An unexpected diagnosis of primary omental endometrial stromal sarcoma in a patient with acute right abdominal pain: A case report and review of literature
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1. Introduction

Endometrial stromal sarcoma (ESS) is a rare mesenchymal tumour of the uterus. It may also arise as a primary extrauterine endometrial sarcoma (EESS), mainly on the background of endometriosis, with predominant gonadal involvement as a result. Very rarely does EESS arise primarily in the gastrointestinal or extra-gastrointestinal tract organs outside the pelvis, or evolve without preceding endometriosis [1]. In these cases, the non-specific presentation and the unexpected location pose a diagnostic challenge [2,3], and often another abdominal pathology is first suspected. A case of primary EESS arising from omentum mimicking a colonic primary is presented, with review of the literature. This report is line with the SCARE criteria [4].

2. Presentation of case

A 47-year-old female, presented to the Emergency Department with right sided and central abdominal pain. Her medical history included hysterectomy 10 years earlier for menorrhagia, and HRT with oestradiol patch. She reported vague, generalized abdominal pain, mainly central, which later migrated to the right lower abdomen. On examination she was tender along the right and upper central abdomen with localized peritonism. WBC and CRP were elevated (12.1 × 10³/L, 16 mg/L respectively). Sonography demonstrated a hypoechoic, ovoid, irregular lesion with blind end, and sonographic probe induced tenderness above it. Given the acute presentation, and the high suspicion for an inflammatory lesion,
mesenchymal-type lesion, but immunohistochemuistry with a panel of antibodies, raised the possibility of endometrial stromal sarcoma.

Given the rarity of this diagnosis without previous ESS and endometriosis, the past hysterectomy slides were reviewed and confirmed as benign without any evidence of ESS. The current and previous slides were sent for a second external review which supported the diagnosis of primary ESS. A systemic workup was then undertaken. Chest, abdomen and pelvis computed tomography demonstrated a 28 × 15 mm ovoid mass arising from the transverse colon, without evidence for distant metastasis or nodal disease (Fig. 1). Tumour markers (CA19.9, CA-125, CEA, AFP) were negative. Colonoscopy excluded primary or secondary colon involvement. The patient was discussed by the Surgical and Gynaecology multidisciplinary teams. The decision was to proceed with laparoscopic excision of the tumour together with BSO by joint surgical and gynaecology teams. A two centimetre omental lesion was found next to the hepatic flexure and resected. Pelvic small bowel loops were adhesiolysed and normal looking ovaries and tubes were resected. No peritoneal spread or other metastatic lesions were identified. The post-operative recovery was uneventful and the patient was discharged on day one post surgery.

The omental lesion contained a firm nodule measuring 21 mm in maximal dimension. The mass was characterized by multiple foci of ovoid to spindle-shaped cells forming irregular tongues dissecting through markedly fibroblastic stroma (Fig. 2a). The cells were uniform with rare mitotic figures. Small capillary-sized blood vessels were found within the proliferative cells (Fig. 2b). The tumour cells stained strongly for CD10 (Fig. 2c), oestrogen receptor, progesterone receptor. vimentin, WT-1 and Bcl-2. There was no reaction for c-Kit, CD34, desmin, or smooth muscle actin. Both ovaries and fallopian tubes were normal. The morphology and immunoprofile were compatible with the diagnosis of primary extrauterine low grade ESS originating from the omentum. HRT was ceased and the patient was put on adjuvant hormonal therapy with medroxyprogesterone acetate and was scheduled for regular follow-up.

3. Discussion

Extraterine endometrial sarcoma involving an extra-genital site is an extremely rare condition. It is even more rare to find it in the upper abdomen and without any clinical evidence of endometriosis. Even fewer cases of upper abdominal ESS without clinical evidence of endometriosis have been described in the literature. All 20 reported cases (including the present case) reported since 1990 were reviewed. These are summarized in Table 1.

Patient ages ranges between 38–80 years (median, 47.5 years). More than half of the patients were in their fifth decade or early menopause years (11/20) as previously reported [3]. The clinical presentation was ambiguous in most instances. The commonest complaint was abdominal pain (9 cases). Other complaints included

---

**Fig. 1.** (Greyscale and colour). Contrast enhancement coronal computed tomography showing showing ovoid mass in proximity to transverse colon.

