1-calcium phosphate-uracil inhibits intraperitoneal metastasis by suppressing FAK in epithelial ovarian cancer
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ABSTRACT
The high mortality of epithelial ovarian cancer (EOC) is primarily due to vast intraperitoneal dissemination. 1-calcium phosphate-uracil (1-CP-U) has previously shown the function of inhibiting migration and invasion in multiple tumor cell lines. In this study, we further assessed the possible role of 1-CP-U in suppressing the peritoneal metastasis of EOC cells. First, we demonstrated that 1-CP-U had an inhibitory effect on EOC cells in cell-matrix adhesion, migration and invasion assay in vitro. Within the in vivo model, animals were intraperitoneally inoculated with SKOV3-Luc cells and then 1-CP-U intraperitoneal (i.p.) injection was performed every 5 d for a total of 3 wk. At the 7th d, omenta from each group were analyzed with luciferase activity and bioluminescence imaging assay, which showed a significant reduction of luciferase activity in the omenta from 1-CP-U group. In addition, the rest mice continued treatment and consistent detection of bioluminescence imaging. The data indicated that intraperitoneal metastatic nodules were less-developed in 1-CP-U group. Peritoneal metastatic tumor nodules were detected for immunofluorescent staining, which showed a reduction in FAK and p-FAK staining with 1-CP-U treatment group. Meanwhile, expressions of FAK and its downstream signaling were detected by western blot in tumor tissues and EOC cell lines, which showed significant decreases in the 1-CP-U treatment group. In conclusion, 1-CP-U had a profound inhibitory effect on adhesion, invasion and metastasis of EOC in vitro and suppressed intraperitoneal dissemination and cancer growth in vivo assay, which was associated with inhibition on the FAK pathway.
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Introduction
Ovarian cancer is the most lethal of the gynecologic cancers, which ranks eighth for both incidence and mortality worldwide for women produced by the International Agency for Research on Cancer [1]. In 2018, 22,240 new cases and 14,070 deaths of ovarian cancer were estimated in the United States [2]. Above age 40, more than 90% are epithelial ovarian cancer (EOC) and the risk increases with age, peaking in the late 70s [3]. Patients may appear asymptomatic in the early stages; thus, 70–75% of them are often diagnosed after cancer has circulated throughout the peritoneal cavity [4,5]. However, effective treatments are limited and little progress has been made in cancer prevention or containment of primary tumors from metastasizing [6]. Therefore, there is a need for new anticancer drugs to prevent the primary tumor from spreading.

EOC expands via direct extension of cancer cells from the primary tumor into the peritoneum-covered surfaces and organs of the peritoneal and pelvic cavity with the peritoneal fluid flow [7]. Subsequently, cancer cells adhere to peritoneal tissues; then, migrate into the sub-mesothelial matrix and finally form secondary lesions [4,8]. The peritoneum is comprised of a single layer of mesothelial cells and its associated underlying extracellular matrix (ECM), which cover the vast surface of the peritoneal and pelvic cavity. Omentum, containing abundant milky spots where the stromal matrix is exposed, is the most common site for EOC metastatic spread [9,10].

Attachment of cancer cells to the sub-mesothelial ECM, mainly mediated by integrins, is the initial stage of peritoneal metastasis [10,11], which subsequently triggers the autophosphorylation of focal adhesion kinase (FAK) [12]. After autophosphorylation at Tyr397, FAK then initiates a cascade of phosphorylation events and new protein–protein interactions to stimulate tumor progression and metastasis through their regulation of cell migration, invasion, epithelial-cell communication, and angiogenesis [13].
mesenchymal transition (EMT) and angiogenesis [13]. Numerous studies have shown that increased FAK and p-FAK expression are frequently correlated with malignant or metastatic disease and poor patient prognosis in different tumors [14–17]. Thus, inhibiting FAK has become a hotly pursued approach to treat tumor metastasis in recent years [18].

