Common polymorphism in H19 associated with birthweight and cord blood IGF-II levels in humans
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Abstract

Background: Common genetic variation at genes that are imprinted and exclusively maternally expressed could explain the apparent maternal-specific inheritance of low birthweight reported in large family pedigrees. We identified ten single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in H19, and we genotyped three of these SNPs in families from the contemporary ALSPAC UK birth cohort (1,696 children, 822 mothers and 661 fathers) in order to explore associations with size at birth and cord blood IGF-II levels.

Results: Both offspring’s and mother’s H19 2992C>T SNP genotypes showed associations with offspring birthweight (P = 0.03 to P = 0.003) and mother’s genotype was also associated with cord blood IGF-II levels (P = 0.0003 to P = 0.0001). The offspring genotype association with birthweight was independent of mother’s genotype (P = 0.01 to P = 0.007). However, mother’s untransmitted H19 2992T allele was also associated with larger birthweight (P = 0.04) and higher cord blood IGF-II levels (P = 0.002), suggesting a direct effect of mother’s genotype on placental IGF-II expression and fetal growth. The association between mother’s untransmitted allele and cord blood IGF-II levels was more apparent in offspring of first pregnancies than subsequent pregnancies (P-interaction = 0.03). Study of the independent Cambridge birth cohort with available DNA in mothers (N = 646) provided additional support for mother’s H19 2992 genotype associations with birthweight (P = 0.04) and with mother’s glucose levels (P = 0.01) in first pregnancies.

Conclusion: The common H19 2992T allele, in the mother or offspring or both, may confer reduced fetal growth restraint, as indicated by associations with larger offspring birth size, higher cord blood IGF-II levels, and lower compensatory early postnatal catch-up weight gain, that are more evident among mother’s smaller first-born infants.
Background

The maternal-uterine environment has a major influence on fetal growth and size at birth [1]. Early cross-breeding experiments in animals demonstrated that fetal growth could be restrained or enhanced from its genetic potential, according to the size of the mother [2,3]. In a normal human birth cohort study, we demonstrated that over 50% of all offspring may have experienced clinically significant restraint or enhancement of growth \textit{in utero}, followed by compensatory catch-up or catch-down growth respectively during the first 2 years of postnatal life [4].

Birth order is an important common determinant of maternal-uterine restraint, as first-born infants are more likely to be restrained \textit{in utero} and show compensatory postnatal catch-up growth, compared to subsequent-born infants [5]. Heritable or genetic factors may also contribute to degree of maternal restraint of fetal growth; from studies of birthweights in extended human families it has been suggested that low birthweight may be maternally transmitted [6]. We previously reported association between thinness at birth and the common 16189 variant in mitochondrial DNA, which is maternally transmitted [7]. Another possible mechanism to explain maternal inheritance of fetal growth restraint is common variation in genes that are imprinted and exclusively maternally expressed.

Many of the genes known to be imprinted and paternally or maternally expressed influence fetal growth [8]. An imprinted region on chromosome 11p15.5 in humans regulates the major fetal growth factor “insulin-like growth factor-II” (IGF-II). The \textit{IGF2} gene is imprinted and paternally expressed in both the mouse and human fetus [9,10]. The mechanisms of imprinting and expression of \textit{Igf2} have been thoroughly studied in the mouse. The gene is regulated by DNA elements close to \textit{Igf2}, such as silencers [11] and activators [12], and particularly by enhancers located distal to the neighbouring maternally expressed gene \textit{H19} [13,14]. Access to these enhancers is restricted by an epigenetically controlled insulator upstream of \textit{H19} [15-18]. Finally, the maternally expressed \textit{H19} gene itself does not code for a protein, but the RNA has growth suppressing functions [19], potentially through inhibiting translation of IG2 F RNA [20].

