Rub and Rinse Contact Lenses Before Wearing as a Protective Regimen Against Contact Lens-Related Eye Infections
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Purpose: Data on contact lens (CL) users in Thailand are limited, and previous reports have mainly focused on young populations. This study aims to determine demographic data, hygienic behaviour, and complications related to the usage of CLs in the general population.

Methods: This was a cross-sectional survey conducted from November 2020 to March 2021 using an anonymous questionnaire. Information on the demographic traits of the participants, characteristics of CL use, and CL care behaviours was collected. The responses were summarised and presented as a total behaviour score. Regression analysis was performed to evaluate the potential risk factors for a history of CL-related eye infections.

Results: A total of 134 CL wearers were recruited. Of all the participants, 83.58% were female and 16.42% were male. The average age was 31.14±10.69 years old. The total behaviour score showed that 62.69% of the population had good CL behaviour, while 37.31% exhibited poor CL behaviour. More than 50% of participants practised appropriate CL handling. Common unfavourable behaviours (>50%) reported were not using CL care solution to clean the storage case, not rubbing and rinsing the lens before storage, exposure to water during wear, use of makeup around the ocular area, and missing annual eye exams. Other less common but important instances of mishandling included using tap water to clean the lenses, topping off old CL solution, and exceeding the recommended planned-replacement period. However, no behaviours were significantly associated with a history of CL-related eye infections. Rubbing and rinsing CLs before wearing them was found to be a protective factor against a history of eye infections.

Conclusion: Rubbing and rinsing CLs before wearing should be mentioned as an additional recommendation for the user.
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Introduction

Contact lenses (CLs) are thin lenses placed directly on the eye’s surface, mainly for correcting refractive errors, cosmetic purposes, and as a therapeutic modality for some corneal pathologies. 1 The number of CL wearers continues to rise globally, both in developed and developing countries. 2 CLs provide a safe and effective way to correct vision. On the other hand, CL wearers may risk eye infections if their lenses are not correctly used, cleansed, disinfected, and stored. 3 There are an estimated 125 million CL wearers around the world, with 6% of them experiencing complications each year. 4 These problems can range from blepharitis, meibomian gland dysfunction, conjunctivitis, to sight-threatening ulcerative keratitis and endophthalmitis. 5

A study of compliance behaviours for CL-related eye infections in the United States reported that 99% of CL wearers engaged in at least one compliance behaviour regarding CL hygiene, and 33% reported having experienced a previous CL-related eye complication requiring a doctor’s visit. The most common poor CL behaviours were CL overuse and...
sleeping with one’s lenses on. Among university students in Thailand, poor CL behaviours were related to purchasing lenses from the internet, wearing them for over one year, and long wear duration (>12 hours). A number of studies provide information on the prevalence of usage, knowledge, and risky behaviours of CL care, especially in young populations. However, there are limited studies about the relationship between the behaviour and compliance of CL users in the general population in Thailand. This study aims to establish the demographic data, compliance, and history of complications related to the usage of CLs in Thailand, and to identify risky behaviours related to a history of eye infections. The results offer practical knowledge and underscore the importance of adherence to good CL routine care, which might be further integrated into public health prevention policies to prevent undesirable events for CL wearers in the future.

