INTRODUCTION

Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) is a common impairing neuropsychiatric disorder.1 Children with ADHD show developmentally inappropriate levels of inattentive and/or hyperactive impulsive behaviors in multiple settings. ADHD is known to emerge during the preschool years.2 Recent meta-analytic reviews have reported that nearly 10% of preschoolers meet the full diagnostic criteria for ADHD.2 Accordingly, the number of preschool children presenting to clinicians for assessment of attention problems is growing, and diagnosing ADHD in preschool years brings potential benefits of early identification and intervention.4 ADHD is diagnosed from careful clinical evaluation. Although not diagnostic, rating scales, checklists, and neuropsychological tests may be helpful in providing evidence of the disorder. Neuropsychological impairment in the areas of sustained attention, inhibitory control, working memory (WM), and other areas of executive functions (EF) has been consistently shown to be present in school-age children with ADHD.3 Despite the finding that approximately 10% of preschoolers meet the full diagnostic criteria for ADHD, this
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Objective The aim of this study is to examine performance-based measures and behavioral ratings of executive functions (EF) as a component of preschool attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD).

Methods Twenty-one 4-to-6-year-old children with ADHD and 52 children with no psychopathology, matched on age, gender, socioeconomic status, and parental education, were enrolled. Parents were interviewed with the use of The Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia for School-Age Children Present and Lifetime version. The Conners’ Kiddie Continuous Performance Test (K-CPT) was administered to the children, and the Behavior Rating Inventory of Executive Function-Preschool version (BRIEF-P) and the Conners’ Parent Rating Scale-Revised/Short Form (CPRS-R/S) were filled out by the parents.
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Conclusion The current study is one of the few to investigate the features of preschool ADHD with the use of behavioral ratings of EF and a performance-based measure. Our results suggest that the BRIEF-P was able to identify behavioral difficulties in inhibition and working memory and that the K-CPT identified difficulties indicating inattention. The findings of this study support the use of a combination of methods for a complete evaluation of preschoolers with inattentive and hyperactive/impulsive behavior, the application of rating scales for screening ADHD symptoms, and the measurement of behavioral correlates of EF along with performance-based measures.
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INTRODUCTION

Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) is a common impairing neuropsychiatric disorder.1 Children with ADHD show developmentally inappropriate levels of inattentive and/or hyperactive impulsive behaviors in multiple settings. ADHD is known to emerge during the preschool years.2 Recent meta-analytic reviews have reported that nearly 10% of preschoolers meet the full diagnostic criteria for ADHD.2 Accordingly, the number of preschool children presenting to clinicians for assessment of attention problems is growing, and diagnosing ADHD in preschool years brings potential benefits of early identification and intervention.4 ADHD is diagnosed from careful clinical evaluation. Although not diagnostic, rating scales, checklists, and neuropsychological tests may be helpful in providing evidence of the disorder. Neuropsychological impairment in the areas of sustained attention, inhibitory control, working memory (WM), and other areas of executive functions (EF) has been consistently shown to be present in school-age children with ADHD.3 Despite the finding that approximately 10% of preschoolers meet the full diagnostic criteria for ADHD, this
disorder has been studied less extensively in preschoolers than in their school-age counterparts. Furthermore, in contrast to assessment methods in school-age children, the availability of reliable and valid measures of neuropsychological impairment in preschool children is much more limited.

Various continuous performance tests (CPT) are used, both in research and practice, to assess sustained attention, inhibitory control, and consistency of response. The Conners’ Kiddie Continuous Performance Test (K-CPT) is one of the versions of CPT with minimal language and memory demands, created for use in younger children. However, few validity studies have used the K-CPT in preschool ADHD.

There are mainly two kinds of rating scales for teachers and parents that are useful in the evaluation of ADHD symptoms: those that directly address ADHD symptoms and those that focus on EF behaviors. ADHD symptoms have been suggested to arise from a general executive dysfunction (ED). However, ED is related to various presentations, and there is a need to understand the executive domains that are more specific to ADHD. In a meta-analytic review, ED in the domains of response inhibition, planning, vigilance, and WM were mentioned in the neuropsychological heterogeneity of ADHD. These deficits in EF were suggested to emerge in the preschool period; in particular, inhibitory control deficits observed in preschoolers seemed to predict later EF problems associated with ADHD. Given the concerns about the ecological validity of many performance-based EF measures in the preschool years, behavioral assessments of ED were developed. The Behavior Rating Inventory of Executive Function–Preschool version (BRIEF-P) is used to assess EF in 2-to-5-year-old children as perceived by their parents or teachers. Its usefulness in identifying disruptive behavior disorders has been evaluated in a number of studies. However, there is scarce literature regarding preschoolers with ADHD with respect to ED and their behavioral associations. Valid and reliable assessment tools to improve the differentiation of specific impairments of ADHD early in life can be valuable for the planning of interventions in affected children.

