The Impact of COVID-19 on the Optimal Management of Osteoporosis
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Osteoporosis does not take a break while Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) stunned and overtook everyone's lives. Medical resources were immediately shifted, self-isolation and telemedicine were expanded, ambulatory care services such as bone densitometry and osteoporosis-centered clinics came to a near halt. Progress with fracture prevention has been challenged because osteoporotic fracture with low energy injury is more prevalent even though restriction of people's movement. Thus we must re-engage with chronic bone health concerns and fracture prevention. This review discusses challenges in management of osteoporosis during the COVID-19 pandemic and reinforces the need to implementing recommendations concerning the importance of bone fragility care with at least those patients who are already treated with antiosteoporotic drugs maintaining their adherence to treatments.
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INTRODUCTION

Osteoporotic fracture (OF) represents a public health issue affecting 30% of women and 20% of men aged >50 years, and staying fracture-free is critical for anyone with osteoporosis, now more than ever.[1] Its consequences include short and long-term morbidities such as pain, limitation of function, decreased health-related quality of life, and increased mortality, so prevention of OF ultimately lead to reduction in morbidity and mortality.[2-4] However, the global Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic has greatly affected the prevention and care of osteoporosis, one of the most common chronic diseases.[5-7] Healthcare systems are over-stretched, with general recommendations urging people to avoid hospitals and doctor's offices unless necessary.[3,8]

Despite a massive drop in medical care use, OFs continue to be a major burden on morbidity as well as the social-economic burden and decrease the quality of life.[9] Compared to admissions in persons older than 60 (excluding those related to COVID-19) declined 40%, admissions for fragility fractures declined only 15%, and hip fractures (HFs; age >60) declined just 10% in the same time period of the previous year.[10,11] As part of that, International Osteoporosis Foundation (IOF) has recently developed various educational resources and information to assist healthcare professionals, patient societies, and patients in adapting to the chal-
Challenges related to assessment, treatment and lifestyle prevention for osteoporosis.[12] COVID-19 is still a main worldwide public health threat and a “second wave” which could occur through the winter months of 2021.[13]

Herein, we discuss challenges and strategies in the management of osteoporosis and fragility fracture care during COVID-19 pandemic.

TREND OF OSTEOPOROTIC FRACTURE IN COVID-19 PANDEMIC

Many countries implemented a lockdown to control the spread of the COVID-19 pandemic. The epidemiological characteristics of traumatic fractures amid the epidemic changes dramatically, but it is unknown whether the restriction of outdoor movements (lockdown) may affect the incidence of osteoporotic fragility fractures by potentially reducing the number of outdoor falls and subsequent fractures among older adults.

Vertebral fractures (VFs) are clinically meaningful because impaired pulmonary function is associated with spine deformities, so a potential outcome predictor of COVID-19 infection may be the presence of VF.[14,15] One study reported that the prevalence of VF was much higher as compared with epidemiological data reported in previous studies on general populations.[16] Thus, it is suggested that morphometric vertebral evaluation should be performed in all suspected COVID-19 patients undergoing chest X-rays.[16] The average number of attending for osteoporotic VF did not decline during a lockdown, although older people may decide not to attend the fracture clinic for fear contracting COVID-19 in a hospital environment.[9]

With elderly people cocooning, going outside less, and minimising a lot of their activities both inside and outside, one would expect there to be less HF presentations during the “lockdown” isolation period for COVID-19. There is a study that comparing number of HF with a similar time period in 2019, and there was a 20% reduction in HF presentations.[10] This does appear to be consistent with other jurisdictions, which have noted a 32% reduction in trauma presentations during the COVID-19 pandemic.[17] However, HF is still a major burden, one study showed that HF were the most common type of OF in the epidemic patient group, accounting for 68.4%, followed by thoracolumbar VF (17.0%) and similar observations have been made internationally.[10,17] Moreover the combination of osteoporotic HF and COVID-19 is associated with very poor outcomes. A positive COVID-19 test was associated with a significantly increased risk of 30-day mortality (from 8.5% in April 2019 to 18.2% in April 2020) and even up to 80% for those patients who test positive for COVID-19 as an inpatient.[17,18] In that sense, it is notable that redeployment of secondary prevention of osteoporotic HF significantly improve management of bone health compared to the post lockdown period and was comparable to the prelockdown data.[19]

THE RISK OF OSTEOPOROTIC FRACTURE IN COVID-19 PANDEMIC

Although recent advances in osteoporosis management have brought better opportunities to increase bone density and reduce the risk of fractures, it is likely to increase the risk of OF after the COVID-19 pandemic by straining the healthcare system in an emergency and discontinuation appropriate treatment for patients with chronic diseases.[2,11]

