Development of Type 1 Diabetes in Wild Bank Voles Associated With Islet Autoantibodies and the Novel Ljungan Virus
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Wild bank voles (Clethrionomys glareolus) may develop diabetes in laboratory captivity. The aim of this study was to test whether bank voles develop type 1 diabetes in association with Ljungan virus. Two groups of bank voles were analyzed for diabetes, pancreas histology, autoantibodies to glutamic acid decarboxylase (GAD65), IA-2, and insulin by standardized radioligand-binding assays as well as antibodies to in vitro transcribed and translated Ljungan virus antigens. Group A represented 101 trapped bank voles, which were screened for diabetes when euthanized within 24 hours of capture. Group B represented 67 bank voles, which were trapped and kept in the laboratory for 1 month before being euthanized. Group A bank voles did not have diabetes. Bank voles in group B (22/67; 33%) developed diabetes due to specific lysis of pancreatic islet beta cells. Compared to nondiabetic group B bank voles, diabetic animals had increased levels of GAD65 (P < .0001), IA-2 (P < .0001), and insulin (P = .03) autoantibodies. Affected islets stained positive for Ljungan virus, a novel picorna virus isolated from bank voles. Ljungan virus inoculation of nondiabetic wild bank voles induced beta-cell lysis. Compared to group A bank voles, Ljungan virus antibodies were increased in both nondiabetic (P < .0001) and diabetic (P = .0015) group B bank voles. Levels of Ljungan virus antibodies were also increased in young age at onset of newly diagnosed type 1 diabetes in children (P < .01). These findings support the hypothesis that the development of type 1 diabetes in captured wild bank voles is associated with Ljungan virus. It is speculated that bank voles may have a possible zoonotic role as a reservoir and vector for virus that may contribute to the incidence of type 1 diabetes in humans.
Type 1 (insulin-dependent) diabetes appears after specific
destruction of the pancreatic islet beta cells. The degree
of beta-cell loss varies with age and is more severe in young
children than adults. The disease is strongly associated with
beta-cell autoimmunity (for recent reviews see [1, 2]). Au-
toantibodies against glutamic acid decarboxylase (GAD65),
yrosine phosphatase–like protein IA-2, or insulin, detected
in standardized antibody tests [3], predict type 1 diabetes [4].
Monozygotic twins show only 20% to 30% concordance of
type 1 diabetes, indicating a significant contribution of envi-
ronmental factors [5]. This is further supported by the fact that
more than 85% of new-onset patients do not have a first-degree
relative with the disease [6]. Seasonal variation in incidence
rate, together with serological studies, have suggested viral
infections as a major environmental risk factor for type 1 di-
abetes [7]. Congenital rubella [8], as well as infections by
tenterovirus, in particular during pregnancy [9, 10], are also
implicated in type 1 diabetes [11]. Rota virus seroconversion
was reported to be associated with increases in autoantibod-
ies to GAD65, IA-2, and insulin, suggesting that infection
with this virus may trigger or exacerbate islet autoimmunity
in genetically susceptible subjects [12]. However, it is still
highly controversial how virus may induce beta-cell destruc-
tion. Different hypotheses include direct cytopathic infections
resulting in an autoimmune response to released antigen, by-
stander activation of self-reactive T cells, alterations in target-
cell defenses, or molecular mimicry [11, 13, 14]. In the latter
hypothesis, the host is infected by a virus that has antigens,
which are immunologically similar to the host but different
enough to induce a T-cell response.

The lack of animals developing diabetes following lytic
infections of beta cells have made it difficult to study these
phenomena of potential importance to the etiology of human
type 1 diabetes. Type 1 diabetes is known to occur in ani-
mals outside research laboratories, but to our knowledge a
zoonotic relationship has not been reported. There are numer-
ous zoonoses causing human illness, and bank voles all over
the world are well-known reservoirs and vectors for Puumala,
leptospirosis, cow pox, and Borrelia burgdorferi, which all
infect humans [15]. Puumala virus causes nephropathia epide-
monica [16, 17] and the incidence rate of this disease correlates
with the bank vole population density during the previous year
[18]. Similar statistical evidence suggests that type 1 diabetes
in humans also tracks the 3- to 4-year population density cy-
cles of the bank vole [19]. More recently, wild bank voles
taken to the laboratory for studies of “stereotypic” behavior
[20] were found to develop polydipsia and glucosuria [21].
In the present study, we provide evidence that bank voles de-
velop type 1 diabetes associated with lytic destruction of their
beta cells and increased levels of GAD65, IA-2, and insulin
autoantibodies. We also tested the hypothesis that the bank
voles diabetes may be induced by Ljungan virus, a newly iden-
tified picornavirus [22]. Ljungan virus was isolated from bank
voles and shares molecular features with human parechovirus,
a known human pathogen [23]. Molecular analyses of sev-
eral isolates of Ljungan virus suggests that the strains consti-
tute a monophyletic group clearly related to parechovirus of
Picornaviridae [23, 24].

