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Abstract: We consider a Josephson-junction ladder in an external magnetic field with half flux quantum per plaquette. When driven by external currents, periodic in time and staggered in space, such a fully frustrated system is found to display spatiotemporal stochastic resonance under the influence of thermal noise. Such resonance behavior is investigated both numerically and analytically, which reveals significant effects of anisotropy and yields rich physics.
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I. INTRODUCTION

In a nonlinear system driven by a weak periodic signal, noise present in the system can operate cooperatively with the input signal. This effect, known as stochastic resonance (SR), emerges when the stochastic time scale of the noise matches the deterministic time scale of the input signal. Since its introduction two decades ago or so, SR has been observed in a wide range of systems. Recent interest has been attracted to the collective spatial and temporal behavior of SR in arrays of locally or globally coupled nonlinear oscillators and in spatially extended continuous systems under the influence of noise and weak periodic driving. In particular, it has been shown that the SR in an array of locally and linearly coupled bistable overdamped oscillators can significantly be enhanced with respect to that in a single stochastic resonator. The optimal coupling and noise strength scale with the system size, and the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) in the optimal conditions is improved by a considerable amount. Such effects of array enhanced stochastic resonance (AESR) have also been observed experimentally in a system of coupled diode resonators. The AESR and other interesting aspects of the spatiotemporal stochastic resonance (STSR) are believed to have potential importance in the area of signal and image processing and pattern formation.

Most of the works on STSR have been focused on model systems, which are relatively easy to study numerically and sometimes analytically (cf. Ref. 3). For instance, widely studied are the one-dimensional time-dependent Ginzburg-Landau model and the Langevin-type stochastic equations for linearly coupled bistable oscillators. On one hand, they are rather general in nature and applicable to various systems; on the other hand, relevant parameters can only be determined phenomenologically for a specific system. Another class of model worth mentioning is the kinetic Ising model. Although the Ising model per se does not have any a priori dynamics, it can be provided with an artificially defined temporal evolution consistent with the equilibrium properties of the Ising magnets. While the kinetic Ising model has been extensively studied mainly via Monte Carlo simulation methods in various contexts including STSR, it is not clear a priori whether the kinetic Ising model describes the true dynamics of a real physical system, especially, far from equilibrium.

In this paper, we consider a Josephson-junction ladder (J JL), two one-dimensional arrays of superconducting grains coupled with each other via Josephson junctions, in an external transverse magnetic field with half flux quantum per plaquette (see Fig. 1). It has two degenerate ground states and switches back and forth from one ground state to the other under the influence of thermal noise and the periodic (in time) and staggered (in space) external current driving. Such a fully frustrated Josephson-junction ladder (FFJL) has several merits as an example of the real physical system to investigate STSR in: The temporal evolution of the system follows the well-known resistively shunted junction (RSJ) dynamics described by a set of overdamped coupled stochastic differential equations for phase variables on superconducting grains. Each parameter in the RSJ model has a clear physical meaning and can be controlled easily in experiments. Moreover, the J JL itself has been a topic of a great number of studies due to its rich physics in conjunction with vortex motion and frustration effects. Here we perform numerical simulations on the RSJ model, and examine the spatiotemporal behavior of SR in the FFJL. Of particular interest are the effects on STSR of the anisotropy in the Josephson coupling along the leg- and the rung-direction, which turns out to yield quite rich physics. We also present an analytical investigation, based on the Glauber dynamics of vortices, and make comparison with the simulation results.

This paper is organized as follows: In Sec. II we introduce the model and specify associated control parameters in the system. The model is also related to a one-dimensional system of vortices and to the antiferromagnetic Ising model in a time-dependent staggered magnetic field. A part of the derivation of these connections is contained in Appendix A. Section III presents results of numerical simulations. We first characterize the SR behavior of the system, and investigate the anisotropy effects on the STSR behavior. These simulation results are compared with the analytical ones in Sec. IV, obtained from the Glauber dynamics of vortices. Finally, Section V concludes the paper with a brief discussion about possible experimental setups.
II. MODEL

