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Abstract

Background & Aims: The colon is considered “unhealthy” when chronic diseases, conditions and disorders (e.g., Irritable Bowel Syndrome, Inflammatory Bowel Disease such as Crohn’s Disease and Ulcerative Colitis) are present. Also, digestive irregularities or discomfort happen when diarrhea or constipation is present. The causes of occasional diarrhea include microbial infection, antibiotic-associated diarrhea, traveler’s diarrhea, medications and ozone therapy. Keeping colon microbiome healthy is a fundamental step in fighting against unhealthy colon diseases, conditions, disorders, irregularities and discomfort. One logical route is to replenish healthy colon microbiome with probiotics and/or synbiotics. We have developed and marketed the pioneering juice based probiotic/synbiotic dietary supplement Doctor’s Biome Colon Health® (DBCH) for the following purposes: (1) To help relieve occasional diarrhea, gas and bloating; (2) To help reduce the risk of colon microbial infections; and (3) To support and replenish healthy colon microbiome. The aim of this study was to confirm the efficacy and show safety of Doctor’s Biome Colon Health by users with a variety of health conditions.

Methods: In order to develop the optimum product for the intended purposes, we decided to use the optimum profile of probiotic bacteria and the optimum carrier for the chosen probiotic bacteria. We chose a blend of five strains of Bifidobacteria and ten strains of Lactobacilli from DuPont Nutrition & Biosciences. We also chose a proprietary blend of sterilized 100% organic green vegetable and fruit juices. Furthermore, every batch of DBCH is tested by an independent, FDA-registered accredited microbiology lab to confirm absence of pathogenic microorganisms. Eighty (80) individuals with a variety of gastrointestinal conditions (occasional diarrhea, ozone therapy, GI disorders and irregularities) were enrolled in this postmarketing surveillance study. The participants were recommended to drink one bottle (2 fluid ounce) of DBCH daily for up to 8 weeks. They were also offered a self-administered questionnaire to write their name, address and phone number, gender, age group, and to score their satisfaction for safety and efficacy of DBCH.

Results: Hard copies of 80 self-administered questionnaires were collected, their data were transferred to a large table divided into the following variables: gender, age group, duration of use, score value (1 = Unacceptable, 7 = Excellent) and comments. The average scores for males and female participants are pretty close. Average scores after 3 weeks of use were between “very good” to “excellent” for both males and females. Several participants voluntarily wrote positive comments on how consumption of DBCH has affected their quality of life.

Conclusions: The overall conclusion of this study is that Doctor’s Biome Colon Health is highly effective and safe probiotic/synbiotic dietary supplement to help relieve occasional diarrhea, gas and bloating; to help reduce the risk of colon microbial infections; and to support and replenish healthy colon microbiome.
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Introduction

The gut microbiome plays an increasingly important and complex role in human health. Research has shown that it strongly influences the development and outcome of chronic diseases ranging from metabolic disease, gastrointestinal diseases and disorders to colorectal cancer [1-5].

The burden on the healthcare system both in patient care and financially is enormous. It is estimated by the center for disease control (CDC) that over 14 million patients were di-
Diagnosed with ulcers and over 22 million patients were seen in physicians’ offices in addition to over 8 million emergency room visits with a primary diagnosis of digestive system disease and over 3 million hospital admissions in 2018. The estimated cost for 2018 was over 136 billion USD, more than heart disease, trauma and mental health. These numbers have been and are expected to grow yearly [6-9].

There has been a great focus on the use of prebiotics, probiotics and synbiotics to help in prevention and treatment of digestive diseases and disorders.

Prebiotics were redefined at the 2008, 6th Meeting of the International Scientific Association of Probiotics and Prebiotics (ISAPP) as “a selectively fermented ingredient that results in specific changes in the composition and/or activity of the gastrointestinal microbiota, thus conferring benefit(s) upon host health” [10,11].

The World Health Organization (WHO) and the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) proposed a useful definition of Probiotics in 2014 as “live microorganisms that, when administered in adequate amounts, confer a health benefit on the host” [12,13].

