Global DNA Methylation Patterns and Gene Expression Associated with Obesity-Susceptibility in Offspring of Pregnant Sprague-Dawley Rats Exposed to BDE-47 and BDE-209
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Persistent organic pollutants (POPs) can affect epigenetic mechanisms and obesity development. Polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs)—widely used to make flames—are one of the important POPs. Prenatal exposure to endocrine disrupting chemicals (EDCs), such as POPs, may affect global DNA methylation in long interspersed nuclear elements (LINE-1), increasing the risk of obesity later in life. Therefore, pregnant Sprague-Dawley (SD) rats were used to elucidate whether BDE-47 and BDE-209 transferred through placenta and breast milk cause epigenetic changes in LINE-1 and increase genetic susceptibility to obesity as obesogen during the developmental periods. Global DNA methylation in LINE-1 and gene expression related to obesity were measured in dams and offspring, using a methylation-sensitive high resolution melting analysis (MS-HRM) and direct bisulfite sequencing and quantitative real time polymerase chain reaction (qPCR), respectively. The results of MS-HRM showed global DNA hypomethylation patterns in LINE-1 of exposed offspring (2 of total 4) at PND 4, but bisulfite sequencing showed no difference in both the exposed and non-exposed groups. Gene expression in dams related to β-oxidation pathway and those related to adipokines showed different patterns between the two groups. On the contrary, gene expressions of offspring showed a similar pattern. Gene expressions related to β-oxidation pathway and obesity were significantly increased when compared with ‘at birth’, but not PPAR-α. In conclusion, this study demonstrated the possibility that co-exposure to BDE-47 and BDE-209—via the placenta and breast milk—may affect epigenetic changes and modulate gene expression levels related to obesity.

Key words: Polybrominated diphenyl ethers, Epigenetics, DNA methylation, Obesity, Beta-oxidation, Adipokines
INTRODUCTION

Persistent organic pollutants (POPs) are organic chemical substances affecting endocrine, immune, reproductive and nervous systems and show the characteristics of the bioaccumulation, biological persistence, toxicity and long-distance mobility in the environment [1]. The major types of POPs are organochlorine pesticides (OCPs), polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) and polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) [2]. PBDEs which are significantly elevated in blood among POPs are a class of brominated flame retardant additives found in a variety of commercial products including computers, television sets, furniture and textile in everyday life [3]. Since PBDEs are common in a variety of commercial products, those mothers exposed to PBDEs through diet, air or household dust could have bioaccumulated the chemicals in the system, mainly in adipose tissue and passed them on to their children via placenta and breast milk [4]. PBDEs that may be present in the body, as well as the environment can cause thyroid hormone disruption, neurobehavioral toxicity and for some congeners, possibly even cancer [5]. Endocrine disrupting compounds (EDCs) are chemicals that interfere with the hormone systems and induce adverse effect on reproductive, neurological and immunological system [6]. POPs belong to EDCs in a broad sense because POPs act like EDCs in the system and effect on progression of obesity and diabetes [7]. EDCs are called obesogens in the case of obesity and they increase the rate of childhood obesity when there is exposure during prenatal and child development [8]. Since their action regulates lipid metabolism and adipogenesis which promotes obesity, some scientific data suggested a link between environmental chemical exposure and obesity [9].

Epigenetics modulates gene expression without actually changing the DNA sequence by methylation patterns [10]. DNA methylation is a process that methyl radical is added to the 5' position of cytosine DNA and targets primarily the cytosine residues in CpG dinucleotide. Unmethylated or hypomethylated DNA sequence results in transcription and mRNA expression, which allows binding of the transcription factors at its binding site called the promoter. If the promoter region become methylated, hypermethylated DNA sequence obstructs binding of the transcription factors and resulting in gene silencing [11]. In contrast to promoter region, long interspersed element-1 (LINE-1 or L1) and short interspersed elements (SINEs) called repeated sequences (aka. repetitive elements) are predominantly hypermethylated [12]. In cancer epidemiology, LINE-1 making up roughly 17% of the human genome methylation patterns is used as a surrogate marker of global DNA methylation [13]. CpG islands are regions with a high frequency of CpG sites, which are methylated in repeat sequences of normal cells [14]. On the other hand, LINE-1 hypomethylation and promoter hypermethylation of a tumor suppressor gene are associated with a higher risk in developing several cancers, having a poorer prognosis and more aggressiveness [15].