Within the RSJ model (neglecting the capacitive and inductive effects), a Josephson junction can be characterized by the Josephson critical current $I_J$ or equivalently the Josephson coupling $J \equiv \hbar I_J / 2e$ and the shunt resistance $R$, which define the frequency scale $\omega_J \equiv 2eRI_J / \hbar$ for the dynamics of the system. Throughout this paper, we always measure energy and temperature in units of $J$, time and frequency with respect to $\omega_J$, and other induced quantities correspondingly. A JJJ of length $L$ in a transverse external magnetic field, depicted in Fig. 1, is then described by the set of coupled stochastic non-linear differential equations for phase variables

$$\sum_{i \in N(j)} \left[ \dot{\phi}_{ij}(t) + J_{ij} \sin(\phi_{ij} - A_{ij}) + \zeta_{ij}(t) \right] = I_j(t), \quad (1)$$

where $\phi_{ij} \equiv \phi_i - \phi_j$ is the phase difference across the junction $(ij)$, $N(j)$ denotes the set of nearest neighbors of site $j$, and $\zeta_{ij}(t)$ is the random noise current on the junction with zero average and correlation

$$\langle \zeta_{ij}(t) \zeta_{i'j'}(t') \rangle = 2T \delta_{(ij, i'j')} \delta(t - t'). \quad (2)$$

The Josephson coupling strength $J_{ij} (= J_{ji})$ is given by

$$J_{ij} = \begin{cases} \alpha & \text{for (ij) legs,} \\ 1 & \text{for (ij) rungs,} \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

where we have introduced the anisotropy factor $\alpha$ between leg junctions (abbreviated as legs) and rung-junctions (rungs). The external current in Eq. (1) is periodic in time with frequency $\Omega$ and staggered in space

$$I_j(t) = (-1)^{\ell + x} I_0 \cos \Omega t, \quad (4)$$

where each site index $j$ has been further specified by the leg index $\ell (= 1, 2)$ and the position $x$ along the leg, i.e., $j \equiv (\ell, x)$. The effects of the magnetic field are manifest in the gauge field $A_{ij} = -A_{ji}$, which, in the Landau gauge, takes the form

$$A_{ij} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for (ij) legs,} \\ 2\pi f x & \text{for (ij) rungs,} \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

where $f = \Phi / \Phi_0$ is the flux $\Phi$ per plaquette in units of the flux quantum $\Phi_0 \equiv \hbar c / 2e$.

Most of the physical properties of a Josephson junction ladders (and arrays in general) can be understood most clearly in terms of vortices. Accordingly, we introduce an effective vortex Hamiltonian,

$$H_V = \sum_{x, x'} (v_x - f - \delta f_x) G(x - x') (v_{x'} - f - \delta f_{x'}), \quad (6)$$

which is equivalent to Eq. (1) within the Villain scheme (see Appendix A for details). In Eq. (6), the vorticity $v_x$ on plaquette $x$ is given by the directional sum of the gauge-invariant phase differences around the plaquette and only takes the values $\pm 1$ while the effective interaction $G(x)$ between vortices obtains the form

$$G(x) = \frac{\pi^2 \alpha}{\sqrt{1 + 4\alpha^2}} \left[ \frac{1 + \alpha + \sqrt{1 + 2\alpha}}{\alpha} \right]^{-|x|} \quad (7)$$

in the limit of $L \to \infty$. The additional time-dependent flux $\delta f_x(t)$ is due to the external driving current and given by

$$\delta f_x(t) = \frac{2\alpha - 1}{\pi(1 + 4\alpha^2)} (-1)^x I_0 \cos \Omega t. \quad (8)$$

For the purpose of discussion below, one important point here is the following: Without external current driving ($I_0 = 0$) and at the maximal frustration ($f = 1/2$), the Hamiltonian (6) has two degenerate ground state $\Psi_{\pm}$ [see Fig. 1(b)] with different values of the staggered magnetization

$$m \equiv \frac{1}{L} \sum_x (-1)^x \langle v_x - \frac{1}{2} \rangle = \pm \frac{1}{2}. \quad (9)$$

The additional flux favors one of the two states $\Psi_{\pm}$ and thus lifts the degeneracy. Thus the system under consideration is somewhat analogous to a simple two state model and from this perspective the existence of a SR response may be intuitively expected. It should be noted here that the sign of $\delta f_x$ depends on the anisotropy factor $\alpha$, implying that the choice between the two states $\Psi_{\pm}$ depends on the value of $\alpha$: For $\alpha < \alpha_0 \equiv 1/2$ the system favors, say, the state $\Psi_+$ and for $\alpha > \alpha_0$ the other state $\Psi_-$. This can be understood from the fact that the external currents tend to flow through the easier links, i.e., the rungs for small $\alpha$ and the legs for large $\alpha$.

