Ecological Observations, Preliminary Checklist and Conservation of Mammals Occurring Within the Eastern Boundaries of Ethiope River, Niger Delta Area of Nigeria
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Abstract

This study examined the ecological distribution and abundance of mammals in the eastern Ethiope ecoregion of the Niger Delta area at three designated survey blocks over a period of six months. Mammals were surveyed by observing their habitat nests, burrows, faecal pellets, captured samples from hunters’ handbags and bush meat sales from men in the mapped out area. Survey block I was located at a commercial demanding area while survey block II and III were located southwards the commercial impacted area. Results of the ecological observations and checklists showed that the relative diversity and abundance of mammal species were significantly different (p<0.05) among the survey blocks mapped out. The vegetation cover, rate of habitat loss and hunting activities were different amongst the survey blocks examined. A total of twenty four species of mammals were identified with Cricetomys gambianus, the giant rat being the most abundant species followed by Cephalophus maxwelli, the Maxwells Duiker, while Manis tricuspis, the White bellied Pangolin was only seldom observed. Conservation of this important habitat is recommended for sustainability and benefit to the local people.
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Introduction

Nigeria is the third most biologically diverse African country in terms of both plant and animal species distribution. In spite of this important status, the environment is presently exposed to forest species loss and decimation as a result of anthropogenic perturbations resulting from urbanization, agriculture, deforestation, industrialization and other sundry activities [1-3]. There is little information available concerning most of the mammals of Nigeria in terms of ecology, diversity and abundance [4-7]. In particular, the knowledge of mammals around the Niger Delta is still fragmentary due to the different levels of exploration of the various areas of the Delta.

Over-harvesting of forest products and wildlife is closely facilitated by ignorance on the part of the resource users, weak resource tenure systems including ineffective and non-enforcement of policies on the part of the government, when the most seriously affected resources in this regard is wildlife. The “bush meat” trade provides a significant source of income for rural forest fringe populations and represents almost 80% of their animal protein intake. Over-harvesting and use of unsustainable harvesting methods is almost inevitable. The commercialization of bush meat is probably a more significant and immediate threat than forest loss for the majority of primates as well as for many other mammals [8]. Despite those incessant human exploitations and disturbances, the need for biodiversity conservation was realized in Nigeria as far back as 1946 when some strict nature reserves and forest reserves were established. After independence, further reviews of the ordinances were made by the regional government, but they were still inadequate [9]. Early conservation trends in Nigeria were certain taboos and traditional customs which prevented the killing or hunting of certain animals for food; a notable example is the mona monkey in most southern parts of Nigeria. In a number of such communities, small sections of forests still contain endangered species of monkeys and other mammals protected because of the importance placed by the local people [10-12].

Following the fast declining state of the nation’s wildlife and the need for effective conservation measures to be put in place to check the depletion and extinction of mammalian species, this research work was undertaken to:

(1) Serve as a basis to ascertain the abundance of various mammals in Ethiope River ecoregion

(2) assess the current status and threats to the population of mammals in this geographical area with a view to providing conservation and remediation measures to safeguard the over exploitation of existing wildlife populations within this geographical area.

Study Area

The Ethiope forest ecoregion

The Ethiope forest ecoregion falls within the tropical rainforest zone of southern Nigeria. It is located just behind the channel flows of the Ethiope River which takes its source from Umuaja, down to its...
mouth at Sapele both located within Delta State, Nigeria [12], between (50 51’.00” N; 6. 09. 00” E) Ukwuani Local Government Area and Eku (50 45’ 25” N; 60 03. 20”) Ethiope East Local Government Area, both located in Delta State, Nigeria (Figure 1).

Climate

The climate of this ecoregion is typical of the seasonal variations of the Northern trade wind from the Sahara and the Southwest trade wind from the Atlantic, bringing about the rainy and dry seasons. The rainy season begins in April and lasts till October, and a brief dry season commence from November to March. The wet season peaks in July. A marked interruption in the rains occurs during August resulting in a short dry season often referred to as the “August break.” Precipitation is heavier in this area which receives more than 120 inches (3,000 mm) of rain a year. Relative humidity is usually above 57% and fluctuates between 70-80% for most of the year. The average daily temperature is 27°C.

