The effectiveness of a psycho-educational nursing intervention on illness perception, knowledge and expressed emotion of caregivers of patients with first episode psychosis
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ABSTRACT

Background: Psycho educational nursing intervention to families of patients with first-episode psychosis is highly recommended to assist families to overcome feelings of stress, guilt, stigma, loss of control and to promote recovery of patients. The aim of the study was to evaluate the effect of psych educational nursing intervention on illness perception, level of knowledge and level of expressed emotion of caregivers of patients with first episode psychosis.

Methods: Research design: a quasi-experimental research design (one group pre-posttest) was used to achieve the aim of the study. The study was conducted at three psychiatric and mental health governmental outpatient clinics. A convenience sample of 50 caregivers was used. Data was collected using socio demographic data questionnaire, family questionnaire, knowledge questionnaire, and illness perception questionnaire.

Results: There were clinically significant improvement among the caregivers’ level of knowledge and illness perception at post test of psycho educational nursing intervention than before intervention, while level of expressed emotion was improved post the psycho educational nursing intervention but without obvious significant difference.

Conclusions & Recommendation: Psycho educational nursing intervention was effective in improving caregivers’ level of knowledge, illness perception and expressed emotion. The study recommended to urgently utilize psycho educational nursing intervention to all caregivers of patient with first episode psychosis in all psychiatric hospitals.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Psychosis is a syndrome that can occur with many other diseases. It consists of any severe form of mental disorder in which there is poor or highly distorted contact with reality.[1] Psychosis primarily involves changes in thinking, perception and emotions. Psychoeducation has many causes including substance abuse, exposure to severe stress, genetic medical conditions or diseases, and mood disorders.[2] Families have central positions in care of patients and psychosocial rehabilitation. Caregivers both affect and are affected by...
a mentally ill patient through a dynamic process affecting patterns of communication, interactional styles, family roles, and responsibilities in order to adapt with the physical and psychological demands for managing the illness. Family caregivers of individuals suffering from first episode psychosis have a higher risk of distress and face a number of issues, such as shock, grief, poor understanding of illness and the psychiatric system, compared to family caregivers of individuals with a prolonged course of illness. Unfortunately, the stress experienced by caregivers during the first episode can sometimes hamper recovery and even lead to a relapse.

Research evidence has demonstrated the clinical efficacy of a few approaches to family intervention, especially psycho-education and behavioral or crisis management programs, in reducing patients’ relapses from their psychotic disorders. Mental healthcare or psychiatric treatment guidelines in both the US and UK suggest that family-based (caregivers) intervention can be an integral of a community-based rehabilitation program to produce significant positive patients’ outcomes in early psychosis whenever their family caregivers can improve in coping with their caregiving role and psychosocial functioning especially with the advent of de-institutionalization where mentally ill patients are greatly stayed in the community and depend on their families in their care.

Caregivers’ role in the treatment of mentally ill patients has become important due to many reasons. For example, factors in family members’ behavior and attitude towards patients such as criticality, hostility, and over-involvement which predict relapse in individuals with prolonged psychosis and first episode psychosis. Moreover, providing care to a person with severe mental illness can adversely affect the mental health and well-being of family caregivers. Nurses play an important role in providing a psycho-educational nursing intervention that may empower caregivers to identify their own problems and needs, further more to minimize professional input.

1.1 Significance of the study
Caregivers are often an important source of support and facilitation for mentally ill patients’ treatment and rehabilitation. Because little attention is given to the family caregivers’ health and well-being. There is a growing understanding among mental health professional’s especially nursing staff about the need to help the family caregivers along with the patients. Consequently, family interventions are now strongly recommended in many standards.

While there is no practice guideline available in Egypt. A psycho-educational program for family caregivers and their patients with first episode of psychotic disorders is highly recommended. It can enable both patients and caregivers to better engage with the patient care through enhancing their knowledge and insight into the illness, medication adherence and coping with their psychotic symptoms, thus improving prognosis.

1.2 Aim of the study
The aim of the study was to evaluate the effect of psycho-educational nursing intervention on illness perception, knowledge and expressed emotion of caregivers of patients with first episode psychosis.

