Smoking trajectories and risk of stroke until age of 50 years – The Northern Finland Birth Cohort 1966
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Abstract

Background
Smoking is a well-known risk factor for stroke. However, the relationship between smoking trajectories during the life course and stroke is not known.

Aims
We aimed to study the association of smoking trajectories and smoked pack-years with risk of ischemic and hemorrhagic strokes in a population-based birth cohort followed up to 50 years of age.

Methods
Within the Northern Finland Birth Cohort 1966, 11,999 persons were followed from antenatal period to age 50 years. The smoking behaviour was assessed with postal questionnaires at ages 14, 31 and 46 years. Stroke diagnoses were collected from nationwide registers using unique study number linkage. The associations between smoking behaviour and stroke risk were estimated using Cox regression models.

Results
Six different patterns in smoking habits throughout the life course were found in trajectory modelling. During 542,140 person-years of follow-up, 352 (2.9%) persons had a stroke. Continuous smoking during the life course was associated with increased stroke risk (HR = 1.69; 95% CI 1.10–2.60) after adjusting for sex, educational level, family history of strokes, leisure-time physical activity, body mass index, alcohol consumption, hypertension, hypercholesterolemia, and diabetes. Per every smoked pack-year the stroke risk increased 1.04-fold (95% CI 1.03–1.06). Other smoking trajectories were not significantly associated with stroke risk, nor were starting or ending age of smoking.
Conclusion
Accumulation of smoking history is associated with increased risk of stroke until age of 50 years. The increased stroke risk does not depend on the age at which smoking started. Given that the majority starts smoking at young age, primary prevention of strokes should focus on adolescent smoking.

Introduction
Stroke is the second largest cause of death and third largest cause of disability, accounting for 10% of the disease burden in the world[1]. While the overall incidence of stroke is declining in developed countries, the incidence of stroke among people under 50 years of age, is increasing [1–4]. Of all strokes, about 80% are ischemic and 20% haemorrhagic. However, the proportion of haemorrhagic strokes, i.e. intracerebral haemorrhage (ICH) and subarachnoid haemorrhage (SAH), is higher among people under 50 years of age[5]. About a quarter of ischaemic strokes and half of haemorrhagic strokes occur in people younger than 65 years of age[6]. Young stroke patients usually have better outcome after stroke than older patients[7,8]. Nonetheless, the risk for recurrent strokes and other cardiovascular events remain high among young stroke patients even for decades after the first stroke[9,10].

Smoking is a well-known risk factor for stroke both under and over 50 years of age[11,12], causing about one fifth of the total stroke burden[13]. The stroke risk is especially associated with current smoking, with a clear decline in stroke risk among individuals who quit smoking [14,15]. Previous studies have also shown a dose-dependent association between smoking and risk of stroke[16,17]. However, it is not known to what extent the association is different depending on the age at which smoking started or ended. Previous studies concerning adolescence smoking and stroke risk have assumed the smoking behavior to remain stable during the life course[18,19]. Nonetheless, it has been shown that after adolescents initiate smoking, they have diverse smoking trajectories[20–23].

Previous studies on smoking and risk of stroke have been collected retrospectively or had a follow-up period less than 50 years, and therefore have not been able to study the association between smoking trajectories and stroke risk throughout the life course[24–27]. The risk estimates for stroke may be dependent on the length of follow-up and age when smoking was measured. In a previous study of different risk factors of stroke, the effect of smoking on stroke risk depended on the follow-up time[28]. Previous studies suggest that the effect of smoking on stroke risk seems to be greater at younger age[29]. However, previous studies have included only adult subjects, and therefore, have not been able to study the effect of smoking on stroke risk starting from adolescence. For stroke prevention, it is important to investigate the life course smoking trajectories and stroke risk especially at young age.

Aims
We aimed to examine the relationship between 1) smoking trajectories, 2) smoked pack-years, and 3) starting and ending age of smoking, and the risk of ischemic or non-traumatic haemorrhagic stroke in a prospective population-based birth cohort study in Finland. A group-based trajectory modelling technique was used to investigate the smoking trajectories from 5 to 46 years of age, capturing the entire developmental course of smoking on the stroke risk at young age.
Methods

Design and population of the study

The study population is the Northern Finland Birth Cohort 1966 (NFBC1966), an unselected population-based birth cohort containing data on 12,058 babies born alive in the Finnish provinces of Oulu and Lapland with an expected date of birth in 1966. Permission to gather data was obtained from the Ministry of Social Affairs and Health, and the study was approved by the Ethical Committee of Northern Ostrobothnia Hospital District in Oulu, Finland. Data protection was scrutinized by the Privacy Protection Agency of Finland. Informed consent was inquired from all the participants. Subjects who declined use of their data (n = 59) were excluded from the study. Reasons why participants declined use of their data were unknown. The sample for the current study included 11,999 subjects who were followed from birth date to their first stroke, death, moving permanently abroad, or to 31st of December 2015. The mean follow-up time per participant was 45.2 years (standard deviation (SD) 12.0 years), and the median follow-up time 49.4 years. The 17 persons who moved abroad and whose moving date was not known were assumed to be followed until end of 2015.

Data collection of NFBC1966 started in the year 1965 when the mothers were pregnant. Data on the individuals born into this cohort, and on their parents, were collected since the 24th gestational week. At the age of 14 years the first follow-up was conducted by a postal questionnaire concerning cohort members’ growth, health, living habits, school performance and family situation (n = 11,010; 93.6%). At the age of 31 years a postal questionnaire (n = 8,767; 77.4%) and a clinical health examination (n = 6,033; 71.3%) were conducted for cohort members to study their health, physical performance capacity, occupation and working history, use of public health services, and living habits. The same factors were assessed also at age 46 years with a postal questionnaire (n = 6,868; 66.5%) and a clinical examination (n = 5,861; 56.7%). Reasons for non-participation later in the study were unknown. Existing nationwide registers, i.e. Care Register for Health Care, Causes of Death Register, and register of medication reimbursement, were linked to questionnaire and clinical examination data with personal identification numbers. The linkage was fully complete for stroke diagnoses.

