Abstract: Information gathering is a lifelong process of human being and the learning takes place from womb to tomb. Learners acquire, recognize, map the gathered information to knowledge and use it in day to day life. Advancement in ICT and the utilization of ICT in teaching and learning process has contributed an exponential growth. An eLearning solution has almost reached maturity, where the teaching and learning community have the proper digital infrastructure, smart phones, tablet computers and the best software platform. An innovation in teaching and learning sector has become an integral part and is mandatory. Hence the challenge is to suggest quality and appropriate learning materials to the learners. The research aims to categorize the learner according to their learning ability and to find the learning path to facilitate the learner to have appropriate and quality learning objects with the help of machine learning techniques. The focus of this work is to come up with a system architecture which predicts and adapts the learner style, find the learning path and to provide the suitable learning objects in eLearning environment based on their preferences. Personalization will assist learner to improve their learning performance.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The need for education is need of hour in all sectors. We can find number of definitions for Learning, the most suitable definition states that it is a “process of acquiring new, or modifying and reinforcing, existing knowledge, behaviors, skills, values, or preferences and may involve synthesizing different types of information” [1]. Massive Open Online Courses (MOOCs) or the Learning Managements System (LMSs) or the Technology Enhanced Learning or eLearning is the application of ICT for teaching and learning process [2]. The development, improvement, innovation in teaching and learning process is necessary. eLearning systems should provide an alternative and innovative of teaching and learning than the traditional class room teaching and learning. It should personalize the desire and the need for the different actors (Learner, Tutor and Teacher) in teaching and learning process. The efforts made by these system in designing the course and the learning object are still unsatisfied, the issue is to consider the individual characteristics of learner that is recommendation and personalization of learning objects have to be addressed. Cognitive style of a learner is referred by the way in which an individual process the information [4] which determines the learning style of a learner. The learning style of a learner is uniquely identified based on how a learner is getting and processing the information.

II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE AND RELATED WORK

A. Learning

Learning is a common word which we use in everyday life. Learning takes place in all aspects of our life. Learning can be defined in many ways, one such definition is “the process of acquiring new, or modifying existing, knowledge, behaviors, skills, values, or preferences is called learning”. [9]. Learning is also defined as “change in behaviour”, the change takes place though experience or practice. Learning improves the performance of an individual [10]. Learning is a step-by-step process in which an individual experiences changes in knowledge, behavior, the way of process information and the way act upon the situation.

B. Learning Styles

Learning style theories suggests that the way an individual think, process, expresses and remembers information. A recent finding (Howard-Jones) revealed that “over 90% of teachers agreed that individuals lean better when they receive information tailored to their preferred learning styles”.
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There are many research work has been carried out to predict the learner style or preferences [11-12]. Learning style represents differences in individuals’ learning [13]. There are multiple proven methods and tools are available to categorize the learner based on the learner differences. Kolb’s method [14], Felder-Silverman Learning Styles (FSLS) method [15], and Myers-Briggs’s method [16]. In this article the researcher is chosen VARK model given by Neil D. Fleming [16]. It is most suitable and worldwide accepted model and guide to learning style. The characteristics of VARK model learner are shown in the figure 1.

Fig. 1. Characteristics of Learner Based On VARK Model
Brusilovsky [27] presents the following approach for detecting learning model of a learner.

- **Collaborative Approach:** In collaborative technique the learners is expected to give precise feedback on the learning process, and the learning objects they have visited which could be used to create or redesign the existing teaching model. The generic approach is to use a questionnaire to classify the learning preference of a learner. [28].

- **Automated Approach:** The learning process and revision mechanism in the learning the model is performed automatically with the help of learner’s activities and the responses of learners while using the system in learning process. [28]. There are two methods used in automated approach. First method is data driven method which builds model using questionnaire (Index of Learning Style). The automated approach uses the learning behaviour of learner while using system and obtains the learning preference. The researcher is interested in automated approach.

C. eLearning
Learning conducted through electronic media and with the help internet is called eLearning. eLearning is enabled with the help of computer and network to transfer the skills and knowledge. eLearning is the way by which we use the software systems and technology in process of teaching and learning. eLearning system is also referred as web enabled learning, computer aided learning, online learning, virtual learning environment and virtual class rooms. eLearning content is presented in different formats like audio, video, text, images, animations etc. It makes use of the internet, intranet, and cloud to deliver the content. Today the educational institutions find that eLearning system create impact on the teaching and learning process, it is alternative and suitable system for them to enhance and support the traditional class room teaching and offer courses to a larger population of learners with any geographical barrier. The resources are available in different formats based on the learning preference like Web-based courseware, Discussion Forum, live Chat, video and audio streaming, Web chat, simulations, diagrams, charts and virtual mentoring [17]

D. Adaptive eLearning Systems
An adaptive eLearning system should satisfy the need and the expectations of the individual learners. Adaptive eLearning systems can be defined as the technology enabled techniques to provide suitable knowledge to all learners with the exact learning objects based on the expectations and the learning preferences. According to Stoyanov and Kirschner, Adaptive eLearning is “an interactive system that personalizes and adapts eLearning content, pedagogical models, and interactions between participants in the environment to meet the individual needs and preferences.” [18-19]. Study in [20] explains the different methods and adaptation techniques since 1992. Current research in eLearning is focused towards learning platforms where individual learner’s hope, inspiration, style and behaviour are satisfied [21]. The adaptive eLearning system aims to facilitate and support the learner with right learning path along with learning objects in order to maximize the learning performance and to have efficient learning experience.

