Prognostic role of troponin and natriuretic peptides as biomarkers for deterioration of left ventricular ejection fraction after chemotherapy
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Abstract
Cardiotoxicity due to anthracyclines, trastuzumab and other potential cardiotoxic drugs is still a problem of modern chemotherapy. For years researchers have tried to find biological markers that can predict changes in the heart. The most thoroughly tested markers are troponin and natriuretic peptides. Some studies have proven that these markers can indeed be useful. In studies which have shown the predictive role of troponin I the assessment of this marker was performed very frequently. It is not possible to carry out such serial measurements in many centers because of typical 1-day hospital stay times. The predictive role of natriuretic peptides still needs further investigation. This review considers the newest research from recent years.
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Introduction
The effectiveness of oncological treatment increases with each decade. However, the efficacy is undermined by potentially life-threatening cardiotoxicity. Cardiotoxicity includes a wide range of cardiac effects from small changes in blood pressure and arrhythmias to cardiomyopathy. Its incidence varies and is subject to different clinical definitions; however, some researchers report the incidence to be as high as 65% [1]. There are many drugs that can cause impairment of the heart function. Anthracycline antibiotics are potent anti-tumor agents used in a wide spectrum of malignancies. They are part of the gold standard adjuvant therapy for breast cancer and in metastatic disease. They provide significant increases in response rate, time to disease progression, and overall survival. The successful use of anthracyclines is, however, restricted by the risk of developing life-threatening congestive heart failure (HF) [2]. Other cytotoxic drugs that have reported cardiotoxicity include 5-fluorouracil, capecitabine, mitoxantrone, cisplatin, the taxoids paclitaxel and docetaxel, and newer drugs such as the monoclonal antibody trastuzumab [3-7].

Three distinct types of anthracycline-induced cardiotoxicity (i.e. acute, subacute and chronic) have been described [8]. Acute or subacute effects, such as acute failure of the left ventricle, can occur immediately after treat-
ment. Also the development of heart failure many years after the last administration of chemotherapeutic drugs is increasingly recognized [9, 10]. Acute, subacute and chronic cardiotoxicity may lead to development of overt, refractory heart failure with a very bad prognosis [11].

The mechanism by which anthracyclines induce cardiomyopathy is believed to involve the generation of reactive oxygen species, in part through an iron-dependent pathway. The heart is especially vulnerable to this reactive oxygen species generation because cardiac muscle has relatively low levels of antioxidant enzymes [12].

The mechanism of troponin and natriuretic peptides release after chemotherapy needs further definition. Several mechanisms, particularly related to mitochondria, are proposed as being responsible for the increased sensitivity of the heart to doxorubicin-induced toxicity, including:

- higher mitochondrial density per unit volume in cardiomyocytes when compared to other tissues,
- the elevated affinity of doxorubicin to cardiolipin, a major phospholipid component of the inner mitochondrial membrane in the heart,
- the possible existence of a specific, although controversial, NADH dehydrogenase in the heart, which also contributes to anthracycline redox cycling and increased formation of reactive oxygen species [13].

In a mouse model impaired cardiac function was accompanied by the up-regulation of endothelin-1 expression at the mRNA and protein level. Moreover, bosentan, an endothelin receptor antagonist, applied in a pretreatment procedure in mice, strikingly inhibited doxorubicin-induced cardiotoxicity with preserved indices of contractility [14]. That can suggest a role of endothelin receptor in cardiotoxicity.

Liposomal doxorubicin has also been reported as having some protective role in decreased incidence of heart failure. Liposomal anthracyclines have the potential for more selective uptake by cancer cells and reduced cardiac toxicity [15]. A possible role in heart failure protection has also been reported in dexrazoxane treatment. Dexrazoxane therapy was associated with a large and statistically significant reduction in the incidence of myocardial injury, as indicated by troponin T elevations, in doxorubicin-treated children with high-risk acute lymphoblastic leukemia [16].

