Stem Cells in the Intestine: Possible Roles in Pathogenesis of Irritable Bowel Syndrome
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Irritable bowel syndrome is one of the most common functional gastrointestinal (GI) disorders that significantly impair quality of life in patients. Current available treatments are still not effective and the pathophysiology of this condition remains unclearly defined. Recently, research on intestinal stem cells has greatly advanced our understanding of various GI disorders. Alterations in conserved stem cell regulatory pathways such as Notch, Wnt, and bone morphogenic protein/TGF-β have been well documented in diseases such as inflammatory bowel diseases and cancer. Interaction between intestinal stem cells and various signals from their environment is important for the control of stem cell self-renewal, regulation of number and function of specific intestinal cell types, and maintenance of the mucosal barrier. Besides their roles in stem cell regulation, these signals are also known to have potent effects on immune cells, enteric nervous system and secretory cells in the gut, and may be responsible for various aspects of pathogenesis of functional GI disorders, including visceral hypersensitivity, altered gut motility and low grade gut inflammation. In this article, we briefly summarize the components of these signaling pathways, how they can be modified by extrinsic factors and novel treatments, and provide evidenced support of their roles in the inflammation processes. Furthermore, we propose how changes in these signals may contribute to the symptom development and pathogenesis of irritable bowel syndrome.
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Introduction

The intestinal epithelium acts as a protective physical barrier and fulfills secretory and absorptive functions required for digestive activity. Intestinal tissue needs to be highly regenerative in order to maintain homeostasis as well as to repair damage incurred by constant physical, chemical, and biological challenges. Our knowledge of intestinal stem cells (ISCs), their roles during homeostasis and regeneration, and the signaling pathways governing their renewal and fate choices, has shed some light on molecular mechanisms underlying pathophysiological features observed in patients with gastrointestinal (GI) diseases. Here we review recent advances regarding the identity of ISC populations, intrinsic and extrinsic factors regulating stem cell fate during homeostasis and regeneration following injury, and the involvement of stem cell dysregulation in the pathogenesis of irritable bowel syndrome (IBS). As low grade inflammation has been one of the major factors in pathogenesis of
IBS, knowledge on the roles of stem cells in inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) may provide insights into the pathogenesis of IBS. Advanced knowledge of the dynamic cross-talks between multiple signaling pathways governing stem cell fate choice and plasticity will transform our understanding of the pathophysiology of IBS and other functional gastrointestinal (GI) disorders, and introduce novel therapeutic approaches aiming to modify cellular processes necessary for tissue repair and regeneration.

Different Functions of Intestinal Cell Types

The intestinal epithelium requires two major cell lineages; absorptive and secretory, to satisfy diverse roles (Fig. 1). Absorptive enterocytes facilitate digestion and absorption as well as contribute to barrier functions by maintaining tissue integrity and host defense. Tight junctions near the apical surface of enterocytes help prevent entry of infectious agents and antigens. Enterocytes sense bacteria by means of pattern recognition receptors such as Toll-like receptors. Depending on the situation, enterocytes can produce a wide range of cytokines including pro-inflammatory TNF-α, IL-1β, IL-6, as well as suppressive cytokines such as IL-10 and TGF-β, which can act on resident immune cells and modulate mucosal immune responses.

Secretory cell lineage subdivides into goblet cells, Paneth cells, and enteroendocrine cells. Goblet cells produce mucins to form a protective luminal mucus layer. Paneth cells secrete anti-microbial peptides such as α-defensin 5 and 6 (HD5 and HD6) as well as other signal molecules that are required for gut homeostasis. Enterocinocrine cells secrete multiple regulatory molecules which control important physiological functions such as GI motility, biliary and exocrine pancreas secretion, digestion and intestinal epithelial renewal. Molecules released by these endocrine cells include serotonin (5-HT), cholecystokinin (CCK), ghrelin, gastrin-releasing peptide, glucose-dependent insulinotropic peptide, secretin, peptide YY, glaucon-like peptide-1, glaucon-like peptide-2, neurotensin, substance P, somatostatin, and motilin. Several of these molecules have been found to associate with functional gastrointestinal disorders and their symptoms such as IBS and functional dyspepsia. These cells interact and integrate with the enteric nervous system, a complex network of neurons and glia distributed throughout the gut wall, which regulates most aspects of intestinal functions.

