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**A B S T R A C T**

To prevent the spread of the COVID-19 epidemic, the Chinese megacity Wuhan has taken emergent lockdown measures starting on January 23, 2020. This provided a natural experiment to investigate the response of air quality to such emission reductions. Here, we decoupled the influence of meteorological and non-meteorological factors on main air pollutants using generalized additive models (GAMs), driven by data from the China National Environmental Monitoring Center (CNEMC) network. During the lockdown period (Jan. 23 – Apr. 8, 2020), PM$_{2.5}$, PM$_{10}$, NO$_2$, SO$_2$, and CO concentrations decreased significantly by 45 %, 49 %, 56 %, 39 %, and 18 % compared with the corresponding period in 2015–2019, with contributions by S(meteos) of 15 %, 17 %, 13 %, 10 %, and 6 %. This indicates an emission reduction of NO$_x$ at least 43 %. However, O$_3$ increased by 43 % with a contribution by S(meteos) of 6 %. In spite of the reduced volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions by 30 % during the strict lockdown period (Jan. 23 – Feb. 14, 2020), which likely reduced the production of O$_3$, O$_3$ concentrations increased due to a weakening of the titration effect of NO. Our results suggest that conventional emission reduction (NO$_x$ reduction only) measures may not be sufficient to reduce (or even lead to an increase of) surface O$_3$ concentrations, even if reaching the limit, and VOC-specific measures should also be taken.

1. Introduction

With the rapid development of China, the air quality problem in this country has gradually become more serious in this century (Yin et al., 2019). To prevent and control air pollution, the Chinese government has taken many measures to reduce anthropogenic emissions, such as the Air Pollution Prevention and Control Action Plan issued in September 2013 (Chinese Government, 2013). With the implementation of these measures, air quality in China has greatly been improved (Vu et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2019b). The number of severe haze pollution days in Beijing–Tianjin–Hebei (BTH) and the Changjiang River Delta (YRD) areas decreased from 122 to 33 in 2013 to 31 and 25 in 2017, respectively (Li et al., 2019a). Air quality can be affected not only by anthropogenic emissions but also by meteorological conditions (Han et al., 2020; Yin et al., 2021b; Yin et al., 2020). For instance, a higher planetary boundary layer height (PBLH) is propitious to the disappearing of air pollutants (Li et al., 2017; Su et al., 2018). Meteorological factors in winter are more unfavorable to diffusion and dilution of pollutants and cause the accumulation of more pollutants at the surface (Yang et al., 2019). The importance of meteorological conditions in controlling ozone (O$_3$) pollution was described by Han et al. (2020). Ansari et al. (2019) demonstrated that under unfavorable meteorological conditions, the same reduction emission measurements as under favorable conditions would not achieve satisfactory effects for fine particulate matter (PM).
Because of the complicated non-linear relationship between meteorological conditions and air quality, it is still a challenge to separate the influence of emissions and meteorological factors on air quality (Zhang et al., 2019a; Zhong et al., 2018). In one of our previous studies, the generalized additive models (GAMs) model was employed to quantify the contribution of meteorology and anthropogenic emissions to the variation in the concentrations of tropospheric nitrogen dioxide (NO$_2$), sulfur dioxide (SO$_2$), and formaldehyde (HCHO) in four megacities in China, i.e., Beijing, Shanghai, Guangzhou, and Chengdu (Zhang et al., 2019a).

During many important social events, such as the Beijing Olympic Games in 2008, the Beijing APEC conference in 2014, the Grand Military Parade in Beijing in 2015 as well as the Youth Olympic Games in 2014 in Nanjing and the G20 conference in 2016 in Hangzhou, anthropogenic emissions reduced greatly through a strict temporary emission control (Gao et al., 2016; Huang et al., 2017; Li et al., 2017c; Liang et al., 2017; Su et al., 2017). Such events provide a natural laboratory to assess the impact of emission reduction and meteorology on air pollution. For instance, during the Sino-African Summit in Nov. 4-6, 2006, traffic restrictions induced a reduction in particle number concentrations in Aitken and accumulation modes at the ground by 20%-60 % and a reduction of the vertical column density (VCD) of NO$_2$ by 40 % (Cheng et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2007). Gao et al. (2011) concluded that emission control and favorable meteorological conditions together induced the decrease of aerosol species by 30–50 % during the Olympic period, while emission control was the dominant factor. Huang et al. (2017) found that emission reduction had a dominant influence on the improvement in air quality during the Nanjing Youth Olympic Games in spite of unfavorable meteorological conditions. Using a generalized linear regression model, Liang et al. (2017) found that emission control and meteorological conditions contributed to a 30 % and 28 % decrease in PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations during the APEC conference, respectively, and a 38 % and 25 % decrease during the China Victory Day Parade 2015, respectively. However, during the G20 conference, temporary measures took no immediate effect on controlling O$_3$ pollution in the boundary layer, while meteorological conditions dominated the variation of O$_3$, although PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations decreased significantly (Su et al., 2017). Li et al. (2017c) reported that the of PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations predicted by the Weather Research and Forecast and Community Multi-scale Air Quality (WRF-CMAQ) model were reduced by 56 % due to reduction emission measurements.

