Interactions between a cavitation bubble and solidification front under the effects of ultrasound: Experiments and lattice Boltzmann modeling
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ABSTRACT

The phenomena of melting and dendritic fragmentation are captured by using an in-situ device during the ultrasound-assisted solidification of a succinonitrile-acetone (SCN-ACE) alloy. The experimental results show that the dendrite arms detach from primary trunk due to the melting of the solid phase, which is caused by a moving ultrasound cavitation bubble. To quantify the interactions between the ultrasound cavitation bubble and the solidification front, a coupled lattice Boltzmann (LB) model is developed for describing the fields of temperature, flow, and solid fraction, and their interactions. The multi-relaxation-time (MRT) scheme is applied in the LB model to calculate the liquid-gas flow field, while the Bhatnagar–Gross–Krook (BGK) equation is executed to simulate the evolution of temperature. The kinetics of solidification and melting are calculated according to the lever rule based on the SCN-ACE phase diagram. After the validation of the LB model by an analytical model, the morphologies of the cavitation bubble and solidification front are simulated. It is revealed that the solidification interface melts due to the increase of the temperature nearby the cavitation bubble in ultrasonic field. The simulated morphologies of the cavitation bubble and solidification front are compared well with the experimental micrograph. Quantitative investigations are carried out for analyzing the melting rate of the solidification interface and the dendrite fragmentation. The present study not only reveals the evolution of the solidification front shape caused by the cavitation bubbles, which is invisible in the ultrasound-assisted solidification process of practical alloys, but also reproduces the complex interactions among the temperature field, acoustic streaming, and multi-phase flows.

1. Introduction

Ultrasonic wave is widely applied in the solidification of metallic alloy for microstructure refinement [1–3]. The proposed mechanisms of grain refinement induced by ultrasound can be summarized into two categories: (1) Enhancement of homogenous and/or heterogeneous nucleation [4–6]. The homogeneous grain nucleation is due to the increased melting point of the material around the cavitation bubbles generated by ultrasound, while the heterogeneous nucleation is because the wettability of impurity particles is improved in the melt. (2) Fragmented dendrites caused by ultrasound acting as new grain seeds [7–9]. The fragmented dendrites are produced for many reasons, such as the local melting of the dendrite root caused by solute enrichment or by heat flows, together with strong forces caused by the variation of acoustic pressure.

The process of dendritic fragmentation in ultrasound-assisted solidification has been captured recently by in-situ experiments of transparent organic alloys [2,7,10,11] or real metallic alloys [8,12,13]. Shu et al. [7] investigated the interactions of cavitation bubbles and succinonitrile dendrites in the ultrasonic field with a high-speed camera. The results revealed that the cavitation bubble collapse generated disordered flows, resulting in sufficient forces to fracture the roots of dendrite arms. Wang et al. [2] captured the behavior of dendrite melting and cavitation bubble oscillation using a high-speed camera. A theoretical model has been proposed to demonstrate that the pressure generated by cavitation bubbles has a significant influence on the fragmentation of the dendrite.
Nagira et al. [12] investigated the behavior of the dendritic microstructure with Sb-based alloys under effects of ultrasound adopting X-ray radiography. They found that the dendrites immediately detached after the ultrasonic device worked. This is because the solute enriches in the mushy region due to the flow caused by ultrasonic waves, which leads to local remelting and thus separates the dendrite arms. Wang et al. [8] applied high-speed synchrotron X-ray radiography to study the solidification of aluminum alloys assisted by high-intensity ultrasonic vibrations. The results indicated that the fragmented mechanisms of dendrites mainly are the local remelting owing to the hot liquid transported by disordered flow appeared in the dendrites, and the stress acting on the dendrites. It can be derived from the above studies that the phenomena of dendritic fragmentation are related to the melting of the solid phase caused by the ultrasound cavitation bubble. Although the experiments can provide a lot of important information on solid melting and dendritic fragmentation, the physics underneath the interaction among the phase transformation, temperature field, acoustic streaming, and ultrasonic cavitation bubbles still remains ambiguous.