Recently, it has been shown that different cell types underneath the intestinal epithelial layer, such as stromal cells, immune cells, and enteric glia, provide important signals involved in regulating intestinal epithelial homeostasis/repair and modulating sensitivity of the enteric nervous system. Intestinal stromal cells can sense pathogens and modulate immune/tissue response by providing a variety of mediators, including Wnts, Wnt antagonists, fibroblast growth factor, as well as inflammatory (IL-1β, TNF-α, and granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor and anti-inflammatory cytokines (TGF-β and IL-10)). The interaction between epithelial cells and resident immune cells, such as macrophages and T-lymphocytes, are crucial in the regulation of intestinal cell prolifera-
tion and differentiation. $^{13,14}$ Rag1$^{-/-}$ mice, which lack lamina propria lymphocytes, exhibit intestinal epithelial defects. $^{15}$ Enteric glial cells are involved in the maintenance of mucosal integrity. Moreover, it produces nerve growth factor (NGF), glial cell-derived neurotrophic factor (GDNF), and TGF-β, which can modulate visceral sensitivity. In IBD, copious evidence links the abnormalities of these signals to IBD pathogenesis. $^{16}$ It is possible that the disturbance in these development/repair signals could contribute to the pathogenesis and symptom development in patients with functional GI disorders, and understanding their functions could lead to new therapeutic interventions.

Identification of Intestinal Stem Cells and Their Roles in Maintaining Tissue Homeostasis and Regeneration

To maintain intestinal homeostasis, cells lost during daily wear and tear need to be constantly replenished. In fact, intestinal tissue has the most rapid cellular turnover, and complete renewal of the epithelium occurs within 3-5 days. $^{17}$ Such an astounding rate of repopulation can be achieved by the proliferation of ISCs located in the crypt. Their progenies gradually move up towards the villi and differentiate into absorptive or secretory lineages. As gradients of multiple signaling molecules exist along the crypt-villi axis, positions of stem/progenitor cells affect their stem/progenitor identity as well as their cell fate decision. $^{18,19}$

Within the crypt, two populations of ISCs have been identified. The first proposed stem cells, crypt base columnar (CBC) cells, reside within the base of the crypts and express Lgr5 (Fig. 1; in purple). $^{20}$ The Lgr5+ CBC cells have been shown to long-term self-renew and differentiate, giving rise to all cell lineages in the intestinal epithelium in vivo. $^{21}$ In vitro, a single Lgr5+ stem cell can form self-organizing three dimensional crypt-like structures termed “organoids” composed of all intestinal cell types. $^{22}$ An alternative population of label-retaining stem cells was identified at the +4 position from the crypt base (Fig. 1; in green). $^{23}$ These so-called +4 stem cells resided in a niche distinct from CBC cells and were shown to be slow-cycling, contrasting to CBC cells which are actively-cycling. The +4 stem cells can give rise to Paneth and enteroendocrine cells, thus appearing more-lineage restricted compared to CBC cells. $^{24-26}$ Functions and involvement of +4 stem cells during homeostasis vs injured state and their roles in G1 diseases are still poorly understood.

It is only recently that the much debated nature of the 2 stem cell populations and their relationship has been somewhat resolved. Lineage tracing experiments showed that all cell types are derived from the actively-cycling Lgr5+ CBC cells during homeostasis, $^{21}$ including the quiescent +4 stem cells. $^{27}$ Upon physical, chemical, or biological insults that deplete CBC cells, +4 stem cells and lineage-restricted secretory progenitors dedifferentiate to replenish the active stem cell pool in the tissue. $^{28-30}$ Instead of a model where classical quiescent non-committed stem cells on the top of the hierarchy produce actively-cycling stem/progenitor cells during injury, regeneration of intestinal epithelium is dependent on the plasticity of some slow-cycling progenitors and post-mitotic differentiated cells acting as facultative reserve stem cells. The degree of such plasticity and the mechanism of +4 stem cell activation remains unclear. The population of stem cells activated and the manner of activation might differ in homeostasis, compared to injury or disease conditions. Understanding factors contributing to failure of stem cell maintenance and its reactivation upon damage would help explain the pathogenesis of GI disorders such as its role on maintaining low grade inflammation, altered gut sensation and gut dysmotility.

Cell Fate Determination Is Tightly Regulated by Intrinsic Factors Such as Basic Helix-Loop-Helix Transcription Factors

Maintenance of stem cell functions during homeostasis and mobilization of intestinal cells to repopulate the damaged tissue during the regenerative process depends on both cell intrinsic properties and signals from the microenvironmental niche. Anatomically, ISCs are restricted to the crypt base area dubbed the “stem cell zone,” where Paneth cells and the surrounding mesenchyme provide signals influencing the stem cell identity and functions. $^{31}$ Stem cells’ progenies compete for restricted niche space at the crypt base. The niche-associated daughter cell retains stem cell fate, while the sister cell adopts alternative fates. Subsequent decisions between secretory and absorptive cell fates in progenitor cells appear intrinsically dependent upon cell autonomous expression of certain key transcription factors.

The basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH) transcription factors are known to govern cell fate identity. The complex specification process generally requires both individual and combinational coding (Fig. 1; written in grey). $^{32}$ Members of the bHLH family, particularly mouse atonal homolog 1 (Math1), neurogenin3 (Neurog3), and NeuroD, play prominent roles in intestinal cell fate specification. $^{33}$ Acting at different stages during the differentiation process, these 3 bHLH transcription factors together guide cells along secretory fate towards endocrine lineage. Math1, alternatively known
as Atoh1, specifies secretory progenitor cell fate.\textsuperscript{36} Mice deficient for Math1 fail to generate goblet cells, Paneth cells, and enteroendocrine cells. Conversely, Math1 expression is sufficient to drive secretory differentiation at a cost of absorptive fate.\textsuperscript{37} The concomitant loss of enterocytes observed with secretory cell expansion suggests that Math1 alters fate choice of bipotential progenitor cells.

