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The construction of the vertical cavern in the salt dome deposit can meet the requirements of both storage capacity and tightness. However, if the vertical cavern is still used as the design shape of the salt rock underground storage in the layered salt rock deposit, the high design capacity cannot be guaranteed while the tightness is satisfied. In this case, the use of a large-span horizontal cavern as the design shape of the salt rock storage can not only effectively increase the design capacity of the storage, but also solve the problems such as the stability and tightness of the storage during the operation period by improving the structural form and working mode. Based on this, the ellipsoid-shaped horizontal salt rock underground storage is taken as an example, and a single-cavern horizontal salt rock underground storage model with different diameter-to-height ratios is established by using FLAC3D software. The change law of vertical and horizontal displacements, volumetric loss rate, and plastic zone distribution of salt rock storage changing with the diameter-to-height ratio are studied, and the optimal diameter-to-height ratio is determined. And then the long-term operation process of the double-cavern horizontal salt rock underground storage under the optimal diameter-to-height ratio is simulated, and the optimal pillar width is obtained.

1. Introduction

Energy (oil and natural gas) is the material basis for the survival and development of human society, occupying an important strategic position in the national economy [1–3]. However, energy reserves in China are relatively small and extremely dependent on foreign countries. The energy problems are getting worse. Due to the obvious increase in international trade disputes and unstable factors in major energy regions, international energy supply will inevitably be interrupted, posing a serious threat to energy import security [4–7]. In order to ensure the sustainable and healthy development of the national economy and energy security, the construction of underground energy storage is extremely urgent [8–11].

With the large-scale construction and operation of salt rock underground storage, how to improve the effective storage capacity of salt rock underground storage and ensure the safety and stability of its long-term operation has become a hot issue of current research [12–15]. In recent years, related scholars have conducted a large number of in-depth studies on the stability of salt rock underground storage from the aspects of influencing factors and stability evaluation [16–20]. Xing et al. [21] conducted numerical simulation analysis on the long-term stability of salt rock underground storage with different sizes and different minimum internal pressures from the aspects of convergence, damage, dilatancy ratio, and effective strain. Liang et al. [22] studied the variation law of indexes such as volume shrinkage rate and surrounding rock safety coefficient of salt rock underground storage under different operation pressures by using FLAC3D software. Wang et al. [23] selected factors such as deformation, plastic zone development, effective strain, safety coefficient, and volumetric shrinkage as...
indications to evaluate the influence of the spacing between
new and old caverns on the stability of salt rock underground
storage. Mortazavi and Nasab [24] studied the influence of
cavern size, buried depth, salt rock deformation modulus, and
in situ stress state on the stability of large salt rock under-
ground storage. Khaledi et al. [25] studied the change rules of
volume convergence, damage expansion, and permeability of
salt rock underground storage during the construction and
operation period using the elastic-viscoelastic creep model.
Based on the statistical results of global salt rock mining
accidents, Zhang et al. [26] used the fault tree model to
analyze and identify the factors that lead to oil and gas leakage,
surface settlement, and cavern group destruction and
established a comprehensive risk probability evaluation
method and risk grading standard for salt rock underground
storage caverns. On the basis of the existing design and
stability analysis criteria and methods for salt rock under-
ground storage, Habibi [27] proposed stress-based and
damage-based stability analysis criteria for salt rock under-
ground storage. Liu et al. [28] contrasted and analyzed the
stability of four typical cavern shapes of salt rock under-
ground storage using the numerical simulation method. The
results showed that the ellipsoidal cavern has the best stability,
while the cylindrical cavern and the cuboid cavern have the
worst stability. Deng et al. [29] analyzed the time-dependent
deformation and long-term stability of salt rock underground
storage by introducing nonlinear viscoelastic, viscoplastic,
and viscous damage models into the deformation strength-
ening theory. Wang and Liu [30] studied the influence of
the existence of interlayers on the long-term stability of salt rock
underground storage by using three different mechanical
instability criteria and calculated the limit radius of interlayers
under different criteria. Zhang et al. [31] established the roof
leakage model of the salt rock underground storage by
Comsol software and analyzed the leakage range and saltwater
leakage at different times and the safety problems caused by it.
Based on the major accidents in the global salt rock under-
ground storage, Yang et al. [32] established a comprehensive
evaluation method for major risk losses based on the analytic
hierarchy process, providing a theoretical basis for the
evaluation and prevention of major risks in the construction
and operation of salt rock underground storage. Chen et al.
[33] studied the influence of gas pressure and the ratio of long
and short axes on the stability of double-cavern salt rock
underground storage with small spacing by using numerical
simulation methods. The results showed that gas pressure has
a significant effect on the stability of underground salt rock
storage, while the ratio of long axis to short axis has an
important impact on the volume loss rate and roof settlement
of underground salt rock storage. At present, relevant scholars
have carried out more in-depth research on the long-term
stability of salt rock underground storage, and most of their
research objects are vertical salt rock storage (the height of the
storage is greater than the maximum cavern diameter).
However, because the layered salt rock deposit is charac-
TERIZED by many layers and small single-layer thickness, under
the same geological conditions, the effective capacity of the
horizontal salt rock underground storage with a large span is
higher than that of the vertical salt rock underground storage
[34–38]. There are relatively few studies on the long-term
stability of horizontal salt rock underground storage. It is
necessary to conduct an in-depth study on the feasibility and
long-term stability of the establishment of horizontal salt rock
underground storage [39–41].

