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A B S T R A C T

Multiple myeloma (MM) is characterized by an accumulation of abnormal clonal plasma cells in the bone marrow. Despite recent advancements in anti-myeloma therapies, MM remains an incurable disease. Antioxidant molecules are upregulated in many cancers, correlating with tumor proliferation, survival, and chemoresistance and therefore, have been suggested as potential therapeutic targets. This study investigated the cross-talk between two antioxidant molecules, thioredoxin reductase (TrxR) and heme oxygenase-1 (HO-1), and their therapeutic implications in MM. We found that although auranofin, a TrxR inhibitor, significantly inhibited TrxR activity by more than 50% at lower concentrations, myeloma cell proliferation was only inhibited at higher concentrations of auranofin. Inhibition of TrxR using lower auranofin concentrations induced HO-1 protein expression in myeloma cells. Using a sub-lethal concentration of auranofin to inhibit TrxR activity in conjunction with HO-1 inhibition significantly decreased myeloma cell growth and induced apoptosis. TrxR was shown to regulate HO-1 via the Nrf2 signaling pathway in a ROS-dependent manner. Increased HO-1 mRNA levels were observed in bortezomib-resistant myeloma cells compared to parent cells and HO-1 inhibition restored the sensitivity to bortezomib in bortezomib-resistant myeloma cells. These findings indicate that concurrent inhibition of HO-1 with either a TrxR inhibitor or with bortezomib would improve therapeutic outcomes in MM patients. Hence, our findings further support the need to target multiple antioxidant systems alone or in combination with other therapeutics to improve therapeutic outcomes in MM patients.

© 2016 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Multiple myeloma (MM) is a malignant neoplasm of plasma cells characterized by an aberrant accumulation of plasma cells in the bone marrow (BM). In recent years, new therapeutics including proteasome inhibitors, bortezomib [1] and carfilzomib [2], and immunomodulatory drugs thalidomide [3] and lenalidomide [4] significantly improve MM patient outcome. Despite such developments in MM treatment, relapse is inevitable, and MM remains an incurable disease with the median survival rate of 3–5 years. Thus, better understanding of the myeloma biology and the mechanisms underlying chemoresistance can help to develop new therapeutic modalities with the potential to cure MM.

Heme oxygenase-1 (HO-1) is a cytoprotective enzyme catalyzing the conversion of intracellular heme into biliverdin, free iron, and carbon monoxide [5]. Biliverdin reductase further reduces biliverdin into a potent antioxidant bilirubin [6,7], which possesses anti-inflammatory, anti-oxidative, and anti-apoptosis properties [8,9]. Elevated HO-1 expression and activity have been observed in various cancer types including renal cell carcinoma [10], prostate cancer [11], lymphosarcoma [12], melanoma [13], chronic myeloid leukemia (CML) [14], and chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) [15]. Moreover, HO-1 expression has been shown to increase in response to the treatment with chemotherapeutic agents in acute myeloid leukemia (AML) [16], CML [17], pancreatic cancer [11], and MM [18]. Inhibition of upregulated HO-1 has been demonstrated to reverse the chemoresistance and resensitizes cancer cells to the chemotherapeutic agents in many human cancer types [11,16,17]. Thus, HO-1 serves as a potential therapeutic target in cancer either
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alone or in conjunction with conventional chemotherapeutic agents. Although bortezomib treatment increased HO-1 mRNA levels in MM [18], the functional role of HO-1 in myeloma cell survival, growth, and bortezomib resistance has not been established. The expression of HO-1 is regulated by many transcription factors including nuclear factor-kB (NF-kB) [19,20], NF-E2-related factor 2 (Nrf2) [21], activator protein-1 (AP-1) [22], and Bach-1 [23]. However, how HO-1 is regulated in myeloma cells is unclear.

