Influence of lung function on course of disease and response to antibiotic therapy in adult primary care patients with acute cough: a post hoc analysis of patients enrolled in a prospective multicentre study
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BACKGROUND: In acute cough patients, impaired lung function as present in chronic lung conditions like asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) are often thought to negatively influence course of disease, but clear evidence is lacking.

AIMS: To investigate the influence of lung function abnormalities on course of disease and response to antibiotic therapy in primary care patients with acute cough.

METHODS: A total of 3,104 patients with acute cough (≤ 28 days) were included in a prospective observational study with a within-nested trial, of which 2,427 underwent spirometry 28–35 days after inclusion. Influence of the lung function abnormalities fixed obstruction (forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV1)/forced vital capacity (FVC) ratio < 0.7) and bronchodilator responsiveness (FEV1 increase of ≥ 12% or 200 ml after 400 μg salbutamol) on symptom severity, duration and worsening were evaluated using uni- and multivariable regression models. Antibiotic use was defined as the reported use of antibiotics ≥ 5 days in the first week. Interaction terms were calculated to investigate modifying effects of lung function on antibiotic effect.

RESULTS: The only significant association was the effect of severe airway obstruction on symptom severity on days 2–4 (difference = 0.31, 95% confidence interval (CI) = 0.03–0.60, P = 0.03). No evidence of a differential effect of lung function on the effect of antibiotics was found. Prior use of inhaled steroids was associated with a 30% slower resolution of symptoms rated ‘moderately bad’ or worse (hazard ratio = 0.75, 95% CI = 0.63–0.90, P = 0.00).

CONCLUSIONS: In adult patients with acute cough, lung function abnormalities were neither significantly associated with course of disease nor did they modify the effect of antibiotics.

npj Primary Care Respiratory Medicine (2014) 24, 14067; doi:10.1038/npjpcrm.2014.67; published online 25 September 2014

INTRODUCTION

Acute cough is one of the most common reasons for people to consult their general practitioner (GP).1–3 The majority of these acute cough episodes are caused by mild and self-limiting lower respiratory tract infections (RTIs) and do not require antibiotic therapy. However, it is possible that patients with underlying lung diseases such as asthma or chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) suffer from unusually prolonged or severe illness which would benefit from targeted and adapted therapy.

Approximately 20% of the visits to the GP for lower respiratory tract symptoms are in patients with abnormal lung function as seen in asthma or COPD.4 These episodes, referred to as exacerbations of the underlying chronic lung disorder, contribute to poor quality of life, mortality and progression of lung disease.5–7 Whether these episodes, often with acute cough as one of the main symptoms, show a more severe and of prolonged duration compared with cough episodes in otherwise healthy patients is, however, unknown. More importantly, antibiotic treatment for these exacerbations of chronic lung disorders in primary care is still controversial.8–10

A better understanding of the influence of impaired lung function on course of disease and response to antibiotics could lead to improved patient education and targeted antibiotic prescribing. The aim of the present study was therefore to further evaluate influence of lung function on course of disease and response to antibiotic therapy in patients with acute cough in primary care.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design and patients

Data from the GRACE-09 and GRACE-10 studies (Genomics to combat Resistance against Antibiotics in Community-acquired lower RTIs in Europe; www.grace-lrti.org) were used. In this prospective observational study (GRACE-09) with a within nested randomised placebo-controlled double-blind trial (GRACE-10), data were collected in 16 primary care research networks in 12 European countries. Participating GPs recruited consecutive patients from October 2007 until April 2010.

Eligible patients were at least 18 years old, consulting their GP with a new episode of acute or worsened cough (duration ≤ 28 days) as the main symptom. Previous diagnoses of asthma, COPD and other comorbidities...
disorders were not exclusion criteria, and thus acute infective exacerbations were included. Criteria for exclusion and further details about GRACE-09 and GRACE-10 are described in detail elsewhere. The study was approved by ethics committees in all participating countries and all subjects provided written informed consent.

