Chronic Effects of Coated Silver Nanoparticles on Marine Invertebrate Larvae: A Proof of Concept Study
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Abstract

Silver nanoparticles (AgNPs), owing to their unique physical and chemical properties, have become increasingly popular in consumer products. However, data on their potential biological effects on marine organisms, especially invertebrates, remain very limited. This proof of principle study reports the chronic sub-lethal toxicity of two coated AgNPs (oleic acid coated AgNPs and polyvinylpyrrolidone coated AgNPs) on marine benthic invertebrate larvae across three phyla (i.e., the barnacle Balanus Amphitrite, the slipper-limpet Crepidula onyx, and the polychaete Hydroides elegans) in terms of growth, development, and metamorphosis. Bioaccumulation and biodistribution of silver were also investigated. Larvae were also exposed to silver nitrate (AgNO₃) in parallel to distinguish the toxic effects derived from nano-silver and the aqueous form of silver. The sub-lethal effect of chronic exposure to coated AgNPs resulted in a significant retardation in growth and development, and reduction of larval settlement rate. The larval settlement rate of H. elegans was significantly lower in the coated AgNP treatment than the AgNO₃ treatment, suggesting that the toxicity of coated AgNPs might not be solely evoked by the release of silver ions (Ag⁺) in the test medium. The three species accumulated silver effectively from coated AgNPs as well as AgNO₃, and coated AgNPs were observed in the vacuoles of epithelial cell in the digestive tract of C. onyx. Types of surface coatings did not affect the sub-lethal toxicity of AgNPs. This study demonstrated that coated AgNPs exerted toxic effects in a species-specific manner, and their exposure might allow bioaccumulation of silver, and affect growth, development, and settlement of marine invertebrate larvae. This study also highlighted the possibility that coated AgNPs could be taken up through diet and the toxicity of coated AgNPs might be mediated through toxic Ag⁺ as well as the novel modalities of coated AgNPs.

Introduction

Nanoparticles (NPs) are defined as cluster of atoms with dimensions ranging between 1 to 100 nm [1]. Owing to their large surface to volume ratio, they display unique mechanical, catalytic,
optical and electrical properties which can be used in a diverse range of new applications [2]. Silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) are one of the most widely used NPs in virtue of its exceptional bactericidal ability and low production cost [3]. With the extensive use of AgNPs, concerns have been raised over the impacts associated with AgNPs released into the aquatic environment via manufacturing processes or direct disposal/discharge into the landfill/aquatic system [4]. Eventually, NPs may enter the ocean either directly or via river systems [5].

The vast majority of laboratory ecotoxicological assessments on AgNPs have been conducted on the biological effects of AgNPs on freshwater species including micro-algae, arthropods, ciliophora, gastropods and fish [6–10]. Considering that the ocean is the ultimate sink of metal NPs [5], it is equally important to assess the potential impact of AgNPs on marine species. Furthermore, NP toxicity can be influenced by a variety of physiochemical properties, such as chemical composition, size, shape and coating [4]. The pH, ionic strength and solubility of the media that largely determine the size and rate of aggregation of NPs and the generation of ions of metal-based NPs may also influence its toxicity [11–13]. Therefore, AgNPs are likely to behave very differently in seawater compared to freshwater.

Recent studies have demonstrated that exposure to AgNPs could lead to mortality, developmental defects, growth inhibition and immune function and reproduction impairments of marine organisms, including diatoms, cyanobacteria, macroalgae, copepods, mussels and oysters [14–18]. For instance, it has been reported that AgNPs impaired the embryonic development of the oyster *Crassostrea virginica* and the sea urchin *Paracentrotus lividus* [19–20]. Nevertheless, while more than 80% of the marine invertebrates include a biphasic life cycle that consists of a dispersive larval stage [21], very few studies have investigated the impacts of AgNPs on the larval stage [22].

In this proof of concept study, we examined the effects of coated AgNPs on growth, development, metamorphosis and settlement of marine larvae as well as silver accumulation and particle biodistribution using three model marine invertebrate species from different phyla. The marine barnacle *Balanus amphitrite*, slipper-limpet *Crepidula onyx* and polychaete *Hydroides elegans* are major marine fouling species found worldwide that are broadly distributed in intertidal and upper sub-tidal waters. Only very limited information is available on the fate and environmental concentration of AgNPs due to the difficulties in detection and characterization of AgNPs in natural water. Therefore, it was not able to test the toxicity of AgNPs on marine invertebrate larvae using environmentally realistic concentrations. In order to investigate the mechanisms of potential toxicity of AgNPs, a range finding test was first carried out to inform the sub-lethal concentrations for use in the chronic toxicity test.

