Introduction

The genome locus for CCDC6 is commonly rearranged in various tumours. CCDC6-RET fusions have been originally found in about 20% of human papillary thyroid carcinoma, generating the oncogene RET/PTC1 [1;2]. RET/PTCs are chimeric genes generated by the fusion of the RET tyrosine kinase (TK) domain with the 5’ terminal region of other genes. There are at least 15 types of RET/PTC rearrangements involving RET and 10 different genes. RET/PTC1 and RET/PTC3 are the most prevalent RET/PTC variants [1;3;4]. In RET/PTC1 the fusion occurs with the CCDC6 gene [1] following a chromosomal inversion [inv(10) (q11.2q21)] [5]. Previously unidentified CCDC6-RET fusions have been recently described in lung adenocarcinoma [6]. CCDC6 has been also reported to be rearranged with genes different from RET in thyroid and non-thyroid tumours [7;8].

CCDC6 gene product, also known as H4(D10S170), is a ubiquitously expressed 65 kDa nuclear and cytosolic protein with no significant homology to known genes [9]. The 60 aa fragment of the CCDC6 coiled-coil domain included in RET/PTC1 has been shown to be necessary for homo-dimerization, constitutive activation and transforming ability of the oncoprotein [10;11]. In the past few years, several large-scale phosphorylation site-mapping studies recognized CCDC6 as a phosphoprotein [12;13]. Nevertheless, our previous investigations found that CCDC6 is phosphorylated by ERK1/2 at serine 244 upon serum induction [14]. Even though the function of CCDC6 wild type is still under investigation, we described the involvement of this gene in apoptosis and the ability of its truncated mutant 1-101, that corresponds to the portion of CCDC6 included in RET/PTC1, to act as dominant negative on nuclear localization and on the wild-type (wt) CCDC6-induced apoptosis [14]. Furthermore, we reported the involvement of CCDC6 protein in ATM-mediated cellular response to DNA damage [15] and in genome integrity.
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Abstract

CCDC6 was originally identified in chimeric genes as caused by chromosomal translocation involving the RET protooncogene in some thyroid tumors. Recognised as a 65 kDa pro-apoptotic phosphoprotein, CCDC6 has been enrolled as an ATM substrate that contribute to protect genome integrity by modulating PP4c activity in response to genotoxic stress. Recently, CCDC6 has been identified as a repressor of CREB1-dependent transcription. Sumoylation has emerged as an important mechanism in transcriptional control. Here, we report the identification and characterization of three sites of sumoylation in CCDC6 (K74, K266 and K424) which are highly conserved in vertebrates. We demonstrate that the post-translational modifications by SUMO2 constrain most of the CCDC6 protein in the cytosol and affect its functional interaction with CREB1 with a decrease of CCDC6 repressive function on CREB1 transcriptional activity. Indeed, the impairment of functional outcome of sumoylated CCDC6 is obtained knocking down all three the sumoylation sites. Interestingly, in thyroid cells the SUMO2-mediated CCDC6 post-translational modifications are induced by Forskolin, a cAMP analog. Signal transduction via the cAMP pathway is known to be ubiquitous and represents a major line of communication between many organisms and their environment. We believe that CCDC6 could be an important player in the dynamics of cAMP signaling by fine regulating CREB1 transcriptional activity in normal and transformed thyroid cells.
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maintenance [16]; this notion supports the idea that impairment of CCDC6 gene product might have a function in carcinogenesis and that CCDC6 might be a tumor suppressor, as already proposed [17]. Recently, we demonstrated that CCDC6 interacting with CREB1 is responsible for the transcriptional repression of CREB1 target genes, promoting HDAC1 activity. Moreover, we reported that the repression of the CREB1 activity is achieved by CCDC6 activating the PPII phosphatase that is able to dephosphorylate CREB1 at Ser133. Interestingly, in primary papillary thyroid carcinomas, positive for the RET/PTC1 rearrangement, the loss of CREB1 at Ser133. Interestingly, in primary papillary thyroid carcinomas, positive for the RET/PTC1 rearrangement, the loss of CCDC6 keeps high levels of pCREB1-S133 [17].

A number of recent reports have highlighted the importance of sumoylation which consists in the covalent attachment of the small ubiquitin-like modifiers (SUMO) peptide to lysine residues of targeted substrates and is able to regulate different cellular processes including cell-cycle progression, genomic stability, intracellular trafficking, and transcription [18;19;20;21]. In most cases, SUMO conjugation alters localization and/or activity of the substrate by providing a new protein-protein interaction interface. In mammals, three SUMO paralogs are widely expressed: SUMO-2 and SUMO-3, which are 96% identical, and SUMO-1, which is 45% identical to SUMO-2. Growing evidence suggests that SUMO-2/3 and SUMO-1 have some unique biological functions [22;23;24]. Although proteins that bind preferentially to SUMO-2/3 or SUMO-1 may contribute to distinct functions of the SUMO paralogs, it is not clear how paralog-specific interactions are determined.

