Accelerate disaster risk mapping using an automated zonal change detection model for loss estimation and recovery: a flood case study
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Abstract

Background: The flash flood still constitutes one of the major natural meteorological disasters harmfully threatening local communities, that creates life losses and destroying infrastructures. The severity and magnitude of disasters always reflected from the size of impacts. Most of the conventional research models related to flooding vulnerability are focusing on hydro-meteorological and morphometric measurements. It, however, requires quick estimate of the flood losses and assess the severity using reliable information. An automated zonal change detection model applied, using two high-resolution satellite images dated 2009 and 2011 coupled with LU/LC GIS layer, on western El-Arish City, downstream of Wadi El-Arish basin. The model enabled to estimate the severity of a past flood incident in 2010.

Results: The model calculated the total changes based on the before and after satellite images based on pixel-by-pixel comparison. The estimated direct-damages nearly 32,951 m² of the total mapped LU/LC classes; (e.g., 11,407 m² as 3.17% of the cultivated lands; 6031 m² as 7.22% of the built-up areas and 4040 m² as 3.62% of the paved roads network). The estimated cost of losses, in 2010 economic prices for the selected three LU/LC classes, is nearly 25 million USD, for the cultivation fruits and olives trees, ~ 4 million USD for built-up areas and ~ 1 million USD for paved roads network.

Conclusion: The disasters’ damage and loss estimation process takes many detailed data, longtime, and costed as well. The applied model accelerates the disaster risk mapping that provides an informative support for loss estimation. Therefore, decision-makers and professionals need to apply this model for quick the disaster risks management and recovery.
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1 Background

The severe flash flood hazard is often defined as an existence of water in the commonly dry wadies/channels regardless of the specific discharge or stage [1–3]. Floods are a weather hazard produced by extreme precipitation events in a short time duration [4], affecting a limited area and occur when water flows into a region faster than it can be absorbed into the soil [5]. The short-lived floods characterized by a fast, almost instantaneous, rising water stage causing the major economic damage and fatalities in arid regions [6]. The most dangerous kind of floods is the flash flood that influences severe damage to human properties. The potentiality of flash flood casualties and damages is increasing in many regions where the social and economic development occurs, especially in the arid and semi-arid regions [7, 8].
Although Egypt is considered as an arid to semi-arid environment, the rainfall occurs only in winter season and located in scattered areas. The historical rainfall records indicated that there was severe flash flood events scattered in many regions in Egypt. This includes Upper Egypt, Sinai, and Red Sea areas that happened in 1976, 1982, 1984, 1994, 1995, and 2010 [9–11]. The average amount of the rainwater falls over Egypt is about 1.3 BCM/year in the rainy seasons [12]. However, the latest rainy storm that hit Egypt on 12–14 March 2020, had fallen amount of rainwater about 0.5 BCM in 3 days, as the prime minister reported, which created sever flood crisis.

North Sinai is the most northern governorate in Egypt and always suffers from flash floods due to the high-intensity of rainfalls, which came from the high southern Sinai Mountains [13]. A severe and destructive flash flood has hit El-Arish City downstream Wadi El-Arish, North Sinai, and Gulf of Suez margins to the western Sinai during 17th and 18th of January 2010. This flood has left significant losses of lives and damage to vegetated areas, built-up areas, and road network [14].

Unfortunately, most of the flood literatures in Egypt have not much paid attention to the analysis of the risk and losses of damage. They traditionally demonstrate the hydrographic analysis and flash floods using satellite images and GIS to investigate various aspects of drainage morphometric and its hazards’ impacts [15–19]. Furthermore, [20] studied the January’s 2010 flood that hit Northern Sinai from the perspective of spatial understanding of storm rain characteristics and drainage basin response using the GIS and satellite datasets. The rainfall storms on Sinai (January 2010 and March 2014) studied by determination of the hydrologic parameters and variables of both basins as well as the analysis of rainfall data for catchment modeling [21]. On the other hand, the vulnerability analysis of the land cover features of El-Arish City and Wadi El-Arish based on an integrated hydro-climatic and physical vulnerability component studied by [22].

In this context, flood loss assessment [23–27] has used the GIS and remote sensing modeling to delineate the flood inundated areas and losses estimation. On the other hand, some researchers have been applied an integrated GIS and hydraulic model as an attempt of real-time damage estimation based on the amount and duration of rains, the flood depth, and the soil physical parameters [20–22, 27]. The flood warning system in arid regions through an index, termed Alluvial wadi Flood Incipient Geomorphic Index (AFIG) based on the drainage basin characteristics such as lithology, topography, and precipitation have been studied by [28]. The shortcomings of these studies are the lack of linkage with the estimation of the real impact and losses of LU/LC.