In the following sections, we will use the more complete and accurate model (6) for numerical simulations, whereas the effective model (6) will be the starting point of our analytic approach.

III. SIMULATION RESULTS

We first present results from the simulations of the RSJ dynamics. The set of equations of motion in Eq. (1) is integrated through the use of the simple Euler algorithm with discrete time steps $\Delta t = 0.05$, and the thermal noise currents satisfying Eq. (2) are generated to follow the uniform distribution. To use the efficient tridiagonal matrix algorithm, we separate Eq. (1) into two parts by change of variables (see Ref. 12 for details). For the staggered input current, we set $I_0 = 0.1$ and $\Omega = 0.002$.

In Fig. 2, we characterize typical SR behaviors of the isotropic system ($\alpha = 1$) with size $L = 128$ in three different ways: (a) the time series of the staggered magnetization, (b) the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR), and (c) the residence-time distribution. In Fig. 2(a), the staggered magnetization $m(t) \equiv (1/L) \sum_x (-1)^x m_x(t)$ [equivalent to Eq. (6)], where $m_x$ has been obtained from the plaquette sum of the gauge-invariant phase differences, shows clear synchronization to the
input driving current at $T = 0.26$. Such behavior is observed only in the intermediate range of temperatures. At sufficiently low temperatures the system does not follow the external driving but most of the time stays in one of its two stable states ($m(t) = \pm 1/2$), while at sufficiently high temperatures the resonance effect is washed out by strong thermal fluctuations. The SR behavior is also clearly reflected in the power spectrum defined by

$$S(\omega) \equiv \left\langle \left| \int_0^{\infty} dte^{-i\omega t} m(t) m(0) \right|^2 \right\rangle,$$

where $\langle \cdots \rangle$ denotes the average over thermal noises, and the values $m(0) = -1/2$ and $\Theta = 50t_\Omega$ with $t_\Omega \equiv 2\pi/\Omega$ have been used in the simulations. The SNR is then defined by

$$\text{SNR} \equiv 10 \log_{10} \left[ \frac{S}{N(\Omega)} \right],$$

where $S$ is the output signal strength given by the area under the peak at $\omega = \Omega$ and $N(\Omega)$ is the noise level at $\omega = \Omega$. The SNR and the signal strength $S$ (Fig. 3(a) display peaks at $T \approx 0.26$ and $T \approx 0.22$, respectively. It is clear from the time series of the staggered magnetization $m(t)$ (Fig. 2(a)) and also the residence-time distribution (Fig. 2(c), see below) that these peaks are associated with the global synchronization of the system to the external periodic driving. As an alternative characterization of the SR behavior, we also measure the residence time $t_r$, i.e., how long the system stays in one of its stable states. (ii) The SNR at $T = 0.26$ increases with $t_r$ again signaling the input/output synchronization. The primary peak height $P_1$, defined by the area of the distribution around $t_r = t_\Omega/2$, is shown in the inset of Fig. 2(c), manifesting the broad peak near $T \approx 0.2$.

Near SR, synchronization of the magnetization on each plaquette leads to the enhancement of the spatial correlation in the system, as demonstrated in Fig. 3(b). In Fig. 3(a), we plot the occupancy ratio (OR), which measures how many plaquettes in the ladder follow (in phase) the external driving. In our case it is given by

$$\text{OR} = \frac{1}{2} \langle 1 + m(t_+) - m(t-) \rangle,$$

where $\cos(\Omega t_\pm) \lesssim 0$ and $\langle \cdots \rangle$ represents the averages over time and ensemble. Note that the temperature at which the maximum occupancy is attained coincides with the temperature where the SNR develops a peak. To show the enhancement of the spatial correlation in a more direct way, we plot in Fig. 3(b) the deviation of the average number of domain walls for staggered magnetization from the equilibrium value, $\Delta n(I_0) = n(0) - n(I_0)$. Reduction in the number of domain walls near the resonance temperature $T_{SR}$ can be observed. Here the initial suppression of the spatial correlation (for $T \lesssim 0.2$) is due to the asynchronization of the system: With each plaquette out of phase by a random amount, the effect of the external driving is similar to that of random disorder.