With the development of computer science over the decades, numerical modeling has become a critical method to study the microstructure with Sb-based alloys under effects of ultrasound adopting X-ray radiography. They found that the dendrites immediately detached after the ultrasonic device worked. This is because the solute enriches in the mushy region due to the flow caused by ultrasonic waves, which leads to local remelting and thus separates the dendrite arms. Wang et al. [8] applied high-speed synchrotron X-ray radiography to study the solidification of aluminum alloys assisted by high-intensity ultrasonic vibrations. The results indicated that the fragmented mechanisms of dendrites mainly are the local remelting owing to the hot liquid transported by disordered flow appeared in the dendrites, and the stress acting on the dendrites. It can be derived from the above studies that the phenomena of dendritic fragmentation are related to the melting of the solid phase caused by the ultrasound cavitation bubble. Although the experiments can provide a lot of important information on solid melting and dendritic fragmentation, the physics underneath the interaction among the phase transformation, temperature field, acoustic streaming, and ultrasonic cavitation bubbles still remains ambiguous.

With the development of computer science over the decades, numerical modeling has become a critical method to study the microstructure of solidification assisted by external fields. [14]. Due to the facts that the cavitation bubbles appear in the liquid and directly affect the growing dendrites or planar interface during the ultrasound-assisted solidification process, it is essential to find a reliable fluid model for simulating the solid-liquid-gas coexisting systems. Recently, the lattice Boltzmann (LB) models, having the merits of simple algorithm and high parallel computational efficiency, have received great attention in the fields related to ultrasound research [15]. The LB models treat fluids as the collection of discrete pseudoparticles, and the evolution of macroscopic flow can be described through the migration and collision processes of the pseudoparticles [16]. Shan et al. [15] developed the LB model for simulating the pressure in liquid under ultrasound. The simulated pressure is consistent with the measured results.

In this work, a coupled LB model is developed for the investigation of the interactions between the solidification front and the ultrasound cavitation bubble. In situ observations of the melting of solidification front of a succinonitrile-acetone alloy, caused by the cavitation bubble, are carried out. The melting phenomena of the solidification front are analyzed through the comparisons of theoretical model, LB simulation, and experimental results.

2. Model description and governing equations

The purpose of this work is to investigate the interactions between the melting of solidification front and cavitation bubbles induced by ultrasound in directional solidification of a SCN-1.65wt. %ACE alloy.

The multi-relaxation-time (MRT) scheme is implemented in the LB model to simulate the liquid-gas flows. The MRT LB equation is written as [14,17].

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{\tau}(f_i(x + c_i\Delta t, t + \Delta t) - f_i(x, t)) &= -M^{-1}(\mathbf{M}) f_i + F_i, \\
i, j = 0, 1, \ldots, 8
\end{align*}
\]

where \( f_i \) is the density distribution function and \( f_i^\text{eq} \) represents its equilibrium distribution function. \( x \) is the spatial position, \( \epsilon_i \) represents the discrete velocities along the \( i \)th direction and \( \Delta t \) represents the time step in the LB model. \( F_i \) is the forcing term. \( \mathbf{M} \) and \( \mathbf{M}^{-1} \) are orthogonal transformation matrices and the corresponding inverse matrix, \( \mathbf{M} \) is defined by

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
-4 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\
4 & -2 & -2 & -2 & -2 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & -2 & 0 & 2 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & -2 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\
0 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\]

(2)

\( \Lambda \) is a diagonal matrix, and the different relaxation times lie on the diagonal of \( \Lambda \), which is given by

\[
\Lambda = \text{diag}(\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3, \tau_4, \tau_5, \tau_6, \tau_7, \tau_8)
\]

where the values of the relaxation times are set to be \( \tau_1 = \tau_2 = \tau_3 = 5/4, \tau_4 = 5/11 \), respectively.

Based on the computation of the liquid kinematic viscosity in the LB model and in the physical word, the physical time of each LB time step is calculated by

\[
\Delta t = \Delta x^2 / (\mu / \rho_0)
\]

where \( \Delta x \) is the physical lattice spacing, and \( \Delta t = 2 \) \( \mu \) are fixed in the present work. \( \mu \) represents the viscosity of liquid, and \( \rho_0 \) is initial density of SCN. The numerator and denominator of Eq. (4) represent the kinematic viscosities of liquid in the LB and physical units, respectively.

