Breakdown of the fluctuation-dissipation relations in granular gases
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Abstract – A numerical molecular dynamics experiment measuring the two-time correlation function of the transversal velocity field in the homogeneous cooling state of a granular gas modeled as an ensemble of inelastic hard particles is reported. By measuring the decay rate and the amplitude of the correlations, the accuracy of the Landau-Langevin equation of fluctuating hydrodynamics is checked. The results indicate that although a Langevin approach can be valid, the fluctuation-dissipation relation must be modified, since the viscosity parameter appearing in it differs from the usual hydrodynamic shear viscosity.

The hydrodynamic description of ordinary one-component fluids is made by means of the local number density, $n(r, t)$, the flow velocity, $u(r, t)$, and the temperature, $T(r, t)$ [1]. These fields are determined from some closed macroscopic equations, e.g. the Navier-Stokes equations, with the appropriate initial and boundary conditions. On the other hand, the most detailed microscopic description of the evolution of the fluid, in terms of the properties of the particles forming it, is given by non-equilibrium statistical mechanics. In this latter formulation, the hydrodynamic fields appear as the ensemble average of some associated microscopic fields, being also possible to describe their stochastic properties, at least formally. There is an intermediate level of description, often referred to as the mesoscopic description, in which macroscopically fluctuating hydrodynamic fields are considered.

The first formulation of fluctuating hydrodynamics for equilibrium states was proposed by Landau and Lifshitz [1]. Their equations describe the fluctuations of the hydrodynamic fields about their equilibrium values, and have the form of linear Langevin equations. The noise terms are taken as white and Gaussian with the second moments determined by the Navier-Stokes transport coefficients of the fluid. This establishes a relationship between the fluctuations of the fields at equilibrium and the dissipation by transport in the fluid, and so are known as fluctuation-dissipation (F-D) relations of the second kind [2]. Since the formulation of the theory, the question of whether the same idea can be used to describe fluctuations in systems that are far away from equilibrium has attracted the attention of scientists. For molecular fluids, it seems well established that the F-D relations apply under the same conditions as the usual Navier-Stokes hydrodynamic equations [3].

Granular fluids are always out of equilibrium due to the kinetic energy dissipation in collisions. Evidence has been accumulated during the last years that they provide a unique proving ground for non-equilibrium statistical-mechanics ideas and techniques. Nevertheless, the analogy between granular and ordinary fluids must not be pushed too far. Granular materials have many peculiar properties arising from the macroscopic size of the particles and the lack of kinetic energy conservation before and after a collision [4]. In particular, the validity of the F-D relations cannot be assumed a priori.

Here a definite test of the validity of the Landau and Lifshitz F-D relations for granular gases, modeled as an ensemble of inelastic hard particles moving freely and independently between collisions, is carried out under the most favorable and ideal conditions: the simplest model, state, and hydrodynamic field. If the F-D fails
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in this case, it will also certainly fail for more “realistic” conditions, in which additional effects, such as rotational degrees of freedom and/or velocity-dependent restitution coefficients, are included. More precisely, the behavior of the transversal component of the flow field in the so-called homogeneous cooling state (HCS) of a granular gas is the topic addressed in this paper. Let us mention that for driven models of granular fluids, in which the grains are submitted to stochastic forces, the linear response to an external perturbation [5] and also the validity of the Einstein relation [6] have been investigated. No direct relation of those works with this one seems clear. The problem here might be somewhat closer to the experimental situation investigated in ref. [7]. Although a vibrated system is considered there, it is possible that the results for the HCS be still locally applicable, similarly to what happens in molecular systems with the equilibrium fluctuation theory. The analogy between local equilibrium and local homogenous cooling has already been found, for instance, in the derivation of the hydrodynamic equations for granular gases both from kinetic theory [8] and from non-equilibrium statistical mechanics [9].

