Prediction of callus and ulcer development in patients with diabetic peripheral neuropathy by isosceles triangle-forming tuning fork
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Abstract
Objectives: Tuning fork vibration sensation testing is widely used as a diagnostic test to detect diabetic neuropathy. However, evidence-based literature indicates that reliability between examiners is low. Attaching isosceles triangle diagrams on tuning forks lowers the discrepancy between examiners. This study aimed to analyze the relationship between vibration sensation measurement using an improved tuning fork and the presence of callus and wound development in patients with diabetic peripheral neuropathy.

Methods: Participants included 56 general older adults and 52 patients with diabetic peripheral neuropathy. The methods included confirmation of the presence or absence of callus, range of motion of the ankle and the first metatarsophalangeal joint, vibratory sensitivity of the medial malleolus and the dorsal aspect of the first distal phalanx using an improved tuning fork, and touch-pressure sensitivity of the plantar aspect of the hallux. Patients with diabetic peripheral neuropathy were followed up for 3 years to check for the presence or absence of wounds.

Results: When compared with the general older adults, the patients with diabetic peripheral neuropathy had significantly lower touch-pressure sensitivity (p < 0.01), vibratory sensitivity at the distal phalanx (p < 0.01) and medial malleolus (p < 0.01), ankle dorsiflexion range of motion (p < 0.01), and metatarsophalangeal joint extension range of motion (p < 0.01). The area under the receiver operating characteristic curve with callus formation was 0.93 for the medial malleolus and 0.96 for the distal phalanx, indicating that the accuracy of the distal phalanx was higher (p < 0.01) than the medial malleolus. According to the Cox proportional hazard analysis, the vibratory sensitivity of the distal phalanx was a significant risk factor for ulcer development (p < 0.05).

Conclusion: These findings suggest that the vibration sensation test, which we improved via the technique described in this study, is useful for predicting the occurrence of callus and ulcer.
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Introduction
The national healthcare expenditure in Japan is reported to have exceeded 43 trillion yen in 2018 and is expected to increase in the future. The medical cost of lower extremity wounds is expected to increase due to the increase in the number of patients with diabetes and chronic dialysis. In addition, there are many patients whose lower extremity wounds lead to amputation, and it has been reported that one limb is amputated every 30 s worldwide. Therefore, prevention, early detection, and early intervention of lower extremity wounds, including diabetic foot lesions, are important.
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Several factors have been reported to contribute to the development of lower extremity wounds. These include decreased visual acuity, limited range of motion (ROM), shoe incompatibility, edema, and decreased somatosensory perception. In a multivariate meta-analysis review of factors predicting lower extremity wounds, the important clinical predictors were age, sex, duration of diabetes, vibration perception threshold, monofilament, pulse rate, ankle-brachial index, peak plantar pressure, and foot deformity. Diabetic foot lesions remain a medical, social, and economic problem in many countries, with approximately 25% of the patients with diabetes developing lower extremity wounds during their lifetime and up to 2% requiring amputations. In Western countries, diabetes is the leading cause of non-traumatic amputations, with an amputation rate approximately 15 times higher than that of non-diabetic patients. However, approximately 85% of amputations due to diabetic foot lesions can be prevented with proper care and education.

According to epidemiological data on neuropathy, approximately 50% of the cases of diabetic foot lesions are due to neuropathy, 15% are due to peripheral arterial occlusive disease, and the remaining 35% are due to a combination of neuropathy and vascular disease. Therefore, it is important to understand the extent of diabetic peripheral neuropathy (DPN), as it is the cause of many lower extremity wounds. Furthermore, it is important to determine the presence or absence of DPN, which can cause a variety of ability impairments, such as loss of gait balance, in addition to diabetic foot lesions.

