Correlation between protein kinase catalytic subunit alpha-1 gene rs13361707 polymorphism and gastric cancer susceptibility in Asian populations
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ABSTRACT

A single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) of the protein kinase catalytic subunit alpha-1 gene (PRKAA1) that confers susceptibility to gastric cancer (GC) was identified by genome-wide association in several case-control studies. However, the results remained controversial and ambiguous. Therefore, we performed a larger meta-analysis to confirm this association. We searched the PubMed, Embase, WanFang, and CNKI databases, without any restriction on language, covering all papers published until Feb 22, 2017. Overall, 14 case-control studies with 14,485 cases and 14,792 controls were retrieved based on the search criteria. Odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were used to quantify the strength of the association. Publication bias was assessed by Egger’s and Begg’s tests. We found that the PRKAA1 rs13361707 C/T polymorphism had no association with GC risk in any of the pooled genetic models (for example, the T-allele vs. C-allele allelic contrast model yielded the following estimates: OR = 0.87, 95% CI = 0.73–1.05, \( P_{\text{heterogeneity}} = 0.000 \)). Furthermore, in analyses stratified by either source of control or geographical origin of subjects, a statistically significant inverse relationship was detected between PRKAA1 rs13361707 C/T polymorphism and GC risk. No obvious evidence of publication bias was detected in the pooled meta-analysis. Furthermore, we observed that individuals carrying T-allele (TT or TC) genotypes had a lower expression of PRKAA1. Our present study indicated that PRKAA1 rs13361707 C/T was not significantly associated with GC risk, despite few positive results in the subgroups.

INTRODUCTION

Gastric cancer (GC), including both the cardia and non-cardia types, is the second leading cause of cancer mortality in the world, with an estimated 720,000 gastric cancer-related deaths in 2012 [1]. Among various population groups of the world, the highest incidence and mortality of gastric cancer were registered in Northeast Asian countries (China, Japan, and Korea) accounting for more than half of the global figures [2, 3]. Indeed, the incidence of and mortality from gastric cancer in these countries rank the highest among digestive tract cancers.

The epidemiology of GC is complex and multifactorial in nature. Several environmental factors, including alcohol consumption, diet, tobacco smoke, exposure to Helicobacter pylori (H. pylori), and a history
of stomach disorders have been considered potential risk factors [4]. Additionally, genetic susceptibility may also contribute to GC risk [5, 6].

In 2011, Shi et al [7], first performed a genome-wide association study (GWAS) of non-cardia GC, employing a case-control design on subjects of Han Chinese descent, by genotyping 906,703 SNPs. They discovered that the protein kinase catalytic subunit alpha-1 gene (PRKAA1) rs13361707 polymorphism was significantly associated with GC risk (OR = 1.42, 95% CI = 1.24–1.62, \( P < 0.01 \)).

PRKAA1 is also known as AMPKa1. It is the catalytic subunit of 5'AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK), which is a cellular energy sensor conserved across all eukaryotic cells. The kinase activity of AMPK is activated by stimuli that increase the cellular AMP/ATP ratio. AMPK regulates the activities of a number of key metabolic enzymes through phosphorylation. It protects cells from stresses that cause ATP depletion by switching off ATP-consuming biosynthetic pathways. Alternatively-spliced transcript variants encoding distinct isoforms of the protein have been observed in several diseases, including cancer [8-10].

The rs13361707 SNP is located in the first intron of PRKAA1 at the 5p13.1 site, which is located close to the gene for prostaglandin E receptor 4 (PTGER4). PTGER4 is a prostaglandin (PG) E2 receptor, which is a major product of cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) action that plays an important role in immune response and cancer pathogenesis [7]. So far, a number studies have reported that PRKAA1 rs13361707 C/T polymorphism is associated with gastric, colon, and rectal cancers (especially gastric cancer). However, the direction of this association remains ambiguous and the polymorphism may even be inversely related to GC risk.

