Differences in sperm protein abundance and carbonylation level in bull ejaculates of low and high quality
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Abstract

In breeding and insemination centres, significant variation in bull ejaculate quality is often observed between individuals and also within the same individual. Low-quality semen does not qualify for cryopreservation and is rejected, generating economic loss. The mechanisms underlying the formation of low-quality ejaculates are poorly understood; therefore, the aim of the present study was to investigate the proteomic differences and oxidative modifications (measured as changes in protein carbonylation level) of bull ejaculates of low and high quality. Flow cytometry and computer-assisted sperm analysis were used to assess differences in viability, reactive oxygen species (ROS) level, and sperm motility. To analyse changes in protein abundance, two-dimensional difference gel electrophoresis (2D-DIGE) was performed. Western blotting in conjunction with two-dimensional electrophoresis (2D-oxyblot) was used to quantitate carbonylated sperm proteins. Proteins were identified using matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionisation time-of-flight/time-of-flight spectrometry. High quality ejaculates were characterised by higher sperm motility, viability, concentration, and a lower number of ROS-positive cells (ROS+). We found significant differences in the protein profile between high- and low-quality ejaculates, and identified 14 protein spots corresponding to 10 proteins with differences in abundance. The identified sperm proteins were mainly associated with energetic metabolism, capacitation, fertilisation, motility, and cellular detoxification. High-quality ejaculates were characterised by a high abundance of extracellular sperm surface proteins, likely due to more efficient secretion from accessory sex glands and/or epididymis, and a low abundance of intracellular proteins. Our results show that sperm proteins in low-quality ejaculates are characterised by a high carbonylation level. Moreover, we identified, for the first time, 14 protein spots corresponding to 12 proteins with differences in carbonylation level between low- and high-quality ejaculates. The carbonylated proteins were localised mainly in mitochondria or their immediate surroundings. Oxidative damage to proteins in low-quality semen may be associated with phosphorylation/dephosphorylation disturbances, mitochondrial dysfunction, and motility apparatus disorders. Our results contribute to research regarding the mechanism by which low- and high-quality ejaculates...
are formed and to the identification of sperm proteins that are particularly sensitive to oxidative damage.

Introduction

The success of bovine artificial insemination programs largely depends on the use of high-quality semen that allows the efficient reproductive genetic selection of cattle [1]; however, variability in the quality of bull ejaculates in breeding and insemination centres is often observed [2,3]. The quality of ejaculates from the same bull may vary significantly in terms of sperm concentration, motility, and viability [4], and differences in the motility and content of particular sperm proteins can also be found between sperm populations within the same ejaculate [5]. Low-quality ejaculates that do not fulfill the quality criteria (concentration of at least $1 \times 10^9$ sperm/mL and a sperm motility of at least 70%) are disqualified from cryopreservation, which generates economic loss.

Several factors affect ejaculate quality, including breed, age, management factors, body condition, and environmental stresses [4]; however, the mechanisms underlying the formation of low-quality ejaculates are poorly understood. High-throughput techniques such as transcriptomics [6], proteomics [7], and metabolomics [8] provide insight into the molecular mechanisms underlying bull sperm physiology, with reference mainly to differences in bull fertility. Among these molecular levels, proteins appear to be the main effectors of cell functioning [9].

The dynamic development of proteomic techniques has allowed the description of numerous proteins of bull seminal plasma [10,11] and reproductive tract secretions [12,13], in addition to the identification of a number of sperm fertility-related protein markers [14]. Two-dimensional difference gel electrophoresis (2D-DIGE) is a particularly useful technique for use in quantitative approaches, allowing the separation of proteins in different samples on the same gel and eliminating gel-to-gel variability [15]. Recent advances in sperm proteomics, including the use of 2D-DIGE, have enabled the analysis of complex proteomes, which has led to a more comprehensive view of the molecular changes associated with bull sperm maturation [16], cryopreservation [17], and fertility [18]. The use of an advanced proteomic technique such as 2D-DIGE holds promise for the elucidation of the association between the sperm protein profile and the formation of ejaculates of different quality.

OxiProteomics is an innovative proteomics branch specialising in the detection of oxidatively modified proteins. It is well-known that sperm proteins undergo redox modifications that mediate signaling pathways important for sperm physiology. Some oxidative modifications of sperm proteins, including oxidation of thiol groups, are needed to ensure sperm motility and capacitation [19]; however, when reactive oxygen species (ROS) are produced at high concentrations, the established oxidative stress promotes pathological changes to sperm proteins, resulting in decreased semen quality [20]. It has been previously shown that the total protein carbonylation level is elevated in sperm showing decreased quality [21] and fertilizing potential [22]; however, to the best of our knowledge, carbonylated proteins have not yet been identified in relation to fresh bull semen. Therefore, studies investigating the oxidative modifications of bull sperm proteins, including carbonylation, in relation to the quality of ejaculates are required.

Considering the above, the aim of the present study was to characterise the proteomic differences between low- and high-quality bull ejaculates, and to identify sperm proteins that are particularly sensitive to oxidative damage in relation to sperm motility, viability, and the
number of ROS-positive cells (ROS$^+$). Such information is a prerequisite to improving the understanding of the molecular mechanisms underlying the differences in the quality of bull ejaculates.

**Materials and methods**

**Collection of ejaculates and selection criteria for semen quality**

Ejaculates were collected on 13th January 2017 from mature Holstein Friesian bulls maintained at SHiUZ (Animal Breeding and Insemination Centre) in Olecko, Poland (54°2'N 22°30'E), using an artificial vagina. The daily feed for each bull consisted of: hay or silage – 8 kg; 18% protein concentrate – 2.5 kg; oats – 1 kg; barley – 0.5 kg; and mineral mix – 100 g. All bulls used in the present study were in good health, as controlled by a veterinary andrologist. Evaluation of bull ejaculate quality was based on sperm motility, concentration, viability, and ROS$^+$ content.

