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Objective: To evaluate the clinical curative effect of Ilizarov external fixation and ankle arthrodesis in the treatment of elderly traumatic ankle arthritis.

Methods: From June 2013 to August 2019, 72 patients with elderly traumatic ankle arthritis were treated with arthrodesis through Ilizarov external fixation technique in our institution. Conventional double-feet standing X-ray films were taken before and after operation. The tibiotalar angle on X-ray image was measured to evaluate the degree of talipes varus and valgus. The Foot and Ankle pain score of American Orthopaedics Foot and Ankle Society (AOFAS) and Visual Analog Scale (VAS) were compared by using paired t-test to evaluate the functional recovery.

Results: All of the patients acquired effective postoperative 18–49 months follow-up, with an average of 31.5 months. All patients were included in the analysis, among which 38 cases were males and 34 cases were females, with an average of 65.4 years (ranging from 60 to 74). All ankles achieved bony fusion; the clinical healing time was 12.7 weeks on average (11–18 weeks). The AOFAS score was 45.36 ± 6.43 preoperatively and 80.25 ± 9.16 at 12 months post-operation, with a statistically significant difference (p < 0.0001). The VAS score was 8.56 ± 1.85 on average preoperatively and 2.72 ± 0.83 at 12 months post-operation, with a statistically significant difference (p < 0.0001). The tibiotalar angle was 101.93° ± 4.12° preoperatively and 94.45° ± 2.37° at 12 months post-operation, with a statistically significant difference (p < 0.0001). The results of the functional evaluation indicated that 44 patients (61.1%) had excellent results, 18 (25%) had good results, and 10 (13.9%) had fair results.

Conclusion: Our study demonstrated that it is possible to obtain satisfactory outcome with Ilizarov external fixation and ankle arthrodesis in the treatment of elderly traumatic ankle arthritis.
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Introduction
Traumatic ankle osteoarthritis is characterized by a series of pathological changes, including ankle joint cartilage degeneration, intra-articular inflammation, secondary hyperplasia, and ossification. In recent years, with the aging of the society and the extension of the life span of the population, the research on the prevention and treatment of degenerative diseases in elderly patients has become a multidisciplinary and active research topic. Elderly traumatic ankle arthritis brings about disadvantages to routine activities and quality of life, such as pain and activity restriction. Epidemiological studies have shown that the causes of ankle joint osteoarthritis include peripheral fractures of the ankle (62%), ligament injury (16%), secondary reasons (13%) such as rheumatoid arthritis, hemophilia, and osteonecrosis, as well as the primary lesions (9%). Compared to other lower limbs arthritis, ankle osteoarthritis arises at a younger age and progresses faster, developing into end-stage within 10–20 years after the initial injury.

Since elderly traumatic ankle arthritis brings much inconvenience to patients’ daily lives, surgical treatment is usually demanding and challenging. Although the implant materials are experiencing continuous development, total ankle arthroplasty is still limited to patients with low activity requirements, patients with lightweight, approximately typical bony structure, and fine bone and soft tissue condition.
Ankle arthrodesis is deemed as the gold standard in the management of end-stage ankle arthritis. As a limb-sparing operation, ankle arthrodesis is a crucial alternative in patients with severe ankle pathologic conditions that may warrant a below-knee amputation. More than 40 different surgical techniques have been described to achieve ankle arthrodesis, such as plate fixation, screw fixation, Ilizarov external fixation, Taylor external fixation, and arthroscopic ankle arthrodesis, etc. Despite the many technologies proposed for ankle arthrodesis, it is frequently associated with comorbidities including hindfoot arthritis, delayed or non-union, tibial stress fractures, infection, and compromised wound healing, which can subsequently result in a prolonged period of hospitalization and rehabilitation.

The application of circumferential fixation for ankle arthrodesis was first introduced by Ilizarov. The rationale of using the Ilizarov circular frame is to provide dynamic axial compression and fixed angle fixation, the percutaneous technique is reasonably helpful in case of chronic deformity and poor skin conditions and to avoid using internal fixation materials in case of infection. The Ilizarov method has been proved useful in many complicated issues and contributes a favorable effect on improving the patient quality of life. However, reports about the effects of arthrodesis through Ilizarov external fixation on elderly traumatic ankle arthritis are still lacking. Thus, the present study aimed to retrospectively analyze the data on arthrodesis through Ilizarov external fixation in the treatment of elderly traumatic ankle arthritis in our institution to: (i) evaluate the feasibility and application prospects of Ilizarov external fixation for elderly traumatic ankle arthritis; (ii) summarize the advantages of this technique in terms of motor function promotion, pain alleviation, and deformity correction; (iii) analyze the complications and prevention measures, providing a reference for future management of elderly traumatic ankle arthritis through Ilizarov external fixation.

