1. Introduction

Mycotoxins are toxic and harmful metabolites produced by fungi or mould in suitable conditions and mainly consist of aflatoxin, zearalenone, ochratoxin, and fumagillin [1–6]. According to a report released by the United Nations Food and Agriculture Organization, approximately 25% of crops globally are contaminated by mycotoxins, resulting in major economic losses [4]. In China, this contamination is in excess of 60–70%, especially in the southern and eastern regions [7]. Therefore, resolving mycotoxin contamination of foodstuffs is a global challenge [8].

Zearalenone (ZEA) is one of the most prevalent mycotoxins in contaminated grain and is produced as a secondary metabolite by a variety of fungi within the Fusarium genus [9, 10]. ZEA is a toxin that exhibits behaviour similar to oestrogen, which readily and irreversibly binds to intrauterine oestrogen receptors. This binding results in significant harm to the reproductive physiology of livestock, which calls for immediate mitigation strategies [11–13]. Currently, the removal of mycotoxins through both physical and chemical means destroys the nutritional contents in food, whereas biological treatments are time-consuming. Therefore, simple adsorption is considered to be the most effective method [14–16].

Montmorillonite (Mont), the main mineral component of bentonite, possesses a high ion exchange capacity due to the intrinsically layered structure of this mineral in which high-valence cations within voids are readily replaced with low-valence ions [17]. To date, most research has focused on
the removal of ZEA through the use of organic cation-modified Mont, despite its environmental risks of organic cations. In contrast, there has been no report on the adsorption characteristics and mechanism of ZEA removal using inorganic hydroxyl-Fe-Al-composite-pillared Mont [11, 18, 19].

The present study focused on the use of Mont, hydroxyl-Fe-modified Mont (OH/Fe-Mont), hydroxyl-Al-modified Mont (OH/Al-Mont), and hydroxyl-Fe-Al compound-modified Mont (Fe/Al-Mont) in the in vitro adsorption of ZEA, and the capacity of and applicable model for adsorption to both Mont and inorganically modified Mont were investigated. The effects of pH, time, temperature, and ZEA initial concentration on the adsorption of ZEA were characterized by X-ray diffraction (XRD), Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectroscopy, and other methods.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Experimental Materials. High purity ZEA standards and Mont were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich, USA; the ion exchange capacity (CEC) of Mont is 24.6 mmol/100 g; the molecular formula of ZEA is C_{18}H_{22}O_{5}, with a molecular weight of 318.36, pK_{a1} of 7.62, and a log K_{ow} of 3.66 [17]. All of the other reagents were purum or chromatography grade.

A ZEA stock solution (250 mg/L) was prepared with a mixture of acetonitrile/methanol (1:1) and stored in the dark at −20°C; deionized water (18 MΩ·cm) was used in all experiments.

2.2. Preparation of Pillared Mont Adsorbents

(1) Hydroxyl-pillared Mont adsorbents were prepared as follows: a solution of Fe(NO_{3})_{3}·9H_{2}O was prepared by dissolving Fe(NO_{3})_{3}·9H_{2}O (24.24 g) in deionized water at 60°C with constant stirring, while various quantities of powdered Na_{2}CO_{3} (0.64 g, 1.27 g, 1.91 g, 2.54 g, 3.18 g, 4.77 g, and 6.36 g) were slowly added. A volume of 200 mL of deionized water was added into the solution, with stirring for another 2 hours. The resultant solution was placed in a 60°C water bath and aged for 24 hours to yield hydroxyl-Fe pillaring solutions with OH/Fe molar ratios of 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8, 1.0 (1OH/Fe pillaring solution), 1.5, and 2.0, respectively. The hydroxyl-Fe pillaring solutions were slowly added into a suspension of Mont (prepared by adding 6 g of Mont to 100 mL of deionized water) under stirring. The mixtures were allowed to react with constant stirring for 2 hours and then were aged at 60°C water bath. The resultant mixtures were then repeatedly centrifuged and rinsed with deionized water. The resulting powders were dried at 105°C for 2 hours, milled through a 200-mesh sieve, and then dried again at 105°C for another 2 hours. Finally, the OH/Fe-Mont adsorbents with different molar ratios were obtained and denoted as 0.2OH/Fe-Mont, 0.4OH/Fe-Mont, 0.6OH/Fe-Mont, 0.8OH/Fe-Mont, 1OH/Fe-Mont, 1.5OH/Fe-Mont, and 2OH/Fe-Mont [10, 20–22], respectively.

