Silica Nanoparticles Disturb Ion Channels and Transmembrane Potentials of Cardiomyocytes and Induce Lethal Arrhythmias in Mice
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Background: The toxicity of silica nanoparticles (SiNPs) on cardiac electrophysiology has seldom been evaluated.

Methods: Patch-clamp was used to investigate the acute effects of SiNP-100 (100 nm) and SiNP-20 (20 nm) on the transmembrane potentials (TMPs) and ion channels in cultured neonatal mouse ventricular myocytes. Calcium mobilization in vitro, cardiomyocyte ROS generation, and LDH leakage after exposure to SiNPs in vitro and in vivo were measured using a microplate reader. Surface electrocardiograms were recorded in adult mice to evaluate the arrhythmogenic effects of SiNPs in vivo. SiNP endocytosis was observed using transmission electron microscopy.

Results: Within 30 min, both SiNPs (10\(^{-7}-10^{-6}\) g/mL) did not affect the resting potential and \(I_{K1}\) channels. SiNP-100 increased the action potential amplitude (APA) and the \(I_{Na}\) current density, but SiNP-20 decreased APA and \(I_{Na}\) density. SiNP-100 prolonged the action potential duration (APD) and decreased the \(I_{Ca}\) current density, while SiNP-20 prolonged or shortened the APD, depending on exposure concentrations and increased \(I_{Na}\) density. Both SiNPs (10\(^{-6}\) g/mL) induced calcium mobilization but did not increase ROS and LDH levels and were not endocytosed within 10 min in cardiomyocytes in vitro. In vivo, SiNP-100 (4–10 mg/kg) and SiNP-20 (4–30 mg/kg) did not elevate myocardial ROS but increased LDH levels depending on dose and exposure time. The same higher dose of SiNPs (intravenously injected) induced tachyarrhythmias and lethal bradyarrhythmias within 90 min in adult mice.

Conclusion: SiNPs (i) exert rapid toxic effects on the TMPs of cardiomyocytes in vitro largely owing to their direct interfering effects on the \(I_{Na}\) and \(I_{K1}\) channels and \(Ca^{2+}\) homeostasis but not \(I_{K1}\) channels and ROS levels, and (ii) induce tachyarrhythmias and lethal bradyarrhythmias in vivo. SiNP-100 is more toxic than SiNP-20 on cardiac electrophysiology, and the toxicity mechanism is likely more complicated in vivo.
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Introduction

Silica (SiO\(_2\)) nanoparticles (SiNPs) are becoming one of the most popular materials in many fields because of their unique physical and chemical properties. In the industrial field, SiNPs have been used for organic synthesis, semiconductor production, energy application, and sewage treatment.1–4 In the biomedical field, SiNPs are applied for food safety inspection, parasite diagnosis, molecular probes, imaging diagnosis, promotion of vascular regeneration, and oncotherapy, etc.5–12 Synthetic SiNPs can enter the bodies of patients and healthy people through the skin, the respiratory tract, the
digestive tract, and medical exposure, thus raising a biosafety concern about this nanomaterial. Increasing studies indicate that SiNPs can exert biological effects and toxicities after exposure. For example, SiNPs (i) induce systemic toxicity, oxidative stress and DNA damage in the lung, kidney, liver, heart, and brain of mice after intraperitoneal administration for 24 h,
(ii) inhibit gap junction communication to promote apoptosis in H9c2 cells via the mitochondrial pathway,
(iii) induce pulmonary inflammation, myocardial ischemic damage, and atrio-ventricular conduction block,
(iv) cause organ abnormalities during zebrafish embryo development especially the blood vessels and heart,
(v) inhibit macrophage activity in zebrafish embryos, and (vi) impair cellular ATP supply and reduce the contraction frequency of cardiomyocytes. However, until now, although many studies have focused on the chronic pathological effects of SiNPs, the acute hazardous effects of SiNPs on the heart, especially on cardiac electrophysiology and arrhythmogenesis, have rarely been investigated. Because the heart is one of the most important organs in maintaining life, it is necessary to better understand the toxicities of SiNPs on cardiac electrophysiology.

The heart acts as a pump to maintain blood circulation in the body. Myocardial contraction is generated by the rhythmic excitation-contraction coupling which is initiated by the action potential (AP) from the sinus node. The AP propagates along the conducting system and the working myocardium and then triggers cardiac muscle contraction via the membranous and sarcoplasmic Ca$^{2+}$ signaling. Myocardial AP plays an essential role in the process of heart beating. It is known that the generation and configuration of the AP are decided by the activities of several ion channels, including the inwardly rectifying $K^+$ ($I_{K1}$) channel, the voltage-gated $Na^+$ ($I_{Na}$) channel, the L-type $Ca^{2+}$ ($I_{Ca-L}$) channel, the transient outward $K^+$ ($I_o$) channel, and the delayed rectifying $K^+$ ($I_{K}$) channel. Agents such as poisons, drugs, and nanomaterials could do harm to the depolarization and/or repolarization of APs through interfering with the normal activities of these ion channels and thus cause cardiac dysfunction and arrhythmias if the agent interferes with the normal activities of these ion channels. The objectives of the present study were to examine the potential hazardous effects of SiNPs on several aspects of cardiac electrophysiology, including ion channels, APs, and arrhythmogenesis, and to further explore the underlying mechanisms. The study provides new information and warnings with respect to the utilization of SiNPs in the fields of nanobiology and nanomedicine.

