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ABSTRACT

Context. Stellar signals are the main limitation for precise radial-velocity (RV) measurements. These signals arise from the photosphere of the stars. The m s\(^{-1}\) perturbation created by these signals prevents the detection and mass characterization of small-mass planetary candidates such as Earth-twins. Several methods have been proposed to mitigate stellar signals in RV measurements. However, without precisely knowing the stellar and planetary signals in real observations, it is extremely difficult to test the efficiency of these methods.

Aims. The goal of the RV fitting challenge is to generate simulated RV data including stellar and planetary signals and to perform a blind test within the community to test the efficiency of the different methods proposed to recover planetary signals despite stellar signals.

Methods. In this first paper, we describe the simulation used to model the measurements of the RV fitting challenge. Each simulated planetary system includes the signals from instrumental noise, stellar oscillations, granulation, supergranulation, stellar activity, and observed and simulated planetary systems. In addition to RV variations, this simulation also models the effects of instrumental noise and stellar signals on activity observables obtained by HARPS-type high-resolution spectrographs, that is, the calcium activity index \(\log (R\text{H}K\prime)\) and the bisection span and full width at half maximum of the cross-correlation function.

Results. We publish the 15 systems used for the RV fitting challenge including the details about the planetary systems that were injected into each of them.
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1. Introduction

The radial-velocity (RV) technique is an indirect method that measures the stellar wobble induced by a planet orbiting its host star with Doppler spectroscopy. It is fundamental for transit surveys, where it is used to confirm the exoplanet candidates and to understand their composition through measuring the planet mass. Based on this, the planet density is derived with the help of the radius measured using the transit light curve. Important results have been achieved in the \textit{Kepler} era, such as the confirmation of Kepler-78 with the HIRES and the HARPS-N spectrographs (Sanchis-Ojeda et al. 2013; Howard et al. 2013; Pepe et al. 2013), the characterization of the exoplanet Kepler 10-c, which has 17 Earth masses (Dumusque 2014), and the indication that all planets below \(\sim 6 M_\oplus\) have a similar rocky composition (Dressing et al. 2015). However, the RV technique is strongly limited by the faintness of \textit{Kepler} targets. This will not be the case for upcoming photometric space missions such as TESS (Ricker et al. 2014) and PLATO (Rauer et al. 2014), which will deliver hundreds of good candidates for RV follow-up. Obtaining the planet density is also important to estimate the scale height of the atmosphere that might exist on a planet, and thus form an idea of the detectability of chemical species in this atmosphere. This will be crucial in the \textit{James Webb} Space Telescope era, when this scale height needs to be known before dozens of hours of telescope time are spent trying to detect chemical species in the atmosphere of rocky planets orbiting M dwarfs. In addition to complementing transit detections that are restricted to a very limited orbital configuration in terms of inclinations, precise RVs may also be the only technique for detecting low-mass planets orbiting nearby bright stars, for which the atmospheres will be characterized with direct-imaging future space missions.

The RV technique is sensitive not only to possible companions, but also to signals arising from the photosphere of the host star, called stellar signals here. Now that the m s\(^{-1}\) precision level has been reached by the best spectrographs, it is obvious that stars introduce signals at a similar level, which strongly complicates the detection and measurement of small-mass planets. There are several examples in the literature that show this, and we present here only a few of them. GI581 is assumed to have between three and six planets (Hatzes 2016; Anglada-Escudé & Tuomi 2015; Robertson et al. 2014; Balceu 2013; Vogt et al. 2012, 2010; Gregory 2011; Mayor et al. 2009), HD 40307 between four and six planets
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\* Based on observations collected at the La Silla Parana Observatory, ESO (Chile), with the HARPS spectrograph at the 3.6-m telescope.

\*\* The simulated data sets are available at the CDS via anonymous ftp to cdsarc.u-strasbg.fr (130.79.128.5) or via http://cdsarc.u-strasbg.fr/viz-bin/qcat?J/A+A/593/A5 and at the wiki of the RV fitting challenge https://rv-challenge.wikispaces.com.
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(Díaz et al. 2016; Tuomi et al. 2013), and GJ667C between three and seven planets (Feroz & Hobson 2014; Anglada-Escudé et al. 2012; Gregory 2012). In all these systems, the number of detected planets strongly depends on the model used to fit the data, and this is a sign that stellar signals are not properly modeled. Different models exist to mitigate the effect of stellar signals, but without precisely knowing the contribution of stellar and planetary signals in real observations, it is extremely difficult to determine how efficient the different methods are in correcting for stellar signals. We note that the HARPS-N solar telescope (Dumusque et al. 2015) will deliver the perfect real data set on which the RV effect of stellar signals can be better determined. Likewise, these future data sets will help in determining the efficiency with which different techniques can recover tiny planetary signals such as those from Venus despite stellar signals. Other facilities are also observing the Sun-as-a-star using high-resolution spectroscopy: the SONG spectrograph (Pallé et al. 2013), the PEPSI spectrograph (Strassmeier et al. 2015), and the GONG spectrograph (Izidoro et al. 2015). Data provided by these facilities, often allowing higher spectral resolution than HARPS-N, are complementary to the data obtained by the HARPS-N solar telescope and therefore will be extremely useful for characterizing the RV effect of the stellar signal in more detail through analyzing spectral line variations (spectral line bisector and full width at half maximum, FWHM).

To be able to test the efficiency of the different techniques in recovering tiny planetary signals despite stellar signals, we started the RV fitting challenge initiative. The idea of the RV fitting challenge is

– to generate simulated RV time series that include realistic planetary and stellar signals, and
– to share these times series with the community so that different teams using different techniques to account for stellar signal can search for the injected planetary signal.

When the planetary signal injected in the data is known exactly, it is a posteriori possible to test the efficiency of the different methods used to search for planetary signals despite stellar signals. This RV fitting challenge exercise was performed between October 2014 and June 2015, and an analysis of the results of the different teams will be presented in a forthcoming paper (Dumusque et al. 2016). We note that a similar challenge was started the RV fitting challenge initiative. The idea of the RV fitting challenge is

2. Stellar signals and their effects on precise RV measurements

Stellar signals affecting RV measurements can be separated into four categories:

– stellar oscillations on timescales of a few minutes for solar-like stars;
– stellar granulation and supergranulation on timescales of a few minutes to 48 h;
– short-term stellar activity on the timescale of the stellar rotation period, which is induced by rotation in the presence of evolving surface magnetic inhomogeneities (mainly spots and plages); and
– long-term stellar activity on timescales of several years, which is induced by stellar magnetic cycles.

Stellar oscillations are produced by pressure waves (p-modes) propagating at the surface of solar-type stars and inducing a dilatation and contraction of external envelopes over timescales of a few minutes (5 min for the Sun, Kjeldsen & Bedding 1995; Ulrich 1970; Leighton et al. 1962; Evans & Michaud 1962). The RV signature of these modes typically varies between 10 and 400 cm s\(^{-1}\), depending on the stellar type and evolutionary stage. The amplitude and period of oscillation modes increases with mass along the main sequence. Theory predicts that the frequencies of p-modes increase with the square root of the stellar mean density and that their amplitudes are proportional to the luminosity over mass ratio (Christensen-Dalsgaard 2004). The most precise spectrographs today can reach sub-m s\(^{-1}\) RV precision and are therefore capable of directly resolving stellar oscillations on solar-type stars other than the Sun (Arentoft et al. 2008; Bouchy & Carrier 2001; Bedding et al. 2001; Martić et al. 1999).

