INTRODUCTION

In our generation, the Private and Public schools have a huge difference. The Public and Private schools each have their own positive and negative stereotypes. A few of these stereotypes are: Public students are mostly bullies, cigarette smokers, and even drug addicts. Some say that Private school students are arrogant and lazy. The researchers thought that if these kinds of stereotypes were to be abolished, then our community can have equal perceptions with each other.

We are all aware that most students coming from public elementary schools have financial problems in their family. We are also aware that problems can be used by a person as a motivation to study harder. Our group realized that students coming from public elementary schools often overwork those coming from private schools.

Private elementary schools also have an edge against Public elementary schools. Private elementary schools have a small and refined community. For this reason, teachers are able to focus their attention on individual students. Private schools can do expulsion; this is very rare in public elementary schools. Public schools consider that education is a right and not a privilege. Consequently, private elementary school students have a great advantage against public elementary school students.

The researchers had observed that both schools have each unique advantage against each other. One of which is that private elementary schools have better facilities, on the other hand Public elementary schools have better school teachers and personnel.
The researchers conducted this study because they want to prove that not all students coming from public elementary schools are stupid, and not all students from private elementary schools are arrogant. The researchers wanted to abolish negative stereotypes which can affect the students socially.

According to John Cloud (2011) his study shows that sending your kid to private school is still a better way to ensure that he/she will get into college. http://content.time.com/time/nation/article/0,8599,1670063,00.html

Clarence Durham (2010) suggested that “there are no table differences in what private school offer from the public school.”

Zebienski (2012), found out that when student background, mainly ES, was taken into account, students attending public schools actually outperform students at private schools.

According to major study from the National Center for Education Statistics (2013) public school teachers tend to be more qualified than their private school counter-parts in terms of education and experience. For example, they're more likely to have a master's degree, and to have logged more hours pursuing in-service study - learning, for example, how to use computers in the classroom. The report also indicates that on average, public school teachers earn higher salaries than those in private schools do. They also stated that private schools tend to be half as large as public schools. Many experts feel that children are less likely to get lost in the shuffle if they attend a smaller school, which naturally nurtures a sense of community and belonging. In addition, the teacher-student ratios in private schools tend to be more favorable.

From the research entitled “All Private and Public Elementary schools are equal” Taylor(2013) expounded that “private school are organized around principles other than academic outcomes, such as religious beliefs, safety or discipline; in some cases, academic achievement was a relatively low priority”

According to an article in the internet entitled “Public School vs. Private Schools”: new studies study says that there is no difference. Students in public schools perform on average, just as well as those studying in private schools.

The results of a new study show that private school education may be no better than public school education. Keep reading to learn more about this study and to learn how to make the right choice between private and public school education for your child. (Kate Barrington, New Study Confirms That Private Schools Are No Better Than Public Schools, March 20, 2019)

Private high school students score significantly higher than public high school students on reading, mathematics, and science assessments at age 15, and have higher levels of educational attainment by age 23. Two factors consistently account for these differences. Students who attended private high schools were more likely to have socio-economic characteristics positively associated with academic success and to have school peers with university-educated parents. Province of school attendance accounted for a substantial portion of the differences in academic outcomes measured in high school (i.e., test scores and high school graduation rates), but generally not at the postsecondary level. School resources and practices accounted for little of the differences in academic outcomes. (Marc Frenette, Academic Outcomes of Public and Private High School Students: What Lies Behind the Differences?, 2015)

Researchers and activists have long debated the different effects of school governance on student achievement. Some studies have purportedly found that students in private schools significantly outperform their public school counterparts. In fact, much of the argument for market reforms in education-including vouchers and charter schools-revolves around the assumption that private governance results in higher student achievement at
similar (or lower) cost when compared to public governance. (Lubienski, S., & Lubienski, C., Public and Private Schools’ Performance: Does Governance Matter?, 2005).

Good performances in private schools have not only attracted many parents but also left the public wondering what secret could be behind their success. Despite government investing heavily in public schools, not much in terms of performance is yet realized. Most public schools, especially in urban areas, are well staffed. Teachers in public schools are better paid compared to those in private. (Samuel K. Rong, a Comparison of Academic Performance between Public and Private Secondary Schools in Wareng District, Kenya, 2017).

Theoretical and Conceptual Framework

Figure 1. Research Paradigm

The conceptual framework above illustrates the data to be used by the researchers in conducting the research. The data consists of the Profile variables of the respondents; namely, the gender, age, and economic status; the type of school in which they graduated, and their academic performance in the 1st and 2nd quarter.

