Abstract

Background: Due to potential proarrhythmic side-effects levo-α-Acetylmethadol (LAAM) is currently not available in EU countries as maintenance drug in the treatment of opiate addiction. However, recent studies and meta-analyses underline the clinical advantages of LAAM with respect to the reduction of heroin use. Thus a reappraisal of LAAM has been demanded. The aim of the present study was to evaluate the relative impact of LAAM on QTc-interval, as a measure of pro-arrhythmic risk, in comparison to methadone, the current standard in substitution therapy.

Methods: ECG recordings were analysed within a randomised, controlled clinical trial evaluating the efficacy and tolerability of maintenance treatment with LAAM compared with racemic methadone. Recordings were done at two points: 1) during a run-in period with all patients on methadone and 2) 24 weeks after randomisation into methadone or LAAM treatment group. These ECG recordings were analysed with respect to QTc-values and QTc-dispersion. Mean values as well as individual changes compared to baseline parameters were evaluated. QTc-intervals were classified according to CPMP-guidelines.

Results: Complete ECG data sets could be obtained in 53 patients (31 LAAM-group, 22 methadone-group). No clinical cardiac complications were observed in either group. After 24 weeks, patients receiving LAAM showed a significant increase in QTc-interval (0.409s ± 0.022s versus 0.418s ± 0.028s, p = 0.046), whereas no significant changes could be observed in patients remaining on methadone. There was no statistically significant change in QTc-dispersion in either group. More patients with borderline prolonged and prolonged QTc-intervals were observed in the LAAM than in the methadone treatment group (n = 7 vs. n = 1; p = 0.1).

Conclusions: In this controlled trial LAAM induced QTc-prolongation in a higher degree than methadone. Given reports of severe arrhythmic events, careful ECG-monitoring is recommended under LAAM medication.
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INTRODUCTION

The synthetic opioid levo-α-acetylmethadol (LAAM) is a long-acting full µ-opioid agonist which was approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for maintenance treatment of opioid dependence in 1993. There are many studies comparing the efficacy and safety of LAAM with methadone as medication in maintenance treatment for heroin addiction [1-4]. In clinical trials, LAAM was found to be comparable to methadone with respect to reduction of illicit opiate use, treatment retention, employment, clinic attendance, and involvement in illegal activities [5]. The safety of LAAM in long-term treatment was similar to that of methadone in a meta-analysis [6].

Reports of cases of life-threatening ventricular arrhythmias (especially torsade de pointes) have raised concerns about potential arrhythmogenic effects both of LAAM [7, 8] and methadone [9, 10]. Both LAAM and methadone belong to a group of drugs that block cardiac potassium channels responsible for mediating ventricular repolarisation [11]. In the context of these cardiac side effects, the European Medicines Agency (EMEA) recommended the suspension of the marketing authorization for LAAM in 2001 and the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) required the addition of a “black box” warning on the LAAM label [12]. However, a recent study showing higher efficiency of LAAM with respect to suppression of heroin use revived the discussion whether potential risks for cardiac arrhythmias really outweigh the advantages of LAAM [13, 14].

In clinical practice, the prolongation of the QT-interval (time interval including myocardial de- and repolarisation) is a surrogate marker for the risk of developing torsades de pointes tachycardia (TdP) [15]. Little is known regarding the extent of LAAM-induced QT-prolongation and the relative risk of LAAM compared with racemic methadone for the occurrence of QT-prolongation. Therefore the aim of the present study was to analyse corrected QT-duration and QT-
dispersion as measures of potential arrhythmogenic effects of LAAM in comparison to methadone.

METHODS

SUBJECTS

ECG recordings were obtained from participants in a phase III clinical trial [16]. Heroin addicts on methadone maintenance treatment for at least one month with a stable methadone dosage for at least two weeks were eligible for the study. Inclusion criteria were age between 18 to 65, diagnosis of opioid dependence, daily methadone dose less than 100 mg methadone hydrochloride, negative urine drug screen for opiates during the screening period, negative pregnancy test and absence of significant other psychiatric or somatic illness. Subjects with known cardiac disease were excluded. The trial was endorsed and supervised by the Bundesinstitut für Arzneimittel und Medizinprodukte (German Federal Institute for Drugs and Medical Devices, Project Nr. 430 48 20) and approved by all local ethics committees. All patients provided written informed consent to their participation in the study.

