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Abstract: The cobalt species PPh4[Co9(TAML)−] is a competent and stable catalyst for the sulfimidation of (aryl)(alkyl)-substituted sulfides with iminoiodinanes, reaching turnover numbers up to 900 and turnover frequencies of 640 min−1 under mild and aerobic conditions. The sulfimidation proceeds in a highly chemoselective manner, even in the presence of alkenes or weak C–H bonds, as supported by inter- and intramolecular competition experiments. Functionalization of the sulfide substrate with various electron-donating and electron-withdrawing arenes and several alkyl, benzyl and vinyl fragments is tolerated, with up to quantitative product yields. Sulfimidation of phenyl allyl sulfide led to [2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement of the initially formed sulfimide species to afford the corresponding N-allyl-S-phenylthiohydroxylamines as attractive products. Mechanistic studies suggest that the actual nitrene transfer to the sulfide proceeds via (previously characterized) electrophilic nitrene radical intermediates that afford the sulfimide products via electronically asynchronous transition states, in which SET from the sulfide to the nitrene radical complex precedes N–S bond formation in a single concerted process.

Introduction

Sulfimides (RN=SR′R′), and their oxidized analogues sulfoximines (RN=SOR′R′), are important substructures in several pharmaceuticals as well as chemicals used for crop protection.[15] Moreover, the sulfimide and sulfoximine analogues of known sulfoxide-based drugs were found to retain their druglike properties in for example, ATR-targeted cancer therapy[2] and often displayed enhanced aqueous solubility, cell permeability and metabolic stability. Specific (N-aryl)sulfimide-based drugs (ArSO-N=SR′R′, with R′ = alkyl and R″ = aryl) have been found to inhibit osteoclastogenesis and to bind to proteins (e.g. pirin), causing inhibition of melanoma cell migration.[3]

Numerous synthetic methodologies[11] for the S-imation of sulfides and sulfoxides have been developed following the initial synthesis of S-vinylsulfimides using chloramine-T as the N-group transfer agent in 1979,[4] and catalysts based on copper,[5] manganese,[6] ruthenium,[7] iron,[8] rhodium,[9] silver[10] as well as a P450-type enzyme[11] have been reported for (asymmetric) sulfimidation and sulfoximidation. N-Haloamides (and derivatives), (in situ prepared) iminoiodinanes, azides, and heterocyclic nitrene precursors have all been used as imidation reagents.[14] In addition, uncatalyzed sulfimidation and sulfoximidation of S-alkyl and S-aryl sulfides with in situ formed PhINNs (Ns = nosyl, 4-(nitrophenyl)sulfonyl) occurs at prolonged heating in MeCN (16 h, 82 °C)[12] and the I2-catalyzed sulfimidation is also known, producing N-tosylsulfimides (tosyl = Ts, 4-(methylphenyl)sulfonyl) at room temperature.[13] Alternatively, N,O-group exchange to form sulfimides from sulfoxides can be achieved with the zwitterionic Burgess reagent ([NEt3]SO·N·CO2R).[14]

Surprisingly, cobalt-catalyzed nitrene transfer to sulfur atoms remains largely unexplored. While a single example of [Co(ClO4)]–catalyzed sulfoximidation of methyl phenyl sulfoxide with (in situ formed) PhINNs has been reported,[15] there are no reported examples of cobalt-catalyzed sulfimidation of sulfides, to the best of our knowledge. Given recent developments in cobalt-catalyzed N-group transfer reactions,[16–18] we decided to investigate cobalt-catalyzed sulfimidation via nitrene transfer to sulfides focusing on chemoselective transfor-
motions in the presence of other nitrene-accepting functional groups (alkenes and weak C–H bonds). For this purpose we decided to investigate the reactivity of the previously characterized nitrene radical adducts of a cobalt-TAML complex\(^\text{[10]}\) (TAML = Tetra-Amido Macrocyclic Ligand)\(^\text{[15]}\) towards sulfides. Based on the reactivity displayed by this Co-platform in alkene aziridination catalysts,\(^\text{[16]}\) we envisaged that this cobalt platform could also be a suitable candidate for chemoselective catalytic sulfimidation reactions.

During our previous studies we identified the TAML ligand as being redox-active on cobalt (see Scheme 1A for nomenclature and structures) and we demonstrated that PPh\(_3\)[Co\(^{III}\)(TAML\(^{aq}\))] is selectively converted to the catalytically active bis-nitrene radical complexes PPh\(_3\)[Co\(^{III}\)(TAML\(^{aq}\))](NR)\(_2\) (R = nosyl or tosyl, Scheme 1B) upon reaction with excess iminodiodane during the aziridination reactions.\(^\text{[16,19]}\) Moreover, we reported that productive C–N bond formation in the aziridination reaction occurs via unusual electronically asynchronous transition states in which single-electron transfer (SET) from styrene to the involved nitrene-radical complex precedes C–N bond formation in a single concerted process (Scheme 1C). The formation of the C–N bond does not occur via nitrene radical attack (as might be expected), but rather via nucleophilic attack of the nitrene lone-pair onto a (partially) formed styrene radical cation as a result of initial substrate-to-ligand single-electron transfer. This process is coupled to TAML-to-cobalt and cobalt-to-nitrene single-electron transfer and a cobalt centered spin-flip.

