Computer modeling of whole-cell voltage-clamp analyses to delineate guidelines for good practice of manual and automated patch-clamp
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ABSTRACT

The patch-clamp technique has contributed to major advances in the characterization of ion channels. The recent development of high throughput patch-clamp provides a new momentum to the field. However, whole-cell voltage-clamp technique presents certain limits that need to be considered for robust data generation. One major caveat is that current amplitude profoundly impacts the precision of the analyzed characteristics of the ion current under study. For voltage-gated channels, the higher the current amplitude is, the less precise the characteristics of voltage-dependence are. Similarly, in ion channel pharmacology, the characteristics of dose-response curves are hindered by high current amplitudes. In addition, the recent development of high throughput patch-clamp technique is often associated with the generation of stable cell lines demonstrating high current amplitudes. It is therefore critical to set the limits for current amplitude recordings to avoid inaccuracy in the characterization of channel properties or drug actions, such limits being different from one channel to another. In the present study, we use kinetic models of a voltage-gated sodium channel and a voltage-gated potassium channel to edict simple guidelines for good practice of whole-cell voltage-clamp recordings.
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INTRODUCTION

The patch-clamp technique has contributed to major advances in the characterization of ion channel biophysical properties and pharmacology, thanks to the versatility of the readouts: (i) unitary currents allowing the study of a single channel conductance, open probability and kinetics, and (ii) whole-cell currents allowing characterization of a population of channels, macroscopic properties of the gates, or pharmacology (Hille, 2001).

As for any techniques, some practical limits have to be taken into account. As schematized in Figure 1, a major caveat in the whole-cell configuration of the voltage-clamp technique is due to the fact that the pipette tip in manual patch-clamp, or the glass perforation in planar automated patch-clamp, creates a series resistance ($R_s$) in the order of the MΩ. Consequently, according to the Ohm’s law, when a current flowing through the pipette is in the order of the nA, it leads to a voltage drop of several mV at the pipette tip or the glass perforation. The actual voltage applied to the cell membrane ($V_m$) is therefore different than the voltage clamped by the amplifier and applied between the two electrodes (pipette and bath electrodes, $V_{cmd}$).

This caveat was described early on when the patch-clamp technique was developed (Ebihara and Johnson, 1980). However, with the development of automated patch-clamp for the industry and academia, the technique that was formerly reserved to specialized electrophysiologists, has been popularized to scientists that are not always aware of the limits of the technique. In that respect, we witness the multiplication of publications that report ionic currents in the range of several nA, that undoubtedly have led to incorrect voltage clamp and erroneous conclusions. Early on, this problem was partially solved by the development of amplifiers having the capacity to add a potential equivalent to the one which is lost ($V_s$), a function which is called $R_s$ compensation (Sherman et al., 1999). However, compensation rarely reaches 100% and high-throughput systems have limited compensation capabilities due to the fact that there is only one amplifier for an array of cells.

Here, we used a mathematical model to study in detail the impact of various levels of $R_s$ and current amplitude on the steady-state activation and dose-response curves of the cardiac voltage-dependent sodium current $I_{Na}$, as well as the steady-state activation curve of the cardiac voltage-dependent potassium current $I_{Ko}$. We then predicted the impact of various levels of $R_s$ on the current conducted by transiently expressed Na$_1$1.5 channels and compared this prediction to whole-cell voltage-clamp recordings obtained in manual patch-clamp analyses. Finally, we looked at the impact of $R_s$ in whole-cell voltage-clamp recordings of Na$_1$1.5 currents obtained in automated patch-clamp. This study highlights potential incorrect interpretation of the data and allows proposing simple guidelines for the study of voltage-gated channels in patch-clamp, which will help in the design of experiments and rationalize data analyses to avoid misinterpretation.

RESULTS

The aim of this study was to use kinetic models of specific ion currents to generate current ‘recordings’ that take into account the voltage error made using the whole-cell configuration of
the patch-clamp technique. We then used and compared these data and experimental observations to propose simple rules for good quality patch-clamp recordings.

In order to calculate the current (I) recorded at a given voltage, in a cell, we used Hodgkin-Huxley models of voltage-gated channels (O’Hara et al., 2011). For this calculation, we need to determine the actual membrane potential (V_m) but we only know the potential applied between the pipette and reference electrode (Vcmd), illustrated in Figure 1. The voltage error between V_m and Vcmd is the voltage drop at the pipette tip (V_S), due to the series resistance (R_S). So, I is the resultant of both the membrane resistance variations (due to channels opening or closing, R_m) and R_S. For voltage-gated channels, R_S varies with voltage and time. So we envision that I value can only be obtained through an iterative calculation at each time step (see supplemental information for further details).

We started to model the current conducted by cardiac voltage-gated sodium channels (Nav1.5 for the vast majority) for a combination of values of series resistance (R_S) and various current amplitude ranges (depending on the amount of active channels in the membrane, Figure 2A).

First, when R_S is null (the optimal condition, which can only be attained experimentally by 100% R_S compensation), the voltage error is null and the shapes of the recordings are identical, independent of the current amplitude (in green in Figure 2B). Consistent with voltage error being proportional to both R_S and current values, we observed that combined increase in R_S and current amplitude leads to alteration in the current traces, due to a deviation of V_m from Vcmd (Figure 2B). When R_S is equal to 2 MΩ (in orange), alterations in the shape of the currents are observed only when current amplitude reaches several nA (high expression of ion channels, bottom). Further increasing R_S to 5 MΩ, the alterations are observed already in the medium range of current, and emphasized when currents are large (middle and bottom, in red). As illustrated in Figure 2B, when I and R_S are elevated, the voltage applied to the membrane, V_m, can reach -7 mV only, whereas the applied voltage command, Vcmd, is -40 mV (bottom right, Vm inset). Thus, in these conditions, V_S, the voltage deviation represents 33 mV, which is not at all negligible (Figure 2C).