STUDY DESIGN

The parent study was designed as an open, multi-centre, flexible dose, parallel-group, randomised trial [16]. The study consisted of two parts: the run-in period and the treatment phase (Fig. 1). During the run-in phase, all patients received standardised treatment with racemic methadone solution. At the end of the run-in phase, patients were randomly allocated to one of two treatment groups in a 1:1 ratio: LAAM dispersible tablets 3 times/week (treatment group I) or racemic methadone solution daily (treatment group II). The maximum dosage was 100 mg/day of methadone or 130 mg LAAM on Mondays and Wednesdays and 160 mg on Fridays (1.3 and 1.6 times the daily methadone dose).

During the treatment period (weeks 1 to 24), outpatient visits were performed every week. The individual endpoint assessment of all efficacy and safety parameters including an ECG recording was carried out after regular completion (week 24) or premature discontinuation of the study (Fig. 1).

URINE TESTING

Urine drug-screening was carried out randomly two times per week. To minimise the risks of manipulation of the urine sample, the fresh urine was checked on temperature using the Franklin collector [17].

ECG-Recordings

Surface resting ECG recordings were performed in a standard 12-lead manner at the beginning of the run-in period and in week 24 of the treatment period in all participating centres. The "week 24" ECG-recording was performed at the individual end of study, which could be after 24 weeks of randomised treatment or at the time of early discontinuation.

DATA ANALYSIS

Each ECG-recording was analysed in accordance with the CPMP-guidelines [18]. ECG-analysis was blinded for the assigned treatment groups. The following parameters were determined.

Q_Tc-interval: The QT-interval was measured in three consecutive beats in lead Einthoven II, and the arithmetic mean of these values was calculated [19]. The corrected QT-interval (QTc-interval) was then calculated using Bazett's formula (QTc = QT/SQR RR) [20, 21].

QTD: QT dispersion (QTD) is defined as the difference between the maximum and minimum QTc-interval in a 12 lead electrocardiogram. QTD values were calculated as the arithmetic mean over the three considered complexes [22].

CPMP-Classification

According to the CPMP-guidelines the following classifications are used.

Q_Tc-interval values:

|                | Adult Males | Adult Females |
|----------------|-------------|---------------|
| Normal         | ≤430 ms     | ≤450 ms       |
| Borderline     | 431-450 ms  | 451-470 ms    |
| Prolonged      | >450 ms     | >470 ms       |

Changes of QTc-interval under Medication

Difference < 30 ms: Unlikely to raise significant concerns about the potential risk of a drug induced arrhythmia including TdP (increased risk unlikely).

Difference 30-60 ms: More likely to represent a drug effect, raising concern about the potential risk of a drug to induce arrhythmias including TdP (increased risk possible).

Fig. 1. Study design. ECG recordings were done at the beginning of the run-in period and at the end of the treatment period.
Clear concerns about the potential risk of a drug to induce arrhythmias including TdP (increased risk probable).

**QTc-dispersion**

An increased risk of arrhythmia is assumed when the absolute QTc-dispersion is >100 ms or the change in QTc-dispersion is >100%.

**Statistical Analysis**

Data are given as means ± standard deviation. Continuous variables were compared before and during treatment using t-test for dependent samples. Differences between treatment groups with regard to the incidence of classes according to CPMP-classification were analysed using chi-square statistics. P-values <0.05 were considered statistically significant. Data analysis was performed with the SPSS (Version 8.0).

**Results**

84 patients were initially enrolled into the clinical trial. Only patients with complete ECG data sets were included in the data analysis. Complete data sets of two ECGs could be obtained for 53 patients (31 LAAM-group, 22 methadone-group). The average dosages of methadone or LAAM were comparable in both groups in the run-in and in the treatment phase. For patient characteristics see Table 1.

No faints, syncopes or other clinical signs of cardiac disease were observed either in the LAAM group or in the methadone group. Urine drug screening revealed continuous use of cocaine during the study in one patient with abnormal QTc intervals. Another patient with that abnormality received a tricyclic antidepressant. Both patients were in the LAAM group and had normal QTc intervals while receiving methadone in the run-in phase.

