Microbiological analysis of the Robiola di Roccaverano cheese by means of traditional culturing methods
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Abstract
Robiola di Roccaverano is a Protected Designation of Origin soft cheese made with goat’s milk, produced in Piedmont region (Italy). The peculiarities of this cheese are: i) the use of the raw milk, ii) the addition of a Natural Milk Starter, iii) the application of traditional techniques of production and iv) the localization of the dairies in rural area. All these aspects influence the microbial flora of final product and make interesting its investigation. Samples were collected at different moment of the cheese making process and during the different seasons. In this preliminary study, the safety and the hygiene parameters of the production were evaluated. Lactic acid bacteria, moulds and yeasts involved in cheese-making process were also enumerated. Pathogens were not found in all samples and the counts of coagulase positive staphylococci were within the standard of law. The enumeration of microorganisms of technological interest demonstrated that, nevertheless the artisanal manufacturing process applied, the dairy was able to standardize the final products.

Materials and Methods
Microbiological analysis and pH detection
NMS (N=12), raw milk (N=12), 5 days ripened cheese (N=12) and 15 days ripened cheese (N=12) were sampled, in different seasons of the year (spring, summer, autumn and winter). Three samples of each matrix were collected in different production days, in sterile conditions from one of the most appreciated Robiola di Roccaverano cheese factory and analyzed within 2-3 h of sampling. Ten grams or 10 mL of each sample were firstly homogenized with a sterile physiological saline solution and peptone (85:15 v:v, 90 mL) (Oxoid Limited, Basingstoke, UK) at 230 rpm for 1 min. Subsequently, serial dilutions were prepared with the same saline solution. The number of Coagulase Positive Staphylococci (CPS) were evaluated at 37°C for 24-48 h on Baird-Parker RPF Agar (Oxoid Limited, Basingstoke, UK) at 230 rpm for 1 min. 

Statistical analysis
All samples were tested in triplicate and the bacterial counts were expressed as Log CFU/g or Log CFU/mL. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to determine statistically significant differences (P<0.05) among the independent variables.

Introduction
Italy possesses an ancient tradition in the manufacturing of dairy products, with a wide variety of typical cheese that has received the Protected Designation of Origin (PDO) status. Among these, the Robiola di Roccaverano is an artisanal, soft and creamy cheese, made with raw goat’s milk in the Piedmont region (North-West Italy). During the production process a Natural Milk Starter (NMS) obtained from the raw milk, 5 days acidification coming from previous fermentation process, is inoculated into fresh raw milk. Thereafter, curd obtained from goat’s or cow’s rennet is overturned into mold for the serum release and after 4 days Robiola di Roccaverano cheese can be sold as fresh cheese or ripened for maximum 15 days. Consequently, safety and quality of Robiola di Roccaverano cheese are strictly related to the indigenous microflora inhabiting unpasteurized milk, NMS and to the hygienic conditions of dairy environment.

Due to the importance of the microflora on the characteristics of the final product, in recent years, several researches focused on the investigation of the microbial composition and population dynamics of artisanal dairy products. In particular, numerous researches have been conducted on traditional raw milk cheese (Yunita and Dodd, 2018; Picon et al., 2016; Quigley et al., 2011). Robiola di Roccaverano has been already investigated through classical microbiology in studies have been focused on cheese coming from different dairies and periods of the year (Bonetta et al., 2008).

This is the first study in which the evolution of microbial flora in the different steps of production and the importance of the NMS during the manufacturing process have been assessed. The aims of this preliminary work were to monitor food safety and hygienic parameters, as well as microorganisms of technological interest like Lactic Acid Bacteria (LAB), moulds and yeasts. The samples were collected along the production chain and in different period of the year.

Materials and Methods
Microbiological analysis and pH detection
NMS (N=12), raw milk (N=12), 5 days ripened cheese (N=12) and 15 days ripened cheese (N=12) were sampled, in different seasons of the year (spring, summer, autumn and winter). Three samples of each matrix were collected in different production days, in sterile conditions from one of the most appreciated Robiola di Roccaverano cheese factory and analyzed within 2-3 h of sampling. Ten grams or 10 mL of each sample were firstly homogenized with a sterile physiological saline solution and peptone (85:15 v:v, 90 mL) (Oxoid Limited, Basingstoke, UK) at 230 rpm for 1 min. Subsequently, serial dilutions were prepared with the same saline solution. The number of Coagulase Positive Staphylococci (CPS) were evaluated at 37°C for 24-48 h on Baird-Parker RPF Agar (Oxoid Limited, Basingstoke, UK) at 230 rpm for 1 min.

