Detection of the ellipsoidal and the relativistic beaming effects in the CoRoT-3 lightcurve
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ABSTRACT

CoRoT-3b is a 22 Jupiter-mass massive-planet/brown-dwarf object, orbiting an F3-star with a period of 4.3 days. We analyzed the out-of-transit CoRoT-3 red-channel lightcurve obtained by the CoRoT mission and detected the ellipsoidal modulation, with half the orbital period and an amplitude of 59 ± 9 ppm (parts per million), and the relativistic beaming effect, with the orbital period and an amplitude of 27 ± 9 ppm. Phases and amplitudes of both modulations are consistent with our theoretical approximation.
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1. Introduction

Close binary stellar systems display two well-known periodic photometric modulations — the ellipsoidal effect, due to the distortion of each component by the gravity of its companion (see a review by Mazeh 2008), and the reflection/heating effect (referred to here as the reflection effect), induced by the luminosity of each star, which affects only the close side of its companion (e.g., For et al. 2010). These two effects can be observed even for non-eclipsing binaries, but are much easier to study in eclipsing binaries, where the binarity of the system and the phases of the orbital motion are well known from the observations of the eclipses. Most algorithms that analyze lightcurves of eclipsing binaries, such as EBOP (Etzel 1981), Popper and Etzel [1981] and its derivative EBAS (Tamuz, Mazeh & North 2008), WD (Wilson & Devinney 1971), and ELC (Orosz & Hauschildt 2000), include by default these two effects in their model of the out-of-eclipse lightcurve.

A much smaller and less studied photometric modulation is the relativistic beaming effect, sometimes also called Doppler boosting, induced by the stellar motion relative to the observer — $V_{\text{rel}}$, whose amplitude is on the order of $V_{\text{rel}}/c$, where $c$ is the velocity of light. Before the era of space photometry this effect has been noticed only once, by Maxted, March & North (2000), who observed KPD 1930+2752, a binary with a very short period, of little longer than 2 hours, and a radial-velocity amplitude of 350 km/s. The beaming effect of that system, which should be on the order of $10^{-3}$, was hardly seen in the photometric data.

Space photometry, which was developed to detect the minute transits of exoplanets, has substantially improved the precision of the produced lightcurves. The CoRoT (Rouan et al. 1998, Baglin et al. 2006, Auvergne et al. 2009) and Kepler (Borucki et al. 2010, Koch et al. 2010) missions are producing hundreds of thousands of continuous photometric lightcurves with timespan of tens and hundreds of days, with precision that can reach as high as $10^{-3}$–$10^{-4}$ per measurement. It was therefore anticipated that CoRoT and Kepler should detect all three effects (e.g., Drake 2003), in particular the beaming effect for both planets (Loeb & Gaudi 2003) and eclipsing binaries (Zucker, Mazeh & Alexander 2007).

As predicted, van Kerkwijk et al. (2010) detected in the Kepler lightcurve the ellipsoidal and the beaming effect of two eclipsing binaries, KOI 74 and KOI 81 (Rowe 2010). They used the radial-velocity photometric beaming effect to derive the mass of the secondary in the two systems and showed that in both cases it was probably a white dwarf. Welsh et al. (2010) identified the ellipsoidal effect in the Kepler data of HAT-P-7, a system with a known planet of 1.8 Jupiter masses ($=M_{\text{Jup}}$) and a period of 2.2 days (Pal et al. 2008, Snellen et al. 2009) detected in the CoRoT data the reflection effect of CoRoT-1.

In this paper, we report the detection of the ellipsoidal and the beaming effects of CoRoT-3, induced by its massive-planet/brown-dwarf companion. CoRoT-3b (Deleuil et al. 2008) is a 22 Jupiter-mass object, orbiting an F3-star with a period of 4.26 days. The stellar rotation is probably synchronized with the orbital period. We analyzed the CoRoT-3 out-of-transit red-channel lightcurve and detected two modulations, one with the orbital period and the other with its first harmonic. We attributed the two modulations to the beaming and the ellipsoidal effects, respectively, as their phases and amplitudes were consistent with our order-of-magnitude approximation. Sect. 2 presents our data analysis, Sect. 3 compares our findings with theoretical approximations, and Sect. 4 summarizes our results.