Cells in secretory lineages are further specified by a transcriptional repressor, growth factor independent 1 (Gfi1).\textsuperscript{38} Loss of Gfi1 in mice results in a lack of Paneth cells, a reduced number of goblet cells and a dramatic expansion of enteroendocrine cells, suggesting its normal influence towards Paneth and goblet fates. Notably, Gfi1 needs to be subsequently down regulated for cells to proceed to a terminally differentiated state. Homeodomain transcription factors Arx and Pax4 are shown to be involved in enteroendocrine subtype specification. In Pax4 knockout mice, the differentiation of 5-HT\textsuperscript{39}, somatostatin, insulinotropic peptide, and gastrin cells are impaired.

The intestinal epithelium comprises numerous subtypes of enteroendocrine cells, expressing different combination of neuropeptides.\textsuperscript{40} Once the subtypes are established, the cells stably maintain their committed fates, albeit further exposure to external stimuli. Neurog3, transiently expressed in precursor cells, is a transcription factor essential for enteroendocrine cell fate specification.\textsuperscript{41} Overexpression of Neurog3 leads to expansion of enteroendocrine cells coupled with reduction of goblet cell number, but not overall secretory cell number.\textsuperscript{42} The later stage of differentiation is facilitated by additional regulators. NeuroD is found to be important for secretin-expressing enteroendocrine cells as it coordinates their cell cycle arrest and terminal differentiation.\textsuperscript{43} Still, the exact subtypes specified by NeuroD remain controversial. Taken together, the bHLH transcription factors function as intrinsic regulators of intestinal cell fate determination.

In addition, these transcription factors sequentially regulate expression of other transcriptional regulators. Math1 is found to be upstream of Gfi1 and Neurog3 while NeuroD is directly downstream of Neurog3.\textsuperscript{44} Understanding this intricate circuitry is crucial as an imbalance in cellular composition has clinical implications. A mutation in \textit{NEUROG3}, for example, causes reduced density of enteroendocrine cells and has been reported in congenital malabsorptive diarrhea and post intestinal allograft rejection.\textsuperscript{45,46}

### Signals from a Microenvironmental Niche

#### Direct Intestinal Stem Cell Renewal and Fate Specification

In addition to intrinsic factors, extrinsic signals play critical roles in stem cell renewal and differentiation. As mentioned, ISCs reside in their microenvironmental niche, the crypt. This allows them to receive signaling molecules from many cell types, including adjacent Paneth cells and subcrypt mesenchymal cells.\textsuperscript{5,47} As distinct cell types express different ligands and receptors, spatial location of various specialized cell types as well as stem cells in relation to one another is very important for intestinal cell growth, survival and fate specification. Wnt/R-spondin, Notch, and TGF-β/bone morphogenetic protein (BMP) are major pathways known to regulate stem cell maintenance and differentiation.\textsuperscript{48-50}

#### Notch Signaling Pathway

Notch pathway signals via a direct cell-to-cell contact. In the mammalian intestine, membrane-bound Notch ligands (Dll1 and Dll4) from one cell bind to transmembrane Notch receptors on the surface of the adjacent cell to activate the signaling cascade (Fig. 2A).\textsuperscript{19,50} The extracellular portion of bound receptors is proteolytically cleaved by a disintegrin and metalloprotease (ADAM)/TNF-α converting enzyme (TACE) followed by intramembrane cleavage by gamma secretase. The active Notch intracellular domain is released and subsequently translocates into the nucleus to activate downstream targets such as Hes which represses multiple genes, including secretory fate-promoting Math1.\textsuperscript{51} Notch signaling inhibits expression of cyclin-dependent kinase p27Kip1 and p57Kip2 in intestinal progenitors therefore maintains them in a proliferating state.\textsuperscript{52}

Notch can be further modulated by various ubiquitin ligases, deubiquitinating enzymes and endocytosis mediators, many of which also form feedback loops with the Notch pathway.\textsuperscript{52} With its function in stemness maintenance, and secretory fate suppression, loss of Notch signaling reportedly leads to precocious stem cell differentiation towards secretory lineage, especially the goblet cell population.\textsuperscript{53,54} Notch signaling is also involved in the maintenance of tight junction and mucosal immune response.\textsuperscript{55,56} Without proper Notch signaling, the stem cell pool and the compositional balance of cell types cannot be maintained, resulting in disrupted intestinal barrier and inflammation.

