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Abstract

Background: Several studies found that baseline low LDL-C concentration was associated with poor prognosis in patients with acute coronary syndrome (ACS), which was called “cholesterol paradox”. Low LDL-C concentration may reflect underlying malnutrition, which was strongly associated with increased mortality. We objected to investigate the cholesterol paradox in patients with CAD and the effects of malnutrition.

Method: A total of 41,229 CAD patients admitted to Guangdong Provincial People's Hospital in China were included in this study from January 2007 to December 2018, and divided into two groups (LDL-C < 1.8 mmol/L, n=4,863; LDL-C ≥ 1.8 mmol/L, n = 36,366). We used Kaplan-Meier method and Cox regression analyses to assess the association between LDL-C levels and long-term all-cause mortality and the effect of malnutrition.

Result: In this real-world cohort (mean age 62.94 years; 74.94% male), there were 5257 incidents of all-cause death during a median follow-up of 5.20 years [Inter-quartile range (IQR): 3.05-7.78 years]. Kaplan-Meier analysis showed that low LDL-C levels were associated with worse prognosis. After adjusting for baseline confounders (e.g., age, sex and comorbidities, etc.), multivariate Cox regression analysis revealed that low LDL-C level (<1.8mmol/L) was not significantly associated with all-cause mortality (adjusted HR, 1.04; 95% CI, 0.96-1.24). After adjustment of nutritional status, risk of all-cause mortality of patients with low LDL-C level decreased (adjusted HR, 0.90; 95% CI, 0.83-0.98). In the final multivariate Cox model, low LDL-C level was related to better prognosis (adjusted HR, 0.91; 95% CI, 0.84-0.99).

Conclusion: Our results demonstrate that the cholesterol paradox persisted in CAD patients, but disappeared after accounting for the effects of malnutrition.

Introduction

Elevated low density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) concentration constitutes a major risk factor for poor prognosis of coronary artery disease [1, 2]. Recent studies found that low levels of LDL-C were paradoxically associated with poor prognosis in patients with ACS, which was called “cholesterol paradox” [3–7]. LDL-C concentration was highly correlated with total cholesterol level which is an indicator of nutritional status [8, 9]. Meanwhile, malnutrition is common among patients with CAD and is strongly associated with increased long-term mortality [10, 11]. Thus, low LDL-C level may represent underlying malnutrition, which was related to the paradox.

Several factors may account for the “cholesterol paradox”, including higher proportion of elder patients and higher proportion of baseline comorbidities. However, current studies did not address the impact of malnutrition on the cholesterol paradox.

Therefore, we sought to investigate the association between baseline low LDL-C levels and long-term all-cause mortality in patients with CAD, after considering the effects of malnutrition.
Method

Study design and participants

The retrospective observational study was conducted in Guangdong Provincial People's Hospital, China (Clinicaltrials.gov NCT04407936) from January 2007 to December 2018. During this period, there were 88,938 patients underwent coronary angiography (CAG) and 59,667 patients were diagnosed as CAD. We excluded patients < 18 years old (n = 19), prior myocardial infarction (n = 3,922), prior undergoing PCI (n = 4,996), prior undergoing coronary artery bypass grafting (n = 328), cancer (n = 659), lacking LDL-C examination (n = 1,782) and missing follow-up information of mortality (n = 6,662). A total of 41,229 patients were eventually included in the study (Fig. 1). The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of Guangdong Provincial People's Hospital (No. GDREC2019555H[R1]) and was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Procedures

The clinical data for each patient with CAD was extracted from the electronic Clinical Management System of the Guangdong Provincial People's Hospital. Baseline data was collected on demographic characteristics, coexisting conditions, laboratory tests, and medication at discharge. In all patients, blood samples except lipid profiles were collected at admission or before coronary angiography (CAG) and percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI). The lipid was measured by overnight fasting blood sample. CAG/PCI was performed in accordance with the standard clinical practice guidelines\[12–14\]. Senior cardiologists were responsible for the data quality control and periodical data verification. The follow-up information was retrieved from Guangdong Public Security System.

Clinical outcome and definition

The primary endpoint was long-term all-cause mortality. CAD was confirmed by CAG and discriminated according to the 10th Revision Codes of the International Classification of Diseases. Comorbidities including acute myocardial infarction (AMI), diabetes mellitus, hypertension, atrial fibrillation, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and Congestive heart failure (CHF). CHF was defined as New York Heart Association (NYHA) class > 2 or Killip class > 1 \[15\]. The estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) was calculated using Modification of Diet in Renal Disease (MDRD) formula and Chronic kidney disease (CKD) was defined as eGFR ≤ 60 mL/min/1.73 m² \[16–18\]. Anemia was defined as hematocrit < 39% for men and hematocrit < 36% for women, according to the World Health Organization criteria \[19\]. Nutrition status was assessed by the Controlling Nutritional Status (CONUT) score, a screening tool for the nutritional status of hospitalized patients \[8\]. It was calculated by serum albumin, cholesterol, and total lymphocyte count. Different scores represent different nutrition status (0–1 is normal; 2–4 is mild malnutrition; 5–8 is moderate malnutrition; 9–12 is severe malnutrition).

