Bimodal regulation of an Elk subfamily K⁺ channel by phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate
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Phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate (PIP₂) regulates Shaker K⁺ channels and voltage-gated Ca²⁺ channels in a bimodal fashion by inhibiting voltage activation while stabilizing open channels. Bimodal regulation is conserved in hyperpolarization-activated cyclic nucleotide–gated (HCN) channels, but voltage activation is enhanced while the open channel state is destabilized. The proposed sites of PIP₂ regulation in these channels include the voltage-sensor domain (VSD) and conserved regions of the proximal cytoplasmic C terminus. Relatively little is known about PIP₂ regulation of Ether-á-go-go (EAG) channels, a metazoan-specific family of K⁺ channels that includes three gene subfamilies, Eag (Kv10), Erg (Kv11), and Elk (Kv12). We examined PIP₂ regulation of the Elk subfamily potassium channel human Elk1 to determine whether bimodal regulation is conserved within the EAG K⁺ channel family. Open-state stabilization by PIP₂ has been observed in human Erg1, but the proposed site of regulation in the distal C terminus is not conserved among EAG family channels. We show that PIP₂ strongly inhibits voltage activation of Elk1 but also stabilizes the open state. This stabilization produces slow deactivation and a mode shift in voltage gating after activation. However, removal of PIP₂ has the net effect of enhancing Elk1 activation. R347 in the linker between the VSD and pore (S4–S5 linker) and R479 near the S6 activation gate are required for PIP₂ to inhibit voltage activation. The ability of PIP₂ to stabilize the open state also requires these residues, suggesting an overlap in sites central to the opposing effects of PIP₂ on channel gating. Open-state stabilization in Elk1 requires the N-terminal eag domain (PAS domain + Cap), and PIP₂-dependent stabilization is enhanced by a conserved basic residue (K5) in the Cap. Our data shows that PIP₂ can bimodally regulate voltage gating in EAG family channels, as has been proposed for Shaker and HCN channels. PIP₂ regulation appears fundamentally different for Elk and KCNQ channels, suggesting that, although both channel types can regulate action potential threshold in neurons, they are not functionally redundant.

INTRODUCTION

Phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate (PIP₂) is a regulator of a wide variety of ion channels, including evolutionarily diverse members of the voltage-gated cation channel superfamily (Hilgemann et al., 2001; Suh and Hille, 2008; Rodríguez-Menchaca et al., 2012b; Zhou and Logothetis, 2013). PIP₂ is found in the inner membrane leaflet where its negatively charged headgroup is ideally positioned to interact with the gating machinery of voltage-gated ion channels. This machinery includes the activation gate of the channel pore and the S4–S5 linker, which couples the voltage-sensor domain (VSD) to that gate (Long et al., 2005a). In KCNQ K⁺ channels, PIP₂ is required to couple voltage-sensor movement to pore opening and interacts with positively charged residues in the S4–S5 linker and immediately downstream of the S6 activation gate (Zaydman et al., 2013). In contrast, the Shaker channel Kv1.2 is dually modulated: PIP₂ inhibits voltage activation but also increases maximal currents, suggesting open-state stabilization (Rodriguez-Menchaca et al., 2012a). Interactions between the S4–S5 linker of Kv1.2 and PIP₂ inhibit voltage activation by restricting outward movement of the voltage sensor (Rodriguez-Menchaca et al., 2012a), but sites involved in PIP₂-dependent enhancement have not been identified. However, some voltage-gated Ca²⁺ channels show the same dual modulation by PIP₂ (Wu et al., 2002; Suh et al., 2010), and a residue at the intracellular face of the domain III S6 has been shown to regulate PIP₂-dependent current enhancement (Zhen et al., 2006).
Bimodal modulation of gating by PIP$_2$ has also been observed for the sea urchin hyperpolarization-activated CNG (HCN) channel SpIH (Flynn and Zagotta, 2011), which belongs to a separate superfamily of voltage-gated cation channels that share a cytoplasmic cyclic nucleotide–binding domain (CNBD). This CNBD superfamily includes HCN channels, CNG channels, and Ether-à-go-go (EAG) family voltage-gated K$^+$ channels in animals (Yu and Catterall, 2004; Jegla et al., 2009), as well as K$^+$ channels in plants (Schachtman et al., 1992; Sentenac et al., 1992), ciliate protozoans (Jegla and Salkoff, 1994, 1995), and prokaryotes (Brams et al., 2014). In SpIH, PIP$_2$ depolarizes the voltage activation range as in Shaker (Flynn and Zagotta, 2011), but this enhances rather than inhibits activation in the physiological range because SpIH is opened by hyperpolarization. The site of action was localized to the transmembrane channel core, but specific residues were not identified. PIP$_2$ also reduces maximal SpIH current, and this opposing inhibitory effect depends on basic residues in the C-linker, which connects the cytoplasmic CNBD to the activation gate (Flynn and Zagotta, 2011). The C-linker has been shown to play a critical role in the gating of HCN and CNG channels (Decher et al., 2004; Craven and Zagotta, 2006). CNG channels are also inhibited by phosphatidylinositol 3,4,5-bisphosphate (PIP$_3$; Womack et al., 2000; Zhainazarov et al., 2004; Bright et al., 2007), although identified sites of action appear to be in the proximal N terminus and distal C terminus outside the conserved channel core domains (Brady et al., 2006; Dai et al., 2013).

Much less is known about PIP$_2$-dependent modulation of EAG family K$^+$ channels. These channels can be identified by a unique subunit structure and separate into three gene subfamilies, Eag (Kv10), Erg (Kv11), and Elk (Kv12), based on sequence conservation (Warmke and Ganetzky, 1994; Ganetzky et al., 1999). The EAG family is ancient but metazoan specific. The EAG subunit structure appears to have arisen in basal metazoans, and the Eag, Erg, and Elk subfamilies first appeared and functionally differentiated in a common ancestor of cnidarians and bilaterians (Martinson et al., 2014; Li et al., 2015b). Virtually all data on PIP$_2$ modulation of the EAG channel family comes from a single gene, the human Erg subfamily channel Erg1 (hErg1, Kv11.1), which underlies the I$_{Kr}$ current critical to cardiac action potential repolarization (Sanguinetti et al., 1995; Trudeau et al., 1995; Keating and Sanguinetti, 2001). The application of exogenous PIP$_2$ enhances hErg1 activation (Bian et al., 2001, 2004), and depletion of native PIP$_2$ causes a small reduction in hErg1 currents (Kruse and Hille, 2013). The effect of PIP$_2$ on Eag and Elk subfamily channel gating has not yet been described, and bimodal modulation by PIP$_2$ has not been observed for the EAG family.

