Extracellular Vesicles: Role in Inflammatory Responses and Potential Uses in Vaccination in Cancer and Infectious Diseases
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Almost all cells and organisms release membrane structures containing proteins, lipids, and nucleic acids called extracellular vesicles (EVs), which have a wide range of functions concerning intercellular communication and signaling events. Recently, the characterization and understanding of their biological role have become a main research area due to their potential role in vaccination, as biomarkers, antigens, early diagnostic tools, and therapeutic applications. Here, we will overview the recent advances and studies of EVs shed by tumor cells, bacteria, parasites, and fungi, focusing on their inflammatory role and their potential use in vaccination and diagnostic of cancer and infectious diseases.

1. Introduction

Extracellular vesicles (EVs) are particles of 20 nm up to 5 μm in diameter composed of proteins, nucleic acid, and lipids that are found in body fluids such as plasma, serum, saliva, urine, breast milk, ascites, and cerebrospinal fluids [1]. These particles are involved in intercellular communication, modulating a wide range of signaling events during innate and acquired immune responses (Figure 1 and Table 1) [2–4]. EVs are secreted during health conditions or upon inflammation during the course of diseases by all mammalian cells types [2, 3, 5].

EVs include different types of particles and may be named or classified depending on the cell type or function. They can be derived from dendritic cells (dexosomes), prostate tissue (prostasomes), bone, cartilage and atherosclerotic plaques (matrix vesicles), neurons (synaptic vesicles), apoptotic blebs or apoptotic bodies (microparticles, exosomes, and apoptotic vesicles), shed vesicles, shedding microvesicles or microparticles (ectosomes or microvesicles), and membrane fragments of virus infected cells, protozoa, fungi, and bacteria outer membrane vesicles [1, 2, 4, 6–10].

The vesicles derived from mammalian cells contain a family of integral membrane proteins that cross four times the lipid bilayer and are called tetraspanins [11], including the surface markers of lymphocytes and antigen-presenting cells such as CD37, CD9, CD53, CD63, CD81, and CD82. EVs also contain molecules of the major histocompatibility complex (MHC classes I and II) (http://www.exocarta.org/) [11, 12]. EVs derived from normal cells cause either suppression or activation of the immune response by modulating the production of inflammatory mediators. For example, T-cells and monocytes secrete vesicles that contain FasL on the surface that modulate apoptosis of the other cells (Figure 2) [13]. Vesicles isolated from monocytes deliver proinflammatory mediators that activate endothelial cells [14, 15]. Tumor cells secrete EVs that are able to downregulate the immune system, allowing the escape from the immune system. Furthermore, these vesicles can control tumor development and growth, by decreasing the expression and release of IL-2 reducing...
Figure 1: Schematic review of the origin function and markers (molecules to delivery) of EVs normal and tumor cells, parasites, fungi, and bacteria.

Table 1: Major components of extracellular vesicles and their functions described.

| Origin                        | Molecule            | Function                                           | Reference |
|-------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| Tumor                         | MHC I and II        | Antigen presentation                              | [18]      |
|                               | miRNA and mRNA      | Oncogenic activity, drug resistance, and metastasis angio genesis | [19–22]  |
|                               | CXCR4 and MMP-9     | Invasion and migration                             | [23]      |
|                               | TrkB, EGFR, and TES complex | Angiogenesis                                    | [24]      |
|                               | Rab22A, Pabp1, and PSA | Metastasis                                       | [25–27]  |
|                               | CD40, CD80, CD86, and CD54 | Immunity                                         | [28]      |
|                               | GTPase and Rab27a   | Upregulated immune system and inhibited tumor growth | [29]      |
| Bacteria                      | OmpQ and pertactin  | Immunogenic                                       | [30]      |
|                               | Gene transfer       | Communication                                     | [31]      |
|                               | Gentamycin          | Cell death                                        | [32]      |
|                               | RNAs                | Communication                                     | [33, 34] |
| Protozoa                      | tGPI-mucin          | Activation                                        | [35]      |
|                               | Tc85                | Invasion/adhesion                                 | [36]      |
|                               | gp63 and LPG        | Virulence factor                                  | [37–39]  |
|                               | TS                  | Virulence factor                                  | [40, 41] |
| Fungi                         | α-gal               | Immunogenic                                       | [42]      |
|                               | GXM and GlcCer      | Virulence factor                                  | [43–45]  |
| Eukaryotic mammalian cells    | MHC I and II        | Antigen presentation                              | [2]       |
|                               | FasL                | Immune suppression                                | [13]      |

The purpose of this review is to provide an updated overview of the vesicles released by distinct pathogens and mammalian tissues, highlighting their potential use in vaccination and diagnostic of cancer and infectious diseases.

