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ABSTRACT
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1 Introduction

The $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Super-Yang Mills (SUSY-YM) system is a very active area of study, and has become even more so over the past decade with the emergence of the $AdS/CFT$ correspondence $[1]$. One very powerful aspect of this correspondence is that it relates a perturbation theory to a strongly coupled system. As $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SUSY-YM is a conformal field theory, an important undertaking has been to find dualities between string theory and theories that are more $QCD$-like. Klebanov and Strassler took a step in this direction in $[2]$, where they unveiled a background which breaks the supersymmetry to $\mathcal{N} = 1$, while regulating the IR divergence behavior. Following this work, several other supersymmetry breaking backgrounds were discovered $[3, 4, 5, 6]$.

In parallel to the unveiling of these duality backgrounds, specific calculations were done showing duality to confining gauge theory calculations. Herzog and Klebanov showed duality in the tree level energy calculations between branes on the supergravity side and confining strings on the gauge theory side $[7, 8]$. In this newly emerging gauge/gravity picture, Regge trajectories were resurrected from the old dual resonance models and reinvestigated by Pando Zayas, Sonnenschein, and Vaman in $[9]$, including some one loop level calculations. Most recently, one loop corrections to the $k$-string energy has been investigated, the so-called Lüscher term. This emerges on the string theory side through the bosonic part of the D-brane energy, although in addition different one loop information of the fermionic part has also been unveiled $[10, 11, 12, 13]$. So we see a nice picture developing showing dualities between objects on the string theory and gauge theory sides.

In this paper, we take a step back from this picture. Even though this is the best understood of the gauge/gravity dualities, the $d = 4, \mathcal{N} = 4$ SUSY-YM theory part of the correspondence itself still has unknown attributes. Most glaring is the auxiliary field closure problem: it is still unknown how to augment this theory with finite numbers of auxiliary fields such that the charges satisfy the following algebra:

$$\{Q^I_a, Q^J_b\} = 2i \delta^{IJ}(\gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.1)

This is a problem which has been well known for at least thirty years. In 1981, Siegel and Rocek (SR) investigated a solution within the known framework that existed at the time and found a no-go theorem $[14]$. This result has been interpreted as the definitive statement on this issue.

However, there are some loose ends that challenge this conventional wisdom about the SR no-go theorem. The first of these is contained within the SR work itself. In
an often overlooked final commentary in the work, the authors state a possible way to avoid the SR no-go theorem. It is also often overlooked that the derivation of the SR no-go theorem is based on a particular assumption of dynamics. In particular, the authors assume the gauge field is subject to the dynamics of the usual Yang-Mills action. It is simple to consider a different starting point. It is easy to negate this assumption.

Though mostly unknown, the action for the ABJM model [15] together with a discussion of 3D, $\mathcal{N} = 6$ superconformal invariance first appeared in works written in the period of 1991-1995 on the importance of Chern-Simons models [16,17,18,19]. So instead of considering the fields of a vector multiplet in 4D hypermultiplet in 4D that realizes $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SUSY, one could attempt to construct respective 3D Chern-Simons models with $\mathcal{N} = 8$ SUSY or $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SUSY that are based on the dimensional reduction of 4D multiplets. The SR no-go theorem cannot be applied to such constructions! Thus, the study of 3D Chern-Simons theories provides a new way to attack this very old problem.

The methods in harmonic [20, 21] or projective [22, 23] superspace absolutely offer solutions, however these add an infinite number of auxiliary fields. In this paper we offer an in-depth analysis of the Lagrangian symmetries generated by the central charges and internal symmetries of the algebra as a possible window into algebraic closure with a finite number of auxiliary fields. To the knowledge of the authors, these symmetries have never been discussed in this detail; almost certainly not in the 4-D Majorana component notation that is used in this paper. In short, we are trying to push the bounds of understanding further as to precisely how the algebra fails to close with a finite number of auxiliary fields. Furthermore, this paper analyzes the central charges and internal symmetries, or lack thereof, of other SUSY systems embedded into the overarching $d = 4 \mathcal{N} = 4$ SUSY-YM system.

This paper is structured as follows. We begin by showing how the Abelian $d = 4$, $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills (SUSY-YM) system can be made to split into the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ vector multiplet (VM), which closes, and the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ Fayet Hypermultiplet (FH) systems, which doesn’t [24]. Then we quote the main result: the recovery of many first and second order supersymmetries from the central charges and internal symmetries of this algebra.

Unless otherwise specified throughout the document, our notation convention is as follows. Capital Latin indices are euclidean and go from one to three: $I, J, K, M, \cdots = 1, 2, 3$. Lower case Latin indices $i, j, k, m, \cdots = 1, 2$ are also Euclidean. This is not to be confused with the spinor indices, which are the other half of the lower case latin
alphabet $a, b, c, d, \cdots = 1, 2, 3, 4$, ranging from one to four. Greek indices are four dimensional Minkowski space-time indices and go from zero to three: $\mu, \nu, \alpha, \beta, \cdots = 0, 1, 2, 3$. Symmetrization and antisymmetrization are defined without normalization:

$$\Lambda_{(\mu\nu)} = \Lambda_{\mu\nu} + \Lambda_{\nu\mu}$$

(1.2)

$$\Lambda_{[\mu\nu]} = \Lambda_{\mu\nu} - \Lambda_{\nu\mu}$$

(1.3)

2 Reduction of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SUSY-YM to $\mathcal{N} = 2$ FH and VM

In this section, the algebra for $\mathcal{N} = 4$ is laid out in component notation. The Lagrangian is presented which is globally invariant to these transformations. Next, the algebra is uncovered, which of course does not close. Finally, it is shown how this algebra splits into both the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ FH and $\mathcal{N} = 2$ VM multiplets, the latter of which closes, the former which does not. It is commented on how after reduction to the FH system, certain central charges and internal symmetries are removed from the algebra. Of course, all central charges and internal symmetries are removed from the algebra under reduction to the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ VM multiplet.

2.1 $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Transformation Laws

The Lagrangian for the Abelian $d = 4$, $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SUSY-YM system

$$L = -\frac{1}{4}(\partial_{\mu}A^{I})(\partial^{\mu}A^{I}) - \frac{1}{4}(\partial_{\mu}B^{I})(\partial^{\mu}B^{I})$$

$$+ i\frac{1}{2}(\gamma^{\mu})^{ab}\psi_{a}^{J}\partial_{\mu}\psi_{b}^{J} + \frac{1}{2}(F^{J})^{2} + \frac{1}{2}(G^{J})^{2}$$

$$- \frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{4}i(\gamma^{5})^{cd}\lambda_{c}\partial_{\mu}\lambda_{d} + \frac{1}{4}d^{2}$$

(2.1)

is invariant with respect to the global supersymmetric transformations

$$D_{a}A^{J} = \psi_{a}^{J}$$

$$D_{a}B^{J} = i(\gamma^{5})^{ab}\psi_{b}^{J}$$

$$D_{a}\psi_{b}^{J} = i(\gamma^{\mu})_{ab}\partial_{\mu}A^{J} - (\gamma^{5}\gamma^{\mu})_{ab}\partial_{\mu}B^{J}$$

$$- iC_{ab}F^{J} + (\gamma^{5})_{ab}G^{J}$$

(2.2)

$$D_{a}F^{J} = (\gamma^{\mu})_{a}^{b}\partial_{\mu}\psi_{b}^{J}$$

$$D_{a}G^{J} = i(\gamma^{5}\gamma^{\mu})_{a}^{b}\partial_{\mu}\psi_{b}^{J}$$
\[ D_a A_\mu = (\gamma_\mu)^a_b \lambda_b , \]
\[ D_a \lambda_b = - \frac{1}{2} (\sigma^{\mu\nu})_{ab} F_{\mu\nu} + (\gamma^5)_{ab} d , \]
\[ D_a d = i (\gamma^5 \gamma_\mu)^a_b \partial_\mu \lambda_b . \tag{2.3} \]

\[ D^I_a A^J = \delta^I_J \lambda_a - \epsilon^I_J K \psi^K_a , \]
\[ D^I_a B^J = i (\gamma^5)^a_b \left[ \delta^I_J \lambda_b + \epsilon^I_J K \psi^K_b \right] , \]
\[ D^I_a \psi^J_b = \delta^I_J \left[ \frac{1}{2} (\sigma^{\mu\nu})_{ab} F_{\mu\nu} + (\gamma^5)_{ab} d \right] \]
\[ - \epsilon^I_K \left[ - i (\gamma_\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu A^K - (\gamma^5 \gamma_\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu B^K \right. \]
\[ + i C_{ab} F^K + (\gamma^5)_{ab} G^K \right] , \]
\[ D^I_a F^J = (\gamma_\mu)^a_b \partial_\mu \left[ \delta^I_J \lambda_b - \epsilon^I_J K \psi^K_b \right] , \]
\[ D^I_a G^J = i (\gamma^5 \gamma_\mu)^a_b \partial_\mu \left[ - \delta^I_J \lambda_b + \epsilon^I_J K \psi^K_b \right] . \tag{2.4} \]

\[ D^I_a A_\mu = - (\gamma_\mu)^a_b \psi^J_b , \]
\[ D^I_a \lambda_b = i (\gamma_\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu A^J - (\gamma^5 \gamma_\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu B^J \]
\[ - i C_{ab} F^J - (\gamma^5)_{ab} G^J , \]
\[ D^I_a d = i (\gamma^5 \gamma_\mu)^a_b \partial_\mu \psi^J_b . \tag{2.5} \]

where
\[ \sigma^{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{2} [\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu], \quad F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu. \tag{2.6} \]

and our conventions for the gamma matrices are as in Appendix A of [25].

These transformations are known as zeroth order symmetries of the Lagrangian. The main result of this paper will be the first and second order symmetries of the Lagrangian, and how they can be recovered from the algebra.

### 2.2 Algebra

In this section, we will discover the central charges and internal symmetries of this algebra which will lead us to the Lagrangian symmetries in section \[3\]. Using the shorthand
\[ \chi = (A^I, B^I, F^I, G^I, d, \psi^J, \lambda_c), \tag{2.7} \]
the algebra can be written

\[ \{ D_a, D_b \} \chi = 2i(\gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu \chi, \quad \{ D_a, D_b \} A_\nu = 2i(\gamma^\mu)_{ab} F_{\mu\nu} \quad (2.8) \]

and

\[ \{ D^I_a, D^I_b \} A^K = 2i\delta^{IJ}(\gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu A^K - 2\epsilon^{JK}(\gamma^5)_{ab} d +
\]

\[ - 2Z^{JKM} [iC_{ab} F^M + (\gamma^5)_{ab} G^M], \]

\[ \{ D^I_a, D^I_b \} B^K = 2i\delta^{IJ}(\gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu B^K + 2i\epsilon^{JK}(\gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu d, \]

\[ \{ D^I_a, D^I_b \} F^K = 2i\delta^{IJ}(\gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu F^K + 2\epsilon^{JK}(\gamma^5)_{ab} \partial_\mu F^K +
\]

\[ + 2Z^{JKM} [-iC_{ab} \square A^K + (\gamma^5)_{ab} \partial_\mu G^K] \]

\[ \{ D^I_a, D^I_b \} G^K = 2i\delta^{IJ}(\gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu F^K - 2\epsilon^{JK}(\gamma^5)_{ab} \partial_\mu F_{\mu\nu} +
\]

\[ - 2Z^{JKM} [(\gamma^5)_{ab} \square A^K + (\gamma^5)_{ab} \partial_\mu F^M] \]

\[ \{ D^I_a, D^I_b \} d = 2i\delta^{IJ}(\gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu d +
\]

\[ + 2\epsilon^{JK}(\gamma^5)_{ab} \partial_\mu F^K \]

\[ \{ D^I_a, D^I_b \} A_\nu = 2i\delta^{IJ}(\gamma^\mu)_{ab} F_{\mu\nu} +
\]

\[ + 2\epsilon^{JK}(iC_{ab} \partial_\nu A^K + (\gamma^5)_{ab} \partial_\nu B^K - (\gamma^5)_{ab} \partial_\mu G^K) \]

\[ \{ D^I_a, D^I_b \} \lambda_c = 2i\delta^{IJ}(\gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu \lambda_c + i\epsilon^{JK} [-C_{ab}(\gamma^\mu)_c d + (\gamma^5)_{ab} (\gamma^\mu)_c d +
\]

\[ + (\gamma^5)_{ab} (\gamma^\mu)_c d \partial_\mu \psi^K_M]

\[ \{ D^I_a, D^I_b \} \psi^K_M = 2i\delta^{IJ}(\gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu \psi^K_M - i\epsilon^{JK} [-C_{ab}(\gamma^\mu)_c d + (\gamma^5)_{ab} (\gamma^\mu)_c d +
\]

\[ + (\gamma^5)_{ab} (\gamma^\mu)_c d \partial_\mu \lambda_d +
\]

\[ - iZ^{JKM} [C_{ab}(\gamma^\mu)_c d + (\gamma^5)_{ab} (\gamma^\mu)_c d +
\]

\[ + (\gamma^5)_{ab} (\gamma^\mu)_c d \partial_\mu \psi^K_M] \]

and for the cross terms

\[ \{ D_a, D^I_b \} A^I = 2i\epsilon^{IK}(\gamma^\mu)_{ab} C_{ab} F^K \]

\[ \{ D_a, D^I_b \} B^I = 2i\epsilon^{IK}(\gamma^\mu)_{ab} C_{ab} G^K \]

\[ \{ D_a, D^I_b \} F^I = 2i\epsilon^{IK}(\gamma^\mu)_{ab} C_{ab} \square A^K \]

\[ \{ D_a, D^I_b \} G^I = 2i\epsilon^{IK}(\gamma^\mu)_{ab} C_{ab} \square B^K \]

\[ \{ D_a, D^I_b \} \lambda_c = 0 \quad (2.11) \]
\[ \{D_a, D^I_b\}d = 0 \]
\[ \{D_a, D^I_b\}A_\nu = 2iC_{ab}\partial_\nu A^I - 2(\gamma^5)_{ab}\partial_\nu B^I \]  \( (2.12) \)
\[ \{D_a, D^I_b\}\psi_c = 2i\epsilon^{IJK}C_{ab}(\gamma^\mu)_c^d\partial_\mu\psi^K_d \]

where
\[ Z^{IJKM} \equiv \delta^{IM}\delta^{JK} - \delta^{IK}\delta^{JM} \]  \( (2.13) \)

2.2.1 Central Charges and Internal Symmetries

We will use the notation \((A^I, F^K)\) to indicate, for instance, the presence of a non-zero term involving the field \(F^K\) on the right hand side of the anti-commutator \(\{D_a, D^I_b\}A^K\) and vice-versa. In this notation, we list the following fields which are coupled through a central charge or internal symmetry:

\[ \begin{align*}
(A^I, F^K), & \quad (A^J, G^K), \quad (B^J, G^K), \\
(A^I, d), & \quad (B^J, d), \quad (G^J, A^K), \\
(F^J, G^K), & \quad (F^J, d), \\
(\psi^I_a, \lambda_b), & \quad (\psi^J_a, \psi^K_b)
\end{align*} \]

fields coupled by a central charge or internal symmetry \( (2.14) \)

In addition, the algebra couples the following fields through a \(U(1)\) gauge symmetry

\[ (A_\mu, A^K), \quad (A_\mu, B^K), \quad \text{fields coupled through a gauge symmetry} \]  \( (2.15) \)

In section 3, we will show how these central charges and internal symmetries can be used to uncover several first and second order Lagrangian symmetries. We note that this algebra is absent of central charges and internal symmetries between

\[ \begin{align*}
(F^J, A_\mu), & \quad (A_\mu, d), \quad (B^J, F^K), \\
(B^J, A^K), & \quad (G^J, d)
\end{align*} \]

fields not coupled through a central charge or internal symmetry \( (2.16) \)

2.3 Reduction to \(\mathcal{N} = 2\) Systems

Before we fully investigate the first and second order Lagrangian symmetries, we will investigate how to split the \(\mathcal{N} = 4\) system into the \(\mathcal{N} = 2\) FH and VM systems. When we do this, some of the central charges and internal symmetries vanish. In fact, in the case of the \(\mathcal{N} = 2\) VM system all of these vanish, and the algebra has no information on first and second order Lagrangian symmetries. This is of course because the \(\mathcal{N} = 2\) VM algebra closes.
First making the following definitions

\[ \tilde{D}^1_a \equiv D_a, \quad \tilde{D}^2_a \equiv D^1_a \]  

(2.17)

where \( i = 1, 2 \) labels the two supersymmetries of the embedded systems, we next make field redefinitions to manifest the embedded systems. The embedded \( \mathcal{N} = 2 \) VM system is composed of half of the fields of the \( \mathcal{N} = 4 \) system:

\[
A \equiv A^1, \quad B \equiv B^1, \quad F \equiv F^1, \quad G \equiv G^1, \\
A_{\mu}, \quad d, \quad \zeta^1_a \equiv \psi^1_a, \quad \zeta^2_a \equiv \lambda_a
\]

(2.18)

and the embedded \( \mathcal{N} = 2 \) FH system is composed of the other half

\[
\tilde{A}^1 \equiv A^2, \quad \tilde{A}^2 \equiv A^3, \quad \tilde{B}^1 \equiv B^2, \quad \tilde{B}^2 \equiv B^3, \\
\tilde{F}^1 \equiv F^2, \quad \tilde{F}^2 \equiv F^3, \quad \tilde{G}^1 \equiv G^2, \quad \tilde{G}^2 \equiv G^3, \\
\tilde{\psi}^1_a \equiv \psi^2_a, \quad \tilde{\psi}^2_a \equiv \psi^3_a
\]

(2.19)

### 2.3.1 Reduction to \( \mathcal{N} = 2 \) VM

The resulting \( \mathcal{N} = 2 \) VM algebra is

\[
\tilde{D}_a^i A = \zeta^i_a, \\
\tilde{D}_a^i B = i(\gamma^5)_{a}^{b} \zeta^i_b, \\
\tilde{D}_a^i F = (\gamma^\mu)_{a}^{b} \partial_\mu \zeta^i_b, \\
\tilde{D}_a^i G = i(\sigma^3)^{ij} (\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_{a}^{b} \partial_\mu \zeta^j_b, \\
\tilde{D}_a^i A_{\mu} = i(\sigma^2)^{ij} (\gamma^\mu)_{a}^{b} \zeta^j_b, \\
\tilde{D}_a^i d = i(\sigma^1)^{ij} (\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_{a}^{b} \partial_\mu \zeta^j_b, \\
\tilde{D}_a^i \zeta^j_b = \delta^{ij} (i(\gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu A - (\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu B - iC_{ab} F) + (\sigma^3)^{ij} (\gamma^5)_{ab} G + \\
- i(\sigma^2)^{ij} \frac{1}{2} (\gamma^{\mu\nu})_{ab} F_{\mu\nu} + (\sigma^1)^{ij} (\gamma^5)_{ab} d,
\]

where

\[
(\sigma^1)^{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\sigma^2)^{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\sigma^3)^{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}
\]

(2.21)

and

\[
\zeta^1_b = \psi_b, \quad \zeta^2_b = \lambda_b.
\]

(2.22)
The algebra reduces to
\[
\{\tilde{D}^i_a, \tilde{D}^j_b\} \mathcal{V} = 2i\delta^{ij}(\gamma^\mu)_{ab}\partial_\mu \mathcal{V} \\
\{\tilde{D}^i_a, \tilde{D}^j_b\} A_\nu = 2i\delta^{ij}(\gamma^\mu)_{ab}F_{\mu\nu} + i(\sigma^2)^{ij}(2iC_{ab}\partial_\nu A - 2(\gamma^5)_{ab}\partial_\nu B).
\]
(2.23) (2.24)
where
\[
\mathcal{V} = (A, B, F, G, d, \psi_a, \lambda_c).
\]
(2.25)
So this algebra closes up to gauge transformations and all the central charges and internal symmetries from the overarching \(\mathcal{N} = 4\) algebra have vanished, aside from the \(U(1)\) gauge symmetries. The algebra, therefore, contains no information on extra symmetries of the Lagrangian.