To start with, the Landau-Langevin formalism for the transversal velocity field in an equilibrium ordinary fluid will be briefly recalled. Consider the local fluctuations of the velocity flow \( \delta u(r, t) \) around the equilibrium value \( u_\parallel = 0 \), and introduce its Fourier representation \( \delta u_\parallel (t) \). This vector can be decomposed into the component parallel to \( q \) and another component perpendicular to it: \( \delta u_\parallel (t) = \delta u_{q,\parallel} \hat{q} + \delta u_{q,\perp} (t), \) with \( \hat{q} \equiv q/|q| \) and \( \delta u_{q,\perp} \cdot \hat{q} = 0 \). The vector component \( \delta u_{q,\perp} (t) \) is the vorticity or transversal flow field and obeys the Langevin equation [1]

\[
\left[ \partial_t + (mn_e)^{-1} \eta q^2 \right] \delta u_{q,\perp} (t) = f_{q,\perp} (t),
\]

where \( m \) is the mass of the fluid particles, \( \eta_\parallel \) the Navier-Stokes shear viscosity of the fluid, and \( f_{q,\perp} (t) \) a randomly fluctuating force that is a Gaussian white noise of zero average. It verifies the fluctuation-dissipation relation

\[
\langle f_{q,\perp} (t) f_{q',\perp} (t') \rangle = \frac{2T_0 V}{m^2 \eta_\parallel} \delta (t - t') \delta_q - q \eta_\parallel q^2 \delta_1. \tag{2}
\]

In the above expressions, angular brackets denote average over the noise realizations, the index \( \epsilon \) is used to indicate quantities evaluated at equilibrium, \( V \) is the volume of the system, \( I \) is the unit tensor in the subspace perpendicular to \( q \), and \( \eta_\parallel \) a coefficient that according with the Landau theory is the same as the viscosity, \( \eta_\parallel = \eta_e \). This is a main physical assertion of the theory.

There is no equilibrium state for granular fluids. Instead, the simplest state for a freely evolving granular fluid is the HCS, mentioned above. At a mesoscopic level, it is characterized by a uniform density \( n_H \), a vanishing flow velocity, and a monotonically decreasing granular temperature, \( T_H(t) \), that obeys the Haff law [10],

\[
\partial_t T_H (t) = - \zeta_H (T_H(t)) T_H (t), \tag{3}
\]

\( \zeta_H (T_H) \) being the cooling rate accounting for the energy dissipation in collisions. The question addressed here is whether eqs. (1) and (2) are also valid to describe fluctuations of the vorticity in the HCS of a granular fluid as, in fact, has been assumed sometimes in the literature [11]. Of course, if the answer is negative, there is little hope that those equations hold for stronger non-equilibrium states, such as vibrofluidized granular media.

The simplest and most used model for granular fluids is an ensemble of \( N \) smooth inelastic hard spheres \((d = 3)\) or disks \((d = 2)\) of diameter \( \sigma \) [12]. Inelasticity is described by means of a constant coefficient of normal restitution \( \alpha \), defined in the interval \( 0 < \alpha \leq 1 \). For this model, expressions for the transport coefficients have been obtained by using the inelastic Boltzmann-Enskog equation and the Chapman-Enskog procedure. In particular it has been found that [8,13]

\[
\eta_H = mn_H \ell_0 v_H(t) \eta^* (\alpha, n_H), \tag{4}
\]

\[
\zeta_H (T_H) = v_H (t) \ell_0 \zeta^* (\alpha, n_H), \tag{5}
\]

where \( \ell_0 \equiv (n_H \sigma^{d-1})^{-1} \) is proportional to the mean free path of the particles, \( v_H (t) \equiv [2T_H (t)/m]^{1/2} \) is a thermal velocity for the HCS, and \( \eta^* \) and \( \zeta^* \) are dimensionless functions of the density and the coefficient of restitution. When eqs. (1) and (2) are applied to the HCS, the problem arises that the viscosity and the second moment of the noise term are time-dependent through the temperature \( T_H (t) \). It is then convenient to introduce dimensionless length and time scales by \( \ell \equiv \sigma/\ell_0 \) and \( ds \equiv v_H (t) dt/\ell_0 \), respectively. Moreover, a dimensionless velocity field is defined by \( \omega (l, s) \equiv \delta u (r, t)/v_H (t) \). Then, eqs. (1) and (2) become

\[
\left[ \partial_s - \zeta^*/2 \right] \omega_{q,\perp} (s) = \xi_{k,\perp} (s), \tag{6}
\]

\[
\langle \xi_{k,\perp} (s) \xi_{k',\perp} (s') \rangle_H = V^2 N^{-1} \delta (s - s') \delta_{k, - k'} k^2 \tag{7}
\]

with \( k = \ell_0 q \) and \( V^* = \sqrt{\ell_0/\ell} \). In eq. (7), \( \eta_H \) is related with \( \eta_H \) by a relation similar to eq. (4). For wave vectors \( k \) such that \( \lambda_{\perp} (k) \alpha \approx \zeta^*/2 - \eta^* k^2 \leq 0 \), the long-time solution of eq. (6) reads

\[
\omega_{k,\perp} (s) = \int_{-\infty}^s ds_1 e^{\lambda_{\perp} (k)(s-s_1)} \xi_{k,\perp} (s_1). \tag{8}
\]