2. Extracellular Vesicles in Cancer

EVs derived from tumors may be involved in tumor growth control and in the communication events between tumor and normal cells by delivering oncogenic proteins and growth factors [16, 17]. In some cases, EVs suppress tumor growth by exposing dendritic cells MHC classes I or II molecules, peptides, and costimulatory molecules for the immune system.
system. This amplifies the immunological response, preventing tumor growth [18]. EVs can also stimulate the resistance to chemotherapeutic agents. Moreover, EVs contain proteins and genetic material from the originating tumor cells that can be used as diagnostic biomarkers. In this regard, recent efforts to elucidate different roles and signaling pathways of EVs have been conducted.

A pivotal role of EVs during cancer cell migration and invasion has been reported in different cell types. For instance, EVs derived from 786-0 renal tumor cells enhance their migration and invasion properties [23]. This occurs through induction of type 4-chemokine receptor (CXCR4) and matrix metalloproteinase-9 (MMP-9) expression by EVs. In addition, adhesion and invasion of the gastrointestinal interstitial stroma are enhanced by the oncogenic protein tyrosine kinase (KIT) present in tumor cell EVs [46]. More importantly, those structures have also been involved in drug resistance. Tamoxifen-resistant breast tumor cells release exosomes that contain microRNAs (miR221/222) and promote drug resistance in naïve cells [19]. Similarly, resistance to docetaxel in breast tumors and prostate cancer, as well as cisplatin in human lung cancer line (A549 cells), was associated with the content of vesicular microRNAs transferred to susceptible cells [47–49]. Moreover, EVs from A549 cells containing TrkB, EGFR, and sortilin receptors (TES complex) were related to angiogenesis induction through endothelial cells [24]. In hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), one of the most lethal cancers, the tumor becomes more resistant to TGFβ-dependent chemotherapy through long noncoding RNAs (lncRNAs) obtained from EVs [50]. Therefore, the extracellular communication through EVs is an important mechanism to activate/deactivate certain crucial events in tumor cell biology.

Other studies have evidenced the role of microRNAs present in EVs in cancer establishment. For instance, miR-105, detected in EVs from breast tumor, is associated with metastasis formation via destruction of endothelial monolayers. Interestingly, it is possible to detect miR-105 in the blood circulation before the metastasis establishment reinforcing its potential role as a diagnostic biomarker [20]. Likewise, gastric cancer stromal cells deliver exosomes to gastric tumor cells. Expression of miR-214, miR-221, and miR-222 present in these EVs is related to lymph node metastasis, venous invasion, and tumor development [21]. In some cases, miR-containing EVs repress proangiogenic events and impair tumor development on a bone cancer model [22].
The study of biogenesis of stress-induced vesicles also becomes crucial to understand the development of metastasis. For example, the elevated expression of RAB22A gene in breast tumor cells induced by hypoxia, common in advanced tumors, increases the shedding of vesicles, and the Rab protein colocalizes with the sites of budding EVs. Moreover, the knockdown of RAB22A prevents metastasis, supporting the idea that Rab is involved in the generation of EVs [25]. EVs released from heat-stressed tumors in a mouse model can induce antitumor immunity [51]. These vesicles showed chemotactic effects on CD4+ and CD8+ T-cells, efficiently activating dendritic cells (DC). Another study showed that EVs derived from breast cancers can alter the tumor microenvironment and promote tumorigenesis of normal cells via induction of autophagy, response to DNA damage repair (DDR), and induction of reactive oxygen species (ROS) in normal breast epithelial cells [52].