Measurements
Symptoms. At baseline clinicians recorded signs and symptoms as well as comorbidities and use of medication. After this first visit, patients received a diary on symptoms and daily activities to complete during their illness (to a maximum of 28 days). Each symptom was rated on a scale from 0 to 6 (0 = no problem, 1 = very little problem, 2 = slight problem, 3 = moderately bad, 4 = bad, 5 = very bad, 6 = as bad as it could be). This diary was previously validated and had been proven sensitive to change and internally reliable. If the diary was not returned after 4 weeks, brief information about symptom duration and severity with either a short questionnaire or a standardised telephone call.

Spirometry. Between 28 and 35 days after inclusion spirometry was performed according to a strict protocol. The aim of this timing was to obtain results during a stable phase. Staff in the participating centers underwent standardised training in spirometry. Measurements included the forced vital capacity (FVC), the forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV1) and the peak expiratory flow before and at least 15 min after bronchodilation with 400 μg of salbutamol. The best of a minimum of three acceptable retained flow volume curves was used to determine the post-bronchodilator FEV1/FVC ratio.

Definition of lung function abnormalities. ‘Fixed airway obstruction’ was defined as a post-bronchodilator FEV1/FVC ratio < 0.7, according to the Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease criteria. Three obstruction severity groups based on FEV1 percentage of predicted were defined: mild obstruction (FEV1 ≥ 80% predicted), moderate obstruction (50% ≤ FEV1 < 80% predicted) and severe obstruction (FEV1 < 50% predicted). ‘Bronchodilator responsiveness’ was diagnosed if FEV1 increase of ≥ 12% or more than 200 ml occurred after bronchodilation. In addition, we selected those patients with bronchodilator responsiveness who also reported recurrent (more than one episode) wheezing, cough or chest tightness in the past year. These patients are referred to as patients with ‘symptomatic bronchoconstriction’. Patients with both fixed airway obstruction and bronchodilator responsiveness were classified as having fixed obstruction and were not included in the analyses concerning bronchodilator responsiveness. This was decided as it is recognised that patients with COPD frequently but inconsistently exhibit modest bronchodilator responsiveness and that this does not influence response to inhaled therapy.

Antibiotic use. Antibiotic use was defined as the reported intake of antibiotics for 5 days or more in the first week after consultation, as this is the duration of use by which bacteria should have been eradicated, and the use of antibiotics after the first week would be unlikely to influence the main outcomes under investigation. For those in the nested trial, antibiotic therapy consisted of 1 g amoxicillin three times daily. Subjects in the observational cohort reported in diaries whether they were prescribed antibiotics, the type and dose, and whether they took their medication on each day.

Outcome measures. Three key outcomes were specified as primary outcomes for the trial to capture important symptomatic outcomes for patients: symptom severity on days 2–4 after the index consultation, duration of symptoms rated by patients as ‘moderately bad’ or worse, and the occurrence of new or worsening symptoms, defined as a return to the physician with worsening symptoms, new symptoms or signs, or illness that made hospital admission necessary within 4 weeks after the first consultation. In addition, effects on duration of symptoms until complete resolution were assessed.

Data analysis. Data were analysed using linear regression models. Simple linear regression was used for symptom severity. Cox regression allowing for censoring for the duration of symptoms and logistic regression for the occurrence of new or worsened symptoms. In the multivariable analyses we controlled for the potentially confounding factors age, gender, baseline severity, duration of prior cough, chronic use of inhaled steroids, current smoking, the coexistence of heart disease, antibiotic use and use of inhaled bronchodilators and inhaled corticosteroids (ICSs) during this cough episode. As fixed obstruction and bronchodilator responsiveness of up to 200 ml in FEV1 are frequently seen together, the multivariable analyses concerning ‘fixed obstruction’ controlled for ‘bronchodilator responsiveness’.

The effects of antibiotics were analysed both in the observational study and in the within-nested randomised clinical trial. To investigate the effect of antibiotics in patients with abnormal lung function test results, interaction terms between antibiotic use and fixed obstruction and antibiotic use and bronchodilator responsiveness were calculated and used as an independent factor in the statistical models. To forestall confounding by indication for patients in the observational cohort, propensity scores were calculated and included in the multivariable models to control for. All data analyses were performed using SPSS version 20.0 for Windows (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA). The significance level was set at 5%.