### 2.3.2 Reduction to \(\mathcal{N} = 2\) FH

The transformation laws for the embedded \(\mathcal{N} = 2\) FH system are
\[
\begin{align*}
\tilde{D}^i_a A^j &= \delta^{ij}\tilde{\psi}^1_a + i(\sigma^2)^{ij}\tilde{\psi}^2_a, \\
\tilde{D}^i_a B^j &= i(\gamma^5)_{ab}[(\sigma^3)^{ij}\tilde{\psi}^1_b + (\sigma^1)^{ij}\tilde{\psi}^2_b], \\
\tilde{D}^i_a F^j &= (\gamma^\mu)_{ab}\partial_\mu[\delta^{ij}\tilde{\psi}^1_b + i(\sigma^2)^{ij}\tilde{\psi}^2_b], \\
\tilde{D}^i_a G^j &= i(\gamma^5\gamma^\mu)_{ab}\partial_\mu[(\sigma^3)^{ij}\tilde{\psi}^1_b + (\sigma^1)^{ij}\tilde{\psi}^2_b], \\
\tilde{D}^i_a \tilde{\psi}^1_b &= i(\gamma^\mu)_{ab}\partial_\mu A^j - iC_{ab}\tilde{F}^i + (\sigma^3)^{ij}[(\gamma^5)_{ab}\tilde{G}^j - (\gamma^5\gamma^\mu)_{ab}\partial_\mu \tilde{B}^j], \\
\tilde{D}^i_a \tilde{\psi}^2_b &= (\sigma^2)^{ij}[-(\gamma^\mu)_{ab}\partial_\mu A^j + C_{ab}\tilde{F}^i] + (\sigma^1)^{ij}[(\gamma^5)_{ab}\tilde{G}^j - (\gamma^5\gamma^\mu)_{ab}\partial_\mu \tilde{B}^j]
\end{align*}
\]
(2.26)
with algebra
\[
\begin{align*}
\{\tilde{D}^i_a, \tilde{D}^j_b\} \tilde{A}^k &= 2i\delta^{ij}(\gamma^\mu)_{ab}\partial_\mu \tilde{A}^k - 2i\tilde{Z}^{ijkm}C_{ab}\tilde{F}^m, \\
\{\tilde{D}^i_a, \tilde{D}^j_b\} \tilde{B}^k &= 2i\delta^{ij}(\gamma^\mu)_{ab}\partial_\mu \tilde{B}^k - 2i\tilde{Z}^{ijkm}C_{ab}\tilde{G}^m, \\
\{\tilde{D}^i_a, \tilde{D}^j_b\} \tilde{F}^k &= 2i\delta^{ij}(\gamma^\mu)_{ab}\partial_\mu \tilde{F}^k - 2i\tilde{Z}^{ijkm}C_{ab}\square \tilde{A}^m, \\
\{\tilde{D}^i_a, \tilde{D}^j_b\} \tilde{G}^k &= 2i\delta^{ij}(\gamma^\mu)_{ab}\partial_\mu \tilde{G}^k - 2i\tilde{Z}^{ijkm}C_{ab}\square \tilde{B}^m, \\
\{\tilde{D}^i_a, \tilde{D}^j_b\} \tilde{\psi}^1_c &= 2i\delta^{ij}(\gamma^\mu)_{ab}\partial_\mu \tilde{\psi}^1_c - 2i\tilde{Z}^{ijkm}C_{ab}(\gamma^\mu)_{c}d\partial_\mu \tilde{\psi}^m
\end{align*}
\]
(2.27)
where
\[
\tilde{Z}^{ijk} \equiv \delta^{im}\delta^{jk} - \delta^{ik}\delta^{jm}, \quad i, j, k, m = 1, 2.
\]
(2.28)
So only the couplings \((A^j, G^K)\) and \((F^j, G^K)\) have vanished from the overarching \(\mathcal{N} = 4\) theory. Couplings still remain between \((\tilde{A}^j, \tilde{F}^k)\) and \((\tilde{B}^j, \tilde{G}^k)\) and \((\tilde{\psi}^i_a, \tilde{\psi}^j_b)\).
3 Extra Symmetries of the Lagrangian

Here begins the main result of the paper. We list the first order bosonic symmetries unveiled directly by the central charges and internal symmetries. We next calculate from these symmetries first order fermionic and second order bosonic symmetries of the Lagrangian. We will notice that more symmetries exist which are not revealed by this algebra. We discuss the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SUSY-YM system first and the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ FH system last.

3.1 First Order Bosonic Symmetries

Contracting the coupling from the anticommutator on $A^J$ and $F^J$ in Eq. (2.11) with the Grassmann spinors $\varepsilon^a$ and $\chi^b_J$ results in the first order bosonic symmetry of the Lagrangian

$$\delta^{(1)}_{\text{BS}a} \left( \begin{array}{c} A^J \\ F^J \end{array} \right) \equiv \frac{\varepsilon^a \chi^b_J}{2!} \{ D_a, D_b^J \} \left( \begin{array}{c} A^J \\ F^J \end{array} \right) = \varepsilon^a \chi^b_J \varepsilon^{IJK} C_{ab} \left( F^K \square A^K \right). \quad (3.1)$$

Interestingly, contracting the coupling from the anticommutators on $A^K$ and $F^K$ in Eq. (2.9) with the Grassmann spinors $\varepsilon^a_I$ and $\chi^b_J$ results in a very similar first order bosonic symmetry of the Lagrangian

$$\delta^{(1)}_{\text{BS}b} \left( \begin{array}{c} A^K \\ F^K \end{array} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^a_I \chi^b_J Z^{IJKM} C_{ab} \left( F^M \square A^M \right). \quad (3.2)$$

In fact, these two symmetries are identical, and we can define them succinctly as:

$$\delta^{(1)}_{\text{BS}3}(T) \left( \begin{array}{c} A^K \\ F^K \end{array} \right) \equiv T^{KM} \left( \begin{array}{c} F^M \\ \square A^M \end{array} \right), \quad (3.3)$$

where

$$T^{KM} \equiv \left\{ \begin{array}{cl} \varepsilon^a_I \chi^b_J Z^{IJKM} C_{ab} \\
\varepsilon^a_I \chi^b_J \varepsilon^{IJKM} C_{ab} \end{array} \right. \quad (3.4)$$

The unique first order bosonic symmetries revealed by all the central charges and internal symmetries in this way are:

$$\delta^{(1)}_{\text{BS}1}(P) \left( \begin{array}{c} A^K \\ d \end{array} \right) \equiv P^K \left( -d \square A^K \right), \quad \delta^{(1)}_{\text{BS}2}(Q) \left( \begin{array}{c} B^K \\ d \end{array} \right) \equiv Q^K \left( -d \square B^K \right). \quad (3.5)$$
\[ \delta^{(1)}_{BS8}(U) \equiv (U^\mu)^K_\nu \partial_\mu \left( \frac{d}{F^K} \right) \]

\[ \delta^{(1)}_{BS9}(Q) \equiv Q^K(\gamma^\mu)_c^d \partial_\mu \left( \psi^K_d \right) - \lambda_d \]

\[ \delta^{(1)}_{BS10}(U) \equiv (U^\mu)^K_\nu (\gamma^5 \gamma^\nu \gamma^\mu)_c^d \partial_\mu \left( \psi^K_d \right) - \lambda_d \]

\[ \delta^{(1)}_{BS11}(P) \equiv P^K(\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_c^d \partial_\mu \left( \psi^K_d \right) - \lambda_d \]

\[ \delta^{(1)}_{BS12}(U) \psi^K_c \equiv W^{KM}(\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_c^d \partial_\mu \psi^K_d \]

\[ \delta^{(1)}_{BS13}(V) \psi^K_c \equiv (V^\nu)^KM(\gamma^5 \gamma^\nu \gamma^\mu)_c^d \partial_\mu \psi^K_d \]

\[ \delta^{(1)}_{BS14}(T) \psi^K_c \equiv T^{KM}(\gamma^\mu)_c^d \partial_\mu \psi^K_d \]

along with the \(U(1)\) gauge symmetries

\[ \delta G A_\nu \equiv Q^K \partial_\nu A^K, \quad \delta G A_\nu \equiv P^K \partial_\nu B^K, \]

\[ \delta A_\nu \equiv \epsilon^a \chi^b I ab \partial_\nu A^I, \quad \delta A_\nu \equiv \epsilon^a \chi^b I ab \partial_\nu B^I \]

where

\[ P^K \equiv \epsilon^a \chi^b I J K (\gamma^5)_{ab} \]

\[ Q^K \equiv \epsilon^a \chi^b I J K C_{ab} \]

\[ T^{KM} \equiv \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\epsilon^a \chi^b J K M C_{ab} \\
\epsilon^a \chi^b J K M C_{ab}
\end{array} \right\}, \quad (U^\mu)^K_\nu \equiv \epsilon^a \chi^b I J K (\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_{ab}, \]

\[ W^{KM} \equiv \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\epsilon^a \chi^b J K M (\gamma^5)_{ab} \\
\epsilon^a \chi^b J K M (\gamma^5)_{ab}
\end{array} \right\}, \quad (V^\mu)^KM \equiv \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\epsilon^a \chi^b J K M (\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_{ab} \\
\epsilon^a \chi^b J K M (\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_{ab}
\end{array} \right\} \]
The following identity proves useful in directly verifying these as Lagrangian symmetries:

$$(\gamma^5\gamma^{(\mu}\gamma^{\nu})^{(ab)} = 0 \quad (3.19)$$

where $()$ denotes symmetrization, i.e., $(\gamma^{(\mu})^{ab} = (\gamma^{\mu})^{ab} + (\gamma^{\mu})^{ba}$.

It is interesting to note here that because of the absence of $B^J$ to $F^J$ coupling in the algebra, this method fails to uncover the first order bosonic symmetry of the Lagrangian

$$\delta^{(1)}_{BS15}(T) \left( \begin{array}{c} B^K \\ F^K \end{array} \right) \equiv T^{KM} \left( \begin{array}{c} F^M \\ \Box B^M \end{array} \right) \quad (3.20)$$

In addition, Lagrangian symmetries such as

$$\delta^{(1)}_{BS16}(U) \left( \begin{array}{c} G^K \\ d \end{array} \right) \equiv (U^{(\mu})^K \partial_\mu \left( \begin{array}{c} d \\ G^K \end{array} \right) \quad (3.21)$$

$$\delta^{(1)}_{BS17}(U) \left( \begin{array}{c} F^K \\ A_\nu \end{array} \right) \equiv (U^{(\mu})^K \left( \begin{array}{c} \partial^\nu F_{\mu\nu} \\ \eta_{\mu\nu} F^K \end{array} \right) \quad (3.22)$$

also are not manifest in the algebra. We will leave all such symmetries not manifested by the algebra out of the remaining calculations of second order bosonic and first order fermionic symmetries, as we are investigating how the absence of these symmetries fails to uncover further symmetries down the line.

### 3.2 Second Order Bosonic Symmetries

By taking the commutators of each of the first order bosonic symmetries with each other, we reveal second order bosonic symmetries. This procedure will sometimes lead to redundant symmetries as in

$$\delta^{(2)}_{BS1a}(P_1, P_2) A^K \equiv [\delta^{(1)}_{BS1}(P_1), \delta^{(1)}_{BS1}(P_2)] A^K = \Lambda^{KJ}_{1,1}(P_1, P_2) \Box A^J$$

$$\delta^{(2)}_{BS1b}(T_1, T_2) A^K \equiv [\delta^{(1)}_{BS3}(T_1), \delta^{(1)}_{BS3}(T_2)] A^K = \Lambda^{JK}_{3,3}(T_1, T_2) \Box A^J \quad (3.23)$$

$$\delta^{(2)}_{BS1c}(W_1, W_2) A^J \equiv [\delta^{(1)}_{BS5}(W_1), \delta^{(1)}_{BS5}(W_2)] A^J = \Lambda^{IJ}_{5,5}(W_1, W_2) \Box A^I$$

where

$$\Lambda^{KJ}_{1,1}(P_1, P_2) \equiv P^K_1 P^J_2,$$

$$\Lambda^{JK}_{3,3}(T_1, T_2) \equiv T^K_{1,1} T^M_{2,2}, \quad (3.24)$$

$$\Lambda^{IJ}_{5,5}(W_1, W_2) \equiv W^K_{1,1} W^J_{2,2}$$

We can succinctly write these three redundant symmetries as one

$$\delta^{(2)}_{BS1}(A_1) A^K \equiv \Lambda^{KJ}_{1,1} \Box A^J \quad (3.25)$$
where \((\Lambda_1)^{KJ}\) is an arbitrary \(3 \times 3\) matrix and \([\ ]\) denotes antisymmetrization:

\[
(\Lambda_1)^{[KJ]} = (\Lambda_1)^{KJ} - (\Lambda_1)^{JK}.
\]  

(3.26)

In Appendix A.1.1, we list all the second order bosonic symmetries which are calculated in this way, including their redundancies. Here, we list only the unique symmetries, written in terms of the arbitrary matrices \((\Lambda_1)^{KJ}, (\Lambda_2)^{\mu\nu}_J, (\Lambda_3)^{IJ}, (\Lambda_4)^{K}, \Lambda_5^K\), and \((\Lambda_6)^{\mu\nu}_J\):

\[
\begin{align*}
\delta_{BS1}^{(2)}(\Lambda_1) A^K &\equiv \Lambda_1^{[KJ]} \Box A^J, & \delta_{BS2}^{(2)}(\Lambda_1) B^K &\equiv \Lambda_1^{[KJ]} \Box B^J \\
\delta_{BS3}^{(2)}(\Lambda_1) F^K &\equiv \Lambda_1^{[KJ]} \Box F^J, & \delta_{BS4}^{(2)}(\Lambda_1) G^K &\equiv \Lambda_1^{[KJ]} \Box G^K \\
\delta_{BS5}^{(2)}(\Lambda_2) F^J &\equiv (\Lambda_2)^{[\mu\nu]}_J \partial_\mu \partial_\nu F^\nu, & \delta_{BS6}^{(2)}(\Lambda_2) G^J &\equiv (\Lambda_2)^{[\mu\nu]}_J \partial_\mu \partial_\nu G^\nu \\
\delta_{BS7}^{(2)}(\Lambda_2) A_\nu &\equiv \eta_{\nu\beta}(\Lambda_2)^{[\mu\beta]} \Box \partial^\alpha F_{\mu\alpha} \\
\delta_{BS8}^{(2)}(\Lambda_1) \begin{pmatrix} A^K \\ B^K \end{pmatrix} &\equiv \Lambda_1^{IJ} \begin{pmatrix} \delta^{IK} \Box B^J \\ -\delta^{JK} \Box A^I \end{pmatrix} \\
\delta_{BS9}^{(2)}(\Lambda_3) \begin{pmatrix} A^K \\ F^K \end{pmatrix} &\equiv (\Lambda_3)^{\mu\nu}_J \begin{pmatrix} \delta^{IK} \Box \partial_\mu F^J \\ \delta^{JK} \Box \partial_\mu A^I \end{pmatrix} \\
\delta_{BS10}^{(2)}(\Lambda_3) \begin{pmatrix} B^K \\ F^K \end{pmatrix} &\equiv (\Lambda_3)^{\mu\nu}_J \begin{pmatrix} \delta^{IK} \Box \partial_\mu F^J \\ \delta^{JK} \Box \partial_\mu B^I \end{pmatrix} \\
\delta_{BS11}^{(2)}(\Lambda_3) \begin{pmatrix} A^J \\ G^J \end{pmatrix} &\equiv (\Lambda_3)^{\mu\nu}_J \begin{pmatrix} \delta^{IJ} \Box \partial_\mu G^K \\ \delta^{JK} \Box \partial_\mu A^I \end{pmatrix} \\
\delta_{BS12}^{(2)}(\Lambda_4) \begin{pmatrix} A^K \\ d \end{pmatrix} &\equiv (\Lambda_4)^{\mu\nu}_J \begin{pmatrix} \partial_\mu d \\ \partial_\mu \Box A^K \end{pmatrix} \\
\delta_{BS13}^{(2)}(\Lambda_4) \begin{pmatrix} A^J \\ A_\nu \end{pmatrix} &\equiv (\Lambda_4)^{\mu\nu}_J \begin{pmatrix} \partial^\nu F_{\mu\nu} \\ \eta_{\nu\beta} \Box A^J \end{pmatrix} \\
\delta_{BS14}^{(2)}(\Lambda_4) \begin{pmatrix} B^J \\ A_\nu \end{pmatrix} &\equiv (\Lambda_4)^{\mu\nu}_J \begin{pmatrix} \partial^\nu F_{\mu\nu} \\ \eta_{\nu\beta} \Box B^J \end{pmatrix} \\
\delta_{BS15}^{(2)}(\Lambda_5) \begin{pmatrix} F^K \\ d \end{pmatrix} &\equiv \Lambda_5^K \begin{pmatrix} \Box d \\ -\Box F^K \end{pmatrix} \\
\delta_{BS16}^{(2)}(\Lambda_5) \begin{pmatrix} G^K \\ d \end{pmatrix} &\equiv \Lambda_5^K \begin{pmatrix} \Box d \\ -\Box G^K \end{pmatrix}
\end{align*}
\]

