Baseline gut microbiome composition predicts metformin therapy short-term efficacy in newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes patients
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Abstract

Background

The study was conducted to investigate the effects of metformin treatment on the human gut microbiome’s taxonomic and functional profile in the Latvian population, and to evaluate the correlation of these changes with therapeutic efficacy and tolerance.

Methods

In this longitudinal observational study, stool samples for shotgun metagenomic sequencing-based analysis were collected in two cohorts. The first cohort included 35 healthy nondiabetic individuals (metformin dose 2x850mg/day) at three time-points during metformin administration. The second cohort was composed of 50 newly-diagnosed type 2 diabetes patients (metformin dose—determined by an endocrinologist) at two concordant times. Patients were defined as Responders if their HbA1c levels during three months of metformin therapy had decreased by ≥12.6 mmol/mol (1%), while in Non-responders HbA1c were decreased by <12.6 mmol/mol (1%).

Results

Metformin reduced the alpha diversity of microbiota in healthy controls (p = 0.02) but not in T2D patients. At the species level, reduction in the abundance of *Clostridium bartlettii* and *Barnesiella intestinihominis*, as well as an increase in the abundance of *Parabacteroides distasonis* and *Oscillibacter* unclassified overlapped between both study groups. A large number of group-specific changes in taxonomic and functional profiles was observed. We identified an increased abundance of *Prevotella copri* (FDR = 0.01) in the Non-Responders subgroup, and enrichment of *Enterococcus faecium*, *Lactococcus lactis*, *Odoribacter*, and *Dialister* at baseline in the Responders group. Various taxonomic units were associated with the observed incidence of side effects in both cohorts.
Conclusions
Metformin effects are different in T2D patients and healthy individuals. Therapy induced changes in the composition of gut microbiome revealed possible mediators of observed short-term therapeutic effects. The baseline composition of the gut microbiome may influence metformin therapy efficacy and tolerance in T2D patients and could be used as a powerful prediction tool.

Introduction
Type 2 diabetes (T2D) is a metabolic disease with rapidly increasing prevalence, characterized by variable etiology, clinical presentation, and consequences. Metformin has been used in clinical practice for more than 60 years [1, 2] and is currently considered as a first-choice medication for T2D treatment worldwide. Regardless of its diverse beneficial impact on health, more than 20% of patients fail to reach the glycemic target when on metformin monotherapy [3], and more than 30% experience mostly gastrointestinal (GI) side effects (SE) [4]. These results suggest that the gut microbiome is an intermediary of metformin therapy, which highlight the need for the development of precision medicine-based therapeutic approaches [5]. Because of the complex structure, compositional and functional dynamics, and the host-microbiome interaction, the microbiome has been postulated as a key component of precision medicine approaches [6]. Moreover, latest studies have suggested that metagenomics predictive tools for T2D should be specific for the age and geographical location of the population studied [7]. Several studies on the interaction between the human gut microbiome and metformin have been performed [8–12], but most of these studies used a case-control design involving patients with different diabetes duration and therapy history.

The aim of this study was to investigate the effects of metformin treatment on the taxonomical and functional profile of the human gut microbiome and to evaluate the correlation of these changes with the therapeutic efficacy and tolerance in a prospective cohort of T2D patients. Our research provides novel information on short-term effects induced by metformin with the advantage of longitudinal data, including treatment naïve patients, as well as characterizes the predictive quality of baseline microbiota composition. In addition, the growing evidence on other therapeutic targets of metformin requires more detailed information on metformin effects in non-diabetic populations, therefore, the data from the healthy cohort offer complementary value.

Materials and methods
Study design, sample and data collection
The study involved two longitudinal cohorts of participants: OPTIMED cohort of newly-diagnosed T2D patients (N = 50) recruited within the framework of Genome Database of Latvian population [13], and a cohort of healthy individuals (N = 35). A full list of inclusion/exclusion criteria for both cohorts can be found in the S1 Text. Informed consent was obtained from all participants at the beginning of the study. Healthy individuals received 850mg metformin twice a day for 7 days within the framework of the clinical trial (registration number: 2016-001092-74 (www.clinicaltrialsregister.eu)), while T2D patients were treated with metformin monotherapy according to therapy prescribed by an endocrinologist (individual dosage, titration, etc.). The study was carried out in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of
Helsinki, and approved by the Central Medical Ethics Committee (1/19-10-22) and State Agency of Medicines of the Republic of Latvia (17–1723). The primary and secondary endpoints together with other methodological details (regarding the clinical trial involving healthy volunteers and standard operating procedures in place for stool sample collection) have been described previously [11].

