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Hydroborate-based solid electrolytes have recently been successfully employed in high voltage, room temperature all-solid-state sodium batteries. The transfer to analogous lithium systems has failed up to now due to the lower conductivity of the corresponding lithium compounds and their high cost. Here LiB$_{11}H_{14}$ nido-hydroborate as a cost-effective building block and its high-purity synthesis is introduced. The crystal structures of anhydrous LiB$_{11}H_{14}$ as well as of LiB$_{11}H_{14}$-based mixed-anion solid electrolytes are solved and high ionic conductivities of $1.1 \times 10^{-4}$ S cm$^{-1}$ for Li$_2$(B$_{11}H_{14}$(CB$_{11}H_{12})$) and $1.1 \times 10^{-3}$ S cm$^{-1}$ for Li$_3$(B$_{11}H_{14}$(CB$_{11}H_{12}$_{10}2$) are obtained, respectively. LiB$_{11}H_{14}$ exhibits an oxidative stability limit of 2.6 V versus Li$^+/Li$ and the proposed decomposition products are discussed based on density functional theory calculations. Strategies are discussed to improve the stability of these compounds by modifying the chemical structure of the nido-hydroborate cage. Galvanostatic cycling in symmetric cells with two lithium metal electrodes shows a small overpotential increase from 22.5 to 30 mV after 620 h (up to 0.5 mAh cm$^{-2}$), demonstrating that the electrolyte is compatible with metallic anodes. Finally, the Li$_2$(B$_{11}H_{14}$(CB$_{11}H_{12})$ electrolyte is employed in a proof-of-concept half cell with a TiS$_2$ cathode with a capacity retention of 82% after 150 cycles at C/5.

1. Introduction

Solid-state electrolytes combining liquid-like ionic conductivity, stability against metallic anodes, compatibility with high-voltage cathodes, processability, and low environmental impact and cost are essential for next-generation all-solid-state batteries (ASSBs). They promise to overcome the limitations of the current lithium-ion technology with higher power and energy density while improving operational safety.[1,2] Currently, a few material classes exhibiting sufficiently high ionic conductivities are under consideration as potential candidates for the solid electrolyte of all-solid-state Li batteries: Ion-conducting oxides show moderate to high Li-ion conductivities on the order of $10^{-6}$ to $10^{-3}$ S cm$^{-1}$ at room temperature.[3] They are hard, brittle materials that require sintering to minimize interface resistance and are vulnerable to crack formation and contact loss caused by volume changes during cycling.[4] Thiophosphates such as Li$_6$GeP$_2$S$_3$ ($\sigma = 12$ mS cm$^{-1}$) and Li$_3$S$_2$P$_2$S$_3$ ($\sigma = 17$ mS cm$^{-1}$) exhibit liquid-like ionic conductivity at room temperature. Their limited chemical and electrochemical interface stability, however, require the use of protective coatings to form stable interfaces, hindering their integration into competitive batteries.[5,6] Halides such as Li$_3$YCl$_6$ and Li$_3$YBr$_6$, have recently shown high ionic conductivity $>1$ mS cm$^{-1}$ at 25 °C and high oxidative stability up to 4 V versus Li$^+/Li$. However, they are unstable against Li metal and are typically used with a Li-In alloy or in two-layer combination with other electrolytes to stabilize the interface with Li metal.[7-9]
Solid polymer electrolytes composed of a Li salt dissolved into a polymer matrix are another class of solid-state Li-ion conductors. Due to their flexibility, low interfacial resistance, and low cost, they are still in the focus of many research activities, regardless of their low ionic conductivity, limited thermal range of operation and narrow electrochemical stability window.[10]

Recently, complex metal hydroborates, in particular, metal nido-/closo-hydroborates and carba-closo-hydroborates have emerged as promising alternative solid electrolytes,[11–14] providing liquid-like ionic conductivity.[15] Stability against alkali metal anodes, and oxidative stability of up to 4 V versus Na+/Na.[16–25] Furthermore, they show favorable mechanical properties[26] and are solution-processable, facilitating their integration in ASSB using slurry processes.[21]

Due to the proper matching size of the hydroborate anions with Na+ cation and formation of discorded structures, the ionic conductivity of the Na-hydroborates usually surpasses the ones of their Li equivalents.[15,23,25,26] An ionic conductivity of ~70 mS cm⁻¹ at 27 °C has been obtained for Na₂(CB₉H₁₀)(CB₁₁H₁₂) in this class of materials.[15] Stable 3 V cycling was demonstrated in Na-Sn/CaO half cells with high cathode mass loadings of ~77 mg cm⁻².[27] Moreover, some of us recently demonstrated stable 4 V room-temperature cycling of Na/Na₂(VOPO₄)₂F all-solid-state cells for >800 cycles without any protective coatings.[28]

Currently, the most promising Li-ion solid electrolyte in the hydroborate family is (1−x)LiCB₁₀H₁₀ₓLiCB₁₁H₁₂ (x = 0.1–0.9) which is stable against Li metal and shows high ionic conductivity of 6.9 × 10⁻³–6.7 × 10⁻³ S cm⁻¹ at 25 °C.[15,18,29] However, LiCB₁₁H₁₂ is challenging to synthesize and therefore rather expensive with a price >120 000 € kg⁻¹, hindering a broader application of this material.[10]

In this study, we propose the use of the nido-hydroborate (lithium tetrahydrodoundecaborate, LiB₁₁H₁₄) as a cost-effective replacement of LiCB₁₀H₁₀ as the B₁₁H₁₄⁻ anion exhibits the same charge and similar size as the CB₁₀H₁₀⁻ anion. First, we describe the synthesis and purification of solvent-free LiB₁₁H₁₄ and we solve its crystal structure. Several impurity side products are thoroughly identified using ¹¹B nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy (NMR) and electrospray ionization high-resolution mass spectrometry (ESI-MS). Second, nido-hydroborate-based electrolytes are formed by mixing LiB₁₁H₁₄ with closo-hydroborates and carba-closo-hydroborates, such as Li₂B₁₂H₁₄, Li₂B₁₁H₁₂, and Li₂B₁₀H₁₀, and their crystal structures and electrochemical properties are investigated. Finally, nido-hydroborate-based electrolytes are evaluated in a proof-of-concept battery with a Li metal anode and a TiS₂ cathode. Our results show economic viability of hydroborate electrolytes for all-solid-state lithium batteries.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Synthesis and Impurity Identifications of Novel Nido-Hydroborates

2.1.1. Experimental Procedure to Synthesize Solvent-Free LiB₁₁H₁₄

LiB₁₁H₁₄ was synthesized based on the reaction of n-butyllithium (LiC₄H₉, BuLi) with (CH₃)₃NHBB₁₁H₁₄ in tetrahydrofuran (THF)solution according to Equation (I)

(CH₃)₃NBH₅H₁₄ (sol) + LiC₄H₉ (susp) → THF, 25°C → LiB₁₁H₁₄ (sol) + (CH₃)₃N(g) + C₄H₁₀ (g) (I)

After THF was removed, water was added to filter unreacted, insoluble (CH₃)₃NHBB₁₁H₁₄, resulting in an aqueous solution of LiB₁₁H₁₄(H₂O)ₙ (1 < n < 2). The complete removal of the coordinated water without decomposition of the B₁₁H₁₄⁻ cage is challenging. Our initial attempts using heat treatment led to the formation of LiB₁₁H₁₄·R impurities (R = OH, OB₁₁H₁₄Li, O-Butyl(O-But)) and LiB₁₁H₁₂O (Samples A–D, Table S1, Supporting Information). Figure 1a shows the open-cage molecular structure of the nido-hydroborate anion. The impurities were identified by ¹¹B²(H) NMR (see Figure 1b; and Table S1 (Supporting Information); for discussion, see Section 2.2 below).