We next turn to the anisotropy effects, which are summarized in Figs. 3 and 4: (i) The OR undergoes a dramatic change as $\alpha$ is varied over $\alpha_\text{cr} \approx 0.67$. For $\alpha < \alpha_\text{cr}$, the OR reaches its minimum values at the resonance temperature $T_{SR}$ whereas maximum values are attained for $\alpha > \alpha_\text{cr}$ (Fig. 3). The OR minimum corresponds to a phase difference of approximately $\pi$ between the applied field and the staggered magnetization. (ii) The SNR at $T_{SR}$ is substantially suppressed near $\alpha = \alpha_\text{cr}$, as shown in Fig. 3. (iii) The resonance temperature $T_{SR}$ in general increases with $\alpha$, although it is ill-defined around $\alpha_\text{cr}$ (Fig. 3, see also below). These curious behaviors can be understood by recalling that the anisotropy factor affects the system in two different ways: On one hand, $\alpha$ simulates the effective coupling strength between plaquettes [see Eq. (3)], this is analogous to the coupling strength in the system of bistable oscillators, and explains straightforwardly the increase of $T_{SR}$ with $\alpha$. On the other hand, depending on the value of $\alpha$, the system favors one of the two states $\Psi_\pm$ [see the discussion below Eq. (6)], giving rise to the $\pi$-shift-like behavior in the system response (occupancy ratio) with respect to the signal. In addition, for $\alpha \simeq \alpha_\text{cr}$, the external currents are not efficient to drive the system since it is not obvious which links the currents will prefer. This naturally leads to suppression of the system response, as shown in Fig. 3 and more clearly in Fig. 4. Note the rather reasonable agreement between the crossover anisotropy $\alpha_\text{cr}$ in simulations and $\alpha_\text{cr} = 1/2$ obtained from simple consideration of Eqs. (A6).

We now concentrate on the response of the system around $\alpha = \alpha_\text{cr}$. Surprisingly, Fig. 3(a) reveals that the SNR for $\alpha = 0.7$, slightly above $\alpha_\text{cr}$, exhibits reentrance-like behavior, characterized by a double peak. Further, the time series of the staggered magnetization shown in Fig. 7(b) indicate that the system favors different states at lower and at higher temperatures. One possible explanation for these effects goes as follows: For $\alpha \gtrsim \alpha_\text{cr}$, the currents at a given time $t$ favor to flow through legs, but only slightly, with $\Psi_\pm$ almost degenerate. In our model described by Eqs. (1) and (2), the ratio of the noise level to the Josephson coupling on each link is given by $\tau' = \Omega T$, and $T/\tau$ on legs, resulting in that the legs are subject to stronger random noise than the rungs ($\alpha_\text{cr} \lesssim \alpha < 1$). As the temperature is raised, stronger random noises thus effectively hinder the currents from flowing through the legs leading to opposite circulation currents on neighboring plaquettes. This argument is consistent with the equilibrium value of the staggered magnetization $m$ as a function of the temperature in the presence of a static staggered current ($\Omega = 0$), displayed in Fig. 7(c). For those values of $\alpha$, which lead to the double-peak structure, both temperatures corresponding to the two peaks have thus been plotted in Fig. 3.
IV. ANALYTICAL RESULTS

In this section we examine the SR behavior in an analytical way, based on the Glauber dynamics of vortices. Since the effective interaction between vortices, given by Eq. (7), decays exponentially with the interaction range of the order of the lattice constant, we can regard it essentially as the nearest-neighbor interaction. The effective vortex Hamiltonian in Eq. (8), together with Eq. (9), then reduces to the one-dimensional (ferromagnetic) Ising Hamiltonian in the oscillating field

\[ H_f = -J_f \sum_x \sigma_x \sigma_{x+1} - h \sum_x \sigma_x \]

