Dyslipidemia and Conventional Risk Factors in Patients with Acute Coronary Syndrome Admitted in a CCU of a Tertiary Care Hospital of Bangladesh
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Abstract

Background: Coronary artery disease (CAD) is the single largest cause of death in the developed countries and is one of the leading causes of disease burden in developing countries. The prevalence of dyslipidemia and conventional risk factors profile at the time of admission in patients with Acute Coronary Syndrome (ACS) is not well described in our context. The aim of this study was to investigate the prevalence of dyslipidemia and conventional risk factors profiles of patients with ACS in a tertiary care center of Bangladesh.

Methods: This descriptive cross-sectional study included 96 admitted patients of ACS [30 cases of Unstable Angina, 25 cases of Non ST segment Elevation Myocardial Infarction and 41 cases of ST segment Elevation Myocardial Infarction] from the Department of Cardiology, Abdul Malek Ukil Medical College Hospital, Noakhali, Bangladesh from January 2019 to June 2019. Fasting serum lipid profile was obtained within 24 hours of hospitalization and demographic and other cardiovascular risk factors were documented.

Results: The mean age of the subjects were 57.7±14.4 years with majority (71.9%) being male. The most frequent reported risk factor was smoking, present in 55.2% of patients, followed by hypertension (47.9%), diabetes (37.5%), dyslipidemia (27.1%) and family history of CAD (15.6%). Based on Body Mass index 50% patients were obese (≥25kg/m²) and 69.8% had central obesity based on waist circumference. The lipid profile analysis revealed that 99% of patients had some type of dyslipidemia, and the most frequent was high level of triglyceride and low levels of high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (68.8% of cases in each).

Conclusion: Dyslipidemia is a significant risk factor in patients with ACS and high TG and low HDL-C were more prevalent. Careful attention to its management may help to reduce further events.

(Cardiovasc j 2021; 14(1): 24-29)

Introduction:
Coronary artery disease (CAD) accounting for more than 9 million deaths in 2016 according to the World Health Organization estimates and three-fourths of this global deaths occurred in the low and middle-income countries.1 Recent studies from Bangladesh observed that prevalence of cardiovascular diseases, especially CAD is high along with an upward trend and its associated risk factors are on the rise too in Bangladeshi adults.2,3 Therefore, immediate public health intervention is warranted to address the issue so that a further increase can be alleviated and the morbidity and mortality associated with it can be reduced.

Traditionally there are some conventional risk factors for CAD e.g. increasing age, male sex,
positive family history, hypertension, smoking, obesity, dyslipidemia, metabolic syndrome, diabetes, lack of exercise and some emerging risk factors, e.g. C-reactive protein, Fibrinogen, Homocysteine etc. However, certain risk factors may predominate in certain regions. Dyslipidemia with an increase in total cholesterol (TC), low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), triglycerides (TG) and decrease in high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) is one of the major risk factors for the ACS and alone account for more than 50% of population attributable risk. Untreated dyslipidemia is the strongest predictor of in-hospital death.

Dyslipidemia is an independent and modifiable risk factor that is common in our population. It remains unrecognized until detected during the first presentation with ACS. So, this study aimed to find out the prevalence of dyslipidemia within 24 hours of admission with ACS and thereby help to the early classification of dyslipidemia and select the appropriate therapy. The prevalence of other conventional risk factors of CAD was also evaluated in the study.

Methods:
This descriptive cross sectional study was conducted among 96 patients with a diagnosis of ACS admitted at Abdul Malek Ukil Medical College Hospital, Noakhali, Bangladesh from January 2019 to June 2019 after taking Ethical clearance from the institutional Ethical Review Committee. All the participants had signed the consent for the study. History and clinical examination were performed as per the pre-structured proforma. All cases above the age of 18 years with a diagnosis of ACS were enrolled by a convenience sampling method. Patients with stable CAD were excluded. The sample size of 96 was calculated based on the 63% prevalence of dyslipidemia in patients with ACS in the study conducted at another tertiary care hospital in Bangladesh with 15% permissible error.

Demographic features (age, gender) and cardiovascular risk factors [smoking: current or former, family history of CAD (first degree relatives such as siblings and parents usually aged <50 years [females] or <55 years [males]), hypertension: under medication, diabetes: random blood glucose ≥200mg/dl and/or under medication, reported dyslipidemia (under medication)] related data were recoded.