Furthermore, sumoylation has emerged as an important mechanism in transcriptional control [21;25;26;27]. As CCDC6 product shows several slow migrating bands at immunoblots and only some of them could be justified by phosphorylation events, in this work our aim has been to investigate if CCDC6 could be affected by other post-translational modifications, like sumoylation. Deciphering the precise mechanisms of action of CCDC6 is important in order to understand its role in the control of proliferation in normal and transformed thyroid cells.

Materials and Methods

The Abs used for immunoprecipitation and western blotting are anti-CCDC6 (Abcam), anti-CREB1 (Upstate Biotechnology Inc., Lake Placid, NY, USA), anti-Tubulin (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA), anti-FLAG (Cell Signaling Technology, Inc., Danvers, MA, USA), anti-FLAG M2 (Sigma-Aldrich Co. LLC), anti-SUMO 2/3 (AV-SM23-0100, Eurogentec), anti-SUMO1 (Enzo Life Science). Recombinant CCDC6 (H00008030-P01) was provided by Abnova Corporation (Germany). Forskolin was provided by Sigma-Aldrich Co. LLC.

Cell Culture, Constructs and Transfections

PC3 cells have been obtained by Maria Luisa Brandi and fully characterized [28;29;30]. PC3 cells were maintained in Coon’s modified Ham’s F12 medium (EuroClone) containing 5% calf serum (Gibco, Paisley/UK) and six growth factors: thyrotropin, insulin, transferrin, hydrocortisone, somatostatin and glycyl-histidyl-lysine. HeLa cells were maintained in RPMI (Gibco, Paisley, UK), supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum. B-CPAP is a cell line obtained from a differentiated papillary thyroid carcinoma (PTC) and established by Nicole Fabien and Alfredo Fusco [31]. B-CPAP and HEK293 cells were maintained in Dulbecco’s modified eagle’s medium (Gibco) supplemented with 10% of FBS (Gibco, Paisley/UK). HeLa-YFP-SUMO2 cells have been described elsewhere [32]. YFP-SUMO1 and SENP1 plasmids have been provided by Addgene.

GFP-CCDC6 wt and pcDNA4ToA-CCDC6 wt have been described elsewhere [14]. CCDC6 wild type and CCDC6 Triple Mutant KKK74, 266, 424RRR (TM) - generated by QuickChange Site-Directed Mutagenesis System (Stratagene), as described below - have been subcloned in the BamHI-XhoI sites of pECFP vector (Clontech). B-CPAP and HEK293 cells were transfected by Fugene reagent (Roche); HEK293 cells by Lipofectamin Plus (Invitrogen), following manufacturer suggestions.

In vitro Sumoylation Assay

Sumoylation assays were carried out using whole cell lysates or recombinant CCDC6 (Abnova Corporation, Germany), recombinant SUMO1 and recombinant SUMO2 (provided by manufacturer) according to manufacturer instructions (In vitro SUMOylation kit, Enzo Life Sciences). SUMO1 and SUMO2 antibody solutions were also provided by manufacturer.

Nickel Affinity Pull-down Assay

His-SUMO1-CCDC6 or His-SUMO2-CCDC6 conjugates were purified by Nickel affinity pull down assays, as described previously [33]. Briefly, following their transfection, cells were lysed in denaturing buffer (6 M guanidinium-HCl, 0.1 M Na2HPO4/NaH2PO4, 0.01 M Tris-HCl, pH 8.0) and incubated with Ni-NTA beads (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA) for 2–3 h at room temperature. The beads were washed twice with lysis buffer, three times with wash buffer containing 8 M urea, 0.1 M Na2PO4/NaH2PO4, 0.01 M Tris-HCl, pH 6.5, and once with PBS. Bound proteins were resuspended in Laemml gel loading buffer and separated by SDS-PAGE. His-SUMO1-CCDC6 and His-SUMO2-CCDC6 conjugates were detected by immunoblotting using the anti-CCDC6 antibody, able to recognize the endogenous protein.

Site-directed Mutagenesis

From myc- (pcDNA4ToA-myc-his-CCDC6) and GFP (GFP-CCDC6) templates several mutants at Lysine putative sumoylation sites were created using the QuickChange site-directed mutagenesis system from Stratagene. To obtain the triple mutant we used sequentially the single mutants as template with the other two primers. The oligo sequences are reported in the methods.