Among the 19 ejaculates collected by the SHiUZ staff, 12 ejaculates exhibiting opposite quality values were selected; six ejaculates characterised by significantly higher sperm motility ($p < 0.00001$), viability ($p < 0.05$), concentration ($p < 0.0001$), and a lower ROS level ($p < 0.05$) (high-quality ejaculates, HQ) as compared with six ejaculates characterised by lower sperm motility, viability, concentration, and a higher ROS level (low-quality ejaculates, LQ) (Table 1; Fig 1A–1D). No significant differences were found in the movement trajectory between HQ and LQ ejaculates with respect to the following parameters: VCL–curvilinear velocity; VAP–average path velocity; VSL–straight line velocity; BCF–beat cross frequency; or LIN–linearity (S1 Fig).

**Sperm motility analysis**

The sperm motility parameters of the ejaculates were measured using computer-assisted sperm analysis (CASA) as described previously [22]. Video recordings of motile sperm were captured using a microscope with a 4 x negative phase objective and a Sony CCD black-and-white video camera (SPTM108CE). MicroCell 50-μm-deep, two-chamber slides (Conception Technologies, San Diego, USA) were mounted on a heated stage (37°C). Semen samples were diluted in BIOXcell extender (IMV Technologies, L’aigle, France) at a ratio of 1:40 prior to motility measurements. Sperm motility parameters were analysed using a Hobson Sperm Tracker (Hobson Vision Ltd., Baslow, UK) as described previously [23]. Each sample was analysed in duplicate. For further analysis, the percentage of total motile sperm was assessed, in addition to the movement trajectory parameters: VSL, VCL, ALH, VAP, and LIN.

**Flow cytometry analyses of viability and oxidative stress**

Flow cytometry analyses of the ejaculates (n = 6 in each group) were performed using the Muse Cell Analyser (EMD Millipore, Billerica, USA) as described previously [23]. Viability

| Measured parameter | Low-quality ejaculates (n = 6) | High-quality ejaculates (n = 6) |
|--------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Concentration [×10$^8$ spermatoza/mL] | 0.87 (±0.03)$^{***}$ | 2.084 (±0.27) |
| Motility [%] | 51.92 (±9.54)$^{***}$ | 77.33 (±7.60) |
| Viability [%] | 61.17 (±11.39)$^*$ | 76.68 (±8.22) |
| ROS$^+$ cells [%] | 43.75 (±11.33)$^*$ | 29.62 (±9.63) |

*p < 0.05  
***p < 0.00001  

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0206150.t001
and sperm concentration were assessed using Muse Count and Viability Reagent (EMD Millipore). A Muse 7-aminoactinomycin D-based DNA-binding dye that stains cells that have lost membrane integrity, allowing the dye to stain the nucleus of dead and dying cells, was used. This parameter was displayed as viability and was used to discriminate between viable (live cells that do not stain) and non-viable (dead or dying cells that stain). The analyses were performed according to the manufacturer’s protocol with evaluation of 1000 events. Briefly, semen was diluted in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) to obtain concentrations in the range of $1 \times 10^5$ to $1 \times 10^7$ sperm/mL. Subsequently, 20 $\mu$L each semen suspension was mixed with 380 $\mu$L Muse Count and Viability Reagent and incubated for 5 min at room temperature prior to performing Count and Viability Assay.

The ROS levels of HQ and LQ bull ejaculates were measured using a Muse Oxidative Stress Kit (EMD Millipore) based on dihydroethidium, that allows the determination of the count and percentage of cells undergoing oxidative stress according to the intracellular detection of superoxide radicals. The procedure was performed in accordance with the manufacturer’s recommendations with evaluation of 3000 events. Briefly, semen was diluted in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) to obtain concentrations in the range of $1 \times 10^6$ to $1 \times 10^7$ sperm/mL. Subsequently, 10 $\mu$L each semen suspension was mixed with 190 $\mu$L Muse Oxidative Stress working solution and incubated for 30 min (37˚C). To accurately determine the cut-off point between ROS-positive (ROS+) and ROS-negative (ROS-) samples, a positive control containing completely oxidised sperm cells was used. The positive control was prepared by incubating the sperm cells in the presence of 2 mM menadione (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, USA), a superoxide anion generator, for 1 h at 37˚C.

2D-DIGE

To obtain sperm protein extracts, 0.5 mL fresh bull semen samples, representing LQ and HQ ejaculates, were centrifuged at 700 $\times$ g for 30 min at 4°C to separate sperm cells. The pellets
were resuspended in 105 μL lysis buffer containing 7 M urea, 2 M thiourea, 2% 3-[(3-cholamido-propyl)-dimethylammonio]-1-propanesulfonate (CHAPS), 2% [v/v] immobilised pH gradient (IPG) buffer 3–10 NL, 50 mM dithiothreitol (DTT), and 0.5% (v/v) protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma-Aldrich). The samples were sonicated on ice six times for 5 s at 30% amplitude, and subsequently centrifuged at 10000 × g for 60 min at 4˚C. Protein concentration was measured using the Bradford assay (Coomassie Plus Assay Reagent, Thermo Fisher Scientific).

Protein extracts were used to perform proteomic analyses. Fluorescence labelling of samples with CyDyes and the 2D-DIGE procedure were carried out according to previously described methodology [16]. Briefly, an internal standard was created by mixing equal amounts of proteins from all samples. Sperm protein samples, along with the internal standard, were labelled with Cy2, Cy3, and Cy5 DIGE Fluor Minimal Dyes (GE Healthcare, Uppsala, Sweden). Samples were loaded onto 24-cm Immobiline DryStrips, with a 3–10 nonlinear gradient pH range (GE Healthcare), and rehydrated for 12 h. Afterwards, the proteins were separated by isoelectric focusing using an Ettan IPGphor apparatus (GE Healthcare). Subsequently, isoelectric focusing strips were equilibrated and transferred to precast 2D-DIGE Ettan DALT Gels (GE Healthcare) as described previously [17]. The obtained gels were scanned using a Typhoon 9500 FLA scanner (GE Healthcare) and analysed using the DeCyder Differential In Gel Analysis software version 5.02 (GE Healthcare) to identify differences in the fluorescence intensities of the spots from LQ and HQ ejaculates. The gels were then stained with colloidal Coomassie Brilliant Blue (CBB) G-250, and spots were manually cut out from the gels for mass spectrometry identification.