The EAG channel family subunit domain structure consists of a typical voltage-gated K$^+$ channel core, a C-linker/cyclic nucleotide–binding homology domain (CNBHD) structurally equivalent to the C-linker/CNBD gating domain of HCN and CNG channels (Brelidze et al., 2012), and a unique N-terminal eag domain consisting of a Per-Arntd-Sim (PAS) domain (Morais Cabral et al., 1998) with an EAG channel–specific Cap (Gustina and Trudeau, 2012). The CNBHD receives its designation as a homology domain because although structurally similar to CNBD, it appears self-ligated in crystal structures (Brelidze et al., 2012, 2013), and EAG family channels do not appear to respond to the application of intracellular cyclic nucleotides (Brelidze et al., 2009, 2012). The eag domain docks on the CNBHD (Gianulis et al., 2013; Haitin et al., 2013), and in hErg1 it stabilizes open channels, producing the characteristic slow deactivation of hErg1 (Morais Cabral et al., 1998; Wang et al., 1998). This time-dependent open-state stabilization causes deactivation to occur in a more hyperpolarized voltage range than activation, a phenomenon that has been referred to as “mode shift” (Alonso-Ron et al., 2008; Villalba-Galea et al., 2008; Tan et al., 2012; Hull et al., 2014; Goodchild et al., 2015). Deletion of the eag domain or Cap in hErg1 reduces open-state stabilization and therefore speeds deactivation and diminishes mode shift (Morais Cabral et al., 1998; Wang et al., 1998; Alonso-Ron et al., 2008; Musket et al., 2011; Tan et al., 2012; Hull et al., 2014; Goodchild et al., 2015). The N-terminal of the Cap of hErg1 is highly conserved and forms an amphipathic helix by NMR; neutralization of conserved basic residues within the helix speeds deactivation (Musket et al., 2011). The location of the N-terminal of the Cap is not resolved in EAG family crystals (Morais Cabral et al., 1998; Haitin et al., 2013), but it has been proposed to interact directly with the channel core (Wang et al., 1998) or alternatively with the CNBHD (Haitin et al., 2013). Interestingly, the proposed site of PIP$_2$ interaction with hErg1 is a cluster of positive charges in the C terminus downstream of the CNBHD (Bian et al., 2004) in an area that is poorly conserved even within the Erg channel subfamily, and thus it is not clear how PIP$_2$ might regulate other EAG family channels.

We examined PIP$_2$ regulation of the human Elk family channel Elk1 (HsElk1, Kv12.1) to gain a broader understanding of how PIP$_2$ influences the activity of EAG family channels. We also examined the role of the eag domain in Elk channel gating to see if it plays a functionally equivalent role to what has been observed for hErg1, or inhibits activation and inactivation as has been proposed for the mammalian Eag subfamily channel Eag1 (Kv10.1; Terlau et al., 1997). Elk channels, including Elk1, characteristically have a hyperpolarized voltage-activation range with significant activation at neuronal resting potentials (Trudeau et al., 1999; Zou et al., 2003; Zhang et al., 2010; Li et al., 2015b). Elk2, like the KCNQ-encoded M current, contributes to subthreshold K$^+$ current in hippocampal pyramidal neurons, and genetic or
pharmacologic block of either channel causes hyperexcitability and epilepsy (Peters et al., 2005; Zhang et al., 2010). Comparing how Elk and KCNQ channels differ in terms of PIP$_2$ regulation is therefore important for determining the degree to which these channels are functionally redundant or occupy separate physiological niches. We chose Elk1 for this study because it is the only mammalian Elk channel that expresses at high enough levels in heterologous systems to enable excised patch recording for direct PIP$_2$ application.

The results we present here show that the eag domain of Elk1 is functionally equivalent to that of hErg1, and that PIP$_2$ regulates Elk1 in a bimodal fashion, as has been observed for Shaker, HCN, and calcium channels. PIP$_2$-dependent regulation of Elk1 requires basic residues in the S4–S5 linker (R347), proximal to the S6 activation gate (R479), and in the Cap of the eag domain (K5).

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Molecular biology**

Human Elk1 (Kv12.1, KCNH8) was amplified from whole brain RNA by standard RT-PCR techniques and cloned into the pOX plasmid (Jegla and Salkoff, 1997) for expression in *Xenopus laevis* oocytes. The WT clone used for these studies encodes a channel identical in amino acid sequence to the channel protein encoded by the *elk* gene.

The results we present here show that the eag domain of Elk1 is functionally equivalent to that of hErg1, and that PIP$_2$ regulates Elk1 in a bimodal fashion, as has been observed for Shaker, HCN, and calcium channels. PIP$_2$-dependent regulation of Elk1 requires basic residues in the S4–S5 linker (R347), proximal to the S6 activation gate (R479), and in the Cap of the eag domain (K5).
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by GenBank accession number NM_144633. Mutations and truncations were incorporated via standard oligo-mediated PCR mutagenesis techniques and sequence verified. *Cliona intestinalis* VSP (GVSP) was provided by J. Cui (Washington University, St. Louis, MO). Expression plasmids were linearized and used as templates for capped cRNA synthesis with the T3 mMessage mMachine kit (Life Technologies). RNA transcripts were purified by LiCl precipitation and rinsed with ice-cold 70% ethanol. Air-dried pellets were dissolved in an appropriate volume of nuclease-free water supplemented with RNase inhibitor SUPERase-In (Life Technologies) and stored at −80°C until use.

**Xenopus oocyte preparation, injection, and culture**

*Xenopus* oocytes were obtained from either *Xenopus* 1 or Nasco. Oocytes were isolated from the ovaries and de-folliculated with 1 mg/ml Type II collagenase (Sigma-Aldrich) in Ca²⁺-free ND98 (98 mM NaCl, 2 mM KCl, 2 mM CaCl², 1 mM MgCl², and 5 mM HEPES, pH 7.2). Snipping the ovaries with scissors to increase fluid access to the interior and pre-rinsing in Ca²⁺-free ND98 increased the speed of ovary digestion and the quality of oocytes obtained. After digestion, mature, high quality oocytes were transferred into the culture solution that included the addition of 1.8 mM Ca²⁺, 2.5 mM Mg²⁺, 0.2 mM Ca²⁺, 140 mM methanesulfonate, 4.4 mM Cl⁻, and 10 mM HEPES, pH 7.2. The Ag-AgCl ground was placed in 1 M NaCl and connected to the bath with a 1-M NaCl agarose bridge. Junction potential was cancelled before patch formation, and pipette capacitance was compensated. Inside-out patches were immediately moved into a focal stream of internal solution after excision and maintained under constant perfusion. Data were collected using a Multiclamp 700A amplifier and the pClamp 9 acquisition suite (Molecular Devices). Data were sampled at 20 kHz and filtered at 1.4 kHz. In some cases, multiple sweeps were averaged to improve the signal-to-noise ratio. Phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate C₈ (diC₈-PIP₂), phosphatidylinositol-4-phosphate C₈ (diC₈-PI(4)P), and phosphatidylinositol-3,4,5-trisphosphate C₈ (diC₈-PIP₃) were purchased from Cayman Chemicals. 2.5-mM stock solutions were made with internal solution and stored at −80°C. The phosphoinositides were diluted to final concentrations with internal solution and applied directly to the intracellular face of excised patches through the perfusion stream.

**Patch-clamp recordings**

Oocytes were placed in a hypotonic stripping solution (culture solution supplemented with 200 mM sucrose) for 3–10 min to allow separation of the plasma membrane from the vitelline envelope. Forceps were used to remove the vitelline envelopes, and oocytes were transferred to the recording dish filled with internal solution (142 mM K⁺, 138 mM methanesulfonate, 4 mM Cl⁻, 10 mM HEPES, and 5 mM EGTA, pH 7.2). Patch pipettes were coated with Sticky Wax (Kerr Dental Laboratory Products) to minimize capacitance and had a resistance of 0.4–1 MΩ after fire polishing. The pipette solution contained 142 mM K⁺, 1 mM Mg²⁺, 0.2 mM Ca²⁺, 140 mM methanesulfonate, 4.4 mM Cl⁻, and 10 mM HEPES, pH 7.2. The Ag-AgCl ground was placed in 1 M NaCl and connected to the bath with a 1-M NaCl agarose bridge. Junction potential was cancelled before patch formation, and pipette capacitance was compensated. Inside-out patches were immediately moved into a focal stream of internal solution after excision and maintained under constant perfusion. Data were collected using a Multiclamp 700A amplifier and the pClamp 9 acquisition suite (Molecular Devices). Data were sampled at 20 kHz and filtered at 1.4 kHz. In some cases, multiple sweeps were averaged to improve the signal-to-noise ratio. Phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate C₈ (diC₈-PIP₂), phosphatidylinositol-4-phosphate C₈ (diC₈-PI(4)P), and phosphatidylinositol-3,4,5-trisphosphate C₈ (diC₈-PIP₃) were purchased from Cayman Chemicals. 2.5-mM stock solutions were made with internal solution and stored at −80°C. The phosphoinositides were diluted to final concentrations with internal solution and applied directly to the intracellular face of excised patches through the perfusion stream.