FRET

HeLa YFP-SUMO2 cells, seeded and observed in 8 well LabTek chamber slides (Nunc) were grown in DMEM, supplemented with 10% foetal calf serum and 1% L-Glutamine. FRET experiments were performed 24 hours post-transfection of pECFP-CCDC6wt or pECFP-CCDC6TM expression plasmids in Hela YFP-SUMO2 cells. FRET was measured by using the acceptor photo-bleaching technique [34] where, upon irreversible photo-bleaching, the donor fluorescence increase was recorded. Acceptor photobleaching experiments were performed with the Zeiss LSM 510 Meta confocal microscope using the following settings. For CFP (donor) fluorescence detection, excitation light was provided by 405 nm diode laser and fluorescence was detected in the 450–490 nm bandwidth; for YFP (acceptor) fluorescence detection, excitation light was 514 nm Argon laser and detection was in the 530–600 nm bandwidth. For YFP bleaching, the 514 nm Argon laser light was used by setting the power laser output at 100%. 100 iterations were performed to optimize bleaching of the selected region of interest (ROI). Pinhole diameters were set to have 1.0 nm optical slices and a plan apochromat 63X/1.4 oil immersion objective was used.
The intensity of CFP fluorescence was measured before (IDA) and after YFP photobleaching (ID). In order to reproduce and reliably measure fluorescence of CFP in absence of acceptor (ID), YFP was photobleached to 10% of its initial value. Efficiency of FRET was calculated by: E = 1 - IDA/ID. The analysis is independent of the donor expression levels in the different samples. All the experiments have been done in triplicate and data are mean +/- SD of three independent experiments.

Indirect Immunofluorescence

The indirect immunofluorescence was performed as previously described [14]. EYFP and CCDC6 stainings were examined using a Zeiss LSM 510 Meta scanning confocal microscope equipped with plan apochromat 63X/1.4 oil immersion objective.

Protein Extraction, Western Blotting and Immunoprecipitation Assays

For sumoylation assays, cells were washed in PBS, and disrupted directly in SDS-Laemmli buffer, additioned of 10 mM N-ethylmaleimide (NEM) and boiled at 99°C. The samples were then analyzed by western blot. Immunoblotting and immunoprecipitation experiments were carried out according to standard procedures and visualized using the ECL chemiluminescence system (Amersham/Pharmacia Biotech).

Nuclear and Cytosolic Fractionation

The Thermo Scientific NE-PER Nuclear and Cytoplasmic Extraction Kit has been used for efficient cell lysis and extraction of separate cytoplasmic and nuclear protein fractions, according to manufacturer instructions (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Rockford, IL, USA).

Transactivation Assay

Luciferase transactivation assays were performed as already reported [17]. HEK293 cells were transiently transfected with the reporter construct. Co-transfections were carried out in the presence of 200 ng of reporter construct, of 500 ng of Renilla construct and of 2 μg of pCMVSport6-CREB1, in presence or not of 2.5 μg of SUMO2, with the indicated amounts of CCDC6 wild type or mutant CCDC6 triple mutant construct. Luciferase and Renilla activities were measured by the dual-luciferase reporter assay kit (Promega, Madison, WI, USA).

ChIP Assay

After transfection, chromatin samples have been processed for ChIP experiment as already described [35]. Briefly, cells were cross-linked using 1% formaldehyde for 10 min at room temperature. The reaction was stopped with glycine 0.125 M for 5 min. The cells were washed twice with cold PBS, harvested, and lysed sequentially by 10 min on ice and 5 min centrifugation at 3000 g at 4°C with 1 ml buffer A (10 mM HEPES pH 8, 10 mM EDTA pH 8.0, 0.5 mM EGTA pH 8.0, 0.25% Triton X-100 and protease inhibitors) and successively with 1 ml buffer B (10 mM HEPES pH 8.0, 200 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA pH 8.0, 0.5 mM EGTA pH 8.0, 0.01% Triton X-100 and protease inhibitors). The pellets were then resuspended in 200 μl of lysis buffer (10 mM EDTA, 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 1% SDS and protease inhibitors), and sonicated five times for 30 s at maximum settings, thus obtaining fragments between 0.3 and 1.0 kb. The samples were cleared by centrifugation at 14 000 r.p.m. for 15 min. After centrifugation, 20 μl of the supernatants were used as inputs and the remaining part of the samples was diluted 2.5-fold in immunoprecipitation buffer (100 mM NaCl, 2 mM EDTA pH 8.0, 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 0.5% Triton X-100 and protease inhibitors). The samples were subjected to immunoprecipitation with anti-CCDC6 antibody (Abcam) after 2 h precluring at 4°C with Protein A Sepharose Sepharose/BSA/Salmon Sperma (Upstate). Precipitates were washed sequentially with 1 ml Immunoprecipitation buffer (25 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 2 mM EDTA, 150 mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-100, 0.1% SDS), 1 ml Wash buffer 1 (25 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.2, 2 mM EDTA), 500 mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-100, 0.1% SDS), 1 ml Wash buffer 2 (0.25 M LiCl, 1% NP40, 1% Na deoxycholate, 1 mM EDTA, 10 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0) and then twice with 1 ml EDTA, 10 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0. Precipitated chromatin complexes were removed from the beads through 15 min incubation with 250 μl of 1% SDS, 0.1 M NaHCO3. This step was repeated twice. PCR was performed using specific primers: pAREG F 5’-TCAGCAGATCCCTATGCA-3’, pAREG R 5’- TGGCCGGTTATAGGCCTA-3’. GAPDH F 5’- GTATTCCTCCCCAGGTITACATG-3’. GAPDH R 5’- TTCTCCATGTTGTGGAAGAC-3’. 

