A Novel assessment tool monitoring the level of patient anxiety during third molar surgery procedure
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ABSTRACT

The authors hypothesized that an audio-visual presentation providing information regarding the removal of an impacted mandibular third molar would reduce patient anxiety.

Aim & objectives: A clinical trial was performed to assess the level of patient anxiety during third molar surgery by using a new induction program and comparing the results amongst two groups that were the verbally informed and the audio-visual informed groups.

Materials and methods: the clinical trial included the patients who required surgical removal of an impacted third molar and fulfilled the predetermined criteria. The patients were divided into two groups - group 1 (no. = 20) the audio visual informed group and group 2(no. = 20) the verbally informed group. For both the groups the HR was recorded beat by beat using HR sensor (polar H1 UK) connected to an ActiGraph WGT3X- 3T USA. Also the modified dental analogue scale(MDAS) was used to subjectively record the anxiety during the surgery.

Results: The HR reading were statistically significant for the following surgical stages; drilling, suturing and upon leaving the clinic. The audio-visual informed group had lower self-reported anxiety scores than did the verbally informed group.

Conclusion: These results suggested that providing an audio-visual presentation about the surgical procedures in our routine clinical practice could aid in alleviating anxiety which would thereby reduce surgical complications.

1. Introduction

The essential nature of a patient-doctor relationship can be emphasized through patients' enlightenment on the necessary steps to be taken during an oral surgery. This enlightenment is done, prior to the actual procedure. As a way of addressing certain discrepancies and malpractices observed on the part of medical professionals through the years, it became necessary to keep patients informed of the entire process prior to medical procedures, as well as obtain patients consent, which in totality reflect involving the patients in their own medical care [1]. Also, instances and documentary evidence point to the fact that patients' anxiety may be reduced after being dealt with thorough preoperative information [1]. Furthermore, it has been shown that anxiety is related to the perception and tolerance of pain. Therefore, a patient's anxiety may impair how well the practitioner performs delicate and complex treatment procedures. It is well known that dentists consider treatment to be technically superior when patients experience less stress [2].

Anxiety can increase surgical risk to patients, particularly in those with undiagnosed conditions for example subclinical heart ischaemia [3, 4]. Clinically, during simple dental procedures, such as low complexity restorative treatment, anticipating pain can increase anxiety and create a stressful situation with possible cardiovascular alterations [5]. Heart rate variability has been shown it gets elevated among people reporting anxiety and perceived stress [6]. Monitoring heart rate beat-by-beat, which is regulated by the sympathetic and parasympathetic nervous system, is a non-invasive method that is easy to perform in clinical practice [7, 8, 9].

Nonetheless, the process of explaining detailed procedures and their complications is associated with certain problems. Occasionally, conveying complex medical information can be difficult [10, 11] and can adversely affect patients [12]. Specifically, it has been shown that patients were often become more anxious when point by point information were revealed before surgical removal of impacted third molars [13]. The details for surgical removal of impacted mandibular third molars usually
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contain information about undesirable outcomes, such as temporary or permanent sensory nerve damage, dry socket, infection, haemorrhage, trismus, fracture of the mandible, iatrogenic damage to the adjacent second molar, and pain. Disclosing such information to patients before surgery could be stressful and elevate anxiety levels. Thus, implementation of audio-visual aids to standardize the information about surgery as proposed in the literature was advised. It has been documented that audio visual presentation prompts high patient fulfillment given the feasibility, convenience, and accessibility of data. There is no convincing evidence showing a decrease in preoperative anxiety. In contrast, it has been found that educating patients about the treatment with video can enhance patient knowledge about postoperative consequences and help in easing anxiety after the surgical removal of an impacted mandibular third molar.

The aim of the present study was to evaluate whether the conventional (verbal) method of explaining details of surgery or the audio-visual presentation could affect the anxiety level of patients who were scheduled for extraction of impacted mandibular third molars at our institute.

2. Materials & methods

All patients (no = 257) who were referred to the Oral and Maxillofacial Clinic of the dental faculty (female Campus) at King Saud University, from 15 March 2017 to 30 June 2018, for the removal of impacted third molars were considered if they fulfill the inclusion criteria of the study. The inclusion criteria were the need for surgical extraction of third molar teeth who were classified as level A or B(depth) or I & II (Ramus relation according to Pell & Gregory classification, negative medical history, no current medication use, and age 20–45 years. Surgical removal of an impacted third molar was performed under local anesthesia. A clinical trial was planned; the study was independently reviewed and approved by the Dental Faculty Ethical Review Board (DERB) at King Saud University and complied with the rules related to the ‘Research Ethics on Living Organisms’ issued by Royal Decree no. M/29 and with the World Medical Association’s Declaration of Helsinki. Patients were provided verbal consent; this protocol was advised and approved by the DERB. The consent form was prepared in accordance with the Research Ethics Review Committee of the World Health Organization.