**Data analysis**

G-V curves were determined from isochronal tail currents at −100 mV. Data were fitted with a single Boltzmann distribution in Origin 8.1 (OriginLab) using the equation $f(V) = (A_1 - A_2)/(1 + (V - V_{50})^2)/(A_1 - A_2) + A_1$, where $V_{50}$ represents the midpoint; $s$ is the slope factor; and $A_1$ and $A_2$ represent the upper and lower asymptotes, respectively. Data from individual patches were normalized and averaged before plotting, and the Boltzmann fits shown in the figures are simulated using the arithmetic means of $V_{50}$ and $s$ values. For measurements of activation time course, the major phase of current activation (initial 80% of current rise after sigmoidal delay) was fitted with a single exponential in Clampfit (Molecular Devices) using the equation $f(t) = y_0 + Ae^{-t/\tau}$, where $y_0$ is the current offset, $A$ is the amplitude, and $\tau$ is the time constant. Data for dose–response relationships were fit in Origin 8.1 with the modified

| Channel | Parameter | On cell | Excised | 10 µM PIP₂ |
|---------|-----------|---------|---------|------------|
|         | Mean      | SEM     | n       | Mean       | SEM     | n       | Mean       | SEM     | n       |
| WT      | $V_{50}$  | -33.9   | 1.1     | 32        | -52.5    | 0.8     | 37       | -30.8     | 0.8     | 37      |
|         | s         | 12.1    | 0.2     | 32        | 8.7      | 0.1     | 37       | 10.2      | 0.1     | 37      |
| Δ2-136  | $V_{50}$  | -8.1    | 1.8     | 15        | -45.2    | 1.0     | 15       | -13.7     | 0.8     | 14      |
|         | s         | 10.1    | 0.3     | 15        | 8.0      | 0.3     | 15       | 8.4       | 0.3     | 14      |
| K5Q     | $V_{50}$  | -22.6   | 1.2     | 9         | -55.9    | 1.3     | 9        | -26.1     | 1.3     | 8       |
|         | s         | 9.7     | 0.2     | 9         | 8.2      | 0.0     | 9        | 8.7       | 0.2     | 8       |
| R347E   | $V_{50}$  | -45.2   | 2.3     | 18        | -46.4    | 2.2     | 18       | -25.8     | 2.9     | 15      |
|         | s         | 16.4    | 0.3     | 18        | 14.1     | 0.2     | 18       | 16.2      | 0.4     | 15      |
| R347C   | $V_{50}$  | -20.6   | 2.4     | 17        | -26.4    | 1.7     | 17       | -3.5      | 1.8     | 12      |
|         | s         | 12.1    | 0.3     | 17        | 13.3     | 0.3     | 17       | 14.3      | 0.4     | 12      |
| R475E   | $V_{50}$  | -38.5   | 1.6     | 17        | -37.5    | 1.0     | 20       | -25.1     | 1.4     | 17      |
|         | s         | 12.9    | 0.4     | 17        | 11.4     | 0.2     | 20       | 12.2      | 0.2     | 17      |
| R479E   | $V_{50}$  | -59.6   | 2.3     | 17        | -38.3    | 1.3     | 20       | -43.0     | 2.0     | 14      |
|         | s         | 14.4    | 0.5     | 17        | 13.1     | 0.2     | 20       | 15.9      | 0.4     | 14      |
| R479Q   | $V_{50}$  | -53.7   | 2.8     | 11        | -47.6    | 1.8     | 12       | -42.5     | 2.5     | 11      |
|         | s         | 14.9    | 0.3     | 11        | 10.4     | 0.2     | 12       | 14.2      | 0.4     | 11      |

*a*, number of measurements.

$V_{50}$, Half-maximal activation voltage, mV.

$s$, slope factor, mV.

**Table 1**

Elk1 Boltzmann fit parameters for standard G-V curves measured from a −100-mV hold
currents had stabilized. Two obvious changes (arrows) include the enhanced activation of Elk1 at hyperpolarized potentials and a dramatic speed up of deactivation. Channel activity as measured by peak tail current at \(-100 \text{ mV}\) after the voltage ramp increased for several minutes after excision and then remained stable through 15 min (Fig. 1 B). Example Elk1 current traces recorded in response to 1-s voltage steps from on-cell and excised patches are shown in Fig. 1 C, and voltage activation (G-V) curves measured from isochronal tail currents are shown in Fig. 1 D (fit parameters are provided in Table 1). Patch excision shifts the \(V_{50}\) of the voltage-activation curve \(-18.6 \pm 1.3 \text{ mV}\). It leads to an increase in the activation rate of Elk1 (Fig. 1 E), as measured by a decrease in the time constant of activation (\(\tau_{\text{act}}\)) (Fig. 1, E and F) that occurred over several minutes in parallel with the run-up of tail current size. Patch excision also increases the rate of deactivation, as measured by a significant decrease in the fraction of tail current remaining 60 ms after repolarization from 60 to \(-100 \text{ mV}\) (Fig. 1 G). Measurements of channel activity in excised patches described later in this paper were taken only after currents elicited by voltage ramps had stabilized.

**RESULTS**

Patch excision alters voltage-dependent gating of Elk1

We first characterized gating changes that occur in Elk1 after excision of inside-out patches from *Xenopus* oocytes to assess the potential for the existence of PIP_2_ dependent regulation. Patch excision leads to gradual loss of PIP_2_ from the plasma membrane, and gating alterations that accompany patch excision therefore can reflect PIP_2_ dependence. For instance, rundown of KCNQ channels in inside-out patches can be reduced by manipulations that slow the loss of PIP_2_ and can be reversed by the application of a soluble PIP_2_ analogue diC8-PIP_2_ to the intracellular face of the patch (Li et al., 2005; Zaydman et al., 2013). Fig. 1 A shows Elk1 currents in symmetrical K\(^+\) evoked by voltage ramps before patch excision and several minutes after patch excision when...
Elk1 voltage gating has a robust VSD mode shift that is lost upon patch excision
In Erg1, slow deactivation is caused by time-dependent mode shift of the activated voltage sensor (Piper et al., 2003; Tan et al., 2012; Goodchild et al., 2015); the mode-shifted VSD returns to the resting state in a more hyperpolarized voltage range than the original transition from resting to activated. Mode shift is believed to be caused by a relaxation of the VSD into a more stable activated conformation subsequent to its initial activation (Villalba-Galea et al., 2008). We examined mode shift in Elk1 to determine if it could explain the slow component of deactivation on cell, which is lost upon patch excision. Fig. 2A shows families of on-cell Elk1 currents recorded in response to voltage steps from −120 to 60 mV from a holding potential of −100 mV (our standard G-V measurement) or with a 1-s prepulse to 60 mV to induce mode shift. The G-V $V_{50}$ measured from isochronal tail currents recorded at −100 mV shifted from −30.8 ± 1.5 mV to −72.3 ± 1.0 mV when we introduced the prepulse to 60 mV (Fig. 2B). This mode shift reduced from −41.6 ± 1.0 mV to −3.7 ± 0.7 mV after patch excision (Fig. 2B). The magnitude of the slow component in Elk1 tail currents recorded at −100 mV

