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Inflammatory myopathies (IM) are auto-immune connective tissue diseases characterized by muscle involvement and by extramuscular manifestations. As such, pulmonary manifestations, which mainly include interstitial lung disease (ILD), often darken two out of four distinct IM, namely dermatomyositis and overlapping myositis. Being the initiation site of the disease and being the leading cause of morbidity and mortality, ILD is of major importance in this context. ILD has a heterogeneous expression among the patients, with various onset mode, various radiological pattern, various severity and finally with different prognoses, which are particularly difficult to predict at the time of IM diagnosis. Therefore, ILD is a challenging issue. Treatments are based on steroids and immunosuppressive or targeted therapies. Their respective place is yet poorly codified however and remains often based on clinician expertise. Dedicated clinical trials are lacking to date and are also difficult to build, due to difficulty of constituting large and homogeneous patient groups and to rigorously evaluate disease outcomes. Indeed, pulmonary function tests alone are being regularly defeated in IM, in which respiratory muscles are often involved. Composite scores, bringing together several lung parameters, should thus be developed and validated in the future, to better assess the disease response to treatment. This review aims to describe the current knowledge of IM immuno-pathogenesis, the clinical features associated with IM related-ILD, focusing of both severity and prognosis, and the actual therapeutic approaches.
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INTRODUCTION

Interstitial lung disease (ILD) and inflammatory myopathy (IM) are intimately (1). Diagnosing ILD in patients with IM is associated with worse morbidity and higher mortality than in patients without and therefore conditions the strength of the treatments (2).

In contrast, diagnosing autoimmune features in patients with ILD is of importance, as it confers a better prognosis than idiopathic forms: ILD with autoimmune features but without classification criteria for connective tissue diseases (CTD) as well as connective tissue disease (CTD)-related ILD have a better prognosis than idiopathic ILD (3–5).
The description of IMs has largely evolved over the past decades (6, 7). Based on clinical, immunological and histological features, five groups can be distinguished to date (Figure 1): (i) overlap myositis, which is the most common (ii) dermatomyositis, which often associates a specific skin involvement, (iii) immune mediated necrotizing myopathy (iv) sporadic inclusion body myositis and (v) polymyositis (8–11). These three latter are most of the time restricted to the muscles. The occurrence of ILD is more strongly associated with two out of five IM subtypes (Figure 1). As such, ILD commonly occurs in overlap myositis, among which the anti-synthetase syndrome (aSyS) is the most frequent and can be individualized in many ways (10–14). Other overlap disorders, with myositis-associated autoantibodies (anti-PM-Scl, anti-RNP, anti-Ku etc.) also belongs to this IM subgroup. It is considered that ¾ of the patients with aSyS present with an ILD, whereas this proportion is nearly 1/3 for the other overlap disorders (Table 1). Importantly, ILD may be associated with some phenotype of DM, especially the hypo- or amyopathic forms that are associated with anti-MDA-5 (melanoma differentiation-associated protein 5) auto-antibodies, in which its prevalence reaches up to 90% (15, 24, 25) especially in Asian populations. In association with anti-MDA-5, two distinct types of ILD may be distinguished: the rapidly progressive ILD vs. the chronic ILD. In all other cases, ILD occurs more seldom (<10% of the cases) and is most of the time non-severe (26–30).

However, classifying the patients as IM-related ILD is still difficult to date. Indeed, the EULAR-ACR classification criteria for adult and juvenile IM has just been validated, but has many limitations (8, 31, 32). Hence, these classification criteria do not take into account lung involvement and many myositis specific antibodies (MSA). Some patients could thus be misclassified, especially those that are hypo- or amyopathic. Hence, some could classify the patients with ILD, MSA and an hypo- or amyopathic disease as interstitial pneumonia with auto-immune features (IPAF) (33). Obviously, IPAF must not yet be considered as a diagnosis at all, and IPAF classification criteria remain controversial and need to be better defined (34). For instance, some series reported MSA in more than 30% of patients with ILD (35). It is however worth noting that considering IM-related-ILD diagnosis is in fact very important to drive pulmonary and extra-pulmonary management of the patients. Indeed, IM-related ILD has a heterogeneous spectrum, regarding the clinical and radiological features. In the absence of robust markers, prognosis is difficult to predict at diagnosis. Treatments are not standardized, as they have not yet been evaluated rigorously.