The average annual precipitation of Wadi El-Arish is about 100.7 mm as reported in [29]. In this context, [20] had compiled a historical data of the recorded flash floods events in Wadi El-Arish after [30–33]. Therefore, the most severe flood events especially which exceed the storage capacity of the El-Rawaffa Dam is listed in (Table 1).

Normally, the disaster risk and loss assessment process requires detailed parameters and takes longtime. The overall objective of this study is to provide an informative and quick methodological framework of disaster risk mapping as a preliminary decision support information for loss estimation and recovery plans. Therefore, a robust methodological framework of an automated change detection model is developed to map the disasters’ risks and to estimate the damage losses of the major LU/LC assets, after the 2010 flood incident in Wadi El-Arish/El-Arish City, Northern Sinai-Egypt.

2 Methods
2.1 The area of study
Wadi El-Arish basin considered as the largest dry wadi in Sinai Peninsula, Egypt. It flows from the Southern Sinai plateaus through its narrow downstream passing northwards through El-Arish City and ends at the Mediterranean Sea. Its catchment area covers about 21,700 km², which constitutes about 36% of the total area of Sinai [22]. However, it is a dry basin, in some cases with high intensity of precipitation it creates severe flooding event.

Because of the dominant LU/LC within the study area such agriculture, urban, and industrial activities have threatened by infrequent flash floods [34]. Therefore, the Egyptian government had constructed El-Rawaffa Dam, 50 km southern to El-Arish city in 1946 to store 3 × 10⁶ m³, to minimize the flood risks [30]. The dam had reconstructed in 1987 to increase its storage capacity to 5.5 × 10⁶ m³. Nevertheless, the city was prone to several flash floods of different magnitudes.

The area of study is located in the Northern Sinai, the north most of wadi El-Arish (western of El-Arish city), Egypt, between Eastings 576591 to 577694, and Northings 3442644 to 3446248 (in UTM Projection system), and measured about 4 km² (Fig. 1).

2.2 Satellite and GIS datasets
Two high-resolution satellite images, before and after the flooding event, are obtained. The before satellite image that from Geoeye sensor dated 24 September 2009 is processed to categorize the existing land use/land cover (LU/LC), and the after satellite image is from Worldview2 sensor dated 27 March 2011. Both images have spatial resolution of 50 cm for accuracy pixel-by-pixel comparison. Both images are compatible in multispectral channels that eased the comparison. The two satellite images are trimmed to the actual area of study and spatially analyzed.
based on the LU/LC zones (i.e., GIS layer) to map the disaster flood risk (Fig. 2).

2.3 Data processing and modeling
Initially, the satellite images have enhanced and geographically corrected to UTM Projection system, Zone 36N, Spheroid and Datum WGS 1984. Then, a GIS layer of the existing (LU/LC) features has extracted from the before image for further spatial analysis (e.g., area extraction and other overlay functions).

The zonal change detection model is applied to compute the zonal changes between the two satellite images based on pixel-by-pixel comparison model. The attributes of “area change” and “percent change” is automatically generated based on the identified LU/LC categories in the GIS zones. The logical framework of the zonal change detection model and processing steps is simplified in (Fig. 3).

The algorithm used in the zonal change detection model is shown in Eq. (1) [35].

$$\frac{T_2 - T_1}{|T_1|} + \frac{T_2 - T_1}{|T_2|}$$

It estimates the difference between the two satellite images at time 1 (T1) and time 2 (T2), which allows the derivation of any changes between the two dates. It generates a new image with the changes between the two dates (i.e., before and after images), that estimates the

Table 1 The most severe flash flood events occurred from 1947 to 2010 after [20]

| Severe flood events   | Precipitation (10^6 m³) | El-Rawaffa Dam capacity (10^6 m³) | Invaded amount (10^6 m³) |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|
| March, 1947           | 21                      | 3                                 | 18                       |
| 1950                  | 18                      | 3                                 | 15                       |
| 27th March, 1965      | 30                      | 3                                 | 27                       |
| 19 February 1975      | 120                     | 3                                 | 117                      |
| 1979                  | 30                      | 3                                 | 27                       |
| 18 January 2010       | 124                     | 5.5                               | 118.5                    |

Fig. 1 Geographical location of the area of study
percentage of change at the smallest pixel size (0.5 m). In most cases, the higher percentage of change is indicated by higher brightness [35].

