Proteinuria May Be an Indicator of Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes in Patients With Preeclampsia: A Retrospective Study
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Abstract

Background: Proteinuria is one of the common manifestations of patients with preeclampsia (PE), but whether the severity of proteinuria is related to the pregnancy outcome of patients with preeclampsia remains controversial. The present study aimed to determine the relationship between 24-hour proteinuria and adverse outcomes in patients with preeclampsia.

Methods: Retrospectively reviewed the clinical records of 329 pregnant women in Chongqing City. Patients were divided into PE group and non-PE group. PE group was divided into three subgroups according to the level of 24-hour proteinuria. Correlation analysis was used to analyze the correlation between biochemical indexes and adverse pregnancy outcome, and Logistic regression analysis was used to analyze the risk factors of adverse pregnancy outcome. The receiver operating characteristic curve (ROC) was used to evaluate the ability of 24-hour urinary protein to distinguish the adverse pregnancy outcome in patients with preeclampsia.

Results: (1) Among mild (proteinuria < 0.3g/24h), moderate (0.3g/24h ≤ proteinuria < 2g/24h) and massive (proteinuria ≥ 2g/24h) groups, the frequencies of induced labor (p = 0.006), hypoproteinemia (p < 0.001) and stillbirth (p = 0.002) increased according to the severity of 24-hour proteinuria. Patients with a proteinuria > 2g/24h had a shorter gestational week (p < 0.001) and a higher preterm delivery rate (p = 0.009). (2) Adverse pregnancy outcome was positively correlated with 24-hour proteinuria (adverse maternal outcomes: \( r = 0.239, p = 0.002 \); adverse fetal outcomes: \( r = 0.336, p < 0.001 \)). (3) The best 24-hour proteinuria cutoff value to determine adverse outcomes was 872.09 mg/24h (p < 0.001), odds ratios (95% confidence interval, CI) was 5.03 (2.60-9.74). For hypoproteinemia, the best value was 1935 mg/24h (p < 0.001) and ORs (95% CIs) was 6.7(2.6-17.4). For stillbirth, premature and intrauterine distress the best value were 3965.0mg/24h, 984.75mg/24h and 1503.85mg/24h and ORs (95%CIs) were 12.46 (3.46-44.88), 2.48 (1.15-5.37) and 10.02 (2.14-46.80), respectively.

Conclusions: The severity of 24-hour proteinuria may forecast adverse outcomes in women with preeclampsia. We suggest proteinuria should be retained as a prognostic indicator for patients with preeclampsia.

Trial registration: retrospectively registered.

Background

Preeclampsia (PE), a kind of placental disease, is the most representative type of hypertensive disorder complicating pregnancy. The incidence of preeclampsia accounts for 3%-8% of all pregnancies, seriously affecting the health of mothers and infants [1]. Pregnant women with preeclampsia are prone to organ system dysfunction, leading to various adverse pregnancy outcomes, such as retinopathy, impaired renal function, preterm delivery, fetal growth restriction (FGR), etc. But the risk factors of adverse pregnancy outcomes need to be further explored [2, 3, 4]. A number of studies have shown that patients with preeclampsia should be wary of the occurrence of adverse pregnancy outcomes when large amounts of proteinuria occur [1, 3, 5]. In the past, China recognized detection of 24-hour urine protein test as the "gold standard" in the diagnosis of preeclampsia [6]. However, in recent years, many studies concluded that the degree of proteinuria had nothing to do with the severity of preeclampsia, and there was no definite relationship between proteinuria and pregnancy outcomes in patients with preeclampsia [7, 8]. It can be seen that the role of urinary protein in diagnosis and assessment of preeclampsia is still controversial. In this study, we retrospectively analyzed the relationship between 24-hour proteinuria and adverse pregnancy outcomes in patients with preeclampsia, and explored whether the level of urinary protein affects the adverse pregnancy outcome in order to provide research evidence for clinical diagnosis and treatment of preeclampsia.