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bank Voles

Wild bank voles were trapped from May to November in a
forest habitat on the island of Zealand, Denmark. Group
A bank voles represents 101 animals from a single trapping
session. These bank voles were tested within 24 hours af-
fter trapping for glucosuria and then euthanized. Heart-blood
samples for blood glucose, ketosis, lipids, and antibody anal-
yses were taken immediately after the voles were euthanized.
Blood samples were either immediately analyzed for blood
glucose and ketones or centrifuged for 25 minutes at 1000 ×
g and plasma stored at −30°C.

In the same trapping session, 67 voles were caught and
kept in the laboratory as previously described [20, 21]. Briefly,
the animals were housed individually in standard laboratory
mouse cages under a minimum of extraneous disturbance and
with a 12-hour light regime (8.00 to 20.00). The cages were
supplied with a woodcutting bed, and food (standard rodent
chow) and water was available ad libitum. Diabetes devel-
opment was determined after a month by measurements of
glucosuria, and blood glucose and ketonemia.

Pancreas Histology and Immunocytochemistry

Standard hematoxylin and eosin staining was carried out
on pancreas fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde and embedded in
paraffin. Immunocytochemistry was performed as described
previously with minor modifications [25]. Briefly, the bind-
ing of guinea pig insulin antibodies (diluted 1:100), rab-
bit glucagon antibodies (Zymed, San Francisco, CA; diluted
1:100), or mouse Ljungan virus antisera (diluted 1:500 or
more) to bank vole pancreas tissue was revealed by successive
incubations with biotinylated anti–immunoglobulin G (IgG),
either alkaline phosphatase streptavidin ABC reagent (Vec-
tor Laboratories, Burlingame, CA), or Vectastain peroxidase
streptavidin ABC reagent (Vector Laboratories), and either
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Vector NBT/BCIP substrate (insulin), Vector DAB substrate (glucagon), or Vector Red substrate (Ljungan virus). High-titer mouse antiserum [22] against 2 distinct Ljungan virus isolates, 87-012 and 145SL [23], were used. As control sera we used similarly produced antisera against members of other virus families, such as Bunyavirus (Rift Valley fever), Togavirus (Ockelbo/Sindbis), and Flavivirus (Langat), as well as normal mouse serum. Finally, slides were counter stained with methyl green, dehydrated, and mounted. All slides were scored either as negative (neg) or positive provided that there was no glucosuria (data not shown). The results suggest that overt diabetes may not be common or easily detected in the wild.

A radioligand-binding assay similar to our GAD65 and IA-2 autoantibody assays [27] was developed with 35S-labeled virus antigens generated by coupled in vitro transcription and translation of Ljungan virus cDNA [21].

**Type 1 Diabetes Patients and Controls**

Two groups of new onset diabetic children were studied. The first group represented a total of 53 children with a median age of 10.1 years (range 2.3 to 16.4 years of age) and were diagnosed with type 1 diabetes at the St Göran Hospital and Astid Lindgren’s Children’s Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden, between 1992 and 1995. Within 2 days of diagnosis, blood samples were drawn for antibody analyses. Healthy children (7 boys, median age 12.6 [7.8 to 16.8 years] and 10 girls, median age 13.5 [6.7 to 16.6 years]) were recruited from school classes in central Stockholm. All children were previously healthy and without present medication. The second group of children represented 289 children with newly diagnosed type 1 diabetes in 1995 to 2000. A separate group of 37 young adult healthy controls were also examined together with this large group of new onset diabetic children.

The Ethics Committee at the Karolinska Institute, Stockholm, Sweden, approved the study.

**Statistical Analysis**

Mann-Whitney test was used for differences in levels. Spearman rank correlation was used to test relationships between antibody levels.

