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ABSTRACT

Asthma is a chronic inflammatory disease characterized by symptoms of cough, dyspnea, chest tightness, and wheeze. Inhaled corticosteroids (ICS) have been recommended as initial therapy in the treatment of persistent asthma in all guidelines, as they have been shown to reduce morbidity and mortality. However, high-dose regimens and long-term use of ICS may be associated with a variety of side effects, similar to those observed with systemic corticosteroid therapy. These side effects include impaired growth in children, osteoporosis, fractures, glaucoma, cataracts, and skin thinning. The current recommendations on ICS use in asthma management will be reviewed in this article with a view to highlight treatment strategies that strike an optimal balance between safety and efficacy.
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INTRODUCTION

Asthma is a chronic inflammatory disease of the airways characterized by varying degrees of bronchoconstriction and airway hyperresponsiveness leading to classic symptoms of airway obstruction that is often reversible.

The first asthma management guidelines were published in the mid 1980s in Australia and New Zealand. Since then, the Global Initiative for Asthma (GINA), the National Asthma Education and Prevention Program (NAEPP), the Canadian Thoracic Society (CTS), and the British Thoracic Society guidelines have become available for implementation and dissemination [1].

Current treatment of asthma is aimed at reducing the severity of symptoms day-to-day and minimizing future risks including severe exacerbations, hospitalizations, and death. Inhaled corticosteroids (ICS) are the mainstay of controller therapy and are the standard of care in long-term asthma treatment. ICS has been...
shown to decrease severe exacerbations [2], hospitalization [3], and death [4]. The therapeutic benefit from ICS is achieved at low doses yielding a relatively high benefit-to-risk ratio. However, fear of unwanted local and systemic side effects remain a concern in patients using ICS, especially with high-dose regimens and long-term use [5].

The risks associated with systemic exposure to ICS have been extensively studied, and include suppression of hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis, growth retardation in children, reduction in bone mineral density and osteoporosis, fractures, cataract formation, glaucoma, skin thinning, and easy bruising [6]. The objectives of this review article are to review current strategies on the role, safety, and efficacy of ICS usage in asthma control.

This article is based on previously conducted studies and does not involve any new studies of human or animal subjects performed by any of the authors.

PATHOPHYSIOLOGY OF ASTHMA

Asthma is a chronic multifactorial airway disorder that involves a complex interplay of chronic inflammation, bronchial hyperresponsiveness, and airflow obstruction. The interaction of these factors determines the clinical manifestation and severity of asthma and the response to treatment [7].

Airway Inflammation

Acute Inflammatory Response

In susceptible individuals, the inhaled allergen is trapped in the mucus lining of the airway and taken up by antigen-presenting cells, most notably dendritic cells. After antigen take up, the dendritic cells present the antigen to naive CD4+ T cells. This cell–cell interaction determines whether the naive CD4+ T cell will differentiate into T-helper type 1 (Th1) or T-helper type 2 (Th2) phenotype. In an atopic asthmatic, a predominate Th2 response is seen, favoring the development of allergic inflammation [8]. B cells, in the presence of interleukin (IL)-4 and IL-13, produce antigen-specific IgE that binds to high-affinity IgE receptors on mast cells causing degranulation and release of synthesized mediators that result in bronchoconstriction, airway edema, and local tissue damage [8].

Late Inflammatory Response

Chemo-attractants released from mast cells recruit eosinophils, basophils, neutrophils, and lymphocytes, which contribute to the late-phase inflammatory response. The eosinophils are the most important and abundant inflammatory cells associated with the late-phase response. IL-5 secreted by Th2 cells enhances eosinophil growth, maturation, and migration, leading to release of toxic granular proteins and additional cytokines and chemokines [9]. Eosinophil activity results in local tissue damage, mucus hypersecretion, increased vascular permeability, smooth muscle contraction, and a persistent inflammatory response, whereby other cell types are recruited to the site of inflammation to perpetuate the reaction [8].

