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A Complex Structural Variation on Chromosome 27 Leads to the Ectopic Expression of HOXB8 and the Muffs and Beard Phenotype in Chickens
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Abstract

Muffs and beard (Mb) is a phenotype in chickens where groups of elongated feathers gather from both sides of the face (muffs) and below the beak (beard). It is an autosomal, incomplete dominant phenotype encoded by the Muffs and beard (Mb) locus. Here we use genome-wide association (GWA) analysis, linkage analysis, Identity-by-Descent (IBD) mapping, array-CGH, genome re-sequencing and expression analysis to show that the Mb allele causing the Mb phenotype is a derived allele where a complex structural variation (SV) on GGA27 leads to an altered expression of the gene HOXB8. This Mb allele was shown to be completely associated with the Mb phenotype in nine other independent Mb chicken breeds. The Mb allele differs from the wild-type mb allele by three duplications, one in tandem and two that are translocated to that of the tandem repeat around 1.70 Mb on GGA27. The duplications contain total seven annotated genes and their expression was tested during distinct stages of Mb morphogenesis. A continuous high ectopic expression of HOXB8 was found in the facial skin of Mb chickens, strongly suggesting that HOXB8 directs this regional feather-development. In conclusion, our results provide an interesting example of how genomic structural rearrangements alter the regulation of genes leading to novel phenotypes. Further, it again illustrates the value of utilizing derived phenotypes in domestic animals to dissect the genetic basis of developmental traits, herein providing novel insights into the likely role of HOXB8 in feather development and differentiation.
Author Summary

Genetic variation is a key part for the study of evolution, development and differentiation. In domestic animals, many breeds display striking phenotypes that differentiate them from their wild ancestors. Several of these have been related to structural variations, including Fibromelanosis and Rose-comb in chickens, Double-muscled and Osteopetrosis in cattle, Cone degeneration in dogs, and White coat color in pigs. The feather is a type of skin appendages that exists in multiple variants on different body parts, and the derived feathering phenotypes in domestic birds are perfect resources to decipher the mechanisms regulating feather development and differentiation. Here we study the genetics of the Muffs and beard trait, a variant that alters the feather development in the facial area of chickens. We show that this phenotype is associated with a genomic structural variant that leads to an ectopic expression of HOXB8 in the facial skin during feather development. This is thus another example of how structural variants in the genome lead to novel, derived phenotypic changes in domestic animals and suggests an important role for HOXB8 in feather development.

Introduction

For several thousand years, domesticated animals have been subjected to a combination of natural and artificial selection, and during this process accumulated numerous phenotypic variations. The resulting genetic and phenotypic diversity in domesticated animals provides an excellent basis for improving our understanding of the role of genes in development and disease resistance. The feather, a complex integumentary appendage, is a characteristic feature critical for avian functions such as flight, communication, waterproofing, and thermoregulation. It consists of vanes, rachises, barbs, afterfeathers and calami, all of which may vary in number, size, and shape. Such variations contribute to the diversiform branching patterns of various types of feathers [1]. Due to the structural diversity and its hierarchical development, the feather has been an important topic of research in developmental biology and genetic research.

The chicken is an attractive avian species for genetics research, due to both the abundant genetic and phenotypic diversity as well as the available genomic resources. A few key characteristics of chicken, including its vast economic importance in food production, human-like diseases, and manipulability of the embryos, have facilitated research into topics of importance for agriculture, medicine, and fundamental biology [2]. After domestication, spontaneous mutations in chickens have led to various phenotypic variations, such as Crest (Cr) [3], Naked neck (Nc) [4], Scaleless (Sc) [5], Frizzle (Fr) [6], Silky (H) [7], Ear tufts (Et) [8], Ptilopody or feathered shank (Pti) [9], Vulture hocks (Vh) [9,10], and Muffs and beard (Mb) [11]. Until now, several mutations underlying these phenotypic variations have been identified. From the variations with known genetic causes, it is apparent that small genetic changes often lead to striking phenotypic differences. The variants for which the underlying genetic mechanisms are still unknown thus provide excellent models in a powerful way to study the fundamental principles of feather development and differentiation.

In domestic animals, recent studies have identified that an increasing number of structural variations (SVs) are found to be associated with phenotypic changes. An interesting typical example in the chicken is the dissection of the molecular architectures underlying three comb variants: Rose-comb, Pea-comb, and Duplex-comb, where all were found to be controlled by SVs in the genome, rather than single nucleotide changes. Rose-comb is caused by a large
inversion that induces the transient ectopic expression of MNR2 [12]. Pea-comb results from a massive-amplification event in intron 1 of SOX5 leading to its ectopic expression in mesenchymal cells and, therefore, form the special comb [13]. The Duplex-comb mutation is a tandem duplication containing several conserved putative regulatory elements located upstream of EOMES and changes its expression [14]. All these suggested the SV as a primary contributor to phenotypic diversities and developmental defects.

This study explores the genetics of the Muffs and beard phenotype (Mb) (Fig 1) in chickens, which consist of tufts of elongated feathers projecting around the face and the beak [11]. Although it has earlier been confirmed to be a single-locus, autosomal, incompletely dominant trait [15,16], the causal mutation still remains unknown. There are several breeds of chickens displaying this special feathering phenotype around the world, including Huiyang Bearded, Silkie, Beijing-You, Xiangdong, Piao, Dutch Polish Bantam, Dutch owl, Dutch owl bantam, Brabanter, Brabanter bantam, and others. Here we show that the Mb allele that causes the Mb phenotype in chickens is a structural mutation resulting from duplications of three regions on chicken chromosome 27 (GGA27), where two of these have also been translocated and inserted between the tandem repeats of the first duplication. We also demonstrate that this structural rearrangement leads to an altered ectopic expression of HOXB8 in the facial skin, making it a highly likely candidate mechanism for the presence of the elongated feathers characterizing the Mb phenotype.
Results

Mapping and fine-mapping of the \(Mb\) locus to a 48-kb region on GGA27

An F\(_2\) intercross population between Huiyang Bearded chickens (HB, a Chinese native \(Mb\) breed) and High Quality of Chicken Line A (HQLA, a non-\(Mb\) broiler line) consisting of 585 birds were bred as our primary mapping population [17]. By testing for associations between the genotypes from a 60k SNP chip and the \(Mb\) phenotype at 10 weeks of age in this population, we identified a single, highly significantly (\(p < 10^{-19}\); Fig 2) associated 2.5 Mb (1.1 Mb to 3.5 Mb) region on GGA27. We then used a second population established using a Chinese local breed, Beijing-You chickens [18], which had \(Mb\) trait segregating in the population, to perform a validating association study. Its result further confirmed that the \(Mb\) locus was located in the first half of GGA27 (S1 Fig and S1 Table).

