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ABSTRACT

Our research was focused on the identification of features, which was essential for educational digital products and the determination of their quality. The introductory analytical part of our research is focused on the analysis of existing sources of information related to the problems of research, production, appropriate use and evaluation of educational software environments. Consequently, we have divided the existing software products into three basic groups according to our main distinguishing feature. Second part of our paper is focused on various aspects, which are to be considered when assessing the quality of software solutions. The final part contains the presentation of results of our findings related to the most important features expected and required from digital learning tools by professional experts and specialists in given field.
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1. Introduction

Reliable and in-depth quality evaluation of electronic learning tools presupposes the existence of specific evaluation tools, which are able to evaluate the specific content and functionality of software applications with educational ambitions. Only the clearly defined, impartial, professionally correct and understandable criteria can distinguish a high quality educational product from other products—less appropriate or even socially dangerous electronic didactic tools. In spite of the fact that educational technologies influence the current educational process, professional and methodically elaborated assessment of their quality has not been a subject of major research projects by now. One reason is the exceptional dynamics of technologies development and constantly expanding list of new devices and their functions. It disables the standardization of evaluation related exclusively to one specific software product or device. Which features characterize a modern and attractive digital learning tool? Which is useful for educational practice? What distinguishes it from other less appropriate software applications? Is it possible to measure the quality of any software solution by existing tools? Efforts to obtain relevant answers to these questions prompted us to carry out this research.

2. Educational Technologies and Their Categorization

Determination of clear criteria, by which we could distinguish the educational (pedagogical, academic) software, learning environment or more comprehensive information system from other digital products, is an extremely demanding task. From the point of view of pedagogical practice, this is a critical issue. When defining the terms associated with the use of digital technologies in the teaching process, most of the authors focuses on the pedagogical character of a product, which was designed, created, tested in practice and further developed especially for educational purposes—as a tool for teaching and learning [4,6,14,17,20,27]. In this sense we understand the educational technologies as technological solutions, tools and devices designed specifically for the
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needs of education and various educational and training activities. When studying the problems of introduction of the digital technologies into the educational process more deeply, we can also find the expressions considering any strict delimitation of a set of educational software as dangerous and incorrect. These attitudes are largely based on the premise, that any software becomes really educational only at the moment of its application in the educational process, or learning process, it means by the way of its usage [12,17,21,29]. Taking into account these opinions, it is necessary to enlarge the group of educational technologies with specific software products, which in spite of the fact, that they were not specifically designed to support the pedagogical process, they have naturally found its application and became popular among teachers or students.

A huge range and variety of educational software applications and interactive educational environments disables the introduction of uniform templates for evaluation of their quality. It is necessary to define the basic criterion/criteria for the categorization of existing software solutions and to evaluate all the products within one defined group. In addition there are several software classifications, and at the highest level we can divide the software products into free products (free of charge, shareware, they can be copied) and proprietary products (their usage is conditioned by the purchase of license; they cannot be modified or copied). Other divisions depend on the selection of criteria. Educational software can be divided by the way of presentation of processed information, role of the computer in the educational process, learning subject for which it is primarily intended, by educational paradigm, cognitive process phase of a learning person, for whom the software is primarily intended, by the software’s main function, recipient’s age, way of work etc. [1,3,20]. If we use a primary purpose of usage as a main criterion, most of the educational software environments used in schools is intended for practising and studying of curriculum. Their popularity emerges from the fact, that they help teachers save time, facilitate the preparation of teaching aids (interactive tests, quizzes a exercises) and simplify the organization of learning process (individual and group work of students). The evaluation of results is accurate, fast and automatic. Reverse side of their frequent application in the educational process are repetitive activities and questions focused most frequently on the knowledge of facts.

When designing the propositions of standards for quality measuring of software with educational usage, we can start from the basic division of software solutions into three main groups: complex learning environments; instructive programs and Applets and finally software modules and accessories. As a main distinguishing feature, we have defined the extent of provided and electronically processed information and the associated range of application possibilities of usage of products in the educational process. A separate category consists of software tools for management, administration and support of learning activities—LMS (learning management system), video conference systems, presentation programs and other unilaterally focused and highly specified digital teaching aids, e.g. interactive worksheets, crosswords, gap-fills, electronic forms etc. Into this category we can also add the web portals with video lectures, which cannot be changed and which are very often a part of e-learning courses and lessons.