Smoking

Smoking was the studied exposure in this study. Smoking habits of subjects were asked in questionnaires at ages 14, 31, and 46 years. At age 14 the smoking habit was asked with options ‘I have never tried’, ‘I tried once’, ‘I have tried twice or more’, ‘I smoke occasionally’, ‘I smoke about twice a week’, ‘I smoke 1–5 cigarettes daily’, ‘I smoke 6–10 cigarettes daily’, and ‘I smoke more than 10 cigarettes daily’. Persons smoking twice per week or more were categorized to be smoking at that age. At age 31 and 46, smoking status assessment was based on standards of the Finland Cardiovascular Risk (FINRISK) study and its four smoking-related questions (‘Have you ever smoked?’, ‘Have you ever smoked regularly, almost daily for at least a year?’, ‘Do you smoke now?’ and ‘When was the last time you smoked?’). Those who answered ‘yes’ to a question ‘Do you smoke now?’ were considered to be smoking at that age. The starting and ending ages of smoking were asked both at age 31 and 46. The 31 years follow-up assessment was selected as the primary source for data on smoking starting age and the 46 years follow-up assessment was used as the primary source for data on smoking ending age to reduce recall bias. The binary smoking status of each year in persons’ life, except for ages 14, 31, and 46, was calculated from the smoking starting and ending ages. This information was used in the trajectory model together with binary smoking status for ages 14, 31, and 46 which were collected from questionnaires.
Smoked pack-years by age 31, between ages 31 and 46, and by age 46 were calculated from questionnaires at ages 31 and 46. Calculation of pack-years was based on information on smoking starting and ending ages and the question ‘How much per day do you usually smoke now or smoked before you gave up smoking?’. Number of years each subject had smoked were calculated by subtracting starting age from ending age.

**Strokes**

Stroke was the outcome variable of this study. Strokes were identified from national Care Register for Health Care or Causes of Death Register and classified by primary diagnosis (Table 1). Ischemic stroke and transient ischemic attack (TIA) were considered as ‘ischemic strokes’ and SAH and ICH as ‘haemorrhagic strokes’. For analyses of any stroke type, ischemic strokes, haemorrhagic strokes, and other cerebrovascular diseases were combined. Traumatic SAH, traumatic ICH, epidural hematoma or subdural hematoma were not included in the series. The diagnostic coding has been based on the WHO international classification of diseases (ICD) in Finland since 1967 [30]. Subjects having two or more stroke diagnoses were classified by primary diagnosis.

Subjects were also asked in the 46-years follow-up questionnaire if they had a stroke diagnosed by a physician. Self-reported strokes were classified as other cerebrovascular diseases if the subject did not have diagnoses in registers (n = 13). The age of stroke onset was not known for the subjects with self-reported stroke who were not present in registers.

**Covariates**

Information on covariates was collected from follow-up questionnaires, clinical examinations, and national registers at age of 46 years. Educational level was classified into basic (≤ 9 years; comprehensive school), secondary (9–12 years; upper secondary school or vocational school) and tertiary (> 12 years; university or university of applied sciences) education by the highest self-reported education achieved in the questionnaire. The family history of strokes among 1st degree relatives was also self-reported in the questionnaire. Leisure-time physical activity was measured as how many hours exercise the subject had per month according to questions ‘How often do you exercise on your leisure-time a) with low intensity and b) with high intensity’ and ‘What is the duration of each exercise a) with low intensity and b) with high intensity’. Both low and high intensity exercises were considered equally. Weight and height were measured during the clinical examination and asked with the postal questionnaire. BMI (kg/m$^2$) was calculated from weight and height using the measured values as primary source. Daily mean alcohol consumption (g/day) was calculated from self-reported questionnaire data.

| Table 1. Classification of strokes according to International Classification of Diseases 8, 9 and 10. |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| ICD-8 codes | ICD-9 codes | ICD-10 codes |
| SAH | 430 | 430 | I60, I69.0 |
| ICH | 431 | 431 | I61, I69.1 |
| IS | 432–434 | 433–434 | I63, I69.3 |
| TIA | 435 | 435 | G45 |
| Other cerebrovascular diseases | 436–438 | 436–438 | I64-I68, I69.4, I69.8, G46 |
| Years used in Finland | 1967–1986 | 1987–1995 | 1996– |

ICD = International Classification of Diseases, SAH = subarachnoid haemorrhage, ICH = intracerebral haemorrhage, IS = ischemic stroke, TIA = transient ischemic attack. Stroke syndromes (ICD-9 code 438; ICD-10 code G46) were classified according to etiological sub-code (ICD-9 codes 430–437; ICD-10 codes I60-I67) or as other cerebrovascular diseases if sub-codes were not present.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0225909.t001
Hypertension was defined as having a mean of measured systolic blood pressure $\geq 140$ mmHg, diastolic blood pressure $\geq 90$ mmHg, self-reported diagnosis of hypertension, or using antihypertensive medication (ATC codes C02 antihypertensives, C03 diuretics, C07 beta blocking agents, C08 calcium channel blockers, and C09 agents acting on the renin-angiotensin system) according to national register of medication reimbursement. The presence of hypercholesterolemia was noted in case of triglyceride level $> 2.0$ mmol/l, LDL-cholesterol $> 3.0$ mmol/l, HDL cholesterol $< 1.0$ mmol/l, or in case of current lipid-lowering therapy (ATC code C10 lipid modifying agents) in register. Diabetes mellitus was diagnosed in the presence of fasting blood glucose level $\geq 7.0$ mmol/l, blood glucose level of $\geq 11.1$ mmol/l after two hours 75g oral glucose tolerance test, HbA$_{1C}$ $\geq 48$ mmol/mol (6.5%), self-reported type 1 or type 2 diabetes, or by the use of antidiabetic therapy (ATC code A10 drugs used in diabetes) in register.

