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Abstract

The objective of the present work was to study transformation of Georgian natural zeolites, analcime and phillipsite, during their recrystallization in the aim to obtain zeolites A and X, widely used for adsorption, separation, ion exchange and catalysis. It is found that phase-pure zeolite NaA (Na_{11.25(25)}(K,½Ca,½Mg)_{0.7(1)}(Al_{11.95(25)}Si_{12.3(3)}O_{48})·18H_{2}O) can be prepared in the form of cubic/rhombus crystallites with uniform micrometric (3-5 μm) dimensions by hydrothermal crystallization (95°C) of aged (72 hr) at room temperature gel (4.5Na_{2}O: 0.45Al_{2}O_{3}: 1SiO_{2}: 178H_{2}O) obtained from natural analcime, treated with hydrochloric acid before suspending in water and mixing with sodium hydroxide. Phase-pure zeolite NaX ([Na_{66(3)}(K,½Ca,½Mg,½Cu,½Zn)_{12(1)}(H_{2}O)_{248(10)}(Al_{78(3)}Si_{114(4)}O_{384})] with specific surface area of 589 m²/g and total pore volume of 0.578 cm³/g can be prepared in the form of octahedral crystallites with uniform micrometric (2-7 μm) dimensions by hydrothermal crystallization (95°C) of aged (96 hr) at room temperature gel (2.9Na_{2}O: 0.26Al_{2}O_{3}: 1SiO_{2}: 150H_{2}O) obtained from water suspension of natural phillipsite, treated with hydrochloric acid and mixed with sodium hydroxide. The resulting zeolites in their characteristics are competitive with commercially available materials.

Introduction:

Zeolites are porous crystalline aluminosilicates built from alternating SiO₄ and AlO₄ tetrahedrons. Zeolites have been the focus of intensive activity and growth in applications over the past 30 years in adsorption, ion exchange and catalytic technologies due to their excellent properties of uniform and precise nano-scale porosity, molecular shape selectivity, ion-exchange capacity, strong Brønsted acidity and high thermal and hydrothermal stability. Of the 46 natural zeolites, clinoptilolite is the most studied and widely used, and of more than two hundred synthetic zeolites, the A, X and Y zeolites find the greatest practical application, and this is described in detail in modern review articles (Mintova et al., 2015; Ennaert et al., 2016; Li et al., 2017; Bacakova et al., 2018).

Zeolite A (the crystal chemical formula $[\text{Na}_{12} (\text{H}_{2} \text{O})_{2}] \cdot [\text{Al}_{12} \text{Si}_{12} \text{O}_{36}]$-LTA) has a 3-dimensional pore structure (Fig 1) with channels running perpendicular to each other in the x, y, and z planes. Crystal structure is constructed of 4-,
Zeolite X (Si/Al<3) and zeolite Y (Si/Al>3) are analogues of the rare natural zeolite faujasite (the crystal chemical formula \([(Ca,Mg,Na_{29})_{2} \cdot (H_{2}O)_{24} \cdot [Al_{58}Si_{134}O_{384}] \cdot FAU]\) having the largest unit cell (cubic, a=24.74Å) containing 192 T-atoms. Channels in the FAU crystal structure are running perpendicular to each other in the x, y, and z planes similar to LTA (Fig 1), and are made of 4- and 6-member ring SBUs, CBUs are d6r (double 6-membered ring containing 12 T atoms) and sod (Baerlocher et al., 2007). The channel diameter is large at 7.4Å since the aperture is defined by a 12-member ring, and leads into a larger cavity of diameter 12Å. The cavity is surrounded by ten sodalite cages connected on their hexagonal faces.

As a commercial material, zeolite A is used in spacio-specific catalysis, especially in paraffin cracking, in ion exchange separation (Izidoro et al., 2013), and in detergents as a water-softening builder (Hul and Chao, 2006). Recently, it was found (Milenkovic et al., 2017; Dolaberidze et al., 2018) that the bactericidal activity of copper-containing zeolite A toward Escherichia coli is determined not only by the released Cu^{2+} ions, but also by the influence of the metal-containing zeolite itself.