Figure 3. DiC8-PIP$_2$ slows activation and enhances mode shift in Elk1 in excised patches.
(A; left) Currents recorded in response to a 2-s voltage ramp (−120 to 80 mV; −100-mV holding potential) before and after the application of 10 µM PIP$_2$. (Middle and right) Currents recorded in response to a series of 1-s voltage steps (−120 to 60 mV in 20-mV increments; −100-mV holding potential) before and after the application of 10 µM PIP$_2$, respectively. Arrows point to slowed activation to deactivation in the PIP$_2$ traces. (B) The application of 10 µM PIP$_2$ shifts Elk1 G-V in the depolarized direction ($n$ = 37). On-cell and excised data are the same as in Fig. 1D. Curves are single Boltzmann fits, and $V_{50}$ and $s$ are reported in Table 1. (C) Normalized current traces from an Elk1 patch comparing the activation rate at −20 mV on cell, excised, and with 10 µM PIP$_2$. Traces were reversed in polarity for display. (D) Change in activation rate upon patch excision and patch application of 10 µM PIP$_2$ at various voltages expressed as $\frac{\tau_{act(on
cell)}}{\tau_{act(ctrl)}}$ and $\frac{\tau_{act(PIP2)}}{\tau_{act(ctrl)}}$ ($n$ = 33 and 18, respectively). (E) Normalized tail currents from an Elk1 patch comparing the mode shift at −100 mV on cell, excised, and with 10 µM PIP$_2$. Traces were reversed in polarity for display. (F) Fractional tail current remaining 60 ms after repolarization to −100 mV in 10 µM PIP$_2$ ($n$ = 14; curve is a single-exponential fit with a time constant of 611 ms) compared with excised and on cell from Fig. 2E. (G) G-V curves determined from isochronal tail currents at −100 mV after 1-s steps to the indicated voltages for excised controls (red; $n$ = 19) and 10 µM PIP$_2$ (blue; $n$ = 18) from a holding potential of −100 mV (squares) or with a 1-s prepulse to 60 mV (circles). Mode shift values in millivolts are reported in Table 2. (H–J) Dose–response relationships for PIP$_2$ as measured by $\frac{\tau_{act(PIP2)}}{\tau_{act(ctrl)}}$ at −20 mV (H; $n$ = 5–20), $V_{50}$ shift (I; $n$ = 14–26), and G-V mode shift size (J; $\Delta V_{50,}$ 100-mV hold vs. 60-mV prepulse; $n$ = 4–10). Curves show fits with the Hill equation, and half-maximal concentrations ($K_{1/2}$) are indicated. All data are expressed as mean ± SEM.
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on cell (but not excised) was highly sensitive to depolarizing step length (Fig. 2, C–E). We estimated the time course of mode shift at 60 mV by plotting the increase in tail current remaining 60 ms after repolarization to −100 mV (Fig. 2 E). An exponential fit of the data yielded a time constant of 505 ± 10 ms (n = 34).

**PIP2 slows activation of Elk1 in excised patches and partially restores mode shift**

We applied PIP2 to excised patches to determine if the gating changes we observed in Elk1 upon patch excision could be explained by loss of PIP2. We used the diC8 analogue of PIP2 for all experiments because of its enhanced solubility relative to native PIP2. Fig. 3 A shows ramp and step currents from an excised patch before and after the application of 10 µM diC8-PIP2 (referred to as “PIP2” from here on). 10 µM PIP2 depolarized the G-V curve measured from a −100-mV resting potential by 21.7 ± 1.1 mV; the resulting G-V curve was similar to what we observed on cell (Fig. 3 B). 10 µM PIP2 slowed the activation rate of Elk1 relative to excised control conditions (Fig. 3 C) as measured by the ratio of the time constant of activation in PIP2, $\tau_{act}(\text{PIP2})$, to the time constant of activation in control solution, $\tau_{act}(\text{Ctrl})$ (Fig. 3 D). The application of 10 µM PIP2 to excised patches also introduced a slow component into tail currents and significantly increased mode shift by 16.2 mV from $-3.7 \pm 0.7$ mV to $-19.9 \pm 1.3$ mV (Fig. 3, E–G).

We calculated the half-maximal PIP2 concentration ($K_{1/2}$) for effects on $\tau_{act}$ at $-20$ mV, G-V $V_{50}$, and mode shift using Hill-equation fits of data at various PIP2 concentrations (Fig. 3, H–J). The $K_{1/2}$ values calculated by each method were similar: 12.7 ± 2.2 µM for $\tau_{act}$, 9.4 ± 2.7 for G-V $V_{50}$, and 11.3 ± 1.7 µM for mode shift. PIP2 could fully restore the slow activation of Elk1 observed on cell at a concentration just above these $K_{1/2}$ values (between 10 and 30 µM; Fig. 3, D and H). Mode shift was not fully restored to excised patches at the same concentrations (Fig. 3 J), suggesting that PIP2 may not fully account for the large on-cell mode shift. These results show that PIP2 regulates the voltage gating of Elk1 in a bimodal fashion by inhibiting voltage activation while enhancing stabilization of open channels. Furthermore, the $K_{1/2}$ values we determined for the application of diC8 PIP2 to excised patches suggest that Elk1 gating could be sensitive to changes in native PIP2 concentrations.

**Figure 4.** Depletion of native PIP2 with CiVSP alters Elk1 gating kinetics. (A) Normalized Elk1 current traces recorded at 60 mV on cell patches from an Elk1 control and Elk1 + CiVSP. Repeated 2-s steps to 60 mV were delivered at 6-s intervals, and the 1st, 2nd, and 20th sweeps are shown for comparison. (B) Activation time constants at various voltages determined for Elk1 + CiVSP on cell patches (n = 5) and compared with Elk1 on cell and excised controls from Fig. 1 F. The on-cell time constant significantly decreased at all voltages measured (P < 0.001; t test) with CiVSP coexpression. (C) Normalized tail current traces at −100 mV after 2-s prepulse to 60 mV. The first sweep is shown for each condition, and the tail shape did not change with further repeated sweeps (dotted line, zero current). (D) Deactivation time course measured as fractional tail current remaining 60 ms after repolarization to −100 mV after a 2-s prepulse to 60 mV (n = 34, 16, and 39 for on-cell control, + CiVSP, and excised control, respectively; ***, P < 0.001; t test). (E) On-cell G-V curves for Elk1 controls (black; n = 5) and +CiVSP (red; n = 4) recorded from the typical −100-mV hold (squares) and with a 1-s 60-mV prepulse (circles). Curves show single Boltzmann fits, and G-V mode shift values (circle $V_{50}$ − square $V_{50}$) are reported in Table 3. All data are reported as mean ± SEM.
Depletion of native PIP2 with CiVSP modulates Elk1 voltage gating

Although a huge diversity of channels is regulated by PIP2 as measured by patch excision and direct PIP2 application to the intracellular face of patches, only a subset of channels appears to be regulated by changes in PIP2 concentration in intact cells (Hilgemann, 2012; Kruse et al., 2012; Kruse and Hille, 2013). In cases where channel activity requires PIP2, but is not modulated by physiological changes in PIP2 concentration, it has been suggested that constitutive PIP2 binding might be necessary for normal channel function (Hilgemann et al., 2001; Suh and Hille, 2008; Hilgemann, 2012; Kruse et al., 2012; Zhou and Logothetis, 2013). We therefore examined whether depletion of native PIP2 by the depolarization-activated phosphoinositide 5-phosphatase CiVSP (Murata et al., 2005; Halaszovich et al., 2009) could alter the gating of Elk1 in on-cell patches. Repeated depolarization of whole oocytes expressing GvSP under two-electrode voltage clamp depletes PIP2 sufficiently to inhibit PIP2-dependent KCNQ currents (Li et al., 2015a). Oocytes for patches are often damaged during removal of the vitelline envelope, and we bathed the oocytes in high K+ intracellular recording solution, so it is reasonable to assume that they were sufficiently depolarized for some basal CiVSP activation and PIP2 depletion before patch formation. Indeed, activation of Elk1 currents was already fast in most GvSP-expressing versus control patches during the first depolarizing voltage step applied to the patch. Nevertheless, repeated depolarizing steps to 60 mV further increased the rate of activation in some patches, a change that was never observed in control oocytes (Fig. 4 A). The mean activation time constants for on-cell patches recorded from GvSP-expressing oocytes in response to increasing voltage steps were significantly smaller than for control oocytes (Fig. 4 B). However, GvSP coexpression does not speed up activation as much as patch excision (Fig. 4 B), possibly because of incomplete depletion of native PIP2 during the steps or rapid PIP2 resynthesis between steps. Similarly, the deactivation time course was significantly faster in CiVSP-expressing oocytes (Fig. 4, C and D), and mode shift was significantly reduced from −41.6 ± 1.9 mV in control oocytes to −22.0 ± 1.4 mV in CiVSP-expressing oocytes (Fig. 4 E). These results suggest that native PIP2 in oocytes modulates Elk1 the same way as diC8-PIP2 in excised patches.