Methods
A cross-sectional survey was conducted from November 2020 to March 2021, with all eligible participants recruited consecutively. A recruitment notice was placed at Walailak University Hospital’s Contact Lens Clinic, various institutions in Thailand, and online. Participants who were interested gave internet-based informed consent and completed an online questionnaire using the Google Form platform. All the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki were adhered to, and the research team was granted permission from Walailak University’s Institutional Review Board before the first participant was enrolled (WUEC-20-321-01). Inclusion criteria mandated that the participants have worn their CLs on average at least once weekly in the past month. There was no age limit for this study; however, participants who were younger than 18 needed to give their own consent, as well as obtain permission from their parents or guardians before taking part. Exclusion criteria included those who could not give informed consent. The questionnaire was adapted from the American Academy of Ophthalmology and a European study for CL-related microbial keratitis with permission and consisted of 4 parts. The first part involved demographic information (eg age, gender, education level, objective CL use, and experience wearing CLs). The second part entailed the CL type and details of CL use (eg type of lens materials, type of CL, frequency of wear, cleaning solution, consultation for CL care, and place of purchase). The third and fourth parts consisted of 35 items that asked about the participants’ wear and care behaviours. Answers to the questions in the third and fourth parts were summarised to determine the total behaviour scores. Participants who received a score of ≥ 80% were described as having good behaviour. All the questions in each part are available in the Appendix. Both descriptive and inferential statistics were applied to the survey data. Demographic data were analysed using descriptive analysis, mean ± standard deviation (SD), median, frequency, and percentage as appropriate. Logistic regression analysis was performed to identify any association of the independent categorical variables, including participant demographic data, characteristics of CL use, wear and care behaviour, and total behaviour scores with a history of CL-related eye infections. The factors that showed univariate P value less than 0.2 and the variables that have been reported as a significant factor in previous studies were selected for determination of adjusted odds ratio (AOR) using multivariate method. Analyses were carried out using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, version 23.0 (SPSS, Chicago, IL). P values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results
Demographics and Characteristics of CL Use in the Participants
A total of 134 CL wearers were recruited for this study. Of all the participants, 112 (83.58%) were female and 22 (16.42%) were male. The average age was 31.14±10.69 years old (median: 31.00, range: 15–74 years). A summary of the participants’ demographic data is shown in Table 1. The education level of the population demonstrated that 20.90% had less than college degree, and 79.1% had received college degree education or higher. The main objective of using CLs was mostly to correct refractive errors (94.02%), less than 4.48% for cosmetics, and 1.50% for the treatment of corneal disease. Most of the participants (approximately 70%) had experience wearing CLs for more than a year, 33.58% had worn CLs for 1 to 5 years, and 36.57% had worn CLs for 6 to 10 years. The use of rigid gas-permeable (RGP) CLs and soft CLs were 5.22% and 94.78%, respectively. Soft CLs consisted of 61.42% of CLs for refractive correction, and 38.58% were cosmetic, coloured CLs. All soft CL wearers used either disposable or reusable CLs on a daily basis, or
planned replacements. The most popular replacement plan interval was monthly, utilised by 82.10% of the participants. The daily, weekly, and biweekly replacement plans were minorities. A proportion of 54.48% wore CLs daily. Multipurpose solutions were the most popular method for disinfecting reusable CLs (86.57%), and only 1.49% used a hydrogen peroxide system. Normal saline was used solely as a means to clean the lenses by 11.94% of the population. Regarding patient evaluation and education, most participants received CL handling instructions from opticians in optical shops. However, more than 25% sought information by themselves without any recommendations from eye care professionals. The data are shown in Table 2.

Wear and Care Behaviours
The questions used to determine the participants’ wear and care behaviours are presented in Table 3. The behaviours of CL wearing and hygiene practices were evaluated and scored to classify the participants as having either good or poor behaviour; 84 (62.69%) participants had good behaviour, while 50 (37.31%) had poor behaviour. More than 60% used CLs properly, such as wearing CLs for less than 8 hours a day, always checking the packaging and expiration date, correcting the sides of CLs before putting them in, washing one’s hands with soap before lens handling, and putting CLs in and removing them starting from the same eye. However, part of the population harboured inappropriate behaviours that could contaminate the lens or disinfecting system, such as not washing one’s hands with soap before putting CLs in and taking them out (2.24% and 11.19%, respectively), using a lens that had been dropped (41.04%), sleeping with CLs in (36.57%), sharing CLs with others (1.50%), exceeding the replacement plan (49.25%), applying eye makeup (55.97%), and missing annual eye examinations (76.87%). An evaluation of participants’ CL care behaviour found that more than 50% of them showed good hygiene in handling CLs. Most of them performed the rub and rinse regimen after using their CLs and even before wearing them. Most used an appropriate CL care solution and soaked their CLs in the solution for at least 6 hours. However, some participants had risky behaviours, such as using tap water (8.96%) or