Stellar granulation and supergranulation that are due to the convective nature of solar-type stars also affect RV measurements at the order of the m s\(^{-1}\) level (Dumusque et al. 2011b). These convective phenomena can be found throughout the stellar surface, except in active regions where convection is significantly lower (e.g., Brandt & Solanki 1990; Livingston 1982; Dravins 1982). The surface convection has been studied in detail on the Sun and also by using 3D simulations (e.g., Beeck et al. 2013a,b; Holzreuter & Solanki 2013; de la Cruz Rodríguez et al. 2011; Asplund et al. 2000; Dravins 1981). While most of these simulations often modeled a few spectral lines and in a very small box compared to the solar surface, some other models allow reproducing the disk-integrated visible spectrum, which can then be used to measure full-disk RV variations as is done for exoplanet observations (Meunier et al. 2015; Cegla et al. 2013; Allende Prieto et al. 2013). From these simulations and from high-spatial resolution observations of the Sun, it is very well known that photospheric
lines that emerge from the granules and intergranular lanes (which surround granules) are not only shifted with respect to each other, as a result of the opposite velocity field, but are highly asymmetric and are characterized by bisectors of opposite slope. The strong asymmetry of spectral lines originating from granules is largely cancelled out by the opposite asymmetry of the intergranular lanes. The line shifts and asymmetries observed in stellar spectra are therefore much smaller than the asymmetries and shifts of the spectral lines that originate from either the granules or the intergranular lanes.

Short-term stellar activity is induced by stellar rotation in the presence of active regions, dark spots, and bright plages. When the star rotates, these active regions induce an RV variation by two different physical processes. Because the temperatures in these regions differ from the average surface temperature, their emerging flux is different. Sunspots are ∼700 K cooler than the effective temperature of the Sun (Meunier et al. 2010) and therefore have a much lower flux than quiet solar photosphere regions. A spot will therefore break the flux balance between the blueshifted approaching limb and the redshifted receding limb of a rotating star and will induce an RV variation as it passes across the visible stellar disk. A plage at the disk center is only slightly hotter than the average effective temperature and will induce a weak flux effect. A plage on the limb will be brighter because of the center-to-limb brightness dependence (Unruh et al. 1999; Frazier 1971), but at this location, the star emits less light as a result of limb darkening. Independently of its location, a plage will therefore induce a weaker flux effect than a spot, even if plages tend to be of a much higher order compared to sunspots (Chapman et al. 2001). The second effect is induced because strong local magnetic fields inhibit convection inside active regions (Brandt & Solanki 1990; Cavallini 1985a; Livingston 1982; Dravins 1982). This inhibition of convection suppresses the convective blueshift effect, ∼300 m s$^{-1}$ for the Sun, inside active regions. These regions therefore appear redshifted compared to the quiet photosphere (see Fig. 3 in Cavallini 1985a), which implies an RV variation as active regions appear and disappear from the visible part of the stellar disk through rotation.

Long-term stellar activity due to a solar-like magnetic cycle affects RV measurements on a timescale of several years. The idea that magnetic cycles perturb RVs was first reported by Campbell et al. (1988) and Dravins (1985). The level of magnetic activity in solar-type stars has been studied for more than 50 years using the Mount Wilson S-index and the log(R′ HK) index (Wilson 1963), and it has been shown that this index and the magnetic field strength are closely related (e.g., Schrijver et al. 1989). Variation in the activity level of thousands of FGK dwarfs has been studied by Hall et al. (2007); Baliunas et al. 1995; Wilson 1978), but it was realized only eventually that RVs can be influenced by magnetic cycles. A few attempts of measuring such an effect in the Sun yielded somewhat contradictory results: Deming & Flymate (1994) found a peak-to-peak RV variation of 28 m s$^{-1}$ throughout the solar cycle, while McMillan et al. (1993) obtained constant RVs within ∼4 m s$^{-1}$. Measurements of similar RV effects in other stars have remained inconclusive (Santos et al. 2010; Isaacson & Fischer 2010; Wright 2005; Paulson et al. 2002) until the work of Lovis et al. (2011) and Dumusque et al. (2011a). Solar-like magnetic cycles are characterized by an increasing filling factor of active regions when the activity level rises. Because convection is strongly reduced in active regions as a result of the magnetic field (see previous paragraph), the star will appear redder (positive velocity) during its high-activity phase. A positive correlation between the RVs and the activity level is therefore observed (Meunier et al. 2010; Lindegren & Dravins 2003). This is the most commonly given explanation, although some other physical processes might be responsible for this long-term RV-activity correlation, for example, the variation in surface flows proposed by Makarov (2010).

3. Simulating instrumental noise and stellar signals

The goal of the RV fitting challenge is to measure the efficiency of the different techniques that are used to search for planetary signals embedded in stellar signals. This measurement can only be made on simulated RV measurements, for which we precisely know the planetary signals that should be recovered. These simulated RV time series need to be realistic, however, if we wish to be able to use the conclusions of the RV fitting challenge for real data sets. In this section, we describe the model that we used to simulate in a simple way realistic RV, BIS SPAN, FWHM, and log(R′ HK) time series.

3.1. Instrumental noise and stellar signal induced by oscillations, granulation, and supergranulation

Instrumental noise and stellar signals induced by oscillations, granulation, and supergranulation are known to have timescales shorter than a few days. These types of signals can be studied using continuous high-cadence observations of solar-type stars on a timescale of a week (Dumusque et al. 2011c). These observations are crucial for asteroseismology studies and have been collected by the HARPS spectrograph (Teixeira et al. 2009; Bazot et al. 2007; Bouchy et al. 2005). In particular, the G8 dwarf τ Ceti (HD 10700) was observed with a cadence of 54 to 71 s over six consecutive nights (Teixeira et al. 2009). We used these real measurements to estimate the RV effect of instrumental noise and stellar signals induced by oscillations, granulation, and supergranulation, and to generate realistic RV data that included all these signals.

The strategy we employed to generate realistic data that include instrumental noise and stellar signals is to first transform the time-domain RVs of τ Ceti into the frequency domain, fit the power of the signal as a function of frequency, and finally return to the time domain while changing the phase of all frequencies randomly (Dumusque et al. 2011d). Changing the phases allows us to reconstruct RV measurements that are different sampled than the original data, but still include similar instrumental and stellar signals.

To transform the time-domain RV measurements of τ Ceti into the frequency domain, we used the generalized Lomb-Scargle periodogram (GLS, Zechmeister & Kürster 2009; Scargle 1982; Lomb 1976). Figure 1 shows the result of this transformation, which highlights four different components:

- Supergranulation and granulation at low frequency, which we can fit using an empirical power law as initially proposed by Harvey (1984) and reviewed by Andersen et al. (1994) and Palle et al. (1995).
- Stellar oscillations that create a bump in the power spectrum seen at high frequency. We can account for this feature using a Lorentzian profile (Lefebvre et al. 2008);
- Instrumental noise at very high frequency. Within the assumption that this noise is white, we can fit it using a constant value spanning the entire frequency range. The value of this constant is only seen at very high frequency because of the strong oscillation, granulation, and supergranulation signals at lower frequencies.
Considering these different sources of signal, we can fit the frequency-domain RV measurements using the following model:

\[
P(\nu) = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{A_i}{1 + (B_i\nu)^2} + A_3 \frac{\Gamma^2}{(\nu - \nu_0)^2 + \Gamma^2} + C_{\text{inst}},
\]

where \(A_i, B_i, \) and \(C_i\) are parameters that account for granulation and supergranulation, and \(i\) differentiates one source of the signal from the other. \(A_3, \Gamma, \) and \(\nu_0\) are the amplitude, the FWHM, and the center of the bump created by stellar oscillations, and \(C_{\text{inst}}\) is the constant amplitude of the white instrumental noise. More details about this fit can be found in Dumusque et al. (2011d).