Airway Hyperresponsiveness

Airway hyperresponsiveness is an exaggerated bronchoconstrictor response to stimuli. Factors such as inflammation, neurological dysregulation, and structural changes all influence airway hyperresponsiveness, but inflammation appears to be a major factor in determining the degree of airway hyperresponsiveness. It is well known that ICS therapy improves airway hyperresponsiveness in asthmatics [7].

Bronchoconstriction

Airflow limitation is the dominant physiological event leading to clinical symptoms in asthma. Allergen-induced bronchoconstriction results from IgE-dependent mast cell degranulation and release of mediators such as histamine, tryptase, leukotrienes, and prostaglandins [10]. Aspirin and other non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs cause mediator release and bronchoconstriction in some patients via a non-IgE-dependent response [11]. Other stimuli such as exercise, cold air, and irritants can also cause acute airflow obstruction.
in certain patients, although the exact mechanisms are not well understood [7].

**Airway Remodeling**

Chronic airway inflammation may lead to airway remodeling, which involves activation of structural cells that cause permanent changes in the airway and result in increased airflow obstruction and airway responsiveness and render the patient less responsive to therapy [12]. Features of airway remodeling include basement membrane thickening, subepithelial fibrosis, airway smooth muscle hypertrophy and hyperplasia, blood vessel proliferation and dilation, and mucous gland hyperplasia and hypersecretion [7]. On a molecular level, airway remodeling occurs from a complex interaction between epithelial, dendritic, eosinophils, T-lymphocytes, mast cells, and neutrophils [13]. These permanent structural changes result in irreversible airflow limitation, persistent disease, progressive loss of lung function, and limited therapeutic response [7].

**INHALED CORTICOSTEROID MECHANISM OF ACTION**

ICS molecules diffuse through the cell membrane of respiratory epithelial cells and other cells in the airway and bind to glucocorticoid receptors (GR) in the cytoplasm. The steroid-receptor complex then translocates into the nucleus and binds to glucocorticoid-response elements (GRE) in the promoter region of steroid-sensitive genes, which may encode anti-inflammatory proteins [14]. The overall effect is suppression of activated inflammatory genes and increased transcription of anti-inflammatory genes. Through suppression of airway inflammation, ICS reduce airway hyperresponsiveness and control asthma symptoms [7]. Suppression of gene transcription accounts for many of the side effects of corticosteroids. For instance, corticosteroids inhibit the expression of osteocalcin, which is involved in bone synthesis [15]. Inhaled β2-agonists and ICS are often used together in treating asthma. It is believed that ICS up-regulate membrane β2 receptors [16] while β2-agonists may indirectly influence the anti-inflammatory effects of ICS [17].

Smoking asthmatics and patients with severe asthma appear to be relatively corticosteroid-resistant, unlike patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) where steroid responsiveness is generally poor [14]. In these patient populations, there is a reduction in histone deacetylase-2 (HDAC2) activity and expression, which prevents corticosteroids from switching off activated inflammatory genes [18–20]. Activated GR normally recruits HDAC2, which reduces histone acetylation induced by proinflammatory transcription factors such as nuclear factor kappa B (NFkB), thereby switching off activated inflammatory genes. Oxidative stress generated from cigarette smoke and intense inflammation in severe asthma and COPD impairs the activity of HDAC2 and reduces the anti-inflammatory effect of corticosteroids [14].

**FACTORS INFLUENCING THE EFFICACY AND SAFETY OF ICS**

**Fate of ICS**

After inhalation, the majority of drug particles deposit directly in the oropharynx, central airways, or in alveoli, depending on the size of particles as well as the delivery devise used. Approximately 10–60% of the inhaled dose is deposited in the lungs [21–23]. Direct deposition of the ICS in the lungs allows targeted delivery and thus targeted therapeutic actions. The drug molecules in the lungs can enter the systemic circulation via pulmonary vasculature, leading to potential systemic side effects. The fraction of ICS (40–90%) deposited in the oropharynx can cause local oropharyngeal side effects. Patients are advised to rinse their mouths to remove these deposited particles, but the fraction that persists after rinsing may be swallowed and absorbed by the gastrointestinal tract. The liver inactivates a fraction of the absorbed drug in first-pass metabolism. The remaining drug that eventually reaches the
systemic circulation can potentially cause systemic side effects [21].

