ANALYTICAL METHOD DEVELOPMENT AND VALIDATION FOR SIMULTANEOUS ESTIMATION OF MEFLOQUINE HYDROCHLORIDE AND ARTEMETHER IN BULK DRUG BY SIMULTANEOUS EQUATION METHOD
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**ABSTRACT**

The purpose of the research is to establish a fast, accurate, precise, and low-cost UV-Visible spectrophotometry method for the quantitative simultaneous estimation of mefloquine hydrochloride and artemether in bulk drug. The UV-Visible method employed was a simultaneous equation method. Ethanol was used as a solvent and therefore the absorption maxima (λ max) was found to be 229 nm and 209 nm for mefloquine hydrochloride and artemether. The linearity ranges of both drugs were 1-6 μg/mL and 100 – 350 μg/mL with a regression coefficient \( r^2 \geq 0.998 \) respectively. The method was validated for different parameters according to International Conference on Harmonization ICH Q2B guidelines. The average recovery for mefloquine hydrochloride was found to be 100 per cent and artemether 99.3 per cent. The method was also found precise and robust with a per cent relative standard deviation of less than 2. All the parameters result obtained within the limits. Therefore, the proposed method for the accurate quantitation of mefloquine hydrochloride and artemether in the bulk drug was successfully implemented.

**INTRODUCTION**

Chemically mefloquine hydrochloride is (S)-[2,8-Bis(trifluoromethyl)quinolin-4-yl]-[(2R)-piperidin-2-yl]methanol;hydrochloride. It is a potent antimalarial quinoline-methanol derivative effective against chloroquine and quinine-resistant strains of *Plasmodium falciparum*. It also provided suppressive prophylaxis against mosquito-induced infections with *Plasmodium vivax* and *P. falciparum* in human [1]. It is
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practically very soluble in ethanol and insoluble in water. Artemether, chemically \((1R,4S,5R,8S,9R,10S,12R,13R)-10\)-methoxy-1,5,9-trimethyl-11,14,15,16-tetraoxatetracyclo\[10.3.1.0^4,13.0^8,13\]hexadecane, is an artemisinin derivative used as an antimalarial by Iron-mediated bridge cleavage [2]. Artemether is very soluble in dichloromethane & acetone and freely soluble in ethyl acetate and insoluble in water.

Several methods for determining mefloquine hydrochloride (Fig.1) and artemether (Fig.2) have been published. Some of these methods are for the determination by UV-Visible spectrophotometer [3 – 6] and RP-HPLC [7 – 9] of mefloquine hydrochloride and artemether individually [10] or in combination with other drugs. But no single method has been reported for mefloquine hydrochloride and artemether simultaneous estimation by UV and RP-HPLC. Therefore, an effort was made to develop a quick, reliable and cost-effective method for simultaneous estimation by UV-Visible spectrophotometer of both drugs.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

**Chemicals and reagents**
The drugs mefloquine hydrochloride and artemether were purchased from Sanjay Biological Amritsar, Punjab India. All solvents used were of an analytical standard of high quality and purchased from Shri Sai laboratory Hoshiarpur, India. Every weighing was carried out on a calibrated analytical balance. Calibrated glassware’s were used throughout the work.

**Instrumentation**
The method was developed by using Lab India 3000\(^+\) double beam spectrophotometer with a spectral bandwidth of 2 nm. UV-win software was used to acquire data. The reference and test solution absorption spectra were performed over a spectrum of 200–400 nm.

**Solvent Selection**
The solubility of both drugs was checked in different solvents and from solubility study, ethanol was found to be a suitable solvent. Both drugs were accurately dissolved in this solvent and showing no disturbance during recording spectra.

**Preparation of Standard Stock Solutions**
Mefloquine hydrochloride and artemether standard stock solutions were prepared by correctly measured 100 mg of each drug and transferred into the different volumetric flask (100 mL). Both were appropriately diluted with ethanol to get a concentration of 1000 µg/mL and were kept as stock solutions.

**Selection of analytical wavelength**
For the selection of wavelength 4 µg/mL concentration of mefloquine hydrochloride and 300 µg/mL of artemether was scanned in the wavelength region of 200–400 nm using ethanol as blank and the \(\lambda_{\text{max}}\) was found to be 229 nm for mefloquine hydrochloride and 209 nm for artemether respectively.

