Harms and benefits of sodium-glucose co-transporter 2 inhibitors

SUMMARY
Sodium-glucose co-transporter 2 inhibitors are oral glucose-lowering drugs that increase the urinary excretion of glucose. In patients with type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular disease they reduce all-cause mortality, cardiac mortality, rates of hospitalisation for heart failure and the progression of renal disease.

There are adverse effects related to the mechanism of action. These include polyuria and intravascular volume depletion from osmotic diuresis, and genitourinary infections from glycosuria. Ketoacidosis is a rare adverse effect.

The glucose-lowering efficacy of sodium-glucose co-transporter 2 inhibitors decreases with increasing renal impairment.

Introduction
The Australian Therapeutic Goods Administration (TGA) first approved sodium-glucose co-transporter 2 (SGLT2) inhibitors in 2013. There are now three SGLT2 inhibitors listed on the Pharmaceutical Benefits Scheme (PBS). They are available individually or in combination with other drugs, such as metformin (Table).

Mechanism of action
Each day the kidneys normally filter about 180 g of glucose, but over 90% is reabsorbed in the proximal renal tubule. This reabsorption is facilitated by SGLT2. Inhibiting this transporter reduces the renal threshold for glucose excretion, causing glycosuria.

SGLT2 inhibitors have a glucose-lowering effect which is independent of the insulin concentration or insulin resistance. They also have a diuretic effect. As there is a caloric loss of glucose in the urine, the drugs cause a small amount of weight loss.

The glucose-lowering effect depends on functioning renal tubules, so the efficacy of SGLT2 inhibitors reduces with increasing renal impairment. According to the product information, all three PBS-subsidised SGLT2 inhibitors are contraindicated when the estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) is persistently below 45 mL/min/1.73 m². This may change in the future as recent studies have shown benefits in patients with a lower eGFR.1-3

SGLT2 inhibitors increase ketone concentrations and ketone production. The precise mechanism is unclear. It may be due to an increase in the glucagon:insulin ratio leading to lipolysis, proteolysis, gluconeogenesis and ketone formation as well as modest intravascular volume contraction and increased renal reabsorption of ketones.4

Benefits
The glucose-lowering effect of SGLT2 inhibitors is comparable to that of other oral drugs for diabetes. Glycated haemoglobin (HbA1c) is reduced by 0.5–1% compared to placebo.5,6 Greater HbA1c reductions are seen in patients with higher baseline HbA1c concentrations.7

SGLT2 inhibitors do not usually cause hypoglycaemia except when taken with insulin or sulfonylureas.8 Caloric loss from glycosuria leads to a mean weight loss of 2.5 kg at one year.5 SGLT2 inhibitors have a small but favourable effect on blood pressure. On average they lower systolic pressure by 4 mmHg and diastolic pressure by 1.6 mmHg.9
Empagliflozin was studied in the EMPA-REG OUTCOME trial. This randomised controlled trial included over 7000 patients with type 2 diabetes and established cardiovascular disease. Those treated with empagliflozin had significantly lower rates of death from cardiovascular causes (38% relative risk reduction). This was a surprise finding of the trial which had been designed to show a lack of cardiovascular harm. A meta-analysis of the three major cardiovascular outcome trials of empagliflozin, canagliflozin and dapagliflozin found a 15% relative risk reduction in all-cause mortality and a 30% relative risk reduction in hospitalisation for heart failure. However, the recently reported cardiovascular outcomes trial of ertugliflozin (VERTIS CV) failed to show a benefit above placebo with no significant reduction in the combined primary outcome of cardiovascular death, non-fatal myocardial infarction or non-fatal stroke. This does therefore question whether the cardiovascular benefits are a whole-of-class effect. The SGLT2 inhibitors are beneficial in mild to moderate renal disease. While a transient decrease in eGFR can occur at the start of treatment this is not progressive. It is similar to the decreased eGFR seen when starting an ACE inhibitor. In patients with an eGFR close to 45 mL/min/1.73 m² a drop to below 45 mL/min/1.73 m² may be seen, however this is anticipated and not a reason to discontinue therapy. In the long term SGLT2 inhibitors are renoprotective, with a 45% relative risk reduction in the progression of renal disease (worsening eGFR, end-stage renal disease or renal death) compared to placebo.

**Adverse effects**

Some of the adverse effects can be predicted from the mechanisms of action of the SGLT2 inhibitors.

**Genitourinary infections**

SGLT2 inhibitors are associated with 3–5-fold increased risk of fungal genital infections (such as candidiasis). The infections occur more commonly in women and are generally mild. They may be treated with antifungal therapy and usually do not require the SGLT2 inhibitor to be stopped. Patients at higher risk include those with previous genital candidiasis and uncircumcised men.

Some studies have found an association with urinary tract infections. However, recent meta-analyses have not found a relationship between infections and SGLT2 inhibitors, except for dapagliflozin.

