Intrauterine adhesions (IUAs) frequently occur as a result of trauma to the basal layer of endometrium following pregnancy-related curettage such as incomplete abortion (33.3%), postpartum hemorrhage (37.5%), and elective abortion (8.3%) [3]. Basal layer damage leads to partial or complete obliteration of the uterine cavity with surface deficiencies of the endometrium by fibrous bridges between the uterine walls [4]. Patients with Asherman’s syndrome usually present with menstrual disturbances, infertility, or recurrent pregnancy loss [5].

Hysterotomy, myomectomy, Cesarean section, hysteroscopic procedures, such as resection of submucosal leiomyomata or uterine septae, and endometrial ablation are less common etiologic factors resulting in IUA formation. Patients with Asherman’s syndrome usually present with menstrual disturbances, infertility, or recurrent pregnancy loss. A successful treatment of infertility could be achieved by restoration of the uterine cavity, prevention of IUA reformation, and promotion of healing process. We presented the diagnosis and management of a case that suffers from menstrual disturbances and secondary infertility resulted from IUA formation developed after Cesarean section.

2. Case History

A 34-year-old woman, gravida 1, parity 1, with a previous Cesarean section history of 11 years ago, has applied to Yeditepe University ART Center with secondary amenorrhea and desire for pregnancy. Although she started seeing menstruation again three months after caesarean section, she presented with oligomenorrhea followed by amenorrhea for the last four years. Her vaginal examination and transvaginal USG revealed no abnormalities. Hysterosalphingography (HSG) was planned to evaluate tubal patency and uterine cavity. HSG revealed multiple filling defects in uterine cavity and bilateral tubal occlusion (Figure 1). An operative hysteroscopy was performed to distinguish between varying etiologies of filling defects. Hysteroscopy revealed the extent of endometrial cavity obliteration with dense, multiple adhesions, especially located on isthmus and previous Cesarean incision, and hysteroscopic adhesiolysis.
was performed (Figure 2). Postoperative intrauterine device and hormonal treatment (4 mg/day estrogen) was applied to prevent the reformation of IUA. A second-look office hysteroscopy was performed to evaluate the endometrial cavity 2 months after the initial procedure. At the second-look hysteroscopy, there was no reformation of adhesions and an adequate uterine cavity was achieved for pregnancy. Patient had resumption of normal menses 3 months after the initial procedure, and IVF treatment was performed 6 months after the initial procedure because of tubal factor. The patient has an ongoing pregnancy.

3. Discussion

IUA would undoubtedly affect the reproductive outcomes but especially if no other etiology for reproductive failure can be found, a successful treatment of infertility could be achieved by restoration of the uterine cavity via lysis of adhesions under direct vision with hysteroscopy, prevention of IUA reformation, promotion of healing process with intrauterine stent and hormonal treatment, and finally performing a follow-up architecture hysteroscopy or hysterosalpingography. On the other hand, even for women who has Asherman's syndrome with additional infertility factors, it is important to restore the uterine cavity and endometrium before IVF treatment. General consensus on the management of Asherman's syndrome exists on the necessity of hysteroscopic adhesiolysis followed by hormone therapy [4]. Particularly in patients with severe Asherman's syndrome, multiple procedures may be required to achieve adequate uterine cavity. Valle and Sciarr reported the postoperative pregnancy rates as 93% in those with minimal disease; term pregnancy rates as 55.6% in patients with severe adhesions and 87.5% in patients with mild adhesions [7]. A recent study found that the severity of intrauterine adhesions before hysteroscopic adhesiolysis affects the conception rates after hysteroscopic adhesiolysis; and the conception rates in women with mild, moderate, and severe adhesions were 64.7%, 53.6%, and 32.5%, respectively [8].

Although Cesarean section is a less common etiologic factor for IUA formation, Asherman's syndrome should be considered in patients with history of Cesarean section presenting with menstrual disturbances such as amenorrhea or hypomenorrhea and infertility, like the present case. To the best of our knowledge, that situation has infrequently been previously reported in the literature. A case reported that woman who presented with dysmenorrhea developed uterine synechiae on the previous uterine incision after previous cesarean deliveries and underwent a total abdominal hysterecstomy after failure of a dilation and curettage because of uterine synechiae [9]. Another case presented with secondary infertility showed that severe Asherman's syndrome occurred following a Cesarean section and pregnancy complicated to premature labor and abnormal adherent placentation as placenta increta was achieved through a subsequent intrauterine sperm injection after hysteroscopic adhesiolysis and a cesarean hysterectomy was performed because of placenta increta [10]. A case of a 19-year-old patient who developed secondary amenorrhea after Cesarean section and severe postpartum hemorrhage also demonstrated that severe intrauterine adhesions caused by trauma to the decidua caused by curettage and the decrease in blood flow related to uterine artery ligation because of severe postpartum hemorrhage [11].

