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ABSTRACT

This paper introduces an evolutionary approach for training the adaptive network-based fuzzy inference system (ANFIS). The previous works are based on gradient descendent (GD); this algorithm converges very slowly and gets stuck down at bad local minima. This study applies one of the swarm intelligent branches, named particle swarm optimization (PSO), where the premise parameters of the rules are optimized by a PSO, and the conclusion part is optimized by least-squares estimation (LSE). The hybrid PSO-ANFIS model is performed for speaker recognition on CHAINS speech dataset. The results obtained by the hybrid model showed an improvement on the accuracy compared to similar ANFIS based on gradient descendent optimization.
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INTRODUCTION

Speaker recognition is the process of automatically recognizing a person by his or her voice by using speaker specific information included in speech waves (Huang, Acero, Hon, & Reddy, 2001; He & Deng, 2008). This technology is widely used in various real world situations such as access controls, telephone applications, PC logins, door control systems. Speaker recognition is a field of research that has been extensively studied in recent decades, but none of the proposed approaches is comparable to that of the human mind in terms of speed and accuracy.

Neuro-fuzzy modeling is an alternative method of speaker recognition, which combines the advantages of two intelligent approaches: neural networks and fuzzy logic in order to take advantage of the discriminative power of the former with the ability of reasoning and deduction of the latter. The model can be trained as a neural network with a linguistic interpretation of variables by fuzzy logic (Bojadziev & Bojadziev, 1995). Both encode information at the same time and distribute the architecture in a numerical framework. Several architectures have been proposed, depending on the type of rules they include Mamdani’s or Sugeno’s (Abraham, 2001; Kosko, 1991). The Adaptive Network-based Fuzzy Inference System (ANFIS) model is one of the most influential fuzzy models proposed by Robert Jang (Jang, 1993) widely used (Fatemeh & Zahra, 2018; Gunasekaran, Varatharajan & Priyan, 2018; RazaviTermeh, Kornejad, Pourghasemi & Keesstra, 2018). The rule base of this model contains fuzzy ‘if-then’ rules of the Takagi and Sugeno’s type in which the conclusion parts are linear functions of the inputs rather than fuzzy sets, thus reducing the number of required fuzzy rules.
Fuzzy model building involves two important phases: structure identification (which is the determination of number of fuzzy if-then rules and membership functions of the premise fuzzy sets); and, then optimization of these parameters (Jang, Sun, & Mizutani, 1997). Optimization of these parameters is one of the main issues of the ANFIS training, classical learning is based gradient descent, this algorithm has the disadvantage of falling into poor local minima since it is limited to a reduced search space in the neighborhood of an initial random solution that is not always suitable. In this work, we propose an alternative training approach to optimize the ANFIS parameters more efficiently than the gradient method, the proposed approach is particle swarm optimization (PSO). The PSO algorithm looks for solutions in a larger search space that depends on the number of initial solutions (randomly generated) called swarms. The PSO technique is usually time-consuming to execute, the time required for a good convergence depends on the number of swarms and iterations, which depend on the number of parameters to be optimized. In order to reduce the cost of training, we propose to apply the PSO only to the premise part of the rules and to use a least square estimation (LSE) on the conclusion part. The proposed learning algorithm is tested on the CHAINS data set for speaker recognition. The obtained results are compared with those of the ANFIS trained by the gradient approach.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: section 2 reviews related literature research on the topic of speaker recognition, section 3 describes the ANFIS model and PSO algorithm, then explain the development of the PSO-ANFIS model for speaker recognition, while section 4 details the experimental results and their discussion, finally Section 5 concludes the paper.