Vegetation

The vegetation of the area is that of a lowland rainforest with sandy-loam soil which supports different plant forms especially, the trees and shrubs. The forest is usually characterized by a three strata arrangement. Emergent trees include the popular and common Iroko (Chlorophora excelsa), Rubber tree (Hevea brasiliensis), Obeche (Triplochiton scleroxylon), Mahogany (Khaya ivorensis). The middle strata include trees such as the oil palm (Elaeis guineensis) and Raffia palm (Raffia hookeri). The lower trees include the bush mango (Irvingia gabonensis) etc. The herb layer is formed from herbaceous plants, shrubs and tree seedling. A wide range of life forms, including many epiphytic forms and orchids, are present in the Ethiope forest ecoregion and grasses are rare. Tree height ranges from 18 to 23 m. This is relatively low for a rainforest however emergent trees of heights between 31 and 36 m occur at low densities. Grasses are rarely predominant around the study area where human activity is minimal.

Human community

The area is inhabited by the Ukwuani speaking people of Obiaruku and the Urhobo speaking people of Abraka and Eku rural settlements respectively. The inhabitants are predominantly farmers and cultivate crops such as cassava (Manihot sp), Plantain (Musa sapienta), Melon (Cucumis vulgaris), Yam (Dioscorea sp), Corn (Zea mays), Okra (Abelmeschus esculentus), other occupations include hunting, fishing, weaving, trading and sculpture making.

Materials and Methods

Sampling procedure

Following the necessity to obtain reliable ecological information of the mammalian diversity within this area, we embarked on a six-month surveillance study lasting from February to July 2009. Procedures employed included host community consultation in addition to a simplified sweep survey of the area. The Ethiope Eastern boundaries were divided into three survey blocks; I – North (Obiaruku) (Plate 1) II Central (Abraka), III – South (Eku) (Plate 2). Contact visit expeditions were carried out including interviews with experienced, retired hunters as well as village heads. Bush meat markets were visited as well as contacts with sales men. In this way, vital information on both past and present ecological and population fauna status in the area was assessed.

A pair of binoculars was employed for the sighting of tree squirrels and other arboreal animals as well as the nests of arboreal mammals at the uppermost branches. A digital camera was used to take snapshots of the mammals captured in the live traps and those in the hunter’s bags. Hand gloves were worn when handling the animals and traps. A helmet was worn in the field for protection against falls as well as...
protective clothing worn to protect the skin against thorns, scratches and bites of the thick forest. A compass was employed for location specificity. The animals were identified using a field guide of African mammals by Booth [13], De Blase and Martin [14] and Theodore and Helmut [15].

The animals were captured using the live traps which were set by the local hunters. The traps were baited with foods such as palm fruits, cassava and other fruits to attract them. The traps were of various forms and types depending on the animal behaviour and ecology. Burrowing animals like the Giant Rat, *Cricetomys gambianus* and the Giant ground squirrel, *Xerus erythropus* were caught with burrow traps. The barrier trap was used for trapping animals like the Maxwell's Duiker, *Cephalophus maxwelli*. The various trapping methods were useful for capturing animals and thereafter released back into the wild. Field work and sampling was carried out thrice in a month. Besides trapping of animals, other methods were also employed such as the direct sighting for highly sensitive mammals like the flying squirrels and the long nosed mongoose. The presence of feeding spots, foot prints, nests and droppings were also employed in identification. Other mammals were identified with the aid of a hunter through the specific call alerts (sounds and vocalization) either for danger or mating.

Hunters' bags and specimens usually sold at bush meat markets were also examined and photographs taken (Plate 3, 4 and 5). From the hunting records and consultation of association and groups in the area, a total of 83 hunters are currently operating in the area. Information on hunters association in the area was very important so as to be certain if there are any traditional conservation measures currently being put in place. This also gave an insight of the hunting pressure in the area.

All population counts were done by indirect assessments as it is often impossible to obtain accurate, visual or auditory counts of the animals in a population, hence in this study, we used indirect signs of the animals present as indices of relative abundance. Sample counts were made, and the results extrapolated to other areas of similar vegetation type within the survey block. The standard King's Strip Census (KSC) was employed.