Using the above expression and eq. (7), the two-time correlation function of the transverse velocity field is easily obtained,

\[
\zeta_{k, k'} (s, s') \equiv \langle \omega_{k,\perp} (s) \omega_{k',\perp} (s') \rangle_H
= \frac{V^* \eta^*}{2 N \lambda_{\perp} (k)} \delta_{k, - k'} e^{\lambda_{\perp} (k)(s-s')}, \tag{9}
\]

valid for \( s \gg s' \gg 1 \). At this point it is worth to stress that, due to the isotropy of the system, eq. (7) can be extended to all the components of the velocity, while eq. (9) is restricted to the transversal components.
In the following, MD simulation results testing eq. (9) will be reported. Consider a square cell \((d = 2)\) of side \(L\) with periodic boundary conditions, so the minimum value of \(k\) allowed is \(k_{\text{min}} = 2\pi n_0 / L\). The value of \(L\) has been always chosen such that \(k_{\text{min}} > k_\perp \equiv (\zeta^*/2\eta^*)^{1/2}\), to guarantee that the system be always in the parameter region in which it is expected to be stable with respect to vorticity fluctuations, \(i.e.\) \(\lambda_\perp(k_{\text{min}}) < 0\) and eq. (9) holds for all the allowed values of \(k\). In the simulations, fluctuations corresponding to \(k = k_{\text{min}} \hat{e}_x\), where \(\hat{e}_x\) is the unit vector in the direction of one of the sides of the cell, have been measured. Therefore, \(\omega_{k,\perp} = \omega_{k,\perp} \hat{e}_y\), with \(\hat{e}_y\) being a unit vector perpendicular to \(\hat{e}_x\). The particle representation of the transversal velocity field considered here is

\[
\delta u_{q,\text{trans}}(t) = \frac{N}{\sum_{j=1}^{N} e^{-2\pi i X_j(t) / L} V_{s,s}(t)}, \quad (10)
\]

where the components of the position and the velocity of particle \(i\) at time \(t\) have been denoted by \(X_i(t)\), \(V_i(t)\) and \(V_x,i(t)\), \(V_y,i(t)\), respectively. In the MD simulations an event-driven algorithm and the steady-state representation of the HCS [14,15] have been employed. In this representation, the HCS is exactly mapped onto a steady state by means of a change in the time scale. More precisely, the new time scale is a logarithmic function of the original one. The fluctuations of the transverse velocity turned out to be always Gaussian within the statistical errors. Moreover, the imaginary part of the two-time correlation function of the transversal velocity field, as a function of the inverse of the number of particles \(N\). The density and coefficient of restitution are the same as in fig. 1. The symbols are from MD simulations and the solid line is the best linear fit.

\[
\Phi(s,s') = \frac{C_{k_{\text{min}}} \delta e_x,i - k_{\text{min}} \delta e_x(i,s,s')} {C_{k_{\text{min}}} \delta e_x,i - k_{\text{min}} \delta e_x(i,s,s')} \quad (11)
\]

is plotted as a function of \(s - s'\) for \(\alpha = 0.8\), \(n_H \sigma^2 = 0.01\), and several values of \(N\), as indicated. In all cases, an exponential decay is observed, in agreement with eq. (9), that predicts \(\Phi(s,s') = \exp[\lambda(\kappa_{\text{min}})(s - s')]\). From the data, values for \(\lambda(\kappa_{\text{min}})\) are obtained. Of course, similar results are found when the labels of the two axis are interchanged. The simulations also provide the value of the cooling rate, that is independent of \(N\) and in good agreement with the theoretical prediction given in [8,13]. Then, by subtracting \(\zeta^*/2\) from the obtained values of \(\lambda(\kappa_{\text{min}})\), simulation results for the parameter \(\eta^*\) follow. From the analysis of the data, it follows that the value obtained depends on the number of particles \(N\) (size \(L\)) employed in the simulation. The theoretical prediction corresponds to the formal limit \(N \to \infty\), \(L \to \infty\), with \(n_H\) constant. In fig. 2, the values of \(\eta^*\) obtained from the data in fig. 1 are plotted as a function of \(N^{-1}\). A linear fit leads to the result \(\lim_{N \to \infty} \eta^* = (1.059 \pm 0.004)\eta^*_s\), where \(\eta^*_s = (2\sqrt{\pi} \eta^*)^{-1}\) is the low-density (Boltzmann) elastic limit. The theoretical prediction for the Navier-Stokes shear viscosity obtained from the Boltzmann equation in the first Sonine approximition is \(\eta^* = 1.057\eta^*_s\). Moreover, if a “size-dependent viscosity” is measured by considering the decay of a small macroscopic sine perturbation of the velocity field [16] in systems of different sizes, the values are in good agreement with those reported in fig. 2. Therefore, it can be concluded that, for the system being investigated, eq. (9) predicts accurately the decay of the correlation function, with \(\eta^*\) being the (inelastic) Navier-Stokes shear viscosity.