We therefore aimed to demonstrate whether common genetic variation in human \textit{H19} may be associated with fetal growth restraint in a large representative birth cohort. We identified a common \textit{H19} 2992 C>T SNP that was associated with offspring birth size, cord blood IGF-II levels, and infancy catch-up weight gain. These associations were more evident among first-born infants, and we propose that the common \textit{H19} 2992T allele may confer reduced fetal growth restraint.

Results

Of the ten SNPs that we identified in the \textit{H19} region, we selected three SNPs (2992 C/T; 1737 A/G; and 3238 A/G) that marked different common \textit{H19} haplotypes for genotyping in ALSPAC offspring DNA samples for genetic association studies.

**Associations with offspring \textit{H19} genotypes**

Offspring \textit{H19} 2992 SNP genotypes showed significant associations with size at birth (Table 1). However, neither \textit{H19} 1737 nor 3231 genotypes were associated with birth size (data not shown).

\textit{H19} 2992, 1737 and 3231 genotypes were in significant linkage disequilibrium, and haplotype analysis revealed only 7 genotype combinations with frequency >1%. Only those two haplotypes that included a 2992T allele had birthweights that were above the average [see Additional file 1].

Table 1: Birth size and cord IGF-II levels by offspring \textit{H19} 2992 genotype (ALSPAC cohort)

|          | CC (n = 1075) | CT (n = 518) | TT (n = 54) | \(P_A\) | \(P_D\) |
|----------|--------------|--------------|-------------|---------|---------|
| Weight (gm) | 3468 ± 488   | 3522 ± 486   | 3524 ± 587  | 0.03    | 0.02    |
| Length (cm) | 50.7 ± 2.0   | 50.9 ± 2.0   | 50.9 ± 2.1  | 0.08    | 0.06    |
| Head circ. (cm) | 34.9 ± 1.2   | 34.9 ± 1.3   | 35.0 ± 1.6  | 0.3     | 0.4     |
| Cord IGF-II (ng/dl) | 275 ± 78     | 277 ± 79     | 337 ± 91    | 0.1     | 0.3     |

Mean ± SD, adjusted for sex, gestation and parity.

\(P_A\) = P value for additive genetic model (per T allele)

\(P_D\) = P value for dominant genetic model (CC versus T/M)
Associations with mother’s H19 2992 genotype

The H19 2992 SNP was subsequently genotyped in DNA samples collected from mothers and fathers, for which the family relationships had been validated by genotyping. H19 2992 genotype in mothers, but not in fathers, showed associations with size at birth, and also with cord blood IGF-II levels (Table 2). Mother’s H19 2992 genotype associations were independent of mother’s pre-pregnancy weight and height (additive models: birthweight: \( P = 0.001 \); cord IGF-II levels: \( P = 0.0005 \)). The association between mother’s H19 2992 genotype and IGF-II levels appeared to vary with mother’s parity (birth order), being evident only in first pregnancies (\( P = 0.0002 \)) than in subsequent pregnancies (\( P = 0.36 \); P-interaction between mother’s untransmitted allele and birth order = 0.03).

Those results indicate that the H19 2992 SNP associations may depend on mother’s genotype. However, offspring H19 2992 SNP associations were also seen independent of mother’s genotype; among CC genotype mothers, offspring CC vs. CT genotype was also associated with birthweight (\( P = 0.007 \), Table 4).

H19 2992 allele transmission and parent-of-origin effects

Among the validated parent-offspring trios, comparison of allele transmission from heterozygous parents to their offspring showed that T versus C allele transmission was not associated with birthweight (\( P = 0.22 \), number of observations = 204), nor with cord blood IGF-II levels (\( P = 0.52 \), number of observations = 38). Nor was there any difference between paternal and maternal T allele transmission (parent-of-origin effects) on birthweight (\( P = 0.92 \), number of observations = 87), or on IGF-II levels (\( P = 0.81 \), number of observations = 15). Data on mother’s parents’ genotypes were unavailable.