**Kings Strip Census (KSC)**

This method involves making systematic or random transects within the sample area. The observer makes left and right observations, up to a sighting distance of about 100 metres, in the dense tropical rain forest. Records are kept for each tract as follows:

- Length of Transect
The formula for calculating the population of each species of animals for the area covered is as follows:

\[ P = \frac{A Z_i}{X_i Y_i} \]

Where

- \( P \) = Total population of a particular species of animal
- \( X_i \) = Average sighting distance of animals perpendicular to transect line \( i \)
- \( Y_i \) = Length of transect line \( i \)
- \( Z_i \) = Number of animals seen along transect line \( i \)
- \( A \) = Total area

\[ 2X_i Y_i = \text{Total area sampled for transect line } i \]

The calculation is then repeated for all species of animals. Densities of mammals were treated separately for each block consisting of different vegetation types. The extrapolated density for a given species occurring in a block was thus estimated. In all a total of eighteen separate survey were made during the period and the average taken.

Taxa richness (Margalef index), diversity (Shannon, & Simpson dominance indices) and evenness indices were calculated using the computer BASIC programme SP DIVERS [16].

Results

During the period of study, a total of twenty four (24) species of mammals were identified from all the sampling techniques put together (Table 1). The current status of their habitats were observed to be heavily degraded due to agricultural activities such as cultivation of crops which has led to land fragmentation, bush burning, erosion, falling down of trees for timber by the village occupants. This has resulted to degradation of most habitats and rendered most fauna population homeless as opposed to an undisturbed section of the study area were most large mammals inhabit.

The evidence of the relative abundance of traps, faecal pellets and footprints were more frequently detected and observed than the direct sightings of the animals themselves. Table 1 shows the mammals observed, their relative habitats, mode of identification and their IUCN status.

| Common Name            | Species                | IUCN Category | Mode of Identification | Vegetation/Habitat |
|------------------------|------------------------|---------------|------------------------|--------------------|
|                        |                        |               | Forest                 | Farm-bush          | Grass land         |
| Grasscutter            | Thryonomys swinderianus| LC            | HB, FS                 | ✓                  | ✓                  | ✓                  |
| Bush tailed porcupine  | Atherurus africanus    | LC            | HB, FP                 | ✓                  | ✓                  | -                  |
| Giant Pouched rat      | Cricetomys gambianus   | LC            | HB, BH, DS             | -                  | ✓                  | ✓                  |
| Ground squirrel        | Xerus erythropus       | LC            | BH, DS                 | -                  | ✓                  | ✓                  |
| Orange headed squirrel | Funisciurus olibae     | DD            | DS, HB                 | ✓                  | ✓                  | -                  |
| Beecrofts flying squirrel | Anomalurus beecrofti  | LC            | FP                     | ✓                  | -                  | -                  |
| Nigerian musk shrew    | Crocidura nigriae      | LC            | DS                     | -                  | ✓                  | ✓                  |
| Spotted grass mouse    | Lemniscomys striatus   | LC            | DS, FT                 | -                  | ✓                  | ✓                  |
| House Rat              | Rattus rattus          | LC            | DS                     | -                  | ✓                  | ✓                  |
| Pygmy Mouse            | Mus musculus           | LC            | DS, BH                 | -                  | ✓                  | ✓                  |
| Bosmans potto          | Perodicticus potto     | LC            | NH, HB                 | ✓                  | ✓                  | -                  |
| Lesser bush baby       | Galagoidees demidovii  | LC            | NH                     | ✓                  | -                  | -                  |
| White bellied Pangolin | Manis tricuspis       | LC            | FS                     | ✓                  | ✓                  | -                  |
| African civet          | Viverra civetta       | NT            | HB, FP                 | ✓                  | ✓                  | ✓                  |
| Forest genet           | Genetta poensis       | LC            | HB                     | ✓                  | ✓                  | -                  |

| Common Name            | Species                | IUCN Category | Mode of Identification | Vegetation/Habitat |
|------------------------|------------------------|---------------|------------------------|--------------------|
|                        |                        |               | Forest                 | Farm-bush          | Grass land         |
| Cuisimanse mongoose    | Crossarchus obscures   | LC            | HB, FS, DS             | ✓                  | ✓                  | -                  |
| Dwarf mongoose         | Herpestes sanguinensis | DD            | HB                     | ✓                  | ✓                  | -                  |
Bush Buck | Tragelaphus scriptus | LC | HB, FP | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
Maxwell’s Duiker | Cephalophus maxwelli | NT | HB, DS | - | ✓ | ✓ |
Bush Pig (Hog) | Potamochoerus porcus | LC | HB | ✓ | ✓ | - |
Straw Coloured fruit bat | Eidolon helvum | LC | DS | ✓ | ✓ | - |
Nigerian lesser free tailed bat | Tadarida nigritae | LC | DS | ✓ | ✓ | - |
Franquets fruit bat | Epomops franqueti | LC | DS, HB | ✓ | ✓ | - |
Pipistrellus | Pipistrellus pipistrellus | LC | DS, HB | ✓ | ✓ | - |

Table 1: Associated Habitat/Vegetations of Mammal Species and IUCN Red List Status Categories and Mode of Identification.