Figure 5. Elk1 modulation by diC8-PI(4)P and diC8-PIP3.

(A) Normalized currents compare Elk1 activation at 20 mV before and after the application of the indicated PIP. (B) Fold changes in activation time constant at −20 mV upon the application of 10 μM of the indicated PIP (n = 9, 18, and 10 for PI(4)P, PIP2, and PIP3, respectively). (C) Normalized tail currents recorded at −100 mV after a 2-s prepulse to 60 mV before and after the application of 10 μM PI(4)P and PIP3 (dotted line, zero current). (D) G-V mode shift induced by 10 μM of the indicated PIPs, measured as in Fig. 2 B. All data show mean ± SEM; n = 32, 9, 18, and 9 for control, PI(4)P, PIP2, and PIP3, respectively; *, P < 0.05; ***, P < 0.001; t test.

| Channel | On cell | Excised | 10 μM PIP2 |
|---------|---------|---------|-----------|
|         | Mean    | SEM     | n         | Mean | SEM | n | Mean | SEM | n |
| WT      | −41.6b  | 1.0     | 18        | −3.7 | 0.7 | 19 | −19.9 | 1.3 | 18 |
| Δ2–136  | −6.2    | 1.1     | 9         | −4.4 | 1.6 | 7  | −1.3  | 1.9 | 7  |
| K5Q     | −26.7   | 1.3     | 11        | −3.1 | 1.2 | 9  | −7.4  | 1.1 | 8  |
| R347E   | −19.3   | 1.2     | 6         | −4.1 | 1.1 | 6  | −5.5  | 2.4 | 6  |
| R347C   | −40.7   | 1.7     | 8         | −4.3 | 2.0 | 7  | −11.3 | 1.9 | 6  |
| R475E   | −46.5   | 1.5     | 8         | −5.7 | 0.4 | 8  | −19.6 | 1.8 | 8  |
| R479E   | −21.8   | 1.2     | 16        | −7.0 | 1.3 | 7  | −9.5  | 1.0 | 5  |
| R479Q   | −26.9   | 2.3     | 5         | −2.9 | 1.1 | 5  | −8.0  | 2.0 | 5  |

*a*, number of measurements.

*b*, ΔV50, mV.

### Table 2

| Channel | Mode shifts shown as ΔV50 in millivolts for G-V curves measured with a 1-s 60-mV prepulse compared to a −100-mV holding potential |
|---------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|         | Mean    | SEM     | n | Mean | SEM | n | Mean | SEM | n |
| WT      | −41.6b  | 1.0     | 18 | −3.7 | 0.7 | 19 | −19.9 | 1.3 | 18 |
| Δ2–136  | −6.2    | 1.1     | 9  | −4.4 | 1.6 | 7  | −1.3  | 1.9 | 7  |
| K5Q     | −26.7   | 1.3     | 11 | −3.1 | 1.2 | 9  | −7.4  | 1.1 | 8  |
| R347E   | −19.3   | 1.2     | 6  | −4.1 | 1.1 | 6  | −5.5  | 2.4 | 6  |
| R347C   | −40.7   | 1.7     | 8  | −4.3 | 2.0 | 7  | −11.3 | 1.9 | 6  |
| R475E   | −46.5   | 1.5     | 8  | −5.7 | 0.4 | 8  | −19.6 | 1.8 | 8  |
| R479E   | −21.8   | 1.2     | 16 | −7.0 | 1.3 | 7  | −9.5  | 1.0 | 5  |
| R479Q   | −26.9   | 2.3     | 5  | −2.9 | 1.1 | 5  | −8.0  | 2.0 | 5  |
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Figure 6. Role of the Elk1 N-terminal eag domain in mode shift. (A) Sequence alignment of the first 15 amino acids of the Cap domain for all human EAG family channels and fly (Dm) and Nematostella (Nv; sea anemone) Elk orthologues. Basic residues are shaded in blue, and other residues conserved in at least eight sequences are shaded in black. A universally conserved basic residue (K5 in Elk1) is highlighted with the red box. (B and C) On-cell current traces recorded in response to 1-s steps (−120 to 60 mV in 20-mV increments; −100-mV holding potential) for Elk1 Δ2-136 and K5Q. (D) Normalized on-cell tail currents recorded at −100 mV after 1-s prepulse to 60 mV for Δ2-136 and K5Q compared with WT (dotted line, zero current). (E and F) On-cell G-V curves recorded from a −100-mV hold (squares) or with a 1-s 60-mV prepulse (circles) for Δ2-136 and K5Q. (G) Normalized Δ2-136 and K5Q tail currents recorded at −100 mV after a 1-s prepulse to 60 mV from excised patches before and after the application of 10 µM PIP2. (H and I) G-V curves for Δ2-136 and K5Q determined from isochronal tail currents at −100 mV after 1-s steps to the indicated voltages for excised controls (red) and 10 µM PIP2 (blue) from a holding potential of −100 mV (squares) or with a 1-s prepulse to 60 mV (circles). (J) Mode shift values for Δ2-136 and K5Q compared with WT (*, P < 0.05; ***, P < 0.001; t test), on cell (black), excised (red), and plus 10 µM PIP2 (blue). (K) PIP2-dependent mode shift (ΔAV50, 10 µM PIP2, excised control) for WT, K5Q, and Δ2-136 (***, P < 0.001 vs. WT; t test). (L) On-cell G-V curves for K5Q controls and +CiVSP coexpression for K5Q compared with WT (***, P < 0.001; t test). All data are mean ± SEM; sample numbers are provided in Tables 1–3, and curves in G-V panels show single Boltzmann fits. GenBank accession numbers for the full sequences aligned in A are: Elk1, NM_144633; Elk2, NM_012284; Elk3, NM_012285; Eag1, NM_172362; Eag2, NM_139318; Erg1, NM_000238; Erg2, NM_030779; Erg3, NM_033272; DmElk, NM_057661; NvElk, KM_052387.
We tested the ability of the diC8 analogues of two other plasma membrane phosphoinositides, phosphatidylinositol 4-phosphate (PI(4)P) and phosphatidylinositol (3,4,5)-trisphosphate (PIP3), to modulate the voltage gating of Elk1. PI(4)P is the precursor for PIP2 synthesis and is the major metabolite produced from PIP2 by CiVSP (Halaszovich et al., 2009). PIP3 is typically >100-fold less abundant than PIP2 in cells (Vanhaesebroeck et al., 2001) but strongly modulates CNG channel gating (Zainazarov et al., 2004; Brady et al., 2006; Bright et al., 2007). Elk1 activation rates with the application of 10 µM of each diC8 phosphoinositide are compared in Fig. 5 (A and B). PIP3 slowed activation significantly more than PIP2, whereas PI(4)P had only a small effect on activation at 10 µM. The same rank order of effect (PIP3 > PIP2 > PI(4)P) was observed at the applied 10-µM concentration for mode shift (Fig. 5, C and D). The small effects observed for PI(4)P relative to PIP2 are consistent with the ability of the phosphoinositide 5-phosphatase CiVSP to enhance activation rate and reduce mode shift in Elk1. These data suggest that phosphoinositide regulation of Elk1 could occur through either PIP2 or PIP3, although we did not fully explore the affinity and efficacy of PIP3 for this study. We instead focused on PIP2 modulation for this study to facilitate comparison to the broad literature on PIP2 regulation of channels.

