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Recently, Lalín, Rodrigue, and Rogers have studied the secant zeta function and its convergence. They found many interesting values of the secant zeta function at some particular quadratic irrational numbers. They also gave modular transformation properties of the secant zeta function. In this paper, we generalized secant zeta function as a Lambert series and proved a result for the Lambert series, from which the main result of Lalín et al. follows as a corollary, using the theory of generalized Dedekind eta-function, developed by Lewittes, Berndt, and Arakawa.

1. Introduction

The Dedekind eta-function and its limiting values have been considered by several authors starting from Riemann’s posthumous fragment [1] and Wintner [2] and later by Reyna [3] and Wang [4]. There are many generalizations of the Dedekind eta-function as a Lambert series including those of Lewittes [5], Berndt [6], and Arakawa [7, 8]. In particular cases, they reduce to the cotangent or the cosecant zeta function. Lerch [9] in 1904 introduced the cotangent zeta function for an algebraic irrational number \( z \) and an odd positive integer \( s \) as

\[
\xi(z, s) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \cot(n\pi z) n^s. \tag{1}
\]

He stated the following functional equation for the cotangent zeta function, but without proof.

**Theorem 1** (see [9]). *For any algebraic irrational number \( z \) and sufficiently large positive integer \( k = k(z) \), we have*

\[
\xi(z, 2k + 1) + z^{2k} \xi \left( \frac{1}{z}, 2k + 1 \right) = (2\pi)^{2k + 1} \phi(z, 2k + 1), \tag{2}
\]

*where*

\[
\phi(z, n) := \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{B_j B_{n+1-j}}{j!(n+1-j)!} z^{j-1}, \tag{3}
\]

where \( B_j \) is the \( j \)-th Bernoulli number.

Berndt [10], in 1973, focused on the cotangent zeta function for general \( s \in \mathbb{C} \) and proved Lerch’s functional equation for cotangent zeta function. He found many interesting explicit formulæ for \( \xi(z, s) \) when \( z \) is a quadratic irrational and \( s \geq 3 \) is an odd integer. One such pleasing formula is

\[
\xi \left( \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2}, 3 \right) = \frac{\pi^3}{45\sqrt{5}}. \tag{4}
\]

In fact, Berndt’s work implies that \( \sqrt{x} \xi \left( \sqrt{x}, s \right) n^{-s} \in \mathbb{Q} \), where \( j \) is any positive integer and \( s \geq 3 \) is an odd integer.

2. Secant Zeta Function

Recently, Lalín et al. [11] considered the secant zeta function

\[
\psi(z, s) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sec(n\pi z)}{n^s} \tag{5}
\]

and found its special values at some particular quadratic irrational arguments. They proved the following results.
Theorem 2 (see [11], Theorem 1). The series (5) is absolutely convergent in the following cases:

1. When \( z = p/q \) is a rational number with \( q \) odd and \( s > 1 \).
2. When \( z \) is an algebraic irrational number and \( s \geq 2 \).

To prove this theorem, they have used the celebrated Thue–Siegel–Roth theorem.

Theorem 3 (see [11], Theorem 3). Let \( E_m \) denote the Euler numbers and let \( B_n \) denote the Bernoulli numbers. Suppose that \( \alpha \) is an even positive integer. Then, for appropriate values of \( \alpha \),

\[
(a + 1)^{1-\alpha} \psi \left( \frac{\alpha}{a+1}, l \right) - (-\alpha + 1)^{1-\alpha} \psi \left( \frac{\alpha}{-\alpha + 1}, l \right) = \frac{(-\pi i)^{l+1}}{2\pi} \sum_{n=0}^{l} \left( 2^{n-1} - 1 \right) B_n E_m \left( \frac{l}{n} \right) \left[ (1 + \alpha)^{n-1} - (1 - \alpha)^{n-1} \right].
\]

(6)

They found the values of the secant zeta function at some quadratic irrational numbers. For \( j \in \mathbb{Z} \),

\[
\psi \left( \sqrt{2}j(2j+1), 2 \right) = (3j+1)\frac{\pi^2}{6},
\]

\[
\psi \left( \sqrt{8}j(2j+1), 2 \right) = \frac{\pi^2}{6},
\]

(7)

\[
\psi \left( \sqrt{2}j(2j+1), 4 \right) = \frac{75j^2 + 46j + 6}{8} \pi^4 + \frac{180}{180}.
\]

After observing these values, they conjectured the following.

Conjecture 1 (see [11], Conjecture 1). If \( j \) is any positive integer and \( s \) is an even positive integer, then

\[
\psi \left( \sqrt{j}, s \pi^{-s} \right) \in \mathbb{Q}.
\]

(8)

By a clever use of residue theorem, Berndt and Straub [12] proved the above functional equation (6), and from it they derived

\[
\psi \left( \sqrt{r}, s \pi^{-s} \right) \in \mathbb{Q}, \quad r \in \mathbb{Q}^+, \ s \in 2\mathbb{N}.
\]

(9)

Furthermore, they connected the secant Dirichlet series with Eichler integrals of Eisenstein series and checked unimodularity of period polynomials. On the contrary, Charollais and Greenberg [13] related the secant Dirichlet series \( \psi(\alpha, s) \) to the generalized eta-function which was studied by Arakawa [7]. They proved that for \( s \in 2\mathbb{N} \),

\[
\psi(\alpha, s) \pi^{-s} \in \mathbb{Q}(\alpha),
\]

(10)

for all real quadratic irrational numbers \( \alpha \). They used Arakawa’s result to give an explicit formula for \( \psi(\alpha, s) \) for real quadratic irrational numbers \( \alpha \).

We will introduce a generalization of the secant zeta function as a Lambert series. Using the theory of generalized Dedekind eta-function due to Lewittes [5], Berndt [6], and Arakawa [7], we shall give a generalization of Theorem 3.

We begin by briefly describing the theory of generalized Dedekind eta-function, developed by Lewittes [5], Berndt [6], and Arakawa [7], which is a main tool in our study.

3. Work of Lewittes and Berndt

Lewittes and Berndt treat the case of the upper half-plane \( \mathbb{H} \) while Arakawa treats the case of upper half plane limiting to an algebraic irrational number. Hereafter, we use the following notations:

\[
e[w] := \exp(2\pi i w), \quad w \in \mathbb{C},
\]

\[
\langle x \rangle \in \mathbb{R}, \quad 0 < \langle x \rangle \leq 1, \quad x - \langle x \rangle \in \mathbb{Z},
\]

\[
\{ x \} \in \mathbb{R}, \quad 0 \leq \{ x \} < 1, \quad x - \{ x \} \in \mathbb{Z}.
\]

(11)

Let \( s = r_1 = r_2 = 0 \). Put \( A(z, 0, 0, 0) = A(z) \), then

\[
H(z, s, r_1, r_2) := A(z, s, r_1, r_2) + \frac{s}{2} A(z, s, -r_1, -r_2).
\]

(13)

Let \( s = r_1 = r_2 = 0 \). Put \( A(z, 0, 0, 0) = A(z) \), then

\[
H(z, 0, 0, 0) = 2A(z).
\]

Using the product definition of Dedekind eta-function \( \eta(z) \), it is easy to show that

\[
\log(\eta(z)) = \frac{ni}{12} - A(z).
\]

(14)

Let us see a couple of examples.

Example 1. For special choices of parameters \( r_1 \) and \( r_2 \), the \( A \)- and \( H \)-functions reduce to the cosecant and cotangent zeta functions:

\[
\frac{1}{(1 + e[s/2])} H \left( z, s, \left( \frac{1}{2}, 0 \right) \right) = A \left( z, s, \left( \frac{1}{2}, 0 \right) \right)
\]

\[
= \sum_{m > -(1/2)} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{1-s} \left[ k \left( m + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right] e[k \{z\}]
\]

\[
= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{1-s} e\left( \frac{1/2 \{k\}}{1 - e[\{k\}]} \right)
\]

(15)
Also, \[ \frac{1}{1 + e^{s/2}} H(z, s, (1, 0)) = A(z, s, 1, 0) \]

\[ = \sum_{m > -1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k^{-1} e[k(m + 1)z]}{1 - e[kz]} \]

\[ = \frac{\cos \pi z}{\sin \pi z} - \frac{\pi}{2} \zeta(1 - s). \]  

(16)

Some more definitions will be required.

**Definition 1** (Hurwitz zeta function). For a positive number \( a \), the Hurwitz zeta function \( \zeta(s, a) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n + a)^{-s} \), \( \Re(s) > 1 \).

**Definition 2.** Let \( \Omega \) denote the characteristic function of integers, i.e.,

\[ \Omega(a) := \begin{cases} 1, & a \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ 0, & a \notin \mathbb{Z}. \end{cases} \]  

(18)

For any positive number \( \lambda \), let \( I(\lambda, \infty) \) denote the integration path consisting of the oriented line segment \((\infty, \lambda)\), the positively oriented circle of radius \( \lambda \) with center at the origin, and the oriented line segment \((\lambda, \infty)\).