Useful tests for neurological findings indicative of diabetic neuropathy include the Achilles tendon reflex, vibration perception by tuning fork, and touch-pressure sensation by monofilament. In addition, the monofilament test, the vibratory sensation test with a 128-Hz tuning fork, and the Ipswich Touch Test are recommended for determining the loss of protective sensation among the evaluation items. The vibratory sensation test with a 128-Hz tuning fork correlates with a biothesiometer and baroreflex. In addition, Oy et al. reported that the vibration test with a tuning fork detected decreased measurements in patients with DPN while monofilament test failed to detect the decrease. High specificity and reliability of the tuning fork test was reported during DPN screening, while its simplicity and availability are known in the clinical setting. However, results by tuning fork are semi-quantitative due to the difficulty in performing in a reproducible manner; hence, a simpler, more quantitative test is needed. In fact, the typical method of measuring vibration perception using a 128-Hz tuning fork has low inter-inspector reliability due to the variation in vibration intensity depending on the striking strength. However, it is possible to perform vibration perception tests with high inter-inspector reliability by attaching an isosceles triangle diagram to the tuning fork. Using this improved method, we reported an association between a decrease in vibration perception and the prediction of falls. Problems with loss of vibration are reportedly common in patients with foot ulcers; however, the direct relationship is still unclear. Therefore, whether our modified tuning fork vibration test is also useful in predicting callus and ulcer formations of diabetic neuropathy should be clarified. We hypothesized that our modified vibration test, which shows higher inter-examiner reliability, would have a high relationship with callus and wound formation in the lower extremity.

Therefore, the purpose of this study was to determine the usefulness of the vibratory sensation test using our modified tuning fork in predicting calluses and lower extremity wound development. First, we analyzed the area under the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve with callus formation in the vibration sensation test on the medial malleolus and first distal phalanx in community-dwelling older adults and patients with DPN to clarify the valid method to detect the callus formation in the patient with DPN. Second, a multivariable analysis was conducted to find the independent relationship of the vibration sensation test with the callus formation. Moreover, we performed a prospective cohort study in patients with DPN to determine the relationship of the modified vibratory sensation test with the occurrence of lower extremity wounds in a multivariable analysis.

**Methods**

**Subjects**

Participants included 56 older adults without DPN (26 males and 30 females, 74.1 ± 4.3 years old) and 52 patients with DPN (21 males and 31 females, (mean value ± standard deviation (SD)) 74.1 ± 2.8 years old, 11.9 ± 3.1 years history of diabetes mellitus (DM)). Patients with DPN were defined as those diagnosed with DPN by a physician using the diagnostic criteria for diabetic polyneuropathy from the Japanese Study Group on Diabetic Neuropathy (Supplemental file 1). Exclusion criteria were dementia, central neuropathy, and peripheral neuropathy not caused by DPN. All patients with DPN were diagnosed with type 2 diabetes under glycemic control with oral medications with or without insulin injections. The data of glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) in the patients with DPN were 8.3 ± 1.5%.

**Ethical considerations**

The purpose of this study was fully explained to the participants, and informed written consent was obtained. There were ample decision capacity, documentation of consent, and disclosure. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Naragakuen University (approval no. 3-009).

**Examination method**

Physical examinations were performed to assess the presence or absence of calluses, ROM of the ankle dorsiflexion
and the first metatarsophalangeal (MTP) extension, vibratory sensitivity of the medial malleolus and dorsal aspect of the first distal phalanx, and touch-pressure sensitivity of the plantar aspect of the hallux.

Presence of callus. The presence or absence of calluses was assessed by observing the plantar surface of the foot in a supine position. To determine the location of the calluses, the plantar surface was divided into six regions. First, the plantar was divided into three major regions: the rearfoot (27% of the foot length), the midfoot (28% of the foot length), and the forefoot and toes (45% of the foot length). The forefoot was subdivided in width into the medial forefoot (55% of forefoot width) and the lateral forefoot (45% of forefoot width). The forefoot was also subdivided lengthwise into the hallux (final 20% of forefoot length and 33% of forefoot width) and the toe (final 20% of forefoot length and 67% of forefoot width). If two calluses were present, both were described.

Touch-pressure test. The touch-pressure test was performed at the hallux in a supine position using a Semmes-Weinstein Monofilament (SWM) level of 5.07 (10 g). Since the tests at other foot sites showed statistically similar results as at the hallux (Supplemental file 2), we adopted the hallux alone as the test site to avoid multicollinearity in multivariable analyses.

ROM test. ROM of the ankle dorsiflexion and the first MTP extension were measured using a goniometer in increments of 5°. The measurement was performed in a supine position with the knee extended to simulate the motion during walking.

Vibration sensation test. The vibration sensation test was conducted in a supine position using a tuning fork (128 Hz; Nichion, Funabashi, Japan). Ten seconds are generally considered the standard time for the vibration test, as it is one of the simple diagnostic criteria for DPN. However, testing with a tuning fork has some issues, such as variations in the strength of the tapping and the time between the tapping and the initiation of measurement. In addition, there is a lack of uniformity in which part of the body is measured, such as the medial malleolus or toes. Thus, an isosceles triangle was attached to the tuning fork, and improvements were made to keep the intensity of the vibration constant (Figure 1). The modified tuning fork forms an isosceles triangle that gradually becomes larger in the center as the vibration becomes smaller. The measurement was started when the vertex of the isosceles triangle formed by the afterimage reached the third horizontal line from the bottom, and the vibration sensing time was measured with a digital stopwatch.
method were better than those of the conventional method. The measurement was performed at the medial malleolus and dorsal aspect of the first distal phalanx of both feet. The final result of each measurement site was recorded as the mean of two readings.