The Corona Virus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) is a serious infectious disease that had spread all over the world by March 2020. Up to April 30, 2021, the virus had caused more than 3 million deaths worldwide (World Health Organization, 2021). To prevent the spread of the epidemic, the city of Wuhan in China adopted the measures of shutting down local enterprises and restricting traffic transport (referred to as "lockdown") as the first city in the world to reduce the gathering of people. Wuhan has a permanent resident population of 11.212 million (Wuhan Government, 2021) and has suffered from air pollution in recent years (Shi and Brasseur, 2020b). During the lockdown period, the emission of air pollutants, except from residences, almost ceased, which may have abruptly changed the concentrations of air pollutants in Wuhan. Bauwens et al. (2020) evaluated the column of NO$_2$ over China via TROPOMI and OMI satellite data and reported a decrease by 40 % during the COVID-19 lockdown period compared with the corresponding period in 2019. However, there is still lack of an effective assessment of the influence of meteorological factors and emissions for this phenomenon. Shi et al. (Shi and Brasseur, 2020a) found a large increasing variation of O$_3$ by 35%-95 % in Wuhan during the COVID-19 outbreak, and attributed this increase to the decrease of NO$_2$ because during winter, O$_3$ is in volatile organic compound (VOC)-limited conditions and the production of O$_3$ is inversely related to that of NO$_x$. Wang et al. (2020) also reported that compared to the same period in 2019, concentrations of PM$_{2.5}$, PM$_{10}$, SO$_2$, NO$_2$, and CO in Hangzhou decreased by 42.7 %, 47.9 %, 28.6 %, 22.3 %, and 58.4 %, respectively, during the COVID-19 lockdown period, but O$_3$ increased by approximately 50 %. Le et al. (2020) investigated the causes of haze pollution by WRF-Chem during the COVID-19 lockdown period in the North China Plain. Not only in China, but also in Southeast Asia, where pollutant concentrations have fallen significantly due to lockdown in response to COVID-19 (Roy et al., 2021). However, due to the lack of VOCs data, the investigation on the causes of this phenomenon was not conclusive. In this paper, we aim to separate the effect of a change in anthropogenic emissions on the variation of air quality in Wuhan due to the lockdown measures from that of meteorological conditions. The concentrations of main air pollutants at the surface from the China National Environmental Monitoring Center (CNEMC) network and the tropospheric vertical column densities (VCDs) of HCHO from satellite-based remote sensing data were used to analyze the variation in air pollutants during the lockdown period in Wuhan. GAMs were adopted to single out the change in concentrations of different air pollutants induced by the anthropogenic emission reduction in Wuhan due to the lockdown measures from the influence of meteorological conditions. Our study provides useful insights into the role of extreme emission reduction measures in the improvement of air quality.

2. Methods

2.1. Data from the CNEMC network

The CNEMC network provides hourly records of the concentrations of PM$_{2.5}$, PM$_{10}$, NO$_2$, SO$_2$, CO, and O$_3$ all over China (http://www.cne mc.cn/en/ last access: 10 May 2020). The dataset has been widely used in numerous air quality studies (Li et al., 2018; Li et al., 2019b; Lu et al., 2019a; Meng et al., 2018; Shen et al., 2019). In this study, data of Wuhan from January 2015 to April 2020 were adopted. We applied a data quality control method, similar to that used in previous studies, to remove error data (Canton et al., 2015; Lu et al., 2019b). Hourly observed datapoints were transformed into Z scores, and then, the observed data were removed if the corresponding Z$_i$ met one of the following conditions: (1) Z$_i$ is larger or smaller than the previous one (Z$_i$) by 9 (|Z$_i$ – Z$_{i-1}$| > 9), (2) The absolute value of Z$_i$ is greater than 4 (|Z$_i$| > 4), or (3) the ratio of the Z value to the third-order center moving average is greater than 2 $\left( \frac{3}{2} \right)$. The formula for calculating Z$_i$ is as follows:

