Couple-kissing flaps for successful repair of severe sacral pressure ulcers in frail elderly patients
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Abstract

Background: Surgical repair of severe pressure ulcers (PUs) in elderly patients remains a challenge for clinicians due to the complicated comorbidities and the special physical characteristics of elderly patients. The objective of this study was to evaluate the application of couple-kissing flaps (CKF) in the reconstruction of sacral PUs in these patients.

Methods: Elderly patients (over 70 years) with stage 3 or stage 4 PUs who underwent CKF immediately after radical debridement between July 2012 and December 2015 were enrolled in this retrospective study. Patients’ demographics were extracted from the medical records.

Results: A total of 12 patients were involved in this study. The average age of the patients was 76.83 years (ranged from 71 to 92 years). The donor site was closed primarily in all cases. All the flaps healed uneventfully without complications. Follow-up observations were conducted for an average of 13.6 months (ranged from 9 months to 2 years). Cosmetic results were satisfactory, with no surgical site breakdown or recurrence of PU in any of the cases. Three representative cases are presented.

Conclusions: The CKF is a reliable and satisfactory option for the reconstruction of severe sacral PUs defects in elderly patients. CKF is associated with a relatively low rate of complications and recurrence.
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Background
Pressure ulcers (PUs)—also known as pressure injury, pressure sores, bedsores, or decubitus ulcers—are one of the most common skin injuries. According to the National Pressure Ulcer Advisory Panel (NPUAP) 2016, pressure ulcer is redefined as pressure injury: a localized damage to the skin and underlying soft tissue usually over a bony prominence or related to a medical or other device [1].

PUs are more common in individuals bedridden for extended periods in the supine position or using a wheelchair. In addition, malnutrition, arteriosclerosis, paralysis, or neuropathy are also believed to play important roles in the occurrence/recurrence of PUs due to poor blood perfusion to tissues or reduced sensation of skin [2].

The most common involved sites of PUs are the skin overlying the sacrum, coccyx, ischial tuberosity, or the trochanters, but other sites such as elbows, knee, iliac crest, and lateral malleolus can also be affected [3]. PUs can lead to patient suffering and frequent and lengthy hospitalizations that include intensive nursing interventions and financial burdens for the patient, family, and the healthcare system [4].

Based on the latest classification system of NPUAP 2016, PU can be divided into four different stages. Commonly, the healing process of minor to moderate PUs (NPUAP stage 1 and 2) may be slowed by ageing, medical conditions (such as diabetes or infection), smoking habits, or medications such as anti-inflammatory drugs. However, to date, the optimal treatment method for severe PUs (NPUAP stage 3, 4 and unstageable/deep tissue pressure injury) remains challenging in clinical settings and may typically require surgical intervention.

Pressure ulcers are a global problem with prevalence range from 3.4% to 10.9% in the hospitalized or emergency department setting [3, 5, 6]. For neurologically impaired...
patients or critically ill patients stayed in the intensive care unit, the incidence may be much higher—from 9% to 34.4% [7–10]. Pressure ulcers are a major health safety hazard as they increase the risk of expensive hospitalization and death [11]. The overall prevalence of stage 2–4 PUs at nursing home admission in the United States ranges between 5% and 20%, and the costs to treat severe PUs were found to be substantially higher and entail a substantial financial concern for all involved parties [11, 12]. The more severe the pressure ulcer, the more costly and longer time to heal.

As a major public healthcare issue affecting hospital and community patient populations, the prevention of occurrence/recurrence of severe PUs and early intervention needs more focus and improvement. Multidisciplinary care and treatment principles, combined with educational programs led by healthcare providers, are mandatory to achieve fewer PUs along with faster healing and less recurrence and complications.

Presently many technical modalities (e.g., negative pressure device [13], extracellular, collagen-rich matrix [14]); arginine-enriched oral nutritional supplementation [15]; and surgical techniques (e.g., muscular flap, cutaneous flaps, myocutaneous flap, perforator/fascia pedicle–based flap [16, 17]) have been described in the treatment of severe PUs defects. However, few studies have focused on the treatment of severe sacral PUs in geriatric populations.