1.3 Research hypothesis
H1: Caregivers who attend the psycho educational nursing intervention will have an accepted level of expressed emotion than before intervention. H2: Caregivers who attend the psycho educational nursing intervention will have positive illness perception than before intervention. H3: Caregivers who attend the psycho educational nursing intervention will have an increased level of knowledge about mental illness than before intervention.

2. METHODS
2.1 Research design
A quasi-experimental research design (pre-posttest) was used to achieve the aim of the study.

2.2 Setting
The study was conducted in three psychiatric and mental health governmental hospitals (out patients clinics) which include; Mitkhalf mental health hospital at Menoufia, Egypt, Mental health hospital in Tanta and Psychiatric and Mental health department in Tanta university hospital, Egypt. These settings are the main governmental hospitals in Delta Region and known to have the highest number of patient.

2.3 Subject
Convenience sample of 50 caregivers were recruited according the following inclusion criteria:

(1) Caregivers for patients with a first episode psychosis who are living with or directly involved in the care of patients.
(2) Ready and accepted to participate in the study.

The exclusion criteria were as follows:

(1) Care givers with psychiatric illness.
(2) Care givers with hearing problems.
(3) Care givers of patients with substance abuse.
2.4 Instruments
I. Socio-demographic data sheet
Socio-demographic data sheet was developed by the researcher to collect the demographic details of the caregivers and patients. Information collected included age, gender, education, marital status, family income, occupation, duration of illness, duration of care giving and caregivers’ relationship with patients and degree of relativeness and if care givers have psychiatric illness or not?

II. Knowledge questionnaire (KQ)
This tool was developed by Birchwood, Smith and Cochrane\[17\] to measure knowledge about psychosis. The questionnaire was modified to make it more suitable to caregivers. A self-report instrument, it includes 23 questions divided into six specific areas of knowledge with regard to psychosis as risk factors (six questions); signs and symptoms (three questions); hospital treatment (two questions); medication treatment (four questions); caregiver support (five questions); and recovery (three questions). Some questions having just one correct answer, while other questions have many correct answers. When calculating scores of this questionnaire, score of (1) was given for each correct answer and 0 for each incorrect answer.

The maximum score achievable was 84 (higher than the originally cited 38).

III. Family questionnaire (FQ)
The FQ originally developed by Wiedemann, Rayki, Feinstein & Hahlweg\[18\] modified by Koutra et al.\[19\] It was used to measure EE in caregivers of persons with various mental disorders. It consists of 20 items in which 10 items measures criticism (CC) and the remaining 10 items for emotional over-involvement (EOI). The scoring ranges from 1 as “never/rarely” to 4 as “very often” and a higher total score indicates higher EE. The authors provide a cut-off point of 23 for CC as an indication of high CC, and 27 for high EOI.

VI. Illness perceptions questionnaire for Schizophrenia-relatives’ version (IPQS-R)
This tool was developed by Lobban, Barrowclough & Jones\[20\] It used to measure relatives’ beliefs about the mental disorder. The scale was divided into the following subscales: cause (personal ideas about the cause of the disorder), timeline acute/chronic and timeline cyclical (perception of the pattern and duration of the disorder), consequences for both patients and relative (the expected effects and outcome of the disorder), personal control-patient and personal control-relative (control over the disorder), personal blame-patient and personal blame relative (blame toward the patient or self-blame about the disorder). Treatment control/cure (usefulness of treatment), illness coherence (a coherent understanding of the disorder) and emotional representation (negative emotions about the disorder including sense of fear, frustration, anger, loss, worry). The items are rated from 1 ‘strongly disagree’ to 5 ‘strongly agree’.

Validity: The validity of the instruments was ascertained by a group of subject areas experts, medical and nursing staff who reviewed the instruments for content validity. They were asked also to judge the items for completeness, length, wording, relevance and clarity. Suggestions were incorporated into the instruments.

