Evidence for periodic accretion–ejection in LS I +61°303
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ABSTRACT

The stellar binary system LS I +61°303, composed of a compact object in an eccentric orbit around a B0 Ve star, emits from radio up to γ-ray energies. The orbital modulation of radio spectral index, X-ray, and GeV γ-ray data suggests the presence of two peaks. This two-peaked profile is in line with the accretion theory predicting two accretion–ejection events for LS I +61°303 along the 26.5 d orbit. However, the existing multiwavelength data are not simultaneous. In this paper, we report the results of a campaign covering radio, X-ray, and γ-ray observations of the system along one single orbit. Our results confirm the two predicted events along the orbit and in addition show that the positions of radio and γ-ray peaks are coincident with X-ray dips as expected for radio and γ-ray emitting ejections depleting the X-ray emitting accretion flow. We discuss future observing strategies for a systematic study of the accretion–ejection physical processes in LS I +61°303.
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1 INTRODUCTION

The stellar binary system LS I +61°303 consists of a compact object in an eccentric orbit (e ≃ 0.7) around a B0 Ve star (Casares et al. 2005) with orbital period $P_{\text{orb}} = 26.496 \pm 0.0028$ d (Gregory 2002). It has been proposed that the compact object in the system could be a black hole (e.g. Punsly 1999) or a neutron star (e.g. Maraschi & Treves 1981). On the other hand, the correlation between the X-ray luminosity and the X-ray spectral slope in LS I +61°303 agrees with that of black holes (Massi, Migliari & Chernyakova 2017; Massi 2017). Dubus (2006) investigated if, in analogy to the pulsar binary PSR B1259–63, the relativistic wind of a fast rotating young pulsar interacting with the Be wind could explain the radio emission in LS I +61°303. However, the radio characteristics of the periodic ($\sim P_{\text{orb}}$) outburst in LS I +61°303 are different from the simple optically thin outburst of PSR B1259–63 (Connors et al. 2002) but are consistent with a microquasar scenario, that is, the scenario of accreting objects with associated jets established for several black holes. The outburst in LS I +61°303 has in fact the same complex structure as outbursts of the microquasars XTE J1752–223 and Cygnus X-3 (Zimmermann, Fuhrmann & Massi 2015): After a flat or inverted outburst (radio spectral index $\alpha \geq 0$, i.e. same flux density for all frequencies or dominating at higher frequencies), there is an optically thin outburst (i.e. $\alpha < 0$, low frequencies dominating the higher ones, Massi & Kaufman Bernadó 2009; Massi 2011).

Dubus (2006) analysed how the shocked material in the pulsar scenario expands all along the orbit, creating a radio nebula with a ‘comma shape’ reminiscent of a one-sided jet. In particular, Dubus performed simulations for the system LS I +61°303 and predicts, at a scale larger than the orbital size, that the nebula should look like a one-sided jet with very stable position angle, reflecting the projection of the major axis of the orbit. Same conclusions are given in Moldón, Ribó & Paredes (2012), modelling the flow of electrons accelerated in a pulsar wind/stellar wind interaction. They find that the orientation of the resulting structures, that extend to sizes much larger than the orbital size, depend in fact on the orbital inclination. Several VLBI (very long baseline interferometry) observations of LS I +61°303 are available, all of them with a beam larger than the orbital size. The VLBI images show a radio jet with fast variations: a MERLIN map of LS I +61°303 shows a two-sided structure, with an S-shape as the precessing jet of SS433 (Hjellming & Johnston 1988), one day later a second MERLIN map shows a significantly rotated one-sided structure (Massi et al. 2004). Also VLBA observations show changes from one- to double-sided jet, compatible with variable Doppler boosting due to changes of the jet orientation with respect to the line of sight (Massi, Ros & Zimmermann 2012). VLBA astrometry indicates that the variations
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1. Long-term modulation in LS I +61°303. Top: 37 yr of radio data (Massi & Torricelli-Ciamponi 2016) folded with \( \Theta = 1667 / \Delta t \) where, \( \Delta t = 43366.275 \) MJD. The two red lines correspond to the phase interval \( \Theta = 0.77-0.78 \) of our campaign. The two blue lines correspond to the interval \( \Theta = 0.8-0.9 \), where simultaneous archival X-ray and \( \gamma \)-ray data are available (see Section 2.3). Centre: orbital shift of the radio outburst peak; and orbital phase is equal to \( t_{\text{max}} - t_{\text{min}} / \Theta \). Bottom: radio light curves of LS I +61°303 at \( \Theta_{\text{max}} \) and \( \Theta_{\text{min}} \).