Materials and Methods

Ethical Approval

The animal use protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of Southwest Medical University for animal experiments (approval No. 201705-093) and followed the ARRIVE guidelines and the US National Institutes of Health Guidelines for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (NIH Publication 85-23, revised 1985). Cardiomyocytes used in the study were harvested from the experimental animals.

Preparation and Characterization of SiNPs

SiNPs with particle diameters of 100 nm (SiNP-100) and 20 nm (SiNP-20) were purchased from NanoComposix (San Diego, CA, USA), and were filter-sterilized before use. Both SiNPs were diluted with basal (serum-free) medium or corresponding bath solutions for in vitro studies, or dissolved in 5% isotonic glucose solution for in vivo experiments. Dynamic light scattering (DLS) and electrophoretic light scattering (ELS) techniques were used to characterize the physicochemical properties of SiNPs. Both SiNP-100 and SiNP-20 were dispersed in 1 mL of the corresponding medium or solution for in vitro experiments including in distilled water, basal medium, bath solutions for recording transmembrane potentials (TMPs) and various ion channel currents, and 5% isotonic glucose solution for in vivo experiments. SiNPs were incubated in these media for 5 min at room temperature before use.

Isolation and Culture of Neonatal Mice Ventricular Myocytes

Ventricular myocytes (hereafter referred to as cardiomyocytes) were obtained from neonatal C57BL/6J mice (24–48 h after birth). Mice were sacrificed, hearts were harvested, and ventricular tissues were rapidly cut out and put into pre-cold phosphate buffer solution (PBS) and washed with PBS for several times to remove blood. To isolate cardiomyocytes, ventricular tissues were digested with diluted trypsin solution (0.25% trypsin: PBS = 1:3) at 4°C for overnight (not exceeding 16 h), followed by digestion with type II collagenase (filter-sterilized prior to experiments) for 90 sec at 37°C. The digestion was then stopped with an equal volume of 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), and the cell suspension was harvested by centrifugation at 800 rpm for 3 min. The supernatant was discarded, and ventricular myocytes were gently resuspended in high-glucose DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS,
penicillin, and streptomycin. Fibroblast growth inhibitor (BrdU) was added at a final concentration of 10 mM to the cell suspension. Cardiomyocytes with good morphology and pulsation were cultured for 24 h and used to perform whole-cell patch-clamp experiments.

**Patch-Clamp**

A whole-cell configuration of the patch-clamp technique was used to record TMPs and channel currents of cardiomyocytes using the EPC-10 patch-clamp amplifier and PatchMaster software (Heka Elektronik, Lambrecht, Germany) at room temperature (22–25°C). Glass microelectrode pipettes were pulled by a P-97 horizontal puller (Sutter Instruments, Novato, CA, USA). Cells with smooth surfaces and good refractive properties were selected for experiments.

The current clamp mode was used to record TMPs. The bath solution contained (in mM): NaCl 137, KCl 5.4, MgCl₂ 1, NaH₂PO₄ 0.33, HEPES 10, glucose 10, and CaCl₂ 1.8, and pH was adjusted to 7.4 with NaOH. The pipette solution contained (in mM): potassium glutonate 123, NaCl 9, MgCl₂ 1.8, HEPES 9, EGTA 0.9, creatine phosphate disodium 14, and Mg-ATP 4, and pH was adjusted to 7.2 with KOH. The acute effects of SiNPs (10⁻⁶–10⁻⁶ g/mL) on the TMPs of cardiomyocytes were recorded within 30 min after exposure and compared with baseline (control).

The voltage-clamp mode was used to record ion channel currents. The Iₖ, Iₙa and Iₙm channel currents of cardiomyocytes were recorded at baseline and after exposure to SiNPs for 5–30 min.

To record Iₖ, the bath solution contained (mM): NaCl 136, MgCl₂ 1, KCl 5, HEPES 10, glucose 10, CaCl₂ 1.8, and CdCl₂ 0.2, and pH was adjusted to 7.4 with NaOH. Pipettes were filled with a solution containing (mM): KCl 150, HEPES 5, EGTA 5, MgCl₂ 1, Mg-ATP 1, K₂-ATP 3, and 4-aminopyridine (4-AP) 5, and pH was adjusted to 7.4 with KOH. Iₖ was elicited by 500-ms depolarizing pulses stepping from −120 mV to +20 mV from a holding potential of −20 mV, with 10-mV increments.

To record Iₙa, the bath solution contained (in mM): N-methyl-D-glucamine 125, CaCl₂ 1, KCl 2.5, MgCl₂ 1, NaCl 15, and HEPES 10, and pH was adjusted to 7.4 with HCl. The pipettes solution contained (in mM): NaCl 10, EGTA 10, CsF 105, Na₂-ATP 5, and HEPES 10, and pH was adjusted to 7.3 with CsOH. The steady-state activation of Iₙa channels was recorded by 50-ms depolarizing pulses from −90 mV to +20 mV from a holding potential of −90 mV, with 10-mV increments. The inactivation kinetics of Iₙa channels were measured with a double-pulse protocol: the 100-ms serial conditioning pre-pulses in 5-mV steps were applied at a holding potential of −90 mV to achieve serial membrane potentials from −140 mV to −20 mV. A 20-ms testing pulse was delivered at each membrane potential to check the inactivation state of the channels.