**Extraction of factors involved in callus formation in patients with DPN**

Variables such as age, sex, body mass index (BMI), history of DM, touch-pressure sensation at the hallux, vibration sensation of the medial malleolus and the first distal phalanx, and ROM of the ankle dorsiflexion and the first MTP extension were entered as explanatory variables, and factors significantly related to the presence or absence of callus and the target variable were extracted by a stepwise method using logistic regression modeling analysis.

**Effect of vibration sensation of the first distal phalanx on wound development in patients with DPN**

For patients with DPN, the foot conditions were assessed every month after the examination was conducted and followed up for 3 years to determine if there was any wound development. For the analysis, we used the forced imputation method in a Cox proportional hazards analysis with ulcer occurrence as the objective variable and the factors involved in callus formation, including vibration sensation at the first distal phalanx and the presence of calluses, which is a major cause of ulcer occurrence, as explanatory variables.

**Statistical analyses**

Statistical analyses were performed with EZR. The χ² test was used to compare sex, the presence of callus, and the touch-pressure sensation between the general older adults and the patients with DPN. An unpaired Student’s t-test was performed to compare the results of the ROMs, touch-pressure sensation, and vibration sensing time between the two groups. For the comparison of the measurement sites of the vibration sensation test in the callus formation, the ROC curve was drawn with the presence or absence of the callus as the objective variable and the vibration sensing time of the medial malleolus and the first distal phalanx as the explanatory variable, using the area under the curve. The factors involved in callus formation in patients with DPN were extracted using logistic regression analysis. In addition, a Cox proportional hazards analysis was performed on the occurrence of lower extremity wounds in patients with DPN, with the factors involved in callus formation and the presence of calluses as explanatory variables. All statistical significance levels were set at p < 0.05.

**Results**

**Comparison of general older adults and patients with DPN**

Callus was detected in 8 out of 56 general older adults (14%; two calluses were found on the hallux, five on the medial foot, and one on the lateral foot) and 27 of 52 patients with DPN (51.9%; eight calluses on the hallux, two on the toe, fourteen on the medial foot, seven on the lateral foot, and five on the rearfoot), and the callus was observed more frequently among patients with DPN (p < 0.01) (Table 1).

ROM of the ankle dorsiflexion was 15.5 ± 3.5 and 12.3 ± 6.3 degrees for the general older adults and the patients with DPN, respectively. ROM of the first MTP extension was 46.9 ± 5.3 and 35.1 ± 11.4 degrees for the general older adults and the patients with DPN, respectively. In both measurement sites, the patients with DPN displayed significantly lower ROMs than the general older adults.

In the SWM test, 49 out of 56 general older adults and 23 out of 52 patients with DPN perceived a 5.07 monofilament, respectively. Thus, the touch-pressure sensation in patients with DPN was significantly lower than that in general older adults.

| Table 1. Comparison in characteristics between general older adults and patients with DPN. |
| --- |
| Variables | General older adults (n = 56) | Patients with DPN (n = 52) | p-value |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Sex (male/female) | 26/30 | 21/31 | NS |
| Age (years) | 74.1 ± 4.4 | 74.4 ± 3.5 | NS |
| Height (cm) | 154.6 ± 9.1 | 153.7 ± 8.0 | NS |
| Weight (kg) | 55.7 ± 8.5 | 58.0 ± 9.7 | NS |
| BMI | 23.3 ± 3.0 | 24.5 ± 3.6 | NS |
| DM morbidity (years) | – | 11.9 ± 3.1 | – |
| Callus (present/absent) | 8/48 | 27/25 | <0.01 |
| Touch-pressure sensitivity | 7/49 | 23/29 | <0.01 |
| Vibration sensitivity on medial malleolus | 8.0 ± 1.5 | 4.9 ± 1.4 | <0.01 |
| Vibration sensitivity on the first distal phalanx | 8.4 ± 1.5 | 3.8 ± 1.8 | <0.01 |
| ROM of the ankle dorsiflexion | 15.5 ± 3.5 | 12.3 ± 6.3 | <0.01 |
| ROM of the first MTP extension | 46.9 ± 5.3 | 35.1 ± 11.4 | <0.01 |

BMI: body mass index; ROM: range of motion; MTP: metatarsophalangeal; NS: not significant.
The difference in sex, presence or absence of callus, and touch-pressure sensitivity was analyzed using the χ² test. The difference in age, height, weight, BMI, vibration sensation (the medial malleolus), vibration sensation (the first distal phalanx), ROM (the ankle dorsiflexion), and ROM (the first MTP extension) was analyzed with unpaired Student’s t-test.

