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\section*{ABSTRACT}

Neuroimaging techniques that can sensitivity characterize healthy brain aging and detect subtle neuropathologies have enormous potential to assist in the early detection of neurodegenerative conditions such as Alzheimer's disease. Magnetic resonance elastography (MRE) has recently emerged as a reliable, high-resolution, and especially sensitive technique that can noninvasively characterize tissue biomechanical properties (i.e., viscoelasticity) \textit{in vivo} in the living human brain. Brain tissue viscoelasticity provides a unique biophysical signature of neuroanatomy that are representative of the composition and organization of the complex tissue microstructure. In this article, we detail how progress in brain MRE technology has provided unique insights into healthy brain aging, neurodegeneration, and structure-function relationships. We further discuss additional promising technical innovations that will enhance the specificity and sensitivity for brain MRE to reveal considerably more about brain aging as well as its potentially valuable role as an imaging biomarker of neurodegeneration. MRE sensitivity may be particularly useful for assessing the efficacy of rehabilitation strategies, assisting in differentiating between dementia subtypes, and in understanding the causal mechanisms of disease which may lead to eventual pharmacotherapeutic development.

\section*{1. Introduction}

With increasing age, the human brain is subjected to structural, chemical, molecular, and electrophysiological changes that impact its ability to function. Physical signs of brain aging can be identified through conventional neuroimaging, where it is frequently reported that the volume of the brain declines with age at a rate of around 5% per decade after age 40 \textcite{Peters2006}, most likely due to the degeneration and death of neurons and oligodendrocytes \textcite{Anderton2002}. Tissue volume loss is accompanied by increases in ventricular volume and other cerebrospinal fluid spaces, as well as the appearance of white matter lesions, alterations to brain vasculature, and depletion of neurotransmitters. While brain aging is inevitable to some extent, it is also a complex and heterogeneous process with no uniform signature. The interplay between both genetic and environmental factors are likely to determine the degree and rate of brain aging, which ultimately reflects in the high inter-individual variability in neurocognitive abilities observed in the elderly. As a result, new techniques are needed to enhance the study of the mechanisms behind healthy aging to better understand individual differences in the aging process, and to assist ongoing efforts to combat age-associated neurodegeneration and eventual disease and disorder.

Quantitative neuroimaging contrasts provide an attractive option to more precisely measure age-related changes to brain health and microstructure. Compared to morphometric measures, which capture tissue geometry that is presumably influenced by microstructure, quantitative contrasts offer measures more directly related to microscale characteristics \textcite{Paus2018}. Recently, magnetic resonance elastography (MRE) \textcite{Muthupillai1995} has been applied to study brain health through imaging tissue biomechanics \textcite{Hiscox2016,Murphy2019}. MRE probes tissue viscoelasticity non-invasively and \textit{in vivo}, which is sensitive to brain tissue architecture and reflects the \textit{composition} and \textit{organization} of the underlying microstructure \textcite{Guo2019,Sack2013}. Since the first brain MRE publication in 2005, the field has experienced considerable growth with now almost 150 dedicated publications \textcite{PubMedSearch2020}. These papers comprise both methodological enhancements and clinical applications, with studies of healthy aging and age-related neurological disease providing the most robust body of literature in the field. Several different research groups across the world have now reported that the
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brain becomes softer with increasing age, which is likely to reflect a loss of neurons and their synaptic connections. Through continuous developments and more advanced methodologies, brain MRE has transitioned to a reliable, specific, and especially sensitive neuroimaging modality (Mariappan et al., 2010, Manduca et al., 2021). As a result, the field has begun to delve further into understanding more precise localized aging effects, which ultimately has implications for improving understanding of age-related cognitive decline and neurodegeneration.

1.1. Magnetic resonance elastography of the brain

Regardless of the organ of interest, there are three main components that constitute an MRE examination. First, shear waves of sufficient amplitude must be delivered into the tissue by gentle vibration using an external mechanical actuator; second, the resulting tissue displacements need to be encoded into the MRI signal using customized phase-contrast pulse sequences; and third, displacement images must be inverted using a mathematical reconstruction to recover and quantify the underlying mechanical properties of the tissue. Mechanical actuators for brain MRE need to gently vibrate the entire head to induce shear tissue deformation, typically at 50 or 60 Hz; brain MRE pulse sequences should capture full vector wave fields at high spatial resolution across the entire brain; and inversion algorithms should account for significant material heterogeneity due to the varying types of cerebral tissue. An overview of a typical brain MRE procedure is illustrated in Fig. 2. The vibrations used for brain MRE have an extremely small, micron-level amplitude (Ehman et al., 2008) and consequently are safe and well tolerated, even in the elderly (Hiscox et al., 2018).

Brain tissue exhibits viscoelastic behavior and the most common output measures from MRE express both the elastic and viscous components through the complex shear modulus $G' = G' + iG''$. Here, the storage modulus ($G'$) reflects the elastic properties that describe the ability of a material to return to its original shape after deformation forces have been removed. In contrast, the loss modulus ($G''$) is associated with the viscous properties of tissue that cause attenuation of the waves as they travel through a material and relate to the absorption of mechanical energy. All MRE studies report parameters related to these terms, a full summary of which has been thoroughly described by Manduca et al., 2021. Regardless of which of these material parameters are reported across studies, all calculations rely on a series of mathematical assumptions within the inverse solution, with the brain typically modelled as a heterogeneous, isotropic, and incompressible material.