are periodic: the jet core traces a closed path with a period of \( P_{\text{precession}} = 26.926 \pm 0.005 \) d (Wu et al. 2018). Simulations of the young pulsar model predict a stability in the orientation of the nebula contradicted by the observations of LS I +61°303, whereas the microquasar model explains the variations with a precessing jet (e.g. see fig. 7 in Massi & Torricelli-Ciamponi 2014). SS433 (Rasul et al. 2019) and LS I +61°303 (Jaron & Massi 2014; Jaron, Torricelli-Ciamponi & Massi 2016; Jaron et al. 2018) are the two microquasars where jet precession can be traced up to the GeV band.

The two periodicities, \( P_{\text{orbit}} \) and \( P_{\text{precession}} \), are the two dominant spectral features in the radio power spectrum (37 yr of observations, see Massi & Torricelli-Ciamponi 2016) whereas their beat frequencies \( P_{\text{average}} \) and \( P_{\text{long}} \) dominate the observed emission. Ray et al. (1997) were the first to observe that the radio outbursts in LS I +61°303 occur with a period of 26.7 d and not with the orbital one. The radio outburst periodicity is equal to \( P_{\text{average}} = 2(v_{\text{orbit}} + v_{\text{precession}}) \) (e.g. Jaron & Massi 2013) which results in an orbital phase shift (centre panel of Fig. 1) of the radio outburst when it is plotted versus the orbital phase (Massi & Jaron 2013; Massi & Torricelli-Ciamponi 2014). Moreover, the amplitude of the outburst changes periodically with a long-term periodic modulation \( P_{\text{long}} = 1667 \pm 8 \) d (Gregory 2002) (top panel of Fig. 1) equal to \( 1/(v_{\text{orbit}} - v_{\text{precession}}) \) (Massi & Jaron 2013). In the precessing microquasar scenario the explanation of the beat between \( P_{\text{orbit}} \) and \( P_{\text{precession}} \) is straightforward: the maximum of the long-term modulation is reproduced when the jet gets refilled along the orbit (\( P_{\text{orbit}} \)) when forming its minimum angle with respect to the line of sight, that is, maximum Doppler boosting. Because of precession (\( P_{\text{precession}} \)), one orbital cycle (\( P_{\text{orbit}} \)) later the angle is slightly different and the Doppler boosting is reduced. Only after \( P_{\text{long}} \) the system comes back to the conditions that give again maximum flux density during the radio outburst (e.g. Massi & Torricelli-Ciamponi 2014).

Massi & Kaufman Bernadó (2009) using 6.7 yr of Green Bank Interferometer (GBI) radio data at two frequencies analysed the spectral index, function of the ratio of the two flux densities. They demonstrated that twice along the orbit, during the large outburst and closer to periastron when there is only a low radio emission level, the radio emission attains the same spectral characteristics, resulting in a double-peaked shape of the orbital modulation of the radio spectral index. Two peaks are also evident at high energy. The folded light curve of 5 yr of Fermi–LAT data shows two peaks along the orbit (Jaron et al. 2016). X-ray and \( \gamma \)-ray data covering the interval between the two blue lines in the upper panel of Fig. 1, that is, seven orbital cycles, again hint for two peaks (Fig. 2), where the \( \gamma \)-ray peak at orbital phase 0.6–0.8 seems to be in the dip between the two X-ray peaks. Taylor et al. (1992) were the first to note that if the compact object in LS I +61°303 is accreting, then the accretion rate might develop two peaks along the eccentric orbit. The accretion rate is given by