AgNPs can be produced and supplied as uncoated or coated materials. As naked AgNPs tend to aggregate which is not desirable, manufactured AgNPs are usually coated with peptides, sugars, citrate or polymers for stabilization and generating mono-dispersed suspensions that help extend and improve their unique properties. Although the coatings themselves generally do not show toxicity to organisms [23], surface coatings may play an essential role in NP toxicity and/or their mode of action [24,25]. Therefore, to better understand the importance of surface chemistry in AgNP toxicity to marine invertebrate larvae, test animals were dosed with AgNPs coated with either hydrophobic oleic acid or hydrophilic polyvinylpyrrolidone.

We also investigated whether the silver ions (Ag⁺) released were responsible for the AgNP-associated toxicity. There has been a long debate on whether AgNP-associated toxicity is mediated by the release of Ag⁺ or by the particle itself. On one hand, some studies support the theory that the toxic effect of AgNPs, whether coated or not, is derived from the release of Ag⁺ [26–28], which causes cell damage via an increase in ROS production and an induction of apoptotic pathways [29]. On the other hand, some have concluded that the AgNP effect is related
to the particle itself [20,30,31]. Nevertheless, it is established that the toxicity of AgNPs is influenced by how well the particles are dispersed within the medium [10].

**Materials and Methods**

**Stock preparation and characterization of coated AgNPs**

TEM (particle size) and SEM (particle surface morphology) measurements were done on coated AgNPs straight from the containers they were bought in, while aggregation size characterization was performed on coated AgNPs in seawater.

Oleic acid coated AgNPs (OAgNPs) (0.2 weight % of oleic acid; nominal average particle size: 30–50 nm) and polyvinylpyrrolidone coated AgNPs (PAgNPs) (0.2 weight % of polyvinylpyrrolidone; nominal average particle size: 30–50 nm) were purchased from Nanostructured & Amorphous Materials Inc. (Los Alamos, New Mexico, USA). Particle size was determined by transmission electron microscope (TEM) (FEI Tecnai G2 20 S-TWIN, 200kV, USA). TEM photos were processed and analyzed by a public domain Java based image processing software, ImageJ (National Institute of Health, USA). The longest particle diameters were measured. Particle surface morphology was captured by scanning electron microscope (SEM) (Hitachi S-4800 FEG, Japan).

Stock solutions were prepared by dispersing 10 mg of coated AgNPs (OAgNPs or PAgNPs) in 10 mL of Milli-Q water with 0.25 mM Na$_3$C$_6$H$_5$O$_7$ for stabilization of particles [32], followed by sonication for 30 min (Sonicator B2510MTH, Branson, CT, USA). Animals showed no toxic response in this concentration of Na$_3$C$_6$H$_5$O$_7$ in preliminary study. To achieve desired concentrations for the toxicity tests (i.e. 1, 10 and 100 μg L$^{-1}$), aliquots of the two stocks were serially diluted in membrane-filtered (pore size: 0.22 μm) natural seawater (FSW) (salinity: 33 ± 0.5 ‰; pH: 8.1 ± 0.1). The aggregation size of coated AgNPs in FSW was determined by dynamic light scattering using a Zetasizer (3000HSA, Malvern Instruments Ltd., Worcestershire, UK).

**Total dissolved silver concentration**

To quantify the concentrations of silver dissolved from the two coated AgNPs in FSW, individual test solutions of 1, 10 and 100 μg L$^{-1}$ (which were determined from preliminary range finding test; see below) were prepared in duplicate and mixed on an orbital shaker (PSU-20i, Biosan Ltd., Latvia) at 20 rpm in a 12:12 h light dark photoperiod (2.03 μmol photon m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) at 25°C and 1 atm pressure. Low speed rotation was applied to mimic water current generated by the larval movement. The samples were analyzed for the total dissolved silver ion concentration once every 24 h. 15 mL of the solution was filtered using acid-cleaned polycarbonate membrane filter (pore size: 0.45 μm). Filtrate was centrifuged in an ultracentrifuge (Optima XL-90, Beckman Coulter, Brea, CA) at 40,000 rpm for 30 min to separate all non-soluble superfine particles, leaving only Ag$^+$ at the supernatant, which was then extracted in acid digestion following Method 3005 [33]. Concentrated HNO$_3$ was used instead of concentrated HCl to avoid potential silver contamination. The acidified samples were analyzed using inductively coupled plasma-optical emission spectrometer (ICP-OES) (Optima 8300, PerkinElmer, MA, USA) under conditions of 328.1 nm wavelength, 0.55 L min$^{-1}$ nebulizer flow, 8 L min$^{-1}$ plasma flow and 0.2 L min$^{-1}$ auxiliary flow. Reagent blank and spike recovery samples were included to verify the analytical procedure. Three measurements were taken for each sample. The detection limit was 1.9 μg L$^{-1}$.
Test concentrations and range-finding test

The occurrence and environmental level of Ag have been documented since 1970s, and it has been reported that the amount of Ag dispersed into the environment could be substantial enough to pose a threat to aquatic systems [1]. However, given the limited information available on the fate and environmental concentration of AgNPs, it was not able to test the toxicity of AgNPs on marine invertebrate larvae using environmentally realistic concentrations. A range finding test was carried out to inform the sub-lethal concentrations for use in the chronic toxicity test.