2. Data analysis

CoRoT-3 was discovered (Deleuil et al. 2008) in the data obtained during the first long run of the CoRoT mission — LRe01, which lasted for 152.012 d, from May 26 until October 25, 2007 (for details about this run see Cabrera et al. 2009). The optics of the mission include a bi-prism that disperses the stellar light into three channels, red, green, and blue, the sum of which is called the white channel. For bright stars, including CoRoT-3, the light intensity coming through each of the three channels is available. We used the so-called N2 data level (Baudin et al. 2006) of CoRoT-3, which is now public.
As the modulations we searched for were quite small, we had to prepare and clean the data before searching for any periodic effect. We decided to concentrate on the red-channel data, because this channel included most of the stellar light detected by CoRoT, and the other two channels only added noise to the data (see below). Snellen et al. (2009) adopted a similar strategy when analyzing the lightcurve of CoRoT-1. This section describes how we ‘cleaned’ the data, removed the long-term variation, and searched for the periodic modulation with the orbital period and its harmonics.

2.1. Cleaning the lightcurve

The ‘cleaning’ of the CoRoT-3 lightcurve had the following stages:

- Rebinning: Corot-3b was detected before the CoRoT run was completed and therefore the cadence of the observations was changed during the run — the first part of the lightcurve is composed of 512 s exposures, while the later part contains 32 s exposures. Since we were interested in modulations with periods equal to or longer than half the orbital period, at about 2.1 d, the entire light curve was re-binned into 512 s bins. Altogether, we derived 22,072 valid measurements.
- Removing transits: 1165 measurements taken during the transits of CoRoT-3 were removed from the analysis.
- Jump removal: One ‘jump’, at CoRoT HJD of 2746.99, probably caused by a ‘hot pixel’ event, was identified and corrected. The counts after the jump were adopted to the stellar remaining data.
- Outlier removal: We identified 106 outliers by calculating the absolute deviation for each measurement, and removing any measurement that differed by 4σ or more from their corresponding median. We were left with 20,801 data points.

2.2. Long-term detrending with a cosine filter

The CoRoT-3 lightcurve clearly contained a long-term variation, as can be seen in Fig. 1, where we plot the relative red-channel flux, after subtracting and dividing the original flux by its median.

To remove this trend we used a discrete cosine transform (Ahmed et al. 1974), adopted to the unevenly spaced data we had in hand. We fitted the data with a linear combination of the first N low-frequency cosine functions

\[ f_i(t_j) = \cos(\frac{2\pi}{2T} \times t_j) ; \quad i = 0, N \] ,

where \( N = \text{Round} \left( \frac{2T}{4P_{\text{orb}}} \right) \)

\[ T = 152.012 \text{ d} \] is the timespan of the observations, \( P_{\text{orb}} = 4.2568 \text{ d} \) is the orbital period of CoRoT-3, and \( t_j \) is the timing of the \( j \)-th measurement. The fitting finds the linear coefficients \( a_i \) for each of the cosine functions, so that the fitted model is

\[ M(t_j) = \sum a_i f_i(t_j) \] .

We then subtracted the model \( M(t_j) \) from the lightcurve.

The general idea was to perform a high-pass filter, so we removed all the low-frequency cosine components of the lightcurve without altering the periodic modulation of the orbital period. In a similar manner we also removed the satellite and earth frequencies, which appeared in the N2 data (e.g., Mazeh et al. 2009).

