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Abstract
In [18] Paicu and Zarnescu have studied an order tensor system which describes the flow of a
liquid crystal. They have proven the existence of weak solutions, the propagation of higher
regularities, namely $H^s$ with $s > 1$ and the weak-strong uniqueness in dimension two. This
paper is devoted to the propagation of lower regularities, namely $H^s$ for $0 < s \leq 1$ and to
prove the uniqueness of the weak solutions. For the completeness of this research, we also
propose an alternative approach in order to prove the existence of weak solutions.

Key words: Q-Tensor, Navier-Stokes Equations, Uniqueness, Regularity, Besov Spaces, Homogeneous Paraproduct
AMS Subject Classification: 76A15, 35Q30, 35Q35, 76D03

1. Introduction and main results

1.1. Liquid Crystal. The Theory of liquid crystal materials has attracted much attention over the
recent decades. Generally, the physical state of a material can be determined by the motion degree
of freedom about its molecules. Certainly, the widespread physical states of matter are the solid, the
liquid and the gas ones. If the movement degree of freedom is almost zero, namely the forces which
act on the molecules don’t allow any kind of movement, forcing the material structure to be confined
in a specific order, then we are classifying a solid material. If such degree still preserves a strong
intermolecular force but it is not able to restrict the molecules to lie on a regular organization, then
we are considering a fluid state of matter. Finally in the gas phase the forces and the distance between
the molecules are weak and large respectively, so that the material is not confined and it is able to
extend its volume.

However, some materials possess some common liquid features as well as some solid properties, namely
the liquid crystals. As the name suggests, a liquid crystal is a compound of fluid molecules, which has
a state of matter between the ordinary liquid one and the crystal solid one. The molecules have not
a positional order but they assume an orientation which can be modified by the velocity flow. At the
same time a variation of the alignment can induce a velocity field as well. In a common liquid (more
correctly an isotropic liquid) if we consider the orientation of a single molecule then we should see the
random variation of its position. Nevertheless, in a crystal liquid, we see an amount of orientational
order.

It is well-documented that liquid crystals have been well-known for more than a century, however
they have received a growth in popularity and much study only in recent decades, since they have
attracted much attention thanks to their potential applications (see for instance [3]).

Commonly, in literature the liquid crystals are categorized by three sub-families, namely the nematics,
the cholesterics and the smectics. On a nematic liquid crystal, the molecules have the same alignment
with a preferred direction, however their positions are not correlated. On a cholesteric liquid crystal we have a foliation of the material where on each plaque the molecules orient themselves with the same direction (which could vary moving on the foliation). As in the nematic case, a cholesteric liquid crystal doesn’t require any kind of relation between the positions of the molecules. At last, on a smectic liquid crystal we have still a privileged direction for all the molecules, as in the nematic case, however the position of them is bonded by a stratification. In addition to the orientational ordering, the molecules lie in layers.

1.2. The Order Tensor Theory. A first mathematical approach to model the generic liquid crystals has been proposed by Ericksen \cite{Ericksen} and Leslie \cite{Leslie} over the period of 1958 through 1968. Even if they have presented a system which has been extensively studied in literature, for instance in \cite{Maier} and \cite{Ball}, several mathematical challenges and difficulties reside in such model. Hence, in 1994, Baris and Edwards \cite{Baris} proposed an alternative approach based on the concept of order Q-tensor, that one can find also in physical literature, for example \cite{deGennes} and \cite{Maier}. The reader can find an exhaustive introduction to the Q-tensor Theory in a recent paper of Mottram and Newton \cite{Mottram}, however we present here some hints in order to introduce the Q-tensor system.

Let us assume that our material lies on a domain \( \Omega \) of \( \mathbb{R}^3 \). A first natural strategy to model the molecules orientation is to introduce a vector field \( n = n(t,x) \), the so called director field (see for instance \cite{Maier}, which returns value on \( S^2 \), the boundary of the unit sphere on \( \mathbb{R}^3 \). Here \( n(t,x) \) is a specific vector for any fixed time and for any \( x \in \Omega \). An alternative approach is not to consider a precise position on \( S^2 \) but to establish the probability that \( n(t,x) \) belongs to some measurable subset \( A \subseteq S^2 \). Therefore we introduce a continuously distributed measure \( \mathcal{P} \) on \( S^2 \), driven by a density \( \rho \)

\[
\mathcal{P}(A) = \int_A \rho(P) d\sigma(P) = \int_A d\rho(P).
\]

We supposed the molecules to be unpolar, so that there is no difference between the extremities of them, so mathematically the probability \( \mathcal{P}(A) \) is always equal to \( \mathcal{P}(-A) \), which yields that the first order momentum vanishes:

\[
\int_{S^2} \rho(P) d\sigma = 0.
\]

Now considering the second order momentum tensor, given by

\[
M := \int_{S^2} P \otimes P d\rho(P) = \left( \int_{S^2} P_i P_j d\rho(P) \right)_{i,j=1,2,3} \in \mathcal{M}_3(\mathbb{R}),
\]

where \( \mathcal{M}_3(\mathbb{R}) \) denotes the \( 3 \times 3 \) matrices with real coefficients, we observe that \( M \) is a symmetric matrix and it has trace \( \text{tr}M = 1 \).

In the presence of an isotropic liquid, the orientation of the molecules is uniform in every direction, hence in this case the probability \( \mathcal{P}_0 \) is given by

\[
\mathcal{P}_0(A) = \int_A d\sigma(P),
\]

so that the corresponding second order momentum \( M_0 \) is exactly \( \text{Id}/3 \). We denote by \( Q \) the difference between a general \( M \) and \( M_0 \) obtaining a tensor which is known as the de Gennes order parameter tensor. Roughly speaking, \( Q \) interprets the deviation between a general liquid crystal and an isotropic one. From the definition, it is straightforward that \( Q \) is a symmetric tensor and moreover it has null trace. If \( Q \) assumes the form \( s_+ (n \otimes n - \text{Id}/3) \), where \( s_+ \) is a suitable constant, then the system which models the liquid crystal (and we are going to present) reduces to the general Ericksen-Leslie system (see for instance \cite{Baris}).
1.3. The Q-Tensor System. The present paper is devoted to the global solvability issue for the following system as an evolutionary model for the liquid crystal hydrodynamics:

\[ (P) \begin{cases} \partial_t Q + u \cdot \nabla Q - \Omega Q + Q \Omega = \Gamma H(Q) \\ \partial_t u + u \cdot \nabla u - \nu \Delta u + \nabla \Pi = L \text{div} \{ Q \Delta Q - \Delta Q Q - \nabla Q \circ \nabla Q \} \\ \text{div} u = 0 \\ (u, Q)_{t=0} = (u_0, Q_0) \end{cases} \]

Here \( Q = Q(t, x) \in \mathcal{M}_3(\mathbb{R}) \) denotes the order tensor, \( u = u(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \) represents the velocity field, \( \Pi = \Pi(t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \) stands for the pressure, everything depending on the time variable \( t \in \mathbb{R} \) and on the space variable \( x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \). The symbol \( \nabla \circ \nabla Q \) denotes the \( 3 \times 3 \) matrix whose \((i, j)\)-th entry is given by \( \text{tr}(\partial_i Q \partial_j Q) \), for \( i, j = 1, 2, 3 \). Moreover \( \Gamma, \nu \) and \( L \) are three positive constants.

The left hand side of the order tensor equation is composed by a classical transport time derivative while, defining \( \Omega \) as the antisymmetric matrix \( \Omega := (\nabla u - \nabla u)^{1/2}, Q\Omega - \Omega Q \) is an Oldroyd time derivative and describes how the flow gradient rotates and stretches the order parameter. On the right-hand-side, \( H(Q) \) denotes

\[ H(Q) := -aQ + b\left(Q^2 - \text{tr}(Q^2)\frac{\text{Id}}{3}\right) - c\text{tr}(Q^2)Q + L\Delta Q, \]

and \( P \) is the so called Landau-de Gennes thermotropic forces (more precisely it is a truncated taylor expansion about the original one, see for instance [1]). Here \( a, b \) and \( c \) are real constant, and from here on we are going to assume \( c \) to be positive.

In reality, Systems \((P)\) is a simplification of a more general one. More precisely, fixing a real \( \xi \in [0, 1] \), we consider

\[ (P_\xi) \begin{cases} \partial_t Q + u \cdot \nabla Q - S(\nabla u, Q) = \Gamma H(Q) \\ \partial_t u + u \cdot \nabla u - \nu \Delta u + \nabla \Pi = \text{div} \{ \tau + \sigma \} \\ \text{div} u = 0 \\ (u, Q)_{t=0} = (u_0, Q_0) \end{cases} \]

where \( S(\nabla u, Q) \) stands for

\[ S(Q, \nabla u) := (\xi D + \Omega)(Q + \frac{\text{Id}}{2}) + (Q + \frac{\text{Id}}{2})(\xi D - \Omega) - 2\xi(Q + \frac{\text{Id}}{2})\text{tr}(Q \nabla u), \]

with \( D := (\nabla u - \nabla u)^{1/2} \). Moreover \( \tau \) and \( \sigma \) are the symmetric and antisymmetric part of the the additional stress tensor respectively, namely

\[ \tau := -\xi(Q + \frac{\text{Id}}{2})H(Q) - \xi H(Q)(Q + \frac{\text{Id}}{2}) + 2\xi(Q + \frac{\text{Id}}{2})\text{tr}(QH(Q)) - L\{\nabla Q \circ \nabla Q + \frac{\text{Id}}{3}\{Q\}^2 \}, \]

\[ \sigma := QH(Q) - H(Q)Q. \]

Here \( \xi \) is a molecular parameter which describes the rapport between the tumbling and aligning effect that a shear flow exert over the liquid crystal directors. In all this paper we are going to consider the simplest case \( \xi = 0 \), namely system \((P_0)\), however we predict that all our results are available for the general case and we will prove them in a forthcoming paper.

Before going on, let us recall what we mean by a weak solution of system \((P)\).

**Definition 1.1.** Let \( Q_0 \) and \( u_0 \) be a \( 3 \times 3 \) matrix a 3-vector respectively, whose components belong to \( L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \). We say that \((u, Q)\) is a weak solution for \((P)\) if \( u \) belongs to \( L^\infty_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^+, L^2_\text{loc}) \cap L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^+, \dot{H}^1_\text{loc}) \), \( Q \) belongs to \( C(\mathbb{R}^+, H^1) \cap L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^+, \dot{H}^2) \) and \((P)\) is fulfilled in the distributional sense.
1.4. Some Developments in the order tensor Theory. Although the Q-tensor theory has received much attention in several disciplines as Physics, numerical analysis, mathematical analysis, the solvability study of the related system has not received numerous investigations, yet. We recall here some recent results.

In [21], D. Wang, X. Xu and C. Yu have developed the existence and long time dynamics of globally defined weak solution. In their paper, system (P) has been considered in the compressible and inhomogeneous setting, the fluid density $\rho$ not necessarily constant, described by a transport equation, and moreover a pressure dependent on $\rho$.

In [6] J. Fahn and T. Ozawa prove some regularity criteria for a local strong solution of system (P).

In [18], M. Paicu and A. Zarnescu first show the existence of a Lyapunov functional for system (P). Then they prove the existence of a weak solution thanks to a Friedrichs scheme. They also show the propagation of higher regularity, namely $H^s(\mathbb{R}^2) \times H^{1+s}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ for $(u, Q)$, with $s > 1$. At last they established an uniqueness result on the condition that one of the two considered solutions is a strong-solution, that is they prove the weak-strong uniqueness.

In [10] M. Paicu and A. Zarnescu prove the same results as in [18] for system (P) when $\xi$ is a general value of $[0, \xi_0]$ for some $0 < \xi_0 < 1$.

In [8] M. Paicu and A. Zarnescu consider initial data $(u_0, Q_0)$ in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^2) \times H^{1+s}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, with $s$ greater than 1. Then, they are able to prove that such high-regularity is preserved by the related solution of (P). Denoting by

$$f(t) := \|u(t)\|_{H^s}^2 + \|\nabla Q(t)\|_{H^s}^2,$$

$$g(t) := \|
abla u(t)\|_{H^s}^2 + \|\Delta Q(t)\|_{H^s}^2,$$

the major part of their proof releases on the Osgood Theorem, applied on an inequality of the following type:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} f(t) + g(t) \leq C f(t) \ln\{e + f(t)\}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+,$$

for a suitable positive constant $C$. However such estimate requires again to control $\|(u(t), \nabla Q(t))\|_{L^\infty}$ by $\|(u(t), \nabla Q(t))\|_{H^s}$, and this is true only if $s$ is greater than 1. We fix such lack, namely we extend...
the propagation for $0 < s$, passing through an alternative approach. Indeed we control the $L^\infty$-norm by a different method (see Lemmas 3.2 and 5.5). Thus, our second result reads as follows:

**Theorem 1.3.** Assume that $(u_0, Q_0)$ belongs to $H^s(\mathbb{R}^2) \times H^{1+s}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, with $0 < s$. Then, the solution $(u, Q)$ given by Theorem 1.4 fulfills

$$(u, \nabla Q) \in L^\infty_{t,loc} \dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap L^2_{t,loc} \dot{H}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}^2).$$

Now, we have also chosen to perform an existence result, for the completeness of this project, although it has already been proven by Paicu and Zarnescu. Nevertheless, here we use an alternative approach to prove the theorem. Indeed in [13], the authors utilize a Friedrichs scheme, regularizing every equation of (P), while our method is based on a coupled technique between the Friedrichs scheme and the Schaefer’s fixed point theorem, so that we have only to regularize the momentum equation of (P). This method is inspired by [11], where F. Lin use a modified Galerkin method coupled with the Schauder fixed point theorem, in the proof of an existence result. Then we are going to prove the following Theorem:

**Theorem 1.4.** Assume that $(u_0, Q_0)$ belongs to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$, then system (P) admits a global in time weak solution $(u, Q)$, in the sense of definition 5.4.

The structure of this article is over simplistic: in the next section we recall some classical tools which are going to be extensively utilized in this research. In this section we illustrate some widely recognized mathematical tools and moreover we report some technical details, for the simplicity of the reader.

### 2. Preliminaries and Notations

In this section we illustrate some widely recognized mathematical tools and moreover we report some notations which are going to be extensively utilized in this research.

**2.1. Sobolev and Besov Spaces.** First, let us introduce the spaces we are going to work with (we refer the reader to [1] for an exhaustive study and more details). We recall the well-known definition of Homogeneous Sobolev space $\dot{H}^s$ and Non-Homogeneous Sobolev Space $H^s$:

**Definition 2.1.** Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$, the Homogeneous Sobolev Space $\dot{H}^s$ (also denoted $\dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^2)$) is the space of tempered distribution $u$ over $\mathbb{R}^2$, the Fourier transform of which belongs to $L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and it fulfills

$$\|u\|_{\dot{H}^s} := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\xi|^{2s} |\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi < \infty.$$  

Moreover, $u$ belongs to the Non-Homogeneous Sobolev Space $H^s$ (or $H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)$) if $\hat{u} \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and

$$\|u\|_{H^s} := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (1 + |\xi|)^{2s} |\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi < \infty.$$  

$H^s$ is an Hilbert space for any real $s$, while $\dot{H}^s$ requires $s < d/2$, otherwise it is Pre-Hilbert. Their inner products are

$$\langle u, v \rangle_{\dot{H}^s} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (1 + |\xi|)^{2s} \hat{u}(\xi)\overline{\hat{v}(\xi)} d\xi \quad \text{and} \quad \langle u, v \rangle_{H^s} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\xi|^{2s} \hat{u}(\xi)\overline{\hat{v}(\xi)} d\xi,$$

respectively. Even if such dot-products are the most common ones, from here on we are going to use the ones related to the Besov spaces (at least for the homogeneous case). Hence, first we need to define them. In order to do that, it is fundamental to introduce the Dyadic Partition. Let $\chi = \chi(\xi)$ be a smooth function whose support is inside the the ball $|\xi| \leq 1$. Let us assume that $\chi$ is identically equal to 1 in $|\xi| \leq 3/4$, then, imposing $\varphi_0(\xi) := \chi((1/2)^{-q} - \chi((1/2)^{-q})$ for any $q \in \mathbb{Z}$, we define the Homogeneous Littlewood-Paley Block $\Delta_q$ by

$$\Delta_q f := \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\varphi_q \hat{f}) \in \mathcal{S}', \quad \text{for any} \quad f \in \mathcal{S}'.$$
Moreover we denote by $\dot{S}_j$ the operator $\sum_{q \leq j-1} \dot{\Delta}_q$, for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. We can now present the definition of Homogeneous Besov Space

**Definition 2.2.** For any $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $(p, r) \in [1, \infty]^2$, we define $\dot{B}_{p,r}^s$ as the set of tempered distribution $f$ such that

$$
\|f\|_{\dot{B}_{p,r}^s} := \|2^{sq}\|\dot{\Delta}_q f\|_{L_r^p} \|\nu_2^{(z)}
$$

and for all smooth compactly supported function $\theta$ we have

$$
\lim_{\lambda \to +\infty} \theta(\lambda D)f = 0 \text{ in } L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2).
$$

It is straightforward that the space $\dot{B}_{2,2}^s$ and $\dot{H}^s$ coincides for any real $s$, and their norms are equivalent, so we will use the following abuse of notation from here on:

$$(u, v)_{\dot{H}^s} := (u, v)_{\dot{B}_{2,2}^s} = \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{2qs}(\dot{\Delta}_q u, \dot{\Delta}_q v)_{L_r^2},$$

where $(\cdot, \cdot)_{L_r^2}$ is the common inner product of $L_r^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

