Disordered photonics behavior from terahertz to ultraviolet of a three-dimensional graphene network
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Abstract
The diffusion of light by random materials is a general phenomenon that appears in many different systems, spanning from colloidal suspension in liquid crystals to disordered metal sponges and paper composed of random fibers. Random scattering is also a key element behind mimicry of several animals, such as white beetles and chameleons. Here, random scattering is related to micro and nanosized spatial structures affecting a broad electromagnetic region. In this work, we have investigated how random scattering modulates the optical properties, from terahertz to ultraviolet light, of a novel functional material, i.e., a three-dimensional graphene (3D Graphene) network based on interconnected high-quality two-dimensional graphene layers. Here, random scattering generates a high-frequency pass-filter behavior. The optical properties of these graphene structures bridge the nanoworld into the macroscopic world, paving the way for their use in novel optoelectronic devices.

Introduction
Due to the advances of nanotechnology and the discovery of various 2D functional materials, in recent years there has been a growing interest for extending their extraordinary properties to three-dimensional (3D) ordered and disordered structures.

This is particularly true for graphene, since its unique electrical1–5, thermal6, and optical properties7–12 can be extended into 3D structures by stacking high-quality monolayer graphene sheets into a wide variety of three-dimensional networks13–15. These 3D structures show performances beyond 2D graphene devices, ranging from supercapacitors16–28, lithium batteries29–40 and electrocatalysts41–51, to photodetectors52–54, biochemical applications55–57, and transistors58,59. Dirac plasmonic behaviors have also been observed in 3D nanoporous graphene. Plasmon absorption can be modulated by changing both the chemical doping and the pore size60. Moreover, through the photo/thermoacoustic effect, 3D Graphene sponges provide an efficient transduction of light into sound, covering a very broad acoustic emission range from infrasound (few Hz) to deep ultrasound (few MHz)61–63. Despite those broad applications, very little information is known about the light interaction with 3D graphene structures, where the network composed of pores and branches is expected to interact collectively with a broadband portion of the electromagnetic spectrum64.

In this work, we measure the optical properties of undoped and nitrogen-doped 3D porous graphene networks over a broadband spectral range, going from Terahertz (THz) to ultraviolet (UV), demonstrating optical high-pass filtering of these structures mainly determined by random scattering effects. The transmission of light
across a random network, composed of branches and pores, depends both on the 3D morphology of the system and on the optical properties of the supporting material. Variability in the pore size induces changes in the system connectivity, and thus in the propagation and scattering of light at various wavelengths. Through the study of the broadband transmission of graphene networks, we emphasize their emergent complex optical properties which can be extended to other 2D functional materials.

**Results and discussion**

In this paper, we measured two nanoporous graphene samples composed of high-quality single layer graphene 65, both with an average pore size of \( \approx 100(\pm50) \) μm. A first sample (S1) is undoped, while the second (S2) has been doped with nitrogen and shows a DC conductivity of approximately 70 S/cm, nearly a factor 140 larger than the undoped conductivity (0.5 S/cm). Both samples have a thickness of approximately 1 mm and are composed on average of 12 graphene layers. Their 3D structures were characterized by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and optical microscopy. A third sample (S3) with an average pore size of \( \approx 80(\pm50) \) μm is presented in the Supplementary Information (SI) 65. Their 3D structures have been characterized by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and optical microscopy. A macroscopic optical image of the undoped sample S1 is shown in Fig. 1a, while a SEM image is shown in Fig. 1b. The optical image of a branch is finally shown in Fig. 1c, where a roughness of hundreds of nanometers related to interconnected graphene layers can be observed. Similar structures are found for doped sample S2 (see “Methods” and Fig. S5 in SI65 for a technical discussion) for two different wavelengths: 780 nm (\( \sim 13,000 \) cm\(^{-1} \)), falling in the broadband transmittance plateau, and at 7 μm (\( \sim 1400 \) cm\(^{-1} \)), on the transmittance rising edge (see Fig. 2). Here, one measures the scattered light intensity in the far-field at a fixed distance (30 cm) with respect to the sample position (see Fig. 3a), scanning different horizontal (\( \Theta \)) and vertical (\( \Phi \)) angular positions. As scattering shows a cylindrical symmetry (\( \Theta=\Phi \)), in the following we will discuss only the horizontal \( \Theta \) dependence. A 0.5 mm pinhole located in front of the detector provides a 0.1° sampling of \( \Theta \).

