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**Abstract**
The data presented in this article are related to the research article entitled “Ant abundance and *Cosmopolites sordidus* damage in plantain fields as affected by intercropping” (A.G. Dassou, D. Carval, S. Dépigny, G.H Fansi, P. Tixier, 2015)\textsuperscript{[1]}. This article describes how associated crops maize (*Zea mays*), cocoyam (*Xanthosoma sagittifolium*) and bottle gourd (*Lagenaria siceraria*) intercropped in the plantain fields in Cameroon modify ant community structure and damages of banana weevil *Cosmopolites sordidus*. The field data set is made publicly available to enable critical or extended analyzes.

\textcopyright{} 2016 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).
### Specifications Table

| Subject area          | Agronomy, Ecology                                                                 |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| More specific sub-    | Effect of intercropping on banana weevil damages                                  |
| ject area             |                                                                                  |
| Type of data          | Tables, Figures, Text file                                                        |
| How data was         | Conducting of essay in plantain-based cropping systems in which others crops were |
| acquired              | planted; The weevil damages were observed with Vilardebo method; The ant abundances |
|                       | were measured                                                                     |
| Data format           | Raw, Analysed                                                                     |
| Experimental factors  | The three crops maize (Zea mays), cocoyam (Xanthosoma sagittifolium), and bottle   |
|                       | gourd (Lagenaria siceraria) were intercropped in a plantain experimental field in  |
|                       | order to determine their effects on banana weevil regulation                       |
| Experimental features | The relationship between the associated plants, predatory ants and banana weevil  |
|                       | damages were determined                                                            |
| Data source location  | Njombé, Cameroon, 4°34’11.33’’N; 9°38’48.96’’E                                  |
| Data accessibility    | The data are available with this article                                           |

### Value of the data

- The data presents the abundance of sampled ants in each crop associated to plantain and could be used by others researchers.
- The weevil damages on the banana bulb were measured by using the Vilardebo method and could be compared to others weevil damage studies.
- This data allows other researchers to extend the statistical analyses.

### 1. Data

The dataset of this article provides information on the abundance of ant taxa in the cultivated plants intercropped with the plantain and the weevil damages. The Figs. 1–7 show the abundance of ant taxa in the crops associated to plantain. Table 1 shows the weevil damage.

### 2. Experimental design, materials and methods

#### 2.1. Ant's abundance and Cosmopolites sordidus damages measurements

The experiments were carried out during two periods: the rainy season and the dry-season in Cameroon. Three crops (bottle gourd, maize and cocoyam) and theirs combinations were intercropped with the plantain. In each experimental unit, to measure the abundance of ants, we used the attractive traps placed at 0.5 m of each plantain plant and alternated the side at each plantain plant [1]. These attractive traps were composed of 30 × 30 cm white ceramic plates, each of which had at its centre a 4-cm spot of bait composed of honey mixed with canned tuna [1,2]. The bait trap, which was designed to detect the abundance of ants, was deployed for 30 minutes before ants were collected with an aspirator. Ants were counted in digital photographs of ceramic plates. At the end of essay, we evaluated the damages of larvae on the banana bulb with Vilardebo [3] method.
**Fig. 1.** Abundance of different ant taxa in gourd crops associated to plantain, with on the axis 2, 1. Axinidris sp., 2. Camponotus spp., 3. Monomorium spp., 4. Odontomachus mayi, 5. Paratrechina longicornis, 6. Pheidole spp., 7. Tetramorium sp.

**Fig. 2.** Abundance of different ant taxa in maize crops associated to plantain, with on the axis 2, 1. Axinidris sp., 2. Camponotus spp., 3. Monomorium spp., 4. Odontomachus mayi, 5. Paratrechina longicornis, 6. Pheidole spp., 7. Tetramorium sp.
Fig. 3. Abundance of different ant taxa in cocoyam crops associated to plantain, with on the axis 2, 1. *Axinidris* sp., 2. *Camponotus* spp., 3. *Monomorium* spp., 4. *Odontomachus mayi*, 5. *Paratrechina longicornis*, 6. *Pheidole* spp., 7. *Tetramorium* sp.