### Comparison of the test sites of the vibration perception test in the callus formation

The vibration sensing time of the medial malleolus was 8.0 ± 1.5 s and 4.9 ± 1.4 s for the general older adults and the patients with DPN, respectively (Tables 2 and 3 and Figures 2 and 3). The vibration sensing time of the first distal phalanx was 8.4 ± 1.5 s and 3.8 ± 1.8 s for the general older adults and the patients with DPN, respectively. The vibration sensing time of both sites was significantly shorter in the patients with DPN than in the general older adults, and the vibration sensing time was significantly shorter at the first distal phalanx than at the medial malleolus in the patients with DPN.

The area under the ROC curve with callus formation was 0.93 for the medial malleolus and 0.96 for the first distal phalanx. The cutoff values were 6.6 s for the medial malleolus (sensitivity = 78.6%; specificity = 88.5%) and 6.3 s for the first distal phalanx (sensitivity = 87.5%; specificity = 94.2%).

**Table 2.** Comparison of vibration sensation on the medial malleolus and the first distal phalanx between the general older adults and the patients with DPN.

| Variables          | Vibration sensitivity on the medial malleolus | Vibration sensitivity on the first distal phalanx | p-value |
|--------------------|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------|
| General older adults | 8.0 ± 1.5                                   | 8.4 ± 1.5                                    | NS      |
| Patients with DPN  | 4.9 ± 1.4*                                  | 3.8 ± 1.8*                                   | <0.01   |

DPN: diabetic peripheral neuropathy; NS: not significant.

* p < 0.01 versus general older adults.

**Table 3.** Comparison of vibration sensation between the medial malleolus and the first distal phalanx using ROC curve.

| Variables                              | Area under the curve | Cutoff value | Sensitivity | Specificity | p-value |
|----------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|---------|
| Vibration sensitivity on the medial malleolus | 0.93                 | 6.6 s        | 78.6%       | 88.5%       | <0.01   |
| Vibration sensitivity on the first distal phalanx | 0.96                 | 6.3 s        | 87.5%       | 94.2%       | <0.01   |

Likelihood-ratio test p < 0.0001.

**Figure 2.** ROC curve of vibration sensation on medial malleolus.

**Figure 3.** ROC curve of vibration sensation on dorsal aspect of the first distal phalanx.

ROC: receiver operating characteristic.
Factors involved in callus formation in the patients with DPN

Factors involved in the formation of callus in the patients with DPN were extracted as the independent factors: length of morbidity history, decreased vibration perception on the first distal phalanx, and BMI (Table 4).

Three-year investigation of lower extremity wounds in the patients with DPN

Forty-nine patients (20 males and 29 females, 74.3 ± 3.5 years old, 11.8 ± 3.0 years history of DM) were available for a 3-year follow-up of the foot condition of the patients with DPN. Seven of the 49 (14%) patients developed lower extremity wounds in the plantar surface of the hallux (three patients), the metatarsal head (MTH) (two patients), and the fifth toe (two patients) (Figure 4). According to the Cox proportional hazards analysis, factors involved in callus formation and the presence of callus were included as explanatory variables. Only vibration sensation on the first distal phalanx was a significant risk factor for the development of lower extremity wounds (Table 5).

Discussion

In this study, we examined whether the previously reported vibratory sensation test using a modified tuning fork could be a predictor of the callus and lower extremity wound formation in patients with DPN. The results showed that (1) the first distal phalanx was found to be more critical than the medial malleolus as a site for measuring vibration sensitivity, which is useful for predicting callus formation; (2) the vibratory sensation test using the modified tuning fork was an independent factor for callus formation; and (3) the test was a stronger predictor of lower extremity wounds than the presence of calluses or other factors involved in callus formation.