(13)

with the (staggered) Ising spin \( \sigma_x \equiv 2(-1)^x m_x \), where the nearest-neighbor interaction and the oscillating field are given by

\[
\begin{align*}
J_f &\equiv \frac{\pi^2}{2} \frac{1 + \alpha - \sqrt{1 + 2\alpha}}{\sqrt{1 + 2\alpha}}, \\
h &\equiv -2\pi \frac{(2\alpha - 1)(1 + \alpha - \sqrt{1 + 2\alpha})}{(1 + 4\alpha^2)\sqrt{1 + 2\alpha}} I_0 \cos \Omega t.
\end{align*}
\]

(14)

We consider the Glauber dynamics of the Hamiltonian (13) and compute the SNR of the staggered magnetization \( m(t) = (1/2L) \sum_x \sigma_x \). We follow the procedure in Ref. 16 and obtain the output signal strength and the noise level

\[
S = \frac{\pi h^2}{8T^2} \frac{A^2(1 - \gamma^2)}{A^2(1 - \gamma)^2 + \Omega^2},
\]

\[
N(\Omega) = \frac{1}{2} \Re \left[ \frac{1 + \eta s_2}{s_1(1 - \eta s_2)} \right],
\]

(15)

where \( A \equiv \exp(-J_f/T) \), \( \gamma \equiv \tanh(2J_f/T) \), \( \eta \equiv \tanh(J_f/T) \), \( s_1 \equiv [(A + i\Omega)^2 - A^2\gamma^2]^{1/2} \), \( s_2 \equiv A\gamma/(A + is_1 + s_1) \), and \( \Re \) denotes the real part.

The SNR given by Eq. (11) together with Eq. (15) is plotted in Fig. 8a) for various values of \( \alpha \), demonstrating clearly the SR behavior. Furthermore, it reproduces most of the anisotropy effects, which have been observed in the numerical simulations. For example, Fig. 8(b) reveals substantial suppression of the SNR at \( T_{SR} \) for \( \alpha \approx \alpha_{cr} \), while the increasing tendency of \( T_{SR} \) with \( \alpha \) is shown in Fig. 8(c). Interestingly, however, in spite of the qualitatively good agreement described above, one striking difference can be recognized between the analytical results based on the Glauber dynamics and the simulation results obtained from the RSJ (Langevin) dynamics: In the former there is no reentrance-like behavior in the SNR and consequently no abrupt increase of \( T_{SR} \) near \( \alpha \approx \alpha_{cr} \). Further, the crossover anisotropy close to the value \( \alpha_{cr}^0 = 1/2 \) is obtained, while the simulations of the RSJ dynamics have given \( \alpha_{cr} \approx 0.67 \).

V. CONCLUSION

We have considered a fully frustrated Josephson-junction ladder, driven by external currents, periodic in time and staggered in space. In particular the spatiotemporal aspects of the stochastic resonance, resulting from the interplay between the external driving and the thermal noise, have been examined by means of numerical simulations performed on the resistively shunted dynamics. It has been found that the anisotropy in the Josephson coupling along the leg- and the rung-direction yields rich physics, e.g., resulting in a double peak SR structure. We have also presented an analytical investigation, based on the Glauber dynamics of vortices, and which is in a qualitative agreement with with the simulation results.

A particularly interesting aspect of the fully frustrated Josephson junction ladder is the possibility of manufacturing such a structure and performing real measurements. Owing to the recent rapid advancement in lithographic technology, already available are large-sized Josephson-junction ladders of good quality. In order to verify the SR effects discussed in the present paper one might measure vortices on (possibly selected) plaquettes in the ladder using the superconducting quantum interference devices (SQUID) with a wide range of operational frequency. Another indirect way might be to measure the voltages across as many selected legs as possible. Highly challenging from an experimental point of view would be to apply a staggered (but otherwise uniform) bias current (through each site) along the array. It requires an impedance for each injection of current, which should be sufficiently large compared with the normal-state junction resistance. One needs to choose superconducting materials with higher Josephson energy, which allows higher operation temperatures and hence more choices for resistors. Another possibility could be to use an auxiliary array of junctions, which provides high impedances at sufficiently high frequencies.
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APPENDIX A:

In this Appendix, we derive the effective vortex Hamiltonian (4). We start with the static case and consider for convenience the XY Hamiltonian:

\[ H = - \sum_{(i,j)} J_{ij} \cos(\phi_{ij} - A_{ij}), \]