**Statistical analyses**

Multiple imputation was used to impute missing data for independent variables of planned analyses. It included independent variables (smoking status and smoked pack-years), covariates, outcome variables and other variables used only as predictors for multiple imputation. The outcome variables for stroke diagnoses were complete for all 11,999 subjects and were not imputed. All 35 variables included in the multiple imputation procedure and rates of missing data for each variable are listed in S1 Table. Data were missing both due to loss of follow-up of subjects and due to missing measurements of available subjects. The overall amount of incomplete data was 27.5%, and therefore, multiple imputation was conducted 30 times. Data were assumed to be missing at random. Model for scale variables was linear regression and for nominal variables logistic regression. The pooled results were reported in the analyses. IBM SPSS Statistics 24 were used for multiple imputation.

To reveal latent trajectories in the smoking data, SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA) and the PROC TRAJ latent class growth modelling (LCGM) macro[31,32] were used. LCGM is semi-parametric modelling approach which aims to detect classes of individuals which share a similar pattern of change (i.e. trajectory) over time[33]. Information on smoking status for each age between 5 and 46 years were used in trajectory modelling. Due to binary data (smoking vs. non-smoking), we used the logit-based (LOGIT) model in the PROC TRAJ. Models with one to seven trajectory classes were tested, and the selection of six trajectory classes as the most suitable model was based on the following measures of model adequacy which are shown for each tested model in Results Table 2: 1) Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC) and Akaike Information Criterion (AIC), where lower absolute values indicate better fit of data; 2) the Bayes Factor ($B_{10}$) and the log form of the Bayes Factor ($2\log(B_{10}) \approx 2(\Delta BIC)$), where $\Delta BIC$ is the BIC of the alternative (i.e. more complex) model less the BIC of the null (i.e. less complex) model; $2\log(B_{10})$ is interpreted as the degree of evidence favoring the alternative model ($> 6$ indicates strong evidence against the null model); 3) posterior membership probabilities, where class averages of $> 0.70$ are considered acceptable; and 4) absolute and relative class sizes, also taking into consideration the subsequent analyses[31–34]. After the best model was selected, each subject was assigned to the trajectory class with the highest posterior membership probability[32,33]. The reference group with lowest smoking prevalence was named as ‘non-smokers’, even though some individuals in that smoking trajectory class had smoked during their life.

Difference in mean age of onset between ischemic and haemorrhagic strokes was studied with independent samples t-test. The incidences of strokes according to smoking trajectory classes were calculated. The associations (hazard ratios (HR) with 95% confidence intervals
(95% CI) between smoking trajectory classes, smoked pack-years, starting age of smoking and ending age of smoking with stroke risk were estimated using Cox regression models. The analyses of smoking trajectory classes and of smoked pack-years and stroke risk were adjusted for sex, educational level, family history of strokes, leisure-time physical activity, BMI, alcohol consumption, and presence of hypertension, hypercholesterolemia, and diabetes. Starting age and ending age of smoking were studied only among ever-smokers (n = 8941) and the analyses were adjusted for smoked pack-years by age of 46 years to detect possible sensitive time period of smoking. The follow-up time in all Cox regression analyses started from birth. Subjects were censored at date of stroke, date of death, or end of study (31st of December 2015). IBM SPSS Statistics 24 were used for these statistical analyses.

Results

The summed amount of years cohort members were followed up from their birth date until the end of follow-up period was in total 542,140 person-years. Model fit parameters of trajectory models with 1–6 classes are presented in Table 2. The seven-class model failed to converge. The six-class model provided the most appropriate interpretation of the data showing better fit than models with 1–5 classes and having sufficient number of participants in each class. In the six-class model, the first smoking trajectory class were ‘non-smokers’ (n = 3223, 26.9% of 11,999) and were used as the reference group in the analyses. Class 2 included ‘quitters in their twenties’ (mean smoking age 16–26 years, n = 2034, 17.0%), class 3 included ‘quitters in their early thirties’ (mean smoking age 16–31 years, n = 3356, 28.0%), class 4 included ‘quitters in their middle thirties’ (mean smoking age 17–34 years, n = 1128, 9.4%), class 5 included ‘quitters in their forties’ (mean smoking age 18–43 years, n = 961, 8.0%), and class 6 included ‘continuing smokers’ (n = 1297, 10.8%). The starting ages of smoking were similar in all trajectory classes, and the trajectory classification was based mainly on the ending ages of smoking (Fig 1).

In total 8941 (74.5%) cohort members had smoked at some point in their lives. Of them, 779 were considered as non-smokers in the smoking trajectory model, resulting in 8162 persons (68.0%) who had smoked regularly. Mean starting age of smoking was 16.5 years (standard error 0.21 years). The presence of stroke risk factors, means of smoked pack-years by age 31 and 46, means of smoked years, and means of starting and ending age of smoking in each trajectory class are shown in the Table 3. Logically, subjects with longer smoking history had more smoked pack-years than those with shorter history.

During the follow-up period, 352 (2.9%) persons had a stroke resulting in an incidence of 64.9/100,000 person-years (Table 4). The incidences were similar between women (65.4/
Of all strokes, 113 (32.1%) were permanent ischemic strokes, 108 (30.7%) were TIA s, 59 (16.8%) were SAHs, 26 (7.4%) were ICHs, and 46 (13.1%) were other cerebrovascular events. The mean age of stroke onset was different between ischemic stroke (42.5 years, SD 6.8) and haemorrhagic stroke (37.3 years, SD 11.4) with mean difference of 5.2 years (95% CI 2.64–7.86).

Association between smoking habits and risk of stroke

The incidences and covariate-adjusted HR for any stroke, ischemic stroke, or haemorrhagic stroke according to smoking trajectory classes are shown in the Table 4. Continuing smokers had HR of 1.69 (95% CI 1.10–2.60) to have any kind of stroke when compared to non-smokers. The trajectory class ‘quitters in their early thirties’ had an increased risk of stroke (HR 1.44; 95% CI 0.94–2.20), in particular haemorrhagic stroke (HR 2.73; 95% CI 1.12–6.65) when compared to non-smokers.

Smoked pack-years were associated with risk of any stroke, ischemic stroke, and haemorrhagic stroke (Table 4). For every pack-year smoked before the age of 46, the risk of stroke was 1.04 times higher (95% CI 1.03–1.06). Starting or ending ages of smoking were not associated with stroke risk (HR 0.99; 95% CI 0.94–1.04 and HR 0.99; 95% CI 0.97–1.01, respectively).