Stool samples were collected in two aliquots at pre-determined time points during the study, depending on the design for each study cohort (Fig 1). Samples were coded as follows: M0 – before metformin treatment, M24h – 24 hours after the first metformin dose (only in the study group of healthy individuals), and M7d – 7 days after starting the therapy. All samples were collected by participants at home, using sterile collection tubes without buffer (collection date and time were marked). Within 24 hours participants delivered samples to the closest clinical or research laboratory where samples were frozen at −80˚C (delivery time was registered).

Blood samples for biochemical/hematological analysis (conducted in a certified clinical laboratory) to evaluate inclusion/exclusion criteria and obtain relevant clinical data were collected from participants within both cohorts. Samples were collected in the fasting state before starting metformin administration. For the patient cohort, a repeated biochemical/hematological analysis was performed three months later (follow-up coded as a time point M3m).

The information on anthropometric measurements, dietary habits, and biochemical/hematological analyses was obtained before starting metformin administration. Healthy volunteers registered their diet during the metformin administration, as well as any observed SE in special questionnaires. Patients of OPTIMED cohort were interviewed via phone by their endocrinologists after the first week of metformin therapy to register possible metformin-induced SE.

For the analysis of gut microbiome mediated metformin’s therapy efficacy patients were divided into two subgroups based on the observed reduction of HbA1c during three months long metformin therapy. Patients were defined as Responders if their HbA1c levels had

![Fig 1. Study design depicting sample collection in both cohorts.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0241338.g001)
decreased by \( \geq 12.6 \text{ mmol/mol} \) (1%), or Non-responders if their HbA\(_1c\) levels had decreased by \(< 12.6 \text{ mmol/mol} \) (1%). This threshold has been previously established within a systematic review comparing three months long metformin therapy with placebo and used in other studies as well [14, 15].

**Sample processing and sequencing**

Microbial DNA was extracted using the FastDNA Spin Kit for Soil (MP Biomedicals) in line with the manufacturer’s instructions [16]. Further shotgun metagenomic library preparation was done by fragmenting the DNA at 300 bp (Covaris) and following the manual of the Ion Plus Fragment Library kit (ThermoFisher Scientific, USA). That included the following sample processing steps: (1) end repair after the physical fragmentation and clean-up with NucleoMag magnetic beads (Macherey-Nagel, Düren, Germany), (2) adaptor ligation, nick-repair, and clean-up, (3) size selection in the range 360–440 bp, performed with BluePippin DNA 2% Dye-Free Agarose gel cassette with V1 Marker, and clean-up, and (4) amplification and clean-up. Samples were sequenced using Ion Proton sequencer with Ion PI Chip Kit v3 (\( > 3000000 \) reads/sample) [17, 18].

**Sequence analysis and statistics**

Raw data from the sequencer were processed as follows: adapters were removed with cutadapt 1.16, sequences were trimmed with Trimmomatic v0.38 (5bp window, quality threshold = 20, average quality = 20, minimal length = 75), mapping was performed with bowtie2-2.3.5.1 using Homo sapiens genome Ensembl GRCh38 release-90 reference to remove host DNA sequences. Information on read numbers during sequence preprocessing has been summarized in S3 Table.