The result of a digital image change detection process is largely controlled by the quality of geographic correction and overall the quality of used images. Hence, it is essential to verify the output change image against the original satellite data input. This verification is done based on polygon-by-polygon comparison as changed or no changed which is stored in a GIS shapefile as the area of change and the percent of changes.

3 Results and analysis

Five major land use and land cover categories are mapped from the high-resolution satellite image Geoeye dated 2009, which are built-up areas, agriculture land, paved and unpaved roads, and unoccupied lands. These five categories are occupying nearly 212 acres (857,725 m²), (Fig. 2) and (Table 2). Due to a miss planning of the study area, there were valued LU/LC assets like urbanization located downstream at the low topography land of the valley, which is the first vulnerable to flood risk. The second vulnerable LU/LC class is the agriculture lands, which normally dominant within the fertile land of the wadi and based on the reserved ground water from the run off. The third vulnerable class is the road networks within the affected area. The last two classes are not valued to be considered in the loss estimation (e.g., unpaved roads and unoccupied land). The identified changes between the two satellite images (before and after) with their percentage and area of changes in each LU/LC category are shown in Fig. 4 and Table 2.

The model calculated the changes of the analyzed area at 32,951 m² that has damaged. The detailed spatial analysis of these changes in areas and percentages of the five major mapped LU/LC categories has discussed as follow as shown in Fig. 5 and Table 2.

- The urbanized areas have distributed along 74 zones in the model that covers 83,509 m² (nearly 10% of the total processed area). The calculated changes of this category measured by 6031 m² (i.e., 7.22% of the mapped built-up area).
- The agriculture and cultivated land is the largest category, which occupied nearly 42% (359,825 m²)
of the total processed area. This category has distributed along 104 zones that subjected to major changes, which calculated as 11,407 m² (i.e., 3.17% of the mapped cultivated lands) were lost.

- The area of the paved and well-structured road networks within the area of study is calculated by 111,448 m² (nearly 13% of the total processed area). The calculated damage of this category is 4040 m² (i.e., 3.62% of the paved roads' area).
- The area of the unpaved road that serve most of the local Bedouin inhabitants estimated by 19,546 m² (2.28% of the total processed area). Unfortunately, there were 2157 m² (i.e., 11% of the unpaved tracks' area) had altered.
- The unoccupied and free land category, which identified as the changes of texture and pattern between the two processed images, even it was still unoccupied. So, it should not be considered as disaster losses unless it includes the debris and rubbish due to the flash flood that might need to clean-up.

Where

- The (area) is calculated from the LU/LC classes dated 2009 (extracted from the before satellite image). The total area sums at 857,725 m².
- (Area%) is calculated by each LU/LC Type (i.e., area/total LU/LC area × 100).
- The (changed area) is calculated from the zonal change detection analysis for each changed LU/LC class, which sums at 32,951 m².
- (Change %) is calculated for each changed class in reference to its original area (i.e., changed area/its LU/LC type area × 100). The changed percentages calculated in relative to each individual LU/LC area;

| LU/LC type | # Model zones | Area (m²) | Area % | Changed area (m²) | Change % |
|------------|---------------|-----------|--------|-------------------|----------|
| Urbanized areas | 74 | 83,509 | 9.74 | 6031 | 7.22 |
| Cultivated | 104 | 359,825 | 41.95 | 11,407 | 3.17 |
| Paved | 101 | 111,448 | 12.99 | 4040 | 3.62 |
| Unoccupied | 37 | 283,398 | 33.04 | 9316 | 3.29 |
| Unpaved | 23 | 19,546 | 2.28 | 2157 | 11.04 |
| Total | | 857,725 | 100 | 32,951 | - |

Table 2 Summary of the LU/LC areas of change and their percentages
however, it sums at 3.84% of the total analyzed area (857,725 m²).

4 Discussion
In some developing countries, and due to the flooding has not frequently happened, the assessment of economic losses is out of scope. The quick preliminary estimation of the damage losses might alert the government to develop mitigation strategy that minimize the risk and reduce the losses further. The main approach, in this research, is to show off the hazard, risk, and losses estimation due to an extreme flood event. Therefore, it is necessary to identify and map the changes of the existing economically valued land use and land cover features before and after the flood event.

The flood damage normally categorized into two main types: (1) tangible, which includes direct and indirect damages that mainly refer to quantitative analysis, and (2) intangible damage, which is refer to a qualitative analysis. Both direct and indirect damage of the tangible are reflecting the losses or disability to access the area under flooding due to cut-off of facilities or/and infrastructure. Therefore, the consequences are large economic losses. Table 3 lists the damage of buildings and their contents; disruption of infrastructure such as roads, railroads, erosion of agricultural soil, destruction of harvest, damage to live-stock, evacuation, and rescue measures; business interruption inside the flooded area and cleanup costs.