To record Iₙm, the bath solution contained (in mM): NaCl 135, MgCl₂ 1, KCl 5.4, HEPES 10, NaH₂PO₄ 0.33, glucose 10, CaCl₂ 1.8, and CdCl₂ 0.1, and pH was adjusted to 7.4 with NaOH. The pipettes solution contained (in mM): KCl 150, HEPES 5, EGTA 5, MgCl₂ 1, and K₂-ATP 3, and pH was adjusted to 7.3 with KOH. Iₙm was elicited by 500-ms depolarizing steps from −40 mV to +80 mV from a holding potential of −40 mV, with 10-mV increments.

**Detection of Calcium Mobilization in Cardiomyocytes in vitro**

The calcium mobilizing effect of SiNPs on cardiomyocytes was detected using the Fura-2 fluorescence method. Isolated cardiomyocytes were seeded into 96-well plates at 3.5×10⁵ cells/well and cultured for over 24 h. Cells were washed with pre-warmed PBS, and then incubated with Fura-2 AM dissolved in pre-warmed PBS for 37 min at 37°C, followed by three washes with pre-warmed PBS. Cells were exposed to SiNPs (10⁻⁶ and 2×10⁻⁴ g/mL) for 60 min, and the cell fluorescence intensities at wavelengths of 340 and 380 nm were detected with a fluorescence microplate reader (BioTek Instruments, USA) after incubation with SiNPs for 5, 15, 30, 45, and 60 min. Cells not exposed to SiNPs served as a negative control. Each experiment was performed in six independent replicates, and three parallel wells were used for each concentration.

**Recording of Surface Electrocardiogram**

Surface electrocardiograms (ECGs) were recorded to observe the potential arrhythmogenic effects of SiNPs in mice in vivo. Adult male C57BL/6J mice were anesthetized by intraperitoneal injection of 1% phenobarbital sodium (0.005 mL/g bw). The BL-420S physiological data acquisition system (Chengdu Taimeng Software Inc, Chengdu, China) was used to record ECGs before exposure to SiNPs (baseline, control), and ECGs were continuously recorded after exposure up to 90 min. Both SiNPs were diluted to desired concentrations with 5% isotonic glucose. SiNP-100 was intravenously (i. v.) injected at doses of 4, 6, 8, and 10 mg/kg and SiNP-20 at 4, 10, 20, and 30 mg/kg. Each mouse received one injection of 100 μL. Arrhythmias were continuously monitored within 90
min after injection. Quantitative analyses of arrhythmias were performed using ANOVA and the chi square test.

Measurement of ROS Generation in vitro
The intracellular ROS assay of cardiomyocytes was performed using a ROS assay kit (Yeasen Biotechnology, Shanghai, China). Cells were loaded with 2,7-dichlorodihydrofluorescein diacetate (DCFH-DA) at 37°C for 20 min, washed with serum-free culture medium for three times, and incubated with SiNP-100 or SiNP-20 at 10⁻⁸–10⁻⁵ g/mL at 37°C for 10 min, followed by at least three washes with fresh culture medium to remove extracellular DCFH-DA. Cells without SiNPs treatment served as a negative control. Cells treated with ROS-up reagent included in the kit served as a positive control. The fluorescence intensity of cells was detected with a fluorescence microplate reader (BioTek Instruments, USA).

Measurement of Lactate Dehydrogenase Leakage in vitro
Lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) leaking from cells was regarded as an indicator of cell membrane injury. The extracellular LDH assay was performed in cultured neonatal ventricular myocytes. Cells were incubated with both SiNPs at 10⁻⁸–10⁻⁵ g/mL for 10 min, washed with PBS, and then the LDH assays were performed using an LDH assay kit (Beyotime Biotechnology, Shanghai, China). Cells without SiNPs treatment served as negative control. Cells treated with ROS-up reagent included in the kit served as positive control. Absorbance was detected with an automatic microplate reader ( Infinite2000, TECAN, Switzerland) at a wavelength of 490 nm.

ELISA Detection of Myocardial ROS and LDH Levels in Mice in vivo
Adult male C57BL/6J mice were anesthetized by intraperitoneal injection of 1% phenobarbital sodium (0.005 mL/g bw). Both SiNPs were diluted to desired concentrations with 5% isotonic glucose and were i. v. injected. The final serial doses of SiNP-100 were 4, 8, and 10 mg/kg, and those of SiNP-20 were 4, 20, and 30 mg/kg. Each mouse received one injection of 100 μL. Control mice received an injection of 100 μL saline. Five or 60 min after injection, hearts were harvested and myocardial homogenate of each mouse was prepared for ELISA of ROS and LDH. The effects of i. v. SiNPs on myocardial ROS generation and LDH leakage in vivo were measured using the ELISA double antibody sandwich method, and the OD values were read by a microplate reader (BioTek Instruments, USA). Seven mice were tested for each dose of both SiNPs.