However, despite some cardiac benefits, a number of issues have created uncertainty about the role of dexrazoxane in both adults and children, including the possibility of a lower anti-tumor response rate [17]. Another trial conducted in women treated with doxorubicin or epirubicin for breast cancer reported the possibility that dexrazoxane may interfere with cancer therapy [18].

Guidelines of the American Society of Clinical Oncology do not recommend dexrazoxane for adults who will receive anthracyclines in an adjuvant therapy, because of concerns about the potential impact on antitumor efficacy [19]. In pediatric malignancies this drug is not recommended either. It can be considered in patients with metastatic breast cancer and other malignancies, who have received more than 300 mg/m² doxorubicin and who may benefit from continued doxorubicin-containing therapy [19].

The standard reference method for monitoring drug-induced cardiac toxicity is the measurement of left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF). This implies serial LVEF measurements, which are expensive. Decline of the LVEF often leads to further cardiac function impairment. Some studies have shown that elevation of biological markers can precede left ventricular dysfunction [20-22]. Therefore, biological monitoring by serial assays might be a useful method of selecting those patients who require LVEF measurements [23]. For almost the last two decades scientists have been attempting to find a biochemical prognostic marker of imminent heart dysfunction. There has been increased interest in the potential use of biomarkers such as troponin I and natriuretic peptides as predictors of early myocardial damage and incipient heart failure [24]. Troponin T and I are components of the troponin complex of muscle cells used as markers of myocardial damage in suspected myocardial infarction (MI) [25]. Natriuretic peptides, especially natriuretic peptide type B (BNP), is released chiefly by the cardiac ventricles in response to myocardial stresses and correlates well with impairment of systolic and diastolic cardiac function in heart failure [26-29].

There have been many studies about the role of biochemical markers in cardiotoxic chemotherapy. However, various differences between these investigations concerning e.g. the type of troponin, diagnostic cut-off values or drugs used in chemotherapy schemes have been reported. This review describes the latest research into biochemical markers and their predictive role in subsequent cardiac function deterioration. An electronic search of the MEDLINE and PubMed databases (January 1990 to January 2012) was performed to identify studies which analyzed biological markers such as troponin and natriuretic peptides and their predictive role in left ventricular function deterioration.

**Troponin – is it really a good prognostic marker of cardiotoxicity in every patient?**

Troponins are well-known cardiac biomarkers of ischemia [30]. The types of troponin used in cardiology are troponin T and I. Although these contractile proteins are found in all myocytes, the troponin T and troponin I found in myocardial cells are dis-
tinct from those found in skeletal muscle [31]. Usually they are used in cardiology for diagnosis of myocardial infarction. The small release of troponins during chemotherapy indicates that only a minimal acute necrosis occurs, as compared to that observed in acute cardiac syndromes [20]. Drugs which are used in chemotherapy can damage cardiac cells, leading to release of troponins into the blood. This phenomenon has recently been described in numerous studies. Nowadays clinical interest has been focusing on the predictive role of these markers for heart failure, which is one of the most life-threatening adverse effects of chemotherapy.

Among the first authors to report release of troponin in animals were Herman et al., who examined the influence of doxorubicin on serum level of cTnT in hypertensive rats. Increases in serum levels of cTnT and myocardial lesions were found in the rats. In their study the average cTnT levels and the cardiomyopathy scores correlated with the cumulative dose of doxorubicin [32]. The cumulative dose of doxorubicin is a well-defined risk factor of cardiotoxicity which was also proven in previous studies [33].

The authors who described the elevation of troponin in humans were Lipshultz and collaborators. Their studies conducted in children with acute lymphoblastic leukemia showed increased levels of troponin T during cancer treatment [16, 21]. In this research the magnitude of troponin elevation predicted left ventricular dilatation and wall thinning 9 months later.