The impact of R_S on current amplitude is the highest for large amplitudes (Figure 3A, 10-nA range), e.g. at potentials between −40 and −20 mV. At such potentials, activation and inactivation time course are also altered by high R_S values. Altogether, this leads to major artefactual modifications of the current-voltage and activation curves (Figure 3B-C). Indeed, except when R_S is null, increasing the current amplitude range, from 0.1 to 10 nA, shifts the voltage-dependence of activation (V_{0.5}) towards hyperpolarized potentials (Figure 3C). For the largest currents, series resistance of 2 and 5 MΩ induces a −8 mV and −16 mV shift of V_{0.5}, respectively. The slope factor k is also drastically reduced by a factor of 1.7 and 3.6, respectively. As a result, for the largest current and series resistance of 5 MΩ, an all-or-nothing-type response is generated by changing the step voltage from −50 (almost no activation) to −45 mV (almost full activation).

Besides impact on the characteristic of voltage dependent activation, R_S may also impact channel pharmacological characteristics. In order to model this impact, we had to draw for various values of R_S, the relationship between the theoretical values of the peak sodium current, I_{peak} (with no voltage error) and the measured values of I_{peak}. We calculated this relationship at a potential that could be used to establish the dose-response curve, here -20 mV. First, when R_S is null, the
voltage error is null and both values (theoretical and computed values) are the same. As \( R_S \) increases, the measured \( I_{Na} \) curve is inflected accordingly (Figure 4A, middle and right).

We used this relationship to look at the impact of \( R_S \) on the apparent effects of a channel blocker, tetrodotoxin (TTX) on the sodium current. We started with published data on TTX (Wang et al., 2013) to generate theoretical (\( R_S = 0 \) MΩ) dose-response curve and current traces in the presence of various concentrations of TTX (Figure 4B-C, green). Then we used the relationship between the theoretical and values of \( I_{peak} \) at \( R_S \) of 2 and 5 MΩ (established in Figure 4A), to build the dose-response curves, for these \( R_S \) values. When there is no TTX (Figure 4B, left), current amplitude is high and voltage-clamp is not efficient, thus there are major differences between theoretical (green) and measured (orange and red) amplitudes. When inhibitor concentration increases, remaining current amplitudes decrease and voltage-clamp is improved. Hence, theoretical and measured values are similar, whatever the \( R_S \) values (Figure 4B, right). This leads to an artefactual shift of the resulting dose-response curve toward higher concentrations (Figure 4C). For \( I_{peak} \) of 26 nA, \( R_S \) of 2 and 5 MΩ induce an increase of \( IC_{50} \) by a factor of 2.0 and 3.9, respectively. For low \( I_{peak} \) (2.6 nA), these modifications are minimal. Since \( R_S \) values of 10 MΩ can be easily met in automated patch clamp, we also calculated \( IC_{50} \) for a \( I_{peak} \) of 26 nA and obtained an increase of \( IC_{50} \) by a factor of 5.3.

We applied the same modeling strategy to study the impact of \( R_S \) on the ‘measurement’ of the voltage-gated K⁺ current \( I_{to} \), using a Hodgkin-Huxley model of this current (Figure 5A; O’Hara et al., 2011). As for the Na⁺ current, we modeled the \( I_{to} \) current for a combination of values of series resistances and current amplitude ranges. Again, when \( R_S \) is null, the voltage error is null and the shapes of the recordings are identical, independent of the current amplitude (in green in Figure 5B). Consistent with voltage error being proportional to both \( R_S \) and current, we observed that combined increase of \( R_S \) and current amplitude leads to alteration in the recordings, due to a deviation of \( V_m \) from \( V_{cmd} \) (Figure 5B). \( I_{to} \) current characteristics, nonetheless, are less sensitive to \( R_S \) and current amplitude as compared to \( I_{Na} \): when \( R_S \) is equal to 5 MΩ (in orange), alteration in the shape of the recordings is significant only when current amplitude is 10-fold higher than Na⁺ currents (in red). When \( R_S \) reaches 15 MΩ and current amplitude is equal to several tens of nA, conditions routinely observed in automated patch-clamp with stable cell lines (Oliver et al., 2017; Ranjan et al., 2019), the model predicts major modification of the activation curve and apparition of a delayed inactivation (Figure 5B, bottom right). When \( R_S \) is not null, increasing peak current amplitude range up to 100 nA leads to major shift in voltage-dependence of activation as the following: for a peak current of 100 nA, \( R_S \) of 5 and 15 MΩ induces a −9 mV and −18 mV shift of the half activation potential, respectively. The slope is also drastically decreased by a factor of 1.8 and 2.5, respectively (Figure 5C). Noteworthy, when Rs is 15 MΩ and amplitudes are in the order of several tens of nA, major voltage deviation occurs, decreasing the current amplitude by a factor of ten. This may give the impression that the current is not high and thus voltage error negligible.

