Xanthomonas oryzae pv. oryzae Type III Effector XopN Targets OsVOZ2 and a Putative Thiamine Synthase as a Virulence Factor in Rice
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Abstract

Xanthomonas oryzae pv. oryzae (Xoo) is spread systemically through the xylem tissue and causes bacterial blight in rice. We evaluated the roles of Xanthomonas outer proteins (Xop) in the Xoo strain KXO85 in a Japonica-type rice cultivar, Dongjin. Five xop gene knockout mutants (xopO\textsubscript{KXO85}, xopX\textsubscript{KXO85}, xopP1\textsubscript{KXO85}, xopP2\textsubscript{KXO85}, and xopN\textsubscript{KXO85}) were generated by EZ-Tn5 mutagenesis, and their virulence was assessed in 3-month-old rice leaves. Among these mutants, the xopN\textsubscript{KXO85} mutant appeared to be less virulent than the wild-type KXO85; however, the difference was not statistically significant. In contrast, the xopN\textsubscript{KXO85} mutant exhibited significantly less virulence in flag leaves after flowering than the wild-type KXO85. These observations indicate that the roles of Xop in Xoo virulence are dependent on leaf stage. We chose the xopN gene for further characterization because the xopN\textsubscript{KXO85} mutant showed the greatest influence on virulence. We confirmed that XopN\textsubscript{KXO85} is translocated into rice cells, and its gene expression is positively regulated by HrpX. Two rice proteins, OsVOZ2 and a putative thiamine synthase (OsXNP), were identified as targets of XopN\textsubscript{KXO85} by yeast two-hybrid screening. Interactions between XopN\textsubscript{KXO85} and OsVOZ2 and OsXNP were further confirmed in planta by bimolecular fluorescence complementation and in vivo pull-down assays. To investigate the roles of OsVOZ2 in interactions between rice and Xoo, we evaluated the virulence of the wild-type KXO85 and xopN\textsubscript{KXO85} mutant in the OsVOZ2 mutant line PFG 3A-07565 of Dongjin. The wild-type KXO85 and xopN\textsubscript{KXO85} mutant were significantly less virulent in the mutant rice line. These results indicate that XopN\textsubscript{KXO85} and OsVOZ2 play important roles both individually and together for Xoo virulence in rice.
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Introduction

Xanthomonas oryzae pv. oryzae (Xoo) causes bacterial leaf blight, which is one of the most serious diseases in rice (Oryza sativa L.). This bacterium invades the xylem of rice leaves through hydathodes or wounds. The strain of Xoo KXO85 (KACC10331) was isolated from diseased rice leaves in Korea, and its whole genome sequence was published in 2005 [1]. Plant pathogenic bacteria belonging to the genera Pseudomonas, Xanthomonas, Erwinia, and Ralstonia possess the type III protein secretion system (T3SS) that is critical for full virulence and bacterial colonization in their host plants [2–6]. The T3SS of plant pathogenic species of Pseudomonas, Xanthomonas, Erwinia, and Ralstonia is highly conserved and involved in translocation of T3SS-dependent effector proteins from bacterial cells into plant cells [7–12]. These effector proteins are categorized into two groups: transcription activator-like (TAL) effectors and non-TAL effectors [11,13–15]. In Xoo, T3SS that is essential for virulence is encoded by hypervirulent response and pathogenicity (hrp) genes, the expression of which is controlled by HrpX [13,16,17].

T3SS-dependent plant bacterial effectors are important for bacterial growth, colonization, virulence, and race specificity in their host plants [18–23]. However, the biochemical functions of most T3SS-dependent plant bacterial effectors in their hosts have not been well characterized. Xanthomonas outer proteins (Xop) are known as non-TAL bacterial effector proteins that are delivered to the plant cell via Hrp T3SS. The major roles of non-TAL bacterial effectors involve modulation of signaling in the plant defense response [11,24]. For example, XopX\textsubscript{Xoo} from
X. campestris pv. vesicatoria (Xcv) affects the virulence of Xcv on pepper (Capsicum annuum) and tomato (Lycopersicum esculentum) and targets basic innate immunity in plants [24]. XopD_{Xcv} is a small ubiquitin-like modifier (SUMO) protease in Xcv that promotes bacterial growth in tomato and slows leaf chlorosis and necrosis in tomato at late stages of infection [25]. Another T3SS-dependent non-TAL effector, XopN_{Xcv}, plays important roles in colonization and virulence of X. campestris pv. campestris (Xcc) in their hosts [26]. XopN is highly conserved among Xanthomonas species [27]. In addition, XopN_{Xcv} may suppress pathogen-associated molecular pattern (PAMP)-triggered immunity in tomato [28].

Compared to known host targets of TAL effectors in xanthomonads, there have been few studies on non-TAL effector targets in xanthomonads. XopD_{Xcv} may target nuclear SUMOylated proteins [25]. In Xcc and Arabidopsis interactions, XopD_{Xoo} targets the transcription factor MYB30 to suppress host defense [29]. Recently, it was found that tomato transcription factor SIERF4 was identified as a target of XopD_{Xcv} in tomato [30]. Non-TAL effector Xoo1488 of Xoo MAFF311018 targets two receptor-like cytoplasmic kinases (RLCKs), Os01g0536100 (OsRLCK55) and Os05g0372100 (OsRLCK185), to inhibit OsRLCK185 phosphorylation and the downstream MAPK signaling [31]. Other reported host targets of XopN_{Xcv} are tomato atypical receptor-like kinase (TARK1) and four 14-3-3 isoforms (TFT1, TFT3, TFT5, and TFT6) [28].

