Serum EA-IgA and \(\beta\)-dimer, but not VCA-IgA, are associated with prognosis in patients with nasopharyngeal carcinoma: a meta-analysis
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Abstract

Background: Patients with nasopharyngeal cancer (NPC) differ in prognosis, even at the same stage; therefore, new biomarkers are urgently required to identify early-stage NPC patients at high risk of poor prognosis. Although Epstein–Barr virus (EBV) DNA has been used for prognosis, the value of many other biomarkers expressed during the infection cycle of EBV remains unclarified. This study aimed to evaluate the prognostic potential of EA-IgA, VCA-IgA and \(\beta\)-dimer in patients with NPC.

Methods: Electronic databases, including PubMed, Embase and Web of Science, were searched up to February 1, 2021. Pooled data were extracted from studies that evaluated the relationship between NPC and overall survival (OS), distant metastasis-free survival (DMFS) or disease-free survival (DFS) and then were subjected to a meta-analysis.

Results: Nine studies with 5729 patients were included in this meta-analysis. In patients with NPC, EA-IgA levels significantly predicted OS (HR = 1.63, 95% CI 1.07–2.48). \(\beta\)-Dimer levels significantly predicted OS (HR = 1.75, 95% CI 1.24–2.47) and DMFS (HR = 1.91, 95% CI 1.31–2.79). However, high levels of VCA-IgA were not associated with OS (HR = 1.24, 95% CI 0.95–1.60), DMFS (HR = 1.41, 95% CI 0.92–2.17) or DFS (HR = 2.39, 95% CI 0.78–7.26).

Conclusions: The present findings reveal that EA-IgA and \(\beta\)-dimer, but not VCA-IgA, can be used as prognostic biomarkers in NPC.
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Background

Nasopharyngeal carcinoma (NPC) is a common epithelial carcinoma arising from the nasopharyngeal mucosal lining. In comparison with other cancers, NPC is characterized by an unbalanced global geographic distribution and a high incidence in East and Southeast Asia [1].

Despite improvements in treatment options, patients with advanced-stage NPC still have a poor prognosis. As early-stage NPC exhibits non-specific signs and symptoms, most patients present with an advanced stage of NPC at diagnosis. Recurrence and distant metastasis represent the leading causes of NPC-related death [2]. To date, the diagnosis and prognosis of NPC are determined mainly on the basis of the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) staging system.
on Cancer (AJCC) staging system [3]. However, patients with NPC differ in prognosis, even at the same stage [4]. Therefore, prognostic indicators with higher specificity and sensitivity remain to be discovered and applied to the diagnosis and individualized treatment of patients with NPC. In this context, there is an urgent need for new biomarkers to identify early-stage NPC patients at high risk of poor prognosis.

Accumulating evidence suggests that NPC is strongly associated with Epstein–Barr virus (EBV) infection [5]. EBV-DNA is currently recognized as a prognostic indicator of nasopharyngeal carcinoma [6, 7]. Many antibodies are expressed during the infection cycle of EBV; their use as prognostic markers for nasopharyngeal carcinoma remains controversial. The protein fragment d-dimer is an important prognostic marker for other tumors, but its role in NPC has not been well clarified.

The value of anti-EBV IgA antibodies (e.g., EA-IgA, VCA-IgA) and other EBV-related antibodies for NPC diagnosis has been reported [8]. Our previous work indicates that EBV-DNA and EBV-related antibodies have diagnostic value [9]. Further to our previous report, this meta-analysis was conducted to shed light on the prognostic value of these biomarkers in NPC patients through a literature search of published studies, data extraction, quality assessment and statistical processing.

Methods
The data in this meta-analysis were presented strictly in accordance with the PRISMA reporting guidelines [10].

Data sources and literature search strategy
Two independent investigators performed systematic searches of online databases, including PubMed, Embase, and Web of Science, for articles published up to February 1, 2021. The following search strategy was used: (nasopharyngeal and (cancer or tumor or carcinoma or neoplasm) and (EBV-DNA or ‘Epstein Barr virus’ or ‘capsid antigen-IgA’ or ‘early antigen antibody’ or ‘nuclear antigen antibody’ or ‘BRLF1 transcription activator IgG’ or EA-IgA or VCA-IgA or Rta-IgG or EBNA1-IgA or d-dimer) and (prognosis or survival or mortality)). The articles were first screened by title and abstract. Next, duplicate articles and those not published in English were eliminated. Finally, all extracted data from the selected articles were synthesized for analysis.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria
The inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) the serum antibody levels of biomarkers were determined using enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay; (2) the recorded data included biomarkers and survival outcomes such as overall survival (OS), disease-free survival (DFS), progression-free survival (PFS), metastasis-free survival (MFS), local–regional failure survival (LRFS), relapse-free survival (RFS), and distant metastasis-free survival (DMFS). Studies published as case reports, conference abstracts, correspondence, or reviews were excluded.