Table 4. Independent factors for the presence or absence of callus in the patient with DPN.

| Variables                              | Odds ratio | 95% confidence interval | p-value |
|----------------------------------------|------------|-------------------------|---------|
| Diabetes duration                      | 3.51       | 1.38–8.92               | <0.01   |
| Vibration sensitivity on the first distal phalanx | 0.11       | 0.02–0.54               | <0.01   |
| BMI                                    | 0.52       | 0.31–0.88               | <0.05   |

BMI: body mass index.
Likelihood-ratio test p < 0.001.
First, we compared several measures involved in callus formation, including the vibratory sensation test using our modified tuning fork, in the general older adults and patients with DPN. Previous reports indicate that patients are prone to callus formation due to increased local foot pressure during walking due to decreased ROMs of ankle dorsiflexion and the first MTP extension and increased somatosensory threshold.36–39 In this study, patients with DPN also displayed limited ROMs of the ankle dorsiflexion and the first MTP extension, and increased thresholds of touch-pressure perception and vibration sensation. The prevalence of callus formation was significantly higher in the patients with DPN than in the general older adults (8 of 56 general older adults: 14% vs 27 of 52 patients with DPN: 51.9%; p < 0.01). These results are similar to those reported by Yavuz.40 These results supported the conclusion that patients with DPN in this study had the symptoms of DPN and can be considered the appropriate sample of patients with DPN.

Before the analysis for callus and wound formation, we found a difference in the vibration sensitivity between the first distal phalanx and the medial malleolus. There was no difference in the vibration sensitivity between the two sites in the general population of older adults, but a significantly shorter vibration perception time was observed in the first distal phalanx than in the medial malleolus in the patients with DPN (p < 0.01). In addition, the sensitivity and specificity of callus formation were higher in the test at the first distal phalanx than at the medial malleolus. Since DPN is a distal polyneuropathy,41 vibration sensation thresholds may be elevated at more distal sites in the patients with DPN. From these results, the neurological change could be refracted more clearly at the first distal phalanx than at the medial malleolus in the patients with DPN; therefore, we adopted the site of the first distal phalanx for the following investigation.

From the multivariable analysis for callus formation in this study, diabetes duration, BMI, and vibration sensitivity on the first distal phalanx measured by our modified tuning fork were extracted as risk factors. DPN causes autonomic neuropathy and collagen glycation, which leads to decreased mobility and deformity of the foot.42–44 Patients with a long history of DPN are more likely to develop calluses due to repeated abnormal gait with foot deformity and limited ROM.45 Therefore, callus formation can be affected by various factors, including rigidity. However, this study found that among the above factors, deficient vibration sensation rather than rigidity around the foot was a significant cause for callus formation. These results suggest the importance of considering the independent concepts of neural function as well as disease duration and body thinness, and the predominance of vibration sensitivity as a neural function for the callus formation.

Next, we conducted a prospective cohort study to identify whether a vibratory sensation test using our modified tuning fork could be useful in predicting wound development in patients with DPN. Specifically, we followed the patients with DPN for 3 years to investigate the relationship between lower extremity wounds and the independent factors of callus formation (diabetes duration, BMI, and vibratory sensation on the first distal phalanx measured by the modified tuning fork) or the presence of calluses. Out of 49 patients who were followed up, 7 (14%) were observed to have lower extremity wounds in the plantar surface of the hallux (3 patients), the MTH (2 patients), and the fifth toe (2 patients). Interestingly, the Cox proportional hazards analysis indicated that the vibration sensation test on the dorsal aspect of the first distal phalanx was extracted as an independent risk factor and was a stronger predictor than the presence of callus or other factors involved in callus formation. In this prospective investigation, while 86% of ulcers were developed at the site of callus, the developmental rate of foot ulcer in the patients with callus was only 27%. These results suggest that the callus is a predictive factor of the site of ulcer developments but could not predict ulcer development itself. However, this study found the decrease in vibration sensing time as an independent factor for foot ulcer development, and an additional analysis of ROC curve for ulcer developments indicated the 2.4 s cutoff value (Supplemental file 3). The percentage of patients with ulcer development in the patients with callus and vibration sensing time lower than 2.4 s was 53.8%, which was higher than the ratio in the patients with callus alone. This suggests that vibratory sensation on the first distal phalanx may be one of the most important tests as a predictor of lower extremity wounds and that the vibrotactile sensation test using our modified tuning fork is highly useful. A larger study needs to be conducted to detect a more reliable cutoff value. With respect to the mechanism in the predominance of vibration sensing for ulcer development, the receptor in vibration sensing is Pacinian corpuscles,46 a receptor known for pressure sense on the

| Variables                                      | Hazard ratio | 95% CI          | p-value |
|------------------------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|---------|
| Vibration sensitivity on the first distal phalanx | 0.11         | 0.01–0.84       | <0.05   |
| Diabetes duration                              | 1.11         | 0.66–1.87       | 0.43    |
| BMI                                            | 1.11         | 0.86–1.44       | 0.24    |
| Presence or absence of callus                  | 0.05         | 0.00–6.97       | 0.70    |