A profitable feature of the Homogeneous Besov space with negative index $s$ is the following one (see Proposition 2.33 of [1])

**Proposition 2.3.** Let $s < 0$ and $1 \leq p, r \leq \infty$. Then $u$ belongs to $\dot{B}_{p,r}^s$ if and only if

$$(2^{ps}\|\dot{\Delta}_q u\|_{L_r^p})_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} \in L^r(\mathbb{Z}).$$

Moreover there exists two positive constant $c_s$ and $C_s$ such that

$$c_s\|u\|_{\dot{B}_{p,r}^s} \leq \|(2^{ps}\|\dot{\Delta}_q u\|_{L_r^p})_{q \in \mathbb{Z}}\|_{L^r(\mathbb{Z})} \leq C_s\|u\|_{\dot{B}_{p,r}^s}.$$  

**2.2. Homogeneous Paradifferential Calculus.** In this subsection we give some hints about how the product acts between $\dot{H}^s$ and $\dot{H}^t$, for some appropriate real $s$ and $t$. We present several tools which will play a major part in all our proofs. First, let us begin with the following Theorem, whose proof is put forward in the appendix:

**Theorem 2.4.** Let $s$ and $t$ be two real numbers such that $|s|$ and $|t|$ belong to $[0,1)$. Let $u$ assume that $s + t$ is positive, then for every $a \in \dot{H}^s$ and for every $b \in \dot{H}^t$, the product $ab$ belongs to $\dot{H}^{s+t-1}$ and there exists a positive constant (not dependent on $a$ and $b$) such that

$$
\|ab\|_{\dot{H}^{s+t-1}} \leq C\|a\|_{\dot{H}^s}\|b\|_{\dot{H}^t}.
$$

We have already remarked that $\dot{H}^s$ coincides with $\dot{B}_{2,2}^s$, and this correlation allows us to incorporate in our tools the so-called Bony decomposition:

$$
f \cdot g = \hat{T}f \hat{g} + \hat{T} \hat{g} \cdot f + \hat{R}(f, g), \text{ with } \hat{T}f \hat{g} := \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{\Delta}_q f \hat{\Delta}_q g \text{ and } \hat{R}(f, g) := \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}, |l| \leq 1} \hat{\Delta}_q f \hat{\Delta}_{q+l} g.
$$

However, such decomposition is not going to be useful for every challenging estimation, so that we are going to use the so called symmetric decomposition, in order to overcome the drawbacks. Here, we present directly the matrix-formulation of such decomposition, since it will be used on such framework. Let $q$ be an integer, and $A, B$ be $N \times N$ matrices, whose components are homogeneous temperate distributions. Denoting by

$$
\mathcal{J}_q^1(A, B) := \sum_{|q' - q| \leq 3} [\dot{\Delta}_q, \dot{\Delta}_q^{t-1} A] \dot{\Delta}_q B, \quad \mathcal{J}_q^2(A, B) := \dot{\Delta}_q A \dot{\Delta}_q B, 
$$

$$
\mathcal{J}_q^3(A, B) := \sum_{|q' - q| \leq 3} \left( \dot{\Delta}_q^{t-1} A - \dot{\Delta}_q A \right) \dot{\Delta}_q B, \quad \mathcal{J}_q^4(A, B) := \sum_{q' \geq q - 5} \dot{\Delta}_q A \dot{\Delta}_q^{t+2} B, \quad \mathcal{J}_q^5(A, B) := \sum_{q' \geq q - 5} \dot{\Delta}_q A \dot{\Delta}_q^{t+2} B, 
$$

the following classical feature concerning the product $AB$, is satisfied:

$$
\dot{\Delta}_q(AB) = \mathcal{J}_q^1(A, B) + \mathcal{J}_q^2(A, B) + \mathcal{J}_q^3(A, B) + \mathcal{J}_q^4(A, B) + \mathcal{J}_q^5(A, B),
$$

for any integer $q$. 

2.3. The Frobenius Norm. Before beginning with the proofs of our main results, let us give the following remark:

**Remark 2.5.** The most common inner product defined on $\mathcal{M}_3(\mathbb{R})$ (the $3 \times 3$ real matrices) is determined by:

$$A \cdot B = \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} A_{ij} B_{ij} = \text{tr}\{AB\}, \quad \text{for any } A, B \in \mathcal{M}_3(\mathbb{R}).$$

Hence, if at least one of the two matrices is symmetric, for instance $A$, then we obtain

$$(3) \quad A \cdot B = \text{tr}\{AB\},$$

which determines the well-known Frobenius norm of a matrix $|A| := \sqrt{\text{tr}\{A^2\}}$. Since any solution $(u, Q)$ for (P) fulfills

$$Q(t, x) \in S_0 := \{ A \in \mathcal{M}_3(\mathbb{R}), \text{tr}\{A\} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{tr}\{A\} = A \},$$

for almost every $(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^2$ (see [13] and [14]), then from here on we will repeatedly use (3).

Moreover, we will use the symbol $\lesssim$ (instead of $\leq$) which is defined as follows: for any non-negative real numbers $a$ and $b$, $a \lesssim b$ if and only if there exists a positive constant $C$ (not dependent on $a$ and $b$) such that $a \leq Cb$.

### 3. Weak Solutions

This section deals with the existence of weak solutions for (P) in the sense of definition [[14]]. As we have already explained, we are going to proceed with a coupled method between the Friedrichs scheme and the Schaefer’s Theorem. Hence, before going on, let us recall the widely recognized Schaefer’s fixed point Theorem

**Theorem 3.1.** Let $\Psi$ be a continuous and compact mapping of a Banach Space $X$ into itself, such that the set $\{ x \in X : x = \lambda \Psi x \text{ for some } 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1 \}$ is bounded. Then $T$ has a fixed point.

First, we introduce one of the key ingredient of our proofs, namely the mollifying operator $J_n$ defined by

$$\mathcal{F}(J_n f)(\xi) = 1_{[\frac{1}{n}, n]}(\xi) \quad \text{for } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^2,$$

which erases the high and the low frequencies.

We claim the existence and uniqueness of a solution for the following system

$$\mathcal{P}\{\mathcal{P} f\}(\xi) := \hat{f}(\xi) - \frac{\xi}{|\xi|} \cdot \hat{f}(\xi), \quad \text{for } \hat{f} \in (L^p_2)^2, \quad \text{with} \quad 1 < p < \infty,$$

and $T$ is a positive real number. It is well known that $\mathcal{P}$ is a bounded operator of $(L^p_2)^2$ into itself when $p \in (1, \infty)$.

**Remark 3.2.** We say $(u, Q)$ is a weak solution of the problem (P), provided that

$$u \in C([0, T], L^2_2) \cap L^2(0, T; H^1), \quad Q \in C([0, T]; H^1) \cap L^2(0, T; H^2)$$

and (P) is valid in the distributional sense.
Proposition 3.3. Suppose that $u \in C([0, T], L^2_x) \cap L^2(0, T; \dot{H}^1)$ and moreover that $Q \in C([0, T], H^1) \cap L^2(0, T; H^2)$ is a weak solution of

$$\partial_t Q + u \cdot \nabla Q - \Omega Q + \Omega Q - \Gamma L \Delta Q = \Gamma P(Q)$$ in $[0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^2$, and $Q_{t=0} = Q_0 \in H^1$.

Then, for every $2 \leq q < \infty$, the following estimate is fulfilled

$$\|Q(t)\|_{L^q_x} \leq \|Q_0\|_{H^1} \exp(Ct),$$

for a suitable positive constant $C$ dependent only on $q$, $\Gamma$, $a$, $b$ and $c$.

Proof. Fixing $p \in (1, \infty)$, We multiply both left and right-hand side by $2pQ \text{tr}(Q^2)^{p-1}$, we take the trace and we integrate in $\mathbb{R}^2$, obtaining that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|Q(t)\|_{L^2_{2p}}^2 = \Gamma 2Lp(Q(t)\text{tr}(Q(t)^2)^{p-1}, \Delta Q(t)\big) + 2\Gamma p \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \text{tr}(Q(t)^2)^{p-1} \text{tr}\{P(Q(t,x))Q(t,x)\} dx,$$

for almost every $t \in (0, T)$, where we have used $\text{div} u = 0$ and $\text{tr}(Q\Omega Q - \Omega Q^2) = 0$. First, analyzing the second term on the left-hand side, integrating by parts, we determine the following identity:

$$-(2pQ \text{tr}(Q^2)^{p-1}, \Delta Q)_{L^2} = \sum_{i=1}^2 \left[2p \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \text{tr}(Q^2)^{p-1} \text{tr}\{(\partial_i Q)^2\} + 2p \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \partial_t[\text{tr}(Q^2)^{p-1}]\text{tr}\{Q \partial_t Q\} \right]$$

$$= 2p \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \text{tr}(Q^2)^{p-1} |\nabla Q|^2 + 4p(p-1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \text{tr}(Q^2)^{p-2} |\nabla[\text{tr}(Q^2)]|^2 \geq 0,$$

which allows us to obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|Q(t)\|_{L^2_{2p}}^2 \leq \Gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} 2p\text{tr}(Q^2)^{p-1} \text{tr}\{P(Q(t,x))Q(t,x)\} dx.$$ 

Now, we deal with the right-hand side by a direct computation, observing that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \text{tr}(Q^2)^{p-1} \text{tr}(P(Q)Q) dx = \Gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left[ -a \text{tr}(Q^2)^p + b \text{tr}(Q^2)^{p-1} \text{tr}(Q^2) - c \text{tr}(Q^2)^{p+1} \right]$$

$$\leq \|Q\|_{L^2_{2p}}^{2p} = \frac{c}{2} \|Q\|_{L^2_{2(p+1)}}^{2(p+1)} \lesssim \|Q\|_{L^2_{2p}}^{2p},$$

where we have used the following feature about a symmetric matrix with null trace:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \text{tr}(Q^2)^{p-1} \text{tr}(Q^2) \lesssim \varepsilon \|Q\|_{L^2_{2(p+1)}}^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|Q\|_{L^2_{2p}}^{2p},$$

for a positive real $\varepsilon$, small enough. Indeed, if $Q$ has $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$, and $-\lambda_1 - \lambda_2$ as eigenvalues, we achieve that $\text{tr}(Q^3) = -3\lambda_1 \lambda_2 (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)$ and $\text{tr}(Q^2) = 2(\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 + \lambda_1 \lambda_2)$, hence

$$|\text{tr}(Q^2)| \lesssim \varepsilon (\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 + 2\lambda_1 \lambda_2) \lesssim \varepsilon (\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 + \lambda_1 \lambda_2)^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 + \lambda_1 \lambda_2) \lesssim \varepsilon \|Q\|^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|Q\|^2.$$ 

Therefore, we deduce that

$$|\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \text{tr}(Q^2)^{p-1} \text{tr}(Q^2)| \lesssim \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \text{tr}(Q^2)^{p+1} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \text{tr}(Q^2)^p. $$

Summarizing the previous consideration, we get

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|Q(t)\|_{L^2_{2p}}^2 \lesssim \|Q(t)\|_{L^2_{2p}}^{2p},$$

so that the statement is proved, thanks to the Gronwall’s inequality. \qed

Now, let us focus on one of the main theorems of this section, which reads as follows:

Theorem 3.4. Let $n$ be a positive integer and assume that $(u_0, Q_0)$ belongs to $L^2_x$. Then, system $(\mathbf{L})$ admits a unique local weak solution.
We claim that the hypotheses of the Schauder’s Theorem are fulfilled, namely $\Psi$ is a compact mapping of $X := C([0, T], L^2) \cap L^2(0, T; H^1)$ into itself, and the set $\{ u = \lambda \Psi(u) \}$ for some $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ is bounded. First we deal with the compactness of $\Psi$. Considering a bounded family $\mathcal{F}$ of $X$, we claim that the closure of $\Psi(\mathcal{F})$ is compact in $X$. If we prove that $\Psi(\mathcal{F})$ is an uniformly bounded and equicontinuous family of $C([0, T]; L^2)$ and moreover that $\{ \Psi(u)(t) \}$ with $t \in [0, T]$ and $u \in \mathcal{F}$ is a compact set of $L^2_2$, then the result is at least valid as $\Psi$ mapping of $X$ into $C([0, T], L^2)$, thanks to the Arzelà-Ascoli Theorem. Multiplying the first equation by $Q - \Delta Q$ and integrating in $\mathbb{R}^2$, we get

$$
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left( \| \nabla Q \|^2_{L^2} + \| Q \|^2_{L^2} \right) + \Gamma L (\| \nabla Q \|^2_{L^2} + \| \Delta Q \|^2_{L^2}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left[ \text{tr} \{ (J_n \Omega Q - Q J_n \Omega) \Delta Q \} - \text{tr} \{ (J_n u \cdot \nabla Q) \Delta Q \} \right] + \Gamma L \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left[ a \text{tr} \{ Q \Delta Q \} - b \text{tr} \{ Q^2 \Delta Q \} + c \text{tr} \{ Q \Delta Q \} \text{tr} \{ Q^2 \} \right] + \Gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left[ a \text{tr} \{ Q^2 \} - b \text{tr} \{ Q^3 \} + c \text{tr} \{ Q^2 \} \right],
$$

almost everywhere in $(0, T)$, which allows us to achieve

$$
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left( \| \nabla Q(t) \|^2_{L^2} + \| Q(t) \|^2_{L^2} \right) + \Gamma L \| \Delta Q(t) \|^2_{L^2} \leq C_n (1 + \| u(t) \|^2_{L^2}) (\| Q(t) \|^2_{L^2} + \| \nabla Q(t) \|^2_{L^2}) + \frac{\Gamma L}{100} \| \Delta Q(t) \|^2_{L^2},
$$

where $C_n$ is a positive constant dependent on $n$. Therefore, we realize that the family composed by $Q = Q(u)$ as $u$ ranges on $\mathcal{F}$ is a bounded family in $C([0, T]; L^2) \cap L^2(0, T; H^2)$. Now, multiplying the second equation by $\tilde{u}$ we get the following equality:

$$
\frac{d}{dt} \| \tilde{u}(t) \|^2_{L^2} + \nu \| \nabla \tilde{u}(t) \|^2_{L^2} = L \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left[ (\nabla Q \circ \nabla Q + Q \Delta Q - \Delta Q Q) \nabla \tilde{u} \right] (t, x) dx =: F(t),
$$

for almost every $t \in (0, T)$. Thus it turns out that

$$
\frac{d}{dt} \| \tilde{u}(t) \|^2_{L^2} + \nu \| \nabla \tilde{u}(t) \|^2_{L^2} \leq |F(t)| \leq \|Q(t), \nabla Q(t), \Delta Q(t)\|^2_{L^2} + C_n \| \tilde{u}(t) \|^2_{L^2},
$$

where $C_n > 0$ depends on $n$. Here, we have used the feature $J_n \tilde{u} = \tilde{u}$, which comes from the uniqueness of the solution for the second equation, so that $\| \nabla \tilde{u} \|^2_{L^2} \leq C_n \| \tilde{u} \|^2_{L^2}$. Summarizing the previous considerations and thanks to the Gouillon’s inequality we discover that $\Psi(\mathcal{F})$ is a bounded family in $X$, so in $C([0, T], L^2)$. Moreover, from (5) and the previous result, it turns out that $|F(t)|$ is bounded on $[0, T]$, uniformly in $u \in \mathcal{F}$. Hence $\Psi(\mathcal{F})$ is an equicontinuous family of $C([0, T]; L^2_2)$. Finally, because $J_n \tilde{u} = \tilde{u}$, we get that $\{ \Psi(u)(t) \}$ with $t \in [0, T]$ and $u \in \mathcal{F}$ is a subset of a bounded $L^2_2$-family composed by functions with Fourier-transform supported in the annulus $C(1/n, n)$, which is a compact family of $L^2_2$. Summarizing all the previous consideration, we get that $\Psi(\mathcal{F})$ is compact in $C([0, T], L^2_2)$ thanks to the Arzelà-Ascoli Theorem.

