Background/Aims: Real-world, clinical practice data are lacking about sofosbuvir/ribavirin (SOF/RBV) treatment of Korean patients with hepatitis C virus genotype 2 (HCV GT2) infection. This study investigated the efficacy and safety of SOF/RBV in Korean patients with HCV GT2 infection and clinical factors predicting sustained virological response 12 weeks (SVR12) after the end of SOF/RBV treatment.

Methods: A total of 181 patients with HCV GT2 with/without cirrhosis were treated with SOF/RBV for 16/12 weeks. Rapid virological response (RVR) was defined as non-detectable HCV RNA at 4 weeks.

Results: The RVR rate was 80.7% (146/181), the end of treatment response rate was 97.8% (177/181) and the SVR12 rate was 92.8% (168/181). Of eight patients with relapse, four did not achieve RVR. Three patients had a history of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). Multivariable analysis showed that RVR (p = 0.015) and no previous history of HCC (p = 0.007) were associated with SVR12. Factors significantly contributing to RVR included cirrhosis, creatinine concentration, and pretreatment HCV RNA level. SVR12 rate was significantly higher in RVR (+) than RVR (–) patients (95.2% vs. 82.9%, p = 0.011) and also significantly higher in patients without than with a history of HCC (94.1% vs. 72.7%, p = 0.008). During treatment, 80/181 patients (44.2%) experienced mild to moderate adverse events, with 32 (17.7%) requiring RBV dose reductions due to anemia.

Conclusions: SOF/RBV treatment was effective and tolerable in HCV GT2 patients. RVR and no previous history of HCC were positive predictors of SVR12.
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Sustained virological response 12 weeks after the end of treatment (SVR12) is associated with reduced risks of liver-related morbidity and mortality [9,10]. Treatment with pegylated interferon (PegIFN) and ribavirin (RBV) for 24 weeks has yielded higher response rates in patients infected with HCV GT2 than in patients infected with other HCV GTs, such as GT1, GT3, and GT4. However, the poor tolerability associated with PegIFN-based regimens has limited their clinical use, especially in patients with liver cirrhosis (LC).

All-oral, direct-acting antiviral (DAA) drug combinations have shown high efficacy rates, favorable side-effect profiles and easy applicability in treatment of HCV infection. The combination of sofosbuvir (SOF) and RBV was the first all-oral regimen recommended by the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases (AASLD) [11] and approved by national health care services in Asian countries for the treatment of patients infected with HCV GT2. However, real-world, clinical practice data are lacking about SOF/RBV treatment of Korean patients with HCV GT2 infection.

Factors affecting SVR12 in patients treated with DAAs include LC, GT3, previous treatment failure, and resistance-related mutations [12]. AASLD guidelines recommend extended treatment, for up to 16 weeks, in HCV-infected patients with LC [11]. However, predictors of SVR12 have not been well investigated in real clinical practice. The present study therefore investigated the efficacy and safety of SOF/RBV treatment of Korean patients with HCV GT2 infection.

METHODS

Patients
This retrospective observational cohort study included patients with HCV GT2 who were treated with SOF/RBV at Pusan National University Hospital between May 2016 and December 2017. Patients not treated for at least 12 weeks were excluded. CHC was diagnosed by laboratory and/or serologic tests, and HCV GT2 was identified by real-time-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) and hybridization. Patients were treated according to Korean National Health Insurance guidelines. Patients who were not treated with or failed previous PegIFN-based regimens, and those not treated with other HCV protease inhibitors, were included, whereas patients who had undergone liver transplantation were excluded. This study was performed in accordance with the ethical guidelines of the Helsinki Declaration (revised in 2013), and the study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Pusan National University (IRB No. H-1803-010-064). All patients provided written informed consent before starting treatment.

Treatment protocol
Patients were administered 400 mg/day SOF (SOVALDI, Gilead Sciences, Foster City, CA, USA) plus weight-adjusted RBV (VIRAMID, Ilsung Pharm., Seoul, Korea), with patients weighing < 75 kg administered 1,000 mg/day RBV and those weighing ≥ 75 kg administered 1,200 mg/day RBV. Patients without cirrhosis were treated for 12 weeks and patients with cirrhosis for 16 weeks. RBV doses were adjusted for complications, including reduced hemoglobin concentrations. RBV dose was reduced by 200 mg/day if a patient’s hemoglobin level was below 10 mg/dL; if hemoglobin level subsequently returned to the normal range for 4 weeks, RBV dose was increased by 200 mg/day [13]. RBV was discontinued in patients with hemoglobin levels below 8.5 mg/dL [13]. Laboratory tests, including HCV RNA titers, complete blood counts, and liver and renal function tests, were performed before treatment, after 4 weeks, at the end of treatment, and 12 weeks after the end of treatment. Pre-treatment estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) was calculated as 175 × serum creatinine(1.154) × age(0.203) × 0.742, if female. Serum RNA levels were measured by RT-PCR (COBAS TaqMan Analyzer, Roche Molecular Systems Inc., Pleasanton, CA, USA), with a lower quantitative detection limit of 15 IU/mL; non-detection and concentrations below 15 IU/mL were reported separately.