As the elderly comprise over 70% of people affected with PUs, and with the expected increase in longevity in aging societies, the prevalence and incidence of PUs in this high-risk population will likely increase alarmingly [18, 19]. Moreover, the treatment of stage-4 PUs represents a major health burden and can be difficult and expensive to treat.

In this study, we describe a novel design of couple-kissing flap (CKF) that extends the range of therapeutic modalities in elderly patients with severe sacral PUs. It could provide advantages over traditional advanced flap procedures and result in satisfactory functional and aesthetic outcomes.

Methods
Ethical approval
This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board from the First Hospital of Jilin University; all participants or their legal guardians provided informed consent in person.

Patients, inclusion and exclusion criteria
The CKF method was applied in elderly patients admitted to restore severe sacral PUs defects during the period of 2012–2015 in our unit. Patients were eligible for inclusion if they were: aged 70 years or above, suffered NPUAP stage 3, 4 or deep tissue pressure injury, not in need of life-saving support, and underwent CKF surgery immediately to close the resultant defects (larger than 7 cm midline width of defect after radical debridement). Patients were excluded if they were: younger than 70 years, declined to participate, NPUAP stage 1 and 2, with a previous severe injury or surgery to the buttocks, laboratory tests indicating low hemoglobin levels and albumin levels, high level white blood cells and C-reactive protein, X-ray indicating osteomyelitis, or existence of comorbidities for anesthesia and surgery, such as diabetes with target organ complication, venous thrombosis, cancer, Parkinson’s disease, dementia or receiving end of life care.

Design of CKF and harvest of the flap
The anatomical basis of CKF for coverage of sacral PUs is the superior gluteal artery perforator-based fasciocutaneous/myocutaneous, bilateral symmetrical advanced (or rotated) flap of buttock.

All the operations were carried out by the same surgeon under general anesthesia. All the patients were placed in prone position for surgery. The wound was carefully and radically debrided, and the size of the resultant wound measured. The size of unilateral flap was designed 1–2 cm larger than the half-size of the wound. The perforator of the superior gluteal artery was explored by laser Doppler imaging examination preoperatively.

Dissection was initiated from one side of buttock, the flap was elevated as a fasciocutaneous flap by dissecting the layer between the fascia and the muscle (some gluteus maximus muscle fibers were dissected depending on the remaining tissue following debridement when tissue was removed to alleviate pressure after surgery). The bilateral couple flaps were cut and then elevated and advanced (or rotated) to fit the shape of the resultant wound following debridement, respectively. A schematic diagram of the flap is shown in Fig. 1.

After successful harvest of bilateral couple flaps, the interface of the two flaps (kissing site) was sutured without any tension. The donor sites of flap were primarily closed simultaneously. Lastly, two suction drains were placed.

Postoperative management
All patients received a standard postoperative regimen that included the suction drainage tubes were maintained for at least 1 week, stayed in intensive care unit for at least 3 days, maintenance of fluid and electrolyte balance, antibiotics were administrated intravenously based on the results of tissue culture from deep tissue after debridement performed during operation. Blood transfusion or albumin transfusion were given based on the laboratory results. Enteral nutritional support was administered when oral feeding was poor. An air suspension therapy bed (product model XFCH-01, Ningbo Yi Long Medical Equipment Co., Ltd., Zhejiang Province, China) was used by all patients postoperatively to avoid pressure on the flap and to prevent incision dehiscence, necrosis of the flap, or occurrence/
recurrence of PU, without changing position of the patient. Wound dressing was changed every day.

Data collection
Demographic information from each patient was collected, including age, sex, body mass index (BMI), location and size of defects, comorbidities, duration of PU before admission, complications, time of operation and recurrence rates.

Results
Between July 2012 and December 2015, 17 elderly patients were admitted to our unit; five subjects were excluded, according to inclusion and exclusion criteria. A total of 12 individuals were involved in this study, including seven males and five females, with an average age of 76.83 years (ranged from 71 to 92 years). The mean BMI was 19.6 (ranged from 18.7 to 22.3). The average duration of PUs before admission was 2.9 months (ranged from 0.8 to 5 months) (Table 1).

