Lis1 relieves cytoplasmic dynein-1 auto-inhibition by acting as a molecular wedge
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Cytoplasmic dynein-1 transports many intracellular cargos towards microtubule minus ends. Dynein is autoinhibited and undergoes conformational changes to form an active complex, consisting of one or two dynein dimers, the dynactin complex and activating adaptor(s). The Lissencephaly 1 gene, LIS1, is genetically linked to the dynein pathway from fungi to mammals and is mutated in patients with the neurodevelopmental disease lissencephaly-5-6. Lis1 is required for active dynein complexes to form7-10, but how it does so is unclear. Here, we present a structure of two dynein motor domains with two Lis1 dimers wedged in-between. The contact sites between dynein and Lis1 in this structure, termed “Chi”, are required for Lis1’s regulation of dynein in Saccharomyces cerevisiae in vivo and the formation of active human dynein–dynactin–activating adaptor complexes in vitro. We propose that this structure represents an intermediate in dynein’s activation pathway, revealing how Lis1 relieves dynein’s autoinhibited state.

Cytoplasmic dynein-1 (dynein) is the main minus-end directed microtubule motor responsible for transporting vesicles, protein complexes, RNAs, organelles, and viruses along microtubules. Dynein also positions microtubules, and is coupled to movements of dynein’s mechanical element, the “linker”, and rearrangements in dynein’s stalk and buttress are responsible for controlling the affinity of the microtubule-binding domain for microtubules in Fig. 1a.

Dynein is proposed to exist largely in an autoinhibited ‘Phi’ conformation in cells, which has been observed in vitro11-18. In contrast to Phi dynein, which only contains the dynein subunits, active dynein complexes can be >4 MDa and consist of one or two dynein dimers, the 1.1 MDa dynactin complex, and an activating adaptor that mediates the interaction between dynein and dynactin and links dynein to its cargos19,20 (Fig. 1b). Dynein must undergo major conformational changes to transition from the autoinhibited Phi form to these active complexes1,18,21. Some activated dynein complexes contain two dynein dimers, which move faster than complexes containing a single dynein dimer (Fig. 1b)21-24, and can also include a second activating adaptor1. Many putative activating adaptors have been described and about a dozen of these have been shown to activate dynein motility in vitro, including members of the Hook, Bicaudal D (BicD), and Ninein families25-27. Yeast dynein requires dynactin and the presumed activating adaptor Num1 for function in vivo as well28-30.

Dynein function in vivo also requires Lis1, which is an essential positive regulator of dynein, as shown by genetic studies in many organisms31-36. Like dynein, Lis1 is conserved from yeast to mammals. Lis1 is a dimer, with each monomer consisting of an N-terminal small dimerization domain, an alpha helix, and a C-terminal propeller following a flexible linker37,38 (Fig. 1a). Lis1 is the only dynein regulator known to bind directly to dynein’s AAA+ motor domain, with two known binding sites: one on dynein’s motor domain between AAA3 and AAA4 and the other on dynein’s stalk, a long anti-parallel coiled coil that leads to dynein’s microtubule binding domain (site39-42). In humans, mutations in Lis1 (PAFAH1B1) or the dynein heavy chain (DYNC1H1) cause the neurodevelopmental disease lissencephaly and other malformations of cortical development4,5,13. Recent studies show that Lis1 has a role in forming active dynein complexes22,24,40,43. Human dynein-dynactin-activating adaptor complexes form more readily in vitro.
Lis1 relieves cytoplasmic dynein-1 auto-inhibition by acting as a molecular wedge in the presence of Lis1 and move faster on microtubules due to the recruitment of a second dynein dimer to the complex.\textsuperscript{22,24} In \textit{S. cerevisiae} and the filamentous fungus \textit{Aspergillus nidulans}, mutations in dynein that block the formation of the autoinhibited Phi conformation can partially rescue Lis1 deletion or mutations, suggesting that Lis1 may activate dynein by relieving autoinhibition.\textsuperscript{34,43,44} On the other hand, the inability of Phi blocking mutations to fully rescue Lis1 loss of function phenotypes suggests that Lis1 may have additional roles in regulating dynein beyond relieving the autoinhibited Phi conformation.\textsuperscript{34,40,43}

Despite the data summarized above, how Lis1 relieves dynein autoinhibition remains unknown. Previously, we determined a high-resolution cryo-EM structure of the \textit{S. cerevisiae} dynein motor domain (carrying a E2488Q mutation in the Walker B motif of its AAA3 domain) in the presence of ATP-Vanadate and bound to two yeast Lis1 \(\beta\)-propellers, most likely coming from the same Lis1 dimer\textsuperscript{46}. Although this dataset appeared to consist primarily of particles containing one dynein motor domain bound to one Lis1 dimer, we revisited it to look for other species. To our surprise, additional data processing revealed several 2D class averages that contained two dynein motor domains, even though the dynein we used for cryo-EM sample preparation was engineered to be monomeric. Using this subset of particles, we solved a structure of two dynein motor domains complexed with two Lis1 dimers to 4.1 Å. We termed this structure ‘Chi’ because this new dynein conformation resembles the Greek letter Chi, and because Chi follows Phi in the Greek alphabet.

