1,4-Dihydropyridine Binding Sites in Moss Plasma Membranes
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An increase in cytoplasmic calcium is an early event in hormone (cytokinin)-induced vegetative bud formation in the moss Physcomitrella patens. Whole cell and calcium transport studies have implicated 1,4-dihydropyridine-sensitive calcium channels in this increase in cellular calcium. To understand the molecular nature of the dihydropyridine-sensitive calcium channel, we have established conditions for the binding of the arylazide 1,4-dihydropyridine, [3H]azidopine, to its receptor in moss plasma membranes. [3H]Azidopine bound specifically in a saturable and reversible manner. The Kd for [3H]azidopine binding was 5.2 nM and the Bmax was 35.6 pmol/mg of protein. Association and dissociation of the receptor and [3H]azidopine were temperature-dependent, and association varied as a function of pH. Binding was inhibited by dihydropyridine, phenylalkylamine, and benzothiazepine calcium channel blockers, bepridil, lanthanum, and N-ethylmaleimide. [3H]Azidopine binding was stimulated by cations including calcium, strontium, manganese, and barium. [3H]Azidopine binding was also stimulated by cytokinin with a Kd of 0.13 nM. These studies utilize a simple plant system to provide a biochemical framework for understanding calcium regulation during development and have implications for understanding mechanisms of signal transduction in plants.

Controlled changes in cellular calcium concentrations have been identified as important components of signal transduction pathways in plants. Cytoplasmic calcium concentrations are highly regulated; levels are modulated by coordinating passive fluxes and active transport across organelar and plasma membranes (1-4). Cytoplasmic calcium levels have been shown to increase in response to a variety of stimuli including light (5, 6) and hormones (7, 8), and small fluctuations in cellular calcium may modulate processes as diverse as secretory activity in the barley aleurone (7), pollen tube growth (9), and phase transition in mitosis (10). Studies examining the effect of calcium channel inhibitors on physiological processes (11-14) have suggested a role for calcium channels in stimulus-induced increases in cytoplasmic calcium levels; however, little is known about the biochemical or molecular properties of these transport systems. Calcium acts as an intracellular messenger in hormone (cytokinin)-induced vegetative bud formation during the development of the filamentous protonemata (the young gametophore) in the moss Physcomitrella patens (15-18). Formation of vegetative buds is an integral part of the moss life cycle leading to the development of the mature gametophore which is essential for subsequent sexual reproduction. Cytokinin applied to moss cells causes profuse premature bud formation (19). Localized increases in calcium take place after addition of cytokinin but precede the cytokinin-induced cell division (15, 18). In moss cells not stimulated by cytokinin, cytoplasmic calcium levels (250 nM) are three orders of magnitude lower than levels in the external medium (0.1-1.0 mM) (18). After addition of cytokinin, cytoplasmic calcium levels increase to 750 nM (18). Whole plant studies indicate that cytokinin-modulated calcium entry takes place via dihydropyridine (DHP)1-sensitive channels (20). In moss protonemata, application of DHP calcium channel agonists in the absence of cytokinin stimulates bud initial formation, whereas DHP calcium channel antagonists block cytokinin-induced bud formation (20). We have previously characterized calcium influx into isolated moss protoplasts and have established that the transport activity of the moss calcium channel shares common characteristics with L-type calcium channels in animal cells. Calcium transport in moss is voltage-dependent, stimulated by DHP agonists, and inhibited by DHP antagonists, phenylalkylamines, and benzothiazepines (21). A novel feature of the transport activity of this channel is hormonal modulation by cytokinin (21).

Cytokinin-induced bud formation in moss is a simple, highly ordered developmental process and is one of few plant responses that allows direct study of stimulus-response coupling at the biochemical and molecular levels. In view of the importance of this DHP-sensitive, hormone-stimulated calcium channel in early events in bud formation, it is of considerable interest to determine the molecular properties of this channel. In this study, conditions are established to investigate the interaction of DHPs with moss membranes. We demonstrate the presence of a DHP binding activity, determine its localization in purified plasma membranes, show an absolute requirement for physiological concentrations of calcium for binding, and also provide evidence for regulation of DHP binding by cytokinin.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Plant Material—P. patens (Hedw.) Br. Eur. was cultured and grown aseptically using a modified Knop’s medium solidified with 1.5% (w/v) agar (basal medium) (22). Plants were grown at 25 °C under continuous white light (45-50 μE m-2 s-1) supplied by fluorescent tubes. Petri dishes containing appropriately supplemented basal medium overlaid with sterile cellophane were inoculated with spore suspensions. To prepare spore suspensions, mature sporophytes were harvested, and 50 capsules were sterilized by soaking in 70% ethanol (5 ml) for 2 min followed by 5.25% (w/v) sodium hypochlorite, 0.1% Triton X-100 (10 ml) for 10 min with occasional swirling. The capsules were washed four times
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with sterile distilled water (10 ml each) and resuspended in 10 ml of sterile distilled water. Capsules were opened with sterile forceps, and plates were inoculated with 1 ml of spore suspension each (approximately 4 × 10^7 viable spores). After incubation at room temperature for 2 weeks, protonemal tissue was harvested and ground in sterile water (1 g/6 ml) with a tissue homogenizer (Tissue Tearor, Biospec Products Inc., Bartlesville, OK) at 11,000 rpm. Ground tissue (0.25–0.5 ml) was transferred to sterile flasks containing 50 ml of Gottwald's medium (23). Flasks were incubated at room temperature under fluorescent lights (as above) on a rotary shaker at 100 rpm for 3 weeks. The tissue was then subcultured by transferring 9-ml aliquots of culture into flasks containing 50 ml of Gottwald's medium. Cultures were incubated for an additional 2 weeks, and the tissue was harvested, weighed (yield: approximately 1 g tissue/flask), and used for membrane isolation.