$$Z_i = \frac{X_i - \overline{X}}{\sigma}$$

where $X_i$ represent the i-th item in the dataset, and $\overline{X}$ and $\sigma$ are the average and standard deviation of dataset X, respectively. The distribution of CNEMC sites in Wuhan is shown in Fig. S1.

2.2. TROPOspheric monitoring instrument (TROPOMI) data

Tropospheric VCDs of HCHO and NO$_2$ were retrieved from the TROPOMI satellite spectrometer to trace the variation of VOCs and study the spatial distribution of emission reductions (Su et al., 2020; Veenkind et al., 2012). TROPOMI is a satellite instrument on board the Copernicus Sentinel-5 Precursor satellite. The Sentinel-5 Precursor (SSP) is the first of the atmospheric composition Sentinels, launched on October 13, 2017, planned for a mission of seven years. TROPOMI has four two-dimensional spectrometers with wavelengths from 270 to 2385 nm. The third band is from 320 to 405 nm for HCHO retrieval. The spectral resolution of this band is about 0.5 nm (half height and width). The spatial resolution of the instrument is 3.5 × 7 km$^2$ (Su et al., 2020). Details of the SSP operational HCHO algorithm can be found in (Smedt et al., 2018). The quality indicators for our TROPOMI products were as follows: (a) Root mean square (RMS) values of the spectral fit residual smaller than 10$^{-3}$. (b) Cloud fraction (CF) < 0.3. (c) Air-Mass Factor
To separate the contribution of meteorological factors to air quality from other factors, we applied a statistical fitting method based on the GAMs model (Wood Simon, 2004). The GAMs model uses penalized smoothing splines to evaluate the influence of meteorological factors and anthropogenic emissions on the variation of air quality. The GAMs model uses a nonparametric smooth function, which can be a smooth spline function, a kernel function, or a local regression smooth function. Its nonparametric form makes the model very flexible, so that it can well reveal the nonlinear effect of independent variables. Pearce et al. (2011) estimated the responses of air pollutants, like O$_3$, PM$_{10}$, and NO$_2$, to meteorological parameters such as temperature, water vapor pressure, and others through the GAMs model. Otero et al. (2020) investigated the effect of NO$_2$ reductions in the O$_3$-temperature relationship using GAMs and found that the reduction of NO$_2$ was not the only factor causing variation in meteorology. In our previous study, GAMs was successfully applied to distinguish the contribution of meteorological and non-meteorological factors to pollutant concentrations, and the non-meteorological factors were verified to indicated emissions through comparison with the Multi-resolution Emission Inventory for China (MEIC) (Zhang et al., 2019a). The smoothing function was developed by combining model selection with automatic smoothing parameter selection using penalty regression splines, which were optimized to minimize combing model selection with automatic smoothing parameter selection. The smoothing function was developed by comparison with the Multi-resolution Emission Inventory for China (MEIC) (Zhang et al., 2019a). The smoothing function was developed by comparing with the Multi-resolution Emission Inventory for China (MEIC) (Zhang et al., 2019a).

The fitting equation of the GAMs model can be written as follows (De Veaux, 2012):

$$\log(y) \sim \beta + \sum_{i} \varepsilon(X_i) + \varepsilon$$

(2)

where $y$ is the daily-averaged concentrations of air pollutants, $\beta$ is the constant mean of the response, $\varepsilon(X_i)$ is the smoothing function term of the i-th component of n total covariates, and $\varepsilon$ is the residual of fitting. The covariates included meteorological factors and other temporal variables, including the day number (daynum) and the day of the week (dow), to consider the short-term temporal persistence and control for temporal autocorrelation in the residuals. The meteorological parameters included zonal (east-west) wind (u10), meridional (north-south) wind (v10), relative humidity (RH), downward shortwave solar radiation at the surface (swdown), planetary boundary layer height (pblh), and temperature (temp) at the surface. The meteorological parameters were extracted from National Centers for Environmental Prediction (NCEP) Final Operational Global Analysis (FNL) datasets and simulated using the Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF) model with the horizontal resolution of 0.1°. In this study, we divided the dataset from 2015 to 2020 into a testing dataset and a training dataset, in which the training dataset accounted for 80% and the testing set for 20%. Data for 2020, were divided into the testing dataset.