Zeolites X and Y are used in many applications such as removal of heavy metals from aqueous waste (Izidoro et al., 2013; Ltaief et al., 2015), separation of gases in permanent gas-flow (Bastani et al., 2013), aerobic digestion process (Montalvo et al., 2012), oxidation of olefins (Bagherzadeh and Zare, 2012), mild hydrocracking of naphthenic compounds (Park et al., 2013), hydrocracking of vacuum gas oil (Cui et al., 2013) and other catalytic applications: zeolite Y is widely used in acidic form in petroleum refinery catalytic cracking units to increase the yield of gasoline and diesel fuel from crude oil feedstock by cracking heavy paraffins into gasoline grade naphtha. Zeolite Y has superseded zeolite X in this use because it is both more active and more stable at high temperatures due to the higher Si/Al ratio.

Zeolites A, X and Y are conventionally synthesized with sodium silicate and aluminate through hydrothermal crystallization (Balkus and Ly, 1991) at 70-300°C; modern practice of zeolite synthesis includes microwave (Sapawe et al., 2013) and ultrasound assisted methods (Bukhari et al., 2015), accelerated crystallization of via hydroxyl free radicals (Feng et al., 2016) and other tools (Abdullahi et al., 2017). The hydrothermal zeolite synthesis through transformation of natural silicates and industrial wastes has been used due to the search for cheap alumina and silica sources.

There have been many studies on synthesizing zeolites from natural minerals, such as smectite (Abdmeziem and Siffert, 1994), perlite (Christidis et al., 1999; Dolaberidze et al., 2018a), diatomite (Sanhueza et al., 2004; Yao et al., 2018), kaolinite (Farzan et al., 1989; Lin et al., 2004; San Cristóbal et al., 2010; Belviso et al., 2013; Gougazeh and Buh, 2014; Abdullahi et al., 2017; Alaba et al., 2017; Garshasbi et al., 2017), bentonite (Garshasbi et al., 2017), feldspar (Su et al., 2016; Garshasbi et al., 2017), natural zeolites (Tsitsishvili et al., 2016a, b; Dolaberidze et al., 2018a, b).
Zeolites have been also synthesized from the solid wastes, such as coal fly ash (Shigemoto et al., 1990; Morayama et al., 2002; Querol et al., 2002; Tanaka et al., 2003; Inada et al., 2005; Terzano et al., 2005; Hui and Chao, 2006; Juan et al., 2009; Babajide et al., 2012; Musyoka et al., 2015; Volli and Purkait, 2015; Yao et al., 2015; Hu et al., 2017), cupola slag (Anuwattana et al., 2008; Anuwattana and Khummongkol, 2009), oil shale ash (Shawabkeh et al., 2004; Machado and Miotto, 2005), rice husk ash (Shoumkova and Stoyanova, 2013; Manadee et al., 2017) and coal gangue (Qian and Li, 2015), but the uncertainty in their supplies and the impurity in their components may limit their practical application (Yao et al., 2018).

Natural zeolites have a fairly constant composition and controlled impurities, so that they can be used to produce synthetic zeolites. Recently it was shown (Tsitsishvili et al., 2016a), that zeolites with high silicon content and low ion exchange capacity, such as mordenite ([Na₈(H₂O)₃₆][Al₆Si₄O₂₄]·MOR) type materials can be obtained by hydrothermal recrystallization of the Georgian natural clinoptilolite (empirical formula of used samples – (Na₃₋₅K₁₋₅Ca₀₋₄ Mg₀₋₅(Me₀₋₅)AlₓSi₇₋₈O₂₄)·8H₂O, crystal chemical formula [Ca₄(H₂O)₂₄][Al₈Si₃O₇2·HEU] in the absence of seeds and organic templates, but materials with high aluminium content and ion exchange capacity, like the LTA and FAU type zeolites can be obtained only by two-stage recrystallization of raw: natural zeolite firstly was transformed in the sodalite ([Na₈Cl₈][Al₈Si₄O₂₄]·SOD) structure, and then in the target product (Tsitsishvili et al., 2016b; Dolaberidze et al., 2017). The reason for such behavior may be a comparatively high Si/Al ratio (>4.0) in raw material, so to produce the A and X zeolites, it is better to use common zeolites with a comparatively low Si/Al ratio, such as chabazite (Si/Al=3.0), analcime and laumontite (Si/Al=2.0), or phillipsite (Si/Al=7/3). Another reason for the impossibility of recrystallization of clinoptilolite in zeolite A may be the fact that the HEU structure has only 4-member ring SBU of 4–4=1 type containing 9 T-atoms, and CBU of bre type containing 10 T-atoms.