1-calcium phosphate-uracil (1-CP-U), a novel synthetic pyrimidine derivative, has been documented to demonstrate a variety of different biological activities [19]. Our previous study has documented for the first time the function of 1-CP-U in tumor proliferation, apoptosis and invasion with specific effects against a series of malignant tumors originating in different organs in vitro, including EOC cells [19]. We also observed that 1-CP-U appeared to be more effective at inhibiting cell migration and invasion than inducing apoptosis. In the present study, we further performed a series of experiments employing in vitro and in vivo models to assess the possible role of 1-CP-U in suppressing the peritoneal metastasis of EOC cells. Our results demonstrated that 1-CP-U had an inhibitory effect on the adhesion and invasion of EOC cells possibly though suppressing FAK signaling pathway. 1-CP-U inhibited the adhesion, migration and invasion of EOC cells in vitro. In addition, 1-CP-U suppressed EOC metastasis into the omentum, as well as reduced intraperitoneal dissemination and cancer growth in vivo assay. Those effects were noted together with a reduction in FAK and its downstream signaling.

**Materials and methods**

**Cell lines and culture**

Human ovarian cancer cell line SKOV3, HEY, OVCAR3 and Caov3 were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA, USA). They were maintained in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM; Gibco-BRL, Carlsbad, CA, USA) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS; HyClone, Logan, UT, USA) and 100 U/ml penicillin and streptomycin, and were cultured at 37°C in a 5% CO₂ incubator. The SKOV3 was stably transected with the lentiviral vectors carrying a luciferase protein sequence (CMV-Luciferase-2A-Puromycin, Clontech) and selected by puromycin (2 μg/ml) for 48 h.

**Chemotherapeutic drug and antibodies**

1-CP-U, generously provided by Ms. Qizhi Ning, was diluted to the required concentrations in conditional medium and then stored at 4°C according to the reported procedure [19]. Matrigel was purchased from Corning, USA (356234). The antibody to FAK (ab131435) and p-FAK Tyr397 (ab81298) were from Abcam, UK. p-Src Tyr416 antibody (6943) and paxillin antibody (12065) were purchased from Cell Signaling Technology, MA, USA. Anti-β-actin, goat anti-mouse and anti-rabbit secondary antibodies were from Boster Biological Technology (CA, USA).

**Cell-matrix adhesion assay**

The cell adhesion assay was based on an established method [20]. Briefly, the solution containing Matrigel (3mg/ml) was added to each well of a 96-well tissue culture plate. This was allowed to air-dry, after which the gel was rehydrated. The EOC cells (SKOV3, HEY, OVCAR3 and Caov3) were subjected to 1-CP-U (0.7, 1.0 and 1.4μmol/l) for 24h. The control group was incubated with an identical volume of drug-free dilute solution. Then, tumor cells were harvested, resuspended at a concentration of 1 × 10⁵ cells/ml and 200 µl was added to each well. After incubating at 37°C for 1 h, the non-adherent cells were gently washed off. The adherent cells were fixed using a 4% paraformaldehyde solution for 30 min and then stained with 0.5% crystal violet. The number of adherent cells were then counted under a microscope and expressed as the cell number per high power field.

**Wound-healing migration assay**

Wound-healing assay was performed as described previously [21]. The cell motility was measured by a wound-healing assay. Initially, equal numbers of tumor cells were allowed to grow in six-well plates overnight. The next day, the cells were scraped with pipette tips and washed with PBS. The cells were then treated with or without the 1-CP-U (1.0 μmol/l) under starvation. After 24-h incubation, the cells migrated into the wound surface were recorded under an inverted microscope, and the average distance of migrating cells was calculated by Photoshop.
Cell invasion assay

1x10^5 cells were seeded onto the upper part of a Matrigel-coated polycarbonate membrane filter (pore size, 8 µm) in 24-well inserts from Merck Millipore, German. Medium without serum was supplemented into the upper well in the absence or presence of 1.0 µmol/l 1-CP-U and medium containing 10% FBS was supplemented into the lower well. After 24-h incubation at 37°C with 5% CO_2_, the filters were stained with crystal violet. Five random fields were counted per chamber using an inverted microscope and then quantified.