A linkage analysis across GGA27, that utilized the HB \(\times\) HQLA pedigree and the 60k marker information to assign line-origin probabilities every cM across the chromosome and test for associations between HB- and HQLA-derived chromosomes, identified a peak signal at the nearest tested location on the chromosome (5 cM; 1.61 Mb; Fig 2C) to that of the association study (Fig 2A and 2B).

Under the assumption that a single bi-allelic locus caused the phenotype, we also applied an IBD (Identity by Descent) mapping approach to fine map the \(Mb\) locus. By searching the minimal chromosomal segments that were shared among all \(Mb\) F\(_2\) chickens, we acquired a 48-kb region that spans six SNPs for the \(Mb\) locus (1.68–1.72 Mb; Fig 2D). Together these results provided a high-resolution mapping of the \(Mb\) locus to guide the following search for the functional mutation causing the \(Mb\) trait.

A complex structural variation is detected in the \(Mb\) locus on GGA27

In a previous study, we discovered two copy number variations (CNVs) in the genomic region harboring the \(Mb\) locus on GGA27 using array-based comparative genomic hybridization (array-CGH) with custom-designed 400k probes [19]. These polymorphisms, here denoted CNV1 and CNV3, were duplications of sequences located at 1.70 and 4.47 Mb on GGA27 that were present only in chickens with the \(Mb\) phenotype. The presence of these structural polymorphisms in, or close to, the \(Mb\) locus makes them interesting candidate variants for the \(Mb\) phenotype. An in-depth exploration of this region reveals i) that the \(Mb\) locus also contains a third CNV (CNV2) resulting from the duplication of a sequence located at 3.58 Mb of GGA27, ii) that CNV1 likely results from a tandem duplication, iii) that CNV2 and CNV3 are not tandem repeats, but rather duplications that are translocated between the CNV1 duplications (Fig 3). Together, these findings suggest this structural rearrangement as a strong candidate functional polymorphism in the \(Mb\) locus due to the overlap with the association and linkage peaks, as well as the IBD mapping result, in the region around 1.70 Mb on GGA27. Below, we described how these results were obtained and illustrated them in detail in Fig 4.

A copy of CNV3 is located near CNV1. To define the boundaries of the CNVs in the \(Mb\) locus on GGA27 and find the exact insertion loci, PCRs were performed in both \(Mb/Mb\) and \(mb/mb\) chickens with primers designed facing outwards from the CNV1 and CNV3 sequences that were known from our previous study [19] (Fig 4A). All possible combinations of these primers were considered, but only F1+R2 in the variant (\(Mb/Mb\)) chickens got a specific amplification, suggesting that a copy of CNV3 is located near CNV1 (Figs 3A and 4B). After sequencing the PCR products, we obtained the unilateral boundary of both CNV1 (CNV1_3', 1,721,521 bp) and CNV3 (CNV3_5', 4,470,331 bp) (Fig 4B). Amplifications with other primers in both \(Mb/Mb\) and \(mb/mb\) chickens showed that copies of both the CNV1 and CNV3 sequences were also located in their original sites on GGA27, as defined by the chicken reference genome.
Fig 2. Results from the Genome-Wide Association, linkage and shared IBD analyses on the Muffs and beard (Mb) trait in HB × HQLA population. (A) The Manhattan plot from the Genome-wide association analysis for the Mb phenotype at 10 weeks of age. X-axis shows the physical positions in Mb for each marker along the chromosomes, and the y-axis shows -log_{10} p values for the association tests. (B) A scatter plot illustrating all SNPs tested on GGA27 for the Mb trait at 10 weeks of age. The peak SNP (rs13620154 on GGA27 at 1,959,687 bp) is marked with a filled triangle, while other SNPs are marked with dots. The colors of the dots indicate their LD...
A third CNV (CNV2) identified near one of the duplicated CNV3 sequences. Next, we adopted genome-walking using a combination of the primers described above and new primers designed for this purpose. After sequencing the specific products using primer F2, we identified a third copy number variation (CNV2), whose sequences originated from GGA27 3.58 Mb, next to CNV3. To further confirm this discovery, we re-analyzed the data from our previous array-CGH and discovered that CNV2 was also present there, but had been discarded due to the fact that only two unique probes covered this region (S2 Table). Sequencing of the PCR product identified the boundaries of CNV2 and CNV3 (CNV2_5', 3,578,409 bp and CNV3_3', 4,503,417 bp) (Figs 3A and 4C).

A duplicated CNV1 sequence is located next to CNV2. By aligning the unmapped reads from whole genome re-sequencing data from the HB and HQLA founder lines to GGA27, we could show that a copy of the CNV1 sequence was located next to CNV2 and define the boundaries of them (CNV2_3', 3,592,890 bp and CNV1_5', 1,702,269 bp) (Figs 3A and 4D). This discovery was confirmed by sequencing the specific PCR product amplified with primers designed according to the rearrangement. The re-sequencing data were also used to again verify the complex CNV1_CNV3 and CNV3_CNV2 junctions and the directions using the unmapped reads (S3 Table).

Re-confirming the order of duplications on the Mb allele at the Mb locus. To further confirm the presence of the three CNVs on GGA27, we generated whole-genome re-sequencing data based on the pooled samples from HB and HQLA populations separately. Read depth analysis showed a clear accumulation of reads at the three CNV loci (Fig 3A). By comprehensively evaluating all possible orders of the duplicated CNV sequences in the Mb locus, we confirmed the structure of this genomic variation. It involves duplication of three DNA segments joined together and inserted into the downstream of the original copy of CNV1 (Fig 3B).

The structural variant on the Mb allele at the CNV1 locus is completely associated with the Mb trait

To test for association between the structural variant and the Mb phenotype, primers were designed to amplify sequences that were flanking and located within the region with the duplications at the Mb locus (S2A Fig). These were used to genotype 563 individuals from 31 chicken breeds and the HB × HQLA population (Table 1). Specific amplifications of this Mb allele were only detected in chickens with the Mb phenotype (S2B Fig), demonstrating a complete association between this SV and the Mb phenotype.

