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The inspiral of stellar compact objects into massive black holes, usually known as extreme-mass-ratio inspirals (EMRIs), is one of the most important sources of gravitational-waves for the future Laser Interferometer Space Antenna (LISA). Intermediate-mass-ratio inspirals (IMRIs) are also of interest to advance ground-based gravitational-wave observatories. We discuss here how modifications to the gravitational interaction can affect the signals emitted by these systems and their detectability by LISA. We concentrate in particular on Chern-Simons modified gravity, a theory that emerges in different quantum gravitational approaches.

Keywords: Gravitational Wave Astronomy; Extreme-Mass-Ratio Inspirals.

1. Motivation

One of the primary goals of the future Laser Interferometer Space Antenna (LISA) is to search for modifications to General Relativity (GR) in physical situations where strong gravitational fields are involved, speeds are large and binary pulsar or Solar System experiments do not lead to stringent constraints. One avenue to study such situations is to explore deviations from the Kerr metric within GR. However, in GR, the Kerr metric is considered (provided cosmic censorship and causality hold) to be the only possible final state of the gravitational collapse of compact bodies. Another route to test GR is to consider alternative theories of gravity and study the imprint these leave on gravitational waves (GWs) emitted by LISA sources. Here, we report results related to the capture and inspiral of stellar compact objects into massive black holes (BHs) in galactic centers, usually known as Extreme-Mass-Ratio Inspirals (EMRIs).

Due to the plethora of alternative theories available, it is difficult to justify the choice of one theory over another. One approach is to propose certain criteria for a theory to be a reasonable candidate to test GR with LISA.\(^1\) An example of such a theory is Chern-Simons (CS) modified gravity.\(^2\) In this 4D theory, the Einstein-Hilbert action is modified through the addition of the product of a dynamical scalar field and the Pontryagin density. This modification arises generically and unavoidingly in the low-energy effective limits of string theory and can also arise in loop quantum gravity when the Barbero-Immirzi parameter is promoted
to a field. Regarding BH solutions, one has to distinguish between the dynamical and non-dynamical versions of the theory. In the latter the scalar field is a given function which leads to an additional constraint which is too restrictive, essentially disallowing spinning BH solutions for time-like scalar fields and forbidding perturbations of non-spinning BHs. The dynamical theory admits BH solutions, like the Schwarzschild metric and a modified Kerr metric, which are the theory and BH solutions that we consider here.

2. EMRIs in CS modified Gravity

We now summarize recent results on the study of EMRIs in CS modified gravity in steps:

(i) MBH geometry: Using the small-coupling and slow-rotation approximations, the exterior, stationary and axisymmetric gravitational field of a rotating BH in dynamical CS modified gravity, in Boyer-Lindquist type coordinates, is given by

\[ ds^2 = ds^2_{\text{Kerr}} + \frac{5 \xi}{(4r^4)} \left[ 1 + \frac{12M}{(7r)} + \frac{27M^2}{(10r^2)} \right] \sin^2 \theta d\theta d\phi, \]

where \( ds^2_{\text{Kerr}} \) is the line element for the Kerr metric, \( M \) and \( a \) are the MBH mass and spin parameter, and \( \xi = \frac{\alpha^2}{(\kappa \beta)} \), where \( \kappa = \frac{16\pi G}{\gamma} - 1 \) is the gravitational constant and \( (\alpha, \beta) \) are the coupling constants associated with the gravitational CS correction and the strength of the CS scalar field respectively. The multipolar structure of the modified metric remains completely determined by only two moments (no-hair or two-hair theorem): the mass monopole and the current dipole. The relation, however, between these two moments and higher-order ones is modified from the GR expectation at multipole \( \ell \geq 4 \).

(ii) The CS scalar field: It is axisymmetric and fully determined by the MBH geometry. Hence, the no-hair theorem still holds in the dynamical theory (modulo the modification of the relation aforementioned).

(iii) Motion of test particles around the MBH: It has been shown that point-particles follow geodesics in this theory, as in GR. Geodesics in the modified MBH geometry have essentially the same properties as Kerr geodesics; there are enough constant of motion to completely separate the Hamilton-Jacobi equations. The geodesic equations take the following form:

\[ \dot{x}^\mu = x^\mu_{,\nu} + \delta x^\mu_{,\nu}, \]

where an overhead dot denotes differentiation with respect to proper time and \( x^\mu = [t, r, \theta, \phi] \) are Boyer-Lindquist-type coordinates. The corrections \( \delta x^\mu_{,\nu} = [L, 2EL, 0, -E] \delta g^\nu_{,\phi} \), where \( E \) and \( L \) are the energy and angular momentum of the geodesic, and \( \delta g^\nu_{,\phi} = \frac{\xi}{(112r^8f)} \left( 70r^2 + 120rM + 189M^2 \right) \), with \( f = 1 - 2M/r \). One can see that the innermost-stable circular orbit (ISCO) location is CS shifted by

\[ R_{\text{ISCO}} = 6M \mp \frac{4\sqrt{6}a/3 - 7a^2/(18M)}{\mp 77\sqrt{6}a\xi/(5184M^4)}, \]

where the upper (lower) signs correspond to co- and counter-rotating geodesics. Notice that the CS correction acts against the spin effects. One can also check that the three fundamental frequencies of motion change with respect to the GR values.

(iv) Gravitational Wave emission: Up to date, EMRIs in CS modified gravity have been described using the semi-relativistic approximation, where the motion
is assumed geodesic and GWs are assumed to propagate in flat spacetime. Neglecting radiation reaction effects, the dephasing between CS and GR GWs are due to the modifications in the MBH geometry. This dephasing will not prevent in principle detection of GWs from EMRIs with LISA (from short periods of data \( \sim 3 \) weeks, where radiation reaction effects can be neglected), but instead it will bias the estimation of parameters, leading to an uncontrolled systematic error.

(v) **Radiation reaction effects**: Using the short-wave approximation, it has been shown that to the leading order the GW emission formulae are unchanged, except for subdominant energy-momentum emission by the scalar field. The inclusion of radiation-reaction effects, neglected in this analysis, will be crucial in the future, since it will lead to stronger GW modifications that will break the degeneracy between the CS coupling parameter and the system parameters.

(vi) **Tests of CS gravity with LISA**: A rough estimate of the accuracy to which CS gravity could be constrained via a LISA observation is \( \xi^{1/4} \lesssim 10^5 \text{km} \left( \delta/10^{-6} \right)^{1/4} (M/M_e) \), where \( \delta \) is the accuracy to which \( \xi \) can be measured, which depends on the integration time, the signal-to-noise ratio, the type of orbit considered and how much radiation-reaction affects the orbit. Notice that IMRIs are favored over EMRIs. This result is to be compared with the binary pulsar constrained \( \xi^{1/4} \lesssim 10^4 \text{km} \). We then see that an IMRI with \( M = 10^3 M_\odot \) could place a constraint two-orders of magnitude more stringent than the binary pulsar one. Moreover, a GW test can constrain the dynamical behavior of the theory in the neighbourhood of BHs, which is simply not possible with neutron star observations.

(vii) **Future Work**: Current efforts focus on the inclusion of radiation reaction, a key point for which is that, to leading order, GW emission in CS gravity is unchanged. This simplifies the analysis, allowing for GR-like expressions for the rate of change of constants of motion due to GW emission.
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