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Abstract
We present an alternative to Polyakov’s induced action for the noncritical string. Our Yang–Mills-like action is both local and invariant under coordinate transformations. It defines a teleparallel theory of gravity with interesting links to Hořava–Lifshitz and Einstein-aether theories. It is of Liouville type in the conformal gauge, while, remarkably, in the proper-time gauge, it gives an effective action familiar from the causal dynamical triangulation approach to 2D quantum gravity. In the latter gauge the effective action is especially interesting since its quantization is known to reduce to a quantum mechanical model.

PACS numbers: 11.25.Sq, 11.25.Pm, 04.60.Kz

1. The local effective action

As in the original computation \cite{1} due to Polyakov\textsuperscript{3}, we formally define the quantum theory of noncritical strings by a path integral over metrics modulo diffeomorphisms and a set of scalar fields which represent the target space coordinates

\[ Z = \int \mathcal{D}g_{\alpha\beta} \mathcal{D}X^i e^{-S_p(g_{\alpha\beta}, X^i)}, \]

where \( S_p \) is the Polyakov surface action with an additional bare cosmological term \( \mu_b \) on the world sheet\textsuperscript{4}

\[ S_p(g_{\alpha\beta}, X^i) = \frac{1}{2} \int d^2 \sqrt{g} \left( g^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha X^i \partial_\beta X^i + \mu_b \right). \]

After performing the path integral over the quantum fluctuations of the scalar fields, one obtains the standard formula for the induced action

\[ S_{\text{ind}} = \frac{d}{2} [\log \det \Delta] = \frac{d}{2} [\text{Tr} (\log \Delta)], \]

\textsuperscript{3} For a textbook treatment, see [2].
\textsuperscript{4} We consider a fixed topology of the worldsheet and therefore neglect the Einstein–Hilbert term of the action.
which we determine\(^5\), as usual, by deriving a differential equation for it
\[
\delta S_{\text{ind}} = - \frac{d}{2} \int_{\epsilon}^{\infty} ds \int d^2 z \sqrt{\tilde{g}} \delta \Delta (z) e^{-i \Delta} |z|.
\] (4)

At this point we differ slightly from the standard presentation; see for instance \cite{3}. Instead of considering a Weyl variation of the metric, we consider a variation of the square root of the determinant of the metric. The Laplacian can be written as follows:
\[
\Delta = - \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \partial_{\alpha} (\tilde{g}^{\alpha \beta} \partial_{\beta}),
\] (5)

where we have introduced the decomposition \( g_{\alpha \beta} = \sqrt{g} \tilde{g}^\alpha_\beta = \sqrt{\tilde{g}} \tilde{g}_{\alpha \beta} \). The object \( \tilde{g}_{\alpha \beta} \) is a metric density of weight \(-1\) with unit determinant. It transforms under coordinate transformations as follows:
\[
\tilde{g}_{\alpha \beta} = \left| \frac{\partial x'_{\gamma}}{\partial x_{\alpha}} \right|^{-1} \frac{\partial x'_{\alpha}}{\partial x^\gamma} \frac{\partial x'_{\beta}}{\partial x^\delta} \tilde{g}^{\alpha \delta}. \] (6)

This transformation law is better known in its infinitesimal form where it defines the 'conformal Killing operator' \( \delta_v \tilde{g}_{\alpha \beta} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} (\mathcal{P} v)_{\alpha \beta} \),
\[
\frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} (\mathcal{P} v)_{\alpha \beta} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \left( \nabla_\alpha v_\beta + \nabla_\beta v_\alpha - g_{\alpha \beta} \nabla_\gamma v_\gamma \right).
\] (7)