A total of 40 patients scheduled to undergo extraction of impacted mandibular third molars were enrolled in this study. The patients consented to participate in the study and were blinded to the study objectives. Two patients refused to see the video prior to surgery as they claimed it would make them more anxious throughout the surgery. Immediately they were offered the treatment using verbal method. Each patient provided his or her sociodemographic information (gender, age, educational level, income, and Body Mass Index (BMI)). Four age groups were created including under 20 years, 20–29 years, 30–39 years and above 40 years. Sample size calculation was follow well established protocol (Kadam P, Bhalaria S, 2010) [15]. Sample size calculation was based on the following equation (Kadam & Bhalerao, 2010)

\[
n = \frac{2(Z_a + Z_{1 - \beta})^2\Delta^2}{\pi^2}
\]

Where \(n\) is the required sample size, \(Z_a\) is considered accepted error for one-sided effect, which equal to 5% at 1.65. \(Z_{1 - \beta}\) is constant by convention according to power of study at 80% for value of 0.84. \(\Delta\) is the significant change in heart rate of 10 bpm, with a standard deviation of the paired difference of 10.7 (based on standard deviation of 12 bpm and a correlation between the paired observations of \(r = 0.6\)) this value was estimated from previously published paper (Hollander. M et al, 2010) [16]. After adding 10% for the possibility of non-parametric testing, sample size estimated to be 22.5 participants.

Patients were asked to indicate their educational level as intermediate, secondary or college/university. With regards to income, the participants were asked to choose their approximate monthly income from four different groups as stated: less than SR 5000, SR 5000-SR 10000, SR10, 000-SR 15000 and above SR 20000. The weight and height for each patient were recorded to calculate their BMI, and patients were accordingly categorized as underweight, normal weight, overweight, or obese.

The patients were designed at parallel group, with 1.2:1 allocation ratio based on their hospital file numbers. Files Number started with Even digit, they were subjected to verbal information about the stages of surgery and the anticipated postoperative complications (group1 = 22 participants) and file number started with odd digit, the patients received audio-visual information about the same topics (group2 = 18 participants) as shown-in Fig. 1. These process of patient allocation was carried out by chief nurse who was blinded about the study objectives. A narrated video showed simple cartoon animated illustrations, created with Corel Video Studio Pro X9 by a graduated dental student at King Saud University. Audio and visual cues were included to describe the surgical procedure and postoperative complications, and a clear explanation was provided in a non-technical language. The student narrated video showed patient visited dental clinic complaining from lower third molar, this was followed by the procedure of giving local anaesthesia in the form of inferior alveolar nerve block. Then surgical incision was made through the mucosa covering the impacted lower third molar, reflection of the soft tissue using periosteal elevator was followed. The exposed bone was drilled around, and sectioning of the tooth into two halves were illustrated. Finally, tooth was removed using tooth elevator, then the wound was sutured. The common post-operative care was demonstrated like avoid eating at the side of surgery, avoid hot drinks, rest and avoid mouth gargle. Additionally, the frequent complications which may encountered third molar removal were illustrated, like swelling, trismus, pain or bleeding.

Both the verbal and the audio-visual information were similar. All questions from the patients in both groups were answered by the surgeons. To minimise possible confounding effects, such as differences in background training, two surgeons treated all patients with standardized surgical procedures. The surgeons were trained in the content and proper delivery of the information to the patients which were scripted so that each patient received the same information. The surgical field was anesthetized by mucosal infiltration and by blocking the inferior alveolar nerve and lingual nerves. In all cases local anaesthesia consisted of 40mg articaine hydrochloride with 0.01 mg epinephrine. Each carpule contained 1.7 ml injectable fluid and no more than 3 carpules were initially used for each patient. After local anaesthesia, the time-out protocol was followed, consisting of the verification of the patients’ identity and the aim of the procedure. After checking the anaesthetic state of the mucosa, the surgeon made an incision and created a mucoperiosteal flap. The need for bone removal with a drill could be established at this point. When sufficient surgical access was obtained, the need for sectioning of a molar could be determined. The third molar was then removed and bone file was used to smooth any sharp or rough edges of bone, if necessary. Subsequently, the surgical wound was cleaned and subjected to curettage. The flap was repositioned, and the wound was finally sutured. If the patient complained of pain or discomfort during the procedure, a limited volume of additional anaesthetic solution was administered, and the procedure was delayed until patients’ discomfort subsided. Post-surgery, routine postoperative instructions, such as use of prescribed medications, were provided to the patient. Additionally, all patients received a brouchure which contained perioperative and postoperative information regarding third molar removal. Participants were told that after the surgical procedure they were expected in the consulting room again to remove the heart rate monitor and finish the contact properly. Analgesics in form of non-steroidal ant inflammatory medication NSAD e.g. Ibuprofen was prescribed, 400 mg 8 hourly for 3 days, antibiotics was not routinely prescribed. None of the operated cases reported any remarkable complications which might require further managements.
2.1. Modified Dental Anxiety Scale (MDAS)

Patients were asked to rate their anxiety level based on a Modified Dental Anxiety Scale [17]. The scale was used only when the patient was seated on the dental chair and ready for surgery. This scale was chosen because it is reliable and considers anxiety during local anaesthesia injection rather than the Corah MDAS [18].

The patients were asked to score their anxiety levels through various situations on a scale from 0 (no anxiety at all) to 5 (maximum anxiety). The patients were asked to complete the scale at the following 8 different time points: immediately once the patient was seated on dental chair, after giving instructions, after injection, before extraction, during drilling, after extraction, during suturing, and before leaving the clinic.