In order to test whether the model reproduces experimental data, we used a set of data of heterologously-expressed Na,1.5 currents recorded in COS-7 cells using manual voltage-clamp (qualitatively validated or not, to include highly ‘artefacted’, erroneous data). When using transient transfection systems, recorded currents are very variable from cell to cell, with peak
currents measured at −20 mV ranging from 391 pA to 17.8 nA in the chosen cell set (52 cells). We used this variability to study the effect of current amplitude on the activation curve properties. First, in order for the model to be as close as possible to experimental data, we modified the previously published Hodgkin-Huxley model, to match the properties of the Na\(_{v1.5}\) current obtained in optimal experimental conditions (Figure 6). We used as reference group, the cells presenting peak current amplitudes in a range smaller than 1 nA (7 cells), and with R\(_S\) compensation allowing residual R\(_S\) of around 2 MΩ. The initial model (Figure 3) suggests negligible alteration of V\(_{0.5}\) and k in these conditions. The model was then optimized to obtain V\(_{0.5}\), k and inactivation time constants that are similar to averaged values of the 7 reference cells (Figure 6B,C).

We then split the 52 cells in 6 groups according to current amplitude range (the 7 reference cells, then 4 groups of 10 cells, and a last group of 5 cells) and plotted, for each group, the mean V\(_{0.5}\) and k values as a function of mean current amplitude (Figure 7). We observed a decrease in both V\(_{0.5}\) and k when current range increases. These relationships were successfully fitted by the computer model when R\(_S\) was set to 2 MΩ, which is close to the experimental value, after compensation (R\(_S\) = 2.3 ± 0.2 MΩ). In these conditions, if we accept maximal inward peak current amplitudes up to 7 nA, error on V\(_{0.5}\) is below 10 mV and k remains greater than 5 mV. Experimentally, current amplitudes larger than 7 nA should be prevented or discarded, to prevent larger errors on the estimate of V\(_{0.5}\) and k. Nevertheless, the benefit of such a representation (Figure 7) is obvious as a correlation can be drawn between current amplitude and V\(_{0.5}\) and k values, with a more reliable estimate of these values at low current amplitude levels.

In order to illustrate how incorrect voltage-clamp due to high series resistance can lead to misinterpretation of the data, we used another set of data of I\(_{Na}\) currents obtained from mouse cardiomyocytes in which a “test condition” leads to an increased current amplitude. For the demonstration, we used values of current amplitudes that are frequently published, ranging from −1 to −10 nA, with 80% series resistance compensation. We drew similar plots as in Figure 7, of the experimental activation parameters and we added the model of over-expressed Na\(_{v1.5}\) currents generated above. The model does not exactly fit to the data, suggesting that I\(_{Na}\) parameters are slightly different in cardiomyocytes and transfected COS-7 cells. Interestingly, however, the exponential fit of the data follows the same trend, parallel to the COS-7 model, suggesting that effects of the treatment on current amplitude is also the cause of the variation of both V\(_{0.5}\) and k parameters. This suggests that the differences in V\(_{0.5}\) and k parameters between control and test groups may be partly or totally a consequence of the amplitude change (Figure 8).

Finally, we used a set of cell data obtained using the automated patch-clamp set-up Syncropatch 384PE, and HEK293 cells stably expressing the Na\(_{v1.5}\) channels. The first experimental group has a mean inward current amplitude lower than the reference group of transfected COS-7 cells (-267 ± 67 pA, n = 7 vs -608 ± 48 pA, n = 7, respectively). It has to be noticed that in this amplitude range, activation parameters are more difficult to determine. This is reflected by the large s.e.m. values for mean V\(_{0.5}\) and k. We postulate that HEK293 endogenous currents may non-specifically affect the properties of the recorded currents. For the following groups with larger I\(_{Na}\) amplitudes, V\(_{0.5}\) seems to be stable. Hence, a V\(_{0.5}\) value around −25 mV appears to be
reliable. When current amplitudes are lower than 3.5 nA, \( V_{0.5} \) change is less than 10 mV and \( k \) remains greater than 5 mV. Therefore, it is essential to experiment in conditions in which the inward current value is comprised between \(-300\) pA and \(-3.5\) nA when using an automated patch-clamp system, and to exclude data with higher peak current amplitude. These limits are more stringent than for manual patch-clamp as seen above (7 nA), but this is consistent with the limited compensation capabilities of automated patch-clamp systems. Indeed, there is only one amplifier for a large array of cells and each individual \( R_S \) cannot be measured. Thus, the \( R_S \) values being different from cell to cell, a series of \( R_S \) connected in parallel can be partially compensated only but cannot be appropriately compensated by feedback loop without inducing adverse current oscillation.

**DISCUSSION**

Even though effects of series resistance have been described very early (Ebihara and Johnson, 1980), a lot of published measured currents are in the range of several nA, which often lead to incorrect voltage-clamp. We developed a simple model, using published kinetic models of ion currents to simulate and describe such a caveat. We used both an inward current generated by a voltage-gated sodium channel and an outward current generated by a voltage-gated potassium channel, both of them characterized by fast activation kinetics. Using these models and experimental recordings, we observed that large series resistance may give erroneous activation curves (Figures 2,3,5,7,9) and dose-response curves (Figure 4). Interpretation of voltage-dependence of activation can further be hindered in cases where treatments of interest alter the current amplitude (Figure 8).

A similar mathematical model, taking into account the \( R_S \) impact has been used to study the causes of variability of current recordings obtained from the voltage-gated potassium channel Kv11.1 (Lei et al., 2019). Here we used such a model to provide a guideline focusing on parameters that the manipulator can easily act on: current amplitude and \( R_S \).

