Massive hemoperitoneum for spontaneous rupture of unscarred uterus in the third trimester of pregnancy: A case report
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Abstract

A spontaneous uterine rupture during the pregnancy is associated with high mortality and morbidity in both the fetus and the mother.

We report the case of a rupture in an unscarred uterus in a gravid woman, 29 + 6 weeks of gestation, with history of uterine curettage for previous miscarriage. The maternal and fetal clinical status rapidly deteriorated and required emergency caesarean delivery with hysterectomy. A massive hemoperitoneum was found and the cause of the event was the uterine rupture.

This case shows the importance of good practice and that the survival of the patients depends on the time between rupture and intervention of medical staff. All the previous uterine surgeries report an increase of the risk of placenta percreta at the site of the prior scar.

Introduction

The term ‘ruptured uterus’ covers a number of conditions which should be classified according to the site of rupture and the presence or absence of a scar from previous caesarean section. The rupture of the pregnant uterus is a serious obstetrical event associated a high perinatal and maternal morbidity including blood transfusion, hysterectomy, neonatal respiratory distress, perinatal asphyxia, urological injury and maternal and/or fetal mortality. It is a rare occurrence (3-5.9 per 10,000 pregnancies) [1-3] and the incidence of the uterine rupture with previous caesarean is 1%, while for the primigravid is an extremely rare condition (0.012%) [1]. The total risk of rupture after abdominal myomectomy is 0-4% and after laparoscopy 0-1% [4]. It seems that uterine rupture after caesarean section occur more commonly.

The prevalence of the uterine rupture is higher in developing countries than the developed countries cause by worse obstetrical care, multiparity and the high frequency of home deliveries with prolonged labor. Between 3.1 and 12.9% of uterine ruptures in developed countries occur in an unscarred uterus [5,6].

Rupture during trial of labor, in a patient with a scar is not nearly as serious as rupture occurring outside hospital in a patient with a previously intact uterus. Fetal mortality is significant, however, in both conditions.

We report a case report of a spontaneous uterine rupture at 30 weeks of gestation in a woman who has never had a previous caesarean, but who has had uterine curettage for a previous spontaneous abortion.

Case report

A 38-year-old woman, para 0/0/1/0, pregnant at 29 weeks and 6 days came to our Department for abdominal pain. She had a past history of first trimester miscarriage. The patient reported a previous hospitalization for uterine contraction and in that situation, she received prophylactic tocolytics and cortisone.

On admission her blood pressure was 100/60 mmHg, pulse rate was 72 beats/min, body temperature was 36°C, and a normal respiratory rate.

The obstetrical examination revealed: posterior cervical position, moderate consistency and the cervical orifice was close. No vaginal bleeding. The fetal heart rate pattern was reassuring, and no uterine contractions were seen. Transabdominal ultrasonography identified a normal amniotic fluid index and confirmed the vertex presentation. Twelve hours after the recovery she reported nausea with an improvement of the pain and the cardiotocography (CTG) showed a reassuring fetal heart rate pattern, no contractions.

Four hours later, during the CTG were observed sinusoid oscillation and variable decelerations (Figure 1) and the patient was immediately carried to the delivery room. After 20 minutes of CTG monitoring the continuous deceleration and the severe sustained abdominal pain, and in particularly the patient’s hemoglobin dropped from 10.9 g/dl at the time of admission to 7.5 g/dl with a blood pressure of 60/40 mmHg, the gynecologist decided for an emergency caesarean section and the patient was moved to the operating room.
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A massive hemoperitoneum approximately 3-4 liters of blood was found, and a hysterectomy was performed in response to the irreversible damage to the uterus and uncontrolled hemorrhage. A rupture with disruption of all layers measuring 4 cm was observed in the posterior and right lateral wall of the uterus (Figure 2). The total hysterectomy and peritoneal toileting were performed. The patient had received a transfusion with two units of red blood cells intraoperatively. The birth weight was 1420 g and Apgar scores were 3 at born, 6 at 1 min and 8 at 10 min, respectively. The patient was then transferred to the intensive care unit where she was followed for 36 hours. Her hemoglobin level in the first post-operative day was 6.3 g/dl. She received other two units packed of erythrocyte concentrate and one unit of plasma.