**Pharmacokinetics**

The goal of ICS therapy is to achieve high topical anti-inflammatory effect locally in the airway mucosa with minimal to no unwanted local and systemic effects [24]. The fraction of ICS that reaches the systemic circulation is absorbed from the airway, alveolar surface, and the gut after the oropharyngeal deposits are swallowed [14]. The systemic bioavailability depends on gastrointestinal bioavailability and the amount of ICS that enters the systemic circulation via lungs. Ideal features of an ICS that confer a high therapeutic index include a high affinity for and potency at the GR, high level of serum protein binding for the systemically absorbed fraction, prolonged retention in the lung, minimal to no oral bioavailability, and rapid and complete systemic inactivation (i.e., high first-pass hepatic inactivation) [25].

In general, the higher the GR binding affinity, the more potent the ICS is. However, high receptor binding affinity does not necessarily translate into increased clinical efficacy due to other pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic factors involved [5]. The available ICS are listed in Table 1 (adapted from [26, 27]) in order of potency. Lipophilicity (helps prolong pulmonary residency time), plasma protein binding and tissue distribution all follow the same trend. Budesonide and fluticasone propionate (FP) have high first-pass metabolism and low oral bioavailability that confer them high therapeutic indexes. The two newest ICS, mometasone furoate and ciclesonide (CIC) also have very low oral bioavailability, similar to that of FP [28, 29]. Beclomethasone dipropionate (BDP) and CIC are converted to their active metabolites, beclomethasone 17-monopropionate (BMP) and desisobutyryl ciclesonide (des-CIC), respectively, in the airway [27]. This lung-specific on-site activation provides targeted anti-inflammatory action within the lung and reduces risk of systemic side effects. Budesonide and CIC have been shown to undergo reversible fatty acid esterification in the airways, which significantly prolongs pulmonary residence time by providing a local depot in the lung for slow release of the active compound [30–32].

CIC is a new-generation ICS designed as a pro-soft drug to mitigate the side effects of ICS. It has many favorable pharmacokinetic properties such as low oral bioavailability, high plasma protein binding, rapid systemic clearance, high pulmonary deposition and distribution, and long pulmonary residence time. These advantageous properties reduce the occurrence of local and systemic side effects. Recent efficacy and safety studies support that CIC is effective in improving the lung functions with very low oropharyngeal and systemic side effects in comparison to other ICS [33]. In addition, its once-daily administration and high safety profile may improve patient compliance with ICS therapy [5].

Interestingly, there appears to be significant variability in response to ICS. Szefler et al. examined the benefit-to-risk ratio of BDP-metered-dosed inhaler (MDI) and FP-MDI in a 24-week, parallel, open-label, multicenter trial [34]. Significant variability in response to ICS for two important measures of efficacy—forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV1) and methacholine PC20 was reported. This variability in response is consistent with observations reported in many other studies.

**Delivery Devices**

Delivery devices and patient technique are primary determinants of the dose delivered to the lungs [7, 38]. Four delivery systems are available for ICS: MDI, dry-powder inhaler (DPI), Respinmat® Soft Mist™ Inhaler (SMI), and a nebulizer [25, 39]. The particle size generated by each system will affect drug deposition and thus the potential dose delivered to the lungs. MDI formulations are propelled by either hydrofluoroalkane (HFA) or chlorofluorocarbon, which has been phased out. MDI emit particles of various size, some of which are outside the respirable range. A valved holding chamber (spacer) increases the percentage of inhaled particles that are within the respirable range.
**Table 1** Corticosteroid physiochemical, pharmacokinetic, and pharmacological characteristics