In vivo studies in a peritoneal metastatic model

All animal experiments were approved by the Institutional Use and Care of Animals Committee and conducted according to the approved animal protocol of the Animal Centre of Tongji University. The nude mice (BALB/cAnSlac-nu/nu) were provided by the Shanghai SLAC Laboratory Animal Co., Ltd (Shanghai, China). In a preliminary experiment, 5–6 wk old female mice were equally distributed into control and experimental groups (five mice each for a total of four groups). Animals were intra-peritoneally inoculated with SKOV3-Luc cells (3x10^6 cells in 200 µl PBS) prior to treatment. and then received an i.p. injection of 100, 200 and 400 mg/kg of 1-CP-U every 5 d for a total of 3-wk treatment after tumor inoculation. When the animals were terminally sacrificed, peritoneal metastatic tumor nodules were dissected, recorded, weighed and prepared for Haematoxylin & Eosin (H&E) staining, immunofluorescent analysis and western blot. Body weight change of mice was recorded during the process to analyze the systemic toxicity of 1-CP-U.

Luciferase assay

About 50–100 mg omenta tissues were dissected and lysed with 500 µL passive lysis buffer (Promega, Madison, Wisconsin, USA). After centrifugation at 12,000 rpm for 10 min at 4°C, the supernatant was collected. Luciferase activity was measured using the Luciferase Assay System (Promega, E1500) according to the manufacturer’s instructions in a luminometer (Molecular Devices, SpectraMax M5).

Luminescence imaging

A nude mouse (∼25 g) was first anesthetized using 100 µl of chloral hydrate (4%). Then, D-luciferin (125 mg/g, Promega) in PBS (pH 7.4) was intra-peritoneally injected 10 min prior to bioluminescent imaging in order to provide substrate for the luciferase-expressing cancer cells. The peritoneal tumor engraftment was imaged by the in vivo imaging system (NightOWL LB983; Berthold Technologies, Germany). Fluorescent signals (expressed in counts/s) from the images were calculated and all images were analyzed using the IndiGo software provided with the in vivo imaging system (Berthold Technologies).

Immunofluorescent staining of FAK and p-FAK

Tumor tissues from the in vivo peritoneal metastasis were fixed overnight in 10% formalin and subsequently processed and embedded in paraffin. The thickness of 4 µm sections was air-dried and then fixed in a mixture of 50% acetone and 50% methanol. After rehydrating, sections were incubated for 20 min in 10% goat serum of blocking solution and probed with the specific antibodies to FAK and p-FAK with a 1:100 concentration at 4°C overnight. The sections were first washed with PBS and then incubated with FITC-conjugated secondary antibodies (Sigma-Aldrich, German) for 1 h at 37°C. Then, nuclei were stained with DAPI. The slides were finally visualized under an Olympus BX51 fluorescence microscope at x400 objective magnification.
**Immunoblot analyses**

For immunoblotting analyses of murine tissues, tumor masses extracted from the *in vivo* models were prepared, and protein concentrations were determined using Bio-Rad protein assays (Bio-Rad, CA, USA). Then, proteins were separated in 10% SDS-PAGE and transferred onto PVDF membranes. The primary antibody was diluted and the membrane was incubated at 4°C overnight with the following antibodies: FAK (1:1000), p-FAK (1:1000), p-Src (1:1000) and paxillin (1:1000). After membranes were incubated with the secondary antibodies, visual detection was performed using the enhanced chemiluminescence method (Merck Millipore, German).

The SKOV3 and HEY cells were treated with 0.7 and 1.0 µmol/l of 1-CP-U at different incubation time points (6 h and 24 h), while the control group was incubated with an identical volume of drug-free dilute solution at different incubation time points (6 h and 24 h) corresponding to the treatment group. When the protein expressions of FAK, p-FAK, p-Src and paxillin expressions were detected, no significant difference was found between 6-h and 24-h control group. Based on this, we choose the 24-h one as the control group in immunoblot analyses. Whole cellular protein was extracted and protein concentration was determined. Then, the rest of the procedure was similar to that used on tissue protein. Finally, total lane intensities were determined using Image J software (Inc. Germany).

