Structural diversity of mixed polypnictogen complexes: dicationic $E_2E_2'$ ($E \neq E' = P$, As, Sb, Bi) chains, cycles and cages stabilized by transition metals†
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The reactivity of the tetrahedral dipnictogen complexes \([\{\text{CpMo}(\text{CO})_2\}_2(\mu_4\eta^2:\eta^2-EE')]\) ($E, E' = P, As, Sb, Bi; “Mo$_2$EE”) towards different one-electron oxidation agents is reported. Oxidation with [Thia$^+$][TEF] (Thia$^+$ = $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4\text{Se}$; TEF$^-$ = $\text{Al}(\text{OC}(\text{CF}_3)_3)_3$) leads to the selective formation of the radical monocations [Mo$_2$EE]$^+$, which immediately dimerize to the unprecedented dicationic $E_2E_2'$ ligand complexes \([\{\text{CpMo}(\text{CO})_2\}_2(\mu_4\eta^2:\eta^2-\eta^2:\eta^2:\eta^2:\eta^2:\eta^2-\eta^2-\eta^2-\eta^2-\text{EE'})\}]^2$ via E–E bond formation. Single crystal X-ray diffraction revealed that, in the case of Mo$_2$PAs and Mo$_2$Sb, $P$–$P$ bond formation occurs yielding zigzag $E_2P_2$ ($E = \text{As}, \text{Sb}$) chains, whereas Mo$_2$SbBi forms a Sb$_2$Bi$_2$ (5) cage. Mo$_2$AsSb an unprecedented As$_2$Sb$_2$ unit representing an intermediate stage between a chain- and a cage-type structure, and Mo$_2$AsBi a novel planar As$_2$Bi$_2$ (4a) cycle. Therefore, 1–5 bear the first substituent-free, dicationic hetero-$_E$$_E$$_E$ ligands, stabilized by transition metal fragments. Furthermore, in the case of Mo$_2$AsSb, the exchange of the counterion causes changes in the molecular structure yielding an unusual, cyclic As$_3$Sb$_2$ ligand. The experimental results are corroborated by DFT calculations.

Introduction

The element carbon features the same affinity to both electronegative and electronaccepting elements, which makes it unique among all elements. Furthermore, this property is the basis of its infinite structural diversity. In particular, hydrocarbons form numerous chain- and cage-like as well as cyclic molecules or even combinations of these, which are important starting materials for organic syntheses and a large number of applications. For example, the isoprene molecule (2-methyl-1,3-butadiene) is the basic unit for the class of terpenes, which counts more than 8000 different molecules, and is widely used as e.g. flavours and fragrances. In contrast to carbon, the structural diversity of other p-block elements decreases strongly, which is caused by weaker covalent E–E bond energies. Thus, their chemistry is far less investigated. Since carbon and phosphorus are related to each other through the diagonal relationship and the isoblatility between the [CH] fragment and the P atom (Scheme 1a), phosphorus is also capable of cationation. While numerous neutral and anionic polyphosphorus chains, cages and cycles have been known for a long time, the field of cationic representatives was only opened during the last two decades, mainly by the groups of Burford and Weigand. However, these compounds always carry organic substituents. Recently, we could show that polyphosphorus ligand complexes represent good starting materials for cationic polyphosphorus compounds upon oxidation. For example, oxidation of the hexaphosphabenzene complex \([\{\text{Cp}^+\text{Mo}(\text{CO})_2\}_2(\mu_4\eta^2:\eta^2-\text{P}_6)\}]^-$ results in a bis-allylic distortion of the P$_6$ ring. In contrast, oxidation of \([\text{Cp}^+\text{Fe}(\eta^2-\text{P}_6)]\) leads to dimerization via $P$–$P$ bond formation yielding a formally neutral, cyclic P$_{10}$ ligand stabilized by two \([\text{Cp}^+\text{Fe}]^+$ fragments. The first substituent-free polyphosphorus cation, namely [P$_4$$^+$] (I, Scheme 1b), was obtained by Krossing et al. via oxidation of P$_4$ with [NO]$^+$ [16]. This milestone in inorganic chemistry could only be accomplished with the help of weakly coordinating anions (WCAs), which are able to stabilize very labile and reactive cations due to their weak nucleophilic properties. Since the tetrahedral derivative \([\{\text{CpMo}(\text{CO})_2\}_2(\mu_4\eta^2:\eta^2-\text{P}_6)\}]^-$ (II; “Mo$_2$P$_2$”), which is isolobal to P$_4$, we carried out its oxidation, which leads to dimerization via $P$–$P$ bond formation yielding the dicationic complex \([\{\text{CpMo}(\text{CO})_2\}_4(\mu_4\eta^2:\eta^2-\eta^2:\eta^2-\eta^2-\text{P}_6)\}]^2$ (VI) including an unique P$_5$ chain free from organic substituents. In comparison to polyphosphorus compounds, representatives of the heavier group 15 elements such as arsenic, antimony as well as bismuth are considerably less known. Interestingly, we could transfer the reactivity of II towards oxidations to its heavier derivatives.
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which yield similar dimerization products [{CpMo(CO)₂}₄(μ₃₋As–hetero-polypnictogen complexes), especially the ones containing AsBi (14532) chain as well as unique dicationic Sb₄ [VIII] and Bi₄ [IX] “butterfly-like” cages, respectively, which are stabilized by transition metal fragments.¹¹ Even rarer is the field of hetero-polypnictogen complexes, especially the ones containing As–Sb,¹² As–Bi¹³ and Sb–Bi¹⁴ bonds, since the hetero-element bond energy decreases. Therefore, they have to be stabilized by bulky organic substituents, as for instance in the neutral heterotripnictogen chains tBu₂EP[As₂]E₃Bu₂ (E = As, Sb, X)¹⁵ and tBu₂PSb₂[As₂]E₃Bu₂ (E = P, As, Sb, Bi; X)¹⁶ (Scheme 1c). Otherwise, they tend to disproportionate by forming homonuclear bonds.¹²a The only example of a cationic hetero-polypnictogen complex is, to the best of our knowledge, the arsane-stabilized dicationic P₄ butterfly compound [(AsPh₃)₂(μ₃₋As–P₃][AlCl₄]₂ (XII; Scheme 1d),¹⁷ whereas representatives of the heavier pnictogens are unknown, which might be caused by the lack of suitable precursors.