Access to osteoporosis treatments often require direct medical contact, so it can be delayed or missed, especially in the case of intravenous or subcutaneous antiresorptives.[20] This leads to increased risk of further fracture particularly and case series suggest that the risk of rebound increase in bone turnover and spontaneous VFs begins approximately 8 months following the last dose of denosumab.[21] The detrimental effect of the pandemic on osteoporosis is not only confined to a reduction in medical usage but risk assessment. The usages of the fracture risk assessment tool (FRAX) website for the periods of 2020 decreased, averaging 58% and ranging up to 96%, compared to the year of 2019.[22] Also, several fracture liaison services have been disrupted, compromising secondary fracture prevention.[23,24]

Many facilities are dedicated to the COVID-19 crisis, and elective radiology including dual energy X-ray absorptiometry may be severely limited. In addition hospitalized COVID-19 patients deserve special care because they have multiple predisposing factors to OF such as high levels of inflammatory cytokines, several comorbidities, and glucocorticoid therapy.[5,25] It is advisable to determine the absolute risk of fracture of each patient, particularly including...
selected laboratory tests and assess the factors associated with fracture susceptible to modification.[8,26] The exact impact of the extended pandemic on the risk of future OF is still is unknown, but it is essential to maintain the importance of osteoporosis care despite emergency management during the COVID-19 pandemic.[5,22]

**STRATEGIES IN NONPHARMACOLOGICAL TREATMENT**

Management of patients with osteoporosis usually includes both nonpharmacologic and pharmacologic treatment. Among various nonpharmacologic treatments for osteoporosis, weight-bearing exercise is essential for improving strength and balance, which may reduce the risk of falls and fractures.[27-29] However, patients can hardly access local gyms or exercise programs because of the social distancing during the pandemic. Therefore, patients should be advised on simple weight-bearing exercise routines that can be performed at home.[4,30] Patients can be directed to online resources, such as the IOF (https://www.iofbonehealth.org/exercise), the National Osteoporosis Foundation (https://www.nof.org/patients/treatment/exercisesafe-movement/osteoporosis-exercise-for-strong-bones/), and the American Society of Bone and Mineral Research COVID-19 Resources (https://www.asbmr.org/publications/asbmr-covid-19-resources-and-information). For Korean patients, there are online resources of light and simple weight-bearing exercise tutorials in the Korean language produced by the Korean Society of Bone and Mineral Research (https://vimeo.com/244299068/86a2fd65b3). In practice, treatment adherence should be routinely emphasized to the patients, especially in the pandemic that patients are reluctant or inaccessible to clinics. Emphasizing treatment adherence is becoming critical not only for successful treatment but also for the safety of specific treatments, as discussed in the next section.

**STRATEGIES IN PHARMACOLOGICAL TREATMENT**

1. **Bisphosphonates**

   Especially in individuals at high risk of COVID-19 infection, the risk of an acute-phase reaction should be carefully discussed with patients before using bisphosphonates, which can mimic the signs of COVID-19 infection.[4,31,32] In treatment-naive patients, the risk of acute-phase reaction with fever and myalgia is substantial, affecting up to 50% of these patients.[33-35] Several attempts to reduce the risk of the acute-phase reaction have been tried but demonstrated variable success.[36-38]

   On the other hand, if patients are already under the treatment of bisphosphonates and cannot visit clinics on schedule, they may safely delay the treatment for several months. Unlike denosumab, bone turnover markers gradually return to the baseline after bisphosphonate discontinuation, and bone mineral density (BMD) maintains or slowly decreases over the years.[39,40] Also, the protective effect on fracture persisted and showed no difference compared to persistent users.[41] The phenomenon is due to the high binding affinity of bisphosphonates to hydroxyapatite, which maintains the antiresorptive effect.[42]

2. **Denosumab**

   Patients under denosumab treatment should continue their treatment in a 6-monthly interval, and self-injections can be considered in unavoidable circumstances, likewise in the pandemic.[30,31] In patients who are unable to continue denosumab within 7 months of the last injection, a temporary transition to oral bisphosphonates is strongly recommended.[4,30,31] There is growing evidence that denosumab cessation without following bisphosphonates can cause a rapid bone loss with a rebound surge of bone resorption.[43,44] Additionally, it leads to an increased risk of multiple compression fractures, which mainly occurred after 7 months of the last injection of denosumab.[45,46] The ideal regimen of bisphosphonates to prevent rebound bone loss after denosumab discontinuation is currently being studied in several trials.[47-49] Alendronate as the following treatment has relatively consistent evidence to protect from rebound bone loss,[47,48] while zoledronate has been shown to be less effective in maintaining BMD, notably when the duration of denosumab treatment exceeds 2 years.[50,51] Other antiresorptives, such as selective estrogen receptor modulators, may prevent bone loss after denosumab discontinuation, needing further large-sized studies.[52]

3. **Parathyroid hormone analogues**

   Patients currently on teriparatide are recommended to...
continue their planned therapy, but the treatment schedule can be delayed for up to 3 months in unavoidable circumstances.[4,31] If the delay is likely to be longer than 3 months, transition to bisphosphonates can be considered. Although there is a lack of evidence of increased fracture risk, BMD slowly decreases following teriparatide cessation.[53] Therefore, to maintain the beneficial anti-fracture effects, it is recommended to continue antiresorptive agents following the treatment discontinuation, which leads to further BMD gain in previous studies.[54-57] On the other hand, cyclic teriparatide treatment (3 months of treatment followed by 3 months off) showed a similar increase in BMD compared to daily treatment, which implies discontinuation of teriparatide within 3 months is unlikely to cause harm to patients.[58]