Genotype associations with postnatal weight gain

1,449 ALSPAC children were followed-up to age 3 years. Neither offspring nor parental H19 genotypes showed association with offspring body size at age 3 years (not shown). The apparent loss of T allele-related size advantage between birth and age 3 years was explained by

| Mothers genotype | CC \((n = 550)\) | CT \((n = 242)\) | TT \((n = 30)\) | \(P_A\) | \(P_D\) |
|------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------|----------|
| Weight (gm)      | 3457 ± 474     | 3543 ± 508     | 3595 ± 485     | 0.003    | 0.003    |
| Length (cm)      | 50.7 ± 2.0     | 51.0 ± 1.9     | 51.1 ± 1.8     | 0.04     | 0.04     |
| Head circ. (cm)  | 34.8 ± 1.2 \((n = 164)\) | 35.1 ± 1.3 \((n = 61)\) | 34.9 ± 1.4 \((n = 9)\) | 0.07     | 0.03     |
| Cord IGF-II (ng/dl) | 266 ± 72      | 312 ± 99       | 312 ± 92       | 0.0003   | 0.0001   |

| Fathers genotype | CC \((n = 442)\) | CT \((n = 201)\) | TT \((n = 18)\) | \(P_A\) | \(P_D\) |
|------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------|----------|
| Weight (gm)      | 3492 ± 491     | 3474 ± 472     | 3537 ± 519     | 0.9      | 0.7      |
| Length (cm)      | 50.8 ± 1.9     | 50.8 ± 1.9     | 51.1 ± 1.7     | 0.8      | 0.9      |
| Head circ. (cm)  | 34.9 ± 1.2 \((n = 76)\) | 34.9 ± 1.2 \((n = 37)\) | 35.0 ± 1.2 \((n = 3)\) | 0.9      | 0.7      |
| Cord IGF-II (ng/dl) | 270 ± 75      | 290 ± 93       | 245 ± 96       | 0.5      | 1.0      |

Mean ± SD, adjusted for sex, gestation and parity.
\(P_A\) = P value for additive genetic model (per T allele)
\(P_D\) = P value for dominant genetic model (CC versus T/\(T\))

**Table 2: Birth size by mothers or fathers H19 2992 genotype (ALSPAC cohort)**
Birthweight SD score (A) and cord blood IGF-II levels at birth (B) in the ALSPAC cohort, by mother’s H19 \(2992\) genotype, and stratified by birth order (“Primip” = mother’s first child; “Non-primip” = second or subsequent child). Mean ± 95% CI. First-born infants had lower birthweights than infants of subsequent pregnancies (Ref. 5). Associations with mother’s genotype (CC vs. \(T^*\) [CT or TT]) were only seen in first pregnancies.

**Figure 1**

Birthweight SD score (A) and cord blood IGF-II levels at birth (B) in the ALSPAC cohort, by mother’s H19 2992 genotype, and stratified by birth order (“Primip” = mother’s first child; “Non-primip” = second or subsequent child). Mean ± 95% CI. First-born infants had lower birthweights than infants of subsequent pregnancies (Ref. 5). Associations with mother’s genotype (CC vs. \(T^*\) [CT or TT]) were only seen in first pregnancies.
slower rates of weight gain during this period (Table 5). As with birthweight and IGF-II levels, the H19 2992 genotype associations with postnatal weight gain were more apparent in offspring of first pregnancies (Figure 2).

**Replication of mother’s H19 2992 genotype associations in the Cambridge cohort**

In the independent Cambridge birth cohort (n = 646 births analysed), we obtained additional support for the association between mother’s H19 2992 T allele and larger birthweight in offspring of first pregnancies (P = 0.04, Figure 3A). Mother’s glucose levels one hour post oral glucose at 27 to 32 weeks gestation (mean ± SD: 5.5 ± 1.2 mmol/l) were positively related to offspring birthweight (r = 0.14, P = 0.01), and mother’s H19 2992 T/* genotype was also associated with higher mother’s glucose levels during first pregnancies (P = 0.01, Figure 3B).