The definition of Synbiotic (a combination prebiotic and probiotic) was updated by the ISAPP in 2020 to “a mixture comprising live microorganisms and substrate(s) selectively utilized by host microorganisms that confers a health benefit on the host. Within this definition, ‘host’ microorganisms comprise both autochthonous (resident or colonizing the host) and allochthonous (externally applied, such as probiotics) microorganisms, either of which can be targets for the substrate contained in the synbiotic” [14]. Prebiotics, probiotics and synbiotics have shown mixed results in being beneficial in the prevention and treatment of digestive diseases and disorders [15-17]. The literature is replete with studies showing that the microbiota of the colon has shown a direct relationship to many medical conditions including but not limited to diabetes, weight gain, mood, autism, multiple sclerosis, in addition to the many various digestive diseases and disorders. Probiotics must survive in the acidic gastric environment if they are to reach the small intestine and colonize the host, thereby having the potential of imparting their benefits to the host. Selected strains of probiotics have been developed to have the traits that are believed to be important for surviving GI tract passage including, most importantly, tolerance to both the highly acidic conditions found in the stomach as well as the concentrations of bile salts found in the small intestine [18-20].

Various populations of gut bacteria create their beneficial effects mediated by Prebiotics. Through the fermentation of dietary fiber, they can produce Fructo-oligosaccharides (FOS) and Galacto-oligosaccharides (GOS), short-chain fatty acids, which through endogenous signals can play important roles in lipid homeostasis, improving immune functions and reducing inflammation [21,22].

Consuming prebiotics, especially in combination with various Lactobacilli and Bifidobacteria can improve immunity functions by increasing the population of beneficial protective microbiome. Human and animal studies have shown that prebiotics are able decrease the population of harmful bacteria in the gut [23-26].

Many human and animal studies have shown that some probiotics have had beneficial effects in the gut for Irritable Bowel Syndrome (IBD), Necrotizing Enterocolitis (NE), Clostridium difficile infection (CDI), Ulcerative Colitis (UC), and Crohn’s Disease (CD) [9,27-45].

The colon is considered “unhealthy” when chronic diseases, conditions and disorders (e.g., Irritable Bowel Syndrome, Inflammatory Bowel Disease such as Crohn’s Disease and Ulcerative Colitis) are present. Also, digestive irregularities or discomfort happen when diarrhea or constipation is present. The causes of occasional diarrhea include microbial infection, antibiotic-associated diarrhea, traveler’s diarrhea, medications and ozone therapy. Keeping colon microbiome healthy is a fundamental step in fighting against unhealthy colon diseases, conditions, disorders, irregularities and discomfort. One logical route is to replenish healthy colon microbiome with probiotics and/or synbiotics.

Aim of the Study

We have developed and marketed the pioneering juice based probiotic dietary supplement Doctor’s Biome Colon Health® = DBCH (Figure 1) for the following purposes: (1) To help relieve occasional diarrhea, gas and bloating; (2) To help...
reduce the risk of colon microbial infections; and (3) To support and replenish healthy colon microbiome. The aim of this postmarketing surveillance was to confirm safety and efficacy of the Doctor’s Biome Colon Health® by users with a variety of health conditions. This study would also widen the breath and duration of our experience with this product.