Composition and functionality from the remaining sequences of gut microbiome samples were analyzed using the HUMAnN2 pipeline [19], and taxonomic data were obtained with MetaPhlAn2 [20], analyses were performed with default parameters. Species level alpha diversity was calculated as the exponential of the Shannon index resulting in the effective number of species, and beta diversity was analyzed with non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) using Bray-Curtis distances. Results of beta diversity were compared between subgroups with permutational multivariate analysis of variance—PERMANOVA. To explain the effects of environmental variables, adonis function (vegan package) was used to test the significance of individual variables, and complemented with Canonical Correspondence Analysis (CCA) and visualized with biplot using R software (version 3.6.0) [21]. Evaluation of variables of interest was performed in two cases: (1) for all samples—both groups, baseline and follow up—to evaluate the contribution of age, gender and BMI; (2) only for T2D patient samples—to evaluate possible effect of the different prescribed metformin doses. Changes during metformin therapy and differences between study subgroups within the taxonomic and functional profiles were evaluated by R package limma using voom transformation with sample-specific quality weights (further referred as limma+voom). All tests were adjusted by age, gender, and BMI, false discovery rate (FDR) adjusted values were used. T2D group data were adjusted by baseline HbA\(_1c\) levels. Only taxa present in \( \geq 10\% \) of samples were included. To compare metformin therapy response groups, the corrected data matrix was used for sparse Partial least squares discriminant analysis (sPLS-DA), a supervised model to reveal microbiota variation between groups. Key taxonomic groups responsible for the differential microbiota structure were detected using the “splsda” function in the R package “mixOmics” [22], tuning of sPLS-DA parameters was performed to determine the main taxonomic groups that enable discrimination of the subgroups with the lowest possible error rate. Taxonomic groups with variable importance in
projection (VIP) > 1.5 were considered to be important contributors to the model. Additional cellular function enrichment analysis and visualization of functional profile data were performed using the Omics Dashboard integrated into MetaCyc. The dashboard computes enrichment p-values using Grossmann’s parent-child-union variation of the Fisher-exact test (applying the FDR multiple hypothesis correction) and then transforms each p-value to an enrichment score: -log10 (p-value). Significance threshold < 0.05 [23]. Statistical significance for changes/differences of the Shannon index and other analyzed parameters was evaluated by the Wilcoxon signed-rank test. Data normalizations were performed as integrated into the used tools, paired comparisons were used when appropriate.

**Validation cohort**

To validate the results of the performed sPLS-DA analysis, we included another independent cohort of 58 newly diagnosed T2D patients. Inclusion/exclusion criteria, sample collection guidelines and design were the same as for the OPTIMED cohort, however, data were obtained from a different sequencing platform. Sequencing data preprocessing and statistical analysis were performed as described for OPTIMED cohort. Detailed information on methods for sample and data processing of Validation cohort is provided in S2 Text.

**Results**

**Characterization of study cohorts**

In total 100 samples were collected and analyzed from the OPTIMED cohort, and 103 samples from the healthy individuals. The characterization of the analyzed groups is summarized in Table 1. The average ±SD sequencing depth was 4.6 M ± 2.4 M raw reads per sample. During the clinical trial, two healthy participants withdrew from the study prematurely due to GI-SE, therefore no data on M7d time point were available for these two participants.

Retrospective analyses of the questionnaire data from the OPTIMED cohort revealed that few patients had some deviations from the expected study design: one patient was assigned to diet change in the first week, without any drug treatment; another used sulfonylurea group medication. These participants were excluded from the study group, leaving 48 T2D patients for further analysis.

| Characteristic          | Healthy individuals, N = 35 | T2D patients, N = 50 | Validation cohort (T2D patients), N = 58 |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------------|
| Males/females, n (%)    | 10 (28.6%) / 25 (71.4%)     | 22 (44%) / 28 (56%)  | 30 (51.7%) / 28 (28.3%)                  |
| Age (years), mean ± SD  | 31.5 ± 10.2                 | 58.6 ±12.5           | 58.2 ± 10.3                              |
| BMI, mean ± SD          | 24.5 ± 3.2                  | 34.8 ± 6.7           | 34.0 ± 5.9                               |
| HbA1c (mmol/mol) mean ± SD | 32.2 ± 1.8              | 66.1 ± 0.5           | 59.6 ± 0.5                               |
| HbA1c (%), mean ± SD    | 5.1 ± 0.5                   | 8.2 ± 2.1            | 7.6 ± 2.0                                |
| Creatinine (μmol/l), mean ± SD | 67.6 ± 11.6  | 68.6 ± 13.7         | 67.3 ± 17.0                              |
| ALAT (U/l), mean ± SD   | 23.5 ± 10.7                 | 40.3 ± 21.8          | 46.0 ± 30.7                              |
| TG (mmol/l), mean ± SD  | 1.3 ± 1.0                   | 2.4 ±1.8             | 2.4 ± 1.6                                |
| HDL-C (mmol/l), mean ± SD | 1.6 ± 0.4               | 1.2 ± 0.3            | 1.3 ± 0.4                                |
| LDL-C (mmol/l), mean ± SD | 2.8 ± 0.8                | 3.6 ± 1.0            | 3.4 ± 1.3                                |
| Metformin dose (mg/day), mean ± SD | 1700 ± 0         | 1146 ± 702          | 1006 ± 455                               |