The thioredoxin (Trx) system is one of the major cellular antioxidant systems and is comprised of thioredoxin (Trx), thioredoxin reductase (TrxR), and NADPH [24]. Both Trx1 and TrxR1 have been shown to be upregulated in many human cancer types including MM, and correlated with cancer cell survival, growth, resistance to apoptosis, and drug resistance [25,26]. Although the Trx system is a major antioxidant system involved in multiple redox-regulated signaling pathways in cancer [27,28], it also interacts with other antioxidant systems. Upon the loss of one antioxidant system, the cell may activate another antioxidant or stress molecule to compensate for the loss and protect themselves against increased oxidative stress and chemotherapeutic drugs [29–31]. Several lines of evidence indicate the involvement of the Trx1/TrxR system in the regulation of HO-1 expression [31–33]. Inhibition of TrxR using pharmacological inhibitors, such as aurothioglucose, induces HO-1 expression and activity. Moreover, TrxR inhibition using auranofin has also increased HO-1 expression in an oxidative stress-dependent manner in CLL cells [34]. However, the role of TrxR in regulating HO-1 and the therapeutic implications of targeting both TrxR and HO-1 together in MM remains unclear.

The present study was designed to study the cross-talk between the two antioxidants, TrxR and HO-1 in MM, and the therapeutic implication of targeting both in conjunction in myeloma cells. Our results show that TrxR inhibition induces HO-1 expression through the Nrf2 signaling pathway. We show that HO-1 inhibition using a pharmacological inhibitor, Zinc Protoporphyrin IX (ZnPP IX), sensitizes myeloma cells to undergo apoptosis in response to TrxR inhibition at the lower concentrations of auranofin. Thus, HO-1 acts as a secondary anti-apoptotic mechanism and is upregulated to compensate for the loss of TrxR functions. Our data also show that HO-1 expression is increased in bortezomib-resistant myeloma cells and its inhibition restores the sensitivity to bortezomib. These findings suggest concurrent inhibition of HO-1 with either a TrxR inhibitor or with bortezomib would improve therapeutic outcomes in MM patients.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Cells and reagents

The standard human myeloma cell lines (RPMI8226, U266, and OPM2) were obtained from Dr. Slavica Vuckovic (QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute). RPMI8226 cells were originally derived from the peripheral blood of a 61-year-old male with multiple myeloma (IgG lambda-type) [35]. U266 cells were originally derived from the peripheral blood of a 53-year-old male with IgG-secreting myeloma (refractory) [36]. OPM2 cells were originally derived from the peripheral blood of 56-year-old woman with multiple myeloma in leukemic phase (relapse) [37]. Human peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) were isolated from the whole blood of healthy volunteers and were collected under the ethical approval BPS/08/14/HREC. Cells were cultured in RPMI-1640 medium (Gibco) containing 10% (v/v) fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Bovagen), 200 mM L-glutamine, and 100 U/ml penicillin and 100 μg/ml streptomycin (Invitrogen). The monoclonal anti-HO-1 antibody was purchased from R & D Systems. The monoclonal anti-Nrf2 antibody, anti-Lamin B1, and anti-β-tubulin antibodies were purchased from Abcam. The monoclonal anti-HIF-1β antibody was purchased from BD Biosciences. The TrxR1 inhibitor auranofin was purchased from Sigma. An HO-1 inhibitor ZnPP IX and its control compound CuPP IX were purchased from Enzo Life Sciences. The dominant negative-Nrf2 plasmid was kindly provided by Dr. XiLin Chen (Atherogenics, USA) [38].

