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**In brief**

Insect-attacked leaves produce electrical signals. Fotouhi et al. identify an *Arabidopsis* mutant that loses its ability to produce electrical signals when damaged by insects. The plant’s normally robust defense system fails, and it undergoes senescence. The work identifies genes that maintain plant membrane excitability under prolonged stress.
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SUMMARY

Recurrent damage by lepidopteran folivores triggers repeated leaf-to-leaf electrical signaling. We found that the ability to propagate electrical signals—called slow wave potentials—was unexpectedly robust and was maintained in plants that had experienced severe damage. We sought genes that maintain tissue excitability during group insect attack. When Arabidopsis thaliana P-Type II Ca2+-ATPase mutants were mechanically wounded, all mutants tested displayed leaf-to-leaf electrical signals. However, when the auto-inhibited Ca2+-ATPase double-mutant aca10 aca12 was attacked by Spodoptera littoralis caterpillars, electrical signaling failed catastrophically, and the insects consumed these plants rapidly. The attacked double mutant displayed petiole base deformation and chlorosis, which spread acropetally into laminae and led to senescence. A phloem-feeding aphid recapitulated these effects, implicating the vasculature in electrical signaling failure. Consistent with this, ACA10 expressed in phloem companion cells in an aca10 aca12 background rescued electrical signaling and defense during protracted S. littoralis attack. When expressed in xylem contact cells, ACA10 partially rescued these phenotypes. Extending our analyses, we found that prolonged darkness also caused wound-response electrical signaling failure in aca10 aca12 mutants. Our results lead to a model in which the plant vasculature acts as a capacitor that discharges temporarily when leaves are subjected to energy-depleting stresses. Under these conditions, ACA10 and ACA12 function allows the restoration of vein cell membrane potentials. In the absence of these gene functions, vascular cell excitability can no longer be restored efficiently. Additionally, this work demonstrates that non-invasive electrophysiology is a powerful tool for probing early events underlying senescence.

INTRODUCTION

Herbivore attack is a high-intensity stress that plants in nature encounter frequently. Moreover, innumerable insect species lay their eggs in clutches so that large numbers of neonates begin their lives attacking individual leaves and shoots. 1 This behavior is exemplified by the generalist lepidopteran Spodoptera littoralis. Other herbivores such as the aphid Brevicoryne brassicae congregate and reproduce as colonies on leaf blades, petioles, and stems. These group-feeding strategies may confer benefits to the attackers, such as the improvement of food quality. 2 The pressure that invertebrate herbivores can exert on plant physiology is considerable. For example, entire plants can be consumed by insects such as locusts. In many cases when plants are not destroyed, insect attack triggers senescence in the host plant. This is the case, for example, when Arabidopsis is attacked by the aphid Myzus persicae. 3 However, we are unaware of reports of senescence in Arabidopsis caused by chewing herbivores.

Chewing insects elicit reiterated energy-consuming responses in the plant. For example, feeding caterpillars trigger repeated bursts of electrical activity in leaves. 4–6 Low levels of damage, such as bites to the edges of Arabidopsis leaf blades, provoke repetitive high-amplitude (>40 mV) membrane depolarization events that spread from the lamina to petioles in the damaged leaves (e.g., Video S1 7). More severe herbivore-inflicted damage to midveins or petioles triggers longer-duration leaf-to-leaf electrical signals called slow wave potentials (SWPs; Video S1 1). These electrical activities trigger the synthesis of jasmonates, including the defense mediator jasmonoyl-isoleucine (JA-Ile), and contribute to defense against the chewing herbivore S. littoralis. 8, 9 Moreover, other signaling events are coupled to membrane depolarization. For example, wound-response electrical signals are genetically linked to cytosolic Ca2+ increases 10 that may regulate defense gene expression. 3–11 As with damage-response electrical signals, cytosolic Ca2+ transients in Arabidopsis are more rapid and more intense in primary veins in petioles than elsewhere in the leaf. 12, 13 Even though the herbivore-induced accumulation of defense proteins and chemicals helps to ensure plant survival, it is potentially costly. 12 That is, multiple drains on chemical energy may be incurred to maintain leaf function during attack. For example,
membrane potentials have to be restored repeatedly after bite damage. Although many plant defense genes are known, 13 those that act to maintain the physiological functions of leaves and petioles during sustained herbivore onslaught remain poorly characterized. During the attack process, the products of such genes might function in cellular ion and proton homeostasis and/or in the restoration of energy balance in critical cell populations.

During an investigation of electrical signaling in Arabidopsis, we noticed that leaf-to-leaf SWPs occurred even in plants that had been severely damaged by insects over the course of several days. Such a sustained and robust electrical signaling response was unexpected. We therefore investigated genetic mechanisms that underpin electrical signaling in insect-damaged plants. To do so, we sought mutants that disrupt the propagation of leaf-to-leaf SWP signals. Since cytosolic Ca$^{2+}$ transients and damage-induced electrical signals are coupled genetically, 8 we reasoned that mutations affecting Ca$^{2+}$ homeostasis might interfere with the plant’s leaf-to-leaf electrical signaling capacity. As candidate genes for a reverse genetic screen we chose a 14-member subfamily of P-type II Ca$^{2+}$-ATPases in Arabidopsis. These Ca$^{2+}$-ATPases, 14–15 which are part of a much larger Ca$^{2+}$ transport and signaling machinery, 16,17 fall into two clades: type IIA (ER-type Ca$^{2+}$-ATPases; ECAs) and type IIB (auto-inhibited Ca$^{2+}$-ATPases [ACAs]). Mutations in ACA genes can cause spontaneous lesions on leaves, 18,19 affect defense, 20,21 and perturb cytosolic Ca$^{2+}$ homeostasis. 19,22,23 Moreover, the mutation of type II Ca$^{2+}$-ATPases can have broader effects on plant growth and function. 21 Each of these considerations made P-type II Ca$^{2+}$-ATPase genes attractive targets that, when mutated, might perturb electrical signaling in petioles.

Using non-invasive surface electrodes placed near petiole bases, we initially screened a library of P-type II Ca$^{2+}$-ATPase single and double mutants for electrical signal characteristics following an acute mechanical wounding. This screen failed to reveal any mutants that impacted electrical signal propagation. However, when the same mutant library was re-screened using quantitative herbivory bioassays, anaca10 aca12 double mutants (Figure 2A). At the end of bioassays, the leaves of the WT plants were still green, but many of the expanded leaves of the insect-damaged aca10 aca12 double mutant had yellowed (Figure 2B). We noted that prior to severe chlorosis of the entire lamina of the double mutant, apparent signs of stress were visible on the petioles of some leaves. After allowing S. littoralis to feed for 3.5 days, we observed no deformation or chlorosis on the petioles of the WT (Figure 2C), even in cases where the petiole had been bitten (Figure 2D). However, in the S. littoralis–damaged aca10 aca12 plants at this time point, we noticed translucency and apparent shrinkage of small regions of some petioles (Figure 2E). This was not associated with direct feeding damage to these petioles.