**Detection of oxidised proteins**

Sperm protein extracts, containing 150 μg protein, were obtained from LQ and HQ ejaculates (as described in the previous paragraph) and derivatised with a four-fold volume of 2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazine (DNPH) solution or derivatisation-control solution (Oxyblot Protein Oxidation Detection Kit, EMD Millipore). The protein samples were purified using a Clean-Up Kit (GE Healthcare) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The final pellet was dissolved in rehydration buffer (7 M urea, 2 M thiourea, 2% CHAPS, 2% [v/v] IPG buffer 3–10 NL, 50 mM DTT, and bromophenol blue). Insoluble components were removed by centrifugation at 10000 × g for 5 min. Protein concentration was measured using the Bradford assay (Coomassie Plus Assay Reagent, Thermo Fisher Scientific).

Samples containing 100 μg protein were loaded onto 7-cm Immobiline DryStrips, with a 3–10 nonlinear pH range (GE Healthcare), and rehydrated for 12 h. Proteins were separated by isoelectric focusing using an Ettan IPGphor apparatus (GE Healthcare) at 20˚C, with the current limited to 50 μA per strip and the voltage program as described previously [23]. The IPG strips underwent equilibration and electrophoretic separation performed on 12.5% sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gels as described previously [23]. The control gels treated earlier with derivatisation-control solution were stained with CBB and scanned at 300 dpi using an Image-Scanner III (GE Healthcare).

The gels containing DNPH-derivatised proteins were transferred to polyvinylidene fluoride membrane (15 V/gel for 2 h) and subsequently blocked for 1 h with 1% bovine serum albumin in PBS-T buffer (PBS with 0.5% [v/v] Tween-20). Oxyblots were incubated with a rabbit anti-dinitrophenyl (DNP) primary antibody (1:150) overnight at 4˚C (Oxyblot™ Protein Oxidation Detection Kit, EMD Millipore). Membranes were washed three times with PBS-T buffer and incubated for 1 h with an anti-rabbit IgG horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated secondary antibody diluted 1:300 (Oxyblot™ Protein Oxidation Detection Kit, EMD Millipore), and developed as described previously [23].
Analysis of two-dimensional gel images and oxyblots

Comparative analysis of gels and blots of LQ and HQ ejaculates was performed using the SameSpots software (TotalLab, Newcastle, UK) as described previously [24]. Spot intensities were automatically normalised to the total density of spots on the corresponding gel or blot to correct for slight differences in staining and/or loading. Normalised protein spots that showed changes ($p < 0.05$) in carbonylation level on oxyblots were subsequently matched with the corresponding proteins on gels to verify whether there were quantitative changes at the protein level. Only the spots that showed changes on oxyblots and did not show intensity changes on gel replicates were considered as changed carbonylation levels. The spots showing differences in protein carbonylation between LQ and HQ ejaculates were manually cut from the gel and subsequently subjected to digestion and protein identification using mass spectrometry.

Protein digestion and MALDI-TOF/TOF protein identification

Gel plugs were washed, destained, and digested as described previously [24]. Briefly, the protein spots were digested overnight at 37˚C using a trypsin solution (Promega, Madison, USA). The peptide mixtures were desalted using ZipTip C-18 RP tips (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA). Peptide samples were analysed using matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionisation time-of-flight/time-of-flight spectrometry (MALDI-TOF/TOF) on an Autoflex mass spectrometer (Bruker Daltonics, Bremen, Germany). The MS spectra, together with the MS/MS spectra, were searched using the Mascot Server (Matrix Science, London, UK) of the National Centre for Biotechnology Information *Bos Taurus* database, with search parameters as described previously [24]. Matches considered statistically significant ($p < 0.05$) by Mascot, with at least two correctly identified parent ions, were regarded as correct hits.

Gene ontology analysis

Gene ontology (GO) annotation was used to determine the function and cellular localisation of the proteins in our dataset. The GI numbers of the identified proteins that showed differences in protein abundance and carbonylation were matched to the UniProtKB database to obtain GO annotation using the categories “molecular function” and “cellular component”. With respect to proteins for which the functions and locations were specific for sperm cells, literature references are given.

Statistical analysis

The statistical analyses of sperm motility, viability, concentration, and ROS$^+$ cells in LQ and HQ ejaculates were performed using a Student’s $t$-test at a significance level of 0.05 in the GraphPad Prism software (GraphPad Software Inc., San Diego, USA), as described previously [24]. Statistical analysis of the changes in protein abundance was performed using the Biological Variance Module of the DeCyder Differential In Gel Analysis software version 5.02 (GE Healthcare) in six biological replicates (individual ejaculates). The data are expressed as log standardised abundance to ensure a normal distribution. Experimental groups were compared using a Student’s $t$-test. Changes in protein spot abundance were considered statistically significant at $p < 0.05$, with a fold change of $\pm 1.2$. Normalised spot intensities on oxyblots were compared between treatments using a Student’s $t$-test at a significance level of 0.05, using the SameSpots software as described previously [24].
Results

Identification of proteins showing changes in abundance

We isolated and identified 14 protein spots corresponding to 10 proteins in sperm extracts that showed a significant difference in abundance between LQ and HQ ejaculates ($p < 0.05$) (Fig 2; Table 2). Among the 14 identified protein spots, 11 showed an increased abundance in HQ ejaculates, including two proteoforms of binder of sperm protein 1 (BSP1), binder of sperm protein 5 (BSP5), glutathione S-transferase mu 1 (GST), and a single proteoform of spermadhesin 1 (SPADH 1), spermadhesin Z13 (SPADH 13), superoxide dismutase (SOD), adenylate kinase isoenzyme 1 (AK 1), and mitochondrial succinyl-CoA ligase subunit beta (SUCB). Conversely, three protein spots showed an increased abundance in LQ ejaculates, including two proteoforms of mitochondrial cytochrome b-c1 complex (UCRI) and a single proteoform of L-lactate dehydrogenase C isoform (LDHC).

