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Abstract

Objectives: The irinotecan (CPT-11) + 5-fluorouracil (5-FU)/leucovorin (LV) + UFT/LV chemotherapy, in which repetitive oral administration of UFT/LV replaces the infusion of 5-FU/LV in the FOLFIRI regimen, has been proposed previously. In this study, five of 10 patients were injected with a bolus of 5-FU and the other were not injected with it in order to examine the effect of omitting it in terms of pharmacokinetics of 5-FU.

Methods: The treatment consisted of the intravenous infusions of CPT-11 at 100 mg/m² and LV at 15 mg/m², and the injection of a bolus of 5-FU at 500 mg/m² on day 1, and the repetitive oral administration of UFT/LV (300 mg/m²/day as tegafur + 75 mg/day of LV) on days 1-5. A total of 13 measurements of the plasma concentrations of uracil, 5-FU and tegafur were made per patient within 48 hr after the start of chemotherapy and the value of area under the concentration-time curve (AUC₀₋₄₈) was evaluated. The plasma concentration was also determined at 2 weeks to assess long-term exposure to 5-FU.

Results: The plasma concentrations of 5-FU at 24 hr after the start of treatment were 27.4 ng/mL and 9.4 ng/mL in the patients with and without the bolus injection, respectively. At 48 hr, they were 31.3 ng/mL and 10.4 ng/mL with the AUC₀₋₄₈ values of 22.16 mg*h/L and 0.65 mg*h/L, respectively. The 5-FU was detected in the plasma at 226 hr after the last administration of UFT/LV for the patients with the bolus injection, but not for those without.

Conclusion: A bolus of 5-FU on day 1 provided long-term exposure to 5-FU.
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Introduction

The treatment of metastatic colorectal cancer has progressed significantly after developments of irinotecan (CPT-11) and oxaliplatin (L-OHP). Currently, the FOLFIRI or FOLFOX regimen with or without a
targeted monoclonal antibody, is the standard treatment [1-4], and future improvements will likely require the incorporation of or substitution with a novel anticancer drug, personalization based on genetic profiling, or pharmacokinetically-guided administration.

The oral fluoropyrimidine UFT and capcitabine have been developed to improve tolerability and patient convenience, and have replaced continuous infusion of 5-FU in many treatment regimens [5]. UFT is a combination of tegafur, an oral prodrug of 5-FU, and uracil in a molar ratio of 1: 4. Tegafur produces a constant reserve of 5-FU and its active metabolites, and provides pharmacokinetics equivalent to the constant infusion of 5-FU. Uracil is an endogenous substrate for dihydroxymidinide dehydrogenase, the main enzyme responsible for the degradation of 5-FU, and enhances the anticancer effects of 5-FU. In reports published in 2002, UFT with LV proved comparable with bolus 5-FU/LV-based regimens in two multicenter, randomized phase III trials [6,7]. Subsequently, a number of phase II clinical trials have demonstrated the efficacy and tolerability of UFT in combination with CPT-11 or L-OHP in the first-line treatment of metastatic colorectal cancer [8-14]. The novel regimens have been referred to as TEGAFIRI and TEGAFOX, respectively.

Previously, the phase I study on the CPT-11 + 5-FU/LV + UFT/LV chemotherapy, in which repetitive oral administration of UFT/LV (300 mg/m²/day as tegafur + 75 mg/day of LV) replaces the infusion of 5-FU/LV (2,400 mg/m²/46h of 5-FU + 400 mg/m²/2h of LV) in the FOLFIRI regimen, has been conducted in the patients with advanced colorectal cancer [15]. The FOLFIRI regimen consists of a bolus injection of 5-FU, CPT-11 and infusion of 5-FU/LV, and recently critical evaluations have been performed with regard to the necessity of a bolus injection of 5-FU [1-4]. In this study, the effect of a bolus of 5-FU on its steady-state pharmacokinetics was examined in the CPT-11 + 5-FU/LV + UFT/LV chemotherapy.

