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Abstract. The problem of (non)random distribution of points on sphere and in space is investigated. The procedure for obtaining preferred direction (and plane) for points on the sphere (in the sky) and in the space is discussed.

1. Introduction

Klačka (1998) has discussed the methods for finding preferred direction and preferred plane used for several decades in application to perihelion concentration of long-period comets. The aim of this paper is to present correct methods for finding preferred direction and preferred plane for points situated on the sphere, and, also, in general, in the space.

2. Preferred Direction

2.1. Points on Sphere

Points on the sphere may be characterized by direction cosines \( l_i, m_i, n_i \). By definition of direction cosines, the relation \( l_i^2 + m_i^2 + n_i^2 = 1 \) holds.

If we have \( N \) points on the sphere, we have a set of \( N \) triples of direction cosines: \( \{l_i\}_{i=1}^N, \{m_i\}_{i=1}^N, \{n_i\}_{i=1}^N \) (subscript \( i \) denotes the \( i \)-th point).
Let we want to find a preferred direction from the set of \( N \) points situated on the sphere. Formally, we can construct a function of the preferred direction characterized by the direction cosines \( l, m, n \), or, \( \mathbf{n}_o \equiv (l, m, n) \):

\[
\Phi (\mathbf{n}_o) = 2^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i \ (\mathbf{n}_o - \mathbf{n}_i)^2 - \lambda \ (\mathbf{n}_o^2 - 1), \tag{1}
\]

where \( w_i \geq 0 \) is the weight of the \( i \)-th point and \( \lambda \) represents the multiplicator of Lagrange. The preferred direction \( \mathbf{n}_o \) is characterized by the requirement that it minimizes the function \( \Phi (\mathbf{n}_o) \). The result is

\[
l = \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i \ l_i \right) / \mu, \quad m = \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i \ m_i \right) / \mu, \quad n = \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i \ n_i \right) / \mu,
\]

\[
\mu = \sqrt{\left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i \ l_i \right)^2 + \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i \ m_i \right)^2 + \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i \ n_i \right)^2}. \tag{2}
\]

Definition of \( \Phi (\mathbf{n}_o) \) offers also the measure of spread: \( MS \equiv \sqrt{\Phi (\mathbf{n}_o)} = \sqrt{1 - \mu} \), if \( \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i = 1 \) (normalization of weights); since \( 0 \leq \mu \leq 1 \), \( 0 \leq MS \leq 1 \) – the larger the value of \( MS \), the worse determination of the preferred direction (the case \( \mu = 0 \) means that \( \mathbf{n}_o \) does not exist). Thus, in reality, parameter \( \mu \) can be used as a measure of the significance of the existence of the preferred direction.

However, the important question is: What is the motivation for the special values of the weights \( w_i \) and of the form of the function \( \Phi (\mathbf{n}_o) \)? Other forms of functions \( \Phi (\mathbf{n}_o) \) would yield other preferred directions \( \mathbf{n}_o \). The argument in favour of the choice of \( \Phi (\mathbf{n}_o) \) is in physical equation (4) in section 2.2 of this paper (all points are situated on the sphere).

### 2.2. Points in Space

We have discussed the determination of the preferred direction \( \mathbf{n}_o \) in section 2.1. The procedure was defined by the function \( \Phi (\mathbf{n}_o) \), which determined also the measure of spread (we have shown that the quantity \( \mu \) may be used, equivalently). This represents purely geometrical method.

Another method is of a physical character. Using any physical quantity \( f \) (radius vector, velocity vector, etc.), we can minimize the function

\[
\Phi' (\mathbf{f}_o) = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i \ (\mathbf{f}_o - \mathbf{f}_i)^2 \right\} / \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i \ \mathbf{f}_i^2 \right\}. \tag{3}
\]

The result is

\[
\mathbf{f}_o = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i \ \mathbf{f}_i \right\} / \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i \right\}, \tag{4}
\]
and,

$$\Phi'(f_o) = 1 - \left( \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i f_i^2} \right) / \left( \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i f_i^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i f_i^2} \right).$$

(5)

We have $0 \leq \Phi'(f_o) \leq 1$ for $f_o$ given by Eq. (4). Thus, the quantity $\Phi'(f_o)$ may serve as a measure of spread, or, the measure of the significance of the value $f_o$. In the case of kinematical quantities $w_i = m_i$ (masses) and $f_o$ represents the corresponding quantity for the center of mass.

