ris ing hospital admissions and the complex care needs of an aging population have increased the need for acute care hospital beds to be used efficiently, particularly in publicly funded health care systems.1–3 The management of inpatients whose hospital discharge is delayed for nonmedical reasons is a potential area of focus to improve the flow of patients through acute care hospital beds. Most patients with delayed discharge are waiting for home support services or transfer to a more appropriate community-based setting (e.g., rehabilitation or long-term care).4,5 Delay of discharge after a patient is designated medically fit to leave hospital is a major problem for health care systems, affecting wait times and costs and leading to delivery of health care in unsafe locations (i.e., “hallway medicine”).5 In Canada, an estimated 13% of hospital beds are occupied by patients deemed medically fit for discharge.5

Most prior studies examining delayed discharge have involved heterogeneous medical and trauma populations.4,6,7 Those studies found that patients at risk of delayed discharge were older individuals with mental health concerns in smaller hospitals.4,8 Delayed discharge among adult patients following major surgery, especially emergency procedures, remains understudied. The demand for both surgery and hospital beds,
particularly for older patients with medically complex care needs, is rising. Delayed discharge thwarts the efforts of clinicians and health care managers to optimize use of acute care beds, plan discharges and access community services. We conducted a population-based cohort study in Ontario, Canada, to define the incidence of and identify factors associated with delayed discharge after several commonly performed major elective and emergency surgical procedures.

Methods

Study design and data sources

We conducted a retrospective population-based cohort study using data for patients who underwent surgery in Ontario, Canada, between January 2006 and December 2016. We linked various health care databases using unique patient identifiers. The health care databases and variables that we used are fully described in Appendix 1, Tables S1 and S2 (available at www.cmaj.ca/lookup/doi/10.1503/cmaj.200068/tab-related-content). We extracted data for demographic characteristics, comorbidities, perioperative care needs (including invasive monitoring and admission to the intensive care unit), type of surgery, type of hospital, postoperative complications (including infection, bleeding, stroke, myocardial ischemia, respiratory failure and acute kidney injury) and patient outcomes. Study reporting follows the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology guidelines.

Study cohort

We identified adults who underwent selected major elective and emergency surgical procedures requiring a postoperative hospital stay of at least 2 days. According to our previous work, this 2-day minimum stay ensured selection of patients who would be most affected by a delayed discharge and assessment of those who survived surgery but might have gone on to need non–acute care services. We included only patients older than 40 years on the basis of previous studies and clinical experience indicating that such individuals are more likely to undergo complex, major, high-risk (e.g., aortic, intrathoracic) and intermediate-risk (e.g., orthopedic, intra-abdominal) surgery that requires admission for postoperative monitoring and care and are more likely to present with multiple chronic health problems that slow down postoperative recovery and affect the need for community care services.

The elective surgery cohort included patients who underwent the following 9 types of surgery: vascular abdominal aortic surgery (open and endovascular aortic repair), peripheral arterial disease procedures (above- or below-knee amputation, lower limb revascularization), lung resection (open pneumonectomy, open and thoracoscopic partial lung resection), lower gastrointestinal procedures (colorectal resection), nephrectomy, nephrectomy (open craniotomy, posterior fossa surgery), spine surgery, total joint (hip or knee) replacement surgery and cardiac surgery (aortocoronary bypass, valve repair or replacement, aortic surgery). The 9 surgery types for patients in the emergency cohort were cardiac surgery, vascular abdominal aortic surgery, peripheral arterial disease procedures, lower gastrointestinal procedures, neurosurgery, solid organ transplant (kidney, liver, lung, heart, multivisceral), hip fixation, joint replacement and spine surgery. We selected these procedures because they are commonly performed at many hospitals and are associated with a challenging patient-case mix (i.e., high burden of comorbidity, older age) and complex postoperative recovery with high morbidity rates. We included specialized cardiac and transplant procedures because the patients typically receive structured perioperative care from a multidisciplinary team (including nurses, physicians, social workers, physiotherapists and occupational therapists). We identified the surgical procedures using the Canadian Classification of Health Interventions and CorHealth procedure codes (see Appendix 1, Table S3). For patients who underwent multiple eligible operations during the study period, we included only the first procedure.

Outcomes

The main outcome was a delay in hospital discharge for non-medical reasons, which we identified using the code for alternate level of care in the Discharge Abstract Database of the Canadian Institute for Health Information (CIHI). The alternate level of care code is applied to patients who occupy an acute care inpatient bed but no longer require the resources or services provided in that care setting by a staff physician or hospital delegate. A standardized approach to collecting data for alternate level of care days has been established since 1989, with the presence of this type of care (yes/no), the number of days of alternate level of care and the duration of the acute care hospitalization being recorded. Alternate level of care starts when the patient is deemed fit for discharge and stops when the patient leaves the alternate level of care setting or reverts back to acute care status. CIHI provides guidance on differentiating acute care status (i.e., active treatment, diagnostics, close monitoring, 1 or more daily physician visits, 2 or more nursing or allied health visits, complex physical or mental symptom management) from alternate level of care status (i.e., medically stable, with care needs that can be met in another setting, such as the patient’s home with home care, a complex continuing care setting, a rehabilitation facility or on an outpatient basis). Previous re-abstraction studies have reported good reliability between charts and administrative data for alternate level of care codes (99.8%–100%), hospital admission codes (99.9%) and discharge codes (99.9%). The alternate level of care code has been used for regular reporting by CIHI, provincial quality agencies (e.g., Health Quality Ontario) and other studies examining delayed discharge.