| Corticosteroid/dose form                  | Relative GR binding affinity | Lung delivery (%) | Plasma protein binding (%) | Oral bioavailability (%) | Lipophilicity (log P) | Plasma clearance (l/h) | Volume of distribution (l) |
|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| Fluticasone furoate DPI                  | 2989                        | –                | 99.7                      | –                        | 4.17                 | 65                     | 608                      |
| Mometasone furoate DPI                   | 2100                        | 11HFA-MDI        | 99.5                      | <1                       | 4.73                 | 54                     | 332                      |
| Fluticasone propionate DPI               | 1775                        | 20DPI            | 99.3                      | ≤1                       | 3.89                 | 69                     | 318                      |
| Beclomethasone dipropionate (BMP) MDI    | 53 (1345)                   | 50-60HFA-MDI     | 95.9                      | 20 (40)                  | 4.59 (3.27)          | 120                    | 424                      |
| Ciclesonide (des-CIC) MDI               | 12 (1200)                   | 50HFA-MDI        | 98.7                      | <1 (<1)                  | 3.2 (3.0)            | 228                    | 396                      |
| Budesonide DPI                           | 935                         | 15-30DPI         | 91.4                      | 11                       | 2.32                 | 84                     | 180                      |
| Triamcinolone acetonide MDI              | 233                         | 22HFA-MDI        | 73.2                      | 23                       | 1.85                 | 37                     | 103                      |
| Flunisolide MDI                          | 190                         | 68HFA-MDI        | 61.2                      | 20                       | 1.36                 | 58                     | 96                       |

Table adapted from [26, 27]. Original data points from [5, 24, 28, 29, 92, 140–164]

For beclomethasone dipropionate (BDP) and ciclesonide (CIC), values in parenthesis are for the active metabolites—beclomethasone 17-monopropionate (BMP) and desisobutyl cyclone (des-CIC)  
Glucocorticoid receptor binding affinity values are relative to 100, which is the affinity of dexamethasone  
Log P values are defined at the log10 of the octanol/water partition coefficient  
CFC chlorofluorocarbon propellant MDI, DPI dry-powder inhaler, GR glucocorticoid receptor, HFA hydrofluoroalkane propellant MDI, MDI metered-dose inhaler
and captures larger particles that would otherwise be deposited in the oropharynx [25].

SMI were introduced in 2007 to solve some disadvantages associated with pressurized MDI (pMDI) and DPI, such as deposition of drug in the oropharynx [40]. The design concept is similar to pMDI. However, SMI use a spring-powered delivery system rather than a pressurized container to generate a low velocity vapor with a high fine-particle fraction. Compared to aerosols from pMDI and DPI, lung deposition with SMI is up to 50% higher and oropharyngeal deposition is lower [41].

### Dosing Frequency

Few studies have compared once-daily ICS dosing with twice or more frequent daily dosing in patients with asthma in real-world settings. Wells et al. showed that once-daily dosing was associated with significantly higher adherence to ICS therapy [35]. Patients with once-daily dosing were more than three times as likely to achieve >75% adherence, a threshold previously associated with therapeutic benefit, when compared to multiple daily dosing [35, 36]. In addition, the once-daily regimen did not appear to compromise the clinical efficacy of asthma controller therapy.

A Cochrane review of several randomized controlled trials that compared the efficacy and safety of intermittent versus daily ICS in adults and children with persistent asthma concluded that intermittent and daily ICS were similarly effective in the use of rescue oral corticosteroids and the rate of severe adverse health events, although the quality of evidence is low [37]. Daily ICS was superior to intermittent ICS in terms of lung function, airway inflammation, asthma control, and reliever use.

### DOSE–RESPONSE RELATIONSHIP

ICS demonstrate a dose–response relationship from low to medium doses with no significant additional therapeutic benefit in the high-dose range in patients with mild-to-moderate persistent asthma [42]. The first report published in the 1990s by Dahl et al. demonstrated this dose-dependency in FP via parameters such as morning peak expiratory flow (PEF), FEV₁, percentage of symptom-free days, and rescue bronchodilator use to assess clinical efficacy [43]. Similar results have been reported for other ICS, such as BDP [44], triamcinolone acetonide [45], and mometasone furoate [46]. The therapeutic dose range for all clinical outcome measures in adults is 100–1000 µg/day of BMP or budesonide [7, 47]. However, the dose–response effect of ICS may depend on the parameters measured. A meta-analysis conducted by Currie et al. using bronchial hyperresponsiveness (BHR) as a clinical endpoint demonstrated a dose–response effect even at high doses [48]. BHR is a reliable surrogate marker of underlying airway inflammation [49, 50] and may be more sensitive than traditional outcome measures such as symptoms or lung-function tests in the assessment of the effects of ICS.