Adults of *H. elegans*, *C. onyx* and *B. amphitrite* were collected from the low intertidal zone at Yung Shue O (22°19′N, 114°16′E), the Victoria Harbour (22°17′N, 114°10′E), and Port Shelter (22°19′N, 114°16′E) of Hong Kong, respectively. They are not endangered or protected species. No specific permissions were required for the collection of these animals at the described sites. Calcareous tubes of *H. elegans* were gently broken to induce spawning in Petri dishes containing membrane-filtered (pore size 0.22 μm) seawater. Eggs from five females were pooled together and mixed with sperm from only one male [34]. For *C. onyx*, egg capsules were located underneath the female foot and thousands of larvae were released after brooding for about two weeks [35]. Larvae of *C. onyx* were collected from one mother and then divided into replicates. *B. amphitrite* embryos in the mature egg lamellae hatched into nauplius I, which were attracted to a point-source light and subsequently, collected using a pipette [36]. Larvae of *B. amphitrite* were collected and pooled from multiple individuals. Larval development of this barnacle species consists of six naupliar and one cypris stages. Nauplius I is non-feeding and molts to nauplius II within 3 h of hatching.

Stage II nauplii of *B. amphitrite* and larvae of *H. elegans* were exposed to coated AgNPs at nominal concentrations of 1, 10, 100 and 1,000 μg L⁻¹, while larvae of *C. onyx* were exposed to coated AgNPs at 100, 1,000 and 10,000 μg L⁻¹. The range-finding test was conducted at 25°C (*C. onyx* and *H. elegans*) or 28°C (*B. amphitrite*) for 48 h in a 12:12 h light dark photoperiod.

Five replicates were set up for each larval species, coated AgNPs and concentration combination. In each replicate, twenty larvae were transferred to a beaker containing 20 mL of the test solution. The test solution was renewed and culture beakers were cleaned at 24 h. Larvae were fed on a clean algal diet of *Chaetoceros gracilis* (for *B. amphitrite*) or *Isochrysis galbana* (for *C. onyx* and *H. elegans*) at an initial concentration of 2 × 10⁵ cells mL⁻¹ once daily [37]. To minimize algal uptake of coated AgNPs, algae were fed at least 3 h after the renewal of testing medium. Dead larvae were recorded and removed once daily. The concentration leading to 10% mortality (LC₁₀) after 48 h exposure and sub-lethal concentrations for chronic toxicity test were determined. The 48 h LC₁₀ value for *B. amphitrite*, *C. onyx*, and *H. elegans* in OAgNP treatment was 0.138, 467, and 2.63 × 10⁻² μg L⁻¹, respectively, while the 48 h LC₁₀ value for *B. amphitrite*, *C. onyx*, and *H. elegans* in PAgNP treatment was 0.502, 38.5, and 0.317 μg L⁻¹, respectively.

Chronic toxicity test

Effects of chronic exposure for the whole larval period (i.e. 8 days for *C. onyx* and 5 days for *B. amphitrite*, and *H. elegans*) on growth and settlement were examined at two different sub-lethal concentrations of coated AgNPs chosen based on the results obtained from the range-finding test. Larvae of *B. amphitrite* and *H. elegans* were exposed to OAgNPs and PAgNPs at 1 and 10 μg L⁻¹ (i.e. treatments O1, O10, P1 and P10), while larvae of *C. onyx* were exposed to higher concentrations of 10 and 100 μg L⁻¹ (i.e. treatments O10, O100, P10 and P100). Negative FSW control and positive control of AgNO₃ at concentrations of 1, 6, 11 and/or 40 μg L⁻¹ were included (i.e. treatment SN1, SN6, SN11 and/or SN40). These testing concentrations of AgNO₃
released similar dissolved silver ion concentrations as those released by the testing concentrations of coated AgNPs. SN1 corresponded to O1 and P1; SN6 to P10; SN11 to both O10 and O100; and SN40 to P100.

Each control and treatment had three (*H. elegans* and *C. onyx*) or four (*B. amphitrite*) replicates, and each replicate consisted of approximately 1 larva mL⁻¹ in a glass beaker (capacity: 600 ml). Larvae were reared at 25°C in a 12:12 h light dark photoperiod on a clean algal diet of *I. galbana* (for *C. onyx* and *H. elegans*) or *C. gracilis* (for *B. amphitrite*) at 2 × 10⁵ cells mL⁻¹. To minimize algal uptake of coated AgNPs, algae were fed at least 3 h after the renewal of testing medium. Testing medium was changed once daily and culture beakers were cleaned. Dead individuals were removed promptly. Approximately 10 individuals were sampled from each replicate beaker once every day, and their shell or body lengths were measured under a compound microscope (200 ×) (BX50, Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) equipped with an ocular micrometer. For *B. amphitrite*, another 10 to 13 larvae were sampled from each replicate to determine the developmental stage.