2.2. TrxR activity assay

RPMI8226, U266, and OPM2 cells were treated with auranofin (0–2 μM) for 24 h in 6-wells plate. The TrxR activity assays were performed as described previously [39]. Briefly, treated and untreated cells were lysed using 0.5% (v/v) Nonidet P-40 cell lysis buffer (150 mM NaCl, 50 mM Tris–Cl, pH 8; 0.5% (v/v) Nonidet P-50, 0.5 mM EDTA, 2 mM PMSF, 1 μl/ml protease inhibitor cocktail VI, 1 × PBS). To omit non-TrxR1-specific DTNB reduction, cell lysates were treated with or without 8 μM auranofin for 30 min at room temperature. The TrxR activity was measured using a buffer containing 0.5 M potassium phosphate, 200 mM EDTA, 20 mM NADPH, and 125 mM DTNB. TNB production was measured by following an increase in absorbance at 412 nm for 10 min. The reaction rates obtained in the presence of auranofin were subtracted from the reaction rates obtained in the absence of auranofin to give the final corrected TrxR rates. Units of TrxR activity (μmoles of TNB produced/minute) were calculated using an extinction coefficient of 13.6 × 103 M−1 of TNB at 412 nm. The specific thioredoxin reductase activity was determined using the following equation: Specific activity (U/mg) = U/total protein.

2.3. Cell proliferation assay

0.5 × 106 cells were treated with the appropriate drugs for indicated period of time in 24-wells plate. Relative cell proliferation was assayed using the CellTiter-Blue Cell Viability Assay (Promega), as per the manufacturer’s instructions.

2.4. Western blot analysis

Whole cell extracts were prepared using 0.5% (v/v) Nonidet P-40 cell lysis buffer. Nuclear and cytosolic fractions were prepared using Nuclear Protein Extraction Kit (Cayman Chemicals) according to the manufacturer’s guidelines. Western immunoblotting analysis was performed as described previously [40]. Blots were probed with various specific antibodies (HO-1, Nrf2, Lamin B1, HIF-1β, and β-tubulin) and ECL detection was done using GE ECL Western Blotting Substrate (GE Healthcare).

2.5. Caspase-3 activity assay

0.5 × 106 cells were treated with the appropriate drugs for indicated period of time in 24-wells plate. Caspase-3 activity within the treated and untreated myeloma cell lines and PBMCs was determined as described previously following the cleavage of Ac-DEVD-AMC (Enzo Life Sciences, NY, USA), a caspase-3 substrate [26]. Briefly, treated or untreated cells (0.5 × 106 cells) were pelleted, washed with PBS, re-suspended in 10–15 μl of PBS, and transferred to black-walled 96-wells plate. 90 μl of caspase assay buffer (5 mM dithiothreitol, 100 mM HEPES, 10% (w/v) sucrose, 0.1% NP-40 at pH 7.25) containing 50 μM Ac-DEVD-AMC was added to the samples and the amount of AMC cleaved by caspase-3 was measured at 37 °C by measuring the fluorescence at excitation wavelength of 370 nm and emission of 445 nm using SpectraMax plate reader.
2.6. Measurement of intracellular ROS generation

A dichlorofluorescein (DCF) assay was used to determine cellular ROS generation in myeloma cells and control PBMCs as described previously [26]. Briefly, $1 \times 10^6$ of treated or untreated cells were washed with PBS and incubated with 10 μM H$_2$DCFDA (Molecular probes, CA, USA), a redox sensitive cell permeable dye, for 15 min. Cells were then transferred to black-walled clear-bottom 96-wells plate in triplicate and assessed for H$_2$DCFDA oxidation using a SpectraMax fluorescence plate reader (Molecular Devices). Data were analyzed using SoftMax Pro software (Biostrategy). The fluorescence intensity measuring the oxidation of H$_2$DCFDA by ROS represents the amount of intracellular ROS generation.

2.7. Transient transfections

Cells (2 x $10^5$ per well) were transfected using Amaxa Nucleofector (T-001 program) using 2 ug of empty vector, pcDNA 3.1, and dominant negative-Nrf2 plasmids. Transfected cells were

Fig. 1. Auranofin inhibits TrxR activity in myeloma cells. MM cell lines (RPMI8226, U266, and OPM2) were treated with indicated concentrations of auranofin (0–2 μM) for 24 h. (A–C) Protein was extracted and TrxR activity was analyzed by measuring the NADPH-dependent reduction of DTNB by TrxR enzyme and normalized against the protein concentration in RPMI8226 (A), U266 (B), and OPM2 (C). (D–F) Cell proliferation was assessed by CellTiter Blue assay in RPMI8226 (D), U266 (E), and OPM2 (F). Values indicate mean ± SEM of three independent experiments performed in triplicate. One-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s post-test was employed. *$P < 0.0001$ (compared to the 0 μM auranofin treatment).
incubated for 24 h before the indicated treatments.