Complex learning environments contain robust web portals with a large database of educational materials (objects) for a number of learning subjects and classes, and also tools, which simplify the work with them (searching, authentication, preparation of students/teachers, education management, creation of individual tasks, interactive tasks, methodical guidelines, recommended procedures, worksheets, automated monitoring of student results etc.). Technical support and continuous updates of the electronic content is a matter of course.

Instructive programs are specific software solutions, which partially replace a teacher in the interpretation of new curriculum, its practising and automatic evaluation of a level of student skills and knowledge. The electronic content processed by the program is usually limited to one specific discipline, thematic unit or teaching subject. Typical instructive programs include various commercially sold learning CD and DVD media with installation .exe files (Terasoft, LANGMaster, SILCOM Multimedia...).

Applets, software modules and accessories represent the smallest program units, which can be launched separately or as plugins of web pages. Their didactic usage is limited mainly to the mathematical calculations in more complex tasks, addition of missing data, explanation of problems or simulation of phenomena or processes. The interactive presentation mainly consists of animations supplemented with graphical and sound effects. Their progress can be influenced, e.g. by the change of the input quantities. There are also quite well-known Java applets focused on the explanation of mathematical and physical relations (GeoGebra, FyzWeb-applets...).

A special group of software products designed to support the distance forms of education is so called LMS (Learning management system). It is an e-learning environment intended for creation, edition and management of educational projects (lessons, courses). Its application into the educational process requires not only a good knowledge of chosen environment, but also the complete preparation of the electronic content. This is not a part of
an installation package and its quality depends exclusively on skills and experiences of the teacher. The most widely used system in Slovakia is LMS Moodle. Other used systems are LMS Claroline, Microsoft Class Server or Websity.

Video conference systems also do not provide the methodically elaborated electronic content. Only the topic of a virtual meeting, discussion character and ways of the provision of the relevant data can transform a video conference to the highly effective education tool. Video conference is actually a web-oriented environment, which enables the mutual interconnection of several participants in one video conference room. Voice and image transfer is supplemented with possibility of writing the text messages (chat) and presentation of multimedia data files. The high-quality products enable to share the running applications and sophisticated tools of a team work. E. g. a widely used system EVO received a large support in the scientific circles. Its commercially sold alternative Adobe Connect Pro offers a simple access to the video conference.

Presentation programs conclude a special group of software programs, which do not provide the users with materials of the educational nature. However, they help teachers and students present the information available in various digital formats in a very interesting form. Thanks to the programs the outputs have a multimedia character with interactive features. In this way they become dynamic and visually attractive for students. An example of a typical presentation program in schools is the most widely used Microsoft PowerPoint. Good competition represent programs like Prezi, Adobe Captivate, Ashampoo Presentations and programs intended for the creation of concept maps—Xmind, MindMap, SmartDraw etc.

3. Criteria for the Quality Evaluation of Educational Software and Electronic Educational Tools

Despite the fact that digital technologies are more and more applied in the educational and learning process, selection of an appropriate (professionally and didactically correct) software is becoming an increasingly demanding pedagogical problem—there is no thorough research in this field. Most of the published papers are focused on the assessment of specific technological solutions (e.g. selected Open Source Software, LMS, CAL software, Zooming Editor Software, Electronic mobile devices, Cloud Learning, Cloud Computing etc.) and determination of their learning potential (see e.g. [2,5,15,33]). There are many results presented, which analyze the effectiveness of application of selected digital technologies in a specific learning situation and specific educational space (see e.g. [16,48]). The research projects considering all the general principles of creation and objectivity of quality evaluation of modern electronic educational tools are, however, missing. In order to evaluate the quality of the educational software and electronic learning materials, it is necessary to consider several aspects. From the point of view of the teacher and education, psychological-didactic and professional aspect of the software is extremely important. The evaluation from this point of view should include the fact how the software supports the management of education and evaluation of students. Equally important are the software technical parameters and user perspective on the evaluated digital product taking into account its individual peculiarities.