2.2. Heart rate (HR) recording

A HR sensor (POLAR H10, UK) detects beat-by-beat HR patterns, and a chest built recording strip. The sensor was placed directly on the skin of the subject (directly on the apex beat), and the POLAR H10 was found to be compatible with many Bluetooth devices. The sensor connects the HR to any application aiming to optimize the training or the workout of an individual. In our study, we connected it with and ActiGraph wGT3X-BT (USA) medical-grade wearable activity monitoring system, which has been deployed in many pharmaceutical drug trials around the world to capture high quality physical activity and sleep data. The activity monitors are FDA 510(k) approved Class II medical devices in the U.S. and adhere to regulatory standards worldwide. The other interesting feature of the POLAR H10 is its high precision due to improved electrodes that made Polar the most accurate heart rate sensor.

USB ANT sticks wirelessly send recorded HR data to the computer during surgery. ActiGraph premiere data analysis software were used. ActiLife’s robust screening and analysis toolkit allows users to process and score collected data using a comprehensive selection of independently developed and validated algorithms. However, in this study, we limited the functionality of ActiLife’s to HR monitors per seconds only.

Fig. 1. CONSORT flow chart, shows the participant the flow through the trial according to the criteria recommended in the CONSORT Guidelines. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0019857.g001 The chart is downloaded April/2019.
2.3. Data collection from ActiLife software

A data table containing separate columns with corresponding headers for each data type collected by the device from each patient was generated. The author chose to use a single axis for Vector Magnitude. A standard *.csv file produced during conversion simply exported the data into *.csv format. Data were exported as native *.AGD files to *.MAT format for easy importing into MathWorks MATLAB® mathematical software platform.

Another important point to consider was that the “Re-Integrate AGD File” option allows users to integrate AGD files to larger epoch periods (e.g., 1 s epoch data collection reintegrated to 60 s epoch periods). We chose to use 3 s, which means that the HR was integrated every 3 s. The data were then exported to any format directly from the AGD file viewer by clicking on “Export data”. Data were exported to SPSS for statistical analysis.

2.4. Statistics

The results were analysed using parametric tests to determine whether there were statistically significant differences between the groups for the categorical variables. To compare the continuous variables (i.e., anxiety and physiological measures) between the groups across the 8 stages of data collection, we used mixed models for repeated measures (SPSS program) following an exploratory analysis and a selection of the best covariance structure. When the difference was significant, multiple comparison tests and Student’s t-test were used to identify differences between the averages (p-value <0.05).

3. Results

All participants met the above prescribed inclusion criteria and were assigned either to watch the video presentation or listen to routine verbal explanation of procedures and the possible postoperative complications. Our data showed a constant increase in participant HR during different phases of surgical procedures, namely, during injection, extraction, immediately after tooth removal, during suturing and upon leaving the clinic, data are shown in Table 1. The highest reported mean for HR was 103.5 ± 27 bpm before leaving the clinic while the highest for MDAS was 3.4 ± 1.4 during drilling. The median MDAS before drilling and immediately after extraction were 2.7 ± 1.4 and 2.7 ± 1, respectively.

When comparing HR for the two groups (verbal (N = 22) vs. video (N = 18)), it was found that those who watched the video before surgery had lower HR reading compared with those who received verbal instructions. These differences in mean HR reading were statistically significant for the following surgical stages: during drilling, suturing, and upon leaving the clinic (Tables 2 and 3). On the contrary, MDAS was found to be inversely proportionate to HR records. Patients who were subjected to the video reported higher anxiety scores throughout some surgical stages namely (initialization, before and during tooth removal, suturing and leaving the clinic) compared with the other group. However, these differences were not statistically significant. Similar finding was reported by Netherland’s study 2016 in which they had reported a non-linear relationship. This finding may indicate that patients may subjectively express not reflecting their body physiological response due to the effect of different variables (like, surgeon, difficulty of surgery).

When we looked at the demographic variables, we found a relationship among the recorded HR, income, BMI, and level of education. We found a significant difference in MDAS score level in relation to different independent groups based on their income. The highest scores were reported for the income group above SR 20,000 and SR10,000-15,000 at 4.3 ± 0.3 and 4.1 ± 0.5 during the drilling stage, respectively. On the other hand, the Income group of less than 5000 per month showed the highest HR reading during suturing and upon leaving the clinic with 116 ± 30 and 122 ± 24 successively (Table 4). Nonetheless, in both assessments there were no reported significance differences between the groups.

With regards to the level of education, participants who had an intermediate education had higher initial HR recordings, at injection, before extraction and upon leaving when compared with participants who had college education. However, these differences were not statistically significant among the groups (Table 5). In contrast, participants who finished their college education had higher MDAS at the following stages: initially, before extraction, during drilling, after extraction and during suturing. These data revealed statistically significant differences in median scores between the groups.

In addition, the BMI variable was appraised. The obese group who had BMI values >30 had the highest HR recordings. The differences in means were statistically significant from injection until leaving the clinic at p < 0.05. Overweight and underweight patients had an MDAS score of 4 ± 1.4 at injection and drilling phases, and this value was statistically significantly different at p value < 0.05 (Table 6). Finally, the correlation between HR and MDAS was investigated. The data demonstrated a positive correlation within all surgical phases except at suturing at < 0.05 level (Fig. 2).
4. Discussion

The present study sought to determine whether the use of an audio-visual presentation of surgical information would lessen anxiety during the removal of an impacted mandibular third molar in our specialty clinic at Dental faculty, KU. Data were compared with a group who received verbal information as a typical daily practice in our surgical unit. The novelty of this study is the recording of heart rate per second using POLAR H1 sensor ActiGraph wGT3X-BT HR monitor and using the MDAS throughout the surgical phases aiming to assess the effect of audio-visual video on level of anxiety.

