Eight soybean reference genome resources from varying latitudes and agronomic traits
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Comparative analysis of multiple reference genomes representing diverse genetic backgrounds is critical for understanding the role of key alleles important in domestication and genetic breeding of important crops such as soybean. To enrich the genetic resources for soybean, we describe the generation, technical assessment, and preliminary genomic variation analysis of eight de novo reference-grade soybean genome assemblies from wild and cultivated accessions. These resources represent soybeans cultured at different latitudes and exhibiting different agronomical traits. Of these eight soybeans, five are from new accessions that have not been sequenced before. We demonstrate the usage of these genomes to identify small and large genomic variations affecting known genes as well as screening for genic PAV regions for identifying candidates for further functional studies.

Background & Summary
Soybean is an important crop that is responsible for about 50% of the world's oilseed production (www.fao.org), and a source of high-quality protein for animal feeds. Cultivation of soybean has experienced specific selections for the last 4,000 years, yielding over 45,000 Glycine max (G. max) accessions1. The construction and subsequent targeted improvement of the reference genome assembly for G. max Williams 82 (W82), has greatly enhanced genome contiguity and has in turn promoted research on soybean2,3. However, recent genomic advances in many plant and animal species have shown that a single reference genome is insufficient to capture and represent the variations that exist within the population of the corresponding species4–20. Such is also true in soybeans. Resequencing and de novo assembly of wild and cultivated soybean accessions identified hundreds of genic presence-absence variations (PAVs) or SVs affecting agronomic genes compared to W82 genome7,21–26. The recent construction of the soybean pan-genome also discovered over 120 K non-redundant SVs27. Thus, we endeavor to enhance the genomic resources available for furthering soybean functional research and breeding by constructing reference-grade genome assemblies from cultivars found in different latitudes and exhibiting different agronomic traits. In this data descriptor, we report the sequencing, genome assembly, annotation, and genomic variation resources generated for the assembly of 8 reference-grade soybean genomes.

Methods
Sample selection, collection, and nucleic acid extraction. Eight soybean accessions were selected for this project (Table 1). Aside from IGA1003, which is a wild soybean, the remaining seven were cultivated soybeans. These eight soybeans showed different phenotypic traits including flowering color, pubescence color, maturity time, seed shape, and hundred-grain weight (Fig. 1a, Supplementary Table 1). The eight soybeans were typically grown in different latitudes with IGA1007 and IGA1005 typically grown in southern China (around 22°N) and Huanghuai region (around 31°N), China, respectively (Fig. 1b). In terms of traits, we have included a high salinity tolerant accession (IGA1001) and a high yielding accession (IGA1004). We do note that IGA1003, IGA1005, and IGA1008 were sequenced and assembled previously. IGA1003 was sequenced and assembled
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using only Illumina sequencing data\(^7\). IGA1005\(^{38}\) and IGA1008\(^3\) had published genome assemblies but we have included these materials allowing for assessing genomic variations within a particular accession.

Seeds from each accession were grown in the greenhouse at Northeast Institute of Geography and Agroecology. Five seeds were planted with 14 hours of light and temperature controlled at 28 \(^\circ\)C during the day time and 20 \(^\circ\)C during the night time. Soil composition was 8:5:3 ratio of peat:vermiculite:perlite mixed with 5 g of phosphate. Plants were grown until the V2 growth phase and the leaves were harvested from the top.

Genomic DNA was extracted from 1 g of leaf tissue harvested from V2 growth period using CTAB method. Quality of the genomic DNA was assessed using the Qubit Fluorometric system (ThermoFisher) and gel electrophoresis system. Total RNA was isolated from root, stem and leaf separately using TRIzol. Quality of the RNA was assessed using Qubit Fluorometric system and Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent). A quality of RIN >7 was considered high quality RNA.

