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We consider Lagrangians in 3+1 dimensions admitting topological defects where there is an additional coupling between the defect scalar field $\Phi$ and the gauge field kinetic term (eg $B(\Phi^2)F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}$). Such a dilatonic coupling in the context of a static defect, induces a spatially dependent effective gauge charge and effective mass for the scalar field which leads to modified properties of the defect core. In particular, the scale of the core gets modified while the stability properties of the corresponding embedded defects are also affected. These modifications are illustrated for gauged (Nielsen-Olesen) vortices and for gauged ('t Hooft-Polyakov) monopoles. The corresponding dilatonic global defects are also studied in the presence of an external gauge field.

INTRODUCTION

The spacetime variation of fundamental constants\cite{1, 2, 3, 4} like the gravitational constant\cite{4} or the charges of gauge field interactions\cite{5} is usually implemented at the Lagrangian level by promoting these constants to scalar fields\cite{6, 7, 8, 9, 10} whose dynamics is determined by potential and kinetic terms properly chosen to make the allowed variations consistent with current experiments and cosmological observations. For example in order to allow spacetime variation of the gravitational constant $G$ and the fine structure constant $\alpha = e^2/hc$ we may consider the replacement of the Einstein-Maxwell action

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left( \phi R - \omega_\phi \phi \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \phi} - V_\phi(\phi) - e^{-2\psi} \frac{1}{4\alpha_0} F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{\omega_\psi}{2} \psi^2 \psi^\mu - V_\psi(\psi) \right)$$

by a generalization

Inspired from the Brans-Dicke (BD) theory\cite{4} (gravitational part) and the Bekenstein\cite{2} Sandvik, Barrow and Magueijo\cite{3} BSBM (electromagnetic part) actions. For free fields, the potentials take the forms: $V(\phi) = \frac{1}{2} m_\phi^2 \phi^2$, $V(\psi) = \frac{1}{2} m_\psi^2 \phi^2$. In this action the gravitational constant $G_0$ is replaced by the dynamical BD field $\phi$ as $\phi = \frac{16\pi G}{m_\phi^2}$ and the fine structure constant is replaced by the dynamical BSBM field $\psi$ as $\alpha = \alpha_0 e^{2\psi}$. Laboratory experiments and astrophysical/cosmological observations impose limits on the allowed spacetime variations of $G$\cite{8, 9, 10, 11} and $\alpha$\cite{12}. These limits can be translated into constraints on the parameters $\omega_\phi$, $\omega_\psi$ and on the masses of the corresponding scalar fields. In the limit of infinite values of these parameters, the dynamics of the scalar fields freeze and the dynamics of the action\cite{2} reduces to the Einstein-Maxwell action dynamics. The scalar fields $\phi$ and $\psi$ emerge naturally in the context of string theory as dilatons\cite{10, 11}.

The BD parameter $\omega_\phi$ is dimensionless while the BSBM parameter $\omega_\psi$ has dimensions of energy squared $m^2 \sim l^{-2}$ (in units where $\hbar = c = 1$). If the potentials are ignored ($m_\phi = m_\psi = 0$) then the experimental/observational constraints on $\omega_\phi$, $\omega_\psi$ are\cite{8, 9, 12, 13}

$$\omega_\phi > \frac{4 \times 10^4}{(100\text{MeV})^2}$$

These constraints are based mainly on tests of the equivalence principle and fifth force search experiments as well as on solar system tests (for $\omega_\phi$). When the field masses are nonzero, the above constraints are significantly relaxed\cite{13}.

In a cosmological setup both fields $\phi$ and $\psi$ have been considered as possible dark energy candidates\cite{12, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32}. In the context of the recent possible detection of temporal\cite{21, 22} and spatial\cite{23, 24} variation of $\alpha$ on cosmological scales (the $\alpha$ dipole\cite{25, 26}), the field $\psi$ has the potential to play a dual role: the role of inhomogeneous dark energy and the cause of $\alpha$ variation\cite{12, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20}. Cosmological models based on inhomogeneous dark energy are motivated by CMB and other cosmic anomalies\cite{30, 31} which may hint towards deviations from the cosmological principle on large cosmic scales\cite{30, 32}.

Negative pressure and large sound velocity would tend to wipe out any inhomogeneities of this scalar field on all scales. Topologically non-trivial field configurations however have the potential to sustain such field inho-
mogeneities on cosmological scales. Such configurations have been considered as a possible mechanism to sustain inhomogeneous dark energy (topological quintessence [31, 32]) possibly combined with correlated spatial variation of fine structure constant (extended topological quintessence [33, 34]). The later possibility is amplified by the observational fact that a dipole fit of the dark energy distribution using Type Ia supernovae leads to a dipole whose direction is only about 10° away from the α dipole direction [29]. Therefore, topological defects emerging due to topologically non-trivial configurations of the field $\psi$ (dilatonic defects) have the potential to play an interesting role in cosmology [30, 35–39].

It is therefore interesting to investigate their field configuration properties which emerge as generalizations of the corresponding ordinary defects where there is no coupling between the scalar field and the gauge field kinetic term. These properties may be summarized as follows:

- The dilatonic coupling induces spatial variation of the gauge charge and a spatial variation of the effective mass of the scalar field. This can lead to modification of the scale of the gauged topological defect core.

- The stability of the gauged embedded defects [40–42] is significantly affected by the dilatonic coupling due to the spatial variation of the effective mass of the scalar field [38].

