A simple endoscopic method with radial endobronchial ultrasonography for low-migration rate coil-tailed fiducial marker placement
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Background: Fiducial markers (FMs) are useful for tracking small peripheral lung nodules (PLN) before stereotactic radiotherapy, but migration over the course of treatment may result in inaccurate dosing to the tumor. To minimize FM migration, coil-tailed FMs have been designed. Our objective was to assess both the feasibility of radial endobronchial ultrasonography (r-EBUS) placement and the migration rate of coil-tailed FMs.

Methods: In this retrospective study, we included patients who received r-EBUS guided placement of coil-tailed FMs for PLN <25 mm from June 2015 to May 2018. We introduced the FM into the nodule with the use of bronchial brush, without fluoroscopy.

Results: Thirty patients had r-EBUS guided placement of a coil-tailed FM before stereotactic radiation therapy. Nodule’s median long- and short-axis diameters were 15 mm (8–25 mm) and 8 mm (5–20 mm), respectively; short diameter of 27 nodules (90%) was less than 15 mm. All nodules were reached and visualized with r-EBUS, with an ultrasound (US) signal showing a centered or tangential probe in 26 and 4 cases, respectively. No immediate complication was reported. Twenty-three patients had stereotactic radiation therapy within a median time of 29 days (14–126 days). No FM migration occurred between r-EBUS placement and radiotherapy. Pre-treatment planning and 3-month follow-up CT scans showed that all FMs stayed in direct contact with the lesions.

Conclusions: r-EBUS is a safe procedure for the placement of nitinol coil FMs, which have a low migration rate.
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Introduction

Stereotactic radiotherapy constitutes an alternative therapeutic option for peripheral lung cancer when the patient is not suitable for surgery (1). Placement of fiducial gold markers (FMs) into or at the immediate vicinity of the nodule is useful before stereotactic radiotherapy (2). FMs are usually inserted using transthoracic percutaneous technique, which may lead to high rates of pneumothorax (30%) and hemoptysis (2%) (3). Moreover, the migration of FM over the course of treatment is a recognized drawback that may compromise tracking accuracy and could result in inaccurate dosing to the tumor and surrounding tissues (4).

We recently reported that bronchoscopic methods (especially radial endobronchial ultrasonography (r-EBUS)) are relevant alternatives for placing FMs into small peripheral nodules (<20 mm) before stereotactic lung irradiation (5). These bronchoscopic methods minimize the risk of pneumothorax and appear particularly indicated in patients with respiratory insufficiency. However, FMs migrations also occur, leading to additional procedures before stereotactic irradiation.

Gold FM attached to a nitinol pigtail have been recently designed to minimize migration in the lung tissue (Figure 1). The coil had been designed to be placed using electromagnetic navigation, with good results and reported low rate of migration (6).

Our objective was to assess both the feasibility of r-EBUS placement and the migration rate of coil-tailed FMs.

Methods

Patients

This single-center retrospective study was conducted from June 2015 to May 2018. We included all of the patients with a pulmonary nodule of <25 mm who had FM placement guided by r-EBUS. Nodules were diagnosed or suspected to be a peripheral primary lung cancer, without mediastinal or extrathoracic dissemination. Results from all patients referred during the study period by the radiation therapist or the oncologist for a FM placement are reported in the present work. Whereas this did not represent a selection criterion, a bronchus sign was present on the CT scan in all patients but one. All patients were considered high-risk surgical candidates, with respiratory or cardiovascular comorbidities. Stereotactic radiotherapy FM placement were decided during multidisciplinary thoracic oncology meeting.

The study protocol received the approval of the Institutional Review Board for Non-interventional Research of Rouen University Hospital, France (protocol number E2018-28).

r-EBUS procedure

Before each procedure, the nodule location was mapped using virtual bronchoscopy planner software in order to identify the smallest bronchus leading to the lesion (LungPoint planning Bronchus®, USA). The endoscopy was performed under local or general anesthesia using a fiberoptic endoscope with a 4 mm outer diameter and a 2 mm working channel (BF-MP60F Olympus®, Tokyo, Japan). We used UM-S20-17S ultrasound probe (Olympus®, Tokyo, Japan) (Figure 2A), 1.4 mm in diameter introduced into a guide sheath catheter 1.9 mm in diameter (K201, Olympus®, Tokyo, Japan). A dedicated blocker of the Olympus Kit K201, was placed on the brush in order that the brush get out exactly in same length than the r-EBUS probe.

After the fiberscope had reached the most distal sub-segmental bronchus leading to the lesion previously defined in virtual endoscopy, the r-EBUS probe covered with the guide sheath was inserted into the working channel and pushed until a nodule-specific ultrasound image could be obtained. Once the nodule was located via ultrasound (Figure 2B), the probe was retracted and the guide sheath left in place within the working channel, for sampling, before FM placement. No Rapid on Site Examination (ROSE) was performed.