(3.27)
\[ \delta_{BS17}(\Lambda_6) \left( \begin{array}{c} G^J \\ d \end{array} \right) \equiv (\Lambda_6^{\mu\nu})^J \begin{pmatrix} \partial_\mu \partial_\nu d \\ -\partial_\mu \partial_\nu G^J \end{pmatrix} \]  \hfill (3.37)

\[ \delta_{BS18}(\Lambda_1) \begin{pmatrix} F^J \\ G^J \end{pmatrix} \equiv \Lambda_1^{JK} \begin{pmatrix} \delta^{IJ} \Box G^K \\ -\delta^{JK} F^I \end{pmatrix} \]  \hfill (3.38)

\[ \delta_{BS19}(\Lambda_6) \left( \begin{array}{c} F^J \\ A_\alpha \end{array} \right) \equiv (\Lambda_6^{\mu\nu})^J(U,V) \begin{pmatrix} \partial_\nu \partial^\alpha F_{\mu\alpha} \\ -\eta_{\mu\alpha} \partial_\nu F^J \end{pmatrix} \]  \hfill (3.39)

and

\[ \delta_{BS20}(\Lambda_1) \psi^K_c \equiv \Lambda_1^{JK} \Box \psi^J_c \]  \hfill (3.40)

\[ \delta_{BS21}(\Lambda_2) \psi^K_c \equiv [(\Lambda_2^{\rho\sigma})^{JK} - (\Lambda_2^{\sigma\rho})^{JK}] (\gamma_\rho \gamma_\mu \gamma_\sigma \gamma_\nu)^d_c \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \psi^J_d \]  \hfill (3.41)

\[ \delta_{BS22}(\Lambda_2) \lambda_c \equiv (\Lambda_2^{[\mu\nu]})^{KK} (\gamma_\mu \gamma_\nu)^c_d \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \lambda_d \]  \hfill (3.42)

\[ \delta_{BS23}(\Lambda_3) \psi^K_c \equiv (\Lambda_3^{\mu\nu})^{JK}(\gamma_\mu \gamma_\nu)^c_d \Box \psi^J_d \]  \hfill (3.43)

\[ \delta_{BS24}(\Lambda_3) \lambda_c \equiv (\Lambda_3^{\nu})^{KK}(\gamma_\nu) c_d \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \lambda_d \]  \hfill (3.44)

\[ \delta_{BS25}(\Lambda_3) \psi^K_c \equiv (\Lambda_3^{[\mu\nu]})^{JK}(\gamma_\mu \gamma_\nu)^c_d \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \psi^J_d \]  \hfill (3.45)

\[ \delta_{BS26}(\Lambda_3) \psi^K_c \equiv (\Lambda_3^{\mu\nu})^{JK}(\gamma_\mu c_d \Box \psi^J_d + 2(\Lambda_3^{\mu\nu})^{JK}(\gamma_\nu)^c_d \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \psi^J_d) \]  \hfill (3.46)

\[ \delta_{BS27}(\Lambda_3) \lambda_c \equiv (\Lambda_3^{[\mu\nu]})^{KK}(\gamma_\nu)^c_d \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \lambda_d \]  \hfill (3.47)

\[ \delta_{BS28}(\Lambda_1) \psi^K_c \equiv \Lambda_1^{JK}(\gamma_5)^c_d \Box \psi^J_d \]  \hfill (3.48)

\[ \delta_{BS29}(\Lambda_1) \lambda_c \equiv \Lambda_1^{KK}(\gamma_5)^c_d \Box \lambda_d \]  \hfill (3.49)

\[ \delta_{BS30}(\Lambda_5) \left( \begin{array}{c} \lambda_c \\ \psi^K_c \end{array} \right) \equiv \Lambda_5^{JK}(\gamma_5)^c_d \begin{pmatrix} \Box \psi^K_d \\ \Box \lambda_d \end{pmatrix} \]  \hfill (3.50)

\[ \delta_{BS31}(\Lambda_5) \left( \begin{array}{c} \lambda_c \\ \psi^K_c \end{array} \right) \equiv \Lambda_5^{K}(\begin{array}{c} \Box \psi^K_c \\ -\Box \lambda_c \end{array}) \]  \hfill (3.51)

\[ \delta_{BS32}(\Lambda_4) \left( \begin{array}{c} \lambda_c \\ \psi^K_c \end{array} \right) \equiv (\Lambda_4^{[\alpha\beta]})^K \begin{pmatrix} (\gamma_5 \gamma^\nu \gamma_\alpha \gamma_\nu)^c_d \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \psi^K_d \\ (\gamma_5 \gamma_\alpha)^c_d \Box \lambda_d \end{pmatrix} \]  \hfill (3.52)

\[ \delta_{BS33}(\Lambda_4) \left( \begin{array}{c} \lambda_c \\ \psi^K_c \end{array} \right) \equiv (\Lambda_4^{[\alpha\beta]})^K \begin{pmatrix} (\gamma_\mu)^c_d \Box \psi^K_d \\ (\gamma_\mu \gamma_\alpha)^c_d \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \lambda_d \end{pmatrix} \]  \hfill (3.53)

\[ \delta_{BS34}(\Lambda_4) \left( \begin{array}{c} \lambda_c \\ \psi^K_c \end{array} \right) \equiv (\Lambda_4^{[\alpha\beta]})^K \begin{pmatrix} (\gamma_\mu)^c_d \Box \psi^K_d \\ (\gamma_\mu \gamma_\alpha)^c_d \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \lambda_d \end{pmatrix} \]  \hfill (3.54)

\[ \delta_{BS35}(\Lambda_4) \left( \begin{array}{c} \lambda_c \\ \psi^K_c \end{array} \right) \equiv (\Lambda_4^{[\alpha\beta]})^K \begin{pmatrix} (\gamma_\mu)^c_d \Box \psi^K_d \\ (\gamma_\mu)^c_d \Box \lambda_d \end{pmatrix} \]  \hfill (3.55)
This analysis seems to not miss any second order bosonic symmetries which act
on the fermions $\lambda_a$ and $\psi^J_a$. However, the missing first order bosonic symmetries
alluded to previously which act on the bosons clearly manifest themselves here in
missing second order bosonic symmetries. Basically, as the fields $A^J$ and $B^J$ enter
the Lagrangian in the same way, they should have the same first and second order
symmetries. The same should hold for $F^J$ and $G^J$. But clearly since, for example,
the algebra is not symmetric between exchange of $A^J \leftrightarrow B^J$ or $F^J \leftrightarrow G^J$,
Lagrangian symmetries involving these field pairs will be missed when generated fr om the algebra
in the manner presented here.

### 3.3 First Order Fermionic Symmetries

Analogous to how we found the second order bosonic symmetries, we can uncover
first order fermionic symmetries through calculations such as:

\[
\delta^{(1)}_{FS19}(P) \left( \frac{d}{\psi^J_b} \right) \equiv -\varepsilon^a [D_a, \delta^{(1)}_{BS1}(P)] \left( \frac{d}{\psi^J_b} \right) = \varepsilon^a P^J \left( \Box \psi^J_a \right)
\]

All such possible calculations are listed in the Appendix A.1.2, some of which are
redundant as in the second order bosonic case. Here is listed only the unique sym-
metries.

\[
\delta^{(1)}_{FS1}(P) \left( \frac{A^K}{\psi^J_b} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^a P^K \left( \frac{i(\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu) a b \partial_\mu \psi^J_b}{(\gamma^5)_{ab} \Box A^K} \right)
\]

(3.58)

\[
\delta^{(1)}_{FS2}(P) \left( \frac{A^J}{\lambda_b} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^a P^J \left( \frac{i(\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu) a b \partial_\mu \lambda_b}{(\gamma^5)_{ab} \Box A^J} \right)
\]

(3.59)

\[
\delta^{(1)}_{FS3}(Q) \left( \frac{B^J}{\lambda_b} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^a Q^J \left( \frac{i(\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu) a b \partial_\mu \lambda_b}{(\gamma^5)_{ab} \Box B^J} \right)
\]

(3.60)

\[
\delta^{(1)}_{FS4}(Q) \left( \frac{B^K}{\psi^J_b} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^a Q^K \left( \frac{i(\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu) a b \partial_\mu \psi^J_b}{(\gamma^5)_{ab} \Box B^K} \right)
\]

(3.61)

\[
\delta^{(1)}_{FS5}(P) \left( \frac{B^K}{\lambda_b} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^a P^K \left( \frac{i(\gamma^\mu) a b \partial_\mu \lambda_b}{C_{ab} \Box B^K} \right)
\]

(3.62)

\[
\delta^{(1)}_{FS6}(P) \left( \frac{B^J}{\psi^K_b} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^a P^J \left( \frac{i(\gamma^\mu) a b \partial_\mu \psi^K_b}{C_{ab} \Box B^J} \right)
\]

(3.63)
\[ \delta^{(1)}_{FS7}(Q) \left( \frac{A^I}{\lambda_b} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^a Q^I \left( \gamma^\mu \right)_a^b \partial_\mu \lambda_b - iC_{ab} \Box A^I \] (3.64)

\[ \delta^{(1)}_{FS8}(Q) \left( \frac{A^I}{\psi^K_b} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^a_i Q^K \left( \gamma^\mu \right)_a^b \partial_\mu \psi^K_b - iC_{ab} \Box A^I \] (3.65)

and

\[ \delta^{(1)}_{FS9}(P) \left( \frac{F^K}{\lambda_b} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^a P^K \left( \gamma^5 \right)_a^b \Box \lambda_b + i(\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu F^K \] (3.66)

\[ \delta^{(1)}_{FS10}(P) \left( \frac{F^J}{\psi^K_b} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^a_P P^K \left( \gamma^5 \right)_a^b \Box \psi^K_b + i(\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu F^J \] (3.67)

\[ \delta^{(1)}_{FS11}(Q) \left( \frac{G^J}{\lambda_b} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^a Q^J \left( \gamma^5 \right)_a^b \Box \lambda_b + i(\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu G^J \] (3.68)

\[ \delta^{(1)}_{FS12}(Q) \left( \frac{G^I}{\psi^K_b} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^a_i Q^K \left( \gamma^5 \right)_a^b \Box \psi^K_b + i(\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu G^I \] (3.69)

\[ \delta^{(1)}_{FS13}(Q) \left( \frac{d}{\psi^K_b} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^a Q^J \left( \gamma^5 \right)_a^b \Box \psi^K_b - i(\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu d \] (3.70)

\[ \delta^{(1)}_{FS14}(Q) \left( \frac{d}{\lambda_b} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^a_i Q^J \left( \gamma^5 \right)_a^b \Box \lambda_b - i(\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu d \] (3.71)

and

\[ \delta^{(1)}_{FS15}(Q) \left( \frac{F^I}{\psi^K_b} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^a_i Q^K \left( \gamma^\mu \right)_a^b \Box \psi^K_a + i(\gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu F^I \] (3.72)

\[ \delta^{(1)}_{FS16}(Q) \left( \frac{F^J}{\lambda_b} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^a Q^J \left( \gamma^\mu \right)_a^b \Box \lambda_b + i(\gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu F^J \] (3.73)

\[ \delta^{(1)}_{FS17}(P) \left( \frac{G^K}{\lambda_b} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^a P^K \left( \gamma^\mu \right)_a^b \Box \lambda_b + i(\gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu G^K \] (3.74)

\[ \delta^{(1)}_{FS18}(P) \left( \frac{G^J}{\psi^K_b} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^a_i P^K \left( \gamma^\mu \right)_a^b \Box \psi^K_a + i(\gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu G^J \] (3.75)

\[ \delta^{(1)}_{FS19}(P) \left( \frac{d}{\psi^K_b} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^a P^J \left( \gamma^\mu \right)_a^b \Box \psi^K_a + i(\gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu d \] (3.76)

\[ \delta^{(1)}_{FS20}(P) \left( \frac{d}{\lambda_b} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^a_i P^J \left( \gamma^\mu \right)_a^b \Box \lambda_b + i(\gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu d \] (3.77)
and

\[
\delta_{FS21}^{(1)}(T) \left( \begin{array}{c} A^J \\ \psi_b^j \end{array} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^a T^{JM} \left( \begin{array}{c} (\gamma^\mu)_a^b \partial_\mu \psi_b^M \\ iC_{ab} \Box A^M \end{array} \right)
\]

(3.78)

\[
\delta_{FS22}^{(1)}(W) \left( \begin{array}{c} B^J \\ \psi_b^j \end{array} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^a W^{JM} \left( \begin{array}{c} (\gamma^\mu)_a^b \partial_\mu \psi_b^M \\ iC_{ab} \Box B^M \end{array} \right)
\]

(3.79)

\[
\delta_{FS23}^{(1)}(T) \left( \begin{array}{c} A^K \\ \lambda_b \end{array} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^a T^{IK} \left( \begin{array}{c} i(\gamma^\mu)_a^b \partial_\mu \lambda_b \\ C_{ab} \Box A^K \end{array} \right)
\]

(3.80)

\[
\delta_{FS24}^{(1)}(T) \left( \begin{array}{c} A^M \\ \psi_b^j \end{array} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^a \epsilon^{IJK} T^{KM} \left( \begin{array}{c} i(\gamma^\mu)_a^b \partial_\mu \psi_b^J \\ C_{ab} \Box A^M \end{array} \right)
\]

(3.81)

\[
\delta_{FS25}^{(1)}(T) \left( \begin{array}{c} A^J \\ \psi_b^j \end{array} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^a \epsilon^{IJK} T^{KM} \left( \begin{array}{c} i(\gamma^\mu)_a^b \partial_\mu \psi_b^M \\ C_{ab} \Box A^J \end{array} \right)
\]

(3.82)

\[
\delta_{FS26}^{(1)}(W) \left( \begin{array}{c} B^J \\ \psi_b^j \end{array} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^a \epsilon^{IJK} W^{KM} \left( \begin{array}{c} i(\gamma^\mu)_a^b \partial_\mu \psi_b^J \\ C_{ab} \Box B^J \end{array} \right)
\]

(3.83)

and

\[
\delta_{FS27}^{(1)}(W) \left( \begin{array}{c} A^J \\ \psi_b^j \end{array} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^a W^{JM} \left( \begin{array}{c} (\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_a^b \partial_\mu \psi_b^M \\ i(\gamma^5)_{ab} \Box A^M \end{array} \right)
\]

(3.84)

\[
\delta_{FS28}^{(1)}(T) \left( \begin{array}{c} B^J \\ \psi_b^j \end{array} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^a T^{JM} \left( \begin{array}{c} (\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_a^b \partial_\mu \psi_b^M \\ i(\gamma^5)_{ab} \Box B^M \end{array} \right)
\]

(3.85)

\[
\delta_{FS29}^{(1)}(W) \left( \begin{array}{c} A^M \\ \lambda_b \end{array} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^a W^{JM} \left( \begin{array}{c} i(\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_a^b \partial_\mu \lambda_b \\ (\gamma^5)_{ab} \Box A^M \end{array} \right)
\]

(3.86)

\[
\delta_{FS30}^{(1)}(W) \left( \begin{array}{c} A^J \\ \psi_b^j \end{array} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^a \epsilon^{IJK} W^{KM} \left( \begin{array}{c} i(\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_a^b \partial_\mu \psi_b^M \\ (\gamma^5)_{ab} \Box A^J \end{array} \right)
\]

(3.87)

\[
\delta_{FS31}^{(1)}(W) \left( \begin{array}{c} A^M \\ \psi_b^j \end{array} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^a \epsilon^{IJK} W^{KM} \left( \begin{array}{c} i(\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_a^b \partial_\mu \psi_b^J \\ (\gamma^5)_{ab} \Box A^M \end{array} \right)
\]

(3.88)

\[
\delta_{FS32}^{(1)}(T) \left( \begin{array}{c} B^K \\ \lambda_b \end{array} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^a T^{IK} \left( \begin{array}{c} i(\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_a^b \partial_\mu \lambda_b \\ (\gamma^5)_{ab} \Box B^K \end{array} \right)
\]

(3.89)

\[
\delta_{FS33}^{(1)}(T) \left( \begin{array}{c} B^J \\ \psi_b^j \end{array} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^a \epsilon^{IJK} T^{KM} \left( \begin{array}{c} i(\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_a^b \partial_\mu \psi_b^M \\ (\gamma^5)_{ab} \Box B^J \end{array} \right)
\]

(3.90)

\[
\delta_{FS34}^{(1)}(T) \left( \begin{array}{c} B^K \\ \psi_b^j \end{array} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^a \epsilon^{IJK} T^{KM} \left( \begin{array}{c} i(\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_a^b \partial_\mu \psi_b^J \\ (\gamma^5)_{ab} \Box B^K \end{array} \right)
\]

(3.91)
\[
\delta^{(1)}_{FS35}(T) \left( G^J_{\psi_b^M} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^a T^{JM} \left( i(\gamma^5)^b_a \square \psi_b^M \right) (\gamma^5_{a\mu})_{ab} \partial_\mu G^M \tag{3.92}
\]

\[
\delta^{(1)}_{FS36}(W) \left( F^J_{\psi_b^M} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^a W^{JM} \left( i(\gamma^5)^b_a \square \psi_b^M \right) (\gamma^5_{a\mu})_{ab} \partial_\mu F^M \tag{3.93}
\]

\[
\delta^{(1)}_{FS37}(W) \left( F^J_{\psi_b^M} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^a W^{JKM} \left( (\gamma^5)^b_a \square \psi_b^M \right) i(\gamma^5_{a\mu})_{ab} \partial_\mu G^J \tag{3.94}
\]

\[
\delta^{(1)}_{FS38}(T) \left( G^K_{\lambda_b} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^a T^{JK} \left( (\gamma^5)^b_a \square \lambda_b \right) i(\gamma^5_{a\mu})_{ab} \partial_\mu G^K \tag{3.95}
\]

\[
\delta^{(1)}_{FS39}(T) \left( G^K_{\psi_b^J} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^a T^{JK} \left( (\gamma^5)^b_a \square \psi_b^J \right) i(\gamma^5_{a\mu})_{ab} \partial_\mu G^K \tag{3.96}
\]

\[
\delta^{(1)}_{FS40}(T) \left( G^J_{\psi_b^M} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^a T^{JK} \left( (\gamma^5)^b_a \square \psi_b^M \right) i(\gamma^5_{a\mu})_{ab} \partial_\mu F^J \tag{3.97}
\]

\[
\delta^{(1)}_{FS41}(T) \left( d_{\psi_b^M} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^a T^{JM} \left( (\gamma^5)^b_a \square d \right) i(\gamma^5_{a\mu})_{ab} \partial_\mu d \tag{3.98}
\]

and

\[
\delta^{(1)}_{FS42}(V) \left( F^J_{\psi_b^M} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^a (V^\mu)^{JM} \left( i(\gamma^5)^b_a \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \psi_b^M \right) (\gamma^5)_{ab} \partial_\mu F^M \tag{3.99}
\]

\[
\delta^{(1)}_{FS43}(V) \left( F^J_{\psi_b^M} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^a (V^\mu)^{JM} \left( (\gamma^5_\gamma \gamma^5_\rho \gamma^\mu)^b_a \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \psi_b^M \right) i(\gamma^5_{a\mu})_{ab} \partial_\mu F^M \tag{3.100}
\]

\[
\delta^{(1)}_{FS44}(V) \left( F^M_{\lambda_b} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^a (V^\mu)^{JM} \left( (\gamma^5_\gamma \gamma^5_\rho \gamma^\mu)^b_a \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \lambda_b \right) i(\gamma^5_{a\mu})_{ab} \partial_\mu F^M \tag{3.101}
\]

\[
\delta^{(1)}_{FS45}(V) \left( F^M_{\psi_b^J} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^a T^{JK} \left( (\gamma^5_\gamma \gamma^5_\rho \gamma^\mu)^b_a \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \psi_b^J \right) i(\gamma^5_{a\mu})_{ab} \partial_\mu F^M \tag{3.102}
\]

\[
\delta^{(1)}_{FS46}(U) \left( F^J_{\lambda_b} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^a (U^\mu)^J \left( (\gamma^5_\gamma \gamma^5_\rho \gamma^\mu)^b_a \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \lambda_b \right) i(\gamma^5_{a\mu})_{ab} \partial_\mu F^J \tag{3.103}
\]

\[
\delta^{(1)}_{FS47}(U) \left( F^K_{\psi_b^J} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^a (U^\mu)^K \left( (\gamma^5_\gamma \gamma^5_\rho \gamma^\mu)^b_a \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \psi_b^J \right) i(\gamma^5_{a\mu})_{ab} \partial_\mu F^K \tag{3.104}
\]