EVs also carry potential cancer biomarker molecules, as reported by several groups. This includes the polyadenylate-binding protein 1 (Papbp1), predominant in EVs from metastatic duodenal tumor cell lines [26], prostate-specific membrane antigen (PSA) related to prostate cancer progression, angiogenesis, and metastasis [27], miR-21 and miR-146a in cervical cancer [53], and finally IncRNAs in skin cancer (secreted into the blood or urine through EVs) [54]. All the above-mentioned microRNAs are proposed as potential biomarkers for cancer noninvasive diagnosis. It was also shown that EVs from pancreatic tumor cells contain fragments of double-stranded genomic DNA (dsDNA), suggesting that mutations may be identified in this dsDNA as predictors of cancer and streamline therapeutics [55]. Based on these findings, it is clear that new biomarkers, once optimized, could be used in therapeutic conducts, offering great advantage over other established methods.

In cancer therapy, EVs can also be employed as vehicles to deliver drugs. EVs from tumor cells are able to associate better with their recipient cells than liposomes (>10-fold), due to their lipid and protein composition [56]. In addition, microRNAs can be delivered to tumor cells and interfere with cancer progression and metastasis. In this logic, synthetic miR-143 was introduced into mesenchymal stem cells, and the secreted exosomes containing miR-143 was transferred to osteosarcoma cells to reduce the migration of the latter cell [57]. Interestingly, a feedback regulatory mechanism for controlling exosome release was suggested, in which exosomes derived from normal human mammary epithelial cells could impair the release of exosomes from breast tumor cells [58]. These authors suggest that this may be used as a novel therapeutic approach, attenuating carcinogenic effects of tumor exosomes. Another interesting strategy is to use a synthetic structure based on tumor-derived exosomes and staphylococcal enterotoxin B to induce apoptosis in breast tumor cells [59, 60]. The vesicles could be used as a diagnostic, because tumor cells release vesicles in biologic fluids like urine, blood, ascites, and pleural fluids. For example, patients with ovarian cancer shed vesicles derived from tumor cells in the circulation. These vesicles are enriched up to 4-fold more in patients with cancer than healthy controls. Therefore, they can be used as biomarkers to identify early cancers in asymptomatic patients that will potentially develop malignancy. In addition, specific miRNAs are found in extracellular vesicles from patients with lung cancers [61].

DC have been widely used in the research of therapeutic cancer vaccines. For example, DC were primed with interferon-gamma (IFN-γ) to induce the expression CD40, CD80, and CD86, and CD54 in exosomes, endowing a potent CD8+ T-cell-triggering potential in vitro and in vivo [28]. Yao et al. [62] compared the antitumor immunogenicities between EG7 tumor cell-derived exosomes [EXO (EG7)] and EXO-EG7- targeted dendritic cells [DC (EXO)]. They showed that the latter DC (EXO) was more effective in inducing antitumor immunity, and this was independent from the host DC, emphasizing the role of the host DC in tumor cell-derived exosomes (TEX) vaccines. In contrast, CD8+ T-cell responses could be induced in vivo when mice were immunized with protein-loaded instead of peptide-loaded dexosomes. Recently, protein-loaded dexosomes were used to protect against tumor growth, whereby CD8+ T-cell responses occurred in vivo [63, 64].

Purified MHC classes I and II inserted in exosomes and delivered to melanoma were recognized by specific T-cells. This was used to transfer functional MHC/peptide complexes to antigen-presenting cells [65]. In this way, antitumor response could be elicited as these complexes may stimulate CD8+ and CD4+ T-cell responses in an “acellular” immunotherapy approach. In another study, exosomes from Rab27a overexpressing cells increased significantly CD4+ T-cell proliferation in vitro because these exosomes upregulated MHC class II, CD80, and CD86 molecules in DC. Moreover, exosomes containing a small GTPase protein involved in secretion of exosomes also were capable of retaining tumor growth in vivo [29].

Plasmid DNA vaccines encoding EV-associated antigens were recently used as vaccines in mice in order to produce ovalbumin containing EV antigens in vivo, either exposed on the surface of vesicles or incorporated inside membrane-enclosed virus-like particles [66]. In both cases, these vaccines were able to induce specific T-cell responses and efficiently prevent the growth of ovalbumin-expressing tumors in vivo, showing that immunotherapy based on EVs may be a valuable method to promote tumor control and other diseases.