**Patients and Methods**

**Eligibility**

Ten patients were enrolled from September 2004 to May 2006 in this pharmacokinetic study. All patients had histologically or cytologically confirmed advanced or metastatic colorectal adenocarcinoma. Patients had received no prior chemotherapy or only one regimen of previous chemotherapy (with a washout period of more than 4 weeks after the final day of the previous treatment). Adjuvant chemotherapy performed more than 6 months previously was not counted as previous treatment. Further eligibility criteria included: 1) age of 20-75 years; 2) Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) performance status of 0 or 1; 3) life expectancy of 3 months or more; 4) adequate hematological (absolute leukocyte count: 4,000/mm³-12,000/mm³, neutrophil count: 2,000/mm³ or more, platelets: 100,000/mm³ or more), hepatic (transaminases: 2.5 times or less of the upper limit of normal, serum bilirubin: 2.0 mg/dL or less) and renal (serum creatinine: less than the upper limit of normal) function; and 5) ability to take oral medication. Patients were excluded, if they had either brain metastases, a history of other neoplasms (except cured nonmelanoma skin carcinoma or cured carcinoma *in situ*), a history of severe drug allergy, interstitial pneumonitis or pulmonary fibrosis, severe pleural effusion or ascites, active infection, bowel obstruction, diarrhea, and serious uncontrolled comorbidity or medical conditions. Pregnant or lactating women or women not using an effective contraception were also excluded. This study was conducted at Kobe University Hospital, Japan. The institutional review board of the institute reviewed and approved the protocol before commencement of the study. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients in advance.

**CPT-11 + 5-FU/LV + UFT/LV chemotherapy**

The protocol is presented in Figure 1. The treatment consisted of the intravenous infusion of CPT-11 at 100 mg/m² for 90 min, the subsequent infusion of l-LV at 15 mg/m² for 30 min, and the injection of a bolus of 5-FU at 500 mg/m² immediately after completion of the l-LV infusion on day 1, and the repetitive oral administration of UFT/LV (300 mg/m²/day as tegafur + 75 mg/day of LV) on days 1-5. Five of 10 patients were not injected with a bolus of 5-FU, resulting in the reduction of dose (equivalent to 5-FU) from 1474.8 mg/m² to 974.8 mg/m². The daily dosage of UFT/LV was divided into 3 doses and administered at either 1 hr before or 1 hr after food intake. The first dose of UFT/LV was given at 9:00 on day 1, 30 min before the infusion of CPT-11, and the second and third doses were administered at 17:00 and 23:00, respectively. It is noted that previously conducted phase I study resulted in the recommendation of 7 days treatment for repetitive oral administration of UFT/LV and a dose of 150 mg/m² for CPT-11 [15].
Determination of Plasma Concentration of 5-FU

Aliquots of blood (5 mL) were collected into ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid-treated tubes at 9:00 (prior to the 1st oral UFT/LV), 9:30, 10:00, 11:00, 11:30, 11:45, 12:00, 12:30, 13:00, 17:00 (prior to the 2nd oral UFT/LV) and 19:00 on day 1, at 9:00 on days 2, 3 and 15. The last sampling point was 226 hr after the final administration of UFT/LV. The plasma concentrations of uracil, 5-FU and tegafur were determined by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC), under conditions modified from the report by Chu et al [16]. To 100 μL of plasma were added 200 μL of internal standard solution, 1.0 μg/mL of 5-bromouracil in 50 mM phosphate buffer (pH 2.5) and 750 μL of ethylacetate, and the mixture was centrifuged at 3,000 rpm for 10 sec. The extraction by ethylacetate was repeated 3 times, and the ethylacetate layers collected were evaporated under a stream of nitrogen gas. The residue was solved in 200 μL of 50 mM phosphate buffer (pH 2.5), and injected into a HPLC system after filtration through a 0.45-μm membrane filter (Millipore Corp., MA, USA). The HPLC system (LC-20A, Shimadzu Corp., Kyoto, Japan) was equipped with a variable-wavelength UV detector (SPD-20A, Shimadzu), adjusted to 260 nm, and an analytic C18 reverse-phase column (CHEMOCOSORB 5-ODS-H, 4.6 mm x 25 cm, Chemco Scientific Co., Ltd., Osaka, Japan). The mobile phases were 10 mM phosphate buffer (pH 2.5) (A) and methanol (B), and the gradient program was set as follows: A: B = 100%: 0% at 0 min, 99%: 1% at 7 min, 90%: 10% at 20 min, 90%: 10% at 28 min, 100%: 0% at 29 min and 100%: 0% at 40 min. The calibration lines were constructed for each assay using standard solutions and based on the peak height ratio to 5-bromouracil. The calibration lines were satisfactory for exminating the concentrations, with minimum within day or day-to-day variations. The 5-FU concentrations were validated by another method presented in other papers, and it was confirmed that this method provided the same values with high accuracy and precision [17-19].

