Determinants of Mental Health Care Utilization in a Suicide High-risk Group With Suicidal Ideation
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Objectives: The suicide rate in Korea is increasing every year, and is the highest among the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development countries. Psychiatric patients in particular have a higher risk of suicide than other patients. This study was performed to evaluate determinants of mental health care utilization among individuals at high risk for suicide.

Methods: Korea Health Panel data from 2009 to 2011 were used. Subjects were individuals at high risk of suicide who had suicidal ideation, a past history of psychiatric illness, or had utilized outpatient services for a psychiatric disorder associated with suicidal ideation within the past year. The chi-square test and hierarchical logistic regression were used to identify significant determinants of mental health care utilization.

Results: The total number of subjects with complete data on the variables in our model was 989. Individuals suffering from three or more chronic diseases used mental health care more frequently. Mental health care utilization was higher in subjects who had middle or high levels of educational attainment, were receiving Medical Aid, or had a large family size.

Conclusions: It is important to control risk factors in high-risk groups as part of suicide prevention strategies. The clinical approach, which includes community-based intervention, entails the management of reduction of suicidal risk. Our study identified demographic characteristics that have a significant impact on mental health care utilization and should be considered in the development of suicide prevention strategies. Further studies should examine the effect of mental health care utilization on reducing suicidal ideation.
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INTRODUCTION

Suicide is a significant public health and social problem. Suicide rates in developed countries have decreased in recent years, but the suicide rate in Korea increased by 29.1 per 100,000 in 2012 and has been the highest among the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) countries over the past decade [1]. Various studies of the risk factors for suicide have been conducted. Suicide rates are higher in males [2] but suicidal ideation or attempts are higher in females [3]. Suicide rates are higher in individuals with low educational attainment [3] and in the unemployed [4], and suicide rates also differ among occupations [5]. Studies have also assessed the impact on suicide rates in Korea of sex [6], the economy and unemployment [7,8], and social class, using the death statistics database of the Korean National Statistical Office [9]. In Korean adolescents, sleep duration has been associated with suicidal ideation or attempt, but this association is weaker in those with depression [10].
In Western countries, a past history of psychiatric disorder is the most important risk factor for suicide [11-14], with psychiatric patients at about 8.5 times higher risk of suicide than the general population [12]. One study found that 60% to 80% of people with psychiatric disorders had suicidal ideation, and 20% to 50% had attempted suicide at least once [13]. More than 90% of suicides occur in people with psychiatric illness, but more than 80% of psychiatric illnesses are untreated [14].

In Korea, 25% of people who died by suicide had previously received medical care for psychiatric disorders [15], and subjectively depressed mood and the presence of a family history of psychiatric illness are related to suicidal ideation in the general population [16]. Moreover, suicidal behaviors such as ideation, planning, and attempts are associated with obsessive compulsive disorder or mood disorders in the general population [17].

Management of suicide risk in patients with suicidal ideation can be effective and may reduce suicidal outcomes [18]. Patients who received antidepressants or psychotherapy (interpersonal therapy) showed a decrease in suicidal ideation on the Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression (vs. placebo, $\beta=0.47$ and $\beta=0.41$, respectively) [19]. In Korea, interventions such as a counseling program have been studied to examine their impact on suicidal ideation or depression [20-22]. However, while mental health care is increasingly delivered as a combination of clinical service and community-based care [23], studies on the determinants of outpatient care as an aspect of clinical intervention are rare. This study examined the determinants of mental health care utilization among a group of individuals at high risk of suicide.

**METHODS**

**Data and Subjects**

The Korea Health Panel Survey, which started in 2008, is conducted by the Korea Institute for Health and Social Affairs and the National Health Insurance Service and collects annual data on health services used by families and individuals. The data includes general characteristics, socioeconomic characteristics, and information on health status and medical utilization, such as the incidence of chronic diseases, usage of medication, emergency presentations, hospitalization, outpatient services utilization, and private health insurance information. Data collection methods were parallel household recording surveys and interviews. The recollection of hospitalization, emergency presentations, attendance at outpatient services, or medication use was performed with the diary method [24]. Questions about suicidal ideation were added since 2009.

