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Abstract

Objectives: Numerous studies have confirmed high stress levels associated with undergoing an operation in children. In this study we aimed to evaluate perceived stress levels of non-therapeutic circumcision in school boys.

Materials and Methods: One thousand hundred patients aged between 9 and 12 years have been invited to the study. The participants are divided into two groups as circumcised and non-circumcised. Socio-economical level and place of residence are also evaluated. Self administered Turkish Validation of Perceived Stress Scale for Children (PSS-C) was used to assess the perceived stress levels due to undergoing circumcision.

Results: One hundred and twenty-eight patients filled in the questionnaire completely. Results confirmed a significantly higher score of perceived stress in circumcised population (p<0.001). There was no correlation with place of residence or socio-economical leve but the younger the patient, the higher the sum score of PSS-C (p<0.05).

Conclusion: Circumcision should be considered as a traumatic experience such as any surgical intervention for pediatric population. Despite religious and social beliefs, patients and parents should be informed about and be conscious of psychological effects of male circumcision.
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Amaç: Çocuklarda ameliyat olmanın ciddi strese yol açtığına dair çok sayıda çalışma bulunmaktadır. Biz bu çalışmada okul çağındaki erkek çocuklarda sünnetin yarattığı algılanan stres düzeyini değerlendirirken amaçladık.

Gereç ve Yöntem: Çalışmaya 9 ve 12 yaş arası toplam 1500 hasta çağrıldı. Katılımcılar sünnet olan ve olmayan olarak iki gruba bölündü. Sosyo-ekonomik düzey ve ikamet yeri de ayrıca değerlendirildi. Çocuklarda Algılanan Stres Düzeyi Ölçeğinin (PSS-C) Türkçe validasyonu kullanılıp, kendilerini doldurması şartıyla sünnet olmaya bağlı stres düzeyleri değerlendirildi.

Bulgular: Sünnet, çocukluk çağındaki her ameliyat için olduğu gibi travmatik bir tecrübe olarak görülmeldi. Dinsel ve kültürel değerlere rağmen, hastalar ve ebeveynleri sünnetin psikolojik etkilerinin farkındalığı önemli ve konu hakkındaki bilgilendirilmesi gerekmektedir.

Sonuç: Bir yüz yirmi sekiz hasta anketi tamamen doldurdu. Algılanan stres düzeyi sünnetli çocuklarda anlamlı düzeyde yükseklendi (p<0,001). İkamet yeri veya sosyo-ekonomik düzey ile bir ilişi bulunmadı ama çocuklarda yaş azılıkça PSS-C skorunun arttığı görüldü (p<0,05).

Anahtar Kelimeler: Erkek Çocuklar, Sünnet, Algılanan Stres, Psikolojik Trauma

Introduction

Circumcision is not performed routinely in most of the countries (1). Most circumcised children are Muslim or Jewish. Countries that circumcise for non-religious reasons are USA (2,3), Canada, Australia and some countries in the European Union. Discussion about circumcision has focused on supposed
health factors (3). Authors mostly agree about circumcision in certain cases including antenatal hydronephrosis, recurrent urinary tract infections and vesicoureteral reflux (4). However non-therapeutic circumcision is under debate all over the world.

The main idea to motivate circumcision is prevention from sexually transmitted diseases (STDs) (5). There are several organizations promoting circumcision in Sub-Saharan Africa (6) where AIDS is endemic (7). The typical characteristic of these STD-prevention studies is that almost all of them is designed and performed in endemic areas for several STDs particularly HIV. Generalization of these results to the rest of the world is not a reasonable approach in the era of evidence based medicine.

On the other hand there are also articles in opposition (8-10) that highlight the adverse effects due to circumcision. Conflicting conclusions on male circumcision particularly focus on psychological trauma (11). Researches on circumcision reveal a remarkable degree of pain, possible changes in child-mother interaction and castration anxiety (12). The place where circumcision has been performed is also considerable. The typical hospital circumcision, out of view of parents, is a more acceptable approach. However most of the circumcisions are still performed in homes in Şanlıurfa.

