Comparisons between Orange- and Green-fleshed Non-netted and Orange-fleshed Netted Muskmelons: Antioxidant Changes following Different Harvest and Storage Periods
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ABSTRACT. The consumption of netted muskmelons (Cucumis melo L. Reticulatus group) has raised health concerns due to pathogenic bacteria attaching to sites on the netted rind inaccessible to sanitation. The purpose of this study was to compare 1) the enzymic and nonenzymatic antioxidant capacity between representative cultivars of netted muskmelon and both green- and orange-fleshed honey dew muskmelons during storage for 17 days and 2) levels of non-nutrient phytochemicals between these genotypes in consideration of ultimately substituting netted orange-fleshed with non-netted orange-fleshed muskmelon. Netted muskmelon (‘Cruiser’), green-fleshed (‘Honey Brew’), and orange-fleshed (‘Orange Dew’) muskmelons were harvested in Texas at the beginning (21 May) and at the end (11 June) of the production season in 2004. Fruit were analyzed immediately (day 0) or stored simulating retail conditions for 7 or 14 days at 7 °C and 95 ± 2% relative humidity plus 3 days at 21 °C. Both ‘Orange Dew’ and ‘Honey Brew’ non-netted cultivars evinced similar and less lipid peroxidation, and hence postharvest senescence, during the 17-day storage period than the netted muskmelon ‘Cruiser’. In comparison with ‘Cruiser’, ‘Orange Dew’ generally exhibited higher concentrations of β-carotene and phenolics and, with few exceptions, higher activities of the antioxidant enzymes ascorbate peroxidase (AsPx), monodehydroascorbate reductase (MDHAR), dehydroascorbate reductase (DHAR), catalase (CAT), guaiacol peroxidase (POX), and superoxide dismutase (SOD). Higher AsPx and SOD activities in both ‘Orange Dew’ and ‘Honey Brew’ appear to confer a greater resistance to lipid peroxidation in these muskmelon genotypes than to the netted ‘Cruiser’. ‘Orange Dew’ also appears to be a healthier food choice not only due to its lack of a netted rind which could potentially harbour human illness-related pathogens, but also that it is superior to both ‘Cruiser’ and ‘Honey Brew’ in overall beta-carotene and phenolic levels.

Received for publication 16 May 2005. Accepted for publication 16 Aug. 2005. Atlantic Food and Horticulture Research Centre Contribution No. 2303. This research was funded in part by Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada (D.M.H.) and the USDA–ARS under CRIS No. 6204-43000-014-00D to G.E.L. The valuable research was funded in part by Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada (D.M.H.) and Atlantic Food and Horticulture Research Centre Contribution No. 2303. This work has shown that capacities of certain antioxidants are important in maintaining postharvest quality losses of fresh fruit and vegetables (Hodges et al., 2004). Active oxygen species (AOS) such as superoxide (O2-) and the hydroxyl radical (·OH) play significant roles in lipid peroxidation, polysaccharide cleavage, and in both nucleic acid and protein degradation (Hodges, 2003). Past work has shown that capacities of certain antioxidants in melon genotype fruit harvested at the beginning vs. the end of the production season. Oxidative stress has been associated with postharvest quality losses of fresh fruit and vegetables (Hodges et al., 2004). Active oxygen species (AOS) such as superoxide (O2-) and the hydroxyl radical (·OH) play significant roles in lipid peroxidation, polysaccharide cleavage, and in both nucleic acid and protein degradation (Hodges, 2003). Past work has shown that capacities of certain antioxidants are important in maintaining postharvest quality
in spinach (Spinacia oleracea L.) (Hodges et al., 2001; Hodges and Forney, 2003), apples (Malus x domestica Borkh.) (Barden and Bramlage, 1994), pears (Pyrus communis L.) (Vanoli et al., 1995), pepper fruit (Capsicum annuum L.) (Jimenez et al., 2003), broccoli (Brassica oleracea L. Italic group) (Toivonen and Sweeney, 1998; Yamauchi and Kusabe, 2001), potato (Solanum tuberosum L.) seed tubers, (Zabrouskov et al., 2002), and non-netted muskmelon (Lacan and Baccou, 1998). In addition, human epidemiological evidence has linked intake of fruit and vegetables with lower incidences of cancer, cardiovascular disease, immune system decline, and certain neurological disorders (Huang et al., 2005), all of which have been associated with oxidative damage (Hodges and Kalt, 2003); it is the antioxidative capacity in fruit and vegetables that has been identified as one of the major mechanisms contributing to human health maintenance (Hodges and Kalt, 2003; Olsson et al., 2004; Vinson et al., 2001).