As all duplications are present in the forward direction, PCR reactions are unable to distinguish the Mb/Mb and Mb/mb genotypes. Therefore, we examined the duplicated region to locate copy-specific point mutations that would allow us to molecularly distinguish the two genotypes. This screen was performed using long-range PCR assays based on copy-specific amplification where each copy was divided into two parts and amplified in more than 23 individuals. Using comparative analysis of the mutations scored in this sequence data, as well as our re-sequencing data from nine Chinese non-Mb native chicken breeds, we identified a single
Fig 3. Illustration of the read depth analysis that confirmed the copy number variations on GGA27 and the following fine-mapping of their structural rearrangements. (A) The log2 fold-change values from whole genome re-sequencing data illustrating the read depth differences between the HB and HQLA breeds. This analysis validates the presence of the CNVs on GGA27 in chickens with the Muffs and beard phenotype that were previously identified using a CGH array experiment. (B) Schematic illustration of the CNV rearrangements in the Mb locus on GGA27. (C) Genes located within the three duplicated CNV regions include the 3' sequence of PSMC5 and entire SMARCD2 in CNV1 (green shadow), entire HOXB8 and HOXB7 in CNV2 (blue shadow), 5' sequence of CCR7, 3' sequence of KRT222, and entire SMARCE1 in CNV3 (pink shadow).

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1006071.g003
SNP (a T-to-C substitution at 1,707,859 bp) that was copy-specific and can help distinguish Mb/Mb and Mb/mb genotypes in the Mb chickens (S3B Fig).

This T-to-C SNP was used as a genotyping marker through scoring the peak signal value of C and T at this locus by pyrosequencing. Only a T peak was detected in chickens homozygous for the recessive, wild-type allele (mb/mb), and equal peak values of T and C were detected in chickens homozygous for the dominant allele (Mb/Mb), while heterozygous chickens (Mb/mb) displayed a peak value of T that was twice that of C (S3C Fig). We genotyped 96 additional chickens (60 birds from our HB × HQLA population, 30 birds from 3 breeds displaying the Mb phenotype) to confirm the existence of this SNP in different chicken breeds.

Fig 4. Analyzing the breakpoints of the copy number variations on GGA27 to clarify the rearrangement pattern. The duplicated regions identified by array-CGH are illustrated by green (CNV1), blue (CNV2) and pink (CNV3) boxes, respectively. (A) The boundaries of the CNVs were tested using 8 primers indicated by the arrows located in the known duplicated region of CNV1 and CNV3. All possible amplifications were considered and performed in both Mb and wild-type chickens. (B) The breakpoints of both CNV1_3’ (1,721,521 bp) and CNV3_5’ (4,470,331 bp) were identified after sequencing the specifically-amplified PCR product obtained in Mb chickens using primer F1 and R2. An overlap of two nucleotides was detected in the junction region. Outward facing primers (green and pink arrows) were designed to analyze the other boundary of CNV1 and CNV3. (C) CNV2 was found to be located next to CNV3 in chickens with the Mb phenotype using a genome-walking strategy. The breakpoints of both CNV3_3’ (4,503,417 bp) and CNV2_5’ (3,578,409 bp) were verified by sequencing. A two-nucleotide insertion was found in the junction region. (D) The breakpoints of both CNV2_3’ (3,592,890 bp) and CNV1_5’ (1,702,269 bp) were confirmed through unmapped read alignment of whole genome re-sequencing data. An eight-base insertion was detected in the junction.

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1006071.g004
Table 1. Chicken used in PCR-based diagnostic tests of rearrangement.

| Breed                        | Phenotype | Muffs and beard | Wild-type |
|------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------|
| HH family                    |           |                 |           |
| Huiyang Bearded (HB)         |           | 18              | -         |
| High Quality chicken Line A (HQLA) |   | -               | 18        |
| HH×-F₁                       |           | 36              | -         |
| HH-F₂                       |           | 62              | 27        |
| HH-F₅                       |           | 95              | 94        |
| **Total**                   |           | 211             | 139       |
| Muffs and beard              |           |                 |           |
| Beijing-You                  |           | 11              | -         |
| Xiangdong                    |           | 20              | -         |
| Yanzhou Bearded              |           | 6               | -         |
| Dutch Polish                 |           | 2               | -         |
| Dutch Polish Bantam          |           | 1               | -         |
| Dutch Owl                    |           | 12              | -         |
| Dutch Owl Bantam             |           | 9               | -         |
| Brabanter                    |           | 7               | -         |
| Brabanter Bantam             |           | 11              | -         |
| **Total**                   |           | 79              | 0         |
| Wild-type                    |           |                 |           |
| White Leghorn                |           |                 | 8         |
| Beijing-You                  |           |                 | 12        |
| Qingyuan Ma                  |           |                 | 6         |
| White Ear                    |           |                 | 6         |
| Wahui                        |           |                 | 6         |
| Chahua                       |           |                 | 6         |
| Henan Dou                    |           |                 | 6         |
| Chongren Ma                  |           |                 | 6         |
| Langshan                     |           |                 | 6         |
| Bian                         |           |                 | 6         |
| Luyuan                       |           |                 | 6         |
| Tibet                        |           |                 | 6         |
| Gushi                        |           |                 | 6         |
| Dagu                         |           |                 | 6         |
| Youxi Ma                     |           |                 | 6         |
| Anka                         |           |                 | 6         |
| White Recessive              |           |                 | 6         |
| Shouguang                    |           |                 | 6         |
| Shiqiza                      |           |                 | 6         |
| Aijiao Yellow                |           |                 | 6         |
| Red Jungle fowl              |           |                 | 6         |
| **Total**                    |           | 0               | 134       |

*HH-Fₙ*: HB × HQLA intercross generation Fₙ.

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1006071.t001
phenotype, and 6 non-Mb birds) for this polymorphism and found an excellent association between the Mb phenotype and this marker. These results provide additional evidence that the structural variant around 1.70 Mb that is specific to the Mb allele is actually the causal polymorphism at the Mb locus.

