Alternative Splicing Regulation of Glycine-Rich Proteins via Target of Rapamycin-Reactive Oxygen Species Pathway in Arabidopsis Seedlings Upon Glucose Stress
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Glucose can serve as both the source of energy and regulatory signaling molecule in plant. Due to the environmental and metabolic change, sugar levels could affect various developmental processes. High glucose environment is hardly conductive to the plant growth but cause development arrest. Increasing evidence indicate that alternative splicing (AS) plays a pivotal role in sugar signaling. However, the regulatory mechanism upon glucose stress remains unclear. The full-length transcriptomes were obtained from the samples of Arabidopsis seedlings with 3% glucose and mock treatment, using Oxford Nanopore sequencing technologies. Further analysis indicated that many genes involved in photosynthesis were significantly repressed and many genes involved in glycolysis, mitochondrial function, and the response to oxidative stress were activated. In total, 1,220 significantly differential alternative splicing (DAS) events related to 619 genes were identified, among which 75.74% belong to intron retention (IR). Notably, more than 20% of DAS events come from a large set of glycine-rich protein (GRP) family genes, such as GRP7, whose AS types mostly belong to IR. Besides the known productive GRP transcript isoforms, we identified a lot of splicing variants with diverse introns spliced in messenger RNA (mRNA) region coding the glycine-rich (GR) domain. The AS pattern of GRPs changed and particularly, the productive GRPs increased upon glucose stress. These ASs of GRP pre-mRNAs triggered by glucose stress could be abolished by AZD-8055, which is an ATP competitive inhibitor for the target of rapamycin (TOR) kinase but could be mimicked by H$_2$O$_2$. Additionally, AS pattern change of arginine/serine-rich splicing factor 31 (RS31) via TOR pathway, which was previously described in response to light and sucrose signaling, was also induced in a similar manner by both glucose stress and reactive oxygen species (ROS). Here we
INTRODUCTION

In eukaryotes, such as yeast, animals, and plants, sugar not only supplies carbon and energy sources, but also plays a crucial role in the signaling pathway to regulate carbon distribution and energy utilization (Smeekens et al., 2010; Chantranupong et al., 2015; Efeyan et al., 2015). However, both sugar starvation and high sugar supply are harmful to the growth of plants and human health (Moore et al., 2003; Couee et al., 2006; Aragno and Mastrocola, 2017; Huang et al., 2019). Thus, it is essential to elucidate the mechanism for sensing sugar and regulating the sugar homeostasis. In the perception of light, photosynthetic plants produce sugar, and utilize the complex sugar metabolism and signaling networks to regulate plant growth and development. Although sucrose is the major sugar for systemic transport and storage in plants, glucose, which could be converted from sucrose, is still the pivotal signaling molecule to trigger downstream signaling transduction via various sugar sensors and/or energy sensors. Up to date, several glucose sensing and signaling pathway have been identified in plants (Chen et al., 2021). Hexokinases 1(HXK1) in Arabidopsis is the first identified plant sugar sensor with dual functions in metabolism and glucose signaling (Moore et al., 2003). Regulator of G-protein signaling 1(RGS1), a plasma-membrane protein with seven-transmembrane domain, functions as an external glucose sensor (Johnston et al., 2007; Urano et al., 2012; Fu et al., 2014). Besides, the intracellular sugar levels could also be indirectly perceived by some evolutionarily conserved energy sensors, such as the homolog of SNF1 kinase homolog 10/11 (KIN10/11) and target of rapamycin (TOR) (Xiong et al., 2013; Crozet et al., 2014; Sheen, 2014). KIN10/KIN11, inactivated by sugars, orchestrate and integrate transcriptional network in the energy and stress signaling, promoting catabolism but repressing anabolism (Baena-Gonzalez et al., 2007; Baena-Gonzalez and Sheen, 2008). In contrast, glucose-activated TOR determines transcriptional reprogramming of large gene sets involved in the central and secondary metabolism, cell cycle, transcription, signaling, transport, and protein folding (Xiong et al., 2013). Notably, TOR kinase activated by photosynthesis-derived glucose signaling relies on the glycolysis-mitochondria energy and metabolic relay. Hypoxia condition, which inhibits the mitochondrial function, could reduce the action of TOR kinase in response to photosynthesis-derived sugar signaling (Riegler et al., 2021). Xiong et al. (2013) showed that the treatment with low exogenous glucose (15 mM) was sufficient to substitute for photosynthetic support in triggering glucose-TOR signaling and for accelerating the root growth. Instead, high glucose stress would lead to developmental arrest for Arabidopsis seedlings, such as the inhibition of root elongation, cotyledon greening, and expansion (Jang et al., 1997; Huang et al., 2019). Overexpression of glucose sensor, HXK1, and energy sensor KIN10, respectively, were both hypersensitive to 3% glucose treatment.

In plant, sugar metabolism and oxidative stress have a complicated link between each other. Photosynthesis can produce both soluble sugars and reactive oxygen species (ROS), which are maintained in a delicate balance. Soluble sugars appear to play a dual role with ROS production. On the one hand, soluble sugars are involved in ROS-producing pathways. For example, high sugars could feed the mitochondria and promote ROS production by normal mitochondrial respiration (Couee et al., 2006). On the other hand, high sugars could suppress photosynthesis and fatty acid β-oxidation to further inhibit ROS production; in addition, sugar can also feed nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NADPH)-producing metabolic pathway to enhance NADPH production for further ROS scavenging (Couee et al., 2006). Recently, Huang et al. (2019) showed that high glucose induces ROS accumulation in the root through autophagy pathway, which is positively and negatively regulated by KIN10 and TOR kinase, respectively.