3. Results

3.1. Validation of the GAMs model

The GAMs fitting results showed reasonably good agreement with the daily-average CNEMC-measured data for each pollutant from January 2015 to March 2020. The Pearson correlation coefficients (R) with the training dataset were 0.64, 0.68, 0.74, 0.76, 0.81, and 0.55 for PM$_{2.5}$, PM$_{10}$, NO$_2$, SO$_2$, O$_3$, and CO, respectively (Fig. S1). Moreover, the correlations with the testing dataset were 0.64, 0.67, 0.79, 0.77, 0.81, 0.55 for PM$_{2.5}$, PM$_{10}$, NO$_2$, SO$_2$, O$_3$, and CO, respectively (Fig. S2). In particular, correlation for all datasets in 2020 of 0.64, 0.67, 0.79, 0.77, 0.81, and 0.55 for PM$_{2.5}$, PM$_{10}$, NO$_2$, SO$_2$, O$_3$, and CO, respectively (Fig. S2). We also carried on several groups of different species for cross validation, including NO$_2$ and CO, NO$_2$ and SO$_2$, SO$_2$ and CO, and PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$. These had high correlations of 0.75, 0.65, 0.91, and 0.82 (Fig. S4). The reason for choosing these groups for cross validation was that these pollutants have similar sources. Although this method does not include physical and chemical processes, the results well reproduced the variations in the measured air pollutants. The uncertainty of GAMs fitting did not exceed that of the statistical model used in other studies, such as Liang et al. (2017) (Table S1). The results for non-meteorological factors reflect well the decreasing trend of pollutant emissions in China in recent years (Fig. 1, Figure S5-S8 and S10).

3.2. Marginal effect of individual variables on air pollutants

To explain the impact of individual factors on air pollutants, we used the effect of the smooth term $S(X_i)$ in GAMs, calculated as 100% $\times$ [$(e^{\beta S(X_i)} - 1)$], which represents the relative effect of an individual term to the total factor, where $X_i$ is the individual factor, and $S(X_i)$ represents the influence of each meteorological factor $X_i$ on pollutant concentration (Figs. 1, 2, and S12-15). The estimated degrees of freedoms (EDFs), which show the linear or nonlinear degree of fitting, corresponding to the individual terms are noted in each figure. An EDFs of 1 indicates a linear effect.

The influence of temperature on pollutants ($S(\text{temp})$) was generally similar, except for O$_3$. Assuming that other influencing factors remain constant, the concentrations of pollutants except for O$_3$ rose by ~20% as compared with the average values in 2015–2019, when the air temperature was below 10 °C (Fig. 2 (e)). With an increase in temperature, the formation of secondary sulfate would be enhanced due to the acceleration of the SO$_2$ oxidation rate (Jacob and Winner, 2009; Tai et al., 2010). For NO$_2$ and SO$_2$, this phenomenon may be partly explained by the accelerated evaporative emission rate of NO$_x$ and SO$_x$ at higher temperature (Pearce et al., 2011) (Figs. S13 and S14 (e)). When the air temperature was higher than 10 °C, pollutant concentrations decreased. For O$_3$, the influence of temperature was opposite to that of other pollutants. When the air temperature was higher than 15 °C, the concentration of O$_3$ strongly increased as compared with the average value in 2015–2019, as the reaction rate of the photochemical formation of O$_3$ is positively related with temperature (Ordóñez et al., 2005); however, when temperature was below 15 °C, the concentration of O$_3$ decreased. Tropospheric O$_3$ is mainly produced by photochemical reactions of nitrogen oxidation (NO$_x$ = NO + NO$_2$) and VOCs (Jacob and Winner, 2009; Lu et al., 2019b). Temperature can also affect O$_3$ formation through altering the emission of precursors. At high temperature, both biological and evaporative emissions of anthropogenic VOCs were increased (Jacob and Winner, 2009; Ordóñez et al., 2005). A similar effect of meteorological conditions on air pollutants has been reported by some studies (Neff et al., 2002; Weber and Prevot, 2002).