Reliability: Test-retest reliability was applied by the researchers for testing the internal consistency of the instruments. It is the administration of the same instruments to the same participants under similar conditions on two or more occasions. Scores from repeated testing were compared. All tools were strongly reliable. Family questionnaire has good internal consistency (value ranging from 0.78 to 0.80 for emotional over-involvement (EOI) and from 0.91 to 0.92 for criticism (CC). The internal consistency for Illness Perceptions Questionnaire for Schizophrenia-Relatives’ version (IPQS-R) ranging from 0.82 for (Emotional representation) to 0.91 for (Negative consequences). The internal consistency of knowledge questionnaire is good (value ranging from 0.86 to 0.93).

2.5 Ethical considerations
An official permission was granted up on a letter issued from the faculty of Nursing, Menoufia University and after research study aim, content and procedure were discussed with the directors of mental health hospitals before data collection procedure. The researchers met with the studied caregivers and explained the nature and purpose of the study, assumed them about confidentiality, anonymity and finally informed that they have the right to withdraw from the study at any time without giving any reason. After full explanation of the aim of the study, verbal consent was taken from all the participants before participation in the study.

2.6 Pilot study
A Piloting was conducted on 10 care givers of patients with first episode psychosis to test the applicability of the instruments and to estimate the time needed for data collection. On the basis of the piloting results the researchers determined the feasibility of data collection procedures. developed an interview schedule. The results of the piloting helped in refining the interview questionnaire.

2.7 Data collection
Data collection for this study was carried out in the period from the middle of June 2017 to the end of July 2018. The
researchers collected the data during the morning from 10 am to 12 pm, two days/week.

2.8 The intervention
The current study was carried out in four phases: pre assessment phase assessment phase, implementation phase and evaluation phase.

Pre assessment phase: An extensive literature related to the study area was done including electronic dissertation, available books, articles, doctoral dissertation, research and peer interaction, and idea from external sources and periodicals. A review of literature to formulate knowledge base relevant to the study area was also done.

Assessment phase: A comfortable, private place was chosen for the interviewers. Orientation was done about the purpose of the study and content of the study. Each caregiver was individually interviewed where pre assessment was done using structured interviewing questionnaire, knowledge questionnaire, illness perception questionnaire and expressed emotion scale.

Implementation Phase: The Psycho educational nursing intervention was designed by the researchers and validated by a group of field expertise. The study group were divided into (5) groups every group ranged from (8 to 12) patients, every group attended (6) psycho educational nursing intervention session within two days/week from 10 am to 12 pm. The intervention consisted of 6 sessions. Each session lasted for one hour and has a set of specific objective. The nursing intervention was utilized several teaching methods such as: brain storming, lectures, discussion, providing examples. Data show, videos, role play, pictures and evidence based booklets. Participants were invited to raise questions and make comments as they saw fit. A particular emphasis was placed on dispelling common myths. At the end of each session summary, feedback and further clarification were done for the vague items.

The topics covered in the presentation are mentioned in Table 1.

| Table 1. The Psycho educational nursing intervention topics |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|
| 1st Week | - Introduction about mental illness diseases and mental illness services. |
| 2nd week | - Identification of caregivers' current knowledge and understanding of psychosis. |
|          | - Explanation of signs and symptoms of psychiatric illness. |
| 3rd Week | - Pharmacological management of psychiatric illness including (the action of medication- dosing-precautions for medication- side effects – management of side effects). |
|          | - How to communicate with psychiatric patient. |
| 4th week | - Psychological management of psychiatric illness (importance – types available). |
| 5th week | - Management of delusions, hallucination, bizarre ideas, bizarre behaviors and difficult behavior. |
| 6th week | - Strategies for relapse preventions including (signs and symptoms of relapse prevention, causes of relapse-management of relapse). |

The evaluating phase: During this phase, the participants were encouraged to ask any questions or demand clarifications they needed and the post-test was given to them using the same tools used in the pre test.

2.9 Statistical data analysis
Results were statistically analyzed by SPSS version 22 (SPSS Inc., Chikago, IL, USA). Wilcoxon and Mann-Whitney tests were used for non-parametric data. Chi-Squared ($\chi^2$) tests were used for qualitative variables. $p$ value < .05 is considered significant.