The present study used Korea Health Panel data from 2009 to 2011. Subjects who had suicidal ideation, in past history of psychiatric illness, or outpatient service utilization for a psychiatric disorder over the past year were included in the high-risk suicide group. Psychiatric disorders included alcoholism, drug dependence, schizophrenia and schizotypal disorder, mood disorder, somatoform disorder, mental developmental disorder, dementia, and other mental and behavioral disorders (Table 1). One thousand four hundred forty-nine subjects had suicidal ideation in 2009, and 977 subjects had suicidal ideation in 2010. Subjects who did not have a history of psychiatric illness, depressed mood, or outpatient service utilization for a psychiatric disorder over the past year were excluded from

| Type of disorder | Disease code1,2 | PD | DSI |
|------------------|-----------------|----|-----|
| Dementia         | 1501            | 0  | X   |
| Alcoholism       | 1502            | 0  | O   |
| Drug dependence  | 1503            | 0  | O   |
| Schizophrenia    | 1504            | 0  | O   |
| Mood disorder    | 1505            | 0  | O   |
| Somatoform disorder | 1506         | 0  | O   |
| Mental developmental disorder | 1507 | 0  | X   |
| Other mental and behavioral disorder | 1508 | 0  | X   |
| Unspecified affective symptom | 3027 | 0  | O   |

PD, psychiatric disorder (for selecting subjects); DSI, disorder associated with suicidal ideation (for counting mental health care utilization).

1Classification in the Korea Health Panel Survey.

2Including mental retardation, intellectual disability, developmental disability, and autism.
analysis. Thus, 696 subjects in 2009 and 407 subjects in 2010 with complete data on the variables in our model were selected. The total number of subjects was 989 adults after excluding 114 duplicate cases (Figure 1).

Mental Health Care Utilization

The dependent variable was outpatient mental health care utilization for a disorder associated with suicidal ideation, including alcoholism, drug dependence, schizophrenia and schizotypal disorder, mood disorder, somatoform disorder, and unspecified affective symptom (Table 1) [12]. A ‘yes’ response indicated the use of outpatient services for a disorder associated with suicidal ideation within one year prior to the survey.

Collected Characteristics

Independent variables were individual and household characteristics in the year of response to the questionnaire on suicidal ideation. Individual characteristics included sex, age, educational attainment, marital status, presence of economic activity, social security, current cigarette smoking, binge drinking, regular eating, duration of sleep, disability, chronic disease, past history of cancer, stress score, and quality of life. Household characteristics included family size, equivalised family income, households with disabled members, households having a member with a chronic disease, poverty status, and impoverishment due to medical costs. The average number of outpatient service utilizations per month was included as an independent variable. Quality of life was divided into quartiles on the calculated index by applying weights to the five European Quality of life-5 Dimension survey items [25]. Disabilities were graded from grade 1 to 6, or if they were not graded, a person who was diagnosed with a disability was still included. Equivalised family income was divided into quartiles and calculated as the total family income divided by the square root of the number of family members.

With respect to poverty status, ‘poor’ was defined as having...
an income below the minimum cost of living (Equation 1). Impoverishment was defined as household impoverishment due to medical costs (Equation 2). The minimum cost of living for households according to the number of family members was based on a notification from the Ministry of Health and Welfare in Korea (update: February 19, 2014).

‘Poor’ = (total family income-average cost of living) < the minimum cost of living

Impoverishment = (total family income-average cost of living)-(total family medical costs) < the minimum cost of living

(Equation 1)

(Equation 2)

Statistical Analysis

To determine the relationship between demographic characteristics and outpatient utilization, chi-square tests were performed. Hierarchical logistic regression was used to identify potential determinants associated with mental health care utilization by individual and household characteristics. Statistical analyses were conducted with SPSS version 20.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA), and p-values less than 0.05 indicated statistical significance.

RESULTS

We found that 13.3% of people with suicidal ideation used outpatient services for a psychiatric disorder associated with suicidal ideation within the previous year. Mental health care utilization in younger people was lower than in the elderly (p = 0.011). The high educational attainment group used mental health care services more frequently (p = 0.016). People with economic activity and health insurance used mental health care services less frequently (p < 0.001). There was no significant difference in service utilization according to sex or marital status (Table 2).

Regarding health behavior and status, binge drinkers used mental health care services more frequently (p = 0.038), but there was no difference in service utilization according to current cigarette smoking or regular eating (Table 3). A shorter duration of sleep was associated with more service utilization (p = 0.024). Individuals with disabilities or chronic diseases used mental health care services more frequently (both p < 0.001). A negative association was observed between quality of life and mental health care utilization (p < 0.001). There was no significant effect of a past history of cancer or of the stress score.