| **Table 1: Probiotic Bacteria of Doctor’s Biome Colon Health (registered trademarks of DuPont-Danisco).** |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Bifidobacterium bifidum** Bb-06 | This is a specially selected strains of Bifidobacterium bifidum that could be used with a variety of excipients. |
| **Bifidobacterium breve** Bb-03 | This strain is a specially selected strain of Bifidobacterium breve. B. breve (Bb-03) has demonstrated very good adhesion to human epithelial cell lines (HT-29) applied in *in vitro* studies. Bb-03 is tolerant to low pH conditions and survives the presence of bile at concentrations present in the duodenum. |
| **Bifidobacterium lactis** Bi-07® | This strain is a specially selected strain of Bifidobacterium lactis that could be part of a multiple strain custom blend with a variety of excipients. Bi-07 has strong adhesion to intestinal cell lines. |
| **Bifidobacterium longum** Bl-05 | This is a specially selected strain of Bifidobacterium longum. *In vitro* studies have shown that B. longum Bl-05 is tolerant to low pH conditions and survives the presence of bile at the concentrations present in the duodenum. B. longum Bl-05 has demonstrated very good adhesion to human epithelial cell lines applied in *in vitro* studies. |
| **Bifidobacterium infantis** Bi-26 | This is a specially selected strain of Bifidobacterium longum subsp. infantis that could be part of a multiple strain custom blend with a variety of excipients. |
| **Lactobacillus acidophilus** La-14® | This is a specially selected strain of Lb. acidophilus. Well-suited for intestinal survival it has a high tolerance to gastrointestinal conditions (acid, bile, pepsin and pancreatin). LA-14 also has strong adhesion to intestinal cell lines. LA-14 may influence immune regulation as demonstrated by the induction of IL-12 and moderate induction of tumor necrosis factors *in vitro*. |
| **Lactobacillus brevis** Lbr-35 | This is a specially selected strain of Lb. brevis that could be part of a multiple strain custom blend with a variety of excipients. |
| **Lactobacillus bulgaricus** Lb-87 | This strain is a specially selected strain of Lb. bulgaricus. L. bulgaricus metabolizes and produces D (-)-lactic acid from four sugars: Lactose, glucose, fructose and mannose. LB-87 has the ability to rapidly convert lactose into lactic acid. LB-87 also has the ability to convert lactose into lactate, aiding in lactose digestion. |
| **Lactobacillus casei** Lc-11® | This strain is a specially selected strain of Lb. casei. LC-11 is well suited for intestinal survival and functionality. It is highly tolerant of acid and bile. LC-11 adheres strongly to intestinal Caco–2 cell lines and it inhibits common pathogens. |
| **Lactobacillus gasseri** Lg-36 | This is a specially selected strain of Lb. gasseri that could be part of a multiple strain custom blend with a variety of excipients. |
| **Lactobacillus paracasei** Lpc-37® | This is a specially selected strain of Lb. paracasei. Lpc-37 displayed *in vitro* inhibition of selected pathogens including Salmonella typhimurium, Staphylococcus aureus, Escherichia coli and Listeria monocytogenes. A five-strain formulation including L. paracasei (Lpc-37) was found to maintain and more rapidly restore microbiota after antibiotic treatment. LPC-37 is well-suited for intestinal survival, it has a high tolerance to gastrointestinal conditions (acid and bile). |
| **Lactobacillus plantarum** Lp-115® | This is a specially selected strain of Lb. plantarum. *In vitro* studies have shown that L. plantarum (Lp-115) is extremely resistant to low pH conditions and survives the presence of bile at concentrations present in the duodenum. Lp-115 displayed *in vitro* inhibition of selected pathogens including Salmonella typhimurium, Staphylococcus aureus, Escherichia coli and Listeria monocytogenes. LP-115 is well-suited for intestinal survival; it has a high tolerance to gastrointestinal conditions (acid, bile, pepsin and pancreatin) and has strong adhesion to intestinal cell lines. LP-115 may improve specific immune response, as demonstrated in a human clinical study. |
| **Lactobacillus reuteri** 1E1 | This is a specially selected strain of Lactobacillus reuteri that could be part of a multiple strain custom blend with a variety of excipients. |
| **Lactobacillus rhamnosus** Lr-32® | This strain is a specially selected strain of Lb. rhamnosus. L. rhamnosus (Lr-32) has shown anti-inflammatory properties, as demonstrated through significant protection against TNBS induced colitis in an animal model. L. rhamnosus (Lr-32) may influence immune regulation, as demonstrated by the increased induction of IL-10 *in vitro*. |
| **Lactobacillus salivarius** Ls-33® | This is a specially selected strain of Lb. salivarius. LS-33 is well-suited for intestinal survival, it has a high tolerance to gastrointestinal conditions (acid, bile, pepsin and pancreatin). LS-33 has a strong adhesion to intestinal cell lines, and ability to inhibit common pathogens. It also has a beneficial modulation of immune functions, it may influence immune regulation, as demonstrated by increased induction of IL-10 *in vitro*. |
Materials and Methods

Optimum product

In order to develop the optimum product for the intended purposes, we decided to use the optimum profile of probiotic bacteria and the optimum carrier for the chosen probiotic bacteria.

Optimum probiotics: Bifidobacteria and Lactobacilli are broadly recognized for their key roles in the human intestinal microflora throughout life. A high proportion of bifidobacteria and lactobacilli in the intestinal tract is considered beneficial to health [18-20]. Considering the results of published literature and reviewing commercially-available probiotic bacteria from credible global suppliers, we chose a blend of five strains of Bifidobacteria (Table 1) and ten strains of Lactobacilli (Table 1) from DuPont Nutrition & Biosciences. The chosen strains would survive the stomach acid 80% or more [46]. A minimum of 27 Billion Colony Forming Units (CFU) are infused in the organic juice at the time of manufacture. Laboratory tests show that within one week the numbers can rise up to 100 billion CFU as the bacteria are living, not in “suspended animation” hoping to survive the stomach acids and bile salts as with capsule, powder and tablet probiotics/synbiotics and come back to life.