Scientists who played a major role in drawing public attention to the use of troponin as a prognostic marker for LVEF were Cardinale et al. The Italian researchers in a few articles reported a predictive role of troponin I. They examined 1548 patients. In all of their studies in the troponin positive group (cTnI+) there was evidence of a significant reduction in LVEF. In one of the first studies by these authors 29% of patients with cTnI+ had further LVEF values of less than 50% [20]. The follow-up duration for this study was 9 months. It is worth noting that in the troponin negative group (cTnI−) there was also a significant reduction of LVEF at 3 months, which was not as great as the reduction in the cTnI+ group. This transient decrease was followed by a recovery to baseline levels at 4 and 7 months [20]. In another study, a significant reduction in LVEF was observed after the first month of follow-up in the cTnI+ group. Thereafter, LVEF further worsened during the follow-up period. In the same study the cTnI− group did not show any significant decrease in LVEF during the entire period of observation [34].

In later research these authors obtained similar outcomes: decrease in LVEF was more evident in the group of cTnI-positive patients up to −18.2% after 12 months vs. −2.5% in the cTnI-negative group [35]. In the largest study, which included 703 cancer patients, Cardinale et al. focused on the prognostic value of troponin I [36]. In this study the follow-up was up to 42 months after the first drug administration. In this time the authors observed in 16% of enrolled patients cardiac events such as sudden death (0.4%), cardiac death (0.3%), acute pulmonary edema (0.4%), asymptomatic LV dysfunction (5%), life-threatening arrhythmias (2%) and conduction disturbances requiring a pacemaker (0.3%), and heart failure (7%) – which was the most frequent cardiac event reported. In this study 22.6% of patients had at least 15% degree of LVEF reduction. Among this group 33.3% had early (5 successive samples during the 3 days after chemotherapy infusion) or late (1 month after the last drugs administration) troponin-positive results. Most of them (59.1%) had only early-positive results and barely 7.5% of patients in this group had troponin-negative results both early and late [36].

Another study was focused on trastuzumab-induced cardiotoxicity (TIC) [22]. In the study, TIC occurred in 17% of patients, and cardiotoxicity occurred more frequently in patients with lower baseline LVEF, with TnI+ at baseline or during trastuzumab treatment and with metastatic disease. The TIC incidence was higher in patients previously treated with taxanes and anthracyclines. In this study the previous cumulative dose of anthracyclines in patients who developed TIC was significantly higher in TIC patients and was equal to 241 mg/m² vs. 210 mg/m² in the group who exhibited no signs of TIC. Finally, patients treated with trastuzumab alone showed a lower incidence of cardiotoxicity compared with those treated with trastuzumab in combination with other agents [22]. Time of follow-up in this study was up to 79 months.

It is worth noting that in most of Cardinale et al.’ studies, patients in cTnI-positive groups had prior anthracycline therapy [20, 22, 34, 35]. It can be speculated that, with their patients, the previous treatment with anthracyclines might have played either a synergic or a cumulative role. Therefore it is possible that cTnI elevation could be a consequence of prior subclinical changes that may have happened during prior anthracycline therapy. In one [36] of the studies by these authors in contrast to previous studies, troponin-negative patients had previous anthracycline therapy more frequently than in groups with troponin elevation. The authors suggested that the lower incidence of cTnI positivity among patients previously treated with anthracyclines could be a result of previous treatment with anthracyclines (AC) at a lower dose – unfortunately they did not report the dose [36]. Notably, the cumulative dose of anthracyclines (previous anthra-
cTnT showed a significantly greater decrease in LVEF than those without cTnT elevation. A serious drawback of this study was the formula used for measurement of the ejection fraction. The authors used the Teichholz formula, which is not recommended for clinical practice [41]. Therefore the conclusions from this study are disputable.

