Graphene Liquid Cell Electron Microscopy of Initial Lithiation in Co₃O₄ Nanoparticles
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ABSTRACT: As it governs the overall performance of lithium-ion batteries, understanding the reaction pathway of lithiation is highly desired. For Co₃O₄ nanoparticles as anode material, here, we report an initial conversion reaction pathway during lithiation. Using graphene liquid cell electron microscopy (GLC-EM), we reveal a CoO phase of the initial conversion product as well as morphological dynamics during Co₃O₄ lithiation. In accordance with the in situ TEM observation, we confirmed that the Co₃O₄ to CoO conversion is a thermodynamically favorable process by calculating the theoretical average voltage based on density functional theory. Our observation will provide a useful insight into the oxide electrode that undergoes conversion reaction.

1. INTRODUCTION

Whereas lithium (Li)-ion batteries have been widely used in various applications such as laptops, electric vehicles, and so forth, high-capacity anode materials are desired for light and portable devices. Cobalt (II,III) oxide (Co₃O₄) is one of the promising materials because of its superior theoretical capacity (1100 mA h g⁻¹). It is known that the Co₃O₄ anode has severe volume aggregation at high C-rates and undergoes either of two different lithiation pathways. One pathway involves the Li-intercalation process followed by full conversion to Co and Li₂O.

Co₃O₄ + xLi → LiₓCo₃O₄

LiₓCo₃O₄ + (8 - x)Li → 3Co + 4Li₂O

The other is the reaction pathway through the CoO phase, followed by full conversion to Co and Li₂O.

Co₃O₄ + 2Li → Li₂O + 3CoO

3CoO + Li₂O + 6Li → 4Li₂O + 3Co

Although the pathway accompanying the Li-intercalation process has been frequently studied, the conversion process of Co₃O₄ to CoO is still ambiguous because of a lack of direct observation.

Here, we realize the direct observation on the initial conversion of Co₃O₄ to CoO upon lithiation by using graphene liquid cell electron microscopy (GLC-EM), which has been used to acquire high-resolution imaging in a liquid electrolyte solution. Furthermore, density functional theory (DFT) calculation is adopted to calculate the relative voltage profile, and the calculations confirm that the Co₃O₄ to CoO conversion follows a thermodynamic pathway.

2. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Co₃O₄ nanoparticles are prepared by ball-milling for 12 h. Figure 1a shows a bright-field transmission electron microscopy (BF-TEM) image of Co₃O₄ nanoparticles and Figure 1b shows the corresponding selected area electron diffraction (SAED) pattern. The diameters of particles are 10–40 nm, which well correspond to the calculated average crystal size.
diameter of 24.6 nm from an X-ray diffraction (XRD) pattern (Figure S1) according to Scherrer eq 1

\[
\tau = \frac{K\lambda}{\beta \cos(\theta)}
\]

In order to investigate the morphological dynamics during the initial conversion reaction, in situ GLC−TEM is conducted. The GLC is prepared by encapsulating liquid electrolyte with Co$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticles between two graphene sheets. The liquid electrolyte is 1.3 M of (LiPF$_6$) dissolved in a solvent mixture consisting of 3:7 volumetric ratio of ethylene carbonate/diethylene carbonate (EC/DEC) and 10 wt% of fluoroethylene carbonate (FEC), which was used in our previous studies. Chemical lithiation is initiated by the decomposition of LiPF$_6$ to Li by dissolution of electrons and radicals under electron beam irradiation. The schematic diagram of the fabricated GLC is shown in Figure S2a. A high-angle annular dark-field scanning transmission electron microscopy (HAADF−STEM) image and the corresponding energy-dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) mapping of C, O, F, P, and Co are shown in Figure S2b to observe the morphology and element distribution. Co$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticles are observed inside the graphene sheets and liquid electrolyte, and all of the chemical components of the electrolyte (C, O, F, P) are shown in all parts of the HAADF−STEM image, but Co is selectively present at the place where Co$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticles locate.

