Evaluation of anxiolytic effects of aripiprazole and hydroxyzine as a combination in mice

Abstract

Context: Anxiety disorders are chronic, common, and often comorbid. There is an unmet need in its treatment. Aripiprazole and hydroxyzine are well-known therapeutic options used as monotherapy in clinics. They have different mechanisms and site of actions.

Aim: The objective of the present study was to evaluate the anxiolytic effect of aripiprazole and hydroxyzine in combination.

Materials and Methods: Swiss albino mice (male) received treatment of 5% of Tween 80 in 0.9% saline (10 ml/kg; control group), “aripiprazole alone” (1 mg/kg), “hydroxyzine alone” (3 mg/kg), and aripiprazole (0.5 mg/kg) + hydroxyzine (1.5 mg/kg) through the intraperitoneal route.

Statistical Analysis Used: One-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s honest significant difference was employed for statistical analysis.

Results: The in vivo outcomes (elevated plus maze, light/dark transition, and marble burying tests) of hydroxyzine monotherapy-treated group showed a significant anxiolytic activity. The combination-treated group was found to be better than control and aripiprazole-treated groups. The combination-treated group showed a significant increase in the level of serotonin in different brain regions as compared to aripiprazole-treated group but not better than the hydroxyzine group. The in vitro results were in compliance with the in vivo results.

The combinational approach was found to be beneficial in anxiolytic treatment as compared to aripiprazole monotherapy. However, hydroxyzine showed better anxiolytic activity when compared to control, aripiprazole monotherapy, and combination groups.

Conclusions: The anxiolytic effect of combination-treated group was found to be better than aripiprazole monotherapy and lesser than hydroxyzine monotherapy.
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Introduction

Anxiety disorders lead to a significant distress, cause severe mental and behavioral problems over a period of time. They are characterized by feelings of apprehension, uncertainty, or fear which has a substantial negative impact on the quality of life of an individual. According to the Global Health Estimates 2014, anxiety is ranked sixth in the world as a neuropsychiatric disorder. The prevalence ranges between 2.4% and 29.8%. [1]
Anxiety disorders are highly comorbid and are often associated with substantial impairments in functioning such as depression and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Physical symptoms of anxiety include excessive sweating, pounding heart, insomnia, dizziness, headache, fatigue, tremors, and twitches, whereas emotional symptoms include irritability, restlessness, anticipating the worst, inability to concentrate, and feeling tense.[5] First-line drugs such as selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) and serotonin-norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors (SNRIs) are used. SSRIs (paroxetine, citalopram, escitalopram, fluoxetine, sertraline, or fluvoxamine) are generally well tolerated and first-line drugs recommended for patients who never had any drug treatment for anxiety disorders. The success rate of SSRIs is limited up to 61.1%.[6] In addition, SSRIs have been associated with adverse effects such as insomnia, nausea, increased nervousness,[7] and sexual dysfunction.[8] SNRIs such as venlafaxine and duloxetine are alternative first-line agents whereas second-line drugs include tricyclic antidepressants (TCAs) such as imipramine for generalized anxiety disorder and panic disorder clomipramine for obsessive-compulsive disorder and panic disorder and amitriptyline for posttraumatic stress disorder. The success rate of TCAs is limited up to 57.9%.[3] TCAs also have disadvantages such as postural hypotension, weight gain, and insomnia. Alternative second-line drugs are monoamine oxidase inhibitors, namely, phenelzine and tranylcypromine which have side effects such as increased appetite, weight gain, and low blood pressure. Third-line drugs in treatment include benzodiazepines (oxazepam, lorazepam, temazepam, alprazolam, clonazepam, and diazepam), anticonvulsants (carbamazepine, valproate, lamotrigine, topiramate, and gabapentin), atypical antipsychotics, and antihistaminic agents. Benzodiazepines which have lower success rate nowadays are least preferred since they cause physical dependence and withdrawal symptoms.[9] It has been difficult to predict the response of the pharmacological therapy of benzodiazepines. There remains uncertainty in deciding an appropriate duration of prescribing these medications.[9] Thus, the use of psychiatric medications for anxiety disorders is being controversial besides the intolerable side effects of available anxiolytic agents and has hindered the desired therapeutic efficacy. This indicates an unmet need in the treatment of anxiety disorders.[8] Combination therapy may help in achieving satisfactory results over monotherapy in combating anxiety disorder.