GO analysis of differentially abundant proteins in LQ and HQ ejaculates

The sperm proteins that showed differences in abundance between LQ and HQ ejaculates are involved in energetic metabolism (five protein spots), capacitation (four protein spots), cellular detoxification (three protein spots), and fertilisation (two protein spots) (Table 2). The identified proteins were observed as extracellular sperm surface proteins, plasma membrane
proteins, extracellular fluid proteins (eight protein spots), and intracellular proteins in the mitochondria, cytoskeleton, and cytoplasm (six protein spots) (Table 2).

### Identification of carbonylated proteins

We identified 14 protein spots corresponding to 12 proteins that showed a significant difference in carbonylation level between LQ and HQ ejaculates ($p < 0.05$; Fig 3; Table 3). Of these 14 identified proteins, 11 showed increased carbonylation in LQ ejaculates, including two proteoforms of mitochondrial ATP synthase H$^+$-transporting F1 complex beta subunit (ATP 5B) and UCRI, and single proteoforms of serine/threonine-protein phosphatase PP1 gamma catalytic subunit (PP-1$\gamma$), outer dense fibre protein 2 (ODF2), platelet-activating factor acetylhydrolase precursor (PAFAH), GST, cAMP-dependent protein kinase (PKA), glycerol kinase 2 (GK2), and clusterin preproprotein (CLU). Conversely, three protein spots showed increased carbonylation in HQ ejaculates; 5'-nucleotidase (NT5), spermadhesin 1 (SPZ1), and metalloproteinase inhibitor 2 (TIMP 2).

**Table 2. Proteins exhibiting significant differences in abundance in LQ ejaculates as compared with HQ ejaculates ($n = 6$ in each group) ($p < 0.05$).** Up-arrows indicate protein spots with increased abundance in HQ ejaculates, while down-arrows indicate protein spots with increased abundance in LQ ejaculates.

| Spot no. | Protein name | GI number | Sequence coverage(%) | Protein score | Ionscore > 30 | Function in sperm cells | Cellular/localisation | Ratio |
|----------|--------------|-----------|----------------------|---------------|---------------|-------------------------|----------------------|-------|
| 1↑       | Spermadhesin 1 | 157833803 | 81                   | 123           | 2             | Fertilisation           | Cell surface (seminal plasma protein) | -4.2  |
| 4↑       | Spermadhesin Z13 | 126158907 | 53                   | 389           | 3             | Fertilisation           | Cell surface (seminal plasma protein) | -2.8  |
| 2↑       | Binder of sperm protein 1 | 20663780 | 52                   | 349           | 2             | Capacitation            | Cell surface (seminal plasma protein) | -2.1  |
| 3↑       | Binder of sperm protein 1 | 20663780 | 21                   | 148           | 1             | Capacitation            | Cell surface (seminal plasma protein) | -2.0  |
| 9↑       | Binder of sperm protein 5 | 28849953 | 19                   | 229           | 3             | Capacitation            | Cell surface (seminal plasma protein) | -4.0  |
| 10↑      | Binder of sperm protein 5 | 28849953 | 24                   | 148           | 2             | Capacitation            | Cell surface (seminal plasma protein) | -3.4  |
| 12↑      | Cytochrome b-c1 complex, mitochondrial | 3891848 | 54                   | 281           | 2             | Energetic metabolism   | Mitochondria | 1.2  |
| 13↑      | Cytochrome b-c1 complex, mitochondrial | 3891848 | 43                   | 324           | 4             | Energetic metabolism   | Mitochondria | 1.2  |
| 8↑       | Adenylate kinase isoenzyme 1 | 61888850 | 68                   | 207           | 2             | Energetic metabolism   | Cytoskeleton | -4.6  |
| 11↑      | Succinyl-CoA ligase subunit beta, mitochondrial | 77736229 | 36                   | 276           | 2             | Energetic metabolism   | Mitochondria | -1.2  |
| 14↑      | L-lactate dehydrogenase C isoform 1 | 296471858 | 61                   | 278           | 2             | Energetic metabolism   | Mitochondria | 1.3  |
| 5↑       | Superoxide dismutase | 88853816 | 39                   | 240           | 2             | Cellular detoxification | Mitochondria | -6.3  |
| 6↑       | Glutathione S-transfer mu 1 | 114053087 | 80                   | 723           | 7             | Cellular detoxification | Plasma membrane | -5.5  |
| 7↑       | Glutathione S-transfer mu 1 | 114053087 | 40                   | 343           | 3             | Cellular detoxification | Fertilisation | -6.1  |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0206150.t002
Functional analysis showed that spermatozoa proteins exhibiting differences in carbonylation level between LQ and HQ ejaculates are involved in sperm motility (five protein spots), energetic metabolism (four protein spots), fertilisation (two protein spots), lipid metabolism (one protein spot), metal ion binding (one protein spot), and chaperoning (one protein spot) (Table 3). The identified proteins were observed to be plasma membrane and cell surface proteins (seven protein spots) and intracellular proteins in the mitochondria and cytoskeleton (seven protein spots) (Table 3).

