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Occurrence of *Clostridium perfringens* contamination in poultry feed ingredients: Isolation, identification and its antibiotic sensitivity pattern
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Abstract

This work has been undertaken to study the occurrence of *Clostridium perfringens* contamination in the poultry feed ingredients and find out its *in-vitro* antibiotic sensitivity pattern to various antimicrobial drugs. Two hundred and ninety-eight poultry feed ingredient samples received at Poultry Disease Diagnosis and Surveillance Laboratory, Namakkal, Tamil Nadu in South India were screened for the presence of *C. perfringens*. The organisms were isolated in *Perfringens* agar under anaerobic condition and subjected to standard biochemical tests for confirmation. *In vitro* antibiogram assay has been carried out to determine the sensitivity pattern of the isolates to various antimicrobial drugs. One hundred and one isolates of *C. perfringens* were obtained from a total of 298 poultry feed ingredient samples. Overall positivity of 33.89% could be made from the poultry feed ingredients. Highest level of *C. perfringens* contamination was detected in fish meal followed by bone meal, meat and bone meal and dry fish. Anti-biogram assay indicated that the organisms are highly sensitive to gentamicin (100%), chlortetra-cycline (96.67%), gatifloxacin (93.33%), ciprofloxacin (86.67%), ofloxacin (86.67%) and lincomycin (86.67%). All the isolates were resistant to penicillin-G. Feed ingredients rich in animal proteins are the major source of *C. perfringens* contamination.

© 2017, Chinese Association of Animal Science and Veterinary Medicine. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of KeAi Communications Co., Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Poultry industry is one of the fastest growing sectors in India. As per the report from the Ministry of Agriculture, Govt. of India, the average growth rate in egg production and broiler production is 8% and 14% per annum, respectively. Various sources of environmental pollutants and microbes are contaminating poultry produce and animal feed (D’Mello, 2004). There are different modes of pathogen transmission in poultry diseases. Transmission of pathogens via the contamination of feed and feed ingredients causes infections in birds thereby leading to low production performance and economic losses. There are many microbes, including *Clostridium perfringens*, spread through contaminated feed (Tessari et al., 2014).

*C. perfringens* is a Gram positive, anaerobic, spore-forming, rod shaped bacterium. It is ubiquitous in nature and can be found as a normal component of soil, contaminated food, decaying vegetation, marine sediment, intestinal tract of birds and poultry litter. The *C. perfringens* type A causes necrotic enteritis (NE) in poultry (Longo et al., 2010; Opengart and Songer, 2013; Moore, 2015), the acute form of the disease causes high mortality in broiler birds.
produce anaerobiosis. Then the tubes were incubated under anaerobic condition at 38 to 40 °C for 14 to 24 h. The suspected colony from \textit{Perfringens} agar was streaked on 10% egg yolk agar. Smears made as they were incubated anaerobic condition at 37 °C for 24 to 48 h. A loopful of inoculum from the broth was streaked into \textit{Perfringens} agar plates with supplements (\textit{Perfringens} supplement I Sodium sulphadiazine), \textit{Perfringens} supplement II (Oleandomycin phosphate and Polymyxin B sulphate) (Himedia, Mumbai) and incubated under anaerobic condition at 37 °C for 24 to 48 h. The plates were observed for the growth of characteristic colonies of \textit{C. perfringens}. The suspected colonies were subjected to Gram's staining and biochemical tests for identification and confirmation.

2.3. Stormy fermentation test

Stormy fermentation test as described by Tessari et al. (2014) was performed with slight modifications to identify gas and acid production by \textit{C. perfringens}. The suspected colony from \textit{Perfringens} agar was inoculated in 5 mL of litmus milk medium. One millilitre of liquid paraffin was added over the medium to form a layer to produce anaerobiosis. Then the tubes were incubated under anaerobic condition at 37 °C for 14 to 24 h.

2.4. Lecithinase test

The suspected colony from \textit{Perfringens} agar was streaked on 10% egg yolk agar plate. Then the plates were incubated under anaerobic condition at 37 °C for 24 h.