By focusing on the two main entities, aSyS and anti-MDA-5 dermatomyositis, the purpose of this review is to describe their immunopathogenesis, the means of assessing ILD activity and progression, as well as severity and prognosis, in order to provide insight into current and future treatments.

**IMMUNOPATHOGENESIS**

Autoimmune diseases are multifactorial diseases, tolerance breakdown being the results of various genetic susceptibilities, endocrinial and environmental factors that affect both the innate and adaptive immune system. As one of the largest areas of exchange of the individual with the elements of the environment,
some hypothesize that the lungs could be the initiation site of different IMs.

MDA-5 is a protein which functions as an intracellular pattern recognition receptor, recognizing double-strand RNA as danger signals. Upon activation, MDA-5 drives the production of large amounts of type I interferons (36). Anti-MDA-5 dermatomyositis is indeed associated with large amounts of type I interferons and mimics some monogenic interferonopathies (37, 38). However, the reasons leading to type I interferon pathway activation remains unknown to date and anti-MDA-5 autoantibodies have not been demonstrated as being pathogenic. Very little is known regarding the causes and consequences of such direct activation on lung parenchyma and on innate and adaptive immunity, but different data argue for the involvement of macrophages, as it has been reported in various autoimmune diseases (39). These cells may play important roles in immune-regulation and tissue-repair. As such, recent data have revealed that non-inflammatory macrophages (previously called M2 macrophages, which produce IL-10 and TGFβ) are involved in the progression of lung fibrosis (40, 41). Interestingly, soluble macrophage-mannose receptor, sCD206, a serum marker for M2 polarization, is increased in MDA-5 DM-associated ILD and its titer correlated with a poor outcome (42). Interleukin(IL)-18 (43), a potent macrophage activating molecule could be involved in the development of ILD. In addition, several macrophage activation markers, including ferritin (44), NOS2 or neopterin are increased in the patients with anti-MDA-5 dermatomyositis.

aSyS is a heterogeneous disease, immunologically characterized by MSA directed against different ARNt-synthetases, among which anti-histidyl-tRNA-synthetase (also called anti-Jo-1) is the most common (18, 45). To date, seven auto-antibodies directed against other tRNAsynthetases, including anti-Alanyl (PL-12), anti-Threonyl (PL-7), anti-Glycyl (EJ) -t-RNA-synthetases have been described. Although dark areas persist, the immunopathogenesis of Jo-1 positive aSyS is best described and could nowadays be drawn as follows: following environmental exposure to tabacco smoke (46), airborne contaminants (47) including mineral particles (such as asbestiform amphiboles) or respiratory tract infections (48), the lung tissue is aggressed. This leads to cellular stress, danger signal pathway activation and cell death with microparticle release. Innate immune cells—such as NK cells—are unspecifically activated and release proteolytic enzymes, including Granzyme B (49). The antigen, Histidyl-tRNA-synthetase, which is expressed into a specific conformation within the lungs, is then released in the extracellular milieu and has many immune properties, including activity in inflammatory response with its cytokine like domains, chemoattractant properties with CCR5+ cell recruitment and capacity to activate other immune cells (50, 51). All the immune cells are present within the lungs of patients with aSyS (52). Tolerance breakdown may occur when the different adaptive immune cells are successively activated. The cascade of events is efficiently favored by a certain genetic background, like HLA-B*08:01 (53), and includes antigen presentation, CD8+ T cell priming and CD4+ T cell-B-cell crosstalk. As a witness of these processes, type I/II interferons, B lymphocyte stimulator and other cytokines are increased in the sera of aSyS patients. Finally, anti-Histidyl-tRNA-synthetase autoantibodies are produced. The way the disease propagates to other organs remains largely unexplained: although histidyyl-t-RNA-synthetase could be abnormally expressed in various tissues, the pathogenicity of anti-Jo-1 is still matter of controversies and the presence of Jo-1 specific T cells within extra-pulmonary target tissues has to be further determined.