A spectrum of mefloquine hydrochloride and artemether

The overlay spectrum of both drugs has shown in Fig.3. The wavelengths 229 nm and 209 nm were selected for mefloquine hydrochloride and artemether because at these wavelengths both drugs showed maximum absorbance.

**Selection of analytical concentration range**
From the standard stock solution of mefloquine hydrochloride (100 µg/mL) and artemether (1000 µg/mL), the volume of 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5, 0.6 mL and 1, 1.5, 2, 2.5, 3, 3.5 mL was pipetted out and transferred to different volumetric flask (100 mL). Both were appropriately diluted with ethanol to get a concentration of 1000 µg/mL and were kept as stock solutions.

The overlay spectrum of both drugs has shown in Fig.3. The wavelengths 229 nm and 209 nm were selected for mefloquine hydrochloride and artemether because at these wavelengths both drugs showed maximum absorbance.
prepared mefloquine hydrochloride and artemether working solutions were scanned at 229 nm and 209 nm, respectively. The absorbance was recorded for each concentration of both drugs and calibration curves were plotted against the concentrations. The result of both drugs has shown in table 1-5 and Fig.4-7. The absorptivity coefficients were calculated at both wavelengths of each drug. Using equations 1 & 2 the concentration of two drugs in the mixture was calculated

\[ CMFL.HCl = \frac{A_2 ay_1 - A_1 ay_2}{ax_2 ay_1 - ax_1 ay_2} \]  
\[ CART = \frac{A_1 ax_2 - A_2 ax_1}{ax_2 ay_1 - ax_1 ay_2} \]

Where, A1 and A2 are the absorbances of the mixture at 229 nm and 209 nm; ax1 and ax2, absorptivities of mefloquine hydrochloride at 229 nm and 209, respectively; ay1 and ay2 absorptivities of artemether at 229 nm and 209 nm, respectively. CMFL HCl and CART are a concentration of mefloquine hydrochloride and artemether in the mixture. The multicomponent analysis was carried out by using a simultaneous equation method. The % assay was found to be 98.73 % for mefloquine hydrochloride & 98.93 % for artemether respectively.

Fig 3: Overlay Spectra of mefloquine hydrochloride and artemether

Table 1: linear regression analysis of calibration curve (n=6)

| Parameter               | Mefloquine hydrochloride | Artemether       |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| Working λmax            | 229 nm                   | 209 nm           | 229 nm         |
| Beer law limit          | 1-6 µg/mL                | 1-6 µg/mL        | 100-350 µg/mL  |
| Regression equation     | Y=0.0635x+0.0379         | Y=0.0915x+0.0681 | Y=0.008x-0.0139 |
| Regression Coefficient (r²) | 0.999                    | 0.9983           | 0.9995         |
| LOD µg/mL               | 0.207                    | 0.264            | 9.19           |
| LOQ µg/mL               | 0.628                    | 0.802            | 27.8           |

Table 2: Results of the linearity curve for Mefloquine hydrochloride at 229 nm.

| Sr. No | Concentration (µg/mL) | Absorbance |
|--------|-----------------------|------------|
| 1      | 1                     | 0.104      |
| 2      | 2                     | 0.166      |
| 3      | 3                     | 0.227      |
| 4      | 4                     | 0.288      |
| 5      | 5                     | 0.352      |
| 6      | 6                     | 0.425      |
Table 3: Results of the linearity curve for Mefloquine hydrochloride at 209 nm.

| Sr. No | Concentration (µg/mL) | Absorbance |
|--------|-----------------------|------------|
| 1      | 1                     | 0.161      |
| 2      | 2                     | 0.258      |
| 3      | 3                     | 0.335      |
| 4      | 4                     | 0.432      |
| 5      | 5                     | 0.518      |
| 6      | 6                     | 0.626      |

Figure 4: Calibration Curve of mefloquine hydrochloride at 229 nm

Figure 5: Calibration Curve of mefloquine hydrochloride at 209 nm

$y = 0.0635x + 0.0379$

$R^2 = 0.999$

Table 4: Results of the linearity curve of Artemether at wavelength 209 nm.

| Sr. No | Concentration (µg/mL) | Absorbance |
|--------|-----------------------|------------|
| 1      | 100                   | 0.068      |
| 2      | 150                   | 0.108      |
| 3      | 200                   | 0.145      |
| 4      | 250                   | 0.186      |
| 5      | 300                   | 0.230      |
| 6      | 350                   | 0.269      |