It remains to prove that $\Psi(\mathcal{F})$ is compact in $L^2(0, T; H^1)$, so that $\Psi$ is a compact mapping of $X$ into itself. Since $J_n \Psi(u)(t) = \Psi(u)(t)$ for every $u \in \mathcal{F}$ and $t \in (0, T)$, the precompactness of $\Psi(\mathcal{F})$ in $L^2(0, T; H^1)$ is equivalent to the precompactness of $\Psi(\mathcal{F})$ in $L^2((0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^2)$. Recalling that $\Psi(\mathcal{F})$ is precompact in $C([0, T], L^2_2)$ which is embedded in $L^2((0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^2)$ (for $T$ finite), then we determine the result, so that in conclusion $\Psi$ is a compact operator from $X$ to itself.
Now, we deal with the Schaefer's Theorem hypotheses, namely the set \{ u = \lambda \Psi(u) \text{ for some } \lambda \in (0,1) \} is a bounded family of \( X \). First, we point out that if \( u = \lambda \psi(u) \), then the couple \((u, Q)\) is a solution for

\[
\begin{cases}
\partial_t Q + \lambda J_n u \cdot \nabla Q - \lambda J_n \Omega Q + \lambda Q J_n \Omega = \Gamma H(Q) \\
\partial_t u + J_n P(J_n u \cdot \nabla J_n u - \nu \Delta u = L J_n P \text{div} \{ Q \Delta Q - \Delta QQ - \nabla Q \otimes \nabla Q \} \\
\text{div} u = 0 \\
(u, Q)_{t=0} = (u_0, Q_0)
\end{cases}
\]

\([0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^2, \) \([0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^2, \) \([0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^2, \)

We multiply the first equation by \( Q - \Delta Q \), the second equation by \( u \), we integrate everything in \( \mathbb{R}^N \) and we sum the results, obtaining:

\[
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt} \left[ \|Q\|_{L_t^2}^2 + \|\nabla Q\|_{L_t^2}^2 + \|u\|_{L_t^2}^2 \right] + \Gamma L \|\nabla Q\|_{L_t^2}^2 + \Gamma L \|\Delta Q\|_{L_t^2}^2 + \nu \|\nabla u\|_{L_t^2}^2 &= \lambda \langle J_n u \cdot \nabla Q, Q - \Delta Q \rangle_{L_t^2} + \\
&+ \lambda \langle J_n \Omega Q - Q J_n \Omega, Q - \Delta Q \rangle_{L_t^2} + \Gamma \langle P(Q), Q - \Delta Q \rangle_{L_t^2} - \langle J_n u \cdot \nabla J_n u, \nabla J_n u \rangle_{L_t^2} + \\
&+ \lambda \langle \nabla J_n u - \nabla J_n u, \nabla J_n u \rangle_{L_t^2} + L \langle Q \Delta Q - \Delta QQ, \nabla J_n u \rangle_{L_t^2} + L \langle \nabla Q \circ \nabla Q, \nabla J_n u \rangle_{L_t^2}.
\end{aligned}
\]

According to \( \|J_n u\|_{L_t^\infty} + \|\nabla J_n\|_{L_t^\infty} \leq C_n \|\nabla\|_{L_t^2} \), up to a positive constant \( C_n \) dependent on \( n \), it is not computationally demanding to achieve the following estimate:

\[
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt} \left[ \|Q\|_{L_t^2}^2 + \|\nabla Q\|_{L_t^2}^2 + \|u\|_{L_t^2}^2 \right] + \Gamma L \|\Delta Q\|_{L_t^2}^2 + \nu \|\nabla u\|_{L_t^2}^2 &\leq \\
&\leq \tilde{C}_n \left[ \|Q\|_{L_t^2}^2 + \|\nabla Q\|_{L_t^2}^2 + \|u\|_{L_t^2}^2 \right] + \frac{\nu}{100} \|\nabla u\|_{L_t^2}^2 + \frac{\Gamma L}{100} \|\Delta Q\|_{L_t^2}^2.
\end{aligned}
\]

Therefore, thanks to the Gronwall’s inequality, we detect the following estimate:

\[
\|Q\|_{L_t^2(0,T;L_t^2)}^2 + \|\nabla Q\|_{L_t^2(0,T;L_t^2)}^2 + \|u\|_{L_t^2(0,T;L_t^2)}^2 + \|\Delta Q\|_{L_t^2(0,T;L_t^2)}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L_t^2(0,T;L_t^2)}^2 \leq (u_0, Q_0)_{L_t^2} e^{C_n T}
\]

so that, the family \{ \( u = \lambda \Psi(u) \) for some \( 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1 \) \} is bounded in \( X \). Hence, applying the Schaefer’s fixed point Theorem, we conclude that there exists a fixed point for \( \Psi \), namely there exists a weak solution \((u, Q)\) (in the sense of remark \[3.2\]) for the system \((P_n^u)\).

**Remark 3.5.** In the previous proof \( T \) has only to be bounded, and it has no correlation with the initial data, so that the solution \((u^n, Q^n)\) of system \((P_n^u)\), given by Proposition \[3.3\], it should be supposed to belong to

\[
C(\mathbb{R}^+, L_t^2) \cap L_t^{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+, H^1) \times C(\mathbb{R}^+, H^1) \cap L_t^{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+, \dot{H}^2).
\]

We are now able to prove our main existence result, namely Theorem \[1.3\]

**Proof of Theorem \[1.3\]** Let us fix a positive real \( T \) and let \((u^n, Q^n)\) be the solution of \((P_n^u)\) given by Proposition \[3.3\] for any positive integer \( n \). We analyse such solutions in order to develop some \( n \)-uniform bound for their norms, which will allow us to apply some classical methods about compactness and weakly convergence.

We multiply the first equation of \((P_n^u)\) by \( Q^n - L \Delta Q^n \), the second one by \( u^n \), we integrate in \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) and finally we sum the results, obtaining the following identity

\[
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt} \left[ \|u^n\|_{L_t^2}^2 + \|Q^n\|_{L_t^2}^2 + \|\nabla Q^n\|_{L_t^2}^2 + \Gamma L \|\nabla Q^n\|_{L_t^2}^2 + \Gamma L \|\Delta Q^n\|_{L_t^2}^2 \right] &= \\
= -\langle u^n \cdot \nabla Q^n, Q^n \rangle_{L_t^2} + L \langle u^n \cdot \nabla Q^n, \Delta Q^n \rangle_{L_t^2} + \langle \nabla Q^n \cdot Q^n, Q^n \rangle_{L_t^2} - \\
&- L \langle \nabla Q^n \cdot Q^n, \Delta Q^n \rangle_{L_t^2} + \Gamma \langle P(Q^n), Q^n \rangle_{L_t^2} - \Gamma L \langle P(Q^n), \Delta Q^n \rangle_{L_t^2} - \\
&- \langle u^n \cdot \nabla Q^n, u^n \rangle_{L_t^2} - L \langle \Delta Q^n, \nabla u^n \rangle_{L_t^2} - L \langle \text{div} \{ \nabla Q^n \circ \nabla Q^n, u^n \} \rangle_{L_t^2}.
\end{aligned}
\]

\[6\]
First, let us observe that $A + AA = 0$ thanks to Lemma A.1 Moreover $\langle u_n \cdot \nabla Q_n, Q_n \rangle_{L^2}$ and $\langle u_n \nabla u_n, u_n \rangle_{L^2}$ are null, because of the divergence-free condition of $u_n$, while $(\Omega \nabla Q_n - Q_n \Omega_n, \Delta Q_n)_{L^2}$ is zero since $Q_n$ is symmetric. Furthermore $B + BB = 0$ so that the following identity is satisfied:

$$\text{tr}(u_n \cdot \nabla Q_n \Delta Q_n) = \nabla \cdot (\nabla Q_n \circ \nabla Q_n) \cdot u_n - \nabla \{u_n(\Delta Q_n + |\partial_1 Q_n|^2 + |\partial_2 Q_n|^2)\}.$$ 

Recalling (4) with $p = 1$, it turns out that

$$\Gamma(P(Q_n), Q_n)_{L^2} \leq \|Q_n\|_{L^2}^2 - \frac{c}{2} \|Q_n\|_{L^2}^4 \leq \|Q_n\|_{L^2}^2,$$

while, by a direct computation and thanks to Proposition 3.3, we deduce

$$\Gamma L(P(Q_n), \Delta Q_n)_{L^2} \leq \|\nabla \nabla Q_n\|_{L^2}^2 + \|Q_n\|_{L^2}^2 \|\Delta Q_n\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \|\nabla \nabla Q_n\|_{L^2}^2 + \|Q_n\|_{H^1}^2 e^{6Ct} + C_{\Gamma L} \|\Delta Q_n\|_{L^2}^2,$$

where $C$ is positive real constant, not dependent on $n$ and $C_{\Gamma L} > 0$ is a suitable small enough constant which will allow to absorb $\|\Delta Q_n\|_{L^2}^2$ from the left-hand side of (6). Thus, summarizing the previous considerations, we get

$$\frac{d}{dt} [\|u_n\|_{L^2}^2 + \|Q_n\|_{L^2}^2 + \Gamma L \|\nabla Q_n\|_{L^2}^2 + \nu \|\nabla u_n\|_{L^2}^2 + \Gamma L^2 \|\Delta Q_n\|_{L^2}^2] \leq \|Q_n\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla Q_n\|_{L^2}^2 + \|Q_0\|_{H^1}^2 e^{6Ct},$$

which yields

$$\|\langle u_n, Q_n, \nabla Q_n \rangle\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2)} + \|\langle \nabla u_n, \Delta Q_n \rangle\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2)} \leq \|\langle u_0 \rangle\|_{L^2} + \|Q_0\|_{L^2}^2 + \|Q_0\|_{H^1}^2 \exp\{\tilde{C}t\}.$$ 

for a suitable positive constant $\tilde{C}$, independent on $n$.

Thanks to the previous control, we carry out to pass to the limit as $n$ goes to $+\infty$, and we claim to found a weak solution for system (4). We fix at first a bounded domain $\Omega$ of $\mathbb{R}^2$, with a smooth enough boundary. At first we claim that $(Q^n)_n$ is a Cauchy sequence in $C([0,T], L^2(\Omega))$, and the major part of the proof releases in the Arzelà-Ascoli Theorem. We have already proven that $(Q^n)_n$ is bounded in such space, moreover, since $Q_n(t)$ belongs to $H^1(\Omega)$ which is compactly embedded in $L^2(\Omega)$, we get that $\{Q_n(t) : n \in \mathbb{N} \}$ is uniformly equicontinuous in $N_{\|Q_0\|}$. Thus, we obtain that $\nabla Q_n$ and $\Delta Q_n$ belong to $L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega))$ and $L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))$ respectively, and we have:

$$\nabla Q_n \rightharpoonup \nabla Q \quad w - L^2(0,T;L^2) \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta Q_n \rightharpoonup \Delta Q \quad w - L^2(0,T;L^2),$$

up to a subsequence. Now, let us fix a bounded smooth domain $\Omega$ of $\mathbb{R}^2$. Then $\nabla Q^n(t)$ weakly converges to $\nabla Q(t)$ in $H^1(\Omega)$, for almost every $t \in (0,T)$, up to a subsequence, so that, from the compact embedding $H^1(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^2(\Omega)$, we deduce that $\nabla Q^n(t)$ strongly converges to $\nabla Q(t)$ in $L^2(\Omega)$, for almost every $t \in (0,T)$. Moreover $\nabla Q_n - \nabla Q |_{L^2(\Omega)}$ belongs to $L^2(0,T)$ and its norm is uniformly bounded in $n$. Hence applying the dominated convergence Theorem, we get

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_0^T \|\nabla Q_n(t) - \nabla Q(t)\|_{L^2} dt = \int_0^T \lim_{n \to \infty} \|\nabla Q_n(t) - \nabla Q(t)\|_{L_2}^2 dt = 0,$$

namely $\nabla Q^n$ strongly converges to $\nabla Q$ in $L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))$. Since $\nabla Q^n$ is bounded in $L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))$ (from the embedding $H^1 \hookrightarrow L^2$ we get also that $\nabla Q^n$ weakly converges to $\nabla Q$ in $w - L^2(0,T;L^2)$, so that $\nabla Q^n$ strongly converges to $\nabla Q$ in $L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))$, by interpolation. This range of convergences finally show that $\nabla Q \circ \nabla Q$ and $Q \Delta Q - \Delta Q$ are the limits of $\nabla Q^n \circ \nabla Q^n$ and $Q^n \Delta Q^n - \Delta Q^n Q^n$, respectively.
as \( n \) goes to infinity, respectively in \( L^1(0, T; L^4(\Omega)) \) and \( L^1(0, T; L^{4/3}(\Omega)) \), the strongly convergence of \( P(Q^n) \) to \( P(Q) \) in \( L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \) is straightforward, while, with a similar strategy, we are able to prove the existence of \( u \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2_\sigma) \) with \( \nabla u \in L^2(0, T; L^2_\sigma) \) such that \( u^n \) strongly converges to \( u \) in \( L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \) and \( \nabla u^n \) weakly converges to \( \nabla u \) in \( L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \) (everything up to a subsequence). Hence \( u^n \cdot \nabla u^n \) and \( \Omega^* Q^n - Q^n \Omega^* \) weakly converges in \( L^1(0, T; L^{4/3}(\Omega)) \) to \( u \cdot \nabla u \) and \( \Omega Q - Q \Omega \) respectively. Finally \( u^n \cdot \nabla Q^n \) strongly converges to \( u \cdot \nabla Q \) in \( L^1(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \).

Now, \( J_0 \phi \) strongly converges to \( \phi \) in \( L^\infty(0, T; L^2_\sigma) \), for any \( \phi \in \mathcal{D}((0, T) \times \Omega) \) and for any \( 1 \leq p < \infty \). Considering all the previous convergences and since \((u^n, Q^n)\) is a weak solution of \( [P]_n \), namely

\[
- \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \text{tr}\{Q^n \partial_t \Psi\} - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \text{tr}\{Q_0 \Psi(0, \cdot)\} + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \text{tr}\{(u^n \cdot \nabla Q^n)\Psi\} + \\
\quad + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \text{tr}\{(Q^n - Q^n(\cdot))\Psi\} = \Gamma \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \text{tr}\{H(Q^n)\},
\]

for every \( N \times N \)-matrix \( \Psi \) with coefficients in \( \mathcal{D}([0, T) \times \Omega) \) and

\[
- \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^n \cdot \partial_t \psi - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_0 \cdot \psi(0, \cdot) + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (u^n \cdot \nabla u^n) \cdot \mathcal{P} J_0 \psi - \nu \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^n \cdot \Delta \psi = \\
= -L \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [Q^n \Delta Q^n - \Delta Q^n Q^n - \nabla Q^n \odot \nabla Q^n] \cdot \mathcal{P} J_0 \nabla \psi,
\]

for any \( N \)-vector \( \psi \) with coefficients in \( \mathcal{D}([0, T) \times \Omega) \), we pass through the limit as \( n \) goes to \( \infty \), obtaining

\[
- \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \text{tr}\{Q \partial_t \Psi\} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \text{tr}\{Q_0 \Psi(0, \cdot)\} + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \text{tr}\{(u \cdot \nabla Q)\Psi\} + \\
\quad + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \text{tr}\{(Q - Q(\cdot))\Psi\} = \Gamma \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \text{tr}\{H(Q)\}
\]

and

\[
- \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u \cdot \partial_t \psi - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_0 \cdot \psi(0, \cdot) + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (u \cdot \nabla u) \cdot \mathcal{P} \psi - \nu \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u \cdot \Delta \psi = \\
= -L \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [Q \Delta Q - \Delta Q Q - \nabla Q \odot \nabla Q] \cdot \mathcal{P} \nabla \psi.
\]

From the arbitrariness of \( T \) and \( \Omega \), we finally achieve that \((u, Q)\) is a weak solution for \([P]\) in the sense of definition \([\Pi]\). \(\square\)

4. The Difference Between Two Solutions

This section is devoted to an important remark which plays a major part in our uniqueness result. We deal with the difference between two weak solutions \((u_i, Q_i), i = 1, 2, \) of \([P]\) in the sense of definition \([\Pi]\). Denoting by \((\delta u, \delta Q)\) the difference between the first and the second one, we claim that such element belongs to a lower regular space than the one the solutions belong to.

**Proposition 4.1.** For any finite positive \( T \), \( \delta u \) and \( \nabla \delta Q \) belong to \( L^\infty(0, T; H^{-1/2}) \).

**Remark 4.2.** In virtue of Proposition \([\Pi]\) and since \((\nabla \delta u, \Delta \delta Q)\) belongs to \( L_2^2 L_4^2 \) then

\((\nabla \delta u, \Delta \delta Q) \in L_2^2 (0, T; H^{-1/2})\),

for any finite positive \( T \), thanks to a classical real interpolation method:

\[
\| \nabla \delta u \|_{H^{-1/2}} \lesssim \| \nabla \delta u \|_{H^{-1}}^{1/2} \| \nabla \delta u \|_{L_2^2}^{1/2} \lesssim \| \delta u \|_{H^{-1}} + \| \nabla \delta u \|_{L_2^2},
\]

\[
\| \Delta \delta Q \|_{H^{-1/2}} \lesssim \| \Delta \delta Q \|_{H^{-1}}^{1/2} \| \Delta \delta Q \|_{L_2^2}^{1/2} \lesssim \| \nabla \delta Q \|_{H^{-1}} + \| \Delta \delta Q \|_{L_2^2}.
\]
Proof of Proposition 4.1. Fixing $T > 0$ we are going to prove that $\delta u$ belongs to $L^\infty(0, T; \hat{H}^{-1/2})$ and $\delta Q$ belongs to $L^\infty(0, T; \hat{H}^{1/2})$. We denote by $f_1$ and $f_2$

\[ f_1 := -u_1 \cdot \nabla Q_1 + u_2 \cdot \nabla Q_2 + \Omega_i Q_i - \Omega_i Q_2 - Q_i \Omega + Q_2 \Omega_2 + \nabla Q \\frac{b}{3} (Q_1^2 - Q_2^2 - \text{tr}(Q_1^2 - Q_2^2) \frac{1}{2}) - c \text{tr}(Q_1^2) Q_1 + c \text{tr}(Q_2^2) Q_2 \]

\[ f_2 := \text{P}[-\text{div}u_1 \otimes u_1 - u_2 \otimes u_2 + L \text{div} \{ Q_1 \Delta Q_1 - Q_2 \Delta Q_2 - \Delta Q_1 Q_1 + \Delta Q_2 Q_2 - \nabla Q_1 \otimes \nabla Q_1 + \nabla Q_2 \otimes \nabla Q_2 \}]
\]

respectively. Then $\delta Q$ and $\delta u$ are weak solutions of the following Cauchy Problems:

\[ \partial_t \delta Q - \Gamma L \Delta \delta Q + \Gamma a \delta Q = f_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_t \delta u - \nu \Delta \delta u = f_2 \quad \text{in} \quad [0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^2, \]

with null initial data. Then, by the classical Theory of Evolutionary Parabolic Equation, it is sufficient to prove that $f_1$ and $f_2$ belong to $L^2(0, T; \hat{H}^{-1/2})$ and $L^2(0, T; \hat{H}^{-3/2})$ respectively in order to obtain

\[ \|(\nabla \delta u, \Delta \delta Q)\|_{L^\infty(0, T; \hat{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}})} \lesssim \|f_1\|_{L^2(0, T; \hat{H}^{-\frac{3}{2}})} + \|f_2\|_{L^2(0, T; \hat{H}^{-\frac{3}{2}})} \]

and conclude the proof. We start by $f_1$ and Theorem 5.1 plays a major part. For any $i = 1, 2$, we get

\[ \|u_i \cdot \nabla Q_i\|_{\hat{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \lesssim \|u_{i, x}\|_{H^\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla Q_i\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|u_{i, x}\|_{L^2} \|\nabla Q_i\|_{L^2} \in L^4(0, T), \]

\[ \|\nabla Q_i\|_{\hat{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \lesssim \|Q_i\|_{H^\frac{1}{2}} \|Q_i\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|Q_i\|_{L^2} \|Q_i\|_{L^2} \in L^4(0, T), \]

\[ \|\text{tr}(Q_i^2) \|_{\hat{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \lesssim \|Q_i^2\|_{H^\frac{1}{2}} \|Q_i\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|Q_i\|_{L^2} \|Q_i\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|Q_i\|_{L^2} \|Q_i\|_{L^2} \in L^\infty(0, T). \]