RESULTS

Robust leaf-to-leaf electrical signaling in the insect-damaged wild type

Wild-type (WT) plants grown in short-day conditions for 5 weeks were caged for 9 days in the absence or presence of 8 neonate S. littoralis caterpillars per plant (STAR Methods). The control plants on which no larvae were placed displayed no leaf damage (Figure 1A) or petiole reddening (Figure 1B). To test electrical signaling capacity, a surface electrode was placed on the petiole of leaf 13 at a distance of 1 cm from the rosette center (Figure 1C). A reference electrode was placed in the soil. 5 Leaf 8 was wounded mechanically by crushing the apical 60% of the lamina as shown in Figure 1C. These plants produced SWPs (Figure 1D), which were quantified (Figure 1E) as reported previously. 5 Plants on which S. littoralis had fed for 9 days showed damage to expanded leaves (Figure 1F) and reddening of the basal adaxial petiole surfaces of expanded leaves (Figure 1G). When these plants were wounded mechanically (Figure 1H), they produced SWPs with architectures (Figure 1I), amplitudes, and durations (Figure 1J) similar to those of the undamaged plants. We noted, however, that the latency, i.e., the time taken from wounding leaf 8 until the electrical signal reached the petiolar electrode on leaf 13, was 50.7 ± 8.4 s (standard deviation; n = 7) in the previously undamaged WT and 95.8 ± 2.7 s in the damaged WT upon which larvae had fed for 9 days.

Ca$^{2+}$ pump mutants failed to strongly affect electrical signaling after mechanical wounding

Since wound-response electrical signaling and cytosolic Ca$^{2+}$ transients in leaves are linked genetically, 8 we assumed that interference with Ca$^{2+}$ homeostasis might affect electrical signaling. A collection of 23 aca and eca single and double T-DNA insertion mutants was generated to test this hypothesis. The mutants (Figure S1; Table S1) were grown in soil under short-day conditions and screened in the adult phase for SWP responses. To do this, leaf 8 was wounded with forceps, and the amplitudes and durations of SWPs were monitored on distal leaf 13 as shown in Figure 1C. None of the mutants significantly affected the SWP (Table S1). We then decided to re-screen the mutant collection for defense capacity when challenged with S. littoralis caterpillars.

The aca10 aca12 mutant is hypersusceptible to S. littoralis

Using no-choice bioassays with neonate S. littoralis, we compared larval weight gain 11–14 days after the initiation of feeding on the aca and eca mutant collection. In these experiments, the aca10 aca12 double mutant stood out as being extremely susceptible to S. littoralis relative to the WT (Table S2). Additional experiments confirmed that S. littoralis larvae gained weight less rapidly on the WT than on aca10 aca12 double mutants (Figure 2A). At the end of bioassays, the leaves of the WT plants were still green, but many of the expanded leaves of the insect-damaged aca10 aca12 double mutant had yellowed (Figure 2B). We noted that prior to severe chlorosis of the entire laminae of the double mutant, apparent signs of stress were visible on the petioles of some leaves. After allowing S. littoralis to feed for 3.5 days, we observed no deformation or chlorosis on the petioles of the WT (Figure 2C), even in cases where the petiole had been bitten (Figure 2D). However, in the S. littoralis–damaged aca10 aca12 plants at this time point, we noticed translucency and apparent shrinkage of small regions of some petioles (Figure 2E). This was not associated with direct feeding damage to these petioles.

Generation of the aca10c aca12c CRISPR-Cas9 mutant

To confirm that our observation of extreme susceptibility to S. littoralis could be recapitulated in an independent genetic background, we generated an aca10c aca12c double mutant using CRISPR-Cas9 mutagenesis (Figure S2A). Consistent with a previous report, 22 when grown in constant light, the inflorescence stems of the flowering-phase aca10 aca12 T-DNA insertion mutant and the CRISPR-Cas9–generated aca10c aca12c plants were shorter than those of the WT (Figure S2B). However,
in the short-day-grown adult phase, and in the total absence of insects, the aca10 aca12 double mutant showed a rosette phenotype similar to that of both the aca10 aca12 T-DNA insertion mutant and the WT (Figure S2C). When challenged with S. littoralis, both the T-DNA insertion aca10 aca12 mutant and the aca10 aca12 CRISPR-Cas9 mutant displayed more feeding damage to leaves than did the WT (Figure S2C).

**Cytosolic Ca2+ in wounded aca double mutants**

To investigate whether wound-response Ca2+ levels were affected in aca10 aca12, this plant was crossed with an UBO10pro:GCaMP3 intensometric calcium reporter line.8 Expression of genetically encoded calcium reporters can inhibit plant growth.24 We found that homozygous aca10 aca12 harboring UBIQUITIN10pro:GCaMP3 showed severely stunted growth that precluded their use in experiments. We therefore crossed aca10 aca12c with a WT background expressing the ratiometric Ca2+ reporter yellow cameleon 3.6 (YC3.6) driven by the UBO10 promoter.25 Double-mutant plants harboring this transgene had phenotypes similar to the WT. The mechanically wounded aca10 aca12c double mutant produced cytosolic Ca2+ transients with similar architectures but with lower amplitudes to those in the WT (Figures S2D and S2E). The FRET/CFP ratios of the reporter, both prior to and after wounding, were lower in the aca10c aca12c background than in the WT (Figures S2F–S2I).

aca10 aca12 leaves fail to propagate SWPs after chronic insect attack

Next, we attempted to elicit leaf-to-leaf electrical signaling in Spodoptera-damaged plants. S. littoralis neonates were allowed to feed for 3.5 days, and then leaf-to-leaf electrical signaling was
monitored on the leaf 13 petiole after mechanically wounding leaf 8. As expected, the Spodoptera-damaged WT successfully produced SWPs. Remarkably, aca10c aca12c plants on which S. littoralis had fed only rarely transmitted SWPs to the distal leaf 13 (Figure 3A). A time course experiment using S. littoralis revealed that both the amplitudes and durations of SWPs decreased in parallel in aca10c aca12c during attack by S. littoralis (Figure 3B). In the WT, the amplitude of the SWP was maintained over the entire experiment although the duration of the SWP was shortened midway through the time course. As expected, the weights of aca10c aca12c rosettes diminished more rapidly than those of the WT (Figure 3C). In parallel, tissue loss was more extensive in the double mutant than in the WT (Figure 3D). Leaves 6–10 of aca10c aca12c were inspected for signs of petiole shrinkage during a Spodoptera-feeding time course experiment (Figure 3E). Petioles displaying shrinkage were detected from day 3 onward in the double mutant (Figure 3F), although at this time point only 3 of 6 plants showed petiole shrinkage affecting a single leaf on each plant. No areas of shrunken petiole were detected in undamaged control plants or in the insect-damaged WT.