No other data confirmed that the rise of troponin could precede LVEF decrease. In turn, Kilickap et al. examined 41 patients with diagnosis of solid or hematological malignancy who received cardiotoxic chemotherapy. They used troponin T as a prognostic marker. Assessment of troponin was on the 3rd to 5th days following the first course and after the last course of chemotherapy [42]. cTnT levels measured after completion of therapy were significantly higher compared with those measured at baseline and after the first cycle of therapy. Left ventricular ejection fraction did not change in any patient. The authors observed deterioration of diastolic function after treatment. There was no association between cumulative anthracycline doses and diastolic function impairment. There was a two-fold decrease in the E/A ratio in those cases where cTnT levels were increased during therapy, compared with those the cTnT levels of which did not change. Also isovolumetric relaxation time (IRT) was prolonged in all patients who had cTnT levels elevated after therapy [42]. Several studies indicate that diastolic dysfunction precedes reduced left ventricular (LV) ejection fraction or cardiac output [43-45]. Therefore deterioration of LVEF cannot be excluded in a longer study; however, this is only an assumption.

Dodos et al. in their study did not find a predictive role of troponin in LVEF deterioration [46]. In this study cTnT levels did not exceed the upper limit of the normal range in any patient. Only 7% of all patients had low-level elevation of cTnT. Only 1 of these patients developed a concomitant decrease in LVEF. The authors in this research performed a series of cTnT measurements on the 3rd to 5th day following the first administration of anthracycline and after the last course of chemotherapy at 24 and 72 h, and then after 1, 6 and 12 months.

Over the last 2 years a few articles have been published about troponin during chemotherapy. In one of them the American authors enrolled 95 patients with early breast cancer with over-expressed HER-2. The majority of them had detectable cTnT during the study. The timing of cTnI increase preceded a maximum recorded decline in LVEF. However, maximum cTnT levels did not correlate with LVEF declines [47]. The authors suggest that there were several important factors to consider: the event rate was low (3% had heart failure) and declines in LVEF were relatively uncommon, thereby limiting the statistical power. Researchers
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had a high (46% of patients) drop-out rate, mainly due to diarrhea, as many patients with toxicity did not continue biomarker assessment and many were noncompliant with blood draws up to 18 months [47].

McArthur et al. studied a group of patients treated with bevacizumab and doxorubicin-cyclophosphamide followed by paclitaxel in early-stage breast cancer. Seven patients (9%) experienced either a symptomatic LVEF decline or an asymptomatic LVEF decline. Results of this study show that maximum cTnI did not exceed the cut-off in 21% of patients, was detectable in 71% and elevated in 8%. There was no association between maximum LVEF change and maximum troponin. The authors note that because they drew samples prior to chemotherapy administration (potentially at nadir time points), they could have missed the maximal impact on cTnI [48].

Finally Goel et al. in their study examined 36 patients with breast cancer receiving trastuzumab. In this study troponin I was not elevated in any patient. However, a limitation of this research was the timing of blood sample collection, which was taken immediately before and after 24 h of cardiotoxic drug infusion. Also troponin I assay had a lower limit of detection (0.20 mg/l), which is not sensitive to minor but potentially significant fluctuations [49]. A comparison of studies concerning troponins as prognostic markers for LVEF decrease is provided in Table I.

**Natriuretic peptide testing: where is the proper cut-off?**

BNP is a cardiac hormone that is mainly expressed in the heart, where its concentration is considerably higher than in the human or rodent brain [50]. It is well known that mechanical stress, such as pressure and volume overload, neurohumoral factors, and cytokines stimulate the gene expression of BNP and levels of myocardial BNP mRNA. Circulating BNP and N-terminal proBNP (NT-proBNP) are remarkably increased in patients with congestive heart failure [51]. The cardiomyocytes synthesize a pre-propeptide (proBNP with 134 amino acids) which is split into a signal peptide and a propeptide (proBNP with 108 amino acids). During secretion from the cardiomyocytes, proBNP is split at a ratio of 1 : 1 into the physiologically active BNP (32 amino acids) which corresponds to the C-terminal fragment, and the biologically inactive N-terminal fragment (NT-proBNP, 76 amino acids) [52]. Natriuretic peptide levels are closely related to HF severity; they are particularly increased in more advanced New York Heart Association (NYHA) classes and in patients with poor outcome [53]. For clinicians, the diagnostic value for BNP or NT-proBNP is similar; the difference is in the cut-off values which are defined by the manufacturer. Physicians have great expectations for these markers as the future of cardio-oncology.