Theorem 4 (see [6], Theorem 2). Let \( V = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \) with \( c > 0 \). For any pair \((r_1, r_2)\) of real numbers, set \( R_1 = r_1 + r_2 c, R_2 = r_1 b + r_2 d, p = \{R_2\} c - \{R_1\} d \). For any \( s \in \mathbb{R} \) with \( c \mathcal{R} (z) + d > 0 \), let \( \beta = cz + d \). Then, for arbitrary \( s \in \mathbb{C} \), we have

\[ \beta \gamma H(Vz, s, r_1, r_2) - H(z, s, R_1, R_2) \]

\[ = -\Omega(r_1) (2\pi)^{-s} e^{s/4} \beta^{-1} \Upsilon(s) \left( \zeta(s, r_2) + e^{s/2} \zeta(s, -r_2) \right) \]

\[ + \Omega(r_2) (2\pi)^{-s} e^{-s/4} \Gamma(s) \left( \zeta(s, \alpha - R_2) + e^{s/2} \zeta(s, R_2) \right) \]

\[ + (2\pi)^{-s} e^{-s/4} L(z, s, R_1, R_2, c, d). \]  

(20)

where

\[ L(z, s, R_1, R_2, c, d) \]

\[ = -\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{I(\lambda, \infty)} t^{s-1} \exp(-t) e^{-(1 - jd + q)/c + ((cz + d)(j - [R_1])/c)t} \]

\[ \left( (1 - \exp(-t)) \left( 1 - \exp(-(cz + d)t) \right) \right) dt, \quad 0 < \lambda < 2\pi \beta \]  

\[ \eta(a, s, p, q) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{s-1} e^{[n(p\alpha + q)]} \left( 1 - e[n\alpha] \right), \quad s \in \mathbb{C}, \]  

(22)

and its associate by

\[ H(a, s, (p, q)) := \eta(a, s, \langle p \rangle, q) + e^{s/2} \eta(a, s, \langle -p \rangle, -q). \]  

(23)

**Example 2.** Again, if we consider \((p, q) = (1/2, 0)\) and \((p, q) = (1, 0)\), then also we will get the cosecant and cotangent zeta function:

4. **Work of Arakawa**

Arakawa studied certain Lambert series associated to a complex variable \( s \) and an irrational real algebraic number \( \alpha \). Those Lambert series are defined as limiting (boundary) values of the generalized Dedekind eta-functions studied by Berndt [6]. Arakawa obtained transformation formulae under the action of \( \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \) on those \( \alpha \).

For an irrational real algebraic number \( \alpha \) and a pair \((p, q)\) of real numbers, Arakawa [7] introduced a generalized eta-function defined as
\[
\frac{1}{1 + e(s/2)} H\left(\alpha, s, \left(\frac{1}{2}, 0\right)\right) = \eta\left(\alpha, s, \left(\frac{1}{2}, 0\right)\right)
\]
\[
= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{-1} e\left[\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)k\alpha\right] \frac{1}{1 - e^{\left[\alpha\right]}}
\]
\[
= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{-1} e\left(\pi k\alpha\right) \frac{1}{1 - e^{\pi k\alpha}}
\]
\[
= \frac{i}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\csc(\pi k\alpha)}{k^{1-s}} + \frac{1}{2} \xi(1 - s),
\]

(24)

where \(s \in \mathbb{C}\) with \(\Re(s) < 0\).

**Theorem 5** (see [7], Lemma 1 and Theorem 2). Suppose \(a \in \mathbb{R} \cap \mathbb{Q}\) and \(a \notin \mathbb{Q}\). Then, the infinite series \(\eta(a, s, p, q)\) is absolutely convergent if \(\Re(s) < 0\). If, in addition, \([Q(a); Q] = 2\) and \((p, q) \in \mathbb{Q}^2\), then \(H(a, s, p, q)\) has analytic continuation to \(\mathbb{C} - \{0\}\), and the singularity at \(s = 0\) is at worst a simple pole.

Arakawa proved the absolute convergence of \(\eta(a, s, p, q)\) for \(\Re(s) < 0\), by using the Thue–Siegel–Roth theorem.

Consider the generalized eta-function

\[
\eta(z, s, p, q) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-s} e\left[n(pz + q)\right] \frac{1}{1 - e^{nz}}, \quad s \in \mathbb{C}
\]

(26)
corresponding to (22), for \(z \in \mathbb{H}\) and a pair \((p, q) \in \mathbb{R}^2\) with \(p > 0\). Then, one can see that this series is absolutely convergent for arbitrary \(s \in \mathbb{C}\). It can be easily checked that there is a link between the infinite series \(A(z, s, r_1, r_2)\) and \(\eta(z, s, r_1, r_2)\).

**Lemma 1.** For any pair \((r_1, r_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2\) and \(z \in \mathbb{H}\), we have

\[
A(z, s, r_1, r_2) = \eta(z, s, \langle r_1 \rangle, r_2), \quad s \in \mathbb{C}.
\]

(27)

Now, from the definition of \(H\)-function (13), we have

\[
H(z, s, r_1, r_2) = A(z, s, r_1, r_2) + e\left[\frac{s}{2}\right] A(z, s, -r_1, -r_2).
\]

(28)

Hence, using Lemma 1, we get

\[
H(z, s, r_1, r_2) = \eta(z, s, \langle r_1 \rangle, r_2) + e\left[\frac{s}{2}\right] \eta(z, s, \langle -r_1 \rangle, -r_2).
\]

(29)

Similarly, we have

**Lemma 2.** For any algebraic irrational number \(\alpha\) and a pair \((p, q) \in \mathbb{R}^2\),

\[
A(a, s, p, q) = \eta(a, s, \langle p \rangle, q), \quad \Re(s) < 0.
\]

(30)

Again by the definition of \(H\)-function (23)(due to Arakawa), we have

\[
H(a, s, p, q) = \eta(a, s, \langle p \rangle, q) + e\left[\frac{s}{2}\right] \eta(a, s, \langle -p \rangle, q).\]

(31)

Therefore, by Lemma 2, we get

\[
H(a, s, p, q) = A(a, s, p, q) + e\left[\frac{s}{2}\right] A(a, s, -p, q).
\]

(32)

**Proposition 1** (see [7], Proposition 1). Let \(V = \left(\begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ c & d \end{array}\right) \in SL(2, \mathbb{Z})\), \(\alpha\) be an irrational real algebraic number, and \((p, q) \in \mathbb{R}^2\) with \(p > 0\). Let \(z = a + iy\) with \(y > 0\). Set \(z^* = Vz\) and \(\beta = V\alpha = (a\alpha + b)(c\alpha + d)^{-1}\). If \(\Re(s) < -3\), then

\[
\lim_{y \to 0^+} \eta(z^*, s, p, q) = \eta(\beta, s, p, q).
\]

(33)

Arakawa obtained the following transformation formulae for \(H(a, s, (p, q))\), by virtue of Theorem 4 of Berndt and Proposition 1.

**Theorem 6** (see [7], Theorem 1). Let \(\alpha\) be any real algebraic irrational, and let \(V = \left(\begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ c & d \end{array}\right) \in SL(2, \mathbb{Z})\) with \(c > 0\) such that \(\beta_1 = ca + d > 0\). For any pair \((p, q)\) of real numbers, set \(p' = pa + qc, q' = pb + qd\), and \(\rho = [q']c - [p']d\). Then, for \(\Re(s) < 0\),

\[
D_1(V, \alpha, s, (p, q)) := \beta_1^{-s} H(V\alpha, s, (p, q)) - H(a, s, (p, q)V)
\]

\[
= \beta_1^{-s} H(V\alpha, s, (p, q)) - H(a, s, (p, q))
\]

\[
= -\Omega(p) (2\pi)^\gamma e\left[-\frac{\pi}{4}\right] \beta_1^{-s} T(s)
\]

\[
\cdot \left(\zeta(s, \langle q \rangle) + e\left[-\frac{s}{2}\right] \zeta(s, \langle -q \rangle)\right)
\]

\[
+ \Omega(p') (2\pi)^\gamma e\left[-\frac{\pi}{4}\right] \Gamma(s)
\]

\[
\cdot \left(\zeta(s, \langle -q' \rangle) + e\left[-\frac{s}{2}\right] \zeta(s, \langle q' \rangle)\right)
\]

\[
+ (2\pi)^\gamma e\left[-\frac{\pi}{4}\right] L(a, s, (p, q'), c, d).
\]

(34)
\[
L(\alpha, s, p', q', c, d) = -\sum_{j=1}^{c} \int_{t(\lambda \to \infty)} t^\lambda G_2 \left( 1 - \left( \frac{j + \rho}{c} \right) \right) + \left( \frac{j - \rho}{c} \right), (1, \beta): t \right) \, dt, \quad 0 < \lambda < \frac{2\pi}{B^2}.
\]  

\[
B_m(\alpha, m, (p', q'), c, d) = \frac{2\pi i}{(m + 2)!} \sum_{j=1}^{m+2} \binom{m + 2}{k} \cdot B_k \left( \frac{j - \rho}{c} \right) \frac{e^{j \rho}}{c}
\cdot \left( -\beta \right)^{k-1},
\]  

where \( B_n(x) \) denotes the \( n \)-th Bernoulli polynomial and \( B_n(x) = B_n([x]) \).