E. Related Work
There are number of researchers have published articles on recommender system for LMS which indicates a growing interest in development and deployment of LMS with recommender system. [24] Proposed the evaluation methods on recommender systems. Results from the survey shows that there is a need for improvement in LMS in terms of personalization of learning objects for the learners. Personalized web content recommendation system in [22] provides an eLearning environment and encourage the learners to take active part in improve their education. The proposed system uses web mining technique to identify learner navigation patterns which can identify the web content frequently visited by the learner. This pattern can give an efficient, effective and personalized web contents. The researchers in the paper [23] brought a personalized recommendation technique by using the clustering method to identify similar learner. Here the learner gives rating on learning items and based on the rating the learners are clustered and the cluster centre is formed based on the resemblance between target learners; the nearest neighbours of target learner can be found and learners’ class is predicted.
Then, the propose idea uses the object clustering to make a suggestion for the personalization. In the paper [25], the authors introduced educational mining technique to predict students’ performance. The proposed system in [26] provides learning objects more beneficial to the learners. Here the personalization determined by the learning objects visited by other learners with similar profiles. It may improve the overall quality of learning by giving recommendation of learning objects but intentionally ignored individual learner’s uniqueness and their learning styles are ignored during the process of personalization.

III. Proposed System

The objective of this work is to use machine learning algorithm to classify the learners depending on their learning preference, to categorize them to a class of learning style and to suggest the suitable learning objects. The proposed system architecture is organized into three basic elements: Learner Model, Domain Model and the Learner Recommender System. These three elements interact with each other, classify the learners and find learning path so that the system can provide learner with the right Learning Objects (LO).

Fig 2 represents the functional components of the proposed system.

A. Learner Model

Learner profile is a unique characteristic which contributes for the success of learner in learning activities. The profile of a learner gives details about how the learner learns best. It is obtained by questionnaire or by analyzing the behaviour through a learning management system [29]. In our research, the log file of learner for a course is considered to build a learner profile. The collected data will be treated in an algorithm of classification to identify the learner preferences like Visual, Aural, Read / Write and Kinesthetic.

\[
X = \{ x \in [0,1], \quad x = (x_v , x_a , x_{rw}, x_k) \}
\]

Where

\( X \) is the probability of learning preference of \( i^{th} \) learning style.

\( x \) is vector of learning styles (\( v \) – Visual, \( a \) – Aural, \( rw \) – Read / Write and \( k \) – Kinesthetic).

The value for \( x \) is assigned by a numerical values 0 or 1, where 0 represents minimal satisfaction and 1 represents maximal satisfaction. The characteristics of learning styles are assigned with numerical by examining the log file of the learner, the number visit made by the learner to Learning Objects.

- If number of visit to \( LO1 \) (\( Y \)) > 3 then
- \( x = 1 \); otherwise
- \( x = 0 \).

Where \( Y = \{ v \) – Visual, \( a \) – Aural, \( rw \) – Read / Write and \( k \) – Kinesthetic \} of \( LO1 \).

B. Domain Model

The domain model consists of the required learning content for the course or curriculum. The course conceptualized like a tree structure staring from course, units / chapters, concepts and learning objects in the form of visual, aural, read / write and Kinesthetic. Fig 3 shows how the domain knowledge is organized in a tree structure.

Fig. 3. Learner Ontology Model

The learner ontology comprises the knowledge of a learner, and it consists of the following.

- Personal Profile: It is static information about the learner like Id, Name, and Course enrolled.
- Learning Preference: Personal preference of the learner is unique characteristic of a learner. This information is obtained from the log file of the learner which helps to classify the learner and to provide the personalized learning path with the right Learning Objects. In our model we have taken VARK model given by Neil to find the learner preference. It is one of most widely accepted model and guide to find the learning style of an individual learner [30].
Fig. 3. Organization of Domain Knowledge in Tree Structure

Course content model involves three layers, the first each course is divided into many chapters, and the chapters consist of a set of concepts. Each concept is mapped with different learning objects in the form of lecture notes, Audio Lesson, Video Lesson, Graph, Images, Animations, PDFs, and PPTs etc.

