Multi-pollutant approach to model contaminants flow in surface and groundwater: A review
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Abstract. Pollution of surface and groundwater is largely caused by anthropogenic activities and the natural geogenic processes. Most of the contaminants in surface and groundwater have a common origin. The aim of this review is to highlight the importance of multi-approach modeling of pollutants which is required for various reasons, owing to the availability of different types and sources of water pollutants. We attempted a systematic review to assess the current progress in modeling water pollution using multi-approach methods. Results showed that (9) out of the eleven (11) chosen studies have applied some forms of multi-approach modeling methods to examine pollutants in surface and groundwater. Results also suggest that there is an increased concern on understanding how pollutants are transported from sources to surface water and how impurities are transported to groundwater aquifers by infiltrating surface flows. A major limitation of water quality models is that models assumed a uniform environmental setting and can simulate contaminants only in the gas and aqueous states. The rationality of contaminant modeling using multi-pollutant approaches is mostly problematical to validate because suitable field data is wanting for comparison. Therefore, the model output must be scrutinized within the context of the uncertainty of the model inputs, data limitations and consistently essential application of established standards from the literature.

1. Introduction
Contamination of surface and groundwater is largely caused by nutrients, plastics, and chemicals such as pesticides and heavy metals [1-3]. Such contaminants have diverse ecological effects [4]. For example, hypoxia and harmful algal blooms in surface water can be caused by excess nutrients. Chemical derived contamination can have toxic effects on aquatic organisms [5, 6]. Often surface and groundwater aquifers are influenced by the joint impact of various contaminants [4]. Surface and groundwater bodies are chiefly contaminated from human activities, including agriculture, urbanization, industrialization and improper sewage disposal [7, 8]. Contaminants transported to a stream via overland flows can be transported to very far places via river channels and deposited in coastal areas [4]. In places where the streams are in hydraulic conductivity with groundwater aquifers, pollutants can enter groundwater via infiltration or recharge [9, 10].

Most of the contaminants in surface and groundwater have a common origin. For example, nutrients, pesticides, and heavy metals can be derived from agriculture and sewage released from municipal and/or industrial sources [11-13]. Implied that decontamination or treatment measures that were put in place to lessen a contaminant in polluted water perhaps affects interactions between
other contaminants [4]. How this problem is addressed under field condition present presents a thought-provoking subject. Therefore, in decontamination of polluted water sources, for example, concentrations of most contaminants are reduced while recovering water and nutrients. Effective management of pollution remediation, therefore, requires an account for both the co-benefits as well as the side-effects [14, 15]. In addition, it is important to note that there are regional and/or environmental variations relating to the causes, types, and impacts of water pollution [4].

Water pollution is a global phenomenon as rivers all over the world serve as channels through which pollutants are collected at the basin scale and transported downstream to seas and/or groundwater aquifers [16-18]. In advanced regions of the world, nearly all the causes and types of water pollution are well-known [19]. Yet in some regions (i.e. Africa, South America, and Asia), these causes and/or consequences of water pollution, are either poorly known or not well investigated [20]. In this kind of situation, models can be used [21] to aid understanding of the problem and provide bases for decision making on environmental management and pollution control [4]. The objective of this review is to identify the extent to which surface and groundwater pollution are studied using a multi-pollutant approach method.

1.1. Why a multi-pollutant approach to water quality modeling is essential?
It has been argued that an integrated and more comprehensive multi-approach is required for various reasons [4]: (i) A new and comprehensive model approach is required to clearly address the joint exposure of surface and groundwater bodies to contaminants; (ii) Sound knowledge of the interactions between physical, chemical and biological processes in rivers and groundwater aquifers (Figure 1) is necessary for managing pollution; (iii) A multi-pollutant approach to modeling may well aid to better predict upcoming ecological gravities; and (iv) The future water quality models might be required to account for pollutants build-up in the environment. Generally, a clear multi-pollutant simulation will help to improve understanding of the sources and effects of imminent surface water contamination and prosper promising answers to the entire global water pollution problem. These types of models can be applied to (i) Analyze and quantify both water quality and quantity; (ii) For appraisals of the accessibility of potable water in the future; (iii) Aid in enhancing our awareness of water safety; (iv); Lay the foundation for systematic and management apparatuses that resolve simultaneously various water-related problems; and (v) Empathetic knowledge of how surface water quality disturbs bionetworks and humanity is crucial for human development and wellbeing. Therefore, multi-pollutant water quality models can provide desirable innovative knowledge, and applicable policy funding and consequently underwrite acceptable Sustainable Development Goals by the United Nations [4].