**Lemma 3** (see [7], Lemma 4). Let \( \alpha \) be an irrational number in a real quadratic field \( \mathbb{Q}(\Delta) \) and let \( (p, q) \) be a pair of rational numbers. Then, there exist a totally positive unit \( \beta \) of \( \mathbb{Q}(\Delta) \) and an element \( V = \left( \begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ c & d \end{array} \right) \) of \( SL(2, \mathbb{Z}) \) which satisfy the conditions:

(i) \( c > 0 \)

(ii) \( (p, q)V \equiv (p, q) \mod 1 \)

(iii) \( \beta \left( \begin{array}{c} \alpha \\ 1 \end{array} \right) = V \left( \begin{array}{c} \alpha \\ 1 \end{array} \right) \)

We choose such \( \beta \in \mathbb{Q}(\Delta) \) and \( V \in SL(2, \mathbb{Z}) \), i.e., which satisfy the conditions of Lemma 3. Then, using condition (ii), we have

\[
H(\alpha, s, (p, q)) = H(\alpha, s, (p, q)V).
\]  

**Example 3.** Let \( \alpha, \beta, \) and \( V \) as in Lemma 3 and with \( (p, q) = (1, 0) \) and \( (p, q) = (1/2, 0) \). Then,

\[
H(\alpha, s, (1, 0)) = (2\pi)^s \left( -\frac{s}{4} + e^{s/2} \frac{1 - e[s, \beta^{s-1}]}{\beta^{s-1}} \right) \Gamma(s) \zeta(s) + (2\pi)^s e^{[-s/4]} L(\alpha, s, (1, 0), c, d),
\]

\[
H\left( \alpha, s, \left( \frac{1}{2}, 0 \right) \right) = (2\pi)^s e^{[-s/4]} L\left( \alpha, s, \left( \frac{1}{2}, 0 \right), c, d \right).
\]

Values at some particular matrices. Let

\[
V_0 = \left( \begin{array}{cc} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{array} \right),
\]

\[
V_1 = \left( \begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right),
\]

\[
V_2 = V_0^2 V_1^{-1} = \left( \begin{array}{cc} -1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 \end{array} \right).
\]  

**Example 4.** Theorem 6 gives the following:

\[
D_1(V_0, \alpha, s, (p, q)) = \alpha^{-1} H\left( \frac{1}{\alpha}, s, (p, q) \right) - H(\alpha, s, (q, -p))
\]

\[
= -\Omega(p) (2\pi)^s e^{s/4} \alpha^{-1} \Gamma(s) \zeta(s, \langle q, \rangle) + \Omega(q) (2\pi)^s e^{s/4} \Gamma(s) \zeta(s, \langle -p \rangle)
\]

\[
+ (2\pi)^s e^{s/4} L(\alpha, s, (q, -p), 1, 1),
\]

\[
D_1(V_2, \alpha, s, (p, q)) = (\alpha - 1)^{-1} H\left( \frac{1}{\alpha - 1}, s, (p, q) \right)
\]

\[
- H(\alpha, s, (p + q, -q))\]

\[
= -\Omega(p) (2\pi)^s e^{s/4} \left( \alpha - 1 \right)^{-1} \Gamma(s) \zeta(s, \langle q, \rangle) + \Omega(q) (2\pi)^s e^{s/4} \left( \alpha - 1 \right)^{-1} \Gamma(s) \zeta(s, \langle -p \rangle)
\]

\[
+ (2\pi)^s e^{s/4} L(\alpha, s, (p + q, q), 1, 1),
\]

\[
D_1(V_1, \alpha, s, (p, q)) = (\alpha - 1)^{-1} H\left( \frac{1}{\alpha - 1}, s, (p, q) \right)
\]

\[
- H(\alpha, s, (p, q))\]

\[
= -\Omega(p) (2\pi)^s e^{s/4} \left( \alpha - 1 \right)^{-1} \Gamma(s) \zeta(s, \langle q, \rangle) + \Omega(q) (2\pi)^s e^{s/4} \left( \alpha - 1 \right)^{-1} \Gamma(s) \zeta(s, \langle -q \rangle)
\]

\[
+ (2\pi)^s e^{s/4} L(\alpha, s, (p + q, q), 1, 1),
\]

\[
D_1(V_2, \alpha, s, (p, q)) = (\alpha - 1)^{-1} H\left( \frac{1}{\alpha - 1}, s, (p, q) \right)
\]

\[
- H(\alpha, s, (p, q))\]

\[
= -\Omega(p) (2\pi)^s e^{s/4} \left( \alpha - 1 \right)^{-1} \Gamma(s) \zeta(s, \langle q, \rangle) + \Omega(q) (2\pi)^s e^{s/4} \left( \alpha - 1 \right)^{-1} \Gamma(s) \zeta(s, \langle -q \rangle)
\]

\[
+ (2\pi)^s e^{s/4} L(\alpha, s, (p + q, q), 1, 1).
\]
In particular, when \((p, q) = (1, 0)\), we have

\[
D_1(V_0, \alpha, s, (1, 0)) = (2\pi)^{-s} e^{-\frac{s}{4}} (1 + e^{-\frac{s}{2}}) \Gamma(s) (1 + e^{\frac{s}{2}}) \zeta(s) + (2\pi)^{-s} e^{-\frac{s}{4}} L(\alpha, s, (0, -1), 1, 0),
\]

\[
D_1(V_1, \alpha, s, (1, 0)) = (2\pi)^{-s} e^{-\frac{s}{4}} (1 - \alpha) \Gamma(s) (1 + e^{\frac{s}{2}}) \zeta(s) + (2\pi)^{-s} e^{-\frac{s}{4}} L(\alpha, s, (1, 0), 1, 1),
\]

\[
D_1(V_2, \alpha, s, (1, 0)) = (2\pi)^{-s} e^{-\frac{s}{4}} (1 - \alpha) \Gamma(s) (1 + e^{\frac{s}{2}}) \zeta(s) + (2\pi)^{-s} e^{-\frac{s}{4}} L(\alpha, s, (-1, 0), 1, -1).
\]

If we choose \((p, q) = (1/2, 0)\), we get

\[
D_1\left(V_0, \alpha, s, \left(\frac{1}{2}, 0\right)\right) = \alpha^{-1} H\left(\frac{-1}{\alpha}, s, \left(\frac{1}{2}, 0\right)\right) - H\left(\alpha, s, \left(0, -\frac{1}{2}\right)\right)
\]

\[
= (2\pi)^{-s} e^{-\frac{s}{4}} + e^{-\frac{s}{4}} \Gamma(s) \zeta\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) + (2\pi)^{-s} e^{-\frac{s}{4}} L(\alpha, s, (0, -1/2), 1, 0),
\]

\[
D_1\left(V_1, \alpha, s, \left(\frac{1}{2}, 0\right)\right) = (\alpha + 1)^{-1} H\left(\frac{-\alpha}{\alpha + 1}, s, \left(\frac{1}{2}, 0\right)\right) - H\left(\alpha, s, \left(1, 0\right)\right)
\]

\[
= (2\pi)^{-s} e^{-\frac{s}{4}} L(\alpha, s, \left(1, 1\right), 1, 1),
\]

\[
D_1\left(V_2, \alpha, s, \left(\frac{1}{2}, 0\right)\right) = (\alpha - 1)^{-1} H\left(\frac{-\alpha}{\alpha - 1}, s, \left(\frac{1}{2}, 0\right)\right) - H\left(\alpha, s, \left(-1, 0\right)\right)
\]

\[
= (2\pi)^{-s} e^{-\frac{s}{4}} L(\alpha, s, \left(-1, 0\right), 1, -1).
\]

Note that for nonnegative integers \(m\), we have the following explicit formulæ for \(V_j\), where \(j = 0, 1, 2,\)

\[
L(\alpha, -m, (1, 0)V_j, c, d) = \frac{2\pi}{(m + 2)!} \sum_{k=0}^{m+2} \binom{m + 2}{k} \frac{m!}{k!} \cdot B_k(1) \cdot B_{m+2-k}(1) (-\beta)^{k-1},
\]

\[
L\left(\alpha, -\frac{1}{2}, (0)\right)V_j, c, d) = \frac{2\pi}{(m + 2)!} \sum_{k=0}^{m+2} \binom{m + 2}{k} \frac{m!}{k!} \cdot B_k\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \cdot B_{m+2-k}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) (-\beta)^{k-1},
\]

\[
\begin{aligned}
\quad & = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k^{-1} e[k\alpha]}{1 + e[k\alpha]} \\
& = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{-1} \frac{e[k\alpha] - 1}{1 + e[k\alpha] + 1}
\end{aligned}
\]

5. Generalization of the Secant Zeta Function

We introduce two Lambert series corresponding to (22) and (12). These include the generalizations of secant and tangent zeta functions as shown in Example 5. Let \(\alpha\) be any algebraic irrational number and \((p, q)\) a pair of real numbers. Then, we define the series \(\eta^*\) by

\[
\eta^* (\alpha, s, p, q) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-s} e^{\frac{n}{2}(p\alpha + q)} \frac{1}{1 + e[n\alpha]}, \quad \Re(s) < 0
\]
\[ A^*(\alpha, s, \frac{1}{2}, 0) = \eta\left(\alpha, s, \frac{1}{2}, 0\right) \]
\[ = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k^{-1}}{\cos(\pi \alpha)} \]
\[ = \frac{1}{2} \psi(\alpha, 1 - s), \] respectively.

By virtue of the results of Lewittes, Berndt, and Arakawa, we have the following results.

