INTRODUCTION

Hanging is a frequently used method to attempt suicide in India and is also a prominent cause of suicidal deaths worldwide.\(^1\) These victims present to the emergency room (ER) of hospitals and need specialised care in Intensive Care Units (ICUs). There is a dearth of published data in the Indian population regarding clinical features and outcomes of suicidal hanging. Whereas a number of reports describe post-mortem findings in near-hanging, data on clinical presentation and neurological imaging as a means of prognostication are scanty. Manual, postural and ligature strangulation are known, but hanging is the most common form of strangulation injury.\(^2,3\)

Hanging occurs when pressure is exerted on the neck by an external mechanism, further increased by the suspended weight of the victim’s body. Typical hanging refers to the situation where the point of suspension (knot) is placed over occiput. ‘Complete’ hanging occurs when a victim is fully suspended and the term ‘incomplete’ or ‘partial’ hanging is used for
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other positions. The incidence and severity of initial injuries depend mainly on the height of fall, type and position of neck ligature, and whether it is complete or partial.[4] Suicidal hanging typically involves a drop from a lower height when compared to judicial hanging, thereby resulting in lower incidence of hangman’s fracture and arterial occlusion.[5]

Death is usually due to direct neurological injury, asphyxiation, bradycardia and cardiac arrest. Skull and vertebral body fractures, cord compression, airway trauma and carotid artery occlusion or dissection are other serious injuries.[5,6] Common clinical presentations include seizures, cerebral oedema, pulmonary oedema, acute respiratory distress syndrome and multi-organ failure. The aim of this study was to evaluate clinical presentation and outcomes of patients presenting with suicidal hanging in a multidisciplinary ICU.

METHODS

This was a study done on all adult patients admitted to a multidisciplinary ICU, from August 2009 to July 2015, with a history of suicidal hanging. Approval from the Institutional Ethics Committee was obtained for collection of data. Data were collected from chart retrospectively. All patients who presented to ER following hanging with the intent of suicide were admitted to the ICU as per our hospital protocol. Suicidal intent for hanging was confirmed from the history obtained from relatives and bystanders. Primary outcome analysed was in-hospital mortality rate. Secondary outcomes were hospital length of stay (LOS), ICU-LOS, ICU-free days, time for neurological recovery, organ support, ventilator days and ventilator-free days. Data were collected on demographics, mode of hanging and clinical presentation. Lead time to ER admission was defined as the time taken from detection of the suicidal hanging victim to ER admission, and could be calculated approximately by the history obtained from the person accompanying the victim. The severity of illness scores such as admission Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation II (APACHE II),[7] Sequential Organ Failure Assessment (SOFA)[8] and 48 h SOFA score was calculated using the worst parameters obtained. Admission Glasgow coma scale (GCS) was recorded. The ‘time for neurological recovery’, defined as the time taken in days to regain a GCS of 15/15 was documented. Neurological outcome score, the Glasgow outcome scale (GOS)[9] was captured at the time of discharge or death, whichever was applicable. Computerised tomography (CT) imaging of brain, cervical spine and X-ray of the chest were recorded in all patients.

Data were entered into a computerised spreadsheet and analysed using IBM SPSS Statistics software (Version 12; IBM, Chicago, IL USA). Statistical analysis was performed using the Student’s t-test or Mann–Whitney rank sum test for continuous variables and Chi-square test for categorical variables. Variables that were significant were selected for logistic regression to identify independent risk factors for poor outcome.

RESULTS

One hundred and six patients were admitted following suicidal hanging during the study period. The median age of patients was 27 years (interquartile range [IQR] 22–34). Patients’ minimum age was 18 years and maximum was 71 years. Fifty-one percent of patients were male. The mode of hanging was partial in 80 patients (75.4%) and complete in 26 (24.5%). 42.4% patients were referred to us from other facilities. Most patients attempted suicide at home and were discovered at the scene by one of the relatives or bystanders. The lead time to ER admission was 1.0 h [IQR (0.5–1.4)].