Cirrhosis was defined as the presence of at least two of the following characteristics: platelet count < 140,000/mL, evidence of esophageal varices on esophagogastroduodenoscopy, cirrhosis and/or portal hypertension and/or ascites on imaging modalities, and fibroscan results compatible with stage 4 fibrosis. Decompensated cirrhosis was defined as current or previous presence of ascites, hepatic encephalopathy, spontaneous bacterial peritonitis, hepatic hydrothorax, variceal hemorrhage, or concomitant treatment with medications specifically
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indicated for the above-mentioned conditions.

**Definition of response**

Rapid virological response (RVR) was defined as non-detection of HCV RNA 4 weeks after starting treatment. HCV RNA titer under the lower quantitative limit (<15 IU/mL) was defined as not attaining RVR. End of treatment response (ETR) and SVR12 were defined as non-detection of HCV RNA at the end of treatment and 12 weeks after the end of treatment, respectively. Adverse events (AEs) were recorded regularly in patients’ medical records. Anemia was defined as hemoglobin concentration < 10 g/dL or a ≥ 2.0 g/dL decrease in hemoglobin concentration. RBV dose modifications were also documented.

**Statistical analysis**

All statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS statistical software version 21.0 (IBM Co., Armonk, NY, USA). All data were calculated in the intention to treat (ITT) population, defined as all patients who received at least one tablet of SOF/RBV. Continuous variables were expressed as mean and standard deviation and compared by independent sample t tests. Categorical variables were expressed as absolute numbers and relative frequencies, with qualitative and quantitative variables compared using the chi-square test and the Mann-Whitney U test, respectively. Logistic regression analysis was used to identify factors predicting SVR12. Factors with p values < 0.2 on univariate analysis were included in multivariate analysis, along with clinically meaningful variables. A two sided p value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

**Patient characteristics**

During the study period, 408 patients were diagnosed with HCV GT2. Of these, 218 were not treated with SOF/RBV, including 143 with current HCC, 19 with eGFR < 30 mL/min/1.73 m² and 56 for economic reasons. Of the remaining 190 patients who started SOF/RBV treatment, nine were excluded because they had previously undergone liver transplantation. Thus, 181 patients were included in this study; their baseline demographic and clinical characteristics are shown in Table 1. The 181 patients included 105 women (58.0%) and 76 men (42.0%), of mean age 61.4 ± 11.5 years. Of these 181 patients, 143 (79.0%) were treatment naïve, 11 (6.1%) had a history of HCC, 30 (16.6%) had diabetes mellitus, and 50 (27.6%) had cirrhosis, including six with decompensation. Their mean pre-treatment serum HCV RNA titer was 4.45 ± 12.80 × 10⁶ IU/mL, their mean hemoglobin concentration was 13.3 ± 1.6 g/dL, their mean body weight was 62.7 ± 11.1 kg and their mean serum creatinine concentration was 0.75 ± 0.19 mg/dL. Fig. 1 shows the flow chart of the study. We intended to treat 50 patients with cirrhosis for 16 weeks; however, two of these patients experienced severe diarrhea and were treated for only 12 weeks. Therefore, 48 patients with cirrhosis were treated for 16 weeks and 131 patients without cirrhosis and two with cirrhosis were treated for 12 weeks.

| Variable                  | Value                      |
|---------------------------|----------------------------|
| Male sex                  | 76 (42.0)                  |
| Age, yr                   | 61.4 ± 11.5                |
| Weight, kg                | 62.7 ± 11.1                |
| Liver cirrhosis           | 50 (27.6)                  |
| History of HCC            | 11 (6.1)                   |
| Diabetes                  | 30 (16.6)                  |
| Treatment naïve           | 143 (79.0)                 |
| Laboratory findings       |                            |
| White blood cell count, /µL | 5,595.4 ± 1,796.5          |
| Hemoglobin, g/dL          | 13.3 ± 1.6                 |
| Platelets, 10⁵/µL         | 177.4 ± 71.7               |
| Aspartate transaminase, IU/L | 463 ± 35.0                |
| Alanine aminotransferase, IU/L | 36.2 ± 31.7              |
| Albumin, g/dL             | 4.1 ± 0.4                  |
| Total bilirubin, mg/dL    | 0.73 ± 0.39                |
| Creatinine, mg/dL         | 0.75 ± 0.19                |
| eGFR, mL/min/1.73 m²      | 96.1 ± 23.2                |
| Baseline HCV RNA, IU/mL   | 4,453,841 ± 12,806,387     |

Values are presented as number (%) or mean ± SD.

HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; HCV, hepatitis C virus.
Of the 181 patients who started SOF/RBV treatment, four did not complete treatment and one was lost to post-treatment follow-up. ITT analysis showed an RVR rate of 80.7% (146/181), an ETR rate of 97.8% (177/181) and an SVR12 rate of 92.8% (168/181). SVR12 rates were 88.0% (44/50) in patients with cirrhosis and 94.7% (124/131) in patients without cirrhosis. SVR12 rates were 83.3% (5/6) in patients with decompensated LC and 88.9% (39/44) in patients with compensated LC, with no significant between-group difference ($p = 0.708$). One patient with decompensated LC who did not achieve SVR12 was lost to follow-up, with no evidence of actual HCV recurrence. Of the 133 patients treated for 12 weeks, 126 (94.7%) achieved SVR12, including both (100%) patients with cirrhosis and 124 (94.7%) of 131 without cirrhosis. Of the 48 patients treated for 16 weeks, all with cirrhosis, 42 (87.5%), achieved SVR12. Table 2 shows clinical information on patients who showed recurrence of HCV.

**Treatment response**

Of the 181 patients who started SOF/RBV treatment, four did not complete treatment and one was lost to post-treatment follow-up. ITT analysis showed an RVR rate of 80.7% (146/181), an ETR rate of 97.8% (177/181) and an SVR12 rate of 92.8% (168/181). SVR12 rates were 88.0% (44/50) in patients with cirrhosis and 94.7% (124/131) in patients without cirrhosis. SVR12 rates were 83.3% (5/6) in patients with decompensated LC and 88.9% (39/44) in patients with compensated LC, with no significant between-group difference ($p = 0.708$). One patient with decompensated LC who did not achieve SVR12 was lost to follow-up, with no evidence of actual HCV recurrence. Of the 133 patients treated for 12 weeks, 126 (94.7%) achieved SVR12, including both (100%) patients with cirrhosis and 124 (94.7%) of 131 without cirrhosis. Of the 48 patients treated for 16 weeks, all with cirrhosis, 42 (87.5%), achieved SVR12. Table 2 shows clinical information on patients who showed recurrence of HCV. Of the
Table 3. Clinical factors associated with sustained virological response at 12 weeks

| Variable                          | Patients without SVR12 | Patients with SVR12 | p value |
|----------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|---------|
|                                  | Univariate             | Multivariate        |         |
| Total patients                   | 13/181 (7.2)           | 168/181 (92.8)      |         |
| Male sex                         | 7/76 (9.2)             | 69/76 (90.8)        | 0.270   |
| Age, yr                          | 67 (50–84)             | 60 (43–86)          | 0.193   |
| > 60                             | 9/86 (10.5)            | 77/86 (89.5)        | 0.104   |
| Weight, kg                       | 63.0 (49–90.9)         | 60 (35–104)         | 0.530   |
| > 60                             | 8/92 (8.7)             | 84/92 (91.3)        | 0.423   |
| Liver cirrhosis                  | 6/50 (12)              | 44/50 (88)          | 0.112   |
| Decompensated                    | 1/6 (16.7)             | 5/6 (83.3)          | 0.708   |
| Diabetes                         | 2/30 (6.7)             | 28/30 (93.3)        | 0.632   |
| Treatment naive                  | 11/143 (7.7)           | 132/143 (92.3)      | 0.460   |
| History of HCC                   | 3/11 (27.3)            | 8/11 (72.7)         | 0.034   |
| 16 Weeks of treatment            | 4/46 (8.7)             | 42/46 (91.3)        | 0.195   |
| Reduction of ribavirin dose       | 2/32 (6.2)             | 30/32 (93.8)        | 0.588   |
| Laboratory findings              |                        |                     | 0.787   |
| White blood cell count, /µL      | 5,130 (2,910–9,530)    | 5,300 (2,270–11,430) | 0.929  |
| > 5,280                          | 6/91 (6.6)             | 85/91 (93.4)        | 0.758   |
| Hemoglobin, g/dL                 | 13.2 (10.7–16.5)       | 13.3 (9.5–17.7)     | 0.611   |
| > 11.3                           | 5/90 (5.6)             | 85/90 (94.4)        | 0.399   |
| Platelets, 10³/µL                | 160 (48–329)           | 179 (23–425)        | 0.567   |
| > 179                            | 6/89 (6.7)             | 81/89 (93.3)        | 0.821   |
| Aspartate transaminase, IU/L     | 40 (18–115)            | 35.5 (12–284)       | 0.655   |
| > 36                             | 8/89 (9)               | 81/89 (91.0)        | 0.355   |
| Alanine aminotransferase, IU/L   | 28 (12–80)             | 24.5 (8–264)        | 0.573   |
| > 25                             | 7/85 (8.2)             | 78/85 (91.8)        | 0.606   |
| Albumin, g/dL                    | 4.16 (2.5–4.7)         | 4.23 (2.5–4.9)      | 0.138   |
| > 4.2                            | 5/86 (5.8)             | 81/86 (94.2)        | 0.498   |
| Total bilirubin, mg/dL           | 0.62 (0.37–1.09)       | 0.62 (0.2–2.52)     | 0.427   |
| > 0.62                           | 6/89 (6.7)             | 83/89 (93.3)        | 0.821   |
| Creatinine, mg/dL                | 0.76 (0.37–1.09)       | 0.71 (0.38–1.71)    | 0.393   |
| > 0.72                           | 8/90 (8.9)             | 82/90 (91.1)        | 0.377   |
| eGFR, mL/min/1.73 m²             | 91.7 (48.3–174.2)      | 93.7 (32.6–172.7)   | 0.919   |
| > 93.5                           | 6/90 (6.7)             | 84/90 (93.3)        | 0.773   |
| Baseline HCV RNA, 10⁴ IU/mL      | 788 (533–73,000)       | 885 (0.24–135,000)  | 0.196   |
| > 881                            | 6/90 (6.7)             | 84/90 (93.3)        | 0.789   |
| Rapid virological response       | 6/145 (4.8)            | 139/145 (95.2)      | 0.021   |