Enzymatic and non-enzymatic antioxidants represent an important mechanism in AOS scavenging (for reviews, see Blokhina et al., 2003; Lurie, 2003). Superoxide dismutase [SOD (EC 1.15.1.11)] catalyzes the dismutation of O2·− to H2O2. Both catalase [CAT (EC 1.11.1.6)] and ascorbate peroxidase [AsPX (EC 1.11.1.11)] eliminate H2O2, with ascorbate (vitamin C) being oxidized during the latter reaction catalyzed by AsPX. Ascorbate is re-reduced directly through activities of monodehydroascorbate reductase [MDHAR (EC 1.6.5.4)] and dehydroascorbate reductase [DHAR (EC 1.8.5.4)] and indirectly through activity of glutathione reductase [GR (EC 1.6.4.2)]. Peroxidases [POX (EC 1.11.1.7)] also convert H2O2 to H2O, though they can form O2·− and H2O2 in a complex reaction involving NADH oxidation.

Not only can ascorbate reduce H2O2 in the AsPX-catalyzed reaction described above, but this compound can also react directly with the AOS O2·−, ·OH and singlet oxygen (1O2) (Hodges and Forney, 2003). Peroxidases can also convert H2O2 to H2O, though they can form O2·− and H2O2. Catalase [CAT (EC 1.11.1.6)] was assayed based on the method of Bradford (1976). Activities of AsPX, CAT, DHAR, GR, MDHAR, and SOD were analyzed in 15 g of fresh tissue as described in Lester et al. (2004). The internal standard stock solution was made by dissolving Apo-8± carotene in 1.0 mL methanol and then centrifuged at –80 °C for compounds, and lyophilized (following freezing in liquid nitrogen) for total antioxidants.

Materials and Methods

**PLANT MATERIAL.** Fully abscised fruit of orange-fleshed, netted muskmelon (‘Cruiser’), orange-fleshed, non-netted honey dew (‘Orange Dew’), and green-fleshed, non-netted honey dew (‘Honey Brew’) melons, free of defects, were hand-harvested from Starr Produce Co. (Rio Grande City, Texas). All fruit were collected from the field by 0900 h, at the beginning of the commercial harvest season (21 May) and 3 weeks later at the end of the 2004 season (11 June). Fruit were washed in 0.02% sodium hypochlorite for 30 s, rinsed in tap water, and then randomized into lots for storage treatment. Fruit were assessed the day of harvest or after storage for 7 or 14 d at 7 °C and 95% ± 2% RH plus 3 d at 21 °C to simulate commercial storage plus retail display temperatures.

All fruit were chilled to 4 °C, washed with distilled water, the epidermis removed with a vegetable peeler, and the polar-ends (totaling two-thirds of the fruit) removed and discarded. Wedges of the remaining equatorial-region mesocarp tissue, devoid of seeds and integument tissue, were pureed in a food processor (Quick ’N Easy; Black and Decker, Towson, Md.) using 3- to 5-s pulses. Tissue samples were assayed fresh for enzymes, frozen (liquid nitrogen, then stored at –80 °C) for compounds, and lyophilized (following freezing in liquid nitrogen) for total antioxidants.

**METABOLITE ASSAYS.** Ascorbic acid and dehydroascorbic acid were extracted from frozen tissue and determined according to the procedure of Hodges et al. (2001) and reported in this study as total ascorbate.

β-carotene was extracted under low light conditions from lyophilized tissue (0.020 g) using ice-cold heptane (1.0 mL) plus 0.5 mL internal standard [trans Apo-8± carotene (40 µg·mL−1); Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis] according to the modified procedure of Koch and Goldman (2004). The internal standard stock solution was made by dissolving Apo-8± carotene in 1.0 mL methanol then bringing to volume (250 mL) with heptane before storage in the dark at –20 °C. This sample-internal standard mixture was vortexed for 2 min, then centrifuged at 3000 g for 10 min at 0 °C. One milliliter of the supernatant was removed and 1.5 mL fresh cold heptane was added to the pellet, vortexed for 2 min then centrifuged as above. A second 1.0 mL of the supernatant was removed and 1.0 mL cold heptane was added to the pellet, vortexed for 2 min then centrifuged as above. A third 1.0 mL of the supernatant was removed, the supernatants were pooled and a 1.0-mL aliquot was passed through a 0.2-mm nylon Millex-LCR 13 filter (Millipore Corp., Bedford, Mass.) and stored in the dark at –20 °C until high-performance liquid chromatograph (HPLC) determination. Twenty microliters of each extract was injected into an HPLC system (Agilent Technologies, New Castle, Del.) equipped with a Discovery C18, 5-µm column (150 × 4.6 mm) and a C18 guard column (20 × 4.0 mm; Supelco, Bellefonte, Pa.). β-carotene was separated in a mobile phase of 100% methanol at a flow rate of 2.0 mL·min−1 and detected at 454 nm.

Malondialdehyde content was determined on 2.0 g of fresh tissue using the TBARS procedure of Hodges et al. (1999). Phenolic levels in 2.0 g of fresh tissue were assayed using the Folin-Ciocalteu method (Singleton and Rossi, 1965). Results are expressed as gallic acid equivalents (mg·g−1 FW). Protein content was determined using the Bio-Rad assay (Bio-Rad Lab, Hercules, Calif.) based on the method of Bradford (1976).

**ENZYME ASSAYS.** Activities of AsPX, CAT, DHAR, GR, MDHAR, POX, and SOD were analyzed in 15 g of fresh tissue as described in Lester et al. (2004).

