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Abstract

We consider commutation relations and invertibility relations of vertex operators for the quantum affine superalgebra $U_q(\hat{sl}(M|N))$ by using bosonization. We show that vertex operators give a representation of the graded Zamolodchikov-Faddeev algebra by direct computation. Invertibility relations of type-II vertex operators for $N > M$ are very similar to those of type-I for $M > N$.

1 Introduction

Vertex operators and corner transfer matrices are a useful tool in solvable lattice models [1,2,3]. They can be very effective way of calculating correlation functions. In the thermodynamic limit, a half transfer matrix becomes a type-I vertex operator $\Phi^\mu_V(z)$ of the quantum affine algebra $U_q(g)$. A type-I vertex operator is, by definition, an intertwiner of the $U_q(g)$-representations, $\Phi^\mu_V(z) : V(\lambda) \rightarrow V(\mu) \otimes V_z$, where $V(\lambda)$ and $V(\mu)$ are highest weight representations and $V_z$ denotes the evaluation representation [4]. In this paper we consider commutation relations and invertibility relations of the vertex operators for $U_q(\hat{sl}(M|N))$ ($M \neq N, M,N \geq 1$) by using bosonization [5]. We show that the vertex operators give a representation of the graded Zamolodchikov-Faddeev algebra by direct computation. Our commutation relations of the vertex operators give a higher-rank generalization of those for $U_q(\hat{sl}(1|1))$ [6,7]. A type-II vertex operator is an intertwiner, $\Psi^\mu_{\lambda V}(z) : V(\lambda) \rightarrow V_z \otimes V(\mu)$. We note that the invertibility relations of the type-II vertex operators for $N > M$ are very similar to those of the type-I for $M > N$. Our direct computation can be applied to bosonization of vertex operators and a $L$-operator for the elliptic algebra $U_{q,p}(\hat{sl}(M|N))$ [8,9,10]. Moreover, quantum $W$-algebra $W_{q,p}(sl(M|N))$ will arise as fusion of vertex operators for the elliptic algebra [11].

The text is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall bosonization of the quantum affine superalgebra $U_q(\hat{sl}(M|N))$ and the vertex operators. In Section 3 we introduce the $R$-matrix and describe the main theorems. In Section 4 we give a direct proof of the main theorems. In Section 5 we discuss related topics. In Appendix A we summarize normal ordering rules of bosonic operators.
2 Preliminaries

In this section we recall bosonization of the quantum affine superalgebra \( U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}(M|N)) \) and the vertex operators \([5]\). We also give a bosonization of the grading operator \( d \).

2.1 Quantum affine superalgebra \( U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}(M|N)) \)

In this Section we recall the definition of the quantum affine superalgebra \( U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}(M|N)) \) for \( M, N = 1, 2, 3, \cdots \). Throughout this paper, we assume \( q \in \mathbb{C} \) to be \( 0 < |q| < 1 \). For any integer \( n \), define \( [n]_q = \frac{q^n - q^{-n}}{q - q^{-1}} \). We set the signatures \( \nu_i \) \((i = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, M + N)\) as follows.

\[
\nu_i = \begin{cases} 
+1 & (1 \leq i \leq M) \\
-1 & (i = 0, \ M + 1 \leq i \leq M + N)
\end{cases}.
\] (2.1)

The Cartan matrix \((A_{i,j})_{0 \leq i,j \leq M+N-1}\) of the affine Lie superalgebra \( \widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}(M|N) \) is given by

\[
A_{i,j} = (\nu_i + \nu_{i+1})\delta_{i,j} - \nu_i\delta_{i,j+1} - \nu_{i+1}\delta_{i+1,j},
\] (2.2)

where suffix of \( A_{i,j}, \delta_{i,j} \) should be understood as mod.\( M + N \), i.e. \( \delta_{i,j} = \delta_{i,M+N+j} = \delta_{i,j+M+N} \). The diagonal part is \((A_{i,i})_{0 \leq i \leq M+N-1} = (0, 2, 2, \cdots , 2, 0, -2, -2, \cdots, -2) \). Let us introduce orthonormal basis \( \{\varepsilon_i' | i = 1, 2, \cdots, M + N\} \) with the bilinear form \( (\varepsilon_i' | \varepsilon_j') = \nu_i \delta_{i,j} \). Define \( \varepsilon_i = \varepsilon_i' - \nu_i \varepsilon_{i+1} = \sum_{j=1}^{M+N} \varepsilon_j' \) and the classical weights are \( \Lambda_i = \sum_{j=1}^i \varepsilon_j' \) for \( i = 1, 2, \cdots, M + N - 1 \). Introduce the affine weight \( \Lambda_0 \) and the null root \( \delta \) having \( (\Lambda_0 | \varepsilon_i') = (\delta | \varepsilon_i') = 0 \) for \( i = 1, 2, \cdots, M + N \) and \( (\Lambda_0 | \Lambda_0) = (\delta | \delta) = 0 \). The other affine weights and the affine roots are given by \( \Lambda_i = \tilde{\Lambda}_i + \Lambda_0 \) and \( \alpha_i = \tilde{\alpha}_i \) for \( i = 1, 2, \cdots, M + N - 1 \) and \( \alpha_0 = \delta - \sum_{i=1}^{M+N-1} \alpha_i \).

**Definition 2.1** [13] The quantum affine superalgebra \( U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}(M|N)) \) is the associative algebra over \( \mathbb{C} \) with the Chevalley generators \( \{e_i, f_i, h_i, d | i = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, M + N - 1\} \). The \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-grading of the Chevalley generators is given by \( \varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_M = f_M = 1 \) zero otherwise. The defining relations of the Chevalley generators are given as follows.

\[
[h_i, h_j] = 0, \ [h_i, d] = 0, \ [d, e_i] = \delta_{i,0} e_i, \ [d, f_i] = -\delta_{i,0} f_i, \] (2.3)
\[
[h_i, e_j] = A_{i,j} e_j, \ [h_i, f_j] = -A_{i,j} f_j, \ [e_i, f_j] = \delta_{i,j} \frac{q^{h_i} - q^{-h_i}}{q - q^{-1}}, \] (2.4)
\[
[e_j, [e_i, e_i]_{q^{-1}}] = 0, \ [f_j, [f_i, f_i]_{q^{-1}}] = 0 \text{ for } |A_{i,j}| = 1, i \neq 0, M, \] (2.5)
\[
[e_i, e_j] = 0, \ [f_i, f_j] = 0 \text{ for } |A_{i,j}| = 0, \] (2.6)
\[
[e_M, [e_{M+1}, [e_M, e_{M-1}]]_{q^{-1}}] = 0, \ [f_M, [f_{M+1}, [f_M, f_{M-1}]]_{q^{-1}}] = 0, \] (2.7)
\[
[e_0, [e_1, [e_0, e_{M+N-1}]]_{q^{-1}}] = 0, \ [f_0, [f_1, [f_0, f_{M+N-1}]]_{q^{-1}}] = 0, \] (2.8)

where we use the notation

\[
[X, Y]_a = XY - (-1)^{|X||Y|} a YX,
\] (2.9)

for homogeneous elements \( X, Y \in U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}(M|N)) \). For simplicity we write \( [X, Y] = [X, Y]_1 \).
If $M = 1$ or $N = 1$, we have extra fifth order Serre relations. As for the explicit forms of the extra Serre relations, we refer the reader to [12][13]. $U_q(\widehat{sl}(M|N))$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded quasi-triangular Hopf algebra endowed with the following coproduct $\Delta$, counit $\epsilon$ and antipode $S$:

\begin{align}
\Delta(h_i) &= h_i \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes h_i, \quad \Delta(d) = d \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes d, \\
\Delta(e_i) &= e_i \otimes q^{H_1} + 1 \otimes e_i, \quad \Delta(f_i) = f_i \otimes 1 + q^{-H_1} \otimes f_i, \\
\epsilon(e_i) &= \epsilon(f_i) = \epsilon(h_i) = \epsilon(d) = 0, \\
S(h_i) &= -h_i, \quad S(e_i) = -q^{-H_1}e_i, \quad S(f_i) = -f_iq^{H_1}, \quad S(d) = -d,
\end{align}

where $i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, M + N - 1$. The multiplication rule for the tensor product is $X^{\pm, M}(z)\otimes Y^{\pm, M}(w) = \sum_{m>0} H^{i}_{\pm m} z^{m} \exp \left( \pm (q - q^{-1}) \sum_{m>0} H^{i}_{\pm m} z^{m} \right)$.

The Chevalley generators are obtained by

\begin{align}
h_i &= H_0^i \quad (i = 1, 2, \ldots, M + N - 1), \\
e_i &= X_0^{i, -}, \quad f_i = X_0^{i, -} \quad (i = 1, 2, \ldots, M + N - 1),
\end{align}
\[ h_0 = c - (H_0^1 + H_0^2 + \cdots + H_0^{M+N-1}), \]
\[ e_0 = (-1)^N[X_0^{-M+N-1}, \ldots, [X_0^{-M}, \ldots, [X_0^{-2}, X_0^{-1} \cdots]_{q^{-1}}]_{q^{-1}}], \]
\[ f_0 = q^{H_0^1 + H_0^2 + \cdots + H_0^{M+N-1}} \times \cdots \cdot [X_0^{+1}, X_0^{+2}], \ldots, X_0^{+M}, X_0^{+M+1}]_{q^{-1}} \cdots, X_0^{+M+N-1}]_{q^{-1}}. \]

2.2 Bosonization of quantum affine superalgebra \( U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}(M|N)) \)

In this Section we recall bosonization of \( U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}(M|N)) \) \((M \neq N, M, N \geq 1)\) at level \( c = 1 \). Let us introduce bosonic oscillators \( \{a_n^i, b_n^j, c_n^i, Q_n^a, Q_n^b, Q_n^c\} \in \mathbb{Z}, i = 1, 2, \ldots, M, j = 1, 2, \ldots, N \) satisfying the commutation relations

\[ [a_m^i, a_n^j] = \delta_{i,j} \delta_{m+n,0} \frac{[m]^2}{m}, \quad [a_0^0, Q_n^a] = \delta_{i,j}, \]
\[ [b_n^i, b_m^j] = -\delta_{i,j} \delta_{m+n,0} \frac{[m]^2}{m}, \quad [b_0^0, Q_n^b] = -\delta_{i,j}, \]
\[ [c_n^i, c_m^j] = \delta_{i,j} \delta_{m+n,0} \frac{[m]^2}{m}, \quad [c_0^0, Q_n^c] = \delta_{i,j}. \]

The remaining commutators vanish. For calculation we need the following normal ordering symbol:

\[ :a_m^i a_n^j := \begin{cases} a_m^i a_n^j & (m < 0) \\ a_n^j a_m^i & (m > 0) \end{cases}, \quad :a_0^0 Q_n^a := Q_n^a a_0^0 := :Q_n^a a_0^0. \]

In the same way the normal ordering symbol of \( b_n^i, Q_n^b, c_n^i, Q_n^c \) is defined. Let us define the operators \( h_m^i, Q_m^i \) \((i = 1, 2, \ldots, M + N - 1, m \in \mathbb{Z})\) by

\[ h_m^i = \begin{cases} a_m^i q^{-|m|/2} - a_m^{i+1} q^{-|m|/2} & (1 \leq i \leq M - 1), \\ a_m^M q^{-|m|/2} + b_m^i q^{-|m|/2} & (i = M), \\ -b_m^{i-M} q^{-|m|/2} + b_m^{i+1-M} q^{-|m|/2} & (M + 1 \leq i \leq M + N - 1) \end{cases}, \]
\[ Q_m^i = \begin{cases} Q_n^a - Q_n^{a+1} & (1 \leq i \leq M - 1), \\ Q_n^a + Q_n^b & (i = M), \\ -Q_n^{b-M} + Q_n^{b-M+1} & (M + 1 \leq i \leq M + N - 1) \end{cases}. \]

We define the notation

\[ h^i(z; \alpha) = - \sum_{m \neq 0} \frac{h_m^i q^{-|m|} z^{-m}}{|m|} + Q_m^i + h_0^0 \log z, \]

for \( h_m^i, Q_m^i \) and \( \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \). In this paper we adopt this notation for other bosonic operators, for example, the boson field \( c^i(z; \alpha) \) should be defined in the same way. We define the q-differential operator defined by

\[ \alpha \partial_z f(z) = \frac{f(q^\alpha z) - f(q^{-\alpha} z)}{(q - q^{-1})z}. \]

**Theorem 2.3** The Drinfeld generators \( H_m^i, X_m^\pm i \) of \( U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}(M|N)) \) at level \( c = 1 \) are realized by free boson fields as follows.

\[ c = 1, \quad H_m^i = h_m^i \quad (1 \leq i \leq M + N - 1, m \in \mathbb{Z}), \]
Upon the specialization

\[ X^+(z) = e^M(z; \frac{1}{2}) e^{\sqrt{\pi}a_0^i}; \quad (1 \leq i \leq M - 1), \]

\[ X^+.M(z) = e^M(z; \frac{1}{2}) + c^i(0) \prod_{j=1}^{M-1} e^{-\sqrt{\pi}a_0^j}; \]

\[ X^{M+j}(z) = e^{M+j}(z; \frac{1}{2}) (1 \partial_x e^{-c^i(0)}) e^{c^{i+1}(0)}; \quad (1 \leq j \leq N - 1), \]

\[ X^{-}(z) = -: e^{-\hat{M}(z; -\frac{1}{2})} e^{-\sqrt{\pi}a_0^i}; \quad (1 \leq i \leq M - 1), \]

\[ X^{-}.M(z) = e^{-\hat{M}(z; -\frac{1}{2})} (1 \partial_x e^{-\sqrt{\pi}a_0^i} \prod_{j=1}^{M-1} e^{\sqrt{\pi}a_0^j}); \]

\[ X^{-}.M+j(z) = -: e^{-\hat{M}+j(z; -\frac{1}{2}) + c^i(0)} (1 \partial_x e^{-c^{j+1}(0)}); \quad (1 \leq j \leq N - 1). \]

We define

\[ h^{\pm i}_m = \sum_{j=1}^{M+N-1} \left[ \alpha_{i,j} m \right]_q [\beta_{i,j} m]_q - \delta^{-i}_m, \]

\[ h^0_i = \sum_{j=1}^{M+N-1} \frac{\alpha_{i,j} \beta_{i,j}}{M-N} h^j_0, \]

\[ Q^i_h = \sum_{j=1}^{M+N-1} \frac{\alpha_{i,j} \beta_{i,j}}{M-N} Q^j_h. \]

Here \( \alpha_{i,j}, \beta_{i,j} \) are defined by

\[ \alpha_{i,j} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \text{Min}(i,j) & (\text{Min}(i,j) \leq M) \\ 2M - \text{Min}(i,j) & (\text{Min}(i,j) > M) \end{array} \right. , \quad \beta_{i,j} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} M - N - \text{Max}(i,j) & (\text{Max}(i,j) \leq M) \\ -M - N + \text{Max}(i,j) & (\text{Max}(i,j) > M) \end{array} \right. \]

They satisfy

\[ [h^{\pm i}_m, h^0_i] = \delta^{i}_m \delta_{m+N,0} \frac{[m]^2}{m}, \quad [h^{\pm i}_m, Q^j_h] = \delta^{j}_m, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{M+N-1} h^{-i}_m h^{i}_m := \sum_{i=1}^{M+N-1} h^{i}_m h^{-i}_m. \]

**Proposition 2.4** The grading operator \( d \) of \( U_q(\hat{sl}(M|N)) \) at level-one is realized as follows.

\[ d = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{m \neq 0} \frac{m^2}{[m]^2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{M+N-1} h^{-i}_m h^i_m : + \sum_{i=1}^N h^{-i}_m c^i_m : - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{M+N-1} h^i_h h^{-i}_h + \sum_{i=1}^N c^i_0 (c^i_0 + 1) \right). \]

Upon the specialization \( N = 1 \) our bosonization of \( d \) reproduces those in [7]. It satisfies

\[ q^d e^{\pm Q_i^h} e^{-d} = e^{\pm Q_i^h} e^{-\frac{1}{2} d(1+1)}, \quad q^d e^{\pm Q_h^i} e^{-d} = e^{\pm Q_h^i} e^{-\frac{1}{2} d(1+1)} \times \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} q^{-1} & (1 \leq i \leq M - 1) \\ 1 & (i = M) \\ q & (M + 1 \leq i \leq M + N - 1) \end{array} \right. \]

### 2.3 Highest weight representation

We introduce the irreducible highest weight representation \( V(\lambda) \) with level one highest weight \( \lambda \) [13]. We define the Fock representation. The vacuum vector \( |0\rangle \) is characterized by

\[ a^+_m |0\rangle = b^+_m |0\rangle = c^+_m |0\rangle = 0, \]

for \( m > 0 \) and \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, M, j = 1, 2, \ldots, N \). For \( \lambda^+_a, \lambda^+_b, \lambda^+_c \subset \mathbb{C} \) we set

\[ |\lambda^+_1, \ldots, \lambda^+_a, \lambda^+_b, \ldots, \lambda^+_N, \lambda^+_c \rangle = e^{\sum_{i=1}^M \lambda^+_i Q^i_a + \sum_{j=1}^N \lambda^+_j Q^j_b + \sum_{j=1}^N \lambda^+_j Q^j_c} |0\rangle. \]
The Fock representation $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_M, \lambda_1^N, \lambda_1^N, \lambda_1^N, \lambda_1^N}$ is generated by operators $a_{-m}^i, b_{-m}^i, c_{-m}^i$ ($m > 0$) over the vector $|\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_M, \lambda_1^N, \lambda_1^N, \lambda_1^N, \lambda_1^N\rangle$. We give the highest weight representation $V(\lambda)$ with the highest weight $\lambda = \sum_{j=0}^{M+N-1} \lambda_j \Lambda_j$, where $\Lambda_j$ are the fundamental weights and $\sum_{j=0}^{M+N-1} \lambda_j = 1$. Solving the conditions

$$h_i|\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_M, \lambda_1^N, \lambda_1^N, \lambda_1^N, \lambda_1^N\rangle = \lambda_i|\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_M, \lambda_1^N, \lambda_1^N, \lambda_1^N, \lambda_1^N\rangle,$$

$$e_i|\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_M, \lambda_1^N, \lambda_1^N, \lambda_1^N, \lambda_1^N\rangle = 0,$$

for $i = 0, 1, \cdots, M + N - 1$, we have the following two class of solutions. We conjecture the identifications upon the highest weight vector: $|\lambda\rangle = |\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_M, \lambda_1^N, \lambda_1^N, \lambda_1^N, \lambda_1^N\rangle$.