2.8. RNA extraction and quantitative real-time-PCR

Total RNA was extracted from parent U266 and bortezomib-resistant (U266-BR) cells (1 × 10⁶ cells) using TRIzol (Bionote) according to the manufacturer’s instruction. Total RNA was reverse transcribed using the SensiFAST cDNA synthesis kit (Bionote). Resultant cDNA was analyzed by real-time quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR) using SensiFAST SYBR® No-Rox Kit (Bionote) and RT-qPCR primers for L32 (forward 5′-CAGGTGTGGATAAGATCAAGGG-3′ and reverse 5′-CTGGAGGAAAACATTGTTGAGCGATC-3′) [41] and HO-1 (forward 5′-GCACTAGGAGGGTGATGATAA-3′ and reverse 5′-GGGCTCTGGTCCTTGGTGTCAT-3′) (Integrated DNA Technologies, IA, USA) on the QuantStudio™ 6 Flex Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems) according to the manufacturer’s guidelines. Reaction conditions were 95 °C for 5 s, 60 °C for 10 s, and 72 °C for 20 s. The comparative cycle threshold algorithm (ΔΔCt) method was used to analyze gene expression. The mRNA was normalized against L32 expression [41].

2.9. Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed by using the software GraphPad Prism 6 (GraphPad Software). Values are presented as mean ± SEM. Statistical significance was determined by the specified statistical test. P < 0.05 was considered significant.

3. Results

3.1. Auranofin inhibits TrxR activity in myeloma cells

We have previously shown that TrxR1 is upregulated in myeloma cells and auranofin, a TrxR inhibitor, induces myeloma cell death [26]. Here, we aimed to correlate auranofin-induced inhibition of TrxR activity with myeloma cell death and to study the interactions of TrxR with other antioxidants. Results showed that auranofin significantly inhibited TrxR activity in all three myeloma cell lines (RPMI8226, U266, and OPM2) and the IC₅₀ value was achieved at 0.48 μM, 0.46 μM, and 0.457 μM in RPMI8226, U266, and OPM2 cells, respectively (Fig. 1A–C). Interestingly, these auranofin concentrations were not sufficient to reduce cell proliferation and higher auranofin concentrations were required to significantly reduce cell proliferation in all three myeloma cell lines (Fig. 1D–F). We previously showed that auranofin treatment did not exert any significant cytotoxic effect on control peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) [26].

3.2. TrxR inhibition induces HO-1 expression in myeloma cells

Based on the observed discrepancy in Auranofin concentrations required for inhibition of TrxR and cell proliferation, we hypothesized that TrxR inhibition may activate another antioxidant molecule, which may serve as a secondary anti-apoptotic mechanism in myeloma cells. Firstly, by using a publicly available gene expression database (GSE6477), we showed that HO-1 expression is significantly lower in new and relapsed MM patients compared to healthy individuals (Fig. 2A). Secondly, we investigated whether TrxR inhibition induces hepatic HO-1 expression (Fig. 2B). We further analyzed whether increased HO-1 expression in response to TrxR inhibition can rescue myeloma cells from whether Trx1 inhibition induces HO-1 expression in myeloma cells. RPMI8226, U266, and OPM2 cells were treated with a Trx1 inhibitor, PX-12 (0–20 μM), and HO-1 protein expression was analyzed. Interestingly, targeting Trx1 did not induce HO-1 expression in myeloma cells at any concentration of PX-12 (data not shown).
undergoing cell death. To resolve whether inhibiting TrxR in combination with HO-1 can induce myeloma cell death, normal peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs), RPMI8226, and U266 cells were treated with 5 μM ZnPP IX (a HO-1 inhibitor) (A, C, E, respectively) or its control compound CuPP IX (B, D, F, respectively) alone or in combination with 1 μM auranoﬁn for 24, 48, and 72 h. Cell proliferation was assessed by CellTiter Blue assay at each time-point. Values indicate mean ± SEM of three independent experiments performed in triplicates. Two-way ANOVA followed by Sidak’s post-test were employed. *P < 0.05 (compared to the cells treated with auranoﬁn and ZnPP IX alone at each time-point).