Discussion

In this study we found that a smoking trajectory of continuing smoking was associated with an increased risk of stroke and that a smoking trajectory of those who quit smoking in their early
thirties was associated with increased risk for haemorrhagic stroke when compared to non-smokers. Further, the number of smoked pack-years was associated with risk of stroke. We did not find associations between smoking starting age or ending age and stroke risk. The results of this study show that accumulation of smoked pack-years might be more crucial to stroke risk than starting or ending age of smoking. This suggests that the harmful effects of smoking depend on dose and duration of smoking and are irrespective of age when smoking occurred. In this present study, the intensity of smoking, e.g. daily cigarette consumption, was not studied separately from pack-years. A previous study examining young women found that not the duration of smoking but the dose of daily smoking and smoked pack-years were strongly associated with increased risk for ischemic stroke[16]. Another previous study also showed the association between smoking and risk of stroke to be dose-dependent[17]. The findings of this study and previous studies suggest that measuring pack-years may be optimal when assessing the smoking-related stroke risk. In a previous Finnish study comparing different ways to estimate longitudinal risk factors for cardiovascular disease mortality, a model representing lifetime accumulation of smoking had better predictive ability than a model using only the most recent measured information of smoking status[35].

In this study, smoking trajectory of continuing smoking was related to stroke risk, but also to higher amount of pack-years. High amount of pack-years increased the stroke risk, and this association might be irrespective of the smoking trajectory. We did not adjust analyses of smoking trajectories and stroke risk with pack-years to avoid multicollinearity. Other

### Table 3. Basic characteristics of six smoking trajectory classes according to 46-years follow-up.

| Characteristic                                | All (N = 11999) | Non-smokers (n = 3223) | Quitters in their twenties (n = 2034) | Quitters in their early thirties (n = 3356) | Quitters in their middle thirties (n = 1128) | Quitters in their forties (n = 961) | Continuing smokers (n = 1297) |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|
|                                              | n (%)           | n (%)                  | n (%)                                | n (%)                                    | n (%)                                   | n (%)                         | n (%)                         |
| Female sex                                   | 5860 (48.8%)    | 1870 (58.0%)           | 1029 (50.6%)                         | 1447 (43.1%)                             | 464 (41.1%)                             | 430 (44.7%)                   | 622 (48.0%)                   |
| Basic education                              | 1094 (9.1%)     | 182 (5.6%)             | 199 (9.8%)                           | 461 (13.7%)                              | 98 (8.7%)                               | 49 (5.1%)                     | 104 (8.0%)                    |
| Secondary education                          | 8387 (69.0%)    | 2040 (63.3%)           | 1419 (69.8%)                         | 2365 (70.5%)                             | 817 (72.4%)                             | 727 (75.7%)                   | 1019 (78.6%)                  |
| Tertiary education                           | 2519 (21.0%)    | 1001 (31.1%)           | 417 (20.5%)                          | 530 (15.8%)                              | 213 (18.9%)                             | 185 (19.3%)                   | 174 (13.4%)                   |
| Family history of stroke                    | 1912 (15.9%)    | 496 (15.4%)            | 339 (16.7%)                          | 528 (15.7%)                              | 186 (16.5%)                             | 156 (16.2%)                   | 208 (16.0%)                   |
| Hypertension                                 | 4769 (39.7%)    | 1234 (38.3%)           | 767 (37.7%)                          | 1355 (40.4%)                             | 476 (42.2%)                             | 396 (41.2%)                   | 542 (41.8%)                   |
| Hypercholesterolemia                         | 6926 (57.7%)    | 1821 (56.5%)           | 1169 (57.7%)                         | 2008 (59.8%)                             | 685 (60.7%)                             | 525 (54.6%)                   | 718 (55.4%)                   |
| Diabetes                                     | 840 (7.0%)      | 178 (5.5%)             | 130 (6.4%)                           | 274 (8.2%)                               | 98 (8.7%)                               | 63 (6.6%)                     | 97 (7.5%)                     |
| Mean (SE)                                    |                |                        |                                      |                                          |                                        |                               |                               |
| Physical activity (hours/month)              | 33.7 (SE 0.88)  | 36.2 (SE 0.78)         | 35.0 (SE 1.31)                       | 33.1 (SE 1.71)                           | 33.4 (SE 1.52)                         | 31.9 (SE 1.06)               | 28.7 (SE 0.86)                |
| BMI (kg/m²)                                  | 27.1 (SE 0.06)  | 26.6 (SE 0.10)         | 27.0 (SE 0.14)                       | 27.3 (SE 0.11)                           | 27.7 (SE 0.18)                         | 27.2 (SE 0.16)               | 27.1 (SE 0.14)                |
| Alcohol consumption (g/day)                  | 11.0 (SE 0.38)  | 7.1 (SE 0.37)          | 9.7 (SE 0.58)                        | 12.8 (SE 0.69)                           | 12.3 (SE 0.76)                         | 13.4 (SE 0.68)               | 15.4 (SE 0.59)                |
| Pack-years by age 31                         | 4.3 (SE 0.09)   | 0.5 (SE 0.09)          | 3.0 (SE 0.19)                        | 5.9 (SE 0.19)                            | 5.7 (SE 0.35)                           | 5.9 (SE 0.28)                | 9.0 (SE 0.22)                 |
| Pack-years by age 46                         | 7.8 (SE 0.70)   | 1.6 (SE 0.53)          | 5.7 (SE 0.89)                        | 9.7 (SE 1.15)                            | 9.4 (SE 0.93)                           | 11.6 (SE 0.60)               | 17.5 (SE 0.42)                |
| Years smoked                                 | 16.7 (SE 0.50)  | 7.6 (SE 1.78)          | 10.0 (SE 0.83)                       | 14.2 (SE 0.54)                           | 18.0 (SE 0.92)                         | 25.0 (SE 0.98)               | 31.0 (SE 0.40)                |
| Starting age                                 | 16.5 (SE 0.21)  | 18.9 (SE 0.50)         | 16.1 (SE 0.26)                       | 16.4 (SE 0.19)                           | 16.5 (SE 0.30)                         | 18.4 (SE 0.19)               | 14.6 (SE 0.07)                |
| Ending age                                   | 33.2 (SE 0.57)  | 26.2 (SE 2.04)         | 26.0 (SE 0.97)                       | 30.6 (SE 0.62)                           | 34.4 (SE 0.95)                         | 43.4 (SE 1.10)               | 45.5 (SE 0.36)                |