Plasm Membrane Isolation—All procedures were conducted at 4°C. Moss vegetative tissue (35–60 g) was homogenized by mortar and pestle in a medium containing 250 mM sorbitol, 3 mM EGTA, 25 mM Hepes-BTP (pH 7.4), 1 mM dithiothreitol, 0.1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 1 mM iodoacetamide, 0.01 mM pepstatin A, 0.2% bovine serum albumin (fatty acid free), and 0.25 g/g fresh weight polyvinylpyrrolidone at a medium-to-tissue ratio of 1.5 g/ml of fresh weight. After filtration through cheesecloth, the debris was homogenized again in 1 ml of homogenization medium of the original tissue weight, washed in 0.5 M NaCl of the same medium, and filtered. The homogenate was centrifuged at 15,000 × g for 20 min to remove the supernatant and was resuspended for 30 min at 60,000 × g (Beckman SW 28 rotor, rmax). The resulting pellet (crude microsomal fraction) was resuspended in 250 mM sorbitol, 2.5 mM Hepes-BTP (pH 7.2), and 1 mM dithiothreitol (resuspending buffer). The suspension (1.1 ml) was layered over a two-step (6 and 12% dextran gradient (5 ml each) prepared in resuspending buffer. After centrifugation for 2 h at 70,000 × g (Beckman SW 28 rotor, rmax), a turbid band at the 6–12% dextran interface was collected and is referred to as plasma membrane-enriched vesicles.

To fractionate microsomal membranes, the following modifications to the above procedures were used. The crude microsomal pellet was resuspended, and 12 ml were layered over a three-step (4, 6, and 12%) dextran gradient (5 ml each) in resuspending buffer. After centrifugation for 2 h at 70,000 × g (Beckman SW 28 rotor, rmax), membranes at the 0–4, 4–6, and 6–12% interfaces were collected and used for localization studies.

Protein Determination and Enzyme Assays—For all ATPase assays, reaction mixtures (0.5 ml) contained 10–30 μg of protein, 4.5 units of pyruvate kinase, 5 mM MgSO4, 5 mM phosphoenol pyruvate, 0.012% Triton X-100, with 30 mM Hepes-BTP and 5 mM ATP-BTP buffered to the appropriate pH. Reactions were initiated by addition of ATP, and incubation was at 37°C for 30 min. P, was determined by the method of Fiske and SubbaRow (24). For measurement of vanadate-sensitive ATPase activity (plasma membrane), Hepes and ATP were buffered to pH 6.7 with BTP; 50 mM KCl, 0.1 μM bafilomycin A1, and 5 mM sodium azide (NaN3) were included in all assays. Vanadate-sensitive activity was calculated as the difference in activity in the absence and presence of 0.1 mM sodium vanadate (Na3VO4). For measurement of bafilomycin A1-sensitive ATPase activity (vacular membrane), Hepes and ATP were buffered to pH 7.5 with BTP; 50 mM BTP-CI, 0.1 μM vanadate, and 5 mM azide were included in all assays. Bafilomycin A1 at a concentration of 0.1 μM was used to determine bafilomycin A1-sensitive activity. UDPAse activity (Golgi membrane) was determined in the presence of Triton X-100 (25). The final concentrations of reaction components were 30 mM Hepes-BTP (pH 7.0), 3 mM MgSO4, 3 mM UDP-Na1, 0.02% Triton X-100 in a volume of 0.5 ml. Reactions were initiated by addition of UDP and incubated at 35°C for 30–40 min. Reactions were terminated with 1% ammonium molybdate (NH4)6Mo7O24·4H2O in 2 N H2SO4, plus 1% SDS. Membranes were resuspended in ice at room temperature.

Antimycin A-insensitive NADH cytochrome c reductase activity (endoplasmic reticulum) was assayed by monitoring the reduction of cytochrome c spectrophotometrically at 550 nm (26).

Protein was determined by the method of Lowry with bovine serum albumin as the standard (27).