In 2015, Qiu et al [11], conducted a meta-analysis on PRKAA1 rs13361707 C/T polymorphism and GC risk. However, two limitations affected their study: the samples included were relatively small, and several case-control studies, including two written in Chinese, were not identified for inclusion. Subsequent to that publication,

| Author | Year | Origin | Design | Subjects size | Case | Control | Case | Control | Method | GC type | NOS |
|--------|------|--------|--------|---------------|------|---------|------|---------|--------|---------|-----|
| Eom    | 2016 | Korea  | HB     | <1000        | 846  | 334     | 176  | 315     | GoldenGate assay (Illumina) | NA     | 6   |
| Zhang  | 2016 | China  | HB     | <1000        | 60   | 167     | 71   | 80      | MALDI-TOF | NA     | 8   |
| Kim    | 2014 | Korea  | HB     | <1000        | 475  | 473     | 97   | 147     | GoldenGate assay (Illumina) | non-cardia | 7   |
| Wu     | 2014 | China  | HB     | <1000        | 217  | 428     | 48   | 115     | Multiplex SNaPshot SNP | NA     | 6   |
| Li     | 2013 | China  | HB     | <1000        | 335  | 334     | 71   | 167     | TaqMan | NA     | 7   |
| Dong   | 2015 | China  | HB     | <1000        | 167  | 186     | 67   | 121     | iMLDR | NA     | 8   |
| Song   | 2013 | Korea  | PB     | >1000        | 3245 | 1700    | 126  | 241     | HRM-PCR | non-cardia | 8   |
| Qiu    | 2015 | China  | PB     | >1000        | 1124 | 1194    | 209  | 571     | TaqMan | cardia | 8   |
| Shi    | 2011 | China  | PB     | <1000        | 979  | 2268    | 302  | 517     | AGWHSA 6.0 chips | non-cardia | 8   |
|        |      |        | PB     | >1000        | 1873 | 2076    | 561  | 941     | non-cardia |                     | 8   |
|        |      |        | PB     | >1000        | 1392 | 1513    | 480  | 675     | non-cardia |                     | 8   |
|        |      |        | PB     | <1000        | 895  | 3227    | 225  | 447     | non-cardia |                     | 8   |
|        |      |        | PB     | >1000        | 2404 | 3227    | 459  | 1221    | non-cardia |                     | 8   |
| Cai    | 2017 | China  | PB     | <1000        | 473  | 487     | 88   | 213     | KASP | NA     | 6   |

PB: population-based; HB: hospital-based; MALDI-TOF-MS: polymerase chain reaction–matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry; HRM-PCR: high resolution melting-polymerase chain reaction; iMLDR: improved multiplex ligase detection reaction; AGWHSA 6.0 CHIPS: Affymetrix Genome-Wide Human SNP Array 6.4 chips; KASP: kompetitive allele-specific PCR; HWE: Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium of control group; NA: not available information.
even more case-control studies have been published [12-14]. Therefore, to ascertain the precise association between the \textit{PRKAA1 rs13361707 C/T} polymorphism and GC risk, especially in the light of new publications, an updated meta-analysis of all eligible case-control studies [7, 11-19] was necessary. On conducting an updated meta-analysis, we found a few results contradictory to the conclusions of Qiu et al.

**RESULTS**

**Eligible studies**

A total of 51 articles were retrieved based on our selection strategy from the PubMed, Embase, WanFang, and CNKI databases. Among them, 33 articles were excluded because they did not provide information about the \textit{PRKAA1} polymorphism. Another eight duplicated articles were also excluded. The publication by Shi et al. [7] included five different case-control sub-studies, all of which were also adopted into our study, although overlapping or duplicated data may exist among them. Thus, a total of 10 articles about 14 case-control studies with 14,458 cases and 14,792 controls were included in our meta-analysis [7, 11-19] (Table 1). The 14 studies could be stratified according to the following independent criteria: (a) Source of controls: six were hospital-based (HB) and eight were population-based (PB); (b) Country: 11 were from China and three were from Korea; (c) Size of the case and control groups: in 9 studies, less than 1000 samples were included, whereas there were more than 1000 samples in 5 studies.

---

**Figure 1:** Forest plot of GC risk associated with \textit{PRKAA1 rs13361707 C/T} polymorphism (T-allele vs. C-allele) in the whole. The squares and horizontal lines correspond to the study-specific OR and 95% CI. The area of the squares reflects the weight (inverse of the variance). The diamond represents the summary OR and 95% CI.
Table 2: Total and stratified analysis of PRKAA1 gene rs13361707 polymorphism and GC risk