Methods

Data Sources

In present study, We retrospectively reviewed the clinical records of 329 pregnant women during January 2015 to July 2020 in Chongqing area. There were 275 pregnant women diagnosed as preeclampsia and the other 54 pregnant women without preeclampsia were examined by obstetrics in the same period.

Grouping

We divided 329 pregnant women into PE group (pregnant women with preeclampsia, n = 275) and non-PE group (pregnant women without preeclampsia, n = 54).

1. PE group

(1) Inclusion criteria: all pregnant women met the diagnostic criteria of preeclampsia. Singleton pregnancy. There was no history of essential hypertension, nephropathy and diabetes. The information was complete, including the detection of urinary protein.
(2) Exclusion criteria: multiple pregnancies. Patients with essential hypertension, nephropathy and diabetes mellitus. No delivery record due to spontaneous discharge or loss of follow-up. Patients with incomplete laboratory and auxiliary examination data.

(3) Diagnostic criteria of preeclampsia: the diagnostic criteria of preeclampsia refer to the diagnostic criteria of PE established in the guidelines for diagnosis and treatment of hypertensive disorder complicating pregnancy (2015 Edition).

(4) Based on the amount of proteinuria (tested by the detection of 24-hour urinary protein), the PE group was divided into three subgroups: A: mild group, 24-hour urinary protein < 0.3g; B: moderate group, 24-hour urinary protein 0.3~2g; C: massive group, 24-hour urinary protein ≥ 2g.

1. Non-PE group

(1) Inclusion criteria: Patients with non-gestational hypertension and non-preeclampsia. Urine protein test was negative. Singleton pregnancy. The information was complete, including the detection of urinary protein.

(2) Exclusion criteria: Multiple pregnancies. Patients with essential hypertension, nephropathy and diabetes mellitus. Those who have no delivery record due to spontaneous discharge or loss of follow-up. The data of laboratory and auxiliary inspection are incomplete.

Design

Retrospectively reviewed the clinical records of pregnant women at the time of admission and delivery, including age, first delivery or not, gestational weeks, mode of delivery, systolic blood pressure (SBP), diastolic blood pressure (DBP), past medical history, laboratory indicators, maternal outcomes, fetal outcomes and other information. The laboratory indexes include alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), serum albumin, uric acid and creatinine. Adverse pregnancy outcomes included retinal disease, hypoproteinemia, organ dysfunction (abnormal liver function, cardiac dysfunction, renal dysfunction, pleural and peritoneal effusion), placental abruption, oligohydramnios, eclampsia, maternal death, hemorrhagic anemia, postpartum hemorrhage, disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC). Adverse fetal outcomes include: premature delivery, fetal growth restriction (FGR), fetal distress, low birth weight, neonatal asphyxia, stillbirth, macrosomia, neonatal hypoxic encephalopathy, fetal malformation. In order to explore whether urinary protein is a risk factor for adverse pregnancy outcome in patients with PE, we analyze the differences of various indexes and pregnancy outcomes between PE group, non-PE group and PE subgroup.

Statistical Analyses

The statistical analyses were performed using the statistical software SPSS24.0. Counting variables were expressed by frequency and analyzed by chi-square test; continuous variables were expressed by Xbar ± s and analyzed by T-test. Correlation analysis was used to analyze the correlation between biochemical indexes and adverse pregnancy outcome, and Logistic regression analysis was used to analyze the risk factors of adverse pregnancy outcome. The receiver operating characteristic curve (ROC) was used to evaluate the ability of 24-hour urinary protein to distinguish the adverse pregnancy outcome in patients with preeclampsia. The difference was statistically significant (P < 0.05).