**Fig. 2.** (Colour image). (A) Islands of spindle-shaped tumour cells infiltrating into omental fat. (B) Uniform population of spindle small with embedded prominent capillary-sized vessels/or arterioles. (C) Strong immunoreaction of cells for CD10.
Table 1
Clinical features of extratubercular extraovarian abdominopelvic ESS.

| Authors                 | Age (yr) | Past History/HRT | Presenting symptoms                                      | Abdominal Site                        | Gross Findings                                    | Dissemination                                      | Associated Endometriosis (specimen) | Treatment                                      | Follow up |
|-------------------------|----------|------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------|
| Son et al. [5]          | 52       | None             | Constipation, abdominal pain and hematochezia (1 mo)     | Sigmoid colon                        | 3.5 × 2.8 cm polyloid mass involving whole layers of colonic wall to pericolic fat | Local (pelvic, peritoneum, ovaries)                | No                                  | Laparoscopic LAR, TAH, BSO (2 mo later) | NED, 4 mo |
| Wang et al. [6]         | 40       | TAH (leiomyoma)  | Change in bowel habits and hematochezia (1 yr)           | Rectosigmoid, mesentery, intestinal wall | Multiple 1–3 cm nodular masses involving intestinal wall and mesentery | Distant (multiple mesentery and abdominal metastasis) | Yes                                 | Intraoperative chemotherapy, palliative transverse loop colostomy | DOD, 18 mo |
| Ghosal et al. [15]      | 42       | None             | Palpable mass (1 mo)                                     | Transverse and sigmoid colon, mesentery, peritoneum | Multiple 0.7–2.8 cm nodular masses involving mesentery and peritoneum | Distant (multiple abdominal metastasis, para-aortic LNs) | Yes                                 | TAH, BSO, resection of abdominal nodules, and pelvic and para-aortic nodes | NA       |
| Ayuso et al. [7]        | 80       | TAH, BSO (endometriosis/abnormal bleeding) HRT           | Hematochezia Chronic discharge                      | Sigmoid colon                        | 5 cm pelvic mass involving mucosa, muscularis and adjacent peritoneum | Local (peritoneum)                                | No                                  | Laparoscopic AR - > Hormonal therapy | NED 4 y   |
| Biliatis et al. [2]     | 56       | None             | Incidental finding of a pelvic mass at pelvic US         | Terminal ileum, cecum                | 8.7 × 6 cm mass invading serosa                   | None                                              | Yes                                 | Rt. Hemicolectomy, TAH, BSO, bilateral pelvic node resection, omentectomy - > Chemotherapy - > Hormonal therapy | NED 38 mo |
| Rosca et al. [11]       | 51       | Removal of endometriosis implants from ovaries and rectum| NA                                                | Sigmoid, Appendix (2 m later)         | 5 cm mass 3 cm peri-appendicular mass              | Local                                             | Yes                                 | Resection Details NA                | Recurrence 2 mo Long term – NA |
| Doghri et al. [13]      | 45       | None             | Abdominal discomfort (5 mo)                              | Omentum                               | 35 × 28 × 18 cm mass involving omentum 15.8 × 13 × 8.9 cm mass adherent to both bowel segments and left ureter | None                                              | Yes                                 | Tumorectomy and omentectomy         | NA                  |
| Zemlyak et al. [12]     | 70       | TAH, BSO (leiomyoma) Endometriosis HRT                   | Abdominal pain and increased urinary frequency       | Sigmoid colon, terminal ileum         | None                                              | None                                              | Yes                                 | Sigmoidectomy and segmental resection of terminal ileum | NED 3 yr   |
| Name et al. | Age | Symptoms | Findings | Treatment | Results |
|------------|-----|----------|----------|-----------|---------|
| Kim et al. [1] | 75 | Abdominal pain and palpable mass (1 yr) | Jejunum, mesentery and omentum 7 cm mass adherent to jejunal serosa. 4.5 cm mass in jejunal lumen. 03.-0.5 cm nodules on mesentery and omentum. | Local (mesentery, omentum) | No | Segmental resection of jejunum and omentectomy Refused chemotherapy Recurrence 5 mo repeated surgery, no further F/U |