In order to insert the FM with an EBUS guide sheath, we used the following technique: the FM loader tip (SuperLock™ Nitinol Coil Fiducial Marker; Covidien, Inc., Minneapolis, MN, USA) was inserted into the proximal tip of the bronchial brush sheath after having removed the small metallic wire attached to the brush (Figure 3A,B,C, and Video 1). Then, the brush was introduced into the loader allowing the FM to be pushed down to the distal tip of the brush sheath. Finally, the bronchial brush was inserted into the guide sheath and the brush was pushed to the distal tip of the sheath to place the FM into the pulmonary nodule exactly in the same place where was the R-EBUS probe. We did not use fluoroscopy.

After each procedure a chest X-ray was done to ensure the absence of pneumothorax and to visualize the FM.

We assessed the position of the FM and the distance to the nodule on CT scan prior to and 3 months after stereotactic radiotherapy (Figure 4A,B).
Results

Patients

From June 2015 to May 2018, 30 patients had r-EBUS guided placement of a SuperLock™ Nitinol Coil FM for stereotactic radiation therapy purposes. These procedures represent 3.5 % of all r-EBUS procedures done in our University Hospital (Figure 5).

Characteristics of patients and nodules are summarized in Table 1. Fourteen patients had a prior major resection, 8 patients had a respiratory contraindication for surgery due to impaired respiratory function, 3 patients had previous radiotherapy, 2 patients were too old (82 and 83 years, respectively) and 2 patients had a cardiovascular contraindication to surgical treatment, and 1 declined the surgery. The median forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV1) was 60% [min to max: 30–100%]. The median diameter of the nodules was 15 mm (min to max: 8–25 mm) for the long axis and 8 mm (min to max: 5–20 mm) for the short axis; 27 out of 30 nodules (90%) exhibited a short diameter of less than 15 mm. A bronchus sign was present on CT in 29 cases (97%). The median distance was 14 mm (min to max: 0–53 mm) between the nodule and pleura.

Procedure

The procedure was performed under local anesthesia without sedation in 18 cases (60%) and under general anaesthesia in 12 cases (40%). All nodules were visualized with r-EBUS showing a centered ultrasound (US) signal in 26 and a tangential signal in 4 cases.

All nodules were sampled for histology by r-EBUS during the same procedure as FM placement, including...
24 cases for which the histology was not known before the procedure. Histological diagnosis was obtained during the r-EBUS-FM placement procedure in 25 of the 30 patients, leading to a confirmed histological diagnosis of cancer in 83%. Among the 25 patients with a definite diagnosis of cancer confirmed on histology, three had successful surgical resection of the nodule after pulmonary rehabilitation, while 21 benefited from stereotactic radiotherapy and one refused further treatment.

Among the 5 patients without available histology before treatment, one had minimally invasive sublobar surgery after pulmonary rehabilitation, one is still under follow-up without treatment, one received palliative chemotherapy because of metastatic progression, while 2 patients with a nodule that had increased in size and that was hypermetabolic on 5FDG PET CT scan benefited from stereotactic radiotherapy without histological confirmation of cancer (Figure 5).

**FM placement and follow-up**

A single FM was inserted per lesion. No complication (pneumothorax or haemorrhage) was reported. For the 23 patients who had stereotactic radiation therapy, there was a median 29 days (min to max: 14–126 days) delay between FM placement and stereotactic radiotherapy. No FM migration was reported between r-EBUS placement and radiotherapy. All lesions subjected to stereotactic radiotherapy were in direct contact with the FM on the pre-treatment planning CT and on the 3-month follow-up CT scan after the treatment.

For the 7 patients not treated by radiotherapy, no FM migration was reported: among the 4 patients treated by surgery, the median delay between FM placement and surgery was approximately 85 days (50–161 days), and all the FMs were found within the nodule in the resection specimen on histological analysis. For the 3 patients still on follow-up without treatment or treated by chemotherapy, the FMs were still present and in contact with the lesion on the last CT scan 9, 18 and 28 months after fiducial placement, respectively.

We reviewed all of the CT scan and r-EBUS images to assess the final placement of FM on CT according to the nature (centered or tangential) of the US signal. For the 26 patients who add a centered US image on r-EBUS we...
found that the FM was placed on the lesion, and for the 4 patients who add a tangential image the FM was placed on the peripheral of the lesion.

Twenty-five patients (95%) were alive and no recurrence was observed at the study endpoint, after a median 18 months (min to max: 1–37 months) follow-up. Two patients died 24 months after chemotherapy initiation, due to cancer progression.

Figure 4 Chest CT scan showing persisting fiducial marker (FM) in a small peripheral nodule after stereotactic radiation. (A) Small peripheral nodule before FM placement. (B) The same nodule 3 months after radiation therapy, with the FM still in place.

Figure 5 Flowchart. FM, fiducial marker; r-EBUS, radial endobronchial ultrasound; TTNA, trans-thoracic needle aspiration.
Pulmonary tumor motion is a significant problem during stereotactic radiation therapy. FM represent one way to mitigate the effects of motion (4). In this series, we show that r-EBUS for the placement of coil-tailed FM for small pulmonary nodules appears efficient without migration on follow-up.