Statistical analysis
Patients were divided into two groups according to the concentration of LDL-C high LDL-C level (≥ 1.8 mmol/L) and low LDL-C level (< 1.8 mmol/L). Where appropriate, descriptive statistics are reported as mean (SD), median (interquartile range [IQR]), or numbers and percentages. For continuous variables with normal distributions, independent sample student t-test was conducted. Categorical variables were tested using Pearson chi-square. The prognosis was analyzed by Kaplan-Meier method, and the probability of outcomes in the two groups was analyzed by the survival curve. Survival differences between two groups were compared by log-rank test. For the association between baseline levels of LDL-C and long-term all-cause mortality, hazard ratios (HR) were calculated with the use of a Cox proportional-hazards model (with a HR of less than 1.00 indicating a reduced risk of events in patients with LDL-C levels < 1.8 mmol/L). Baseline variables that were considered clinically relevant were entered into multivariate Cox proportional-hazards regression model. Variables for inclusion were carefully chosen, given the number of events available, to ensure parsimony of the final model. The following four models were sequentially constructed with or without adjustment for covariates: 1) unadjusted; 2) adjusted age ≥ 75 years, sex and comorbidities including AMI, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, atrial fibrillation, COPD, CHF, CKD and anemia; 3) adjusted nutritional status (CONUT score); 4) adjusted all covariates. All data analysis was performed by R software, version 3.6.3 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing). All p values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

**Result**

**Clinical characteristics**

A total of 41,229 CAD patients were included in the study. The baseline clinical characteristics of patients are shown in Table 1. As expected, patients with LDL-C level < 1.8 mmol/L were older and more likely to develop comorbidities than those with LDL-C level ≥ 1.8 mmol/L. Notably, the prevalence of malnutrition was particularly high in patients with low baseline LDL-C concentration (Fig. 2).
| Characteristic* | Overall (N = 41,229) | LDL-C < 1.8mmol/L (N = 4,863) | LDL-C ≥ 1.8mmol/L (N = 36,366) | P value |
|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------|
| **Demographic characteristics** | | | | |
| Age, year | 62.94 (10.62) | 64.38 (10.81) | 62.75 (10.58) | < 0.001 |
| Age ≥ 75 years, n (%) | 6036(14.64) | 929 (19.10) | 5107(14.04) | < 0.001 |
| Male, n (%) | 30897(74.94) | 3725 (76.60) | 27172(74.72) | 0.005 |
| **Coexisting conditions** | | | | |
| PCI, n (%) | 30415(73.77) | 3433(70.59) | 26982(74.20) | < 0.001 |
| AMI, n (%) | 9182(22.27) | 805(16.55) | 8377(23.04) | < 0.001 |
| CHF, n (%) | 3902(9.48) | 409(8.42) | 3493(9.62) | 0.008 |
| Hypertension, n (%) | 23217(56.31) | 3020(62.10) | 20197(55.54) | < 0.001 |
| Diabetes mellitus, n (%) | 11097(26.92) | 1623(33.37) | 9474(26.05) | < 0.001 |
| CKD, n (%) | 8635 (21.91) | 1154 (24.84) | 7481 (21.52) | < 0.001 |
| Atrial fibrillation, n (%) | 939(2.28) | 133(2.74) | 806(2.22) | 0.026 |
| COPD, n (%) | 348(0.84) | 54(1.11) | 294(0.81) | 0.038 |
| Stroke, n (%) | 2340(5.68) | 347(7.14) | 1993(5.48) | < 0.001 |
| Anemia, n (%) | 12922(32.31) | 2079(43.98) | 10843(30.74) | < 0.001 |
| **Laboratory examination** | | | | |
| Hematocrit | 0.40 (0.05) | 0.38 (0.05) | 0.40 (0.05) | < 0.001 |
| Lymphocyte, $10^9$/L | 1.94 (0.71) | 1.95 (0.71) | 1.83 (0.69) | < 0.001 |
| Total cholesterol, mmol/L | 4.60 (1.21) | 4.78 (1.13) | 3.24 (0.91) | < 0.001 |
| HDL-C, mmol/L | 1.00 (0.26) | 1.01 (0.26) | 0.93 (0.30) | < 0.001 |
| LDL-C, mmol/L | 2.85 (0.98) | 1.47 (0.26) | 3.04 (0.88) | < 0.001 |
| Triglyceride, mmol/L | 1.67 (1.23) | 1.67 (1.09) | 1.68 (1.96) | 0.304 |

* Data are presented as the mean value (standard deviation), median [interquartile range] or number of participants (percentage).