1. $|\Lambda_i\rangle$ ($i = 1, 2, \cdots, M + N - 1$): For $1 \leq i \leq M, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ we identify

$$|\Lambda_i\rangle = |\beta + 1, \beta + 1, \beta, \cdots, \beta, 0, \cdots, 0\rangle.$$  

(2.55)

For $M + 1 \leq i \leq M + N - 1, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ we identify

$$|\Lambda_i\rangle = |\beta + 1, \beta + 1, \beta, \cdots, \beta, 0, \cdots, 0, -1, \cdots, -1\rangle.$$  

(2.56)

2. $|(1 - \alpha)\Lambda_0 + \alpha\Lambda_M\rangle$: For $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$, we identify

$$|(1 - \alpha)\Lambda_0 + \alpha\Lambda_M\rangle = |\beta, \cdots, \beta, \beta - \alpha, \cdots, \beta - \alpha, -\alpha, \cdots, -\alpha\rangle.$$  

(2.57)

We introduce the space $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda}$ on which the bosonized action of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}(M|N))$ is closed. For $i = 1, 2, \cdots, M$, $j = 1, 2, \cdots, N$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$, we set the spaces as follows.

$$\mathcal{F}_{\lambda} = \bigoplus_{i_1, \cdots, i_{M+N-1} \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{F}^{(i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_{M+N-1})}_{\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_M, \lambda_1^N, \lambda_1^N, \lambda_1^N, \lambda_1^N},$$

(2.58)

$$\mathcal{F}_{\lambda(M+i)} = \bigoplus_{i_1, \cdots, i_{M+N-1} \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{F}^{(i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_{M+N-1})}_{\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_M, \lambda_1^N, \lambda_1^N, \lambda_1^N, \lambda_1^N},$$

(2.59)

$$\mathcal{F}_{(1-\alpha)\lambda_0 + \alpha\Lambda_M} = \bigoplus_{i_1, \cdots, i_{M+N-1} \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{F}^{(i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_{M+N-1})}_{\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_M, \lambda_1^N, \lambda_1^N, \lambda_1^N, \lambda_1^N},$$

(2.60)

Here we use the following abbreviation.

$$\begin{align*}
& (\lambda_1^1, \cdots, \lambda_1^M, \lambda_1^N, \lambda_1^N, \lambda_1^N) \circ (i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_{M+N-1}) \\
& = (\lambda_1^1, \cdots, \lambda_1^M, \lambda_1^N, \lambda_1^N, \lambda_1^N) \\
& \quad + (i_1, i_2 - i_1, \cdots, i_M - i_{M-1}, i_M - i_{M+1}, \cdots, i_{M+N-2} - i_{M+N-1}, i_{M+N-1}).
\end{align*}$$

(2.61)

However, these representations are not irreducible in general. In order to obtain irreducible representation, we introduce the $\xi$-$\eta$ system. We define the operators $\xi_j^i$ and $\eta_j^i$ ($j = 1, 2, \cdots, N; m \in \mathbb{Z}$) by

$$\xi_j^i(z) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \xi_j^i z^{-m} = : e^{-c^i(z)} :,$$

$$\eta_j^i(z) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \eta_j^i z^{-m-1} = : e^{c^i(z)} :.$$  

(2.62)
The Fourier components $\xi^i_m = \oint_\mathbb{R} \frac{dz}{2\pi i} z^{m-1} \xi^i(z)$ and $\eta^i_m = \oint_\mathbb{R} \frac{dz}{2\pi i} z^m \eta^i(z)$ are well-defined on the spaces $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda_i}, \mathcal{F}_{(1-\alpha)\Lambda_0 + \alpha\Lambda_M}$. We focus our attention on the operators $\eta^i_0, \xi^i_0$ satisfying

$$\text{Im}(\eta^i_0) = \text{Ker}(\xi^i_0), \quad \text{Im}(\xi^i_0) = \text{Ker}(\eta^i_0), \quad \eta^i_0 \xi^i_0 + \xi^i_0 \eta^i_0 = 1.$$ (2.63)

We have a direct sum decomposition:

$$\mathcal{F}_\lambda = \eta^i_0 \xi^i_0 \mathcal{F}_\lambda + \xi^i_0 \eta^i_0 \mathcal{F}_\lambda.$$ (2.64)

for $\lambda = \Lambda_i, (1-\alpha)\Lambda_0 + \alpha\Lambda_M$. We define the projection operators $\eta_0$ and $\xi_0$ by

$$\eta_0 = \prod_{j=1}^{\lambda} \eta_0^j, \quad \xi_0 = \prod_{j=1}^{\lambda} \xi_0^j.$$ (2.65)

They satisfy $[d, \eta_0] = [d, \xi_0] = 0$. We conjecture the following identifications.

$$V(\Lambda_i) = \text{Coker}(\eta_0) = \xi_0 \eta_0 \mathcal{F}_{\Lambda_i} \quad (i = 1, 2, \cdots, M+N-1),$$ (2.66)

$$V((1-\alpha)\Lambda_0 + \alpha\Lambda_M) = \begin{cases} \text{Coker}(\eta_0) = \xi_0 \eta_0 \mathcal{F}_{(1-\alpha)\Lambda_0 + \alpha\Lambda_M} & (\alpha = 0, 1, 2, \cdots) \\ \text{Ker}(\eta_0) = \eta_0 \xi_0 \mathcal{F}_{(1-\alpha)\Lambda_0 + \alpha\Lambda_M} & (\alpha = -1, -2, \cdots). \end{cases}$$ (2.67)

Here $V(\lambda)$ is the irreducible highest weight representation. Since the operators $\eta_0$ and $\xi_0$ commute with $U_q(\hat{sl}(M|N))$ up to sign $\pm$, we can regard $\text{Ker}(\eta_0)$ and $\text{Coker}(\eta_0)$ as a $U_q(\hat{sl}(M|N))$-representation.

### 2.4 Bosonization of vertex operators

In this Section we recall bosonization of vertex operators for $U_q(\hat{sl}(M|N))$ [3]. Let us set the vector spaces $V_1 = \oplus_{j=1}^{M} C v_j$ and $V_0 = \oplus_{j=1}^{N} C u_{M+j}$. We set $V = V_1 \oplus V_0$. The $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading of the basis $\{v_j\}_{1 \leq j \leq M+N}$ of $V$ is chosen to be $[v_j] = \frac{j+1}{2}$ ($j = 1, 2, \cdots, M+N$). Let $E_{i,j}$ be $(M+N) \times (M+N)$ matrix whose $(i,j)$-element is unity and zero elsewhere. The $(M+N)$-dimensional level-zero representation $V_0$ of $U_q(\hat{sl}(M|N))$ is given by

$$e_i = E_{i,i+1}, \quad f_i = \nu_i E_{i+1,i}, \quad h_i = \nu_i E_{i,i} - \nu_{i+1} E_{i+1,i+1},$$ (2.68)

$$e_0 = -z E_{M+N,1}, \quad f_0 = z^{-1} E_{1,M+N}, \quad h_0 = -E_{1,1} - E_{M+N, M+N},$$ (2.69)

for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, M+N-1$. Let $V^*_0$ be the dual space of $V$ with dual basis $\{v_1^*, v_2^*, \cdots, v_{M+N}^*\}$ such that $(v_i|v_j^*) = \delta_{i,j}$. The $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading of the basis $\{v_j^*\}_{1 \leq j \leq M+N}$ of $V$ is given by $[v_j] = \frac{j+1}{2}$ ($j = 1, 2, \cdots, M+N$). The representation structure is given by $(xv|w) = (v|(-1)^{|x||v|} S(x) w)$ for $v \in V^*_0, w \in V_0$ and we call the representation as $V^*_0 S$. The representation is:

$$e_i = -\nu_i q^{-\nu_i} E_{i+1,i}, \quad f_i = -\nu_i q^{\nu_i} E_{i+1,i}, \quad h_i = -\nu_i E_{i,i} + \nu_{i+1} E_{i+1,i+1},$$ (2.70)

$$e_0 = q z E_{1,M+N}, \quad f_0 = q^{-1} E_{1,M+N}, \quad h_0 = E_{1,1} + E_{M+N, M+N}.$$ (2.71)

Now we study the level-one vertex operators of $U_q(\hat{sl}(M|N))$. Let $V(\lambda)$ be the highest weight $U_q(\hat{sl}(M|N))$-representation with the highest weight $\lambda$. The vertex operators $\Phi^V_{\lambda}(z), \Phi^{\nu V}_{\lambda}(z), \Psi^V_{\lambda}(z), \Psi^{\nu V}_{\lambda}(z)$ are
defined as the following intertwiners of $U_q(s\ell(M|N))$-representations if they exist:

\[
\Phi^\mu_V(z) : V(\lambda) \rightarrow V(\mu) \otimes V_z, \quad \Phi^\mu_{V'}(z) : V(\lambda) \rightarrow V(\mu) \otimes V_z^S, \tag{2.72}
\]

\[
\Psi^\mu_V(z) : V(\lambda) \rightarrow V_z \otimes V(\mu), \quad \Psi^\mu_{V'}(z) : V(\lambda) \rightarrow V_z^S \otimes V(\mu), \tag{2.73}
\]

\[
\Phi^\mu_V(z) \cdot x = \Delta(x) \cdot \Phi^\mu_V(z), \quad \Phi^\mu_{V'}(z) \cdot x = \Delta(x) \cdot \Phi^\mu_{V'}(z), \tag{2.74}
\]

\[
\Psi^\mu_V(z) \cdot x = \Delta(x) \cdot \Psi^\mu_V(z), \quad \Psi^\mu_{V'}(z) \cdot x = \Delta(x) \cdot \Psi^\mu_{V'}(z). \tag{2.75}
\]

$\Phi^\mu_V(z)$, $\Phi^\mu_{V'}(z)$ are called the type-I vertex operator and $\Psi^\mu_V(z)$, $\Psi^\mu_{V'}(z)$ are called the type-II vertex operator. We expand the vertex operators as:

\[
\Phi^\mu_V(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{M+N} \Phi^\mu_{V,j}(z) \otimes v_j, \quad \Phi^\mu_{V'}(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{M+N} \Phi^\mu_{V',j}(z) \otimes v^*_j, \tag{2.76}
\]

\[
\Psi^\mu_V(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{M+N} v_j \otimes \Psi^\mu_{V,j}(z), \quad \Psi^\mu_{V'}(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{M+N} v^*_j \otimes \Psi^\mu_{V',j}(z). \tag{2.77}
\]

The intertwiners are even, which implies $[\Phi^\mu_{V,j}(z)] = [\Psi^\mu_{V,j}(z)] = [\Phi^\mu_{V',j}(z)] = [\Psi^\mu_{V',j}(z)] = \frac{\nu_j + 1}{2}$.

We define the bosonized operators $\Phi_j(z), \Phi^*_j(z), \Psi_j(z), \Psi^*_j(z)$ ($j = 1, 2, \ldots, M+N$) iteratively by

\[
\Phi_{M+N}(z) = (q^{M-N+1}z)^{\frac{M-N-1}{2}} e^{-\hbar_{M+N-1}(q^{M-N+1}z - \frac{1}{2})} \times \prod_{k=1}^{M} e^{\pi \sqrt{-1} \frac{k-1}{M+N} a^*_k}, \tag{2.78}
\]

\[
\nu_j \Phi_j(z) = [\Phi_{j+1}(z), f_j] \otimes q^{j+1} (1 \leq j \leq M+N-1), \tag{2.79}
\]

\[
\Phi^*_j(z) = (q^z)^{\frac{M-N+1}{2}} e^{-\hbar^*_j(q^z - \frac{1}{2})} \times \prod_{k=1}^{M} e^{\pi \sqrt{-1} \frac{k-1}{M+N} a_k}, \tag{2.80}
\]

\[
-\nu_j q^j \Phi^*_j(z) = [\Phi^*_j(z), f_j] q^j (1 \leq j \leq M+N-1), \tag{2.81}
\]

\[
\Psi_j(z) = (q^z)^{\frac{M-N+1}{2}} e^{-\hbar_j(q^z - \frac{1}{2})} \times \prod_{k=1}^{M} e^{\pi \sqrt{-1} \frac{k-1}{M+N} a^*_k}, \tag{2.82}
\]

\[
\Psi_{j+1}(z) = [\Psi_j(z), e_j] \otimes q^{j+1} (1 \leq j \leq M+N-1), \tag{2.83}
\]

\[
\Psi_{M+N}(z) = (q^{-M+N+1}z)^{\frac{M-N-1}{2}} e^{\hbar_{M+N-1}(q^{-M+N+1}z + \frac{1}{2})} \times \prod_{k=1}^{M} e^{\pi \sqrt{-1} \frac{k-1}{M+N} a_k}, \tag{2.84}
\]

\[-\nu_j q^j \Psi^*_j(z) = [\Psi^*_j(z), e_j] q^j (1 \leq j \leq M+N-1). \tag{2.85}
\]

We note that our bosonization of the vertex operators is different from those in [5] by a scalar factor $z^{\frac{M-N-1}{2(M+N-1)}}$, which is needed for the intertwining relation for the grading operator $d$:

\[
q^d \Phi_j(z) q^{-d} = \Phi_j(z/q), \quad q^d \Phi^*_j(z) q^{-d} = \Phi^*_j(z/q), \tag{2.86}
\]

\[
q^d \Psi_j(z) q^{-d} = \Psi_j(z/q), \quad q^d \Psi^*_j(z) q^{-d} = \Psi^*_j(z/q). \tag{2.87}
\]

\[
q^d \xi_0 q^{-d} = \xi_0, \quad q^d \eta_0 q^{-d} = \eta_0. \tag{2.88}
\]

This scalar factor $z^{\frac{M-N-1}{2(M+N-1)}}$ is important for the invertebrity relations of the vertex operators (3.39), (3.40), (3.41), (3.42), (3.43), (3.46), (3.47).
Theorem 2.5  Bosonization of the vertex operators is given as follows.

\[ \Phi_{\lambda,j}^\mu(z) = \eta_0 \xi_0 \Phi_j(z) \eta_0 \xi_0, \quad \Phi_{\lambda,j}^{\mu\ast}(z) = \eta_0 \xi_0 \Phi_j^\ast(z) \eta_0 \xi_0, \quad (2.89) \]

\[ \Psi_{\lambda,j}^\mu(z) = \eta_0 \xi_0 \Psi_j(z) \eta_0 \xi_0, \quad \Psi_{\lambda,j}^{\mu\ast}(z) = \eta_0 \xi_0 \Psi_j^\ast(z) \eta_0 \xi_0. \quad (2.90) \]

3  Commutation relations of vertex operators

In this Section we give commutation relations and invertibility relations of the vertex operators.

3.1  \( R \)-matrix

In this Section we introduce the \( R \)-matrix. We use the abbreviation

\[ (z;p)_\infty = \prod_{m=0}^{\infty} (1 - p^m z). \quad (3.1) \]

A linear operator \( S \in \text{End}(V) \) is represented in the form of a \((M + N) \times (M + N)\) matrix : \( Sv_j = \sum_{i=1}^{M+N} v_i S_{i,j} \). The \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-grading of \((M + N) \times (M + N)\) matrix \((S_{i,j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq M+N}\) is defined by \([S] = [v_i] + [v_j] \ (mod.2)\) if RHS of the equation does not depend on \( i \) and \( j \) such that \( S_{i,j} \neq 0 \). In what follows we use the abbreviation \([i] = [v_i] = \frac{n+1}{2} \). All \((M + N) \times (M + N)\) matrix \( S = (S_{i,j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq M+N} \) are divided into blocks : \( S = \begin{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \), where \( A, B, C, D \) are \( M \times M, M \times N, N \times M, N \times N \) matrices, respectively. We set supertranspose "\( st \)" by

\[ S^{st} = \begin{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} \end{pmatrix}^{st} = \begin{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A^t & C^t \\ -B^t & D^t \end{bmatrix} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.2) \]

where \( A^t, B^t, C^t, D^t \) represent ordinary transpose of matrices. We consider the tensor product \( V \otimes V \otimes \cdots \otimes V \) of \( n \) space and define action of the operator \( S_1 \otimes S_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes S_n \) where \( S_j \in \text{End}(V) \) have \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-grading, We define

\[ S_1 \otimes S_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes S_n \cdot v_{j_1} \otimes v_{j_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{j_n} = e^{\pi \sqrt{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n} [S_k] \sum_{i=1}^{j_i-1} [j_i]} S_{1v_{j_1} \otimes 2v_{j_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes n v_{j_n}}. \quad (3.3) \]

We set

\[ a(z) = \frac{(z-q^2)}{(1-q^2 z)}, \quad b(z) = \frac{(1-z)q}{(1-q^2 z)}, \quad c(z) = \frac{(1-q^2)}{(1-q^2 z)}. \quad (3.4) \]

Definition 3.1  Let \( \bar{R}_{VV}(z) \in \text{End}(V \otimes V) \) be the \( R \)-matrix of \( U_q(\widehat{sl}(M|N)) \),

\[ \bar{R}_{VV}(z) v_{j_1} \otimes v_{j_2} = \sum_{k_1, k_2=1}^{M+N} v_{k_1} \otimes v_{k_2} \bar{R}_{VV}(z)_{j_1, j_2}^{k_1, k_2}, \quad (3.5) \]

where we set

\[ \bar{R}_{VV}(z)_{j_1, j_2}^{i_1, i_2} = \begin{cases} -1 & (1 \leq j \leq M) \\ a(z) & (M + 1 \leq j \leq M + N) \end{cases}, \quad (3.6) \]
\begin{align}
\bar{R}_{VV}(z)^{i,j}_{i,j} &= -b(z) \quad (1 \leq i \neq j \leq M + N), \\
\bar{R}_{VV}(z)^{i,j}_{i,j} &= \begin{cases} 
-(-1)^{|i||j|} c(z) & (1 \leq i < j \leq M + N) \\
-(-1)^{|i||j|} z c(z) & (1 \leq j < i \leq M + N),
\end{cases} \\
\bar{R}_{VV}(z)^{i,j}_{i,j} &= 0 \quad \text{otherwise}.
\end{align}

We define the R-matrices \( R_{VV}^{(I)}(z) \) and \( R_{VV}^{(II)}(z) \) by
\begin{align}
R_{VV}^{(I)}(z) &= \frac{1}{\kappa_{VV}^{(I)}(z)} \bar{R}_{VV}(z), \\
R_{VV}^{(II)}(z) &= \frac{1}{\kappa_{VV}^{(II)}(z)} \bar{R}_{VV}(z),
\end{align}
where
\begin{align}
\kappa_{VV}^{(I)}(z) &= \begin{cases} 
-z^{-1} & \left(\frac{q^2z^{2(M-N)}}{q^2z^{2(M-N)}}\right) \left(\frac{q^2z^{2(M-N)}}{q^2z^{2(M-N)}}\right) \left(\frac{q^2z^{2(M-N)}}{q^2z^{2(M-N)}}\right) (M > N) \\
-z^{-1} & \left(\frac{q^{2(N-M)}}{q^{2(N-M-1)}}\right) \left(\frac{q^{2(N-M)}}{q^{2(N-M-1)}}\right) (N > M)
\end{cases}, \\
\kappa_{VV}^{(II)}(z) &= \begin{cases} 
-z^{-1} & \left(\frac{q^{2(N-M-1)}}{q^{2(N-M-2)}}\right) \left(\frac{q^{2(N-M-1)}}{q^{2(N-M-2)}}\right) (M > N) \\
-z^{-1} & \left(\frac{q^{2(N-M-1)}}{q^{2(N-M-2)}}\right) \left(\frac{q^{2(N-M-1)}}{q^{2(N-M-2)}}\right) (N > M)
\end{cases}.
\end{align}

These R-matrices satisfy the graded Yang-Baxter equation on \( V \otimes V \otimes V \).
\begin{align}
(R_{VV}^{(i)})_{12}(z_1/z_2)(R_{VV}^{(i)})_{13}(z_1/z_3)(R_{VV}^{(i)})_{23}(z_2/z_3) \\
= (R_{VV}^{(i)})_{23}(z_2/z_3)(R_{VV}^{(i)})_{13}(z_1/z_3)(R_{VV}^{(i)})_{12}(z_1/z_2) \quad (i = I, II).
\end{align}

They satisfy (1) the initial condition \( R_{VV}^{(i)}(1) = P \) (\( i = I, II \)) where \( P \) is the graded permutation operator:
\begin{align}
P_{k_1k_2}^{j_1j_2} &= (-1)^{|k_1||k_2|} \delta_{j_1,k_2} \delta_{j_2,k_1}; \\
(3.7)
\end{align}
(2) the unitary condition \( (R_{VV}^{(i)})_{1,2}(z)(R_{VV}^{(i)})_{2,1}(1/z) = 1 \) (\( i = I, II \)),
where \( (R_{VV}^{(i)})_{2,1}(z) = P(R_{VV}^{(i)})_{1,2}(z)P \); (3) the crossing unitarity
\begin{align}
(R_{VV}^{(i)}(z)^{-1})^{st_1} (M \otimes 1)^{-1} R_{VV}^{(i)}(z^{2(N-M)}) (M \otimes 1)^{st_1} = 1 \quad (i = I, II),
\end{align}
where we set
\begin{align}
M &= \text{diag}(q^{2p_1}, q^{2p_2}, q^{2p_3}, \ldots, q^{2p_{M+N}}) \\
&= \text{diag}(q^{M-N-1}, q^{M-N-3}, \ldots, q^{M-N+1}, q^{M-N+3}, \ldots, q^{N-M-1}).
\end{align}
The various supertranspositions of the R-matrix are given by
\begin{align}
(\bar{R}_{VV}(z)^{st_1})^{k,l}_{i,j} &= \bar{R}_{VV}(z)^{i,l}_{j,k} (-1)^{|i||k|}, \\
(\bar{R}_{VV}(z)^{st_2})^{k,l}_{i,j} &= \bar{R}_{VV}(z)^{i,l}_{j,k} (-1)^{|i||j|+|k|}, \\
(\bar{R}_{VV}(z)^{st_2})^{k,l}_{i,j} &= \bar{R}_{VV}(z)^{j,l}_{i,k} (-1)^{|i||j|+|k|+|l|} = \bar{R}_{VV}(z)^{i,l}_{j,k}.
\end{align}