The RV is not the only observable to be affected by stellar signals. The bisector span (BIS SPAN, Queloz et al. 2001) and the FWHM of the cross-correlation function (CCF; Pepe et al. 2002; Baranne et al. 1996) are also affected. The power spectrum of these two observables in Fig. 2 shows the supergranulation and granulation signals at low frequency and the signal of instrumental noise at very high frequency. However, we do not detect a bump caused by stellar oscillations. We decided to fit the power spectrum of the BIS SPAN and the FWHM using a model similar to Eq. (1), but only considering one component to fit granulation and supergranulation and one constant to account for instrumental noise. In this case, we have

\[
P(\nu) = \frac{A}{1 + (B\nu)^2} + C_{\text{inst}}.
\]

The calcium activity index \(\log(R'_\text{HK})\) in the frequency domain shows a similar behavior as for the BIS SPAN and the FWHM. We therefore fit this observable using the same model.

The best fit of each observable in the frequency domain contains the information on the amplitude of the stellar and instrumental signals as a function of frequency. Returning to the time domain using the inverse transformation of the GLS applied to the best fit allows creating simulated RV, BIS SPAN, FWHM, and \(\log(R'_\text{HK})\) measurements. These simulated measurements include stellar and instrumental signals of similar amplitude as observed in the original measurements of \(\tau\) Ceti. During the inversion process, the phase of each point in frequency must be changed to generate the data for any observational date. We did not elect to use the phases calculated by the GLS periodogram because it will reproduce the real data after inversion, setting the RVs to zero during the observation gaps. We chose a uniformly random phase between 0 and \(2\pi\) for each point in frequency before applying the inverse GLS periodogram transformation.

To check that simulated data that include stellar and instrumental signals are realistic when compared to observations, we selected four quiet solar-type stars that have thoroughly been observed with HARPS: \(\tau\) Ceti (G8V), HD 20794 (G8V), HD 192310 (K3V), and HD 85512 (K5V). For each star, we generated simulated data using the same calendar as the real measurements. We then computed the rms of the RVs, the BIS SPAN, the FWHM, and the \(\log(R'_\text{HK})\) for all chunks of ten consecutive days, including at least seven observations. In Fig. 3 we show the results of this comparison. The agreement between the rms measured on the observed and simulated data demonstrates that we are able to create simulated data that include stellar and instrumental signals similar to real observations performed with HARPS.

### 3.2. Signal induced by stellar activity

The vast majority of solar-type stars presents a solar-like magnetic cycle (Lovis et al. 2011; Dumusque et al. 2011b). During one cycle, the activity of a star changes from a quiet phase where only a few active regions appear on its surface to an active phase where a significant fraction of its surface is covered by active regions. These active regions, consisting of dark spots or bright plages, induce a deformation of spectral lines because their difference in contrast with respect to the photosphere breaks the flux balance between the blueshifted approaching and the redshifted receding limb of a rotating star. In addition, inhibited convection by strong local magnetic fields in these active regions also modifies the shape of spectral lines. Both of these effects induce a change in the barycenter of each spectral line, therefore implying a spurious RV shift. More details about the effect of spots and plages on stellar spectra and RV measurements are reported in Dumusque et al. (2014), Meunier et al. (2010), Desort et al. (2007), Saar & Donahue (1997), and references therein.

Stellar activity can be separated into two components: one on short timescales, induced by stellar rotation in the presence of
evolving active regions, and another on long timescales, induced by the increasing or decreasing number of active regions that are a result of solar-like magnetic cycles. We discuss the effect of short-term activity in the next section. Long-term activity is addressed in Sect. 3.2.2.

For an active region of a given size, contrast, latitude, and longitude, and a given rotation period, we can use the SOAP 2.0 code to estimate the effects of this active region on photometry, RV, BIS SPAN, and FWHM as the star rotates (Dumusque et al. 2014). To estimate the photometric RV, BIS SPAN, and FWHM variations induced by an active region, SOAP 2.0 divides the stellar surface into thousands of cells, each of which contains the average line profile of a solar active region or of the solar quiet photosphere, depending on whether the cells cover active regions or not. These line profiles are derived by computing the CCF of the NSO solar spectral atlases for a quiet-photosphere region (Wallace et al. 1998) and a sunspot (Wallace et al. 2005). In the same way as for the simulation of stellar oscillations and granulation presented in Sect. 3.1, SOAP 2.0 uses real observations to avoid modeling the complex spectrum emerging from the quiet photosphere and active regions (e.g., Balasubramaniam 2002). The convective blueshift effect in the quiet photosphere and its inhibition in active regions is naturally included in the different average line profiles. The line profile in each cell is weighted according to the emerging flux: 1 for quiet-photosphere regions, smaller than 1 and constant for spot regions, and larger than 1 but varying with distance from the limb for plages to account for the limb-brightening effect (Akimov et al. 1982; Frazier 1971). Finally all the cells are summed, taking into account stellar rotation and limb darkening to obtain a full-disk average line profile from which it is possible to derive the photometry, RV, BIS SPAN, and FWHM. For more details we refer to Dumusque et al. (2014).

We note that SOAP 2.0, as published, does not consider a wavelength dependency for the contrast of spots and plages and no limb-center variation in the bisector of quiet regions (Cavallini 1985b), although this last effect seems negligible (see Appendix B in Dumusque et al. 2014). In addition, the code is not capable of estimating the variation in calcium activity index $\log(R'_{HK})$, which is an important observable that probes the presence of active regions. In the next section, we modify the SOAP 2.0 code to derive an estimate for the $\log(R'_{HK})$ variation in presence of active regions.

3.2.1. Deriving the calcium activity index with SOAP 2.0

The calcium activity index $S_{\text{index}}$ is a measure of the emission seen in the core of the $\text{Ca} \ II$ H and K lines (e.g., Vaughan et al. 1978):

$$S_{\text{index}} = \frac{H + K}{C_{3900} + C_{4000}},$$

$$\log(R'_{HK}) = \log \left[ 1.34 \times 10^{-3} C_{\text{cf}} S_{\text{index}} \right],$$

where $H$ and $K$ are the total fluxes measured in the core of the $\text{Ca} \ II$ H and K lines, and $C_{3900}$ and $C_{4000}$ are the total fluxes in the 20 Å pseudo-continuums centered at 3900 and 4000 Å. We also show here the conversion from $S_{\text{index}}$ to log($R'_{HK}$) (Noyes et al. 1984). The calcium activity indicator log($R'_{HK}$) is corrected for the stellar energy distribution and therefore is used to compare the activity between stars of different spectral types. This calcium activity index is often used as a proxy for stellar activity when analyzing RV measurements. Therefore, we decided to model the variation of this observable using SOAP 2.0 for the purpose of the RV fitting challenge.