Cultural values affect circumcision perspective of populations. As an example, Jewry believe in circumcision because it is mentioned in the Torah. For Muslims, circumcision is a transmitted tradition from their prophet. Therefore it is not possible to determine that Jewry and Muslims practice circumcision substantially for medical reasons. However circumcision trend is motivated by preventive health services in westernized countries (13). USA is the leading country in this approach with increasing incidence of neonatal circumcision (14).

The neglected and less studied part of circumcision is that of its psychological impact on children. Any elective surgery with conflicting benefits (15,16) such as circumcision should also be assessed of its psychological consequences. But also it is not easy because of the subjectivity of the issue. Thanks to Perceived Stress Scale for Children (PSS-C) which is a valuable and objective test for assessing perceived stress due to surgery, trauma or any other stressors occurring in the last one year; here in this article, we aimed to evaluate the perceived stress due to non-therapeutic circumcision in school boys living in Şanlıurfa (17).

### Materials and Methods

#### Ethical Statement

Ethical approval was obtained from the local ethical committee in Şanlıurfa. In addition, all participants and their parents provided their written informed consent.

#### Study Design

The study was conducted at Şanlıurfa Research and Training Hospital using a cross-sectional design. A total of 1500 patients aged between 9 and 12 years are invited to participate in the study. Patients who applied to the urology or pediatric urology outpatient clinics between 1 January 2017 and 1 January 2018 were enrolled. Three hundred forty seven patients are excluded owing to having other stressors, being circumcised more than 6 months ago, being a Syrian refugee or undergoing the intervention on non-hygienic conditions. A thousand and fifty-three participants are divided into two groups as circumcised and non-circumcised. Power analysis showed us that the sample size is sufficient to compare the two groups. (Alpha level: 0.95, p:0.05) Participants in both groups filled in the questionnaire on their own to rule out parental effects.

#### Measurements

Turkish validation of PSS-C which is was used to determine patient stress level (18). PSS-C is a questionnaire designed for children to measure perceived stress due to subjective experiences independent of a specific context. There are two versions of PSS-C determined in the literature. Short version with 9 questions (19) and long version with 14 questions (17) are both reliable enough to evaluate perceived stress in children. Items on PSS-C are rated on a 4-point Likert scale (18) (0=Never, 1=A little, 2=Sometimes, 3=A lot). PSS-C sum scores range from 0 to 27 (18). Cronbach Alfa internal consistency reliability coefficient for The Turkish version of PSS-C is 0.76 (18). The test-retest reliability coefficient is 0.71 (18).

#### Statistical Analysis

Means (M) and measures of standard deviations (SD) were calculated for PSS-C sum scores. Sample characteristics were analyzed with independent samples t-test (20). The participants were divided into three groups as 9≤10, 10≤11, 11≤12 respectively according to age, two groups as high and low according to socio-economic level, two groups as urban and rural according to residency to determine if the perceived stress levels of circumcision vary in different groups. In accordance with current literature, level of significance was set at p=0.05 to indicate statistically relevant differences (21). Statistical analyses were performed using the "Statistical Package for the Social Sciences Version 22" (22).

#### Results

#### Sample Characteristics

Table 1 provides an overview of the characteristics of the study population. Six hundred seventeen (53%) patients were circumcised and 536 (47%) non-circumcised. A total of 1128 patients (97%) answered the questionnaire completely. Response rate was slightly higher in non-circumcised group (96%-99%
respectively). Mean age was 10.48±0.75 and mean (PSS-C Sum Score) PSSCSS was 7.50±3.46.