Real Time PCR

qRT-PCR from cells was performed as described earlier [36]. Detailed primer sequences are available as Figures S1, S2, S3, S4, S5.

Statistical Analysis

For the comparison between the samples, Student’s t test was used. The statistical significant difference was considered when P<0.05. All experiments were done in triplicate and the data are mean +/- SD of three independent experiments.

Results

CCDC6 is a Substrate for SUMO Modification

In order to investigate if CCDC6 could be post-translational modified by SUMO proteins we performed a sumoylation assay. CCDC6 was readily modified in vitro with SUMO1 and with SUMO2 in presence of SUMO E1, SUMO E2 and Mg-ATP to give at least three higher-molecular weight CCDC6 conjugates as revealed by immunoblot after hybridization with anti-SUMO1 and anti-SUMO2 antibodies. Anti CCDC6 hybridization is shown at the bottom of both panels (Figure 1A and Figure 1B). To examine whether SUMO paralogues can modify endogenous CCDC6 in vivo, we expressed His-tagged constructs of SUMO1 or SUMO2 in HEK293 cells; His SUMO conjugates were then affinity purified under denaturing conditions on Ni-NTA beads. Consistently with the results obtained by sumoylation assays, Western blotting with an anti CCDC6 antibody allowed the detection of higher-molecular weight species (Figure 1C) reminiscent of CCDC6-SUMO conjugates. The major conjugates migrated at about 90, 110 and 130 kDa being consistent with the attachment of up to three SUMO moieties to CCDC6.

From our results appears that SUMO1 or SUMO2 were both capable to induce multiple modification bands of CCDC6 at a single lysine site and/or multiple sumoylation at distinct lysine sites. In our opinion, the modification of CCDC6 mediated by SUMO1 and SUMO2 was almost undetectable under denaturing on Ni-NTA beads. Consistently with the results obtained by sumoylation assays, Western blotting with an anti CCDC6 antibody allowed the detection of higher-molecular weight species (Figure 1C) reminiscent of CCDC6-SUMO conjugates. The major conjugates migrated at about 90, 110 and 130 kDa being consistent with the attachment of up to three SUMO moieties to CCDC6.

CCDC6 is Modified by SUMO at Lysine 74, 266 and 424

In order to identify SUMO modification sites in CCDC6, we analysed the aminoacid sequence of CCDC6 by the Abgent SUMOplot™ Analysis Program (http://www.abgent.com/tools), which predicted, with high score, the presence of three putative sites.
sumoylation motifs: LK^266NE, LK^74IE, FK^424RP, conserved among mammals, amphibians, and fish (Sketch in Figure 2A). In order to determine which lysine was involved in the sumoylation of CCDC6 we introduced point mutations converting Lys (K) residues to Arg (R) residues. Following co-transfection of myc-tagged CCDC6 wild-type or mutants together with FLAG-tagged SUMO2 in HEK293 cells, cell lysates were subjected to immunoprecipitation and CCDC6-SUMO-2 conjugates were detected by anti-Flag immunoblotting (Figure 2 B). We detected sumoylated forms of CCDC6 wild type at the size of 90, 110 and 130 kDa, as shown before. The mutation of all the predicted lysines (KKK74/266/424RRR) strongly affected the banding pattern expression of SUMO2-CCDC6 conjugation, suggesting that all the predicted ‘‘high-score residues’’ were necessary for CCDC6 sumoylation. After the substitution of single Lysine to Arginine, it was possible to appreciate a reinforce of the modified protein at 110 kDa, but unexpectedly, we still observed the 130kDa modified band. This was probably due to accessory residues, also predicted by SUMOplot, but with a lower score, that were able to stand in the knocked down Lysine residue. These additional residues could also account for the 90 kDa band that is still barely detectable in the triple mutant track (Figure 2B). A densitometric analysis is provided in Figure S1.