To test whether the effects of Spodoptera feeding on electrical signaling were insect-specific, we examined the plant’s response to a herbivore from a different insect order. Cabbage aphids (Brevicoryne brassicae), which pierce rather than chew plant tissues and which, unlike Spodoptera, selectively target the phloem, were allowed to feed for 7 days on WT and aca10c aca12c plants. At that point, leaf 8 of WT and aca10c aca12c plants was wounded mechanically and electrical signals were monitored on distal leaf 13. Both the uninfested WT and the uninfested aca double mutant produced strong leaf-to-leaf electrical signals. However, we found a striking failure of aphid-infested double mutants to propagate wound-response electrical signals from leaf to leaf (Figure S3A). The basal petioles of expanded leaves of the Brevicoryne-infested mutant plants frequently appeared translucent and were sometimes deformed, whereas those of the control (uninfested) double mutant and the WT did not display these features (Figure S3B). We noted that B. brassicae aphids caused strong growth arrest in aca10 aca12 (Figure S3C) and that chlorophyll levels were lower in the B. brassicae-attacked double mutant than in the attacked WT (Figure S3D).

Biochemical characterization of aca10 aca12 double mutants

Senescing plants display chlorophyll breakdown that reduces photosynthesis, and they typically initiate chloroplast degradation, which can remobilize sugars and membrane lipids, and release free amino acids from proteins. The chlorotic features of insect-attacked aca10 aca12 double mutants suggested that they were undergoing a form of senescence. Chlorophyll levels were measured and found to be lower in the leaves of the Spodoptera-attacked aca10c aca12c double mutant than in the attacked WT (Figure 4A). Sugar analyses revealed that fructose levels were increased in the Spodoptera-damaged aca10c aca12c mutant compared with the damaged WT (Figure 4B). There were also significant changes in free amino acids, as the levels of 10 canonical amino acids (Arg, Asn, Asp, Cys, Gln, His, Ile, Leu, Phe, and Trp) were significantly higher in the Spodoptera-attacked double mutant than in the attacked WT (Figure 4C).

Levels of several glucosinolates were found to be higher in the undamaged WT than in the undamaged aca10 aca12 double mutant. However, 4-methoxyindol-3-yl methyl glucosinolate (4MOI3M) was present at higher levels in undamaged aca10 aca12 than in the WT (Figures S4A–S4H). With the exception of 4MOI3M, glucosinolate levels were generally higher in the S. littoralis-attacked WT than in the double mutant. Next, JA-Ile levels were compared

Figure 2. Spodoptera littoralis performance and feeding damage on aca10 aca12 versus WT

(A) Insect weight gain on the WT and aca10 aca12. Neonate S. littoralis larvae (4 per plant) were placed on each of the 22 plants. Insects were allowed to feed continuously under short-day conditions. Surviving larvae were weighed individually after 12 days feeding. Means ± SD (Student t test, *p < 0.0001). (B) WT and aca10 aca12 rosettes at the end of the 12-day feeding period. (A) and (B) are from different experiments. Scale bars, 1 cm. (C) Basal petiole from an S. littoralis-damaged WT plant. (D) Bite damage to WT petioles did not cause petiole deformation. (E) Basal petiole deformation in an S. littoralis-attacked aca10 aca12 double mutant. The petioles photographed were from leaves 7–14. Note petiole deformation (yellow arrowhead) and larva (yellow asterisk). For (C)–(E), 8 S. littoralis neonates were placed on 5.5-week-old plants and allowed to feed for 3.5 days. Scale bars, 1 mm. See also Table S2 and Figure S1.
in the leaves of undamaged plants and in plants on which *S. littoralis* neonates were allowed to feed. In insect-damaged plants, JA-Ile (Figure S4I) levels were higher in the double mutant than in the WT. Additionally, JA-Ile levels were assessed in the WT and *aca10 aca12* after single crush wounds. In these experiments, the levels of JA-Ile were similar in both genotypes (Figure S4J). Levels of transcripts for the jasmonate-responsive gene *VEGETATIVE STORAGE PROTEIN 2* (*VSP2*) were assessed after *S. littoralis* feeding. These transcript levels were similar in the insect-damaged WT and *aca10 aca12* plants (Figure S4K).

**Tissue-specific complementation**

Are there specific cell populations in *aca10 aca12* mutants that might be responsible for the extreme susceptibility to herbivores in the leaves of undamaged plants and in plants on which *S. littoralis* neonates were allowed to feed. In insect-damaged plants, JA-Ile (Figure S4I) levels were higher in the double mutant than in the WT. Additionally, JA-Ile levels were assessed in the WT and in *aca10 aca12* after single crush wounds. In these experiments, the levels of JA-Ile were similar in both genotypes (Figure S4J). Levels of transcripts for the jasmonate-responsive gene *VEGETATIVE STORAGE PROTEIN 2* (*VSP2*) were assessed after *S. littoralis* feeding. These transcript levels were similar in the insect-damaged WT and *aca10 aca12* plants (Figure S4K).

**Figure 3. Electrical signaling failure, mass loss, and petiole deformation in Spodoptera-attacked plants**

(A) Leaf-to-leaf electrical signaling failure in *S. littoralis*-attacked *aca10c aca12c*. Neonate larvae (8 per plant) were allowed to feed for 3.5 days. Control plants were caged without insects. Electrical signals were monitored on the petiole of leaf 13 after mechanically crush wounding leaf 8. Data are means ± SD (n = 7–8 biological replicates).

(B) Time course for the effect of *S. littoralis* feeding on surface potentials. At each time point an insect-damaged expanded leaf (leaf 8–11) was crush-wounded, and surface potentials were measured on leaf n + 5 (i.e., a leaf sharing a direct vascular connection with the wounded leaf). Data shown are means ± SD (n = 4–9).

(C) Rosette fresh weights during *S. littoralis* feeding on the WT and *aca10 aca12* double mutant. Error bars show means ± SD (n = 6 rosettes).

(D) Estimated plant tissue loss (%) due to *S. littoralis* feeding. Data are presented as the ratios of remaining lamina surface divided by total estimated leaf surface. Error bars show means ± SD (n = 6 rosettes). For (B), (C), and (D), neonates (4 per plant) were placed on 5-week-old rosettes.

(E) Detail of basal petiole phenotypes in control or *S. littoralis*-infested plants used for electrophysiology. Scale bars, 0.1 mm. 5.5-week-old plants were caged with or without 8 neonate *S. littoralis* larvae for 3.5 days.

(F) Petiole shrinkage measured on leaves 6–10 of *S. littoralis*-attacked *aca10c aca12c* plants. Data from 6 plants with 4 larvae at each time point. See STAR Methods for details of scoring petioles. ND, not distinguishable due to severe damage of leaves, which made leaf number assignment ambiguous.

See also Figures S2 and S3.
Figure 4. Chlorophyll, sugar, and amino acid levels after insect attack
*S. littoralis* (8 neonates per plant) were placed on 5.5-week-old WT and *aca10c aca12c* plants in short-day conditions. Leaves were harvested after 3.5 days. Control plants were incubated identically in the absence of insects.