It is possible in SOAP 2.0 to place a high-resolution spectrum rather than its CCF in each simulation cell. To estimate the calcium activity index, we included the part of the NSO solar spectral atlases that includes the $\text{Ca} \ II$ H and K lines and the surrounding continuums used to calculate $S_{\text{index}}$. Unfortunately, the NSO solar spectral atlases used only begin at 3920 Å, which prevents us from measuring the flux in the pseudo-continuum

---

1 http://astro.up.pt/resources/soap2/
2 The CCFs are obtained by cross correlation of the spectral atlases with the binary mask commonly used on HARPS measurements to derive the CCF of G dwarfs. This binary mask exhibits holes at the position of each spectral line, each hole being weighted by the depth of the corresponding spectral line. This allows giving more weight to the deep lines because they contain more RV information (Pepe et al. 2002). The RV precision on a spectral line is inversely proportional to the derivative of the spectrum (see Eq. (3) in Bouchy et al. 2001). Thus deep spectral lines have wings with stronger slopes, thus higher derivative values, which in the end implies a better RV precision.
centered on 3900 Å. Considering that the $S_{\text{index}}$ for the quiet Sun\footnote{\label{fn:quietSun}log($R'_{\text{H}\kappa}$) for the quiet Sun is $-5.0$. Using Eq. (3) and a $B-V$ of 0.656, log($R'_{\text{H}\kappa}$) = $-5.0$ implies that $S_{\text{index}} = 0.16$.} is 0.16, we can estimate the total flux measured in the two 20 Å pseudo continuums, $C_{3900} + C_{4000} = \frac{H_{\text{quiet Sun}} + K_{\text{quiet Sun}}}{0.16}$, and therefore we have

$$S_{\text{index}} = 0.16 \frac{(H + K)}{H_{\text{quiet Sun}} + K_{\text{quiet Sun}}}.$$ \hfill (4)

Using this formula, we can estimate the variation of the calcium activity index with SOAP 2.0 as a visible active region rotates with the solar disk. Figure 4 shows the result of a SOAP 2.0 simulation for an equatorial plage covering 5% in surface area of the visible hemisphere. In this example, the amplitude in $S_{\text{index}}$ observed is 0.027, from 0.160 to 0.187, which corresponds to a variation in log($R'_{\text{H}\kappa}$) of 0.15, from $-5.0$ to $-4.85$. Figure 5 illustrates the variation in emission seen in the core of the Ca II $H$ and $K$ lines between a case when no plage is present (quiet Sun) and when a plage that covers 5% of the surface is at disk center (active Sun).

The effect of an active region on photometry, RV, BIS SPAN, and FWHM is proportional to the active region surface area (see Fig. 8 in Dumusque 2014). This is expected because a large active region should induce the same effect on these observables as several smaller active regions of which it might consist. We show in Fig. 6 that the $S_{\text{index}}$ is also proportional to the covered area.

Fig. 3. Comparison between the simulated and real data for r Ceti (HD 10700), HD 20794, HD 192310, and HD 85512. For each star, the simulated data are generated with the same calendar as the observed measurements. We then compute the rms of the RVs, the BIS SPAN, the FWHM, and the log($R'_{\text{H}\kappa}$) for chunks of ten consecutive days each, including at least seven observations. Each subplot shows the histogram of the rms computed based on the observed (blue) and simulated (red) data, with the corresponding median highlighted by the dashed vertical lines and its value reported in the legend. The agreement between the histograms and the median values demonstrates that the simulated data that include granulation signal, oscillation signal, and instrumental noise are similar to the real observations performed with HARPS.

Fig. 4. Effect in RV, BIS SPAN, FWHM, and $S_{\text{index}}$ induced by stellar rotation in presence of an equatorial plage. The plage is 5% in area of the visible hemisphere, and the stellar rotation period is 25 days. The plage is on the limb at a rotation phase of $-0.25$ and 0.25 and at disk center for phase 0.
surface area. This proportionality is crucial for our simulation of stellar activity because it allows us to measure the total effect of these regions by summing the individual contribution of each of them when we consider several active regions on the stellar surface in Sect. 3.2.2.

We note that the study presented here shows the effect of plages on the calcium activity index. A similar behavior can be seen for spots, but with a smaller $S_{\text{index}}$ variation, as spots tend to be an order of magnitude smaller than plages (Chapman et al. 2001) and much fainter than the quiet photosphere.

We are aware that this model does not correctly estimate the maximum variation amplitude in $S_{\text{index}}$ for a given active region. This model can only give an approximate estimate because

- we were unable to use the pseudo-continuum at 3900 Å to measure the $S_{\text{index}}$ because this pseudo-continuum is absent from the NSO solar spectral atlases we used;
- we did not consider that the flux in the core of the Ca II $H$ and $K$ lines would need to be multiplied by a triangular function to match the Mount Wilson survey observations (Vaughan et al. 1978);
- we used in this simulation the NSO solar spectral atlas of a spot to model the Ca II $H$ and $K$ emission in a plage.

These different active regions have different magnetic field strengths, therefore different emission in the chromosphere; and

- the magnetic field strength varies from one active region to another, implying different emission in the core of the Ca II $H$ and $K$ lines.

However, important for the RV fitting challenge is not the maximum amplitude of the variation observed in $S_{\text{index}}$, but its variation as a function of time. This time variation is assumed to be correctly taken into account because the value of $S_{\text{index}}$ changes with the projection of the active region toward the line of sight, and its variation is symmetric with respect to the stellar disk center (see Fig. 4).

### 3.2.2. Simulating the RV short- and long-term activity signals of the Sun

In the preceding section, we showed that we are able to estimate the effect of active regions on the different observables obtained from HARPS-like spectrographs using SOAP 2.0. It is not possible, however, to consider the appearance and size evolution of active regions with SOAP 2.0, which is necessary to model the activity of Sun-like stars. To do so, we used a model developed in Dumusque et al. (2011e). In this previous work, the authors used empirical laws from solar observations to simulate the appearance of spot groups on the solar surface because spots tend to appear in groups. Here, we improve this model by also considering plages, which are generally found to surround spots. In the new model, a plage group will surround a spot group to form what we refer to as an active region group (ARG).

Considering an ARG is possible because the amplitude of the variations observed in RV, BIS SPAN, FWHM, and $\log(R'_{\text{HK}})$ are proportional to the active region surface area (see Fig. 8 in Dumusque et al. 2014, and Sect. 3.2.1 here for the calcium activity index). This ensures that considering the individual effect of ten active regions covering an ARG and then summing their contribution, or simply considering the effect of the ARG, will give the same results.

We present here a summary of the empirical laws derived from solar observations that we used to simulate the appearance of ARGs on the surface of a star. For more details we refer to Dumusque et al. (2011c). We note that the goal here is not to simulate the activity of the Sun, as this can be extremely
complex and requires sophisticated magnetohydrodynamic models like COSBOLD (Freytag et al. 2012), but to model in a simple way the observed phenomena that lead to RV variations.

Appearance and evolution of active region groups

We followed Dumusque et al. (2011c) to simulate the appearance and evolution of spot groups and started with an empirical law from solar observations that describes their lifetime distribution (Howard 2000):

- 50% of the spot groups have lifetimes of between 1 h and 2 days;
- 40% of the spot groups have lifetimes of between 2 days and 11 days; and
- 10% of the spot groups have lifetimes of between 11 days and 2 months.

For simplicity, we assumed that spot groups and plage regions surrounding them, forming what we call ARGs, are tightly related. Plage groups appear and disappear at the same time as spot groups and evolve in size in a similar way. Plage groups cover a surface area that is ten times larger than the area covered by spot groups (Chapman et al. 2001).