The following tags are used to highlight each species conservation status as assessed by the IUCN red list (2000)[17]:
- EN - Endangered; the species is facing an extremely high risk of extinction in the wild.
- VU - Vulnerable; the species is facing a high risk of extinction in the Wild.
- NT - Near Threatened; the species does not meet any of the criteria that would categorize it as risking extinction but it is likely to do so in future.
- LC - Least Concern; There are no current identifiable risks to the species.
- DD - Data Deficient; There is inadequate information to make an assessment of the risks to this species.

Mode of Identification Key
- DS - Direct sighting, HB - Hunters bag, FS-Feeding spot, FP-Faecal pellet, FT-Footprints NH-Nest Habitat,
- BH- Burrow habitat. IUCN Species Survival Commission (2000)

Table 2 shows the estimated population of mammals in the three survey blocks of the Eastern boundary of Ethiope River. Clearly, more species were recorded in the survey block II as compared with the other survey blocks. The Giant Pouched rat, Cricetomys gambianus was the most abundant species followed by the Maxwell’s Duiker, Cephalophus maxwelli. These species were not sighted in survey block I. The richness and diversity indices showed that survey block I and II were richer than III, however the population estimate showed that Survey block II was the richest followed by survey block III and I in that order (Table 3).

| Common Name          | Species                      | Survey block I | Survey block II | Survey block III |
|----------------------|------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Grasscutter          | Thryonomys swinderianus      | 250            | 430             | 680              |
| Bush tailed porcupine| Atherus africanus            | 140            | 520             | -                |
| Giant Pouched rat    | Cricetomys gambianus         | -              | 780             | 2600             |
| Ground squirrel      | Xerus erythropus             | -              | 340             | 240              |
| Orange headed squirrel| Funiscurus olivae           | 30             | 140             | -                |
| Beecrofts flying squirrel| Anomalurops beecrofti       | 70             | -               | -                |
| Nigerian musk shrew  | Crocidura nigeriae          | -              | 180             | 260              |
| Spotted grass mouse  | Lemniscomys striatus        | -              | 160             | 160              |
| House Rat            | Rattus rattus               | -              | 80              | 350              |
| Pygmy Mouse          | Mus musculus                | -              | 60              | 470              |
| Bosmans potto        | Perodicticus potto          | 160            | 140             | -                |
| Lesser bush baby     | Galagoides demidovii        | 190            | -               | -                |
| White belded Pangolin| Manis tricuspis             | 10             | 20              | -                |
| African civet         | Viverra civetta             | 260            | 240             | 830              |
| Forest genet         | Genetta poensis             | 190            | 140             | -                |
| Cuisimanse mongoose  | Crossarchus obscurus        | 170            | 260             | -                |
Dwarf mongoose | Herpestes sanguinensis | 60 | 240 | -
Bush Buck | Tragelaphus scriptus | 40 | 80 | 40
Maxwell’s Duiker | Cephalophus maxwelli | - | 620 | 1280
Bush Pig (Hog) | Potamochoerus porcus | 40 | 20 | -
Straw Coloured fruit bat | Eidolon helvum | 560 | 420 | -
Nigerian lesser free tailed bat | Tadarida nigriventer | 470 | 120 | -
Franquets fruit bat | Epomops franqueti | 340 | 160 | -
Pipistrellus | Pipistrellus pipistrellus | 280 | 120 | -

Table 2: Estimated population of mammals at the three survey block.

| Species No. | Survey Block I | Survey Block II | Survey Block III |
|-------------|----------------|----------------|------------------|
| Estimated population | 3240 | 7350 | 4830 |

SPECIES RICHNESS INDICES
Margalef’s index ($d$): 1.979 2.359 1.061

DIVERSITY INDICES
Shannon-diversity 2.521 2.416 2.750
Index ($H'$): 1.095 1.049 0.758
Shannon and Wiener Index ($H'$): 0.89 0.782 0.94
Evenness Index ($E'$): 0.096 0.159 0.293

Simpson’s Dominance Index ($C$): 0.096 0.159 0.293

Table 3: Species Richness and Diversity indices of mammalian population in the three survey blocks of Ethiope River ecotone.