We observed that the cardiac voltage-gated sodium current \( I_{Na} \) is much more sensitive than the cardiac voltage-gated potassium current \( I_{Ko} \); the condition with a current amplitude range of 10 nA and a \( R_S \) of 5 M\( \Omega \), shows almost no alteration of the activation curve of the voltage-gated potassium channel (Figure 5B, center and Figure 5C, middle), whereas the same condition in the voltage-gated sodium channel, shows a major alteration of the activation curve (Figure 2B, bottom right and Figure 3, right). The simplest interpretation of this observation may be associated with the fact that, for sodium channels, the increase in sodium entry induced by depolarization further depolarizes the membrane and creates instability. For potassium channels, the increase of potassium outflow induced by depolarization tends to repolarize the membrane and limits instability. However, in extreme cases, repolarization prevents the occurrence of inactivation, leading to delayed inactivation (Figure 5C, bottom right).

For the voltage-gated sodium channel \( Na_{1.5} \), we concluded that it is essential to prevent recording inward current amplitudes greater than 7 nA in which compensated \( R_S \) is around 2 M\( \Omega \) to get a reasonable estimate of the activation gate characteristics in manual patch-clamp
technique (Figure 7). When using an automated patch system, the limit is lowered to 3.5 nA (Figure 9).

In order to test for activation $V_{0.5}$ changes, induced by drug treatments, mutations, or post-translational modifications which also alter current amplitude, it is advisable to generate plots such as in Figure 8 to determine whether the observed alteration in $V_{0.5}$ and $k$ is a direct consequence of the treatment or an artefactual consequence of the current amplitude change.

To summarize, we suggest simple guidelines for the voltage-gated sodium channel Nav1.5:

1. Always compensate $R_S$ as much as possible,
2. $R_S$ values around 2 MOhm after compensation allows recordings with a maximal current of 7 nA for manual patch-clamp.
3. Using Nanion Syncropatch384PE, recordings with a maximal current of 3.5 nA can be used.

The guidelines are less stringent to record reliable $K^+$ outward currents. However, always compensate $R_S$ as much as possible. From Figure 5B,C, for $R_S$ values up to 5 MΩ after compensation, recordings with a maximal current of 10 nA will be highly reliable. With Nanion Syncropatch384PE, $R_S$ values up to 15 MΩ after compensation allow recordings with a maximal current of 10 nA, but inhibitors or various transient transfection conditions should be used to make sure that the measured current amplitude is not saturating due to voltage deviation (Figure 5B, bottom right).

For any current generated by voltage-gated channels, it is mostly judicious to draw activation slope vs. amplitude plots and activation $V_{0.5}$ vs. amplitude plots in a preliminary study to determine adapted conditions, a prerequisite to obtain reliable data and results.

For any other ion-channel type, ligand-, lipid-gated, regulated by second messengers or else, low membrane resistance i.e. high expression of active ion channels associated with high $R_S$ values will also interfere with adequate voltage command and current measurements.

Several simple adaptations can be made to reach optimal experimental conditions:

1. $R_S$ values are much lower when pipettes with low resistance (‘large’ pipettes) are used. When using amplifiers combining $R_S$ and $C_m$ compensation, suppression of pipette capacitance currents is of high interest since uncompensated pipette capacitance has a detrimental effect on the stability of the series resistance correction circuitry. This can be achieved by the use of borosilicate glass pipettes and wax or Sylgard coating. When using Nanion Syncropatch384PE or other automated patch-clamp systems, low resistance chips are preferred.
2. When over-expressed channels are studied, transfection has to be adapted to produce reasonable amount of channels to generate the desired current amplitude, or, when cell lines stably expressing the channel of interest are used, the clones generating the desired current amplitude range are preferably chosen. Any current, including native currents can be also reduced when pipette and extracellular concentrations of the carried ion are reduced. In addition, the concentration gradient can be changed to limit the electrochemical gradient.
Therefore any patch-clamp experiment needs to be carefully designed to reach appropriate conditions, guaranteeing rigorous analysis of the current.

METHODS

Computer models. $I_{Na}$ and $I_{io}$ currents were modeled using a Hodgkin-Huxley model of channel gating based on previously published models (O’Hara et al., 2011).

For cardiac $I_{Na}$, we did not include the slow component of $h$, which only represents 1% of $h$ inactivation (O’Hara et al., 2011).

\[ m_{\infty} = \frac{1}{1 + \exp \left( -\frac{V_m + 39.57}{9.871} \right)} \quad (eq. 1) \]

\[ \tau_m = \frac{1}{6.765 \times \exp \left( \frac{V_m + 11.64}{34.77} \right) + 8.552 \times \exp \left( -\frac{V_m + 77.42}{5.955} \right)} \quad (eq. 2) \]

\[ j_{\infty} = \frac{1}{1 + \exp \left( \frac{V_m + 82.9}{6.086} \right)} \quad (eq. 3) \]

\[ \tau_j = 2.038 + \frac{1}{0.02136 \times \exp \left( -\frac{V_m + 100.6}{8.281} \right) + 0.3052 \times \exp \left( \frac{V_m + 0.9941}{38.45} \right)} \quad (eq. 4) \]

\[ h_{\infty} = \frac{1}{1 + \exp \left( \frac{V_m + 82.9}{6.086} \right)} \quad (eq. 5) \]

\[ \tau_h = \frac{1}{1.432 \times 10^{-5} \times \exp \left( -\frac{V_m + 1.196}{6.285} \right) + 6.149 \times \exp \left( \frac{V_m + 0.5096}{20.27} \right)} \quad (eq. 6) \]