The MDAS was chosen because it is reliable, sensitive and easy to use in a clinical setting. The data showed lower HR readings for group who had been instructed with an audio-visual presentation compared with those who received verbal instructions. These differences in mean HR recording were statistically significant during most of the surgical phases.

The data showed an increase inMedian MDAS scores for those who were subjected to audio-visual slide information, with no statistically significant difference. A similar study design was conducted by Choi S et al., 1984 showed significant correlation between two autonomic physiologic (HR, Peripheral vasoconstriction) indices and anxiety scale [21]. Concerning the demographic data, level of education and BMI significantly affected patient anxiety during drilling. Increased mean HR was observed in patients who had an intermediate level of education. This could be due to difficulty in understanding the surgical procedure, possible complications, or too many details about the surgery, which the patients might not understand and would therefore make them more anxious.

For BMI, obese candidates had the greatest HR recordings, which could be partly due to physiological adaptation of their body systems. It is a well-known fact that increased blood pressure and HR values are noted in obese people, presented by a decrease in parasympathetic activity and prevalence of sympathetic activity [22]. The BMI was considered in our study as it is remains the most commonly used, widely accepted, and practical measure of obesity in both children and adults [23].

For BMI, obese candidates had the greatest HR recordings, which could be partly due to physiological adaptation of their body systems. System response to stress and level of anxiousness in other studies [18, 19, 20]. In this study, HR was monitored during different surgical stages and reported every 3 s. Although the use of HR assessment during the procedure itself is questionable as HR can be affected by numerous other factors such as, pain, pressure and amount of local anaesthesia. Thus, the authors consider using MDAS as another reliable subjective assessment tool. Similarly, Thyer B et al, 1984 showed significant correlation between two autonomic physiologic (HR, Peripheral vasoconstriction) indices and anxiety scale [21].

Concerning the demographic data, level of education and BMI significantly affected patient anxiety during drilling. Increased mean HR was observed in patients who had an intermediate level of education. This could be due to difficulty in understanding the surgical procedure, possible complications, or too many details about the surgery, which the patients might not understand and would therefore make them more anxious.

For BMI, obese candidates had the greatest HR recordings, which could be partly due to physiological adaptation of their body systems. It is a well-known fact that increased blood pressure and HR values are noted in obese people, presented by a decrease in parasympathetic activity and prevalence of sympathetic activity [22]. The BMI was considered in our study as it is remains the most commonly used, widely accepted, and practical measure of obesity in both children and adults [23].

| Table 2 |
| --- |
| Data illustrate the HR reading for the tested groups recorded during different surgical stages, mean, Std deviation in top table, next table is shown statistical Pearson correlations at different surgical Stages and the p-values, all N = 40. |

| Surgery Stages | Before Extraction | During | After Extraction | Suture | Leaving |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Initialize | 91.0268 | 17.32370 | 40 |
| Instruction | 93.3613 | 18.11262 | 40 |
| INJECTION | 99.3728 | 18.63095 | 40 |
| Before Extraction | 100.370 | 21.1032 | 40 |
| During | 101.2653 | 19.97628 | 40 |
| After Extraction | 97.7738 | 23.70843 | 40 |
| Suture | 99.5825 | 25.87987 | 40 |
| Leaving | 103.5768 | 27.65011 | 40 |

| Surgery Stages | Initialize | Instruction | Injection | Before Extraction | During | After Extraction | Suture | Leaving |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Pearson Correlation | .556** | .521** | .355* | .314* | .162 | .131 | .034 |
| Sig. (2-tailed) | .000 | .001 | .025 | .049 | .318 | .421 | .837 |
| Pearson Correlation | .521** | .722** | .569** | .508** | .543** | .445** | .318** |
| Sig. (2-tailed) | .000 | .000 | .000 | .001 | .000 | .004 | .045 |
| Pearson Correlation | .521** | .722** | .569** | .508** | .543** | .445** | .318** |
| Sig. (2-tailed) | .000 | .000 | .000 | .000 | .000 | .000 | .002 |
| Pearson Correlation | .555* | .569** | .842** | 1 | .929** | .722** | .750** | .614** |
| Sig. (2-tailed) | .000 | .000 | .000 | .000 | .000 | .000 | .000 |
| Pearson Correlation | .314* | .508** | .792** | .929** | 1 | .815** | .723** | .584** |
| Sig. (2-tailed) | .000 | .000 | .000 | .000 | .000 | .000 | .000 |
| Pearson Correlation | .162 | .543** | .669** | .722** | .815** | 1 | .696** | .584** |
| Sig. (2-tailed) | .000 | .000 | .000 | .000 | .000 | .000 | .000 |
| Pearson Correlation | .034 | .318* | .482** | .614** | .584** | .584** | .917** | 1 |
| Sig. (2-tailed) | .000 | .000 | .000 | .000 | .000 | .000 | .000 | .000 |

** Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).
* Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).
Table 3
Data illustrate the HR reading and AS scoring for different tested groups (verbal and video), Mean & std deviation, this followed by statistical analysis T-Test between the Meaden readings and their p-values.

| Surgical stages for MDS, AND HR reading | Instructions | N  | Mean  | Std. Deviation | Levene's Test for Equality of Variances | Sig. (2-tailed) | Mean Difference |
|----------------------------------------|--------------|----|-------|----------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Initialize_MEAN                        | Verbal       | 20 | 92.0230 | 16.84417      | .018 .89                               | .721            | 1.99250         |
|                                        | video        | 20 | 90.0305 | 18.17144      | .721 .99250                            | .842            | .100            |
| Instruction_MEAN                      | verbal       | 20 | 2.40    | 1.63           | .278 .60                               | .842            | .345            |
|                                        | video        | 20 | 2.50    | 1.504          | .842 .345                              | .842            | .345            |
| Instruction AS                        | verbal       | 20 | 2.50    | 1.63           | .2056 .16                              | .834            | .100            |
|                                        | video        | 20 | 2.60    | 1.353          | .834 .100                              | .834            | .100            |
| Injection_MEAN                        | verbal       | 20 | 2.30    | 1.342          | .19 .89                                | .243            | .500            |
|                                        | video        | 20 | 2.80    | 1.322          | .243 .500                              | .243            | .500            |
| Before_Leaving_MEAN                   | verbal       | 20 | 122.8333 | 25.6322       | .616 .01                               | .278            | 7.3300          |
|                                        | video        | 20 | 96.705  | 15.1275       | .279 .330                              | .279            | 7.3300          |
| Instruction AS                        | verbal       | 20 | 2.55    | 1.395          | .014 .90                               | .441            | .350            |
|                                        | video        | 20 | 2.90    | 1.447          | .441 .350                              | .441            | .350            |
| During MEAN                           | verbal       | 20 | 103.2445 | 24.95571      | 9.979 .00                               | .538            | 3.95850         |
|                                        | video        | 20 | 99.2860 | 17.31393      | .539 .95850                            | .539            | 3.95850         |
| Suture_MEAN                           | verbal       | 20 | 2.85    | 1.348          | .375 .400                              | .375            | .400            |
|                                        | video        | 20 | 23.83297 | 17.12880      | .375 .400                              | .375            | .400            |
| Injection_MEAN                        | verbal       | 20 | 113.3815 | 30.70786      | .4381 .04                               | .023            | 19.69095        |
|                                        | video        | 20 | 93.7720 | 20.58973      | .024         19.69095                    | .024            | 19.69095        |

Table 4
Data illustrate the HR reading in relation to the level of income for all tested groups, also shows the one way ANOVA test for HR in relation to income, and its P-value.

| Surgical stages | Income | N  | Mean  | Std. Deviation | ANOVA | Sum of Squares | Mean Square | F     | Sig      |
|-----------------|--------|----|-------|----------------|-------|----------------|-------------|-------|----------|
| Initialize_HR   | less 500 | 3  | 96.0000 | 14.33030      |       |                |             |       | .366 .778 |
|                 | 5000-1000 | 22 | 92.0500 | 16.78146      |       |                |             |       | .366 .778 |
|                 | 10000-1500 | 6  | 84.5900 | 14.25335      |       |                |             |       | .366 .778 |
|                 | above 20000 | 9 | 91.1222 | 21.66788      |       |                |             |       | .366 .778 |
| Instruction_HR | less 500 | 3  | 94.4000 | 13.22422      |       |                |             |       | .366 .778 |
|                 | 5000-1000 | 22 | 97.4959 | 21.21533      |       |                |             |       | .366 .778 |
|                 | 10000-1500 | 6  | 90.1217 | 7.98051       |       |                |             |       | .366 .778 |
|                 | above 20000 | 9 | 85.2789 | 14.69529      |       |                |             |       | .366 .778 |
| Injection_HR   | less 500 | 3  | 94.1000 | 14.06521      |       |                |             |       | .366 .778 |
|                 | 5000-1000 | 22 | 103.4409 | 21.68825     |       |                |             |       | .366 .778 |
|                 | 10000-1500 | 6  | 96.0367 | 14.84526      |       |                |             |       | .366 .778 |
| Before_Leaving_HR | less 500 | 3  | 94.1333 | 12.88194      |       |                |             |       | .366 .778 |
|                 | 5000-1000 | 22 | 104.0550 | 23.5538       |       |                |             |       | .366 .778 |
|                 | 10000-1500 | 6  | 93.683  | 9.6996       |       |                |             |       | .366 .778 |
|                 | above 20000 | 9 | 97.900  | 20.0441      |       |                |             |       | .366 .778 |
| During_HR      | less 500 | 3  | 93.0000 | 25.11971      |       |                |             |       | .366 .778 |
|                 | 5000-1000 | 22 | 104.9368 | 22.68980     |       |                |             |       | .366 .778 |
|                 | 10000-1500 | 6  | 93.3167 | 8.15951       |       |                |             |       | .366 .778 |
|                 | above 20000 | 9 | 90.3444 | 16.83947     |       |                |             |       | .366 .778 |
| After extracion_HR | less 500 | 3  | 84.8033 | 15.76629      |       |                |             |       | .366 .778 |
|                 | 5000-1000 | 22 | 104.2123 | 28.30578     |       |                |             |       | .366 .778 |
|                 | 10000-1500 | 6  | 92.8050 | 8.24353      |       |                |             |       | .366 .778 |
|                 | above 20000 | 9 | 89.6711 | 16.21456     |       |                |             |       | .366 .778 |
| Suture-HR      | less 500 | 3  | 116.6667 | 53.14446      |       |                |             |       | .366 .778 |
|                 | 5000-1000 | 22 | 102.0356 | 27.09106     |       |                |             |       | .366 .778 |
|                 | 10000-1500 | 6  | 91.8217 | 12.50358      |       |                |             |       | .366 .778 |
|                 | above 20000 | 9 | 93.0700 | 17.77777      |       |                |             |       | .366 .778 |
| Leaving -HR    | less 500 | 3  | 122.3333 | 42.37362      |       |                |             |       | .366 .778 |
|                 | 5000-1000 | 22 | 103.8836 | 28.47987     |       |                |             |       | .366 .778 |
|                 | 10000-1500 | 6  | 94.4667 | 19.67594      |       |                |             |       | .366 .778 |
|                 | above 20000 | 9 | 102.4811 | 26.53631     |       |                |             |       | .366 .778 |
Obese individuals reported the highest resting heart rate of young adolescent males [25]. Interestingly, in our study, MDAS scores were higher for under and overweight candidates at several phases of the surgery. The data for underweight individuals were not consistent with the noted HR, which has a close association with changes in body mass index extent. Thus, in underweight and overweight subjects, the versatile adaptability of autonomic cardiac function was lessened [26, 27]. It was interesting to note the importance of conveying optimum amount of surgical details, postoperative instructions and possible complications, which minimised patient anxiety and thereafter reduced postoperative pain. These facts have been mentioned in previous studies [28, 29]. Vallerand et al. reported that by providing good amount of information and to make sure that the patients understand about the possible outcomes, could considerably provide relief from pain and satisfaction with pain control. Thus preventing the use of increased amount of analgesics [28].

