SALEM SETS IN VECTOR SPACES OVER FINITE FIELDS

CHANGHAO CHEN

Abstract. We prove that almost all random subsets of a finite vector space are weak Salem sets (small Fourier coefficient), which extends a result of Hayes to a different probability model.

1. Introduction

Let $F_p$ denote the finite field with $p$ element where $p$ is prime, and $\mathbb{F}_p^d$ be the $d$-dimensional vector space over this field. Let $E \subset \mathbb{F}_p^d$. We use the same notation as in Babai \[3\], Hayes \[4\] to define that

$$\Phi(E) = \max_{\xi \neq 0} |\hat{E}(\xi)|. \tag{1}$$

Here and in what follows, we simply write $E(x)$ for the characteristic function of $E$, $\hat{E}$ it’s discrete Fourier transform which we will define it in Section 2. For $\xi \neq 0$, we mean that $\xi$ is a non-zero vector of $\mathbb{F}_p^d$. Applying the Plancherel identity, we have that for any $E \subset \mathbb{F}_p^d$ with $|E| \leq p^d/2$,

$$\sqrt{|E|/2} \leq \Phi(E) \leq |E|. \tag{2}$$

See Babai \[3\] Proposition 2.6 for more details. The notation $|E|$ stands for the cardinality of a set $E$. Observe that the optimal decay of $\hat{E}(\xi)$ for all $\xi \neq 0$ are $O(\sqrt{|E|})$. We write $X = O(Y)$ means that there is a positive constant $C$ such that $X \leq CY$, and $X = \Theta(Y)$ if $X = O(Y)$ and $Y = O(X)$. Isoevich and Rudnev \[6\] called these sets Salem sets. To be precise we show the definition here.

Definition 1.1. \[6\] A subset $E \subset \mathbb{F}_p^d$ is called a Salem set if for all non-zero $\xi$ of $\mathbb{F}_p^d$,

$$|\hat{E}(\xi)| = O(\sqrt{|E|}). \tag{3}$$

Note that this is a finite fields version of Salem sets in Euclidean spaces. Roughly speaking, a set in Euclidean space is called a Salem set if there exist measures on this set, and the Fourier transform of these measures have optimal decay, see \[2\], \[9\] Chapter 3 for more details on Salem sets in Euclidean spaces.

It is well known that the sets for which all the non-zero Fourier coefficient are small play an important role, e.g., see \[2\], \[9\] and \[11\]. For some applications of Salem sets in vector spaces over finite fields, see \[5\], \[6\], \[7\].

In \[4\] Theorem 1.13] Hayes proved that almost all $m$-subset of $\mathbb{F}_p^d$ are (weak) Salem sets which answer a question of Babai. To be precise, let $E = E^\infty$ be selected uniformly at random from the collection of all subsets of $\mathbb{F}_p^d$ which have $m$ vectors. Let $\Omega(\mathbb{F}_p^d, m)$ denotes the probability space.

Theorem 1.2 (Hayes). Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $m \leq p^d/2$. For all but an $O(p^{-d\varepsilon})$ probability $E \in \Omega(\mathbb{F}_p^d, m)$,

$$\Phi(E) < 2\varepsilon \sqrt{2(1 + \varepsilon)m \log p^d} = O\left(\sqrt{m \log p^d}\right). \tag{4}$$
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For convenience we call this kink of subset of $F^d_p$ weak Salem set.

1.1. Percolation on $F^d_p$. There is another random model which is closely related to the random model $\Omega(F^d_p, m)$. First we show this random model in the following. Let $0 < \delta < 1$. We choose each point of $F^d_p$ with probability $\delta$ and remove it with probability $1 - \delta$, all choices being independent of each other. Let $E = E^\omega$ be the collection of these chosen points, and $\Omega = \Omega(F^d_p, \delta)$ be the probability space. Note that both random models $\Omega(F^d_p, m)$ and $\Omega(F^d_p, \delta)$ are related to the well known Erdős-Rényi-Gilbert random graph models.

We note that Hayes [4] proved a similar result to Theorem 1.2 for the random model $\Omega(F^d_p, 1/2)$. However, the martingale argument for $\Omega(F^d_p, 1/2)$ and $\Omega(F^d_p, m)$ of [4] do not apply easily to the random model $\Omega(F^d_p, \delta)$ for other values of $\delta \neq 1/2$. Babai [3], Hayes [4] used the Chernoff bounds for the model $\Omega(F^d_p, 1/2)$, but it seems that the method also can not be easily extended to general $\delta$. We note that Babai [3], Hayes [4] proved their results in general finite Abelian group, see [3], [4] for more details. For the finite vector space $F^d_p$ (special Abelian group) we extend their result to general $\delta$.