In single frequency brain MRE studies, it has become increasingly common to report the composite parameter of shear stiffness, $\mu = 2|G'|^2 / (G' + |G''|)$, which is the resistance of a viscoelastic material to an applied harmonic forcing, and is equivalent to the density × wave speed squared (Manduca et al., 2001) or shear wave speed reported by other groups (Herhun et al., 2021). In this case, $\mu$ can be regarded as a wavefield parameter in which measurements describe a purely elastic object that exhibits the observed wavelength at the driving frequency. To capture the full viscoelastic behavior, reporting is then typically coupled with a dimensionless parameter such as the damping ratio, $\xi = G''/2G'$, which describes the relative viscous-to-elastic behavior of tissue, with higher values indicative of greater relative viscosity (Manduca et al., 2021). While the earliest brain MRE studies did not regularly report parameters related to the loss modulus, it has now become more common due to increased confidence in measurement accuracy and reliability (Johnson et al., 2016). Note that while $\mu$ and $\xi$ are often reported for single frequency MRE studies, multifrequency MRE experiments instead seek to fit general dispersion behavior of brain tissue. In these cases, pa-
rameters such as the magnitude of the complex modulus and its phase angle, $\varphi = \tan^{-1}(G''/G')$ are often reported instead (Barnhill et al., 2019; Gerischer et al., 2017; Manduca et al., 2021).

The measured mechanical properties obtained from MRE reflect microstructural characteristics of neural tissue (Sack et al., 2013). Just as the constitutive elements of man-made structures determine their rigidity and flexibility, so too does the microenvironment determine the macroscopic mechanical response of the brain. Evidence from animal models combined with ex vivo histology of tissue slices has suggested that stiffness may reflect the composition of individual tissue components. For example, increased stiffness has been observed due to the generation of new neurons from a dopamine defect (Klein et al., 2014), to the density of intermediate filaments induced from reactive gliosis (Lu et al., 2011), and to increased myelin content (Weickenmeier et al., 2016, 2017), while decreased brain stiffness has been associated with neuronal loss in a mouse model of stroke (Freimann et al., 2013) and demyelination provoked by a cuprizone diet (Schregel et al., 2012). On the other hand, the relative viscous-to-elastic behavior described by the damping ratio or phase angle is thought to generally reflect the geometric organization of tissue components and their network complexity (Guo et al., 2012; Sack et al., 2013). In a recent developmental mouse model, viscosity was associated with actin crosslinking and axonal organization (Guo et al., 2019). As such, the unique sensitivity and contrast afforded by MRE offers considerable potential for providing new insights into age-related neurological changes through a quantitative representation of the microstructural constituents of tissue.

As effects of aging on brain tissue integrity is both subtle and largely localized to specific neuroanatomical structures, researchers have begun to employ high-resolution MRE methods to improve mapping of brain biomechanics. This has been made possible through continued technological advances to imaging and inversion schemes. For example, the development of spiral MRE pulse sequences (Johnson et al., 2013, 2014), finite-element based nonlinear inversion (McGarry et al., 2012; Van Houten et al., 1999), multi-frequency MRE (Guo et al., 2013; Papazoglou et al., 2012; Barnhill et al., 2018), and inversion with machine learning and artificial neural networks (Murphy et al., 2018, 2020), have each contributed to improving the validity, reliability, and sensitivity of brain MRE. While beyond the remit of this review, we encourage interested readers to refer to the citations provided for aspects of MRE methods that would be relevant to studying the aging brain. As a result of these contributions, brain MRE has moved away from reporting average tissue stiffness of the brain parenchyma, to reliable measures that enable the study of individual neuroanatomical regions, including white matter tracts (Anderson et al., 2016; Johnson et al., 2013; Romano et al., 2012), subcortical gray matter structures (Hetzer et al., 2018; Johnson et al., 2016), hippocampal subfields (Daugherty et al., 2020; Delgorio et al., 2020), and the cerebral cortex (Hiscox et al., 2020a; McIvain et al., 2020). In the following sections we examine how high-resolution MRE has allowed researchers to obtain sensitive and reliable mechanical measures to better understand age and disease. Example high-resolution MRE maps of a healthy young, and older adult are illustrated in Fig. 3.

### 1.2. Viscoelasticity of the aging brain

Several studies have utilized MRE to study healthy brain aging; information regarding the MRE protocols used as well as the main results are provided in Table 1. In one of the earliest brain MRE studies, Sack,
et al., investigated healthy brain aging in adults aged between 18 and 88 years and reported a significant linear decline in whole-brain averaged stiffness (Sack et al., 2009). The annual 0.8% reduction in stiffness was broadly speculated to be attributable to the degeneration of neurons and oligodendrocytes. Shortly afterwards, the same group reported that the decline in whole-brain stiffness was three times greater than changes in brain volume measurements (Sack et al., 2011). These were important discoveries as, first, it suggested that MRE may be more sensitive at detecting aging effects beyond those obtained from structural MRI, and second, that MRE measures were independent of geometry effects and in fact reflected a tissue-intrinsic structure alteration.