Then, in order to confirm these data, we performed fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) experiments that finely allow to detect the association of SUMO with its target proteins [24;33]. In cells co-expressing YFP-SUMO2 and CFP-CCDC6 wild type, upon YFP bleaching we observed a significant increase of CFP emission (Figure 2C, see insets) and we measured about 4–5% of FRET efficiency (p<0.001) indicating that SUMO2 and CCDC6 are close enough to allow energy transfer. Interestingly, we found no FRET between YFP-SUMO2 and the CCDC6 Triple Mutant fused to CFP, behaving like the CFP empty vector used as negative control (Figure 2C). Similarly, we did not detect energy transfer between YFP-SUMO1 and CFP-CCDC6 wild type (Figure S2).

Effects of Sumoylation on CCDC6 Subcellular Localization
CCDC6 is a nuclear and cytosolic protein, and we wondered whether sumoylation could modify its subcellular distribution. To this aim we performed immunofluorescence assays of HeLa cells stably transfected with YFP-SUMO2 by using an anti-CCDC6 antibody to detect the endogenous protein. The merged images show that SUMO2 and CCDC6wt colocalized mostly in the cytosol, whereas the free YFP-SUMO2 was mainly localized in the nucleus, as expected (Figure 3A).

To better understand the intracellular distribution of the CCDC6 in presence of SUMO2, we separated the nuclear and cytosolic fraction in whole cell lysates from HeLa cells stably expressing SUMO2 or the empty vector. In presence of YFP-SUMO2 the CCDC6 gene product wild type was mainly found in the cytosolic fraction. A minimal fraction of sumoylated CCDC6 wt, found in the nucleus, was probably caused by a cytosolic contamination (Figure 3B). The percentage of cytosolic and nuclear fraction, positive at CCDC6 hybridization in presence of YFP-SUMO2 compared to YFP-empty vector, are shown in the histograms at the bottom of Figure 3B. In order to visualize the intracellular localization of CCDC6-sumoylation mutants, HeLa cells were transiently transfected with comparable amounts of GFP-CCDC6 wt, single or -triple mutant. In presence of SUMO2 we could observe a prevalent cytosolic staining for GFP-CCDC6 wt. The CCDC6 triple mutant-GFP-tagged showed a
Figure 2. Identification of SUMO modification sites in CCDC6. A) Schematic representation of the three putative consensus sumoylation sites (LKXE) in human CCDC6, as revealed by the SUMO-plot Analysis program (www.abgent.com/tools/). B) HEK293 cells were transfected with Myc-CCDC6 wt, myc-CCDC6K74R, myc-CCDC6K266R, myc-CCDC6K424R, myc-CCDC6 TM (KKK74, 266, 424RRR) and FLAG-SUMO2. Whole cell lysates were prepared and equal amount of proteins were immunoprecipitated with anti-myc antibody. Then, the immunocomplexes were analysed by western blotting using the anti-FLAG antibody. Immunoblotting with anti-myc showed comparable amount of myc-CCDC6 transfected proteins. On the right of the same panel in the WCL the anti-Flag and the anti-myc hybridization are shown. C) HeLa YFP-SUMO2 cells were transfected with pECFP-CCDC6 wt or pECFP-CCDC6 TM. FRET was measured by using the acceptor photo-bleaching technique as described in materials and methods. Briefly, CFP fluorescence images were recorded before and after 2 minutes of photobleaching of YFP fluorescence by 514 nm laser line. FRET efficiency (measured as increase of pre-bleach CFP fluorescence after YFP photobleaching in cytosolic random regions of interest [ROIs, little squares]) is expressed in % as mean of 3 independent experiments. Error bars, +/− SD. * p<0.001. The images magnification (large square) shows an example of ROIs. To note that in the nucleus there was no energy transfer between the two fluorophors.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0049298.g002

Figure 3. Intracellular localization of CCDC6-SUMO2 complex. A) HeLa YFP-SUMO2 cells were immunostained with the anti-CCDC6 antibody recognizing endogenous CCDC6. B) Endogenous CCDC6 were detected by immunoblotting with anti-CCDC6 primary antibody a SDS-PAGE of cytoplasmic and nuclear protein fractions obtained by HeLa cells, transfected with YFP-SUMO2 or YFP-empty vector. Anti-SP1 and anti-Shc were utilized as nuclear and cytosolic proteins control, respectively. Densitometric analysis has been performed by Image J Software, and the results of three experiments were plotted as percent of nuclear and citosolic fraction. Error bars represent SD. C) HeLa cells were transfected with expression vectors encoding FLAG-SUMO2 together with CCDC6-wt or -TM (KKK74, 266, 424RRR) fused to GFP epitope and immunostained with anti-FLAG monoclonal antibody. Hoechst staining is shown where indicated.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0049298.g003
nuclear and cytosolic localization that was not affected by the addition of SUMO2 protein. We concluded that the post-translational modification of CCDC6 by SUMO2 localized the modified protein mainly in the cytosol (Figure 3C). SUMO1 did not affect the CCDC6 intracellular localization (Figure S3). CCDC6 single point mutants showed a nuclear and cytosolic localization behaving like the wt protein and suggesting that SUMO2 modification on a single Lysine residue does not induce CCDC6 re-localization (Figure S3).