Thus, the purposes of present study are to examine the global DNA methylation patterns in offspring of pregnant Sprague-Dawley rats, which are repeatedly exposed to mixture of BDE-47, BDE-209 during developmental periods and to assess whether gene expression associated with obesity makers are different on the period of exposure throughout gestation and lactation so as to elucidate whether maternally transferred BDE-47, BDE-209 can cause epigenetic changes and whether they can increase obesity susceptibility as obesogen in the developmental periods. In addition, this study was conducted with limited materials. Therefore, in this study, we were only able to compare between exposed group and non-exposed groups at PND 4. The results of gene expression associated with obesity-susceptibility in the exposed-group were also compared within the group to see a gradual change according to period.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment in present study was carried out by using all samples wrapped with aluminum foil and kept at −80°C deep freezer until analysis. Dams and fetuses/neonates/pups were freeze dried, ground very finely and
stored in the amber vial. It was approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) of Seoul National University (SNU-1403120502).

1. Animals and treatments

Sixteen pregnant Sprague-Dawley rats on gestation day (GD) 0 were purchased from Orient Bio (Seongnam, Korea). Sixteen pregnant Sprague-Dawley (SD) rats were used and randomly assigned to three different conditions of exposed (N=4 dams per group) and one non-exposed groups (N=4 dams). We used the PBDEs as mixture with BDE-47, BDE-209 because they are present in commercial products as mixture form and the most domestically predominant congeners are BDE-47, BDE-209. After 7 days adaption, SD rats in exposure groups were daily force-fed by gavage with mixture (2.5 μmol/mL for BDE-209 & 6 nmol/mL for 13C-BDE-47) of decabromodiphenyl ether (BDE-209, Wellington Laboratories, Ontario, Canada) and radio-labelled 2,2′,4,4′-tetrabromodiphenyl ether (13C-BDE-47, Wellington Laboratories, Ontario, Canada). The exposed groups on GD 8 were treated by gavage with the mixture of BDE-209 (2.5 μmol/kg b.w) and 13C-BDE-47 (6 nmol/kg b.w) for 18 consecutive days from GD 8 to postnatal day (PND) 4. Three exposed groups were serially sacrificed at GD 14, birth and PND 4, respectively. The one non-exposed group was treated with corn oil in the same fashion and sacrificed at PND 4. We analyzed whether gene expression levels of obesity makers are different from the period of exposure. To do so, the results of the gene expression between the exposed and the non-exposed groups were compared with PND 4 and the changes of gene expression with different period of exposure were compared among the exposed groups.

2. Sample collection and analysis

1) Genomic DNA extraction and bisulfite treatment

Genomic DNA (gDNA) was extracted from specimens of whole liver, brain from dams and tail from offspring stored at −80°C until downstream analysis was performed, using a DNA extraction kit (QIAamp DNA FFPE tissue kit, Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The DNA concentration was estimated by measuring absorbance at 260 nm using a spectrophotometer (NanoDrop 1000, Thermo Scientific, Wilmington, DE, USA). gDNA in dams were extracted from each of the liver, brain. On the other hand, gDNA in offsprings were extracted from tail of neonate, pup and fetal body (only N=1). To put it briefly, unmethylated cytosines are converted to uracil by treatment with bisulfite while methylated cytosines are protected. Bisulfite modification of genomic DNA was carried out by using the Bisulfite conversion kit (EZ DNA methylation-lightning kit: Zymo Research, Orange, CA, USA) following the manufacturer’s protocol.

2) Methylation-Sensitive High-Resolution Melting Analysis (MS–HRM)

The experiment was performed in 96-well plates (LightCycler® 480, Roche Diagnostics, Indianapolis, IN, USA) using a mix reaction (LightCycler® 480 High Resolution Melting Master Mix, Roche Diagnostics, Indianapolis, IN, USA), which contains a DNA intercalating dye, in a final volume of 20 μL, on a thermocycler instrument (Light-Cycler® 480II, Roche Diagnostics, Indianapolis, IN, USA). The following primer sets were designed by MethPrimer (http://www.urogene.org/cgi-bin/methprimer/methprimer.cgi).