**Lemma 4.** Let \( \alpha \) be an algebraic irrational number and \((p, q)\) be a pair of real numbers. The series \( \eta^*(\alpha, s, p, q) \) is absolutely convergent, if \( s \in C \) with \( \Re(s) < 0 \).

**Proof.** One can prove this result applying the Thue–Siegel–Roth theorem, in a similar manner to Arakawa’s procedure for proving the absolute convergence of the series \( \eta(\alpha, s, p, q) \).

**Lemma 5.** If \( z \in H \) and a pair \((p, q)\) \( \in \mathbb{R}^2 \) with \( p > 0 \), then the series \( \eta^*(z, s, p, q) \) is absolutely convergent for any \( s \in C \).

**Proof.** Since \( z \in H \), assume \( z = x + iy \) with \( y > 0 \). We have
\[ |\eta^*(z, s, p, q)| = \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1} e^{n(pz + q)} \right| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1} \exp(-2\pi ny) \]
\[ = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1} \exp(-2\pi ny) \]
for \( \Re(s) = \sigma ), 1 - \exp(-2\pi ny) \geq 1 - \exp(-2\pi ny) \). And we can choose a enough large positive integer \( K \) such that for \( n > K \)
\[ n^{-1} \exp(-2\pi ny) = \exp((\sigma - 1)(\log n - 2\pi ny)) \leq \exp(-\pi ny). \]
\[ \text{(51)} \]

Thus,
\[ |\eta^*(z, s, p, q)| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1} \exp(-2\pi ny) \]
\[ \leq \sum_{n=1}^{K} n^{-1} \exp(-2\pi ny) + \sum_{n=K+1}^{\infty} n^{-1} \exp(-2\pi ny) \]
\[ = \frac{\exp(-\pi(K+1)py)}{1 - \exp(-2\pi ny)} \]
\[ \leq \frac{\exp(-\pi(K+1)py)}{1 - \exp(-2\pi ny)} \]
\[ \text{since} \quad \langle r_i \rangle = 1. \]
\[ \text{(52)} \]

**Lemma 6.** Let \( z \in H \) and \( \alpha \) be an irrational algebraic number. Then, for any pair of real numbers \((r_1, r_2)\), we have
\[ A^*(\alpha, s, r_1, r_2) = (1-t_{k(r_1)}) \eta^*(\alpha, s, \langle r_1 \rangle, r_2), \quad s \in C, \]
\[ A^*(\alpha, s, r_1, r_2) = (1-t_{k(r_1)}) \eta^*(\alpha, s, \langle r_1 \rangle, r_2), \quad \Re(s) < 0. \]
\[ \text{(54)} \]

**Proof.** If \( r_1 \in Z \), then \( m > -r_1 \) implies \( m = -r_1 + r \) for \( r = 1, 2, 3, \ldots \). By the definition of \( A^*(\alpha, s, r_1, r_2) \), we know
\[ A^*(\alpha, s, r_1, r_2) = \sum_{m=-r_1}^m \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{k^{-1}}{1 + e[krz]} \]
\[ = \sum_{k=1}^\infty \sum_{r=0}^{-r_1+1} (1-t_{k(r_1)}) \eta^*(\alpha, s, \langle r_1 \rangle, r_2), \]
\[ \text{since} \quad \langle r_i \rangle = 1. \]
\[ \text{(55)} \]

Again, if \( r_1 \notin Z \), \( m > -r_1 \) implies \( m = -r_1 + r \) for \( r = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \). So, we will have
\[ A^*(\alpha, s, r_1, r_2) = \sum_{m=-r_1}^m \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{k^{-1}}{1 + e[krz]} \]
\[ = \sum_{k=1}^\infty \sum_{r=0}^{-r_1+1} (1-t_{k(r_1)}) \eta^*(\alpha, s, \langle r_1 \rangle, r_2), \]
\[ \text{since} \quad \langle r_i \rangle = 1. \]
\[ \text{(56)} \]

**Lemma 7.** If \( z \in H \), \( A^*(\alpha, s, r_1, r_2) \) is absolutely convergent for any \( s \in C \).

**Proof.** Using Lemmas 5 and 6, we can show that \( A^*(\alpha, s, r_1, r_2) \) is absolutely convergent for \( s \in C \).
6. Main Results

Consider the difference

\[ D^* (V) := D^* (V, \alpha, s, \frac{1}{2} 0) := \beta^{-s} \Lambda^* (V, \alpha, s, \frac{1}{2}, 0) - A^* (\alpha, s, \frac{1}{2}, 0), \]

for each \( V \) from (40). Now, the second term in the above expression is the secant zeta function in view of (50). This difference is quite natural in the sense that it expresses the surplus after the modular transformation is applied.

We interpret the main result of Lalín et al. Theorem 3 in this setting as a special case of

\[ (a + 1)^{-s} A^* (V_1, \alpha, s, \frac{1}{2}, 0) + (a - 1)^{-s} A^* (V_2, \alpha, s, \frac{1}{2}, 0), \]

for \( \Re (s) < 0 \), and locate it in a natural way as we will see in Corollary 1. Our main theorem is the following.

**Theorem 7.** For a real algebraic irrational \( \alpha \) and a complex variable \( s \) with \( \Re (s) < 0 \), we have

\[
D^* (V_0) = \alpha^{-s} A^* \left( \frac{1}{\alpha}, s, \frac{1}{2}, 0 \right) - A^* \left( \alpha, s, \frac{1}{2}, 0 \right)
\]

\[
= 2^{1 - 2s} \pi^{-s} e \left[ -\frac{s}{4} \right] \left( \Phi_0 + \Gamma(s) \Omega_0 \right) + 2^{1 - s} \Psi_0
\]

\[
= -\frac{(2\pi)^{-s} e^{[-s/4]} \int_{\Omega(\infty)}^{t - 1} (1 + \exp(-t))(1 - \exp(-\alpha t)) dt + 2^{1 - s} \pi^{-s} e \left[ -\frac{s}{4} \right] \Gamma(s) \zeta \left( \frac{s}{4} \right) - \zeta \left( \frac{s}{4} + 1 \right) \right]
\]

\[
= 2^{1 - s} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{e[n(a/2 + 1/4)](e[na/2] + 1)}{1 - e[na]} + 2^{1 - s} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{e[3\pi a/2]}{1 - e[2na]}
\]

\[
D^* (V_1) = (a + 1)^{-s} A^* \left( \frac{\alpha}{a + 1}, s, \frac{1}{2}, 0 \right) - A^* \left( \alpha, s, \frac{1}{2}, 0 \right)
\]

\[
= 2^{1 - 2s} \pi^{-s} e \left[ -\frac{s}{4} \right] \Phi_1 + 2^{1 - s} \Psi_1
\]

\[
= \frac{(2\pi)^{-s} e^{[-s/4]} \int_{\Omega(\infty)}^{t - 1} (1 + \exp(-t))(1 - \exp(-\alpha t)) dt + 2^{1 - s} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{\cos(\pi a/2)} \right]
\]

Also,

\[
D^* (V_2) = (a - 1)^{-s} A^* \left( \frac{-a}{a - 1}, s, \frac{1}{2}, 0 \right) - A^* \left( \alpha, s, \frac{1}{2}, 0 \right)
\]

\[
= 2^{1 - 2s} \pi^{-s} e \left[ -\frac{s}{4} \right] \Phi_2 + 2^{1 - s} \Psi_2
\]

\[
= \frac{(2\pi)^{-s} e^{[-s/4]} \int_{\Omega(\infty)}^{t - 1} (1 + \exp(-t))(1 - \exp(-\alpha t)) dt - 2^{1 - s} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n} \omega}{\cos(\pi a/2)} \right]
\]

where \( \Phi_k \) and \( \Psi_k \) and \( (k = 0, 1, 2) \) are defined later. They indicate the block of \( L \)-integrals and the block of \( H \)-functions, corresponding to the matrix \( V_k \), respectively. Also, \( \Omega_0 \) is defined in (90). We recover the main result of Lalín et al. ([11], Theorem 3), i.e., Theorem 3 by adding the equations (61) and (62). We note it as a corollary.
Corollary 1.

\[(\alpha + 1)^{-s}A^s\left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha + 1}, \frac{1}{2}, 0\right) + (\alpha - 1)^{-s}A^s\left(\frac{-\alpha}{\alpha - 1}, \frac{1}{2}, 0\right) = -\frac{(2\pi)^{s/2}}{1 - e^{((2k + 1)/2)}} \int_{I(\lambda, \alpha)} t^{-2k} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} 2^{m-1} E_{m/2}^{m} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\begin{array}{c}
\frac{1}{2} \\mbox{t}^{-n-1}
\end{array}\right) dt.
\]

(63)

The genesis of the transformation formula of Lalín et al. ([11], Theorem 3) for the secant zeta function is given by the

\[(\alpha + 1)^{2k-1}A^s\left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha + 1}, -2k + 1, \frac{1}{2}, 0\right) + (\alpha - 1)^{2k-1}A^s\left(\frac{-\alpha}{\alpha - 1}, -2k + 1, \frac{1}{2}, 0\right)
= -\frac{(2\pi)^{2k-1}e^{((2k + 1)/2)}}{1 - e^{((2k + 1)/2)}} \int_{I(\lambda, \alpha)} t^{-2k} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} 2^{m-1} E_{m/2}^{m} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\begin{array}{c}
\frac{1}{2} \\mbox{t}^{-n-1}
\end{array}\right) dt
\]

(64)

This proves Theorem 3.

