ENNUMERATION OF BIGRASSMANNIAN PERMUTATIONS
BELOW A PERMUTATION IN BRUHAT ORDER
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ABSTRACT. In theory of Coxeter groups, bigrassmannian elements are well
known as elements which have precisely one left descent and precisely one right
descent. In this article, we prove formulas on enumeration of bigrassmannian
permutations weakly below a permutation in Bruhat order in the symmetric
groups. For the proof, we use equivalent characterizations of bigrassmannian
permutations by Lascoux-Schützenberger and Reading.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the theory of Coxeter groups, bigrassmannian elements are known as ele-
ments which have precisely one left descent and precisely one right descent. They
play a significant role to investigate structure of the Bruhat order [3]. In partic-
ular, in the symmetric group (type A), bigrassmannian permutations have many
nice order-theoretic properties. First, Lascoux-Schützenberger proved [4] that a
permutation is bigrassmannian if and only if it is join-irreducible. For defini-
tion of join-irreducibility, see [5, Sections 2]. Second, Reading [5] charac-
terized join-irreducible permutations as certain minimal monotone triangles.

In this article, we will make use of these characterizations to answer the following
question: given a permutation \(x\), how can we find the number of bigrassmannian
permutations weakly below it in Bruhat order? Unfortunately, this is not easy
from the usual definition of Bruhat order. Instead, it is much easier to use mono-
tone triangles because the set of monotone triangles has a partial order which is
equivalent to Bruhat order over the symmetric groups. Moreover, there is a nat-
ural identification of join-irreducible (equivalently, bigrassmannian) permutations
with entries of monotone triangles. We will see detail of these in Section 2. In
Section 3, we prove the main result:
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Theorem. For \( x \in S_n \), let \( I(x) \) be the set of inversions of \( x \) and \( \beta(x) \) the number of bigrassmannian permutations weakly below \( x \) in the Bruhat order. Then we have
\[
\beta(x) = \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} (x(a) - a)(n - a) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a=1}^{n} (x(a) - a)^2 = \sum_{(i,j)\in I(x)} (x(i) - x(j)).
\]

2. TWO CHARACTERIZATIONS OF BIGRASSMANNIAN PERMUTATIONS

We begin with definition of the Bruhat order.

**Definition 2.1.** Let \( x \in S_n \). A pair of integers \((i, j)\) is said to be an inversion of \( x \) if \( 1 \leq i < j \leq n \) and \( x(i) > x(j) \). Let \( I(x) \) denote the set of all inversions. Define the length \( \ell(x) \) to be \( \#I(x) \). Let \( t_{ij} \) denote a transposition \((i < j)\). In particular, write \( s_i = t_{i,i+1} \). It is well-known that \( S = \{s_1, \ldots, s_{n-1}\} \) generates \( S_n \) and \( \ell(x) \) is equal to the minimum number \( k \) such that \( x = s_{i_1}s_{i_2} \ldots s_{i_k} \) (the identity permutation \( e \) has length 0 with the empty word). A reduction of \( x \) is a permutation of the form \( xt_{ij} \) with \((i, j)\in I(x) \). Define the Bruhat order on \( S_n \) as \( w \preceq y \) if there exist \( x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_k \in S_n \) such that \( x_0 = w, x_k = y \) and \( x_i \) is a reduction of \( x_{i+1} \) for all \( 0 \leq i \leq k - 1 \).

**Definition 2.2.** For \( x \in S_n \), define left and right descents to be
\[
D_L(x) = \{ s_i \in S \mid x^{-1}(i) > x^{-1}(i+1) \},
\]
\[
D_R(x) = \{ s_i \in S \mid x(i) > x(i+1) \}.
\]

We say that \( x \) is bigrassmannian if \( \#D_L(x) = \#D_R(x) = 1 \). Define
\[
B(x) = \{ w \mid w \preceq x \text{ and } w \text{ is bigrassmannian} \},
\]
\[
\beta(x) = \#B(x).
\]

We would like to know \( B(x) \) and \( \beta(x) \) for a given \( x \). As mentioned earlier, this is not easy from the definition of Bruhat order. However, the following two equivalent characterizations of bigrassmannian permutations by Lascoux-Schützenberger and Reading are helpful.

**Characterization 1.** [4, Théorème 4.4] \( x \in S_n \) is bigrassmannian if and only if it is join-irreducible (Lascoux and Schützenberger used terminology the bases rather than the set of join-irreducible elements).

Before Reading’s characterization, let us see the definition of monotone triangles.