\[
M \propto \frac{\rho_w}{v_{\text{rel}}} \tag{1}
\]

where \( \rho_w \) is the density of the stratified wind of the B0 Ve star at the position of the accretor, and \( v_{\text{rel}} \) is the relative velocity between the wind and the orbiting accretor (Bondi 1952). The accretion rate peaks around periastron, where the density is the highest. At high eccentricities, however, there exists a second accretion rate maximum along the orbit when the compact object is travelling slower and thus the decrease of its velocity relative to the wind particles compensates for the drop in the wind density. Flares at periastron and apastron, as observed in Circinus X-1, were explained in a similar way.

Figure 1. Long-term modulation in LS I +61°303. Top: 37 yr of radio data (Massi & Torricelli-Ciamponi 2016) folded with \( \Theta = 1667 / \Delta t \) where, \( \Delta t = 43366.275 \) MJD. The two red lines correspond to the phase interval \( \Theta = 0.77-0.78 \) of our campaign. The two blue lines correspond to the interval \( \Theta = 0.8-0.9 \), where simultaneous archival X-ray and \( \gamma \)-ray data are available (see Section 2.3). Centre: orbital shift of the radio outburst peak; and orbital phase is equal to \( t_{\text{max}} - t_{\text{min}} / \Theta \). Bottom: radio light curves of LS I +61°303 at \( \Theta_{\text{max}} \) and \( \Theta_{\text{min}} \).

Figure 2. Simultaneous RXTE–PCA X-ray (black, Hadasch et al. 2012) and Fermi–LAT GeV \( \gamma \)-ray (blue) data at \( \Theta = 0.8-0.9 \). The Fermi–LAT data are the result of fitting photon data from the energy range 0.1–300 GeV with a log parabola spectrum (Section 2.3).
Table 1. Log of the observations in 2017 August/September. Column 1: telescopes and satellites. Columns 2 and 3: start and stop MJD time. We used SWIFT data up to 76 d before the campaign and up to 55 d after the campaign. Column 4: frequency or energy bands.

| Telescope                      | Start [MJD] | Stop [MJD] | Energy/frequency     |
|--------------------------------|-------------|------------|----------------------|
| 100-m Effelsberg radio telescope | 57981.7     | 58008.7    | (2.64, 4.85, 10.45) GHz |
| OVRO                          | 57981.7     | 58008.7    | 15 GHz               |
| Fermi–LAT                     | 57978.7     | 58005.2    | 0.1–300 GeV          |
| Swift–XRT                     | 57918.5     | 58050.3    | 0.3–10 keV           |
| XMM–Newton                    | 57984.0     | 57984.3    | 0.2–15 keV           |
| NuSTAR                        | 57980.0     | 57980.6    | 3–78 keV             |

(Gaussian function was fitted to the antenna temperature measurement of each subscan (representing the convolution of the telescope beam with a delta function). The amplitudes of all subscans were corrected for the pointing deviation and averaged, resulting in a single antenna temperature per scan. Further corrections were applied for the atmospheric attenuation of the signal and for the gain-elevation effect (loss of sensitivity due to gravitational deformation of the antenna when being tilted). Finally, the conversion factor from antenna temperature into flux density (in Jy) was determined by the observations of NGC 7027, 3C 286, 3C 295 and 3C 48, 3C 286, and DR 21 (Baars et al. 1977), this factor was applied to all observations (on a day-by-day basis). Typical values for these corrections are given in Table 2. Typical rms deviations for calibrators are 2 per cent and for LS I +61° 303 of 5 per cent.