### INITIAL EVALUATION

#### Clinical Evaluation of the ILD

Patients with IM-related ILD may present with clinical symptoms, including fever (1/4), cough (1/3) or dyspnea (1/2), which could be either related to ILD or not, especially when gastro-esophageal reflux or respiratory muscle involvement also occurs as part of the aSyS (18, 19). Regarding the shortness of breath, it is immediately important to evaluate (i) the rapidity of onset, as the (sub)acute forms settling within 3 months –defining rapidly-progressive (RP)-ILD are of worse prognosis (54, 55) and (ii) the severity, as some patients require intensive care support (56). In contrast, the patients with mild ILD or in which ILD will develop later in the follow-up (1/5) can be asymptomatic, justifying careful explorations.

#### Explorations of the ILD

The severity of ILD can also be assessed by oximetry and blood gases to evaluate hypoxemia and/or hypercapnia.

CT-Scan is the major tool of the evaluation (Figure 2), revealing different types of lesions and helping in classifying ILD into different patterns, as defined by the ATS/ERS consensus for idiopathic interstitial pneumonias (Table 2) (61). As such, bi-basal ground glass opacities and linear reticulations are associated with non-specific interstitial pneumonia (NSIP) and are the most common, as found in other connective tissue disorders like systemic sclerosis. Alveolar condensations -willingly bilateral- also occur, especially in the RP-ILD subset and define organizing

### Table 1

| Diseases                  | Autoantibodies               | Prevalence of the ILD | References |
|---------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|------------|
| Myositis-specific autoantibodies | MDA-5                        | 90%                   | (15–17)    |
| Dermatomyositis            | All ARS                      | 80%                   | (18, 19)   |
| Antisynthetase syndrome    | Jo-1                        | 70%                   |            |
|                           | Non-Jo-1                     | 85%                   |            |
| Overlap myositis           | RNP                          | 50%                   | (20)       |
|                           | PM-Scl                       | 25%                   | (21, 22)   |
|                           | Ku                           | 35%                   | (23)       |

ARS, anti-ARNt synthetase auto-antibodies; ILD, Interstitial Lung Disease.
pneumonia (OP). Both patterns may also mix together (NSIP-OP). Usual interstitial pneumonia (UIP), with sub-pleural honey combing lesions, is less frequent and dramatically more seldom in IM-related ILD than in rheumatoid arthritis-related ILD. In the worse cases with acute lung injury, often leading to acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), CT-scan may show features of acute interstitial pneumonia with consolidations and extensive ground glass opacities.

CT-scan is also important to evaluate (i) the presence of fibrosing lesions, including traction bronchiectasis and reticulations, which are present at first evaluation in high proportion (57, 62), and (ii) the extension of the lesions (63) -usually bilateral and starting in posterior and basal regions-within all the lung parenchyma.

The distribution of these patterns partially depends on the IM subtype, NSIP predominating in aSyS and OP in MDA-5-dermatomyositis (Table 2) (58).

At diagnosis (as well as in any case of worsening during follow-up), endoscopy and broncho-alveolar lavage (BAL) could be discussed. It might help in distinguishing specific deterioration from intercurrent factors that may have caused respiratory decline, including aspiration pneumonia in newly diagnosed patients, or opportunistic infections, which occur mostly in patients under immunosuppressive therapy. In addition, rare cases of bronchiolo-alveolar cancer can be thus detected. BAL fluid discloses aspecific alveolitis with high counts of lymphocytes, neutrophils, eosinophils or with mixed cellularity.

Lung histology is no longer recommended due to the low benefit/risk ratio of the biopsy procedure, and ILD subtype could be almost easily determined on CT-scan rather than on histological features.

When possible, pulmonary function tests help in evaluating ILD severity, as well as detecting a possible respiratory muscle involvement. Restrictive syndrome, defined by a total lung capacity (TLC) <80% with a more or less severe decrease of forced vital capacity (FVC), is almost constantly observed. Severity of restriction may be appreciated by TLC impairment on plethysmography. However, the FVC impairment is more routinely followed as it is more easily measured on spirometry. Muscle impairment and especially diaphragmatic involvement may worsen this parameter and is suggested when FVC is dramatically lower than expected as compared to CT-scan lesions or when decubitus FVC is significantly lower than conventional FVC. Diffusing capacity of the lung for carbon monoxide (DLCO) impairment often precede TLC and FVC decrease. DLCO is also useful to evaluate the ILD severity at any time of the disease course and/or to suspect pulmonary hypertension when excessively reduced in comparison to FVC deterioration. In this context, the screening for pulmonary hypertension by trans-thoracic echocardiography is recommended (64).