Results

Characteristics of Included Patients

Table 1 shows clinical characteristics of the 329 pregnant women. Among patients with PE, 170 patients measured 24-hour proteinuria, and divided them into 3 groups according to the quantitative level of 24-hour proteinuria (A vs. B vs. C). Group A was defined as proteinuria < 0.3g/24 hours (n=53, mean 24-hour proteinuria = 136.27 ± 89.39 mg/24h), group B was defined as 0.3 to 2 g/24 hours (n=64, mean 24-hour proteinuria = 884.29 ± 538.33 mg/24h) and group C was defined as urinary protein excretion exceeding 2 g in 24 hours (n=53, mean 24-hour proteinuria = 6246.13 ± 5099.54 mg/24h).

Between non-PE and PE groups, the maternal age and cesarean section rate were higher in PE group than non-PE group (p < 0.001). Laboratory findings such as SBP and DBP at admission, ALT, AST, uric acid and creatinine level in PE group were higher than those in non-PE group (p < 0.001), the levels of albumin was lower than those in non-PE group (P < 0.001).

Among three subgroups, C group had a shorter gestational age at delivery than A and B group (p < 0.001). Laboratory findings such as SBP, DBP, uric acid and creatinine were found higher in C group than A and B group (p < 0.001). We also found that the higher level of proteinuria was, the lower level of albumin (p < 0.001). The other clinical characteristics such as age, nulliparity, mode of delivery were not significantly different among three groups.
|                        | Non-PE | PE         | p value | Subgroups | p value |
|------------------------|--------|------------|---------|-----------|---------|
| number                 | 54     | 275        | -       | 53        | 64      | 53      | -       |
| **Clinical characteristics** |        |            |         |           |         |
| Mean age               | 27.61±4.52 | 30.16±5.53 | <0.001  | 31.70±5.51 | 30.27±6.00 | 31.28±5.12 | 0.180   |
| Gestation week         | 37.96±3.71 | 36.65±3.68 | 0.012   | 37.19±2.20 | 37.31±2.87 | 34.53±4.19<sup>ab</sup> | <0.001   |
| **Mode of delivery**   |        |            |         |           |         |
| Spontaneously          | 38     | 24         | <0.001  | 6         | 1       | 1       | 0.038   |
| Caesarean section      | 14     | 232        |         | 46        | 59      | 42      |         |
| **Laboratory findings**|        |            |         |           |         |
| SBP, mmHg              | 113.46±9.67 | 146.34±18.24 | <0.001  | 140.76±14.23 | 143.67±17.72 | 155.40±19.53<sup>ab</sup> | <0.001   |
| DBP, mmHg              | 70.5±8.53 | 95.44±13.68 | <0.001  | 91.30±9.83 | 93.60±13.10 | 104.15±14.42<sup>ab</sup> | <0.001   |
| ALT, U/L               | 14.58±13.34 | 34.59±64.46 | <0.001  | 37.79±93.46 | 24.51±25.83 | 43.62±78.85 | 0.055   |
| AST, U/L               | 18.37±6.89 | 35.82±49.85 | <0.001  | 35.70±67.53 | 30.01±40.16 | 43.63±64.72 | 0.191   |
| Albumin, g/L           | 37.44±4.55 | 34.15±4.92  | <0.001  | 36.63±3.62 | 34.23±4.38a | 31.38±4.52<sup>ab</sup> | <0.001   |
| Uric acid, μmol/L      | 315.72±67.35 | 424.38±108.67 | <0.001  | 352.09±82.85 | 419.66±119.40<sup>a</sup> | 460.14±99.80<sup>a</sup> | <0.001   |
| Creatinine, μmol/L     | 45.22±6.23 | 62.53±46.85 | <0.001  | 46.44±8.46 | 58.53±18.95 | 72.52±41.09<sup>ab</sup> | <0.001   |
| 24-hour urine collection, mg/24h | - | - |         | 136.27±89.39 | 884.29±538.33 | 6246.13±5099.54<sup>ab</sup> | <0.001   |

ALT, Alanine aminotransferase; AST, Aspartate aminotransferase; DBP, Diastolic Blood Pressure; SBP, Systolic Blood Pressure; A: 24-hour urinary protein < 0.3 g; B: 24-hour urinary protein 0.3~2 g; C: 24-hour urinary protein ≥ 2 g; a compared with A group, p < 0.05; b compared with B group, p < 0.05.