Many articles have shown the usefulness of natriuretic peptides as early biomarkers of cardiotoxicity due to cancer treatment consisting of cardiotoxic drugs such as anthracyclines and trastuzumab. One of the first authors to focus on the possible use of natriuretic peptide type B (BNP) to assess the cardiac state after anthracycline administration was Suzuki et al. In their study BNP levels during treatment increased. Increases in BNP levels correlated with E/A ratio increases, which may suggest raised BNP level to be indicative of induced diastolic dysfunction [54]. It was found that BNP increased during anthracycline treatment but that the increase was transient and thus not predictive of the clinical course. And only those individuals in whom BNP remained elevated developed overt heart failure, which also suggests a potential of BNP in long-term follow-up, albeit not as a guide for anthracycline interruption [54]. In another study, Nousiainen et al. found no significant correlations between any echo parameters and natriuretic peptides until the cumulative doxorubicin dose became very high and reached 500 mg/m² [55]. Correlations between cumulative doses and BNP concentrations were also shown in another study conducted by French researchers [23]. It indicates that BNP levels increase when heart cells have already been damaged, which is more probable after high-dose chemotherapy [1, 10, 33, 37].

Meinardi et al. in another study showed that during chemotherapy, concentrations of natriuretic peptides in plasma increase, and LVEF decreases, but they did not focus on the predictive role of natriuretic peptides in deterioration of left ventricular function [56]. Then Daugaard et al. found that neither baseline levels of N-ANP or BNP nor changes in the same variables during therapy were predictive of a change in LVEF [57]. Indeed, BNP increase correlated with decline in LVEF but change in BNP did not precede deterioration of LVEF. So natriuretic peptides were biochemical markers of hemodynamic changes to the heart, the result of which is a decline in LVEF [56, 57].

Also Cil et al. did not find any significant differences in LVEF and NT-proBNP levels between patients who had high NT-proBNP levels and those who had normal NT-proBNP levels before chemotherapy [58]. It is worth noting that their group was quite small; the authors enrolled 33 patients.