The characteristics are reported for imputed data with no missing values. SE = standard error, kg/m² = kilograms per square-meter, g/day = grams per day.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0225909.t003
trajectories than continuing smoking represented smoking histories where participants quit smoking during follow-up. It is known that stroke risk declines when an individual quits smoking[14,15]. Previous studies investigating the associations between smoking and preconditions for stroke risk have found that harmful arterial changes among those who quitted smoking reversed into similar levels to those who never smoked[36]. In the Bogalusa Heart Study, the duration of smoking years since childhood, but not smoking at age 8 to 17, was associated with changes in arterial thickness that can be considered as a preclinical marker to ischemic stroke risk[37]. Furthermore, a recent study showed that the effect of adolescence smoking on future stroke risk might be lower than expected from previous studies, due to previous studies' failure to follow the changes in smoking habits after baseline testing[38]. The current study was able to follow the changes in smoking habits from childhood to adulthood.

In the current study we also found that smoking trajectory of those who quitted smoking in their early thirties was associated with an increased risk for haemorrhagic stroke in particular.

Table 4. Incidences and hazard ratios of stroke according to six smoking trajectory classes, pack-years, and smoking starting and ending age.

| Smoking exposure trajectory classes | Stroke | Ischemic stroke (including IS and TIA) | Haemorrhagic stroke (including SAH and ICH) |
|------------------------------------|--------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
|                                    | No. of strokes | No. per 100,000 | HR (95% CI) | No. of strokes | No. per 100,000 | HR (95% CI) | No. of strokes | No. per 100,000 | HR (95% CI) |
| All (N = 11999)                    | 352 (2.9%)    | 64.9 n.a.       | 221 (1.8%)  | 40.8 n.a.     | 85 (0.7%)      | 15.7 n.a.    |

Smoking exposure trajectory classes

| Non-smokers (n = 3223) | 76 (2.4%) | 49.6 ref. | 53 (1.6%) | 34.6 ref. | 11 (0.3%) | 7.2 ref. |
| Quitters in their twenties (n = 2034) | 52 (2.6%) | 58.8 | 1.14 (0.73–1.78) | 31 (1.5%) | 35.1 | 1.01 (0.57–1.80) | 13 (0.6%) | 14.7 |
| Quitters in their early thirties (n = 3356) | 105 (3.1%) | 72.7 | 1.44 (0.94–2.20) | 64 (1.9%) | 44.3 | 1.30 (0.78–2.15) | 32 (1.0%) | 22.1 |
| Quitters in their middle thirties (n = 1128) | 31 (2.7%) | 65.1 | 1.26 (0.65–2.44) | 18 (1.6%) | 37.8 | 1.05 (0.45–2.48) | 10 (0.9%) | 21.0 |
| Quitters in their forties (n = 961) | 31 (3.2%) | 68.4 | 1.28 (0.73–2.24) | 18 (1.9%) | 39.7 | 1.10 (0.55–2.23) | 10 (1.0%) | 22.1 |
| Continuing smokers (n = 1297) | 57 (4.4%) | 90.3 | 1.69 (1.10–2.60) | 38 (2.9%) | 60.2 | 1.73 (1.03–2.91) | 9 (0.7%) | 14.3 |

Smoked pack-years

| Per pack-year by 31 | 1.04 (1.02–1.06) | 1.03 (1.00–1.06) | 1.07 (1.04–1.11) |
| Per pack-year 31–46 | 1.07 (1.04–1.10) | 1.08 (1.04–1.12) | 1.06 (0.99–1.13) |
| Per pack-year by 46 | 1.04 (1.03–1.06) | 1.04 (1.03–1.06) | 1.04 (1.02–1.07) |

Starting and ending age of smoking

| Starting age in years | 0.99 (0.94–1.04) | 0.99 (0.94–1.08) | 0.94 (0.85–1.05) |
| Ending age in years | 0.99 (0.97–1.01) | 0.99 (0.96–1.02) | 0.98 (0.94–1.02) |

Cox regression models of smoking exposure trajectory groups classes and of smoked pack-years were adjusted for sex, educational level, family history of strokes, physical activity, BMI, alcohol consumption, and presence of hypertension, hypercholesterolemia, and diabetes. Starting age and smoking ending age of smoking were studied only among ever-smokers and were adjusted for smoked pack-years by age of 46 years. Pack years, starting age, and ending of smoking were studied as continuous variables, and results are shown as HRs per pack year or increase of one year in starting or ending age. IS = ischemic stroke, TIA = transient ischemic attack, SAH = subarachnoid haemorrhage, ICH = intracerebral haemorrhage, No. = number, HR = hazard ratio, 95% CI = 95% confidence interval, n.a. = not applicable, ref = reference group.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0225909.t004
This suggests that there might be sensitive period during adolescence and young adulthood when susceptibility for effects of smoking is greater than in later life and that the timing of smoking might play a role in development of haemorrhagic stroke risk. Nonetheless, it should be noted that mean age of onset of haemorrhagic strokes, in particular subarachnoid haemorrhages, is younger than that of ischemic strokes which might partly explain the finding[39–42]. Future studies are needed to investigate if early smoking associates to haemorrhagic strokes despite the smoked pack-years.

This current study had some limitations. The main limitation of this study was that the age intervals of follow-up questionnaires (14, 31, and 46 years) were rather long apart. Smoking status was asked only in these three time points and was estimated for the remaining age points that were used in smoking trajectory model (between 5 and 46 years) based on starting and ending age. To reduce recall bias due to gaps between follow-up questionnaires, the 31 years follow-up assessment was selected as the primary source for data on smoking starting age and the 46 years follow-up for smoking ending age.