$m$, $h$ and $j$ were computed as:

\[ y_{t+t_{step}} = y_{\infty} - (y_{\infty} - y_{i}) \times \exp (-t/t_{step}) \quad (eq. 7) \]

with a time step ($t_{step}$) of 0.1 $\mu$s

$I_{Na}$ was calculated as follows:

\[ I_{Na} = G_{Na} \times (V_m - E_{Na}) \times m^3 \times j \times h \quad (eq. 8) \]

with $E_{Na} = \frac{RT}{zF} \log_{\text{[Na]out}}\left(\frac{\text{[Na]out}}{\text{[Na]in}}\right)$, $\text{[Na]out} = 145$ mM and $\text{[Na]in} = 10$ mM
For transfected Na\textsubscript{v1.5}, we adjusted some parameters, shown in bold, to fit the characteristics of the current when peak amplitude is less than 1 nA (cf text and Figure 6).

\[
m_\infty = \frac{1}{1 + \exp \left(-\frac{V_m + 42.57}{12}\right)} \quad (eq. 9)
\]

\[
\tau_h = \frac{4}{1.432 \times 10^{-5} \times \exp \left(-\frac{V_m + 1.196}{6.285}\right) + 6.149 \times \exp \left(-\frac{V_m + 0.5096}{20.27}\right)} \quad (eq. 10)
\]

For cardiac I\textsubscript{to}, we did not include the CaMK dependent component, since at low Ca\textsuperscript{2+} pipette concentration (<100 nM), this component is negligible (2%) (O’Hara et al., 2011).

\[
a_\infty = \frac{1}{1 + \exp \left(-\frac{V_m - 14.34}{14.82}\right)} \quad (eq. 11)
\]

\[
\tau_a = \frac{1.0515}{1.2089 \times (1 + \exp \left(-\frac{V_m - 18.41}{29.38}\right)) + 3.5 \times \exp \left(\frac{V_m + 100}{29.38}\right)} \quad (eq. 12)
\]

\[
i_\infty = \frac{1}{1 + \exp \left(\frac{V_m + 43.94}{5.711}\right)} \quad (eq. 13)
\]

\[
\tau_{i, fast} = 4.562 + \frac{1}{0.3933 \times \exp \left(-\frac{V_m + 100}{100}\right) + 0.08004 \times \exp \left(\frac{V_m + 50}{16.59}\right)} \quad (eq. 14)
\]

\[
\tau_{i, slow} = 23.62 + \frac{1}{0.001416 \times \exp \left(-\frac{V_m + 96.52}{59.05}\right) + 1.78 \times 10^{-8} \times \exp \left(\frac{V_m + 114.1}{8.079}\right)} \quad (eq. 15)
\]

\[
A_{i, fast} = \frac{1}{1 + \exp \left(\frac{V_m - 213.6}{151.2}\right)} \quad (eq. 16)
\]

\[
A_{i, slow} = 1 - A_{i, fast} \quad (eq. 17)
\]

\[
i = A_{i, fast} \times i_{fast} + A_{i, slow} \times i_{slow} \quad (eq. 18)
\]

\[a, i_{fast} \text{ and } i_{slow} \text{ were computed as :}
\]

\[
y_{t + t_{step}} = y_\infty - (y_\infty - y_t) \ast \exp \left(-t/t_{step}\right) \quad (eq. 19)
\]

with a time step (t\textsubscript{step}) of 0.1 µs
\( I_{to} \) was calculated as follows:

\[
I_{to} = G_{to} \times (V_m - E_K) \times a \times i \quad (eq. 20)
\]

with

\[
E_K = \frac{RT}{zF} \log \left( \frac{[K]_{out}}{[K]_{int}} \right), \quad [K]_{out} = 5 \text{ mM and } [K]_{in} = 145 \text{ mM}
\]

For details on the kinetic models, please see (O’Hara et al., 2011)

Voltage error caused by the presence of \( R_S \) was computed as follows at each time step:

\[
V_m = V_{cmd} - R_S \times i \quad (eq. 21)
\]

We hypothesized that the amplifier response time was not limiting. Membrane capacitance used was 25 pF and considered electronically compensated. Space clamp was considered negligible in small cells like COS-7 cells but it is worth mentioning that it should be taken into account in bigger cells such as cardiomyocytes and in cells with complex morphologies such as neurons.

**Cell culture and transfection.** The African green monkey kidney-derived cell line, COS-7, was obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (CRL-1651) and cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (GIBCO) supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum and antibiotics (100 IU/mL penicillin and 100 µg/mL streptomycin) at 5% CO\(_2\) and 95% air, maintained at 37°C in a humidified incubator. Cells were transfected in 35-mm Petri dishes when the culture reached 50-60% confluence, with DNA (2 µg total DNA) complexed with jetPEI (Polyplus transfection) according to the standard protocol recommended by the manufacturer. COS-7 cells were co-transfected with 200 ng of pCI-SCN5A (NM_000335.4), 200 ng of pRC-SCN1B (NM_001037) (kind gifts of AL George, Northwestern University, Feinberg School of Medicine) and 1.6 µg pEGFP-N3 plasmid (Clontech). Cells were re-plated onto 35-mm Petri dishes the day after transfection for patch-clamp experiments. HEK293 cells stably expressing hNav1.5 were cultured in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum, 1 mM pyruvic acid, 4 mM glutamine, 400 µg/mL of G418 (Sigma), 10 U/mL penicillin and 10 µg/mL streptomycin (Gibco, Grand Island, NY), and incubated at 37°C in a 5% CO\(_2\) atmosphere.