2.5. Antibiogram assay

Disc diffusion method as described by Bauer et al. (1966) was performed to determine the sensitivity pattern of \textit{C. perfringens} isolates to various antibiotics. A total of 30 isolates of \textit{C. perfringens} were subjected to \textit{in-vitro} antibiogram assay. The antibiotic discs (Himedia, Mumbai) used in this study were gentamicin (30 mcg), ciprofloxacin (5 mcg), gentamicin (30 mcg), co-trimoxazole (1.25/23.75 mcg), penicillin G (2 IU), neomycin (10 mcg), ofloxacin (5 mcg), chlorotetracycline (30 mcg), lincomycin (15 mcg) and bacitracin (10 units).

3. Results

A total number of 101 \textit{C. perfringens} isolates were obtained from the 298 feed samples screened. The isolates were confirmed as they produced saccharolytic reaction in Robertson's cooked meat medium in Brain Heart Infusion Broth and typical black line over the roughed edged white colonies on \textit{Perfringens} agar. Smears made from individual colonies revealed Gram positive, spore forming and large sized rods by Gram staining. The isolates produced typical stormy fermentation reaction in litmus milk medium and they also produced a zone of opalescence around the colonies in egg yolk agar (Fig. 1). Thus, the isolates were identified as \textit{C. perfringens} on the basis of their cultural, morphological and biochemical characteristics.

Among the 101 \textit{C. perfringens} isolates obtained from the feed samples the overall positivity was 33.89% (Table 1). The highest level of \textit{C. perfringens} contamination was observed in fish meal (55.26%) followed by bone meal (44.83%), meat and bone meal (42.86%) and dry fish (38.46%).

The antibiotic sensitivity pattern (Fig. 2) of 30 isolates of \textit{C. perfringens} shown in Table 2. The isolates were highly sensitive to gentamicin (100%), chlorotetracycline (96.67%), gatifloxacin (93.33%), ciprofloxacin (86.67%), ofloxacin (86.67%) and lincomycin (86.67%). A low degree of susceptibility was observed to neomycin (20%), co-trimoxazole (6.67%) and bacitracin (6.67%). All the isolates were highly resistant to penicilllin-G.

4. Discussion

The presence of \textit{C. perfringens} in feed is directly correlated with the level of faecal and soil contamination (Wojdat et al., 2006). High protein contents in poultry feed seems to increase the incidence of \textit{C. perfringens} infection. Animal protein ingredients such as fishmeal or meat and bone meal in poultry feed increased the risk of necrotic enteritis in poultry (Kocher, 2003; Wu et al., 2014).
due to the very low number of samples tested, it is difficult to draw a solid conclusion to say that vegetable protein sources in general have low C. perfringens contamination.

Table 1
Isolation of Clostridium perfringens from various poultry feed ingredients.

| No. | Sample details            | C. perfringens contamination | Total number of samples | Positivity percentage, % |
|-----|---------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
|     |                           | Positive | Negative |                  |                          |
| 1   | Meat and bone meal        | 39       | 52       | 91              | 42.86                    |
| 2   | Bone meal                 | 13       | 16       | 29              | 44.83                    |
| 3   | Soya meal                 | 0        | 3        | 3               | 0                        |
| 4   | Rape seed meal            | 0        | 1        | 1               | 0                        |
| 5   | Fish meal                 | 21       | 17       | 38              | 55.26                    |
| 6   | Layer Feed                | 21       | 72       | 93              | 22.58                    |
| 7   | Chicken meal              | 2        | 20       | 22              | 9.09                     |
| 8   | Dry fish                  | 5        | 8        | 13              | 38.46                    |
| 9   | De oiled rice bran        | 0        | 2        | 2               | 0                        |
| 10  | Dried milk powder         | 0        | 1        | 1               | 0                        |
| 11  | Probiotic supplement      | 0        | 3        | 3               | 0                        |
| 12  | Maize                     | 0        | 2        | 2               | 0                        |
|     | Total                      | 101      | 197      | 298             | 33.89                    |

![Image](Image61x346 to 275x543)

Fig. 2. Antibiotic sensitivity pattern of Clostridium perfringens in Mueller Hinton agar.