Regarding the household characteristics, the mental health care utilization rate was 7.0% in households with a family size of four or more (p < 0.001), and there was a negative association of health care utilization with equivalised family income (p = 0.038) (Table 4). Mental health care utilization was higher in households with members with disabilities or chronic diseases (p = 0.003 and p = 0.004, respectively). Poor households and households impoverished due to medical costs used mental health care services more frequently (p = 0.039 and p < 0.001, respectively).

According to the results of hierarchical logistic regression, the high and middle educational attainment group used mental health care services more frequently than those with no educational attainment (odds ratio [OR], 2.48; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.15 to 5.37 and OR, 2.82; 95% CI, 1.30 to 6.14, re-
respectively). Individuals with three or more chronic diseases and those with health insurance used mental health care services more frequently (OR, 4.05; 95% CI, 2.37 to 6.94 and OR, 1.75; 95% CI, 1.01 to 3.02, respectively). Families of four or more members used mental health care services less frequently than families comprised of one person (OR, 0.43; 95% CI, 0.21 to 0.91). Poor households used mental health care services less frequently (OR, 0.49; 95% CI, 0.25 to 0.98) as did impoverished households (OR, 1.31; 95% CI, 1.31 to 5.52) (Table 5).

**DISCUSSION**

Suicidal ideation is the beginning of the act of suicide and leads to actual attempts and successful suicides [26]. Because there are many causes for the act of suicide, there is a dire need for a multi-dimensional approach to prevent suicide, and

---

**Table 3.** Crude frequency and percentage of people using outpatient services for psychiatric disorders associated with suicidal ideation within the past year, by health behavior and status

| Characteristics                              | Total (n = 989) | Outpatient utilization | p-value<sup>1</sup> |
|----------------------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------|---------------------|
|                                              | Yes (n)         | No (n)                 |                     |
| Current cigarette smoking                    | 210 (21.2)      | 179 (20.9)             | 0.50                |
| Yes                                          | 31 (23.5)       | 779 (78.8)             |                     |
| No                                           | 779 (78.8)      | 31 (23.5)              |                     |
| Binge drinking                               | 285 (28.8)      | 28 (21.2)              | 0.04                |
| Yes                                          | 257 (30.0)      | 74 (58.0)              |                     |
| No                                           | 74 (58.0)       | 257 (30.0)             |                     |
| Regular eating                               | 704 (71.2)      | 600 (70.0)             | 0.13                |
| Yes                                          | 381 (45.6)      | 547 (61.4)             |                     |
| No                                           | 547 (61.4)      | 381 (45.6)             |                     |
| Duration of sleep (h)                        |                 |                        | 0.02                |
| ≥ 8                                          | 186 (21.7)      | 222 (26.4)             |                     |
| ≤ 5                                          | 270 (31.5)      | 323 (37.2)             |                     |
| 6                                            | 225 (26.3)      | 248 (28.1)             |                     |
| 7                                            | 176 (20.5)      | 196 (22.0)             |                     |
| A person with disability                     |                 |                        | <0.001              |
| Yes                                          | 97 (11.3)       | 128 (12.9)             |                     |
| No                                           | 760 (88.7)      | 861 (87.1)             |                     |
| No. of chronic diseases                      |                 |                        | <0.001              |
| <3                                           | 537 (62.7)      | 572 (57.8)             |                     |
| ≥ 3                                          | 320 (37.3)      | 417 (42.2)             |                     |
| Past history of cancer                       |                 |                        | 0.87                |
| Yes                                          | 49 (5.7)        | 57 (5.8)               |                     |
| No                                           | 808 (94.3)      | 932 (94.2)             |                     |
| Stress score<sup>2</sup>                     |                 |                        | 0.15                |
| 1-2                                          | 79 (9.2)        | 88 (8.9)               |                     |
| 2-3                                          | 328 (38.3)      | 369 (37.3)             |                     |
| 3-4                                          | 340 (39.7)      | 398 (40.2)             |                     |
| 4-5                                          | 110 (12.8)      | 134 (13.5)             |                     |
| Quality of life                              |                 |                        | <0.001              |
| 1st quartile                                | 169 (19.7)      | 207 (20.9)             |                     |
| 2nd quartile                                | 128 (14.9)      | 166 (16.8)             |                     |
| 3rd quartile                                | 251 (29.3)      | 276 (27.9)             |                     |
| 4th quartile                                | 309 (36.1)      | 340 (34.4)             |                     |
| Total                                        | 857 (86.7)      | 132 (13.3)             |                     |

Values are presented as number (%).
<sup>1</sup>p-values were calculated by chi-square test.
<sup>2</sup>High score indicates less stress.