The determinant of this operator is related to the ghost sector of the gravitational part of the path integral; in this paper, however, we restrict our attention to the matter sector. We choose arbitrary fluctuations which only affect the determinant of the metric and therefore preserve the metric density \( \tilde{g}_{\alpha \beta} \). The variations in \( \tilde{g}_{\alpha \beta} \) are not necessary to obtain the induced action; the conformal variations and covariance arguments turn out to be sufficient. As in the case of Weyl fluctuations, the variation of the Laplacian is then proportional to itself
\[
\delta \Delta = (\delta \sqrt{\tilde{g}}) \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial \sqrt{\tilde{g}}} = - \frac{\delta \sqrt{\tilde{g}}}{\sqrt{\tilde{g}}} \Delta.
\] (8)

Using (8), the definition for Green’s function and its differential equation
\[
G(z, z'; s) = \langle z | e^{-i \Delta} | z' \rangle,
\] (9)

\[
(\partial_t + \Delta) G = 0,
\] (10)

and its expansion for infinitesimal \( s \), see \cite{3}, and inserting in (4), one obtains
\[
\delta S_{\text{ind}} = - \frac{d}{2} \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \left[ \int d^2 z \sqrt{\tilde{g}} \left( \frac{1}{4 \pi \epsilon} + \frac{1}{24 \pi} R \right) \right].
\] (11)

Contact with Liouville theory is made by identifying the logarithm of the square root of the metric determinant with the Liouville field
\[
\phi(x) = \log \sqrt{\tilde{g}}.
\] (12)

From this identification it should be clear that in our conventions the Liouville field is not a scalar field but transforms additively under general coordinate transformations with the corresponding Jacobian determinant
\[
\phi(x') = \phi(x) - \log \left| \frac{\partial x'^\alpha}{\partial x^\beta} \right|,
\] (13)

\(^5\) We gloss over issues with zero modes and divergences which can be absorbed into Newton’s constant to keep the main point of our discussion as clear as possible.
which implies that its exponential is a scalar density of weight 1. Using (12) we write the variational equation for the induced action (11) as a differential equation in terms of the Liouville field

$$\delta S_m = -\frac{d}{48\pi} \int d^2 z \delta \phi \tilde{R} - \partial_\alpha (\tilde{g}^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\beta \phi) + \mu e^\phi,$$

where $\tilde{R}$ is the scalar curvature of $\tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta}$ and $\mu = 6/\varepsilon$. Integrating the above with respect to $\phi$, we obtain an action which is given by

$$S_m = -\frac{d}{48\pi} \left( S_L + \int d^2 z f(\tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta}) \right),$$

where $S_L$ is a Liouville-type action

$$S_L = \int d^2 z \left( \frac{1}{2} \tilde{g}^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha \phi \partial_\beta \phi + \phi \partial_\alpha (\tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta} \partial_\beta \phi) + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha \phi \partial_\beta \phi + \mu e^\phi \right).$$

Note that the second term in (15) can be seen as a consequence of the fact that one only considers variations with respect to $\sqrt{g}$ in (11). We emphasize that the effective action (15), (16) is derived without fixing a gauge. However, neither the Liouville field nor $\tilde{R}$ is scalar, and $\tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta}$ is not a tensor; therefore, the Liouville action (16) is not invariant under coordinate transformations. We thus need a specific term $f(\tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta})$ in (15) to obtain a reparametrization invariant effective action.

So far the results are relatively standard but from this point onward we differ in a pivotal way from the conventional procedure to obtain a covariant induced action. We determine the action by making the following local and reparametrization invariant ansatz:

$$S_m = -\frac{d}{48\pi} \int d^2 z \left( \frac{1}{2} \tilde{g}^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha \phi \partial_\beta \phi + \phi \partial_\alpha (\tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta} \partial_\beta \phi) + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha \phi \partial_\beta \phi + \mu e^\phi \right).$$

By partial integration we obtain

$$S_m = -\frac{d}{48\pi} \int d^2 z \left( \frac{1}{2} \tilde{g}^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha \phi \partial_\beta \phi + \phi \partial_\alpha (\tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta} \partial_\beta \phi) + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha \phi \partial_\beta \phi + \mu e^\phi \right).$$

If we compare to (15) and (16), one obtains the following two equations for the conformal connection $\partial_\alpha \phi$ and the function $f(\tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta})$.

$$\partial_\alpha (\tilde{g}^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\beta \phi) = \tilde{R},$$

$$f(\tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta}) = \tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha \phi \partial_\beta \phi.$$
where the epsilon tensor $\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}$ and the epsilon symbol $\tilde{\epsilon}_{\alpha\beta}$ are related via $\epsilon_{\alpha\beta} = \sqrt{g} \tilde{\epsilon}_{\alpha\beta}$.