Out of 298 poultry feed ingredients analysed, C. perfringens was detected in 33.89% of samples. The highest level of contamination with C. perfringens was observed in fish meal (55.26%) followed by bone meal (44.83%), meat and bone meal (42.86%) and dry fish (38.46%) and all of these are high protein meals of animal origin. The lowest level of C. perfringens contamination was noticed in vegetable protein sources soya meal, maize and rape seed meal. But due to the very low number of samples tested, it is difficult to draw a solid conclusion to say that vegetable protein sources in general have low C. perfringens contamination.

Table 2
In-vitro antibiotic sensitivity pattern of Clostridium perfringens to various antibiotics.

| Name of the antibiotic | Disc content, mcg | Number of isolates showing sensitivity | Sensitivity, % |
|------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------|
| Gatifloxacin           | 30                | 28                                    | 93.33         |
| Ciprofloxacin          | 5                 | 26                                    | 86.67         |
| Gentamicin             | 30                | 30                                    | 100           |
| Co-trimoxazole         | 1.25/23.75        | 2                                    | 6.67          |
| Penicillin G           | 2 IU              | 0                                    | 0             |
| Neomycin               | 10                | 6                                    | 20            |
| Ofloxacin              | 5                 | 26                                    | 86.67         |
| Chlorotetracycline     | 30                | 29                                    | 96.67         |
| Lincomycin             | 15                | 26                                    | 86.67         |
| Bacitracin             | 10 units          | 2                                    | 6.67          |

Similar to the present study, several studies have been carried out to determine C. perfringens contamination in poultry feed ingredients. Richardson (2008) found similar levels of C. perfringens contamination in different poultry feed ingredients. Wojdat et al. (2006) detected C. perfringens in 38% of the ingredients used in broiler feed production, with the highest level of contamination in fish meal and meat meal. Schocken-Iturrino et al. (2010) observed 42% of broiler feed samples were contaminated by C. perfringens.

The current study found that fish meal, bone meal and meat and bone meal were the important sources of C. perfringens contamination. Since C. Perfringens is a normal micro flora of soil, contamination of raw feed materials with soil, dust or from workers during drying is practically unavoidable (Mcclane, 2004).

The antibiotic sensitivity pattern in this study revealed the C. perfringens isolates were 100% sensitive to Gentamicin followed by 96.67% to Chlortetracycline, 93.33% to gatifloxacin, 86.67% to ciprofloxacin, 86.67% to ofloxacin and 86.67% to lincomycin. A low level of susceptibility was observed to neomycin (20%), cotrimazine (6.67%) and bacitracin (6.67%). All the isolates were resistant to penicillin-G. Algammal and Elifeil (2015) found 100% resistance of C. perfringens to Neomycin which is a commonly used antimicrobial drug to treat bacterial enteritis in poultry. But in our study 20% of isolates showed sensitivity to Neomycin. Sulfonamide-Trimethoprim is another drug commonly used for the treatment of respiratory diseases in poultry. In this study only 6.67% of the isolates were sensitive to Co-Trimoxazole and agrees with the findings of Llanco et al. (2012) and Eldin et al. (2015).

In our study, none of the isolates was shown sensitivity to Penicillin-G. Where as, Algammal and Elifeil (2015) and Gad et al. (2011) recorded a high level of sensitivity of C. perfringens to Penicillin. The difference in the pattern of sensitivity as resistant to Penicillin-G might be due to indiscriminate use of the drug in poultry industry.

5. Conclusion

C. perfringens contamination was found to be high in animal protein sources used in poultry feed under tropical climatic conditions. The highest level of C. perfringens contamination was detected in fish meal followed by bone meal, meat and bone meal and dry fish purchased from different sources. The C. perfringens isolates showed varying degree of sensitivity to commonly used antibiotics like Gentamicin, Chlortetracycline, Lincomycin and...
Fluoroquinolones and resistance to Penicillin-G. This study also further warns that extensive surveillance of *C. perfringens* in poultry feed ingredients and formulate suitable alternative strategies to control this organism in poultry feed.
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