The etiologies of sacral PUs were identical among patients confined to bed for extended period due to different reasons, including sequelae of stroke (8 cases), spinal cord injury (2 cases), senile valetudinarism (1 case), and severe malnutrition (1 case). Of the 12 elderly patients, ischial tuberosity PUs were found in 2 cases, trochanteric PUs in 4 cases, and lateral malleolar PU in 1 case. These PUs were treated and healed by dressing change (6 cases) or closed by debridement and primary suture (ischial tuberosity, 1 case).

Results of bacterial culture of wound swab were positive in 9 cases; *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* was found in 4 cases. *Staphylococcus aureus* infection was present in 2 cases (one was methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*). *Enterobacter cloacae* infections were present in 2 cases; coexistence of *Enterobacter cloacae* and *Acinetobacter baumannii* infection was found in 1 case.

The average operating time was 85 min (ranged from 60 to 115 min). All the flaps survived completely without partial or total loss. No complications related to the surgery (such as seroma, hematoma, or infection) were noted in any of the cases. The average time for removal of sutures was 15.2 days (ranged from 14 to 17 days).

All the patients were discharged to home when the suture was removed without dehiscence, with 10 patients were bedridden and 2 patient moved relied on wheelchairs.

Follow-ups by telephone or multimedia message were conducted from 9 months to 2 years (mean follow-up time was 13.6 months). The results were both functionally and aesthetically satisfactory, with no occurrence of the incision breakdown or recurrence of PU.

Case 1
A 73-year-old woman suffering from refractory sacral PU for more than 4 months was referred to our department for reconstructive surgery (Fig. 2a). Radical debridement was performed immediately and the resultant wound was closed primarily with CKF (Fig. 2b-c). The flap was fully maintained postoperatively (Fig. 2d). After 15 months, a follow-up demonstrated satisfactory results with no recurrence.

Case 2
A 75-year-old man suffering from severe sacral PU for more than 3 months with necrotic tissue and secretions (Fig. 3a) was referred to our department. Radical debridement was performed immediately, and negative pressure wound therapy was administrated to prepare the wound bed until granulation tissues had formed (Fig. 3b). The wound was closed primarily with advanced CKF (Fig. 3c). 2 weeks after the surgery, the flap had survived completely with no complications. An excellent outcome was observed (Fig. 3d).
Case 3
A 92-year-old man with spinal cord injury accompanied with severe PU, as shown in Fig. 4a, was referred to our department. The wound was debrided to leave a defect with exposure of a tendon. The plan for sacral reconstruction was devised, using CKF and the donor site of the flap was closed primarily (Fig. 4b). At 10-month follow-up, the flap remained intact with no evidence of suture breakdown (Fig. 4c).

Discussion
Management of severe sacral PUs remains challenging for plastic surgeons, especially for elderly patients with higher risks of anesthesia and surgery due to their particular pathophysiology characteristics and the complicated preexisting comorbidities [20]. Herein, we introduced our novel experience using CKF for the treatment of severe sacral PUs in elderly patients and obtained satisfactory outcomes both functionally and aesthetically.

In this case series, negative pressure wound therapy was mainly used for wound preparation when the wound bed was not suiting for surgery due to necrosis and/or infection or when the patient was too weak to receive the operation immediately. Although successful experience with negative pressure device in traumatic paraplegia patients with sacral PUs of stage 3 and 4 has been reported [13, 21], the ability of negative pressure wound therapy in wound infection control remains to be investigated [22, 23].

Good results have been reported using advancement island flap, split flap, and rotation flaps including gluteus maximus muscular flap and myocutaneous flap for sacral PUs reconstruction [24]. The muscle is thought to provide a better "tissue buffer" over the sacrum for continuous external tension to effectively prevent recurrence and for better ability in obliterating any dead space or healing tissue infection [25–27]. However, a recent retrospective study indicated that musculocutaneous flaps are as good as fasciocutaneous flaps in the reconstruction of PUs and there were no significant differences in early complications, postoperative morbidity, or ulcer recurrence between these two kinds of flap [28]. The superior gluteal artery perforator-based fasciocutaneous flap and gluteus maximus myocutaneous flap were both applied in this case series. Optimal outcomes were consistently obtained with no difference in the occurrence of postoperative complication or recurrence of PU.