As substrate-to-ligand single-electron transfer precedes bond formation in these electronically asynchronous transition states, we reasoned that compounds having low one-electron oxidation potentials might be suitable substrates for nitrene transfer catalysis with PPh\(_3\)[Co\(^{III}\)(TAML\(^{aq}\))] under mild and aerobic conditions. Moreover, we hypothesized that this reactivity could allow for selective (late stage) nitrene transfer catalysis when the chemoselectivity is determined by the oxidation potential of the functional group (i.e. preferred nitrene transfer to the functionality that is most easily oxidized). For example, one-electron oxidation of methyl phenyl sulfide (thioanisole, \(E_1^\text{SS} = +1.56\) V vs. SCE)\(^\text{[21a]}\) occurs at a lower potential than styrene oxidation \(E_1^\text{SN} = +1.90\) V vs. SCE)\(^\text{[21b]}\) and would therefore lead to preferential sulfimidation over aziridination. Hence, this mechanism of nitrene transfer to sulfides could be particularly powerful for a chemoselective catalytic sulfimidation protocol in the presence of alkenes and weak C–H bonds, which are both susceptible to reactions with nitrene radicals\(^\text{[16]}\) but typically have higher oxidation potentials than sulfides.

Related iron\(^{[22]}\) and manganese-TAML\(^{[23]}\) complexes were found to be active in stoichiometric nitrene transfer to thioanisole derivatives, but catalytic activity has not been reported to date. Thus, inspired by the catalytic activity of PPh\(_3\)[Co\(^{III}\)(TAML\(^{aq}\))] under aerobic conditions in the aziridination of alkenes, we decided to explore its catalytic activity for sulfimidation reactions. Given the known reactivity of [Co\(^{III}\)(TAML\(^{aq}\))]\(^{2-}\) for aziridination chemistry, we also investigated whether chemoselective sulfimidation reactions could be performed in presence of alkenes and weak C–H bonds.\(^\text{[18]}\) Specifically, we report the following findings in this work:

- PPh\(_3\)[Co\(^{III}\)(TAML\(^{aq}\))] is a competent catalyst for nitrene transfer to sulfides under mild conditions.
- Nitrene transfer occurs chemoselectively for sulfimida-
tion in the presence of alkenes and weak C–H bonds.
- Nitrene transfer proceeds via electrophilic behavior of the nitrene radical intermediates, involving electronically asynchronous transition states in which SET from the sulfide to the nitrene radical complex precedes N–S bond formation in a single concerted process.

The main findings of this work are summarized in Scheme 2.

### Results and Discussion

#### Optimization of the reaction conditions

To establish the catalytic competence of PPh\(_3\)[Co\(^{III}\)(TAML\(^{aq}\))] we first investigated different classes of sulfides to determine the preferred substrate class for sulfimidation. Thioanisole \(E_1^\text{SS} = +1.56\) V vs. SCE)\(^\text{[21a]}\) was cleanly converted to \(N-(4\text{-nitrobenzenesulfon})\)-S-methyl-S-phenylsulfimide \(^{(1\text{M})}\) in \(77\%\) yield under aerobic conditions in 15 minutes at 25 °C in \(CH_2Cl_2\) with 2.5 mol\% PPh\(_3\)[Co\(^{III}\)(TAML\(^{aq}\))] (entry 1, Table 1). Diphenylsulfide \(E_1^\text{SS} = +1.79\) V vs. SCE)\(^\text{[21b,24]}\) only afforded \(19\%\) of the desired product in 15 minutes (longer reaction times lead to higher yields, see Table 3), whereas dimethylsulfide \(E_1^\text{SS} = +0.91\) V vs. SCE)\(^\text{[21b,24]}\) yielded \(40\%\) of the sulfimide, albeit with \(55\%\) conversion of the iminodiodane to \(NsNH_2\) (entry 2 and 3).\(^\text{[25]}\) Thiophene \(E_1^\text{SS} = +1.91\) V vs. SCE)\(^\text{[21d]}\) (entry 4) was not converted to the corresponding sulfimide at all and also sulfides, which have higher oxidation potentials compared to their corre-
sponding sulfides,[21] were not effectively converted to the sulf oximes (entry 5 and 6). These results indicate that (alkyl)-(aryl)-substituted sulfides are most effectively converted via N-transfer chemistry due to their relatively low oxidation potentials. Having established that (alkyl)(aryl)-substituted sulfides are most effectively converted we set out to further optimize the reaction conditions. With the nitrene precursor as the limiting reagent we screened the reaction time and catalyst loading for formation of 1Ns, 1Ts and 1Tces from thioanisole and the corresponding iminoiodinane under aerobic conditions at 25 °C in CH2Cl2.[26] Using PhINNs, the highest yield (96%) of 1Ns was obtained after 30 minutes with 2.5 or 1.0 mol% PPh4[CoIII(TAML(red))](entry 1–2, Table 2). Shorter reaction times resulted in lower yields (entry 3 and 4). Interestingly, a two-hour reaction using a catalyst loading as low as 0.1 mol% still afforded 1Ns in 35% yield, which corresponds to 350 turnover numbers (TONs). Using PhINTsa as the nitrene precursor at 0.1 mol% catalyst loading produced 1Ts in 64% (TON = 640 and turnover frequency (TOF) = 640 min–1) or 90% (TON = 900) after 1 or 5 minutes, respectively. Using 1.0 mol% catalyst and 5 minutes reaction time yielded 1Ts and 1Tces in quantitative (> 99%) or 90% yield, respectively.