The discrete velocities in Eq. (1), \( \epsilon_i \), are written in matrix forms as follows:

\[
[\epsilon_0, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3, \epsilon_4, \epsilon_5, \epsilon_6, \epsilon_7, \epsilon_8] = C \cdot [0 \ 0 \ 1 \ 1 \ -1 \ -1 \ -1 \ -1]
\]

(5)

where \( C = \Delta x / \Delta t \) is the lattice speed.

In the LB model, the streaming of the liquid-gas particles is simulated in the velocity, while their collision is computed in the moment space. It is realized that the density distribution function \( f \) and its equilibrium function \( f^\text{eq} \) can be transformed from the velocity space into the moment space via \( m = M f \) and \( m^\text{eq} = M f^\text{eq} \), respectively. \( m^\text{eq} \) can be given by

\[
m^\text{eq} = \rho \left[ 1 - 2 + 3 |\mathbf{u}|^2, 1 - 2 |\mathbf{u}|^2, -u_i u_j, -u_i u_j, -u_i u_j, -u_i u_j, -u_i u_j, -u_i u_j \right]^T
\]

(6)

where the macroscopic density, \( \rho \), can be calculated by accumulating the density, which is given by \( \rho = \sum_i \epsilon_i \). And then the velocity, \( \mathbf{u} \), of the particles is computed by \( \mathbf{u} = \left( \sum_{i=0}^{8} \epsilon_i f_i / \Delta t \right) / \rho \), where \( \mathbf{F} \) is the resultant force acting on the particles including the intermolecular interaction force, \( \mathbf{F}_c \), and the fluid-solid adhesion force, \( \mathbf{F}_w, \mathbf{F}_e \) and \( \mathbf{F}_w \) are calculated by

\[
\mathbf{F}_c(x, t) = -G_c \psi(x) \sum_{i=0}^{8} \alpha_i \psi(x + \epsilon_i \Delta t, t) \mathbf{e}_i
\]

(7)

\[
\mathbf{F}_w(x, t) = -G_w \psi(x) \sum_{i=0}^{8} \alpha_i \psi(x + \epsilon_i \Delta t, t) \mathbf{e}_i
\]

(8)

where \( G_c \) and \( G_w \) are the interaction strength and the adsorption parameter, respectively. In the present work, \( G_c = -1 \) and \( G_w = -1.1 \) are applied, \( \psi(x + \epsilon_i \Delta t, t) \) is an indicator function, which is equal to 1 for a solid phase. Otherwise, its value is 0. The magnitudes of the forces induce phase segregation, which is defined via the pseudopotential function, \( \psi \). In this model, \( \psi \) is taken as

\[
\psi = \sqrt{2(P_{\text{EOS}} - P_{\text{eq}}^2)} / G_i
\]

(9)
Table 1

| Symbol | Definition and units | Value | Refs. |
|--------|----------------------|-------|-------|
| $T_m$  | Melting point of the pure SCN (K) | 331.23 | [21] |
| $m_l$  | Liquidus slope (K/wt%) | −2.971 | [21] |
| $c_0$  | Initial composition (wt%) | 1.65 | – |
| $k_p$  | Partition coefficient of solute | 0.124 | [21] |
| $\rho$ | Viscosity of liquid (Pa s) | $1.44 \times 10^3$ | [22] |
| $c_0$  | Density of SCN (kg m$^{-3}$) | 970 | [23] |

where $P_{EOS}$ is an equation of state which is written by Peng-Robinson [18].

$$P_{EOS} = \frac{\rho RT}{1 - b_\rho} - \frac{a(T)\rho^2}{1 + 2\beta - b_\rho^2}\tag{10}$$

where $R$ is equal to 1. $a$ represents the attraction parameter and $b$ is the repulsion parameter, and $a$ and $b$ are set to be $2/49$ and $2/21$, respectively. $T_R$ is set to be $T_R = 0.8^\ast T_c$, and $a(T)$ is defined by $a(T) = [1 + (0.37464 + 1.5226\omega - 0.26992\omega^2) \times \left(1 - \sqrt{T/T_c}\right)]^2$, where $T_c$ is equal to 0.072922 and $\omega$ is set to be 0.344.