In Xoo, considerable efforts have been made to characterize functional roles of TAL effectors in various strains [32,33]. The contribution of each TAL effector protein to Xoo virulence varies; some are critical for virulence, while others have relatively moderate roles [32,34]. However, the roles of non-TAL effectors in Xoo virulence have been poorly investigated. When 18 non-TAL effectors were evaluated for virulence in the Philippine strain PXO99a, deletion of both copies of xopZ_{Xoo} conferred significant reduction of virulence, whereas the other non-TAL effectors showed little influence on virulence in 4-week-old rice leaves [35]. Disease severity of Xoo in susceptible cultivars varies depending on leaf stage [36–39]. This led us to assess the virulence of each xop gene mutant at the adult stage in the field with the expectation of more distinct and different disease response outcomes compared to virulence assay results at the young leaf stage. Here, we report the contribution of XopN_{Xoo} to Xoo virulence in the Korean strain KXO85 at flag leaf stage in the field, identification of targets of XopN_{Xoo} in rice, and their important roles for Xoo virulence.

Results

Mutagenesis of five xop genes in the Korean Xoo strain KXO85

Five xop genes, xopQ_{Xoo} (XOO4466), xopX_{Xoo} (XOO4287), xopP1_{Xoo} (XOO3425), xopP2_{Xoo} (XOO3426), and xopN_{Xoo} (XOO3043) (Table S1), were characterized among 18 xop homologs in the strain KXO85 (www.xanthomonas.org/t3e.html), which showed significant homology with reported xop genes. EZ-Tn5 insertion mutants of xopQ_{Xoo}, xopX_{Xoo}, xopP1_{Xoo}, xopP2_{Xoo}, and xopN_{Xoo} (Figure S1) were generated in the strain KXO85, and then the virulence of each xop gene knockout mutant was evaluated in 3-month-old leaves of the Japonica-type rice cultivar Dongjin. Mutations in the xopQ_{Xoo}, xopX_{Xoo}, xopP1_{Xoo}, xopP2_{Xoo}, or xopN_{Xoo} gene did not significantly affect virulence (Figure 1A). When the xopN_{Xoo} mutant was inoculated into the flag leaves of Dongjin in the field, the mutant was significantly less virulent than the wild-type KXO85 (Figure 1B). Virulence the xopN_{Xoo} mutant carrying each wild-type xop gene in a multicopy plasmid was recovered to the wild-type level (Figure 1B). These observations indicate that xopN_{Xoo} exhibits important roles for virulence of Xoo. Therefore, we chose xopN_{Xoo} for further characterization. The bacterial population of the xopN_{Xoo} mutant was reduced up to 21 days after inoculation of flag leaves compared to the growth of wild-type strain KXO85 in Dongjin (Figure 1C).

Expression of xopN_{Xoo} is regulated by HrpX_{Xoo}

As expression of hrp and xop genes in Xcv and other xanthomonads is controlled by two regulatory genes, hrpG and hrpX, we examined whether xopN_{Xoo} is regulated by HrpX_{Xoo} in Xoo KXO85. Expression of xopN_{Xoo} was below the limit of detection as assessed by quantitative real-time polymerase chain reactions (PCR) in the wild-type KXO85 or in the hrpX_{Xoo} mutant strain in rich PSB medium (Figure S2A). In the hrp-inducing medium XOM2, xopN_{Xoo} expression in the wild-type KXO85 was approximately 3-fold higher than that in the hrpX_{Xoo} mutant (Figure S2A). We found a conserved cis-regulatory element plant-inducible promoter (PIP) box (TTCGG-N\_15-TTCGT) in the region from -263 to -239 upstream of the start codon of xopN_{Xoo} (Figure S2B). These results indicate that xopN_{Xoo} belongs to the HrpX_{Xoo} regulon in Xoo KXO85.

XopN_{Xoo} is a T3SS-dependent effector translocated into plant cells in the strain KXO85

To investigate whether XopN_{Xoo} is translocated into plant cells in a T3SS-dependent manner, we conducted a XopN_{Xoo} translocation assay using the XopN-Cya fusion protein in the wild-type strain KXO85 and the T3SS-deficient mutant KXO85 hrpB5::EZ-Tn5 in rice (Figure S3A). The level of cAMP increased in the wild-type strain KXO85, whereas no change in cAMP level was detected in the T3SS-deficient mutant KXO85 hrpB5::EZ-Tn5 (Figure S3B). This indicates that XopN_{Xoo} is translocated into rice cells in a T3SS-dependent manner.