The lifetime of an ARG is correlated to its maximum surface area (Howard 2000). For a surface area measured in filling factors, that is, the surface area ratio between the active region and the visible hemisphere, the largest size of an ARG is given by

\[ f_{\text{ARG, max}} = 10^{-4} T, \]

where the maximum filling factor of the ARG \( f_{\text{ARG, max}} \) is expressed in hemisphere, and the lifetime \( T \) in days. As in Dumusque et al. (2011c), we did not consider small ARGs that last shorter than one day and affect the RV signal only negligibly.

The appearance of ARGs is ruled by a Poisson law:

\[ P[(N(t + \tau) - N(t)) = k] = e^{-\lambda t}(\lambda t)^k/k!, \quad k = 0, 1, \ldots, \]

where \( P \) is the appearance probability of an ARG, \( N \) is the number of ARGs, \( t \) is the time, \( \tau \) is the time step, and \( \lambda \) is the average appearance rate of ARGs per unit of time. When an ARG appears on the stellar surface, it starts with a null size that increases during the first third of the ARG lifetime up to its maximum size as defined by Eq. (5). The size then decreases during the remaining two thirds of its lifetime.

Active region groups appear around preferred active longitudes, with a Gaussian distribution centered on 0 and a dispersion of 15 degrees. Compared to the work of Dumusque et al. (2011c), where 4, 5, or 6 active longitudes could exist, we here only considered the five-case scenario that breaks the symmetry between the northern and southern hemisphere.

Solar magnetic cycle

The Sun has an 11-year magnetic cycle, during which the so-called sunspot number on the visible hemisphere varies from zero to ~150–200, as seen for cycles 21, 22, and 23. We note that because of difficulties in counting small spots inside spot groups, the sunspot number does not correspond to the precise number of spots at a given time, but is defined as ten times the number of spot groups plus the number of individual spots. The average number of spots inside spot groups is ~10, therefore the sunspot number represents an average number of spots at a given time.

A solar magnetic cycle is characterized by a progressive increase in the number of ARGs until the maximum of the cycle is reached, followed by a progressive decrease until nearly no ARGs remain on the solar surface. During a magnetic cycle, it is also observed that ARGs migrate from high latitude, ±30 degrees at the beginning of the cycle, toward the equator (Maunder 1904; Lockyer 1904). To include both of these effects in our simulation, we used a time-dependent average appearance rate of ARGs (\( \lambda \) in Eq. (6)) and a time-dependent latitude:

\[ \lambda(t) = (\lambda_{\text{max activity}} - 0.5) \left[ -0.5 \cos \left( \frac{t}{P_{\text{cycle}}} + \phi_0 \right) + 0.5 \right] + 0.5, \]

\[ \theta(t) = \theta_{\text{min activity}} \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{2\pi} \left( \frac{t}{P_{\text{cycle}}} + \phi_0 \right) \right], \]

where \( \lambda \) is the time, \( \lambda_{\text{max activity}} \) is the appearance rate of ARGs per unit of time for the highest activity level, \( P_{\text{cycle}} \) is the period of the magnetic cycle, \( \phi_0 \) is the phase of the magnetic cycle at time 0 (between 0 and 1), and \( \theta_{\text{min activity}} \) is ±30 degrees is the latitude at which ARGs appear at the beginning of the magnetic cycle. We note that we added 0.5 ARG day\(^{-1}\) to \( \lambda(t) \) here to consider a low but non-zero appearance probability of ARGs at the lowest activity level.

To reproduce an average number of 150 to 200 spots, as observed on the Sun for the last maximum activity level of cycle 21, 22, and 23, we needed to consider\(^6\) \( \lambda_{\text{max activity}} = 10.5 \) ARG day\(^{-1}\). This value was selected after several simulations modeling solar maximum, that is, fixing \( \lambda(t) \) to \( \lambda_{\text{max activity}} \) and considering \( \theta(t) = ±15 \) degrees. Our simulation only gives us information about the total number of ARG or spot groups. To derive the total number of spots, we used as in Dumusque et al. (2011c) the proportionality between the number of spots per group and the spot group surface area\(^7\), which is one tenth of the ARG surface area by construction.

Because ARGs migrate from high toward low latitudes, it is important to consider the observed solar differential rotation. We considered here an empirical law derived from solar observations by Howard (1996):

\[ \omega = A + B \sin^2 \theta, \]

where \( \omega \) is the angular speed in degree day\(^{-1}\), \( \theta \) is the latitude of the ARG, \( A = 14.476 ± 0.006 \) degree day\(^{-1}\) is the angular speed of the solar equator, and \( B = -2.875 ± 0.058 \) day\(^{-1}\) is the amplitude of the differential rotation. ARGs at higher latitudes therefore rotate more slowly than their lower latitude analogs.

3.2.3. Solar activity model and comparison with observations

The physics included in our simulation of solar activity is rather simple, and we do not intend to model solar observations precisely. For a more complex and realistic model, we refer to

\(^6\) We note that the average appearance rate of ARGs per unit of time is slightly higher than twice the value reported in Dumusque et al. (2011c). This discrepancy is explained by the fact that we here counted the average appearance rate of ARGs per unit of time over the entire surface, while Dumusque et al. (2011c) considered the average appearance rate of ARGs per unit of time over the visible hemisphere. This new definition makes more sense.

\(^7\) From Fig. 4 in Hathaway & Choudhary (2008), we derive that \( N_{\text{spot group}} = 3.3 \times 10^3 S_{\text{spot group}} \), where \( N_{\text{spot group}} \) is the number of spots in the spot group and \( S_{\text{spot group}} \) is the surface area of the spot group in percent of hemisphere.
Borgniet et al. (2015). However, as the goal of this activity model is to simulate the activity of solar-type stars for the purpose of the RV fitting challenge, a first-order agreement with solar observations is sufficient. In Figs. 7–9 we show the results of a five-year simulation of the Sun, with a magnetic cycle period set to three years.

We first compare the results of our simulation with the observed maximum number of spots, as well as the projected filling factor of spots and plages. In the left panel of Fig. 7 we show the evolution of the spot number and the variation in the projected filling factor for the spot and the plage groups. The number of spots on the visible hemisphere, averaged over a month, peaks at 160 and 208 for the first and second maxima of the magnetic cycle. For the same times, the projected filling factor of the spot groups is 0.23 and 0.29%, and by construction, the projected filling factor of the plage groups is ten times larger. Meunier et al. (2010) derived these projected filling factor values for cycle 23 using MDI/SOHO magnetograms, and Borgniet et al. (2015) studied their monthly averages. The highest values found for cycle 23 are ~0.2% for the projected filling factor of spots and ~3% for the filling factor of plages. Considering these values, our simulation reproduces solar observations, although it may slightly underestimate the surface area covered by plages.

In the right panel of Fig. 7 we show the variations in flux, RV and log($R'_{HK}$) from our simulation$^8$. The flux effect induced by spots is nearly compensated for by plages, which can be explained by spots being fainter and plages being brighter than the solar quiet photosphere. For the RV, our simulation demonstrates that the observed variation is fully dominated by plages, as stated in several preceding studies of slow rotators like the Sun (Dumusque 2014; Meunier et al. 2010). This is also expected for log($R'_{HK}$) because spots have a negligible surface area compared to plages, and they are much fainter.