Aripiprazole is a unique antipsychotic drug. It produces partial agonist action through dopamine D₂ receptors and serotonin 5HT₆ receptors, whereas antagonist activity through 5HT₂A receptors. It behaves as an antagonist in hyperdopaminergic state and an agonist in the hypodopaminergic state.[6] The quality of being a silent antagonist differentiates this drug from other drugs of the same class. It is also known as a dopamine-serotonin system stabilizer. It also possesses anti-aversive properties which would ultimately help in combating anxiety disorder.[10] It is also evident that aripiprazole is well-tolerated, effective, and safe drug with fewer side effects. It is known to produce rapid onset of action with sustained efficacy.[11] Aripiprazole at the dose of 1 mg/kg has shown a significant reduction in the number of marbles buried which represents a defensive behavior in marble burying test.[12]

Hydroxyzine is a widely used antihistaminic, antipsychotic, anxiolytic drug with lesser side effects. It shows its sedative property above the dose of 15 mg/kg in animals.[13] It also possesses tranquilizing activity. It competes with histamine to bind at H₁ receptor sites. Hydroxyzine is one of the preferred options in the treatment of a generalized anxiety disorder and psychoneurosis.[14] Hydroxyzine at the doses of 1–4 mg/kg has shown a significant increase in the exploratory activity in light and dark transition test.[15]

Anxiety disorder is influenced by both inhibitory and facilitatory mechanisms which either counter or favor the state of anxiety. The neurochemical systems affect cortical and subcortical brain areas which are pertinent to the mediation of the symptoms associated with anxiety disorders. It is evident that during anxiety, the hippocampus is associated in amplifying the aversive events of anxiety disorder.[16] Changes in dopaminergic and serotoninergic systems through hippocampus are related to the anxiety disorders.[17,18] Aripiprazole is categorized as an antipsychotic drug that acts as a partial dopamine agonist and serotonin antagonist. Hydroxyzine belongs to the class of antihistaminic drugs as well as anxiolytic agents that acts as an inverse histamine agonist and serotonin antagonist. The hypothesis of the present study expects synergism from the combination of aripiprazole and hydroxyzine since they have different mechanisms and sites of action. The combination approach consisting half of each monotherapy dose may help in decreasing the dose-related side/adverse effects of each drug while achieving better therapeutic effects. Therefore, the objective of the present study was to evaluate the combined effect of aripiprazole and hydroxyzine on anxiety in mice.

Materials and Methods

Animals
Swiss albino mice (male) weighing 25–30 g were obtained from Bharat Serum Ltd., Thane, India. Six animals were housed in perspex cage. The controlled room temperature (22–24°C) and humidity (50–60%) conditions were maintained at the central animal house facility with a light and dark (12 h: 12 h) illumination cycle. The acclimatization period for animals was 1 week. All experimental protocols were approved by an Institutional Review Committee for the use of animal subjects (Approval number - CPCSEA/IAEC/BNPCP/P-21/2014) and in compliance with the National Institutes of Health Guide for Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (Publication no. 85–23, revised 1985).

Drug solutions and treatment
Animals received the drug treatment through intraperitoneal route. Aripiprazole was received as a gift sample from Watson Pharmaceuticals Pvt. Ltd., Ambernath (District Thane). Hydroxyzine dihydrochloride was procured from Sigma Aldrich, Mumbai, India. Aripiprazole was suspended in Tween 80 (5%) in 0.9% saline.[10] Hydroxyzine dihydrochloride was completely soluble in water.
Experimental protocols were executed between 11.00 and 16.00 h. Each animal model had a separate set of animals. In each set, animals were randomly assigned to four groups (n = 6 animals/group). They were kept undisturbed for at least 1 h before executing experimental protocol. The arena of elevated plus maze (EPM), light and dark transition test, and open field test were wiped with 70% ethyl alcohol solution before placing each animal. Group I, II, III, and IV received treatment of 5% of Tween 80 in 0.9% saline (10 ml/kg; control group), aripiprazole (1 mg/kg), hydroxyzine (3 mg/kg), and aripiprazole (0.5 mg/kg) + hydroxyzine (1.5 mg/kg), respectively.[2,3,17]