T2D - type 2 diabetes; SD–standard deviation; BMI–body mass index; ALAT—alanine transaminase, TG – triglycerides, HDL-C–High-density lipoprotein cholesterol, LDL-C – Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0241338.t001
When evaluating sample composition between both analyzed cohorts at baseline (M0 time point), it was possible to distinguish these groups based on beta diversity (Fig 2A). Differences were statistically significant (PERMANOVA: $R^2 = 0.035$, $p = 0.0015$). Moreover, alpha diversity (Fig 2B) was significantly higher in the healthy cohort. To characterize the possible effect of available covariates and their contribution to the variation in the taxonomical composition, CCA was performed on all collected samples. We found the corresponding contribution of the analyzed cofactors: age (1.5%, $p = 0.001$), BMI (4.1%, $p = 0.001$), gender (1.4%, $p = 0.001$).

**Metformin-induced changes in the taxonomic profile**

Metformin induced a significant decrease in effective species number in healthy individuals (M0 vs M7d –median M0 = 12.9, median M7d = 11.8; $p = 0.024$), supporting the results from our pilot study in a smaller group [11]. In T2D patients we observed a slight increase in the effective species number at the same time points (M0 vs M7d –median M0 = 15.6, median M7d = 12.1; $p = 0.35$) but this change was not significant (Fig 2B).

After a weeklong metformin treatment, the healthy group showed 115 significantly changed features at various taxonomic levels, and the OPTIMED cohort showed 26 changed features (Fig 3, S1 and S2 Figs, S2 Table). At species level, only four alterations overlapped between both study groups—a decrease in the abundance of *Clostridium bartlettii* and *Barnesiella intestinomis*, and an increase in the abundance of *Parabacteroides distasonis* and *Oscillibacter unclassified*—while other changes in the taxonomic profile were specific to the analyzed cohorts.

To ensure the accuracy of the results, we additionally tested the possible effects of different metformin doses. When analyzed by CCA, the dose of metformin was not a significant co-factor in influencing the microbiome composition (0.9%, $p = 0.56$) in the patient cohort.

---

**Fig 2. Diversity characteristics of analyzed samples.** (A) Beta diversity characterizing and comparing samples before metformin therapy between healthy individuals (H) and OPTIMED cohort patients (T2D). Ellipses represent the 95% confidence interval surrounding each group of samples. Different symbols represent the participants of the study. Red circles correspond to healthy individuals while green triangles represent type 2 diabetes patients. (B) Alpha diversity calculated in all analyzed time points. Groups marked as follows: H—healthy individuals; T2D—type 2 diabetes patients. Samples: M0—before starting metformin treatment; M24h – 24 hours after the first intake of metformin; M7d —after 7 days treatment with metformin. Violin plot representing the effective number of species combines boxplots, depicting the median value and interquartile ranges, with Kernel density plots.  

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0241338.g002
Taxonomic differences associated with the treatment side effects and efficacy

During the study, all observed SE were registered in the study group-specific questionnaires. Study subjects from each cohort were divided into two groups according to the type of registered SE during the usage of metformin. The first group included participants with no or mild SE defined by headache, meteorism (tympanites), stomach ache, nausea, and loss of appetite; and the second group included individuals with severe SE defined by loose stools 1–3 times a day, diarrhea, vomiting. In the OPTIMED cohort, nine individuals had severe SE and 39 did not report any SE while in the group of healthy individuals 21 participants had mild or no SE and 14 had severe intolerance. For a detailed analysis of possible microbiome mediated mechanisms and predictors of metformin-induced GI-SE, we performed a comparison of taxonomic profiles between these defined groups at the analyzed study time points (Fig 4).