In the present case, we considered Asherman's syndrome resulting from Cesarean section as an etiological factor for infertility and secondary amenorrhea because of the history of Cesarean section, HSG findings, and the absence of another etiologic factors causing Asherman's syndrome. It is probably related to an adhesive endometrial fibrous process between the uterine walls due to previous Cesarean incision. Because the extent of endometrial cavity obliteration was dense, multiple adhesions, especially located on isthmus and previous Caeserian incision, could be demonstrated by hysteroscopy. We confirmed the diagnosis of IUA formation under direct vision with hysteroscopy which is the gold standard method. Our case was classified as severe Asherman's syndrome according to the modified classification based on the European Society of Hysteroscopy (ESH) and European Society of Gynaecological Endoscopy (ESGE) classification of intrauterine adhesions (1995 version) [12]. We successfully treated the patient with single hysteroscopic adhesiolysis followed by a postoperative hormone therapy. Second-look office hysteroscopy was performed to evaluate the endometrial cavity 2 months after the initial procedure. At the second
look hysteroscopy, there was no reformation of adhesions and an adequate uterine cavity was achieved for pregnancy. Patient had resumption of normal menses 3 months after the initial procedure, and an IVF treatment was performed 6 months after the initial procedure because of tubal factor. The patient had an ongoing pregnancy.

4. Conclusion

As the present case, even with no history of chorioamnionitis or postpartum endometritis, postpartum curettage, uterine compression sutures, the probability of Asherman’s syndrome should be kept in mind in patients with history of Cesarean section presenting with menstrual disturbances such as amenorrhea or hypomenorrhea and infertility because women with previous cesarean deliveries tend to develop uterine synechiae and that uterine synechiae may have an adverse impact on fertility and the uterine complication rate; therefore, the management of infertility puts forward the evaluation of uterine cavity. Moreover, even if there are additional infertility factors, in the patients with Asherman’s syndrome, for the success of IVF procedure it is important to restore the uterine cavity and endometrium. It is also important to realize the high risk involved in such cases during the pregnancy course, and careful perinatal management should be required.

References

[1] C. M. March, “Intrauterine adhesions,” Obstetrics and Gynecology Clinics of North America, vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 491–505, 1995.
[2] J. G. Asherman, “Traumatic intra-uterine adhesions,” The Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology of the British Empire, vol. 57, no. 6, pp. 892–896, 1950.
[3] J. K. Robinson, L. M. S. Colimon, and K. B. Isaacson, “Postoperative adhesiolysis therapy for intrauterine adhesions (Asherman’s syndrome),” Fertility and Sterility, vol. 90, no. 2, pp. 409–414, 2008.
[4] C. M. March, “Management of Asherman’s syndrome,” Reproductive BioMedicine Online, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 63–76, 2011.
[5] P. H. Kodaman and A. Arici, “Intra-uterine adhesions and fertility outcome: how to optimize success?” Current Opinion in Obstetrics and Gynecology, vol. 19, no. 3, pp. 207–214, 2007.
[6] G. Rathat, P. Do Trinh, G. Mercier et al., “Synechia after uterine compression sutures,” Fertility and Sterility, vol. 95, no. 1, pp. 405–409, 2011.
[7] R. F. Valle and J. J. Sciarra, “Intrauterine adhesions: hysteroscopic diagnosis, classification, treatment, and reproductive outcome,” American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology, vol. 158, no. 6 1, pp. 1459–1470, 1988.
[8] D. Yu, T.-C. Li, E. Xia, X. Huang, Y. Liu, and X. Peng, “Factors affecting reproductive outcome of hysteroscopic adhesiolysis for Asherman’s syndrome,” Fertility and Sterility, vol. 89, no. 3, pp. 715–722, 2008.
[9] A. C. Mathelier, “Unusual late complications after two previous cesarean deliveries: a case report,” International Journal of Fertility and Women’s Medicine, vol. 48, no. 2, pp. 70–73, 2003.
[10] S. Sugaya, T. Yahata, N. Nishikawa et al., “Severe Asherman’s syndrome complicated with placenta increta conceived by intracytoplasmic sperm injection following hysteroscopic surgery,” Clinical & Experimental Obstetrics & Gynecology, vol. 39, no. 4, pp. 547–549, 2012.
[11] S. Z. A. Badawy, L. Orbuch, and K. K. Khurana, “Secondary amenorrhea with severe intrauterine adhesions and chronic uterine torsion after cesarean section in a teenage girl,” Journal of Pediatric and Adolescent Gynecology, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 93–96, 1998.
[12] K. Wamsteeker and S. De Block, “Diagnostic hysteroscopy: technique and documentation,” in Endoscopic Surgery for Gynecologists, C. Sutton and M. Diamond, Eds., pp. 511–524, Saunders, London, UK, 1998.