Settlement assays were carried out for *C. onyx* larvae after 8 d of exposure and for *B. amphitrite* and *H. elegans* after 5 d of exposure (*C. onyx* larvae were also 8 d old, and *B. amphitrite* and *H. elegans* 5 d old) following the procedures developed in our previous studies [37], in order to test if treatments would result in abnormal development of the larvae such that they could not settle and metamorphose into juveniles in response to biofilms. Briefly, biofilms were allowed to grow on Petri dishes (Falcon no. 1006) for 7 d. Petri dishes were tied to the walls of plastic baskets (L: 33 cm; W: 24 cm; H: 10 cm) using cable ties, which were deployed at the intertidal zone in the Victoria Harbour (22° 17’ 15.91’’ N, 114° 10’ 25.03’’ E). 10 to 15 larvae were pipetted into each replicate Petri dish containing biofilms with 10 mL filtered seawater. Clean Petri dishes served as negative control. The numbers of larvae that successfully settled on the biofilms were recorded after 6 h at 25°C (*C. onyx* and *H. elegans*) or 28°C (*B. amphitrite*).

**Body burden of total silver in larvae**

Competent larvae were collected and pooled from each replicate beaker of FSW control, coated AgNP or AgNO₃ treatment to obtain 10–30 mg dry weight sample for each measurement. The sample was slightly rinsed with Milli-Q water to remove any salt on the larval surface, and incubated at 65°C until constant weight was obtained. The sample was then placed in a glass vessel with 4 mL of concentrated HNO₃ and 1 mL of 30% H₂O₂, digested for 2 h at 85°C and allowed to cool to room temperature. After digestion, the sample was diluted with ultrapure water to ensure ~2% HNO₃. All digestion vessels and glassware were acid washed and rinsed with ultrapure water before use. The concentration of silver in acidified samples was quantified by inductively coupled plasma-optical emission spectrometer (ICP-OES) (Optima 8300, PerkinElmer, MA, USA) under conditions as described in section 2.2. Silver standard was purchased from PerkinElmer (Waltham, MA, USA). Calibration curve was established between 5–500 mg L⁻¹ of silver standard (R² value: 0.9997). Reagent blank and spike recovery samples were included to verify the analytical procedure.

**Algal uptake experiments**

To characterize silver uptake through algal diet, *Chaetoceros gracilis* and *Isochrysis galbana* were first exposed to OAgnPs and PAgNPs and then analyzed by ICP-OES as well as TEM. Algae were grown in f/2 medium [38] at 24°C and 33‰ salinity under 12:12 h light dark photoperiod. Algae were collected at stationary phase and aliquoted into conical flask. Algae were exposed to OAgnPs and PAgNPs at 1, 10 and 100 μg L⁻¹ and, negative FSW control and positive control of AgNO₃ at concentrations of 1, 6, 11 and 40 μg L⁻¹ in triplicate. The exposure
was conducted for 24 h at 24°C under 12:12 h light dark photoperiod. Algae were harvested onto 1 μm nitrocellulose membrane filters (Advantec) and slightly rinsed with artificial seawater (Instant Ocean synthetic seasalt; NaCl, CaCl₂, KCl, Na₂SO₄, MgCl₂; Salinity: 32 ‰; pH: 8), and dried for 24 h at 55°C. The dried samples were acid-digested and analyzed as mentioned in section 2.5.

Biodistribution of coated AgNPs: TEM sample preparation and analysis

Stage VI nauplii and cyprids of *B. amphitrite*, and competent larvae of *C. onyx* and *H. elegans* were sampled from AgNO₃ and coated AgNP treatments during the chronic toxicity test. They were fixed in 2.5% glutaraldehyde in cacodylate buffer (0.1 M sodium cacodylate-HCl buffer pH 7.4) and stored at 4°C before analysis. The larvae were washed twice with cacodylate buffer for 10 min at room temperature followed by dehydration with 50%, 70%, 90% (20 min each) and 100% ethanol (3 rinses, 20 min each). Subsequently, they were rinsed with propylene oxide for 2 times (10 min each). The larvae were infiltrated with epoxy resin and propylene oxide in 1:3 for 12 h, 1:1 for 12 h and 3:1 for 12 h followed by pure epoxy resin for 24 h at 37°C. Finally, they were embedded in epoxy resin which polymerized at 45°C overnight and then at 60°C for 2 d.

Ultra-thin sections at 100 nm thickness were stained with 2% aqueous uranyl acetate followed by TEM examination (FEI Tecnai G2 20 S-TWIN, 200kV, USA). The composition of any suspected coated AgNPs was determined using energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) (Oxford Instruments, INCAx-sight EDS Detectors with INCA Energy TEM Software).

