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**Abstract**

The present investigation was carried out in the post-Harvest laboratory, Department of Horticulture, college of Agriculture, JNKVV Jabalpur (M.P) during the year 2014-2015. The Main objectives of the present investigation were to find out the best recipe with a suitable ratio of pulp and sugar for preparation of mixed fruit toffee. The mixed fruit toffee was prepared from mango and papaya pulp at different proportion viz. Comprised of 16 treatment combinations having 4 levels of mango and papaya pulp ratio (80:20, 60:40, 40:60 and 20:80) and 4 levels of sugar (200g, 300g, 400g, 500g), replicated thrice in Factorial Complete Randomized Design. Among the various treatments (recipe) treatment combination C2S3 (60% mango + 40 % papaya with 400g sugar) was found best for organoleptic parameter based on taste, texture and overall acceptability. Whereas, C1S3 (80 % mango + 20 % papaya with 400g sugar) was found best in flavor and C2S1 (20% mango + 80% papaya with 200g sugar) best in colour and. The highest net return of Rs. 271.76 and B:C ratio of 3.11 was obtained in the treatment combination C2S3 due to maximum overall acceptability and higher sale rate.

**Keywords**
Mango, Papaya, Blending, Toffee, Economics

**Introduction**

Fruits are very delicious to be used in fresh, processed and value added forms. Fruits are commercially important and nutritionally indispensable food commodity. Man has kept these commodities in his diet to provide variety, taste, interest, aesthetic appeal and to meet certain nutritional requirements.

Mango (Mangifera indica L.) is the most important and commercially cultivated fruit crop. Mango pulp is rich in the essential minerals, vitamins and other nutritive factors. It is an excellent source of vitamin A (4800 IU/100g) and vitamin C, vitamin B complex, iron, phosphorus etc. Besides, its attractive form and appearance, delicious taste and appetizing flavour, the ripe mango fruit, according to nutritional experts, is also highly invigorating, laxative and diuretic.

Some of the key components that contribute for the production and acceptance of high quality fresh mangoes by the consumer are flavour, volatiles, texture and chemical constituents (Tedjo et al., 2002; Mamiro et al., 2007). Due to shorter shelf life of the mango, it must be converted into various processed products. It is processed to make juices, jams,
squashes, nectars, chutney, pickles, toffees, canned mango slices etc. (Hussain et al., 2005). Papaya (Carica papaya L.) regarded as the wonder fruit of the tropics and subtropics, belongs to the family Caricaceae and is originated in Mexico.

It is one of the most important fruits cultivated throughout the tropical and sub-tropical regions of the world (Anonymous, 2000).

It has got great importance due to its high nutritive value and production potentiality. In India, papaya occupies the area of 133 thousand ha having production of 5639 thousand MT with average productivity of 42.3 MT ha\(^{-1}\) fruit per year in 2013-14 (Anonymous, 2013-14).

Papaya is also a rich source of vitamin A (2020 IU/100g) and other vitamins like thiamine, riboflavin, nicotinic acid and ascorbic acid. Ripe papaya is also used in jam, jelly, marmalade and other products containing added sugar.

Other processed products include puree or wine, nectar (Matsuura et al., 2004), juice, frozen slices or chunks, mixed beverages, papaya powder, ice-cream, toffee, baby food, concentrated and candied items.

**Materials and Methods**

The fresh, uniform size, mature fruits of mango (cv. Neelum) and papaya (cv. Taiwan) were procured from the wholesale fruit market and sugar, vanaspati ghee, skim milk powder also were also purchased from local market used for experimentation.

The unripe, sorted diseased, damaged and off type fruits were discarded. The best quality/sorted fruits were picked up and used for the purpose of experimentation.

**Extraction of pulp**

The mature and ripe mango and papaya fruits were peeled using stainless steel knife and cut into small pieces and then the seed were discarded. 200 ml water was added to each one kg of fruit pulp. Then heated up to 60 to 65 °C temperatures for 10 minutes and allowed to cool. The cooled pulp was passed through stainless steel sieve to obtain a pulp of papaya and seed and fiber free pulp of mango.

**Preparation of toffee**

The freshly prepared mango and papaya pulp were used for preparation of mixed fruit toffee, four combinations of fruit pulps are C\(_1\) (80% Mango + 20% Papaya), C\(_2\) (60% Mango + 40% Papaya), C\(_3\) (40% Mango + 60% Papaya) and C\(_4\) (20% Mango + 80% Papaya).