To target this, only very recently we were able to extend the class of tetrahedral Mo₂E₂ (II–V) compounds by their respective substituent-free hetero-dipnictogen congeners [{CpMo(CO)₂}₂(μ₃₋As–II)oxidant thianthrenium ([Thia]+ = [C₁₂H₈S₂]+) to form unprecedented hetero-pnictogen chain and cage moieties. Additionally, the influence of the stabilizing counterion on the reactivity and the solid-state structure was investigated, and a remarkable effect is shown.

Results and discussion

Cyclic voltammetry

The cyclic voltammograms (CV) of A–E (Fig. 1) reveal a chemically pseudo-reversible oxidation at +0.19 V (Mo₂P₃As = A), +0.08 V (Mo₂Sb₂ = B), +0.12 V (Mo₂AsSb = C), −0.10 V (Mo₂AsBi = D) and −0.07 V (Mo₂SbBi = E) vs. Cp₂Fe⁰/¹ and the reductive back wave shifted significantly to −0.31 V (A), −0.43 V (B), −0.20 V (C), −0.36 V (D) and −0.44 V (E).¹⁹ Compared to Mo₂P₂ (IV, +0.28 V),¹¹ the oxidation potential of Mo₂P₃As is considerably lower but almost equal to that of the heavier congener Mo₂As₂ (V, +0.19 V).¹¹ The same is observed for Mo₂Sb₂, where the oxidation potential equals the one of Mo₂Sb₂ (+0.05 V).¹¹ However, the oxidation potentials of Mo₂AsSb, Mo₂AsBi and Mo₂SbBi are in between the oxidation potentials of their respective homo-dipnictogen complexes, with the latter two being almost similar.¹¹ Therefore, Mo₂Sb₂ steps out of line, as a higher or at least similar oxidation potential compared to Mo₂AsSb is expected. Mo₂SbBi also shows an additional small oxidation wave at +0.05 V, which can be attributed to small amounts of Mo₂Sb₂, which are formed as trace impurities during its synthesis.¹⁸