Abbreviations: LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention; AMI, acute myocardial infarction; CHF, congestive heart failure; CKD, chronic kidney injury; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; RASI, renin angiotensin system inhibitor.
| Characteristic* | Overall (N = 41,229) | LDL-C < 1.8mmol/L (N = 4,863) | LDL-C ≥ 1.8mmol/L (N = 36,366) | P value |
|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------|
| Albumin, g/L   | 36.29 (4.25)         | 36.36 (4.22)                  | 35.74 (4.44)                  | < 0.001 |
| Medicine       |                      |                               |                               |         |
| RASi, n (%)    | 20082 (49.58)        | 2199 (46.46)                  | 17883 (49.99)                 | < 0.001 |
| β-blocker, n (%)| 32652 (80.61)        | 3776 (79.78)                  | 28876 (80.72)                 | 0.131   |
| Statins, n (%) | 38300 (94.55)        | 4396 (92.88)                  | 33904 (94.77)                 | < 0.001 |
| Events         |                      |                               |                               |         |
| All-cause death, n (%) | 5257 (12.75) | 778 (16.00)                  | 4479 (12.32)                  | < 0.001 |

* Data are presented as the mean value (standard deviation), median [interquartile range] or number of participants (percentage).

Abbreviations: LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention; AMI, acute myocardial infarction; CHF, congestive heart failure; CKD, chronic kidney injury; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; RASi, renin angiotensin system inhibitor.

**Primary outcomes**

During a median follow-up period of 5.20 years (IQR, 3.05–7.78 years), the rates of all-cause mortality was 12.75% (n = 5257). Kaplan-Meier analysis revealed that prognosis in patients with LDL-C level < 1.8 mmol/L was worse (Fig. 3). Cox regression analysis was performed to remove confounders to determine the difference in prognosis between patients with LDL-C level < 1.8 mmol/L and those with LDL-C level ≥ 1.8 mmol/L (Table 2). After adjusting for age, sex and comorbidities (model 2), patients with LDL-C level < 1.8 mmol/L had non-significant difference in long-term all-cause mortality (adjusted HR: 1.04, 95% CI: 0.96 to 1.12, Fig. 4). However, after adjustment of nutritional status (model 3), patients with LDL-C level < 1.8 mmol/L had lower risks of long-term all-cause death (adjusted HR: 0.90, 95% CI: 0.83 to 0.98, Fig. 4). After adjustment for full covariates (model 4), patients with LDL-C level < 1.8 mmol/L also had lower long-term risks of all-cause death (adjusted HR: 0.91; 95% CI: 0.84 to 0.99, Fig. 4). Malnutrition was the most important confounder of the relationship between low levels of LDL-C and clinical outcomes (Table 2).

**Discussion**

To our knowledge, few studies investigated the association between baseline LDL-C levels and long-term all-cause mortality in patients with CAD. The main finding of the current study is that the worse prognosis of patients with low LDL-C (< 1.8 mmol/L) is mainly mediated by their higher prevalence of malnutrition. Our study demonstrated that the cholesterol paradox also existed among people with CAD. After accounting for the marked differences in their age, sex and presenting comorbidities, the differences were
not significant between patients with different LDL-C levels. After adjustment of malnutrition, CAD patients with low LDL-C showed low risk of long-term all-cause mortality.

Our study showed an inverse association between baseline LDL-C level and long-term outcomes in unadjusted analysis. The cholesterol paradox was also observed in other studies involving subgroups of CAD patients (e.g., ACS) \cite{3–7}, highlighting the impact of baseline confounders. Cho et al found that among patients with acute myocardial infarction (AMI), lower baseline LDL-C (< 1.8 mmol/L) was associated with higher 1-year mortality before adjusting for baseline confounders \cite{3}. In that study, age and rate of comorbidities decreased as LDL-C increased. In a Cox proportional-hazards model, LDL-C level was not an independent predictor in 1-year mortality, after adjusting for baseline confounders. Wang et al demonstrated that higher admission LDL-C level was associated with better in-hospital survival in patients with ACS in unadjusted analysis \cite{4}. Similarly, the results suggest cholesterol paradox may due to other clinical characteristics. Reddy et al, Al-Mallah et al and Nakahashi et al demonstrated that lower baseline LDL-C level was associated with higher mortality before and after adjusting for baseline confounders\cite{5–7}. All five studies attempted to explore the mechanisms behind the paradox by taking baseline confounding factors into account, which included demographic information and comorbidities. There are noteworthy similarities between our study and the five previous studies. First, these study groups were all patients with CAD. Second, the LDL-C levels were all the baseline values collected at admission. Third, after adjusting for baseline confounders (age, gender, comorbidity, etc.), decreased baseline LDL-C concentration was not associated with declined long-term mortality.