RESULTS
Patient characteristics
A total of 3,104 eligible patients with acute cough were recruited, of which 2,581 (83%) underwent spirometry. Patients with incomplete spirometry results (n = 154) were excluded, resulting in 2,427 subjects for analysis (Figure 1). The mean age of the total study population (n = 2,427) was 50.8 (s.d. = 16.5) and 59.5% (n = 1,454) of the patients were female. Table 1 shows the baseline characteristics of all patients, sorted by lung function abnormality after 4 weeks and by antibiotic use.

Lung function abnormalities
Patients with fixed lung function obstruction of any severity category were older, more often male, more often current smokers and more often chronic inhaled medication users. Of the patients that met the criteria for fixed obstruction (n = 323), only 12.4% (mild obstruction) to 37.2% (severe obstruction) were previously diagnosed with COPD, suggesting that significant numbers of patients presenting to GPs with an acute cough may have previously undiagnosed COPD. On the other hand, of the patients

Figure 1. Flowchart patient selection. Numbers of patients enrolled in the GRACE-09 and GRACE-10 studies and those selected for our analyses.
with previously diagnosed COPD (n = 157), only 79 (50.3%) showed a fixed obstruction during the present spirometry, suggesting overdiagnosis.

Patients with bronchodilator responsiveness were more often male and current smokers, but otherwise did not differ significantly from the patients without this abnormality. Patients with lung function abnormalities, both fixed obstruction and responsiveness, did not present with more severe or longer-existing symptoms at baseline than people without (Table 1).

### Antibiotic use

Of all 2,427 patients, 1,626 were included in the randomised controlled trial with 821 patients in the amoxicillin group and 805 patients in the placebo group. All the other patients (n = 801) were part of the observational study, of which 394 patients were prescribed antibiotics by their GP. When analysed together and regarding actual use of antibiotics (per protocol analysis), 896 patients (36.9%) used antibiotics for 5 days or more in the first week compared with 1,327 (54.7%) patients who did not. In 204 patients (8.4%) actual antibiotic use was not reported.

### Course of disease

Only in the outcome measure mean symptom severity on days 2–4, there was a significant difference between patients with and without severe airway obstruction in the multivariable analysis (difference = 0.31, 95% confidence interval (CI) = 0.03–0.60, P = 0.03). A similar effect was seen for ‘symptomatic bronchoconstriction’ (difference = 0.12, 95% CI = 0.01–0.23, P = 0.03) (Table 2). For all other outcome parameters, no significant effects of any of

---

**Table 1.** Baseline characteristics of all patients with valid spirometry results by antibiotic use and lung function abnormalities

