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Summary

Eubacterium limosum KIST612 is one of the few acetogenic bacteria that has the genes encoding for butyrate synthesis from acetyl-CoA, and indeed, E. limosum KIST612 is known to produce butyrate from CO but not from H₂ + CO₂. Butyrate production from CO was only seen in bioreactors with cell recycling or in batch cultures with addition of acetate. Here, we present detailed study on growth of E. limosum KIST612 on different carbon and energy sources with the goal, to find other substrates that lead to butyrate formation. Batch fermentations in serum bottles revealed that acetate was the major product under all conditions investigated. Butyrate formation from the C1 compounds carbon dioxide and hydrogen, carbon monoxide or formate was not observed. However, growth on glucose led to butyrate formation, but only in the stationary growth phase. A maximum of 4.3 mM butyrate was observed, corresponding to a butyrate:glucose ratio of 0.21:1 and a butyrate:acetate ratio of 0.14:1. Interestingly, growth on the C1 substrate methanol also led to butyrate formation in the stationary growth phase with a butyrate:methanol ratio of 0.17:1 and a butyrate:acetate ratio of 0.33:1. Since methanol can be produced chemically from carbon dioxide, this offers the possibility for a combined chemical-biochemical production of butyrate from H₂ + CO₂ using this acetogenic biocatalyst. With the advent of genetic methods in acetogens, butanol production from methanol maybe possible as well.

Introduction

Acetogens are a physiological group of strictly anaerobic bacteria that are characterized by a special pathway for CO₂ fixation, the Wood–Ljungdahl pathway (WLP) (Müller, 2003; Drake et al., 2008; Ragsdale, 2008). The WLP is a branched linear pathway in which two mol of CO₂ are reduced to one mol of acetyl-CoA which is further converted to acetate in all species under most conditions (Müller and Frerichs, 2013). Moreover, some species can convert acetyl-CoA (or acetate) to ethanol or even to C4 compounds such as butyrate (Daniell et al., 2012; Jeong et al., 2015; Bengelsdorf et al., 2016). Therefore, acetogens have come into focus as biocatalysts for a CO₂-based bioeconomy and ethanol is already produced on an industrial scale using Clostridium autoethanogenum (Bengelsdorf et al., 2018; Heffernan et al., 2020). The addition of one carbon to the chain length of the product increases the value of the product by a factor of 1.5–3, depending on the product (Kim et al., 2019). Butyrate is not a prime product to be produced but it can be reduced to butanol in a two-step enzymatic process and butanol is a highly desired biofuel (Dürre, 2016). Butyrate is produced naturally by only a few acetogens such as Clostridium carboxidivorans (Liou et al., 2005), Clostridium drakei (Küsel et al., 2000; Liou et al., 2005), Oxobacter pleni- nigii (Krumholz and Bryant, 1985) and E. limosum strains such as KIST612 (Pacaud et al., 1985; Loubiere and Lindley, 1991; Chang et al., 1997). The latter has gained much interest for it produces butyrate form synthesis gas (syngas), a mixture of H₂, CO₂ and CO in different concentrations, depending on the source (Chang et al., 2001; Park et al., 2017). Syngas is an industrial waste stream that is already been used as feedstock for acetogenic conversion to ethanol (Dürre and Eikmanns, 2015; Humphreys and Minton, 2018).
However, butyrate formation in *E. limosum* KIST612 was only observed in bioreactors with cell recycling (Chang *et al.*, 2001), but not in batch cultures or only to minor amounts when acetate was added to the culture (Park *et al.*, 2017). Methanol and formate are two promising, alternative feedstocks for the industrial production of biofuels using acetogens as they can be produced from many sustainable feedstocks including biomass, municipal solid waste, biogas as well as CO₂. One major advantage using these two feedstocks is that they are fully soluble and therefore can overcome the challenges gaseous C1 feedstocks are facing due to their low mass transfer. In addition, formate and methanol can also be easily transported and stored.