**DNA sequencing library construction and sequencing.** DNA fragments >20 kb was selected for PacBio library preparation using BluePippin (SAGE). PacBio library was prepared using SMRTbell Template Prep Kit-SV3 following manufacturer’s recommendations (Pacific Biosciences). The library QC was performed using Qubit and Agilent 2100. The final library was sequenced on the Pacific Biosciences Sequel system. For Illumina sequencing library construction, the genomic DNA was fragmented to 300–500 bp using Covaris M220 (Covaris). Illumina library was prepared using NEBNext Ultra II DNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina following manufacturer's recommendations (NEB) and sequenced on the Illumina system with PE150 format. We generated 4–6.74 million PacBio reads\(^{39–36}\) with average subread length N50 of 14Kb and 296–368 million Illumina short reads (Table 2)\(^{37–44}\). The estimated sequencing depth based on soybean genome size was 50X Illumina short reads and 50X of PacBio long reads.

**Table 1.** Selected 8 soybean accessions. Abbreviations: ICS: Institute of Crop Sciences; CAAS: Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences; CAS: Chinese Academy of Sciences.

| Accession ID | Accession Name | Seed source                                      |
|--------------|----------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| IGA1001      | Wenfeng 7      | National Soybean Preservation Center, ICS, CAAS  |
| IGA1002      | Hefeng 25      | Jiamusi Branch of Heilongjiang Academy of Agricultural Sciences |
| IGA1003      | GaojiaF        | National Wild Soybean Preservation Center, ICS, CAAS |
| IGA1004      | Zhonghuang 35  | National Soybean Improvement Sub-Center, ICS, CAAS |
| IGA1005      | Zhonghuang 13  | National Soybean Improvement Sub-Center, ICS, CAAS |
| IGA1006      | Jingyuan       | National Soybean Preservation Center, ICS, CAAS  |
| IGA1007      | Huaxia 3       | South China Agricultural University              |
| IGA1008      | Williams 82    | Northeast Institute of Geography and Agroecology, CAS |

**Fig. 1** Phenotypic variation and geographical distribution of the 8 soybeans. (a) 8 soybean plants after growing 35 days showing differences in growth, leaf shape, stem thickness, and seed color and size; (b) Geographical distribution of the 8 soybeans.
Table 2. Sequencing output of each library type for the 8 soybean accessions.

| Accession ID | PacBio raw data (G) | PacBio number of subreads (M) | Illumina DNA raw data (G) | Illumina RNA raw data (G) | Hi-C library raw data (G) |
|--------------|---------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| IGA1001      | 48.51               | 6.74                         | 12.52                    | 43.2                     | 11.37                    | 49.80                    |
| IGA1002      | 51.14               | 6.37                         | 12.68                    | 53.6                     | 10.44                    | 57.81                    |
| IGA1003      | 51.97               | 6.54                         | 12.27                    | 52.3                     | 11.54                    | 46.43                    |
| IGA1004      | 48.03               | 5.50                         | 12.53                    | 47.8                     | 13.72                    | 54.67                    |
| IGA1005      | 50.10               | 4.50                         | 16.56                    | 57.6                     | 14.62                    | 57.14                    |
| IGA1006      | 58.04               | 6.13                         | 13.84                    | 48.9                     | 12.91                    | 51.05                    |
| IGA1007      | 49.69               | 4.01                         | 18.56                    | 51.6                     | 11.88                    | 52.82                    |
| IGA1008      | 53.64               | 6.74                         | 12.47                    | 46.8                     | 12.77                    | 54.45                    |

Table 3. Genome assembly, BUSCO evaluation and remapping assessment of the 8 soybean accessions. Abbreviations: Het: Heterozygous; Hom: Homozygous.