\[
\delta^{(1)}_{FS48}(V) \left( F^J_{\psi_b^M} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^a T^{JK} \left( (\gamma^5_\gamma \gamma^5_\rho \gamma^\mu)^b_a \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \psi_b^M \right) -i(\gamma^5_{a\mu})_{ab} \partial_\mu F^J \tag{3.105}
\]
\[ \delta_{FS49}(U) \left( \frac{F^K}{\lambda_b} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^a(U^\mu)^K \left( (\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu \gamma^\rho \gamma^\nu)_a^b \partial_{\mu} \partial_{\lambda_b} - i(\gamma^5 \gamma^\rho \gamma^\mu)_ba \partial_{\mu} F^K \right) \]  
(3.106)

\[ \delta_{FS50}(U) \left( \frac{F^J}{\psi_b^K} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^a(U^\rho)^K \left( (\gamma^5 \gamma^\nu \gamma^\rho \gamma^\mu)_a^b \partial_{\mu} \partial_{\psi_b^K} - i(\gamma^5 \gamma^\rho \gamma^\mu)_ba \partial_{\mu} F^J \right) \]  
(3.107)

and

\[ \delta_{FS51}(U) \left( \frac{G^J}{\lambda_b} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^a(U^\mu)^J \left( \partial^\nu \partial_{\mu} \left( \gamma^\nu \right)_a^b \lambda_b \right) \]  
(3.108)

\[ \delta_{FS52}(U) \left( \frac{G^K}{\psi_b^I} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^a(U^\mu)^K \left( \partial^\nu \partial_{[\mu} \left( \gamma^\nu \right)_a^b \psi_b^I \right) \]  
(3.109)

\[ \delta_{FS53}(U) \left( \frac{G^K}{\lambda_b} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^a(U^\rho)^K \left( i(\gamma^\mu \gamma^\rho \gamma^\nu)_a^b \partial_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} \lambda_b \right) \]  
(3.110)

\[ \delta_{FS54}(U) \left( \frac{d}{\psi_b^J} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^a(U^\mu)^J \left( (\gamma^\nu)_a^b \partial_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} \psi_b^J \right) \]  
(3.111)

\[ \delta_{FS55}(U) \left( \frac{d}{\lambda_b} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^a(U^\mu)^I \left( (\gamma^\nu)_a^b \partial_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} \lambda_b \right) \]  
(3.112)

\[ \delta_{FS56}(U) \left( \frac{G^J}{\psi_b^I} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^a(V^\rho)^{JM} \left( i(\gamma^\mu \gamma^\rho \gamma^\nu)_a^b \partial_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} \psi_b^M \right) \]  
(3.113)

\[ \delta_{FS57}(U) \left( \frac{G^J}{\psi_b^I} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^a(V^\mu)^{JM} \left( (\gamma^\nu)_a^b \partial_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} \psi_b^M \right) \]  
(3.114)

\[ \delta_{FS58}(U) \left( \frac{G^J}{\psi_b^I} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^a(U^\rho)^{JM} \left( i(\gamma^\mu \gamma^\rho \gamma^\nu)_a^b \partial_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} \psi_b^K \right) \]  
(3.115)

\[ \delta_{FS59}(U) \left( \frac{G^M}{\lambda_b} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^a(V^\mu)^{IM} \left( (\gamma^\nu)_a^b \partial_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} \lambda_b \right) \]  
(3.116)

\[ \delta_{FS60}(U) \left( \frac{G^M}{\psi_b^I} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^a(V^\mu)^{IM} \left( i(\gamma^\nu)_a^b \partial_{\nu} \partial_{\psi_b^I} \right) \]  
(3.117)

\[ \delta_{FS61}(V) \left( \frac{G^J}{\psi_b^I} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^a(V^\rho)^{JK} \left( i(\gamma^\mu \gamma^\rho \gamma^\nu)_a^b \partial_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} \psi_a^N \right) \]  
(3.118)

\[ \delta_{FS62}(U) \left( \frac{d}{\lambda_b} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^a(U^\rho)^I \left( i(\gamma^\mu \gamma^\rho \gamma^\nu)_a^b \partial_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} \lambda_b \right) \]  
(3.119)
\[ \delta^{(1)}_{FS63}(V) \left( \frac{d}{\psi_{b}^{M}} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^{a}_{l}(V^{\rho})^{IM} \left( i(\gamma_{\mu}^{a} \gamma_{\rho}^{a})_{b}^{b} \partial_{\mu} \psi_{b}^{M} \right. \]
\[ \left. \left( \gamma_{\rho}^{a} \right)_{ba} \partial_{\mu} d \right) \quad (3.120) \]

and

\[ \delta^{(1)}_{FS64}(P) \left( \frac{A_{\mu}}{\lambda_{b}} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^{a}_{l} p^{l} \left( (\gamma_{5}^{a} \gamma_{\rho}^{a})_{b}^{b} \partial_{\mu} \lambda_{b} \right. \]
\[ \left. -i(\gamma_{5}^{a} \gamma_{\rho}^{a})_{ab} \partial^{a} F_{\alpha\beta} \right) \quad (3.121) \]

\[ \delta^{(1)}_{FS65}(P) \left( \frac{A_{\mu}}{\psi_{b}^{K}} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^{a} p^{K} \left( (\gamma_{5}^{a} \gamma_{\rho}^{a})_{b}^{b} \partial_{\mu} \psi_{b}^{K} \right. \]
\[ \left. -i(\gamma_{5}^{a} \gamma_{\rho}^{a})_{ab} \partial^{a} F_{\alpha\beta} \right) \quad (3.122) \]

\[ \delta^{(1)}_{FS66}(Q) \left( \frac{A_{\mu}}{\psi_{b}^{j}} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^{a} Q^{j} \left( - (\gamma_{\mu}^{a} \gamma_{\rho}^{a})_{b}^{b} \partial_{\mu} \psi_{b}^{j} \right. \]
\[ \left. \frac{1}{2} (\gamma_{a}^{a} \sigma^{a\mu})_{ba} \partial_{a} F_{\mu\nu} \right) \quad (3.123) \]

\[ \delta^{(1)}_{FS67}(Q) \left( \frac{A_{\mu}}{\lambda_{b}} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^{a} Q^{j} \left( - (\gamma_{\mu}^{a} \gamma_{\rho}^{a})_{b}^{b} \partial_{\mu} \lambda_{b} \right. \]
\[ \left. \frac{1}{2} (\gamma_{a}^{a} \sigma^{a\mu})_{ba} \partial_{a} F_{\mu\nu} \right) \quad (3.124) \]

\[ \delta^{(1)}_{FS68}(T) \left( \frac{A_{\mu}}{\psi_{b}^{M}} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^{a} T^{IM} \left( (\gamma_{\mu}^{a} \gamma_{\rho}^{a})_{b}^{b} \partial_{\mu} \psi_{b}^{M} \right. \]
\[ \left. - \frac{1}{2} (\gamma_{a}^{a} \sigma^{a\mu})_{ba} \partial_{a} F_{\mu\nu} \right) \quad (3.125) \]

\[ \delta^{(1)}_{FS69}(W) \left( \frac{A_{\mu}}{\psi_{b}^{M}} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^{a} W^{IM} \left( - (\gamma_{5}^{a} \gamma_{\rho}^{a})_{b}^{b} \partial_{\mu} \psi_{b}^{M} \right. \]
\[ \left. - \frac{1}{2} (\gamma_{5}^{a} \gamma_{a}^{a} \sigma^{a\mu})_{ba} \partial_{a} F_{\mu\nu} \right) \quad (3.126) \]

\[ \delta^{(1)}_{FS70}(U) \left( \frac{A_{\mu}}{\psi_{b}^{j}} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^{a} (U_{\mu})^{j} \left( i(\gamma_{5}^{a} \gamma_{\rho}^{a})_{b}^{b} \partial_{\mu} \psi_{b}^{j} \right. \]
\[ \left. - (\gamma_{5}^{a} \gamma_{\rho}^{a})_{ab} \partial_{\mu} F_{\mu\nu} \right) \quad (3.127) \]

\[ \delta^{(1)}_{FS71}(U) \left( \frac{A_{\mu}}{\lambda_{b}} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^{a} (U_{\mu})^{j} \left( - i(\gamma_{5}^{a} \gamma_{\rho}^{a})_{b}^{b} \partial_{\mu} \lambda_{b} \right. \]
\[ \left. (\gamma_{5}^{a} \gamma_{\rho}^{a})_{ab} \partial_{\mu} F_{\mu\nu} \right) \quad (3.128) \]

\[ \delta^{(1)}_{FS72}(U) \left( \frac{A_{\mu}}{\lambda_{b}} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^{a} (U_{\mu})^{j} \left( \frac{1}{2} (\gamma_{5}^{a} \gamma_{\rho}^{a} \sigma^{a\beta})_{ba} \partial_{a} F_{\alpha\beta} \right. \]
\[ \left. \frac{1}{2} (\gamma_{5}^{a} \gamma_{a}^{a} \sigma^{a\mu})_{ba} \partial_{a} F_{\mu\nu} \right) \quad (3.129) \]

\[ \delta^{(1)}_{FS73}(V) \left( \frac{A_{\mu}}{\psi_{b}^{M}} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^{a} (V_{\rho})^{IM} \left( \frac{1}{2} (\gamma_{5}^{a} \gamma_{\rho}^{a} \sigma^{a\beta})_{ba} \partial_{a} F_{\alpha\beta} \right. \]
\[ \left. \frac{1}{2} (\gamma_{5}^{a} \gamma_{a}^{a} \sigma^{a\mu})_{ba} \partial_{a} F_{\mu\nu} \right) \quad (3.130) \]

and

\[ \delta^{(1)}_{FS74}(U) \left( \frac{A^{J}}{\psi_{b}^{j}} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^{a}_{J} (U_{\rho})^{K} \left( - (\gamma_{5}^{a} \gamma_{\rho}^{a})_{b}^{b} \partial_{\mu} \psi_{b}^{K} \right. \]
\[ \left. i(\gamma_{5}^{a} \gamma_{\rho}^{a})_{ab} \Box A^{J} \right) \quad (3.131) \]

\[ \delta^{(1)}_{FS75}(V) \left( \frac{A^{J}}{\psi_{b}^{j}} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^{a}_{J} e^{JK} (V_{\rho})^{KM} \left( - (\gamma_{5}^{a} \gamma_{\rho}^{a})_{b}^{b} \partial_{\mu} \psi_{b}^{M} \right. \]
\[ \left. i(\gamma_{5}^{a} \gamma_{\rho}^{a})_{ab} \Box A^{J} \right) \quad (3.132) \]

\[ \delta^{(1)}_{FS76}(V) \left( \frac{A^{J}}{\psi_{b}^{j}} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^{a}_{J} (V_{\rho})^{JM} \left( (\gamma_{5}^{a} \gamma_{\rho}^{a})_{b}^{b} \partial_{\mu} \psi_{b}^{M} \right. \]
\[ \left. i(\gamma_{5}^{a} \gamma_{\rho}^{a})_{ab} \Box A^{M} \right) \quad (3.133) \]
\[ \delta_{FS77}(U) \begin{pmatrix} A^K \\ \lambda_b \end{pmatrix} \equiv \varepsilon^a(U^\rho)^K \left( (\gamma^5 \gamma_\rho \gamma^\mu)^a_b \partial_\mu \lambda_b \right) - i(\gamma^5 \gamma_\rho)_{ab} \square A^K \] (3.134)

\[ \delta_{FS78}(U) \begin{pmatrix} B^K \\ \lambda_b \end{pmatrix} \equiv \varepsilon^a(U^\rho)^K \left( i(\gamma_\rho \gamma^\mu)^a_b \partial_\mu \lambda_b \right) (\gamma_\rho)_{ab} \square B^K \] (3.135)

\[ \delta_{FS79}(V) \begin{pmatrix} B^J \\ \psi^M_b \end{pmatrix} \equiv \varepsilon^a(U^\rho)^K \left( i(\gamma_\rho \gamma^\mu)^a_b \partial_\mu \psi_b^M \right) (\gamma_\rho)_{ab} \square B^J \] (3.136)

\[ \delta_{FS80}(U) \begin{pmatrix} F^J \\ \psi^M_b \end{pmatrix} \equiv \varepsilon^a(U^\rho)^K \left( i(\gamma_\rho \gamma^\mu)^a_b \partial_\mu \psi_b^M \right) (\gamma_\rho)_{ab} \square F^M \] (3.137)

\[ \delta_{FS81}(V) \begin{pmatrix} B^J \\ \psi^M_b \end{pmatrix} \equiv \varepsilon^a(U^\rho)^K \left( -i(\gamma_\rho \gamma^\mu)^a_b \partial_\mu \psi_b^M \right) (\gamma_\rho)_{ab} \square B^M \] (3.138)

\[ \delta_{FS82}(T) \begin{pmatrix} F^J \\ \psi^M_b \end{pmatrix} \equiv \varepsilon^a(T^\rho)^K \left( i\square \psi_a^M \right) (\gamma_\rho)_{ab} \square F^M \] (3.139)

\[ \delta_{FS83}(W) \begin{pmatrix} F^J \\ \psi^M_b \end{pmatrix} \equiv \varepsilon^a(W^\rho)^J \left( i\square \psi_a^M \right) (\gamma_\rho)_{ab} \square G^M \] (3.140)

\[ \delta_{FS84}(T) \begin{pmatrix} F^K \\ \lambda_b \end{pmatrix} \equiv \varepsilon^a(T^\rho)^K \left( \square \lambda_a \right) i(\gamma_\rho)_{ab} \square F^K \] (3.141)

\[ \delta_{FS85}(T) \begin{pmatrix} F^J \\ \psi^M_b \end{pmatrix} \equiv \varepsilon^a(T^\rho)^K \left( \square \psi_a^M \right) i(\gamma_\rho)_{ab} \square F^J \] (3.142)

\[ \delta_{FS86}(T) \begin{pmatrix} F^M \\ \psi^J_b \end{pmatrix} \equiv \varepsilon^a(T^\rho)^K \left( \square \psi_a^J \right) i(\gamma_\rho)_{ab} \square G^M \] (3.143)

\[ \delta_{FS87}(W) \begin{pmatrix} G^M \\ \lambda_b \end{pmatrix} \equiv \varepsilon^a(W^\rho)^J \left( \square \lambda_a \right) i(\gamma_\rho)_{ab} \square G^M \] (3.144)

\[ \delta_{FS88}(W) \begin{pmatrix} G^J \\ \psi^M_b \end{pmatrix} \equiv \varepsilon^a(W^\rho)^J \left( \square \psi_a^M \right) i(\gamma_\rho)_{ab} \square G^J \] (3.145)

\[ \delta_{FS89}(W) \begin{pmatrix} G^J \\ \psi^M_b \end{pmatrix} \equiv \varepsilon^a(W^\rho)^J \left( \square \psi_a^J \right) i(\gamma_\rho)_{ab} \square G^M \] (3.146)

\[ \delta_{FS90}(W) \begin{pmatrix} d \\ \psi^M_b \end{pmatrix} \equiv \varepsilon^a(W^\rho)^J \left( \square \psi_a^M \right) i(\gamma_\rho)_{ab} \square d \] (3.147)
3.4 Symmetries of the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ FH Lagrangian

The symmetries of the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ FH system follow analogously from the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ calculations. The first order bosonic symmetries of the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ FH system calculated from the central charges and internal symmetries are

$$\delta^{(1)}_{BS1}(\tilde{T}) \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{A}^k \\ \tilde{F}_k \end{pmatrix} \equiv \tilde{T}^{km} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{F}_m \\ \square \tilde{A}_m \end{pmatrix}$$

(3.148)

$$\delta^{(1)}_{BS2}(\tilde{T}) \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{B}^k \\ \tilde{G}_k \end{pmatrix} \equiv \tilde{T}^{km} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{G}_m \\ \square \tilde{B}_m \end{pmatrix}$$

(3.149)

$$\delta^{(1)}_{BS3}(\tilde{T}) \tilde{\psi}_c^k \equiv \tilde{T}^{km}(\gamma^m)_c^d \partial_d \tilde{\psi}_m^c$$

(3.150)

with

$$\tilde{T}^{km} \equiv \tilde{R}^{ijkm}C_{ab}\varepsilon_i^a\chi_j^b$$

(3.151)

where $i, j, k, m = 1, 2$, and $\varepsilon_i^a$ and $\chi_j^b$ are once again infinitesimal Grassmann spinors. Here, we clearly notice the absence of symmetries between $A^J \leftrightarrow B^J$, $A^J \leftrightarrow G^J$, $B^J \leftrightarrow F^J$, and $G^J \leftrightarrow F^J$. As in the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ case, this is a direct result of the absence of coupling terms between these fields in the algebra.