Statistical analysis

We described temporal annual trends and monthly seasonal variation in the proportion of patients with alternate level of care (i.e., delayed discharge) among patients undergoing elective or emergency surgery. We compared proportions of patients designated as alternate level of care and proportions of the hospital stay attributed to alternate level of care days across surgical specialties.
We also compared patient characteristics, hospital characteristics and postoperative outcomes (days alive and out of hospital, complications, death, length of stay, discharge disposition) between patients with and without the alternate level of care designation within the elective and emergency surgery groups. Days alive and out of hospital is a postoperative outcome that captures mortality, hospital length of stay, hospital readmissions and days spent in long-term care during any specified period. Validation studies of this outcome have shown that it is sensitive to level of patient complexity (e.g., advancing age, number of chronic health issues), complexity of the surgical procedure and postoperative complications. We calculated days alive and out of hospital at 30, 90 and 180 days, as previously described. As a brief example, to calculate the number of days alive and out of hospital at day 30, the number of days spent in hospital and long-term care after surgery was subtracted from 30 days. Therefore, for a patient who survived surgery and was discharged 10 days after the index date of surgery, was readmitted for 2 days on postoperative day 20 and had no days in long-term care, the number of days alive and out of hospital at day 30 was 18 days. If death occurred within the specified time frame, a value of 0 days was assigned.

We used a multivariable logistic regression model to estimate the adjusted association of patient-level factors (age, sex, coronary artery disease, myocardial infarction, asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, cancer, chronic liver disease, chronic renal dysfunction, hypertension, stroke, dementia, atrial fibrillation, rural residence, neighbourhood income quintile, duration of surgery, type of surgery, trauma and year) and hospital-level factors (academic status, number of beds and hospital-specific proportion of alternate level of care patients) with designation of alternate level of care. We included the total number of hospital beds in the model as a 3-knot restricted cubic spline to account for possible nonlinear associations with the log-odds of alternate level of care. We

| Type of surgery | No. of procedures | No. of hospitals | No. (%) of patients with ALC* | Type of care; length of stay, d, median (IQR) | Total in hospital | Mortality time frame; no. (%) of patients |
|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|------------------|------------------------------------------|
| Elective        |                   |                  |                             |                                               |                  |                                          |
| AAA repair      | 16 049            | 29               | 345 (2.1)                   | Acute: 6 (3–8), ALC*: 5 (2–9), Total in hospital: 6 (3–8) | 332 (2.1)        | 973 (6.1)                                |
| Joint replacement | 356 581           | 70               | 10 546 (3.0)                | Acute: 3 (3–5), ALC*: 3 (1–5), Total in hospital: 3 (3–5) | 678 (0.2)        | 3938 (1.1)                               |
| Lower GI        | 70 959            | 91               | 1487 (2.1)                  | Acute: 6 (4–9), ALC*: 5 (3–11), Total in hospital: 6 (4–9) | 1085 (1.5)       | 4161 (5.9)                               |
| Nephrectomy     | 17 051            | 53               | 151 (0.9)                   | Acute: 4 (3–6), ALC*: 6 (3–12), Total in hospital: 4 (3–6) | 119 (0.7)        | 912 (5.3)                                |
| Cardiac         | 53 579            | 12               | 1687 (3.1)                  | Acute: 7 (5–9), ALC*: 3 (2–6), Total in hospital: 7 (5–9) | 943 (1.8)        | 2233 (4.2)                               |
| Lung            | 25 065            | 33               | 218 (0.9)                   | Acute: 4 (3–7), ALC*: 6 (3–9), Total in hospital: 4 (3–7) | 330 (1.3)        | 2491 (9.9)                               |
| Neurosurgery    | 7643              | 12               | 488 (6.4)                   | Acute: 3 (2–6), ALC*: 5 (2–10), Total in hospital: 4 (2–6) | 192 (2.5)        | 2264 (29.6)                              |
| Peripheral arterial disease | 13 958 | 58 | 1303 (9.3)                  | Acute: 5 (3–8), ALC*: 5 (3–11), Total in hospital: 5 (3–9) | 319 (2.3)        | 1677 (12.0)                              |
| Spine           | 34 897            | 23               | 2052 (5.9)                  | Acute: 3 (2–5), ALC*: 3 (1–6), Total in hospital: 3 (2–6) | 120 (0.3)        | 674 (1.9)                                |
| Total           | 595 782           | 95               | 18 277 (3.1)                | Acute: 4 (3–6), ALC*: 3 (2–6), Total in hospital: 4 (3–6) | 4118 (0.7)       | 19 323 (3.2)                             |
| Emergency       |                   |                  |                             |                                               |                  |                                          |
| AAA repair      | 3273              | 29               | 255 (7.8)                   | Acute: 9 (5–16), ALC*: 8 (4–16), Total in hospital: 10 (5–17) | 581 (17.8)       | 864 (26.4)                               |
| Hip fixation    | 24 156            | 69               | 7331 (30.3)                 | Acute: 7 (5–11), ALC*: 6 (3–14), Total in hospital: 8 (6–15) | 1682 (7.0)       | 5321 (22.0)                              |
| Joint replacement | 45 220           | 68               | 14 156 (31.3)               | Acute: 8 (5–13), ALC*: 6 (3–13), Total in hospital: 9 (6–16) | 3324 (7.4)       | 10 131 (22.4)                            |
| Lower GI        | 31 290            | 83               | 3363 (10.7)                 | Acute: 11 (7–19), ALC*: 8 (3–18), Total in hospital: 12 (7–21) | 3359 (10.7)      | 7499 (24.0)                              |
| Transplant      | 7940              | 7                | 350 (4.4)                   | Acute: 10 (7–18), ALC*: 7 (4–14), Total in hospital: 10 (7–18) | 144 (1.8)        | 493 (6.2)                                |
| Cardiac         | 33 433            | 12               | 1269 (3.8)                  | Acute: 11 (8–17), ALC*: 4 (2–8), Total in hospital: 11 (8–17) | 1335 (4.0)       | 2614 (7.8)                               |
| Neurosurgery    | 13 814            | 12               | 1976 (14.3)                 | Acute: 7 (4–13), ALC*: 7 (3–17), Total in hospital: 7 (4–14) | 1468 (10.6)      | 4573 (33.1)                              |
| Peripheral arterial disease | 12 220 | 58 | 4135 (33.8)                 | Acute: 14 (8–24), ALC*: 8 (4–20), Total in hospital: 16 (9–30) | 1202 (9.8)       | 3619 (29.6)                              |
| Spine           | 9132              | 24               | 2610 (28.6)                 | Acute: 10 (6–18), ALC*: 6 (3–13), Total in hospital: 12 (7–22) | 351 (3.8)        | 1499 (16.4)                              |
| Total           | 180 478           | 86               | 35 445 (19.6)               | Acute: 9 (6–16), ALC*: 6 (3–14), Total in hospital: 10 (7–18) | 13 446 (7.5)     | 36 613 (20.3)                            |