| Accession | Length (Mb) | Number of contigs | Contig N50 (Mb) | Number of Scaffolds | Scaffold N50 (Mb) | Number of Gaps | BUSCO % | Het SNPs (%) | Hom SNPs (%) | NCBI Accession |
|-----------|-------------|-------------------|-----------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------|---------|--------------|--------------|----------------|
| IGA1001   | 996         | 2623              | 1.74            | 334                 | 50.7             | 2289          | 96.9    | 0.0055       | 0.0005       | WIWY00000000  |
| IGA1002   | 987         | 1968              | 2.92            | 249                 | 49.51            | 1719          | 97      | 0.0051       | 0.0003       | WIWY00000000  |
| IGA1003   | 975         | 2406              | 1.55            | 320                 | 48.77            | 2086          | 97.2    | 0.0088       | 0.0005       | WIWY00000000  |
| IGA1004   | 1001        | 3382              | 1.41            | 460                 | 50.49            | 2922          | 97      | 0.0023       | 0.0005       | WIWY00000000  |
| IGA1005   | 988         | 1169              | 4.65            | 181                 | 50.28            | 988           | 97.1    | 0.0037       | 0.0002       | WIWY00000000  |
| IGA1006   | 995         | 1420              | 4.27            | 332                 | 50.57            | 1088          | 96.7    | 0.004        | 0.0003       | WIWY00000000  |
| IGA1007   | 986         | 1038              | 6.16            | 208                 | 50.07            | 830           | 96.7    | 0.0039       | 0.0002       | WIWY00000000  |
| IGA1008   | 993         | 2339              | 1.88            | 397                 | 49.8             | 1942          | 97.3    | 0.0061       | 0.0005       | WIWY00000000  |

RNA sequencing library construction and sequencing. RNA from each tissue was pooled in equal molar to produce a mixed RNA sample. mRNA was enriched using Poly(A) mRNA Magnetic Isolation kit (NEB) followed by RNA-seq library construction using NEBNext Ultra II RNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina (NEB) following manufacturer’s recommendations. Sequencing was performed on the Illumina system with PE150 format to generate 10.43–14.61 Gb of data (Table 2)45–52.

Hi-C sample preparation, library construction and sequencing. About 1.5 g of young leaves were used for Hi-C library construction as described in previous reports with some modifications3. Briefly, leaf samples were cross-linked with 3% formaldehyde for 45 minutes in vacuum at 4 °C and stopped using 0.4 M glycine. Leaf pellets were then pulverized in liquid nitrogen followed by resuspension in the nuclei isolation buffer (NIB). The cross-linked nuclei were treated with 0.3% SDS and neutralized with 3% Triton X-100. The resulting DNA was digested with MboI (NEB) overnight at 37 °C, and the reaction was stopped with heat inactivation at 65 °C. Restriction fragment ends were fixed with Klenow and labeled with biotinylated cytosine nucleotides using biotin-14-dCTP (TriLINK). Blunt-end ligation was carried out using T4 DNA ligase incubated at 16 °C overnight. After ligation, the cross-linking was reversed by proteinase K (Thermo) overnight at 65 °C. DNA purification was performed using DNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen) according to manufacturer’s instructions. Purified DNA was sheared to a length of ~400 bp using Covaris M220 (Covaris). Hi-C ligated junctions were captured by Dynabeads MyOne Streptavidin C1 (Thermofisher) according to manufacturer’s instructions. The Hi-C sequencing library was prepared using NEBNext Ultra II DNA library Prep Kit for Illumina (NEB) following manufacturer’s instructions. Fragments between 400 and 600 bp were sequenced on the Illumina platform with PE150 format to generate 46–57 G of data (Table 2)54–61.

De novo genome assembly. De novo assembly was performed with PacBio sequencing data using FALCON62 setting length_cutoff = 3000–13000 and length_cutoff_pr = 3000 and CANU63 setting correctedErrorRate = 0.039–0.04. We further improved the assembly by merging complementing contigs between FALCON and CANU using CANU assembly as the basis44. The final contigs were assembled into chromosomes with Hi-C data using LACHESIS v.c23474f65. The assembly was corrected with PacBio long reads using Arrow in SMRTLink 5.046 and Illumina short reads using Pilon v1.2267. The final genome assemblies ranged between 986.1 Mb and 1001.3 Mb with more than 98.7% of the genome anchored to 20 chromosomes. The contig N50 were between 46–57 G of data (Table 2)68–75.