Identification of XopN_{Xoo} targets in rice by yeast two-hybrid screening

To identify XopN_{Xoo} target proteins in rice, we carried out yeast two-hybrid screening using GAL4-XopN as a bait protein and a rice cDNA library constructed in the prey vector in the Saccharomyces cerevisiae strain MaV203. We found two possible candidates: Oryza sativa vascular plant one zinc finger protein 2 (OsVOZ2: NP_001056041, Os05g0515700) and O. sativa XopN_{Xoo} binding protein (OsXNP: NP_001059841, Os07g0529600) (Figure 2A and Table S2). The OsVOZ2 gene is 3,630 bp in length consisting of four exons and three introns and encodes a protein of 69,901 Da. OsVOZ2 is a homolog of Arabidopsis thaliana vascular plant...
Figure 1. Pathogenicity test for xop mutants of Xoo KXO85 in rice. A. Disease severity of each xop mutant in 3-month-old rice leaves. W, water; 85, wild-type KXO85; Q, KXO85 xopQ\textsubscript{KXO85}::EZ-Tn; X, KXO85 xopX\textsubscript{KXO85}::EZ-Tn; P1, KXO85 xopP1\textsubscript{KXO85}::EZ-Tn; P2, KXO85 xopP2\textsubscript{KXO85}::EZ-Tn; N, KXO85 xopN\textsubscript{KXO85}::EZ-Tn. B. Disease severity of the xopN\textsubscript{KXO85} mutants in the flag leaves of rice grown in a paddy field. W, water; 85, KXO85; N, KXO85 xopN\textsubscript{KXO85}::EZ-Tn; and N\textsuperscript{C}, KXO85 xopN\textsubscript{KXO85}::EZ-Tn (pML122G2). Photographs were taken and lesion lengths were determined 21 days after inoculation. Vertical error bars indicate the standard deviations (SD). The data are the averages of 12–15 replicates for each treatment. Columns and lines not connected by the same letter are significantly different (P<0.05) as determined by a one-way ANOVA (P<0.001) followed by post hoc Tukey HSD analysis. C. Bacterial growth patterns of the KXO85, xopN\textsubscript{KXO85} mutant, and complemented xopN\textsubscript{KXO85} mutant strains in flag leaves of wild-type Dongjin. The data are shown as the average values for three replicates; vertical bars indicate the error ranges (±SD). The bacterial populations were assessed every 3 days after inoculation. Different letters at day 21 indicate significant differences (P<0.05) as determined by a one-way ANOVA (P<0.001) followed by post hoc Tukey HSD analysis.

doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0073346.g001
Figure 2. Interactions between XopN<sub>KXO85</sub> and OsVOZ2 and OsXNP. A. Screening for interactors of XopN<sub>KXO85</sub> in rice using a yeast two-hybrid system. S (strong: pEXP<sup>TM</sup>32/Krev1 + pEXP<sup>TM</sup>22/RalGDS-wt), W (weak: pEXP<sup>TM</sup>32/Krev1 + pEXP<sup>TM</sup>22/RalGDS-m1), and A (absent: pEXP<sup>TM</sup>32/Krev1 + pEXP<sup>TM</sup>22/RalGDS-m2) indicate the strength of each interaction. Three independent and representative colonies are shown for each bait–prey combination. B. In vivo pull-down analysis of XopN<sub>KXO85</sub> and OsVOZ2 (left panel) and XopN<sub>KXO85</sub> and OsXNP (right panel). Total proteins from N. benthamiana leaves co-expressing XopN<sub>KXO85</sub>-6× His and Flag-OsVOZ2 or XopN<sub>KXO85</sub>-6× His and OsXNP-Flag protein were purified by Ni<sup>2+</sup> affinity chromatography followed by Western blotting using anti-His and anti-Flag antibodies. The expected molecular weights were as follows: XopN<sub>KXO85</sub>-6× His = 78.7 kDa; Flag-OsVOZ2 = 74.6 kDa; OsXNP-Flag = 40.1 kDa; +, protein expressed; and -, vector control. C. BiFC analysis of XopN<sub>KXO85</sub>-OsVOZ2, XopN<sub>KXO85</sub>-OsXNP, and XopN<sub>KXO85</sub>-OsVOZ1 interactions in N. benthamiana leaves. Negative, pDEST-SCYNE(R) + pDEST-SCYCE(R); positive, pEXP-SCYNE(R)-Cnx7 + pEXP-SCYCE(R)-Cnx6. Bars = 50 µm.
one zinc finger protein 2 (AtVOZ2; At2g42400) that has a conserved zinc finger domain (Figure S5 and Figure S6). The OsXNP gene is 1,489 bp in length with two exons and one intron and possibly encodes a putative protein of 37,224 Da that has significant homology with thiamine biosynthetic enzyme in *Saccharum* hybrid cultivar GT28 (Table S2). XopN<sub>KXO85</sub>, OsVOZ2, and OsXNP were expressed in yeast as confirmed by immunoblot using anti-GAL4BD and anti-GAL4AD antibodies (Figure S4).

**XopN<sub>KXO85</sub> physically interacts with two rice proteins OsVOZ2 and OsXNP *in planta***

To confirm the specific interactions between XopN<sub>KXO85</sub> and OsVOZ2 and XopN<sub>KXO85</sub> and OsXNP *in planta*, we performed affinity pull-down experiments in *Nicotiana benthamiana* (N. benthamiana) leaves. Cells of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* strain C58C1 (pCH32) carrying pGWB8-XopN (xopN<sub>KXO85</sub>-6xHis in pGWB8) or pGWB12-OsVOZ2 (Flag-OsVOZ2 in pGWB12) were co-infiltrated into *N. benthamiana* leaves. For pull-down experiments to investigate interactions between XopN<sub>KXO85</sub> and OsXNP, *A. tumefaciens* cells harboring pGWB8-XopN and pGWB11-OsXNP (OsXNP-Flag in pGWB11) were co-infiltrated into *N. benthamiana* leaves. Eluted soluble proteins bound to Ni-nitrilotriacetic acid (Ni-NTA) superflo2 agarose slurry were subjected to immunoblotting analysis using anti-His or anti-Flag antibodies. Both Flag-OsVOZ2 and OsXNP-Flag proteins were pulled down by XopN<sub>KXO85</sub>-6xHis (Figure 2B). These results indicate that XopN<sub>KXO85</sub> physically interacts with OsVOZ2 or OsXNP in *N. benthamiana* leaves.