The peak-to-peak amplitude of the long-term RV variation in our simulated data set is about 20 m s$^{-1}$, which is compatible with the amplitudes measured on a large sample of solar-like dwarfs (Lovis et al. 2011; Dumusque et al. 2011b). We note, however, that the simulated log($R'_{HK}$) peak-to-peak variation is underestimated by a factor of two when compared to the Sun. It should be 0.2 dex, ranging between −5 and −4.8. As already discussed in Sect. 3.2.1, our modeling of the $S_{\text{index}}$ using SOAP 2.0, and therefore log($R'_{HK}$), is missing some important information to correctly simulate the absolute variation observed in log($R'_{HK}$). The different groups analyzing the data of the RV fitting challenge will use this observable to probe the presence of active regions, to understand the RV red noise component that is induced by active regions, and to measure rotation periods by studying its periodicity (and not the estimated rotational period using the average level in log($R'_{HK}$) (Mamajek & Hillenbrand 2008; Noyes et al. 1984), which is incorrect in the simulated data). The correct variation amplitude is therefore not crucial, but the variation of log($R'_{HK}$) as a function of time should be modeled properly, which is the case.

Finally, we show in Fig. 8 the butterfly diagram, that is, the latitude of ARGs as a function of time. ARGs appear at a latitude of ±30 degrees and migrate toward the equator during the course of a magnetic cycle. This simulated pattern agrees with the observations performed in cycle 23 (see Fig. 3 in Borgniet et al. 2015). We also show in Fig. 9 that log($R'_{HK}$) is proportional to the projected filling factor of ARGs, which is observed for the Sun (Meunier & Lagrange 2013).

In conclusion, using simple empirical laws for the appearance and decay of ARGs on the solar surface, we are able to reproduce the activity observed in solar magnetic cycle 23 with good accuracy. Some more complex simulations are able to reproduce solar activity more precisely (Borgniet et al. 2015), however, they use several additional parameters that can not be constrained for stars other than the Sun. Because our goal is to simulate the activity of solar-type stars and then use the results to simulate RV observations for the RV fitting challenge, our simple activity simulation is sufficient.

4. Data set for the RV fitting challenge

The data set for the RV fitting challenge is composed of simulated and real planetary systems including instrumental noise and stellar signals. In this section we describe how these systems were generated and selected. The data of all these systems
To generate one set of data, we first selected an observational calendar from the available ones and simulated the expected signals induced by instrumental noise, stellar oscillations, granulation, and supergranulation for the same times, as explained in Sect. 3.1. We then selected an 11-year period for the magnetic cycle of the star, the phase of the magnetic cycle for the first measurement in the calendar, and the rotation period of the star, and we simulated the stellar activity based on our solar model described in Sect. 3.2. This model for solar activity will estimate the effect of active regions every two hours for the entire time span of the observations. We finally selected the points in the activity simulation that are closest in time to the calendar and added their contribution to the data that were generated to include instrumental noise, stellar oscillations, granulation, and supergranulation. Because activity varies on the timescale of stellar rotation periods, longer than 20 days in all our cases, the maximum one-hour discrepancy in time that we can have between the activity model and the calendar is not relevant. In Fig. 3 we do not compare the rms of α Cen B and Corot-7 to this simulated data because these stars present significant activity that will induce a higher rms for all observables in our ten day chunks. However, these stars probably have similar signals induced by granulation, stellar oscillations, and instrumental noise because they are close to τ Ceti in spectral type.

In total, the data set for the RV fitting challenge includes ten simulated planetary systems: 1 to 5, 7, 8, 12, 13, and 15. In addition, before generating the data set for the RV fitting challenge, a test system was simulated so that the different teams ready to analyze the data of the RV fitting challenge could prepare themselves and test their method for recovering planetary signals despite stellar signals. This test data set included the RV, BIS SPAN, FWHM, and log($R'$HK) variations induced by a planet with a 16-day period and stellar signals, like for the other systems of the RV fitting challenge. However, in addition, this test data set also included the RV, BIS SPAN, FWHM, and log($R'$HK) variations of each individual signal component, that is, the planetary signal, the oscillation, granulation, and supergranulation signals, the instrumental noise, and the activity signal. This test data can be downloaded at https://rv-challenge.wikispaces.com/Test+dataset.

4.2. Real data

To test the realism of the simulated data, we included real RV measurements obtained by HARPS in five systems of the RV fitting challenge. We show in Table A.1 that the HARPS publicly available RV measurements of HD 192310 (system 6), α Cen B (HD 128621, systems 9,10, and 11) and Corot-7 (system 14) are included. System 6 includes the real HARPS measurements of HD 192310, including therefore planets b and c (Howard et al. 2011; Pepe et al. 2011). The system was rejected because of problems when generating the times series (see Sect. 4.3). System 9 includes the real data set of α Cen B that was used to detect the Earth-mass planet orbiting the star (Dumusque et al. 2012). We note, however, that the 50 cm s$^{-1}$ signal claimed as a planet at the time is probably an artifact that is due to sampling and the model used to mitigate stellar signals (Rajpaul et al. 2016). To prevent the different teams involved in the RV fitting challenge from recognizing the real data of α Cen B, we shifted the starting date to JDB = 245 500, inverted the time, added a Gaussian noise of 5 cm s$^{-1}$, and changed the gamma velocity of the star. The data therefore looked different, but have the same stellar and planetary signals (if existing), only with a different phase. Systems 10 and 11 also used the
real RV measurements of α Cen B, but this time we added simulated planetary signals (see Sect. 4.3 and Table A.1). Adding these extra signals changed the original data significantly, and we therefore did not modify the original data like for system 9. Finally, system 14 includes the real RV data of Corot-7 taken with HARPS, including thus two to three planetary signals (the third planet might be due to activity, see Haywood et al. 2014; Queloz et al. 2009). As in system 9, we disguised the data so that the different teams analyzing the times series could not recognize them.

4.3. Injected planetary systems

The goal of the RV fitting challenge is to assess at which precision planetary signals can be detected in RV measurements that are affected by stellar signals. This can only be done if we precisely know the planetary signals present in the data. We therefore injected planetary systems that were detected by Kepler (Mullally et al. 2015) and by HARPS (Mayor et al. 2016) in our simulated RV measurements and also in a few real RV time-series. We summarize the different planetary signals present in the data set for the RV fitting challenge in Table A.1. We note that no planetary signals were injected in systems 4, 8, 9, 13, and 14. We also note that for the real planetary systems i) we did not include all the detected planets; ii) we changed the published mass and eccentricity by less than 10%; and iii) we chose a random time at periastron passage to prevent the participant of the RV fitting challenge from recognizing the planetary systems. Finally, in addition to detected planets, we also injected simulated planetary signals. We decided to inject them to test

– when techniques relying on Gaussian process regression or other red-noise models were suppressing planetary signals when the orbital period was close to stellar rotation or an harmonic;
– the ability of any correction techniques to detect Earth twins, that is, Earth-mass planets in the habitable zone;
– the effect of long-term trends when searching for close-in planets.