The OVRO 40-M Telescope uses off-axis dual-beam optics and a cryogenic receiver with 2 GHz equivalent noise bandwidth centred at 15 GHz. Atmospheric and ground contributions, as well as gain fluctuations, are removed with the double switching technique (see references in Richards et al. 2011), where the observations are conducted in an ON–ON fashion so that one of the beams is always pointed on to the source. Until 2014 May, the two beams were rapidly alternated using a Dicke switch. Since 2014 May, when a new pseudo-correlation receiver replaced the old receiver, a 180° phase switch was used. Relative calibration is obtained with a temperature-stable noise diode to compensate for gain drifts. The primary flux density calibrator is 3C 286, with an assumed value of 3.44 Jy (Baars et al. 1977), DR 21 is used as secondary calibrator source with an assumed value of 19.04 Jy based on the first four years of OVRO monitoring. Details of the observation and data reduction schemes are given in Richards et al. (2011).

In order to compute the spectral index \( \alpha \) from the four radio light curves (three from Effelsberg, one from OVRO), we divided those data into one day time bins and performed an error-weighted least-squares fit of a straight line of the form: \( \log S = \alpha \log v + b \), to all of the data in \( \log v \)–\( \log S \) space within each time bin. We define the reference time for the spectral index value as the centre of the time bin. The uncertainties in \( \alpha \) result from formal error propagation. Fig. 3 shows the radio data and the fitted spectrum for each time bin.

2 DATA

2.1 Radio observations: 100-m Effelsberg radio telescope and OVRO

The observations with the 100-m radio telescope at Effelsberg were performed with the receivers at 11, 6, and 2.8 cm wavelength (S110, S60, and S28). All three systems are located in the Gregorian focus of the telescope (see Table 2 for receiver properties). As LS I +61° 303 as well as the calibrators are point-like for the telescope, the measurements could be done as cross-scans in azimuth and elevation (with a various number of subscans) over the source positions. At 11 cm, the scanning was done in the equatorial system to avoid confusion with nearby sources. For the data analysis, a

Table 2. 100-m Effelsberg telescope parameters.

| RX    | 11 cm | 6 cm | 2.8 cm |
|-------|-------|------|--------|
| Centre frequency | 2.675 GHz | 4.85 GHz | 10.45 GHz |
| Bandwidth | 10 MHz | 500 MHz | 300 MHz |
| \( T_{\text{sys}} \) (zenith) | 29.3 | 25.8 | 28.9 |
| Typical opacity | 0.01 | 0.015 | 0.022 |
| Gain–loss* | \( \leq 1.2 \% \) | \( \leq 1.5 \% \) | \( \leq 2.5 \% \) |
| Sensitivity | 1.5 K Jy\(^{-1}\) | 1.5 K Jy\(^{-1}\) | 1.35 K Jy\(^{-1}\) |

* due to gravitational deformation (elevation dependent).
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Figure 3. Radio spectra of LS I +61°303, as explained in Section 2.1.

The analysis of the TOO XMM–Newton observation of LS I +61°303 (taken on 2017 July 19) was performed with the XMM–Newton Science Analysis software v.15.0.0. Known hot pixels and electronic noise were removed, and data were filtered to exclude soft proton flares episodes. The total exposure is ∼25 ks. The spectrum and light curve (100 s time bins) were extracted from a 40 arcsec radius circle centred at the position of LS I +61°303 and the background was extracted from a nearby source-free region of 80 arcsec radius. The RMFs and ARFs were extracted using the RMFGEN and ARFGEN tools, respectively. NuSTAR TOO observation was performed on LS I +61°303, on 2017 July 14. The raw data were processed with standard pipeline processing (HEASOFT v.6.22 with the NuSTAR subpackage v.1.8.0). We applied strict criteria for the exclusion of data taken in the South Atlantic Anomaly (SAA) and in the 'tentacle'-like region of higher background activity near part of the SAA. Level-two data products were produced with the nupipeline tool with the flags SAAMODE = STRICT and TENTACLE = yes. The light curves (100 s time bins) were extracted for a point source with the nuproducts routine. The corresponding background flux was derived from a ring-like (inner/outer radii of 80 arcsec/196.8 arcsec) region surrounding the source. The analysis was performed in the energy range of 3–78 keV.