The synthesis of pure LiB₁₁H₁₄ was made possible by using an excess of n-butyllithium in the synthesis (Equation (I)). This leads to a partial reduction of the sample, resulting in a mixture of LiB₁₁H₁₄ and Li₂B₁₂H₁₂. After heat treatment under aqueous conditions, Li₂B₁₂H₁₂ converted back to LiB₁₁H₁₄ according to Equation (2), allowing the synthesis of solvent-free LiB₁₁H₁₄ (Figure 1c).

Li₂B₁₂H₁₂ (sol) + H₂O(l) → LiB₁₁H₁₄ (s) + LiOH(s) (2)

It is important to mention that H₂ is released for the synthesis of (CH₃)₃NHBB₁₁H₁₄ as a precursor.[11,31] Therefore, H₂ release during the synthesis should be considered for the large-scale production of these materials. However, similar compounds such as M₂B₁₂H₁₂ (M = Li, Na) in which H₂ is released during the synthesis are commercialized and produced on a kg scale.[12]

Figure 1c displays the respective ¹¹B(²H) NMR spectra. The complete removal of water was evidenced by powder X-ray powder diffraction (PXRD), IR spectroscopy, and thermogravimetric analysis (TGA, see Figures S1 and S2, Supporting Information). In the last step, LiB₁₁H₁₄ is separated by adding THF to the mixture to filter THF-insoluble LiOH and by subsequent drying at 100 °C for 16 h to remove THF. This procedure also allows the removal of coordinated water from commercial LiB₁₁H₁₄(H₂O)ₙ samples and is described in more detail in the Supporting Information (Samples E, G). Finally, anion mixing was used as a known strategy to improve ionic conductivity.[15,13] The pure LiB₁₁H₁₄ was ball-milled with LiCB₁₀H₁₀, Li₂B₁₁H₁₂, or LiB₁₂H₁₂ in different molar ratios (Table S1, Supporting Information). The compositions of the samples were determined by peak shape analysis of the ¹¹B NMR spectra. The results are summarized in Table S1 (Supporting Information). We found that LiB₁₁H₁₄ partially decomposed during the ball-milling process. This leads to the formation of nonassignable resonances in the ¹¹B NMR data (Table S1 and Figure S3, Supporting Information).

2.1.2. Identification of LiB₁₁H₁₃R By-Products of LiB₁₁H₁₄ Synthesis

LiB₁₁H₁₄ (I): The molecular structure of I depicted in Figure 1a has been fully determined previously in ref. [13] It can be described as an oblate spheroid with the minor axis (the “height”) perpendicular to the opening of the nest of 4.6 Å and a major axis (the “width” or the “diameter”) of 5.3 Å (Figures 2 and 3a).
Figure 1. a) General chemical structure with the labeling of boron atoms of the nido-hydroborate anions LiB$_{11}$H$_{12}$R $1$–$4$ (R in $1 = \text{H}, 2 = \text{OH}, 3 = \text{O}(\text{B}_{11}\text{H}_{12})\text{Li}, 4 = \text{O-Bu})$, 5 = LiB$_{11}$H$_{12}$O. b) $^{11}$B-$^1$H NMR spectra of LiB$_{11}$H$_{14}$($\text{H}_{2}O$)$_n$ and LiB$_{11}$H$_{12}$R after heat treatment under different conditions. The boron resonances of species 2, 3, and 4 are assigned by red, blue, and green numbers. c) $^{11}$B-$^1$H NMR spectra of i) the precursors (CH$_3$)$_3$NHB$_{11}$H$_{14}$ or LiB$_{11}$H$_{14}$($\text{H}_{2}O$)$_n$ (bottom), ii) the mixture of LiB$_{11}$H$_{14}$ and Li$_2$B$_{11}$H$_{13}$ in basic solutions (middle), and (iii) of pure LiB$_{11}$H$_{14}$ after heat treatment (top). d) $^{11}$B-$^1$H HSQC, e) $^1$H-$^1$B HSQC, and f) $^{11}$B-$^{11}$B-$^1$H COSY NMR spectra with the assignment of resonances to the chemical structure of 2 in Sample F. Please note that the signals of the starting material 1 account to $\approx 93$ mol% of the total signal intensity. The NMR spectra shown in b,c) are recorded in THF and d–f) in CD$_3$CN solutions. g) Expanded regions of interest of the (−)-ESI-MS with $^{11}$B/$^{10}$B isotopic patterns assigned to 2–5 for Samples B, C, and F.
LiB$_2$H$_{13}$OH (2): The chemical structures of the above-mentioned impurities, which were formed during the drying process (Samples, B, C, and F; see Table S1, Supporting Information), were unveiled using NMR and MS (Figure S4 and Table S2, Supporting Information). The 1D and 2D $^1$H and $^{11}$B NMR data of Sample F leads to the identification of LiB$_2$H$_{13}$OH (2) as a first by-product as shown in Figure 1d-f. Besides the strong resonances of the starting material, six distinct signals with an intensity ratio of 1:2:2:2:2:1 are observed in the 1D $^{11}$B NMR spectrum (Figure 1d) and in the $^1$H-$^{11}$B HSQC NMR spectrum, each of these resonances results in a distinct cross peak assigned to positions 2–7 of the chemical structure (Figure 1a). Note that the cross signal of position 1 in the spectrum is hidden beyond the strong resonances of LiB$_2$H$_{14}$ (I). The bridging protons H$_2$ of LiB$_2$H$_{13}$OH at the open apex correlate to boron resonances at 16.9, −10.2, and −28.4 ppm (Figure 1e), which can therefore be assigned to positions 5–7 of the chemical structure (Figure 1a, bonds of protons H$_2$ are shown with dashed lines). From $^{11}$B NMR chemical shift reasons and the relative signal intensity, the resonance at 16.9 ppm can be assigned to B7. Position B2 was assigned from the cross peak between the boron resonances at 16.9 and −22.6 ppm in the $^{11}$B-$^{11}$B correlated NMR spectrum (Figure 1f). The cross peak at −14.3/−22.6 ppm observed in the $^{11}$B-$^{11}$B correlated NMR spectrum leads to the unambiguous identification of B1, which was hidden beyond strong resonances of the starting material in the other 1D and 2D NMR data. Subsequently, all $^1$H and $^{11}$B NMR chemical shifts of the molecule were assigned (for enlarged regions of $^1$H-$^1$H and $^{11}$B-$^{11}$B correlated NMR spectra see Figure S6, Supporting Information). The presence of an OH group in 2 (in Sample F) was confirmed by the correlation observed at 4.00 ppm to the bridging protons H$_2$ at −2.21 ppm in the $^1$H-$^1$H correlated NMR spectrum (Figure S6e, Supporting Information). All other observable $^1$H-$^1$H correlations originate from $^2$J or $^3$J couplings and support the signal assignments to the chemical structure of the boron cage shown in Figure 1a. Please note that the boron–boron bonds of B2–B2, B5–B5, and B6–B7 could not be verified to fully identify the boron cage. For reasons of symmetry, the boron atoms in the first two cases are homotopic and therefore have identical $^{11}$B NMR chemical shifts, and relaxation reasons may be responsible for the missing B6–B7 cross peak (see, e.g., [34–36]).