4. Romosozumab

For patients under treatment with romosozumab, treatment should not be delayed for more than 2 to 3 months as far as possible. In such circumstances, a transition to bisphosphonates or denosumab can be considered.[31] Also, for patients who are uncertain to visit clinics monthly, initiation of romosozumab should be reconsidered, and bisphosphonates is an alternative option. Not as abrupt as denosumab, but similarly, a rapid bone loss was observed after romosozumab discontinuation, if not followed by antiresorptive agents.[59-61] While there was no evidence of increased fracture risk, bone resorption markers were elevated within 3 months of discontinuation.[59] Switching treatment from romosozumab to alendronate or denosumab has been reported to increase BMD continuously.[60,61] The Pharmacologic strategies of osteoporosis during COVID-19 were summarized in Table 1.

| Drugs                      | Strategies                                                                 |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Bisphosphonates            | - Patients under the treatment of intravenous bisphosphonates who cannot visit clinics on schedule may safely delay the treatment for several months. |
|                            | - Intravenous bisphosphonates are empirically recommended to have 4 to 7 days interval between bisphosphonates and vaccine due to the possibility of acute phase reaction. |
|                            | - Oral bisphosphonates do not need to be discontinued during vaccination.   |
| Denosumab                  | - Patients under denosumab treatment should continue their treatment in a 6-monthly interval. Self-injections or a temporary transition to oral bisphosphonates can be considered if they cannot visit within 7 months of the last injection. |
|                            | - Since denosumab can cause injection site reactions, injections are recommended be administered at contralateral arms or different sites, or with 4 to 7 days of interval. |
| Parathyroid hormone analogues | - Patients currently on teriparatide are recommended to continue their planned therapy, but the treatment schedule can be delayed for up to 3 months. |
|                            | - If the delay is likely to be longer than 3 months, transition to bisphosphonates can be considered. |
|                            | - Teriparatide and abaloparatide can be continued during vaccination.       |
| Romosozumab                | - For patients under treatment with romosozumab, treatment should not be delayed for more than 2 to 3 months as far as possible. In such circumstances, a transition to bisphosphonates or self-injection of denosumab can be considered. |
|                            | - Romosozumab injections are recommended be administered with 4 to 7 days of interval to vaccination, or at alternative sites such as abdomen or thigh. |

**Strategies in Vaccination**

Recently, multiple vaccines for COVID-19 have been introduced and are rapidly distributed worldwide. Concerns have been raised about the potential interactions of vaccines with osteoporotic drugs. For intravenous bisphosphonates, while there is no evidence to suggest the concurrent administration of vaccine and bisphosphonates alters each other’s efficacy, it is empirically recommended to have 4 to 7 days interval between bisphosphonates and vaccine due to the possibility of acute-phase reaction.[62] Also, if patients who received intravenous bisphosphonates have fever or myalgia over 3 days, evaluation for COVID-19 infection should be considered since the acute phase reaction of bisphosphonates rarely extends over 3 days.[63] Oral bisphosphonates do not need to be discontinued during vaccination. In terms of denosumab, there is no evidence that denosumab was associated with risk of respiratory infections in a recent meta-analysis.[64] However, since denosumab can cause injection site dermatitis or eczema,[65] injections
are recommended to be administered at contralateral arms or different sites, or with 4 to 7 days of interval.[62] Teriparatide and abaloparatide may also induce local injection site reactions but usually do not cause confusion because the injection sites are different from those of vaccines.[66] Therefore, teriparatide and abaloparatide can be continued during vaccination. Since Romosozumab also can cause injection site reactions at the upper arms,[67] the reactions can be indistinguishable to those of vaccines. Therefore, similar to denosumab, injections are recommended to be administered with 4 to 7 days of interval or at alternative sites such as abdomen or thigh.[62]

CONCLUSION

In the review, challenges and strategies in management of osteoporosis and fragility fracture care during COVID-19 pandemic were discussed. In these unprecedented circumstances, medical usage of risk assessment, treatment, and fracture liaison services was significantly disrupted, which can impact an increased risk of fractures especially in fragile elderly patients. For their bone health, strategies include maintaining physical activity and strict adherence to the certain osteoporosis medication, especially denosumab and romosozumab. Parathyroid hormone analogues and bisphosphonates can be safely delayed within few months. The vaccination should be prioritized over osteoporosis treatments, and intervals of 4 to 7 days can be considered between the vaccination and certain osteoporosis drugs, such as intravenous bisphosphonates, denosumab, and romosozumab. Hopefully the review may help clinicians as practical guidance in the care of bone health of our patients in the setting of this widespread pandemic.
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