Combining (20), (22) and (23) gives

$$\tilde{\omega}_\alpha = 2\epsilon^\beta_\alpha \omega_\beta + \partial_\alpha \phi;$$

hence, the conformal covariant derivative of the Liouville field is simply

$$\tilde{D}_\alpha \phi = -2\epsilon^\beta_\alpha \omega_\beta.$$  \hspace{1cm} (25)

Inserting this into (17) gives

$$S_m = -\frac{d}{48\pi} \int d^2 z \sqrt{g} \left( 2g^{\alpha\beta} \epsilon^\gamma_\alpha \epsilon^\delta_\beta \omega_\gamma \omega_\delta + \mu \right).$$ \hspace{1cm} (26)

In terms of the fundamental fields, the vielbeins, this yields a remarkably simple form for the matter induced action which is local and manifestly invariant under coordinate transformations

$$S_m = -\frac{d}{48\pi} S_F,$$ \hspace{1cm} (27)

where $S_F$ is the Yang–Mills-like action

$$S_F = \int d^2 z \sqrt{g} \left( F_{\alpha\beta} F^{\alpha\beta} + \mu \right),$$ \hspace{1cm} (28)

and

$$F_{\alpha\beta} F^{\alpha\beta} = \delta_{ab} g^{\alpha\beta} g^{\gamma\delta} \partial_\alpha e^a_\gamma \partial_\beta e^b_\delta.$$ \hspace{1cm} (29)

As a check one can indeed see that the trace of the energy momentum tensor of (27) gives

$$T^{\beta}_\beta = -\frac{\pi}{\epsilon} \epsilon^\beta_\beta \frac{\delta S_m}{\delta e^\beta_\beta} = d \frac{d}{12} \left( R + \frac{1}{2} \mu \right) = 0.$$ \hspace{1cm} (30)

This is the expected result that our action represents an integrated form of the trace anomaly. Note that the theory defined by (27) should not be regarded as a gauge theory for local Lorentz transformations since the action breaks this symmetry. The action is however invariant under transformations of the following form:

$$e^a_\mu \rightarrow e^a_\mu + \partial_\mu \lambda^a$$ \hspace{1cm} (31)

which are sometimes interpreted as local translations. In general, theories that preserve this symmetry but violate local Lorentz invariance are called teleparallel theories for gravity [6].

In this context the vielbeins can be thought of as gauge fields for the group of local translations and $F_{\alpha\beta}$ are the corresponding translational field strengths. General relativity is a special example of a teleparallel theory which is invariant both under local translations and local Lorentz transformations. Our effective action however (28) is an example of a teleparallel theory which excites also the local rotational degrees of freedom of the gravitational field. These degrees of freedom are precisely the contributions which are contained in the vielbeins but not in the metric.

The action (28) should be contrasted with the non-local induced action introduced by Polyakov [1, 7]. If we suppress the contribution to the cosmological constant it is given by

$$S_m^{\text{pol}} = -\frac{d}{48\pi} \int d^2 z d^2 z' \sqrt{g} \sqrt{\tilde{g}} \left( \frac{1}{2} R(z) \frac{1}{\Delta(z')} \right).$$ \hspace{1cm} (32)
2. Fixing the gauge

In the conformal gauge \( \tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta} \to \delta_{\alpha\beta} \), our covariant effective action (28) reduces to a Liouville action, which is of course not surprising since its decomposition in conformal variables (17) and (19) is also of Liouville type

\[
S_{\text{cfg}} = -\frac{d}{48\pi} \int d^2z \left( \frac{1}{2} \delta_{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha \phi \partial_\beta \phi + \mu \epsilon \phi \right).
\]