We rejected system 6 because we discovered a bug in the way we generated the RVs. The idea of this data set was to use the HARPS measurements of HD 192310, to have real stellar and instrumental signals, and to add some addition planets to the already present signals of HD 192310b and HD 192310c. This data set was supposed to be similar to the simulated data set 7 for an additional comparison between real and simulated data. However, in the process of adding extra planets, we also added a simulated signal for HD 192310b and c. The RV time series of system 6 therefore includes the real planetary signals of planet b and c, plus simulated signals for these two planets, with the same period, amplitude, and eccentricity as the real signals, but different argument of periastron and time of periastron passage. As the sum of two Keplerians with same period, amplitude and eccentricity, but different argument of periastron and time of periastron passage cannot be represented as one Keplerian we decided to reject this system from the data set of the RV fitting challenge. To discover the real and simulated planetary signals present in the data, the different teams were supposed to fit two Keplerians at the period of planet b and two at the period of planet c, which is possible but normally excluded for planetary system stability reasons. Rejecting system 6, we are left with fourteen data sets, containing a total of 45 injected planetary signals. In addition to those 45 planets, we have a few others, probably those present in the real data sets 9, 10, and 11 (α Cen Bb) and those that are present in system 14 (Corot-7b, c, and perhaps d).

5. Comparison between simulated and real data

The goal of the RV fitting challenge is to test the efficiency of different methods in recovering planetary signals despite stellar signals. Testing the efficiency of different techniques on simulated data can be useless when those simulated data are not realistic.

To be able to test the realism of our simulated data, we simulated some systems as closely as possible to the real systems selected for the data set of the RV fitting challenge. Thus systems 9 and 13, 11 and 12, and 14 and 15, including real and simulated data, can be compared. In Figs. B.1–B.7, we plot the RV as a function of time and the correlation between all the time series of each RV fitting challenge system. We can perform a first comparison between real and simulated systems by considering the correlation between the different time series.

Comparing real system 9 and simulated system 13 shown in Figs. B.4 and B.6, we see that the peak-to-peak amplitude of the raw RV variation is 19 m s$^{-1}$ for the real data when the RV drift induced by a Cen A is removed, using the parameters found in Dumusque et al. (2012), while it is twice that for the simulated data, 41 m s$^{-1}$. When we remove the effect of the magnetic cycle in the RVs of both systems using a linear correlation with log($R'_{HK}$) (Meunier & Lagrange 2013), the peak amplitude of the RV variation in the real and simulated data becomes very similar, 11 and 13 m s$^{-1}$, respectively. This implies that the amplitude of the simulated stellar signal induced by oscillations, granulation, supergranulation, and short-term activity is similar to what is observed in the real data. However, the simulated magnetic cycle induces a RV variation that is twice larger than what is observed. The real measurements used for system 9 are the data gathered by HARPS on α Cen B, a K0 dwarf. It has been shown that for a similar amplitude magnetic cycle in log($R'_{HK}$), the induced RV variation has a larger amplitude for G dwarfs than for K dwarfs because of the lower convective velocities in stars of later spectral type (Lovis et al. 2011; Dumusque et al. 2011b). The simulation of the magnetic cycle presented in Sect. 3.2.2 is based on the Sun, a G2 dwarf, therefore it is not surprising that our simulation overestimates the RV effect of the magnetic cycle when simulating α Cen B data. Equation (13) in Lovis et al. (2011) shows that the slope of the linear correlation between RV and log($R'_{HK}$) is 30.3 for the Sun ($T_{	ext{eff}} = 5778$ K) and 15.1 for α Cen B ($T_{	ext{eff}} = 5214$ K and considering solar metallicity). This implies that for the same magnetic cycle in log($R'_{HK}$), the RV peak-to-peak amplitude will be twice as high for the Sun as for α Cen B, exactly the factor of two observed here. A similar behavior can explain why the peak-to-peak amplitude in BIS SPAN and FWHM is larger for the simulated than the real measurements. The magnetic cycle effects on the RVs, BIS SPAN and FWHM can be modeled and corrected for using log($R'_{HK}$), therefore this overestimation should not complicate the detection of planets in systems 13 compared to system 9. As already stated in Sect. 3.2.3, this difference in amplitude is a problem if the different teams that analyze the data aim to estimate the rotational period using the average level in log($R'_{HK}$) (Mamajek & Hillenbrand 2008; Noyes et al. 1984). Comparing the correlations between all the parameters, we see very similar behaviors, with tighter correlations for the simulated data than for the real ones, however, which should not be the case because the simulated data have twice the dispersion in this case. This can probably be explained by our simplistic

---

11 This is the case if the eccentricity is null, however.
modeling of short- and long-term stellar activity that considered that all spots and plages induce the same variation\textsuperscript{12} that is just scaled to account for the active region size.

Comparing real system 11 and simulated system 12 shown in Figs. B.5 and B.6, we arrive at similar conclusions as for system 9 and 13. This is expected because the only difference between system 11 from 9 and system 12 from 13 is the addition of planetary signals. These injected planetary signals all have a smaller semi-amplitude than the effects of stellar signals (see Table A.1) and therefore do not contribute significantly to the correlations present in the data.

Comparing real system 14 and simulated system 15 shown in Fig. B.7, we directly see that the simulated $\log(R'_{HK})$ has values far below the measured $\log(R'_{HK})$. The Corot-7 data were used to generate system 14. Corot-7 is a G9 dwarf (Léger et al. 2009) far more active than the Sun. Our simulation based on the Sun is therefore not able to reproduce similar activity levels in $\log(R'_{HK})$. When we simulated system 15, we artificially increased the level of stellar activity so that the peak-to-peak variation in the simulated data would be similar to the real data.

It is therefore not possible to simply compare these two systems at this stage, but a trend is seen in the RVs of system 15 and a strong correlation can be seen between the RVs and the $\log(R'_{HK})$, which is not the case in system 14. This is because we generated the data for system 15 as if it were a quiet solar-like star and then multiplied the RV, BIS SPAN, and FWHM time series to account for the higher dispersion seen in the active star Corot-7 compared to the quiet Sun. However, in this process, we also multiplied the magnetic cycle effect, which should not be done as the amplitude of the magnetic cycle is proportional to the velocity of convection and its inhibition in active regions, which is not related to the higher activity of Corot-7 that is due to its younger age.

A first comparison between real and simulated data shows that the simulation of stellar signals presented in this paper, although simple, manages to reproduce the RV, BIS SPAN, FWHM, and $\log(R'_{HK})$ variations observed in real measurements fairly well, mainly in terms of the observed correlation between the different time series. A more detailed discussion is left for a forthcoming paper, which will present the result of the different teams that analyzed the data set of the RV fitting challenge (Dumusque et al. 2016).

6. Conclusion

We presented here the simulation of instrumental and stellar signals that led to the data set of the RV fitting challenge. This simulation, based on solar and stellar observations, allows generating realistic instrumental signals from HARPS and stellar signals induced by oscillations, granulation, and supergranulation, and magnetic activity on the rotation period and magnetic cycle timescales. The simulation is able to reproduce the variations seen in RV, BIS SPAN, FWHM, and $\log(R'_{HK})$.

The simulation of instrumental and stellar signals was used to generate two-thirds of the 15 systems included in the data set of the RV fitting challenge. The remaining third of the data set corresponds to real measurements obtained with HARPS. These real measurements are important for checking the realism of simulated systems, which is critical if we wish to draw valid conclusions from the results of the RV fitting challenge (Dumusque et al. 2016). A first comparison between simulated and real data shows that the simulation of stellar signals presented in this paper manages to reproduce the correlation between the different observables given in the data set of the RV fitting challenge fairly well, that is, RV, BIS SPAN, FWHM, and $\log(R'_{HK})$. A more detailed comparison is left for a forthcoming analysis (Dumusque et al. 2016).