Educational aspect assesses the software value as a means of support of student various cognitive processes within the meaningful learning process and also the extent, to which it contributes to their involvement in the learning process and to stimulation of their cognitive interests. The software should particularly respect the basic relations of perception, memory and thinking. From this perspective it is, for example, important to evaluate the clearness and intensity of incentives, mutual arrangement and use of various classes of multimedia operating objects, text, graphics (including animations and video) and sound. For efficient perception, understanding and learning it is important to simultaneously represent both basic modalities of provided information—it means visual and verbal. To avoid overloading the attention and memory of a learning person, it is necessary to avoid the division of students’ attention to overlapping sources. Educational objects should also support cognitive processes of selection, organization and integration. Illustration of hierarchy and reciprocity between the blocks of information helps better understand their organization (principle of hierarchy). Organization of information helps learning person create the adequate mental representations (see e.g. [24]).

From an educational point of view, it is essential to find out a purpose, validity, content complexity and adequacy, technical correctness of provided information, their compliance with curriculum, and also a range of possibilities of the software use in the educational process, motivation impulses and activities created by the software, provided feedback tools, as well as multimedia variety of the electronic content and preferred style of teachers and students work with the software. Attention should be paid to the fact, whether the software is able to take into account the variability of students, which is determined by their various abilities, cognitive style or preferred learning style, or by their handicap (see e.g. [22,35]). Another evaluated issue is also the interactivity of a software work, which can be understood as an ability.
to influence the behaviour and the course of the events in dependence on user’s requirements, and its openness, it means to what extent it is possible to change the default software environment and to enter its database (possibility of adding own objects, of editing already created objects etc.).

User’s point of view pays attention mainly to the features of user environment also known as graphical user interface (GUI). An important thing is an overall clarity of a symbolic language and tools used by the software when communicating with user, as well as the transparency of drivers’ location. We evaluate the software’s control, various settings options, appearance, quality of multimedia presented, overall stability (resistance to incorrect (unsupported) interventions, localization, software price, as well as the availability of trial version before purchase and use of current technological trends. Another advantage can also be an obligation of the software producers to upgrade, extend and update the original product and its compatibility with other products.

The learning process is also connected with teacher’s administrative activities, e.g. students data archiving, creation of various statistical summaries and final evaluations. Therefore it is important to find out how the education software helps teachers in the education management, students records and evaluation. We are monitoring the software performance, capacity, support of import, export, backup and archiving of student records, the ability to record the structured data about students (classes), to manage and control the access to information sources, provision of possibility to evaluate the partial performance, monitor students progress, create the personal student reports, summarizing tables, statistics, schemes and graphs.

The real software application also depends on its technical parameters (technical aspect). The subject of evaluation should be in this case the software compatibility (start-up under various operating systems and their versions), its hardware requirements, installation method, technical support, security and level of protection against unauthorized attacks.

Specific objectives and various methods of use of individual types of educational technologies require various approaches and evaluation criteria, which take into account their particularities. Regarding the instructive programs intended for the curriculum practising, the essential fact is whether they provide various levels of complexity of tasks or issues, whether the program settings enable to determine their number, order or variations in the test, as well as the method by which they will be managed (options of restart, variation of time of the response, evaluation of answers correctness in blocks or by individual test items etc.). Concerning the simulation programs, the important thing is whether they allow the user to make decisions on every critical step, whether they provide realistic and credible consequences (effects) of selected actions and to what extent the factors facilitating the proper understanding of a simulated phenomenon or process are highlighted.

4. Research Methodology

For the purpose of determining the users’ expectations and requirements in relation to the digital learning tools, we realized a questionnaire survey. We used the questionnaires of own design with only open items. Since it is a very specific field of research (educational technologies), only a relatively small sample of respondents was included—it means those who have met the requirements of experts or professional users of digital technologies. We have selected the Delphi method as a basis of the methodology. The questionnaires were provided intentionally to 3 selected groups of surveyed experts regularly using the digital technologies in everyday work or personal life. The experts gave their opinions on 3 areas of problems related to the most important expected features of software applications and electronic materials intended and created specifically for the needs of education and learning process support. We have addressed several experts and the following ones have participated in the research: 12 specialists on information technologies, 11 teachers, who have been experimenting with application of digital technologies into the learning process in the long term and 12 respondents—users of information technologies, who are regularly using various digital tools and technologies in work. In order to ensure the respondents a quick and simple access to the questionnaires, we have published them on our website: for IT specialists: http://www.evaluedu.sk/sk/home/formular-it-specialista, for users: http://www.evaluedu.sk/sk/home/formulare/formular-pouzivatel/ for teachers: http://www.evaluedu.sk/sk/home/formulare/formular-ucitel.