After Eq. (2) and Eq. (3) are introduced into Eq. (1), which becomes

$$\frac{m' = m - \Delta m(m - m^\infty) + \Delta t(1 - 0.5\Delta t)}{S}	ag{11}$$

where $I$ is the unit tensor, and $S$ represents the forcing term in the moment space, which is derived by $MF = (I - 0.5\Delta S)S$. In the present model, $S$ is defined by

$$S = \left[ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 6\omega F + 12e(F)^2 / \left[(y' - \Delta t)(T_r - 0.5)\right] \\ -6\omega F - 12e(F)^2 / \left[(y' - \Delta t)(T_r - 0.5)\right] \\ F_x \\ -F_x \\ F_y \\ -F_y \\ 2(u_x F_x - u_y F_y) \\ u_x F_x + y_x F_y \end{array} \right]	ag{12}$$

where $\epsilon = 0.09$ is set to keep the stability of model.

The streaming process of the liquid-gas particles is given as

$$f_i(x + e_i \Delta t, t + \Delta t) = f_i(x, t)\tag{13}$$

where $f_i$ can be obtained by $f_i = M^{-1}m^\ast$.

The Bhatnagar–Gross–Krook (BGK) LB scheme is employed to calculate the evolution of the temperature field. The evolution of the temperature distribution function, $T_i(x, t)$, can be written as

$$T_i(x + e_i \Delta t, t + \Delta t) - T_i(x, t) = \frac{1}{T_i}(T_i(x, t) - T_i^e(x, t))$$

$i, j = 0, 1, \ldots, 8$

where $\tau$ is the relaxation time, which is related to the heat diffusivity of the SCN-ACE melt. $T_i^e(x, t)$ represents the equilibrium distribution function used in the temperature evolution computation. The local temperature can be calculated by $T = \sum_i \omega_i T_i$.

In Eq. $T_i^e(x, t)$ is calculated by

$$T_i^e(x, t) = \omega_i T(x, t) \left( 1 + 3 \frac{e_{i} \cdot u(x, t)}{c_s^2} + 4.5 \frac{(e_{i} \cdot u(x, t))^2}{c_s^4} - 1.5 \left(\frac{u(x, t) \cdot e_{i}}{c_s} \right)^2 \right)\tag{15}$$

where $\omega_i$ are the weight coefficients for the calculation of temperature filed, given by $\omega_{c,0} = 4/9$, $\omega_{c,1-4} = 1/9$, and $\omega_{c,5-8} = 1/36$, respectively.

After each step of the LB calculations, the positions of temperature and density in computation domain evolve. According to the temperature of each node, $T$, the local solid fraction, $f_s$, is calculated through the lever rule based on the equilibrium SCN-ACE phase diagram,

$$f_s = \frac{(T - T_m)/m_i - (T - T_s)/k_p}{(T - T_s)/m_i - (T - T_m)/k_p}\tag{16}$$

where $T_m$ is the melting temperature of pure SCN, $m_i$, $c_0$, and $k_p$ are the liquidus slope, initial composition, and solute partition coefficient, respectively. Therefore, the solidification and melting phenomena are incorporated in the LB model according to Eq. (16).

In order to simulate the ultrasonic streaming, a certain part of the west boundary of the computation domain is assumed to be a source producing the ultrasonic wave, in which the velocity of the ultrasonic source is calculated by $[19]$

$$u_{source} = A \sin(2\pi f_s t)\tag{17}$$

where $A$ represents the amplitudes of the velocity variations, $f_s$ is the frequency of the ultrasonic wave, and $t$ represents the physical time.

The periodic boundary condition is used in the MRT LB model for simulating the liquid-gas flows, while the nonequilibrium extrapolation boundary condition is applied on the west and east walls of the domain for calculating the temperature field. A bounce-back rule is implemented on the fluid-solid interface.

The nonequilibrium extrapolation boundary is expressed by $[20]$

$$T_i(x_i, t) = T_i^0(x_i, t) + T_i^{eq}(x_i, t) = T_i^0(x_i, t) + (T_i(x_i) - T_i^0(x_i))\tag{18}$$

where $T_i^0$ and $T_i^{eq}$ represent the equilibrium and nonequilibrium distribution functions, respectively. $x_0$ and $x_N$ are the positions of the boundary and the adjacent fluid nodes, which have the relationship of $x_0 = x_N + \Delta x$. $T_b$ is the temperature at the boundary.

The physical parameters employed in the current work are shown in Table 1.