**Statistical analysis**

The results are expressed as the mean ± standard deviation and statistically compared by one-way analysis of variance test or unpaired Student’s t-test in different experiments. *P* < 0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

**Results**

**1-CP-U inhibits the adhesion of EOC cells in vitro**

In order to address the effect of this compound on adhesion of EOC cells to Matrigel-coated surface, four types of EOC cells (SKOV3, HEY, OVCAR3 and Caov3) were subjected to 1-CP-U (0.7, 1.0 and 1.4 µmol/l) for 24 h, and then the efficiency of their adhesion to Matrigel was examined (Figure 1(a)). The results showed that 1-CP-U significantly inhibited the adhesion of SKOV3, HEY, OVCAR3 and Caov3 cell lines at the concentration of 1.0 µmol/l (48.26 ± 6.47, 53.27 ± 7.04, 47.93 ± 10.02 and 43.98 ± 13.86%, respectively; *P* < 0.001) and in the 1.4 µmol/l groups (19.90 ± 6.97, 26.63 ± 6.03, 21.76 ± 10.33 and 18.80 ± 14.56%, respectively; *P* < 0.001), whereas 0.7 µmol/l of 1-CP-U only inhibited adhesion of HEY and OVCAR3 cell lines (67.34 ± 7.04%, *P* < 0.001; 92.14 ± 9.62%, *P* = 0.043, respectively) (Figure 1(b)), which indicated that 1-CP-U concentration-dependently suppressed adhesion to Matrigel of EOC cells.

**1-CP-U inhibits ovarian cancer cell migration and invasion in vitro.**

It has been shown in our previous study [19] that 1-CP-U markedly suppressed the migration and invasion in multiple types of cell lines including EOC cells. In this research, we repeated metastasis-related assays which found that 1.0 µmol/l of 1-CP-U significantly decreased the migration (Figure 2(a)) and invasion (Figure 2(b)) potential of SKOV3 and HEY cells. The ratio of the migration distance in the treatment group to that in the corresponding control group was 37.20% and 47.03% for SKOV3 and HEY cells, respectively (*P* < 0.001) (Figure 2(c)). The number of SKOV3 passing through the Matrigel in the 1.0 µmol/l group was 62.29% of the negative control group (*P* = 0.023), while the number for HEY was 56.30% of control group (*P* < 0.001) (Figure 2(d)). Of note, 1-CP-U markedly inhibited SKOV3 and HEY migration and invasion at the level of 1.0 µmol/l.

**1-CP-U inhibits the EOC adhesion to omenta in vivo assays**

To determine the optimal therapeutic dose of *i.p.* 1-CP-U, we first performed a preliminary experiment, in which 5–6 wk old female mice were equally distributed into control and experimental groups (five mice each for a total of four groups), and then received an *i.p.* injection of 100, 200 and 400 mg/kg of 1-CP-U every 5 d for a total of 3-wk treatment after tumor inoculation. When the animals were
terminally sacrificed, peritoneal metastatic tumor nodules were recorded and weighed (Figure 3(a)). There was no noticeable difference in the reduction of tumor weight between 100 mg/kg of 1-CP-U treated group and control group (1.72 ± 0.67 g vs. 1.88 ± 0.98 g; \( P = 0.978 \)), whereas the mice injected with 200 and 400 mg/kg of 1-CP-U showed a significant reduction in weight of tumors (0.66 ± 0.23 g vs. 1.88 ± 0.98 g, \( P = 0.036 \); 0.57 ± 0.16 vs. 1.88 ± 0.98 g, \( P = 0.023 \) (Figure 3(b)). However, notable weight loss was observed in 400 mg/kg of 1-CP-U group mice throughout the course of treatment, which indicates the systemic toxicity of 1-CP-U at the high concentration of 400 mg/kg (Figure 3(c)). Therefore, we chose i.p. injection of 200 mg/kg 1-CP-U in this procedure, which not only reduced intraperitoneal dissemination but also had no noticeable difference in the body weight change. Figure 3(d) shows the experimental schedule of the suppressive effect of 1-CP-U on EOC adhesion to omentum in vivo. 5–6 wk old female mice were equally distributed into control and experimental groups of 16 mice each for a total of 32 mice. It was at first accurately quantified by luciferase assays using SKOV3-Luc cells. After 7 d treatment of 1-CP-U injection i.p. into mice (200mg/kg), the omentum was excised by cutting at its base. Figure 3(e) shows the view of area with murine omentum and...
surrounding organs. The omentum was located in the peritoneal cavity between the stomach, pancreas and the spleen. Although analogous to the human omentum in composition and tissue architecture, the mouse omentum consisted of a single ribbon of fat attached to the pancreas (Figure 3(f)). Sections of isolated omenta were subjected to H&E staining, which confirmed the colonization of tumor cells on the omentum (Figure 3(g)). The luciferase activity in the omentum of 1-CP-U group was lower than that in the control group ($5.18 \times 10^3$ vs. $8.53 \times 10^3$ RLU; $P < 0.001$) (Figure 3(h)). Subsequently, the suppression of EOC cells adhesion to the omentum was further examined in the bioluminescence imaging system. Omentum was excised 10 min after the D-luciferin injections, and bioluminescence image was captured (Figure 3(i)). The luciferase activity in the omentum treated with 1-CP-U was significantly lower than that of control group ($6.97 \times 10^4$ vs. $14.24 \times 10^4$ cps; $P = 0.007$) (Figure 3(j)). These studies indicated that treatment with 1.0 µmol/l of 1-CP-U significantly decreased the ability of SKOV3-Luc cells to colonize mouse omentum in vivo as compared to control group.

