Management of Sacral Giant Cell Tumor of Bone by Embolization: Case Report and Literature Review
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Abstract

Giant cell tumors of bone are rare, characterized by their local aggressiveness and high risk of local recurrence. For tumors located in challenging anatomical such as sacrum, the surgical management is very difficult because of extensive bone destruction and the complexity of anatomical region. Advances in medical management of this disease have also demonstrated promise as an effective and alternative treatment. We reported a case of 14 years old boy, who is affected by sacral GCT revealed by lumbago-sciatica. The diagnosis was confirmed by surgical biopsy followed by anatomopathological examination. Arterial embolization was made because the tumor was unresectable and we noticed a good radio-clinical evolution. Embolization could be proposed as standard treatment for patients with incomplete excision or where surgery would induces functional deficits.
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INTRODUCTION

Giant cell tumors are primary mostly benign bone tumor. Its represents a rare pathological entity (20% of benign primary bone tumors and 5% of all primary bone tumors) [1]. It usually occurs in the meta-epiphysial region of long bones. The sacrum represents the 3rd most common site of TCG, its damage is estimated at 3 to 4% [2]. They are characterized by their local aggressiveness [3] and a significant risk of recurrence. Surgical treatment which is the mainstay of treatment for GCTs is very difficult for the tumor located in challenging anatomical such as sacrum, because of extensive bone destruction and the complexity of anatomical region. We report a case of a sacred giant cell tumor treated by embolization.

CASE REPORT

A 14-year-old male with no significant past medical history; presented with severe pain in the lumbosacral region for 4 months. The pain radiated from the lumbar spine into each thigh posterolaterally, until big toe without others symptoms such as sphincter disorders.

Physical examination showed spinal syndrome, with pain percussion of spinous processes. Magnetic resonance imaging of the lumbar spine showed a sacral mass measure 88 * 53 * 25 mm, it involves the first sacral vertebra with extension to the vertebral canal and the posterior arch, and contains necrosis and calcified zones.

Fig-1: Sagittal MR image show a first sacral mass before embolization
The diagnosis was confirmed by surgical biopsy followed by histological examination. It shows tumor proliferation containing two types of cells: multinucleated giant cells and monocytes.

Surgical treatment was impossible because of the tumor location. Treatment was discussed with the family, and the patient was scheduled for serial arterial embolization.

Clinical evaluation was marked by pain relief after second embolization. MRI revealed tumor stability and regression of necrosis areas. The patient is scheduled for another embolization sessions.

Fig-2: Succession of arterial embolization

Fig-3: Sagittal MR image show a mass of the first sacral vertebra after second embolization

Clinical evaluation was marked by pain relief after second embolization. MRI revealed tumor stability and regression of necrosis areas. The patient is scheduled for another embolization sessions.

DISCUSSION

Giant cell bone tumors are relatively rare tumors, first described in 1818 by Cooper and Travers [5]. It represents approximately 5% of all primary bone lesions in the USA and 20% in certain regions of Asia [6]. GCTB generally affect patients between 20 and 50 years of age with predominantly female [7]. They occur exceptionally before the age of 18 and after 50 [1].

The involvement of the extremities of the long bones is the most frequent with predilection of the epiphyseometaphyseal region [8], mainly around the knee (50%). Fifteen percent of GCTB are located in axial skeleton [9] which the pelvis and sacrum are more often involved accounting for 1.5 à 8.2% of bone GCT.

Paget's disease and the aneurysmal cyst are the most common diseases associated with giant cell tumor of the bone [10].

Clinically, pain is the most common symptom (45.4%) [6], it is related to the extensive bone destruction and nerve compression. The bone pain begins slowly and increases with time. It can be triggered by pressure, associated with stiff spine, sometimes with inflammatory swelling or with neurological signs by radicular and / or medullary attack which can be confused with herniated disc [1].

Pelvic and sacral GCTs tend to be clinically silent in the early stages of development and cause few symptoms until they achieve a very large size and vascular [11].

The radiographic appearance of spinal GCTs is typically an osteolytic, expansile lesion with significant cortical destruction [3]. In the spine, GCTs typically involve the vertebral body, and can extend into the posterior elements and paraspinal tissues [3]. Computed tomography allows good assessment of cortical involvement and extension in soft tissue [12]. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) shows nonspecific image, with the tumor displaying decreased signal intensity on T1-weighted images, increased signal intensity on T2-weighted images, and enhancement on gadolinium-enhanced images [13].