Using expression-analysis to explore candidate genes at the Mb locus

The CNV regions that are duplicated in the Mb chickens contain a total of seven annotated genes. CNV1 contains partial proteasome 26S subunit, ATPase, 5 (PSMC5) and the entire SWI/SNF related, matrix associated, actin dependent regulator of chromatin, subfamily d, member 2 (SMARCD2), CNV2 contains the entire homeobox B7 (HOXB7) and homeobox B8 (HOXB8), and CNV3 contains partial chemokine (C-C motif) receptor 7 (CCR7), entire SWI/SNF related, matrix associated, actin dependent regulator of chromatin, subfamily e, member 1 (SMARCE1) and partial keratin 222 (KRT222) (Fig 3C). We next proceeded to evaluate these genes as functional candidates for the Mb phenotype by testing for their tissue-specific differential expression in the Mb and wild-type chickens.

Loss of HOXB8 expression is detected in the facial skin of mb/mb chickens. Considering that the structural rearrangement on GGA27 might interfere with gene expression, we analyzed the transcripts of the seven genes located within the CNV regions using 5' RACE and 3' RACE with RNA extracted from the adult facial skin of Mb/Mb and mb/mb chickens. The transcript of HOXB8, a gene known to play an important role in feather development [20], was present in the facial skin tissue of Mb chicken, but not detectable in the facial skin tissue of wild-type chickens. We next used RT-PCR to verify this discovery in six tissues from chickens with different genotypes. In facial skin tissue, we observed ectopically high expression of HOXB8 in Mb chickens (Mb/Mb & Mb/mb), but no expression in wild-type (mb/mb) chickens (Fig 5A). Validation of this finding in additional birds (S4 Fig) via RT-PCR strongly suggests a role for HOXB8 in the morphogenesis of the Mb phenotype.

The transcripts of other six genes (PSMC5, SMARCD2, HOXB7, CCR7, SMARCE1 and KRT222) were detectable in both wild-type and the Mb chickens, and no alternative splicing or variants between genotypes were found. We also confirmed through RT-PCR that all these six genes are expressed in facial skin tissue regardless the different genotypes of these chickens (S4 and S5 Figs).

An altered ectopic expression of HOXB8 is a likely candidate mechanism leading to the Mb phenotype. To quantitatively detect differences in gene expression of the seven genes in the Mb-associated locus in embryonic, postnatal (two-week-old), and adult tissue, we measured their mRNA expression using quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR). Expression was evaluated in both dorsal and facial skin.

The most pronounced differences that were coherent with the association to the Mb phenotype were observed for HOXB8. This gene showed a marked increase in expression in the facial skin of adult Mb/Mb and Mb/mb chickens, while being barely detectable in mb/mb chickens. Its expression was also increased considerably in the facial skin of developing Mb/Mb embryos and postnatal chicks. Differential expression of HOXB8 was also observed in the dorsal skin during both developmental and adult stages, but unlike in facial skin, there was a basal expression of HOXB8 in this tissue (Figs 5D and 6C).

Some degree of differential expression was also observed for the other genes in the region. The expression of SMARCD2 increased in both dorsal and facial skin tissue of Mb chickens compared to wild-type controls. The altered expression was, however, not consistent with the Mb phenotype as the most pronounced difference was observed in the dorsal skin during development, and there was only a two-fold increase in expression in the facial skin of adult
chickens (Figs 5C and 6B). Three more genes, CCR7 (Figs 5F and 6E), SMARCE1 (Figs 5G and 6F) and KRT222 (Figs 5H and 6G), also displayed an increased expression in the facial skin of adult Mb chickens. However, this markedly increased expression was neither present in embryonic nor postnatal tissue. PSMC5 did not show temporal and spatial differences in expression between genotypes (Figs 5B and 6A), and no statistically significant difference in expression in either the dorsal or facial skin was detected for HOXB7 at any of the evaluated time-points (Figs 5E and 6D). In the livers of adults, the expression of all seven genes was low and only KRT222 exhibited differences between Mb/homozygous, wild-type homozygous, and heterozygous genotypes for the Mb locus regulating the Mb trait. ***p<0.001, **p<0.01, *p<0.05.

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1006071.g005

Fig 5. Differential expression of genes in the CNV regions in adult tissues. (A) The ectopic HOXB8 expression in the facial skin was discovered by reverse-transcription PCR analysis. The negative control was non-template PCR reaction. The relative mRNA expression of (B) PSMC5, (C) SMARCD2, (D) HOXB8, (E) HOXB7, (F) CCR7, (G) SMARCE1, and (H) KRT222 in the dorsal skin, liver and facial skin from adults were analyzed by qPCR. Barely detectable expression of HOXB8 was also identified. All tissue samples used came from Mb/Mb, mb/mb, and Mb/mb adults. Mb/Mb, mb/mb, and Mb/mb represent Mb homozygous, wild-type homozygous, and heterozygous genotypes for the Mb locus regulating the Mb trait.

Discussion

In the literature, the Mb has been described as a classic, autosomal, incompletely dominant trait [15,16]. This was also confirmed in our population since the relatively small Mb phenotypes were observed in the F1 and F2 Mb/mb chickens. Also, the intermediate phenotypes existed in Wattles of heterozygous chickens, and the distinct difference is easier to be seen in cocks than hens. Previous studies had observed that Wattles were absent or small when Mb was present [11,21]. Therefore, we speculate that the incompletely dominant inheritance of Mb trait is highly likely associated with the Wattles locus and there are underlying complex interactions for Mb and Wattles.

Recently, a gene mapping study in a cross between the Bejing-You chicken (BJY) and a commercial broiler line (Cobb-Vantress; CB) identified a single QTL for Mb on GGA27 [22].
Here, we used two populations from two different Chinese indigenous lines, Huiyang Bearded chickens (HB) and Beijing You chickens (BJY), both of which display the Mb trait, to dissect the genetic basis of this phenotype. As the Mb trait is fixed in the HB breed, whereas it still segregates in the BJY breed, we used two different experimental designs to exploit the two breeds for genotype-phenotype mapping. Using linkage (HB × HQLA F2 intercross) and association analysis (BJY population), we confirmed that only a single highly significant region on GGA27 contributes to the Mb trait. Using IBD mapping, we identified a target 48-kb region and further screened it for the causal mutation.

Genomic structural variation (SV) is an important part of genetic variations that could have a significant impact on gene expression and phenotypic variation. Many of such variations identified from gene mapping in domestic animals have illustrated the mechanisms behind expression changes and, as a result, influence the morphogenesis of a trait [23–26]. The regulatory patterns for SVs that influence phenotypic diversity include changes in i) gene dosage (more or less); ii) transcript (damage or rebuild); iii) regulatory element (gain or loss). With the advance of biochip technology, sequencing technology, and genome analysis tools, mutation detection at the genome level is now becoming experimentally routine, facilitating the high-resolution dissection of traits in many domestic animals [27–32]. But challenges in current studies still exist for identifying the complex SV itself in genomic regions and interpreting the relationship between it and its associated phenotypic variants.