| Chen et al. [8] | 42 | Non-small cell lung cancer, chemotherapy Treatment (5 yr) | Difficult defecation and hematochezia (1 mo) | 1–3 cm nodular masses involving bowel wall from mucosa to peri-colic fat 6 cm mass infiltrating all layers of bowel wall and multiple omental nodules | Local (omentum, ovary) | Yes | Rectosigmoidectomy, TAH, BSO, partial omentectomy -> Radiotherapy Tumorectomy, colon resection, LSO, omentectomy NED 12 mo |
| Kovac et al. [22] | 45 | TAH, RSO (leiomyoma, normal adnexa) | Symptoms of stenosing process | Rectosigmoid and omentum 6 cm mass infiltrating all layers of bowel wall and multiple omental nodules | Local (omentum, ovary) | Yes | Tumorectomy, colon resection, LSO, omentectomy NED 11 mo |
| Rojas et al. [10] | 42 | None | Diarrhea (2 yr) Acute abdominal pain, small bowel obstruction (1 d) | Small bowel, mesentery 2.5–3.5 cm multiple nodules | Distant (bowel mesentery) | Yes | Laparoscopy, 3 nodules resected for histology. No definite surgery described. | NA |
| Cho et al. [9] | 48 | Subtotal hysterectomy (leiomyoma) TAH, BSO (endometriosis) | Difficult defecation and tenesmus | Sigmoid colon 1–3 cm multi-multinodular masses involving all layer of bowel wall | Local (bowel, bladder, ureter) | Yes | Segmental sigmoid resection, regional LNs dissection DIC post surgery NED 4 mo |
| Bosincu et al. [14] | 42 | None | Abdominal pain and fever | Rectosigmoid Multiple polypoid ulcerated masses with transmural infiltration of bowel wall (size NA) | Local (omentum, peritoneum, paracolic LNs) | Yes | AR, appendectomy, omentectomy, TAH, BSO -> Chemotherapy NED 20 mo |
| Authors                | Age (yr) | Past History/HRT | Presenting symptoms                              | Abdominal Site                        | Gross Findings                                                                 | Dissemination | Associated Endometriosis (specimen) | Treatment                                      | Follow up |
|------------------------|----------|------------------|--------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------|
| Mourra et al. [17]     | 61       | HRT              | Epigastric pain (portal vein thrombosis on US)   | Rectosigmoid                          | 2.7 cm polypoid tumour with lumen stenosis, involving all layers of bowel wall | None          | Yes                                | LAR                                           | NED 30 mo |
| Yantiss et al. [18]    | 63       | NA               | NA                                               | Rectum                                | 2 cm polypoid mass involving all layers of bowel wall with lumen stenosis     | None          | Yes                                | Rectal resection (not specified)               | Recurrence 3y. NED at 6y following radiotherapy |
| Fukunaga et al. [23]   | 43       | None             | Abdominal distention (1 mo)                      | Rectum, bladder                       | 14 x 12 x 10 cm ill-circumscribed mass adherent to rectum and bladder wall   | None          | Yes                                | Tumourectomy, partial resection of rectal wall, TAH, BSO | NED 39 mo |
| Fukunaga et al. [23]   | 50       | None             | Abdominal distension                            | Omentum, transverse colon mesentery   | 20 cm omental mass, 10 cm mesocolon mass                                     | Local         | No                                 | Tumourectomy, partial resection of transverse colon | NED 18 mo |
| Baiocchi et al. [16]   | 38       | Ovarian Cystectomy (endometriosis) TAH, BSO (menorrhagia) | Abdominal and back pain (6 mo)                | Transverse and ascending colon, terminal ileum | Large multilobular mass adherent to colon and ileum, with scattered implants (size NA) | Distant       | Yes                                | Colectomy (ascending and transverse colon), partial ileum resection -> Chemotherapy -> Hormonal therapy | NED 2y   |
| Present case           | 47       | TAH (menorrhagia) HRT | Acute RLQ abdominal pain                         | Omentum                               | 2.1 cm tumour embedded in omentum                                            | None          | No                                 | Laparoscopic resection of tumour, BSO -> Hormonal therapy | NED 6 mo  |