**RESULTS**

**Bank Vole Diabetes**

The 2 groups of bank voles were analyzed for diabetes, pancreas histology, and autoantibodies against GAD65, IA-2, and insulin as well as antibodies against Ljungan virus. Group A (n = 101) bank voles were euthanized within 24 hours after capture. The average blood glucose in the wild-caught group A bank voles was 101 ± 28 mg/dL (mean ± SD). Four female animals had high blood glucose values of 215, 302, 313, and 343 mg/dL, respectively. These high values remain unexplained because the pancreas histology was normal and there was no glucosuria (data not shown). The results suggest that overt diabetes may not be common or easily detected in the wild.

Group B (n = 67) bank voles were taken to the laboratory after capture and kept in mouse cages for 1 month. We observed that 22/67 (33%) of the group B bank voles had blood glucose above 200 mg/dL, range 211 to 540 mg/dL (Table 1). As many as 18/22 (82%) had ketones, polydipsia, or both. Type 1 diabetes was therefore a likely classification because the bank voles were not only hyperglycemic but also positive for glucosuria, ketonuria, ketonemia, and hyperlipidemia (data not shown). The pancreas histology was examined in all the bank voles to test if a type 1 diabetes classification would be supported by a loss of beta cells and perhaps by insulitis.

**Pancreatic Islet Histology and Immunocytochemistry in Diabetic Bank Voles**

The pancreas of the 101 group A bank voles showed normal islets, as did those of nondiabetic group B bank voles (Figure 1a, b). Immunostaining with insulin and glucagon
TABLE 1
The frequency of diabetes in wild-caught and in captive bank voles

| Group of bank voles | A. Analyzed at trapping | B. Trapped and captive |
|---------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|
| n                   | 101                     | 67                     |
| M/F ratio           | 42/59                   | 29/38                  |
| Body weight (g)     | 19 ± 5                  | 24 ± 4                 |
| Blood glucose (mg/dL) |                        |                        |
| Nondiabetic         | 101 ± 28                | 86 ± 24                |
| Diabetic            | None                    | 346 ± 88               |
| Diabetes, n (%)     | 0/101 (0%)              | 22 (33%)               |
| M/F ratio           | N/A                     | 14/8                   |

Note. Mean values ± SD are shown. N/A, not applicable.

antibodies showed a normal islet cell distribution, with beta cells located in the center surrounded by a mantle of glucagon immunoreactive cells (Figure 1e, f). In dramatic contrast, all 22 group B bank voles with diabetes had a major loss of insulin-positive cells, which were replaced by prominent vacuolization (Figure 1g, h). Few bank voles had islets with infiltrating mononuclear cells (Figure 2). Mononuclear cell infiltration was not observed in any of the 101 group A or

FIGURE 1
Histology of the pancreas in bank voles without diabetes (a, b, e, f) and with diabetes (c, d, g, h). Hematoxylin and eosin staining are shown in a–d, while immunostaining for insulin (blue) and glucagon (brown) are shown in e–h. The pancreatic islets in the diabetic bank vole show complete lysis without insulitis. Glucagon cells are found in the periphery of the islets but tend to be redistributed in the pancreatic islets, which show vacuolization after the loss of beta cells. Scale bars: a, c, e, and g, 200 μm; b, d, f, and h, 50 μm.

FIGURE 2
Histology of the pancreas of a diabetic bank vole demonstrating both lysis of islet cells and some infiltration of mononuclear cells. Hematoxylin and eosin staining. The scale bar is 100 μm.

the 45 group B normoglycemic bank voles. Because beta-cell destruction was observed in all diabetic but not in normoglycemic bank voles, we conclude that the bank voles diabetes was consistent with type 1 diabetes.

In order to evaluate whether Ljungan virus was associated with the islet beta-cell lesion, we next immunostained

TYPE 1 DIABETES IN BANK VOLES

FIGURE 3
Histology of the pancreas in a nondiabetic wild bank vole (a), nondiabetic bank voles injected with 1000 TCID50 Ljungan virus of the 145SL isolate and then euthanized for histology after 6 weeks (b, c), and from a diabetic bank vole (d). The sections were immunostained with the mouse 145SL antiserum against Ljungan virus. Although the nondiabetic bank vole is negative for Ljungan virus antibody staining, both the virus-inoculated nondiabetic (b, c) and the diabetic (d) bank voles show positive staining at the site of islet lesions. Note the difference in the extent of lysis in the virus inoculated nondiabetic (b, c) compared to the diabetic bank vole (d). The binding of the mouse Ljungan virus antiserum was revealed with Vector Red staining. The scale bar is 100 μm.