Table 1 Participants’ Demographic Data

| Demographic Data                               | N=134 (%) |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----------|
| Sex                                           |           |
| Female                                        | 112 (83.58) |
| Male                                          | 22 (16.42)  |
| Age (years old)                               |           |
| ≤18                                           | 22 (16.42)  |
| 19–30                                         | 41 (30.60)  |
| 31–40                                         | 51 (38.06)  |
| 41–50                                         | 15 (11.19)  |
| ≥50                                           | 5 (3.73)   |
| Education level                               |           |
| High school or less                           | 14 (10.45) |
| Vocational/High vocational certificate         | 14 (10.45) |
| Graduate                                      | 67 (50.00) |
| Postgraduate                                  | 39 (29.10) |
| Objective                                     |           |
| Refractive errors                             | 126 (94.02) |
| Cosmetic purposes                             | 6 (4.48)   |
| Treatment of corneal disease                  | 2 (1.5)    |
| Lens wear experience                          |           |
| Less than 1 year                              | 16 (11.94) |
| 1–5 years                                     | 45 (33.58) |
| 6–10 years                                    | 49 (36.57) |
| More than 10 years                            | 24 (17.91) |
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normal saline solution (27.61%) to clean the lenses. Apart from CL handling, the storage case was cleaned with a CL cleaning solution (39.55%); fresh CL solution was always used each time (90.30%), and the case was replaced at least every 3 months (95.52%). As for the CL solution, the caps were always closed after use (64.18%), the CLs were renewed within 3 months (91.04%), and participants did not top off the old cleaning solution (72.39%).

### Risk Factors and CL Wearer Characteristics Associated with a History of CL-Related Ocular Infections

Univariate analysis was performed to determine the significance of the association between the participants’ demographics, wear and care behaviours, and a history of CL-related eye infections. The data are displayed in Table 4. The demographic information of CL wearers regarding gender, age, and education level did not show a significant association with a history of eye infections. The number of participants with a history of infections was nearly similar among those

#### Table 2 Types and Details of CLs Used

| Details of CLs Used                  | N=134 (%) |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|
| **Type of lens material**           |           |
| Rigid gas-permeable (RGP) lens      | 7 (5.22)  |
| Soft CLs                            | 127 (94.78) |
| -Contact lenses for refractive correction | 78 (61.42) |
| -Cosmetic CLs                       | 49 (38.58) |
| **Replacement plan**                |           |
| Daily                               | 19 (14.18) |
| Weekly                              | 1 (0.75)   |
| Biweekly                            | 4 (2.98)   |
| Monthly                             | 110 (82.10) |
| **Frequency of wear in one week**   |           |
| 1–3 days                            | 19 (14.18) |
| 4–6 days                            | 42 (31.34) |
| Every day                           | 73 (54.48) |
| **Cleaning solution**               |           |
| Multipurpose solution               | 116 (86.57) |
| Hydrogen peroxide system            | 2 (1.49)   |
| Normal saline solution              | 16 (11.94) |
| None                                | 0 (0)      |
| **Whom did you consult when you first started using CLs?** |    |
| Ophthalmologist                     | 29 (21.64) |
| Optometrist                         | 9 (6.72)   |
| Optician                            | 38 (28.36) |
| Pharmacist                          | 4 (2.99)   |
| Friends                             | 9 (6.72)   |
| None                                | 45 (33.69) |
| **Did you receive any instructions about lens care and hygiene?** |    |
| No                                  | 35 (26.12) |
| Yes                                 | 99 (73.88) |
| **Who explained to you how to put on/remove the lenses and lens care and hygiene?** |    |
| Ophthalmologist                     | 31 (23.13) |
| Optometrist                         | 8 (5.97)   |
| Optician                            | 42 (31.34) |
| Pharmacist                          | 5 (3.73)   |
| Friends                             | 12 (8.96)  |
| None                                | 36 (26.87) |
### Table 3 CL Users’ Wear and Care Behaviours

| Duration of wear | N=134 (%) |
|------------------|-----------|
| Less than 8 hours | 70 (52.24) |
| More than 8 hours| 64 (47.76) |

| Always check the expiration date and integrity of packaging before use | N=134 (%) |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| No                                                          | 16 (11.94) |
| Yes                                                         | 118 (88.06) |

| Check for the correct side (inside-outside) before use | N=134 (%) |
|--------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| No                                                      | 10 (7.46) |
| Yes                                                     | 124 (92.54) |