**TOTAL ANTIOXIDANT ASSAY.** Both lipophilic and hydrophilic antioxidants were analyzed using randomly methylated β-cyclo-dextrin (RMCD) as a solubility enhancer, 2,2±azobis (2-amidino-propane) dihydrochloride (AAPH) as a peroxy generator and 6-hydroxy-2,5,7,8-tetramethylchroman-2-carboxylic acid (Trolox) as a standard according to Prior et al. (2003).
STATISTICAL ANALYSES. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) using the general linear model procedures of SAS (SAS Institute, Cary, N.C.) was conducted as a three-factor design with cultivar, harvest time, and storage duration as the main factors (Table 1). Duncan’s multiple range tests \((P \leq 0.05)\) were performed to evaluate the significance of differences between dependent variable means. Data are the average of five single-fruit replications per harvest.

The enzyme and MDA means for cultivar, harvest time, and storage duration were extracted from the ANOVA and submitted to a principal component analysis (PCA) using GenStat (release 8.1; VSN International Ltd., Hemel Hempstead, England). The PCA loadings produced three major components accounting for 71% of the enzyme and MDA variation by treatments.

RESULTS

METABOLITES. No significant replicate effect was detected for any of the variables measured (Table 1). Levels of MDA (\(\mu\)mol·g\(^{-1}\) FW), the estimator of lipid peroxidation and indicator of senescence was significant for cultivar, harvest and storage period, and their interactions. Although MDA concentrations increased in all cultivars during 17 d of storage, ‘Cruiser’ exhibited the highest level of lipid peroxidation while ‘Orange Dew’ exhibited the lowest level, which was similar to ‘Honey Brew’ (Table 2). MDA content, in general, was higher in all fruit at the end of the harvest season versus the start.

Total ascorbate concentrations (\(\mu\)mol·g\(^{-1}\) FW) were significantly different for cultivars, harvest and storage periods, but not for their interactions (Table 1). Total ascorbate content declined during storage in the non-netted green- and orange-fleshed muskmelon, but not in the netted muskmelon (Table 2). However, the only absolute difference between cultivars occurred on day 17 of storage of early harvest fruit, where total ascorbate levels in the non-netted muskmelon were less than in the netted cultivar, and on day 0 of late harvest fruit, where ‘Honey Brew’ exhibited lower total ascorbate concentration.

\(\beta\)-carotene (\(\mu\)mol·g\(^{-1}\) FW) was significant only for cultivars; there were no effects of harvest period, storage period, or their interactions (Table 1). \(\beta\)-carotene was not detected in ‘Honey Brew’ (Table 2). Levels of this lipophilic compound were always higher in ‘Orange Dew’ compared to the netted melon ‘Cruiser,’ regardless of storage duration or time of harvest.

No differences in phenolic levels, expressed as gallic acid equivalents (mg·g\(^{-1}\) FW) were observed between early and late harvests (Table 1). Phenolic levels increased in all cultivars during storage, and, with the exception of non-netted ‘Honey Brew’ on day 10 of the early harvest and netted ‘Cruiser’ on day 17 of the late harvest, phenolic levels were generally higher on days 10 and 17 in non-netted ‘Orange Dew’ (Table 2). No difference in phenolic concentrations between the three cultivars was observed on day 0.

ANTIOXIDANT ENZYMES. No significant differences in activities of any of the antioxidant enzymes were noted between replicates, and no differences between harvests were observed for activities of the enzymes MDHAR, DHAR, GR, and CAT (Table 1). Activities of AsPX (mmol·min\(^{-1}\)·g\(^{-1}\) FW) were higher in ‘Orange Dew’ compared to ‘Cruiser’ (Table 3). Both ‘Honey Brew’ and ‘Orange Dew’ exhibited significantly higher AsPX activities than ‘Cruiser’ on day 17.

Activities of MDHAR (mmol·min\(^{-1}\)·g\(^{-1}\) FW) increased during storage for all cultivars harvested at the end of the season; similar results were observed in early harvest ‘Honey Brew’ and ‘Orange Dew’ fruit (Table 3). With the exception of day 0 fruit from the early harvest and day 17 fruit from the late harvest, ‘Orange Dew’ exhibited the highest MDHAR activities. DHAR (mmol·min\(^{-1}\)·g\(^{-1}\) FW) activities, similar to those of AsPX and MDHAR, were higher in ‘Orange Dew’ compared to ‘Cruiser’ during storage. By day 17, ‘Orange Dew’ had higher DHAR activities than either ‘Cruiser’ and ‘Honey Brew,’ activities of which were not significantly different from one another.

Higher activities of GR (mmol·min\(^{-1}\)·g\(^{-1}\) FW) occurred in ‘Orange Dew’ fruit compared to the two other cultivars on days 0, 10, and 17 of the late harvest; no difference were noted in GR activities between ‘Cruiser’ and ‘Honey Brew’ (Table 4). However, in fruit from the beginning of the harvest season, ‘Cruiser’ and ‘Orange Dew’ exhibit higher GR activities than ‘Honey Brew.’