Primer sequences in the 5’ untranslated region (5’UTR) of LINE-1 (GenBank: AH005177.2) are forward: 5’-TTGTGGTGATTGGTGTGA-3’ and reverse: 5’-CTACACCCATACCCATAT-3’ (Table 1). The reaction mixture contained the master mix (2×), 0.2 μM of the primer and 1 μL of bisulfite-modified DNA with 3 mM of MgCl2. The cycling conditions used for the assays were as follows: 1 cycle of 95°C (10 min), 45 cycles of 95°C (1 min), followed by cooling at 70°C (1 min); then, the acquisition step started ramping from 70 to 95°C, rising by 0.2°C/s with 25 acquisitions/°C. Commercial standards (Pre-mixed Calibration Standard, EpigenDx, Hopkinton, MA, USA) were used as 0%, 5%, 10%, 25%, 50%, 75% and 100% methylated control DNA, respectively. Methylation standards were modified by bisulfite according
Table 1. Primer sequence set of LINE-1

| Primer set | Primer sequences | Annealing temperature (°C) | Amplicon size (bp) | Number of CpG sites |
|------------|------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
|            | F: TTGTGTAAAGAAATTTGGTTGTA | 60                          | 187                | 10                  |
|            | R: CTACAACCTCAAAAATACCCACA |                            |                    |                     |

1 TTCTCTGTCTCGTGTCAGAGAAGCGCTTGGCAAGCAAGACCCACGGAGAAGCGCTAGGGCTGTC
2 TATTTTCACTCTTCAGTTTGATGGATGTTATTTAAGGGGAAAGATCTGTTG

3) Direct bisulfite sequencing

To confirm MS-HRM results, DNA sequencing was performed by Cosmo Genetech, Seoul, Korea. The brief protocol was described as below. PCR products from MS-HRM reactions were used as templates for sequencing reactions. The DNA amplicons were sequenced using the same PCR primers for MS-HRM reactions with the Big Dye Terminator v3.1 cycle sequencing kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). The products were cleaned with the MagnesiLisa® GREEN (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) and resolved with the ABI 3730xl genetic analyzer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The data were analyzed using the ABI data collection software v3.0 (Thermo Fisher Scientific), DNAStar® Lasergene sequence analysis software (DNASTAR, Madison, WI, USA). Additionally, sequence analysis software (Sequencher, Genecodes) was used to observe the peak variation of thymidine (T) and cytosine (C) at CpG sites.

4) RNA isolation and gene expression analysis

For quantitative real time polymerase chain reaction (qPCR), total RNA was extracted from frozen tissues of whole liver from dams and offspring with the RNeasy mini kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) and then reverse transcribed with High-Capacity cDNA reverse transcription kits (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The RNA concentration was measured with a spectrophotometer (NanoDrop 1000, Thermo Scientific, Wilmington, DE, USA). qPCR reactions were performed by using TaqMan gene expression assays (Applied Biosystems) for PPAR-α (NM_013196.1), PPAR-γ (NM_001145366.1), ACOX 1 (NM_017340.2), TNF-α (NM_012675.3), Adipoq (Rn00595250_m1) and Gapdh (NM_017008.4) in the Applied Biosystems 7500 fast real-time PCR system (Applied Biosystems) (Table 2).
Table 2. Genes presented single-tube assays with their respective TaqMan gene expression assay numbers and GenBank

| Gene symbol | Gene description | TaqMan gene expression assay number | GenBank accession number |
|-------------|------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| PPAR α      | Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor α | Rn00566193_ml | NM_013196.1 |
| PPAR γ      | Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ | Rn00440945_ml | NM_001145366.1 |
| ACOX 1      | Acyl-CoA oxidase 1, Palmitoyl | Rn01460628_ml | NM_017340.2 |
| TNF α       | Tumor necrosis factor | Rn01525860_g1 | NM_012675.3 |
| Adipoq       | Adiponectin | NM_144744.3 | Rn00595250_m1 |
| Gapdh       | Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase | Rn01775763_g1 | NM_017008.4 |

Figure 1. The results of CpG island by using MethPrimer (Criteria used: Island size >100, GC Percent >50.0, Obs/Exp >0.6). The blue area represents CpG Island in LINE-1.