3. RESULTS
Table 2 shows that, the studied sample consisted of 50 caregivers of patients with first episode psychosis aged from 19 to 70 with a mean age 39.76 ± 12.50, 60% were male, 80% of them were from rural areas., not working and were married. 66% of the relative of patient with first episode psychosis had low education and the income of 62% of the patients was not enough. 34% of the caregivers of the patients were their brothers or sisters.

Figure 1 shows that, there was a statistically significant difference between the level of knowledge of the studied group at pre and post the psycho educational nursing intervention at $p < .001$.

Figure 2 displays that, there was no statistically significant difference between the levels of total expressed emotions of the studied group at pre and post the psycho educational nursing intervention $p < .001$.

Table 3 shows that, there were statistically significant differences among the illness perception subscale variables as perceived by the caregivers of patients with first episode psychosis had low education and the income of 62% of the patients was not enough. 34% of the caregivers of the patients were their brothers or sisters.
psychosis at pre and post the psych educational nursing intervention at \( p < .001 \).

### Table 2. General characteristics of the studied group

| General characteristics | Study group (n = 50) |
|-------------------------|---------------------|
| Age (Y)                 |                     |
| Mean ± SD               | 39.76 ± 12.50       |
| Range                   | 19.0-70.0           |
| Sex                     |                     |
| Male                    | 30                  |
| Female                  | 20                  |
| Residence               |                     |
| Rural                   | 40                  |
| Urban                   | 10                  |
| Education               |                     |
| Low                     | 33                  |
| High                    | 17                  |
| Occupation              |                     |
| Not working             | 30                  |
| Working                 | 20                  |
| Income                  |                     |
| Not Enough              | 31                  |
| Enough                  | 19                  |
| Marital status          |                     |
| Single                  | 14                  |
| Married                 | 30                  |
| Widow/divorced          | 6                   |
| Caregiver of patient    |                     |
| Single parent           | 12                  |
| Both parents            | 4                   |
| Brother/sister          | 17                  |
| Husband/wife            | 3                   |
| Son/daughter            | 14                  |

Table 4 shows that there was statistically significant relationship between total illness perceptions and levels of education post the psycho educational nursing intervention.

Figure 3 shows that there was statistically significant relationship between levels of income and total expressed emotion of the studied group pre the intervention (\( p = .018 \)).

Table 5 shows that there was no statistically significant relationship either between total illness perception and residence of the studied group post the psycho educational intervention nor, the total expressed emotion and residence.

Table 6 shows that there was statistically significant relationship between level of knowledge and educational level of the studied group post psycho educational intervention. While there is no significant relation between level of knowledge and place of residence.

### 4. DISCUSSION

This study is based on the recommendations of the “International best-practice guidelines for early psychosis (including the International Early Psychosis Association’s and the International Clinical Practice Guidelines for Early Psychosis)”\(^{[21]}\) which focused the light on the importance of the provision of psycho education for multifamily groups. Especially families of patient with first episode psychosis.

The current study revealed that the age of caregivers of the studied group ranged from 19 to 70 years old. This result is consistent with Petrakis and Laxton,\(^{[22]}\) who mentioned that “the age of the caregivers ranged from 18 to 68 years means that the needs of these caregivers are more diverse”. It is important to provide a wide range of informational resources to meet the needs and give adequate amount of time to answer questions of such a group.
Table 3. Distribution of illness perception at pre and post the psycho-educational nursing intervention (N = 50)

| Study Variables | Intervention | Wilcoxon test | p value |
|-----------------|--------------|---------------|---------|
|                 | Pre (No = 50) | Post (No = 50) |         |
|                 | Mean ± SD     | Mean ± SD     |         |
| Illness perception subscales: | | | |
| 1-Ideas about the cause of the disorder | 8.32 ± 3.34 | 9.40 ± 3.09 | 3.11 .002* |
| 2-Pattern and duration of the disorder | 5.94 ± 2.54 | 7.04 ± 2.29 | 3.89 < .001* |
| 3-Consequences Expected effects and outcome of the disorder | 15.04 ± 6.54 | 16.18 ± 6.23 | 2.90 .004* |
| 4-Usefulness of treatment | 7.18 ± 2.58 | 8.10 ± 2.23 | 3.67 < .001* |
| 5-Control over the disorder | 5.30 ± 1.72 | 6.14 ± 1.89 | 3.58 < .001* |
| 6-Self-blame about the disorder | 4.40 ± 2.04 | 5.42 ± 1.88 | 4.43 < .001* |
| 7-Illness coherence | 7.36 ± 2.82 | 8.22 ± 2.60 | 3.38 < .001* |
| 8-Emotional representation | 12.40 ± 5.18 | 13.60 ± 4.68 | 3.15 < .001* |
| Total illness perception | 65.90 ± 23.34 | 74.04 ± 16.77 | 4.57 < .001* |