TAH, total abdominal hysterectomy; BSO, bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy; RSO, rt. salpingo-oophorectomy; HRT, hormonal replacement therapy; NA, not available; NED, no evidence of disease; DOD, dead of disease.
hematochezia (4 cases) [5–8], change in bowel habits (4 cases) [5,6,8,9], and tenesmus (1 case) [9]. Only 2 cases, including the current one, presented acutely and were diagnosed following an emergent surgical intervention [10]. Associated foci of endometriosis was found in proximity to the tumour in 16 out of 20 patients, but only 3 of the 16 had documented history of endometriosis [5,11,12]. One patient had past endometriosis but none was found near the tumour [7]. The rectosigmoid was the most common site for primary EESS (12/20). Solitary involvement of the omentum is rare and was reported only in one case report prior to ours [13]. Lesions in the gastrointestinal tract may involve the serosa (9 cases) or invade bowel wall to create polypoid lesions [14], with resulting carcinoma-like obstructive symptoms (8 cases). Only four patients presented with disseminated disease [6,10,15,16], while localised lesion or local spread comprised the majority of cases (9 and 7 cases respectively). Eight women had previous hysterectomy for benign pathologies, and four received unopposed hormonal therapy at the time of diagnosis, including the present case [7,12,17].

The association of EESS with endometriosis provides a clue for the pathogenesis of this tumour. Malignant transformation of endometriosis is a rare but recognised phenomenon, and was demonstrated by Yantiss et al. who reviewed 17 cases of pre-malignant and malignant transformation of gastrointestinal endometriosis [18]. Most malignant tumours were metrial adenocarcinomas (8 cases), with one case of EESS. The high prevalence of concomitant endometriosis may have a bearing on the transformation pathway for EESS [3]. The pathogenesis of EESS in the absence of ectopic endometrial stroma is more obscure. Possible explanations include gland-poor endometriosis foci (stromal endometriosis) or complete replacement by the tumour [3]. Another theory is de-novo malignant transformation of peritoneum or coelomic multipotential epithelial cells [1,3,19].

Unopposed hormonal therapy is associated with endometrial carcinomatosis and is related to EESS as well [18]. Masand et al. found that the majority of postmenopausal women diagnosed with EESS and associated endometriosis were on long standing HRT [3]. In the present review four women received HRT, including the present case. Associated endometrial foci were found in two of those cases. No endometriosis was found in the third case, although there was a clinical history of endometriosis. The present case had neither past endometriosis nor pathological evidence of it in the resected specimen. This is the only reported case with EESS on the background of prolonged HRT without history or clinical presence of endometriosis. These findings suggest that HRT may be an independent risk factor for development and/or progression of EESS [3,18].

Diagnosis of primary EESS needs exclusion of a uterine primary. In women with previous hysterectomy for benign pathologies, it is crucial to review previous specimens and rule out a missed uterine primary. The main differential diagnosis for EESS when arising from bowel is some other mesenchymal tumours, especially gastrointestinal stromal tumours (GISTs). Spindle cells in EESS are characterised by monotonous arrangement, compared with sheets or fascicles arrangement in GIST. Proliferation of small arterioles, resembling spiral arterioles of the endometrium, is also typical of EESS. However, the final diagnosis, especially in cases of unusual tumour location and absence of endometriosis, depends on immunohistochemistry. Positive labelling for CD10, PR and ER with no reactivity for CD117 and CD34 confirms the diagnosis [1,3,20].

Although EESS was previously believed to have worse prognosis than its uterine counterpart [21], accumulating evidence, does not support this. Most cases reviewed had multifocal disease, with either local or distant dissemination, while only one death was reported for a patient with unresectable low grade EESS [6]. The clinical behaviour of EESS is similar to low grade uterine ESS. Mitotic index, size, multifocality, and vascular invasion, the known predictors for worse prognosis, do not affect the patients’ outcomes. The only feature that may predict poorer prognosis is high grade histologic features namely de-differentiation or cytologic atypia. This includes loss of typical vascular pattern, pleomorphic spindle or epithelioid cells, in concurrence with brisk mitotic activity [3].

Given the rarity of EESS, the treatment is usually based on the guidelines for uterine EESS. Surgical resection with or without debulking is the cornerstone of EESS treatment. Total abdominal hysterectomy and BSO is often added in order to rule out uterine or ovarian primary. The value of adjuvant therapy is not clear, as no prospective studies exist. Treatment options include observation only, hormonal therapy, chemotherapy, radiotherapy, or combined modality treatment. Hormonal therapy is the most prevalent treatment, and was also the chosen treatment for the present case [3,12,20].

4. Conclusion

EESS can occur without endometriosis and HRT may be an independent aetiologial factor. The condition can mimic a chronic or acute abdominal pathology and laparoscopic core biopsy is the best way to achieve a diagnosis and formulate management.
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