In this case series, the fasciocutaneous flap was harvested without well-known perforators during the surgery, while reliable outcomes were also obtained and the well vascularized flap could be performed without microsurgical dissection. Similarly, Demiryılmaz et al. [29]

Table 1 Characteristics of geriatric patients with severe sacral PUs managed with couple-kissing flap

| No. | Sex | Age (years) | Predisposing factor | Duration of PUs (months) | Defect location of PUs | Sacral defect size (length × width) | Identified pathogens of the wound | Operation time (mins) | Suture removal (days) | Follow-up (months) |
|-----|-----|-------------|---------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| 1   | F   | 72          | Stroke              | 1.5                      | Sacral and ischial tuberosity | 7.0 × 4.5                  | Pseudomonas aeruginosa            | 65                   | 15                   | 13                |
| 2   | M   | 74          | Stroke              | 2.5                      | Sacral                  | 6.0 × 5.0                   | Enterobacter cloacae             | 90                   | 14                   | 13                |
| 3   | M   | 71          | Spinal cord injury  | 5.0                      | Sacral and trochanteric | 5.0 × 7.0                   | MRSA                             | 75                   | 16                   | 14                |
| 4   | F   | 82          | Stroke              | 0.8                      | Sacral and ischial tuberosity | 6.5 × 4.0                  | Pseudomonas aeruginosa            | 60                   | 15                   | 9                 |
| 5   | F   | 75          | Stroke              | 3.5                      | Sacral                  | 7.0 × 5.5                   | Enterobacter cloacae and Acinetobacter baumannii | 85                   | 15                   | 14                |
| 6   | M   | 92          | Spinal cord injury  | 2.8                      | Sacral and trochanteric | 8.0 × 10.0                  | Pseudomonas aeruginosa            | 90                   | 16                   | 12                |
| 7   | M   | 71          | Stroke              | 1.3                      | Sacral                  | 6.0 × 4.5                   | Negative                         | 105                  | 14                   | 24                |
| 8   | F   | 73          | Stroke              | 4.2                      | Sacral and lateral malleolar | 6.0 × 7.0                  | Enterobacter cloacae             | 75                   | 15                   | 16                |
| 9   | M   | 75          | Stroke              | 3.3                      | Sacral                  | 7.5 × 6.0                   | Pseudomonas aeruginosa            | 80                   | 14                   | 13                |
| 10  | M   | 80          | Senile valetudinarianism | 2.9                   | Sacral and trochanteric | 5.5 × 5.0                   | Negative                         | 95                   | 16                   | 12                |
| 11  | F   | 82          | Stroke              | 4.5                      | Sacral and trochanteric | 7.0 × 6.5                   | Staphylococcus aureus            | 85                   | 15                   | 12                |
| 12  | M   | 75          | Severe malnutrition | 2.6                      | Sacral                  | 8.5 × 6.0                   | Negative                         | 115                  | 17                   | 11                |

F female, M male, MRSA methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus
successfully treated sacrococcygeal pilonidal sinus disease with bilateral fasciocutaneous V-Y advancement flap following total excision. Han et al. [24] closed the sacral PUs with bilateral combined V-Y fasciocutaneous advancement and gluteus maximus muscle rotational flaps. Hsiao and Chuang [30] reported their successful experience of reconstructing sacral PUs with dual-dermal-barrier fashion flaps. Prado et al. [31] treated sacral defects with "double-A" bilateral flaps based on perforators.

Partial/complete flap loss or incision dehiscence may occur following reconstructive surgery. Also, the interface of the two elevated flaps (the kissing site) may not heal primarily due to the poorer blood flow following harvesting. Moreover, the patient’s position needs to be changed every 1–2 h following sacral reconstructive flap surgery to avoid continuous external pressure, which may cause flap necrosis or incision dehiscence; patients remained prone or on their side for approximately 2 weeks until the flap was healed [17]. Fortunately, no flap loss or incision dehiscence was found in this case series.

In addition to the reliable blood supply of the flap, another possible explanation for the favorable outcome maybe our special care management. The air suspension therapy bed, which is mostly used in management of severe burn patients, was used in all patients postoperatively which could relieve external pressure on the flap and therefore prevent dehiscence of the incision, necrosis of flap or occurrence/recurrence of PU. Additionally, this method also benefited patients in that the patients could remain the supine position which was very important for the elderly patients, because this may relieve the constraint on respiration due to prone position. We recommend that this method could be part of therapeutic plan when treating severe sacral PUs, especially in elderly patients who cannot often change their position in bed.