The aim of our work was to study the recrystallization of the Georgian natural zeolites analcime and phillipsite to obtain the A and X zeolite structures in one step without application of crystallization seeds and organic templates. Analcime structure contains 4- and 6-member ring SBU’s, has no CBU’s and may be suitable for the preparation of zeolite A. Phillipsite has 4- and 8-member ring SBU’s, double crankshaft chain as CBU and may be applied to obtain zeolite X. Both zeolites are widespread in Georgia, but have no practical application.

Materials and Methods:-

Materials:-

Preparation of synthetic zeolite materials was carried out using following Georgian natural zeolites described and characterized (Tsitsishvili et al., 1998) previously:

1. analcime from Chachubeti, Eastern Georgia, with chemical composition characterized by empirical formula (Na₈₋₃K₅₋₁Ca₀₋₄ Mg₀₋₄[Me₀₋₅])Al₃₋₄Si₃₋₄O₁₀.₄ H₂O (Me = Fe, Cu, etc.) and zeolite phase content of approx. 70%, major impurities – chlorite and montmorillonite;

2. phillipsite from the Akhalsikhe field, Southern Georgia, with chemical composition expressed by formula (Na₁₋₃Kₓ[Si₂₋₃Oₓ]·Mg₀₋₃[Me₀₋₅])Al₋₋₅Si₁₋₄O₁₂·4H₂O (Me = Fe, Cu, Zn, etc.), zeolite phase content up to 70%, major impurities – quartz and clay minerals.

Sodium hydroxide and the other chemicals used in the experiments were purchased from Merck KGaA (Darmstadt, Germany). All chemicals were of analytical reagent grade and used without any further purification. Deionized water was used throughout this study.

Preparation of zeolites:-

Processing of raw in target material included following steps: preparation of material, preparation of suspension, gel formation and aging, hydrothermal crystallization, and separation of product.

In the experiments were used zeolite-containing rocks, crushed in the planetary micro mill Pulverisette 7 premium line (Fritsch Laboratory Instruments, Idar-Oberstein, Germany) to a size less than 0.063 mm (250 BSS mesh).

Analcime powder was treated at room temperature by HCl water solution (12%) under stirring, washed by water before the complete disappearance of Cl⁻ ions, and dried in thermostat oven at 100-105°C; water suspension (the
solid to liquid ratio of 1 : 3) of homogeneous amorphous (XRD tested) material was prepared in Teflon flack; suspension was treated at room temperature by NaOH water solution (20%) under stirring, homogenization and gel formation takes approx. 30 minutes.

Phillipsite powder was suspended in Teflon flack placed in shaking water bath OLS 26 Aqua Pro (Grant Instruments, Cambridge, UK) controlling temperature at 90-95°C; suspension was processed with a 12% hydrochloric acid solution at the rate of 5 mL per gram of the solid raw material; activated suspension was diluted with water and treated by adding of a 25% sodium hydroxide solution, followed by the formation of a homogeneous gel for about one hour.

General characteristics of the target zeolite products are in strong dependence on the chemical composition of gel (aNa$_2$O·bAl$_2$O$_3$·SiO$_2$·cH$_2$O) prepared for aging and crystallization: the Si/Al ratio determines the type of porous structure to be produced; application of sodium hydroxide provides an alkaline environment for breaking T–O bonds and gives possibility to prepare nearly pure sodium forms; high water content ensures suitable viscosity and other physical properties for crystallization process. The molar ratios SiO$_2$/Al$_2$O$_3$, Na$_2$O/Al$_2$O$_3$, and H$_2$O/Na$_2$O, optimal for obtaining zeolite A from analcime and zeolite X from phillipsite, are given in the Table 1.

The aging of the gel in all cases was carried out at room temperature for several days; crystallization was carried out in temperature-controlled water bath; the temperature (up to 95°C) and duration have been adjusted to prepare micrometric single crystals with diameter of 2-8 μm. The crystallization was followed by X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns, the strongest peaks (2Θ~30° for zeolite A, according to recent results (Dolaberidze et al., 2017), and 2Θ = 6.1° for zeolite X) were observed to detect the start of zeolitization and determine the time of formation of a stable structure, shown in the Table 1.