However, the influence of nutritional status was not considered in depth. According to available data from the five studies, patients with lower LDL-C also had lower plasma albumin and total cholesterol concentrations, which may reflect underlying malnutrition status. CONUT score was an efficient tool for early detection and continuous control of in-hospital undernutrition \cite{8}. Low LDL-C level always combined malnutrition. In our study, prevalence of malnutrition was 56.30%, 51.75% and 90.30% in overall population, LDL-C ≥ 1.8mmol/L group and LDL-C < 1.8mmol/L group, respectively. The malnutrition rate of patients with low LDL-C level was much higher than that of patients with high LDL-C level. This was also observed in other studies, highlighting the importance of nutritional status \cite{10,11}. Roubín et al’s finding showed that in patients with ACS 38.5%, 10.4% and 0.9% patients were mildly, moderately and severely malnourished according to CONUT score, respectively \cite{10}. Roubín et al and Wada et al found that nutritional status assessed by the CONUT score was significantly associated with long-term clinical outcomes in patients with CAD \cite{10,11}.

There may be several possible explanations for the cholesterol paradox. First, a plausible explanation for the absence of a positive correlation between baseline LDL-C and long-term all-cause mortality before adjustment is that these patients have a higher proportion of elder people (≥ 75years) and comorbidities according to baseline characteristics, which was associated with worse prognosis. Patients with LDL-C level < 1.8 mmol/L had significantly higher prevalence than those with LDL-C ≥ 1.8mmol/L in patients aged ≥ 75years (19.10% vs. 14.04%), diabetes mellitus (33.37% vs. 26.05%), anemia (43.18% vs. 30.30%) and atrial fibrillation (2.74% vs. 2.22%). Additionally, the increased long-term mortality may result from
basic diseases to some extent. It is well known that smokers have better survival after AMI (the smoker paradox) [20]. The smoker’s paradox was explained by the younger age and fewer cardiovascular risk factors in smokers compared with nonsmokers. Also, several studies have previously reported that patients with higher BMI have better clinical outcomes after PCI (the obesity paradox) [21, 22]. Furthermore, recent studies have suggested that the mechanism of the obesity paradox may be related to confounders of baseline characteristics associated with survival [23–25]. Similarly, in our study, multivariate Cox regression analysis revealed that baseline LDL-C level was not an independent predictor of mortality after adjusting for age, sex and comorbidities. Second, patients with lower LDL-C level were at poorer nutritional status. Malnutrition, in particular, significantly affected prognosis. Compared with unadjusted model, the correlation between low LDL-C level and prognosis changed from negative to positive after adjustment of malnutrition. Previous study has found that low total cholesterol represents a biological marker for concurrent cachexia, malnutrition, cancer, and other chronic diseases with a proven adverse impact on survival [26]. Moreover, evidences are emerging that cholesterol is related to the regulation of immune cell function by improving their antitumor activity and activating immune signaling, which may provide novel insights into the role of cholesterol in the development of cancer [27–29]. Malnutrition has been singled out as the most common cause of secondary immunologic dysfunction [30]. Failure to recognize or to anticipate the development of malnutrition can allow the needless presence of nutrition-related immunodeficiency and proneness to infection, with morbidity and mortality increased. Hence, the cholesterol paradox in patients with CAD may be mainly associated with malnutrition.

All these findings strongly support the need for physicians to integrate in their daily practice the identification of malnutrition. This may improve the risk stratification and guide subsequent interventions of secondary prevention. The effect of malnutrition should be considered when LDL-C is used to assess the risk of poor prognosis in CAD patients. Clinicians should not let down their guard when they meet CAD patients with low LDL-C level. Malnutrition screening in patients with CAD might identify patients at high risk of adverse outcomes who might benefit from tailored secondary prevention programs with nutritional supplements to improve their prognosis.

The study was conducted at Guangdong Provincial People’s Hospital which is the largest cardiovascular center in South China and the sample size was sizeable. However, there were some limitations among this analysis. First, this study was a retrospective single-center study. Second, a single value of LDL-C at admission was used, which made it difficult to assess the effect of changes in LDL-C level at follow-up on clinical outcomes. Therefore, the study focused on the clinical significance of initial LDL cholesterol level on clinical outcomes among patients with CAD.

**Conclusion**

The cholesterol paradox persists in patients with CAD. However, after removing the effect of malnutrition, low baseline LDL-C level (<1.8mmol/L) was associated with decreased risk of long-term all-cause mortality. Our findings indicate that low LDL-C level on admission did not mean low risk of long-term all-
cause mortality among CAD patients and low LDL-C level should be alerted that CAD patients probably had underlying malnutrition.
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