Optimum Carrier: In search for an optimum carrier (also known as medium or excipient), we chose a proprietary blend of sterilized green vegetable and fruit juices consisting of 100% organic diluted mint juice, cucumber juice, lettuce juice, kale juice, celery juice, apple juice and lemon juice. A considerable amount of prebiotic (fiber) was left in the juice for the bacteria to consume. Sensory evaluation of DBCH (blend of probiotics in blend of organic juices) showed a pleasant taste of this product to consumers across the board.

As a result of using the above optimum profile of probiotics and optimum carrier, we developed the pioneering, patent-pending organic juice-based probiotic dietary supplement Doctor’s Biome Colon Health®. This product may be stored at room temperature for two months and at refrigerator temperature for three months.

Surveillance

Eighty (80) individuals with a variety of gastrointestinal conditions (occasional diarrhea, ozone therapy, GI disorders and irregularities) were enrolled in this postmarketing surveillance study. The participants were recommended to drink one bottle (2 fluid ounce) of Doctor’s Biome Colon Health® dietary supplement daily for up to 8 weeks. They were also offered a self-administered questionnaire (“Colon Health Report”) to write their name, address and phone number, gender, age group, and to score their satisfaction for safety and efficacy of DBCH (Table 2).

Results

Hard copies of 80 self-administered questionnaires (“Colon Health Report”) were collected, their data were transferred to a large table divided into the following variables:

• Gender
• Age Group
• Duration of Use
• Score Value
• Comments

Profile of participants (gender and age) are shown in Table 3. Participants showed great cooperation by remarkable stay in the study (Table 4).

Scores for safety and efficacy

There was no reported adverse event (AE) or serious adverse event (SAE) reported by the participants. There were two mild complaints: A 51-70 years old female reported constipation after 3 weeks of use. However, her scores before and after that time (1, 2, 4 and 8 weeks of use) were 6 (“very good”) and 7 (“excellent”). Also, a 51-70 woman scored “good” for two weeks but indicated that she had some heart burn.

Table 2: Satisfaction scores for safety and efficacy of Doctor’s Biome Colon Health®.

| Score | Description |
|-------|-------------|
| 1     | Unacceptable |
| 2     | Very Bad     |
| 3     | Bad          |
| 4     | No Effect    |
| 5     | Good         |
| 6     | Very Good    |
| 7     | Excellent    |

Table 3: Profile of participants in the postmarketing surveillance of Doctor’s Biome Colon Health®.

| Number of Participants | Age of Participants (Years) | Gender |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|--------|
|                        | 18-30 | 31-50 | 51-70 | > 70 |
| Male                   | 37    | 1     | 15    | 17   | 4    |
| Female                 | 43    | 3     | 14    | 20   | 6    |
| Total                  | 80    | 4     | 29    | 37   | 10   |

Table 4: Duration of Stay in the postmarketing surveillance of Doctor’s Biome Colon Health®.

| Description | Participants (%) |
|-------------|-----------------|
| 1 Week      | 80 (100%)       |
| 2 Weeks     | 80 (100%)       |
| 3 Weeks     | 78 (97.5%)      |
| 4 Weeks     | 75 (93.75%)     |
| 8 Weeks     | 71 (88.75%)     |
Average scores for safety and efficacy are presented in Table 5. As it can be seen, average scores for males and female participants are pretty close. After 1 week of use, the average score for males is 5.73 and for females is 5.52 (between “good” to “very good”). The scores for both males and females increase after 2 weeks of use, and after 3 weeks of use reached 6.24 for males and 6.21 for females (between “very good” to “excellent”). Average scores still increased for both genders after 4 weeks up to 8 weeks of use where scores reached 6.36 for males and 6.44 for females (between “very good” to “excellent”). The total average scores are naturally between the scores of males and females (Figure 2).

**Voluntary comments affecting quality of life**

Several participants voluntarily wrote comments on their self-administered questionnaires about how consumption of Doctor’s Biome Colon Health has affected their qualities of life:

- User#7 (female, 51-70 years): “I have had chronic diarrhea and gas for over 20 years. I used Imodium AD to treat it until it was so persistent that my gastroenterologist prescribed Lomotril. Using Doctor’s Biome had rapid and favorable symptom relief. The taste is also pleasant. This is an excellent probiotic.”
- User#18 (male, 32-50 years): “First time in my life that I am doing so well.”
- User#27 (female 51-70 years): “I had improvement in GI function. No discomfort. I would like to continue using.”
- User#33 (female 51-70 years): “I have been taking DB for about 6 weeks. I am quite pleased with the results. I have been pleasantly surprised to feel less gassy during the day and more comfortable, even with common perimenopausal symptoms. To my surprise it has curbed my appetite for a while after drinking the product in the morning.”
- User#34 (female 31-50 years): “It makes me go to the bathroom regularly and helps make me feel less blocked.”
- User#36 (female 51-69 years): “IBS with constipation gone. Weight loss with proper diet is going very well. Walking without fatigue.”
- User#40 (female >70 years): “Had acid reflux and constipation for years. Acid reflux gone and normal BM constipation gone.”
- User#42 (female 51-69 years): “I have had Crohn’s disease for many years. I was amazed by the positive results I have experienced. Food digested more easily any other pres. Medicine.”

| Week | Male | Female | Total |
|------|------|--------|-------|
| 1    | 5.37 | 5.52   | 5.6   |
| 2    | 6.03 | 5.86   | 5.93  |
| 3    | 6.24 | 6.21   | 6.22  |
| 4    | 6.33 | 6.38   | 6.35  |
| 5    |      |        |       |
| 6    |      |        |       |
| 7    |      |        |       |
| 8    | 6.36 | 6.44   | 6.39  |

Table 5: Average satisfaction scores for safety and efficacy of postmarketing surveillance of Doctor’s Biome Colon Health®.
and my heartburn is gone. The product exceeded my expectations! More positive results as time goes on."

- User#43 (male 51-69 years): “I had very good improvement the only reason I did not give excellent was I still have occasional IBS, this probiotic is the best I have had thank you.”
- User#48 (male 51-69 years): “My life would suffer greatly if I could no longer use this product. Not kidding. Only probiotic that has ever worked as effectively. Highest possible recommendation.”
- User#49 (female 31-50 years): “This product is amazing. I’ve never been “regular” but this has made me less bloated and constipated. Well worth the price.”
- User#50 (female 51-69 years): “I feel better than I have in years. Chronic IBS gone. Joint pain in hips, lower back and knees and my energy level up so I am able to walk without pain. Very grateful to be feeling overall wellness.”
- User#70 (female >70 years): “No more gas and stomach pains.”
- User#79 (male 51-70 years): “I’m seeing great results in a short period of time. I love this product!! Wow!! It’s helped my diverticulitis.”
- User#80 (female 31-50 years): “I am finally able to use the bathroom regularly since taking it. I’ve suffered from constipation for over 20 years. This is a God send!”

**Discussion & Conclusion**

As far as safety is concerned, no reported adverse event (AE) or serious adverse event (SAE) is not surprising because probiotics/synbiotics are considered to be “GRAS” or “generally accepted as safe” (this classification is given to products that are composed of ingredients that are natural or have been safely used for many years). The chosen probiotics have been widely used in foods and dietary supplements, and the chosen carrier is a blend of 100% organic vegetable and fruit juices.

As far as efficacy is concerned, the results showed that up to 2 weeks of use, the average score of 80 participants is between 5 to 6 (“good” to “very good”) and after 3 weeks of use, the average score of 80 participants is up to 2 weeks of use, the average score of 80 participants is between 5 to 6 (“good” to “very good”).

The high effectiveness could be the result of simultaneous quantitative and qualitative effects: From a quantitative point of view, a general mechanism of microbial inhibition is through the “competitive exclusion principle” [47]. This means that when two species compete in a limited environment (e.g., colon) and compete for the same limited amount of nutrients, the species that has advantage over the others (e.g., larger numbers) will dominate the environment and lead to the exclusion of the weaker competitor. For example, in our study the daily probiotic use of 27 billion minimum at the time of manufacturing, live and active Colony Forming Units (CFU) has a quantitative advantage.

From a qualitative point of view, the chosen probiotics have released some bioactive compounds (e.g., acid, bacteriocin, etc.) into the juice that has contributed to inhibition of colonic microbial infection. One such compound is lactic acid which potentially can reduce the pH of the colon to an acidic range unfavorable for spore germination and/or growth of pathogens such as C. difficile. The observed results of this postmarketing surveillance study are consistent with our earlier published in-vitro results that DBCH completely inhibits *Clostridium difficile* [48].

The overall conclusion of this postmarketing surveillance is that Doctor’s Biome Colon Health® is a highly effective and safe dietary supplement to help relieve occasional diarrhea, gas and bloating; to help reduce the risk of colon microbial infections; and to support and replenish healthy colon microbiome.
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