The methyl group of methanol is channelled into the WLP by a methyltransferase system (Kremp *et al.*, 2018; Kremp and Müller, 2020) whereas formate is an intermediate of the pathway (Fig. 1). Unfortunately, it is not known whether these C1 substrates maybe converted to butyrate as well. Here, we have investigated

![Fig. 1. The Wood–Ljungdahl pathway of CO₂ reduction. In the WLP, two molecules of CO₂ are reduced to the central intermediate acetyl-CoA. Entry points for other C1 substrates are indicated. Acetyl-CoA is the precursor of biomass and a wide range of natural products (blue). The pathways leading from acetyl-CoA to products are not complete and miss intermediates, reducing equivalents and ATP input/output. Only the pathway leading to butyrate is complete. CoFeSP, corrinoid/iron sulfur protein; THF, tetrahydrofolate; CoA, coenzyme A; [H], reducing equivalent.](image-url)
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the physiology of growth of *E. limosum* KIST612 on different substrates with a focus on the production of butyrate.

**Results and discussion**

**Growth with and product formation from glucose**

When *E. limosum* KIST612 was transferred to carbonate buffered basal medium (CBBM) (Chang et al., 1999) containing different amounts of glucose ranging from 20 to 200 mM, growth rates were identical ($\mu = 0.34 \text{ h}^{-1}$) as were the final yields (OD$_{600} = 4.5$). To describe the growth physiology in more detail, cells were cultured on 20 mM glucose. On transfer of a glucose-adapted preculture to fresh medium, growth started immediately with a rate of 0.34 h$^{-1}$ and proceeded for about 13 h, before the stationary phase started (Fig. 2). Parallel to growth, the glucose concentration dropped continuously with a rate of 1.4 mmol l$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$ to a residual concentration of 1.2 mM. In parallel, the pH dropped from 7.2 to 4.5. Glucose consumption was paralleled by a production of acetate that reached a final concentration of 32.1 mM, corresponding to an acetate:glucose ratio of 1.7:1. Interestingly, at the end of the exponential growth phase butyrate was produced and butyrate production reached a steady state at around 17 h. The final butyrate concentration was 4.3 mM, corresponding to a butyrate:glucose ratio of 0.23:1. Even later, at around 13 h, ethanol formation started, but ethanol formation was very small with values in the 0.4–0.7 mM range. In total, the recovery of glucose in all end products was 75 ± 3.9 %, not accounting for CO$_2$. Growth on fructose led to similar growth characteristics (Fig. 2).

**Growth with and product formation from H$_2$ + CO$_2$, CO or formate**

The WLP accepts different C1 substrates with different oxidation/reduction states. Growth of *E. limosum*
KIST612 on H₂ + CO₂ and CO have been described (Chang et al., 2001); the entry points are shown in Fig. 1. Formate is an intermediate of the WLP but has not been described as substrate for E. limosum KIST612. Growth on H₂ + CO₂ or CO proceeded with growth rates of 0.04 and 0.05 h⁻¹; acetate was the major