The following conjecture seems to be plausible.

Conjecture 2. Let \(W_1 = \left(\begin{array}{cc}
a_1 & b_1 \\
c_1 & d_1
\end{array}\right)\) and \(W_2 = \left(\begin{array}{cc}
a_2 & b_2 \\
c_2 & d_2
\end{array}\right)\) be two matrices in \(\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})\) which are inverses to each other. Then, for a pair \((p, q)\) \in \(\mathbb{Z}^2\),

\[(c_1\alpha + d_1)^n A^s(W_1, \alpha, s, p, q) + \overline{(c_2\alpha + d_2)^n A^s(W_2, \alpha, s, p, q)}
\]

(65)

can be expressible in terms of special values of the zeta and \(L\)-functions as we have seen for the sum of two explicit expressions for

\[(c_1\alpha + d_1)^n A^s(V, \alpha, s, \left(\begin{array}{c}
\frac{1}{2} \\
0
\end{array}\right)) = A^s(\alpha, s, \left(\begin{array}{c}
\frac{1}{2} \\
0
\end{array}\right)), \quad j = 1, 2.
\]

(66)

7. \(A^s\) in Terms of \(A\) and \(H\)-Functions

Before proving our main theorem we need to express \(A^s\) in terms of \(A\) and \(H\). We know that given a sum \(S = \sum a_n\) with its even and odd parts \(S_e\) and \(S_o\), where the even part is over all even integer values and odd part over odd integer values, the sum \(2S - S\) is the alternating sum \(\sum (-1)^n a_n\). Using this observation, we have the following result.

\[\text{Lemma 8.} \quad A^s(z, s, r_1, r_2) = 2A(2z, s, r_1/2, r_2) - A(z, s, r_1, r_2).
\]

Proof. By the definition of \(A^s(z, s, r_1, r_2)\), we have

\[A^s(z, s, r_1, r_2) = \sum_{m > r_1} (-1)^m \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{-1} e^{kr_2 + k(m + r_1)z}
\]

(67)

\[= 2 \sum_{m > r_1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{-1} e^{kr_2 + k(m + r_1)z}
\]

\[- \sum_{m > r_1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{-1} e^{kr_2 + k(m + r_1)z}
\]

\[= 2 \sum_{2m > r_1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{-1} e^{kr_2 + k(2m + r_1)z}
\]

\[- \sum_{2m > r_1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{-1} e^{kr_2 + k(2m + r_1)z}
\]

\[= 2A(2z, s, r_1/2, r_2) - A(z, s, r_1, r_2).
\]
There is a duplication formula for $A(z, s, r_1, r_2)$ which is as follows:

**Lemma 9.** $A(z, s, r_1, r_2) + A(z, s, r_1, r_2 + 1/2) = 2A(z, s, r_1, 2r_2)$.

**Proof.** From Definition 1 of $A(z, s, r_1, r_2)$, we have

\[
A(z, s, r_1, r_2) + A(z, s, r_1, r_2 + 1/2) = \sum_{m > r_1, k=1}^{\infty} k^{-1}e[kr_2 + k(m + r_1)z] + \sum_{m > r_1, k=1}^{\infty} k^{-1}e[k(r_2 + 1/2) + k(m + r_1)z] = \sum_{m > r_1, k=1}^{\infty} k^{-1}e[kr_2 + k(m + r_1)z\left(1 + e\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right)] (68)
\]

\[
= 2 \sum_{m > r_1, k=1}^{\infty} (2k)^{-1}e[2kr_2 + 2k(m + r_1)z] = 2^{-\frac{s}{2}} \sum_{m > r_1, k=1}^{\infty} k^{-1}e[k(2r_2) + k(m + r_1)(2z)] = 2^s A(z, s, r_1, 2r_2).
\]

Using the duplication formula, i.e., Lemma 9 in Lemma 8, we get

**Lemma 10.**

\[
A^*(z, s, r_1, r_2) = 2^{1-s}A\left(z, s, \frac{r_1}{2}, \frac{r_2}{2}\right) + 2^{1-s}A\left(z, s, \frac{r_1}{2}, \frac{r_2 + 1}{2}\right) - A(z, s, r_1, r_2).
\]

(69)

On the other hand,

\[
H(z, s, r_1, r_2) = A(z, s, r_1, r_2) + \frac{s}{2} A(z, s, -r_1, -r_2),
\]

\[
H(z, s, -r_1, -r_2) = A(z, s, -r_1, -r_2) + \frac{s}{2} A(z, s, r_1, r_2),
\]

\[
H(z, s, -r_1, -r_2) = A(z, s, -r_1, -r_2) + \frac{s}{2} A(z, s, r_1, r_2).
\]

(70)

Therefore,

\[
A(z, s, r_1, r_2) = \frac{1}{1 - e^{[s]}} [H(z, s, r_1, r_2) - e^{\left\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \right\rfloor} H(z, s, -r_1, -r_2)].
\]

(71)

Substituting (71) in Lemma 10, we deduce the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.** For a real algebraic irrational $a$, a pair $(p, q)$ of real numbers with $p > 0$, and a complex variable $s$ with $\Re(s) < 0$, we have

\[
(1 - e^{[s]}A^*(a, s, p, q) = 2^{1-s}\left[H(a, s, \left(\frac{p}{2}\right)) - e^{\left\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \right\rfloor} H(a, s, \left(-\frac{q}{2}\right))\right]
\]

\[
+ 2^{1-s}\left[H(a, s, \left(\frac{p}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{2}) - e^{\left\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \right\rfloor} H(a, s, \left(-\frac{q}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{2})\right]
\]

\[
- (1 - e^{[s]}A(a, s, p, q),
\]

(72)

where

\[
(1 - e^{[s]}A(a, s, p, q) = \left\{H(a, s, (p, q)) - e^{\left\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \right\rfloor} H(a, s, (-p, -q))\right\},
\]

as in equation (71).

**Example 6.** If we consider $(p, q) = (1, 0)$ and $(1/2, 0)$, then we get

\[
A^*(a, s, 1, 0) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{[s/2]} \left\{2^{1-s}H(a, s, \left(\frac{1}{2}\right))\right\} + 2^{1-s}H(a, s, \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)) - H(a, s, (1, 0))},
\]

\[
A^*(a, s, 1, 0) = \frac{2^{1-s}e^{[s/2]} H(a, s, \left(\frac{1}{4}\right))}{1 - e^{[s]}} - \frac{2^{1-s}e^{[s/2]} H(a, s, \left(\frac{1}{4}\right))}{1 - e^{[s]}} + \frac{2^{1-s}e^{[s/2]} H(a, s, \left(\frac{1}{4}\right))}{1 - e^{[s]}} - \frac{2^{1-s}e^{[s/2]} H(a, s, \left(\frac{1}{4}\right))}{1 - e^{[s]}}.
\]

(74)

For the last term, with $s$ an even integer, we use either

\[
H\left(a, s, \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right) = \frac{(2e)^{1-s}e^{[-s/4]}}{\beta^2 - 1} L\left(a, s, \left(\frac{1}{2}\right), c, d\right)
\]

(75)

or

\[
\frac{1}{1 + e^{[s/2]} H\left(a, s, \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right)} = \frac{i}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{1-s} \frac{1}{\sin(\pi \alpha)}
\]

(76)

which follows from Examples 1 and 3, respectively.
8. General Procedure

The general procedure is to transform

\[ D^* (V) := D^* (V, s, p, q) := \beta^s A^* (V, s, p, q) - A^* (s, p, q). \]  

(77)

We recall the following notations

\[ D_1 (V, s, (p, q)) = \beta^s H (V, s, (p, q)) - H (a, s, (p, q)V), \]  

\[ D_0^* (V, s, p, q) = \beta^s A (V, s, p, q) - A (a, s, p, q). \]  

(78)

\[ D^* (V, s, p, q) + D_0^* (V, s, p, q) = \frac{2^{1-s}}{1-e[s]} \left( \beta^s H (V, s, \left( \frac{p}{2}, \frac{q}{2} \right)) - H (a, s, \left( \frac{p}{2}, \frac{q}{2} \right)) \right) \]

\[ - \frac{2^{1-s}e[s/2]}{1-e[s]} \left( \beta^s H (V, s, \left( -\frac{p}{2}, -\frac{q}{2} \right)) - H (a, s, \left( -\frac{p}{2}, -\frac{q}{2} \right)) \right) \]

\[ + \frac{2^{1-s}}{1-e[s]} \left( \beta^s H (V, s, \left( \frac{p}{2} + \frac{1}{2}, \frac{q}{2} \right)) - H (a, s, \left( \frac{p}{2} + \frac{1}{2}, \frac{q}{2} \right)) \right) \]

\[ - \frac{2^{1-s}e[s/2]}{1-e[s]} \left( \beta^s H (V, s, \left( -\frac{p}{2} - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{q}{2} \right)) - H (a, s, \left( -\frac{p}{2} - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{q}{2} \right)) \right). \]  

(81)

For \((p, q) = (1/2, 0)\), we have

\[ D_0^* (V, s, \frac{1}{2}, 0) = \frac{1}{1 + e[s/2]} \left( \beta^s H (V, s, \left( \frac{1}{2}, 0 \right)) \right) \]

\[ - H (a, s, \left( \frac{1}{2}, 0 \right)). \]  