3. Bacterial Vesicles

Bacteria release vesicles sizing from 20 to 250 nm [33, 67] are named outer membrane vesicles (OMVs) for Gram-negative and membrane vesicles, or blebs, for Gram-positive bacteria [68, 69]. EVs are required for the exchange of genetic information between bacteria such as Bacillus anthracis, Staphylococcus aureus, Mycobacterium ulcersan, Bacillus spp., Escherichia coli, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, and Helicobacter pylori. Additionally, EVs contain toxins and deliver virulence factors to host cells [32, 70–80]. Bacterial EVs are composed of cytosolic and membrane proteins, lipoproteins, phospholipids, glycolipids, and nucleic acids [31, 32, 81–84]. Detailed composition analysis and biogenesis of OMVs from different Gram-negative bacteria are available [33]. For example,
OMVs from *Bordetella parapertussis* contain surface immunogenic molecules, porin, outer membrane protein OmpQ, and pertactin that were used in a murine model to assess the protection against infection [30]. In the same way, OMVs of *Pseudomonas putida* KT2440 have outer membrane proteins such as OprC, OprD, OprE, OprF, OprH, OprG, and OprW which can serve as adjuvants or vaccine [85]. *Vibrio cholerae* OMVs contain several proteins that contribute for the virulence and are essential for cell growth and colonization in vivo [86]. Another interesting aspect of OMVs is their role in delivering endotoxins to host cells as demonstrated for enterogenic and uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* (ETEC) and *Haemophilus influenzae* (HIC), the causative agents of traveler's diarrhea and human urinary tract infections. Both ETEC and UPEC strains are able to produce many virulence factors including the heat-labile enterotoxin (LT), homologous to cholera toxin, and cytotox necrotizing factor type I (CNFI). These toxins are released from bacteria in OMVs and delivered to host cells through vesicle internalization [74, 87]. In particular, LT also acts as a ligand for vesicle binding, which is internalized via lipid rafts. Once inside the cell, the toxin is trafficked via retrograde transport through the Golgi and the endoplasmic reticulum [74].

An outstanding role of OMVs in biotechnology is their use as general vehicles to deliver human, heterologous, or viral antigens [33, 88]. *Neisseria meningitidis* serogroup B OMVs showed remarkable adjuvant properties for anti-HIV-1 antigens and induced a production of IFN-γ and IL-4 [89]. Vesicles isolated from DC infected with *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* were able to induce a protective host immunity response [90, 91]. There are also potential uses of these EVs as cancer vaccines through immune stimulation [92]. OMVs from different species of Gram-negative bacteria contain lipopolysaccharide (LPS), proteins, and nucleic acids, which are strong agonists in the modulation of inflammatory reactions through the activation of Toll-like receptors (TLRs). These activations require the action of LPS, which is sensed by Toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4) on host cells, and induce an innate immune response to Gram-negative bacteria leading to inflammatory cytokine production [93–96].

In the case of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, OMVs appear to deliver virulence factors to distant locations by fusing with lipid rafts of several host cell membranes [97]. Proteins present in secreted vesicles released from *P. aeruginosa* also seem to play important roles in pathogenesis. This is the case of the inhibitory factor of the cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator, which promotes changes in the epithelium, allowing reduced clearance of *P. aeruginosa* toxin A that hijacks the host ubiquitin proteolytic system [97]. Therefore, *P. aeruginosa* EVs have the potential to protect the immunized host against subsequent infection and for this reason they have been proposed as vaccines candidates against infection. Another interesting example is OMVs isolated from *Haemophilus influenzae*, which increases the expression of CD69 and CD86 and activating of the humoral response. In addition, they induce TLR9 signaling through bacterial DNA, which causes a significant proliferative response of inflammatory cells [98].

Vesicles from Gram-negative bacteria are released naturally as blebs of the outer membrane through bulging and “pinching off.” Alternatively, vesicles can be prepared from the detergent-treated bacteria either from normal or from bacteria carrying genetic modifications such as the generalized modules for membrane antigens (GMMA) to induce a strong immune response [99]. All these vesicles are called OMVs, but it is important to note that they have different composition and properties. Naturally shed blebs are almost free of cytoplasmic and inner membrane components and maintain lipophilic proteins, unlike detergent extracted OMVs derived from bacteria. These differences are relevant when considering the use of vesicles for immunization or diagnostic purposes [67]. Several vaccines are prepared based on OMVs isolated from Gram-negative bacteria [100]. One example is the case of *Neisseria meningitidis*-OMVs vaccine, named Bexsero (Novartis) [67]. These particles activate the immune response and protection against a challenge with bacteria in murine models [82, 101–107]. There are, however, several cases that vaccination with OMVs requires further developments to improve better antigenicity, manufacturability, and reduction of pyrogenicity, detergent extract, and toxicity via LPS detoxification [82].