(A) Chlorophyll levels (n = 9 biological replicates).
(B) Sugar levels (n = 7–8 biological replicates).
(C) Amino acid levels (n = 7–8 biological replicates). Data shown are means ± SD, (Student t test, *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, ****p < 0.0001).

See also Figure S4.
LOX6pro:ACA10-GUS was intense in the phloem and xylem regions, respectively. Although easily detectable in isolated veins, CAB3pro:ACA10-GUS staining in transversal sections was weak and widespread, extending to non-vascular tissues. We allowed neonate S. littoralis larvae to test the defenses of these transformants. After allowing insects to feed for 10 days, plants were assessed for insect damage. In these assays, insects grown on the LOX6pro:ACA10-GUS plants gained more weight than they did on the WT, but less than on the double mutant. Larval weight gain on SUC2pro:ACA10-GUS-expressing mutants was similar to that on the WT (Figure 5A), and the SUC2pro:ACA10-GUS plants showed near WT signs of leaf damage. The LOX6pro:ACA10-GUS plants displayed intermediate levels of leaf damage, and there was extensive damage to expanded leaves of the aca10c aca12c double mutant with or without CAB3pro:ACA10-GUS. Nevertheless, the youngest leaves of the CAB3pro:ACA10-GUS plants displayed less damage than those of the double mutant without the transgene (Figure 5B). Given the pronounced effects of ACA10 rescue in the phloem on insect weight gain, SWP signaling in these lines was compared with that of the WT and the double mutant. After a 10-day feeding period, the SUC2 promoter-driven ACA10-GUS / aca10c aca12c plants showed robust WT-like electrical signals (Figure 5C).

DISCUSSION

We found that leaf-to-leaf electrical signaling in the WT was unexpectedly robust, occurring between leaves that had been badly damaged by herbivores. Similarly, WT plants that had been placed in total darkness for 3 days were still able to propagate SWPs. Intriguingly, by screening a collection of eca and aca mutants, we found a double mutant that, despite showing

Prolonged darkness triggers senescence in aca10 aca12

Prolonged darkness can cause senescence in plants.32 We did not observe darkness-induced senescence in the WT or in the aca10 and aca12 single mutants after incubation in continuous darkness for 3 days (Figures 6A and 6B). All three genotypes produced SWPs after exposure to darkness (Figure 6C). Similar experiments were then conducted with the aca10 aca12 double mutants. After 3 days of darkness, both the T-DNA insertion- and the CRISPR-generated aca10 aca12 double mutants had begun to display chlorosis and wilting whereas the WT did not (Figure 6D). We noted that darkness-induced senescence in the double mutants differed in several ways to the insect-damage-induced senescence-like phenotypes. Basal petiole deformation as observed in the S. littoralis-attacked double mutants was not observed in dark-treated double mutants. However, the double mutants maintained in darkness for 3 days often displayed waterlogging symptoms in leaf blades (Figure 6E). We then examined electrical signaling in the aca10c aca12c double mutants. Since these plants already showed signs of senescence after 3 days of darkness, a shorter (24 h) incubation in the dark was tested. Whereas SWP generation was maintained in the 24 h-dark-treated WT, it failed completely in the aca10c aca12c double mutant, even though visible signs of chlorosis were absent in both genotypes (Figure 6F).
Figure 6. Effects of prolonged darkness on electrical signaling in aca mutants

(A) Phenotypes of the WT and the aca10 and aca12 single-mutant rosettes in short-day conditions (upper row) or after 3 days darkness (lower row). 5-week-old plants were transferred to darkness at 12h00 (ZT = 4 h) and left in darkness for 72 h. Scale bars, 1 cm.

(B) Leaf and petiole appearance after 3 days darkness.

(C) SWPs in the dark-treated WT and aca single mutants. After 3 days dark treatment, plants were transferred back to light and SWPs were measured within 1 h on leaf 13, following single mechanical wounds on leaf 8. Means ± SD, n = 5–6.

(D) Phenotype of the WT, T-DNA insertion mutant aca10 aca12, and the CRISPR-Cas9-generated aca10c aca12c rosettes after culture in short-day conditions (upper row) or after 3 days continuous dark treatment (lower row). Scale bars, 1 cm.

(E) Waterlogging in dark-treated aca double-mutant leaves. The WT, aca10 aca12, and aca10c aca12c plants were photographed after 3 days in darkness. Note chlorosis and waterlogging (dark patches) in leaves of the mutants.
WT-like SWPs in response to a single mechanical wound, failed catastrophically to transmit leaf-to-leaf electrical signals after it had suffered prolonged insect damage. These findings underscore the shortcomings of using acute mechanical wounds as a substitute for studying the effects of insect attack on plants. In nature, and in a fascinating parallel to necrotrophic pathogens, many insect herbivores activate senescence processes in their host plants. These “senescence-feeders” form a large guild that includes members from both Lepidoptera and Hemiptera.33 Indeed, the aphid *M. persicae* induces senescence in *Arabidopsis*, and this is retarded (rather than promoted as in *aca10 aca12* mutants in the present work) in *phytoalexin-deficient* 4 plants.3 The adult-phase *aca10* *aca12* double mutants we studied grew similarly to the WT in our short-day insect-free culture conditions. However, upon attack or in extended darkness, rosette phenotypes of the WT and double mutant diverged. Insect-attacked *aca10 aca12* double mutants displayed petiolar transection and translucency on some leaves. However, this was not seen on the insect-attacked WT or on the double mutant that had been kept in the dark for 3 days. Nevertheless, both herbivore and dark treatments led to a loss of excitability and, eventually, to leaf senescence in the double mutant. We note that aphid feeding or prolonged exposure to darkness both led to a loss of excitability in *aca10 aca12* mutants without apparent tissue loss. Therefore, loss of lamina tissue is not necessary for electrical signaling failure. Our present data rather suggest a correlation between stress-induced growth arrest and loss of excitability in *aca10 aca12* mutants. We noted apparent waterlogging in the leaves of *aca10 aca12* mutants that had been maintained in prolonged darkness. A dark-induced increase in overall leaf water content has been shown previously.34 We do not exclude the possibility that apoplastic waterlogging also occurs in insect-damaged *aca10 aca12* but may not be apparent due to water loss through damaged sites. We find that *aca10 aca12* mutants are intrinsically prone to senescence, and our biochemical analyses supported this conclusion. For example, the increased amino acid levels in *Spodoptera*-attacked double mutants appear consistent with a bulk remobilization of photosynthesis-associated proteins as has been seen when plants are exposed to prolonged carbon starvation—a treatment that also induces senescence. Increased fructose levels have also been observed in senescing plants.35 Altered fructose and amino acid homeostasis in the insect-attacked *aca10 aca12* mutants indicates a broad effect on physiology. The results illustrate an often hidden aspect of plant defense: the need to maintain physiological function during sustained stress.