(82)

\[ D^* (V, s, p, q) + D_0^* (V, s, p, q) = \frac{2^{1-s}}{1-e[s]} (D_1 (V, s, \left( \frac{p}{2}, \frac{q}{2} \right)) + H (a, s, \left( \frac{p}{2}, \frac{q}{2} \right)V) - H (a, s, \left( \frac{p}{2}, \frac{q}{2} \right))) \]

\[ - \frac{2^{1-s}e[s/2]}{1-e[s]} (D_1 (V, s, \left( -\frac{p}{2}, -\frac{q}{2} \right)) + H (a, s, \left( -\frac{p}{2}, -\frac{q}{2} \right)V) - H (a, s, \left( -\frac{p}{2}, -\frac{q}{2} \right))) \]

\[ + \frac{2^{1-s}}{1-e[s]} (D_1 (V, s, \left( \frac{p}{2} + \frac{1}{2}, \frac{q}{2} \right)) + H (a, s, \left( \frac{p}{2} + \frac{1}{2}, \frac{q}{2} \right)V) - H (a, s, \left( \frac{p}{2} + \frac{1}{2}, \frac{q}{2} \right))) \]

\[ - \frac{2^{1-s}e[s/2]}{1-e[s]} (D_1 (V, s, \left( -\frac{p}{2} - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{q}{2} \right)) + H (a, s, \left( -\frac{p}{2} - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{q}{2} \right)V) - H (a, s, \left( -\frac{p}{2} - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{q}{2} \right))). \]  

(83)

where

\[ D_0^* (V, s, p, q) = \frac{1}{1 - e[s]} \left( D_1 (V, s, (p, q)) \right) \]

\[ + H (a, s, (p, q)V) - H (a, s, (p, q))) \]

\[ - \frac{e[s/2]}{1-e[s]} (D_1 (V, s, (-p, -q)) \]

\[ + H (a, s, (-p, -q)V) - H (a, s, (-p, -q))). \]  

(84)

in the case of (79), while

\[ D_0^* (V, s, \frac{1}{2}, 0) = \frac{1}{1 + e[s/2]} \left( D_1 (V, s, \left( \frac{1}{2}, 0 \right)) \right) \]

\[ + H (a, s, \left( \frac{1}{2}, 0 \right)V) - H (a, s, \left( \frac{1}{2}, 0 \right))). \]  

(85)

in the case of (82). Hence,

\[ A(z, s, r_1, r_2) = \frac{1}{1 - e[s]} \left( H(z, s, r_1, r_2) - e[s/2]H(z, s, -r_1, -r_2) \right). \]  

(80)
\[ D^* (V, \alpha, s, p, q) + D^*_0 (V, \alpha, s, p, q) = \frac{2^{1-s}}{1-e[s]} D_1 (V, \alpha, s, \left( \frac{p}{2} \right)) - \frac{2^{1-s} e[s/2]}{1-e[s]} D_1 (V, \alpha, s, \left( \frac{-p}{2} \right)) \]
\[ + \frac{2^{1-s}}{1-e[s]} D_1 (V, \alpha, s, \left( \frac{p}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right)) - \frac{2^{1-s} e[s/2]}{1-e[s]} D_1 (V, \alpha, s, \left( \frac{-p}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \right)) \]
\[ + \frac{2^{1-s}}{1-e[s]} \left( H(\alpha, s, \left( \frac{p}{2} \right)) - H(\alpha, s, \left( \frac{-p}{2} \right)) \right) \]
\[ - \frac{2^{1-s} e[s/2]}{1-e[s]} \left( H(\alpha, s, \left( \frac{-p}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right)) - H(\alpha, s, \left( \frac{-p}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \right)) \right) \]
\[ - \frac{2^{1-s} e[s/2]}{1-e[s]} \left( H(\alpha, s, \left( \frac{-p}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \right)) - H(\alpha, s, \left( \frac{-p}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right)) \right) \]
\[ = \frac{2^{1-s}}{1-e[s]} D_1 (V, \alpha, s, \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)) + \frac{2^{1-s} e[s/2]}{1-e[s]} D_1 (V, \alpha, s, \left( -\frac{1}{2} \right)) \]
\[ + \frac{2^{1-s}}{1-e[s]} D_1 (V, \alpha, s, \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right)) \]
\[ + \frac{2^{1-s}}{1-e[s]} \left( H(\alpha, s, \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)) - H(\alpha, s, \left( -\frac{1}{2} \right)) \right) \]
\[ - \frac{2^{1-s} e[s/2]}{1-e[s]} \left( H(\alpha, s, \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right)) \right) \]
\[ = \frac{2^{1-s}}{1-e[s]} D_1 (V, \alpha, s, \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)) + \frac{2^{1-s} e[s/2]}{1-e[s]} D_1 (V, \alpha, s, \left( -\frac{1}{2} \right)) \]
\[ + \frac{2^{1-s}}{1-e[s]} \left( H(\alpha, s, \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)) - H(\alpha, s, \left( -\frac{1}{2} \right)) \right) \]
\[ - \frac{2^{1-s} e[s/2]}{1-e[s]} \left( H(\alpha, s, \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)) \right) \]
\[ - \frac{1-e[s/2]}{1-e[s]} \left( H(\alpha, s, \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)) \right) \]
(86)

where the last term is either (79) or (85).

9. Proof of Theorem 7 (60)

The three identities in Theorem 7 are proved on similar lines. We begin by using (83) and (86).
Then, applying (41), we deduce that
\[
D^*(V_0) = \frac{2^{1-s}}{1-e[s]} (2\pi)^s \left\{ e \left[ -\frac{s}{4} \right] L \left( \alpha, s, \left( 0, -\frac{1}{4}, 1, 0 \right) \right] - e \left[ \frac{s}{4} \right] L \left( \alpha, s, \left( 0, \frac{1}{4}, 1, 0 \right) \right] \right. \\
+ \frac{2^{1-s}}{1-e[s]} (2\pi)^s \left\{ e \left[ -\frac{s}{4} \right] L \left( \alpha, s, \left( \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, 1, 0 \right) \right] - e \left[ \frac{s}{4} \right] L \left( \alpha, s, \left( \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{4}, 1, 0 \right) \right] \right. \\
- \frac{1-e[s/2]}{1-e[s]} (2\pi)^s e \left[ -\frac{s}{4} \right] L \left( \alpha, s, \left( 0, \frac{1}{2}, 1, 0 \right) \right] - \frac{2^{1-s} e[s/2]}{1-e[s]} (2\pi)^s e \left[ -\frac{s}{4} \right] \Gamma \left( \frac{3}{4} \right) + e \left[ \frac{s}{2} \right] \left( \frac{3}{4} \right) \right. \\
+ \frac{2^{1-s}}{1-e[s]} (2\pi)^s e \left[ -\frac{s}{4} \right] \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) \left( \frac{1}{4} \right) \left( \frac{1}{4} \right) + \frac{2^{1-s}}{1-e[s]} (2\pi)^s e \left[ -\frac{s}{4} \right] \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) \left( \frac{1}{4} \right) \left( \frac{1}{4} \right) \\
- \frac{1-e[s/2]}{1-e[s]} (2\pi)^s e \left[ -\frac{s}{4} \right] \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) \left( \frac{1}{4} \right) \left( \frac{1}{4} \right) - \frac{2^{1-s} e[s/2]}{1-e[s]} (2\pi)^s e \left[ -\frac{s}{4} \right] \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) \left( \frac{1}{4} \right) \left( \frac{1}{4} \right) \\
- \frac{2^{1-s} e[s/2]}{1-e[s]} \left\{ H \left( \alpha, s, \left( 0, -\frac{1}{2}, 1, 0 \right) \right] - H \left( \alpha, s, \left( 0, -\frac{1}{2}, 1, 0 \right) \right] \right\} \\
- \frac{2^{1-s} e[s/2]}{1-e[s]} \left\{ H \left( \alpha, s, \left( 0, -\frac{1}{2}, 1, 0 \right) \right] - H \left( \alpha, s, \left( 0, -\frac{1}{2}, 1, 0 \right) \right] \right\} \\
- \frac{2^{1-s} e[s/2]}{1-e[s]} \left\{ H \left( \alpha, s, \left( 0, -\frac{1}{2}, 1, 0 \right) \right] - H \left( \alpha, s, \left( 0, -\frac{1}{2}, 1, 0 \right) \right] \right\} \\
For \frac{1-e[s]}{1-e[s]} \Phi_0 = L \left( \alpha, s, \left( 0, -\frac{1}{4}, 1, 0 \right) \right] + L \left( \alpha, s, \left( -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{4}, 1, 0 \right) \right] - e \left[ \frac{s}{2} \right] L \left( \alpha, s, \left( 0, -\frac{1}{4}, 1, 0 \right) \right] - e \left[ \frac{s}{2} \right] L \left( \alpha, s, \left( -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{4}, 1, 0 \right) \right] \\
- \left\{ \left( 1-e \left[ \frac{s}{2} \right] \right) 2^{-1} L \left( \alpha, s, \left( 0, -\frac{1}{2}, 1, 0 \right) \right] \right. \\
(1-e[s])\Phi_0 = \frac{1}{4} \left\{ \frac{1}{4} \right\} - \frac{1}{4} \left\{ \frac{1}{4} \right\} \left( \frac{1}{4} \right) + \left\{ \frac{1}{4} \right\} \left( \frac{1}{4} \right) \\
(1-e[s])\Phi_0 = \frac{1}{4} \left\{ \frac{1}{4} \right\} - \frac{1}{4} \left\{ \frac{1}{4} \right\} \left( \frac{1}{4} \right) + \left\{ \frac{1}{4} \right\} \left( \frac{1}{4} \right) \\
(1-e[s])\Phi_0 = \frac{1}{4} \left\{ \frac{1}{4} \right\} - \frac{1}{4} \left\{ \frac{1}{4} \right\} \left( \frac{1}{4} \right) + \left\{ \frac{1}{4} \right\} \left( \frac{1}{4} \right) \\
Now, we can express the difference \( D^*(V_0) \) as
\[
D^*(V_0) = 2^{1-s} \pi^s \left\{ \frac{s}{4} \Phi_0 + \Gamma (s) \Omega_0 \right\} + 2^{1-s} \Psi_0. \tag{92}
\]
Combining the first two integrals, we have