In the course of this research, it was also observed that majority of the animals were used for bush meat (protein) than for any other purpose, such as aesthetic values, medicinal, concoctive or for use as pets or domestication. The local hunters and village heads provided information on the hunting pressure of the animals and the various trapping methods used (Table 4).

| Family | Species | Common name | Ecological notes |
|--------|---------|-------------|------------------|
| RODENTIA | Thryonomidae | Thryonomys swinderianus | Grasscutter | Rounded, heavy, large head. Tail short, legs short and sturdy. Incisors very wide and powerful. Hair rough, bristly. Almost active during the day and night. Feeds on roots, shoots, grasses and nuts. |
| | Hystricidae | Atherurus africanus | Brush tailed porcupine | Slender body, forehead swollen, eyes small. Legs short and sturdy. Upper side of body greyish brown to brownish black. Tail ends in a brush like ending. Body possesses less conspicuous spines. |
| | Cricetidae | Cricetomys gambrianus | Giant rat. | Rat-like in form, but large. Has a long tail with a whitish ending and longer than body. Hind feet wholly or partly white. Jumps and climbs well. Eats fruits (palm) nocturnal but sometimes out by day. Large check pouches, small eyes. |
| | Sciuridae | Xerus erythropus | Ground squirrel | Large squirrel. It is sandy in colour with a white side stripe. Lives on the ground. It is diurnal and a burrower. Eats roots and also takes fallen fruits. |
| Family | Species | Common name | Ecological notes |
|--------|---------|-------------|------------------|
| Sciuridae | Funisciurus olivae | Orange headed squirrel. | A little bit large with a very long tail. It has an orange head and some spots of row on the side. |
| Sciuridae | Anomalurus beecrofti | Beeccrofts flying squirrel. | Has a beautiful orange throat and chest. Lives in small parties. Eats fruits and leaves. |
| Muridae | Lemniscomys striatus | Spotted grass mouse. | It is a pretty mouse. It is striped longitudinally on a dark brown back ground. The stripes are a series of spots. It is diurnal, seed eating and lives in burrows and crevices. |
| Muridae | Mus musculus | Pygmy mouse | They are very small. They can live almost anywhere in holes and thick vegetations even in houses. Eats insects and vegetable. |
| RODENTIA | Rattus rattus | House rat. | This is very dark-grey. It is a good climber and runner and eats virtually anything it can over power. It is common in our homes and surroundings. |
| Soricidae | Crocidura nigeriae | Nigerian Musk shrew | It is insectivorous. Makes a squealing noise. Has a heavy sweet smell. It is partly a defense mechanism. They are nocturnal and very secretive in habit. |
| Manidae | Manis tricuspis | White bellied pangolin | It is naturally insectivorous, found along swamp. It is nocturnal and solitary. It cuts up when danger is imminent. Sleeps and hides on trees and dead branches. |
| Horisidae | Galagoidea demidovii | Lesser bush baby | Has very large conspicuous eye. It is nocturnal and leads a solitary life on trees. Makes a “ti pitch” sound ti-ti-ti where there is need for alert. Runs and climbs very well. Sleeps during the day among dense foliage. Builds nests in trees. Eats insects and some fruits. |
| Horisidae | Perodicticus potto | Bosmans potto | A very slow moving lower primate. It is nocturnal. Lives on trees and is a good climber. It may lead a solitary life. Size of a small rat with brown wooly fur and very short tail. Have large eyes. Takes insects, snail and will not neglect fruits. |
| Viverridae | Genetta poensis | Forest genet | It is an omnivorous mammal. They look like cat. It is an easy runner and pounces on its prey. A good climber. It is nocturnal. Has a slender body with a long tail. It is boldly spotted. |
| Viverridae | Vivera civetta | African civet | Has a handsome spotted pelt. Shuttles when hunting and gobbles and gorges when eating. It is a carnivore and nocturnal. As big as most local dogs but has a longer body build. Have short legs in contrast to the long legs of the dogs. It has a gland around the anal region that produces a characteristic scent. This is practicality to mark territories. Eats snails, millipedes and palm fruits. |
| Viverridae | Crossarchus obscures | Cusimanse mongoose | It is a good runner. Has a brown fur coating. Walks in family groups of 10-20 members. It is active almost day and night. Good climber most especially the palm trees. Has a prominent long snout (nose). |
| Viverridae | Herpestes sanguinensis | Dwarf mongoose | Takes insects and some fruits. Has a ruddy colour. It is a justifiable terror wherever it goes. |
| Bovidae | Tragelaphus scriptus | Bush buck | Takes insects and some fruits. Has a ruddy colour. It is a justifiable terror wherever it goes. |
| Bovidae | Cephalopus maxwelli | Maxwellis duiker | It is a good runner. Has a grey black colour. Has a short tail. It eats grasses and mainly herbivorous. Have long legs. |
Discussion