1-CP-U reduces intraperitoneal dissemination and inhibits the tumor growth in vivo assay

3x10⁶ SKOV3-Luc cells were resuspended in 200µl PBS and injected into the abdomen of 5-6 wk old female Athymic Nude mice. Real-time tracking of tumor metastasis and growth were monitored by bioluminescent imaging and analyzed by detection of overall luciferase activity at day 7, 14 and 21 (Figure 4(a)). As for luciferase activity detection (Figure 4(b)), the two groups had no difference during the first 7 d. 1-CP-U appeared to exhibit a little inhibition effect after 2 wk although this is not statistically significant ($14.47\times10^4$ vs. $18.61 \times 10^4$ cps; $P = 0.111$). Moreover, 1-CP-U had a marked decrease to luciferase activity which achieved statistical significance
(18.90×10^4 vs. 35.26 × 10^4 cps; *P = 0.023) at the 3rd wk. Those data indicated that intraperitoneal metastatic nodules were less-developed in 1-CP-U group, and it took 3 wk to reach a significant inhibitory effect compared with the control group. Murine peritoneal metastatic locations of involved organs could be visually observed by the luminescence imaging under dissection exposure (Figure 4(c)). To improve visualization, the metastatic tumor nodules and involved organs were dissected. The control group of mice had multiple locations of metastatic nodules on the liver, pancreas, colon, mesentery or even spleen, whereas the metastatic nodules of treatment group were mainly on the colon and pancreas (Figure 4(d)), and histological analysis was performed by H&E stain (Figure 4(e)). Furthermore, mice injected with 1-CP-U showed a significant reduction in the weight of tumors (0.84 ± 0.52 g vs. 1.73±0.82 g; **P < 0.001) (Figure 4(f)). During the treatment, there was no noticeable difference in the body weight
change between 1-CP-U treated and control mice (Figure 4(g)). In addition, no histological abnormalities were observed in the major organs such as the heart, liver, kidney, pancreas and colon of the 1-CP-U treated mice, indicating no sign of systemic toxicity (Figure 4(h)).

**1-CP-U suppressed the metastasis potential of EOC cells by inhibiting FAK**

After 1-CP-U i.p. treatment for 3 wk, animals were terminally sacrificed, peritoneal metastatic tumor nodules were dissected and prepared for immunofluorescent staining. Tumor cells stained strongly for total FAK in control group, whereas tumors from mice which received 1-CP-U showed a marked reduction in FAK staining (Figure 5(a)). Similar to total FAK, a reduction in p-FAK staining was noted in tumors from mice that received treatment.

We further evaluated the effect of 1-CP-U on FAK and its downstream signaling in murine tumor tissues by western blot. For quantification of immunoblotted membranes, the band intensity values for each protein are detected (mean ± SD, n = 3). With the treatment of 1-CP-U i.p., the protein expressions of FAK, p-FAK,
p-Src and paxillin expressions were all significantly decreased (Figure 5(b)).