5. Research Results

5.1. IT Specialists Evaluation

When taking into account all the selections of features made by IT specialists during the evaluation of the importance of the educational software features for its users, the following features occurred most frequently: clarity and simplicity, graphical processing, design variety, possibility to access the software through the internet, clearness expressed by illustrative examples, videos or pic-
tures, software interactivity and also its attractiveness for students-motivational function. The specific frequencies of selected features are stated in the Table 1.

Table 1. Frequencies of answers of IT specialists to item 1: Try to identify at least 5 most important features of educational software (electronic learning material), which you as a user would expect from this learning tool.

| Feature                                           | Frequency | Frequency % |
|---------------------------------------------------|-----------|-------------|
| clarity/simplicity/user friendly                   | 9         | 75.0        |
| graphical processing/design variety                | 6         | 50.0        |
| possibility to access the software through the internet | 5         | 41.7        |
| clearness with illustrative examples, animations, pictures | 3         | 25.0        |
| interactivity                                      | 3         | 25.0        |
| motivational/attractive                            | 3         | 25.0        |
| completeness of content with multimedia elements   | 2         | 16.7        |
| intuitiveness                                      | 2         | 16.7        |
| compatibility/universality for all OS             | 2         | 16.7        |
| factuality/simplicity of the text                  | 2         | 16.7        |
| perfection of data and information/proficiency     | 2         | 16.7        |
| meets a learning objective/meaningfulness         | 2         | 16.7        |
| contains a number of tasks for practising          | 2         | 16.7        |
| Undo function                                      | 2         | 16.7        |
| ergonomics                                        | 2         | 16.7        |
| enables further work with information             | 2         | 16.7        |
| free/open                                         | 1         | 8.3         |
| Slovak language                                   | 1         | 8.3         |
| mutation/comprehensibility                         | 1         | 8.3         |
| simple and quick installation                      | 1         | 8.3         |
| quick searching of topics and information/quick feedback | 1         | 8.3         |
| intersubject relations/interconnection             | 1         | 8.3         |
| interestingly formulated text                      | 1         | 8.3         |
| possibility to deal with multiple functions        | 1         | 8.3         |
| up-to-dateness                                     | 1         | 8.3         |
| possibility to create the simple outputs           | 1         | 8.3         |
| contains the interactive tasks                     | 1         | 8.3         |
| possibility to download the tasks                  | 1         | 8.3         |
| didactically consistent                            | 1         | 8.3         |
| **Total**                                          | **12**    | **100.0**   |

An interesting fact is that one third of respondents stated on the first position the software clarity and simplicity, what can be freely interpreted as an expression of preference of these features. The answers of other respondents related to the features stated on this position differed.

The subject of our research was also focused on features of the educational software, which are important for the education manager, it means for teacher, instructor, lecturer etc. Most often, the IT specialists considered such features to be clarity, simplicity and comprehensibility, then features related to free sharing and software financial affordability, then features like testing of students' knowledge, intermediation of results of student tests in a structured form, meeting the requirements for graphical processing and design of presented information, focus on essential content and forms of the curriculum, intermediation of curriculum in a form, which presupposes its meaningful learning and remembering, then up-to-dateness, overall software attractiveness for students, options of editing of intermediated content and compatibility of software with various operating systems and devices.

Regarding the item No. 1: Try to identify at least 5 most important features of educational software (electronic learning material), which you as a user would expect from this learning tool, 33.3% of respondents stated the features clarity and simplicity in the first position, other responses occurred in this position only once.

Taking into account all the selected features regardless of order, the most frequently reported features were clarity and simplicity, followed by graphical processing, design variety, then option of accessing the software through the internet, clearness expressed by illustrative examples, videos or pictures, software interactivity and finally its attractiveness for students-motivational function.