BMI: body mass index.
Likelihood-ratio test p < 0.001.
nerve. Although the relationship of this receptor and the foot ulcer development has been unclear, the receptor of deep sensory could be a mediator related to ulcer development. More detailed and pathological investigations are needed to reveal the mechanism.

Cognition is raised to the clinical fact that vibration perception and touch-pressure sensation tests are important in assessing the risk of developing diabetic foot lesions, and it has been reported that the combination of vibration testing using a tuning fork and touch-pressure testing using an SWM provides results that are comparable to those of the International Consensus on the Diabetic Foot. In these reports, the conventional vibration testing method was used. The test using a modified tuning fork, applied in this study using isosceles triangles, is more reliable than the conventional tuning fork test method. This may enable more valid measurements when combined with the touch-pressure test. In fact, the risk factors for diabetic foot lesions are believed to be caused by a combination of several factors. Among these, peripheral neuropathy, foot deformity, trauma, peripheral vascular disease, and peripheral edema are the major causes. Except for trauma, these risk factors do not directly cause lower extremity wounds. According to a 1999 UK–US collaborative study, the most common combination of factors causing lower extremity wounds was peripheral neuropathy, foot deformity, and trauma. Therefore, the measurement of vibration sensation on the dorsal aspect of the first distal phalanx with a modified tuning fork, which was identified as a factor in this study, should also be used in combination with these risk factors.

The limitation of this study is the small sample size in the prospective analysis for wound development. The statistical validities of multivariable analyses of the logistic regression analysis for callus formation and the Cox proportional hazards analysis for ulcer development were supported by the likelihood-ratio test with significant p-value (p < 0.001). However, multivariable analysis generally requires a sample size of 60–80 with 3–4 explanatory variables, and this study has sample sizes of 52 and 49 in the logistic regression analysis and the Cox proportional hazards analysis, respectively. Therefore, the importance of vibration testing on the dorsal aspect of the first distal phalanx requires confirmation with a large-scale study in the future. In addition, the criterion for the diagnosis of DPN in Japan was used, which did not include temperature sensation and acupuncture. The items of exclusion criteria were limited due to the sample number in this study. These limitations are also expected to be addressed in future study.

Conclusion

The results of this study suggest that the vibration sensation test with improved quantification by applying the isosceles triangle to a tuning fork is useful for predicting callus development, and it is also expected to compare the difference between the medial malleolus and the first distal phalanx in patients with DPN. It is also suggested that the vibration test on the first distal phalanx could be a predictor of lower extremity wounds.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank general older adults and patients with DPN who participated in the measurements in this study.

Declaration of conflicting interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Ethical approval

Ethical approval for this study was obtained from the ethics committee of the Naragakuen University (approval no. 3-009).

Funding

The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Informed consent

Written informed consent was obtained from all subjects before the study.

ORCID iDs

Yoshiyuki Yoshikawa https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3318-1816
Noriaki Maeshige https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3573-347X
Mikiko Uemura https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8461-7355
Nobuhide Kawabe https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5629-8526

Supplemental material

Supplemental material for this article is available online.

References

1. Ministry of Health, Labor and Welfare. National medical expenses in 2018, https://www.mhlw.go.jp/toukei/saikin/hw/k-iryohi/18/dl/kekka.pdf
2. Morbach S, Furchert H, Gröblinghoff U, et al. Long-term prognosis of diabetic foot patients and their limbs: amputation and death over the course of a decade. Diabetes Care 2012; 35(10): 2021–2027.
3. Boulton AJM, Vileikyte L, Ragnarson-Tennvall G, et al. The global burden of diabetic foot disease. Lancet 2005; 366(9498): 1719–1724.
4. Rebolledo FA, Soto JMT and De la Peña JE. The pathogenesis of the diabetic foot ulcer: prevention and management. In: Dinh T (ed.) Global perspective on diabetic foot ulcerations. London: IntechOpen Limited, 2011, pp. 155–182.
5. Reiber GE, Vileikyte L, Boyko EJ, et al. Causal pathways for incident lower-extremity ulcers in patients with diabetes from two settings. Diabetes Care 1999; 22(1): 157–162.
6. Adler AI, Boyko EJ, Ahroni JH, et al. Lower-extremity amputation in diabetes: the independent effects of peripheral
vascular disease, sensory neuropathy, and foot ulcers. *Diabetes Care* 1999; 22(7): 1029–1035.