**Statement on the use of mice.** All investigations conformed to directive 2010/63/EU of the European Parliament, to the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals published by the US National Institutes of Health (NIH Publication No. 85-23, revised 1985) and to local institutional guidelines.

**Cardiomyocyte preparation.** Single cardiomyocytes were isolated from the ventricles of adult (8-14 weeks) mice by enzymatic dissociation and mechanical dispersion according to a modified procedure of established methods. Briefly, mice were injected with heparin (5000 units/kg body weight) 30 minutes before sacrifice by cervical dislocation. Hearts were excised, and perfused retrogradely through the aorta with a solution containing (in mM): NaCl, 113; KCl, 4.7; MgSO\(_4\), 1.2; KH\(_2\)PO\(_4\), 0.6; NaH\(_2\)PO\(_4\), 0.6; HEPES, 10; NaHCO\(_3\), 1.6; taurine, 30; glucose, 20 (pH 7.4 with NaOH). Hearts were subsequently digested for 11 minutes with the same solution supplemented with 0.08 mg/mL Liberase TM Research Grade (Sigma). Following digestion, the perfusion was stopped, the atria were removed, and the ventricles were dispersed by gentle trituration. Cell suspensions were filtered to remove large undissociated tissue fragments, and resuspended in solutions containing 10 mg/mL bovine serum albumin and Ca\(^{2+}\) concentrations.
successively increasing from nominally 0 to 0.2, 0.5 and 1 mM. Cells were then resuspended in medium-199 (Sigma) supplemented with 5% foetal bovine serum, 10 mM 2,3-Butanediol monoxime, 100 μg/ml penicillin and 100 μg/ml streptomycin, plated on laminin-coated dishes, and incubated in 37°C, 5% CO₂: 95% air incubator. After 1-hour plating, culture medium was replaced by medium-199 supplemented with 0.1% bovine serum albumin, 10 mM 2,3-Butanediol monoxime, 1X Insulin/Transferrin/Sodium Selenite (Sigma), 1X Chemically Defined Lipid Concentrate (Gibco), 0.5 μM cytochalasine D (Sigma), 100 U/mL penicillin and 100 μg/mL streptomycin until electrophysiological experiments were performed.

Manual electrophysiology on transfected COS-7 cells. One or 2 days after splitting, COS-7 cells were mounted on the stage of an inverted microscope and constantly perfused by a Tyrode solution maintained at 22.0 ± 2.0°C at a rate of 1-3 mL/min; HEPES-buffered Tyrode solution contained (in mmol/L): NaCl 145, KCl 4, MgCl₂ 1, CaCl₂ 1, HEPES 5, glucose 5, pH adjusted to 7.4 with NaOH. During Na⁺ current recording, the studied cell was locally superfused with extracellular medium containing (in mmol/L): NaCl, 145; CsCl, 4; CaCl₂, 1; MgCl₂, 1; HEPES, 5; glucose, 5; pH adjusted to 7.4 with NaOH. Patch pipettes (tip resistance: 0.8 to 1.3 MΩ) were pulled from soda lime glass capillaries (Kimble-Chase) and coated with dental wax to decrease pipette capacitive currents. The pipette was filled with Na⁺ intracellular medium containing (in mmol/L): CsCl, 80; gluconic acid, 45; NaCl, 10; MgCl₂, 1; CaCl₂, 2.5; EGTA, 5; HEPES, 10; pH adjusted to 7.2 with CsOH. Stimulation and data recording were performed with pClamp 10, an A/D converter (Digidata 1440A) and an Axopatch 200B (all Molecular Devices) or an Alembic amplifier (Alembic Instruments). Currents were acquired in the whole-cell configuration, filtered at 10 kHz and recorded at a sampling rate of 33 kHz. Before Series resistance compensation, a series of 50 25-ms steps were applied from -70 mV to -80 mV to subsequently off-line calculate more precise Cm and Rs values from the recorded current. To generate the Na⁺ activation curve, the membrane was depolarized from a holding potential of −100 mV to values between −80 mV and +50 mV (+5-mV increment) for 50 ms, every 2 s. Activation curves were fitted by a Boltzmann equation: \[ G = \frac{G_{\text{max}}}{1 + \exp \left( - \frac{V_m - V_{0.5}}{k} \right)} \], in which G is the conductance, \( V_{0.5} \) is the membrane potential of half-activation and k is the slope factor.