As per recipe, the mixed fruit toffee, contains mixed fruit pulp 1.0 kg, sugar (200 g, 300 g, 400 g and 500 g) concentration, skim milk powder 150 g, vanaspati ghee 125g as par except milk powder, all other ingredients given in recipe are mixed very well and the mass is cooked up to 80° Brix. Milk powder is dissolved in a small quantity of water and made thick paste and mixed in above masses and cooked again up to 82-84° Brix. The cooked mass is transferred in stainless steel plate which is already smeared with butter and the product is spread into a thin sheet of 1 to 2 cm thickness. This is left to cool and set for two to three hours. Then the solid sheet is cut into cubes of different shapes with a stainless steel knife and wrapped in colour butter paper.

**Storage**

The prepared mixed fruit toffee was stored in dried place at ambient temperature which ranged from 18.20° C (minimum) to 31.90° C (maximum).
Flow sheet for the preparation of mixed fruit toffee

1. Mixing of mango and papaya pulp
2. Mixing pulp with sugar
3. Transferring pulp to pan
4. Putting butter in pan and placing on fire
5. Cooking till sufficiently solid or up to 82° brix
6. Adding milk powder
7. Removing from fire
8. Spreading mass uniformly in 1 to 2 cm thick layer on a smeared tray (with butter)
9. Cooling to room temperature
10. Cutting into pieces of suitable size
11. Wrapping in moisture proof or butter paper
12. Filling in dry jars
13. Sealing and labeling

Sensory evaluation of mixed fruit toffee

A panel of 5 judges carried out the sensory evaluation for mixed fruit toffee. For the toffee different pulp concentration and recipes was evaluated for various sensory qualities attributes like colour, texture, flavour, taste and overall acceptability. The method was given by Amerine et al., (1965) was adopted with a 9 point hedonic scale.

Evaluation of mixed fruit toffee:

1. Colour
2. Texture
3. Flavour
4. Taste
5. Overall acceptability

Economics of the treatment

The economics of the treatments was estimated as per the actual cost of production and the market value of the product.

Cost of production

The cost on preparation of mixed fruit toffee (Mango and Papaya) was calculated by taking into consideration the cost of all the inputs used and expenditure involved in the extraction of pulp from the fruits. The cost of production has been calculated for 1kg of fruit pulp as per pulp ratio along with required different sugar quantity, labour charges, fuel
and other expenses for the preparation of the mixed fruit toffee.

**Gross return (Rs.)**

The selling price for each treatment was worked out with the estimated market value of toffee as per quality and worked out for gross return.

**Benefit cost ratio**

It is the ratio of gross return (Rs) to the cost of product (Rs/kg). It is expressed in returns per rupee invested and presented for mixed fruit toffee.

\[
\text{Benefit Cost Ratio (B: C) = } \frac{\text{Gross return (Rs)}}{\text{Cost of product (Rs/kg)}}
\]

**Results and Discussion**

The sensory characteristics of mixed fruit toffee were recorded and presented in various Tables. The quality of mixed fruit toffee depends upon its appearance (colour, flavour, taste, overall acceptability, etc.). Results of the organoleptic evaluation of mixed fruit toffee revealed that all the treatments were found acceptable during whole storage period.

**Colour**

The data presented in Table 1. clearly indicated that all treatments have slight differences in colour and colour rating value of mixed fruit toffee diminished gradually during storage from 0 to 120 days. Decrease of colour in stored mixed fruit toffee might be due to emphatic browning during storage. Browning of the toffee could have resulted from non enzymatic oxidation of vitamin C and enzymatic oxidation of polyphenols. Similar findings were obtained by Thakre and Jain (2013) in the blended nectar (50:50) of papaya and banana which was acceptable only for 15 days under ambient condition and up to 45 days under refrigerated condition. According to them formation of brown pigment might be responsible for deterioration of colour of product. Similarly Sakhale et al., (2012) observed that colour of whey based mango beverage decreased due to changes occurred during storage of beverage. Similar results were found by Singh et al., (2013) with jam prepared from three mango cultivars. This Different blend ratio of mango and papaya pulp and different concentration of sugar might be the reason of the difference in colour rating values. Highest colour rating value was observed with 20% mango + 80% papaya, perhaps due to dominant effect of papaya blending for colour appearance.