Tanindi et al. in their study did not find a predictive role of increasing NT-proBNP but in this research none of the patients had any symptoms of clinical heart failure, though the enrolled group had only 37 patients, besides which, the time of follow-up was also quite short and finished on the 45th day after the beginning of therapy [59].
| Author/year          | Drug/drugs                                      | No. (% of troponin +) | Troponin type | Cut-off [mg/l] | Troponin + prediction to LVEF decrease? | Time of measurements                                                                 | Comment                                                                                     |
|---------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|---------------|----------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Cardinale et al. 2000 [15] | EC, TEC, ICE, TICE                              | 204 (32)              | I             | > 0.05        | Yes                                    | Baseline, 0, 12, 24, 36, 72 h after every drug administration                             | Previous treatment with anthracyclines was significantly more frequent in the cTnI+ group (71% vs. 46%) |
| Cardinale et al. 2002 [27] | EC, TEC, ICE, TICE                              | 211 (33)              | I             | > 0.05        | Yes                                    | Baseline, 0, 12, 24, 36, 72 h after every drug administration                             | 23% of patients had previously received anthracyclines in the neoadjuvant setting.           |
| Auner et al. 2003 [31]     | Doxorubicin, mitoxantrone, idarubicin, daunorubicin, cytarabine, vinorelbine, vincristine, cyclophosphamide | 78 (15)               | T             | > 0.03        | Yes                                    | During the first 48 h and at least one measurement every 48 h                          | LVEF assessment with Teichholz method                                                      |
| Sandri et al. 2003 [28]    | EC, TEC, ICE, TICE, SEQ                         | 179 (32)              | I             | > 0.08        | Yes                                    | Baseline, 0, 12, 24, 36, 72 h after every drug administration                             | Previous treatment with anthracyclines was significantly more frequent in the cTnI+ group (72% vs. 45%) |
| Cardinale et al. 2004 [29] | EC, TEC, ICE, TICE, BEAM, ESAP, MITOX+MEL, MEL, IDA+MEL, SEQ, CTX | 703 (30)              | I             | > 0.08        | Yes                                    | Baseline, 0, 12, 24, 36, 72 h after every drug administration                             | In the TnI+ group significant frequency of patients with breast cancer and non-Hodgkin's lymphoma |
| Killickap et al. 2005 [33] | Doxorubicin, idarubicin, daunorubicin, epirubicin | 41 (34)               | T             | > 0.01        | No                                     | Baseline, on the 3rd to 5th days following the first dose, after the last course       | Patients with prior use of anthracyclines were excluded. Troponin T exceeded the upper limit of the normal range (> 0.1 mg/l) in only a single case. Prediction to diastolic function deterioration |
| Dodos et al. 2008 [37]     | Anthracyclines                                  | 100 (3)               | T             | > 0.01        | No                                     | Baseline, on the 3rd to 5th day following the first dose, 24-72 h, 1, 6, 12 months after the last course | Also NT-proBNP were tested – did not show significant change after anthracycline administration |
| Cardinale et al. 2010 [17] | Trastuzumab, paclitaxel, vinorelbine, capecitabine, cyclophosphamide, methotrexate | 251 (14)              | I             | > 0.08        | Yes                                    | Baseline, 0, 12, 24, 36, 72 h after every drug administration                             | In 19.4% of patients TnI+ was at baseline. Patients who developed trastuzumab-induced cardiotoxicity had more frequent prior exposure to HDC                   |
| Feola et al. 2011 [30]     | Anthracyclines                                  | 53 (NN)               | I             | > 0.03        | No                                     | Baseline, 1 month, 1 year, 2 years after chemotherapy                                  | This study failed to confirm the hypothesis that a late (1 month) elevation of TnI might predict the cardiac outcome |
| Author/year            | Drug/drugs                                      | No. (% of Troponin +) | Troponin type | Cutoff [mg/l] | Troponin + prediction to LVEF decrease? | Time of measurements                                      | Comment                                                                 |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|--------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Morris et al./2011 [38]| Anthracyclines, cyclophosphamide, paclitaxel, lapatinib, trastuzumab | 52 (67)               | I             | < 0.06 or < 0.04* | No                                     | Baseline, weeks 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14 and months 6, 9, 18 | Authors drew their samples prior to chemotherapy administration (potentially at nadir time points) |
| McArthur et al./2011 [39]| Anthracyclines, nab-paclitaxel, bevacizumab, cyclophosphamide | 80 (79)               | I             | < 0.06; 0.06-0.3; > 0.31** | No                                     | Baseline, weeks 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14 and months 6, 9, 18 | Authors drew their samples prior to chemotherapy administration (potentially at nadir time points) |
| Goel et al./2011 [40]   | Trastuzumab                                     | 36 (0)                | I             | < 0.2        | No                                     | Baseline, 24 h after drug infusion                        | High cut-off diminished the chance to find patients TnI-positive. 81% had prior anthracycline administration |

* < 0.06 – in the 1st is Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center and 0.04 in Dana-Faber/Harvard Cancer Center; **undetectable** – < 0.06 or < 0.05; "minimally detectable" – 0.06-0.031 or 0.05-0.16, "elevated" – > 0.31 or > 0.16 (differences in cut-offs depend on hospital – the 1st is Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center and the 2nd University of California San Francisco), NN – not noted, 0 – in "Time of measurement" means that the test was done just after drug infusion, HD – high-dose chemotherapy, EC – epirubicin-cyclophosphamide, TEC – taxol-epirubicin-cyclophosphamide, ICE – ifosfamide-carboplatin-etoposide, TICE – taxol-ifsopamide-carboplatin-etoposide, BEAM – BCUL(armustin) etoposide-ARAC (cytarabine)-melphalan, ESAP – etoposide-solomuerol-ARAC (cytarabine)-platinum, MITH – mitoxantron, MEL – melphalan, IDA – idarubicin, SEQ – sequential, CTX – cyclophosphamide.

