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Abstract

Objective: The objective was to assess the prevalence and risk factors of oral potentially malignant disorders (PMD) among industrial workers of Udupi taluk, Karnataka. Materials and Methods: The sample consisted of industrial workers aged >18 years from randomly selected industries in Udupi Taluk. A self-administered questionnaire was given to the participants to assess sociodemographic factors and abusive habits (Tobacco, Alcohol, and Betel quid) followed by clinical oral examination by single trained and calibrated examiner. Results: A total of 396 completed all steps of the survey and were included for analysis. A total of 14, 11.4, and 14.4% were tobacco, alcohol, and betel quid users, respectively. A total of 8.6% (n = 34) have at least one PMD. A significantly higher number of participants with single (11.4%) or combined habits (60.4%) had oral lesions while none of the participants without habits reported any oral lesions (P < 0.001). Conclusion: Prevalence of abusive habits and oral premalignant lesions or conditions was substantial among the workers. The cause and effect relationship and dose-response were also shown to be significantly associated. Prevention and early diagnosis through workplace screening are the major cornerstones for the control of oral cancer.
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Introduction

Oral cancer is an important component of the worldwide burden of cancer and is eighth most common cancer worldwide. It is generally accepted that oral cancer may arise from potentially malignant disorders (PMD). The use of PMD's conveys that not all lesions and conditions described under this term may transform to cancer, instead there is a family of morphological alterations among which some may have an increased potential for malignant transformation.[1]

The prevalence of oral PMD's and their malignant transformation rates vary globally. The prevalence of oral submucous fibrosis (OSMF) ranged from 0.4% to 1.2%[2] and the frequency of malignant transformation has been reported to vary from 7.6% to 40%.[3,4] The prevalence of leukoplakia varied from 0.2% to 4.9%[5] while Petti[6] summarized the global prevalence to be 2.6%. The malignant transformation rate of leukoplakia range from 3.6% to 17.5%.[7,9] Erythroplakia is not as common as leukoplakia and has an incidence of 0.02–0.83%.[10] Villa et al.,[11] reported the global mean prevalence of oral erythroplakia to be 0.11% (0.01–0.21%). All erythroplakia cases show some degree of epithelial dysplasia in which 51% were invasive squamous cell carcinoma, 40% were carcinoma in situ or severe epithelial dysplasia and 9% were mild-to-moderate dysplasia.[12] A malignant transformation rate of 14.3–66.7% was reported by Villa et al.,[11] A prevalence of 9.5% was reported for palatal lesions of reverse smokers and has been associated with a significant risk of malignant transformation.[13]

There is no single cause of oral cancer and it results from a variety of factors that operate over time and is dependent on each individual’s response to these factors. The most important risk factors are tobacco and alcohol consumption and up to 75% of oral cancers could be attributed to them. A causal role in the etiology of oral cancer has been established for tobacco use both smoking and chewing, separately, and in conjunction with betel quid chewing and alcohol drinking.[14–17]

Despite numerous advances in surgery, radiation, and chemotherapy, the 5-year survival rate for oral cancer has not improved significantly over the past several decades.[18] As survival is directly related to stage at diagnosis, prevention and early detection have the potential for decreasing the incidence and improving the survival rates.

Despite the fact that the oral cavity is accessible for visual examination, and oral cancers, and premalignant lesions have well-defined clinical diagnostic features, oral cancers are typically detected in their advanced stages. Oral cancer is a potentially preventable disease, but the lack of awareness coupled with a delay in diagnosis generally results in the presentation of these lesions in their advanced stages. In India, 60–80% of patients present with advanced stages of the disease as compared to 40% in developed countries.[19]

The screening for PMD’s helps in the early detection and intervention, which would substantially reduce the burden of illness for both individual and community. Several large population-based oral cancer screening programs have been carried out, either as opportunistic or as population-wide screenings which have confirmed the effectiveness of screening to detect oral PMD’s. A study from India demonstrated that oral cancer screening by trained health workers can lower mortality of the disease especially in individuals with a history of tobacco use.[20]

Industrial workers are known to be at risk of oral malignant and PMD’s due to exposure to potential risk factors such as smoking/chewing tobacco-related products and alcohol which may be due to the poor standard of living, lifestyle, socioeconomic status, increased stress.[21] Industrial workers who were employed during the day, may not visit the screening centers or be at home during the day of screening by the health care professionals. Hence, workplace screening programs for detection of oral malignant and premalignant lesions and conditions in these groups is suitable and cost-effective alternative measure.[22]

Using employees in different settings, oral cancer and precancer as well as related risk factors have been evaluated by a number
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of investigators.[23,24] Very few studies have been reported in the literature that have assessed the prevalence of PMD’s in industrial workers and no previous studies were conducted in Udupi taluk. Hence, we aimed to evaluate the prevalence and risk factors of PMD’s among industrial workers of Udupi taluk.

**Materials and Methods**

We conducted a study to assess the prevalence and risk factors of oral PMD in industrial workers in Udupi taluk from January to May, 2013. Udupi is one of the three taluks of Udupi district in the Southern Indian state of Karnataka, situated along the west coast of the Indian peninsula. The sample was selected from factories present in the industrial area in Udupi taluk.