Data Analysis and Statistics

All values reported are the mean±standard deviation (SD). Area under the plasma concentration-time curve (AUC) was calculated using the linear trapezoidal rule. The unpaired Student’s t-test/Welch’s test or Mann-Whitney’s U test was used for two-group comparisons of the concentrations or AUC values. P values of less than 0.05 were considered to be significant.

Results

Table 1 lists the concentrations of 5-FU in plasma of patients with advanced colorectal cancer, who were treated with the CPT-11 + 5-FU/LV + UFT/LV regimen. “Time 0” means 9:00 on day 1, the time of the first oral administration of UFT/LV. The intravenous infusion of CPT-11 at 100 mg/m² was administered from Time = 0.5 hr to 2 hr, and followed by the infusion of l-LV at 15 mg/m² for 30 min. Immediately after, a bolus of 5-FU was injected at a dose of 500 mg/m² in 5 of 10 patients (with bolus injection of 5-FU), the other 5 was not injected (without bolus injection of 5-FU). In the patients with bolus injection of 5-FU, the plasma concentration of 5-FU increased to 37.0±48.9 ng/mL at 1 hr after the oral administration of UFT/LV, then rapidly increased to more than 80,000 ng/mL on the injection of 5-FU, before dropping to 25.5±10.9 ng/mL 5.5 hr later. The trough levels of 5-FU were 27.4±12.0 ng/mL and 31.3±11.5 ng/mL prior to the 4th and 7th oral administration of UFT/LV.
respectively, and 5-FU was detected at a concentration of 15.8±11.9 ng/mL at 336 hr after the start of treatment, that is, at 226 hr after the last administration of UFT/LV. On the other hand, in the patients without bolus injection of 5-FU, the trough levels of 5-FU were 9.4±8.0 ng/mL and 10.4±8.4 ng/mL prior to the 4th and 7th oral administration of UFT/LV, respectively, and 5-FU was not detected at 336 hr after the start of treatment, and these values were significantly lower than those with bolus injection of 5-FU.

Table 2 lists the plasma concentrations of uracil. The concentration of endogenous uracil in plasma was 0-48.5 ng/mL, and increased after the oral administration of UFT/LV. Due to extensive inter-individual variation of the concentrations, the effect of bolus injection of 5-FU was not clarified in this study. The data of tegafur is in Table 3. With or without bolus injection of 5-FU, the plasma concentration of tegafur was 2,000-10,000 ng/mL after repetitive oral administration of UFT/LV, and there was no statistical significance between 2 groups.

AUC0-48 values are listed in Table 4. The AUC0-48 values of uracil, 5-FU and tegafur were 8.50±3.68 mg*h/L, 22.16±6.57 mg*h/L and 227.83±50.91 mg*h/L, respectively, in the patients treated with the CPT-11 + 5-FU/LV + UFT/LV regimen. Omission of bolus injection of 5-FU did not affect the systemic exposure to uracil and tegafur, but AUC0-48 values of 5-FU decreased to 0.65±0.42 mg*h/L.