With improved MRE imaging methods to capture whole-brain, 3D MRE displacement data coupled with more stable inversion protocols, the ability to accurately quantify brain stiffness moved from non-specific global measures to examining lobar regions (Murphy et al., 2013). As a result, Arani, et al., studied the effect of healthy aging on regional brain stiffness measurements in 45 older participants aged between 56 and 89 years (Arani et al., 2015). Complementing the previous studies mentioned, a significant negative linear correlation was observed between age and global cerebrum stiffness, in addition to a linear age-related decline in stiffness within the individual frontal, occipital, parietal, and temporal lobes. Interestingly, no relationship between brain stiffness and age was observed in the cerebellum or sensory-motor regions. Similar results were reported by Takamura, et al., who found softening to all four lobes in 50 participants across a younger age range (20–60 years) (Takamura et al., 2020), but also not in the cerebellum. This study also reported how stiffness of all lobes differed between participants in their twenties compared with participants in their sixties, whereas volumetric differences between the two groups were minimal. These studies demonstrated the specificity for MRE to detect age-related effects within only certain brain partitions and which were also greater than age-related reductions in volume.

Using higher resolution MRE methods at a 1.6 mm isotropic resolution, Hiscox, et al., investigated aging effects on the mechanical properties of a range of subcortical gray matter structures, which are expected to support cognitive processes that may decline in old age (Hiscox et al., 2018). A sample of 12 healthy older adults (age range: 66–73 years) were found to exhibit reduced brain stiffness in the amygdala, caudate, pallidum, putamen, and thalamus compared to a group of 12 healthy young adults (age range: 19–30 years). Moreover, differences in MRE properties were independent of concomitant volume differences, which is suggestive of the additive value of MRE over traditional volumetric measures. The hippocampus did not exhibit a statistically significant difference between groups, although it did show a trend in the same direction for being softer in the older adult group; the absence of statistical significance was attributed to low statistical power given by the small sample size.

As the spatial resolution of brain MRE continued to evolve, Delgorio, et al., recently demonstrated the feasibility of performing MRE of the hippocampal subfields through the development of a specifically tailored high-resolution MRE protocol that combined 1.25 mm isotropic displacement data with NLI parameters tuned for high sensitivity and reliability (Delgorio et al., 2020). Viscoelasticity was quantified for four subregions including cornu ammonis areas 1 and 2 combined (CA1-CA2), dentate gyrus and cornu ammonis area 3 combined (DG-CA3), entorhinal cortex, and subiculum. In the cross-sectional study involving 54 participants (age range: 23–81 years), older age was associated with softer subfields with the magnitude of aging effects dependent on region. Entorhinal cortex showed the greatest annual change (0.014 kPa), whereas the subiculum exhibited the lowest (0.011 kPa). These results complement existing structural MRI and diffusion data in which differential age-related trajectories in subfield volumes have been observed (Daugherty et al., 2016; Mueller et al., 2007; Raz et al., 2005). There is evidence, however, that age-related variability in the volume of the entorhinal cortex and subiculum are minimal (Daugherty et al., 2016), suggesting that brain stiffness may be more sensitive marker to the early stages of age-related neurodegeneration.

Aging is also a common target for the application of new brain MRE methods to demonstrate the ability to recover “biologically-relevant” effects, such as with higher resolution inversion approaches (Barnhill et al., 2018; Murphy et al., 2018). One area of development that has received attention recently is in recovery of anisotropic mechanical properties in the brain with MRE. White matter tracts consist of highly-aligned, myelinated axonal fiber bundles, which cause anisotropic mechanical properties, and as such, stiffness of white matter is both regionally and directionally dependent (Anderson et al., 2016; Prange and Margulies, 2002; Smith et al., 2020). However, typical MRE methods assume mechanical isotropy to simplify analysis that has so far
limited the ability to reliably assess the stiffness of white matter tracts and how it is affected by aging. A recent study attempted to study aging effects on white matter anisotropy in the brain, but the results were generally equivocal, likely due to methods with insufficient sensitivity or reliability (Kalra et al., 2019). Future work adopting advanced anisotropic MRE methods, including transverse isotropic (McGarry et al., 2020; Schmidt et al., 2018) or orthotopic models (Romano et al., 2014, 2012), may identify age-related softening of white matter tracts and changes in anisotropy. Previous studies using diffusion MRI have shown that aging causes a decrease in anisotropy, as well as an increase in radial diffusivity (Davis et al., 2009), which has been linked with demyelination (Song et al., 2002). As brain stiffness is sensitive to myelination (Weickenmeier et al., 2016, 2017), studies of white matter tracts using appropriate anisotropic MRE methods may reveal similar effects.

