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*Bacillus tusciae* Bonjour & Aragno 1994 is a hydrogen-oxidizing, thermoacidophilic spore former that lives as a facultative chemolithoautotroph in solfataras. Although 16S rRNA gene sequencing was well established at the time of the initial description of the organism, 16S sequence data were not available and the strain was placed into the genus *Bacillus* based on limited chemotaxonomic information. Despite the now obvious misplacement of strain T2\(^T\) as a member of the genus *Bacillus* in 16S rRNA-based phylogenetic trees, the misclassification remained uncorrected for many years, which was likely due to the extremely difficult, analysis-hampering cultivation conditions and poor growth rate of the strain. Here we provide a taxonomic re-evaluation of strain T2\(^T\) (= DSM 2912 = NBRC 15312) and propose its reclassification as the type strain of a new species, *Kyrpidia tusciae*, and the type species of the new genus *Kyrpidia*, which is a sister-group of *Alicyclobacillus*. The family *Alicyclobacillaceae* da Costa and Rainey, 2010 is emended. The 3,384,766 bp genome with its 3,323 protein-coding and 78 RNA genes is part of the *Genomic Encyclopedia of Bacteria and Archaea* project.

**Introduction**

Strain T2\(^T\) (= DSM 2912 = NBRC 15312) is the type strain of the *Bacillus tusciae* [1]. Both strain T2\(^T\) and strain T201 were isolated from ponds in the solfataric area of San Federigo, a geothermal area near Lago, Tuscany, Italy [1,Table 1], as indicated in the Latin species epithet *tusciae*, meaning from Tuscia [1]. In the original study of Bonjour and Aragno [1], DNA:DNA reassociation studies of strains T2\(^T\), *Bacillus schlegelii* (also autotrophic) and the thermoacidophilic *Bacillus acidocaldarius* (later on reclassified as *Alicyclobacillus acidocaldarius*) from another hot spring were carried out. Because
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of the low levels of hybridization observed, the authors proposed that strains T27 and T201 formed a distinct taxonomic unit [1]. However, only limited chemotaxonomic data was available at the time and 16S rRNA sequence data was not available, which led the authors to place the species into the genus *Bacillus* [1]. Rather difficult cultivation conditions and the poor growth rate of strain T27 likely delayed an earlier reclassification although Rainey *et al.* previously noted the link to the genus *Alicyclobacillus* [19]. This was recently corroborated by the observation that strain T2T as well as *A. acidocaldarius* lack the *sspE* gene for acid-soluble spore proteins frequently found in members of the *Bacillales* [20].

Here we present a summary classification and a set of features for *B. tuscae* strain T27, a description of the complete genome sequencing and annotation and a proposal to reclassify *B. tuscae* as a member of the new genus *Kyrpidia* as *Kyrpidia tuscae* comb. nov.

**Classification and features**

A representative genomic 16S rRNA sequence of *B. tuscae* T27 was compared using NCBI BLAST [21] under default settings (e.g., considering only the high-scoring segment pairs (HSPs) from the best 250 hits) with the most recent release of the Greengenes database [22] and the relative frequencies of taxa and keywords (reduced to their stems [23]) were determined, weighted by BLAST scores. The most frequently occurring genera were *Alicyclobacillus* (67.8%), *Bacillus* (18.2%), *Thermoactinomyces* (6.0%), *Paenibacillus* (5.6%) and *Exiguobacterium* (1.6%) (99 hits in total). Regarding the seven hits to sequences from members of the species, the average identity within HSPs was 99.6%, whereas the average coverage by HSPs was 99.5%. Among all other species, the one yielding the highest score was *A. acidiphilus* NR_028637, which corresponds to an identity of 92.4% and an HSP coverage of 57.7%. (Note that the Greengenes database uses the INSDC (= EMBL/NCBI/DDBJ) annotation, which is not an authoritative source for nomenclature or classification.) The highest-scoring environmental sequence was EU638396 (*Ecological Role Firmicutes Identified Thermophilic Microbial Fuel Cells thermophilic microbial fuel cell acetate-fed experiment clone SHBZ1905*'), which showed an identity of 99.4% and an HSP coverage of 90.0%.

The most frequently occurring keywords within the labels of all environmental samples that were hits were 'microbi' (13.3%), 'thermophil' (12.5%), 'cell, fuel' (12.4%), 'ecolog, firmicute, identifi, role' (6.2%) and 'experi' (6.1%) (151 hits in total). The most frequently occurring keywords within the labels of those environmental samples which yielded hits of a higher score than the highest scoring species were 'microbi' (13.9%), 'cell, fuel, thermophil' (13.1%), 'ecolog, firmicute, identifi, role' (6.5%), 'experi' (6.4%) and 'acetate-f' (4.7%) (124 hits in total). These keywords corroborate the features of the environment from which strain T2T was isolated.