Genome annotation. Repetitive elements were first annotated before other features. Tandem Repeat Finder v.4.0967 was used to annotate tandem repeats. LTR_FINDER77 was used to build a LTR-retrotransponson library and RepeatModeler v.1.0.10 (http://www.repeatmasker.org/RepeatModeler.html) was used to build a de novo repetitive element library. The above libraries and Repbase32 were used by RepeatMasker77 to annotate repetitive elements. About 50% of each genome was annotated with repetitive elements (Table 4), which is similar to published soybean genomes22,33,28. After repetitive sequences were masked, protein-coding gene annotation was completed using Augustus78, Brat79 and RepeatModeler v.1.0.10.
performed utilizing \textit{ab initio}, homology-, RNA-sequencing-, and Iso-seq-based methods. Augustus v.3.380 and Glimmer v.3.0.481 were used for \textit{ab initio} gene prediction. For homology-based annotation, protein sequences from \textit{Glycine max} Williams 82, \textit{Glycine soja}, \textit{Arabidopsis thaliana}, \textit{Arachis duranensis}, and \textit{Cajanus cajan} were obtained from NCBI and aligned to each of the 8 genomes using TBLASTN. Exonerate was used to build gene structure based on the Blast results. For RNA-seq based gene prediction, short reads were mapped to their respective genome using TopHat v2.1.1 and the gene structure was predicted using Cufflinks v2.2.1. For Iso-seq based gene prediction, reads were mapped to IGA1008 assembly using GMAP v2016-09-14 and TransDecoder v4.1.0 was used to filter for high quality gene models. Lastly, a consensus gene set was generated by integrating gene annotations from each source using MAKER.

Non-coding RNA (ncRNA) annotation was performed using INFERNAL based on Rfam v.14.1 models. tRNA was annotated using tRNAscan-SE v.1.3.1. rRNA annotation was performed using BLASTN with known soybean rRNA sequences.

**BUSCO evaluation of genome and annotations.** BUSCO (Benchmarking Universal Single-Copy Orthologs) evaluation was performed on the genome assembly and the gene annotations using BUSCO v.3.0.2 with embryophytaodb9 data set.

**Variation detection.** Whole genome alignment based variation detection was performed by aligning each genome to IGA1008 using MUMer with parameters \texttt{--mum -l 40 -c 90}. The MUMer alignment was filtered using delta-filter with parameters \texttt{--1}. SNVs, small Indels were called using show-snps with parameters \texttt{--rl THC}. Large SVs were called using custom scripts MumSV and TransDecoder v4.1.0 was used to filter for high quality gene models. Lastly, a consensus gene set was generated by integrating gene annotations from each source using MAKER. With this process, we identified 57,286 to 58,392 protein coding genes (Table 4).

Table 4. Genome annotation and BUSCO assessment of the 8 soybean accessions.

| Accession | IGA1001 | IGA1002 | IGA1003 | IGA1004 | IGA1005 | IGA1006 | IGA1007 | IGA1008 |
|-----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Protein coding genes | 57505 | 58102 | 57961 | 58150 | 57474 | 58392 | 57396 | 57286 |
| Complete BUSCO % | 95.3 | 95.1 | 96.9 | 95.4 | 95.2 | 93.4 | 95.5 | 95.9 |
| Non-coding genes | | | | | | | | |
| miRNA | 279 | 282 | 283 | 286 | 277 | 281 | 279 | 280 |
| tRNA | 1028 | 1163 | 1122 | 1028 | 1077 | 1100 | 1060 | 999 |
| rRNA | 246 | 383 | 233 | 139 | 336 | 315 | 313 | 202 |
| snRNA | 2645 | 2609 | 2643 | 2709 | 2612 | 2609 | 2605 | 2617 |
| Repetitive elements | | | | | | | | |
| LTR-Retro-transposons (%) | 39.74 | 39.2 | 38.78 | 39.39 | 39.84 | 40.05 | 39.55 | 39.6 |
| LINE (%) | 1.93 | 1.95 | 1.87 | 1.84 | 1.87 | 1.8 | 1.81 | 1.91 |
| SINE (%) | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 0.1 | 0.06 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.07 |
| DNA Transposons (%) | 7.11 | 7.24 | 7.01 | 7.37 | 7.03 | 7.02 | 7.17 | 7.3 |
| Satellites (%) | 0.12 | 0.11 | 0.35 | 0.1 | 0.11 | 0.32 | 0.11 | 0.43 |
| Simple repeats (%) | 1.23 | 1.2 | 0.67 | 0.98 | 1.03 | 1.51 | 0.92 | 1.01 |
| Total (%) | 50.2 | 49.75 | 48.76 | 49.78 | 49.94 | 50.74 | 49.6 | 50.32 |