For consistency in the substrate scope screening (performed mainly with PhINTs and PhINNs, vide infra), we selected 1.0 mol% catalyst loading and 30 minutes reaction time as the standard conditions. Control reactions without catalyst (entry 10) did not lead to product formation. The involvement of free ligand (TAMLH4), [PPh4]+ or CoCl2 on product formation was excluded (entry 11) as 1Ns was obtained in only 2% yield, thus clearly demonstrating the catalytic behavior of PPh4[CoIII(TAML(red))].

Chemoselectivity in intermolecular competition reactions
Kinetic competition experiments for nitrene transfer to S, C=C and C–H positions were performed to investigate the intermolecular chemoselectivity for nitrene transfer reactions. The reactions were performed with 2.5 mol% catalyst loading, which should lead to maximal competition between C=C aziridination or alkene aziridination and sulfimidation, as this was previously reported to be the optimal loading for alkene conversion.[18] As substrates we selected thioanisole, ethylbenzene, styrene and 4-tert-butyl-styrene (4-Ru-styrene). The latter was included as
we previously showed that aziridination of this substrate proceeds much faster than for styrene itself,\textsuperscript{18} thus making it a suitable substrate to study competition between sulfimidation and aziridination. Strikingly, in all cases, involving 4-RBu-styrene, we observed >99% selectivity for sulfimidation and preservation of the alkene functionality (Table 3, entries 1–2). In absence of thioanisole, aziridination of styrene is strongly favored over C−H amination of ethylbenzene (Table 3, entry 3). From these experiments it is clear that the relative reaction rates for nitrene transfer follow the order: \( k_t > k_{C,C} > k_{C,H} \). Switching to diphenylsulfide (entries 4 and 5) afforded 82% and 83% selectivity for sulfimidation with PhINNs in competition with aziridination of styrene or 4-RBu-styrene, with the only detected by-product being the aziridine in 16% yield. The chemoselectivity toward sulfimidation significantly increased (95%) when using PhINTs (instead of PhINNs), consistent with the higher reactivity of this iminoiodinane in the catalytic system as reflected by shorter reaction times (vide supra). In addition, we performed an intermolecular competition reaction between styrene and thioanisole under the previously reported optimal styrene aziridination conditions\textsuperscript{10} with PhINNs (2.5 mol% catalyst loading, 35 °C, total 5 equivalents substrate). This led to formation of \( 1^\text{th} \) in 91% yield, without detectable formation of the aziridine (see Supporting Information).

### Chemoselectivity in intramolecular competition reactions

Having established the intermolecular chemoselectivity for nitrene transfer to sulfides, we next explored the intramolecular chemoselectivity for sulfimidation in the presence of alkenes and weak C−H bonds. Alkene fragments prone to aziridination are highlighted purple in Scheme 3 and reactive C−H positions, that is, with a tabulated\textsuperscript{27} bond dissociation energy (BDE) < 85.0 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) or 85 < BDE < 95 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) are marked in green and grey, respectively. We employed 1.0 mol% \( \text{PPPh}_3\text{Co}^\text{II}(\text{TAML})^\text{III} \) as the catalyst at 25 °C under aerobic conditions in \( \text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2 \) throughout these studies.

Sulfimidation of para- or meta-methylthioanisole afforded products \( 2^\text{th}, 2^\text{th}^\text{m}, 3^\text{th}^\text{m} \) and \( 3^\text{th}^\text{m} \) in quantitative yield (Scheme 3). Interestingly, \( 2^\text{th} \) was also obtained in >99% yield at 0 °C, and even significantly lower reaction temperatures (−61 °C or −78 °C) still afforded \( 2^\text{th} \) after 2 hours in 74% and 31% yield. The more electron-rich 4-methoxythioanisole was converted to \( 3^\text{th} \) in quantitative yield and the electron-withdrawing para-fluoro- and ortho-chloro-substituted thioanisoles yielded \( 4^\text{th} \) and \( 5^\text{th} \) in 92% and >99% yield, respectively. We did not observe any transformation of the weakly activated C−H positions (highlighted in grey) in these reactions by \( ^1\text{H} \) NMR spectroscopy. Substitution of the methyl group in thioanisole for ethyl or iso-propyl selectively afforded \( 7^\text{th} \) (90%), \( 7^\text{th}^\text{m} \) (99%) and \( 8^\text{th} \) (>99%), as depicted in Scheme 3. The more strongly activated \( \alpha\text{-CH}_2 \) position of benzyl phenyl sulfide or 2-(phenylethyl)-phenyl-sulfide did not undergo any reaction, with both substrates being selectively converted to \( 9^\text{th} \) and \( 10^\text{th} \) in 88% and 79% yield, respectively.