**Theorem 1.3.** Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $\delta \in (0, 1)$. For all but an $O(p^{-d \varepsilon})$ probability $E \in \Omega(F^d_p, \delta)$,

$$\Phi(E) < 2 \sqrt{(1 + \varepsilon)\delta p^d \log p^d} = O\left(\sqrt{\delta p^d \log p^d}\right). \quad (5)$$

We know that almost all set $E \in \Omega(F^d_p, \delta)$ has size roughly $\delta p^d$. This follows by Chebyshev’s inequality,

$$\mathbb{P}(\#E - p^d \delta \geq \frac{1}{2} p^d \delta) \leq \frac{4p^d(1 - \delta)}{(p^d \delta)^2} = O\left(\frac{1}{\delta p^d}\right). \quad (6)$$

We immediately have the following corollary, which says that almost all $E \in \Omega(F^d_p, \delta)$ is a weak Salem set.

**Corollary 1.4.** Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $\delta \in (0, 1)$. For all but an $O(\max\{p^{-d \varepsilon}, \frac{1}{\delta p^d}\})$ probability $E \in \Omega(F^d_p, \delta)$,

$$|\hat{E}(\xi)| = O\left(\sqrt{\#E \log p^d}\right). \quad (7)$$

In $F^d_p$, it seems that the only known examples of Salem sets are discrete paraboloid and discrete sphere. We note that both the size of the discrete paraboloid and the discrete sphere are roughly $p^{-d/2}$, see [6] for more details. It is natural to ask that does there exists Salem set with any given size $m \leq p^n$. The above results and [8] Problem 20 suggest the following conjecture.

**Conjecture 1.5.** Let $s \in (0, d)$ be a non-integer and $C$ be a positive constant. Then

$$\min_{E} \frac{\Phi(E)}{\sqrt{\#E}} \to \infty \text{ } \text{as} \text{ } p \to \infty,$$

where the minimal taking over all subsets $E \subset F^d_p$ with $p^s/C \leq \#E \leq C p^s$.

2. Preliminaries

In this section we show the definition of the finite field Fourier transform, and some easy facts about the random model $\Omega(F^d_p, \delta)$. Let $f : F^d_p \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a complex value function. Then for $\xi \in F^d_p$ we define the Fourier transform

$$\hat{f}(\xi) = \sum_{x \in F^d_p} f(x) e^{-\frac{2\pi i x \cdot \xi}{p}}, \quad (8)$$
where the inner product $x \cdot \xi$ is defined as $x_1 \xi_1 + \cdots + x_p \xi_p$. Recall the following Plancherel identity,

$$\sum_{\xi \in \mathbb{F}_p^d} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 = p^d \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^d} |f(x)|^2.$$ 

Specially for the subset of $E \subset \mathbb{F}_d^p$, we have

$$\sum_{\xi \in \mathbb{F}_d^p} |\hat{E}(\xi)|^2 = p^d \#E.$$ (9)

For more details on discrete Fourier analysis, see Stein and Shakarchi [10].

We show some easy facts about the random model $\Omega(\mathbb{F}_d^p, \delta)$ in the following. Let $\xi \neq 0$, then the expectation of $\hat{E}(\xi)$ is

$$\mathbb{E}(\hat{E}(\xi)) = \delta \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_d^p} e^{-\frac{2\pi i x \cdot \xi}{p}} = 0.$$ 

Since

$$|\hat{E}(\xi)|^2 = \sum_{x,y \in \mathbb{F}_d^p} E(x)E(y)e^{-\frac{2\pi i (x-y) \cdot \xi}{p}},$$

we have

$$\mathbb{E} \left( |\hat{E}(\xi)|^2 \right) = \delta p^d + \delta^2 \sum_{x \neq y \in \mathbb{F}_d^p} e^{-\frac{2\pi i (x-y) \cdot \xi}{p}}$$

$$= p^d \delta (1 - \delta).$$

We may read this identity as (for small $\delta$)

$$|\hat{E}(\xi)| = \Theta \left( \sqrt{p^d \delta} \right) = \Theta \left( \sqrt{\#E} \right).$$

3. Proof of Theorem 1

For the convenience to our use, we formulate a special large deviations estimate in the following. For more background and details on large deviations estimates, see Alon and Spencer [1, Appendix A].

**Lemma 3.1.** Let $\{X_j\}_{j=1}^N$ be a sequence independent random variables with $|X_j| \leq 1$, $\mu_1 := \sum_{j=1}^N E(X_j)$, and $\mu_2 := \sum_{j=1}^N E(X_j^2)$. Then for any $\alpha > 0$, $0 < \lambda < 1$,

$$\mathbb{P}(\sum_{j=1}^N X_j \geq \alpha) \leq e^{-\lambda \alpha + \lambda^2 \mu_2 (e^{\lambda \mu_1} + e^{-\lambda \mu_1})}.$$ (10)

**Proof.** Applying Markov’s inequality to the random variable $e^{\lambda \sum_{j=1}^N X_j}$. This gives

$$\mathbb{P}(\sum_{j=1}^N X_j \geq \alpha) = \mathbb{P}(e^{\lambda \sum_{j=1}^N X_j} > e^{\lambda \alpha})$$

$$\leq e^{-\lambda \alpha} \mathbb{E}(e^{\lambda \sum_{j=1}^N X_j})$$

$$= e^{\lambda \alpha} \prod_{j=1}^N \mathbb{E}(e^{\lambda X_j}),$$

the last equality holds since $\{X_j\}_{j}$ is a sequence independent random variables.

