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Abstract

Singh et al. (2016) presented a ratio and regression estimators of population variance of a sensitive variable using auxiliary information based on randomized response technique (RRT). In this article, the RRT is considered in stratified random sampling for the estimation of variance. A generalized class of estimators of variance in stratified RRT is proposed and derive the procedure of variance estimation in stratified RRT. The expression of the bias and mean square error are expressed. The empirical findings support the soundness of proposed scheme of variance estimation.
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1 Introduction

In the sensitive issues such as abortion rates, tax evasion and use of illegal resources, RRT is used to obtain trustworthy information. Respondents who give evasive or dishonest answers introduce response bias into the study, resulting in questionable data and poor results Warner, [1]. When faced with this problem,
researchers using the traditional direct questioning survey method are likely to try to gain the confidence of
the respondent Warner, [1]. However, this is unreliable, because many people will not be inclined to confide
certain things at all, and others would not want their confessions written down or linked to them in any way
Warner, [1]. The randomized response technique (RRT) was developed over forty years ago to counter these
problems with response bias by increasing the number of honest answers given to sensitive questions in a
survey or interview.

It is common practice in sample survey related to market, industries and social research, and so forth that
usually more than one characteristic is observed from each sampled unit of population. So stratified random
sampling is more suitable than other survey designs used for obtaining information from the heterogeneous
population for reasons of economy and efficiency. Variance estimation in survey sampling is of significant
importance. It gives information on the accuracy of the estimators and minimum value of the variance
desired.

Many survey statisticians such as Eichhorn and Hayre [2], Saha [3], Diana and Perri [4] have presented
different randomized response models to estimate the population mean of a sensitive variable when there is
no auxiliary information. Later, Sousa et al. [5] introduced ratio estimators to estimator population mean of
sensitive study variable using auxiliary information. Sousa et al. [5], Gupta et al. [6], Koyuncu et al. [7],
Sanaullah et al. [8], Saleem et al. [9] and Sanaullah et al. [10] presented mean estimators to estimate the
population mean based on randomized response technique. Mushtaq et al. [11] introduced ratio, regression
and general class of estimators to estimate the population mean using non-sensitive variable under
stratified random sampling.

Gupta et al. [12] introduced a new exponential estimator for the estimation of the population mean of
sensitive variable in the presence of non-sensitive auxiliary variables. Mushtaq and Noor-ul-Amin [13]
introduced generalized variance estimator based on additive randomized response model using non-sensitive
auxiliary information.

This present study focuses on the development of estimation procedure of variance in the randomized
response technique. To our knowledge, no one has suggested an estimator of variance in stratified random
sampling based on additive randomized response model. The proposed estimator is presented in Section 3.
Section 4 represents the simulation study to examine the performance of the proposed estimators. Some
concluding remarks are in Section 5.

2 Sampling Structure & Notations

Consider a finite population with $N$ units $\Omega = \{U_1, U_2, \ldots, U_N\}$ of size $N$. Let the population is divided
into $l$ strata with strata size $N_h$, such that $\sum_{h=1}^{l} N_h = N$ $(h = 1, \ldots, l)$. The sample of size $n_h$ is drawn
from $h^{th}$ stratum is $n_h$ such that $\sum_{h=1}^{l} n_h = n$. Let $(y_{hi}, x_{hi})$ be the values of the sensitive study variable
and the auxiliary variable on $i^{th}$ unit of the $h^{th}$ stratum, where $i = 1, 2, \ldots, N_h$ and $h = 1, 2, \ldots, l$.

Let us assume that \( \left( S_{yh}^2, S_{xh}^2 \right) \) be the population variance of \( \left( Y, X \right) \) in the stratum $h$, where $w = \frac{N_h}{N}$ is
the stratum weight. Noor-ul-Amin et al. (2018) reported scrambled response for $Y$ using the model, given
by $Z = Y + kS$. To estimate $S_{yh}^2$, it is assumed that $S_{xh}^2$ is known and $S_{yh}^2$ be the population variance of
the scrambled variable $Z$ in the stratum $h$, by using $Z = Y + kS$. 
Let us define

\[ e_{0st} = \left( s_{0st}^2 - S_{0st}^2 \right) / S_{0st}^2 \quad \text{and} \quad e_{1st} = \left( s_{1st}^2 - S_{1st}^2 \right) / S_{1st}^2 , \]