**RELATED WORKS**

Automatic Speaker Recognition has achieved substantial success in the past few decades. Many works based on different mathematical paradigms have been proposed in order to improve recognition rates. (Tolba, 2011) applied Hidden Markov Model HMM to identify ten Arabic speakers where the (MFCCs) were selected to describe the speech signal. The identification rate was 100% during text dependent experiments and 80% for the text-independent experiments. (Daqrouqa & Tutunjib, 2015) used formants and wavelet packet entropy as inputs to a neural network for classification. Recognition rate was found 90.09% for vowel-dependent and 82.50% for vowel-independent. The Generalized Regression Neural Network GRNN and the Back-Propagation Neural Network BPNN were used as the classifiers (Wu & Tsai, 2011) to classify thirty-six speakers speaking Chinese using empirical mode decomposition EMD feature extraction method. The first method gave a result of 78.16% and the second one gave a result of 88.82%. The artificial neural network NN model is used as classification model (Chen, Wang, Lin, & Li, 2012). MFCCs feature was extracted from speech signal. Then, the dimension of the inputting eigenvector is reduced, and the redundant information is got rid of by means of Kmean Linde-Buzzo-Gray algorithm. the error of training network was 0.015 where hidden layer number is 950. (Ge, Iyer, Cheluvaraja, Sundaram & Ganapathiraju, 2017) proposed a neural network NN framework for text-independent speaker classification and verification, using TIMIT 8K database. The 39 (MFCCs) were generated from the preprocessed speech. The system achieved 100% classification. ANN classifier with Back Propagation (BPNN) are used (Wali & Hatture, 2015), the extracted sixteen MFCC features from 50 users, the identification accuracy of around 92% to 70% is achieved for 10 to 50 users.

Multiclass SVM based speaker cluster method have been proposed (Apsingekar & De Leon, 2009) feature vectors composed of 13 MFCCs, 13 delta-MFCCs are appended after CMS and RASTA applied on to MFCCs. Experiments performed on NIST-2002 speech corpus for 64 speaker accuracy was 97%. (Campbell, Campbell, Reynolds, Singer & Torres-Carrasquillo, 2006) applied SVM; GMM; and SVM fused with a GMM for speaker and language recognition on the NIST 2003 data. where 38 MFCCs and 36 LPC were used to describe the speech signal. The equal error rate EER was for SVM 6.46%, for GMM was 7.47% and for SVM/GMM was 5.55%. As well the discriminative
classifier SVM combined with the traditional GMM pattern classification with a cepstral feature vector 39-dimensional MFCC extracted from the speech was proposed (Chakroun, Zouari, Frika & Hamida, 2015) this combination has been tested on 64 speakers from TIMIT database. They achieved 100% in identification rate with the second set of experiments dealt with only 3 utterances per speaker for the training task. (Campbell, Sturim & Reynolds, 2006) constructed a support vector machine kernel using the GMM supervector. They performed experiments on the 2005 NIST speaker recognition (SRE) corpus where A 19-dimensional MFCC vector is extracted from the pre-emphasized speech signal, the equal error rate (EER) was 5.68% and the minimum decision cost value (minDCF) was 0.0222. (Dhineshkumar, Ganesh & Sasikala, 2016) proposed the GMM/SVM-based automatic speaker identification performances for three acoustic features namely RASTA-MFCC, Gamma tone Frequency Cepstral Coefficients GFCC, and Mean Hilbert Envelope Coefficients MHEC in different noisy conditions. Experiments were conducted on TIMIT phone labelled database corpus. accuracy were for RASTA-MFCC 70.32% for GFCC 68.49% and for MHEC 73.27% under street Noise. (Algabri, Mathkour, Bencherif, Alsulaiman & Mekhtiche, 2017) presented an Arabic speaker recognition system for forensic applications by using GMM-UBM. Thirty-nine MFCCs were used for feature extraction. All experiments were conducted using speeches of 40 speakers of the KSU Speech Database. They achieved using mobile channel recording, at around 97.8% recognition rate with an EER equal to 1.98%. various types of deep features for text-dependent speaker verification are presented (Liu, Qian, Chen, Fu, Zhang & Yu, 2015). These deep features are all implemented in both the GMM-UBM and identity vector framework. The RSR2015 database was chosen to evaluate all the systems. The best system achieves the EER 0.1%. All these works are summarized in Table 1.

The ANFIS has the advantage of good applicability, ability, and performance in system identification, prediction and control. It has been applied in many different systems. Since not many research works have used it in speech recognition. Table 2 presents some of those who do. Thus, it is necessary to carry on the exploration of the use of ANFIS in speech recognition and to more thoroughly research this topic.

DEVELOPMENT OF PSO-ANFIS MODEL

In this section, the ANFIS and PSO are described; then, the development of PSO-ANFIS model for speaker recognition is explained.

Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO)

Particle swarm optimization (PSO) is a heuristic approach developed by Kennedy and Eberhart (Kennedy & Eberhart, 1995; Nayyar, Le & Nguyen, 2018) as an evolutionary computational method for solving global optimization problems. It was inspired by the metaphor of social behavior observed among insects and animals such as schools of fish and flocks of birds.

PSO is a population-based search method, the population consists of potential solutions, named particles, where each particle moves towards its best previous position and toward the best particle in the whole swarm. These particles are randomly initialized and freely fly across the multi dimensional search space. During flight, each particle updates its own velocity and position based on the best experience of its own and the entire population.

Let \( x_i(t) \) denote the position of particle \( i \) in the search space at time \( t \) assumed to be discrete. The position of the particle is changed by adding a velocity, \( v_i(t) \) to the current position (Nayyar, Garg, Gupta, & Khanna, 2018):

\[
V_{\text{new}} = wV + \varphi_1 (P_{\text{best}} - X) + \varphi_2 (G_{\text{best}} - X)
\]  

(1)
Where the weights are respectively the inertia $w$; rand$_1$, rand$_2$~ U(0,1); the positive acceleration constants $C_1$ and $C_2$. $P_{best}$ is the local best: the best position of this particle has ever visited until now. $G_{best}$ is the neighbors best, assuming that particles are interconnected in a network; each particle can maintains the best position ever found among its neighbors (Milani & Santucci, 2009). In this work, we assume that Neighbors topology is a complete graph including all particles.

PSO is widely used and rapidly developed by researchers; due to its having some advantages over its alternatives. Namely (Kennedy & Eberhart, 1995; Eberhart, & Shi, 2001, Nayyar & Nguyen, 2018):

\[ X_{new} = X + V_{new} \]  
\[ \phi_1 = C_1 rand_1, \phi_2 = C_2 rand_2 \]
PSO is an efficient optimization technique for maintaining the diversity of the swarm; PSO is an algorithm that is fast and easy to understand and implement (because fewer particles need to be tuned); and; PSO requires only primitive mathematical operators; and is computationally inexpensive in terms of both memory requirements and speed.

Adaptive Network-Based Fuzzy Inference System (ANFIS)

ANFIS proposed by Jang in 1993 multi-layered neural network which connections are not weighted or all weights equal 1(Jang, 1993), ANFIS implement a first order Sugeno style fuzzy system; it applies the rule of TSK Takagi Sugeno and Kang form in its architecture (Takagi & Sugeno, 1985). This rule produces crisp outputs directly, as it uses polynomials as rule consequences (Li & Yang, 2018).

Rule: if \( x \) is \( A_i \) and \( y \) is \( B_i \) then \( f(x) = px + qy + r \)

Where \( x \) and \( y \) are the inputs, \( A_i \) and \( B_i \) are the fuzzy sets, \( f \) are the output, \( p \), \( q \) and \( r \) are the design parameters that determined during the training process.

ANFIS is composed of two parts is the first part is the antecedent and the second part is the conclusion, which are connected to each other by rules in network form. Five layers are used to construct this network. Each layer contains several nodes its structure shows in Figure 1.

Layer 1: executes a fuzzification process which denotes membership functions (MFs) to each input. In this paper, we choose Gaussian functions as membership functions:

\[
O^i_j = \mu_{Ai} = \exp \left( -\frac{(x - c)^2}{\sigma^2} \right) \tag{3}
\]
where $c$ and $\sigma$ are the center and the standard deviation values of input variable $x$ respectively.

Layer 2: executes the fuzzy AND of antecedents part of the fuzzy rules

$$O^2_i = W_i = \mu_{A_i}(x_1) \times \mu_{B_i}(x_2), i = 1, 2, 3, 4$$

Layer 3: normalizes the MFs

$$O^3_i = \frac{W_i}{\sum_{j=1}^{4} W_j}, i = 1, 2, 3, 4$$

Layer 4: executes the conclusion part of fuzzy rules

$$O^4_i = w_iy_i = w_i(\alpha'_1x_1 + \alpha'_2x_2 + \alpha'_3), i = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$  

Layer 5: computes the output of fuzzy system by summing up the outputs of the fourth layer which is the defuzzification process.