### Comparison of Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes In Pregnant Women

Table 2 shows the adverse pregnancy outcomes. The frequencies of adverse outcomes (both maternal and fetal complications included) were 27.78% in non-PE group and 51.27% in PE group (p = 0.001). For patients with different proteinuria level, the frequency of adverse pregnancy outcomes was 41.5% in group A, 45.3% in group B and 77.4% in group C (p < 0.001), it seems that the higher degree of proteinuria, the higher chance of adverse outcomes.

The number of maternal complications in PE group (92/275, 33.5%) was more than that in non-PE group (2/54, 3.7%), (p < 0.001). Compared with non-PE group, PE group had a higher incidence in hypoproteinemia (p = 0.006), organ dysfunction (p = 0.041), retinal detachment (p = 0.047). Other maternal outcomes such as pleural and peritoneal effusion, eclampsia, DIC, postpartum hemorrhage, hemorrhagic anemia, placental abruption, maternal death, has no significant difference compared with normal pregnancy group (p > 0.05).

In PE subgroups, The induction rate was different among 3 groups (p = 0.006). The incidences of hypoproteinemia, organ dysfunction were significantly different among three groups (A: 5.7%; B: 12.5%; C: 35.8%; p < 0.001 for hypoproteinemia; A: 5.7%; B: 0%; C: 13.2%; p = 0.010 for organ dysfunction). No significant differences were observed in other maternal complications, such as placental abruption, retinal detachment. No pregnant women died in the three groups.
## Comparison of Adverse Fetal Outcomes among Groups

Adverse fetal outcomes are shown in Table 3. The number of adverse fetal outcomes is different in five groups (non-PE group: 13/54 vs. PE group: 124/275, \( p = 0.004 \); group A: 16/53 vs. group B: 19/64 vs. group C: 36/53, \( p < 0.001 \)).

Between non-PE and PE group, PE group had higher frequencies in premature (\( p = 0.010 \)), FGA (\( p = 0.024 \)), light for date infant (\( p = 0.014 \)), and oligohydramnios (\( p = 0.012 \)). In another three subgroups, only the rate of premature (\( p = 0.009 \)), stillbirth (\( p = 0.001 \)) and birth weight (\( p = 0.001 \)) have significant differences. Though it seems that group C had more fetal complications, the incidence not increased by 24-hour proteinuria degree.

### Table 3: Adverse Fetal Outcomes

|                      | Non-PE | PE     | \( p \) value | subgroups | \( p \) value |
|----------------------|--------|--------|---------------|-----------|---------------|
|                      |        |        |               | A         | B             | C             |
| **Number**           | 54     | 275    | -             | 53        | 64            | 53            |
| **Total adverse fetal outcomes** | 13     | 124    | 0.004         | 16        | 19            | 36            | <0.001        |
| Premature (<37 week) | 3      | 56     | 0.010         | 15        | 7             | 18            | 0.009         |
| FGR                  | 0      | 24     | 0.024         | 3         | 5             | 6             | 0.563         |
| Low birth weight*    | 0      | 28     | 0.014         | 6         | 4             | 4             | 0.596         |
| Oligohydramnios      | 0      | 29     | 0.012         | 6         | 8             | 3             | 0.437         |
| Stillbirth           | 1      | 16     | 0.229         | 0         | 3             | 9             | 0.002         |
| Birth weight, g      | 3157.46±494.72 | 2878.16±709.86 | 0.008      | 2872.02±559.15 | 3010.25±607.36 | 2444.76±871.95 | 0.001         |

Low birth weight*: including light for date infant and premature low birth weight infants; FGR: Fetal Growth Restriction; A: 24-hour urinary protein < 0.3g; B: 24-hour urinary protein 0.3~2g; C: 24-hour urinary protein \( \geq \) 2g