Values are presented as number/total number (%) or median (range). SVR, sustained virological response; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; HCV, hepatitis C virus.
eight patients who relapsed, four did not achieve RVR, including two previously treated for HCV (one with relapse and one non-responder), and three patients with a history of HCC.

Clinical factors associated with SVR12
Table 3 shows clinical factors associated with SVR12. In univariate analysis, RVR ($p = 0.021$) and no previous history of HCC ($p = 0.034$) were significantly associated with SVR12. Multivariate analysis showed that RVR ($p = 0.015$) and no previous history of HCC ($p = 0.007$) were significantly associated with SVR12. Of the 181 patients, 146 (80.7%) achieved RVR and 35 (19.3%) did not. SVR12 rates in patients who did and did not achieve RVR were 95.2% (139/146) and 82.9% (29/35), respectively ($p = 0.011$). Fig. 2 shows the correlation of RVR and SVR12 according to LC status. SVR12 rates in patients with LC did not differ significantly in those with and without RVR (88.9% vs. 85.7%, $p = 0.756$). SVR12 rates in patients without LC, however, were significantly higher in those who did than did not achieve RVR (97.3% vs. 81.6%, $p = 0.002$). SVR12 rates in patients who achieved RVR were significantly higher in those without than with LC (97.3% vs. 88.9%, $p = 0.041$). However, SVR12 rates among patients who did not achieve RVR were similar in those without and with LC (81.6% vs. 85.7%, $p = 0.714$) (Table 4). Table 5 shows baseline factors associated with RVR. In univariate analysis, serum creatinine concentration ($p = 0.012$), previous treatment ($p = 0.031$), eGFR (Modification of Diet in Renal Disease; $p = 0.016$), and pre-treatment serum HCV RNA titer ($p = 0.004$) were significantly associated with RVR. Multivariable analysis showed that LC ($p = 0.026$), creatinine concentration ($p = 0.015$), and pre-treatment serum HCV RNA titer ($p = 0.027$) were significant predictors of RVR. Of the 170 patients without and the 11 with a previous history of HCC, 160 (94.1%) and eight (72.7%), respectively, achieved SVR12 ($p = 0.008$). Mean CTP scores in these two groups were 5.4 ± 0.9 and 5.9 ± 1.3, respectively, a difference that was not statistically significant ($p = 0.179$). None of the patients in the study had CTP class C. Of the 11 patients with HCC and the 40 with LCC but without HCC, one (9.1%) and five (12.5%), respectively, had CTP class B (Supplementary Table 1). Table 6 shows the baseline characteristics associated with SVR12 in patients with a history of HCC. Univariate analysis showed that body weight, white blood cell count, and concentrations of aspartate transaminase and α-fetoprotein were significantly associated with SVR12; whereas multivariate analysis showed that body weight and aspartate transaminase and α-fetoprotein concentrations were significantly associated with SVR12. Before HCV treatment, all patients with HCC had achieved complete remission or had no viable portion of HCC following previous HCC treatment, including resection in two patients, radiofrequency ablation in five, and transarterial chemoembolization in four. After the end of HCV treatment, six patients, including four who achieved SVR12, showed HCC recurrence, with the median follow-up time from the end of HCV treatment to HCC recurrence being 6.5 months (range, 1.6 to 15.6).

Adverse events
During treatment, 80 (44.2%) of the 181 patients experienced mild to moderate AEs (Table 7). RBV doses were
Table 4. Clinical factors associated with sustained virological response at 12 weeks in patients without rapid virological response

| Variable                        | Patients without SVR12 | Patients with SVR12 | p value          |
|---------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
|                                 | Univariate             | Multivariate        |                 |
| Total patients                  | 6/35 (17.1)            | 29/35 (82.9)        |                 |
| Male sex                        | 4/13 (30.8)            | 9/13 (69.2)         | 0.120           | 0.091           |
| Age, yr                         | 67 (53–75)             | 62 (48–77)          | 0.378           |                 |
| > 60                            | 5/20 (25)              | 15/20 (75)          | 0.167           | 0.332           |
| Weight, kg                      | 56.9 (49.0–90.9)       | 58.1 (45.7–93.0)    | 0.960           |                 |
| > 60                            | 3/15 (20)              | 12/15 (80)          | 0.519           |                 |
| Liver cirrhosis                 | 2/14 (14.3)            | 12/14 (85.7)        | 0.544           | 0.506           |
| Decompensated                   | 0/0 (0)                | 0/0 (0)             | NA              |                 |
| Diabetes                        | 1/3 (33.3)             | 2/3 (66.7)          | 0.442           |                 |
| Treatment naive                 | 2/12 (16.7)            | 10/12 (83.3)        | 0.671           | 0.658           |
| History of HCC                  | 1/3 (33.3)             | 2/3 (66.7)          | 0.442           | 0.615           |
| 16 Weeks of treatment           | 2/13 (15.4)            | 11/13 (84.6)        | 0.083           | 0.573           |
| Reduction of ribavirin dose      | 2/4 (50.0)             | 2/4 (50.0)          | 0.128           | 0.061           |