Exploring diaphragmatic involvement might be useful. Measurement of maximal static inspiratory pressure (PI max)
and of maximal static expiratory pressure (PE max) are low invasive parameters that could be combined with radioscopic assessment of diaphragmatic course and electromyography of the diaphragm to explore significant diaphragmatic dysfunction (65, 66).

Six-minutes walk test (6MWT) may be useful to estimate ILD severity in the absence of significant muscle involvement. Dyspnea, nadir of oxygen saturation and walking distance are the main parameters evaluated during the test.

FVC, DLCO and in a lesser extend 6MWT are therefore main physiologic parameters for assessing respiratory severity at diagnosis and also to evaluate response to therapy during the disease course.

Extra-Pulmonary Evaluation
Besides pulmonary evaluation, muscle, skin, heart, joint, and vessel involvement must be carefully assessed. Above all, severe myositis with dysphagia and respiratory muscle involvement may complicate the management of ILD and biased the ILD evaluation.

A particular attention should be paid for skin manifestations, as ulcerations are found especially in MDA-5+ dermatomyositis (16).

In all patients with newly identified ILD, the last ATS/ERS/ALAT/JRS recommendations indicated an overwhelming agreement to perform serological testing to achieve a diagnosis rigorously. The majority of panelists acknowledged routine testing for C-reactive protein, antinuclear antibodies, myositis linear-dot panel or immunoprecipitation, as well as rheumatoid factor and anti–cyclic citrullinated peptide for Rheumatoid arthritis (67). Other detailed tests, such as creatine kinase, have to be performed on a case-by-case basis according to the associated clinical signs.

EVOLUTION
Short-Term Prognosis
Three factors, that are linked together, could be identified as short-term prognosis factors: (i) the severity of the ILD itself, (ii) the rapidity of onset (RP-ILD) (54, 55) and (iii) the presence of anti-MDA-5 auto-antibodies (15). Even in the absence of any comparative study, it is admitted that the most severe patients with either aSyS or anti-MDA-5 dermatomyositis, notably those requiring intensive care, are of worse prognosis. In these patients, the severity is evaluated clinically or with the CT-scan (showing extended OP or acute interstitial pneumonia lesions), but rarely with the pulmonary function tests, often impossible to perform. In intensive care unit, the mortality ratio reaches 50% (56). Most of the severe patients presented a RP-ILD, which is itself associated with a high mortality risk ratio, as compared to patients with chronic onset of ILD, both during aSyS and anti-MDA-5 dermatomyositis. When comparing patients according to the nature of the myositis specific autoantibodies, it has been clearly shown that the presence of MDA-5 autoantibodies is by itself a risk factor of early mortality, as compared to anti-ARNI synthetases (15).

Long-Term Prognosis
General Outcome
Despite early mortality in the severe forms, the 5-year survival ratio is >85% in IM-ILD (18, 19, 59). Although some patients could worsen during the first year of treatment, the time to disease progression usually counts in years (57, 58). As examples, in long-term follow-up series, 20% of the patients with IM-related ILD (not including patients with anti-MDA-5) worsen despite immunosuppressive treatments, with the risk of developing respiratory failure. The remaining patients being stable (35–55%) or improved (25–45%) (59, 68, 69). It is thus important to find factors predictive of ILD progression over time, especially during the first months of treatment. However, the heterogeneity of IM-related ILD makes assessment of prognosis particularly difficult, not allowing us to clearly stratify the patient and adjust the treatment to the potential of aggravation. Such attitude is still a real challenge and should be the subject of future studies.

Evaluations
The severity of the ILD on pulmonary functions tests is probably not sufficient to predict long-term evolution. Some retrospective studies suggested a correlation between the PFTs at onset (such as low DLCO or FVC) and the long-term ILD prognosis (59, 70). However, in a prospective cohort, the first value of either FVC or DLCO did not correlate with improvement or worsening over time (71). This was at least partly due to the existence of respiratory muscle involvement, which is a confusing factor to interpret FVC as a marker of lung involvement only. It could be thus more relevant to evaluate the kinetic of FVC variations between two early time points, as a predictive factor of long-term response to treatment. However, such option has not been validated prospectively in large cohorts.