Statistical analysis

Percentage data were arcsine transformed before analysis [39]. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to test the difference in growth rate, settlement rate, and silver bioaccumulation of larvae and algae. If a significant difference was found by ANOVA, Tukey’s test was used for pairwise multiple comparison to identify the difference between various treatments. Data were checked for normality with Shapiro-Wilk’s W test [40] and for homogeneity of variance with Levene’s test [39]. When either normality test or homogeneity test failed, non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis one-way ANOVA on ranks and Dunn’s test were used for analyses instead. Data were considered to be significantly different if p < 0.05. All statistical analyses were performed using SigmaPlot version 11.0 for Windows (Systat Software, San Jose, CA).

Results

Characterization of coated AgNPs

The mean (± SD) particle diameter of OAgNP was 73.54 ± 1.34 nm and PAgNP was 44.86 ± 0.94 nm (Fig 1). The EM examination revealed that OAgNPs were irregular in shape and tended to bind together (Fig 2) while PAgNPs exhibited a fine singular morphology (Fig 2). The aggregation size of OAgNPs and PAgNPs no longer existed in a nanoscale in FSW. The size of aggregates increased with increasing concentration of coated AgNPs. The size of OAgNP aggregates in 1, 10 and 100 μg L⁻¹ test solutions were 0.55, 1.20 and 1.72 μm, respectively. The size of PAgNP aggregates in 1, 10 and 100 μg L⁻¹ test solution were 0.39, 0.62 and 0.92 μm, respectively. Furthermore, the size of OAgNP aggregates was larger than that of PAgNP aggregates at the same test concentrations.
Fig 1. Particle size distribution by frequency percent of total particle volume and cumulative percentage of size classes. The individual graphs demonstrate the particles size of OAgNPs (A) and PAgNPs (B) counted using transmission electronic microscope (No. of particle counted = 106).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0132457.g001
Total dissolved silver concentration

The amount of Ag⁺ released from coated AgNPs increased gradually and reached equilibria after 6 d (Fig 3). At high concentrations (i.e. 100 μg L⁻¹), the mean (± SD) amount of Ag⁺ released from PAgNPs (i.e. 40.00 ± 0.40 μg L⁻¹) was >3 folds more than that released from OAgNPs (i.e. 12.11 ± 0.29 μg L⁻¹). At low concentrations (i.e. 10 μg L⁻¹), the mean (± SD) amount of Ag⁺ released from OAgNPs (i.e. 9.17 ± 0.03 μg L⁻¹) was slightly higher than that released from PAgNPs (i.e. 6.05 ± 0.47 μg L⁻¹). However, there were no positive readings at the
lowest concentration (i.e. 1 μg L⁻¹) during measurement. This might be because the amount of Ag⁺ released was below the limit of detection at 1.9 μg L⁻¹.

Sub-lethal toxicity of coated AgNPs: Larval growth, development and settlement

*B. amphitrite.* The mean body length of barnacle larvae of the same development stage was not significantly different between treatments and control (p > 0.05 for all stages). Nevertheless, the 5-d old larvae from SN11, O10 and P10 treatments have less cumulative molts compared to the FSW control (F7,22 = 16.7; p < 0.001) (Fig 4).

The majority of larvae reached stage cyprid on day 5, and 15.4–42.6% of larvae settled and metamorphosed into barnacle juveniles (Fig 5). Significant reduction of percent settlement was observed in SN6, SN11, O1, O10, P1 and P10 treatments compared to the control (F7,23 = 9.57; p < 0.001). However, no significant difference in cumulative molts and percent settlement was found between SN1, O1 and P1 treatment; between SN6 and P10 treatments; and between SN11 and O10 treatments.

*C. onyx.* Significant reduction of the shell length of 8-d old C. onyx larvae was observed in SN40, O100 and P100 treatment (p < 0.05; Fig 6). C. onyx larvae became morphologically competent to metamorphose on day 8 (i.e. occurrence of shell brims), and 17.8–77.8% of them...
settled and metamorphosed in response to biofilms (Fig 5). All coated AgNPs and AgNO₃ treatments, except SN6 and P10, significantly lowered the larval settlement rate (p < 0.05). Furthermore, no significant difference in larval growth and percent settlement was found between SN6 and P10; between SN11, O10 and O100 treatments; and between SN40 and P100 treatments.

_H. elegans._ The newly hatched larvae grew from a mean (± SD) body length of 73.4 ± 2.9 μm to a mean ranging from 276 ± 8 to 300 ± 5 μm during the 5-d chronic exposure. The treatments had significant effects on the body length of 5-d old larvae (F₇,₁₅ = 5.59; p = 0.002) (Fig 6). Nevertheless, there was no significant difference between coated AgNPs and the corresponding AgNO₃ treatments (e.g. between SN1, O1 and P1). Most of the _H. elegans_ larvae attained metamorphic competency at day 5 and the settlement rate ranged from 32.6 to 82.2% (Fig 5). Significant reduction of percent settlement was observed in O1, O10, P1 and P10 treatments compared to control. Furthermore, the settlement rate in the coated AgNPs treatment was significantly lower than that in the corresponding AgNO₃ treatment (i.e. O1, P1 < SN1; P10 < SN6; O10 < SN11).