Item No. 2 revealed the similar findings: Try to identify at least 5 most important features of educational software (electronic learning material), which you as an education manager would expect from this learning tool (instructor, teacher, lecturer etc.).

The most important feature of the educational software stated by the respondents was clarity and simplicity. This was stated by 27.3% of respondents. Other features were listed as preferred only once.

In general, the most frequently occurring features were again clarity, simplicity and comprehensibility, then features related to free sharing and software financial affordability, then features like testing of students’ knowledge, intermediation of results of student tests in a structured form, meeting the requirements for graphical processing and design of presented information, focus on
essential content and forms of the curriculum, interme-
diation of curriculum in a form, which presupposes its
meaningful learning and remembering, then up-to-date-
ness, overall software attractiveness for students, options
of editing of intermediated content and compatibility of
software with various operating systems and devices.

Item No. 3: Try to identify at least 5 most important
technical features of educational software (electronic
learning material), which you as a PC classroom admin-
istrator (technician) would expect from this tool. This
item revealed the following results:

First features mentioned most frequently were re-
quirements for simplicity of control, start-up and installa-
tion; remaining responses were mentioned only once.

In general, the most frequently mentioned feature ex-
pected by IT specialists was simple administration of
users and their accounts, followed by requirements for
simplicity of control, installation and start-up of the
software, then its compatibility with various operating
systems and devices, easy upgrade, update, protection
against hackers, system security against the attacks from
the outside, minimalization of a need for service calls
and finally financial affordability.

A group of IT specialists considers a new educational
software as a well-arranged and user-friendly educational
tool, which is financially affordable for schools, teachers
as well as for students. They expect from the software to
be graphically interesting and attractive for students, with
motivational features and examples using various multi-
media tools. The software should be able to intermediate
the curriculum on the professional level in a form, which
would enable teachers to meet the educational objectives
and help students facilitate the meaningful process of
learning. It should be compatible with various operating
systems and multiple devices such as tablet, iPad, inter-
active table etc. PC classroom administrator should dis-
pose of simple user’s administration and easy software
upgrade with a minimum number of service calls. The
software should by stable with sufficient protection
against hackers.

5.2. Teachers Evaluation

A group of teachers involved in the research consisted of
teachers who are very well oriented in given field of
digital technologies and who are regularly using the
available technological solutions in their teaching prac-
tice.

Regarding the item No 1: Try to identify at least 5 most
important features of educational software (elec-
tronic learning material), which you as a user would ex-
pect from this learning tool, 36.4% of respondents chose
clarity and simplicity as a first feature, followed by Slo-
vak language mutation, comprehensibility (27.3%) and
finally completeness of content with multimedia ele-
ments (18.2%).

Taking into account all the mentioned features regard-
less of order, the most frequently reported features were
clarity and simplicity, followed by completeness of con-
tent with multimedia elements, interactivity, Slovak
language mutation, comprehensibility and graphical proc-
ressing, design variety. The specific frequencies of se-
lected features are stated in the Table 2.

Regarding the item No. 2: Try to identify at least 5 features
from item 1: Try to identify at least 5 most important features of educational software
(electronic learning material), which you as a user would expect from this learning tool.

| Feature                                      | Frequency | Frequency % |
|----------------------------------------------|-----------|-------------|
| clarity/simplicity/user friendly             | 9         | 81.8        |
| completeness of content with multimedia elements | 5         | 45.5        |
| interactivity                                | 5         | 45.5        |
| Slovak language mutation/comprehensibility   | 4         | 36.4        |
| graphical processing/design variety          | 4         | 36.4        |
| shareware/financial affordability            | 3         | 27.3        |
| clearness with illustrative examples, anima-
tions, pictures                            | 3         | 27.3        |
| quick searching of topics and informa-
tion/quick feedback                        | 3         | 27.3        |
| compatibility/universality for all OS       | 2         | 18.2        |
| factuality/simplicity of the text            | 2         | 18.2        |
| free/open                                    | 1         | 9.1         |
| localization                                 | 1         | 9.1         |
| suitability for students considering their age | 1         | 9.1         |
| simple and quick installation                | 1         | 9.1         |
| intuitiveness                                | 1         | 9.1         |
| up-to-dateness                               | 1         | 9.1         |
| intersubject relations/interconnection       | 1         | 9.1         |
| consistent preparation for practising/verifying of knowledge | 1 | 9.1 |
| perfection of data and information/proficiency | 1 | 9.1 |
| interestingly formulated text                | 1         | 9.1         |
| possibility to deal with multiple functions  | 1         | 9.1         |
| information about experiments                | 1         | 9.1         |
| **Total**                                    | **11**    | **100.0**   |
most important features of educational software (electronic learning material), which would help you in the management (organization) of the educational process; the respondents stated different first features and opinions on the intuitively most important feature differed.