**Discussion**

In two separate contemporary birth cohorts we have identified associations between a common H19 2992 genotype and birthweight. This SNP is located in H19 exon 5 [see Additional file 2], however its effect on mRNA structure or function is unknown and H19 has no protein product. An insulator upstream of H19 [15-18] and enhancers downstream [13,14] regulate imprinting of IGF2, which encodes a major fetal growth factor [21]. In addition H19 RNA may suppress IGF2 expression in trans [20] and has tumour suppressor functions in cell transfection studies [19]. Consistent with the birthweight

---

**Table 3: Birthweight and IGF-II levels by maternal H19 2992 genotype, within CC genotype offspring (i.e. effect of mother’s untransmitted allele), (ALSPAC cohort)**

| Mother’s Genotype | Birthweight (gm) | ANOVA |
|-------------------|------------------|-------|
| CC                | 3431 ± 474       |       |
| (n = 407)         |                  |       |
| CT                | 3533 ± 505       |       |
| (n = 96)          |                  |       |
| Cord IGF-II (ng/dl)| 264 ± 72        |       |
| (n = 124)         |                  |       |
| CT                | 320 ± 108        |       |
| (n = 22)          |                  |       |

Mean ± SD, adjusted for sex, gestation and parity.

**Table 4: Birthweight and IGF-II levels by offspring H19 2992 genotype, within CC genotype mother’s (i.e. effect of fetal genotype), (ALSPAC cohort)**

| Offspring Genotype | Birthweight (gm) | ANOVA |
|--------------------|------------------|-------|
| CC                 | 3425 ± 490       |       |
| (n = 408)          |                  |       |
| CT                 | 3535 ± 495       |       |
| (n = 126)          |                  |       |
| Cord IGF-II (ng/dl)| 262 ± 80         |       |
| (n = 125)          |                  |       |
| CT                 | 266 ± 98         |       |
| (n = 36)           |                  |       |

Mean ± SD, adjusted for sex, gestation and parity.

**Table 5: Size at 3 years, and growth from birth, by offspring H19 2992 genotype, (ALSPAC cohort)**

| Offspring genotype | CC       | CT       | TT       | PA   | PD  |
|--------------------|----------|----------|----------|------|-----|
| (n = 946)          | (n = 462)| (n = 41) |
| Weight at 3 y (kg) | 15.0 ± 1.7 | 14.9 ± 1.7 | 14.8 ± 1.7 | 0.4  | 0.4 |
| Height at 3 y (cm) | 95.6 ± 3.6 | 95.3 ± 3.5 | 95.2 ± 3.7 | 0.2  | 0.2 |
| Change in Weight SDS 0–3 y | 0.01 ± 1.17 | -0.13 ± 1.07 | -0.10 ± 1.29 | 0.01 | 0.02 |
| Change in Height SDS 0–3 y | 0.06 ± 1.12 | -0.10 ± 1.02 | -0.17 ± 1.26 | 0.01 | 0.01 |

Mean ± SD, adjusted for sex and age

PA = P value for additive genetic model (per T allele)
PD = P value for dominant genetic model (CC versus T*/T)
Figure 2
Postnatal weight gain (change in weight SD score 0–3 years) in the ALSPAC cohort, by offspring’s (A) or mother’s (B) H19 2992 genotype, and stratified by birth order ("Primip" = mother’s first child; "Non-primip" = second or subsequent child). Mean ± 95% CI. The overall association between weight gain and offspring genotype (CC vs. T* [CT or TT], P = 0.01; Table S) was only apparent in first-born offspring.
Birthweight SD score (A) and mother’s glucose levels one hour post-oral glucose load at 27 to 32 weeks gestation (B) in the Cambridge cohort, by mother’s H19 2992 genotype, and stratified by birth order ("Primip" = mother’s first child; "Non-primip" = second or subsequent child). Mean ± 95% CI. Associations with mother’s genotype (CC vs. T* [CT or TT]) were only seen in first pregnancies.