The splicing of precursor messenger RNA (pre-mRNA) is a relevant mechanism in the post-transcriptional regulation of gene expression. Alternative splicing (AS) of pre-mRNA generates multiple transcripts from the same gene, which either provides extra manners of post-transcriptional regulation, or increases the protein diversity even though the eukaryotic genomes have limited gene numbers. AS was also regulated by some auxiliary proteins, such as serine/arginine-rich (SR) proteins and heterogenous nuclear ribonucleoprotein particle (hnRNP) proteins, in addition to spliceosome-consisting small nuclear ribonucleoproteins (Kathare and Huq, 2021). SR proteins generally interact with splicing enhancers in pre-mRNA to promote splicing, while hnRNP proteins generally interact with splicing silencers in pre-mRNA to inhibit splicing (Lee and Rio, 2015). In plants, AS plays an important regulatory role in various conclude that (i) glucose stress suppresses photosynthesis and activates the glycolysis-mitochondria energy relay and ROS scavenging system; (ii) glucose stress triggers transcriptome-wide AS pattern changes including a large set of splicing factors, such as GRPs and RS31; (iii) high sugars regulate AS pattern change of both GRPs and RS31 via TOR-ROS pathway. The results from this study will deepen our understanding of the AS regulation mechanism in sugar signaling.
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null mutant were hypersensitive to 3 and 4.5% glucose, sr45-1 and ABA signaling (Carvalho et al., 2010). The seedlings of regulation of sugar signaling. SR45, a highly conserved plant-biological processes, such as ovule development, circadian, and responses to light and temperature (Cucinotta et al., 2021; Dikaya et al., 2021; Kathare and Huq, 2021; Paajanen et al., 2021). Increasing evidences support that AS is also involved in the regulation of sugar signaling. SR45, a highly conserved plant-specific SR protein, was reported to negatively regulate glucose and ABA signaling (Carvalho et al., 2010). The seedlings of sr45-1 null mutant were hypersensitive to 3 and 4.5% glucose, displaying impaired cotyledon greening and expansion as well as reduced hypocotyl elongation when growing in dark (Carvalho et al., 2010). Further study demonstrated that SR45 regulates AS of Arabidopsis 5PTase13 pre-mRNA, which promotes the proteasomal degradation of SnRK1 protein (Carvalho et al., 2016). Glucose sensitive mutant 1 (GSM1) is a homolog of yeast Prp2, which catalyzes the last step of spliceosome activation (Wahl et al., 2009; Zheng et al., 2019). The absence of GSM1 led to hypersensitive phenotype upon 3% of glucose stress (Zheng et al., 2019). Two research groups independently demonstrated that different light condition could regulate the AS pattern of some genes encoding SR-type splicing factors, such as arginine/serine-rich splicing factor 31 (RS31), arginine/serine-rich splicing factor 30 (RS30), and U2 snRNP auxiliary factor (U2AF65A) in seed germination and seedling root establishment process, respectively (Petrillo et al., 2014; Tognacca et al., 2019). Further, recent study revealed that light is triggered as regulation in the roots is indeed driven via TOR kinase pathway by sugars (Riegler et al., 2021). Glycine-rich protein 7 (GRP7) and glycine-rich protein 8 (GRP8), as their names suggest, have high contents of glycine and function as components in hnRNPs involved in the regulation of AS (Wang and Brendel, 2004; Streitner et al., 2012). Both GRP7 and GRP8 have similar gene structures and redundant function. However, they use an interlocked feedback mechanism of AS for negative autoregulation and reciprocal regulation: rising productive protein levels enhance AS by binding to GRP7/8 pre-mRNA, and the alternatively spliced unproductive transcripts of GRP7/8 are destructed via the non-sense-mediated decay (NMD) pathway (Staiger et al., 2003; Schoning et al., 2008). GRP7 and GRP8 have been implicated to be involved in diverse biological processes, such as flowering, circadian clock, innate immunity, and responses to various abiotic stresses and plant hormones (Staiger et al., 2003; Cao et al., 2006; Fu et al., 2007; Kim et al., 2010; Lohr et al., 2014; Steffen et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2020). However, their roles in the post-transcriptional regulation upon glucose stress are yet to be known.

The application of Oxford Nanopore Technologies (ONT) for transcriptome sequencing could generate much longer raw reads with better quality and provide more accurate estimation of AS manner and transcription level for each transcription isoforms. We therefore generated 6 full-length transcriptomes from Arabidopsis seedlings treated with 3% glucose and non-glucose control using Nanopore sequencing. We first analyzed the differentially expressed genes (DEGs) upon glucose stress and compared the DEGs with previous transcriptome data from low glucose treatment. Then we analyzed the genome-wide AS pattern and the differential alternative splicing (DAS) events upon glucose stress. Interestingly, here we showed that glucose stress-triggered AS pattern change for a large set of genes, including the genes encoding a series of splicing factors, GRPs, and SRs. Finally, we conclude that AS pattern changes of both GRP7 and RS31 are mediated by TOR-ROS pathway, which might be of relevance for post-transcriptional regulation upon glucose signaling.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Materials and Treatment

The seeds of Arabidopsis ecotype Col-0 were surface-sterilized by 1% of sodium hypochlorite and sown on the horizontal full-strength MS medium (MS salt, 2.5 mM MES, pH 5.8, 0.5 mM myo-inositol, and 0.8% agar) after stratification at 4°C for 3 days. The 7-day-old seedlings were grown under long-day condition (16 h light/8 h dark) with a continuous temperature of 22°C. At 30 days after flowering, the seeds were harvested from more than 10 plants. After air-drying in fume hood at room temperature for 2 weeks, the sterilized seeds after 3-day stratification at 4°C were sown and grown on full-strength MS medium supplemented with 0% of glucose (control), 3% of glucose, 3% of sucrose, and 3% of mannitol, respectively, and then 7-day-old seedlings were harvested after 4-h illumination. For TOR inhibition treatment, 2 µM of AZD-8055 was added to full-strength MS medium supplemented with 0 or 3% of glucose, respectively, and then 7-day-old seedlings under long-day condition were harvested after 4-h illumination. For H2O2 treatment, 7-day-old seedlings under long-day condition were transferred after 8-h darkness and immersed in full-strength MS medium with 0 or 20 µM AZD-8055. After 1-h treatment under illumination, 30% of H2O2 was directly added into the respective medium by a pipette to a final concentration of 50 µM for another 3 h.

Complementary DNA Library Preparation and Nanopore Sequencing

The RNA of Arabidopsis seedlings was extracted by RNAprep Pure Plant Kit (DP441, Tiangen). The cDNA-PCR Barcoding Kit (SQK-PCS109 with SQK-PBK004, Oxford Nanopore Technologies) was used for quality control of total RNA and construction of complementary DNA (cDNA) library. Briefly, the RNA with polyA was reverse transcribed. The cDNA product was amplified for 14 cycles with LongAmp Tag (NEB). Then, adapter addition of cDNA samples was catalyzed by T4 DNA ligase (NEB) and the final cDNA library was purified with Agencourt AMPure XP beads. After the addition of cDNA library to FLO-MIN109 flow cell, sequencing was proceeded by the PromethION platform at Biomarker Technology Company (Beijing, China).