Discussion

5-FU has been widely used to treat metastatic colorectal cancer, and its efficacy is improved when administered with other drugs. Various doses of 5-FU, and schedules and routes of administration have been applied, and there is a consensus that response rates are improved with increased dose and prolonged infusion. With indwelling venous catheters and portable infusion pumps, patients can be treated on an out-patient basis. However, portable infusion pumps can still be inconvenient, and indwelling catheters can result in complications including thrombosis and infections. Oral fluoropyrimidine is a promising alternative to the constant infusion of 5-FU, and pharmacokinetic studies have found that the consecutive oral administration of UFT at 370 mg/m2/day as tegafur provided a steady-state concentration of 5-FU comparable to that achieved by a 5-day constant infusion at 250 mg/m2/day, and injecting a bolus of 5-FU results in ultra-high concentrations followed by rapid disapperance [20,21].

We have proposed the CPT-11 + 5-FU/LV + UFT/LV chemotherapy, in which repetitive oral administration of UFT/LV replaces the infusion of 5-FU/LV in the FOLFIRI regimen [15]. In this study, the effect of a bolus injection of 5-FU on its steady-state pharmacokinetics was examined in the CPT-11 + 5-FU/LV + UFT/LV chemotherapy. The bolus injection of 5-FU increased its plasma concentrations at 24 hr or 48 hr 3-fold (Table 1), and the AUC0-48 values of 22.16 mg*h/L and 0.65 mg*h/L in the patients with and without the bolus injection, respectively (Table 4). It is noted that the dose of 5-FU for the first 48 hr was 889.9 mg/m2 and 389.9 mg/m2, respectively, and there was no dose-linearity in the AUC0-48 values. Reportedly, it has been suggested that the toxicity and efficacy of 5-FU are correlated to 5-FU exposure quantified by the AUC in a steady-state, and the target level of 5-FU exposure to ensure a certain efficacy is in the range of 24-30 mg*h/L [22-30]. Therefore, the bolus injection of 5-FU was thought to be necessary to ensure the efficacy in the CPT-11 + 5-FU/LV + UFT/LV chemotherapy, and presumably also in the FOLFIRI and FOLFOX regimens consisting of both of bolus injection and continuous infusion of 5-FU. A review of preclinical reports suggests that short-term, high-dose administration results in growth inhibition refractory to thymidine protection, whereas long-term, low-dose exposure produces cytotoxicity [31]. Here, the injection of 5-FU was proved to alter the systemic exposure to 5-FU, which would contribute, in part, to the synergetic effects of the injection and continuous infusion of 5-FU.

On the other hand, critical evaluation of current treatment protocols in colorectal cancer have suggested that the bolus injection of 5-FU is not always necessary to ensure the efficacy [1-4]. The LV5FU2 regimen consisting of a bolus injection of 5-FU and infusion of 5-FU/LV resulted in a median survival time (MST) of 14.7 months in the first-line therapy [32,33]. The AIO regimen (the infusion of 5-FU/LV) provided the MST of 16.9 months [34], whereas the Mayo Clinic regimen (the bolus 5-FU/LV) [35] gave the MST of 16.1 months [1]. A bolus injection of 5-FU might be omitted in the FOLFIRI and FOLFOX regimens in the cases that the appearance of bolus-associated significant side effects would be expected, although no information on the effect of omission on efficacy. Consequently, further clinical investigations should be performed to clarify the necessity of a bolus injection of 5-FU.