Presence of bacterial infection is a common complication in chronic wounds. Severe and long-lasting wound infection may significantly delay the healing time, or result in the occurrence of refractory/non-healing wound [32]. Despite the results of bacterial cultures suggesting high positive infection rate of the PUs wounds (9/12, 75%) prior to surgical intervention, no sign of infection was noted postoperatively in our cases. This may be partly attributed to the fasciocutaneous flap’s ability to control postoperative infection [33]. Meanwhile, radical debridement and washout during surgery reduced the bacterial load on the wound significantly. Dressing change and administration of culture-sensitive...
antibiotics intravenously in the perioperative period may also account for the absence of postoperative infection.

The limited number of patients and short period in follow-up were the drawbacks of this study. Actually, practicing a regular follow-up in elder patients is not easy in this case series, since most of the patients were bedridden for long periods of time because of paraplegia or hemiplegia, therefore, nearly all the follow-ups in this study were performed by telephone or multimedia message. Due to either old age, acute or chronic diseases, some patients died and dropped out during follow-up, thus resulting in a relatively short average follow-up period in this case series. However, the legal guardians of these patients reported satisfactory outcomes and no recurrence or breakdown of the incisions were reported.

Although many therapeutic modalities have been suggested for the treatment of PUs [13–17], however, recurrence remains one of main challenges in the treatment of PUs. Study of Sameem et al. [34] indicates that, recurrence rate of musculocutaneous flaps, fasciocutaneous flaps and perforator-based flaps for treatment of PUs were 8.9%, 11.2% and 5.6%, respectively. At the mean 13.6-month follow-up in this series, no recurrences were detected. In our study, all the surgeries were performed by the same experienced surgeon in a single institution. Meanwhile, nursing care, especially regular changing of the position of the patients every 1–2 h, has been stressed to all the patients and guardians and was provided at home to prevent the occurrence/recurrence of PU. Furthermore, follow-up by telephone or multimedia

Fig. 3 SPU in case #2 patient. a Severe SPU covered with necrotic tissue and secretions. b The wound was debrided radically, and negative pressure wound therapy was administrated to prepare the wound bed. c Advanced couple-kissing flap was designed and performed to close the wound after radical debridement. d 2 weeks after the surgery, the flap survived completely with no complications. An excellent outcome was observed.

Fig. 4 SPU in case #3 patient. a Preoperative view of extensive SPU with necrosis and secretions in a 92-year-old man. b Wound was debrided and closed with an advanced couple-kissing flap; 2 weeks following surgery the flap had remained intact. c Satisfactory outcome was obtained at the 2-months follow-up.
message in this study has the advantage of timely communication whenever sign of PU appeared.

The CKF approach provides the following advantages for coverage of PUs: (1) reliable flap success rate. Because of the resultant wound following debridement was covered by couple flaps, the size of each flap needs not to be too large, so the damage to the donor site of the flap is relatively small and can be usually closed primarily; (2) the damage of this approach is relatively small which can be performed safely even in elderly patients; (3) the symmetrical appearance of CKF was aesthetically satisfactory; and (4) the recurrence of the CKF approach is lower.

Conclusions
Management of severe sacral PUs among elderly patients remains challenging for surgeons because of complications and unexpected sequelae. The CKF approach is simple to implement, while providing excellent coverage of severe sacral PUs, even in frail elderly patients. The recurrence rate associated with this method is very low if appropriate postoperative nursing care is provided. Indeed, the CKF approach can be a valuable choice for surgeons and should be highly recommended in the reconstruction of sacral PUs.

Acknowledgements
Not applicable.

Funding
The authors have no funding to declare in relation to the content of this article.

Availability of data and materials
The datasets used and analyzed in the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Authors’ contributions
ZJC carried out the studies and drafted the manuscript. SK and HL participated in the design of the study and performed the data collection. ZBR, JW and YQH helped with the analysis and interpretation of the data and writing of the paper. YJA conceived of the study and participated in its design and coordination. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript prior to publication.

Ethics approval and consent to participate
This study was approved by Institutional Review Board of the First Hospital of Jilin University. Informed written consent to participate and publish was obtained through direct conversation with patients preoperatively. If the patient lacked the ability to give consent, we obtained it through direct conversation with the patient’s legal guardian. The legal guardian gave presumed consent on behalf of the patient. All the records were anonymized.