Fig. 3. TrxR and HO-1 inhibition in conjunction inhibits myeloma cell proliferation. Myeloma cell lines (RPMI8226, U266) and normal PBMCs were treated with 5 μM ZnPP IX (a HO-1 inhibitor) (A, C, E, respectively) or its control compound CuPP IX (B, D, F, respectively) alone or in combination with 1 μM auranoﬁn for 24, 48, and 72 h. Cell proliferation was assessed by CellTiter Blue assay at each time-point. Values indicate mean ± SEM of three independent experiments performed in triplicates. Two-way ANOVA followed by Sidak’s post-test were employed. *P < 0.05 (compared to the cells treated with auranoﬁn and ZnPP IX alone at each time-point).
treatment. Aurano\textsuperscript{n}, ZnPP IX, and CuPP IX either alone or in combination exerted no significant cytotoxic effect on normal PBMCs (Fig. 3E and F).

We next examined the mode of myeloma cell death in response to TrxR and HO-1 inhibition. RPMI8226 and U266 cells were treated with 1 \textmu{}M aurano\textsuperscript{n} and 5 \textmu{}M ZnPP IX either alone or in combination for 24 h and caspase-3 activity was measured. Myeloma cells were also treated with 5 \textmu{}M CuPP IX and 1 \textmu{}M aurano\textsuperscript{n} either alone or in combination as a control for ZnPP IX. Results showed that aurano\textsuperscript{n} and ZnPP IX co-treatment significantly increased caspase-3 activity by 2.2-fold and 2.5-fold in RPMI8226 (Fig. 4A) and U266 (Fig. 4B) cells, respectively, suggesting that myeloma cells are undergoing apoptosis when TrxR and HO-1 are co-inhibited. Treatment of myeloma cells with aurano\textsuperscript{n} and CuPP IX together had no effect on caspase-3 activity (Fig. 4A and B).

3.4. TrxR inhibition induces HO-1 expression in myeloma cells through Nrf\textsubscript{2} activation

Since HO-1 is regulated by multiple transcription factors including Nrf\textsubscript{2}, NF-\kappaB, and AP-1, we investigated the underlying molecular mechanism by which TrxR may regulate HO-1 in myeloma cells. RPMI8226 and U266 cells were treated with aurano\textsuperscript{n} (0–2 \textmu{}M) for 24 h, cytosolic and nuclear fractions were prepared, and Nrf\textsubscript{2} and HO-1 protein expression was analyzed. Western blot results showed that aurano\textsuperscript{n}-induced TrxR inhibition increased nuclear accumulation of Nrf\textsubscript{2} in both RPMI8226 and U266 cells (Fig. 5A and B). As little as 0.25 \textmu{}M aurano\textsuperscript{n} increased nuclear Nrf\textsubscript{2} protein levels in both myeloma cell lines (Fig. 5A and B). Nrf\textsubscript{2} protein levels were undetectable in the cytosolic fractions in both cell lines (data not shown). Western blot results also showed that TrxR inhibition increased HO-1 protein levels in both myeloma cell lines, which correlated with increased nuclear Nrf\textsubscript{2} protein levels.