The CVs of A and B suggest that the heavier pnictogen atom (As in A and Sb in B) contributes more to the oxidation potential than the P atom and, therefore, a dimerization via As–As or Sb–Sb bond formation upon one-electron oxidation should be.
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**Scheme 1** (a) Isolobal relation between the (CH) fragment, phosphorus and the 15 VE fragment {CpMo(CO)₂}; (b) first substituent-free polyphosphorus cation P₅⁺ (II); (c) selected examples of neutral hetero-polypnictogen complexes (X, XII); (d) dicaticionic hetero-polypnictogen compound XII; this work: one-electron oxidation of the hetero-dipnictogen complexes [{CpMo(CO)₂}₂(μ₃₋As–EE')] (EE' = P₃As (A), P₃Sb (B), AsSb (C), AsBi (D), SbBi (E))
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**Fig. 1** Cyclic voltammograms of the starting materials A–E (coloured) as well as their homo-dipnictogen congeners (grey; from right to left: II, III, IV and V) in CH₂Cl₂ solution (only the first oxidation and its respective back wave are shown); c([NBu₄][PF₆]) = 0.1 M.
favoured over P–P bond formation. DFT calculations also show that the heavier pnictogen atom contributes more to the HOMO and that the pnictogen atomic orbital contribution increases with increasing atomic number, i.e. P : E (%): 7 : 7, 10 : 12 and 13 : 18 for E = As, Sb and Bi, respectively. However, this contrasts with the experimental findings, which are discussed in the following.

One-electron oxidation of A–E
When an orange red solution of A or B is reacted with the very strong one-electron oxidant [Thia]⁺ (E = 0.86 V vs. Cp₂Fe⁺) in CH₂Cl₂ containing the WCA [AlOC(CF₃)₃]⁻ (=TEF⁻) immediately dark greenish red solutions of the P–P coupled products [{CpMo(CO)₂}₄(µ₄-η₂:η₂:η₂:η₂-EPPE)][TEF]₂ (E = As (1), Sb (2)), featuring a P₂E₂ chain, are obtained selectively, and 1 and 2 can be isolated in 73% and 88% yields (Scheme 2). DFT calculations show that the formation of the isomers containing a P–P bond are energetically favoured compared to the possible isomers with E–E bonds (42 kJ mol⁻¹ and 38 kJ mol⁻¹ for 1 and 2, respectively). The next starting materials, the heavier homodipnictogen congeners Mo₂As₃ (III) builds dicationic E₄ chains upon oxidation, whereas their heavier homodipnictogen congeners Mo₂Sb₂ (IV) and Mo₂Bi₂ (V) form dicationic E₄ cage-like ligand complexes. Therefore, the question arose which way C and D tend to follow upon one-electron oxidation. Their CVs (Fig. 1) indicate an oxidation behaviour, which is in between their homodipnictogen derivatives. Interestingly, the reaction of C and D with [Thia][TEF] selectively leads to the E₂⁻E' coupled, dicationic compounds [{CpMo(CO)₂}₄(µ₄-η₂:η₂:η₂-EE'FE')][TEF]₂ (EE' = AsSb (3a), AsBi (4a)) in excellent isolated yields of 92% and 89% (Scheme 2). 3a represents an astonishing intermediate stage between the chain- and the cage-type structures and 4a possess an unprecedented planarized As₂Bi₂ cyclic ligand which differs significantly from hitherto observed structures. Interestingly, in contrast to 1 and 2, in both cases, no bonds between the lighter pnictogen atoms (As in 3a and 4a) are formed. However, oxidation of E leads to an Sb–Sb coupled cage-like compound [{CpMo(CO)₂}₄(µ₄-η₂:η₂:η₂:BiSbSbBi)][TEF]₂ (5) in 84% crystalline yield (Scheme 2), which exhibits an Sb₂Bi₂ ligand with a butterfly-like structure.

In each case, the potentially first formed radical cations [A]⁺, [B]⁺, [C]⁺⁺, [D]++ or [E]³⁺, respectively, immediately dimerize and do not dissociate in solution since no signals can be observed in the respective X-band EPR spectra (vide infra). This is also supported by DFT calculations which show that the dimerization of the radical cations [A]⁺–[E]⁺ is exothermic (A: 147 kJ mol⁻¹, B: 158 kJ mol⁻¹, C: 143 kJ mol⁻¹, D: 144 kJ mol⁻¹ and E: 166 kJ mol⁻¹).