Ninety (84.9%) patients presented with loss of consciousness; of these patients, 65.1% presented with GCS <7. Survivors regained consciousness (GCS - 15/15) within 24 h (median 1 day [IQR (1–1]). Other common clinical presentations on admission were seizures (19.8%) and restlessness (34%). Patients had high severity of illness scores as indicated by high APACHE II and SOFA scores on admission [Table 1]. About 85% of patients required endotracheal intubation and mechanical ventilation for airway protection (low GCS) or hypoxia. On admission, 27.4% (n = 29) of patients required vasopressors for haemodynamic stability. Eleven patients (10.3%) had echocardiographic features of stress cardiomyopathy. Pulmonary oedema was the presenting feature in 27.4% (n = 29) of patients.

CT scan imaging of brain and cervical spine were done on all patients. 12.3% (n = 13) of patients exhibited an abnormality in their CT brain. None of the patients had an abnormality in their CT of the cervical spine [Figure 1].
Mortality was 10.3% (n = 11). The median LOS in hospital was 5 days [IQR (3–7)]. The median ICU-LOS was 3 days [IQR (2–4)], and of these, 2 days [IQR (2–4)] were ventilator-free days. Forty-eight hour SOFA score was low in survivors, with a median 1 [IQR (0–2)] when compared to non-survivors who had a median SOFA score of 6 [IQR (4.25–8)], in line with the rapid improvement that survivors exhibited on aggressive treatment (P = 0.002).

The median GOS at discharge was one [range (1–5)]. Three patients (2.8%) suffered intraventricular haemorrhage, but they exhibited complete neurological recovery. One patient developed the right-sided hemiplegia which recovered over a few weeks. Another patient developed right upper limb monoparesis which suggested C5, C6, C7 sensory-motor root lesion on clinical examination and nerve conduction studies. However, magnetic resonance imaging of the cervical spine was normal. Multivariate analysis showed that admission GCS >7, high APACHE II and 48 h SOFA score were higher among non-survivors [Table 2].

**DISCUSSION**

In this retrospective study of 106 patients admitted to the ICU after suicidal hanging, we found a mortality of 10.3%. Patients who died had lower GCS and higher severity of illness scores at admission, and higher organ failure scores at 48 hours than survivors.

Hanging is one of the most common forms of successful suicide, with a high case fatality rate (70%). Patients who reach the hospital alive have a good survival rate with aggressive management in the ICU.
The median age of our study population was 27 years with a male predominance (51%) which was consistent with other studies.\textsuperscript{[11,12]} The lead time to ER admission, in this study (1 h), did not influence the final outcome, which is unlike other studies in which delay in presentation has been associated with higher odds of a poor outcome.\textsuperscript{[13]}

The incidence of seizures in this study was higher (19.8%) when compared to that observed in another study (14%).\textsuperscript{[13]} Loss of consciousness was present in 84.9\% (\(n = 90\)) of patients; however, 87.8\% (\(n = 79\)) of these patients survived. None of these features had any correlation with mortality in this study.

Unlike in one of the studies,\textsuperscript{[13]} more patients in this study (39.4\% vs. 30\%) were haemodynamically unstable and on vasopressors, pointing toward a more depressed physical state on admission.

Mortality in various studies ranged from 8\% to 12\%,\textsuperscript{[13-15]} which was similar to this study, where the overall mortality was 10.3\%. Mortality in hanging varies widely depending on patients presenting condition.\textsuperscript{[10,16]} However, in contrast to this study, another study\textsuperscript{[10]} demonstrated 77\% mortality. This increased mortality was attributed to a higher incidence of cardiopulmonary arrest (91.5\%) at the scene. In a study of the pattern of injury and functional outcome after hanging,\textsuperscript{[6]} the overall mortality (33\%) was high with 14\% of patients being dead on arrival or dying immediately on admission to the hospital. The occurrence of permanent neurological damage or delayed neuropsychiatric sequelae among survivors remains the most feared outcome.\textsuperscript{[10]}