Definition 3.2 Let \( \bar{R}_{VV}(z), \bar{R}_{VV}(z) \) and \( \bar{R}_{VV}(z) \) be the R-matrices defined by
\begin{align}
\bar{R}_{VV}(z) &= (\bar{R}_{VV}(z)^{-1})^{st_1}, \\
\bar{R}_{VV}(z) &= ((M \otimes 1)^{-1} \bar{R}_{VV}(z^{2(N-M)}) / (M \otimes 1))^{st_1}, \\
\bar{R}_{VV}(z) &= (\bar{R}_{VV}(z))^{st_2}.
\end{align}
We define the $R$-matrix $R_{V,V}^{(i)}(z)$, $R_{V',V}^{(i)}(z)$ and $R_{V',V'}^{(i)}(z)$ $(i = I, II)$ by

$$R_{V,V}^{(i)}(z) = \frac{1}{\kappa_{V,V}^{(i)}(z)} \tilde{R}_{V,V}(z), \quad R_{V',V}^{(i)}(z) = \frac{1}{\kappa_{V',V}^{(i)}(z)} \tilde{R}_{V',V}(z), \quad R_{V',V'}^{(i)}(z) = \frac{1}{\kappa_{V',V'}^{(i)}(z)} \tilde{R}_{V',V'}(z). \quad (3.21)$$

For $M > N$ we set

$$\kappa_{V,V}^{(I)}(z) = -\kappa_{V,V}^{(I)}(z), \quad \kappa_{V,V}^{(I)}(z) = \kappa_{V,V}^{(I)}(1/z), \quad \kappa_{V,V}^{(I)}(z) = \kappa_{V,V}^{(I)}(q^{2(N-M)}/z), \quad (3.22)$$

$$\kappa_{V,V}^{(II)}(z) = \kappa_{V,V}^{(II)}(z), \quad \kappa_{V,V}^{(II)}(z) = \kappa_{V,V}^{(II)}(1/z), \quad \kappa_{V,V}^{(II)}(z) = \kappa_{V,V}^{(II)}(q^{2(N-M)}/z). \quad (3.23)$$

For $N > M$ we set

$$\kappa_{V,V}^{(I)}(z) = -z^{-1}\kappa_{V,V}^{(I)}(z), \quad \kappa_{V,V}^{(I)}(z) = \kappa_{V,V}^{(I)}(1/z), \quad \kappa_{V,V}^{(I)}(z) = \kappa_{V,V}^{(I)}(q^{2(N-M)}/z), \quad (3.24)$$

$$\kappa_{V,V}^{(II)}(z) = \kappa_{V,V}^{(II)}(z), \quad \kappa_{V,V}^{(II)}(z) = \kappa_{V,V}^{(II)}(1/z), \quad \kappa_{V,V}^{(II)}(z) = \kappa_{V,V}^{(II)}(q^{2(N-M)}/z). \quad (3.25)$$

The $R$-matrices are written explicitly as follows.

$$\tilde{R}_{V,V}(z) = -\sum_{j=1}^{M} E_{j,j} \otimes E_{j,j} + a(1/z) \sum_{j=M+1}^{M+N} E_{j,j} \otimes E_{j,j} - b(1/z) \sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq M+N} E_{i,i} \otimes E_{j,j}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{z} c(1/z) \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq M+N} (-1)^{|j-i|} E_{i,j} \otimes E_{i,j}, \quad (3.26)$$

$$\tilde{R}_{V',V}(z) = -\sum_{j=1}^{M} E_{j,j} \otimes E_{j,j} + a(1/q^{2(M-N)}/z) \sum_{j=M+1}^{M+N} E_{j,j} \otimes E_{j,j}$$

$$- b(1/q^{2(M-N)}/z) \sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq M+N} E_{i,i} \otimes E_{j,j} + c(1/q^{2(M-N)}/z) \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq M+N} (-1)^{|j-i|} q^{2(p_j-p_i)} E_{j,i} \otimes E_{j,i}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{q^{2(M-N)}/z} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq M+N} (-1)^{|j-i|} q^{2(p_j-p_i)} E_{i,j} \otimes E_{i,j}. \quad (3.27)$$

We have $\tilde{R}_{V',V}(z)_{j_1, j_2}^{k_1, k_2} = \tilde{R}_{V,V}(z)_{j_1, j_2}^{k_1, k_2}$. The unitary relation $\tilde{R}_{V',V}(z)\tilde{R}_{V,V}(1/z) = 1$ holds.

### 3.2 The graded Zamolodchikov-Faddeev algebra

The followings are the main theorems.

**Theorem 3.3** The vertex operators for $U_q(\widehat{sl}(M|N))$ give a representation of the Zamolodchikov-Faddeev algebra. The type-I vertex operators satisfy

$$\Phi_{j_2}(z_2)\Phi_{j_1}(z_1) = \sum_{k_1, k_2=1}^{M+N} R_{V',V'}^{(I)}(z_1/z_2)_{j_1, j_2}^{k_1, k_2} \Phi_{k_1}(z_1)\Phi_{k_2}(z_2)(-1)^{|k_1||k_2|}, \quad (3.28)$$

$$\Phi_{j_2}(z_2)\Phi_{j_1}^*(z_1) = \sum_{k_1, k_2=1}^{M+N} R_{V',V'}^{(I)}(z_1/z_2)_{j_1, j_2}^{k_1, k_2} \Phi_{k_1}^*(z_1)\Phi_{k_2}(z_2)(-1)^{|k_1||k_2|}, \quad (3.29)$$

$$\Phi_{j_2}^*(z_2)\Phi_{j_1}^*(z_1) = \sum_{k_1, k_2=1}^{M+N} R_{V',V'}^{(I)}(z_1/z_2)_{j_1, j_2}^{k_1, k_2} \Phi_{k_1}^*(z_1)\Phi_{k_2}^*(z_2)(-1)^{|k_1||k_2|}, \quad (3.30)$$
The type-II vertex operators satisfy

\[
\Psi_{j_1}(z_1)\Psi_{j_2}(z_2) = \sum_{k_1,k_2=1}^{M+N} R^{(II)}_{VV}(z_1/z_2)_{j_1,j_2}^{k_1,k_2} \Psi_{k_1}(z_1)(-1)^{[k_1][k_2]},
\]

(3.31)

\[
\Psi^*_{j_1}(z_1)\Psi^*_j(z_2) = \sum_{k_1,k_2=1}^{M+N} R^{(II)*}_{VV}(z_1/z_2)_{j_1,j_2}^{k_1,k_2} \Psi^*_{k_1}(z_1)(-1)^{[k_1][k_2]},
\]

(3.32)

\[
\Psi^*_j(z_1)\Psi^*_j(z_2) = \sum_{\nu_1,\nu_2=1}^{M+N} R^{(II)*}_{V*V}(z_1/z_2)_{j_1,j_2}^{k_1,k_2} \Psi^*_j(z_1)(-1)^{[k_1][k_2]},
\]

(3.33)

The vertex operators satisfy

\[
\Psi_{j_1}(z_1)\Phi_{j_2}(z_2) = \chi(z_1/z_2)\Phi_{j_2}(z_2)\Psi_{j_1}(z_1)(-1)^{[j_1][j_2]},
\]

(3.34)

\[
\Psi^*_{j_1}(z_1)\Phi^*_{j_2}(z_2) = \chi(z_1/z_2)\Phi^*_{j_2}(z_2)\Psi^*_{j_1}(z_1)(-1)^{[j_1][j_2]},
\]

(3.35)

\[
\Psi_{j_1}(z_1)\Phi^*_{j_2}(z_2) = \chi(z_2/z_1)\Phi^*_{j_2}(z_2)\Psi_{j_1}(z_1)(-1)^{[j_1][j_2]},
\]

(3.36)

\[
\Psi^*_j(z_1)\Phi_{j_2}(z_2) = \chi(q^{2(N-M)}z_2/z_1)\Phi_{j_2}(z_2)\Psi^*_j(z_1)(-1)^{[j_1][j_2]},
\]

(3.37)

where we set

\[
\chi(q^{M-N}z) = \begin{cases} 
- \frac{z^{M-N}q^{2(M-N)}\infty}{(q^{2(M-N)}/z^2)^{M-N+1}q^{2(M-N)}/z} & (M > N) \\
- \frac{z^{N-M}q^{2(N-M)}\infty}{(q^{2(N-M)}/z^2)^{N-M+1}q^{2(N-M)}/z} & (N > M)
\end{cases}
\]

(3.38)

Theorem 3.4 For $M > N$ the type-I vertex operators satisfy the invertibility relations as follows.

\[
\Phi_{j_1}(z)\Phi^*_{j_2}(z) = (-1)^{[j_1]}g^{-1}\delta_{j_1,j_2}, \quad (j_1 \geq j_2),
\]

(3.39)

\[
\sum_{j_1=1}^{M+N} (-1)^{[j]}\Phi^*_{j_2}(z)\Phi_{j_1}(z) = g^{-1},
\]

(3.40)

\[
\Phi^*_{j_1}(q^{2(M-N)}z)\Phi_{j_2}(z) = (-1)^{M+N}g^{-1}q^{2j_1}\delta_{j_1,j_2}, \quad (j_1 \leq j_2),
\]

(3.41)

\[
\sum_{j_1=1}^{M+N} q^{-2j_1}\Phi_{j_1}(z)\Phi^*_{j_2}(q^{2(M-N)}z) = (-1)^{M+N}g^{-1},
\]

(3.42)

where we set

\[
g = e^{-\frac{\pi\sqrt{-1}M(N+1)}{2(N-M)}} q^{2(N-M)-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{(q^{2};q^{2(N-M)})\infty}{(q^{2(N-M)};q^{2(N-M)})\infty}.
\]

(3.43)

For $N > M$ the type-II vertex operators satisfy the invertibility relations as follows.

\[
\Psi_{j_1}(z)\Psi_{j_2}(z) = (-1)^{[j_1]}(g^*)^{-1}\delta_{j_1,j_2}, \quad (j_1 \geq j_2),
\]

(3.44)

\[
\sum_{j_1=1}^{M+N} (-1)^{[j]}\Psi^*_j(z)\Psi_{j_1}(z) = -(g^*)^{-1},
\]

(3.45)

\[
\Psi_{j_1}(q^{2(N-M)}z)\Psi^*_j(z) = (-1)^{M+N}(g^*)^{-1}q^{2j_1}\delta_{j_1,j_2}, \quad (j_1 \leq j_2),
\]

(3.46)

\[
\sum_{j_1=1}^{M+N} q^{-2j_1}\Psi^*_j(z)\Psi_{j_1}(q^{2(N-M)}z) = -(1)^{M+N}(g^*)^{-1},
\]

(3.47)

where we set

\[
g^* = e^{-\frac{\pi\sqrt{-1}M(N+1)}{2(N-M)}} q^{2(N-M)-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{(q^{2};q^{2(N-M)})\infty}{(q^{2(N-M)};q^{2(N-M)})\infty}.
\]

(3.48)
The invertibility relations of the type-II vertex operators for $N > M$ are very similar to those of the type-I for $M > N$. The vertex operators also satisfy the following commutation relations:

$$
\Phi^*_j(z_2)\Phi_j(z_1) = \sum_{k_1, k_2=1}^{M+N} R^{(I)}_{VV^*}(z_1/z_2; j, j) \Phi_{k_1}(z_1)\Phi^*_{k_2}(z_2)(-1)^{|k_1||k_2|},
$$

(3.49)

$$
\Psi^*_j(z_1)\Psi_j(z_2) = \sum_{k_1, k_2=1}^{M+N} R^{(II)}_{V}(z_1/z_2; k_1, k_2) \Psi_{k_1}(z_1)\Psi^*_{k_2}(z_2)(-1)^{|k_1||k_2|},
$$

(3.50)

which are consequences of the unitary relation $R^{(i)}_{V^*}(z)R^{(i)}_{V^*}(1/z) = id$.

### 4 Proof of Theorem 3.3 and 3.4

In this Section we show Theorem 3.3 and 3.4. Our study is based on direct computation technique of bosonization developed in [14]. Consider an integral of the form

$$
\int \frac{dw_j}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int \frac{dw'_j}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} X^{\pm,j}(w_j)X^{\pm,j}(w'_j)F(w_j, w'_j),
$$

(4.1)

where the integration contours for $w_j$ and $w'_j$ are the same. Because of the commutation relations of $X^{\pm,j}(w_j)$, this integral is equal to

$$
\int \frac{dw_j}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int \frac{dw'_j}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} X^{\pm,j}(w_j)X^{\pm,j}(w'_j)(+)^{(M,N)}(w'_j, w_j)F(w'_j, w_j),
$$

(4.2)

where we set

$$
H^{(M,N)}_{j}(w'_j, w_j) = \begin{cases} 
-\frac{w_j - q^{\pm 2}w'_j}{w'_j - q^{\pm 2}w_j} & (1 \leq j \leq M - 1) \\
-1 & (j = M) \\
-\frac{w'_j - q^{\pm 2}w_j}{w_j - q^{\pm 2}w'_j} & (M + 1 \leq j \leq M + N - 1)
\end{cases}
$$

(4.3)

We define "weakly equality" as follows. We say they are equal in weak sense if

$$
F(w_j, w'_j) + H^{(M,N)}_{j}(w'_j, w_j)F(w'_j, w_j) = G(w_j, w'_j) + H^{(M,N)}_{j}(w'_j, w_j)G(w'_j, w_j),
$$

(4.4)

We write

$$
G(w_j, w'_j) \sim F(w_j, w'_j)
$$

(4.5)

with respect to $(w_j, w'_j)$, showing the weak equality.

### 4.1 Integral representations of vertex operators

In this Section we give integral representations of the vertex operators. We assume $M, N \geq 1$ ($M \neq N$). Using normal ordering rules in Appendix A we have the following commutation relations. For $M = 1$ and $N \geq 1$ we have

$$
\Phi^*_1(q^{-1}z)X^{-1}(w) = \frac{(w - qz)}{(z - qw)}X^{-1}(w)\Phi^*_1(q^{-1}z),
$$

(4.6)

$$
\Psi_1(q^{-1}z)X^{+1}(w) = \frac{(z - qw)}{(w - qz)}X^{+1}(w)\Psi_1(q^{-1}z).
$$

(4.7)
For $M \geq 2$ and $N \geq 1$ we have

\[
\Phi^*_\mu(q^{-1}z)X^{-\mu}(w) = -\frac{(w - qz)}{(z - qw)}X^{-\mu}(w)\Phi^*_\mu(q^{-1}z), \quad (4.8)
\]

\[
\Psi_1(q^{-1}z)X^{+\mu}(w) = -\frac{(z - qw)}{(w - qz)}X^{+\mu}(w)\Psi_1(q^{-1}z), \quad (4.9)
\]

\[
\Phi^*_\mu(z)X^{-M}(w) = -X^{-M}(w)\Phi^*_\mu(z) \quad (\varepsilon = \pm), \quad (4.10)
\]

\[
\Psi_1(z)X^{+M}(w) = -X^{+M}(w)\Psi_1(z). \quad (4.11)
\]

For $M \geq 1$ and $N \geq 1$ we have

\[
\Phi^*_{M+N}(q^{-M+N-1}z)X^{-M+N}(w) = -\frac{(z - qw)}{(w - qz)}X^{-M+N}(w)\Phi_{M+N}(q^{-M+N-1}z), \quad (4.12)
\]

\[
\Psi^*_{M+N}(q^{M-N-1}z)X^{+M+N}(w) = -\frac{(w - qz)}{(z - qw)}X^{+M+N}(w)\Psi^*_{M+N}(q^{M-N-1}z). \quad (4.13)
\]

Using the recursion relations (2.79), (2.81), (2.83), (2.85) and these commutation relations, we have integral representations of the vertex operators as follows. The type-I vertex operator $\Phi^*_\mu(z)$ is realized by

\[
\Phi^*_\mu(q^{-1}w_0) = c^\mu_\mu \prod_{j=1}^{\mu-1} \int \frac{d\omega_j}{2\pi \sqrt{-1}} \frac{1}{\prod_{j=0}^{\mu-2} \prod_{j=0}^{\mu-2} (1 - q\omega_j/w_{j+1})} \times \Phi^*_\mu(q^{-1}w_0)X^{-\mu}(w_1)X^{-2}(w_2) \ldots X^{-\mu-1}(w_{\mu-1}) \quad (1 \leq \mu \leq M), \quad (4.14)
\]

\[
\Phi^*_\mu(q^{-1}w_0) = c^\mu_\mu \prod_{j=1}^{\mu-1} \int \frac{d\omega_j}{2\pi \sqrt{-1}} \frac{1}{\prod_{j=0}^{\mu-2} \prod_{j=0}^{\mu-2} (1 - q\omega_j/w_{j+1})} \times \Phi^*_\mu(q^{-1}w_0)X^{-\mu}(w_1)X^{-2}(w_2) \ldots X^{-\mu-1}(w_{\mu-1}) \quad (M + 1 \leq \mu \leq M + N), \quad (4.15)
\]

where we set

\[
c^\mu_\mu = \begin{cases} 
(q - q^{-1})^{\mu-1} & \quad (1 \leq \mu \leq M) \\
(q - q^{-1})^{\mu-1}q^{\mu-M-1} & \quad (M + 1 \leq \mu \leq M + N) \end{cases} \quad . 
\]

The type-I vertex operator $\Phi_\mu(z)$ is realized by

\[
\Phi_\mu(q^{-M+N-1}w_{M+N}) = c_\mu \prod_{j=\mu}^{M+N-1} \int \frac{d\omega_j}{2\pi \sqrt{-1}} \frac{1}{\prod_{j=\mu}^{M+N-1} (1 - q\omega_j/w_j)} \times X^{-\mu}(w_{\mu}) \ldots X^{-M}(w_{M}) \ldots X^{-M+N-1}(w_{M+N-1})\Phi_{M+N}(q^{-M+N-1}w_{M+N}), 
\]

\[
(1 \leq \mu \leq M - 1), \quad (4.17)
\]

\[
\Phi_\mu(q^{-M+N-1}w_{M+N}) = c_\mu \prod_{j=\mu}^{M+N-1} \int \frac{d\omega_j}{2\pi \sqrt{-1}} \frac{1}{\prod_{j=\mu}^{M+N-1} (1 - q\omega_j/w_j)} \times X^{-\mu}(w_{\mu}) \ldots X^{-M+N-1}(w_{M+N-1})\Phi_{M+N}(q^{-M+N-1}w_{M+N}), \quad (M \leq \mu \leq M + N), \quad (4.18)
\]
where we set

\[
  c_\mu = \begin{cases} 
  (-1)^{M+N-\mu-1}q^{M-\mu}(q - q^{-1})^{M+N-\mu} & (1 \leq \mu \leq M) \\
  (-1)^{M+N-\mu}(q - q^{-1})^{M+N-\mu} & (M + 1 \leq \mu \leq M + N) 
  \end{cases} \quad (4.19)
\]

The type-II vertex operator \( \Psi_\mu(z) \) is realized by

\[
  \Psi_\mu(q^{-1}w_0) = d_\mu \prod_{j=1}^{\mu-1} \oint \frac{dw_j}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \frac{1}{\prod_{j=0}^{\mu-2} (1 - qw_{j+1}/w_j)} 
  \times \Psi_1(q^{-1}w_0)X^{+,1}(w_1)X^{+,2}(w_2) \cdots X^{+,\mu-1}(w_{\mu-1}) \quad (1 \leq \mu \leq M),
\]

\[
  \Psi_\mu(q^{-1}w_0) = d_\mu \prod_{j=1}^{\mu-1} \oint \frac{dw_j}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \frac{1}{\prod_{j=0}^{M-1} (1 - qw_{j+1}/w_j)} \prod_{j=M}^{\mu-2} (q - w_{j+1}/w_j) 
  \times \Psi_1(q^{-1}w_0)X^{+,1}(w_1)X^{+,2}(w_2) \cdots X^{+,M}(w_M) \cdots X^{+,\mu-1}(w_{\mu-1}) 
  \quad (M + 1 \leq \mu \leq M + N),
\] (4.20)

where we set

\[
  d_\mu = \begin{cases} 
  (-1)^{\mu-1}q^{\mu-1}(q - q^{-1})^{\mu-1} & (1 \leq \mu \leq M) \\
  (-1)^{M}q^{M}(q - q^{-1})^{\mu-1} & (M + 1 \leq \mu \leq M + N) 
  \end{cases} \quad (4.22)
\]