Electrophysiology on cardiomyocytes Whole-cell Na⁺ currents were recorded at room temperature 48 hours after cell isolation using an Axopatch 200B amplifier (Axon Instruments, Molecular Devices, San Jose, CA). Voltage-clamp protocols were applied using the pClamp 10.2 software package interfaced to the electrophysiological equipment using a Digidata 1440A digitizer (all Axon Instruments). Current signals were filtered at 10 kHz prior to digitization at 50 kHz and storage. Patch-clamp pipettes were fabricated from borosilicate glass (OD: 1.5 mm, ID: 0.86 mm, Sutter Instrument, Novato, CA) using a P-97 micropipette puller (Sutter Instrument), coated with wax, and fire-polished to a resistance between 0.8 and 1.5 MΩ when filled with internal solution. The internal solution contained (in mM): NaCl 5, CsF 115, CsCl 20, HEPES 10, EGTA 10 (pH 7.35 with CsOH, ~300 mosM). The external solution contained (in mM): NaCl 10, CsCl 5, N-Methyl-D-glucamine-Cl 104, TEA-Cl (tetraethylammonium chloride) 25, HEPES 10, Glucose 5, CaCl₂ 1, MgCl₂ 2 (pH 7.4 with HCl, ~300 mosM). All chemicals were purchased from Sigma. After establishing the whole-cell configuration, ten minutes were allowed to allow stabilization of voltage-dependence of activation and inactivation properties. During this time, 25-ms voltage steps to ± 10 mV from a holding potential (HP) of −70 mV were applied to allow measurement of whole-cell membrane capacitances, input and series resistances. After compensation of series resistance (80%), the membrane was held at a HP of −120 mV, and the voltage-clamp protocol was carried out as indicated below.
Data were compiled and analyzed using ClampFit 10.2 (Axon Instruments), Microsoft Excel, and Prism (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA). Whole-cell membrane capacitances \((Cm)\) were determined by analyzing the decays of capacitive transients elicited by brief (25 ms) voltage steps to ±10 mV from the HP (−70 mV). Input resistances were calculated from the steady-state currents elicited by the same ±10 mV steps (from the HP). Series resistances were calculated by dividing the decay time constants of the capacitive transients (fitted with single exponentials) by the \(Cm\). To determine peak Na\(^+\) current-voltage relationships, currents were elicited by 50-ms depolarizing pulses to potentials ranging from −80 to +40 mV (presented at 5-s intervals in 5-mV increments) from a HP of −120 mV. Peak current amplitudes were defined as the maximal currents evoked at each voltage, and were subsequently leak-corrected. To analyze voltage-dependence current activation properties, conductances \((G)\) were calculated, and conductance-voltage relationships were fitted with a Boltzmann equation.

**High-throughput electrophysiology.** Automated patch-clamp recordings were performed using the SyncroPatch 384PE from Nanion (München, Germany). Single-hole, 384-well recording chips with medium resistance \((4.77 \pm 0.01 \ \text{M}\Omega, \ n=384)\) were used for recordings of HEK293 cells stably expressing human Na\(_{\text{v1.5}}\) channel \((300 \ 000/\text{mL})\) in whole-cell configuration. Pulse generation and data collection were performed with the PatchControl384 v1.5.2 software (Nanion) and the Biomek v1.0 interface (Beckman Coulter). Whole-cell recordings were conducted according to the recommended procedures of Nanion. Cells were stored in a cell hotel reservoir at 10°C with shaking speed at 60 RPM. After initiating the experiment, cell catching, sealing, whole-cell formation, buffer exchanges, recording, and data acquisition were all performed sequentially and automatically. The intracellular solution contained (in mM): 10 CsCl, 110 CsF, 10 NaCl, 10 EGTA and 10 HEPES (pH 7.2, osmolarity 280 mOsm), and the extracellular solution contained (in mM): 60 NaCl, 4 KCl, 100 NMDG, 2 CaCl\(_2\), 1 MgCl\(_2\), 5 Glucose and 10 HEPES (pH 7.4, osmolarity 298 mOsm). Whole-cell experiments were performed at a holding potential of −100 mV at room temperature (18-22°C). Currents were sampled at 20 kHz. Activation curves were built by 50 ms-lasting depolarization from −80 mV to 70 mV (+5 mV increment), every 5 s. Activation curves were fitted by Boltzmann equation. Stringent criteria were used to include individual cell recordings for data analysis (seal resistance > 0.5 G\(\Omega\) and estimated series resistance < 10 M\(\Omega\)).
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Figure legends

Figure 1: Simplified scheme of a cell studied in voltage-clamp, illustrating how the potential clamped between the two electrodes is split between the membrane potential and a potential generated at the pipette tip.

Figure 2: Kinetic model of cardiac $I_{\text{Na}}$ current (Na,1.5) - computed effects of increasing series resistance and current amplitude range on current recordings. A. Scheme of the kinetic model, with independent activation and inactivation gates (C, O and I: closed, open, and inactivated states, respectively; O’Hara et al., 2011). B. Superimposed computed $I_{\text{Na}}$, for increasing current amplitude range (I, see vertical scales, top to bottom) and series resistance ($R_s$, left to right). The activation voltage protocol shown (in inset, holding potential: $-100 \text{ mV}$; 50-ms pulse at the indicated potentials; one sweep every 2 s) corresponds to the potential at the membrane, not the command potential between the two electrodes. It is thus altered when ($R_s \times I$) is elevated, its maximum deflection reaching 33 mV at $V_{\text{cmd}} = -40 \text{ mV}$ (cf text). C. Superimposed computed voltage deviation for the highest amplitude (10 nA) and various $R_s$, as in B.

Figure 3: Kinetic model of cardiac $I_{\text{Na}}$ current (Na,1.5) - computed effects of increasing series resistance and current amplitude range on apparent current parameters. A. Computed time course of $I_{\text{Na}}$ current at the indicated command potential, for various values of $R_s$, as indicated by colors. B. Peak current/voltage (I/V) relationships in the three conditions. Optimal I/V curve is repeated (light green), allowing easy comparison. C. Activation curves ($G/G_{\text{max}}$, $V_{\text{cmd}}$) in various conditions as indicated by color and symbol size. Theoretical half-activation potential ($V_{0.5}$) and slope (k) values in black. $V_{0.5}$ and k for $R_s$ of 0, 2 and 5 MΩ and current range of 10 nA are indicated in colors.