Considering the above-summarized knowledge, the aim of this study is to examine possible impairment in performance-based measures of attention and impulsivity and behavioral ratings of EF as a component of preschool ADHD. In addition, we aim to examine the relation between parent ratings of ADHD symptoms, performance-based measures of attention and impulsivity, and behavioral ratings of EF.

METHODS

Participants

Twenty-five children, ages 4 to 5 years old, admitted to the Hacettepe University Child and Adolescent Psychiatry Outpatient Clinic and diagnosed with ADHD by an experienced child and adolescent psychiatrist according to the criteria in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, fourth edition (DSM-IV), were enrolled in the study group. For the control group, a total of 60 children with no identified psychopathology were selected from the BRIEF-P standardization sample (Gokler, Oktem & CengelKultur, 2009) and were matched individually to each child in the ADHD group on age, gender, socioeconomic status (SES), and parental education. Children with any kind of chronic/neurolologic disease, mental retardation, developmental language disorder, or autistic spectrum disorder; those attending any kind of special education program; those who could not complete the psychiatric assessments required; and those with a total intelligence quotient (IQ) below 80 in the Stanford-Binet V Intelligence Scale (SB-V) were excluded from the study. None of the children had received any psychopharmacologic treatment or intervention for any psychiatric disorder at the time of assessment.

Measures

A sociodemographic data form developed by the research group was used to obtained sociodemographic information on the participants.

The Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia for School-Age Children–Present and Lifetime version (K-SADS-PL) is a semi-structured diagnostic interview designed to assess current and past episodes of psychiatric disorders according to the DSM-IV. The K-SADS-PL was not originally designed for preschool children. However, Birmaher et al. carried out a psychometric study to assess the reliability of the K-SADS-PL in preschool children ages 2 to 5 years, suggesting that it was a reliable instrument for evaluating psychiatric disorders in preschoolers, particularly ADHD, oppositional defiant disorder (ODD), and anxiety, mood, and elimination disorders. The standardization of the instrument for the Turkish population has been completed in 2004.

The Conners’ Parent Rating Scale–Revised/Short Form (CPRS-R/S) is a widely used instrument for screening and evaluating ADHD-related symptoms in children and adolescents ages 3 to 17 years with the use of parent and teacher rating forms. The CPRS-R/S contains 27 items that are rated on a four-point scale and covers a set of the scales on items such as oppositional behavior, cognitive problems/inattention, hyperactivity, and ADHD index. The adaptation and standardization for Turkish children was done by Kaner et al.

The Conners’ Kiddie Continuous Performance Test version 5 (K-CPT V5) is a computer-based program for assessing attention problems in children 4 and 5 years old.
during the parent interview. The children were allowed to
mographic data form was completed by the same psychiatrist
ADHD diagnosis and other psychiatric disorders. A sociode-
out the first evaluation to confirm the presence or absence of
and adolescent psychiatrist other than the one who carried
over effects between the ADHD and control groups. Parents
were interviewed with the use of the K-SADS-PL by a child
were subjected to further evaluation on a different day to avoid the possibility of different carry-
procedures in the areas assessed. The standardization
of a series of pictures of objects that are familiar to preschool
children, such as a boat or a ball. The stimulus intervals are
either 1.5 or 3 seconds, and the children are asked to press the
space bar or click the mouse for every picture that appears
on the screen, except the ball. For each interstimulus interval,
5 blocks consisting of 20 pictures are presented. The K-CPT
V5 reports the results on omission and commission rates,
overall hit reaction time (Hit RT), overall hit reaction time
standard error (Hit RT SE), variability, detectability (d’), re-
response style (β), perseverations, hit reaction time by block
change (Hit RT Block Change), hit reaction time standard
error by block change (Hit SE Block Change), hit reaction
time by interstimulus interval change (Hit RT ISI Change),
and hit reaction time standard error by interstimulus interval
change (Hit SE ISI Change). The K-CPT measures are grouped
into indicators of inattention, impulsivity, and vigilance.