Figure 1 shows the phylogenetic neighborhood of *B. tuscae* in a 16S rRNA tree. The sequences of the five 16S rRNA gene copies in the genome differ from each other by up to eight nucleotides, and differ by up to six nucleotides from the previously published 16S rRNA sequence AB042062. *B. tuscae* cells are straight rods measuring 0.8 x 4-5 μm length (Figure 2) with single laterally inserted flagella [1]. In young cultures, cells stain Gram-positive and exhibit oval, subterminal spores that swell the sporangium [1]. Cultures grow at 55°C at a pH ranging from 4.2 to 4.8 [1]. Although cells grow best under autotrophic conditions via hydrogen oxidation, they can also grow heterotrophic on alcohols, amino acids and short chain fatty acids, but not with sugars [1]. Substrate usage was described in detail by Bonjour and Aragno [1]. Cells contain a soluble malate-dehydrogenase activity, which cannot reduce pyridine (NAD+/NAD(P)+) [1], nor NADH oxidation was observed [1]. Hydrogenase activity was reported as being inducible [1]. An operational Calvin cycle was reported based on the presence of ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase activity in autotrophically-grown cells [1]. Autotrophically grown cells show inclusions of poly-β-hydroxybutyric acid [1].

**Chemotaxonomy**

The structure of the cell wall of strain T27 was reported as typically Gram-positive, without a protein coat [1]. ω-alkylic cyclic fatty acids are lacking and menaquinone MK-7 was identified as the main quinone (personal communication Brian J. Tindall).
Table 1. Classification and general features of *Kyrpidia tusciae* strain T2\(^T\) according to the MIGS recommendations [2] and the NamesforLife database [3].

| MIGS ID | Property                | Term                                         | Evidence code |
|---------|-------------------------|----------------------------------------------|---------------|
|         | Current classification  | Domain *Bacteria*                           | TAS [4]       |
|         |                         | Phylum *Firmicutes*                         | TAS [5-7]     |
|         |                         | Class *Bacilli*                             | TAS [8,9]     |
| TAS     |                         | Order *Bacillales*                         | TAS [10,11]   |
| TAS     |                         | Family *Bacillaceae*                       | TAS [10,12]   |
| TAS     |                         | Genus *Bacillus*                           | TAS [10,13,14]|
| TAS     |                         | Species *Bacillus tusciae*                 | TAS[1,15]     |
|         |                         | Type strain T2                             | TAS [1]       |
|         | Revised classification  | Family *Alicyclobacillaceae*                | TAS [9,16]    |
|         |                         | Genus *Kyrpidia*                           | NAS           |
|         |                         | Species *Kyrpidia tusciae*                 | NAS           |
|         | Gram stain              | positive                                    | TAS [1]       |
|         | Cell shape              | straight rods                               | TAS [1]       |
|         | Motility                | not reported, but lateral flagella visible  | TAS [1]       |
|         | Sporulation             | sporulating                                 | TAS [1]       |
|         | Temperature range        | thermophile, grows > 47\(^\circ\), < 65\(^\circ\)C | TAS [1]       |
|         | Optimum temperature     | 55\(^\circ\)C                               | TAS [1]       |
|         | Salinity                | not reported                                |               |
| MIGS-22 | Oxygen requirement      | aerobic                                     | TAS [1]       |
|         | Carbon source           | short chain fatty acids, amino acids and alcohols | TAS [1] |
|         | Energy metabolism       | facultatively chemolithoautotroph           | TAS [1]       |
| MIGS-6  | Habitat                 | hot, acidic solfatara fields                | TAS [1]       |
| MIGS-15 | Biotic relationship     | free living                                | TAS [17]      |
| MIGS-14 | Pathogenicity           | none                                        | NAS           |
|         | Biosafety level         | 1                                           | TAS [1]       |
|         | Isolation               | ponds in solfatara                          | TAS [1]       |
| MIGS-4  | Geographic location     | San Frederigo, near Lago, Tuscany (Italy)  | TAS [1]       |
| MIGS-5  | Sample collection time  | about or before 1984                        | TAS [1]       |
| MIGS-4.1| Latitude                | 43.33                                       | NAS           |
| MIGS-4.2| Longitude               | 10.50                                       |               |
|         | Depth                   | not reported                                |               |
| MIGS-4.4| Altitude                | about 155 m                                 | NAS           |

Evidence codes - TAS: Traceable Author Statement (i.e., a direct report exists in the literature); NAS: Non-traceable Author Statement (i.e., not directly observed for the living, isolated sample, but based on a generally accepted property for the species, or anecdotal evidence). These evidence codes are from of the Gene Ontology project [18].

Genome sequencing and annotation

Genome project history

This organism was selected for sequencing on the basis of its phylogenetic position [34], and is part of the *Genomic Encyclopedia of Bacteria and Archaea* project [35]. The genome project is deposited in the Genomes OnLine Database [31] and the complete genome sequence in GenBank. Sequencing, finishing and annotation were performed by the DOE Joint Genome Institute (JGI). A summary of the project information is shown in Table 2.

Growth conditions and DNA isolation

*B. tusciae* strain T2\(^T\), DSM 2912, was grown in DSMZ medium 369 (*Bacillus tusciae* medium) [36] at 50\(^\circ\)C. DNA was isolated from 1-1.5 g of cell paste using Qiagen Genomic 500 DNA Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) with lysis modification st/LALMP according to Wu *et al.* [35]. DNA is available through the DNA Bank Network [37].
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Figure 1. Phylogenetic tree highlighting the position of *B. tusciae* relative to the type strains within the families *Alicyclobacillaceae* and *Thermoactinomycetaceae*, which gave the best hits when conducting a BLAST search [22] against the sequences contained in the last release from the All-Species-Living-Tree Project [24], and the type strains of all other families within the order *Bacillales*. The tree was inferred from 1,403 aligned characters [25,26] of the 16S rRNA gene sequence under the maximum likelihood (ML) criterion [27]. Rooting was done initially using the midpoint method [28] and then checked for its agreement with the current classification (Table 1). The branches are scaled in terms of the expected number of substitutions per site. Numbers adjacent to the branches are support values from 450 ML bootstrap replicates [29] (left) and from 1,000 maximum parsimony (MP) bootstrap replicates [30] (right) if larger than 60%. Lineages with type strain genome sequencing projects registered in GOLD [31] are labeled with one asterisk, those also listed as 'Complete and Published' with two asterisks [32,33].
Table 2. Genome sequencing project information