Whereas leaf-to-leaf electrical signaling is jasmonate-independent,36 anthocyanin accumulation on petioles is jasmonate-dependent.38 The *aca10 aca12* plants did not display extensive petiole reddening after *Spodoptera* attack, suggesting that jasmonate signaling (or other factors affecting anthocyanin deposition) was decreased in the petiolar epidermis of these plants. However, in a seeming contradiction, we found higher-than-WT JA-Ile levels in the leaves of the *Spodoptera*-attacked double mutant. This may relate to senescence rather than to increased jasmonate pathway signaling. Increased levels of JA-Ile precursor jasmonic acid typify *Arabidopsis* leaves.37 Regarding defense metabolites, the levels of glucosinolates induced in response to insect attack were generally lower in the double mutant than in the WT. Together, these results distinguish *aca10 aca12* from other *Ca2+*-related mutants, including *calmodulin-like protein* 37 mutants that specifically reduce the expression of a positive regulator of the jasmonate pathway38 and cyclic nucleotide-gated channel 19 mutants in which both jasmonate levels and aliphatic glucosinolate levels are decreased relative to the WT.39

**ACA10 and ACA12 are not canonical electrical signaling genes**

Clearly, due to the conditional nature of *aca10 aca12*, the two ACA proteins are not components of canonical signal pathways that underlie wound-response electrical signal generation or propagation. Instead, these gene functions are needed to maintain cell excitability and leaf defense capacity during chronic attack. During herbivore onslaught on *aca10 aca12* plants (e.g., at day 3 in the time course shown in Figure 3B), the durations of SWPs appear to decrease more quickly than the amplitudes of the electrical signal. We note that the SWP in *Arabidopsis* can be deconvoluted genetically into a leading spike-like depolarization followed by a long-duration depolarized phase.7 The latter phase may be the most sensitive to perturbations in ACA10 and ACA12 function. Although *ACA10* and *ACA12* are in different clusters of the ACA gene family,21 both genes are thought to encode plasma membrane-localized *Ca2+* pumps.33 It is therefore likely that mutation of these genes affects *Ca2+* homeostasis. One possibility, given that we failed to generate WT-sized *aca10* *aca12* plants expressing GCaMP3, is that, in the presence of this reporter gene, deregulated *Ca2+* levels in specific cells or subcellular environments are toxic in this mutant. The ratiometric *Ca2+* reporter YC3.6 could, however, be expressed in the double mutant. YC3.6 output prior to wounding was lower in *aca10 aca12* than in the WT and, in response to acute wounding, cytosolic *Ca2+* levels remained lower in the double mutant than in the WT. Intriguingly, these results differ markedly from those of obtained with an *aca8* single mutant, which has elevated *Ca2+* levels in resting leaves.22 Our results also differ from those for *aca4 aca11*22 and *aca1 aca2 aca7* mutants19 where elicitor-induced cytosolic *Ca2+* levels were higher than those in the WT. In summary, altered *Ca2+* homeostasis in *aca10* *aca12* relative to the WT in response to single mechanical wounds was detected.

**The role of the vasculature under sustained attack**

The phloem is a site of intense, stress-induced *Ca2+* signaling,53 and aphid stylet ingress into the mesophyll elicits local cytosolic...
Ca²⁺ transients adjacent to the phloem,⁴⁴ B. brassicaceae is a phloem-feeder,²⁶ and the striking aphid-feeding-induced failure of long-distance electrical signaling in aca10 aca12 suggested a strong impact of the mutant on this tissue. Both ACA10 and ACA12 transcripts are found in companion cells, where ACA10 transcript levels exceed those of ACA12.¹¹ This led us to investigate whether the defense capacity against S. littoralis in the aca10 aca12 mutant could be rescued by expressing ACA10 in specific vascular cell types. ACA10 was expressed from three different promoters in the aca10c aca12c double mutant, and the resultant plants were then challenged with S. littoralis. Insects on the aca10c aca12c double mutant grew rapidly, but insect weight gain on Suc2pro:ACA10-GUS / aca10c aca12c plants was similar to that on the WT. We noted that the xylem contact cell-expressed LOX6pro:ACA10-GUS construct also partially rescued aca10c aca12c from S. littoralis. We interpret this to mean that both phloem and xylem excitability play an important role in plant defense. Despite damage to their leaves, the Suc2pro:ACA10-GUS / aca10c aca12c plants still produced WT-like electrical signals when wounded. We conclude that vascular cell excitability, probably by affecting whole-leaf physiology, underlies much of the aca10 aca12 phenotype. Outside the vasculature, the ACA10 promoter is active in guard cells,³⁵ and we leave open the possibility that guard cell function is impaired in aca10 aca12 mutants. Together, our observations were used to build a model for ACA10/ACA12 roles during herbivory (Figure S6). The model proposes that, in aca10 aca12, the failure of phloem cells (and, to a lesser extent, xylem contact cells) to repolarize during prolonged stress lowers overall vascular excitability. This loss of excitability then leads to a collapse of physiological functions, growth arrest, and senescence.

Summarizing, numerous genes with roles in plant-insect interactions have been identified.¹³ The bulk of these genes encode direct and indirect defense functions or operate in the regulation of defense signal pathways. ACA10 and ACA12 act differently. We envisage that the calcium pumps encoded by these genes promote survival by maintaining cell excitability when energy is limiting. The role of these proteins in protection against both insect damage and dark-induced senescence supports this contention. That is, mutants in genes underlying cell excitability are expected to have broad functions not limited to a particular stress. Such genes may be the targets of senescence-inducing organisms. We propose that loss of excitability in key vascular cell populations (chiefly the phloem but also in xylem contact cells) underlies defense collapse and physiological dysfunction in aca10 aca12. These double mutants are potentially valuable tools for further studies of early events in inducible senescence.
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## STAR METHODS