Interestingly, 5-FU was detected at 336 hr after the start of treatment, that is, at 226 hr after the last administration of UFT/LV in the patients with bolus injection of 5-FU, whereas it was not detected for no bolus injection (Table 1). This observation can hardly be explained by the pharmacokinetic profile of 5-FU, i.e., an apparent half-life of about 10 min [36]. An in-
The intravenous infusion of fluorouracil (5-FU) on the plasma concentration of 5-FU (ng/mL) in the CPT-11 + 5-FU/LV + UFT/LV chemotherapy a)

| Time (hr) | 5-FU dosing     | with bolus injection of 5-FU (N = 5) | without bolus injection of 5-FU (N = 5) | P    |
|-----------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|------|
| 0         | 1st oral UFT/LV | 0.0±0.0                             | 0.0±0.0                                | NS   |
| 0.5       |                 | 14.0±31.2                           | 1.5±3.4                                | NS   |
| 1         |                 | 37.0±48.9                           | 19.8±18.7                              | NS   |
| 2         |                 | 28.5±18.7                           | 79.3±64.7                              | NS   |
| 2.5       | bolus injection b) | 8175.0±9135.1                     | 67.3±45.2                              | <0.05|
| 2.75      |                 | 2116.1±592.7                        | 41.4±36.9                              | <0.05|
| 3         |                 | 932.7±2085.7                        | 22.4±20.7                              | <0.05|
| 3.5       |                 | 1845.7±1308.2                       | 14.0±27.6                              | <0.05|
| 4         |                 | 285.4±229.3                         | 11.0±6.4                               | <0.05|
| 8         | 2nd oral UFT/LV | 25.5±10.9                           | 5.7±6.6                                | <0.05|
| 10        |                 | 39.5±14.3                           | 22.4±17.3                              | NS   |
| 24        | 4th oral UFT/LV | 27.4±12.0                           | 9.4±8.0                                | <0.05|
| 48        | 7th oral UFT/LV | 31.3±11.5                           | 10.4±8.4                               | <0.05|
| 336       |                 | 15.8±11.9                           | 0.0±0.0                                | <0.05|

The values are the mean±SD.

a) Protocol is indicated in Figure 1. “Time 0” means 9:00 on day 1, the time of the first oral administration of UFT/LV at 100 mg x 3 as tegafur/m²/day and 25 mg x 3/day, respectively. The intravenous infusion of CPT-11 at 100 mg/m² was done from Time = 0.5 hr to 2 hr, and followed by the infusion of i-LV at 15 mg/m² for 30 min. Immediately thereafter, a bolus of 5-FU was injected at a dose of 500 mg/m². b) Five of 10 patients were not injected with a bolus of 5-FU.

Table 1. Effect of bolus injection of 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) on the plasma concentration of 5-FU (ng/mL) in the CPT-11 + 5-FU/LV + UFT/LV chemotherapy a)

The intravenous infusion of fluorouracil (5-FU) on the plasma concentration of uracil (ng/mL) in the CPT-11 + 5-FU/LV + UFT/LV chemotherapy a)

| Time (hr) | 5-FU dosing     | with bolus injection of 5-FU (N = 5) | without bolus injection of 5-FU (N = 5) | P    |
|-----------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|------|
| 0         | 1st oral UFT/LV | 22.6±19.3                           | 10.1±19.6                              | NS   |
| 0.5       |                 | 1429.6±3133.5                       | 26.6±41.6                              | NS   |
| 1         |                 | 983.9±1112.2                        | 660.2±704.4                            | NS   |
| 2         |                 | 381.9±277.0                         | 2169.6±2124.2                          | NS   |
| 2.5       | bolus injection b) | 579.6±916.7                        | 2031.7±2070.5                          | NS   |
| 2.75      |                 | 287.7 (240.3)                       | 622.0 (423.1)                           | NS   |
| 3         |                 | 325.1 (344.7)                       | 224.6 (944.2)                           | NS   |
| 3.5       |                 | 765.7±1207.9                        | 66.7±94.0                              | NS   |
| 4         |                 | 137.9 (647.3)                       | 24.2 (41.8)                             | <0.05|
| 8         | 2nd oral UFT/LV | 53.1±9.8                            | 22.9±29.1                              | NS   |
| 10        |                 | 415.6±333.2                         | 271.6±40.5                             | NS   |
| 24        | 4th oral UFT/LV | 37.6±5.5                            | 17.1±20.0                              | NS   |
| 48        | 7th oral UFT/LV | 30.9 (13.4)                         | 5.0 (25.9)                              | NS   |
| 336       |                 | 19.8±15.5                           | 1.4±1.9                                | NS   |