Figure 3b shows the \( \Theta \) dependent scattered intensities for the incident and transmitted beams of S1 sample, normalized to the zero angle incident signal. Similar results were measured for the S2 samples. Incident intensities at 7 μm (light blue diamonds) and 780 nm (blue stars) can be described by the same Gaussian function and its angle distribution reflects the intrinsic laser beam divergence. The transmitted intensities are instead distributed at larger angles, suggesting a valuable contribution from scattering. Indeed, above nearly 1.5° angle, the 7 μm transmitted intensity (yellow diamonds) reaches a higher plateau of approximately one order of magnitude larger than the 780 nm signal (red stars). This suggests an increasing role of scattering with decreasing frequency.

To link the transmittance values at 780 nm and 7 μm as shown in Fig. 2 to the goniophotometric data (Fig. 3b), we need to integrate the latter over the horizontal and vertical scattering angles. Given the scattering cylindrical symmetry (\( \Theta=\Phi \)), the integrated transmittance \( T(\Theta, \lambda) \), up to an angle \( \Theta \) and as a function of wavelength \( \lambda \), will take the form

\[
T(\Theta, \lambda) = \frac{\int I_T(s, \lambda) ds}{\int I_0(s, \lambda) ds}
\]

where \( I_T \) and \( I_0 \) are, respectively, the transmitted and incident intensities as a function of wavelength and at a
specific surface section \( s \) (identified by the same angular deviation), and the integral spans over the surface \( S(\Theta) \) scanned by the detector (Fig. 3a). The values for \( T(\Omega, \lambda) \) are shown in Fig. 3c, where the integrated transmittance values for the 780 nm and 7 \( \mu \)m wavelengths converge to the corresponding results of Fig. 2 at approximately 5°. This angle is in good agreement with the collection angle of the Bruker Vertex interferometer (~5°) used for acquiring the transmittance data of Fig. 2. From the data of Fig. 3b, one can extract the fraction of scattered light \( I_s(\theta) \) vs. \( \theta \) as:

\[
I_s(\theta) = I_T(\theta) - I_0(\theta)T(\theta = 0)
\]

where

\[
T(\theta = 0) = \frac{I_T(\theta = 0)}{I_0(\theta = 0)}
\]

is the transmittance at normal incidence used as a reference value for the unscattered light across the beam waist. The result for the scattered intensity is shown in Fig. 3d, after being normalized by the total transmitted intensity \( I_T \) at any angle. This figure suggests a rapid increase in the scattering contribution to the angle-resolved transmittance, reaching its peak value (100% of scattered light) at nearly 1° angle. The slightly more rapid increase at 7 \( \mu \)m, with respect to 780 nm, suggests a stronger scattering effect at higher wavelengths, particularly toward the THz range.

Goniophotometric results highlight how scattering plays the major role in setting the transmittance value at both 780 nm and 7 \( \mu \)m. The nearly one order of
magnitude difference in the scattered wavelengths suggests a spatial multisize scattering effect in the 3D graphene sample. These spatial scales can be recognized (see Fig. 1b, c) in the pore structures (tens to hundreds of microns), which act as scattering centers for THz and far-infrared (FIR) radiation, and branch surface roughness (hundreds of nanometers) which probably plays the major role in the transmittance at infrared and visible wavelengths.

This multisize nature of the scatterers should translate into a strong wavelength dependence of the photon scattering mean free path ($l_s$). To estimate $l_s$, we describe graphene samples as random medium slabs where light propagates between the opposite faces through a combination of scattering and absorption processes. Due to multiple scattering processes, one expects interference effects to be negligible inside the materials, and the semi-classical theory of radiative transfer$^{68-71}$ can be used.

Transmittance of a disordered slab

The theory of radiative transfer$^{68,69}$ describes a medium where the free propagation of energy is randomly interrupted by scattering processes, changing the propagation direction and causing loss of energy. In this theory the central quantity is the light intensity $I(r, t, \hat{s})$, being the flux per unit solid angle at position $r$ and time $t$, in the direction $\hat{s}$. In a macroscopic isotropic medium such as 3D graphene samples investigated here, scattering structures such as pores and interconnected layers (see Fig. 1b, c) have equal probability to diffuse light forward or backward the initial propagation direction, as shown by the goniophotometric data presented in SI$^{65}$. By taking this direction to be along $z$ (see Fig. 4a) and considering the graphene network as a slab between the planes $z=0$ and $z=L$ (see Fig. 4a), the radiative transfer equation for stationary monochromatic light at position $z$ and with a wavevector direction $\hat{s}$, describing the evolution of the intensity due to scattering and absorption, takes the form$^{68}

\frac{dI}{dz} = -I(z, \mu) + \frac{I'}{l}I(z)