Sumoylation Affects CCDC6 Modulation of CREB-1 Transcriptional Activity

Next, we wanted to investigate if the SUMO2 modification could affect the CCDC6-mediated repression of CREB-1 transcriptional activity. In the first instance, by means of chromatin immunoprecipitation experiments, we observed that the ability of anti-CCDC6 antibodies to precipitate the AREG promoter region, a CREB1 transcriptional target, was reduced in B-CPAP in presence of SUMO2 (Figure 4A). Then, we aimed to investigate if sumoylation of CCDC6 could affect its ability to repress CREB1 transcriptional activity. To this aim we employed two different but complementary measures. First, we used a luciferase reporter assay in which HEK293 cells were transfected with CRE-luc reporter gene [37], which contains three CRE universal sites fused upstream to a luciferase cDNA, together with CCDC6-wt, CREB1 and SUMO2. A decrease of CCDC6-repressive function on CREB1 transcriptional activity was observed in presence of SUMO2, as shown in the figure (Figure 4B). Conversely, we challenged the ability of CCDC6-wt and CCDC6-triple mutant (KKK74/266/424RRR) to transactivate the CRE-luc reporter vector in a CREB1 dependent manner, in presence of SUMO2. We found that the expression of SUMO2 limited the inhibition of CCDC6 on CREB1 transcriptional activity, and loss of sumoylation sites on CCDC6-triple mutant led to phenotype reversion (p = 0.0001) (Figure 4C).

Conversely, in absence of SUMO2 the CCDC6 triple sites sumoylation mutant exerted a repressive effect on the CREB1-dependent transcriptional response which did not result significantly different from CCDC6 wt (p = 0.7). Previous reports highlighted the cyclin A2 (CCNA2) and Amphiregulin (AREG) promoters as CREB1 transcriptional targets [38]. To determine if CCDC6 controls the transcriptional activity of CREB1 on endogenous CCNA2 and AREG and if sumoylation regulates this process, we transiently transfected CCDC6 -wt or -triple mutant in rat thyroid PC Cl3 cells, that we treated with Forskolin in order to induce cAMP-regulated-CREB1-dependent transcription. By quantitative PCR we found that CCDC6 strongly inhibits CREB1 dependent expression of these endogenous transcriptional targets. Following Forskolin treatment, the CCDC6 triple mutant, at comparable expression levels, impeded CCNA2 and AREG expression slightly more than wild type CCDC6. The differences were of statistical significance, as indicated in Figure 4D and 4E.

CCDC6 Sumoylation is Dependent from cAMP Activity

Previous reports have assessed that SUMO2 is involved in cellular responses to environmental stress [39;40;41]. In the previous paragraph, we have observed that CCDC6 modifications by SUMO2 were able to affect the cAMP-regulated-CREB1 dependent transcription: therefore, we wondered if the SUMO2 modification of CCDC6 might be modulated or amplified by survival stimuli, such as cyclic AMP activity. To this purpose, we treated human cells, derived from a papillary thyroid carcinoma (B-CPAP), with 10 μM of Forskolin at different time points, as described [38]: we noticed that the higher molecular weight migrating bands roughly at 90, 110 and 130 kDa were obtained mainly after 5 and 10 minutes of Forskolin treatment (Figure 5A). These post-translational modifications are mostly dependent on SUMO-2 (as shown by the specific immunoblot hybridization with anti-SUMO2 antibody), indicating that in these cell types, as well as in rat PC Cl3 (Figure S4), upon Forskolin treatment, SUMO-2 is highly efficient in generating SUMO2-CCDC6 conjugates (Figure 5A).

Interestingly, by immunofluorescence and confocal analysis we observed a progressive cytosolic export of CCDC6 protein, after few minutes of Forskolin treatment (1, 5 and 10 minutes); this effect started to revert after 30 minutes. At 60 minutes after Forskolin addition the protein was redistributed to nuclear and cytosolic compartment (Figure 5B). At immunoblot SUMO1 did not generate SUMO1-CCDC6 conjugates after Forskolin treatment (Figure S5). Finally, as the SUMO pathway is controlled and is reversible by means of specific isopeptidase, highly conserved, including SENP1 [42], we investigated the possibility that SENP1 could reverse CCDC6 sumoylation in B-CPAP cells upon Forskolin treatment. Cells were then transfected with SENP1, serum starved and treated with Forskolin, as indicated, and the results were analysed by immunoblotting. SENP1 was found to desumoylate all CCDC6-species conjugates (Figure 5C). Same results were obtained in WRO follicular and CAL62 anaplastic thyroid cell lines (data not shown).