Discussion

HQ bull ejaculates were characterised by a higher sperm concentration, motility, and viability, and a lower number of ROS+ cells. Sperm obtained from HQ ejaculates displayed a different proteomic pattern to those obtained from LQ ejaculates. Nine proteins showed a higher abundance in HQ ejaculates, and four proteins showed a higher abundance in LQ ejaculates. LQ ejaculates exhibited a higher carbonylation level of nine proteins, and three proteins showed an increased carbonylation level in HQ ejaculates.

Our results indicate that HQ ejaculates are characterised by a higher abundance of extracellular sperm surface proteins (BSP1, BSP5, SPADH1, and SPADH Z13), that bind to sperm following ejaculation [11,25]. There may be two possible reasons for an increased abundance of extracellular proteins: more efficient secretion of these proteins from accessory sex glands and/or epididymis or a greater binding capacity of the proteins to sperm phospholipids. Considering that HQ ejaculates showed a significantly higher concentration of seminal plasma proteins (S2 Fig), more efficient secretion of extracellular proteins from accessory sex glands and/or epididymis appears to be the main cause of the increased extracellular protein abundance, which of course needs to be confirmed in future studies. The extracellular proteins identified in our study (BSP and SPADH) have been previously reported as indicators of bull fertility [7,26]. These proteins are adsorbed on the sperm surface and play an important role in capacitation, formation of the oviductal sperm reservoir, and interaction with the zona pellucida [27,28]. These results strongly suggest that the production of HQ ejaculates is related to...
intensive secretion of proteins from accessory sex glands and/or epididymis and their efficient binding to the sperm surface, which enables capacitation, formation of the sperm reservoir, and proper gamete recognition.

Our results show that LQ ejaculates are characterised by a higher abundance of intracellular proteins such as UCRI and LDHC, which are localised mainly in the mitochondria and fibrous sheath. Since sperm are transcriptionally inactive, a higher abundance of intracellular proteins is likely a result of the formation of new proteoforms due to post-translational modifications (PTMs) [29]. Alteration in the pattern of PTMs depends mainly on the nature of the modifying substances, such as metabolites and free radicals. The increased ROS content recorded in LQ ejaculates can lead to oxidative protein modifications, such as sulfenylation, sulfinylation, sulfonfylation, S-nitrosylation, and carbonylation, and accumulation of oxidatively damaged proteoforms [30]. Our results show that the intracellular protein, UCRI, accumulated in LQ ejaculates and was also carbonylated (Table 3). Since protein carbonylation is a hallmark of oxidative stress, it is possible that another oxidative modifications of proteins may accompany

| Spot no. | Protein name/GI number | Sequence coverage(%) | Protein score | Ionscore > 30 | Function in sperm cells | Cellularlocalisation | Ratio |
|----------|------------------------|----------------------|---------------|---------------|-------------------------|---------------------|-------|
| 717 ↓    | Serine/threonine-protein phosphatase PP1-gamma catalytic subunit, partial | 946618993 | 31 | 331 | 3 | Motility | Mitochondria | 1.8 |
| 1166 ↓   | Outer dense fibre protein 2 | 296482070 | 33 | 346 | 4 | Motility | Cytoskeleton | 1.3 |
| 1183 ↓   | Platelet-activating factor acetylhydrolase precursor | 99028959 | 41 | 431 | 4 | Motility | Cell surface (seminal plasma protein) | 1.2 |
| 1148 ↓   | cAMP-dependent protein kinase | 115496662 | 36 | 318 | 2 | Motility | Mitochondria | 2.2 |
| 1169 ↑   | S'-nucleotidase | 296482292 | 42 | 242 | 2 | Motility | Cell surface (seminal plasma protein) | -1.7 |
| 1024 ↓   | Glutathione S-transferase mu 3 | 114053087 | 74 | 313 | 2 | Fertilisation | Plasma membrane | 1.9 |
| 1141 ↓   | Mitochondrial ATP synthase, H' transporting F1 complex beta subunit, partial | 927129815 | 55 | 495 | 5 | Energetic metabolism | Mitochondria | 1.3 |
| 1178 ↓   | Mitochondrial ATP synthase, H' transporting F1 complex beta subunit, partial | 89574051 | 57 | 417 | 5 | Energetic metabolism | Mitochondria | 1.2 |
| 1153 ↓   | Mitochondrial cytochrome Bc1 | 37926997 | 46 | 377 | 3 | Energetic metabolism | Mitochondria | 1.5 |
| 1160 ↓   | Mitochondrial cytochrome Bc1 | 37926997 | 45 | 293 | 3 | Energetic metabolism | Mitochondria | 1.5 |
| 1174 ↓   | Glycerol kinase 2 | 375065854 | 20 | 168 | 2 | Lipid metabolism | Mitochondria | 1.4 |
| 269 ↑    | Spermadhesin 1 | 296488313 | 28 | 434 | 6 | Fertilisation | Cell surface (seminal plasma protein) | -2.1 |
| 1138 ↓   | Metalloproteinase inhibitor 2 | 676282127 | 32 | 289 | 3 | Metal ion binding | Cell surface (seminal plasma protein) | -3 |
| 802 ↓    | Clusterin preproprotein | 27806907 | 27 | 225 | 2 | Chaperone | Cell surface (seminal plasma protein) | 2.1 |

Table 3. Proteins exhibiting significant differences in protein carbonylation in LQ ejaculates as compared with LQ ejaculates (n = 6 in each group) (p < 0.05). Up-arrows indicate protein spots with increased carbonylation in HQ ejaculates, while down-arrows indicate protein spots with increased carbonylation in LQ ejaculates.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0206150.t003
the production of LQ ejaculates. In our opinion, the increased abundance of intracellular protein spots in LQ ejaculates may be associated with the accumulation of proteoforms that have lost activity [31], potentially leading to infertility and several sperm abnormalities [32,33].