It has been demonstrated in studies dedicated to IPI, that serial decline in the FVC over 6–12 months is a powerful predictor of mortality (72). An absolute change in the FVC of 10% of the predicted normal value is a predictor of mortality but this large amplitude of change is less prevalent than relative change in a given time period, which has been shown to be also predictive of mortality in the majority of IPF studies. More recently, Goh et al. (73) have examined correlations between short-term pulmonary function trends and long-term outcome in ILD associated with systemic sclerosis, which is very close to chronical forms of IM-related ILD. Disease severity at baseline and subsequent pulmonary function trends were independent prognostic determinants. At 1 year, categorical FVC trends provide the most accurate prognostic information, especially when integrated with DLCO trends. Thus, the optimal definition of categorical decline in FVC for trial purposes may consist of either a ≥10% decline in the FVC or a 5–9% marginal decline in the FVC in association with a ≥15% decline in the DLCO for systemic sclerosis. However, such studies are lacking in IM-related ILD (74).

Thus, as suggested by these studies, it would be probably more accurate in IM-related ILD also to at least consider these parameters as qualitative variable, taking into account the proportion of patients improving/worsening FVC and/or DLCO for at least 10 and 15%, respectively.
Furthermore, defining time to ILD progression or event-free survival could be relevant and should be rigorously evaluated in the future as end-points. Composite scores including dyspnea score, muscle and physiologic parameters would probably be of great interest for evaluating disease progression and treatment response in IM-related ILD. Defining and validating such scores will be a challenge in the future.

Valuable information coming from CT-scan analyses is also insufficiently robust to date. Regarding the ILD radiologic pattern, some suggested UIP was worse than NSIP, especially in terms of disease progression (68). Although histology of UIP is more frequent than expected in the autopsy series, the corresponding radiologic pattern has however a better prognosis than IPF (75). A recent large study showed that the UIP pattern on lung-CT-scan is significantly associated with mortality. As opposed to acute interstitial pneumonia, the OP pattern was associated with the lowest mortality on long-term follow-up (76). No study has demonstrated yet a worse prognosis according to either fibrosing scores and/or extension scores assessed on CT-scan in IM-related ILD, as it has been for example reported in systemic sclerosis (63). At least, anti-rheumatic drug modifications (DMARDs) overtime correlated with the initial extension of the ILD within the lung parenchyma (60).

Different biomarkers could correlate with ILD prognosis. However, further studies are required to validate on a large scale the promising interest of KL-6 (77), Ferritin (44), C-RP or IL-18 serum dosage (43) alone or mixed together, to perform them routinely and stratify the patient with IM-related ILD early, according to their potential prognosis value. In ASyS patients, it has been shown that patients with non-Jo-1 had a worse prognosis in terms of mortality as compared to Jo-1 patients (18, 19). Although not rigorously demonstrated, these data could be at least due to higher proportions of hypo- or amyopathic patients in the non-Jo1 group, in which the ILD could therefore be more severe upon diagnosis. The concomitant positivity of anti-Ro 52 kilo-daltons, which is quite common in IM and especially in ASyS (78) might worsens the ILD prognosis (79). Using unsupervised analyses, three distinct subgroups with different prognoses can be observed on a large French multicentric cohort of MDA-5 dermatomyositis (Allenbach et al. unpublished data). The first cluster with severe lung involvement and a dramatically poor prognosis corresponded to the well-recognized “anti-MDA5+ RP-ILD.” In addition, two other overlapping forms were isolated: the “anti-MDA5+ arthro-DM,” with a good prognosis, and the “anti-MDA5+ vasculo-DM,” with an intermediate prognosis. The decisional algorithm showed that only three variables (Raynaud phenomenon, arthralgia/arthritis and gender) are good predictors for cluster apportionence and their related outcome.

ILD Complications

Besides progression of fibrosing ILD, aspiration or opportunistic pneumonia, IM-related ILD has two major complications.