Then, summarizing the previous estimates, we finally conclude that $f_1$ belongs to $L^2(0, T; \hat{H}^{-1/2})$. Now, let us handle the terms of $f_2$:

\[ \|\text{div}(u_1 \otimes u_i)\|_{\hat{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \lesssim \|u_{i, x}\|_{H^\frac{1}{2}} \|u_{i, x}\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|u_{i, x}\|_{H^\frac{1}{2}} \|u_{i, x}\|_{L^2} \in L^4(0, T), \]

\[ \|\text{div}(Q_i \Delta Q_i)\|_{\hat{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \lesssim \|Q_i \Delta Q_i\|_{H^\frac{1}{2}} \|\Delta Q_i\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|Q_i\|_{L^2} \|\Delta Q_i\|_{L^2} \in L^\infty(0, T) \]

and moreover

\[ \|\text{div}(\nabla Q_i \otimes \nabla Q_i)\|_{\hat{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \lesssim \|\nabla Q_i \otimes \nabla Q_i\|_{H^\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla Q_i\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\nabla Q_i\|_{H^\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla Q_i\|_{L^2} \in L^4(0, T), \]

which finally implies that $f_2$ belongs to $L^2(0, T; \hat{H}^{-\frac{3}{2}})$. This concludes the proof of Proposition 4.1. \qed

5. Uniqueness

In this section we present our first original result. We are going to prove Theorem 4.2 namely the uniqueness of the weak solutions, given by Theorem 4.1. We implement the uniqueness result of Paicu and Zarnescu in [13], concerning the weak-strong uniqueness. Indeed the authors suppose that at least one of the solutions is a classical solution. The leading cause of such restriction relies on the choice to control the difference between two solutions in an $L^2_\omega$-setting. However, this requires to estimate the $L^\infty_\omega$-norm of one of the solutions, $\|(u, \nabla Q)\|_{L^\infty_\omega}$, for instance by a Sobolev embedding, therefore the necessity to put $(u(t), \nabla Q(t))$ in some $\hat{H}^s$ with $s > 1$, for any real $t$. In this article we overcome this drawback, performing the weak-weak uniqueness, thanks to an alternative approach which is inspired by [17] and [15]. The main idea is to evaluate the difference between two weak solutions in a functional space which is less regular than $L^2_\omega$. Considering two weak solutions
(u₁, ∇Q₁) and (u₂, ∇Q₂), we define (δu, δQ) as the difference between the first one and the second one. It is straightforward that such difference is a weak solution for the following system:

\[
\begin{aligned}
    (δP) \quad & \quad \begin{cases}
    \partial_t δ Q + δ u \cdot Q_1 + u_2 \cdot \nabla δ Q - δ S(\nabla u, Q) - Γ L δ Q = Γ δ P(Q) & \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^2, \\
    \partial_t δ u + δ u \cdot u_1 + u_2 \cdot \nabla δ u - ν δ δ u + \nabla δ Π = L \text{div} \{ δ Q ∆ Q_1 + Q_2 ∆ δ Q - ∆ δ Q_1 - ∆ Q_2 δ Q - ∆ δ Q - ∆ δ Q_1 - ∆ Q_2 ∙ ∇ δ Q \} & \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^2, \\
    \text{div} δ u = 0 & \mathbb{R}^2,
    \end{cases}
\end{aligned}
\]

where we have also defined

\[δ \Omega := Ω_1 - Ω_2, \quad δ Π := Π_1 - Π_2, \quad δ P(Q) := P(Q_1) - P(Q_2).\]

and moreover we consider the scalar product in ˙t

\[
\begin{aligned}
    \langle δ \delta Q \rangle := Ω(δu, δQ) := Ω₁ - Ω₂, \quad \delta P(Q) := P(Q_1) - P(Q_2).
\end{aligned}
\]

Recalling the previous subsection, we take the \( H^{-1/2} \)-inner product between the first equation of \((δP)\) and \(-LδQ\) and moreover we consider the scalar product in \( H^{-1/2} \) between the second one and \( δ u \):

\[
\begin{aligned}
    \frac{d}{dt} \left[ \frac{1}{2} ||δ u(t)||_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}}^2 + L ||∇ δ Q||_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}}^2 \right] + ν ||∇ δ u||_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}}^2 + Г L^2 ||δ δ Q||_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}}^2 = \\
    = LΓ(δ P(Q), δ δ Q)_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} - L(δ u \cdot ∇ Q₁, δ δ Q)_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} + L(δ Q ∆ Q₁, δ δ Q)_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} + L(δ Q ∆ δ Q₁, δ δ Q)_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} + L(δ Q ∆ δ Q₁, δ δ Q)_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \tag{8} + L(δ Q ∆ δ Q₁, δ δ Q)_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} - L(δ Q ∆ Q₁, δ δ Q)_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} - L(δ Q ∆ δ Q₁, δ δ Q)_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} + L(δ Q ∆ δ Q₁, δ δ Q)_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} + L(δ Q ∆ δ Q₁, δ δ Q)_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}}
\end{aligned}
\]

Denoting by \( \Phi(t) = \frac{1}{2} ||δ u(t)||_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}}^2 + L ||∇ δ Q(t)||_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}}^2 \) we claim that

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \Phi(t) \leq χ(t)Φ(t), \quad \text{for almost every } t \in \mathbb{R}_+,
\]

where \( χ \geq 0 \) belongs to \( L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}_+) \). Hence, uniqueness holds thanks to the Gronwall Lemma and since \( Φ(0) \) is null. Thus, we need to analyze every terms of the right-hand side of \((8)\). From here on \( C_{Γ,L} \) and \( C_τ \) are suitable positive constants which will be determined in the end of the proof.

**Simpler Terms.** First, we begin evaluating every term which is handleable by Theorem 2.4

**Estimate of \( Γ L(δ P(Q), δ δ Q)_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \)**

From the definition of \( δ P(Q) \), and since \( \text{tr} \{ Δ Q \} \) is null, we need to control

\[
\begin{aligned}
    Γ L(δ P(Q), δ δ Q)_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} &= -Γ L a ||∇ δ Q||_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}}^2 + Γ L b(δ Q, Q₁, Q₂, Δ Q)_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} - Γ L c(δ Q tr Q₁, Δ Q)_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} - Γ L c(δ Q Q₁, Δ Q)_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}}
\end{aligned}
\]

We overcome the second term in the right hand-side of the equality as follows:

\[
\begin{aligned}
    Γ L b(δ Q, Q₁, Q₂, Δ Q)_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \lesssim ||δ Q||_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} ||(Q₁, Q₂)||_{L^2} ||Δ Q||_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \lesssim ||∇ δ Q||_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} ||Q₁||_{L^2} ||Q₂||_{L^2} + C_{Γ,L} ||Δ Q||_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}}
\end{aligned}
\]

Furthermore, we observe that

\[
\begin{aligned}
    Γ L c(δ Q tr Q₁, Δ Q)_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \lesssim ||δ Q||_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} ||Q₁||_{L^2} ||Δ Q||_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \lesssim ||δ Q||_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} ||Q₁||_{L^2} ||Δ Q||_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} + C_{Γ,L} ||Δ Q||_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}}
\end{aligned}
\]
and moreover
\[ \Gamma L (\text{tr} \delta Q_1 + Q_2 \delta Q_1) \langle Q_1, \Delta \delta Q \rangle_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \leq \| \delta Q \|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \left( \| Q_1 \|_{L^2}^2 + \| Q_2 \|_{L^2} \| Q_1 \|_{L^2} \right) \| \Delta \delta Q \|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \]
\[ \leq \| \nabla \delta Q \|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \| (Q_1, Q_2) \|_{L^2}^2 \| \Delta \delta Q \|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \leq \| \nabla \delta Q \|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \| (Q_1, Q_2) \|_{L^2}^2 \| \nabla (Q_1, Q_2) \|_{L^2} \| \Delta \delta Q \|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \]
\[ \leq \| \nabla \delta Q \|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}}^2 \| (Q_1, Q_2) \|_{L^2}^2 \| \nabla (Q_1, Q_2) \|_{L^2}^2 + C_{\Gamma, L} \| \Delta \delta Q \|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}}^2. \]

Finally, summarizing the previous inequality, we get
\[ \Gamma L \langle \delta P (Q), \Delta \delta Q \rangle_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \leq \| \nabla \delta Q \|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}}^2 \| (Q_1, Q_2) \|_{L^2}^2 \| \nabla (Q_1, Q_2) \|_{L^2}^2 + C_{\Gamma, L} \| \Delta \delta Q \|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}}^2. \]

Estimate of \( L (\delta u \cdot \nabla Q_1, \Delta \delta Q)_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \)
\[ L (\delta u \cdot \nabla Q_1, \Delta \delta Q)_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \leq \| \delta u \|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \| \nabla Q_1 \|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \| \Delta \delta Q \|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \leq \| \delta u \|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \| \nabla Q_1 \|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \| \Delta \delta Q \|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \times \]
\[ \| \nabla Q_1 \|_{L^2}^2 \| \Delta \delta Q \|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \leq C_{\Gamma, L} \| \Delta \delta Q \|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}}^2 + C_{\nu} \| \nabla \delta u \|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}}^2 + \| \nabla Q_1 \|_{L^2}^2 \| \Delta \delta Q \|_{L^2} \| \delta u \|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}}. \]

Estimate of \( L (u_2 \cdot \nabla Q_1, \Delta \delta Q)_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \)
\[ L (u_2 \cdot \nabla Q_1, \Delta \delta Q)_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \leq \| u_2 \|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \| \nabla Q_1 \|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \| \Delta \delta Q \|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \leq \| u_2 \|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \| \nabla Q_1 \|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \| \Delta \delta Q \|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \times \]
\[ \| \Delta Q_1 \|_{L^2}^2 \| \Delta \delta Q \|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \leq C_{\Gamma, L} \| \Delta \delta Q \|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}}^2 + \| u_2 \|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \| \nabla Q_1 \|_{L^2}^2 \| \Delta \delta Q \|_{L^2} \| \delta u \|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}}. \]

Estimate of \( L (\delta Q \delta \Omega - \delta \Omega \delta Q, \Delta \delta Q)_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \)
\[ L (\delta Q \delta \Omega - \delta \Omega \delta Q, \Delta \delta Q)_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \leq \| \delta Q \|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \| \delta \Omega \|_{L^2} \| \Delta \delta Q \|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \leq \| \nabla (u_1, u_2) \|_{L^2}^2 \| \nabla \delta Q \|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}}^2 + C_{\Gamma, L} \| \Delta \delta Q \|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}}. \]

Estimate of \( L (\Omega_2 \delta Q - \delta Q \Omega_2, \Delta \delta Q)_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \)
\[ L (\Omega_2 \delta Q - \delta Q \Omega_2, \Delta \delta Q)_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \leq \| \Omega_2 \|_{L^2} \| \delta Q \|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \| \Delta \delta Q \|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \leq \| \nabla u_2 \|_{L^2}^2 \| \nabla \delta Q \|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}}^2 + C_{\Gamma, L} \| \Delta \delta Q \|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}}. \]

Estimate of \( L (\nabla \delta Q \cdot \nabla Q_1, \nabla \delta u)_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \)
\[ L (\nabla \delta Q \cdot \nabla Q_1, \nabla \delta u)_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \leq \| \nabla \delta Q \|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \| \nabla Q_1 \|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \| \nabla \delta u \|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \leq \| \nabla \delta Q \|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \| \nabla Q_1 \|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \| \nabla \delta u \|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \times \]
\[ \| \nabla Q_1 \|_{L^2}^2 \| \Delta \delta Q \|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \leq C_{\Gamma, L} \| \Delta \delta Q \|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}}^2 + C_{\nu} \| \nabla \delta u \|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}}^2 + \| \nabla Q_1 \|_{L^2}^2 \| \Delta \delta Q \|_{L^2} \| \delta u \|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}}. \]

Estimate of \( L (\nabla Q_2 \cdot \nabla \delta Q, \nabla \delta u)_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \)
\[ L (\nabla Q_2 \cdot \nabla \delta Q, \nabla \delta u)_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \leq \| \nabla \delta Q \|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \| \nabla Q_2 \|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \| \nabla \delta u \|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \leq \| \nabla \delta Q \|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \| \nabla Q_2 \|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \| \nabla \delta u \|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \times \]
\[ \| \nabla \delta Q \|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \| \nabla Q_2 \|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \| \nabla \delta u \|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \leq C_{\Gamma, L} \| \Delta \delta Q \|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}}^2 + C_{\nu} \| \nabla \delta u \|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}}^2 + \| \nabla Q_2 \|_{L^2}^2 \| \Delta \delta Q \|_{L^2} \| \delta u \|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}}. \]

Estimate of \( \langle \delta u \cdot \nabla u_1, \delta u \rangle_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \)
\[ \langle \delta u \cdot \nabla u_1, \delta u \rangle_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \leq \| \delta u \|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \| \nabla u_1 \|_{L^2} \| \delta u \|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \leq C_{\nu} \| \nabla \delta u \|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}}^2 + \| \nabla u_1 \|_{L^2}^2 \| \delta u \|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}}. \]

Estimate of \( \langle u_2 \cdot \nabla \delta u, \delta u \rangle_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \)
\[ \langle u_2 \cdot \nabla \delta u, \delta u \rangle_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \leq \| \delta u \|_{L^2} \| \nabla u_2 \|_{L^2} \| \delta u \|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \leq C_{\nu} \| \nabla \delta u \|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}}^2 + \| \nabla u_2 \|_{L^2}^2 \| \delta u \|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}}. \]
Estimate of $L(\delta Q \Delta \delta Q - \Delta \delta Q \delta Q, \nabla \delta u)_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}}$

$$L(\delta Q \Delta \delta Q - \Delta \delta Q \delta Q, \nabla \delta u)_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \lesssim \|\Delta(Q_1, Q_2)\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \delta Q\|_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \|\nabla \delta u\|_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \lesssim \|\Delta(Q_1, Q_2)\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \delta Q\|_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}}^2 + C_\nu \|\nabla \delta u\|_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}}^2.$$

Estimate of $L(\delta Q \Delta Q_2 - \Delta Q_2 \delta Q, \nabla \delta u)_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}}$

$$L(\delta Q \Delta Q_2 - \Delta Q_2 \delta Q, \nabla \delta u)_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \lesssim \|\Delta Q_2\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \delta Q\|_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \|\nabla \delta u\|_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \lesssim \|\Delta Q_2\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \delta Q\|_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}}^2 + C_\nu \|\nabla \delta u\|_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}}^2.$$

The Residual Terms. Now we deal with the terms in the right-hand side of (8) which we have not evaluated yet, namely

$$L(\delta \Omega Q_2 - Q_2 \delta \Omega, \Delta \delta Q)_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}} + L(Q_2 \Delta \delta Q - \Delta \delta Q Q_2, \nabla \delta u)_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

Here, the difference between the two solutions appears with the higher derivative-order, more precisely the inner product is driven by $\nabla \delta u$ (i.e. $\delta \Omega$) and $\Delta \delta Q$. This clearly generates a drawback if we want to analyze every remaining term, proceeding as the previous estimates. Let us remark that if we consider the $L^2$-inner product instead of the $\dot{H}^{-1/2}$ one, then this last sum is null thanks to Lemma A.1. However the $\dot{H}^{-1/2}$-setting force us to analyze such sum, and we overcome the described obstacle, first considering the equivalence between $\dot{H}^{-1/2}$ and $B^{-1/2}$, and moreover thanks to decomposition B, namely

$$\mathcal{J}^1_q(A, B) := \sum_{|q-q'| \leq 5} \hat{A}_q \cdot \hat{S}_{q-1} A \cdot \hat{A}_{q'} B,$$

$$\mathcal{J}^2_q(A, B) := \sum_{|q-q'| \leq 5} (\hat{S}_{q-1} A - \hat{S}_{q-1} B) \cdot \hat{A}_q \cdot \hat{A}_{q'} B,$$

$$\mathcal{J}^4_q(A, B) := \sum_{q \geq 5} \hat{A}_q \cdot \hat{A}_{q'} B,$$

with

$$\hat{A}_q(B) = \mathcal{J}^1_q(A, B) + \mathcal{J}^2_q(A, B) + \mathcal{J}^3_q(A, B) + \mathcal{J}^4_q(A, B),$$

for any integer $q$.