| Characteristics                                | All patients | Fixed airway obstruction<sup>a</sup> | Responsiveness<sup>b</sup> | Symptomatic bronchoconstriction<sup>c</sup> | Antibiotic use<sup>d</sup> |
|-----------------------------------------------|--------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------|
|                                               |              | No                                   | Mild                      | Moderate                                    | Severe                    | Yes | No |
| Number of patients                            | 2,427 (100.0%) | 2,104 (86.7)                        | 121 (5.0)                | 159 (6.6)                                   | 43 (1.8)                  |     |    |
| Age, mean (s.d.)                              | 50.8 (16.5)   | 49.4 (16.3)                          | 57.3 (15.9)              | 62.6 (13.6)                                 | 58.7 (17.3)              |     |    |
| Women, n (%)                                  | 1,454 (59.5)  | 1,281 (60.9)                         | 65 (53.7)                | 82 (51.6)                                   | 17 (39.5)                 |     |    |
| Current smoking, n (%)                        | 651 (26.8)    | 538 (25.6)                           | 36 (29.8)                | 61 (38.4)                                   | 16 (37.2)                 |     |    |
| Pack years of smoking, mean (s.d.)            | 17.1 (18.0)   | 14.9 (15.5)                          | 24.1 (27.0)              | 28.8 (22.4)                                 | 33.9 (25.6)              |     |    |
| Coexisting conditions, n (%)                  | 157 (6.5)     | 78 (3.7)                             | 15 (12.4)                | 48 (30.2)                                   | 16 (37.2)                 |     |    |
| COPD                                           | 253 (10.4)    | 204 (9.7)                            | 14 (11.6)                | 28 (17.6)                                   | 7 (16.3)                  |     |    |
| Heart (HF, IHD, other)                        | 227 (9.4)     | 179 (8.5)                            | 10 (8.3)                 | 30 (18.9)                                   | 8 (18.6)                  |     |    |
| Diabetes mellitus                             | 164 (6.8)     | 133 (6.3)                            | 6 (5.0)                  | 20 (12.6)                                   | 5 (11.6)                  |     |    |
| Use of inhaled lung medication, n (%)          | 290 (11.9)    | 199 (9.5)                            | 21 (17.4)                | 55 (34.6)                                   | 15 (34.9)                 |     |    |
| Steroids                                       | 231 (9.5)     | 163 (7.7)                            | 17 (14.0)                | 39 (24.5)                                   | 12 (27.9)                 |     |    |
| Symptoms at consultation, mean (s.d.)         | 2.09 (0.5)    | 2.09 (0.5)                           | 2.05 (0.6)               | 2.07 (0.5)                                  | 1.95 (0.4)                |     |    |
| Mean baseline severity of symptoms, 1–4 days  | 9.44 (7.5)    | 9.45 (7.4)                           | 9.19 (6.6)               | 9.61 (9.8)                                  | 9.0 (6.9)                 |     |    |
| Duration of prior illness, days               | 8.56 (6.8)    | 8.58 (6.9)                           | 8.66 (6.4)               | 8.13 (6.1)                                  | 8.51 (6.9)                |     |    |
| Antibiotics, n (%)                            | 821 (33.8)    | 720 (34.2)                           | 41 (33.9)                | 49 (30.8)                                   | 11 (25.6)                 |     |    |
| Assigned (trial)                              | 394 (16.2)    | 326 (15.5)                           | 21 (17.4)                | 35 (22.0)                                   | 12 (27.9)                 |     |    |
| Spirometry (± day 28), mean (s.d.)            | 2.97 (2.35)   | 3.12 (2.48)                          | 2.54 (0.73)              | 1.79 (0.46)                                  | 1.25 (0.43)               |     |    |
| FEV<sub>1</sub>, litres, post bronchodilation | 3.64 (1.07)   | 3.68 (1.06)                          | 3.98 (1.06)              | 3.00 (0.86)                                  | 2.81 (1.10)               |     |    |
| FEV<sub>1</sub>/FVC, post bronchodilation     | 0.82 (0.72)   | 0.85 (0.77)                          | 0.64 (0.09)              | 0.60 (0.07)                                  | 0.47 (0.12)               |     |    |

**Abbreviations:** COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; FEV<sub>1</sub>, forced expiratory volume in 1 s; FVC, forced vital capacity; HF, heart failure; IHD, ischemic heart disease.

<sup>a</sup>Patients with both bronchodilator responsiveness and fixed airway obstruction are included here.

<sup>b</sup>Bronchodilator responsiveness only, no fixed airway obstruction.

<sup>c</sup>Patients with bronchodilator responsiveness only and reported complaints of recurrent cough, wheezing or chest tightness during the last year.

<sup>d</sup>Defined as the use of antibiotics for 5 days or more in the first week.
the lung function abnormality groups were seen after controlling for confounders (Tables 3, 4 and 5).

We found that 231 patients (9.5%) were receiving maintenance treatment with ICSs at the time of presentation. Long-term use of inhaled steroids was associated with a 30% slower resolution of symptoms rated ‘moderately bad’ or worse (12.2 days versus 9.2 days in patients without inhaled steroids, hazard ratio (HR) = 0.75, 95% CI = 0.63–0.90, P < 0.001) and a 15% slower resolution of symptoms until complete resolution (18.3 days versus 15.9 days, HR = 0.65, 95% CI = 0.52–0.83, P < 0.001) in multivariate regression models. Similarly, a prior physician diagnosis of COPD (n = 157, 6.5%) was associated with a slower resolution of symptoms rated ‘moderately bad’ or worse (12.4 days in patients with this diagnosis versus 9.3 days in patients without, HR = 0.77, 95% CI = 0.62–0.95, P = 0.02).