**Flavour**

The mean panelist score for flavour profile of mixed fruit toffee under storage indicated a decreasing trend.
Table 1 Effect of various recipes on colour of mixed fruit toffee during storage

| Concentration of sugar (g/kg pulp) | ‘0’ Day Ratio of fruit pulp (Mango % + Papaya %) | ‘30’ Days Ratio of fruit pulp (Mango % + Papaya %) | ‘60’ Days Ratio of fruit pulp (Mango % + Papaya %) | ‘90’ Days Ratio of fruit pulp (Mango % + Papaya %) | ‘120’ Days Ratio of fruit pulp (Mango % + Papaya %) |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Factor A (C)                     | C<sub>1</sub> 80:20 C<sub>2</sub> 60:40 C<sub>3</sub> 40:60 C<sub>4</sub> 20:80 Mean | C<sub>1</sub> 80:20 C<sub>2</sub> 60:40 C<sub>3</sub> 40:60 C<sub>4</sub> 20:80 Mean | C<sub>1</sub> 80:20 C<sub>2</sub> 60:40 C<sub>3</sub> 40:60 C<sub>4</sub> 20:80 Mean | C<sub>1</sub> 80:20 C<sub>2</sub> 60:40 C<sub>3</sub> 40:60 C<sub>4</sub> 20:80 Mean | C<sub>1</sub> 80:20 C<sub>2</sub> 60:40 C<sub>3</sub> 40:60 C<sub>4</sub> 20:80 Mean |
| S<sub>1</sub> (200g)            | 7.80 7.70 9.00 7.80 | 7.60 7.20 7.00 8.80 7.65 | 7.60 7.20 6.60 8.40 7.45 | 7.20 7.00 6.60 8.40 7.30 | 7.00 6.80 6.40 8.20 7.10 |
| S<sub>2</sub> (300g)            | 7.80 7.40 7.00 8.80 7.80 | 7.60 7.20 7.00 8.60 7.65 | 7.60 7.20 6.80 8.40 7.50 | 7.20 7.00 6.80 8.20 7.30 | 7.00 6.80 6.40 8.00 7.05 |
| S<sub>3</sub> (400g)            | 8.00 7.40 7.00 8.80 7.85 | 7.80 7.20 7.00 6.60 7.15 | 7.60 7.20 7.00 8.40 7.55 | 7.40 7.00 6.80 8.00 7.30 | 7.20 6.80 6.40 8.00 7.10 |
| S<sub>4</sub> (500g)            | 8.20 7.60 7.80 8.80 8.05 | 8.00 7.60 7.60 8.20 7.85 | 7.80 7.40 7.60 8.00 7.70 | 7.60 7.20 7.20 8.00 7.50 | 7.40 7.00 7.00 7.80 7.30 |
| Mean                            | 7.95 7.45 7.30 8.80 | 7.80 7.30 7.15 8.05 7.65 | 7.75 7.25 7.00 8.30 7.35 | 7.35 7.05 6.85 8.15 7.15 | 7.15 6.85 6.55 8.00 |
| Factor A                        | A B AB | A B AB | A B AB | A B AB | A B AB |
| SEm ±                           | 0.066 0.066 0.133 | 0.062 0.062 0.124 | 0.060 0.060 0.120 | 0.058 0.058 0.116 | 0.059 0.059 0.118 |
| CD at 5% level                  | 0.192 0.192 0.384 | 0.179 0.179 0.358 | 0.173 0.173 0.346 | 0.168 0.168 0.335 | 0.170 0.170 0.341 |
Table 2 Effect of various recipes on texture of mixed fruit toffee during storage