Separation of produced crystalline material was carried out by filtration of mother solution, solid material was cleaned by distilled water until pH 8.0-8.5, and dried at 90-100°C.

| Table 1:- Optimal chemical composition of the gel, duration of its aging and crystallization |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Raw material | Chachubeti analcime | Akhaltsikhe phillipsite |
| Target product structure | LTA | FAU |
| Molar ratio SiO$_2$/Al$_2$O$_3$ | 2.2 | 3.8 |
| Molar ratio Na$_2$O/Al$_2$O$_3$ | 9.8 | 12 |
| Molar ratio H$_2$O/Na$_2$O | 40 | 55 |
| Gel aging duration, hr | 72 | 96 |
| Beginning of zeolitization, hr after start | 30 | 16 |
| Total crystallization time, hr | up to 120 | up to 55 |

Characterization:-

Chemical composition of raw material and prepared samples was determined by elemental analyses carried out using a 381L plasma spectrometer (Spectromom, Hungary) and atomic absorption spectrometer (model 300, Perkin-Elmer, UK), as well as by energy dispersive X-ray (EDS) analysis. The crystalline phase was identified by powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns obtained from a modernized Dron-4 X-ray diffractometer (Russia) employing the Cu-K$_\alpha$ line (λ = 0.154056 nm). The samples were scanned in the 2Θ range of 5° to 50° with a 0.02° step at a scanning speed of 1/min. Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectra were collected by a Perkin-Elmer 10.4.2 FTIR spectrometer (UK) over the range of 400–4000 cm$^{-1}$ with a resolution of 2 cm$^{-1}$ using the KBr pellet technique for sample preparation. The surface morphology of the samples was observed by scanning electron microscope JSM6510LV (Jeol, Japan) equipped with X-Max 20 analyzer (Oxford Instruments, UK) for EDS. Nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherms were measured at 77 K using an ASAP 2020 Plus physisorption analyzer (Micromeritics, Norcross, GA, USA), after evacuation of the samples at 350°C.

Results and Discussion:-

XRD characterization and chemical composition:-

Numerous studies listed in the reviews (Bukhari et al., 2015; Abdullahi et al., 2017) have found that, regardless of the raw materials and crystallization technique, the reactant ratios of SiO$_2$/Al$_2$O$_3$ and Na$_2$O/SiO$_2$ in the reaction mixture (gel) are crucial parameters to determine the crystallinity and properties of the zeolite products from
hydrothermal synthesis. Using analcime pre-activated with hydrochloric acid and forming a gel in accordance with the amounts of sodium and water given in Table 1, zeolite A can be obtained with a high degree of phase purity. This is confirmed by the powder XRD pattern (Fig 2), which corresponds to the XRD pattern of zeolite A, obtained from the rice husk ash and aluminium scrap (Shoumkova and Stoyanova, 2013), and characterized by high intensity peaks at 2Θ = 7, 10, 12, 16, 21, 24, 27, 30, and 34°. No additional diffraction peaks have been observed at 2Θ = 14.5 and 25° indicating the possible formation of a thermodynamically more stable structure of sodalite, as in the case of high-temperature synthesis of zeolite A from coal fly ash (Hu et al., 2017). Experimental XRD pattern of target product has been compared with calculated one taken from the “Database of Zeolite Structures” of the International Zeolite Association Structure Commission (http://www.iza-structure.org/). Calculated XRD pattern include a large number of low-intensity peaks that are not observed experimentally, so for comparison with recorded pattern only peaks of comparatively high intensity (over 0.09I_{max}) have been taken into consideration. As a result, the following assignment of diffraction peaks at corresponding 2Θ° (relative intensity I/I_{max} in %; hkl; d spacing in Å) was made: 2Θ = 7.2° (51%;200;12.3Å), 10.1° (38%;220;8.7Å), 12.4° (24%;222;7.1Å), 16.2°(22%;420;5.5Å), 21.1°(8%;600;4.2Å), 21.8°(46%;442;4.1Å), 24.0°(80%;622;3.7Å), 26.0°(20%;620;3.4Å), 26.9°(82%;642;3.3Å), 29.9°(100%;820+644;2.98Å), 32.9°(42%;840;2.75Å), and 34.2°(74%;664;2.62Å). It is impossible to unambiguously assign a peak at 2Θ = 30.08°, as well as peaks for which the 2Θ angle exceeds 35 degrees. With the exception of peak intensities, these data are in full agreement with previously published results for zeolite A, obtained by two-stage crystallization (Dolaberidze et al., 2017).

Fig 2: Experimental XRD pattern of the material obtained from the recrystallization of analcime.