The presence of LiB$_2$H$_{13}$OH (2) in Samples B and F was further confirmed by their corresponding mass spectra (Figure 1g) [37]. The $^{11}$B/$^{10}$B isotopic patterns with the most abundant signals at $m/z$ 149.215 are assigned to the molecular composition of LiB$_2$H$_{13}$OH$^+$. A calculated MS pattern is presented in Figure S7 (Supporting Information) to confirm the assignment to B$_{11}$H$_{13}$OH$^+$ and the summary of all MS data is given in Table S2 (Supporting Information).

Li(B$_{11}$H$_{13}$-O-Bu) (3): For each of the $^{11}$B NMR resonances assigned to 2, a 2nd signal at almost identical chemical shift is observed in Sample B (see Figure 1b). The decisive deviation in the NMR data of this new species (3) is the complete absence of an OH resonance in the $^1$H NMR spectrum. Nevertheless, the chemical structures of 2 and 3 must be rather similar, since only small deviations of the $^1$H and $^{11}$B NMR chemical shifts can be observed for the two species (Figure 1b; and Figure S8, Supporting Information). In the spectral region showing the resonances of bridging protons H$_2$ of the diffusion-edited $^1$H NMR spectrum (Figure S9a, Supporting Information), the signal of 2 is considerably reduced with increasing gradient strengths compared to the resonance of 3. This indicates that 3 diffuses less rapidly and must probably have a higher molecular weight than 2. In the mass spectrum of Sample B, the most abundant signal of the $^{11}$B/$^{10}$B isotopic pattern centered at $m/z$ 287.433 can be assigned to the sum formula B$_2$H$_{16}$LiO$^+$ (Li(B$_{11}$H$_{13}$-O-B$_7$H$_2$)) (Figure 1g; and Figure S10, Supporting Information). Additionally, the peak pattern observed for Samples B and C with only 0.5 mass units distances of the isotopic peaks and the most abundant mass peak centered at $m/z$ 140.209 (Figure S11, Supporting Information) can be assigned to the double-charged anions of 3, with the molecular formula B$_2$H$_{26}$O$^{2-}$. This finding confirms the hypothesis from the NMR data that 3 should have a similar molecular composition but a higher molecular weight than 2. The formation of a dimer with a bridging oxygen seems not to be uncommon for close-hydroborates as it has been previously observed for other species such as [B$_{10}$H$_{13}$-O-B$_9$H$_{12}$] [38].

Li(B$_{11}$H$_{13}$-O-Bu) (4): For species 4, the $^1$H-$^{11}$B and $^{11}$B-$^{11}$B correlated 2D NMR data (Figure S12, Supporting Information) suggest a boron–boron network similar to the data evaluated for 2 and 3. In the $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR spectra (Figure S13, Supporting Information) resonances assignable to an O-n-butyl (O-Bu) group were identified. For chemical shift reasons, the signal at 70.7 ppm in the $^{13}$C NMR spectrum can be assigned to an n-butyl ether residue, but NMR data further suggest that 4 consists of a B$_{12}$H$_{24}$ core unit with an attached butoxy group at the open apex boron. This was confirmed by mass spectrometry of Sample C with a prominent $^{11}$B/$^{10}$B isotopic pattern with the most abundant signal at $m/z$ 205.277, corresponding to the molecular formula B$_{11}$H$_{13}$-O-Bu$^+$ (B$_{11}$H$_{23}$C$_6$O$^+$) (Figure 1g; and Figure S14, Supporting Information). As already observed in the case of Sample B (a mixture of 2 and 3), in the diffusion-edited $^1$H NMR spectrum of Sample C (Figure S9b, Supporting Information) the signal of 4 is significantly reduced with increasing gradient strengths compared to the resonance of 3, which is associated with the lower molecular weight of 4 compared to 3. It is important to mention that the formation of compound 4 was observed only when LiB$_2$H$_{14}$(H$_2$O)$_n$ is heat-treated in THF. Heat treatment of LiB$_2$H$_{14}$ in THF did not form compound 4, suggesting that the coordinating water plays a role in C–O bond scission of tetrahydrofuran and its attachment to the boron cage. The formation of 4 was reinvestigated in presence of THF-d$_8$ as a reactant. From the 1D and 2D $^1$H, $^2$H, $^{11}$B, and $^{13}$C NMR data (see Figure S15 and discussion in the Supporting Information part), we unequivocally identified O-CD$_2$CD$_2$CD$_2$CD$_2$H as the butoxy residue of 4.

LiB$_2$H$_{12}$O (5): Besides the impurities 1–4 discussed above, a tiny amount of a further impurity was observed in Sample F. In this sample, in addition to the resonances of 1 and 2, cross-peaks of a minor by-product assignable to LiB$_2$H$_{12}$O (5) were observed in the $^1$H-$^{11}$B HSQC NMR spectrum of Sample F when a very low threshold was applied (Figure S16, Supporting Information). All $^1$H and $^{11}$B NMR data of this species are very similar to the data described in ref. [34,35]. This assignment was further supported by the mass spectrum of Sample F.
(Figure 1g; and Figure S7, Supporting Information), with the most abundant signal of the $^{11}\text{B}^{/10}\text{B}$ isotopic pattern at $m/z$ 147.199, which is associated the sum formula of $\text{B}_{11}\text{H}_{3}\text{O}^-$(5).

An overview of the $^{11}\text{B}$ NMR spectra with integrated regions of selected samples is presented in Figure S17 (Supporting Information) and global assignments of $^{11}\text{B}$ NMR chemical shift regions of compounds 1–5 are shown in Table S3 (Supporting Information). Besides, the ground state energy of different isomers of $\text{B}_{11}\text{H}_{13}\text{OH}^-$ (2), $\left(\text{B}_{11}\text{H}_{13}\text{-O-B}_{11}\text{H}_{13}\right)^2$ (3), and $\text{B}_{11}\text{H}_{12}\text{O}^-$ (5) are calculated and shown in Figure S18 (Supporting Information). For $\text{B}_{11}\text{H}_{13}\text{OH}^-$ and $\left(\text{B}_{11}\text{H}_{13}\text{-O-B}_{11}\text{H}_{13}\right)^2$, the energy differences between isomers are relatively small ($\approx$ 0.1–0.2 eV) but the most stable isomers show the same configurations predicted by NMR. For $\text{B}_{11}\text{H}_{12}\text{O}^-$, the oxygen at the open vertex is far more stable than in other positions, confirming the NMR results. Note that the presence of oxygen at other positions might lead to a major change of anion structure.