Patients and Methods

Patient Characteristics
From June 2013 to August 2019, 72 patients with elderly traumatic ankle arthritis were treated with arthrodesis through Ilizarov external fixation technique in our institution. Conventional double-feet standing X-ray films were taken before and after operation. This study was carried out in the orthopaedics trauma center in our institution, which was mandated by the ethics committee of the hospital (Ethics Code: 2021 Review 251). The imaging materials and original medical records of these elderly traumatic ankle arthritis patients were inspected by two senior orthopaedic surgeons who took no part in the surgery. The inclusion criteria complied with the PICOS principle. Patients included were: (i) diagnosed with end-stage traumatic ankle arthritis; (ii) conservative treatment was ineffective for more than a year; (iii) over 60 years old; (iv) unilateral ankle affected. Exclusion criteria included: (i) bilateral lesions; (ii) younger than 60 years; (iii) severe systemic illness; (iv) current acute ankle infections.

Operative Technique

Anesthesia and Position
The operation was performed under general anesthesia. After anesthesia, the patient was set in a horizontal position, with the hip of the affected side being blocked up.

Approach and Exposure
Through a 12-cm midline longitudinal incision in the anterior lower part of the shank and along the talus, the skin, subcutaneous tissue, and the fascia were cut through layer by layer. The joint capsule was incised between the extensor hallucis longus tendon and the extensor digitorum longus tendon, the ankle was exposed (Fig. 1A), and the joint surface was cleaned.

Pathological Changes and Resection
Articular cartilage and sclerosis subchondral bone were debrided with an osteotome, chisel, and curet until a large amount of fresh blood oozed from the bone surface, then rinsed repeatedly with saline water (Figure Fig. 1B). A 4 × 3 × 1 cm bone block was harvested using osteotome at the distal tibia (Figure Fig. 1C), rinsed repeatedly with saline water; the bleeding was closed with bone wax.

Fixation and Reconstruction
The Ilizarov circular frame was assembled step by step from the tibia to the ankle and then to the foot. The gyration center of ankle was connected to the gyration center of the Ilizarov circular frame, and the lower leg was placed in the central part of the circular frame, a Kirschner wire was then drilled through distal end of tibia. Two Kirschner wires were drilled crosswise through the lower middle tibia to secure the near-end framework; following the adjustment of the gyration center, a Kirschner wire was drilled across the middle inferior part of metatarsal 1–5 in an inside-out order. The foot fulcrum ring was fixed from the inside out perpendicular to the heel bone with a Kirschner wire. Two semi-wires were placed behind the heel bone avoiding the tendon calcaneus, and a Kirschner wire was placed medial to the first metatarsal (Figure Fig. 1D). The separated autogenous bone was squashed and filled into the ankle space, then covered using a gelfoam. The Ilizarov circular frame was fixed at 90° of the ankle joint after pressing.

Postoperative Treatment
Physical therapy, wound care, and disinfection of pin tracts were regularly exerted after the operation. The nuts of hinges were carefully adjusted when the local swelling of the lower extremity subsided. The skin color, sensation, and tension of limbs were observed closely during the process of treatment. Patients were encouraged to take early weight-bearing walks.
Radiographic Evaluation
Radiographic examination was performed to evaluate the bony fusion and deformity correction. The angle between the tibia anatomic axis and the line segment of inside and outside of talus vertex was measured and defined as tibiotalar angle (TA).