The mechanism of how Gram-negative bacteria-derived OMVs elicit a vaccination effect, for example *E. coli* used as a model to study the effect of the adaptive immune response decrease against bacteria-induced lethality. However, with high doses these OMVs induced systemic inflammatory, characterized by hypothermia, tachypnea, and leukopenia (sepsis) [108].

Because of the thick cell wall of Gram-positive bacteria, extracellular vesicle secretion has been less studied in these bacteria. Nevertheless, it has been reported that *S. aureus* and *Bacillus subtilis* secrete membrane vesicles to the extracellular milieu. Proteomic analysis revealed that vesicles derived from *S. aureus* harbor several pathogenic components [109]. Furthermore, *S. aureus* extracellular vesicles induce atopic dermatitis-like skin inflammation in mice. These observations provided hints on the possible roles of Gram-positive secreted vesicles. Recently, a study on the immune activating role of Gram-positive bacteria-derived EV has been published [110]. The Gram-positive *Bacillus anthracis*, the agent of Anthrax disease, also shed membrane-derived vesicles. These EVs are formed by a double membrane and have a spherical shape sizing from 50 to 150 nm [83]. They are enriched by molecular chaperons and molecules of the cell wall involved in the cellular architecture and include the lethal toxin (LeTx) and the antholysin (ALO). BALB/c mice immunized with these EVs were able to produce more protective IgM to the toxin in comparison with the isolated toxin, prompting to further use these preparations to elaborate vaccines. The protection induced by vesicles obtained from Gram-positive bacteria was not as effective when compared to Gram-negative bacteria OMVs indicating that further work might be necessary to improve their potential.

In summary, OMVs include multiple virulence factors, overcoming the limitation of a single antigen immunization. Furthermore, OMVs can act as adjuvant and antigen carrier.
4. Parasite Vesicles

Cultured protozoan parasites release EVs that contain several molecules that might affect the host (Figure 1). They are composed of membrane fragments and cytosolic components, including proteins, lipids, and nucleic acids that accumulate in the supernatant of the protozoan cultivated in the presence or absence of host cells [40, 41]. When injected in animal models or added to in vitro systems, these EVs were found to affect the course of infection and alter the disease progression caused by the parasite, through the modulation of the host innate and acquired immune response. EVs are described in many protozoa such as *Leishmania* spp. [37, 38, 90, 111, 112], *Trypanosoma cruzi* [40, 41, 113–115], *Trypanosoma brucei* [116], *Plasmodium* spp. [117, 118], *Trichomonas vaginalis* [119], *Toxoplasma gondii* [120–122], and *Eimeria* parasites [123]. Helminthes have also released EVs in *Dicrocoelium dendriticum* [124]

*Trypanosoma cruzi* is a flagellate protozoan that causes Chagas disease. It is acquired by humans either by the insect vector, blood transfusion, or through maternal transmission during newborn delivery [125]. When *T. cruzi* enters the host, the first line of defense is the innate immune response, which initiates when receptors that recognize microbial products are activated [126]. This occurs through Toll-like receptors (TLR) signaling and macrophage activation by mucin-like glycoproteins, which corresponds to 60–80% of the parasite surface molecules [35, 127], resulting in the increased production of IL-12, IFN-γ, and nitric oxide (NO) [128]. The production of these proinflammatory cytokines leads to the activation of several kinds of cells such as natural killer (NK) typical of the acute phase of Chagas disease [129]. Very little is known about how mucin-like glycoproteins and other surface components are presented to the host.

Infective parasites obtained from cultured mammalian cells shed large amounts of EVs that are rich in these surface molecules [40]. EVs isolated from infective *T. cruzi* forms promote macrophage activation with an increase in parasitemia levels and amastigotes nests in the heart tissue [41]. These effects are caused by parasite surface glycoproteins present in the vesicles that attenuate the host immune system. *T. cruzi* EVs are enriched in α-gal containing glycoconjugates, found preferentially in the mucin-like molecules [35], and several surface glycoproteins, known as members of a trans-sialidase (TS) family that participate in adhesion and invasion of host cells [40, 41, 114, 130]. Mucins containing α-gal residues elicit high titers of IgG antibodies decreasing parasitemia during the chronic phase [131]. Therefore, the production and release of EVs might have a key role in the establishment of infection and may be considered a platform to develop preventive or prophylactic vaccines for Chagas disease [132].