The influence of relative humidity (RH) on PM$_{2.5}$ was positive in the range of less than 60% (Fig. S1 (c)). When RH is high, heterogeneous reactions and the formation of secondary aerosols can accelerate with an increase in water vapor in the ambient air (Pendergrass et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2020; Zheng et al., 2015), causing higher PM$_{2.5}$ mass concentrations. However, in the range of 60%–80%, the influence of RH on increasing PM$_{2.5}$ mass concentrations weakened. RH is generally negatively correlated with PM$_{10}$, NO$_2$, SO$_2$, and O$_3$ (Jacob and Winner, 2009) (Fig. 2 (c) and Figs. S12-14 (c)). Due to the fact that PM$_{10}$ is mainly composed of coarse particles and originates from primary emissions, a higher RH may accelerate the settlement and removal of PM$_{10}$ (Leung et al., 2018; Zhu et al., 2012). Because OH radicals consume NO$_2$ in the troposphere, and the reaction of water vapor with O(1D) atoms is the main source of OH radicals, RH showed a negative influence on the concentrations of NO$_2$, SO$_2$ (Atkinson, 2000; Johnson et al., 1999). In addition, humidity increases the heterogeneous conversion of NO$_2$ and NO to HNO$_3$ and SO$_2$ to H$_2$SO$_4$ (Khoder, 2002;
Kleffmann and Wiesen, 2005). This reason is also responsible for the negative correlation between RH and O$_3$, but some studies found that this occurs mainly in remote regions, and even enhances ozone production in high NO$_x$ regions (Sillman et al., 1990). Other factors also include: (1) the correlation of humid days with increased cloud and reduced photochemistry (Xu et al., 2011). (2) the association of wet days with rainout and reducing precursor emissions (Eliminir, 2005). (3) the greater susceptibility to stratospheric intrusion in dry weather (Jiang et al., 2015). In Wuhan, during 2015–2019, O$_3$ concentrations under dry days were on average 22%–50% higher per year than under humid days (Fig. S16). RH increased the concentrations of CO, but with an increase in RH, the increasing trend slowed down, and even a slight decrease in concentration occurred when RH > 60% (Fig. S15 (c)).

The planetary boundary layer height (PBLH) showed a negative correlation with PM$_{2.5}$, PM$_{10}$, NO$_2$, SO$_2$, and CO concentrations but a positive correlation with O$_3$. The primary emission or secondary formation of PM$_{2.5}$, PM$_{10}$, NO$_2$, SO$_2$, and CO mainly occurs at the surface. However, O$_3$ concentrations in the upper boundary layer are usually higher than those in the lower boundary layer (Su et al., 2017; Zhao et al., 2019), so that a higher boundary layer height is conducive to the downward transport of O$_3$ from the upper layer. Therefore, for an increase of the PBLH from 0 m to 1000 m, the concentrations of PM$_{2.5}$,
PM₁₀, NO₂, SO₂, and CO would decrease by about 40%–80% of their average values in 2015–2020 (Fig. 1 (f), Figs. S12–S15 (f)), respectively; however, O₃ concentrations would increase by about 60% of their average value (Fig. 2 (f)).

There was an increasing effect between O₃ and downward shortwave solar radiation (swdown) (Fig. 2 (d)). Higher levels of swdown at the surface could promote the elevation of O₃ because intense radiation would lead to the enhancement of photochemical reactions producing O₃ (Lacis and Hansen, 1974). Swdown had a slight influence on PM₂.₅, PM₁₀, and CO, and a negative influence on NO₂ and SO₂ concentrations (Fig. 1 (d), Figs. S12–S15 (d)).

The influence of zonal wind on pollutants was consistent. The positive values of va represent easterly winds and negative values represent westerly winds, while the positive value of va represents the north wind and the negative value represents the south wind. For particulate matter, the eastern winds led to a large decrease in PM₂.₅ and PM₁₀ concentrations. The concentration of CO is affected more similarly to the parameter matter. The south and east winds caused a large decrease in NO₂ and SO₂ concentrations. For O₃, east, west and south wind increased concentrations, while north wind decreased concentrations (Fig. 2 (a) and (b)). Other meteorological factors also had a slight impact on air pollutants, but the impact range was not obvious in the specific analysis above.