(2) Fe/Al-composite-pillared Mont adsorbents were prepared as follows: 60 mL of a solution of Na_{2}CO_{3} (1.0 mol/L) was slowly trickled into 100 mL of AlCl_{3} solution (0.5 mol/L) at 80°C with constant stirring. The solution was then diluted to 200 mL with deionized water and allowed to stir for 2 hours. The resultant solution was then aged in a 60°C water bath for 48 hours to yield a hydroxyl-Al pillaring reagent with an OH/Al molar ratio of 2.4, henceforth referred to as 2.4OH/Al pillaring solution. Various amounts of 2.4OH/Al pillaring solution (200.0 mL, 195.9 mL, 192.0 mL, 184.6 mL, 165.5 mL, 141.2 mL, 109.1 mL, 88.9 mL, and 75.0 mL) and the respective volumes of 10OH/Fe pillaring solution required to attain a final volume of 200 mL (0 mL, 4.1 mL, 8.0 mL, 34.5 mL, 58.8 mL, 90.9 mL, 111.1 mL, and 125.0 mL) were added with constant stirring to a suspension of Mont at 60°C (prepared by adding 6 g of Mont to 100 mL of deionized water). The resultant mixture was then allowed to stir for a further 2 hours and aged in a 60°C water bath. The aged mixtures were then repeatedly centrifuged and rinsed with deionized water to remove excess ions; this process yielded different molar ratios of Fe/Al-Mont. The resulting powder was dried at 105°C for 2 hours, milled through a 200-mesh sieve, and then dried again at 105°C for another 2 hours. This procedure yielded samples of Fe/Al-Mont adsorbents of varying molar ratios, denoted as OH/Al-Mont, 0.25Fe/Al-Mont, 0.5Fe/Al-Mont, 1Fe/Al-Mont, 1.5Fe/Al-Mont, and 2Fe/Al-Mont [10, 20–22].

2.3. Adsorbent Characterization. Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) was performed to investigate the crystal structure of the samples on a Rigaku MiniFlex 600 X-ray diffractometer with Ni-filtered Cu Kα irradiation (λ = 1.5406 Å). And data were recorded at a scan rate of 0.8 sec/step in the range from 5° to 20°. Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectra of the samples were recorded on a Thermo Scientific Nicolet iS10 spectrometer by using KBr pellets. The scanning electron microscope (SEM) images were taken through a SUPRA 55 instrument (Zeiss Sigma). Specific surface area analysis (BET) is the use of N2 low-temperature adsorption and desorption to determine the specific surface area, pore volume, and pore size distribution of the sample; the sample is prebaked at 90°C and then degassed at 150°C for 4 hours for analysis. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) is the use of X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy to qualitatively analyze the element types of substances (instrument performance parameters: aluminum target (photoelectron energy hv = 1486.6 eV), energy resolution 0.6 eV, and spatial resolution <3 um), collect the full scan spectrum of 2–1202 eV of the sample, and collect the narrow scan spectrum of the relevant orbits of each element. Thermal
analysis research (TG-DSC) is to use the thermal analysis system-synchronous thermal analyzer to study the phase transition and reaction enthalpy of the sample and to determine its characteristic parameters. The test method is to put the sample in still air, set the program heating rate to 10°C/min, and measure the temperature range from room temperature to 1000°C.

2.4. ZEA Adsorption Test. 0.100 g of Mont and modified Mont adsorbent was used; the solution volume was 20.0 mL; samples were wrapped in aluminum foil to prevent exposure to light and placed in a temperature-controlled oscillator set to 170 rpm. The samples were then centrifuged at 4000 rpm for 5 minutes, and the amount of ZEA remaining in solution was analyzed via HPLC (Agilent 1260 HPLC). The ZEA calibration curve was established using 9 standards with a linear correlation coefficient of \( r^2 > 0.999 \). The equilibrium adsorption experiments were conducted with a fixed oscillator time of 24 hours and a temperature of 37°C. In the adsorption isotherm studies, 20 mL of ZEA solution at various concentrations (0.1, 0.25, 0.5, 1, 2.5, and 5 mg/L) was added to different adsorbents at 25°C. The effects of solution pH (2, 4, 6, 8, 10) at 25°C and temperature (15, 25, 35, 45, 55°C) on the adsorption characteristics were investigated with various adsorbents. Adsorption kinetics studies were conducted by first adding various adsorbents to 20 mL of ZEA (5 mg/L, pH 8, 25°C), and then aliquots were taken after centrifugation at time intervals of 0.25, 0.5, 0.75, 1, 2, 4, 8, 12, and 24 hours to determine the ZEA concentration.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. SEM Analysis. The SEM images of the original montmorillonite and pillared montmorillonite before and after adsorbing ZEA are shown in Figure 1. It can be seen that the pore structure of montmorillonite changes to a certain extent after adsorption. Among them, K10-Mont adsorbs ZEA, the larger pores are more blocked, the mesopores are less, and the surface fine particles are increased. The pores of 1.5 OH/Fe-Mont samples are filled with a certain amount of flocs, the pore size becomes smaller, and the flocs on the clay surface decrease after adsorption, and the macropore density decreases. The smaller floc particles on the surface are reduced, thereby making the surface of montmorillonite smoother. After adsorption of ZEA on 0.5 Fe/Al-Mont samples, the density of the larger pore diameter decreases, the macropores are blocked, and the spherical particles on the surface increase, indicating that the ZEA molecules enter the mineral interlayer domain.