Understanding the chemical composition of the impurities is the basis to establish a high purity synthesis method for $\text{LiB}_{11}\text{H}_{14}$, as discussed earlier. In the next sections, the effect of anion mixing (including the impurities) on the crystal structures and ionic conductivities are discussed. Moreover, the effect of impurities on the electrochemical stabilities of the compounds is investigated using density functional theory (DFT) calculations.

### 2.2. Crystal Structures of the Compounds

In the next step, the crystal structures of $\text{LiB}_{11}\text{H}_{14}$ and of the anion mixed compounds ($\text{LiB}_{11}\text{H}_{14}:\text{Li}_{2}\text{B}_{12}\text{H}_{12}$ (1:1), $\text{LiB}_{11}\text{H}_{14}:\text{LiC}_{11}\text{H}_{12}$ (1:1), 1:2, 2:1), and $\text{LiB}_{11}\text{H}_{14}:\text{LiC}_{9}\text{H}_{10}$ (1:2) were solved by means of PXRD.

The crystal structure of the low temperature (LT) $\text{LiB}_{11}\text{H}_{14}$ was solved in an orthorhombic unit cell with space group $\text{Pbca}$ and cubic-close packing (ccp) of the $\text{B}_{11}\text{H}_{14}^-$ anions and was optimized by DFT (Figures S19, S20a, and S22, Supporting Information). Unlike tetrahedral coordination of Na in $\text{NaB}_{11}\text{H}_{14}$,[13] $\text{Li}^+$ ions in this structure lie in trigonal planar sites formed by three $\text{B}_{11}\text{H}_{14}^-$ anions and located between octahedral and tetrahedral sites (Figure 2b). Trigonal planar coordination of Li has been also observed for other Li salts of hydroborates, such as $\text{Li}_{3}\text{B}_{12}\text{H}_{12}$[39] and $\text{Li}_{2}\text{B}_{10}\text{H}_{10}$.[40] In general, the preferred coordination environment of the cation is defined based on the cation-to-anion size ratio and by using the first Pauling rule (Table S4, Supporting Information).[41,42] Taking 0.73 Å as the size of $\text{Li}^+$ (crystal radius in tetrahedral coordination)[43] and 4.6 Å as the estimated size of the $\text{B}_{11}\text{H}_{14}^-$ anion (apical axis, Figure 3a), the cation-to-anion size ratio is 0.16, suggesting triangular coordination.[44] However, in $\text{NaB}_{11}\text{H}_{14}$ with a larger cation ($\text{Na}^+$ $\approx$ 1.13 Å), crystal radius in tetrahedral coordination,[45] the cation/anion size ratio increases to 0.25, and tetrahedral coordination is preferred for $\text{Na}^+$ ions. Similarly to $\text{NaB}_{11}\text{H}_{14}$, the $\text{B}_{11}\text{H}_{14}^-$ anion in LT-$\text{LiB}_{11}\text{H}_{14}$ is oriented with the open side of the cage away from the $\text{Li}^+$ cation. This preferred orientation is explained by the more negative closed side of the cage that interacts with the cation (Figure 3b). A comparable trend has been observed for $\text{CB}_{11}\text{H}_{12}^-$ and $\text{CB}_{9}\text{H}_{10}^-$ in which the anions are oriented so that the considerably more positive...
solutions form, and a $B_2H_{14}^-$ anion integrates into the LT crystal lattice of Li$_2$B$_4$H$_{12}$ and LiCB$_{11}$H$_{12}$.[48,49] Therefore, Li$_j$(B$_{11}$H$_{14}$) (B$_{12}$H$_{13}$) crystallizes in the Pa$ar{3}$ space group and Li$_j$(B$_{11}$H$_{14}$) (C$_2$B$_{12}$H$_{12}$), Li$_j$(B$_{11}$H$_{14}$)(C$_2$B$_{11}$H$_{12}$), and Li$_j$(B$_{11}$H$_{14}$)(C$_2$B$_{11}$H$_{12}$) crystallize in the Pca$ar{2}$ space group (Figure 2d,e; and Figure S23, Supporting Information). Both structures have the ccp packing of the anions and triangular coordination for Li.

In the case of mixing LiB$_{11}$H$_{14}$ with LiCB$_{11}$H$_{10}$ as an example for a smaller anion (Samples M, N), the HT phase of LiCB$_{11}$H$_{10}$ with a hexagonal unit cell and with the space group $P31c$ is stabilized. This is confirmed by the disappearance of the Bragg reflection at $2\theta = 8.6^\circ$ for the LT phase and appearance of a shoulder at $2\theta = 16.6^\circ$ for the HT phase (red and green arrows in Figure S24, Supporting Information).[15,25] In this structure, Li atoms occupy tetrahedral sites sharing a face with an empty octahedral site (Figure 2f). The higher coordination of lithium is based on the smaller size of anions used in this compound and is in favor of Li-ion conductivity (based on the Pauling rule, Table S4, Supporting Information).[42]

In addition, the presence of small amount of impurities Li$_j$B$_{11}$H$_{14}$R$^-$ (R$^-$ = OH, B$_{11}$H$_{13}$OH) in Li$_j$B$_{11}$H$_{14}$ formed upon drying of LiB$_{11}$H$_{14}$(H$_2$O)$_n$ stabilizes the HT cubic phase of LiB$_{11}$H$_{14}$ with space group $Fm\bar{3}$ (Sample A). The diffraction pattern of this phase ($Fm\bar{3}$) is very similar to the orthorhombic Pca$ar{2}$ phase. These two patterns are distinct by the absence of the shoulder at $2\theta = 18.6^\circ$ and some smaller reflections at $2\theta = 9.2^\circ, 12.9^\circ$ for the cubic phase (Figure 2a). Structural parameters of the new compounds determined by Rietveld refinements are summarized in Table 1. The atomic coordinates of all solved structures are presented in Tables S8–S12 (Supporting Information).