To investigate intramolecular competition with alkenes, we employed phenyl vinyl sulfide, which afforded selective formation of \( 11^\text{th} \) in 49% yield (Scheme 3), without any indication for aziridine formation based on \( ^1\text{H} \) NMR spectroscopy. Using phenyl allyl sulfide as the substrate with either PhINNs or PhINNs led to clean formation of N-allyl-S-phenyl-thiohydroxylamines \( 13^\text{th} \) (78%) and \( 13^\text{th}^\text{m} \) (65%), respectively. These products arise from [2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement of the initially formed S-allyl-sulfimides, as reported in literature.\textsuperscript{19,20} and thus indicate the initial S-elimination of phenyl allyl sulfide. Again, we did not observe any reaction with the alkene or weak C−H position by \( ^1\text{H} \) NMR spectroscopy. Last, methyl-(4-phenoxymethyl)-phenyl) sulfane as substrate selectively provided (98% yield) access to \( 14^\text{th} \), which has been studied in the context of cancer research as a drug to bind to the nuclear protein pirin to inhibit melanoma cell migration.\textsuperscript{31}

### Mechanistic studies

To probe the involvement of radical intermediates in the sulfimidation reactions, we performed the sulfimidation of thioanisole with PhINTs and 1.0 mol% catalyst loading in presence of 5 equivalents of the well-known radical trap 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidinyloxy (TEMPO). This resulted in a yield of only 50% of \( 1^\text{th} \), whereas the reaction in absence of TEMPO quantitatively afforded this sulfimide product. The radical trapping experiment thus indicates the involvement of radical-type in-

---

**Table 3.** Intermolecular competition experiments to investigate the chemoselectivity for sulfimidation in presence of C−C and weak C−H bonds.

| Entry | A | B | R | Predominant product (A<sup>ANR</sup>) | Selectivity [%]<sup>[a]</sup> |
|-------|---|---|---|--------------------------------------|-------------------|
| 1     | ![image](image1.png) | ![image](image2.png) | ![image](image3.png) | ![image](image4.png) | >99<sup>[b]</sup> |
| 2     | ![image](image5.png) | ![image](image6.png) | ![image](image7.png) | ![image](image8.png) | >99<sup>[b]</sup> |
| 3     | ![image](image9.png) | ![image](image10.png) | ![image](image11.png) | ![image](image12.png) | >99<sup>[b]</sup> |
| 4     | ![image](image13.png) | ![image](image14.png) | ![image](image15.png) | ![image](image16.png) | 82<sup>[c]</sup>,<sup>[d]</sup> |
| 5     | ![image](image17.png) | ![image](image18.png) | ![image](image19.png) | ![image](image20.png) | 83<sup>[c]</sup>,<sup>[d]</sup> |

Ratio A : B : PhINR = 1.5:1.5:1.0, [PhINR] = 24 mM. [a] Selectivities based on \( ^1\text{H} \) NMR integration using 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as an internal standard. [b] Reactions were stopped before 17% conversion of A + B (50% conversion of PhINNs). [c] After 1 hour (conversion PhINNs = 90%). [d] After 25 minutes (conversion PhINNs = 33%).
with iminoiodinanes. PhINTs in CD$_3$OD (1.0 mol%, aerobic, 25 °C) for nitrene transfer (sulfimidation). Purple: alkene prone for aziridination. Green: weak C–H position (BDE > 85 kcal mol$^{-1}$). Grey: C–H position with BDE < 85 kcal mol$^{-1}$). Yields based on $^1$H NMR integration using 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as an internal standard. [a] 5 minutes reaction time. [b] Same yield at 0 °C (30 min). [c] 2 h. at −61 °C. [d] 2 h. at −78 °C.

Scheme 3. Substrate scope for the sulfimidation of (alkyl)(aryl)-substituted sulfides with PPh$_3$[CoIII(TAML)] and PhINTs, PhINNs or PhINTces. Yellow: desired position for nitrene transfer (sulfimidation). Purple: alkene prone for aziridination. Green: weak C–H position (BDE > 85 kcal mol$^{-1}$). Grey: C–H position with BDE < 85 kcal mol$^{-1}$).

intermediates, in agreement with formation of (previously characterized$^{[18,19]}$) nitrene radical species formed upon reaction of [CoIII(TAML)]$^{2+}$ with iminoiodinanes.

To get more insight into the radical and electronic effects governing the reactions, a Hammett analysis$^{[20]}$ was performed by intermolecular competition experiments under standard conditions with PPh$_3$[CoIII(TAML)]$^{2+}$ (1.0 mol%, aerobic, 25 °C, 24 mm PhINTs in CD$_3$Cl$_2$). Compared to the amount of PhINTs, 1.5 equivalents thioanisole and 1.5 equivalents of a para-functionalized thioanisole (X = Me, OMe or F) were present. The $k_1/k_0$ ratio was then determined by the relative formation of para-functionalized-sulfimide versus 1Ts (see also Supporting Information). We employed both electronic$^{[21]}$ ($\alpha$’ ) and radical$^{[31]}$ ($\alpha$) Hammett constants and plotted log($k_1/k_0$) versus $\rho$’ ($\rho$’ = 0.25) and $\rho$’ = −0.57 (R$^2$ = 0.99, see Supporting Information), which indicates predominant contributions from electronic effects (|$\rho$’/|$\rho$’| = 2.28$^{[32]}$) and positive charge buildup on the sulfide substrate in the product-forming transition state, therefore demonstrating electrophilic behavior of the nitrene radical intermediates (vide infra).