Many components of the Notch signaling cascade can be modulated by extrinsic factors. Mice with deletion of protein O-fucosyltransferase 1, a glycosylation enzyme which is required for Notch ligand binding, exhibit secretory cell hyperplasia and chronic intestinal inflammation.\textsuperscript{19,57} After injury/inflammation, up-regulation of Notch signaling is required for intestinal epithelial repair.\textsuperscript{58} Inactivation of Notch signaling through the deletion of metalloprotease
Adam10, transcription factor Hes1, or both Notch1 and Notch2 receptors, the inhibition of gamma-secretase, or the expression of Notch antagonist Numb, resulted in loss of regenerative response in the intestinal epithelium. Distinct Notch ligands may play different roles in the normal and the inflamed intestinal mucosa. Although deletion of Dll4 alone has no effect on intestinal epithelium, Dll1 and Dll4 double knockout converts all proliferating progenitors into goblet cells. In dextran sulfate sodium-induced colitis, the number of Dll4+ cells is significantly increased, while Dll1+ intestinal epithelial stem cells decrease compared to the normal colon. It is possible that Dll4 is a more prominent ligand during injury/repair.

Expression and activity of enzymes required for Notch cleavage is also found to be modulated by various extrinsic factors. The transcription of ADAM10 can be stimulated by retinoic acid, while cholesterol depletion leads to enhancement of its enzymatic activity. Although ADAM10 is essential in ligand-dependent Notch signaling, other metalloproteases such as ADAM17 can also cleave Notch in the absence of ligand. ADAM17 is post-transcriptionally up-regulated in inflamed colon epithelium and is shown to be crucial for cleavage of TNF-α and epidermal growth factor receptor, which are important cytokines during inflammation. Thus, in situations of chronic inflammation, Notch signals are dysregulated and may act in a ligand-independent way. Notably, metalloproteinase 3, a target of TGF-β, can also inhibit ADAM17. Pharmacological inhibition of ADAM 17 amplified TNF-α-mediated gut mucosal hyperpermeability. Thus, cross-talk between components of Notch signaling and inflammatory signals influences the level of Notch signaling and vice versa.

**Wnt Signaling Pathway**

Both canonical and non-canonical Wnt signaling play integral roles in ISC proliferation, maintenance, and secretory fate decision. Wnt ligands bind to the Wnt transmembrane receptors Frizzled (Fz) as well as Wnt co-receptors Lrp5/6 on the target cells, triggering formation of a large protein complex, which includes glycogen synthase kinase 3β, casein kinase 1, and scaffolding proteins, adenomatous polyposis coli, Disheveled, and Axins (Fig. 2B). In the absence of Wnt, this complex phosphorylates the key effector β-catenin, targeting it for proteosomal degradation. Wnt induction inhibits this destruction machinery, allowing β-catenin to displace a repressor, form a complex with T cell factor (TCF) transcription factor, and together with the T cell factor (TCF) transcription factor, β-catenin activates Wnt target genes including some of its own receptors. Of note, R-spondin can potentiate Wnt signaling through inhibiting ZNRF3/RNF43 which normally inhibits the Wnt transmembrane receptor Frizzled. DKK, Dickkopf.
crypt and mispositioned Paneth cells can be observed upon deletion of Tcf4. Overexpression of the Wnt target gene Ascl2 induces the expansion of Lgr5+ stem cells and suggests that Ascl2 confers stemness. Overexpression of Dickkopf-1 (Dkk1), a Wnt antagonist, leads to a complete loss of secretory cells. Loss of proliferative crypt, inflammation, and a collapse of intestinal architecture is also observed with Dkk1 systemic expression. Therefore, mutations in Wnt signaling components including receptors can result in a dramatic loss of stem cell reserve and impaired post-injury regeneration.

Wnt can also signal in a β-catenin-independent fashion. Of the several Wnt ligands, Wnt3 can activate the canonical pathway and inhibit the non-canonical pathway, whereas Wnt5, which has shown to be associated with IBD, activates the non-canonical pathway. Non-canonical Wnt maintains quiescent stem cells during homeostasis, and upon injury, becomes attenuated while the Wnt canonical pathway activates stem cells for regeneration.47-49 In vertebrates, Wnt signaling can be further potentiated by the Wnt agonist R-spondin, which inhibits Rnf43/Znrf3. The ubiquitin ligases normally function to ubiquitinate and target Frizzled receptors for degradation upon its binding to Lgr receptors. Inhibition of Wnt negative regulators Rnf43/Znrf3 by R-spondin thus stabilizes Frizzled and augments Wnt signaling. As a Tcf transcriptional target, Lgr5 receptors are controlled by Wnt. Expression of Lgr5 in CBC stem cells, in turn, further sensitizes stem cell populations to Wnt signals.48 In accordance, Lgr4/5 deletion results in loss of proliferation and crypt integrity, a phenotype similarly observed in inactivation of Wnt signaling.