**Visualization of the interactions of OsVOZ2 and OsXNP with XopN<sub>KXO85</sub>***

A bimolecular fluorescence complementation (BiFC) assay was performed to examine the interactions between XopN<sub>KXO85</sub> and OsVOZ2 and XopN<sub>KXO85</sub> and OsXNP *in planta*. The coding sequences of xopN<sub>KXO85</sub>, OsVOZ2, OsXNP, and OsVOZ1 were cloned into pDEST-SCYNE(R)<sup>GW</sup> and pDEST-SCYCE(R)<sup>GW</sup> using the Gateway recombination system to yield pSCYNE(R)-XopN, pSCYCE(R)-OsVOZ2, pSCYCE(R)-OsXNP, and pSCYCE(R)-OsVOZ1, respectively (Table S3). When *Agrobacterium* cells carrying both plasmids were infiltrated into *N. benthamiana* leaves, the super cyan fluorescent protein (SCFP3A) signal was detected in the cytoplasm of the *N. benthamiana* cells (Figure 2C). As a positive control, we used the Cnx6 and Cnx7 interaction model to form a complex of XopN and pGWB8-XopN (<sub>KXO85</sub>-6xHis in pGWB8) or pGWB12-OsVOZ2 (Flag-OsVOZ2 in pGWB12) were co-infiltrated into *N. benthamiana* leaves. For pull-down experiments to investigate interactions between XopN<sub>KXO85</sub> and OsXNP, *A. tumefaciens* cells harboring pGWB8-XopN and pGWB11-OsXNP (OsXNP-Flag in pGWB11) were co-infiltrated into *N. benthamiana* leaves. Eluted soluble proteins bound to Ni-nitrilotriacetic acid (Ni-NTA) superflo2 agarose slurry were subjected to immunoblotting analysis using anti-His or anti-Flag antibodies. Both Flag-OsVOZ2 and OsXNP-Flag proteins were pulled down by XopN<sub>KXO85</sub>-6xHis (Figure 2B). These results indicate that XopN<sub>KXO85</sub> physically interacts with OsVOZ2 or OsXNP in *N. benthamiana* leaves.

**Subcellular localization of XopN<sub>KXO85</sub>, OsVOZ2, and OsXNP***

To determine their subcellular localizations, XopN<sub>KXO85</sub>, OsVOZ2, and OsXNP were tagged with GFP at their C-termini in p2GWF7-XopN, p2GWF7-OsVOZ2, and p2GWF7-OsXNP, respectively (Table S3). In transient expression assays using maize mesophyll protoplasts, GFP signals from XopN-GFP and OsVOZ2-GFP were mostly detected in the cytoplasm, whereas those from OsVOZ2-GFP were detected in both the cytoplasm and the nucleus compared to the nuclear marker OsABF1-RFP (Figure 3). These data indicate that XopN<sub>KXO85</sub> and OsXNP are localized in the cytoplasm, whereas OsVOZ2 is localized in a nuclear and cytoplasm (Figure 3).

**Interactions between XopN<sub>KXO85</sub> and OsVOZ2 are important for Xoo virulence in rice***

To determine whether OsVOZ2 and its interactions with XopN<sub>KXO85</sub> are critical for Xoo virulence, the Xoo knockout mutant line PFG_3A-07565 from the rice T-DNA Insertion Sequence Database (http://signal.salk.edu/cgi-bin/RiceGE) [41] was inoculated with wild-type KXO85. In the mutant line PFG_3A-07565, T-DNA is inserted 929 nucleotides downstream from the translational start site of OsVOZ2. RT-PCR analysis detected OsVOZ2 transcript in wild-type Dongjin but not in the OsVOZ2 mutant line PFG_3A-07565 (Figure 4A), which confirmed knockout mutation in OsVOZ2. Wild-type KXO85 and xopN<sub>KXO85</sub> mutant strains were inoculated into wild-type Dongjin and the OsVOZ2 mutant line, and the xopN<sub>KXO85</sub> mutant was shown to exhibit reduced virulence in the wild-type Dongjin. However, both strains showed significantly reduced
disease severity in the OsVOZ2 mutant line compared to the wild-type Dongjin (Figure 4B). The xopN_{KXO85} mutant was less virulent in the OsVOZ2 mutant line than the wild-type KXO85 (Figure 4B and 4C). The population of xopN_{KXO85} mutant was smaller than that of wild-type KXO85 in the OsVOZ2 mutant line (Figure 4D). These results indicate that XopN_{KXO85} is a virulence factor and that its interactions with OsVOZ2 are critical for Xoo virulence in rice.