Consent for publication
Informed written consent to participate and publish was obtained through direct conversation with patients preoperatively. If the patient lacked the ability to give consent, we obtained it through direct conversation with the patient’s legal guardian. The legal guardian gave presumed consent on behalf of the patient. All the records were anonymized.

Competing interests
The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Publisher’s Note
Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 5 June 2017 Accepted: 30 November 2017
Published online: 11 December 2017

References
1. National Pressure Ulcer Advisory Panel. NPUAP Pressure Injury Stages. Washington DC, 2016. Visit NPUAP for the full report http://www.npuap.org/resources/educational-and-clinical-resources/npuap-pressure-injury-stages/ [Accessed 29 Jan 2017].
2. Thomas DR. Role of nutrition in the treatment and prevention of pressure ulcers. Nutr Clin Pract. 2014;29:466–72.
3. Sardo FM, Simões CS, Alvarenga JI, Simões JF, Machado PA, Arnado FM, et al. Analyses of pressure ulcer incidence in inpatient setting in a Portuguese hospital. J Tissue Viability. 2016;25:209–15.
4. Severens JL, Habraken JM, Duvenvoorden S, Frederiks CM. The cost of illness of pressure ulcers in The Netherlands. Adv Skin Wound Care. 2002;15:72–7.
5. Schoonhoven L, Bouwman MT, Buskens E, prePURSE-study group. The prevalence and incidence of pressure ulcers in hospitalised patients in the Netherlands: a prospective inception cohort study. Int J Nurs Stud. 2007;44:927–35.
6. Duparet E, Videau MN, Faure J, Gabinski C, Boudel-Marchasson I, Salles N. Prevalence and incidence rates of pressure ulcers in an emergency department. Int Wound J. 2014;11:386–91.
7. Cremasco MF, Wenzel F, Zanei SS, Whitaker IY. Pressure ulcers in the intensive care unit: the relationship between nursing workload, illness severity and pressure ulcer risk. J Clin Nurs. 2015;24:1122–32.
8. VanGilder C, Amlung S, Harrison P, Meyer S. Results of the 2008–2009 international pressure ulcer prevalence survey and a 3-year, acute care, unit-specific analysis. Ostomy Wound Manage. 2009;55:39–45.
9. Sae-Sia W, Wipke-Tevis DD, Williams DA. Elevated sacral skin temperature (T(s)): a risk factor for pressure ulcer development in hospitalized neurologically impaired Thai patients. Appl Nurs Res. 2005;18:29–35.
10. Borghardt AT, Prado TN, Bicudo SD, Castro DS, Bringuente ME. Pressure ulcers in critically ill patients: incidence and associated factors. Rev Bras Enferm. 2016;69:460–5.
11. Bliss DZ, Gurvich O, Savik K, Eberly LE, Harms S, Mueller C, et al. Racial and ethnic disparities in the healing of pressure ulcers present at nursing home admission. Arch Gerontol Geriatr. 2017;72:187–94.
12. Daméré L, Van Lancker A, Van Hecke A, Verhaeghe S, Gysdronck M, Lemey J, et al. The cost of prevention and treatment of pressure ulcers: a systematic review. Int J Nurs Stud. 2015;52:1754–74.
13. Dvivedi MK, Srivastava RN, Bhagat AK, Aganwal R, Baghal K, Jain A, et al. Pressure ulcer management in paraplegic patients with a novel negative pressure device: a randomised controlled trial. J Wound Care. 2016;25:199–200. 202:4, 206–7.
14. Abouta S, Mari W, Simran R. Clinical usage of an extracellular, collagen-rich matrix: a case series. Wounds. 2015;27:313–8.
15. Neyens JCL, Cereda E, Meijer EP, Lindholm C, Schols JMGA. Arginine-enriched oral nutritional supplementation in the treatment of pressure ulcers: a literature review. Wound Med. 2017;16:46–51.
16. Hauen M, Feovrier D, Bayti T, Pluvy I, Pauchot J. Surgical management of a series of pressure ulcers: report of 61 cases. Ann Chir Plast Esthet. 2016;61:336–44.
17. Lin CT, Ou KW, Chiao HY, Wang CY, Chou CY, Chen SG, et al. Inferior gluteal artery perforator flap for sacral pressure ulcer reconstruction: a retrospective case study of 11 patients. Ostomy Wound Manage. 2016;62:34–9.
18. Livesley NJ, Chow AW. Infected pressure ulcers in elderly individuals. Clin Infect Dis. 2002;35:1390–6.
19. Horn SO, Bender SA, Ferguson ML, Smout RJ, Bergstrom N, Taler G, et al. The National Pressure Ulcer Long-Term Care Study: pressure ulcer development in long-term care residents. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2004;52:359–67.
20. Jaul E. Multidisciplinary and comprehensive approaches to optimal management of chronic pressure ulcers in the elderly. Chron Wound Care Manage Res. 2014;1:3–9.
21. Batra RK, Aseeja V. VAC therapy in large infected sacral pressure ulcer grade IV – can it be an alternative to flap reconstruction? Indian J Surg. 2014;76:162–4.
22. Mouls CM, van den Bemd GJ, Heule F, Hovius SE. Comparing conventional gauze therapy to vacuum-assisted closure wound therapy: a prospective randomised trial. J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg. 2007;60:672–81.
23. Blume PA, Walters J, Payne W, Ayala J, Lantis J. Comparison of negative pressure wound therapy using vacuum-assisted closure with advanced moist wound therapy in the treatment of diabetic foot ulcers: a multicenter randomized controlled trial. Diabetes Care. 2008;31:631–6.