Laboratory findings

| Variable                        | Patients without SVR12 | Patients with SVR12 | p value          |
|---------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
|                                 | Univariate             | Multivariate        |                 |
| White blood cell count, /μL     | 5,065 (2,910–9,530)    | 5,530 (2,510–8,820) | 0.694           |
| > 5,280                         | 3/21 (14.3)            | 18/21 (85.7)        | 0.456           |
| Hemoglobin, g/dL                | 13.3 (10.7–16.5)       | 13.3 (9.9–17.1)     | 0.521           |
| > 13.3                          | 2/16 (12.5)            | 14/16 (87.5)        | 0.418           |
| Platelets, 10^3/μL              | 177 (48–329)           | 172 (46–318)        | 0.552           |
| > 179                           | 3/16 (18.8)            | 13/16 (81.3)        | 0.582           |
| Aspartate transaminase, IU/L    | 39.5 (18–115)          | 32 (14–201)         | 0.733           |
| > 36                            | 4/18 (22.2)            | 14/18 (77.8)        | 0.358           |
| Alanine aminotransferase, IU/L  | 15 (12–30)             | 23 (8–110)          | 0.170           | 0.177           |
| > 25                            | 2/14 (14.3)            | 12/14 (85.7)        | 0.544           |
| Albumin, g/dL                   | 4.15 (2.5–4.3)         | 4.1 (3.30–4.80)     | 0.187           | 0.198           |
| > 4.2                           | 3/13 (23.1)            | 10/13 (76.9)        | 0.392           |
| Total bilirubin, mg/dL          | 0.60 (0.50–2.1)        | 0.69 (0.20–1.71)    | 0.358           |
| > 0.62                          | 2/17 (11.8)            | 15/17 (88.2)        | 0.358           |
| Creatinine, mg/dL               | 0.74 (0.37–1.09)       | 0.66 (0.38–1.14)    | 0.221           |
| > 0.72                          | 3/11 (27.3)            | 8/11 (72.7)         | 0.269           |
| eGFR, mL/min/1.73 m^2           | 93.9 (68.7–174.2)      | 96.5 (66.2–172.7)   | 0.918           |
| > 93.5                          | 3/20 (15.0)            | 17/20 (85.0)        | 0.519           |
| Baseline HCV RNA, 10^3 IU/mL     | 3,250 (335–73,000)     | 3,640 (17–135,000)  | 0.527           |
| > 881                           | 3/23 (17.1)            | 20/23 (87.0)        | 0.329           | 0.912           |

Values are presented as number/total number (%) or median (range).
SVR, sustained virological response; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; HCV, hepatitis C virus.
reduced in 32 patients (17.7%) due to anemia; these 32 patients received a mean 85.5% (range, 55% to 95%) of their expected doses of RBV based on body weight. Reductions in RBV were not associated with RVR and SVR rates (Tables 3 and 5). Four patients did not complete RBV treatment, all due to economic problems. No AEs led to treatment discontinuation. Following the end of treatment, one patient was lost to follow-up. That pa-