This work confirms the findings of previously published studies, namely that a lower admission GCS is predictive of a worse outcome.\textsuperscript{[13-15]} We are unable to benchmark the presenting severity of illness of this study as there are no published data looking at admission severity in these groups of patients. Both SOFA and APACHE II are affected by GCS and as the other physiological parameters were similar in both groups, it suggests that it is the lower GCS that is responsible for the elevation in the admission physiological scores. However, most patients showed rapid improvement in GCS following intensive care management and regained a GCS of 15/15 within 1 day of mechanical ventilation.

Several studies have shown that the sensorium at presentation was prognostically significant.\textsuperscript{[13-19]} In one study,\textsuperscript{[17]} admission GCS was an independent predictor of mortality similar to this study; however, another study\textsuperscript{[5]} observed that prognosis is not related to the initial state at presentation.

Although autopsy studies mention fracture and injury to cervical spine from hanging, none of the patients in this study had any cervical spine abnormality on CT imaging. One patient had fracture occiput, probably due to injury while being extracted. In one review,\textsuperscript{[5]} cervical spine injury was identified in only 4 out of 689 patients (0.6\%) with near hanging. Cervical spine injury may be more prominent in patients who do not reach ER alive. However, in several other studies,\textsuperscript{[6,15]} the incidence of cervical spine injury was low (5\% and 7\%).

Injuries to soft tissue structures of the neck, fracture of laryngeal cartilages and hyoid bone, cricotracheal separation have been reported.\textsuperscript{[17,18]} This study neither came across any such injuries nor any difficulty in intubation. Carotid artery dissection or occlusion causing stroke has been reported following suicidal hanging though this study did not demonstrate any.\textsuperscript{[19]} Pulmonary involvement following hanging is usually neurogenic or due to stress cardiomyopathy.\textsuperscript{[20]} The incidence of pulmonary oedema associated with airway obstruction has been estimated at 11\% in adults requiring active airway interventions, but we had none.\textsuperscript{[21-23]}

Most patients required short duration of mechanical ventilation and ICU stay which was consistent with

| Parameters                        | Non-survivors (\(n=11\)) | Survivors (\(n=95\)) | OR (95%CI) | \(P\) |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|------------|------|
| GCS \(\leq 7\)                    | 11                        | 58                    | 14.74 (0.84-257.70) | 0.0653 |
| GCS \(> 7\)                       | 0                         | 37                    |            |      |
| Admission APACHE II, median (IQR)| 29 (24-30)                | 21 (17-23)            | 12.1 (1.12-96.3)  | 0.001 |
| SOFA at 48hrs                     | 6 (4.25-8)                | 1 (0-2)               | 7.3 (1.09-49.4)  | 0.002 |

GCS – Glasgow Coma Scale; APACHE II – Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation II; IQR – Interquartile range; SOFA – Sequential organ failure assessment score; OR – Odds ratio; CI – Confidence interval
another study.[13] However, failure to improve rapidly, as suggested by the 48-h SOFA, was a marker of poor prognosis and this correlated with mortality.

This study represents one of the largest Indian data on suicidal hanging from an ICU. This study has few inherent limitations. Although it demonstrated that as a whole, the patients did well in terms of survival, long-term outcome data are of more relevance, but due to the retrospective nature of the study, we did not have a follow-up after hospital discharge and were also unable to assess any possible long-term cognitive dysfunction.

CONCLUSION

Suicidal hanging is associated with significant mortality. Predictors of poor outcome in our study were admission GCS, APACHE II and SOFA at 48 h. This study has helped in highlighting the fact that based on these predictors, patients who come to the hospital alive following suicidal hanging can have a good outcome if treated with appropriate, timely and aggressive care. Further studies focusing on long-term outcomes will help identify patients with neurological sequelae.
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