3. Experimental

The directional solidification experiments assisted by ultrasound with transparent SCN-1.65 wt% ACE alloy are implemented by adopting a Bridgman-type solidification setup that can observe the real-time process of solidification. The schematic diagram of the in situ experimental setup is displayed in Fig. 1. A rectangular glass tube produced by Vitrocom with product model 3524 is filled with the SCN–1.65 wt% ACE melt. The glass tube is placed on a horizontal pulling strip connecting to a moving device. The glass tube is located at the gap between the copper plates connecting the high-temperature and low-temperature water baths, and the gap width is 10 mm. Thus, a stable temperature gradient is formed at the gap. The experimental process is divided into two parts. First, the moving device is set to move to the cold copper plate with a velocity of $V_0 = 100 \mu m \cdot s^{-1}$. The pulling strip drives the glass tube to move at the same speed. As a result, columnar dendrites form in the tube. The pulling is stopped after the columnar crystals grow steadily. After holding of the sample for a while in the temperature gradient, a gradient zone melting $[24]$. The ultrasonic equipment is turned on and the ultrasonic horn touches the glass tube. It is worth noting that a few cavitation bubbles in the glass tube. The interactions between cavitation bubbles and solidification front inside the glass tube are captured by an optical microscope. The images are captured by a CCD camera that is connected to a computer, and the computer saves the images through professional software.
4. Results and discussion

4.1. In situ observation of the interactions between cavitation bubbles and solidification front

In the in situ experiment, the experimental temperature gradient, \(G_e\), is kept constant at 4000 K m\(^{-1}\). The ultrasonic frequency is 20 kHz. The process of the dendrite fragmentation caused by the ultrasound cavitation bubbles can be observed in Fig. 2a\(_1\) and a\(_2\). It can be found that the solid phase around the gas bubble melts, which is the main reason inducing the dendritic detachment. In order to further understand the melting of the solid phase, the evolution of a cavitation bubble interacting with a planar solidification front is investigated by experiments. As shown in Fig. 2b\(_1\)-b\(_4\), a cavitation bubble having the size of about 100 \(\mu\)m is generated in liquid due to the varying pressure caused by the ultrasound, and then the cavitation bubble moves along the direction that is opposite to the temperature gradient (see the red arrow showing the temperature gradient direction). The moving cavitation bubble is blocked by the solidification front after applying ultrasound for several seconds. As a result, the cavitation bubble contacts the solid phase, which can be seen in Fig. 2b\(_4\). It can be seen in Fig. 2b\(_2\) and b\(_3\) that the cavitation bubble oscillates with high frequency and the solid phase is melted. The cavitation bubble continuously moves to the right-hand side because of the convective flow in liquid caused by the ultrasound. It can
be speculated that the flow could facilitate heat exchange. Therefore, the solidified region is heated by high-temperature flow and the oscillation of the cavitation bubble also accelerates the flow, which causes the temperature around the cavitation bubble to increase. As a result, the solid melting takes place as long as the ultrasound is applied. The morphology of solidification front after sonication for several seconds is displayed in Fig. 2b. It can be seen that the cavitation bubble moves perpendicular to the propagation direction of the ultrasound in Fig. 2a and b, while the cavitation bubble moves parallel to the ultrasound direction in Fig. 2b-4. It is because the interdendritic gap provides enough space for the movement of the cavitation bubble under the effects of the acoustic pressure in Fig. 2a, and b. However, in Fig. 2b-4, the cavitation bubble in the experiment of the planar interface is blocked by the solid, even if the acoustic pressure and streaming might have the strongest effects along the parallel direction.

4.2. Model validation of the LB model for the simulation of ultrasound propagation in liquid

Prior to the simulation of the interaction between the ultrasound cavitation bubble and solidification front, it is necessary to validate the correctness of the developed LB model for simulating the ultrasound propagation in liquid.

According to the work of Walsh and Torres [25], the pressure distribution in fluid under the condition of ultrasound is calculated by

\[ p = \frac{1}{2} c_0^2 (\rho - \rho_l) \]

(19)

where \( c_0^2 \) and \( \rho_l \) represent the square of the linear speed of sound and the initial density of liquid, respectively.