| Concentration of sugar (g/kg pulp) Factor B | ‘0’ Day Ratio of fruit pulp (Mango % + Papaya %) Factor A | ‘30’ Days Ratio of fruit pulp (Mango % + Papaya %) Factor A | ‘60’ Days Ratio of fruit pulp (Mango % + Papaya %) Factor A | ‘90’ Days Ratio of fruit pulp (Mango % + Papaya %) Factor A | ‘120’ Days Ratio of fruit pulp (Mango % + Papaya %) Factor A |
|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| C1 80:20                                  | C2 60:40                                               | C3 40:60                                                 | C4 20:80                                                 | Mean                                                     | C1 80:20                                                 | C2 60:40                                                 | C3 40:60                                                 | C4 20:80                                                 | Mean                                                     | C1 80:20                                                 | C2 60:40                                                 | C3 40:60                                                 | C4 20:80                                                 | Mean                                                     |
| S1 (200g)                                 | 7.60 7.80 7.60 7.00 7.50 7.20 7.40 7.40 6.80 7.20 7.00 7.20 7.20 6.60 7.00 6.80 7.00 6.20 6.70 6.60 6.80 6.60 5.80 6.45 |
| S2 (300g)                                 | 7.60 7.80 8.00 7.20 7.70 7.20 7.80 7.60 6.80 7.35 7.00 7.40 7.20 6.80 7.10 6.80 7.40 7.20 6.40 6.95 6.40 7.20 7.00 6.20 6.70 |
| S3 (400g)                                 | 8.00 8.20 8.00 7.20 7.85 7.80 8.20 7.60 7.00 7.65 7.40 7.80 7.20 6.80 7.30 7.20 7.80 7.00 6.40 7.10 7.20 7.60 6.80 6.20 6.95 |
| S4 (500g)                                 | 8.00 7.80 7.80 7.60 7.85 7.80 7.40 7.60 7.20 7.50 7.40 7.20 7.20 7.00 7.20 7.20 7.00 6.80 7.00 7.20 7.60 6.80 6.40 6.75 |
| Mean                                      | 7.80 7.95 7.85 7.25 7.50 7.70 7.55 6.95 7.20 7.40 7.20 6.80 7.00 7.30 7.00 6.45 7.05 7.05 6.80 6.15 |
| Factor                                    | A B AB                                                 | A B AB                                                   | A B AB                                                   | A B AB                                                   | A B AB                                                   |
| SEM ±                                     | 0.053 0.053 0.105                                       | 0.054 0.054 0.107                                       | 0.059 0.059 0.119                                       | 0.066 0.066 0.132                                       | 0.060 0.060 0.121                                       |
| CD at 5% level                            | 0.152 0.152 0.304                                       | 0.155 0.155 0.311                                       | 0.172 0.172 0.172                                       | 0.191 0.191 0.381                                       | 0.174 0.174 0.349                                       |
Table 3 Effect of various recipes on flavour of mixed fruit toffee during storage

| Concentration of sugar (g/kg pulp) | ‘0’ Day Ratio of fruit pulp (Mango % + Papaya %) | ‘30’ Days Ratio of fruit pulp (Mango % + Papaya %) | ‘60’ Days Ratio of fruit pulp (Mango % + Papaya %) | ‘90’ Days Ratio of fruit pulp (Mango % + Papaya %) | ‘120’ Days Ratio of fruit pulp (Mango % + Papaya %) |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
|                                  | Factor A                                      | Factor A                                      | Factor A                                      | Factor A                                      | Factor A                                      |
|                                  | C₁   | C₂     | C₃   | C₄   | Mean | C₁   | C₂   | C₃   | C₄   | Mean | C₁   | C₂   | C₃   | C₄   | Mean | C₁   | C₂   | C₃   | C₄   | Mean | C₁   | C₂   | C₃   | C₄   | Mean |
| S₁ (200g)                        | 8.40 | 7.80  | 7.60 | 7.00 | 7.70 | 8.20 | 7.80 | 7.20 | 6.80 | 7.50 | 8.00 | 7.60 | 7.00 | 6.60 | 7.30 | 7.80 | 7.20 | 6.60 | 6.40 | 7.00 | 7.80 | 7.00 | 6.40 | 6.00 | 6.80 |
| S₂ (300g)                        | 8.40 | 7.80  | 7.40 | 7.20 | 7.70 | 8.20 | 7.80 | 7.00 | 7.00 | 7.55 | 8.20 | 7.60 | 6.80 | 6.60 | 7.30 | 8.00 | 7.20 | 6.60 | 6.40 | 7.05 | 8.00 | 7.20 | 6.40 | 6.20 | 6.95 |
| S₃ (400g)                        | 8.60 | 8.00  | 7.60 | 7.40 | 7.90 | 8.60 | 7.80 | 7.20 | 7.00 | 7.65 | 8.40 | 7.80 | 7.00 | 6.60 | 7.45 | 8.40 | 7.60 | 6.60 | 6.40 | 7.25 | 8.20 | 7.20 | 6.40 | 6.20 | 7.00 |
| S₄ (500g)                        | 8.40 | 8.20  | 7.60 | 7.40 | 7.90 | 8.40 | 8.20 | 7.20 | 7.00 | 7.70 | 8.40 | 7.80 | 7.00 | 6.60 | 7.45 | 8.20 | 7.80 | 6.80 | 6.40 | 7.30 | 8.00 | 7.40 | 6.60 | 6.20 | 7.05 |
| Mean                             | 8.45 | 7.95  | 7.55 | 7.25 |       | 8.40 | 7.90 | 7.15 | 6.95 |       | 8.25 | 7.70 | 6.95 | 6.60 |       | 8.10 | 7.45 | 6.65 | 6.40 |       | 8.00 | 7.20 | 6.45 | 6.15 |       |
| Factor                           | A     | B     | AB   | A     | B     | AB   | A     | B     | AB   | A     | B     | AB   | A     | B     | AB   | A     | B     | AB   | A     | B     | AB   | A     | B     | AB   | A     |
| SE±m                             | 0.050 | 0.050 | 0.100 | 0.053 | 0.053 | 0.105 | 0.050 | 0.050 | 0.100 | 0.055 | 0.055 | 0.110 | 0.055 | 0.055 | 0.110 | 0.055 | 0.055 | 0.110 | 0.055 | 0.055 | 0.110 | 0.055 | 0.055 | 0.110 | 0.055 |
| CD at 5% level                   | 0.145 | 0.145 | NS   | 0.152 | 0.152 | NS   | 0.145 | 0.145 | NS   | 0.160 | 0.160 | NS   | 0.159 | 0.159 | NS   | 0.159 | 0.159 | NS   | 0.159 | 0.159 | NS   | 0.159 | 0.159 | NS   | 0.159 | 0.159 | NS   | 0.159 |
Table 4: Effect of various recipes on taste of mixed fruit toffee during storage