**Determination of Perceived Stress in Study Groups**

Mean age was significantly higher in circumcised group (10.57±0.79 vs 10.39±0.68 p<0.001). Mean PSSCSS was 7.91±3.64 (Table 1) in circumcised group whereas 7.03±3.20 in non-circumcised group (p<0.001). The association between PSSCSS and age is analyzed by linear regression analysis (23). In both groups as the patients gets older, PSSCSS tend to decrease (Figure 1). Separate analysis of PSSCSS for three age intervals (Table 1) revealed that mean PSSCSS were higher in circumcised groups for all age intervals and the differences between mean PSSCSS were significant in all age intervals (p=0.004, p=0.008, p=0.011 respectively). Figure 2 summarizes the association between PSSCSS and age intervals.

Study population was classified into two groups for place of residence. Table 2 summarizes the characteristics of urban-living and rural-living groups. 463 (40%) patients were living in urban areas and 665 (60%) in rural areas. Response rate was slightly higher in urban-living group (99%, 96% respectively). There was no significant difference between mean ages (10.48 vs 10.49, p=0.90). Mean PSSCSS was 7.48±3.43 in urban group and 7.51±3.49 in rural group. The difference was not significant (p=0.91).

Another classification was done due to socio-economic level. Table 3 presents low and high socio-economical groups. Nine hundred and fifty nine (83%) patients were in the low socio-economical group and 194 (17) in the high socio-economical group. Response rates were same as 97% in both groups. There was no significant difference between mean ages (10.48±0.75

| Table 1: Characteristics of the study population |
|-----------------------------------------------|
|     | C (+)  | C (-)  | Total | p value |
|-----|--------|--------|-------|---------|
| n   | 617    | 536    | 1153  | -       |
| %   | 53%    | 47%    | 100%  | -       |
| RR  | 597/617| 531/536| 1128/1153| - |
| %   | 96     | 99     | 97    | -       |
| Mean age (years) | 10.57±0.79 | 10.39±0.68 | 10.48±0.75 | p<0.001 |
| (min-max) | 9.2-12.0 | 9.1-12.0 | 9.1-12.0 | - |
| Mean PSSCSS | 7.91±3.64 | 7.03±3.20 | 7.50±3.46 | p<0.001 |
| (min-max) | 1-24 | 2-23 | 1-24 | - |
| 9≤10 age interval |
| n   | 196    | 156    | 352   | -       |
| %   | 55%    | 45%    | 100%  | -       |
| Mean age (years) | 9.63±0.19 | 9.58±0.23 | 9.63±0.21 | p<0.001 |
| (min-max) | 9.2-10.0 | 9.1-9.9 | 9.1-10.0 | - |
| Mean PSSCSS | 8.43±3.93 | 7.35±2.69 | 7.95±3.47 | p=0.004 |
| (min-max) | 3-24 | 3-20 | 3-24 | - |
| 10≤11 age interval |
| n   | 190    | 271    | 461   | -       |
| %   | 41%    | 59%    | 100%  | -       |
| Mean age (years) | 10.45±0.21 | 10.44±0.22 | 10.44±0.22 | p=0.68 |
| (min-max) | 10.2-10.9 | 10.1-10.9 | 10.1-10.9 | - |
| Mean PSSCSS | 7.96±3.30 | 7.13±3.26 | 7.47±3.30 | p=0.008 |
| (min-max) | 4-24 | 3-23 | 3-24 | - |
| 11≤12 age interval |
| n   | 211    | 104    | 315   | -       |
| %   | 66%    | 34%    | 100%  | -       |
| Mean age (years) | 11.51±0.27 | 11.48±0.16 | 11.50±0.24 | p=0.22 |
| (min-max) | 11.2-12.0 | 11.2-11.8 | 11.2-12.0 | - |
| Mean PSSCSS | 7.36±3.58 | 6.26±3.61 | 7.0±3.62 | p=0.011 |
| (min-max) | 1-19 | 2-16 | 1-19 | - |

RR: Response rates, C (+): Circumcised group, C (-): Non-circumcised group, PSSCSS: Perceived Stress Scale for Children Sum Score, min: Minimum, max: Maximum
Mean PSSCSS was 7.48±3.33 for patients with low socio-economical level and 7.59±3.49 for patients with high socio-economical level. The difference was not significant (p=0.68).