Structural characterization of 1–5
Analytically pure crystals of 1 and 3a–5 suitable for single crystal X-ray diffraction are received as dark red (1, 3a) or black (4a, 5)
blocks or plates after precipitation with n-hexane, washing with toluene and recrystallization from CH₂Cl₂/n-hexane or o-difluorobenzene/n-hexane at 4 °C. Despite several attempts, 2 could only be crystallized as thin plates which allowed to yield a weak X-ray dataset revealing just a first insight into the heavy-atom framework of the molecular structure and, therefore, no detailed structural data of 2 are discussed in the following.21

The cationic moieties of 1–5 [Fig. 2a–d] each consist of two molecules of the oxidized starting materials [A]⁺⁺, [B]⁺⁺, [C]⁺⁺, [D]⁺⁺ or [E]⁺⁺, respectively, whose Mo₂E₂ tetrahedra are linked together via a newly formed E–E or E’–E’ bond. The received central structural motifs in 1 and 2 are an asymmetrical AsPPh₃ or SbPPh₃ zigzag chain, respectively, with a gauche conformation (dihedral angle in 1: 134.77(1)°). Hence, they are related to their all-phosphorus and all-arsenic derivatives VI and VII.14 The P–As distances in 1 are only slightly longer than in free A (2.232(1) Å),22 but still slightly shorter than a P–As single bond (2.32 Å).23 The newly formed central P–P bond (2.2163(1) Å) matches well with an anticipated classical single bond and with the corresponding distance in the DFT-optimized geometry (2.198 Å). The respective P–P distance in the DFT-optimized geometry of 2 is calculated to 2.201 Å.

In 3a–5, hetero-tetrapnictogen ligands (AsSbSbAs (3a), AsBiBiAs (4a) and SbBiBiSb (5)) are observed, which, however, differ from those of the P–P and As–As coupled derivatives 1, 2, VI and VII and reveal cage-like structural motifs. Thereby, the intra-tetrahedral E–E’ bond lengths are elongated by ~0.2 Å compared to the respective starting materials but are all just slightly longer than the respective single bonds.22 In contrast, the newly formed E–E or E’–E’ bonds, respectively, are comparably longer and exceed the respective single bonds by 0.20 Å (3a), 0.25 Å (4a) and 0.42 Å (5). Interestingly, 3a, 4a and 5 exhibit two further short E–E’ contacts (As–Sb: 3.2730(1)–3.3214(1) Å; As–Bi: 3.2577(1)–3.3236(1) Å; Sb–Bi: 3.3048(1)–3.3236(1) Å).22 In 4a and 5, they exceed their respective single bonds by 0.4–0.5 Å, whereas, in 3a, they are elongated even more by 0.7 Å. But all of them are still far below the sum of their van der Waals radii (As: 3.91/3.92 Å, Sb–Bi: Σ = 4.13 Å).22 Thus, 5 exhibits a cage-like central Sb₂Bi₂ core, which can be described as a distorted “butterfly-like” (bicyclo[1.1.0]butane) framework stabilized by four [CpMo(CO)₂] fragments. This is the first example of a mixed polypnictogen butterfly-type compound. So far, only similar metal-coordinated Sb₄ and Bi₄ complexes have been reported either as dicationic (VIII and IX) or as neutral species.26 In contrast, 3a and 4a exhibit central cage-like As₂Sb₂ and As₂Bi₂ cores, respectively, which differ from the hitherto discussed structures. The structure in 3a reveals to be a very remarkable intermediate stage between the zigzag E₁ and E₂E’₂ chains in 1, 2, VI and VII on the one hand, and the distorted “butterfly-like” E₁ and Sb₂Bi₂ cages in 5, VIII and IX on the other hand.11 This based on the very long distances of the additional E–E’ contacts, the arrangement of the Cp ligands (Fig. 2 and ESI†) and the observed angles within the AsSbSbAs unit (vide infra). Moreover, compound 4a represents an entirely unprecedented structure, where the dication is symmetrical and contains a completely planar, central As₂Bi₂ cage. Therefore, it
can rather be described as a dicaticonic \( \text{As}_2\text{Bi}_2 \) cycle or as a planarized, distorted \( \text{As}_2\text{Bi}_2^{2+} \)-“butterfly-like” (bicyclo[1.1.0]butane) framework stabilized by four \([\text{CpMo(CO)}_2] \) fragments (for natural charge distribution see ESI†). DFT calculations, though, suggest a “butterfly-like” geometry similar to 5. The fact that the build-up of As–Bi and Bi–Bi interactions, respectively, is favoured over an As–As bond formation is also very remarkable.