Ljungan virus would induce beta-cell lesions when injected into wild bank voles. As virus-free, laboratory bank voles were not available, this experiment had to be carried out in group B bank voles kept in the laboratory without developing diabetes.

Ljungan Virus Inoculation in Nondiabetic Bank Voles
A total of 15 nondiabetic wild bank voles, which failed to developed diabetes in Copenhagen, were transferred to Stockholm and inoculated with saline (n = 5) or the 145SL isolate of the Ljungan virus (n = 10). All animals were normoglycemic when they were euthanized after 6 weeks. The histology of the pancreas was normal in the saline-injected bank voles but there were different degrees of lytic lesions in the islets of the virus-inoculated bank voles (Figure 3b, c) compared to the pancreas of a diabetic bank vole (Figure 3d). The staining pattern with the Ljungan virus mouse antiserum suggests that the beta cell–specific destruction was associated with virus antigen staining. The inoculation with the 145SL Ljungan virus was therefore associated with lytic effects on beta cells. The absence of diabetes may be explained by several factors, such as a short time of follow-up, immunoprotection by neutralizing virus antibodies due to prior Ljungan virus exposure in the wild, or poor virulence of the 145SL isolate. Clearly these experiments are suggestive and will need to be repeated once a virus-free bank vole colony has been established.

GAD65, IA-2, and Insulin Autoantibodies in Diabetic Bank Voles
The beta-cell destruction was of lytic character (Figures 1 and 3) and surprisingly free from mononuclear cell infiltration (Figure 2). The absence of prominent insulitis supports the view that Ljungan virus is inducing beta-cell lysis that may cause release and presentation of sequestered antigen most likely in lymph nodes draining the pancreas. We therefore examined the possibility that the development of bank vole diabetes was associated with GAD65, IA-2, or insulin autoantibodies.

Group A and group B bank voles were examined for all 3 islet cell autoantibodies (Figure 4). Levels of autoantibodies were examined because an upper level of normal or cut-off could not be established in the absence of virus free wild bank voles. We observed that diabetic group B bank voles had higher GAD65 (P < .0001) (Figure 4a), IA-2 (P < .0001) (Figure 4b), and insulin (P < .03) (Figure 4c) autoantibody levels than the nondiabetic group B bank voles. Nondiabetic group B bank voles also had increased GAD65 (P < .0001) (Figure 4a) and IA-2 (P < .0001) autoantibody levels.
Bank voles have autoantibodies against islet cell autoantigens and against Ljungan virus in vitro translated antigens. It is indicated whether the bank voles had diabetes (+) or not (−). Autoantibodies to GAD65 (a), IA-2 (b), insulin (c), as well as Ljungan virus in vitro translated antigens (d) are shown as in-house relative units on a log scale. Data for individual bank voles are shown along with the median value and $P$ values between groups.
levels compared to the group A bank voles, suggesting that bank voles may have been exposed to Ljungan virus without progressing to diabetes, similar to the inoculation experiment described above. The increased levels of GAD65, IA-2, and insulin autoantibodies support the conclusion that diabetes in the group B bank voles should be classified as type 1 diabetes.

**Ljungan Virus Antibodies in Diabetic Bank Voles**

Because Ljungan virus antigen was demonstrated in the islets of diabetic bank voles (Figure 3d), we next analyzed whether antibodies to Ljungan virus antigens were associated with bank vole diabetes. First, the group A bank vole sera showed a wide range of antibody levels against Ljungan virus antigen (Figure 4d), suggesting that some animals had been exposed to the virus in the wild. Note that the y-axis of Ljungan virus antigen antibodies shows log values. Second, in the group B bank voles, the levels of Ljungan virus antibodies were higher in diabetic than nondiabetic animals \( P < .0015 \).

Because the diabetic group B bank voles also showed increased levels of GAD65, IA-2, and insulin autoantibodies, we next tested if any of the 3 autoantibodies were related to Ljungan virus antibody levels. First, in the diabetic group B voles, Ljungan virus antibodies correlated to GAD65 autoantibodies \( (r_s = .4726, P < .03; n = 22) \), whereas the correlation to IA-2 autoantibodies was suggestive \( (P = .052) \). Second, in the nondiabetic group B bank voles, Ljungan virus antibodies correlated to autoantibodies against GAD65 \( (r_s = .3566, P = .02; n = 43) \), IA-2 \( (r_s = .4171, P = .005; n = 43) \), as well as to insulin \( (r_s = .4389, P = .004; n = 42) \). In bank voles, it is therefore possible that a Ljungan virus attack on the beta cells is related to an immune response to GAD65, IA-2, or insulin whether or not the bank vole has overt diabetes.