Using the GLC, a direct visualization on the initial conversion reaction of Co$_3$O$_4$ to CoO is realized. The time-sequential TEM images in Figure 2a show the lithiation process of individual Co$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticles. The conversion of Co$_3$O$_4$ to CoO happens particle by particle (yellow to green to red). Ultrasmall nanocrystals are generated and embedded within the amorphous matrix and their morphology is similar to the lithiated Co$_3$O$_4$ particles in a previously reported work. Figure 2b,c shows high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) images and corresponding crystal schematics, which confirm pre- and post-lithiated crystal structures. During lithiation, clearly the phase transition from Co$_3$O$_4$ to CoO occurred. The total area change versus time is shown in Figure 2d. As lithiation and volume expansion happen particle by particle, volume expansion occurs step by step. The formed CoO nanocrystals and their total number is shown in Figure 2e. The number of nanocrystals also increases step by step, which has a similar trend to the total volume increase. The average size of the nanocrystals remains constant, where stable morphology and phase are maintained during TEM observation because of a small amount of liquid inside the GLC, which is not enough to fully lithiate the particles.

To further delve into the theoretical aspects of the conversion reaction of Co$_3$O$_4$ to CoO, the average voltage $V$ of electrode is determined using the following equation, based on Nernst eq 2

\[
V = -\frac{E(\text{charge}) - E(\text{discharge}) - xE(Li)}{xe}
\]

where $E(\text{charge})$ and $E(\text{discharge})$ are the DFT energies of the charged and discharged phases, respectively. $E(Li)$, $x$, and $e$ are the DFT energy per atom of bulk Li, the number of the Li atoms, and elementary charge, respectively.

Here, two different reaction pathways are considered and plotted in Figure 4: (i) the conversion of Co$_3$O$_4$ into CoO and (ii) the intercalation of Li into Co$_3$O$_4$ (LiCo$_3$O$_4$). In the case of the first pathway, the formation of CoO takes place at 2.08 V, and Co forms subsequently at 1.81 V. On the other hand,
the intercalation of Li into Co₃O₄ takes place at 1.89 V, followed by conversion to Co at 1.86 V. According to the Nernst equation, the high voltage value indicates low Gibbs-free energy changes in the reaction pathway, which corresponds to thermodynamically favorable reaction. On the basis of these results, the conversion to CoO is a favorable process with respect to Li intercalation into Co₃O₄. As lithiation in the GLC is chemical lithiation, it follows the thermodynamic reaction pathway and transition from Co₃O₄ to CoO takes place, which corresponds with our GLC results.

To prove that the reaction in GLC−TEM actually occurs in the real electrochemical battery cell experiment, ex situ experiments are conducted. Figure S3a shows the galvanostatic charge−discharge profile of the first cycle and Figure S3b−d shows the SAED patterns at each voltage level. At 1.2 V, the phase transition of CoO is found and at the end of the discharge, both CoO and Co phases are present. Ex situ EELS is also obtained to analyze the electronic structure of lithiated Co₃O₄ (Figure S4). The positions and ratios of the L₃ and L₂ peaks well match with the EELS spectrum from the in situ experiment. Additional electrochemical information such as cyclic voltammetry (CV), electrochemical impedance measurement, and galvanostatic charge−discharge tests for subsequent cycles are provided in the previous study. The CV analysis clearly matches with the reaction pathway seen in this report, where two cathodic peaks are present at 0.75 and 0.6 V, attributed to the reduction of Co₃O₄ to CoO and subsequently CoO to Co.

3. CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, during the initial lithiation stage, we demonstrate that Co₃O₄ nanoparticles change into CoO phases rather than intercalation phases using GLC−TEM. The DFT calculation further demonstrates that the conversion of Co₃O₄ to CoO follows a thermodynamic pathway, where chemical lithiation triggered in the GLC is a thermodynamically favorable process. As the lithiation pathway considerably affects the reaction kinetics, cycle retention characteristics, and rate capabilities, our study will provide an insight into designing anode materials of spinel oxide with multiple oxidation states.

4. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

4.1. Materials and Fabrication of the GLC. Co₃O₄ nanoparticles were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. For the fabrication of the GLC, the multilayer graphene was initially synthesized. Using Cu foil (99.8%, Alfa Aesar) as the substrate, graphene was synthesized by using chemical vapor deposition (CVD). Then, the Cu foil was etched with 20% phosphoric acid (H₃PO₄, 85%, Junsei) for 20 min for removing impurities and oxides. As for the conditions of CVD, the temperature was set to 1050 °C for 30 min and stabilized for 60 min under 200 standard cubic centimeters per minute (sccm) of hydrogen (H₂) gas. Subsequently, 20 sccm of methane (CH₄) gas, which acted as the carbon source, was injected for 25 min and was later cooled to room temperature at a rapid rate. Such a synthesized multilayer graphene was transferred to a holey carbon Au TEM grid (quantifoil, 300 mesh, hole size = 2 μm). Ammonium persulfate (0.2 M, (NH₄)₂S₂O₈, Sigma-Aldrich) was used to etch the Cu foil for 6 h. Then, it was washed with water to remove the (NH₄)₂S₂O₈. The GLC was prepared by dropping 20 μL of the electrolyte mixture containing Co₃O₄ nanoparticles, lithium hexafluorophosphate (LiPF₆), and EC/DEC (v/v = 3:7) with 10 wt % of FEC on one graphene-transferred grid and placing another graphene-transferred grid on the top. Liquid cells were formed during spontaneous drying by graphene sheets by the van der Waals force.

4.2. In Situ TEM Observation. To carry out the in situ TEM observation, a Titan ETEM G² microscope (FEI) was used at an operating voltage of 300 kV. The range of the electron beam dosage was between 800 and 1000 e⁻/Å² s. The dynamics in the process of lithiation upon e-beam irradiation were recorded in a charge-coupled device camera (Gatan Ultrascan 1000). Furthermore, EDS elemental mapping was obtained using JEOL JEM 2100F under a 200 kV accelerating voltage. EELS analysis was conducted using GIF Quantum 966 in a Titan ETEM G² microscope (FEI).

4.3. Other Characterizations. The crystal structures of Co₃O₄ were confirmed by an X-ray diffractometer (XRD, D/MAX-2500, Rigaku) using Cu Kα radiation (λ = 1.54 Å). Ex situ TEM images and SAED patterns of Co₃O₄ nanoparticles were taken using JEOL JEM 2100F under a 200 kV accelerating voltage.
4.4. Particle Analysis. To measure the average size and area of the particles, the following methods were used. First, time-series images were extracted from the movie at 0.5 s intervals. Second, the edge of the CoO nanocrystals were drawn to sharpen the boundaries. Finally, using “Analyze Particles” plugins in ImageJ software, the number and area of nanocrystals were calculated.

4.5. Computational Details. DFT calculations are performed using the Vienna Ab initio Simulation Package27 within the projector-augmented wave scheme as formulated by Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof28 with spin-polarization. A cutoff energy of 520 eV is adopted for the plane-wave expansion of wave functions and k-point grids of 1000/n are used with the Monkhorst–Pack method for LiCoO₂ and the γ-centered method for all others, where n is the number of atoms in the supercell. A Hubbard U term of 3.32 eV is chosen for Co²⁺ and Co³⁺ based on previous literature.14,29–32 The DFT energies are converged within 1.0 × 10⁻⁸ eV. All structures in our study are based on those from the Materials Project33 which are fully relaxed using the aforementioned settings.

4.6. Ex Situ Electrochemical Test. The electrochemical cell testing was conducted in a manner similar to the previously reported literature.22 Briefly, the electrochemical cells were fabricated in the Ar-filled glove box, with a 2032-type coin cell. To start with, the slurry was made in a mortar, with Co₃O₄ nanoparticles, binder, and carbon black, in a weight ratio of 8:1:1. The binder consisted of carboxymethyl cellulose and polyacrylic acid, in a weight ratio of 1:1. The slurry was casted onto the Cu foil, dried at 60 °C, and then loaded onto the Cu foil, dried at 60 °C, and the electrode was washed with dimethyl carbonate prior to the antechamber of the glove box, the cells were disassembled, vacuum oven at 150 °C, and the electrochemical cells were transferred to the vacuum oven at 150 °C, and the electrochemical cells were transferred to the vacuum oven at 150 °C, and then transferred to the glove box. To measure the average size and charge/discharge pro 
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