| Variables | Case/Control | T-allele vs. C-allele | TC vs. CC | TT vs. CC | TT+TC vs. CC | TT vs. TC+CC |
|-----------|--------------|----------------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|-------------|
| OR (95%CI) | P | OR (95%CI) | P | OR (95%CI) | P | OR (95%CI) | P | OR (95%CI) | P | OR (95%CI) | P |
| Total    | 14 | 14485/14792 | 0.87 (0.73-1.05) | 0.000 | 0.140 | 0.88 (0.72-1.07) | 0.000 | 0.193 | 0.76 (0.53-1.10) | 0.000 | 0.142 | 0.83 (0.65-1.07) | 0.000 | 0.155 | 0.84 (0.65-1.07) | 0.000 | 0.160 |
| Source of Control | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HB       | 6 | 2100/2327 | 0.78 (0.63-0.97) | 0.000 | 0.025 | 0.73 (0.63-0.84) | 0.036 | 0.000 | 0.61 (0.40-0.92) | 0.036 | 0.020 | 0.69 (0.54-0.89) | 0.018 | 0.004 | 0.75 (0.54-1.04) | 0.306 | 0.085 |
| PB       | 8 | 12385/12465 | 0.93 (0.73-1.19) | 0.000 | 0.586 | 0.97 (0.75-1.26) | 0.000 | 0.873 | 0.88 (0.54-1.44) | 0.000 | 0.614 | 0.94 (0.68-1.31) | 0.000 | 0.731 | 0.89 (0.65-1.24) | 0.000 | 0.501 |
| Country | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| China    | 11 | 9752/11587 | 0.91 (0.73-1.15) | 0.000 | 0.436 | 0.92 (0.72-1.17) | 0.000 | 0.505 | 0.84 (0.53-1.32) | 0.000 | 0.445 | 0.89 (0.65-1.21) | 0.000 | 0.456 | 0.90 (0.66-1.22) | 0.000 | 0.490 |
| Korea    | 3 | 4566/3019 | 0.75 (0.70-0.80) | 0.469 | 0.000 | 0.77 (0.68-0.86) | 0.451 | 0.000 | 0.56 (0.49-0.64) | 0.469 | 0.000 | 0.69 (0.62-0.77) | 0.376 | 0.000 | 0.66 (0.60-0.74) | 0.830 | 0.000 |
| Subjects scope | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <1000    | 9 | 4447/8309 | 0.83 (0.65-1.06) | 0.000 | 0.131 | 0.79 (0.59-1.07) | 0.000 | 0.125 | 0.69 (0.42-1.12) | 0.000 | 0.134 | 0.75 (0.53-1.07) | 0.000 | 0.119 | 0.81 (0.60-1.10) | 0.000 | 0.183 |
| >1000    | 5 | 10038/9710 | 0.94 (0.69-1.29) | 0.000 | 0.719 | 1.00 (0.75-1.35) | 0.000 | 0.983 | 0.89 (0.47-1.67) | 0.000 | 0.713 | 0.97 (0.65-1.45) | 0.000 | 0.881 | 0.88 (0.57-1.37) | 0.000 | 0.573 |
| GC type | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| NA       | 8 | 6775/5522 | 0.71 (0.70-0.75) | 0.149 | 0.000 | 0.71 (0.62-0.82) | 0.079 | 0.000 | 0.51 (0.46-0.57) | 0.224 | 0.000 | 0.63 (0.55-0.72) | 0.064 | 0.000 | 0.62 (0.57-0.68) | 0.693 | 0.000 |
| non-cardia | 5 | 6815/9270 | 1.26 (0.89-1.77) | 0.000 | 0.190 | 1.24 (0.86-1.80) | 0.000 | 0.243 | 1.57 (0.78-3.15) | 0.000 | 0.205 | 1.36 (0.84-2.19) | 0.000 | 0.210 | 1.34 (0.85-2.10) | 0.000 | 0.203 |
| cardia   | 1 | 895/3227 | 0.90 (0.81-0.99) | -0.140 | 0.88 (0.74-1.06) | -0.189 | 0.80 (0.65-0.99) | -0.038 | 0.85 (0.72-1.02) | -0.075 | 0.87 (0.73-1.03) | -0.110 |

*Number of comparisons, b P value of Q-test for heterogeneity test, c P value of Z-test for statistical significance, NA: not available information.

We also checked the MAF (minor-allele frequency); the frequency of the most common mutant allele) of the PRKAA1 rs13361707 C/T polymorphism among the five main population groups reported in the 1000 Genomes Browser: East Asian (0.55), European (0.29), African (0.36), American (0.25), and South Asian (0.33). The MAFs in our analysis were found to be 0.49 and 0.51 for the case and control groups, respectively. Our estimates accorded well with the East Asian MAF reported in the 1000 Genomes Browser database. Finally, the distribution of genotypes among controls was consistent with Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) in all models.