**Definition 2.3.** A monotone triangle \( x \) of order \( n \) is an \( n(n-1)/2 \)-tuple \((x_{ab} \mid 1 \leq a \leq b \leq n - 1)\) such that \( 1 \leq x_{ab} \leq n, x_{ab} < x_{a,b+1}, x_{ab} \geq x_{a+1,b} \text{ and } x_{ab} \leq x_{a+1,b+1} \) for all \( a, b \). Regard a permutation \( x \in S_n \) as a monotone triangle of order \( n \) as follows: for each \( 1 \leq a \leq n - 1 \), let \( x_{a1}, x_{a2}, \ldots, x_{aa} \) be integers such that \( \{x(1), x(2), \ldots, x(a)\} = \{x_{a1}, x_{a2}, \ldots, x_{aa}\}, x_{ab} < x_{a,b+1} \) for all \( 1 \leq b \leq a - 1 \). Then \( x = (x_{ab}) \) is a monotone triangle. Denote by \( L(S_n) \) the set of all monotone
triangles of order \( n \). Define a partial order on \( L(S_n) \) by \( x \leq y \) if \( x_{ab} \leq y_{ab} \) for all \( a, b \).

Following [5] Section 8, we introduce an important family of monotone triangles.

**Definition 2.4.** For positive integers \((a, b, c)\) such that \( 1 \leq b \leq a \leq n - 1 \) and \( b + 1 \leq c \leq n - a + b \), define \( J_{abc} \) to be the componentwise smallest monotone triangle such that \( a, b \) entry is \( \geq c \) (notice that Reading worked on \( S_{n+1} (= \text{Coxeter group of type } A_n) \) while here we are working on \( S_n \)). In other words, \( J_{abc} \) satisfies \( x \geq J_{abc} \) if and only if \( x_{ab} \geq c \) for \( x \in L(S_n) \).

**Characterization 2.** [5] Section 8] \( x \in S_n \) is join-irreducible if and only if there exist some \((a, b, c)\) with \( 1 \leq b \leq a \leq n - 1 \) and \( b + 1 \leq c \leq n - a + b \) such that \( x = J_{abc} \).

As a consequence of minimality of \( J_{abc} \) in Definition 2.4, it is easy to compare join-irreducible monotone triangles at the same position \((a, b)\) as \( J_{abc} < J_{abd} \iff c < d \) for all \( c, d \) with \( b + 1 \leq c, d \leq n - a + b \). Hence we may identify entries appearing in \( (x_{ab}) \) with \( \langle J_{abx_{ab}} \rangle \). This identification is quite useful to find \( \beta(x) \) (because of Characterizations 1 and 2) as we shall see in Proposition 2.7.

**Remark 2.5.** In fact, \( L(S_n) \) is a distributive lattice and the MacNeille completion of \( S_n \) (meaning smallest lattice which contains \( S_n \)). In particular, for all \( x, y \in S_n \), \( x \leq y \) in Bruhat order (Definition 2.1) is equivalent to \( x \leq y \) as monotone triangles (Definition 2.3). Since join-irreducible elements are invariant under the MacNeille completion, even for \( x \in L(S_n) \), \( \beta(x) \) makes sense as the number of join-irreducible monotone triangles weakly below \( x \). For detail, see [1], [2], [4, Théorème 4.4] and [5] Sections 6, 7, 8.

**Definition 2.6.** For \( x \in L(S_n) \), define

\[
\Sigma(x) = \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} \sum_{b=1}^{a} x_{ab}.
\]

**Proposition 2.7.**

1. For each \( a, b \) such that \( 1 \leq b \leq a \leq n - 1 \), there is a chain of bigrassmannian permutations:

\[
J_{a,b,b+1} < J_{a,b,b+2} < \cdots < J_{a,b,n-a+b}.
\]

Consequently for \( x \in L(S_n) \),

\[
J_{a,b,b+1}, J_{a,b,b+2}, \ldots, J_{abx_{ab}} \in B(x).
\]

2. Let \( x \in L(S_n) \). Then \( \beta(x) = \Sigma(x) - \Sigma(e) \).
Proof.

(1) Use $J_{abc} < J_{abd} \iff c < d$.
(2) Note that $e_{ab} = b$ for all $a, b$. It then follows from (1) that

$$
\beta(x) = \{w \in S_n \mid w \leq x \text{ and } w \text{ is bigrassmannian}\}
= \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} \sum_{b=1}^{a} \#\{J_{abc} \mid b + 1 \leq c \leq x_{ab}\}
= \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} \sum_{b=1}^{a} (x_{ab} - b)
= \Sigma(x) - \Sigma(e).
$$

3. Proof of Theorem

We saw the formula of $\beta(x)$ for general monotone triangles $x$. If $x$ is a permutation, there are simpler formulas of $\beta(x)$ because $x(a)$ appears $n - a$ times in entries of the monotone triangle for each $a$ so that it is easier to compute $\Sigma(x)$.

**Theorem.** For all $x \in S_n$, we have

$$
\beta(x) = \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} (x(a) - a)(n - a) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a=1}^{n} (x(a) - a)^2 = \sum_{(i, j) \in I(x)} (x(i) - x(j)).
$$

**Proof.** We show the first equality.

$$
\sum_{a=1}^{n-1} (x(a) - a)(n - a) = \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} x(a)(n - a) - \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} a(n - a)
= \Sigma(x) - \Sigma(e) = \beta(x).
$$

Next we check the second equality. Since

$$
\sum_{a=1}^{n} x(a) = \sum_{a=1}^{n} a \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{a=1}^{n} x(a)^2 = \sum_{a=1}^{n} a^2,
$$
Before the proof the last equality, we need a lemma.

