Generation of Foxp3⁺CD25⁻ Regulatory T-Cell Precursors Requires c-Rel and IκB
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Next to the classical developmental route, in which first CD25 and subsequently Foxp3 are induced to generate thymic regulatory T (Treg) cells, an alternative route has been described. This alternative route is characterized by reciprocal induction of Foxp3 and CD25, with CD25 induction being required to rescue developing Treg cells from Foxp3-induced apoptosis. NF-κB has been demonstrated to be crucial for the development of thymic Treg cells via the classical route. However, its impact on the alternative route is poorly characterized. Using single and double deficient mice for key regulators of the classical route, c-Rel and IκBNS, we here demonstrate that NF-κB is essential for the generation of alternative CD25⁻Foxp3⁺ precursors, as well. Thus, c-Rel and IκBNS govern both routes of thymic Treg cell development.
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INTRODUCTION

Regulatory T (Treg) cells constitute a subset of CD4⁺ T cells, which is characterized by the expression of the winged-helix transcription factor forkhead box P3 (Foxp3) (1). Treg cells are an essential part of peripheral tolerance (2) and the regulation of Treg cell generation is essential to maintain immune homeostasis (3).

Treg cell development depends on TCR and common gamma chain (γc) cytokine-mediated signals (4, 5). A prominent two-step model suggests that a CD25⁺Foxp3⁻ cell population contains Treg precursors, which develop upon TCR stimulation of CD4 single-positive (SP) thymocytes (6). This stimulation causes the induction of CD25, which makes these CD25⁺Foxp3⁻ Treg cell precursors receptive for γc-mediated stimuli via e.g., IL-2 or IL-15, which in a second step lead to the differentiation of CD25⁺Foxp3⁻ precursors into CD25⁺Foxp3⁺ mature Treg cells (6, 7). Next to this classical route, an alternative developmental route has been suggested, in which mature CD25⁺Foxp3⁺ Treg cells arise from CD25⁻Foxp3⁺ cells (8, 9). These alternative precursor cells require stimulation via γc cytokines to upregulate CD25 and to prevent Foxp3-induced apoptosis, hence, allowing differentiation into mature Treg cells in a reversed fashion regarding the order of CD25 and Foxp3 induction (8–11).

On the molecular level, current models suggest that NF-κB is a pioneer transcription factor (12) controlling Foxp3 induction during Treg cell development. Especially two NF-κB components,
c-Rel and IkBNS (encoded by the Nfkbid gene), are crucial for directly activating Foxp3 expression (13–17). Specifically, c-Rel and IkBNS bind to the core promoter and the conserved non-coding sequence (CNS) 3 of the Foxp3 locus (16, 17), suggesting that c-Rel and IkBNS act in a common complex and cooperatively regulate Treg cell generation. In addition, NF-κB also promotes another, independent step of Treg cell maturation, namely CD25 induction (18, 19). We could recently show that CD25+Foxp3− cells expressing CD122, which constitutes the IL-2 receptor β-chain, may give rise to CD25+Foxp3− Treg cell precursors of the classical developmental route and can, thus, be considered as Treg cell pre-precursors (19).

Although several studies indicated an important role of NF-κB components, like c-Rel, for the generation of classical CD25+Foxp3− Treg cell precursors, the role of NF-κB for the generation of CD25−Foxp3+ alternative Treg cell precursors is not well-defined. Moreover, it remains unknown how responsiveness of alternative Treg cell precursors to IL-2 is achieved, since they do not express CD25 (the IL-2 receptor α-chain). In this study, we report that the generation of recently described alternative CD25−Foxp3+ Treg cell precursors required IkBNS and c-Rel, since alternative precursor cells were reduced to a similar extent in c-Rel-deficient, IkBNS-deficient and double knock-out (DKO) mice. Corresponding to the recently proposed Treg cell pre-precursors of the classical route, CD25−Foxp3+ alternative Treg cell precursors expressed similar amounts of CD122, and c-Rel contributes to their transition into Foxp3+CD25+ mature Treg cells.

**In vitro Treg Cell Maturation Assay**

Thymocytes from mice with the indicated genotype were stained with anti-CD4-PacificBlue (Biolegend 100531) and anti-CD8-APC (Biolegend 100712) to sort CD4+CD8− CD25− eGFP− (Foxp3)+ Treg precursors via fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS Aria II; BD Biosciences). In DEREG mice, eGFP reports Foxp3 expression and allows for purification of viable Foxp3+ cells. 15,000 cells per well were directly sorted into a 96-well round bottom plate. Subsequently, cells were stimulated with 100 ng/ml IL-15 for 24 h or left untreated. The generation of Treg cell precursors and mature Treg cells was determined via CD25 and Foxp3 staining and flow cytometry.

**Statistics**

The Graph Pad Prism Software (GraphPad Software) was used for all statistical analyses. To determine statistical significance, the one-tailed or two-tailed Mann–Whitney U-test or ANOVA tests were used and error bars represent the standard error of the mean (SEM).

**RESULTS**

**Alternative CD25−Foxp3+ Treg Cell Precursor Generation Depends on NF-κB**

Thymic Treg cells develop from CD4SP thymocytes by inducing CD25 and Foxp3 expression in a two-step manner depending on TCR-induced and γc cytokine-mediated signals (6, 7). Next to this classical route, an alternative route was proposed for the thymic development of Treg cells, in which Foxp3 and CD25 induction is reversed (8). We wondered, whether NF-κB signaling contributed to the generation of alternative CD25−Foxp3+ Treg cell precursors similarly to what has been described for classical CD25+Foxp3− Treg cell precursors (17–19, 24). To this end, we analyzed CD25 and Foxp3 expression in CD4+GITR+ thymocytes from wildtype, IkBNS-deficient, c-Rel-deficient and DKO mice (Figure 1A). Our analyses revealed reduced frequencies (Figure 1B) and absolute numbers (Figure 1C) of alternative Treg cell precursors in IkBNS-deficient, c-Rel-deficient and DKO mice. Since the reduction of CD25−Foxp3+ Treg cell precursors was similar in these mice, c-Rel and IkBNS appear to contribute to the alternative route of Treg cell development in a common pathway. Alternative CD25−Foxp3+ Treg cell precursors express CD122.