An electrocardiogram (ECG) at presentation was performed in all the patients and the cases were categorized as unstable angina (UA), non-ST elevation MI (NSTEMI) and ST-elevation MI (STEMI) based on the history, ECG changes and cardiac markers. Fasting serum lipid profile was obtained within 24 hours of hospitalization. Lipid assay was done with Enzymatic Colorimetric Test for TC and TG with lipid Clearing Factor. LDL-C was determined by direct method and HDL-C by precipitation method.

Dyslipidemia was defined based on NCEP ATP III criteria i.e., any of the following fasting lipid profile values obtained within 24 hours of the event: TC ≥200 mg/dl, TG ≥150 mg/dl, LDL ≥130 mg/dl, and HDL ≤40 mg/dl for male and ≤50 mg/dl for female or patient already on medication for dyslipidemia. Dyslipidemia was evaluated with age, sex, and other risk factors.

Statistical analyses were performed by SPSS version 23. Both descriptive and inferential data analysis methods were used. Descriptive methods included frequency tables, bar charts and summary statistics (means ±standard deviations and frequency and percentage). Inferential methods included chi-square tests of association, student’s t test and One Way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) test. p<0.05 was considered as statistical significance.

Results:
Out of 96 ACS patients there were 30 cases of UA, 25 cases of NSTEMI and 41 cases of STEMI. Age ranges from 21-95 years with mean age of 57.7±14.4 years. Majority of the patients were male (71.9%) with a male to female ratio of 2.55:1. Most prevalent risk factor was smoking (55.2%), followed by hypertension (47.9%), diabetes mellitus (37.5%), reported dyslipidemia (27.1%) and family history of IHD (15.6%). Prevalence of smoking and family history of CAD was significantly higher and prevalence of hypertension and reported dyslipidemia was significantly lower in male compared to female. Prevalence of obesity and central obesity was also higher among male patients compared to female (Table I).
The mean TC, TG, LDL-C and HDL-C among the participants were 196.94±49.32 mg/dl, 201.20±82.31 mg/dl, 120.10±37.01 mg/dl and 39.53±7.13 mg/dl respectively. Similarly, it was calculated for both males and females separately (Table II). The high serum level of TC was found in 36 (37.5%), high serum level of TGs in 66 (68.8%), high serum level of LDL-C in 35 (36.5%) and low serum level of HDL-C in 66 (68.8%) patients. Lipid profile was similar in both male and female patients except a higher prevalence of low HDL in female compared to their counterpart.

Majority of the patients (40/96; 41.7%) had abnormalities in two lipid sub fractions, followed by 25 (26%) with abnormality in one sub-fractions, 22 (22.9%) in three sub-fractions and 8 (8.3%) in all of the four sub-fractions. Only one patient had normal level in all of the four lipid sub fractions. Figure 1 shows that, clustering of all four lipid abnormality was more prominent in female patients compared to male.

Patients admitted with STEMI was significantly younger than from the patients admitted with UA. Prevalence of hypertension and dyslipidemia was significantly higher in patients with UA compared to the patients admitted with NSTEMI/STEMI. Mean lipid profile values were comparatively higher in patients with NSTEMI and STEMI than patients admitted with UA. However, the differences failed to reach statistical significance. High TC level was observed comparatively more in patients with NSTEMI/STEMI compared to patients with UA without any statistical significance. In terms of TG, high level was observed significantly more in patients with NSTEMI compared to patients with STEMI and UA (Table III).

Table-I

| Variables          | Total(n=96) | Male (n=69) | Female (n=27) | p value |
|--------------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|---------|
| Age, years         | 57.69±14.36 | 56.38±13.79 | 61.04±15.52   | 0.154†  |
| Smoking            | 53 (55.2)   | 52 (75.4)   | 1 (3.7)       | <0.001* |
| Hypertension       | 46 (47.9)   | 28 (40.6)   | 18 (66.7)     | 0.021†  |
| F/H of CAD         | 15 (15.6)   | 15 (21.7)   | 0 (0)         | 0.997*  |
| Diabetes           | 36 (37.5)   | 25 (36.2)   | 11 (40.7)     | 0.682*  |
| Dyslipidemia       | 26 (27.1)   | 13 (18.8)   | 13 (48.1)     | 0.004*  |
| BMI, e"25 kg/m²    | 48 (50.0)   | 34 (49.3)   | 9 (33.3)      | 0.004*  |
| Central obesity    | 67 (69.8)   | 53 (76.8)   | 14 (51.9)     | 0.017†  |

Data were expressed as mean ±SD or frequency (percentage). †Reported by patient and under medication. p value was obtained from †independent sample t test or *Chi-square test.