ICS also exhibit a dose–response relationship for the systemic side effects, as evidenced by meta-analysis studies on the major side effects of ICS [51]. In addition, this dose-dependency is evident throughout the entire dose range and the undesirable effects are most prominent in patients receiving high doses of ICS. At higher doses, the curve for therapeutic efficacy becomes relatively flat and the dose–response curve for systemic side effects remains steep (see Fig. 1 [52]). An increase in the dose of any ICS decreases the therapeutic index (the ratio of the therapeutic effect to systemic adverse effect) [53]. The studies on dose–response relationships in clinical efficacy and systemic adverse effects provide robust evidence on why ICS should be titrated to the lowest effective dose once asthma symptoms are under control.

Nevertheless, most guidelines still recommend the use of high-dose ICS for the treatment of patients with severe persistent asthma. This recommendation is based, in part, on the results of the Formoterol and Corticosteroids Establishing Therapy (FACET) trial described below [51, 54].

### STEPWISE DOSE REDUCTION OF ICS

Current guidelines (i.e., NAEPP and GINA) suggest that patients with good asthma control
and low risk of future exacerbations should be considered for step-down therapy to the lowest effective dose [55]. GINA recommends stepping down treatment once good asthma control has been achieved and maintained for 3 months [56]. Guidelines suggest reducing the ICS dose by 25–50% at 2 to 3-month intervals for stepping down, which is supported by systematic review and meta-analysis findings [7, 57]. These step-down recommendations are supported by low levels of evidence and limited by the small number of studies available.

Analysis of randomized controlled trial (RCT) studies that examined step-down of asthma medications suggested that each of the methods of stepping down from ICS to reduced ICS dose [58–61], scheduled ICS to on demand ICS [57, 62], or ICS to leukotriene receptor antagonist (LTRA) [63] may be safe options for many patients with stable asthma. However, each of these step-down strategies likely confers an increased risk of having an exacerbation or loss of asthma control compared with continuing the current asthma regimen. Physicians should discuss these risks with their patients to facilitate informed decision-making. There remain a lot of gaps in our understanding of asthma step-down therapy, risks, and benefits [55]. Further research studies are required to develop a comprehensive evidence-based step-down algorithm that includes relevant long-term asthma control measures as primary outcomes.

Several studies have reported possible predictors for failing step-down attempts. These factors can be categorized as clinical, demographic, physiologic, and biologic. Clinical and demographic characteristics associated with failure include age >40 [64, 65], disease duration >5 years [66], length of time with no clinical symptoms before ICS reduction [67], and time of year [68]. Physiologic markers include bronchial hyperresponsiveness [65, 67] and the level of FEV₁ [67]. Biologic markers such as sputum eosinophils [65, 66, 69], fractional excretion of nitric oxide (FENO) [67, 69], serum eotaxin (CCL-11) [70], serum eosinophil count [66], and serum eosinophil cationic protein [71] can be used to guide step-down therapy and to monitor the success of ICS withdrawal. Sputum eosinophils may have a good predictive value for failure of ICS reduction during back titration as it has been shown to be predictive in three studies, including serial measurements [55]. However, this laboratory test is not widely performed and may not be easily implemented. On the other hand, FENO level has been shown to correlate well with sputum eosinophilia.

Fig. 1 Schematic dose–response curves showing the wanted and unwanted effects (i.e., systemic side effects) of an inhaled corticosteroid (ICS). As the dose increases, the therapeutic index of any ICS decreases. Reproduced with permission from Pedersen and O’Byrne [52].
Studies have shown that the FENO level responds the earliest to treatment and withdrawal of ICS [74]. Monitoring the FENO level in patients with asthma may enable ICS reduction without compromising asthma control [75]. Future studies can combine these predictors to derive failure indices for stepping down asthma medications, recognizing that ICS reduction strategies may differ among individuals with controlled asthma of varying severity.