The ellipsoidal horizontal salt rock underground storage is
taken as an example in this paper, and the FLAC3D numerical
simulation software is used to establish a single-cavern hori-
zontal salt rock underground storage model with different
diameter-to-height ratios. The change law of volumetric loss
rate, stress, and plastic zone development of underground salt
rock storage under different diameter-to-height ratios are
studied, and the optimal diameter-to-height ratio is deter-
mined. On this basis, the long-term operation process of
double-cavern horizontal salt rock underground storage is
simulated numerically, and the optimal pillar width is obtained.

2. Physical Mechanics Model

2.1. Cavern Shape. At present, most of the salt rock un-
derground storage in operation and under construction at
home and abroad are vertical caverns with relatively large
height-to-diameter ratios. The construction of the vertical
cavern in a salt dome deposit can meet the higher re-
quirement of storage capacity and tightness. However, for
the layered salt rock deposits with many layers and small
single-layer thickness, the vertical cavern as the design shape
of the salt rock storage can not achieve high storage design
capacity while meeting the tightness of the storage. In this
case, adopting the horizontal cavern with a large span as the
design shape of salt rock underground storage can not only
effectively improve the design capacity of the storage, but
also solve the problems such as the stability and tightness of
the storage during the operation period by improving the
structural form and working mode of the storage. However,
there are relatively few studies on the long-term stability of
horizontal salt rock underground storage. Therefore, the
long-term stability of the ellipsoidal horizontal salt cavern
(as shown in Figure 1) is analyzed using the FLAC3D
software in this paper.

2.2. Geological Conditions. According to the distribution
characteristics of layered salt rock deposits, it is assumed that
the stratum is as follows: the buried depth of the salt rock
layer is 800–920 m and the upper and lower layers of salt
rock are mudstone.

Take the height of the salt rock underground storage
$h = 2a = 60 \text{ m}$ and reserve $30 \text{ m}$ at the top and bottom of the
salt rock layer to ensure the tightness of the salt rock storage.
In the numerical simulation, the self-weight stress of the
stratum within 500 m below the ground surface is simplified
into a uniformly distributed load of 14 MPa and applied to
the top of the numerical model (as shown in Figure 2). Apply
normal constraints on the front, back, left, right, and bottom
of the model, namely, constraints in the x, y, and negative z
directions. The dimensions of the model in the x, y, and z
directions are 800 m, 800 m, and 700 m, respectively.
2.3. Mechanical Parameters. The numerical model of salt rock underground storage established in this paper involves two rock materials: salt rock and mudstone. The Cpower model and the Mohr–Coulomb model are selected as the constitutive models of the salt rock and mudstone. The basic mechanical parameters and model parameters of these two kinds of rocks are shown in Table 1 [42, 43].