- The dilatonic coupling can lead to the formation of a scalar field condensate in the core of embedded defects because it can induce a local instability which is confined in the core region where the gauge fields are excited.

- Global embedded defects are unstable without the dilatonic coupling. However, in the presence of a dilatonic coupling and an external gauge field, they can be locally stabilised in their core region.

The goal of the present study is to demonstrate the existence of dilatonic defect solutions and investigate in some detail the above properties in the case of dilatonic vortices and monopoles. This is an extension of a recent study by two of the authors that focused only on the case of the dilatonic semilocal (embedded) vortex [38].

The structure of this paper is the following: In the next section we briefly review the Nielsen-Olesen U(1) gauged vortex and demonstrate the existence of its dilatonic generalization. The embedded dilatonic NO vortex is also defined and its stability is reviewed. In the limit of a trivial dilatonic coupling the embedded dilatonic gauged vortex reduces to the semilocal string. The embedded global dilatonic vortex is also defined and its stability is analyzed in the context of a localized external gauge (“magnetic”) field. In section 3 we define the dilatonic ’tHooft-Polyakov monopole and consider its embedding in a model with $O(4)$ symmetry. The embedded global dilatonic monopole is also defined and its stability is analyzed in the context of a localized external gauge (“magnetic”) field. Finally, in section 4 we conclude and discuss future extensions of the present analysis paying special attention to applications in the case of the dilatonic electroweak string.

**DILATONIC VORTICES**

**Dilatonic Nielsen-Olesen Vortex**

We start this section with a brief review of the Nielsen-Olesen (NO) vortices without a dilatonic coupling. These are topologically stable string solutions in the Abelian-Higgs model [46, 47].

The Lagrangian density of this model is of the form

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4e^2} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + |D_\mu \Phi|^2 - V(\Phi)$$

where $D_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} - i A_{\mu}$ is the covariant derivative. Also $V(\Phi) = \frac{1}{4} (\Phi^* \Phi - \eta^2)^2$. The Nielsen-Olesen vortex ansatz is of the form

$$\Phi = f(r)e^{im\theta}$$

$$A_\mu = A_\theta = \frac{u(r)}{r} \dot{\theta}$$

where $m$ is the winding number of the complex scalar field $\Phi$.

The vacuum manifold of the model is an $S^1$

$$\mathcal{V} = \{ \Phi \in \mathbb{C} \mid \Phi^* \Phi - \eta^2 = 0 \} \cong S^1.$$  

When the asymptotic value of the scalar field $\Phi$ wraps around that vacuum manifold, there is a non-zero value of the winding number of the vortex. This forces the scalar field to acquire a zero value somewhere in the (xy) plane. The resulting vortices are topological and they are labelled by non-trivial elements of the first homotopy group: $\pi_1(\mathcal{V}) = \pi_1(S^1) \neq 1$.

The energy momentum tensor

$$T_{\mu\nu} = -g_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{L} + 2 \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial g^{\mu\nu}}$$

is easily obtained by using (3) in (7) with the NO ansatz (4), (5). Thus, the energy density is obtained as

$$\rho = f'^2 + \frac{f^2}{r^2}(m-u)^2 + \frac{u^2}{2r^2} + \frac{\beta}{2}(f^2-1)^2$$

where the following rescaling was applied:

$$f \rightarrow \bar{f} = \eta f$$

$$r \rightarrow \bar{r} = \frac{r}{\eta e}$$
and $\beta \equiv \frac{m^2}{m_A^2} = \frac{\lambda}{e^2}$. Note that the scalar field mass is $m_\Phi = \frac{\sqrt{2} \alpha}{\sqrt{2n}}$ while the gauge field mass is $m_A = e \eta$. Thus, $\beta$ is the only free parameter of the theory after the rescaling. Also the rescaled field equations obtained from (3) are:

$$f'' + \frac{f'}{r} - \frac{1}{r^2} (m - u)^2 - \beta (f^2 - 1) f = 0 \quad (11)$$

$$u'' - \frac{u'}{r} + 2f^2(m - u) = 0 \quad (12)$$

The NO boundary conditions to be imposed on (11) and (12) for winding $m$ are $f(0) = u(0) = 0$, $f(r \to \infty) = 1$ and $u(r \to \infty) = m$. In this study we focus on vortices with unit winding number ($m = 1$).

In Fig. 1 we show the solution of the field equations (11) and (12) with the NO boundary conditions numerically for $\beta = 5$.
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FIG. 1: The functions $f_{NO}$ and $u_{NO}$ for a string with unit winding ($m = 1$) and for $\beta = 5$.

In the BPS limit ($\beta = 1$) (following [48] and regrouping the terms of the total energy), the rescaled field eqs (11)-(12) reduce to the following first order BPS equations

$$f' + \frac{u - 1}{r} f = 0 \quad u' + r(f^2 - 1) = 0 \quad (13)$$

We now add a dilatonic coupling of the form $B(|\Phi|^2) = 1 + q |\Phi|^2$ to the gauge kinetic term of the Abelian-Higgs model Lagrangian (3) thus generalizing the model to the *dilatonic Abelian-Higgs model*

$$\mathcal{L} = |D_\mu \Phi|^2 - \frac{B(|\Phi|)}{4\alpha_0} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{\lambda}{4} (\Phi^* \Phi - \eta^2)^2 \quad (14)$$

Thus, the fine structure constant $\alpha$ becomes dynamical with $\alpha = \alpha_0/B(|\Phi|)$. Notice that this coupling is not the same BSBM coupling of eq. (2) even though the two couplings are similar for small field values. We use this form to demonstrate the effect of the dilatonic term on the effective mass of the scalar field. Using the same NO ansatz (4), (5) the rescaled energy density takes the form

$$\rho = f'^2 + \frac{f^2}{r^2} (1 - u)^2 + \frac{1 + q f^2}{2} \left( \frac{u'}{r} \right)^2$$

$$+ \frac{\beta}{2} (f^2 - 1)^2 \quad (15)$$

and the field eqs are obtained as

$$f'' + \frac{f'}{r} - \frac{f}{r^2} (1 - u)^2 - \frac{q f}{2} \left( \frac{u'}{r} \right)^2 - \beta (f^2 - 1) f = 0 \quad (16)$$

$$u'' - \frac{u'}{r} + \frac{2f^2}{1 + q f^2} (1 - u) = 0 \quad (17)$$

where the (11)-(19) rescaling was used. It is straightforward to show that for small $r$, the functions $f$ and $u$ behave as $f \sim r$ and $u \sim r^2$ respectively. As $r \to \infty$ they approach their asymptotic values exponentially with a width $w \sim \beta^{-1/2}$ for $f$ while for $u$ the width is independent of $\beta$ (17).

In the presence of the dilatonic coupling we may define an effective rescaled mass squared (negative due to symmetry breaking) for the scalar field in the core region as

$$- \beta_{eff} = - \beta + \frac{q \Phi^2}{2r^2} \quad (18)$$

For $r << 1$, the term $\frac{q \Phi^2}{2r^2}$ is $O(q)$ while for $r >> 1$ it vanishes. Thus, for $q > 0$ ($q < 0$), $\beta_{eff}$ in the core region decreases (increases) leading to increased (decreased) core width. This is demonstrated in Fig. 2 where the width of the dilatonic vortex increases as we increase the value of $q$. The rescaled effective mass squared $-\beta_{eff}$, shown
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FIG. 2: As we increase $q$ the effective mass of the scalar field decreases, which leads to increased core width, as discussed above.

in Fig. 3 significantly increases for $r < 1$. 

---

**Figure Legends**

- FIG. 1: The functions $f_{NO}$ and $u_{NO}$ for a string with unit winding ($m = 1$) and for $\beta = 5$.
- FIG. 2: As we increase $q$ the effective mass of the scalar field decreases, which leads to increased core width, as discussed above.
The Langrangian density is of the form
\[ \mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \Phi^\dagger \partial^\mu \Phi - \frac{1}{4} \alpha \Phi^\dagger \Phi - \lambda (\Phi^\dagger \Phi - \eta^2)^2 \]

The embedded NO vortex ansatz is of the form
\[ \Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_1 \\ \Phi_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ f e^{i\theta} \end{pmatrix} \]

while for the gauge field remains unchanged \[ B(\Phi^\dagger \Phi) = 1 + \frac{q \Phi^\dagger \Phi}{\eta^2} \]

and we can write the energy of the vortex as
\[ E = \int_0^\infty dr \, r \left( g'' + f^2 + f' \frac{f''}{r^2} (1 - u)^2 + \frac{u^2 g^2}{r^2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + q(f^2 + g^2) \right) \left( \frac{u'}{r} \right)^2 \]
\[ + \frac{\beta}{2} (f^2 + g^2 - 1)^2 \]

is the unperturbed energy and the energy perturbation due to \( g \) can be written as
\[ \delta E_g = \int_0^\infty dr \, r (g \dot{O} g) \]

where \( \dot{O} \) is a Schrödinger-like Hermitian operator of the form:
\[ \dot{O} = -\frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{dr} \left( r \frac{d}{dr} \right) + \frac{u^2}{r^2} + \frac{q}{2} \left( \frac{u'}{r} \right)^2 \]
\[ + \frac{\beta}{2} (f^2 - 1)^2 \]
The Schrödinger potential of $\hat{O}$ is

$$V_{\text{Schrodinger}} = \frac{u^2}{r^2} + \frac{q}{2} \left( \frac{u'}{r} \right)^2 + \beta(f^2 - 1) \quad (32)$$

For values of the parameters $q$ and $\beta$ for which $\hat{O}$ has no negative eigenvalues we have $\delta E_q \geq 0$ and therefore no instability develops. The plot in Fig. 4 shows the increase of the stability region as we increase the value of the parameter $q$. Note that the plot is not identical to that shown in Ref. [38] (Fig. 5) since the functional form of the dilatonic coupling is different in our case (we assume a power law while an exponential $B(\Phi^1 \Phi) = e^{\frac{q^2 f^2}{r^2}}$ was assumed in [38]).

![FIG. 4: The stability region $\beta(q)$ for the embedded dilatonic NO vortex. In this case we have assumed a power law dilatonic function. Sector $I$ is the stability region while sector $II$ is the instability region. Notice that for $\beta < 1$ a negative $q$ can destabilize the vortex. In that region the instability leads to a stable scalar field condensate confined in the core.](image)

Alternatively, the stability analysis may be performed at the nonlinear level by performing a full-minimization of the energy (27) with respect to $f, u, g$. In order to achieve this we used a simple mathematica code where we performed the minimization with fixed NO boundary conditions. In Fig. 4 the $f, u, g$ fields are shown for $\beta = 1.5$ and $q = 0$. As expected, instability clearly develops in this case since the perturbation grows in the core while the NO vortex core size increases out to the boundary where it is artificially confined by the boundary conditions.

However, as the value of $q$ increases, the value of $\beta_{\text{eff}}$ decreases and we have a stability improvement. This is demonstrated in Fig. 5 where it is shown that after energy minimization, no instability develops for $q = 5.5$ and the same value of $\beta = 1.5$. Indeed, as we increase the value of $q$ it becomes more costly energetically for the scalar field to develop a non-zero value at the defect core (due to the term $\frac{1}{2}(1 + q(f^2 + g^2)) \left( \frac{f'}{r} \right)^2$) where the gauge field kinetic term is non-zero and positive definite.

![FIG. 5: The stability region $\beta(q)$ for the embedded dilatonic NO vortex. An exponential law ($B(\Phi^1 \Phi) = e^{\frac{q^2 f^2}{r^2}}$) is implemented for the dilatonic function (see also [38]) but this time negative values of $q$ are included. Sector $I$ is the stability region while sector $II$ is the instability region. For $\beta < 1$ a negative $q$ can destabilize the vortex and lead to the formation of a scalar field condensate that does not propagate outside the core.](image)

Finally we point out an interesting effect that occurs for negative values of $q$ and $\beta < 1$. In this case $\beta_{\text{eff}}$ may become larger than 1 in the core region while away from the core $\beta_{\text{eff}} < 1$. Thus the instability develops inside the core but does not propagate outside where the stability condition holds. We have verified numerically by energy minimization, the existence of such a localized scalar field condensate. The corresponding field configu-
FIG. 8: Solutions for $g(r)$ for the embedded dilatonic NO vortex for $\beta = 0.9$ and $q = -0.5$. Notice that $g$ develops a non-zero value in the core of the defect which remains localized there as the energy is minimized. A localized scalar field condensate forms.

Using now the embedded vortex ansatz

$$\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \delta \Phi_1 & \equiv g \\ f e^{i\theta} & \end{pmatrix}$$

we obtain the field equations

$$g'' + \frac{g'}{r} - \frac{q}{2} B_z^2 g - \left( f^2 + g^2 - 1 \right) \frac{g}{2} = 0 \quad (36)$$

$$f'' + \frac{f'}{r} - \frac{f}{r^2} - \frac{q}{2} B_z^2 f - \left( f^2 + g^2 - 1 \right) \frac{f}{2} = 0 \quad (37)$$

The corresponding energy density is

$$\rho = g^2 + f^2 + \frac{f^2}{r^2} + \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + q(f^2 + g^2) \right) B_z^2 + \frac{1}{4} (f^2 + g^2 - 1)^2$$

where the following rescaling has been used

$$f \rightarrow \bar{f} = \eta f \quad (39)$$

$$g \rightarrow \bar{g} = \eta g \quad (40)$$

$$r \rightarrow \bar{r} = \frac{r}{\eta \sqrt{\lambda}} \quad (41)$$

$$B_z \rightarrow \bar{B}_z = B_z \eta^2 \sqrt{\lambda} \quad (42)$$

We assume a Gaussian for the external magnetic field of the form

$$B_z = B_{z0} e^{-\frac{x^2}{\sigma^2}} \quad (43)$$

In order to investigate the stability of the embedded global vortex we first fully minimize the energy with respect to the $f, g$ fields with the proper boundary conditions.

Using the NO boundary conditions it is trivial to obtain the solution $g(r)$ for various values of the parameters $B_{z0}, r_0$. The energy to be minimized is of the form

$$E = \int dr \left[ \rho(\bar{r}) + \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + q(f^2 + g^2) \right) (B_{z0} e^{-\frac{x^2}{\sigma^2}})^2 \right] + \frac{1}{4} (f^2 + g^2 - 1)^2 \quad (44)$$

In Fig. 9 we show the form of $g(r)$ after energy minimization with fixed boundary for various values of $B_{z0}$ and $r_0$. For large magnetic field magnitude, the development of non-zero field $g(r)$ in the core where the gauge field is excited, becomes energetically costly and therefore no instability develops there. Away from the core however the instability remains due to the global nature of the symmetry and the limited range ($r_0$) of the magnetic field.

Another interesting point would be to examine the changes, the insertion of a dilatonic coupling, induces to the behavior of the unperturbed field $f(r)$. The term

Global Vortex in an External Gauge Field

Consider now the global field Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = (\partial_{\mu} \Phi)^\dagger \partial^\mu \Phi - \frac{1}{4} B(\Phi^\dagger \Phi) F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - V(\Phi^\dagger \Phi)$$

where the gauge $U(1)$ symmetry has been replaced by a global $SU(2)$, while keeping the gauge field kinetic term and

$$V(\Phi^\dagger \Phi) = \frac{1}{4} (\Phi^\dagger \Phi - \eta^2)^2 \quad (34)$$
The energy (44) may be expressed as the sum of the unperturbed energy and the energy perturbation due to \( g \) as

\[
E = \int dr \, r f'^2 + f'^2 + \frac{1}{2}(1 + qf^2)B_{z0}\, e\, \frac{-r^2}{\alpha}^2 + \frac{1}{4}(f^2 - 1)^2 + \delta E_g
\]

(46)

where the energy perturbation due to \( g \) can be written as

\[
\delta E_g = \int_0^\infty dr \, r(g\dot{O}g)
\]

(47)

where \( \dot{O} \) is a Schrodinger-like Hermitian operator of the form

\[
\dot{O} = -\frac{d^2}{dr^2} - \frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{dr} + \frac{q}{2} B_{z0}\, e\, \frac{-r^2}{\alpha}^2 + \frac{1}{2}(f^2 - 1)
\]

(48)

and we have kept only terms up to second order in \( g \).

Also the Schrodinger potential corresponding to \( \dot{O} \) is

\[
V_{Schrodinger} = \frac{q}{2} B_{z0}\, e\, \frac{-r^2}{\alpha}^2 + \frac{1}{2}(f^2 - 1)
\]

(49)

The embedded dilatonic global vortex is stable for the parameter range for which the operator \( \dot{O} \) has no negative eigenvalues. The existence of negative eigenvalues depends on the depth of the Schrodinger potential shown in Fig. 11 for three values of the parameter \( q \) and fixed \( B_{z0} \) and \( r_0 \). Clearly for positive values of \( q \) the potential becomes more repulsive for \( r < r_0 \). However, for \( r >> r_0 \) the potential remains unaffected. Due to the assumed limited range of the external magnetic field the operator \( \dot{O} \) is found to have negative eigenvalues for any finite value of the parameters \( q, B_{z0} \) and \( r_0 \). This is demonstrated in Fig. 12 which shows the solution of the equation

\[
\dot{O}g(r) = 0
\]

(50)

with boundary conditions \( g(0) = 1 \), \( g'(0) = 1 \).

Monopoles form in field theories involving symmetry breaking phase transitions where the vacuum manifold

**MONOPOLES**

Dilatonic ‘t Hooft-Polyakov Monopole

Monopoles form in field theories involving symmetry breaking phase transitions where the vacuum manifold
Consider for example the Lagrangian density describing
\[ \mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \left( D_{\mu} \Phi^{a} \right) \left( D^{\mu} \Phi^{a} \right) - V(\Phi^{a}) - \frac{B(\Phi^{a})}{4e_{0}} F_{\mu \nu}^{a} F^{a \mu \nu}, \]
where \( B(\Phi^{a}) \) describes a possible variation of the gauge charge (and thus for the effective charge we have \( e^{2} = e_{0}^{2}/B(\Phi^{a}) \)) where \( a = 1, 2, 3 \) are internal indices. As usual we define the non-Abelian gauge field strength by
\[ F_{\mu \nu}^{a} = \partial_{\mu} A_{\nu}^{a} - \partial_{\nu} A_{\mu}^{a} + e_{0} \epsilon^{a b c} A_{\mu}^{b} A_{\nu}^{c}, \]
The covariant derivatives are written in the usual form
\[ D_{\mu} \Phi^{a} = \partial_{\mu} \Phi^{a} + e_{0} \epsilon^{a b c} a_{\mu}^{b} \Phi^{c}, \]
where \( \epsilon^{a b c} \) is the Levi-Civita tensor.
The symmetry breaking potential \( V(\Phi^{a}) \) is of the usual form
\[ V(\Phi^{a}) = \frac{\lambda}{4} \left( \Phi^{a} \Phi^{a} - \eta^{2} \right)^{2} \]
The 't Hooft-Polyakov monopole ansatz \[^{56}\] is of the form
\[ \Phi^{a}(r) = X(r) \frac{x^{a}}{r}, \]
where \( x^{a} \) are the Cartesian coordinates and \( r^{2} = x^{k} x_{k} \). \( X(r) \) and \( W(r) \) are radial functions obtained by minimization of the self-energy, i.e., the mass of the monopole
\[ E = 4 \pi \int_{0}^{\infty} dr \ r^{2} \rho \]
or by solving the field equations. The energy density is obtained from the Lagrangian \[^{58}\] as
\[ \rho = T_{00} = -g_{00} \mathcal{L} \]
After a rescaling of the form
\[ X \rightarrow \bar{X} = \frac{\eta X}{\eta e_{0}}, \]
the energy density becomes
\[ \rho = \frac{\eta}{e_{0}} \left[ B(X) \left( \frac{W'}{r} \right)^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - W^{2} \right)^{2} \right] + \frac{(X')^{2}}{2} + \frac{W X}{r} + \frac{\beta}{2} (1 - X^{2})^{2} \]
with a prime meaning a derivative with respect to the dimensionless coordinate \( r \). Using \[^{58}\] we obtain:
\[ E = \frac{4 \pi \eta}{e_{0}} \int_{0}^{\infty} dr \left\{ \frac{r^{2}}{2} \left( \frac{dX}{dr} \right)^{2} + X^{2} + \frac{2}{r^{2}}(1 - X^{2})^{2} \right\} \]
The dimensionless parameter \( \beta \) is defined as in the case of vortices as \( \beta = \frac{m_{A}}{m_{a}} \) where \( m_{a} = \sqrt{\lambda_{a}} \) and \( m_{A} = e_{0} \eta \) are the masses of the scalar and gauge fields respectively. Thus \( \beta = \frac{\lambda_{a}}{2 \lambda_{0}} \).
In order to find the solution to the field equations, we minimize the energy \[^{58}\] using the boundary conditions:
\( X(r \rightarrow \infty) = 1, X(r \rightarrow 0) = 0, W(r \rightarrow \infty) = 0 \) and \( W(r \rightarrow 0) = 1 \).
Without loss of generality we normalize $\epsilon$ so that $B(r \to 0) = 1$. In this section we parametrize the dilatonic coupling as

$$B(X) = e^{qX^2}$$

(64)

In order to obtain the dilatonic 't Hooft-Polyakov monopole solution, we minimize the energy (66) using the above boundary conditions, for several values of the parameters $\beta$ and $q$. In Fig. 13 we show the resulting fields $X(r)$ and $W(r)$ when $(q = 0, \beta = 0.1), (q = 0, \beta = 1), (q = 1, \beta = 0.1), (q = 1, \beta = 1)$. For each pair of the fields $X(r)$ and $W(r)$ we use the same color for the plot in order to be easily visible. As in the case of dilatonic vortices, decreased value of $\beta$ and increased value of $q$ leads to a dilatonic monopole with larger core scale.

We have verified that a polynomial form of $B(X)$ (i.e. $B(X) = 1 + qX^2$) leads to similar results (see Fig. 14).

![Graph showing solutions for $X(r)$ and $W(r)$ for the dilatonic magnetic monopole when $B(X) = 1 + qX^2$ for the same values of the parameters $\beta$ and $q$ as in Fig. 13. The same color in the fields corresponds to the same values of $\beta$ and $q$.](image)

Embedding Dilatonic Monopole

We now consider the embedding of the gauge monopole [56] in a model with $O(4)$ symmetry [44, 57]. This is achieved by adding in the scalar $\Phi$ one more component as

$$\Phi^4(r) = g(r)$$

(65)

The embedded monopole potential (semilocal monopole) takes the form

$$V(\Phi^a) = \frac{\lambda}{4} (X(r)^2 + g(r)^2 - \eta^2)^2$$

(66)

Using the methods and arguments of Ref. [44] it is straightforward to show that the embedded dilatonic monopole solution in this model is unstable for all values of parameters. The instability persists because the embedded gauge group $O(3)$ acts trivially on the additional field component $\Phi^4(r) = g(r)$. In this case it may be shown that there is a smooth sequence of field configurations parametrized by a parameter $\xi$ with energy monotonically decreasing with $\xi$ that starts from the embedded monopole configuration for $\xi = 0$ and ends at the vacuum for $\xi = \pi/2$. In view of this simple and powerful result we omit presenting the perturbative energy minimization analysis of the embedded dilatonic monopole which involves minimization of the embedded gauged monopole energy corresponding to the energy density

$$\rho = \frac{\eta}{e_0} \left[ B(X) \left( \frac{W'}{r} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1 - W^2}{r^2} \right)^2 + \frac{(X')^2}{2} + \frac{W^2}{2} \right]$$

(67)

Such an analysis simply verifies the anticipated instability for all values of the parameters $\beta$ and $q$. The corresponding analysis for the embedded dilatonic global monopole also leads to instability either using the approach of Ref. [44] or through direct energy minimization of the density

$$\rho = \frac{\eta}{e_0} \left[ B(X) (e^{-\frac{X^2}{\eta^2}/2} + \frac{(X')^2}{2} + \frac{X^2}{r} + \frac{(g')^2}{2} + \frac{\beta}{2} (1 - X^2 - g^2)^2) \right]$$

(68)

where we have assumed a similar external gauge field as in the previous section. The field configurations that minimize the above energy using boundary conditions at $r = 10, (X(r = 10) = 1$ are shown in Fig. 15.

Notice that as expected the instability tends to expand outwards leading to the vacuum in regions away from the external field region. However, for $r < r_0$ where the external field is significant, the field remains out of the vacuum due to the effects of the external field which
stabilizes locally the embedded global monopole as in the case of the embedded global vortex discussed in the previous section.

**PHYSICAL EFFECTS**

The NO vortex can be embedded in various other generalizations of the Abelian-Higgs model. One of the most interesting cases, is the bosonic sector of the standard Glashow-Salam-Weinberg (GSW) electroweak model. This bosonic sector corresponds to a symmetry breaking $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ model with a scalar field $\Phi$ in the fundamental representation of $SU(2)_L$. Assuming in addition a dilatonic coupling, the Lagrangian takes the form:

$$
\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} B(\Phi^\dagger \Phi) W^a_{\mu \nu} W^{a \mu \nu} - \frac{1}{4} B(\Phi^\dagger \Phi) Y_{\mu \nu} Y^{\mu \nu} + (D_\mu \Phi) D^\mu \Phi - \frac{\lambda}{4} (\Phi^\dagger \Phi - \eta^2)^2
$$

(69)

where $B(\Phi^\dagger \Phi)$ represents the dilatonic coupling while $W^a_{\mu \nu} = \partial_\mu W^a_\nu - \partial_\nu W^a_\mu + g e^{abc} W^b_\mu W^c_\nu$ and $Y_{\mu \nu} = \partial_\mu Y_\nu - \partial_\nu Y_\mu$ are the field strengths for the $SU(2)_L$ and $U(1)_Y$ gauge fields respectively. Also $D_\mu = \partial_\mu - i g^a W^a_\mu - ig Y_\mu$ is the covariant derivative and $g$, $g'$ are the two gauge couplings ($\tau^a$ are the Pauli matrices).

In the unitary gauge, the $Z$ and $A$ fields are defined as

$$
Z_\mu \equiv \cos \theta_w W^3_\mu - \sin \theta_w Y_\mu, \quad A_\mu \equiv \sin \theta_w W^3_\mu + \cos \theta_w Y_\mu,
$$

(70)

and $W^\pm_\mu \equiv (W^1_\mu \mp i W^2_\mu)/\sqrt{2}$ are the $W$ bosons. The weak mixing angle $\theta_w$ is given by $\tan \theta_w \equiv g'/g$; electric charge is $e = g_z \sin \theta_w \cos \theta_w$ with $g_z \equiv (g^2 + g'^2)^{1/2}$. After proper rescaling the only two free parameters of the bosonic sector become the weak mixing angle and the parameter $\beta \equiv m_H^2/m_Z^2$ defined as the ratio between the Higgs mass $m_H = 2 \lambda \eta$ over the $Z$-boson mass $m_Z = g_z \eta/2$.

The simplest embedding of the NO string in the dilatonic electroweak model corresponds to a $Z$-string along the $z$-axis described as (67):

$$
\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_1 \\ \Phi_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ f e^{i \beta} \end{pmatrix}
$$

(71)

$$
Z_\mu = \frac{u(r)}{r} \dot{\theta}
$$

(72)

$$
A_\mu = W_\mu^\pm = 0
$$

(73)

where $f$ and $u$ are the dilatonic NO solutions. It is straightforward to show that this is a solution of the dilatonic GSW bosonic sector equations of motion (or equivalently an extremum of the GSW dilatonic bosonic sector energy). However, like the dilatonic semilocal string, the dilatonic electroweak $Z$ string is unstable and can decay by unwinding to the vacuum.

The solutions (71)-(73) and the electroweak bosonic sector reduce to the semilocal string model in the limit $\sin^2 \theta_w = 1$ and therefore in this limit the electroweak string is classically stable for $\beta < 1$ and unstable for $\beta > 1$. For $\sin^2 \theta_w < 1$ the stability of the electroweak string persists but for a smaller range of the parameter $\beta$ (42). When there is no dilatonic coupling, the physical values of the parameters $\beta$ and $\theta_w$ correspond to unstable electroweak string.

In the presence of a large enough dilatonic coupling, the corresponding stability region increases arbitrarily as discussed in section II. We anticipate that this stability improvement will persist even for the experimentally measured parameter values $\theta_w$ and $\beta$. It is therefore important to identify the required value of the dilatonic coupling $q$ for stability of the dilatonic electroweak string for the measured values of $\theta_w$ and $\beta$. We postpone this analysis for a later publication but we point out that if the required value of $q$ for stability is consistent with current experiments, then the possibility of formation of metastable dilatonic electroweak strings in accelerators and/or in the early universe arises. In this case we anticipate the existence of interesting signatures and effects in both accelerator and cosmological setups. In particular such effects include:

- **Primordial Magnetic Fields:** A gas of metastable electroweak segments formed during the electroweak phase transition is necessarily accompanied by a gas of electroweak monopoles. The eventual collapse and disappearance of electroweak strings removes all the electroweak monopoles but the long range magnetic field emanating from the monopoles is expected to remain trapped in the cosmological plasma. This will then lead to a residual...
primordial magnetic field in the present universe. An estimate of the average flux of this primordial magnetic field was obtained in [58, 59].

- **Generation of Baryon Number-Cosmic Rays:** A gas of metastable electroweak string segments and loops would, in general, contain some helicity density of the Z-field. So when the electroweak strings eventually annihilate, it is possible that the helicity gets converted into baryon number [59, 60]. In more exotic models (such as this), strings at the electroweak scale that were stable and had superconducting properties, could also be responsible for baryogenesis [61] and the presence of primary antiprotons in cosmic rays [62].

- **Variation of Fine Structure Constant:** A dilatonic coupling in models involving electromagnetism like the electroweak model, leads naturally to the possibility of variation of the fine structure constant $\alpha$. In the presence of a metastable dilatonic electroweak string this variation is anticipated to be spatial on the scale of the core of the dilatonic defect. Such microscopic localized variation of $\alpha$ could be detectable in accelerators where either metastable dilatonic electroweak strings or dilatonic Higgs particles are produced and decay. This effect becomes more interesting in view of the recent claim for a 4$\sigma$ detection of spatial variation of $\alpha$ on cosmological scales obtained from careful analysis of quasar absorption spectra [28].

- **Signatures in accelerators: Dilatonic Dumbells** The production of solitonic states in particle accelerators as well as their experimental signatures constitute open issues that become particularly important in the context of the existence of metastable electroweak strings. A rotating electroweak monopole-antimonopole pair connected by a Z-string and stabilized by a centrifugal barrier is known as a dumbell. The decay signature of such a metastable system was first studied by Nambu [63] who estimated the energy and angular momentum of such a system as well as its lifetime and decay products. In the context of a dilatonic coupling such a system may get stabilized not only due to its angular momentum but also at the field theoretic level. Thus we anticipate an increased lifetime and a cleaner signature in accelerators.

Thus, the role of electroweak strings in cosmology depends on their abundance during and after the electroweak phase transition. If this abundance is negligible, electroweak strings may at best only be relevant in future accelerator experiments. Such relevance is expected to increase significantly in the presence of a dilatonic coupling which is anticipated to improve their stability.

**CONCLUSIONS-DISCUSSION**

Topological defects formed in theories where the scalar field couples to the gauge field strength tensor (dilatonic defects) have significant novel properties. In particular

- Their core scales can be significantly larger than the corresponding ordinary defects with minimal coupling.
- The corresponding embedded defects have modified stability properties.
- The instability of global dilatonic defects in the presence of an external gauge field does not proceed towards the vacuum. Instead it proceeds towards a field configuration which deviates from the vacuum in the region where the external gauge field is excited. This configuration may be interpreted as a local stabilization of the global embedded defects.
- The instability of the gauged embedded vortex may proceed (for certain parameter values) towards a scalar field condensate where the instability is excited but is confined to the region of the embedded defect core.