In general, the most frequently occurring feature of the educational software was clarity, simplicity, comprehensibility and interactivity. These were followed by features like multimedia character, possibility to keep records of students work, appropriate graphical processing, design and cleanness with illustrative examples, animations and pictures. Furthermore, from high quality software the teachers expect that it will be a shareware program, financially affordable and it will provide several difficulty levels and function “Undo”.

Regarding the item No. 3: Try to identify at least 5 most important technical features of educational software (electronic learning material), including its formal processing, which you as a teacher would expect from this tool, the opinions of respondents on the feature mentioned as a first one, were for each respondent different.

The most frequently mentioned features were an interesting design of user interface and its attractive graphical processing. These were followed by up-to-dateness and compliance of content with curriculum and textbooks. Another features expected by teachers from the software are financial affordability, content completeness, simple control, start-up and installation. Segmentation of the text should be well-arranged with logical interconnection of related topics and use of interactive elements.

A group of innovative teachers, who are regularly using the digital technologies in their learning process focuses on the clarity and simplicity of educational software, not only on the control, but also on the start-up and orientation in it, logically interconnected topics with complete up-to-date content using the multimedia tools. The software should be graphically interesting with several difficulty levels and financially affordable for teachers as well as for students. The teacher should have the possibility to keep records of students work and interactively use all the existing electronic media formats, e.g. animations, pictures and videos. An important feature is Slovak language mutation of the software.

5.3. Users Evaluation

Participated users daily use the available digital technologies in their professional work and in this regard may be considered as sufficiently informed and experienced. Their opinions on the features of electronic educational aids are therefore considered to be qualified.

Regarding the item No. 1: Try to identify at least 5 most important features of educational software (electronic learning material), which you as a user would expect from this learning tool, 25% of respondents stated clarity and simplicity as a first feature, perfection of data and proficiency occurred less frequently (16.7%). Other responses occurred only once.

When evaluating all the mentioned features regardless of order, the most frequently reported features were clarity and simplicity as well as perfection of data and information and proficiency. These were followed by features like suitability for students considering their age, cleanness with illustrative examples, animations, pictures, interesting graphical processing, design variety, up-to-dateness and ability to activate a user. The specific frequencies of selected features are stated in the Table 3.

Other results were revealed by a question No. 2: Try to identify at least 5 most important features of presented content of educational software (electronic learning material), including its formal processing, which you as a user would expect from this learning tool. The respondents considered proficiency and quality to be the most important features in this case. This was stated by 33.3% of respondents.

In general, the most frequently occurring responses were proficiency and quality followed by focus on students and clearness with illustrative examples, animations and pictures. From an electronic learning tool the users expect multimedia character, clarity, simplicity, comprehensibility and meaningful content. Segmentation of the text, graphical processing and design of a tool should be appropriate for age of the student and should be focused on what it is supposed to teach.

Item No. 3: Try to identify at least 5 most important technical features of educational software (electronic learning material), which you as a user would expect from such product, revealed the following results:

First most frequently occurring response was simplicity of control, start-up and installation, together with perfect functionality and compatibility with various operating systems.

In general, the most frequently mentioned feature was simplicity of control, start-up and installation together with compatibility with various operating systems. Further features were undemanding requirement for hardware and possibility of creation of various outputs. The remaining responses were mentioned 2 times or only once.