**Table 4.** Crude frequency and percentage of people using outpatient services for psychiatric disorders associated with suicidal ideation within the past year, by household characteristics and outpatient utilization

| Characteristics (household)                  | Total (n = 989) | Outpatient utilization | p-value<sup>1</sup> |
|----------------------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------|---------------------|
|                                              | Yes (n)         | No (n)                 |                     |
| Size of family (persons)                     |                 |                        | <0.001              |
| 1                                            | 212 (12.3)      | 97 (11.3)              |                     |
| 2-3                                          | 467 (47.2)      | 388 (45.3)             |                     |
| ≥ 4                                          | 400 (40.4)      | 372 (43.4)             |                     |
| Equivalised family income<sup>2</sup>        |                 |                        | 0.04                |
| 1st quartile                                | 193 (22.1)      | 232 (25.6)             |                     |
| 2nd quartile                                | 231 (25.9)      | 247 (28.1)             |                     |
| 3rd quartile                                | 234 (27.3)      | 261 (28.4)             |                     |
| 4th quartile                                | 221 (25.8)      | 249 (26.3)             |                     |
| Households with disabled members            |                 |                        | 0.003               |
| Yes                                          | 201 (23.5)      | 248 (25.1)             |                     |
| No                                           | 74 (8.6)        | 741 (74.9)             |                     |
| Households having a member with a chronic disease |             |                        | 0.004               |
| Yes                                          | 783 (91.4)      | 913 (92.3)             |                     |
| No                                           | 74 (8.6)        | 76 (7.7)               |                     |
| Poverty status<sup>3</sup>                   |                 |                        | 0.04                |
| Poor                                         | 328 (38.3)      | 391 (39.5)             |                     |
| Not poor                                     | 529 (61.7)      | 598 (60.5)             |                     |
| Impoverishment<sup>4</sup>                   |                 |                        | <0.001              |
| Yes                                          | 392 (45.7)      | 474 (47.9)             |                     |
| No                                           | 465 (54.3)      | 515 (52.1)             |                     |
| Total                                        | 857 (86.7)      | 132 (13.3)             |                     |

Values are presented as number (%).
<sup>1</sup>p-values were calculated by chi-square test.
<sup>2</sup>Calculated by total family income / the number of family members.
<sup>3</sup>Poverty line was defined as the minimum cost of living.
<sup>4</sup>Impoverishment due to medical costs.

Values are presented as number (%).
Table 5. Outpatient utilizations for psychiatric disorders associated with suicidal ideation within the past year, by hierarchical logistic regression analysis