| Concentration of sugar (g)/kg pulp | ‘0’ Day Ratio of fruit pulp (Mango % + Papaya %) | ‘30’ Days Ratio of fruit pulp (Mango % + Papaya %) | ‘60’ Days Ratio of fruit pulp (Mango % + Papaya %) | ‘90’ Days Ratio of fruit pulp (Mango % + Papaya %) | ‘120’ Days Ratio of fruit pulp (Mango % + Papaya %) |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Factor B                          | Factor A                                      | Factor A                                      | Factor A                                      | Factor A                                      | Factor A                                      |
| C_1 (200g)                        | 80:20                                        | 60:40                                        | 40:60                                        | 20:80                                        | Mean                                         |
| S_1                               | 7.60                                         | 8.00                                         | 7.80                                         | 7.00                                         | 7.60                                         |
| S_2                               | 7.40                                         | 8.80                                         | 8.40                                         | 7.20                                         | 7.95                                         |
| S_3                               | 8.60                                         | 9.00                                         | 8.40                                         | 7.40                                         | 8.35                                         |
| S_4                               | 8.60                                         | 7.80                                         | 8.20                                         | 7.40                                         | 8.00                                         |
| Mean                              | 8.05                                         | 8.40                                         | 8.20                                         | 7.25                                         | Mean                                         |
| Factor A                          | A                                            | B                                            | AB                                           | A                                            | B                                            |
| SEm ±                             | 0.161                                        | 0.161                                        | 0.321                                        | 0.158                                        | 0.158                                        |
| CD at 5% level                    | 0.465                                        | 0.465                                        | NS                                           | 0.458                                        | 0.458                                        |
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### Table 5: Effect of various recipes on overall acceptability of mixed fruit toffee during storage