(4)

where $l' = l_s l_a / (l_s + l_a)$ ($l_s$ is the mean free path for scattering and $l_a$ the absorption length), $\mu \equiv \cos \theta$, with $\theta$...
being the angle between $\hat{s}$ and the $z$ axis, and $T(z) = \frac{1}{2} \int d\mu(z, \mu)$. Assuming the reflectance at the slab interfaces to be zero, the boundary conditions for $\mu > 0$ become

$$I(0, \mu) = I_0(\mu), \quad I(L, -\mu) = 0$$

where $I_0$ is the incident intensity. As described in SI65, the solutions to this differential problem can be simplified by keeping only the first expansion terms in the multi-scattering processes. In particular, the transmittance along the forward direction (the physical quantity we actually measure), $T(L, 1) = I(L, 1)/I_0$, of the zero and two-scattering processes is

$$T(L, 1) = e^{-L/l_a} e^{-L/l_z} \left[ 1 + \frac{L}{2l_s} + \ldots \right]$$ (5)

This equation can be further simplified by assuming that absorption can be neglected. Indeed, due to the similarity of the measured transmittance between undoped S1 and doped S2 samples (see Fig. 2), one expects that most of the light in these samples is diffused. Thus, the $e^{-L/l_a}$ term can be omitted by taking $l_a \to \infty$, i.e., zero absorption. As can be seen in Eq. 5, the remaining term $L/2l_z \cdot e^{-L/l_z}$ describes the contribution to transmittance coming from the two-scattering paths, which adds to the unscattered photon element $e^{-L/l_z}$ (Fig. 4a). From the measured transmittance of the undoped sample S1 (similar results can be obtained from S2), one can extract the fundamental scattering parameter, i.e., the scattering mean free path $l_z$. Its value can be obtained by inverting numerically Eq. 5 up to the third order. The result is shown in Fig. 4b where $l_z$ is plotted from UV (200 nm) to THz (1 cm). While $l_z$ is nearly flat below 1 µm, indicating that most of VIS and UV photons are scarcely scattered, it strongly decreases approaching the infrared and terahertz region. Here, scattering processes by the network pores and branches play a major role, inducing a progressive opaqueness in agreement with the transmittance data.

The radiative transfer model was validated by an independent experiment. Indeed, through goniophotometric measurements at a wavelength of 780 nm, extended up to a scattering angle of 170° and combined with a Monte Carlo analysis (for the complete discussion see SI65), we calculate the scattering length at the same wavelength. The result is shown in Fig. 4b by a black dot, which is in very good agreement with the estimation (blue curve) based on the radiative transfer equation.

**Conclusions**

In this work, for the first time, we have measured the optical properties of technologically important 3D graphene networks, whose outstanding physical and chemical properties find applications in many fields of basic and applied research13–15,54,60–62. We have shown how the connectivity and morphology of these materials allow a broadband interaction with light, modifying the transmitted intensity from terahertz to ultraviolet. In particular, the 3D graphene networks behave like a high-pass optical filter due to spatially multiscale random scatterers, corresponding to pores and graphene branches in the 3D network. We have developed a model based on the radiative transfer theory describing the interaction of the network with light, from which we have estimated the photon scattering mean free path. These experimental results prove that 3D graphene represents a novel disordered photonic material sustaining elastic...
scattering, analogous to some nanofibrils found in biological systems.\textsuperscript{72,73}

In this general context, 3D graphene networks open new possibilities to study complex light-matter interaction in random materials. Indeed, analogous to disordered systems appearing in nature\textsuperscript{72}, new fabrication processes may produce graphene 3D structures going beyond the Gaussian random scattering paradigm, diffusing light at selected wavelengths or angular distributions, and paving the way for applications in several research and industrial fields.

**Methods**

**Synthesis of porous graphene and N-doped porous graphene**

The 3D graphene structures were prepared through a porous Ni-based chemical vapor deposition (CVD) growing technique as reported in the work of Ito et al.\textsuperscript{41,42,47,74} Porous Ni was loaded into a quartz tube (external diameter 26 mm × internal diameter 22 mm × 250 mm length) as an inner tube which was further inserted into the center of a larger quartz tube (external diameter 30 mm × internal diameter 27 mm × 1000 mm length) in the open-box-type furnace. Ni was annealed at 1000 °C for 10 min to clean the Ni surface under a mixed atmosphere of H\textsubscript{2} (100 sccm) and Ar (200 sccm). Subsequently, graphene/chemically doped graphene was grown at 800 °C for 10 min under a mixed atmosphere of H\textsubscript{2} (100 sccm), Ar (200 sccm), benzene (0.1 mbar, 99.8%, anhydrous) and/or pyridine (0.2 mbar, 99.8%, anhydrous) as graphene precursors. The furnace was quickly opened and the inner and outer quartz tubes were cooled with a fan down to room temperature. The Ni substrates were dissolved in a 1.0 M HCl solution for four days and then etched at 50 °C in a 1.5 M HCl solution for 1 day. The resulting graphenes on the solution were repeatedly exchanged with pure water five times and kept on the water for 2 days. Then, they were transferred into 2-propanol for 1 week to dry them with times and kept on the water for 2 days. Then, they were transferred to a glass bottle (volume: 5 mL) filled with 2-propanol (400 µL), which was then placed in an 80 mL pressure-resistant container (TAIATSU Techno Corp.). After removing the air inside the container through gaseous CO\textsubscript{2} purging, the pressure of the container was gradually increased to 15 MPa by introducing liquid CO\textsubscript{2} (5 MPa, 4 °C, density of 0.964 g/mL) at a flow rate of 20 mL/min (19 g/min) using a high-pressure plunger pump (NIHON SEIMITSU KAGAKU Co. Ltd, NP-KX-540). The scCO\textsubscript{2} drying process was carried out at 70 °C, with a constant CO\textsubscript{2} flow rate of 5 mL/min (4.8 g/min), by forming a homogeneous phase of 2-propanol and scCO\textsubscript{2} to minimize the capillary force. The pressure was maintained at 15 MPa during the drying procedure for at least 5 h. Once completely dried, the temperature of the system was set at 40 °C and it was gradually depressurized over 43 h, from 15 MPa down to atmospheric pressure, by gradually venting CO\textsubscript{2} from the system.