| MIGS ID | Property                  | Term                                                                 |
|---------|---------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| MIGS-31 | Finishing quality         | Finished                                                             |
| MIGS-28 | Libraries used            | Two genomic libraries: Sanger 8 kb pMCL200 and 454 standard library  |
| MIGS-29 | Sequencing platforms      | ABI3730, 454 GS FLX                                                  |
| MIGS-31.2| Sequencing coverage      | 5.5 × Sanger; 25.2 × pyrosequence                                   |
| MIGS-30 | Assemblers                | Newbler version 2.0.0-PreRelease-07/15/2008, phrap                  |
| MIGS-32 | Gene calling method       | Prodigal 1.4, GenePRIMP                                              |
|         | Genbank ID                | CP002017                                                             |
|         | Genbank Date of Release   | April 5, 2010                                                        |
|         | GOLD ID                   | Gc01268                                                              |
|         | NCBI project ID           | 31345                                                                |
|         | Database: IMG-GEBA        | 646564511                                                             |
| MIGS-13 | Source material identifier| DSM 2912                                                              |
|         | Project relevance         | Tree of Life, GEBA                                                   |

Genome sequencing and assembly

The genome was sequenced using a combination of Sanger and 454 sequencing platforms. All general aspects of library construction and sequencing can be found at the JGI website [38]. Pyrosequencing reads were assembled using the Newbler assembler (Roche). Large Newbler contigs were broken into 3,650 overlapping fragments of 1,000 bp and entered into assembly as pseudo-reads. The sequences were assigned quality scores based on Newbler consensus q-scores with modifications to account for overlap redundancy and adjust inflated q-scores. A hybrid 454/Sanger assembly was made using the phrap assembler [39]. Possible mis-assemblies were corrected with Dupfinisher and gaps between contigs were closed by editing in Consed, by custom primer walks from sub-clones or PCR products [40]. A total of 549 Sanger finishing reads were needed to close gaps, to resolve repetitive regions, and to raise the quality of the finished sequence. The error rate of the completed genome sequence is less than 1 in 100,000. Together, the combination of the Sanger and 454 sequencing platforms provided 30.7 × coverage of the genome. The final assembly contains 18,870 Sanger reads and 413,112 pyrosequencing reads.

Genome annotation

Genes were identified using Prodigal [41] as part of the Oak Ridge National Laboratory genome annotation pipeline, followed by a round of manual curation using the JGI GenePRIMP pipeline [42]. The predicted CDSs were translated and used to search the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) non-redundant database, Uni-
Prot, TIGR-Fam, Pfam, PRIAM, KEGG, COG, and InterPro databases. Additional gene prediction analysis and functional annotation was performed within the Integrated Microbial Genomes - Expert Review platform [43].

**Genome properties**
The genome consists of a 3,384,766 bp long circular chromosome with a G+C content of 59.1% (Table 3 and Figure 3). Of the 3,401 genes predicted, 3,323 were protein-coding genes, and 78 RNAs; 173 pseudogenes were also identified. The majority of the protein-coding genes (70.7%) were assigned a putative function while the remaining ones were annotated as hypothetical proteins. The distribution of genes into COGs functional categories is presented in Table 4.

![Figure 3](image.png)

*Figure 3.* Graphical circular map of the chromosome. From outside to the center: Genes on forward strand (color by COG categories), Genes on reverse strand (color by COG categories), tRNAs green, rRNAs red, other RNAs black, GC content, GC skew.
Table 3. Genome Statistics

| Attribute                        | Value        | % of Total |
|----------------------------------|--------------|------------|
| Genome size (bp)                 | 3,384,766    | 100.00%    |
| DNA coding region (bp)           | 2,896,588    | 85.58%     |
| DNA G+C content (bp)             | 2,000,875    | 59.11%     |
| Number of replicons              | 1            |            |
| Extrachromosomal elements        | 0            |            |
| Total genes                      | 3,401        | 100.00%    |
| RNA genes                        | 78           | 2.29%      |
| rRNA operons                     | 5            |            |
| Protein-coding genes             | 3,323        | 97.71%     |
| Pseudo genes                     | 173          | 5.09%      |
| Genes with function prediction   | 2,404        | 70.69%     |
| Genes in paralog clusters        | 718          | 21.11%     |
| Genes assigned to COGs           | 2,456        | 74.21%     |
| Genes assigned Pfam domains      | 2,657        | 78.12%     |
| Genes with signal peptides       | 530          | 15.58%     |
| Genes with transmembrane helices | 728          | 21.41%     |
| CRISPR repeats                   | 4            |            |