| Concentration of sugar (g/kg pulp) | Factor A | C_1 | C_2 | C_3 | C_4 | Mean | C_1 | C_2 | C_3 | C_4 | Mean | C_1 | C_2 | C_3 | C_4 | Mean | C_1 | C_2 | C_3 | C_4 | Mean | C_1 | C_2 | C_3 | C_4 | Mean |
|-----------------------------------|----------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|
|                                   |          | 80:20 | 60:40 | 40:60 | 20:80 |       | 80:20 | 60:40 | 40:60 | 20:80 |       | 80:20 | 60:40 | 40:60 | 20:80 |       | 80:20 | 60:40 | 40:60 | 20:80 |       |
| S_1 (200g)                        |          | 7.40 | 7.60 | 7.40 | 6.80 | 7.30 | 7.00 | 7.40 | 7.00 | 6.60 | 7.00 | 6.80 | 7.20 | 7.00 | 6.20 | 6.80 | 6.60 | 7.00 | 6.60 | 7.30 | 6.00 | 6.55 | 6.20 | 6.80 | 6.40 | 5.80 | 6.30 |
| S_2 (300g)                        |          | 7.40 | 7.80 | 7.60 | 7.00 | 7.45 | 7.00 | 7.80 | 7.40 | 6.80 | 7.25 | 6.80 | 7.60 | 7.40 | 6.60 | 7.10 | 6.60 | 7.40 | 7.20 | 6.40 | 6.90 | 6.20 | 7.20 | 7.20 | 6.00 | 6.65 |
| S_3 (400g)                        |          | 7.80 | 8.00 | 7.60 | 7.00 | 7.60 | 7.60 | 8.00 | 7.40 | 6.80 | 7.45 | 7.60 | 7.80 | 7.20 | 6.60 | 7.30 | 7.20 | 7.60 | 7.20 | 6.60 | 7.15 | 7.20 | 7.60 | 7.20 | 6.20 | 7.05 |
| S_4 (500g)                        |          | 7.80 | 7.40 | 7.60 | 7.20 | 7.50 | 7.60 | 7.20 | 7.40 | 7.00 | 7.30 | 7.60 | 7.00 | 7.20 | 6.80 | 7.15 | 7.20 | 6.80 | 7.00 | 6.60 | 6.90 | 7.20 | 6.80 | 6.80 | 6.20 | 6.75 |
| Mean                              |          | 7.60 | 7.70 | 7.55 | 7.00 | 7.30 | 7.60 | 7.30 | 7.30 | 6.80 | 7.20 | 7.40 | 7.20 | 6.55 | 7.20 | 7.00 | 6.40 | 7.00 | 6.00 | 6.90 | 6.70 | 7.10 | 6.90 | 6.05 |       |
| Factor                            | A        | B    | AB  |     |     | A    | B    | AB  |     |     | A    | B    | AB  |     |     | A    | B    | AB  |     |     | A    | B    | AB  |     |     |     |
| SEm ±                             | 0.053    | 0.053 | 0.105 | | | 0.053 | 0.053 | 0.105 | | | 0.050 | 0.050 | 0.100 | | | 0.050 | 0.050 | 0.100 | | | 0.062 | 0.062 | 0.124 | | | | | |
| CD at 5% level                    | 0.152    | 0.152 | 0.304 | | | 0.152 | 0.152 | 0.304 | | | 0.145 | 0.145 | 0.289 | | | 0.145 | 0.145 | 0.289 | | | 0.179 | 0.179 | 0.358 | | | | | |
Table 6: Economics of various treatments for mixed fruit toffee