A group of experts actively using the digital technologies would appreciate a perfect and professional educational software with simple control, start-up and installation, which offers—with regard to age of the student—illustrative examples with animations, pictures and videos, and which is graphically interesting and attractive, compatible with various operating systems, as well as with devices like tablet, iPad, interactive table etc. The users
Table 3. Responses of users to item 1: Try to identify at least 5 most important features of educational software (electronic learning material), which you as a user would expect from this learning tool.

| Feature                                           | Frequency | Frequency % |
|---------------------------------------------------|-----------|-------------|
| clarity/simplicity/user friendly                   | 6         | 50.0        |
| perfection of data and information/proficiency     | 6         | 50.0        |
| suitability for students considering their age      | 5         | 41.7        |
| clearness with illustrative examples, animations, pictures | 4         | 33.3        |
| graphical processing/design variety                | 4         | 33.3        |
| up-to-dateness                                     | 4         | 33.3        |
| ability to activate a user                         | 4         | 33.3        |
| free/open                                         | 3         | 25.0        |
| shareware/financial affordability                  | 3         | 25.0        |
| interactivity                                     | 3         | 25.0        |
| meets a learning objective/meaningfulness          | 2         | 16.7        |
| up-to-dateness                                    | 2         | 16.7        |
| motivational/attractive                            | 2         | 16.7        |
| Slovak language                                   | 1         | 8.3         |
| mutation/comprehensibility                         | 1         | 8.3         |
| completeness of content with multimedia elements   | 1         | 8.3         |
| factuality/simplicity of the text                  | 1         | 8.3         |
| intersubject relations/interconnection              | 1         | 8.3         |
| consistent preparation for practising/verifying of knowledge | 1         | 8.3         |
| occupies a small capacity                          | 1         | 8.3         |
| efficiency/help teachers better organize their work| 1         | 8.3         |
| possibility to access the software through the internet | 1         | 8.3         |
| possibility to combine it with another educational software | 1         | 8.3         |
| various difficulty levels                          | 1         | 8.3         |
| portable                                          | 1         | 8.3         |
| contains a number of tasks for practising           | 1         | 8.3         |
| constructive learning process                      | 1         | 8.3         |
| ecological                                         | 1         | 8.3         |
| possibility to create the simple outputs            | 1         | 8.3         |
| update options                                     | 1         | 8.3         |
| **Total**                                         | **12**    | **100.0**   |

also emphasize a well-arranged segmentation of the text, its meaningfulness as well as the possibility to create various kinds of outputs. According to their opinion, the software should not require a demanding hardware, so it will not be necessary to buy new devices and accessories for PC.

5.4. Results Summary

The item No. 1: Try to identify at least 5 most important features of educational software (electronic learning material), which you as a user would expect from this learning tool, was answered by all 3 groups—a total of 35 respondents.

The most important feature stated by respondents in the first position was clarity and simplicity. This was mentioned by 31.4% of respondents.

Taking into account all the mentioned features regardless of order, the most frequently reported features were clarity and simplicity, followed by graphical processing, design variety, interactivity, clearness with illustrative examples, videos and pictures, perfection of presented data and information, proficiency and completeness of content with multimedia elements. The specific frequencies of selected features are stated in the Table 4.

Item No. 2: Try to identify at least 5 most important features of educational software (electronic learning material), which you as an education manager would expect from this learning tool (instructor, teacher, lecturer etc.), or which would help you in the management of the educational process, was formulated identically for the group of IT specialists and teachers. This group consisted of 23 respondents.

According to the respondents, the most important feature is clarity and simplicity. It was stated by 14.3% of respondents.

In general, the most frequently occurring features were clarity, simplicity and comprehensibility. These were followed by interactivity, requirement for a free sharing and financial affordability of the software, high-quality graphical processing, design and possibility to keep records of students work.

Item No. 3: Try to identify at least 5 most important technical features of educational software (electronic learning material), which you would expect from this tool, was identical for the group of IT specialists and users. This group consisted of 24 experts.

First most frequently mentioned responses were simplicity of control, start-up and installation, which was started by 27.3% of respondents.