In the context of the 2010 flood event under this study, it is reported that the water level reached about 2 m above the ground level. It created 6 death cases and many injured people, in addition to many missing people. Moreover, about 600 houses are completely devastated and nearly 1500 houses are partially damaged in
addition to the wash-up of many trees and cars with significant damage in the infrastructures (roads, electricity, potable water lines, etc.) [20].

The disaster damage and loss assessment process requires detailed information about the land-use activities to quantify the precise losses. Such detailed information takes long time to collect and sophisticated model to process. This research study proposes a robust model to estimate the losses and identify the tangible-direct-primary damages for each of the major pre-identified LU/LC categories. In developing countries, there is a lack to develop comprehensive database about human settlements and their households such missing information make it difficult for the model to quantify the indirect-secondary and intangible damage. Therefore, the model is easily quantified the damage and loss for the economically valued classes of agriculture and cultivated lands, urbanized areas, and paved roads, which constitutes 21,478 m² (nearly 14% of the total changed area) (Table 2). The other two less-valued classes (unpaved tracks/roads and unoccupied areas) are excluded due to the difficulty to estimate their unit cost.

The economic damage and losses is estimated based on the common local unit price and cost in 2010 (Table 4). Field survey and observation is essential to improve the classification and categorization of the land use and land cover classes. Unfortunately, due to the limitation of accessibility to the study area, in Sinai, to obtain in-situ field verification of the LU/LC classes. Hence, the accuracy of the produced results is depended on the accuracy of the visual interpretation of the satellite image. Then, the value of this model is to use high-resolution satellite images (e.g., 0.5 m pixel size) that enable for precise quantification of the losses and damages. Such, integration of high spatial resolution and robust model provide decision-makers and professionals with more accurate information for the LU/LC that support for preliminary and quick response to the community with a mitigation and rescue plans.

The model is generic to compute the damaged classes and the economic value of these classes, which depend on the accurate computation of the types and ages of cultivation (e.g., fruit and palm trees, croplands, vineyards), the age of the building, its characteristics (e.g., fabric types, rural or urban, furniture), the domestic animals, and some different assets in the study area. The availability of these detailed information would ensure more accurate and precise model for economic losses calculation. It is, however, still valid to be applied for any information and the accuracy depends on the accuracy of input information.

Table 3 Flood damages categories and loss examples [36]

| Category     | Description of losses                                      |
|--------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|
| Tangible     | DirectPrimaryStructures, contents, and agriculture        |
|              | SecondaryLand and environment recovery                    |
|              | IndirectPrimaryBusiness interruption                      |
|              | SecondaryImpact on regional and national economy          |
| Intangible   | Health, psychological damage                               |

Fig. 5 Percentages of changes of the five LU/LC classes after the flash flood event
Table 4 The estimated economic cost of the damaged and losses of the valued classes

| LU/LC               | Damaged area (m²) | Unit price ($US/m²) | Total loss cost ($US /m²) |
|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|
| Agriculture/cultivated | 11,407            | 2272.7              | (Fruit trees) 25,925,000  |
| Urbanized areas     | 6031              | 545.45              | 3,289,500                 |
| Paved roads         | 4040              | 181.8               | 734,455                   |
| Total area          | 21,477            | -                   | 29,948,955                |

5 Conclusion
In conclusion, assessment of flood damages is a fundamental step for the disaster risk reduction and recovery demands in order to develop mitigation procedures. Nowadays, the assessment of flood damage is gaining a specific interest as flood risk management, which becoming the dominant approach of flood control policies. This research study introduced a model that is showing a case to support decision-makers for informative information about flood damage and economic losses. The use of high-resolution satellite images in the before and after of the flood event enables to estimate the changes in the land use and land cover precisely. Therefore, the model enabled to estimate robustly the damage and economic losses based on the local market unit price of the most common features in the areas of study. In this case, the urbanization, agriculture, and roads are affected with nearly 30 million USD losses. The increased level of accuracy of the data used in the model will improve the accuracy of estimation of the economic losses. Finally, the zonal change detection model is used to highlight quickly the changes after either the flood or any other disaster events that left damages behind. Indeed, this will be supportive to decision makers to estimate damage and losses, introduce recovery plans of the damaged areas and disaster risk reduction's strategies as well.
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GIS: Geographic information system; LU/LC: Classes of Landuse/landcover mapping that identified from the satellite images; UTM: Universal transverse mercator is a world system of map projection
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