From the marginal effect of S(dow), we conclude that there was no weekly variation cycle in Wuhan. According to our previous study, the contribution of the day number (daynum) for primary pollutants like NO₂, SO₂, represented the influence of emissions (Zhang et al., 2019a). However, for air pollutants which contain secondary components like O₃, PM₂.₅, and PM₁₀, S(daynum) may not only represent the influence of emissions, but also of chemical reactions.

The contribution of meteorological factors (s(meteos)), the amount of pollutant change due to meteorological factors and non-meteorological factors (s(non-meteos)), the amount of pollutant change due to non-meteorological factors, i.e., temporal terms), on air pollutants were factors (s(non-meteos), the amount of pollutant change due to non-meteorological emissions, but also of chemical reactions.

3.3. Variation of particulate matter during the lockdown period

To investigate the influence of the control measures on air quality in Wuhan, we compared air quality in different control stages: I. pre-lockdown stage (Jan. 1 – Jan. 22, 2020), during which anthropogenic activities went on as usual; II. lockdown stage (Jan. 23 – April 8, 2020), including the strict-lockdown (Jan. 23 – Feb. 14) period, during which prohibited almost all anthropogenic polluting activities, and the slight-lockdown stage (Feb. 15 – April 8, 2020), during which some enterprises in Wuhan gradually returned to work, but civilian traffic in Wuhan still suspended; III. post-lockdown stage (April 9–30, 2020), during which anthropogenic activities and transportation in Wuhan gradually recovered. The different lockdown periods and measures are presented in Fig. S17 and Table S2. Here, we discuss the effect of the lockdown on the variations of PM₂.₅ (Fig. 5) and O₃ (Fig. 6) concentrations in detail, while the variations of other pollutants are presented in the Supporting Information (Figs. S18–21).

Compared with the corresponding period (Jan 23-Apr 8) of 2015–2019, PM₂.₅ concentrations in Wuhan during the lockdown period in 2020 decreased by 31 μg/m³ (45%). The S(meteos) of PM₂.₅
decreased by 4.6 μg/m³, which only contributed 15 % to the decline in PM$_{2.5}$. The higher temperature, RH (>60 %), and PBLH caused a decrease of 1.5 μg/m³, 1.4 μg/m³, and 1.1 μg/m³, respectively (Figs. S22 and S23). These results indicate that the reduction of emissions played the critical role in the decrease of PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations during the lockdown period. Although meteorological conditions dominated the day-to-day variations of air pollutants (He et al., 2017), the reduction of anthropogenic emissions played the critical role for pollutant concentrations, from the pre-lockdown to the lockdown period.

From January to April in 2020, manufacturing and construction industry production values had dropped dramatically by 49.3 % and −39.3 % compared with the corresponding period in 2019 in Wuhan (Hubei Provincial Bureau of Statistics, http://tjj.hubei.gov.cn/). Moreover, the energy consumption, especially the consumption of coal decreased significantly because of the large-scale shutdown during the COVID-19 lockdown period, which was an important factor leading to the decrease in particulate matter, and more significantly, its precursors. For the main chemical species of PM$_{2.5}$, Zhang et al. (Zheng et al., 2020) reported that the main components of PM$_{2.5}$ decreased in Wuhan, especially trace elements (0.65 %) and elemental carbon (0.67 %), indicating that primary emissions were reduced due to the lockdown. However, the photochemical reactions involving O$_3$ and OH radicals contribute significantly to PM$_{2.5}$ formation during the lockdown period due to the increase in ozone and this may explain the slight decrease in PM$_{2.5}$ during confinement in comparison with other pollutants like NO$_x$ and SO$_2$ (Sbai et al., 2021).

Moreover, compared with the strict-lockdown stage, PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations during the slight-lockdown stage decreased by 14 μg/m³ (29 %), and the S(meteos) of PM$_{2.5}$ decreased by 10.2 μg/m³, which contributed 73 % to the decline in PM$_{2.5}$. The main reason was increasing of
temperature by 6 °C (Fig. S23), which contributed 11 μg/m³ (Fig. S22). The concentrations of PM_{2.5} were mainly determined by meteorological conditions because anthropogenic emission sources had basically been eliminated in this period. Additionally, during the post-lockdown period, PM_{2.5} continued to decrease by 5 μg/m³ compared with the lockdown period, in which meteorological conditions caused a decrease of 12 μg/m³. This indicates that although pollution sources such as factories had been reopened, the PM_{2.5} concentrations decreased due to the favorable meteorological conditions during the post-lockdown period.