$$O^5_i = \sum_{i=1}^{4} w_iy_i = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{4} w_iy_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{4} w_i}$$
**Training Algorithm**

Two steps of training are necessary. These steps are:

- **Structure Learning**: Allows for determination of the appropriate structure of the network, that is, the best partitioning of the input space (the number of membership functions for each input, and the number of rules). If the input dimension is too large; then rule numbers will have an exponential growth. Thus, determination of an appropriate structure becomes an important issue, so clustering techniques are applied to overcome this problem (Priyono et al., 1999);

- **Parametric Learning**: Carried out to adjust the antecedents and consequents parameters so that a specified objective function is minimized. Jang, Sun & Mizutani (1997) have proposed four methods for updating these parameters, hybrid learning (GD and LSE) is the most common form of training.

This algorithm is carried out in two steps:

1. **Forward pass**: The input patterns are propagated to the output and the optimal consequent parameters are estimated by the LSE, while the antecedent parameters are assumed to be fixed in the current cycle through the training set.
2. **Backward pass**: The patterns are propagated again and the antecedent parameters are updated by GD, while the consequent parameters remain fixed.

This hybrid procedure is then iterated until the output error is reduced to a desired goal or until a maximum number of training cycles has been reached. This algorithm can become stuck down at local optima. To deal with this problem, evolutionary algorithms can be an ideal solution. These techniques require much computation time if there are many parameters to be optimized. Therefore, the LSE is combined for optimizing a part of the parameters (consequents parameters) and the evolutionary technique is used to optimize the antecedent parameters \( c_{ij} \) and \( \sigma_{ij} \). In this work, we suggest using the PSO technique.

**EXPERIMENTAL AND DISCUSSIONS**

**Database**

The CHAINS corpus (Cummins, Leonard, Leonardo & Simko, 2006) contains the recordings of 36 speakers. Of those, 28 are from the Eastern part of Ireland, and speak Eastern Hiberno-English. The rest are from the UK and the USA. Speakers read a variety of texts in a variety of ways: alone; in synchrony with a dialect-matched co-speaker; in imitation of a dialect-matched co-speaker; in a whisper; and at a fast rate under a variety of speaking conditions. Four sets of available speakers are employed: 8-speaker, 16-speaker, 24-speaker, and 36-speaker sets. In this work we used the 8-speaker and 16-speaker sets. The datasets are in WEKA arff format and contain speech encoded using 25 MFCC.

**Initial ANFIS Structure**

First all datasets were normalized in the range of (0,1) as follows:

\[
X^*_n = \frac{X - X_{\min}}{X_{\max} - X_{\min}}
\]  

(8)
where $X_n$ and $X$ are the normalized and measured values, respectively. Also, the minimum and maximum values of the measured dataset are $X_{min}$ and $X_{max}$, respectively.

After the determination of the initial ANFIS structure, we use the fuzzy clustering algorithm (FCM) for clustering the data space to determine the number of rules and membership functions for the antecedents and consequents.

For this application, the initial ANFIS structure is generated with 10 rules. Consequently, 10 Gaussian-type membership functions for each input are generated. Each membership function center is initialized with the corresponding fuzzy cluster being obtained by the clustering process with a radius of 0.5.

**Training Condition**

After the conception of the initial ANFIS, speaker recognition was achieved by ANFIS using both training methodologies:

1. Only ANFIS: Hybrid learning that combines GD and LSE is used, MATLAB reference function (Fuzzy Logic Toolbox, 2000) executes a training routine for Sugeno-type FIS with the following training options: Training epoch number=10; training error goal=0; initial step size= 0.01; step size decrease rate=0.9; and step size increase rate=1.1.

2. Hybrid PSO-ANFIS: An implemented function in the MATLAB environment where the ANFIS antecedent parameters are tuned by a classical PSO.

**Hybrid PSO-ANFIS**

The optimum PSO parameters can be determined based on several parametric studies. A trial-and-error method was applied in the present paper. The obtained results are summarized in Table 3 and Figure 2.

The function to be minimized is the function for Recognition Rate:

$$\text{Accuracy} = \left( \frac{1}{N} \sum d_i = o_i \right) \times 100 \quad (9)$$

where $d_i$ is the desired output, $O_i$ is the ANFIS output for the $i$th sample from the training data, and $N$ is the number of training samples.