Discussion

Cell Proliferation and Differentiation are Governed by a Finely Controlled Balance between Repression and Activation of Gene Expression

Recently we reported that CCDC6, by interacting with CREB1, is responsible for the transcriptional repression of CREB1 target genes, promoting HDAC1 activity [17].

Sumoylation is a posttranslational modification that can modulate the activity of many proteins, although the molecular basis of such effects are still poorly understood [27;43;44;45]. The data presented here define that CCDC6, a partner of RET in papillary thyroid carcinoma and in lung adenocarcinoma, fine modulates the CREB1-dependent transcriptional activity through sumoylation both in vitro and in vivo. Notably, we were able to demonstrate endogenous CCDC6 sumoylation by SUMO1 and by SUMO2, in vivo. Nevertheless, as reported by others, we can also mention that sometimes only a small fraction of the substrate is sumoylated at any given time, with possibly SUMO-cleaving enzymes rapidly desumoylating all conjugates [21]. Moreover, the sumoylation/desumoylation cycle is highly dynamic, and as for many substrates might be synchronised with the cell cycle [46]. Proteins may have multiple sites for sumoylation, and occasionally SUMO may be attached to lysines not conforming to the canonical consensus. However, when we mapped the sites of sumoylation in CCDC6 we found that lysine 74, 266 and 424 were predicted with high score, were highly conserved in vertebrates, perfectly matched the consensus sequence and were the only residues modulating the intracellular localization and the functional outcome that we have been investigating in this study. Among the three putative sumoylation consensus motifs predicted with high score, we verified that all residues are effective SUMO acceptor sites. FRET experiments supported this result, as shown. Indeed, the sumoylation profile of CCDC6 consisted mainly in three major sumoylated CCDC6 bands. These bands were mostly abolished using the triple CCDC6 KKK74/266/424RRR mutant; however a 90 kDa band is still appreciable at immunoblotb,
probably on account of additional residues in CCDC6 that take over. The functional significance of these additional SUMO recognition residues will be object of further investigations.

We reported that CCDC6 was mostly localized in the cytosol upon SUMO modification. Whereas earlier studies pointed to functions of SUMO in target-specific nuclear import, more recent findings also report examples of proteins whose export from the nucleus depends on sumoylation [47]. However, despite enormous progress, many key questions remain to be answered and the physiological mechanisms that regulate sumoylation are far to be understood.

CREB is a transcription factor that regulates diverse cellular responses, including proliferation, survival, and differentiation. CREB is induced by a variety of growth factors and inflammatory signals and subsequently mediates the transcription of genes containing a cAMP-responsive element [48,49]. Following short exposure of thyroid epithelial cells to a cAMP analog, we observed a strong stimulation of CCDC6 sumoylation by SUMO2. This is in agreement with previous demonstration that SUMO2 is involved in cellular responses to environmental stress. Similar results have been reported for other substrates (ERM, Ets-1) indicating that the ability and/or the efficiency of SUMO-1, -2, or -3 to sumoylate substrates can be cell type specific and stress exposure dependent [27]. Moreover, the SUMO modification of CCDC6 is controlled and reverted by the SUMO-specific isopeptidase SENP-1, thus confirming that enzymes of the SUMO pathway are effective in modifying CCDC6.

Following Forskolin treatment we also assayed CCDC6 capacity to trigger CREB1 dependent target genes expression in the PC Cl3 rat thyroid cells. Finally, we observed that increased sumoylation of CCDC6 by Forskolin was able to fast relocalize the protein in the cytosol.

Figure 4. SUMO2 limits CCDC6 inhibition on CREB1 transcriptional activity. A) ChIP was performed in B-CPAP cells, which express endogenous CCDC6 protein. The cells were crosslinked and immunoprecipitated with anti-CCDC6. The precipitated DNA was subjected to qRT-PCR with specific primers, which amplify CRE element of human AREG promoter. B) CRE promoter activity was analysed in B-CPAP cells in presence of CREB1, CCDC6 and SUMO2 expression vectors. Luciferase activity was determined 24 hours after transfection. All transfections were performed in duplicate; C) the same as in B, except that the CRE promoter activity analysed in B-CPAP cells was revealed in presence of CREB1, SUMO2 and CCDC6 wild type (WT) or triple mutant KKSK74, 266, 424RRR (TM). Data are mean +/- SD of three independent experiments. D) E) CCNA2 and AREG relative expression in PC Cl3 cells, starved for 24 hours and treated with 10 μM Forskolin for 6 hours, analysed by qRT-PCR. Data are the mean +/- SD of three independent experiments. Transfections normalization is shown at bottom of B, C, D, and E. In C, D and E, p values are shown for each panel.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0049298.g004
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Figure 5. CCDC6 sumoylation is amplified by cAMP signaling. A) B-CPAP cells were serum starved for 24 hours and treated with 10 µM of Forskolin for the indicated time. Whole cell lysate were run on SDS-PAGE and hybridized with the indicated antibodies. B) B-CPAP cells grown on coverslips were serum starved for 24 hours and treated with 10 µM of Forskolin for the indicated time. Endogenous CCDC6 and SUMO2 were detected by immunostaining with anti-CCDC6 and anti-SUMO2 specific primary antibodies. Merge and Hoechst staining are shown as indicated. C) B-CPAP were transfected with SENP-1 and processed as in (A).