On the other hand, studies have shown that preoperative information provided in audio-visual presentations fails to minimise patient anxiety before or after third molar extraction. Kazancioglu et al claimed that watching a movie about third molar extractions led to an increase in anxiety and pain during the postoperative period [30]. Furthermore, Torres-Lagares et al. reported that information given in a video format increased patient anxiety and did not provide advantages for dental treatment [31]. Audio-visual presentation showing detailed surgical steps and information can cause apprehension and fear among patients, which in turn counterbalance the more tolerable situation provided by better understanding or enhanced knowledge [30]. In the later study, the authors used DAS, the Spielberger State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI), and pain analogue scales. However, the test was performed before surgery, after surgery, and one week after surgery for pain scoring. Nevertheless, in our study, MDAS was performed throughout the phases of the surgery, and we used an objective tool to record HR beat-by-beat to monitor the autonomic system response in the body. Moreover, a simplified animation clip presentation for all surgical steps was presented. Having said that, we considered many variables like levels of education, age, finical status and BMI. Based on our results, we believed

Obese individuals reported the highest resting heart rate of young adolescent males [25]. Interestingly, in our study, MDAS scores were higher for under and overweight candidates at several phases of the surgery. The data for underweight individuals were not consistent with the noted HR, which has a close association with changes in body mass index extent. Thus, in underweight and overweight subjects, the versatile adaptability of autonomic cardiac function was lessened [26, 27].

It was interesting to note the importance of conveying optimum amount of surgical details, postoperative instructions and possible complications, which minimised patient anxiety and thereafter reduced postoperative pain. These facts have been mentioned in previous studies [28, 29]. Vallerand et al. reported that by providing good amount of information and to make sure that the patients understand about the possible outcomes, could considerably provide relief from pain and satisfaction with pain control. Thus preventing the use of increased amount of analgesics [25].

On the other hand, studies have shown that preoperative information provided in audio-visual presentations fails to minimise patient anxiety before or after third molar extraction. Kazancioglu et al claimed that watching a movie about third molar extractions led to an increase in anxiety and pain during the postoperative period [30]. Furthermore, Torres-Lagares et al. reported that information given in a video format increased patient anxiety and did not provide advantages for dental treatment [31]. Audio-visual presentation showing detailed surgical steps and information can cause apprehension and fear among patients, which in turn counterbalance the more tolerable situation provided by better understanding or enhanced knowledge [30]. In the later study, the authors used DAS, the Spielberger State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI), and pain analogue scales. However, the test was performed before surgery, after surgery, and one week after surgery for pain scoring. Nevertheless, in our study, MDAS was performed throughout the phases of the surgery, and we used an objective tool to record HR beat-by-beat to monitor the autonomic system response in the body. Moreover, a simplified animation clip presentation for all surgical steps was presented. Having said that, we considered many variables like levels of education, age, finical status and BMI. Based on our results, we believed

Table 5

Data Illustrate the HR reading in relation to the level of education for all tested groups, also show the one way ANOVA test for both HR and MDAS in relation to level of education, and its P-value.