The large $|\rho$’/|$\rho$’| ratio obtained from the Hammett analysis, in combination with the negative $\rho$’ (−0.57), is suggestive for electron transfer from the sulfide to the nitrene complex during or prior to N–S bond formation, similar to the previously reported electronically asynchronous transition states ($\rho$’ = −0.80, $\rho$’ = 0.14, $|\rho$’/|$\rho$’| = 5.71) for C–N bond formation in PPh$_3$[CoIII(TAML)]$^{2+}$-catalyzed aziridination reactions.$^{[18]}$ Although the error in the $\rho$’ Hammett value is rather large (0.16) in this case, the improved fit of the Hammet plot when including radical parameters does reflect the importance of radical stabilization effects on the sulfide substrate during the product-forming transition state. A Hammett analysis employing only electronic effects afforded an identical $\rho$’ value of −0.57 (but with a lower R$^2$ of 0.96, see Supporting Information), again signifying a (dominant) electrophilic behavior of the nitrene radical intermediates.

We next set out to further investigate the mechanism of the chemoselective sulfimidation using computational studies. Under the applied conditions PPh$_3$[CoIII(TAML)]$^{2+}$ is quantitatively converted to PPh$_3$[CoIII(TAML)]$^{2+}$(NR)$_2$ upon reaction with PhINR (R = tosyl, nosyl) and the latter is a catalytic intermediate in nitrene transfer (aziridination).$^{[18]}. We therefore focused on the intermediacy of this anionic nitrene species during catalytic sulfimidation. Based on previously reported NEVPT2-CASSCF (multi-configurational N-electron valence state perturbation theory corrected complete active space self-consistent field) and DFT (density functional theory) calculations we studied the [CoIII(TAML)]$^{2+}$-catalyzed sulfimidation computationally with DFT at the BP86/def2-TZVP/disp3 level of theory at the triplet ($S = 1$) spin surface (see also Supporting Information)$^{[18,19]}$. To compare the performance of the catalyst in N-tosyl and N-nosyl nitrene transfer, and to compare the mechanism with the previously reported aziridination, which operates via electronically asynchronous transition states, we calculated the full mechanisms for both the tosyl (Ts...
Mono-nitrene radical formation from A (reference point) and PhINR (R = Ns or Ts) via barrierless ligand-to-substrate single-electron transfer affords B\textsuperscript{Ns} and B\textsuperscript{Ts} in exergonic reactions ($\Delta G^\ddagger = -29.4$ and $-26.2$ kcal mol$^{-1}$, respectively). Reaction with another equivalent PhINR via a second ligand-to-substrate single-electron-transfer event proceeds through a low-lying transition state (TS\textsubscript{1N}: $\Delta G^\ddagger = +12.0$ kcal mol$^{-1}$, TS\textsubscript{1N}*: $\Delta G^\ddagger = +11.6$ kcal mol$^{-1}$) to afford bis-nitrene radicals C\textsuperscript{Ns} ($\Delta G^\ddagger = -30.3$ kcal mol$^{-1}$) and C\textsuperscript{Ts} ($\Delta G^\ddagger = -25.1$ kcal mol$^{-1}$). N–S bond formation on the formed bis-nitrene radical complexes is essentially barrierless at the SCF (self-consistent field) energy surface, and hence the free energy barrier should be primarily determined by (translational) entropy contributions (estimated at around 7–10 kcal mol$^{-1}$). This yields the respective products as van der Waals adducts in a highly exergonic manner (D\textsuperscript{Ns}: $\Delta G^\ddagger = -67.2$ kcal mol$^{-1}$ and D\textsuperscript{Ts}: $\Delta G^\ddagger = -63.7$ kcal mol$^{-1}$), concomitant with one-electron reduction of the electrophilic TAML backbone. The SCF barrierless product formation is the result of the high oxidation state of the TAML$^\ddagger$ in C, thus precluding a barrier for initial substrate-to-ligand single-electron transfer. Endergonic release of product D\textsuperscript{Ns} ($\Delta G = +58.7$ kcal mol$^{-1}$) and D\textsuperscript{Ts} ($\Delta G = +55.9$ kcal mol$^{-1}$) from D regenerates the mono-nitrene radical B, which can re-enter the bis-nitrene cycle.

Mono-nitrene radical B can also react directly with thioanisole via an electronically asynchronous transition state TS\textsubscript{2N} ($\Delta G^\ddagger = +13.9$ kcal mol$^{-1}$) and TS\textsubscript{2Ts} ($\Delta G^\ddagger = +13.8$ kcal mol$^{-1}$). In this transition state, N–S bond formation is preceded by substrate-to-ligand single-electron transfer and the nitrene-N lone pair attacks the (partially) formed thioanisole radical cation. Simultaneously, single-electron transfer from the sulfide-S to the nitrene-N occurs to afford the zwitterionic sulfur ylide. During this process, the spin state on cobalt changes from low spin ($S = 0$ in B) to intermediate spin ($S = 1$ in TS\textsubscript{2} and E). As a consequence, the total wavefunction adapts to a broken-symmetry solution, causing the formation of $\beta$-spin in a mainly sulfur-localized N–S $\sigma^*$ orbital, which is then transferred to the $\alpha$-spin-bearing non-bonding orbital on the nitrene (see Supporting Information). The formation of the van der Waals adducts E\textsuperscript{Ns} and E\textsuperscript{Ts} is exergonic ($\Delta G = -34.0$ and $-31.9$ kcal mol$^{-1}$, respectively) and product dissociation is again endergonic ($\Delta G = -29.2$ kcal mol$^{-1}$ for E\textsuperscript{Ns} and $-29.7$ kcal mol$^{-1}$ for E\textsuperscript{Ts}).