Comparing results from the three Survey blocks suggests that the survey block II had richer vegetation and this also translated to high numbers of mammals especially herbivores sighted at that section. A total of 24 species of mammals were reported in this study. Like other studies, a large portion of Nigeria’s forest has been lost to other forms of land use over the years with its attendant risk on a wide variety of wildlife [6]. Many of the ecological habitats have been lost to agricultural activities leading to animals’ destruction by disaster and many more have been killed by poachers and local hunters. Many animal species are thus being endangered while some are extinct [2]. Uncontrolled legal and illegal hunting is putting a strain on the remaining wildlife populations [18]. Increased population pressure is among the most important indirect causes of loss of wildlife, and biodiversity in tropical rain forest [1,3]. If there is no alternative income generating sources, and where there is open access to land with little restriction to land leases, there is bound to be intense hunting, indiscriminate logging, fuel wood gathering, and shifting cultivation. This, in turn, leads to deforestation, habitat loss, wildlife scarcity, loss of biodiversity and erosion.

A good percentage of the forest cover of the Ethiope ecoregion has been lost. The remaining forest is heavily degraded and exploited. Loss of forest alone could extirpate most mammalian populations. From the interviews we conducted with the local hunters in the area there appears to be a drastic reduction in the number of mammals killed on a weekly basis. Happold [4] also reported the decline of mammalian species during the survey of mammals at the eastern boundary of the River Niger. Webala et al. [19] opined that habitat structural complexity is paramount in the conservation of small mammals in the drylands of north eastern Kenya. The local hunters also reported the sighting of some rare animal species such as the lesser bush baby, Galagoides domidovii, Bosman’s potto, Perodicticus potto in the Ethiope ecoregion and that the West African Manatee, Manatus senegalensis is present within the River Ethiope. Actually some few years back a hunter caught and killed one of the manatee which was distributed as meat to the community.

Rodents such as the Porcupine and Cane rats and also the Gazelles, Duikers and the Civet-mongoose groups constitute the largest family of mammals, [20]. Some mammal species that thrive in the forest farmlands are sold for exorbitant prices; a greater cane rat sells for at least three thousand, five hundred Naira (3,500).

There have also been reports on monkey thriving in Ethiope ecoregion, this was ascertained during a field study in Obiaruku (survey block I) when we sighted a monkey on a high branch with the aid of our binoculars, but could not specifically identify it as a result of its very high sensitivity and deficiency of data. As such, we refer to such data as oral evidence. All these, serve as an indication that hunting and agricultural activities have led to the decrease in diversity and abundance of large and small mammals.

Hunting of mammal species is ongoing at an unsustainable level, because bush meat is considered to taste good by people and can generate revenue to sustain their living. Pressures imposed on the animals and their habitat by villagers as they continue to cut trees for firewood, timber and to clear land for agriculture, building of houses and other infrastructural development, most animals that were once common in this area are either low in densities or extinct.

In most tropical forest rural areas and also in this Eastern Ethiope ecoregion, protein requirement of the local communities are either partly or almost entirely provided by the wild animals including birds, fish and mammals. Hunting is rather commercial other than partly or almost entirely provided by the wild animals including birds, fish and mammals. Hunting is rather commercial other than subsistence sustainability. Clearly, use of wildlife as the sole source of protein in this area is unsustainable particularly when coupled with commercial hunting.

Despite the effect of hunting and habitat destruction, some mammalian species, have managed to adapt themselves to fragmented habitat and even to some are as completely transformed by human activities to farm areas and a considerable portion of their diet comprise of agricultural products e.g. the Grasscutter, Thryonomys swinderianus.