Note. Data expressed as Mean ± SD and Median (IQR); *p < .05.

Table 4. Relation between illness perception and level of education of studied group at pre and post the psycho-educational nursing intervention (N = 50)

| Study variables | Pre Intervention | Post Intervention |
|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|
|                 | Education        | Mann-Whitney p value | Education        | Mann-Whitney p value |
|                 | Low (No = 33)    | High (No = 17)     |
|                 | Mean ± SD        | Mean ± SD          | Mean ± SD        | Mean ± SD          |
| Illness perception subscales: | | | |
| 1-Ideas about the cause of the disorder | 7.48 ± 3.09 | 9.94 ± 3.28 | 2.27 .022* | 8.66 ± 3.02 | 10.82 ± 2.78 | 2.23 .025* |
| 2-Pattern and duration of the disorder | 5.63 ± 2.64 | 6.52 ± 2.29 | 1.32 .189 | 6.60 ± 2.17 | 7.88 ± 2.34 | 1.64 .102 |
| 3-Consequences Expected effects and outcome of the disorder | 13.54 ± 6.08 | 17.94 ± 6.59 | 2.08 .037* | 14.51 ± 5.85 | 19.41 ± 5.81 | 2.059 .009* |
| 4-Usefulness of treatment | 7.0 ± 2.62 | 7.52 ± 2.55 | 0.92 .360 | 8.0 ± 2.23 | 8.29 ± 2.28 | 0.24 .810 |
| 5-Control over the disorder | 5.06 ± 1.56 | 5.76 ± 1.98 | 1.08 .282 | 6.18 ± 2.06 | 6.05 ± 1.56 | 0.08 .938 |
| 6-Self-blame about the disorder | 4.12 ± 2.04 | 4.94 ± 1.98 | 1.55 .121 | 5.18 ± 1.94 | 5.88 ± 1.72 | 1.22 .225 |
| 7-Illness coherence | 7.0 ± 2.83 | 8.05 ± 2.74 | 1.26 .212 | 7.78 ± 2.45 | 9.05 ± 2.74 | 1.61 .108 |
| 8-Emotional representation | 11.75 ± 5.20 | 13.64 ± 5.04 | 1.34 .181 | 13.03 ± 4.80 | 14.70 ± 4.35 | 1.44 .153 |
| Total illness perceptions | 61.69 ± 22.84 | 74.05 ± 22.76 | 1.84 .066 | 69.93 ± 19.32 | 82.0 ± 18.67 | 2.26 .023* |

*p < .05
Most of the caregivers of the studied group were from rural areas and had low education (rural areas in Egypt have different myths about mental illness, moreover being low educated make the matter of dealing with mental illness more difficult), which make the need for psych educational nursing intervention necessary to improve the family knowledge about psychosis and to be able to support the patients during their different stages of illness.

Regarding to the level of knowledge of caregivers of the patients with first episode psychosis, the result of present study showed that the level of caregivers knowledge has been improved after the completion of the psycho educational nursing intervention. This could be indicated that the program content and session was within the interest and needs of the participants. This result is consistent with the findings of McWilliams et al.,[23] whose study showed that “Caregivers knowledge about psychosis and medication treatment improved during a psycho-educational intervention designed specifically for first episode psychosis”.

In addition to the previous findings, Worakul et al.[24] who studied the effects of psycho-educational program on knowledge and attitude upon schizophrenia of schizophrenic patients’ caregivers the study found that the scores of knowledge and attitude of caregivers, before and after the program were statistically different.