**Meta-analysis**

In the pooled analysis of all Asian populations, no association could be observed between GC risk and the genotypic variants of PRKAA1 rs13361707 C/T. The different genetic models of polymorphism-associated risk tested for the pooled Asian population were: allelic contrast (OR = 0.87, 95% CI = 0.73–1.05, \(P_{\text{heterogeneity}} < 0.01\)), the dominant allele model (OR = 0.83, 95% CI = 0.65–1.07, \(P_{\text{heterogeneity}} < 0.01\)) and the recessive allele model (OR = 0.84, 95% CI = 0.65–1.07, \(P_{\text{heterogeneity}} < 0.01\)). The lack of association in our models is diametrically opposed to the conclusions reported by Qiu et al. [11] (Table 2).

In the analysis stratified by source of control, significantly decreased associations were found between PRKAA1 rs13361707 C/T polymorphism and GC risk in the HB group (for example, the heterozygote comparison model [OR = 0.73, 95% CI = 0.63–0.84, \(P_{\text{heterogeneity}} = 0.306, P = 0.000\]) in Figure 2). In the analysis stratified by country, similarly significant associations were detected between PRKAA1 rs13361707 C/T polymorphism and GC risk in the Korean population (for example, in the homozygote comparison model [OR = 0.56, 95% CI = 0.49–0.64, \(P_{\text{heterogeneity}} = 0.469, P = 0.000\]) in Table 2; Figure 3). To our surprise, no association was found in the analysis stratified by sample size (Table 2).

In the analysis stratified by GC type, a similar magnitude of association was observed between PRKAA1 rs13361707 C/T polymorphism and GC risk in the group for which no information was available (for example, the
dominant genetic model [OR = 0.63, 95% CI = 0.55–0.72, \( P_{heterogeneity} = 0.064, P = 0.000 \) in Table 2). However, no significant associations were found for non-cardia GC.

**Sensitivity analysis and publication bias**

Sensitivity analysis was performed to assess the influence of each individual study on the pooled OR by sequential removal of individual studies. The results suggested that no individual study affected the overall OR significantly (Supplementary Figure 1). This suggests that our conclusion is credible and generalizable. Begg’s funnel plot and Egger’s test were performed to assess publication bias. As shown in Supplementary Table 1, the shapes of the funnel plots did not reveal an obvious asymmetry in any of the comparison models. Similarly, neither of the above tests provided any evidence of publication bias (for example, T-allele vs. C-allele, \( t = -0.49, P = 0.635 \) for Egger’s test; and \( z = 0.44, P = 0.661 \) for Begg’s test; Supplementary Figures 2 and 3, respectively).

**In-silico analysis of PRKAA1 expression**

In-silico results indicated that the expression of PRKAA1 in GC tissue was higher than that in paracancerous tissue (TPM: Transcripts Per Kilobase Million = 55 vs. 30 respectively, \( P < 0.01 \), Figure 4).

**Serum expression of PRKAA1 with different genotypes in GC patients**

We collected 200 serum samples of GC patients in the present study with different genotypes of the **PRKAA1 rs13361707 C/T** polymorphism. The distribution observed

---

**Figure 2:** Forest plot of GC risk associated with **PRKAA1 rs13361707 C/T** polymorphism (TC vs. CC) by source of control. The squares and horizontal lines correspond to the study-specific OR and 95% CI. The area of the squares reflects the weight (inverse of the variance). The diamond represents the summary OR and 95% CI.
for the TT, TC, and CC genotypes was 40 (20%), 64 (32%) and 96 (48%), respectively. Moreover, serum PRKAA1 levels in GC patients with TT/TC genotypes were significantly lower than in those with CC genotypes (171.18 ± 76.58 μg/L vs. 209.13 ± 51.65 μg/L, P = 0.031; Figure 5).

**DISCUSSION**

GC remains a high-incidence malignancy but the incidence greatly varies between countries. The majority of cases are registered in developing countries, with half of them reported in Eastern Asia. In addition, GC incidence is twice as high in men as in women. This suggests that environmental, hormonal, or genetic factors may affect its risk [3]. Therefore, we selected a single nucleotide polymorphism named PRKAA1 rs13361707 C/T to analyze the susceptibility of Asian individuals to GC.