Raw Data Processing and Genome Mapping

The low-quality reads (Qscore < 7, length < 500 bp) were filtered and ribosomal RNAs were discarded after mapping to rRNA database.1 The full-length, non-chemiric transcripts

1https://www.arb-silva.de
after trimming of adaptor primer, were mapped to Arabidopsis TAIR10 reference genome by minmap2 (Li, 2018) and further polished to be consensus sequences by pinfish.  

### Alternative Splicing Analysis and Differentially Expressed Gene Analysis

Transcripts were validated against known reference transcript annotations (TAIR.10.48) with gffcompare. AS events were identified by the AStalavista tool (Foissac and Sammeth, 2007) and DAS events were analyzed by PSI-sigma tools (Lin and Krainer, 2019). Differential expression analysis of control and glucose-treated samples was performed using the DESeq2 R package 1.6.3 (Love et al., 2014). A model based on the negative binomial distribution was used to analyze differential expression in digital gene expression data. For controlling the false discovery rate, the resulting P-values were adjusted using the Benjamini and Hochberg’s approach. Genes with a foldchange $\geq 2$ and P-value $< 0.01$ found by DESeq2 were assigned as differentially expressed.

#### Gene Ontology Analysis

Gene ontology (GO) enrichment of DEGs upon low and high glucose was analyzed and visualized by Ttools (Chen et al., 2020). GO terms of DAS genes were obtained using the agrIGO tools (Tian et al., 2017). All categories with P-value lower than 0.01 in at least one dataset were visualized by R language to generate the air bubble plots. GO terms was set as Y-axis and the ratio of genes in each term was set as x-axis. The color bar from blue (low) to red (high) indicated the logarithm (base 10) of P-value. The counts of genes in each term were set as bubble size.

#### Reverse Transcriptase PCR and Real-Time Quantitative PCR

The RNA was reverse transcribed using PrimeScript™ 1st Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (6110A, Takara). Fivefold diluted cDNA was normalized into the same concentration by internal reference ACTIN2 at the 22 cycles of amplification. The PCR products, which refers to the mentioned genes, were amplified for 27–31 cycles and then run in 1.5–3% agarose, respectively. The splicing index (SI) calculated from DNA level in agarose lane, which was analyzed by Image J. Primers, are listed in [Supplementary Table 1](#tab1).

The RNA was reverse-transcribed using PrimeScript™ RT reagent Kit with gDNA Eraser (PR047A, Takara). Bio-Rad CFX96 was used for qPCR proceeding by reorganizing SYBR Green. Three replicates from each sample were analyzed by $\Delta\Delta$ct methods using ACTIN2 as internal reference. Primers are listed in [Supplementary Table 1](#tab1). The significant differences of SI and expression level in various samples were analyzed by ANOVA univariate analysis in SPSS.

#### 3,3’-Diaminobenzidine Staining

Under long-day condition, the 7-day-old seedlings grown on the full-strength MS medium (MS salt, 2.5 mM of MES, pH 5.7, 0.5 mM of myoinositol, and 0.8% of agar) supplemented with 0 or 3% glucose were harvested after 4-h illumination and stained by 1 mg/ml of 3,3’-diaminobenzidine (DAB, Amresco, E733-50TABS, pH = 3.8) for 8 h at 22°C in the dark and destained by 75% of alcohol.

### RESULTS

#### Transcriptome Analysis by Nanopore Sequencing for Arabidopsis Seedlings Upon Glucose Stress

To investigate the defined transcriptional regulation in Arabidopsis upon glucose stress, with special focus on AS, three replicates of Columbia-0 (Col-0) wild type grown on MS non-sugar medium (WT_Ctr1/2/3) and MS + 3% of glucose medium (WT_Glc1/2/3) for 7 days, respectively, were sampled for RNA isolation and further Nanopore sequencing. Around 5 million clean reads were generated for each sample using Nanopore sequencing, which had a mean length ranging between 951 and 1,155 nt ([Supplementary Table 2](#tab2)). Full-length reads account for more than 87% of total clean reads after filtering rRNAs in each sample ([Supplementary Table 3](#tab3)). Among full-length reads, a high proportion of more than 99% reads in each sample were mapped transcripts ([Supplementary Table 4](#tab4)). All these reads were subjected for further differential gene expression and AS analysis. In total, 3,438 differentially expressed genes (DEGs, |log2FC| $> 1$, P < 0.01) were identified, in which 2,246 genes were upregulated and 1,192 genes were downregulated upon glucose stress treatment ([Figure 1A](#fig1a) and [Supplementary Figure 1A](#sup1a)). To dissect the expression profile and find out the difference of expression pattern between Arabidopsis seedlings in low and high glucose treatments, DEGs induced by low glucose treatment (15 mM of glucose; Xiong et al., 2013) were compared with the ones in our samples ([Figure 1B](#fig1b)). Among the downregulated DEGs, only 231 genes are overlapped between low glucose and glucose stress treatment, which are mainly involved in response to stimulus/chemical/stress, including a series of PER genes involved in scavenging of ROS ([Figure 1E](#fig1e)). All these results were also confirmed by quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) ([Figures 1F,G](#fig1fg)). As cytoplasmic glucose needs to be maintained at a steady low level for normal cellular function, it is not surprising that glucose stress also triggered...
the transcriptional regulation for those enzymes involved in the glucose homeostasis in the cytosol. Upon glucose stress, plant cells not only control the production of glucose through the suppression of photosynthesis, but also regulate the glucose metabolism and signaling. Sucrose-phosphate synthase (SPS) and sucrose-phosphate phosphatase (SPP), the two key enzymes for sucrose biosynthesis from UDP-glucose and fructose-6-phosphate (F-6-P) (Ruan, 2014), were significantly upregulated upon glucose stress (Supplementary Figure 2). In contrast, the expression of the cytoplasmic invertase, CINV1, which hydrolyzed sucrose into glucose and fructose, is downregulated upon glucose stress (Supplementary Figure 2). Although the key glucose sensor hexokinase HXK1 was only slightly upregulated upon glucose stress, those encoding a large set of key enzymes function downstream of glucose-6-phosphate (G-6-P) were mostly upregulated, e.g., the sucrose synthetase (AtSS3) and starch branching enzyme (SBE2.1) for starch biosynthesis, phosphoglucomutases (PGM) for the conversion from G-6-P to F-6-P, 6-phosphatoglucanone dehydrogenases (PGD) in pentose phosphate pathway, and target of rapamycin (TOR) kinase (Supplementary Figure 2). Additionally, a series of genes involved in tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle that occurred in mitochondria, were also upregulated (Supplementary Figure 2). Taken together, high glucose stress gives rise to the suppression of photosynthesis and the promotion of glycolysis and TCA cycle in mitochondria, probably resulting in the accumulation and further scavenging of ROS.