3.2. BET Analysis. It can be seen from Table 1 that the specific surface area of OH/Fe-Mont is greater than K10-Mont, but the total pore volume and pore size are less than K10-Mont. The specific surface area of OH/Al-Mont and hydroxyl-aluminum-doped hydroxyiron-aluminum pillared montmorillonite (Fe/Al<0.5) is less than K10-Mont, and the total pore volume and pore size are also less than K10-Mont. The maximum BET specific surface area of 1.5OH/Fe-Mont reaches 271.9 m²/g and the pore volume reaches 0.347 cm³/g, but the pore size is smaller at 5.11 nm.

Figure 2 shows the isotherms of nitrogen adsorption and desorption of hydroxyiron-pillared montmorillonite and the isotherms of nitrogen adsorption and desorption of hydroxyiron-aluminum-pillared montmorillonite, respectively. It can be seen from Figure 2 that the adsorption isotherms of pillared montmorillonite are relatively close in shape, similar to the type II adsorption isotherms in the BDDT classification, and are typical of porous media adsorption. When the relative pressure is between 0.05 and 0.45, the adsorption amount increases gently, indicating that the pore size of montmorillonite is continuously distributed. When the relative pressure is greater than 0.45, the amount of adsorption increases rapidly, and the adsorption isotherm warps, which is caused by capillary condensation in the larger pores [23].

Desorption branch of the low-temperature nitrogen adsorption isotherm of the sample is processed, and the pore volume distribution result of the obtained sample is shown in Figure 3. The most probable pore diameters of K10-Mont and OH/Fe-Mont are all around 3.6 nm, and both belong to the mesopore range (mesopores). The pore volume of OH/Fe-Mont is improved compared to K10-Mont, but it is not obvious, and the maximum pore volume can be increased by 50% (1.5OH/Fe-Mont), so this study believes that the pillaring agent OH/Fe effect is to increase the number of mesopores, which has a significant effect on the increase in pore volume. The OH/Al-Mont’s most achievable pore diameter is 3.609 nm, and its most achievable pore volume is 0.0264 cm³/g. Compared with K10-Mont, the most achievable pore volume is significantly improved. After the OH/Fe pillaring agent is added to the OH/Al pillaring agent, when the Fe/Al molar ratio is 0.5, the most permissible pore volume is 0.0222 cm³/g.

3.3. TGA Analysis. Figure 4 is a TG-DSC chart of K10-Mont, OH/Fe-Mont, Fe/Al-Mont, and OH/Al-Mont. These four samples have similar TG curves. When the temperature of K10-Mont reaches 60°C, a large endothermic valley begins to appear on the DSC curve, and the thermogravimetric curve drops rapidly in this interval. Because the K10-Mont absorbs heat, a large amount of attached water and interlayer water escape. When the temperature reaches above 600°C, a broad endothermic valley appears on the DSC curve. When the temperature is around 650°C, the K10-Mont dehydroxylation endotherm will produce an endothermic valley. When the temperature continues to rise above 800°C, the montmorillonite lattice will be destroyed, showing an amorphous body, and there will also be absorption hot valley. There is no obvious temperature division between these two processes, which will cause the endothermic valleys to overlap, so a wide endothermic valley is formed. When montmorillonite is introduced into hydroxyiron and hydroxyaluminum pillaring agent, the thermal stability is enhanced, and the thermal stability of hydroxyiron pillared montmorillonite is better than that of hydroxyl-aluminum pillared montmorillonite.
3.4. XPS Analysis. The XPS spectrum of the original montmorillonite and pillared montmorillonite before and after the adsorption of ZEA is shown in Figure 5, and the partial element spectrum is shown in Figures 6 and 7. It can be seen from the full spectrum of the three montmorillonites (K10-Mont, 1.5OH/Fe-Mont, 0.5Fe/Al-Mont) that the Fe signal of the original montmorillonite K10-Mont is very weak. After aluminum struts, the signal of Fe characteristic peak is obviously enhanced, indicating that the Fe content near the surface increases. After the adsorption of ZEA, the