### 2.3. Thermal Behavior

Figure 4a shows the thermal behavior of LiB$_{11}$H$_{14}$ and the mixed hydroborate compounds as observed by differential scanning calorimetry. The irreversible phase transition from the orthorhombic to the cubic phase of LiB$_{11}$H$_{14}$ appears upon heating as an endothermic peak at $\approx 112^\circ$ C (Figure 4a,b; and Figure S26c, Supporting Information). Upon cooling, LiB$_{11}$H$_{14}$ transforms back to the orthorhombic phase with an exothermic event at $\approx 96^\circ$ C. Thermo-gravimetric measurements of LiB$_{11}$H$_{14}$ show an $\approx 3\%$ weight loss at $\approx 180^\circ$ C (Figure S2b, Supporting Information), indicative of sample decomposition. Moreover, with prolonged heat treatment at $120^\circ$ C, the sample color changes from white to yellow and extra resonances in the $^{11}$B NMR spectra appear, indicating thermal instability of LiB$_{11}$H$_{14}$ above the phase transition (Figure S25, Supporting Information). The decreased signal intensity in the $^{11}$B NMR spectra indicates the insolubility of the decomposition products, indicative of the formation of dimers or poly-hydroborates with higher molecular masses.[48,49] The phase-transition temperature of LiB$_{11}$H$_{14}$ at $112^\circ$ C is lower than the ones of Li$_2$B$_{10}$H$_{10}$ and Li$_j$B$_{12}$H$_{12}$ (367 and 355 $^\circ$C, respectively),[40,50] and is comparable to the phase-transition temperature of LiCB$_{11}$H$_{12}$ at $\approx 122^\circ$ C.[44]

For the LiB$_{11}$H$_{14}$:Li$_j$B$_{12}$H$_{12}$ (1:1, Sample L) mixture, an irreversible endothermic event is observed at $T = 120^\circ$ C for the first heating cycle. In the subsequent cycle, this event shifts to a lower temperature and fades (Figure 4a; and

---

**Figure 3.** a) Approximate relative sizes of the hydroborates anions. The height and width of the hydroborates anions are shown by gray and red bars, respectively. b) Calculated Bader charges and Li configurations for the hydroborates in the ground and least stable states. B, H, and Li atoms are represented by green, gray, and red spheres, respectively. B atoms for the $B_2H_{14}^-$ and $B_1H_{12}^-$ are presented in a light and dark blue, respectively. Orange arrows indicate the dipole moments.
Figure S26c, Supporting Information). We attribute this to the phase transition of the remaining unreacted LiB$_{11}$H$_{14}$ in the sample that could not be distinguished by PXRD and is dissolving in the Li$_2$B$_{12}$H$_{12}$ crystal lattice upon heating. For the LiB$_{11}$H$_{14}$:LiCB$_{11}$H$_{12}$ (1:1) mixtures (Sample H), the phase transition to the HT phase is observed at $\approx 80^\circ$C (Figure 4a; and Figures S26 and S27, Supporting Information). In this system, the phase-transition temperature is dependent on the LiB$_{11}$H$_{14}$/LiCB$_{11}$H$_{12}$ ratio. Higher content of LiB$_{11}$H$_{14}$ decreases the phase transition to 70$^\circ$C for LiB$_{11}$H$_{14}$:LiCB$_{11}$H$_{12}$ (2:1, Sample J), while the higher content of LiCB$_{11}$H$_{12}$ increases the phase transition to $\approx 110^\circ$C for LiB$_{11}$H$_{14}$:LiCB$_{11}$H$_{12}$ (1:2, Sample I) respectively (Figure S26d, Supporting Information).

In the mixtures LiB$_{11}$H$_{14}$:LiB$_{11}$H$_{13}$R’ (R’ = OH, OB$_{11}$H$_{13}$Li) and LiB$_{11}$H$_{14}$:Li$_2$B$_{12}$H$_{12}$, the HT phases are stabilized at room temperature and the phase transitions are completely suppressed (Figure 4; and Figure S26b,e, Supporting Information) which is similarly observed for other mixed anion systems.$^{[13,15–17,33,51]}$

**2.4. Conductivity**

Figure 5 displays the temperature-dependent lithium-ion conductivity of the synthesized compounds. For comparison, the conductivities of Li$_2$B$_{12}$H$_{12}$, LiCB$_{11}$H$_{12}$, and LiCB$_{9}$H$_{10}$ are also presented. LiB$_{11}$H$_{14}$ shows a conductivity of $1.5 \times 10^{-6}$ S cm$^{-1}$ at 25$^\circ$C which is similar to the reported conductivity of LiCB$_{10}$H$_{13}$, but higher than the published data for LiCB$_{9}$H$_{10}$, LiCB$_{11}$H$_{12}$, and Li$_2$B$_{12}$H$_{12}$. The apparent activation energy for LiB$_{11}$H$_{14}$ is 0.81 eV (Sample E) at low temperatures and reduces to 0.16 eV above the phase transition at $\approx 112^\circ$C (Figures S28a and S29, Supporting Information).

Table 1. Structural data extracted from Rietveld refinements of the PXRD data.

| Formula                | Space group | T [°C] | Crystal system | Anion packing | Lattice parameters | V [Å$^3$] | Z   | V/Z [Å$^3$] | ρ [g cm$^{-3}$] | Sample |
|------------------------|-------------|--------|----------------|---------------|-------------------|-----------|-----|-------------|--------------|--------|
| LiB$_{11}$H$_{14}$     | Pbcn        | 25     | Orthorombic    | ccp           | a = 9.8059 (3)    | 1759.66 (9)| 8   | 219.96      | 1.06         | E, G    |
|                        |             |        |                |               | b = 9.5118 (3)   |           |     |             |              |        |
|                        |             |        |                |               | c = 18.8659 (6)  |           |     |             |              |        |
| LiB$_{11}$H$_{14}$     | Fm$3$       | 140    | Cubic          | ccp           | a = 9.9465 (6)   | 984.04 (17)| 4   | 246.01      | 0.94         | E, G    |
| Li$_2$(B$_{11}$H$_{14}$)(B$_{12}$H$_{12}$) | Pna2 | 25     | Cubic          | ccp           | a = 9.6219 (3)   | 890.80 (8) | 4   | 222.7       | 1.10         | L       |
| Li$_2$(B$_{11}$H$_{14}$)(CB$_{11}$H$_{12}$) | Pna2 | 25     | Orthorombic    | ccp           | a = 9.710 (5)    | 904.3 (8) | 4   | 226.1       | 1.06         | H       |
|                        |             |        |                |               | b = 9.551 (5)    |           |     |             |              |        |
|                        |             |        |                |               | c = 9.752 (5)    |           |     |             |              |        |
| Li$_2$(B$_{11}$H$_{14}$)(CB$_{11}$H$_{12}$)$_2$ | Pna2 | 25     | Orthorombic    | ccp           | a = 9.680 (3)    | 900.3 (5) | 4   | 225.1       | 1.08         | I       |
|                        |             |        |                |               | b = 9.525 (3)    |           |     |             |              |        |
|                        |             |        |                |               | c = 9.764 (3)    |           |     |             |              |        |
| Li$_2$(B$_{11}$H$_{14}$)(CB$_{9}$H$_{10}$) | Pna2 | 25     | Orthorombic    | ccp           | a = 9.691 (8)    | 898.0 (13)| 4   | 224.5       | 1.06         | J       |
|                        |             |        |                |               | b = 9.517 (7)    |           |     |             |              |        |
|                        |             |        |                |               | c = 9.737 (9)    |           |     |             |              |        |
| Li$_2$(B$_{11}$H$_{14}$)(CB$_{9}$H$_{10}$)$_2$ | P31c | 25     | Trigonal       | hcp           | a = 6.8247 (10)  | 427.97 (17)| 2   | 213.9       | 1.02         | N       |
|                        |             |        |                |               | b = 10.610 (3)   |           |     |             |              |        |

Figure 4. a) Differential scanning calorimetry of the samples (third cycle, $\Delta T/\Delta t = 5$ °C min$^{-1}$). R’ = OH, B$_{11}$H$_{13}$OLi. b) Temperature-dependent PXRD patterns of LiB$_{11}$H$_{14}$ ($\lambda$Cu).