| Hand washing before putting the CLs in                  | N=134 (%) |
|--------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| With water only                                        | 40 (29.85) |
| With soap                                              | 91 (67.91) |
| Not performed                                          | 3 (2.24) |

| Routine before putting the CLs in                       | N=134 (%) |
|--------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| Rub the lenses                                         | 5 (3.73) |
| Rinse the lenses                                       | 47 (35.07) |
| Rub and rinse the lenses                                | 55 (41.04) |
| No management                                          | 27 (20.15) |

| Hand washing before CL removal                         | N=134 (%) |
|--------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| With water only                                        | 35 (26.12) |
| With soap                                              | 84 (62.69) |
| Not performed                                          | 15 (11.19) |

| Routine after CL removal                               | N=134 (%) |
|--------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| Rub the lenses                                         | 5 (3.73) |
| Rinse the lenses                                       | 34 (25.37) |
| Rub and rinse the lenses                                | 56 (41.79) |
| No management                                          | 39 (29.10) |

| Products used to clean the CLs                         | N=134 (%) |
|--------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| CL cleaning solution                                   | 84 (62.69) |
| Normal saline solution                                 | 37 (27.61) |
| Tap water                                              | 12 (8.96) |
| Saliva                                                  | 1 (0.75) |

| Continued using a lens that had been dropped           | N=134 (%) |
|--------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| No                                                      | 79 (58.96) |
| Yes                                                     | 55 (41.04) |

| Start inserting and removing the lens from the same eye| N=134 (%) |
|--------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| No                                                      | 33 (24.62) |
| Yes                                                     | 101 (75.37) |

| Soaking CLs in the cleaning solution for ≥ 6 hours before reuse | N=134 (%) |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| No                                                            | 14 (10.45) |
| Yes                                                           | 120 (89.55) |

| Fill CL case with fresh CL solution every day               | N=134 (%) |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| No                                                           | 13 (9.70) |
| Yes                                                          | 121 (90.30) |

| Topping off the old cleaning solution                      | N=134 (%) |
|------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| No                                                         | 97 (72.39) |
| Yes                                                        | 37 (27.61) |

| Always close the cap of the cleaning solution tightly after use | N=134 (%) |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| No                                                            | 48 (35.80) |
| Yes                                                           | 86 (64.18) |

(Continued)
Table 3 (Continued).

| CL Users’ Wear and Care Behaviours | N=134 (%) |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|
| Always close the CL case tightly after use |  |
| No | 9 (6.75) |
| Yes | 125 (93.28) |
| Keep using the same bottle of cleaning solution for more than 3 months |  |
| No | 122 (91.04) |
| Yes | 12 (8.96) |
| Keep using the same case for more than 3 months |  |
| No | 128 (95.52) |
| Yes | 6 (4.48) |
| Clean the CL case with |  |
| Water only | 49 (36.57) |
| Water and soap | 19 (14.18) |
| With CL solution | 53 (39.55) |
| Not performed | 8 (5.97) |
| Other | 5 (3.73) |
| Dry the CL case |  |
| No | 49 (36.57) |
| Yes | 85 (63.43) |
| Clean the CL case daily |  |
| No | 69 (51.49) |
| Yes | 65 (48.51) |
| Source of CL purchase |  |
| Hospital | 4 (2.99) |
| Private ophthalmology practice | 8 (5.97) |
| Pharmacy | 4 (2.99) |
| Optical store | 81 (60.45) |
| Internet | 25 (18.66) |
| General store | 10 (7.46) |
| Flea market | 2 (1.49) |
| Source of disinfecting solution purchase |  |
| Hospital | 3 (2.24) |
| Private ophthalmology practice | 4 (2.99) |
| Pharmacy | 40 (29.85) |
| Optical store | 57 (42.54) |
| Internet | 2 (1.49) |
| General store | 25 (18.66) |
| Flea market | 1 (0.75) |
| None | 2 (1.49) |
| Symptoms associated with CL wear |  |
| Dryness | 53 (39.55) |
| Grittiness | 10 (7.46) |
| Tearing | 34 (25.37) |
| Redness | 17 (12.69) |
| Itchiness | 2 (1.49) |
| Blurry vision | 2 (1.49) |
| Discharge | 5 (3.73) |
| None | 11 (8.21) |