The transition from a chain-like (1) to a more cage-like structural motif in 3a–5 is also reflected by the angles within the \( E'EE' \) chains. While the As1–P1–P2 and P1–P2–As2 angles in 1 are close to 100°, the respective angles in 3a–5 decrease considerably to 64° (4a, 5) and 71° (3a). Also, the dihedral angles \( \angle (E'–E–E'–E) \) change from 135° in 1 to 113° in 5 and 180° in the planar \( \text{As}_2\text{Bi}_2 \) cycle of 4a, while the same angle is just slightly decreased to 128° in 3a illustrating again that it represents an intermediate stage between a chain and a cage type structure. In each of the compounds 1 and 3a–5, the Mo–Mo bonds are elongated by 0.1–0.2 Å compared to their respective starting materials, while the Mo–E and Mo–E’ bonds slightly decrease in length. DFT calculations for the gas phase reproduce well the experimental geometric parameters of 1 and 2 in the solid state, while, for 3–5, cage-like geometries are predicted. The Mayer bond order for the central P–P bonds in 1 and 2 is 0.79 and 0.81, respectively, while the bond order of the central E–E bonds in the cage-like geometries of 3a, 4a and 5 lies between 0.42 and 0.52. However, they are supported by two additional E···E’ interactions with bond orders between 0.21 and 0.35 (cf. ESI†). Hence, the Mayer bond orders of the newly formed bonds and interactions for the compounds with a cage-like geometry add up to a bond order of nearly 1 (cf. ESI†).

In general, hetero-polypnictogen chains are almost unknown. While few examples for As2P2S2 and Sb2P2S2 chains and cycles have been reported, which, however, could only be stabilized by organic substituents or were only obtained as an inseparable product mixture, heavier hetero-polypnictogen chains without phosphorus have, to the best of our knowledge, not been observed yet (except for a tetraethyl-substituted diarsane \( \text{As}_4\text{BiCl}_4 \) \((R = \text{CH(SiMe}_3)_2)\)). Therefore, 1 and 2 are the first \( E\text{E}_2 \) \((E = \text{As, Sb}) \) ligands only stabilized by transition metal fragments, and 3a–5 the first \( E\text{E}_2 \) ligands of the heavy pnictogen elements As, Sb and Bi in general. Additionally, the polypnictogen cages in 3a and 4a show geometries which have not been observed before for p-block elements.

DFT computations

DFT calculations show that the single occupied molecular orbital (SOMO) in the potentially first formed paramagnetic monocation \([B]^{+} \) is delocalized over the molybdenum atoms as well as the PSb ligand and the CO units with major contributions from Mo, P and Sb (Fig. 3). The spin density is mainly localized on Mo (24% and 40%) and with smaller contributions from the pnictogen atoms (14% on P and 16% on Sb). Interestingly, although the spin density on Sb is slightly higher than on P, the dimerization of \([B]^{+} \) occurs via P–P bond formation. The spin density on the EE’ unit in \([A']^{+} – [E]^{+} \) increases with increasing atomic number of E or E’ (cf. ESI†). Furthermore, DFT calculations consistently reproduce the experimentally observed effect of P–As and Mo–Mo bond elongations, although the absolute bond lengths are slightly overestimated. Additionally, the torsion angle in the dimerization product 1 comes close to 180° during the geometry optimization. Therefore, the \( E_4 \) chains become planar. This suggests that the experimentally observed gauche arrangement, determined by single crystal X-ray diffraction of 1, may be caused by crystal packing effects.

Spectroscopic investigations

The \(^1\text{H} \) NMR spectra of 1–5 in \( \text{CD}_2\text{Cl}_2 \) solution only feature one sharp singlet at \( \delta = 5.66 \text{ ppm} \) (1), 5.61 ppm (2), 5.68 ppm (3a), 5.69 ppm (4a) and 5.72 ppm (5), respectively, for the \( \text{Cp} \) ligands. In the case of 5, also small singlets at \( \delta = 5.64 \) and 5.78 ppm are detected, which can be attributed to trace impurities of \( \text{VIII} \) and \( \text{IX} \). The latter are received by oxidation of \( \text{IV} \) and \( \text{V} \), which are formed in the synthesis of \( \text{E} \), and cannot be completely separated from each other. Likewise, one singlet is observed in the \(^\text{13}C(\text{H}) \) NMR spectra for the Cp ligands indicating a highly dynamic behaviour of the Cp ligands in solution, which cannot be resolved on the NMR timescale. Characteristic signals for the [TEF]\(^– \) anion and the CO ligands are observed in the \(^{19}\text{F}(\text{H}) \) as well as the \(^{13}\text{C}(\text{H}) \) NMR spectra.