First, let us begin with

$$L(\delta \Omega Q_2 - \Delta \delta Q)_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}} = \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-q} L(\hat{A}_q (\delta \Omega Q_2), \hat{A}_q \Delta \delta Q)_{L^2} = \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-q} L(\mathcal{J}^4_q (\delta \Omega, Q_2), \hat{A}_q \Delta \delta Q)_{L^2}.$$ 

First we separately study the case $i = 1, 2, 4$. The term related to $i = 3$ is the challenging one and we are not able to evaluate it. However, we will see how such term is going to be erased. Let us begin with $i = 1$ then

$$\mathcal{T}^1_q := 2^{-q} L(\mathcal{J}^1_q (\delta \Omega, Q_2), \hat{A}_q \Delta \delta Q)_{L^2} = 2^{-q} \sum_{|q-q'| \leq 5} \|\hat{A}_q \cdot \hat{S}_{q-1} Q_2 \|_{L^2} \|\hat{A}_{q'} \delta \Omega\|_{L^2} \|\hat{A}_q \Delta \delta Q\|_{L^2} \lesssim \sum_{|q-q'| \leq 5} 2^{-q} \|\hat{A}_q \cdot \hat{S}_{q-1} Q_2\|_{L^2} \|\hat{A}_{q'} \delta \Omega\|_{L^2} \|\hat{A}_q \Delta \delta Q\|_{L^2}.$$ 

Hence, applying the commutator estimate (see Lemma 2.97 in [1]) we get

$$\mathcal{T}^1_q \lesssim \sum_{|q-q'| \leq 5} 2^{-2q} \|\hat{S}_{q-1} \nabla Q_2\|_{L^2} \|\hat{A}_{q'} \delta \Omega\|_{L^2} \|\hat{A}_q \Delta \delta Q\|_{L^2} \lesssim \sum_{|q-q'| \leq 5} \|\hat{S}_{q-1} \nabla Q_2\|_{L^2} \|\hat{A}_{q'} \delta \Omega\|_{L^2} \|\hat{A}_q \Delta \delta Q\|_{L^2} \times 2^{-q} \|\hat{A}_{q'} \delta u\|_{L^2} \|\hat{A}_q \Delta \delta Q\|_{L^2} \lesssim \sum_{|q-q'| \leq 5} \|\nabla Q_2\|_{L^2} \|\Delta Q_2\|_{L^2} \|\hat{A}_{q'} \delta u\|_{L^2} \|\hat{A}_q \Delta \delta Q\|_{L^2},$$

which finally yields

$$\mathcal{T}^1_q \lesssim \|\nabla Q_2\|_{L^2} \|\Delta Q_2\|_{L^2} \|\delta u\|_{L^2} \|\Delta \delta Q\|_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \lesssim \|\nabla Q_2\|_{L^2} \|\Delta Q_2\|_{L^2} \|\delta u\|_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \|\Delta \delta Q\|_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}}.$$


that is
\[
L \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-q} \left( J_q^4 (\delta \Omega, Q_2), \dot{A}_q \Delta \delta Q \right)_{L^2_q} \lesssim \\
\lesssim \| \nabla Q_2 \|_{L^2_q}^2 \| \Delta Q_2 \|_{L^2_q}^2 \| \delta u \|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}}^2 + C_v \| \nabla \delta u \|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}}^2 + C_{\Gamma, L} \| \Delta \delta Q \|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}}^2.
\]

Now, let us handle the case \( i = 2 \). We argued almost as before:
\[
T_q^2 := 2^{-q} L (J_q^2 (\delta \Omega, Q_2), \dot{A}_q \Delta \delta Q)_{L^2_q} = L 2^{-q} \sum_{|q-q'| \leq 5} \langle (\dot{S}_{q'-1} Q_2 - \dot{S}_{q-1} Q_2), \dot{A}_q \Delta \delta \Omega, \dot{A}_q \Delta \delta Q \rangle_{L^2_q} \lesssim 2^{-q} \left\| (\dot{S}_{q'-1} Q_2 - \dot{S}_{q-1} Q_2) \right\|_{L^2_q} \| \dot{A}_q \|_{L^2_q} \| \Delta \delta \Omega \|_{L^2_q} \| \Delta \delta Q \|_{L^2_q},
\]
so that, observing that \( \dot{S}_{q'-1} Q_2 - \dot{S}_{q-1} Q_2 \) fulfills
\[
\left\| \dot{S}_{q'-1} Q_2 - \dot{S}_{q-1} Q_2 \right\|_{L^p_{x}} \lesssim 2^{-q} \| \dot{S}_{q'-1} \Delta Q_2 - \dot{S}_{q-1} \Delta Q_2 \|_{L^p_{x}} \lesssim 2^{-q} \| \dot{S}_{q'-1} \Delta Q_2 - \dot{S}_{q-1} \Delta Q_2 \|_{L^p_{x}},
\]
then we obtain
\[
T_q^2 \lesssim 2^{-q} \sum_{|q-q'| \leq 5} \left\| (\dot{S}_{q'-1} \Delta Q_2 - \dot{S}_{q-1} \Delta Q_2) \right\|_{L^2_q} \| \dot{A}_q \|_{L^2_q} \| \Delta \delta \Omega \|_{L^2_q} \| \Delta \delta Q \|_{L^2_q} \lesssim 2^{-q} \sum_{|q-q'| \leq 5} \| \Delta Q_2 \|_{L^2_q} \times \left\| \Delta \delta \Omega \right\|_{L^2_q} \| \Delta \delta Q \|_{L^2_q} \lesssim \sum_{|q-q'| \leq 5} 2^{-\frac{q}{2}} \| \dot{A}_q \|_{L^2_q} \| \Delta \delta \Omega \|_{L^2_q} \| \Delta \delta Q \|_{L^2_q} \| \Delta Q_2 \|_{L^2_q}.
\]
Thus, it turns out that
\[
L \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-q} \left( J_q^2 (\delta \Omega, Q_2), \dot{A}_q \Delta \delta Q \right)_{L^2_q} \lesssim \| \Delta Q_2 \|_{L^2_q} \| \delta u \|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}}^2 + C_{\Gamma, L} \| \Delta \delta Q \|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}}^2.
\]

Now, we take into consideration the case \( i = 4 \). Here we will use a convolution method and the Young inequality, since the sum in \( q' \) is not finite. Then, let us observe that
\[
T_q^4 := 2^{-q} L (J_q^4 (\delta \Omega, Q_2), \dot{A}_q \Delta \delta Q)_{L^2_q} = L 2^{-q} \sum_{|q-q'| \leq 5} \langle (\dot{A}_q Q_2 \dot{S}_{q'+2} \delta \Omega, \dot{A}_q \Delta \delta Q \rangle_{L^2_q} \lesssim 2^{-q} \sum_{|q-q'| \leq 5} \| \dot{A}_q Q_2 \|_{L^2_q} \| \dot{S}_{q'+2} \delta \Omega \|_{L^2_q} \| \Delta \delta Q \|_{L^2_q},
\]
Observing that \( \| \dot{A}_q Q_2 \|_{L^p_{x}} \lesssim 2^q \| \dot{A}_q \Delta Q_2 \|_{L^p_{x}} \lesssim 2^{-q} \| \Delta \delta \Omega \|_{L^2_q} \Delta \delta Q \|_{L^2_q} \) and \( \| \Delta \delta \Omega \|_{L^2_q} \lesssim 2^q \| \dot{A}_q \Delta \delta Q \|_{L^2_q} \),
it turns out that
\[
T_q^4 \lesssim 2^{-q} \sum_{|q-q'| \leq 5} 2^{-\frac{q}{2}} \| \dot{A}_q \Delta Q_2 \|_{L^2_q} \| \dot{S}_{q'+2} \delta \Omega \|_{L^2_q} 2^q \| \Delta \delta \Omega \|_{L^2_q} \| \Delta \delta Q \|_{L^2_q} \lesssim \| \Delta Q_2 \|_{L^2_q} \| \nabla \delta \Omega \|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \sum_{|q-q'| \leq 5} 2^{-\frac{q}{2}} 2^{-\frac{q}{2}} \| \dot{S}_{q'+2} \delta \Omega \|_{L^2_q}.
\]
Then, by convolution, the Young inequality and Proposition 238, we finally obtain
\[
L \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-q} \left( J_q^4 (\delta \Omega, Q_2), \dot{A}_q \Delta \delta Q \right)_{L^2_q} \lesssim \| \Delta Q_2 \|_{L^2_q} \| \nabla \delta \Omega \|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \| \nabla \delta u \|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \lesssim \| \Delta Q_2 \|_{L^2_q} \| \nabla \delta \Omega \|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \| \nabla \delta u \|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} + C_v \| \nabla \delta u \|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}}^2 + C_{\Gamma, L} \| \Delta \delta Q \|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}}^2.
\]

Summarizing (10), (11) and (12) and recalling the definition of \( J_q^4 (\delta \Omega, Q_2) \), we finally get
\[
L (\delta \Omega Q_2, \Delta \delta Q)_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} = \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-q} \langle \dot{S}_{q-1} \delta \Omega \dot{A}_q Q_2, \dot{A}_q \Delta \delta Q \rangle_{L^2_q} \lesssim \chi_{\text{1}} \Phi + C_v \| \nabla \delta u \|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}}^2 + C_{\Gamma, L} \| \Delta \delta Q \|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}}^2.
\]
where $\tilde{\chi}_1$ belongs to $L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+).$ Hence, we need to analyze

$$L \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-q} \langle \hat{S}_{q-1} \delta \Omega \hat{\Delta}_q Q_2, \hat{\Delta}_q \Delta \delta Q \rangle_{L^2_x}$$

and this term is going to disappear by a simplification.

Now we handle the term $\langle Q_2 \delta \Omega, \Delta \delta Q \rangle_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}, H^{-\frac{1}{2}}}$. Observing that it is equal to $\langle (Q_2 \delta \Omega), \Delta \delta Q \rangle_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}, H^{-\frac{1}{2}}}$, that is $-\langle \delta \Omega Q_2, \Delta \delta Q \rangle_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}, H^{-\frac{1}{2}}}$, then we proceed exactly as before, obtaining

$$L \langle \delta \Omega Q_2 - Q_2 \delta \Omega, \Delta \delta Q \rangle_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}, H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} - \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-q} \langle \hat{S}_{q-1} \delta \Omega \hat{\Delta}_q Q_2 - \hat{\Delta}_q \delta Q_2 \hat{S}_{q-1} \delta \Omega, \hat{\Delta}_q \Delta \delta Q \rangle_{L^2_x} \lesssim \langle \tilde{\chi}_1 \Phi + C \nu \| \nabla \delta u \|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}}, C_T L \| \Delta \delta Q \|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}}^2, \rangle$$

so that it remains to control

$$L \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-q} \langle \hat{S}_{q-1} \delta \Omega \hat{\Delta}_q Q_2 - \hat{\Delta}_q Q_2 \hat{S}_{q-1} \delta \Omega, \hat{\Delta}_q \Delta \delta Q \rangle_{L^2_x}. \tag{13}$$

Now, we focus on $L \langle Q_2 \Delta \delta Q, \nabla \delta u \rangle_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}, H^{-\frac{1}{2}}}$ of (9) and we use again decomposition (11) as follows

$$L \langle Q_2 \Delta \delta Q, \nabla \delta u \rangle_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}, H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} = L \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-q} \langle \hat{\Delta}_q (Q_2 \Delta \delta Q), \hat{\Delta}_q \nabla \delta u \rangle_{L^2_x} = L \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{i=1}^4 2^{-q} \langle \mathcal{J}_q^i (Q_2, \Delta \delta Q), \hat{\Delta}_q \nabla \delta u \rangle_{L^2_x}. \tag{14}$$

As before, we estimate the terms related to $i = 1, 2, 4$ while when $i = 3$ the associated term is going to be erased. When $i = 1$ we get

$$L 2^{-q} \langle \mathcal{J}_q^1 (Q_2, \Delta \delta Q), \hat{\Delta}_q \nabla \delta u \rangle_{L^2_x} = L \sum_{\sigma = \nu} 2^{-q} \| \hat{\Delta}_q, \mathcal{J}_q (Q_2, \Delta \delta Q), \Delta \delta Q, \hat{\Delta}_q \nabla \delta u \|_{L^2_x}$$

Hence, taking the sum as $q \in \mathbb{Z},$

$$L \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-q} \langle \mathcal{J}_q^1 (Q_2, \Delta \delta Q), \hat{\Delta}_q \nabla \delta u \rangle_{L^2_x} \lesssim \| \nabla Q_2 \|_{L^2_x}^2 \| \Delta Q_2 \|_{L^2_x} \| \Delta \delta Q \|_{L^2_x} \| \nabla \delta u \|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}}$$

We evaluate the term related to $i = 2$ as follows:

$$L 2^{-q} \langle \mathcal{J}_q^2 (Q_2, \Delta \delta Q), \hat{\Delta}_q \nabla \delta u \rangle_{L^2_x} = L 2^{-q} \langle (\hat{S}_{q-1} Q_2 - \hat{S}_{q-1} Q_2) \hat{\Delta}_q \Delta \delta Q, \hat{\Delta}_q \nabla \delta u \rangle_{L^2_x}$$

$$\lesssim \sum_{\sigma = \nu} 2^{-q} \| (\hat{S}_{q-1} Q_2 - \hat{S}_{q-1} Q_2) \|_{L^\infty} \| \hat{\Delta}_q \Delta \delta Q \|_{L^2_x} \| \hat{\Delta}_q \nabla \delta u \|_{L^2_x},$$
so that
\[ L2^{-q} \langle J^q \rangle Q2, \Delta \delta Q, \dot{\Delta} \nabla \delta u \rangle L^2 \chi \leq \sum_{|q - q'| \leq 5} 2^{-2q} \langle \dot{\Delta} \delta Q - \dot{\Delta} \delta Q \rangle L^2 \chi \leq \sum_{|q - q'| \leq 5} 2^{-2q} \langle \dot{\Delta} \delta Q - \dot{\Delta} \delta Q \rangle L^2 \chi \leq \sum_{|q - q'| \leq 5} 2^{-\frac{q}{2}} \langle \dot{\Delta} \delta Q - \dot{\Delta} \delta Q \rangle L^2 \chi \leq \sum_{|q - q'| \leq 5} 2^{-\frac{q}{2}} \langle \dot{\Delta} \delta Q - \dot{\Delta} \delta Q \rangle L^2 \chi.

Thus, taking the sum in \( q \), it turns out that
\[ L2^{-q} \langle J^q \rangle Q2, \Delta \delta Q, \dot{\Delta} \nabla \delta u \rangle L^2 \chi \leq \sum_{|q - q'| \leq 5} \langle \dot{\Delta} \delta Q - \dot{\Delta} \delta Q \rangle L^2 \chi \leq \sum_{|q - q'| \leq 5} 2^{-\frac{q}{2}} \langle \dot{\Delta} \delta Q - \dot{\Delta} \delta Q \rangle L^2 \chi \leq \sum_{|q - q'| \leq 5} 2^{-\frac{q}{2}} \langle \dot{\Delta} \delta Q - \dot{\Delta} \delta Q \rangle L^2 \chi.

At last, when \( i = 4 \),
\[ L2^{-q} \langle J^q \rangle Q2, \Delta \delta Q, \dot{\Delta} \nabla \delta u \rangle L^2 \chi = L2^{-q} \langle J^q \rangle Q2, \Delta \delta Q, \dot{\Delta} \nabla \delta u \rangle L^2 \chi \leq \sum_{|q - q'| \leq 5} \langle \dot{\Delta} \delta Q - \dot{\Delta} \delta Q \rangle L^2 \chi \leq \sum_{|q - q'| \leq 5} 2^{-\frac{q}{2}} \langle \dot{\Delta} \delta Q - \dot{\Delta} \delta Q \rangle L^2 \chi \leq \sum_{|q - q'| \leq 5} 2^{-\frac{q}{2}} \langle \dot{\Delta} \delta Q - \dot{\Delta} \delta Q \rangle L^2 \chi \leq \sum_{|q - q'| \leq 5} 2^{-\frac{q}{2}} \langle \dot{\Delta} \delta Q - \dot{\Delta} \delta Q \rangle L^2 \chi.

Hence, by convolution, the Young inequalities and Proposition 2.3, we obtain
\[ \sum_{|q - q'| \leq 5} 2^{-q} \langle J^q \rangle Q2, \Delta \delta Q, \dot{\Delta} \nabla \delta u \rangle L^2 \chi \leq \sum_{|q - q'| \leq 5} \langle \dot{\Delta} \delta Q - \dot{\Delta} \delta Q \rangle L^2 \chi \leq \sum_{|q - q'| \leq 5} 2^{-\frac{q}{2}} \langle \dot{\Delta} \delta Q - \dot{\Delta} \delta Q \rangle L^2 \chi \leq \sum_{|q - q'| \leq 5} 2^{-\frac{q}{2}} \langle \dot{\Delta} \delta Q - \dot{\Delta} \delta Q \rangle L^2 \chi.

Since (\( \Delta \delta Q2, \nabla \delta u \rangle H^2 \chi = \langle \Delta \delta Q2, \nabla \delta u \rangle H^2 \chi = \langle \Delta \delta Q2, \nabla \delta u \rangle H^2 \chi \), then we proceed as for estimate (\( \Delta \delta Q2, \nabla \delta u \rangle H^2 \chi \), so that we obtain the following control
\[ L \langle Q2, \Delta \delta Q2, \nabla \delta u \rangle H^2 \chi = L \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-q} \langle Q2, \Delta \delta Q2, \nabla \delta u \rangle H^2 \chi \leq \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-q} \langle Q2, \Delta \delta Q2, \nabla \delta u \rangle H^2 \chi \leq \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-q} \langle Q2, \Delta \delta Q2, \nabla \delta u \rangle H^2 \chi \leq \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-q} \langle Q2, \Delta \delta Q2, \nabla \delta u \rangle H^2 \chi.