The Behavior Rating Inventory of Executive Function-Pres-
school version (BRIEF-P) consists of 63 items that measure
various behavioral manifestations of EF based on parent or
teacher ratings, within the context of the child’s everyday en-
vironment, in children ages 2 to 5 years old.12 The BRIEF-P
covers the scales inhibit (I), shift (S), emotional control (EC),
working memory (WM), and plan/organize (PO). These scales
form three broad indexes: inhibitory self-control (ISC),
flexibility (F), and emergent metacognition (EM), as well as
one composite score, the global executive composite (GEC).
The standardization of the instrument for the Turkish popu-
lation has been completed in 2009.19

The Stanford-Binet V Intelligence Scale (SB-V) is an indi-
vividually administered assessment of intelligence and cogni-
tive abilities in children and adults.20 This instrument provides
scores for full-scale, verbal, and nonverbal IQ, as well as five
composite scores in the areas assessed. The standardization
of the instrument for the Turkish population has been com-
pleted in 2009.19

Procedure
A clinical psychologist administered the SB-V. Two children
from the study group and 5 children from the control
group with total IQs below 80 were excluded from the study.
The remaining subjects were subjected to further evaluation
on a different day to avoid the possibility of different carry-
over effects between the ADHD and control groups. Parents
were interviewed with the use of the K-SADS-PL by a child
and adolescent psychiatrist other than the one who carried
out the first evaluation to confirm the presence or absence of
ADHD diagnosis and other psychiatric disorders. A sociode-
ographic data form was completed by the same psychiatrist
during the parent interview. The children were allowed to

spend time in a playroom and were interviewed after their
parents by the same psychiatrist. Stuttering and somatoform
disorders were questioned additionally. Parents were asked
to fill out the BRIEF-P and the CPRS-R/S. The K-CPT was ad-
ministered to the children in a quiet room. The short practice
test included in the software was used before the administration
of the full test to make sure the instructions were fully
understood. A one-warning approach was used in cases in
which the child deviated from the task; if the deviation con-
tinued, no further prompts were given. Two children from
the ADHD group and 3 children from the control group were
excluded from the study for not being able follow through in
the K-CPT. Institutional review and approval were obtained
from the Ethics Committee. All parents gave a written in-
formed consent, and the children agreed to participate in the
study.

Statistical analysis
The software SPSS 18.0 was used for statistical analyses.
Continuous variables in normal distributions were analyzed
by using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test with Lilliefors signif-
icance correction. Student’s t test and chi-square tests were ap-
plied to determine the differences in continuous and categori-
cal variables, respectively, between the ADHD and control
groups. Effect size (ES) values were computed by using the d
statistic. The ES is an objective and standardized measure of
the magnitude of change that one variable produces in another
variable as reflected in the difference between two means, in-
dependent of the sample size.21 The interpretation of the ES
(d) is based on a convention suggested by Cohen (1988), such
that 0.20 is considered as a “small,” 0.50 as “medium,” and
0.80 or greater as “large.” Because the BRIEF-P, CPRS-R/S,
and K-CPT measures were distributed normally in the ADHD
and control groups, the correlation coefficients and their sig-
nificance were calculated by using the Pearson test with scat-
terplots. BRIEF-P subscale scores and the K-CPT results in
the two groups were investigated using repeated measures
analysis of variance. Greenhouse-Geisser correction was used
when the sphericity assumption was violated. All tests were
two-tailed, and a 5% type I error was used to infer statistical
significance.

RESULTS
By design, the ADHD and control samples were not signifi-
cantly different in age, gender, SES, and parental education.
In addition, other factors, such as parental age, maternal oc-
cupation status, number of siblings, prematurity, perinatal
complications, major developmental milestones, and total IQ
scores, did not significantly differ between the ADHD and
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control groups (Table 1). The comorbidity rate in the ADHD group was 76% (n=16). The most common comorbid psychiatric disorders were oppositional defiant disorder (n=9, 43%), nocturnal enuresis (n=5, 24%), and separation anxiety disorder (n=3, 14%).