Anxiety models

**Elevated plus maze**
There was an arrangement of two closed and open arms. The placement of closed arms was opposite to each other (without any roof) with the wall height of 12 cm. Both closed and open arms had dimensions of 30 cm × 5 cm. Each animal was placed in the center of the maze while facing one of the closed arms. A recorded video (5 min) of each animal was used to analyze the total number of entries, the time spent in the open and closed arms.[10] The criterion of an entry was the presence of all four paws inside an arm. The frequency of entries in open arms (OAE) and closed arms (CAE) and total time spent in open arms (OAT) and closed arms (CAT) were evaluated. These data were used for further calculations of percentage of OAT (%OAT) and the percentage of OAE (%OAE).[15]

**Light and dark transition test**
The dimensions of apparatus (box) were 21 cm × 42 cm with the height of 25 cm. A partition was placed to divide it into two sections of equal size. Dimensions of door in partition wall were 4 cm × 4 cm. The color background of the first compartment was white and the second was black. There was a bright light illumination kept in the first section, while dim in the second section. The mouse was placed in the center place of white box while facing the door present in the partition with the 10 min observation period. Recorded video of each animal was used by a single trained observer to estimate parameters such as time spent in light and dark compartments and percentage time spent in light and dark compartments.[10]

**Open field test**
The apparatus had a square white-colored wooden arena with the dimensions of 72 cm × 72 cm × 33 cm. The mouse was placed in the center of the arena for 5 min. Recorded video of each animal was used to estimate the parameters such as frequency of line crossing, the time spent in, and entries into the central zone of the arena (18 cm × 18 cm) by a single trained observer.[21]

**Novelty suppressed feeding**
The dimensions of used wooden box were 72 cm × 72 cm × 33 cm. The arena was covered with a bedding of husk. Animals were food-deprived for 24 h before the commencement of the test. A single pellet of food was placed on a white paper platform positioned in the center of the box at the time of testing. Each mouse was placed at the corner of a novel test box. The recorded video (5 min) of each animal was used to analyze the time taken to approach and eat the chow by a single trained observer. Avoiding food and limited exploration of the test environment represents anxious behavior, whereas quick approach toward food and eating represents a decrease in anxiety.[16]

**Marble burying test**
The dimensions of plastic cage were 21 cm × 38 cm × 14 cm containing 5 cm thick sawdust bedding. On the sawdust bed, placement of twenty clean glass marbles (diameter = 10 mm approx.) was done evenly. Each animal was placed in a cage for the period of 30 min. After exposure to the marbles, the animal was removed and number of unburied marbles were counted. If 2<sup>nd</sup> / 3<sup>rd</sup> or higher size of a marble was covered with sawdust bed, then only it was considered buried.[12]

**Estimation of monoamine levels (dopamine and serotonin) using high-performance liquid chromatography with fluorescence detector**
High-performance liquid chromatography (LC-2010C HT, Autosampler, Shimadzu, Columbia, USA) with fluorescence detector (RF-20A-prominence, Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) and a reversed-phase analytical column (KROMASIL 100, C18, 5 µm, 25 mm × 0.46 mm) was used to determine the dopamine and serotonin levels in hippocampus, cerebral cortex, and whole brain (whole brain = cerebral cortex + hippocampus + remaining brain tissue).[23,24]

Euthanasia was performed 1 h after treatment. The brain was removed, weighed, and placed in an ice-cold perchloric acid (0.1 M). Brain parts such as cerebral cortex, hippocampus, and remaining brain tissue were isolated and weighed immediately. Samples were homogenized (Kinematic Polytron PT-MR 2500 E) in 2 ml of ice-cold 0.1 M perchloric acid and the resulting mixture was centrifuged (Eppendorf 5810 R) at 16356 × g for 20 min at the temperature of 4°C. The obtained supernatant was stored at −80°C after filtration through 0.45 µm membrane up to the time of analysis. The chromatographic separation of injected samples was achieved on reversed-phase analytical column at room temperature. The obtained data were processed by LC Solution@ software (Shimadzu Scientific Instruments 7102 Riverwood Drive Columbia, MD 21046 U.S.A.). The mobile phase was prepared using 0.36 g of potassium orthophosphate, 0.5 ml of phosphoric acid dissolved in 1 liter Millipore water sonicated and filtered through a 0.45 µm membrane (PALL, Pall Corporation, India). The flow rate of mobile phase was kept at 1.3 ml/min. Detection of dopamine and serotonin was performed at 280 nm excitation wavelength and 315 nm emission wavelength. The retention time of standard and samples was compared and used to identify respective peaks. Analysis of concentration of dopamine and serotonin in the sample was performed by performing their area under curve using their straight line equation. The unit used to express results was µg/g of wet weight of tissue.