Our study identified the Mb locus in chickens, which is an interesting example where a different type of structural variant contributes to the remarkable phenotypic diversity in domestic animals. Guided by the discovery of three duplication events, leading to CNVs that could be detected using array-hybridization in the Mb locus, we were able to identify a complex structural variation located around 1.70 Mb on GGA27. This SV was completely associated with the

---

Fig 6. Relative gene expression during the critical stages of feather development. Gene expression analyses were performed in dorsal and facial skin tissue from embryos and chicks. Samples from Mb/Mb and mb/mb chickens were collected at embryonic (E) days 7.5, 8.5, 9.5, 10.5 and two weeks after hatching. The expression of (A) PSMC5, (B) SMARCD2, (C) HOXB8, (D) HOXB7, (E) CCR7, (F) SMARCE1, and (G) KRT222 in the dorsal and facial skin were normalized to GAPDH. Ectopic expression of HOXB8 was observed in the Mb chickens during the whole period of feather development.

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1006071.g006
Mb phenotype in chickens and is highly likely the causal polymorphism on the Mb allele at this locus. It consisted of three duplications of segments located around 1.70 Mb (CNV1), 3.58 Mb (CNV2), and 4.47 Mb (CNV3) on GGA27, respectively. The duplications of the CNV2 and CNV3 sequences were translocated to the region between the tandemly located duplications of the CNV1 sequence, suggesting a highly complex event leading to this polymorphism. The order of the two copies of CNV1 was confirmed using data on a recombinant chromosome found in one of the F2-chickens. This bird had a wild-type phenotype (mb/mb), but a SNP genotype at GGA27 (1,707,859 bp) that was TC (T = C), rather than TT (S3A Fig). The recombination event was found to have happened near GGA27 (1,702,269 bp), which made it possible to infer which of the duplications was the original copy and which was the newly-acquired one (S3A Fig). Given that the association between the SNP at GGA27 (1,707,859 bp) and the Mb trait was not perfect, it is not the optimal candidate for genotyping. However, it is still a useful diagnostic marker for a first-step test to distinguish Mb/mb from Mb/Mb, as the false results can later be eliminated using a PCR-based diagnostic test and a phenotypic control, as wild-type chickens have neither the Mb trait nor the SV within their genome.

In total, seven genes were located in the duplicated segments in the Mb locus: HOXB7, HOXB8, SMARCD2, SMARCE1, CCR7, KRT222, and PSMC5. Although there were three genes (PSMC5, KRT222, and CCR7) truncated by the SV, it only carried the 3’ end of PSMC5 and KRT222 and the 5’ end of CCR7 (Fig 3C). For PSMC5 and KRT222: as shown in Fig 3C, the duplicated and translocated regions of CNV1 and CNV3 only contained the 3’ sequences of PSMC5 and KRT222 genes (Fig 3C), which lack the promoter and regulatory sequences that required for gene transcription. Therefore, there seem no sufficient conditions for neither truncated PSMC5 nor KRT222 to transcribe and encode a new or a fusion transcript. As expected, we didn’t identify any unusual transcripts of both PSMC5 and KRT222 by the 5’ RACE using primers inside the SV region. For CCR7, according to the 3’RACE of CCR7 using primers inside the SV region, we did not identify truncated mature mRNA in the Mb/Mb chickens. There are two possible reasons for the absence of detectable truncated mature mRNA of CCR7. First, the CCR7 gene sequences inside the CNV3 region (the first 2 exons of CCR7 gene, Fig 3C) do not contain necessary regulatory sequences for initiating its transcription in the examined skin tissue. Second, truncated mRNAs can be degraded by non-sense mediated decay pathway very rapidly in the cells. It is also possible that the truncated pre-mRNAs of CCR7 are degraded rapidly in the cells. Using the qPCR primers inside the CNV region, we found that the significant difference of CCR7 expression between mb/mb and Mb/Mb chickens only existed in 2-week-old and adult birds, but not in the stage of embryonic development. Since Mb birds are born with Muffs and beard, and the remarkable difference of the Mb trait was observed during embryonic development (Fig 7), genes that underlie the Mb trait probably show different expression levels between mb/mb and Mb/Mb chickens. Thus we infer that it is not CCR7 that contributes to the Mb phenotype.

From a functional biology perspective, HOX genes are likely candidates to have a role in the development and differentiation of integumentary appendages [33]. Loss of Hoxc13 expression in mice causes a fragile hair with an alopecia phenotype [34], and loss another HOX gene member, Hoxb13, promotes differentiation and enhances wound healing in adult skin [35]. HOX gene family encodes a family of transcription factors that have an important role in embryonic morphogenesis, such as establishing the anterior-posterior polarity of the early embryo [36,37]. HOX genes share strikingly conservative sequences and cluster together in the genome. The expression patterns of HOX genes in the vertebrate embryo are complex, usually present in a precise temporal and spatial collinear-manner, which is consistent with their positions in the HOX cluster [38–41]. The expression of some HOX genes in developing skin has been reported [42,43] and the function in the pattern formation and phenotypic determination of skin
appendages in specific regions has been verified [39,44–47]. Based on these studies, *HOX* genes emerge as potential functional candidate regulators for feather/hair development and specifying regional identity of skin.

To investigate whether the structural *Mb* polymorphism might alter the expression of *HOXB7* or *HOXB8*, and in this way lead to the *Mb* phenotype, we performed gene expression analyses using qPCR tests in skin samples at successive stages of feather tract development. These were performed in embryos, 2-week-old chicks, and adults, and other genes present in the region were also included. The most pronounced differences in expression between *Mb* and wild-type chickens were found for *HOXB8*. It was completely repressed in the facial skin, and lowly expressed in the dorsal skin of wild-type chickens. Further, our *in situ* hybridization for *HOXB8* showed a similar result that apparent differences existed in *Mb* and wild-type chickens at the mRNA level (Fig 7). These results strongly suggested a role of this gene in *Mb*-feather development. Some differences at mRNA levels were also observed between *Mb* and wild-type chickens for the *HOXB7*, *SMARCD2*, *SMARCE1*, *CCR7*, *KRT22*, *PSMC5*, but the differences in expression levels were smaller for these genes and none displayed a *Mb*-specific expression pattern consistent with the establishment of the *Mb* phenotype during development.