**Ljungan Virus Antibodies in Human Type 1 Diabetes**

Similar to other viruses carried by bank voles \([15, 16, 31]\), the Ljungan virus might also infect humans and we therefore tested whether type 1 diabetics and their controls may have Ljungan virus antibodies as detected by indirect immunofluorescence or in a radioligand assay.

The indirect immunofluorescence virus antibody test was compared to the radioligand-binding assay for Ljungan virus antigen antibodies (Figure 5). There was a significant correlation (Spearman rank sum correlation) between the 2 assays \( (P < .0001, n = 70) \). Compared to the 17 healthy control children, the 53 children with new onset type 1 diabetes had

![Figure 5](image)

**FIGURE 5**

Children with new onset type 1 diabetes have Ljungan virus antibodies. A total of 53 type 1 diabetes children (●) and 17 controls (○) were tested. Ljungan virus antibodies were determined by either indirect immunofluorescence of cells used to propagate the virus or by the radioligand binding assay with Ljungan virus in vitro translated antigens. Sera from children with type 1 diabetes and controls were tested for presence of antibodies to Ljungan virus using an indirect immunofluorescence test using spot slides prepared by incubating virus in Green monkey kidney cells.
an increased frequency of Ljungan virus antibodies scored in the immunofluorescence assay \( (P < .009) \) (Figure 5).

A subsequent analysis of 289 newly diagnosed type 1 diabetes children and 37 healthy controls showed that that the levels of Ljungan virus antibodies increased with decreasing age at diagnosis Spearman \( (r = -.151, P < .01) \). These data suggest that children with newly diagnosed type 1 diabetes may have been exposed to Ljungan virus.

**DISCUSSION**

Diabetes in bank voles was first indicated during a study of stereotypic behavior in bank voles [20, 21]. In the present study, we found that one third of the wild bank voles trapped alive and brought to the laboratory developed clinical diabetes with hyperglycemia, ketonemia, ketonuria, hyperlipidemia, and weight loss, all criteria that are consistent with a clinical classification of type 1 diabetes [32]. The classification was supported by the observation that the diabetic voles had increased levels of GAD65, IA-2, and insulin autoantibodies detected with the same internationally standardized methods that are used to predict type 1 diabetes in humans [1, 4]. Furthermore, the bank vole diabetes was linked with specific beta-cell destruction and presence of Ljungan virus antigen in affected islets. In addition, the diabetic bank voles had increased levels of antibodies to Ljungan virus antigens compared to the nondiabetic bank voles. We conclude therefore that bank voles caught in the wild and kept in standard mouse cages with food for laboratory mice may spontaneously develop diabetes in association with Ljungan virus infection, which fulfills current criteria for autoimmune type 1 diabetes. The Ljungan virus, a newly identified picorna-like virus [22] may therefore be the etiological factor causing type 1 diabetes in the bank vole. This is a novel finding that may be of considerable significance because it is the first time a wild rodent is shown to develop type 1 diabetes in association with beta-cell lysis without insulitis, but with all 3 autoantibodies associated with human type 1 diabetes.

In the present study, we showed that infecting nondiabetic wild bank voles could induce beta-cell lesions. As pointed out, we cannot exclude that the inoculation of the 145SL Ljungan virus isolate accelerated beta-cell destruction by a virus already present in the wild bank vole. Attempts are in progress to breed and generate specific pathogen–free bank voles to expose them to currently available Ljungan virus isolates [23]. Inoculation of various strains of mice is also of interest but may prove futile because our experience so far failed to induce diabetes when raising mouse antibodies against the current Ljungan virus isolates (Niklasson, unpublished observations).