In this LB model, the computation domains consist of 300 × 200 lattice units (lu) with 1 lu = 1 \( \Delta x = 2 \mu m \). The initial fluid density is \( \rho_l = 7.179 \). The left boundary is set to be the source of ultrasound with the length, \( L = 50 \) lu. The ultrasonic parameters are \( f_p = 20,000 \) and \( A = 0.03 \), respectively. After 30,000 steps, the comparison of the pressure data obtained from the LB modeling (Eq. (10)) and the analytical model (Eq. (19)) can be found in Fig. 3, where the inserted picture shows the simulated flow of ultrasonic waves. It is seen that the pressure fluctuates as the position departing from the ultrasonic source. Fig. 3 reveals that the pressure values obtained from the LB model superpose those calculated by the analytical model, demonstrating the correctness of the LB model for the simulation of ultrasound propagation in fluid.

4.3. Melting of the solidification front caused by an ultrasound cavitation bubble

The LB model is then used to simulate the interaction between cavitation bubbles and solidification front caused by ultrasonic waves.
The computation domains consist of \(300 \times 200\) lu. The simulated temperature gradient, \(G_s\), is set to be \(20,000\) K \(\cdot\) m\(^{-1}\). The ultrasonic source with a 50 lu length is located at the west boundary. The temperature of the left boundary is set to be 380 K, which is above the liquidus temperature of the material. A cavitation bubble of radius with 25 lu contacts with the solid is placed in the domain. The evolution of morphology and temperature with ultrasonic time during the directional solidification is displayed in Fig. 4 a-h, where Fig. 4 a-d are shown in density field for representing the evolution of the solidification front morphology, and Fig. 4 e-h are shown in temperature field. The red, green, and blue in Fig. 4 a-d represent the solid, liquid, and cavitation bubbles, respectively. Fig. 4 a shows the initial morphology of the gas-liquid-solid system, while Fig. 4 e presents the initial temperature field. The temperature of nearby the cavitation bubble is 319.92 K (see the dot in Fig. 4 e), which is below liquidus temperature, and thus it is the solid phase before the ultrasound is imposed. After the ultrasound is applied, the velocity vectors in liquid can be seen in Fig. 4 b. It is obvious that the flow rate nearby the left boundary is larger because of the ultrasonic attenuation. The flow can affect the liquid density, which in turn affects the pressure distribution (see Eq. (19)). Therefore, the pressure also attenuates. The identical results can be found elsewhere [16,26]. The right direction of the velocity nearby the cavitation bubble denotes that the cavitation bubble is pushed by liquid. Fig. 4 b shows that the cavitation bubble and the solidification front are no longer in contact, which indicates that the solidification front is melted simultaneously. As shown

**Fig. 5.** Simulated evolution of morphology (a)-(d) and temperature (e)-(h) under the effects of the ultrasound without a cavitation bubble: (a, e) 0 \(\Delta t\); (b, f) 10,000 \(\Delta t\); (c, g) 50,000 \(\Delta t\); (d, h) 80,000 \(\Delta t\). The red and green colors represent the solid and liquid phases, respectively.

**Fig. 6.** Simulated temperature on the left and right-hand sides of cavitation bubble varying with the physical time for the case of Fig. 4. The inset shows the location of the two measured points.