*Leishmania* genus encloses protozoan species that cause visceral, cutaneous, and mucocutaneous leishmaniasis in humans. The disease is transmitted by sandfly vectors (*Lutzomyia* and *Phlebotomus*), which inject parasites into the host during the insect blood meal [39]. In culture, the insect stages of several *Leishmania* species release EVs containing parasite antigens, such as the surface glycoprotein of 63 kDa (gp63) that has a strong suppressive effect on host macrophages [37, 38]. However, a missing step in *Leishmania* EVs biogenesis is whether those structures also contain the major surface lipophosphoglycan (LPG), a multivirulence factor involved in the interaction with the vertebrate and invertebrate host [39]

EVs derived from *Leishmania donovani* are involved in immune response evasion mechanisms, enabling parasite survival in the host [37, 133, 134]. In contrast, EVs derived from macrophages infected with *Leishmania amazonensis* induce proinflammatory response in vitro by stimulating the production of proinflammatory cytokines TNF-α, IL-12, and IL-1β [112]. These host-derived vesicles have been characterized and contain both parasite and host components [37, 38], which indicates that a cross talk of signaling events occurs during infection. Indeed, the immunization of mice with dextranosomes derived from DC pulsed with *Leishmania major* antigen was able to provide protection against the parasite [90]. This finding could help to improve the available canine vaccines, used to stop transmission [135], and eventually develop a preventative prophylactic human therapy for leishmaniasis.

*Trichomonas vaginalis*, a flagellated protozoan that colonizes human vaginal and urethral epithelia, also secretes vesicles that act at the host-parasite interface. *T. vaginalis* EVs stimulate the immune response by increasing the production of IL-6 and IL-8 [15] and promote greater adherence of less adherent strains of the parasite to the epithelium [15]. *T. vaginalis* EVs fuse with and deliver their contents to host cells [136] and are clearly involved in the colonization of the genital host’s tract. It is also possible that EVs from this parasite could provide a more suitable environment to other sexually transmitted diseases such as HIV or HPV.

There are several studies about EVs of *Plasmodium* spp., Apicomplexa parasites that cause human and animal malaria focusing mainly in the immunization alternatives. For example, EVs derived from reticulocytes infected with *Plasmodium yoelii*, a rodent malaria, induce protection to infection in mice [137]. *Plasmodium berghei*, another rodent malaria, secretes microparticles in the plasma of infected mice that induce an intense macrophage activation, which results in inflammatory reaction [138] via TLR4 and MyD88 [12]. Therefore, these EVs are key components in the modulation and communication between the parasite and the host [118]. However, one of the main difficulties in working with human *Plasmodium*, especially *Plasmodium vivax*, is the availability to have enough amounts of EVs.

*Toxoplasma gondii* is another intracellular Apicomplexa protozoan that causes Toxoplasmosis. The disease is usually transmitted by eating contaminated meat, accidental ingestion of cat feces with oocytes, and congenital contact. It may cause abortion in pregnant women [139]. The infection is severe in immune-compromised individuals. EVs derived from DC incubated with *T. gondii* antigens induce an intense immune response, increasing the levels of MHC class II and the specific production of T-cells and cytokines [140]. Studies of immunization with these DC are promising alternatives in promoting protection against *T. gondii* [141, 142]. *Eimeria tenella*, *Eimeria maxima*, and *Eimeria acervulina* are also coccidian parasite of chickens that also release EVs, which
confer protective immune response against the parasite [123, 143, 144].

In summary, EVs isolated from several parasites or from infected cells have major effects on the immune response and are also potential candidates for immunoprevention of parasitic diseases.

5. Fungal Vesicles

Fungi have the capacity to cause devastating human diseases, some of them with high mortality rates, in both immunocompetent and immunocompromised individuals [145]. Pathogenic fungi exhibit a singular genetic flexibility that facilitates rapid adaptation to the host or environment [146]. However, there are several open questions of how these pathogens colonize and cause morbidity.