This research was aimed to know if there is a difference in academic performance between the Public elementary school graduates and Private elementary school graduates

1. What is the profile of the grade 7 students of a sampled schools according to:
   a. Gender
   b. Economic status

2. What is the type of elementary school in which the respondents graduated?
   a. Public
   b. Private

3. What is the academic performance of the grade 7 students of a sampled school in the following grading period:
   a. First Grading Period
   b. Second Grading Period

4. Is there a significant difference in the academic performance of the public and private elementary school graduates?
Hypotheses
The researchers found the hypothesis helpful as a base for the determining assumptions and for the explanation about the data to be gathered. We, the researchers, will be aware about our temporary answers, we will know if it is correct or wrong. There is no significant difference between the academic performance of private elementary school graduates and public elementary school graduates.

RESEARCH METHODS
The research method used in this study is the “descriptive method of research”. Descriptive research aims to accurately and systematically describe a population, situation or phenomenon. (McCombes. 2019), the Descriptive method may be defined as a purposive process of gathering, analyzing, classifying and tabulating data. It usually uses survey questionnaires to gather data.

The research uses purposeve sampling because it revolves around the respondents because they are the source of the required data and the necessary information. Purposive sampling, also known as judgmental, selective, or subjective sampling, is a form of non-probability sampling in which researchers rely on their own judgment when choosing members of the population to participate in their study. (Foley. 2018). Consequently, the respondents are very important in each research study. Each study needs a particular set of respondents for them to make a conclusion about the problem that they are discussing. In this study, there are 133 chosen respondents, which are the grade 7 students of a sampled school. This is because, objectively, these students are fresh from their elementary years.

Research Instruments
Research Instrument refers to the tool used to gather data that are necessary. Obviously, data is very important in a study due to the fact that it provides the basis for observations. In order to obtain the data needed, the researchers used the questionnaire as the research instrument. The questionnaire intends to gather personal information or demographic background of the chosen respondents. This involves the name, year level, monthly income, gender, and kind of elementary school they graduated in. The researchers also collected the grades of the respondents in the 1st and 2nd grading quarters.

School Records
To determine the verbal interpretation of the academic performance of the respondents, the researchers had noted a letter that asked the Guidance Counselor to get the respondent’s record. The records of the respondents were copied and were checked carefully by the researchers in order to avoid inaccurate information. The grades were classified as:
Proficient: 90% and above
Advanced: 85% - 89%
Approaching Proficient: 80% - 84%
Developing: 75% - 79%
Beginning: 74 and below

Statistical Tools/Treatment of Data
This part presents the different formulas/equations used in computations for the interpretation of the different data gathered and for the correlation of the different variables included in the research study.
Percentage Distribution
This tool is used to express the percentage of the total frequency that is equated to 100. This was needed to gather the percentage of the frequency of responses for a specific problem.

Formula:

\[ \% = \frac{f}{n} \times 100 \]

Where:
f – Frequency of respondents
n – Number of the total respondents

Mean
The mean was used to measure the central tendency of the academic performance of the grade 7 students. The mean is computed by adding all the scores and dividing by the number of scores.

\[ \bar{x} = \frac{\sum x_i}{n} \]

Where:
\( \sum x_i \) = the sum of all the scores
n = number of scores

Standard Deviation
It tells how tightly all the various examples are clustered around the mean in a set of data.

\[ s = \sqrt{\frac{\sum (x - \bar{x})^2}{n}} \]

Where
x = ungrouped data
\( \bar{x} \) = mean of the data
s = Standard Deviation
n = number of measurements

T-Test
It is used to calculate the significant difference existing among the groups only. It is used by the researchers to calculate the difference between these factors comparing with only two variables.

\[ t = \frac{|\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2|}{\sqrt{\frac{(s_1)^2}{n_1} + \frac{(s_2)^2}{n_2}}} \]

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
Profile of the Respondents
The researchers used the grade 7 students as the respondents of the study. There are 133 students in the grade 7 year level and the researchers utilized all of them in the study.
Table 1. Distribution of Respondents According to Gender

| Gender  | Freq. | %    |
|---------|-------|------|
| Male    | 67    | 50.38%|
| Female  | 66    | 49.62%|
| Total   | 133   | 100%  |

Table 1. shows the distribution of the respondents when grouped according to gender. The respondents are made up of 67 or 50.38% male and 66 or 49.62% female students with the total of 133 respondents.

This table shows that the Male respondents exceed the Female respondents by 1 only, which implies that there is no large difference between the genders of the respondents.

Table 2. Distribution of Respondents According to Economic Status

| Economic Status | Freq. | %    |
|-----------------|-------|------|
| Below 10,000 (P)| 32    | 24.06%|
| 10,000 – 20,000 (A)| 35 | 26.32%|
| 21,000 – 30,000 (AA)| 41 | 30.83%|
| Above 30,000 (R) | 25    | 18.79%|
| Total           | 133   | 100%  |

Table 2 presents the distribution of the respondents when grouped according to the Economic Status of their family. The table shows that there are 41 respondents, or 30.83% of the total respondents that belongs to the family which has 21,000 – 30,000 monthly income; 35 respondents or 26.32% of the total respondents belongs to the 10,000 – 20,000 family monthly income; 32 respondents or 24.06% of the total respondents belongs to the below 10,000 family monthly income, and lastly there are 25 respondents or 18.79% of the total respondents which belongs to above 30,000 family monthly income.