**Organ dysfunction*: including heart, liver, renal dysfunction, pleural effusion and peritoneal effusion.
Correlation Analysis of Risk Factors of Adverse Pregnancy Outcome

In order to further determine the impact of proteinuria and other factors on pregnancy outcomes in patients with PE, a correlation test and binary logistics regression analysis were used in the multivariate analysis to compare parameters with $P < 0.05$ in the univariate analysis, as shown in Table 4 and Table 5. Albumin, uric acid, creatinine, gestational weeks and proteinuria were associated with maternal complications in preeclampsia pregnant women (albumin: $r = -0.405, p < 0.001$; uric acid: $r = 0.304, p < 0.001$; creatinine $r = 0.235, p = 0.003$; gestational weeks: $r = -0.236, p = 0.003$; proteinuria: $r = 0.239, p = 0.002$). The factors highly related to the adverse fetal outcome were gestational weeks ($r = -0.463, p < 0.001$) and proteinuria ($r = 0.336, p < 0.001$). Incorporate meaningful indicators of correlation analysis into multivariate logistic analysis, the predictive indicators with statistically significant differences obtained by multivariate regression analysis are albumin, uric acid. Proteinuria is negatively correlated with gestational week ($r = -0.309, p < 0.001$) and albumin ($r = -0.360, p < 0.001$), suggesting that 24-hour proteinuria may have an indirect predictive value for adverse outcomes.

Table 4: Correlation test between various diagnostic indicators and adverse outcomes

|                      | Gestational weeks | 24-hour urine protein (mg/24h) | SBP   | DBP   | Albumin | Urine acid | Creatinine |
|----------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|-------|-------|----------|------------|------------|
| $r$ Maternal complications | -0.236            | 0.239                          | 0.057 | 0.026 | -0.405   | 0.304      | 0.235      |
| $p$                   | 0.003             | 0.002                          | 0.256 | 0.381 | <0.001   | <0.001     | 0.003      |
| $r$ Fetal complications | -0.463            | 0.345                          | 0.244 | 0.328 | -0.296   | 0.228      | 0.145      |
| $p$                   | <0.001            | <0.001                         | 0.002 | <0.001| <0.001   | 0.004      | 0.048      |
| $r$ 24h proteinuria   | -0.309            | 1                              | 0.226 | 0.290 | -0.360   | 0.197      | 0.166      |
| $p$                   | <0.001            | -                              | 0.004 | <0.001| <0.001   | 0.020      | 0.052      |

Table 5: Multivariate logistic model predicting adverse outcomes of preeclampsia
The Best 24-hour Urine Protein Cutoff Value in Preeclampsia to Determine Adverse Outcomes

In studying the relationship between 24-hour proteinuria and adverse outcomes in women with preeclampsia, we found there were differences in the incidence of hypoalbuminemia, organ dysfunction, premature delivery and stillbirth in different level of 24-hour proteinuria, so we explore the potential diagnostic value of 24-hour proteinuria to predict adverse outcomes. **Table 6** and **Figure 1(a to 1d)** show the best 24-hour proteinuria cutoff values based on ROC curves. The cutoff value to determine adverse outcomes was 872.09 mg/24h, its corresponding area under the ROC curve (AUC) was 0.698 \((p < 0.001)\) and odds ratios (95% confidence interval, CI) was 5.03 (2.60-9.74). The cutoff value to determine hypoproteinemia was 1935 mg/24h, the AUC was 0.740 \((p < 0.001)\) and ORs (95% CIs) was 6.7 (2.6-17.4). The cutoff value to determine stillbirth, premature and intrauterine distress were 3965.0 mg/24h, 984.75 mg/24h and 1503.85 mg/24h, those AUC were 0.816, 0.618 and 0.745 \((p < 0.001, p = 0.034 and p = 0.003, respectively)\) and ORs (95% CIs) were 12.46 (3.46-44.88), 2.48 (1.15-5.37) and 10.02 (2.14-46.80), respectively. Although univariate analysis showed that there was a difference in the incidence of organ dysfunction among urinary protein subgroups, the cutoff value obtained by ROC curve was not significant.