\textbf{Figure 1. Structure of the Chi dynein-Lis1 complex.} \textit{a.} Cartoons of dynein’s and Lis1’s domain organization. Major elements mentioned in the text are labelled. \textit{b.} Schematic of dynein activation. Activation of dynein requires the relief of its autoinhibited conformation (Phi), and the formation of an active complex containing the dynactin complex and an activating adaptor. While Lis1 is known to be involved in this process, how it is involved is unknown. \textit{c.} Cryo-EM map of the Chi dynein-Lis1 complex, shown in two orientations. The different Lis1 \(\beta\)-propellers that bind to previously identified sites on dynein are labeled. \textit{d.} Model of the Chi dynein-Lis1 complex, shown in the same two orientations as the map in (c).

\textbf{Figure 2. Comparison of dynein in Chi and Phi.} \textit{a.} Interfaces in human dynein involved in stabilizing Phi. \textit{b.} Interfaces in yeast dynein involved in stabilizing Chi. Note that all but one (stalk-stalk) of the interfaces in Chi also involve Lis1, which we do not show in this panel. The circled labels (3a, 3b, 3c) refer to panels in Figure 3 where interfaces are shown in detail. \textit{c.} Overlay of dynein in Phi (grey) and Chi (rainbow). As in panel (b), we omitted Lis1 in the Chi structure for clarity. The left panel shows the two structures superimposed. The right panel shows interatomic vectors linking equivalent alpha carbons in Phi and Chi. The length of the cylinders is proportional to the displacement of that atom between the two structures.

In Chi, the Lis1s bound to the dynein motor domains act as wedges that keep the two dyneins apart, preventing most of the interactions that stabilize Phi (Fig. 2a and 2b, Extended Data Figure 2b). The one exception is a contact between dynein’s stalk helices, which acts as a hinge where Phi opens to accommodate Lis1 and transition to Chi (Fig. 2a and 2b). The dynein-dynein Phi contacts that are disrupted in Chi are replaced by new contacts between Lis1 bound to site\textsubscript{org} on one dynein and the AAA5 (Fig. 3a) and AAA6 (Fig. 3b) domains of the opposite dynein. These Chi-
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The major features of the Chi structure—the disruption of most Phi-stabilizing interactions, a shift in the linker-linker interface, and the dependence of these on the presence of Lis1 and new interactions between Lis1 and dynein—suggest that Chi is an early intermediate in the activation of dynein that provides a mechanistic explanation for the role of Lis1 in this process. We set out to test this hypothesis and validate our structural model in vivo using S. cerevisiae and in vitro using recombinant human dynein-dynactin-activating adaptor complexes.

We first sought to determine if the new contact sites between Lis1 and dynein at AAA5 (Fig. 4a) and AAA6 (Fig. 4b) that form Chi are important for the dynein pathway in vivo. For this, we turned to experiments in S. cerevisiae. In yeast, dynein functions to align the mitotic spindle, such that upon the completion of mitosis both the mother and daughter cell inherit a nucleus[20,30,46–48]. Deletion of dynein and dynactin subunits, as well as Lis1 causes nuclear segregation defects that are readily quantifiable as binucleate cells[31]. To disrupt the Chi-specific interactions (Fig. 4a and 4b) we mutated Lis1’s Asn 213 to Ala (Lis1N213A) and Trp 288 to Asp (Lis1W288D), or both (Lis1N213A, W288D) in the endogenous locus of yeast Lis1 (PAC1). Western blots of strains containing FLAG-tagged versions of the mutants confirmed that Lis1N213A, Lis1W288D and Lis1N213A, W288D were expressed at wild type levels (Extended Data Fig. 4a and 4b). We found that all three mutants exhibited an increase in the percentage of cells containing two nuclei, similar to cells where Lis1 was deleted (Fig. 4c). This suggests that the dynein-Lis1 interactions involved in forming Chi are required for Lis1’s regulation of dynein in vivo.