There are 4 particular ratings according which the researchers used to give a verbal interpretation to the family monthly income: below 10,000 is considered as poor family income, 11,000 – 20,000 is considered as average family income, 21,000 – 30,000 is considered as above average family income and above 30,000 which is considered as rich family income.

Type of School Where the Respondents Have Graduated in

The researchers used a survey questionnaire to collect data about the past school information of the respondents. In table 2, the researchers present the distribution of the respondents according to the school they graduated in.

Table 3. Type of School in Which the Respondents Graduated in

| Type of School | F | % |
|----------------|---|---|
| Private        | 60 | 45.11%|
| Public         | 73 | 54.89%|
| Total          | 133 | 100%|

Table 3 shows that 73 respondents or 54.89% of the total respondents graduated in a Public elementary school and 60 respondents or 45.11% of the total respondents graduated in a Private elementary school.
This table implies that there is no great difference in the number of respondents that graduated in each type of school. Hence, the researchers did not bother to equal the number of data to be used in each type of school.

**Academic Performance of the Respondents During the 1st And 2nd Quarter of Sy 2013-2014**

The table in the next 2 pages will present the grades of the respondents during the first and second grading period of S.Y. 2013-2014. With these tables we can observe if there is a change between the academic performances of the respondents.

**Table 4. The Academic Performance of the Respondents during The 1st Quarter of Sy 2013-2014**

| Academic Performance                      | F | %   |
|------------------------------------------|---|-----|
| 90% and above(Proficient)               | 11| 8.27%|
| 85% - 89%(Advanced)                      | 43| 32.33%|
| 80% - 84%(Approaching Proficient)        | 65| 48.87%|
| 75% - 79%(Developing)                    | 14| 10.53%|
| 74 and below(Beginning)                  | 0 | 0%   |
| **Total**                                | 133| 100% |

Table 4 shows the level of academic performance of the respondents during the 1st grading quarter of SY 2013-2014. The mean of the grades of the respondents during the 1st quarter is 83.84 with a verbal interpretation of Approaching Proficient. This table implies that most of the respondents have a grade of 80% - 84% which makes up of 48.87% of the total respondents.

**Table 5. The Academic Performance of the Respondents during the 2nd Quarter of Sy 2013-2014**

| Academic Performance                      | F | %   |
|------------------------------------------|---|-----|
| 90% and above(Proficient)               | 14| 10.53%|
| 85% - 89%(Advanced)                      | 42| 31.58%|
| 80% - 84%(Approaching Proficient)        | 64| 48.12%|
| 75% - 79%(Developing)                    | 13| 9.77% |
| 74 and below(Beginning)                  | 0 | 0%   |
| **Total**                                | 133| 100% |

Table 5 shows the level of academic performance of the respondents during the 2nd grading quarter of SY 2013-2014. The mean of the grades of the respondents during the 2nd quarter is 84.22 with a verbal interpretation of Approaching Proficient. This table implies that most of the respondents have a grade of 80% - 84% which makes up of 48.12% of the total respondents. It also implies that there is a very small change in the average academic performance of the respondents.

**The Difference in the Academic Performance of the Private And Public Elementary School Graduates**

This part will present how the Null Hypothesis was tested by the researchers, to determine whether there the variables have a significant difference.
Table 6. The Difference in the Academic Performance of the Private and Public Elementary School Graduates

| Factors Compared in the Study | VALUES |
|-------------------------------|--------|
| Academic Performance of Private elementary school graduates | Mean: 84.90, STDEV: 4.17 |
| Academic Performance of Public elementary school graduates | Mean: 83.31, STDEV: 3.21 |

T-Test

| VALUES |
|--------|
| t(Computed): 2.42 |
| t(Critical): 1.98 |

Null Hypothesis is REJECTED

Table 6 shows the difference in the academic performance of the Private and Public elementary school graduates. The computed t has a value of 2.42, while the t-critical is 1.98. The computed t is greater than the t-critical; therefore the Null Hypothesis is REJECTED, which implies that there is a significant difference in the academic performance of the Private and Public elementary school graduates. The result on the table simply implies that the Private elementary school graduates perform better than the Public elementary school graduates.

CONCLUSION

After the analysis and interpretation of the findings, the researchers came up with the following conclusion:

1. There is a significant difference between the academic performance of private elementary school graduates and public elementary school graduates.
2. Private elementary school graduates perform better than public elementary graduates.

The researchers recommend future researchers to consider and identify other factors affecting the academic performance of students. Additionally, it is recommended also to identify the academic performance of high school students when they are in elementary.
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