2.3. Fitting the amplitudes of the ellipsoidal, beaming, and reflection effects

We finally proceeded to fit a model that includes the ellipsoidal, beaming and reflection effects. We approximated each of the three effects using pure sine/cosine functions, relative to the middle of the transit, \( t_{\text{tran}} \), denoted as phase zero. The reflection and the beaming effects were approximated by sine and cosine functions, respectively, with the orbital period, and the ellipsoidal effect by a cosine function with half the orbital period (see next section). In this approximation, we expressed the stellar flux modulation \( \Delta F \) as a function of the averaged flux \( \bar{F} \), and a function of \( t \equiv t - t_{\text{tran}} \):

\[ \frac{\Delta F_{\text{ellip}}(t)}{F} = -A_{\text{ellip}} \cos \left( \frac{2\pi}{P_{\text{orb}}/2} t \right) \] ,

\[ \frac{\Delta F_{\text{beam}}(t)}{F} = A_{\text{beam}} \sin \left( \frac{2\pi}{P_{\text{orb}}} t \right) \] ,

\[ \frac{\Delta F_{\text{refl}}(t)}{F} = -A_{\text{refl}} \cos \left( \frac{2\pi}{P_{\text{orb}}} t \right) \] ,

where the coefficients, \( A_{\text{ellip}}, A_{\text{beam}}, \) and \( A_{\text{refl}} \) are all positive.

We therefore fitted the cleaned, detrended lightcurve of CoRoT-3 with a 5-parameter model, \( M_{\text{ff}} \), consisting of two frequencies

\[ M_{\text{ff}}(t_j) = a_0 + a_{1c} \cos \left( \frac{2\pi}{P_{\text{orb}}/2} t_j \right) + a_{1s} \sin \left( \frac{2\pi}{P_{\text{orb}}/2} t_j \right) + a_{2s} \cos \left( \frac{2\pi}{P_{\text{orb}}} t_j \right) + a_{2c} \]

as performed by Sirko & Paczyński (2003). The fitting process could find any value, positive or negative, for the five parameters. However, we did expect \( a_{1c} \) to represent the beaming effect and therefore be positive, \( a_{1s} \) to represent the reflection effect and therefore be negative, \( a_{2s} \) to represent the ellipsoidal effect and therefore be negative, and \( a_{2c} \) to be close to zero. The last expectation is important because it ensures that the ellipsoidal modulation is detected with the correct phase. The \( a_0 \) parameter was introduced to remove any DC component left in the data. Obviously, the expected absolute values of the three coefficients depended on the parameters of the CoRoT-3 system. Thus, after the analysis was performed we were able to verify our results by comparing them with the expected values.

The results of the fitting are given in Table 1 (with some order-of-magnitude theoretical expectations; see next section) and plotted in Fig. 2. In the figure one can easily discern the ellipsoidal modulation, with half the orbital period, and the beaming effect, which causes the difference between the two peaks. One indication of the consistency of our results with the expected modulations is the correct sign of the three first coefficients and that the fourth coefficient is smaller than the third one by at least one order of magnitude. This suggests a close
CoRoT–3 red-channel lightcurve

Fig. 1. The lightcurve of CoRoT-3, before (blue) and after (red) detrending. The long-term model is presented by the wide line. Time is the CoRoT time = BJD - 2451545.0. Flux is relative to the mean red-channel flux. The detrended lightcurve is shifted by 0.02.

Table 1. The fitted coefficients and the theoretical expected amplitudes of the three effects of CoRoT-3

| Coefficient | Derived value (ppm) | Expected amplitude (ppm) | Effect |
|-------------|---------------------|--------------------------|--------|
| \(a_{1c}\)   | 14 ± 9              | \(\alpha_{refl}(7.2 ± 0.3)\) | Reflection |
| \(a_{1s}\)   | 27 ± 9              | \(\alpha_{beam}(29 ± 0.5)\) | Beaming |
| \(a_{2c}\)   | 59 ± 9              | \(\alpha_{ellip}(32 ± 5)\) | Ellipsoidal |
| \(a_{2s}\)   | 0.1 ± 9             | —                        | —      |

agreement between the orbital phase of the ellipsoidal modulation and that of the transit. We note that the errors in the four coefficients are all 9 ppm, or somewhat smaller than \(10^{-5}\) in relative flux. This precision does not allow a significant detection of the reflection modulation. However, the detection of both the ellipsoidal and the beaming effect is highly significant. A bootstrap test indicates that the probability of detecting the beaming modulation by chance is \(2 \times 10^{-4}\).