We model the data with an absorbed power-law model (phabs*powlaw XSPEC model) with a free hydrogen column density (N_H), slope, and model normalization. This model describes all considered data sets well, with the reduced χ^2 ∼ 1. Similar to Chernyakova et al. (2017), we did not find any clear N_H dependence on the orbital phase. We also performed the search for an additional blackbody component in high-statistic XMM–Newton TOO observation. We did not find any firm evidence for this component, although please note that the best fit with blackbody temperature of T_bb ≈ 2 keV formally improves the fit by Δχ^2 ∼ 6, which corresponds to 2σ detection significance.

We performed three fits of the X-ray light curve: a fit with a constant, a constant plus one Gaussian, and two Gaussians, that result in a reduced χ^2 of 8.0, 6.1 and 4.2, respectively. To decide whether the

2https://www.cosmos.esa.int/web/xmm-newton/what-is-sas
model 3 fits the data better than the other models, the difference-$\chi^2$ test was performed between models 1 and 3, and between models 2 and 3. In both cases we found a $p$ less than $10^{-5}$, where the difference is significant for $p < 0.05$. The model with the two Gaussians (Table 3 and red line in Fig. 5a) is:

$$f(\Phi) = A e^{-\frac{(\Phi - \Phi_1)^2}{\sigma_1^2}} + B e^{-\frac{(\Phi - \Phi_2)^2}{\sigma_2^2}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

As discussed in Section 4 (items 1–7), a physical model of X-ray emission should take into account the two-peak accretion model, viscousity time-scales, the accretion flow emission, and likely a weak emission due to IC process (Jaron et al. 2016) and the offset explained by Hadasch et al. (2012) and Xing, Wang & Takata (2017). Fig. 4(a) shows three curves obtained by us, Hadasch et al. (2012), and Xing et al. (2017), all three using the same data set (MJD 54683–54863) and fitting models. The log parabola is the model used for LS I +61°303 in the Fermi–LAT catalogues. The red curve is scaled by 1/1.35. (c) Power spectrum and fits (see Section 2.3).

(b). The PL plus exponential cut-off better describes data around 100 MeV, as shown in the Fig. 4(c). The global fit is however better for the log parabola model (with $\chi^2$ of 18 with respect to that of 21 for the PL+ExpCutoff).

### 3 RESULTS

In Fig. 5, we present radio, X-ray and $\gamma$-ray results. Fig. 5(a) shows two X-ray peaks. One X-ray peak is at $\Phi \sim 0.35$ with a dip at $\Phi = 0.5–0.7$. The X-ray emission rises again, peaks at $\Phi \sim 0.85$ and drops again at $\Phi = 0.0–0.2$. The X-ray data of Fig. 5(a) confirm the prediction of the accretion theory in LS I +61°303 (Taylor et al. 1992; Martí & Paredes 1995; Bosch-Ramon et al. 2006; Romero et al. 2007). In fact the accretion flow emits in X-ray (e.g. Yang et al. 2015, 2015b), therefore the predicted increase in the accretion rate implies an increase in the X-ray emission twice along the orbit.

Fig. 5(b) shows two $\gamma$-ray peaks rising above a scattered level of about $4 \times 10^{-7}$ photons cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$. This is not the first observation of two $\gamma$-ray peaks along the orbit of LS I +61°303. In the folded curve of 5 yr of $\gamma$-ray data (left-hand panel of fig. 7 in Jaron et al. 2016) two peaks arise above an offset of $5 \times 10^{-7}$ cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$. The two peaks were modelled with two ejections of particles emitting $\gamma$-ray emission due to IC process (Jaron et al. 2016) and the offset explained as a contribution from the accretion flow population (see section 5.