[3H]Azidopine Receptor Binding Assays—Membranes were incubated at 20°C in a solution containing 20 mM Hepes-NaOH (pH 7.5), 0.1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 5 mM KCl, 1 mM CaCl2, and 10 nM [3H]azidopine at a final protein concentration of 0.066 mg/ml. Nonspecific binding, measured by preincubation of membranes with 100 μM of the DHP antagonist, nifedipine, on ice for 60 min, was <10% of total binding at a 10 μM concentration of ligand. Nonspecific binding was subtracted from total binding to determine specific binding. Variations in dissociation constants for individual experiments are indicated in the appropriate figures and tables. Experiments were initiated by addition of membrane vesicles to reactions; 60 min incubations were used in standard equilibrium binding experiments. Glass fiber filters (Whatman GF/C) used for filtration were presoaked for at least 1 h in 0.3% polyethylenimine. Under these conditions, [3H]azidopine bound to filters in the absence of membranes and could be displaced by nonradioactive ligand. Control experiments were performed without vesicles for each experiment and the binding data was corrected for nonspecific filter interactions. Incubations were stopped by rapid filtration of reaction aliquots (usually 450 μl) under reduced pressure. The filters were immediately washed twice with 5 ml of an ice cold solution containing 100 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5) and 0.1% bovine serum albumin. Duplicate experiments were systematically carried out using at least three different membrane preparations. Ten-μl samples of the incubation mixture were taken for measurement of the total amount of [3H]azidopine present; radioactivity was measured by scintillation counting. All experiments were carried out under dim light due to the light sensitivity of the dihydropyridines. Solvent controls were included in all assays where necessary. In the experiments to determine sensitivity of [3H]azidopine binding to sulfhydryl reagents, membranes were preincubated with the reagents for 30 min at 37°C before addition of [3H]azidopine. Inhibition of [3H]azidopine binding by different drugs was measured under equilibrium conditions as described above in the presence of 10 nM [3H]azidopine.

For determination of association rate constants (kass), the amount of labeled ligand-receptor complex in aliquots taken at various times between initiation of the reaction and the time to equilibrium was determined, and the data were analyzed as previously described (28). Dissociation rate constants (kdes) were determined by measuring the amount of labeled ligand-receptor complex remaining at various times after the addition of excess (100 μM) unlabeled ligand.

Materials—[3H]Azidopine (70–90 Ci/mmol) was purchased from DuPont NEN. Unlabeled nifedipine, bepridil, diltiazem, and Bay K8644 were purchased from Calbiochem. Verapamil was purchased from Sigma. Bafilomycin A1 was obtained from Dr. Karl Heinz Altendorf, University of Osnabrueck, Germany. All other chemicals were of the highest quality available from commercial sources.

RESULTS

Specific Binding of [3H]Azidopine to Sites in Moss Membranes—To understand the interaction of the moss channel with the dihydropyridine ligand, equilibrium binding of [3H]azidopine to membranes was carried out in the presence and absence of 100 μM of the DHP antagonist, nifedipine (Fig. 1A).

The specific binding, defined as the difference between total and nonspecific binding, was a saturable function of [3H]azidopine concentration. Specific binding of [3H]azidopine varied linearly with increasing concentrations of membrane proteins in the range of 10–130 μg of membrane protein/ml (data not shown); all binding studies were carried out in the linear range. In typical experiments using 0.066 mg of protein/ml, total binding in the presence of 10 nM [3H]azidopine was approximately 6500 cpm, while nonspecific binding in the presence of 100 μM
Azidopine Receptors in Moss Plasma Membranes

TABLE I

Subcellular distribution of specific [3H]azidopine binding to moss membranes

The total activity of each marker enzyme in the three dextran interfaces was set to 100% and all enzyme activities expressed as percent of the total. Equilibrium binding was determined using concentrations of [3H]azidopine ranging from 0 to 100 nM. The dissociation constants (K_D) and the maximal binding capacities (B_max) were estimated from Scatchard plots. All results represent the means of at least three experiments.

| Dextran interface | K_D (nM) | B_max (pmol/mg) |
|------------------|----------|----------------|
| 0-4              | 6.34     | 0.6            |
| 4-6              | 6.2      | 1.4            |
| 6-12             | 5.2      | 35.6           |

Relative total enzyme activities of subcellular fractions

| Fraction            | Plasma membrane | Golgi | Endoplasmic reticulum | Tonoplast |
|---------------------|-----------------|-------|-----------------------|-----------|
| %                   |                 |       |                       |           |
| 0-4                 | 36              | 7     | 2                     |           |
| 4-6                 | 55              | 73    | 12                    |           |
| 6-12                | 9               | 20    | 86                    |           |

nifedipine was approximately 700 cpm (data not shown). The linearity of the Scatchard plot shown in Fig. 1B indicates that the results are consistent with specific binding to a single class of sites and gives a value of 35.6 pmol/mg of protein for the equilibrium dissociation constant (K_D) of the azidopine-receptor complex.

Localization of [3H]Azidopine Binding Sites—To determine the cellular location of the [3H]azidopine binding sites, a crude microsomal suspension was separated with a three-step dextran gradient. The 0–4% interface was enriched in tonoplast vesicles as shown by the presence of bafilomycin A1-sensitive (vanadate- and azide-insensitive) ATPase activity (Table I). UDPase and antimycin A-insensitive NADH cytochrome c reductase activity (100% = 156 nmol of cytochrome c reduced/min).

Vanadate-sensitive ATPase activity (100% = 6.34 μmol of P_i/h).