The BRIEF-P raw scores were converted into T scores by using appropriate age and gender norms. All BRIEF-P scales/indexes were significantly higher in the ADHD group, with large ES values ranging between 2.89 and 4.66. The biggest ES values in the clinical scales and indexes were observed in I, WM, EM, and GEC. All CPRS-R/S scales were significantly higher in the ADHD group, with large ES values ranging between 1.03 and 1.58. The omission and commission rates, Hit RT SE, variability, Hit RT ISI change, and Hit RT SE ISI change were significantly higher in the ADHD group, with medium-to-large ES values ranging between 0.72 and 0.95 in the K-CPT measures. The biggest ES values were observed in the changes in Hit RT ISI and Hit RT SE ISI (Table 2). MANOVA results revealed an overall group effect for the five BRIEF-P subscales (F=7.765, p=0.001, Pillai's Trace=0.32; η²=0.25) and K-CPT scores (F=3.918, p=0.003, Pillai's Trace=0.34; η²=0.75). Group differences across BRIEF-P subscales and K-CPT scores were further explored in a profile analysis (Figure 1). A significant within-group main effect was found, showing different scale elevations across the BRIEF-P subscales (F=5.81, p<0.001, η²=0.08) and the K-CPT scores (F=3.92, p=0.003, η²=0.75). Children in the ADHD group scored significantly higher than typically developing controls in all of the five BRIEF-P EF domains.

When all the measures were compared on gender within the ADHD and control groups separately, only the CPRS-R/S hyperactivity scale score was significantly higher for boys in the ADHD group (t=4.24, p=0.001). There were no significant differences in scores in any scale or measure regarding the presence of psychiatric comorbidity in the ADHD group.

All CPRS-R/S scales were positively correlated with the BRIEF-P scales/indexes for the whole sample, with moderate-to-strong correlation coefficients ranging from 0.49 to 0.79 (Figure 2). When the analysis was replicated for the ADHD group only, the CPRS-R/S oppositional scale was moderately correlated with the BRIEF-P I scale and the ISC and GEC indexes. The CPRS-R/S cognitive problems/inattention scale was not correlated with any of the BRIEF-P scales/indexes. The CPRS-R/S hyperactivity scale was moderately correlated with the BRIEF-P I scale and ISC index. The CPRS-R/S ADHD index was strongly correlated with the BRIEF-P I scale and ISC index and was moderately correlated with the GEC index (Figure 3).

The K-CPT measures were analyzed for correlations between ADHD symptoms in the CPRS-R/S. For the whole sample, the CPRS-R/S oppositional scale was correlated with omission rate, Hit RT SE, variability, and Hit RT ISI change. The CPRS-R/S cognitive problems/inattention scale was correlated with omission rate. The CPRS-R/S hyperactivity scale, similarly to the oppositional scale, was correlated with omission rate, Hit RT SE, variability, and Hit RT ISI change plus perseverations. The CPRS-R/S ADHD index, similarly to the oppositional scale, was correlated with omission rate, Hit RT SE, variability, and Hit RT ISI change, with higher correlation coefficients indicating a moderate relationship with the ADHD group only, the CPRS-R/S oppositional scale was moderately correlated with the BRIEF-P I scale and the ISC and GEC indexes.
omission rate and Hit RT ISI change (Figure 2). When analyzed for the ADHD group only, the CPRS-R/S oppositional scale was moderately correlated with variability. The CPRS-R/S cognitive problems/inattention scale was not correlated with any of the K-CPT measures. The CPRS-R/S hyperactivity scale was moderately correlated with variability and response style. The CPRS-R/S ADHD index had the most significant correlations with the K-CPT measures, namely on omission rate, Hit RT SE, variability, detectability, response style, Hit RT ISI change, and Hit SE ISI change, with a strong correlation coefficient on the variability measure (Figure 3).

**DISCUSSION**

Consistent with the idea that ADHD symptoms emerge during the preschool years, our sample of preschool children with ADHD showed significantly higher scores in parent-rated behavioral scales of ADHD in the CPRS-R/S and in all the scales and indexes of the BRIEF-P, which measure parent-rated behavioral correlates of EF. Children with ADHD also showed significantly lower performance in the K-CPT measures related to inattention (omission and commission rates, Hit RT SE, variability, Hit RT ISI change, and Hit SE ISI change) and impulsivity (commission rate). The CPRS-R/S ADHD index was strongly correlated with inhibition and re-