The computation domains consist of \(300 \times 200\) lu. The simulated temperature gradient, \(G_s\), is set to be \(20,000\) K \(\cdot\) m\(^{-1}\). The ultrasonic source with a 50 lu length is located at the west boundary. The temperature of the left boundary is set to be 380 K, which is above the liquidus temperature of the material. A cavitation bubble of radius with 25 lu contacts with the solid is placed in the domain. The evolution of morphology and temperature with ultrasonic time during the directional solidification is displayed in Fig. 4 a-h, where Fig. 4 a-d are shown in density field for representing the evolution of the solidification front morphology, and Fig. 4 e-h are shown in temperature field. The red, green, and blue in Fig. 4 a-d represent the solid, liquid, and cavitation bubbles, respectively. Fig. 4 a shows the initial morphology of the gas-liquid-solid system, while Fig. 4 e presents the initial temperature field. The temperature of nearby the cavitation bubble is 319.92 K (see the dot in Fig. 4 e), which is below liquidus temperature, and thus it is the solid phase before the ultrasound is imposed. After the ultrasound is applied, the velocity vectors in liquid can be seen in Fig. 4 b. It is obvious that the flow rate nearby the left boundary is larger because of the ultrasonic attenuation. The flow can affect the liquid density, which in turn affects the pressure distribution (see Eq. (19)). Therefore, the pressure also attenuates. The identical results can be found elsewhere [16,26]. The right direction of the velocity nearby the cavitation bubble denotes that the cavitation bubble is pushed by liquid. Fig. 4 b shows that the cavitation bubble and the solidification front are no longer in contact, which indicates that the solidification front is melted simultaneously. As shown
in Fig. 4f, the temperature fluctuates near the ultrasonic source, while the temperature nearby the right boundary is still stable. The temperatures of the cavitation bubble and the dotted region increase under the effects of the ultrasonic source. However, the moving distance of the cavitation bubble driven by convective flow is not obvious due to the limited time. The position of the cavitation bubble and the symmetrical morphology of the solidification front have changed after the ultrasound works for a while (see Fig. 4c and g). The cavitation bubble moves to the position of the dotted region assisted by ultrasonic waves and the temperature there is 327.81 K which is higher than liquidus temperature. Thereafter, the melting takes place in the dotted region as shown in Fig. 4c and g. The cavitation bubble is then pushed to lower temperature regions and continues to melt the solidification front, which are consistent with the experiments. Due to the continuous effects of the ultrasonic waves, the movement of the cavitation bubble and the melting of solid phase proceed (see Fig. 4d and h).

In order to reveal the effects of the cavitation bubble, the evolution of solidification front morphology and temperature field without a cavitation bubble under the effects of the ultrasonic waves are performed. The simulation results are displayed in Fig. 5a-h, showing in density field and in temperature field, respectively. The red and green colors in Fig. 5a-d represent the solid and liquid phases, respectively. It is found that the morphology of solidification front fluctuates due to the temperature change influenced by the periodic ultrasonic pressure and streaming. However, the continuous melting of the solidification front is not observed, which is different from Figs. 2 and 4. Therefore, the existence of the cavitation bubbles has a significant effect on the continuous melting of the solidification front.

Fig. 6 reveals the temperatures on the left and right-hand sides of the cavitation bubble varying with the physical time (see Eq. (4)) for the case of Fig. 4. The inset shows the location of the two measured points. It can be seen that the temperature on the left-hand side of the cavitation bubble fluctuates, while the temperature on the right-hand side increases nonlinearly. This is because the convections appear in the liquid once the ultrasonic source is started, which drive the liquid of high temperature to move to the bubble position in a fluctuating manner, so that the temperature on the left-hand side of the cavitation bubble presents the fluctuations varying with time. Due to the fact that the temperature on the right-hand side is away from the hot region, the temperature rises slowly. In addition, the initialized temperature on the right-hand side of the cavitation bubble is below the liquidus temperature and the position is solid. As the ultrasound works, the temperature gradually increases and exceeds the liquidus temperature, and the solid

![Fig. 7. Schematic illustration of the interactions between a cavitation bubble and solidification front.](image)

![Fig. 8. Comparison of the melting distance of the solidification front obtained from the in situ experiments, LB simulations, and theoretical predictions [2] based on Fig. 2 and Fig. 4.](image)

![Fig. 9. Simulated evolutions of the distance between the right boundary and the solidification front, d with time step, △t under different ultrasonic intensities.](image)

| Table 2 | The factors of the theoretical model used in the present work. |
|---------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| Symbol  | Definition and units | Value | Refs. |
| ΔSy  | Entropy of fusion (J·m⁻³·K⁻¹) | 1.440 × 10⁵ | [2] |
| αL  | Thermal diffusion of liquid (m²·s⁻¹) | 1.16 × 10⁻⁷ | [2] |
| C_L  | Heat capacity of liquid (J·m⁻³·K⁻¹) | 1.920 × 10⁶ | [2] |
| n_s  | Surface energy (J·m⁻²) | 8.96 × 10⁻³ | [2] |
| Lₜ₀  | Latent/sensible heat (J·m⁻³) | 4.769 × 10⁷ | [23] |
| f  | Factor of shape | 1.48 | Calculated |
| R₀  | Initial dimensionless distance | 1 | Calculated |
| ΔP*  | Dimensionless pressure difference | ~1350 | Calculated |
phase is melted.