Table 4. Number of genes associated with the general COG functional categories

| Code | Value | %age | Description                                                                 |
|------|-------|------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| J    | 153   | 5.6  | Translation, ribosomal structure and biogenesis                              |
| A    | 0     | 0.0  | RNA processing and modification                                               |
| K    | 198   | 7.2  | Transcription                                                                |
| L    | 192   | 7.0  | Replication, recombination and repair                                         |
| B    | 1     | 0.0  | Chromatin structure and dynamics                                              |
| D    | 36    | 1.3  | Cell cycle control, cell division, chromosome partitioning                    |
| Y    | 0     | 0.0  | Nuclear structure                                                            |
| V    | 44    | 1.6  | Defense mechanisms                                                           |
| T    | 121   | 4.4  | Signal transduction mechanisms                                                |
| M    | 116   | 4.2  | Cell wall/membrane/envelope biogenesis                                       |
| N    | 62    | 2.3  | Cell motility                                                                |
| Z    | 1     | 0.0  | Cytoskeleton                                                                 |
| W    | 0     | 0.0  | Extracellular structures                                                     |
| U    | 41    | 1.5  | Intracellular trafficking, secretion and vesicular transport                  |
| O    | 95    | 3.5  | Posttranslational modification, protein turnover, chaperones                  |
| C    | 217   | 7.9  | Energy production and conversion                                              |
| G    | 111   | 4.1  | Carbohydrate transport and metabolism                                        |
| E    | 255   | 9.3  | Amino acid transport and metabolism                                          |
| F    | 68    | 2.5  | Nucleotide transport and metabolism                                           |
| H    | 133   | 4.9  | Coenzyme transport and metabolism                                            |
| I    | 145   | 5.3  | Lipid transport and metabolism                                                |
| P    | 133   | 4.9  | Inorganic ion transport and metabolism                                        |
| Q    | 97    | 3.5  | Secondary metabolites biosynthesis, transport and catabolism                  |
| R    | 298   | 10.9 | General function prediction only                                              |
| S    | 224   | 8.2  | Function unknown                                                             |
| -    | 945   | 27.8 | Not in COGs                                                                  |
Insights into the genome

At the time when the species name *B. tusciae* was validly published [9], a 16S rRNA gene sequence of the strain was not generated, but DNA-DNA hybridizations (DDHs) were performed with two reference strains, *B. schlegelii* [44] and *B. acidocaldarius* [45], to show that strain T2\textsuperscript{T} represents a novel species within the genus *Bacillus* [1]. While *B. schlegelii* was named by the same senior author as *B. tusciae* and most probably is as misplaced in the genus *Bacillus* as *B. tusciae* (see below), *B. acidocaldarius* was subsequently reclassified as *Alicyclobacillus acidocaldarius* [46]. In retrospect, considering 16S rRNA gene sequence identities of 88.8% (for *A. acidocaldarius*) and 85.7% (for *B. schlegelii*) it is not surprising that Bonjour and Aragon observed only 17% and 0% DDH similarity, respectively, for their novel isolate [1]. While the members of the genus *Alicyclobacillus* do in fact represent the closest relatives of strain T2\textsuperscript{T} (Figure 1) with 87.5% to 89.0% 16S rRNA sequence identity in EzTaxon [47], the appropriate taxonomic placement of *B. schlegelii* seems to be an unresolved question, with no greater than 89.3% rRNA sequence identity found with other type strains, none of which are members of the genus *Bacillus* [47]. Figure 1 shows *B. tusciae* as a sister group of one of the two clades that comprise *Alicyclobacillus*, with *A. pohliae* more closely related to *Tumebacillus* than to the other members of the genus and likely being misclassified based on the current data. However, while the clade comprising *B. tusciae*, *Alicyclobacillus* and *Tumebacillus* has significant statistical support (100% under ML and 97% under MP), the claim that the clade comprises only *Tumebacillus* and *A. pohliae* is unsupported.

As two of the genera selected for Figure 1, *Alicyclobacillus* and *Bacillus*, appeared as polyphyletic in the tree, we conducted both unconstrained heuristic searches for the best tree under the ML [27] and MP criterion [30] as well as searches constrained for the monophyly of these two genera, respectively (for details of the data matrix see the figure caption). The best-known ML tree had a log likelihood of -13,289.73, whereas the best trees found under the constraint of *Alicyclobacillus* monophyly had a log likelihood of -13,297.23 and was not significantly worse in the Shimodaira-Hasegawa test as implemented in RAxML [27] (α = 0.05). However, when enforcing *Bacillus* monophyly, the resulting log likelihood was -13,412.24, significantly worse than the best tree (α = 0.01). The best-known MP trees had a score of 2,362, whereas the best trees found under the constraint of *Alicyclobacillus* monophyly had a score of 2,374 and were not significantly worse in the Kishino-Hasegawa test as implemented in PAUP* [30] (α = 0.05). When enforcing *Bacillus* (*Bacillus subtilis* and *B. tusciae*) monophyly, the resulting score was 2,439, significantly worse than the best tree (p < 0.0001). (See, e.g., chapter 21 in [48] for an in-depth description of such paired-site tests.). Accordingly, the current classification of *B. tusciae* in *Bacillus* is at odds with the 16S rRNA data and does not reflect the natural relationships based on that gene. In contrast, the placement of *A. pohliae* in *Alicyclobacillus* is not significantly disputed by the data.