$y = 0.0915x + 0.0681$

$R^2 = 0.9983$
VALIDATION OF THE SPECTROPHOTOMETRIC METHOD [11]

Accuracy

Accuracy was determined by the standard addition method calculating the percentage of recovery studies at three concentration levels of 80 per cent, 100 per cent, and 120 per cent. The mean percentage recovery for mefloquine hydrochloride and artemether was found to be 100 & 99.3%. The accuracy results have been shown in table 6a & 6b

Precision

Intraday and interday precision

For intraday & Interday precision of mefloquine hydrochloride and artemether conc. 2.4, 3.0, 3.6 µg/mL and 160, 200, 240 µg/mL were prepared in three replicates from the stock solution and absorbance was taken for both. The absorbance for intraday was measured in 2 hours of interval for each set and interday result collected in different days. The method was found to be precise for intraday and interday with per cent RSD values less than 2. The results have been reported in table 7a & 7b.
Repeatability
A minimum of six determinants of 3.6 μg/mL mefloquine hydrochloride concentration and 240 μg/mL artemether concentration were prepared for repeatability, and absorbance was taken for each drug at 229 and 209 nm. The results have been reported in table 8a & 8b.

Robustness
Robustness is a measure of its capacity to remain unaffected by small, but deliberate variations in the method parameter. The robustness of the method was checked by varying the wavelength. There was a change of ±2 nm. The concentration of 2.4 μg/mL for mefloquine hydrochloride and 160 μg/mL for artemether was prepared with their three replicates and absorbance was measured respectively. From the result data it was found that by changing the wavelength ±2 nm, there was no change in the original results. The results of robustness have been reported in table 9a & 9b.

Limit of detection (LOD)
Limit of detection was calculated from the linearity curve data by excel for both drugs and calculation was made using a formula.

\[ LOD = \frac{3.3 \times \sigma}{S} \]

Limit of quantitation:
For the limit of quantitation, the data was taken from the linearity curve of both drugs and the LOQ was calculated by excels. The result of both LOD and LOQ has shown in table 1.

\[ LOQ = \frac{10 \times \sigma}{S} \]

Where, \( \sigma \) = standard deviation of the response, \( S \) = Slope

The results of LOD and LOQ have been shown in table 1.

| Recovery Sample | Fortified sample | % Recovery |
|-----------------|-----------------|------------|
| Conc.(μg/mL)    | Absorbance      | Mean       | Conc.(μg/mL) | Absorbance | Mean       |
| 2.4             | 0.181           | 0.180      | 2.4+3.0      | 0.408      | 0.410      | 100        |
|                 | 0.179           |            |             | 0.410      |            |
|                 | 0.180           |            |             | 0.411      |            |
| 3.0             | 0.230           | 0.229      | 3.0+3.0      | 0.459      | 0.459      | 100        |
|                 | 0.229           |            |             | 0.458      |            |
|                 | 0.228           |            |             | 0.460      |            |
| 3.6             | 0.263           | 0.264      | 3.6+3.0      | 0.492      | 0.492      | 99.6       |
|                 | 0.265           |            |             | 0.490      |            |
|                 | 0.264           |            |             | 0.493      |            |

Mean of % Drug Recovery: 100

S.D. = Standard Deviation  RSD = Relative standard deviation

| Recovery Sample | Fortified sample | % Recovery |
|-----------------|-----------------|------------|
| Conc.(μg/mL)    | Absorbance      | Mean       | Conc.(μg/mL) | Absorbance | Mean       |
| 80              | 0.058           | 0.058      | 80+100       | 0.129      | 0.127      | 100        |
|                 | 0.057           |            |             | 0.127      |            |
|                 | 0.059           |            |             | 0.126      |            |
| 100             | 0.068           | 0.069      | 100+100      | 0.138      | 0.138      | 100        |
|                 | 0.070           |            |             | 0.139      |            |
|                 | 0.069           |            |             | 0.137      |            |
| 120             | 0.089           | 0.089      | 120+100      | 0.159      | 0.157      | 98         |
|                 | 0.088           |            |             | 0.157      |            |
|                 | 0.090           |            |             | 0.156      |            |

Mean of % Drug Recovery: 99.3
Table 7a: Intraday and Interday precision of Mefloquine hydrochloride at 229 nm and 209 nm.