Next, we wanted to determine how the Lis1-dynein interactions involved in forming Chi contribute to dynein’s localization in yeast cells (Fig. 4d–g). Yeast dynein assembles into fully active complexes with dynactin and Num1, the presumed yeast dynein activating adaptor, at the cell cortex[28,49]. From this site, active dynein pulls on spindle pole body (SPB)-attached microtubules to align the mitotic spindle, which ultimately favors equal segregation of nuclei between mother and daughter cells. Dynein reaches the cortex by first localizing to microtubule plus ends, either via kinesin-dependent transport or through cytosolic recruitment[50–52]. Dynein also localizes to the SPB, where microtubule minus ends are found[51]. Lis1 is required for dynein’s localization to all three sites[30,31,53]. To assess how the mutants that target the new interactions between dynein and Lis1 in Chi affect dynein localization, we introduced Lis1N213A, Lis1W288D and Lis1N213A, W288D at the endogenous PAC1 locus. In these strains, dynein, alpha-tubulin and a spindle pole body component were also tagged with a fluorescent protein (DYN1-3xGFP, TUB1-CFP and SPC110-tdTomato, respectively) (Fig. 4d)[31,39] and used to measure the number of dynein foci that were found at the cell cortex (Fig. 4e), SPBs (Fig. 4f), or microtubule plus ends (Fig. 4g) in each cell. All three mutants showed a striking reduction in the number of dynein foci at the cell cortex, similar to a Lis1 deletion strain (Fig. 4e). Because the cortex is the site of dynein-dynactin-Num1 assembly, these data suggest that the Chi conformation is important for the assembly of active dynein complexes in vivo. All three mutants also
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Figure 4. Role of Chi in dynein’s function in S. cerevisiae.
a. The yeast Chi AAA5-Lis1\textsubscript{intra} interface. Trp 288 in Lis1 was mutated to Asp.
b. The yeast Chi AAA6-Lis1\textsubscript{intra} interface. Asn 213 was mutated to Ala.
c. Quantitation (mean ± s.e.m.) of the percentage of cells displaying an aberrant binucleate phenotype for wild type (WT, dark gray), Lis1 deletion (light gray), Lis1\textsuperscript{N213A} (orange), Lis1\textsuperscript{W288D} (red) and Lis1\textsuperscript{N213A, W288D} (maroon). n = 3 independent experiments, with 3-4 replicates per experiment, with at least 200 cells per condition. Statistics were generated using a One-way ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple comparisons of each mean test. **** WT and ΔLis1 p<0.0001, WT and Lis1\textsuperscript{N213A} p<0.0001, ** WT and Lis1\textsuperscript{W288D} p=0.0001, **** WT and Lis1\textsuperscript{N213A, W288D} p=0.0001. Differences not noted are not significant. d. Example images of endogenous dynein localization (DYNL-3xGFP) in dividing yeast cells expressing a fluorescently tagged SPB (SPC110-GFP) component and tubulin (TUB1-CFP). Scale bars are 3 μm. e-g. Quantification of dynein localization. Bar graphs show the average number of dynein foci per cell localized to (e) the cortex, (f) SPB, and (g) microtubule plus ends in WT, Lis1Δ, Lis1\textsuperscript{N213A}, Lis1\textsuperscript{W288D} and Lis1\textsuperscript{N213A, W288D} yeast strains. Statistical analysis was performed using one-way ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple comparison of means test: **** p<0.0001; *** p=0.0002; ** p=0.0018; * p=0.025; ns (not significant), p=0.1825>0.9999. n = 120 cells per condition.

significantly affected the ability of dynein to localize to microtubule plus ends. One mechanism dynein uses to reach microtubule plus ends is via kinesin transport in a complex that also contains Lis1 and Bik1\textsuperscript{30,54,55}. Thus, it is possible that this transport complex uses the novel dynein-Lis1 interactions we identified in Chi, or potentially the Chi conformation itself. Together, our data show that the contacts we observe between dynein and Lis1 in the Chi structure are required for active dynein complex assembly and function in vivo.

To assess the importance of the Chi conformation in the assembly of active human dynein-dynactin-activating adaptor complexes at the molecular level, we next turned to reconstituting the complexes using human proteins. In vitro, human Lis1 enhances the formation of dynactin-activating adaptor complexes containing two dynein dimers, which move faster than the single-dynein dimer complexes that form in the absence of Lis1\textsuperscript{22,24}. To do this, we first had to identify Chi-disrupting mutations in human Lis1 predicted to be equivalent to the ones we identified in yeast. Directly overlaying our recent structure of a human dynein-Lis1 complex\textsuperscript{26} onto each motor domain of yeast Chi did not work, as Lis1 bound to site\textsubscript{ring} is too far away from the opposite dynein to form the Chi-stabilizing interactions with AAA5 and AAA6 (Fig. 5a). This difference is due to human Lis1 having shorter peripheral loops and being slightly rotated relative to yeast Lis1\textsuperscript{16}. To circumvent this problem, we modeled human Chi by bringing the motor domains closer together while maintaining the stalk-stalk interaction that functions as the hinge. Using this model, we made two sets of human Lis1 mutations: Lis1N203A, D205A, Y225A to disrupt both the Lis1-AAA5 and Lis1-AAA6 interfaces, and Lis1N203A, D205A, D245A to disrupt the Lis1-AAA6 interface alone. Two of the sites we mutated in human Lis1, N203A and D245A, are also conserved in yeast, while Y225 corresponds to the W288 we mutated in the yeast Lis1 (Extended Data Fig. 5a).