2. Methods
The pollutant source, pathway, and receptor models are widely applied in water and air-related studies [22-24]. The model approach is adopted because hydrochemical transformation in rivers and groundwater aquifers present a thought-provoking topic [25-27], due to difficulties involved in understanding the interaction between surface and groundwater and biogeochemical processes in rivers and groundwater (Figure 1). The main review procedure has been adapted from past studies [24]. The formulated research question directing this review is: “What are the causes, pathways, and the recipient(s) of contaminants in surface and groundwater, and how far has this problem has been studied”? Both Web of Science, Scopus, and other databases were searched on 10th June 2019, with the Source-Pathway-Receptor (SPR) Model adopted from [24], used for search term formulation and literature documentation as shown in Figure 1. The quest was limited to publications in English and available from 1970 to date (Table 1). The Boolean positional operators (“AND00, “OR00, “SAME00, “WITH00, “ADJ00) literature searches were used to suitably filter literature identification. Article inclusion required confirmation of water quality modeling via surface, and/or groundwater of urban and/or industrial sewage, plastics and chemicals (pesticides, heavy metals, etc). Water quality studies, whether surface or groundwater, were considered eligible once a surface or
A groundwater source directly polluted by surface filtration (or recharge) was designated as the primary source of contaminant infiltration. A total of 3,502 potentially relevant papers were identified, reducing to 420, based on eligibility (or accessibility) criteria. Eleven (11) articles were finally selected for this review based on the standard summarized in Figure 2.
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**Figure 1.** Potential risks to surface and groundwater pollution. After [24].

| Term classification   | Search terms                                                                 |
|-----------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Source of pollutant   | Landfills, mines, industry, urban sewage, agriculture,                        |
| Pathway               | Streams/river, shallow well, borehole, mining sites, pit latrines, soak ways. |
| Infiltration          | Aquifer, stream, river, pond, lake, sea.                                     |
| Date                  | Search was limited to 1970                                                   |

After completing the process of review, 11 papers were involved in the analysis as shown in Table 2. These studies present modeling approaches applied to both surface and groundwater. Apart from two studies i.e. [28] and [29], all the remaining nine (9) studies evaluated have used some form of multi-pollutant approach to surface-groundwater pollution modeling. This demonstrated that despite the difficulties involved in water pollution modeling, there is an increased concern on understanding how pollutants are transported to groundwater via recharge by infiltrating contaminated surface water. However, one study i.e. Appelo and Rolle [30], compared the results of numerical simulations of fluid levels in groundwater bores. Heavy metal modeling was attempted by [31] and [3]. Two studies i.e. [32] and [33] presented a tool for groundwater contamination risk assessment. Similarly, [34] and [35] showed how water contamination from petrochemicals and hydrocarbons can be modeled. Conversely, [36] show how nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P) retention in surface water can be simulated. Lastly, [29] presented a comparison of two models (NEWS and SPARROW) illustrating how N is transported to coastal areas. A major limitation to water quality models is that they assumed a uniform environment and can simulate pollutant only in the gas and aqueous state.
3. Results

Table 2 presents the major descriptive faces of incorporated studies. The remediation or cleanup of light non-aqueous phase liquid (LNAPL) polluted areas indicates that the systematic models can be applied to improve/refine hypothetical site models and for evaluating possible LNAPL recovery endpoints, particularly in places having fluctuating water levels in wells [30]. Simulation of continental-scale spatiotemporal frameworks of exchanges between surface water and groundwater aquifers can help with water management, recognizing potential sites of heavy nutrient mass loading from the aquifer to streams and ecological evaluation and planning decided on sites of high groundwater release [31]. A Compositional Multiphase Model for Groundwater Pollution (CMGP) is applicable for estimation of oil plume establishment in the unsaturated zone, and solute and vapor movement following the immiscible plume establishment is then established from the general model [34].

The natural decrease of unstable hydrocarbons in the shallow groundwater plumes and unsaturated zone can be estimated using Scenario-specific modeling and laboratory experiments. Groundwater infiltration is an important pollutant path only in soils with high water content [35]. Pollutant fate and movement modeling tool for management and risk evaluation of groundwater contamination by [33], presented a modeling tool comprising of five discrete models, in place of common geological settings, pollutant paths, and transportation processes, which allows for reliable qualitative approximations with wide trends in observations and offer a conservative approximation of pollution. A combined model for evaluating the risk of trichloroethylene (TCE) groundwater pollution, by [3], can be applied to assess the effect of point sources in surface water on human health and groundwater environments. The model results showed that uncertainty calculation discovered hydraulic conductivity to be the most significant site-specific parameter.
Table 2. Major descriptive faces of incorporated studies (n=11)