Statistical analysis
All samples were tested in triplicate and the bacterial counts were expressed as Log CFU/g or Log CFU/mL. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to determine statistically significant differences (P<0.05) among the independent variables.
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Table 1. Results of classical microbiological analysis.

| Matrices | Season | ENT | CPS | Lactococci | Lactobacilli | M&Y | pH  |
|----------|--------|-----|-----|------------|--------------|-----|-----|
| Milk     | Spring | 2.7 | 3.8 | 3.8        | 3.7          | 2.5 | 6.7 |
| Milk     | Spring | 2.0 | 3.1 | 3.9        | 3.3          | 3.4 | 6.8 |
| Milk     | Spring | 2.7 | 3.5 | 6.5        | 2.8          | 3.8 | 6.6 |
| Milk     | Summer | 4.1 | 3.7 | 6.5        | 4.8          | 5.5 | 6.7 |
| Milk     | Summer | 3.0 | 2.3 | 2.5        | 2.0          | 2.5 | 6.3 |
| Milk     | Summer | 3.5 | 4.1 | 5.7        | 5.9          | 4.2 | 6.5 |
| Milk     | Autumn | 3.2 | 3.0 | 3.4        | 3.5          | <2.0| 6.5 |
| Milk     | Autumn | 3.1 | 3.7 | 3.6        | 3.6          | <2.0| 6.5 |
| Milk     | Winter | 2.0 | 3.4 | 4.2        | 3.4          | <2.0| 6.7 |
| Milk     | Winter | 3.5 | 3.8 | 3.7        | 3.3          | <2.0| 6.5 |
| Milk     | Winter | 3.6 | 3.1 | 3.2        | 3.0          | <2.0| 6.4 |
| NMS      | Spring | -   | -   | 9.4        | 8.9          | 3.5 | 4.8 |
| NMS      | Spring | -   | -   | 9.4        | 9.1          | 6.5 | 4.8 |
| NMS      | Summer | -   | -   | 8.7        | 8.3          | 9.5 | 4.7 |
| NMS      | Summer | -   | -   | 7.9        | 7.1          | 7.9 | 4.8 |
| NMS      | Summer | -   | -   | 8.9        | 8.5          | 3.6 | 4.3 |
| NMS      | Summer | -   | -   | 8.1        | 6.4          | 4.0 | 4.8 |
| NMS      | Autumn | -   | -   | 9.1        | 8.1          | 2.9 | 5.0 |
| NMS      | Autumn | -   | -   | 9.0        | 8.9          | 3.8 | 4.9 |
| NMS      | Autumn | -   | -   | 9.1        | 9.7          | 2.5 | 4.3 |
| NMS      | Winter | -   | -   | 8.3        | 8.3          | 2.4 | 4.7 |
| NMS      | Winter | -   | -   | 9.2        | 8.6          | 2.4 | 4.7 |
| NMS      | Winter | -   | -   | 9.0        | 7.5          | 2.6 | 4.7 |
| 5 days cheese | Spring | 1.2 | 3.1 | 8.2        | 7.1          | 4.2 | 4.8 |
| 5 days cheese | Spring | 2.5 | <2.0| 6.5        | 5.8          | 5.8 | 4.5 |
| 5 days cheese | Spring | 4.9 | <2.0| 7.9        | 6.8          | 6.7 | 4.6 |
| 5 days cheese | Summer | <1,0| 2.3 | 7.5        | 8.3          | 7.1 | 4.2 |
| 5 days cheese | Summer | <1,0| 2.8 | 7.7        | 7.3          | 7.2 | 4.5 |
| 5 days cheese | Autumn | 2.2 | 2.9 | 8.1        | 7.4          | 7.6 | 4.8 |
| 5 days cheese | Autumn | 2.9 | 3.0 | 8.7        | 8.1          | 8.4 | 4.6 |
| 5 days cheese | Autumn | 2.7 | 2.4 | 7.8        | 8.2          | 8.2 | 4.7 |
| 5 days cheese | Autumn | 2.5 | 2.5 | 8.1        | 8.5          | 7.6 | 4.8 |
| 5 days cheese | Winter | 2.6 | 2.0 | 8.2        | 7.4          | 7.8 | 4.9 |
| 5 days cheese | Winter | 2.5 | 2.3 | 8.4        | 3.8          | 7.6 | 4.6 |
| 5 days cheese | Winter | 4.3 | <2.0| 8.2        | 7.9          | 7.8 | 4.9 |
| 15 days cheese | Spring | 4.1 | 3.1 | 8.3        | 8.0          | 8.5 | 4.7 |
| 15 days cheese | Spring | 3.5 | 2.5 | 7.9        | 7.6          | 8.2 | 5.0 |
| 15 days cheese | Spring | 3.5 | 2.6 | 8.7        | 8.3          | 8.0 | 4.9 |
| 15 days cheese | Summer | 1.2 | 2.0 | 9.2        | 8.7          | 7.4 | 4.7 |
| 15 days cheese | Summer | 4.2 | 3.1 | 8.3        | 8.0          | 8.8 | 4.8 |
| 15 days cheese | Summer | 2.5 | 3.6 | 8.4        | 7.9          | 7.8 | 4.7 |
| 15 days cheese | Autumn | 2.5 | 3.1 | 8.3        | 8.4          | 7.8 | 4.9 |
| 15 days cheese | Autumn | 2.4 | 3.2 | 8.4        | 8.1          | 8.0 | 4.8 |
| 15 days cheese | Autumn | 3.1 | 2.6 | 8.3        | 8.4          | 8.0 | 4.9 |
| 15 days cheese | Winter | 2.5 | 2.5 | 8.3        | 8.0          | 7.9 | 4.8 |
| 15 days cheese | Winter | <1,0| <2.0| 8.3        | 8.1          | 7.6 | 4.8 |
| 15 days cheese | Winter | 2.4 | <2.0| 8.4        | 8.0          | 7.6 | 4.9 |

All results were expressed as Log CFU/mL or Log CFU/g. Abbreviations: *Enterobacteriaceae* (ENT), coagulase positive staphylococci (CPS), Moulds and Yeasts (M&Y) and Natural Milk Starter (NMS). <1 and <2 are the limits of detection of the methods.
such as microbiological parameters and seasonality. Where significances were assessed, post hoc test was conducted using Tukey multiple comparison test.

Principal Component Analysis (PCA) using PAST software version 3 (Hammer, Harper, & Rian, n.d.) was performed in order to characterize microbial communities in different samples of milk, 5- and 15-days collected in one year.

Results and Discussion

The results obtained by culturing methods are summarized in Table 1.

The safety parameters complained with food safety criteria of EC Regulation 2073/2005, since pathogenic microorganisms such as L. monocytogenes and Salmonella spp. were not found in all milk and cheese samples. These results supported those obtained by several studies aimed to characterize cheese obtained with unpasteurized milk such as malga cheese and Kashkaval (Lucchini et al., 2018; Pappa et al., 2019; Yoon et al., 2016).

The values of CPS in milk ranged between 2.3 and 4.1 Log CFU/mL, instead in cheeses CPS were not detected in some samples and, in general, they were lower than 3.6 Log CFU/g. Despite the small variation among the samples, the total counts of CPS were always within the required limits of processing hygiene criteria included in EC Regulation 2073/2005. The results obtained can be considered promising in relation to the absence of thermal treatment of raw materials, to the location of dairy in rural areas and to the artisanal manufacturing process employed.

Beside food safety aspect, the quantification of Enterobacteriaceae colonies could be used as parameter of hygiene and quality of productions. In fact, high counts of colonies belonging to this family in milk and cheese could be an indicator of poor hygienic conditions of production (Tornadijo et al., 2001). The count of Enterobacteriaceae in milk (2.0-4.1 Log CFU/mL) were similar than those reported in cow’s milk and lower than the goat’s milk used for the production of Tenerife cheese (Tornadijo et al., 2001, Zárate et al., 1997). In addition, Enterobacteriaceae values were similar among milk, 5 days cheese and 15 days cheese samples. In the past some authors described that the number of Enterobacteriaceae decreased with the maturation time (Metz et al., 2020). However, our findings contrasted with the trend above described probably due to the short time of ripening of Robiola di Roccaverano.