Transmission Electron Microscopy
Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) was used to examine the endocytosis and intracellular localization of SiNP-100 and SiNP-20 (both 10⁻⁶ g/mL) in cultured cardiomyocytes after exposure for 10 min and 1 h, respectively. Cells without SiNP treatment served as control. Cells were then fixed with 2.5% glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M PBS (pH 7.2), washed with PBS, fixed with 1% phosphate-buffered osmium tetroxide, and washed with PBS. Cells were collected and centrifuged at 800 rpm for 5 min. Cell pellets were dehydrated, infiltrated with epoxy resin and acetone, and embedded in epoxy resin. Cells were cut into ultra-thin sections with an ultramicrotome, mounted on copper grids, stained with uranyl acetate and lead citrate, and washed with distilled water. Ultrastructural images of cell sections were taken under a transmission electron microscope (HT7700, HITACHI, Japan).

Statistics
Quantitative data are presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD). Student’s t-test or ANOVA was used for statistical analysis where appropriate. Numerical data, such as numbers of animals showing arrhythmias, were statistically analyzed using the chi square test. P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results
Characterization of SiNPs
The measured physicochemical characteristics of both SiNPs are summarized in Table 1. SiNP-100 and SiNP-20 exhibited different Z-average sizes and zeta potentials in different media, which may be related to their mild aggregation and medium components sticking to the SiNPs. The polydispersity index (PDI), which indicates the particle size distribution in the medium system, was very low, suggesting a relatively even distribution of the particle size.

SiNP-100 and SiNP-20 Caused Differential Changes in the Transmembrane Potentials of Cardiomyocytes in vitro
Before exposure to SiNPs (baseline), the resting potential (RP) of cardiomyocytes was about −62 mV to −65 mV, and the action potential (AP) exhibited a triangular shape without obvious plateau (Figure 1). Both SiNP-100 and SiNP-20 did not significantly affect the RP, even at the
Table 1 Physicochemical Characterization of SiNPs

| Dispersants                  | Z-Average (nm)/PDI | Zeta Potential (mV) |
|------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
|                              | SiNP-100           | SiNP-20             | SiNP-100 | SiNP-20 |
| Ultrapure water              | 156.1/0.204        | 31.2/0.508          | –37.2    | –30.7   |
| Serum-free culture medium    | 195.3/0.353        | 66.7/0.633          | –12.5    | –16.7   |
| Bath solution (AP)           | 118.3/0.075        | 160.8/0.532         | –18.7    | –15.5   |
| Bath solution (I_km)         | 126.7/0.120        | 88.7/0.570          | –18.8    | –16.6   |
| Bath solution (I_na)         | 158.9/0.164        | 40.3/0.361          | –11.0    | –9.0    |
| Bath solution (I_lo)         | 117.0/0.271        | 45.8/0.548          | –19.1    | –17.2   |
| 5% glucose                   | 139.1/0.112        | 91.1/0.544          | –17.1    | –18.9   |

Note: Z-average, mean particle size measured by dynamic laser scattering technology. Abbreviation: PDI, polydispersity index.

highest tested concentration (10⁻⁶ g/mL) (Figures 1 and 2). SiNP-100 slightly but significantly increased the APA (Figures 1 and 2A), and significantly prolonged the APD50 and APD90 in a concentration- (10⁻⁸–10⁻⁶ g/mL) and time-dependent manner (Figures 1 and 2A). SiNP-20 at 10⁻⁸ g/mL did not affect the TMPs (not shown). SiNP-20 at 10⁻⁷ g/mL did not affect the APA and APD50 (Figures 1 and 2B), while APD90 (Figures 1 and 2B), while SiNP-20 at 10⁻⁶ g/mL significantly decreased the APA and shortened the APD50 and APD90 (Figures 1 and 2B).

SiNPs Had No Effect on the I_K1 Channel of Cardiomyocytes in vitro

Both SiNP-100 (Figure 3A and C) and SiNP-20 (Figure 3B and D) at the highest tested concentration (10⁻⁶ g/mL) did not significantly affect the I_K1 currents and current densities, and did not affect the activation kinetics of I_K1 channels of cardiomyocytes (Figure 3E and F).

SiNP-100 and SiNP-20 Exerted Diverse Effects on the Voltage-Gated Na⁺ Channel of Cardiomyocytes in vitro

The about results showed that SiNPs differentially affected the AP depolarization of cardiomyocytes as indicated by the APA, and the I_Na channel is known responsible for the depolarization. We therefore tested the effects of SiNPs on the I_Na channel of cardiomyocytes. Results showed that within 30 min after exposure, SiNP-100 at 10⁻⁶ g/mL significantly increased the I_Na currents (Figure 4A) and current densities as indicated by the I–V curves (Figure 4C), while SiNP-20 at 10⁻⁶ g/mL decreased the I_Na currents (Figure 4B) and current densities (Figure 4D) compared to baseline. Both SiNPs shifted the activation curves of I_Na channels in a leftward direction compared with control (Figure 4E and F), suggesting that SiNPs accelerate the activation of I_Na channels, or in other words, I_Na channels are activated at more negative membrane potentials after exposure to SiNPs. Both SiNPs accelerated the inactivation of I_Na channels in ventricular myocytes, but for SiNP-100 this effect did not reach statistical significance (Figure 5). These differential effects of SiNP-100 and SiNP-20 on I_Na channels were consistent with their respective effects on the depolarization of AP.