Next, we purified these mutant human Lis1 constructs (Extended Data Fig. 5b) and examined their ability to activate human dynein-dynactin complexes containing the BICD2 activating adaptor in single-molecule motility assays. In these assays, activation of motility is read out by observing an increase in dynein complex velocity in the presence of Lis1, which results from the enhanced formation of dynein complexes containing two dynein dimers\textsuperscript{21,22,24}. As we showed previously, pre-incubation of dynein-dynactin-BICD2 with 300 nM wild type human Lis1 increased the velocity and landing rates of these complexes (Fig. 5b-d)\textsuperscript{24}. In contrast, there was no significant difference between dynein velocity and landing rates when dynein-dynactin-BICD2 complexes were pre-incubated with the two human Lis1 mutants (Lis1N203A, D205A, Y225A and Lis1N203A, D205A, D245A) as compared to complexes that were formed in the absence of Lis1 (Fig. 5b-d). We also observed a modest increase in the number of diffusive events in the presence of Lis1N203A, D205A, D245A (Extended Data Fig. 5c). These data indicate that the novel dynein-Lis1 contact sites found in the Chi structure are important for human Lis1’s role in forming the activated human dynein-dynactin-activating adaptor complex.

Based on the data presented here, we propose that Chi is an intermediate state in the dynein activation pathway, providing the first structural and mechanistic explanation for how Lis1 relieves dynein autoinhibition. We propose that the wedging of Lis1 between the two dynein motor domains primes dynein for binding dynactin and activating adaptor protein(s).

The Chi conformation has important implications for dynein activation. In dynein’s autoinhibited Phi state, the “tails”, which precede the motor domain, make many contacts with each other until they reach their “neck” region, where they move away from each other before rejoining again at the linker domain. Switching to the active dynactin-bound form requires the tail to undergo a large conformational change that turns the loose two-fold symmetry
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Figure 5. Role of Chi in human dynein's ability to move on microtubules.

a. A model of the human Chi AAA6 and AAA5±Lis1 interface. The arrow in the inset indicates the area highlighted in the main panel. b. Single-molecule velocity of TMR-dynein-dynactin-BICD2 complexes in the absence (white circles) or presence (black circles) of different human Lis1 constructs. The data points are represented as triangles, circles, and squares, corresponding to single measurements within each technical replicate (no Lis1, n=367; Lis1, n=408; Lis1 N203A, D205A, Y225A, n=360; Lis1 N203A, D205A, D245A, n=384). ns, not significant, **** p<0.0001, One-Way Anova with Tukey post-test. c. Landing rates (median ± interquartile range) of TMR-dynein-dynactin-BICD2 complexes in the absence (white circle) or presence (black circle) of different unlabelled human Lis1 constructs. The data points are represented as triangles, circles, and squares, corresponding to single measurements within each technical replicate. (no Lis1, n=52; Lis1, n=29; Lis1 N203A, D205A, Y225A, n=43), ns, not significant, p=0.9272, One-Way Anova with Brown-Forsythe test. d. Representative kymographs from single-molecule motility assays with purified TMR-dynein-dynactin-BICD2 in the absence (white circle) or presence (black circle) of different human Lis1 constructs. Scale bars, 10 μm (x) and 40 s (y). e. The schematic of Chi hypothesis for how Lis1 relieves dynein autoinhibition.

Present in Phi into the translational ("parallel") symmetry dynein adopts when bound to dynein and an activating adaptor (Fig 5e). This rearrangement involves breaking the linker-linker contacts, as well as many of the interactions between the tails and associated chains. We hypothesize that the Chi conformation primes dynein for binding dyacin and an activating adaptor protein by stabilizing an intermediate conformation of the tail. In Chi, binding of Lis1 forces the linkers apart, which would cause some of these interactions to break. This would pull the dynein heavy chains apart from each other, disrupting the neck and potentially transmitting conformational changes all the way up the tail.
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Materials and Methods
Electron microscopy sample preparation
Grids were prepared and imaged as previously described. Briefly, monomer yeast dynein E2488Q was rapidly biotinylated using water-soluble Sulfo CytoLink and then dialyzed into TBE buffer. Grid samples containing 150 nM dynein E2488Q, 650 nM Lis1, 1.2 mM ATP, and 1.2 mM Na3VO4 were applied to streptavidin affinity grids 2–4 and vitrified.

Electron microscopy image collection and processing
Details for image collection and initial processing are reported here. Following particle picking in cryoL OLS50, particles were extracted and binned to 3.93 Å/pixel in Relion 3.046. Multiple rounds of 2D classification were carried out first in Relion 3.0 and subsequently in cryoSPARC to remove bad particles. Some class averages showed particles with more than one dynein molecule. Using particles from all good 2D classes, initial ab-initio reconstructions failed to reconstruct a volume containing more than one dynein molecule. Particles belonging to 2D class averages that potentially contained more than one dynein molecule were manually selected and used in an ab-initio reconstruction that resulted in an initial map for chi dynein bound to Lis1. This volume was used together with our previously published map of monomeric dynein E2488Q bound to Lis1 (EMDB 23829) to separate monomeric dynein E2488Q from chi dynein using heterogeneous refinement. We performed one additional round of heterogeneous refinement to further remove any monomeric dynein molecules. Using our
The addition of 0.15 mg/mL TEV protease to each sample. Live cells genetically modified to express fluorescent acid, screened by phase live imaging system (Bio-Rad).