The \(^{31}\text{P}(\text{H}) \) NMR spectrum of 1 at room temperature shows only one relatively sharp signal at \( \delta = -28.8 \text{ ppm} \) (\( \text{O}_{\text{isoc}} = 11 \text{ Hz} \), which is shifted to higher field by 60 ppm compared to the starting material \( \text{A} \) (\( \delta = 30.1 \text{ ppm} \)). Upon cooling to 193 K, the signal moves farther to higher field (\( \delta = -39.4 \text{ ppm} \) and undergoes broadening (\( \text{O}_{\text{isoc}} \approx 1700 \text{ Hz} \)) suggesting that the fast dynamic processes in 1, which render all P atoms as well as Cp and CO ligands chemically equivalent on the NMR timescale, are constrained at lower temperatures. Below 253 K, two new signals at \( \delta = -119.7 \text{ ppm} \) and 21.4 ppm arise in addition to the broad singlet indicating the formation of a new, unidentified species. The \(^{31}\text{P}(\text{H}) \) NMR spectrum of 2 also reveals a sole singlet at \( \delta = 35.0 \text{ ppm} \), which again is shifted to higher field by 60 ppm in comparison to the starting material \( \text{B} \) (\( \delta = 98.8 \text{ ppm} \)). This verifies the suggestion that, analogously to 1, a P–P coupled dicaticonic product is formed (Scheme 2).

Solutions of 1, 2, 4a and 5 in \( \text{CD}_2\text{Cl}_2 \) or \( \text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2 \) are all silent in the X-band EPR spectra at room temperature and at 77 K. This indicates that no dissociation of the dicaticonic species occurs, which is in good agreement with the calculated dissociation energies (vide supra). Likewise, 3a is EPR-silent at room temperature as well, but shows a very weak axial signal (\( g_{\text{iso}} = 1.954 \)) upon cooling to 77 K. This suggests that very small amounts of the radical monocation \([\text{C}]^{+} \) might be present in
frozen solution at very low temperatures. In contrast, no dimeric products can be observed in the ESI mass spectra of 1–5 suggesting that, in the gas phase, solely the monocations [Mo₂EE⁺]²⁺ are present (only [Mo₂PAs₃]²⁺ could be observed in very concentrated solutions of 1 in a minor ratio).

31P{¹H} MAS NMR and IR spectra show that, in contrast to its lighter congeners VI, 1 does not undergo reversible isomerisation. Furthermore, at least five CO bands are observed in the IR spectra supporting the asymmetrical molecular structure (Fig. 2a).

**Influence of the counter ion on the solid-state structure of 3 and 4**

The [TEF]⁻ anion causes major problems during the refinement and solution of single crystal X-ray diffraction experiments (e.g. in 2 or VII)²⁰ due to its high symmetry, weak coordination properties and the free rotation of the perfluorinated tert-butoxy groups, which can lead to a severe disorder. However, without the [TEF]⁻ anion, the dicationic products are insoluble in all common solvents except for MeCN, MeNO₂ and acetone, in which fast decomposition occurs even at low temperatures,¹¹ or in liquid SO₂, which complicates crystallization (due to its low boiling point (−10 °C) and its toxicity)²⁰ or crystal mounting (due to gas evolution probably caused by embedded SO₂ molecules).