Figure 1: SEM images of K10-Mont (a, b), 1.5 OH/Fe-Mont (c, d), 0.5 Fe/Al-Mont (e, f), and OH/Fe-Mont (g, h) before and after adsorption of ZEA.
Fe content decreased again, indicating that after ZEA was adsorbed to the pillared montmorillonite, Fe was replaced, which is consistent with the XRD and FTIR results. To further study the adsorption mechanism of iron and iron-aluminum pillared montmorillonite, the characteristic elements of the two pillared montmorillonite were analysed in detail. Figure 6 shows the changes of the binding energies of O1s, Al 2p, Si 2p, and Fe 2p before and after adsorption of ZEA by hydroxyiron pillared montmorillonite. It can be seen from Figure 7(a) that after the adsorption of ZEA, Fe is replaced by ion exchange, the content decreases sharply, and the binding energy rises from 712.23 eV to 712.74 eV. Therefore, Fe participates in the adsorption of ZEA as a lost electron.

Figure 7 shows the inner layer of oxygen 1s electrons (O1s), the inner layer of aluminum 2p electrons (Al2p), the inner layer of silicon 2p electrons (Si2p), and iron XPS spectrum of layer 2p electrons (Fe2p). It can be seen from Figure 7(a) that after the adsorption of ZEA by 0.5Fe/Al-Mont, the binding energy of Fe 2p rises from 712.2 eV to 712.74 eV, and iron as the electron loss party participates in the adsorption of ZEA, but the content does not change much. OH/Fe-Mont and Fe/Al-Mont have different mechanisms for ZEA adsorption. The Fe2p and O1s of

| Sample       | BET surface area (m²/g) | Total pore volume (cm³/g) | Pore size (nm) |
|--------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|---------------|
| K10-Mont     | 237.5                   | 0.376                      | 6.33          |
| 1.5 OH/Fe-Mont| 271.9                   | 0.347                      | 5.11          |
| OH/Al-Mont   | 194.4                   | 0.303                      | 6.23          |
| 0.5 Fe/Al-Mont| 177.2                   | 0.324                      | 7.32          |

**Table 1: Surface area: pore volume and pore size of montmorillonites.**
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Figure 2: Nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherm of hydroxyl-Fe-Al-pillared montmorillonites.
hydroxyiron-pillared montmorillonite contributed greatly to the negative charge movement on the surface of montmorillonite during the adsorption process, while the iron-hydroxyaluminumpillared montmorillonite contributed the most to iron. Most of the iron in the former is removed from montmorillonite, while the latter has limited O1s contribution. The difference in adsorption mechanism leads to a difference in the amount of ZEA adsorption.

3.5. XRD Analysis. Both the XRD patterns and interlayer distances d(001) of OH/Fe-Mont, OH/Al-Mont, and Fe/Al-Mont are shown in Figure 8. It can be observed from the XRD patterns that while modified and unmodified Mont exhibit similar characteristic XRD peaks, characteristics of coexposed (001) facets peak such as the 2θ, peak full width at half maximum, and diffraction peak intensity changed significantly. This result indicates that the hydroxyl pillar modification process significantly altered the overall structure of Mont, resulting in a significant change in the d(001) of both OH/Fe-Mont and Fe/Al-Mont.

As illustrated in Figure 8(a), the d(001) of Mont is 1.19 nm, whereas the adsorbent 0.6 OH/Fe-Mont exhibited a maximum d(001) of 1.62 nm. This increase in interlayer distance was observed to occur in two stages: the d(001) increased gradually when the OH/Fe molar ratio was less than 0.8; however, once the OH/Fe molar ratio exceeded 0.8, the d(001) was observed to increase rapidly until it reached a maximum of 1.62 nm at an OH/Fe molar ratio of 1.5. This result indicates that the primary hydrolysate of Fe ions had partially intercalated into the Mont particle layer, resulting in an increase in interlayer distance. When the OH/Fe molar ratio was increased to 2, the d(001) was observed to decrease to 1.54 nm. A rise in pH due to a large OH/Fe molar ratio in solution led to the precipitation of Fe hydroxide, which covered much of the Mont surface and rendered the pillared structure ineffective. For all of the samples, none of the XRD patterns exhibited any pillared structures with a d(001) of 2.5 nm ± 0.4 nm, which has been reported in various publications. This observation agrees with the perspective of Diekman et al. [24], who hypothesized that unlike Al cations, which can form stable, consistent-sized structures (Keggin
structure) after hydrolysis, the hydrolysates of Fe cations vary in size and consistency, rendering the formation of pillared structures difficult.