Second, in this population most smokers started smoking around the same age. In the six-class smoking trajectory model the mean starting ages were similar in all classes. Therefore, the trajectories mainly represent the ending age and the duration of smoking, and no comparisons between classes with same duration but different starting and ending age of smoking could be made. Some trajectory classes, e.g. quitters in their early thirties and quitters in their middle thirties, were similar to each other with respect to starting and ending age of smoking and smoked pack years. This might challenge the clinical interpretation of the results.

As another limitation, the sample sizes of the stroke groups (e.g. the group of haemorrhagic strokes) were rather small, which might have underpowered this study to detect differences. Stroke at young age is a rare phenomenon[42], and despite the relatively high incidence of in particularly subarachnoid haemorrhages in Finland[39,43], and the large number of person-years in this follow-up study, only 352 people had a stroke. Furthermore, due to the limited number of stroke cases, different subtypes of ischemic or haemorrhagic strokes were not studied separately. Previous studies have shown that smoking increases especially the risk of SAH and ischemic stroke but might not increase the risk of ICH[17,44–46]. Additionally, it should be noted that use of smokeless tobacco was not studied, though it might increase the stroke risk[47].

A further limitation of this study is the loss to follow-up in clinical and questionnaire surveys that assessed the smoking status and covariates. In addition to loss to follow-up of subjects, there were missing measurements of available subjects, and in total 27.5% of all original data were missing. The multiple imputation method was used in this study to complete the missing data of smoking statuses and covariates, as it reduces selection bias due to selective loss to follow-up, and also increases statistical power[48,49]. A previous study from the same birth cohort data has shown that high alcohol consumption, low educational level, unemployment, and being single at age 31 predicted lower participation at follow-up examination and questionnaires[50]. This might have affected the results if the multiple imputation was not used. However, it should be noted that the selection of variables in multiple imputation model might also affect the results[51].

The current study also had several strengths. First, it had three different approaches to study the association between smoking and stroke risk: 1) smoking trajectories, 2) smoked pack-years, and 3) starting and ending age of smoking. Second, a large and unselected population-based birth cohort was used in this study with over 500,000 person-years of follow-up. Results of this naturalistic real-world data set are highly generalizable to Finnish population. The data collection started from the second trimester of cohort members’ antenatal period and follow-up lasted up to 50 years of age. The data collection was prospective reducing the
potential for information bias, and questionnaire and clinical examination data were combined with comprehensive nationwide registers. Information on stroke diagnoses were collected from nationwide registers that were complete and continuous without loss of follow-up. Third, the smoking status was measured at several age-points with several characteristics of smoking and the information on stroke diagnoses from nationwide registers was complete for the whole cohort. Finally, multiple imputation was conducted to reduce selection bias and loss of statistical power due to missing data.

Conclusions
This study showed that accumulation of smoking history is associated with increased risk of stroke until age of 50 years. The increased stroke risk does not depend on the age at which smoking started. Given that the majority starts smoking at young age, primary prevention of strokes should focus on adolescent smoking.

Supporting information
S1 Table. Variables used in the multiple imputation procedure.

Author Contributions
Conceptualization: Ina Rissanen, Markus Paananen, Jouko Miettunen, Mirjam I. Geerlings.
Data curation: Ina Rissanen, Jouko Miettunen.
Formal analysis: Ina Rissanen, Petteri Oura, Markus Paananen.
Funding acquisition: Jouko Miettunen, Mirjam I. Geerlings.
Investigation: Ina Rissanen, Mirjam I. Geerlings.
Methodology: Ina Rissanen, Petteri Oura, Markus Paananen, Mirjam I. Geerlings.
Project administration: Ina Rissanen, Mirjam I. Geerlings.
Resources: Ina Rissanen, Jouko Miettunen, Mirjam I. Geerlings.
Software: Ina Rissanen, Petteri Oura.
Supervision: Jouko Miettunen, Mirjam I. Geerlings.
Validation: Ina Rissanen, Petteri Oura.
Visualization: Ina Rissanen.
Writing – original draft: Ina Rissanen.
Writing – review & editing: Ina Rissanen, Petteri Oura, Markus Paananen, Jouko Miettunen, Mirjam I. Geerlings.

References
1. Feigin VL, Norrving B, Mensah GA. Global Burden of Stroke. Circ Res. 2017; 120: 439–448. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.116.308413 PMID: 28154096
2. George MG, Tong X, Kuklina EV, Labarthe DR. Trends in stroke hospitalizations and associated risk factors among children and young adults, 1995–2008. Ann Neurol. 2011; 70: 713–721. https://doi.org/10.1002/ana.22539 PMID: 21898534
3. Thrift AG, Thayabaranathan T, Howard G, Howard VJ, Rothwell PM, Feigin VL, et al. Global stroke statistics. Int J Stroke. 2017; 12: 13–32. https://doi.org/10.1177/1747493016676285 PMID: 27794138
4. Kissela BM, Khoury JC, Alwell K, Moomaw CJ, Woo D, Adeoye O, et al. Age at stroke: temporal trends in stroke incidence in a large, biracial population. Neurology. 2012; 79: 1781–1787. https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.0b013e3182704014 PMID: 23054237

5. Marin J, Russo T, Felzani G. Incidence of stroke in young adults: a review. Stroke Res Treat. 2010; 2011: 535672. https://doi.org/10.4061/2011/535672 PMID: 21197401

6. Krishnamurthi RV, Feigin VL, Forouzanfar MH, Mensah GA, Connor M, Bennett DA, et al. Global and regional burden of first-ever ischaemic and haemorrhagic stroke during 1990–2010: findings from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. Lancet Glob Health. 2013; 1: 259. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(13)70089-5 PMID: 25104492

7. Knofflach M, Matosevic B, Rucker M, Furtner A, Mair A, Wille G, et al. Functional recovery after ischaemic stroke—a matter of age: data from the Austrian Stroke Unit Registry. Neurology. 2012; 78: 279–285. https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.0b013e31824367ab PMID: 22238419

8. Radholf K, Arima H, Lindley RI, Wang J, Tzourio C, Robinson T, et al. Older age is a strong predictor for poor outcome in intracerebral haemorrhage: the INTERACT2 study. Age Ageing. 2015; 44: 422–427. https://doi.org/10.1093/ageing/afu198 PMID: 25497513