Nonetheless, there have been postmarketing reports of pyelonephritis and complicated urinary tract infections in patients taking SGLT2 inhibitors. There have been case reports and case series of necrotising fasciitis of the perineum (also known as Fournier’s gangrene) associated with SGLT2 inhibitors. However, in the dapagliflozin and cardiovascular outcomes in type 2 diabetes trial (DECLARE-TIMI 58) involving 17,160 patients there were five cases of Fournier’s gangrene in the placebo group and only one in the dapagliflozin group. Furthermore, a meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials with over 69,000 patients in total found no increase in rates of Fournier’s gangrene. Due to the small number of total events, this meta-analysis was unable to completely exclude an increased risk.

**Volume depletion**

SGLT2 inhibitors are associated with a small increase in adverse effects related to intravascular volume depletion, such as hypotension, syncope and dehydration. In euvolaemic patients consider reducing the dose of any diuretics to avoid further volume depletion. SGLT2 inhibitors should be withheld when a patient is at risk of dehydration, such as during an episode of gastroenteritis, when systemically unwell and around medical and surgical procedures.

**Ketoacidosis**

SGLT2 inhibitors have been associated with an increased risk of diabetic ketoacidosis. A South Australian case series identified 13 cases of diabetic ketoacidosis over a 15-month period. Precipitants included missed insulin, undiagnosed type 1 diabetes, infection, fasting, and low-carbohydrate diets. A Victorian retrospective study also found an increased risk of diabetic ketoacidosis associated with SGLT2 inhibitors (odds ratio 1.48). Hospital inpatients had a markedly increased risk of developing diabetic ketoacidosis (odds ratio 37.4).

Diabetic ketoacidosis in patients taking SGLT2 inhibitors can present with normal or only mildly elevated glucose concentrations. This is due to the ongoing SGLT2 inhibitor-induced glycosuria. It is therefore prudent to test for ketones in any unwell patient taking an SGLT2 inhibitor regardless of their blood glucose concentration.

The Australian Diabetes Society has published recommendations based on expert opinion to try to reduce the risk of perioperative diabetic ketoacidosis. Recommendations include withholding SGLT2 inhibitors for three days before major surgical procedures and not restarting them until the patient is eating and drinking.

**Amputations**

An approximately twofold increased risk of lower limb amputations was observed with canagliflozin in the CANVAS trial. However, a second large randomised controlled trial of canagliflozin (CREDENCE) and a
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meta-analysis of four observational databases did not find a significantly increased risk. Higher rates of lower limb amputations were not seen in the EMPA-REG OUTCOME or DECLARE-TIMI 58 trials. An analysis of reports to the World Health Organization suggests an increased risk of lower limb amputations with canagliflozin, empagliflozin and dapagliflozin. However, these results may have been confounded by reporting bias.

Fractures
Current data are inconclusive regarding SGLT2 inhibitors and fracture risk. In one study, canagliflozin was associated with decreased bone mineral density at the hip after two years of treatment. The CANVAS trial found an increased relative risk of fractures (hazard ratio 1.26) with canagliflozin. However, a meta-analysis of 38 randomised controlled trials did not find an overall increased risk of fractures with SGLT2 inhibitors. Most of these studies had follow-up periods of less than three years and further long-term studies are needed.

Acute kidney injury
A meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials found that SGLT2 inhibitors are associated with reduced rates of acute kidney injury, however there are numerous case reports of acute kidney injury occurring shortly after starting treatment. A transient decrease in eGFR may be seen after starting an SGLT2 inhibitor, but this does not usually progress.

Emerging indications
Currently, SGLT2 inhibitors are not approved by the TGA for patients without type 2 diabetes, but other indications are being studied.

Heart failure in patients without diabetes
The dapagliflozin heart failure randomised controlled trial (DAPA-HF) studied 4744 patients with heart failure and an ejection fraction less than 40%. They were on optimal treatment for heart failure and did not have diabetes. Compared with placebo, there was a 26% relative risk reduction in worsening heart failure or cardiovascular death with dapagliflozin. There was no significant difference in adverse effects.

Ongoing studies of empagliflozin and dapagliflozin in patients with heart failure with preserved and reduced ejection fraction (EMPEROR-Reduced, EMPEROR-Preserved and DELIVER) will add to the evidence in this area.

Type 1 diabetes
Due to their non-insulin mediated mechanism of glycaemic control, there has been interest in using SGLT2 inhibitors for patients with type 1 diabetes. There are several trials in type 1 diabetes but they are of short duration (maximum 52 weeks). A small decrease in HbA1c is seen (on average 0.2–0.45%) but at the cost of a 2–3-fold increase in diabetic ketoacidosis.

Dapagliflozin was approved in early 2019 by the European Medicines Agency for patients with type 1 diabetes who are overweight. However, the US Food and Drug Administration voted against approving empagliflozin.

The SGLT2 inhibitors are not approved in Australia for type 1 diabetes. Any off-label use should only be considered by diabetes specialists and their patients with a clear plan to reduce the risk of diabetic ketoacidosis, for example by ketone monitoring.
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