**Statistical analysis**
Statistical analysis was performed using software (GraphPad Software, Inc. 7825 Fay Avenue, Suite 230 La Jolla, CA 92037 USA). The calculation of statistical significance was derived using one-way analysis of variance followed by Tukey’s honest significant difference post hoc test, and the data were represented as a mean ± standard error of the mean values (for each group: n = 6).
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Results

Elevated plus maze test
Treatment with aripiprazole resulted in a significant increase in parameters such as %OAT, %OAE, and %OA, whereas CAT and CAE were decreased when compared against the control group. Similar results were observed with hydroxyzine-treated group when compared against control group. Treatment with the combination of aripiprazole and hydroxyzine resulted in a significant increase in parameters such as %OAT, %OAE, and %OA, whereas CAT and CAE were decreased when compared separately against control and aripiprazole-treated groups [Figure 1a-f]. Furthermore, the comparison of combined treated group against hydroxyzine-treated group showed a significant decrease in parameters such as %OAT, %OAE, %OA, and CAE and increase in CAT [Figure 1a-f].

Light and dark transition test
The parameters such as time spent in light compartment and percentage time spent in light compartment were significantly increased with aripiprazole-treated group than the control group. Same group also showed a significant decrease in time spent in dark compartment and percentage time spent in dark compartment than the control group. Similar results were observed with hydroxyzine-treated group when compared against the control group [Figure 2a-d]. Treatment with the combination of aripiprazole and hydroxyzine resulted in a significant increase in time spent in light compartment, percentage time spent in light compartment compared to aripiprazole-treated group. Combination treatment also resulted in decrease in time spent in dark compartment and percentage time spent in dark compartment, as compared to aripiprazole-treated group [Figure 2a-d]. Furthermore, the separate comparison of combination-treated group against hydroxyzine-treated group showed a significant increase in time spent in dark compartment and percentage time spent in dark compartment and decrease in time spent in light compartment, percentage time spent in light compartment [Figure 2a-d].

Open field test
All drug-treated groups which also include combination-treated group showed a significant increase in time spent in center square, center square entries, and line crossing when compared against the control group [Figure 3a-c]. Separate comparison of combination-treated group against aripiprazole- and hydroxyzine-treated groups showed a significant decrease in time spent in center square, center square entries, and line crossings [Figure 3a-c].

Novelty suppressed feeding
All drug-treated groups which also include combination-treated group showed a significant decrease in the time taken by the mice to approach and eat the food pellet as compared to control group [Figure 4]. Aripiprazole plus hydroxyzine-treated group showed a significant increase in the time taken by the mice to approach and eat the food pellet when compared against aripiprazole- and hydroxyzine-treated groups separately [Figure 4].

Figure 1: (a) Elevated plus maze. Time spent on open arm. Significant differences are denoted by ***P<0.001 as compared against control group, *P<0.05 as compared against aripiprazole-treated group, #P<0.001 as compared against hydroxyzine-treated group. (b) Elevated plus maze. Percentage time spent on open arm. Significant differences are denoted by ***P<0.001, *P<0.01 as compared against control group, #P<0.001 as compared against hydroxyzine-treated group. (c) Elevated plus maze. Time spent on closed arm. Significant differences are denoted by **P<0.01, *P<0.05 as compared against control group. (d) Elevated plus maze. Number of entries in open arm. Significant differences are denoted by ***P<0.001, **P<0.01 as compared against control group. (e) Elevated plus maze. Percentage entries in open arm. Significant differences are denoted by ***P<0.001 as compared against control group, #P<0.05 as compared against aripiprazole-treated group. (f) Elevated plus maze. Number of entries in closed arm. Significant differences are denoted by ***P<0.001, *P<0.05 as compared against control group.
Marble burying test
All drug-treated groups which also include combination-treated group showed a significant decrease in the number of marbles buried by the mice up to two-thirds of the depth when compared against the control group [Figure 5]. Group receiving combination of aripiprazole and hydroxyzine-treatment showed a significant increase in the number of marbles buried than the hydroxyzine-treated group [Figure 5].
Estimation of brain monoamine (dopamine and serotonin)

Dopamine estimation

All drug-treated groups which also include combination-treated group showed a significant increase in the levels of dopamine in different brain regions (hippocampi, cerebral cortices, and whole brain) than the control group [Figure 6a]. Comparison of group treated with the combination of aripiprazole (0.5 mg/kg) and hydroxyzine (1.5 mg/kg) against hydroxyzine (3 mg/kg)-treated group showed a significant increase in dopamine levels [Figure 6a].