The *HOX* genes generally have a regionally restricted expression in the skin and have been found in many cases to have a critical regulatory role in hair and feather development and patterning [44,48–50]. The regulatory mechanisms for these genes are complex and not yet fully understood, but studies on loss- or gain-of-function mutants in mice have provided some insight to the distribution of expression and mechanisms involved in the transcriptional regulation of the *HOX* genes [51–53]. The cluster organization of vertebrate *HOX* genes has also been found to have a critical regulatory role as it leads to *HOX* gene expression in a spatially co-linear manner [41,44,49], and mutations that disrupt the clustering or the regulatory module, have been found to cause transformations or defects in individual body patterning [53,54]. At the *Mb* locus, the duplication event of CNV2, leading to a duplication of *HOXB7* and *HOXB8*, did not include the conserved regulatory region inside the homeobox B cluster.

---

**Fig 7. In situ hybridization scan of *HOXB8* expression in chicken embryos with different genotypes at the *Mb* locus.** Skin samples in (A)—(D) were hybridized with *HOXB8* antisense probes, and in (E)—(H) were hybridized with sense probes as the negative control. The ectopic expression of *HOXB8* can be observed in the *Mb/Mb* chickens at the age of E10.5 and E12.

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1006071.g007
Therefore, the expression of the HOXB8 and HOXB7 copies inside the duplicated CNV2 is no longer under the local control of the regulatory elements inside the original homeobox B cluster. The remarkably high ectopic expression of HOXB8, particularly in the facial skin of Mb chicken, might thus result from the loss of repression from the repressive elements inside the HOX cluster, potentially due to position specific effects induced by the duplicated CNV2 sequence. The expression of HOXB7 was, however, not significantly altered, perhaps suggesting that the regulatory mechanisms are unique to each HOX gene to achieve the specificity in their temporal and spatial expression.

Previous studies that have explored the expression of HOX genes in the developing chicken [55], murine [46], and human skin [45] and have shown that the expression of HOX genes is body position specific. A hypothesis that the “HOX codes” influence site-specific epidermal differentiation through the spatial co-linearity manner and in this way contribute to the pheno- typic determination of skin appendages [47] has been experimentally verified [56]. The conclusion from these studies is that members of the HOX family are involved in epithelial-mesenchymal tissue interactions during embryonic skin development and in this way influence the regional-specific skin appendages [57,58]. The role of HOXB8 in development has been described in several earlier studies. It is an indispensable element in directing migration of the lateral line primordium [59] and expressed in various organs in human, mouse, and chicken. In mice, a loss-of-function mutation in HOXB8 caused an obvious behavioral anomaly, leading to an excessive pathological grooming and hair removal at over-groomed sites [60]. Also, ectopic expression of HOXB8 in the anterior margin of the forelimb bud has been found to cause a duplication of the zone of polarizing activity and a homeotic transformation of the axial structures [61]. At the molecular level, Eckert [62] has shown that down-regulation of HOXB8 caused cell-cycle arrest and apoptosis in the presence of IL-3 in a majority of cells. This role of HOXB8 in activating cell cycle and enhancing proliferation [62] supports our conclusion that over-expression of HOXB8 was the cause of the Mb phenotype as feather development is a synergistic effect of cell proliferation and apoptosis. Feather development and regeneration is a conserved periodic process that involves growth (anagen), regression (catagen), and relative quiescence (telogen). The duration of each phase in follicles on different body sites give feather the variable lengths/sizes [63–66]. A similar variability in hair length is observed for the mouse hairy-ear phenotype, which is caused by a ~47 Mb inversion that doesn’t disrupt any protein-coding transcripts, but induces an elevated expression of the flanking HOXC gene in developing and mature skin of the ears [67]. In that study, it was suggested that the increased hair length might be due to an extension of the anagen stage in the hair cycle, thus implying a role of HOXC genes in hair-follicle cycle control. In the present study, the ectopic expression of HOXB8 probably regulates the morphogenesis of the feather through lengthening the anagen phase of the follicles located on the chin. As a consequence, feathers are elongated and differentially developed. Since HOXC8, another HOX gene, whose ectopic expression is reported to have an association with Crest (a tuft of elongated feathers atop of the head) in chickens [3], we find it likely that the ectopic expression of specific posterior HOX genes in facial skin may also take part in the process of feather-lengthening and that there is likely some unknown mechanism by which the HOX genes serve as activators to regulate the feather growth cycles and influence morphology of feather during development.

In conclusion, our results demonstrated that the Mb allele leading to the Muffs and beard phenotype in chickens is the result of a complex structural variation on GGA27. Our results also strongly suggest that this allele leads to an altered ectopic expression of a homeotic gene HOXB8, to suggest a novel role for HOXB8 in modulating the regional development of the feather. These results are another example of how striking phenotypes in domestic animals have facilitated the discovery of genomic structural variants that alter developmental
phenotypes. In addition to an increased understanding about the genetic mechanisms contributing to feather-development and differentiation, it also presents an excellent model for exploring the basic mechanisms underlying the spatial and temporal regulation of HOX genes.

**Materials and Methods**

**Ethics statements**

This study was approved by the Animal Welfare Committee of China Agricultural University. The approval number is SKLAB-2013-0605. All chickens used in this study were taken care and operated according to the relevant regulations.

**Animal material**

Animals used for the association mapping came from two populations: one is the HB (Huiyang Bearded chicken, an Mb broiler line) × HQLA (High Quality of Chicken Line A, a non-Mb broiler line) population including 511 F2, 52 F1, and 22 F0 [17], and the other is the Beijing-You population [18] (a typical Chinese local breed) including 724 individuals. The birds used for linkage analysis and IBD-mapping as well as subsequent gene expression analyses were from the HB × HQLA population. After the second (F2) generation, a deep intercross HB × HQLA population was bred by random mating. F2 chickens were mated to produce the F8 embryos and chicks for the gene expression studies.