The type-II vertex operator \( \Psi_\mu^*(z) \) is realized by

\[
  \Psi_\mu^*(q^{M-N-1}w_{M+N}) = d_\mu^{*} \prod_{j=\mu}^{M+N-1} \oint \frac{dw_j}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \frac{1}{\prod_{j=\mu}^{M-1} (q - w_j/w_{j+1}) \prod_{j=M}^{M+N-1} (1 - qw_j/w_{j+1})} 
  \times X^{+,\mu}(w_\mu) \cdots X^{+,M+N-1}(w_{M+N-1})\Psi_\mu^{*}(q^{M-N-1}w_{M+N}) 
  \quad (1 \leq \mu \leq M),
\]

\[
  \Psi_\mu^*(q^{M-N-1}w_{M+N}) = d_\mu^{*} \prod_{j=\mu}^{M+N-1} \oint \frac{dw_j}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \frac{1}{\prod_{j=\mu}^{M-N-1} (1 - qw_j/w_{j+1})} 
  \times X^{+,\mu}(w_\mu) \cdots X^{+,M+N-1}(w_{M+N-1})\Psi_\mu^{*}(q^{M-N-1}w_{M+N}) 
  \quad (M + 1 \leq \mu \leq M + N),
\] (4.23)

where we set

\[
  d_\mu^{*} = \begin{cases} 
  (-1)^{N-1}q^{-N+2+2(M-\mu)}(q - q^{-1})^{M+N-\mu} & (1 \leq \mu \leq M) \\
  (-1)^{M+N-\mu}q^{-N+2+2(M-\mu)}(q - q^{-1})^{M+N-\mu} & (M + 1 \leq \mu \leq M + N) 
  \end{cases} \quad (4.25)
\]

We define

\[
  D(w_1, w'_1; w_2, w'_2) = (1 - qw_1/w_2)(1 - qw_1/w'_2)(1 - qw'_1/w_2)(1 - qw'_1/w'_2),
  \quad D(w_1, w'_1; w_2, w'_2) = (1 - w_1/qw_2)(1 - w_1/qw'_2)(1 - w'_1/qw_2)(1 - w'_1/qw'_2),
\] (4.26)
which satisfy
\[ D(w_1, w'_1; w_2, w'_2) = D(w'_1, w_1; w_2, w'_2) = D(w_1, w'_1; w'_2, w_2), \quad (4.27) \]
\[ \tilde{D}(w_1, w'_1; w_2, w'_2) = \tilde{D}(w'_1, w_1; w_2, w'_2) = \tilde{D}(w_1, w'_1; w'_2, w_2). \quad (4.28) \]

### 4.2 Proof of (3.29) in Theorem 3.3

In this Section we show the commutation relation (3.29) in Theorem 3.3. The commutation relation (3.32) is shown in the same way. We are to prove

\[ \Phi_\mu(z_2) \Phi^*_\nu(z_1) = - \frac{b(z_2/z_1)}{\kappa_{\nu} \cdot \nu}(z_1/z_2) \Phi^*_\nu(z_1) \Phi_\mu(z_2) \quad (1 \leq \mu \neq \nu \leq M + N), \quad (4.29) \]

\[ \Phi_\mu(z_2) \Phi^*_\nu(z_1) = \left( -1 \right)^{[\nu]} \left( \frac{z_2}{z_1} \right) c(z_2/z_1) \sum_{\nu=1}^{\mu} \Phi^*_\nu(z_1) \Phi_\nu(z_2) \quad (1 \leq \mu \leq M), \quad (4.30) \]

\[ \Phi_\mu(z_2) \Phi^*_\nu(z_1) = \left( -1 \right)^{[\nu]} \left( \frac{z_2}{z_1} \right) c(z_2/z_1) \sum_{\nu=1}^{\mu} \Phi^*_\nu(z_1) \Phi_\nu(z_2) \quad (M + 1 \leq \mu \leq M + N). \quad (4.31) \]

First we show the commutation relation (4.29). We use the integral representations of the vertex operators \( \Phi_\mu(z) \), \( \Phi^*_\nu(z) \). We set \( z_1 = q^{-1}w_0 \), \( z_2 = q^{-M+N-1}w_{M+N} \). Using the normal ordering rules in Appendix A we have

\[ \Phi_{M+N}(z_2) \Phi^*_1(z_1) = - \frac{b(z_2/z_1)}{\kappa_{\nu} \cdot \nu}(z_1/z_2) \Phi^*_1(z_1) \Phi_{M+N}(z_2). \quad (4.32) \]

For \( 1 \leq \nu < \mu \leq M + N \) the relation (4.29) is a direct consequence of (4.32), because of the commutativity \( X^{-\mu}(w_1)X^{-\nu}(w_2) = X^{-\nu}(w_2)X^{-\mu}(w_1) \) for \( |\mu - \nu| \geq 2 \). For \( 1 \leq \mu < \nu \leq M + N \) we show that (4.29) is reduced to Proposition 3.3. For \( 1 \leq \mu \leq M \) and \( M + 1 \leq \nu \leq M + N \), we rearrange the operator part of \( \Phi_{\mu}(z_2) \Phi^*_\nu(z_1) \) and \( \Phi^*_\mu(z_1) \Phi_{\nu}(z_2) \) as

\[ \Phi^*_1(z_1) X^{-1}(w_1) \cdots X^{-\mu-1}(w_{\mu-1}) \]

\[ \times \quad X^{-\mu}(w_{\mu}) X^{-\mu}(w'_{\mu}) X^{-\mu+1}(w_{\mu+1}) X^{-\mu+1}(w'_{\mu+1}) \cdots X^{-\nu-1}(w_{\nu-1}) X^{-\nu-1}(w'_{\nu-1}) \]

\[ \times \quad X^{-\nu}(w_{\nu}) \cdots X^{-M+N-1}(w_{M+N-1}) \Phi_{M+N}(z_2) \]

\[ \frac{1}{w_\nu w_{M+N} \prod_{j=\mu+1}^{\nu-1} w_j w'_j} \]

\[ \times \quad \frac{1}{\prod_{j=0}^{\mu-2} (1 - qw_{j+1}w_{j+1})(1 - qw_{\nu-1}w_{\nu-1}) \prod_{j=\mu}^{M-1} D(w_{j}, w_{j+1}, w'_{j+1})} \]

\[ \times \quad \frac{1}{\prod_{j=M}^N \tilde{D}(w_{j}, w'_{j}, w_{j+1}, w'_{j+1})(1 - w_{\nu-1}w_{\nu})(1 - w'_{\nu-1}w'_{\nu})} \]

\[ \prod_{j=\nu}^{M+N} (1 - w_j w'_{j+1}). \quad (4.33) \]
Comparing the coefficient part in integral we know that the commutation relation (4.29) is reduced to (4.35) in Proposition 4.3. For $1 \leq \mu < \nu \leq M$ we rearrange the operator part of $\Phi_{\mu}(z_2)\Phi_{\nu}(z_1)$ and $\Phi_{\nu}^\ast(z_1)\Phi_{\mu}(z_2)$ as

$$
\Phi_1(z_1)X^{-1}(w_1)\cdots X^{-\mu-1}(w_{\mu-1}) \\
\times X^{-\nu}(w_{\nu})\cdots X^{-M}(w_M)\cdots X^{-\nu-1}(w_{\nu-1}) \frac{1}{w_{\nu}w_{M+N}\prod_{j=\mu+1}^{\nu-1}w_jw'_j} \\
\times \prod_{j=0}^{\mu-2}(1-qw_j/w_{j+1})(1-qw_{\mu-1}/w_{\mu}) \prod_{j=\mu}^{\nu-2}D(w_j, w'_j; w_{j+1}, w'_{j+1}) \\
\times \prod_{j=M+1}^{M+N-1}(1-w_j/w_{j+1}) \prod_{j=0}^{\nu-2}(1-qw_j/w_{j+1})(1-qw_{\nu-1}/w_{\nu}) \prod_{j=\mu}^{\nu-2}D(w_j, w'_j; w_{j+1}, w'_{j+1}).
$$

(4.34)

Comparing the coefficient part in integral we know that the commutation relation (4.29) is reduced to (4.36) in Proposition 4.3. For $M+1 \leq \mu < \nu \leq M+N$ we rearrange the operator part as

$$
\Phi_{\nu}^\ast(z_1)X^{-1}(w_1)\cdots X^{-M}(w_M)\cdots X^{-\mu-1}(w_{\mu-1}) \\
\times X^{-\nu}(w_{\nu})\cdots X^{-M+N-1}(w_{M+N-1})\Phi_{M+N}(z_2) \frac{1}{w_{\nu}w_{M+N}\prod_{j=\mu+1}^{\nu-1}w_jw'_j} \\
\times \prod_{j=0}^{M-1}(1-qw_j/w_{j+1}) \prod_{j=M}^{\mu-2}(1-w_j/w_{j+1})(1-qw_{\mu-1}/w_{\mu}) \prod_{j=\nu}^{\nu-2}D(w_j, w'_j; w_{j+1}, w'_{j+1}) \\
\times \prod_{j=M+1}^{M+N-1}(1-w_j/w_{j+1}) \prod_{j=0}^{\nu-2}(1-qw_j/w_{j+1})(1-qw_{\nu-1}/w_{\nu}) \prod_{j=\mu}^{\nu-2}D(w_j, w'_j; w_{j+1}, w'_{j+1}).
$$

(4.35)

Comparing the coefficient part in integral we know that the commutation relation (4.29) is reduced to (4.37) in Proposition 4.3.

To show Proposition 4.3 we prepare Proposition 4.1 and Proposition 4.2.

**Proposition 4.1** For $1 \leq \mu \leq M$ and $M+1 \leq \nu \leq M+N$ the weak equality

$$
\prod_{j=\mu}^{M-1}(qw_j-w_{j+1})(w_j-qw_{j+1}) \prod_{j=M}^{\nu-2}(w_j-qw_{j+1})(qw'_j-w_{j+1}) \sim 0,
$$

holds with respect to $(w_{\mu}, w'_{\mu}), (w_{\mu+1}, w'_{\mu+1}), \cdots, (w_{\nu-1}, w'_{\nu-1})$.

**Proof of Proposition 4.1** We show (4.36) by induction of $\nu$. First we show the case $\nu = M+1$.

$$
\prod_{j=\mu}^{M-1}(qw_j-w_{j+1})(w'_j-qw_{j+1})
$$
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We assume

\[ \prod_{j=\mu}^{M-2} (qw_j - w'_{j+1})(w'_j - qw_{j+1})(w'_{M-1} - q^2 w_{M-1})(w_M - w'_M) \]

\[ \sim \frac{1}{2^{M-\mu}} \prod_{j=\mu}^{M-1} (w'_j - q^2 w_j) \prod_{j=\mu+1}^{M} (w_j - w'_j) \sim 0. \] (4.37)

For \( \nu \geq M + 2 \) we have

\[ \prod_{j=\mu}^{M-1} (qw_j - w'_{j+1})(w'_j - qw_{j+1}) \prod_{j=M}^{\nu-2} (w_j - qw'_{j+1})(qw'_j - w_j+1) \]

\[ \sim \prod_{j=\mu}^{M-1} (qw_j - w'_{j+1})(w'_j - qw_{j+1}) \prod_{j=M}^{\nu-3} (w_j - qw'_{j+1})(qw'_j - w_j+1)c(w_{\nu-2}, w'_{\nu-2}) \sim 0, \] (4.38)

where

\[ c(w_{\nu-2}, w'_{\nu-2}) = \frac{(w'_{\nu-1} - w_{\nu-1})}{(w'_{\nu-1} - q^2 w_{\nu-1})} \{ (q + q^3)(w_{\nu-2}w'_{\nu-2} + w_{\nu-1}w'_{\nu-1}) - q^2(w'_{\nu-1} + w_{\nu-1})(w_{\nu-2} + w'_{\nu-2}) \} \]

is a symmetric function with \((w_{\nu-2}, w'_{\nu-2})\). We use the assumption of induction for \( \nu \). Q.E.D.

Proposition 4.2 The weak equalities

\[ (w'_\mu - q^2 w_\mu)P_L \sim 0, \ (w'_\mu - q^2 w_\mu)P_Lw_{M-1} \sim S_L, \ (w'_\mu - q^2 w_\mu)P_Lw'_{M-1} \sim -S_L, \] (4.39)

\[ P_L(w'_{M-1} - q^2 w_{M-1}) \sim 0, \ w_\mu P_L(w'_{M-1} - q^2 w_{M-1}) \sim S_L, \ w'_\mu P_L(w'_M - q^2 w_{M-1}) \sim -S_L \] (4.40)

hold with respect to \((w_\mu, w'_\mu), (w_{\mu+1}, w'_{\mu+1}), \ldots, (w_{M-1}, w'_{M-1})\). The weak equalities

\[ P_R(w_{\nu-1} - q^2 w'_{\nu-1}) \sim 0, \ w_{M+1}P_R(w_{\nu-1} - q^2 w'_{\nu-1}) \sim -S_R, \ w'_{M+1}P_R(w_{\nu-1} - q^2 w'_{\nu-1}) \sim S_R, \] (4.41)

\[ (w_{M+1} - q^2 w'_{M+1})P_R \sim 0, \ (w_{M+1} - q^2 w'_{M+1})P_Rw_{\nu-1} \sim -S_R, \ (w_{M+1} - q^2 w'_{M+1})P_Rw'_{\nu-1} \sim S_R \] (4.42)

hold with respect to \((w_{M+1}, w'_{M+1}), (w_{M+2}, w'_{M+2}), \ldots, (w_{\nu-1}, w'_{\nu-1})\). For \( 1 \leq \mu \leq M - 1, \ M + 2 \leq \nu \leq M + N \) we set

\[ P_L = \prod_{j=\mu}^{M-2} (qw_j - w'_{j+1})(w'_j - qw_{j+1}), \quad P_R = \prod_{j=M+1}^{\nu-2} (w_j - qw'_{j+1})(qw'_j - w_j+1), \] (4.43)

\[ S_L = \frac{1}{2^{M-\mu}} \prod_{j=\mu}^{M-1} (w'_j - q^2 w_j)(w_j - w'_j), \quad S_R = \frac{1}{2^{M-\mu}} \prod_{j=M+1}^{\nu-1} (w_j - q^2 w'_j)(w'_j - w_j). \] (4.44)

Proposition 4.2 is shown by direct computation in the same way as Proposition 4.1.

Proposition 4.3 We assume \( M, N \geq 1 \). For \( 1 \leq \mu \leq M, \ M + 1 \leq \nu \leq M + N \) the weak equality

\[ (qw_\mu - w'_{\mu-1}) \left\{ \prod_{j=\mu}^{M-1} (qw_j - w'_{j+1})(w'_j - qw_{j+1}) \prod_{j=M}^{\nu-2} (w_j - qw'_{j+1})(qw'_j - w_j+1) \right\} (w_\nu - w'_{\nu-1}) \]

\[ \sim (-1)(w'_\mu - q w_{\mu-1}) \left\{ \prod_{j=\mu}^{M-1} (qw_j - w'_{j+1})(w'_j - qw_{j+1}) \prod_{j=M}^{\nu-2} (w_j - qw'_{j+1})(qw'_j - w_j+1) \right\} (qw_\nu - w'_{\nu-1}) \] (4.45)
holds with respect to \((w_\mu, w'_\mu), (w_{\mu+1}, w'_{\mu+1}), \ldots, (w_{\nu-1}, w'_{\nu-1})\). For \(1 \leq \mu < \nu \leq M\) the weak equality

\[
(q w_\mu - w_{\mu-1}) \left\{ \prod_{j=\mu}^{\nu-2} (q w_{j+1} - w'_j)(w'_{j+1} - q w_j) \right\} (q w_{\nu} - w'_{\nu-1})
\sim (w'_\mu - q w_{\mu-1}) \left\{ \prod_{j=\mu}^{\nu-2} (q w_{j+1} - w'_j)(w'_{j+1} - q w_j) \right\} (w_{\nu} - q w_{\nu-1})
\tag{4.46}
\]

holds with respect to \((w_\mu, w'_\mu), (w_{\mu+1}, w'_{\mu+1}), \ldots, (w_{\nu-1}, w'_{\nu-1})\). For \(M + 1 \leq \mu < \nu \leq M + N\) the weak equality

\[
(w_\mu - q w_{\mu-1}) \left\{ \prod_{j=\mu}^{\nu-2} (w_j - q w'_{j+1})(q w'_j - w_{j+1}) \right\} (w_{\nu} - q w_{\nu-1})
\sim (q w'_\mu - w_{\mu-1}) \left\{ \prod_{j=\mu}^{\nu-2} (w_j - q w'_{j+1})(q w'_j - w_{j+1}) \right\} (q w_{\nu} - w_{\nu-1})
\tag{4.47}
\]

holds with respect to \((w_\mu, w'_\mu), (w_{\mu+1}, w'_{\mu+1}), \ldots, (w_{\nu-1}, w'_{\nu-1})\).

Proof of Proposition 4.5. For \(1 \leq \mu < \nu \leq M\) we consider LHS – RHS of (4.46). We want to show

\[
LHS - RHS = \left\{(q^2 w_\mu - w'_\mu)(w_{\nu} - q w_{\nu-1}) + (w'_{\nu-1} - q^2 w_{\nu-1})(w_{\mu-1} - q w_{\mu})\right\}
\times \left\{ \prod_{j=\mu}^{\nu-2} (q w_{j+1} - w'_j)(w'_{j+1} - q w_j) \right\} \sim 0.
\tag{4.48}
\]

The first term is deformed as follows.

\[
(q^2 w_\mu - w'_\mu) \left\{ \prod_{j=\mu}^{\nu-2} (q w_{j+1} - w'_j)(w'_{j+1} - q w_j) \right\} (w_{\nu} - q w_{\nu-1})
\sim \frac{1}{2} (q^2 w_\mu - w'_\mu)(w'_\mu - w_{\mu})(q^2 w_{\mu+1} - w'_{\mu+1}) \left\{ \prod_{j=\mu+1}^{\nu-2} (q w_{j+1} - w'_j)(w'_{j+1} - q w_j) \right\} (w_{\nu} - q w_{\nu-1})
\sim \frac{q}{2^{\nu-\mu}} \prod_{j=\mu}^{\nu-1} (q^2 w_j - w'_j)(w'_j - w_j).
\tag{4.49}
\]

The second term is deformed as follows.

\[
(w_{\mu-1} - q w_{\mu}) \left\{ \prod_{j=\mu}^{\nu-2} (q w_{j+1} - w'_j)(w'_{j+1} - q w_j) \right\} (w_{\nu-1} - q^2 w_{\nu-1})
\sim \frac{1}{2} (w_{\mu-1} - q w_{\mu})(w'_\mu - w_{\mu})(q^2 w_{\mu+1} - w'_{\mu+1}) \left\{ \prod_{j=\mu+1}^{\nu-3} (q w_{j+1} - w'_j)(w'_{j+1} - q w_j) \right\} (q^2 w_{\nu-1} - w'_{\nu-1})(w'_{\nu-1} - w_{\nu-1})
\sim -\frac{q}{2^{\nu-\mu}} \prod_{j=\mu}^{\nu-1} (q^2 w_j - w'_j)(w'_j - w_j).
\tag{4.50}
\]

Hence we have \(LHS - RHS \sim 0\). We have shown (4.46). The relation (4.47) is shown in the same way.
Next we show the relation (4.45) for \(1 \leq \mu \leq M < \nu \leq M + N\). We start from \(LHS - RHS\) of (4.45).