Note: AAA = abdominal aortic aneurysm, ALC = alternate level of care, GI = gastrointestinal, IQR = interquartile range.

*The ALC code was used to define patients with delayed discharge.
used a hierarchical logistic regression model that accounted for clustering of patients within hospitals. To evaluate the impact of postoperative complications on delayed discharge, we conducted a sensitivity analysis by including this variable within the model described above. To characterize the impact of the individual hospital on the probability of alternate level of care designation across hospitals, we calculated the intraclass coefficient and median odds ratio (OR) using the estimated variance of the random intercepts from the hierarchical logistic regression model. The intraclass coefficient quantifies the proportion of the total variation in outcome that is due to systematic differences between hospitals described by the ratio between variances. The median OR is a measure of heterogeneity for use with binary outcomes that is adjusted for patient-level covariables. It is the median value obtained in comparison of the adjusted odds of the alternate level of care designation if the same individual underwent surgery at 2 different randomly selected hospitals. The median OR compares higher-ranked hospitals with lower-ranked hospitals and thus always has a value of 1 or more.

We conducted the analyses using SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute) and R statistical software (v.0.98.1091; R Core Team). Two-sided \( p \) values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

**Ethics approval**

Use of the data was authorized under section 45 of Ontario’s *Personal Health Information Protection Act* and approved by the Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre research ethics board.

**Results**

The cohort consisted of 776,260 patients treated at 95 hospitals, 595,782 patients in the elective surgery group and 180,478 in the emergency surgery group.

**Incidence and temporal trends**

Overall, 3.1% of patients in the elective surgery group and 19.6% of those in the emergency surgery group experienced delayed discharge (Table 1). The incidence of delayed discharge varied from 0.9% (nephrectomy and lung surgery) to 9.3% (peripheral arterial disease procedures) in the elective surgery group and from 3.8% (cardiac surgery) to 33.8% (peripheral arterial disease procedures) in the emergency surgery group (Figure 1).

---

![Figure 1: Proportion of patients affected by delayed discharge between 2006 and 2016 in Ontario, according to type of surgery performed. Patients with delayed discharge were defined as those with code for alternate level of care. Note: AAA = abdominal aortic aneurysm.](image-url)
From 2006 to 2016, a total of 635,607 hospital days were attributed to delayed discharge for nonmedical reasons, with 519,240 (81.7%) being related to admissions for emergency surgery. Overall, alternate level of care days accounted for 3.6% of total hospital stay after elective surgery (i.e., 116,367 alternate level of care days out of 3,266,409 total days in hospital) and 17.1% of total hospital stay after emergency surgery (i.e., 519,240 alternate level of care days out of 3,038,620 total days in hospital). For elective surgery, the annualized proportion of patients affected by delayed discharge increased from 2006 to 2009 and
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**Figure 2:** Annual (A) and seasonal (B) trends in proportion of patients affected by delayed discharge, defined as those with code for alternate level of care. Seasonal trends are based on combined data from all years.
subsequently plateaued (Figure 2A). In contrast, the annualized proportion of patients affected by delayed discharge for emergency surgery continued to rise throughout the study period. There was little seasonal variation in delayed discharge for patients who underwent emergency surgery, but a small reduction was seen over the summer months and in December for patients who underwent elective surgery (Figure 2B). Hospital days attributed to delayed discharge accounted for a median of 21% to 36% of total hospital days for the various procedures in the elective surgery group and a median of 16% to 42% of total hospital days for the various procedures in the emergency surgery group (Figure 3).