The positive charge buildup on the substrate due to electrophilic reaction of the nitrene intermediates, as also evident from the Hammett analysis, in combination with the electronically asynchronous transition state found for reaction of the mono-nitrene radical species with thioanisole, support a similar mechanism as described for styrene aziridination by...
However, N–S bond formation to afford the sulfimidation product via the bis-nitrene radical complex (C) is barrierless at the SCF energy surface, which was not observed for the corresponding aziridination of styrene. The differences in activation and formation energies between the N-tosyl and N-nosyl nitrene transfer pathways are only small, and do not explain the faster reactions of PhIN Ts than PhINNs with thioanisole (Table 2, vide supra). However, this difference in reaction rates is most likely the result of the higher solubility of PhIN Ts (8.2 mm) in comparison to PhINNs (0.5 mm, see Supporting Information) in CH₂Cl₂, thus limiting the reaction rate to the rate of solvation of the sultamide. As the kinetics of these reactions are likely determined by the low solubility of the nitrene precursors, we believe that the mono-nitrene pathway (from B to E via TS2) is the dominant pathway under the applied catalytic reaction conditions (i.e. in the presence of excess thioanisole). The significant Hammett ρ+ (−0.57) and ρ0 (0.25) values seem inconsistent with almost barrierless N–S bond formation (bis-nitrene pathway), and hence also the experimental Hammett data are suggestive of a dominant mono-nitrene pathway. The lower calculated activation energies for sulfimidation (+13.9 kcal mol⁻¹) in comparison to aziridination (+14.6 kcal mol⁻¹)[18] along the mono-nitrene pathways are consistent with the observed chemoselectivity in the inter- and intramolecular reaction via nitrene transfer to sulfides. In the presence of alkene and weak C–H bonds, nitrene transfer proceeds chemoselectively towards the sulfide, as supported by inter- and intramolecular competition reactions (vide supra). The observed selectivity and calculated mechanisms are therefore also consistent with electrophilic behavior of the nitrene radical intermediates.

Conclusions

We have shown that PPh₃[Co(TAML)₃] is an effective catalyst for the sulfimidation of (alkyl)(aryl)-substituted sulfides under mild conditions (25 °C, aerobic, 1.0 mol%), TONs up to 900 and TOFs up to 640 min⁻¹ are reported, demonstrating the stability and activity of the catalyst under practical conditions. Moreover, this is the first example of a cobalt-catalyzed sulfimidation reaction via nitrene transfer to sulfides. In the presence of alkene and weak C–H bonds, nitrene transfer proceeds chemoselectively towards the sulfide, as supported by inter- and intramolecular competition reactions, which we attribute to the lower oxidation potential of the sulfides and the electrophilic behavior of the nitrene radical intermediates. Electron-donating (Me, OMe) and -withdrawing (F, Cl) substituents on the aryl moiety in thioanisole derivatives are tolerated, and methyl substitution in thioanisole for ethyl, iso-propyl, benzyl, ethylphenyl, and vinyl all afford the respective sulfimidation products in generally good yields. Sulfimidation of phenyl allyl sulfide leads to [2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement to yield the N-allyl-S-phenyl-thiohydroxylamine products. Late-stage sulfimidation of ethyl-(4-(phenoxymethyl)-phenyl)-sulfane affords a small drug molecule in excellent yield. Hammett analysis indicates that positive charge buildup and significant radical stabilization on the sulfide substrate occur in the transition state leading to sulfimide product formation. Combined with the computational data, we suggest that the N–S bond formation is initiated by substrate-to-ligand single-electron transfer (mono-nitrene pathway) in an electronically asynchronous transition state. The observed chemoselectivity is expected to contribute to new (late-stage) sulfimidation reactions wherein the oxidation potential of the functional groups determines the preferred nitrene-accepting moiety.

Experimental Section

General procedure for the catalytic sulfimidation reactions: A flame-dried vial (4 mL) was charged with miminothiolane (48.0 μmol; 1.0 equiv.), CH₂Cl₂ (1.8 mL; total concentration iminiothiolane of 24.0 mm), sulfide (72.0 μmol; 1.5 equiv.); 100 μL of a 720 mm stock solution in CH₂Cl₂, PPh₃[Co(TAML)₃] (0.40 mg; 0.48 μmol; 1.0 mol%); 100 μL of a 4.8 mm stock solution in CH₂Cl₂ and closed with a cap. The reaction mixture was stirred under aerobic conditions at 25 °C for 30 minutes. 1.3,5-Trimethoxybenzene (0.67 mg; 4.0 μmol; 100 μL of a 40.0 mm stock solution in CH₂Cl₂) was added as an internal standard, the reaction mixture was filtered (syringe filter, PTFE, 0.45 μm) to remove unreacted miminothiolane, concentrated under reduced pressure at 25 °C, dissolved in deuterated solvent, filtered (syringe filter, PTFE, 0.45 μm) and analysed by ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopy.