We want to show

\[
LHS - RHS = \{ (q^2 - 1)w_{\mu - 1}w_\nu + qw_{\mu - 1}(w_\nu - 1 + w'_\nu - 1) + qw_\nu(w_\mu + w'_\mu) - (w'_\mu w_{\nu - 1} + q^2w_\mu w'_\nu - 1) \}
\times \left\{ \prod_{j=\mu}^{M-1} (w_j - w'_j + 1)(w_j - qw_j + 1) \prod_{j=M}^{\nu - 2} (w_j - qw_j + 1)(qw_j - w_{j+1}) \right\} \sim 0. \tag{4.51}
\]

Using Proposition 4.1, the weak equality (4.51) is reduced to the following.

\[
(w'_\mu - q^2w_\mu) \left\{ \prod_{j=\mu}^{M-1} (w_j - w'_j + 1)(w_j - qw_j + 1) \prod_{j=M}^{\nu - 2} (w_j - qw_j + 1)(qw_j - w_{j+1}) \right\} w_{\nu - 1}
\sim -q^2w_\mu \left\{ \prod_{j=\mu}^{M-1} (w_j - w'_j + 1)(w_j - qw_j + 1) \prod_{j=M}^{\nu - 2} (w_j - qw_j + 1)(qw_j - w_{j+1}) \right\} (w_{\nu - 1} + w'_{\nu - 1}). \tag{4.52}
\]

For \(\nu = M + 1\) and \(1 \leq \mu \leq M\), \(LHS\) of (4.52) is deformed as follows.

\[
(w'_\mu - q^2w_\mu) \left\{ \prod_{j=\mu}^{M-1} (w_j - w'_j + 1)(w_j - qw_j + 1) \right\} w_M = \frac{1}{2}(w'_\mu - q^2w_\mu)(w_\mu - w'_\mu) \left\{ \prod_{j=\mu}^{M-1} (w_j - w'_j + 1)(w'_j - qw_j + 1) \right\} w_M
\sim \frac{q^2}{2M-\mu} \left\{ \prod_{j=\mu}^{M-1} (w'_j - q^2w_j)(w_j - w'_j) \right\} (w_M + w'_M)(w_M - w'_M). \tag{4.53}
\]

For \(\nu = M + 1\) and \(1 \leq \mu \leq M\), \(RHS\) of (4.52) is deformed as follows.

\[
-q^2w_\mu \left\{ \prod_{j=\mu}^{M-1} (w_j - w'_j + 1)(w'_j - qw_j + 1) \right\} (w_M + w'_M)
\sim -\frac{q^2}{2}w_\mu \left\{ \prod_{j=\mu}^{M-2} (w_j - w'_j + 1)(w'_j - qw_j + 1) \right\} (w_M + w'_M)(w_M - w'_M)
\sim \frac{q^2}{2M-\mu} \left\{ \prod_{j=\mu}^{M-1} (w'_j - q^2w_j)(w_j - w'_j) \right\} (w_M + w'_M)(w_M - w'_M). \tag{4.54}
\]

Hence we have shown (4.52) for \(1 \leq \mu \leq M\) and \(\nu = M + 1\). The weak equality (4.52) for \(\mu = M\) and \(M + 1 \leq \nu \leq M + N\) is shown in the same way.

Next we show (4.52) for \(1 \leq \mu \leq M - 1\) and \(M + 2 \leq \nu \leq M + N\). Using the following equality

\[
(qw_m - w'_M)(w'_M - 1 - qw_M) \times (w_M - qw'_M + 1)(qw'_M - w_{M+1}) - (w_M \leftrightarrow w'_M)
= (w'_M - q^2w_M)(w_M - w'_M)(qw_{M+1} + qw_{M+1}w'_M - (w_M + w'_M)q^2w'_M - 1) + (w_M + q^2w'_M)(w_M - w'_M)(qw_{M+1} - qw_{M+1}w'_M - (w_M + w'_M)q^2w_{M+1}), \tag{4.55}
\]

\(LHS\) of (4.52) is deformed as follows.

\[
\frac{q}{2}A_{\mu,\nu} - \frac{q^2}{2}B_{\mu,\nu} - \frac{q^2}{2}C_{\mu,\nu} + \frac{q^2}{2}D_{\mu,\nu}, \tag{4.56}
\]

\[\]
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where we have

\begin{align}
A_{\mu,\nu} &= (w'_\mu - q^2 w_\mu)P_L(w'_M - w'_M) (w_M - w'_M)(w_{M+1}w'_M + w_Mw'_M)P_R w_{\nu-1}, \\
B_{\mu,\nu} &= (w'_\mu - q^2 w_\mu)P_L(w'_M - q^2 w_{M+1}) (w_M - w'_M)(w_{M-1}w'_M + w_Mw'_M)P_R w_{\nu-1}, \\
C_{\mu,\nu} &= (w'_\mu - q^2 w_\mu)P_L(w'_M - q^2 w_{M-1}) (w_M + w'_M)(w_{M-1}w'_M)P_R w_{\nu-1}, \\
D_{\mu,\nu} &= (w'_\mu - q^2 w_\mu)P_L(w'_M - q^2 w_{M+1})(w_M + w'_M)(w_{M-1}w'_M)P_R w_{\nu-1}.
\end{align}

RHS of (4.32) is deformed as follows.

\begin{align}
\frac{q}{2} A'_{\mu,\nu} - \frac{q}{2} D'_{\mu,\nu} - \frac{q^2}{2} C_{\mu,\nu} + \frac{q^2}{2} D'_{\mu,\nu},
\end{align}

where we set

\begin{align}
A'_{\mu,\nu} &= -q^2 w_\mu P_L(w'_M - w'_M)(w_M - w'_M)(w_{M+1}w'_M + w_Mw'_M)P_R (w_{\nu-1} + w'_M), \\
B'_{\mu,\nu} &= -q^2 w_\mu P_L(w'_M - q^2 w_{M+1})(w_M - w'_M)(w_{M-1}w'_M + w_Mw'_M)P_R (w_{\nu-1} + w'_M), \\
C'_{\mu,\nu} &= -q^2 w_\mu P_L(w'_M - q^2 w_{M-1})(w_M + w'_M)(w_{M-1}w'_M)P_R (w_{\nu-1} + w'_M), \\
D'_{\mu,\nu} &= -q^2 w_\mu P_L(w'_M - q^2 w_{M+1})(w_M + w'_M)(w_{M-1}w'_M)P_R (w_{\nu-1} + w'_M).
\end{align}

Using Proposition 4.2 we have

\begin{align}
A_{\mu,\nu} &\sim -(1 + q^2) S_L(w_M - w'_M)(w_M - w'_M)(w_{M+1}w'_M + w_Mw'_M)P_R w_{\nu-1}, \\
A'_{\mu,\nu} &\sim -q^2 S_L(w_M - w'_M)(w_{M+1}w'_M + w_Mw'_M)P_R (w_{\nu-1} + w'_M), \\
B_{\mu,\nu} &\sim 0, \quad B'_{\mu,\nu} \sim 0, \\
C_{\mu,\nu} &\sim -(1 + q^2) S_L(w_M + w'_M)(w_M - w'_M)w'_M P_R w_{\nu-1}, \\
C'_{\mu,\nu} &\sim -q^2 S_L(w_M + w'_M)(w_M - w'_M)w'_M P_R (w_{\nu-1} + w'_M), \\
D_{\mu,\nu} &\sim S_L(w_M + w'_M)(w_M - w'_M)S_R, \quad D'_{\mu,\nu} \sim 0.
\end{align}

Hence we have

\begin{align}
A_{\mu,\nu} - A'_{\mu,\nu} &\sim S_L(w_M - w'_M)(w_{M+1}w'_M + w_Mw'_M)P_R (q^2 w'_{\nu-1} - w_{\nu-1}) \sim 0, \\
B_{\mu,\nu} - B'_{\mu,\nu} &\sim 0, \\
C_{\mu,\nu} - C'_{\mu,\nu} &\sim S_L(w_M + w'_M)(w_M - w'_M)S_R, \\
D_{\mu,\nu} - D'_{\mu,\nu} &\sim S_L(w_M + w'_M)(w_M - w'_M)S_R.
\end{align}

Hence we have shown the weak equality (4.32) for \(1 \leq \mu \leq M - 1\) and \(M + 2 \leq \nu \leq M + N\). Q.E.D.

Now we have shown the commutation relation (4.29).

Next we show the commutation relations (4.30) and (4.31). By rearranging the operator part, \(LHS - RHS = 0\) of (4.30) and (4.31) are deformed as follows.

\begin{align}
\prod_{j=1}^{M+N} \int \frac{dw_j}{2\pi \sqrt{-1}} \Phi_\star(q^{-1} w_0) X^{-1}(w_1) \cdots X^{-M+N-1}(w_{M+M-1}) \Phi_{M+N}(q^{-M+N-1} w_{M+N}) \\
\times \prod_{j=0}^{M-1} \left( q - w_{j+1}/w_j \right) \prod_{j=M}^{M+N-1} \left( 1 - qw_{j+1}/w_j \right) &= 0.
\end{align}
Here we set

\[ F_\mu(w_0, w_1, \ldots, w_{M+N}) = c_\mu c_\mu^* (-1)^{\mu-1} \left\{ b(q^{-M-N}w_{M+N}/w_0)(w_{\mu-1} - qw_\mu) - (qw_{\mu-1} - w_\mu) \right\} \]

\[ - \frac{q^{-M-N}w_{M+N}}{w_0} c(q^{-M-N}w_{M+N}/w_0) \sum_{\nu=1}^{\mu-1} c_\nu c_\nu^*(-1)^{\nu-1} (qw_{\nu-1} - w_\nu) \]

\[ - \sum_{\nu=\mu+1}^{M} c_\nu c_\nu^*(-1)^{\nu-1} (qw_{\nu-1} - w_\nu) \]

\[ + \sum_{\nu=M+1}^{M+N} c_\nu c_\nu^*(-1)^{\nu-1} (w_{\nu-1} - qw_\nu) \]

\[(1 \leq \mu \leq M), \quad (4.77)\]

and

\[ F_\mu(w_0, w_1, \ldots, w_{M+N}) = (-1)^{\mu} c_\mu c_\mu^* \left\{ b(q^{-M-N}w_{M+N}/w_0)(qw_{\mu-1} - w_\mu) + a(q^{-M-N}w_{M+N}/w_0)(w_{\mu-1} - qw_\mu) \right\} \]

\[ + \frac{q^{-M-N}w_{M+N}}{w_0} c(q^{-M-N}w_{M+N}/w_0) \]

\[ \times \left\{ \sum_{\nu=1}^{M} c_\nu c_\nu^*(-1)^{\nu-1} (qw_{\nu-1} - w_\nu) - \sum_{\nu=M+1}^{M+N} c_\nu c_\nu^*(-1)^{\nu-1} (w_{\nu-1} - qw_\nu) \right\} \]

\[ - c(q^{-M-N}w_{M+N}/w_0) \sum_{\nu=\mu+1}^{M+N} c_\nu c_\nu^*(-1)^{\nu-1} (w_{\nu-1} - qw_\nu) \quad (M + 1 \leq \mu \leq M + N). \quad (4.78)\]

LHS – RHS = 0 is reduced to the following equality :

\[ F_\mu(w_0, w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_{M+N}) = 0, \quad (4.79)\]

which can be shown by straightforward computation. Here we do not have to study weak equality. Now we have shown the commutation relations \(4.30\) and \(4.31\). The commutation relation of the type-II vertex operator \(3.32\) is shown in the same way. The commutation relations \(3.49\), \(3.50\) are obtained from \(3.29\), \(3.32\), because of the unitarity relation \(R_{V'}^i(z)R_{V'}^j(1/z) = 1\).

### 4.3 Proof of \(3.30\) in Theorem 3.3

In this Section we show the commutation relation \(3.30\) in Theorem 3.3. The commutation relations \(3.29\), \(3.31\), \(3.33\) are shown in the same way. We also consider the commutation relations between the type-I and the type-II vertex operators \(3.34\), \(3.35\), \(3.36\), \(3.37\).

We are to prove

\[ \Phi^*_\mu(z_2)\Phi^*_\mu(z_1) = \frac{1}{R_{V'}^i(z_1/z_2)} \Phi^*_\mu(z_1)\Phi^*_\mu(z_2) \quad (1 \leq \mu \leq M), \quad (4.80)\]

\[ \Phi^*_\mu(z_2)\Phi^*_\mu(z_1) = \frac{a(z_1/z_2)}{R_{V'}^i(z_1/z_2)} \Phi^*_\mu(z_1)\Phi^*_\mu(z_2) \quad (M + 1 \leq \mu \leq M + N), \quad (4.81)\]

\[ \Phi^*_\mu(z_2)\Phi^*_\mu(z_1) = \frac{1}{R_{V'}^i(z_1/z_2)} \left( -b(z_1/z_2)\Phi^*_\mu(z_1)\Phi^*_\mu(z_2)(-1)^{|\mu||\nu|} + c(z_1/z_2)\Phi^*_\mu(z_1)\Phi^*_\mu(z_2) \right) \]
We show that (4.80) and (4.81) are reduced to Proposition 4.5. We set (4.80) holds with respect to \( M \).

Comparing the coefficient part in integral (4.80) is reduced to (4.93) in Proposition 4.5. For Proposition 4.4, we set \( \Phi \) holds with respect to \( M \).

First we show the commutation relations (4.80) and (4.81). We use the integral representation of the vertex operator \( \Phi(z) \). Using the normal ordering rules in Appendix A we have

\[
\Phi(z_2)\Phi(z_1) = \frac{1}{\kappa_{V^*}(z_1/z_2)} \Phi(z_1)\Phi(z_2).
\]

We show that (4.80) and (4.81) are reduced to Proposition 4.5. We set \( z_1 = q^{-1}w_0 \), \( z_2 = q^{-1}w'_0 \). For \( 2 \leq \mu \leq M \) we rearrange the operator part of \( \Phi(z_2)\Phi(z_1) \) and \( \Phi(z_1)\Phi(z_2) \) as

\[
\Phi(q^{-1}w_0)\Phi(q^{-1}w'_0)X^{-1}(w_1)X^{-1}(w'_1)X^{-2}(w_2)X^{-2}(w'_2) \cdots X^{-\mu-1}(w_{\mu-1})X^{-\mu-1}(w'_{\mu-1})
\]

\[
\times \frac{1}{\mu-2} \prod_{j=0}^{\mu-2} D(w_j, w'_j; w_{j+1}, w'_{j+1}) \times \prod_{j=1}^{\mu-1} w_j w'_j.
\]

Comparing the coefficient part in integral (4.80) is reduced to (4.83). For \( M + 1 \leq \mu \leq M + N \) we rearrange the operator part of \( \Phi(z_2)\Phi(z_1) \) and \( \Phi(z_1)\Phi(z_2) \) as

\[
\Phi(q^{-1}w_0)\Phi(q^{-1}w'_0)X^{-1}(w_1)X^{-1}(w'_1)X^{-2}(w_2)X^{-2}(w'_2) \cdots X^{-\mu-1}(w_{\mu-1})X^{-\mu-1}(w'_{\mu-1})
\]

\[
\times \frac{1}{M-1} \prod_{j=0}^{M-1} D(w_j, w'_j; w_{j+1}, w'_{j+1}) \prod_{j=M}^{\mu-2} D(w_j, w'_j; w_{j+1}, w'_{j+1}) \times \prod_{j=1}^{\mu-1} w_j w'_j.
\]

Comparing the coefficient part in integral (4.81) is reduced to (4.84). In Proposition 4.6, we prepare Proposition 4.4.

**Proposition 4.4** For \( M \geq 2 \) the weak equality

\[
D^{(M)}_{\mu}(w_0, w_1, w'_1, \cdots, w_{\mu-1}, w'_{\mu-1}) \sim D^{(M)}_{\mu}(w'_0, w_0, w_1, w'_1, \cdots, w'_{\mu-1}, w_{\mu-1}) \quad (2 \leq \mu \leq M)
\]

holds with respect to \( (w_1, w'_1), (w_2, w'_2), \cdots, (w_{\mu-1}, w'_{\mu-1}) \). For \( N \geq 2 \) the weak equality

\[
D^{(N)}_{\mu}(w_0, w_1, w'_1, \cdots, w_{\mu-1}, w'_{\mu-1}) \sim D^{(N)}_{\mu}(w'_0, w_0, w_1, w'_1, \cdots, w'_{\mu-1}, w_{\mu-1}) \quad (2 \leq \mu \leq N)
\]

holds with respect to \( (w_1, w'_1), (w_2, w'_2), \cdots, (w_{\mu-1}, w'_{\mu-1}) \). Here we set

\[
D^{(M)}_{\mu}(w_0, w'_0, w_1, w'_1, \cdots, w_{\mu-1}, w'_{\mu-1}) = \prod_{j=0}^{\mu-2} (w_j - q w_{j+1}) (w'_j - q w'_{j+1}) \quad (2 \leq \mu \leq M),
\]

\[
D^{(N)}_{\mu}(w_0, w'_0, w_1, w'_1, \cdots, w_{\mu-1}, w'_{\mu-1}) = \prod_{j=0}^{\mu-2} (q w'_j - w_{j+1}) (q w_j' - w_{j+1}) \quad (2 \leq \mu \leq N).
\]
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Proof of Proposition 4.4. We show Proposition 4.4 by induction for $M$ and $\mu$. We show (4.87) for $M \geq 2$ and $N = 0$. By direct computation we have

$$D_2^{(M|0)}(w'_0, w_0, w_1, w'_1) \sim \frac{1}{2} \left\{ (w_0 - qw_1)(w'_1 - qw'_0) - \frac{(w'_1 - q^2w_1)}{(w_1 - q^2w'_1)}(w_0 - qw'_1)(w_1 - qw_0) \right\} \sim D_2^{(M|0)}(w_0, w'_0, w_1, w'_1). \quad (4.91)$$

If we assume (4.87) for $M \geq 2$ and $2 \leq \mu \leq M$, then we have

$$D_{\mu+1}^{(M+1|0)}(w'_0, w_0, w_1, w'_1, \ldots, w_\mu, w'_\mu) \sim \frac{1}{2} \left\{ (w_0 - qw_1)(w'_1 - qw'_0) - \frac{(w'_1 - q^2w_1)}{(w_1 - q^2w'_1)}(w_0 - qw'_1)(w_1 - qw_0) \right\} \times D_{\mu}^{(M|0)}(w_1, w'_1, \ldots, w_\mu, w'_\mu) \sim D_{\mu+1}^{(M+1|0)}(w_0, w'_0, w_1, w'_1, \ldots, w_\mu, w'_\mu). \quad (4.92)$$

Now we have shown (4.87) by induction. The weakly equality (4.88) for $N \geq 2$ and $M = 0$ is shown in the same way. Q.E.D.

Proposition 4.5. We assume $M, N \geq 1$. For $2 \leq \mu \leq M$ the weak equality

$$D_{\mu}^{(M|N)}(w'_0, w_0, w_1, w'_1, \ldots, w_\mu-1, w'_\mu-1) \sim D_{\mu}^{(M|N)}(w'_0, w_0, w_1, w'_1, \ldots, w_\mu-1, w'_\mu-1) \quad (4.93)$$

holds with respect to $(w_1, w'_1), (w_2, w'_2), \ldots, (w_\mu-1, w'_\mu-1)$. For $M + 1 \leq \mu \leq M + N$ the weak equality

$$D_{\mu}^{(M|N)}(w'_0, w_0, w_1, w'_1, \ldots, w_\mu-1, w'_\mu-1) \sim \frac{(q^2w'_0 - w_0)}{(w'_0 - qw_0)} D_{\mu}^{(M|N)}(w'_0, w_0, w_1, w'_1, \ldots, w_\mu-1, w'_\mu-1) \quad (4.94)$$

holds with respect to $(w_1, w'_1), (w_2, w'_2), \ldots, (w_\mu-1, w'_\mu-1)$. Here set

$$D_{\mu}^{(M|N)}(w_0, w'_0, w_1, w'_1, \ldots, w_\mu-1, w'_\mu-1) = \prod_{j=0}^{\mu-2} (w'_j - qw_{j+1})(w'_j - w_{j+1}) \quad (2 \leq \mu \leq M + 1), \quad (4.95)$$

$$D_{\mu}^{(M|N)}(w_0, w'_0, w_1, w'_1, \ldots, w_\mu-1, w'_\mu-1) = \prod_{j=0}^{M-1} (w'_j - qw_{j+1})(w'_j - w_{j+1}) \prod_{j=M}^{\mu-2} (qw'_j - w_{j+1})(qw'_j - w_j) \quad (M + 2 \leq \mu \leq M + N). \quad (4.96)$$

Proof of Proposition 4.5. We show Proposition 4.5 by induction of $M$ and $\mu$. First we show (4.94) for $M = 1$ and $N \geq 2$. Our starting point is (4.88) for $N \geq 2$ and $M = 0$ in Proposition 4.4. For $\mu \geq 2$ we have

$$D_{\mu}^{(1|N)}(w'_0, w_0, w_1, w'_1, \ldots, w_\mu-1, w'_\mu-1) \sim \frac{1}{2} \left\{ (w_0 - qw_1)(w'_1 - qw'_0) - (w_0 - qw'_1)(w_1 - qw_0) \right\} \times D_{\mu-1}^{(0|N)}(w_1, w'_1, \ldots, w_\mu, w'_\mu) \sim \frac{(q^2w'_0 - w_0)}{(w'_0 - q^2w_0)} D_{\mu}^{(1|N)}(w_0, w'_0, w_1, w'_1, \ldots, w_\mu, w'_\mu). \quad (4.97)$$

Then we have shown (4.94) for $N \geq 2$ and $M = 1$. 24
The equality (4.93) for $M \geq 2$ and $N = 1$ is shown in the same way. By direct computation we have

$$D_2^{(1|1)}(w'_0, w_0, w_1, w_1') \sim \frac{(q^2 w'_0 - w_0)}{(w_0 - q^2 w'_0)} D_2^{(1|1)}(w_0, w_0', w_1, w_1').$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.98)

If we assume (4.93) for $M \geq 2$ and $N = 1$ and $2 \leq \mu \leq M$ we have

$$D_{\mu + 1}^{(M+1|1)}(w'_0, w_0, w_1, w_1', \ldots, w_{\mu}, w_{\mu}')$$

$$\sim \frac{1}{2} \left\{ (w_0 - qw_1)(w_1' - qw_0') - \frac{(w_1' - q^2 w'_1)}{(w_1' - q^2 w'_1)} (w_0 - qw_1') (w_1' - qw_0') \right\} D_{\mu}^{(M|1)}(w_1, w_1', \ldots, w_{\mu}, w_{\mu}')$$

$$\sim D_{\mu + 1}^{(M+1|1)}(w_0, w_0', w_1, w_1', \ldots, w_{\mu}, w_{\mu}'),$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.99)

and

$$D_{M+2}^{(M+1|1)}(w_0, w_0, w_1, w_1', \ldots, w_{M+1}, w_{M+1}')$$

$$\sim \frac{1}{2} \left\{ (w_0 - qw_1)(w_1' - qw_0') - (w_0 - qw_1')(w_1' - qw_0') \right\} D_{M+1}^{(M+1|1)}(w_1, w_1', \ldots, w_{M+1}, w_{M+1}')$$

$$\sim D_{M+1}^{(M|1)}(w_0, w_0', w_1, w_1', \ldots, w_{\mu}, w_{\mu}').$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.100)

We have shown (4.93) for $M \geq 2$ and $N = 1$ by induction.