Determinants of delayed discharge

Differences in patient and hospital characteristics between patients who were and were not affected by delayed discharge are summarized in Table 2 for the elective surgery group and in Table 3 for the emergency surgery group. In both cohorts, delayed discharge more commonly affected older patients (for elective surgery, mean age 75 yr for alternate level of care patients v. 67 yr for non–alternate level of care patients; for emergency surgery, mean age 80 yr v. 71 yr), women (for elective surgery, 59.1% v. 51.1%; for emergency surgery, 59.5% v. 47.0%), individuals with greater levels of comorbidity, those residing in urban environments and those from neighbourhoods with lower median household income. Postoperative complications were more common in patients affected by delayed discharge in both the elective surgery group (34.3% v. 13.8%) and the emergency surgery group (29.0% v. 23.9%). Most patients with delayed discharge required home care, long-term care or complex continuing care (89.4% of those in the elective surgery group and 89.4% of those in the emergency surgery group).

Patient and hospital factors associated with delayed discharge, adjusted for patient demographic characteristics, comorbidities, and hospital and surgical covariables (model 1), are summarized in Figure 4 and Appendix 1, Table S4. Among patients in the elective surgery group, increased age (OR 1.07 per year, 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.07–1.07), female sex (OR 1.42, 95% CI 1.37–1.47) and comorbidities (e.g., dementia, OR 3.03, 95% CI 2.69–3.42; diabetes, OR 1.24, 95% 1.20–1.29; chronic kidney disease, OR 1.37, 95% CI 1.25–1.51; and chronic liver disease, OR 1.58, 95% CI 1.31–1.92) were associated with increased adjusted odds of delayed discharge. Conversely, the impact of comorbidities was relatively attenuated in patients who underwent emergency surgery.

Among the surgical procedures, neurosurgery (elective, OR 5.53, 95% CI 4.91–6.24; emergency, OR 1.38, 95% CI 1.29–1.49) and peripheral arterial disease procedures (elective, OR 4.77, 95% CI 4.35–5.24; emergency, OR 3.96, 95% CI 3.72–4.21) were consistently associated with high adjusted odds of delayed discharge.

Figure 3: Proportion of hospital stay (measured in days) attributed to delayed discharge, by type of surgery. The horizontal white line within each bar indicates the median value, and the solid bars below and above this line represent the lower and upper quartiles. Note: AAA = abdominal aortic aneurysm. 
### Table 2 (part 1 of 2): Patient and hospital characteristics for patients with and without delayed discharge after elective surgery

| Variable                                      | Non-ALC  | ALC      | Total     | Std. diff.† |
|-----------------------------------------------|----------|----------|-----------|-------------|
| Group; no. (%) of patients*                  |          |          |           |             |
| **Patient characteristics**                  |          |          |           |             |
| Age, yr, median (IQR)                         | 67 (59–75) | 75 (67–81) | 67 (60–75) | 0.62        |
| Sex, female                                   | 295 358 (51.1) | 10 793 (59.1) | 306 151 (51.4) | 0.16        |
| **Comorbidity**                               |          |          |           |             |
| Atrial fibrillation                           | 22 180 (3.8) | 1663 (9.1)  | 23 843 (4.0) | 0.21        |
| Asthma                                        | 89 085 (15.4) | 3161 (17.3)  | 92 246 (15.5) | 0.05        |
| Coronary artery disease                       | 47 685 (8.3) | 2514 (13.8)  | 50 199 (8.4)  | 0.18        |
| COPD                                          | 124 741 (21.6) | 5230 (28.6)  | 129 971 (21.8) | 0.16        |
| Stroke                                        | 7268 (1.3)  | 613 (3.4)   | 7881 (1.3)   | 0.14        |
| Diabetes mellitus                             | 156 854 (27.2) | 6774 (37.1)  | 163 628 (27.5) | 0.21        |
| Hypertension                                  | 401 546 (69.5) | 14 934 (81.7) | 416 480 (69.9) | 0.29        |
| Chronic liver disease                         | 2383 (0.4)  | 142 (0.8)   | 2525 (0.4)   | 0.05        |
| Myocardial infarction                         | 18 300 (3.2) | 1026 (5.6)   | 19 326 (3.2)  | 0.12        |
| Cancer                                        | 40 034 (6.9) | 1449 (7.9)    | 41 483 (7.0)  | 0.04        |
| Renal disease                                 | 7335 (1.3)  | 729 (4.0)    | 8064 (1.4)   | 0.17        |
| Dementia                                      | 2203 (0.4)  | 460 (2.5)    | 2663 (0.4)   | 0.18        |
| Charlson comorbidity index ≥ 2                | 78 893 (13.7) | 4675 (25.6)  | 83 568 (14.0) | 0.30        |
| Rural residence                               | 93 995 (16.3) | 1774 (9.7)    | 95 769 (16.1) | 0.20        |
| Median neighbourhood income‡                 |          |          |           |             |
| Quintile 1 (lowest)                           | 97 292 (16.9) | 3938 (21.6)  | 101 230 (17.1) | 0.12        |
| Quintile 2                                    | 113 137 (19.7) | 3930 (21.6)  | 117 067 (19.7) | 0.05        |
| Quintile 3                                    | 115 044 (20.0) | 3590 (19.7)  | 118 634 (20.0) | 0.01        |
| Quintile 4                                    | 122 834 (21.3) | 3400 (18.7)  | 126 234 (21.3) | 0.07        |
| Quintile 5 (highest)                          | 127 049 (22.1) | 3352 (18.4)  | 130 401 (22.0) | 0.09        |