Functional Evaluation
Postoperative follow-up and physical examination were conducted by two senior clinical investigators of our group. Patients were explicitly inquired about their overall feeling with the external frames, restrictions of daily activities, ankle condition, postoperative complications, and other follow-up treatment. Visual analog scale (VAS) scale and American Orthopaedic Foot and Ankle Society (AOFAS) scale were adopted to evaluate the functional recovery. The AOFAS score system includes nine aspects: pain, maximum walking distance, function, walking surfaces, sagittal motion, gait abnormality, ankle hindfoot stability, hindfoot motion, and alignment. The VAS was tested through a 10-cm line with 0 on the left indicating “painless” while 10 on the right indicating “the most pain.” Functional recovery was divided into four classes: excellent, no pain, limping or restrictions of daily activities, with X-ray film confirmed bony fusion; Good, slight pain, occasional claudication and movement are slightly limited, with X-ray film confirmed bony fusion; Fair, moderate pain, limping and activity limitations, with X-ray film confirmed bony fusion; Poor, pain obviously, with failed bony fusion.

Statistical Analysis
Statistical analyses were carried out via Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS 19.0, IBM, NYC, USA). All data were expressed as the mean value ± standard deviation (SD). The TA, AOFAS score, and VAS score were compared by using paired t-test, in which a p value of less than 0.05 was deemed statistically significant.

Results
General Results
The average operation time of 72 patients was 139.76 ± 17.34 min (range, 121–164 min). All of the patients acquired effective postoperative 18–49 months follow-up, with an average of 31.5 months. All patients were included in the analysis, among which 38 cases were males and 34 cases were females, with an average of 65.4 years (ranging from 60 to 74, Table 1). Thirty-three cases were on the left side, 39 cases were on the right side. The body mass index (BMI) was 24.9 ± 3.9 kg/m². The duration of symptoms was 11.5 years (ranging from 6 to 38 years). Major comorbidities included smoking history (41, 56.9%), diabetes mellitus (23, 31.9%), osteomyelitis (19, 26.4%), rheumatoid arthritis (11, 15.3%), lower limb discrepancy (9, 12.5%), and peripheral vascular disease (6, 8.3%).
Radiographic Improvement
All ankles achieved bony fusion; the clinical healing time was 12.7 weeks on average (11–18 weeks). Typical cases are shown in Figures Fig. 2 and Fig. 3. The TA was 101.93° ± 4.12° preoperatively and 94.45° ± 2.37° at 12 months post-operation, with a statistically significant difference (p < 0.0001).

Functional Evaluation
The VAS score was 8.56 ± 1.85 on average preoperatively and 2.72 ± 0.83 at 12 months post-operation, with a statistically significant difference (p < 0.0001, Table 2). The AOFAS score was 45.36 ± 6.43 preoperatively and 80.25 ± 9.16 at 12 months post-operation, with a statistically significant difference (p < 0.0001). The results of the functional evaluation indicated that 44 patients (61.1%) had excellent results, 18 (25%) had good results, and 10 (13.9%) had fair results.

Complications
During the observation period, no continuous bleeding appeared in the incision area and pin tracts. Nine patients (12.5%, Table 3) developed slight pin tract infection, all settled with local wound care and antibiotic treatment. The radiograph results of seven patients (9.7%) indicated anterior dislocation of the talus; the problem was fixed shortly by adjusting the structure of the external fixator and tractive reduction. Five patients (6.94%) appeared with different degrees of midfoot pain, which was solved by taking nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs).

Discussion
Our study indicated that Ilizarov external fixation and ankle arthrodesis ensures a high bony fusion rate and a satisfactory pain relief effect, corrects the deformity, and significantly improves ankle function for elderly traumatic ankle arthritis. The postoperative AOFAS scores and VAS scores were markedly improved compared with the preoperative values.

The Feasibility and Application Prospects of Ilizarov External Fixation
There are more than 40 surgery techniques reported in the works of literature, ranging from intramedullary nail to screw fixation, plate-screw fixation, and external fixation technology.3,10,19,20 Even though internal fixation is the most commonly used method for ankle arthrodesis up to now, the role of other techniques of fixation and stabilization has become increasingly apparent since complex ankle lesions have increased. Both internal and external fixation showed positive effects in obtaining reliable fixation, fusion, and reducing infection.21,22 The drawbacks and advantages of each fusion strategy should be taken into account in determining the course of treatment so as to acquire an optimal clinical effect.23