Although rare (<8% of the cases) and often associated with pneumothorax, pneumomediastinum is non-fortuitously associated with IM-related ILD, as it occurs more commonly than in other connective tissue-related ILD (80). Association with MDA-5 auto-antibodies, long suspected from various reports (81–83) has been rigorously demonstrated only recently (84). Pneumomediastinum is an aggravating factor, that usually occurs early (<24 months) in the course of the disease. Its underlying mechanism remains unknown to date.

Pulmonary hypertension is the second feared ILD complication. In contrast to pneumomediastinum, pulmonary hypertension occurs lately in the course of ILD and witnesses its severity. Indeed, during aSyS and conversely to other connective tissue diseases, pulmonary hypertension belongs to group III only and is diagnosed in almost 8% of the cases (64). However, in the severe forms, a contribution of a vascular component is not excluded. Even though there is no recommendation for pulmonary hypertension specific treatments in this context, its screening with repeated echocardiography is recommended. When necessary, right heart catheterization will confirm the diagnosis. In a French series, patients with pulmonary hypertension had a significantly lower survival rate.

Thus, finding efficient prognosis factors (or prognosis scores pooling the different parameters), correlating with long-term disease severity is of major importance, and should be the prospect of future studies. Indeed, the development of patient stratification according to the risk of progression, in order to manage therapeutic strategies for each patient. Such personalized medicine remains a challenge in the field of IM-related ILD.

TREATMENTS

Adjuvant Therapies

Besides different possibilities of medical treatment, patients with IM-related ILD must benefit from the update of the vaccines, like annual vaccination against flu, anti-pneumococcal vaccination. Such attitude is indeed justified by a recent study showing that antibody response rates in the connective tissue-related ILD patients (including those receiving immunosuppressants) were comparable with those of a control group without ILD (85). In addition, no acute exacerbation was observed after pneumococcal immunization, indicating pneumococcal vaccines in ILD patients are efficient and safe (85).

Occurrence of opportunistic infections in IM-related ILD is significant and could be at least associated with the disease itself and its treatments (86). Thus, preventive treatment of pneumocystis jirovecii with trimethoprime + sulfamethoxazole or in case of contraindication with atovaquone, should be prescribed as soon as patients received steroids >20 mg/d during >4 weeks and especially for the most severe patients (87).

Pulmonary rehabilitation as well as muscle physiotherapy may also be beneficial (88). Since nutrition-related factors have been noticed as a prognostic factor for patients with chronic respiratory diseases, including patients with ILD, particular attention should also be paid to this aspect of the patients’ care (89). When clearly implicated and if possible, exposure to cigarette smoke and other airborne contaminants should be avoided.

All patients should benefit from this personalized treatment approach. Therapeutic education programs should address symptom management, oxygen therapy and medications. Patients emphasized the importance of understanding what the
future might hold and were generally supportive of discussing advance care planning and end-of-life care.

**Steroids and Classical Immunosuppressive Drugs**

In the absence of randomized clinical trials, treatments of IM-related LD are based on small retrospective studies. Treatment efficacy is difficult to evaluate, in this context and requires sufficiently long evaluation period. In most of the studies, the outcome measures are improvement of pulmonary function tests between two time points (FVC and/or DLCO being considered as quantitative variables). However, FVC also depends on respiratory muscle involvement and make respiratory evaluations difficult when the IM is severe.

Even though we noticed the absence of dedicated trial, treatment of IM-related ILD is based on steroids. Intravenous high doses are initially given in the most severe forms or RP-ILD.

Addition of an immunosuppressive drug as a first line treatment progressively became consensual, being now a cornerstone of the treatment, as ¾ of the patients could develop steroid resistance or relapse when tapering the doses (90), irrespectively of the initial severity. As such, cyclophosphamide and tacrolimus have been reported in retrospective studies to improve FVC and/or DLCO in almost all patients (91–93). Although less commonly reported, azathioprine and methotrexate could also be efficient (94, 95). Interestingly, tacrolimus and mycophenolate mophetil have shown interest in reducing steroid doses. Recently, one study has compared aztioprine vs. mycophenolate mophetil: both improved PFTs in similar proportions (96). Azathioprine allowed a greater decrease in the dose of steroids as compared to mycophenolate mophetil, while being associated with more side effects. Among these immunosuppressants, intravenous cyclophosphamide, mycophenolate mofetil, and azathioprine have been reported to be efficient in similar proportions (97).

