G1-5 Syndromes, a Novel Model of Diagnosis of Upper Abdominal Syndromes and their Treatment
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Functional upper gut symptoms and functional dyspepsia represent frequent conditions in clinical practice. As objectively measurable physiological and structural abnormalities for GI complaints are often absent in the patients, functional abdominal symptoms may in fact make up for 30 to 50% of all cases in gastroenterology [1,2]. The importance of these complaints is illustrated by the fact that 45% of the American population [3] regularly consume proton inhibitors, often without any significant efficacy. A variety of attempts to objectify these conditions were undertaken, including manometry, measurements of acidity, electromyography, and scintigraphic studies of stomach emptying. All of these may be considered as largely influenced by the vegetative nervous system. The vegetative origin of such complaints is further supported by the fact that visceral hypersensitivity to mechanical distension [4-6] and psychological and psychiatric conditions like anxiety and depression are frequent features of upper abdominal syndromes [1]. In fact, several experimental studies link stress and depression to changes in sensory and motor gastrointestinal function [1] which also is largely regulated by the enteric nervous system as part of the autonomous nervous mechanisms.

It is comparatively difficult to induce specific functional changes in the autonomous regulation of the related stomach and bowel movements by means of conventional medicine. Therefore, we were interested in searching for a method to differentiate individual upper abdominal syndromes for further vegetative reflex treatment. We correlated reflex treatments by acupoints with specific hyperalgesic pressure points in the abdomen. These reflex points were taken a modern rational concept of Chinese Medicine [7-9], which is able to translate Chinese medical theory into the language of Western physiology [10,11]. This contemporary understanding of reflex point selection had already enabled us in prior double-blinded clinical acupuncture studies to objectively detect reliable clinical effects [12-16]. We were therefore able to link the Western teaching of hyperalgesia with the treatment by acupuncture points, resulting in immediate reduction of hyperalgesic points in the upper abdomen. Some of the most frequently affected hyperalgesic points were then named G1 to G5. They were linked to western abdominal anatomy and therefore upper abdominal physiology. The clinical efficacy of the five related reflexologic acupoint interventions was clinically so impressive that they were taken up in the curriculum of the first master’s degree of Chinese Medicine in Europe at Porto.
University, and are rapidly spreading within the related medical communities ever since. We were therefore interested to see what objectively is known about this pressure point-based reflex therapy and performed this literature review to look for the existing evidence of effects and mechanisms (Figure 1).

**Methods**

We performed a literature search in pubmed and scopus with the following keywords: G1, G2, G3, G4, functional abdominal complaints and acupuncture, functional abdominal complaints and reflex diagnosis, and functional abdominal syndromes and pressure points. None of these would reveal any research on that topic. We would then enlarge our search by the inclusion of textbooks, scripts and master theses available and summarized the G1-G5 methodology and first evidence of its mechanisms as follows:

**Results**

Although the usage of G1-G5 methodology is already spread widely within the medical community in Germany and Southern Europe, no conclusive research papers were listed in pubmed and scopus. Detection of the respective syndromes is performed by comparing the pressure sensitivity in the points G1 to G5 by simple comparison of pressure tolerance of the points. Note that these points are no acupoints like the alarm or mu points which are located in the skin level, but that they are located in the intra-abdominal organs Sphincter, Oddi, Pylorus, corpus stomachi, and on the stomach entry (below the xiphoid process). A first information on the distribution of these syndromes may be roughly taken from a study [17], in which 30 otherwise healthy volunteers were examined. Twelve individuals showed G1 (40 %), four individuals with G2 (13.3 %), three individuals with G3 (10 %), three individuals with G4 (10 %) and eight individuals with G5 (26.6 %).

**G1**

Corresponds to the duodenal loop and is precisely located on the sphincter Oddi, the small smooth muscle which controls bile excretion. The syndrome is diagnosed when G1 is comparatively the most hyperalgesic point of the five G points in question. In an experiment [18], pain evoked by a defined pressure on the G1 point decreased by 81.8 % (p = 0.002), indicating that hyperalgesia could be reduced (points GB 39, 26, 41, Li 3 and S 44) in a sample of 33 otherwise healthy volunteers. Also, electromyography was performed but stomach movements showed no difference before and after acupuncture.

**G2 Syndrome**

This syndrome is diagnosed when the point above the pylorus (Rs 10) is the most pressure-sensitive of all G points. N = 20 otherwise healthy volunteers with G1 syndrome were treated by the points Rs 10, S 44, S 25 which reduced the pain in the hyperalgesic region of the pylorus statistically significantly (30.68 %), whereas control points did not have this effect The points Bl 66, Rs 15 and an extra point did not significantly change pain. Furthermore, gastric contractility in EMG increased, whereas pyloric pain decreased, presumably indicating release of pyloric tonus and onset of gastric emptying [19].

**G3 Syndrome**

This syndrome is diagnosed when the corpus of the stomach located under the point Rs 12 clinically shows the comparatively highest-pressure sensitivity of all G points. According to the transcription of Chinese medical theories, this would be associated with impairment of gastric emptying, while pancreatic exocrine activity may be diminished. In the literature, we did not find any further data on that.

**G4 Syndrome**

This syndrome is diagnosed when the region of the gallbladder is pressure sensitive. In this case, treatment of the gallbladder alarm point (mu point) is indicated and in practice is believed to be effective. However, we found no data on that.

**G5 Syndrome**

This syndrome is diagnosed when the region of the xiphoid process is most sensitive. This is the region where the oesophagus passes the diaphragm. Frequent diagnoses in Western medicine are gastro-oesophageal reflux with heartburn. In the literature quoted, there was no indication of any measurements on that.

**Discussion and Conclusion**

The bottom line is that this is a promising field of research which should be followed up. We suggest that a double-blinded study is performed according to the so-called Heidelberg double-blinding assay. For this purpose, patients with upper abdominal complaints should be screened for G2 syndrome and a two-armed double-blinded study be performed using pressure tolerance on the G2 point and electromyography as main parameters.
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