In summary, the consensus reached from several separate MRE research groups is that the brain softens with advancing age, with the cerebrum experiencing an approximate reduction in stiffness by 0.008 kPa per year. The magnitude of softening is also region specific, suggesting that some brain regions are more vulnerable and/or resilient to age-related microstructural changes. It should be noted that the degree of reported softening also varies between studies, as shown in Table I. This may be attributed to a number of reasons, such as the age differences in the sample population or, most likely, to the variety of MRE methods used including 2D or 3D wave acquisitions, actuation frequency, imaging spatial resolution, or the assumptions required in inversion algorithms. Another potentially confounding variable may be how studies have differed in their approach to handling voxels that contain CSF. Some studies have subtracted CSF-containing voxels for ROIs prior to inversion (Hiscox et al., 2020a; Hiscox et al., 2020b) or have used adaptive postprocessing techniques in conjunction with mask erosion to remove atrophy bias (Hughes et al., 2015; Murphy et al., 2013; Haston et al., 2020), while others have presumably ignored the issue entirely. The exact contribution of these approaches to data analysis, however, are not conclusively known. Despite the small deviations in the actual rates of change, the fundamental finding of brain tissue softening with increasing age is remarkably consistent and persists despite a host of differences in study protocols. We also note that while these annual changes are still small, they are well within published test-retest repeatability estimates for brain MRE (Barnhill et al., 2018; Johnson et al., 2016; Murphy et al., 2013). While longitudinal studies of brain aging with MRE do not yet exist, it is likely that current MRE methods would be unable to robustly detect a change in brain stiffness from year-to-year in a single individual. Studies on groups or populations will therefore be needed to observe the expected viscoelastic aging effects.

While the majority of brain MRE studies mentioned have reported age-related effects on stiffness showing tissue softening, the effects of aging on viscous behavior are less understood. Early studies found a general preservation of the relative viscous properties of the brain (Sack et al., 2011, 2008). With higher resolution and an optimized inversion protocol, Delgorio et al., report that the individual hippocampal subfields show an age-related linear increase in the damping ratio, reflecting greater viscous-to-elastic properties in older age (Delgorio et al., 2020). These results are consistent with a previous study on the whole hippocampus which reported 21% higher damping ratio in older compared to younger adults (Hiscox et al., 2018). Additionally, the magnitude of the age relationship varied according to subfield damping ratio, indicating differential patterns of brain aging. For example, results suggest that the subiculum may undergo accelerated aging compared to the entorhinal cortex, which may open up new opportunities to study the successive emergence of neurodegeneration within the hippocampus. There has been speculation that higher damping ratio in older age may be reflective of more disorganized microstructural interactions from an increased proportion of mobile tissue components caused by common features of hippocampal aging, such as increased oxidative stress, altered protein processing, and dysregulated metabolism (Fan et al., 2017). These results are consistent with both a mouse model that had

Table 1
Summary of Brain MRE studies that have investigated healthy aging.

| Author | Frequency (Hz) | MRE resolution | Inversion algorithm | Age-range | N | Annual change in regional cerebral stiffness per year | ROI: Posterior vs. Temporal lobe: | Sensory-motor cortex: | Hippocampus: | Subiculum: |
|--------|---------------|----------------|---------------------|-----------|---|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------|------------|
| Sack et al., 2009 | 25.25, 50, 100 | 2.3 × 2.3 × 6 mm³ | Direct inversion + multi-frequency | 18 - 88 years | 55 | 0.025 kPa | | | | |
| Arani et al., 2015 | 45, 60 | 3.0 × 3.0 × 15 mm³ | Direct inversion | 56 - 89 years | 60 | -0.008 kPa | | | | |
| Hiscox et al., 2018 | 12, 18, 25 | 1.5 × 1.5 × 15 mm³ | Direct inversion | 19 - 30 vs. 66 | 30 | 0.014 kPa | | | | |
| Takamori et al., 2020 | 60 | 3.0 × 3.0 × 10 mm³ | Direct inversion | 20 - 69 years | 50 | -0.002 kPa | | | | |
| Lv et al., 2020 | 40, 60, 80 | 1.8 × 1.8 × 3 mm³ | Direct inversion + multi-frequency | 26 - 76 years | 30 | 0.012 kPa | | | | |
| Delgorio et al., 2020 | 50 | 1.25 × 1.25 × 1.25 mm³ | Nonlinear inversion | 23 - 81 years | 50 | 0.011 kPa | | | | |

*µ² was used as the multifrequency viscoelastic shear stiffness outcome from Ls et al., 2020.
reported the viscous properties of the hippocampus increase with age (Munder et al., 2018), as well as human studies that have linked higher damping ratio to poorer cognitive performance (Hiscox et al., 2020b; Johnson et al., 2018; Schwarb et al., 2016, 2017), which are discussed later in this article.

1.3. MRE studies of dementias

Increasing age is the single greatest risk factor for the development of Alzheimer’s disease (AD), which is the most common cause of dementia. The study of healthy brain aging is therefore essential for improving understanding of the underlying neurological mechanisms that may eventually lead to mild cognitive impairment (MCI) and AD development. While atrophy is a common consequence of aging, the accumulation of toxic proteins is required to meet the pathological criteria for AD; namely, the appearance of neurofibrillary tangles in the hippocampus, and the deposition of β-amyloid and neuritic infiltrate in association cortices (Halliday, 2017; Blennow and Zetterberg, 2018). How these processes may impact tissue mechanics is of interest for disease detection with MRE offering a novel contrast for observing subtle microstructural changes.