### Table 5. Relation between illness perception, total expressed emotions and place of residence of studied group at pre and post the psycho educational nursing intervention (N = 50)

| Study variables                             | Pre Intervention Place of Residence | Mann-Whitney p value | Post Intervention Place of Residence | Mann-Whitney p value |
|---------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|
|                                             | Rural No = 40 | Urban No = 10       |                                      | Rural No = 40 | Urban No = 10       |                      |
| Illness perception subscales:               | Mean ± SD     | Mean ± SD           |                                      | Mean ± SD     | Mean ± SD           |                      |
| 1-Ideas about the cause of the disorder     | 8.52 ± 3.49   | 7.50 ± 2.63         | 0.91                                 | 9.27 ± 3.35 | 9.90 ± 1.72         | 0.51                                |
|                                            |                |                     | .369                                 | 6.77 ± 2.11 | 8.10 ± 2.76         | 0.23                                |
| 2-Pattern and duration of the disorder      | 5.95 ± 2.58   | 5.90 ± 2.51         | 0.98                                 | 6.77 ± 2.11 | 8.10 ± 2.76         | 0.23                                |
|                                            |                |                     | .987                                 | 6.77 ± 2.11 | 8.10 ± 2.76         | 0.23                                |
| 3-Consequences (Expected effects and outcome of the disorder) | 15.02 ± 6.65 | 15.10 ± 6.45        | 0.96                                 | 15.72 ± 6.28 | 18.0 ± 6.01         | 0.29                                |
|                                            |                |                     | .967                                 | 15.72 ± 6.28 | 18.0 ± 6.01         | 0.29                                |
| 4-Usefulness of treatment                   | 7.30 ± 2.52   | 6.70 ± 2.90         | 0.45                                 | 7.90 ± 2.20 | 8.90 ± 2.28         | 0.21                                |
|                                            |                |                     | .463                                 | 7.90 ± 2.20 | 8.90 ± 2.28         | 0.21                                |
| 5-Control over the disorder                 | 5.25 ± 1.61   | 5.50 ± 2.22         | 0.71                                 | 6.0 ± 1.90  | 6.70 ± 1.82         | 0.23                                |
|                                            |                |                     | .728                                 | 6.0 ± 1.90  | 6.70 ± 1.82         | 0.23                                |
| 6-Self-blame about the disorder             | 4.37 ± 1.89   | 4.50 ± 2.67         | 0.97                                 | 5.17 ± 1.78 | 6.40 ± 2.06         | 0.09                                |
|                                            |                |                     | .978                                 | 5.17 ± 1.78 | 6.40 ± 2.06         | 0.09                                |
| 7-Illness coherence                         | 7.50 ± 2.94   | 6.80 ± 2.34         | 0.51                                 | 7.92 ± 2.61 | 9.40 ± 2.31         | 0.11                                |
|                                            |                |                     | .526                                 | 7.92 ± 2.61 | 9.40 ± 2.31         | 0.11                                |
| 8-Emotional representation                  | 12.45 ± 5.23  | 12.20 ± 5.22        | 0.96                                 | 13.25 ± 4.91 | 15.0 ± 3.43         | 0.28                                |
|                                            |                |                     | .967                                 | 13.25 ± 4.91 | 15.0 ± 3.43         | 0.28                                |
| Total illness perception                    | 66.32 ± 23.28 | 64.20 ± 24.77       | 0.86                                 | 71.92 ± 20.06 | 82.50 ± 18.84     | 0.10                                |
|                                            |                |                     | .872                                 | 71.92 ± 20.06 | 82.50 ± 18.84     | 0.10                                |
| Total expressed emotion                     | 30.05 ± 12.19 | 35.60 ± 15.34       | 1.20                                 | 32.85 ± 7.45 | 33.90 ± 6.74       | 0.49                                |
|                                            |                |                     | .236                                 | 32.85 ± 7.45 | 33.90 ± 6.74       | 0.49                                |
Concerning to the caregivers perception of illness, results showed that there was a statistically significant difference between the caregivers’ perception of illness before and after the psycho educational nursing intervention. This indicate the effectiveness of the program which means that the psycho educational program has a vital role in improving the caregivers perception of illness through increasing their knowledge about mental illness, answering their questions and correcting myths about mental illness and psychiatric treatment. This result is consistent with soliman et al. who found that psycho education was effective both in improving patients, medical condition and improving caregivers perception of illness resulting in reducing the family burden. Also supported with the findings of Bulut et al. as they mentioned that psycho education leading to improve caregivers perception of illness.