\[
(1 - e[s])\Phi_0 = I_0 + 2^{r-1} \left(1 - e\left[\frac{s}{2}\right]\right) \int_{I(1, \infty)} t^{r-1} \frac{\exp(-1/2 + \alpha t)}{(1 - \exp(-t))(1 - \exp(-at))} \, dt,
\]

where

\[
I_0 = \int_{I(1, \infty)} t^{r-1} \exp(-t/4)(1 - \exp(\pi is - t/2))(\exp(-at) + \exp(-at/2)) \frac{\exp(-1/2 + \alpha t)}{(1 - \exp(-t))(1 - \exp(-at))} \, dt.
\]

Now, making the change of variable \(t \rightarrow 2t\), we get

\[
I_0 = 2^r \int_{I(1, \infty)} t^{r-1} \exp(-t/2)(1 - \exp(\pi is - t))(\exp(-2at) + \exp(-at)) \frac{\exp(-1/2 + \alpha t)}{(1 + \exp(-t))(1 - \exp(-at))} \, dt.
\]

Hence, after eliminating the common factor, we arrive at

\[
(1 - e[s])\Phi_0 = 2^r \int_{I(1, \infty)} t^{r-1} \frac{\exp(-t/2)(1 + \exp(\pi is - t))\exp(-at)}{(1 + \exp(-t))(1 - \exp(-at))(1 - \exp(-at))} \, dt
\]

\[\hspace{1cm} + 2^{r-1} \left(1 - e\left[\frac{s}{2}\right]\right) \int_{I(1, \infty)} t^{r-1} \frac{\exp(-1/2 + \alpha t)}{(1 - \exp(-t))(1 - \exp(-at))} \, dt.
\]

Therefore,

\[
\Phi_0 = \frac{2^{r-1}}{1 - e[s/2]} \int_{I(1, \infty)} t^{r-1} \frac{\exp(-1/2 + \alpha t)}{(1 + \exp(-t))(1 - \exp(-at))} \, dt.
\]

Our next target is to calculate \(\Psi_0\). Using (23), we have

\[
\Psi_0 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-1} e\left[n(\alpha - 1/4)\right] \frac{1 - e[n\alpha]}{1 - e[\alpha]} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-1} e\left[n(\alpha/2 - 1/4)\right] \frac{1 - e[n\alpha/2]}{1 - e[\alpha/2]} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-1} e\left[n\alpha/4\right] \frac{1 - e[n\alpha/4]}{1 - e[\alpha/4]} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-1} e\left[n(\alpha/4 + 1/2)\right] \frac{1 - e[n\alpha/4]}{1 - e[\alpha/4]}
\]

\[\hspace{1cm} - 2^r \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-1} e\left[n(\alpha + 1/2)\right] \frac{1 - e[n\alpha]}{1 - e[\alpha]} + 2^r \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-1} e\left[n\alpha/2\right] \frac{1 - e[n\alpha/2]}{1 - e[\alpha/2]}
\]

\[\hspace{1cm} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-1} e\left[n(\alpha/2 - 1/4)\right] (e[n\alpha/2] + 1) \frac{1 - e[n\alpha]}{1 - e[\alpha]} - 2^{r-1} e\left[2n(\alpha/4 - 1/4)\right] (1 + e[n\alpha/4]) \frac{1 - e[n\alpha/4]}{1 - e[2n\alpha]}
\]

\[\hspace{1cm} - 2^{r-1} e\left[2n\alpha/4\right] (1 + (-1)^n) \frac{1 - e[n\alpha]}{1 - e[\alpha]} + 2^{r-1} e\left[2n\alpha/4\right] (1 + e[n\alpha]) \frac{1 - e[n\alpha]}{1 - e[2n\alpha]}
\]

\[\hspace{1cm} = - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-1} e\left[n(\alpha/2 + 1/4)\right] (e[n\alpha/2] + 1) \frac{1 - e[n\alpha]}{1 - e[\alpha]} + 2^{r-1} e\left[3n\alpha/2\right] \frac{1 - e[3n\alpha/2]}{1 - e[2n\alpha]}
\]
To calculate $\Omega_0$, we use $2^s \zeta((s, 1/2)) = \zeta((s, 1/4)) + \zeta((s, 3/4))$, and we get

$$\Omega_0 = \left( \zeta\left( s, \frac{1}{4}\right) - 2^{s-1}\zeta\left( s, \frac{1}{2}\right) \right) = 2^{-1}\left( \zeta\left( s, \frac{1}{4}\right) - \zeta\left( s, \frac{3}{4}\right) \right).$$

(101)

Finally, combining the expressions for $\Phi_0, \Psi_0, \text{and } \Omega_0$ we deduce Theorem 7 (60).

### 10. Proof of Theorem 7(61)

By using Proposition 2 and from (42), we have

$$D^*(V_1) = D^*(V_1, \alpha, s, \frac{1}{2}, 0)$$

$$= (\alpha + 1)^{-\pi} A^*\left( \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + 1}, s, \frac{1}{2}, 0 \right) - A^*(\alpha, s, \frac{1}{2}, 0)$$

$$= \frac{2^{1-s}}{1 - e[s]} (2\pi)^{1-s} \left\{ e^{-\frac{s}{4}} L\left( \alpha, s, \left( \frac{1}{4}, 0 \right) \right) - e^{\left( \frac{s}{4} \right)} L\left( \alpha, s, \left( -\frac{1}{4}, 0 \right) \right) \right\}$$

$$+ \frac{2^{1-s}}{1 - e[s]} (2\pi)^{1-s} \left\{ e^{-\frac{s}{4}} L\left( \alpha, s, \left( \frac{3}{4}, 1 \right) \right) - e^{\left( \frac{s}{4} \right)} L\left( \alpha, s, \left( -\frac{3}{4}, -1 \right) \right) \right\}$$

$$+ \frac{2^{1-s}}{1 - e[s]} - \frac{2^{1-s} e[s/2]}{1 - e[s]} \left\{ e^{-\frac{s}{4}} L\left( \alpha, s, \left( \frac{1}{4}, 1 \right) \right) - e^{\left( \frac{s}{4} \right)} L\left( \alpha, s, \left( -\frac{1}{4}, -1 \right) \right) \right\}$$

$$= \frac{1 - e[s/2]}{1 - e[s]} (2\pi)^{1-s} \left\{ e^{-\frac{s}{4}} L\left( \alpha, s, \left( 1/2, 0 \right) \right) \right\}.$$  

(102)

Let

$$(1 - e[s])\Phi_1 = L\left( \alpha, s, \left( \frac{1}{4}, 0 \right) \right) + L\left( \alpha, s, \left( \frac{3}{4}, 1 \right) \right)$$

$$- e^{-\frac{s}{2}} L\left( \alpha, s, \left( \frac{1}{2}, 0 \right) \right)$$

$$- e^{-\frac{s}{2}} L\left( \alpha, s, \left( \frac{3}{2}, 0 \right) \right)$$

$$- \left( 1 - e^{-\frac{s}{2}} \right) 2^{s-1} L\left( \alpha, s, \left( 1/2, 0 \right) \right).$$

(103)

(104)

We now express (102) as

$$D^*(V_1) = 2^{1-2s} \pi^{-\pi} e^{-\frac{s}{4}} \Phi_1 + 2^{1-s} \Psi_1.$$  

(105)

Now, utilizing the integral representation (35) of $L(\alpha, s, (p', q'), c, d)$, we have
Using the definition of $H$-function, from (104), we have
\[
\Psi_1 = \eta(a, s, \frac{3}{4}, \frac{1}{2}) - \eta(a, s, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1} e^{[na/4 + 1/2]} - e^{[na/4 + 1/2]} / (1 - e^{[na/4 + 1/2]}) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1} e^{[na/4 + 1/2]} / (1 - e^{[na/4 + 1/2]})
\]
(111)

The $n$th summand is
\[
\Psi_1 = \frac{2^{n-1} e^{[na/4 + 1/2]} e^{[na/2]} - 1}{1 - e^{[na/2]} - 1}
\]
(112)

from which we may eliminate the common factor $e^{[(1/2)na]} - 1$. Therefore,
\[
\Psi_1 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1} (-1)^{n-1} e^{[na/4]} e^{[na/2]} + 1 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1} \cos(\pi na/2)
\]
(113)