Table-II

| Lipid fractions | Total(n=96) | Male (n=69) | Female (n=27) | p value |
|-----------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|---------|
| TC, mg/dl       | 196.94±49.32| 199.06±52.69| 191.52±39.82 | 0.500†  |
| TG, mg/dl       | 201.20±82.31| 205.97±91.20| 189.00±52.79 | 0.367†  |
| LDL, mg/dl      | 120.10±37.01| 119.88±37.42| 120.67±36.61 | 0.926†  |
| HDL, mg/dl      | 39.53±7.13  | 39.84±7.89  | 38.74±4.65   | 0.500†  |
| TC/HDL          | 5.03±1.08   | 5.05±1.12   | 4.98±0.95    | 0.726†  |
| TG/HDL          | 5.09±1.80   | 5.16±1.94   | 4.92±1.38    | 0.554†  |
| TC (>200mg/dl)  | 36 (37.5)   | 27 (39.1)   | 9 (33.3)     | 0.598*  |
| TG (>150mg/dl)  | 66 (68.8)   | 45 (65.2)   | 21 (77.8)    | 0.233*  |
| LDL (>130mg/dl) | 35 (36.5)   | 23 (33.3)   | 12 (44.4)    | 0.309†  |
| Low HDL‡        | 66 (68.8)   | 39 (56.5)   | 27 (100.0)   | <0.001* |

Data were expressed as mean ±SD or frequency (percentage); P value was obtained from †independent sample t test or *Chi-square test. ‡<40 mg/dl for men and <50 mg/dl for women.
Discussion:
This study demonstrated the presence of some type of dyslipidemia in 99% of all patients with no significant difference in male and female, with high TG and low HDL-C levels being the highest prevalence. In addition, higher proportion of patients with NSTEMI had high TG compared to ACS patients presenting with either UA or STEMI.

In the current study, the majority of the patients had one or more conventional risk factors for CAD. This indicated a high prevalence of one or more major risk factors for CAD and ACS like dyslipidemia, hypertension, and diabetes which are similar to other studies. In the current study, the majority of the patients had one or more conventional risk factors for CAD. This indicated a high prevalence of one or more major risk factors for CAD and ACS like dyslipidemia, hypertension, and diabetes which are similar to other studies. Majorities of patients were male (77.1%) suggesting that male gender as one of the risk factors for ACS as seen in previous study.

We observe that mean TC, TG, LDL-C and HDL-C among the ACS patients were 196.94±49.32 mg/dl, 201.20±82.31 mg/dl, 120.10±37.01 mg/dl and 39.53±7.13 mg/dl respectively. Study conducted on adult healthy individuals observed the mean levels of, TC, TG, LDL-C and HDL-C were 173±26 mg/dl, 98.8±51 mg/dl, 111±23 mg/dl and 43.2±8.7 mg/dl respectively. These indicate a significant higher level of TG and LDL-C and lower level of HDL-C in Bangladeshi adults with ACS compared to the healthy adults. Dyslipidemia is an independent