Discussion

There has been some confusion regarding the roles of Xop of Xoo because previous studies have used different Xoo strains. The Xoo PXO99A strain has 18 non-TAL bacterial effectors [35]. Among these, XopZ_{PXO99} acts as a virulence factor in the Xoo PXO99A strain and suppresses plant basal defense mechanisms [35]. XopR_{MAFF311018} was reported as a virulence factor in rice and inhibits the plant basal defense in A. thaliana [42]. Nine non-TAL effectors have been identified in the Chinese strain 13751, among which XopR_{13751} has been shown to affect virulence in Xoo [43]. In the present study, we chose XopN_{KXO85} to evaluate functional roles in the KXO85 strain and confirmed that it is secreted in an Hrp T3SS-dependent manner, translocated into the plant cytoplasm, and that its gene expression is regulated by HrpX_{KXO85}, as reported previously for other Xoo strains [13]. Among the Xop homologs in KXO85, we found that XopN_{KXO85} is the most critical for Xoo virulence in the Korean strain KXO85. This result is similar to other reports indicating that xopN_{Xcv} and xopN_{Xoo} mutants show reduced virulence [26,28].

It is worth noting that different Xop effectors from different Xoo strains have been reported to be major Xops involved in Xoo virulence. Differences in genetic backgrounds of Xoo strains and rice cultivars used for virulence assays may explain why different research groups have reported different Xops as major virulence factors. For example, in one study, a mutation in the xopN homolog in Xoo PXO99A did not alter disease severity in rice cultivar IR24 that was grown in a growth chamber for 4 weeks [35]. However, it should also be noted that differences in environmental conditions and various rice leaf stages used for inoculation of different Xoo strains may also result in different outcomes in virulence assays. In previous studies on Xoo PXO99A and Chinese strain 13751, relatively young rice leaves were used for virulence assays in a growth chamber or a greenhouse [35,43], whereas we used flag leaves grown in a paddy field during the regular rice growing season. Disease severity induced by Xoo depends on rice leaf stage [36–39]. These observations correspond well with previous reports that the response to Xoo in rice depends on the age of the host [36]. Environmental conditions for growing rice and virulence assays are additional factors that may affect disease severity. It will be of interest to determine whether the xopN_{PXO99} mutant of Xoo PXO99A shows differences in virulence assays when the mutant is inoculated into rice flag leaves.

Identification of target proteins of bacterial effectors in their hosts provides a basis for understanding effector functions and their roles in pathogenesis and host defense. XopN_{Xcv} targets a tomato atypical receptor-like kinase1 (TARK1) and four tomato 14-3-3 isoforms (TFT1, TFT3, TFT5, and TFT6) to affect the defense signal mechanism [28]. In Xoo, the Xoo1488 of Xoo MAFF311018 inhibits OsRLCK185 phosphorylation and the downstream MAPK signaling [31]. Therefore, we postulated that XopN_{KXO85} may interact with known kinases that are involved in signal transduction pathways in rice. However, unlike OsRLCK185 in rice, we found no kinase homologs as XopN_{KXO85} targets but rather two previously unknown rice proteins, OsVOZ2 and OsXNP, were identified based on yeast two-hybrid analysis, pull-down, and BiFC assays.

The ATVOZs were first identified as novel transcription factors in A. thaliana [44]. AtVOZs interact with phytochrome B and accelerate flowering time in A. thaliana [45]. In the nuclei of A. thaliana cells, ATVOZ2 is controlled by light quality in a phytochrome-dependent manner [45]. In addition, ATVOZs are involved in controlling many stress reactions and changing the expression of various stress-related genes, such as those related to drought or freezing responses and pathogens [46]. The genome of the wild-type rice Dongjin has an OsVOZ2 homolog, OsVOZ1, which is also an ortholog of ATVOZ2 and has conserved zinc finger amino acid residues [44]. OsVOZ1 and OsVOZ2 share 60.4% identity (Figure S6). Due to the high degrees of similarity between OsVOZ1 and OsVOZ2, we performed BiFC analysis to determine whether OsVOZ1 is a target protein of XopN_{KXO85}. However, there was no evidence of an interaction between XopN_{KXO85} and OsVOZ1 (Figure 2C).

ATVOZ2 interacts with five proteins in A. thaliana: phytochrome B (PHY B, At2g18790), guanine nucleotide-binding protein alpha-1 subunit (GP ALPHA1, At5g26300), guanine nucleotide-binding protein subunit beta (AGB1,At4g34460), pinin (PRN, At3g59220), and a hypothetical protein (At4g26410) [45,47]. The most apparent ATVOZ2-dependent phenotype is regulation of flowering period in A. thaliana after it interacts with phytochrome B [45]. However, it appears that OsVOZ2 is not involved in determining rice flowering time because we found no noticeable differences in flowering time between wild-type Dongjin and the OsVOZ2 mutant rice line. Other than our findings indicating that OsVOZ2 is a target of XopN_{KXO85} and is involved in Xoo virulence, no other functions have yet been reported in rice.