Such that \( E(e_{ist}) = 0, \ i = 0,1. \) to first degree approximations, we have

\[ E(e_{0st}^2) = \theta \lambda_{40h}^{*} , \quad E(e_{1st}^2) = \theta \lambda_{04h}^{*} , \quad E(e_{0st} e_{1st}) = \theta \lambda_{222h}^{*} , \quad (1) \]

where

\[ \gamma_h = \left( \frac{1}{n_h} - \frac{1}{N_h} \right) , \quad \lambda_{40h}^{*} = (\lambda_{40h} - 1) , \quad \lambda_{04h}^{*} = (\lambda_{04h} - 1) , \quad \lambda_{22h}^{*} = (\lambda_{22h} - 1) , \]

\[ \lambda_{rsh}^{*} = \frac{\mu_{rsh}}{\mu_{20,h}^{*} \mu_{02,h}^{*}} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_{rsh} = \frac{1}{N_h} \sum_{i=1}^{N_h} (z_{hi} - \bar{Z}_h)^{r} (x_{hi} - \bar{X}_h)^{s} . \]

The usual variance estimator for sensitive variable in stratified random sampling is given by

\[ t_{0st} = s_{0st}^2 \quad (2) \]

\[ \text{var}(t_{0st}) = \gamma_h^{*} \lambda_{40h}^{*} \quad (3) \]

The modified ratio estimator for estimating the population variance in stratified sampling for randomized response technique is given as:

\[ t_{Rst} = s_{2st}^2 \left( \frac{S_{0st}^2}{S_{1st}^2} \right) \quad (4) \]

\[ \text{Bias}(t_{Rst}) \approx \gamma_h S_{2st}^2 \left( \lambda_{04h}^{*} - \lambda_{22h}^{*} \right) , \quad (5) \]

\[ \text{MSE}(t_{Rst}) \approx \gamma_h S_{2st}^4 \left( \lambda_{04h}^{*} + \lambda_{04h}^{*} - 2 \lambda_{22h}^{*} \right) . \quad (6) \]

3 Formulation of Proposed Estimation Strategy

In this section, a generalized class of estimators for the estimation of population variance of a sensitive study variable using non-sensitive auxiliary information is presented as:

\[ t_{kst} = \left[ k_1 s_{2st}^2 + k_2 \left( S_{2st}^2 - s_{2st}^2 \right) \right] \left[ \alpha a_{st} S_{syst}^2 + b_{st} \right] + \left( 1 - \alpha \right) \exp \left( \frac{a_{st} \left( S_{syst}^2 - s_{syst}^2 \right)}{a_{st} \left( S_{syst}^2 + s_{syst}^2 \right) + b_{st}} \right) \]

(7)

Where \( k_1 \) and \( k_2 \) are weights whose values are to be determined, \( \alpha = 0 \) or 1, \( a_{st} \) and \( b_{st} \) are the parameters of the auxiliary variables.
To find the bias and the mean square error for this estimator use the notations given in section 2, and the proposed estimator in (7) is given by,

$$
t_{kst} = k_{1s}^2 (1 + e_{0st}) - k_{2s}^2 e_{1st}^2 \alpha (1 + ge_{1st})^{-1} + (1 - \alpha) \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} ge_{1st} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2} e_{1st} \right)^{-1} \right\}, \quad (8)$$

Where

$$g = \frac{a_s^2}{a_s^2 + b_{st}}$$

$$t_{kst} - S_{z}^2 \equiv (k_{1s} - 1) S_{z}^2 + k_{1s} S_{z}^2 g e_{0st} - \frac{1}{2} g (1 + \alpha) e_{1st}^2 + \frac{1}{8} g^2 (3 + 5\alpha) e_{2st}^2 - \frac{1}{2} g (1 + \alpha) e_{0st} e_{1st}$$

$$- k_{2s}^2 e_{1st}^2 \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2} g (1 + \alpha) \right), \quad (9)$$

The respective Bias and MSE of \( t_{kst} \) is given by

$$\text{Bias} (t_{kst}) \equiv (k_{1s} - 1) S_{z}^2 + k_{1s} S_{z}^2 \gamma_h \left( \frac{1}{8} g^2 (3 + 5\alpha) \lambda_{o4h}^* - \frac{1}{2} g (1 + \alpha) \lambda_{22h}^* \right)$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} k_{2s}^2 S_{z}^2 \gamma_h g (1 + \alpha) \lambda_{o4h}^*.$$

$$\text{MSE} (t_{kst}) \equiv (S_{z}^2)^2 \left\{ (k_{1s} - 1)^2 + k_{1s} S_{z}^2 \gamma_h \left( \lambda_{o4h}^* \lambda_{22h}^* \right) \right\}$$

$$- 2k_{1s} \frac{1}{8} g^2 (5\alpha + 3) \lambda_{o4h}^* - \frac{1}{2} g (1 + \alpha) \lambda_{22h}^* \right\} + k_{2s}^2 S_{z}^2 \theta_{o4h}^*$$

$$- 2k_{2s} \frac{1}{2} g \gamma_h (1 + \alpha) \lambda_{o4h}^* - 2k_{1s} \frac{S_{z}^2}{S_{z}^2} \gamma_h \lambda_{o4h}^* - g (1 + \alpha) \lambda_{o4h}^*. \quad (11)$$