COMBINING ICS WITH LONG-ACTING \( \beta_2 \)-ADRENERGIC RECEPTOR AGONIST

Safety and Efficacy

In patients who are not controlled on low- or medium-dose ICS, addition of an inhaled long-acting \( \beta_2 \)-adrenergic receptor agonist (LABA) such as salmeterol or formoterol has been shown to be more efficacious than increasing the dose of ICS. A study by Greening et al. [76] compared PEF as a primary variable between a group administered 400 \( \mu \)g/day BDP plus 100 \( \mu \)g/day salmeterol and a group administered 1000 \( \mu \)g/day BDP alone for 6 months in mild-to-moderate asthma. The add-on therapy with salmeterol resulted in significantly more favorable outcomes in terms of PEF change from baseline, the need for rescue medication, and the number of symptom-free days [76, 77]. Recent multicenter, randomized, double-blind studies showed that treatment with budesonide/formoterol and fluticasone/salmeterol were associated with a lower risk of asthma exacerbations than budesonide alone and fluticasone alone groups respectively [78, 79]. There have been concerns about the safety of LABA use in patients with asthma [80, 81], but patients who received the ICS/LABA combination preparations did not have a significantly higher risk of serious asthma-related events than did those who received ICS alone. Most current guidelines recommend adding a LABA to low- or medium-dose ICS when escalating asthma treatment. The GOAL trial by Bateman et al. [82] showed that guideline-defined control of asthma can be achieved in the majority of patients with uncontrolled asthma with combination salmeterol/fluticasone treatment more rapidly and at a lower dose of ICS than fluticasone alone.

Nevertheless, most guidelines still recommend the use of high-dose ICS for the treatment of patients with severe persistent asthma. The FACET trial showed that high-dose ICS treatment reduced the frequency of severe acute exacerbations of asthma in patients with severe asthma independent of the addition of formoterol [54]. The effect of increasing the dose of budesonide was significantly more pronounced than the effect of adding formoterol only in patients with severe exacerbations. This study provided the rationale for increasing the maintenance dose of ICS for patients with repeated severe exacerbations of asthma. In patients with mild disease, the OPTIMA trial revealed that addition of formoterol to low-dose budesonide resulted in significant reductions in exacerbations but not when formoterol was added to ICS in previously steroid-naive patients [83].

Finally, multiple double-blind, randomized trials have demonstrated that when budesonide/formoterol is used as a single inhaler for both maintenance and reliever therapy (often referred to as SMART therapy) it gives a better control of asthma compared to using short- or long-acting \( \beta_2 \)-agonist as a rescue therapy with either the same dose combination inhaler [84] or an ICS alone as maintenance treatment [84–86].

Step-off LABA

ICS/LABA combination formulations, such as fluticasone/salmeterol and budesonide/formoterol, have helped achieve good asthma control in a large proportion of patients [87]. However, because of LABA’s potential risk of increasing severe exacerbation of asthma symptoms and death, the American Food and Drug Administration recommends withdrawal of LABA once asthma is controlled by ICS/LABA combination therapy. Well-controlled asthmatic patients should be maintained on an
asthma controller medication (i.e., ICS) without LABA [88]. Mori et al. compared the efficacy between two step-down strategies: to reduce ICS/LABA dose or to withdraw LABA continuing ICS in well-controlled asthmatics. Their prospective multicenter randomized controlled study suggested that these two step-down strategies are equally acceptable in well-controlled asthmatics treated with medium-dose of budesonide/formoterol. The incidence of asthma exacerbations was not significantly different between the two study groups. There was also no significant difference in Quality of Life score and $F_{\text{ENO}}$ level between 0 week and 12 week in both groups, although withdrawal of LABA may potentially deteriorate FEV$_1$ [89]. On the other hand, a recent meta-analysis by Bozek et al. concluded that the LABA step-off approach was not favorable as it increased asthma-associated impairment [90].