Interestingly, we find that the second order bosonic symmetries calculated from these first order symmetries all vanish identically

$$\delta^{(2)}_{BS1}(\tilde{T}_1, \tilde{T}_2) \tilde{A}^k \equiv[\delta^{(1)}_{BS1}(\tilde{T}_1), \delta^{(1)}_{BS1}(\tilde{T}_2)] \tilde{A}^k = \tilde{\Lambda}^{jk}_{1,1}(\tilde{T}_1, \tilde{T}_2) \square \tilde{A}^j = 0$$

(3.152)

$$\delta^{(2)}_{BS2}(\tilde{T}_1, \tilde{T}_2) \tilde{B}^k \equiv[\delta^{(1)}_{BS2}(\tilde{T}_1), \delta^{(1)}_{BS2}(\tilde{T}_2)] \tilde{B}^k = \tilde{\Lambda}^{jk}_{1,1}(\tilde{T}_1, \tilde{T}_2) \square \tilde{B}^j = 0$$

(3.153)

$$\delta^{(2)}_{BS3}(\tilde{T}_1, \tilde{T}_2) \tilde{F}^k \equiv[\delta^{(1)}_{BS3}(\tilde{T}_1), \delta^{(1)}_{BS3}(\tilde{T}_2)] \tilde{F}^k = \tilde{\Lambda}^{jk}_{1,1}(\tilde{T}_1, \tilde{T}_2) \square \tilde{F}^j = 0$$

(3.154)

$$\delta^{(2)}_{BS4}(\tilde{T}_1, \tilde{T}_2) \tilde{G}^k \equiv[\delta^{(1)}_{BS4}(\tilde{T}_1), \delta^{(1)}_{BS4}(\tilde{T}_2)] \tilde{G}^k = \tilde{\Lambda}^{jk}_{1,1}(\tilde{T}_1, \tilde{T}_2) \square \tilde{G}^j = 0$$

(3.155)

$$\delta^{(2)}_{BS5}(\tilde{T}_1, \tilde{T}_2) \tilde{\psi}_c^k \equiv[\delta^{(1)}_{BS5}(\tilde{T}_1), \delta^{(1)}_{BS5}(\tilde{T}_2)] \tilde{\psi}_c^k = \tilde{\Lambda}^{jk}_{1,1}(\tilde{T}_1, \tilde{T}_2) \square \tilde{\psi}_c^j = 0$$

(3.156)

as

$$\tilde{\Lambda}^{jk}_{1,1}(\tilde{T}_1, \tilde{T}_2) \equiv \tilde{T}^{ij}_{[1} \tilde{T}^{jk}_{2]} = 0, \quad j, k, m = 1, 2$$

(3.157)

even though for a general matrix $\tilde{\Lambda}^{jk}$,

$$\delta^{(2)}_{BS5} \tilde{\chi}_C^j \equiv \tilde{\Lambda}^{[jk]} \square \tilde{\chi}_C^k,$n

$$\tilde{\chi}_C^j \equiv (\tilde{A}^j, \tilde{B}^j, \tilde{F}^j, \tilde{G}^j, \tilde{\psi}_c^j)$$

(3.158)

is still a symmetry of the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ FH Lagrangian.
On the other hand, several first order fermionic symmetries still remain after reduction to the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ FH system:

\[
\tilde{\delta}_{FS1}^{(1)}(\bar{T}) \left( \frac{\bar{A}^k}{\bar{\psi}^1_b} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^a_i \bar{T}^{ik} \begin{pmatrix} - (\gamma^\mu)_a^b \partial_\mu \bar{\psi}^1_b \\ iC_{ab} \Box \bar{A}^k \end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
\tilde{\delta}_{FS2}^{(1)}(\bar{T}) \left( \frac{\bar{F}^k}{\bar{\psi}^1_b} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^a_i \bar{T}^{ik} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ i(\gamma^\mu)_a^b \partial_\mu \bar{F}^k \end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
\tilde{\delta}_{FS3}^{(1)}(\bar{T}) \left( \frac{\bar{A}^k}{\bar{\psi}^2_b} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^a_i (\sigma^2)^{ij} \bar{T}^{jk} \begin{pmatrix} i(\gamma^\mu)_a^b \partial_\mu \bar{\psi}^2_b \\ C_{ab} \Box \bar{A}^k \end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
\tilde{\delta}_{FS4}^{(1)}(\bar{T}) \left( \frac{\bar{F}^k}{\bar{\psi}^2_b} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^a_i (\sigma^2)^{ij} \bar{T}^{jk} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -iC_{ab} \Box \bar{F}^k \end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
\tilde{\delta}_{FS5}^{(1)}(\bar{T}) \left( \frac{\bar{B}^k}{\bar{\psi}^1_b} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^a_i (\sigma^3)^{ij} \bar{T}^{jk} \begin{pmatrix} i(\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_a^b \partial_\mu \bar{\psi}^1_b \\ (\gamma^5)_{ab} \Box \bar{B}^k \end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
\tilde{\delta}_{FS6}^{(1)}(\bar{T}) \left( \frac{\bar{G}^k}{\bar{\psi}^1_b} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^a_i (\sigma^3)^{ij} \bar{T}^{jk} \begin{pmatrix} -i(\gamma^5)_a^b \Box \bar{\psi}^1_b \\ (\gamma^5)_{ab} \partial_\mu \bar{G}^k \end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
\tilde{\delta}_{FS7}^{(1)}(\bar{T}) \left( \frac{\bar{B}^k}{\bar{\psi}^2_b} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^a_i (\sigma^1)^{ij} \bar{T}^{jk} \begin{pmatrix} i(\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_a^b \partial_\mu \bar{\psi}^2_b \\ (\gamma^5)_{ab} \Box \bar{B}^k \end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
\tilde{\delta}_{FS8}^{(1)}(\bar{T}) \left( \frac{\bar{G}^k}{\bar{\psi}^2_b} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^a_i (\sigma^1)^{ij} \bar{T}^{jk} \begin{pmatrix} -i(\gamma^5)_a^b \Box \bar{\psi}^2_b \\ (\gamma^5)_{ab} \partial_\mu \bar{G}^k \end{pmatrix}
\]

These are only the unique symmetries uncovered via this method, the redundant calculations being shown once again in Appendix [A.2]. Here we notice as in the bosonic case, that these fermionic symmetries are not themselves symmetric with respect to $A^I \leftrightarrow B^I$ and $F^J \leftrightarrow G^J$. Again, this is a direct result of the absence of the corresponding central charge or internal symmetry in the algebra.

### 4 Conclusion

The $d = 4$, $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SUSY-YM system is important to many theoretical models in physics today. As it is a conformal field theory, it’s possible that its study can lead to further understanding of ‘walking’ theories such as technicolor. In string theory, the AdS/CFT correspondence relates calculations of $d = 4$, $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SUSY-YM to classical supergravity calculations on $AdS_5 \times S^5$, where the correspondence is weak to strong and vice versa. In an effort to more accurately describe the standard model,
this has been taken further to include correspondences to gauge theories with running
couplings. Even so, the problem of how to augment the dynamical theory of \( d = 4, \)
\( \mathcal{N} = 4 \) SUSY-YM with a \textit{finite} number of auxiliary fields such that the algebra closes
has been unsolved for quite some time. A solution to this problem would be helpful
to more fully understand these aforementioned theories relating to conformal field
theories.

In this paper, we chose a particular set of auxiliary fields for \( d = 4, \mathcal{N} = 4 \)
SUSY-YM and catalogued the Lagrangian symmetries manifest in the central charges
and internal symmetries of the resulting algebra. It was noted how not all possible
Lagrangian symmetries can be uncovered this way, as certain central charges and
internal symmetries are missing from the algebra. We reinforce here that all results
presented are from straightforward, actual calculations with no assumptions of cen-
trality. For instance, we have directly calculated that the SUSY-YM Lagrangian in
Eq. (2.1) is invariant with respect to the transformation laws in Eqs. (2.2), (2.3),
(2.4), and (2.5). We have directly calculated that these transformation laws satisfy
the anti-commutation relations in Eqs. (2.8), (2.9), (2.10), (2.11), and (2.12). The
main result of this paper is how these transformation laws and anti-commutators lead
by direct calculation to the first and second order Lagrangian symmetries presented
in section 3.

Furthermore, reduction of this particular \( \mathcal{N} = 4 \) system to the \( \mathcal{N} = 2 \) Fayet
hypermultiplet and \( \mathcal{N} = 2 \) vector multiplet was shown to follow from our direct
calculations. Here it was noticed how in this reduction, central charges and internal
symmetries are lost from the algebra. In the case of the vector multiplet, all charges
and internal symmetries are lost as the algebra closes. In the case of the Fayet
hypermultiplet, some central charges and internal symmetries remain, as this algebra
does not close.

Finally, we make a note on quantization of non-closed systems such as the \( \mathcal{N} = 4 \)
SUSY-YM system investigated in detail in this paper. In general, non-closure of
an algebra leads to an added difficulty in the quantization procedure. Perhaps the
most ubiquitous example is the criticality of string theory. For quantum non-critical
strings, one must solve the Liouville theory. This is not necessary in the case of critical
strings \cite{26,27}. In the case of our results of the \( \mathcal{N} = 4 \) SUSY-YM system, we have
laid out our results in the hopes of eventually obtaining a closed system, in the sense
of Eq. (1.1), without an infinite number of auxiliary fields. For instead quantization
of the non-closed system presented, the specific forms of the non-closure terms we
calculated are important in the same vein as the Liouville theory for non-critical
strings. We leave this quantization as a future project.
“It is while you are patiently toiling at the little tasks of life that the meaning and shape of the great whole of life dawn on you.” - Phillips Brooks
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Appendix

A Explicit Calculation of First Order Fermionic Symmetries

In this appendix, we explain in more detail the procedure which led us to the symmetries presented in the body of the paper. Many symmetries found in this manner are redundant, and those presented in the paper are the unique symmetries found through this procedure.

A.1 $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SUSY-YM

A.1.1 Second Order Bosonic Symmetries

In this section of the appendix, we explicitly show how the second order bosonic symmetries are discovered through the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ algebra. Several are redundant, and in the body of the paper, only the unique symmetries were listed.

\begin{align}
\delta^{(2)}_{BS1}(P_1, P_2)A^K &\equiv [\delta^{(1)}_{BS1}(P_1), \delta^{(1)}_{BS1}(P_2)]A^K = \Lambda^{KJ}_{1,1}(P_1, P_2)\square A^J \quad \text{(A.1)} \\
\delta^{(2)}_{BS1}(T_1, T_2)A^K &\equiv [\delta^{(1)}_{BS3}(T_1), \delta^{(1)}_{BS3}(T_2)]A^K = \Lambda^{JK}_{3,3}(T_1, T_2)\square A^J \quad \text{(A.2)} \\
\delta^{(2)}_{BS2}(Q_1, Q_2)B^K &\equiv [\delta^{(1)}_{BS2}(Q_1), \delta^{(1)}_{BS2}(Q_2)]B^K = \Lambda^{JK}_{2,2}(Q_1, Q_2)\square B^J \quad \text{(A.3)} \\
\delta^{(2)}_{BS2}(T_1, T_2)B^K &\equiv [\delta^{(1)}_{BS4}(T_1), \delta^{(1)}_{BS4}(T_2)]B^K = \Lambda^{JK}_{4,4}(T_1, T_2)\square B^J \quad \text{(A.4)}
\end{align}
\[
\delta_{BS3}^{(2)}(T_1, T_2) F^K \equiv [\delta_{BS3}^{(1)}(T_1), \delta_{BS3}^{(1)}(T_2)] F^K = \Lambda_{3,3}^{JK}(T_1, T_2) \Box F^J
\]  
(A.5)

\[
\delta_{BS4}^{(2)}(T_1, T_2) G^K \equiv [\delta_{BS4}^{(1)}(T_1), \delta_{BS4}^{(1)}(T_2)] G^K = \Lambda_{4,4}^{JK}(T_1, T_2) \Box G^J
\]  
(A.6)

\[
\delta_{BS5}^{(2)}(U_1, U_2) F^K \equiv [\delta_{BS7}^{(1)}(U_1), \delta_{BS7}^{(1)}(U_2)] F^K = (\Lambda_{7,7}^{\mu\nu})^{JK}(U_1, U_2) \partial_\mu \partial_\nu F^J
\]  
(A.7)

\[
\delta_{BS6}^{(2)}(U_1, U_2) G^K \equiv [\delta_{BS8}^{(1)}(U_1), \delta_{BS8}^{(1)}(U_2)] G^K + \eta_{\mu\nu}(\Lambda_{8,8}^{\mu\nu})^{JK}(U_1, U_2) \Box G^K
\]  
(A.8)

\[
\delta_{BS7}^{(2)}(U_1, U_2) A_\nu \equiv [\delta_{BS8}^{(1)}(U_1), \delta_{BS8}^{(1)}(U_2)] A_\nu = \eta_{\nu\beta}(\Lambda_{8,8}^{\mu\beta})^{JJ}(U_1, U_2) \partial^\alpha F_{\mu\alpha}
\]  
(A.9)

with

\[
\Lambda_{1,1}^{JK}(P_1, P_2) \equiv P_{[1}^{K} P_{2]}^{J},
\]

\[
\Lambda_{3,3}^{JK}(T_1, T_2) = \Lambda_{4,4}^{JK}(T_1, T_2) \equiv T_{[1}^{K} M_{2]}^{M},
\]

\[
\Lambda_{2,2}^{JK}(Q_1, Q_2) \equiv Q_{[1}^{K} Q_{2]}^{J},
\]

\[
(\Lambda_{7,7}^{\mu\nu})^{JK}(U_1, U_2) = (\Lambda_{8,8}^{\mu\nu})^{JK}(U_1, U_2) \equiv (U_{[1}^{\mu})^{J}(U_{2]}^{\nu})^{K},
\]

and

\[
\delta_{BS8}^{(2)}(P, Q) \begin{pmatrix} A^K \\ B^K \end{pmatrix} \equiv [\delta_{BS1}^{(1)}(P), \delta_{BS2}^{(1)}(Q)] \begin{pmatrix} A^K \\ B^K \end{pmatrix} = \Lambda_{1,2}^{I,J}(P, Q) \begin{pmatrix} -\delta^{IK} \Box B^J \\ \delta^{IK} \Box A^I \end{pmatrix}
\]  
(A.11)

\[
\delta_{BS9}^{(2)}(P, U) \begin{pmatrix} A^K \\ F^K \end{pmatrix} \equiv [\delta_{BS1}^{(1)}(P), \delta_{BS7}^{(1)}(U)] \begin{pmatrix} A^K \\ F^K \end{pmatrix} = - (\Lambda_{1,7}^{\mu\nu})^{IJ}(P, U) \begin{pmatrix} \delta^{IK} \partial_\mu F^J \\ \delta^{IK} \partial_\mu \Box A^I \end{pmatrix}
\]  
(A.12)

\[
\delta_{BS12}^{(2)}(T, U) \begin{pmatrix} A^K \\ d \end{pmatrix} \equiv [\delta_{BS3}^{(1)}(T), \delta_{BS8}^{(1)}(U)] \begin{pmatrix} A^K \\ d \end{pmatrix} = -(\Lambda_{3,8}^{\mu})^{K}(T, U) \begin{pmatrix} \partial_\mu d \\ \partial_\mu \Box A^K \end{pmatrix}
\]  
(A.13)

\[
\delta_{BS14}^{(2)}(T, U) \begin{pmatrix} B^K \\ A_\nu \end{pmatrix} \equiv [\delta_{BS4}^{(1)}(T), \delta_{BS8}^{(1)}(U)] \begin{pmatrix} B^K \\ A_\nu \end{pmatrix} = -(\Lambda_{4,8}^{\mu})^{K}(T, U) \begin{pmatrix} \partial^{\nu} F_{\mu\nu} \\ \eta_{\mu\nu} \Box B^K \end{pmatrix}
\]  
(A.14)
\[
\begin{align*}
\delta_{BS10}^{(2)}(Q, U) \left( \frac{B^K}{F^K} \right) &\equiv [\delta_{BS2}^{(1)}(Q), \delta_{BS7}^{(1)}(U)] \left( \frac{B^K}{F^K} \right) \\
&= (\Lambda_{2,7}^\mu)_{IJ}^{IJ}(Q, U) \left( \frac{\delta^{IK} \partial_\mu F^J}{\delta^K J \partial_\mu \Box B^I} \right) \\
\delta_{BS15}^{(2)}(P, T) \left( \frac{F^K}{d} \right) &\equiv [\delta_{BS1}^{(1)}(P), \delta_{BS3}^{(1)}(T)] \left( \frac{F^K}{d} \right) \\
&= \Lambda_{1,3}^K(P, T) \left( -\Box d \right) \\
\delta_{BS16}^{(2)}(Q, T) \left( \frac{G^K}{d} \right) &\equiv [\delta_{BS2}^{(1)}(Q), \delta_{BS4}^{(1)}(T)] \left( \frac{G^K}{d} \right) \\
&= \Lambda_{2,4}^K(Q, T) \left( -\Box G^K \right)
\end{align*}
\]
\[
\delta^{(2)}_{BS31}(Q, T) \left( \begin{array}{c} \lambda_c \\ \psi_c^K \end{array} \right) = \delta^{(1)}_{BS9}(Q), \delta^{(1)}_{BS14}(T) \left( \begin{array}{c} \lambda_c \\ \psi_c^K \end{array} \right) = \Lambda^{K}_{0,14}(Q, T) \left( \begin{array}{c} -\square \psi_c^K \\ \square \lambda_c \end{array} \right)
\]
(A.21)

\[
\delta^{(2)}_{BS26}(W, V) \psi_c^K \equiv \left[ \delta^{(1)}_{BS12}(W), \delta^{(1)}_{BS13}(V) \right] \psi_c^K \\
= (\Lambda^{\mu}_{12,13})^{JK} (\gamma^\mu)_c d^\square \psi_d^J + 2(\Lambda^{\mu}_{12,13})^{KJ} (\gamma^\nu)_c \partial_\nu \psi_d^J
\]
(A.22)

\[
\delta^{(2)}_{BS28}(W, T) \psi_c^K \equiv \left[ \delta^{(1)}_{BS12}(W), \delta^{(1)}_{BS14}(T) \right] \psi_c^K = -\Lambda^{K}_{12,14}(W, T) (\gamma^5)_c d^\square \psi_d^J
\]
(A.23)

\[
\delta^{(2)}_{BS23}(V, T) \psi_c^K \equiv \left[ \delta^{(1)}_{BS13}(V), \delta^{(1)}_{BS14}(T) \right] \psi_c^K + \delta^{(2)}_{BS25}(V, T) \psi_c^K \\
= (\Lambda^{\alpha}_{13,14})^{[JK]} (V, T) (\gamma^5 \gamma_\alpha)_c d^\square \psi_d^J
\]
(A.24)

\[
\delta^{(2)}_{BS20}(Q_1, Q_2) \psi_c^K \equiv \left[ \delta^{(1)}_{BS9}(Q_1), \delta^{(1)}_{BS9}(Q_2) \right] \psi_c^K = -\Lambda^{K}_{11,11}(Q_1, Q_2) d^\square \psi_d^J
\]
(A.25)

\[
\delta^{(2)}_{BS20}(W_1, W_2) \psi_c^K \equiv \left[ \delta^{(1)}_{BS12}(W_1), \delta^{(1)}_{BS12}(W_2) \right] \psi_c^K = \Lambda^{K}_{12,12}(W_1, W_2) d^\square \psi_d^J
\]
(A.26)

\[
\delta^{(2)}_{BS21}(V_1, V_2) \psi_c^K \equiv \left[ \delta^{(1)}_{BS13}(V_1), \delta^{(1)}_{BS13}(V_2) \right] \psi_c^K \\
= - (\Lambda^{\rho}_{13,13})^{KJ}(V_1, V_2) (\gamma^\rho \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma_\sigma)_c d^\square \psi_d^J
\]
(A.27)

\[
\delta^{(2)}_{BS20}(T_1, T_2) \psi_c^K \equiv \left[ \delta^{(1)}_{BS10}(T_1), \delta^{(1)}_{BS10}(T_2) \right] \psi_c^K = -\Lambda^{K}_{14,14}(T_1, T_2) d^\square \psi_d^J
\]
(A.28)

with

\[
\Lambda^{JK}_{9,9}(Q_1, Q_2) \equiv Q^I_1 Q^J_2
\]
\[
\Lambda^{K}_{9,12}(Q, W) = -\Lambda^{K}_{12,11}(W, Q) \equiv Q^M W^{MK}
\]
\[
(\Lambda^{\mu}_{12,13})^{K}(Q, V) = -\Lambda^{K}_{13,11}(V, Q) \equiv Q^M (V^\mu)^{MK}
\]
\[
\Lambda^{JK}_{12,12}(W_1, W_2) \equiv W^I_1 W^J_2
\]
\[
(\Lambda^{\rho}_{12,13})^{JK}(W, V) = - (\Lambda^{\rho}_{13,12})^{KJ}(V, W) \equiv W^J M (V^\mu)^{MK}
\]
\[
\Lambda^{JK}_{12,14}(W, T) = -\Lambda^{K}_{14,12}(T, W) \equiv W^M (J^T)^M
\]
\[
(\Lambda^{\rho}_{13,13})^{KJ}(V_1, V_2) \equiv (V^I_1)^{K M} (V^J_2)^{M J}
\]
\[
(\Lambda^{\rho}_{13,13})^{JK}(V, T) = - (\Lambda^{\rho}_{14,13})^{KJ}(T, V) \equiv (V^W)^{J M} T^{MK}
\]
\[
\Lambda^{JK}_{14,14}(T_1, T_2) \equiv T^{K M} T^{M J}_2
\]
(A.29)

and

\[
\delta^{(2)}_{BS1}(W_1, W_2) A^J \equiv \left[ \delta^{(1)}_{BS5}(W_1), \delta^{(1)}_{BS5}(W_2) \right] A^J = \Lambda^{IJ}_{5,5}(W_1, W_2) d^\square A^I
\]
(A.31)