In order to obtain the optimum values of \( k_1 \) and \( k_2 \), partially differentiating (11) and equating to zero, the expression obtained is as

$$k_{1(\text{opt})} = \frac{1 - \frac{1}{8} \gamma_h g^2 (4\alpha^2 + 3\alpha + 1) \lambda_{o4h}^*}{1 + \gamma_h \left( 1 - \rho_{22h}^2 \right) - g \frac{1}{4} \left( \alpha + 3\alpha^2 \right) \lambda_{o4h}^*}$$

$$k_{2(\text{opt})} = \frac{S_{z}^2}{S_{z}^2} \left( \frac{1}{2} g (1 + \alpha) + k_{1(\text{opt})} \left( \lambda_{22h}^* - g (1 + \alpha) \right) \right)$$
Substituting these optimum values of $k_1$ and $k_2$ in (11), the minimum $\text{MSE}$ of $t_{kst}$ is given by

$$
\text{MSE}(t_{kst})_{\text{min}} \approx \left(S^2_t\right)^2 \left[1 - \frac{1}{4} g^2 \gamma_h (1 + \alpha)^2 \lambda_{04h}^* - \frac{1 - \frac{1}{8} \gamma_h g^2 \left(4\alpha^2 + 3\alpha + 1\right) \lambda_{04h}^*}{1 + \gamma_h \left(1 - \rho_{zst}^2\right) - g^2 \frac{1}{4} \left(\alpha + 3\alpha^2\right) \lambda_{04h}^*}\right]^2
$$

(12)

By using (12), for different values of $a$, $b$ and $\alpha = 0$ or $\alpha = 1$, we can get the minimum $\text{MSE}$ of $t_{kst}$ ($i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$).

3.1 Some members of proposed class of estimators

Different estimators can be generated from the proposed estimator given in (7) by substituting the suitable choices of $a$, $b$ and $\alpha = 0$ or $\alpha = 1$. Some generated estimators are listed in Tables 1 & 2.

### Table 1. Some members of proposed class of estimator $t_{kst}$ ($i = 0, 1, 2$), When $\alpha = 0$

| $a$ | $b$ | Estimator |
|-----|-----|------------|
| 1   | 0   | $t_{k0st} = \left[ k_1s^2_{zst} + k_2\left( S^2_{zst} - s^2_{zst}\right) \right] \exp \left( \frac{S^2_{zst} - s^2_{zst}}{S^2_{zst} + s^2_{zst}} \right) \right]$ |
| 1   | $\rho_x$ | $t_{k1st} = \left[ k_1s^2_{zst} + k_2\left( S^2_{zst} - s^2_{zst}\right) \right] \exp \left( \frac{S^2_{zst} - s^2_{zst}}{S^2_{zst} + s^2_{zst} + 2\rho_{zst}} \right)$ |
| $C_x$ | $\beta_2(x)$ | $t_{k2st} = \left[ k_1s^2_{zst} + k_2\left( S^2_{zst} - s^2_{zst}\right) \right] \exp \left( \frac{C_x\left( S^2_{zst} - s^2_{zst}\right)}{C_x\left( S^2_{zst} + s^2_{zst}\right) + 2\beta_2(x)} \right)$ |

### Table 2. Some members of proposed class of estimator $t_{kst}$ ($i = 3, 4, 5$), When $\alpha = 1$

| $a$ | $b$ | Estimator |
|-----|-----|------------|
| 1   | 0   | $t_{k3st} = \left[ k_1s^2_{zst} + k_2\left( S^2_{zst} - s^2_{zst}\right) \right] \left[ \frac{S^2_{zst}}{S^2_{zst}} \right]$ |
| 1   | $\rho_x$ | $t_{k4st} = \left[ k_1s^2_{zst} + k_2\left( S^2_{zst} - s^2_{zst}\right) \right] \left[ \frac{S^2_{zst} + \rho_{zst}}{S^2_{zst} + \rho_{zst}} \right]$ |
| $C_x$ | $\beta_2(x)$ | $t_{k5st} = \left[ k_1s^2_{zst} + k_2\left( S^2_{zst} - s^2_{zst}\right) \right] \left[ \frac{C_xS^2_{zst} + \beta_2(x)}{C_xS^2_{zst} + \beta_2(x)} \right]$ |
4 Empirical Investigation and Simulation Study