**ECG - Parametric Analysis**

Mean QTc-interval before starting study medication was 0.409 s ± 0.022 s in the LAAM group and 0.406 s ± 0.029 s in the methadone group, respectively. There were no significant differences between both groups. A significant increase in QTc-interval in the LAAM group was observed after 24 weeks or at the endpoint of individual study treatment, respectively (0.409 s ± 0.022 s at run-in versus 0.418 s ± 0.028 s at 24 weeks, p = 0.046). In contrast, no significant change occurred in the methadone group (0.406 s ± 0.029 s at run-in versus 0.405 s ± 0.025 s at 24 weeks) (Fig. 2).

---

**Table 1.** Patient characteristics in both study groups and average dosages during run-in phase and treatment phase in both groups.

| Treatment Group | Methadone (METH) | LAAM |
|-----------------|------------------|------|
| Total number (n) | 22               | 31   |
| Gender          | 12 F / 10 M      | 11 F / 20 M |
| Age (avg)       | 31.0 yrs         | 27.9 yrs |
| Average dosage during run-in period (METH in both groups) | 72.0 ± 25.5 mg daily | 73.5 ± 25.7 mg daily |
| Average dosage during treatment phase | 69 ± 27.6 mg METH | Mon & Wed | Fri |
|                 |                  | 89.6 ± 32.4 mg LAAM | 109.7 ± 39.5 mg LAAM |
|                 |                  | equiv. to 68.9 ± 24.9 mg METH* | equiv. to 68.6 ± 24.7 mg METH* |

*For better comparability, METH equivalents are shown in the LAAM groups assuming a factor of 1.3 (Monday & Wednesday) and 1.6 (Friday).
QT-dispersion before starting study medication was 0.040 s ± 0.013 s in the LAAM group and 0.046 s ± 0.015 s in the methadone group. There were no significant differences between both groups. There was a non-significant increase in QT-dispersion in the LAAM group (0.045 ± 0.016 s; p = 0.19) at 24 weeks and a non-significant decrease in the methadone group at 24 weeks (0.042 s ± 0.011 s) (Fig. 3).

**ECG - Non-parametric Analysis**

Patients were classified according to the CPMP-classification. Before randomisation in the LAAM-group, 2 patients had borderline QTc-intervals and 1 patient had prolonged QTc-intervals. After 24 weeks of medication with LAAM, 4 patients showed borderline QTc-intervals and 3 patients showed prolonged QTc-intervals. Therefore, when considering both borderline and prolonged QTc-intervals as abnormal, 3 patients showed abnormal QTc-intervals before randomisation and 7 patients showed abnormal QTc-intervals after 24 weeks of LAAM treatment. In the methadone group 1 patient showed borderline prolongation and 1 patient showed prolonged QTc-intervals before randomisation. After 24 weeks of methadone treatment 1 case of borderline prolongation and no case of prolonged QTc-intervals could be observed. Taking borderline and prolonged QTc-intervals together, more patients with QTc-prolongation were observed in the LAAM treatment group than in the methadone treatment group (n = 7 vs. n = 1), however this did not reach statistical significance (p = 0.1). According to the CPMP-guidelines, 2 patients in the LAAM group showed an increase in QTc-interval between 30 and 60 ms and 2 patients > 60 ms after a treatment period of 24 weeks or at the endpoint of individual study treatment, respectively. In the methadone group 1 patient showed an increase in QTc-interval between 30 and 60 ms. The difference between both groups was not significant.

**DISCUSSION**

Methadone, introduced in the late 1960s, and LAAM, approved in 1993 by the FDA as maintenance drug, are two full µ-opioid agonists. Clinical studies suggest that LAAM is at least as efficient for substitution treatment as methadone. In addition, LAAM provides the option of less-than-daily doses which is made possible by the long half-lives of its two active metabolites. However, reports on potential proarrhythmic side-effects of LAAM raised concerns about its role as therapy for opiate dependence. Thus the European Medicines Agency (EMEA) recommended to suspend the marketing authorization for LAAM in 2001, and the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) required the addition of a “black box” warning on the LAAM label.

Prompted by recent reports on potential advantages of LAAM with respect to promoting reduction of opiate use, the need of a re-evaluation of LAAM has been demanded [13, 24, 25]. Thus the aim of the present study was to compare specific ECG changes in patients on LAAM and methadone substitution, the current standard in opioid substitution. The main finding of the present randomized study in opioid addicts is that switching from methadone to LAAM treatment increases the mean QTc-interval and the number of individual patients with abnormal QTc-interval compared with patients continuously on racemic methadone.