**Glucose Stress Modulates Alternative Splicing Pattern Changes of 619 Genes in Arabidopsis Seedlings**

The RNA splicing is a crucial step of RNA processing and plays a pivotal role in posttranscriptional regulation of gene expression. Increasing evidence indicated that sugar signaling could regulate AS and further affect plant growth and development (Carvalho et al., 2010, 2016; Zheng et al., 2019; Riegler et al., 2021). In response to glucose stress, a set of genes encoding pre-mRNA processing factors (PRPs) and heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoproteins (hnRNPs) were significantly upregulated, while several genes encoding SR proteins were downregulated (Figure 2A). The expression level of these genes, such as PRP1, PRP3, GRP3, GRP7, RS31, and SR45a, were selected and confirmed by qRT-PCR (Figure 2B). To explore the regulatory mechanism of AS triggered by glucose stress, the AS events of each sample were evaluated by AStalavista tool (Foissac and Sammeth, 2007). In total, 2,094, 2,084, and 1,939 AS events were identified in each sample of control seedlings, respectively, whereas 1,177, 1,395, and 1,452 AS events were identified in each sample of seedlings upon glucose stress, respectively (Figure 2C and Supplementary Figure 3A). Notably, total AS events, intron retention (IR), exon skipping (ES), and alternative 3’ splicing site (A3SS) types in particular, dramatically decrease in response to glucose stress (Figure 2C). A total of 1,220 significant DAS events corresponding to 619 genes were identified ([\text{APSI}] > 5%, \( p\)-value < 0.05) between glucose stress-treated and control samples (Supplementary Table 6). Notably, 75.74% of DAS events belong to IR type, while the other three AS types—A3SS, alternative 5’ splicing site (A5SS), and ES account for 10.98, 8.44, and 4.84%, respectively (Figure 2D). Many DAS events in the AS types mentioned above could be further confirmed by reverse transcriptase PCR (RT-PCR) (Supplementary Figure 4). Among the 619 differentially alternatively spliced genes (DSGs), only 76 and 43 genes were overlapped with upregulated and downregulated DEGs, respectively (Supplementary Figure 3B), suggesting that the effect of glucose stress on most of DEGs and DSGs are relatively exclusive. GO analysis of DSGs showed us a strong enrichment in GO categories related to mRNA processing, and mRNA metabolic process (Figure 2E), suggesting that glucose stress regulates AS patterns not only through gene expression level but also through its effect on the AS of splicing factors themselves.

**Glucose Stress Regulates Alternative Splicing Pattern of Glycine-Rich Protein Family Genes**

Previous studies have shown that different light conditions could regulate AS pattern of many genes including a series of splicing factors during different developmental stages, such as seed germination and seedling establishment in Arabidopsis (Petrillo et al., 2014; Hartmann et al., 2016; Tognacca et al., 2019; Riegler et al., 2021). Hartmann et al. (2016) reported that light and sucrose modulate comparable AS shifts in etiolated seedlings. Recently, Riegler et al. (2021) showed that light could regulate AS pattern in the root, and sugar could mimic its effect. Here, we also found that AS pattern of a variety of splicing factors are modulated by glucose stress during seedling establishment (Supplementary Table 6). Interestingly, more than 20% of DAS events come from a large set of GRP family genes, whose AS types mostly belong to IR (Supplementary Table 7). In particular, up to 91 DAS events were identified in the AS of GRP7 transcripts, 79 of which belong to the IR type. Nanopore sequencing allowed us to identify the full-length sequence and calculate the expression level of different splicing variants for each gene more precisely. The gene annotation of GRP7 from TAIR indicates that GRP7 has at least two transcript variants, GRP7.1 and GRP7.2. The GRP7.1 is the productive and functional splicing variant, while GRP7.2 is a splicing variant encoding GRP7 protein with a short truncation in the GR domain (Figure 3A). Previous studies reported another unproductive splicing variant due to A5SS type splicing in the first intron, which would be subjected to NMD (Staiger et al., 2003; Schoning et al., 2007, 2008). According to the full-length reads obtained by deep Nanopore sequencing, we could identify not only these three transcript variants mentioned above, but also many other splicing variants with diverse expression levels (Figures 3A, B). GRP7.NT.7 is probably the previous reported A5SS-type splicing variant in the first intron which is hardly expressed in plant as mentioned in previous studies (Staiger et al., 2003; Schoning et al., 2008). Similar to GRP7.NT.7, some transcript variants with the first retained intron, such as GRP7.NT.25 contain in-frame stop codon in the retained part of the first intron (Figures 3A, B). They could not generate a full-length protein.
FIGURE 1 | High glucose suppresses the photosynthesis and activates the response to oxidative stress. (A) Volcano plot showing the differentially expressed genes (DEGs, |Log2FC| > 1, FDR < 0.01) regulated by 3% glucose treatment. (B) Venn diagram showing an overlap between DEGs regulated by 3% glucose and DEGs regulated by 15 mM glucose/glucose signaling (Xiong et al., 2013), hi_Glc_up, DEGs upregulated by 3% glucose, hi_Glc_down, DEGs downregulated by 3% glucose, Glc_sig_up, DEGs upregulated by 15 mM of glucose, Glc_sig_down, DEGs downregulated by 15 mM of glucose. (C) Gene ontology (GO) enrichment analysis of the DEGs uniquely upregulated by 3% glucose (1,944 genes) and uniquely downregulated by 3% glucose (961 genes) in comparison to DEGs. (D) Heatmap for the relative expression level of genes involved in photosynthesis. (E) Heatmap for the relative expression level of genes encoding peroxidases. (F) Nanopore sequencing (ONT) and quantitative real-time PCR (q-PCR) results for the relative expression of representative genes involved in photosynthesis. (G) Nanopore sequencing and q-PCR results for the relative expression of representative genes encoding peroxidases. Actin serves as an internal control for the q-PCR in both (F,G).
FIGURE 2 | Glucose stress regulates alternative splicing (AS) in Arabidopsis seedling. (A) Heatmap for the differentially expressed splicing factors regulated by 3% glucose treatment. (B) Nanopore sequencing and q-PCR results for representative splicing factors induced by 3% glucose treatment. (C) The numbers of AS events in different types in WT_Ctr and WT_Glc samples. (D) The type distribution of the differential alternative splicing (DAS) events between WT_Ctr and WT_Glc. IR, intron retention; A5SS, alternative 5′ splicing site; A3SS, alternative 3′ splicing site; ES, exon skipping. (E) The air bubble graph showing GO enrichments in differentially alternative spliced genes (DSGs) between WT_Ctr and WT_Glc. Gene numbers (circle size) and enrichment p-value (circle color) are shown.
but a short premature peptide. By coincidence, like GRP7.2, most of GRP7 splicing variants undergo various intron splicing in the mRNA region coding the GR domain in GRP7 protein (Figures 3A,D). Here, we named these intron-spliced transcript variants of GRP7 as GRP7.ISV. The results from RT-PCR could detect a lot of truncated fragments corresponding to GRP7.ISV's smaller than the one from GRP7.1 and further indicated that the ratio of GRP7.1 and GRP7.ISVs increased upon glucose stress (Figures 3A,C). Besides, a variety of 5' splicing site (5'SS) and 3' splicing site (3'SS) are distributed in GRP7.ISV pre-mRNAs with diverse frequency, mostly generating introns with the canonical feature of 5'-GU and 3'-AG (Figure 3D). Different combination of 5'SS and 3'SS generated various DAS events for GRP7 (Figures 3A,D). Similarly, many other GRPs, such as GRP3S, GRP8, GRP9, CSP2, and CSP4, also had various intron-spliced variants and coincidently, all these intron splicing events