Effect of antibiotics
Antibiotic use was included in regression models of all four main outcomes, and was not an independent predictive factor for severity of symptoms on days 2–4, duration of symptoms or occurrence of new or worsened symptoms. In addition, there was no evidence for an interaction between fixed airway obstruction and the use of antibiotics on mean severity of symptoms (B interaction term coefficient = 0.05, 95% CI = −0.13 to 0.24, P = 0.58), duration of symptoms rated ‘moderately bad’ or worse (HR = 0.87, 95% CI = 0.71–1.05, P = 0.15), duration of symptoms until resolution (HR = 0.84, 95% CI = 0.66–1.07, P = 0.15) or the occurrence of new or worsened symptoms (odds ratio = 1.26, (0.81–1.95, P = 0.31). No interaction between ‘bronchodilator responsiveness’ and antibiotic use was seen on these four outcomes. The results after controlling for propensity score for the observational study participants confirmed that the interaction term was not significant in any model (see Supplementary Appendix Tables 1–4). Therefore no further subgroup analysis was performed. No different results were found in trial patients only (data not shown).

DISCUSSION
Main findings
In adult patients who consulted for acute cough in primary care and who underwent pre- and post-bronchodilator spirometry 4 weeks later, lung function abnormalities defined as ‘fixed airway obstruction’, ‘bronchodilator responsiveness’ and ‘symptomatic bronchoconstriction’ did not influence course of disease in a clinically meaningful way. Neither fixed airway obstruction nor bronchodilator responsiveness influenced the effect of antibiotics. The use of ICSs was independently associated with prolonged illness, as was a prior diagnosis of COPD.

Table 2. Influence of lung function abnormalities on mean severity of symptoms on days 2–4

| Obstruction severity | Univariable coefficient B (95% CI) | P value | Multivariable coefficient B (95% CI)* | P value |
|----------------------|-----------------------------------|---------|--------------------------------------|---------|
| Fixed airway obstruction | 0.04 (−0.09 to 0.15) | 0.57 | 0.04 (−0.07 to 0.15) | 0.44 |
| Mild                  | −0.04 (−0.23 to 0.14) | 0.65 | 0.00 (−0.16 to 0.17) | 0.98 |
| Moderate              | 0.05 (−0.12 to 0.22) | 0.56 | 0.01 (−0.14 to 0.16) | 0.91 |
| Severe                | 0.20 (−0.11 to 0.51) | 0.20 | 0.31 (0.03 to 0.60) | 0.03 |
| Bronchodilator responsiveness | 0.06 (−0.04 to 0.16) | 0.26 | 0.00 (−0.09 to 0.09) | 0.99 |

Abbreviation: CI, confidence interval.
*Controlled for factors: age (increase in years), gender, baseline severity of symptoms, duration of prior cough (days), chronic use of corticosteroids, current smoking, underlying heart disease, (bronchodilator responsiveness), use of antibiotics for 5 days or more in the first week, use of inhalation steroids during cough episode, use of bronchodilators during cough episode.

Table 3. Influence of lung function abnormalities on duration of symptoms rated ‘moderately bad’ or worse

| Obstruction severity | Univariable hazard ratio (95% CI) | P value | Multivariable hazard ratio (95% CI)* | P value |
|----------------------|-----------------------------------|---------|-------------------------------------|---------|
| Fixed airway obstruction | 0.89 (0.78–1.01) | 0.08 | 0.97 (0.84–1.11) | 0.63 |
| Mild                  | 0.95 (0.78–1.16) | 0.62 | 0.94 (0.76–1.16) | 0.57 |
| Moderate              | 0.89 (0.75–1.07) | 0.22 | 1.05 (0.86–1.27) | 0.64 |
| Severe                | 0.72 (0.51–1.02) | 0.07 | 0.77 (0.52–1.14) | 0.19 |
| Bronchodilator responsiveness | 1.04 (0.93–1.16) | 0.51 | 1.02 (0.90–1.14) | 0.80 |

Abbreviation: CI, confidence interval.
*Controlled for factors: age (increase in years), gender, baseline severity of symptoms, duration of prior cough (days), chronic use of corticosteroids, current smoking, underlying heart disease, (bronchodilator responsiveness), use of antibiotics for 5 days or more in the first week, use of inhalation steroids during cough episode, use of bronchodilators during cough episode.