As other eukaryotic organisms, fungi use membrane trafficking to connect intracellular and extracellular compartments allowing sorting of protein and lipids to their final cellular sites [147]. For a variety of proteins, the extracellular milieu is the final destination of the cell wall components, digestive enzymes, and, in the pathogenic species, virulence factors [148]. In fungi, the cell wall represents the final step of secretion, an event that brings additional complexity to the secretory mechanisms used by these cells [147]. The cell wall is a complex and rigid structure basically composed of chitin, chitosan, β-1,3-glucan, β-1,6-glucan, mixed β-1,3-/β-1,4-glucan, α-1,3-glucan, melanin, and glycoproteins as major constituents [149].

EVs are now recognized as important structures for transcell transport of virulence factors that modulate host immune responses [147, 148, 150, 151], suggesting the importance of these structures in the pathogenesis of many fungal diseases. The production of fungal EVs was initially characterized in the pathogenic yeast Cryptococcus neoformans [152]. Currently, EVs were identified in several pathogenic fungi such as Histoplasma capsulatum, Paracoccidioides brasilienis, Sporothrix schenckii, Candida albicans, Candida parapsilosis, Malassezia sympodialis [42, 78, 152, 153], and non-pathogenic yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae [154]. Different proteins, sterols, phospholipids, polysaccharides, and pigments have been characterized in these fungal EVs isolated from culture supernatants [42, 78, 150–158]. Many of these molecules have been identified as known virulence factors or inducers of host humoral responses.

For example, in C. neoformans the most important virulence factor and immunomodulator, the glucuronoxylomannan (GXM) [43], was detected in vesicles released during in vitro macrophage infection [150]. In P. brasiliensis, similar GXM that interacts with α,1,3-glucans was detected in EVs [159]. GXM acts differently on the host immune response, depending on its specific molecular characteristics [44, 45] making it a possible target for antifungal therapy or vaccination [45].

Another key molecule in fungal infection is glucosylceramide (GlcCer), a glycolipid component of the fungal cell wall [160], which has been detected in EVs of C. neoformans [152, 158], P. brasiliensis [155], and C. albicans [151]. Fungal GlcCer is an antigenic glycosphingolipid that elicits antibody responses in experimental infection models [161] and in patients affected by some mycoses, such as cryptococcosis [162]. GlcCer is described as a virulence regulator of C. albicans and C. neoformans [163, 164]. Furthermore, GlcCer from P. brasiliensis, Aspergillus fumigatus, and S. schenckii inhibited T-cell proliferation in vitro [165]. The GlcCer from A. fumigatus was able to activate in vitro mouse and human NK cells and to induce airway hyperreactivity in mice [166]. These findings indicate that fungal GlcCer may influence both humoral and cellular responses and that inhibition or blocking the GlcCer action can be a therapeutic approach [160].

Other studies have evidenced that vesicles isolated from C. neoformans culture supernatant were able to melanize after incubation with L-DOPA [158], a substrate for melanization [167]. Melanin has been identified in several pathogenic fungi [168]. Although it is immunologically active, little is known about its role in the immune response. Melanin protects fungal cells from phagocytosis by macrophages, a key step in the host defense against these pathogens [169]. It also reduces proinflammatory cytokines [170] and decreases their susceptibility to antifungal drugs [148], mainly to amphotericin B and caspofungin, and is less evident or absent in ketoconazole, fluconazole, or itraconazole [171, 172]. Therefore, it seems that melanization is a distinguished feature observed in EVs released during fungal infections and its role should be further explored in the fungal pathogenesis.

Many studies indicated that acquired immunity against EVs is observed during fungal infections. Vesicular components reacted with immune serum from patients with cryptococcosis, histoplasmosis, and paracoccidioidomycosis (PCM) [42, 78, 153] or with serum from C. albicans-infected mice [151]. Particularly, EVs of P. brasiliensis transport components carrying α-galactopyranosyl (α-gal) epitopes, a highly immunogenic molecule, which were efficiently recognized by anti-α-gal antibodies from patient with PCM [42]. These data showed that the fungal vesicular products might be important serological markers produced during this disease.