The role of the eag domain in mode shift
We next examined which domains of Elk1 might be responsible for the PIP2 dependence of voltage gating. Because the eag domain and conserved basic residues at the N terminus of the Cap support mode shift in hErg1 (Tan et al., 2012), we deleted the entire eag domain of Elk1 (Δ2–136), or alternatively neutralized a single conserved basic residue in the N-terminal Cap (K5Q), to test the role of the Elk1 eag domain in open-state stabilization. K5 aligns with a Cap residue (R5) that plays a role in mode shift in Erg1 (Fig. 6 A). Elk1 Δ2–136 and K5Q currents recorded on cell in response to voltage steps are shown in Fig. 6 (B and C), and the time course of on-cell tail currents recorded after a 1-s 60-mV pulse is compared with WT in Fig. 6 D. Elk1 Δ2–136 tail currents were extremely rapid on cell compared with WT, whereas K5Q tail currents were intermediate between WT and Δ2–136 at −100 mV.

![Figure 7.](image)

**Figure 7.** PIP2 inhibits activation in Elk1 Δ2–136 and K5Q mutants. (A) $\tau_{\text{act}}(10 \mu M \text{PIP}2)/\tau_{\text{act}}(\text{Ctrl})$ at various voltages compared for WT ($n = 18$) and Δ2–136 ($n = 9$). Accurate measurement of the Δ2–136 activation time constant below −10 mV was not possible in 1-s steps because of the depolarized G-V. The inset compares normalized currents for a Δ2–136 patch at 10 mV on cell, excised, and in the presence of 10 µM PIP2. (B) Repeat of A for K5Q ($n = 8$). (C) $\Delta V_{50}$ induced by 10 µM PIP2 in excised patches for WT, Δ2–136, and K5Q ($n = 37, 14, \text{and 8}$, respectively; ***, $P < 0.001$ vs. WT; t test).

---

### Table 3

| Channel   | Mode shifts on cell ± CiVSP ($\Delta V_{50}$ in G-V curves measured with a 1-s 60-mV prepulse or from a −100-mV holding potential) |
|-----------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|           | Control                                                                                                                          | +CiVSP                                                                                      |
|           | Mean | SEM | n  | Mean | SEM | n  | Mean | SEM | n  |
| WT        | −41.6 | 1.9 | 5  | −22.0 | 1.4 | 4  | −22.0 | 1.4 | 4  |
| K5Q       | −21.6 | 2.0 | 8  | −22.3 | 1.4 | 12 | −22.3 | 1.4 | 12 |
| R347E     | −18.6 | 1.3 | 5  | −15.5 | 0.9 | 5  | −15.5 | 0.9 | 5  |
| R479E     | −14.5 | 1.5 | 5  | −10.0 | 3.0 | 7  | −10.0 | 3.0 | 7  |

* $n$, number of measurements.  
* $\Delta V_{50}$, mV.
the two. Mode shift on cell was almost completely eliminated in Elk1 Δ2–136 (−6.2 ± 3.1 mV; n = 9) and reduced significantly to −26.7 ± 1.7 mV (n = 11; P < 0.0001; t test) for Elk1 K5Q (Fig. 6, E and F, and Table 2). Neither mutant exhibited mode shift after excision; 10 μM PIP2 actually accelerated deactivation and introduced a small but significant increase in mode shift for K5Q but not Δ2–136 (Fig. 6, G–J, and Table 2). However, the increase in mode shift in excised patches caused by 10 μM PIP2 relative to control solution (ΔΔVmo) was significantly reduced in both K5Q and Δ2–136 versus WT (Fig. 6 K). The accelerated deactivation we observed for both mutants in 10 μM PIP2 (Fig. 6 G) is likely a consequence of the large depolarized G-V shifts in the presence of PIP2 (Fig. 6, H and I), and we did not study it further. Finally, the on-cell mode shift of Elk1 K5Q was not reduced by coexpression with CiVSP (Fig. 6, L and M, and Table 3) and was identical in magnitude to the mode shift remaining for Elk1 WT after depletion of PIP2 by CiVSP (−21.3 ± 2.0 mV vs. −22.0 ± 1.4 mV; P = 0.76; n = 8 and 4, respectively). These results indicate that the eag domain is required for open-state stabilization and the accompanying mode shift in Elk1, and that K5 in the Cap increases the ability of PIP2 to promote stabilization and mode shift.

We reasoned that the ability of PIP2 to inhibit voltage activation in Elk1 K5Q and Δ2–136 mutants might be intact because of the large rightward shift of the G-V after 10 μM PIP2 application to excised patches (Fig. 6, H and I) and the leftward G-V shift observed for K5Q in the presence of CiVSP (Fig. 6 L). The activation rates of Elk1 Δ2–136 and K5Q were indeed slowed by PIP2 (Fig. 7, A and B), indicating that other regions of the Elk1 channel must mediate inhibitory effects of PIP2. The fold changes of tact caused by 10 μM PIP2 relative to excised controls were similar for Δ2–136, K5Q, and WT (Fig. 7, A and B). However, the rightward G-V shift caused by 10 μM PIP2 was significantly increased for both mutants (Fig. 7 C). PIP2-dependent G-V shifts reflect a composite of PIP2-dependent inhibition of activation counterbalanced by mode shift. We hypothesize that the depolarizing G-V shifts are bigger in K5Q and Δ2–136 because the counterbalancing effect of mode shift is significantly reduced.

Basic residues in the S4–S5 linker and post-S6 are required for PIP2-dependent inhibition of Elk1

Basic residues at the cytoplasmic interface of the VSD and S6 activation gate have been implicated in PIP2-dependent inhibition of Shaker channel gating (Rodriguez-Menchaca et al., 2012a) and PIP2-dependent coupling of VSD activation to pore opening in KCNQ channels (Zaydman et al., 2013). We therefore tested whether basic residues in the S4–S5 linker (R347) and post-S6 (R475 and R479) of Elk1 (Fig. 8, A and B) were required for PIP2 modulation of Elk1 gating using charge-reversal and neutralization substitutions. R347E was functional (Fig. 9 A) but exhibited significantly smaller changes in activation rate relative to WT upon the application of 10 μM PIP2 (Fig. 9, A and B). Furthermore, deactivation was more rapid on cell in R347E, and PIP2 did not introduce a slow component in excised patches (Fig. 9 C). In contrast, PIP2 strongly inhibited activation of R347C and introduced a small slow component into the tail (Fig. 9, D–F). On-cell mode shift was significantly reduced in R347C and PIP2 did not significantly increase mode shift in excised patches (Fig. 9 G and Table 2). We hypothesize that R347C retains the ability to mode shift but lacks PIP2-dependent enhancement of mode shift. In contrast, R347C had normal mode shift on cell but significantly reduced PIP2-dependent enhancement of mode shift in excised patches.
patches ($\Delta V_{50} = -7.3 \pm 1.2$ mV [$n = 6$] vs. $-15.8 \pm 1.1$ mV [$n = 18$] for WT; $P < 0.001$). Patch excision had little effect on the G-V of R347E, but 10 µM PIP$_2$ caused a 20.6 ± 3.6-mV shift in $V_{50}$ (Fig. 9 H and Table 1). This is similar to what we observed for WT but less than what we observed for K5Q, which has normal PIP$_2$-dependent inhibition of activation but (like R347E) lacks the counterbalance of PIP$_2$-dependent enhancement of mode shift. This reduction in the PIP$_2$-dependent depolarizing G-V shift relative to K5Q supports our observation that PIP$_2$ has a reduced ability to inhibit activation in R347E. The PIP$_2$-dependent depolarizing G-V shift was similar in R347C (22.9 ± 2.5 mV; Fig. 9 I) but was likely constrained by residual PIP$_2$-dependent mode shift (Fig. 9 G).