As a core point in the study the expressed emotion of the studied caregivers before the program was high. The psycho educational nursing intervention did not reduce the level of expressed emotion of caregivers to a degree that is considered statistically significant. This could be explained that the data was collected during the first episode of psychosis and the patients were acutely symptomatic. These symptoms are disturbing to the patient and the family, which contribute to the elevated level of expressed emotions. This result is matched with the preexisting literature review, Martinez et al. found that expressed emotion (criticism and EOI) were strongly high especially in the early stage of psychosis. In the same line Sadath et al. found that nearly half of the caregivers of his sample showed high level of expressed emotion.

Results showed that there was a statistically significant difference between levels of income of the studied group regarding to the total expressed emotion. This result is consistent with Sadath et al. who found that there was inverse correlation between monthly income and level of expressed emotion as many caregivers of psychosis were unable to afford their relatives’ treatment expenses due to financial difficulties, which in turn increase level of expressed emotions. This relation explain the previous findings (level of expressed emotion did not improve to that significant level), because financial factors play a significant role in affecting on level of expressed emotion, and that factor cannot be controlled during the psycho educational intervention.

Regarding to the socio demographic characteristics of the studied participants which include residence and its relationship with expressed emotion, level of knowledge about mental illness and illness perception, results showed that there was no statistical relationship between the study participants’ residence and their expressed emotion, level of knowledge and illness perception. This may be due to the nature of Egypt as a developing country, there is a general literacy about mental illness and that people had different views about mental illness, especially its causal and treatment option, which make the experience of caring for mentally ill patient is extremely strange and vague duty. And that regardless of the residence of the caregivers negative perception and poor knowledge about mental illness were the common theme of most of our study participants.

These results are congruent with Mc Williams et al. who found that gender and socio demographic characteristics did not make any difference in the improvement of the psycho educational program.

As regards to the level of education of the studied participants and its relationship with level of knowledge and illness perception, results showed that there were statistical significant differences among the level of education, level of knowledge and illness perception of the studied participants post the

---

**Table 6. Relation between level of knowledge, place of residence and level of education pre and post the psycho educational nursing intervention (N = 50)**

| Study variables | Pre Intervention | Post Intervention |
|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|
|                 | Pre Intervention | Post Intervention |
|                 | Level of Knowledge | Level of Knowledge |
|                 | Poor (No = 31) | Fair (No = 16) | Good (No = 3) | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| Education       |                  |                  |                  |                  |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Low             | 63.6 | 30.3 | 6.10 | 0.13 | 9.1 | 60.6 | 30.3 | 9.84 | 0.07* |
| High            | 58.8 | 35.3 | 5.90 | 0.937 | 5.9 | 17.6 | 76.5 |            |      |
| Residence       |                  |                  |                  |                  |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Rural           | 50.0 | 45.0 | 5.0 | 0.54 | 10.0 | 57.5 | 32.5 | 1.76 |            |
| Urban           | 40.0 | 50.0 | 10.0 | 0.762 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.415 |            |

*p < .05
5. CONCLUSION
The current study concluded that

- Psycho educational nursing intervention was effective in improving caregivers’ level of knowledge about mental illness and illness perception.
- Based on improving the level of knowledge and perception of illness of caregivers of patients with first episode psychosis, there was improvement in the level of expressed emotions but doesn’t research a significant level.

Recommendation
- The study recommends to urgently utilizing psychoeducational nursing intervention for all caregivers of patients with first episode psychosis in all psychiatric hospitals.
- Enhance the role of community psycho-educational groups and public mental health service to provide support and required knowledge about management and care of patient with first episode psychosis.
- Increase the awareness of community about management of psychiatric illness through media to decrease the stigma of mental illness which lead to improve the general health of patients and their families.
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