| Independent variable                                      | Step 1         | Step 2         | Step 3         | Step 4         | Step 5         |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Sex (ref. male)                                           |                |                |                |                |                |
| Female                                                    | 1.64 (1.06, 2.56) | 1.55 (0.98, 2.45) | 1.67 (1.02, 2.73) | 1.41 (0.84, 2.38) | 1.50 (0.89, 2.54) |
| Age (y; ref. 19-39)                                       |                |                |                |                |                |
| 40-49                                                     | 1.45 (0.73, 2.87) | 1.50 (0.76, 2.99) | 1.46 (0.73, 2.92) | 1.08 (0.51, 2.26) | 1.08 (0.51, 2.28) |
| 50-59                                                     | 2.02 (1.00, 4.06) | 1.97 (0.97, 3.99) | 1.96 (0.95, 4.03) | 0.69 (0.30, 1.58) | 0.67 (0.29, 1.53) |
| 60-69                                                     | 3.12 (1.55, 6.26) | 2.79 (1.38, 5.64) | 2.84 (1.38, 5.86) | 0.71 (0.30, 1.67) | 0.70 (0.30, 1.65) |
| ≥ 70                                                      | 3.58 (1.65, 7.76) | 2.83 (1.29, 6.22) | 2.91 (1.28, 6.58) | 0.76 (0.30, 1.94) | 0.71 (0.28, 1.83) |
| Educational attainment (ref. none)                        |                |                |                |                |                |
| Elementary                                               | 1.04 (0.56, 1.95) | 1.20 (0.63, 2.28) | 1.18 (0.62, 2.27) | 1.14 (0.59, 2.23) | 1.13 (0.57, 2.20) |
| Middle                                                    | 2.17 (1.07, 4.42) | 2.48 (1.20, 5.14) | 2.63 (1.26, 5.49) | 2.69 (1.25, 5.75) | 2.48 (1.15, 5.37) |
| High                                                      | 2.21 (1.07, 4.57) | 2.43 (1.16, 5.10) | 2.54 (1.21, 5.36) | 2.96 (1.37, 6.41) | 2.82 (1.30, 6.14) |
| University                                                | 1.04 (0.42, 2.57) | 1.39 (0.55, 3.51) | 1.49 (0.58, 3.80) | 1.40 (0.52, 3.83) | 1.29 (0.47, 3.54) |
| Economic activity (ref. ‘yes’)                            |                |                |                |                |                |
| No                                                        | 1.64 (1.07, 2.52) | 1.58 (1.03, 2.44) | 1.45 (0.92, 2.29) | 1.49 (0.94, 2.35) |                |
| Social security (ref. health insurance)                   |                |                |                |                |                |
| Medical aid                                               | 2.44 (1.54, 3.87) | 2.37 (1.49, 3.78) | 1.67 (0.97, 2.88) | 1.75 (1.01, 3.02) |                |
| Binge drinking (ref. ‘no’)                                |                |                |                |                |                |
| Yes                                                       | 0.98 (0.58, 1.66) | 1.02 (0.58, 1.77) | 1.04 (0.60, 1.81) |                |                |
| Duration of sleep (h; ref. ≤ 5)                           |                |                |                |                |                |
| 6                                                         | 0.60 (0.35, 1.04) | 0.69 (0.39, 1.21) | 0.67 (0.38, 1.18) |                |                |
| 7                                                         | 0.76 (0.43, 1.36) | 0.94 (0.51, 1.72) | 0.91 (0.50, 1.68) |                |                |
| ≥ 8                                                       | 1.25 (0.77, 2.05) | 1.47 (0.87, 2.49) | 1.43 (0.84, 2.42) |                |                |
| A person with disability (ref. ‘yes’)                     |                |                |                |                |                |
| No                                                        | 0.89 (0.41, 1.92) | 0.83 (0.38, 1.79) |                |                |                |
| No. of chronic diseases (ref. < 3)                        |                |                |                |                |                |
| ≥ 3                                                       | 4.05 (2.37, 6.93) | 4.05 (2.37, 6.94) |                |                |                |
| Quality of life (quartile; ref. 4th)                      |                |                |                |                |                |
| 1st quartile                                              | 1.28 (0.69, 2.39) | 1.17 (0.62, 2.19) |                |                |                |
| 2nd quartile                                              | 1.96 (1.08, 3.56) | 1.80 (0.99, 3.29) |                |                |                |
| 3rd quartile                                              | 1.25 (0.69, 2.27) | 1.18 (0.65, 2.15) |                |                |                |
| Size of family (persons; ref. 1)                          |                |                |                |                |                |
| 2-3                                                       | 0.91 (0.51, 1.64) | 1.00 (0.55, 1.81) |                |                |                |
| ≥ 4                                                       | 0.38 (0.18, 0.79) | 0.43 (0.21, 0.91) |                |                |                |
| Equivalised family income1 (ref. 1st)                     |                |                |                |                |                |
| 2nd quartile                                              | 0.99 (0.56, 1.76) | 0.88 (0.48, 1.60) |                |                |                |
| 3rd quartile                                              | 0.99 (0.51, 1.90) | 1.03 (0.49, 2.17) |                |                |                |
| 4th quartile                                              | 1.67 (0.81, 3.46) | 2.03 (0.87, 4.75) |                |                |                |
| Households with disabled members (ref. ‘no’)              |                |                |                |                |                |
| Yes                                                       | 1.22 (0.64, 2.31) | 1.20 (0.63, 2.27) |                |                |                |
| Households having a member with chronic diseases (ref. ‘no’) |                |                |                |                |                |
| Yes                                                       | 2.92 (0.66, 12.89) |                |                |                |                |
| Poverty status2 (ref. not poor)                           |                |                |                |                |                |
| Poor                                                      | 0.49 (0.25, 0.98) |                |                |                |                |
| Impoverishment3 (ref. ‘no’)                               |                |                |                |                |                |
| Yes                                                       | 2.69 (1.31, 5.52) |                |                |                |                |
| -2 log likelihood                                         | 928.447 | 902.988 | 884.473 | 817.130 |                |
| Nagelkerke R square                                       | 0.031 | 0.070 | 0.097 | 0.194 |                |

Values are presented as odds ratio (95% confidence interval). ref, reference.

1Calculated by total family income / the number of family members.

2Poverty line was defined as the minimum cost of living.