---

**Table 2. BRIEF-P indexes, CPRS-R/S scales, and K-CPT measures in the ADHD and control groups**

| Measure                          | ADHD (mean±SD) | CONTROL (mean±SD) | Statistics | d    |
|----------------------------------|----------------|-------------------|------------|------|
| **BRIEF-P scales/indexes**       |                |                   |            |      |
| Inhibit                          | 96.15±11.12    | 55.04±11.72       | t=11.79 p<0.001 | 3.59 |
| Shift                            | 89.45±12.24    | 51.65±9.97        | t=10.99 p<0.001 | 3.38 |
| Emotional control                | 86.23±12.26    | 52.72±10.88       | t=9.49 p<0.001  | 2.89 |
| Working memory                   | 101.12±12.95   | 53.83±10.91       | t=12.99 p<0.001 | 3.95 |
| Plan/Organize                    | 91.85±10.49    | 54.13±10.91       | t=11.51 p<0.001 | 3.52 |
| Inhibitory self-control          | 95.91±11.67    | 54.61±11.44       | t=12.12 p<0.001 | 3.57 |
| Flexibility                      | 92.65±12.75    | 52.39±10.63       | t=11.26 p<0.001 | 3.43 |
| Emergent metacognition           | 100.46±11.61   | 54.09±9.54        | t=13.58 p<0.001 | 4.36 |
| Global executive composite       | 103.05±10.07   | 54.39±10.79       | t=15.21 p<0.001 | 4.66 |
| **CPRS-R/S scales**              |                |                   |            |      |
| Oppositional                     | 11.32±3.38     | 6.60±3.94         | t=4.01 p<0.001 | 1.29 |
| Cognitive problems/Inattention   | 10.12±3.61     | 4.65±5.66         | t=3.29 p=0.002 | 1.15 |
| Hyperactivity                    | 10.11±3.98     | 5.35±5.17         | t=3.11 p=0.004 | 1.03 |
| ADHD index                       | 20.41±6.40     | 8.13±8.92         | t=4.51 p<0.001 | 1.58 |
| **K-CPT measures**               |                |                   |            |      |
| Omissions (%)                    | 53.85±9.52     | 47.59±7.62        | t=2.15 p=0.039 | 0.73 |
| Commissions (%)                  | 55.84±7.26     | 49.44±9.90        | t=2.17 p=0.037 | 0.74 |
| Hit RT                           | 50.43±10.36    | 46.57±9.73        | t=1.14 p=0.264 | 0.38 |
| Hit RT SE                        | 54.41±8.49     | 47.36±9.90        | t=2.26 p=0.031 | 0.76 |
| Variability                      | 56.71±12.09    | 48.52±10.45       | t=2.15 p=0.039 | 0.72 |
| Detectability (d')               | 54.94±7.79     | 48.76±10.76       | t=1.94 p=0.061 | 0.66 |
| Response Style (β)               | 47.44±13.24    | 51.39±13.68       | t=0.87 p=0.392 | 0.29 |
| Perseverations (%)               | 52.63±12.09    | 45.47±10.07       | t=1.91 p=0.065 | 0.64 |
| Hit RT Block change              | 49.30±9.28     | 46.63±7.55        | t=1.01 p=0.320 | 0.34 |
| Hit SE Block change              | 49.62±10.41    | 45.96±8.12        | t=1.16 p=0.253 | 0.39 |
| Hit RT ISI change                | 55.39±11.70    | 45.76±8.37        | t=2.81 p=0.008 | 0.95 |
| Hit SE ISI change                | 56.35±7.99     | 47.70±12.52       | t=2.42 p=0.021 | 0.82 |

Effect size d=(mean of ADHD group–mean of control group)/pooled SD of the two groups. ADHD: attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, BRIEF-P: Behavior Rating Inventory of Executive Function-Preschool version, CPRS-R/S: Conners’ Parent Rating Scale-Revised/Short Form, K-CPT: Kiddie Continuous Performance Test, SD: standard deviation, RT: reaction time, SE: standard error, ISI: interstimulus interval, p: p-value, t: Student's variable, Effect size d=(mean of ADHD group-mean of control group)/pooled SD of the two groups.
lated indexes in the BRIEF-P and was moderately correlated with inattention measures in the K-CPT in the ADHD group.