\[
\delta^{(2)}_{BS4}(W_1, W_2) G^J \equiv \left[ \delta^{(1)}_{BS5}(W_1), \delta^{(1)}_{BS5}(W_2) \right] G^J = \Lambda^{IJ}_{5,5}(W_1, W_2) d^\square G^I
\]
(A.32)

\[
\delta^{(2)}_{BS5}(V_1, V_2) F^J \equiv \left[ \delta^{(1)}_{BS6}(V_1), \delta^{(1)}_{BS6}(V_2) \right] F^J = -(\Lambda^{\mu}_{6,6})^{IJ}(V_1, V_2) \partial_\mu \partial_\nu F^I
\]
(A.33)

\[
\delta^{(2)}_{BS6}(V_1, V_2) G^J \equiv \left[ \delta^{(1)}_{BS6}(V_1), \delta^{(1)}_{BS6}(V_2) \right] G^J = -(\Lambda^{\mu}_{6,6})^{IJ}(V_1, V_2) \partial_\mu \partial_\nu G^I
\]
(A.34)
\[
\delta_{BS16}(P,W) \begin{pmatrix} G^J \\ d \end{pmatrix} \equiv [\delta_{BS1}(P), \delta_{BS5}(W)] \begin{pmatrix} G^J \\ d \end{pmatrix} \\
= \Lambda_{1,5}^J (P,W) \begin{pmatrix} -\Box d \\ \Box G^J \end{pmatrix} \tag{A.35}
\]

\[
\delta_{BS18}(T,W) \begin{pmatrix} F^J \\ G^J \end{pmatrix} \equiv [\delta_{BS3}(T), \delta_{BS5}(W)] \begin{pmatrix} F^J \\ G^J \end{pmatrix} \\
= \Lambda_{3,5}^{IK} (T,W) \begin{pmatrix} -\delta^{IJ} \Box G^K \\ \Box \delta^{IK} F^I \end{pmatrix} \tag{A.36}
\]

\[
\delta_{BS8}(T,W) \begin{pmatrix} A^J \\ B^J \end{pmatrix} \equiv [\delta_{BS4}(T), \delta_{BS5}(W)] \begin{pmatrix} A^J \\ B^J \end{pmatrix} \\
= \Lambda_{4,5}^{IK} (T,W) \begin{pmatrix} \delta^{JK} \Box B^I \\ -\delta^{IJ} \Box A^K \end{pmatrix} \tag{A.37}
\]

\[
\delta_{BS13}(U,W) \begin{pmatrix} A^J \\ A^\nu \end{pmatrix} \equiv [\delta_{BS8}(U), \delta_{BS5}(W)] \begin{pmatrix} A^J \\ A^\nu \end{pmatrix} \\
= - (\Lambda_{8,5}^\mu)^J (U,W) \begin{pmatrix} \partial^\nu F_{\mu\nu} \\ \eta_{\mu\nu} \Box A^J \end{pmatrix} \tag{A.38}
\]

\[
\delta_{BS9}(W,V) \begin{pmatrix} A^J \\ F^J \end{pmatrix} \equiv - [\delta_{BS7}(W), \delta_{BS6}(V)] \begin{pmatrix} A^J \\ F^J \end{pmatrix} \\
= - (\Lambda_{5,6}^\mu)^{IK} (W,V) \begin{pmatrix} \delta^{IJ} \partial_\mu F^K \\ \delta^{JK} \partial_\mu \Box A^I \end{pmatrix} \tag{A.39}
\]

\[
\delta_{BS11}(T,V) \begin{pmatrix} A^J \\ G^J \end{pmatrix} \equiv - [\delta_{BS3}(T), \delta_{BS6}(V)] \begin{pmatrix} A^J \\ G^J \end{pmatrix} \\
= (\Lambda_{3,6}^\mu)^{IK} (T,V) \begin{pmatrix} \delta^{IJ} \partial_\mu G^K \\ \delta^{JK} \partial_\mu \Box A^I \end{pmatrix} \tag{A.40}
\]

\[
\delta_{BS10}(T,V) \begin{pmatrix} B^J \\ F^J \end{pmatrix} \equiv - [\delta_{BS4}(T), \delta_{BS6}(V)] \begin{pmatrix} B^J \\ F^J \end{pmatrix} \\
= - \Lambda_{4,6}^\mu)^{IK} (T,V) \begin{pmatrix} \delta^{IJ} (\partial_\mu F^K \\ \delta^{JK} \partial_\mu \Box B^I \end{pmatrix} \tag{A.41}
\]
\[
\delta_{\text{BS17}}^{(2)}(U, V) \begin{pmatrix} G^J \\ d \end{pmatrix} \equiv - [\delta_{\text{BS7}}^{(1)}(U), \delta_{\text{BS6}}^{(1)}(V)] \begin{pmatrix} G^J \\ d \end{pmatrix} \\
= (\Lambda_{7,6}^\mu)^J(U, V) \begin{pmatrix} -\partial_\mu \partial_\nu d \\ \partial_\mu \partial_\nu G^J \end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
\delta_{\text{BS19}}^{(2)}(U, V) \begin{pmatrix} F^J \\ A_\alpha \end{pmatrix} \equiv - [\delta_{\text{BS8}}^{(1)}(U), \delta_{\text{BS6}}^{(1)}(V)] \begin{pmatrix} F^J \\ A_\alpha \end{pmatrix} \\
= (\Lambda_{8,6}^\mu)^J(U, V) \begin{pmatrix} \partial_\nu F_{\mu\alpha} \\ -\eta_{\nu\alpha} \partial_\nu F^J \end{pmatrix}
\]

with

\[
\Lambda_{5,5}^{IJ}(W_1, W_2) \equiv W_1^{KI} W_2^{JK}, \\
\Lambda_{6,6}^{IJ}(V_1, V_2) \equiv (V_1^\mu)^K (V_2^\nu)^{JK}, \\
\Lambda_1^{I}(P, W) \equiv P^K W^K, \\
\Lambda_3^{IJ}(T, W) = \Lambda_4^{IJ}(T, W) \equiv T^K W^K, \\
(\Lambda_{5,5}^\mu)^J(U, W) \equiv (U^\mu)^K W^K, \\
(\Lambda_{5,6}^\mu)^{JI}(W, V) \equiv W^K (V^\nu)^{KI}, \\
(\Lambda_{2,6}^\mu)^{JI}(T, V) = (\Lambda_{4,6}^\mu)^{JI}(T, V) \equiv T^K (V^\nu)^{KI}, \\
(\Lambda_{7,6}^\mu)^J(U, V) = (\Lambda_{8,6}^\mu)^J(U, V) \equiv (U^\mu)^K (V^\nu)^{KI}
\]

and

\[
\delta_{\text{BS24}}^{(2)}(Q, U) \lambda_c \equiv [\delta_{\text{BS9}}^{(1)}(Q), \delta_{\text{BS10}}^{(1)}(U)] \lambda_c \\
= -2(\Lambda_{9,10}^\mu)^K (Q, U) (\gamma^5 \gamma^\nu)_c^d \partial_\nu \lambda_d, \\
\delta_{\text{BS25}}^{(2)}(Q, U) \psi^K_c \equiv [\delta_{\text{BS9}}^{(1)}(Q), \delta_{\text{BS10}}^{(1)}(U)] \psi^K_c \\
= -2(\Lambda_{9,10}^\mu)^K (Q, U) (\gamma^5 \gamma^\nu)_c^d \partial_\nu \psi^K_d, \\
\delta_{\text{BS34}}^{(2)}(W, U) \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_c \\ \psi^K_c \end{pmatrix} \equiv [\delta_{\text{BS12}}^{(1)}(W), \delta_{\text{BS10}}^{(1)}(U)] \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_c \\ \psi^K_c \end{pmatrix} \\
= - (\Lambda_{12,10}^\mu)^K (W, U) \begin{pmatrix} (\gamma_\mu)_c^d \Box \psi^K_d \\ (\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma^K)_c^d \partial_\nu \partial_\alpha \lambda_d \end{pmatrix} \\
\delta_{\text{BS36}}^{(2)}(V, U) \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_c \\ \psi^K_c \end{pmatrix} \equiv [\delta_{\text{BS13}}^{(1)}(V), \delta_{\text{BS10}}^{(1)}(U)] \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_c \\ \psi^K_c \end{pmatrix} \\
= (\Lambda_{13,10}^\mu)^K (V, U) \begin{pmatrix} - (\gamma_\nu \gamma^K \gamma^K)_c^d \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta \psi^K_d \\ (\gamma^\alpha \gamma^K \gamma^K)_c^d \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta \lambda_d \end{pmatrix}
\]
\[
\delta_{BS33}^{(2)}(T,U) \left( \frac{\lambda_c}{\psi^K} \right) = \left[ \delta_{BS14}^{(1)}(T), \delta_{BS10}^{(1)}(U) \right] \left( \frac{\lambda_c}{\psi^K} \right) \\
= - (\Lambda_{14,10}^\mu)^K(T,U) \left( \frac{\gamma_5}{\psi^K} \psi^\mu d \square^K \frac{\lambda}{\mu} \partial^\alpha \partial^\beta \lambda_d \right) \\
\delta_{BS22}^{(2)}(U_1, U_2) \lambda_c \equiv \left[ \delta_{BS10}^{(1)}(U_1), \delta_{BS10}^{(1)}(U_2) \right] \lambda_c \\
= (\Lambda_{10,10}^\mu)^{KK}(U_1, U_2) \left( \gamma^{\mu}_{\gamma} \right) \partial^\alpha \partial^\beta \lambda_d \\
\delta_{BS21}^{(2)}(U_1, U_2) \psi_c^K \equiv \left[ \delta_{BS10}^{(1)}(U_1), \delta_{BS10}^{(1)}(U_2) \right] \psi_c^K \\
= (\Lambda_{10,10}^\mu)^{JK}(U_1, U_2) \left( \gamma^{\mu}_{\gamma} \right) d \partial^\alpha \partial^\beta \psi_d^J \\
\delta_{BS27}^{(2)}(U, P) \lambda_c \equiv \left[ \delta_{BS10}^{(1)}(U), \delta_{BS11}^{(1)}(P) \right] \lambda_c = 2 \left( \Lambda_{10,11}^\mu \right)^{KK}(U, P) \left( \gamma^5 \right) d \partial^\mu \partial^\nu \lambda_d \\
\delta_{BS26}^{(2)}(U, P) \psi_c^K \equiv \left[ \delta_{BS10}^{(1)}(U), \delta_{BS11}^{(1)}(P) \right] \psi_c^K \\
= (\Lambda_{10,11}^\mu)^{JK}(U, P) \left( \gamma^{\mu}_{\gamma} \right) d \partial^\nu \psi_d^J \\
\delta_{BS29}^{(2)}(Q, P) \lambda_c \equiv \left[ \delta_{BS9}^{(1)}(Q), \delta_{BS11}^{(1)}(P) \right] \lambda_c = - \Lambda_{9,11}^{KK}(Q, P) \left( \gamma^5 \right) d \square^\mu \lambda_d \\
\delta_{BS28}^{(2)}(Q, P) \psi_c^K \equiv \left[ \delta_{BS9}^{(1)}(Q), \delta_{BS11}^{(1)}(P) \right] \psi_c^K = - \Lambda_{9,11}^{JK}(Q, P) \left( \gamma^5 \right) d \square^\mu \psi_d^J \\
\delta_{BS31}^{(2)}(W, P) \left( \frac{\lambda_c}{\psi^K} \right) \equiv \left[ \delta_{BS12}^{(1)}(W), \delta_{BS11}^{(1)}(P) \right] \left( \frac{\lambda_c}{\psi^K} \right) \\
= \Lambda_{9,11}^K(W, P) \left( \begin{array} {c} \square^K \psi_c^K \\ - \square^\lambda \lambda_d \end{array} \right) \\
\delta_{BS35}^{(2)}(V, P) \left( \frac{\lambda_c}{\psi^K} \right) \equiv \left[ \delta_{BS13}^{(1)}(V), \delta_{BS11}^{(1)}(P) \right] \left( \frac{\lambda_c}{\psi^K} \right) \\
= (\Lambda_{13,11}^\mu)^{KK}(V, P) \left( \frac{\gamma^{\mu}_{\gamma} \gamma^5}{\psi^K} \right) d \partial^\alpha \partial^\beta \psi_d^K \\
\delta_{BS30}^{(2)}(T, P) \left( \frac{\lambda_c}{\psi^K} \right) \equiv \left[ \delta_{BS14}^{(1)}(T), \delta_{BS11}^{(1)}(P) \right] \left( \frac{\lambda_c}{\psi^K} \right) \\
= - (\Lambda_{14,11}^\mu)^{KK}(T, P) \left( \frac{\gamma^5}{\psi^K} \right) d \square^\mu \psi_d^K \\
\delta_{BS20}^{(2)}(P_1, P_2) \psi_c^K \equiv \left[ \delta_{BS11}^{(1)}(P_1), \delta_{BS11}^{(1)}(P_2) \right] \psi_c^K = - \Lambda_{11,11}^{KK}(P_1, P_2) \square^K \psi_c^K,
with
\[
\begin{align*}
(\Lambda_{10,10})^{JK}(Q, U) &\equiv Q^J(U^\mu)^K, \quad (\Lambda_{12,10})^K(W, U) = W^{KM}(U^\mu)^M, \\
(\Lambda_{13,10})^K(V, U) &\equiv (V^\mu)^K(M^\nu)^M, \quad (\Lambda_{14,10})^K(T, U) = T^{KM}(U^\mu)^M, \\
(\Lambda_{10,10})^{KJ}(U_1, U_2) &\equiv (U_1^J)^K(U_2^\mu)^J, \quad (\Lambda_{10,11})^{KM}(U, P) \equiv (U^\mu)^K P^M, \\
\Lambda_{9,11}^K(Q, P) &\equiv Q^{(K}P^{J)}, \quad \Lambda_{12,11}^K(W, P) \equiv W^{KM}P^M, \\
(\Lambda_{13,11})^K(V, P) &\equiv (V^\mu)^K P^M, \quad \Lambda_{14,11}^K(T, P) \equiv T^{KM}P^M, \\
\Lambda_{11,11}^{KM}(P_1, P_2) &\equiv P_1^{K} P_2^{M}
\end{align*}
\tag{A.60}
\]
\[
\delta_{FS3}^{(1)}(Q) \left( \begin{array}{c} B^J \\ \lambda_b \end{array} \right) = \varepsilon^a Q^J \left( \left( \gamma^5 \gamma^\mu \right)_a b \partial_\mu \lambda_b \right) = -\varepsilon^a [D_a, \delta_{BS2}^{(1)}(Q)] \left( \begin{array}{c} B^J \\ \lambda_b \end{array} \right) \text{ (A.67)}
\]

\[
\delta_{FS51}^{(1)}(U) \left( \begin{array}{c} G^J \\ \lambda_b \end{array} \right) = \varepsilon^a (U^\mu)^J \left( \partial^\nu \partial_\mu (\gamma^\nu)_a b \lambda_b \right) = \varepsilon^a [D_a, \delta_{BS8}^{(1)}(U)] \left( \begin{array}{c} G^J \\ \lambda_b \end{array} \right) \text{ (A.68)}
\]

\[
\delta_{FS46}^{(1)}(U) \left( \begin{array}{c} F^J \\ \lambda_b \end{array} \right) = \varepsilon^a (U^\mu)^J \left( \left( \gamma^5 \gamma^\nu \right)_a b \partial_\nu \lambda_b \right) = -i\varepsilon^a [D_a, \delta_{BS7}^{(1)}(U)] \left( \begin{array}{c} F^J \\ \lambda_b \end{array} \right) \text{ (A.69)}
\]

and from \([D_a, \delta_{BS3}^{(1)}(T)]\) we have

\[
\delta_{FS21}^{(1)}(T) \left( \begin{array}{c} A^J \\ \psi_b^J \end{array} \right) = \varepsilon^a T^{JM} \left( \left( \gamma^\mu \right)_a b \partial_\mu \psi_b^M \right) + iC_{ab} \Box A^M \text{ (A.70)}
\]

\[
\delta_{FS22}^{(1)}(T) \left( \begin{array}{c} G^J \\ \psi_b^J \end{array} \right) = \varepsilon^a T^{JM} \left( \left( \gamma^5 \gamma^\mu \right)_{a b} \Box B^M \right) \text{ (A.71)}
\]

and from \([D_a, \delta_{BS5}^{(1)}(Q)]\)

\[
\delta_{FS66}^{(1)}(Q) \left( \begin{array}{c} A_\mu \\ \psi_b^\mu \end{array} \right) = \varepsilon^a Q^J \left( \begin{array}{c} \left( \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \right)_a b \partial_\nu \psi_b^\nu \\ \frac{1}{2} (\gamma^\alpha \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu)_{ab} \partial_\alpha F_{\mu \nu} \end{array} \right) \text{ (A.72)}
\]

and from \([D_a, \delta_{BS12}^{(1)}(W)]\)

\[
\delta_{FS27}^{(1)}(W) \left( \begin{array}{c} A^J \\ \psi_b^J \end{array} \right) = \varepsilon^a W^{JM} \left( \left( \gamma^5 \gamma^\mu \right)_a b \partial_\mu \psi_b^M \right) \text{ (A.73)}
\]
and from $[D_a, \delta_{BS13}^{(1)}(V)]$

$$\delta_{FS76}^{(1)}(V) \left( A^J_j \psi_b^J \right) \equiv \varepsilon^a(V^{\rho}) JM \begin{pmatrix} \gamma^5 \gamma_a b \partial_{\mu} \psi^M_b \\ i(\gamma^5 \gamma_a) a_b \Box A^M \\ \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\delta_{FS81}^{(1)}(V) \left( B^J \psi_b^J \right) \equiv \varepsilon^a(V^{\rho}) JM \begin{pmatrix} -i(\gamma^5 \gamma_a b \partial_{\mu} \psi^M_b \\ (\gamma^5 \gamma_a) a_b \Box B^M \\ \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\delta_{FS43}^{(1)}(V) \left( F^J \psi_b^J \right) \equiv \varepsilon^a(V^{\rho}) JM \begin{pmatrix} (\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu \gamma^\rho \gamma_a b \partial_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} \psi^M_b \\ i(\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu \gamma_a b \partial_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} F^M \\ \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\delta_{FS56}^{(1)}(V) \left( G^J \psi_b^J \right) \equiv \varepsilon^a(V^{\rho}) JM \begin{pmatrix} i(\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu \gamma_a b \partial_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} \psi^M_b \\ -(\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu \gamma_a b \partial_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} G^M \\ \end{pmatrix}$$

and from $[D_a, \delta_{BS14}^{(1)}(T)]$

$$\delta_{FS21}^{(1)}(T) \left( A^J_j \psi_b^J \right) \equiv \varepsilon^a T^{JM} \begin{pmatrix} (\gamma^\mu) b \partial_{\mu} \psi^M_b \\ iC_{ab} \Box A^M \\ \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\delta_{FS28}^{(1)}(T) \left( B^J \psi_b^J \right) \equiv \varepsilon^a T^{JM} \begin{pmatrix} (\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu) b \partial_{\mu} \psi^M_b \\ i(\gamma^5) a_b \Box B^M \\ \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\delta_{FS82}^{(1)}(T) \left( F^J \psi_b^J \right) \equiv \varepsilon^a T^{JM} \begin{pmatrix} -i \Box \psi^M_a \\ (\gamma^5) a_b \partial \partial_{\mu} F^M \\ \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\delta_{FS35}^{(1)}(T) \left( G^J \psi_b^J \right) \equiv \varepsilon^a T^{JM} \begin{pmatrix} i(\gamma^5) a_b \Box \psi^M_b \\ (\gamma^5) a_b \partial \partial_{\mu} G^M \\ \end{pmatrix}$$