The histology of the islets in the diabetic voles suggests that the beta cells were destroyed and replaced by vacuoles or possibly fatty infiltration. The less common occurrence of mononuclear cell infiltrated islets differs from what is reported in, e.g., Coxsackie virus–infected mice [33, 34]. The lack of widespread insulitis, however, did not preclude the animals from developing autoantibodies against GAD65, IA-2, and insulin, which suggests that an autoimmune response to these autoantigens is not dependent on insulitis. The location of antigen presentation is much debated, but data in the BDC2.5 T-cell receptor transgenic nonobese diabetic (NOD) mouse suggest that beta-cell antigens are specifically transported to pancreatic lymph nodes, where they trigger reactive T cells that invade the islets [35]. This suggestion was supported by studies of Coxsackie B4 virus–induced diabetes in laboratory mice, which demonstrated that the primary role of virus is to damage the beta cells to cause release and presentation of sequestered islet antigen [14]. In humans, it is not surprising that the initial lesion is difficult to study because most of the beta-cell destruction has already taken place once type 1 diabetes is diagnosed. The long prodrome is reflected by the fact that islet cell autoantibodies may be detected years before diagnosis [1, 4]. Nevertheless, several reports of diabetes occurring close to Coxsackie [36, 37] or echo 9 [38] virus infections have been reported, which suggest that in some patients infection may still lead to a beta-cell destruction and diabetes. Although further studies will be required, our data would support the hypothesis that beta-cell destruction causes release and presentation of sequestered beta-cell antigens [14]. Furthermore, a complete depletion of antigen may preclude a subsequent infiltration of antigen-presenting cells and T lymphocytes of the type that is seen in inbred, spontaneously diabetic NOD mice (reviewed by [2]). These and other questions will be possible to answer once a virus-free colony of bank voles has been established. Such animals will also permit an analysis of cut-off levels of autoantibodies, which could not be accomplished in the present study of wild bank voles.

Our result that the Ljungan virus antibodies in the diabetic bank voles correlated with GAD65 antibody was not surprising because high-titer animal antisera against coxsackie virus also react with GAD65 [39]. It is important to point out that the virus antigen prepared by in vitro transcription translation fulfill all the criteria to detect conformation-dependent autoantibodies [4], but may not detect linear epitopes of virus-specific antigens. Additional tests may be necessary to establish the diagnostic sensitivity and specificity for Ljungan virus immunity. In addition, it cannot be excluded that antibody-binding sites that are common to Ljungan and other Parechoviruses are detected in the present system. Our radioligand-binding
antibody assay may therefore be able to discriminate immune from nonimmune sera on a population basis, but perhaps not on an individual basis. The antibody results in the bank voles are, however, consistent with the hypothesis that the Ljungan virus is the etiologic agent responsible for beta cell destruction in the bank voles. The human serological data are also very intriguing, but additional studies using a variety of serological tests as well as polymerase chain reaction (PCR) detection of virus will be needed to establish a relationship between Ljungan virus infection and human type 1 diabetes. Although the mechanism of such a process remains unclear, our initial studies of diabetic bank voles suggest that stress might be involved in diabetes development [20, 21]. Stress has also been implicated in human type 1 diabetes because negative life events increase the risk for childhood type 1 diabetes [40–42]. Similar relationships could be relevant to our bank voles and therefore aid in understanding of zoonotic infections from bank voles.

Taken together, we have demonstrated the following. First, bank voles develop diabetes that fulfills the criteria for type 1 diabetes: diabetic animals showed persistent hyperglycemia associated with weight loss, ketosis, and hyperlipidemia and specific beta-cell destruction. Second, diabetic voles had increased levels of autoantibodies to GAD65, IA-2, and insulin, and these autoantibodies correlated with Ljungan virus antigen antibodies. Third, the association between Ljungan virus and bank vole diabetes was supported by the presence of virus will be needed to establish a relationship between Ljungan virus infection and human type 1 diabetes. Although the mechanism of such a process remains unclear, our initial studies of diabetic bank voles suggest that stress might be involved in diabetes development [20, 21]. Stress has also been implicated in human type 1 diabetes because negative life events increase the risk for childhood type 1 diabetes [40–42]. Similar relationships could be relevant to our bank voles and therefore aid in understanding of zoonotic infections from bank voles.

Taken together, we have demonstrated the following. First, bank voles develop diabetes that fulfills the criteria for type 1 diabetes: diabetic animals showed persistent hyperglycemia associated with weight loss, ketosis, and hyperlipidemia and specific beta-cell destruction. Second, diabetic voles had increased levels of autoantibodies to GAD65, IA-2, and insulin, and these autoantibodies correlated with Ljungan virus antigen antibodies. Third, the association between Ljungan virus and bank vole diabetes was supported by the presence of Ljungan virus antigen detected by immunocytochemistry in the islets of diabetic bank voles. Finally, increased levels of Ljungan virus antibodies in newly diagnosed type 1 diabetes children indicate a possible zoonotic relationship between Ljungan virus infection and human type 1 diabetes.
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