The dissociation of [3H]azidopine from its receptor in moss plasma membranes was determined by direct binding experiments at 4°C and 20°C. [3H]Azidopine binding was temperature-dependent; 60-min incubation periods indicated that specific [3H]azidopine binding was maximum at 20°C, with approximately half as much binding at 4°C (data not shown). Kinetic studies indicated a single phase for the association and dissociation of [3H]azidopine. The association reaction of [3H]azidopine at pH 7.5 and 20°C rapidly reached a steady state within 20 min when 10 nM ligand and 0.066 mg/ml membrane protein were used (Fig. 2A). Kinetic data for association were plotted semilogarithmically (Fig. 2B) and show a linear relationship as would be expected for a pseudo-first order reaction. Using the first-order rate equation, this representation gives a k_3 of 9.6 × 10^{-4} M^{-1} s^{-1} (n = 3).

The dissociation of [3H]azidopine from its receptor in moss plasma membranes was examined by incubating [3H]azidopine with membranes for 60 min, adding 100 μM nifedipine, and examining the residual binding at different time points (Fig. 2C). The time course of dissociation followed first-order kinetics (Fig. 2D) producing a rate constant of dissociation (k_1) of 5.1 × 10^{-3} s^{-1} (n = 3) and a half-life of dissociation (t_1/2) of 2.26 min. The K_D value determined from the ratio k_2/k_3 is 5.3 nm which agrees well with results from equilibrium experiments (Fig. 1B).

pH Dependence of [3H]Azidopine Binding—Specific binding of [3H]azidopine to moss plasma membrane receptors increased with increasing pH between pH 5 and 7.5 and remained constant above pH 7.5 (Fig. 3). The relationship between specific binding of [3H]azidopine and pH resembled a simple titration curve, which indicated that there is one ionizable group with a pK_a value near 7.1 which is important for the association of [3H]azidopine with its receptor.

Interaction of Calcium Channel Antagonists, Sulfhydryl Reagents, and Reducing Agents with the Moss Azidopine Receptor—In addition to DHP analogs, L-type calcium channels in...
animal cells are modulated by distinct chemical classes of calcium antagonists including phenylalkylamines and benzothiazepines. These drugs exert their effect by binding to distinct allosterically coupled drug receptors residing on the $\alpha_1$ subunit of the calcium channel and inhibiting binding of DHPs (29). To determine whether these agents inhibit azidopine binding to receptors in moss plasma membranes, a number of agents including unlabeled dihydropyridines, verapamil, diltiazem, and bepridil were examined (Figs. 4 and 5). These titration curves were performed in the presence of 10 nM $[^3H]azidopine$. Increasing concentrations of most of the molecules (in the range of picomolar to micromolar) gradually inhibited $[^3H]azidopine$ binding and the maximal inhibition (percent) observed at the highest inhibitor concentrations are given in the legends to the figures. Bound $[^3H]azidopine$ was displaced with the following rank order of compounds: Bay K8644 $>$ verapamil $>$ nifedipine $>$ bepridil $>$ diltiazem. Due to limited solubilities, total displacement of $[^3H]azidopine$ binding by verapamil, diltiazem, or bepridil did not occur, so molar affinities of these drugs for the binding sites were not estimated.

$[^3H]azidopine$ binding was sensitive to sulfhydryl alkylating agents and reducing agents (Fig. 6). The sulfhydryl blocking reagent, N-ethylmaleimide, inhibited binding, suggesting that free thiol groups are essential for receptor-ligand interaction. The moss azidopine receptor showed reduced sensitivity to nifedipine (Fig. 6). Due to limited solubilities, total displacement of $[^3H]azidopine$ binding by verapamil, diltiazem, or bepridil did not occur, so molar affinities of these drugs for the binding sites were not estimated.

Effects of Divalent Cations on $[^3H]azidopine$ Binding—To determine if DHPs bind sites linked to calcium channels, the effect of calcium on $[^3H]azidopine$ binding in moss plasma membranes was evaluated. While substantial amounts of calcium may be bound to membranes, moss plasma membranes were isolated in the presence of 3 mM EGTA which should remove most bound calcium. Binding was dependent on the presence of calcium ions (Fig. 7A); as little as 10 nM calcium increased the binding and maximum stimulation of binding occurred at 10 nM calcium. $[^3H]azidopine$ binding was stimulated by 302 ± 30% (n = 4) at 1 mM calcium (Table II), and half-maximal stimulation occurred at a concentration of 16 $\mu$M (n = 3) free calcium (Fig. 7B).

The specific binding of $[^3H]azidopine$ was modulated by a number of divalent metal ions (Table II). Some stimulation of binding was observed with low concentrations of most of the cations examined; however, the patterns varied considerably. Calcium, strontium, and manganese provided the greatest stimulation of $[^3H]azidopine$ binding; however, maximal stimulation by strontium and manganese were only two-thirds and one-half as great as with calcium, respectively (Table II). Cobalt had both agonist and antagonist effects as low levels of cobalt stimulated $[^3H]azidopine$ binding while inhibitory effects were seen at higher concentrations. Ions such as lanthanum, which block the transport of calcium, inhibited $[^3H]azidopine$ binding at all concentrations tested.