7. Lavery LA, Armstrong DG and Boulton AJM. Ankle equinus deformity and its relationship to high plantar pressure in a large population with diabetes mellitus. *J Am Podiatr Med Assoc* 2002; 92: 479–482.

8. Monteiro-Soares M, Boyko EJ, Ribeiro J, et al. Risk stratification systems for diabetic foot ulcers: a systematic review. *Diabetologia* 2011; 54(5): 1190–1199.

9. Crawford F, Cezard G, Chappell FM, et al. A systematic review and individual patient data meta-analysis of prognostic factors for foot ulceration in people with diabetes: the international research collaboration for the prediction of diabetic foot ulcerations (PODUS). *Health Technol Assess* 2015; 19(57): 1–210.

10. Singh N, Armstrong DG and Lipsky BA. Preventing foot ulcers in patients with diabetes. *JAMA* 2005; 293(2): 217–228.

11. Tabatabaei-Malazy O and Khatib O. Prevention and public approach to diabetic foot. *Iran J Diabetes Lipid Disord* 2004; 85(2): 245–252.

12. Akbar DH, Mira SA, Zawawi TH, et al. Subclinical diabetic neuropathy: a common complication in Saudi diabetics. *Saudi Med J* 2000; 21(5): 433–437.

13. Tabatabaei Malazy O, Mohajeri-Tehrani MR, Pajouhi M, et al. Iranian diabetic foot research network. *Adv Skin Wound Care* 2010; 23(10): 450–454.

14. Uccioli L, Fagliia E, Monticone G, et al. Manufactured shoes in the prevention of diabetic foot ulcers. *Diabetes Med* 1995; 18(10): 1376–1378.

15. Chantelau E, Kushner T and Spraul M. How effective is the tuning fork in the community-dwelling elderly—prospective study. *JPTA* 2010; 37: 470–476 (in Japanese).

16. Yoshikawa Y, Fukubayashi H, Takao A, et al. A prospective study on fall prediction by the examination of vibration sense using tuning fork in the community-dwelling elderly—prospective study. *JPTA* 2010; 37: 470–476 (in Japanese).

17. Lord SR, Caplan GA, Colagiuri R, et al. Sensorimotor function in older persons with diabetes. *Diabet Med* 1993; 10(7): 614–618.

18. Araki E, Goto A, Kondo T, et al. Japanese clinical practice guideline for diabetes 2019. *Diabetol Int* 2020; 11(3): 165–223.

19. Yoshikawa et al. Importance of vibration sensitivity in fall risk evaluation. *Rigakuryoho Kagaku* 2012; 27: 55–59 (in Japanese).

20. O’Neill J, McCann SM and Lagan KM. Tuning fork (128 Hz) versus neurothesiometer: a comparison of methods of assessing vibration sensation in patients with diabetes mellitus. *Int J Clin Pract* 2006; 60(2): 174–178.

21. Mehlhatton A, Lanting S, Lambkin D, et al. Reliability of recommended non-invasive chairside screening tests for diabetes-related peripheral neuropathy: a systematic review with meta-analyses. *BMJ Open Diabetes Res Care* 2021; 9(2): e002528.

22. Perlman et al. Does this patient with diabetes have large-fiber peripheral neuropathy? *Diabetes Care* 2010; 33(7): 1549–1554.

23. Rigakuryoho Kagaku 2012; 27: 55–59 (in Japanese).

24. Yoshikawa Y, Matsuda K, Takeuchi S, et al. Importance of vibration sensitivity in fall risk evaluation. *Rigakuryoho Kagaku* 2012; 27: 55–59 (in Japanese).

25. Al-Ayed MY, Ababneh M, Robert AA, et al. Evaluation of risk factors associated with diabetic foot ulcers in Saudi Arabia. *Curr Diabetes Rev* 2019; 15(3): 224–232.

26. Japanese Study Group on Diabetic Neuropathy. Diagnostic criteria and stage classification for diabetic polyneuropathy. *Periphere Nerf* 2012; 23: 109–111 (in Japanese).

27. Sartor CD, Hasue RH, Cacciari LP, et al. Effects of strengthening, stretching and functional training on foot function in patients with diabetic neuropathy: results of a randomized controlled trial. *BMJ Masculoskelet Disord* 2014; 15: 137.