Protein expression and purification
S. cerevisiae dynein
Protein purification steps were done at 4°C unless otherwise indicated. S. cerevisiae dynein constructs were purified from S. cerevisiae using a ZZ tag as previously described40,41. Briefly, liquid nitrogen-frozen yeast cell pellets were lysed by boiling in a chilled coffee grinder and resuspended in Dynex-lys buffer supplemented with 0.1 mM Mg-ATP, 0.5 mM Pefabloc, 0.05% Triton, and complete EDTA-free protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche). The lysate was clarified by centrifuging at 246,900 × g for 1 hr. The clarified supernatant was incubated with IgG Sepharose beads (GE Healthcare) for 1 hr. The beads were transferred to a gravity flow column, washed with Dynex-lys buffer supplemented with 250 mM potassium chloride, 0.1 mM Mg-ATP, 0.5 mM Pefabloc and 0.1% Triton, and with TEV buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl [pH 8.0], 150 mM potassium chloride, 10% glycerol, 1 mM DTT, and 0.1 mM Mg-ATP). Dynein was cleaved from IgG beads via incubation with 0.15 mg/mL TEV protease (purified in the Beck-Peterson lab) overnight at 4°C. Cleaved dynein was concentrated using 100 K MWCO concentrator (EMD Millipore), filtered by centrifuging with Ultrafree-MC VV filter (EMD Millipore) in a tabletop centrifuge and flash frozen in liquid nitrogen.

S. cerevisiae Lis1
S. cerevisiae Lis1 was purified from S. cerevisiae using blotting and ZZ tags as previously described41. In brief, liquid-nitrogen-frozen pellets were ground in a pre-chilled coffee grinder, resuspended in buffer A (50 mM potassium phosphate [pH 8.0], 150 mM potassium acetate, 150 mM sodium chloride, 2 mM magnesium acetate, 5 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 10% glycerol, 0.2% Triton), and 0.1 mM protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche), and were scanned in a ChemiDoc Imaging system (Bio-Rad).

Model building and refinement
Symmetry expansion followed by local refinement was used to improve the overall resolution of the motor domain to 3.6 Å. The yeast dyneinZ44QY-Lis1 model (PDB 7MGQ) was docked, and rigid body fit into the map using Phenix real space refine74. Discrepancies between the model and map were fixed manually in COOT64 and then refined using a combination of Phenix real space refine7 and Rosetta Relax (v1.3+)4. Once the monomer model was finished, it was placed in the original map and refined using a similar strategy.

Cloning, plasmid construction, and mutagenesis
The pDyn1 plasmid (pACEBac1 expression vector containing insect cell codon-optimized dynein heavy chain [DYNC1H1] fused to a His-ZZ-TEV tag on the amino-terminus and a carboxy-terminal SNAP tag (New England Biolabs) and the pDyn2 plasmid (the pIDC expression vector with codon optimized DYNC1I2, DYNC1I2, DYNL1I, DYNL1, and DYNLRB1) were a gift from Andrew Carter (LMB-MRC, Cambridge, UK). The pDyn1 and pDyn2 plasmids were recombined in vitro with a Cre recombinase (New England Biolabs) to generate the pDyn3 plasmid. The presence of all six dynein chains was verified by PCR. The pFastBac plasmid with codon-optimized human full-length Lis1 (PFAH1B1) fused to an amino-terminus His-ZZ-TEV tag was a gift from Andrew Carter (LMB-MRC, Cambridge, UK). The BCD2 construct (amino acids 25-298) was sflFP on the amino-terminus and inserted into a pET28a vector expression was obtained as described previously42. All mutations were made via site-directed mutagenesis (Agilent).

Yeast Strains
The S. cerevisiae strains used in this study are listed in Supplementary Table 1. The endogenous genomic copy of PAC1 (encoding for Lis1) was deleted using PCR-based homologous recombination43 in brief. K. lactis URA3 with homology arm s complementary to regions upstream and downstream of the PAC1 genomic locus was generated using PCR. This fragment was transformed into a strain with the preferred genetic background using the lithium acetate method44 and screened by colony PCR. Point mutants were generated using QuikChange site-directed mutagenesis (Agilent) and verified by DNA sequencing. Mutated fragments were re-inserted into the kU1RA3 strains to reintroduce the mutated PAC1 gene. Positive clones (kU1RA3-) were selected in the presence of 5-Fluoroorotic acid, screened by colony PCR, and verified by DNA sequencing.