C. Learner Recommender System

The functional model is presented in the Fig 2. It has 3 parts, the first part deals with the classification of learner and the second and third parts deals with the personalization and adaptation. Classification module classifies learner based on their learning activities and the adaptation module adapts the learning path and suggest the learning objects to the learner. Personalization module finds the learning path and the learning object to the learner based on the learning style is suggested. Dynamically the learner model is revisited using the interaction with the learning portal and the data mining techniques is used to collect the learning activities of learner’s learner style is predicted.

- **Classification of Learner:** This module is uses machine learning technique to classify the learner based on the activity log file of the students. Fig. 4 shows the detailed schema which illustrates the proposed solution to classify the learner using machine learning technique.

Methodology in classification of learners

i. Learners learning activities for a course are captured with the help of log file.
ii. Number of times the LOs visited by the learner is identified.
iii. Training data set is created based on the criteria discussed in section 3.1. The system is trained with the training data set.
iv. Machine Learning Algorithm is used to classify the learner style.
v. The system is tested with the test data for the prediction of learners’ learning style.

For the implementation of classification algorithm, Fig 5 represents the various learning components accessed by learner at each chapter is captured and converted in CSV file format each row in the file consist of the value of LO, either 0 or 1, where 0 indicates least satisfaction and 1 indicates highest satisfaction.

Fig 4. Schema of learner classification based on machine learning technique.

- **Personalization and Adaptation Module:** In [31], the researchers have proposed a solution for personalization and adaptation technique using BFS algorithm to find learning path and to provide learning objects. The module in section 3.3.2 recommends the learner about the learning path and learning objects based on the learning styles / preferences.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The availability of open learning object is the key resource for the learners to have personalized learning experience in eLearning systems. The proposed system is tested with the test data of 1000 students with 9 attributes. They are Reg.No, Name, Gender, Visual, Auditory, ReadWrite, Kinesthetic, Total, Type (Type of Learner). The following Fig. 6 shows the summary of evaluation on test data.
Random forest algorithm is used to test proposed system for classification where 66% of data used for training data set and remaining data used to test the system. The summary of data set is given below

Table-1: Summary of data set

| Items                  | Total | %   | Observations                                                                 |
|------------------------|-------|-----|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Correctly Classified Instances | 1000  | 100%| Number of instances that are correctly classified in the training datasets. |
| Incorrectly Classified Instances | 0     | 0%  | Number of instances that are incorrectly classified in the training datasets. |
| Kappa statistic        | 1     |     | Kappa statistic compares the observed accuracy with expected accuracy. It varies from 0 to 1, where 1 is perfect. Here the classifier performs almost perfect classification. |
| Mean absolute error    | 0.0954|     | The error rate for forecasting accuracy                                        |
| Number of instances    | 1000  |     | Number of instance in the training dataset.                                    |

The details of accuracy of classes is shown in the Fig 7.

![Fig 7. Accuracy of classes](image)

The rate of true positive instances given under TP Rate Column (instances correctly classified as a given class)

The rate of false instances gives under FP Rate Column (instances correctly classified as a given class)

- Precision = \( \frac{\text{TP}}{\text{TP + FP}} \) of instances that are truly of a class / the total instances classified as that class
- Recall = \( \frac{\text{TP}}{\text{TP + FN}} \) of instances classified as a given class / the actual total in that class (which is = TP rate)
- F-Measure = \( 2 \times \frac{\text{Precision} \times \text{Recall}}{\text{Precision} + \text{Recall}} \) gives the test accuracy.

Confusion Matrix:

```plaintext
a b c d <-- classified as
234 0 0 0 | a = ReadWrite
0 323 0 0 | b = Visual
0 0 245 0 | c = Auditory
0 0 0 198 | d = Kinesthetic
```

Fig 8. Confusion Matrix

A confusion matrix describes the performance of a classification model (or “classifier”) on a set of test data for which the true values are known. It allows the visualization of the performance of an algorithm. The proposed algorithm is tested by supplying test data and it classifies the learner. A sample screen shop of the test result is shown below in the Fig 9. It classifies the learner as a visual learner based on the learner profile.

```plaintext
a b c d <-- classified as
0 0 0 0 | a = ReadWrite
0 1 0 0 | b = Visual
0 0 0 0 | c = Auditory
0 0 0 0 | d = Kinesthetic
```

Fig 9. Confusion Matrix for the tested result

V. CONCLUSION

eLearning environment plays a significant role in modern education system. Learning resources are available in different formats, providing personalized learning object is an important feature of recent LMS. It is a fact that the learner will have joyful learning experience only if the LMS meets their expectation, learning styles and provides the exact learning objects. Hence the research is an attempt to propose recommendations for LMS to provide a personalized learning object which takes the learner’s activities into account and classify them by using machine learning techniques. Also it is an attempt to provide the learner with personalized learning path which will recommend the learner to take up the desired learning objects. We have a plan to experiment our approach in real eLearning context on a large amount of learners’ data set to test the effectiveness of our proposed approach.
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