For any $|x| \leq 1$ we have

$$e^x \leq 1 + x + x^2.$$
Since $|\lambda X_j| \leq 1$, we have
\[ e^{\lambda X_j} \leq 1 + \lambda X_j + \lambda^2 X_j^2, \]
and hence
\[ \mathbb{E}(e^{\lambda X_j}) \leq 1 + \mathbb{E}(\lambda X_i) + \mathbb{E}(\lambda^2 X_i^2) \leq e^{\mathbb{E}(\lambda X_i) + \mathbb{E}(\lambda^2 X_i^2)}. \]
Combining this with (11), we have
\[ \mathbb{P}(\sum_{j=1}^{N} X_j \geq \alpha) \leq e^{-\lambda \alpha + \lambda \mu_1 + \lambda^2 \mu_2}. \]
Applying the similar way to the above for $\mathbb{P}(\sum_{j=1}^{N} X_j \geq -\alpha)$, we obtain
\[ \mathbb{P}(\sum_{j=1}^{N} X_j \geq \alpha) \leq e^{-\lambda \alpha - \lambda \mu_1 + \lambda^2 \mu_2}. \]
Thus we finish the proof.

The following two easy identities are also useful for us.
\[
\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^d} \cos \frac{2\pi x \cdot \xi}{p} = Re \left( \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^d} e^{-\frac{2\pi i x \cdot \xi}{p}} \right) = 0
\]
\[
\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^d} \cos^2 \frac{2\pi x \cdot \xi}{p} = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^d} \frac{1 + \cos \frac{4\pi x \cdot \xi}{p}}{2} = \frac{1}{2} p^d \tag{12}
\]

Proof of Theorem 1.3 Let $\xi \neq 0$ and $E \in \Omega(\mathbb{F}_p^d, \delta)$. Let
\[ \hat{E}(\xi) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^d} E(x) e^{-\frac{2\pi i x \cdot \xi}{p}} = \mathcal{R} + i\mathcal{I} \]
where $\mathcal{R}$ and is the real part of $\hat{E}(\xi)$, and $\mathcal{I}$ is the imagine part of $\hat{E}(\xi)$. First we provide the estimate to the real part $\mathcal{R}$. By the Euler identity, we have
\[ \mathcal{R} = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^d} E(x) \cos \left( \frac{2\pi x \cdot \xi}{p} \right). \]
Note that
\[ E(x) \cos \left( \frac{2\pi x \cdot \xi}{p} \right), x \in \mathbb{F}_p^d \]
is a sequence of independent random variables. Furthermore, applying the identities (12), we have
\[ \mu_1 = 0, \quad \mu_2 = \frac{1}{2} p^d \delta. \tag{13} \]
Here $\mu_1, \mu_2$ are defined as the same way as in the Lemma 3.1 Let
\[ \alpha := \sqrt{2(1 + \varepsilon)p^d \delta \log p^d}, \quad \lambda := \frac{\alpha}{p^d \delta}. \tag{14} \]
Note that $\lambda \leq 1$ for large $p$. Applying Lemma 3.1, we have
\[ \mathbb{P}(|\mathcal{R}| \geq \alpha) \leq 2 e^{-\lambda \alpha + \lambda^2 \mu_2} \]
\[ = 2 e^{-\frac{\alpha^2}{2p^d \delta}} = \frac{2}{p^d (1 + \varepsilon)}. \tag{15} \]
Now we turn to the imagine part $I$. Applying the similar argument to the real part $R$, note that the identities (12) also hold if we take $\sin$ instead of $\cos$, we obtain
\[
P(|\hat{I}| \geq \alpha) \leq \frac{2}{p^{d(1+\varepsilon)}}.
\]
Combining this with the estimate (15), we obtain
\[
P(|\hat{E}(\xi)| \geq \sqrt{2}\alpha) \leq P(|R| \geq \alpha) + P(|I| \geq \alpha) \leq \frac{4}{p^{d(1+\varepsilon)}} \tag{16}
\]
Observe that the above argument works to any non-zero vector $\xi$. Therefore, we obtain
\[
P(\exists \xi \neq 0, \text{s.t } |\hat{E}(\xi)| \geq \sqrt{2}\alpha) \leq \frac{4}{p^{d\varepsilon}}. \tag{17}
\]
Recall the value of $\alpha$ in (14),
\[
\alpha = \sqrt{2(1+\varepsilon)p^d \delta \log p^d},
\]
this completes the proof. \hfill \Box
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