Table 5. Genomic variation of 7 soybean accessions compared with IGA1008.

| Accession | IGA1001 | IGA1002 | IGA1003 | IGA1004 | IGA1005 | IGA1006 | IGA1007 |
|-----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| SNPs | | | | | | | |
| Total SNPs | 2429031 | 1860802 | 4275544 | 2321059 | 1938310 | 1970083 | 2121229 |
| Indels | | | | | | | |
| Total Indels | 563950 | 462627 | 922735 | 565841 | 478827 | 441705 | 495694 |
| Structural Variations | | | | | | | |
| Large SV indels | 14747 | 11750 | 25335 | 14083 | 11933 | 12340 | 12980 |
| Translocations | 1213 | 726 | 3006 | 706 | 950 | 885 |
| Inversions | 290 | 223 | 413 | 393 | 200 | 210 | 208 |
| PAVs | | | | | | | |
| PV events | 2004 | 1593 | 3575 | 1869 | 1659 | 1504 | 1780 |
| AV events | 1905 | 1515 | 3330 | 1851 | 1586 | 1469 | 1692 |
The sequencing data, genome assembly, and genome annotation of the 8 soybeans have been deposited in NCBI under the BioProject PRJNA561626. This includes whole genome sequencing data from the PacBio Sequel platform and from the Illumina platform; RNA sequencing data from the Illumina platform; Hi-C library sequencing data from the Illumina platform; and genome assembly sequences and annotations. The individual BioProject, BioSample, and SRA accession IDs are also listed in Supplementary Table 2. Gene annotations in GFF3 format, one-to-one gene correspondences between IGA1008 and W82_v4, and the coordinates of SNPs, Indels, and PAVs are available on Figshare with https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.c.5106161.v4. We have also detailed the resources available on Figshare in Table 6. The plant materials used in this study are available from the authors upon request.

| Title                                      | Description                                                                                                                                 |
|--------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Annotated peptide sequence for each soybean genome | Peptide sequence of each annotated coding gene for each genome                                                                          |
| Annotated CDS sequences for each soybean genome | Coding sequences of each annotated gene for each genome                                                                               |
| Gene annotations (GFF)                     | Gene annotation of each genome in GFF format                                                                                              |
| SNVs for each genome compared to IGA1008   | SNV location detected by whole genome MUMer alignment of each genome using IGA1008 as a reference.                                        |
| Indels between soybean genome assemblies and IGA1008 | Indel location detected by whole genome MUMer alignment of each genome using IGA1008 as a reference.                                     |
| PAVs of between soybean genomes compared with IGA1008 | PAV location detected by whole genome MUMer alignment of each genome using IGA1008 as a reference.                                      |
| Gene orthology correspondence              | Gene orthology correspondence between IGA1008 and Williams 82 v4                                                                        |

Table 6. Description of file hosted on the Figshare record (https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.c.5106161.v4) accompanying this paper.

Fig. 2 Genomic variations of 12 soybeans compared to IGA1008 genome. The rings are in the following order from outer-most to inner-most: IGA1008 chromosomes (navy blue), PAV regions with dark red representing PV and dark green representing AV, a set of blue histograms represent SNP density in 400Kb window, the set of orange histograms represent small indel density in 400Kb window, the set of green histograms represent the large indel sizes, the inner-most set of dark blue highlights represent inversions. Each set depicts the variation of 12 soybeans ordered from outside to inside: IGA1001, IGA1002, IGA1003, IGA1004, IGA1005, IGA1006, IGA1007, ZH13, W05, Lee, PI483463, W82_v4.