SiNP-100 and SiNP-20 Had Contrary Effects on the I_to Channel of Cardiomyocytes in vitro

SiNP-100 at 10⁻⁶ g/mL decreased the I_to currents and current densities of cardiomyocytes (Figure 6A and C), while SiNP-20 at 10⁻⁶ g/mL increased the I_to currents and current densities (Figure 6B and D). Both SiNP-100 and SiNP-20 did not significantly affect the activation kinetics of I_to channels (Figure 6E and F). The contrary effects of SiNP-100 and SiNP-20 on I_to channels could partially explain their diverse effects on the APD.

SiNPs Induced Calcium Mobilization in Cardiomyocytes in vitro

Compared with control cells, cardiomyocytes exposed to SiNP-100 and SiNP-20 at 10⁻⁶ g/mL showed significant elevations in the Fura-2 fluorescence 340/380 ratio within 60 min after exposure (Figure 7), and there was no significant difference in the 340/380 ratios between SiNP-100-treated and SiNP-20-treated cells. SiNP-100 and SiNP-20 at a higher concentration (2×10⁻⁴ g/mL) induced larger 340/380 ratio than at 10⁻⁶ g/mL (Figure 7). These results suggest that both SiNP-100 and SiNP-20 induced calcium mobilization in cardiomyocytes to a similar extent.
SiNPs Induced Non-Lethal Tachyarrhythmias and Lethal Bradyarrhythmias in Mice in vivo

The above results showed the differential effects of SiNPs on the depolarization and repolarization of APs of cardiomyocytes and the underlying ion channel mechanisms. Based on these results and the known mechanisms of arrhythmias, we hypothesized that SiNPs might induce both tachyarrhythmias and bradyarrhythmias, depending on particle size, exposure dose, and exposure time. The representative ECGs before and after exposure to SiNPs are shown in Figure 8, and the statistical summary of arrhythmias is shown in Table 2. SiNP-100 (i. v.) at 4–6 mg/kg accelerated the sinus heart rate (HR), and one
of the four tested mice developed bradyarrhythmia and cardiac asystole within the observed 90 min (Figure 8 (left) and Table 2). SiNP-100 at 8 mg/kg gradually decreased the sinus HR, prolonged the P-R intervals, and induced complete atrio-ventricular conduction block (AVB) at about 80–90 min after exposure (Figure 8 (left)), and two of six mice died of cardiac asystole within 90 min (Table 2). SiNP-100 at 10 mg/kg sequentially induced sinus tachycardia, premature ventricular contraction (PVC), and complete AVB, and two of six mice died of cardiac asystole within 65 min after exposure (Figure 8 (left) and Table 2).

SiNP-20 (i. v.) at 4 and 10 mg/kg did not affect the ECG (Figure 8 (right)); at 20 mg/kg, it only induced sinus tachycardia within 90 min after exposure (Figure 8 (right)); but at 30 mg/kg sequentially induced sinus tachycardia, ventricular tachycardia (VT), complete AVB, and two of four animals died of cardiac asystole within 60 min after exposure (Figure 8 (right) and Table 2).
From the above ECG results, it is obvious that both SiNPs were arrhythmogenic and could cause sudden cardiac death at higher doses due to serious bradyarrhythmias which finally developed to cardiac asystole within the observed 90 min. The cardiac electrophysiological toxicity of SiNP-100 was higher than that of SiNP-20. The lowest toxic doses for SiNP-100 and SiNP-200 were 4 mg/kg and 20 mg/kg, respectively, and the lethal doses were approximately 6–8 mg/kg and 30 mg/kg (i. v.) for SiNP-100 and SiNP-200, respectively, in adult mice in vivo.

**Acute Exposure to SiNPs Did Not Elevate ROS Generation and LDH Leakage in Cardiomyocytes in vitro**

Both SiNPs at $10^{-8}$–$10^{-5}$ g/mL for 10 min did not increase the intracellular ROS levels (Figure S1) or the
extracellular LDH levels (Figure S1) compared to control cardiomyocytes. The ROS-up reagent and LDH-releasing reagent (positive controls) significantly increased the intracellular ROS level and the extracellular LDH level, respectively (Figure S1). These results suggest that the acute toxic effects of SiNPs on cardiac electrophysiology are not associated with oxidative stress and membrane damage in cardiomyocytes in vitro.

Acute Exposure to SiNPs Did Not Increase Myocardial ROS Levels, but Increased LDH Levels in a Dose- and Exposure Time-Dependent Manner in Mice in vivo

SiNP-100 at 4, 8, and 10 mg/kg and SiNP-20 at 4, 20, and 30 mg/kg did not significantly increase the myocardial ROS levels after i. v. exposure for 5 min and 60 min.
Figure 5 Effects of SiNP-100 and SiNP-20 (both 10^-6 g/mL) on the inactivation kinetics of I_{Na} channels in cultured neonatal mice ventricular cardiomyocytes. (A and B) typical I_{Na} induced by the stimulating protocol for checking inactivation at baseline and after exposure to SiNP-100 and SiNP-20 for 5–30 min. (C and D) inactivation curves of I_{Na} channels at baseline (control) and after exposure to SiNP-100 and SiNP-20, respectively. **P<0.01, ***P<0.001 vs control, n=6 cells for each SiNP concentration.

