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Background: COVID-19 has impacted healthcare in many ways, including presentation of acute stroke. Since time-sensitive thrombolysis is essential for reducing morbidity and mortality in acute stroke, any delays due to the pandemic can have serious consequences.

Methods: We retrospectively reviewed the electronic medical records for patients presenting with acute ischemic stroke at a comprehensive stroke center in March–April 2020 (the early months of COVID-19) and compared to the same time period in 2019. Stroke metrics such as incidence, time to arrival, and immediate outcomes were assessed.

Results: There were 48 acute ischemic strokes (of which 7 were transfers) in March–April 2020 compared to 64 (of which 12 were transfers) in 2019. The average last known well to arrival time (±SD) for stroke codes was 1,041 (±1682.1) min in 2020 and 554 (±604.9) min in 2019. Of the patients presenting directly to the ED with a known last known well time, 27.8% (10/36) presented in the first 4.5 h in 2020, in contrast to 40.5% (15/37) in 2019. Patients who died comprised 10.4% of the stroke cohort in 2020 (5/48) compared to 6.3% in 2019 (4/64).

Conclusions: During the first 2 months of COVID-19, there were fewer overall stroke cases who presented to our hospital, and of these cases, there was delayed presentation in comparison to the same time period in 2019. Recognizing how stroke presentation may be affected by COVID-19 would allow for optimization of established stroke triage algorithms in order to ensure safe and timely delivery of stroke care during a pandemic.
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INTRODUCTION

The overwhelming burden of the current COVID-19 pandemic on the healthcare system has produced unintended consequences on acute stroke care. COVID-19 which was first diagnosed in Wuhan, China, and spread rapidly worldwide, has affected over 4.5 million individuals worldwide and over 1.4 million in the U.S. as of May 15, 2020 (1). While the number of COVID-19 cases presenting to hospitals has risen exponentially, there are reports of precipitous declines in the
number of patients presenting with acute stroke in many countries, including China, Italy and the U.S. (2–5). Reports suggest a global increase in treatment delays for life threatening and disabling conditions and at worst, an increase in the number of patients presenting outside of time sensitive treatment windows (6–9). The impact of COVID-19 on outcomes of stroke patients who may be delaying or foregoing presentation to hospitals is consequential since early interventions are potentially life-saving. Time-sensitive thrombolysis for qualifying ischemic stroke patients is a critical component of the stroke treatment paradigm. Tissue plasminogen activator (tPA) up to 3 to 4.5 h and thrombectomy up to 24 h have been established as the standard of care and have shown therapeutic benefit in large randomized clinical trials (10–12). The purpose of this study is to evaluate our institution’s experience with patients presenting with acute stroke symptoms during the early months of the COVID-19 pandemic.

METHODS

We retrospectively reviewed patients who presented with acute stroke symptoms to University of California Irvine Medical Center (UCI) during the time period of March 1–April 30, 2020. This period was chosen to coincide with our hospital’s initial experience with COVID-19. UCI is a 411-bed comprehensive stroke center serving Orange County, CA, with 317 acute ischemic stroke cases who presented in 2019. We analyzed the incidence, time to arrival, severity, administered therapies, and immediate outcomes of stroke cases during the early months of COVID-19 and compared to the same time period (March 1–April 30, 2019) 1 year prior. All data was obtained through UCI’s stroke database and electronic medical record, and the study was performed in accordance with IRB guidelines.

RESULTS

A total of 48 patients presented with acute stroke symptoms during March 1–April 30, 2020, in comparison to 64 patients from March 1–April 30, 2019 (Table 1). This included 1 patient with COVID-19 diagnosed by nucleic acid detection test. Mean age was 65.25 ± 16.1 years in 2020 compared to 69 ± 14.9 years in 2019. There were 7 interfacility transfers (IFT) and 3 inpatient strokes in 2020 and 12 IFTs and 3 inpatient strokes in 2019. The mean time from last known well (LKW) to arrival for stroke code patients was 1,041 ± 1682.1 min in 2020 and 554 ± 604.9 min in 2019. Of the patients presenting directly to the ED with a known last known well time, 27.8% (10/36) presented in the first 4.5 h in 2020, in contrast to 40.5% (15/37) in 2019. The mean presenting NIH Stroke Scale (NIHSS) score was 10.29 ± 8.5 and discharge NIHSS if the patient survived was 5.86 ± 5.2 in 2020 in comparison to 9.52 ± 9.8 and 7.05 ± 8.4, respectively, in 2019. Five patients each received tPA in 2020 and 2019, and 9 patients underwent thrombectomy in 2020 in contrast to 4 patients in 2019. Patients who died comprised 10.4% of the stroke cohort in 2020 (5/48) compared to 6.3% in 2019 (4/64). Mean time from patient arrival to administration of tPA (door to needle) in minutes was 49.6 (±37.2) in 2020 compared to 50 (±20.1) in 2019. Mean time from patient arrival to vessel puncture for endovascular therapy (door to puncture) in minutes was 109 (±32.4) in 2020 compared to 132 (±22.6) in 2019.