Data Records
The sequencing data, genome assembly, and genome annotation of the 8 soybeans have been deposited in NCBI under the BioProject PRJNA561626. This includes whole genome sequencing data from the PacBio Sequel platform and from the Illumina platform; RNA sequencing data from the Illumina platform; Hi-C library sequencing data from the Illumina platform; and genome assembly sequences and annotations. The individual BioProject, BioSample, and SRA accession IDs are also listed in Supplementary Table 2. Gene annotations in GFF3 format, one-to-one gene correspondences between IGA1008 and W82_v4, and the coordinates of SNPs, Indels, and PAVs are available on Figshare with https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.c.5106161.v4. We have also detailed the resources available on Figshare in Table 6. The plant materials used in this study are available from the authors upon request.
**Technical Validation**

**Genome assembly quality assessment.** The final assemblies of the 8 genomes ranged between 986.1 Mb and 1001.3 Mb with more than 98.7% of the genome anchored to 20 chromosomes (Table 3). The genome assembly size is similar to its respective k-mer estimation (Table 3) and also comparable to soybean genomes, such as W82_v4, ZH113, and W05, which were assembled using similar sequencing technologies. The contig N50 were between 1.4 Mb–6.1 Mb and the scaffold N50 were between 48.8 Mb–50.7Mb (Table 3). The number of gaps ranged between 830 and 2922 (Table 3). Each unspanned gap in the assembly was arbitrarily set with 500 bp of Ns. As a comparison, the current soybean reference Williams 82 version 4 genome has a genome size of 978 Mb with contig N50 of 0.41 Mb, scaffold N50 of 20.44 Mb, and 8920 gaps.

We further assessed the quality of our genome assemblies in a number of ways. First, the presence of centromeric repeats CentGm-1/2 were found in all 20 chromosomes for all genome assemblies. We assessed the completion and accuracy of the assemblies using BUSCO and re-mapping of Illumina short read data. We observed an average of 96.98% complete BUSCO alignment and an average 99.75% remapping rate. Next, a high accuracy genome assembly would expect a very low level of homozygous SNVs from the remapping analysis and we observed an average of 0.00037% homozygous SNVs and 0.0073% heterozygous SNVs indicating the low error rates in these assemblies (Table 3).

**Genome comparison with soybean reference genome Williams 82.** IGA1008 is a soybean cultivar derived from Williams 82. Between IGA1008 and the W82_v4 assemblies, we identified 0.38 million SNVs, 0.14 million small indels, 3,203 large indels (>100 bp), 255 translocation-like and 135 inversion-like events. These events do not border or cross assembly gaps and may represent genomic variations between the two W82 lines.

**Gene set completeness assessment.** The numbers of protein-coding genes annotated for these cultivars were highly similar, ranging between 57,286 and 58,392 genes. We used BUSCO to evaluate the completion of our gene annotation and found that over 96% of the 1440 genes were found completely (Table 4).

**Structural variation assessment.** IGV was used to visually inspect many structural variations. We also examined known structural variations for their presence in the 8 genomes and our data confirmed the 40 Kb Williams 82-specific insertion on Chromosome 15 (Supplementary Fig. 1), the I-locus inversion event (Supplementary Fig. 2) and the large deletion (~15Kb) affecting the E3 gene (Supplementary Fig. 3).

**Usage Notes**

**Identifying variations in known genes.** The resources generated in this dataset allows one to search for genomic variations in genes of interest. For instance, we were able to identify nonsense mutations in the E2 gene as well as frameshift indels in J and FT1b (Supplementary Fig. 3). These resources, such as the indel found in FT1b, has not been characterized before and provide candidate alleles for further soybean research.

**PAV gene screening.** As more soybean genomic resources become available, one can take a pan-genome approach to identify genomic variations that are unique or shared between different soybean accessions. As a demonstration, we included 5 additional soybean genomes (ZH113, W05, Lee, P483463, W82) to identify genomic variations that are shared in a subset of the genomes (Fig. 2). These 5 genomes were chosen for their assembly quality, which were improved using sequencing technologies with longer reads. We identified 60 genes that were found in 3 wild soybeans but missing or truncated in the other 10 cultivated soybeans and 185 genes found missing or truncated in all 3 wild soybeans (Supplementary Table 3). Analyses such as this could generate additional resources to further soybean research and crop improvement.

**Code availability**

The versions and parameters of published software used in this study were described in the Methods. MumSV is a set of custom scripts to call large SVs based on MUMer alignments and it can be accessed at [https://github.com/jeff-sc-chu/MumSV](https://github.com/jeff-sc-chu/MumSV).
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