Table 5. Clinical factors associated with rapid virological response

| Variable                                      | Patients without RVR | Patients with RVR | p value       | Univariate | Multivariate |
|-----------------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|---------------|------------|--------------|
| Total patients                                | 35/181 (19.3)        | 146/181 (80.7)    |               |            |              |
| Male sex                                      | 13/76 (17.1)         | 63/76 (82.9)      | 0.326         | 0.454      |              |
| Age, yr                                       | 63 (48–77)           | 60 (43–86)        | 0.405         |            |              |
| > 60                                          | 20/86 (23.3)         | 66/86 (76.7)      | 0.204         |            |              |
| Weight, kg                                    | 58 (45.7–93)         | 61.4 (35–104)     | 0.685         |            |              |
| > 60                                          | 15/92 (16.3)         | 77/92 (83.7)      | 0.294         |            |              |
| Liver cirrhosis                               | 14/50 (28.0)         | 36/50 (72.0)      | 0.056         | 0.026      |              |
| Decompensated                                 | 0/6 (0)              | 6/6 (100)         | 0.103         |            |              |
| History of HCC                                | 3/11 (27.3)          | 8/11 (72.7)       | 0.360         |            | 0.774        |
| Diabetes                                      | 3/30 (10.0)          | 27/30 (90.0)      | 0.119         |            | 0.245        |
| Treatment naive                               | 23/143 (16.1)        | 120/143 (83.9)    | 0.011         |            | 0.067        |
| Reduction of ribavirin dose                   | 4/32 (12.5)          | 28/32 (87.5)      | 0.206         |            |              |
| Laboratory findings                           |                      |                   |               |            |              |
| White blood cell count, /μL                   | 5,530 (2,510–9,530)  | 5,250 (2,270–11,430) | 0.322         |            |              |
| > 5,280                                       | 21/91 (23.1)         | 70/91 (76.9)      | 0.200         |            |              |
| Hemoglobin, g/dL                              | 13.3 (9.9–17.1)      | 13.4 (9.5–17.7)   | 0.545         |            |              |
| > 13.3                                        | 16/90 (17.8)         | 74/90 (82.2)      | 0.579         |            |              |
| Platelets, 10^3/μL                            | 172 (46–329)         | 179 (23–425)      | 0.154         |            |              |
| > 179                                         | 16/89 (18.0)         | 73/89 (82.0)      | 0.649         |            |              |
| Aspartate transaminase, IU/L                  | 36 (14–201)          | 36 (12–284)       | 0.251         |            |              |
| > 36                                          | 18/89 (20.2)         | 71/89 (79.8)      | 0.766         |            |              |
| Alanine aminotransferase, IU/L                | 22 (8–110)           | 25 (10–264)       | 0.623         |            |              |
| > 25                                          | 14/85 (16.5)         | 71/85 (83.5)      | 0.358         |            |              |
| Albumin, g/dL                                 | 4.1 (2.5–4.8)        | 4.2 (2.5–4.9)     | 0.077         | 0.351      |              |
| > 4.2                                         | 13/86 (15.1)         | 73/86 (84.9)      | 0.171         |            |              |
| Total bilirubin, mg/dL                        | 0.62 (0.2–2.1)       | 0.62 (0.23–2.52)  | 0.750         |            |              |
| > 0.62                                        | 17/89 (19.1)         | 72/89 (80.9)      | 0.937         |            |              |
| Creatinine, mg/dL                             | 0.66 (0.37–1.14)     | 0.74 (0.42–1.71)  | 0.012         | 0.015      |              |
| > 0.72                                        | 11/90 (12.2)         | 79/90 (87.8)      | 0.016         |            |              |
| eGFR, mL/min/1.73 m^2                         | 96.5 (86.2–174.2)    | 92.7 (32.6–165.6) | 0.008         | 0.795      |              |
| > 93.5                                        | 20/90 (22.2)         | 76/90 (77.8)      | 0.346         |            |              |
| Baseline HCV RNA, 10^3 IU/mL                  | 3,640 (171–135,000)  | 742.5 (24–72,750) | 0.004         | 0.027      |              |
| > 881                                         | 23/90 (25.6)         | 67/90 (74.4)      | 0.035         |            |              |

Values are presented as number/total number (%) or median (range).
RVR, rapid virological response; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; HCV, hepatitis C virus.
The patient died of pneumonia 2 months after the end of treatment. Because this patient had a history of bilobectomy due to lung cancer, death was regarded as independent of treatment for HCV.

**DISCUSSION**

This study analyzed the efficacy and safety of SOF and RBV combination therapy in real-world patients infected with HCV GT2. ITT analysis showed that the SVR12 rate was 92.8%, slightly lower than that of a phase 3b study in 129 Korean patients, which reported an SVR12 rate following SOF/RBV treatment for 12 weeks of approximately 97% [14]. The present study, however, included higher percentages of patients with LC (27.6% vs. 10%) and those who had undergone previous treatment (21.0% vs. 18.6%), as well as having a higher average age.

### Table 6. Clinical factors associated with sustained virological response at 12 weeks in patients with a history of hepatocellular carcinoma