Activities of CAT (mmol·min\(^{-1}\)·g\(^{-1}\) FW) were also significantly higher in ‘Orange Dew’ as compared to ‘Cruiser’ on day 10, and were higher in ‘Orange Dew’ fruit then the other cultivars on day 17 (Table 4). There were no differences in activities of CAT between ‘Cruiser’ and ‘Honey Brew’ on days 10 and 17. Activities of this enzyme, although initially high, declined in ‘Honey Brew’ during the 17-d storage period.

POX activities (mmol·min\(^{-1}\)·g\(^{-1}\) FW) were higher in ‘Orange Dew’ fruit on all storage days in the start of the harvest season; no differences were noted in POX activities between ‘Cruiser’ and ‘Honey Brew’ (Table 4). However, ‘Orange Dew’ was the only cultivar where POX activity declined during storage, whereas activities of this enzyme remained constant in the other cultivars during the 17-d storage period. In the beginning of the season harvested fruit, ‘Orange Dew’ and ‘Honey Brew’ had higher POX activities than ‘Cruiser,’ and no overall change in activity was noted following storage for any of the cultivars.

SOD activities (mmol·min\(^{-1}\)·g\(^{-1}\) FW) were higher in ‘Orange Dew’ than ‘Cruiser’ on days 10 and 17; no differences in activities

### Table 1. Levels of significance for an analysis of variance (ANOVA) conducted as a three-factor general linear model design with cultivar, harvest time, and storage duration as the main factors for concentrations of malondialdehyde (MDA), ascorbate (AsA), \(\beta\)-carotene (\(\beta\)-carot.), phenolics, total hydrophilic antioxidants (THA) and total lipophilic antioxidants (TLA) and activities of ascorbate peroxidase (AsPX), monodehydroascorbate reductase (MDHAR), dehydroascorbate reductase (DHAR), glutathione reductase (GR), catalase (CAT), guaiacol peroxidase (POX), and superoxide dismutase (SOD).

| Source         | MDA      | AsA      | \(\beta\)-carot. | THA      | TLA      | Phenolics | AsPX     | MDHAR    | DHAR     | GR      | CAT     | POX     | SOD     |
|----------------|----------|----------|-----------------|----------|----------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Rep            | NS       | NS       | NS              | NS       | NS       | NS        | NS       | NS       | NS       | NS      | NS      | NS      | NS      |
| Cultivar (C)   | 0.0001   | 0.0001   | 0.0001          | 0.0001   | 0.04     | 0.0001    | 0.001    | 0.001    | 0.001    | 0.01    | 0.01    | 0.01    | 0.0001  |
| Harvest (H)    | 0.0001   | 0.0001   | NS              | 0.0001   | NS       | 0.01      | 0.001    | 0.001    | 0.001    | 0.01    | 0.01    | 0.01    | 0.0001  |
| Storage (S)    | 0.0001   | 0.0001   | NS              | 0.001    | 0.001    | 0.0001    | 0.001    | 0.001    | 0.001    | 0.01    | 0.01    | 0.01    | 0.0001  |
| C × H          | 0.0001   | NS       | NS              | NS       | NS       | NS        | 0.001    | 0.01     | 0.01     | 0.01    | 0.001   | 0.0001  | 0.0001  |
| C × S          | 0.0001   | NS       | NS              | NS       | NS       | NS        | NS       | 0.01     | 0.01     | 0.01    | 0.001   | 0.0001  | 0.0001  |
| H × S          | 0.0001   | NS       | NS              | NS       | 0.0001   | 0.01      | NS       | NS       | NS       | NS      | NS      | 0.01    | 0.0001  |
| C × H × S      | 0.0001   | NS       | NS              | NS       | NS       | NS        | NS       | NS       | NS       | NS      | NS      | 0.01    | 0.0001  |
| Error mean square | NS   | NS       | NS              | NS       | NS       | NS        | NS       | NS       | NS       | NS      | NS      | NS      | NS      |
Table 2. Malondialdehyde (MDA), total ascorbate, β-carotene, and phenolic contents (expressed as gallic acid equivalents) in orange-fleshed cantaloupe cultivar Cruiser, green-fleshed honeydew cultivar Honey Brew and orange-fleshed honeydew cultivar Orange Dew fruits from plants at the beginning (Early) of the harvest season and from the same plants at the end of the harvest (Late) season and following 0, 10 (7 d at 10 °C and 95% + 2% RH plus 3 d at 21 °C), or 17 d (14 d at 10 °C and 95% + 2% RH plus 3 d at 21 °C) simulated commercial storage.