3.4. Variation in O₃ during the lockdown period

Although the concentrations of primary pollutants and particulate matter significantly decreased, the average concentration of O₃ during the lockdown period in 2020 increased by 19 μg/m³ (43 %) compared with that during the corresponding period in 2015–2019 (Fig. 6(a)). The contribution of S(meteos) decreased by 1.3 μg/m³, which was mainly caused by RH (contributing 1.9 μg/m³) due to increasing RH (Figs. 6(b) and S23). Compared with those during the pre-lockdown period in 2020, the O₃ concentrations increased by 28 μg/m³ (117 %) with S (meteos) contributing 7.9 μg/m³, accounting for 28 % (Fig. 6(b)), which was mainly caused by RH (contributing 2.3 μg/m³), temperature (contributing 3.3 μg/m³), and PBLH (contributing 2.2 μg/m³) (Figs. S23 and S24). As O₃ is a secondary species, its concentrations were affected by several factors, such as the emission of precursors (Jin et al., 2017; Jin and Holloway, 2015), chemical processes (Baertsch-Ritter et al., 2004; Jacob, 2000), and meteorological conditions (Nan et al., 2018; Wu et al., 2008). Meteorological factors could only partially explain the increase in ozone, so that the main reasons for this increase were precursor emissions and chemical process effects.

To further investigate the impact of changes in precursors and their photochemical reactions, we used VCDs of formaldehyde (HCHO) extracted from satellite-based observations to trace the variation of VOCs. The contribution of VOCs to the production of O₃ was determined by their total reactivity with OH radicals (Sillman, 1995). HCHO is a short-lived oxidation product of nearly all VOC species, and VOC species with higher OH reactivity tend to produce more HCHO (Valin et al., 2016). HCHO is also produced at high yields during the oxidation of many NMVOC species (Millet et al., 2006) and emitted directly from anthropogenic and biomass burning activities (Akagi et al., 2011; Li et al., 2017b). Jin et al. (2017) and Li et al. (2019b) used the total HCHO column observed by OMI and TROPOMI satellite products to study the influence of VOC on the formation of O₃. Based on their approach, HCHO can be used as an indicator of the total reactivity of VOCs to analyze the formation of O₃. As NOx in the ambient air exists mainly in the form of NOₓ, NO₂ can be used as an indicator of NOx (Jin et al., 2010). The results of S(meteos) derived by the GAMs model were used to remove the effect of meteorological factors for CNEMC data to better study the impact of emissions and chemistry reactions on O₃. As O₃ produced from photochemical reactions would be immediately titrated by fresh NO in the ambient air (NO + O₃ → NO₂ + O₂) (Lin et al., 1988; Liu et al., 1987; Sillman, 1999), odd oxygen (O₅ = O₃ + NO₂) was chosen instead of O₃ to trace the intensity of photochemical reactions forming O₃ (Mazzeo et al., 2005; Tonnesen and Dennis, 2000; Xue et al., 2014). The data for NOx of CNEMC are consistent with those of the TROPOMI satellite (Fig. S25).

From the pre-lockdown period to the strict-lockdown period, both NOx and HCHO dropped significantly; however, the decrease in NOx (by 56 %) was much larger than that of HCHO (by 30 %) (Fig. 7(a), (e)). These reduction percentages were close to those of NOx and VOCs in eastern China estimated according to the variations of the level of anthropogenic activities (Huang et al., 2021). Nevertheless, O₅ showed no significant change, with a slight decrease of 3 μg/m³ for the average value, indicating that the photochemical production of O₃ did not change significantly (Fig. 7(d)). Furthermore, lower emissions of NOx would weaken the titration effect of NO and result in a larger accumulation of O₅ (Liu et al., 2020). During the strict-lockdown period, the average concentration of O₅ was 58 % higher than that during the pre-lockdown period (Fig. 7(c)). On the basis of the O₅–VOCs-NOx empirical kinetic modeling approach (EKMA) isopleth mapped via WRF-Chem modeling by Huang et al. (2021), O₅ production in eastern China (30°N–40°N, 110°E–120°E) in the winter is VOC-limited, and would increase by 40–50 % with an emission decrease of NOx and VOCs by 43 % and 30 %, which is in good agreement with our observation results. This VOC-limited regime is also certified by the variations of HCHO, NO₂, and O₅ in 2019. From January to April in 2019, NO₂ declined gradually, while HCHO remained stable, which resulted in a slightly decreasing trend for O₅ and an increasing trend for O₃. Due to the VOC-limited regime for O₅ production in the winter in China (Li et al., 2019d), O₃ crisis was mitigated.
et al., 2019b; Xing et al., 2011), O₃ concentrations would not decline if NOₓ emissions diminished alone, but probably increase due to the weakening of the titration effect of NO (Liu et al., 2020).