3Impoverishment due to medical costs.
Interventional programs should be designed to address the three stages of prevention, treatment, and maintenance [27]. The risk of suicide among patients suffering from psychiatric illnesses is much higher than that in the general population [12,16,17], and the majority of suicide victims receive some form of medical service before their deaths. Therefore, it is very important to screen and manage high-risk groups at the primary health care level to prevent suicide [14]. This study was designed to shed light on the possible factors that affect mental health care utilization among high-risk groups with suicidal ideation.

Generally, increased medical utilization is positively correlated with increased age and/or income and negatively correlated with poor health. In particular, people older than 65 years of age seek medical attention more frequently than younger population groups due to chronic illnesses, and females seek medical attention more frequently than males [28]. The present study also showed that women and older age groups made more total outpatient visits. However, although the study population was composed of patients with both suicidal ideation and psychiatric illnesses related to suicide, the number of outpatient visits due to diseases related to suicide did not significantly differ with sex or age. As was the case in a previous study, in which sex lost its impact because of a greater impact of income level on medical utilization [29], it is likely that in our study, economic activity and educational attainment had a greater impact on medical utilization than sex. In addition, when the household characteristics were included in the model, age had no significant impact. This may be explained by the decline in economic activity and income level among patients in older age groups due to circumstances like retirement, which might have led to decreased outpatient visits. Moreover, elderly Asian people with depression tend to present with somatic symptoms, and are reluctant to use mental health care for psychological problems [30], and thus may seek general practitioner care instead of psychiatric support.

Similar to previous studies [31], our findings suggest that subjects receiving Medical Aid had more medical service utilization than those with health insurance. Social security and economic status are some of the factors that increase medical accessibility. Thus, changes in economic status due to circumstances like unemployment or retirement, or in socioeconomic status due to factors like income level, may jeopardize medical accessibility. Taking these points into consideration, while outpatient visits are made more frequently by females and older age groups, socioeconomic factors such as social security can be said to affect medical utilization to a greater extent. Another important point to note is that in Korea, individuals with psychiatric disorders do not usually have health insurance, due to the strict policies of private insurance companies that mean that insurance products for mental disorders are rare, except in the case of dementia. This may contribute to reduced utilization of psychiatric treatment. Improved insurance policies to address this issue are required.

Although disabled persons have a higher prevalence of chronic diseases, they are less likely to use preventive services [32]. Furthermore, although their medical care utilization is high, their unmet health care needs are also high [33]. The present study also showed that mental health care utilization among people with a disability was higher than among people without disability, but that when household characteristics were included in the model, the mental health care utilization was low, although this was not statistically significant. Populations with chronic diseases had greater medical utilization. This was concurrent with a study that showed that an older population with a greater number of chronic illnesses had greater medical utilization [34]. Considering these findings, it is likely that chronic diseases have a greater impact on outpatient visits than disability. Families experiencing impoverishment made more outpatient visits, which may be partly because families with a disabled family member have greater medical expenditure [35]. Interestingly, families with more than four members made fewer outpatient visits due to psychiatric illness; however, considering a previous study that showed that more suicidal attempts are made in a large family [36], a better approach must be devised for suicide prevention in large families.

A notable finding of this study was that household characteristics have a high impact on medical care utilization, and that many patients that need psychiatric help have disproportionately low psychiatric outpatient utilization compared with their total utilization of outpatient services. The factors shown to affect medical care utilization in previous studies were also significant in this study. Most victims of suicide have psychiatric illnesses that was undiagnosed or untreated prior to death [37]. Considering that medical intervention can reduce suicidal ideation [19], mental health care utilization needs to be increased in suicide high-risk groups.