3. Stability Analysis of Single-Cavern Horizontal Salt Rock Underground Storage

It can be seen from 2.2 that the height of the salt rock underground storage is 60 m; that is, the minor axis radius $a$ is 30 m, and it is necessary to determine the diameter in the horizontal direction, that is, the size of the major axis radius $b$. If the span of the storage in the horizontal direction is too small, it is not economical. If the span of the storage is too large in the horizontal direction, it will be detrimental to the stability of the storage. Therefore, in this section, four single-cavern horizontal salt rock underground storage models with different diameter-to-height ratios ($N = 1.5$, 2.0, 2.5, and 3.0) have been established to analyze the influence of the diameter-to-height ratio on its long-term stability.

Considering that the numerical model of the single-cavern horizontal salt rock underground storage is symmetric about the $xoz$ plane and the $yoz$ plane, in order to simplify the workload and improve the computational efficiency, only a 1/4 model is established (as shown in Figure 3). For traditional salt rock underground storage, most of them are in the form of a single well and single pipe structure. For the structure, its operation process includes four stages: constant high pressure, gas production depressurization, constant low pressure, and gas injection pressurization. This will inevitably cause the salt rock underground storage to be under cyclic loading, threatening its safety. In order to keep the salt rock underground storage under constant pressure during operation and ensure the working efficiency of the storage, relevant scholars optimized the traditional structure form of the salt rock underground storage and put forward a two-well and two-pipe structure. In order to ensure the tightness of the underground salt rock storage, its maximum operation internal pressure generally does not exceed 80% of the overlying formation pressure. Therefore, the operation internal pressure selected in the numerical simulation of this section is 16 MPa. Table 2 shows the model parameters under 4 different working conditions.

3.1. Vertical and Horizontal Displacement. Figure 4 shows the vertical displacement cloud map of the surrounding rock of a single-cavern horizontal salt rock underground storage (diameter-to-height ratio $N = 2.5$) after operation for $5a$, $10a$, $15a$, and $20a$. It can be seen that during the long-term operation of the single-cavern horizontal salt rock underground storage, the displacement distribution of the surrounding rocks on the left and right sides is relatively even. The displacement distribution of the upper and lower surrounding rocks is more concentrated, and the top settlement value is greater than the bottom uplift value. When the diameter-to-height ratio $N = 2.5$, the maximum settlement at the top and the maximum uplift at the bottom of the salt rock storage after $20a$ of operation are 1.3220 m and 0.9706 m, respectively. Through analysis, it can be seen that when the diameter-to-height ratio $N = 1.5$, 2.0, and 3.0, the vertical displacement...
distribution of surrounding rock in the salt rock storage changing with time is basically consistent with that of salt rock storage when diameter-to-height ratio \( N = 2.5 \).

Figure 5 shows the vertical displacement cloud map of the surrounding rock after operation for 20\(a \) of the salt rock storage when diameter-to-height ratio \( N = 1.5, 2.0, \) and 3.0. It can be seen from Figures 4(d) and 5 that when the operation time is the same, the vertical displacement of the surrounding rock of the storage gradually increases with the increase of the diameter-to-height ratio. Through analysis, it can be found that when the diameter-to-height is relatively small \( (N = 1.5) \), the maximum settlement of the surrounding rock of the salt rock storage basically occurs at the highest point of the storage. As the diameter-to-height ratio increases, the location of the maximum settlement gradually shifts to both sides of the vault. When \( N = 1.5 \), the horizontal distance between the position where the maximum settlement occurs and the center position of the upper part of the salt rock storage (point \( p \) in Figure 3) is \( L_1 = 17.6 \text{ m} \). The horizontal distance between the location of the largest uplift and the lower center of the salt rock storage (point \( q \) in Figure 3) is \( L_2 = 11.8 \text{ m} \). In addition, when \( N = 2.0, 2.5, \) and 3.0, the values of \( L_1 \) are 35.7 m, 50.9 m, and 59.5 m, respectively, and the values of \( L_2 \) are 28.7 m, 42.7 m, and 59.5 m, respectively.