### Hospital and surgical characteristics

| Type of surgery                              | Non-ALC  | ALC      | Total     | Std. diff.† |
|----------------------------------------------|----------|----------|-----------|-------------|
| AAA repair                                   | 15 704 (2.7) | 345 (1.9)   | 16 049 (2.7) | 0.06        |
| Joint replacement                            | 346 035 (59.9) | 10 546 (57.7) | 356 581 (59.9) | 0.05        |
| Lower gastrointestinal                       | 69 472 (12.0) | 1487 (8.1)   | 70 959 (11.9)  | 0.13        |
| Nephrectomy                                  | 16 900 (2.9)  | 151 (0.8)    | 17 051 (2.9)   | 0.16        |
| Cardiac                                      | 51 892 (9.0)  | 1687 (9.2)   | 53 579 (9.0)    | 0.01        |
| Lung                                         | 24 847 (4.3)  | 218 (1.2)    | 25 065 (4.2)    | 0.19        |
| Neurosurgery                                 | 7155 (1.2)   | 488 (2.7)    | 7643 (1.3)     | 0.10        |
| Peripheral arterial disease                  | 12 655 (2.2)  | 1303 (7.1)   | 13 958 (2.3)    | 0.24        |
| Spine                                        | 32 845 (5.7)  | 2052 (11.2)  | 34 897 (5.9)    | 0.20        |
| Duration of surgery, min, median (IQR)       | 163 (120–215) | 168 (129–217) | 163 (120–215)  | 0.10        |
| No. of hospital beds, median (IQR)           | 373 (291–513) | 423 (298–527) | 373 (291–513)  | 0.24        |
| Teaching hospital§                           | 195 473 (37.2) | 7431 (44.8)  | 202 904 (37.4) | 0.16        |
| Surgical volume, median (IQR)¶              | 12 663 (7519–17 719) | 13 472 (7859–20 023) | 12 663 (7535–17 719) | 0.10        |

### Perioperative care

| ICU admission ≤ 24 h after surgery§          | Non-ALC  | ALC      | Total     | Std. diff.† |
|----------------------------------------------|----------|----------|-----------|-------------|
| 54 915 (10.4)                                | 3032 (18.3)  | 57 947 (10.7) | 0.22        |
| ICU admission > 24 h after surgery§          | 4775 (0.9)   | 690 (4.2)   | 5465 (1.0)   | 0.21        |
Increasing hospital size (in terms of number of beds) was associated with higher odds of delayed discharge in both surgical groups (Appendix 1, Figure S1). Models for elective and emergency surgery remained unchanged upon addition of postoperative complications (model 2) to the covariates used in model 1, although complications increased the odds of delayed discharge (elective, OR 2.89, 95% CI 2.78–3.00; emergency, OR 1.65, 95% CI 1.60–1.70). The individual admitting hospital was a determinant of patients’ likelihood of delayed discharge, with intraclass coefficients of 11% (median OR 1.8) and 7.7% (median OR 1.6) for the elective and emergency surgery cohorts, respectively.

**Interpretation**

Although most of the patients in this study had undergone elective surgery, we found that delayed discharge was a larger problem for patients who underwent emergency surgery than for those who underwent elective surgery. Delayed discharge after emergency surgery is increasing and exceeds the overall national average of 13%. We found wide variation in risk of delayed discharge between different surgery types. This may be partially attributable to inherent differences in patient case-mix and postoperative care needs, such as mobility concerns for amputees, functional and cognitive changes in neurosurgical patients and rehabilitation needs after orthopedic procedures. The variation may also be explained by differences in care planning between surgery types. For example, patients who undergo transplant or cardiac surgery receive highly organized multidisciplinary care within structured process pathways before and after surgery. This care model is resource-intensive but facilitates efficient and safe patient discharge.

We found that expected patient factors, such as advanced age and chronic disease, were consistently associated with discharge delay in patients undergoing elective surgery, but this association was diminished among patients needing emergency surgery. Patients undergoing emergency surgery have several unique characteristics that affect discharge planning and postoperative recovery. They often present with acute illnesses and physiologic derangement (e.g., sepsis, dehydration, electrolyte abnormalities, altered vital signs, confusion) that require treatment; they are previously unselected for surgery, with possibly higher baseline risk and poor physical functioning; they may receive surgical care from teams that do not routinely perform certain procedures; and they may be placed on wards where staff are less familiar with the provision of postsurgical care, especially during periods of high hospital bed occupancy. These factors are not captured in administrative data and may lead to a deviation from normal care pathways and discharge planning.