9. Putaala J, Haapaniemi E, Metso AJ, Arpo V, Kaste M, et al. Recurrent ischemic events in young adults after first-ever ischemic stroke. Ann Neurol. 2010; 68: 661–671. https://doi.org/10.1002/ana.22091 PMID: 21031581

10. Rütten-Jacobus LC, Amtz RM, Maaijwee NA, Schoonderwaldt HC, Dorrestijn LD, van Dijk EJ, et al. Cardiovascular disease is the main cause of long-term excess mortality after ischemic stroke in young adults. Hypertension. 2015; 65: 670–675. https://doi.org/10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.114.04895 PMID: 25624336

11. Mons U, Muezzinler A, Gellert C, Schottker B, Abnet CC, Bobak M, et al. Impact of smoking and smoking cessation on cardiovascular events and mortality among older adults: meta-analysis of individual participant data from prospective cohort studies of the CHANCES consortium. BMJ. 2015; 350: h1551. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.h1551 PMID: 25996935

12. Putaala J, Yesilot N, Waje-Andersson U, Pitkanie mi J, Vassilopoulou S, Nardi K, et al. Demographic and geographic vascular risk factor differences in European young adults with ischemic stroke: the 15 cities young stroke study. Stroke. 2012; 43: 2624–2630. https://doi.org/10.1161/STROKEAHA.112.662866 PMID: 22798330

13. O’Donnell MJ, Xavier D, Liu L, Zhang H, Chin SL, Mao-Lecine P, et al. Risk factors for ischaemic and intracerebral haemorrhagic stroke in 22 countries (the INTERSTROKE study): a case-control study. Lancet. 2010; 376: 112–123. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(10)60834-3 PMID: 20561675

14. Woodward M, Lam TH, Barzi F, Patel A, Gu D, Rodgers A, et al. Smoking, quitting, and the risk of cardiovascular disease among women and men in the Asia-Pacific region. Int J Epidemiol. 2005; 34: 1036–1045. https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyi104 PMID: 15914503

15. Kawachi I, Colditz GA, Stampfer MJ, Willett WC, Manson JE, Hu FB, et al. Smoking cessation and mortality in women: a prospective cohort study. JAMA. 1999; 281: 1993–1999. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.281.18.1993 PMID: 10526211

16. Bhat VM, Cole JW, Sorkin JD, Wozniak MA, Malarcher AM, Giles WH, et al. Dose-response relationship between cigarette smoking and risk of ischemic stroke in young women. Stroke. 2008; 39: 2439–2443. https://doi.org/10.1161/01.STR.0000300725.11841.27 PMID: 18594502

17. Mannami T, Iso H, Baba S, Sasaki S, Okada K, Konishi M, et al. Cigarette smoking and risk of stroke and its subtypes among middle-aged Japanese men and women: the JPHC Study Cohort I. Stroke. 2004; 35: 1248–1253. https://doi.org/10.1161/01.STR.0000128794.30660.e8 PMID: 15118170

18. Höögström G, Nordström A, Eriksson M, Nordström P. Risk Factors Assessed in Adolescence and the Later Risk of Stroke in Men: A 33-Year Follow-Up Study. Cerebrovasc Dis. 2015; 39: 63–71. https://doi.org/10.1159/000369960 PMID: 25547343

19. Daniel F, Valerie W, Peter A, Tomas H, Danielsson AK. Cannabis, Tobacco, Alcohol use, and the Risk of Early Stroke. Stroke. 2017; 48: 265–270. https://doi.org/10.1161/STROKEAHA.116.015565 PMID: 28028147

20. Dutra LM, Glantz SA, Lisha NE, Song AV. Beyond experimentation: Five trajectories of cigarette smoking in a longitudinal sample of youth. PLOS One. 2017; 12: e0171808. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0171808 PMID: 28182748

21. Salin K, Kankaanpää A, Hirvensalo M, Lounassalo I, Yang X, Magnusson CG, et al. Smoking and Physical Activity Trajectories from Childhood to Midlife. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2019; 16: 974. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16060974 PMID: 30889897

22. Brook DW, Brook JS, Zhang C, Whiteman M, Cohen P, Finch SJ. Developmental trajectories of cigarette smoking from adolescence to the early thirties: personality and behavioral risk factors. Nicotine Tob Res. 2008; 10: 1283–1291. https://doi.org/10.1080/1462200802238993 PMID: 18686175
23. Artaud F, Sabia S, Dugravot A, Kivimaki M, Singh-Manoux A, Elbaz A. Trajectories of Unhealthy Behaviors in Midlife and Risk of Disability at Older Ages in the Whitehall II Cohort Study. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med 2016; 71: 1500–1506. https://doi.org/10.1093/gerona/glw060 PMID: 27034508

24. Mons U, Muezzinler A, Gelliert C, Schöttker B, Abnet CC, Bobak M, et al. Impact of smoking and smoking cessation on cardiovascular events and mortality among older adults: meta-analysis of individual participant data from prospective cohort studies of the CHANCES consortium. BMJ. 2015; 350: h1551. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.h1551 PMID: 25896935

25. Hackshaw A, Morris JK, Boniface S, Tang J, Milenković D. Low cigarette consumption and risk of coronary heart disease and stroke: meta-analysis of 141 cohort studies in 55 study reports. BMJ. 2018; 360: j8855. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.j8855 PMID: 29367388

26. Peters SA, Huxley RR, Mark W. Smoking as a Risk Factor for Stroke in Women Compared With Men. Stroke. 2013; 44: 2821–2828. https://doi.org/10.1161/STROKEAHA.113.002342 PMID: 23970792

27. Shinton R, Beevers G. Meta-analysis of relation between cigarette smoking and stroke. BMJ. 1989; 298: 789–794. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.298.6676.789 PMID: 2496858

28. Harmsen P, Lappas G, Rosengren A, Wilhelmsen L. Long-term risk factors for stroke: twenty-eight years of follow-up of 7457 middle-aged men in Goteborg, Sweden. Stroke. 2006; 37: 1663–1667. https://doi.org/10.1161/01.STR.0000226604.10877.lc PMID: 16726868