Data extraction and quality assessment
The data extracted from the articles included study characteristics (first author, publication year, country of origin, total number of patients, gender, and age); cut-off values of biomarkers, tumor–node–metastasis classification (TNM) stage, and treatment plan; survival outcomes (including OS, DFS, PFS, MFS, LRFS, RFS, and DMFS); and statistical evaluations, including hazard ratios (HR), 95% confidence intervals (95% CI), and P-values. The study quality was assessed independently by two investigators according to the Newcastle–Ottawa Scale (NOS) [11]. The NOS scores ranged from 0 to 9 (allocated as stars). Articles with six stars or more were evaluated as high quality. All dissenting opinions were discussed until a consensus was reached.

Statistical analysis
Review Manager (RevMan) [Computer program]. Version 5.4, The Cochrane Collaboration, 2020 was used for data analysis. HR and 95% CI were used to assess the prognostic values of independent biomarkers. The survival data were extracted by Engauge Digitizer 4.1 as Kaplan–Meier curves [12]. The inconsistency index ($I^2$) was used to estimate the heterogeneity of individual studies, which facilitated generation of the pooled estimates. When heterogeneity across the studies was present ($I^2 > 50$%), the random-effect model was selected; otherwise, the fixed-effect model was used. Sensitivity analysis was performed by deleting each included study in turn to explore the source of heterogeneity. The funnel plot, Begg’s bias test, and Egger’s test were applied to identify potential publication bias. $P < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

Results
Article search and study quality
A total of 2195 studies were identified from Embase (n = 1077) and PubMed (n = 1118); 564 studies were excluded due to duplication. Nine studies, comprising 5729 patients with NPC, were eligible for this meta-analysis [13–22]. One study was rejected due to lack of confidence interval data [19]. Figure 1 shows a flowchart of the study selection process. Table 1 shows the major characteristics and detailed NOS scores of the included studies. All included studies were retrospective.
Six articles focused on the association between EA-IgA and OS. The meta-analysis found that high levels of EA-IgA predicted a poor prognosis with low OS (HR = 1.63, 95% CI 1.07–2.48) with the random-effect model ($I^2 > 50\%$). High levels of EA-IgA did not correlate with DMFS (HR = 1.34, 95% CI 0.96–1.85) or DFS (HR = 1.83, 95% CI 0.54–6.21) in patients with NPC (Fig. 2). Results of the meta-analysis also showed that patients with high EA-IgA levels had a greater probability of adverse survival outcomes than patients with low EA-IgA levels.

Eight articles focused on the association between VCA-IgA and OS. The random-effect model was used for OS ($I^2 = 52\%$), and the fixed-effect model was used for DMFS and DFS ($I^2 \leq 50\%$). The pooled meta-analysis indicated that high levels of VCA-IgA were
| Study          | Country  | Area   | Patients | Gender | Mean age | TNM stage | Median follow-up time (month) | Markers                                   | Survival outcomes | Quality score |
|---------------|----------|--------|----------|--------|----------|-----------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------|
| He et al. [16]| China    | Guangzhou | 511      | –      | –        | I–IV   | 45.2                          | c-Dimer, EBV-DNA                            | OS, DMFS          | ⭐⭐⭐⭐⭐⭐⭐⭐   |
| Chen et al. [14]| China   | Guangzhou | 717      | 533    | 184      | I–IV   | 31                           | c-Dimer, EBV-DNA, VCA-IgA, EA-IgA          | OS, DMFS, DFS     | ⭐⭐⭐⭐⭐⭐⭐⭐⭐ |
| Xu et al. [21]| China    | Guangzhou | 703      | 539    | 164      | I–IV   | 120                          | VCA-IgA, EA-IgA                             | OS               | ⭐⭐⭐⭐⭐⭐⭐⭐   |
| Feng et al. [15]| China  | Guangzhou | 143      | 118    | 24       | I–IV   | –                            | VCA-IgA, EA-IgA                             | OS               | ⭐⭐⭐⭐⭐⭐⭐⭐   |
| Jin et al. [18]| China    | Guangzhou | 799      | 664    | 135      | I–IV   | 154                          | VCA-IgA, EBV-DNA                            | OS               | ⭐⭐⭐⭐⭐⭐⭐⭐   |
| Twu et al. [20]| China   | Taiwan   | 114      | 87     | 27       | I–IV   | 46                           | VCA-IgA, VCA-IgG, EBV-DNA                  | OS, RFS           | ⭐⭐⭐⭐⭐⭐⭐⭐   |
| Cao et al. [13]| China    | Guangzhou | 198      | 156    | 42       | I–IV   | 51.5                         | VCA-IgA, EA-IgA                             | OS               | ⭐⭐⭐⭐⭐⭐⭐⭐   |
| Yao et al. [22]| China    | Guangzhou | 334      | 251    | 83       | I–IV   | –                            | VCA-IgA, EA-IgA                             | OS, DMFS, PFS, LRFS | ⭐⭐⭐⭐⭐⭐⭐⭐⭐ |
| Jin et al. [17]| China    | Xuzhou   | 164      | 117    | 47       | I–IV   | 49.2                         | VCA-IgA, EA-IgA, EBV-DNA                   | OS, DMFS, DFS, LRFS | ⭐⭐⭐⭐⭐⭐⭐⭐   |