The ADHD and control groups were not significantly different in age, gender, SES, parental education, parental age, maternal occupation status, number of siblings, prematurity, perinatal complications, major developmental milestones, and total IQ scores. The similarities made the control group more comparable in the ADHD-related domains, reducing the confounding effects of demographic features and IQ. No significant differences were found regarding the presence of psychiatric comorbidity in the ADHD group. Similarly, Ezpeleta and Granero showed similar executive difficulties in preschoolers with ADHD and ODD comorbid ADHD using the BRIEF-P and the K-CPT and suggested that, EF deficits assessed with a performance-based measure or with behavioral descriptions are specific to preschoolers with ADHD, in comparison with those with ODD.28

When considered in the scales and measures used, the ES mostly exceeded 3 in the BRIEF-P scales and indexes, was close to 1 in the CPRS-R/S scales, and was around 0.75 in the statistically significant K-CPT measures. The ES can be interpreted in terms of the percent of nonoverlap of scores between the study group and the control group.25 An ES of 0.0 indicates that the distribution of scores for the study group overlaps completely with that for the control group; that is, there is 0% nonoverlap.27 An ES of 0.7, similarly to our K-CPT measures, indicates a nonoverlap of 43%; an ES of 1, similarly to our CPRS-R/S scales, indicates a nonoverlap of 58.9%; and an ES of 2, similarly to our BRIEF-P scales/indexes, indicates a nonoverlap of 81.1% between the two distributions.27 The results of our study reveal a small overlap in the BRIEF-P scale/index distributions between the preschool ADHD and control groups, which has a high practical significance. In one of the few studies that examined the validity of the BRIEF-P in preschool children with ADHD, the ES values in the BRIEF-P scales/indexes ranged from 0.8 to 2.4, which are smaller than the ES values in our study.12 Although the mean ages of the samples in that study and in the present research are similar, the age range is wider; further, children 36 to 71 months old were included in the former study. The small sample sizes in both studies might have increased the effect in younger children and contributed to the difference in ES between the two studies. On the other hand, similarly to our findings, the largest ES values in the BRIEF-P were observed on the I, WM, EM, and GEC in the study by Mahone and Hoffmann.22 Again, similarly to our findings, the I and WM scales in the BRIEF-P classified the sample into ADHD and control groups with a desirable accuracy of 86% in a recent study.16 Among preschoolers with ADHD, inhibition deficits are more pronounced and seem to predict later EF problems associated with ADHD.17,23 The findings regarding the role of WM as measured by performance-based tests have thus far