There are several limitations to this study. First, it is impossible to state whether suicidal ideation in our population was an
impulsive, momentary whim or a pervasive, continuous behavior, because the study defined the high-risk group as those with suicidal ideation and either a psychiatric history or depressed mood, utilizing questionnaires that did not allow for the assessment of the severity of such thoughts. However, unlike previous studies that reviewed the history of medical care utilization in suicide victims, this study intended to review a high-risk group with suicidal ideation among the general population, and as suicidal ideation and depressed mood are two very important risk factors for suicide and the main targets for preventing suicide [38], the significance of their assessment must not be undervalued. Secondly, this was a cross-sectional study that reviewed medical care utilization within one year, making it impossible to assess the causality between psychiatric medical utilization and independent variables. In particular, this study measured poverty in terms of total medical expenditure in a one-year period, making it difficult to assess whether poverty changed medical care utilization, or increased medical care utilization led to poverty. Future studies should investigate how the economic burden on individual families changes with time, and how this affects the family. Third, factors that affect medical care utilization such as medical environment, regional cultural differences, social support, and infrastructure were not included in the study. Mental health care utilization is also affected by community resources such as medical personnel, medical facilities, physical proximity of medical centers, type of residence [39], regional cultural differences that affect suicide [30], and policies and infrastructure for the prevention of suicide. The Korea Health Panel data utilized in this study did not include these factors, and the population was divided according to cities, making it difficult to assess the characteristics of a specific region. However, given that families with four or more members had low mental health care utilization, it can be speculated that social support plays a role in mental health care utilization. If future Korea Health Panel data provides regional information on smaller districts, it will enhance our knowledge of their effects on society, especially if this information is integrated with regional cultural characteristics regarding suicide provided by the national statistical office.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors have no conflicts of interest associated with the material presented in this paper.

ORCID

Hyun-Soo Kim  http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6024-753X  
Moo-Sik Lee  http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1642-701X  
Jee-Young Hong  http://orcid.org/0000-0003-4540-0780