OS: overall survival; PFS: progression-free survival; DMFS: distant metastasis-free survival; LRFS: local–regional failure survival; MFS: metastasis-free survival; DFS: disease-free survival; RFS: relapse-free survival.
not associated with OS (HR = 1.24, 95% CI 0.95–1.60), DMFS (HR = 1.41, 95% CI 0.92–2.17) or DFS (HR = 2.39, 95% CI 0.78–7.26) in patients with NPC (Fig. 3).

d-Dimer levels and survival outcome
Two articles focused on the association between d-dimer and OS. By using the fixed-effect model ($I^2 \leq 50\%$), the pooled meta-analysis indicated that high levels of d-dimer in NPC patients predicted a poor prognosis with low OS (HR = 1.75, 95% CI 1.24–2.47) and low DMFS (HR = 1.91, 95% CI 1.31–2.79) (Fig. 4). Patients with high d-dimer levels had a greater probability of poor survival outcomes.

Sensitivity analysis and publication bias
Sensitivity analysis suggested that the results of EA-IgA levels and OS were influenced by data from Jin et al. [17]. After the data from this article were removed, the heterogeneity reduced to $I^2 < 50\%$. By using the fixed-effect model, we obtained consistent results: high levels of EA-IgA in NPC patients predicted a poor prognosis with low OS (HR = 1.75, 95% CI 1.24–2.47).

The funnel plot and Egger’s test were used to investigate potential publication bias (Fig. 5). Using Egger’s test, we found no evidence of bias in the meta-analysis that would otherwise explain the observed association between EA-IgA levels and OS ($P = 0.365$). $P > 0.05$ indicates no significant publication bias.
The determination of plasma EBV-DNA levels is widely used to diagnose NPC and evaluate therapeutic efficacy [23, 24]. The present study suggests that EBV-DNA levels could be used to predict the prognosis of NPC. The meta-analysis comprising nine studies with 5729 patients also explore the significance of other clinical indicators for predicting NPC prognosis. Our findings demonstrate that the levels of EA-IgA and d-dimer, but not VCA-IgA, are associated with survival endpoints.

Aggregate results reveal that EA-IgA can be used as an indicator of OS in patients with NPC. VCA-IgA does not seem to be an indicator of prognosis in patients with NPC. d-Dimer may be a good indicator to predict OS and DMFS in patients with NPC. However, few studies have investigated d-dimer, so there is an urgent need to test more patient samples for d-dimer to improve the evidence level of this biomarker. Furthermore, several studies indicated that biomarkers such as Rta-IgG, Zta-IgA, and EBNA1-IgA may also be prognostic indicators of NPC. Our meta-analysis did not assess these biomarkers due to the limited number of patients included in published prognostic studies.

Recent studies in NPC patients have identified antibodies against EBV antigens, including early antigen (EA), viral capsid antigen (VCA) and Epstein–Barr
virus nuclear antigen 1 (EBNA1) [25]. When EBV enters the lytic cycle, Rta and Zta are encoded and then EA is encoded [26]. At the end of the EBV proliferation cycle, VCA is expressed. During the cycle of EBV proliferation, expression of these antigens induces a strong antibody response in patients with NPC [27]. The detection of EBV infection by measuring these antibody expression levels allows diagnosis and prognosis prediction of NPC. These antibodies may be detected at any period in clinical practice. Therefore, detection of these antibodies can improve the accuracy of prediction and guide clinicians to quickly adjust their treatment plans.