To evaluate the association between PRKAA1 rs13361707 C/T polymorphism and GC risk, we updated a previously published analysis [11] with additional publications, without excluding any relevant ones, to the best of our knowledge. We performed a meta-analysis involving 14,485 GC cases and 14,792 controls; all samples were taken from the Northeast Asian population. The power of our meta-analysis is 0.997, which suggests that our data are adequate for detecting even small effect sizes. In the pooled analysis, no association was observed in any genetic model. It is worth mentioning that this result is opposite to that of the study published in 2015 [11]; therefore, our study is a necessary update to the literature. Furthermore, the PRKAA1 rs13361707

---

**Figure 3: Forest plot of GC risk associated with PRKAA1 rs13361707 C/T polymorphism (TT vs. CC) by source of country.** The squares and horizontal lines correspond to the study-specific OR and 95% CI. The area of the squares reflects the weight (inverse of the variance). The diamond represents the summary OR and 95% CI. Each point represents a separate study for the indicated association. Log [OR], natural logarithm of OR. Horizontal line, mean effect size.
The C/T (T-allele) polymorphism can decrease GC risk in the HB and Korean populations, which suggests that the role of this polymorphism in increasing GC susceptibility is limited, and the T-allele may even protect against GC susceptibility, although no evidence supporting this possibility had been found until date. A probable explanation for this phenomenon is that the samples included from Korea were much smaller than those included from China; therefore, even if a significant association exists in the Korean population, its influence was offset by a negative association from the Chinese population and thus no association was detected in the pooled analysis. The same explanation might apply to the association observed in the analysis stratified by source of

Figure 4: Analysis of serum PRKAA1 levels in three genotypes of GC cases with mean values (horizontal lines, mean values). \( P = 0.031 \) compared with the TT/TC and CC genotypes.
the control group: a significant inverse relationship was observed for the HB population but not for the pooled population. Besides, complex interactions between several genetic and environmental factors may be involved in cancer development.

In order to better explain the potential function of PRKAA1 rs13361707 C/T polymorphism in GC risk, we first used an online gene expression mini database to analyze the expression of PRKAA1 in both GC and paracancerous tissues. It indicated that the expression of PRKAA1 was higher in GC tissues. Previously, Varghese et al. [20] also reported that high expression of PRKAA1 in glioblastoma was correlated with a poor prognosis. Similarly, Huang et al. [21] found that PRKAA1 had a higher expression in a cervical tumor than in the normal epithelium (P < 0.01). Additionally, we found that individuals carrying the TT/TC genotypes of PRKAA1 rs13361707 C/T polymorphism had a lower serum expression level than those carrying CC genotypes. This information suggests that PRKAA1 may be an oncogene. Our analysis, in combination with conclusions reported in the previous meta-analysis [11], suggests that PRKAA1 rs13361707 C/T polymorphism, especially the T-allele or TC/TT genotypes, may reduce the expression of PRKAA1. Thus, individuals carrying the T-allele or TC/TT genotypes have a lower GC risk.

Meta-analyses have been recognized as an effective method to summarize and review previously published quantitative research to answer a wide variety of clinical questions. However, some limitations in our meta-analysis should be acknowledged. First, the number of published studies included in our meta-analysis remains small for a comprehensive analysis. Second, one of the selected studies included five different case-control sub-analyses in the same paper, possibly with overlap or duplication of some data. This could have influenced the power of our analyses too. Third, gene-gene, gene-environment (including age, sex, family history, environmental factors, disease stage, and lifestyle) and within-gene interactions may modulate GC risk, and therefore should be evaluated in future research. Fourth, all the 10 articles included in our meta-analysis lacked information about PRKAA1 expression levels classified by gender or stage of the tumor; hence, we could not analyze these factors. We advocate future articles including this information.

In spite of these limitations, our meta-analysis has some advantages. First, the power of our analysis is more than 0.9, lending credibility and persuasiveness to our results. Second, the quality of case-control studies included in the current meta-analysis satisfied our more extensive selection criteria. Third, publication bias was not detected in any of the genetic models, suggesting that the results are relatively stable and generalizable.

In summary, our meta-analysis showed that PRKAA1 rs13361707 C/T polymorphism was associated with significantly decreased GC risk in the Korean and HB populations, although no association was found in the pooled populations. Therefore, further well-designed and larger studies, dealing specifically with gene-gene and gene-environment interactions, are warranted.