Figure 2. Representative standard melting curves for the determination of methylated DNA. Standard samples, from top to bottom, were 100%, 75%, 50%, 25%, 10%, 5% and 0% methylated.

Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) was amplified as a normalizing control. Relative expression was calculated by the $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ method.

5) Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using STATA version 12 (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA). Statistical differences between exposed and non-exposed groups were evaluated by the Wilcoxon rank-sum test (non-parametric) to test for significant differences between independent 2 groups. A $p$-values less than 0.05 were considered significant. The results of mRNA expression are given as mean ± standard deviation (SD).

RESULTS

1. The results of MS–HRM show global DNA hypomethylation patterns at PND 4 of exposed offspring in LINE-1

To determine if PBDEs exposure through placenta and breast milk alters global DNA methylation, LINE-1 methylation patterns were measured in dams and offspring following exposure to mixture of BDE-47, BDE-209 by gavage for 18 days. The LINE-1 structure has a 5’ untranslated region (UTR) with internal promoter activity, two open reading frames (ORFs), a 3’UTR [16]. We found 10 CpG sites in 5’ UTR with high GC content by using MethPrimer in order to analyze global DNA methylation patterns (Figure 1).

A standard melting curve presented different methylation rates used to quantify the methylation status of the region (Figure 2). MS-HRM showed the melting curves of all samples were very similar in standard melting curves ranging from 50~75%, except for exposed offspring (2 of total 4) at PND 4 (Figure 3).

2. Bisulfite sequencing shows no differences in both exposure and control group compared to reference sequence for LINE-1

Bisulfite sequencing to determine methylation status at CpG dinucleotides was carried out. Interestingly, no difference in sequence was observed between the two groups of samples in exposed and non-exposed offspring at PND 4 compared to reference sequence, even though
methylated DNA standards as control were changed according to the degree of methylation (Figure 4).

Figure 3. The results of methylation-sensitive high-resolution melting (MS-HRM). In standard melting curves, (A) the melting curves of all samples and (B), (C) the melting curves of exposed offspring (2 of total 4) at PND 4. The arrow indicates more hypomethylated (approximately 25% to 50%) melting curve than other melting curves, respectively. (A) The blue circle means all samples of melting curves except exposed offspring (2 of total 4) at PND 4.

3. Gene expression related to the β-oxidation pathway and adipokines in dams showed different patterns

This study was conducted to investigate the genes associated with obesity, whether they are affected by maternal BDE-47, BDE-209 transferred to offspring. The mRNA expressions of PPAR-α, ACOX 1 and PPAR-γ increased in the exposed dams at birth compared to GD 14 but they showed significant increase only in PPAR-γ. And they decreased simultaneously at PND 4 (Figure 5). There was a similar pattern of PPAR-α and PPAR-γ mRNA expressions gradually increasing from GD 14 to PND 4 and dramatically decreased at PND 4 in exposed dams. ACOX 1 known to be regulated by PPAR-α mRNA expression was a similar pattern to PPAR-α in dams and offspring (Figure 5, 6). So its mRNA expression at PND 4 was also significantly decreased as PPAR-α mRNA expression at PND 4 significantly decreased in dams (Figure 5). In contrast, TNF-α and adiponectin mRNA expression levels showed that up-and-down patterns in consecutively different time point compared to PPAR-α and PPAR-γ mRNA expressions in exposed dams (Figure 5). The gene expression of the exposed dams which had the biggest SD was adiponectin linked to the insulin resistance of obesity (Table 3). And it is the true adipokine to be exclusively produced by adipocytes unlike TNF-α which is also secreted by inflammation cell.

Figure 4. The results of bisulfite sequencing of LINE-1 in offspring at PND 4. After bisulfite sequencing, all unmethylated cytosines (0% standard) converted to thymine (T), while all methylated cytosines (100% standard) remained unconverted. Both exposed offspring at PND 4 (2 of total 4) suspected in decreased DNA methylation and non-exposed group did not show the patterns of global DNA hypomethylation compared to reference sequence for LINE-1.
Figure 5. The mRNA gene expression levels associated with obesity in liver of dams at different time points. The error bars represent the standard deviation of the mean. *p<0.05 compared to the two points in time.