Figure 6 shows the variation of the vertical displacement of point \( p \) and point \( q \) with the operation time of the salt rock underground storage. It can be seen from Figure 6(a) that, during the operation of the horizontal salt rock underground storage, the arch settlement (vertical displacement of point \( p \)) increases continuously with the increase of the operation time. In the early stage of storage, the arch settlement develops rapidly. With the increase of operation time, the development rate of arch settlement decreases gradually. After the operation time exceeds 3\(a \), the arch settlement increases linearly with the operation time. It can be seen from Figure 6(b) that, during the operation of the horizontal salt rock underground storage, the uplift deformation at the lowest point (point \( q \) in Figure 3) of the storage continues to increase with the extension of the operation time. In the initial stage of gas storage operation, the rate of uplift deformation is relatively fast. When the operation time exceeds 1\(a \), the uplift deformation at the lowest point of the storage basically increases linearly with time.

Figure 7 shows the variation of the maximum vertical displacement of the roof and floor of the single-cavern horizontal salt rock underground storage with the diameter-to-height ratio. It can be seen from Figure 6(a) that, as the diameter-to-height ratio \( N \) increases, the roof settlement of the single-cavern horizontal salt rock underground storage gradually increases. The maximum roof settlement of the salt rock storage with diameter-to-height ratio \( N = 1.5, 2.0, 2.5, \) and 3.0 after operation for 20\(a \) is 1.2884 m, 1.3049 m, 1.3219 m, and 1.3326 m, respectively. It can be seen from Figure 6(b) that, as the diameter-to-height ratio \( N \) increases, the floor uplift of the single-cavern horizontal salt rock underground storage gradually decreases. The maximum floor uplift of the salt rock storage with diameter-to-height ratio \( N = 1.5, 2.0, 2.5, \) and 3.0 after operation for 20\(a \) is 1.1275 m, 1.0260 m, 0.9705 m, and 0.9242 m, respectively.

The differences of the stress state between points \( p \) and \( q \) are the main reason that resulting in the diameter-to-height ratio having the opposite effect on the roof settlement and floor uplift of the salt rock storage. The larger the diameter-to-height ratio, the larger the span of the salt rock underground storage, the greater the force on point \( p \), and the larger the roof settlement at this point. Therefore, the roof settlement at point \( p \) increases with the increase of diameter-to-height ratio. However, with the increases of diameter-to-height ratio, the force on point \( q \) gradually transfers to both sides, and the stress at this point gradually decreases. In this condition, the floor uplift at point \( q \) decreases with the increasing of diameter-to-height ratio.

Figure 8 shows the variation of the arch horizontal displacement (point \( m \) in Figure 3) of the single-cavern horizontal salt rock underground storage with different diameter-to-height ratios \( N \). It can be seen from Figure 8(a) that the horizontal displacement of point \( m \) gradually increases with the extension of operation time. When the diameter-to-height ratio \( N = 2.5 \), the horizontal displacement of the salt rock storage at point \( m \) after operation for 5\(a \), 10\(a \), 15\(a \), and 20\(a \) are 0.7198 m, 1.1916 m, 1.5859 m, and 1.9417 m, respectively.

It can be seen from Figure 8(b) that the horizontal displacement of point \( m \) in the salt rock storage increases with the increase of the height-to-diameter ratio at the same operation time. The analysis shows that the horizontal

---

**Table 1: Basic mechanical parameters of salt rock and mudstone.**

| Parameters | Elastic modulus (GPa) | Poisson’s ratio | Cohesion (MPa) | Internal friction (°) | Tensile strength (MPa) | Density (g·cm\(^{-3}\)) | \( A \) (MPa·s\(^{-1}\)) | \( n \)  |
|------------|----------------------|----------------|---------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|-----|
| Salt rock  | 3.00                 | 0.30           | 10.00         | 21.72                 | 1.0                   | 2.14                    | 8.48e-9         | 3   |
| Mudstone   | 10.18                | 0.23           | 15.63         | 35.00                 | 2.2                   | 2.60                    | —              | —   |

**Figure 3:** Numerical model of single-cavern horizontal salt rock underground storage \((N = 1.5)\).
displacements of salt rock storage with diameter-to-height ratios of 1.5, 2.0, 2.5, and 3.0 at point m after operation for 20 a are 1.7245 m, 1.8386 m, 1.9417 m, and 1.9964 m, respectively.