Table-III

| Variables | UA (n=30) | NSTEMI (n=25) | STEMI (n=41) | p value |
|-----------|-----------|---------------|--------------|---------|
| Age, years | 63.8±14.7 | 57.7±11.9 | 53.2±14.4 | 0.008* |
| Male sex | 17 (56.7%) | 20 (80.0%) | 32 (78.0%) | 0.081† |
| Smoking | 12 (40.0%) | 15 (60.0%) | 26 (63.4%) | 0.125‡ |
| Hypertension | 22 (73.3%) | 12 (48.0%) | 12 (29.3%) | 0.001† |
| F/H of CAD | 2 (6.7%) | 4 (16.0%) | 9 (22.0%) | 0.215† |
| Diabetes | 12 (40.0%) | 8 (32.0%) | 16 (39.0%) | 0.801† |
| Dyslipidemiaa | 17 (56.7%) | 4 (16.0%) | 5 (12.2%) | 0.001† |
| BMI, kg/m² | 26.1±2.7 | 24.1±2.8 | 24.8±2.8 | 0.027* |
| TC, mg/dl | 183.63±51.71 | 201.48±44.56 | 203.90±49.53 | 0.202* |
| TG, mg/dl | 185.30±94.90 | 221.96±73.74 | 200.17±76.42 | 0.260* |
| LDL, mg/dl | 110.37±40.47 | 119.28±28.24 | 127.73±38.10 | 0.147† |
| HDL, mg/dl | 38.03±7.57 | 38.64±6.87 | 41.17±6.78 | 0.144† |
| TC/HDL | 4.91±1.24 | 5.21±0.59 | 5.02±1.78 | 0.578* |
| TG/HDL | 4.86±2.02 | 5.74±1.61 | 4.88±1.67 | 0.118* |
| TC (>200mg/dl) | 8 (26.7%) | 10 (40.0%) | 18 (43.9%) | 0.219† |
| TG (>150mg/dl) | 17 (56.7%) | 22 (88.0%) | 26 (63.4%) | 0.039† |
| LDL (>130mg/dl) | 10 (33.3%) | 7 (28.0%) | 18 (43.9%) | 0.391† |
| Low HDL‡ | 24 (80.0%) | 19 (76.0%) | 23 (56.1%) | 0.066† |

Data were expressed as mean ±SD or frequency (percentage). a On treatment; †p value was obtained from Chi-square test or *ANOVA test. ‡<40 mg/dl for men and <50 mg/dl for women.

Fig.-1: Clustering of lipid sub-fractions abnormality between male and female patients with ACS.
major risk factor for CAD. Studies have reported a higher prevalence of dyslipidemia among Asians compared to the western population.\textsuperscript{15} A combination of low HDL-C and high TG referred to as atherogenic dyslipidemia, have been implicated as important predictors of CAD.\textsuperscript{16,17}

In this study, the most commonly observed lipid abnormality was high TG and Low HDL-C. Previous studies on CAD patients also found similar results regarding the presence of high levels of TC, LDL-C, and TG and low levels of HDL-C.\textsuperscript{18,19} The lower prevalence of high LDL-C as compared to other fractions is consistent with previous study which showed a higher prevalence of low LDL levels among Asians.\textsuperscript{15} Our study showed low HDL-C levels in a high percentage of patients (68.8\%) as shown in the previous study which revealed that South Asians had lower HDL-C levels than rest of the other population.\textsuperscript{15} These findings may have clinical importance since the prior study in patients with CAD who had a low HDL-C was associated with increased risk for death and MI, even among patients achieved LDL <70 mg/dl.\textsuperscript{20}

The present study identified some gender differences in the distribution of the risk factors. Regarding the relation of ACS type and lipid profile, ACS patients presented with NSTEMI had comparatively higher mean value of TG level than STEMI patients. While there are some similarities between the pathophysiology underlying STEMI and NSTEMI populations, STEMI populations have been found to have an increased pro-inflammatory state and a different serological profile compared to NSTEMI patients.\textsuperscript{21-23}

Determining the lipid profile of patients admitted with ACS might allow for an early classification of eventual dyslipidemia and thereby enable the selection of the type and intensity of lipid-lowering therapy. Many of these risk factors, including blood lipids, are modifiable and amenable to treatment.

Limitations: Our study had the limitations of a cross-sectional analysis of a group of ACS patients without any control group. Risk-factor identification was based on the information provided by patients or their relatives. Further, our data came from only one hospital; therefore, the results cannot be generalized to other geographical regions. Lipid profile was obtained within the first 24 hours of the event and baseline values were not available for comparison. We did not look at the apolipoprotein measures (Apo B and Apo AI) which are strongly associated with the risk of MI in South Asians.

**Conclusion:**
In conclusion, dyslipidemia was a highly prevalent risk factor in patients with ACS. There is a lower prevalence of high LDL-C and high TC and a high prevalence of high TG and lower HDL-C levels among the studied ACS patients.

**Recommendations:**
Although Bangladeshi ACS patients are likely to benefit from lowering LDL-C, the threshold for treatment and targets seem to be lower. These thresholds and targets need to be determined by large studies and by our guidelines. Given the higher level of TG and lower levels of HDL-C, approaches to decrease TG and increase the HDL-C may be helpful in our population.
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