The study was approved by Kasturba Hospital Ethics Committee, Kasturba Hospital, Manipal University, Manipal, India (IEC 41/2013). The list of industries in Udupi taluk area was made with information provided by the workers association (District Small scale Industrial Association, Udupi) after which appropriate permissions were obtained to conduct the screening of the employees. Only factories (n = 53) which provided permissions were approached. The workers who were present at the time of examination were invited to participate and were briefed about the purpose of the survey and informed consent was obtained for all the participants. Only subjects who were residents of Udupi taluk, aged ≥18 years, present at the time of examination were included in the study. The sample size was calculated to be a minimum of 384 subjects after assuming a prevalence of 50% with a precision of 90%.

A self-reported questionnaire was designed to assess the sociodemographic and behavioral factors like age, gender, religion, income, education, tobacco, alcohol, and betel quid usage (frequency per day and duration of usage). The utilization of dental services was assessed by asking the participant “Have you visited dentist before?” If yes, “when was the last dental visit?” and purpose of dental visit.

One trained and calibrated examiner conducted all clinical examinations with the trained recorder recording the observations. Before conducting the survey, training was carried out for the examiner and the recorder in Comprehensive Dental Care Centre of Department of Public Health Dentistry, Manipal.

All the subjects were asked to rinse their mouth prior to the examination. The examiner was blinded for the participant’s responses in the questionnaires. Two mouth mirrors were used for the examination. Digital palpation of the mucosa was done to gain an idea of the texture of the oral mucosa, using necessary precautions. Any participants wearing dentures were requested to remove them before starting the examination. A thorough oral examination was performed as per the guidelines.[25]

Following oral examination, the participants were provided oral health education pamphlets for raising their awareness on oral hygiene and ill effects of tobacco use. The participants who required dental treatment were given referral cards and were encouraged to avail free treatment at Manipal College of Dental Sciences, Manipal.

**Statistical analysis**

All the analysis was carried out using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS version 16.0, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). A P ≤ 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Age was categorized using median split procedure (≤40 and >40 years). Education and income of the participants were categorized based on modified Kuppuswamy scale.[26] Analysis of habits was done for individual habits (tobacco or alcohol or betel quid) and combined habits (tobacco + alcohol or alcohol + betel quid or tobacco + betel quid or all 3). Chi-square test was used compare categorical variables. The mean frequency per day and duration in years of tobacco, alcohol, and betel quid usage was compared using Mann–Whitney U-test.

**Results**

A total of 430 people were invited to participate in the study, out of which 396 (85.8%) completed all steps of the survey and were included for analysis. The mean age of the participants was 31.23 ± 9.78 years (18–70). A total of 204 (51.5%) were ≤40 years and 231 (58.3%) were males. Majority of the participants were Hindu by religion (66.4%) followed by Christians (22.7%). Almost half of the participants (46.5%) completed high school education with only a few participants having primary school (4.5%) and graduation (3%) education. Majority of the participants had income ranged between 4556 and 7593 Indian rupees (52.8%) [Table 1].

A total of 14, 11.4 and 14.4% were tobacco, alcohol, and betel quid users, respectively. Cigarette (38.6%) and Gutka (29.8%) were the most common type of tobacco consumed by the study participants. Smoking (49.1%) and smokeless (50.9%) forms of tobacco were almost equally prevalent among the study participants.

One-fourth of the study participants (23.2%) had some form of abusive habits. A total of 11.1 and 12.1% of the study participants had single habit (tobacco or alcohol or betel quid) and multiple combined habits (either tobacco + alcohol or alcohol + betel quid or tobacco + betel quid or all), respectively.

A total of 88.6% of the study participants had normal mucosa. Leukoplakia (3%) and OSMF (3.5%) were the

| Table 1: Comparison of sociodemographic characteristics of study participants with respect to oral PMD |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Sociodemographic characteristics** | **Oral mucosal condition** | **P** |
|                                | (n=365) | (n=34) |
| Age (years)               |         |        |        |
| ≤40                      | 189 (52.2) | 15 (44.1) | 0.367 |
| >40                      | 173 (47.8) | 19 (55.9) |
| Gender                  |         |        |        |
| Male                    | 198 (54.7) | 33 (97.1) | <0.001 |
| Female                  | 164 (45.3) | 1 (2.9) |        |
| Education               |         |        |        |
| Up to middle            | 78 (21.5) | 17 (50.0) | 0.001 |
| High                    | 175 (48.3) | 9 (26.5) |        |
| Intermediate and above  | 109 (30.1) | 8 (23.5) |        |
| Income (INR)            |         |        |        |
| 1521-4555               | 33 (9.1) | 2 (5.9) | 0.854 |
| 4556-7593               | 190 (52.5) | 19 (55.9) |        |
| 7597-11,361             | 96 (26.5) | 10 (29.4) |        |
| 11,362-15,187           | 43 (11.9) | 3 (8.8) |        |