(Continued)
Table 3 (Continued).

| CL Users’ Wear and Care Behaviours | N=134 (%) |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|
| **What was your management when you experienced eye discomfort during CL wear?** |          |
| Consultation                      | 8 (5.97)  |
| Removing the lenses               | 74 (55.22)|
| Self-treatment with antibiotics   | 2 (1.49)  |
| Use of eye drops                  | 34 (25.37)|
| No treatment                      | 15 (11.19)|
| Other                             | 1 (0.75)  |
| **History of eye infections associated with CL wear** |          |
| Yes                               | 29 (21.64)|
| - Keratitis                       | 8 (5.97)  |
| - Conjunctivitis                  | 15 (11.19)|
| - Blepharitis or hordeolum        | 6 (4.48)  |
| None                              | 105 (78.36)|
| **Annual eye check-up with ophthalmologist** |          |
| No                                | 103 (76.87)|
| Yes                               | 31 (23.13)|
| **Sleeping with CLs in** |          |
| No                                | 85 (63.43)|
| Yes                               | 49 (36.57)|
| **Sharing CLs with others**       |          |
| No                                | 132 (98.50)|
| Yes                               | 2 (1.50)  |
| **Exceed the recommended planned replacement period** |          |
| No                                | 68 (50.75)|
| Yes                               | 66 (49.25)|
| **Using expired CL solutions (opened for more than 3 months)** |          |
| No                                | 109 (81.34)|
| Yes                               | 25 (18.66)|
| **Exposure to water during CL wear** |          |
| No                                | 29 (21.64)|
| Yes                               | 105 (78.36)|
| **The use of eye drops with CLs** |          |
| No                                | 52 (38.80)|
| Yes                               | 82 (61.20)|
| - Artificial tears                | 80 (59.71)|
| - Antibiotics                     | 2 (1.50)  |
| **First or second-hand smoker**   |          |
| No                                | 116 (86.57)|
| Yes                               | 18 (13.43)|
| **Use of makeup close to the eye** |          |
| No                                | 59 (44.03)|
| Yes                               | 75 (55.97)|
| **Time spent working on a terminal screen per day** |          |
| Less than 12 hours                | 84 (62.69)|
| More than 12 hours                | 50 (37.31)|
| **Exposure to an air-conditioned environment** |          |
| Less than 12 hours                | 104 (77.61)|
| More than 12 hours                | 30 (22.39)|
who wore CLs for more than 8 hours and ≤ 8 hours, without any significance. From univariate analysis, rubbing and rinsing CLs before putting them in was found to be significantly associated with less of a history of eye infections (P=0.010). Factors with P values less than 0.2 were further selected for multivariate analysis, those included education level, soaking CLs in CL cleaning solution at least 6 hours per day, rubbing and rinsing CLs before putting in, and total score of wear and care behaviours. Additionally, risky behaviours such as sleeping with one’s CLs on, showering during CL wear, applying eye makeup, exceeding the recommended planned replacement period, and soaking CLs with cleaning solution at less than 6 hours.

Table 4 Association Between Demographic Data, Wear and Care Behaviours, and History of CL-Related Ocular Infections