Table 5 shows the whole-genome distances between *B. tusciae*, *A. acidocaldarius* [32] and *B. subtilis* [33] as calculated using the genome-to-genome distance calculator [49-51]. The lower left triangle shows those distances derived by dividing the total sequence length not covered by HSPs through total genome length (left) and by dividing the total number of non-identical base pairs within HSPs by total HSP length (right); the upper right triangle shows the distance derived by dividing total genome length minus total number of identical base pairs within HSPs by total genome length. As expected, those distances relating HSP coverage and number of identical base pairs within HSPs to total genome length are higher between *B. tusciae* and *B. subtilis* than between *B. tusciae* and *A. acidocaldarius*. That the distances relating the number of identical base pairs to total HSP length behave differently indicates that the genomic similarities between *B. tusciae* and *B. subtilis* are more strongly restricted to more conserved sequences, a kind of saturation phenomenon [50].

Figure 4 shows an unrooted phylogenetic network inferred using the Neighbor-Net algorithm from whole-genome distances calculated with GGDC [49-50]. The grouping of *B. tusciae* and *A. acidocaldarius*, as well as the very tree-like appearance of this part of the network indicate that genomic data are also in conflict with the placement of *B. tusciae* within *Bacillus*. 

**Kyrpidia tusciae** comb. nov.

At the time when the species name *B. tusciae* was validly published [9], a 16S rRNA gene sequence of the strain was not generated, but DNA-DNA hybridizations (DDHs) were performed with two reference strains, *B. schlegelii* [44] and *B. acidocaldarius* [45], to show that strain T2\textsuperscript{T} represents a novel species within the genus *Bacillus* [1].
Table 5. Genome-to-genome distances as calculated using GGDC [49-51].

|                      | B. tusciae (CP002017 = NC_014098) | B. subtilis subsp. subtilis (AL009126 = NC_000964) | A. acidocaldarius (CP001727-001730 = NC_013205, 07, 08) |
|----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| B. tusciae           | 0.0000                           | 0.9916                                              | 0.97030                                                  |
| B. subtilis subsp. subtilis | 0.9902/0.1452                   | 0.0000                                              | 0.9908                                                   |
| A. acidocaldarius    | 0.9646/0.1629                    | 0.9893/0.1366                                       | 0.0000                                                   |

Figure 4. Unrooted phylogenetic network inferred with the Neighbor-Net algorithm as implemented in SplitsTree version 4.10 [52] from genome-to-genome distances calculated using GGDC [49-51]. The analysis includes all completely sequenced Bacillales type strain genomes as registered in GOLD at the time of publication [31]. Here, the logarithmic version of the distance calculated as the total genome length minus total number of identical base pairs within HSPs, divided by total genome length (see Table 5), was used as GGDC distance.

Figure 5. Venn diagram depicting the intersections of protein sets between the target genome and representative genomes of Bacillus and Alicyclobacillus.
### Table 6. Typical features of reference taxa

|                        | **Bacillus tusciae** T2 DSM 2912 [1] | **Genus Alicyclobacillus** [53,54] | **Genus Bacillus** [54] |
|------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------|
| **Cell shape**         | straight rods, 4-5 µm long           | rods, 1.5-6.3 µm long               | rods, normally up to 5 µm long positive |
| **Gram stain**         | positive                             | positive                            | aerobic, facultative anaerobic |
| **Oxygen requirement** | aerobic, facultative anaerobic, but not with nitrate | aerobic, facultative anaerobic anaerobic, a few species | aerobic, facultative anaerobic, some species are strictly anaerobic |
| **Endospores**         | subterminal, oval                    | terminal up to subterminal          | ellipsoidal, central     |
| **sspE genes for acid-soluble spore proteins** | missing                              | missing                             | frequent                |
| **growth temperature** | >47°C to <67°C, opt. 55°C 4.2-4.8   | 4-70°C, opt. 35-65°C < 4.5, (range 1.5-5) | 10-60°C 5-10            |
| **pH optimum**         | thermoacidophile                     | thermoacidophiles                   | some obligate or facultative thermophiles |
| **Habitat**            | ponds in solfatara of geothermal area | acidic geothermal sites (soil and water), fruit juices, ores | often saprophytes in decaying organic matter |
| **Flagellation**       | single lateral flagellum             | motility reported for some species  | motile or nonmotile; lateral |
| **Menaquinones**       | MK-7 *(personal communication Brian J. Tindall)* | MK-7                               | MK-7                    |
| **Major membranous lipid components** | no ω-alicyclic fatty acids *(personal communication Brian J. Tindall)* | Large amounts (>80%) of ω-alicyclic fatty acids with six- or seven carbon rings, such as ω-cyclohexane undecanoic acid -C_{17:0} and ω-cyclohexane tridecanoic acid -C_{19:0}. Three species do not possess these fatty acids. Some strains are known to form hopanoids. | cellular fatty acids: ai-C_{15:0}, i-C_{15:0}, ai-C_{15:0}, no ω-alicyclic fatty acids |
| **Oxidase/catalase**   | weak/weak                            | ±/±                                | ±/±                    |
| **Inclusion bodies**   | poly-β-hydroxybutyric acid when grown autotrophically under ammonium starvation | not specified                      | some species            |
| **Energy metabolism**  | grows best under autotrophic conditions, chemolithoautotrophic with H_{2} and CO_{2}, but also chemoorganoheterotrophic; does not metabolize sugars | chemoorganotrophic or mixotrophic; carbohydrates, organic acids and amino acids can be utilized. Mixotrophic species utilize Fe^{2+} and S^{0} | chemoorganotrophic |
The fraction of shared genes in the genomes of *B. tusciae* T2T, *A. acidocaldarius* [32] and *B. subtilis* [33] is shown in a Venn diagram (Figure 5). The numbers of pairwise shared genes were calculated with the phylogenetic profiler function of the IMG-ER platform [43]. The homologous genes within the genomes were detected with a maximum e-value of $10^{-5}$ and a minimum identity of 30%.