---

Table 3. Fit parameters of equation (2).

| Parameter | Value |
|-----------|-------|
| $A$       | $(2.0 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-11}$ |
| $\Phi_1$  | $0.35 \pm 0.01$ |
| $\sigma_1$| $0.11 \pm 0.02$ |
| $B$       | $(1.4 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-11}$ |
| $\Phi_2$  | $0.84 \pm 0.01$ |
| $\sigma_2$| $0.14 \pm 0.02$ |

---

1https://fermi.gsfc.nasa.gov/ssc/data/access/
2https://fermi.gsfc.nasa.gov/ssc/data/analysis/software/
3https://fermi.gsfc.nasa.gov/ssc/data/analysis/scitools/likelihood_tutorial.html
4Table 4 in https://fermi.gsfc.nasa.gov/ssc/data/access/lat/4yr_catalog/
in Jaron et al. 2016). The new information coming from Fig. 5(b) is about the misalignment between the \( \gamma \)-ray peaks associated to the ejection of particles with respect to the X-ray peaks. As summarized in Table 4, it is during the X-ray dip at \( \Phi = 0.5-0.7 \) that occurs the \( \gamma \)-ray onset, \( \Phi = 0.5-0.6 \) and it is during the X-ray dip at \( \Phi = 0.0-0.2 \) that occurs the \( \gamma \)-ray onset, \( \Phi = 0.0-0.15 \). The result of the campaign of \( \gamma \)-ray peaks during the X-ray dips, probes and gives a better understanding of the misalignment suggested from archived data folding and averaging all together seven orbital cycles affected by different Doppler boosting effects (Introduction). One can compare these results of archived data – taken 9 yr before our campaign – with our present results. The curve in Fig. 2 hints for two X-ray peaks and two \( \gamma \)-ray peaks, these two emerging from an offset of 3–5 \( \times 10^{-7} \) photons cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\). The first X-ray peak in Fig. 2 occurs at \( \Phi = 0.45 \pm 0.15 \) (campaign peak at \( \Phi = 0.35 \pm 0.01 \)), with the associated \( \gamma \)-ray peak at \( \Phi = 0.7 \pm 0.1 \) (campaign peak at \( \Phi \sim 0.6 \)). The second X-ray peak occurs at \( \Phi = 0.85 \pm 0.05 \) (campaign peak at \( \Phi = 0.84 \pm 0.01 \)), with the associated \( \gamma \)-ray peak at \( \Phi = 0.3 \pm 0.2 \) (campaign peak at \( \Phi \sim 0.15 \)).

Fig. 5(c) shows a large radio outburst with onset (Table 4) during the X-ray dip at \( \Phi = 0.5-0.7 \). As discussed in Introduction, the accretion theory for LS I +61\(^{\circ}\)303 predicts that the two peaks in the accretion rate are followed by ejections of electrons experiencing strong energetic losses when ejected close to periastron passage, because of IC interactions with UV stellar photons. As a result there is only one large radio outburst, associated to the ejection more displaced from periastron (e.g. Jaron et al. 2016). The new result of our Fig. 5 is the discovery that the onset of the radio outburst, as that of the associated \( \gamma \)-ray peak, occurs in the dip of the X-ray emission.

The result of Jaron et al. (2016) is that electrons ejected closer to periastron, because of their strong energetic losses, produce a short radio jet, that is, more than one order of magnitude shorter than that produced in the second ejection, more displaced from the B0 star, and responsible for the large radio outburst. Different in their flux density, still the two jets present both the typical spectral characteristics of jets in microquasars of a flattish or inverted spectrum. In Fig. 6, we show five cycles of LS I +61\(^{\circ}\)303 GBI data folded with the orbital phase. The spectral index changes from negative to positive at the onset of the large outburst and also around orbital phase 0.3, even if there only low emission is present. The campaign allows us to analyse for the first time the radio spectral index along one complete orbit at three to four radio frequencies instead of the two frequencies used in the GBI observations. The multiwavelength radio spectra show indeed that the radio spectral index becomes \( \alpha \geq 0 \) at \( \Phi \sim 0.2 \) and 0.5–0.55.