(33)

From Polyakov’s computation of the gravitational part of the path integral measure [1], which corresponds to the determinant of the \( P \) operator (7), we know that the effective action obtains an additional contribution such that

\[
S_{\text{cfg}}^{\text{tot}} = \frac{26 - d}{48\pi} \int d^2z \left( \frac{1}{2} \delta_{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha \phi \partial_\beta \phi + \mu_{\text{tot}} \epsilon \phi \right).
\]

(34)

where we introduced the renormalized cosmological constant \( \mu_{\text{tot}} \). Since (33) is just the conformally gauge fixed version of (28), we propose that the total covariant, non-gauge fixed action corresponding to (34) is

\[
S_{\text{tot}} = \frac{26 - d}{48\pi} \tilde{S}_F,
\]

(35)

where \( \tilde{S}_F \) is given by (28). Although the covariant form (35) is likely to be true, a gauge independent computation of the determinant in the ghost sector will be performed in a forthcoming publication.

In the synchronous or proper-time gauge, given by

\[
g_{\alpha\beta} \to \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & l(t, x)^2 \end{pmatrix},
\]

(36)

the action (28) reduces to an effective action known from causal dynamical triangulation (CDT) [8, 9] models

\[
S_{\text{pg}}^{\text{tot}} = \frac{26 - d}{48\pi} \int d^2z \left( 2 \frac{\dot{l}^2}{l} + \mu_{\text{tot}} l \right).
\]

(37)

See also [10, 11] for a discussion on relations between quantum gravity in the proper-time gauge and Euclidean quantum gravity, i.e. dynamical triangulation (DT).

The CDT methods have so far not been detailed enough to determine the pre-factor in the effective action, the reason being an absence of analytical results regarding matter coupled CDTs. This paper represents to the best of our knowledge the first analytical derivation of matter coupled to two-dimensional quantum gravity that is compatible with the results of CDT. The action (37) still has an infinite-dimensional symmetry left

\[
l(x, t) = \left( \frac{dx}{dx'} \right)^{-1} l(x', t).
\]

(38)

We can therefore fix the gauge such that the length variable becomes \( x \) independent \( l(x, t) \to L(t) \), where we have absorbed the spatial volume\(^7\). This fits with the fact that approximating Liouville field theory by Liouville mechanics, i.e. where the Liouville mode does not depend on \( x \), yields exact results.

\(^7\) For a more detailed discussion of two-dimensional induced gravity in the proper-time gauge, we refer to [12] and [9].
Using the $x$ independence of the length variable in (37), we anticipate that the total path integral over $d$ scalar fields and two-dimensional metrics modulo diffeomorphisms (1) reduces to the following quantum mechanical path integral:

$$Z = \int \mathcal{D}L \exp \left[ -\frac{26 - d}{48\pi} \int dt \left( \frac{2L^2}{L'} + \mu_{\text{tot}} L \right) \right],$$

(39)

which is compatible with CDT. The quantum mechanical nature is especially clear if we do a variable transformation $x^2(t) = \frac{26 - d}{3\pi} L(t)$ and $\omega^2 = \frac{1}{16}\mu_{\text{tot}}$,

$$Z = \int \mathcal{D}x \exp \left[ -\int dt \left( \frac{1}{2} \dot{x}^2 + \omega^2 x^2 \right) \right],$$

(40)

which is nothing but the quantum mechanical path integral for the harmonic oscillator.

While the proper-time gauge fixing is in perfect agreement with CDT, it seems at odds with quantum Liouville theory and standard matrix model computations for Euclidean DTs. This difference has not been completely understood but much progress has been made in the string field theory and matrix model approaches to CDT [15, 16]. We hope that the current paper will help to clarify the relation even further. So far it has been found that the addition of a coupling constant to suppress so-called baby universes allows one to interpolate between the causal and Euclidean dynamical triangulation phases [15, 16].