As a next step, we evaluated the association between the presence of specific taxonomic groups before therapy start (M0) and the efficacy of metformin therapy (changes in HbA1c levels during the first three months of therapy) in the cohort of T2D. Two of the patients had withdrawn from the OPTIMED study before the three-month time point (M3m), therefore, they were excluded from this analysis. We divided the remaining OPTIMED cohort (N = 46) into two groups characterized in Table 2. Metformin’s therapeutic effects induced a statistically significant reduction in HbA1c levels during the first three months of therapy in both groups.
Firstly, we tested for differentially abundant taxonomic groups between both OPTIMED subgroups. When comparing taxonomic profiles we observed an increased abundance of species *Prevotella copri* in Non-Responders group (logFC = -2.8, FDR = 0.01) at M0 time point. No significant differences in the effective species number were detected when comparing these subgroups.

Secondly, we performed an additional sPLS-DA model to explore which taxonomic groups could discriminate patients belonging to one of the defined subgroups, and the VIP score was used to assess the contribution of each analyzed taxonomic unit (Fig 5). In total 43 taxonomic groups were detected with VIP score > 1.5 (the full list is summarized in S1 Table).

Table 2. Characteristics of OPTIMED cohort’s subgroups divided by response to metformin therapy during the first three months of therapy.

| Characteristic | Responders, N = 18 | Non-responders, N = 28 | p-value |
|---------------|-------------------|------------------------|---------|
| Males/females, n (%) | 12 (66.7%) / 6 (33.3%) | 8 (28.6%) / 20 (71.4%) | - |
| Age (years), mean ± SD | 53.6 ± 10.5 | 61.3 ± 12.5 | 0.02 |
| M0 BMI, mean ± SD | 35.8 ± 7.8 | 34.6 ± 5.4 | 0.50 |
| M3m BMI, mean ± SD | 35.1 ± 7.2 | 34.0 ± 5.8 | 0.77 |
| M0 HbA1c (mmol/mol), mean ± SD | 83.6 ± 4.9 | 50.8 ± 12.6 | 2.36 E-7 |
| M0 HbA1c (%), mean ± SD | 9.8 ± 1.7 | 6.8 ± 1.0 | 2.36 E-7 |
| M3m HbA1c (mmol/mol), mean ± SD | 53.0 ± 13.7 | 48.6 ± 12.6 | 0.04 |
| M3m HbA1c (%), mean ± SD | 7.0 ± 0.9 | 6.6 ± 1.0 | 0.04 |

SD–standard deviation; BMI–body mass index; M0 –before starting metformin treatment; M3m –after three months of metformin treatment.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0241338.t002

Fig 4. Differences in gut microbiome taxonomic composition between patients with severe side effects (SE_1) and no or mild reported side effects (SE_0) in both cohorts at all analyzed time points. (A) Healthy individuals at M0, (B) healthy individuals at M7d, (C) OPTIMED cohort at M0, (D) OPTIMED cohort at M7d. Taxa enriched in patients with severe side effects are indicated with yellow color, and taxa enriched in patients with no or mild side effects are in blue. Samples: M0 – before starting metformin treatment; M7d –after 7 days treatment with metformin.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0241338.g004

(Responders (p = 0.0002), Non-responders (p = 0.001)), but not on BMI. For any further analyses, we performed a correction by baseline HbA1c value.

Firstly, we tested for differentially abundant taxonomic groups between both OPTIMED subgroups. When comparing taxonomic profiles we observed an increased abundance of species *Prevotella copri* in Non-Responders group (logFC = -2.8, FDR = 0.01) at M0 time point. No significant differences in the effective species number were detected when comparing these subgroups.

Secondly, we performed an additional sPLS-DA model to explore which taxonomic groups could discriminate patients belonging to one of the defined subgroups, and the VIP score was used to assess the contribution of each analyzed taxonomic unit (Fig 5). In total 43 taxonomic groups were detected with VIP score > 1.5 (the full list is summarized in S1 Table).
Finally, we performed the same analysis on the independent validation cohort (characteristics summarized in Table 1). In result, for sPLS-DA model six taxonomic units overlapped: species *Bacteroides vulgatus* and its strain *Bacteroides vulgatus* unclassified, genus *Erysipelotrichaceae* noname and its species *Eubacterium biforme*, and its strain GCF 000156655, and species *Ruminococcus obeum*.