Thus, summing (13) and (14), we obtain
\[ L \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-q} \langle Q2, \Delta \delta Q2, \nabla \delta u \rangle H^2 \chi \leq L \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-q} \langle Q2, \Delta \delta Q2, \nabla \delta u \rangle H^2 \chi \leq \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-q} \langle Q2, \Delta \delta Q2, \nabla \delta u \rangle H^2 \chi \leq \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-q} \langle Q2, \Delta \delta Q2, \nabla \delta u \rangle H^2 \chi.

which is a series with every coefficients null, thanks to Lemma A.1. In virtue of this last result, recalling (13) and (14), we finally obtain
\[ L \langle Q2, \Delta \delta Q2, \nabla \delta u \rangle H^2 \chi + L \langle Q2, \Delta \delta Q2, \nabla \delta u \rangle H^2 \chi \leq \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-q} \langle Q2, \Delta \delta Q2, \nabla \delta u \rangle H^2 \chi \leq \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-q} \langle Q2, \Delta \delta Q2, \nabla \delta u \rangle H^2 \chi.

Thus, summing (13) and (14), we obtain
\[ L \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-q} \langle Q2, \Delta \delta Q2, \nabla \delta u \rangle H^2 \chi \leq L \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-q} \langle Q2, \Delta \delta Q2, \nabla \delta u \rangle H^2 \chi \leq \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-q} \langle Q2, \Delta \delta Q2, \nabla \delta u \rangle H^2 \chi \leq \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-q} \langle Q2, \Delta \delta Q2, \nabla \delta u \rangle H^2 \chi.

In virtue of this last result, recalling (13) and (14), we finally obtain
\[ L \langle Q2, \Delta \delta Q2, \nabla \delta u \rangle H^2 \chi + L \langle Q2, \Delta \delta Q2, \nabla \delta u \rangle H^2 \chi \leq \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-q} \langle Q2, \Delta \delta Q2, \nabla \delta u \rangle H^2 \chi \leq \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-q} \langle Q2, \Delta \delta Q2, \nabla \delta u \rangle H^2 \chi.

Moreover we recall that

\begin{equation}
\frac{d}{dt}\Phi(t) + \nu \|\nabla \delta u\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}}^2 + \Gamma L^2 \|\Delta \delta Q\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}}^2 \lesssim \chi(t) \Phi(t) + C_\nu \|\nabla \delta u\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}}^2 + C_{\Gamma, L} \|\Delta \delta Q\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}}^2
\end{equation}

for almost every \( t \in \mathbb{R}_+ \). Thus, choosing \( C_{\Gamma, L} \) and \( C_\nu \) small enough, we absorb the last two terms in the right-hand side by the left-hand side, finally obtaining

\begin{equation}
\frac{d}{dt} \frac{1}{2} \|\delta u(t)\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}}^2 + L \|\nabla \delta Q(t)\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}}^2 \lesssim \chi \left[ \frac{1}{2} \|\delta u(t)\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}}^2 + L \|\nabla \delta Q(t)\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}}^2 \right].
\end{equation}

Since the initial datum is null and thanks to the Gronwall inequality, we deduce that \( \delta u, \delta Q = 0 \), which yields \( \delta Q(t) \) decreases to 0 at infinity for almost every \( t \). Hence, we have finally achieved the uniqueness of the weak solution for system \( \{P\} \).

## 6. Regularity Propagation

We now handle the propagation of low regularity, namely we prove Theorem 1.3.

**Proof of Theorem 1.3.** Let us consider the following sequence of system:

\begin{equation}
(\tilde{P}_n)
\end{equation}

\[
\begin{cases}
\partial_t Q^n + J_n P(J_n u^n \nabla J_n Q^n) - J_n P(J_n Q^n J_n Q^n) + J_n P(J_n \Omega^n J_n Q^n) + J_n P(J_n \Omega^n J_n Q^n) - \Gamma L \Delta J_n Q^n = P^n(Q^n) \quad \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^2, \\
\partial_t u^n + J_n P(J_n u^n \nabla J_n u^n) - \nu \Delta J_n u^n = \Gamma L \text{div} J_n P(J_n Q^n \Delta J_n Q^n - \Delta J_n Q^n J_n Q^n - \nabla J_n Q^n \nabla J_n Q^n) \quad \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^2, \\
\text{div } u^n = 0 \\
(u^n, Q^n)_{t=0} = (u_0, Q_0)
\end{cases}
\]

where

\[
P^n(Q^n) := -a J_n Q^n + b [J_n (J_n Q^n J_n Q^n) - \text{tr} \{J_n (J_n Q^n J_n Q^n)\} \frac{I_{d \times d}}{3}] - c J_n Q^n \text{tr} \{J_n (J_n Q^n J_n Q^n)\}.
\]

Moreover we recall that \( J_n \) is the regularizing operator defined by

\[
J_n f(\xi) = (1_{d \times d})(\xi) \hat{f}(\xi)
\]

and \( P \) stands for the Leray projector. The Friedrichs scheme related to \( \{\tilde{P}_n\} \) is not much different to the \( \{P_n\} \)-one, however here the \( Q \)-tensor equation has been regularized, as well. System \( \{\tilde{P}_n\} \) has been utilized in \cite{18} and the authors have proven the existence of a strong solution \( (u^n, Q^n) \) which converges to a weak solution for \( \{P\} \), as \( n \) goes to \( \infty \) (up to a subsequence). Thanks to our uniqueness result, Theorem 1.2, we deduce that such solution is exactly the one determined by Theorem 1.4 and it is unique. Hence, instead of proceeding by a priori estimate (as in \cite{18}), we formalize our proof, evaluating directly the \( \{\tilde{P}_n\} \)-scheme. We will establish some estimates, which are uniformly in \( n \), which yields that the weak-solution of \( \{P\} \) fulfills them as well. This is only a strategy in order to formalize the a-priori-estimate, while the major part of our proof releases on the inequalities we are going to proof.

Since \( (J_n u^n, J_n Q^n) = (u^n, Q^n) \) (by uniqueness), then \( (u^n(t), Q^n(t)) \) belongs to \( H^{1+s} \times H^{2+s} \) for almost every \( t \in \mathbb{R}_+ \) and for every \( n \in \mathbb{N} \). We apply \( \hat{\Delta}_q \) to the first and the second equations of \( \{\tilde{P}_n\} \), then we apply \( \langle \cdot, \hat{\Delta}_q u^n \rangle_{L^2} \) to the first one and \( -L(\langle \cdot, \hat{\Delta}_q Q^n \rangle_{L^2}) \) to the second one, obtaining the following identity:

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \left[ \|\hat{\Delta}_q u^n\|_{L^2}^2 + L \|\hat{\Delta}_q \nabla Q^n\|_{L^2}^2 \right] + \nu \|\hat{\Delta}_q \nabla u^n\|_{L^2}^2 + \Gamma L^2 \|\hat{\Delta}_q Q^n\|_{L^2}^2 = \langle \hat{\Delta}_q (\Delta Q^n Q^n - Q^n \Delta Q^n), \hat{\Delta}_q \nabla u^n \rangle_{L^2} - \langle \hat{\Delta}_q (u^n \cdot \nabla u^n), \hat{\Delta}_q u^n \rangle_{L^2} + \langle \hat{\Delta}_q (\nabla Q^n \nabla Q^n), \hat{\Delta}_q u^n \rangle_{L^2} + L \langle \hat{\Delta}_q (u^n \cdot \nabla Q^n), \hat{\Delta}_q Q^n \rangle_{L^2} + L \langle \hat{\Delta}_q (\Omega^n Q^n - Q^n Q^n), \hat{\Delta}_q Q^n \rangle_{L^2} - L \langle \hat{\Delta}_q P^n(Q^n), \hat{\Delta}_q Q^n \rangle_{L^2}.
\]
We begin with \((\Delta_q \langle \nabla u^n, u^n \rangle)_L^2\), with \(q \in \mathbb{Z}\). Passing through the Bony decomposition

\[
(\Delta_q \langle \nabla u^n, \Delta_q u^n \rangle)_L^2 = \sum_{|q-q'| \leq 5} \sum_{i=1}^{2} A_q + B_q,
\]

We handle the term \(A_q\) as follows:

\[
A_q \lesssim \sum_{|q-q'| \leq 5} \left[ \|\bar{S}_{q-1} u^n\|_{L^\infty} \|\tilde{\Delta}_q \nabla u^n\|_{L^2} + \|\bar{S}_{q-1} \nabla u^n\|_{L^\infty} \|\tilde{\Delta}_q u^n\|_{L^2} \right] \|\tilde{\Delta}_q u^n\|_{L^2}
\]

\[
\lesssim \sum_{|q-q'| \leq 5} \left[ \|\bar{S}_{q-1} u^n\|_{L^\infty} \|\tilde{\Delta}_q \nabla u^n\|_{L^2} + 2|q| \|\bar{S}_{q-1} \nabla u^n\|_{L^\infty} \|\tilde{\Delta}_q u^n\|_{L^2} \right] \|\tilde{\Delta}_q u^n\|_{L^2}
\]

\[
\lesssim \sum_{|q-q'| \leq 5} \left[ \|\bar{S}_{q-1} u^n\|_{L^\infty} \|\tilde{\Delta}_q \nabla u^n\|_{L^2} + \|\bar{S}_{q-1} \nabla u^n\|_{L^\infty} \|\tilde{\Delta}_q \nabla u^n\|_{L^2} \right] \|\tilde{\Delta}_q u^n\|_{L^2}
\]

\[
\lesssim \|u^n\|_{L^\infty} \|\tilde{\Delta}_q u^n\|_{L^2} \sum_{|q-q'| \leq 5} \|\tilde{\Delta}_q \nabla u^n\|_{L^2},
\]

so that, multiplying by \(2^{|q-q'|}\) and taking the sum as \(q \in \mathbb{Z}\),

\[
\sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{2q} A_q \lesssim \|u^n\|_{L^\infty} \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} \left\{ 2^{2q} \|\tilde{\Delta}_q u^n\|_{L^2} \sum_{|q-q'| \leq 5} \|\tilde{\Delta}_q \nabla u^n\|_{L^2} \right\} \lesssim \|u^n\|_{L^\infty} \|u^n\|_{H^{5/2}} \|\nabla u^n\|_{H^{1/2}}.
\]

The control of \(B_q\) relies on convolution and the Young inequality, indeed

\[
B_q \lesssim \sum_{q' \geq q-5} \|\tilde{\Delta}_{q'-1} u^n\|_{L^\infty} \|\tilde{\Delta}_{q'} \nabla u^n\|_{L^2} \|\tilde{\Delta}_{q'} u^n\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|u^n\|_{L^\infty} \|\tilde{\Delta}_{q'} u^n\|_{L^2} \sum_{q' \geq q-5} \|\tilde{\Delta}_{q'} \nabla u^n\|_{L^2},
\]

hence

\[
\sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{2q} B_q \lesssim \|u^n\|_{L^\infty} \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} \left\{ 2^{2q} \|\tilde{\Delta}_{q'} u^n\|_{L^2} \sum_{q' \geq q-5} 2^{(q-q')} \|\tilde{\Delta}_{q'} \nabla u^n\|_{L^2} \right\}
\]

\[
\lesssim \|u^n\|_{L^\infty} \|u^n\|_{H^{5/2}} \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} \left\{ 2^{2q} \|\tilde{\Delta}_{q'} u^n\|_{L^2} \sum_{q' \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{(q-q')} 1_{(-\infty, 5)}(q-q') b_{q'} \right\},
\]

where \((b_{q'})_Z\) belongs to \(l^2(\mathbb{Z})\). Thus, we obtain

\[
\sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{2q} B_q \lesssim \|u^n\|_{L^\infty} \|u^n\|_{H^{5/2}} \|\nabla u^n\|_{H^{1/2}}.
\]
thanks to the Young inequality. Finally, summarizing (13) and (19), we obtain
\begin{equation}
\langle u^n \cdot \nabla u^n, u^n \rangle_{H^s} = \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{2q} \langle \hat{\Delta}_q (u^n \cdot \nabla u^n), \hat{\Delta}_q u^n \rangle_{L^2} \leq \|u^n\|_{L^\infty} \|u^n\|_{H^s} \|\nabla u^n\|_{H^s}.
\end{equation}

Estimate of \(\langle u^n \cdot \nabla Q^n, \Delta Q^n \rangle_{H^s}\)

Arguing exactly as for proving (20), we obtain
\begin{equation}
\langle u^n \cdot \nabla Q^n, \Delta Q^n \rangle_{H^s} = \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{2q} \langle \hat{\Delta}_q (u^n \cdot \nabla Q^n), \hat{\Delta}_q \Delta Q^n \rangle_{L^2} \leq \|u^n\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla Q^n\|_{H^s} \|\Delta Q^n\|_{H^s}.
\end{equation}

Estimate of \(\langle \nabla Q^n \circ \nabla Q^n, \nabla u^n \rangle_{H^s}\)

We keep on our control, evaluating the term \(\langle \hat{\Delta}_q (\nabla Q^n \circ \nabla Q^n), \hat{\Delta}_q \nabla u^n \rangle_{L^2}, \) with \(q \in \mathbb{Z}.\) The explicit integral formula of such term is the following one:

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \sum_{i,k=1}^{3} \hat{\Delta}_q (i \{\partial_i Q \partial_k Q\} ) \hat{\Delta}_q \partial_k u^n_i = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \sum_{i,k=1}^{3} \hat{\Delta}_q [\partial_i Q^n \partial_k Q^n + \hat{T}_{\partial_i Q^n \partial_k Q^n} ] \hat{\Delta}_q \partial_k u^n_i + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \sum_{i,k,l} \hat{\Delta}_q R(\partial_i Q^n \partial_k Q^n, \partial_k Q^n) \hat{\Delta}_q \partial_k u^n_i,
\]

where we have used the Bony decomposition again. First, let us observe that

\[
\mathcal{C}_q \lesssim \sum_{|q-q'| \leq 5} \|S_{q-1} \nabla Q^n\|_{L^\infty} \|\hat{\Delta}_{q'} \nabla Q^n\|_{L^2} \|\hat{\Delta}_{q} \nabla u^n\|_{L^2} \leq \|\nabla Q^n\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla Q^n\|_{H^s} \|\nabla u^n\|_{H^s} \sum_{|q-q'| \leq 5} \|\hat{\Delta}_{q'} \nabla Q^n\|_{L^2},
\]

which yields
\begin{equation}
\sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{2q} \mathcal{C}_q \lesssim \|\nabla Q^n\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla u^n\|_{H^s} \|\nabla Q^n\|_{H^s}.
\end{equation}

Moreover, considering \(\mathfrak{D}_q,\) we get

\[
\mathfrak{D}_q \lesssim \sum_{q' \geq q-5, |q' - q| \leq 5} \|\hat{\Delta}_{q'} \nabla Q^n\|_{L^\infty} \|\hat{\Delta}_{q} \nabla u^n\|_{L^2} \|\hat{\Delta}_{q} \nabla Q^n\|_{L^2} \sum_{q' \geq q-5} \|\hat{\Delta}_{q'} \nabla Q^n\|_{L^2},
\]

so that, proceeding as in the proof of (19),
\begin{equation}
\sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{2q} \mathfrak{D}_q \lesssim \|\nabla Q^n\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla u^n\|_{H^s} \|\nabla Q^n\|_{H^s}.
\end{equation}

thanks to the Young inequality. Thus, summarizing (22) and (23), we achieve
\begin{equation}
\sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{2q} \langle \hat{\Delta}_q (\nabla Q^n \circ \nabla Q^n), \hat{\Delta}_q \nabla u^n \rangle_{L^2} \lesssim \|\nabla Q^n\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla u^n\|_{H^s} \|\nabla Q^n\|_{H^s}.
\end{equation}

Estimate of \(\langle \nabla Q^n \circ \nabla Q^n - Q^n \Delta Q^n, \nabla u^n \rangle_{H^s}\)

Now, we carry out of \(\langle \nabla Q^n \circ \nabla Q^n - Q^n \Delta Q^n, \nabla u^n \rangle_{H^s}.\) This is the first non trivial term to evaluate. We choose to use the decomposition (1), presented in the preliminaries, instead of the classical Bony decomposition (which we have used until now). We will remark the presence of a term inside such
decomposition, which is hard to control. However we will see that such drawback is going to be erased. Let us begin controlling \((Q^n \Delta Q^n, \nabla u^n)_{H^s}\):

\[
(Q^n \Delta Q^n, \nabla u^n)_{H^s} = \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{2qs} \langle \dot{\Delta}_q (Q^n \Delta Q^n), \dot{\Delta}_q \nabla u^n \rangle_{L^2} = \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{2qs} \langle \mathcal{J}_q^i (Q^n, \Delta Q^n), \dot{\Delta}_q \nabla u^n \rangle_{L^2}.
\]

where \(\mathcal{J}_q^i\) has been defined by (3), for \(i = 1, \ldots, 4\). When \(i = 1\), we point out that

\[
\langle \mathcal{J}_q^1 (Q^n, \Delta Q^n), \dot{\Delta}_q \nabla u^n \rangle_{L^2} = \sum_{|q-q'| \leq 5} 2^{-q'} \| \dot{S}_{q'-1} Q^n \|_{L^\infty} \| \dot{\Delta}_{q'} \Delta Q^n \|_{L^2} \| \dot{\Delta}_q \nabla u^n \|_{L^2}
\]

which yields

\[
\sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{2qs} \langle \mathcal{J}_q^1 (Q^n, \Delta Q^n), \dot{\Delta}_q \nabla u^n \rangle_{L^2} \lesssim \| \nabla Q^n \|_{L^\infty} \| \nabla Q^n \|_{H^s} \| \nabla u^n \|_{H^s},
\]