---

**FIGURE 3** | Glucose stress regulates AS pattern change of GRP7 in Arabidopsis seedling. (A) Gene model, AS isoforms, and IGV coverage diagrams of GRP7. Black arrows represent the binding sites of the primers of RT-PCR in (C). Dotted lines indicate the pre-mRNA regions coding for GR domain in GRP7. (B) Transcription level of GRP7 transcript variants by Nanopore sequencing. (C) RT-PCR result for GRP7 transcript variants. ACTIN (ACT) serves as the internal control. (D) The splicing sites of the cryptic intron in GRP7 pre-mRNA sequence. Black arrows represent the 5' splicing sites, and blue arrows represent the 3' splicing sites. The numbers above the arrows represents the percentage of the transcripts with the corresponding splicing site among total transcripts. (E) The SI of GRP7 transcripts upon control treatment and different high soluble sugar treatments, respectively. The SI of GRP7 was calculated by the ratio of GRP7.1/GRP7.1 + GRP7 using the fluorescence intensity of PCR products in RT-PCR. (F) The expression levels of GRP7 upon different treatments. Ctr, 0% glucose; Glc, 3% glucose; Man, 3% mannitol; Suc, 3% sucrose; ISV, intron spliced variant; PMV, pre-mature variant.
Glucose Stress Regulates Alternative Splicing Pattern of Glycine-Rich Proteins via Target of Rapamycin Pathway

Glucose is a central signaling molecule in plant which plays a pivotal regulatory role in plant growth and developmental process. Glucose sensing can be directly sensed by the key glucose sensor, hexokinase 1 (HXX1) and indirectly sensed by another two master energy sensor kinases, SNF1-related protein kinase 1 (SnRK1) and TOR kinase (Li and Sheen, 2016). Recent study has shown that TOR kinase could mediate the light-triggered AS pattern regulation of some splicing factors, such as RS31 (Riegler et al., 2021). Here, we liked to find whether TOR pathway is also involved in the AS pattern regulation of GRPs upon glucose stress. First, our DEGs, upon glucose stress were compared with the TOR-regulated gene sets from Arabidopsis root with 3% glucose treatment (Huang et al., 2019), supporting that glucose stress triggers the accumulation of H$_2$O$_2$ in both the root and shoot by DAB staining (Figure 5A), in consistent with the previous results from Arabidopsis root with 3% glucose treatment (Huang et al., 2019), supporting that glucose stress triggers the accumulation of ROS, in general. To figure out whether ROS would affect the glucose stress-triggered AS pattern change of GRPs, we performed a treatment with H$_2$O$_2$ for the Arabidopsis seedlings and found that H$_2$O$_2$ could mimic the effect of glucose stress on the AS pattern of GRP7 in Arabidopsis seedlings (Figure 5B). However, additional treatment with AZD-8055 for H$_2$O$_2$-treated and control samples, respectively, could not impact the AS pattern change of GRP7 (Figure 5B). Besides, similar to the effect of glucose stress, H$_2$O$_2$ could increase the transcription level of GRP7, which could not be blocked by AZD-8055, either (Figure 5C). These results suggest that ROS regulates the AS pattern change of GRPs, downstream of TOR kinase upon glucose stress.

High Sugar Regulates Alternative Splicing Pattern of RS31 via Target of Rapamycin-Reactive Oxygen Species Pathway

Petrillo et al. (2014) have established that light could regulate the AS pattern of RS31 through retrograde signals arising from chloroplasts, and they further proved that this AS is regulated by sugars but not directly by light (Petrillo et al., 2014; Riegler et al., 2021). Tognacca et al. (2019) have shown that red light also could modulate the AS pattern of RS31 during light-induced germination in Arabidopsis. Here, we also noticed that glucose stress could regulate the A3SS and ES-type AS of RS31 in our Nanopore sequencing data (Supplementary Table 6). Previously, at least three transcript isoforms including RS31.1, RS31.2, and RS31.3, have been reported (Petrillo et al., 2014; Riegler et al., 2021). In our Nanopore sequencing data, we identified limited
full-length reads corresponding to another transcript isoform called, RS31.4 with a retained intron (Figures 6A,B). Both the expression level of RS31.2 and RS31.4 were very low, and no significant change was detected upon glucose stress (Figure 6B). Both sucrose and glucose, together with mannitol, were tested for the effect of treatments on the AS pattern of RS31. In consistent with the effect on AS pattern of GRP7, the AS pattern of RS31 could be regulated by sugar signals including sucrose and glucose, but not mannitol (Figure 6C). Similar to the effect of sucrose on the AS pattern of RS31 in leaf (Riegler et al., 2021), AZD-8055 could reduce the AS pattern change of RS31 upon glucose stress as well (Figure 6D). In addition, we also found that H$_2$O$_2$ could mimic the effect of glucose stress on the AS pattern of RS31 in Arabidopsis seedlings, while additional treatment with AZD-8055 for H$_2$O$_2$-treated and control samples, respectively, could not impact the AS pattern change of RS31 (Figure 6E). Taken together, high sugars also regulate the AS pattern of RS31 via TOR-ROS pathway.