| S/n | Study                        | Study type                                                   | Objectives                                                                 | Model types                                                                 | Findings                                                                 |
|-----|------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1   | Appelo and Rolle [30]        | Comparing results to Numerical Simulation models.            | To forecast LNAPL specific volumes and transmissivities from existing fluid level quantities in boreholes and either recorded notable fluid level variations in bores or estimates. | Entrapped, free, and residual LNAPL saturations and the transmissivity of LNAPL. | The systematic model can be employed to improve/refine theoretical site models and for evaluating possible LNAPL recovery endpoints, especially in locations with varying water levels in boreholes. |
| 2   | Bailey, Wible [31]           | Continental-scale spatiotemporal outlines of interactions surface water-groundwater. | Discover the spatiotemporal outlines of groundwater ejection to a stream system in a semi-arid region. | Daily spill phases and coupling.                                           | Results can help with water management, recognizing potential sites of heavy nutrient mass loading from the aquifer to streams and ecological evaluation and planning decided on sites of high groundwater release. |
| 3   | Corapcioglu [34]             | A Compositional Multiphase Model for Groundwater Pollution | To define the fate of hydrocarbon elements of petroleum products present in soil and groundwater. | Molecular changes, microbially arbitrated or abiotic, are combined.        | Estimates of two subproblems, oil plume establishment in the unsaturated zone, and solute and vapor movement following the immiscible plume establishment are then established from the general model. Groundwater infiltration is an important pollutant path only in soils with high water content. |
| 4   | Grathwohl [35]               | Natural decrease of unstable hydrocarbons in the shallow groundwater plumes and unsaturated zone. Pollutant fate and movement modeling tool for management and risk evaluation of groundwater contamination. | To evaluate the diffusive dispersal of unstable fuel elements with and without biodegradation and recharge of groundwater. Assessment of groundwater contamination from polluted locations. | Scenario-specific modeling and laboratory experiments. | Approximations are qualitatively reliable with wide trends in observations and offer a conservative approximation of pollution. |
| 5   | Locatelli, Binning [33]      | A combined model for evaluating the risk of TCE groundwater pollution. Assessment of Heavy Metals Pollution in Groundwater. | To simulate N and P holding in surface water. | Systematic volatilization model | Uncertainty calculation discovered hydraulic conductivity to be the most significant site-specific parameter. |
| 6   | McKnight, Funder [37]        | Measuring risk to groundwater of polluted locations.        | To evaluate Heavy Metal Pollution Model | Unsaturated zone discharge models | Industrialization and indecorous sewage discarding were recognized as the foremost cause for the build-up of metals in the groundwater. The models display that both degradation and dispersal are significant tools for the lessening of pollutant absorptions at the water table. |
| 7   | Sajil Kumar, Davis Delson [3] | Linking global models for nutrient loading and hydrology. Global N export from Watersheds. | To simulate N and P holding in surface water. | IMAGE-GNM | Soil N budgets, wastewater and all factors influential litterfall in valleys are significant for N distribution to surface water. NEWS 2 can be used as an operative tool to study the effect of policies to lessen coastal eutrophication at local to global scales. |
| 8   | Troldborg, Binning [32]      | Global N export from Watersheds. | Offer a complete model account and present a summary of enhancements to input datasets. | NEWS 2 | NEWS and SPARROW both recognized the same single-largest N sources for surface area. |
Application of the Heavy Metal Pollution Index Model (HMPIM), revealed that industrialization and indecorous sewage disposal were recognized as the foremost cause for the build-up of metals in the groundwater [3]. Measuring risk to groundwater of polluted locations, by the development of analytical answers to multiphase transport revealed that both degradation and dispersal are significant tools for lessening of pollutant absorptions at the water table [32]. Linking global models for nutrient loading and hydrology to simulate N and P holding in surface water indicate that soil N budgets, wastewater and all factors influencing litterfall in valleys are significant for N distribution to surface water [38]. Global N export from watersheds offer a complete model account and present a summary of enhancements to input datasets. The NEWS 2 can be used as an operative tool to study the effect of policies to lessen coastal eutrophication at local to global scales [28]. However, a comparison of NEWS and SPARROW models to understand sources of N transport showed that NEWS and SPARROW both recognized the same single-largest N sources for surface area [29].

4. Conclusion

The literature is almost unanimous on the importance of understanding how contaminants are transported from pollution sources by surface water to groundwater aquifers. Equating studies employed in this review leads to the following remarks:

1. The analogy with experimental data at different locations disclosed that the water quality models are capable of simulating the major trends and make available significant information to evaluate the menace modeled by pollutant sources from the surface to groundwater; chiefly, the high concentration, pollutant mass ejection and plume dispersal at the infiltration or recharge point;

2. Consequently, there is an increasing application of the multi-pollutant approach to the evaluation of water quality in both the aquifers and surface water;

3. Similarly, there is an amplified concern on understanding how contaminants from sources are transported to surface water and/or groundwater aquifers by in filtering surface flows.

4. These models allow for reliable qualitative and quantitative approximations with wide trends in observations and offer a conservative approximation of pollution.

However, the rationality of using multi-pollutant approaches is mostly hard to authenticate because suitable field data are lacking for evaluation. So, the model output must be analyzed within the framework of the uncertainty of the model inputs, data constraints and regularly essential application of accepted standards from the literature.
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