(Figure S2). SiNP-20 at 4 mg/kg even significantly decreased the myocardial ROS levels after exposure for 5 min and 60 min (Figure S2). Both SiNP-100 and SiNP-20 did not significantly increase myocardial LDH levels at lower doses and/or shorter exposure times (Figure S2), but significantly increased myocardial LDH levels at the higher doses and/or longer exposure times (Figure S2).

The Rapid Toxic Effects of SiNPs on Cardiomyocyte Electrophysiology Were Endocytosis-Independent in vitro

TEM results showed that both SiNPs (10^-6 g/mL) were not endocytosed within 10 min after exposure, but were endocytosed 1 h after exposure by cardiomyocytes (Figure 9), suggesting that the acute toxicities of SiNPs on the electrophysiology of cardiomyocytes are endocytosis-independent, because SiNPs began to affect the TMPs and ion channels usually within 5 min after exposure in vitro.

Discussion

SiNPs have emerged as a promising therapy vector for heart diseases. However, their potential cardiac toxicity and functional mechanisms remain poorly understood, especially the toxicity on cardiac electrophysiology and heart rhythm. The present study focused on this issue both in vitro and in vivo and demonstrated that SiNPs have significant acute toxic effects on the TMPs and ion channels of cardiomyocytes and, importantly, could induce lethal bradyarrhythmias depending on particle size and dose and exposure time.

We first demonstrated that both SiNPs had no effect on the RP of cardiomyocytes in vitro. This character of SiNPs is different from some other types of nanoparticles such as silver nanoparticles and platinum nanoparticles which can depolarize the RP of cardiomyocytes. As the I_{K1} channel is the dominant ion channel in the maintenance of the RP, we therefore hypothesized and verified that SiNPs had no effect on the I_{K1} channel of cardiomyocytes.
This finding also suggests that the arrhythmogenic effects of SiNPs are not associated with the RP and \( I_{\text{K1}} \) channel.

We then examined the effects of SiNPs on the depolarization of AP and the responsible \( I_{\text{Na}} \) channels in cardiomyocytes in vitro. SiNP-100 slightly increased the APA but SiNP-20 decreased it. Consistent with this finding, SiNP-100 increased but SiNP-20 decreased the \( I_{\text{Na}} \) current density. These results verified the effects and mechanism of SiNPs on AP depolarization. In addition, both SiNPs accelerated the activation and inactivation of \( I_{\text{Na}} \) channels with minor difference. The different effects of SiNP-100 and SiNP-20 on depolarization, \( I_{\text{Na}} \) current density, and \( I_{\text{Na}} \) channel kinetics may be associated with their differential arrhythmogenic effects in vivo.

The effects of SiNPs on AP repolarization were a bit complicated and depended on particle size, concentration, and exposure time (shown in Figures 1 and 2). SiNP-100 prolonged the APD50 and APD90 at higher concentrations and longer exposure times, while SiNP-20 either prolonged the APD50 and APD90 or shortened them, depending on the concentration and exposure time. It is known that APD decides the effective refractory period (ERP) at
physiological conditions, and altered ERP affects the excitation conduction route and favors the occurrence of reentry and conduction block; therefore, the effects of SiNPs on AP repolarization, together with their effects on AP depolarization, may explain why SiNPs induced both tachyarrhythmias or bradyarrhythmias. However, the effects of SiNP-100 and SiNP-20 on the APD did not perfectly match their effects on the repolarizing $K^+$ currents, perhaps due to different experimental conditions in which the particle Z-sizes were different in vitro. Notably, we observed that the SiNP-induced tachyarrhythmias were non-lethal because SiNPs could not induce ventricular fibrillation (VF), while the induced bradyarrhythmias were lethal due to cardiac systole in mice.

We further investigated the ion channel-related mechanisms underlying the effects of SiNPs on AP repolarization. A variety of ion channels are involved in the AP repolarization of neonatal ventricular myocytes.\textsuperscript{25} AP repolarization depends on the activity balance of the outward $K^+$ channel currents which accelerate repolarization and the inward $I_{\text{Ca-L}}$ channel currents which decelerate repolarization and create a plateau in the repolarizing phase of cardiomyocytes. The $K^+$ channels responsible for repolarization mainly include $I_{K1}$, $I_{to}$, and $I_K$ (including $I_{Kr}$ and $I_{Ks}$ components) channels. $I_K$ channels have been found to be rapidly downregulated after birth and are not expressed in adult mouse ventricular myocytes.\textsuperscript{26} Because SiNPs did not affect the $I_{K1}$ channel of mice cardiomyocytes in the present study and due to the low or absent expression of $I_K$ channel in mice after birth,\textsuperscript{26} the $I_{to}$ channel may be the major ion channel to accelerate repolarization in mouse cardiomyocytes. We found that SiNP-100 decreased but SiNP-20 increased the $I_{to}$ current densities; these diverse effects of SiNP-100 and SiNP-20 on $I_{to}$ channels may at least partially explain their different effects on the APD.