REFERENCES

1. Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD). OECD health statistics 2015 [cited 2016 Jan 12]. Available from: http://www.oecd.org/els/health-systems/health-data.htm.
2. Nock MK, Borges G, Bromet EJ, Alonso J, Angermeyer M, Beaufrais A, et al. Cross-national prevalence and risk factors for suicidal ideation, plans and attempts. Br J Psychiatry 2008;192(2):98-105.
3. Chan HL, Liu CY, Chau YL, Chang CM. Prevalence and association of suicide ideation among Taiwanese elderly: a population-based cross-sectional study. Chang Gung Med J 2011;34(2):197-204.
4. Blakely TA, Collings SC, Atkinson J. Unemployment and suicide. Evidence for a causal association? J Epidemiol Community Health 2003;57(8):594-600.
5. Nishimura M, Terao T, Soeda S, Nakamura J, Iwata N, Sakamoto K. Suicide and occupation: further supportive evidence for their relevance. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry 2004;28(1):83-87.
6. Ahn MH, Park S, Ha K, Choi SH, Hong JP. Gender ratio comparisons of the suicide rates and methods in Korea, Japan, Australia, and the United States. J Affect Disord 2012;142(1-3):161-165.
7. Park JS, Lee JY, Kim SD. A study for effects of economic growth rate and unemployment rate to suicide rate in Korea. Korean J Prev Med 2003;36(1):85-91 (Korean).
8. Kim H, Song YJ, Yi JJ, Chung WJ, Nam CM. Changes in mortality after the recent economic crisis in South Korea. Ann Epidemiol 2004;14(6):442-446.
9. Kim MD, Hong SC, Lee SY, Kwak YS, Lee CI, Hwang SW, et al. Suicide risk in relation to social class: a national register-based study of adult suicides in Korea, 1999-2001. Int J Soc Psychiatry 2006;52(2):138-151.
10. Jang SI, Lee KS, Park EC. Relationship between current sleep duration and past suicidal ideation or attempt among Korean adolescents. J Prev Med Public Health 2013;46(6):329-335.
11. Qin P, Agerbo E, Westergård-Nielsen N, Eriksson T, Mortensen
12. Harris EC, Barraclough B. Suicide as an outcome for mental disorders. A meta-analysis. Br J Psychiatry 1997;170:205-228.
13. Siris SG. Suicide and schizophrenia. J Psychopharmacol 2001;15(2):127-135.
14. Mann JJ, Apter A, Bertolote J, Beautrais A, Currier D, Haas A, et al. Suicide prevention strategies: a systematic review. JAMA 2005;294(16):2064-2074.
15. Jeon HJ, Lee JY, Lee YM, Hong JP, Won SH, Cho SJ, et al. Lifetime prevalence and correlates of suicidal ideation, plan, and single and multiple attempts in a Korean nationwide study. J Nerv Ment Dis 2010;198(9):643-646.
16. Cho J, Kang DR, Moon KT, Suh M, Ha KH, Kim C, et al. Age and gender differences in medical care utilization prior to suicide. J Affect Disord 2013;146(2):181-188.
17. Bae SM, Lee YJ, Cho IH, Kim SJ, Im JS, Cho SJ. Risk factors for suicidal ideation of the general population. J Korean Med Sci 2013;28(4):602-607.
18. McDowell AK, Lineberry TW, Bostwick JM. Practical suicide-risk management for the busy primary care physician. Mayo Clin Proc 2011;86(8):792-800.
19. Weitz E, Hollon SD, Kerkhof A, Cuijpers P. Do depression treatments reduce suicidal ideation? The effects of CBT, IPT, pharmacotherapy, and placebo on suicidality. J Affect Disord 2014;167:98-103.
20. Choi KG, Kim CK, Lee S. Effectiveness of a cognitive-behavioral group counseling program for college students with depression and suicide thought. Korea J Couns 2005;6(1):75-91 (Korean).
21. Yoo JS, Son JW, Nam MS. The effects of a depression intervention and suicide prevention program in adolescents with high risk of suicide. J Korean Acad Community Health Nurs 2010;21(1):71-81 (Korean).
22. Kim CK, Yeo IS. The development and effects of group counseling programs to decrease the level of depression and prevent suicidal ideation in the elderly. Family Environ Res 2009;47(9):111-124.
23. Thornicroft G, Tansella M. The balanced care model for global mental health. Psychol Med 2013;43(4):849-863.
24. Seo NK, Ahn SZ, Hwang YH, Cho MK, Lee JS, Lee HJ, et al. Report on the Korea health panel survey of 2012. Seoul: National Health Insurance Service; 2014, p. 43-51 (Korean).
25. Lee YK, Nam HS, Chuang LH, Kim KY, Yang HK, Kwon IS, et al. South Korean time trade-off values for EQ-5D health states: modeling with observed values for 101 health states. Value Health 2009;12(8):1187-1193.
26. Bonner RL, Rich AR. Toward a predictive model of suicidal ideation and behavior: some preliminary data in college students. Suicide Life Threat Behav 1987;17(1):50-63.
27. US Department of Health and Human Services Office of the Surgeon General; National Action Alliance for Suicide Prevention. 2012 National strategy for suicide prevention: goals and objectives for action. Washington, DC: US Department of Health and Human Services; 2012, p. 10-65.
28. Lee HJ, Lee TJ, Jeon BY, Jung YI. Factors related to health care utilization in the poor and the general populations. Korean J Health Econ Policy 2009;15(1):79-106 (Korean).
29. Jeon GS, Choi ES, Lee HY. Gender-related difference in the utilization of health care services by Korean adults. J Korean Acad Public Health Nurs 2010;24(2):182-196 (Korean).
30. Chiu HF, Takahashi Y, Suh GH. Elderly suicide prevention in East Asia. Int J Geriatr Psychiatry 2003;18(11):973-976.
31. Kim JG. Factors affecting the choice of medical care use by the poor. Korean J Soc Welf Stud 2008;37:5-33 (Korean).
32. Reichard A, Stolzle H, Fox MH. Health disparities among adults with physical disabilities or cognitive limitations compared to individuals with no disabilities in the United States. Disabil Health J 2011;4(2):59-67.
33. Gulley SP, Rasch EK, Chan L. The complex web of health: relationships among chronic conditions, disability, and health services. Public Health Rep 2011;126(4):495-507.
34. Song TM. An Anderson model approach to the mediation effect of stress vulnerability factors on the use of outpatient care by the elderly. Health Soc Welf Rev 2013;33(1):547-576 (Korean).
35. Palmer M, Nguyen T, Neeman T, Berry H, Hull T, Harley D. Health care utilization, cost burden and coping strategies by disability status: an analysis of the Viet Nam National Health Survey. Int J Health Plann Manage 2011;26(3):e151-e168.
36. Choi DC, Kim HR, Kim CH, Park SH, Kim SH, Cho YR, et al. Sociodemographic characteristics of the suicide attempters visiting emergency room. Korean J Psychopethol 2000;9(1):36-49 (Korean).
37. Hirschfeld RM, Keller MB, Panico S, Arons BS, Barlow D, Daviddoff F, et al. The National Depressive and Manic-Depressive Association consensus statement on the undertreatment of depression. JAMA 1997;277(4):333-340.
38. Conwell Y, Duberstein PR, Cox C, Herrmann JH, Forbes NT, Caine ED. Relationships of age and axis I diagnoses in victims...
of completed suicide: a psychological autopsy study. Am J Psychiatry 1996;153(8):1001-1008.
39. Morley B, Pirkis J, Naccarella L, Kohn F, Blashki G, Burgess P. Improving access to and outcomes from mental health care in rural Australia. Aust J Rural Health 2007;15(S):304-312.