Interestingly, γc signaling induces the differentiation of alternative CD25−Foxp3+ Treg cell precursors into Foxp3+CD25+ mature Treg cells (8). Since we described recently that only CD122+ T cells give rise to CD25+Foxp3− Treg cell precursors in the classical route of Treg cell development (19), we here analyzed whether CD25−Foxp3+ alternative Treg cell precursors do express CD122 as well. Indeed, we detected CD25−Foxp3+ cells exclusively in the compartment of CD122+ CD4SP thymocytes, but not within the CD122− fraction (Figure 1D), indicating a comparable role for CD122 in both Treg cell developmental routes. Furthermore, we analyzed CD122 expression during Treg cell development. While CD4+ single positive thymocytes largely lacked CD122 expression, both classical and alternative Treg cell precursors exhibited
increased and almost identical expression levels of CD122 (Figures 1E,F). CD122 expression was even further increased in mature Foxp3⁺CD25⁺ Treg cells (Figures 1E,F).

**Minor Impact of NF-κB for the Development of Alternative CD25⁻Foxp3⁺ Treg Cell Precursors Into Mature CD25⁺Foxp3⁺ Treg Cells**

Since c-Rel, but not IκBNS, regulates the induction of CD25 in the classical route (19), we wondered whether this regulation occurred in the alternative route as well. Thus, we purified alternative Treg cell precursors from wildtype, c-Rel-deficient and IκBNS-deficient Foxp3 reporter mice and treated them with IL-15. Indeed, we detected slightly reduced frequencies of CD25⁺Foxp3⁺ Treg cells when c-Rel-deficient alternative Treg cell precursors were stimulated with IL-15 as compared to stimulation of wildtype alternative Treg cell precursors, indicating somewhat impaired CD25 induction (Figures 2A,B).

In contrast, IκBNS-deficient Treg cell precursors display a normal differentiation into CD25⁺Foxp3⁺ Treg cells. These results indicate that c-Rel regulates Foxp3⁺CD25⁻ alternative Treg cell precursor generation more pronounced than Treg cell maturation, i.e. CD25 induction. Moreover, IκBNS is dispensable for CD25 expression but crucial for Foxp3 induction in both routes of Treg cell development (Figure 2C).

**DISCUSSION**

Recent work on NF-κB and Treg cell development has mainly focused on the classical route of Treg cell development. In
contrast, it remained unknown whether the generation of CD25−Foxp3+ alternative Treg cell precursors does also depend on NF-κB. Here, we show that c-Rel-deficient, IkBNS-deficient as well as DKO mice display a defect in the generation of alternative Treg cell precursors. So far, the signaling events, which lead to the generation of CD25−Foxp3+ alternative Treg cell precursors are unknown, but our data indicate that it involves a stimulus, which activates NF-κB and involves the components c-Rel and IkBNS. Since TCR stimulation is a prerequisite for Treg cell generation and also a strong stimulus for NF-κB activation, it might be responsible for c-Rel and IkBNS induction.

A second signal required for thymic Treg cell development is through γc cytokine signaling. However, CD25−Foxp3+ alternative Treg cell precursors do not express the high-affinity receptor for IL-2 raising the question how these cells respond to γc cytokines. Our data demonstrates that similar to classical CD25+Foxp3− Treg cell precursors also alternative Treg cell precursors express CD122, i.e., the IL-2 receptor β-chain. Downstream of CD122, c-Rel contributes to CD25 induction in Foxp3 expressing cells, i.e., during maturation of alternative Treg cell precursors to mature Treg cells, albeit to a lesser extent.

**FIGURE 2** | Foxp3+CD25− mature Treg cells. (A) Foxp3+CD25− CD122+ Treg cell precursors were sorted from wildtype, c-Rel-deficient (Rel−/−) and IkBNS-deficient (Nfkbid−/−) DEREG mice. Wildtype cells were left untreated or were stimulated with 100 ng/ml IL-15, and IkBNS-deficient (Nfkbid−/−) as well as c-Rel-deficient (Rel−/−) cells were stimulated with 100 ng/ml IL-15. Representative dot plots are shown. (B) Statistical summary to (A). Frequencies of induced Foxp3+CD25+ cells are shown; open bars indicate c-Rel-deficient (Rel−/−; upper panel; n = 4 both) or IkBNS-deficient (Nfkbid−/−; lower panel; n = 3 for wt, n = 4 for Nfkbid−/−) cells, closed bars wildtype. Statistical analyses were performed via two tailed Mann–Whitney tests (C) Scheme of contributions of c-Rel and IkBNS for the classical and alternative developmental routes of Treg cells.
than during generation of CD25+Foxp3− classical Treg cell precursor (19).

Taken together, our previous data showed that during classical Treg cell development c-Rel regulates CD25 induction in contrast to IkBNS (19). Thus, c-Rel is critical for classical CD25+Foxp3− Treg cell precursor generation. In a similar fashion, it contributes to a certain extent to CD25 induction in CD25−Foxp3+ alternative Treg cell precursors. Finally, IkBNS and c-Rel together control the induction of Foxp3 and thereby alternative CD25−Foxp3+ Treg cell precursor generation as well as classical CD25+Foxp3− Treg cell precursor progression (Figure 2C).
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