Charge-reversal mutations at R475 (R457E) and R479 (R479E) had differential effects on PIP$_2$-dependent inhibition of voltage activation (Fig. 10, A–C). PIP$_2$ slowed voltage activation of R475E in a similar fashion to WT, whereas the effects of PIP$_2$ on activation were significantly reduced in R479E, as quantified by the fold change in the activation rate induced by 10 µM PIP$_2$. R475E also had a large mode shift on cell and normal PIP$_2$-dependent enhancement of mode shift when excised (Fig. 10 D and Table 2). In contrast, on-cell mode shift was significantly reduced for R479E, and PIP$_2$ did not recover mode shift in excised patches (Fig. 10 D and Table 2). The R475E G-V shifts upon excision and 10 µM PIP$_2$ application were unexpectedly less than we observed for

![Figure 9. Effect of R347 mutations on the PIP$_2$ modulation of Elk1. (A) R347E on cell currents recorded in response to 1-s voltage steps (−120 to 60 mV in 20-mV increments) from a holding potential of −100 mV. Insets show normalized currents at 20 mV from a patch on cell (black), excised (red), and plus 10 µM PIP$_2$ (blue). (B) $\tau_{act}(10 \mu$M PIP$_2$)/$\tau_{act}$(Ctrl) at various voltages for R347E ($n = 9$) and WT ($n = 18$). *** P < 0.001; t test. (C) Normalized tail currents recorded at −100 mV after a 1-s prepulse to 60 mV, comparing R347E to WT on cell (top) and R347E excised before and after 10 µM PIP$_2$ application (bottom). (D–F) Repeat of A–C for R347C ($n = 8$); *, P < 0.05; t test. (G) G-V mode shift measured as in Fig. 2 (A and B) for R347 mutants compared with WT on cell, excised, and plus 10 µM PIP$_2$ ($n$ reported in Table 2; *, P < 0.05; ***, P < 0.001; t test). (H and I) G-V curves for R347E and R347C on cell (black), excised (red), and plus 10 µM PIP$_2$ (blue) recorded from a −100-mV holding potential. $V_{50}$, s, and n numbers are reported for each plot in Table 1, and corresponding WT G-V curves are shown with dotted lines for comparison. All data are presented as mean ± SEM.](image-url)
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and WT (Fig. 10 E and Table 1), but we did not explore this further because PIP$_2$-dependent mode shift and inhibition of activation were normal when assayed individually. R479E had a depolarizing shift in G-V upon patch excision, and 10 µM PIP$_2$ did not depolarize the G-V further (Fig. 10 F and Table 1). This phenotype is consistent with a large reduction in bimodal PIP$_2$ regulation. Neutralization of R479 (R479Q) showed a parallel but less severe phenotype to R479E (Fig. 10, G–I, and Tables 1 and 2). Inhibition of activation in R479Q was significantly reduced relative to WT. R479Q on-cell mode shift was also significantly reduced, but a small amount of PIP$_2$ enhancement of mode shift remained. These data argue that R479 (but not R475) has a strong influence on PIP$_2$-dependent modulation of voltage gating in Elk1.

We further explored the roles of R347 and R479 in PIP$_2$-dependent gating by examining the effect of native PIP$_2$ depletion by CiVSP on these mutants. The activation rate of R347E was consistently faster at a given voltage in the presence of CiVSP, but the changes were small and only significant at −40 mV (Fig. 11, A and B). CiVSP did not alter the R347E G-V curves or significantly reduce on-cell mode shift (Fig. 11, C and D). R479E

Figure 10. Effect of R475 and R479 mutations on the PIP$_2$ modulation of Elk1. (A and B) R475E and R479E current traces recorded in response to 1-s voltage steps (−120 to 60 mV in 20-mV increments; −100-mV holding potential) in the on-cell configuration. (Insets) Normalized current traces at 20 mV for R475E and at −20 mV for R479E (reversed in polarity for display) comparing on cell (black), excised (red), and plus 10 µM PIP$_2$ (blue). (C) $\tau_{act}(10 \mu M PIP_2)/\tau_{act}(Ctrl)$ at various voltages compared for R475E (n = 20), R479E (n = 10), and WT (n = 18). R479E differed from WT as indicated: *, P < 0.05, or ***, P < 0.001. (D) G-V mode shift determined with protocols shown in Fig. 2 A for the indicated mutants and conditions (n numbers in Table 2; ***, P < 0.001; t test). (E and F) G-V curves for R475E and R479E on cell (black), excised (red), and plus 10 µM PIP$_2$ (blue; dotted lines, corresponding WT). $V_{50}$, s, and $n$ numbers are reported in Table 1. (G–I) Repeat of C–E for R479Q. R479Q, n = 5 in G; H and I, sample numbers are reported in Tables 1 and 2, with $\Delta V_{50}$ and Boltzmann parameters, respectively (*, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.001 vs. WT; t test). All data are mean ± SEM, and curves in E, F, and I show single Boltzmann fits.
had essentially the same phenotype in the presence of
GVSP, except no significant changes in activation rate
were observed and there was a small right shift in the
G-V curves (Fig. 11, E–G). R479E mode shift on cell was
slightly smaller than what we observed in previous experi-
ments, but it was not further reduced by GVSP (Fig. 11 H).
The relative insensitivity of R347E and R479E to CiVSP
further supports the conclusion that these mutants have
reduced bimodal PIP₂ modulation.

**DISCUSSION**

Elk1 is the first example of an EAG family channel that
displays bimodal regulation of voltage gating by PIP₂.
This regulation is in part mediated by two basic residues
in the S₄–S₅ linker (R347) and post-S₆ region (R479).
The residues are required for both PIP₂-dependent in-
hibition of voltage gating and PIP₂-dependent stabiliza-
tion of open channels. One important open question
regarding the bimodal regulation of voltage-gated chan-
nels by PIP₂ has been whether the sites for inhibition
and enhancement are spatially separate or overlapping
(Zhou and Logothetis, 2013). Our functional data sug-
gest that the sites could overlap in Elk1. Because the
S₄–S₅ linker and cytoplasmic edge of S₆ are the site of
coupling between the VSD and activation gate (Chen
et al., 2001; Tristani-Firouzi et al., 2002; Long et al.,
2005a,b), these basic residues could lie in close proxim-
ity and conceivably have direct electrostatic interac-
tions with PIP₂, as has been suggested for similarly placed
residues in KCNQ channels (Zaydman et al., 2013;
Zaydman and Cui, 2014). Direct S₄–S₅ linker and post-
S₆ (TRP-box) interactions with PIP₂ mediated by basic
residues have also been proposed for Kv1.2 (Rodriguez-
Menchaca et al., 2012a) and TRP channels (Rohács
et al., 2005; Poblete et al., 2015), respectively. This
mechanism is appealing because PIP₂–protein inter-
actions are typically mediated by direct binding to basic
residues (Suh and Hille, 2008). However, our data do
not differentiate between direct binding and allosteric
interaction between the S₄–S₅ linker/post-S₆ and PIP₂
bound at an alternate site(s). Basic residues in the C-
linker have been shown previously to be involved in PIP₂-
dependent inhibition of HCN channels (Flynn and
Zagotta, 2011), which may be equivalent to the open-
state stabilization we observed for Elk1 in our study. The
Elk1 C-linker has similarly positioned basic residues,
but we did not examine their role in PIP₂-dependent
modulation in this study.