### KEY RESOURCES TABLE

| REAGENT or RESOURCE | SOURCE | IDENTIFIER |
|---------------------|--------|------------|
| **Bacterial and virus strains** | | |
| *Escherichia coli* (Top10) | Invitrogen | 404003 |
| *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* (GV3101) | Widely available | N/A |
| **Chemicals, peptides, and recombinant proteins** | | |
| ethylacetate (HPLC grade) | Fisher Chemicals | CAS 141-78-6 |
| formic acid (p.a) | Sigma-Aldrich | CAS 64-18-6 |
| acetic acid | Sigma-Aldrich | 49199 |
| methanol (HPLC grade) | Fisher Chemicals | CAS 67-56-1 |
| Water (milli-Q) | Merck Millipore | N/A |
| acetonitrile (LC-MS grade) | Biosolve Chimie | CAS 75-05-8 |
| formic acid (LC-MS grade) | Biosolve Chimie | CAS 64-18-6 |
| Jasmonoyl-S-isoleucine | OlChemim | 146 232 |
| Jasmonoyl-isoleucine-13C6 | Glauser et al. | N/A |
| glutaraldehyde | Sigma-Aldrich | G6882 |
| formaldehyde | Applichem | 131328.1211 |
| Chloroform, CHROMASOLV Plus | Sigma-Aldrich | 650471 |
| Methanol Chromasolv, Gradient grade | Sigma-Aldrich | 348851 |
| Tributylamine | Sigma-Aldrich | 90781 |
| phosphoric acid | Fluka | 79617 |
| 2-Propanol LC-MS CHROMASOLV | Sigma-Aldrich | 34965 |
| Sodium hydroxide 50% | Sigma-Aldrich | 415413 |
| sucrose | Sigma-Aldrich | 84099 |
| fructose | Sigma-Aldrich | F0127 |
| glucose | Sigma-Aldrich | G5146 |
| Amino Acids Mix Solution | Sigma-Aldrich | 79248 |
| L-asparagine anhydrous | Fluka | 11150 |
| L-glutamine | Fluka | 49420 |
| L-tryptophan | Fluka | 93660 |
| MS medium | Duchefa Biochimie | MO221 |
| BASTA (phosphinotricin) | Duchefa | PO159 |
| X-Gluc | Carbosynth LLC | B-7300 |
| Bbs1 | NEB | R3539S |
| Gotaq polymerase | Promega | M784B |
| Takara 5X PrimeScript | Takara Bio | RR036A |
| **Experimental models: Organisms/strains** | | |
| WT Col-0 | widely available | N/A |
| eca1 | Nottingham Arabidopsis Stock Center | SALK_119898 |
| eca2 | Nottingham Arabidopsis Stock Center | SALK_039146 |
| eca3a | Li et al. | SALK_045567 |
| eca3b | Nottingham Arabidopsis Stock Center | SALK_070619 |
| eca4 | Nottingham Arabidopsis Stock Center | SALK_048468 |
| aca1 | Nottingham Arabidopsis Stock Center | SALK_145077 |
| aca2 | Jezek et al. | SALK_082624 |
| aca4 | Boursiac et al. | SALK_029620 |
| aca10 | Frei dit Frey et al. | GK-044H01 |
| aca11 | Boursiac et al. | SAIL_269_C07 |

(Continued on next page)
### Reagents and Resources

| REAGENT or RESOURCE | SOURCE | IDENTIFIER |
|---------------------|--------|------------|
| aca12               | Iwano et al. 49 | SALK_098383 |
| aca13               | Iwano et al. 49 | SAIL_878_B06 |
| eca2 eca3a          | This study | N/A |
| eca2 eca4           | This study | N/A |
| eca3a eca4          | This study | N/A |
| aca2 eca1           | This study | N/A |
| aca1 eca2           | This study | N/A |
| aca4 aca11          | This study | N/A |
| aca8 aca10          | Yu et al. 21 | N/A |
| aca8 aca12          | Yu et al. 21 | N/A |
| aca8 aca13          | Yu et al. 21 | N/A |
| aca10 aca12         | Yu et al. 21 | N/A |
| aca12 aca13         | Yu et al. 21 | N/A |
| aca10c aca12c       | This study | N/A |
| UBQ10pro:NES-YC3.6/Col-0 | Krebs et al. 25 | N/A |
| LOX6pro:ACA10-GUS/aca10c aca12c | This study | N/A |
| SUC2pro:ACA10-GUS/aca10c aca12c | This study | N/A |
| CAB3pro:ACA10-GUS/aca10c aca12c | This study | N/A |
| Spodoptera littoralis | Syngenta | N/A |
| Brevicoryne brassicae | Grown in house | N/A |

### Oligonucleotides

|   |   |
|---|---|
| Primers used for genotyping, see Data S1A | This study | N/A |
| Primers used for qRT-PCR, see Data S1B | This study | N/A |
| Guide RNAs used for CRISPR, see Data S1C | This study | N/A |

### Recombinant DNA

|   |   |
|---|---|
| pRU41-46 | Ursache et al. 50 | N/A |
| pSF280   | Ursache et al. 50 | N/A |
| pU3      | Ursache et al. 50 | N/A |
| pU6      | Ursache et al. 50 | N/A |
| pUC57    | Widely available | N/A |
| LOX6pro:ACA10g-GUS | This study | N/A |
| SUC2pro:ACA10g-GUS | This study | N/A |
| CAB3pro:ACA10g-GUS | This study | N/A |
| PcUBi4-2 | Ursache et al. 50 | N/A |
| pEC1.2   | Ursache et al. 50 | N/A |
| GUSPlus  | Broothaerts et al. 51 | www.cambia.org |

### Software and Algorithms

|   |   |
|---|---|
| Multiquant 3.0.3 | Sciex | N/A |
| Analyst 1.5.1    | Sciex | N/A |
| MassLynx 4.1     | Waters | N/A |
| Chromeleon       | ThermoFisher | N/A |
| Labscribe3        | iWorx System, Inc | N/A |
| ImageJ 1.53k     | Schneider et al. 50 | https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/ |
| QuantStudio 3 v1.5.2. | ThermoFisher Scientific | N/A |
| GraphPad Prism 8  | GraphPad Software, USA | https://www.graphpad.com |

### Other

|   |   |
|---|---|
| Dowex 1x8, 200–400 mesh | Brunschwig | 20301-0025 |
| Dowex 50WX2, 100-200 mesh | Brunschwig | 20303-0025 |

(Continued on next page)
**RESOURCE AVAILABILITY**

**Lead contact**

- Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the lead contact: Edward Farmer: edward.farmer@unil.ch.

**Materials availability**

- All unique/stable reagents generated in this study are available from the lead contact without restriction.

**Data and code availability**

- All data available in this paper will be shared by the lead contact upon request.
- This paper does not report original code.
- Any additional information required to reanalyze the data reported in this paper is available from the lead contact upon request.

**EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS**

*Arabidopsis thaliana* Columbia background was used in all experiments. Plants were grown individually in 7 cm diameter pots under fluorescent light (100 μE s⁻¹ m⁻²) with 10 h light/14 h dark (short days), 70% humidity, 22 °C during the day and 18 °C at night. Growth
rooms were cleaned frequently and maintained insect-free. Seeds were stratified for 2 days at 4°C after sowing. The following T-DNA insertion mutants in the Columbia background were obtained from Nottingham Arabidopsis Stock Center (NASC): eca1 (SALK_119838), eca2 (SALK_039146), eca3a (SALK_045567), eca3b (SALK_070619), eca4 (SALK_048468), aca1 (SALK_145077), aca2 (SALK_082624), aca4 (SALK_029620), aca10 (GK-044H01), aca11 (SAIL_269_C07), aca12 (SALK_098383)18 and aca13 (SAIL_878_B06).49 The double mutants of aca8 aca10, aca8 aca12, aca8 aca13, aca10 aca12 and aca12 aca13 were kindly provided by Jian Hua (School of Integrative Plant Science, Plant Biology section, Cornell University, Ithaca, USA).

**METHOD DETAILS**

**Genotyping insertion mutants**
Primers used for genotyping are presented in Data S1A.

**Mechanical wounding**
Numbering of leaves started from the oldest leaf emerging after the cotyledons towards the youngest in rosette center.5 5-week-old plants were wounded mechanically by applying single crush wounds to the apical 60% of the lamina of leaf 8 with plastic forceps.