and from $[D_a, \delta_{BS5}(W)]$

$$\delta_{FS27}^{(1)}(W) \left( A^J_j \psi_b^J \right) \equiv \varepsilon^a W^{JM} \begin{pmatrix} (\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu) b \partial_{\mu} \psi^M_b \\ i(\gamma^5) a_b \Box A^M \\ \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\delta_{FS33}^{(1)}(W) \left( G^J \psi_b^J \right) \equiv \varepsilon^a W^{JM} \begin{pmatrix} -i \Box \psi^M_a \\ (\gamma^5) a_b \partial \partial_{\mu} G^M \\ \end{pmatrix}$$

and from $[D_a, \delta_{BS6}(V)]$

$$\delta_{FS42}^{(1)}(V) \left( F^J \psi_b^J \right) \equiv \varepsilon^a (V^{\mu})^{JM} \begin{pmatrix} i(\gamma^5 \gamma^\nu) a_b \partial \partial_{\mu} \psi^M_b \\ (\gamma^5) a_b \partial \partial_{\mu} F^M \\ \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\delta_{FS57}^{(1)}(V) \left( G^J \psi_b^J \right) \equiv \varepsilon^a (V^{\mu})^{JM} \begin{pmatrix} (\gamma^\nu) a_b \partial \partial_{\mu} \psi^M_b \\ -iC_{ab} \partial \partial_{\mu} G^M \\ \end{pmatrix}$$
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and from $[D_a, \delta_{BS9}(Q)]$

$$
\delta^{(1)}_{FS7}(Q) \begin{pmatrix} A^J \\ \lambda_b \end{pmatrix} \equiv \varepsilon^a Q^J \begin{pmatrix} (\gamma^\mu)_a b \partial_\mu \lambda_b \\ -i C_{ab} \Box A^J \end{pmatrix}
$$

$$
\delta^{(1)}_{FS3}(Q) \begin{pmatrix} B^J \\ \lambda_b \end{pmatrix} \equiv \varepsilon^a Q^J \begin{pmatrix} i(\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_a b \partial_\mu \lambda_b \\ (\gamma^5)_{ab} \Box B^J \end{pmatrix}
$$

$$
\delta^{(1)}_{FS16}(Q) \begin{pmatrix} F^J \\ \lambda_b \end{pmatrix} \equiv \varepsilon^a Q^J \begin{pmatrix} \Box \lambda_a \\ i(\gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu F^J \end{pmatrix}
$$

$$
\delta^{(1)}_{FS11}(Q) \begin{pmatrix} G^J \\ \lambda_b \end{pmatrix} \equiv \varepsilon^a Q^J \begin{pmatrix} i(\gamma^5)_a b \Box \lambda_b \\ -(\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu G^J \end{pmatrix}
$$

(A.79)

and and from $[D_a^I, \delta_{BS1}(P)]$

$$
\delta^{(1)}_{FS1}(P) \begin{pmatrix} A^K \\ \psi^J_b \end{pmatrix} \equiv \varepsilon^I P^K \begin{pmatrix} i(\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_a b \partial_\mu \psi^I_b \\ \delta^{I J}(\gamma^5)_{ab} \Box A^K \end{pmatrix}
$$

$$
\delta^{(1)}_{FS20}(P) \begin{pmatrix} d \\ \lambda_b \end{pmatrix} \equiv \varepsilon^a I P^I \begin{pmatrix} \Box \lambda_a \\ i(\gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu d \end{pmatrix}
$$

$$
\delta^{(1)}_{FS19}(P) \begin{pmatrix} d \\ \psi^J_b \end{pmatrix} \equiv \varepsilon^a I P^K \epsilon^{IJK} \begin{pmatrix} \Box \psi^J_b \\ i(\gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu d \end{pmatrix}
$$

$$
\rightarrow \varepsilon^a P^J \begin{pmatrix} \Box \psi^J_b \\ i(\gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu d \end{pmatrix}
$$

(A.81)

and from $[D_a^I, \delta_{BS3}(T)]$

$$
\delta^{(1)}_{FS24}(T) \begin{pmatrix} A^M \\ \psi^J_b \end{pmatrix} \equiv \varepsilon^I T^{IJK} T^{KM} \begin{pmatrix} i(\gamma^\mu)_a b \partial_\mu \psi^J_b \\ C_{ab} \Box A^M \end{pmatrix}
$$

$$
\delta^{(1)}_{FS86}(T) \begin{pmatrix} F^M \\ \psi^J_b \end{pmatrix} \equiv \varepsilon^I T^{IJK} T^{KM} \begin{pmatrix} \Box \psi^J_b \\ i(\gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu F^M \end{pmatrix}
$$

$$
\delta^{(1)}_{FS23}(T) \begin{pmatrix} A^K \\ \lambda_b \end{pmatrix} \equiv \varepsilon^I T^{IK} \begin{pmatrix} i(\gamma^\mu)_a b \partial_\mu \lambda_b \\ C_{ab} \Box A^K \end{pmatrix}
$$

$$
\delta^{(1)}_{FS84}(T) \begin{pmatrix} F^K \\ \lambda_b \end{pmatrix} \equiv \varepsilon^I T^{IK} \begin{pmatrix} \Box \lambda_a \\ i(\gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu F^K \end{pmatrix}
$$

(A.82)
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and from $[D^I_a, \delta_{BS2}^{(1)}(Q)]$

$$
\delta^{(1)}_{FS14}(Q) \left( \begin{array}{c} d \\ \psi^J_b \end{array} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^a_7 Q^I \left( \begin{array}{c} i(\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_a b \partial_\mu \psi^J_b \\ \delta^{IJ} (\gamma^5)_{ab} \Box B^K \end{array} \right) 
$$

(A.83)

and from $[D^I_a, \delta_{BS4}(T)]$

$$
\delta^{(1)}_{FS34}(T) \left( \begin{array}{c} B^K \\ \psi^J_b \end{array} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^a_7 \varepsilon^{IJK} T^{KM} \left( \begin{array}{c} i(\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_a b \partial_\mu \psi^J_b \\ \gamma^K_{ab} \Box B^M \end{array} \right) 
$$

$$
\delta^{(1)}_{FS39}(T) \left( \begin{array}{c} G^K \\ \psi^J_b \end{array} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^a_7 \varepsilon^{IJK} T^{KM} \left( \begin{array}{c} \gamma^K_{ab} \Box \psi^N_b \\ i(\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_a b \partial_\mu \psi^J_b \end{array} \right) 
$$

(A.84)

and from $[D^I_a, \delta_{BS7}(U)]$

$$
\delta^{(1)}_{FS47}(U) \left( \begin{array}{c} F^K \\ \psi^J_b \end{array} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^a_7 (U^\mu)^K \partial_\mu \left( \begin{array}{c} (\gamma^5 \gamma^\nu)_a b \partial_\nu \psi^J_b \\ i(\gamma^5)_{ab} F^K \end{array} \right) 
$$

$$
\delta^{(1)}_{FS54}(U) \left( \begin{array}{c} d \\ \psi^J_b \end{array} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^a_7 (U^\mu)^K \varepsilon^{IJK} \left( \begin{array}{c} (\gamma^\nu)_a b \partial_\nu \psi^J_b \\ iC_{ab} \partial_\mu d \end{array} \right) 
$$

(A.85)

$$
\delta^{(1)}_{FS55}(U) \left( \begin{array}{c} d \\ \lambda_b \end{array} \right) \equiv \varepsilon^a_7 (U^\mu)^I \partial_\mu \left( \begin{array}{c} (\gamma^\nu)_a b \partial_\nu \lambda_b \\ iC_{ab} \partial_\mu d \end{array} \right) 
$$
and from \([D_a^I, \delta_{BS9}^{(1)}(Q)]\)

\[
\begin{align*}
\delta_{FS8}^{(1)}(Q) & \equiv \varepsilon^a I Q^K (\gamma^\mu) a b \partial_\mu \psi_b^K \\
& \quad - i C_{ab} \square A^I \\
\delta_{FS4}^{(1)}(Q) & \equiv \varepsilon^a I Q^K (i (\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu) a b \partial_\mu \psi_b^K \\
& \quad (\gamma^5)_{ab} \square B^I \\
\delta_{FS15}^{(1)}(Q) & \equiv \varepsilon^a I Q^K (\square \psi_b^K a b \\
& \quad i (\gamma^\mu) a b \partial_\mu F^I \\
\delta_{FS12}^{(1)}(Q) & \equiv \varepsilon^a I Q^K (\gamma^5) a b \square \psi_b^K \\
& \quad i (\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu) a b \partial_\mu G^I
\end{align*}
\] (A.87)

and from \([D_a^I, \delta_{BS9}^{(1)}(Q)]\)

\[
\begin{align*}
\delta_{FS67}^{(1)}(Q) & \equiv \varepsilon^a I Q^I (\gamma^\mu) a b \partial_\mu \lambda_b \\
& \quad \frac{1}{2} (\gamma^\mu \sigma^{\mu\nu})_{ba} \partial_\alpha F_{\mu\nu} \\
\delta_{FS14}^{(1)}(Q) & \equiv \varepsilon^a I Q^I (i (\gamma^5) a b \square \lambda_b \\
& \quad (\gamma^5)_{ab} \partial_\mu d \\
\delta_{FS7}^{(1)}(Q) & \equiv \varepsilon^a I Q^K \epsilon^{IJK} (\gamma^\mu) a b \partial_\mu \lambda_b \\
& \quad - i C_{ab} \square A^J \\
\delta_{FS3}^{(1)}(Q) & \equiv \varepsilon^a I Q^K \epsilon^{IJK} (i (\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu) a b \partial_\mu \lambda_b \\
& \quad (\gamma^5)_{ab} \square B^J \\
& \quad \rightarrow \varepsilon^a I Q^J (i (\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu) a b \partial_\mu \lambda_b \\
& \quad (\gamma^5)_{ab} \square B^J)
\end{align*}
\] (A.88)
\[ \delta^{(1)}_{FS16}(Q) \left( \frac{F^J}{\lambda_b} \right) \equiv \varepsilon_l Q^K \epsilon_{IJK} \left( \begin{array}{c} \Box \lambda_a \\ \iota (\gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu F^J \end{array} \right) \]

\[ \rightarrow \varepsilon^a Q^J \left( \begin{array}{c} \Box \lambda_a \\ \iota (\gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu F^J \end{array} \right) \]  

\[ \delta^{(1)}_{FS11}(Q) \left( \frac{G^J}{\lambda_b} \right) \equiv \varepsilon_l Q^K \epsilon_{IJK} \left( \begin{array}{c} (\gamma^5)^a_b \Box \lambda_b \\ \iota (\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu G^J \end{array} \right) \]

\[ \rightarrow \varepsilon^a Q^J \left( \begin{array}{c} (\gamma^5)^a_b \Box \lambda_b \\ \iota (\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu G^J \end{array} \right) \] 

(A.89)

and from \([D^I, \delta^{(1)}_{BS12}(W)]\)

\[ \delta^{(1)}_{FS30}(W) \left( \frac{A^J}{\psi^I_b} \right) \equiv \epsilon_l^a \epsilon_{IJK} W^{KM} \left( \begin{array}{c} i(\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_{a b} \partial_\mu \psi^M \\ (\gamma^5)_{ab} \Box A^J \end{array} \right) \]

\[ \delta^{(1)}_{FS26}(W) \left( \frac{B^J}{\psi^I_b} \right) \equiv \epsilon_l^a \epsilon_{IJK} W^{KM} \left( \begin{array}{c} i(\gamma^\mu)_{a b} \partial_\mu \psi^M \\ C_{ab} \Box B^J \end{array} \right) \]

\[ \delta^{(1)}_{FS37}(W) \left( \frac{F^I}{\psi^I_b} \right) \equiv \epsilon_l^a \epsilon_{IJK} W^{KM} \left( \begin{array}{c} (\gamma^5)^a_b \Box \psi^M \\ (\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu F^J \end{array} \right) \]

\[ \delta^{(1)}_{FS88}(W) \left( \frac{G^J}{\psi^I_b} \right) \equiv \epsilon_l^a \epsilon_{IJK} W^{KM} \left( \begin{array}{c} \Box \psi^M \\ i(\gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu G^J \end{array} \right) \]

\[ \delta^{(1)}_{FS99}(W) \left( \frac{A^\nu}{\psi^I_b} \right) \equiv \epsilon_l^a W^{IM} \left( \begin{array}{c} -i(\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_{a b} \partial_\mu \psi^M \\ -\frac{1}{7}(\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu \sigma_{\alpha \nu})_{ba} \partial_\mu F^\alpha \end{array} \right) \]

\[ \delta^{(1)}_{FS90}(W) \left( \frac{d}{\psi^I_b} \right) \equiv \epsilon_l^a W^{IM} \left( \begin{array}{c} \Box \psi^M \\ i(\gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu d \end{array} \right) \] 

(A.90)

and from \([D^I, \delta^{(1)}_{BS13}(V)]\)

\[ \delta^{(1)}_{FS76}(V) \left( \frac{A^J}{\psi^I_b} \right) \equiv \epsilon_l^a \epsilon_{IJK} (V^\rho)^{KM} \left( \begin{array}{c} (\gamma^5 \gamma^\rho \gamma^\mu)_{a b} \partial_\mu \psi^M \\ -(\gamma^5 \gamma^\rho \gamma^\mu)_{ab} \Box A^J \end{array} \right) \]

\[ \delta^{(1)}_{FS96}(V) \left( \frac{B^J}{\psi^I_b} \right) \equiv \epsilon_l^a \epsilon_{IJK} (V^\rho)^{KM} \left( \begin{array}{c} (\gamma^\rho)_{a b} \partial_\mu \psi^M \\ (\gamma^\rho)_{ab} \Box B^J \end{array} \right) \]

\[ \delta^{(1)}_{FS48}(V) \left( \frac{F^I}{\psi^I_b} \right) \equiv \epsilon_l^a \epsilon_{IJK} (V^\rho)^{KM} \left( \begin{array}{c} (\gamma^5 \gamma^\rho \gamma^\mu)_{a b} \partial_\mu \psi^M \\ -(\gamma^5 \gamma^\rho \gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu F^J \end{array} \right) \]

\[ \delta^{(1)}_{FS90}(V) \left( \frac{G^J}{\psi^I_b} \right) \equiv \epsilon_l^a \epsilon_{IJK} (V^\rho)^{KM} \left( \begin{array}{c} (\gamma^\mu)_{a b} \partial_\mu \psi^M \\ (\gamma^\mu)_{ab} \Box G^J \end{array} \right) \] 

(A.91)
\[
\begin{align*}
\delta^{(1)}_{FS73}(V) & \left( A_\mu \right) \psi^{M}_b \equiv \varepsilon^{6}_1 (V^{\nu})^{JM} \left( (\gamma^{5} \gamma^{\nu} \gamma^{\rho} \gamma^{\mu})_a^b \partial_{\nu} \psi^{M}_b \right) \\
\delta^{(1)}_{FS63}(V) & \left( d \right) \psi^{M}_b \equiv \varepsilon^{6}_1 (V^{\nu})^{JM} \left( i(\gamma^{\mu} \gamma^{\nu})_a^b \partial_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} \psi^{M}_b \right)
\end{align*}
\] (A.92)

and from [D_{a}^{\dagger}, \delta^{(1)}_{BS14}(T)]

\[
\begin{align*}
\delta^{(1)}_{FS25}(T) & \left( A^J \right) \psi^{M}_b \equiv \varepsilon^{6}_1 \epsilon^{JKLM} \left( i(\gamma^{5})_a^b \partial_{\nu} \psi^{M}_b \right) \left( C_{ab} \square A^J \right) \\
\delta^{(1)}_{FS33}(T) & \left( B^J \right) \psi^{M}_b \equiv \varepsilon^{6}_1 \epsilon^{JKLM} \left( i(\gamma^{5})_a^b \partial_{\nu} \psi^{M}_b \right) \left( \gamma^{5} \right)_{ab} \square B^J \\
\delta^{(1)}_{FS85}(T) & \left( F^J \right) \psi^{M}_b \equiv \varepsilon^{6}_1 \epsilon^{JKLM} \left( \square \psi^{M}_a \right) \left( i(\gamma^{5})_a^b \partial_{\nu} \partial_{\nu} \psi^{M}_b \right) \\
\delta^{(1)}_{FS40}(T) & \left( G^J \right) \psi^{M}_b \equiv \varepsilon^{6}_1 \epsilon^{JKLM} \left( \gamma^{5} \right)_{a}^b \partial_{\nu} \psi^{M}_b \left( i(\gamma^{5})_a^b \partial_{\nu} \partial_{\nu} \psi^{M}_b \right) \\
\delta^{(1)}_{FS68}(T) & \left( A_\mu \right) \psi^{M}_b \equiv \varepsilon^{6}_1 T^{JM} \left( (\gamma^{5} \gamma^{\mu})_a^b \partial_{\nu} \psi^{M}_b \right) \left( \gamma^{5} \right)_{ab} \square A^M \\
\delta^{(1)}_{FS41}(T) & \left( d \right) \psi^{M}_b \equiv \varepsilon^{6}_1 T^{JM} \left( (\gamma^{5} \gamma^{\mu})_a^b \partial_{\nu} \psi^{M}_b \right) \left( \gamma^{5} \right)_{ab} \square d
\end{align*}
\] (A.93)

and from [D_{a}^{\dagger}, \delta^{(1)}_{BS5}(W)]

\[
\begin{align*}
\delta^{(1)}_{FS31}(W) & \left( A^M \right) \psi^{J}_b \equiv \varepsilon^{6}_1 W^{KM} \epsilon^{JKLM} \left( i(\gamma^{5} \gamma^{\mu})_a^b \partial_{\nu} \psi^{J}_b \right) \left( \gamma^{5} \right)_{ab} \square A^M \\
\delta^{(1)}_{FS59}(W) & \left( G^M \right) \psi^{J}_b \equiv \varepsilon^{6}_1 W^{KM} \epsilon^{JKLM} \left( \square \psi^{N}_a \right) \left( i(\gamma^{5})_a^b \partial_{\nu} \partial_{\nu} \psi^{M}_b \right) \\
\delta^{(1)}_{FS29}(W) & \left( A^M \right) \lambda_b \equiv \varepsilon^{6}_1 W^{JM} \left( i(\gamma^{5} \gamma^{\mu})_a^b \partial_{\nu} \lambda_b \right) \left( \gamma^{5} \right)_{ab} \square A^M \\
\delta^{(1)}_{FS77}(W) & \left( G^M \right) \lambda_b \equiv \varepsilon^{6}_1 W^{JM} \left( \square \lambda_a \right) \left( i(\gamma^{5})_a^b \partial_{\nu} \partial_{\nu} \psi^{M}_b \right)
\end{align*}
\] (A.94)