The chemical composition of zeolite A, obtained from natural analcime, is described by the empirical formula Na_{12}(K,½Ca,½Mg)_{0.7}(Al_{12}Si_{12}O_{48})·18H_{2}O, and is in a good accordance with corresponding crystal chemical formula [Na_{12}(H_{2}O)_{2}]_{8}[Al_{12}Si_{12}O_{48}]_{8} with the exception of small “lack” of the Al atoms (Si/Al=1.03±0.025) in the frame as in a case of two-stage crystallization (Tsitsishvili et al., 2016b). Samples of zeolite A obtained from kaolin usually have Si/Al values from 1.15 (Alkan et al., 2005; Ríos Reyes et al., 2010) to 1.3 (Ugal et al., 2010), although it was reported about application (Georgiev et al., 2014) and preparation (Kazemimoghadam and Mohammadi, 2006; Melo et al., 2012) of zeolite 4A with Si/Al ~1.

The XRD pattern of phillipsite recrystallization product (Fig 3) shows not only the strongest peak at 2Θ = 6.1° (100%;111;14.28Å), but also all low intensity peaks given in the “Database of Zeolite Structures” for hydrated NaX zeolite: 2Θ = 10° (9%;220;8.75Å), 11.8° (7.5%;311;7.46Å), 15.4° (11%;331;5.7Å), 18.5° (4%;551;4.8Å), 20° (4%;440;4.38Å), 22.4° (1%;620;4.5Å), 23.3° (6.5%;533;3.8Å), 26.6° (7%;642;3.3Å), 29.2° (2.5%;733;3.05Å), 30.3° (3%;822;2.95Å), 31° (6%;555;2.85Å), 32° (3%;840;2.75Å), 33.6° (3%;664;2.65Å), 37.4° (2%;666;2.35Å), 40.8° (1.5%;880;2.17Å), and 41.3° (1.5%;955;2.15Å). Peaks at larger 2Θ angles cannot be attributed unambiguously, but their positions and intensities coincide with those published for a commercial zeolite and a sample synthesized from pure chemicals (Masoudian et al, 2013).
It can be seen that the main crystalline phase is zeolite X, no peaks of zeolite A have been observed. According to the data of the elemental and EDS analysis, counted on 384 oxygen atoms and 192 T-atoms in the unit cell, the empirical formula of the obtained compound can be represented as $[\text{Na}_{66(3)}[\text{Me}]_{12(1)}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_{248(10)}]$ ($\text{Al}_{78(3)}\text{Si}_{114(4)}\text{O}_{384}$) ($\text{Me} = \frac{1}{2}\text{K}, \frac{1}{2}\text{Ca}, \frac{1}{2}\text{Mg}, \frac{1}{2}\text{Cu}$ and $\frac{1}{2}\text{Zn}$, the latter is unevenly distributed). Compared to the crystal chemical formula of FAU with ($\text{Al}_{58}\text{Si}_{134}\text{O}_{384}$) and ($\text{H}_2\text{O})_{240}$, the resulting compound has elevated aluminum content and reduced silicon content with about the same number of crystallization water molecules. Module $\text{Si/Al} = 1.46\pm0.07$, and the resulting material can be attributed to zeolite NaX.

**Optimal conditions and parameters of transformation:**

Obtaining of zeolite NaX from a gel with molar ratio $\text{SiO}_2/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 = 3.8$ corresponds to the results of a previous study (Zhang et al., 2013), according to which a single phase NaX zeolite was obtained from sodium silicate and sodium aluminate only with the $\text{SiO}_2/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ molar ratio of 1.5–4.0. When using pure chemicals, the NaA zeolite was developed at $\text{SiO}_2/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 = 1.0$ in addition to the NaX zeolite, but at $\text{SiO}_2/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 = 0.5$ a single phase NaA zeolite was generated. However, the use of natural precursors leads to other results, the synthesis of zeolite X from coal fly ash was carried out at $\text{SiO}_2/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 = 5$, and zeolite A at $\text{SiO}_2/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 = 1.67$ (Hu et al., 2017), so that the preparation of zeolite NaA by recrystallization of analcime at $\text{SiO}_2/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 = 2.2$ and large molar ratio $\text{Na}_2\text{O}/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ is understandable.