In this section, a simulation study is presented by comparing the performance of estimators discussed in this paper. Consider that the sensitive study variable \( Y \) and auxiliary variable \( X \) are related to each other and is defined as:

\[
Y_i = RX_i + e_i
\]  

(13)

where \( e_i \sim N(0,1) \) and \( R=1.5 \).

Consider a population of size \( N=1000 \). The auxiliary variable \( X_i \sim G(a, b) \) is generated from gamma distribution with parameters \( a=2 \) and \( b=3 \). Assume the scrambling variable \( S_i \sim B(\alpha, \beta) \) with \( \alpha = 6.5 \) and \( \beta = 0.5 \). Then, the scrambled responses on the study variable as:

\[
Z_i = Y_i + kS_i, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, ..., n \quad \text{and} \quad k = 0.3, 0.5, 0.7. 
\]

Table 3 gives the results of MSE and percent relative efficiency (PRE) for the proposed estimators as compare to ratio estimator and mean estimator using the following expression:

\[
PRE = \frac{MSE(t_{0st})}{MSE(t_{mean})} \times 100
\]

This process is repeated \( M=3000 \) times, for the different values of \( n \) such as 30, 150 and 300.

| \( N \) | \( N_h \) | \( n \) | Estimator | \( k=0.3 \) | \( k=0.5 \) | \( k=0.7 \) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | MSE | PRE | MSE | PRE | MSE | PRE |
| 30 | | | 0.04866 | 100.000 | 0.04965 | 100.000 | 0.05057 | 100.000 |
| | | | 0.03468 | 140.311 | 0.04572 | 108.596 | 0.04855 | 104.161 |
| | | | 0.03158 | 154.085 | 0.03694 | 134.407 | 0.03715 | 136.124 |
| 1000 | 550 | 5450 | 0.01033 | 471.055 | 0.01114 | 445.691 | 0.01108 | 456.408 |
| | | | 0.01504 | 323.537 | 0.01715 | 289.504 | 0.01749 | 289.137 |
| | | | 0.03116 | 156.162 | 0.03420 | 145.175 | 0.03483 | 145.191 |
| | | | 0.03788 | 128.458 | 0.03991 | 124.405 | 0.03964 | 127.573 |
| | | | 0.01315 | 370.038 | 0.01381 | 359.522 | 0.01411 | 358.398 |
| | | | 0.03309 | 147.053 | 0.03360 | 147.768 | 0.03292 | 153.615 |
| | | | 0.00801 | 100.000 | 0.01003 | 100.000 | 0.01022 | 100.000 |
| | | | 0.00551 | 145.372 | 0.00707 | 141.867 | 0.00785 | 130.191 |
| | | | 0.00423 | 189.362 | 0.00284 | 353.169 | 0.00293 | 349.641 |
| | | | 0.00280 | 286.071 | 0.002919 | 343.611 | 0.002898 | 352.657 |
| | | | 0.00154 | 520.130 | 0.00186 | 539.247 | 0.00188 | 532.049 |
| | | | 0.00606 | 132.178 | 0.00690 | 145.362 | 0.007168 | 142.578 |
| | | | 0.00277 | 289.170 | 0.00222 | 451.802 | 0.00281 | 448.049 |
| | | | 0.00265 | 302.264 | 0.00315 | 318.413 | 0.003440 | 297.093 |
| | | | 0.00505 | 158.614 | 0.00688 | 145.785 | 0.007149 | 142.957 |
From the results, it is observed that the generalized proposed variance estimator in stratified sampling using randomized response model performs efficiently as compared to ordinary mean and ratio estimator under randomized response model.

5 Conclusion

Results clearly show that the proposed estimators for the estimation of population variance using non-sensitive auxiliary information based on stratified sampling design performs more efficiently. The proposed generalized estimator provides lower MSE’s than the MSE of usual variance and ratio estimator for different values of ‘k’ under the proposed scrambled randomized response model. Also, as the sample size increases the MSE decreases for all estimators and there is an increase in the efficiency of all estimators.
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