Figure 5: The relative expression of PRKAA1 in GC tissue and paracancerous tissue (Normal) using TCGA database. TPM (Transcripts Per Kilobase Million) stands for the expression of PRKAA1 in each tissue.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Identification and eligibility of relevant studies

We conducted searches on the PubMed, Embase, WanFang, and CNKI databases (the last search was conducted on Feb 22, 2017) with the keywords ‘PRKAA1’ or ‘protein kinase catalytic subunit alpha-1’ or ‘AMPKa1’, ‘polymorphism’ or ‘variant’ and ‘gastric cancer’ or ‘digestive tract tumor’, without any restriction on language or publication year. Using these terms, a total of 51 articles were retrieved. We also screened references cited in the retrieved articles, and other review articles, by hand.

Inclusion criteria and exclusion criteria

Studies that were included in our analysis had to meet all of the following criteria: (a) assessment of correlation between GC and PRKAA1 rs13361707 C/T polymorphism; (b) case-control design; (c) sufficient numbers for all genotypes (TT, TC, CC) among cases and controls. Additionally, the following exclusion criteria were also used: (a) no control population; (b) no information on genotype frequency; or (c) duplication of previous publications.

Data extraction

Two authors of this study independently extracted all the data from studies complying with the selection criteria. The following items were collected: first author’s last name, year of publication, country of origin, sample size, total case/control number, subjects of each genotype in cases and controls, source of control (HB or PB), Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) of controls, the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS), GC type, and genotyping methods.

Quality score assessment

The NOS [22] was used to assess the quality of each study. This measure assesses observational studies on measures of study quality, such as the selection of cases, comparability of populations, and ascertainment of exposure to risks. The NOS ranges from zero (worst) to nine stars (best). Studies with a score of seven stars or greater were considered as high-quality.

In-silico analysis of PRKAA1 expression

We used the online gene expression mini database from the Zhang Lab of Peking University (http://gemini.cancer-pku.cn/) to analyze the expression of PRKAA1 in both GC and paracancerous tissues [23]. This database includes RNA expression profiles of 410 GC samples (whose diagnosis was confirmed clinically) and 228 normal samples from the corresponding tissues.

Statistical analysis

The strength of the association between PRKAA1 rs13361707 C/T polymorphism and GC risk in different strata was expressed as odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs). The statistical significance of the ORs was determined with the Z-test. Heterogeneity assumption was evaluated by a chi-square-based Q-test. When a P-value < 0.10 for the Q-test indicated a lack of heterogeneity among the studies, the random effects model (DerSimonian-Laird method) was used [24]. Otherwise, the fixed effects model (Mantel-Haenszel method) was chosen [25]. For different PRKAA1 rs13361707 C/T genetic variants, we investigated their association with GC risk according to the following models: allelic contrast model (T-allele vs. C-allele), homozygote comparison model (TT vs. CC), heterozygote comparison model (TC vs. CC), dominant genetic model (TT+TC vs. CC) and recessive genetic model (TT vs. TC+CC). Funnel plot asymmetry was assessed using Begg’s test and publication bias was assessed using Egger’s test, P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant [26]. The departure of frequencies of PRKAA1 gene polymorphism from expectation under HWE was assessed in controls by using the Pearson chi-square test; P < 0.05 was considered significant. All statistical tests for this meta-analysis were performed using the Stata software (version 11.0; StataCorp LP, College Station, TX). The power of our meta-analysis was calculated by a program named PS: Power and Sample Size Calculation (http://biostat.mc.vanderbilt.edu/wiki/Main/PowerSampleSize#Windows) [27].

Genotyping methods

Genotyping of PRKAA1 rs13361707 C/T was conducted using different techniques in different studies: polymerase chain reaction matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry (PCR-MALDI-TOF-MS), high-resolution melting polymerase chain reaction (HRM-PCR), improved multiplex ligase detection reaction (iMLDR), Affymetrix Genome-Wide Human SNP Array 6.4 chips (AGWHSA 6.0 CHIPS), GoldenGate assay (Illumina), Multiplex SNAPSHOT SNP, TaqMan and competitive allele-specific PCR (KASP).

Study population and genotyping

Two hundred GC patients were newly diagnosed between November 2014 and May 2016 in the Tongzhou People’s Hospital of Nantong (Supplementary Table 2). All GC cases were between 51 and 87 years of age and were diagnosed with the disease within the preceding one year. All cases underwent laparoscopic radical gastrectomy for gastric cancer and were diagnosed by a pathological examination. A 2-ml peripheral blood sample was collected from each patient. Ethics approval was obtained
from the ethics committees at the Tongzhou People’s Hospital of Nantong and all samples were collected after each patient provided a written informed consent. The PRKAA1 rs13361707 C/T polymorphism was analyzed by a TaqMan assay as described by Li et al. [16].
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