherapeutic product may reduce the availability of O₂ in the water. However, during exposure of *A. gigas* to formalin no change in oxygen levels of water was observed. No change was also reported in the oxygen consumption *Salvelinus fontinalis* exposure to formalin at 200 and 400 μL⁻¹ of formalin at low water temperature (Speare et al. 1996). In some conditions such as high concentrations of formaldehyde hydrate and higher temperatures, the dissociation of formalin is favored (Leal et al. 2017).

Exposing fish to a chemical substance is essential for understanding its adverse effects and can be based on mortality rate criteria and complementary analyses, such as on behavioral and histopathological alterations (Perera & Pathiratne 2005, Leal et al. 2017). The LC₅₀₉₆h of 36.4mg L⁻¹ of formalin among *A. gigas* juveniles was within the range found for other fish species (Table 6). According to the classification system proposed by Zucker (1985), formalin was considered slightly toxic for *A. gigas* and, thus it may be used as therapeutic for this species. However, the lethal concentration of this chemotherapeutic substance may vary according to the degree of sensitivity of each fish species and their life cycle stages, considering that fingerlings are more sensitive than juveniles (Table 6). This variation in the sensitivity to formalin among different fish species is also influenced by biological

Fig. 7. Micrographs from scanning electron microscopy on the gills of *Arapaima gigas* exposed to different concentrations of formalin. (A) Gill epithelium with pavement cells (white arrows) with convex appearance, and with undefined distribution and cell limit among unexposed fish to formalin. (B) Gill filament of fish exposed to 66mg L⁻¹, presenting regions with mucous secretion (white arrow). (C) Base region of the filament exposed to 110mg L⁻¹, with areas of lamellar (L) epithelium detachment (white arrows) and lamellae covered by pavement cells (PC). (D) Detail of the lamella (L) at the base of the filament with absence of pavement cells (white arrows), exposed to 110mg L⁻¹. (E) Epithelium of a filament exposed to 88mg L⁻¹ with different stages of cell degradation (white arrow). (F) Detail of the gill epithelium of fish exposed to 88mg L⁻¹ of formalin, presenting hemorrhage (erythrocytes: white arrow)
Table 6. Lethal concentration (LC50-96h) of formalin for different freshwater fish species

| Fish species                   | LC50-96h (mg L−1) | Stage of life | References                          |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|
| Morone saxatilis              | 7.3               | Larva        | Wellborn (1969)                     |
| Rafinesque, 1792              | 10.0              | Larva        | Hughes (1973)                       |
| 15.0                           | Juvenile          | Hughes (1975) |
| Hoplias lacerdae               | 7.5               | Larva        | Cruz et al. (2005)                  |
| Ribeiro, 1908                  | 14.1              | Fingering    | Howe et al. (1995)                  |
| Ictalurus punctatus            | 20.7              | Juvenile     | Poleksic et al. (2012)              |
| Rafinesque, 1818               | 24.1              | Juvenile     | Geiger et al. (1990)                |
| Amelorus melas                 | 25.1              | Fingering    | Bills et al. (1977)                 |
| Rafinesque, 1820               | 40.3              | Juvenile     | Bills et al. (1977)                 |
| Salvelinus namaycush            | 54.9              | Fingering    | Bills et al. (1977)                 |
| Rafinesque, 1792               | 69.8              | Fingerling   | Howes et al. (1995)                 |
| Oncorhynchus mykiss            | 70.7              | Fingerling   | Santos et al. (2012)                |
| Walbaum, 1792                  | 80.7              | Fingerling   | Santos et al. (2012)                |
| Corydorae melanistius          | 112.2             | Fingerling   | Bills et al. (1977)                 |
| Regan, 1912                    | 122.2             | Juvenile     | Ayuba et al. (2013)                 |
| Micropterus salmoides          | 69.8              | -            | Bills et al. (1977)                 |
| Lacepède, 1802                 | 69.8              | Juvenile     | Ayuba et al. (2013)                 |
| Micropterus dolomieu           | 70.7              | Juvenile     | Ayuba et al. (2013)                 |
| Lacepède, 1802                 | 70.7              | Fingerling   | Bills et al. (1977)                 |
| Lepomis cyanellus              | 69.8              | Fingerling   | Bills et al. (1977)                 |
| Rafinesque, 1819               | 69.8              | Fingerling   | Bills et al. (1977)                 |
| Salmo alar Linnaeus, 1758      | 112.2             | Juvenile     | Ayuba et al. (2013)                 |
| Claris gariepinus              | 122.2             | Juvenile     | Present study                       |
| Burchell, 1822                 | 36.4              | Juvenile     | Present study                       |

and physiological factors and by the nutritional state of the fish (Santos et al. 2012), besides time of formalin exposure and its concentration, as well as water quality parameters like temperature (Leal et al. 2017). For instance, A. gigas is an obligatory air-breathing fish that undergoes morphological changes in its gill epithelium during the transition between aquatic respiration and aerial respiration. These morphological alterations during the ontogenetic development of this air-breathing fish may be an innate defense (inflammatory) or compensatory (cell proliferation) mechanism for maintaining physiological homeostasis (Brauner et al. 2004, Ramos et al. 2014). On the other hand, hypertrophy and hyperplasia of the lamellar epithelium, proliferation of mitochondria-rich cells (MRCs) and mucus cells (MC), and alterations to blood vessels and development of lamellae in order to optimize gas exchanges and increase the diffusion barrier through proliferation of MRCs are considered reversible histopathological alterations (Poleksic & Mitrovic-Tutundzic 1994, Sakuragui et al. 2003, Sollid & Nilsson 2006). Arapaima gigas exposed to different concentrations of formalin did not present irreversible gill lesions even during the exposure to higher concentrations that caused acute toxicity in test of toxicity.