Next we show (4.82). (4.83) is shown in the same way. We use an integral representation of the vertex operator $\Phi^\ast(z)$. We set $z_2 = q^{-1}w_0$ and $z_1 = q^{-1}w'_0$. It is enough to show (4.82) for $\nu = \mu + 1$, because of the commutativity $X^{-\nu}(w_1)X^{-\nu}(w_2) = X^{-\nu}(w_2)X^{-\nu}(w_1)$ for $|\mu - \nu| \geq 2$. Now we show that (4.82) for $\nu = \mu + 1$ is reduced to Proposition (4.117). For $1 \leq \mu \leq M$ we rearrange the operator part of product of the vertex operators $\Phi^\ast_\mu(z_2)\Phi^\ast_{\mu+1}(z_1)$, $\Phi^\ast_{\mu+1}(z_1)\Phi^\ast_\mu(z_2)$, $\Phi^\ast_{\mu+1}(z_1)\Phi^\ast_\mu(z_2)$ as

$$\Phi^\ast_1(q^{-1}w_0)\Phi^\ast_1(q^{-1}w'_0)(w_1)X^{-1}(w_1)X^{-3}(w_1')X^{-2}(w_2)X^{-2}(w'_2) \cdots X^{-1}(w_{\mu-1})X^{-1}(w'_{\mu-1})X^{-1}(w_{\mu'}).$$
Comparing the coefficient part in integral we know that the commutation relation (4.82) is reduced to (4.121) for $1 \leq \mu \leq M$ in Proposition 4.7. For $M + 1 \leq \mu \leq M + N - 1$ we rearrange the operator part as

$$
\Phi^*(q^{-1}w_0)\Phi^*(q^{-1}w'_0)X^{-1}(w_1)X^{-1}(w'_1)X^{-2}(w_2)X^{-2}(w'_2)\cdots X^{-\mu-1}(w_{\mu-1})X^{-\mu-1}(w'_{\mu-1})X^{-\mu}(w_{\mu})

\times 
\prod_{j=0}^{M-1} D(w_j, w'_j; w_{j+1}, w'_{j+1}) \prod_{j=M}^{\mu-2} D(w_j, w'_j; w_{j+1}, w'_{j+1})(1 - qw_{\mu-1}/w'_\mu)(1 - w'_{\mu-1}/qw'_\mu)

\times 
\frac{1}{w'_\mu \prod_{j=1}^{\mu-1} w_j w'_j}.

(4.104)

Comparing the coefficient part in integral we know that the commutation relation (4.82) is reduced to (4.121) for $M + 1 \leq \mu \leq M + N - 1$ in Proposition 4.7.

To show Proposition 4.7 we prepare Proposition 4.6. We define

$$
\tilde{b}(z) = \frac{q(1 - z)}{(q^2 - z)} = b(1/z), \quad \tilde{c}(z) = \frac{(q^2 - 1)}{(q^2 - z)} = \frac{1}{z}c(1/z).

(4.106)

Proposition 4.6  We assume $N \geq 2$. For $1 \leq \mu \leq N - 1$ the weak equality

$$
(A^{(0)}_{\mu} + B^{(0)}_{\mu} + C^{(0)}_{\mu})(w_0, w'_0, w_1, w'_1, \cdots, w_{\mu-1}, w'_{\mu-1}; w'_\mu) \sim 0

(4.107)

holds with respect to $(w_1, w'_1), (w_2, w'_2), \cdots, (w_{\mu-1}, w'_{\mu-1})$. Here we set

$$
A^{(0)}_{1}(w_0, w'_0; w'_1) = (qw'_1 - w_0),
B^{(0)}_{1}(w_0, w'_0; w'_1) = \tilde{b}(w'_0/w_0)(w'_1 - qw_0),
C^{(0)}_{1}(w_0, w'_0; w'_1) = \tilde{c}(w'_0/w_0)(qw'_1 - w'_0).

(4.108-110)

For $2 \leq \mu \leq N - 1$ we set

$$
A^{(0)}_{\mu}(w_0, w'_0, \cdots, w_{\mu-1}, w'_{\mu-1}; w'_\mu) = \prod_{j=0}^{\mu-2} (w_{j+1} - qw'_j)(qw'_{j+1} - w_j)(w'_\mu - w_{\mu-1}),

(4.111)

$$
B^{(0)}_{\mu}(w_0, w'_0, w_1, w'_1, \cdots, w_{\mu-1}, w'_{\mu-1}; w'_\mu) = -\tilde{b}(w'_0/w_0)(w_1 - qw_0)(qw'_1 - w'_0) \prod_{j=1}^{\mu-2} (w_{j+1} - qw'_j)(qw'_{j+1} - w_j)(w'_\mu - w'_{\mu-1}),

(4.112)

$$
C^{(0)}_{\mu}(w_0, w'_0, w_1, w'_1, \cdots, w_{\mu-1}, w'_{\mu-1}; w'_\mu) = -\tilde{c}(w'_0/w_0)(w_1 - qw_0)(qw'_1 - w'_0) \prod_{j=1}^{\mu-2} (w_{j+1} - qw'_j)(qw'_{j+1} - w_j)(w'_\mu - w_{\mu-1}).

(4.113)
Proof of Proposition 4.6. For $\mu = 1, 2$ the weak equality (4.107) is shown by direct computation. We show (4.107) by induction of $\mu$. Using $B_{\mu-1}^{(0)} \sim -A_{\mu-1}^{(0)} - C_{\mu-1}^{(0)}$ we have
\[
B_{\mu}^{(0)}(w_0, w_0', w_1, w_1', w_2, w_2', \ldots, w_{\mu-1}, w_{\mu-1}', w_{\mu}')
\sim (A_{\mu}^{(0)})' + (C_{\mu}^{(0)})'(w_0, w_0', w_1, w_1', w_2, w_2', \ldots, w_{\mu-1}, w_{\mu-1}', w_{\mu}'),
\] (4.114)
where we set
\[
A_{\mu}^{(0)(\prime)}(w_0, w_0', w_1, w_1', \ldots, w_{\mu-1}, w_{\mu-1}', w_{\mu}')
= -\frac{b(w_0'/w_0)}{b(w_1'/w_1)} \prod_{j=0}^{\mu-2} \left( (w_{j+1} - qw_j)(qw_{j+1}' - w_j) \right) \prod_{j=2}^{\mu} (w_{j+1} - qw_j)(qw_{j+1}' - w_j)(qw_{\mu}' - w_{\mu-1})(4.115)
\]
\[
C_{\mu}^{(0)(\prime)}(w_0, w_0', w_1, w_1', \ldots, w_{\mu-1}, w_{\mu-1}', w_{\mu}')
= -\frac{b(w_0'/w_0)c(w_1/w_1')}{{b(w_1'/w_1)} \prod_{j=0}^{\mu-2} \left( (w_{j+1} - qw_j)(qw_{j+1}' - w_j) \right) \prod_{j=1}^{\mu} (w_{j+1} - qw_j)(qw_{j+1}' - w_j)(qw_{\mu}' - w_{\mu-1})}. (4.116)
\]
Noting that $\frac{b^{-1}(w_0'/w_0)}{b(w_1'/w_1)} = \frac{1}{b(w_0'/w_0)}$, we exchange $w_1$ and $w_1'$ in $A_{\mu}^{(0)(\prime)}$. Let $A_{\mu}^{(0)(\prime)(\prime)}$ be the term we thus obtain. Using the equality
\[
\frac{1}{b(w_1'/w_1)} (b(w_1/w_1') (w_1 - qw_0')(qw_0' - w_0) - b(w_0'/w_0)(w_0' - qw_0)(qw_1 - w_0))
= (1 - q^2)(w_0w_1 - w_0'w_1')(w_1 - qw_0)(qw_1 - w_0')(w_1' - w_1)(q^2w_0 - w_0'),
\] (4.117)
we have
\[
(A_{\mu}^{(0)} + A_{\mu}^{(0)(\prime)(\prime)})(w_0, w_0', w_1, w_1', w_2, w_2', \ldots, w_{\mu-1}, w_{\mu-1}', w_{\mu}')
= (1 - q^2)(w_0w_1 - w_0'w_1')(w_1 - qw_0)(qw_1 - w_0')(w_1' - w_1)(q^2w_0 - w_0').
\] (4.118)
Using the equality
\[
\frac{1}{b(w_1/w_1')}(b(w_0'/w_0)c(w_1/w_1') - b(w_1/w_1)c(w_0'/w_0)) = -\frac{(1 - q^2)(w_1 w_0 - w_0' w_0')}{(w_1' - w_1)(q^2w_0 - w_0')},
\] (4.119)
we have
\[
(C_{\mu}^{(0)} + C_{\mu}^{(0)(\prime)})(w_0, w_0', w_1, w_1', w_2, w_2', \ldots, w_{\mu-1}, w_{\mu-1}', w_{\mu}')
= -(1 - q^2)(w_0w_1 - w_0'w_1')(w_1 - qw_0)(qw_1 - w_0')(w_1' - w_1)(q^2w_0 - w_0').
\] (4.120)
Hence we have $A_{\mu}^{(0)} + B_{\mu}^{(0)} + C_{\mu}^{(0)} \sim (A_{\mu}^{(0)} + A_{\mu}^{(0)(\prime)(\prime)}) + (C_{\mu}^{(0)} + C_{\mu}^{(0)(\prime)}) \sim 0$. Q.E.D.

Proposition 4.7. We assume $M, N \geq 1$. For $1 \leq \mu \leq M + N - 1$ the weak equality
\[
(A_{\mu}^{(M)} + B_{\mu}^{(M)} + C_{\mu}^{(M)})(w_0, w_0', w_1, w_1', \ldots, w_{\mu-1}, w_{\mu-1}', w_{\mu}') \sim 0
\] (4.121)
holds with respect to \((w_1, w'_1), (w_2, w'_2), \ldots, (w_{\mu-1}, w'_{\mu-1})\). Here we set

\[
A_1^{(M|N)}(w_0, w'_0; w'_1) = (w'_1 - qw_0), \quad (4.122)
\]

\[
B_1^{(M|N)}(w_0, w'_0; w'_1) = -b(w'_0/w_0)(qw'_1 - w_0), \quad (4.123)
\]

\[
C_1^{(M|N)}(w_0, w'_0; w'_1) = -c(w'_0/w_0)(w'_1 - qw'_0). \quad (4.124)
\]

For \(2 \leq \mu \leq M\) we set

\[
A_\mu^{(M|N)}(w_0, w'_0, \ldots, w_{\mu-1}, w'_{\mu-1}; w'_\mu) = \prod_{j=0}^{\mu-2} (qw_{j+1} - w'_j)(w'_{j+1} - qw_j)(w'_\mu - qw_{\mu-1}), \quad (4.125)
\]

\[
B_\mu^{(M|N)}(w_0, w'_0, \ldots, w_{\mu-1}, w'_{\mu-1}; w'_\mu) = b(w'_0/w_0)(qw_1 - w_0)(w'_1 - qw'_0), \quad (4.126)
\]

\[
C_\mu^{(M|N)}(w_0, w'_0, \ldots, w_{\mu-1}, w'_{\mu-1}; w'_\mu) = -c(w'_0/w_0)(qw_1 - w_0)(w'_1 - qw'_0). \quad (4.127)
\]

For \(M+1 \leq \mu \leq M+N-1\) we set

\[
A_\mu^{(M|N)}(w_0, w'_0, \ldots, w_{\mu-1}, w'_{\mu-1}; w'_\mu) = \prod_{j=M}^{M-1} (qw_{j+1} - w'_j)(w'_{j+1} - qw_j)
\]

\[
\times \prod_{j=M}^{\mu-2} (w_{j+1} - qw'_j)(qw'_{j+1} - w_j)(qw'_\mu - w_{\mu-1}), \quad (4.128)
\]

\[
B_\mu^{(M|N)}(w_0, w'_0, \ldots, w_{\mu-1}, w'_{\mu-1}; w'_\mu) = b(w'_0/w_0)(qw_1 - w_0)(w'_1 - qw'_0)
\]

\[
\times \prod_{j=M}^{M-1} (qw_{j+1} - w'_j)(w'_{j+1} - qw_j) \prod_{j=M}^{\mu-2} (w_{j+1} - qw'_j)(qw'_{j+1} - w_j)(w'_\mu - qw'_{\mu-1}), \quad (4.129)
\]

\[
C_\mu^{(M|N)}(w_0, w'_0, \ldots, w_{\mu-1}, w'_{\mu-1}; w'_\mu) = -c(w'_0/w_0)(qw_1 - w_0)(w'_1 - qw'_0)
\]

\[
\times \prod_{j=M}^{\mu-2} (qw_{j+1} - w'_j)(w'_{j+1} - qw_j) \prod_{j=M}^{\mu-2} (w_{j+1} - qw'_j)(qw'_{j+1} - w_j)(qw'_\mu - w_{\mu-1}). \quad (4.130)
\]

**Proof of Proposition 4.7**  For \(\mu = 1\) the equality \((4.121)\) is shown by direct computation. For \(2 \leq \mu \leq M\) the weak equality \((4.121)\) is shown in the same way as Proposition 4.6. We focus our attention on \((4.121)\) for \(M+1 \leq \mu \leq M+N-1\). First we study \((4.121)\) for \(M = 1\) and \(N \geq 2\). Our starting point is the weak equality \((4.107)\) for \(M = 0\) and \(N \geq 2\) in Proposition 4.6. Using \(B_{\mu-1}^{(0|N)} \sim -A_{\mu-1}^{(0|N)} - B_{\mu-1}^{(0|N)}\) we have

\[
B_\mu^{(1|N)}(w_0, w'_0, w_1, w'_1, w_2, w'_2, \ldots, w_{\mu-1}, w'_{\mu-1}; w'_\mu)
\]

\[
\sim (A_\mu^{(1|N)})' + C_\mu^{(1|N)}(w_0, w'_0, w_1, w'_1, w_2, w'_2, \ldots, w_{\mu-1}, w'_{\mu-1}; w'_\mu), \quad (4.131)
\]

where we set

\[
A_\mu^{(1|N)}(w_0, w'_0, w_1, w'_1, \ldots, w_{\mu-1}, w'_{\mu-1}; w'_\mu) \quad (4.132)
\]
Noting that $H_{(1)}^{(1)(N)}(w_1, w_1') = -1$, we exchange $w_1$ and $w_1'$ in $A_{(1)}^{(1)(N)'}$. Let $A_{(1)}^{(1)(N)''}$ be the term that we obtain. Using the equality (4.117) we have
\begin{equation}
(A_{(1)}^{(1)(N)} + A_{(1)}^{(1)(N)''})(w_0, w_0', w_1, w_1', \ldots, w_{\mu-1}, w_{\mu-1}'; w_{\mu}')
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
= (1 - q^2)(w_1' w_0' - w_1 w_0)\frac{(w_1' - w_0')(q w_1 - w_0)}{(w_1' - w_1)(q^2 w_0' - w_0)} \prod_{j=1}^{\mu-2} (w_j + 1 - q w_j')(q w_j + w_j')(q w_{\mu} - w_{\mu-1}).
\end{equation}

Using the equality
\begin{equation}
\frac{1}{b(w_1/w_1')}(b(w_0'/w_0)\mathcal{E}(w_1/w_1') + c(w_0'/w_0)b(w_1/w_1')) = \frac{(1 - q^2)(w_0 w_1 - w_0' w_1')}{(w_1 - w_1')(w_0 - q^2 w_0')},
\end{equation}
we have
\begin{equation}
(C_{(1)}^{(1)(N)} + C_{(1)}^{(1)(N)'})(w_0, w_0', w_1, w_1', \ldots, w_{\mu-1}, w_{\mu-1}'; w_{\mu}')
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
= - (1 - q^2)(w_1' w_0' - w_1 w_0)\frac{(w_1' - w_0')(q w_1 - w_0)}{(w_1' - w_1)(q^2 w_0' - w_0)} \prod_{j=1}^{\mu-2} (w_j + 1 - q w_j')(q w_j + w_j')(q w_{\mu} - w_{\mu-1}).
\end{equation}

Hence we have $A_{(1)}^{(1)(N)} + B_{(1)}^{(1)(N)} + C_{(1)}^{(1)(N)} \sim (A_{(1)}^{(1)(N)} + A_{(1)}^{(1)(N)''}) + (C_{(1)}^{(1)(N)} + C_{(1)}^{(1)(N)'}).$

Next we show (4.121) for $M, N \geq 2$ and $M + 1 \leq \mu \leq M + N - 1$. Using the weak equality $B_{(M-1)}^{(M-1)(N)} \sim -A_{(M-1)}^{(M-1)(N)} - C_{(M-1)}^{(M-1)(N)}$, we have
\begin{equation}
B_{(1)}^{(M)(N)}(w_0, w_0', w_1, w_1', \ldots, w_{\mu-1}, w_{\mu-1}'; w_{\mu}') \sim (A_{(1)}^{(M)(N)'} + C_{(M)}^{(M)(N)'})(w_0, w_0', w_1, w_1', \ldots, w_{\mu-1}, w_{\mu-1}'; w_{\mu}'),
\end{equation}
where we set
\begin{equation}
A_{(1)}^{(M)(N)'}(w_0, w_0', \ldots, w_{\mu-1}, w_{\mu-1}', w_{\mu}') = -\frac{b(w_0'/w_0)}{b(w_1/w_1')} \prod_{j=0}^{M-1} (q w_j + w_j')(w_{j+1} - w_j)(w_{j+1} - q w_j')
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
\times \prod_{j=2}^{M-1} (q w_{j+1} - w_j')(w_{j+1} - w_j)(q w_{j+1} + w_j')(q w_{j+1} - w_j'(q w_{\mu} - w_{\mu-1}),
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
C_{(1)}^{(M)(N)'}(w_0, w_0', \ldots, w_{\mu-1}, w_{\mu-1}', w_{\mu}') = \frac{b(w_0'/w_0)c(w_1/w_1')}{b(w_1/w_1')} (q w_1 - w_0)(w_{1}' - w_{0}')(w_{1}' - w_{0'})
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
\times \prod_{j=1}^{M-1} (q w_{j+1} - w_j')(w_{j+1} - w_j)(q w_{j+1} + w_j')(q w_{j+1} - w_j'(q w_{\mu} - w_{\mu-1}).
\end{equation}
Noting that $H_{(M)}^{-(M)(N)(N)}(w_0, w_1, w_1') = 1$, we exchange $w_1$ and $w_1'$ in $A_{(1)}^{(M)(N)'}$. Let $A_{(1)}^{(M)(N)''}$ be the term that we obtain. Using the equality (4.117) we have
\begin{equation}
(A_{(1)}^{(M)(N)} + A_{(1)}^{(M)(N)''})(w_0, w_0', w_1, w_1', w_2, w_2', \ldots, w_{\mu-1}, w_{\mu-1}'; w_{\mu}').
\end{equation}
In this Section we show Theorem 3.4. We prepare Proposition 4.8 to show Theorem 3.4.