To further understand the interactions between the ultrasound cavitation bubble and the solidification front, a theoretical model proposed by Wang et al. [2] is employed together with the LB simulations. Fig. 7 shows the schematic illustration of the interactions between a cavitation bubble and solidification front in the work of Wang et al. [2], where $R$ is the distance between the solidification interface, and $R_0$ is the initial distance at $t = 0$. $f$ represents a shape factor of the solidification front. The cavitation bubble gradually moves away from the ultrasonic source under the ultrasound effect. As the solidification front melts, $R$ gradually decreases.

The essential equation of the theoretical model is [2].

$$\frac{dR}{dt} = -k^* \left( \frac{1}{R} - k^* - \Delta P^* \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (20)

where $R^*$ and $t^*$ are non-dimensionalized by introducing $R^* = R/R_0$ and $t^* = t/t_0$, in which $t_0$ is computed by $t_0 = \frac{R_0}{2} \frac{\Delta \gamma \rho \alpha}{\Delta P \gamma_0}$. $k^*$ is calculated by $k^* = (4-2R^*)/f$, and $\Delta P^*$ is written by $\Delta P^* = \Delta \Phi R_0/\gamma_0$. $\Delta P$ represents the pressure difference induced by cavitation, and $\gamma_0$ is surface energy of material.

Fig. 8 shows the comparison of the melting distance of the solidification front obtained from the in situ experiments, LB simulations, and theoretical predictions from Eq. (20). The points of the green rectangle and red circle represent the experimental and simulated results, respectively, while the blue line is the theoretical prediction. The theoretical model with the influence of cavitation pressure is utilized, due to that the pressure is considered in the present simulation. The model factors obtained from the simulation are listed in Table 2. It is clear that the solidification front shrinks linearly versus $t^*$ until $R^*$ approaches 0.21 in simulation (see the red circles). According to the factors in Table 2, the numerical solutions of Eq. (20) are calculated. It is worth noting that $R^*$ of the blue line decreases smoothly with the $t^*$ in Fig. 8. The evolution of simulation is generally identical with the theoretical prediction. In the theoretical model, the pressure induced by ultrasound has an important influence on the movement of the solidification front, while the temperature affected by the flow dominates the evolution of the solid-liquid interface in the LB model. Thus, the temperature changed by flow is also a critical factor besides pressure for the evolution of the interface.

For investigating the influence of ultrasonic intensity, the evolution of the distance between the right boundary and the solidification front varying with time step is simulated, which is shown in Fig. 9. It is revealed in Fig. 9 that the distance decreases with time step, and the larger the intensity, the faster the melting rate. The ultrasonic source with larger intensity induces the stronger liquid flow. Thus, the cavitation bubble moves fast and the temperature exchanges frequently. As a result, the distance traveled by the cavitation bubble increases and the high-temperature flow is easy to approach the right boundary. In addition, we found that the ultrasonic frequency could also influence the melting rate. The preliminary simulation results indicate that the higher ultrasonic frequency, the slower melting rate. The physical mechanism underlying the effects of frequency on melting rate will be investigated in our future work.

5. Conclusions

In the present work, the effects of an ultrasonic cavitation bubble on the melting of solidification front are investigated through in situ observation, LB simulation, and theoretical model. In the in situ experiment of directional solidification of a SCN-1.65 wt% alloy, the phenomena of solid melting and dendritic fragmentation, caused by the ultrasonic cavitation bubbles, are observed. After quantitative validation of the proposed LB model on aspects of comparing the ultrasonic pressure field in liquid, the evolutions of density and temperature in the domain are simulated by the coupled LB model. The movement of the ultrasonic cavitation bubble and the melting of the solidification front are reproduced. The temperatures on the left and right-hand sides of the cavitation bubble are found to increase under the effects of ultrasound. It can be found that the right temperature gradually exceeds the liquidus temperature, which explains the melting of solidification front. Moreover, the simulated results of the melting distance of the solidification front compare well with the theoretical model. The evolution of the melting interface under the different ultrasonic intensities is investigated through the melting of solidification front are investigated through observation, LB simulation, and theoretical model. In the future work, the underlying the effects of frequency on melting rate will be investigated under the ultrasound effect. As the solidification front melts, $R$ gradually decreases.
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