Serotonin estimation

All drug-treated groups which also include combination-treated group showed a significant increase in the levels of serotonin in different brain regions (hippocampi, cerebral cortices, and whole brain) than the control group [Figure 6b]. Group treated with the combination of aripiprazole (0.5 mg/kg) and hydroxyzine (1.5 mg/kg) showed a significant increase in the levels of serotonin in hippocampi, cerebral cortices, and whole brain when compared against “aripiprazole alone” treated group (1 mg/kg) and significant decrease in serotonin levels when compared against “hydroxyzine alone” treated group (3 mg/kg) [Figure 6b].

Discussion

The in vivo results of the present study indicate that combination of aripiprazole and hydroxyzine has better anxiolytic effect as compared to aripiprazole monotherapy. In EPM, the preference of animals of a closed arm (safe and comfortable environment) over open spaces (a risky environment) helps in determining anxiety levels. An increase in time spent and entries in open arm represents anxiolytic behavior. In light and dark transition test, the tendency of animal to explore is reflected by time spent illuminated white compartment and initial tendency to avoid the unfamiliar is reflected by time spent darkened black compartment helps in analyzing anxiety levels. The natural tendency of rodents to spend more time in the corners and the periphery than in the center (the most anxiogenic area) particularly reflecting explore and avoidance reaction to protect itself in the open field test. Qualitative and quantitative measures of general locomotor activity and willingness to explore were the key parameters which reflect anxiolytic behavior. In novelty suppressed feeding test, the ability of an animal to resolve a conflict between a context that induces heightened anxiety and a drive to approach an appetitive stimulus represents anxiolytic behavior. Marble burying test is used to assess the exploratory as well as the defensive behavior of the animal reflecting the state of anxiety and to examine if acute immobilization stress leads to enhanced anxiety-like behavior. The monotherapy of aripiprazole and hydroxyzine has shown a considerable anxiolytic effect in different preclinical studies. The present study outcomes of aripiprazole monotherapy and hydroxyzine monotherapy are in line with the available reports. The combination-treated group showed benefits in terms of exploratory activity in EPM, light and dark transition test, and marble burying test as compared to control group and aripiprazole-treated group, however anxiolytic effect was not better than hydroxyzine treatment in open field test and novelty suppressed feeding test.

It is reported that aripiprazole increases dopamine levels in prefrontal cortex and hippocampus but does not produce significant changes in the levels of serotonin. The aripiprazole-induced elevation in brain monoamines is in line with the available reports. It is evident that H1 receptor blockers play a vital role in anxiety-like behavior. H1 receptor blockers are known to increase the level of serotonin and no effect on dopamine level in the brain. The results of hydroxyzine treatment-induced brain monoamine changes observed in the present study are also in agreement with the in-house finding reports. All drug-treated groups showed benefits in terms of dopamine and serotonin levels in hippocampi, cerebral cortices, and whole brains, as compared to control group. The brain monoamine elevation profile with combination treatment was better than aripiprazole treatment; however, similar benefits were absent when compared against hydroxyzine treatment. The in vitro and in vivo results indicate that combination of aripiprazole and hydroxyzine shows a considerable anxiolytic effect in vitro has shown a considerable anxiolytic effect.
hydroxyzine has better anxiolytic activity than aripiprazole monotherapy only and not against hydroxyzine monotherapy.

The different mechanism of actions and site of action of aripiprazole and hydroxyzine might have helped in achieving improved brain monoamine profile in hippocampi, cerebral cortices, and whole brain than the aripiprazole monotherapy. Aripiprazole belongs to the class of phenylpiperazinines. The hepatic enzymes CYP2D6 and CYP3A4 play a vital role in its metabolism. The only known active metabolite of aripiprazole is dehydro-aripiprazole, which typically accumulates to approximately 40% of the aripiprazole concentration. Hydroxyzine also gets metabolized in liver by the CYP2D6 enzyme. Therefore, the chances of drug–drug interaction are high. In addition, the unavailability of related pharmacokinetic reports limits the further understanding of related drug–drug interactions.

**Conclusions**

The combination of aripiprazole and hydroxyzine at half of their monotherapy doses was beneficial in the treatment of anxiety as compared to aripiprazole monotherapy. However, combination approach was not better than the hydroxyzine monotherapy. The present study findings may assist clinicians in deciding the treatment approach; however, these findings need further assessment in different preclinical or clinical conditions.
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