| Cultivar | Storage (d) | MDA (nmol·g⁻¹ FW) Harvest season | Ascorbate (μmol·g⁻¹ FW) Harvest season | β-carotene (nmol·g⁻¹ FW) Harvest season | Phenolics (mg·g⁻¹ FW) Harvest season |
|----------|-------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Cruiser  | 0           | 1.8 A,b                           | 1.98 A,a                               | 30.3 B,a                               | 0.23 A,b                               |
|           | 10          | 10.2 A,a                          | 1.96 A,a                               | 32.1 B,a                               | 0.24 B,b                               |
| Honey Brew | 0           | 0.9 A,c                           | 1.4 A,b                                | ND                                     | ND                                    |
|           | 17          | 0.9 A,a                           | 1.4 A,b                                | ND                                     | ND                                    |
| Orange Dew | 0           | 0.3 A,c                           | 1.6 A,b                                | 53.2 A,a                               | 0.30 A,b                               |
|           | 17          | 11.5 A,a                          | 1.47 A,b                               | 56.0 A,a                               | 0.31 A,b                               |
| Cruiser  | 10          | 7.5 A,a                           | 8.2 A,a                                | 32.0 B,a                               | 0.35 B,a                               |
|           | 17          | 5.3 B,b                           | 6.7 B,a                                | 30.3 B,a                               | 0.37 B,a                               |
| Honey Brew | 0           | 4.4 B,b                           | 6.6 B,a                                | 48.2 A,a                               | 0.40 A,a                               |
|           | 17          | 4.4 B,b                           | 6.6 B,a                                | 43.7 A,a                               | 0.37 A,a                               |

ySmall letters within a column indicate significant differences (P < 0.05) among cultivars within a storage period.
•Small letters within a column indicate significant differences (P < 0.05) within a cultivar over storage time.

Table 3. Ascorbate peroxidase (ASPX), monodehydroascorbate reductase (MDHAR), and dehydroascorbate reductase (DHAR) activities in orange-fleshed cantaloupe cultivar Cruiser, green-fleshed honeydew cultivar Honey Brew, and orange-fleshed honeydew cultivar Orange Dew fruits from plants at the beginning (Early) of the harvest season and from the same plants at the end of the harvest season (Late) and following 0, 10 (7 d at 10 °C and 95% + 2% RH plus 3 d at 21 °C), or 17 d (14 d at 10 °C and 95% + 2% RH plus 3 d at 21 °C) simulated commercial storage.

| Cultivar | Storage (d) | ASPX ascorbate oxidized (nmol·g⁻¹ FW) Harvest season | MDHAR NADH oxidized (nmol·g⁻¹ FW) Harvest season | DHAR ascorbate reduced (mg·g⁻¹ FW) Harvest season |
|----------|-------------|------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Cruiser  | 0           | 4.17 C,a                                             | 0.033 A,a                                       | 0.06 B,a                                       |
|           | 10          | 4.69 A,b                                             | 0.022 B,a                                       | 0.10 B,b                                       |
| Honey Brew | 0           | 4.61 B,a                                             | 0.010 C,c                                       | 0.15 A,a                                       |
|           | 17          | 4.97 A,ab                                            | 0.036 A,a                                       | 0.15 A,a                                       |
| Orange Dew | 0           | 5.67 A,a                                             | 0.025 B,b                                       | 0.19 A,a                                       |
|           | 17          | 4.97 A,ab                                            | 0.036 A,a                                       | 0.17 A,a                                       |
| Cruiser  | 10          | 4.24 B,a                                             | 0.015 C,b                                       | 0.05 B,a                                       |
|           | 17          | 4.27 A,a                                             | 0.019 B,b                                       | 0.06 B,b                                       |
| Honey Brew | 0           | 4.69 A,b                                             | 0.022 B,a                                       | 0.11 A,a                                       |
|           | 17          | 4.70 A,b                                             | 0.026 A,b                                       | 0.09 B,a                                       |
| Orange Dew | 0           | 4.85 A,a                                             | 0.021 A,b                                       | 0.12 B,a                                       |
|           | 17          | 4.85 A,a                                             | 0.021 A,b                                       | 0.16 A,a                                       |

ySmall letters within a column indicate significant differences (P < 0.05) among cultivars within a storage period.
•Small letters within a column indicate significant differences (P < 0.05) within a cultivar over storage time.

Table 4. Glutathione reductase (GR), catalase (CAT), guaiacol peroxidase (POX), and superoxide dismutase (SOD) activities in orange-fleshed cantaloupe cultivar Cruiser, green-fleshed honeydew cultivar Honey Brew and orange-fleshed honeydew cultivar Orange Dew fruits from plants at the beginning (Early) of the harvest season and from the same plants at the end of the harvest season (Late) and following 0, 10 (7 d at 10 °C and 95% + 2% RH plus 3 d at 21 °C), or 17 d (14 d at 10 °C and 95% + 2% RH plus 3 d at 21 °C) simulated commercial storage.