Figure 3
association, \(H19\) 2992 genotype was also associated with cord blood IGF-II protein levels at birth.

Birthweight associations were found with both mother's and offspring's \(H19\) 2992 genotypes, and it is not clear whether our findings represent direct effects of mother's genotype, offspring genotype, or both. As in other population studies, the low number of available DNA samples and genotypes from fathers contributed to relatively lower statistical power to formally detect effects of \(H19\) 2992 allele transmission. However, we did observe significant birthweight and cord blood IGF-II associations with mother's untransmitted allele, suggesting direct effects of mother's genotype. While in mice \(H19\) and \(IGF2\) are not expressed postnatally [22], in humans \(IGF2\) expression continues into adulthood [23]. In most adult tissues \(IGF2\) expression remains monoallelic as in the fetus, however biallelic expression is observed in adult human liver [23]. It is possible that mother's \(H19\) 2992 genotype may regulate \(IGF2\) expression in maternally-derived placental tissues. Alternatively, \(H19\) regulation of maternal \(IGF2\) expression could influence mother's glucose metabolism [24] and thereby influence placental glucose transfer and fetal growth [25]. Offspring \(H19\) 2992 genotype was associated with birthweight, but not with \(IGF2\) levels. It is possible that this discrepancy could relate to reduced power due to the smaller number of \(IGF2\) samples available, or alternatively it could be possibly explained by a non-IGF-II mediated effect of \(H19\) on birthweight. The association between birthweight and \(IGF2\) levels in cord blood at birth is weak, and it is possible that the effects of \(IGF2\) on fetal growth may be specific to certain tissues or developmental stages in fetal life [26].

The \(H19\) 2992 genotype associations with birthweight and \(IGF2\) were independent of mother's body size and were more apparent in mother's first pregnancies. First-born offspring are more growth-restrained in utero than subsequent children, as evident by smaller birth size and compensatory rapid postnatal weight gain within the first 2 to 3 years [5]. The mechanism of growth restraint seen in first pregnancies is unknown, but could reflect a maternally inherited genetic trait with subsequent relaxation of restraint in later pregnancies [27]. We propose that the common \(H19\) 2992T allele, either in the mother or offspring, confers reduced fetal growth restraint particularly among first-born infants as indicated by larger birth size and less postnatal compensatory catch-up weight gain.

In subsequent pregnancies and in larger babies, a mendelian pattern of inheritance of birthweight is observed [27]. It was in these second and subsequent pregnancies that we saw association between size at birth and common allele class at the insulin gene minisatellite (INS VNTR) [28], which also regulates \(IGF2\) expression [29]. Offspring \(INS\) VNTR class III alleles were associated with larger head size and higher \(IGF2\) levels at birth, and this effect was masked in growth-restricted first pregnancies [28]. Thus, there may be important interaction between maternal parity and imprinted and non-imprinted genes involved in the regulation of \(IGF2\) expression and fetal growth.

