Pediatric meningioma with rhabdoid features developed at the site of skull fracture: illustrative case
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BACKGROUND Pediatric meningiomas are rare, and only a few cases attributed to trauma and characterized by development at the site of bone fracture have been reported. Both pediatric and traumatic meningiomas have aggressive characteristics.

OBSERVATIONS An 11-year-old boy who sustained a head injury resulting from a left frontal skull fracture 8 years previously experienced a convulsive attack. Imaging revealed a meningioma in the left frontal convexity. Total removal of the tumor with a hyperostotic section was successfully achieved. Intraoperative investigation showed tumor invasion into the adjacent frontal cortex. Histologically, the surgical specimen revealed a transitional meningioma with brain invasion and a small cluster of rhabdoid cells. This led to a final pathological diagnosis of an atypical meningioma with rhabdoid features. The postoperative course was uneventful, and no recurrence of the tumor was found after 2 years without adjuvant therapy.

LESSONS This is the first report of a pediatric meningioma with rhabdoid features occurring at the site of a skull fracture. Meningiomas that contain rhabdoid cells without malignant features are not considered to be as aggressive as rhabdoid meningiomas. However, the clinical course must be carefully observed for possible long-term tumor recurrence.
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Meningiomas account for approximately 20% of intracranial tumors and typically occur in adults. Pediatric meningiomas are rare, and their occurrence is reported to occur in 2.2% of all intracranial meningiomas. They tend to be associated with atypical locations, higher histopathological grades, and aggressive behaviors.1

Tumorigenesis of a meningioma after head trauma has been deliberated upon for many years.2-4 In 1938, post-traumatic meningioma was first described by Cushing and Eisenhardt, who reported a causal relationship between head trauma characterized by a skull fracture and the subsequent development of a meningioma.2 Head trauma with a fracture around the suture can cause considerable damage to the arachnoid cells and/or cause meningeal irritation under the dura mater. This damage facilitates tumor neoplasia of the arachnoid cells in the meningioma.2,5 In recent reports of post-traumatic meningiomas, histological findings of the tumors revealed a high incidence of cellular atypia as an aggressive characteristic.6-8

Typically, rhabdoid meningiomas present with malignant characteristics such as local recurrence, remote metastasis, and cerebrospinal fluid dissemination.9,10 However, a clinical study at the Mayo Clinic reported that meningiomas with rhabdoid features lacking malignant characteristics are not as aggressive as rhabdoid meningiomas with independent histological features consistent with World Health Organization (WHO) grade III.11 Here, we present a case of a post-traumatic meningioma with rhabdoid features lacking other histological characteristics of malignancy, which has not been reported previously.

ABBREVIATIONS CT = computed tomography; MR = magnetic resonance; WHO = World Health Organization.
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Illustrative Case

History and Preoperative Assessment

An otherwise healthy 11-year-old boy experienced complex partial seizures during a music class and was transported by ambulance to our hospital. Eight years previously, he had sustained a head injury with a linear skull fracture extending from the anterior skull base to the frontal convexity on the left side (Fig. 1A and B). Computed tomography (CT) revealed an intracranial semicircular mass with a maximum diameter of 4 cm from the anterior skull base to the frontal convexity (Fig. 1C). The adjacent skull, including the site of the previous linear fracture, was remarkably thickened (Fig. 1D and E). Magnetic resonance (MR) images showed that the mass was extensively adherent to the dura mater with a protrusion into the frontal lobe. The signal intensities demonstrated irregular hypointensity on T1-weighted images and irregular hyperintensity on T2-weighted images (Fig. 2A and B). There was remarkable enhancement of the contrast medium, leading to a radiological diagnosis of meningioma (Fig. 2C and D).

Operative Course

Using a frontotemporal craniotomy, we exposed the dura mater of the frontal convexity over the tumor. Under navigation guidance, the dura mater around the dural attachment of the tumor was incised. Soft tumors appeared under the dura mater. Intraoperative microscopic investigation revealed tumor invasion into the adjacent cortex (Fig. 3). Intraoperative histological examination indicated a tentative diagnosis of meningioma with a cluster of rhabdoid cells. We performed total removal of the tumor, including the surrounding cortex, and shaved out the hyperostotic section of the skull. After surgery, the patient recovered well, with no apparent neurological deficits.

Surgical Pathology

A major section of the tumor was confirmed to be a transitional meningioma (Fig. 4A), and immunohistochemical staining for epithelial membrane antigen was strongly positive (Fig. 4B). The MIB-1 index was 5.4% (Fig. 4C), and mitosis was observed in 1 in 10 high-power fields. Tumor cells invaded the cortex. Cortical regions along the tumor boundary were enriched with psammoma bodies (Fig. 4D). A cluster of rhabdoid cells was characteristically recognized (Fig. 5A); however, they occupied only a small portion of the tumor. The cytoplasm of rhabdoid cells was immunohistochemically positive for vimentin (Fig. 5B). The MIB-1 index was 4.6% (Fig. 4C). Mitosis was observed in 1 in 10 high-power fields. In addition to rhabdoid morphology, perivascular pseudopapillary features were observed in some areas (Fig. 5D). Histological findings suggestive of malignant characteristics were not observed. Ultimately, we diagnosed an atypical meningioma with rhabdoid features (WHO grade II). The boy was discharged 1 week after the operation, and chronological postdischarge MR images showed no recurrence after 2 years.