Supporting Information: Experimental details, synthetic procedures, NMR spectra, HRMS data, geometries (xyz coordinates) and energies of stationary points and transition states (DFT).

Acknowledgements

Financial support from The Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO TOP-Grant 716.015.001 to BdB) and the research priority area Sustainable Chemistry of the University of Amsterdam (RPA SusChem, UvA) is gratefully acknowledged. Ed Zuidinga is thanked for HRMS measurements.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Keywords: chemoselectivity • cobalt • nitrene • radicals • sulfimidation

[1] For selected reviews see: a) V. Bizet, C. M. M. Hendriks, C. Bolm, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2015, 44, 3378 – 3390; b) H. Okamura, C. Bolm, Chem. Lett. 2004, 33, 482 – 487; c) T. Katsuki, Chem. Lett. 2005, 34, 1304 – 1309; d) T. G. Driver, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2010, 8, 3831 – 3846; e) T. Uchida, T. Katsuki, Chem. Rec., 2014, 14, 117 – 129.
[2] a) M. Frings, C. Bolm, A. Blum, C. Ngramm, Eur. J. Med. Chem. 2017, 126, 225 – 245; b) C. M. M. Hendriks, J. Hartkamp, S. Wiezerek, A.-D. Stein-kamp, G. Rossetti, B. Lischner, C. Bolm, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2017, 27, 2659 – 2662.
[3] a) S. Katsumiya, K. Sugino, Y. Sasazawa, Y. Nakano, H. Aono, K. Morishi-ta, M. Kawatani, K. Umezawa, H. Osada, S. Simizu, FEBS Lett. 2016, 590, 1152 – 1162; b) I. Miyazaki, H. Okumura, S. Takagi, H. Osada, Nat. Chem. Biol. 2010, 6, 667 – 673.
[4] a) T. Yamamoto, M.-A. Kakimoto, M. Okawara, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1979, 52, 841 – 845; b) The first synthesis of sulfimides was reported in 1922, but their structure was not thoroughly proven: F. G. Mann, W. J. Pope, J. Chem. Soc. Trans. 1922, 121, 1052 – 1055.
[5] a) H. Takada, Y. Nishibayashi, K. Ohe, S. Uemura, Chem. Commun. 1996, 931 – 932; b) H. Takada, Y. Nishibayashi, K. Ohe, S. Uemura, J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 6512 – 6518; c) H. Takada, K. Ohe, S. Uemura, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1999, 38, 1288 – 1289; Angew. Chem. 1999, 111, 1367 – 1369; d) Y.
Liu, H. Wang, X. Yang, Tetrahedron 2019, 75, 4697–4702; e) T. L. Lam, K. C.-H. Tso, B. Cao, C. Yang, D. Chen, X.-Y. Chang, J.-S. Huang, C.-M. Che, Inorg. Chem. 2017, 56, 4253–4257.

[6] H. Nishikori, C. Ohta, E. Oberlin, R. Irie, T. Katsuki, Tetrahedron 1999, 55, 13937–13946.

[7] a) M. Murakami, T. Uchida, T. Katsuki, Tetrahedron Lett. 2001, 42, 7071–7074; b) T. Uchida, Y. Tamura, M. Ohba, T. Katsuki, Tetrahedron Lett. 2003, 44, 7965–7968; c) V. Bizet, L. Bugliono, C. Bolm, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 5639–5642; Angew. Chem. 2014, 126, 5745–5748.

[8] a) T. Bach, C. Körber, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2019, 1009–1039; b) J. Wang, M. Frings, C. Bolm, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 8661–8665; Angew. Chem. 2013, 125, 8823–8827; c) H. Lebel, H. Piras, M. Borduy, ACS Catal. 2016, 6, 1109–1112; d) Y. Liu, C.-M. Che, Chem. Eur. J. 2010, 16, 10494–10501.

[9] a) H. Okamura, C. Bolm, Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 1305–1307; b) F. Collet, R. H. Dodd, P. Dauban, Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 5471–5476; c) H. Lebel, H. Piras, J. Bartholoméus, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 7300–7304; Angew. Chem. 2014, 126, 7428–7432.

[10] G. Y. Cho, C. Bolm, Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 4983–4986.

[11] C. C. Farwells, J. A. McIntosh, T. K. Hyster, Z. J. Wang, F. H. Arnold, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 8766–8771.

[12] Y. G. Cho, C. Bolm, Tetrahedron Lett. 2005, 46, 8007–8008.

[13] A. Yoshimura, C. L. Makitalo, M. E. Jarvi, P. S. Postnikov, G. T. Rohde, V. V. Zhidankin, A. Salto, M. S. Yusubov, Molecules 2019, 24, 979–989.

[14] S. Raghavan, S. Mustafa, K. Rathore, Tetrahedron Lett. 2008, 49, 4256–4259.

[15] O. García Manchero, C. Bolm, Chem. Eur. J. 2007, 13, 6674–6681.