The Advantages of Ilizarov External Fixation
With a modular circular external fixator, the Ilizarov technique has demonstrated many advantages in ankle arthrodesis of complex cases, especially with comorbidities like bone defects, length discrepancies, distal tibia lesions, or the need for early ambulation with weight-bearing, etc.24,25 The characteristics of dynamic axial compression, and capacity to resist bending, torque, and shearing, enable the choice of early ambulation with weight-bearing, which makes it an ideal fixation instrument in the management of complex ankle lesions.25,26 Unlike internal fixation, Ilizarov external fixation arthrodesis technology can be used in cases where the bone and soft tissue conditions are poor, which can be used as first phase treatment in subjects with active infection.27 Continuous compression or distraction by the circular frame can provide excellent mechanical stability, which allows the surgeon to rectify the alignment and compress or distract during or after the operation.28 This provides a more desirable choice for patients deemed improper for reliable screw fixation.

Another advantage of the Ilizarov technique for ankle arthrodesis is its capacity to equilibrate the length discrepancy of lower extremities via simultaneous tibial lengthening through distraction osteogenesis.29 Distal tibia osteotomy in the process of ankle arthrodesis has also been demonstrated to help accelerate bony fusion at the contact surface.30 Apart from extremity lengthening, the theory of distraction osteogenesis can be applied to the adjustment of malalignment.31 The capability to rectify the position and alignment of the forefoot and hindfoot by modulating the circular frame when needed in the course of regeneration is a distinct advantage of the Ilizarov technique.32 Using these frames, any intraoperative errors can be addressed, early postoperative loss of position can be rectified, severe malalignment, septic arthritis, and failed fusion can be treated with a higher rate of success.33 Hoover et al.34 compared conventional crossed-screw internal fixation to double external ankle fixation; the...
latter turned out to be more rigid constraints in both torsion and bending when compared to conventional crossed-screw technique. A biomechanical study proved that cancellous bone screws provided better anti-rotation stress in human specimens with superior bone quality. In contrast, the external fixation demonstrated better anti-rotation stress in models with poorer bone quality. Moreover, the screw fixation is invalid in osteopenic models.

Management of Complications and Comorbidities
The Ilizarov technique is not without its drawbacks, including Kirschner wire breakage, incremental risk of superficial pin track infections, likely discomfort caused by circular external fixator, and so forth; with suitable peri-operative care, especially proper postoperative nursing, these conditions can be reasonably solved. Our study indicated that fair results could be acquired in these settings. If the patients are associated with comorbidities such as malignancy, significant vascular disease, diabetes, chronic hypoxia, severe scarring, malnutrition, liver or kidney failure, chronic lymphedema, immune deficiency, or long-term smoking, ankle arthrodesis would be more challenging. Being hard for bony fusion, these patients used to have no choice but to take the non-operative therapy or amputation. Ilizarov external fixation technique has been used as the last limb salvage treatment for these complex cases and achieved a pleasing effect. Kugan et al. reported 48 cases with multiple comorbidities and treated with Ilizarov external fixation technology, the ankle fusion rate was 83%, and the patients’ postoperative clinical function improved significantly, without recurrence of deep primary infection. Although a few foreseeable complications do exist, such as needle breakage and pin site infection, they can be effectively resolved if they are detected in time and treated appropriately. Ilizarov external fixation technology thus can be used as an effective alternative to amputation in such patients.
Limitations
This study has several limitations. Firstly, the relatively small sample size. However, this is a single-center study, and data are homogeneous thus eliminating the potential confounding factors. However, a multicentric study with more patients evaluated could better address the aims of the study. Secondly, this is a retrospective study. The risk factors we could analyze were restricted to the patients’ medical records.

Conclusion
To sum up, the treatment of elderly traumatic ankle arthritis is still a challenging problem; comprehensive consideration should be made based on lesion degree of ankle joint and hindfoot, comorbidities, the general condition, and relative risk factors. In addition, careful clinical and
radiographic assessments help ensure rational decision-making. A satisfactory curative effect can be obtained through Ilizarov external fixation and ankle arthrodesis in the treatment of elderly traumatic ankle arthritis. Attention should be paid to dynamic mechanical and gait analysis for patients who choose ankle arthrodesis and cohort outcomes should be compared in future studies. Future research should also focus on new types of 3D printed orthopaedic devices and nanoscale drug delivery technologies to achieve optimal therapeutic outcomes for these diseases.
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