Wnt ligands are expressed in different types of cells in the intestine, including Paneth cells and stromal cells. The main source of Wnt in vivo remains unclear. ISCs can be grown in long-term organoid culture without mesenchymal stromal cells when supplemented with R-spondin1. In normal homeostasis, it has been proposed that the major source of Wnt comes from Paneth cells, which also provide Notch ligands. On the other hand, high Wnt is required for Paneth cell differentiation. Fate choice of secretory progenitor between goblet and Paneth cells fates can be influenced by MAPK signals by regulating Wnt/β-catenin activity. During inflammation, Wnt is upregulated in the stromal cells, and intestinal immune cells and plays an important role in regulating stem cell proliferation and tissue repair. It is possible that stromal cells may be the major source that provides niche signals in the absence of epithelial Wnt. Bacterial and dietary or food factors have been reported to potentially affect Wnt signaling. Certain bacterial toxins such as clostridium toxin may attenuate Wnt signals, while high fat diet may increase level of Wnt signaling. Many Wnt antagonists are expressed in the intestine but their roles in homeostasis and pathological conditions have not been thoroughly explored. Deletion of Wnt antagonist Dkk1 in the intestine increases wound repair after experimental colitis.

Dysregulated Wnt signaling may contribute to another aspect of GI disorder other than cell proliferation. For example, noncanonical Wnt has been shown to be capable of sensitizing sensory neuron to sub-threshold stimuli, which may contribute to enteric nervous system hypersensitivity observed in IBD and IBS.

As discussed, both Notch and Wnt are critical for stem cell maintenance and differentiation, albeit directing towards opposite lineages. This opposition goes beyond simply specifying alternate fates. Rather, the extensive crosstalks between the 2 pathways regulate fate choices with fine balance. Inhibition of Notch pathway results in increased Wnt signaling followed by upregulation of prosecretory Wnt target genes such as Sox9 and EphB3, leading to secretory cell hyperplasia. This suggests that in the normal context, Notch signaling dampens Wnt signaling and its role in secretory cell differentiation. Conversely, Wnt can also regulate Notch signaling, forming a negative feedback loop. The Wnt component Disheveled has been shown to bind and inactivate NICD as well as inhibit Notch ligand Delta.

Bone Morphogenic Protein/Transforming Growth Factor-β Signaling

Within the intestinal epithelium, multiple pathways co-regulate tissue renewal and repair. The TGF-β plays important roles in the immune response as well as in tissue homeostasis and regeneration. TGF-β superfamily encompasses several types of ligands, including TGF-β1-3, Activin, Nodal and BMP. For the canonical pathway, TGF-β superfamily ligands bind to the type II receptors which recruit and phosphorylate type I receptors together, forming a hetero-tetrameric receptor complex. The receptor binding results in phosphorylation and activation of receptor-regulated SMAD (R-SMADs) such as Smad2/3, following TGF-β/activin/nodal receptors activation. In cases of BMP, Smad1/5/8 are the R-SMADs phosphorylated. R-SMADs then bind with co-SMAD such as SMAD4. This R-SMAD/co-SMAD complex transcriptionally activates their target genes. Their targets control intestinal development, replication process of stem cells, and epithelial to mesenchymal transition (EMT) associated with chronic inflammation and fibrosis. In the intestine, BMP is highly expressed in cells at the luminal surface.
Not only are BMP and Wnt ligands secreted from opposite ends of the intestinal villi-crypt axis, BMP can also suppress Wnt signaling through inhibition of β-catenin.\(^91\) Thus, BMP acts as a negative regulator of ISC self-renewal and proliferation, and promotes terminal differentiation of cells in secretory lineage.\(^91,92\) Additionally, sub-crypt mesenchymal cells express BMP antagonists such as Gremlin and Noggin. The antagonists from the microenvironment, as seen in the case of mesenchymally-expressed Gremlin, suppress BMP and enhance Wnt function within the stem cell zone in the crypt. Ectopic expression of Gremlin promotes the maintenance of Lgr5+ stem cells that have exited the niche.\(^93\) Deletion of BMP components such as BMPR1A results in expansion of ISCs and impairment of differentiation to all three secretory cell types.\(^94\) Forced expression of Noggin, a BMP antagonist, resulted in de novo ectopic crypt-villus, reminiscent of human juvenile polyposis.\(^95\)

TGF-β is an important cytokine involved in the regulation of many types of tissue specific stem cells.\(^86\) Its roles in the ISC niche have not been extensively investigated. However, it is known to be expressed in many types of cells in the intestine and crucial for modulating the immune response to gut flora and pathogens.\(^97\) The level of TGF-β rises in the early phase of the injury/inflammation.\(^86\) TGF-β can activate secretion of various cytokines from mesenchymal stromal cells and regulate gut resident immune cells. Strong TGF-β can inhibit proliferation of intestinal epithelium and promote EMT. Similar to BMP, crosstalk between Wnt and TGF-β exists. Evidence suggests that Wnt5a may exert suppressive effect on local epithelial cell proliferation during injury repair through TGF-β signaling activation.\(^74\)

**Inflammatory Bowel Disease**

IBD is an immune-mediated chronic intestinal condition which encompasses CD, UC, and unclassified IBD. Whereas CD mostly involves the distal ileum and/or the colon, the inflammation in UC is restricted to the colon. Although the pathogenesis of both CD and UC is still unclear, multiple lines of evidence suggest that IBD develops through an inadequately suppressed or exaggerated immune response to luminal antigen in genetically susceptible individuals.\(^75,78\) In this review we only briefly discuss on the involvement of ISCs and its regulatory signals in IBD pathogenesis and treatment.