A total of 1,363 of the genes are shared by all three genomes, with about equal numbers of genes (295-387) shared between pairs of genomes to the exclusion of the third genome. Within the 1,278 unique genes of *B. tusciae* that have no detectable homologues in the genomes of *A. acidocaldarius* and *B. subtilis* (under the sequence similarity thresholds used for the comparison) are the genes encoding the key enzymes for the Calvin cycle: ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase (*Btus_2871* large subunit, *Btus_2872* small subunit) and the phosphoribulokinase (*Btus_2868*). A closer look at the genome of *B. tusciae* revealed additional genes coding for enzymes of the Calvin cycle located in the immediate neighborhood of the ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase genes: phosphoglyceratekinase (*Btus_2865*), phosphoribulokinase (*Btus_2868*), glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (*Btus_2869*), fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase (*Btus_2870*), ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase (*Btus_2871* large subunit, *Btus_2872* small subunit) and the phosphoribulokinase (*Btus_2868*). Additional genes coding for enzymes of the Calvin cycle were found: 3-phosphoglycerate kinase (*Btus_2862*), fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase (*Btus_2870*), ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase (*Btus_2871* large subunit, *Btus_2872* small subunit) which are probably organized as an operon. In autotrophically-grown cells of *B. tusciae*, an active ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase in an operating Calvin cycle was reported [1].

The phylogenetic position of strain T2T as shown in Figures 1 and 4, corroborated by its primarily autotrophic energy metabolism [1] (Tables 1 and 6), and the lack of *sspE* genes for acid-soluble spore proteins [20] (Table 6), indicated a clear need to reclassify *B. tusciae*. The joint but distinct phylogenetic position (Figure 1) supports the establishment of a novel genus rather than the inclusion of *B. tusciae* in *Alicyclobacillaceae*. As shown above, 16S rRNA data do not indicate the monophyly of the remaining *Alicyclobacillus* spp., but are not in significant conflict with it. The branch leading to *B. tusciae* is rather long, indicating a considerable degree of independent evolution (relative to the other taxa included in the tree, which include the closest relatives of *B. tusciae* in the current LTP release, see above). Whether the lack of ω- alicyclic fatty acids in *A. pohliae* and perhaps other *Alicyclobacillus* spp. can be used in later studies for a revision of *Alicyclobacillus* remains to be seen.

On the basis of the above-mentioned physiological, chemotaxonomic and phylogenetic characteristics of strain T2T, a novel genus is proposed, *Kyrpidia*, as the second genus in the parent family *Alicyclobacillaceae*, and a novel species is proposed, *Kyrpidia tusciae* sp. nov., comb. nov. Comparative characteristics of strain T2T are given in Table 1.

Furthermore the phylogenetic analysis as shown in Figure 1 clearly supports the assignment of the genus *Tumebacillus* to the family *Alicyclobacillaceae*.

**Emended description of the family Alicyclobacillaceae da Costa and Rainey 2010**

The description of the family *Alicyclobacillaceae* is given by da Costa and Rainey 2010 in [54]. Acid may be produced from carbohydrates or not. The family is comprised of the genera *Alicyclobacillus*, *Tumebacillus* and *Kyrpidia*.

**Description of *Kyrpidia* gen. nov.**

*Kyrpidia* (Kyripi’di.a N.L. fem. n. *Kyrpidia* named in honor of Nikolaos C. Kyrpides, a Greek-American genomics scientist, who co-initiated the Genomic Encyclopedia of Archaea and Bacteria).

Cells are straight rods, 1.5 to 5 μm long, facultatively anaerobic, Gram-positive, chemolithoautotrophic or chemoorganoheterotrophic. Thermoacidophilic; growth occurs above 42°C and below 67°C, with an optimum at 55°C, and at pH 4.2-7.5. Endospores are formed, but *sspE* genes for acid-soluble spore proteins are not found. The predominant menaquinone is MK-7. Major fatty acids are *iso*-C15:0 and *iso*-C17:0; ω- alicyclic fatty acids are not present. The mol% G + C content of the type strain of the type species is 59.11 mol%. The type species is *Kyrpidia tusciae*. *Kyrpidia* is a member of the *Alicyclobacillaceae*.

**Description of *Kyrpidia tusciae* (Bonjour & Aragno 1984) comb. nov.**

*Kyrpidia tusciae* (*tus’ci.ae* L. gen.n. *tusciae* from Tuscia; named after Tuscia, a region in central Italy where the Etruscans (Tusci) lived and where the organism was found.)

Basonym: *Bacillus tusciae* Bonjour and Aragno 1984.

The genus *Kyrpidia* is comprised of one species *Kyrpidia tusciae*. The characteristics of the species are given in the genus description and the description given by Bonjour and Aragno [1].

The type strain is T2T (= DSM 2912 = NBRC 15312).
Kyrpidia tusciae comb. nov.