In all three larval species, different surface coating did not affect the toxicity of AgNPs on larval growth and percent settlement.

**Body burden of total silver**

For _B. amphitrite_, a significant accumulation of silver was observed only in SN6 and SN11 treatments (Fig 7). The larvae in SN11 treatment accumulated the highest amount of silver (i.e. 9.12 μg g⁻¹ dw).

For _C. onyx_, a significantly greater accumulation of silver was observed in all treatments compared to the control (Fig 7). The highest amount of accumulated silver (i.e. 57.9 μg g⁻¹ dw) was observed in the larvae exposed to the SN40 treatment. The concentration of silver in larvae from AgNO₃ treatments was significantly higher than that from the corresponding coated AgNP treatments (i.e. SN6 > P10; SN11 > O10, O100; SN40 > P100).
Fig 5. Effect of OAgNPs, PAgNPs and AgNO₃ on the larval settlement of **B. amphitrite** (A), **C. onyx** (B) and **H. elegans** (C). Each bar represents the mean (+ SD) of four replicates (A) or three replicates (B-C). One-way ANOVA was used for the statistics analysis. Different letters above the bar indicate the means that
For *H. elegans*, there was a significantly greater accumulation of silver in all treatments, except SN1, compared to the control (Fig 7). The larvae in P10 treatment took up the highest amount of silver (i.e. 1.15 μg g⁻¹ dw). Furthermore, while the concentration of silver accumulated in *H. elegans* from O1, P1 and P10 treatments was significantly higher than that from the

---

**Fig 6.** Effect of OAgNPs, PAgNPs and AgNO₃ on the larval growth of *C. onyx* (A) and *H. elegans* (B). Each bar represents the mean (+ SD) of three replicates. One-way ANOVA was used for the statistics analysis. Different letters above the bar indicate the means that are significantly different as identified by Tukey’s test. Ctrl: FSW control, SN: AgNO₃, O: OAgNP and P: PAgNP.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0132457.g006
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A. $H_{7,16} = 21.21 \ p = 0.003$

B. $F_{7,16} = 193.14 \ p < 0.001$

C. $F_{7,16} = 247.96 \ p < 0.001$
corresponding SN1 and SN6 treatments (i.e. SN1 < O1, P1; SN6 < P10, the silver concentrations from SN11 and O10 treatments were not significantly different.

Algal uptake of total silver

After 24 h of exposure, a significant accumulation of Ag (p < 0.05) was observed in SN6-, SN11- and P10-treated C. gracilis and SN40- and P100-exposed I. galbana (Fig 8). The mean amount of Ag taken up in SN6-, SN11- and P10-treated C. gracilis was 8.02, 14.84 and 6.24 μg g⁻¹ dw, respectively. B. amphitrite, which fed on C. gracilis, efficiently accumulated Ag from SN6 and SN11 treatment and the amount of Ag barnacle larvae took up after 5 d of exposure was slightly less than the algal uptake. Similarly, the amount of Ag taken up in SN40- and P100-exposed I. galbana was 191.5 and 57.3 μg g⁻¹ dw after 24 h, which was also higher than the amount of Ag taken up by 8d-exposed C. onyx and 5d-exposed H. elegans. These results suggest that the Ag uptake rate in algae is higher than that in larvae.

Biodistribution of coated AgNPs

No silver was detected by EDS in B. amphitrite and H. elegans larvae. However, in the 100 μg L⁻¹ PAgNP-exposed C. onyx larvae, coated AgNP aggregates were present in the gut lumen, next to the microvilli (Fig 9) and in the vacuoles of epithelial cell (Fig 9). The peaks on the EDS spectrum validated the presence of silver (Fig 9). The aggregates of coated AgNPs had broad size distribution ranging from 40–100 nm. In control and AgNO₃-treated larvae, no silver was detected in the analytical spectrum of EDS in the intestine region.

Discussion

In this proof of concept study, we have provided evidence that coated AgNPs adversely affected the larval stage of three different marine invertebrate species. Our results clearly indicate that chronic exposure to sub-lethal concentrations of coated AgNPs may lead to significant growth and development retardation, and reduction in settlement rates of the larvae B. amphitrite, C. onyx and H. elegans in a species-specific manner. The larvae are filter feeders and they can become susceptible to AgNPs when accumulating the particles through directly trapping them in mucus prior to ingestion or indirectly consuming microalgae with elevated Ag levels [5]. In particular, the filtration rate of C. onyx larvae could reach 0.4 ml hr⁻¹ [41], leaving them highly vulnerable to the ingestion of AgNPs. For intertidal species, the recruitment success depends on at least five processes, including production of larvae, dispersal of larvae in plankton, larval settlement, and growth and survival of larvae and young juveniles [42]. While each dying individual must be replaced by one offspring recruited into the population if the population size is stable [43], the potential AgNPs toxicity on larval growth and settlement may result in changes in population dynamics and benthic community structure.