DISCUSSION

As glucose has dual roles as the energy source and key signaling molecule, the glucose homeostasis is essential in the plant cells. Both sugar starvation and high glucose concentrations can lead to retardation and impairment of plant growth and development. Xiong et al. (2013) used Arabidopsis seedlings growing 3 days after germination in sugar-free medium with 2-h low glucose (15 mM) treatment for transcriptome analysis, and this minimal endogenous glucose level enlarged the detection sensitivity upon glucose induction. In consequence, they provide us global transcriptional reprogramming profile in response to glucose signaling in low glucose level. However, the transcriptional regulation upon high glucose stress remains unclear. Huang et al. (2019) have revealed that wild type 7-day-old seedlings of Arabidopsis showed gradually enhanced attenuation in the root growth on 1/2 MS medium with glucose concentration from 1 to 6%, and 3% glucose treatment could significantly
FIGURE 6 | Glucose stress regulates AS pattern change of RS31 via TOR pathway. (A) Gene model, AS isoforms and IGV coverage diagrams of RS31. Black arrows represent the binding sites of the primers of RT-PCR in (C–E). (B) Transcription level of RS31 transcript variants by Nanopore sequencing. (C) The splicing index (SI) of RS31 transcripts upon different sugar treatments. (D) The SI of RS31 transcripts upon glucose and AZD treatments. (E) The SI of RS31 transcripts upon H₂O₂ and AZD treatments. Ctr, 0% glucose; Glc, 3% glucose; Man, 3% mannitol; Suc, 3% sucrose; AZD, 0% glucose + 20 µM AZD-8055; Glc + AZD, 3% glucose + 20 µM AZD-8055; H₂O₂, 50 µM H₂O₂; AZD, 0% glucose + 20 µM AZD-8055; H₂O₂ + AZD, 50 µM H₂O₂ + 20 µM AZD-8055.

suppress the root meristem activity. By analyzing the weight of 7-day-old seedlings grown on MS with 0, 1, 2, and 3% glucose treatment, we found that the biomass of seedlings exhibited stepwise increase upon 0, 1, and 2% glucose. However, 3% glucose treatment led to a significant decrease in the biomass of the whole plants, compared to the 2% glucose treatment (Supplementary Figure 7). These results suggested that 3% glucose may be high enough as a stress threshold for the inhibition of Arabidopsis seedling growth. Taking 7-day-old seedling samples of Arabidopsis with 0 and 3% glucose treatment for comparative transcriptome analysis, we identified 2,246 upregulated DEGs and 1,192 downregulated DEGs from our transcriptome data by Nanopore sequencing, which provide us full insight of the transcriptional regulation upon glucose stress. On the one hand, glucose stress turns out to suppress the expression of those genes encoding subunits of PSI and PSII complex, and cytoplasmic invertase CINV1 (Supplementary Figure 2), leading to limit the production of photosynthetic sugar and the conversion from sucrose to glucose. On the other hand, glucose stress also activates a series of genes encoding some metabolic enzymes involved in the conversion of glucose to sucrose and some other downstream metabolites, such as F-6-P, 6-PGL, and starch (Supplementary Figure 2), resulting in the depletion of endogenous glucose level. In comparison to the transcriptome data from the low glucose treatment, we have shown that, upon both low and high glucose treatment, many genes, mainly involved in glycolysis and carbon metabolism in mitochondria, were activated (Supplementary Figure 1B), supporting the important role of the glycolysis-mitochondria energy and metabolic relay in glucose signaling. Meanwhile, a large set of genes involved in response to stimulus, stress, and oxygen level, were commonly repressed (Supplementary Figure 1A), suggesting that both low and high glucose would trigger some stress-related responses, in particular, the oscillation of oxygen and ROS level in cells. Many
genes encoding peroxidases for ROS scavenging were uniquely upregulated upon glucose stress, implying that ROS burst might happen when glucose level is too high (Figure 1C). In contrast, many genes encoding ribosomal proteins and protein synthesis machineries were uniquely activated upon low glucose treatment (Supplementary Figure 1D), suggesting that protein synthesis could be promoted by appropriate glucose level and inhibited or restored to a regular level by excessive high glucose level. Many genes involved in photosynthesis are uniquely downregulated upon glucose stress in support of the suppressive effect of high glucose against photosynthesis (Figure 1C), while many genes involved in response to plant hormones were uniquely downregulated upon low glucose treatment (Supplementary Figure 1C), suggesting that some endogenous plant hormones might be involved in the regulation of plant growth and development while certain appropriate glucose level is available.

In general, a large amount of unique DEGs upon glucose stress suggest a dynamic change of global expression profile along with the variation of endogenous glucose level.

Due to advantages of Nanopore sequencing for transcriptome analysis, we could identify a large set of novel transcripts including the unannotated transcript variants from known coding genes, long non-coding RNAs, and/or coding RNAs from putative intergenic regions, and in advance, calculate their transcription level in a more precise way. Therefore, Nanopore sequencing-based transcriptome also turns out to be a better way for the study on RNA splicing. Although the annotation for Arabidopsis genome is well-established and tons of transcriptome data and expressed sequence tags were done, here we still identified more than 17,453 novel transcripts from our samples. For example, in GRP7 and RS31, besides the reported splicing isoforms, we identified novel splicing isoforms of these two genes from our transcriptome data. Meanwhile, we also identified 1,220 significantly DAS events corresponding to 619 genes upon glucose stress, most of which belong to IR type AS. Many of DSGs encode proteins related to RNA splicing, in particular, GR proteins and SR proteins, supporting the idea that splicing factors usually have autoregulation loop. IR type AS. Many of DSGs encode proteins related to RNA splicing, in particular, GR proteins and SR proteins, supporting the idea that splicing factors usually have autoregulation loop (Wang et al., 2020). Nearly 4,000 DAS events were detected in the GLUCOL viewpoint, in Arabidopsis, which includes 85% of the genes encoding ribosomal proteins for protein synthesis that regulates AS via RALF1-FER-GRP7 regulatory module (Meyer et al., 2017). Alternatively, we compared the DAS events upon glucose stress (Figure 3), indicating that glucose stress triggers the retention of cryptic intron in the second exon of GRP7 and probably produces more functional GRP7.