Figure 6. The mRNA gene expression levels associated with obesity in liver of offspring at different time points. The error bars represent the standard deviation of the mean. *p<0.05 compared to the two points in time.
Table 3. The mRNA gene expression in the liver of dams and offspring at different time points

| Genes       | Exposed group (Time Points) | Non-exposed group (Time Point) |
|-------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|
|             | at GD 14                    | at Birth                      | PND 4                          | PND 4                          |
| Dam         |                             |                               |                               |
| PPAR-α      | 1.00±0.86                   | 1.50±1.53                     | 0.38±1.36                      | 0.45±0.91                      |
| ACOX 1      | 1.00±0.82                   | 1.70±0.77                     | 0.46±0.86                      | 1.0±0.84                       |
| PPAR-γ      | 1.00±0.82                   | 1.89±0.71                     | 0.63±0.66                      | 0.51±0.34                      |
| TNF-α       | 1.00±0.97                   | 0.50±1.01                     | 0.32±0.97                      | 0.29±0.81                      |
| Adiponectin | 1.00±1.42                   | 0.69±1.19                     | 2.28±2.38                      | 0.12±1.39                      |
| Offspring   |                             |                               |                               |
| PPAR-α      | NT                          | 1.00±0.85                     | 1.11±1.15                      | 1.64±0.45                      |
| ACOX 1      | NT                          | 1.00±0.43                     | 3.74±0.27                      | 3.51±0.25                      |
| PPAR-γ      | NT                          | 1.00±1.47                     | 3.35±1.38                      | 3.02±0.64                      |
| TNF-α       | NT                          | 1.00±0.65                     | 7.51±1.10                      | 4.60±0.30                      |
| Adiponectin | NT                          | 1.00±1.01                     | 5.09±1.23                      | 4.39±1.18                      |

The results represent the calculated fold change (mean±SD). GAPDH mRNA levels were used as an internal normalization control. The reference for fold change is 'at GD 14' in exposed group and 'PND 4 of exposed group' in non-exposed group. Abbreviation: NT, not tested.

4. Gene expression of offspring related to β-oxidation pathway and the ones related to obesity showed the up-expression

The gene expression patterns of offspring exposed through placenta and breast milk were compared and it showed that PPAR-α and ACOX 1 which is related to β-oxidation pathway have significantly increasing tendency in the exposed group but not PPAR-α. And also, PPAR-γ, TNF-α and Adiponectin which is related to obesity were significantly increased comparing to ‘at birth’ in the exposed group (Figure 6).

DISCUSSION

This study was performed to characterize global DNA methylation patterns of LINE-1 repetitive elements in exposed offspring of pregnant SD rats. The results showed exposed offspring at PND 4 day (N=2, total 4) have decreased methylation curves compared to standard and other samples curves. Although bisulfite sequencing reactions were performed in order to confirm MS-HRM results, there were no changes in sequencing. However, some experiments in vivo and vitro showed exposure to PBDEs could change DNA methylation patterns. For example, LINE-1 in brain frontal lobe of the offspring exposed to BDE-47 via dams decreased at low doses rather than high doses [17]. The discrepancy between MS-HRM and bisulfite sequencing in the present study should be further investigated in studies measuring by pyrosequencing for the quantitative methylation analysis in the same samples, since the bisulfite sequencing method may bring out an under-representation of the extent of methylated DNA and the sequencing of short amplicons amplified from a bisulfite modified template can be problematic [18].

Recent evidence shows EDCs are positively associated with adverse health effects by mimicking natural hormones and blocking hormone signaling. Also like natural hormones, these functions at very low doses act on many different tissue types [6]. In the present study, mRNA expression levels of peroxisome proliferator activated receptor-α, γ and ACOX 1 in exposed dams from GD 14 to PND 4 formed inverted-U shape. This result according to exposure period in exposed dams could be affected by nonmonotonic dose-response curves of EDCs representing inverted U-shaped curve, which means low-doses are more pronounced activity than high-doses [19].