24. Han HH, Choi EJ, Moon SH, Lee YJ, Oh DY. Combined V-Y fasciocutaneous advancement and gluteus maximus muscle rotational flaps for treating sacral sores. Biomed Res Int. 2016;2016:8714713.

25. Wong TC, Ip FK. Comparison of gluteral fasciocutaneous rotational flaps and myocutaneous flaps for the treatment of sacral sores. Int Orthop. 2006;30:64–7.

26. Therattil PJ, Pastor C, Granick MS. Sacral pressure ulcer. Eplasty. 2013;13:e18.

27. Maslauskas K, Samsanavicius D, Rimdeika R, Kaikaris V. Surgical treatment of pressure ulcers: an 11-year experience at the Department of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery of Hospital of Kaunas University of medicine. Medicina (Kaunas). 2009;45:269–75.

28. Thiessen FE, Andrades P, Blondel PN, Hamdi M, Roche N, Stillaert F, et al. Flap surgery for pressure sores: should the underlying muscle be transferred or not? J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg. 2011;64:84–9.

29. Demiryilmaz I, Yilmaz I, Peker K, Celebi F, Cimen O, Isik A, et al. Application of fasciocutaneous V-Y advancement flap in primary and recurrent sacrococcygeal pilonidal sinus disease. Med Sci Monit. 2014;20:1263–6.

30. Hsiao YC, Chuang SS. Dual-dermal-barrier fashion flaps for the treatment of sacral pressure sores. J Plast Surg Hand Surg. 2015;49:3–7.

31. Prado A, Ocampo C, Danilla S, Valenzuela G, Reyes S, Guridi R. A new technique of “double-a” bilateral flap based on perforators for the treatment of sacral defects. Plast Reconstr Surg. 2007;119:1481–90.

32. Loesche M, Gardner SE, Kalan L, Horvinski J, Zheng Q, Hodkinson BP, et al. Temporal stability in chronic wound microbiota is associated with poor healing. J Invest Dermatol. 2017;137:237–44.

33. Gahukamble AD, Nithyananth M, Cherian VM, Shah AP. Postoperative tuberculous wound infection treated by reverse sural artery fasciocutaneous flap. J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg. 2009;62:e672–4.

34. Sameem M, Au M, Wood T, Farrokhyar F, Mahoney J. A systematic review of complication and recurrence rates of musculocutaneous, fasciocutaneous, and perforator-based flaps for treatment of pressure sores. Plast Reconstr Surg. 2012;130:e76–77e.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and we will help you at every step:

- We accept pre-submission inquiries
- Our selector tool helps you to find the most relevant journal
- We provide round the clock customer support
- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- Inclusion in PubMed and all major indexing services
- Maximum visibility for your research

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit