Specific Cytokine Profiles Predict the Severity of Influenza A Pneumonia: A Prospectively Multicenter Pilot Study
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Purpose. Studying the cytokine profiles in influenza A pneumonia could be helpful to better understand the pathogenesis of the disease and predict its prognosis. Patients and Methods. Patients with influenza A pneumonia (including 2009H1N1, H1N1, H3N1, and H7N1) hospitalized in six hospitals from January 2017 to October 2018 were enrolled (ClinicalTrials.gov ID, NCT03093220). Sputum samples were collected within 24 hours after admission and subsequently analyzed for cytokine profiles using a Luminex assay. Results. A total of 35 patients with influenza A pneumonia were included in the study. The levels of IL-6, IFN-γ, and IL-2 were increased in patients with severe influenza A pneumonia (n =10) (P = 0.002, 0.009, and 0.008, respectively), while those of IL-5, IL-25, IL-17A, and IL-22 were decreased compared to patients with nonsevere pneumonia (P = 0.0001, 0.009, 0.0001, and 0.006, respectively). The levels of IL-2 and IL-6 in the nonsurvivors (n = 5) were significantly higher than those in the survivors (P = 0.043 and 0.0001, respectively), while the levels of IL-5, IL-17A, and IL-22 were significantly lower (P = 0.001, 0.012, and 0.043, respectively). The IL-4/IL-17A ratio has the potential to be a good predictor (AUC = 0.94, P < 0.05, sensitivity = 88.89%, specificity = 92.31%) and an independent risk factor (OR, 95% CI: 3.772, 1.188-11.975; P < 0.05) for intermittent positive pressure ventilation (n = 9). Conclusion. Significant dysregulation of cytokine profiles can be observed in patients with severe influenza A pneumonia.

1. Introduction

Influenza A viral pneumonia is a disease with high morbidity and mortality. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), the 2009 influenza A pandemic affected 213 countries and caused more than 16,000 deaths [1]. Subsequent surveillance data from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) showed that the number of patients reporting influenza-like symptoms continues to rise each year during the flu season. The majority of laboratory-diagnosed influenza-related hospitalizations are among people older than 65 years of age [2]. A study has shown that among people who die from influenza pneumonia, the proportion of patients aged 65 years or older can be as high as 96% [3]. With the increasing age of the population in China, influenza will continue to cause a great social and economic burden. Early and effective warning of the disease progression helps to improve clinical management while avoiding wasting medical resources.

It is widely believed that inflammation is part of the pathogenesis of influenza [4]. Higher levels of proinflammatory cytokines and cytokine dysregulation were found in patients with H1N1 influenza [5]. Excessive release of proinflammatory cytokines, including tumor necrosis factors
(TNFs), interleukins (ILs), interferons (IFNs), and chemokines, follows infections are called “cytokine storms” and are associated with widespread tissue damage and complications due to severe influenza [6]. In most cases, the damage caused by acute inflammation can be completely recovered through the repair process, but more severe pathological changes can occur in serious inflammation related to cytokine storms, including diffuse alveolar damage, hyaline membrane formation, pathological damage, and even multiple organ dysfunctions [7]. Previous studies revealed that excessive early cytokine responses strongly predict poor medical outcomes, and the patients with good prognosis had markedly lower cytokine storm profiles than those who died from the infection [8].

Previous studies have suggested that immunomodulatory therapy can improve prognosis in influenza treatment, regardless of if they are combined with antiviral drugs [4, 7]. Immunomodulatory treatments include corticosteroids, sphingosine 1-phosphate receptor agonists, peroxidase, proliferation-activating receptor agonists [8], cyclooxygenase- (COX-) 2 inhibitors [7, 9, 10], antioxidants [11], intravenous immunoglobulin therapy, antitumor necrosis factor therapy [12], statins, abiddo, and herbs [13]. However, most of the studies were from a small sample population, and the outcome reports were heterogeneous [14, 15]; so, more precise indicators were needed to identify populations likely to benefit from immunomodulatory therapy.

This study is aimed at determining the airway Th cell cytokine profiles in patients with influenza A pneumonia and the relationships between cytokine levels and prognosis.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Populations. Patients diagnosed with community-acquired pneumonia (CAP) and hospitalized in six hospitals (Peking University People’s Hospital, Fujian Provincial Hospital, West China Hospital of Sichuan University, Shanghai Pulmonary Hospital, The Second Hospital of Jilin University, and Tibet Autonomous Region People’s Hospital) from January 2017 to October 2018 were successively included (ClinicalTrials.gov ID, NCT03093220).

According to the definition of community-acquired pneumonia and severe pneumonia, patients who meet the criteria were included strictly. The treatment of each patient during hospitalization was rigorously carried out in accordance with the guidelines for pneumonia [16]. The inclusion criteria of our study were as follows: [1] patients who met the diagnostic criteria of CAP and [2] patients whose sputum samples were positive for influenza A (including 2009H1N1, H1N1, H3N1, and H7N1). The diagnostic criteria of CAP and severe pneumonia, the exclusion criteria, and detailed information on pathogen detection are described in the (available here) Supplementary Materials.

A standard electronic medical spreadsheet was used to collect clinical information from the patients while in the hospital. Patients all gave written informed consent before their participation in the study. The Ethics Committee of Peking University People’s Hospital approved the study. This trial was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. A flow diagram of the study is shown in Figure 1.

2.2. Collection of Sputum Specimens. Spontaneous sputum samples were collected aseptically within 24 hours after admission for every patient. Microscopes were used to measure sputum quality prior to processing. Specimens were selected if <10 squamous epithelial cells, and >25 leukocytes were present per low-power field (×100 objective). To ensure consistency and standardization of experimental work, all specimens were transported promptly to the laboratory in Peking University People’s Hospital with dry ice in temperature-controlled containers, checked for integrity upon arrival, and stored in a temperature-appropriate environment in a timely manner. Sputum samples were discharged into sterile cups and then incubated with 1× volume of 0.1% dithiothreitol (DTT) solution at 37°C for 30 minutes. Subsequently, an equal volume of saline was mixed with the samples (compared to the DTT solution), and sample was shaken for 5 minutes and then centrifuged at 12000 rpm for 10 minutes at room temperature [17, 18]. The supernatants were transferred to new centrifuge tubes and cryopreserved at -80°C along with the remaining precipitates. All of the DNA extraction, cytokine measurements, and detection of respiratory pathogens experiments were performed at Peking University People’s Hospital, using a single standardized protocol (described below).

2.3. Cytokine Measurements. For the sputum supernatants, a total of 12 T helper (Th) cytokines were evaluated with the Luminex Human Magnetic Assay Kit (LXSAHM-12; R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA) used for the quantification of the following cytokines: Th1 (TNF-α, IFN-γ, and IL-2), Th2 (IL-4, IL-5, and IL-25), Th17 (IL-6, IL-17A, IL-21, IL-22, and IL-23), and Treg (IL-10) cytokines, according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Detailed information has been described in an article previously published [19].

2.4. Detection of Respiratory Pathogens. Microbial DNA was isolated from sputum sediments using a sputum microbial DNA extraction kit (CapitalBio Corporation, Beijing, China) as instructed by the manufacturer. Viral nucleic acids were isolated from the sputum supernatants using a QIAamp MinElute Virus Spin Kit (QIAGEN, Germany) as instructed by the manufacturer. Nucleic acids were detected for 76 kinds of common respiratory pathogens (35 kinds of bacteria, 3 kinds of atypical pathogens, 20 kinds of fungi, and 18 kinds of viruses) using Chips for Complicated Infection Detection (CCID; CapitalBio Corporation, Beijing, China) as instructed by the manufacturer. Detailed information and the procedure of nucleic acid extraction and pathogen detection are provided in the (available here) Supplementary Methods.

2.5. Statistical Analysis. Cytokines with ≥30% of samples under the lower limit of detection (LOD) were excluded from our analysis [20]. Values that were under the lower LOD were imputed by a value of one-half of the lower LOD [21]. Data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation.
Mann–Whitney U and Kruskal-Wallis tests are used for nonnormally distributed variables while Student’s t-test and ANOVA are used for normally distributed variables. Fisher’s exact test and the chi-square test were used to compare the proportions of the groups. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis was performed to differentiate patients who received IPPV from those who did not. Binary logistic regression was used to determine the risk factors for IPPV. IBM SPSS Statistics 25.0 (IBM, 2017) and GraphPad Prism version 7.0 were used for statistical analysis.

3. Results

3.1. Characteristics of Patients. A total of 35 patients (23 men and 12 women) with influenza A pneumonia were included...
in the study, with a mean age of 64 ± 17 years. Among them, nine patients received intermittent positive pressure ventilation (IPPV), and five died. The patients were further grouped according to clinical characteristics into severe pneumonia (SP) and nonsevere pneumonia (NSP). The rate of patients with comorbidities was significantly higher in the NSP group; however, no significant difference was found between the two groups for any single comorbidity (COPD, bronchiectasis, type 2 diabetes, or cardiovascular disease), as shown in Table 1. Compared with patients in the NSP group, patients in the SP group had lower lymphocytes and PaO₂/FiO₂, and a higher neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio (NLR), C-reactive protein (CRP), and procalcitonin (PCT). Patients in the SP group had a significantly poorer prognosis, with a higher proportion of noninvasive positive pressure ventilation (NIPPV), IPPV, intensive care unit (ICU) admission, and death.

3.2. Cytokines and Pathogen Detection. Levels of Th cell cytokines in the sputum supernatant were detected. The median levels and percentages of measurements below the lower LOD are shown in (available here) Supplementary Table 1. IL-21, which had 80% measurements below the lower LOD, was excluded from further analysis in the study.

Among the 35 influenza A virus-positive patients we included, 26 patients were identified to specific subtypes. Among them, 10 were positive for 2009H1N1, and 15 were positive for H3N1, while only 1 was positive for H1N1 and no patient was positive for H7N1. We compared clinical characteristics and cytokine levels in patients who were positive for 2009H1N1 or H3N1. In general, patients positive for 2009H1N1 had decreased lymphocytes (0.8 x 10⁹/L and 1.4 x 10⁹/L, P = 0.024), elevated IL-2 levels (95.30 pg/ml and 58.57 pg/ml, P = 0.019) and decreased IL-5 levels (1.59 pg/ml and 4.21 pg/ml, P = 0.004) compared with patients positive for H3N1 (as shown in (available here) Supplementary Table 3 and Supplementary Table 4).

Pathogens other than influenza A virus were detected in 24 cases (68.6%). Among them, other respiratory viruses were detected in 13 cases (37.1%), and adenovirus was the most common virus detected (17.1%, 6/35), followed by influenza B virus, herpes simplex virus (HSV)-1, and cytomegalovirus, with the same detection rate of 5.7% (2/35). Bacteria were detected in 9 cases (25.7%), with the highest rate being that of *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, and *Staphylococcus haemolyticus*, with the same detection rate of 5.7% (2/35). Fungi were detected in 6 cases (17.1%), with the *Aspergillus genus* found in 5 cases (14.3%) and *Candida tropicalis* and *Candida krusei* in 1 case (2.9%). *Candida albicans* was detected in 14 cases (40.0%), and Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) was detected in 13 cases (37.1%). Considering that *Candida albicans* is a common oral colonization fungus, and we used sputum samples, we excluded *Candida albicans* from our analysis. EBV was also excluded due to the high prevalence in the adult human population.

The detailed distribution of pathogens is shown in Table 2.

According to the detection of pathogens, we divided the patients into a single pathogen group (i.e., only influenza A virus) and a multipathogen group and compared the cytokine levels between the two groups, and no significant difference was found (available here) Supplementary Table 5). Patients positive for other respiratory viruses had elevated IFN-γ levels (63.97 pg/ml and 44.48 pg/ml, P = 0.041), IL-10 levels (9.74 pg/ml and 3.89 pg/ml, P = 0.049), and decreased IL-22 levels (8.22 pg/ml and 14.02 pg/ml, P = 0.026) compared with patients negative for other respiratory viruses (available here Supplementary Table 6). However, no significant difference was found between patients positive and negative for bacteria or fungi (available here) Supplementary Table 7).

### Table 2: Distribution of pathogens detected in patients with influenza A pneumonia.

| Pathogens                           | Cases, % |
|-------------------------------------|----------|
| *Streptococcus pneumoniae*          | 2 (5.7%) |
| *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*            | 2 (5.7%) |
| *Staphylococcus haemolyticus*       | 2 (5.7%) |
| *Staphylococcus aureus*             | 1 (2.9%) |
| *Staphylococcus epidermidis*        | 1 (2.9%) |
| *Staphylococcus hominis*            | 1 (2.9%) |
| *Acinetobacter baumannii*           | 1 (2.9%) |
| *Haemophilus influenzae*            | 1 (2.9%) |
| *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*      | 1 (2.9%) |
| Epstein-Barr virus (EBV)*           | 13 (37.1%) |
| Adenovirus                          | 6 (17.1%) |
| Influenza B virus                   | 2 (5.7%) |
| Herpes simplex virus- (HSV-)        | 1 (2.9%) |
| Cytomegalovirus                     | 2 (5.7%) |
| Varicella zoster virus (VZV)        | 1 (2.9%) |
| Human papilloma virus- (HPV-)       | 16 (2.9%) |
| Rhinovirus                          | 1 (2.9%) |
| *Candida albicans*                  | 14 (40.0%)|
| *Aspergillus genus*                 | 5 (14.3%) |
| *Candida tropicalis*                | 2 (2.9%) |
| *Candida krusei*                    | 1 (2.9%) |

*EBV and *Candida albicans* were excluded from our analysis due to the high prevalence in the adult human population.*
IL-6, and low other Th17 cytokines. The expression profile is clearly shown in heatmaps (Figure 3). In addition, we found that the detection rate of multiple pathogens, especially other respiratory viruses, was higher in the severe pneumonia group (as shown in Supplementary Table 8), and the cytokine profiles of the three groups were mostly consistent (available here Supplementary Table 5 and Supplementary Table 6), which suggested that multiple pathogen infection may be an important factor in severe pneumonia.

### 3.4. Value of Cytokines in Predicting Prognosis in Patients with Influenza A Pneumonia

To explore whether cytokines can be used as indicators of the severity of the disease, we further analyzed the levels of cytokines and the ratio of different cytokines and compared the ROC curves between the three. The results showed that the IL-4/IL-17A ratio with a cutoff point > 4.97 pg/ml was a good predictor of IPPV risk in patients with influenza A pneumonia (AUC = 0.940, P < 0.001), with a sensitivity of 88.89% and specificity of 92.31%. The prediction capability of IL-4/IL-17A was greater than that of other commonly used indicators, including NLR (AUC = 0.897), oxygenation index (AUC = 0.795), CURB-65 (AUC = 0.795), and pneumonia severity index (PSI) (AUC = 0.786) (as shown in Figure 4 and Table 4). The value of IL-4/IL-17A in predicting severe influenza A pneumonia exhibited similar results, as shown in Supplementary Table 5 and Supplementary Table 6.

To reveal the association between the IL-4/IL-17A ratio and the risk of IPPV, we further performed backward stepwise logistic regression analysis. A multivariate model adjusting for age, NLR, oxygenation index, CURB-65, and PSI suggested that the IL-4/IL-17A ratio (OR = 3.772, 95% CI, 1.188–11.975, P = 0.024) was an independent risk factor for IPPV. For each unit increase in the ratio, the risk of IPPV increased by 3.8 times (as shown in Table 5).
Figure 3: Overview of cytokine profiles in patients with influenza A pneumonia with different prognoses. (a) Patients were divided into the NSP group ($n = 25$, green) and SP group ($n = 10$, red). (b) Patients were divided into a survival group ($n = 30$, green) and a nonsurvival group ($n = 5$, red). Abbreviations: SP: severe pneumonia; NSP: nonsevere pneumonia; TNF: tumor necrosis factor; IL: interleukin; IFN: interferon.
In this study, we described the Th cell cytokine expression in the sputum supernatant samples of 35 patients with influenza A pneumonia. Abbreviations: NLR: neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio; IL: interleukin; PSI: pneumonia severity index.

In addition to the IL-4/IL-17A ratio, four cytokine ratio and IL-6 levels were found to be good predictors of IPPV risk in patients with influenza A pneumonia (AUC = 0.987, 0.983, 0.944, 0.923, and 0.908, respectively, P < 0.001, as shown in (available here) Supplementary Table 10 and Supplementary Figure 2). They were also independent risk factors for IPPV, with OR (95% CI) of 1.217 (1.007–1.472), 1.482 (1.050–2.092), 1.702 (1.102–2.627), 1.004 (1.001–1.008), and 1.156 (1.020–1.311), respectively (P < 0.05), less than IL-4/IL-17A.

4. Discussion

In this study, we described the Th cell cytokine expression profiles, which comprised Th1, Th2, Th17, and Treg, cells, in the sputum supernatant samples of 35 patients with influenza A pneumonia. We found that high levels of IL-6, IFN-γ, and IL-2 and low levels of IL-5, IL-25, IL-17A, and IL-22 were found in the severe pneumonia group compared to the nonsevere pneumonia group. Similarly, high levels of IL-2 and IL-6 and low levels of IL-5, IL-17A, and IL-22 were observed in the nonsurvival group compared to the survival group. In brief, the expression pattern of high Th1, low Th2, high IL-6, and low other Th17 cytokines was associated with severe clinical conditions. In addition, a high IL-4/IL-17A ratio could be a good predictor for the necessity of IPPV in influenza A cases.

There have been previous studies focusing on cytokines in influenza. Overall, the majority cytokines were elevated in patients with influenza pneumonia compared with healthy individuals in peripheral and lung samples [22–24]. Further, increases in IL-6, IL-8, IL-10, IL-15, TNF-α, and IFN-γ have been reported in severe pneumonia compared with mild pneumonia [22, 25]. IFN-γ, TNF-α, IL-4, and IL-2 were associated with increased neutrophils [26]. IL-6 and IL-8 have been found to be associated with PaO2 in critical patients [27]. IL-13 was associated with intensive care unit (ICU) admission and protection against pneumonia. Meanwhile, the IFN-γ/IL-13 ratio may be used to predict the risk of pneumonia and ICU hospitalization [28]. These findings revealed the T-helper 1 and T-helper 17 responses in severe pneumonia, suggesting the potential of cytokines as biomarkers of disease severity.

It is generally recognized that during infections, Th1 cells mediate the immune response to resist invading pathogens, and many autoimmune responses are due to abnormal activation of Th1 cells. Th2 cells regulate immune responses against a variety of extracellular parasites and play an important role in asthma and other sensitization diseases [29, 30]. Th17 cells mainly regulate the immune response to extracellular pathogens, including bacteria and fungi, and induce organ-related autoimmune diseases [31].

IFN-γ, IL-2, and LTα are major cytokines produced by Th1 cells. IFN-γ, as a signature cytokine for CD4+ T cells, plays an essential role in activating macrophages to enhance their microbicidal activity [30]. It is released by cytotoxic lymphocytes and activated T cells to limit viral replication [32–34]. High levels of IFN-γ are related to the range of the decrease in viral titers [35]. A study using a mouse model showed that IFN was elevated transiently in the early stages of influenza infection [36]. IL-2 is crucial for the multiplication of T cells and memory formation of CD4+ and CD8+ cells. Previous studies showed that mice with an IL-2 knock-out developed autoimmune symptoms [37], and that IL-2 blockage led to a rapid autoimmunity [38]. It has been suggested that IL-2 acts to control immune responses and sustain self-tolerance [39]. However, research has shown that IL-2 transfusion can induce prompt and intense pulmonary inflammation through natural killer cells [40], which is in accord with our findings that IL-2 levels were higher in the severe pneumonia group.

IL-6 is a versatile cytokine that activates B cells and accelerates the differentiation of Th0 cells into Th17 cells and regulatory T cells and is therefore an essential factor in the inflammatory response. Excessively high levels of IL-6 have been detected in several diseases, most commonly in rheumatoid arthritis (RA) and Castleman’s disease, as well as in a variety of tumors [41]. In addition, over synthesis and persistent elevation of IL-6 can lead to a cytokine storm, which is thought to be an important manifestation of severe viral pneumonia such as influenza A pneumonia and COVID-19 [42, 43]. The immunomodulatory function is mediated by a complex of IL-6 and IL-6 receptors with gp130 [41, 44]. Thus, the current therapeutic agent, tocilizumab, mainly targets the IL-6 receptor and was originally used to treat chronic inflammatory diseases such as rheumatoid arthritis [45]. Recently, preliminary results from several studies showed that tocilizumab improved clinical outcomes and reduced mortality in patients with COVID-19 [43, 46].
IL-4, IL-5, and IL-25 are major cytokines produced by Th2 cells. Secretion of IL-4 cytokines by Th2 cells promotes Th2 cell differentiation, thus forming positive feedback on Th2 cell differentiation. IL-5 is primarily responsible for recruiting eosinophils. In addition, when the release of IL-5 is inhibited, the body’s susceptibility to influenza A increases. Moreover, IL-5 can also inhibit neutrophil function. Therefore, when the expression of IL-5 is decreased, release of a large amount of myeloperoxidase by neutrophils can occur, aggravating lung injury [47].

The initial stage of influenza virus infection activates alveolar macrophages and monocytes, leading to an inflammatory cytokine response, mainly TNF-α and IL-6. IL-6 can promote the transformation of naïve T cells into Th17 cells, releasing IL-17A and IL-22 [48]. IL-17A is associated with acute lung inflammation and injury [49, 50]. IL-22 is produced by natural killer cells and T cells and can promote the regeneration of epithelial cells. During severe influenza, IL-22 immunotherapy can enhance the integrity of lung tissue and reduce the systemic invasion of secondary bacteria [51, 52]. These two cytokines, especially IL-22, play a critical role in pathogen clearance. In our study, in the severe and death groups, there was a trend of high IL-6, low IL-17A, and IL-22, suggesting that downregulations of IL-22 and IL-17A may be critical in disease progression. The early immune response phase of influenza A was characterized by rapidly generalized lymphocytopenia, preferential loss of Th17 population, and T cell activation [53]. The mechanism may be that IL-21R signaling essentially inhibited the accumulation of IL-17+γδ T cells in the respiratory tract [54]. In addition, CCR2 restricted the induction of IL-17 by dendritic cells after influenza A infection [55]. In our study, IL-6 levels were elevated with decreased lymphocytes in the SP group. Thus, although IL-6 can promote the differentiation of Th17 cells and the secretion of IL-17A and IL-22, low levels of IL-17A and IL-22 are more likely due to lymphopenia.

In general, Th1 cells are necessary for the body to combat pathogens, but excessively elevated Th1 cytokines often indicate a heavier inflammatory response, which is related to cytokine storms. Th2 cells and Th17 cells also mediate the host’s immune response to various pathogens, and low levels of Th2 and Th17 cytokines indicate a decline in the body’s ability to eliminate pathogens. The combination of the two conditions indicates the poor prognosis of patients.

More importantly, this study provides theoretical support for the IL-4/IL-17A ratio to predict IPPV risk. IL-4 is not only a prototypical growth factor for B cells but also a key regulator of macrophage function [56]. Several studies have shown that IL-4-activated macrophages play an essential role in tissue repair and regeneration after injury [57, 58]. However, it is suggested that macrophages stimulated by IL-4 are more susceptible to the Ebola model virus [59]. Furthermore, a study has shown that when IL-4 levels are high, viral clearance in mice infected with influenza virus is delayed [60].

There are still many deficiencies in this study. First, the number of cases is not very large, resulting in our calculation results including regression models that may not being robust enough. Although the sample size was small, this was counterbalanced by the small P values related to many comparisons. However, it is possible that some other significant associations were missed due to the small sample size (type II error). Therefore, it is just a pilot study and systematic verification in larger populations, and the construction of animal models is needed. Similarly, a larger sample is especially required to assess the cytokine profile among patients with pure influenza A pneumonia infection compared with coinfected with other viruses and bacteria. A
larger sample size will also make it possible to compare patient with influenza A pneumonia according to the presence or absence of co-morbidities. Second, we did not conduct longitudinal studies, and further dynamic observation of the expression of the IL4/IL-17A ratio with changes in the disease condition may be more conducive to the in-depth understanding of the occurrence and development of the disease. Third, there was no control group, such as patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) or bacterial pneumonia.

5. Conclusions
In summary, our study detected the cytokine profiles in the sputum of patients with influenza A pneumonia. We identified specific cytokine profiles in patients with severe pneumonia, which presented as high Th1 cytokines, low Th2 cytokines, high IL-6, and low other Th17 cytokines. The IL-4/IL-17A ratio has the potential to be a good predictor and an independent risk factor for IPPV.
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