3. Theoretical approximation

This section presents our theoretical approximations of the ellipsoidal, beaming, and the reflection effects. We are not interested in detailed calculations, which depend on specific models. For example, to calculate the ellipsoidal modulation one could integrate the light originating from the individual surface elements of the rotating star, which is slightly deformed by the tidal force induced by its small companion (e.g., Orosz & Hauschildt 2000). Such an analysis was carefully performed very recently to model the Kepler lightcurve of HAT-P-7 (Welsh et al. 2010). Instead, we are interested here only in order-of-magnitude approximation, so we can check the consistency of the detected amplitudes with the theory. All our approximations are evaluated for an inclination angle \(i\) close to 90 deg, so we could ignore the \(\sin i\) dependence of the three effects.

3.1. Ellipsoidal effect

To derive the order-of-magnitude of the ellipsoidal effect we used the analytical approximation of Morris & Naftilan (1993), who used the Kopali (1959) expansion of the periodic variation into discrete Fourier series with terms that depend on the ratio \(R_*/a\), where \(R_*\) is the stellar radius and \(a\) is the semi-major axis of the orbit (see also Pfahl et al. 2008). Assuming \(R_*/a\) is small, the leading term of the stellar variation has a semi-amplitude of

\[
A_{ellip} \approx \alpha_{ellip} \frac{m_p}{M_*} \left(\frac{R_*}{a}\right)^3,
\]

where

\[
\alpha_{ellip} = 0.15 \frac{(15 + u)(1 + g)}{3 - u}
\]

is of order unity. In the above expression, \(m_p\) is the planetary mass, \(M_*\) is the stellar mass, \(g\) is the stellar gravity darkening coefficient, and \(u\) is its limb-darkening coefficient (e.g., Mazeh 2008).

3.2. Beaming effect

For a circular orbit, the amplitude of the beaming effect can be written as

\[
A_{beam} = \alpha_{beam} 4 \frac{K_r}{c},
\]

where \(K_r\) is the stellar radial-velocity amplitude and \(c\) is the speed of light (Loeb & Gaudi 2003; Zucker et al. 2007), and \(\alpha_{beam}\) is of order unity. The factor \(4K_r/c\) represents the beaming effect for bolometric photometric observations, but ignores the Doppler shift photometric effect, which appears when the photometric observations are made in a specific bandpass, so that some of the stellar light is shifted out of or into the observed bandpass. The latter is accounted for by the \(\alpha_{beam}\) factor, and we assume that for the CoRoT red bandpass it is of order unity.
3.3. Reflection effect

In our simplistic approximation we include in the reflection modulation the thermal emission from the dayside of CoRoT-3b, assuming both are modulated with the same phase (e.g., Snellen et al. 2009). The amplitude of the modulation of the reflected light alone is

\[ A_{\text{refl}} = p_{\text{geo}} \left( \frac{r_p}{a} \right)^2, \]  

(10)

where \( r_p \) is the planetary radius and \( p_{\text{geo}} \) is the geometrical albedo (e.g., Rowe et al. 2008). Rowe et al. (2008) found quite a small albedo, of 0.03, for HD 209458, but recent study (Cowan & Agol 2009) suggested that exoplanets may have a much larger albedo, of up to 0.5. We therefore write the amplitude of the reflection effect, including the thermal emission, as

\[ A_{\text{refl}} = \alpha_{\text{refl}} 0.1 \left( \frac{r_p}{a} \right)^2, \]  

(11)

where \( \alpha_{\text{refl}} \) is of order unity.