3.2. Volume Loss Rate. It can be seen from Table 2 that the design capacities of the four horizontal salt rock underground storage with different diameter-to-height ratios simulated in this paper are $2.545 \times 10^5 \text{ m}^3$ ($N = 1.5$), $4.524 \times 10^5 \text{ m}^3$ ($N = 2.0$), $7.069 \times 10^5 \text{ m}^3$ ($N = 2.5$), and $10.180 \times 10^5 \text{ m}^3$ ($N = 3.0$). The FISH language is used to process the displacement data of the surrounding rock of the storage obtained from the numerical simulation, and the change law of the volume loss rate of the salt rock storage with operation time and diameter-to-height ratio can be obtained as shown in Figure 9.
Figure 6: Vertical displacement changes at points p and q of a single-cavern horizontal salt rock underground storage. (a) Point p. (b) Point q.

Figure 7: Variation of the maximum vertical displacement of point p and point q of a single-cavern horizontal salt rock underground storage with the diameter-to-height ratio. (a) Roof displacement. (b) Floor displacement.
It can be seen from Figure 9 that the volume loss rate of the single-cavern horizontal salt rock underground storage gradually increases with the extension of the operation time and the increase of the diameter-to-height ratio. The analysis shows that when the diameter-to-height ratio $N = 1.5$, the volume loss rate of the storage after operation for $5a$ and $20a$ is 1.7090% and 4.8014%, respectively. When the diameter-to-height ratio $N$ increases to 3.0, the volume loss rate of the storage after operation for $5a$ and $20a$ is 2.1293% and 6.9500%, respectively. It can be seen that the volume loss rates of the four single-cavern horizontal salt rock underground storage selected in this paper with different diameter-to-height ratios all meet the availability requirements; that is, the volume loss rate of storage after operation for $5a$ is less than 5%, and the volume loss rate after operation for $20a$ is less than 15%.

3.3. Plastic Zone Distribution. Figure 10 shows the change rule of the plastic zone of single-cavern horizontal salt rock underground storage when the diameter-to-height ratio is $N = 2.5$ with operation time. It can be seen that the surrounding rock of the single-cavern horizontal salt rock underground storage mainly undergoes shear failure during operation. And the plastic zone is mainly distributed on the top and bottom of the salt rock storage, and a small amount of plastic zone also appears at the interface between mudstone and salt rock.

In the early stage of operation, the composition of the plastic zone of the surrounding rock of the salt rock storage is relatively complex. With the extension of the operation time, the plastic zone gradually develops. After operation for 15a, the plastic zone basically does not change. Through analysis, it can be seen that the change rule of the plastic zone
of salt rock storage with operation time when the diameter-to-height ratio \( N \leq 1.5, 2.0, \) and 3.0 is consistent with that of the plastic zone of salt rock storage when diameter-to-height ratio \( N \leq 2.5 \).

Figure 11 shows the plastic zone distribution cloud map of the single-cavern horizontal salt rock underground storage after operation for \( 20 \) years with different diameter-to-height ratios of \( N = 1.5, 2.0, \) and 3.0. It can be seen that when the diameter-to-height ratio is relatively small (\( N = 1.5 \) and 2.0), the plastic zone is small and mainly concentrated at the top and bottom of the storage. When the diameter-to-height ratio is relatively large (\( N = 2.5 \) and 3.0), the plastic zone gradually increases, and there are also plastic zones at the interface between mudstone and salt rock. In general, after operation for 20 years, the plastic zone of the salt rock storage with diameter-to-height ratios \( N = 1.5, 2.0, \) and 2.5 has basically stabilized. However, when the diameter-to-height ratio is \( N = 3.0 \), the plastic zone of the surrounding rock of the salt rock storage is still developing and has the risk of penetrating the whole salt rock layer.

From the above analysis, the smaller the diameter-to-height ratio, the smaller the volume loss rate, and the smaller the volume of the plastic zone. Thus, for the perspectives of the volume loss rate and plastic zone distribution, the smaller the diameter-to-height ratio, the better the stability of the salt rock underground storage. However, the smaller the diameter-to-height ratio, the less the storage capacity. Therefore, for the perspectives of storage capacity, the larger the diameter-to-height ratio of the salt rock underground storage, the higher the economy. Considering the long-term stability and economy of salt rock underground storage comprehensively and referring to the relevant engineering experience all over the world, the value of the diameter-to-height ratio of the single-cavern horizontal salt rock storage can be \( N = 2.5 \).