Focus next on the amplitude of the fluctuations. From eq. (9), it follows that

\[
\frac{C_{k_{\text{min}}} \delta e_x,i - k_{\text{min}} \delta e_x(i,s,s')} {2N \lambda(\kappa_{\text{min}})} = \frac{V^* \zeta^* k_{\text{min}}^2}{2N \lambda_\perp(\kappa_{\text{min}})} \quad (12)
\]

In fig. 2: Values of the parameter \(\eta^*/\eta^*_s = 2\sqrt{2\pi} \eta^*_s\), obtained from the exponential decay of the correlation function of the transversal velocity field, as a function of the inverse of the number of particles \(N\). The density and coefficient of restitution are the same as in fig. 1. The symbols are from MD simulations and the solid line is the best linear fit.
Since \( \lambda_\perp(k_{\text{min}}) \) was determined from the time decay of the correlation function, the coefficient \( \eta^* \) can be computed from the measurements of the above quantity. Again, a clear dependence on the number of particles \( N \) is observed in the results reported in fig. 3, that correspond to the same system as in figs. 1 and 2. By means of a linear fit, the asymptotic \( N \to \infty \) value \( \eta^* = 1.29 \pm 0.02 \) is found. It differs from both the shear viscosity of the system measured by the procedures described above and the theoretical prediction in more than 20%.

The above analysis has been repeated for different values of the coefficient of restitution, keeping the density small to allow comparison with the kinetic theory predictions. In particular, the results plotted in fig. 4 correspond to the case previously discussed and to \( \alpha = 0.85, 0.90, \) and \( 0.95, \) with \( n_H \sigma^2 = 6 \times 10^{-3}, 0.018, \) and \( 0.02, \) respectively. It is observed that the discrepancy between the shear viscosity \( \eta \) and the coefficient \( \eta^* \) characterizing the second moment of the fluctuations, increases as the inelasticity increases, vanishing in the elastic limit, as required. In the simulations, attention has been paid to assure that \( k_{\text{min}} \) is neither too large nor too small. In the former case, the Navier-Stokes approximation is expected to fail, while in the latter instability effects show up.

Two main physical conclusions follow from the study presented here. The Landau-Langevin fluctuating hydrodynamic equations cannot be directly extended to granular fluids. But, on the other hand, it seems possible to separate slow and fast degrees of freedom and to model granular systems in the spirit of the Langevin approach. A similar conclusion was reached from the study of the fluctuations of the total energy of the system [17]. The open and relevant question is to understand the physical origin of the violation of the Landau and Lifshitz fluctuation relations. Answering this question requires to derive Langevin-like equations for the hydrodynamic fields of granular fluids stating from kinetic theory or statistical-mechanics descriptions. This program has been carried out and the predicted results agree very well with the simulation data. This study will be published elsewhere [18], but let us point out that the discrepancies are due to the combination of the cooling and the presence of velocity correlations in the system. Moreover, the emerging picture is more complicated than what could be expected from the results reported here, since the noise turns out to be non-white. Also, and closely related with the above, the exponential decay of the time correlation function \( \Phi(s, s') \) is seen to hold after a transient interval \( s - s' \).

The qualitative discrepancy found here between the elastic and inelastic forms of the F-D relations seems consistent with the previous findings that the Green-Kubo expressions for the transport coefficients in fluids composed of inelastic particles do not coincide with the corresponding expressions for elastic, molecular systems [19–21].
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