In general, the most frequently mentioned features were simplicity of control, start-up and installation and compatibility with various operating systems and devices, followed by simple users’ administration, undemanding
Table 4. Responses of respondents to item 1: Try to identify at least 5 most important features of educational software (electronic learning material), which you as a user would expect from this learning tool.

| Features                                                                 | Frequency | Frequency % |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|-------------|
| clarity/simplicity/user friendly                                       | 24        | 68.6        |
| graphical processing/design variety                                    | 14        | 40.0        |
| interactivity                                                          | 11        | 31.4        |
| clearness with illustrative examples, animations, pictures             | 10        | 28.6        |
| perfection of data and information/proficiency                         | 9         | 25.7        |
| completeness of content with multimedia elements                       | 8         | 22.9        |
| Slovak language mutation/comprehensibility                             | 6         | 17.1        |
| shareware/financial affordability                                       | 6         | 17.1        |
| suitability for students considering their age                          | 6         | 17.1        |
| possibility to access the software through the internet                | 6         | 17.1        |
| free/open                                                              | 5         | 14.3        |
| factuality/simplicity of the text                                      | 5         | 14.3        |
| up-to-dateness                                                         | 5         | 14.3        |
| motivational/attractive                                                | 5         | 14.3        |
| quick searching of topics and information/quick feedback               | 4         | 11.4        |
| compatibility/universality for all OS                                  | 4         | 11.4        |
| meets a learning objective/meaningfulness                              | 4         | 11.4        |
| ability to activate a user                                             | 4         | 11.4        |
| intuitiveness                                                          | 3         | 8.6         |
| intersubject relations/interconnection                                 | 3         | 8.6         |
| up-to-dateness                                                         | 3         | 8.6         |
| contains a number of tasks for practising                              | 3         | 8.6         |
| simple and quick installation                                          | 2         | 5.7         |
| consistent preparation for practising/verifying of knowledge           | 2         | 5.7         |
| interestingly formulated text                                           | 2         | 5.7         |
| possibility to deal with multiple functions                             | 2         | 5.7         |
| possibility to create the simple outputs                               | 2         | 5.7         |
| Undo function                                                          | 2         | 5.7         |
| ergonomics                                                             | 2         | 5.7         |
| enables further work with information                                  | 2         | 5.7         |
| localization                                                           | 1         | 2.9         |
| information about experiments                                          | 1         | 2.9         |
| occupies a small capacity                                              | 1         | 2.9         |
| efficiency/help teachers better organize their work                    | 1         | 2.9         |
| possibility to combine it with another educational software            | 1         | 2.9         |
| various difficulty levels                                              | 1         | 2.9         |
| portable                                                               | 1         | 2.9         |
| constructive learning process                                          | 1         | 2.9         |
| ecological                                                             | 1         | 2.9         |
| update options                                                         | 1         | 2.9         |
| contains the interactive tasks                                         | 1         | 2.9         |
| possibility to download the tasks                                      | 1         | 2.9         |
| didactically consistent                                                | 1         | 2.9         |
| Total                                                                  | 35        | 100.0       |
requirement for hardware, protection against hackers and overall product security.

In regard to individual participated groups of respondents, we found out a significant similarity in evaluating the importance of features of educational software or educational learning tools. IT specialists, teachers and qualified IT users consider the basic and most important features of these tools to be simplicity and clarity in installation, start-up and orientation, then interesting and attractive graphical interface containing the elaborated technical and visual elements, e.g. animations, videos and pictures, compatibility with various operating systems and devices like tablet, iPad, etc. The respondents also think that the educational software should be financially affordable for schools, teachers and students. It should be complex in terms of the content, and should also meet the professional criteria. When we are talking about individual items, each of the groups stated something special, what should not be missing from its point of view. However, in all the groups and all the items these features were almost identical.

6. Conclusion

The introduction of standardized evaluation tools, which are able to competently evaluate the quality of educational software and electronic learning materials, is complicated because of a number of factors. One of the most important factors is the determination of appropriate, expected or directly required features, which are crucial for the classification of software product as a recommended and high quality learning tool. The research realized among respondents—specialists revealed relatively clear results. A high level of respondents’ agreement defined the simplicity and clarity in installation, start-up and orientation as most important features of educationally focused software products. Other important features were represented by interactivity, multimedia content, various forms of intermediation of information, e.g. texts, pictures, videos, animations, technical and financial affordability for users, etc. The research also confirmed that the extent of expected software features depended on its content, focus and purpose, for which it was intentionally created.
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