(A.95)
and from \([D^I_a, \delta_{BS6}^{(1)}(V)]\)

\[
\begin{align*}
\delta_{FS45}^{(1)}(V) & \equiv \varepsilon^a_I(V^\mu)_{KM} \epsilon_{JK} \left( (\gamma^5 \gamma^\nu)_{a} b \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \psi^d_b \right) i(\gamma^5)_{ab} \partial_\mu F^M \\
\delta_{FS40}^{(1)}(V) & \equiv \varepsilon^a_I(V^\mu)_{KM} \epsilon_{JK} \left( (\gamma^5 \gamma^\nu)_{a} b \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \psi^N_b \right) i(\gamma^5)_{ab} \partial_\mu G^M \\
\delta_{FS44}^{(1)}(V) & \equiv \varepsilon^a_I(V^\mu)_{IM} \left( (\gamma^5 \gamma^\nu)_{a} b \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \lambda_b \right) i(\gamma^5)_{ab} \partial_\mu F^M \\
\delta_{FS59}^{(1)}(V) & \equiv \varepsilon^a_I(V^\mu)_{IM} \left( (\gamma^5 \gamma^\nu)_{a} b \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \lambda_b \right) i(\gamma^5)_{ab} \partial_\mu G^M
\end{align*}
\]

(A.96)

and from \([D_a, \delta_{BS10}^{(1)}(U)]\)

\[
\begin{align*}
\delta_{FS63}^{(1)}(U) & \equiv \varepsilon^a(U^\rho)_{K} \left( \left( \gamma^5 \gamma^\rho \gamma^\nu \right)_{a} b \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \psi^K_b \right) \left( \gamma^5 \gamma^{\mu} \right)_{ba} \partial_\mu d \\
\delta_{FS73}^{(1)}(U) & \equiv \varepsilon^a(U^\rho)_{K} \left( \left( \gamma^5 \gamma^\rho \gamma^\nu \right)_{a} b \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \psi^K_b \right) \left( \frac{1}{2} \left( \gamma^5 \gamma^{\rho} \gamma^{\mu} \sigma^{\alpha \beta} \right)_{ba} \partial_\mu F_{\alpha \beta} \right)
\end{align*}
\]

(A.97)

and from \([D_a, \delta_{BS10}^{(1)}(U)]\)

\[
\begin{align*}
\delta_{FS77}^{(1)}(U) & \equiv \varepsilon^a(U^\rho)_{K} \left( (\gamma^5 \gamma^\rho \gamma^\mu)_{a} b \partial_\mu \lambda_b \right) (-i(\gamma^5 \gamma^\rho)_{ab} \Box A^K) \\
\delta_{FS78}^{(1)}(U) & \equiv \varepsilon^a(U^\rho)_{K} \left( (\gamma^5 \gamma^\rho \gamma^\mu)_{a} b \partial_\mu \lambda_b \right) \left( \gamma^5 \gamma^{\rho} \right)_{ab} \Box B^K \\
\delta_{FS49}^{(1)}(U) & \equiv \varepsilon^a(U^\rho)_{K} \left( (\gamma^5 \gamma^\rho \gamma^\nu)_{a} b \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \lambda_b \right) (-i(\gamma^5 \gamma^\rho \gamma^\mu)_{ba} \partial_\mu F^K) \\
\delta_{FS53}^{(1)}(U) & \equiv \varepsilon^a(U^\rho)_{K} \left( (\gamma^5 \gamma^\rho \gamma^\nu)_{a} b \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \lambda_b \right) \left( \gamma^5 \gamma^{\rho} \right)_{ba} \partial_\mu G^K
\end{align*}
\]

(A.98)

and from \([D^I_a, \delta_{BS10}^{(1)}(U)]\)

\[
\begin{align*}
\delta_{FS74}^{(1)}(U) & \equiv \varepsilon^a_I(U^\rho)^M \left( (\gamma^5 \gamma^\rho \gamma^\mu)_{a} b \partial_\mu \psi^M_b \right) \left( -\gamma^5 \gamma^\rho \gamma^\mu \right)_{ab} \Box A^I \\
\delta_{FS80}^{(1)}(U) & \equiv \varepsilon^a_I(U^\rho)^M \left( i(\gamma^5 \gamma^\rho)_{a} b \partial_\mu \psi^M_b \right) \left( \gamma^5 \gamma^\rho \right)_{ab} \Box B^I
\end{align*}
\]

(A.99)
\begin{align}
\delta^{(1)}_{F550}(U) \left( F^I, \psi^M_b \right) & \equiv \varepsilon^a_I (U^\rho)^I \left( (\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu)_a ^{b \partial \mu \partial \nu \psi^M_b} \right) \\
& - i (\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial \mu F^I \\
\delta^{(1)}_{F558}(U) \left( G^I, \psi^M_b \right) & \equiv \varepsilon^a_I (U^\rho)^I \left( i (\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu)_a ^{b \partial \mu \partial \nu \psi^M_b} \right) \\
& - i (\gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial \mu G^I \\
\end{align}

and from $[D^I_a, \delta^{(1)}_{BS10}(U)]$

\begin{align}
\delta^{(1)}_{F577}(U) \left( A^J, \lambda^I_b \right) & \equiv \varepsilon^a_I (U^\rho)^K \epsilon^{IJK} \left( (\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu)_a ^{b \partial \mu \lambda^I_b} \right) \\
& - i (\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial \mu A^I \\
\delta^{(1)}_{F578}(U) \left( B^J, \lambda^I_b \right) & \equiv \varepsilon^a_I (U^\rho)^K \epsilon^{IJK} \left( i (\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu)_a ^{b \partial \mu \lambda^I_b} \right) \\
& - i (\gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial \mu B^I \\
\delta^{(1)}_{F549}(U) \left( F^I, \lambda^I_b \right) & \equiv \varepsilon^a_I (U^\rho)^K \epsilon^{IJK} \left( - (\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu)_a ^{b \partial \mu \partial \nu \lambda^I_b} \right) \\
& + i (\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial \mu F^I \\
\delta^{(1)}_{F553}(U) \left( G^I, \lambda^I_b \right) & \equiv \varepsilon^a_I (U^\rho)^K \epsilon^{IJK} \left( i (\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu)_a ^{b \partial \mu \partial \nu \lambda^I_b} \right) \\
& - i (\gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial \mu G^I \\
\delta^{(1)}_{F562}(U) \left( d, \lambda^I_b \right) & \equiv \varepsilon^a_I (U^\rho)^I \left( i (\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu)_a ^{b \partial \mu \partial \nu \lambda^I_b} \right) \\
& + i (\gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial \mu d \\
\delta^{(1)}_{F572}(U) \left( A^I, \lambda^I_b \right) & \equiv \varepsilon^a_I (U^\rho)^I \left( 1 \frac{(\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu)_a ^{b \partial \mu \lambda^I_b} \partial \nu}{2} \right) \\
& + \frac{i}{2} (\gamma^5 \gamma^\nu \gamma^\alpha \partial \alpha \lambda^I_b) \partial \nu F^I \\
\end{align}

and from $[D^I_a, \delta^{(1)}_{BS11}(P)]$

\begin{align}
\delta^{(1)}_{F519}(U) \left( \frac{d}{\psi^K_b} \right) & \equiv \varepsilon^a_P \left( i \square \psi^{k}_b - (\gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial \mu d \right) \\
\delta^{(1)}_{F565}(U) \left( A^I, \psi^M_b \right) & \equiv \varepsilon^a_P \left( \frac{(\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu)_a ^{b \partial \mu \psi^{K}_b} \partial \nu}{2} \right) \\
& - i (\gamma^5 \gamma^\nu)_{ab} \partial \mu F^I \\
\end{align}
and from \([D_a, \delta_{\text{BS}11}^{(1)}(P)]\)

\[
\begin{align*}
\delta_{FS2}^{(1)}(P) & \quad \begin{pmatrix} A^K \\ \lambda_b \end{pmatrix} \equiv \varepsilon^a_P K \begin{pmatrix} i(\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_a b \partial_\mu \lambda_b \\ (\gamma^5)_{ab} \Box A^K \end{pmatrix} \\
\delta_{FS5}^{(1)}(P) & \quad \begin{pmatrix} B^K \\ \lambda_b \end{pmatrix} \equiv \varepsilon^a_P K \begin{pmatrix} i(\gamma^\mu)_a b \partial_\mu \lambda_b \\ C_{ab} \Box B^K \end{pmatrix} \\
\delta_{FS9}^{(1)}(P) & \quad \begin{pmatrix} F^K \\ \lambda_b \end{pmatrix} \equiv \varepsilon^a_P K \begin{pmatrix} (\gamma^5)_a b \Box \lambda_b \\ i(\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu F^K \end{pmatrix} \\
\delta_{FS17}^{(1)}(P) & \quad \begin{pmatrix} G^K \\ \lambda_b \end{pmatrix} \equiv \varepsilon^a_P K \begin{pmatrix} \Box \lambda_a \\ i(\gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu G^K \end{pmatrix}
\end{align*}
\]

\hspace{1cm} (A.103)

and from \([D_a^I, \delta_{\text{BS}11}^{(1)}(P)]\)

\[
\begin{align*}
\delta_{FS1}^{(1)}(P) & \quad \begin{pmatrix} A^I \\ \psi^M_b \end{pmatrix} \equiv \varepsilon_I^a P M \begin{pmatrix} -(\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_a b \partial_\mu \psi^M_b \\ i(\gamma^5)_{ab} \Box A^I \end{pmatrix} \\
\delta_{FS6}^{(1)}(P) & \quad \begin{pmatrix} B^I \\ \psi^M_b \end{pmatrix} \equiv \varepsilon_I^a P M \begin{pmatrix} i(\gamma^\mu)_a b \partial_\mu \psi^M_b \\ C_{ab} \Box B^I \end{pmatrix} \\
\delta_{FS10}^{(1)}(P) & \quad \begin{pmatrix} F^I \\ \psi^M_b \end{pmatrix} \equiv \varepsilon_I^a P M \begin{pmatrix} (\gamma^5)_a b \Box \psi^M_b \\ i(\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu F^I \end{pmatrix} \\
\delta_{FS18}^{(1)}(P) & \quad \begin{pmatrix} G^I \\ \psi^M_b \end{pmatrix} \equiv \varepsilon_I^a P M \begin{pmatrix} \Box \psi^M_a \\ i(\gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu G^I \end{pmatrix}
\end{align*}
\]

\hspace{1cm} (A.104)

from \([D_a^I, \delta_{\text{BS}11}^{(1)}(P)]\)

\[
\begin{align*}
\delta_{FS20}^{(1)}(P) & \quad \begin{pmatrix} d \\ \lambda_b \end{pmatrix} \equiv \varepsilon_I^a P I \begin{pmatrix} \partial_\lambda_b \\ (\gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu d \end{pmatrix} \\
\delta_{FS64}^{(1)}(P) & \quad \begin{pmatrix} A_\mu \\ \lambda_b \end{pmatrix} \equiv \varepsilon_I^a P I \begin{pmatrix} -(\gamma^5 \gamma_\mu \gamma^{\nu})_a b \partial_\nu \lambda_b \\ -i(\gamma^5 \gamma^\nu)_{ab} \partial^\mu F^{\mu \nu} \end{pmatrix} \\
\delta_{FS2}^{(1)}(P) & \quad \begin{pmatrix} A^J \\ \lambda_b \end{pmatrix} \equiv \varepsilon_I^a P K \varepsilon_{IJK} P \begin{pmatrix} i(\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_a b \partial_\mu \lambda_b \\ (\gamma^5)_{ab} \Box A^J \end{pmatrix} \\
& \quad \rightarrow \varepsilon^a_P P \begin{pmatrix} i(\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_a b \partial_\mu \lambda_b \\ (\gamma^5)_{ab} \Box A^J \end{pmatrix}
\end{align*}
\]

\hspace{1cm} (A.105)
\[\delta_{FS5}(P) \left( \begin{array}{c} B^J \\ \lambda_b \end{array} \right) \equiv \epsilon^a_i P^k \epsilon^{JK} \left( \begin{array}{c} i(\gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu \lambda_b \\ C_{ab} \Box B^J \end{array} \right) \]
\[\rightarrow \epsilon^a_i P^J \left( \begin{array}{c} i(\gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu \lambda_b \\ C_{ab} \Box B^J \end{array} \right) \]

\[\delta_{FS9}(P) \left( \begin{array}{c} F^J \\ \lambda_b \end{array} \right) \equiv \epsilon^a_i P^k \epsilon^{JK} \left( \begin{array}{c} (\gamma^5)_{ab} \Box \lambda_b \\ i(\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu F^J \end{array} \right) \]
\[\rightarrow \epsilon^a_i P^J \left( \begin{array}{c} (\gamma^5)_{ab} \Box \lambda_b \\ i(\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu F^J \end{array} \right) \]

\[\delta_{FS17}(P) \left( \begin{array}{c} G^J \\ \lambda_b \end{array} \right) \equiv \epsilon^a_i P^k \epsilon^{JK} \left( \begin{array}{c} -i \Box \lambda_a \\ (\gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu G^J \end{array} \right) \]
\[\rightarrow \epsilon^a_i P^J \left( \begin{array}{c} -i \Box \lambda_a \\ (\gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu G^J \end{array} \right) \]

(A.106)

**A.2 \( \mathcal{N} = 2 \) FH**

In this section, we list all of the \( \mathcal{N} = 2 \) FH fermionic first order symmetries uncovered via our method, including the redundant ones. Only the unique symmetries were listed in the body of the paper. From from \( [\tilde{D}^i_a, \delta_{BS1}(\tilde{T})] \) we find the symmetries

\[\tilde{\delta}_{FS1}(\tilde{T}) \left( \begin{array}{c} \tilde{A}^k \\ \tilde{\psi}_b^1 \end{array} \right) \equiv \epsilon^a_i \tilde{T}^{ik} \left( \begin{array}{c} -(\gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu \tilde{\psi}_b^1 \\ iC_{ab} \Box \tilde{A}^k \end{array} \right) \]

\[\tilde{\delta}_{FS2}(\tilde{T}) \left( \begin{array}{c} \tilde{F}^k \\ \tilde{\psi}_b^2 \end{array} \right) \equiv \epsilon^a_i \tilde{T}^{ik} \left( \begin{array}{c} \Box \tilde{\psi}_a^1 \\ (\gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu \tilde{F}^k \end{array} \right) \]

\[\tilde{\delta}_{FS3}(\tilde{T}) \left( \begin{array}{c} \tilde{A}^k \\ \tilde{\psi}_b^2 \end{array} \right) \equiv \epsilon^a_i (\sigma^2)^{ij} \tilde{T}^{jk} \left( \begin{array}{c} i(\gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu \tilde{\psi}_b^2 \\ C_{ab} \Box \tilde{A}^k \end{array} \right) \]

\[\tilde{\delta}_{FS4}(\tilde{T}) \left( \begin{array}{c} \tilde{F}^k \\ \tilde{\psi}_b^1 \end{array} \right) \equiv \epsilon^a_i (\sigma^2)^{ij} \tilde{T}^{jk} \left( \begin{array}{c} -i \Box \tilde{\psi}_a^2 \\ (\gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu \tilde{F}^k \end{array} \right) \]

(A.107)

and from \( [\tilde{D}^i_a, \delta_{BS2}(\tilde{T})] \)

\[\tilde{\delta}_{FS5}(\tilde{T}) \left( \begin{array}{c} \tilde{B}^k \\ \tilde{\psi}_b^1 \end{array} \right) \equiv \epsilon^a_i (\sigma^3)^{ij} \tilde{T}^{jk} \left( \begin{array}{c} i(\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu \tilde{\psi}_b^1 \\ (\gamma^5)_{ab} \Box \tilde{B}^k \end{array} \right) \]

\[\tilde{\delta}_{FS6}(\tilde{T}) \left( \begin{array}{c} \tilde{G}^k \\ \tilde{\psi}_b^1 \end{array} \right) \equiv \epsilon^a_i (\sigma^3)^{ij} \tilde{T}^{jk} \left( \begin{array}{c} -i(\gamma^5)_{ab} \Box \tilde{\psi}_b^1 \\ (\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_{ab} \partial_\mu \tilde{G}^k \end{array} \right) \]

(A.108)
\begin{align}
\tilde{\delta}^{(1)}_{FS7}(\tilde{T}) \left( \begin{array}{c}
\tilde{B}^k \\
\tilde{\psi}_b
\end{array} \right) & \equiv \varepsilon_i^a(4^1)^{ij} \tilde{T}^{jk} \left( \begin{array}{c}
\gamma_5 \gamma^\mu_a b \partial_\mu \tilde{\psi}_b \\
(\gamma_5^5)_{ab} \square \tilde{B}^k
\end{array} \right) \\
\tilde{\delta}^{(1)}_{FS8}(\tilde{T}) \left( \begin{array}{c}
\tilde{G}^k \\
\tilde{\psi}_b
\end{array} \right) & \equiv \varepsilon_i^a(4^1)^{ij} \tilde{T}^{jk} \left( \begin{array}{c}
-\gamma_5 \gamma^\mu_a b \square \tilde{\psi}_b \\
(\gamma_5^5)_{ab} \partial_\mu \tilde{G}^k
\end{array} \right)
\end{align}

Calculation of $[\tilde{D}_a^i, \tilde{\delta}^{(1)}_{BS3}(\tilde{T})]$ uncovers no new symmetries, just these same eight again:

\begin{align}
\tilde{\delta}^{(1)}_{FS1}(\tilde{T}) \left( \begin{array}{c}
\tilde{A}^k \\
\tilde{\psi}_1^b
\end{array} \right) & \equiv \varepsilon_i^a(4^2)^{ik} \tilde{T}^{jk} \left( \begin{array}{c}
\gamma_5 \gamma^\mu_a b \partial_\mu \tilde{\psi}_1^b \\
C_{ab} \square \tilde{A}^k
\end{array} \right) \\
\tilde{\delta}^{(1)}_{FS2}(\tilde{T}) \left( \begin{array}{c}
\tilde{F}^k \\
\tilde{\psi}_1^b
\end{array} \right) & \equiv \varepsilon_i^a(4^2)^{ik} \tilde{T}^{jk} \left( \begin{array}{c}
\gamma_5 \gamma^\mu_a b \partial_\mu \tilde{\psi}_1^b \\
-C_{ab} \partial_\mu \tilde{F}^k
\end{array} \right) \\
\tilde{\delta}^{(1)}_{FS3}(\tilde{T}) \left( \begin{array}{c}
\tilde{A}^k \\
\tilde{\psi}_2^b
\end{array} \right) & \equiv \varepsilon_i^a(4^2)^{ik} \tilde{T}^{jk} \left( \begin{array}{c}
\gamma_5 \gamma^\mu_a b \partial_\mu \tilde{\psi}_2^b \\
C_{ab} \square \tilde{A}^k
\end{array} \right) \\
\tilde{\delta}^{(1)}_{FS4}(\tilde{T}) \left( \begin{array}{c}
\tilde{F}^k \\
\tilde{\psi}_2^b
\end{array} \right) & \equiv \varepsilon_i^a(4^2)^{ik} \tilde{T}^{jk} \left( \begin{array}{c}
\gamma_5 \gamma^\mu_a b \partial_\mu \tilde{\psi}_2^b \\
-C_{ab} \partial_\mu \tilde{F}^k
\end{array} \right)
\end{align}

under redefinitions of $\tilde{T}$.
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