**Table 6:** Relationship between 24-hour urine protein cutoff value based on ROC curve and pregnant outcomes.
Discussion

Previous studies have shown that the quantity of 24-hour urinary protein was positively correlated with the severity of PE and the occurrence of adverse pregnancy outcome [5, 9, 10]. Therefore, proteinuria has been regarded as one of the necessary indicators for clinical diagnosis of PE [6]. However, in recent years, the necessity of urinary protein in the diagnosis of PE has been controversial. In a 2010 study [11], the researchers showed that PE patients with proteinuria had higher blood pressure and cesarean section rate than PE patients without proteinuria, but urinary protein was not a predictor of adverse pregnancy outcome. The ACOG (2013) adjusted urinary protein from a necessary index to an additional index, and deleted 24-hour urinary protein \( \geq 5g \) from the diagnostic criteria of severe PE since only a minimal relationship existed between proteinuria and adverse pregnancy outcomes [12]. Chinese guideline for diagnosis and treatment of hypertensive disorder complicating pregnancy (2015 Edition) also pointed out that proteinuria was not a decisive factor in the diagnosis of PE, but played an important role in evaluating the prognosis of PE [13]. In 2018, The International Society for the Study of Hypertension in Pregnancy (ISSHP) defined presence of proteinuria is not necessary to diagnose preeclampsia [14]. At the same time, some scientists still hold the opinion that proteinuria is closely related to adverse pregnancy outcome in patients with preeclampsia, but few studies proved the relationship between the degree of proteinuria and a specific perinatal / neonatal outcome in women with preeclampsia.

In present study, the rate of cesarean section in PE group was significantly higher than that in non-PE group. It seems like that preeclampsia requires early termination of pregnancy but the cervix is immature, or severe condition requires immediate termination of pregnancy. Besides, our results showed the incidence of adverse outcome in PE group was higher than that in non-PE group, including hypertensive disorder, oligohydramnios, organ dysfunction, retinal disease, premature delivery, FGR, low birth weight infants, this result is consistent with Tomimatsu T’s [15], suggesting that PE is one of the factors affecting pregnancy outcome. PE is a kind of pregnancy induced hypertension with systemic vascular disorder (vascular endothelial dysfunction). It is characterized by hypertension related to proteinuria. The basic pathophysiological lesion is systemic arteriolar spasm. This disease affects almost every organ system and leads to complications related to preeclampsia [16]. When renal arterioles were affected, renal blood perfusion decreased, glomerular filtration rate decreased, basement membrane cells were damaged, vascular endothelial cells were destroyed, resulting in increased vascular permeability, massive protein lose and albuminuria [16]. A large amount of albuminuria makes pregnant women prone to hypoproteinemia and organ dysfunction. Retinal arteriole spasm can easily lead to retinal detachment. In addition, pregnant women are in a state of hypercoagulability during pregnancy, and the pathogenesis of vascular endothelial cell injury in patients with preeclampsia further leads to microvascular thrombosis, and oligohydramnios in patients with preeclampsia may be caused by placental microthrombosis [16, 17]. From the pathological characteristics of preeclampsia, proteinuria may be a window to predict the pregnancy outcome of patients with preeclampsia.