PMD=Potentially malignant disorders, INR=Indian rupees
commonly prevalent mucosal conditions seen among the study participants followed by Smokers palate (1.8%) and Geographic tongue (1.5%). Very few participants had Frictional keratoses (0.8%) and Erythroplakia (0.3%). A total of 8.6% (n = 34) were reported to have PMD’s (Leukoplakia, Erythroplakia, OSMF, and Smokers palate) among the study participants. No significant difference was seen in the distribution of habits when compared with age (P = 0.569) and income (P = 0.096) categories. The prevalence of habits was significantly higher in males (94.6%) than females (5.4%) (P < 0.001). A significantly higher number of participants with habits had middle (38%) or high school (33.7%) when compared to participants without habits (P = 0.001).

No significant difference was seen in the distribution of participants in prevalence of PMD’s when compared with age (P = 0.367) and income (P = 0.854) categories. The prevalence of PMD’s was significantly higher in males (97.1%) than females (2.9%) (P < 0.001). A significantly higher number of participants with PMD’s had middle (50%) or high school (26.3%) when compared to participants without habits (P = 0.001). A significantly higher number of participants with single (11.4%) or combined habits (60.4%) had PMD’s while none of the participants without habits reported any PMD’s (P < 0.001) [Table 1].

The mean frequency of tobacco consumption per day and duration in years in participants with PMD’s was significantly higher as compared to with normal oral mucosa (P = 0.001 and P < 0.001), respectively. The mean mL of alcohol consumption per day was in participants with PMD’s was significantly higher as compared to participants with normal oral mucosa (P = 0.002 and 0.002), respectively. The mean number of years of betel quid consumption was 9.73 ± 8.82 in participants with PMD’s which was significantly higher as compared to 6.17 ± 5.95 in participants with normal oral mucosa (P = 0.04) [Table 2].

Discussion

Our study reported the prevalence of habits and PMD’s among the industrial workers of Udupi district. It was seen that the prevalence of habits and PMD’s was substantial among the workers. The cause and effect relationship and dose-response were also shown to be significantly associated.

Table 2: Comparison of frequency and duration of tobacco, alcohol and betel quid usage with respect to the condition of oral mucosa

| Type of habit | Oral mucosa | P MD | P | Normal |Mean SD |Mean SD | P |
|--------------|------------|------|-----|--------|--------|--------|-----|
| Tobacco      |            |      |     | Frequency/day | 2.47   | 2.33   | 5.44 | 4.96 | 0.001 |
|              |            |      |     | Mean number of years | 2.96 | 2.59 | 8.22 | 8.82 | <0.001 |
| Alcohol      |            |      |     | mL/day | 50.00  | 25.33  | 75.00 | 22.83 | 0.002 |
|              |            |      |     | Mean number of years | 4.12 | 4.49 | 7.50 | 5.35 | 0.002 |
| Betel quid   |            |      |     | Frequency/day | 4.47   | 4.93   | 6.42 | 5.49 | 0.119 |
|              |            |      |     | Mean number of years | 6.17 | 5.95 | 9.73 | 8.82 | 0.04 |

The overall prevalence of PMD’s was high (8.6%) in our study population. The prevalence of Leukoplakia was 3%, which was similar to studies reported earlier[25,27-29], whereas it was higher than studies reported by Reichart et al.[30] Bánóczy and Rigo[31]

The prevalence of OSMF was 3.5% which was higher than that reported in previous studies.[32] Erythroplakia was prevalent in 0.3% and was similar to that in the previous studies.[10,11,29] Overall, the prevalence of various PMD’s was almost similar to the previous studies reported but slight discrepancies were obtained due to differential habit prevalence and usage patterns.

Furthermore, our study was done in specified target population, which was different from other studies where sampling frame was from the general population. Hence, direct extrapolation of the result may not be feasible.

One-fourth of the study participants (23.2%) had some form of abusive habits. A total of 11.1 and 12.1% of the study participants had single habit (tobacco or alcohol or betel quid) and multiple combined habits, respectively.

Horowitz et al.[33] in their review stated that delays in identification and recognition of suspicious lesions are major contributors for advanced stage at diagnosis and poorer survival rates. They also highlighted that prevention and early diagnosis are major cornerstones for the control of oral and oropharyngeal cancer.

The need for continuing educational campaigns at various levels to educate the public about the risk factors and early signs/symptoms was also highlighted. Health care workers must be encouraged to perform oral cancer examinations as part of their patient care regime, and to be knowledgeable about early signs of oral cancer and premalignant disorders.

Workplace screening programs for detection of oral malignant and premalignant disorders in these groups is suitable and cost-effective alternative measure and emphasis should be given to the management of the industries for organizing regular screening of oral and general health.[32] Effective utilization of existing infrastructure and manpower through involvement of medical and dental colleges in the areas can also help in screening and early diagnosis. Industries should be promoted to ban sale and use of tobacco related products in around the workplace which would decrease the usage of the product and overall might reduce the disease prevalence and severity of the oral premalignant and malignant lesions.
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