On the other hand, for \(i = 2\), we proceed as follows:

\[
\langle \mathcal{J}_q^2 (Q^n, \Delta Q^n), \dot{\Delta}_q \nabla u^n \rangle_{L^2} = \sum_{|q-q'| \leq 5} \langle (\dot{S}_{q'-1} Q^n - \dot{S}_{q-1} Q^n) \dot{\Delta}_{q'} \Delta Q^n, \dot{\Delta}_q \nabla u^n \rangle_{L^2}
\]

which yields

\[
\sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{2qs} \langle \mathcal{J}_q^2 (Q^n, \Delta Q^n), \dot{\Delta}_q \nabla u^n \rangle_{L^2} \lesssim \| \nabla Q^n \|_{L^\infty} \| \nabla Q^n \|_{H^s} \| \nabla u^n \|_{H^s}.
\]

The case \(i = 4\) is handled as follows:

\[
\langle \mathcal{J}_q^4 (Q^n, \Delta Q^n), \dot{\Delta}_q \nabla u^n \rangle_{L^2} = \sum_{q' \geq q-5} \langle \dot{\Delta}_{q'} [\dot{\Delta}_{q'} Q^n \dot{S}_{q'+2} \Delta Q^n], \dot{\Delta}_q \nabla u^n \rangle_{L^2}
\]

Therefore, multiplying by \(2^{2qs}\) and taking the sum as \(q \in \mathbb{Z}\),

\[
\sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{2qs} \langle \mathcal{J}_q^4 (Q^n, \Delta Q^n), \dot{\Delta}_q \nabla u^n \rangle_{L^2} \lesssim \| \nabla Q^n \|_{L^\infty} \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( 2^{qs} \| \dot{\Delta}_q \nabla u^n \|_{L^2} \sum_{q' \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{(q-q')s} 1_{(-\infty, 5)} (q - q') 2^{q's} \| \dot{\Delta}_{q'} \nabla Q^n \|_{L^2} \right)
\]

\[
\lesssim \| \nabla Q^n \|_{L^\infty} \| \nabla u^n \|_{H^s} \left( \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( \sum_{q' \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{(q-q')s} 1_{(-\infty, 5)} (q - q') 2^{q's} \| \dot{\Delta}_{q'} \nabla Q^n \|_{L^2} \right)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},
\]
so that, by convolution and the Young inequality
\begin{equation}
\sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{2q} \langle J_q^i(Q^n, \Delta Q^n), \Delta_q \nabla u^n \rangle_{L^2} \lesssim \| \nabla Q^n \|_{L^\infty} \| \nabla u^n \|_{H^s} \| \nabla Q^n \|_{H^s}.
\end{equation}

It remains to control the term related to $J_q^3$, namely
\begin{equation}
\sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{2q} \langle J_q^3(Q^n, \Delta Q^n), \Delta_q \nabla u^n \rangle_{L^2} = \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{2q} \langle \dot{S}_{q-1} Q^n \Delta_q Q^n, \Delta_q \nabla u^n \rangle_{L^2}
\end{equation}
As already remark in the beginning, such term presents some difficulties. For instance, fixing $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ in the sum, the more natural estimate is the following one:
\begin{equation}
\langle \dot{S}_{q-1} Q^n \Delta_q Q^n, \Delta_q \nabla u^n \rangle_{L^2} \leq \| \dot{S}_{q-1} Q^n \|_{L^\infty} \| \Delta_q Q^n \|_{L^2} \| \Delta_q \nabla u^n \|_{L^2}.
\end{equation}
The presence of the low frequencies $\dot{S}_{q-1}$ in the sum, the more natural estimate is the following one:
\begin{equation}
\sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{2q} \langle \dot{S}_{q-1} Q^n \Delta_q Q^n, \Delta_q \nabla u^n \rangle_{L^2} \lesssim \| Q^n \|_{L^\infty} \| \Delta Q^n \|_{H^s} \| \nabla u^n \|_{H^s}.
\end{equation}
Of course such inequality is not useful for our purpose, i.e. an Osgood type inequality. For example there isn’t a term that appears in the time derivative of the left-hand side of (17). Even if there exists a way to overcome such challenging evaluation, we will see that (28) is going to be erased.

Now, let us keep on our control. We have to examine $\langle \Delta Q^n Q^n, \nabla u^n \rangle_{H^s}$. Observing that an equivalent formulation is $\langle Q^n \Delta Q^n, \nabla u^n \rangle_{H^s}$ (the $Q^n$ and $\Delta Q^n$ are symmetric matrices) we recompute the previous inequality (with $\nabla$ instead of $\nabla u$), so that
\begin{equation}
\sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{i=1,2,4} 2^{2q} \langle \dot{J}_q^i(Q^n, \Delta Q^n), \Delta_q^i \nabla u^n \rangle_{L^2} \lesssim \| \nabla Q^n \|_{L^\infty} \| \nabla u^n \|_{H^s} \| \nabla Q^n \|_{H^s}.
\end{equation}
As before, $J_q^3$ is an inflexible term, so that, recalling (28), we need to erase what follows:
\begin{equation}
\sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{2q} \left\{ \langle \dot{S}_{q-1} Q^n \Delta_q Q^n, \Delta_q \nabla u^n \rangle_{L^2} - \langle \dot{S}_{q-1} Q^n \Delta_q Q^n, \Delta_q \nabla u^n \rangle_{L^2} \right\} = \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{2q} \langle \dot{S}_{q-1} Q^n \Delta_q Q^n - \Delta_q Q^n \dot{S}_{q-1} Q^n, \Delta_q \nabla u^n \rangle_{L^2}
\end{equation}

Estimate of $\langle \Omega^n Q^n - Q^n \Omega^n, \Delta Q^n \rangle_{H^s}$.

Now, let us continue estimating $\langle \Omega^n Q^n - Q^n \Omega^n, \Delta Q^n \rangle_{H^s}$. The strategy as the same organization of the previous evaluation. We begin analyzing $\langle Q^n \Omega^n, \Delta Q^n \rangle_{H^s}$.
\begin{equation}
\langle Q^n \Omega^n, \Delta Q^n \rangle_{H^s} = \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{2q} \langle \Delta_q (Q^n \Omega^n), \Delta_q Q^n \rangle_{L^2} = \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{i=1}^4 2^{2q} \langle J_q^i(Q^n, \Omega^n), \Delta_q Q^n \rangle_{L^2}
\end{equation}
First, considering $i = 1$ and $q \in \mathbb{Z}$, we get
\begin{equation}
\langle J_q^1(Q^n, \Omega^n), \Delta_q Q^n \rangle_{L^2} = \sum_{|q-q'| \leq 5} \langle \Delta_q, \dot{S}_{q-1} Q^n \Delta_q \Omega^n, \Delta_q Q^n \rangle_{L^2} \lesssim \sum_{|q-q'| \leq 5} 2^{-q} \| \dot{S}_{q-1} Q^n \|_{L^\infty} \| \Delta_q Q^n \|_{L^2} \| \Delta_q Q^n \|_{L^2} \lesssim \| \Delta_q Q^n \|_{L^\infty} \| \Delta_q Q^n \|_{L^2} \sum_{|q-q'| \leq 5} \| \Delta_q \nabla u^n \|_{L^2}.
\end{equation}
therefore, taking the sum as $q \in \mathbb{Z}$,
\begin{equation}
\sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{2q} \langle J_q^1(Q^n, \Omega^n), \Delta_q Q^n \rangle_{L^2} \lesssim \| \nabla Q^n \|_{L^\infty} \| \nabla Q^n \|_{H^s} \| \nabla u^n \|_{H^s}.
\end{equation}
By a similar method as for proving \((31)\) or \((26)\), the case \(i = 2\) produces
\[
\sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{2q^s} \langle \mathcal{J}_q^2(Q^n, \Omega^n), \hat{\Delta}_q \Delta Q^n \rangle_{L^2} \lesssim \| \nabla Q^n \|_{L^\infty} \| \nabla Q^n \|_{H^s} + \frac{\nu}{100} \| \nabla u^n \|_{H^s},
\]
while, for \(i = 4\), we get
\[
\langle \mathcal{J}_q^4(Q^n, \Omega^n), \hat{\Delta}_q \Delta Q^n \rangle_{L^2} = \sum_{q' \geq q-5} \langle \hat{\Delta}_q \mathcal{H}_q Q^n \mathcal{S}(q+2\Omega^n), \hat{\Delta}_q \Delta Q^n \rangle_{L^2}
\]
\[
\lesssim \sum_{q' \geq q-5} \| \hat{\Delta}_q Q^n \|_{L^2} \| \mathcal{S}(q+2\Omega^n) \|_{L^\infty} \| \hat{\Delta}_q \Delta Q^n \|_{L^2}
\]
\[
\lesssim \sum_{q' \geq q-5} \| \hat{\Delta}_q \nabla Q^n \|_{L^2} \| \mathcal{S}(q+2\Omega^n) \|_{L^\infty} \| \hat{\Delta}_q \Delta Q^n \|_{L^2}
\]
\[
\lesssim \| u^n \|_{L^\infty} \| \hat{\Delta}_q \Delta Q^n \|_{L^2} \sum_{q' \geq q-5} \| \hat{\Delta}_q \nabla Q^n \|_{L^2}.
\]
Thus, multiplying by \(2^{2q^s}\) and taking the sum as \(q \in \mathbb{Z}\), we realize that
\[
\sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{2q^s} \langle \mathcal{J}_q^4(Q^n, \Omega^n), \hat{\Delta}_q \Delta Q^n \rangle_{L^2} \lesssim \| u^n \|_{L^\infty} \| \Delta Q^n \|_{H^s} \| \nabla Q^n \|_{H^s}.
\]

As the reader has already understood, the challenging term is the one related to \(\mathcal{J}_q^3\), that is
\[
\sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{2q^s} \langle \mathcal{J}_q^3(Q^n, \Omega^n), \hat{\Delta}_q \Delta Q^n \rangle_{L^2} = \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{2q^s} \langle \hat{\Delta}_q \mathcal{S}_q Q^n \mathcal{S}(q+2\Omega^n)^s, \hat{\Delta}_q \Delta Q^n \rangle_{L^2}
\]
As \((30)\), we are not capable to control it, so we claim that such obstacle is going to be simplified. Going on, we observe that \(\langle \Omega^n Q^n, \Delta Q^n \rangle_{H^s}\) can be reformulated as \(\langle Q^n \Omega^n, \Delta Q^n \rangle_{H^s}\), which we have just analyzed. Hence we need to control \((33)\) twice, that is
\[
\sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{2q^s} \langle S_{q-1} Q^n \hat{\Delta}_q \Omega_n, \hat{\Delta}_q \Delta Q^n \rangle_{L^2} = \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{2q^s} \langle S_{q-1} Q^n \hat{\Delta}_q \Omega_n - \hat{\Delta}_q \Omega_n S_{q-1} Q^n, \hat{\Delta}_q \Delta Q^n \rangle_{L^2},
\]

The Simplification

Recalling \((32)\) and \((34)\), we have not evaluated
\[
\sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{2q^s} \{ \langle S_{q-1} Q^n \hat{\Delta}_q \Delta Q^n - \hat{\Delta}_q \Delta Q^n S_{q-1} Q^n, \hat{\Delta}_q \nabla u^n \rangle_{L^2} + \langle S_{q-1} Q^n \hat{\Delta}_q \Omega_n - \hat{\Delta}_q \Omega_n S_{q-1} Q^n, \hat{\Delta}_q \Delta Q^n \rangle_{L^2} \},
\]
yet. However, this is a series whose coefficients are null, thanks to Theorem \((\text{A.1})\). Hence, we have overcome all the previous lacks, so that the following inequality is fulfilled:
\[
\langle \Delta_0 \nabla Q^n - Q^n \Delta Q^n, \nabla u^n \rangle_{L^\infty} \langle \Omega^n Q^n, \Delta Q^n \rangle_{H^s} \lesssim \| u^n \|_{L^\infty} \| (\nabla u^n, \Delta Q^n) \|_{H^s} \| (u^n, \nabla Q^n) \|_{H^s}.
\]
Finally, the last term to estimate is $\langle P(Q^n), \Delta Q^n \rangle_{H^2}$. Such evaluation is not a problem, however it is computationally demanding, therefore we put forward in the appendix the proof of the following inequality:

$$\langle P(Q^n), \Delta Q^n \rangle_{H^2} \lesssim (1 + \|Q\|_{H^2} + \|Q^n\|_{H^2})\|\nabla Q^n\|_{H^2},$$

where we remind that $H^2$ is a non-homogeneous Sobolev Space.

The Final Step

Summarizing the equality (17) and the inequalities (20), (21), (24), (35) and (36), we deduce

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left[ \|u^n\|_{H^2}^2 + L\|Q^n\|_{H^2}^2 \right] + \nu\|\nabla u^n\|_{H^2}^2 + \Gamma L^2\|\Delta Q^n\|_{H^2}^2 \lesssim$$

$$\lesssim \|(u^n, \nabla Q^n)\|_{L^\infty} \|(u^n, \nabla Q^n)\|_{H^2} \|(u^n, \nabla Q^n)\|_{H^2} + (1 + \|Q^n\|_{H^2} + \|Q^n\|_{H^2})\|\nabla Q^n\|_{H^2}^2.$$ 

For $t \geq 0$, we define the following time-functions

$$\Phi(t) := \|u^n\|_{H^2}^2 + \|\nabla Q^n\|_{H^2}^2, \quad \Psi(t) := \|\nabla u^n\|_{H^2}^2 + \|\Delta Q^n\|_{H^2}^2,$$

so that (37) yields

$$\Phi'(t) + \Psi(t) \lesssim \|(u^n, \nabla Q^n)\|_{L^2} + \sqrt{\max\{1, \lambda\}}(\|u^n, \nabla Q^n\|_{H^2} + 2^{-N_s}(\|u^n, \nabla Q^n\|_{H^2}) \times$$

$$\times \|(u^n, \nabla Q^n)\|_{H^2} \|(u^n, \nabla Q^n)\|_{H^2} + (1 + \|Q^n\|_{H^2} + \|Q^n\|_{H^2})\Phi(t).$$

Then, fixing a positive integer $N = N(t)$, we apply Lemma (A.2) obtaining

$$\Phi'(t) + \Psi(t) \lesssim \left\{ \left[ (u^n, \nabla Q^n) \right]_{L^2} + \sqrt{\max\{1, \lambda\}}(\|u^n, \nabla Q^n\|_{H^2} + 2^{-N_s}(\|u^n, \nabla Q^n\|_{H^2}) \times$$

$$\times \|(u^n, \nabla Q^n)\|_{H^2} \|(u^n, \nabla Q^n)\|_{H^2} + (1 + \|Q^n\|_{H^2} + \|Q^n\|_{H^2})\Phi(t) \right\}.$$

For simplicity, let us define

$$f_1 := \|(u^n, \nabla Q^n)\|_{L^2}^2 + 1 + \|Q^n\|_{H^2}^2 + \|Q^n\|_{H^2}^2, \quad f_2 := \|(u^n, \nabla Q^n)\|_{H^2}^2,$$

hence (38) implies

$$\Phi'(t) + \Psi(t) \leq C \left\{ f_1(t) \Phi(t) + N f_2(t) \Phi(t) + 2^{-N_s}(\|u^n, \nabla Q^n\|_{H^2} \Psi(t) \right\},$$

for a positive constant $C$. Now, choosing $N(t)$ to be a positive integer which fulfills

$$\frac{1}{s} \log_2 \{2 + 4C + \Phi(t) \} \leq N(t) \leq \frac{1}{s} \log_2 \{2 + 4C + \Phi(t) \} + 1$$

it turns out from (39)

$$\Phi'(t) + \Psi(t) \leq C \left\{ f_1(t) \Phi(t) + f_2(t) \Phi(t) \left( \frac{1}{s} \log_2 \{2 + 4C + \Phi(t) \} + 1 \right) \right\} + \frac{1}{2} \Psi(t),$$

so that, finally, increasing the value of $C$, we obtain

$$\Phi'(t) + \Psi(t) \leq C \left\{ f_1(t) + f_2(t) \right\} \Phi(t) \log_2 \{2 + 4C + \Phi(t) \},$$

which yields

$$\Phi'(t) \leq \frac{C}{\ln 2} (f_1(t) + f_2(t)) \Phi(t) \log_2 \{2 + 4C + \Phi(t) \}.$$ 

By integrating this differential inequality, we obtain

$$2 + 4C + \Phi(t) \leq (2 + 4C + \Phi(0)) \exp \left\{ \frac{C}{\ln 2} \int_0^t (f_1(s) + f_2(s)) ds \right\}.$$

Recalling the definition of $\Phi$, $f_1$ and $f_2$, we obtain

$$\|(u^n, \nabla Q^n(t))\|_{H^2}^2 \leq (2 + 4C + ||u_0, \nabla Q_0||_{H^2}^2) \exp \left\{ \frac{C}{\ln 2} \int_0^t \|(u^n(s), \nabla Q^n(s))\|_{L^2}^2 + 1 + ||Q(s)||_{H^2}^2 + ||Q(s)||_{H^2}^2 ds \right\}.$$ 

Moreover, integrating (40) in time, we get

$$\int_0^t \Psi(s) ds \leq \Phi(0) + C \int_0^t (f_1(t) + f_2(t)) \Phi(t) \log_2 \{2 + 4C + \Phi(t) \}.$$
that is
\[
\int_0^t \|(u^n, \nabla Q^n)\|^2_{H^{1+n}} \, \mathrm{d}t \leq \|(u_0, \nabla Q_0)\|^2_{H^{1+n}} + C \int_0^t \left\{ \|(u^n, \nabla Q^n)\|^2_{L^2} + 1 + \|Q^n\|_{H^{2+n}} + \|Q^n\|^2_{H^{1+n}} \right\} \, \mathrm{d}t.
\]

Since such estimates are uniform in \(n\), we pass to the limit as \(n\) goes to \(\infty\), obtaining
\[
\|(u, \nabla Q)\|_{L^\infty_{t/2}} \leq (2 + 4C + \|(u_0, \nabla Q_0)\|^2_{H^{1+n}})^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{C \int_0^t \left\{ \|(u^n, \nabla Q^n)\|^2_{L^2} + 1 + \|Q^n\|_{H^{2+n}} + \|Q^n\|^2_{H^{1+n}} \right\} \, \mathrm{d}t},
\]
and
\[
\int_0^t \|(u, \nabla Q)\|^2_{H^{1+n}} \, \mathrm{d}t \leq \|(u_0, \nabla Q_0)\|^2_{H^{1+n}} + C \int_0^t \left\{ \|(u, \nabla Q)\|^2_{L^2} + 1 + \|Q\|_{H^{2+n}} + \|Q\|^2_{H^{1+n}} \right\} \, \mathrm{d}t,
\]
where \((u, Q)\) is solution of \(\Box\) with \((u_0, Q_0)\) as initial data. This concludes the proof of Theorem \[\Box\].