*Patients with bronchodilator responsiveness and reported complaints of recurrent cough, wheezing or chest tightness.
Interpretation of findings in relation to previously published work

The general presumption, although lacking a firm evidence-base, is that patients with abnormal lung function and with pre-existing airways disease will suffer from more severe symptoms and a longer duration of illness. However, as asthma and COPD patients are usually regarded as separate groups in medical research, we are aware of no studies directly comparing healthy individuals with patients with asthma or COPD.

Hopstaken et al. reported physician-diagnosed asthma to be an independent predictor of delayed symptom resolution in RTI. This finding was not confirmed by our study. Neither measured bronchodilator responsiveness, with or without reported complaints of recurrent cough, wheezing or chest tightness, nor a prior diagnosis of asthma, were found to be independent predictors of a prolonged disease in our study. Comparison between our study and Hopstaken’s is, however, hampered by differences in study size, definitions and use of measurements. In our larger study, neither a prior diagnosis of asthma nor recurrent episodes of respiratory symptoms combined with bronchodilator responsiveness were associated with length or severity of illness nor with a response to antibiotics.

While lung function abnormalities as measured by spirometry were no independent predictors of course of disease, both long-term use of ICSs and a prior diagnosis of COPD were independent predictors of duration of symptoms rated ‘moderately bad’ or worse. These two characteristics were probably markers for a more severe underlying disorder or risk for a more serious course of disease. In the case of a diagnosis of COPD, this is somewhat remarkable since spirometry results were normal in half of these patients. A possible explanation could be that the physician diagnosis of ‘COPD’ included a subgroup that did not meet the physiological criteria required for COPD diagnosis but previously had suffered from frequent and prolonged episodes for other, perhaps, immunological reasons. Further research to understand this finding is needed.

In the existing literature ICS use is associated with an increased risk of pneumonia in patients with COPD, and new evidence shows similar results for asthma patients. However, the effects on RTI severity and duration have not been studied in a large representative group of patients treated in the community such as those in our study. There is biological plausibility in the contention that long-term inhaled steroid use could have effects on RTI, and our data suggest that it might be an independent predictor of a prolonged course of disease, regardless of lung function. This finding also warrants further research.

Regarding antibiotic use in patients with abnormal lung function, our findings are consistent with other studies. A recent Cochrane review shows effects of antibiotics for exacerbations of COPD in patients admitted to an ICU, but in patients with mild and moderate exacerbations, more likely to be seen by the GP, there is limited evidence of the effectiveness of currently available antibiotics. In asthma, two studies including 97 participants

### Table 4. Influence of lung function abnormalities on duration of symptoms until complete resolution

| Univariable hazard ratio (95% CI) | P value | Multivariable hazard ratio (95% CI) | P value |
|----------------------------------|---------|-------------------------------------|---------|
| Fixed airway obstruction         | 0.84 (0.72–0.98) | 0.03 | 0.97 (0.81–1.15) | 0.70 |
| Obstruction severity             |         |                                     |         |
| No obstruction                   | 1 (reference) | 1 (reference)                        |         |
| Mild                             | 0.99 (0.77–1.25) | 0.93 | 1.02 (0.79–1.31) | 0.88 |
| Moderate                         | 0.73 (0.59–0.91) | 0.01 | 0.93 (0.73–1.18) | 0.54 |
| Severe                           | 0.87 (0.59–1.29) | 0.49 | 0.94 (0.58–1.53) | 0.81 |
| Bronchodilator responsiveness    | 1.14 (1.01–1.30) | 0.04 | 1.09 (0.95–1.25) | 0.25 |
| *Symptomatic bronchoconstriction* | 0.93 (0.78–1.09) | 0.34 | 0.91 (0.77–1.09) | 0.31 |

**Abbreviation:** CI, confidence interval.

*Controlled for factors: age (increase in years), gender, baseline severity of symptoms, duration of prior cough (days), chronic use of corticosteroids, current smoking, underlying heart disease, (bronchodilator responsiveness), use of antibiotics for 5 days or more in the first week, use of inhalation steroids during cough episode, use of bronchodilators during cough episode.