In this study, the mRNA of both PPAR-α and PPAR-γ were simultaneously up-regulated in the liver of exposed dams at the respective same time point. The peroxisome proliferator activated receptors (PPARs), namely, α, δ, and γ are a family of nuclear hormone receptors that respond to fatty acids and related ligands. Hormone is largely...
classified in two groups, which are steroid hormone and peptide hormone. Water-soluble peptide hormone affects gene expression by combining with the receptor on cell membrane. Whereas low molecular fat-soluble steroid hormone does so by combining with the nuclear receptor in the nuclear [20]. Specifically, PPAR-α regulates the transcriptional expression of the enzymes involved in fatty acid β-oxidation pathway [21]. Acyl-CoA oxidase 1 (ACOX1), the first enzyme of the peroxisomal fatty acid β-oxidation system, is regulated directly by PPAR-α [22]. As a result, ACOX1 mRNA was significantly downregulated in exposed dams at PND 4 compared to the non-exposed PND 4 when PPAR-α mRNA was also significantly down expressed at PND 4 compared to exposed dams at birth. In contrast, PPAR-γ is the master regulator of adipogenesis and highly expressed in adipose tissues [23]. In murine models for obesity, the mRNA levels of both PPAR-α and PPAR-γ were significantly increased in the liver at the same time [24]. Therefore, these data suggest BDE-47, BDE-209 and their metabolites may have a potential effect on a risk factor for obesity as obesogen.

Adipocytes secrete several adipocytokines (signaling proteins), such as TNF-α and adiponectin by the adipose tissue. Not only is TNF-α, a proinflammatory cytokine, important players in the state of insulin resistance observed during obesity, but adiponectin is also associated with insulin resistance, regulating both glucose and lipid metabolism [25]. Elevated TNF-α mRNA expression was observed in adipose tissue from obese rodent models and that was found in obese individuals [26]. In present study, TNF-α mRNA expression in exposed dams were gradually decreased from GD 14 to PND 4 according to different time point. This reason for alteration of TNF-α mRNA expression according to the exposure period can be explained by the relationship between PPAR-α and TNF-α. Since PPAR-α mRNA expression inversely correlates with TNF-α in rats [27], TNF-α down regulates both mRNA expression of PPAR-α and ACOX1 associated with peroxisomal β-oxidation pathway by fatty acids [28].

In previous studies, inverse correlation between adiponectin and TNF-α has been proved by showing that TNF-α decreases adiponectin mRNA expression in adipocytes [29]. For this reason, if the insulin resistance is increased in body, which induce the increased plasma TNF-α and decreased plasma adiponectin in children with obesity [30]. But this study showed a different result compared to previous results. The mRNA expression of adiponectin in exposed dams showed a similar pattern with the mRNA expression of TNF-α at all different time points. Since they are major adipokines secreted by adipocytes, the declining patterns of them in exposed dams according to the time points could exhibit a similar trend [31]. This discrepancy in previous findings between adiponectin and TNF-α should be further studied.

In this study, the gene expression of the offspring was significantly expressed compared with ‘at birth’ in exposed group. And then it was suppressed or showed no difference compared with the non-exposed PND 4. Although there are various mechanisms to explain alterations of gene expression in the exposure period, it can be described and found the meaning in terms of epigenetic regulation, namely DNA methylation without DNA sequence modification. Accumulating evidence has indicated the possibility of DNA methylation by EDCs. The promoter of offspring exposed to BPA by dam showed decreased DNA methylation at nine CpG sites compared to control group [32]. Also DNA methylation alterations that directly affect gene expression often occur in the CpG sites located in the promoter regions of the genes [33]. The increased gene expression of PPARγ2 inducing adipocyte differentiation accompanied after BDE-47 exposure was related to a decreased methylation status of the PPARγ2 promoter in 3T3-L1 cells [34]. The cohort study to examine the impact of prenatal exposure to PBDEs showed decreased cord blood TNF-α promoter methylation associated with high maternal BDE-47 exposure [35]. Recent study has shown the promoter of adiponectin known to play an important role in energy homeostasis is hypermethylated in obese mice. These causes are to have an active TNF-α induced obesity activates DNA methyltransferase 1 (DMNT1), which induced DNA hypermethylation at the particular region (R2: positioned 1 kb upstream of the transcription
start site) of adiponectin promoter, resulting in suppression of adiponectin gene expression in adipocyte. In this perspective, methylation analysis of the respective gene promoters should be further investigated in order to explain the gene expression patterns exposed to PBDEs by epigenetic mechanisms [36].