28. Feng Y, Schlösser FJ and Sumpio BE. The Semmes Weinstein monofilament examination as a screening tool for diabetic peripheral neuropathy. *J Vasc Surg* 2009; 50(3): 675–682.

29. Tsuusan H, Sarantsin P, Stenhholm M, et al. Ranges of motion after reverse shoulder arthroplasty improve significantly the first year after surgery in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Eur J Orthop Surg Traumatol* 2016; 26(5): 447–452.

30. Sato J, Baba M, Yagishashi S, et al. Frequency of diabetic polyneuropathy (DPN) and clinical significance of Achilles tendon reflex in diagnosis of DPN—survey of 15,000 patients in Tohoku, Japan. *Jpn Diab* 2007; 50: 799–806 (in Japanese).

31. Kanda Y. Investigation of the freely available easy-to-use software “EZR” for medical statistics. *Bone Marrow Transplant* 2013; 48(3): 452–458.

32. Pham H, Armstrong DG, Harvey C, et al. Screening techniques to identify people at high risk for diabetic foot ulceration: a prospective multicenter trial. *Diabetes Care* 2000; 23(5): 606–611.

33. Arosi I, Hiner G and Rajbhandari S. Pathogenesis and treatment of callus in the diabetic foot. *Curr Diabetes Rev* 2016; 12(3): 179–183.

34. Perkins BA, Orszag A, Ngo M, et al. Prediction of incident diabetic neuropathy using the monofilament examination: a 4-year prospective study. *Diabetes Care* 2010; 33(7): 1549–1554.

35. Kanji JN, Anglin RES, Hunt DL, et al. Does this patient with diabetes have large-fiber peripheral neuropathy? *JAMA* 2010; 303(15): 1526–1532.

36. Yavuz M. American Society of Biomechanics Clinical Biomechanics Award 2012: plantar shear stress distributions in diabetic patients with and without neuropathy. *Clin Biomech* 2014; 29(2): 223–229.
41. McGuire J. Transitional off-loading: an evidence-based approach to pressure redistribution in the diabetic foot. *Adv Skin Wound Care* 2010; 23(4): 175–188; quiz 189–190.

42. Grant WP, Sullivan R, Sonenshine DE, et al. Electron microscopic investigation of the effects of diabetes mellitus on the Achilles tendon. *J Foot Ankle Surg* 1997; 36(4): 272–278; discussion 330.

43. Sacco ICN, Bacarin TA, Canettieri MG, et al. Plantar pressures during shod gait in diabetic neuropathic patients with and without a history of plantar ulceration. *J Am Podiatr Med Assoc* 2009; 99(4): 285–294.

44. Kwon OY, Minor SD, Maluf KS, et al. Comparison of muscle activity during walking in subjects with and without diabetic neuropathy. *Gait Posture* 2003; 18(1): 105–113.

45. Feldman EL, Callaghan BC, Pop-Busui R, et al. Diabetic neuropathy. *Nat Rev Dis Primers* 2019; 5(1): 41.

46. Bajwa H and Al Khalili Y. *Physiology, vibratory sense* (Bookshelf ID: NBK542288). Treasure Island, FL: StatPearls Publishing, 2021.

47. Al-Chalabi M, Reddy V and Alsalman I. *Neuroanatomy, posterior column (Dorsal column)* (Bookshelf ID: NBK507888). Treasure Island, FL: StatPearls Publishing, 2021.

48. Naemi R, Chockalingam N, Lutale JK, et al. Predicting the risk of future diabetic foot ulcer occurrence: a prospective cohort study of patients with diabetes in Tanzania. *BMJ Open Diabetes Res Care* 2020; 8(1): e001122.

49. Meijer JWG, Smit AJ, Lefrandt JD, et al. Back to basics in diagnosing diabetic polyneuropathy with the tuning fork! *Diabetes Care* 2005; 28(9): 2201–2205.

50. Volmer-Thole M and Lobmann R. Neuropathy and diabetic foot syndrome. *Int J Mol Sci* 2016; 17(6): 917.

51. Boulton AJM. The diabetic foot: from art to science. *Diabetologia* 2004; 47(8): 1343–1353.

52. Peduzzi P, Concato J, Feinstein AR, et al. Importance of events per independent variable in proportional hazards regression analysis. II. Accuracy and precision of regression estimates. *J Clin Epidemiol* 1995; 48(12): 1503–1510.