Two versions of the data set of the RV fitting challenge exist and can be downloaded at the CDS or on the RV fitting challenge wiki. The first version is the blind-test data set given to the different teams to recover planetary signals embedded in stellar signals; it can be downloaded at https://rv-challenge.wikispaces.com/ Dataset+for+RV+challenge. In this version, only the RV, BIS SPAN, FWHM, and $\log(R'_{HK})$ variations are given without extra information. The second version includes the variation of the same observables, but separately for each signal component present in the data, in addition to stellar and planet properties; it can be downloaded at https://rv-challenge.wikispaces.com/Details+about+the+dataset. It is therefore possible to proceed in a more detailed way to demonstrate that planetary signals recovered in the data correspond to true signals, that models to fit activity are indeed adjusting the correct activity component, and so on. This more detailed version of the data set of the RV fitting challenge was given to the different teams after they completed their analysis of the blind-test data set.

We hope that in addition to the different teams that participated in the analysis of the data set of the RV fitting challenge, other teams will use these data to search for new methods for recovering planetary signals embedded in stellar signals. Determining the best methods is critical for the immediate future, when TESS (Ricker et al. 2014) and PLATO (Rauer et al. 2014) will deliver hundreds of good candidates for RV follow-up with ultra-precise spectrographs such as ESPRESSO (Pepe et al. 2016), G-CLEF (Frész et al. 2014), and EXPRES (PI: D. A. Fischer and C. Jurgenson).
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## Table A.1: Properties of the data set of the RV fitting challenge.

| System | Star (calendar) | Data type | Rot. period [d] | Mag. cycle phase | Planet type | Planet Period [d] | Mass [M\(_{\oplus}\)] | Ecc. | T\(_{\text{transit}}\) [d] | Semi-amplitude [m/s] | \(\omega_{\text{transit}}\) [rad] |
|--------|----------------|-----------|----------------|------------------|-------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----|----------------|----------------|------------------|
| 1      | HD 10700       | simulated | 0.783          | 25.05            | Dummy       | 16.00             | 0.02            | 0.00| 8.000000       | 1.5             | 0.00             |
| 2      | HD 10700       | simulated | 0.783          | 25.05            | Dummy       | 273.20            | 1.90            | 0.16| 55.2938276     | 5.94            |                   |
| 3      | HD 10700       | simulated | 0.783          | 25.05            | Dummy       | 12.12             | 1.32            | 0.00| 0.05 55.49970529 | 2.75            | 0.51             |
| 4      | HD 10700       | simulated | 0.783          | 25.05            | Dummy       | 26.30             | 1.50            | 0.08| 55.4948886     | 5.92            |                   |
| 5      | HD 10700       | simulated | 0.783          | 25.05            | Dummy       | 48.75             | 1.24            | 0.14| 55.4892296     | 0.85            | 0.68             |
| 6      | HD 10700       | simulated | 0.783          | 25.05            | Dummy       | 260.50            | 1.23            | 0.08| 55.48055466    | 0.34            | 1.78             |
| 7      | HD 10700       | simulated | 0.783          | 25.05            | Dummy       | 595.98            | 1.41            | 0.19| 55.4306960     | 1.06            |                   |
| 8      | HD 10700       | simulated | 0.783          | 25.05            | Dummy       | 2315.44           | 67.26           | 0.16| 54.8597355     | 3.87            |                   |

### Notes:
- System 1: HD 10700 simulated
- System 2: HD 10700 simulated
- System 3: HD 10700 simulated
- System 4: HD 10700 simulated
- System 5: HD 10700 simulated
- System 6: HD 10700 simulated
- System 7: HD 10700 simulated
- System 8: HD 10700 simulated
| System   | Star (calendar) | Data type | M star \([M_\odot]\) | Rotation period [d] | Magn. cycle phase | Planet | Period [d] | Mass \([M_\oplus]\) | Ecc. | T transit [d] | Semi-amplitude [m/s] | \(\omega\) [rad] |
|----------|----------------|-----------|-----------------|-------------------|----------------|--------|------------|-----------------|-----|---------------|---------------------|---------|
| 11       | HD 128621      | real      | 0.934           | 36–40             | –              | HD 10700b | 14.66      | 2.10            | 0.17 | 55 486.23930  | 0.58                | 3.73    |
|          |                |           |                 |                   |                | HD 10700c | 34.65      | 3.04            | 0.03 | 55 483.21240  | 0.62                | 2.38    |
|          |                |           |                 |                   |                | HD 10700d | 96.93      | 3.71            | 0.08 | 55 488.99514  | 0.54                | 4.23    |
|          |                |           |                 |                   |                | Dummy     | 283.10     | 3.50            | 0.30 | 55 492.94069  | 0.37                | 0.52    |
|          |                |           |                 |                   |                | Dummy     | 3245.20    | 27.20           | 0.60 | 53 636.99331  | 1.54                | 3.81    |
| 12       | HD 128621      | simulated | 0.934           | 40.00             | 0.0            | HD 128621b | 3.08       | 1.04            | 0.00 | 55 498.03530  | 0.48                | 0.00    |
|          |                |           |                 |                   |                | HD 10700b | 14.66      | 2.10            | 0.17 | 55 489.46771  | 0.58                | 4.50    |
|          |                |           |                 |                   |                | HD 10700c | 34.65      | 3.04            | 0.03 | 55 477.87032  | 0.62                | 5.36    |
|          |                |           |                 |                   |                | HD 10700d | 96.93      | 3.71            | 0.08 | 55 488.62457  | 0.54                | 1.82    |
|          |                |           |                 |                   |                | Dummy     | 268.94     | 3.33            | 0.28 | 55 421.38699  | 0.36                | 3.47    |
|          |                |           |                 |                   |                | Dummy     | 3407.46    | 28.56           | 0.63 | 54 870.04638  | 1.64                | 1.74    |
| 13       | HD 128621      | simulated | 0.934           | 40.00             | 0.5            | –        | –          | –               | –   | –             | –                   | –       |
| 14       | Corot-7        | real      | 0.930           | 22.32             | –              | –        | –          | –               | –   | –             | –                   | –       |
| 15       | Corot-7        | simulated | 0.930           | 22.32             | 0.5            | Corot-7b | 0.88       | 4.87            | 0.12 | 55 499.85310  | 3.44                | 0.04    |
|          |                |           |                 |                   |                | Corot-7c | 3.63       | 13.29           | 0.12 | 55 499.32164  | 5.85                | 5.80    |
|          |                |           |                 |                   |                | Corot-7d | 9.47       | 17.54           | 0.00 | 55 496.58304  | 5.56                | 0.00    |
Appendix B: Correlation plots between all time series for each system

Fig. B.1. RV as a function of time and the correlation between all the parameters for systems 1 and 2.
Fig. B.2. Same as Fig. B.1 for systems 3 and 4.
Fig. B.3. Same as Fig. B.1 for systems 5 and 7.
Same as Fig. B.1 for systems 8 and 9. We removed the drift due to α Cen A in the RVs of system 9 using the parameters calculated in Dumusque et al. (2012) to show for the correlations induced by stellar signals for the different observables.
Fig. B.5. Same as Fig. B.1 for systems 10 and 11. We removed the drift due to α Cen A in the RVs of system 10 and 11 using the parameters calculated in Dumusque et al. (2012) to show the correlations induced by stellar signals for the different observables.
Fig. B.6. Same as Fig. B.1 for systems 12 and 13.
Fig. B.7. Same as Fig. B.1 for systems 14 and 15.