Fig. 4. Abundance of different ant taxa in cocoyam-maize crops associated to plantain, with on the axis 2, 1. *Axinidris* sp., 2. *Camponotus* spp., 3. *Monomorium* spp., 4. *Odontomachus mayi*, 5. *Paratrechina longicornis*, 6. *Pheidole* spp., 7. *Tetramorium* sp.
Fig. 5. Abundance of different ant taxa in gourd-maize crops associated to plantain, with on the axis 2. 1. Axinidris sp., 2. Camponotus spp., 3. Monomorium spp., 4. Odontomachus mayi, 5. Paratrechina longicornis, 6. Pheidole spp., 7. Tetramorium sp.

Fig. 6. Abundance of different ant taxa in gourd-cocoyam crops associated to plantain, with on the axis 2. 1. Axinidris sp., 2. Camponotus spp., 3. Monomorium spp., 4. Odontomachus mayi, 5. Paratrechina longicornis, 6. Pheidole spp., 7. Tetramorium sp.
**Fig. 7.** Abundance of different ant taxa in gourd-cocoyam-maize crops associated to plantain, with on the axis 2, 1. *Axinidris* sp., 2. *Camponotus* spp., 3. *Monomorium* spp., 4. *Odontomachus mayi*, 5. *Paratrechina longicornis*, 6. *Pheidole* spp., 7. *Tetramorium* sp.

**Table 1**

Measure of *Cosmopolites sordidus* damages on the plantain by treatment according to the Vilardebo method.

| Fields | Gourd | Maize | Cocoyam | Cocoyam maize | Gourd maize | Cocoyam gourd | Cocoyam gourd maize |
|--------|-------|-------|---------|---------------|-------------|----------------|---------------------|
| 1      | 60    | 60    | 0       | 20            | 20          | 60             | 40                  |
| 1      | 20    | 100   | 40      | 60            | 30          | 10             | 0                   |
| 1      | 60    | 20    | 100     | 60            | 60          | 100            | 40                  |
| 1      | 60    | 40    | 10      | 0             | 60          | 60             | 40                  |
| 1      | 10    | 10    | 10      | 60            | 60          | 60             | 0                   |
| 1      | 60    | 60    | 30      | 20            | 60          | 60             | 10                  |
| 1      | 20    | 10    | 20      | 10            | 60          | 30             | 0                   |
| 1      | 100   | 40    | 30      | 20            | 60          | 100            | 30                  |
| 2      | 60    | 60    | 30      | 20            | 30          | 40             | 60                  |
| 2      | 0     | 60    | 30      | 10            | 20          | 60             | 5                   |
| 2      | 30    | 30    | 10      | 40            | 20          | 60             | 20                  |
| 2      | 0     | 40    | 40      | 40            | 20          | 100            | 20                  |
| 2      | 60    | 40    | 20      | 40            | 30          | 10             | 40                  |
| 2      | 20    | 20    | 30      | 0             | 10          | 60             | 30                  |
| 2      | 40    | 40    | 5       | 20            | 10          | 100            | 20                  |
| 2      | 20    | 20    | 30      | 0             | 10          | 60             | 20                  |
| 3      | 20    | 10    | 5       | 0             | 30          | 30             | 0                   |
| 3      | 20    | 100   | 20      | 20            | 60          | 20             | 10                  |
| 3      | 40    | 100   | 10      | 40            | 30          | 40             | 0                   |
| 3      | 30    | 40    | 100     | 10            | 30          | 20             | 10                  |
| 3      | 60    | 100   | 60      | 100           | 30          | 60             | 30                  |
| 3      | 30    | 60    | 60      | 0             | 60          | 100            | 5                   |
| 3      | 10    | 40    | 60      | 60            | 60          | 20             | 60                  |
| 3      | 20    | 40    | 40      | 20            | 60          | 40             | 20                  |
| 4      | 20    | 30    | 20      | 20            | 20          | 20             | 20                  |
| 4      | 5     | 0     | 0       | 10            | 5           | 5              | 30                  |
| 4      | 10    | 5     | 20      | 20            | 20          | 30             | 30                  |
| 4      | 20    | 10    | 20      | 30            | 20          | 10             | 20                  |
| 4      | 40    | 100   | 20      | 60            | 60          | 10             | 100                 |
| 4      | 20    | 30    | 60      | 20            | 100         | 20             | 60                  |
| 4      | 40    | 0     | 0       | 60            | 60          | 30             | 60                  |
| 4      | 60    | 30    | 30      | 20            | 20          | 40             | 60                  |
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