The patient remained at the department of Obstetrics and Gynecology for 10 days, at the dismission her hemoglobin level was 9.8 g/dl and she was discharged in a good condition.

The pathology examination showed the chorionic villus penetrated the uterine serosa which confirmed the diagnosis of the placenta percreta at the site of the uterine rupture.

**Discussion**

Rupture of the gravid uterus is a life-threatening condition for the fetus and the mother.

This obstetrical complication occurs mainly in the third trimester and is extremely rare during the first and the second trimester.

The major factors in the uterine rupture are the previous caesarean section (scarred uterus) and extended or a obstructed labor; others causes are represented by the grand multiparity (>7 deliveries in obstetric history), advanced maternal age, the use of the uterotonic drugs (like the oxytocin stimulation), abnormal placentation for example placenta previa and/or placenta percreta, macrosomia, obstetrical manipulation, uterine malformations and previous uterine surgery (hysteroscopy, laparoscopic and laparotomic myomectomy) [7,8].

According to the type of hysteroscopy the risks of complications is different: the myomectomy and septum resection had the highest incidence of the complications, while the diagnostic hysteroscopy and polypectomy had the lowest risk [9,10]. However medical doctors should inform the patients about the possible complications of hysteroscopy and the people must be informed that the risk is higher immediately after the operation.

There are no studies about the correlation between the resistance of the uterus scar and the intensity of uterus contractions, but in any case, ultrasound evaluation of the caesarean uterine scar is essential. Many publications confirm that the characteristic of the good quality of the scar include: scar thickness of 3.5 mm or more, scar volume verified by 3D technique up to 10 cm, the homogeneity of the scar, triangular shape of the scar and richer perfusion [4,11]. Histologically the main problem of the uterine scar is collagen deposition because it seems to alter the proliferation, differentiation and the migrations of the basalis layer of the endometrium and the wall of the uterus is weaker. Another important point is the time after myomectomy and it seems that 6 months is the minimum time for the uterus to cicatrize [4].

In the past the loss of uterine tone was the characteristic of the rupture of the uterus, while recent evidence shown that the uterine contraction is not associated to the uterine rupture. The first symptoms of the uterine rupture are not characteristic, so it may be difficult to establish the diagnosis. The first common sign is the fetal bradycardia (87% of the cases) but sudden abdominal pain, vomiting and nausea are also common. Vaginal bleeding, maternal tachycardia or unusual pain during labor are also described [12-14]. In most cases which occur in a hospital setting, timely laparotomy results in safe delivery of the baby and repair of the uterus.

The most common rupture sites were the cornual area and the uterine fundus, but in our case the site is different because the rupture affects the posterior wall. During the pregnancy we see physiologic and anatomic changes and an acute abdomen during the pregnancy often presents atypically and it could be difficult to distinguish a tense abdomen from abnormal pregnancy.

Fetal and maternal mortality is correlated with surgery performed, completeness of rupture, and diagnosis of rupture before rather than after delivery. Hysterectomy (in 33.5%) was more commonly carried out for rupture of an unscarred than a scarred uterus. Posterior rupture more commonly required hysterectomy than anterior or lateral rupture. The maternal mortality was similar in patients undergoing hysterectomy and those treated by repair of the rupture.

**Conclusion**

Uterine rupture is one of the major obstetrical emergencies; the survival of the patient after uterine rupture depends on the time interval between rupture and the intervention and the availability of blood products for transfusion. Rupture of uterus is frequently diagnosed on the basis of altered fetal heart rate pattern, vaginal bleeding, maternal tachycardia or unusual pain during labor.
The main lesson of this case is that the possibility of a potential uterine rupture should be considered when a gravid woman presents acute abdominal pain, even in a primigravid patient; but in any case, it can remain silent until delivery when it is detected in exploration.

This case report shows the previous uterine surgery should be considered a risk factor for the placenta percreta at the site of the prior scar, which can result in the uterine rupture. Routine sonographic follow-up examinations and careful clinical observations are especially recommended for women with previous intrauterine surgeries.
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