| Variable                                | Patients without SVR12 (n = 3) | Patients with SVR12 (n = 8) | p value | Univariate | Multivariate |
|-----------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|------------|--------------|
| Male sex                                | 2 (66.7)                      | 5 (62.5)                    | 0.903   |            |              |
| Age, yr                                 | 61 (50–70)                    | 68 (60–78)                  | 0.194   | 0.104      | 0.102        |
| Weight, kg                              | 88.7 (64.6–90.9)              | 60.9 (51.0–69.7)            | 0.048   | 0.048      | 0.012        |
| Liver cirrhosis                         | 2 (66.7)                      | 8 (100)                     | 0.102   | 0.102      | 0.087        |
| Decompensated                           | 0                             | 1 (12.5)                    | 0.800   |            |              |
| Diabetes                                | 1 (33.3)                      | 2 (25.0)                    | 0.792   |            |              |
| HCV treatment naive                     | 3 (100)                       | 7 (87.5)                    | 0.540   |            |              |
| HCC treatment before HCV treatment      |                               |                             | 0.557   |            |              |
| Resection                               | 0                             | 2 (25)                      |         |            |              |
| Radiofrequency ablation                 | 2 (66.7)                      | 3 (37.5)                    |         |            |              |
| Transarterial chemoembolization         | 1 (33.3)                      | 3 (37.5)                    |         |            |              |
| HCC recurrence after HCV treatment      | 2 (66.7)                      | 4 (50.0)                    | 0.637   |            |              |
| Reduction of ribavirin dose             | 0                             | 4 (50.0)                    | 0.279   |            |              |
| Laboratory findings                     |                               |                             |         |            |              |
| White blood cell count, /μL             | 3,260 (2,910–3,640)           | 4,445 (3,120–6,550)         | 0.048   | 0.051      |              |
| Hemoglobin, g/dL                        | 13.3 (11.6–13.6)              | 13.1 (9.9–14.7)             | 1.000   |            |              |
| Platelets, 10¹³/μL                      | 61 (48–98)                    | 141 (59–180)                | 0.133   | 0.085      |              |
| Aspartate transaminase, IU/L            | 82 (68–115)                   | 33 (25–60)                  | 0.012   | 0.006      |              |
| Alanine aminotransferase, IU/L          | 29 (21–50)                    | 17 (10–90)                  | 0.133   | 0.620      |              |
| Albumin, g/dL                           | 3.8 (2.5–4.2)                 | 3.8 (3.1–4.4)               | 0.630   |            |              |
| Total bilirubin, mg/dL                  | 0.76 (0.70–2.1)               | 0.83 (0.28–1.49)            | 0.776   |            |              |
| Creatinine, mg/dL                       | 0.79 (0.64–1.09)              | 0.75 (0.46–0.96)            | 1.000   |            |              |
| eGFR, mL/min/1.73 m²                    | 91.7 (68.7–132.3)             | 92.7 (78.6–113.6)           | 0.776   |            |              |
| Baseline HCV RNA, 10¹ IU/mL             | 409 (332–788)                 | 322 (25–3,340)              | 0.776   |            |              |
| α-Fetoprotein, ng/mL                    | 45.5 (29.4–86.9)              | 5.5 (1.2–22.5)              | 0.012   | 0.008      |              |
| Rapid virological response              | 1 (33.3)                      | 2 (25.0)                    | 0.792   |            |              |

Values are presented as number (%) or median (range). SVR, sustained virological response; HCV, hepatitis C virus; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate.
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Moreover, SVR12 rates in this study were lower than those in phase three studies from Japan (97%) [15] and Taiwan (100%) [16]. The percentages of treatment-experienced patients in these populations (41.1% and 50.5%, respectively) were higher than in our study, although average ages (57 and 53 years, respectively) and percentages of patients with LC (14% and 15%, respectively) were lower. Because the combination of older age and LC is associated with a lower SVR12 rate, our results demonstrated that SOF and RBV are effective in treating real-world patients infected with HCV GT2.

This study found that the achievement of RVR and no previous history of HCC were significant positive predictors of SVR12. Previous real-world studies found that low platelet count and esophageal varices were negative predictors of SVR [17], whereas absence of cirrhosis and higher serum albumin concentration were positive predictors of SVR12 [4]. Male sex has also been found to negatively predict SVR12 [18], but that study included patients infected with all genotypes of HCV. Moreover, these earlier studies did not evaluate RVR as a predictor of SVR12. To our knowledge, the present study is the first real-world study in a Korean population to show that RVR was a positive predictor of SVR12 and to identify factors unrelated to LC that were predictive of SVR12 in patients infected with HCV GT2.

RVR was found to be an important positive predictor of SVR in patients treated with interferon, but not in patients treated with DAAs. RVR in patients treated with interferon was defined as the absence of detectable HCV RNA in blood using a sensitive assay with a lower limit of 50 IU/mL [19]. Recent advances in testing have enabled the measurement of viral loads as low as 15 IU/mL. Moreover, these assays could differentiate between non-detectable HCV RNA and concentrations below the limit of detection [20,21]. One study involving 21,095 patients showed that SVR was dependent on the definition of RVR [22]. SVR rates in patients who achieved RVR were found to be 93.5% when RVR was defined as undetectable HCV RNA at 4 weeks, 91.8% when RVR was defined as HCV RNA below the quantification limit, and 86.2% when RVR was defined as HCV RNA < 42 IU/mL. Because most patients in the POSITRON trial achieved RVR, defined as < 25 IU/mL, this factor was not a predictor of SVR [23]. In the present study, RVR was defined as non-detection of HCV RNA at 4 weeks, with 28 of 34 patients who did not achieve RVR, including two of four patients with recurrence, having HCV RNA concentrations below the limit of quantitation. If RNA below the quantitative limit is regarded as RVR, then RVR in this study would not be predictive of SVR.

The risk factors for non-achievement of RVR were the presence of LC, high creatinine concentration, and high pre-treatment serum HCV RNA titer. Because many LC patients did not achieve RVR, we thought that their SVR12 rate would be low. LC is a risk factor for treatment failure, with guidelines recommending that these patients be treated with SOF/RBV for 16 weeks [12]. Treatment for 16 weeks was found to enhance the SVR12 rate of patients with LC, regardless of RVR, indicating that longer treatment could overcome the low SVR rate due to LC.