In recent years, the fibrinolytic system has attracted attention as a possible regulator of cancer progression [28, 29]. Plasma d-dimer has been used to evaluate the prognosis of various cancers, such as NPC, small-cell lung cancer [30] and gastrointestinal cancer [31]. The survival outcomes related to d-dimer in non-NPC cancers are similar to our meta-analysis results.

Prediction of NPC prognosis should be based on the patient’s condition. Timely adjustments of treatment plans are expected to improve the prognosis and prolong the survival time of patients with NPC. Data from multiple antibody assays can improve prediction accuracy. Therefore, future research should aim to improve the combination of biomarkers used for prognosis prediction in patients with NPC.

Previously, EBV-DNA and other blood markers (such as LMP1) have been mainly used individually as prognostic indicators of NPC [32, 33], which lacks accuracy. We speculate that combining multiple biomarkers can improve the accuracy of prognosis predictions, but this requires validation in future studies. Because most research has previously focused on EBV-DNA, our present study investigated the prognostic values of other indicators for NPC. Our previous study reported that EA-IgA can be used for the diagnosis of NPC [9]. Combined with data from this meta-analysis, we show that these biomarkers can be used as both diagnostic and prognostic indicators, because these indicators can be detected during the EBV infection cycle. This illustrates the importance of testing these indicators at different disease stages.

Fig. 4 Forest plots of d-Dimer levels associated with survival outcomes. a Overall survival (OS), b Distant metastasis-free survival (DMFS)

Fig. 5 Funnel plot
Conclusions
In conclusion, EA-IgA and d-dimer are important prognostic predictors in NPC. Combined detection of multiple indicators should improve the accuracy of prediction. Regular monitoring of relevant prognostic indicators will help clinicians make timely changes in treatment regimens and will improve patient survival. This research will inspire future prospective studies to validate whether panel detection of EA-IgA, d-dimer and EBV-DNA could more accurately predict the prognosis of NPC patients.

Abbreviations
NPC: Nasopharyngeal carcinoma; EBV: Epstein–Barr virus; EA: Early antigen; VCA: Viral capsid antigen; EBNA1: Epstein–Barr virus nuclear antigen 1; TNM: Tumor–node–metastasis classification; CI: Confidence interval; I²: Inconsistency index; HR: Hazard ratios; OS: Overall survival; DFS: Disease-free survival; PFS: Progression-free survival; MFS: Metastasis-free survival; LRFS: Local–regional failure survival; RFS: Relapse-free survival; NOS: Newcastle–Ottawa Scale.

Acknowledgements
Tianhao Liang, Weixing Liu and Junyang Xie are co-first author. We thank Liwen Bianji (Edanz) (www.liwenbianji.cn) for editing the language of a draft of this manuscript.

Authors’ contributions
TL, WL and XZ conceived of and designed the study. XZ provided administrative support. TL and JX provided study materials or patients. TL, WL and XZ collected and assembled the data. TL, WL and XZ analyzed and interpreted the data. All authors wrote the manuscript, provided critical feedback and revised the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding
Funding was received from the Upper Respiratory Disease Innovation and Transformation Platform Construction Project of the Guangdong Provincial and High-level Construction Project of Guangzhou Medical University.

Availability of data and materials
The datasets used during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate
Not applicable.

Consent for publication
No identifying patient details are contained within this manuscript.

Competing interests
The authors declare no competing interests.