Therefore, we introduced a similar perhalogenated alkoxyaluminate anion [Al{OC(CCl₃)(CF₃)₂}₄]⁻ (=[TEFCl]⁻), where one CF₃ group on every tert-butoxy ligand is replaced by a CCl₃ substituent. This lowers the symmetry of the anion and can lead to a decrease in disorder. Moreover, it was of interest to determine if small changes in the structure of the counterion can influence the outcome of the solid-state structure. However, the strong one-electron oxidant [Thia]⁺ is unknown with this counterion. Hence, a route for a high-yielding synthesis of [Thia][TEFCl]⁺ had to be developed. A simple one-step reaction of Li[TEFCl]³ , NO[SbF₆] and thianthrene gives the deep purple [Thia][TEFCl] in 89% yield [eqn (1)]. The reaction is performed in liquid SO₂ to ensure that all starting materials are fully dissolved. [Thia][TEFCl] is highly soluble in CH₂Cl₂ even at lower temperatures and can be crystallized as dark purple blocks from CH₂Cl₂/n-hexane.³⁰ Furthermore, the reaction can be carried out in a multigram scale.

\[
\text{Li[TEFCl]} + \text{NO[SbF₆]} + \text{Thia} \xrightarrow{\text{SO₂}} \text{[Thia][TEFCl]} + \text{Li[SbF₆] + NO} \\
\text{(89%)}
\]

To gain a first insight into the influence of the counterion within the oxidation of tetrahedral dipnictogen complexes, [Thia][TEFCl] was reacted with solutions of C–E. It appears that the counter anion has no influence on the reactivity itself since again only the dimeric, dicationic E–E coupled products \([\text{[(CpMo(CO)₂]₄(µ₄-n²:n²:n²-E₂E₂)]}[\text{TEFCl}²] \text{  (E₂E₂} = \text{As₂Sb₂} \text{ (3b), As₂Bi₂} \text{ (4b)) can be obtained (Scheme 3) in good crystalline yields of 77% and 81%, respectively. Despite several attempts, the oxidation product of E could not be crystallized due to the high solubility of the [TEFCl]⁻ anion leading to oily products. However, the exchange of the counterion surprisingly has a dramatic impact on the molecular structure of 3b, which differs significantly from its [TEF]⁻ derivative 3a (4b only shows slight deviations to 4a).

The dication in 3b builds up a completely unprecedented structural motif (Fig. 4a). It contains a central, cyclic As₂Sb₂ ligand. It is very remarkable that the arsenic and antimony
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Scheme 3 Oxidation of C and D with Thia[TEFCl] resulting in dimerization reactions yielding a dicationic, cyclic As₂Sb₂ ligand (3b) or a planarized, distorted butterfly-like As₂Bi₂ motif (4b), respectively. Isolated yields in parentheses.
atoms within the cycle are bound in an alternating fashion.\textsuperscript{19} The intratetrahedral As–Sb bonds are elongated compared to free C by 0.1 to 0.2 Å,\textsuperscript{18} but are still in the range of a single bond. The Mo–Mo bonds are widened up in the same manner. The Mo\textsubscript{4}As\textsubscript{3}Sb\textsubscript{2} tetrahedra are tilted against each other by approximately 13° leading to a dihedral angle of the As\textsubscript{2}Sb\textsubscript{2} ring of 155.39(1)°. Furthermore, they are interconnected via two newly formed As–Sb bonds (As\textsubscript{2}–Sb\textsubscript{1}: 2.9108(1) Å; As\textsubscript{1}–Sb\textsubscript{2}: 3.0270(1) Å), with one of them being 0.11 Å longer than the other one, but even the shorter bond exceeds the sum of the covalent radii (Σ[As–Sb] = 2.62 Å)\textsuperscript{23} by 0.29 Å. Additionally, the As\textsubscript{3}Sb\textsubscript{2} cycle reveals a very long diagonal As\textsubscript{1}–As\textsubscript{2} (4.3525(1) Å) distance which exceeds the sum of the van der Waals radii\textsuperscript{25} by far excluding any further interactions. But more interestingly, it also exhibits a relatively short Sb\textsubscript{1}–Sb\textsubscript{2} contact (3.4492(1) Å), which is 0.7 Å below the sum of the van der Waals radii (Sb–Sb: Σ = 4.12 Å).\textsuperscript{23} This leads to a slight distortion within the cycle with angles between 73.09(1)° and 100.37(1)°, with the smaller angles being at the arsenic atoms. Therefore, 3b can be regarded as an intermediate stage between the As\textsubscript{3}Sb\textsubscript{2} cage in 3a and the As\textsubscript{3}Bi\textsubscript{2} cycles in 4a and 4b, respectively. Overall, while cyclic As\textsubscript{3} units are known as the heavier dianionic cyclo-butadiene analogues,\textsuperscript{19} the As\textsubscript{3}Sb\textsubscript{2} cycle in 3b is the first example of its kind.