| Parameter                | Mefloquine hydrochloride (229nm) | Mefloquine hydrochloride (209nm) |
|--------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Concentration (μg/mL)    | 2.4 3.0 3.6                      | 2.4 3.0 3.6                      |
| Precision intraday %RSD  | 0.571 0.442 0.769               | 0.746 0.306 0.525               |
| Interday-1 % RSD        | 0.555 0.446 0.386               | 0.366 0.307 0.160               |
| Interday-2 %RSD         | 0.546 0.444 0.769               | 0.362 0.305 0.545               |
| Interday-3 %RSD         | 0.847 0.442 0.584               | 0.740 0.612 0.539               |

Table 7b: Intraday and Interday precision of Artemether at 209 nm and 229 nm.

| Parameter                | Artemether (209nm) | Artemether (229nm) |
|--------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Concentration (μg/mL)    | 160 200 240        | 160 200 240        |
| Precision intraday %RSD  | 0.793 0.672 0.562 | 1.18 0.984 0.841   |
| Interday-1 % RSD        | 0.813 0.649 0.565 | 1.333 1.02 0.833   |
| Interday-2 %RSD         | 0.453 0.963 0.523 | 1.17 0.968 0.829   |
| Interday-3 %RSD         | 0.826 0.680 0.559 | 1.21 0.984 0.763   |

Table 8a: Repeatability of Mefloquine hydrochloride at 229 nm and 209 nm

| Sr. No. | Absorbance (229 nm) | Sr. No. | Absorbance (209 nm) |
|---------|---------------------|---------|---------------------|
| 1       | 0.258               | 1       | 0.375               |
| 2       | 0.259               | 2       | 0.377               |
| 3       | 0.260               | 3       | 0.372               |
| 4       | 0.261               | 4       | 0.371               |
| 5       | 0.257               | 5       | 0.370               |
| 6       | 0.259               | 6       | 0.372               |
| Mean    | 0.259               | Mean    | 0.373               |
| S.D.    | 0.001               | S.D.    | 0.003               |
| % RSD   | 0.386               | % RSD   | 0.804               |

Table 8b: Repeatability of Artemether at 209 nm and 229 nm

| Sr. No. | Absorbance (209 nm) | Sr. No. | Absorbance (229 nm) |
|---------|---------------------|---------|---------------------|
| 1       | 0.179               | 1       | 0.072               |
| 2       | 0.180               | 2       | 0.071               |
| 3       | 0.178               | 3       | 0.072               |
| 4       | 0.177               | 4       | 0.072               |
| 5       | 0.180               | 5       | 0.071               |
| 6       | 0.181               | 6       | 0.072               |
| Mean    | 0.179               | Mean    | 0.072               |
| S.D.    | 0.001               | S.D.    | 0.001               |
| % RSD   | 0.559               | % RSD   | 0.721               |
Table 9a: Robustness data of Mefloquine hydrochloride

| Wavelength | 227nm | 229nm | 231nm | Wavelength | 207nm | 209nm | 211nm |
|------------|-------|-------|-------|------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Absorbance | 0.195 | 0.183 | 0.171 | Absorbance | 0.260 | 0.278 | 0.289 |
| Mean       | 0.194 | 0.182 | 0.173 | Mean       | 0.261 | 0.277 | 0.290 |
| S.D.       | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | S.D.       | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 |
| % RSD      | 0.515 | 0.549 | 0.581 | % RSD      | 0.385 | 0.361 | 0.345 |

Table 9b: Robustness data of Artemether

| Wavelength | 207nm | 209nm | 211nm | Wavelength | 227nm | 229nm | 231nm |
|------------|-------|-------|-------|------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Absorbance | 0.132 | 0.121 | 0.111 | Absorbance | 0.053 | 0.046 | 0.039 |
| Mean       | 0.132 | 0.122 | 0.112 | Mean       | 0.054 | 0.046 | 0.039 |
| S.D.       | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | S.D.       | 0.0006| 0.0006| 0.0006|
| % RSD      | 0.757 | 0.819 | 0.893 | % RSD      | 1.11  | 1.304 | 1.54  |

CONCLUSION
In conclusion, developed UV-Visible spectrophotometer method gave the promising results of validation studies. The method’s accuracy was conducted in terms of recovery analysis and mean recovery for mefloquine hydrochloride and artemether was found to be 100 & 99.3 per cent. The intraday and inter-day precision results were found to be less than 2 in terms of a per cent relative standard deviation. The proposed Vierordt’s method was found to be simple, precise, robust and cost-efficient. This method can be employed in future for the determination of mefloquine hydrochloride and artemether in bulk drug.
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