Generally, high alkaline concentration of the crystallization system accelerates the dissolution of silicon and aluminum components in the precursor materials (Cundy et al., 2005). The optimal conditions for the recrystallization of the analcime in zeolite A were the ratios $4.5\text{Na}_2\text{O}/\text{SiO}_2$ and $\sim40\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{Na}_2\text{O}$, while the synthesis of the same zeolite from the coal fly ash was successfully carried out at significantly lower sodium content ($1.3\text{Na}_2\text{O}/\text{SiO}_2$) partially compensated by comparatively low dilution factor, $1.3\text{Na}_2\text{O}/\text{SiO}_2$ (Hu et al., 2017). In all likelihood, such a high alkaline concentration is needed to transform the structure of analcime, which has the highest framework density ($18.5\text{T}/1000\text{Å}^3$) among zeolites (Baerlocher et al., 2007).

The optimal conditions for the recrystallization of the phillipsite in zeolite X are $2.9\text{Na}_2\text{O}/\text{SiO}_2$ and $\sim50\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{Na}_2\text{O}$. The same zeolite was synthesized from the coal fly ash at lower sodium content $2.2\text{Na}_2\text{O}/\text{SiO}_2$ compensated by comparatively low dilution, $\sim40\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{Na}_2\text{O}$ (Hu et al., 2017), synthesis of zeolite X from diatomite was carried out in conditions of slightly higher sodium content ($3.0\text{Na}_2\text{O}/\text{SiO}_2$) and lower dilution factor, $40\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{Na}_2\text{O}$ (Yao et al., 2018). Structure PHI has a rather low framework density ($15.8\text{T}/1000\text{Å}^3$), and high alkalinity is not needed for its transformation.

Aging also plays an important role in the nucleation of amorphous gel. During this stage, the aluminosilicate species included in the gel phase are transformed along with the aging conditions (Ogura et al., 2003). In the study, out of considerations of energy saving, the room temperature was chosen for aging the gel. Of course, this led to a significant increase in the duration of aging, from about six to ten hours to several days, but this saves more than 100 Joules per gram of the reaction mixture. The same energy saving considerations were taken into account when selecting the optimum crystallization temperature. In addition, it was decided to carry out recrystallization at a temperature below the boiling point of water, in this case there is no need to use an autoclave.
The mid infra red peak patterns in FTIR spectra (Fig 4) testify formation of zeolite structure in both cases. The band of the internal deformation vibration modes of T-O-T bridges and the band of the internal vibration of T-O symmetric stretching have little influence from the Si/Al ratio: transmittance peaks were recorded at 465 and 663 cm$^{-1}$ for zeolite NaA, and at 461 and 668 cm$^{-1}$ for zeolite NaX, respectively. The band associated with the asymmetric external vibration of double four-rings of zeolite framework is more sensitive to the Si/Al ratio: 552 cm$^{-1}$ for zeolite NaA, and 562 cm$^{-1}$ for zeolite NaX. The band of valence T–O–T vibrations gives peak at 752 cm$^{-1}$ only for zeolite NaA. The internal vibration of T-O asymmetric stretching gives peak at 1008 cm$^{-1}$ for the NaA sample, for zeolite NaX it is shifted to the lower wave numbers (976 cm$^{-1}$) due to increased silicon content.

In both spectra, bands are observed at ~1650 and ~3470 cm$^{-1}$ corresponding to the presence of H$_2$O and hydroxyls, respectively. The observed FT-IR bands are in good agreement with those reported previously for zeolite A (Sapawe et al., 2013; Jiang et al., 2016), zeolite X (Flanigen et al., 1971; Wang et al., 2013) and both (Hu et al., 2017), which further proves the successful synthesis of zeolites NaA from analcime and NaX from phillipsite.

**Sorption properties:**

Developed zeolite crystal microporous structure in synthesized samples has been confirmed also by their sorption properties. The N$_2$ adsorption-desorption plot at 77 K for the prepared zeolite NaX is presented in Fig 5 and corresponds to typical Langmuir isotherm with the presence of steep nitrogen uptake at very low relative pressures (p/p$_o$≈0.05), which is attributed to the filling of micropores.

The calculated specific surface area, 589 m$^2$/g, is comparable to 573 m$^2$/g for zeolite X, obtained from coal fly ash (Hu et al., 2017), and is greater than the specific surface area of 453 m$^2$/g reported for NaX obtained from diatomite (Yao et al., 2018).