Subsequently, SKOV3 and HEY cells were treated with 0.7 and 1.0 μmol/l of 1-CP-U for indicated time. As shown in Figure 5(c), 1-CP-U suppressed the FAK, p-FAK, p-Src and paxillin expressions in a time- and dose-dependent manner, which implied 1-CP-U inhibited the metastasis potential of EOC cells by inhibiting FAK signaling pathway.

Discussion
1-calcium phosphate-uracil (1-CP-U) is a synthetic uracil derivative, which is a pyrimidine-containing
compound and has been suggested to demonstrate a wide range of highly selective functions [19]. Our previous studies have documented a broad spectrum of anti-cancer activities of 1-CP-U against a number of different human tumor cell lines [19]. We also observed that 1-CP-U appeared to be more effective at inhibiting cell migration and invasion than inducing apoptosis [19]. In the present study, we demonstrated that 1-CP-U had an inhibitory effect on the adhesion of SKOV3, HEY, OVCAR3 and Caov3 cells. Moreover, 1-CP-U inhibited the migration and invasion of SKOV3 and HEY cells in vitro. Then, the luciferase activity and bioluminescence imaging assay were performed to find that 1-CP-U suppressed EOC metastasis into the omentum in vivo assay. Meanwhile, 1-CP-U reduced intraperitoneal dissemination and cancer growth of SKOV3-Luc cells by real-time tracking of tumor metastasis and growth monitored by bioluminescent imaging. Furthermore, mice injected with 1-CP-U showed a significant reduction in the weight of tumors, whereas there was no noticeable difference in the body weight change between 1-CP-U treated and control mice, and no histological abnormalities were observed in the major organs such as the heart, liver, kidney, pancreas, colon, and small intestine of the 1-CP-U-treated mice. Finally, expression of FAK and its downstream signaling were detected in tumor tissues and EOC cell lines, which showed significant decreases in the 1-CP-U treatment group.

Over the years, several randomized clinical trials have assessed the different infusion strategies of chemotherapy (CHT) in advanced ovarian cancer management. However, because of treatment heterogeneity, the results regarding survival rates are mostly conflicting. Recently, a meta-analysis based only on randomized clinical trials evaluated the efficacy and toxicity of intravenous chemotherapy (i.v.-CHT) and intraperitoneal chemotherapy (i.p.-CHT) and identified differences in outcomes [22]. They found that i.p.-CHT allowed an improvement of both overall survival (OS) (HR 0.79, 95% CI 0.67–0.92) and progression-free survival (PFS) (HR 0.88, 95% CI 0.80–0.98) over i.v.-CHT in advanced OC management. With regard to toxicities, i.v. plus i.p. chemotherapy is more toxic than i.v. alone. However, that is quite unusual for patients receiving both i.p. and i.v. to complete all cycles of chemotherapy, and toxicity is not enough to abandon the IP route of administration because of the increased survival outcomes. Therefore, it is crucial to find a balance between right effective doses and tolerable toxicity. Effective and safe delivery of intraperitoneal chemotherapy might represent the right choice and should be offered as a treatment option. In our study, 1-CP-U i.p. injection was performed every 5 d for a total of 3 wk. And we first assessed the suppression of EOC adhesion to the omentum and then in the real-time tracking of tumor metastasis and growth monitored by bioluminescent imaging, 1-CP-U was found reduce intraperitoneal dissemination and cancer growth of SKOV3-Luc cells. Therefore, we conclude that 1-CP-U i.p. injection suppressed intraperitoneal dissemination and cancer growth in vivo assay. More importantly, no difference in body weight changes or histological abnormalities was observed, indicating no systemic toxicity. 1-CP-U i.p. injection might be a potential choice for treating EOC patients and prevent peritoneal metastasis. As the i.v. infusion of chemotherapy is also an important way in EOC treatment, further researches should be designed to compare the efficacy and toxicity between 1-CP-U i.p. and i.v. infusion, and identify the appropriate infusion strategy and schedule of 1-CP-U.