In microquasars after the flat spectrum phase, a shock-related transient, giving an optically thin outburst (Introduction), might develop. Fig. 5(d) shows that the self-absorbed jet, that is, \( \alpha \geq 0 \), clearly persists until \( \Phi \sim 0.55 \), after that the radio spectral index oscillates and stabilizes to \( \alpha \sim -0.5 \) for an optically thin outburst. Fig. 7 compares the campaign with other two epochs: in the campaign (top panel of Fig. 7) the optically thin outburst forms just a bump during the decay of the radio light curve, in Figs 7(b) and (c), the onset of the optically thin outburst, and likely onset of the shock, is at a later phase during the decay of the flat-inverted spectrum outburst. A systematic study of the system LS I +61\(^{\circ}\)303 will bring a deeper understanding of the physical conditions triggering the transient.

### Table 4. Accretion–ejection in LS I +61\(^{\circ}\)303.

|              | Orbital phase First event (I) | Orbital phase Second event (II) |
|--------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| X-ray dip    | 0.5–0.7                       | 0.0–0.2                         |
| \( \gamma \)-ray onset | 0.5–0.6                     | 0.0–0.15                        |
| Radio onset  | 0.5–0.7                       | ~0.15                           |
| \( \alpha \geq 0 \) | 0.5–0.55                      | 0.2                             |
Figure 6. Double-peaked shape of the spectral index of LS I +61°303. Top: spectral index data (averaged over $\Delta \Phi = 0.009$). Bottom: GBI data in the interval 50048–50174 MJD.

4 CONCLUSIONS AND DISCUSSION

Theory predicts two events of accretion–ejection along the orbit of LS I +61°303. From archival data, showing the two predicted events, one can only draw broad conclusions because the observations at the various energy bands are not simultaneous. We performed a multi-wavelength campaign to establish their relative orbital occurrence. Our results are:

(i) X-ray emission rises twice along the orbit, as predicted by the model. After reaching the maximum the emission drops and two dips are noticeable along the orbit.

(ii) It is during the two X-ray dips that $\gamma$-ray emission increases.

(iii) The radio spectral index $\alpha$ becomes greater or equal to zero twice along the orbit, revealing that a self-absorbed jet is generated twice. The large radio outburst is displaced from periastron passage and happens during the X-ray dip.

X-ray dips associated to radio emission peaks were observed in the stellar mass black hole system GRS 1915+105 (Mirabel & Rodríguez 1999; Klein-Wolt et al. 2002) and explained (Klein-Wolt et al. 2002, and references therein) as disappearance of matter from the accretion flow (the X-ray dip), part of it passing through the event horizon and part of it ejected into a radio emitting jet (the radio peak). Marscher et al. (2002) interpreted in a similar way four radio ejections appearing after four X-ray dips in the AGN 3C 120, that is, as observational evidence for their accretion-disc origin. It is worth of note that in our multiwavelength campaign, we also see a $\gamma$-ray peak together with the radio peak.

Although jets are ubiquitous in the Universe, common to a number of different accreting systems, the mechanism of jet production and the details of the accretion–ejection processes are still poorly constrained. LS I +61°303 could become the laboratory for understanding the accretion–ejection coupling: periodic, detected at all wavelengths from the radio band to very high-energy $\gamma$-rays and a jet well traceable with radio interferometers.

Several investigations will be possible:

1. By means of X-ray observations of LS I +61°303, it becomes possible for the theoretical models to include and estimate viscous time-scales. The accretion models, based on equation (1), predict the accretion peaks only. From the delay between predicted peaks in accretion rate and X-ray peaks from the accretion flow, a quantitative analysis of the viscous processes will be possible.

2. By studying the relationship between X-ray dip and radio onset in LS I +61°303, it will be possible to study the coupling between accretion and ejection.

3. Optical depth effects along the jet, as done in Cygnus X-1 (Tetarenko et al. 2019), can be well analysed in the flat-inverted phase of the outburst.