Figure 8(b) indicates that X-ray diffraction patterns basic of hydroxyl-Fe-Al-pillared remained consistent with hydroxyl-Al-pillared montmorillonite when Fe/Al ≤ 0.05, the d(001) value of Fe/Al-Mont slightly are higher than the hydroxyl-Al free hydroxyl. This is due to the ion radius of Fe$^{3+}$ (0.064 nm) > Al$^{3+}$ (0.051 nm), causing d(001) slightly larger, but the d(001) remained consistent at approximately 1.74 nm ± 0.1 nm, which does not agree with the range of 2.3 nm ± 0.1 nm reported in the literature [25]. This discrepancy may be attributed to inconsistencies in the pillar structure resulting from differences in experimental conditions. The d(001) was observed to decrease from 1.70 to 1.55 nm when the Fe/Al ≥ 0.10, which indicates that the interlayer spacing no longer exhibits the Keggin structure. This observation can be attributed to the fact that the addition of Fe destroys the OH/Al-Mont structure, forming a composite material that consists of polymeric Fe$^{3+}$ structures. This structural change is reflected in the change in interlayer spacing, and with an increasing rate of Fe, the pillared Mont structure becomes dominated by OH/Fe, thus reducing the interlayer spacing.

3.6. FT-IR Analysis. The FTIR spectra and analysis results of Mont, 1.5OH/Fe-Mont, OH/Al-Mont, and 0.5Fe/Al-Mont before and after ZEA adsorption are depicted in Figure 9. Figure 9 indicates that hydroxyl-Fe-Al-pillared Mont exhibited a vibration peak at 1384 cm$^{-1}$ prior to ZEA adsorption, which suggests the presence of NO$_3^-$ ions despite repeated centrifugation/washing cycles. With the increase of the content of the iron in the montmorillonite, the needed charge to balance the positive is also increased, which means that the number of NO$_3^-$ entering the montmorillonite was increased. The NO$_3^-$ concentration in the solution of after the column is lower than that of before the column (37.2 mg/l), which is verified by the NO$_3^-$ ion as counter-ions to the positively charged Fe hydrolysate polymers that were located outside of the Mont interlayers. This result shows that much of the iron hydrolysate polymer did not enter the Mont interlayer through ion exchange. The presence of NO$_3^-$ ions thus bestows an anionic exchange functionality upon

\[\text{NO}_3^-(\ell) + \text{Fe}^{3+}(\ell) \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{3+}(-\text{NO}_3^-)\]
hydroxyl-pillared Mont, allowing anions to enter Mont to give rise to new functionalities. Research by Akin and Zeng has also confirmed this conclusion [25, 26]. The vibrational peak at 1384 cm$^{-1}$ in OH/Al-Mont was comparatively weak, which indicates that only a small amount of NO$_3^-$ ion is present. This result occurs mainly because hydroxyl-Al ions enter the Mont spacing through ion exchange, and NO$_3^-$ ions escaped the Mont interlayers during this process [24]. The same 1384 cm$^{-1}$ absorption peak was observed to weaken significantly and even disappear after the ZEA adsorption assays, which suggests that NO$_3^-$ ions escaped the Mont interlayers by exchanging with the relevant ion of ZEA, confirming that ion exchange is the dominant mechanism of ZEA adsorption onto Mont adsorbents [27].

3.7. Effects of OH/Fe, OH/Al, and Fe/Al Molar Ratios. Figure 10 presents the equilibrium adsorption capacities of pristine Mont and modified Mont with differing OH/Fe and Fe/Al molar ratios assayed after 24 hours of adsorption. It can be observed from this analysis that pristine Mont (with an OH/Fe molar ratio of 0) exhibited an equilibrium adsorption capacity of 0.05 mg/g, whereas the adsorption capacity of OH/Fe-Mont increased with increasing OH/Fe molar ratios up to <1.5, reaching a maximum of 0.56 mg/g at a Fe/Al molar ratio of 0.5. This value is a 10-fold increase in the adsorption capacity compared to pristine Mont and is only slightly higher than hydroxyl-modified Al-pillared Mont [28].