**SIDE EFFECTS**

**Local Side Effects**

The main local side effects are oral candidiasis, cough at time of inhalation, hoarse voice, and dysphonia [91]. The cough is due to a local irritant effect and may be resolved by using a spacer chamber or slowing the rate of inhalation. Oral candidiasis is dose-related and occurs in $<$5% of patients. It can be prevented simply by rinsing the mouth with water after ICS use. Dysphonia and hoarseness are also dose-related, and may be due to steroid myopathy of the laryngeal muscles [91]. Both were found to be worse with DPI delivery devices than with MDI.

**Systemic Side Effects**

Systemic side effects of ICS depend on several factors: the dose delivered, delivery device used, site of delivery, and individual differences in response to the corticosteroid [14]. The systemic effect of an ICS depends on the amount of the drug absorbed into the systemic circulation. Absorption can occur through the gastrointestinal tract after the fraction of ICS deposited in the oropharynx is swallowed or from the lungs after inhaling the drug. The use of a spacer and mouth washing help reduce systemic absorption. A spacer reduces oropharyngeal deposition and increases delivery to the lungs. More efficient delivery to the lungs can increase systemic absorption, but this is offset by a reduction in the dose required for optimal control of airway inflammation [14]. In patients with severe asthma, studies have suggested a reduced propensity for systemic side effects, presumably due to decreased lung bioavailability of ICS secondary to reduced airway diameter [92, 93].

**Hypothalamic-Pituitary-Adrenal (HPA) Axis Suppression**

The most serious adverse effect of ICS is dose-related suppression of the HPA axis. The extent of suppression is dependent on the dose, duration, and timing of ICS administration [94–98]. In adults, HPA suppression appears to occur at doses above 800 $\mu$g/day BDP equivalent [99]. The most serious side effect of long-term ICS use is adrenal crisis after complete suppression of HPA-axis [97, 98]. Although rare, adrenal crisis may be a real concern for patients receiving unsafe doses, especially the pediatric patients. Basal cortisol level is often used as a marker of adrenal suppression. It is clear that even low-to-medium ICS doses can disturb basal cortisol secretion in children and adults [98, 100–103], but whether this disturbance has any clinical significance remains unclear [5].

**Growth Suppression in Children**

Asthma itself has been associated with delayed onset of puberty and deceleration of growth velocity, with the effects more prominent in severe disease. The effect of asthma on growth pattern and courses of oral corticosteroids make it difficult to isolate the effects of ICS use on growth [14]. Short- and long-term studies suggest that patients who are treated with ICS may experience transient non-progressive decreases in growth velocity, but ultimately attain normal adult height [104–106]. The 2007 NAEPP Expert Panel Report 3 asserts that ICS at the recommended doses are unlikely to cause long-term,
clinically significant, or irreversible effects on linear growth [7]. Two major long-term studies that support the assertion are the Childhood Asthma Management Program (CAMP) study [104] and the Prevention of Early Asthma in Kids study [107].

A recent meta-analysis of 16 RCT showed that ICS use for >12 months in children significantly reduced growth velocity at 1-year follow-up [108]. Final adult height showed a mean reduction of −1.20 cm with budesonide versus placebo in a high-quality RCT by Kelly et al. [109]. A larger daily dose was associated with a lower adult height. This particular study measured adult height in 943 of 1041 (90.6%) participants in the CAMP study where participants starting at the age of 5–13 years were randomized to receive budesonide (400 μg), nedocromil (16 mg), or placebo daily for 4–6 years. It demonstrated that the initial decrease in attained height associated with ICS use in prepubertal children did persist as a reduction in adult height, although this decrease was neither progressive nor cumulative [109].

Bone Mineral Density, Fractures, and Osteoporosis

The effects of oral corticosteroids on osteoporosis and the risk of fracture are well established. The long-term effect of ICS on the risk of fracture still needs to be elucidated. Bone densitometry has often been used to assess the effect of ICS on bone mass. However, results may be confounded by the fact that many patients with asthma are also taking intermittent courses of oral corticosteroids [14].