Geometry optimizations (TPSSh/def2-TZVP level) starting from the experimental geometries of 3a as well as 3b lead in both cases to a “cage-like” geometry similar to 5, indicating that the anion has a strong influence on the formed geometry in the solid state. In comparison to 3b, the [TEF\textsuperscript{c+}]\textsuperscript{−} counterion has no big influence on the molecular structure of 4. The cation in 4b (Fig. 4b) is similar to its [TEF\textsuperscript{c+}]\textsuperscript{−} congeners 4a regarding all bond lengths and angles except for the Bi–Bi bond, which is elongated by 0.15 Å in 4b compared to 4a.

To investigate the influence of the counter ion towards a possible dissociation of the dications in solution, X-band EPR spectra of 4b were recorded which were silent both at room temperature and in frozen solution at 77 K. This indicates that no radical monocations [D\textsuperscript{+}]+ are present in solution just as in the case of 4a.

**Conclusion**

In summary, we have studied the one-electron oxidation chemistry of the tetrahedral hetero-dipnictogen complexes A-E. We successfully discovered the structural diversity of the rare class of hetero-polypnictogen compounds. The unique EE\textsuperscript{+} ligand complexes are readily oxidized by the organic radical cation [Thia]\textsuperscript{+}. The initially formed radical monocations [A]\textsuperscript{+}, [B]\textsuperscript{+}, [C]\textsuperscript{+}, [D]\textsuperscript{+} and [E]\textsuperscript{+}, respectively, dimerize immediately in solution via E–E bond formation giving the novel dicationic products \([\text{[CpMo(CO)\textsubscript{2}]_4(\mu_4-n^2:n^{-1}:n^{-1}:E^\text{+}EE^\text{+})][TEF\textsubscript{c}]}_\text{c}\] (EE\textsuperscript{+} = PAs (1), Psb (2), SbAs (3a), BiAs (4a), SbBi (5)), which reveal unprecedented four-membered hetero-pnictogen chains, free from organic substituents and are stabilized in the coordination sphere of transition metals. Remarkably, in 1, 2 and 5, the new bonds are formed between the respective lighter pnictogen atoms, whereas the aggregation in 3a and 4a takes place via the heavier pnictogen atoms. The products 1 and 2 bear unique, unsubstituted P\textsubscript{2}E\textsubscript{2} chains in gauche conformation, while 5 exhibits a distorted “butterfly-like” (bicyclo[1.1.0]butane) Sb\textsubscript{2}Bi\textsubscript{2} cage with two additional short Sb–Bi contacts. However, 3a represents a novel and very remarkable intermediate stage between those two structural motifs, in which the additional As–Sb contacts are considerably longer and also the bond angles and the arrangement of the Cp substituents differ from those two structural motifs, in which the additional As–Sb contacts are considerably longer and also the bond angles and the arrangement of the Cp substituents differ. 4a even shows an entirely unprecedented structure exhibiting a planar As\textsubscript{3}Bi\textsubscript{2} cycle, which can be interpreted as a planarized “butterfly-like” core. Moreover, 1 and 2 contain the first unsubstituted E\textsubscript{2}P\textsubscript{2} (E = As, Sb) ligands that are only stabilized in the coordination sphere of transition metal fragments, and 3a–5 exhibit the first E\textsubscript{2}E\textsubscript{2} ligands of the heavy pnictogen elements As, Sb and Bi in general. The exchange of the counterion (using [TEF\textsuperscript{c+}]\textsuperscript{−}) has no effect on the molecular structure of 4. However, in 3b, the [TEF\textsuperscript{c+}]\textsuperscript{−} anion causes cyclization of the As\textsubscript{3}Sb\textsubscript{2} ligand yielding a unique, cyclic As\textsubscript{3}Sb\textsubscript{2} ligand in which the As and Sb atoms are bound in an alternating fashion. The influence of the counterion on the molecular structure of dicationic E\textsubscript{2} and E\textsubscript{2}E\textsubscript{2} compounds will be a topic of future research. Overall, it could be proved that the oxidation of hetero-polypnictogen ligand complexes is a useful synthetic tool to gain access to the class of unsubstituted, cationic hetero-polypnictogen frameworks stabilized in the coordination sphere of transition metals, which are not obtained by other ways.
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