MRE has been used to study AD more than any other neurological condition. In the first proof-of-concept MRE study of AD in humans, Murphy, et al., reported global brain stiffness was 7% lower in biomarker-confirmed patients when compared with age-matched healthy control participants (Murphy et al., 2011). The same study also showed that β-amyloid deposition alone was insufficient to cause a change in observed stiffness, as brain stiffness did not differ between cognitively healthy participants who were amyloid positive or amyloid negative, as measured through PET imaging. As such, it was reported that MRE may be most sensitive to downstream neurodegenerative effects particularly in patients who exhibited clinical symptoms and were in the later stages of the AD continuum. Despite reports that β-amyloid plaques are far stiffer than surrounding brain tissue (Knowles and Buehler, 2011; Mattana et al., 2017), it is important to note that β-amyloid plaques are distinct, discontinuous, and only form a relatively small volume fraction of the entire tissue; therefore, the effective stiffness of such a material will be dominated by changes in the softer matrix. A regional investigation of brain stiffness subsequently followed and illustrated the specificity of MRE for detecting more substantial softening to the frontal, parietal, and temporal lobes in AD patients (Fig. 4A) (Murphy et al., 2016), in accordance with what is known regarding the spatial localization of AD pathology. Brain stiffness was further shown to correlate with disease severity as assessed by established AD biomarkers including amyloid PET imaging and hippocampal volume (Murphy et al., 2016).

The hippocampus is an integral structure for memory encoding and consolidation and hippocampal neuronal loss and atrophy is incorporated into the pathological criteria for AD (Jack et al., 2011). As a result, there was anticipation that MRE would provide additional information regarding hippocampal health in AD patients. To ensure sufficient resolution for imaging its smaller structure, Gerischer, et al., from a different research group, adopted a multifrequency MRE protocol. Stiffness of the hippocampus was 22% lower in AD patients compared to controls, yet no differences were observed in the thalamus (Fig. 4B). These results illustrated both the sensitivity and specificity of MRE to detect differences in a region of interest known to be vulnerable to the disease. The diagnostic accuracy of AD was also improved with the incorporation of hippocampal stiffness with two other MRI-based hippocampal parameters. Compared with mean diffusivity from diffusion imaging and hippocampal volume alone, the addition of hippocampal stiffness yielded significantly higher classifier scores and thus greater discriminative value between healthy controls and AD patients (Gerischer et al., 2017).

More recently, a voxel-wise analysis using higher-resolution MRE was performed by Hiscox, et al., to investigate the viscoelasticity of the cerebral cortex in AD (Hiscox et al., 2020a). Brain tissue softening in AD was localized to specific areas within the frontal, parietal, and temporal cortices, supporting the previous study by Murphy, et al., that had investigated larger lobar regions (Murphy et al., 2016). More precisely, the middle temporal and superior temporal gyri, precuneus, operculum, and precentral gyri were softer in AD, which were independent from differences in cortical volumes (Fig. 4C). These results are consistent with existing studies which have shown how these regions are particularly affected early in the course of AD (Agosta et al., 2012; Jin et al., 2012; Migliaccio et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2015), and likely account for some of the clinical symptoms of dementia. Interestingly, the spatial patterns of lower stiffness and smaller volumes in AD were not identical, suggesting that MRE may provide an indication of changes in tissue integrity not spatially localized to volume loss (Hiscox et al., 2020a). However, as with any cross-sectional study, the inference of the temporal progression cannot be determined.

The sensitivity of MRE to AD pathophysiology has been explored in animal studies, which have shown that stiffness is altered in both APP/PS162 and APP2365 mouse models of the disease (Munder et al., 2018; Murphy et al., 2012). At this stage there is limited data to confirm the neurobiological correlates of reduced stiffness in AD, but it has been hypothesized that it may reflect degradation of the extracellular matrix (due to amyloid deposition), loss of normal cytoskeletal architecture (due to tau hyper-phosphorylation), or altered synaptic connectivity (Murphy et al., 2019). Factors such as a decrease in the neuron-to-glial cell ratio may also contribute to the overall softening observed in AD (Hall et al., 2020). Nevertheless, the suggestion of a disease-related loss of microstructural integrity measured with MRE is consistent with well-established findings reported across numerous neuroimaging modalities and postmortem analyses.

The MRE studies of AD have shown changes in viscoelasticity within regions that correspond to the expected pathophysiology of AD, and they reflect how improvements in the resolution of MRE mechanical property maps have driven investigations that target affected neuroanatomical regions. A similar example is an MRE investigation into frontotemporal dementia (FTD), which found reduced stiffness only within the frontal and temporal lobes, as its name suggests (Huston et al., 2015). The reduction in stiffness in FTD was 9% for each lobe when compared to healthy controls, whereas no differences in stiffness were observed for any other lobar region. ElSheikh, et al., reviewed different signatures of softening in lobar regions in other dementias, including AD and FTD, and found distinct patterns of softening that differ between dementia subtypes (ElSheikh et al., 2017). These results indicate that MRE may be a useful non-invasive alternative to assist in the difficulties currently faced in the differential diagnosis of these conditions.