Nuclear segregation assay
Single colonies were picked and grown at 30°C. Log-phase S. cerevisiae cells growing at 30°C were transferred to 16°C for 16 hr. Cells were fixed with 75% ethanol for 1 h, sonicated for 5 sec at 40% amplitude and mounted in media containing DAPI. Imaging was performed using a ×100 Apo TIRF NA 1.49 objective (Nikon, Plano Apo) on a Nikon Ti2 microscope with a Yokogawa-X1 spinning disk confocal system, LSM400B laser engine (Agilent), Prime 95B back-thinned SMOS camera (Teledyne Photometrics), and a pi-zeo Z-stage (Mad City Labs). Imaging was blinded. The percentage of aberrant binucleate cells was calculated as the number of binucleate cells divided by the sum of wild-type and binucleate cells. This assay was repeated three completely independent times spanning over a year, with three to four biological replicates each time, with at least 200 cells counted for each replicate per condition.

Live-cell imaging
Single colonies were picked and grown at 30°C. Log-phase live S. cerevisiae cells were mounted on a thin agarose pad made from 3C media pressed between two glass slides. Live cells genetically modified to express fluorescently labeled DYN1-3XGFP, CFP-TUB1, and SPC110-ttdTomato were imaged using a Yokogawa W1 confocal scanner mounted to a Nikon Ti2 microscope with an Apo TIRF 100 × 1.49 NA objective (Nikon, Plano Apo). The microscope was run with NIS Elements using the 488 nm 515 nm and 561 nm lines of a six-line (405 nm, 445 nm, 488 nm, 515 nm, 561 nm, and 640 nm) LUM-F-XL laser engine and Prime95B cameras (Photometrics). The number of DYN1-3XGFP foci localizing to the spindle pole body (SPB), microtubule plus end, and cell cortex was outlined as regions of interest in Fiji45, recorded and analyzed for three replicates of at least 120 cells for each sample.

S. cerevisiae immunoprecipitations and western blots
Log-phase S. cerevisiae cells grown at 30°C were pelleted at 4000 × g, suspended in water and flash frozen in liquid nitrogen. Liquid nitrogen-frozen yeast cell pellets were lysed by boiling in a chilled coffee grinder, resuspended in Dynex-lys buffer (30 mM HEPES [pH 7.4], 50 mM potassium acetate, 2 mM magnesium acetate, 1 mM EGTA, 10% glycerol, 1 mM DTT, 0.2% Triton, cComplete EDTA-free protease inhibitor cocktail tablet (Roche), and 1 mM Pepstatin A (Cayman Chemical Company) and spun at 50,000 × g for 1 hr. The protein concentration of the clarified supernatants was quantified using a Bradford Protein Assay (Bio-Rad), and equal amounts of clarified lysates were incubated with anti-FLAG M2 Affinity Gel (Sigma) overnight at 4°C. Beads were washed with Dynex-lys buffer as outlined in SDS sample buffer and then resuspended onto a Ni-NTA Bis-Tris gel (Invitrogen). Gels were transferred to a PVDF membrane that was blocked with PBS-T (PBS1X and 0.1% Tween-20) containing 5% milk and 1% BSA for 1 hr at room temperature and blotted with rabbit anti-FLAG antibody (1:3000, ProteinTech 20-45-1-AAP) overnight at 4°C. Membranes were then incubated with a goat-anti-rabbit IRDye 680RD secondary antibody (Li-COR) and were scanned in a ChemiDoc Imaging system (Bio-Rad).