Defects in stem cell differentiation towards Paneth cells in CD and towards goblet cells in UC have been gaining interest recently. Both goblet and Paneth cells defend intestinal epithelium against bacteria by secreting mucin and anti-microbial peptides, respectively. Reduced number or impaired function of these cells can thus lead to impaired barrier function, change in gut microbiota, and predisposes intestinal epithelium to inflammation. Indeed, mutations in MUC2 cause misfolding leading to ER stress in goblet cells followed by spontaneous inflammation.\(^99\)

Deletion of Muc2 causes mice to develop spontaneous chronic colitis.\(^100\) Known IBD susceptibility genes expressed in Paneth cells include the intracellular bacterial-pattern recognition receptor NOD2\(^94,102\) and the autophagy mediator ATG16L1.\(^103,104\) Common pathologic findings in IBD are the depletion of goblet cells and mucus barrier. High Notch signals during tissue injury promote ISC self-renewal, and also enterocytes production at the expense of secretory cells. Notch signaling can inhibit MUC2 production through inhibition of the Math1 binding MUC2 promoter.\(^105\)

Interestingly, inhibition of Notch signaling in the early stages of inflammation reduced the severity of dextran sulfate sodium-induced colitis probably through limited Notch effect on the reduction of secretory cells,\(^106\) suggesting that modulation of notch signaling level at the appropriate time-window may provide beneficial effect.

Although it has been reported that expression of Wnts and Frizzled receptors are increased in the early stages of inflammation of IBD, long-term exposure of intestinal epithelial cells to IFN-γ resulted in induction of the secreted Wnt inhibitor Dkk1.\(^107\) Thus, Wnt signaling may even be downregulated in chronic inflammation. Other than its function in maintaining ISC proliferation and regulating secretory cell potentials, Wnt signaling also affects Paneth cell function as Wnt is required for antimicrobial peptide defensin HD5 and HD6 expression.\(^108\) Wnt signaling is involved in gut inflammation and exerts both anti- and pro-inflammatory functions.\(^109\) Wnt mediates its anti-inflammatory effects by inhibiting nuclear factor κB activity, decreasing TNF-α production and inducing expression of anti-inflammatory cytokines TGF-β and IL-10.\(^109\) Noncanonical Wnt, however, can induce expression of inflammatory mediators\(^110\) and may contribute to hypersensitivity of enteric neuron by a separate mechanism.\(^84,111\) Nevertheless, it was reported that administration of a Wnt agonist activated the Wnt signaling pathway in the damaged mucosa and accelerated wound healing in a mouse model of colitis.\(^14\)

With its roles in mucosal immunity and tolerance to normal flora, TGF-β has been extensively studied in the context of IBD.\(^84,112,113\) Although TGF-β level is increased in CD and UC patients, signals downstream from the receptors were defective, leading to failure in controlling the inflammation. This is due to the up-regulation of Smad7 which inhibits TGF-β signaling.\(^114\) The mechanism underlying the increase in Smad7 remains unresolved.
Treatment with Smad7 antisense RNA was found to restore the ability of TGF-β to suppress immune reactions of lamina propria mononuclear cells from IBD patients and in animal models of IBD. Phase II clinical trial of mongersen, an oral SMAD7 antisense oligonucleotide in patients with active CD, demonstrates promising results. Patients who received mongersen had a significant rate of clinical response and remission than placebo. Interestingly, BMP antagonist Noggin is up-regulated in the early phase of colitis whereas treatment with BMP7 demonstrates anti-inflammatory effect on experimental IBD in rats. Thus, the strategy of
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**Figure 3.** Pathogenesis of inflammatory bowel disease (IBD). (A) Interaction between external factors such as diet and microbiota and the cells of the intestine and enteric nervous system is depicted. Panel A shows regulatory circuitry during normal repair process. (B) Panel B shows disrupted system during dysregulated repair in IBD. Imbalanced microbiota and diet can affect stem cells and niche cells. The resulting increase in inflammatory cytokines and other signaling molecules leads to failure to maintain the stem cell pool, fate choice bias, and persistent inflammation.
modulating TGF-β/BMP may provide new therapeutic intervention for chronic gut mucosal inflammation or IBD.

Taken together, Notch, Wnt and TGF-β/BMP signaling and some inflammatory cytokines play important roles in responding to external stimuli and maintaining stem cell number and function during intestinal homeostasis and repair (Fig. 3A). Imbalance of external factors such as diet and microbiota can result in dysregulation of inflammatory and non-inflammatory cytokines observed in IBD. Without proper signaling molecules, ISC and niche cells cannot function reliably, leading to reduced number of intestinal cells, especially secretory cells, persistent activation of immune response, and hypersensitivity (Fig. 3B).