Indeed, GRP7 is not a unique case here. A series of GRPs, such as GRP38, GRP8, GRP9, CSP2, and CSP4, have similar AS pattern change upon glucose stress (Supplementary Table 7 and Supplementary Figure 5). Plant GRPs, a superfamily of proteins with high content of glycine (20–70%) and conserved glycine-containing structural motif with repetitive amino acid residues, could be classified into five main classes (Czolpinska and Rurek, 2018). GRPs of class IV, also called glycine-rich RNA-binding proteins (GR-RBPs), can be further divided into four subclasses (denoted IVα–IVd) (Czolpinska and Rurek, 2018; Ma et al., 2021). Only members of subclass IVd, such as Arabidopsis GRP7, GRP8, and RZ-1C, share homology with the subunits of hnRNPs and are involved in the regulation of AS (Wang and Brendel, 2004). Those GRPs with AS pattern change in response to glucose stress are widely distributed in different classes of GRPs. Only GRP7, GRP8, and RZ-1C belong to the subclass IVd and have been reported to be involved in the splicing of pre-mRNAs (Staiger et al., 2003; Wang and Brendel, 2004; Schoning et al., 2008; Wu et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2020). Therefore, it seems to be a general regulatory strategy for all these referred GRPs, at least. Interestingly, we noticed that due to a frequent usage of GGTT/GGA codon for continuous glycines in GR domain (Figure 3D), the cryptic intron region of GRP pre-mRNA encoding the GR domain might be a hotspot region and provide many of 5′-GU and 3′-AG for potential 5′ and 3′SS, respectively. This may provide us an explanation for the highly diverse transcript variants for GRP genes. However, not all genes in GRP superfamily are subjected to this AS regulation, probably due to the different spatio-temporal expression pattern of individual GRP gene. Both GRP7 and GRP8 have been reported to use an interlocked feedback mechanism of AS for negative autoregulation and reciprocal regulation (Staiger et al., 2003; Schoning et al., 2008). GRP7/8 protein can bind to GRP7/8 pre-mRNAs and inhibit the productive intron splicing to generate GRP7.1/GRP8.1, consequently forming a negative feedback loop (Schoning et al., 2008). Considering that glucose stress could trigger the retention of cryptic intron in the second exon of GRP7/8 and produce more functional GRP7/8, how GRP7/8 finely regulate the first productive intron splicing and the second unproductive cryptic intron splicing to accomplish autoregulation remain elusive.

Recently, GRP7 has been identified as an RNA-binding protein that regulates AS via RALF1-FER-GRP7 regulatory module (Wang et al., 2020). Nearly 4,000 DAS events were detected in the GRP7 loss-of-function mutant grp7-1 8i compared to the wild-type control. Meyer et al. (2017) applied individual nucleotide-resolution crosslinking and immunoprecipitation (iCLIP) and RNA immunoprecipitation (RIP) to identify more than 2,800 transcripts in AtGRP7-GFP expressing plants, indicating that GRP7 could bind to all transcript regions with a preference for 3′ untranslated regions. These results suggested that GRP7 is involved in the global regulation of AS events. Glucose stress could trigger AS change for a large set of genes including GRP7, here, we would like to know whether glucose stress-induced global AS change is regulated via GRP7. Glucose-induced DAS were compared with the DAS induced by loss function of GRP7 in grp7 8i and GRP7 targets identified by RIP/iCLIP (Meyer et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2020; Supplementary Figure 8A). The 125 genes were commonly induced by glucose stress and grp7 8i. At the same time, 101 genes bound by GRP7 were...
also regulated by glucose stress. GRP7-bound 12 genes with DAS concurrently controlled by glucose stress and grp7 8i were identified, suggesting that they might be the downstream targets of GRP7 upon glucose stress. Interestingly, among these 12 genes, long after far-red light 3 (LAF3) encoded a factor required for normal PhyA signaling (Hare et al., 2003). PhyA, together with PhyB, have been shown to be involved in the accumulation of starch and many other primary metabolites in response to light (Han et al., 2017). Upon glucose stress, the intron that retained LAF3.3 and LAF3.4 transcripts increased, whereas the intron-spliced LAF3.NT.2 transcripts decreased (Supplementary Figure 8B). Considering the IR transcripts lead to shortened protein N-termini (Hare et al., 2003), the AS change of LAF3 upon glucose stress might result in a decline of LAF3 function. Therefore, a feedback regulation might happen through glucose-GRP7-LAF3 regulatory module. Besides, another one of the 12 target genes, AT5G51620 encoding an unknown function protein (UPF0172), was also identified to exhibit AS change upon glucose stress by RT-PCR (Supplementary Figure 8C). Its biological function and its correlation with glucose-GRP7 regulatory module remain elusive. However, these genes might not be the only targets for GRP7 upon glucose stress, as DAS genes in grp7 8i and GRP7 target genes identified by RIP/iCLIP were not identified upon high glucose treatment. Moreover, it is notable that not only GRP7, but also other GRP family proteins and other splicing factors, were dynamically regulated during this process.

Previous studies revealed the central role for TOR kinase in AS regulation by light-triggered photosynthesized sugar in both the root and shoot (Riegler et al., 2021). In our study, we provided a series of evidence: (1) both low and high glucose activated glycolysis and carbon metabolism in mitochondria, which is the key relay for the transition of chloroplast retrograde sugar signaling to TOR kinase; (2) TOR kinase was mildly induced by glucose stress; (3) In comparison to glucose stress-regulated DEGs with TOR-regulated DEGs, considerable overlap supported that glucose stress positively regulates the TOR pathway; (4) most importantly, the application of a TOR kinase inhibitor, AZD-8055, could block the AS pattern change upon glucose stress. Therefore, we concluded that glucose stress regulates AS pattern change of GRPs via TOR pathway, in consistent with the effect of light-triggered photosynthesized sugar on AS regulation of RS31 via TOR pathway. Treatment with the same concentration of sucrose but not mannitol could mimic the effect of glucose stress on AS pattern changes of GRPs and RS31, suggesting that sucrose might regulate these AS pattern changes through its conversion to glucose. Either the chloroplast retrogrades sugar signal or external sugar signal appears to activate TOR kinase pathway after glycolysis-mitochondria energy and metabolic relay. Hypoxia condition, which inhibits the mitochondrial function, could reduce the action of TOR kinase in response to photosynthesized sugar signaling (Riegler et al., 2021). Our transcriptome data support that high sugar promotes mitochondrial respiration and activate the expression of peroxidase family genes. These results imply that ROS might increase upon glucose stress and in turn affect AS regulation, which were further supported by our experimental results and the results from a previous study (Huang et al., 2019). Treatment of external H2O2 could mimic the effect of glucose stress on AS pattern change of GRPs, suggesting that ROS might regulate AS downstream of TOR kinase. Moreover, both glucose and H2O2 could regulate the AS pattern change of those genes encoding SR-rich type of splicing factors, such as SR31, in a similar manner. However, according to the Nanopore sequencing data and our RT-PCR results, glucose stress induced the productive transcript variants of GRPs with retained intron but repressed the unproductive transcript variants of RS31 with retained intron. How does glucose stress coordinate these two types of AS regulation and consequent AS regulation of downstream target genes? Are AS pattern changes of GRPs and RS31 simultaneously or hierarchically regulated via TOR-ROS pathway by glucose stress? These two key questions still need to be further investigated and answered.