Suh and Hille (2008) proposed two alternate models
of how PIP₂ can interact with ion channels: (1) a tight
pocket with high affinity and specificity for PIP₂,
or (2) a more general electrostatic interaction with lower
affinity and less selectivity between phosphoinositides.
Our Elk1 data are supportive of a binding site with the
latter characteristics. The affinity for PIP₂ (K₁/₂ of roughly
10 µM) is relatively low, and PIP₃ also had a strong bi-
modal effect on gating.

---

**Figure 11.** Effects of depletion of native PIP₂ with CiVSP on R347E and R479E. (A) Normalized currents recorded at 60 mV for R347E
in the presence or absence of GVSP. (B) Activation time constants on cell with (n = 6) or without (n = 5) GVSP. (C) On-cell G-V curves
for R347E controls (black; n = 5) and +GVSP (red; n = 5) recorded from the typical −100-mV hold (squares) and with a 1-s 60-mV pre-
pulse (circles). Curves show single Boltzmann fits, and mode shift values are reported in Table 3 and plotted in D. (D) R347E mode shift
measured on cell with or without CiVSP coexpression compared with WT. (E–H) Repeat of A–D for R479E (n = 3–5 for F and 5–7 for G
and H). All data are mean ± SEM (*, P < 0.05; ***, P < 0.001; t test).
strong modulation appears to be the presence of multiple phosphate groups on the inositol ring, as PI(4)P has much less effect on gating. A more general association between PIP2 and the cytoplasmic gating machinery of voltage-gated channels is also suggested by sequence conservation of the S4–S5 linker and post-S6. For instance, basic residues within these regions are required for PIP2-dependent modulation of gating in Elk1, KCNQ1, and Kv1.2, but the precise side chain, position, and pattern of basic residues are variable (Fig. 8, A and B). We suggest that PIP2 might be able to be accommodated at the activation gates of most voltage-gated channels, but its effects on gating will vary based on the precise contacts made. In this manner, PIP2 regulation could be conserved across the voltage-gated superfamily yet plastic through evolutionary time; various aspects of PIP2 modulation might come and go rapidly as the gating machinery sequence evolves. For instance, evidence so far suggests that KCNQ channels, which strictly require PIP2 for activation, probably evolved from the Shaker family sometime after the evolution of the first nervous system (Li et al., 2015a). Shaker family channels vary with respect to PIP2 modulation (Hilgemann et al., 2001; Kruse et al., 2012; Rodriguez-Menchaca et al., 2012a; Kruse and Hille, 2013), but most do not require PIP2. Shakers from cnidarians are stable in excised patches (Jegla et al., 2012), so this lack of a requirement for PIP2 is ancient and was present in Shaker family channels at roughly the time the KCNQ family first appeared. Thus, it is possible that KCNQ channels gained strict PIP2 dependence de novo. It would be interesting to see if de novo insertion of single or a few basic residues within the gating machinery of diverse PIP2-agnostic voltage-gated channels could awaken a latent PIP2 sensitivity.

It remains to be seen if bimodal PIP2 modulation is a conserved property of Elk channels, but the basic residues we identified as being important for PIP2 modulation in Elk1 (K5, R347, and R479) are universally conserved as basic residues in mouse, human, fly, and sea anemone Elk channels, with one major exception (Fig. 8). The *Nematostella vectensis* (sea anemone) Elk orthologue lacks a basic residue at the 479 position, but it does have an adjacent R (at the 480 position equivalent) that is found in all Elk channels except Elk1. Erg1 is not modulated by PIP2 in a bimodal fashion (Bian et al., 2001; Rodriguez et al., 2010) and like all Erg and Eag subfamily channels intriguingly lacks a basic residue at the equivalent position of R479 (Fig. 8 B). PIP2 modulation has not been studied in the Eag subfamily to our knowledge, but it seems unlikely that they would have PIP2-dependent mode shift given their evolutionarily conserved rapid deactivation (Li et al., 2015b). Thus, it appears that PIP2 will have highly variable effects within the Eag family of channels. The auxiliary subunit KCNE1 enhances the PIP2 sensitivity of KCNQ1, suggesting that PIP2 modulation of EAG family channels could be further influenced by KCNEs, which are known to interact with some Erg and Elk channels (McDonald et al., 1997; Abbott et al., 1999; Clancy et al., 2009).

The position of the Cap domain in EAG family channels is somewhat controversial, with functional data from Eag1 (Terlau et al., 1997) and cross-linking experiments in Erg1 (de la Peña et al., 2011, 2015) suggesting close proximity to the S4–S5 linker, and structural and FRET data presenting an alternative that the Cap might instead interact through the CNBHD (Gianulis et al., 2013; Haitin et al., 2013). Structural evidence clearly shows the eag domain including part of the cap docks on the CNBHD (Haitin et al., 2013), but the complete position of the Cap has not been structurally resolved. However, it has been suggested that the Cap could regulate the S4–S5 linker while the eag domain is docked on the CNBHD (Gustina and Trudeau, 2012), and we find that the highly conserved basic residue near the tip of the Cap (K5 in Elk1) and R347 in the S4–S5 linker are both important for PIP2-dependent mode shift. However, K5 could allosterically influence voltage gating through the eag domain, which we find is required for mode shift (Fig. 6). Basic residues R4 and R5 in the Cap of hErg1 are required for slow deactivation (Muskett et al., 2011) and the hErg1 Cap is required for mode shift (Tan et al., 2012), but the dependence of this effect on PIP2 has not been explored. PIP2 does appear to enhance hErg1 through open-state stabilization (Rodriguez et al., 2010), but currently only the distal C terminus of hErg1 has been linked to PIP2-dependent modulation (Bian et al., 2004; Bian and McDonald, 2007).

The in vivo role of Elk channels has not been extensively studied, so the physiological importance of PIP2-dependent modulation of Elk voltage gating is not yet clear. However, two of our findings suggest a possible role for PIP2 in Elk1 modulation in vivo: (1) the Elk1 PIP2 affinity is relatively low (Fig. 3), indicating that channel activity could be affected by physiological changes in PIP2 (Suh and Hille, 2008); and (2) depletion of native PIP2 with GiVSP in oocytes was sufficient to alter Elk1 gating properties (Fig. 4). Gene knockout and pharmacological experiments in mouse show that Elk2 in pyramidal neurons contributes to subthreshold K+ conductance and alters firing threshold (Zhang et al., 2010). This is a qualitatively similar role to that played by KCNQ channels (M current), which strictly require PIP2 for opening (Li et al., 2005; Zaydman et al., 2013; Zaydman and Cui, 2014). Elk1 current has not been identified in vivo, but it also activates at subthreshold potentials, is highly expressed in sympathetic ganglia (Shi et al., 1998), and is present at modest levels in various brain regions (Engeland et al., 1998; Zou et al., 2003). Our results suggest that the physiological roles of Elk and KCNQ channels fundamentally diverge at the level of PIP2 regulation. Elk1 still activates after PIP2 depletion, and the net effect of bimodal PIP2 regulation could be further influenced by KCNEs, which are known to interact with some Erg and Elk channels (McDonald et al., 1997; Abbott et al., 1999; Clancy et al., 2009).
is inhibitory. PIP$_2$ serves to slow both the activation and deactivation rates of Elk1, which might effectively reduce the channel’s response to rapid voltage changes. PIP$_2$ hydrolysis might then increase the response of the channel to brief depolarizations (accelerated activation) without a lasting increase in resting K$^+$ conductance (accelerated deactivation). Characterization of Elk1 currents in neurons with genetic and pharmacologic tools will be necessary to test these hypotheses.
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