| Surgical stages | Income | N   | Mean | Std. Deviation | Mean Square | F     | Sig   |
|-----------------|--------|-----|------|----------------|-------------|-------|-------|
| Initialize_HR   | Intermediate | 1   | 102.1100 | .115.5 | .366 | .778 |
|                 | Secondary | 9   | 98.7111 | 15.78587 |             |       |       |
|                 | Collage  | 30  | 88.3520 | 17.46115 | 315.4 |       |       |
|                 | Total    | 40  | 91.0268 | 17.32370 |             |       |       |
| Instruction_HR  | Intermediate | 1   | 97.0000 | .338.2 | 1.034 | .389 |
|                 | Secondary | 9   | 108.6000 | 24.83506 |             |       |       |
|                 | Collage  | 30  | 88.6683 | 13.25669 | 327.2 |       |       |
|                 | Total    | 40  | 93.3613 | 18.11262 |             |       |       |
| Injection_HR    | Intermediate | 1   | 121.4000 | .788 | .788 | .508 |
|                 | Secondary | 9   | 106.7422 | 25.55992 |             |       |       |
|                 | Collage  | 30  | 96.4277 | 15.62129 | 352.8 |       |       |
|                 | Total    | 40  | 99.3728 | 18.63095 |             |       |       |
| Before_Extraction_HR | Intermediate | 1   | 106.0000 | .246.1 | .533 | .663 |
|                 | Secondary | 9   | 104.056 | 24.3785 |             |       |       |
|                 | Collage  | 30  | 99.077 | 20.6863 | 461.9 |       |       |
|                 | Total    | 40  | 100.370 | 21.1032 |             |       |       |
| During_HR       | Intermediate | 1   | 98.3000 | .296.07 | .726 | .543 |
|                 | Secondary | 9   | 100.3233 | 23.68653 |             |       |       |
|                 | Collage  | 30  | 101.6467 | 19.52137 | 407.6 |       |       |
|                 | Total    | 40  | 101.2653 | 19.97628 |             |       |       |
| After_extraction_HR | Intermediate | 1   | 96.1300 | .718.5 | 1.309 | .287 |
|                 | Secondary | 9   | 106.2822 | 34.43902 |             |       |       |
|                 | Collage  | 30  | 95.2760 | 19.99285 | 549.05 |       |       |
|                 | Total    | 40  | 97.7738 | 23.70843 |             |       |       |
| Suture_HR       | Intermediate | 1   | 100.0000 | .583.6 | .862 | .470 |
|                 | Secondary | 9   | 110.5144 | 35.62999 |             |       |       |
|                 | Collage  | 30  | 96.2890 | 22.4083 | 676.9 |       |       |
|                 | Total    | 40  | 99.5825 | 25.87987 |             |       |       |
| Leaving_HR      | Intermediate | 1   | 124.0000 | .541.1 | .691 | .564 |
|                 | Secondary | 9   | 111.4567 | 29.95984 |             |       |       |
|                 | Collage  | 30  | 100.5320 | 27.15334 | 783.148 |       |       |
|                 | Total    | 40  | 103.1100 | 27.65011 |             |       |       |

ANOVA Table

| Surgical stages | Sum of Squares | Mean Square | Sig   |
|-----------------|----------------|-------------|-------|
| Initialize_MDAS | Between Groups | 3.811 | 1.906 | .46 |
|                 | Within Groups  | 90.089 | 2.435 | |
| Initialize_MDAS | Between Groups | 2.533 | 1.267 | .57 |
|                 | Within Groups  | 83.367 | 2.253 | |
| Injection_MDAS  | Between Groups | 2.878 | 1.439 | .45 |
|                 | Within Groups  | 67.022 | 1.811 | |
| Before_Extraction_MDAS | Between Groups | 5.275 | 2.638 | .27 |
|                 | Within Groups  | 72.700 | 1.965 | |
| During_MDAS     | Between Groups | 4.575 | 2.288 | .33 |
|                 | Within Groups  | 75.200 | 2.032 | |
| After_Extraction_MDAS | Between Groups | 12.133 | 6.067 | .04 |
|                 | Within Groups  | 64.967 | 1.756 | |
| Suture_MDAS     | Between Groups | 1.975 | .988 | .49 |
|                 | Within Groups  | 50.800 | 1.373 | |
| Leaving_MDAS    | Between Groups | .953 | .476 | .62 |
|                 | Within Groups  | 37.022 | 1.001 | |
Table 6
Data illustrate the HR reading in relation to BMI for all tested groups, also shows the one way ANOVA test for both HR & BMI values, and its P-value.