In summary, here, we used Nanopore sequencing technology and designed experiments to analyze the transcriptional gene expression and AS events in Arabidopsis seedlings upon glucose stress, and we now have a more clear picture about how AS is regulated by high glucose stress (Supplementary Figure 6): (1) high glucose stress could suppress photosynthesis and activate glycolysis-mitochondria energy relay; (2) after glycolysis and sugars feed mitochondria, TOR kinase is activated and the level of ROS is further elevated; (3) TOR-ROS pathway regulates the AS pattern change of both GRPs and RS31, probably leading to the AS pattern change of downstream glucose-stress responsive genes.
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**Supplementary Figure 1** Comparison of the DEGs between the transcriptome data from the samples upon low- and high-glucose treatments. Comparison of the DEGs between our transcriptome data and previous transcriptome data (Xiong et al., 2013). (A) GO enrichment analysis of the DEGs commonly downregulated by 15 mM glucose and 3% glucose (231 genes). (B) GO enrichment analysis of the DEGs commonly upregulated by 15 mM glucose and 3% glucose (302 genes). (C) GO enrichment analysis of the DEGs uniquely downregulated by 15 mM glucose (517 genes). (D) GO enrichment analysis of the DEGs uniquely upregulated DEGs by 15 mM glucose (668 genes).

**Supplementary Figure 2** DEGs associated pathway induced by glucose stress. (A) Heatmap for the relative expression level of genes involved in the mentioned pathway. (B) The diagram of the regulatory gene network related to the mentioned DEGs. SPS, sucrose phosphate synthase; SPP, sucrose phosphatase; INV, invertase; SS, starch synthase; SBE, starch branching enzyme; HKK, Hexokinase; PGM, Phosphoglucomutase; PGD, Glucose 6-phosphatedehydrogenase; MDH, Malate dehydrogenase; IDH, Isocitrate dehydrogenase; MLS, Malate synthase; PPC, Phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase; TCA cycle, Tricarboxylic acid cycle; RGS, Regulator of G-protein signaling; SnRK17, SNF1-related protein kinase 1; TOR, Target of rapamycin; G-6-P, Glucose-6-phosphate; G-1-P, Glucose-1-phosphate; 6-PGL, 6-Phosphogluconolactonase; PPP, Pentose phosphate pathway. Green downward arrows represent downregulation; red upward arrows represent upregulation.

**Supplementary Figure 3** AS events and genes (DSGs) upon glucose stress. (A) The numbers of AS events in WT_Ctr and WT_Glc samples. (B) Venn diagram showing the overlap between DEGs and DSGs upon glucose stress. DEG_up, differentially upregulated genes (FC > 2, FDR < 0.01); DSGs, differential alternative splicing genes ([ΔPSI] > 5%, p < 0.05); DEG_down, differentially down-regulated genes (FC < 1/2, FDR < 0.01).

**Supplementary Figure 4** Identification of DSGs upon glucose stress. (A–E) Alternative splicing events of RNU1, AT5G48220, LUH, MED26B, and UGT76ED6 in WT_Ctr and WT_Glc samples. The left panels shows the gene model, AS isoforms, and IGV coverage diagrams for each tested gene; the middle panel shows the transcription level of transcript variants for each tested gene; the right panel shows the confirmation results of AS events by RT-PCR with the primers shown as black arrows in the left panel. NT, novel transcripts.

**Supplementary Figure 5** Glucose stress regulates the AS events of GRPs upon glucose stress. (A–E) Identification of AS events of GRP3S, GRP8, GRP9, CSP2, and CSP4 in WT_Ctr and WT_Glc samples. The left panels showed the gene model and IGV coverage diagrams for each tested gene, the yellow bars represent the mRNA region encoding glycin-rich (GR) domain; the right panel showed the confirmation results of AS events by RT-PCR with the primers shown as black arrows in the left panels.

**Supplementary Figure 6** Glucose stress regulates the AS events of GRPs and RS31 via TOR-ROS pathway upon glucose stress. Working model for the AS regulation upon glucose stress. High sugar inhibits the photosynthesis and promotes the glycolysis-mitochondria function; both the photosynthetic sugars and external high sugars are transmitted to mitochondria relay, further leading to the activation of TOR kinase; TOR kinase promotes the accumulation of ROS, such as H₂O₂, which results in the increase of productive transcript variants of GRPs and the decrease of unproductive transcript variants of RS31, consequently affecting the downstream AS-associated transcriptional regulation.

**Supplementary Figure 7** The biomass of the whole 7-day-old seedlings upon glucose treatment in different concentrations. The weight of wild type Arabidopsis 7-day-old seedlings grown upon glucose treatment in different concentration of 0 (Ctr), 1, 2, and 3%, respectively, were measured and the average weight of each seedling were compared.

**Supplementary Figure 8** The overlapping analysis of differential alternative splicing (DAS) genes induced by high glucose treatment, by loss of function of GRP7 in grp7-1 8i, and GRP7 target genes identified by RIP/iCLIP. (A) Venn diagram showing an overlap between DSGs regulated by high glucose treatment, target genes of GRP7 identified by RIP/iCLIP and DSGs controlled by grp7 8i. (B, C) AS events of LAF3 and AT5G51620 in WT_Ctr and WT_Glc samples. The left panels show the gene model, AS isoforms, and IGV coverage diagrams for each tested gene; the right panel shows the confirmation results of AS events by RT-PCR with the primers shown as black arrows in the left panels. NT, novel transcripts.
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