Figure 1. Profiles for ADHD and the Control Groups across BRIEF-P scales and K-CPT scores. ADHD: attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, BRIEF-P: Behaviour Rating Inventory of Executive Function-Preschool version, K-CPT: Kiddie Continuous Performance Test, RT: reaction time, SE: standard error, ISI: interstimulus interval.
Figure 2. Correlation coefficients (r) between the BRIEF-P, K-CPT, and CPRS-R/S in the whole sample (ADHD+control group) (N=73). □ p<0.001, □ p<0.01, □ p<0.05, □ p>0.05. ADHD: attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, BRIEF-P: Behavior Rating Inventory of Executive Function-Preschool version, CPRS-R/S: Conners’ Parent Rating Scale-Revised/Short Form, K-CPT: Kiddie Continuous Performance Test, SD: standard deviation, RT: reaction time, SE: standard error, ISI: interstimulus interval.
| BRIEF-P                  | K-CPT                | CPRS-R/S               |
|-------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|
| Inhibit                 |                      |                        |
| Shift                   | 1.00                 |                        |
| Emotion control         | 0.19 1.00            |                        |
| Working memory          | 0.31 0.54 1.00       |                        |
| Plan/Organize           | 0.36 0.26 0.08 1.00  |                        |
| Inhibitory self-control | 0.45 0.27 0.34 0.65  | 1.00                   |
| Flexibility             | 0.66 0.42 0.75 0.30  | 0.49 1.00              |
| Emergent metacognition  | 0.42 0.29 0.38 0.96  | 0.84 0.40 0.23 1.00   |
| Executive composite     | 0.70 0.57 0.57 0.77  | 0.80 0.79 0.64 0.85 1.00 |
| Omissions (%)           | 0.64 0.12 0.25 0.56  | 0.68 0.59 0.22 0.65 0.68 1.00 |
| Commissions (%)         | 0.28 0.09 0.15 0.45  | 0.48 0.27 0.13 0.49 0.43 0.10 1.00 |
| Hit RT                  | 0.26 0.41 0.07 0.03  | 0.17 0.13 0.24 0.05 0.02 0.32 0.34 1.00 |
| Hit RT SE               | 0.60 0.17 0.08 0.23  | 0.42 0.45 0.05 0.32 0.36 0.53 0.54 0.81 1.00 |
| Variability             | 0.71 0.17 0.22 0.46  | 0.63 0.62 0.06 0.57 0.58 0.75 0.41 0.44 0.72 1.00 |
| Detectability (d')      | 0.69 0.19 0.16 0.42  | 0.71 0.57 0.19 0.62 0.63 0.52 0.68 0.37 0.69 0.62 1.00 |
| Response style (β)      | 0.57 0.13 0.33 0.55  | 0.64 0.59 0.15 0.57 0.61 0.41 0.44 0.45 0.36 0.72 0.46 1.00 |
| Perseverations (%)      | 0.45 0.28 0.19 0.38  | 0.31 0.21 0.26 0.38 0.26 0.27 0.38 0.06 0.56 0.57 0.38 0.34 1.00 |
| Hit RT block change     | 0.19 0.12 0.22 0.14  | 0.11 0.25 0.09 0.14 0.18 0.16 0.11 0.21 0.13 0.23 0.14 0.19 0.22 1.00 |
| Hit SE block change     | 0.09 0.01 0.34 0.27  | 0.27 0.05 0.11 0.23 0.19 0.18 0.18 0.09 0.65 0.37 0.11 0.24 0.16 0.11 0.74 1.00 |
| Hit RT ISI change       | 0.65 0.24 0.22 0.23  | 0.25 0.33 0.27 0.26 0.26 0.52 0.46 0.22 0.84 0.67 0.62 0.27 0.66 0.18 0.42 1.00 |
| Hit SE ISI change       | 0.74 0.09 0.12 0.40  | 0.51 0.59 0.12 0.48 0.56 0.61 0.42 0.34 0.59 0.77 0.75 0.57 0.37 0.26 0.42 0.72 1.00 |
| Opposition              | 0.57 0.37 0.42 0.15  | 0.32 0.63 0.44 0.23 0.50 0.38 0.31 0.23 0.47 0.52 0.30 0.33 0.21 0.21 0.12 0.38 0.37 1.00 |
| Inattention             | 0.26 0.02 0.44 0.09  | 0.06 0.42 0.27 0.09 0.24 0.23 0.01 0.09 0.28 0.40 0.11 0.43 0.07 0.35 0.11 0.01 0.17 0.34 1.00 |
| Hyperactivity           | 0.49 0.27 0.34 0.10  | 0.50 0.30 0.51 0.34 0.19 0.40 0.23 0.33 0.13 0.45 0.57 0.38 0.52 0.41 0.15 0.19 0.35 0.59 0.76 0.34 1.00 |
| ADHD index              | 0.84 0.13 0.41 0.34  | 0.42 0.81 0.31 0.40 0.64 0.57 0.34 0.20 0.63 0.70 0.60 0.56 0.42 0.25 0.09 0.53 0.60 0.62 0.52 0.58 1.00 |

**Figure 3.** Correlation coefficients (r) between the BRIEF-P, K-CPT, and CPRS-R/S in the ADHD Group (N=21). 

- p<0.001
- p<0.01
- p<0.05
- p>0.05

ADHD: attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, BRIEF-P: Behavior Rating Inventory of Executive Function-Preschool version, CPRS-R/S: Conners’ Parent Rating Scale-Revised/Short Form, K-CPT: Kiddie Continuous Performance Test, SD: standard deviation, RT: reaction time, SE: standard error, ISI: interstimulus interval.
been less consistent.\textsuperscript{39-33} The present study reports evidence for a link between parents’ behavioral ratings of WM deficits and increased levels of ADHD symptoms. It should be noted that this finding does not establish strong evidence to show clear WM deficits in preschool ADHD and that WM was not evaluated through performance-based measures. Longitudinal research with larger samples is needed to clarify the role of WM in the early development of ADHD. Nevertheless, the present findings support the clinical utility of the BRIEF-P in differentiating between children with ADHD and typically developing controls.

The K-CPT has been shown to have adequate reliability in children 4 and 5 years old, differentiating between subjects with and without ADHD based on a sample of 454 children.\textsuperscript{10} In the present study, the omission rate, Hit RT SE, variability, and Hit RT ISI change as indicators of inattentiveness, the commission rate as an indicator of impulsivity, and the Hit SE ISI change as an indicator of vigilance were significantly higher in the ADHD group. Similarly to our results, several studies have reported higher commission and omission rates in preschool children with ADHD; further, preschool children with hyperactive and oppositional behaviors have been observed to have more omissions, faster Hit RT SE, and greater variability.\textsuperscript{34-38} In addition, Barnard et al.\textsuperscript{39} suggested that reaction time and omission rate were associated with parent-reported symptoms of EF deficits in preschool children. Despite these specific results congruent with the previous literature, the ES for the significant K-CPT measures remained below 1, much lower than the ES calculated for the BRIEF-P. Correspondingly, the predictive power of the K-CPT is said to be moderate, with ES values ranging between 0.58 and 1.0 in the above-mentioned comparable study.\textsuperscript{3} The much lower ES in the K-CPT than that in the BRIEF-P can be regarded as evidence that the BRIEF-P measures different and more extensive constructs of EF than those assessed by the K-CPT in preschool children with ADHD.