29. Asplund K, Karvonen J, Giampaoli S, Jousilahti P, Niemela M, Broda G, et al. Relative risks for stroke by age, sex, and population based on follow-up of 18 European populations in the MORGAM Project. Stroke. 2009; 40: 2319–2326. https://doi.org/10.1161/STROKEAHA.109.547869 PMID: 19520994

30. World Health Organization. International Classification of Diseases (ICD). Available: https://www.who.int/classifications/icd/en/

31. Jones BL, Nagin DS, Roeder K. A SAS Procedure Based on Mixture Models for Estimating Developmental Trajectories. Sociol Methods Res. 2001; 29: 374–393. https://doi.org/10.1177/0049124101029003005

32. Andruff H, Carraro N, Thompson A, Gaudreau P, Louvet B. Latent class growth modelling: a tutorial. Tutor Quant Methods Psychol. 2009; 5: 11–24. https://doi.org/10.20982/tqmp.05.1.p011

33. Nagin DS, Odgers CL. Group-Based Trajectory Modeling in Clinical Research. Annu Rev Clin Psychol. 2010; 6: 109–138. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.clinpsy.121208.131413 PMID: 20192788

34. van de Schoot R, Sijbrandij M, Winter SD, Depaoli S, Vermunt JK. The GRoLTS-Checklist: Guidelines for Reporting on Latent Trajectory Studies. Struct Equ Modeling. 2017; 24: 451–467. https://doi.org/10.1080/10705511.2016.1247646

35. Reinikainen J, Laatikainen T, Karvonen J, Tolonen H. Lifetime cumulative risk factors predict cardiovascular disease mortality in a 50-year follow-up study in Finland. Int J Epidemiol. 2014; 44: 108–116. https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyu235 PMID: 25501686

36. van de Laar R J., Stehouwer CD, Boreham CA, Murray LM, Schalkwijk CG, Prins MH, et al. Continuing smoking between adolescence and young adulthood is associated with higher arterial stiffness in young adults: the Northern Ireland Young Hearts Project. J Hypertens. 2011; 29: 2201–2209. https://doi.org/10.1097/HJH.0b013e32834b0ecf PMID: 21897293

37. Li S, Chen W, Srinivasan SR, Berenson GS. Childhood blood pressure as a predictor of arterial stiffness in young adults: the Bogalusa heart study. Hypertension. 2004; 43: 541–546. https://doi.org/10.1161/01.HYP.0000115922.98155.23 PMID: 14744922

38. Hogstrom G, Nordstrom A, Eriksson M, Nordstrom P. Risk factors assessed in adolescence and young adulthood is associated with higher arterial stiffness in young adults: the Northern Ireland Young Hearts Project. J Hypertens. 2011; 29: 2201–2209. https://doi.org/10.1097/HJH.0b013e32834b0ecf PMID: 21897293

39. de Rooij NK, Linn FH, van der Plas J A., Algra A, Rinkel GJ. Incidence of subarachnoid haemorrhage: a systematic review with emphasis on region, age, gender and time trends. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 2007; 78: 1365–1372. https://doi.org/10.1136/jnnp.2007.117655 PMID: 17470467

40. van Gijn J, Kerr RS, Rinkel GJ. Subarachnoid haemorrhage. Lancet. 2007; 369: 306–318. S0140-6736(07)60153-6 PMID: 17258671

41. Bejot Y, Bailly H, Durier J, Giroud M. Epidemiology of stroke in Europe and trends for the 21st century. Presse Med. 2016; 45: e391–e398. S0755-4982(16)30309-8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.primed.2016.10.003 PMID: 27816343

42. Kissela BM, Khoury JC, Alwell K, Moomaw CJ, Woo D, Adeoye O, et al. Age at stroke: temporal trends in stroke incidence in a large, biracial population. Neurology. 2012; 79: 1781–1787. https://doi.org/10.1212/01.WNL.000000000003091 PMID: 27521438
44. Shinton R, Beevers G. Meta-analysis of relation between cigarette smoking and stroke. BMJ. 1989; 298: 789–794. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.298.6676.789 PMID: 2496858

45. Juvela S, Hillbom M, Numminen H, Koskinen P. Cigarette smoking and alcohol consumption as risk factors for aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage. Stroke. 1993; 24: 639–646. https://doi.org/10.1161/01.STR.24.5.639 PMID: 8486517

46. Sturgeon JD, Folsom AR, Longstreth WT Jr, Shahar E, Rosamond WD, Cushman M. Risk factors for intracerebral hemorrhage in a pooled prospective study. Stroke. 2007; 38: 2718–2725. https://doi.org/10.1161/STROKEAHA.107.487090 PMID: 17761915

47. Sinha DN, Suliankatchi RA, Gupta PC, Thamarangsi T, Agarwal N, Parascandola M, et al. Global burden of all-cause and cause-specific mortality due to smokeless tobacco use: systematic review and meta-analysis. Tob Control. 2018; 27: 35–42. https://doi.org/10.1136/tobaccocontrol-2016-053302 PMID: 27903956

48. Sterne JA, White IR, Carlin JB, Spratt M, Royston P, Kenward MG, et al. Multiple imputation for missing data in epidemiological and clinical research: potential and pitfalls. BMJ. 2009; 338: b2393. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.b2393 PMID: 19564179

49. Mackinnon A. The use and reporting of multiple imputation in medical research—a review. J Intern Med. 2010; 268: 586–593. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2796.2010.02274.x PMID: 20831627

50. Vladimirov D, Niemela S, Auvinen J, Timonen M, Keinanen-Kiukaanniemi S, Ala-Mursula L, et al. Changes in alcohol use in relation to sociodemographic factors in early midlife. Scand J Public Health. 2016; 44: 249–257. https://doi.org/10.1177/1403494815622088 PMID: 26685194

51. Allison PD. Multiple Imputation for Missing Data: A Cautionary Tale. Sociol Methods Res. 2000; 28: 301–309. https://doi.org/10.1177/0049124100028003003