| Covariates                                        | History of CL-Related Ocular Infections | Univariate Analysis | Multivariate Analysis |
|---------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
|                                                   | Yes | No | OR  | P value | AOR  | P value |
| Gender                                            |     |    |     |         |      |         |
| Male                                              | 7   | 15 | 1   |         | 1    |         |
| Female                                            | 22  | 90 | 0.524 | 0.210  | 0.323 | 0.105  |
| Age                                               |     |    |     |         |      |         |
| ≤ 20 years-old                                    | 2   | 24 | 1   |         | 1    |         |
| 20–40 years-old                                   | 23  | 65 | 4.264 | 0.062  | 2.011 | 0.527  |
| >40 years-old                                     | 4   | 16 | 3.000 | 0.235  | 1.835 | 0.620  |
| Education                                         |     |    |     |         |      |         |
| Undergraduate degree                              | 3   | 26 | 1   |         | 1    |         |
| ≥ Graduate degree                                 | 26  | 79 | 2.852 | 0.107  | 3.552 | 0.182  |
| Duration of wear                                  |     |    |     |         |      |         |
| <8 hours                                          | 15  | 55 | 1   |         | 1    |         |
| ≥8 hours                                          | 14  | 50 | 1.027 | 0.950  | 0.706 | 0.516  |
| Annual eye check-up with ophthalmologist          |     |    |     |         |      |         |
| No                                                | 24  | 79 | 1   |         | 1    |         |
| Yes                                               | 5   | 26 | 0.633 | 0.398  | 0.542 | 0.329  |
| Sleeping with CLs in                              |     |    |     |         |      |         |
| No                                                | 16  | 69 | 1   |         | 1    |         |
| Yes                                               | 13  | 36 | 1.557 | 0.299  | 1.762 | 0.289  |
| Shower during CL wear                             |     |    |     |         |      |         |
| No                                                | 13  | 41 | 1   |         | 1    |         |
| Yes                                               | 16  | 64 | 0.788 | 0.575  | 0.058 | 0.331  |
| Use of eye makeup                                 |     |    |     |         |      |         |
| No                                                | 12  | 47 | 1   |         | 1    |         |
| Yes                                               | 17  | 58 | 1.148 | 0.745  | 1.469 | 0.486  |
| Exceed the CL recommended planned replacement period |     |    |     |         |      |         |
| No                                                | 17  | 51 | 1   |         | 1    |         |
| Yes                                               | 12  | 54 | 0.667 | 0.340  | 0.519 | 0.220  |
| Soaking CL with cleaning solution at less 6 hour  |     |    |     |         |      |         |
| No                                                | 3   | 11 | 1   |         | 1    |         |
| Yes                                               | 26  | 94 | 1.014 | 0.984  | 1.024 | 0.977  |
| Rub and rinse CL with cleaning solution before putting in |     |    |     |         |      |         |
| No                                                | 24  | 55 | 1   |         | 1    |         |
| Yes                                               | 5   | 50 | 0.229 | 0.005* | 0.133 | 0.001* |
| Rub and rinse CL with cleaning solution after taking off |     |    |     |         |      |         |
| No                                                | 17  | 61 | 1   |         | 1    |         |
| Yes                                               | 12  | 44 | 0.979 | 0.959  | 2.153 | 0.158  |
| Total of wear and care behaviour score            |     |    |     |         |      |         |
| Good                                              | 18  | 69 | 1   |         | 1    |         |
| Poor                                              | 11  | 36 | 1.171 | 0.716  | 2.021 | 0.234  |

Notes: *P value ≤0.05 demonstrated the significance of regression analysis. Odds ratios represent the odds of reporting a more severe response on the scale, and in turn greater difficulty with the task, with a one-unit increase in the independent variable.
solution for less than 6 hours, presenting no statistical association with infections. The participants’ total behaviour scores were evaluated; participants with either good or poor behaviour showed no significant difference regarding a history of eye infections, with 18 out of 84 (21.42%) and 11 out of 50 (22.00%) cases per group, respectively.

**Discussion**

Poor CL compliance could result in CL-related complications that can range from mild to sight-threatening conditions. Age, gender, and education have influencing factors on CL compliance.\(^{12-15}\) Age has been reported as a factor for CL-related complications. A recent study from a tertiary hospital in China found corneal infection or inflammation to be associated with young age.\(^{7}\) Similar findings were discovered in a population in the United States, suggesting that younger CL wearers are less compliant with CL hygiene in replacing lenses and cases at intervals longer than recommended.\(^{16}\) Another report from a North American population revealed that CL-related complications were most prevalent in individuals between 15 and 25 years old.\(^{17}\) In Thailand, where this study took place, most reports focus on young populations, indicating improper CL hygiene, such as lens overuse, not replacing fresh cleaning solution in storage cases, swimming while wearing CLs, using tap water to clean the lenses, and not performing hand washing before lens handling.\(^{18}\) To determine the difference between the behaviour of the young and adult groups, we performed research in diverse settings to better represent general CL users in Thailand, resulting in a wide population age range from 15 to 74. Nonetheless, age was not found to be a risk factor for a history of eye infections.