To our knowledge there is only one randomized study by Wedam et al. [26] examining the effect of methadone, LAAM and buprenorphine on QT-intervals. They found that the incidence of prolonged QTc-intervals were considerably higher with LAAM and methadone than with buprenorphine. LAAM showed a tendency towards enhanced absolute QTc-values and an increase under medication as compared to methadone, what is in concordance with our findings.

There are several studies investigating QTc-prolongation and cardiac arrhythmia in patients on methadone [9, 10, 27, 28]. Generally, QTc-interval is increased as compared with patients taking heroin [29] or with a reference group with the same age and sex [30]. Clinical complications and arrhythmias under methadone medication have predominantly been observed under high dosages (markedly more than 100 mg per day) [28], whereas mean daily methadone dosage was 69 mg in our study. As in the present study patients were on methadone during the run-in period, the rise in QTc-intervals and the increase of patients with prolonged QTc-intervals under LAAM medication might point to a higher pro-arrhythmic impact of LAAM when taking QTc-interval as a surrogate marker for arrhythmic risk.

In several clinical and epidemiological studies the reliability of the QT-interval measurements in the lead Einthoven II has been validated [31]. A prolongation of the QTc-interval is associated with an increased risk of
malignant ventricular arrhythmias [15], mainly in patients with prior cardiovascular disease [32]. However, a direct cause-effect relation between a prolonged QTc-interval and the occurrence of ventricular arrhythmias has not been established [33]. In addition to the drug induced change of mean values of QTc-intervals in a population, the effect of the drug on QTc-intervals in individual patients has to be assessed. The evaluation of individual cases is of special interest as there is a particular predisposition for the occurrence of torsades de pointes tachycardias in certain individuals [34]. On the basis of observational studies it has been speculated that ion channel single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) may be partially responsible for this susceptibility [35]. Thus if a patient develops a marked prolongation of the QTc-interval, this must be considered as a significant and potentially serious drug effect which might be more important than a slight increase in mean values described in a sample of patients [36].

Given our results and the literature, there should be a careful weighing up of the pros and cons regarding the availability of LAAM as maintenance drug in the EU. On the one hand, LAAM is a maintenance drug of proven efficacy and with some advantages compared to methadone. On the other hand, there is an increased risk of cardiac complications. We agree with Stimmel [37] that the known cardiac risk should lead to the improvement of cardiac monitoring, but not to a principal withdrawal of LAAM from the market. Known risk factors for cardiac side effects under LAAM are previous cardiovascular disease, excess doses of LAAM, medication inhibiting the P450-3A4-system, and medication lowering serum potassium or magnesium. Taking these factors into account, Stimmel [37] recommended a baseline ECG in all patients prior to LAAM treatment with a subsequent exclusion of patients with prolonged QTc-interval. Additional ECGs should be performed two weeks after onset of LAAM treatment and after every substantial change of dosage. Eventually, in individual cases there might be the dilemma between the risk of continuous heroin use and the risk of cardiac complications under methadone or LAAM treatment [38].

LIMITATION

84 subjects were initially enrolled in the study and 53 complete ECG data sets could be obtained. This discrepancy was due to lack of compliance with study procedures, e.g. drop-out from study treatment. It reflects the difficulties in conducting clinical studies in this patient group and has also been reported from other studies [39].

QTc-intervals and QT-dispersion represents only surrogate markers for an increased risk for arrhythmias. The present study is not powered to evaluate hard endpoints as sudden cardiac death. Notwithstanding QTc-interval is the best surrogate marker for TdP at the moment.

CONCLUSION

In the present study we could show that an indicator for an increased risk for cardiac arrhythmia was significantly more pronounced in patients after switching from methadone to LAAM compared with patients remaining on methadone. This suggests that LAAM might have a higher potential to induce cardiac arrhythmias than does methadone in comparable dosages, however, no clinical cardiac symptoms were reported in either group. In sum, in patients with known cardiac risk factors, methadone might be preferable to LAAM, but the statement of Jaffe [14] should be seriously considered that it would be beneficial for opiate addicted patients if LAAM were available as a maintenance drug.

Statement of Interest: The study was supported by Hexal (Germany).
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