**Mapping of the Mb locus**

Genotyping was performed using an Illumina chicken 60k SNP Beadchip. Quality control was firstly performed using the following criteria: call rate of individuals >0.9 and call frequency of SNPs >0.9. Markers with a minor allele frequency >0.05 were also removed. Then, as we had full pedigree records of the HB × HQLA cross, we identified putative genotyping errors that did not follow Mendelian inheritance. Entire birds or SNPs were excluded from the data if they had a higher than 5% error rate in the pedigree-based inheritance examination, and otherwise, only the individual data points were removed. Finally, we checked the SNPs on the sex chromosomes, and all 7 SNPs on chromosome W were removed due to their low call rate. For SNPs on chromosome Z, we checked that no heterozygous genotypes were present in hens, indicating that the genotypes are consistent with hens being the heterogametic sex (ZW). In total, 24 individuals and 15,239 SNPs were excluded as they did not fulfill at least one of the above criteria. The Mb phenotype was recorded every two weeks after hatching until 12 weeks of age. Individuals that had missing values in their records were removed from the dataset. Also, as the Mb feathers grew during this time, any individual’s record showing inconsistency with such a trend was removed. In total, 564 birds and 43,493 SNPs were included in the later mapping studies. A linear mixed model was used for the genome-wide association analysis of the Mb trait at 10 weeks of age and was performed using the GenABEL package in R [68–71]. The Mb trait at birth was included as a covariate in the analysis, and the genomic kinship matrix was used to account for familiar relatedness. To test for a possible second independent associated locus on GGA27, we performed a second association analysis where the genotype of the most significant SNP was included as a covariate in the model. Since no second significant peak was found, we concluded that the association was likely to be due to a single-locus. Bonferroni correction was used to set the genome-wide significance threshold.

For linkage and IBD analyses, we first constructed a genetic map for the HB × HQLA intercross population using an improved version of the CRI-MAP software [72], and then
computed the line-origin probabilities at each centiMorgan (cM) using the trim algorithm [73]. The linkage analysis was performed using MAPfastR [74] with the Mb trait at birth as a covariate. The genome-wide significance threshold was determined using a 1000-fold randomization test. Based on the line-origin probabilities, we deduced the line-origin of chromosomal segments across GGA27 for each F2 chicken. Using a shared IBD analysis, the Mb locus was fine-mapped by finding the shortest overlap between the chromosomal segments that originated from the HB line and that were shared between all F2 chickens with the Mb phenotype, but that did not occur in non-Mb chickens.

PCR-based screens for the genomic rearrangement

Outward facing primers and genome-walking were used to analyze the breakpoints and insertion loci for the CNVs in the Mb locus. PCR primers for diagnostic tests were designed according to the uncovered genomic rearrangement (Figs 3 and 4) located on either side of the evidenced breakpoints. All the samples (Table 1) used in diagnostic tests were collected in China except Dutch Polish, Dutch Polish Bantam, Dutch Owl, Dutch Owl Bantam, Brabanter and Brabanter Bantam which were collected in the Netherlands. DNA was extracted from blood using DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit (Qiagen) and diluted to 50 ng/μl. PCR products were examined using 2% agarose gel electrophoresis.

Whole-genome re-sequencing

DNA from pools of individuals from the founder lines for the F2 intercross was whole-genome re-sequenced. For the pools, HB (n = 15) and HQLA chickens (n = 16) were used. Sequencing libraries (170-bp paired-end, 400-bp paired-end and 3-kb mate-pair) were constructed, and whole-genome re-sequencing was performed using the Illumina HiSeq 2000 platform by BGI (Shenzhen). Sequence reads were mapped against the ICGSC Gallus_gallus-4.0 reference genome (Nov. 2011) using BWA [75]. The average read depth was estimated using GATK [76] (version 3.1–1) to 95 × for the HB chicken sample and 97 × for the HQLA sample. Read depth differences between two breeds were calculated using a 1 kb sliding window strategy. To reduce false positive results, low mapping quality regions (1-kb window average MQ < 30, the threshold value was set to the mean MQ value of 1-kb genome bin minus 3 standard deviations) were filtered. The whole-genome sequencing data had been deposited in the SRA database at NCBI with a BioProject accession number PRJNA306810.

Re-sequencing of targeted genomic regions

Re-sequencing of the three identified CNVs sequences was performed using long-range PCR and Ion Torrent Technology. The samples used included 4 F2-birds from the HQ × HQLA population (Mb/Mb, n = 1; mb/mb, n = 3), 6 homozygotes from 3 Mb breeds (Xiangdong, Beijing-You, Huiyang Bearded chicken), and 13 birds from 13 wild-type breeds (Red jungle fowl, Wenchang, Qingyuan ma, White ear, Wahiui, Chahua, Henan Dou, Chongren ma, Langshan, Bian, Tibet, Anka, and Recessive white). Each CNV-region and the two copies of these were divided into two parts and were amplified using the primers listed in S5 Table. LongAmp Taq DNA Polymerase (New England Biolabs) was used in the long-range PCR amplification. Amplified PCR fragments were purified using the Gel Extraction kit (OMEGA Bio-Tek) and equimolarly mixed. Then, libraries were constructed, and the samples were sequenced using Ion torrent 314 and 316 chips using standardized protocols for Ion torrent sequencing. BWA was used for alignment [75], and samtools was used for mutations detection [77].
Genotyping using pyrosequencing

Genotyping of the T-to-C substitution at 1,707,859 bp that differentiated the Mb and mb alleles based on the presence of the duplication of CNV1 or not was performed using pyrosequencing with primers pyro-forward (5'-TCTGCCCCTGTCTGTACCATT-3'), pyro-biot-reverse (5'-Biot-AGCTGCGTGCGCTGAAAC-3') and pyro-seq (5'-ACCCAACAGCCTCCC-3'). A 92-bp-DNA-segment was amplified with pyro-forward and pyro-biot-reverse primers to prepare the biotinylated single-stranded PCR amplicon for pyrosequencing. Genotypes were determined by the peak value of the signals and calculated using Pearson's chi-squared test (P = 0.05). We used this method to examine F1 (n = 12), F2 individuals including Mb/Mb (n = 24), Mb/mb (n = 12), mb/mb (n = 12) chickens in the HB × HQLA cross, and birds from other breeds including Xiangdong (Mb/Mb, n = 12), Beijing-You (Mb/Mb, n = 6; mb/mb, n = 6), Huiyang Bearded (Mb/Mb, n = 6), and Shouguang (mb/mb, n = 6) to confirm our discovery. Further, we also genotyped a total of 112 F7 chickens for the follow-up gene expression experiments.