We also found that delayed discharge affected larger hospitals and was a greater problem in urban settings. This may be

---

**Table 2 (part 2 of 2): Patient and hospital characteristics for patients with and without delayed discharge after elective surgery**

| Variable | Group; no. (%) of patients* | Std. diff.† |
|----------|-----------------------------|-------------|
|          | Non-ALC | ALC | Total |          |
|          | n = 577 505 | n = 18 277 | n = 595 782 |
| Intra-arterial line | 131 222 (22.7) | 5350 (29.3) | 136 572 (22.9) | 0.15 |
| Central venous line | 21 874 (3.8) | 1028 (5.6) | 22 902 (3.8) | 0.09 |

**Postoperative outcomes**

| Variable | Group; no. (%) of patients* | Std. diff.† |
|----------|-----------------------------|-------------|
|          | Non-ALC | ALC | Total |          |
|          | n = 577 505 | n = 18 277 | n = 595 782 |
| Total hospital stay, d, median (IQR) | 4 (3–6) | 10 (7–17) | 4 (3–6) | 1.57 |
| ALC stay, d, median (IQR) | 0 (0–0) | 3 (2–6) | 0 (0–0) | 0.70 |
| DAH30, d, median (IQR) | 26 (24–27) | 20 (11–23) | 26 (24–27) | 1.46 |
| DAH90, d, median (IQR) | 86 (83–87) | 79 (66–83) | 86 (83–87) | 1.41 |
| DAH180, d, median (IQR) | 175 (173–177) | 167 (151–173) | 175 (172–177) | 1.34 |
| Complication | 79 951 (13.8) | 6274 (34.3) | 86 225 (14.5) | 0.49 |

**Discharge disposition**

| Variable | Group; no. (%) of patients* | Std. diff.† |
|----------|-----------------------------|-------------|
|          | Non-ALC | ALC | Total |          |
|          | n = 577 505 | n = 18 277 | n = 595 782 |
| Home with home care | 214 490 (37.1) | 4189 (22.9) | 218 679 (36.7) | 0.31 |
| Home independently | 293 601 (50.8) | 1433 (7.8) | 295 034 (49.5) | 1.07 |
| Long-term or complex continuing care | 62 146 (10.8) | 12 156 (66.5) | 74 302 (12.5) | 1.40 |
| Other** | 7268 (1.3) | 499 (2.7) | 7767 (1.3) | 0.11 |

Note: AAA = abdominal aortic aneurysm, ALC = alternate level of care, COPD = chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, DAH = days alive and out of hospital, GI = gastrointestinal, ICU = intensive care unit, IQR = interquartile range, PAD = peripheral arterial disease, std. diff. = standardized difference.

*Except where indicated otherwise.
†All comparisons between ALC and non-ALC patients were significant (p < 0.001).
‡Income data were missing for 2216 patients: 2149 in the non-ALC group and 67 in the ALC group.
§For these variables, data were available for 542 303 patients: 525 613 in the non-ALC group and 16 590 in the ALC group.
¶Over the entire study period.
**“Other” includes hospice, palliative care, interhospital transfer and left against medical advice.
Table 3 (part 1 of 2): Patient and hospital characteristics for patients with and without delayed discharge after emergency surgery