The $I_{\text{Ca-L}}$ channel plays important roles in AP repolarization and AP shaping of cardiomyocytes and in triggering calcium mobilization and arrhythmias. It has been reported that $I_{\text{Ca-L}}$ channels are expressed in mice hearts and the expression is elevated after birth under the stimulation of the sympathetic nerve derived neuropeptide Y.\textsuperscript{27} However, mouse cardiomyocytes show a triangular AP shape and a very short APD, which suggests low expression levels of the $I_{\text{Ca-L}}$ channel compared with larger animals and humans. We observed that both SiNPs could induce calcium mobilization to a similar extent (shown in Figure 7), suggesting that $I_{\text{Ca-L}}$ channel is expressed in neonatal cardiomyocytes, and both SiNPs activate the $I_{\text{Ca-L}}$ channel and induce calcium mobilization via the $Ca^{2+}$-induced $Ca^{2+}$ release mechanism. It is rational to speculate that activation of $I_{\text{Ca-L}}$ channels by SiNPs may contribute to SiNP-induced arrhythmias. Alternative studies have indicated that SiNPs (i) induce cardiac dysfunction and bradycardia via the neutrophil-mediated cardiac inflammation in zebrafish embryos,\textsuperscript{16} (ii) exert toxic effect on isolated adult cardiomyocytes via impairment of mitochondrial function and $Ca^{2+}$ homeostasis,\textsuperscript{19} and (iii) induce cardiac dysfunction via the opening of the mitochondrial permeability transition pore in rat heart and human cardiomyocytes.\textsuperscript{28} These findings suggest that dysfunction of mitochondria and $Ca^{2+}$ homeostasis in cardiomyocytes and myocardial inflammation plays important role in the cardiac toxicity of SiNPs. The present study further demonstrated that the hazardous effects of

\begin{figure}
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{Figure7.png}
\caption{The calcium mobilizing effects of SiNPs on cultured neonatal mice cardiomyocytes in vitro. Cardiomyocytes were loaded with Fura-2AM and then exposed to SiNPs at serial concentrations and different exposure times. The fluorescence intensities at 340/380 nm were detected with a fluorescence microplate reader: (A) SiNP-100. (B) SiNP-20. *$p<0.05$, **$p<0.01$, ***$p<0.001$ vs control. Each experiment was performed in six independent replicates, and three parallel wells were used for each concentration.}
\end{figure}
SiNPs on several ion channels and AP are also important mechanisms underlying the arrhythmogenic effects of SiNPs. In addition, we investigated whether the effects of SiNPs on cardiac electrophysiology and arrhythmogenesis are associated with ROS generation and membrane...
damage, as indicated by LDH leaking. Previous studies revealed that oxidative stress and membrane injury are important mechanisms of SiNP cytotoxicity. The present study showed that SiNPs could rapidly affect the AP and ion channels of cardiomyocytes (within 5 min), while SiNPs could not elevate cell ROS generation and LDH leaking within 10 min, suggesting that the acute toxic effects of SiNPs are oxidative stress- and membrane injury-independent in vitro. In vivo, SiNPs also did not increase myocardial ROS level within 60 min, but increased LDH leakage at higher doses and/or longer exposure times. These results suggest that SiNPs can directly interfere with the activities of ion channels at the extracellular side, but in vivo, more factors may be involved in the effects of SiNPs on cardiac toxicity, especially after longer exposure times. Furthermore, TEM results showed that SiNPs were not endocytosed by cardiomyocytes within 10 min after exposure which suggests that the acute effects of SiNPs on cardiomyocyte electrophysiology are endocytosis-independent, at least in vitro. In vivo, the endocytosis of SiNPs by cardiomyocytes may partially contribute to the cardiac toxicity, including arrhythmogenesis, especially at higher doses and longer exposure times.

It is considered that the toxicity of SiNPs is size-dependent; smaller particles are usually more toxic than bigger ones in some cells. For example, the DNA damaging effects of SiNPs in human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs) showed a negative size-dependent relationship, and the thrombocytopenia, liver damage, and lethal toxicity of i. v. administrated SiNPs in mice also showed negative correlations with particle sizes. However, we identified that SiNPs with a larger size (SiNP-100) exerted higher toxicity than the smaller ones (SiNP-20) on cardiac electrophysiology; the lowest doses of SiNP-100 and SiNP-20 beginning to affect heart rate or rhythm were 4 mg/kg and 20 mg/kg, respectively, in mice in vivo. We reported recently that the toxicity of SiNP-100 on HUVECs is higher than that of SiNP-20. This may be associated with the faster sedimentation of SiNP-100 onto the cell surface than that of SiNP-20, as identified using the in vitro Sedimentation, Diffusion and Dosimetry (ISDD) model.

In addition, other physical characteristics of nanoparticles, such as the zeta potential, may also contribute to the biotoxicity of nanoparticles. The zeta potential predicts the physical stability of the nanosuspension, the higher the absolute value of zeta potential, the higher the dispersion of nanoparticles in a solution. We showed that the zeta potentials of SiNPs were all negative and were different in different media, with the highest in ultrapure water (Table 1). The smaller zeta potentials in experimental solutions and perhaps also in the body fluid (not determined) suggest that SiNPs may aggregate to some extent, and the aggregated form might also contribute to the cardiac toxicity.