The establishment of long-term culture methods for ISC raises the possibility that these cells may be used to promote mucosal healing in IBD patients with chronic ulcers. It was demonstrated that transplantation of either small intestinal organoids or fetal intestinal organoids can integrate into damaged mouse colon and form new functional crypts. Current, ISC-based therapy is under development for treating IBD patients. In addition to ISC, transplantation of other cell types that can modulate immune response has been developed into a potential therapy for IBD.

Mesenchymal stem/stromal cells (MSCs) are cells that differentiate into mesenchymal cell lineage including bone, cartilage and fat. Cells that meet the criteria of MSCs defined by the International Society for Cellular Therapy (ISCT) can be isolated from various tissues including bone marrow, placenta, adipose tissues, amniotic fluid, and many other organs. Due to its ability to suppress the activity of immune cells, MSCs have been tested in many inflammatory diseases including IBD. Promising initial results have been published but so far have not been proven in phase III clinical trials. Furthermore, the mechanisms of immunomodulation of MSCs still need to be clarified.

Irritable Bowel Syndrome

IBS is a functional bowel disorder characterized by chronic abdominal pain or discomfort associated with altered bowel habits in the absence of specific pathological findings. IBS is one of the most common GI conditions in clinical practice. The pathophysiology of IBS is unlikely to be caused by a single mechanism. Genetic components, environmental factors (e.g., diet and microbiota), activation of mucosal immune system/low-grade inflammation, altered gut motility, visceral hypersensitivity, neuroendocrine changes, and abnormalities in the brain-gut axis have been proposed as key contributors (Fig. 4). In this review, we focus on how signals related to stem cell homeostasis/repair may interact with the aforementioned mechanisms and contribute to symptom development and pathogenesis of IBS.

Compared to IBD, there is much less evidence of persistent activated immune cells or high levels of inflammatory cytokines in the bowel of patients with IBS. Nevertheless, in a significant number of IBS patients, mucosal biopsy revealed an increased number of mast and T-cell infiltration. Microscopic inflammation was also more frequently found in the IBS group than controls. More than 10% of IBS patients develop symptoms after an episode of acute gastroenteritis, which is called post-infectious IBS (PI-IBS). Peripheral blood mononuclear cells from patients with PI-IBS show T cell activation and an increased level of pro-inflammatory cytokines, including TNF and IL-6. Thus, at least in a subpopulation of patients with IBS, low grade immune activation is likely to contribute to the pathogenesis.

Increased mucosal permeability is frequently observed in IBS and can be caused by specific pathogens and diet (cow milk allergy or high fat diet), especially in patients with susceptibility genes. Activation of mucosal mast cells by short-chain fatty acid (through short-chain free fatty acid receptor 2 [FFA2]) and other stimuli triggers the release of specific proteases and inflammatory cytokines which further increase mucosal permeability. Dysregulated repair/regenerative signals (Wnt, Notch, and TGF-β) could also contribute to the barrier leakage by their effects on cell-cell-junction, function and number of secretory cells and their indirect effects through immune cells. It is possible that alteration of these signals may persist even after inflammation subsides through epigenetic modification of their regulatory regions and target genes.

IBS is subclassified into IBS-constipation predominant (IBS-C), IBS-diarrhea-predominant (IBS-D), and IBS-mixed. Clinical presentation of each group shows some correlation with levels of 5-HT released from enterochromaffin cells, a major type of enteroendocrine cells in the gut. Recent findings suggest that 5-HT not only regulates gut motility but also plays a role in immune activation and inflammation. In patients with IBS-D, the level of circulating 5-HT was found to be increased, whereas decreased 5-HT was observed in IBS-C. Polymorphisms in the promoter of serotonin-reuptake transporter genes have been reported to be associated with IBS-D risk. The alteration in the release of neuropeptides and hormones from enteroendocrine cells in IBS could be the result of the altered number of specific subtypes of enteroendocrine cells, abnormal enteroendocrine cell functions, and changes in sensitivity to stimuli.

Although it is still controversial, an alteration in the number of
specific enteroendocrine subtypes has been documented. A reduction in the number of 5-HT producing enterochromaffin cells has been demonstrated in IBS-C. The densities of cell producing 5-HT and CCK were found to be increased in the small bowel of patients with PI-IBS and IBS-D. A decrease in the density of cells producing secretin and CCK has been observed in the small intestine of patients with IBS-D. Unlike many endocrine cells in different organs which turnover slowly, enteroendocrine cells actively self-renew and differentiate throughout life. Due to the relaxed chromatin state of the ISCs and progenitors, these cells can switch fate choice upon exposure to strong stimuli. It was shown that a subpopulation of mucosal T-lymphocytes can increase the number of enteroendocrine cells partly through IL-13. Other extrinsic factors such as diet and intestinal bacterial flora have been reported to affect the density and the secretory function of endocrine cells in the gut. It has been demonstrated that high fat diet decreased expression of transcription factors MATH1, NEUROG3, and NEUROD1, all of which are crucial for neuroendocrine cell differentiation and led to a decrease in number of enteroendocrine cells.