After exposure to formalin, the histopathological alterations found in the present study were classified as reversible lesions, which means that the alterations do not compromise the functioning of the organ (Poleksic & Mitrovic-Tutundzic 1994, Schwaiger et al. 1997). Therefore, occur alterations that do not damages the gill tissues to such an extent that an improvement in environmental conditions may lead to reconstruction of this tissue structure, as well as its normal function. However, restoration of the gills structure is not possible when alterations of the third stage occur (Poleksic & Mitrovic-Tutundzic 1994), but such alterations do not were found in fish of present study.

The gill morphology of A. gigas reflects its respiratory mechanisms. As this organ presents distinct changes over the course of its development, such changes particularly involve loss of the lamellae, thereby leaving the organ with the main...
role of ion regulation (Poleksic & Mitrovic-Tutundzic 1994, Ramos et al. 2013). In fish with body mass similar to that of the specimens used in the present study, the gill morphology is typical of that of a mandatory aquatic breather, such that its lamellar organization and respiratory surface are similar to those of fish with facultative air breathing (Costa et al. 2007). Considering that the species, at this size, presents this type of gill structure, the organ is mainly involved in ion regulatory and respiratory functions. The balance between these functions was named the osmoregulatory compromise by Nilsson (1986). The influence of this balance in A. gigas has probably affected the fish during their development, such that among specimens with a body mass similar to that of the fish in the present study, an increase in the respiratory surface has very little influence on the intake of O₂ by the gills, since these fish extract a large portion of this gas through the swimming bladder (Val & Almeida-Val 1995). However, small fish, with evident lamellae, are influenced by the osmoregulatory compromise, thereby leading to proliferation of MRCs in order to avoid loss of ions (Ramos et al. 2013).

Some xenobiotic substances cause direct effects on gill tissue, but most lesions appear because of defense responses or compensatory mechanisms, thereby representing adaptive strategies for conservation of biological functions when the fish faces natural or human-induced environmental changes (Piedade et al. 2014). The proliferation of MRCs and MCs in A. gigas exposure to formalin represents a compensatory response of this fish aimed at reestablishing the osmotic balance. Thus, the occurrence of lamellar hyperplasia and hypertrophy may represent a defense response in this fish species (Piedade et al. 2014). Histopathological alterations in the lamellar epithelium of the gills of A. gigas are likely to be associated with either inflammatory or compensatory defense mechanisms in the presence of the chemotherapeutic substance tested. It is important to note that this fish species presents great tolerance regarding alterations to the osmolytes dissolved in the water, such that Hrbek et al. (2005) considered this to be a panmictic population in the Amazon basin, capable of surviving the abrupt changes found in rivers with white and black waters (Baldisserotto et al. 2008, Ramos et al. 2014). An increase in the number of MRCs leads to alterations to the osmoregulatory compromise, but without damage to the fish’s homeostasis. Therefore, these responses here found may have served as a barrier against the entry of contaminants in the blood stream, which could have promoted disorders of homeostasis in the organisms.

An increase in the numbers of mucus cells causes mucus hypersecretion, which has the role of protecting the tissue structure in adverse environmental situations. Mucus, a substance produced by these cells, presents poly anions that can act as a protective barrier against the penetration of xenobiotic substances or pathogens in the respiratory epithelium. Thus, mucus may have a direct influence on osmoregulation through ion availability in the environment (Varsamos et al. 2005, Moron et al. 2009). This substance, which covers the gill epithelium of freshwater fish, also has the function of attracting ions that favor ion exchange, thus presenting high concentrations of Na⁺ and Cl⁻ in relation to the adjacent water (Moron et al. 2009). Therefore, in this case, the role of mucus is to effectively contribute towards the process of ion adjustment and transport, thus aiding in their retention by these fish. Arapaima gigas exposed to different concentrations of formalin also presented proliferation of mucus cells in the gill epithelium, which was frequently found at higher concentrations (88 and 110 mg L⁻¹). Moreover, epithelial rupture and aneurisms were lesions found in the gills of fish exposed to these two concentrations, thus indicating occurrences of severe alterations, according to the HAI values (80.3 and 83.2) (Poleksic & Mitrovic-Tutundzic 1994). Therefore, as expected, the SEM analysis confirmed the structural alterations in the gills of A. gigas exposed to different concentrations of formalin.

Finally, safety concentrations of formalin below 36.4 mg L⁻¹ may have efficacy against ectoparasites of A. gigas if used in baths of long-term exposure as has been demonstrated for Pterophyllum scalare (Fujimoto et al. 2006) and Parabramis pekinensis (Yao et al. 2011), both freshwater fish.

CONCLUSIONS

The acute toxicity test demonstrated that formalin is slightly toxic for Arapaima gigas and indicated that fish exposed to prolonged sublethal concentrations (22.0 mg L⁻¹ for 96h) did not present alterations of gill epithelium or of their behavioral patterns.

Lethal concentrations (i.e. LC₅₀,₉₆h) should be used with caution and for shorter exposure periods.

HAI and MAV were good indexes for measuring gill structural alterations, thus indicating occurrences of reversible and separately located lesions, which did not compromise gill functioning, and in this manner enabling recovery of the organ after exposure to formalin.

Therefore, these results indicate that in acute exposure bioassay, in addition to evaluation of mortality, histological and behavioral patterns should also be assessed, since they are indispensable tools to investigate sublethal effects of chemotherapeutic products used in aquaculture.
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