| Cultivar | Storage (d) | GR NADPH oxidized (mmol·min⁻¹·g⁻¹ FW) Harvest season | CAT H₂O₂ decom. (mmol·min⁻¹·g⁻¹ FW) Harvest season | POX tetraguaiacol form. (mmol·min⁻¹·g⁻¹ FW) Harvest season | SOD cyt.c conserved (mmol·min⁻¹·g⁻¹ FW) Harvest season |
|----------|-------------|------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Cruiser  | 0           | 0.05 A,a                                             | 0.015 B,a                                       | 0.009 B,a                                       | 0.28 B,a                                       |
|           | 10          | 0.02 B,a                                             | 0.015 B,a                                       | 0.011 B,a                                       | 0.28 B,a                                       |
| Honey Brew | 0           | 0.02 A,a                                             | 0.013 B,b                                       | 0.016 A,B,b                                     | 0.31 B,a                                       |
|           | 17          | 0.02 A,a                                             | 0.013 B,b                                       | 0.016 A,B,b                                     | 0.31 B,a                                       |
| Orange Dew | 0           | 0.06 A,a                                             | 0.030 A,a                                       | 0.030 A,a                                       | 0.29 B,a                                       |
|           | 17          | 0.06 A,a                                             | 0.030 A,a                                       | 0.030 A,a                                       | 0.29 B,a                                       |
| Cruiser  | 10          | 0.04 A,b                                             | 0.015 B,a                                       | 0.011 B,a                                       | 0.26 B,a                                       |
|           | 17          | 0.04 A,b                                             | 0.015 B,a                                       | 0.011 B,a                                       | 0.26 B,a                                       |
| Honey Brew | 0           | 0.02 B,a                                             | 0.013 B,b                                       | 0.016 A,B,b                                     | 0.31 B,a                                       |
|           | 17          | 0.02 A,b                                             | 0.013 B,b                                       | 0.016 A,B,b                                     | 0.31 B,a                                       |
| Orange Dew | 0           | 0.06 A,a                                             | 0.030 A,a                                       | 0.030 A,a                                       | 0.29 B,a                                       |
|           | 17          | 0.06 A,a                                             | 0.030 A,a                                       | 0.030 A,a                                       | 0.29 B,a                                       |

ySmall letters within a column indicate significant differences (P < 0.05) among cultivars within a storage period.
•Small letters within a column indicate significant differences (P < 0.05) within a cultivar over storage time.
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of this enzyme were noted between ‘Orange Dew’ and ‘Honey Brew’ on these days (Table 4). Although a dramatic decline during storage was observed in SOD activities of both non-netted muskmelons from the fruit harvested at the start of the harvest season, no such changes were noted in fruit from the end of the harvest season.

The first, second, and third PCA for the enzymes and MDA accounted for 36.0%, 20.3%, and 14.6% of the variation, respectively. The first principal component showed that, in general, both ‘Orange Dew’ and ‘Honey Brew’ had more negative scores than ‘Cruiser’ (data not shown). The negative scores for ‘Orange Dew’ and ‘Honey Brew’ were weighted by the means of SOD, AsPX, DHAR, CAT, and POX activities. The scores of the third principal component were only negative for ‘Cruiser,’ and these scores were weighted by SOD and AsPX activities.

**Total Antioxidants.** No overall change in total hydrophilic antioxidant levels (Trolox equivalents per gram DW) within cultivars during storage were noted (Fig. 1A). No differences in antioxidant levels (Trolox equivalents per gram DW) within the three cultivars with the exception of days 17 and 0 of the early and late harvest, respectively, where the water-soluble antioxidant activity of the netted ‘Cruiser’ was higher than that of both ‘Orange Dew’ and ‘Honey Brew’. There were no significant differences in hydrophilic antioxidant activity in fruit between the start or the end of the harvest season (Table 1).

The only differences in lipophilic antioxidant activity (Trolox equivalents per gram DW) between the cultivars occurred on day 10 in fruit from the start of the harvest season, where the lipid-soluble antioxidant activity of ‘Orange Dew’ was higher than either ‘Cruiser’ or ‘Honey Brew’ (Fig. 1B). The lipophilic antioxidant activity was lower on days 0 and 10 in both ‘Orange Dew’ and ‘Honey Brew’ cultivars at the start of the harvest season, but no differences were observed between cultivars or within cultivars in fruit at the end of the harvest season.

**Discussion**

In comparison with the netted muskmelon ‘Cruiser,’ the non-netted orange-fleshed muskmelon ‘Orange Dew’ exhibited higher (15% to 43%) concentrations of β-carotene and, with few exceptions, higher activities of the antioxidant enzymes AsPX, MDHAR, DHAR, CAT, POX, and SOD. These findings, observed on day 0 and following 17 d simulated commercial/retail storage, suggest that ‘Orange Dew’ has an inherently greater potential for antioxidant capacity than does the netted ‘Cruiser’. The lower levels of MDA, an estimator of lipid peroxidation (Hodges et al., 1999), in ‘Orange Dew’ also indicates that the greater antioxidant capacity of this cultivar had an effect on reducing AOS levels and, hence, oxidative stress, compared to ‘Cruiser’.

Phenolics play an important role in fruit flavor, color, and regulation of senescence by contributing to antioxidant activity and oxidative stability (Del Carlo et al., 2004). Though phenolics in fruit of all three cultivars increased with storage duration, ‘Orange Dew’ generally exhibited higher levels of phenolics versus ‘Cruiser’ or ‘Honey Brew’ on day 10 and day 17.