(A1)
which corresponds to Eq. (1) in the absence of external current driving ($I_0 = 0$). Application of the duality transformation $\hat{\Omega} = 0$ at sufficiently low frequencies then decomposes the Hamiltonian in Eq. (4) into the sum $H = H_{SW} + H_V$ of the spin-wave part $H_{SW}$ (which is given in a trivial form) and the vortex part

$$H_V = \sum_{x,x'} (v_x - f) G(x - x')(v_{x'} - f). \quad (A2)$$

In the presence of static staggered currents $I_0 \neq 0$ but $\Omega = 0$ in Eq. (4), we adopt the Villain scheme to obtain, to the linear order in $I_0$, the effective vortex Hamiltonian

$$H_V = \sum_{x,x'} (v_x - f - \delta f_x) G(x - x')(v_{x'} - f - \delta f_{x'}). \quad (A3)$$

where the additional effective flux $\delta f_x$ depends on the fraction $\rho$ of the external currents flowing through rungs in the zero-temperature configuration:

$$\delta f_x = \frac{1}{\pi} \left( \frac{1 - \rho}{2\alpha} - \rho \right) (-1)^x I_0. \quad (A4)$$

From Eq. (1) without noise current, $\rho$ can be obtained

$$\rho = \frac{1}{1 + 4\alpha^2}, \quad (A5)$$

leading to

$$\delta f_x = \frac{2\alpha - 1}{\pi(1 + 4\alpha^2)} (-1)^x I_0. \quad (A6)$$

When the external (staggered) current is periodic in time ($\Omega \neq 0$), the additional flux also varies periodically in time. For sufficiently low frequency driving ($\Omega \ll 1$), we can take Eq. (5) for the additional time-dependent flux $\delta f_x(t)$. Such a periodic flux induces oscillations between the two states $\Psi_{\pm}$, suggesting the possibility of the SR behavior in the system.

---
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FIG. 2. Various characteristics of stochastic resonance in the system of size $L = 128$ for the driving frequency $\Omega = 0.002$: (a) time-series of the staggered magnetization $m(t)$ (solid line) and the external current (dashed line) at $T = 0.26$; (b) signal-to-noise ratio SNR (left vertical scale) and output signal power $S$ at frequency $\Omega$ (right scale) as functions of the temperature; (c) residence-time distribution at several temperatures [inset: primary peak height in the residence-time distribution as a function of the temperature].

FIG. 3. (a) Occupancy ratio OR and (b) deviation in the average number of domain walls from the equilibrium value, $\Delta n \equiv n(0) - n(I_0)$, for $\alpha = 1$ and $L = 32, 64, 128,$ and $256$. 
FIG. 4. Occupancy ratio for different values of the anisotropy factor $\alpha$. The lower panel (b) focuses on the region $\alpha \simeq \alpha_{cr}$ and $T \simeq T_{SR}$.

FIG. 5. SNR, $10 \log S$ (left vertical scale), and OR (right scale) at $T_{SR}$ as functions of the anisotropy factor $\alpha$.

FIG. 6. Resonance temperature $T_{SR}$, obtained from the SNR, as a function of the anisotropy factor $\alpha$. It is ill-defined near $\alpha \simeq \alpha_{cr}$, where the system response is strongly suppressed. The two values of $T_{SR}$ for $\alpha > \alpha_{cr}$ correspond to the double-peak structure of the SNR (see Fig. 5). Behavior of $T_{SR}$ from the occupancy ratio as well as from the output signal strength is shown in the inset.

FIG. 7. Reentrance-like behavior near $\alpha_{cr}$: (a) the SNR as a function of the temperature for $\alpha = 0.7$ and (b) the corresponding time-series of the staggered magnetization $m(t)$. For clarity, vertical positions of the data curves are shifted with respect to each other. In (c), also shown is the equilibrium value of the staggered magnetization as a function of the temperature in the presence of static staggered currents ($\Omega = 0$).
FIG. 8. Resonance behavior displayed by the Glauber dynamics of vortices in FFJJL: (a) SNR as functions of temperature for various values of $\alpha$; (b) SNR at $T_{SR}$ as a function of the anisotropy factor $\alpha$; (c) $T_{SR}$ as a function of the anisotropy factor $\alpha$. 