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This is a great study. PICC is becoming widely recognized but not so much for the family. Using technology for this is a great idea moving forward and may lead additional uses of technology for similar populations.

What a terrific idea to study an intervention for PICS-F. This is a terrific proposal, well done. Great area of research, glad you are exploring an intervention.

Specific hypotheses not stated. The duration of data collection (60 days) seems short for a 2 year study. Excellent review of literature and use of a theoretical framework. Missing information on tool reliability and validity. What does the control group receive for standard care?

Very important topic, well done proposal. I am a little concerned about the short follow-up duration and sample size. Excellent proposal for a highly relevant study. A few weaknesses: lack of description of what standard care entails, short end point for a 2 year study please consider obtaining measures at 90 or 180 days. If you have expected attrition, you will end up with only 24 subjects in each group.

Reasonable budget requests. Question mileage and parking for investigators.

If the patient dies in the ICU - method of randomization not described - some clinical data that are collected are not justified or discussed in the methods section. the project is currently funded. Unclear what is the added benefit to the proposed study what is the difference between this study and the funded study?

Unsure what happens if the patient dies in the ICU - method of randomization not described - some clinical data that are collected are not justified or discussed in the methods section. the project is currently funded. Unclear what is the added benefit to the proposed study what is the difference between this study and the funded study?

What a terrific idea to study an intervention for PICS-F. This is a terrific proposal, well done. Great area of research, glad you are exploring an intervention.

Excellent review of literature and use of a theoretical framework. Missing information on tool reliability and validity. What does the control group receive for standard care?

Very important topic, well done proposal. I am a little concerned about the short follow-up duration and sample size. Excellent proposal for a highly relevant study. A few weaknesses: lack of description of what standard care entails, short end point for a 2 year study please consider obtaining measures at 90 or 180 days. If you have expected attrition, you will end up with only 24 subjects in each group.