Presumably, higher activities of SOD in ‘Orange Dew’ led to greater O₂⁻ dismutation and subsequent H₂O₂ production than in ‘Cruiser’ (for review of antioxidants, see Lurie, 2003). The higher activities of CAT, POX, and AsPX in ‘Orange Dew’, perhaps in response to the higher SOD activities, would give this cultivar an advantage in converting H₂O₂ from SOD activity as well as from other sources to H₂O as compared to ‘Cruiser’. As ascorbate is oxidized to dehydroascorbate in the reaction catalyzed by AsPX, this would have necessitated the presence in ‘Orange Dew’ of higher activities of MDHAR and DHAR, which re-reduce dehydroascorbate back to ascorbate.

Both ‘Orange Dew’ and ‘Honey Brew’ exhibited lower lipid peroxidation levels, as estimated by MDA content, than ‘Cruiser’ during storage. However, only AsPX and SOD activities of ‘Honey Brew’ were similar to those of ‘Orange Dew’; activities of MDHAR, DHAR, CAT, and POX were, for the most part, no different than those of ‘Cruiser’.

---

**Fig. 1.** Total hydrophilic (A) and lipophilic (B) antioxidant activities in orange-fleshed cantaloupe cultivar Cruiser, green-fleshed honeydew cultivar Honey Brew, and orange-fleshed honeydew cultivar Orange Dew fruit from plants at the beginning of the harvest season (early; without *) and from the same plants at the end of the harvest season (late; with *) and following 0, 10 (7 d at 10 °C and 95% ± 2% RH plus 3 d at 21 °C) or 17 d (14 d at 10 °C and 95% ± 2% RH plus 3 d at 21 °C) simulated commercial storage. Capital letters within a column indicate significant differences (P ≤ 0.05) among cultivars within a storage period, whereas small letters within a column indicate significant differences (P ≤ 0.05) within a cultivar over storage time.
suggests that the critical antioxidant enzymes for regulating postharvest-induced oxidative stress in non-netted muskmelon are AsPX and SOD, and that MDHAR, GR, DHAR, CAT, POX play secondary roles. The similarities in lipid peroxidation during storage between the two non-netted honey dew (green- and orange-fleshed) cultivars suggests that β-carotene may play a less obvious role in limiting oxidative stress during postharvest melon storage.

In a previous study comparing CAT, POX, and SOD activities between two cultivars of non-netted muskmelon fruit (‘Clipper’) and (‘Jerac’) differing in their storage life ‘Clipper,’ the cultivar which exhibited less rapid lipid peroxidation (i.e., lower senescence rate) and AOS proliferation than ‘Jerac,’ also had higher initial activities levels of CAT and SOD and maintained higher activities of these enzymes throughout a 14-d storage period (Lacan and Baccou, 1998). Although initial values for POX were similar for the two cultivars, and activities of this enzyme decreased during storage, POX activity in ‘Jerac’ declined more rapidly. The ascorbate-related antioxidant enzymes were not assessed in the Lacan and Baccou (1998) study.

A decline in activities of SOD and ASPX of fresh red peppers during a 19-d storage period corroborate that these antioxidant enzymes contribute to the control of postharvest senescence and quality loss (Jiménez et al., 2003). After studying a number of antioxidant enzymes and compounds, activities of ASPX and SOD and concentrations of ascorbic acid were singled out as being important in the dynamics and severity of postharvest quality loss in fresh-cut spinach (Hodges et al., 2001; Hodges and Forney, 2000, 2003). Similar to the present muskmelon study, ASPX activities declined far more rapidly in the leaves of a spinach cultivar which accumulated more MDA than another cultivar during a 14-d storage period (Hodges et al., 2001). However, in contrast to the muskmelon results, SOD activity decreased in the cultivar which exhibited greater MDA accumulation. As with ASPX activities, spinach leaf concentrations of ascorbic acid declined more rapidly in the cultivar that demonstrated the greatest potential for lipid peroxidation. Surprisingly, there were no significant differences in total ascorbic acid concentrations between netted orange-fleshed muskmelon ‘Cruiser’ and non-netted orange-fleshed honeydew ‘Orange Dew’ in this study. However, the higher capacity to cycle ascorbic acid through the H2O2 removal pathway possessed by ‘Orange Dew’ and ‘Honey Brew’, as represented by higher ASPX activities, may have provided these non-netted cultivars an advantage over ‘Cruiser’ in neutralizing this particular AOS, which was independent upon the actual concentration of ascorbic acid.

The reason why the activities of the antioxidant enzymes ASPX, CAT, MDHAR, DHAR, POX, and SOD are initially and remain higher in ‘Orange Dew’ than ‘Cruiser’ remain unclear. As AOS can act as secondary cellular signals which induce apparently co-regulated antioxidant defenses (e.g., Shigeoka et al., 2002; Starzyńska et al., 2003; Vranová et al., 2002), it is possible that ‘Orange Dew’ either inherently produces higher amounts of AOS, is more sensitive to changes in AOS levels, and/or contains a more efficient cellular signal-gene expression system. In contrast to our results, mutants of arabidopsis [Arabidopsis thaliana (L.) Heynh.] exhibiting delayed leaf senescence and increased tolerance to oxidative stress evinced AsPX, CAT, and SOD activities which were similar or slightly lower than those of wild-type plants under unstressed conditions; the addition of methyl viologen to stimulate oxidative stress increased activities of these antioxidant enzymes to a lesser degree than in the wild type (Woo et al., 2004). Woo et al. (2004) concluded that increased tolerance to oxidative stress in the delayed leaf senescence of arabidopsis mutants did not appear to be associated with enhanced activities of AsPX, CAT, or SOD. However, as transgenic plants overexpressing antioxidant enzyme activities such as AsPX and SOD have shown a concomitant increase in tolerance to stress-and/or senescence induced oxidative stress in other plant systems (e.g., Wang et al., 2005; Yabuta et al., 2002), it appears that the role of antioxidants in senescence is very situation dependent.