Epigenetic modifications by nutritional and environmental exposures can be inherited in somatic cells via germline modifications, which affects the phenotypic variation and disease in offspring [37]. In other words, epimutation which does not affect DNA sequence changes occurs during germ cell development and leads to epigenetic inheritance [38]. Increasing evidence shows that prenatal exposure to environmental factors affects developmental pathway and thereby induces permanent changes in gene expression, metabolism and chronic disease susceptibility [39]. As a result, epigenetic dysregulation is found in developmental diseases and cancer and probably affects cardiovascular disease, diabetes, and obesity [40]. For this reason, environmental effect on epigenetics is likely to be most critical during prenatal and early postnatal development.

This study showed the possibility of global DNA methylation in the offspring exposed BDE-47, BDE-209 through placenta and breast milk, even though results from MS-HRM and direct bisulfite sequencing did not show the expected results. It also found that the co-exposure to BDE-47, BDE-209 in dams and offspring SD rat induced different gene expression levels associated β-oxidation and obesity. Therefore, there are enough evidences to suggest BDE-47, BDE-209 is obesogen since it was proved that co-exposure modulate gene expression depending on various conditions. In particular, it was shown that those genes related to obesity were significantly increased in exposed offspring compared to ‘at birth’. Given these findings, we could see the possibility of difference in epigenetic susceptibility to co-exposure to BDE-47, BDE-209 between the dams and offspring. For further study, it should include the epigenetic changes, β-oxidation and obesity gene expression by PBDEs exposure before pregnancy and increasing breast-feeding period. And then since EDC acts even in very low dose, study with different concentration below ‘No Observed Effect Level’ (NOEL) is required in regard with methylation and gene expression. The comparison between the exposed and non-exposed group according to the period of exposure is also required. Above all, epigenetic research is needed to be progressed together for the study of endocrine disrupting chemicals that affect obesity-related genes because epigenetics can be involved in the expression of obesity gene by DNA methylation. Collectively, these results provide valuable data for further solution of obesity-related genes affected by PBDEs in epigenetics.

요 약

잔류성 유기 오염 용해물질은 후성학적 메커니즘과 비만의 발달에 영향을 줄 수 있다. 폴리브롬화 디페닐 에테르는 주요한 잔류성 유기 오염 물질 중 하나이며, 난연제로 널리 쓰인다. 출생 전 잔류성 유기 오염 물질과 같은 내분비교란물질에 노출시 LINE-1 (long interspersed nuclear elements)의 global DNA 메틸화와 비만 위험도의 증가에 영향을 미칠 수 있다. 따라서, 이번 연구는 임신한 스프라그-돌리 백서를 이용하여 태반과 모유를 통하여 전달된 BDE-47, BDE-209가 LINE-1에서의 후성학적인 변화와 obesogen으로서 발달과정에 따른 유전적 비만의 위험성과 증가에 영향을 줄 수 있는지에 대해서 보고자 하였다. 어미와 새끼에서 LINE-1의 광범위 DNA 메틸화와 비만과 관련된 유전자 발현은 methylation-sensitive high resolution melting analysis (MS-HRM), direct bisulfite sequencing와 quantitative real time polymerase chain reaction (qPCR)을 사용하여 각각 분석하였다. MS-HRM 결과는 출생 후 4일의 노출군 새끼에서 (4마리 중 2마리) LINE-1의 광범위 DNA 메틸화 양상을 보여주었지만, bisulfite sequencing은 노출군과 비교노출군에 차이가 없었다. β-산화 성장과 adipokines과 관련된 어미의 유전자 발현은 두 그룹간 차이를 보였다. 반면에, 새끼의 유전자 발현은 비슷한 양상을 나타내었다. β-산화 성장과 adipokines과 관련된 어미의 유전자 발현은 두 그룹간 차이를 보였다. 반면에, 새끼의 유전자 발현은 비슷한 양상을 나타내었다. β-산화 성장과 adipokines과 관련된 어미의 유전자 발현은 두 그룹간 차이를 보였다.
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