**Results and Discussions**

Figure shows the results obtained by the application of the ANFIS on the 8 speakers of the CHAINS database. The accuracy was 87.99%; while Figure 4 shows the results obtained by the application of the ANFIS on the 16 speakers of the database. The accuracy was 93.80%.

Table 4 shows the results obtained by the PSO-ANFIS model on the 8 speakers by varying the number of particles that is, NPop (size of the population) NPop=20;50;100;150;200;250;300 with 1000 iterations. The experiments carried out have shown that the more we increase the size of the population the more the accuracy likewise increase until reaching 200 particles resulting is an accuracy of 89.17% which is the best result obtained by PSO-ANFIS for 8 speakers. By contrast 300 particles results in diminished accuracy down 88.87%.

Table 5 shows the results obtained by the application of PSO-ANFIS on 16 speakers by varying the size of the population and the number of iterations. The best accuracy obtained for 16 speakers was 94.07% (with number of iterations=1000 and Npop = 100).

Table 6 and Table 7 show a comparison between the two training methods for 8 speakers and 16 speakers, respectively for 8 speakers, there is a clear improvement on the accuracy of 87.99% (ANFIS) at 89.17% (PSO-ANFIS) as well as for 16 speakers of 93.80% (ANFIS) at 94.07% (PSO-ANFIS).
Figure 2. Flowchart of hybrid PSO-ANFIS
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Table 3. PSO parameters

| PSO parameter                     | Value |
|-----------------------------------|-------|
| Inertia Weight W                  | 1     |
| Inertia Weight Damping Ratio wdamp| 0.99  |
| Cognitive acceleration C1         | 1     |
| Social acceleration C2            | 2     |
| $r_1$ and $r_2$                   | Random|

Figure 3. Accuracy on 8 speakers using ANFIS

![Accuracy on 8 speakers using ANFIS](image)
PSO-ANFIS (in comparison of ANFIS alone) offers a clear improvement in accuracy. 8 speakers were accurately identified 87.99% of the time by ANFIS but 89.17% of the time by PSO-ANFIS; and 16 speakers were accurately identified 93.80% of the time by ANFIS but 94.07% of the time by PSO-ANFIS. Our work presents a significant improvement comparing to existing works (see Table 8).

Table 4. Accuracy on 8 speakers using PSO-ANFIS (1000 iterations)

| NPop | 20   | 50   | 100  | 150  | 200  | 300  |
|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Train| 88.45| 89.29| 89.35| 89.50| 89.87| 89.50|
| Test | 88.11| 88.79| 88.81| 88.89| 89.17| 88.87|

Table 5. Accuracy on 16 speakers using PSO-ANFIS

| Iteration | NPop | 500  | 500  | 1000 | 1000 |
|-----------|------|------|------|------|------|
|           |      | 100  | 200  | 100  | 200  |
| Train     | 94.07| 94.13| 94.21| 94.23|
| Test      | 93.97| 94.01| 94.07| 94.05|

Table 6. Comparison of ANFIS and PSO-ANFIS on 8 speakers

| Rate   | ANFIS | PSO-ANFIS |
|--------|-------|-----------|
| Train  | 88.17 | 89.87     |
| Test   | 87.99 | 89.17     |

Table 7. Comparison of ANFIS and PSO-ANFIS on 16 speakers

| Rate   | ANFIS | PSO-ANFIS |
|--------|-------|-----------|
| Train  | 93.86 | 94.21     |
| Test   | 93.80 | 94.07     |
CONCLUSION AND PERSPECTIVE

In this work, an Adaptive Network based Fuzzy Inference System (ANFIS) tuned by Particle Swarm Optimization has been developed for speaker recognition. The conventional learning algorithms of ANFIS are based on GD. This algorithm is susceptible to becoming stuck down at local optima during the system training phase hence the exploitation of PSO. The latter was applied to the antecedent part of the ANFIS. Consequent parameters are optimized by LSE, which gives better results as compared to the GD method.

As a perspective, our work will focus on the use of PSO optimization on temporal and recurrent neural models as well as on processing larger benchmarks containing more than 36 speakers.
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