Another target of XopN_{KXO85} is a putative thiamine synthase, OsXNP, which is present as a single-copy gene in rice. The thiamine synthase gene is related to pathogen-induced defense-responsive protein 8 in Indica rice cultivars. Treatment with thiamine induces callose deposition and hydrogen peroxide accumulation and triggers systemic acquired resistance and transient expression of pathogenesis-related genes against pathogen invasion in rice and several other plants [48,49]. These phenomena are consistent with the observation that thiamine plays important roles in host defense mechanisms against pathogen infection. Therefore, we propose that XopN_{KXO85} interacts with a putative thiamine synthase to hinder thiamine biosynthesis, thereby decreasing the defense of rice against Xoo infection. The target proteins of XopN_{KXO85} in rice are completely different from the previously reported targets of XopN_{Xcv}. These observations indicate that XopN plays a common role as a virulence factor in Xcv, Xcc,
Figure 4. Virulence assay in wild-type Dongjin rice and the OsVOZ2 mutant line PFG_3A-07565. A. Schematic representation of the T-DNA insertion in OsVOZ2 T7 transgenic rice. OsVOZ2 consists of four exons (orange boxes) and three introns (line between the orange boxes). The T-DNA was located in the second intron from the translational start site. F and R are the primers used for RT-PCR analysis, which showed the expected size of OsVOZ2 in wild-type Dongjin but not in the OsVOZ2 mutant rice PFG_3A-07565. Actin1 was used for normalization of the cDNA quantity. B. Virulence assay of the xopN\textsubscript{KXO85} mutant in wild-type Dongjin rice and OsVOZ2 mutant rice. W, water; 85, KXO85; N, KXO85 xopN\textsubscript{KXO85}::EZ-Tn5; and N\textsuperscript{C}, KXO85 xopN\textsubscript{KXO85}::EZ-Tn5 (pML122G2). Photographs were taken 21 days after inoculation. C. Measurement of disease severity in flag leaves of wild-type Dongjin rice (□) and OsVOZ2 mutant rice (■). W, water; 85, KXO85; N, KXO85 xopN\textsubscript{KXO85}::EZ-Tn5; and N\textsuperscript{C}, KXO85 xopN\textsubscript{KXO85}::EZ-Tn5 (pML122G2). Lesion lengths were determined 21 days after inoculation. Vertical error bars indicate the standard deviation (SD). The statistical significance was determined using a two-way ANOVA as compared to wild-type Dongjin rice with the post hoc Tukey HSD test (***, P<0.001). D. Growth patterns of the KXO85, xopN\textsubscript{KXO85} mutant, and complemented xopN\textsubscript{KXO85} mutant in the flag leaves of OsVOZ2 mutant rice (PFG_3A-07565). The data are the average values of three replicates; vertical bars indicate the error ranges (±SD). The bacterial populations were assessed every 3 days after inoculation. Different letters at day 21 indicate significant differences (P<0.05) as determined by a one-way ANOVA (P<0.001) followed by post hoc Tukey HSD analysis.

doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0073346.g004
and Xoo but functions in different ways in monocots and dicots, reflecting the different pathogen response mechanisms that arose during the coevolution of pathogens and their hosts.

In addition to roles of XopN_{KXO85} as a virulence factor, OsVOZ2 is also important for Xoo virulence because wild-type Xoo failed to successfully infect OsVOZ2 mutant rice. This suggests that interactions between XopN_{KXO85} and OsVOZ2 in rice increases susceptibility to Xoo infection. That is, Xoo produces XopN_{KXO85} as an effector molecule and utilizes the host protein OsVOZ2 for successful infection and increased virulence. Although the functions of OsVOZ2 are not fully understood in the interactions between Xoo and rice, it is evident that OsVOZ2 is a key factor in Xoo virulence in rice.

**Materials and Methods**

**Ethics Statement**

No specific permits were required for these kinds of field studies. This field is owned by the University Farm, College of Agriculture and Life Sciences, Seoul National University. This university farm is located in Suwon, which is approximately 40 kilometers south of the main campus of Seoul National University in Seoul, Republic of Korea. The location is not privately-owned or protected in any way. The field studies did not involve endangered or protected species.

**Bacterial strains**

The bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study are listed in Table S3. All of the Xoo strains used were derivatives of the parent strain XKO85 (KACC10331). Escherichia coli cells were grown at 37°C in Luria-Bertani (LB) broth or on LB agar plates. The Xoo strains were grown at 28°C in PS broth (PSB: peptone 1%, sucrose 1%, sodium L-glutamate 0.1%) or PS agar (PSA) plates. Antibiotics were used at the following concentrations: ampicillin, 100 µg/mL; gentamycin, 20 µg/mL; kanamycin, 50 µg/mL; tetracycline, 10 µg/mL; and spectinomycin, 50 µg/mL for E. coli strains and cephalexin 10 µg/mL; gentamycin, 10 µg/mL; tetracycline, 2 µg/mL; and kanamycin, 25 µg/mL for Xoo strains.

**Transposon insertion and marker-exchange mutagenesis**

All recombinant DNA techniques were performed according to standard methods [50]. To generate the xopN_{KXO85} mutant, the approximately 3-kb BamHI fragment carrying the xopN_{KXO85} gene from BAC clone G2 (Table S3) of Xoo KXO85 was cloned into pML122. EZ-Tn5<TET-1> was inserted into the coding region of xopN_{KXO85} in pML122 by in vitro transposition according to the supplier’s instructions (Epiconcert) yielding pXopN::EZ-Tn5 (Table S3). pXopN::EZ-Tn5 was electroporated into Xoo KXO85, and the transformed cells were cultured on PSA medium containing tetracycline. The marker-exchanged mutant Xoo KXO85 xopN_{KXO85}::EZ-Tn5 was isolated and confirmed by Southern hybridization. Transposon insertion and marker-exchange mutagenesis of the other xop genes (xopO_{KXO85}, xopX_{KXO85}, xopP2_{KXO85}, and xopP1_{KXO85}) were performed by the same strategy as described above to generate the xopN_{KXO85} mutant in Xoo KXO85.