Phosphorylation/dephosphorylation processes are likely the most important post-translational features of mature mammalian sperm, crucial for motility and the capacitation process [34]. In our opinion, an increased carbonylation of the kinases and phosphatases, PKA, PP-1γ, and GK2, observed in LQ ejaculates, may lead to abnormal phosphorylation/dephosphorylation of sperm proteins. GK2 and PP-1γ are key proteins involved in energetic metabolism and the regulation of sperm motility [35,36]. However, among the identified proteins, PKA is the major player responsible for the activation of sperm tyrosine kinases (with serine and threonine residues) to trigger a cascade of protein phosphorylation involved in sperm motility and capacitation. PKA induces phosphorylation of axonemal dynein, leading to the consumption of ATP, and thus, increases in pH. During capacitation, the PKA regulatory subunit binds to the AKAP proteins, promoting an increase in tyrosine phosphorylation of sperm proteins by the indirect activation of tyrosine kinases [37]. Sperm with a deficiency in tyrosine phosphorylation do not capacitate properly, leading to male infertility. In view of this knowledge, our results suggest that disorders of sperm protein phosphorylation caused by oxidative damage may be an important cause of LQ ejaculates. Therefore, future research should address the detection of disturbances in protein phosphorylation in LQ ejaculates combined with oxidative damage detection of sperm kinases and phosphatases.

Our results show that LQ semen was characterised by an elevated ROS level accompanied by a higher content of carbonylated proteins. In mammalian sperm, ROS are normally generated at low levels by the mitochondria and plasma membrane to perform physiological processes such as capacitation and acrosome reaction [38]; however, an excessive amount of ROS can cause oxidative damage of macromolecules, including proteins. The reasons for the excessive amount of ROS in sperm cells may be both an intensive ROS production and a decrease in the activity of mitochondrial-linked mechanisms involving the elimination and destruction of ROS [39]. The latter suggestion is supported by our results indicating carbonylation of GST in LQ ejaculates, which may lead to disturbances in ROS elimination mechanisms.

Our results show that almost all proteins exhibiting higher carbonylation levels in LQ semen were localised intracellularly, mainly in the inner (UCRI, ATP 5B) and outer (GK2, PP-1γ, PKA) mitochondrial membrane or in the immediate surroundings of mitochondria (ODF2). UCRI and ATP 5B are involved in electron transfer and proton gradient formation, respectively. In turn, PKA, GK2, and PP-1γ are testis-specific isoforms of enzymes involved in sperm motility and flagella development [35,36,40]. Moreover, ODF 2 is a component of the outer dense fibres of the main cytoskeletal structure of the sperm tail, and carbonylation of this protein was also observed in our previous study in cryopreserved bull semen [23]. Oxidative damage of the above proteins can lead to inefficient ATP production and impaired mitochondrial function and flagella morphology [35,41]. In conclusion, our results suggest that the production of LQ ejaculates is associated with elevated ROS levels, which cause the increased carbonylation of mitochondrial and mitochondria-adjacent cytoskeletal proteins, and eventually may affect mitochondrial and flagella function, which may explain the poor motility of sperm in LQ ejaculates. In addition to intracellular proteins, changes in protein carbonylation of surface proteins were also observed in both LQ and HQ ejaculates. This suggests that the above proteins or their proteoforms are particularly susceptible to oxidation. Carbonylation of GST and CLU, which play a protective role in sperm cells, was increased in LQ ejaculates. CLUs are extracellular chaperones that prevent sperm cells from aggregating and precipitating under stress conditions. As reviewed by Dun et al., (2012) [42], sperm
Chaperones play key roles in controlling both the morphological transformation of germ cells during spermatogenesis and the post-testicular maturation of these cells as they transit the male and female reproductive tracts. Membrane-bound GST detoxifies sperm cells from electrophilic compounds and is responsible for gamete recognition [43]. The carbonylation of these proteins can result in reduced protective abilities, which can be related to poor plasma membrane integrity, as indicated by a lower viability than HQ ejaculates. It is known that in addition to mitochondria, ROS production also occurs via the sperm plasma membrane NADPH oxidase system [41]. It is possible that the plasma membrane ROS production contributes to carbonylation of proteins bound to the plasma membrane, such as CLU, GST, NT5, and SPZ1, that were identified in the present study. Further studies are necessary to identify the source of ROS involved in the carbonylation of surface proteins and to identify the mechanism responsible for the increased sensitivity of these proteins to oxidation.

In summary, our results suggest that the production of HQ ejaculates is related to efficient secretion of proteins from accessory sex glands and/or epididymis, including sperm surface-binding proteins. LQ ejaculates appear to accumulate specific (probably defective) proteoforms of intracellular proteins. Oxidation of sperm proteins appears to be related to their close localisation to ROS production sites (the mitochondria and plasma membrane). Oxidative damage to proteins in LQ semen may be associated with phosphorylation/dephosphorylation disturbances, mitochondrial dysfunction, and motility apparatus disorders. The proteins identified in the present study can be potential markers of semen quality and ejaculate suitability for cryopreservation. Further studies should be designed to identify protein differences within good quality samples and poor fertility results.
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S1 Fig. Motility parameters of LQ and HQ semen (n = 6 in each group). Results are expressed as the mean +SD. No significant differences in the movement trajectory were found between LQ and HQ semen. VCL – curvilinear velocity; VAP – average path velocity; VSL – straight line velocity; BCF – beat cross frequency; and LIN – linearity. (TIF)

S2 Fig. Seminal plasma protein concentrations in LQ and HQ ejaculates. Results are expressed as the mean +SD. Different letters indicate significant differences (p < 0.05). (TIF)

**Acknowledgments**

This work was supported by grants 2013/09/N/NZ9/01655 and 2013/09/B/NZ9/01752 from the National Science Centre. The authors wish to thank the director and personnel of the “SHiUZ” Animal Breeding and Insemination Centre in Olecko and Bydgoszcz, Poland (in particular, Grazyna Hołownia, Jerzy Stasiak, Wiesław Drewnowski, and Mariusz Wykrzykowski), for providing biological material. The authors wish to thank Ewa Liszewska for technical assistance with the CASA and flow cytometry analysis. The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

**Author Contributions**

**Conceptualization:** Andrzej Ciereszko.

**Formal analysis:** Agnieszka Mostek, Mariola Aleksandra Dietrich.
Investigation: Agnieszka Mostek, Błażej Westfalewicz, Mariola Słowińska, Mariola Aleksandra Dietrich, Sylwia Judycka.