Although ovarian carcinoma cells have the potential to metastasize throughout the peritoneal cavity, it is not entirely random that the primary tumors metastasize to distributed organs. Rather than the bilateral fallopian tubes and ovaries, the most common secondary sites for distant metastasis are the omentum and the peritoneum [23]. The human omentum is a peritoneal fold composed of a single layer of mesothelial cells and its associated underlying ECM. Mesothelial cells protect against, rather than mediate, cancer cell attachment. Instead, the sub-mesothelial ECM is the preferred substrate for ovarian cancer cell attachment [24] and migration [11]. In addition to the similarity of composition and function in omentum from human [25], the omentum from mice offers accessible distinguishment and sampling, thus it is used to detect the early stage metastasis of EOC in vivo.
Giving the depth and associated light scattering of bioluminescent signals originating from the omentum, which makes it challenging to employ optical imaging modalities, omentum tissues were directly dissected at the 7th d to perform luciferase activity and luminescence imaging. Therefore, we use murine omentum excised after D-luciferin injection to assess the suppression of EOC adhesion to the omentum in luciferase activity assay and bioluminescence imaging system. The luciferase activities in the omentum treated with 1-CP-U from both assays were significantly lower than those of control group. These studies show that treatment with 1-CP-U significantly decreased the ability of SKOV3-Luc cells to colonize mouse omentum in vivo as compared to control group.

In the ovarian cancer study, FAK overexpression in primary tumor biopsy material was correlated with metastasis to lymph nodes and distant organs, as well as with reduced survival times [15,26]. Phosphorylation of FAK at Tyr397 was found in invasive tumor tissues, linked to poor clinical outcome, but not detected in the normal ovarian epithelium [27], which indicates that FAK pathway might be a useful therapeutic target [28,29]. Metastasizing cancer cells have two main options for attachment to the: the surface mesothelial cells or the exposed ECM [30]. A variety of different adhesion molecules can mediate the attachment of cancer cells to peritoneum, among which the integrins, αβ heterodimeric transmembrane proteins, play a vital role [31]. Blocking β1 integrin inhibits EOC cell attachment and migration on ECM substrate relevant to the peritoneum [32]. EOC cell lines with an aggressive phenotype have an elevated expression of the α2 and β1 collagen-binding integrin subunits as compared to cells with lower invasive capacity [33]. A group of integrins recognizes and binds to the Arg-Gly-Asp (RGD) motif present on the ECM proteins which further activate specific intracellular kinases, such as FAK [34], inducing FAK autophosphorylation at FAK Tyr397 which exposes an SH2 domain-binding site for Src [35]. Src recruitment results in Src-dependent phosphorylation of FAK at FAK Tyr576 and FAK Tyr577 leading to maximal FAK activation [36]. Paxillin is a substrate for the FAK–Src complex that functions as an adaptor molecule for various signaling and structural proteins in adhesion [37]. Previous researches showed that paxillin localizes in dynamic adhesions, which forms and rapidly disassembles at the base of protrusions [38]. Moreover, Paxillin can recruit other molecules to adhesions and regulate the organization of the actin cytoskeleton [39]. In addition, FAK-mediated signaling events induce the expression of matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs). Once MMPs are secreted, they mediate the breakdown of surrounding extracellular-matrix substrates and promote cell invasion [40]. To determine whether 1-CP-U affects FAK signaling, peritoneal metastatic tumor nodules were detected for immunofluorescent staining, which showed a reduction in FAK and p-FAK staining with 1-CP-U treatment group. Then, the protein expressions of FAK, p-FAK, p-Src and paxillin in murine tumor tissues were tested by western blot and demonstrated significantly decrease. Moreover, different concentrations of 1-CP-U were used to treat SKOV3 and HEY cells at the indicated time point. The results showed expressions of FAK, p-FAK, p-Src and paxillin were significantly decreased with the increasing concentration. So we inferred that 1-CP-U might inhibit EOC adhesion and invasion through suppressing FAK signaling pathway.

In conclusion, 1-CP-U had a profound inhibitory effect on adhesion, invasion and metastasis of EOC in vitro and suppressed intraperitoneal dissemination and cancer growth in vivo assay, which was associated with inhibition on the FAK pathway. These data indicate that 1-CP-U may be a potential choice for treating EOC patients and prevent peritoneal metastasis.
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