Figure 5. Arrhenius plots of the lithium-ion conductivity of LiB$_{11}$H$_{14}$, Li$_2$B$_{12}$H$_{12}$, LiCB$_{11}$H$_{12}$, and LiCB$_{9}$H$_{10}$ and mixtures of LiB$_{11}$H$_{14}$:LiB$_{11}$H$_{3}$R’, LiB$_{11}$H$_{4}$:Li$_2$B$_{12}$H$_{12}$ (1:1), LiB$_{11}$H$_{14}$:LiCB$_{11}$H$_{12}$ (1:1), and LiB$_{11}$H$_{14}$:LiCB$_{9}$H$_{10}$ (1:2). The red dash lines indicate $\sigma = 10^{-4}$ and $10^{-3}$ S cm$^{-1}$. 
For the LiB$_{11}$H$_{14}$:LiCB$_{11}$H$_{12}$ solid solutions (Samples H–K), the conductivity depends on the LiB$_{11}$H$_{14}$:LiCB$_{11}$H$_{12}$ ratio (Figure S28, Supporting Information). The conductivity of 1.1 × 10$^{-4}$ S cm$^{-1}$ at 25 °C is observed for the 1:1 mixture. The jump in the conductivity at >80 °C corresponds to the phase transition to the cubic HT phase (Figure S27, Supporting Information). The LiB$_{11}$H$_{14}$:Li$_2$B$_{12}$H$_{12}$ (1:1, Sample H) solid solution shows lower conductivity of =2.3 × 10$^{-5}$ S cm$^{-1}$ at 25 °C, which could be ascribed to nonpolarity of B$_12$H$_{12}^2$ and its bivalent structure that increases the Li content in the Li$_3$(B$_{11}$H$_{14}$)(B$_{12}$H$_{12}$) structure.

The LiB$_{11}$H$_{14}$:Li$_2$B$_{12}$H$_{12}$ R’ (R’ = OH and OB$_{11}$H$_{14}$Li, Sample A) in which the presence of impurities stabilizes the HT cubic phase of LiB$_{11}$H$_{14}$ (Fm$\overline{3}$), shows an ionic conductivity of 1.5 × 10$^{-4}$ S cm$^{-1}$ at 25 °C. The highest ionic conductivity of 1.1 × 10$^{-3}$ S cm$^{-1}$ within this system is obtained for the LiB$_{11}$H$_{14}$:LiCB$_{11}$H$_{12}$ (1:2, Sample N) mixture where the disordered HT hexagonal unit cell of LiCB$_{11}$H$_{12}$ is stabilized. The PXRD pattern of this sample still shows the presence of unreacted LiB$_{11}$H$_{14}$ and suggests tuning the LiB$_{11}$H$_{14}$:LiCB$_{11}$H$_{12}$ ratio to obtain higher conductivity (Figure 2a; and Figures S24–27, Supporting Information). A non-Arrhenius conduction was observed for both LiB$_{11}$H$_{14}$:Li$_2$B$_{12}$H$_{12}$ R’ and LiB$_{11}$H$_{14}$:LiCB$_{11}$H$_{12}$ samples, where the HT disordered phases are stabilized. This is the characteristic behavior of disordered structures in hydroborates and has been observed in former studies.[11,15,17] A transition from a low-temperature regime with a correlated cationic motion to a high-temperature regime with noncorrelated cationic diffusion has been suggested to be responsible for this behavior.[54] The conductivity trends indicate that small, single charged anions with high polarity are required to form suitable conduction channels for Li$^+$ cations and to obtain high conductivities in Li-hydroborates. Although B$_{11}$H$_{14}$ and CB$_{11}$H$_{12}$ have similar sizes and polarities (Figure 3), the prolate (elongated) shapes of the CB$_{11}$H$_{12}$ anion appear to be more favorable for Li$^+$ conduction pathways by stabilizing the disordered hexagonal unit cell. However, the synthesis of CB$_{11}$H$_{12}^+$ is challenging and the use of expensive/toxic reactants cannot yet be avoided.[30] Therefore, in the following, we assess LiB$_{11}$H$_{14}$:LiCB$_{11}$H$_{12}$ (1:2, Sample H) as a solid electrolyte (SE) for further electrochemical measurements and battery assembly.

In inorganic solid electrolytes, the ionic conductivity is predominantly attributed to cation mobility and the anion mobility is negligible.[55] The electronic conductivity of Sample E was determined to be =5 × 10$^{-9}$ S cm$^{-1}$ at 60 °C, by measuring the current decay in a Li/SE/Cu after applying constant voltages of 0.25 to 1 V (Figure S30, Supporting Information). The obtained electronic conductivity is one order of magnitude lower than 5 × 10$^{-8}$ and 2.5 × 10$^{-8}$ S cm$^{-1}$ obtained at 60 °C for the typical solid electrolytes, Li$_3$LaZr$_2$O$_{12}$ and Li$_3$PS$_4$, respectively.[56]

### 2.5. Electrochemical Performance

To evaluate the stability of the LiB$_{11}$H$_{14}$:LiCB$_{11}$H$_{12}$ (1:1) SE against Li metal, a symmetric Li/SE/Li cell was assembled and the time evolution of the impedance spectra was measured at the open-circuit voltage. Impedance spectra were measured at intervals of 2 to 80 h, starting directly after contacting the Li metal with the SE to evaluate the interphase formation rate and its impact on the cell resistance over time. As depicted in Figure 6a, the evolution of the impedance was extracted using an equivalent circuit consisting of a constant phase element and two resistors representing the bulk and interphase resistances. Figure 6a also shows the Nyquist plots recorded at t = 6, 20, 40, 60, and 80 h. The extracted bulk and interphase resistances are presented in Figure 6b,c. Similar to sulfide-based solid electrolytes such as Li$_{10}$GeP$_2$S$_{12}$ and Li$_3$PS$_4$, our SE is not stable against Li metal and slowly decomposes over time.[57] The growth rate of the interphase obeys a parabolic rate law with a rate constant of k = 14.6 Ω cm$^{-2}$. h$^{-0.5}$ obtained from the slope in Figure 6c, which is lower than the 45.1 and 1394.3 Ω cm$^{-2}$. h$^{-0.5}$ reported for Li$_{10}$GeP$_2$S$_{12}$ and Li$_3$PS$_4$, respectively.[58] However, this value is slightly higher than 2.9 and 3.8 Ω cm$^{-2}$. h$^{-0.5}$ published for Li$_2$P$_2$S$_{11}$ and Li$_3$PS$_4$Cl, respectively.[58] The initial interphase resistance immediately after contacting the SE with Li metal is also calculated as 29.6 Ω cm$^{-2}$ from the intercept in Figure 6c.