**Appendix A.**

**A.1. Useful tools.**

**Lemma A.1.** Let \(Q_1\) and \(Q_2\) be two \(3 \times 3\) symmetric matrices with entries in \(H^2(\mathbb{R}^2)\). Assume that \(u\) is a 3-vector with components in \(H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)\) and let \(\Omega\) be the \(3 \times 3\) matrix defined by \(1/2(\nabla u - \nabla u)\). Then the following identity is satisfied:
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathrm{tr}\{\Omega Q_2 - Q_2 \Omega\} \Delta Q_1 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathrm{tr}\{(\Delta Q_1 Q_2 - Q_1 \Delta Q_2) \nabla u\} = 0
\]

Proof. The proof is straightforward, indeed by a direct computation
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathrm{tr}\{\Omega Q_2 - Q_2 \Omega\} \Delta Q_1 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left( \mathrm{tr}\{\Omega Q_2 \Delta Q_1\} - \mathrm{tr}\{Q_2 \Omega \Delta Q_1\} \right) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left( \mathrm{tr}\{\Omega Q_2 \Delta Q_1\} - \mathrm{tr}\{\Delta Q_1 \Omega Q_2\} \right) = 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathrm{tr}\{\Omega Q_2 \Delta Q_1\} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathrm{tr}\{\nabla u Q_2 \Delta Q_1 - \nabla u Q_2 \Delta Q_1\} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathrm{tr}\{(Q_1 \Delta Q_2 - \Delta Q_1 Q_2) \nabla u\}.
\]

**Lemma A.2.** Let \(f\) be a function in \(H^1 \cap \dot{H}^{1+s}\) with \(s > 0\). Then, there exists \(C > 0\) such that
\[
\|f\|_{L^\infty} \leq C \left( \|f\|_{L^2} + \sqrt{N} \|f\|_{H^1} + 2^{-N} \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{1+s}} \right),
\]
for any positive integer \(N\).

Proof. Let us fix \(N > 0\). Then \(f = \dot{S}_{N+1} f + (\mathrm{Id} - \dot{S}_{N+1}) f\) fulfills
\[
\|f\|_{L^\infty} \leq \|\dot{S}_{N+1} f\|_{L^\infty} + \|\sum_{q \geq N} \hat{f}_q\|_{L^\infty},
\]
First, let us analyze \(A:\)
\[
\sum_{q < N} \|\hat{f}_q\|_{L^\infty} \leq \sum_{q < 0} \|\hat{f}_q\|_{L^\infty} + \sum_{q = 1}^N \|\hat{f}_q\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \sum_{q < 0} 2^q \|\hat{f}_q\|_{L^2} + \sum_{q = 1}^N 2^q \|\hat{f}_q\|_{L^2}
\]
\[
\sum_{q \geq 0} \|\hat{f}_q\|_{L^\infty} \leq \|f\|_{L^\infty} + \sqrt{N} \|f\|_{H^1} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^2} + \sqrt{N} \|f\|_{\dot{H}^1}.
\]
Finally, from the definition of $B$

$$
\sum_{q \geq N} \|\hat{\Delta}_q f\|_{L^\infty} = \sum_{q \geq N} 2^q \|\hat{\Delta}_q f\|_{L^2} = \sum_{q \geq N} 2^{-s q} 2^q (1 + s) \|\hat{\Delta}_q f\|_{L^2} \lesssim 2^{-N s} \|f\|_{H^{1+s}},
$$

which concludes the proof of the lemma. \hfill \Box

Proof. proof of Theorem \ref{prop}. At first we identify the Sobolev Spaces $\hat{H}^s$ and $\hat{H}^t$ with the Besov Spaces $\dot{B}^s_{2,2}$ and $\dot{B}^t_{2,2}$ respectively. We claim that $ab$ belongs to $\dot{B}^{s+t-N/2}_{2,2}$ and

$$
\|ab\|_{\dot{B}^{s+t-N/2}_{2,2}} \leq C \|a\|_{\dot{B}^s_{2,2}} \|b\|_{\dot{B}^t_{2,2}},
$$

for a suitable positive constant.

We decompose the product $ab$ through the Bony decomposition, namely $ab = \dot{T}_ab + \dot{T}_ba + R(a,b)$, where

$$
\dot{T}_ab := \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{\Delta}_q a \hat{S}_{q-1} b, \quad \dot{T}_ba := \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{S}_{q-1} a \hat{\Delta}_q b, \quad \dot{R}(a,b) := \sum_{q \leq |a| \leq 1} \hat{\Delta}_q a \hat{\Delta}_{q+t} b.
$$

For any $q \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$
2^{q(s+t-N/2)} \|\dot{T}_ab\|_{\dot{B}^s_{2,2}} \lesssim \sum_{|q-q'| \leq 5} 2^{q' s} \|\hat{\Delta}_q a\|_{L^2} 2^{q'(t-N/2)} \|\hat{S}_{q-1} b\|_{L^\infty} + \sum_{|q-q'| \leq 5} 2^{q'(s-N/2)} \|\hat{S}_{q-1} a\|_{L^\infty} 2^{q' t} \|\hat{\Delta}_q b\|_{L^2},
$$

so that we determine the following feature

$$
\|\dot{T}_a b, \dot{T}_b a\|_{\dot{B}^{s+t-N/2}_{2,2}} \lesssim \|\dot{T}_a b\|_{\dot{B}^s_{2,2}} \|b\|_{\dot{B}^{t-N/2}_{2,2}} + \|a\|_{\dot{B}^{s-N/2}_{2,2}} \|b\|_{\dot{B}^t_{2,2}},
$$

where we have used the embedding $\dot{B}^s_{2,2} \hookrightarrow \dot{B}^s_{\infty,2}$, for any $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$ and Proposition \ref{prop}. In order to conclude the proof, we have to handle the rest $\dot{R}(a,b)$. By a direct computation, for any $q \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$
2^{(t+s)q} \|\dot{R}(a,b)\|_{L^1} \leq \sum_{q' \geq q-5} \sum_{|q'| \leq 1} 2^{(q-q')(s+t)} 2^{q' t} \|\hat{\Delta}_{q'} a\|_{L^2} 2^{q'(s+t)} \|\hat{\Delta}_{q'+t} b\|_{L^2},
$$

so that, thanks to the Young inequality, we deduce

$$
\|\dot{R}(a,b)\|_{\dot{B}^{s+t-N/2}_{2,2}} \lesssim \|\dot{R}(a,b)\|_{\dot{B}^s_{1,1}} \lesssim \|a\|_{\dot{B}^s_{2,2}} \|b\|_{\dot{B}^t_{2,2}},
$$

where we have used the embedding $\dot{B}^s_{1,1} \hookrightarrow \dot{B}^{s+t-N/2}_{2,2}$ and moreover that $\sum_{q \leq 5} 2^{q(s+t)}$ is finite, since $s + t$ is positive. \hfill \Box

Appendix B.

B.1. Proof of estimate \ref{prop}. The purpose of this section is to estimate the following term

$$
\langle \mathcal{P}(Q), \Delta Q^n \rangle_{H^s}.
$$

In order to facilitate the reader, we are not going to indicate the index $n$, from here on. We have to examine

$$
\langle \mathcal{P}(Q), \Delta Q \rangle_{H^s} = (-aQ + b|Q^2 - \text{tr} \{Q^2\} \frac{\text{Id}}{3} - c\text{tr} \{Q^2\} Q, \Delta Q \rangle_{H^s} = (-aQ + b|Q^2 - c\text{tr} \{Q^2\} Q, \Delta Q \rangle_{H^s},
$$

where $\langle \text{tr} \{Q^2\} \text{Id}, \Delta Q \rangle_{H^s} = 0$ since $\Delta Q$ has null trace. It is trivial that

$$
-\langle aQ, \Delta Q \rangle_{H^s} \lesssim \|\nabla Q\|_{H^s}.
$$
Now, let us consider \( b(Q^2, \Delta Q)_{H^s} \). By definition we have
\[
b(Q^2, \Delta Q)_{H^s} = b \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{2qs} (\dot{\Delta}_q(Q^2), \dot{\Delta}_q \Delta Q)_{L^2}
\]
\[
= b \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{2qs} \left[ 2 (\dot{\Delta}_q \dot{T}_Q, Q, \dot{\Delta}_q \Delta Q)_{L^2} + (\dot{\Delta}_q \dot{R}(Q, Q), \dot{\Delta}_q \Delta Q)_{L^2} \right]
\]
We concentrate on \( A_q \), getting
\[
A_q \leq \sum_{|q-q'| \leq 5} \| \dot{S}_{q'-1}Q \dot{\Delta}_q Q \|_{L^2} \| \dot{\Delta}_q \Delta Q \|_{L^2} \lesssim \| Q \|_{L^\infty} \| \dot{\Delta}_q \nabla Q \|_{L^2} \sum_{|q-q'| \leq 5} \| \dot{\Delta}_q \nabla Q \|_{L^2},
\]
so that
\[
(42) \quad b \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{2qs} A_q \lesssim \| Q \|_{L^\infty} \| \nabla Q \|_{H^s}^2.
\]

Now, analyzing \( B_q \), we observe that
\[
B_q \leq \sum_{q' \geq q-5 \atop |q'| \leq 1} \| \dot{\Delta}_q \dot{\Delta}_q^{q'-1} Q \|_{L^2} \| \dot{\Delta}_q \Delta Q \|_{L^2} \lesssim \| Q \|_{L^\infty} \| \dot{\Delta}_q \nabla Q \|_{L^2} \sum_{q' \geq q-5} 2^{-q'} \| \dot{\Delta}_q^{q'} \nabla Q \|_{L^2},
\]
so that
\[
b \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{2qs} B_q \lesssim \| Q \|_{L^\infty} b \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{qs} \| \dot{\Delta}_q \nabla Q \|_{L^2} \sum_{q' \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{(q-q')(s+1)} 1_{(-\infty,5)}(q-q') \| \dot{\Delta}_q^{q'} \nabla Q \|_{L^2}.
\]
Thus, by convolution and young inequality
\[
b \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{2qs} B_q \lesssim \| Q \|_{L^\infty} \| \nabla Q \|_{H^s}^2,
\]
and recalling (42), we finally get
\[
(43) \quad b(Q^2, \Delta Q)_{H^s} \lesssim \| Q \|_{L^\infty} \| \nabla Q \|_{H^s}^2.
\]

Now, it remains to examine \( c(Q \text{tr}\{Q^2\}, \Delta Q)_{H^s} \). The procedure is quietly similar to the previous one. At first we use the Bony decomposition as follows:
\[
\langle Q \text{tr}\{Q^2\}, \Delta Q \rangle_{H^s} = \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{2qs} \langle \dot{\Delta}_q (Q \text{tr}\{Q^2\}), \dot{\Delta}_q \Delta Q \rangle_{L^2} \]
\[
= \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{2qs} \left[ (\dot{\Delta}_q \dot{T}_Q (Q \text{tr}\{Q^2\}) \text{Id}), \dot{\Delta}_q \Delta Q \right]_{L^2} + (\dot{\Delta}_q \dot{R}(Q, Q), \dot{\Delta}_q \Delta Q)_{L^2}
\]
First, we concentrate on \( A_q \), the more computationally demanding term, obtaining
\[
A_q \leq \sum_{|q-q'| \leq 5} \| \dot{S}_{q'-1}Q \dot{\Delta}_q^{q'-1} Q \|_{L^2} \| \dot{\Delta}_q \Delta Q \|_{L^2} \lesssim \| Q \|_{L^\infty} \sum_{|q-q'| \leq 5} \| \dot{\Delta}_q^{q'} Q \|_{L^2} \| \dot{\Delta}_q \Delta Q \|_{L^2}
\]
\[
\lesssim \| Q \|_{L^\infty} \sum_{|q-q'| \leq 5} \left[ 2^{2qs} (\dot{\Delta}_q \dot{T}_Q Q, \dot{\Delta}_q \Delta Q)_{L^2} + (\dot{\Delta}_q \dot{R}(Q, Q), \dot{\Delta}_q \Delta Q)_{L^2} \right]_{I_{q,q'}}
\]
The term \( I_{q,q'} \) is the simpler one, indeed
\[
I_{q,q'} \lesssim \sum_{|q'-q'| \leq 5} \| \dot{S}_{q'-1}Q \dot{\Delta}_q^{q'-1} Q \|_{L^2} \| \dot{\Delta}_q \Delta Q \|_{L^2} \lesssim \| Q \|_{L^\infty} \sum_{|q'-q'| \leq 5} \| \dot{\Delta}_q^{q'} Q \|_{L^2} \| \dot{\Delta}_q \Delta Q \|_{L^2}.
\]
so that
\[\sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} \|Q\|_{L^\infty} \sum_{|q-q'| \leq 5} I_{q, q'} \lesssim \|Q\|_{L^\infty}^2 \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{|q-q'| \leq 5} \|\Delta_q Q\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_q \Delta Q\|_{L^2} \]
\[\leq \|Q\|_{L^\infty}^2 \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{|q-q'| \leq 10} \|\Delta_q Q\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_q \Delta Q\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|Q\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\nabla Q\|_{H^s}.\]

We overcome the term \(I_{q, q'}\) as follows:
\[I_{q, q'} \lesssim \|\Delta_q \Delta Q\|_{L^2} \sum_{q'' \geq q'-5} \|\Delta_{q''} Q\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_{q''+1} Q\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \|Q\|_{L^\infty} \|\Delta_q \nabla Q\|_{L^2} \sum_{q'' \geq q'-5} 2^{q''} \|\Delta_{q''} \nabla Q\|_{L^2},\]
so that
\[\sum_{q < \mathbb{Z}} \|Q\|_{L^\infty} \sum_{|q-q'| \leq 5} I_{q, q'} \lesssim \|Q\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\nabla Q\|_{H^s}.\]

Summarizing the previous inequalities, we get
\[\sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{2q} A_q \lesssim \|Q\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\nabla Q\|_{H^s}^2.\]

In order to examine \(B_q\), it is sufficient to observe that
\[\sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{2q} B_q \lesssim \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{2q} \|\dot{S}_{q-5} (tr\{Q^2\} \text{Id}) \Delta_q Q\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_q \Delta Q\|_{L^2} \]
\[\lesssim \|Q\|_{L^\infty} \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{2q} \|\Delta_q \nabla Q\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_q \nabla Q\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|Q\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla Q\|_{H^s}^2.\]

It remains indeed \(C_q\), which is straightforward, indeed
\[\sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{2q} C_q \lesssim \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{2q} \sum_{|q-q'| \leq 5} \|\Delta_q Q \Delta_{q'} Q\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_q \Delta Q\|_{L^2} \]
\[\lesssim \|Q\|_{L^\infty} \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{2q} \|\Delta_q \nabla Q\|_{L^2} \sum_{q' \geq q'-5} 2^{(q-q') (s+1)} \|\Delta_{q'} \nabla Q\|_{L^2},\]
thus, by convolution and the Young inequality,
\[\sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{2q} C_q \lesssim \|Q\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla Q\|_{H^s}^2.\]

Summarizing, we finally get
\[c(Q \text{tr}\{Q^2\}, \Delta Q)_{H^s} \lesssim \|Q\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla Q\|_{H^s}^2,\]
and recalling (11)-(13), we finally obtain
\[\langle P(Q), \Delta Q\rangle_{H^s} \lesssim (1 + \|Q\|_{L^\infty} + \|Q\|_{H^s}^2 \|\nabla Q\|_{H^s}^2 \lesssim (1 + \|Q\|_{H^2} + \|Q\|_{H^s}^2) \|\nabla Q\|_{H^s}^2,\]
where the last inequality is due to the embedding \(H^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \hookrightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)\). Hence, inequality (15) is proven.
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