### Functional analysis

Using the advantage of shotgun metagenomics data, we further evaluated the changes in possible functions of the analyzed gut microbiomes. This task was performed by analyzing the differential abundance of signaling pathways calculated by HUMAnN2 (proportional to the number of complete "copies" of the pathway in the community) within both studied cohorts. As a result, we identified 24 significant features in the OPTIMED cohort and 118 features in the healthy group (the specific pathways depicted in S3 and S4 Figs) with changed abundance during the metformin...
therapy. To gain a detailed insight of general biological meaning represented by these functional changes we performed a cellular function enrichment analysis (Fig 6). Enrichment scores were calculated from logFC and adjusted p-values obtained from differential pathway abundance analysis comparing M7d versus M0 samples both in healthy and in T2D cohorts.

To perform targeted evaluation of some specific metabolic functions previously described to be associated with metformin, we took a detailed look under some of the enrichment categories. Firstly, under Cell Structure Biosynthesis category, increased peptidoglycan biosynthesis was observed in both analyzed cohorts, and increment in pathways dedicated to biosynthesis of LPS precursor Lipid IVa was detected only in the healthy cohort.

Secondly, after detailed analysis of enriched pathways under Cofactor, Carrier, and Vitamin Biosynthesis (Fig 6), in vitamin biosynthesis subcategory we observed changes only in the healthy cohort—reduced folate biosynthesis, increased thiamine and vitamin B6 biosynthesis.

Discussion

Our study has added new data on several most likely universal metformin effects on the human gut microbiome profile and presents novel data for therapeutic efficacy and tolerance prediction in newly diagnosed T2D patients. Also, we have characterized the differences representing metformin effects in T2D patients and healthy individuals, accenting the need for additional microbiome studies in groups with different responses to metformin therapy, both, in context of geographical localization and metformin targets outside the T2D.

The main strengths of our study are the longitudinal design examining the short-term metformin therapy effects on well-characterized treatment naïve patients and the additional study of healthy individuals receiving metformin. The used methodology of shotgun metagenome sequencing also improves the quality of study allowing discussing species-level data and changes in the functional profile. The main limitation is the relatively small sizes of study groups, however, we are the first to present short-term metformin effects observed after a weeklong therapy in newly diagnosed patients and it should be noted that the previously published longitudinal studies are similarly sized or even smaller [9, 10]. We also did not include a placebo arm and blinded design that could uncover possible metformin independent effects. In addition, the higher metformin dose given to the participants of the healthy cohort is a confounding limitation for comparison of both analyzed groups. Long-term follow-up for our study groups would also provide additional opportunity to evaluate the stability of observed effects, however, specifically, the short-term therapy results are with high clinical significance as it is known that the highest incidence of SE is observed during the first weeks [24].

In both healthy individuals and newly diagnosed T2D patients, we observed a metformin-induced reduction in the abundance of Clostridium bartlettii (also called Intestinibacter bartlettii—latest classification [25]). The role of this species is still unclear as its abundance has shown a negative correlation with markers for insulin resistance [26], but in other studies, it has been described as a robust biomarker for Crohn’s disease and ulcerative colitis [27]. Importantly, a reduced abundance of Intestinibacter genus has been observed in previous metformin studies [8, 9, 11], thus, suggesting it to be one of the universal markers characterizing metformin effects on the gut microbiome.

One of the most intriguing findings was the increased abundance of Parabacteroides distasonis species. This taxonomic group has been recently associated with improved insulin sensitivity in obese human subjects [28], alleviated obesity and metabolic dysfunctions in mice [29], and has been proven to negatively correlate with fasting blood glucose levels [30]. In addition, some recent studies of metformin effects have observed an increase in the abundance of this species or obtained associations with therapy outcomes [31, 32].
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At genus level, as possibly negative metformin effect, decrement in *Bifidobacterium* was observed in both analyzed cohorts. This taxon plays an important role in human health maintenance and is widely used as probiotics, as well as its reduction can be used as a biomarker for certain diseases [33]. Co-administration of *Bifidobacterium bifidum* G9-1 with metformin even has shown beneficial effects on reducing GI-SE [34]. However, the observed reduction in this genus needs more research, as effects on health are most likely species and strain specific, and our result is in contradiction with results of previous studies, for example, previously it has been reported that metformin enhances growth of species *Bifidobacterium adolescentis* in pure cultures [9].