Altogether we identified ten SNPs in \(H19\). Given that there is no confirmed function for the \(H19\) transcript in vivo [30], it is difficult to assess the effect of the \(H19\) 2992 SNP. It is possible that this variant: (a) may alter the RNA itself, and might influence the putative growth suppressing function of the \(H19\) RNA; (b) may influence levels of \(H19\) transcripts, leading to an effect as above; (c) may be in linkage with an unidentified regulatory element in \(H19\) acting on \(IGF2\) in cis; (d) may be in linkage with variants in known cis regulatory elements for \(IGF2\), such as the insulator or the enhancers. Further work, including systematic genotyping of other SNPs in the extended region and functional studies, will be required to identify the causal variant(s) and, hence, possible mechanisms by which they may influence birthweight and cord blood IGF-II levels. The identification of separate effects of \(H19\) on fetal growth, by acting both within the mother and also within the fetus, could be confirmed by studying a larger population to provide greater power to detect an interaction between mother's and offspring's genotypes, and by exploration of grand-parental genotype and allele transmission to the mother. Intriguingly, while we confirmed that the offspring 2992 genotype (CC vs. CT) association with birthweight was independent of mother's genotype (within CC mothers; Table 4), that finding also raises the surprising suggestion of a paternal \(H19\) allele transmission effect, however, we did not detect any formal association with paternal transmission. An alternative explanation would be that the \(H19\) 2992 is in LD with another paternally transmitted determinant of birthweight. Further studies with larger numbers of complete informative trios will be needed to clarify this issue.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, the common \(H19\) 2992T allele, in the mother or offspring or both, may confer reduced fetal growth restraint, as indicated by associations with larger offspring birth size, higher cord blood IGF-II levels, and lower compensatory early postnatal catch-up weight gain. These observations may have implications for the early origins of adult disease hypothesis [31]. Following the original observations of association between small size at birth and increased later risks for cardiovascular disease and diabetes [32], recent studies have highlighted that these risks are strongest in subjects with the combination of fetal growth restraint followed by rapid postnatal catch-up growth [33]. Common factors that lead to fetal growth...
restraint in humans include mother's smoking and 1st pregnancies [5]. Common variants in exclusively maternally-expressed genes (such as H19) or maternally transmitted genes (such as in mitochondrial DNA) [7] could also contribute to fetal growth restraint and compensatory postnatal catch-up growth.

Methods

Subjects

The Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC) birth cohort numbers 14,185 children comprising over 80% of all births in three Bristol based health authorities between April 1991 and December 1992 [34]. Our studies are confined to a 10% sub-cohort (“Children in Focus”) [4] and a second (control) cohort for which there were detailed data on size at birth and subsequent childhood growth. In these families, offspring DNA was extracted from cord blood samples collected at birth, mothers DNA from venous blood samples in pregnancy, and fathers DNA from mouthswab samples. H19 genotypes were analysed in available DNA samples from 1,696 families. H19 genotypes were analysed in available DNA samples from 1,696 children, 822 mothers and 661 fathers. Cord blood samples were collected at birth in 338 of these infants and assayed for IGF-II levels as previously reported [26]; these samples were collected at birth in 338 of these infants and assayed for IGF-II levels as previously reported [26]; these infants had larger birthweight (mean = 3546 g) than infants for whom cord blood was unavailable (3467 g), but were no different in H19 +2992 genotype (chi-square: P = 0.7).

The Cambridge (Wellbeing) birth cohort was studied to provide independent confirmation of mother's H19 genotype associations. This cohort was recruited from full-term, singleton deliveries at the Rosie Maternity Unit, Cambridge, 1999 to 2000. Routinely collected clinical data were available on offspring birthweight and mother's whole blood glucose levels measured at one hour following a standard dose oral glucose load at 27 to 32 weeks of gestation. Mother's were selected of full-term, singleton infants. Exclusion criteria were mother's hypertension and treatment for diabetes during pregnancy. We sought permission from the mother's General Practitioner to approach the mother to collect her DNA sample by postal mouthswab kits and questionnaire data on her other children. Mother's DNA was extracted and genotyped for the H19 2992 genotype only. Local ethical committee approval and signed informed consent from mothers were obtained.

SNP identification

In an independent cohort of 20 subjects, ten SNPs were identified in the H19 region using denaturing high performance liquid chromatography (Wave™) and were characterised by sequencing of PCR products (SNPs submitted to dbSNP). Confirmation and assessment of allele frequency was obtained by genotyping a second independent cohort of 100–200 subjects. We selected three H19 SNPs as these SNPs marked different haplotypes (2992 C/T [rs217727], 1737 A/G [rs2067051], and 3238 A/G [rs2839703]; the SNP numbers relate to their position in accession number M32053). We genotyped these three SNPs in offspring DNA samples from the ALSPAC cohort for genetic association studies. The H19 2992 SNP was subsequently genotyped in DNA samples from ALSPAC parents, and from mothers in the Cambridge cohort.