Toxicity of coated AgNPs

Ag⁺ is a highly bioactive species and has been reported to possess a high toxicity to various species ranging from unicellular organisms to higher vertebrates in many laboratory toxicity
Experiments\textsuperscript{[32,44,45]} show that Ag\textsuperscript{+} also plays a major role in determining the toxicity of AgNPs, which undergo dissolution in most environmental conditions. Several authors have demonstrated that the toxicity of AgNPs, whether coated or naked, in marine organisms is dependent on the dissolved silver\textsuperscript{[15,16]}. Cysteine, a strong Ag\textsuperscript{+} ligand, was used to determine the contribution of Ag\textsuperscript{+} to the toxicity of AgNPs. Results showed that the toxicity of uncoated AgNPs on the marine alga\textit{Chattonella marina}\textsuperscript{[46]} decreased with an increasing concentration of cysteine.

**Fig 8.** Total silver uptake (\(\mu g\ g^{-1}\ dw\)) by \textit{C. gracilis} (A) and \textit{I. galbana} (B) after 24 h of exposure. Each bar represents the mean (+ SD) of triplicates. One-way ANOVA was used for the statistics analysis. Significant different between FSW control and treatments was identified by Tukey’s test; *: \(p < 0.05\); ***: \(p < 0.001\). Ctrl: FSW control, SN: AgNO\textsubscript{3}, O: OAgNP and P: PAgNP.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0132457.g008

Furthermore, Buffet et al.\textsuperscript{[28]} demonstrated that uncoated AgNPs and Ag\textsuperscript{+} treated adult...
bivalve *Scrobicularia plana* showed similar oxidative-stress-related biomarker response. Opposite observation was made from a recent study showing that uncoated AgNPs were more toxic than their equivalent Ag⁺ dose and caused concentration dependent developmental defects in sea urchins [20]. Griffitt et al. [31] also revealed that chronic exposure of uncoated AgNPs induced significant adverse effects in both juvenile and adult sheepshead minnows and AgNPs were found to be more bioavailable than Ag⁺. The authors suggested that AgNP-driven toxicity was not attributable to the release of Ag⁺ through particle dissolution in the testing medium.

In the present study, a species-specific response to coated AgNPs has been clearly demonstrated. Both coated AgNPs and Ag⁺ reduced the larval growth rate of *C. onyx* as well as settlement rate in *B. amphitrite* and *C. onyx* by a similar extent (Figs 5 and 6), and silver was accumulated more readily in soluble form than coated AgNPs in *B. amphitrite* and *C. onyx* (Fig 7). In contrast, exposure to coated AgNPs reduced the growth (Fig 6) and larval settlement rate (Fig 5) in *H. elegans* and in most cases, coated AgNPs were more toxic than Ag⁺. Uptake

Fig 9. TEM micrographs of coated AgNP localization in *C. onyx* larva. Coated AgNPs were found in the intestine region of *C. onyx* treated with 100 μg L⁻¹ of PAgNPs. Particles are visible in the intestine as black dots indicated by arrow. They are also observed to pass through the plasma membrane and deposit next to the microvilli (A) and in the vacuole (B). GI: gut lumen; Mv: microvilli; Pm: plasma membrane. Scale bars: 0.5 μm. (C) is the EDS spectrum showing the presence of silver.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0132457.g009
rate of silver in coated AgNP-treated *H. elegans* was also higher than Ag\(^+\)-treated counterparts, consistent with toxicity to larval settlement.

Ag is one of the common trace metals present in natural water and the dissolved form, Ag\(^+\), often associates with anionic ligands to achieve higher stability. In freshwater, the dominant silver species is the free toxic Ag\(^+\). With increasing [Cl\(^-\)] (i.e. estuarine water), the dominant Ag species becomes the uncharged neutral chloride complex, AgCl\(_0\)(aq), which is highly bioavailable to biota. At high [Cl\(^-\)] (i.e. seawater), the dominant species of inorganic Ag shifts to negatively charged silver chlorocomplexes (i.e. AgCl\(^-\), AgCl\(_2\)\(^-\), AgCl\(_3\)\(^-\)) which are less bioavailable than AgCl\(_0\)(aq). Early studies have demonstrated that, rather than Ag\(^+\), AgCl\(_0\)(aq) becomes the principal bioavailable species of inorganic silver with increasing [Cl\(^-\)] (i.e. 0–50mM) \[47,48\] and may contribute to silver toxicity \[49\]. On the other hand, Groh et al. 2014 \[50\] has summarized that chloride has a profound protective effects on silver toxicity which is likely due to complexation and precipitation of most of the free Ag\(^+\). Therefore, definite conclusion on the effect of chloride on silver toxicity is impossible unless more complete information concerning silver speciation is provided.