Collectively, these studies illustrate that MRE detects a physical transformation of the diseased brain through viscoelasticity that goes beyond what is observed in healthy aging. Offering a novel contrast sensitive to brain tissue health, brain MRE may be a valuable biomarker for characterizing healthy aging and at detecting deviations from a normal aging trajectory. Reliable biomarkers are urgently sought to detect the pathophysiology of AD during the pre-symptomatic stage to improve understanding of disease etiology and assist in providing metrics for the testing of candidate therapies. At the current time, however, longitudinal MRE studies in aging and disease have not been published. As such, we recommend that brain MRE could be a valuable addition to large-scale epidemiological studies such as the UK Biobank (Miller et al., 2016; Dudlow et al., 2015) and Lothian Birth Cohort (LBC) (Deary et al., 2011; Taylor et al., 2018) as well as in disease-modifying clinical trials of dementia such as the PREVENT-Dementia project (Habib et al., 2017; Mak et al., 2017; McKeever et al., 2020; McKiernan et al., 2020).

1.4. Viscoelastic structure-function relationships

An exciting new direction in brain MRE has been the study of how tissue biomechanics may relate to individual differences in cognitive func-
tion, which has implications for studying age-related cognitive decline and the links between brain health and cognitive impairment. Based on the relationship between tissue mechanical properties and microstructural organization, it was hypothesized that viscoelasticity may relate to brain function, which would allow these measures to be more fully interpretable with regard to brain health. Several studies have now examined so-called viscoelastic structure-function relationships that have found an association between MRE measures and outcomes on behavioural tasks. Although these studies to date have largely been conducted on healthy, young adults, they nevertheless highlight the potential for MRE to characterize memory-related impairments and other cognitive deficits related to early brain alterations in older age.

The first investigation that reported a significant viscoelastic structure-function relationship was performed by Schwarb, et al., who measured the viscoelastic properties of the hippocampus in twenty healthy young adult males aged 18–33 years (Schwarb et al., 2016). Each participant underwent a high-resolution MRE scan and performed a computerized spatial reconstruction task – a particularly sensitive measure of relational memory – to obtain individual scores of memory performance (Monti et al., 2015; Watson et al., 2013). Results indicated a strong, significant relationship between hippocampal damping ratio and memory performance (i.e., task reconstruction accuracy) \( (r = -0.72, \ p < 0.001) \), such that higher memory scores were associated with lower damping ratio, or more elastic material behavior (Fig. 5A). Furthermore, volumetric measures of the hippocampus were not associated with relational memory scores, suggesting that damping ratio may be an explicit measure of tissue integrity particularly sensitive to cognitive outcomes.

These compelling, yet preliminary, results were subsequently followed up in a larger sample of fifty-one participants, including both young men and women. Importantly, results remained consistent to those originally reported with lower damping ratio indicative of greater memory performance (Schwarb et al., 2017) (Fig. 5B). Neither hippocampal volume nor stiffness was associated with relational memory scores, thereby reinforcing the original findings that the relative elastic-to-viscous properties of the hippocampus are most strongly associated with relational memory function. Utilizing the same sample of participants, a recent report demonstrated that the damping ratio of the CA3-DG subregion of the hippocampus was able to predict relational memory accuracy, which in fact replicated most of the variance in performance that was explained by the entire hippocampus (Daughtery et al., 2020). Importantly, the CA3-DG region has been shown to support the information binding necessary to support relational memory and ensure successful performance in the administered task (Daughtery et al., 2017; Johnston et al., 2016; Rolls, 2016; Yassa and Stark, 2011). As such, there remains the opportunity to map and dissociate relationships between individual differences in specific domains of memory function with hippocampal subfield-specific viscoelasticity (Carey et al., 2019; Carr et al., 2017; Zammit et al., 2017).

There has also been preliminary work on the relationship between hippocampal viscoelasticity and memory in a healthy older adult population (Hiscox et al., 2020) which revealed the same trend as observed with younger adults (Fig. 5C): higher damping ratio scores are associated with poorer memory performance, as assessed with a verbal paired associates (VPA) subtest from the Wechsler Memory Scale – Revised (WMS-R) (Wechsler and Stone, 1987). More specifically, the association was localized to the left hippocampus, which may be attributed to the role of the left hippocampus in the storage of verbal material, thus supporting the concept of functional hemispheric lateralization (Papanicolaou et al., 2002; Treeremy et al., 1993). No significant association was observed between VPA score and the right hippocampus damping ratio, or any stiffness or volumetric measure. A single dissociation, however, is not sufficient to demonstrate specificity for mapping cognitive function, and future studies should therefore identify more precisely cognitive functions supported by lateral hippocampi.

While these results show considerable promise for further exploring the hippocampal-memory relationship, extending the viscoelastic structure-function relationship to other brain regions and cognitive domains was essential to demonstrate that MRE was generalizable and relevant to wider brain mapping approaches. As a result, Johnson, et al., sought to examine and compare relationships of performance in relational memory (associated with the hippocampus) and fluid intelligence (associated with the orbitofrontal cortex) with the viscoelasticity of the associated structures (Johnson et al., 2018). In a sample of fifty-three healthy, young adults, a significant relationship between orbitofrontal cortex damping ratio and fluid intelligence performance (measured using a figure series task) was observed in addition to
the hippocampal-memory relationship (measured using a spatial reconstruction task). Most importantly, however, was that a significant double dissociation between the orbitofrontal-fluid intelligence relationship and the hippocampal-relational memory relationship was also identified. These results provided clear evidence that the viscoelastic properties of each brain structure reflect performance in the expected cognitive domain, but not the other, strongly supporting the specificity of regional MRE measures. A similar finding has recently been reported by Schwarb, et al., who applied a context-dependent relational memory task and MRE to report correlations between hippocampal viscoelasticity and memory and between the viscoelasticity of the ventromedial prefrontal cortex and rule learning (Schwarb et al., 2019).