In contrast to the above studies, Kouloumpis et al. reported that levels of proANP and NT-proBNP did not excessively increase after chemotherapy in patients who developed heart failure during chemotherapy. In one of the studies, Falah-Rad et al. [60] found that both troponin T and NT-proBNP did not change over time (12 months following chemotherapy) in patients who developed cardiotoxicity and NT-proBNP levels were significantly higher in patients who developed grade 3 or 4 cardiac dysfunction (61). As another result, the authors observed a significant change in NT-proBNP levels detected in patients with a higher plasma level of this marker at the baseline (61). The authors suggested that troponin was a better predictor of cardiotoxicity than NT-proBNP, especially in patients with a higher plasma level of this marker at the baseline (61). The results also suggest that troponin is a potential early marker of cardiotoxicity during chemotherapy, which could have been the reason for such results observed in patients with a higher plasma level of this marker at the baseline (61). The authors observed a significant change in NT-proBNP levels detected in patients with a higher plasma level of this marker at the baseline (61). The results also suggest that troponin is a potential early marker of cardiotoxicity during chemotherapy, which could have been the reason for such results.
Table II. Articles in which the authors considered the prognostic role of natriuretic peptides in LVEF decline

| Author/year          | Drug/drugs                                      | Patients | Confirmed significant changes in natriuretic peptides? | Significant changes in LVEF? | Correlation of changes in LVEF with median baseline of natriuretic peptides confirmed? | Correlation of changes in LVEF with changes in natriuretic peptides confirmed? | Comments                                                                 |
|----------------------|------------------------------------------------|----------|-------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Suzuki et al. 1998   | Anthracyclines                                  | 27       | Yes                                                   | No                          | No                                                                             | No                                                                             | Analysis showed correlation of basal BNP levels with age, which were stronger with elevations induced by anthracycline administration independent of dosage |
| Nousiainen et al. 2002 | Doxorubicin                                    | 28       | Yes                                                   | Yes                         | No                                                                             | No                                                                             | Significant inverse correlations were observed between E/A ratio and plasma ANP, between E/A ratio and plasma BNP; both significant correlations were observed after the cumulative doxorubicin dose exceeded 500 mg/m² |
| Meinardi et al. 2001 | Epirubicin, fluorouracil, cyclophosphamide, thiopeta, carboplatin | 40       | Yes                                                   | Yes                         | Not checked                                                                   | No                                                                             | Deceleration time correlated inversely with LVEF                        |
| Pichon et al. 2005   | Doxorubicin, paclitaxel, epirubicin, mitoxantrone, trastuzumab, taxanes, vinorelbine | 67       | Yes                                                   | No                          | Not checked                                                                   | Yes                                                                            | Correlation between LVEF decrease and cumulative dose and correlation between BNP concentration and increasing anthracycline cumulative dose was significant |
| Daugaard et al. 2005 | Epirubicin, doxorubicin                         | 107      | Yes                                                   | Yes                         | No                                                                             | No                                                                             | Bi-linear model with a breaking point around EF = 0.5, i.e. the lower, normal limit of EF. Using this model the authors found a highly positive correlation between EF and N-ANP and BNP for EF values below 0.50, whereas no significant correlation was found for EF > 0.50. Neither the changes in N-ANP and BNP nor the baseline levels were correlated with the changes in EF |
| Perik et al. 2006    | Trastuzumab                                     | 15       | Study was not focused on this                         | Yes                         | Study was not focused on this                                                 | All patients had previous anthracycline treatment. Pretreatment plasma NT-proBNP levels were higher in the patients with heart failure during treatment; NT-proBNP values remained higher in these patients |
| Kouloubiinis et al. 2007 | Epirubicin, paclitaxel, mitoxantrone, docetaxel | 40       | Yes                                                   | Yes                         | Not checked                                                                   | Changes in natriuretic peptides were recorded in the group with epirubicin   |
Continuum Prognostic role of troponin and natriuretic peptides as biomarkers for deterioration of left ventricular ejection fraction after chemotherapy