In this study, coated AgNPs were directly added to the culture medium and diet was not the intended exposure route. Given that *C. gracilis* and *I. galbana* could accumulate Ag in higher concentrations of AgNO\(_3\) and PAgNP treatments (Fig 8), it could not be excluded that, in those tested concentrations, dietary effect might exist. A number of studies have previously shown that algae as a vector of Ag transfer could alter the bioavailability and toxicity of coated and naked AgNPs \[9,28,51\].

### Biodistribution of coated AgNPs

Previous *in vitro* studies have shown that AgNPs were introduced in the cytoplasm of human hepatoma cells, rat primary neural cells, and rainbow trout gill cells \[52–54\], and this was further supported by *in vivo* studies in terrestrial plant, freshwater algae and fish \[55–57\]. In our observation under TEM, coated AgNPs appeared in aggregates at the gut lumen of the *C. onyx* larvae (Fig 9). This observation was in agreement with earlier studies on naked AgNPs which showed that arthropods and mollusks concentrated silver nano-particulates in digestive organs \[58,59\]. As depicted in the Fig 8, the sizes of aggregates of coated AgNPs were averagely smaller (i.e. 40–100 nm) than that of the aggregates in FSW (i.e. 920 nm at 100 μg L\(^{-1}\) of PAgNP). According to Ward et al. \[60,61\], in filter feeders, food aggregates are likely to be broken down by the action of cilia on the feeding apparatus and gills. So, it is possible that the large aggregates of AgNPs can be broken down into smaller ones and subsequently, pass through the plasma membrane via endocytosis. Endocytosis has been proposed to be the general route of uptake of particles or molecules up to 100 nm in size in digestive system \[62\]. The endocytotic process internalizes particles by invagination of the lipid plasma membrane, formation of vesicles that enclose the particles and transportation of vacuoles into the cell \[1\]. In the present study, aggregates of coated AgNPs were observed to pass across the plasma membrane and be taken up in the vacuoles in epithelial cells (Fig 9). Likewise, it was demonstrated that citrate coated AgNPs were transported across the cell membrane and internalized inside the vacuoles of the polychaete *Nereis diversicolor* \[63\]. Vacuoles serve as lytic organelles and storage compartments of inorganic ions and organic compounds \[64\]. Their predominant role in heavy metal cation sequestration and detoxication in cells of marine invertebrates is widely recognized \[65\]. Nevertheless, caution should be exercised when gauging the AgNPs behavior in larval digestive system with distinct pH environment. Stumpf et al. (2013) have suggested that the stomach pH of sea urchin pluteus larvae ranges between pH 8.9 to pH 9.6 \[66\].
Influence of coating materials on toxicity of AgNPs

Silver is not thermodynamically stable under most of the environmental conditions, so molecules like citrate, carboxylic acids, polymers or polysaccharides are applied as surfactants to stabilize AgNPs. Although the coating materials showed no biological effect [23,67], it has been reported that due to different physiochemical properties, they play essential roles on NP toxicity and/or their mode of action upon release to the environment [68]. In addition, hydrophilicity has been considered as one of the major factors governing NP toxicity. Yang et al. [27] have systemically investigated the effect of coatings on the toxicity of AgNPs on *C. elegans*, and found that AgNPs coated with gum arabic (GA) (relatively hydrophilic) was about 9-fold more toxic than PAgNPs (relatively hydrophobic). Since the two types of coated AgNPs had a similar particle size, it was suggested that the difference in toxicity was attributed to the type of surface coating and silver dissolution rate. As GA provided a more hydrophilic surface for coated AgNPs, it reduced the formation of aggregates, increased the available surface area for dissolution, and hence, harbored a higher toxicity. On the other hand, there is experimental evidence that hydrophobic coated AgNPs could exert a higher toxicity as hydrophobic polymer has a greater affinity to plasma membrane and promotes the transport and penetration of coated AgNPs via the peptidoglycan layer, causing the disruption of cell membrane, release of cytoplasmic constituents and cell death [69].

In our chronic toxicity test, despite PAgNPs having a smaller aggregation size and releasing about similar amount of (at 10 μg L⁻¹) or 4-fold more Ag⁺ (at 100 μg L⁻¹) than OAgNPs, there was no observable difference in their effects on the marine invertebrate larvae. This result agreed with the earlier findings, in which no significant difference could be found between the toxicity of OAgNPs and PAgNPs on the survivorship and reproduction of the earthworm *Eisenia fetida* [70]. The same study also showed that coatings themselves (i.e. oleic acid and polyvinylpyrrolidone) did not exhibit any toxicity to the organisms. Although self-aggregation of NPs into larger particle masses would hinder the release of its ions, it would increase the bioavailability in suspension feeding [71]. Ward and Kach [70] demonstrated that suspension-feeder bivalves captured particles larger than 6 μm with 90% efficiency, and the capture efficiency decreased with decreasing particle size. Thus, effect of hydrophilicity on NP toxicity may not be solely due to the ion release efficiency.
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