As previous studies have confirmed the stability of the CB$_{11}$H$_{12}^-$ anion against Li/[Na metal,][18,19] we attribute the decomposition reaction on the anode side to the reduction of the B$_{11}$H$_{14}^-$ anion in the electrolyte. To get a better insight into possible decomposition reactions at the anode and cathode, we performed electrochemical stability calculations for LiB$_{11}$H$_{14}$.[59,60] (for details of the present approach, see the DFT section in the Supporting Information and Figure S33 and Table S5, Supporting Information). DFT calculations show that LiB$_{11}$H$_{14}$ is unstable against Li metal, reducing to Li$_2$B$_{11}$H$_{13}$ (dashed rectangle in Figure 6f; and Table S5, Supporting Information). This reduction reaction requires the detachment of one proton and was also observed during the synthesis steps and when (CH$_3$)$_3$NHBB$_{11}$H$_{14}$ was reacted with an excess amount of BuLi as a Li source (Figure 1c).

Although LiB$_{11}$H$_{14}$ appears to be unstable against Li metal, the SE/Li interphase shows sufficient ionic conductivity to support reversible electrodeposition as shown by the galvanostatic cycling of a symmetric Li/SE/Li cell. The galvanostatic cycling experiment (Figure 6d) reveals the excellent stability of >620 h at a current density of 25 or 50 μA cm$^{-2}$, switching the current direction every 1 or 10 h (0.50 mA cm$^{-2}$). A moderate increase in the overpotential from 22.5 to 30 mV after 620 h might be attributed to continuous and slow electrolyte reduction at the Li/SE interface due to cracking of the formed Li$_3$B$_{11}$H$_{12}$ layer during electrodeposition. The critical current density (CCD) at which dendrites start to propagate through the SE was measured by increasing the current density in each cycle in a Li/SE/Li cell while keeping the transferred capacity per half cycle constant at 0.2 mAh cm$^{-2}$ (Figure S31, Supporting Information). Using this method, a CCD of 0.16 mA cm$^{-2}$ at 60 °C is determined. Similar CCD values of 0.04–0.28 mA cm$^{-2}$ have been recently reported for single-crystalline Li$_5$La$_3$Zr$_2$O$_{12}$ at ambient temperature.[60] Higher critical currents beyond 1 mA cm$^{-2}$ typically require interface engineering to lower the interfacial resistance and external pressure in the MPa range applied on the cell to counteract void formation at the interface between Li metal and the electrolyte.[62–64] In the next step, we experimentally determined the oxidative stability of the SE following the methodology of Asakura.
et al. by linear sweep voltammetry. The voltage of a SE/carbon composite working electrode was swept against a Li metal reference/counter electrode from 2.0 to 4.0 V versus Li+/Li, as shown in Figure 6e. Similar to NaB\textsubscript{11}H\textsubscript{14}, a clear onset of decomposition reactions is observed at \( \approx 2.6 \) V versus Li+/Li followed by a second onset at 3.2 V versus Li+/Li. In the subsequent cycles, no significant current up to 4.0 V versus Li+/Li is observed during both forward and backward scans (see Figure S32, Supporting Information), indicating the irreversibility of the decomposition products and the formation of a passivating, blocking layer during the first cycle.

DFT calculations were used to predict the electrochemical stability of the LiB\textsubscript{11}H\textsubscript{14} together with other impurity compounds (2, 3, 5). It should be noted that the calculated electrochemical stability windows of the considered compounds depend on the selected decomposition products. Upon oxidation, electrolytes are typically delithiated. In this study, therefore, several poly-hydroborates with lower lithium content such as LiB\textsubscript{22}H\textsubscript{22}, LiB\textsubscript{21}H\textsubscript{18}, LiB\textsubscript{20}H\textsubscript{18}, and B\textsubscript{18}H\textsubscript{22} are considered (see Table S5 and Figure S33 for the complete list, Supporting Information). A B\textsubscript{22}H\textsubscript{22}\textsuperscript{2−} compound was reported as the oxidation product of the B\textsubscript{11}H\textsubscript{14} anion in solution and trace amounts of B\textsubscript{21}H\textsubscript{18} were observed in the MS data of Sample B (Table S2, Supporting Information). DFT calculations predict a narrow electrochemical window for LiB\textsubscript{11}H\textsubscript{14} (dashed bar in Figure 6f) when only B\textsubscript{18}H\textsubscript{22} as a completely delithiated and neutral borane is considered as the oxidation products (Figure S33 and Table S5, Supporting Information). The calculated stability window of LiB\textsubscript{11}H\textsubscript{14} is comparable to Li\textsubscript{3}PS\textsubscript{4} and is still larger than the calculated values for Li\textsubscript{10}GeP\textsubscript{2}S\textsubscript{12}. The list of the

---

**Figure 6.** a) Nyquist plots and their equivalent circuit (fits) measured after 6–80 h of contacting the LiB\textsubscript{11}H\textsubscript{14}/LiCB\textsubscript{11}H\textsubscript{12} (1:1) SE with Li metal. Solid electrolyte interface (SEI) and bulk resistances plotted versus b) time and c) square root of time to determine a parabolic rate constant. d) Galvanostatic cycling of a symmetric Li/SE/Li cell at current densities of 25 or 50 \( \mu \)A cm\textsuperscript{−2} (for 1 or 10 h in each direction). e) Voltammogram of a Li/SE/SE+C/Pt cell from 1.5 to 4.0 V versus Li+/Li at a scan rate of 0.05 mV s\textsuperscript{−1}. f) Calculated electrochemical stability of selected hydroborate anions. LiB\textsubscript{11}H\textsubscript{14} only appears as a stable compound in DFT calculations under specific assumptions (dashed rectangular, see Table S5, Supporting Information). The electrochemical stability of the other compounds was calculated with and without consideration of LiB\textsubscript{21}H\textsubscript{18} as a final decomposition product in red and gray bars, respectively. g) Cycling performance and Coulombic efficiency of a Li/SE/TiS\textsubscript{2} cell cycled between 1.6 and 2.5 V versus Li+/Li at C/10 (1st to 5th cycle) and C/5 (from 6th cycle) (1C = 0.239 mA g\textsuperscript{−1}) under 3.2 MPa. All measurements were performed at 60 °C.
electrochemical stability window of the most common solid electrolytes and their phase equilibria at their stability limits are presented in Table S6 (Supporting Information).

The electrochemical stability windows of the rest of the compounds were calculated with and without considering LiB$_2$H$_{18}$ as a decomposition product (red and gray bars in Figure 6f). DFT calculations suggest LiB$_2$H$_{18}$ as the most thermodynamically stable compound among the hydorborates considered here and can be perceived as the thermodynamic sink. It means that this compound tends to form as the oxidation product and is stable up to $\approx 5$ V versus Li$^+$/Li before completely delithiating to B$_8$H$_{22}$ (red bars in Figure 6f).