As is the case in most brain MRE applications and findings, the precise biological mechanism that results in lower damping ratio and greater cognitive function is not known. Previous hypotheses have suggested that lower damping ratio in the hippocampus may reflect elements of neurogenesis (Munder et al., 2018; Schwarb et al., 2017), which persists throughout adulthood (Kumar et al., 2019), resulting in an opportunity to influence hippocampal microstructure through lifestyle modifications (van Praag et al., 2005; van Praag et al., 1999). Yet as similar viscoelastic structure-function relationships are observed in other key brain areas, additional candidate mechanisms need to be considered. For example, greater connectivity within neuronal networks could plausibly reduce tissue viscosity, or conversely, disorganized microstructural interactions in the extracellular matrix may lead to increased viscosity. Other biological factors such as the tissue microvasculature (Jugé et al., 2015), and actin crosslinking and axonal organization (Guo et al., 2019) have been coupled to tissue viscosity and could account for part of the observed relationships. Important to note is how an increase in damping ratio may not be representative of a single structural or biological process. At first, the higher damping ratio

may seem to represent an elevated imaginary loss modulus, yet as thoroughly discussed in this review, aging also causes a loss in stiffness and thus the real storage modulus, which could also affect the damping ratio. Whether the viscoelastic structure function relationships reported here can be explained by a reduction in the storage modulus (with the loss modulus staying relatively constant), or an actual increase to the loss modulus, is likely to infer separate structural changes. Targeted studies in animal models should therefore seek to disentangle the contributions from both the storage and loss modulus to more definitively understand the underlying neurobiology related to performance in functional tasks.

Regardless, the emergence of the viscoelastic structure-function relationship is only in its infancy, and there remain unlimited opportunities to explore key questions within cognitive, emotion, and social neuroscience, and age-related alterations in these fundamental processes. In what is usually interpreted as a compensatory mechanism, the elderly brain relies on increased network integration and a more distributed set of cortical regions than younger adults to maintain successful levels of performance during demanding tasks (Cabeza, 2002; Crowell et al., 2020). As a result, future MRE studies could study the mechanical integrity of more complex cortical networks that support both specialized and distributed information processing (Smith Bassett and Bullmore, 2006) using powerful quantitative techniques such as graph theoretical analysis (Bassett and Bullmore, 2009). This concept is supported by a recent demonstration of the sensitivity for MRE to map risk-taking behaviors in adolescents to a maturational imbalance between the reward and controls systems as revealed through viscoelasticity (McIlvain et al., 2020).

Finally, an exciting new development within the field is functional MRE (fMRE), an analogue to fMRI, in which researchers have begun to explore whether mechanical properties of localized regions respond ac-
cutely to stimulation or cognitive processes (Lan et al., 2020; Patz et al., 2019). In essence, these studies have unearthed a new functional contrast mechanism that shows how changes in brain stiffness respond to stimuli which can be observed on a time scale of 100 milliseconds. Importantly, the changes in stiffness appear to be 60 times faster than the fluctuations in blood oxygen levels (BOLD) typically captured by fMRI, allowing an assessment of neuronal activity at high speed (Patz et al., 2019). Work by a different research group also showed an agreement between fMRE and fMRI activation maps using a visual task, with changes in tissue stiffness within the visual cortex significantly greater than the BOLD signal change on a single-subject level (Lan et al., 2020). Future work will need to explore the relationship between baseline brain viscoelasticity and the magnitude of changes reported to stimuli to discover any possible interaction between the two. For instance, is the change in stiffness observed in fMRE impacted by baseline brain mechanics? Does the softer, aged brain exhibit more or less mechanical activation? While much work on fMRE remains in the future, fMRE provides an exciting extension to MRE and offers strong support for the relationship between tissue mechanics and functional processes.

1.5. Future directions

Efforts are ongoing within brain MRE development to fully exploit the inherently high sensitivity of MRE to changes in brain tissue health which may ultimately enhance our understanding of the physiological processes’ underling brain aging. While MRE displacement fields can now be captured at a comparable spatial resolution to a standard structural anatomical scan, further innovative work is needed to capture MRE displacement fields nearer the histological resolution. This will be required to investigate smaller and more complex structures such as the specific layers of the neocortex, with each layer likely to possess differing mechanical characteristics due to the relative distribution of neurons and glial cells (Ji et al., 2006). Future work will also need to reduce the current methodological constraints in inversion strategies. For example, while existing inversion algorithms are being optimised for improved sensitivity and/or reliability (Delgorio et al., 2020), more advanced mechanical models such as anisotropy and poroelasticity (McGarry et al., 2015, 2019; Solamen et al., 2019; Solamen 2020) or artificial intelligence approaches to inversion such as those proposed by Murphy et al. (2020) should be applied in aging populations (Murphy et al., 2018; Matthew C. 2020). With an even more detailed and accurate characterization of brain mechanics, the multiple nuclei of the hypothalamus could also be a region of interest. Animal models have revealed that accelerated aging may be caused by a decline in hypothalamic neural stem cells, suggesting that the hypothalamus may in fact control the rate of aging (Zhang et al., 2017). Interestingly, replenishment of these cells was shown to slow and even reverse signs of aging, opening up opportunities to develop strategies for delaying age-related disease and extending the human lifespan. Whether MRE can provide a neuroimaging correlate of such processes will certainly be of interest.