Effects of Hormones on $[^3H]azidopine$ Binding—Cytokinins, which provide the primary stimulus for bud formation in mosses (33), were tested for their ability to stimulate or inhibit $[^3H]azidopine$ binding to receptors in moss plasma membranes. Kinetin stimulated $[^3H]azidopine$ binding in a concentration-dependent manner (Fig. 8A) with half-maximal stimulation at 0.13 nM (Fig. 8B). Other cytokinins also stimulated $[^3H]azidopine$ binding with the rank order of kinetin $>$ trans-zeatin $>$ cis-zeatin (Table III). The hormonally inactive but chemically related adenine and the hormones indoleacetic acid and gibberellic acid did not stimulate $[^3H]azidopine$ binding.

**DISCUSSION**

Plasma membranes from the moss P. patens contain a single class of binding sites for the calcium channel blocker $[^3H]azidopine$ (Fig. 1). $[^3H]azidopine$ binding is saturable (Fig. 1A) and reversible (Figs. 2C and 4). The maximum binding capacity is high (Fig. 1B), similar to values reported for DHP receptor densities found in T-tubule membranes from rabbit muscle (31, 32) and significantly higher than values for receptors in brain, heart, and smooth muscle microsomes (0.1–1 pmol/mg of protein) (33, 34). The equilibrium dissociation constant (Kd) for the azidopine-membrane complex suggests that $[^3H]azidopine$ binds with high affinity to membranes in this plant. Specific binding of azidopine was eliminated by pretreatment of the membranes with trypsin or chymotrypsin at concentrations of 0.1 mg/ml (data not shown). This protease sensitivity suggests that the interaction of azidopine with moss membranes is protein-mediated, and we have used the term receptor to describe this protease-sensitive binding activity. Prior to the present study, only preliminary evidence existed for binding of DHPs to plant membranes; Hetherington and Trewavas (35) showed that microsomal membranes isolated from etiolated pea shoots exhibited a low level of binding of the DHP antagonist, nifedipine.

Kinetic parameters indicate that association of $[^3H]azidopine$-
Fig. 5. Inhibition of \[^{3}H\]azidopine binding to moss plasma membrane receptors by bepridil, diltiazem, and verapamil. Binding of \[^{3}H\]azidopine was measured with the addition of increasing concentrations of bepridil (A), diltiazem (B), or verapamil (C). Points represent the means ± S.E. of three independent experiments. Mean I\(_{50}\) values (\(\mu M\)) and the maximal inhibition (%) observed at the highest drug concentration are 20.0 and 71 (diltiazem), and 2.0 and 80 (verapamil), respectively.

Fig. 6. Effect of sulfhydryl reagents and reducing agents on \[^{3}H\]azidopine binding to moss plasma membrane receptors. Membranes (0.066 mg of protein/ml) were preincubated with N-ethylmaleimide (○), dithiothreitol (□) or iodoacetamide (□) at the indicated concentrations for 30 min at 37°C. Membranes were then added to binding reactions, total and nonspecific binding was determined, and specific binding was normalized with respect to controls. Points represent the means ± S.E. of three experiments. Mean I\(_{50}\) values (\(\mu M\)) and the maximal inhibition (%) observed at the highest drug concentration are 38.9 and 66 (N-ethylmaleimide) and 603.0 and 52 (dithiothreitol), respectively.

Fig. 7. Effect of calcium on \[^{3}H\]azidopine binding to moss plasma membrane receptors. A, calcium regulation of \[^{3}H\]azidopine binding to moss plasma membranes. Total and nonspecific \[^{3}H\]azidopine binding were determined without KCl and with calcium concentrations varying from 0–10 mM. Points represent the means ± S.E. of three experiments. Mean I\(_{50}\) values (\(\mu M\)) and the maximal inhibition (%) observed at the highest drug concentration are 6.3 and 60 (bepridil), 20.0 and 71 (diltiazem), and 2.0 and 80 (verapamil), respectively.

TABLE II

| Cation | \[^{3}H\]Azidopine bound (nifedipine-sensitive) |
|--------|-----------------------------------------------|
|        | % 0.1 mM | % 1 mM |
| None (control) | 100 | 100 |
| Ca\(^{2+}\) | 250 ± 6 | 302 ± 30 |
| Ba\(^{2+}\) | 154 ± 88 | 154 ± 0.5 |
| Sr\(^{2+}\) | 261 ± 23 | 269 ± 73 |
| Co\(^{2+}\) | 147 ± 15 | 130 ± 63 |
| Mg\(^{2+}\) | 153 ± 37 | 163 ± 18 |
| Mn\(^{2+}\) | 237 ± 9 | 278 ± 21 |
| La\(^{3+}\) | 87 ± 3 | 55 ± 2 |