Some reports emphasize the interest of immunosuppressive treatment associations (98), especially when ILD is severe. However, such attitude exposes the patients to higher infectious risks.

IM-related ILD is a chronical disease and requires prolonged treatment duration, often exceeding several years. There is however no clear information to date regarding the most appropriate time and modalities to stop the treatments.

Single case reports indicated some benefit from plasma exchange for IM associated severe ILD, especially those with anti-MDA-5 autoantibodies, but no conclusion could be drawn to date. While some reported its use as an initial treatment in severe ASyS patients (99), no data support the long-term efficacy of intravenous immunoglobulin treatment for ILD in the context of IM.

**Biologics**

Over the past decades, the relative place of biologics to treat IM-related ILD has increased a lot. Among them, the anti-CD20 targeting B-cell therapy has become the most documented. In one of the few prospective studies, 50% of refractory ASyS patients receiving rituximab as a third line therapy improved their FVC at 1 year (100). Several retrospective studies (101–103) and a meta-analysis (104) reported promising results of rituximab on pulmonary function tests. On the other hand, efficacy of rituximab based on the improvement of CT-score was less clear. However, the cost in terms of risk of infections, with sometimes fatal complications, is high (101).

In these retrospective series, rituximab was most of the time used as at least a second line treatment and there was no comparison with other treatments. Thus, the place of anti-CD20 monoclonal antibodies in the therapeutic arsenal needs further clarifications, which will emerge from prospective trials currently in progress.

Other targeted therapies have been tried in severe RP-ILD associated with anti-MDA5 dermatomyositis. On the faith of a small case series of four patients, basiliximab, a monoclonal antibody targeting CD25+ activated T cells, could improve patients’ survival (105). Similarly, JAK-inhibitors (in this case tofacitinib), which blocks interferon pathways and other pro-inflammatory cytokine pathways, has shown a promising survival rate improvement (17, 106). Of note, anti-TNFα targeting therapies are usually not recommended in the context of IM (107), partly due to the occurrence of muscular aggravations under treatment.

**Future Directions**

Depending on a better understanding of the immune mechanisms leading to ASyS and MDA-5 dermatomyositis, new immune-based therapeutic strategies could emerge in the future. As such, different existing biologics could find a place to treat IM-related ILD, including anti-IL12/23, anti-IFNα and anti-IFNα receptors antibodies, anti-IL-6 or other anti-B cell therapy like ibrutinib etc. However, the rational to use these treatments lack translational data to date showing a clear involvement of these pathways in ILD pathogenesis. New directions could also be developed in the future according to these immunological researches and help in developing new treatments. As examples, blocking pattern recognition receptor-dependent immune cell activation or macrophage activation pathways, which seems specifically involved in ILD associated with MDA-5 positivity might become real and might open a new era in the future. Future immunotherapies have to integrate innovative approaches based on selective and oriented immunomodulations as well as on concomitant therapies promoting tissue repair. Anti-fibrotic agents could be a new treatment option: (i) fibrotic mechanisms are at work in the lung of patients with IM-related ILD, (ii) the recent results obtained in patients treated with nintedanib for systemic sclerosis-related ILD, another connective tissue disease associated with fibrosing ILD, are promising (108). Although such clinical trials required a large number of patients to be informative, efforts should be done to define eligible patients and to build international and randomized prospective trials, at least in ASyS-related ILD.

**Lung Transplantation**

Few cases of lung transplantation have been reported in patients with IM-related ILD (109). Of note, comorbidities as well
as immune fragility of the patients, related to the previous immunosuppressive treatment they received, negatively impact the prognosis of the procedure. In addition, involvement of respiratory muscles, especially in ASyS, and/or skin vascular sequelae in MDA-5 positive patients are probably factors of transplantation failure. However, in patients carefully selected the reported risk of IM-related ILD recurrence is not higher than that of other connective tissue disorders, including systemic sclerosis, and a 5-year survival rate of 75% has been described in a small case series (110). Thus, lung transplantation is possible in IM-related ILD and its prognosis factors for success should be more largely studied worldwide. Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) may be interesting as a bridge to lung transplantation in selected patients already considered as candidates for lung transplantation. Thus, referring severe patients to transplantation centers early in the course of the disease is important.
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