A similar transition has been observed in the context of four-dimensional computer simulations [17]. The authors incorporate a type of curvature weights to the Euclidean dynamical triangulation model and see both DT- and CDT-like phases.

There is moreover some reason to believe that the quantum mechanical results of the proper-time gauge calculations might actually be compatible with the ‘wrong branch’ of quantum Liouville theory [16].

3. Discussion and outlook

In this paper we have presented a simple Yang–Mills-like action for the integrated Weyl anomaly (28). Unlike Polyakov’s induced action (32), it is local and therefore convenient to work with, especially when comparing different gauges. Although local, our proposed action is not invariant under local Lorentz transformations. We have thus traded non-locality for non-invariance under local rotations of the gravitational frame vectors (the vielbeins). This implies that the conformal anomaly excites the local rotational degrees of freedom of the gravitational potentials $e_{\alpha}^a$.

With hindsight such a breaking is not too surprising, since it also occurs in Hořava–Lifshitz gravity, and at least in four dimensions intimate connections between CDT and Hořava gravity are known [18]. For a discussion on two-dimensional Hořava–Lifshitz gravity, Einstein-aether theory and their equivalence, see [19]. Our action (28) is quite similar to the one in [19], especially in the Einstein-aether form. The difference is however that our action does not single out a preferred direction in the sense of a distinguished vector field; the two covectors contained in the frame field $e_0^a, e_1^a$ are treated democratically.

An obvious question that arises from our work is whether there exists a local induced action in four dimensions too. We are currently studying this and the possible connection with the computer simulations of four-dimensional CDT [18].

Additionally we wish to develop our ideas into a full-fledged covariant formulation of noncritical string theory. This is especially interesting since results from CDT indicate that

---

8 There will however be subtleties in the measure, in fact in standard quantum Liouville theory the central charge barrier arises from subtleties in the measure of the Liouville field [13, 14]. The explicit computation of the full path integral and a careful discussion of the measure will be presented in a forthcoming paper.
there might be a phase of two-dimensional quantum gravity which does not see the central charge barrier of standard quantum Liouville theory; see for instance [20].
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Appendix. The Einstein–Hilbert action in two dimensions is a total derivative

In two dimensions one has the special situation that the group of local rotations is Abelian. This can be seen from the fact that only in two dimensions, the generators of the rotation group satisfy the following identity:

\[(M^{ab})_{cd} = (\delta^a_c \delta^b_d - \delta^a_d \delta^b_c) = \epsilon_{cd} \epsilon^{ab}. \tag{A.1}\]

So one is left with a single generator \(\epsilon^{ab}\) which commutes with itself. This implies that the spin connection can be written as

\[\omega^{ab}_\beta = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ab} \epsilon^{\alpha \beta}, \quad \omega^{\alpha \beta} = \omega^{\beta \alpha} \epsilon_{cd}. \tag{A.2}\]

It furthermore has the consequence that the Einstein–Hilbert Lagrangian density in two dimensions can be written as a total derivative

\[\sqrt{g} R = \epsilon^{\alpha \beta} \epsilon^{\gamma \delta} R_{\alpha \beta \gamma \delta} = \epsilon^{\alpha \beta} \epsilon_{ab} R_{\alpha \beta}^{ab} = 2 \partial_\alpha (\epsilon^{\alpha \beta} \omega^\beta), \tag{A.3}\]

where we have used the fact that \(\epsilon_{\alpha \beta} \omega^\alpha a \omega^b c = \epsilon_{ab} \delta^{\alpha \beta} a \omega^\alpha \omega^\beta = 0\). The explicit form of the spin connection is found by solving the zero torsion constraint

\[\partial_a (\epsilon_{\alpha \beta}^{\alpha \beta}) + \epsilon_{\alpha \beta} \omega^a \epsilon^{a \beta}_b = 0, \tag{A.4}\]

which gives

\[\omega^a_a = -\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\gamma \delta} \epsilon_{cd} \partial_\gamma (\epsilon^a_{\delta \beta}). \tag{A.5}\]
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