We confirmed the previously observed increase in the abundance of *Escherichia coli* [9, 11] only in the group of healthy individuals. In this study cohort, we observed the highest incidence of SE, therefore, contributing the hypothesis of these changes as a possible basis for metformin intolerance. Interestingly, in previous studies, the highest SE occurrences (up to 50% and higher) have been observed during metformin administration in non-diabetic cohorts, such as healthy volunteers [10, 11] or polycystic ovary syndrome patients [35] compared to T2D. Regarding the possible beneficial effects of metformin specific to the healthy cohort, we found a metformin-induced reduction in *Dialister invisus* and *Bifidobacterium longum*, both associated with intestinal permeability and compromised gut health [36].

In the search for possible microbiome signatures describing or predicting the therapy tolerance, we observed different profiles in both analyzed cohorts. One of the taxa specific to the subgroup with severe SE in OPTIMED cohort (M0 time point) was *Streptococcus parasangui- nis*, previously shown to be increased in individuals who use platelet aggregation inhibitors and proton pump inhibitors [37], which both are groups of medications frequently prescribed for the treatment of diabetes comorbidities. This result could indicate the possible effects of polypharmacy on the metformin’s interaction with gut microbiome and the subsequent therapy tolerance. In contrast, species *Ruminococcus lactaris* which was enriched in OPTIMED subgroup with no or mild SE both at M0 and M7d samples, has been enriched in healthy individuals compared to T2D patients or obese subjects in various populations [38, 39], as well as negatively associated with statin use [40]. Within the healthy cohort (subgroup with no or mild SE) both before and after metformin use was characterized by an increased abundance of *Phascolarctobacterium succinatutens*, a succinate-consumer and substantial producer of short-chain fatty acids acetate and propionate. Therefore, this taxon has been associated with the metabolic state and even the mood of the host [41]. Some of our observed taxa have been
previously characterized as discriminants for SE development in a healthy cohort, such as *Alis-tipes* [10]. Altogether, these results give new insights into possible microbiome signatures for future prediction of therapy tolerance, but additional studies in larger patient cohorts with a well-characterized incidence of SE are needed to confirm our data.

Taking into account the widely known variation of metformin’s therapeutic efficacy [42] we used the advantage of our longitudinal and well described OPTIMED cohort to search for potential microbiome-based markers as predictors. Firstly, we compared the taxonomic profiles in the subgroups crosssectionally—at M0 time point before starting metformin therapy—with a fitted linear model for a series of arrays. The main finding in this step was the increment in abundance of *Prevotella copri* in the samples from Non-Responders to the therapy. Previous studies describing the possible functionality of *P. copri* species present contradictory data. Research results suggest both *P. copri* mediated beneficial effects on the host’s metabolic profile as a succinate producer [43] and its induced increase in insulin resistance, glucose intolerance, and lipopolysaccharides plasma levels [44, 45]. However, the latest data indicate that strain-specific effects most likely explain this controversy, and the strain-level composition might be diet dependent [46]. Thus for the future development of biomarker-based approaches, strain-level data should be analyzed to account for the population and lifestyle specific microbiome composition with an aim to precisely predict its dependent functionality.