Received: 23 April 2021 Accepted: 21 June 2021
Published online: 30 June 2021
nasopharyngeal carcinoma. BMC Cancer. 2014;14:583. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2407-14-583.
15. Feng X, Lin J, Xing S, Liu W, Zhang G. Higher IGFBP-1 to IGF-1 serum ratio predicts unfavourable survival in patients with nasopharyngeal carcinoma. BMC Cancer. 2017;17:90. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12885-017-3068-0.
16. He SS, Wang Y, Wang CT, Zhu MY, Yang XL, Chen DM, et al. A combined marker based on plasma D-dimer and serum albumin levels in patients with nasopharyngeal carcinoma is associated with poor survival outcomes in a retrospective cohort study. J Cancer. 2019;10:3691–7. https://doi.org/10.7150/jca.32387.
17. Jin PY, Zheng ZH, Lu HJ, Yan J, Zheng GH, Zheng YL, et al. Roles of β-catenin, TCF-4, and survivin in nasopharyngeal carcinoma: correlation with clinicopathological features and prognostic significance. Cancer Cell Int. 2019;19:48. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12935-019-0764-7.
18. Jin Y, Cai XY, Cai YC, Cao Y, Xia Q, Tan YT, et al. To build a prognostic score model containing indispensable tumour markers for metastatic nasopharyngeal carcinoma in an epidemic area. Eur J Cancer. 2012;48:882–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejca.2011.09.004.
19. Sun P, Chen C, Chen XL, Cheng YK, Zeng L, Zeng ZJ, et al. Proposal of a clinical typing system and generation of a prognostic model in patients with nasopharyngeal carcinoma from Southern China. J buon. 2014;19:474–83.
20. Tiu CW, Wang WY, Liang WM, Jan JS, Jiang RS, Chao J, et al. Comparison of the prognostic impact of serum anti-EBV antibody and plasma EBV DNA assays in nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2007;67:130–7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrobp.2006.07.012.
21. Xu J, Wan XB, Huang XF, Chan KC, Hong MH, Wang LH, et al. Serologic anti-enzyme rate of Epstein-Barr virus DNAse-specific neutralizing antibody segregates TNM classification in nasopharyngeal carcinoma. J Clin Oncol. 2010;28:5202–9. https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2009.25.6552.
22. Yao JJ, Lin L, Jin NY, Wang SY, Zhang WJ, Zhang F, et al. Prognostic value of serum Epstein-Barr virus antibodies in patients with nasopharyngeal carcinoma and undetectable pretreatment Epstein-Barr virus DNA. Cancer Sci. 2017;108:1640–7. https://doi.org/10.1111/cas.13296.
23. Lv J, Chen Y, Zhou G, Qi Z, Tan KRL, Wang H, et al. Liquid biopsy tracking during sequential chemo-radiotherapy identifies distinct prognostic phenotypes in nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Nat Commun. 2019;10:3941. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-11853-y.
24. Lu L, Li J, Zhao C, Xue W, Han F, Tao T, et al. Prognostic efficacy of combining tumor volume with Epstein-Barr virus DNA in patients treated with intensity-modulated radiotherapy for nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Oral Oncol. 2016;60:18–24. https://doi.org/10.1016/joraloncology.2016.06.013.
25. Fachiroh J, Paramita DK, Hariwiyanto B, Harjadi A, Dahlia HL, Indrasari SR, et al. Single-assay combination of Epstein-Barr Virus (EBV) EBNA1- and viral capsid antigen-p18-derived synthetic peptides for measuring anti-EBV immunoglobulin G (IgG) and IgA antibody levels in sera from nasopharyngeal carcinoma patients: options for field screening. J Clin Microbiol. 2006;44:1459–67. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.44.4.1459-1467.2006.
26. Zhang G, Li Z, Zhou Q. Utility of serum EB virus Zta antibody in the diagnostic of nasopharyngeal carcinoma: evidences from 2126 cases and 15,644 controls. Front Oncol. 2019;9:11391. https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2019.01139.
27. Liu Y, Huang Q, Liu W, Liu Q, Ja W, Chang E, et al. Establishment of VCA and EBNA1 IgA-based combination by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay as preferred screening method for nasopharyngeal carcinoma: a two-stage design with a preliminary performance study and a mass screening in southern China. Int J Cancer. 2012;131:406–16. https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.26380.
28. Korte W. Changes of the coagulation and fibrinolysis system in malignancy: their possible impact on future diagnostic and therapeutic procedures. Clin Chem Lab Med. 2000;38:679–92. https://doi.org/10.1515/cclm.2000.099.
29. Blom JW, Doggen CJ, Osanto S, Rosendaal FR. Malignancies, prothrombotic mutations, and the risk of venous thrombosis. JAMA. 2005;293:715–22. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.293.6.715.
30. Zhang C, Ja Y, Jia Y, Zhang X, Li K. Prognostic and predictive value of plasma D-dimer levels in patients with small-cell lung cancer. Int J Clin Oncol. 2018;23:1070–5. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10147-018-1320-5.
31. Lin Y, Liu Z, Qiu Y, Zhang J, Wu H, Liang R, et al. Clinical significance of plasma D-dimer and fibrinogen in digestive cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur J Surg Oncol. 2018;44:494–503. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejso.2018.07.052.
32. Qu H, Huang Y, Zhao S, Zhou Y, Lv W. Prognostic value of Epstein-Barr virus DNA level for nasopharyngeal carcinoma: a meta-analysis of 8128 cases. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol. 2020;277(1):9–18. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00405-019-05699-9.
33. Dawson CW, Port RJ, Young LS. The role of the EBV-encoded latent membrane proteins LMP1 and LMP2 in the pathogenesis of nasopharyngeal carcinoma (NPC). Semin Cancer Biol. 2012;22(2):144–53. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcancer.2012.01.004.

Publisher’s Note
Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.