| Surgical stages | BMI          | N  | Mean  | Std. Deviation | Anova        | Mean Square | Sig   |
|-----------------|--------------|----|-------|----------------|--------------|-------------|-------|
| Initialize_HR   | Underweight  | 5  | 100.  | 16.0           | Between Groups | 292.973     | .42   |
|                 | Normal Weight| 18 | 89.1  | 18.9           |              |             |       |
|                 | Overweight   | 12 | 93.3  | 15.3           |              |             |       |
|                 | Obesity      | 5  | 83.0  | 16.7           |              |             |       |
| Instruction_HR  | Underweight  | 5  | 103.9 | 15.7           | Between Groups | 736.872     | .07   |
|                 | Normal Weight| 18 | 85.3  | 10.9           |              |             |       |
|                 | Overweight   | 12 | 99.2  | 16.2           |              |             |       |
|                 | Obesity      | 5  | 97.2  | 34.07          |              |             |       |
| Injection_HR    | Underweight  | 5  | 109.6 | 15.1           | Between Groups | 1264.093    | .007  |
|                 | Normal Weight| 18 | 89.4  | 12.7           |              |             |       |
|                 | Overweight   | 12 | 103.2 | 16.5           |              |             |       |
|                 | Obesity      | 5  | 115.6 | 27.7           |              |             |       |
| Before_Extraction_HR | Underweight  | 5  | 108.2 | 14.8           | Between Groups | 2204.648    | .001  |
|                 | Normal Weight| 18 | 88.1  | 14.1           |              |             |       |
|                 | Overweight   | 12 | 104.4 | 17.6           |              |             |       |
|                 | Obesity      | 5  | 126.6 | 27.6           |              |             |       |
| During_HR       | Underweight  | 5  | 107.1 | 16.1           | Between Groups | 2061.909    | .000  |
|                 | Normal Weight| 18 | 89.5  | 13.3           |              |             |       |
|                 | Overweight   | 12 | 105.6 | 17.8           |              |             |       |
|                 | Obesity      | 5  | 126.9 | 21.4           |              |             |       |
| After extraction_HR | Underweight  | 5  | 97.8  | 12.64116       | Between Groups | 3603.809    | .000  |
|                 | Normal Weight| 18 | 82.0  | 8.70403        |              |             |       |
|                 | Overweight   | 12 | 108.0 | 24.24098       |              |             |       |
|                 | Obesity      | 5  | 129.6 | 12.64116       |              |             |       |
| Suture_HR       | Underweight  | 5  | 95.4  | 95.460         | Between Groups | 2299.863    | .011  |
|                 | Normal Weight| 18 | 89.1  | 89.0972        |              |             |       |
|                 | Overweight   | 12 | 104.4 | 104.4583       |              |             |       |
|                 | Obesity      | 5  | 129.7 | 129.7500       |              |             |       |
| Leaving -HR     | Underweight  | 5  | 100.2 | 100.2660       | Between Groups | 1578.057    | .098  |
|                 | Normal Weight| 18 | 95.1  | 95.1556        |              |             |       |
|                 | Overweight   | 12 | 106.9 | 106.9367       |              |             |       |
|                 | Obesity      | 5  | 129.1 | 129.1400       |              |             |       |

Fig. 2. The linear relationship between the reported HR and the subjective MDAS score reported during different surgical phases.
that an audio-visual presentation that provided a concise amount of information is required to control patient anxiety throughout the procedure when compared with routine verbal instructions that are commonly delivered in our daily practice. Our audio-visual presentation was designed by using standardized illustrations, bullets, large font sizes, and nontechnical language to facilitate better comprehension. Unfortunately, we did not include a postoperative pain assessment strategy in this study, however, such an assessment should be considered in the future.

Controlling patient anxiety is a paramount pre-requisite to achieve optimum dental service and should be implemented in routine dental practice. Our previous work showed that 3.6% of patients who attended the clinic for third molar removal developed a vasovagal attack as the main complication reported by the treating surgeons [32]. Moreover, it has been shown that surgeons require more operative time when patients were anxious [33]. It tends to be contended introducing audio visual presentation in our practice would add more burden with regard to time and cost when compared to routine verbal informed consent [34].

Nevertheless, the burden of time and cost required for audio visual presentation is negligible to what would be achieved by better understanding of the surgical procedure. Having said that an extent of claims results from an absence of communication between the surgeon and patient as opposed to malpractice [35]. Therefore, implementing such a system would provide an optimum service to the patients and minimize such a risk.

Furthermore, it has been shown that anxiety is related to the perception and tolerance of pain. The clinician’s performance may be hindered by patient anxiety in spite of delivering comprehensive and optimal treatment [32]. Patients are happier and satisfied when they are provided with reasonable information before the surgical procedure, which helps them understand the situation better. Despite the fact that most patients do not recollect a great amount of the information provided to them during the process of informed consent [1].

There were short-comings in this study that should be considered when interpreting the data. First, although the present study has used population-based sample, but was limited to patients who access service at Dental Collage, King Saud University. It would have been ideal to run the study in multicentre approach for sample selection. Previous related studies had much larger sample sizes [32, 33]. A larger cohort of patients might provide sufficient statistical power to detect a correlation between HR and MDAS. Second, the gender of the current study included only women, and this was because of logistic reasons, as the study was conducted in the female campus in our institute. Gender might influence the factors analysed in this study, as young women frequently report feeling more anxious than men [17, 26, 31]. There was evidence in literature showed there was interaction between a cognitive vulnerability for panic disorder, anxiety sensitivity, and the effects of progesterone and its metabolite, allopregnanolone, on behavioural and physiological responses to stress during the premenstrual phase. However, those variable were not considered because the sample was single cohort female patient who were referred for surgical extraction. Finally, a postoperative pain assessment strategy was not planned for this study and ranking surgical difficulty in relation to level of anxiety was not considered.

In conclusion, our experience at our institute with audio-visual presentations could minimize patient’s anxiety during surgical removal of impacted mandibular third molar. This would be due to better understanding of the surgical procedure and its potential complications. Additional studies are needed to evaluate the knowledge and the postoperative pain scoring. Larger patient samples including males are recommended to validate the results of the present study.
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