Changes in the d(001) of pillared Mont as measured using XRD can be used to explain the impact of the OH/Fe molar ratio on the ZEA adsorption capacity. The adsorption capacity was observed to correlate with the d(001) of Mont: the maximum observed ZEA adsorption capacity coincided with the maximum d(001) value at an OH/Fe molar ratio of 1.5. This demonstrates that the OH/Fe pillar modification of Mont significantly improves the ZEA adsorption characteristics, up to a 5-fold increase in adsorption capacity. As indicated in Figure 4, compared with the adsorption to OH/Fe-Mont, a different trend in the characteristics of ZEA adsorption to Fe/Al-Mont is observed, which is likely due to a complex mechanism associated with a composite-pillared structure that warrants further investigation. From the same Figure 4, it can also be observed that when the molar ratios of both OH/Fe and Fe/Al are less than 1.5, the ZEA adsorption capacities of Fe/Al-Mont and OH/Al-Mont are greater than those of OH/Fe-Mont and Mont. However, when the molar ratios of both OH/Fe and Fe/Al are ≥1.5, the ZEA adsorption capacities of both Fe/Al-Mont and OH/Fe-Mont are consistent. This result indicates that when the Fe content is low, both hydrolysed Al and hydrolysed Fe-Al-composite-pillared Mont are dominated by characteristics exhibited by hydrolysed Al, leading to a relatively
stable Keggin structure and resulting in adsorption capacities greater than OH/Fe-Mont. However, when the Fe content is high, the pillared Mont samples are dominated by characteristics exhibited by hydrolysed Fe, wherein the pillared Mont structure is dependent on the coexistence of both pillars and lamellar layers; therefore, the ZEA adsorption capacities of both Fe/Al-Mont and OH/Fe-Mont are consistent [29–31].

3.8. Adsorption Isotherms. Figure 11 illustrates the effect of varying the initial ZEA concentration on the adsorption capacities of modified Mont. It can be observed that the ZEA adsorption capacities increased with increasing initial ZEA concentrations for Mont, 1.5OH/Fe-Mont, OH/Al-Mont, and 0.5Fe/Al-Mont adsorbents. This result occurs because at low ZEA concentrations, the number of ZEA molecules around an adsorption site is small, resulting in less
adsorption. At higher ZEA concentrations, the competition for adsorption sites on the adsorbents increases significantly, thereby increasing adsorption. According to Figure 11, the ZEA adsorption capacities are ranked as follows, regardless of the ZEA initial concentration: Mont << 1.5OH/Fe-Mont << OH/Al-Mont < 0.5Fe/Al-Mont. This result indicates that the ZEA adsorption capacity of Mont increased significantly after modification; therefore, the hydrolysed Fe, hydrolysed Al, and hydrolysed Fe/Al pillar modification of Mont significantly increased the ZEA adsorption capacity.

The experimental data obtained were modelled using a Langmuir adsorption isotherm (1) and a Freundlich adsorption isotherm [31]:

\[
q_e = q_{\text{max}} \frac{K_L C_e}{1 + K_L C_e}, \quad (1)
\]

\[
q_e = K_F C_e^{1/n}, \quad (2)
\]

In the above equation, \(q_e\) is the equilibrium adsorption capacity (mg/g), \(C_e\) is the equilibrium concentration (mg/L), \(q_{\text{max}}\) is the maximum adsorption capacity (mg/g), \(K_L\) is the Langmuir adsorption coefficient (L/mg), and \(K_F\) is the Freundlich adsorption coefficient (mg\(^{1-n}/L^{1/n}g\)). The parameters used in both Langmuir and Freundlich isotherms are summarized in Table 2.

It can be inferred from Table 2 that the Freundlich model \((r^2 \geq 0.95)\) is a better fit than the Langmuir model \((r^2 < 0.05)\) for Mont, 1.5OH/Fe-Mont, OH/Al-Mont, and 1.5Fe/Al-Mont regarding the ZEA adsorption. The Langmuir model is a description of a particle monolayer adsorption with identical adsorption sites, and every adsorption process is completely independent. From Table 1, it is apparent that the Langmuir adsorption model is not representative of both pristine Mont and modified Mont, which indicates that the ZEA adsorption process is complex in multimolecular layer adsorption. This result agrees with recent research, which also suggests that ZEA undergoes multilayer adsorption in which both the surface and interlayer spacing of the Mont are available adsorption sites. As a result, ZEA may adsorb onto the surface of adsorbent materials through surface complexation reactions or through spatial interpolation layer adsorption, which agrees with the current data and suggests that the Freundlich model is a better reflection of the ZEA adsorption processes on the surface of Mont. In the Freundlich model, the ease of adsorption is described by the parameter \(1/n\), where a value <1 or close to 1 indicates high adsorption probability. This also explains
that modified Mont can be used as an effective adsorbent to remove ZEA [32–34].