| Treatments | Cost of fruit pulp (Rs.) | Cost of sugar (Rs.) | Cost of vanaspati ghee + milk powder | Processing cost (Rs.) | Total cost (Rs.) | Gross return (Rs.) | Net return (Rs.) | B:C ratio | No. Of Toffee | Sale rate (Rs.)* |
|------------|--------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------|---------------|-----------------|
| T₁         | 39.87                    | 6                   | 63.13                                | 15                    | 124.00           | 205               | 81.00            | 1.65      | 205           | 1.00            |
| T₂         | 39.87                    | 9                   | 63.13                                | 15                    | 127.00           | 295               | 168.00           | 2.32      | 236           | 1.25            |
| T₃         | 39.87                    | 12                  | 63.13                                | 15                    | 130.00           | 341.25            | 211.25           | 2.61      | 273           | 1.25            |
| T₄         | 39.87                    | 15                  | 63.13                                | 15                    | 133.00           | 348.75            | 215.75           | 2.62      | 279           | 1.25            |
| T₅         | 38.61                    | 6                   | 63.13                                | 15                    | 122.74           | 251.25            | 128.51           | 2.05      | 201           | 1.25            |
| T₆         | 38.61                    | 9                   | 63.13                                | 15                    | 125.74           | 319.50            | 193.76           | 2.54      | 213           | 1.50            |
| T₇         | 38.61                    | 12                  | 63.13                                | 15                    | 128.74           | 400.50            | 271.76           | 3.11      | 267           | 1.50            |
| T₈         | 38.61                    | 15                  | 63.13                                | 15                    | 131.74           | 335               | 203.26           | 2.54      | 268           | 1.25            |
| T₉         | 37.41                    | 6                   | 63.13                                | 15                    | 121.54           | 195               | 73.46            | 1.60      | 195           | 1.00            |
| T₁₀        | 37.41                    | 9                   | 63.13                                | 15                    | 124.54           | 281.25            | 156.71           | 2.26      | 225           | 1.25            |
| T₁₁        | 37.41                    | 12                  | 63.13                                | 15                    | 127.54           | 301.25            | 173.71           | 2.36      | 241           | 1.25            |
| T₁₂        | 37.41                    | 15                  | 63.13                                | 15                    | 130.54           | 263               | 132.46           | 2.01      | 263           | 1.00            |
| T₁₃        | 36.18                    | 6                   | 63.13                                | 15                    | 120.31           | 183               | 62.69            | 1.52      | 183           | 1.00            |
| T₁₄        | 36.18                    | 9                   | 63.13                                | 15                    | 123.31           | 189               | 65.69            | 1.53      | 189           | 1.00            |
| T₁₅        | 36.18                    | 12                  | 63.13                                | 15                    | 126.31           | 212               | 85.69            | 1.68      | 212           | 1.00            |
| T₁₆        | 36.18                    | 15                  | 63.13                                | 15                    | 129.31           | 267               | 137.69           | 2.06      | 267           | 1.00            |
It was also clear from the data presented in Table 3, that the higher mango percentage imparted more flavor to mixed fruit toffee. The highest value for flavor was recorded in C1S3 (80% mango + 20% papaya with 400 g sugar) while minimum in C4S1 (20% mango + 80% papaya with 200 g sugar) A decreasing pattern of flavour rating value was observed during storage of mixed fruit toffee for 120 days. The reason for this is production of off-flavour which adversely affects taste and aroma of product. There are certain enzymatic, physiological or biochemical changes, which result in production of off-flavour of product. Similar results were also reported by Punam et al., (2009) who reported that the flavour of bael-mango RTS has higher in initial score but decreased significantly at 90 days of storage. Jakhar and Pathak, (2012) reported that the flavour score decreased continuously during entire period of storage. Similar finding were also reported by Deka et al., (2005).

**Overall acceptability**

The overall acceptability of mixed fruit toffee is dependent on colour, texture, flavour and taste rating of the product. The results obtained showed that highest score for overall acceptability found in C2S3 (60% mango + 40% papaya pulp with 400 g sugar). During storage, it was observed that overall acceptability of mixed fruit toffee was highest at 0 day of storage and it was slightly decreased as the days of storage were increased. Similar results were found by Thakre and Jain (2013) in the blended nectar (50:50) of papaya and banana which was acceptable only for 15 days under ambient condition and up to 45 days under refrigerated condition (Table 5). The reason for rejection is poor colour, appearance, aroma and taste. Similarly Sakhale et al., (2012) observed that overall acceptability of whey based mango beverage decreased due changes occurred during storage of beverage. Similar results decrease in overall acceptability was found by Singh et al., (2013) with jam prepared from three mango cultivars. Similarly, the findings of Baramanray et al., (1995) showed that the organoleptic rating of freshly prepared product is highly acceptable and reduced significantly with increased storage period. Verma and Gehlot (2006) also reported that overall acceptability decreased during storage period in bael beverages. Similar results were also supported by Jakhar and Pathak (2012) and Manshi et al., (2010)
Economics

The data regarding economics of various treatments (recipes) as influenced by various pulp ratio and sugar level has been presented in Table 6. However, clear differences in production cost were recorded with the different treatments and this difference was due to the ratio of pulp and quantity of sugar added with different recipes. In contrast to this the recipes having more proportion of mango pulp and sugar level has recorded more no. of Toffeees. Recipes with higher papaya percentage and low sugar level had recorded less number of Toffee. The highest net return of Rs. 271.76 and B:C ratio of 3.11 was obtained in the treatment combination C₂S₃ (60% mango+ 40% papaya with 400 g sugar/kg pulp) due to maximum overall acceptability and higher sale rate. While lowest net return of Rs. 62.69 along with benefit cost ratio 1.52 was recorded in treatment combination of C₄S₁ (20% mango + 80% papaya with 200 g sugar/kg pulp). Similarly Parmar (2008) reported that treatment T₃ (75% guava + 25% papaya + 62.5 g sugar + 5 g citric acid) was regarded as best treatment for mixed fruit leather, Nalage et al., (2014) also reported good B:C ratio for mixed fruit toffee from aonla pulp and ginger extract.
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