Histologically, GCTB contains three distinct cellular populations: multinucleated giant cells, recruited monocytes and bland stromal cells [6]. Areas of infarctoid necrosis are common, especially for large tumors. Historically, a GCTB classification system, based on the histological aspect of the tumor, was proposed by Jaffe and others in 1940 to stratify the
tumor and predict its clinical behavior. Three grades of aggressiveness were described: …… This classification system is no longer used due to its limited clinical value.

Although sacral GCTs are benign from the pathologic point of view, treatment is very difficult, optimal management is controversial, and there are no standard treatment guidelines [2].

The best surgical strategy for the treatment of sacral giant cell tumors continues to be refined over time [2]. Curettage combined with radiotherapy and marginal resection plus curettage can retain the sacral nerve root and maintain the completeness of the pelvic ring, but the rate of local recurrence is relatively high.

Extensive marginal resection and en bloc resection may maximally decrease the recurrence rate but increase the incidence of surgical complications [15, 16].

Brazilian team reported on their experience in radical surgery. They noticed a high risk of hemorrhage, infection and neurological deficit [7]. Patients would also suffer from sphincter disorders, pelvic and spinal instability responsible for the deterioration of their quality of life [7].

Arterial embolization is a therapeutic method, in which small materiel, are injected through catheter to clog tumor blood vessels. This procedure shrinks the tumor by depriving it of the oxygen- carry blood and other substance. It was proved to be curative treatment in sacral GCTs [11]. It is often used alone or in conjunction with other modalities: it can be proposed neoadjuvantly for GCTB to facilitate effective surgical curettage or resection.

The majority of GCTs treated by embolization obtained at least a favorable initial response, and around 50% of sustainable local control beyond 10 years of follow-up (17). Patients undergo a series of embolizations every 1–4 months until no new vessels are visualized feeding the tumor for an average of four sessions [17].

Lackman et al., [18] reported 5 cases of sacred TCG treated by embolization alone and 4 tumors remained stable over an average period of 6.7 years, although no decrease in tumor size was observed. Lin et al., [19] found that the response rate was 78% in a series of 18 sacred TCGs treated with a series of arterial embolization. The response to embolization was also sustainable, around 50% of patients, at 10 and 20 years of age.

The evaluation of the response to embolization is based on clinical and radiological criteria: Pain relief, decreased vascularity and peripheral ossification.

Another therapeutic option has been described in sacral GCTs is radiotherapy. It is reserved for inoperable and central tumors [20]. A dose of 45 Gy seems well tolerated and ensures good long-term local control [1]. The prognosis is marked by high risk of local recurrence which rate varies between 25 and 50% and malignant transformation which is in the range of 2 to 11% [1-6].

However, the frequency seems to be decreased with modern developments such as three-dimensional conformal RT, intensity-modulated RT and proton therapy enable delivery of elevated doses to the tumor with reduced dose to surrounding normal tissue [21]. These advantages may improve local control and decrease the risk of secondary malignancies [6].

Denosumab is a monoclonal antibody that specifically binds receptor of activator nuclear factor kappa-B ligand (RANKL), thereby down regulating osteoclast activity [3]. In June 2013, denosumab was approved by the FDA in the United States for unresectable GCTB treatments. A multicentric phase II study including 30 sites in 12 countries has shown he overall risk to benefit ratio for denosumab treatment in patients with GCTB remains favourable.

As for bisphosphonates, they are also used to stop osteolysis by inhibiting the activity of osteoclasts. In vitro studies demonstrate a tumoricidal effect on both the osteoclast and stromal cellular components of GCTB [23, 24].

Distant spread to the lungs is rare (2-3%), but up to 10% of patients with recurrent GCTB can develop pulmonary metastases [25].

CONCLUSION

Giant cell bone tumors are benign, locally aggressive tumors with a high risk of local recurrence. The sacral location is complex anatomical region, it surgical excision is very difficult which can be responsible for functional deficit. Arterial embolization alone or as neo-adjuvant could be proposed as effective therapeutic alternative to reduce the extent of tumor as well as the morbidity of the surgery.
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