Using the integral representations of the vertex operators and the defining relations of the Drinfeld realization (2.20), (2.21), we obtain the commutation relations (3.34), (3.35), (3.36), (3.37). Using the normal ordering rules in Appendix A we have

\[
\frac{1}{b(w_1/w'_1)}(b(w'_0/w_0)c(w_1/w'_1) - c(w'_0/w_0)b(w_1/w'_1)) = \frac{(1 - q^2)(w_0w_1 - w'_0w'_1)}{(w'_1 - w_1)(w_0 - q^2w'_0)}. \tag{4.141}
\]

we have

\[
\begin{align*}
(C^{(M|N)} + C^{(M|N)'})&(w_0, w'_0, w_1, w'_1, w_2, w'_2, \cdots, w_{\mu-1}, w'_{\mu-1}; w_{\mu}, w'_\mu) \\
= &\ -(1 - q^2)(w'_1w'_0 - w_1w_0)\frac{(w'_1 - qw'_0)(qw_1 - w_0)}{(w'_1 - w_1)(q^2w'_0 - w_0)} \\
\times &\prod_{j=1}^{M-1} (qw_{j+1} - w'_j)(w'_j - w_j) \prod_{j=M}^{\mu-2} (w_{j+1} - qw'_j)(w'_j - w_j) (qw'_\mu - w_{\mu-1}). \tag{4.142}
\end{align*}
\]

Hence we have \(A^{(M|N)} + B^{(M|N)} + C^{(M|N)} \sim (A^{(M|N)} + A^{(M|N)'}) + (C^{(M|N)} + C^{(M|N)'}). \) Now we have shown the commutation relations (4.32).

Next we study remaining of Theorem 3.3. We consider the commutation relations (3.34), (3.35), (3.36), (3.37) in Theorem 3.3. Using the normal ordering rules in Appendix A we have

\[
\begin{align*}
\Psi_1(z_1)\Phi_{M+N}(z_2) &= \chi(z_1/z_2)\Phi_{M+N}(z_1)\Psi_1(z_2), \tag{4.143} \\
\Psi^*_{M+N}(z_1)\Phi_1^*(z_2) &= \chi(z_1/z_2)\Phi_1^*(z_1)\Psi^*_{M+N}(z_2), \tag{4.144} \\
\Psi_1(z_1)\Phi_1^*(z_2) &= -\chi(z_2/z_1)\Phi_1^*(z_1)\Psi_1(z_2), \tag{4.145} \\
\Psi^*_{M+N}(z_1)\Phi_{M+N}(z_2) &= \chi(q^{2(M-N)}z_2/z_1)\Phi_{M+N}(z_1)\Psi^*_{M+N}(z_2). \tag{4.146}
\end{align*}
\]

where we set \(\chi(z)\) in \([635]\). Using the bosonization we have

\[
\begin{align*}
\Phi_1^*(z)X^{+,M}(w) &= -X^{+,M}(w)\Phi_1^*(z), \tag{4.147} \\
\Phi_1^*(z)X^{+,j}(w) &= X^{+,j}(w)\Phi_1^*(z) \quad (j \neq M), \tag{4.148} \\
\Psi_1(z)X^{-,M}(w) &= -X^{-,M}(w)\Psi_1(z), \tag{4.149} \\
\Psi_1(z)X^{-,j}(w) &= X^{-,j}(w)\Psi_1(z) \quad (j \neq M), \tag{4.150} \\
\Phi_{M+N}(z)X^{+,j}(w) &= X^{+,j}(w)\Phi_{M+N}(z) \quad (1 \leq j \leq M + N - 1), \tag{4.151} \\
\Psi^*_{M+N}(z)X^{-,j}(w) &= X^{-,j}(w)\Psi^*_{M+N}(z) \quad (1 \leq j \leq M + N - 1). \tag{4.152}
\end{align*}
\]

Using the integral representations of the vertex operators and the defining relations of the Drinfeld realization (2.20), (2.21), we obtain the commutation relations (3.34), (3.35), (3.36), (3.37).

### 4.4 Proof of Theorem 3.4

In this Section we show Theorem 3.4. We prepare Proposition 4.8 to show Theorem 3.4.
Proposition 4.8 \ Let \( f(w_0, w_1, \cdots, w_{M+N}) \) be a holomorphic function. We have

\[
\lim_{w_{M+N} \to q^{N-M}w_0} \prod_{j=1}^{M+N-1} \frac{dw_j}{2\pi \sqrt{1}} \frac{(w_{M+N} - q^{N-M}w_0)f(w_0, w_1, \cdots, w_{M+N})}{\prod_{j=0}^{M-1} (w_{j+1} - q^{-1}w_j) \prod_{j=M}^{M+N-1} (w_{j+1} - qw_j)} = f(w_0, q^{-1}w_0, \cdots, q^{-M+1}w_0, q^{-M}w_0, q^{-M+1}w_0, \cdots, q^{-M+N-1}w_0, q^{-M+N}w_0). \tag{4.153}
\]

Here the integration contour \( C \) is specified as follows:

\[
|w_0| < |qw_1| < |q^2w_2| < \cdots < |q^Mw_M| < |q^{M-1}w_{M+1}| < \cdots < |q^{M-N+1}w_{M+N-1}| < |q^{M-N}w_{M+N}|.
\]

Here the integration variable \( w_j \) (\( 1 \leq j \leq M - 1 \)) encircles the pole \( q^{-1}w_{j-1} \) but not pole \( qw_{j+1} \), the integration variable \( w_M \) encircles the pole \( q^{-1}w_{M-1} \) but not pole \( qw_{M+1} \), and the integration variable \( w_j \) (\( M + 1 \leq j \leq M + N - 1 \)) encircles the pole \( qw_{j-1} \) but not pole \( q^{-1}w_{j+1} \).

Let us set the bosonic operators \( \Psi_{M+N, \varepsilon}(z), X^\pm, M+j(z) (\varepsilon = \pm) \) by

\[
\Psi_{M+N}(z) = \frac{1}{(q-q^{-1})z} (\Psi_{M+N,+}(z) - \Psi_{M+N,-}(z)), \tag{4.154}
\]

\[
X^\pm, M+j(z) = \frac{1}{(q-q^{-1})z} \left( X^\pm, M+j(z) - X^\pm, M+j(z) \right) (1 \leq j \leq N - 1), \tag{4.155}
\]

\[
X^{-, M}(z) = \frac{1}{(q-q^{-1})z} \left( X^{-, M}(z) - X^{-, M}(z) \right). \tag{4.156}
\]

First we show the invertibility relation \( (3.41) \) and \( (3.42), (3.39) \) and \( (3.40) \) are shown in the same way. We use integral representations of the vertex operators. In what follows we assume \( M > N \). We set \( z_1 = q^{-1}w_0, z_2 = q^{-M+N-1}w_{M+N} \). It is easy to show \( \Phi_{\mu}(q^{2(M-N)}z)\Phi_{\nu}(z) = 0 \) for \( \mu < \nu \). We focus our attention on the case \( \mu = \nu \). Using the normal ordering rules in Appendix A, we have

\[
\lim_{w_{M+N} \to q^{N-M}w_0} \Phi_{\mu}(q^{-1}w_0)\Phi_{\mu}(q^{-M+N-1}w_{M+N}) = \lim_{w_{M+N} \to q^{N-M}w_0} \prod_{j=1}^{M+N-1} \frac{dw_j}{2\pi \sqrt{1}} \frac{(w_{M+N} - q^{N-M}w_0)\Phi_{\mu}(w_0, w_1, \cdots, w_{M+N})}{\prod_{j=0}^{M-1} (w_{j+1} - q^{-1}w_j) \prod_{j=M}^{M+N-1} (w_{j+1} - qw_j)} \tag{4.157}
\]

We note that the factor \( (w_{M+N} - q^{N-M}w_0) \) comes from the factor \( (q^{2(M-N)}z_2/z_1; q^{2(M-N)})_\infty \) in the normal ordering rule \( (A.7) \) in Appendix A. Here the integration contour \( C \) is specified as follows:

\[
|w_0| < |qw_1| < |q^2w_2| < \cdots < |q^Mw_M| < |q^{M-1}w_{M+1}| < \cdots < |q^{M-N+1}w_{M+N-1}| < |q^{M-N}w_{M+N}|.
\]

For \( 1 \leq \mu \leq M \) we set

\[
E_{\mu}(w_0, w_1, \cdots, w_{M+N}) = \frac{w_{\mu-1}c_{\mu}c_{\nu}w_0}{w_0} e^{\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{-1}} \frac{2M}{2(M-N)+1} \left( \frac{w_{M+N}}{w_0} \right)} (q^{-1})^{M-N+1} \prod_{j=0}^{M-1} (q - w_{j+1}/w_j) \times \Phi_{\mu}(q^{-1}w_0)X^{-, M-N+1}(w_{M+N-1}) \Phi_{M+N}(q^{-M+N-1}w_{M+N}) \tag{4.158}
\]
For $M + 1 \leq \mu \leq M + N$ we set

$$F_\mu(w_0, w_1, \ldots, w_{M+N})$$

$$= \frac{w_\mu e^{s_\mu w_0}}{w_0} \frac{e^{-s_\mu w_0}}{w_0} \frac{\epsilon^{z_\mu w_0}}{w_0} \left(-1\right)^{\mu} q^{-N} \left(q^{M-N} w_{M+N}/w_0; q^{2(M-N)}\right)_\infty \left(q^{M-N+2} w_{M+N}/w_0; q^{2(M-N)}\right)_\infty \left(q^{M-N} w_{M+N}/w_0; q^{2(M-N)}\right)_\infty \prod_{j=0}^{M-1} (q - w_{j+1}/w_j)$$

$$\times \Phi^*_1(q^{-1} w_0) X^{-1}(w_1, \ldots, \mu, w_{M+N-1}) \Phi_{M+N}(q^{-M+N-1} w_{M+N}).$$

Taking into account of Proposition 4.8 and

$$\Phi^*_1(q^{-1} z) X^{-1}(q^{-1} z) X^{-2}(q^{-2} z) \cdots X^{-(M-1)}(q^{-M+1} z) X^{M}(q^{-M} z)$$

$$\times X^{M+1}(q^{M+1} z) X^{M+2}(q^{M+2} z) \cdots X^{M+N-1}(q^{M+N-1} z) \Phi_{M+N}(q^{2(M-N)-1} z):$$

$$= q^{-\frac{1}{2}(M-N-1)} z^\frac{M-N-1}{M-N+1} \text{id},$$

we have the following in the limit $w_{M+N} \to q^{N-M} w_0$.

$$\Phi^*_\mu(q^{-1} w_0) \Phi_\mu(q^{M-N+1} w_{M+N}) \to F_\mu(w_0, q^{-1} w_0, q^{-2} w_0, \ldots, q^{-M+N} w_0) = (-1)^{M+N} q^{2\mu} g^{-1}. \quad (4.161)$$

Now we have shown the invertibility relation (3.41). Using (3.41) and (3.49) we have (3.42). The invertibility relations (3.39) and (3.40) are shown in the same way. The following relation is useful in a proof of (3.39).

$$\Phi^*_1(q^{-1} z) X^{-1}(q z) X^{-2}(q^2 z) \cdots X^{-(M-1)}(q^{M-1} z) X^{M}(q^M z)$$

$$\times X^{M+1}(q^{M+1} z) X^{M+2}(q^{M+2} z) \cdots X^{M+N-1}(q^{M+N-1} z) \Phi_{M+N}(q^{-1} z):$$

$$= q^{\frac{1}{2}(M-N-1)} z^\frac{M-N-1}{M-N+1} \text{id}. \quad (4.162)$$

Next we show the invertibility relation (3.44) and (3.45). (3.46) and (3.47) are shown in the same way. We use integral representation of the vertex operators. In what follows we assume $N > M$. We set $z_1 = q^{-1} w_0$, $z_2 = q^{M-N-1} w_{M+N}$. It is easy to show $\Psi_\mu^* (z) \Psi_\mu (z) = 0$ for $\mu < \nu$. We focus our attention on the case $\mu = \nu$. Using the normal ordering rules in Appendix A we have

$$\lim_{w_{M+N} \to q^{N-M} w_0} \Psi_\mu^* (q^{-1} w_0) \Psi_\mu (q^{M-N+1} w_{M+N})$$

$$= \lim_{w_{M+N} \to q^{N-M} w_0} \prod_{j=1}^{M+N-1} \int_C \frac{dw_j}{2\pi i} \frac{1}{\prod_{j=0}^{M+N-1} (w_{j+1} - q^{-1} w_j)} \frac{1}{\prod_{j=M}^{M+N-1} (w_{j+1} - q w_j)}.$$
For proof of (3.46), invertibility relations (3.46) and (3.47) are shown in the same way. The following relation is useful in a

For $M + 1 \leq \mu \leq M + N$ we set

$$G_{\mu}(w_0, w_1, \ldots, w_{M+N}) = \frac{\mu!}{\sqrt{2(\mu^2-\mu)}} \left( \frac{1}{q^{3(N-M)w_0/w_{M+N}}; q^{2(N-M)}_{\infty}} \right) \Psi_1(q^{-1}w_0)X_+^+(w_1) \cdots X_+^{M+N-1}(w_{M+N-1})\Psi_{M+N,-}(q^{M-N-1}w_{M+N}) : . \quad (4.164)$$

Taking into account of Proposition 4.8 and

$$: \Psi_1(q^{-1}z)X_+^+(q^{-1}z)X_+^{2}(q^{-2}z) \cdots X_+^{M-1}(q^{-M+1}z)X_+^+(q^{-M}z) X_+^{M}(q^{-M}z) \cdots X_+^{M+N-2}(q^{-M+N-2}z) \cdots X_+^{M+N-1}(q^{-M+N-1}z)\Psi_{M+N,-}(q^{-1}z) : = q^{-\frac{1}{2}(M-N-1)}z^{\frac{M+N-1}{2}}id, \quad (4.166)$$

we have the following in the limit $w_{M+N} \rightarrow q^{N-M}w_0$.

$$\Psi_1(q^{M-N-1}w_{M+N})\Psi_1(q^{-1}w_0) \rightarrow G_{\mu}(w_0, q^{-1}w_0, q^{-2}w_0, \ldots, q^{-M+N}w_0) = (-1)^{[\mu]+1}(q^*)^{-1}. \quad (4.167)$$

Now we have shown the invertibility relation (3.44). Using (3.44) and (3.50) we have (3.45). The invertibility relations (3.46) and (3.47) are shown in the same way. The following relation is useful in a proof of (3.46).

$$: \Psi_1(q^{-1}z)X_+^+(qz)X_+^{2}(q^2z) \cdots X_+^{M-1}(q^{M-1}z)X_+^+(q^Mz) \cdots X_+^{M+N-2}(q^{M+N-2}z) \cdots X_+^{M+N-1}(q^{M-N-1}z)\Psi_{M+N,+}(q^{2(M-N)-1}z) : = q^{\frac{1}{2}(M-N-1)}z^{\frac{M+N-1}{2}}id. \quad (4.168)$$

5 Concluding remarks

In this paper we consider commutation relations and invertibility relations of the vertex operators for $U_q(\hat{sl}(M|N))$ by using bosonization. We show that the vertex operators give a representation of the graded Zamolodchikov-Faddeev algebra by direct computation. We find that the invertibility relations of the type-II vertex operators for $N > M$ are very similar to those of the type-I for $M > N$. Our direct computation can be applied to bosonization of vertex operators and a $L$-operator for the elliptic algebra $U_{q,p}(\hat{sl}(M|N))$ [5]. Moreover, quantum $W$-algebra $W_{q,p}(\hat{sl}(M|N))$ will arise as fusion of the vertex operators for the elliptic algebra. In the case $g = \widehat{sl}_N, A^{(2)}_2$, bosonization of vertex operators and a $L$-operator for the elliptic algebra $U_{q,p}(g)$ have been constructed by similar computation as those reported.
in this paper \[9, 10, 14, 15, 16, 17\]. The quantum W-algebras associated with \(g = \widehat{sl}_N, A_2^{(2)}\) have been constructed by fusion of the vertex operators for the elliptic algebras \[11, 16, 18\]. If we focus our attention on "roundabout" proof of commutation relations, our situation becomes very simple. We have a "roundabout" proof based on bosonization. For instance, from the uniqueness of the vertex operator \(V(\lambda) \rightarrow V(\mu) \otimes V_{z_1} \otimes V_{z_2}, LHS\ and\ RHS\) of the commutation relation \[3.28\] coincide up to a scalar factor. Using the normal ordering rules \[A.9\] and \[A.13\], we can determine the scalar factor \(\kappa_{VV}(z)\). Then we obtain the commutation relation \[3.28\]. To show the commutation relations in Theorem \[3.3\] we need only normal ordering rules in Appendix A. For the quantum affine algebra \(U_q(g)\) where \(g = A_n^{(1)}, B_n^{(1)}, D_n^{(1)}, A_n^{(2)}, D_n^{(2)}, D_4^{(3)}, \widehat{sl}(N|N)\) \[21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26\], we have already obtained level-one bosonizations of the vertex operators. Hence we know a "roundabout" proof of commutation relations based on bosonization. Moreover, by solving quantum-KZ equation we obtained the commutation relations of the vertex operators for \(U_q(g)\) where \(g = A_n^{(1)}, B_n^{(1)}, D_n^{(1)}, A_n^{(2)}\) \[2, 19, 20\]. However "roundabout" proofs are simpler than "direct" proof, they cannot be applied to bosonization for the elliptic algebras. Our direct computation reported in this paper can be applied to bosonization of vertex operators for the elliptic algebra \(U_{q,p}(\widehat{sl}(M|N))\) and construction of quantum W-algebra \(W_{q,p}(\widehat{sl}(M|N))\). We would like to report on this issue in future publications.
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A Normal ordering rules

In this Appendix we summarize normal ordering rules. First we give useful formulae for calculation of normal ordering rules.

\[
\begin{align*}
Q_{h^*}^1 &= Q_a^1 - \frac{1}{M-N} \sum_{i=1}^{M} Q_a^i - \frac{1}{M-N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} Q_b^j, \\
Q_{h^*}^{M+N-1} &= -\frac{1}{M-N} \sum_{i=1}^{M} Q_a^i - \frac{1}{M-N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} Q_b^j - Q_b^N, \\
[h_m^{+1}, h_n^{+1}] &= \frac{[(M-N-1)m][m]_q^2}{(M-N)[m]_q m} \delta_{m+n+1}, \\
[h_m^{+M+N-1}, h_n^{+M+N-1}] &= -\frac{[(M-N+1)m][m]_q^2}{(M-N)[m]_q m} \delta_{m+n+1}, \\
[h_m^{+M+N-1}, h_n^{+M+N-1}] &= -\frac{[m]^3_2}{(M-N)[m]_q m} \delta_{m+n+1}.
\end{align*}
\]

- For \(M > N\) we have

\[
\Phi_1^*(z_1)\Phi_2^*(z_2) = :\Phi_1^*(z_1)\Phi_2^*(z_2) : \\
\times (qz_1)^{1-\frac{1}{M-N}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(M-N)^2} \frac{(q^2z_2/z_1; q^{2(M-N)})_{\infty}}{(q^{2(M-N)}z_2/z_1; q^{2(M-N)})_{\infty}},
\]

\[(A.6)\]
\[ \Phi^*_1(z_1) \Phi_{M+N}(z_2) = : \Phi^*_1(z_1) \Phi_{M+N}(z_2) : \times (q z_1)^{\pm \frac{1}{2}} e^\frac{\pi \sqrt{T_M(M-1)}}{2(M-N)^2} \left( q^{2(M-N)} z_2/z_1; q^{2(M-N)} \right)_\infty, \]

(A.7)

\[ \Phi_{M+N}(z_1) \Phi^*_1(z_2) = : \Phi_{M+N}(z_1) \Phi^*_1(z_2) : \times (q^{M-N+1} z_1)^{\pm \frac{1}{2}} e^\frac{\pi \sqrt{T_M(M-1)}}{2(M-N)^2} \left( z_2/z_1; q^{2(M-N)} \right)_\infty, \]

(A.8)

\[ \Phi_{M+N}(z_1) \Phi_{M+N}(z_2) = : \Phi_{M+N}(z_1) \Phi_{M+N}(z_2) : \times (q^{M-N+1} z_1)^{\pm \frac{1}{2}} e^\frac{\pi \sqrt{T_M(M-1)}}{2(M-N)^2} \left( q^{2(M-N)+2} z_2/z_1; q^{2(M-N)} \right)_\infty. \]

(A.9)