Strong developmental signals such as Notch, Wnt, and BMP could also play a role in various steps of enteroendocrine subtype specification and differentiation. Increased Notch signaling could promote enterocyte differentiation while inhibiting secretory cell fate. Ngn3, an important transcription factor for neuroendocrine differentiation, could also be inhibited by Notch mediator Hes-1. In mouse model, activation of Wnt in the early stage of neuroendocrine differentiation resulted in small-intestinal adenomas expressing serotonin.

In contrast, it has been shown that the Wnt-coreceptor, low-density lipoproteinreceptor-related protein 5 (LRP5), inhibits the production of 5-HT from gut enterochromaffin cells by inhibiting expression of tryptophan hydroxylase 1, the rate-limiting biosynthetic enzyme for serotonin. Although the roles of TGF-β/BMP signaling on enteroendocrine differentiation have not been extensively studied in ISCs, deletion of epithelial BMP in the gastric epithelium significantly increased the number of Chromogranin A, Ghrelin, Somatostatin, Gastrin, and 5-HT expressing gastric endocrine cells. It has also been predicted that Pax4, another important transcription factor in enteroendocrine subtype specification
requires the TGF/BMP mediator Smad for co-regulation of its target genes.\textsuperscript{104} Secretion of each type of enteroendocrine cell is triggered by specific luminal contents, hormones and the enteric nervous system. Gut microbiota can influence 5-HT release by producing short-chain fatty acids stimulating FFA2 receptors on enterochromaffin cells. Enteroendocrine cells also express TRPA1, a member of the transient receptor potential (TRP) family of ion channel, which plays a role in sensing mechanical, chemical, and thermal stimulation.\textsuperscript{111} Mechanical stimulation such as bowel distension is a strong inducer of enteroendocrine secretion. Sensitivity of TRP receptors can be modulated by inflammatory cytokines, hormones and neurotropic factors such as GDNF and NGF. TNF can also potentiate mechanical sensitivity of TRPA1.\textsuperscript{112} Proteases released from mast cells, calcitonin gene-related peptide (CGRP) and neuropeptide Y are known to be key players in pain sensitization. Wnt can induce pain hypersensitivity through the \( \beta \)-catenin independent pathway. Hypersensitivity of enteroendocrine cells to subthreshold stimuli frequently occurs transiently after infection/inflammation but may persist for a long period of time in some patients. The mechanism responsible for the persistent change is important and still needs to be elucidated.

Enteric nervous system hypersensitivity has been proposed to be a key event in IBS pathogenesis. Stimulation of afferent fibers of the enteric nervous system with 5-HT in combination with histamine, bradykinin, prostaglandin, and other inflammatory cytokines can activate previously silent units.\textsuperscript{113} It was shown that even mild transient upregulation of inflammatory cytokines could lead to chronic hypersensitivity.\textsuperscript{115} Inflammatory-induced neuroplasticity change can persist long after the inflammation subsides.\textsuperscript{114} It should be noted that non-immune cells could also contribute signals to the process of sensitization. Enteric glial cells and smooth muscle cells produce GDNF and NGF, which in turn, promote neuropeptide Y and CGRP release from enteric neurons.\textsuperscript{115} These cytokines are known to be responsible for neurogenic inflammation and peripheral sensitization in a number of chronic pain syndromes such as migraine.\textsuperscript{61}

Mesenchymal stromal cells can produce either pro-inflammatory cytokines such as IL-1\( \beta \), TNF-\( \alpha \) or anti-inflammatory cytokines such as IL-10 and TGF-\( \beta \) depending on the environmental signals. TGF-\( \beta 2 \) was shown to be capable of stimulating neural outgrowth of cultured myenteric neurons.\textsuperscript{116} Stromal cells also secrete Wnt which can regulate the expression of glutamate receptors in the sensory neurons.\textsuperscript{111} Recent findings suggest that upon stimulation with injury signals, the enteric nervous system may stimulate neurogenesis by reversing enteric glia to a progenitor state.\textsuperscript{117,118} Such progenitors then produce new neurons. Whether adult neurogenesis is even partially responsible for long-term plasticity is still a matter of debate. It remains unclear whether this phenomenon occurs in vivo. Nevertheless, our understanding of the neural plasticity in IBS could lead to new therapeutic interventions.

**Conclusion**

In this review we have discussed how ISCs and abnormalities in regulatory pathways may be involved in the pathogenesis and symptom development of IBD and a subpopulation of IBS. Future studies on the changes in these pathways in IBS patients may open up a new approach for more effective therapeutic intervention of these common diseases.
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