3.9. Effects of pH and Temperature. The effect of pH on the ZEA adsorption capacity is illustrated in Figure 12, which shows that between the pH range of 2–8, the adsorption capacity increases with increasing pH value, and the capacity of modified Mont is significantly greater than pristine Mont. The correlation between pH value and adsorption capacity is represented in two stages: when pH < 8, the adsorption capacity increases with increasing pH value; when pH > 8, the adsorption capacity decreases with increasing pH. This observation can be attributed to the fact that ZEA possesses a chemical structure (\(\begin{array}{c}
\text{O} \\
\text{O} \\
\text{O} \\
\text{OH} \\
\text{HO} \\
\end{array}\)) similar to phenol and is considered a weak acid. Because pK\(_a\) of ZEA is 7.62, under conditions where pH < 7.62, the ZEA molecules are positively charged; therefore, under low pH conditions, the number of excess H\(^+\) ions in solution reduces the number of available adsorption sites within the interlayer spacing of Mont, thus reducing the overall adsorption of ZEA [35, 36]. With increasing pH, the number of available adsorption sites increases up to a maximum pH of 8. With further increases in pH, the presence of hydroxide in solution begins to break down the polymer adsorption characteristics, thereby reducing the overall adsorption capacity of Mont.

The effect of solution temperature on the ZEA adsorption capacity of Mont is depicted in Figure 13. Initially, the ZEA adsorption capacity increases with increasing temperature and peaks at a temperature of 35°C, after which the adsorption capacity decreases with further increases in temperature. This result can be attributed to the increase in reactivity of the unsaturated active sites in Mont with increasing temperature within the range of 15°C to 35°C, which is conducive to the formation of a bond with ZEA. In contrast, at temperatures above 35°C, excess heat can cause bond breakage, leading to lower adsorption. Because the pH...
value in livestock is in the range of 6.5–7.5, and the body temperature is 37–42 °C, the use of Mont as adsorbent in vivo can be considered because the optimum performance parameters of Mont lie within this range [33–37].

3.10. Adsorption Kinetics. Figure 14 displays the ZEA adsorption capacity of Mont as a function of time. It can be observed that, at any point in time, the adsorption capacities of the adsorbents are consistently ranked as follows: Mont << 1.5OH/Fe-Mont << OH/Al-Mont < 0.5Fe/Al-Mont. The relationship between the adsorption quantity and time can be described using a kinetic model, and it was found that a pseudo-second-order kinetic model best fits the experimental data (Figure 14). This model has been widely used in the solution adsorption of ZEA and other pollutants [38, 39].

\[
q_t = \frac{kq_e^2t}{1 + kq_e t}, \tag{3}
\]

\[
\frac{t}{q_t} = \frac{1}{kq_e^2} + \frac{1}{q_e} t. \tag{4}
\]

In the above equation, \(k\) (g·mg\(^{-1}\)·h\(^{-1}\)) is the adsorption rate constant, \(q_e\) (mg·g\(^{-1}\)) is the equilibrium adsorption capacity, and \(q_t\) (mg·g\(^{-1}\)) is the adsorption quantity at time \(t\). Equation (3) is rearranged to a linear equation, where \(kq_e^2\) (mg·g\(^{-1}\)·h\(^{-1}\)) is the initial adsorption rate, and by
Table 3: Parameters of pseudo-second-order equation for adsorption of ZEA.

| Adsorbing material       | Adsorption amount (mg/g) | Adsorption amount (mg/g) | Adsorption amount (mg/g) | Adsorption amount (mg/g) |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Mont                    | 0.099                    | 0.12                     | 281.4                    | 0.02                     |
| 1.5OH/Fe-Mont           | 0.995                    | 1.27                     | 38.5                     | 0.18                     |
| OH/Al-Mont              | 0.999                    | 3.26                     | 52.6                     | 0.25                     |
| 0.5Fe/Al-Mont           | 0.999                    | 3.68                     | 22.3                     | 0.41                     |