*Patients with bronchodilator responsiveness and reported complaints of recurrent cough, wheezing or chest tightness.

### Table 5. Influence of lung function abnormalities on occurrence of new/worsened symptoms

| Univariable odds ratio (95% CI) | P value | Multivariable odds ratio (95% CI) | P value |
|----------------------------------|---------|-----------------------------------|---------|
| Fixed airway obstruction         | 1.00 (0.74–1.36) | 1.00 | 1.01 (0.72–1.41) | 0.98 |
| Obstruction severity             |         |                                     |         |
| No obstruction                   | 1 (reference) | 1 (reference)                      |         |
| Mild                             | 1.16 (0.74–1.83) | 0.52 | 1.16 (0.71–1.88) | 0.56 |
| Moderate                         | 0.84 (0.54–1.31) | 0.45 | 0.82 (0.51–1.31) | 0.40 |
| Severe                           | 1.17 (0.56–2.46) | 0.68 | 1.41 (0.62–3.22) | 0.41 |
| Bronchodilator responsiveness    | 1.01 (0.78–1.31) | 0.94 | 0.96 (0.72–1.29) | 0.79 |
| *Symptomatic bronchoconstriction* | 0.88 (0.63–1.24) | 0.47 | 0.87 (0.61–1.24) | 0.43 |

**Abbreviation:** CI, confidence interval.

*Controlled for factors: age (increase in years), gender, baseline severity of symptoms, duration of prior cough (days), chronic use of corticosteroids, current smoking, underlying heart disease, (bronchodilator responsiveness), use of antibiotics for 5 days or more in the first week, use of inhalation steroids during cough episode, use of bronchodilators during cough episode.

*Patients with bronchodilator responsiveness and reported complaints of recurrent cough, wheezing or chest tightness.
including in a Cochrane review show insufficient evidence to support the use of antibiotics.20

Strengths and limitations of this study
A major strength of this study is the large sample size. In addition, as this study recruited patients consulting their GP with acute cough in 12 European countries using broad inclusion criteria, these findings are based on a clinically relevant population and therefore are highly generalisable.

Lung function was assessed using the spirometers already available in the participating primary care practices. Because of this, measurements were obtained by a range of different spirometer models. However, spirometry was performed according to a strict protocol at all study sites and participating staff were adequately trained to perform spirometry and analyse curves. It has been shown that spirometry tests in primary care conducted by appropriately skilled and trained staff are comparable in quality to pulmonary function laboratory measurements.21

Patients with a pre-existing diagnosis of asthma or COPD were included in this analysis. How these diagnostic labels were given was not recorded and thus should be assumed to be varying as is the case in routine daily primary care. When interpreting the relations between previous diagnosis of asthma and COPD, this should be taken into account. The same applies for the treatments at baseline that patients used. These baseline characteristics all reflect daily routine in primary care and were not given according to a study protocol or standardised guidelines.

For this study it was decided to combine data from a nested trial and an observational part of a study cohort. These two groups of patients are not completely comparable as some patients were deliberately withheld from randomisation for several possible reasons, raising the possibility of bias. In addition, the antibiotic used in the trial was amoxicillin 1 g three times daily while in the observational study the type and dose of antibiotics varied. However, this potential limitation was controlled for by adjusting for possible differences in baseline characteristics in our regression models. In addition, we calculated propensity scores for all observational patients to control for confounding by indication. The same results were found with these scores included in the multivariable statistical models. A subanalysis of trial patients only showed similar results.

Conclusion and implications for future research, policy and practice
Overall, this study found little influence of lung function on course of disease in adult patients presenting in primary care with acute cough. In addition, no effect of antibiotics was apparent in patients with fixed airflow obstruction or bronchodilator reversibility. This suggests that undetected lung function abnormalities pose no relevant short-term clinical problems for GPs while diagnosing and treating patients with acute cough. The course of disease in these patients is usually mild, self-limiting and not improved by antibiotics. No extensive diagnostic procedures are warranted immediately, and monitoring of recurrent and prolonged signs and symptoms within a somewhat larger timeframe still seems a good approach.
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