Fig. 3. Growth of E. limosum on H₂ + CO₂, CO or formate.
A. E. limosum was grown at 37°C in 500 ml of CBBM with overpressure of 1 bar H₂ + CO₂ (80/20% [v/v]). H₂ + CO₂ (●) was determined by gas chromatography as described previously (Bertsch and Müller, 2015). OD₆₀₀ (○), pH (●) as well as acetate (▲), butyrate (▼) and isobutyrate (■) were determined as described in the legend to Fig. 2.
B. E. limosum was grown at 37°C in 500 ml of phosphate buffered basal medium (Chang et al., 1999) with overpressure of 1 bar CO (100%) (●). CO was determined by gas chromatography as described previously (Bertsch and Müller, 2015). OD₆₀₀ (○), pH (●) as well as acetate (▲), butyrate (▼), isobutyrate (■) and ethanol (■) were determined as described in the legend to Fig. 2 (Bertsch and Müller, 2015).
C. E. limosum KIST612 was grown at 37°C in 500 ml of CBBM with 20 mM Na⁻-Formate (■) under a N₂/CO₂ (80/20% [v/v]) atmosphere. The concentration of formate was determined by a formate assay kit (R-Biopharm, Pfungstadt, Germany). OD₆₀₀ (○), pH (●) as well as acetate (▲), butyrate (▼) and isobutyrate (■) were determined as described in the legend to Fig. 2. All data points are mean ± SEM; N = 3 independent experiments.
product, butyrate was only observed in trace amounts (Fig. 3). Cells also grew on formate with a growth rate similar to H₂ + CO₂ or CO (0.03 h⁻¹) but the final yield was much lower (OD₆₀₀ = 0.25). Growth was accompanied by acetate production, but due to the consumption of formate, in sum, the pH increased slightly. Butyrate was not produced.

**Growth with and product formation from methanol**

*E. limosum* did grow on methanol as sole carbon and energy source, as predicted from its genome sequence (Roh et al., 2011). Whereas *A. woodii* reached the maximum growth rate at 60 mM methanol (Kremp et al., 2018), growth of *E. limosum* was already maximal at 20 mM methanol. When a methanol-adapted culture (two transfers) of *E. limosum* KIST612 was transferred to CBBM with 20 mM methanol, growth started immediately with a doubling time of 17.61 h and proceed for about 60 h, before the stationary phase started (Fig. 4). Parallel to growth, the methanol concentration dropped continuously with a rate of 0.29 mmol l⁻¹ h⁻¹ to a residual concentration of 3.4 mM. Methanol consumption was accompanied by a production of acetate that reached a final concentration of 12 mM, corresponding to an acetate:methanol ratio of 0.53:1. Since acetogenesis from methanol according to Equation (1):

\[
4\mathrm{CH}_3\mathrm{OH} + 2\mathrm{CO}_2 \rightarrow 3\mathrm{CH}_3\mathrm{COOH} + 2\mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{O} \quad \Delta G^\circ = -212 \text{kJ mol}^{-1}
\]  

(1)
removes the CO₂ from the solution leading to alkalinization parallel to acidification by acid production, the pH did not drop but increased slightly. Most important,
again at the end of the exponential growth phase butyrate was produced and butyrate production reached a steady state at around 67 h. The final butyrate concentration was 3.7 mM, corresponding to a butyrate:methanol ratio of 0.17:1. Ethanol was not observed but traces of isobutyrate (0.5 mM). In sum, almost all substrate carbon (methanol + CO₂) was recovered in the major end products acetate and butyrate (99 ± 10.3%).

**Conclusion**

Here we describe for the first time that the acetogen *E. limosum* KIST612 produces butyrate from methanol. Growth on methanol requires the action of a methyltransferase system that transfers the methyl group from methanol to tetrahydrofolate and *E. limosum* KIST612 has a gene cluster similar to a previously suggested methyl-specific methyltransferase system of *A. woodii* (Kremp and Müller, 2020). Buytrate production from acetyl-CoA follows the pathways described for example for *Clostridium acetobutylicum* (Dürr et al., 2002) involving thiolase, hydroxybutyryl-CoA dehydrogenase, crotonase and butyryl-CoA dehydrogenase with the exception, that the latter is electron-bifurcating and reduces ferredoxin alongside with crotonyl-CoA (Jeong et al., 2015). As in clostridia, butanol could be produced from butyryl-CoA by two subsequent reduction steps (Dürr, 2016). With the establishment of first genetic methods from *E. limosum* KIST612 (Jeong et al., 2020) it should be possible in the future to express butyryl-CoA dehydrogenases in *E. limosum* KIST612. This would make methanol a promising feedstock for acetogenic production of butyrate.
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