The most common mammalian fauna in the area were the order Rodentia followed by Artiodactyla, Chiroptera and Carnivora. Insectivora, Primates and Pholidota occur in tiny violated populations subjected to local extinction. It is well known that the Order
Artiodactyla are also reduced compared to the Order Rodentia, due to high market demand most especially of the Maxwell's duiker *Cephalophus maxwelli* and the Bushbuck, *Tragelaphus scriptus* which serve as a major revenue source to the local hunters and middlemen. This area clearly supports majority of small mammals and little large mammals.

The number of hunters and trappers operating within the area add up to Eighty six. Twenty eight in survey block I (Obiaruku), Thirty two in survey block II (Abraka) and Twenty six in survey block III (Iku). Out of these, a total of fifty two hunters use only guns, another ten use only traps and twenty of them use both guns and traps combined. There is a hunter’s association in each survey block (local communities), though their ethics in game hunting do not implement any conservation measures, hunters that use guns as a capital conservation measure do not shoot animals that could not be measured above the price of their bullet.

There is no belief against hunting or eating of any kind of mammal species, apart from the common orange-headed squirrel, *Funisciurus olivacei* (Obokare) in Abraka survey block II attributed to saving the prince of Benin who founded Abraka. There are usually no sacred groves around, except for some protected shrines in Obiaruku and Otorho in Abraka (survey block I & II).

There are two types of hunting that are predominant in this area. The night hunting which goes together with the hunter’s lamp, usually powered by carbide. They also go together with their hunting dogs. The hunters’ lamp is used in the night to search for reflection of most nocturnal mammals that have reflecting eye balls such as the African civet, *Vivera civetta*. There is also the day hunting, equipped with guns, dogs and co-operative hunting. The latter which has lately been prohibited by local hunters association.

An average of 55-56 animals are killed in a week within the study area, about 5 animals per hunter on the average kill. The average may vary within the year as there are seasonal variations in relative catch. It was also been noted that the catch may increase up to 70-90 during the dry season as a result of bush burning and food scarcity. Mr. Chukwuneku a local hunter in Obiaruku (survey block II) related to us that the Grasscutter, *Thryonomys swinderianus*, the Maxwell’s duiker *Cephalophus maxwelli*, and the Giant rat *Cricetomys gambianus* are the most dominantly caught mammals within their survey block. This was also confirmed by Mr. Lawrence Edah a retired hunter in Abraka (survey block II) that the aforementioned mammals were also the dominant catch in this area.

In the bush meat market and also from the local pepper soup joints operating within the area, it was evident that the popular Grasscutter, *Thryonomys swinderianus* is in great demand by the patronizing customers. As such most selfish and pressured hunters have derived a drastic means of hunting by using chemicals mixed with human urine in cups which are placed at strategic locations. When these mammals are attracted to the drink from it, they eventually die in numbers hours after the poisoning. This type of hunting has resulted in an untouched section of this area that are still thick, high levels of deforestation and indiscriminate hunting rates within the area are having a negative effect and are threatening to edge out existing wildlife populations. Given this orientation the most common approach has been the application of laws designed to prevent all exploitation of wildlife within these areas where animals and their habitats are in jeopardy, this approach is often the only practical first step towards long-term sustained conservation and management.

**Conservation Recommendation**

The problem of overpopulation especially in communities around the eastern block of the Ethiope River depends largely on the rural agrarian economy revolving around forest products. There is thus an urgent need to evolve a management and legal strategy that will limit settlements in and around the Forest. Furthermore, the involvement of local communities in the management system of Forest has to be further developed. The management must evolve an institutional and legal framework for community participation in the decision-making process concerning management principles and practices that will enhance forest conservation and resource utilization. Community participation must be developed sustainably.

Furthermore there is a need to develop a sustainable silvicultural programme to restock and replenish the Forest, which has been depleted of some of its rare woody plants through illegal and indiscriminate logging. Agroforestry farming system should also be encouraged among the peripheral communities, as this system will enhance soil fertility and maintain the tropical rain forest mosaic. A thorough inventory of the wildlife of the Forest must be carried out. Wildlife management must be based on detailed knowledge of varieties of species, their total populations, sex ratio and other population characteristics upon which viable and sustainable game cropping depends. It is important also that game cropping be systematic and organized for optimum sustainable yield of bushmeat. There must be strict hunting laws and a massive campaign in the environs of the Ethiope River ecotone to increase public awareness of the importance of wildlife and forest conservation. There must also be a programme of research into management practices for sustainable economic benefits to Delta State Government, the Niger Delta people and the rural communities.
In order to preserve the existing mammalian population and to prevent threatened species from going to extinction, it is recommended that Government and other conservation bodies should implement conservation measures (laws and policies). These will reduce greatly illegal, unregulated and unmanaged hunting, bush burning and deforestation. Government should also generate income activities for the local people within the research area that could replace exploitation of the forest and ensure long-term survival of mammals and safeguard the rainforest. Also comprehensive ecological monitoring programs of wildlife species and improved management and protection of rainforests should be priorities. Local communities and hunters should be educated on the importance of conservation. If this can be accomplished, the mammals will be among the many beneficiaries.