**Virulence assay**

Rice plants of cultivar Dongjin were grown in a paddy field. The OsVOZ2 mutant rice seeds (PFG_3A-07565; Tg seed) were confirmed by the rice T-DNA Insertion Sequence Database (http://signal.salk.edu/cgi-bin/RiceGE) [41]. The homozygous Tg transgenic mutant line of the OsVOZ2 mutant rice was obtained and confirmed by RT-PCR analysis. Overnight cultures of Xoo cells were adjusted to approximately 1.8×10^6 CFU/mL and inoculated into 3-month-old leaves or fully expanded flag leaves by the scissor clip method [51]. Symptoms were scored by measuring lesion lengths 21 days after inoculation. The growth of Xoo cells in plants was determined as described previously [16].

**Quantitative real time RT-PCR analysis**

The bacterial strains used were cultured in liquid medium XOM2 [52] or PSB for 24 h. Total RNA was isolated from the wild-type strain XKO85 and KXO85 hrpX_{ORYZA}:EZ-Tn5 using an RNeasy kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. A total of 1 µg RNA was reverse transcribed into cDNA using M-MLV reverse transcriptase (Promega) for 1 h at 42°C. RT-PCR products from samples were analyzed on agarose gels and the bacterial 16s rRNA was used as a standard. Quantitative real-time RT-PCR (qRT-PCR) was performed using the cDNA and gene-specific primers (Table S4). The transcription levels were determined by Power SYBR Green PCR Master Mix on an Applied Biosystems 7500 Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems). The thermal cycling parameters were: 95°C for 10 min, followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 30 s and 60°C for 1 min. Expression of 16S rRNA was used to normalize the expression values in each sample, and relative expression values were determined against the average value of wild-type strain XKO85 using the comparative Ct method.

**Adenylate cyclase assays**

To generate the xopN-cya gene fusion protein, the xopN_{KXO85} gene was cloned into the XbaI and XhoI sites of pMLTC to generate pMCXopN (Table S3) followed by transformation into Xoo KXO85 and KXO85 hrpB5_{ORYZA}:EZ-Tn5. For the assay of adenylate cyclase activity in rice leaf tissues, rice leaves were hand-inoculated with bacterial suspension using a needleless syringe. After 12 h, samples were frozen with liquid nitrogen and homogenized in assay buffer supplied with the cAMP Biotrak Enzyme Immunoassay System (GE Healthcare). The level of cAMP in leaf samples was measured by the cAMP Biotrak Enzyme Immunoassay System according to the manufacturer’s directions.

**Yeast two-hybrid assay**

A Gal4-based system with Gateway technology (Invitrogen) was used for a yeast two-hybrid assay. The xopN_{KXO85} gene was amplified by PCR using Xoo KXO85 genomic DNA as a template. The PCR primers (Table S5) were flanked with the
Inoculated plants were incubated at 26°C in a growth chamber. We used the controls provided by Invitrogen: S (strong control: recombination to generate the entry clone. Subsequently, the prey plasmidDestination bac plasmid pDEST32 by LR recombination yielding pD22Lib (Table S3). pD32XopN contains the DNA-binding domain of Gal4 and the leucine selection marker gene LEU2. pD22Lib contains the GAL4 transcription activation domain and the tryptophan selection marker gene TRP1. All constructs were checked by restriction enzyme analysis and confirmed by DNA sequencing. pD32XopN (bait) and pD22Lib (prey) were co-transformed into yeast strain MaV203 according to the manufacturer’s protocol (Invitrogen). The transformants were cultured on synthetic complete (SC) medium lacking leucine (–Leu) and tryptophan (–Trp). After 72 h, colonies were picked and mixed with 100 µL of sterile water, and 10 µL of the cell suspension was spotted onto selection plates to screen for expression of the three reporter genes (His3, Ura3, and lacZ). Growth of the yeast transformants was assessed on SC–Leu–Trp–His complete (SC) medium lacking leucine (–Leu) and tryptophan (–Trp). After 72 h, colonies were picked and mixed with 100 µL of sterile water, and 10 µL of the cell suspension was spotted onto selection plates to screen for expression of the three reporter genes (His3, Ura3, and lacZ). Growth of the yeast transformants was assessed on SC–Leu–Trp–His.

**BiFC**

The coding regions of xopN<sub>KXO85</sub>, OsVOZ2, OsXNP, and OsVOZ1 were amplified by PCR using proofreading DNA polymerase and appropriate primers (Table S6) and cloned into the Gateway entry vector pENTR D TOPO (Invitrogen) yielding pENTR-XopN, pENTR-OsVOZ2, pENTR-OsXNP, and pENTR-OsVOZ1, respectively. pENTR-XopN, pENTR-OsVOZ2, pENTR-OsXNP, and pENTR-OsVOZ1 were recombined into the Gateway binary BiFC vectors pDEST-SCYNE(R) and pDEST-SCYCE(R) using LR recombinase according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Invitrogen) yielding pSCYNE-XopN, pSCYCE-OsVOZ2, pSCYCE-OsXNP, and pSCYCE-OsVOZ1, respectively (Table S3). The constructs were confirmed by DNA sequencing and transformed into A. tumefaciens C58C1 (pCH32) for transient expression in N. benthamiana as described above. SCFP signals were detected using a confocal laser scanning microscope (Leica Microsystems) 26 h after infiltration.