Secondly, the performed sPLS-DA analysis revealed a broad list of key taxa discriminating both therapy response subgroups at M0 time point. More precisely, the microbiome of the Responders group at baseline was enriched with various taxonomic groups characterized as potentially probiotic. For example (1) *Enterococcus faecium* significantly decreased body weight, serum lipid levels, blood glucose level, and insulin resistance in rats fed with a high-fat diet [47]; (2) several *Lactococcus lactis* strains have shown the ability to reduce hyperglycemia, improve glucose tolerance and insulin secretion [48, 49]; (3) bacteria from *Odoribacter* genus have been associated with a healthy fasting serum lipid profile [26], and displayed a negative correlation with insulin resistance [50]. The top result from this analysis—genus *Dialister*—has been characterized as a taxon possibly mediating the beneficial effects on the metabolic profile of whole-grains [51], however more data on underlying species are needed. In contrast, the various species from the predominant genus *Bacteroides*, found to be specific to the group of Non-responders, has been previously described in higher abundance in type 1 and T2D patients [52, 53], as well as associated with a negative impact on metabolic health [44]. Nevertheless, it is important to accent that the possible biological role of this genus is highly variable due to numerous species and strains within it, therefore, the interaction with the host can be both beneficial and harmful [54] and need to be further studied in the context of metformin response.

Data validation of sPLS-DA model in the independent cohort highlighted six taxonomic groups from three phylogenetic branches that have been previously associated with T2D, glucose tolerance, insulin resistance, and blood glucose levels, however, the results are highly conflicting and mostly population specific [45, 55–57]. These results highlight the urgent need for further population specific clinical studies to develop highly precise microbiome-based prediction tools for therapy efficacy.

To our best knowledge, we are the first to report the results of such analysis combining metformin therapy efficacy and microbiome profile data from newly diagnosed and treatment naïve T2D patients. Most importantly, comparing to studies with a similar design that compare Responders and Non-Responders to the antidiabetic therapy but analyze other targets, we have performed data correction by baseline HbA1c measurement, to reduce biases created by frequently observed higher baseline values in the Responders group, as suggested previously [58]. Nevertheless, it is important to point out that other therapy efficacy influencing
indicators should be evaluated to more precisely distinguish microbiome-related effects from e.g. presence of genetic factors previously associated with efficacy.

As for the functional profile, only a portion of the observed results has been previously characterized. A large number of the significantly changed pathways and subsequently the results of enrichment analysis during metformin use were representing the increment in pathways characterizing synthesis of lipopolysaccharides and peptidoglycans (under Cell-Struct Syn in Fig 6), which is another signature of metformin effects [9, 59]. These changes were mainly found in the healthy cohort, most likely accounting for the high number of observed fluctuations in the taxonomical profiles. For example, a recent study employing genome-scale metabolic modelling has shown that lipopolysaccharide synthesis, nucleotide sugar metabolism, and amino acid metabolism (under Cell-Struct Syn, Nucleo Syn, Nucleo Deg, AA Syn, AA deg in Fig 6) are pathways most likely effected by abundance changes in such taxa as Escherichia spp. and A. muciniphila [60]. Compared to other analyses of metformin-induced changes in the functional profile performed in T2D cohorts, we observed similar changes, such as an increase in lysine and threonine degradation (in healthy cohort), and sugar nucleotide biosynthesis (in OPTIMED cohort) [9]. Interestingly, the enriched cellular functions appeared to be cohort-specific and in cases when similar functional changes are observed, the observed underlying mechanisms differed.

In addition, as metformin is known to be associated with vitamins B level alterations and even deficiencies [61, 62], we also observed metformin induced changes in various vitamin B pathways, however, only in the healthy cohort. The inhibition of folate metabolism have been characterized as one of the mechanisms behind metformin effects on increased lifespan in C. elegans [63]. However, the suppression of folate producing bacteria has been proposed as one of the causes for GI-SE [64], therefore, indicating a possible explanation for the high prevalence of SE observed specifically in the healthy cohort.

The large disparity in the observed microbiome profile changes in both cohorts could be explained by initial differences (as depicted in Fig 2). Moreover, as the T2D cohort is expected to be more heterogeneous [65], it explains the smaller number of significantly changed features in OPTIMED patients. In addition, our results have approved some seemingly universal microbiome signatures for metformin therapy and displayed new data on microbiome changes, most likely responsible for population-specific effects dependent on health status as well as geographical localization. Our results on the prediction of therapy tolerance and efficacy may reveal novel biomarkers, which need to be further studied to fully characterize the strain-level dynamics and validated in larger cohorts. These results highlight the need to develop personalized medicine based approaches based on gut microbiome testing before starting the therapy and will serve as the basis for further studies on microbiome modulation techniques to improve both metformin therapeutic efficacy and tolerance and, therefore, the quality of life of patients.
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