References
1. Egwali EC, king RP, Eniang EA, Obot EA (2005) Discovery of a new population of the Sclater’s guenon, Cercopithecus sclateri in the Niger Delta wetlands, Nigeria. Living Systems & Sustainable Development 2: 1-7.
2. Baker LR, Olubode OS (2008) Correlates with the distribution and abundance of endangered Sclater’s monkey (Cercopithecus sclateri) in southern Nigeria. African Journal of Ecology 46: 365-373.
3. Okorie PU, Ekechukwu K (2013) Tragedy of commons: stress and survival of the Lagwa (Nigeria) Monkeys. International Journal of Science Environment 2: 1099-1106.
4. Happold DCD (1987) The Mammals of Nigeria. Oxford University Press Oxford.
5. Angelici FM, Grimod I Politano E (1999) Mammals of the Eastern Niger Delta (Rivers and Bayelsa States, Nigeria): An environment affected by a gas pipeline. Folia Zool 48: 249-264.
6. Angelici, FM, Egibide B Akani GC (2001). Some new mammal records from the rainforests of southern-eastern, Nigeria. Hystrix It.J.Mamm. 12: 37-43.
7. Baker LR, Tanimola AA, Olubode OS, Garshelis DL (2009) Distribution and abundance of sacred monkeys in Igboland, southern Nigeria. Am J Primatol 71: 574-586.
8. Bowen-Jones E, Pendry S (1999) The threat to primates and other Mammals from the bushmeat Trade in Africa and how this threat could be diminished. Oryx 33: 233-246.
9. Odoemena CSI, Egwali EC (2006) Fundamentals of Conservation and Development of Natural Resources in Nigeria. Uwana Graphics Press 27 Umoren Street, Uyo-Akwa-bom State.
10. Oates JF Anadu EL, Godsby L Were JL (1992) Sclater’s Guenon-A Rare Nigerian Monkey Threatened by Deforestation. National Geographic Research and Exploration 8: 476-491.
11. Happold DCD (1995) The interactions between humans and mammals in Africa in relation to conservation: a review. Biodiversity and Conservation 4: 395-414.
12. Ikomi RB, Arimoro FO (2014) Effects of Recreational activities on the Littoral Macroinvertebrates of Ethiope River, Niger Delta, Nigeria. Journal of Aquatic Sciences 29: 153-168.
13. Booth AH (1960) Small mammals of West Africa. Longmans Green and Co., Ltd., London. 68.
14. De Blas AF, Martin RE (1981) A manual of mammalogy with keys to the families of the World. Wm. C. Brown Publishers, Dubuque, Iowa, xii + 436.
15. Theodore H, Helmut D (1986) A Field guide to the Mammals of Africa including Madagascar. South China Printing Co, Hong Kong.
16. Ludwig JA, Reynolds JF (1988) Statistical Ecology, a Primer on Methods and Computing. Wiley/Interscience, Wiley, New York. 337.
17. IUCN Species Survival Commission (2000) 2,000 IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Cambridge UK. 52-64.
18. Oates JF (1989) A survey of primates and other forest wildlife in Anambra, Imo and Rivers States, Nigeria. A report to the Nat-Foundation, the Nigerian Federal Dept of Forestry, and the Governments of Anambra, Imo and Rivers States. Pp ii+40. mimeo.
19. Wamba PW, Carugati C, Fasola M (2010) Diversity in small mammals from eastern Lake Turkana, Kenya. Tropical Zoology 23:9-20.
20. Decher J (1997) Conservation, Small Mammals and the future of Sacred Grooves in West Conservation, Small Mammals and the future of Sacred Grooves in West Africa. Biodiversity and Conservation 6: 1007-1026.