Concerning the microorganisms of technological interest like LAB, the counts in milk were between 2.5-6.5 log CFU/mL for the lactococci and within 2.0-5.9 log CFU/mL for lactobacilli, less than the values reported by Quigley et al. (2013). No significant differences were observed among milk samples and seasons.

For as concern moulds and yeast counts, milk samples can be divided in two main groups due the significant seasonal difference (P<0.005). In fact, spring and summer showed similar quantity within 2.5 and 5.5 Log CFU/mL, instead in autumn and winter mould and yeasts were not detectable with culturing methods. The lack of fungal colonies isolated in cold seasons may depend on the inability of culturing methods to detect population present in low amount (Dalmasso et al., 2016). In addition, the seasonality differences observed could be related to the variable environmental dairy conditions or to the un-standardized manufacturing procedures.

Furthermore, NMS lactic flora showed high quantity of lacticocci and lactobacilli, between 7.9 and 9.4 Log CFU/mL and 6.4 and 9.7 Log CFU/mL respectively, similar among the different seasons; as well as mould and yeast were present always in similar number within 2.4 and 9.5 Log CFU/mL (Table 1).

Samples of 5 days cheese and 15 days cheese contained high number of lacticocci and lactobacilli, as the values found in NMS (between 3.8 and 9.2 log CFU/g). High quantity of LAB was reported in Robiola di Roccaverano and in other raw milk cheese (Bonetta et al., 2008; Poznanski et al., 2004). No differences were found in LAB number among seasons. Indeed, mould and yeast counts in the two types of cheese were within 4.2 and 8.8 Log CFU/g. Significant seasonal differences were found also in 5

Figure 1. Principal Component Analysis plot of all microbiological parameters for milk, 5- and 15- days cheese. Abbreviations: Enterobacteriaceae (ENT), coagulate positive staphylococci (CPS), Moulds and Yeasts (M&Y).
days cheeses collected in spring season vs winter and autumn (\(P<0.005\)).

On the contrary to milk samples, where in autumn and winter moulds and yeasts were not isolated, in 5 days cheese they were found in high number. This increment could depend by the addition of NMS or by dairy environmental sources.

Generally, the microflora dynamic described in our results was characterized by the increasing of LAB, moulds and yeasts population from milk to cheese (\(P<0.005\)) after the addition of NMS. Probably, the high number of technological populations in NMS strongly influenced the final products. This tendency has been largely observed during cheese manufacturing process and in others goat’s milk cheese (Psoni and Tzanetakis, 2003; Záráte et al., 1997).

The pH of milk samples showed values between 6.3 and 6.8, instead NMS, 5 days cheese and 15 days cheese reached pH value comprise among 4.2 and 5.0. The low pH in NMS could be ascribed to the action of LAB that rapidly ferment lactose to lactic acid, especially, mesophilic lactococci (Wouters et al., 2002). Therefore, the addition of NMS to fresh raw milk during Robiola di Roccaverano manufacturing rapidly reduced pH value; as well as low pH persisted in 5 days and 15 days cheeses. During the cheese-making process the acidification is an important parameter for milk coagulation. Moreover the combined effect of low pH and high number of LAB may prevent the growth of undesirable microorganisms like S. aureus and L. monocytogenes (Lucchini et al., 2018; Schelin et al., 2011; Yoon et al., 2016).

Finally, in order to investigate the clustering tendency between milk, 5 days cheese and 15 days cheese the PCA was performed (Figure 1). This test allowed to better describe the results reported in Table 1. The samples clustered separately according to the type of matrices: milk disclosed along the second principal component from cheese, instead no separation was found among fresh and matured cheese. In reason of these results above mentioned, 5- and 15-days cheese were separated to milk for the component LAB mould and yeast.

Conclusions

In this preliminary work the production chain of an artisanal cheese factory of Robiola di Roccaverano was followed for one year. Despite the absence of thermal treatment of raw material, the small-scale of the dairy and the artisanal manufacturing methodologies adopted, no pathogens were found in milk and cheese products. Even though the traditional cheese making process, the dairy was able to guarantee good quality standards in the Robiola di Roccaverano, confirmed by reduced differences in terms of microbial enumeration along one year. Further research will be necessary to investigate the microbiota and organoleptic features of this artisanal production, other than compare different dairies of Robiola di Roccaverano cheese.
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