Modifications of transcription factors and co-factors by SUMO is known to be an important regulatory mechanism for the transcriptional ability of the targets and can inhibit or activate transcription in different cases [47;21;50]. We found that SUMO modifications limited CCDC6 repression of CREB1 transcriptional activity, while the sumoylation defective triple mutant led to phenotype reversal compared to the CCDC6 wild type protein (P<0.001). This effect is much evident upon Forskolin treatment.

In a previous paper we showed that CCDC6 could be protected from degradation by a 26 S proteasoma inhibitor [15] and we have preliminary results suggesting that CCDC6 could be also ubiquitinylated (Merolla F, Celetti A unpublished observations). SUMO and Ubiquitin are linked to proteins through Lysine residues and some SUMO target proteins were also found to be modified by Ubiquitin. It would be of interest to investigate if CCDC6 can be modified by sumoylation and ubiquitylation at same lysine residues, as described for other substrates [51;52]. The possibility of an antagonistic effect of SUMO on the degradation of CCDC6 need also to be explored in the next future. Interestingly, CCDC6 has been reported to be phosphorylated by ERK1/2 in response to serum [14] and recent studies have provided links between the SUMO and MAPK signalling pathways which converge to modulate transcription factor activity [53]. Moreover, in CCDC6 protein several phosphorylation sites for PKA have been predicted suggesting that CCDC6 might be a direct target of cAMP signaling.

Our data support the notion that SUMO-2 attachment to CCDC6 provides a fine-tuning mechanism affecting specific transcriptional responses. In transformed cells the persistent sumoylation localizing the CCDC6 protein in the cytosol could contribute to limit the transcriptional repression exerted by CCDC6 on CREB1 and could represent an additional mechanism sustaining the neoplastic growth. The increased activity of CREB1 in papillary thyroid carcinomas has been already documented by high levels of pS133-CREB1 [17]. Recent observations in humans point to a strong association between TSH levels and thyroid cancer incidence [54;55].

In conclusion we can envisage CCDC6 as a scaffold protein which plays an important role in the fine regulation of cAMP activated CREB1 transcriptional activity in normal and transformed thyroid cells. The identification of the involvement of this gene in the cAMP pathway will be useful for the establishment of new therapeutic approach based on the modulation of cAMP activity.

Supporting Information

Figure S1 Densitometric analysis has been perfomed by Image J Software; the results of three independent experiments were plotted as percent of the ratio between the 130, 110 and 90 kDa band intensity and the myc intensity of CCDC6 wt and mutants, respectively, as indicated. Error bars, +/− SD. P values are shown. (TIF)

Figure S2 YFP-SUMO1 was transiently transfected with or without pECFP-CCDC6 wt in HeLa cells. CFP fluorescence images were recorded before and after 2 minutes of photobleaching of YFP fluorescence by 514 nm laser line. FRET efficiency was expressed as the percent increase of pre-bleach CFP fluorescence after YFP photobleaching in cytosolic random regions of interest (ROIs). The histograms show FRET percent efficiency as indicated. Data are +/−sd of three independent experiments. (TIF)

Figure S3 HeLa cells were transfected with expression vectors encoding FLAG-SUMO1 together with CCDC6wt or FLAG-SUMO2 together with CCDC6wt or CCDC6K74R, or CCDC6K266R or CCDC6K424R fused to GFP epitope and immunostained with anti-FLAG monoclonal antibody. Hoechst staining is shown. (TIF)

Figure S4 PC Cl3 cells were starved for 24 hours and treated with 10 µM of Forskolin for the indicated times. Whole cell lysate were run on SDS-PAGE and hybridized with the indicated antibodies. (TIF)

Figure S5 B-CPAP cells were serum starved for 24 hours and treated with 10 µM of Forskolin for the indicated times. Whole cell lysate were run on SDS-PAGE and hybridized with anti-SUMO1 antibody. (TIF)
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