On the upshot, mean PSSCSS did not vary significantly in diverse socio-economical levels or residency. Younger age and being circumcised were the risk factors for increased perceived stress levels (p<0.05).

**Discussion**

The current study focused on whether circumcision causes a significant stress for children. Studies with PSS-C reveal that PSS-C is easy to be understood by children and also applicable in different samples from several countries. This feature of PSS-C makes it eligible for research. By administrating a valid and objective scale PSS-C, we aimed to contribute to pediatric surgery literature about the issue as well.

The results of the study confirmed a significantly higher perceived stress level for circumcised boys. And also younger boys revealed a higher stress level than olders in accordance with the literature (24).

Şanlıurfa is a non-industrial and low-income city of Turkey so rural-prominent and saliently higher low socio-economical patient ratios were expected. Though various contraceptive methods are performed, the birth rate in Şanlıurfa is the highest one of Turkey with an approximated value of 2.5%. These factors cause children to be circumcised relatively late (generally after age of 12) to the general child population in Turkey. Migration of Syrian refugees gave a rise to the pre-existing economic problems despite government subsidies. According to official records, Syrian refugees make up 25% of Şanlıurfa’s total population. However Syrian refugee children were not included in the study because of having high incidence of psychological disorders caused by the war.
The traumatic impact of surgery on children is well established in the literature (25,26). As an example, there are studies reporting long-term psychological effects of tonsillectomy on children (27,28). Also, age is an important factor for children to overcome stress of surgery. The younger the child, the higher the risk of psychological trauma due to surgery. The risk-benefit ratio may be in favor of surgery in certain cases (29); however, non-therapeutic circumcision is charged with mental (30,31) and urethral stenosis (32) in recent studies (33). Therefore, when an elective surgery that has conflicting benefits on children such as circumcision is at issue, we should take attention into psychological impacts of it on children adequately (34,35).

Studies in the current literature are mostly based on subjective fundamentals. According to our point of view, assuming non-therapeutic circumcision as a prophylactic intervention to fight against STDs or religious beliefs of several societies ignores possible psychological impacts of circumcision on children. As physicians, science should be our lodestar and scientific method should guide us to avoid flawed reasoning (36). Certainly, science is also influenced by cultural values. But if it is a surgical intervention at issue, we should not regard cultural values as scientific facts in the era of evidence-based medicine (37).

Parents should be adequately informed before the procedure. Communication between parents and physicians is mostly insufficient (38). Possible emotional distress about the issue causes a lack of real informed consent. On the other hand, in any other surgical intervention, parents almost always query if intervention is essential, what kind of risks or complications are possible. We consider that habitual actions prevail science in these circumstances. Possible physiological impacts of the procedure should be approved before decision. Fail to appreciate circumcision is a surgical procedure also makes it hard to explain when it comes to apprise any complications due to surgery.

Physician’s role in this ritual is also indispensable. Approving parent’s decision without any informative comment may lead to adverse psychological consequences. Physician should avoid lack of elaboration and insist on to give sufficient information about the procedure (39). It should be kept in mind that this is also a medicolegal issue. Psychological impact (40) of circumcision on children might be included in informed consent as a complication.

A single centered study with a cross-sectional design can not present us sufficient evidence to consider certainly that circumcision causes a significant perceived stress. On the other hand, parental perspective is not included in our study but it may be helpful for children to overcome the stress of circumcision in some cases. Also, lack of different ethnicities is an obstacle to generalize our results. Randomized controlled studies with larger sample sizes are needed to make a decisive comment.

We aim to point out that circumcision may have psychological impacts on children so this should be taken into account before intervention.
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