4. A better understanding of shock propagation along the jet will be possible analysing the delays between self-absorbed and transient jets.
5. The possible relationship between transient jet and emission at very high energy can be studied. In fact TeV emission is thought to be produced by shocks (e.g. Williamson 2019) and in microquasars shocks are associated with the transient jet (Fender, Belloni & Gallo 2004). It is therefore during a transient jet that TeV emission could be expected. The system Cyg X-1, which with a jet angle of 27° (Orosz et al. 2011) and jet velocity 0.92c (Tetarenko et al. 2019) corresponds to a Doppler boosting of about 5, shows mostly the self-absorbed radio jet (Stirling et al. 2001). Indeed, in a set of several MERLIN observations, Fender et al. (2006) were able at only one epoch to pick up the system during a transient. Worth of note, in Cyg X-1 TeV emission was detected (4.0±0.2σ) (Albert et al. 2007) coinciding with a flare seen by RXTE, Swift, and INTEGRAL.

The system LS I +61°303 shows several episodes of a transient jet (e.g. Massi 2014) and emission at TeV energies were in fact detected several times in LS I +61°303 (Archambault et al. 2016, and references therein). The system Cyg X-1 and LS I +61°303 are both high-mass X-ray binaries (HMXBs), suggesting that the stellar wind and/or the radiation field of the companion star play an important role, along with transient jet and Doppler boosting, in producing the TeV high-energy photons. In leptonic models, these photons may be produced by IC scattering of the UV stellar radiation or wind TeV high-energy photons. In hadronic models γ-rays may be produced by the decay of neutral pions associated to the interactions of relativistic protons in the jets with protons of the stellar wind (Romero et al. 2017, and references therein).

The HMXB LSI +61°303 is radio overluminous compared to what is seen in typical black hole low-mass X-ray binaries in their hard states (when the radio spectra are flat) in the same luminosity range. The X-ray luminosity of LS I +61°303, 2.0 × 10^{33} \leq L_X \leq 2.1 \times 10^{34} \text{ erg s}^{-1} (Massi et al. 2017), is nearly at the boundary between the low/hard X-ray state and the quiescent state. The quiescent state, where, for example, is located the only other known microquasar accreting from a Be star, MWC 656 (Dzib, Massi & Jaron 2015), starts in fact at about $L_X \sim 10^{33} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ (McClintock & Remillard 2006). The models of the low/hard state give a geometrically thin, optically thick accretion disc truncated at $R = 100 r_g$ (McClintock & Remillard 2006). Observed oscillations at 2 Hz by Ray & Hartman (2008) imply in LS I +61°303 a larger truncated value, $R = 300 r_g$ (Massi & Zimmermann 2010) in agreement with the low luminosity. Close to the quiescent state, the emission from the electrons of the accretion flow drops to low values and an open issue is if jet electrons contribute to the X-ray emission (Yang et al. 2015; Plotkin et al. 2017) The favourable case of LS I +61°303 is that this jet component can be fact observed, as discussed in Introduction, either with the direct detection in the timing analysis of the precession period of the jet or by observing related phenomena as the orbital shift and the long-term modulation. Indeed, the long-term modulation of the X-ray emission was observed (Chernyakova et al. 2012) and the precession period detected by timing analysis of X-ray observations (D’Ai et al. 2016).

Planning future observations one has to take into account that from the accretion theory the sequence of accretion–ejection along the orbit of LS I +61°303 is a periodic feature, but on the other hand, in the observer frame the variable Doppler boosting induced by jet precession changes the observed emission (Massi & Torricelli-Ciamponi 2014). Observations show that the precession brings the jet close to the line of sight (bottom panel of fig. 10 in Wu et al. 2018), inducing maximum Doppler boosting of the emission (Massi & Torricelli-Ciamponi 2014). The result of beating between precession and periodic ejection (e.g. fig. 4 in Massi & Torricelli-Ciamponi 2014) is that the radio peak does not only change its amplitude along the 1667 d period but also shifts in orbital phase (centre panel of Fig. 1). As shown in the bottom panel of Fig. 1, around the minimum of the 1667 d modulation there is only low broad radio emission formed by several small peaks. Therefore, future observations focused on the study of the accretion–ejection coupling should avoid the minimum of this long-term modulation and observe towards the maximum, as we did in our campaign.
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