Figure 4: Kinetic model of cardiac $I_{\text{Na}}$ current (Na,1.5) - computed effects of increasing series resistance and current amplitude on apparent TTX effects. A. Relationships between the theoretical values of peak $I_{\text{Na}}$ (with no voltage error) and the measured values of $I_{\text{Na}}$ for three
different values of $R_s$ (0, 2 and 5 MΩ). B. Computed recordings of the $I_{Na}$ current at the indicated TTX concentrations, for various values of $R_s$, as indicated by colors. C: Computed dose-response curves for three $R_s$ conditions ($R_s = 0, 2$ and $5$ MΩ) and two amplitude ranges ($I_{peak} = -2.6$ and $-26$ nA).

Figure 5: Kinetic model of cardiac $I_{Na}$ current; computed effects of increasing series resistance and current amplitude on current recordings. A. Scheme of the kinetic model, with independent activation and inactivation gates (O’Hara et al., 2011). B. Computed superimposed recordings of the $I_{Na}$ current, for various current amplitudes and series resistances ($R_s$), as indicated. The activation voltage protocol shown (holding potential: $-100$ mV; 100-ms pulse at the indicated potentials; one sweep every 2 s) corresponds to the potential experienced by the membrane ($V_m$), not the potential between the two electrodes ($V_{cmd}$). It is thus altered when ($R_s \times I$) is elevated. C. Activation curves ($G/G_{max}$ vs $V_{cmd}$) relationships in the three conditions represented in B. Theoretical half-activation potentials ($V_{0.5}$) and slopes (k) are indicated in black. $V_{0.5}$ and k for $R_s$ of 0, 5 and 15 MΩ and $I_{peak}$ of 100 nA are indicated in colors.

Figure 6: Optimization of Kinetic model presented in Figure 2 to fit the recordings of heterologously-expressed Na$_v$1.5. A: Left, representative, superimposed recordings of heterologously-expressed Na$_v$1.5 during an activation voltage protocol (same as in Figure 2). Middle, computed recordings using the same protocol, and the equation of (O’Hara et al., 2011). Right, computed recordings using the same protocol, and the optimized model, to fit the biophysical characteristics of heterologously-expressed Na$_v$1.5. B: Activation curves in the three conditions presented in A. C. half-activation potentials, slopes and time constants of inactivation in the three conditions.

Figure 7: $V_{0.5}$ vs. amplitude plots and slope vs. amplitude plots of heterologously-expressed Na$_v$1.5 currents recorded in COS-7 using manual patch-clamp. A. Symbols represent the mean ± sem of pooled experimental values of $V_{0.5}$ as a function of mean ± sem values of current amplitudes. Lines correspond to fit of computed values obtained from the kinetic model that has been optimized in Figure 6 and in which $R_s$ has been set to 0, 2 and 5 MΩ (compensated $C_m = 0.1$ pF). B. Pooled experimental values of the activation slope as a function of mean ± sem values of current amplitudes.

Figure 8: $V_{0.5}$ vs. amplitude plots and slope vs. amplitude plots of $I_{Na}$ measured from mouse cardiomyocytes using manual patch-clamp. A. Left, Symbols represent $V_{0.5}$ values as a function of current amplitude values, for control and test conditions. Lines correspond to fit of computed values obtained from the kinetic model that has been optimized for heterologously-expressed Na$_v$1.5 currents in COS-7 cells and in which $R_s$ has been set to 2 MΩ. Right, Mean ± sem activation $V_{0.5}$ for control and test conditions. ***, p<0.001, student’s t-test. B. Same as in A for the slope of the activation curve. *, p<0.05, student’s t-test.

Figure 9: $V_{0.5}$ vs. amplitude plots and slope vs. amplitude plots for $I_{Na}$ in HEK293 cells stably expressing Na$_v$1.5 measured using a Nanion Syncropatch 384PE. A. Symbols represent the mean ± sem of pooled values of $V_{0.5}$ as a function of mean ± sem values of current amplitudes. Data points were pooled by 500 pA amplitudes intervals (0-500, 500-1000, etc) B. Pooled experimental values of the activation slope as a function of mean ± sem values of current amplitudes.
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\[ V_{cmd} = V_m + V_s \]

\[ V_s = R_s \times I \]
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**Figure 4**

(A) Measured $I_{\text{peak}}$ (nA) vs. Theoretical $I_{\text{peak}}$ (nA) for different $R_s$ values:
- $R_s = 0 \text{ M}\Omega$
- $R_s = 2 \text{ M}\Omega$
- $R_s = 5 \text{ M}\Omega$

(B) Current traces for different [TTX] concentrations:
- CTRL
- [TTX] = 5 µM
- [TTX] = 20 µM

(C) $I_{\text{rel}}$ vs. [TTX] in µM for different $R_s$ values:
- $R_s = 0 \text{ M}\Omega$
- $R_s = 2 \text{ M}\Omega$
- $R_s = 5 \text{ M}\Omega$

**IC$_{50}$** values:
- CTRL = 2.3 µM
- [TTX] = 5 µM
- [TTX] = 20 µM

- Theoretical $I_{\text{peak}}$ = -26 nA
- Theoretical $I_{\text{peak}}$ = -2.6 nA
Figure 5
Figure 6
Figure 7
Figure 8
Figure 9