4. Stability Analysis of Double-Cavern Horizontal Salt Rock Underground Storage

In Section 3, the optimal diameter-to-height ratio (\( N = 2.5 \)) of single-cavern horizontal salt rock underground storage is given. However, in the actual construction of salt rock underground storage, most of them adopt the form of double or multicavern to improve the gas storage capacity. And the distance between the storage should be considered to ensure its stability and safety. This section mainly takes the double-cavern horizontal salt rock underground storage with diameter-to-height ratio \( N = 2.5 \) as the research object.
and analyzes the influence of the pillar width \( d \) on the stability of the salt rock storage. The operation internal pressure is set at 16 MPa, and the pillar width \( d \) is set at 40, 60, 80, 100, 120, 140, 160, 180, and 200 m, respectively. Considering that the double-cavern horizontal salt rock storage numerical model is symmetric about the \( xoz \) plane, in order to simplify the workload and improve the calculation efficiency, the 1/2 salt rock storage model is established in this section, as shown in Figure 12.

4.1. Vertical and Horizontal Displacement. Through analysis, it can be found that the vertical and horizontal displacement distribution of the double-cavern horizontal salt rock underground storage are basically the same as those of the single-cavern storage. So it is not described here. Figure 13 shows the horizontal displacement distribution cloud map of the double-cavern horizontal salt rock underground storage when the operation time is 20 \( a \) and the pillar width is 40 m, 80 m, 100 m, 120 m, 140 m, and 180 m.

4.2. Volume Loss Rate. It can be seen from Section 3 that when the diameter-to-height ratio \( N = 2.5 \), the design capacity of the double-cavern horizontal salt rock underground storage is \( 2 \times 7.069 \times 10^5 \) m\(^3\). The FISH language is used to process the displacement data of surrounding rock obtained by numerical simulation, and the volume loss rate of the double-cavern horizontal salt rock underground storage under different pillar widths at different operation times can be obtained. Then, take the volume loss rate of the pillar width \( d = 200 \) m as the standard, and normalize the salt rock storage volume loss rate data under other pillar widths. The curve of the volume loss rate varying with the width of the pillar can be obtained, as shown in Figure 14.

As can be seen from Figure 14, when the width of the pillar is less than 100 m, the volume loss rate of salt rock storage gradually increases with the increase of pillar width. According to the analysis, when the operation time is 5\( a \) and the pillar width is 40 m, the volume loss rate of the salt rock storage is 1.8872\%, and when the pillar width increases to 100 m, the volume loss rate of the salt rock storage is 1.8969\%. When the width of the pillar is more than 100 m, the influence of pillar width on the volume loss rate of salt rock storage is gradually weakened. The volume loss rate of salt rock storage under different pillar widths (\( d = 120, 140, 160, 180, \) and 200 m) is always maintained at about 1.9050\%.

The existence of the pillar between the double cavities is equivalent to imposing a boundary constraint on the cavity from the middle of the pillar. And the larger the pillar width, the weaker the boundary constraint on the cavity. Therefore, when the pillar width is small, the pillar boundary has obvious restraint on the deformation of surrounding rock of double-cavern horizontal salt rock underground storage, so the volume loss rate is small. However, with the increase of pillar width, the restraint of pillar boundary on surrounding rock deformation of salt rock storage is gradually weakened, that is, the volume loss rate increases gradually when the pillar width is larger. With the increase of pillar width, the
Controlling the volumeloss rate of salt rock storage, when the pillar width is greater than 140m, the mutual influence between the storage cavities gradually weakens.