Thus, while early identification of patients at risk for acute anthracycline-related cardiac toxicity through the use of troponin holds promise for identification of patients who might benefit from preventive strategies, there is insufficient available evidence to support this approach. There are no clinical settings in which serial monitoring of serum troponin levels could be considered as a standard approach for patients receiving potentially cardiotoxic therapy, although in CTCAE both troponin T and I are mentioned as markers which can suggest a mild adverse effect of oncological therapy or myocardial infarction, when the level for MI was exceeded [63].

A few studies of the predictive role of natriuretic peptides have shown that higher baseline concentrations of NT-proBNP can predict the development of overt heart failure after cardiotoxic chemotherapy. Many of the authors did not prove the predictive role of natriuretic peptides at all, but only showed that levels of natriuretic peptides increase during treatment, which could be explained as having been secreted by the heart in response to strain.

The conclusion drawn from data collected in studies described in this article is that regardless of the many studies about the predictive roles of troponin and natriuretic peptides, there are still many unknowns. The time point at which cardiac markers should be measured cannot be defined. This is a limitation for using the markers in clinical practice. The need to frequently obtain blood samples for troponin testing could make this approach unsuitable for patients discharged after only one-day stay. This problem seems particularly important since other studies have shown that outpatient management of high-dose chemotherapy can be safe and acceptable for the vast majority of patients and that intensive outpatient care is becoming the primary mode of care for those patients [64-67].

Apart from the foregoing CTCAs, no guidelines have been developed specifically for the definition, detection, or therapy of cardiotoxicity resulting from antineoplastic therapy. It seems that troponin I can be an interesting biochemical marker for cardiotoxic chemotherapy, especially in groups of patients who have previously had anthracycline administration or in whom a cumulative dose ≥ 300 mg/m² of doxorubicin or other equivalent anthracycline was scheduled [39]. In studies where troponin level predicts further LVEF decline, cumulative doses of drugs were at least at this level [20, 34, 35, 36].

Clinicians should draw attention to patients with higher NT-proBNP/BNP before chemotherapy. Cut-offs of natriuretic peptides that could play a predictive role are

| Author/year | Drug/drugs | Patients | Confirmed significant changes in LVEF? | Significant changes in natriuretic peptides? | Correlation of changes in LVEF with changes in natriuretic peptides? | Confirmed, baseline BNP values were within normal limits for the entire population? | Baseline BNP values were within normal limits for the entire population? | Correlation of changes in LVEF with changes in natriuretic peptides? | Confirmed, baseline BNP values were within normal limits for the entire population? |
|-------------|------------|----------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Cil et al.2009 [45] | Trastuzumab | 33 | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | No | Yes | Yes |
| Fallah-Rad et al.2011 [48] | Doxorubicin | 42 | No | No | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Feola et al.2011 [30] | Doxorubicin | 53 | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
still elusive. But according to the foregoing studies, a level of NT-proBNP between 300 ng/l and 500 ng/l in serum can indicate patients with a higher propensity for further heart failure [68]. Thus both cumulative dose and higher baseline of NT-proBNP can help target a group of patients in whom cardiac monitoring should be more frequent.

Effectiveness of using biomarkers to detect and identify cardiotoxicity is still a study area. There are several multicenter trials that are ongoing concerning that subject [69]. Maybe a new hope could be high-sensitivity troponin T (hsTnT), which can be a very early predictor of cardiac damage [70, 71].

Early diagnosis of patients with higher cardiac risk is important for both oncologists and cardiologists. Of course the presence of predictive markers does not mandate cessation of a potentially lifesaving anticancer therapy. Rather, this marker may help target patients who could benefit from closer cardiac monitoring and earlier initiation of cardioprotective medical therapy.
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