- For \( N > M \) we have

\[ \Phi^*_1(z_1) \Phi^*_1(z_2) = : \Phi^*_1(z_1) \Phi^*_1(z_2) : \times (q z_1)^{\pm \frac{1}{2}} e^\frac{\pi \sqrt{T_M(M-1)}}{2(M-N)^2} \left( z_2/z_1; q^{2(N-M)} \right)_\infty, \]

(A.10)

\[ \Phi^*_1(z_1) \Phi_{M+N}(z_2) = : \Phi^*_1(z_1) \Phi_{M+N}(z_2) : e^\frac{\pi \sqrt{T_M(M-1)}}{2(M-N)^2} \left( z_2/z_1; q^{2(N-M)} \right)_\infty, \]

(A.11)

\[ \Phi_{M+N}(z_1) \Phi^*_1(z_2) = : \Phi_{M+N}(z_1) \Phi^*_1(z_2) : \times (q^{M-N+1} z_1)^{\pm \frac{1}{2}} e^\frac{\pi \sqrt{T_M(M-1)}}{2(M-N)^2} \left( q^{2(N-M)+2} z_2/z_1; q^{2(N-M)} \right)_\infty, \]

(A.12)

\[ \Phi_{M+N}(z_1) \Phi_{M+N}(z_2) = : \Phi_{M+N}(z_1) \Phi_{M+N}(z_2) : (q^{M-N+1} z_1)^{\pm \frac{1}{2}} e^\frac{\pi \sqrt{T_M(M-1)}}{2(M-N)^2} \left( q^{2(N-M)+2} z_2/z_1; q^{2(N-M)} \right)_\infty. \]

(A.13)

- For \( M > N \) and \( \varepsilon, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 = \pm 1 \) we have

\[ \Psi_1(z_1) \Psi_1(z_2) = : \Psi_1(z_1) \Psi_1(z_2) : \times (q z_1)^{\pm \frac{1}{2}} e^\frac{\pi \sqrt{T_M(M-1)}}{2(M-N)^2} \left( z_2/z_1; q^{2(M-N)} \right)_\infty, \]

(A.14)

\[ \Psi_1(z_1) \Psi_{M+N,\varepsilon}(z_2) = : \Psi_1(z_1) \Psi_{M+N,\varepsilon}(z_2) : \times (q z_1)^{\mp \frac{1}{2}} e^\frac{\pi \sqrt{T_M(M-1)}}{2(M-N)^2} \left( q^{-2} z_2/z_1; q^{2(M-N)} \right)_\infty, \]

(A.15)

\[ \Psi_{M+N,\varepsilon}^*(z_1) \Psi_1(z_2) = : \Psi_{M+N,\varepsilon}^*(z_1) \Psi_1(z_2) : e^\frac{\pi \sqrt{T_M(M-1)}}{2(M-N)^2} \left( q^{2(M-N)-2} z_2/z_1; q^{2(M-N)} \right)_\infty, \]

(A.16)

\[ \Psi_{M+N,\varepsilon}^*(z_1) \Psi_{M+N,\varepsilon}^*(z_2) = : \Psi_{M+N,\varepsilon}^*(z_1) \Psi_{M+N,\varepsilon}^*(z_2) : \times (q^{M-N+1} z_1)^{\mp \frac{1}{2}} \left( q^{2(M-N)-2} z_2/z_1; q^{2(M-N)} \right)_\infty, \]

(A.17)

- For \( N > M \) and \( \varepsilon, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 = \pm 1 \) we have

\[ \Psi_1(z_1) \Psi_1(z_2) = : \Psi_1(z_1) \Psi_1(z_2) : \times (q z_1)^{\mp \frac{1}{2}} e^\frac{\pi \sqrt{T_M(M-1)}}{2(M-N)^2} \left( q^{-2} z_2/z_1; q^{2(N-M)} \right)_\infty, \]

(A.18)
\[ \Psi_1(z_1)\Psi^*_{M+N,c}(z_2) = : \Psi_1(z_1)\Psi^*_{M+N,c}(z_2) : e^{-\sqrt{2\pi(M-1)}(q^{2(N-M)}z_2/z_1; q^{2(N-M)})_\infty}, \]
\[ \Psi_{M+N,c}(z_1)\Psi_1(z_2) = : \Psi_{M+N,c}(z_1)\Psi_1(z_2) : e^{-\sqrt{2\pi(M-1)}}, \]
\[ \Psi_{M+N,c_1}(z_1)\Psi^*_{M+N,c_2}(z_2) = : \Psi_{M+N,c_1}(z_1)\Psi^*_{M+N,c_2}(z_2) : \]
\[ \times e^{-\sqrt{2\pi(M-1)}(q^{2(N-M)-2z_2/z_1}; q^{2(N-M)})_\infty}. \]

- For \( M > N \) and \( \varepsilon = \pm \) we have

\[ \Psi_1(z_1)\Phi_{M+N}(z_2) = : \Psi_1(z_1)\Phi_{M+N}(z_2) : e^{-\sqrt{2\pi(M-1)}(q^{2(M-N)+1z_2/z_1}; q^{2(M-N)})_\infty}, \]
\[ \Phi_{M+N}(z_1)\Psi_1(z_2) = : \Phi_{M+N}(z_1)\Psi_1(z_2) : e^{-\sqrt{2\pi(M-1)}}, \]
\[ \Psi^*_{M+N,c}(z_1)\Phi^*_1(z_2) = : \Psi^*_{M+N,c}(z_1)\Phi^*_1(z_2) : e^{-\sqrt{2\pi(M-1)}(q^{2(M-N)+1z_2/z_1}; q^{2(M-N)})_\infty}, \]
\[ \Phi^*_1(z_1)\Psi^*_{M+N,c}(z_2) = : \Phi^*_1(z_1)\Psi^*_{M+N,c}(z_2) : e^{-\sqrt{2\pi(M-1)}}, \]
\[ \Psi_1(z_1)\Phi^*_1(z_2) = : \Psi_1(z_1)\Phi^*_1(z_2) : e^{-\sqrt{2\pi(M-1)}(q^{2(M-N)-1z_2/z_1}; q^{2(M-N)})_\infty}, \]
\[ \Phi^*_1(z_1)\Phi_1(z_2) = : \Phi^*_1(z_1)\Phi_1(z_2) : e^{-\sqrt{2\pi(M-1)}}, \]
\[ \Psi^*_{M+N,c}(z_1)\Phi_{M+N}(z_2) = : \Psi^*_{M+N,c}(z_1)\Phi_{M+N}(z_2) : e^{-\sqrt{2\pi(M-1)}(q^{2(M-N)+1z_2/z_1}; q^{2(M-N)})_\infty}, \]
\[ \Phi_{M+N}(z_1)\Psi^*_{M+N,c}(z_2) = : \Phi_{M+N}(z_1)\Psi^*_{M+N,c}(z_2) : e^{-\sqrt{2\pi(M-1)}}, \]
• For $N > M$ and $\varepsilon = \pm$ we have

\[
\begin{align*}
\Psi_1(z_1) \Phi_{M+N}(z_2) &= :\Psi_1(z_1) \Phi_{M+N}(z_2) : e^{-\frac{2\sqrt{\theta}^z}{2(M-N)^2} (q^{-1}z_2/z_1; q^{2(N-M)})}, \\
\Phi_{M+N}(z_1) \Psi_1(z_2) &= :\Phi_{M+N}(z_1) \Psi_1(z_2) : e^{-\frac{2\sqrt{\theta}^z}{2(M-N)^2} (q^{2(N-M)-1}z_2/z_1; q^{2(N-M)})}, \\
\Psi^*_{M+N,\varepsilon}(z_1) \Phi^*_1(z_2) &= :\Psi^*_{M+N,\varepsilon}(z_1) \Phi^*_1(z_2) : e^{-\frac{2\sqrt{\theta}^z}{2(M-N)^2} (q^{-1}z_2/z_1; q^{2(N-M)})}, \\
\Phi^*_1(z_1) \Psi^*_1(z_2) &= :\Phi^*_1(z_1) \Psi^*_1(z_2) : e^{-\frac{2\sqrt{\theta}^z}{2(M-N)^2} (q^{2(N-M)+1}z_2/z_1; q^{2(N-M)})}, \\
\Psi^*_M(z_1) \Phi^*_M(z_2) &= :\Psi^*_M(z_1) \Phi^*_M(z_2) : e^{-\frac{2\sqrt{\theta}^z}{2(M-N)^2} (q^{-1}z_2/z_1; q^{2(N-M)})}, \\
\Phi^*_M(z_1) \Psi^*_M(z_2) &= :\Phi^*_M(z_1) \Psi^*_M(z_2) : e^{-\frac{2\sqrt{\theta}^z}{2(M-N)^2} (q^{2(N-M)+1}z_2/z_1; q^{2(N-M)})}, \\
\Phi^*_1(z_2) \Phi^*_1(z_1) &= \frac{1}{\kappa_V(z_1/z_2)} \Phi^*_1(z_1) \Phi^*_1(z_2), \\
\Phi^*_1(z_2) \Phi_{M+N}(z_1) &= -\frac{b(q^{2(N-M)}z_2/z_1)}{\kappa_V(z_1/z_2)} \Phi_{M+N}(z_1) \Phi^*_1(z_2), \\
\Phi_{M+N}(z_2) \Phi^*_1(z_1) &= -\frac{b(z_2/z_1)}{\kappa_V(z_1/z_2)} \Phi^*_1(z_1) \Phi_{M+N}(z_2), \\
\Phi_{M+N}(z_2) \Phi_{M+N}(z_1) &= \frac{a(z_1/z_2)}{\kappa_V(z_1/z_2)} \Phi_{M+N}(z_1) \Phi_{M+N}(z_2), \\
\Psi_1(z_1) \Psi_1(z_2) &= \frac{1}{\kappa_V(z_1/z_2)} \Psi_1(z_2) \Psi_1(z_1),
\end{align*}
\]

• For $M \neq N$ we have
where \(a(z), b(z)\) are given in (3.4).

- For \(1 \leq i \leq M - 1, 1 \leq j \leq N - 1\) and \(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 = \pm\) we have

\[
X^{\pm,i}(z_1)X^{\pm,i}(z_2) = \frac{1}{(z_1 - q^{\mp_1}z_2)};
\]

\[
X^{\pm,i+1}(z_1)X^{\pm,i}(z_2) = X^{\pm,i+1}(z_1)X^{\pm,i}(z_2) = \frac{1}{(z_1 - q^{1/2}z_2)};
\]

\[
X^{+,M-1}(z_1)X^{+,M}(z_2) = X^{+,M-1}(z_1)X^{+,M}(z_2) = \frac{1}{(z_1 - q^{1}z_2)};
\]

\[
X^{+,M}(z_1)X^{+,M-1}(z_2) = X^{+,M}(z_1)X^{+,M-1}(z_2) = \frac{1}{(z_1 - q^{1}z_2)};
\]

\[
X^{-,M-1}(z_1)X^{-,M}(z_2) = X^{-,M-1}(z_1)X^{-,M}(z_2) = \frac{1}{(z_1 - q^{1}z_2)};
\]

\[
X^{-,M}(z_1)X^{-,M-1}(z_2) = X^{-,M}(z_1)X^{-,M-1}(z_2) = \frac{1}{(z_1 - q^{1}z_2)};
\]

\[
X^{+,M}(z_1)X^{+,M+1}(z_2) = X^{+,M}(z_1)X^{+,M+1}(z_2) = \frac{1}{(z_1 - q^{1}z_2)};
\]

\[
X^{+,M+1}(z_1)X^{+,M}(z_2) = X^{+,M+1}(z_1)X^{+,M}(z_2) = \frac{1}{(z_1 - q^{1}z_2)};
\]

\[
X^{-,M}(z_1)X^{-,M+1}(z_2) = X^{-,M}(z_1)X^{-,M+1}(z_2) = \frac{1}{(z_1 - q^{1}z_2)};
\]

\[
X^{-,M+1}(z_1)X^{-,M}(z_2) = X^{-,M+1}(z_1)X^{-,M}(z_2) = \frac{1}{(z_1 - q^{1}z_2)};
\]

\[
X^{+,M+j}(z_1)X^{+,M+j+1}(z_2) = X^{+,M+j}(z_1)X^{+,M+j+1}(z_2) = \frac{1}{(z_1 - q^{1}z_2)};
\]

\[
X^{+,M+j+1}(z_1)X^{+,M+j}(z_2) = X^{+,M+j+1}(z_1)X^{+,M+j}(z_2) = \frac{1}{(z_1 - q^{1}z_2)};
\]

\[
X^{-,M+j}(z_1)X^{-,M+j+1}(z_2) = X^{-,M+j}(z_1)X^{-,M+j+1}(z_2) = \frac{1}{(z_1 - q^{1}z_2)};
\]

\[
X^{-,M+j+1}(z_1)X^{-,M+j}(z_2) = X^{-,M+j+1}(z_1)X^{-,M+j}(z_2) = \frac{1}{(z_1 - q^{1}z_2)};
\]

\[
X^{+,M+j}(z_1)X^{+,M+j}(z_2) = X^{+,M+j}(z_1)X^{+,M+j}(z_2) = \frac{1}{(z_1 - q^{1}z_2)};
\]

\[
X^{+,M+j+1}(z_1)X^{+,M+j}(z_2) = X^{+,M+j+1}(z_1)X^{+,M+j}(z_2) = \frac{1}{(z_1 - q^{1}z_2)};
\]

\[
X^{-,M+j}(z_1)X^{-,M+j}(z_2) = X^{-,M+j}(z_1)X^{-,M+j}(z_2) = \frac{1}{(z_1 - q^{1}z_2)};
\]

\[
X^{-,M+j+1}(z_1)X^{-,M+j}(z_2) = X^{-,M+j+1}(z_1)X^{-,M+j}(z_2) = \frac{1}{(z_1 - q^{1}z_2)};
\]
• For $M = 1$ and $\varepsilon = \pm$ we have

$$\Phi_1^*(q^{-1}z)X_\varepsilon^{-1}(w) = \Phi_1^*(q^{-1}z)X_\varepsilon^{-1}(w) : \frac{1}{(z - qw)}, \quad (A.64)$$

$$X_\varepsilon^{-1}(w)\Phi_1^*(q^{-1}z) = : X_\varepsilon^{-1}(w)\Phi_1^*(q^{-1}z) : \frac{1}{(w - qz)}, \quad (A.65)$$

$$\Psi_1(q^{-1}z)X^{+,1}(w) = : \Psi_1(q^{-1}z)X^{+,1}(w) : \frac{1}{(z - q^{-1}w)}, \quad (A.66)$$

$$X^{+,1}(w)\Psi_1(q^{-1}z) = : X^{+,1}(w)\Psi_1(q^{-1}z) : \frac{1}{(w - q^{-1}z)}. \quad (A.67)$$

• For $M \geq 2$ and $\varepsilon = \pm$ we have

$$\Phi_1^*(q^{-1}z)X^{-1}(w) = : \Phi_1^*(q^{-1}z)X^{-1}(w) : e^{\frac{\pi \sqrt{-1}}{M-N}} \frac{1}{(z - qw)}, \quad (A.68)$$

$$X^{-1}(w)\Phi_1^*(q^{-1}z) = : X^{-1}(w)\Phi_1^*(q^{-1}z) : e^{\frac{\pi \sqrt{-1}}{M-N}} \frac{-1}{(w - qz)}, \quad (A.69)$$

$$\Phi_1^*(q^{-1}z)X^{-M}(w) = : \Phi_1^*(q^{-1}z)X^{-M}(w) : e^{\frac{\pi \sqrt{-1}}{M-N}} \frac{1}{(z - q^{-1}w)}, \quad (A.70)$$

$$X^{-M}(w)\Phi_1^*(q^{-1}z) = : X^{-M}(w)\Phi_1^*(q^{-1}z) : e^{\frac{\pi \sqrt{-1}}{M-N}} \frac{1}{(w - q^{-1}z)}, \quad (A.71)$$

$$\Psi_1(q^{-1}z)X^{+,1}(w) = : \Psi_1(q^{-1}z)X^{+,1}(w) : e^{\frac{\pi \sqrt{-1}}{M-N}} \frac{1}{(z - q^{-1}w)}, \quad (A.72)$$

$$X^{+,1}(w)\Psi_1(q^{-1}z) = : X^{+,1}(w)\Psi_1(q^{-1}z) : e^{\frac{\pi \sqrt{-1}}{M-N}} \frac{1}{(w - q^{-1}z)}, \quad (A.73)$$

$$\Psi_1(q^{-1}z)X^{+,M}(w) = : \Psi_1(q^{-1}z)X^{+,M}(w) : e^{\frac{\pi \sqrt{-1}}{M-N}} \frac{1}{(z - q^{-1}w)}, \quad (A.74)$$

$$X^{+,M}(w)\Psi_1(q^{-1}z) = : X^{+,M}(w)\Psi_1(q^{-1}z) : e^{\frac{\pi \sqrt{-1}}{M-N}} \frac{1}{(w - q^{-1}z)}. \quad (A.75)$$

• For $N = 1$ and $\varepsilon = \pm$ we have

$$\Phi_{M+1}(q^{-M}z)X^-_{\varepsilon-M}(w) = : \Phi_{M+1}(q^{-M}z)X^-_{\varepsilon-M}(w) : (-1) \frac{(z - qw)}{(z - q^r w)}, \quad (A.76)$$

$$X^-_{\varepsilon-M}(w)\Phi_{M+1}(q^{-M}z) = : X^-_{\varepsilon-M}(w)\Phi_{M+1}(q^{-M}z) : \frac{(w - qz)}{(q^r w - z)}, \quad (A.77)$$

$$\Psi_{M+1,\varepsilon}^*(q^{-M-2}z)X^{+,M}(w) = : \Psi_{M+1,\varepsilon}^*(q^{-M-2}z)X^{+,M}(w) : (-1) \frac{(z - q^{-1}w)}{(q^r z - w)}, \quad (A.78)$$

$$X^{+,M}(w)\Psi_{M+1,\varepsilon}^*(q^{-M-2}z) = : X^{+,M}(w)\Psi_{M+1,\varepsilon}^*(q^{-M-2}z) : \frac{(w - q^{-1}z)}{(w - q^r z)}. \quad (A.79)$$

• For $N \geq 2$ and $\varepsilon, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 = \pm$ we have

$$\Phi_{M+N}(q^{-M+N-1}z)X^-_{\varepsilon-M+N-1}(w) = : \Phi_{M+N}(q^{-M+N-1}z)X^-_{\varepsilon-M+N-1}(w) : \times \frac{(-1)(z - qw)}{(z - q^r w)}, \quad (A.80)$$

$$X^-_{\varepsilon-M+N-1}(w)\Phi_{M+N}(q^{-M+N-1}z) = : X^-_{\varepsilon-M+N-1}(w)\Phi_{M+N}(q^{-M+N-1}z) : \times \frac{(w - qz)}{(q^r w - z)} \times, \quad (A.81)$$

$$\Phi_{M+N}(z)X^-_{\varepsilon-M}(w) = : \Phi_{M+N}(z)X^-_{\varepsilon-M}(w) : e^{\frac{\pi \sqrt{-1}}{M-N}} \frac{1}{(z - q^r w)}, \quad (A.82)$$

$$X^-_{\varepsilon-M}(w)\Phi_{M+N}(z) = : X^-_{\varepsilon-M}(w)\Phi_{M+N}(z) : e^{\frac{\pi \sqrt{-1}}{M-N}} \frac{1}{(z - q^{-1}w)} \times. \quad (A.83)$$
\[ \Psi^*_{M+N,\epsilon_1}(q^{M-N-1}z)X^{+,M+N-1}(w) = : \Psi^*_{M+N,\epsilon_1}(q^{M-N-1}z)X^{+,M+N-1}(w) : \times \frac{(z - q^{-1}w)}{(q^1z - w)}, \quad (A.84) \]

\[ X^{+,M+N-1}(w)\Psi^*_{M+N,\epsilon_2}(q^{M-N-1}z) = : X^{+,M+N-1}(w)\Psi^*_{M+N,\epsilon_2}(q^{M-N-1}z) : \times \frac{(-1)(w - q^{-1}z)}{(w - q^{1}z)}, \quad (A.85) \]

\[ \Psi^*_{M+N,\epsilon}(z)X^{+,M}(w) = : \Psi^*_{M+N,\epsilon}(z)X^{+,M}(w) : e^{\frac{\pi i (M-1)}{M+N}}, \quad (A.86) \]

\[ X^{+,M}(w)\Psi^*_{M+N,\epsilon}(z) = : X^{+,M}(w)\Psi^*_{M+N,\epsilon}(z) : e^{\frac{\pi i (M-1)}{M+N}}, \quad (A.87) \]
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