This study also found that the SVR12 rate in patients without LC was dependent on RVR, being significantly higher in those who did than did not achieve RVR (97.3% vs. 81.0%, \( p = 0.002 \)). Our findings suggest that treatment for 12 weeks may be insufficient for patients without LC who do not achieve RVR, whereas treatment for 16 weeks may improve their SVR12 rate. Indeed, we found

Table 7. Adverse events (n = 181)

| Adverse event               | No. (%) |
|-----------------------------|---------|
| Anemia                      |         |
| Grade 1/2                   | 31 (17.1)|
| Grade 3/4                   | 1 (0.6)  |
| Fatigue                     |         |
| Grade 1/2                   | 18 (9.9) |
| Grade 3/4                   | 0        |
| Nausea                      |         |
| Grade 1/2                   | 11 (6.1) |
| Grade 3/4                   | 0        |
| Urticaria or eruption       |         |
| Grade 1/2                   | 11 (6.1) |
| Grade 3/4                   | 0        |
| Headache                    |         |
| Grade 1/2                   | 6 (3.3)  |
| Grade 3/4                   | 0        |
| Diarrhea                    |         |
| Grade 1/2                   | 0        |
| Grade 3/4                   | 2 (1.1)  |

(61.4 years vs. 55 years).
that SVR12 rates did not differ significantly in patients with and without LC who did not achieve RVR (81.0% vs. 85.7%, \(p = 0.714\)). However, prolonged treatment is not always optimal, as it may increase the number of AEs associated with treatment. Therefore, additional studies may be required to determine whether prolonged treatment could enhance SVR12 rate in patients without LC who do not achieve RVR.

In this study, creatinine concentration and pre-treatment serum HCV RNA titer were risk factors for RVR, but not for SVR. Previous studies have reported that RNA titer did not affect RVR, perhaps due to the different definitions of RVR [23]. Furthermore, no previous study assessed the effect of creatinine concentration on RVR. Because SOF is predominantly excreted through the kidneys [24], guidelines advise that patients with low eGFR not be treated with this agent, as its serum concentrations may be too high. Conversely, SOF concentrations may be too low in patients with high eGFR, reducing RVR rates.

Another positive predictor of SVR12 in our study was the absence of a previous history of HCC. A study of 17,487 patients treated with DAAs found that the SVR rates in patients with and without a previous history of HCC were 74.5% and 91.9%, respectively, indicating that HCC is a negative predictor of SVR [25]. SVR rates, however, did not differ significantly in HCV GT2-infected patients with and without a history of HCC. These findings suggest that HCC itself may be associated with lower SVR rates. Because HCV lives in HCC cells, the virus may be inaccessible to DAAs, reducing their antiviral effect [25]. In addition, HCCs develop in chronically inflamed livers, destroying their architecture, conditions that may alter hepatic immune responses and reduce responses to antiviral agents [26,27]. Because this study enrolled only patients without current HCC, the low SVR rate may be associated with the HCC environment, not HCC itself.

Multivariate analysis showed that high body weight, high aspartate transaminase concentration, and high \(\alpha\)-fetoprotein concentration were significantly associated with low SVR12 rate in patients with a history of HCC, suggesting that these factors may reflect certain conditions associated with HCC. Because the number of patients in this study with a history of HCC was too small, further studies are required to verify this hypothesis.

This study had several limitations. First, SOF with RBV is not the latest treatment regimen. However, this was the only treatment available through the National Health Insurance in Korea and the only option for patients with hepatic decompensation in some Asian countries. Second, the number of patients in each subgroup, such as those with HCC, was too small to investigate factors predictive of SVR in subgroups. Moreover, SVR12 could not be assessed in five patients. However, this study included patients at a single center, resulting in highly homogeneous data.

In conclusion, treatment with SOF/RBV was effective, safe, and tolerable in a real-world population of Korean patients infected with HCV GT2. RVR and no previous history of HCC were positive predictors of SVR12, while the presence of LC, high creatinine concentration, and high pre-treatment serum HCV RNA titer were negative predictors of RVR. Further studies are needed to verify factors predictive of lower SVR12 rate in patients with HCC.

**KEY MESSAGE**

1. In this study, rapid virological response (RVR) was defined as the absence of hepatitis C virus (HCV) RNA 4 weeks after starting sofosbuvir/ribavirin.
2. RVR and no previous history of hepatocellular carcinoma were positive predictors of sustained virological response 12 weeks after the end of treatment (SVR12).
3. Presence of liver cirrhosis, high creatinine concentration, and high pre-treatment serum HCV RNA titer were negative predictors of RVR.
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**Supplementary Table 1. Comparison of CP score between patients with HCC and those with LC but not HCC**

|              | HCC (n = 11) | LC without HCC (n = 40) | p value |
|--------------|--------------|-------------------------|---------|
| CP score     | 5.9 ± 1.3    | 5.4 ± 0.9               | 0.179   |
| CP A:B       | 10:1         | 35:5                    | 0.762   |
| SVR12        | 8/11 (72.7%) | 36/40 (90%)             | 0.146   |

Values are presented as mean ± SD or number/total number (%).

CP, Child-Pugh; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; LC, liver cirrhosis; SVR, sustained virological response.