Discussion

Observations

We present an extremely rare case of a pediatric meningioma that developed at the site of a skull fracture sustained 8 years prior. The characteristic pathological findings of this meningioma consisted of extensive, macroscopically observed invasion into the surrounding cortex, with a cluster of rhabdoid cells without anaplastic features in a macroscopically determined transitional meningioma. After total
removal of the tumor with the adjacent hypertrophic bone, there has not been a recurrence for 2 years.

Because of the rarity of pediatric meningiomas compared with those occurring in adults, the number of pediatric meningiomas published in the English-language literature is limited to a few cases.\textsuperscript{1,12,13} The frequency of high histological grades, such as WHO grade II or III, accounts for over 30\% of these tumors.\textsuperscript{12,13} Moreover, recurrence in pediatric patients is also more frequent than in adult patients. Among pediatric patients, the recurrence rate of tumors with WHO grade I was found to be not lower than that of tumors with grade II or III,\textsuperscript{12} suggesting that pediatric meningiomas tend to be more aggressive than those in adults. In our case, an aggressive feature was an extensive macroscopic invasion into the surrounding cortex, although no histological malignant features were observed.

Regardless of the limited number of recently published reviews of post-traumatic meningiomas, the reviews support the notion of meningioma development at the site of a cranial fracture.\textsuperscript{6-8} Caroli et al. reported that this type of meningioma is more commonly found in the adult population than in the pediatric population.\textsuperscript{7} The period from head trauma to diagnosis was reported to be as long as 13 years on average. A head injury with a severe impact may initiate the development of a meningioma due to the disruption of the arachnoid mater as well as of other structures.\textsuperscript{2} Across all these reviews, the youngest case was a 13-year-old patient.\textsuperscript{6-7} In a few reviews, the most typical histological finding of a post-traumatic meningioma was described as a high frequency of cellular atypia, such as WHO grade II or III, which accounts for approximately 30\% of these tumors.\textsuperscript{6-8} Cushing and Eisenhardt noted that a bone fracture always occurs near a cranial suture and that the meningioma may develop close to the fracture.\textsuperscript{2} Diastasis may result even from a mild head trauma associated with a contusion or laceration of the pachymeninx, which is strongly adherent to the suture. The involvement of arachnoid cells activates the development of the meningioma.\textsuperscript{2} In addition, Barnett et al. suggested that chronic inflammation might lead to meningeal irritation.\textsuperscript{8} As far as we know, the factors provoking the developmental process of post-traumatic meningiomas have not been explored. In our case, the anatomical proximity between the skull fracture and the dural attachment of the meningioma was a notable feature.
tumor from the anterior skull base to the frontal convexity was apparent in the evaluation of MR images and bone CT. In addition, the firm attachment of the tumor to the site of the previous fracture was obvious from the intraoperative findings. However, we could not establish a precise causal relationship between the trauma and the development of the meningioma.

Meningiomas associated with rhabdoid features without other histological findings suggesting malignancy are rare pathological entities whose clinical courses have not yet been fully examined. Vaubel et al. reported the results of treatment of 44 patients with rhabdoid meningiomas lacking anaplastic features.11 The histological grades of the surgical specimens were WHO grade I (50%) or II (50%). Recurrence was found in 9 patients (5-year recurrence-free survival, 73.7%). The extent of rhabdoid features within the specimens showed high variability and was not significantly associated with recurrence-free survival periods. Therefore, meningiomas that contain rhabdoid cells without malignant features are not considered to be as aggressive as rhabdoid meningiomas with independent histological features consistent with WHO grade III. However, because a few cases leading to death were included in their series, close observation of these patients is essential. Kepes et al. stated, “Rhabdoid features in meningiomas are associated with aggressive biological and clinical behavior.” The rates of rhabdoid cells in tumors did not correlate with survival rates, although rhabdoid cell sheets were found to increase in recurrent tumors compared with those in primary tumors. In some cases, the characteristics of rhabdoid meningiomas with papillary features have been reported to exhibit aggressive clinical behavior, such as concomitant atypical or malignant histological characteristics, a higher MB-1 labeling index, and frequent recurrence. This has led to the classification of a WHO grade III tumor.14,15 However, Vaubel et al. noted that even if rhabdoid meningiomas without histological malignant characteristics harbored papillary features, the rates of recurrence-free survival were not affected.11 These findings suggest that rhabdoid and papillary features implicate morphological changes rather than anaplastic features in some cases.

Lessons
Rhabdoid meningiomas or post-traumatic meningiomas are rare clinical entities in the pediatric population. They are characterized by aggressive features such as rapid growth, invasion into the adjacent parenchyma, and frequent recurrence. Our findings suggest that traumatic damage to the arachnoid cells during childhood can prompt the development of a meningioma with rhabdoid morphology with an aggressive histological translation. To the best of our knowledge, pediatric meningiomas with rhabdoid features occurring after head trauma have not been published in English-language medical journals. The diagnosis needs to be made carefully, and close clinical follow-up is recommended.
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