Compared with the strict-lockdown period, NOₓ concentrations were relatively stable during the slight-lockdown. The titration effect after the strict-lockdown period changed little. However, HCHO, O₃, and Oₓ concentrations in the slight-lockdown period increased slightly, and the means even exceeded those in strict-lockdown period by 1.02 × 10¹⁵ molecules/m³ (15 %), 4 µg/m³ (5 %), and 2 µg/m³ (2 %), respectively (Fig. 7 (c)–(e)). Thus, owing to the VOC-limited regime, the increased abundance of VOCs versus NOₓ caused an accelerated photochemical production of Oₓ, which, combined with a still weakened titration effect by NO, caused a rapid increase in the O₃ concentration after the strict-lockdown period.

Compared with the corresponding period in 2019 (1.1–4.30), HCHO concentrations decreased significantly. However, HCHO concentrations during the slight-lockdown and post-lockdown periods were even slightly higher than those during the corresponding period in 2019 (Fig. 7 (e)). Under atmospheric conditions the depletion of ozone by NOₓ is more important than its production (Shai et al., 2021). The titration effect mainly affects the daily depletion of ozone (Anshika et al., 2021; Feng et al., 2019; Kumar et al., 2010; Reddy et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2020). For diurnal variations, the maximum value of O₃ appeared at 5 p.m. during all pre-lockdown, lockdown, and post-lockdown periods. Nevertheless, during the pre-lockdown and post-lockdown periods, the maximum value of O₃ occurred at 3 p.m. (Fig. S26 (a), (d)), which indicates that titration started before the end of photochemical reactions, and titration consumed O₃ generated by photochemical reactions. However, during the strict-lockdown and slight-lockdown periods, the maximum value of O₃ occurred at 5 and 4 p.m. (Fig. S26 (b), (c)), respectively. This means that the titration effect was weakened during daytime, and the titration effect could not consume the O₃ generated by photochemical reactions during that time, which resulted in a large increase of the concentration of O₃. Meanwhile, during all periods, O₃ increased from 7 a.m., suggesting that the large reduction in NOₓ has no particular effect on O₃ production, even though NOₓ is also the main source of O₃ production (Fig. S26). Accordingly, O₃ concentrations during the slight-lockdown and post-lockdown periods in 2020 were above those during the corresponding periods in 2019. In Wuhan, the generation of O₃ is controlled by VOCs. Conventional emission reduction measures would result in a sharp reduction of NOₓ, but the reduction in VOCs was insufficient to reduce O₃ concentrations in Wuhan in the winter, even if the measures had been implemented to the limit. To further prevent O₃ pollution, more refined and VOC-specific measures should be considered.

4. Conclusion

In this study, the significant variations in air pollutants in Wuhan, where the first city implemented lockdown measure during COVID-19 were presented, including PM₂.₅, PM₁₀, NOₓ, SO₂, and CO decreased by 45 %, 49 %, 56 %, 39 %, and 18 % compared with the corresponding period in 2015–2019, yet O₃ increased by 43 %. We evaluated the meteorological and non-meteorological influence of each pollutant during COVID-19 period using GAMs model. The significant variations in each pollutant are mainly caused by non-meteorological factors (e.g., anthropogenic emissions). The weakening of the titration effect of surface ozone depletion due to the significant reduction emission of NOₓ (43 %) was the main cause of the significant increasing of O₃. Therefore, we believe that conventional emission reduction (NOₓ reduction only) measures may not be sufficient to reduce (or even lead to an increase of) surface O₃ concentrations, even if reaching the limit, and VOC-specific measures should also be taken. For the control of O₃ pollution, a synergistic control of multiple pollutants including PM₂.₅, NOₓ and VOCs should be carried out.
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