**Electrophysiology**
Surface potentials were recorded with silver chloride electrodes placed on the petiole of leaf 13, 10 mm from the rosette center.6 Electrode-leaf connections were made using 10 μL of 10 mM KCl in 0.5% (w/v) agar. A reference electrode was placed in the soil.7 Surface potential recordings were acquired at 100 Hz using LabScribe3 software (iWorx System, Inc., Dover, NH). Depolarization amplitudes and repolarization durations were analyzed as described previously.5

**No-choice insect feeding bioassays**
*Spodoptera littoralis* (Egyptian cotton worm) eggs were obtain from Syngenta (Stein, Aargau, Switzerland) and stored at 10°C. Eggs were placed in a 1 L beaker containing moist filter paper to maintain humidity. The beaker was covered with Parafilm (Bemsis Flexible Packaging, Neenah, WI) and placed in an incubator at 28°C for 1-2 days to allow hatching. For bioassays with *S. littoralis*, 11 pots each with a single 5- to 5.5-week-old plant, were isolated in a Plexiglass box (28.5 x 19 x 19 cm) and neonate caterpillars were placed on plants. The number of larvae per plant and duration of feeding are given in the text and figure legends. Boxes were transferred to short day conditions identical to those described above. Caterpillars were allowed to feed continuously. Living larvae were then collected and weighed on a precision balance (Mettler-Toledo XP205 (DeltaRange, Greifensee, Switzerland). For screening mutants, each box was considered as one biological replicate and two replicates were used to produce data.

**Aphid feeding bioassays**
Cabbage aphids (*Brevicoryne brassicae*) were reared on cabbage (*Brassica oleracea convar. oleracea var. gemmifera*). 2-3 days before experiments aphids were habituated to *A. thaliana*. For this, aphids were transferred from cabbage to *A. thaliana* in a growth chamber under the short-day conditions described above. The number of aphids per plant and the duration of feeding are given in the text and figure legends. Both the infested plants and controls were kept in the Plexiglass boxes (28.5 x 19 x 19 cm) under short day conditions.

**Jasmonoyl-isoleucine (JA-Ile) quantitation**
Leaves were harvested and immediately flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen. After grinding in liquid nitrogen, 100 mg of frozen powder was added to 990 μL of extraction solvent: ethyl acetate:formic acid (99.5:0.5, v/v) containing 10 μL of internal standard (IS) solution: 10 ng/mL 13C6-JA-Ile as a standard for jasmonoyl-isoleucine.46 After vigorous vortexing of samples, 5-10 glass beads (diameter on cDNA with Master Mix containing 0.1 μL GoTaq polymerase (Promega, WI) and 4 μL 5X Colorless GoTaq Reaction Buffer and 0.2 mM dNTPs, 2.5 mM MgCl2, 30 nM 6-carboxy-X-rhodamine, 0.5X SYBER Green I (Invitrogen) and 0.25μM of both forward and reverse primers in a final volume of 20μL. Primers used for each gene are described in Data S1B. qRT-PCR was performed with a
QuantStudio 3 RealTime-PCR system (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) under the following conditions: initial denaturation 95°C for 2 min, followed by 40 cycles denaturation at 95°C for 30 s, annealing at 60°C for 30 s, and extension at 72°C for 30 s. Four biological replicates were used in each experiment. PCR amplicon identity was confirmed by increasing temperature from 60°C to 95°C with a ramp speed of 0.1°C per s, resulting in melting curves. CT values were acquired using QuantStudio 3 version 1.5.2 (ThermoFischer). The relative expression level of genes was normalized in relation to UBIQUITIN-CONJUGATING ENZYME (UBQ21) and calculated according to the 2^(-DDCt) method.

**Glucosinolate extraction and analysis**

Fresh frozen leaf powder (100-150 mg) from expanded adult-phase leaves (leaves 8-13) was added to 0.5 mL of H2O:methanol:formic acid (20:80:0.1). 4-8 glass beads (diameter 2-3 mm, Sigma-Aldrich) were added to each sample and ground using a TissueLyze II (Qiagen GmbH, Hilden, Germany) for 3 min at 30 Hz. Following centrifugation for 3 min at 12,000 g, 200 μL of extract was collected and placed in an HPLC vial (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Massachusetts, USA) containing a 250 μL conical insert. Glucosinolate analysis was performed by UHPLC-QTOF-MS using an Acquity UPLC coupled to a Synapt G2 QTOF mass spectrometer (Waters, Manchester, UK) as described.

**CRISPR-Cas9**

Using the CRISPR guide RNA design tool (https://benchling.com/) three 20-nt guide RNA (gRNA) sequences were designed for CRISPR-Cas9. Each gRNA sequence was separately cloned into six primary entry vectors (pRU41-46) containing the promoters pU3 or pU6. The gRNA sequences used are shown in Data S1C in lowercase whereas the overhangs (ATTG, GTCA and AAAC) containing restriction site of BbsI enzyme are shown in uppercase. Next, the six cloned gRNAs were assembled into an intermediate vector (pSF280) using Goldengate cloning. Finally, two destination vectors expressing Cas-9 (pUBi4.2 and pEC1.2) were deployed to assemble all six gRNAs, with their independent promoters, within the two final constructs. The resulting clones were sequence-verified (Microsynth AG). Transgenic plants were generated by co-transformation of both sequence-verified constructs using the floral dipping method. Transgens were screened in T1 based on green seed coat fluorescence using a MZ16 FA microscope (Leica, Wetzlar, Germany). Homozygous or heterozygous lines for both ACA10 and ACA12 were selected using PCR and confirmed by sequencing (Microsynth AG, Balgach, Switzerland). In T2, to remove Cas-9, non-fluorescent seeds were selected. The mutations in the double mutant aca10c aca12c were characterized by sequencing.

**Dark treatments**

Plants were placed in darkness at 70% humidity, 22°C during the day and 18°C at night.

**Ca2+ reporters**

WT plants expressing GCaMP3 were crossed with aca10c aca12c. However, all double mutants expressing GCaMP3 were severely dwarfed. We therefore used an UBQ10pro:NES-YC3.6 variant. This reporter was crossed into aca10 c aca12c and used for ratiometric analysis of cytosolic Ca2+ levels. Data were collected by using a SMZ18 stereomicroscope (Nikon, Egg, Switzerland) equipped with a 0.5 X objective (NA = 0.095, SHR PLAN APO, Nikon, Egg, Switzerland), a W-VIEW GEMINI image splitting optics A12801-01 an ORCA-Flash4.0 (C11440) camera (both from Hamamatsu, Solothurn, Switzerland). Filters were from AHF Analysetechnik (Tübingen, Germany) and were mounted as following: F37-483, F37-542, F38-509 on the W-VIEW GEMINI, F39-438, F38-458, F76-460 on the microscope. The light source was provided by a SOLA SM II (Lumencor, Beaverton, OR). Fluorescence was monitored by wounding leaf 8 and acquiring fluorescent data from leaf 13 of 5-week-old plants. The ROI was defined as an area 1 mm x 1 mm (58 pixels x 58 pixels). ROIs were centred on the primary vein at the petiole/lamina junction or on the basal third of the lamina. FRET ratios were monitored at a frequency of 1 Hz. Change in fluorescence over time was analysed as FRET/CFP by using the Fiji plug-in Time Series Analyzer v2 (University of California, Los Angeles, CA). Statistical analysis was performed with Excel (Amsterdam, Netherlands) and GraphPad Prism 8 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA).