| Variable                                           | Group; no. (%) of patients*                                                                 |
|----------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                                                   | Non-ALC \( n = 145 \, 033 \) | ALC \( n = 35 \, 445 \) | Total \( n = 180 \, 478 \) | Std. diff.† |
| **Patient characteristics**                       |                                                                                             |
| Age, yr, median (IQR)                              | 71 (60–81)                                                                                 | 80 (71–86)               | 73 (62–83)   | 0.57        |
| Sex, female                                        | 68 \, 200 (47.0)                                                                           | 21 \, 081 (59.5)         | 89 \, 281 (49.5) | 0.25        |
| **Comorbidity**                                    |                                                                                             |
| Atrial fibrillation                                | 14 \, 054 (9.7)                                                                            | 4921 (13.9)              | 18 \, 975 (10.5) | 0.13        |
| Asthma                                             | 19 \, 991 (13.8)                                                                           | 5325 (15.0)              | 25 \, 316 (14.0) | 0.04        |
| Coronary artery disease                            | 35 \, 213 (24.3)                                                                           | 5461 (15.4)              | 40 \, 674 (22.5) | 0.22        |
| COPD                                               | 39 \, 013 (26.9)                                                                           | 11 \, 309 (31.9)         | 50 \, 322 (27.9) | 0.11        |
| Stroke                                             | 5427 (3.7)                                                                                 | 2136 (6.0)               | 7563 (4.2)    | 0.11        |
| Diabetes mellitus                                  | 49 \, 836 (34.4)                                                                           | 13 \, 311 (37.6)         | 63 \, 147 (35.0) | 0.07        |
| Hypertension                                       | 106 \, 934 (73.7)                                                                         | 28 \, 869 (81.4)         | 135 \, 803 (75.2) | 0.19        |
| Chronic liver disease                              | 32\,37 (2.2)                                                                              | 779 (2.2)                | 4016 (2.2)    | 0           |
| Myocardial infarction                              | 20 \, 252 (14.0)                                                                           | 2881 (8.1)               | 23 \, 133 (12.8) | 0.19        |
| Cancer                                             | 14 \, 697 (10.1)                                                                           | 3278 (9.2)               | 17 \, 975 (10.0) | 0.03        |
| Renal disease                                      | 9656 (6.7)                                                                                 | 2702 (7.6)               | 12 \, 358 (6.8) | 0.04        |
| Dementia                                           | 7493 (5.2)                                                                                 | 3263 (9.2)               | 10 \, 756 (6.0) | 0.16        |
| Charlson comorbidity index ≥ 2                     | 49 \, 777 (34.3)                                                                           | 13 \, 197 (37.2)         | 62 \, 974 (34.9) | 0.06        |
| Rural residence                                    | 18 \, 055 (12.5)                                                                           | 2210 (6.2)               | 20 \, 265 (11.2) | 0.21        |
| Median neighbourhood income†                       |                                                                                             |
| Quintile 1 (lowest)                                | 30 \, 140 (20.9)                                                                           | 8512 (24.1)              | 38 \, 652 (21.5) | 0.08        |
| Quintile 2                                         | 29 \, 589 (20.5)                                                                           | 7364 (20.9)              | 36 \, 953 (20.6) | 0.01        |
| Quintile 3                                         | 28 \, 420 (19.7)                                                                           | 6513 (18.5)              | 34 \, 933 (19.5) | 0.03        |
| Quintile 4                                         | 28 \, 947 (20.1)                                                                           | 6402 (18.2)              | 35 \, 349 (19.7) | 0.05        |
| Quintile 5 (highest)                               | 27 \, 170 (18.8)                                                                           | 6480 (18.4)              | 33 \, 650 (18.7) | 0.01        |
| **Hospital and surgical characteristics**          |                                                                                             |
| Type of surgery                                    |                                                                                             |
| AAA repair                                         | 3018 (2.1)                                                                                 | 255 (0.7)                | 3273 (1.8)    | 0.12        |
| Hip fixation                                       | 16 \, 825 (11.6)                                                                          | 7331 (20.7)              | 24 \, 156 (13.4) | 0.25        |
| Joint replacement                                   | 31 \, 064 (21.4)                                                                          | 14 \, 156 (39.9)         | 45 \, 220 (25.1) | 0.41        |
| Lower gastrointestinal                              | 27 \, 927 (19.3)                                                                          | 3363 (9.5)               | 31 \, 290 (17.3) | 0.28        |
| Transplant                                         | 7590 (5.2)                                                                                 | 350 (1.0)                | 7940 (4.4)    | 0.25        |
| Cardiac                                           | 32 \, 164 (22.2)                                                                          | 1269 (3.6)               | 33 \, 433 (18.5) | 0.58        |
| Neurosurgery                                       | 11 \, 838 (8.2)                                                                           | 1976 (5.6)               | 13 \, 814 (7.7) | 0.10        |
| Peripheral arterial disease                        | 8085 (5.6)                                                                                 | 4135 (11.7)              | 12 \, 220 (6.8) | 0.22        |
| Spine                                             | 6522 (4.5)                                                                                 | 2610 (7.4)               | 9132 (5.1)    | 0.12        |
| Trauma admission                                   | 48 \, 603 (33.5)                                                                          | 21 \, 745 (61.3)         | 70 \, 348 (39.0) | 0.58        |
| Duration of surgery, min, median (IQR)             | 165 (102–253)                                                                             | 118 (86–173)             | 151 (98–241)  | 0.46        |
| No. of hospital beds, median (IQR)                 | 317 (211–423)                                                                             | 291 (209–380)            | 310 (211–419) | 0.14        |
| Teaching hospital§                                  | 49 \, 561 (43.9)                                                                          | 13 \, 529 (39.6)         | 63 \, 090 (42.9) | 0.09        |
| Surgical volume, median (IQR)§                     | 4842 (2750–9138)                                                                          | 3904 (2481–7708)         | 4242 (2605–9 138) | 0.23        |
| **Perioperative care**                             |                                                                                             |
| ICU admission ≤ 24 h after surgery§                 | 20 \, 401 (18.1)                                                                          | 4998 (14.6)              | 25 \, 399 (17.3) | 0.09        |
due to more patients living in urban environments, the location of specialist surgical services and a possible mismatch between the surgical population and availability of chronic care beds in different health care regions. The higher intraclass coefficient and median OR values indicate that the probability of the alternate level of care designation was partially driven by the particular hospitals to which patients were admitted. This effect is likely attributable to variation in postoperative care practices and efficiency regarding discharge of patients.

Delayed discharge has wide health system implications for timely access to acute care beds, including increases in recommended wait times for elective surgery, cancellation of planned surgical procedures and longer wait times for acutely ill patients in emergency departments who need a hospital bed. Many hospitals running at high occupancy rates experience “gridlock” in patient flow, leading to delivery of care in unsafe environments (i.e., “hallway medicine”). For patients, delayed discharge increases the risk of nosocomial infections and pressure sores and slows mobilization, all of which have downstream consequences for inpatient and outpatient services. These concerns are seen in many publicly funded health care systems, but are also important for self-paying or Medicare/Medicaid patients in the United States.

In our study, most patients affected by delayed discharge required continuing care services in the community from home care or in facilities that offer skilled nursing care. Timely access to continuing care facilities is essential to reduce delayed discharge but is challenged by rising demand and longer wait lists for long-term care homes, as well as by the limited availability of home care. Health policy leaders can promote safer and faster hospital discharge by increasing the availability of community care services. Early discharge planning to assess and implement surgery- and patient-specific care requirements to aid recovery could also prevent delayed discharge. Evidence indicates that discharge planning should commence early, possibly even before hospitalization for acute care, with the benefits of shortening hospital stays, preventing hospital readmission and improving patient satisfaction. The introduction of integrated care pathways that incorporate access to rehabilitation facilities after elective hip and knee surgery has led to progress in this area.