To confirm the involvement of the Nrf\textsubscript{2} pathway in TrxR-mediated HO-1 regulation in myeloma cells, we inhibited Nrf\textsubscript{2} using dominant negative-Nrf\textsubscript{2} (dn-Nrf\textsubscript{2}) [38]. U266 cells were transfected with dn-Nrf\textsubscript{2} for 24 h, subsequently treated with or without 1 \textmu{}M aurano\textsuperscript{n} for 24 h, and HO-1 protein expression was analyzed. Results showed a statistically significant decrease in HO-1 protein levels in dn-Nrf\textsubscript{2}-transfected U266 cells after aurano\textsuperscript{n} treatment compared to the control cells (Fig. 5C and D).

3.5. ROS play an important role in TrxR-mediated HO-1 expression

HO-1 has been shown to be involved in the regulation of redox equilibrium in human cells [42], and it is reported that intracellular ROS levels are increased when TrxR is inhibited [26]. We therefore examined the role of ROS in myeloma cells after TrxR and HO-1 inhibition. Control PBMCs and U266 cells were treated with aurano\textsuperscript{n} (1 \textmu{}M) and ZnPP IX (5 \textmu{}M) alone or in combination for 24 h and ROS production and caspase-3 activity were measured. Results showed that intracellular ROS levels were increased when TrxR was inhibited (by 1.5-fold compared to untreated control) and were further increased when HO-1 was co-inhibited (by 2.2-fold compared to untreated control and 1.5-fold compared to TrxR inhibition alone) (Fig. 6A). No significant increase in intracellular ROS levels was observed when control PBMCs were treated with either aurano\textsuperscript{n} or ZnPP IX alone or in combination (Fig. 6A). A ROS quencher, the antioxidant N-acetylcysteine (NAC), blocked the apoptotic response by inhibiting caspase-3 activity in U266 cells with both TrxR and HO-1-inhibited (Fig. 6B). This suggests that ROS are effectors of apoptosis in myeloma cells when TrxR and HO-1 are inhibited.

We then analyzed the role of ROS in TrxR-mediated HO-1 expression in myeloma cells. RPMI8226 and U266 cells were treated with both aurano\textsuperscript{n} (1 \textmu{}M) and NAC (5 mM) either alone or in combination for 24 h, and HO-1 and Nrf\textsubscript{2} protein expression were analyzed. Western blot results showed that aurano\textsuperscript{n}-induced TrxR inhibition increased nuclear Nrf\textsubscript{2} and cytosolic HO-1 protein levels in both MM cell lines (Fig. 6C). Addition of NAC markedly decreased both Nrf\textsubscript{2} and HO-1 protein levels in the nucleus and cytosol, respectively, in both myeloma cell lines (Fig. 6C). This suggests the involvement of ROS in TrxR-mediated HO-1 expression in myeloma cells.

3.6. HO-1 inhibition overcomes bortezomib resistance in myeloma cells

To investigate the role of HO-1 in bortezomib-resistant myeloma cells, we first analyzed HO-1 mRNA and protein levels in previously characterized bortezomib-resistant U266 (U266-BR) [26] and parent U266 cells. Significantly higher HO-1 mRNA levels were observed in U266-BR cells compared to the parent U266 cells (Fig. 7A). Western blot results showed that 10 nM bortezomib treatment induced HO-1 protein expression in U266-BR cells, but lower concentrations of bortezomib did not induce HO-1 protein expression (Fig. 7B). To examine whether HO-1 inhibition overcomes bortezomib resistance in myeloma cells, U266-BR cells were treated with 10 \textmu{}M ZnPP IX and 10 nM bortezomib either alone or in combination for 48 h and cell proliferation was analyzed. While ZnPP IX and bortezomib alone had no effect on U266-BR cell proliferation, ZnPP IX and bortezomib in combination suppression.
significantly reduced U266-BR cell proliferation by approximately 50%. Lower concentrations of ZnPP IX were also tested in combination with bortezomib (10 nM), but no significant effect on cell proliferation was observed (data not shown).