Methodology: Agnieszka Mostek, Mariola Aleksandra Dietrich.

Writing – original draft: Agnieszka Mostek, Andrzej Ciereszko.

References

1. Oliveira LZ, de Arruda RP, de Andrade AFC, Celeghin i ECC, dos Santos RM, Beletti ME, et al. Assessment of field fertility and several in vitro sperm characteristics following the use of different Angus sires in a timed-AI program with suckled Nelore cows. Livest Sci 2012; 146:38–46. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.livsci.2012.02.018

2. Sudano MJ, Crespihlho AM, Fernandes CB, Junior AM, Papa FO, Rodrigues J, et al. Use of bayesian inference to correlate in vitro embryo production and in vivo fertility in zebu bulls. Vet Med Int 2011; 2011:436381. https://doi.org/10.4061/2011/436381 PMID: 21547211

3. Correa JR, Pace MM, Zavos PM. Relationships among frozen-thawed sperm characteristics assessed via the routine semen analysis, sperm functional tests and fertility of bulls in an artificial insemination program. Theriogenology 1997; 48:721–31. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0093-691X(97)00296-3 PMID: 16728166

4. Barth AD, Waldner CL. Factors affecting breeding soundness classification of beef bulls examined at the Western College of Veterinary Medicine. Can Vet J 2002; 43:274–84. PMID: 11963661

5. Netherton J, Hetherington L, Ogle RA, Velkov T, Baker M. Proteomics analysis of good and poor quality human sperm demonstrates several proteins are routinely aberrantly regulated. Biol Reprod 2018; 99:395–408. https://doi.org/10.1093/biolre/iox166 PMID: 29228106

6. Feugang JM, Rodriguez-Osorio N, Kaya A, Wang H, Page G, Ostermeier GC, et al. Transcriptome analysis of bull spermatozoa: Implications for male fertility. Reprod Biomed Online 2010; 21:312–24. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rbmo.2010.06.022 PMID: 20638337

7. Kumar P, Kumar D, Singh I, Yadav PS. Seminal Plasma Proteome: Promising Biomarkers for Bull Fertility. Agric Res 2012; 1:78–86. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40003-011-0006-2

8. Kaya A, Kroetsch T, Blondin P, Anzar M. Fertility-associated metabolites in bull seminal plasma and blood serum: 1H nuclear magnetic resonance analysis. Mol Reprod Dev 2015; 82:123–31. https://doi.org/10.1002/mrd.22450 PMID: 25640164

9. Jodar M, Soler-Ventura A, Oliva R. Semen proteomics and male infertility. J Proteomics 2017; 162:125–34. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jprot.2016.08.018 PMID: 27576136

10. Westfalewicz B, Dietrich MA, Mostek A, Partyka A, Bielas W, Niżankowski W, et al. Identification and functional analysis of bull (Bos taurus) cauda epididymal fluid proteome. J Dairy Sci 2017; 100:6707–19. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2016-12526 PMID: 28551182

11. Westfalewicz B, Dietrich MA, Mostek A, Partyka A, Bielas W, Niżankowski W, et al. Analysis of bull (Bos taurus) seminal vesicle fluid proteome in relation to seminal plasma proteome. J Dairy Sci 2017; 100:2282–98. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2016-11866 PMID: 28041731

12. Kelly VC, Kuy S, Palmer DJ, Xu Z, Davis SR, Cooper GJ. Characterization of bovine seminal plasma by proteomics. Proteomics 2006; 6:5826–33. https://doi.org/10.1002/pmic.200500830 PMID: 17001600

13. Moura AA, Koc H, Chapman DA, Killian GJ. Identification of proteins in the accessory sex gland fluid associated with fertility indexes of dairy bulls: A proteomic approach. Andrology 2006; 27:201–11. https://doi.org/10.2164/androl.050890 PMID: 16278371

14. Somashekar L, Selvaraju S, Parthipan S, Patil SK, Binsila BK, Venkataswamy MM, et al. Comparative sperm protein profiling in bulls differing in fertility and identification of phosphatidyethanolamine-binding protein 4, a potential fertility marker. Andrology 2017; 5:1032–51. https://doi.org/10.1111/andr.12404 PMID: 28859251

15. Nynca J, Dietrich MA, Ciereszko A. DIGE Analysis of Fish Tissues. Methods Mol Biol 2018; 1664:203–219. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-7288-5_16 PMID: 29019135

16. Tripathi UK, Aslam MKM, Pandey S, Nayak S, Chhillar S, Srinivasan A, et al. Differential proteomic profile of spermatogenic and Sertoli cells from peri-pubertal testes of three different bovine breeds. Front Cell Dev Biol 2014; 2: https://doi.org/10.3389/fcell.2014.00024 PMID: 25364731

17. Westfalewicz B, Dietrich MA, Ciereszko A. Impact of cryopreservation on bull (Bos taurus) semen proteome. J Anim Sci 2015; 93:3240–53. https://doi.org/10.2527/jas.2015-9237 PMID: 26641044

18. Kaya A, Memili E. Sperm macromolecules associated with bull fertility. Anim Reprod Sci 2016; 169:88–94. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anireprosci.2016.02.015 PMID: 26925809
Proteomic analysis of bull sperm of low and high quality