**Lemma.** Let \( x \in S_n \) and \( i < j \). Then we have
\[
\beta(x) - \beta(x_{tij}) = (j - i)(x(i) - x(j)).
\]
In particular, \( \beta(x) - \beta(xs_i) = x(i) - x(i + 1) \).

**Proof.** Let \( w = xt_{ij} \). Note that \( w(i) = x(j), w(j) = x(i) \) and \( w(a) = x(a) \) for all \( a \neq i, j \). Then apply the first equality as just shown to \( w \) and \( x \):
\[
\beta(x) - \beta(w) = \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} (x(a) - a)(n - a) - \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} (w(a) - a)(n - a) \\
= \sum_{a=1}^{n} (x(a) - a)(n - a) - \sum_{a=1}^{n} (w(a) - a)(n - a) \\
= \sum_{a=1}^{n} (x(a) - w(a))(n - a) \\
= (x(i) - w(i))(n - i) + (x(j) - w(j))(n - j) \\
= (x(i) - x(j))(n - i) - (x(i) - x(j))(n - j) \\
= (j - i)(x(i) - x(j)).
\]

**Proof of the last equality.** The proof is induction on \( \ell(x) \). If \( \ell(x) = 0 \), then \( x = e \) and hence \( \beta(e) = 0 \). If \( \ell(x) > 0 \), we can choose some \( a \) such that \( (a, a + 1) \in I(x) \)
(otherwise \( x = e \) since \( x(1) < x(2) < \cdots < x(n) \)). Let \( w = xs_a \). Note that \((a, a+1) \notin I(w)\). Now set
\[
I_1(w) = \{(i, a) \in I(w) \mid 1 \leq i \leq a - 1\},
I_2(w) = \{(i, a+1) \in I(w) \mid 1 \leq i \leq a - 1\},
I_3(w) = \{(a, j) \in I(w) \mid a + 2 \leq j \leq n\},
I_4(w) = \{(a+1, j) \in I(w) \mid a + 2 \leq j \leq n\},
I_5(w) = \{(i, j) \in I(w) \mid i, j \notin \{a, a+1\}\}.
\]
Clearly \( I(w) = \bigcup I_p(w) \) and the union is disjoint. Observe that \((i, a) \in I_1(w) \iff (i, a+1) \in I_2(x)\) since \(w(a) < w(i) \iff x(a+1) < x(i)\) for \(1 \leq i \leq a - 1\). Therefore
\[
\sum_{(i, a) \in I_1(w)} (w(i) - w(a)) = \sum_{(i, a+1) \in I_2(x)} (x(i) - x(a+1)).
\]
It is quite similar to show that
\[
(i, a+1) \in I_2(w) \iff (i, a) \in I_1(x),
(a, j) \in I_3(w) \iff (a+1, j) \in I_4(x),
(a+1, j) \in I_4(w) \iff (a, j) \in I_3(x),
(i, j) \in I_5(w) \iff (i, j) \in I_5(x).
\]
Since \(\ell(w) = \ell(x) - 1\), the hypothesis of induction tells us that
\[
\beta(w) = \sum_{(i, j) \in I(w)} (w(i) - w(j)).
\]
Then thanks to the Lemma, we conclude that
\[
\beta(x) = \beta(w) + (x(a) - x(a+1))
= \sum_{(i, j) \in I(w)} (w(i) - w(j)) + (x(a) - x(a+1))
= \sum_{p=1}^{5} \sum_{I_p(x)} (x(i) - x(j)) + (x(a) - x(a+1))
= \sum_{(i, j) \in I(x)} (x(i) - x(j)).
\]
\(\blacksquare\)
Example. Let $x = 42513$. Then

$$\sum \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 2 \ 4 \\ 2 \ 4 \ 5 \\ 1 \ 2 \ 4 \ 5 \end{pmatrix} - \sum \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \ 2 \ 3 \\ 1 \ 2 \ 3 \ 4 \\ 0 \ 0 \ 1 \ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \sum \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \ 2 \ 2 \\ 1 \ 2 \ 3 \ 4 \\ 0 \ 0 \ 1 \ 1 \end{pmatrix} = 13,$$

$$\frac{1}{2}((x(1) - 1)^2 + (x(2) - 2)^2 + (x(3) - 3)^2 + (x(4) - 4)^2 + (x(5) - 5)^2) = 13,$$

$$(x(1) - 1)4 + (x(2) - 2)3 + (x(3) - 3)2 + (x(4) - 4)1 = 13,$$

$$\sum_{(i,j) \in I(x)} (x(i) - x(j)) = x(1) - x(2) + x(1) - x(4) + x(1) - x(5) + x(2) - x(4)$$

$$+ x(3) - x(4) + x(3) - x(5) = 13.$$
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