4. Discussion

This study highlights the cross-talk between two antioxidants, TrxR and HO-1, in myeloma cells. We previously showed that TrxR1 is upregulated in myeloma cells and its inhibition induced myeloma cell apoptosis [26]. This study showed that myeloma cell proliferation was not affected when TrxR activity was inhibited by more than 50% at lower auranoﬁn concentrations (Fig. 1), suggesting the activation of another antioxidant system, which may act as a secondary anti-apoptotic mechanism. Previous studies suggested that glutathione and glutaredoxins systems rescue cells from apoptosis when TrxR is inhibited either by auranoﬁn or aurothioglucose [29,30]. Our data showed that inhibition of TrxR activity by 50% induced HO-1 protein expression in all three

Fig. 5. TrxR inhibition induces HO-1 expression in myeloma cells through Nrf2 activation. (A, B) Myeloma cell lines RPMI8226 (A) and U266 (B) were treated with indicated concentrations of auranoﬁn (0–2 μM) for 24 h. Nuclear and cytosolic fractions were prepared, and Nrf2 and HO-1 protein expression was analyzed by western blot analysis in the respective cellular fractions. (C) U266 cells were transfected with pcDNA3.1 empty vector and dominant negative-Nrf2 (dn-Nrf2) using Amaxa Nucleoefection Technology (program T-001). 24 h post-transfection U266 cells were treated with or without 1 μM auranoﬁn for 24 h. Whole cell extracts were prepared and HO-1 protein expression was analyzed by western blot analysis. Lamin B1 and HIF-1β (nuclear fractions) and β-tubulin (cytosolic and whole cell fractions) were used as loading controls. Western blots are the representative of three independent experiments. (D) HO-1 protein levels were quantiﬁed by densitometry analysis using Image Gauge V4.0 software. Quantification data are expressed as mean ± SEM of three independent experiments. Unpaired student t test was employed. *P < 0.05.
myeloma cell lines (Fig. 2). Our data also showed that aurano-fin treatment did not induce HO-1 protein expression in control PBMCs, suggesting that the effect of TrxR inhibition on HO-1 expression is specific to myeloma cells. Thus, inhibition of TrxR activity by aurano-fin induces HO-1 expression in myeloma cells, which may serve as a secondary anti-apoptotic mechanism in TrxR-inhibited myeloma cells.

To our knowledge, this is the first study to report the therapeutic potential of inhibiting both TrxR and HO-1 together in cancers. This study highlights the role of HO-1 as a secondary anti-apoptotic molecule in MM, which only becomes active when the primary defense mechanism such as TrxR, is inhibited. We showed that, like AML cells [20], MM patient cells have low HO-1 expression levels compared to healthy cells (Fig. 2). HO-1 expression has been shown to increase in AML cells upon treatment with chemotherapeutic agents such as cytarabine, daunorubicin, and bortezomib, and its inhibition sensitized AML cells to these drugs [16,43]. Moreover, arsenic trioxide treatment also increased HO-1 expression in different myeloma cell lines and its inhibition reduced myeloma cell proliferation [44]. In AML cells, HO-1 expression has been shown to increase in response to NF-κB inhibition, and HO-1-inhibited AML cells became more susceptible to the NF-κB inhibitor, BAY-11-7082 indicating that HO-1 serves as a secondary anti-apoptotic mechanism in these cells [20]. Our results showed that HO-1 inhibition using ZnPP IX (5 μM) in conjunction with aurano-fin (1 μM) significantly reduced proliferation and induced apoptosis in myeloma cell lines (Figs. 3 and 4). However, ZnPP IX (5 μM) and aurano-fin (1 μM) in combination had no cytotoxic effect on normal PBMCs suggesting that this therapeutic combination can be a safe approach to treat MM patients. These findings indicate that HO-1 serves as a secondary anti-apoptotic mechanism in myeloma cells and is upregulated to compensate for the loss of TrxR functions. Therefore, we suggest inhibiting HO-1 could potentially enhance the cytotoxic effect of TrxR inhibitors in myeloma cells with minimal adverse effect on normal cells. It has been shown that the presence of the HO-1 inhibitor, ZnPP IX, in vivo can enhance tumor responsiveness to anti-cancer agents [45]. Moreover, another study showed that TrxR1 knockdown upregulated the glutathione system in mouse embryonic fibroblasts and concomitant inhibition of TrxR1 and glutathione significantly reduced tumor growth in vivo [46]. Taken together, we suggest that inhibiting multiple antioxidant systems in combination may provide more effective therapeutic strategy to combat cancers including MM.