4.3. Plastic Zone Distribution. When the pillar width is fixed, the change rule of the plastic zone of double-cavern horizontal salt rock underground storage with operation time is basically consistent with that of single-cavern horizontal salt rock underground storage, so it will not be repeated here. Figure 15 shows the plastic zone distribution of the double-cavern horizontal salt rock underground storage when the operation time is 20a and the pillar width is 40m, 80m, 100m, 120m, 140m, and 180m. It can be seen that when the width of pillar $d$ is less than 100m, the interaction between salt rock reservoir cavities is relatively obvious, and a penetrating plastic zone may be formed inside the pillar. When the pillar width exceeds 100m, as the pillar width increases, the interaction between the salt rock reservoir cavities gradually weakens, and the plastic zones of the surrounding rock of the double-cavern are independent. And the penetrating plastic zone inside the pillar will not be formed. Therefore, from the perspective of the distribution of plastic zones in the surrounding rock of the salt rock storage, the distance between the pillars of the double-cavern horizontal salt rock underground storage should be greater than 100m.

In addition, it can be found that whether it is a single-cavern or double-cavern horizontal salt rock underground storage through analysis, the surrounding rock stress level during its operation complies with the hydraulic fracturing criterion; that is, the maximum internal pressure does not exceed the minimum principal stress of the surrounding rock of the salt rock storage.
In summary, for the double-cavern horizontal salt rock underground storage, the greater the width of the pillar, the greater the volume loss rate. Therefore, from the perspective of controlling the volume loss rate, the smaller the pillar width $d$, the better. However, as the width of the pillar decreases, the interaction between the salt rock storage cavities gradually becomes more obvious. When the pillar width $d$ is more than 100 m, the influence between the cavities gradually weakens. Considering that a certain safety reserve is needed, the pillar width of the double-cavern horizontal salt rock underground storage can be 140 m.

5. Conclusions

For the characteristics of multiple layers and small thickness of the single layer of layered salt rock, the long-term stability of single-cavern horizontal salt rock underground storage with different diameter-to-height ratios is analyzed by using the FLAC$^3$D software, and the optimal diameter-to-height ratio is determined. On this basis, the long-term operation process of the double-cavern horizontal salt rock underground storage is numerically simulated, and the optimal pillar width is obtained. The main conclusions obtained are as follows:

1. For the single-cavern horizontal salt rock underground storage, the vertical displacement, horizontal displacement, and volume loss rate of salt rock storage increase with the extension of operation time and the increase of diameter-to-height ratio when the diameter-to-height ratio is fixed. When the diameter-to-height is relatively small ($N = 1.5$ and $2.0$), the plastic zone of the salt rock storage is smaller and mainly concentrated at the top and bottom of the storage. As the diameter-to-height ratio increases ($N = 2.5$ and $3.0$), the plastic zone gradually increases, and the plastic zone is gradually distributed at the interface between mudstone and salt rock, and there is a risk of penetrating the entire salt rock layer.

2. According to the variation of displacement, volume loss rate, and plastic zone during the operation of salt rock storage, from the perspective of the stability of single-cavern horizontal salt rock underground storage, the smaller the diameter-to-height ratio of the salt rock storage, the better. However, in order to increase the design capacity of the salt rock storage, the diameter-to-height ratio of the storage should be appropriately increased. Therefore, in order to meet both the stability and economic requirements of the salt rock storage, the optimal diameter-to-height ratio of the single-cavern horizontal salt rock underground storage is 2.5.

3. For the double-cavern horizontal salt rock underground storage, when the width of the pillar is small, the horizontal displacement near the pillar is small, and the horizontal displacement far from the pillar is large. With the increase of the width of the pillar, the distribution of horizontal displacement near the pillar and the distribution of horizontal displacement far away from the pillar tended to be the same. When the width of the pillar is less than 100 m, the interaction between the caverns is obvious, and with the increase of the pillar width, the volume loss rate of the salt rock storage gradually increases. When the width of the pillar exceeds 100 m, the interaction between the caverns gradually weakens, and the influence of pillar width change on the volume loss rate of salt rock storage gradually weakens.

4. Numerical simulation results of the long-term stability of the double-cavern horizontal salt rock underground storage show that, from the perspective of controlling the volume loss rate of the gas storage, the volume loss rate of the salt rock storage gradually decreases with the decrease of the pillar width; that is, the smaller the pillar width, the better. But when the pillar width is small, the mutual influence between the cavities is more obvious. As the width of the pillar...
increases, the interaction between the cavities gradually weakens. Considering that a certain safety reserve is needed, the optimal pillar width of the double-cavern horizontal salt rock underground storage can be 140 m.
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