The values are the mean±SD, when the normality assumption held, and are medians with interquartile ranges in parentheses, when failed.

a) Protocol is indicated in Figure 1. “Time 0” means 9:00 on day 1, the time of the first oral administration of UFT/LV at 100 mg x 3 as tegafur/m²/day and 25 mg x 3/day, respectively. The intravenous infusion of CPT-11 at 100 mg/m² was done from Time = 0.5 hr to 2 hr, and followed by the infusion of i-LV at 15 mg/m² for 30 min. Immediately thereafter, a bolus of 5-FU was injected at a dose of 500 mg/m². b) Five of 10 patients were not injected with a bolus of 5-FU.
Table 3. Effect of bolus injection of 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) on the plasma concentration of tegafur (ng/mL) in the CPT-11 + 5-FU/LV + UFT/LV chemotherapy a)

| Time (hr) | 5-FU dosing | with bolus injection of 5-FU (N = 5) | without bolus injection of 5-FU (N = 5) | P  |
|-----------|-------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|----|
| 0         | 1st oral UFT/LV | 0.0±0.0                              | 0.0±0.0                                 | NS |
| 0.5       |              | 938.7 (4028.0)                       | 548.1 (1243.7)                          | NS |
| 1         |              | 3456.2±2299.1                        | 2669.5±2003.5                           | NS |
| 2         |              | 5453.3±2282.2                        | 6394.3±2478.1                           | NS |
| 2.5       | bolus injection b) | 5571.8±1512.6                       | 6770.0±1697.6                           | NS |
| 2.75      |              | 5667.8±1305.9                        | 6600.0±1611.4                           | NS |
| 3         |              | 5498.1±1246.9                        | 6233.5±1621.3                           | NS |
| 3.5       |              | 4783.9±1528.9                        | 5574.5±1313.9                           | NS |
| 4         |              | 5129.5±1339.3                        | 4897.0±1607.2                           | NS |
| 8         | 2nd oral UFT/LV | 3556.0±1111.4                        | 3292.4±1007.6                           | NS |
| 10        |              | 7040.0±1721.2                        | 7093.6±2711.9                           | NS |
| 24        | 4th oral UFT/LV | 3932.4±1042.7                        | 3094.1±867.6                            | NS |
| 48        | 7th oral UFT/LV | 5090.8±1794.3                        | 4167.7±1639.4                           | NS |
| 336       |              | 0.0±0.0                              | 0.0±0.0                                 | NS |

The values are the means±SD, when the normality assumption held, and are medians with interquartile ranges in parentheses, when failed.

a) Protocol is indicated in Figure 1. “Time 0” means 9:00 on day 1, the time of the first oral administration of UFT/LV at 100 mg x 3 as tegafur/m²/day and 25 mg x 3/day, respectively. The intravenous infusion of CPT-11 at 100 mg/m² was done from Time = 0.5 hr to 2 hr, and followed by the infusion of 5-FU at 15 mg/m² for 30 min. Immediately thereafter, a bolus of 5-FU was injected at a dose of 500 mg/m².
b) Five of 10 patients were not injected with a bolus of 5-FU.

Table 4. Area under the plasma concentration-time curve from 0 hr to 48 hr (AUC0-48) of uracil, 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) and tegafur in patients treated with the CPT-11 + 5-FU/LV + UFT/LV chemotherapy

| AUC0-48 (mg*h/L) | uracil          | 5-FU            | tegafur         |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| with bolus injection of 5-FU | 8.50±3.68 | 22.16±6.57 | 227.83±50.91  |
| without bolus injection of 5-FU  | 6.17±2.49 | 0.65±0.42 * | 202.98±61.46  |

The values are the means±SD.

* P < 0.05, compared with the data obtained with bolus injection of 5-FU.
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