Important strengths of our study were the use of population-level databases that have tracked delayed discharge information within Canadian hospitals for many years and use of a homogeneous patient cohort. By comparison, prior research has involved single-centre studies that used nonstandardized local or insurer-based definitions of prolonged hospitalization for

| Table 3 (part 2 of 2): Patient and hospital characteristics for patients with and without delayed discharge after emergency surgery |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Variable        | Non-ALC         | ALC             | Total           |
|                 | Group; no. (%)  | Group; no. (%)  | Group; no. (%)  |
|                 | n = 145 033    | n = 35 445      | n = 180 478     |
| ICU admission > 24 h after surgery § | 2456 (2.2)  | 978 (2.9)       | 3434 (2.3)      | 0.21 |
| Intra-arterial line | 44 407 (30.6)  | 8998 (25.4)     | 53 405 (29.6)   | 0.12 |
| Central venous line | 15 516 (10.7)  | 2126 (6.0)      | 17 642 (9.8)    | 0.17 |
| **Postoperative outcomes**         |                |                |                |
| Total hospital stay, d, median (IQR) | 9 (6–15)       | 20 (12–38)     | 10 (7–18)       | 1.06 |
| ALC stay, d, median (IQR)           | 0 (0–0)        | 6 (3–14)       | 0 (0–0)         | 12.5 |
| DAH30, d, median (IQR)              | 21 (11–25)     | 11 (0–20)      | 20 (7–24)       | 0.77 |
| DAH90, d, median (IQR)              | 80 (62–84)     | 66 (27–78)     | 78 (54–84)      | 0.68 |
| DAH180, d, median (IQR)             | 169 (139–174)  | 148 (70–167)   | 166 (120–173)   | 0.64 |
| Complication                    | 34 618 (23.9)  | 10 272 (29.0)  | 44 890 (24.9)   | 0.22 |
| **Discharge disposition**          |                |                |                |
| Home with home care               | 37 537 (25.9)  | 5089 (14.4)    | 42 626 (23.6)   | 0.29 |
| Home independently               | 55 435 (38.2)  | 1438 (4.1)     | 56 873 (31.5)   | 0.92 |
| Long-term or complex continuing care | 37 145 (25.6) | 26 661 (75.2) | 63 806 (35.4)   | 1.14 |
| Other**                           | 14 916 (10.3)  | 2257 (6.4)     | 17 173 (9.5)    | 0.14 |

Note: AAA = abdominal aortic aneurysm, ALC = alternate level of care, COPD = chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, DAH = days alive and out of hospital, GI = gastrointestinal, ICU = intensive care unit, IQR = interquartile range, PAD = peripheral arterial disease, std. diff. = standardized difference.

*Except where indicated otherwise.
†All comparisons between ALC and non-ALC patients were significant (p < 0.001), except for chronic liver disease (p = 0.7).
‡Income data were missing for 941 patients: 767 in the non-ALC group and 174 in the ALC group.
§For these variables, data were available for 147 045 patients: 112 869 in the non-ALC group and 34 176 in the ALC group.
¶Over the entire study period.
**“Other” includes hospice, palliative care, interhospital transfer and left against medical advice.
nonmedical reasons. Prior studies showed differing rates of delayed discharge: 7% in a mixed medical–surgical cohort in France,35 21% in a British vascular surgery study36 and 5% among trauma patients in a US hospital.6

Limitations
We note the following limitations for this study. Patient misclassification was possible because the code for alternate level of care may be applied to patients who cannot be moved between hospital departments. However, validation studies have shown that the alternate level of care code largely captures patients waiting for transfer to community care settings.1,37

The designation of alternate level of care is a clinical responsibility. Although there is good agreement between charts and databases in terms of recording alternate level of care, the initial recording of this status must take place, which raises the possibility of underreporting; if so, the true effects are likely to have been greater than what is reported here. CIHI data have shown that about 8% of patients with prolonged stays who were discharged to a continuing care facility were not designated as alternate level of care.1

Our retrospective study was open to residual confounding because the data sources lacked physiologic variables (e.g., heart rate, blood pressure) that could have provided more information about severity of illness.

Figure 4: Forest plots of selected covariables associated with the outcome of delayed discharge (binary outcome) for elective and emergency surgery. (A) The upper figures show patient characteristics, including comorbidities. For age, the OR is per year. (B) The lower figures show types of surgery and hospital characteristics. The range of the x axis is different for each figure. Note: AAA = abdominal aortic aneurysm, ALC = alternate level of care, CAD = coronary artery disease, CI = confidence interval, COPD = chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, CKD = chronic kidney disease, CLD = chronic liver disease, OR = odds ratio, PAD = peripheral arterial disease.
We examined delayed discharge at a population level rather than looking at differences among hospitals, and rates of delayed discharge may vary among health regions, given the associated differences in availability of post-acute care community resources. Further examination of this possible interhospital variation will require geospatial studies and detailed data on the supply of local community services.

Given that we studied patients who required a minimum hospital admission of 2 days, our observations may have been affected by survivorship bias. Our aim, however, was to create a clinically representative patient cohort that would likely be most affected by delayed nonmedical discharge. We did not aim to examine differences in discharge planning between surgical specialties, which may explain, in part, the observed differences in rates of delayed discharge. While important, such information is not captured by available databases and would require evaluation using a qualitative study.

Conclusion

Delay in discharge from hospital for nonmedical reasons was higher after emergency surgery than after elective surgery, and rates of delayed discharge varied across surgery type. Both patient- and hospital-level factors were associated with delayed discharge, including older age, female sex, chronic disease burden and larger hospital size. Optimizing discharge planning before or at the time of admission, evaluating the variation in delayed discharge at the hospital level and improving local access to community care services could be next steps in addressing this problem.
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