Human Dynein
Full-length human SNAP-dynein was expressed in Sf9 cells as described previously46,47,48,49. Briefly, frozen Sf9 cell pellets from 2x 600 mL culture were resuspended in 80 mL of Dynex-lys buffer with 0.1 mM Mg-ATP, 0.5 mM Pefabloc, 0.05% Triton, and complete EDTA-free protease inhibitor cocktail tablet (Roche) and lysed using a Dounce homogenizer (10 strokes with a loose plunger and 15 strokes with a tight plunger). The lysate was centrifuged at 165,960 × g for 80 min in a Type 70 Ti rotor. The clarified supernatant was incubated with 4 mL of IgG Sepharose 6 Fast Flow beads (GE Healthcare Life Sciences) for 3-4 hours on a roller. The beads were transferred to a gravity flow column, washed with 200 mL of Dynex-lys buffer and 300 mL of TEV buffer (50 mM Tris-
HCl (pH 8.0), 250 mM potassium acetate, 2 mM magnesium acetate, 1 mM EGTA, 1 mM DTDT, 0.1 mM Mg-ATP, 10% (v/v) glycerol. For fluorescent labeling of carboxy-terminal SNAP tag, dye-coated beads were labeled with 5 μM SNAP-Cell-TMR (New England Biolabs) in the column for 10 min at room temperature and unbound dye was removed with a 300 mL wash with TEV buffer at 4°C. The beads were then resuspended and incubated in 15 mL of TEV buffer supplemented with 0.5 mM Pefabloc and 0.2 mg/mL TEV protease (in peek-Rick-Peterson lab) overnight on a roller. The supernatant containing cleaved protein was concentrated using a 100K MWCO concentrator (EMD Millipore) to 500 μL and purified via size exclusion chromatography on a TosTgel G4000SWA Sepharose column (Pharmacia Biosciences) with GF150 buffer (25 mM HEPES [pH 7.4], 150 mM potassium chloride, 1mM magnesium chloride, 1mM Mg-ATP) at 1 mL/min. The peak fractions were collected, buffer exchanged into a GF150 buffer supplemented with 0.1 mM Mg-ATP, 0.5 mM Pefabloc, 0.05% Triton, and cD-DTA free protease inhibitor cocktail tablet (Roche), and gently mixed at 4°C for 15 min. The lysed cells were then centrifuged at 66,000 × g rpm in a TI70 rotor (Beckman) for 40 min at 4°C. The clarified lysate was retrieved and added to 3 mL packed anti-FLAG M2 agarose resin (Sigma) and incubated with TEV buffer digesting at 4°C for 16 hours. After incubation, the lysate/resin mixture was centrifuged at 1000 × g for 2 min at 4°C to pellet the resin and the supernatant was decanted. The resin was transferred to a column at 4°C and the column was washed with 100 mL low salt wash buffer (30 mM HEPES, pH 7.4; 50 mM KOAc; 2 mM MgOAc; 1 mM EGTA; pH 7.5; 10% (v/v) glycerol) and eluted with TEV buffer (250 mM KOAc; 2 mM MgOAc; 1 mM EGTA; pH 7.5; 10% (v/v) glycerol; 1 mM DTDT; 0.5 mM ATP; 0.5 mM Pefabloc; 0.02% Triton X-100), and finally with 50 mL of low salt wash buffer. The resin was resuspended in 800 μL of low salt wash buffer containing 2 mg/mL 3X-FLAG peptide (ApexBio) and incubated for 30 min at 4°C. The mixture was centrifuged and retrieved through a small filter column to remove the resin. The eluate was then loaded onto a Mono Q 5/50 GL 1 mL column on an AKTA FPLC (GE Healthcare). The column was washed with 5 mL of Buffer A (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0; 2 mM MgOAc; 1 mM EGTA; 1 mM DTDT) and subjected to a 26 mL linear gradient from 35-100% Buffer B mixed with Buffer A (Buffer B = 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0; 150 mM KOAc; 2 mM MgOAc; 1 mM EGTA; 1 mM DTDT) followed by 5 mL additional 100% Buffer B. Fractions containing pure dynactin (~75-80% Buffer B) were pooled and buffer exchanged through iterative rounds of dilution and concentration on a 100 kDa MWCO centrifugal filter (Amicon Ultra, Millipore) using GF150 buffer with 10% glycerol. Purity was evaluated on SDS-PAGE gels and protein aliquots were snap frozen in liquid N2 and stored at -80°C.

Human Lis1
Lis1 constructs were purified from frozen s97 cell pellets from a 600 mL culture as described previously. Lysis and clarification steps were similar to full-length dynactin purification except pes was performed in Lis1 lysis buffer (30 mM HEPES [pH 7.4], 50 mM potassium acetate, 2 mM magnesium acetate, 1 mM EGTA, 300 mM potassium chloride, 1 mM DTDT, 0.5 mM Pefabloc, 10% (v/v) glycerol) supplemented with complete EDTA-free protease inhibitor cocktail tablet (Roche) per 50 mL was used. The clarified supernatant was incubated with 0.5 mL of IgG Sepharose 6 Fast Flow beads (GE Healthcare Life Sciences) for 2-3 hours on a roller. The beads were transferred to a gravity flow column, washed with 20 mL of Lis1 lysis buffer, 100 mL of modified TEV buffer (10 mM Tris–HCl [pH 8.0], 2 mM magnesium acetate, 150 mM potassium acetate, 1 mM EGTA, 1 mM DTDT, 10% (v/v) glycerol) supplemented with 100 mM potassium acetate, and 50 mL of modified TEV buffer. Lis1 was cleaved from IgG beads via incubation with 0.2 mg/mL TEV protease overnight on a roller. The cleaved Lis1 was filtered by centrifuging with an Ultrafree-MC 50 kDa filter (EMD Millipore) in a tabletop centrifuge. Purity was evaluated on SDS-PAGE gels and protein aliquots were snap frozen in liquid N2 and stored at -80°C.