**Localization of OsVOZ2, OsXNP, and XopN<sub>KXO85</sub>**

The OsVOZ2, OsXNP, and xopN<sub>KXO85</sub> genes in pENTR D TOPO were cloned into the destination vector p2GWF7 to create a C-terminal GFP fusion [54] using the Gateway LR recombinase (Invitrogen). The constructs were introduced into maize mesophyll protoplasts by polyethylene glycol–calcium-mediated transformation [55,56]. The protoplasts were examined after incubation for 12–24 h. OsABF1-RFP was used as a nuclear marker [57].

**Microscopy**

We used confocal laser scanning microscopes (SCFP: TCS SP5; Leica Microsystems; GFP: LSM510 META; Carl Zeiss) to detect the SCFP and GFP signals. The excitation and emission wavelengths were SCFP (458 nm and 465–480 nm, respectively) and GFP (488 nm and 500–525 nm, respectively).
Statistical analysis

JMP® 10 software (SAS Institute) was used for statistical analysis. Statistical significance was determined by a one-way or a two-way ANOVA with Tukey HSD post-test.

Supporting Information

Figure S1. Genetic organization of five xop genes and EZ-Tn5 insertion positions in the Xoo KXO85 genome. The vertical bar with black open triangle indicates the position of the EZ-Tn5 insertion. Arabic numerals on the left and right sides indicate the base position in the Xoo KXO85 genome.

Figure S2. XopN\textsubscript{KXO85} expression is regulated by HrpX\textsubscript{KXO85} in Xoo KXO85. A. Expression profiles of XopN\textsubscript{KXO85} regulated by HrpX\textsubscript{KXO85} based on RT-PCR (left panel) and qRT-PCR (right panel) analyses. The 16S rRNA gene of KXO85 was used for normalization of the cDNA quantity and expression value. WT, Xoo KXO85; X, Xoo KXO85 hrpX\textsubscript{KXO85}::EZ-Tn5; PSB, bacterial strains were incubated in PSB (1% peptone, 1% sucrose, and 0.1% sodium L-glutamate); XOM2, bacterial strains were incubated in hrp-inducing medium XOM2. Vertical error bars indicate the standard deviation. B. The PIP box (TTCGG-N\textsubscript{15}-TTCTG) is located near XopN\textsubscript{KXO85} in the KXO85 genome.

Figure S3. Genetic map of the hrpB5\textsubscript{KXO85} mutant and cAMP measurement in rice leaves. A. The vertical bar with a black open triangle indicates the position of the EZ-Tn5 insertion in hrpB5\textsubscript{KXO85} in the KXO85 genome. The numbers on the left and right sides indicate the base positions in the KXO85 genome. B. Levels of cAMP in rice leaves. WT, KXO85; B5, KXO85 hrpB5\textsubscript{KXO85}::EZ-Tn5; N, KXO85 (pMCxopN); B5-N, KXO85 hrpB5\textsubscript{KXO85}::EZ-Tn5 (pMCxopN); TC, KXO85 (pMLTC)-vector control; B5-TC, KXO85 hrpB5\textsubscript{KXO85}::EZ-Tn5 (pMLTC)-vector control; and W, water. For the cAMP assays, each data point represents the average of three replicate samples with error bars indicating the standard deviation.

Figure S4. Self-activation test and Western blot analysis showing expression of the yeast plasmid constructs in yeast two-hybrid screening. A. Self-activation tests were conducted using pD32XopN + pDEST22, pDEST32 + pD22OsVOZ2, pDEST32 + pD22OsXNP, and pDEST32 + pDEST22. B. Total proteins were extracted from the indicated yeast strains. Anti-GAL4BD and anti-GAL4AD antibodies were used for immunoblotting. M, size marker; 1, pD32XopN and pD22OsVOZ2; 2, pD32XopN and pD22OsXNP; and 3, pDEST32 and pDEST22. The expected molecular weights of the proteins were as follows: GAL4BD-XopN\textsubscript{KXO85} = 94.4 kDa; GAL4AD-OsVOZ2 = 84.8 kDa; GAL4AD-OsXNP = 53.2 kDa; GAL4BD: 18.4 kDa; and GAL4AD: 14.9 kDa. The arrow (▶) indicates the position of expressed protein.

Figure S5. The amino acid sequence alignment of A. thaliana VOZ2 and OsVOZ2 using the ClustalW2 multiple alignment program.

Figure S6. The amino acid sequence alignment of OsVOZ1 and OsVOZ2 using the ClustalW2 multiple alignment program. The red box represents conserved residues possibly forming a functional zinc-coordinating motif.

Table S1. Characteristics of five predicted xop genes from Xoo KXO85.

Table S2. Identification of OsVOZ2 and OsXNP.

Table S3. Bacterial strains and plasmids.

Table S4. Primers used for qRT–PCR of xopN\textsubscript{KXO85}.

Table S5. Primers used for yeast two-hybrid system.

Table S6. Primers used for BIFC, localization, and in vivo pull-down assay.
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