The preferred direction is determined by the relation $n'_o = f_o / |f_o|$. The better approximation of $\Phi'(f_o)$ to 1, the less significant is the direction $n'_o$. Of course, $n'_o$ is different from $n_o$ discussed in section 2.1. In the case $|f_i| = f, i = 1$ to $N$, both methods yield the same results ($n'_o = n_o$). Approximately, the unit vector $\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{N} q_i n_i \right\} / \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{N} n_i \right\}$ is $n'_o$ if the distribution in $q$ is independent of the observed directions $n$.

Eq. (5) may be rewritten to the form

$$\Phi'(f_o) = \frac{1}{1 + f_o^2 / \sigma^2}, \quad \sigma^2 \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i (f_i - f_o)^2 / \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i \right).$$

(6)

2.3. “Local” Character of the Measure of Spread

Formulae of the measures of spread discussed in sections 2.1 and 2.2 represent “global” character. This means that the quantities $\mu, \Phi'$ will yield a result “direction is relevant” already in the case if only one of the components of $f_o$, or, one of the spherical angles ($\alpha, \delta$), is very significant. Methods of the sections 2.1 and 2.2 cannot determine if all components determining preferred direction are significant.

The problem just discussed may be solved by applying central limit theorem. We calculate for this purpose

$$f_{oj} \pm \sigma_{f_{oj}}, \quad \sigma_{f_{oj}} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (f_{ij} - f_{oj})^2 / N}{(N - 1)}}, \quad j = x, y, z$$

(7)

(analogously for directional cosines -- $f_{ox}, f_{oy}, f_{oz}$ are mean values of directional cosines, in this case). Standard tests of mathematical statistics will decide about relevance of the quantities $f_{ox}, f_{oy}, f_{oz}$. Great advantage of this method is that, in general, not all of the quantities $f_{ox}, f_{oy}, f_{oz}$ are significant (different from zero). This can help us in better understanding of the observational data, in their physical and astronomical interpretation.
3. Preferred Plane

3.1. Points on Sphere

3.1.1. Center of the Sphere Lies in the Plane

Let the preferred plane, characterized by the unit normal vector \( \mathbf{p} \), is obtained from minimization of the function

\[
\Theta (\mathbf{p}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i \left( \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{n}_i \right)^2 - \lambda \left( \mathbf{p}^2 - 1 \right).
\]

(8)

Stationary points are characterized by the equations \( \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i \left( \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{n}_i \right) \mathbf{n}_i - \lambda \mathbf{p} = 0 \), \( \Theta (\mathbf{p}) = \lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i \left( \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{n}_i \right)^2 \geq 0 \). There is minimum of \( \Theta (\mathbf{p}) \) for \( \lambda (\min) \), maximum for \( \lambda (\max) \) and there is no extreme of \( \Theta (\mathbf{p}) \) for \( \lambda (\max) \) and indefinite for \( \lambda (\min) \) (the corresponding quadratic forms are positively definite for \( \lambda (\min) \), negatively definite for \( \lambda (\max) \) and indefinite for the third case – only two of the three stationary points correspond to extremes).

Moreover,

\[
\lambda (\min) < (1 / 3) \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i.
\]

(9)

The last condition yields \( \lambda (\min) < 1 / 3 \) for the case \( \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i = 1 \), and, thus, \( \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i \left( \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{n}_i \right)^2 < 1 / 3 \); in other words, the quantity \( \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i \left( \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{n}_i \right)^2 / (1 / 3) \) compares the observed distribution with respect to the uniform (equal weights) distribution.