The immunomodulatory activity of fungal EVs is still poorly understood. In vitro studies have demonstrated that mammalian macrophages can incorporate fungal EVs, resulting in increased levels of both pro- and anti-inflammatory cytokines [150, 151]. Specifically, in C. neoformans, the exposure of macrophages to EVs resulted in their internalization and production of IL-10, TGF-β, and TNF-α, while for C. albicans, the production of IL-10, IL-12, and TGF-β was observed. In both studies, fungal EVs stimulated murine macrophages to produce higher levels of NO [150, 151]. This effect probably occurred due to the fungal EVs preparations, which were composed of heterogeneous populations of different size and probably content [148, 150]. M. sympodialis releases EVs carrying allergens, which induce high levels of TNF-α and IL-4, suggesting that vesicles have multiple immunoregulatory functions in atopic eczema. Despite this controversy in host immune response, fungal EVs were capable of stimulating a protective response against infection. Recently, Vargas et al. [151] showed that inoculation of Galleria mellonella, a larvae model, with EVs followed by challenge with C. albicans reduced the number of recovered viable yeasts in comparison
to infected larvae control. Moreover, these authors also observed immunomodulation of DC after internalization of EVs from \textit{C. albicans}. The synthesis of IL-12, IL-10, TGF-\(\beta\), and TNF-\(\alpha\) was also significantly increased in comparison to nonstimulated DC [151].

Proteomic-based approaches have been used to characterize \textit{C. neoformans}, \textit{P. brasiliensis}, \textit{H. capsulatum}, and \textit{C. albicans} and \textit{S. cerevisiae} EVs [78, 150, 151, 153, 156]. Interestingly, most of the identified proteins in \textit{P. brasiliensis} and \textit{C. neoformans} lacked the characteristic signal peptide required for conventional secretion [78, 156], suggesting that fungal vesicles can also be derived from unconventional secretory mechanisms, as observed in mammalian cells [173]. These proteomic analyses also revealed a large complexity of proteins with diverse biological functions in fungi EVs. Remarkably, we notice the presence of four proteins repeated in all EVs analyzed as follows: glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GADPH), phosphoglycerate kinase, elongation factor 1-alpha, and 6-phosphogluconate dehydrogenase. Thus, it is possible to consider the potential of these molecules as biomarkers of fungal EVs.

### 6. Concluding Remarks

EVs are remarkable structures found in all biological fluids in mammals. The major reported functions of EVs are highlighted in Figure 1. In normal and tumor cells, they affect the following: antigen presentation, immune suppression, intercellular communication, inflammation, cellular homeostasis, and coagulation. In pathogens, they are considered virulence factors and are involved in the following: cell adhesion and invasion, evasion and modulation of the immune response, and drug resistance.

There are many molecules in EVs. The EVs from mammalian cells contain molecules such as MHC classes I and II, mRNA, miRNA, caspase 3, signaling factors, structural proteins, and cytokines. The EVs isolated from tumor cells express, for example, FasL, MHC classes I and II, mRNA, miRNA, FADD, P-glycoprotein, MMPs, PS, and TF. In protozoa, EVs are formed by key membrane components involved in host-parasite interaction. OMV or EVs from bacteria have antigenic material providing gene transference of resistance to antibiotics and adaptation factors. Fungal EVs are structures for transcell transport of virulence factors, immunomodulatory molecules, and serological factors. Therefore, EVs extend the cell-to-cell communication between host and pathogens. By preventing this communication, EVs can be used as targets for vaccination. In addition, the presence of EVs and the characterization of their composition can provide new diagnostic information on several diseases. Furthermore, studies on EVs in the different situations can be useful to understand the intimate mechanisms of pathogenesis. In conclusion, EVs represent a rich and challenging subject for basic and applied research enabling the understanding of a plethora of different mechanisms and opening new tools to combat diseases (Figure 2).

### Abbreviations

| Abbreviation | Full Form |
|--------------|-----------|
| ALIX | ALG-2-interacting protein X |
| AP-1 | Activator protein 1 |
| CD55 | Complement decay-accelerating factor |
| EGFR | Epidermal growth factor receptor |
| FADD | Fas-associated protein with death domain |
| FasL | Fas ligand |
| HSPs | Heat shock proteins |
| MHC | Major histocompatibility complex |
| MyD88 | Myeloid differentiation primary response gene (88) |
| NF-kB | Nuclear factor kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells |
| RAB GTPases | Ras-related in brain GTPases |
| TGF-\(\beta\) | Transforming growth factor beta |
| TLR4 | Toll-like receptor 4 |
| TNF-\(\alpha\) | Tumor necrosis factor |
| TrkB | Tropomyosin related kinase B |
| TSG101 | Tumor susceptibility gene 101 |
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