3.4. Application to CoRoT-3

Table 2 presents the relevant parameters of CoRoT-3. The first four parameters were derived by Deleuil et al. (2008), while the last parameter, the radial-velocity amplitude, was deduced by Triaud et al. (2009).

| Parameter   | Derived value | Unit  |
|-------------|----------------|-------|
| \( a/R_\ast \) | 7.8 ± 0.4 |     |
| \( r_p/R_\ast \) | (663 ± 9) \times 10^{-4} | M_{\text{Jup}} |
| \( m_p \) | 21.7 ± 1.0 | M_{\text{Jup}} |
| \( M_\ast \) | 1.37 ± 0.09 | M_{\odot} |
| \( T_\ast \) | 6740 ± 140 K | deg |
| \( K_r \) | 2170 ± 30 | m s^{-1} |

We emphasize that in each of the three theoretical amplitudes given in Table 1 the main source of uncertainty is hidden in the \( \alpha \) factor, which we did not calculate. The numerical values, with their relatively small errors, are only order-of-magnitude approximations for inclination angles close to 90 deg.

The amplitudes derived from the cleaned lightcurve of CoRoT-3, as shown in Table 1, are of the same order of magnitude as the expected values, based on our simplistic approximation. This is true in particular for the beaming effect, where the theoretical approximation was found to be quite accurate. We therefore propose that we have detected the ellipsoidal and beaming effects of CoRoT-3. Our results suggest that the \( \alpha_{\text{ellip}} \) factor in CoRoT-3 is on the order of 2. The reflection effect was too small to ensure a significant detection, given the SNR of the lightcurve.

4. Discussion

Our analysis has demonstrated that the red-channel lightcurve of CoRoT-3 includes the ellipsoidal and beaming effects. This is the first time that the beaming effect has been detected for substellar companion. We have been able to detect the two effects, with 59 and 27 ppm amplitudes, respectively, because of a combination of three features:

- CoRoT-3 brightness: With \( r'-\text{mag} \) of 13.1, the star is among the brightest CoRoT targets, which are typically in the range of 11 to 16 in \( r' \) (Deleuil et al. 2008).
- The long observational run: The LRc01 lasted for 152 days, and the CCDs did not show yet any aging signals.
- The mass of CoRoT-3b: This massive-planet/brown-dwarf companion has one of the largest masses, 22 M_{\text{Jup}}, discovered by CoRoT for substellar objects.

The last feature suggests that the stellar rotation has achieved synchronization with the orbital period of 4.3 days, without which the analysis of the ellipsoidal effect could have been more complicated.
Had this analysis been performed immediately after the discovery of the transits of CoRoT-3, and in particular before the radial-velocity confirmation of the planetary nature of the transiting object, we could have estimated the mass of the unseen object from the observed amplitudes of the ellipsoidal and beaming effects, provided we had been able to accurately derive their expected values. Such analysis could, in principle, save costly radial-velocity observations, or at least reduce to a minimum the number of observed velocities needed to confirm the substellar mass of the transiting object.

Obviously, the analysis of lightcurves obtained by space missions is dramatically different from those obtained by ground-based photometry. For the latter, the appearance of the ellipsoidal modulation in the data of transit candidates was considered a sign that the transiting object was of stellar nature, as suggested by Sirko & Paczyński (2003), and applied, for example, by Kane et al. (2008) and Pietrukowicz et al. (2010). This is so because of the relatively high threshold of detection of the ellipsoidal modulation in the ground-based photometry. On the other hand, the present work, and the study of Welsh et al. (2010), suggest that the detection of the ellipsoidal modulation with a small amplitude in the CoRoT and Kepler data may indicate that the transiting object is a massive-planet/brown-dwarf object.

The present analysis suggests that, in principle, the three effects, or at least two of them, can be detected in the CoRoT lightcurves for some massive-planet/brown-dwarf objects, even without any transits, as suggested by Loeb & Gaudi (2003) and Zucker et al. (2007). The effects can be stronger for systems with shorter orbital periods, and therefore can be detected in stars fainter than CoRoT-3 in the CoRoT fields. Many objects similar to CoRoT-3 should also be detected by Kepler, because of both higher SNR and longer timespan of its lightcurves.
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