A second series of calculations was carried out without considering the LiB$_2$H$_{18}$ as the decomposition product in order to stimulate that the formation of this compound is kinetically hindered. Under these assumptions, Li$_2$B$_{26}$H$_{18}$ and Li$_2$B$_{22}$H$_{22}$ are formed as the oxidation products and are stable up to $\approx 5$ V versus Li$^+$/Li (gray bars).

In general, the B$_1$H$_{14}^+$ cage is prone to be oxidized by losing protons at the open side of the cage and subsequently forming poly-hydorborates. The same reaction is observed in aqueous media by replacing protons at the open side of the cage with an oxygen (compound 5) or a hydroxyl group (compound 2). Calculated electrochemical stability of these compounds (2, 3, 5) implies a wider electrochemical stability window compared to LiB$_2$H$_{14}$ (Figure 6f) and points toward a new strategy to synthesize them as a pure phase for the next generation of solid electrolytes.

The electronic conductivity of the decomposition products could be estimated by the following measurement. The Li/SE/Cu cell assembled for the electronic conductivity measurement shows an open-circuit voltage of $\approx 2.3$ V. To measure the current response versus applied potential, constant voltages of 0.25, 0.5, 0.75, and 1 V were applied to the cell. When a constant voltage of $\geq 0.5$ V is applied, the cell potential increases to $>2.6$ V versus Li$^+$/Li, which is above the electrochemical stability, and the SE starts to decompose. In these cases, smaller current responses are observed, suggesting the lower electronic conductivities of the decomposition products as a preferred requirement for the formation of a stable interphase (Figure S30b, Supporting Information).

Finally, a proof-of-concept battery using a Li metal anode and TiS$_2$ cathode was assembled in a home-built pressure cell. TiS$_2$ was selected as a cathode active material because of its lithium intercalation potential of $<2.5$ V versus Li$^+$/Li matches the oxidative stability limit of the SE. The chemical compatibility between the SE and the active material was further confirmed with PXRD. Figure S34 (Supporting Information) compares the PXRD patterns of the TiS$_2$, SE, and the SE/TiS$_2$ composite mixture annealed at 60 °C for 12 h (under vacuum, $p = 10^{-3}$ mbar). Unlike LiBH$_4$ that is unstable against TiS$_2$, leading to spontaneous lithiation upon heating with a shift of the PXRD patterns to lower 2$\theta$ angles, no apparent reaction is observed between the SE and TiS$_2$ by PXRD. No shift of the PXRD patterns and formation of crystalline decomposition products are detected. Galvanostatic cycling of the cell at C/10 and C/5 (C = 239 mA g$^{-1}$) under 3.2 MPa in Figure 6g shows a reversible discharge capacity of 190 mAh g$^{-1}$ in the first cycle, corresponding to a TiS$_2$ utilization ratio of 80% compared to the theoretical capacity of 239 mA h g$^{-1}$.[71] Charge/discharge voltage profiles are presented in Figure S35 (Supporting Information). The Coulombic efficiency is as high as 98% in the first cycle, which stays at >99.5% in the later cycles. Switching C-rates to C/5 in the sixth cycle exhibits a minor decrease in discharge capacity (188 mA h g$^{-1}$). The reversible discharge capacity at C/5 retained 82% after 150 cycles (from 6th cycle), indicating stable battery cycling with a negligible oxidative decomposition of the SE. The slow cell degradation over cycling is attributed to the contact loss between the SE and the cathode active material together with the slow reductive decomposition of the electrolyte by the Li metal anode.

Improvements in battery performance, as well as the use of high voltage cathodes, could be made by using coated cathodes. This is a common approach for using sulfide-based solid electrolytes beyond their stability window and in combination with high-voltage cathodes. Summary lists of the electrochemical stability window of the most common solid electrolytes and their performances in all-solid-state lithium batteries are presented in Tables S6 and S7 (Supporting Information).[68,72]

3. Conclusion

In this work, we present for the first time, the synthesis of anhydrous LiB$_{11}$H$_{14}$ as a cost-effective building block for mixed-anion hydroborate lithium solid electrolytes. The impurity side products such as LiB$_{11}$H$_{13}$OH, Li$_2$(B$_{11}$H$_{13}$-O-B$_{11}$H$_{13}$), Li(B$_{11}$H$_{13}$-O-Bu), and LiB$_{11}$H$_{12}$O were thoroughly characterized by MS and NMR, and high purity LiB$_{11}$H$_{14}$ was obtained by excess use of Li source in the synthesis.

Disordered structures were obtained by partially replacing LiB$_2$H$_{14}$ with carba-closo-hydorborates. Ionic conductivity of $1.1 \times 10^{-4}$ S cm$^{-1}$ at 25 °C is achieved for Li$_2$(B$_{11}$H$_{12}$)(CB$_9$H$_{10}$) and liquid-like ionic conductivity of up to $1.1 \times 10^{-3}$ S cm$^{-1}$ at 25 °C is achieved in a mixture with slightly smaller LiCB$_9$H$_{10}$ in the Li$_2$(B$_{11}$H$_{12}$)(CB$_9$H$_{10}$). Therefore, contrary to the common trend that focuses on increasing the anion size and widening the ion conduction path, we propose focusing on small hydroborate anions which are more compatible with the small size of the Li$^+$ cation and enable suitable conduction channels.

LiB$_{11}$H$_{14}$ is not stable against Li metal; however, the formation of the Li-rich Li$_2$B$_{11}$H$_{14}$ allows electrodeposition in a symmetric cell with Li metal electrodes at 50 μA cm$^{-2}$ (up to 0.5 mA cm$^{-2}$) with a low overpotential increase which is stable over >620 cycles. The oxidative stability of 2.6 V versus Li$^+$/Li is obtained for this electrolyte is similar to Li$_3$PS$_4$[68] but is narrower than that for closo-hydorborates due to the delocalized hydrogen atoms.[16,17]

In order to achieve high gravimetric energy densities, cathode materials such as Li$_2$C$_6$O$_6$[73] or sulfur[80] with a theoretical capacity of 390 and 1675 mA h g$^{-1}$, respectively, are suggested, which lie within the stability window. In this regard, we assembled a proof-of-concept battery using TiS$_2$ cathode which matches the oxidative stability of the electrolyte. The other common strategy is to use protective coatings together with high-voltage cathodes as a common method for sulfide-based ASSBs.[68,72] Finally, the intrinsic stability of the electrolyte could be improved by replacing hydrogen atoms with an
O atom or an OH group as suggested by DFT calculations or closing the cage with Sn and P form SnB$_{11}$$H_{11}^{2-}$ and PB$_{11}$$H_{11}^{2-}$ compounds. Therefore, LiB$_{11}$$H_{11}$ as a cost-effective building block opens a new chapter for designing novel hydroborate-based solid electrolytes for all-solid-state lithium batteries.

[CCDC 2 043 896, 20 438 972 043 898, 2 043 899, 2 043 900 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/]
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