Studies in growing children with asthma suggest that ICS therapy at recommended doses is not associated with a reduction in bone mineral density (BMD) in children [104, 110, 111]. Furthermore, a case-control analysis by Schlienger et al. concluded that exposure to ICS does not increase fracture risk in children and adolescents compared to non-exposed individuals [112].

On the other hand, studies that investigated the impact of ICS on BMD in adult patients with asthma have yielded conflicting results [113]. Some studies have found significant BMD changes in asthmatic patients who received ICS therapy [114–118], while other studies showed no association between ICS treatment and BMD changes [119–122]. A Cochrane review [122] concluded that there is no evidence of an effect of ICS at conventional doses given for 2–3 years on BMD or vertebral fracture. Long-term prospective studies of conventional and high doses of ICS are needed. A recent case-control study suggests that BMD reduction in ICS users with asthma may be dependent on age with the younger patients (<50 years old) being at greater risk of BMD loss [113]. Collectively, the evidence indicates that long-term ICS use affects BMD and risk of fracture in a dose-dependent manner that appears significant at high doses.

Infections and Pneumonia

With the exceptions of a few case reports on fungal infections, there have been no reports of opportunistic pulmonary infections [123] or increased frequency of infections following prolonged ICS use, even in high doses [14]. In addition, Bahceciler et al. showed that long-term inhaled budesonide therapy is safe for tuberculin-positive children with asthma [124]. However, a meta-analysis by Singh and Loke [125, 126] (which is an update of an earlier analysis by the same authors) and several recent case-control studies [127–129] have demonstrated a significantly increased risk of serious pneumonia in COPD [127, 128] or asthmatic patients [129] on ICS therapy. The number needed to harm for pneumonia associated with ICS was estimated to be 60 per year [126]. A dose–response relationship was present with higher ICS doses, conferring greater associated risk of pneumonia [129]. The magnitude of risk for pneumonia was also greater with fluticasone than that seen with budesonide [127–129].

Cataract and Glaucoma

Although long-term treatment with oral corticosteroids increases the risk of posterior subcapsular cataracts, studies that looked at the risk of cataract formation with ICS use have shown conflicting results. The CAMP Research Group studied the development of posterior subcapsular cataracts in 311 children treated long term with budesonide; only one
child developed cataract by the end of the 6-year study period [104]. A meta-analysis by Weatherall et al. demonstrated an approximately 25% elevation in the risk of cataract for each 1000 μg/day increase in dose of beclomethasone equivalents [130]. The link between ICS and glaucoma is weaker. Garbe et al. concluded that ICS therapy was not associated with an increased risk of open-angle glaucoma or ocular hypertension [131]. Other studies also showed similar results [132]. Similarly, a recent prospective study showed that inhaled budesonide as much as 800 μg/day for a short period of time and long-term use of 200–400 μg/day did not cause lens opacities or clinically important increases in intraocular pressure in children with asthma [133]. Collectively, long-term ICS therapy of higher doses may be associated with a higher risk of cataract formation, but the risk of glaucoma is likely very small.

**Skin Thinning and Bruising**

Skin bruising and thinning have been documented as side effects of ICS use [134–136], especially in patients receiving high-dose ICS [134]. There is a greater risk of easy bruising in elderly patients [135–139] and in women [135].

**CONCLUSIONS**

The potent anti-inflammatory properties of ICS make them the ideal first choice for controller therapy in asthmatic patients with persistent symptoms. ICS appear to have a positive impact on lung function, quality of life, airway hyperresponsiveness, and exacerbation rates. Addition of other agents to ICS is linked to improved asthma outcomes, including a reduction in severe exacerbations. Clinicians are encouraged to pursue a clinical management strategy that focuses on achieving current control and reducing future risk using the lowest effective dose of controller therapy, recognizing that all ICS have similar efficacy in the clinical setting. Since the risk–benefit features of ICS are favorable, these agents should be introduced early across all age groups.
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