19. O’Flaherty C, Matsushita-Fournier D. Reactive oxygen species and protein modifications in spermatozoa†. Biol Reprod 2017. https://doi.org/10.1093/biolre/iox104 PMID: 29025014
20. Aitken RJ, Baker MA. Oxidative stress and male reproductive biology. Reprod Fertil Dev 2004;16:581–8. https://doi.org/10.1071/RD03089 PMID: 15367373
21. Shiva M, Gautam AK, Verma Y, Shivgova T, Doshi H, Kumar S. Association between sperm quality, oxidative stress, and seminal antioxidant activity. Clin Biochem 2011; 44:319–24. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinbiochem.2010.11.009 PMID: 21145315
22. Aydemir B, Onaran I, Ali T, Kiziler R, Alici B, Can Akyolcu M. The Influence of Oxidative Damage on Viscosity of Seminal Fluid in Infertile Men. J Androl 2008; 29:4146. https://doi.org/10.2164/jandrol.107.003046 PMID: 17633435
23. Mostek A, Dietrich MA, Slowińska M, Ciereszko A. Cryopreservation of bull semen is associated with carbonylation of sperm proteins. Theriogenology 2017; 92:95–102. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.theriogenology.2017.01.011 PMID: 28237350
24. Mostek A, Slowińska M, Judycka S, Karol H, Ciereszko A, Dietrich MA. Identification of oxidatively modified proteins due to cryopreservation of carp semen. J Anim Sci 2018; in press. https://doi.org/10.2527/jas2017.1930
25. Flesch FM, Gadella BM. Dynamics of the mammalian sperm plasma membrane in the process of fertilization. Biochim Biophys Acta—Rev Biomembr 2000; 1469:197–235. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-4157(00)00018-6
26. Karunakaran M, Devanathan TG. Evaluation of bull semen for fertility-associated protein, in vitro characters and fertility. J Appl Anim Res 2016; 2119:1–9. https://doi.org/10.1080/09712119.2015.1129343
27. Talevi R, Gualtieri R. Molecules involved in sperm-oviduct adhesion and release. Theriogenology 2010; 73:796–801. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.theriogenology.2009.07.005 PMID: 19682733
28. Rodríguez-Villamil P, Hoyos-Marulanda V, Martins-JAM, Oliveira AN, Aguilar LH, Moreno FB, et al. Purification of binder of sperm protein 1 (BSP1) and its effects on bovine in vitro embryo development after fertilization with ejaculated and epididymal sperm. Theriogenology 2016; 85:540–54. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.theriogenology.2015.09.044 PMID: 26553567
29. Samanta L, Swain N, Ayaz A, Venugopal V, Agarwal A. Post-Translational Modifications in sperm Proteome: The Chemistry of Proteome diversifications in the Pathophysiology of male factor infertility. Biochim Biophys Acta 2016; 1860:1450–65. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbagen.2016.04.001 PMID: 27062907
30. Morrelli T, O’Flaherty C. Oxidative stress impairs function and increases redox protein modifications in human spermatozoa. Reproduction 2015; 149:113–23. https://doi.org/10.1530/REP-14-0240 PMID: 25385721
31. Madian AG, Regnier FE. Proteomic identification of carbonylated proteins and their oxidation sites. J Proteome Res 2010; 9:3766–80. https://doi.org/10.1021/pr1002609 PMID: 20521848
32. Desai N, Sabanegh E, Kim T, Agarwal A. Free Radical Theory of Aging: Implications in Male Infertility. Reprod Biomed Online 2014; 29:32–58. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rbmo.2014.02.013 PMID: 24813754
33. Umer F, Sakkas D. Protein phosphorylation in mammalian spermatozoa. Reproduction 2003. https://doi.org/10.1530/rep.0.1250017
34. Chen Y, Liang P, Huang Y, Li M, Zhang X, Ding C, et al. Glycerol kinase-like proteins cooperate with Pd6 in regulating sperm mitochondrial sheath formation and male fertility. Cell Discov 2017; 3. https://doi.org/10.1038/celldisc.2017.30 PMID: 28852571
35. Chakrabarti R, Cheng L, Puri P, Soler D, Vijayaraghavan S. Protein phosphatase PP1 gamma 2 in sperm morphogenesis and epididymal initiation of sperm motility. Asian J Androl 2007; 9:445–52. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-7262.2007.00307.x PMID: 17589781
36. Pereira R, Sá R, Barros A, Sousa M. Major regulatory mechanisms involved in sperm motility. Asian J Androl 2015; 19:5–14. https://doi.org/10.4103/1008-682X.167716 PMID: 26680331
37. O’Flaherty C. Redox regulation of mammalian sperm capacitation. Asian J Androl 2015; 17:583–90. https://doi.org/10.4103/1008-682X.153303 PMID: 25926608
38. Flores E, Fernández-Novell JM, Peña A, Rodríguez-Gil JE. The degree of resistance to freezing-thawing is related to specific changes in the structures of motile sperm subpopulations and mitochondrial activity in boar spermatozoa. Theriogenology 2009; 72:784–97. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.theriogenology.2009.05.013 PMID: 19604570
39. Nolan M, Babcock DF, Wennemuth G, Brown W, Burton K a, McKnight GS. Sperm-specific protein kinase A catalytic subunit Calpha2 orchestrates cAMP signaling for male fertility. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2004; 101:13483–8. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0405580101 PMID: 15340140
41. Agarwal A, Virk G, Ong C, du Plessis SS. Effect of Oxidative Stress on Male Reproduction. World J Mens Health 2014; 32:1–17. https://doi.org/10.5534/wjmh.2014.32.1.1 PMID: 24872947

42. Dun MD, Aitken RJ, Nixon B. The role of molecular chaperones in spermatogenesis and the post-testicular maturation of mammalian spermatozoa. Hum Reprod Update 2012; 18:420–35. https://doi.org/10.1093/humupd/dms009 PMID: 22523110

43. Hemachand T, Gopalakrishnan B, Salunke DM, Totey SM, Shaha C. Sperm plasma-membrane-associated glutathione S-transferases as gamete recognition molecules. J Cell Sci 2002; 15:2053–65.