substituting the relevant parameters into equation (4), various kinetic parameters are obtained (summarized in Table 3). From Table 3, the following relationships are apparent: the correlation coefficient $r^2 > 0.99$; the initial adsorption rates are ranked as Mont $(0.12 \text{ mg}^{-1}\text{g}^{-1} \cdot \text{h}^{-1}) < 1.5\text{OH}/\text{Fe-Mont} (1.27 \text{ mg}^{-1}\text{g}^{-1} \cdot \text{h}^{-1}) < \text{OH}/\text{Al-Mont} (3.26 \text{ mg}^{-1}\text{g}^{-1} \cdot \text{h}^{-1}) < 0.5\text{Fe}/\text{Al-Mont} (3.68 \text{ mg}^{-1}\text{g}^{-1} \cdot \text{h}^{-1})$; the equilibrium adsorption capacity $q_e$ is also ranked as Mont $(0.02 \text{ mg}^{-1}) < 1.5\text{OH}/\text{Fe-Mont} (0.18 \text{ mg}^{-1}) < \text{OH}/\text{Al-Mont} (0.25 \text{ mg}^{-1}) < 0.5\text{Fe}/\text{Al-Mont} (0.41 \text{ mg}^{-1})$, which suggests that modified Mont exhibited significantly improved solution adsorption of ZEA and is an excellent candidate as a ZEA adsorbent, especially species with Fe/Al-composite pillars.

4. Conclusions

(1) The XRD analysis revealed that the interlayer spacing $d(001)$ of hydrolysed Fe-pillared Mont increases gradually with increasing OH/Fe molar ratios, up to a ratio of $\leq 1.5$, while the $d(001)$ decreases with increasing Fe/Al molar ratios in hydrolysed Fe/Al-composite-pillared Mont. The amplification of Fe-dominant pillared Mont interlayer spacing is the main reason for the observed increases in the adsorption capacity of ZEA. However, aluminium pillared Monts possess a relatively stable Keggin structure in which the adsorption capacity is not dictated by interlayer spacing. The FT-IR analysis clearly indicated that the mechanism in which ZEA and Al ions enter Mont is predominantly due to cationic exchange, whereas the migration of hydrolysed Fe into the interlayer spacing of Mont is not governed by ion exchange.

(2) The difference in the ZEA adsorption capacities between hydrolysed Fe and Al compared to a composite-pillared structure can be ranked as follows: Mont $(0.05 \text{ mg/g}) < 1.5\text{OH}/\text{Fe-Mont} (0.28 \text{ mg/g}) < \text{OH}/\text{Al-Mont} (0.51 \text{ mg/g}) < 0.5\text{Fe}/\text{Al-Mont} (0.56 \text{ mg/g})$; both 0.5Fe/Al-Mont and OH/Al-Mont possess the greatest adsorption capacity, which is approximately an order of magnitude greater than pristine Mont. Hydroxyl Fe Mont with a molar ratio of 1.5 (1.5OH/Fe-Mont) exhibited a 5-fold increase in adsorption capacity compared to pristine Mont.

(3) Adsorption isotherm studies confirmed that the Freundlich adsorption model well represents $(r^2 > 0.95)$ the ZEA adsorption of Mont. In the model, the parameter $1/n < 1$ gave a value between 0.8 and 1, which indicates that ZEA is readily adsorbed.

(4) The ZEA adsorption capacity increases with increasing pH at pH values $\leq 8$. At pH $> 8$, the adsorption capacity decreases with further increases in pH. The optimum performance temperature was found to be within a biological range of approximately 35°C.

(5) ZEA adsorption onto Mont was found to equilibrate within an hour. Kinetic studies and parameters demonstrated that the adsorption process can be described using pseudo-second-order kinetics (correlation coefficient $R^2 > 0.99$). The equilibrium adsorption capacity $q_e$ of the various adsorbents was found to be Mont $(0.02 \text{ mg}^{-1}) < 1.5\text{OH}/\text{Fe-Mont} (0.18 \text{ mg}^{-1}) < \text{OH}/\text{Al-Mont} (0.25 \text{ mg}^{-1}) < 0.5\text{Fe}/\text{Al-Mont} (0.41 \text{ mg}^{-1})$.

The mechanisms of interaction between pristine Mont and ZEA and between hydroxyl-Fe-Al-pillared Mont and ZEA were elucidated in this study, which can be used to improve the removal efficacy of mycotoxins. The use of hydroxyl-pillared Mont in the in vivo removal of ZEA from
animal gastrointestinal tracts warrants further investigation. Hydroxyl-iron, hydroxyl-aluminum, and hydroxyl-aluminum are supported on montmorillonite and have a large amount of positive charge on the surface. The ZEA molecule has two phenolic hydroxyl groups, which can be regarded as weakly acidic molecules. When the pH is 8, ZEA dissociates to a greater extent. The anions formed by ZEA dissociation can chemisorb with the positive charge on the side of montmorillonite. The increase in pH makes the competitive adsorption of hydrogen ions and ZEA weaker, so the amount of ZEA adsorbed by the adsorbent gradually increases.
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