H19 2992 C/T genotyping

Genomic DNA (40 ng) was amplified in a reaction mixture containing 1x ammonium reaction buffer, 50 µM each dNTP, 500 µM magnesium, 225 ng each primer (forward: 5’-aagagcaccatcggacacgca-3’, reverse: 5’-agtctgaccagt gagcagg-3’), 10% (v/v) dimethylsulphoxide and 0.6 units DNA polymerase (Bioline, London, UK) in a 45 µL reaction volume. After an initial 5 min. incubation at 94°C, twenty amplification cycles of 94°C (65 sec.), 58°C (65 sec., dropping 0.5°C per cycle) and 72°C (140 sec.) were performed. This was followed by 14 cycles of 94°C (65 sec.), 48°C (65 sec.) and 72°C (140 sec.) and a final incubation of 72°C for 10 min. After overnight digestion with Fnu4HI (2 units per reaction; New England Biolabs, Hitchin, UK) at 37°C, PCR products were separated by agarose gel electrophoresis. This gave a 351 bp band for the ‘T’ allele and a 304 bp band for the ‘C’ allele. The observed genotype frequencies: CC: 65.3%, CT: 31.5%, TT: 3.3% (Table 1) were in Hardy Weinberg equilibrium (chi-square test: P = 0.99). The H19 2992 SNP was also genotyped in the parents DNA samples.

H19 1737 A/G genotyping

The reaction mix for the H19 1737 genotyping was the same as for the 2992 SNP, with the exception of the oligonucleotide primer sequences (forward: 5’-aagtacacctctgccgggg-3’; reverse: 5’-tggagcttacctcctccgc-3’). The amplification conditions were the same as those for the 2992 SNP except that the annealing temperatures were 4°C higher throughout. Overnight digestion with Tsp45I (2 units per reaction; New England Biolabs) produced 474 and 131 bp bands for the ‘A’ allele and 329, 145 and 131 bp fragments for the ‘G’ allele. The observed genotype frequencies (AA: 23.1%, AG: 52.6%, GG: 24.4%) were in Hardy Weinberg equilibrium (chi-square test: P = 0.5).

H19 3238 A/G genotyping

The reaction mix for the H19 3238 genotyping was the same as for the 2992 SNP, with the exception of the oligonucleotide primer sequences (forward: 5’-aagagcaccatcggacacgca-3’; reverse: 5’-agtctgaccagt gagcagg-3’). Amplification conditions were the same as those for the 2992 SNP except that the annealing temperatures were 4°C higher throughout. Overnight digestion with DdeI (2 units per reaction; New England Biolabs) produced 137...
and 44 bp bands and multiple small bands (116, 76, 60 and 26 bp) for the ‘A’ allele and a 181 bp band along with the same multiple small bands for the ‘G’ allele. The observed genotype frequencies (AA: 38.0%, AG: 48.5%, GG: 13.5%) were in Hardy Weinberg equilibrium (chi-square test: P = 0.8).

**Microsatellite validation of parental DNA samples**

Parental DNA samples were validated using amplification of markers D16S539, D7S820, D13S317 and D5S818 (Geneprint Multiplex-GammaSTR kit, Promega, Southampton, UK) on an ABI 3700 instrument as previously described [28]. We had DNA samples from 597 complete and validated parent-offspring trios.

**Calculations and statistics**

Postnatal weight and length gains were calculated by transforming weight and length data into SD scores allowing for variation relating to (gestational) age and gender, using the formula [SD score = individual value – group mean / group SD] [35], and then calculating changes in weight and length SD score between birth to 3 years.

Associations between offspring or mother's genotype and size at birth were determined by ANOVA. Multivariate analysis (general linear models) was used to identify independent effects of mother's and offspring genotypes. Associations with H19 2992 allele transmission were assessed by TDT using both quantitative and qualitative methods. Parent-of-origin effects were sought using quantitative and qualitative methods, allowing for possible confounding by the effects of non-transmission of mother's alleles [36,37].
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