Reverse transcription PCR (RT-PCR)

We examined six different adult tissues (facial skin, dorsal skin, heart, liver, kidney, and muscle) collected from F8-individuals (Mb/Mb, n = 1; Mb/mb, n = 1; mb/mb, n = 1) for the gene expression analysis. The skin samples used in the expression analysis were dissected from the two anatomical sites that were listed in S7 Fig. The verification of the expression of HOXB8 in the facial skin was performed in additional F8-individuals (Mb/Mb, n = 7; Mb/mb, n = 7; mb/mb, n = 7). RNA was extracted using RNeasy Mini kit (Qiagen). cDNA was synthesized using M-MLV Reverse Transcriptase and RNasin Ribonuclease Inhibitor (Promega) with 1 μg total RNA in a 20 μl volume. RT-PCR was performed in a total 25 μl volume using 1 μl of reverse transcription products as templates.

RACE

RNA samples from the adult facial skin of the HB × HQLA family generation F7 were used in RACE. 5' RACE was performed using the 5' RACE System for Rapid Amplification of cDNA Ends, Version 2.0 (Invitrogen). A gene-specific primer was used to synthesize the first strand cDNA. After purification of the first strand product, a homopolymeric tail was added to the 3' ends of the cDNA. With two gene-specific primers, nested PCR reactions were performed to amplify the 5' end of the target gene. For 3' RACE, cDNAs were synthesized with 2 μg mRNA using reverse transcriptase and an oligo-dT adapter primer. Gene-specific cDNAs were then directly amplified using two gene specific primers and two universal amplification primers. The PCR products were cloned into T-vector and sequenced using the Sanger method.

Real-time qRT-PCR

Skin samples were collected from generation F8 of the HB × HQLA intercross including embryos at embryonic day (E) 7.5, 8.5, 9.5 and 10.5, chicks of two-week-old and adult chickens. RNAs derived from embryo tissue were isolated using RNeasy micro kit (Qiagen), while RNAs from other tissue were homogenized in TRIzol (Invitrogen) followed by DNase I treatment and clean-up using the RNeasy mini kit (Qiagen). cDNAs were generated with 1 μg RNA using Reverse Transcription System (Promega) in a 20 μl volume. The cDNAs were then applied to specific target amplifications, respectively, using a forward and reverse primer mix with each primer at a concentration of 100 μM in a 5 μl volume to increase the number of copies of target genes. Then a cleanup step with Exonuclease I was performed to remove unincorporated
primers, and the final products were diluted before qPCR reactions. After loading samples and assays into the Dynamic Array IFC (Fluidigm), cDNA levels were quantified by qPCR using SsoFast EvaGreen Supermix (Bio-Rad) with Fluidigm Biomark HD system. Samples were run in sextuplicate using EvaGreen Supermix (Bio-rad) and normalized to GAPDH. The $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ method was used to analyze the relative changes in gene expression. Statistical analysis was performed with GraphPad Prism 6 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA).

**Whole mount in situ hybridization**

Whole-mount in situ hybridization (ISH) was performed following the standard procedures of GEISHA (http://geisha.arizona.edu/geisha/) using the HOXB8 probe (571 bp) listed on the website. Embryos were collected at E10.5 and E12 at room temperature and washed in calcium-magnesium free PBS. After overnight fixation in 4% paraformaldehyde/PBS-2mM EGTA at 4°C, the embryos were dehydrated with a graded methanol series. The hybridization was done at 70°C for 48 hours with a probe concentration of 200 ng/ml. Embryos were washed to remove unbound probes and blocked in 20% heat inactivated (55°C for 30 min) sheep serum solution for 3 h at room temperature. After incubating embryos in anti-digoxigenin antibody conjugated to alkaline phosphatase (Roche) overnight at 4°C, hybridization was detected using a BCIP/NBT color reaction (AMRESCO).

**Supporting Information**

**S1 Fig.** Manhattan plot of genome-wide association analysis for the Mb phenotype in Beijing-You chickens. (A) Manhattan plot for the Genome-wide association analysis of the Mb trait in Beijing-You chickens. The x-axis shows the chromosome position, and the y-axis shows the -log10 $p$ values. (B) A scatter plot for all SNPs tested on GGA27. The peak SNP (rs14301648: GGA27 at 1,745,051 pb) is marked with a filled triangle. (TIF)

**S2 Fig.** PCR-based diagnostic tests of the structural rearrangement. (A) The structural rearrangement on GGA27 was detected using three pairs of primers. Primer CNV1_3_F & CNV1_3_R, CNV3_2_F & CNV3_2_R, and CNV2_1_F & CNV2_1_R were used to amplify a 3138-bp, a 501-bp, and a 411-bp fragment respectively. (B) Gel images of electrophoresed PCR products from Mb (n = 6) and mb (n = 6) F2 individuals. Amplification was detected in all the Mb chickens. No amplification was detected in the wild-type chickens. (TIF)

**S3 Fig.** Long-range PCR based mutation analysis and pyrosequencing based genotyping. (A) The special F2 (96083) bird is a non-Mb chicken from HB × HQLA population. The recombination event occurred during the gametogenesis of its mother. And it resulted in an allele containing part of paternal Mb chromosome started from the recombinant site (1,702,798 bp). Therefore, its genotype at GGA27:1,707,859 bp was T/C instead of T/T. (B) The CNV regions were divided into two parts, and copy-specific mutations were analyzed by long-range PCR. (C) The genotyping results of the copy-specific SNP (1,707,859 bp) were performed using pyrosequencing. (TIF)

**S4 Fig.** Semi-quantitative reverse-transcription PCR analysis of the expression of all genes in the CNV regions in more individuals. (TIF)
S5 Fig. Semi-quantitative reverse-transcription PCR analysis of the expression of all genes except HOXB8 inside the CNV regions. Semi-quantitative reverse-transcription PCR analyses of gene (HOXB7, CCR7, KRT222, PSMC5, SMARCD2, and SMARCE1) expression levels in the facial and dorsal skin, heart, liver, kidney and muscle were detected in mb/mb, Mb/mb, and Mb/Mb chickens respectively.

(TIF)

S6 Fig. Expression of the genes in the region flanking CNV1. The relative mRNA level of (A) CD79B, (B) SCN4A, (C) LOC771308, (D) FTSJ3 and (E) ASIC2 in the dorsal skin, facial skin, liver, kidney, heart, and muscle.

(TIF)

S7 Fig. Anatomical sites of skin samples used in the gene expression analysis. The facial skin used in the gene expression analyses was dissected from the triangular region, illustrated on the left, whereas the dorsal skin was dissected from the rectangular region shown on the right.

(TIF)
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