Acknowledgements
We would like to gratefully acknowledge the help of Claudia Wahrenburg for growing the B. tusciae cells, and Susanne Schneider for DNA extraction and quality analysis (both at DSMZ). This work was performed under the auspices of the US Department of Energy Office of Science, Biological and Environmental Research Program, and by the University of California, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory under contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under contract No. DE-AC52-07NA27344, and Los Alamos National Laboratory under contract No. DE-AC02-06NA25396, as well as German Research Foundation (DFG) INST 599/1-1.

References
1. Bonjour F, Aragno M. Bacillus tusciae, a new species of thermoacidophilic, facultatively chemotrophic, hydrogen oxidizing sporeformer from a geothermal area. Arch Microbiol 1984; 139:397-401. doi:10.1007/BF00408386
2. Field D, Garrity G, Gray T, Morrison N, Selengut J, Sterk P, Tatusova T, Thompson N, Allen MJ, Angiuoli SV, et al. Towards a richer description of our complete collection of genomes and metagenomes: the “Minimum Information about a Genome Sequence” (MIGS) specification. Nat Biotechnol 2008; 26:541-547. PubMed doi:10.1038/nbt1360
3. Garrity G. NamesforLife. BrowserTool takes expertise out of the database and puts it right in the browser. Microbiol Today 2010; 37:9.
4. Woese CR, Kandler O, Whitman WB. Class I. Bacilli class nov. In: De Vos P, Garrity GM, Jones D, Krieg NR, Ludwig W, Rainey EA, Schleifer KH, Whitten WM (eds) Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology, Second Edition, Volume 3, Springer, Dordrecht, London, New York, 2009, pp. 19-20.
19. Rainey FA, Fritzke D, Stackebrandt E. The phylogenetic diversity of thermophilic members of the genus *Bacillus* as revealed by 16S rDNA analysis. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 1994; 115:205-211. PubMed doi:10.1111/j.1574-6968.1994.tb06639.x

20. Vyas J, Cox J, Setlow B, Coleman WH, Setlow P. Extremely variable conservation of \( \gamma \)-type small, acid-soluble proteins from spores of some species in the bacterial order *Bacillales*. *J Bacteriol* 2011; 193:1884-1892. PubMed doi:10.1128/JB.00018-11

21. Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ. Basic local alignment search tool. *J Mol Biol* 1990; 215:403-410. PubMed

22. DeSantis TZ, Hugenholtz P, Larsen N, Rojas M, Brodie EL, Keller K, Huber T, Dalevi D, Hu P, Andersen GL. Greengenes, a chimera-checked 16S rRNA gene database and workbench compatible with ARB. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2006; 72:5069-5072. PubMed doi:10.1128/AEM.03006-05

23. Porter MF. An algorithm for suffix stripping. Program: electronic library and information systems 1980; 14:130-137.

24. Munoz R, Yarza P, Ludwig W, Euzéby J, Amann R, Schleifer KH, Glöckner FO, Rosselló-Móra R. Release LTPs104 of the All-Species Living Tree. *Syst Appl Microbiol* 2010; 33:1884-1892. PubMed doi:10.1038/nature08656

25. Castresana J. Selection of conserved blocks from multiple alignments for their use in phylogenetic analysis. *Mol Biol Evol* 2000; 17:540-552. PubMed

26. Lee C, Grasso C, Sharlow MF. Multiple sequence alignment using partial order graphs. *Bioinformatics* 2002; 18:452-464. PubMed doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/18.3.452

27. Stamatakis A, Hoover P, Rougemont J. A Rapid Bootstrap Algorithm for the RAxML Web Servers. *Syst Biol* 2008; 57:758-771. PubMed doi:10.1080/10635150802429642

28. Hess PN, De Moraes Russo CA. An empirical test of the midpoint rooting method. *Biol J Linn Soc Lond* 2007; 92:669-674. doi:10.1111/j.1095-8312.2007.00864.x

29. Pattengale ND, Alipour M, Bininda-Emonds ORP, Moret BME, Stamatakis A. How Many Bootstrap Replicates Are Necessary? *Lect Notes Comput Sci* 2009; 5541:184-200. doi:10.1007/978-3-642-02008-7_13

30. Swofford DL. PAUP*: Phylogenetic Analysis Using Parsimony (*and Other Methods), Version 4.0 b10. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, 2002.

31. Liolios K, Chen IM, Mavromatis K, Tavernarakis N, Hugenholtz P, Markowitz VM, Kyrpides NC. The Genomes On Line Database (GOLD) in 2009: status of genomic and metagenomic projects and their associated metadata. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2010; 38:D346-D354. PubMed doi:10.1093/nar/gkp848

32. Mavromatis K, Sikorski J, Lapidus A, Glavina Del Río T, Copeland A, Tice H, Cheng JF, Lucas S, Chen F, Nolan M, et al. Complete genome sequence of *Alicyclobacillus acidocaldarius* type strain (104-IA\(^{\text{T}}\)). *Stand Genomic Sci* 2010; 2:9-18. PubMed doi:10.4056/sigs.591104

33. Kunst F, Ogasawara N, Moszer I, Albertini AM, Alloni G, Ázvedo V, Bertero MG, Bessieres P, Bolotini A, Borchert S, et al. The complete genome sequence of the gram-positive bacterium *Bacillus subtilis*. *Nature* 1997; 390:249-256. PubMed doi:10.1038/36786

34. Klenk HP, Göker M. En route to a genome-based classification of *Archaea* and *Bacteria*. *Syst Appl Microbiol* 2010; 33:175-182. PubMed doi:10.1016/j.syapm.2010.03.003

35. Wu D, Hugenholtz P, Mavromatis K, Pukall R, Dalin E, Ivanova N, Kunin V, Goodwin L, Wu M, Tindall BJ. A phylogeny-driven genomic encyclopedia of *Bacteria* and *Archaea*. *Nature* 2009; 462:1056-1060. PubMed doi:10.1038/nature08656

36. List of growth media used at DSMZ: [http://www.dsmz.de/catalogues/catalogue-microorganisms/culture-technology/list-of-media.html](http://www.dsmz.de/catalogues/catalogue-microorganisms/culture-technology/list-of-media.html).