The greater antioxidant potential of ‘Orange Dew’ as measured by β-carotene and phenolic content and certain enzyme activities was not reflected in the AAPH-based hydrophilic or lipophilic total antioxidant activity assays. These total antioxidant assays would not, of course, directly estimate enzyme-related antioxidant potential. Moreover, equivalent concentrations of β-carotene provided ≈5% of the total lipophilic antioxidant activity values as did α-tocopherol using this AAPH assay system, which is not surprising as Trolox is a water-soluble α-tocopherol analogue (personal observation). Recent findings demonstrate that the concentration of RMCD is highly critical in correlating levels of lycopene (another carotenoid) with total antioxidant activity, and increasing the percentage RMCD led to a decrease in overall lycopene-related Trolox equivalent values (Bangalore et al., 2005). The RMCD concentration used in our study, following the Prior et al. (2003) protocol, likely was too high, leading to underestimation of the total antioxidant activity contribution from β-carotene. Furthermore, carotenoids can act as potent antioxidants in lipid-rich environments (Kiokias and Gordon, 2004). There is evidence that ascorbic acid can inhibit carotenoid antioxidant activity in water model systems, but mixtures of carotenoids with ascorbic acid exhibit enhanced antioxidant activity with oil-water emulsions (Kiokias and Gordon, 2004). Although the greater β-carotene contents realized in ‘Orange Dew’ did not generate heightened lipophilic antioxidant activities using current methodologies (Prior et al., 2003), the 1.2- to 1.8-fold higher levels of β-carotene in non-netted ‘Orange Dew’ vs. netted ‘Cruiser’ nevertheless will make ‘Orange Dew’ more appealing to consumers (Lester and Turley, 1990). Additionally, higher levels of β-carotene per “serving” of melon would provide consumers with heightened anti-cancer, heart disease, stroke, cardiovascular disease, chronic fatigue, and night blindness health benefits (Lester, 1997) as there is sound epidemiological evidence linking higher ingestion of carotene-containing food matrixes with reduced incidences of certain forms of cancer and cardiovascular disease (Kiokias and Gordon, 2004).

Additional research into comparisons of ‘Orange Dew’ vs. ‘Cruiser’ needs to consider the impact of growing environment on enzymatic and nonenzymatic antioxidants. It is known that phytonutrients (e.g., ascorbic acid, β-carotene, and folic acid) accumulate differently in various cultivars of netted muskmelons and of honey dew melons in response to different growing locations, and yearly conditions (Lester and Crosby, 2002; Lester and Eischen, 1996). Likely, enzymatic and non-enzymatic antioxidant contents will also vary in ‘Orange Dew’ vs. ‘Cruiser’ melons grown under different environmental conditions.

In conclusion, due to the lack of a netted rind, non-netted orange-fleshed honey dew (‘Orange Dew’) does not represent the risk to consumers as does netted muskmelon (‘Cruiser’), as human illness commonly lingers can remain present in netting inaccessible to sanitation. Furthermore, both orange-fleshed (‘Orange Dew’) and green-fleshed (‘Honey Brew’) non-netted cultivars evinced less lipid peroxidation, and hence postharvest senescence, during the 17-d storage period then the netted muskmelon ‘Cruiser’.
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Higher AsPX and SOD activities in ‘Orange Dew’ and ‘Honey Brew’ appear to confer a greater resistance to lipid peroxidation in these muskmelon genotypes than in the netted ‘Cruiser’. Other studies have also identified AsPX and SOD as enzymes playing an important role in postharvest oxidative stress of fruit and vegetables (e.g., Hodges et al., 2001; Jiménez et al., 2003). Although ‘Orange-Dew’ contains relatively high amounts of ß-carotene [approximately one-third the 55.7 μg g−1 FW found in raw carrot (Daucus carota L.) according to the USDA National Nutrient Database (USDA, 2004)], levels of this antioxidant were undetectable in ‘Honey Brew’. As the level of lipid peroxidation is similar between ‘Orange Dew’ and ‘Honey Brew’, ß-carotene thus appears to play little role in regulating postharvest oxidative stress and resultant quality loss. ‘Orange Dew’ appears to be a healthier food choice not only due to its lack a netted rind which could potentially harbour human illness-related pathogens, but also that it is superior to both ‘Cruiser’ and ‘Honey Brew’ in overall ß-carotene and phenolic levels.
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