The condition \( \lambda (\min) < (1 / 3) \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i \) can be easily proved as follows. \( \Theta (\mathbf{p}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i \left( \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{n}_i \right)^2 \) we write as \( \Theta (\mathbf{p}) = \mathbf{p}^T \Gamma \mathbf{p} \), where the tensor \( \Gamma \) is defined by its components \( \Gamma_{jk} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i \left( \mathbf{n}_i \right)_j \left( \mathbf{n}_i \right)_k \). The symmetry of \( \Gamma \) enables us to find an orthonormal base in which \( \Gamma \) is diagonal (\( \text{Tr}(\Gamma) \) is invariant of the transformation – orthogonal transformation; primed components), i.e., \( \Gamma_{jk} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i \left( \mathbf{n}_i' \right)_j \left( \mathbf{n}_i' \right)_k \). The equation \( \Gamma \mathbf{p} = \lambda \mathbf{p} \) yields for the eigenvalues \( \lambda_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i \left( \mathbf{n}_i' \right)_1^2 \), \( \lambda_2 = \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i \left( \mathbf{n}_i' \right)_2^2 \), \( \lambda_3 = \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i \left( \mathbf{n}_i' \right)_3^2 \) i.e., \( \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 = \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i \), and, thus, \( \lambda (\min) \leq (1 / 3) \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i \). The relation \( \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 = \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i \) may serve as a control in practical calculations.

3.1.2. General Plane and Sphere

If the preferred plane is obtained from minimization of the function

\[
\Theta (\mathbf{p}, d) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i \left( \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{n}_i + d \right)^2 - \lambda \left( \mathbf{p}^2 - 1 \right),
\]

(10)
of minimization yields for the minimum: \( \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i (p \cdot n_i + d) - \lambda (\text{min}) p = 0, \) \( \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i (p \cdot n_i + d) = 0, \) \( \Theta(p, d) = \lambda (\text{min}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i (p \cdot n_i + d)^2 \geq 0. \) Moreover, \( \lambda (\text{min}) < (1/3) \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i - \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i \right)^{-1} \mu^2 \right\}, \) where \( \mu = \sqrt{\left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i l_i \right)^2 + \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i m_i \right)^2 + \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i n_i \right)^2} \) (the same quantity as in section 2). The last condition yields \( \lambda (\text{min}) < (1/3) (1 - \mu^2) \) for the case \( \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i = 1, \) and, thus, \( \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i (p \cdot n_i)^2 < (1/3) (1 - \mu^2); \) in other words, the quantity \( \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i (p \cdot n_i)^2 / (1/3) \) compares the observed distribution with respect to the uniform (equal weights; \( \mu = 0 \)) distribution – Eq. (9) may be used.

The condition \( \lambda (\text{min}) < (1/3) \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i - \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i \right)^{-1} \mu^2 \right\} \) can be easily proved as follows. \( \Theta(p, d) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i (p \cdot n_i + d)^2 - \lambda (p^2 - 1), \) we write as \( \Theta(p, d) = p^T \Gamma p + 2d p^T a + d^2 \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i \right) - \lambda (p^T p - 1), \) where the tensor \( \Gamma \) is defined by its components \( \Gamma_{jk} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i (n_i)_j (n_i)_k; \) and the vector \( a = \sum_{i=1}^{N} (w_i n_i). \) The conditions of stationarity yield \( \Gamma p + d a = \lambda p, p^T a + d \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i \right) = 0. \) The last two equations yield \( \Gamma p - \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i \right)^{-1} (p^T a) a = \lambda p, \) or, in components \( \left\{ \Gamma_{jk} - \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i \right)^{-1} a_j a_k \right\} p_k = \lambda p_j, \) or, shortly \( \Pi_{jk} p_k = \lambda p_j \) (summation over repeated indices is supposed in the last two equations). The symmetry of \( \Pi \) enables us to find an orthonormal base in which \( \Pi \) is diagonal (Tr(\( \Pi \)) is invariant of the transformation – orthogonal transformation; primed components). The equation \( \Pi p = \lambda p \) yields for the eigenvalues \( \lambda_j = \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i (n'_i)_j^2 - \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i \right)^{-1} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i (n'_i)_j \right]^2, j = 1, 2, 3, i.e., \( \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 = \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i \right) - \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i \right)^{-1} \mu^2, \) where \( \mu = |a|. \) Thus, \( \lambda (\text{min}) \leq (1/3) \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i - \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i \right)^{-1} \mu^2 \right\}. \) The relation for the sum of \( \lambda - \text{s} \) may serve as a control in practical calculations.