37. Gemeinholzer B, Dröge G, Zetzsche H, Haszprunar G, Klenk HP, Güntsch A, Berendsohn WG, Wägele JW. The DNA Bank Network: the start from a German initiative. *Biobanking from a German initiative*. *Biopreservation and Biobanking* 2011; 9:51-55. doi:10.1089/bio.2010.0029

38. The DOE Joint Genome Institute. [http://www.jgi.doe.gov](http://www.jgi.doe.gov).

39. Phrap and Phred for Windows. MacOS, Linux, and Unix. [http://www.phrap.com](http://www.phrap.com).

40. Sims D, Brettin T, Detter JC, Han C, Lapidus A, Copeland A, Glavina Del Río T, Nolan M, Chen F, Lucas S, et al. Complete genome sequence of
Kyrpidia tusciae comb. nov.

Kytococcus sedentarius type strain (541T). *Stand Genomic Sci* 2009; 1:12-20. PubMed doi:10.4056/sigs.761

41. Hyatt D, Chen GL, Locascio PF, Land ML, Larimer FW, Hauser LJ. Prodigal Prokaryotic Dynamic Programming Genefinding Algorithm. *BMC Bioinformatics* 2010; 11:119. PubMed doi:10.1186/1471-2105-11-119

42. Pati A, Ivanova N, Mikhailova N, Ovchinikova G, Hooper SD, Lykidis A, Kyrpides NC. GenePRIMP: A Gene Prediction Improvement Pipeline for microbial genomes. *Nat Methods* 2010; 7:455-457. PubMed doi:10.1038/nmeth.1457

43. Markowitz VM, Ivanova NN, Chen IMA, Chu K, Kyrpides NC. IMG ER: a system for microbial genome annotation expert review and curation. *Bioinformatics* 2009; 25:2271-2278. PubMed doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btp393

44. Schenk A, Aragano M. *Bacillus schlegelii* a new species of thermophilic, facultatively chemolithoautotrophic bacterium oxidizing molecular hydrogen. *J Gen Microbiol* 1979; 115:333-341.

45. Darland G, Brock TD. *Bacillus acidocaldarius* sp. nov., an acidophilic thermophilic spore-forming bacterium. *J Gen Microbiol* 1971; 79:9-15.

46. Wisotzkey JD, Jurtshuk P, Jr., Fox GE, Deinhard G, Poralla K. Comparative sequence analyses on the 16S rRNA (rDNA) of *Bacillus acidocaldarius*, *Bacillus acidoterrestris*, and *Bacillus cycloheptanicus* and proposal for creation of a new genus, *Alicyclobacillus* gen. nov. *Int J Syst Bacteriol* 1992; 42:263-269. PubMed doi:10.1099/00207713-42-2-263

47. Chun J, Lee JH, Jung Y, Kim M, Kim S, Kim BK, Lim YW. EzTaxon: a web-based tool for the identification of prokaryotes based on 16S ribosomal RNA gene sequences. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 2007; 57:2259-2261. PubMed doi:10.1099/ijs.0.64915-0

48. Felsenstein J. Inferring phylogenies. Sinauer Associates Inc., Sunderland, Massachusetts 2004.

49. Genome-to-genome distance calculator. http://ggdc.gbdp.org

50. Auch AF, Klenk HP, Göker M. Standard operating procedure for calculating genome-to-genome distances based on high-scoring segment pairs. *Stand Genomic Sci* 2010; 2:142-148. PubMed doi:10.4056/sigs.541628

51. Auch AF, von Jan M, Klenk HP, Göker M. Digital DNA-DNA hybridization for microbial species delineation by means of genome-to-genome sequence comparison. *Stand Genomic Sci* 2010; 2:117-134. PubMed doi:10.4056/sigs.531120

52. Huson DH, Bryant D. Application of phylogenetic networks in evolutionary studies. *Mol Biol Evol* 2005; 23:254-267. PubMed doi:10.1093/molbev/msj030

53. Wisotzkey JD, Jurtshuk P, Jr., Fox GE, Deinhard G, Poralla K. Comparative sequence analyses on the 16S rRNA (rDNA) of *Bacillus acidocaldarius*, *Bacillus acidoterrestris*, and *Bacillus cycloheptanicus* and proposal for creation of a new genus, *Alicyclobacillus* gen. nov. *Int J Syst Bacteriol* 1992; 42:263-269. PubMed doi:10.1099/00207713-42-2-263

54. da Costa MS, Rainey FA. Family II. *Alicyclobacillaceae* fam. nov. In: De Vos P, Garrity G, Jones D, Krieg NR, Ludwig W, Rainey FA, Schleifer KH, Whitman WB (eds), *Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology*, Second Edition, Volume 3, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2009, p. 229.