**Characterizations**

In this paper, we measured two nanoporous graphene samples, both with an average pore size of ≈100 µm. The first sample (S1) is undoped, while the second (S2) is doped with nitrogen (see SI\textsuperscript{65} for Raman characterization). The samples have a thickness of approximately 1 mm and are composed on average of 12 graphene layers. The 3D structures were characterized by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and optical microscopy. The SEM images were collected with a MINI-SEM SNE-3200M, operating at an accelerating voltage of 20 kV and with a magnification factor of ×150. Optical images of 3D graphene branches (Fig. 1c), at ×150 magnification, have instead been acquired through a JASCO NR5100 microscope. The graphene resistivities were measured by a standard four-probe method using a semiconductor parameter analyzer (Keysight B1500A) at room temperature. The sample was placed on a SiO\textsubscript{2}/Si (SiO\textsubscript{2}: 300 nm) substrate. The electrode was fabricated using Cu wires and Ag epoxy (H20E, Epoxy Technology). S1 shows a DC conductivity of approximately 0.5 S/cm, while S2 of approximately 70 S/cm, which was nearly a factor 140 larger than the undoped value.

Chemical analyses of the graphene samples were conducted by using an X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS, AXIS ultra DLD, Shimazu) with Al K\textalpha{} using an X-ray monochromator (see S1\textsuperscript{65}). The samples were pasted on a sample holder without any supports. Raman spectra were recorded by using a micro-Raman spectrometer (Renishaw InVia Reflex 532) with an incident wavelength of 532.5 nm. All samples show a similar Raman spectrum as shown in Fig. S2, S4 whose corresponding frequencies are reported in Table S1. The laser power was set at 0.1 mW to avoid possible damage or unexpected reduction by laser irradiation.

The transmission of light through the 3D graphene samples was measured using several different spectroscopic setups to span a very broad frequency range, from THz to UV. Transmittance T(\omega{}) measurements, from 1 to 70 cm\textsuperscript{−1}, was performed through a THz time-domain spectrometer (THz-TDS) based on photoconductive (Hamamatsu Photonics) antennas. From 50 to 5000 cm\textsuperscript{−1}, instead, a Vertex 70 v FTIR broadband
interferometer was used, while the interval from 3000 to 50000 cm\(^{-1}\) has been spanned through a JASCO v-770 IR-UV spectrometer. Data obtained from these measurements were merged to obtain a single broadband transmittance curve, one for each sample (Fig. 2). Moreover, the transmittance of a single polygon of the 3D network was also analyzed through a Vertex70 V FT-IR interferometer coupled with an Hyperion3000 IR microscope equipped with a 64x64 pixel Focal Plane Array MCT detector.

A goniophotometric technique has been used for measuring the light scattered at different angles with respect to the incident light. In this work, we present scattering data at two different wavelengths, 780 nm and 7 µm, obtained through a TOPTICA FemtoFiber Pro and a collinear difference frequency generator (DFG) from Light Conversion, respectively. Laser beams of comparable power (few mW), scattered across the graphene samples, are collected at various angles after being filtered by a 0.5 mm radius metallic pinhole at the detection point. The detection setup is based on an NIR photodiode (Thorlabs) and a Gentec pyroelectric, for 780 nm and 7 µm sources, respectively. The distance between the sample and the detector is 30 cm at every angle. With this geometry, an angle resolution better than 0.1° is possible. The measurements were thus taken with a minimum scan step of 0.1°. The laser repetition rate and the detected signal are sent to a lock-in amplifier to increase the signal-to-noise ratio and dynamic range of the measurements.
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