The total pore volume of prepared NaX is 0.578 cm$^3$/g, the volume of micropores with a diameter of less than 8 Å is 0.301 cm$^3$/g, which is slightly higher than the volume of micropores in zeolites X obtained from coal fly ash (0.281 cm$^3$/g) and from diatomite (0.284 cm$^3$/g). It is noted (Chen et al., 2016) that such a volume of micropores is much higher than that of NaX zeolites synthesized with structure-directing reagents which block some of the channels.

Type H$_1$ (Sing et al., 1985) narrow hysteresis loop, corresponding to the filling of well defined cylindrical pore channels, is observed at high relative pressures (from 0.9 to 0.99); average channel diameter calculated by the Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) method is 67 nm, by the Barrett-Joyner-Halenda (BJH) method – 58 (adsorption) and 53 (desorption) nm.
The loop observed in the synthesized zeolite NaX is different from the wide hysteresis loops described for some synthetic zeolites (Ltaief et al., 2015; Yao et al., 2018) and corresponding to the filling of disordered pores (type H2) or uniform slit-shaped (type H3) intercrystal mesopores of non-rigid aggregates of plate-like particles, ascribed to the packing of zeolite crystals.

The maximum adsorption capacity of synthesized NaX measured for water vapor is up to 0.394 cm$^3$/g, which is more than indicated for a commercial sample (0.3303 cm$^3$/g), but water adsorption capacity of micropores (measured under static conditions at the “plateau” pressure p/p$_0$=0.40) is only 0.2052 cm$^3$/g, up to 48% of water molecules are adsorbed in mesopores.

In structure LTA, the pore size (0.41 nm) is much smaller than that of the FAU structure and is similar to the kinetic diameter of N$_2$ (0.364 nm), so the BET surface area of the synthesized NaA sample was not measured; water adsorption capacity of micropores (p/p$_0$=0.40) is up to 0.24 cm$^3$/g and is consistent with most of the reports on phase-pure zeolite NaA.

**SEM images:**

The SEM images of NaA and NaX are shown in Fig 6. In general, more than 92% of NaA crystallites have uniform size of 3 – 5 μm and cubic or rhombus morphology, as well as more than 95% of NaX crystallites have octahedral habit and uniform size of 2 – 7 μm.

In the process of synthesizing zeolite NaA, a small amount (<3wt.%) of spherical or ellipsoidal nanoscale (average diameter 0.25 μm) crystallites is also formed, while long crystallization of NaX results in micrometric crystals combined into honeycomb-like structure through nanocrystal bridges. However, obtaining of “hierarchical” zeolites is the task of our subsequent research.
Conclusion:-
It is of great significance to develop cheap, energy-saving and eco-friendly routines that can synthesize zeolites A and X from low-cost raw materials.

In this study, zeolite NaA with chemical composition Na_{12.25(25)}(K_{0.15(25)}Ca_{0.7(1)}Mg_{0.25(1)}Si_{12.3(3)}O_{8})18H_{2}O and high phase purity was synthesized from pre-treated with hydrochloric acid natural analcime by suspending it in water and forming a gel with sodium hydroxide; the gel had 4.5Na_{2}O: 0.45Al_{2}O_{3}: 1SiO_{2}: 178H_{2}O composition, its aging at room temperature lasted 72 hours, product formation begins after 40 hours, complete hydrothermal crystallization at 95°C lasts up to 120 hours.

Zeolite NaX with chemical composition [Na_{66(3)}(K_{0.5(3)}Ca_{0.5(3)}Mg_{0.5(3)}Cu_{0.5(3)}Zn_{0.5(3)})]_{12(1)}(H_{2}O)_{328(10)}(Al_{178(3)}Si_{114(4)}O_{384}) was obtained from natural phillipsite suspended in water and treated with hydrochloric acid; the gel (2.9Na_{2}O: 0.26Al_{2}O_{3}: 1SiO_{2}: 150H_{2}O) was aged at room temperature for 96 hours, the formation of the product began after 16 hours, complete hydrothermal crystallization at 95°C lasted up to 55 hours.

The structure, as well as high phase purity and crystallinity of both samples is confirmed by their X-ray diffraction patterns and FT-IR spectra. Zeolite NaX is characterized by high specific surface area (589 m^{2}/g) and pore volume (0.578 cm^{3}/g) including micropores of LTA structure (52%) and cylindrical channels with diameter up to 67 nm (48%). SEM observation revealed that most of the NaA and NaX crystallites have uniform micrometric size.
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