Fig. 8. Effect of hormones on \[^{3}H\]azidopine binding to moss plasma membrane receptors. A, kinase regulation of \[^{3}H\]azidopine binding to moss plasma membranes. Total and nonspecific \[^{3}H\]azidopine binding were determined without KCl or CaCl\(_2\) and with kinetin concentrations varying from 0–1 mM. Points represent the means ± S.E. of three experiments. B, Eadie-Hofstee plot of the data (\(y = 340.8655 - (0.0161)x\); \(R^2 = 0.903\)) resulted in a \(K_m\) for calcium of 16.1 \(\mu M\).

activity were seen when plasma membranes were isolated using aqueous two-phase partitioning (38) (data not shown); however, yields of purified plasma membranes were so low as to make this method impractical for subsequent binding studies. Recent electrophysiological studies have identified calcium channels on the vacuolar membranes of broad bean guard cells (39) and sugar beet cell suspension cultures (40, 41) that share a number of properties with animal L-type channels. No information is available, however, about the abundance of these vacuolar channels or their binding affinity for DHPs. While \[^{3}H\]azidopine binding to moss membranes isolated from the 0–4% dextran interface may represent binding to receptors in the vacuolar membrane, two lines of evidence suggest that binding to this fraction (and to membranes isolated from the 4–6% interface) represents binding to contaminating plasma membranes: (i) The \(K_d\) values calculated for binding in these fractions were very similar to the \(K_d\) calculated for binding in the 6–12% interface, and (ii) there was a low level of vanadate-sensitive ATPase activity in these fractions.
to the binding buffer stimulated [3H]azidopine binding to moss membranes.

Table III

| Hormone       | [3H]Azidopine bound (nifedipine-sensitive) | %     |
|---------------|------------------------------------------|-------|
| None (control)| 100                                      | 100   |
| Adenine       | 69 ± 7.6                                 | 63 ± 14.2 |
| Cytokinins    |                                          |       |
| Kinetin       | 119 ± 0.9                                | 146 ± 2.2 |
| trans-Zeatin  | 112 ± 2.9                                | 128 ± 1.9 |
| cis-Zeatin    | 106 ± 4.4                                | 115 ± 5.8 |
| Gibberellic acid| 96 ± 11.1                                | 89 ± 0.8  |
| Indoleacetic acid| 102 ± 5.3                                | 91 ± 6.3  |

There is strong evidence for three calcium antagonist receptor sites on the L-type voltage-dependent calcium channels in animal cells: one for DHPs, one for phenylalkylamines, and one for benzothiazepines (42). We investigated the ability of these molecules to compete for [3H]azidopine binding sites in moss plasma membranes. All molecules reduced [3H]azidopine bind to moss membranes and to an allosteric inhibition of azidopine binding by verapamil and diltiazem (30–33). While it has been possible to show involvement of phenylalkylamine-sensitive calcium channels in processes in higher (vascular) plants (43–47), it has been difficult to show a role for DHP-sensitive calcium channels. Recent experiments examining the ability of nifedipine to inhibit hormone-induced traheary element differentiation in Zinnia elegans suggest that DHP-sensitive calcium channels are present in higher plants but may be in low abundance, and that their expression may be temporal and highly tissue specific (48). The DHP effect seen in the Zinnia studies required the use of a simplified culture system (suspension cultures) rather than the whole plant. The ability to demonstrate DHP-sensitivity in moss membranes may be due to the high density of high affinity DHP binding sites and suggests that the simplicity of the moss system makes it particularly well suited for understanding calcium channel involvement in plant processes.

In the present study, increasing concentrations of KCl added to the binding buffer stimulated [3H]azidopine binding to moss membranes. Maximum stimulation of binding (250%) was seen with 5 mM KCl (data not shown). This K+ stimulation of binding may reflect a direct stimulation of azidopine binding to its receptor. Alternatively, because the membranes used in this study were isolated using dextran gradients that enrich for sealed vesicles (49), K+ stimulation of binding may be due to an altered conformation of the channel caused by a change in the potential across the vesicle membrane. The membranes used likely represent a mixture of right-side out and inside-out vesicles. K+ depolarization of the plasma membrane in inside-out vesicles, leading to increased [3H]azidopine binding, would be equivalent to a hyperpolarization of the plasma membrane potential in the cell. However, we have shown previously that conditions that depolarize the plasma membrane in moss protoplasts (K+ gradients, Kout > Kin) stimulate calcium influx (21). This depolarization-induced calcium influx suggests that we are most likely measuring [3H]azidopine binding to right-side out membrane vesicles and that the binding activity of the channel may also be stimulated by a depolarization of the plasma membrane.