In agreement with previous studies, CL wearers were predominantly female.\(^{12,19}\) Although findings from Saudi Arabia and India imply that the most common reason in females for choosing CLs is cosmetic purposes,\(^{20,21}\) the majority of females in the present study wore CLs to correct refractive errors. Females were previously found to be less likely to follow the replacement schedule;\(^{22}\) however, this outcome was not established in our study. Additionally, no significant association between sex and history of ocular infections was detected. The type of CL and behaviour were investigated to understand users’ preferences, which could suggest proper CL care and hygiene practices. The majority of CLs in the present study were monthly soft CLs, leaving RGP CLs for a minority of approximately 5%. The popularity of soft CLs was mentioned in 2020 market research from Contact Lens Spectrum, where soft lenses account for nearly 90% of lens fits in the market, with silicone hydrogels predominating. Reusable lenses were more commonly used and prescribed than daily disposable lenses.\(^{23}\) As long as the prescribing trend still favours reusable CLs, the risk for microbial accumulation and eye infections should always be considered. In addition, the hydrophilic properties of soft CL materials, such as hydroxyethyl methacrylate and silicone, have been recognized as the most susceptible to bacterial adhesion and may resist disinfectants, such as biofilm formation by *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*.\(^{24}\) Reusable lenses require care using disinfecting solutions, daily cleaning regimens, and storage cases, in which contamination can lead to serious microbial infections in the eyes.

Focusing on CL wear and care behaviour, although most participants reported good CL care behaviour (62.69%), a large proportion of the population was confused about the steps of the CL care regimen. Most eye care professionals recommend washing, rubbing, and rinsing CLs with CL care solution immediately after taking them off and before submerging them in disinfecting solution. Most participants performed some kind of CL cleaning (rub, rinse, or rub and rinse the CLs with cleaning solution) before putting the CLs in. Paradoxically, fewer people performed such routines after taking off the CLs, and less than half of participants performed both rubbing and rinsing their CLs. This reflects the awareness of CL wearers of the CL cleaning and disinfecting process, despite inadequate knowledge. Interestingly, the practice of cleaning CLs before wearing them is the only behaviour demonstrated as a protective factor against eye infections. The actual impact of this regimen needs more clarification through research in microbiology, aiming to specifically answer this question. Should the action provide true benefit against eye infections, implementation should be encouraged. Most of the participants used CL cleaning solution and normal saline solution to clean their CLs; however, there were 12 participants and 1 participant who used tap water and saliva to clean their lenses, respectively. Using tap water led to more risk for eye infections and microbial keratitis caused by bacterial species and *Acanthamoeba spp.*, since microorganisms from environmental contaminants may adhere to the surface of CLs.\(^{25}\) Another risk was noted: Half of the participants overserved the recommended planned replacement period. Exceeding the lens’s planned-replacement schedule was reported to be associated with a large number of eye complications.\(^{26}\)
Poor behaviours may be influenced by a lack of patient education and an understanding of possible complications. Since the distribution of CLs in Thailand is not regulated, it is unnecessary to consult a professional for CL fitting; thus, people receive no professional advice at the beginning. Forty percent of the studied population did not have their CLs prescribed by an eye care professional. Roughly a quarter bought their CLs from unreliable sources such as from the internet, general stores, and flea markets. Most participants did not have their eyes checked annually, but this number still reflected a healthier estimate than that of university students from a previous study in Thailand. Annual eye examinations can detect complications such as dry eye, conjunctivitis, and corneal neovascularisation early, and provide opportunities for ophthalmologists or optometrists to re-evaluate and emphasise good CL hygiene. Thus, CL wearers should be encouraged to check their eyes on a regular basis.

Conclusion
The observed undesirable habits, such as topping off old cleaning solution, inadequate or lack of hand washing, using lenses that have been dropped, failing to clean the CL case daily, exposure to potentially contaminated water, and overusing CLs, although were not found to be statistically associated with a history of eye infections, should still be discouraged. A finding of protective effect from cleaning CLs by rubbing and rinsing before wearing them should be mentioned as an additional recommendation for the user.

Abbreviations
AOR, adjusted odds ratio; CI, Confidence interval; CL, Contact lenses; e.g., For example; IRB, Institutional review board; N, Number; OR, odd ratio; RPG, Rigid gas permeable; SD, Standard deviation.
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