In a previous study we found that r-EBUS for the placement of gold seed FM in peripheral pulmonary nodules of less than 20 mm is an easy and safe procedure compared to percutaneous methods, which are associated with high rates of pneumothorax and haemorrhage (5). Compared to this study, nodule and patient characteristics appear similar and tolerance of the procedure was very good, with no complication reported. In both studies, software tracking stereotactic radiation therapy could not be used without gold FM as nodules were very peripheral with a median distance to the pleura of about 14 mm and of very small size (90% exhibited a short diameter of less than 15 mm). Also, the diagnostic accuracy of r-EBUS performed during the same procedure as FM placement was over 80%. In addition, we have shown that FM can be placed without the use of fluoroscopy (7,8).

However, the main difference between our two studies was the absence of migration when using this new endobronchial-dedicated nitinol coil FM, compared to 18% migration in our previous study. As a comparison, studies using percutaneous methods reported FMs migration rates varying from 9% to 19% (9,10).

One of the first publications on bronchoscopic method for FM placement used a transbronchial aspiration needle, with the FM dropping into the airway in 22% of the cases (11).

Recent studies have evaluated navigational bronchoscopy techniques for FM placement (12-19); six using electromagnetic navigation (EMN) and two using r-EBUS. In these studies, various types of FM were used including “seed” and “coil” markers. Coil markers were used in three studies. They seem to be more reliable than seed markers with a rate of migration of about 1% to 4% (17-19) vs. 10% to 50% (12-17). However, the coil markers used for these studies were not designed for bronchoscopic methods.

To our knowledge, only one study has evaluated the placement of the SuperLock™ Nitinol Coil FM during navigational bronchoscopy. This study used EMN in 15 patients with 16 nodules with a mean size of approximately 17 mm (8–36 mm). Three to 4 FMs were placed adjacent to the lesion (within 2 cm) using fluoroscopy under general anesthesia. The chest CT simulator was performed 40 to 48 hours after the procedure, demonstrating that only 7% of the FMs had >5 mm migration.

In our study we report no migration, even after a long delay between FM placement and CT simulator [29 days (min to max: 14–126 days)]. Compared to EMN method, this may be due to the fact that r-EBUS allows the direct visualisation of the distal nodule during the procedure, therefore ascertaining the disposition of the marker inside a solid structure.

In our study, most of the procedures (62%) were performed under local anesthesia. However general anesthesia or sedation were employed in the previous SuperLock™ Nitinol Coil FM perendoscopic study using

### Discussion

Table 1 Patients’ and nodule’s characteristics

| Characteristics                        | Values     |
|---------------------------------------|------------|
| Age (years), mean [min to max]        | 68.5 [44–83]|
| Gender (n, male/female)               | 21/9       |
| Previous history of lung cancer       | 20 (67%)   |
| History of major lung resection       | 14 (12 lobectomy, 2 pneumonectomy) |
| Previous history of thoracic radiotherapy (n) | 3         |
| Median nodule diameter (± IQR), mm    |            |
| Long axis                             | 15 [8–25]  |
| Short axis                            | 8 [5–20]   |
| Median (± IQR) nodule-to-pleura distance (mm) | 14 [0–53] |
| Bronchus sign (yes/no)                | 29/1       |
| Nodule location                       |            |
| Right upper lobe                      | 7          |
| Right middle lobe                     | 4          |
| Right lower lobe                      | 7          |
| Left upper lobe                       | 8          |
| Left lower lobe                       | 4          |
| Tangential/central position of US probe | 4/26     |
| FM placement under local/general anesthesia | 18/12 |

FM, fiducial marker; US, ultrasound; M, male; F, female.
EMN with the Superdimension technique, which may be explained by the fact that EMN requires a larger working channel and a larger fiberscope (6 mm in general). The use of general anaesthesia or sedation is a risk factor in this population with severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) (20). r-EBUS uses a finer bronchoscope (4 mm) compared to EMN (6 mm), which results in a more distal progression of the endoscope, and a more selective catheterization of the subsegmental bronchus.

EMN using the VERAN system is feasible using the same 4 mm endoscope we used in the present study. However, to date, there is no publication related to the use of the VERAN system, which is currently not available in Europe, for FM placement.

For these reasons, as r-EBUS appears less expensive than EMN, and requires no specific operating room preparation, specific studies comparing these two techniques for FM placement will be relevant.

Whereas, the use of a single FM allows the same tracking performance and is less expensive, the technique described in the present study could be modified in order to allow the placement of several FMs, by inserting the EBUS scope to a subsegmental bronchus adjacent to the targeted lesion.

Besides its retrospective and single center nature, the principal limitation of our study is the absence of a control group which did not allow any comparison between the efficiency and side effects of stereotactic radiation therapy with or without this coil FM. As nodules from all patients but one presented a bronchus sign on CT scan, results may not be extended to peripheral lung nodules (PLN) without bronchus sign.

Therefore, the migration rate of FM will have to be assessed in cases without bronchus sign. Despite these limitations, in our opinion these findings allow a favourable comparison with previous techniques to guide stereotactic radiation therapy.

Conclusions

r-EBUS appears safe and easy to perform for nitinol coil-tailed FM placement in small peripheral nodules, with a very low rate of secondary migration after long term follow-up.
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