As is the case with all brain MRE investigations, the biological interpretation of viscoelasticity and the mechanisms underlying viscoelastic changes in aging warrant serious investigation. Understanding how changes in tissue microstructure impacts the macroscopic mechanical response will be critical for the interpretation of the MRE signal to explain brain structure, function, and pathology. While some valuable work has been performed in this area, it has largely been limited to cross-sectional or observational studies. To establish causal links between viscoelasticity and microstructural characteristics, a recent developmental model combined MRE with histological and mass spectrometry proteome analysis during an extended period of mouse brain adolescence (Guo et al., 2019). Results revealed brain stiffness increased alongside the progressive accumulation of microtubular structures, myelination, cytoskeleton linkage, and cell-matrix attachment, whereas the phase angle decreased alongside downregulated actin crosslinking and axonal organization (Guo et al., 2019). It would be naïve to suggest that the observed decrease in stiffness and increase in viscosity in older age would simply mirror these effects, as the underlying presence of common age-related structural changes, including β-amyloid burden, white matter microbleeds, enlarged perivascular spaces, or simply neuronal loss, will likely interact and have an impact on brain viscoelasticity. Therefore, a similar longitudinal model in brain aging would be highly desirable to obtain a better understanding of the neurobiological correlates of viscoelasticity directly related to senescence.

Evidence from animal models (Guo et al., 2019) and the recent application of MRE in the study of children and adolescents (Johnson and Telzer, 2018; McIlvain et al., 2018; E.F. 2020; Yeung et al., 2019) converge to a general observation that brain tissue stiffens during development at a time of rapid and significant myelination and synaptic pruning. The natural next questions are at what age or stage do viscoelastic properties reach a plateau, how long do these properties remain at their optimal levels, and what then causes a loss of brain stiffness and concomitant loss in tissue integrity? As biomechanical cues guide proliferation, differentiation, and maturation of neurons (Hall et al., 2020), which are essential processes in tissue regeneration (Mousavi and Hamdy Dowieder, 2015), does the decline in stiffness accelerate with age? Different brain structures are likely to follow different trajectories through development and aging, with high inter-individual variability in accordance with similar patterns observed for volumetry. Longitudinal studies will not only be able to provide answers to some of these questions but would also allow for a more direct and precise account of age-related changes of mechanical properties on an individual basis. This would also permit analyses of the temporal progression of changes in viscoelasticity and how they may relate to volume loss. Current conjecture is that the microstructural changes observed from MRE would predate those of volume loss, similar to the effects reported for diffusion imaging (Weston et al., 2015); however, this remains an open area of investigation.

A new trend in neuroscience is to establish biomarkers of the neuroanatomical aging process in order to provide risk-assessments and predictions of cognitive dysfunction and age-associated brain diseases at single subject level (Franke and Gaser, 2019). For example, a popular area of research has combined structural and functional MRI with advanced machine learning methods to characterize individual brain health, or so-called “brain-predicted age” (Franke et al., 2010) (Cole et al., 2019). The brain age delta (i.e.: A: the brain’s estimated age minus the individual’s chronological age) has been shown as a heritable metric for monitoring cognitively healthy aging, as well as for the early identification of individuals with high-risk of age-associated disorders and mortality (Cole et al., 2018). In particular, baseline brain-predicted age scores have been shown to be significantly more accurate for predicting conversion to AD than conversion predictors based on chronological age, hippocampal volumes, cognitive scores, and CSF biomarkers (Gaser et al., 2013). Still, these models are not perfect and applying new features of brain aging obtained from MRE could improve model accuracy to make more precise judgments about individual risk for AD development. Other potential implementations include determining reference curves for healthy brain aging and discovering both protective and harmful environmental influences on brain health through comparison with the expected aging trajectory.

Finally, brain MRE may also be a clinically useful technique for identifying appropriate rehabilitation strategies and for enhancing our understanding of disease mechanisms to potentially accelerate the discovery of novel drug targets. For example, identification of a deviation from the expected healthy trajectory in structures such as the hippocampus may present opportunities for individuals to adopt relevant techniques to improve or maintain function by strengthening neural pathways through exercise, diet, cognitive training, or pharmaceutical intervention. This concept is supported by findings that have linked differences in blood lipid profiles (Sanjana et al., 2020) and body mass index (Hetzer et al., 2020) with viscoelasticity measured through MRE. Hippocampal viscoelasticity has also been identified as playing a medi-
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