In this study, non-PE patients were limited to undiagnosed preeclampsia and without proteinuria, while not all PE patients had proteinuria according to the 2015 diagnostic guidelines, and there were differences in basic conditions and a variety of biochemical indexes between the two groups, which could not show that urinary protein had an impact on the pregnancy outcome of preeclampsia pregnant women. Because of this potential limitation, we performed subgroup analysis and correlation analysis on patients with preeclampsia who underwent 24-hour urinary protein detection to further explore the relationship between urinary protein and pregnancy outcome. We analyzed 175 patients with preeclampsia according to the level of urinary protein in order to determine 24-hour urinary protein. There were differences in the incidence of induced labor, hypalbuminemia, organ dysfunction, premature delivery and stillbirth in pregnant women with 24-hour proteinuria. Contrary to
Newman[18], Sérgio Hofmeister Mart e s - Costa [19] findings, their study showed that excessive proteinuria did not mean a more serious outcome, and the increased proteinuria had nothing to do with the risk of adverse outcomes, in present study we found the increase of urinary protein could add the rate of adverse outcome of preeclampsia. Moreover, with the increase of the degree of 24-hour urinary protein, the incidence of induced labor, hypoproteinemia and stillbirth increased, this results demonstrate that urinary protein may be one of the factors of adverse pregnancy outcome in patients with preeclampsia. This result leads to similar conclusion to Kumari[20] and Chans[21].

A number of studies have shown that the main factor affecting perinatal outcome is gestational age (18, 22). As was reported in our results, the gestational weeks of patients with urinary protein > 2g/24h were significantly shorter than those of < 0.3g/24h and 0.3~2g/24h, and the incidence of preterm delivery was higher, gestational week is negatively correlated with 24h urine protein. Nonetheless, our results are not sufficient to show that the shortened gestational week is caused by the influence of urine protein, it is still unknown whether this increase in premature birth rate is the result of doctors' choice or whether it is caused by the disease process itself. We used correlation analysis to explore the influencing factors of adverse pregnancy outcome in patients with preeclampsia. The results showed that 24-hour urinary protein, serum albumin, creatinine, uric acid and gestational age were all related to adverse pregnancy outcome. Binary logistic regression analysis results showed the predictive indicators with statistically significant differences were albumin, urine acid. The accuracy of the model predicting the adverse maternal outcome and adverse fetal outcome were 72.6%, 68.2%, respectively. In our study, we also found 24h urinary protein was negatively correlated with albumin. In short, we cannot deny the role of 24h urinary protein in predicting adverse outcomes in patients with preeclampsia.

For decades, there were many studies on the diagnostic value of urinary protein in preeclampsia, but few studies have used ROC curves to prove the relationship between the degree of urinary protein and a specific perinatal / neonatal outcome in women with preeclampsia. In 2020, Mamoru Morikawa et al (23) first demonstrated the relationship between the severity of the Protein:creatinine (P/C) ratio at delivery and perinatal / neonatal outcomes among women with preeclampsia based on a ROC curve, the best P/C ratio cutoff values in preeclampsia to determine early preterm birth (EPB) and maternal central serous chorioretinopathy (CSC) were 4.1 and 4.8, respectively. The results indicated that the best cutoff value of the P/C ratio at delivery for worsened perinatal outcomes in women with preeclampsia was 4.8. In present study, we used the ROC curve to analyze the relationship between 24hour urine protein and adverse outcomes of patients with preeclampsia, and obtained the corresponding cutoff value. The cutoff value to determine adverse outcomes, hypoproteinemia, stillbirth, premature and intruterine distress was were 872.09 mg/24h, 1935 mg/24h, 3965.0 mg/24h, 984.75 mg/24h and 1503.85 mg/24h, respectively. Obviously, the cutoff values of 24hour urine protein varies from different adverse outcomes, this may be one of the reasons for the controversy in the application of urine protein in preeclampsia. These cutoff values were limited in clinical application, but it is undeniable that proteinuria has been once again confirmed to be associated with adverse outcomes, this also suggests that doctors still need to be vigilant about proteinuria in the treatment of patients with preeclampsia.

Conclusions

In summary, the increase of 24-hour urinary protein may lead to an increase in the incidence of adverse outcomes in women with preeclampsia. We suggest that urinary protein should be retained as one of the prognostic indicators for patients with preeclampsia. However, the pregnancy outcome of patients with preeclampsia is affected by many factors, so the monitoring of urinary protein combined with the consideration of gestational weeks, complications and other laboratory indicators may have a more accurate prediction of adverse pregnancy outcomes.
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