Equation \( \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i (p \cdot n_i + d) = 0 \) and the results of the section 2 yield \( |d| < 1. \)

Example: Let us consider \( \{n_i\}^4_{i=1} = \{1, 0, 1\} / \sqrt{2}; \{0, 1, 1\} / \sqrt{2}; \{1, 0, 1\} / \sqrt{2}; \{0, 1, 1\} / \sqrt{2} \); \( w_i = 1/4, i = 1 \text{ to } 4. \)

The method described in section 2 yields for the preferred direction: \( n_o = (0, 0, 1), \) \( \mu = \sqrt{2}/2 = 0.707. \)

As for the preferred planes:

i) \( \Theta(p) = \lambda (\text{min}) = 1/4, p = (p_1, p_2, 0), p_1^2 + p_2^2 = 1. \)

ii) \( \Theta(p, d) = \lambda (\text{min}) = 0, p = (0, 0, (\pm) 1), d = -(\pm) \sqrt{2}/2. \)

Particular results: \( a = (0, 0, 1/\sqrt{2}), \lambda (\text{min}) \leq 1/6, \Gamma = \text{diag}(1/4, 1/4, 1/2), \Pi = \text{diag}(1/4, 1/4, 0). \)
3.2. Points in Space

3.2.1. Center of the Coordinate Axes Lies in the Plane

Let the preferred plane is obtained from minimization of the function

$$
\Theta (p) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i \ (p \cdot f_i)^2 - \lambda \ (p^2 - 1),
$$

(11)

where $f_i$ is “radius” vector of the $i$-th point in the (phase-)space. Stationary points are characterized by the equations $\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i \ (p \cdot f_i) - \lambda \ p = 0$, $\Theta (p) = \lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i \ (p \cdot f_i)^2 $ $\geq 0$. There is minimum of $\Theta (p)$ for $\lambda (\min)$, maximum for $\lambda (\max)$ and there is no extreme of $\Theta (p)$ for $\lambda$ corresponding to $\lambda (\min) < \lambda < \lambda (\max)$ (the corresponding quadratic forms are positively definite for $\lambda (\min)$, negatively definite for $\lambda (\max)$ and indefinite for the third case – only two of the three stationary points correspond to extremes).

Moreover,

$$
\lambda (\min) < \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i \ f_i^2.
$$

(12)

The last condition yields $\lambda (\min) < \sum_{i=1}^{N} f_i^2 / (3N)$ for the case $w_i = 1/N$, and, thus, $\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i \ (p \cdot f_i)^2 < \sum_{i=1}^{N} f_i^2 / (3N)$; in other words, the quantity $3 \sum_{i=1}^{N} (p \cdot f_i)^2 / \{\sum_{i=1}^{N} f_i^2\}$ compares the observed distribution with respect to the uniform distribution.

The condition $\lambda (\min) < (1/3) \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i \ f_i^2$ can be easily proved in an analogous way as it is presented in section 3.1.1.

3.2.2. General Plane and Space

If the preferred plane is obtained from minimization of the function

$$
\Theta (p, d) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i \ (p \cdot f_i + d)^2 - \lambda \ (p^2 - 1),
$$

(13)

then the process of minimization yields for the minimum: $\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i \ (p \cdot f_i + d) f_i - \lambda (\min) \ p = 0$, $\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i \ (p \cdot f_i + d) = 0$, $\Theta (p, d) = \lambda (\min) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i \ (p \cdot f_i + d)^2$.

Moreover, $\lambda (\min) < \{ \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i f_i^2 - \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} a_i \right)^{-1} a^2 \} / 3$, where $a = \sum_{i=1}^{N} (w_i f_i)$. The last condition yields $\lambda (\min) < \{ \left[ (1/N) \sum_{i=1}^{N} f_i^2 \right] - a^2 \} / 3$ for the case $w_i = 1/N$, and, thus, $\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i \ (p \cdot f_i + d)^2 < \{ \left[ (1/N) \sum_{i=1}^{N} f_i^2 \right] - a^2 \} / 3$; in other words, the quantity $\sum_{i=1}^{N} (p \cdot f_i + d)^2 / \{ (1/3) \sum_{i=1}^{N} f_i^2 \}$ compares the observed distribution with respect to the uniform ($a = 0$) distribution – Eq. 12 may be used.