[3H]Azidopine binding to moss plasma membranes has an absolute requirement for the presence of calcium (Fig. 7). ([3H]Azidopine binding in the absence of calcium was very low (0.18 pmol/mg of protein, Fig. 7, Table I); additions of calcium as low as 10 nM significantly stimulated binding. Similar calcium-dependence has been seen with DHP binding to calcium channels in a number of animal cells (33, 50–53). While the site of DHP binding is still uncertain (54–56), a conserved region in the cytoplasmic domain adjacent to segment IVS6 has been shown to bind DHPs in the purified rabbit skeletal muscle calcium channel (54). This conserved DHP binding site contains a putative calcium binding site (an EF-hand domain). Binding of calcium to this region may be necessary to induce correct folding of the DHP binding domain and may explain the calcium stimulation of azidopine binding. The ability of various cations to stimulate or inhibit [3H]azidopine binding correlates with their known agonist or antagonist activities at calcium channels in animal cells (34). Strontium and barium are known animal calcium channel agonists and mimic the effects of calcium in stimulating [3H]azidopine binding to receptors in moss plasma membranes (Table I). Lanthanum and cobalt, classical animal calcium channel antagonists, reduce [3H]azidopine binding to moss receptors (Table I). Inorganic calcium antagonists (manganese and cobalt) can also stimulate [3H]azidopine binding at low concentrations, suggesting that these ions possess agonist as well as antagonist properties at the calcium channels depending on their concentration. Similar effects were seen with binding of the DHP-antagonist [3H]nitrendipine to brain membranes (34). The relative potencies of these ions in stimulating or inhibiting [3H]azidopine binding may be related to their ionic crystal radii. Maximal stimulation occurs at a diameter corresponding to that of strontium with substantially lesser effects for ions with smaller or larger diameters (Table I). These results suggest that it will be important to link [3H]azidopine binding data with studies correlating ionic crystal radius and action at the moss calcium channel.

Previous studies showed that cytokinins stimulate calcium influx into moss protoplasts (21). These effects were seen without prior incubation of the protoplasts with the hormone, suggesting a primary effect. To understand the interaction of cytokinins with the channel, we examined the action of hormones on [3H]azidopine binding to moss plasma membranes. Low levels of cytokinins consistently caused a stimulation of [3H]azidopine binding in a manner qualitatively similar to their ability to stimulate calcium influx into moss protoplasts. These cytokinin effects on binding were also seen without prior incubation of the membranes with the hormone (Table III), providing additional evidence for a primary effect. The stimulation of binding to moss plasma membrane receptors by cytokinins appears to be specific as adenine did not stimulate azidopine binding (Table III). As with studies of cytokinin effects on calcium influx into moss protoplasts, the less active cytokinin, cis-zeatin, was less effective in stimulating azidopine binding (Table III). Cytokinin may stimulate [3H]azidopine binding by interacting with the channel and altering its conformation, facilitating inhibitor binding.

Whole cell studies of the effect of DHPs on bud formation in moss suggested that cytokinin-stimulated bud formation is modulated by calcium entry through calcium channels (20). Subsequent studies characterizing calcium influx into moss protoplasts established that calcium influx is stimulated by DHP agonists and inhibited by DHP antagonists (21). The current study establishes the presence of abundant, high affinity sites for DHP binding in the moss plasma membrane. The ability to monitor the [3H]azidopine receptor activity demon-
Azidopine Receptors in Moss Plasma Membranes

strated in this study should allow the identification of the protein responsible for this ligand interaction and ultimately lead to an understanding of the expression and regulation of the calcium channel during moss development. In addition, information learned about the moss DHP-sensitive calcium channel may serve as a model to allow characterization and identification of the channel homolog in higher plants.

Acknowledgments—We are grateful to Dr. H. Sze (University of Maryland), Dr. M. Dietrich, Dr. R. Leonard, Dr. J. Verbeke, and W. Hable (University of Arizona) for comments on the manuscript and to Dr. S. Smith (University of Arizona) for comments on the manuscript and help with the figures.