**Limitations and Future Perspectives**

The first limitation of the study is that we did not examine the effects of SiNPs on the \( I_{\text{Ca-L}} \) channel, which is known to participate in the AP repolarization of cardiomyocytes. Instead, we observed the calcium mobilizing effects of both SiNPs, which suggest that Ca\(^{2+}\) homeostasis is involved in SiNP-induced arrhythmias. A second limitation is that we did not check the effects of SiNPs on the ion channels of the sinus node, the atrio-ventricular node, and Purkinje fibers of the heart; these effects may also contribute to the arrhythmogenic effects of SiNPs in vivo. A third limitation is that we could not extrapolate the

|        | N   | Incidence of Arrhythmias | Incidence of PVC | Incidence of VT | Incidence of AVB | Incidence of Cardiac Asystole |
|--------|-----|--------------------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|
| Control| 5   | 0/5                      | 0±0              | 0/5             | 0/5             | 0/5                           |
| SiNP-100, 4 mg/kg | 4   | 1/4                      | 13±2**          | 0/4             | 0/4             | 0/4                           |
| SiNP-100, 6 mg/kg | 4   | 2/4                      | 15±5**          | 0/4             | 1/4             | 1/4                           |
| SiNP-100, 8 mg/kg | 6   | 4/6*                     | 0±0              | 0/6             | 3/6             | 2/6                           |
| SiNP-100, 10 mg/kg | 6   | 5/6*                     | 6±3              | 2/6             | 2/6             | 2/6                           |
| SiNP-20, 4 mg/kg | 4   | 0/4                      | 0±0              | 0/4             | 0/4             | 0/4                           |
| SiNP-20, 20 mg/kg | 4   | 1/4                      | 0±0              | 0/4             | 0/4             | 0/4                           |
| SiNP-20, 30 mg/kg | 4   | 3/4*                     | 47±10***        | 3/4*            | 1/4             | 2/4                           |

**Notes:** *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01 vs respective controls.

**Abbreviations:** PVC, premature ventricular contraction; VT, ventricular tachycardia; AVB, complete atrio-ventricular conduction block.
accurate toxic dose range of SiNPs in humans based on cell and animal studies. However, although we did not measure the actual blood levels of SiNPs after i. v. injection in mice, we calculated that the blood levels of SiNPs needed to induce arrhythmias in mice in vivo are much higher (at least three orders of magnitude) than the levels needed to affect AP and ion channels of cardiomyocytes in vitro. The reasons for this difference might be that the i. v. infused SiNPs could quickly diffuse out of capillaries, sediment on the cell surface, and be engulfed by cells at in vivo conditions; these processes would quickly reduce the blood SiNP levels, and thus higher initial SiNP doses are needed to maintain toxic blood levels for inducing arrhythmias. Of course, actual measurements of the

Figure 9 TEM images showing the morphology of SiNPs and their endocytosis by cultured neonatal mice ventricular myocytes. (A and B) TEM images of SiNP-100 and SiNP-20 in culture medium. (C) Cells exposing to SiNP-100 for 10 min, SiNP-100 located at the extracellular space (arrow), and no endocytosis was observed. (D) Cells exposing to SiNP-100 for 1 h, substantial amounts of SiNP-100 were observed inside the cell (arrow). (E) Cell exposing to SiNP-20 for 10 min, no SiNP-20 endocytosis was observed. (F) Cell exposing to SiNP-20 for 1 h, SiNP-20 was endocytosed and located mostly inside vesicles (arrows).
blood SiNP levels would be more accurate than estimations.

In certain circumstances of human exposure to SiNPs, we should pay close attention to the arrhythmogenic effects of SiNPs besides other hazardous effects of SiNPs. Thus, the severity and toxicity of SiNPs for humans need to be determined in the future. A better control of human exposure may benefit the appropriate utilization of SiNPs in many fields. In addition, we need to acquire more knowledge about the damages of SiNPs to animal health and ecology in order to keep a good balance between benefits and harms of this nanomaterial.

**Conclusion**

SiNPs exert profound acute toxic effects on cardiac electrophysiology at the molecular, cellular, and integrative levels. Both SiNPs do not affect the RP of cardiomyocytes in vitro, as they do not affect the $I_{K1}$ channel. SiNP-100 and SiNP-20 exert diverse effects on AP depolarization and repolarization: SiNP-100 enhances depolarization by enhancing $I_{Na}$ currents and delays repolarization by repressing the $I_{R}$ current, while SiNP-20 suppresses depolarization by decreasing $I_{Na}$ currents and concentration-dependently delays or accelerates repolarization by affecting the $I_{R}$ channel and certain unknown factor(s). In vivo, both SiNPs induce non-lethal tachyarrhythmias and lethal bradyarrhythmias in mice in a dose- and time-dependent manner. SiNP-100 is more toxic than SiNP-20 both in vitro and in vivo. The lowest toxic doses in vivo are about 4 mg/kg and 20 mg/kg for SiNP-100 and SiNP-20, respectively. These acute toxic effects of SiNPs on cardiac electrophysiology are not associated with cardiomyocyte ROS generation both in vitro and in vivo, but more factors may be involved in vivo. The study provides new information and warnings with respect to the utilization of SiNPs in the fields of nanobiology and nanomedicine.
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