**Plant tissue loss and phenotype analyses**

Neonate S. littoralis larvae were allowed to feed on 5-week-old plants (4-larvae per plant). Petiole shrinkage: Each day individual plants (WT and aca10c aca12c) were inspected for signs of shrinkage to petioles on leaves 6 - 10. This was performed with plants still in soil and prior to fresh weight estimations. The widths of visibly affected petioles were measured using a VHX6000 microscope (Keyence, Mechelen, Belgium). The basipetal three quarters of the petioles were inspected. The ratio of the thickest part of the petiole over the thinnest part of the petiole was estimated. Each time this ratio exceeded 1.4 the petiole was scored as showing shrinkage. In no cases did we observe petiole shrinkage in healthy or damaged WT plants or in undamaged aca10 aca12 or aca10c aca12c mutants grown in insect-free conditions. Fresh weight analyses: rosettes were cut off at soil level and weighed. The cut rosette was then photographed immediately for analysis with ImageJ. In badly damaged plants, leaves often curl. Each of these leaves was cut off and flattened prior to photography. From these images, a total rosette outline for each plant was produced. Then, damaged regions were encircled and their areas estimated for each rosette. Note that for badly damaged plants the original leaf outline was estimated from remaining tissue. Therefore, imaging was stopped prior to complete destruction of laminae.
Chlorophyll content measurement
Samples consisted of 3 – 4 expanded leaves (from leaves 8 – 13) per plant. Leaves that were completely senescent were not harvested. After weighing leaves on a precision balance (Mettler-Toledo XP205 DeltaRang-Greifensee, Switzerland), chlorophyll (Chl) was extracted overnight in the dark at 4°C with 5 mL 90% (v/v) acetone in water. Spectrophotometry for Chl a and Chl b (at 647 nm and 664 nm wavelengths respectively) and quantitation was as described.58 Values were normalized to fresh weight.

Sugar and amino acid analyses
For sugar and amino acid measurements, expanded adult-phase leaves (leaves 8 – 13) of 5-week-old plants were used. The samples were extracted59 and the powdered samples (approx. 20 mg) were extracted in ice-cold chloroform : methanol (3:7 v/v). The aqueous phase was collected and dried down in a speed vac. The samples were then resuspended in water and filtered with AcroprepAdv Plus-L2. GUSPlus 47 was amplified without the secretion signal from pCAMBIA 1305.2 (www.cambia.org). pUC57-L4-Kpn1/Xma1-R1, pDONR/221-L1-ACA10g-L2 and pEN-L1-GUS were used to drive the expression of ACA10-GUS translational fusions in the aca10c aca12c background. The ACA10 full length gene was amplified from the JATH YAC-based Arabidopsis genomic DNA library62 with primers containing full Gateway recombination sites using InFusion kits (Takara Bio, Mountain View, CA). ACA10 coding region primers: forward 5’- CGGGGTACCCTGCTAAAACTATT CCATTGGAAATGG-3’; Reverse 5’- TCTCCCCCCTGAGTTTTGAAATTTCTGATGCT -3’. The amplified genomic coding region of ACA10 was recombined using Gateway cloning to make a pdonor-L2/L2 Entry clone. The SUC2, LOX6 and CAB3 promoters (> 3 kb) were then amplified from WT A. thaliana (Col) genomic DNA. SUC2 promoter primers: Forward 5’- CGGGGTACCGGTTGTTGAAATTTCTGATGCT -3’. The amplified genomic coding region of ACA10 was recombined using Gateway cloning to make a pdonor-L2/L2 Entry clone. The SUC2, LOX6 and CAB3 promoter regions were inserted into pUC57-L4-Kpn1/Xma1-R1 and were recombined with pEN-L1-GUS Plus-L2. GUSPlus57 was amplified without the selection signal from pCAMBIA 1305.2 (www.cambia.org). pUC57-L4-Kpn1-SUC2-, -LOX6- and -CAB3-Xma1-R1, pDONR/221-L1-ACA10g-L2 and pEN-R2-GUS-L3, were sub-cloned into the destination vector pB7m34GW to generate desired fusions (SUC2pro: ACA10g-GUS, LOX6pro: ACA10g-GUS, and CAB3pro: ACA10g-GUS) by Triple Gateway cloning using LR reactions. All constructs were introduced into the aca10c aca12c background by Agrobacterium-mediated floro dip transformation.56 Transgenic plants were screened on half-strength MS medium (Duchefa Biochemie, Haarlem, Netherlands) with 40 μg/ml BASTA (PlantMedia, Dublin, OH).

GUS staining
Primary veins from leaf 8 of ACA10-GUS expressing plants were extracted6 and immediately prefixed in 90% acetone on ice for 1 h. Veins were washed 2 times with 50 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.2) then stained in 10 mM Na2EDTA, 1 mM K4Fe(CN)6, 1 mM K3Fe(CN)6, 0.1% (v/v) Triton X-100, 0.5 mg ml⁻¹ X-Gluc; 50 mM sodium phosphate buffer pH 7.2 in the dark at 37°C. Images of veins were taken with VHX-6000 digital microscope (Keyence, Mechelen, Belgium). Transversal petiole sections from ACA10-GUS plants were prepared as follows: 1 cm petiole sections from leaf 8 were fixed and infiltrated with GUS stain using the above procedure. Stained petiole sections were then washed for 2 times with 50 mM sodium phosphate buffer. X-Gluc-stained petioles were fixed in glutaraldehyde/formaldehyde/50 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH7.2) 2:5:43 (v/v/v) for 30 min then dehydrated in an ethanol gradient (10%, 30%, 50%, 70%, 90% and twice absolute ethanol, for 30 min at each ethanol concentration). Dehydrated petioles were embedded in Technovit 7100 resin (Haslab GmbH, Ostermundigen, Switzerland) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Transversal sections of the petiole (3 μm thick) were made on a RM2255 microtome (Leica, Wetzlar, Germany). The sections were mounted in 40% (v/v) glycerol and photographed with a Thunder DM6B microscope (Leica, Wetzlar, Germany).

QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS
Statistical significance in pair-wise comparisons was evaluated by Student’s t test (t-test). For multiple comparisons, analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey’s HSD test, were performed by GraphPad Prism 8 software (GraphPad software Inc., San Diego, CA); P<0.05 or less.