Interesting extensions of this analysis are the following:

- Investigation of the stability properties of more realistic embeddings like the electroweak vortex [43, 45, 63, 64]. The possible formation of new electroweak vortices with core condensates representing confinement of the instability is a particularly interesting prospect. In addition our analysis hints towards the possible stabilization of the electroweak vortex for realistic parameter values in the presence of a dilatonic coupling.
- Investigation of the core properties of other dilatonic defects like textures, skyrmions and domain walls in the presence of external gauge fields. In this case, there is the possibility of formation of condensates similar to the one found for the dilatonic gauged vortex in the parameter region where the embedded defect is stable but gets destabilized due to the dilatonic coupling. This mechanism for the formation of condensates from embedded defects appears to be generic in the context of dilatonic embedded defects.
- The presence of dilatonic defects with Hubble scale cores could naturally induce spatial variation of the corresponding gauge charges and in particular of the fine structure constant. This prospect is interesting in view of the recent claims of the existence of a fine structure constant dipole on cosmological scales obtained from the absorption spectra of quasars on cosmological scales [28, 29]. This class of
models naturally predicts an alignment of the fine structure constant and dark energy dipoles. Indications for such an alignment have been observed recently in a combination of type Ia data and quasar absorption spectra data \cite{29}. Thus, the detailed study of the cosmological properties of this class of models (extended topological quintessence) constitutes an exciting extension of the present analysis.

- A systematic review of experimental/observational constraints on the parameter $q$ and the dilatonic coupling in realistic theories (e.g., in extensions of the standard electroweak model) is important in order to clarify the viability of this class of models. Clearly, the allowed range of $q$ depends on the mass of the scalar field and therefore on the parameter $\beta$ as well as on the introduction of the parameter $\omega$, which could stabilise the scalar field through the kinetic term (see eq. \cite{28}). Constraints on the dilatonic coupling in extensions of the standard electroweak model \cite{65,66} have recently been imposed by the LHC \cite{67,68}.

In conclusion, the existence of a dilatonic coupling in field theories predicting the existence of topological defects implies the presence of interesting new properties for the predicted defects which makes these models worth of further investigation.

Acknowledgements

This research has been co-financed by the European Union (European Social Fund - ESF) and Greek national funds through the Operational Program “Education and Lifelong Learning” of the National Strategic Reference Framework (NSRF) - Research Funding Program: ARIS-LLP. Investing in the society of knowledge through the European Social
[46] H. B. Nielsen and P. Olesen, *Nucl. Phys.* **B61** (1973) 45.
[47] L. Perivolaropoulos, *Phys. Rev.* **D48** (1993) 5961.
[48] M. Hindmarsh, *Nucl. Phys.* **B392** (1993) 461.
[49] T. Vachaspati and A. Achúcarro, *Phys. Rev.* **D44**, 3067 (1991).
[50] M. Hindmarsh, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **68** (1992) 1263.
[51] A. Achúcarro, K. Kuijken, L. Perivolaropoulos and T. Vachaspati, *Nucl. Phys.* **B388** (1992) 435.
[52] A. Achúcarro, J. Borrill and A. R. Liddle, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **82** (1999) 3742.
[53] J. Preskill, *Phys. Rev. D* **46**, 4218 (1992) [hep-ph/9206216].
[54] T. Vachaspati, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **68**, 1977 (1992) [Erratum-ibid. **69**, 216 (1992)].
[55] T. Vachaspati and M. Barriola, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **69**, 1867 (1992).
[56] G. ’t Hooft, “Magnetic Monopoles In Unified Gauge Theories”, Nucl. Phys. B **79**, 276 (1974).
A. M. Polyakov, “Particle Spectrum In Quantum Field Theory”, JETP Lett. **20**, 194 (1974) [Pisma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **20**, 430 (1974)].
[57] G. W. Gibbons, M. E. Ortiz, F. Ruiz Ruiz and T. M. Samols, Nucl. Phys. B **385**, 127 (1992) [hep-th/9203023].
[58] T. Vachaspati, *Phys. Lett.* **B265** (1991) 258.
[59] T. Vachaspati, in the Proceedings of the NATO Workshop on “Electroweak Physics and the Early Universe”, eds. J. C. Romão and F. Freire, Sintra, Portugal (1994); Series B: Physics Vol. 338, Plenum Press, New York (1994).
[60] T. Vachaspati and G. B. Field, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **73** (1994) 373; **74** (1995) Errata.
[61] M. Barriola, *Phys. Rev.* **D51** (1995) 300.
[62] G. D. Starkman and T. Vachaspati, *Phys. Rev.* **D53** (1996) 6711.
[63] Y. Nambu, Nucl. Phys. B **130**, 505 (1977).
[64] T. Vachaspati, Nucl. Phys. B **397**, 648 (1993).
[65] D. Kimberly and J. Magueijo, Phys. Lett. B **584**, 8 (2004) [hep-ph/0310030].
[66] D. J. Shaw and J. D. Barrow, Phys. Rev. D **71**, 063525 (2005) [gr-qc/0412135].
[67] B. Coleppa, T. Gregoire and H. E. Logan, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 055001 (2012) [arXiv:1111.3276 [hep-ph]].
[68] A. B. Lahanas and V. C. Spanos, JHEP **1206**, 089 (2012) [arXiv:1201.2601 [hep-ph]].
[69] B. Bellazzini, C. Csaki, J. Hubisz, J. Serra and J. Terning, Eur. Phys. J. C **73**, 2333 (2013) [arXiv:1209.3290 [hep-ph]].