The condition $\lambda (\min) < (1/3) \{ \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i f_i^2 - \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} a_i \right)^{-1} a^2 \}$ can be easily proved in a manner analogous to that presented in section 3.2.1.
4. Simultaneous Consideration of Preferred Direction and Preferred Plane

We present a general discussion for simultaneous calculation of the preferred direction and the preferred plane.

Let us consider a function

$$\Phi(n_o, p) = 2^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i (n_o - n_i)^2 + A \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i (p \cdot n_i)^2 - \lambda (n_o^2 - 1)$$

which is minimized in order to obtain the preferred direction $n_o$ and the unit vector $p$ normal to the preferred plane containing the center of the unit sphere; $w_i \geq 0$. Coefficients $\lambda, \rho, \nu$ are multipliers of Lagrange. The coefficient $A (\geq 0)$ is arbitrary and there is no argument which value of $A$ one should take into account. The case $A = 0$ corresponds to the case $\Phi(n_o)$ discussed already in section 2. The case $\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i (n_o - n_i)^2 \ll A \sum_{i=1}^{N} (p \cdot n_i)^2$ and $\lambda = \nu = 0$ corresponds to the case when there is no apriori relation between $n_o$ and $p$.

We present two simple examples.

1. $\{n_i\}_{i=1}^{3} = \{(1, 0, 0); (-1, 0, 0); (0, 0, 1)\}$; $w_i = 1/3, i = 1 \text{ to } 3$. It can be easily verified that $n_o = (0, 0, 1), p = (0, \pm 1, 0)$. Thus, $n_o \cdot p = 0$.

Moreover, in order of presenting some other properties, we offer some other results:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i (n_o \cdot n_i)^2 / \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i = 1/3; \mu (\text{defined in section 2}) = 1/3, \text{probability that } \mu > 0.33 \text{ in the case of random uniform distribution is } 83 \%; \{\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i (p \cdot n_i)^2\} / \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i = \lambda (\text{min})\;\text{definition } w_1 = w_2 = 1/(k + 2), w_3 = k/(k + 2) \text{ yields } \{\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i (n_o \cdot n_i)^2\} / \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i = k/(k + 2) \text{ and this may be greater than } 1/3 \text{ for } k > 1, (\mu = k/(k + 2), \lambda \text{min} k) = 0.$$

2. $\{n_i\}_{i=1}^{5} = \{(1, 0, 0); (-1, 0, 0); (0, 1, 0); (0, -1, 0); (0, 0, 1)\}; w_i = 1/5, i = 1 \text{ to } 5$. It can be easily verified that $n_o = (0, 0, 1), p = (0, 0, \pm 1)$. Thus, $n_o \cdot p = \pm 1$.

The last example shows that the function $\Phi(n_o, p)$ is reasonable only in the case $\nu \equiv 0$. Thus, the important property of Eq. (14) is that minimization of $\Phi(n_o, p)$ yields independent equations for $n_o, p$.

5. Physics and Geometry

Finally, we show the relation between physics and geometry. We have $\Theta'(p) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} w'_i (f_i)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{N} w'_i |f_i|^2 (p \cdot n_i)^2$, which is equivalent to $\Theta(p)$ for the case $w_i = w'_i |f_i|^2, i = 1 \text{ to } N$. The minimization of $\Phi'(f_o) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} w'_i (f_o - f_i)^2$ yields $f_o = \{\sum_{i=1}^{N} w'_i f_i\} / \sum_{i=1}^{N} w'_i = \{\sum_{i=1}^{N} w'_i |f_i| n_i\} / \sum_{i=1}^{N} w'_i$, $n_o = \ldots$
\[
\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i ' | f_i | n_i \right\} / \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i ' | f_i | n_i \right\}
\]

This is equivalent to the minimum of \( \Phi (n_o) \)
\[
= \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i (n_o - n_i)^2
\]
for the case \( w_i = w_i' | f_i | , i = 1 \) to \( N \) (or, \( w_i = w_i' | f_i | / \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i' | f_i | n_i \right\} \).

6. Conclusion

We have presented several methods for obtaining preferred direction and preferred plane. Methods determining significance of the obtained results are also presented, and, also, tests on uniform distribution can be easily elaborated using computer modelling.
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