This study also highlighted a molecular mechanism by which TrxR inhibition induces HO-1 expression in myeloma cells. An oxidative stress sensitive transcription factor Nrf2 binds the antioxidant response element (ARE) located in the upstream promoter region of HO-1 [21]. In this study, we showed that aurano-fin treatment increased Nrf2 protein levels in the nucleus and HO-1 protein levels in the cytoplasm of myeloma cells (Fig. 5). Moreover, Nrf2 inhibition using a dn-Nrf2 expressing plasmid [38] significantly decreased HO-1 protein levels in response to TrxR inhibition (Fig. 5). Thus, our results indicated that TrxR inhibition...
Our results showed that inhibiting TrxR and HO-1 in conjunction significantly increased intracellular ROS levels and caspase-3 activity (Fig. 6). Addition of NAC decreased caspase-3 activation in U266-BR cells (Fig. 6). Thus, ROS plays a key role in TrxR-mediated HO-1 expression in myeloma cells. Previous studies have suggested that HO-1 protects AML cells from apoptosis in response to treatment with cytarabine, daunorubicin, and BAY-11-7082 by removing ROS generated by these drugs [16,20].

In recent years, HO-1 has emerged as an effective drug target to overcome chemoresistance in many human cancer types. Uprregulated enzymatic antioxidant defenses and stress-responsive proteins have been suggested as potential mechanisms responsible for drug resistance in cancer cells [47]. The gene expression profiling of docetaxel-resistant breast carcinoma patients revealed elevated levels of the antioxidant genes including Trx, glutathione, and peroxiredoxins [48]. Moreover, HO-1 expression was shown to be increased in recurrent or relapsed prostate cancer patients [49]. We and another group showed an increased HO-1 mRNA levels in bortezomib-resistant myeloma cells [18], however, the functional role of HO-1 in overcoming bortezomib resistance in myeloma cells is unknown. Bortezomib-resistant myeloma cells have been shown to have increased Nrf2 mRNA levels compared to their parent counterpart [50]. Since Nrf2 regulates HO-1 gene transcription by directly binding to the ARE site in the HO-1 promoter region [21], elevated Nrf2 levels may be responsible for the increased HO-1 transcript levels in bortezomib-resistant myeloma cells. However, the exact molecular mechanism for the elevated HO-1 mRNA levels in bortezomib-resistant myeloma cells warrants further investigation.

This study, for the first time, highlights a novel strategy to overcome bortezomib resistance in MM by inhibiting HO-1. We showed that bortezomib treatment markedly increased HO-1 protein levels in U266-BR cells. Our data showed that HO-1 inhibition using its inhibitor, ZnPP IX, significantly restored the sensitivity to bortezomib in bortezomib-resistant myeloma cells (Fig. 7). Our data complements other studies where HO-1 inhibition using specific siRNA or its inhibitor, ZnPP IX, has been shown to increase the sensitivity of pancreatic cancer cells, cholangiocarcinoma cells, AML, and CML to chemo- and radiotherapy [11,16,17,51]. Thus, inhibition of HO-1 in combination with other conventional therapies may offer a novel approach to treat bortezomib-resistant relapsed/refractory MM patients.

In conclusion, we report that TrxR inhibition induces HO-1 expression and that inhibiting TrxR and HO-1 together induces myeloma cell apoptosis. Therefore HO-1 serves as a secondary anti-apoptotic mechanism in myeloma cells. Our findings suggest that HO-1 has a significant role in MM; however, this role of HO-1 is only revealed when TrxR activity is inhibited. Our findings further point towards the need of targeting multiple antioxidant systems to combat MM more effectively.
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