Human BICD2
BICD2 construct containing amino-terminal sfGFP was expressed and purified as described previously. In brief, BL-21(DE3) cells (New England Biolabs) were grown at optical density at 600 nm of 0.4-0.6 and protein expression was induced with 0.1 mM IPTG for 16h at 18°C. Frozen cell pellet from a 2 L culture was resuspended in 60 mL of lysis buffer (30 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 50 mM potassium acetate, 2 mM magnesium acetate, 1 mM EGTA, 1 mM DTT, and 0.5 mM Pefabloc) and incubated supplemented with 0.1 mM Mg-ATP, 0.5 mM Pefabloc, and 0.02% Triton X-100 for 2h on a roller. The beads were transferred into a gravity-flow column, washed with 100mL of activating-adaptor-lysis buffer supplemented with 150 mM potassium acetate and 50 mL of cleavage buffer (50 mM Tris-Cl pH 8.0, 150 mM potassium acetate, 2 mM magnesium acetate, 1 mM EGTA, 1 mM DTT, 0.5 mM Pefabloc and 10% (v/v) glycerol). The beads were then resuspended and incubated in 15 mL of cleavage buffer supplemented with 0.2 mg/mL TEV protease overnight on a roller. The supernatant containing cleaved protein was concentrated using a 50 kDa MWCO concentrator (EMD Millipore) to 1 mL, filtered by centrifuging with Ultrafree-MC 50 kDa filter (EMD Millipore) in a tabletop centrifuge, diluted to 2 mL in buffer A (30 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 50 mM potassium acetate, 2 mM magnesium acetate, 1 mM EGTA, 10% (v/v) glycerol and 1 mM DTT) and then incubated with 100 μL of MiniTrap G200-5/50 column (GE Healthcare Life Sciences) at 1 mL/min. The column was prewashed with 10 mL buffer B (30 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 1 mM potassium acetate, 2 mM magnesium acetate, 1 mM EGTA, 10% (v/v) glycerol and 1 mM DTT) and again with 10 mL of buffer A at 1 mL/min. To elute, a linear gradient was run for 26 CV from 0-100% buffer B. The peak fractions containing BICD2 were collected and concentrated using a 50 kDa MWCO concentrator (EMD Millipore) to 0.2 mL. Protein was then diluted to 0.5 mL in GF150 buffer and further purified using size-exclusion chromatography on a Superose 6 Increase 10/300GL column (GE Healthcare and Life Sciences) with GF150 buffer at 0.5 mL/min. The peak fractions were collected, buffer exchanged into a GF150 buffer supplemented with 10% glycerol, concentrated to 0.2-1 mg/mL using a 50 kDa MWCO concentrator (EMD Millipore) and flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen.

TIRF microscopy
Imaging was performed with an inverted microscope (Nikon, Ti-E Eclipse) equipped with a 100X 1.49 N.A. oil immersion objective (Nikon, Plano Apo). The x-y position of the stage was controlled by ProScan linear motor stage controller (Prior). The microscope was equipped with an MLC400B laser launch (Agilent) equipped with 405 nm (30 mW), 488 nm (90 mW), 561 nm (90 mW), and 640 nm (170 mW) laser lines. The excitation and emission paths were filtered using appropriate single bandpass filter cubes (Chroma). The emitted signals were detected with an electron multiplying charge-coupled device camera (Andor Technology, Photometrics Kappa Spike Advanced) and acquisition was controlled by NIS Elements Advanced Research software (Nikon).

Single-molecule motility assays
Single-molecule motility assays were performed in flow chambers using the TIRF microscopy set up described above. To reduce non-specific binding background and photobleaching effects (MicroArrays), microtubules polymerized from tubulin prepared from bovine brain as previously described 18. Microtubules containing ~10% tubin-tubulin to allow for attachment to streptavidin-coated slip and ~10 Alexa Fluor 488 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) tubulin for visualization. Imaging was done in Dynactin-lis1 buffer supplemented with 20 μM taxol, 1 mg/mL casein, 5 mM MgOAc, 75 mM Na2EDTA, 1 μM BSA (bovine serum albumin) and an oxygen scavenger system containing, 0.4% glucose, 45 μg/mL glucose catalase (Sigma-Aldrich), and 1.15 mg/mL glucose oxidase (Sigma-Aldrich). Images were recorded every 0.3 sec for 3 minutes. Movies showing significant drift were not analyzed.

All movies were collected by measuring TIRF-dynein signal with the flowing protein concentrations: 8.5 μM TMR-dynein, 665 μM unlabeled dynactin, 5 nM BICD2, and 300 nM Lis1. For conditions missing Lis1, the corresponding matching buffer was used. The dynactin, dynactin, and BICD2 complexes were incubated on ice for 10 minutes prior to Lis1 buffer addition. Each protein mixture was then incubated on ice for an additional 10 minutes prior to TIRF imaging.

TIRF data analysis
The velocity of moving particles was calculated from kymographs generated in Fiji as described previously. Velocities were only calculated from...
molecules that moved processively for greater than 5 frames. Non-motile or diffusive events were not considered in velocity calculations. Processive events were defined as events that move unidirectionally and do not exhibit directional changes greater than 600 nm. Diffusive events were defined as events that exhibit at least one bidirectional movement greater than 600 nm in each direction. Single-molecule movements that change apparent behavior (e.g., shift from non-motile to processive) were considered as multi-velocity events and counted as multiple events. Landing rates were calculated by counting the number of processive events for each microtubule in individual movies and dividing this number by the microtubule length. Data visualization and statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism (9.2; GraphPad Software), Excel (version 16.52; Microsoft), and Fiji (2.0). Brightness and contrast were adjusted in Fiji for all videos and kymographs. The exact value of n, evaluation of statistical significance, and the specific statistical analysis are described in the corresponding figures and figure legends. All experiments were analyzed from at least three independent replicates.