| Table 1 | Demographics, time metrics, and early clinical outcomes of acute ischemic stroke patients in March–April 2020 (COVID-19 pandemic) in comparison to March–April 2019. |
|---------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| March–April 2020 | March–April 2019 |
| (n = 48) | (n = 64) |
| Age, y, mean ± SD | 65.25 ± 16.1 | 69 ± 14.9 |
| Female, n (%) | 12/48 (25%) | 25/64 (39%) |
| Race | | |
| Hispanic | 20 | 11 |
| Black/African-American | 0 | 3 |
| Non-Hispanic White | 12 | 42 |
| Asian | 14 | 8 |
| Stroke presentation, n | | |
| Stroke code or consult | 36 | 37 |
| Inpatient stroke | 3 | 3 |
| Interfacility Transfer | 7 | 12 |
| Unknown LKW | 2 | 12 |
| LKW to Arrival in minutes, mean ± SD* | 1,041 ± 1682.1 | 554 ± 604.9 |
| Presentation < 4.5 Hr in minutes, n (%) | 10/36 (27.8%) | 15/37 (40.5%) |
| Door to imaging in minutes, mean ± SD | 25.35 ± 32.8 | 18 ± 11.6 |
| Door to needle in minutes, mean ± SD | 49.6 ± 37.2 | 50 ± 20.1 |
| Door to puncture in minutes, mean ± SD | 109 ± 32.4 | 132 ± 22.6 |
| NIHSS at presentation, mean ± SD | 10.29 ± 8.5 | 9.52 ± 9.8 |
| NIHSS at discharge if patient survived, mean ± SD | 5.86 ± 5.2 | 7.05 ± 8.4 |
| Thrombolysis, n | | |
| tPA | 5 | 5 |
| Thrombectomy | 9 | 4 |
| TICI, n | | |
| 0, 1, or 2a | 1 | 1 |
| 2b, 2c, or 3 | 8 | 3 |
| Deaths, n (%) | 5 (10%) | 4 (6%) |

*Excludes inpatient strokes, IFT’s, and unknown LKW.

LKW, last known well; IFT, interfacility transfer; NIHSS, NIH Stroke Scale; tPA, tissue plasminogen activator; TICI, thrombolysis in cerebral infarction.

DISCUSSION

The COVID-19 pandemic has affected the presentation of acute stroke cases in our single-center experience during the early months of the pandemic. We found a decreased number of stroke cases and a delayed presentation to the hospital in 2020 in comparison to 2019. In addition, fewer cases were presenting in the acute time window when tPA could be administered for qualifying patients, although more patients underwent thrombectomy which suggests increased numbers of stroke cases from large vessel occlusion. Despite additional regulations instated for donning personal protective equipment during stroke code presentations in 2020, the
Changes in stroke presentation due to COVID-19 may be a result of several factors. Patients may be reluctant to seek healthcare for fear of being exposed to the virus and presenting only when strokes are severe. In addition, due to restrictions in public gatherings and social distancing measures, patients who have incapacitating strokes tend to be found by others in a delayed fashion prior to presentation. Delays in presentation prevent patients from meeting criteria for life-saving therapies and may cause increased morbidity and mortality given the time-sensitivity of treatments. Patients with milder strokes or transient ischemic attacks could be avoiding the healthcare system altogether, which could be dangerous since these patients are at increased risk of a recurrent ischemic stroke and warrant secondary prevention (13).

In order to ensure continued safe and timely acute stroke management during COVID-19, updated stroke algorithms have been proposed that address all steps of the stroke management pathway, including pre-hospitalization and interfacility transfer, hospitalization and treatment, and discharge and rehabilitation (14–16). These guidelines have introduced measures such as COVID-19 screening and personal protective equipment into stroke triage to ensure the safety of patients and the stroke team while emphasizing the importance of preventing delays in care. The optimization of telemedicine and other virtual clinician guidance tools has become essential to triage patients appropriately and provide education and prevention (17).

Limitations of this study include a retrospective single-center experience, which may not be generalizable to other stroke centers. Patient presentation to surrounding stroke centers are not accounted for in this study. Additionally, the patient number is low, allowing for only descriptive analysis of the statistics. Only immediate clinical status has been reported, and long-term clinical outcomes remain to be seen. The effect of COVID-19 on delays once the patient reaches the hospital also needs to be assessed to see if current protocols need further optimization.

In conclusion, this study highlights how stroke presentation has been impacted by COVID-19, with fewer overall cases, delays in presentation, and increased mortality. To address these potential issues, updated stroke guidelines which incorporate adherence to COVID-19 precautions into triage and treatment algorithms will allow patients to continue to receive optimal stroke care. Furthermore, more public outreach focusing on awareness of time-sensitive treatment windows for cerebral reperfusion may help prevent delays in presentation and irreversible brain injury during COVID-19 and future pandemics.
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