[16] a) N. P. van Leest, R. F. J. Epping, K. M. van Vliet, M. Lankelma, E. J. van den Heuvel, N. Heijbrink, R. Rooversen, B. Bruin, Single-Electron Elementary Steps in Homogeneous Organometallic Catalysis, Advances in Organometallic Chemistry—A European Journal, Vol. 70, pp. 71–180; b) P. F. Kuipers, J. I. van der Vlugt, S. Schneidemesser, R. West, Elsevier, 2018, Vol. 70, pp. 71–180; b) P. F. Kuipers, J. I. van der Vlugt, S. Schneider, B. Bruin, Chem. Eur. J. 2017, 23, 13819–13829.

[17] For recent work see: a) Y. Baek, A. Das, S.-L. Zheng, J. H. Reihsenspies, D. C. Powers, T. A. Betley, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2020, 142, 11232–11243; b) Y. Baek, T. A. Betley, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2019, 141, 7797–7806; c) Y. Hu, K. Lang, C.-Q. Li, J. B. Gill, I. Kim, H.-J. Lu, K. B. Fields, M. K. Marshall, Q.-C. Cheng, X. Cui, L. Wojtach, X. P. Zhang, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2019, 141, 18160–18169; d) K. Lang, S. Torker, L. Wojtac, X. P. Zhang, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2019, 141, 12388–12396; e) Y. Hu, K. Lang, J.-R. Tao, M. K. Marshall, Q.-C. Cheng, X. Cui, L. Wojtac, X. P. Zhang, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2019, 58, 2670–2674; Angew. Chem. 2019, 131, 2696–2700.

[18] a) N. P. van Leest, M. A. Tepaska, B. Venderbosch, J.-P. H. Oudsen, M. Tromp, J. I. van der Vlugt, B. Bruin, ACS Catal. 2019, 10, 7449–7463.

[19] N. P. van Leest, M. A. Tepaska, J.-P. H. Oudsen, B. Venderbosch, N. R. Rietdijk, M. A. Siegler, M. Tromp, J. I. van der Vlugt, B. Bruin, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2020, 142, 552–563.

[20] a) T. J. Collins, A. D. Ryabov, Chem. Rev. 2017, 117, 9140–9162; b) T. J. Collins, R. D. Powell, C. Slesbodick, E. S. Uffelman, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 8419–8425.

[21] a) N. L. Weinberg, H. R. Weinberg, Chem. Rev. 1968, 68, 449–523; b) T. Fuchigami, S. Inagi, M. Atobe, Fundamentals and Applications of Organic Electrochemistry, Wiley & Sons, Ltd.: West Sussex UK, 2014.

[22] a) S. Hong, K. D. Sutherland, A. K. Vardhaman, J. J. Yan, S. Park, Y.-M. Lee, S. Jand, X. Lu, T. Ohta, T. Ogura, E. I. Solomon, W. Nam, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 8800–8803; b) S. Hong, X. Lu, Y.-M. Lee, M. S. Seo, T. Ohta, T. T. Ogura, M. Clémencey, P. Maldivi, J.-M. Latour, R. Sarangi, W. Nam, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 14372–14375; c) X. Lu, X.-X. Li, Y.-M. Lee, Y. Jang, M. S. Seo, S. Hong, K.-B. Cho, S. Fukushima, W. Nam, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2020, 142, 3891–3904.

[23] H. Shi, J. Xie, W. W. Y. Lam, W.-L. Man, C.-K. Mak, S.-M. Yu, H. K. Lee, T.-C. Lau, Chem. Eur. J. 2019, 25, 12895–12899.

[24] Assuming a ≈47 mV shift between Ag/AgCl (0.1 M) and SCE. See: L. Meltes, Handbook of Analytical Chemistry, McGraw Hill Text, 1963, section 5.

[25] Based on the redox potentials only, a higher yield for sulfidation of dimethylsulfide would be expected. However, the relatively low observed sulfimide yield (40%) and formation of NaNH₂ (55%) is most likely the result of hydrogen-atom transfer (HAT) from the transiently formed dimethylsulfide radical cation (–CH₂⁺ BDE = 61.5 kcal mol⁻¹) to the nitrile radical intermediate. This HAT reaction does not occur for the transiently formed thionioalane radical cation (–CH₂⁺ BDE = 72.8 kcal mol⁻¹). See Supporting Information for additional details.

[26] At higher temperatures (35°C) we observed a minor uncatalyzed back-reaction in the formation of 1³ (3% in 3 minutes). The solvent was not varied as the yield of the desired product was already found to be >90% and we have previously studied the formation and reactivity of the nitrile-radical complexes in detail in CH₂Cl₂.

[27] Y.-R. Luo, Comprehensive Handbook of Chemical Bond Energies, CRC Press: Boca Raton, 2007.

[28] L. P. Hammett, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1937, 59, 96–103.

[29] J. A. Howard, K. U. Ingold, Can. J. Chem. 1963, 41, 1744–1751.

[30] T. H. Fisher, A. W. Meierhoefer, J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 224–228.

[31] X.-K. Jiang, Acc. Chem. Res. 1997, 30, 283–289.

Manuscript received: July 31, 2020
Accepted manuscript online: August 18, 2020
Version of record online: November 19, 2020