That all CPRS-R/S scales were positively correlated with the BRIEF-P scales/indexes in the whole sample may suggest that the BRIEF-P measures parent-reported behavioral constructs of the EF that may underlie parent-rated symptoms of ADHD. In the ADHD group, symptoms of oppositional and hyperactive behavior were moderately correlated with the BRIEF-P inhibition-related scales/indexes, supporting the idea that among EF constructs, inhibition deficits are predominant in preschool ADHD.\textsuperscript{10,2} Symptoms of inattention were not correlated with any of the BRIEF-P scales/indexes. Parents of preschool children might not be able to recognize symptoms of inattention because such children have not yet encountered high cognitive demand tasks. On the contrary, parent-rated ADHD symptoms were more correlated with measures of inattention, not impulsivity or vigilance, in the K-CPT. Similarly, in the study by Ezpeleta and Granero,\textsuperscript{29} the inattention measures in the K-CPT were the best in differentiating preschool children with externalizing problems from typically developing controls. Espy et al.\textsuperscript{40} suggested that the BRIEF-P should be used as a broad indicator of problem behavior and not as a substitute for assessing performance-based executive skills. Therefore, we suggest the use of a combination of methods for a complete evaluation of preschoolers with inattentive and hyperactive/impulsive behavior, the application of rating scales for screening ADHD symptoms, and the measurement of behavioral correlates of EF, along with performance-based measures. In fact, our results suggest that the BRIEF-P was able to identify gross behavioral difficulties in inhibition and that the K-CPT identified difficulties related to omission and reaction time indicating inattention. Obtaining different aspects of information in the evaluation may be helpful in planning interventions.

The strengths of the current study include the use of a DSM-IV-based, multi-method (interview and rating scale) diagnostic procedure for ADHD and careful matching of the children in the ADHD group on age, gender, SES, and parental education. The important demographic features and total IQ scores were not significantly different between the ADHD and control groups, making the groups more homogeneous and reducing possible controllable confounding effects. Nevertheless, this study also has several limitations. Although the groups were matched on several sociodemographic variables, there could be other uncontrollable variables that influenced the performance of the children in the K-CPT or the parent responses in the rating scales. It should be noted that there was only one performance-based test used (K-CPT). Further, the performance-based measures and the scales compared ADHD and typically developing children; we did not include other common disorders in the preschool population, such as ODD and anxiety disorders, and did not compare ADHD with these other disorders. The narrow age range also limits the generalization of findings to the youngest preschoolers. Because only parent ratings were included in this study, our findings may not apply to the use of the BRIEF-P and CPRS-R/S by teachers. Due to the small sample size, the number of significant correlations may also be somewhat reduced. On the other hand, although the scores analyzed were normally distributed, extreme values could have distorted the correlation coefficients. We tried to determine the effects of extreme values by using scatterplots in each correlation analysis. We did not detect such extreme values; however, the effect of even minor extreme values increases with a smaller sample size.

In spite of its limitations, the current study is one of the few to investigate the features of preschool ADHD with the use of
behavioral ratings of EF and a performance-based measure. Despite the relatively small sample size, the ES values were consistently large, with highly significant results. Our findings support the use of the BRIEF-P in the identification and description of EF difficulties in preschool ADHD. The comparison of ratings within the five clinical scales of the BRIEF-P proved useful in distinguishing ADHD from typically developing controls. ADHD-related difficulties were identified primarily in I and WM, suggesting that deficiencies within these two EF domains contribute to the development of ADHD. These relations should be further addressed in follow-up studies in children first assessed as younger preschoolers, in additional diagnostic groups, and in larger samples, along with multiple performance-based tests of EF. The identification of deviations within specific areas of everyday EF at an early point in development may be useful in planning targeted interventions for use in young children with ADHD.
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