REFERENCES

1. Evans, D. E., Briars, S. A., and Williams, L. E. (1991) J. Exp. Bot. 42, 285–303
2. Schumaker, K. S., and Sze, H. (1986) J. Biol. Chem. 261, 12172–12187
3. Hsieh, W., Pierce, W. S., and Sze, H. (1991) Plant Physiol. 97, 1535–1544
4. Bush, D. S. (1993) Plant Physiol. 103, 7–13
5. Shacklock, P. S., Read, N. D., and Trewavas, A. J. (1992) Nature 358, 753–755
6. Neuhaus, G., Bowler, C., Kern, R., and Chua, N.-H. (1993) Nature 362, 459–462
7. Gilroy, S., and Jones, R. (1992) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 89, 513–517
8. Gilroy, S., and Trewavas, A. J. (1994) Trends Plant Sci. 33, 541–547
9. Pierson, E. S., Miller, D. D., Callaham, D. A., Shipley, A. M., Rivers, B. A., Cresti, M., and Hepler, P. K. (1994) Plant Cell 6, 1815–1828
10. Hepler, P. K. (1992) Int. Rev. Cytol. 138, 235–268
11. Lehtonen, J. (1984) Plant Sci. Lett. 33, 53–60
12. Grotha, J. (1986) Planta 169, 546–554
13. Nultsch, W., Pfau, J., and Dole, R. (1986) Arch. Microbiol. 144, 393–397
14. Tretyn, A., Kendrick, R. E., and Bossen, M. E. (1990) Physiol. Plant. 78, 230–235
15. Saunders, M. J., and Hepler, P. K. (1981) Science 212, 272–281
16. Saunders, M. J., and Hepler, P. K. (1982) Science 217, 943–945
17. Saunders, M. J., and Hepler, P. K. (1983) Dev. Biol. 99, 41–49
18. Hahn, S. H., and Saunders, M. J. (1991) Cell Calcium 12, 675–681
19. Brandes, H., and Kende, H. (1988) Plant Physiol. 83, 837–847
20. Conrad, P. A., and Hepler, P. K. (1988) Plant Physiol. 86, 684–687
21. Schumaker, K. S., and Giszinski, M. J. (1993) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 90, 10937–10941
22. Knight, C. C., Cove, D. J., Boyd, P. J., and Ashton, N. W. (1988) in Methods in Bryology: Proceedings of the Bryology Methods Workshop (Glime, J. M., ed) pp. 47–58, Hattori Botanical Laboratory, Nichinan, Japan
23. Gottwald, R., Bueltemann, P., and Hartmann, E. (1988) in Methods in Bryology: Proceedings of the Bryology Methods Workshop (Glime, J. M., ed) pp. 73–76, Hattori Botanical Laboratory, Nichinan, Japan
24. Fiske, C. H., and SubbaRow, Y. (1925) J. Biol. Chem. 66, 375–400
25. Nagahashi, J., and Kane, A. P. (1982) Prototaxida 112, 167–173
26. Hodges, T. R., and Leonard, R. T. (1974) Methods Enzymol. 32, 392–406
27. Lowry, O. H., Rosebrough, N. J., Farr, A. L., and Randall, R. J. (1951) J. Biol. Chem. 193, 265–275
28. Scatchard, G. (1949) Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 51, 660–672
29. Catterall, W. A., Seagar, M. J., and Takahashi, M. (1988) J. Biol. Chem. 263, 3535–3538
30. Ferry, D. R., Kämpf, K., Goll, A., and Glossmann, H. (1985) EMBO J. 4, 1933–1940
31. Fosset, M., Jaimovich, E., Delport, E., and Lazardtun, M. (1983) Eur. J. Pharmacol. 86, 141–142
32. Fosset, M., Jaimovich, E., Delport, E., and Lazardtun, M. (1983) J. Biol. Chem. 258, 6086–6092
33. Gould, R. J., Murphy, K. M., and Snyder, S. H. (1982) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 79, 3656–3660
34. Bolger, G. T., Genco, P. J., Luchowski, E. M., Siegel, H., Triggle, D. J., and Janis, R. A. (1982) Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 104, 1604–1609
35. Hetherington, A. M., and Trewavas, A. J. (1984) Plant Cell Lett. 10, 109–113
36. Galízzi, J., Borsotto, M., Barhanin, J., Fosset, M., and Lazardtun, M. (1986) J. Biol. Chem. 261, 1393–1397
37. Schwartz, H., Vincent, J. P., and Lazardtun, M. (1973) Biochemistry 12, 2841–2846
38. Palmgren, M. G., Askurand, P., Fredrikson, K., Wideli, S., Sommarin, M., and Larsson, C. (1990) Plant Physiol. 92, 871–880
39. Sanders, D., and Allen, G. J. (1994) Plant Cell 6, 685–694
40. Pantoya, O., Gelli, A., and Blumwald, E. (1992) Science 255, 1567–1570
41. Gelli, A., and Blumwald, E. (1993) Plant Physiol. 102, 1139–1146
42. Striessnig, J., Berger, W., and Glossmann, H. (1993) Cell Physiol. Biochem. 3, 295–317
43. Andrejeauskas, E., Hertel, R., and Marmé, D. (1985) J. Biol. Chem. 260, 5411–5414
44. Dole, R., and Nultsch, W. (1988) Arch. Microbiol. 149, 451–458
45. Dole, R., and Nultsch, W. (1988) J. Cell Sci. 90, 457–463
46. Graziana, A., Fosset, M., Ranjeva, R., Hetherington, A. M., and Lazardtun, M. (1988) Biochemistry 27, 764–768
47. Harvey, H., Sevius, M. A., and Trewavas, A. J. (1989) Biochem. J. 257, 95–100
48. Roberts, A. W., and Haigler, C. H. (1992) Planta 186, 586–592
49. Sze, H. (1985) Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. 36, 175–208
50. Glossmann, H., and Ferry, D. R. (1985) Methods Enzymol. 109, 513–550
51. Glossmann, H., Ferry, D. R., Lubbeke, F., Mawes, R., and Hofmann, F. (1982) Trends Pharmacol. Sci. 3, 431–437
52. Ptasienski, J., McMahon, K. K., and Hosey, M. M. (1985) Biochem. Pharmacol. 34, 257, 1393–1397
53. Ruth, P., Flock, Z., Von Nettels, E., Oeken, J., and Hofmann, F. (1985) Eur. J. Biochem. 150, 313–322
54. Regulla, S., Schneider, T., Nazaransizy, W., Meyer, H. E., and Hofmann, F. (1991) EMBO J. 10, 45–49
55. Nakayama, H., Taki, M., Striessnig, J., Glossmann, H., Catterall, W. A., and Kanada, Y. (1991) J. Biol. Chem. 266, 12172–12187
56. Striessnig, J., Murphy, B. J., and Catterall, W. A. (1991) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 88, 10769–10773