Now, we substitute (110) and (113) in (102) and finally get
\[
(1 - e[s])\Phi = I_1
\]
(107)
\[ (\alpha + 1)^{-s} A^* \left( \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + 1}, s, \frac{1}{2}, 0 \right) - A^* \left( \alpha, s, \frac{1}{2}, 0 \right) = -\frac{(2\pi)^{-s} e^{-[-s/4]}}{1 - e[s/2]} \int_{I(1,\infty)} t^{i-1} \exp(-t/2) \frac{\exp(-(\alpha + 1)t/2)}{(1 + \exp(-t)) (1 - \exp(-\alpha + 1)t)} \, dt \]

\[ + 2^{-s} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{i-1} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{\cos(\pi n/2)}. \]  

(114)

This completes the proof of Theorem 7 (61).

\[ D^* (V_2) = D^* \left( V_2, \alpha, s, \frac{1}{2}, 0 \right) \]

\[ = (\alpha - 1)^{-s} A^* \left( \frac{-\alpha}{\alpha - 1}, s, \frac{1}{2}, 0 \right) - A^* \left( \alpha, s, \frac{1}{2}, 0 \right) \]

\[ = \frac{2^{1-s}}{1 - e[s]} (2\pi)^{-s} \left\{ e^{-s/4} L \left( \alpha, s, \left( \frac{1}{4}, 0 \right), 1, -1 \right) - e^{s/4} L \left( \alpha, s, \left( \frac{1}{4}, 0 \right), 1, -1 \right) \right\} \]

\[ + \frac{2^{1-s}}{1 - e[s]} (2\pi)^{-s} \left\{ e^{-s/4} L \left( \alpha, s, \left( \frac{1}{2}, 1 \right), 1, -1 \right) - e^{s/4} L \left( \alpha, s, \left( \frac{1}{2}, 1 \right), 1, -1 \right) \right\} \]

\[ - \frac{1 - e[s/2]}{1 - e[s]} (2\pi)^{-s} e^{-s/4} L \left( \alpha, s, \left( \frac{1}{2}, 0 \right), 1, -1 \right) \]

\[ + \frac{2^{1-s}}{1 - e[s]} \left\{ H \left( \alpha, s, \left( \frac{1}{4}, 0 \right) \right) - H \left( \alpha, s, \left( \frac{1}{4}, 0 \right) \right) \right\} \]

\[ - \frac{2^{1-s} e[s/2]}{1 - e[s]} \left\{ H \left( \alpha, s, \left( \frac{1}{4}, 0 \right) \right) - H \left( \alpha, s, \left( \frac{1}{4}, 0 \right) \right) \right\} \]

\[ = 2^{1-2s} \pi^{-s} e^{-s/4} \Phi_2 + 2^{1-s} \Psi_2, \]

where

\[ (1 - e[s]) \Phi_2 = L \left( \alpha, s, \left( \frac{1}{4}, 0 \right), 1, -1 \right) - e^{s/2} L \left( \alpha, s, \left( \frac{1}{4}, 0 \right), 1, -1 \right) \]

\[ + L \left( \alpha, s, \left( \frac{1}{4}, -\frac{1}{2} \right), 1, -1 \right) - e^{s/2} L \left( \alpha, s, \left( \frac{1}{4}, -\frac{1}{2} \right), 1, -1 \right) \]

\[ - \left( 1 - e[s/2] \right) 2^{-i-1} L \left( \alpha, s, \left( \frac{1}{2}, 0 \right), 1, -1 \right). \]

(115)

\[ (1 - e[s]) \Psi_2 = H \left( \alpha, s, \left( \frac{1}{4}, 0 \right) \right) - H \left( \alpha, s, \left( \frac{1}{4}, 0 \right) \right) \]

\[ - e^{s/2} H \left( \alpha, s, \left( \frac{1}{4}, 0 \right) \right) + e^{s/2} H \left( \alpha, s, \left( \frac{1}{4}, 0 \right) \right) \]

\[ = \left( 1 + e^{s/2} \right) \left( H \left( \alpha, s, \left( \frac{1}{4}, 0 \right) \right) - H \left( \alpha, s, \left( \frac{1}{4}, 0 \right) \right) \right). \]

(117)

11. Proof of Theorem 7 (62)

We follow the same route: first, we use Proposition 2 and then using (43), we obtain
To simplify $\Phi_2$, we make use of the integral representation (35) of $L(\alpha, s, (p', q'), c, d)$. So, we have

\[(1 - e[s])\Phi_2 = I_2 + 2^{s-1}(1 - e[s/2]) \int_{I(\infty)} t^{s-1} \frac{\exp(-(1/2 + (\alpha - 1)/2)t)}{(1 - \exp(-t))(1 - \exp(-(\alpha - 1)t))} \, dt,
\]

where

\[I_2 = \int_{I(\infty)} t^{s-1} \frac{(-\exp(-t/4) + \exp(n\pi s - 3t/4))(\exp - (\alpha - 1)t/4 + \exp(-3(\alpha - 1)t/4))}{(1 - \exp(-t))(1 - \exp(-(\alpha - 1)t))} \, dt.
\]

As before, by eliminating the common factor $1 - \exp(-t)$, we obtain

\[I_2 = 2^{s} \int_{I(\infty)} t^{s-1} \frac{\exp(-t/2)\exp(-(\alpha - 1)t/2)(-1 + \exp(n\pi s - t))}{(1 + \exp(-t))(1 - \exp(-t))(1 - \exp(-(\alpha - 1)t))} \, dt.
\]

Whence, it follows that

\[\Phi_2 = -\frac{2^{s-1}}{1 - e[s/2]} \int_{I(\infty)} t^{s-1} \frac{\exp(-(1/2 + (\alpha - 1)/2)t)}{(1 + \exp(-t))(1 - \exp(-(\alpha - 1)t))} \, dt.
\]

While handling (117), we decompose it as

\[\Psi_2 = \eta(\alpha, s, \frac{3}{4}, 0) - \eta(\alpha, s, \frac{1}{4}, 0).
\]

In the series expression of $\Psi_2$, we factor out $e[n\pi/4]$ as before and eliminate the common factor $(e[n\pi/4] - 1)$ to obtain

\[(\alpha - 1)^{-\beta} A^\gamma \left(\frac{-\alpha}{\alpha - 1}, s, \frac{1}{2}, 0\right) - A^\gamma \left(\alpha, s, \frac{1}{2}, 0\right)
\]

\[= -\frac{(2\pi)^{-\beta} e[-s/4]}{1 - e[(s/2)]} \int_{I(\infty)} t^{s-1} \frac{\exp(-t/2)\exp(-(\alpha - 1)t/2)}{(1 + \exp(-t))(1 - \exp(-(\alpha - 1)t))} \, dt
\]

\[-2^{s} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{s-1} \frac{1}{\cos(n\pi/2)}.
\]
This finishes the proof of Theorem 7 (62).

\[
(\alpha + 1)^{-s}A^\alpha \left( \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + 1}, s, \frac{1}{2}, 0 \right) + (\alpha - 1)^{-s}A^\alpha \left( -\frac{\alpha}{\alpha - 1}, s, \frac{1}{2}, 0 \right) - 2A^\alpha \left( \alpha, s, \frac{1}{2}, 0 \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{(2\pi)^{-s}e^{-s/4}}{1 - e^{-s/2}} \int_{I(\lambda,\alpha)} t^{s-1} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}t\right) \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-t)} \left[ \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\alpha + 1)t\right) + \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\alpha - 1)t\right) \right] dt
\]

\[
+ 2^{-s} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1 n^{-1} \cos \left( \frac{\pi n \alpha}{2} \right) - 2^{-s} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1 n^{-1} \cos \left( \frac{\pi n \alpha}{2} \right) \frac{1}{\cos \left( \frac{\pi n \alpha}{2} \right)}
\]

(125)

Now, in the above expression, \(2A^\alpha (\alpha, s, 1/2, 0)\) on the left hand side and secant zeta function on the right hand side will cancel each other, as they are the same (from (50)). Therefore, we have

\[
(\alpha + 1)^{-s}A^\alpha \left( \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + 1}, s, \frac{1}{2}, 0 \right) + (\alpha - 1)^{-s}A^\alpha \left( -\frac{\alpha}{\alpha - 1}, s, \frac{1}{2}, 0 \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{(2\pi)^{-s}e^{-s/4}}{1 - e^{-s/2}} \int_{I(\lambda,\alpha)} t^{s-1} \exp\left(-(1/2)t\right) \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-t)} \left[ \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\alpha + 1)t\right) + \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\alpha - 1)t\right) \right] dt
\]

\[
= \frac{(2\pi)^{-s}e^{-s/4}}{1 - e^{-s/2}} \int_{I(\lambda,\alpha)} t^{s-1} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} E_m \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) \frac{1}{2m!} t^m
\]

(126)

and thus Corollary 1 follows.

12. Proof of Corollary 1

We conclude this chapter by finally proving Corollary 1. We add (114) and (124) and derive that

13. Future Work

By the virtue of the work of Lewittes, Berndt, and Arakawa, it would be interesting to find the general modular transformation formula for \(A^\alpha (a, s, p, q)\) for all \((p, q) \in \mathbb{R}^2\) and from which one would like to see the truth of our Conjecture 2.
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