Analysis of the Microbiome (Bathing Biome) in Geothermal Waters from an Australian Balneotherapy Centre
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Abstract: Balneotherapy is an ancient practice which remains commonplace throughout the world due to perceived health benefits that include relief of arthritis, fibromyalgia and relaxation. However, bathing environments are not sterile and natural spring waters may harbour natural microbial populations that include potential pathogens. We elucidated the microbial community from water taken from the borehole, pre-filter water (chlorinated, cold and post-bathing water) and post-filter water at a commercial Australian natural hot spring bathing facility. *Thiobacillus*, *Sphingobium* and *Agrobacterium* were the predominant genera in samples collected from the borehole. The predominant genera changed to *Sphingobium*, *Parvibaculum* and *Achromobacter* following chloride treatment and *Azospira* replaced the *Achromobacter* once the water reached ambient temperature and was stored ready to be used by bathers. The microbial community changed again following use by bathers, dominated by *Pseudomonas*, although *Sphingobium* persisted. No total or faecal coliforms were observed in any of the samples except for the post-bathing water; even there, their presence was at very low concentration (2.3 cfu/mL). These results confirm the lack of pathogens present in these hot spring waters but also suggests that good management of post-bathing water is required especially if the water is used for borehole water recharge.
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1. Introduction

Balneotherapy, the practice of therapeutic bathing in hot springs and thermal waters, is an ancient practice that is still very common around the world; some of the advantages attributed to this practice include the relief of arthritis and fibromyalgia, while many others bathe for relaxation. This practice is commonplace around the world and is especially popular in Japan and European countries such as Hungary, France and Italy [1–6].

There is growing interest in balneotherapy [7–10] and several controlled trials provide evidence for clinical advantages in the treatment of pain [11] fibromyalgia syndrome [12] and dermatology [13], among other conditions. As a consequence, the balneotherapy industry is growing, currently estimated to be worth 100 million euros [14] or USD 50 billion per year. As a sector, the global wellness economy was estimated to have an annual value of USD 4.5 trillion/year in 2018 (Global Wellness Institute webpage). Another author has estimated that balneotherapy has created one million direct and indirect jobs throughout the European Union and treated 5 million patients per year [15].
Bathing water is not sterile and natural spring water harbours natural microbial populations which may include both naturally occurring and introduced pathogens. For example, previous studies have reported the presence of free-living amoebas (FLA), cyanobacterial toxins and strains of *Legionella* in natural spring waters. *Legionella* has been identified as a problem in Japanese baths and showers supplied with hot spring water. The detection of *Legionellosis* in aquatic environments has recently been trialled using a nested polymerase chain reaction (PCR) approach, and the risk of contracting the disease in a hot spring has been modelled. Another naturally occurring pathogen in hot springs waters is the amoeba *Naegleria fowleri*. This amoeba, which prefers warm water environments, can cause an acute and often fatal meningoencephalitis. The distribution of both *Legionella* and *Naegleria* was recently assessed in a Polish hot spring and the authors concluded that their likely coexistence emphasises the potential threat during balneotherapy. Similar warnings are raised by a Saudi Arabia study that detected several toxic cyanobacteria and the presence of microcystins in natural hot spring water. A recent study has reviewed perspectives for innovative treatments of spa thermal waters.

To prevent potential public health issues, it is important to determine the microbial community in hot springs waters used for balneotherapy or recreation. To date, to the best of the authors’ knowledge, no detailed assessment of the microbial community in the Australian balneotherapy industry has been reported.

Previous studies have used denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE) to study the microbial communities in hot springs. One study investigated the microbial diversity in different regions of the Octopus Spring, Yellowstone National Park, using DGGE. Microbial profiles were identical in regions with the same temperature but varied where the temperature was different. The study identified known cyanobacterial populations but also novel bacterial populations.

Recent studies have used metagenomics to elucidate the microbial diversity in hot springs, including a study carried out at Yellowstone National Park, together with geothermal aquifers in Pakistan, China, India, Tibet, Australia and more recently in hot springs and spas in Italy. The similarity in microbial communities in geothermal aquifers is likely to be due to the fact that temperature exerts tight control over the microbial diversity.

To the best of the authors knowledge, to date, there have been no reports on the changes in the microbial community in a commercial Australian hot spring used for balneotherapy. The aim of this study was to identify the natural microbial community present in natural spa water from Victoria, Australia and to assess the impact of both pre-treatment with chlorine and balneotherapy on the microbial community.

### 2. Materials and Methods

#### 2.1. Hot Spring Hydrology and Sampling

Peninsula Hot Springs (PHS) in Victoria, Australia (Figure 1) is Australia’s largest geothermal bathing facility located at 38°24′32″ S, 144°50′12″ E. The Hot spring obtains its groundwater from various lithological formations. The aquifer is lies in a band of older volcanics between the Selwyn Fault and Postulated Fault. A coal seam is immediately above the older volcanics.

The groundwater aquifer is approximately 44 million years old, confined, fractured and composed of transitional older volcanic basalt. The basalt aquifer contains phenocrysts with a matrix of plagioclase, olivine, apatite, and opaque oxides. The aquifer is confined by the overlying Fyansford formation and the potentiometric surface of the aquifer reaches 10 metres below the surface. The fracture of the basalt most likely occurred 39 million years ago when the block containing the aquifer slipped down compared to the block on the east of the Selwyn Fault.
Water samples were collected on 10 October 2016 from three different locations within PHS. A total of 3 L of water were filtered on site in triplicate using Millipore membrane filters (pore size, 0.22 µm). The sampling sites were all located within the PHS facilities and are as follows: (1) borehole, (2) chlorinated, (3) cold and (4) post-bathing water. Briefly the water was filtered through sterile filter units placed on a manifold using an electric pump for nucleic acid extractions.

2.2. Physicochemical Data

All samples (borehole, chlorinated, cold and post bathing) plus a post-filter water sample were analysed by an accredited laboratory (ALS Ltd., Melbourne, Australia) using standard operating protocols for several physicochemical parameters such as pH, dissolved oxygen (DO), salinity, turbidity, redox potential, total chlorine and concentration of metals among others (Table 1).

2.3. Total and Faecal Coliforms Determination

The quantification of total and faecal coliforms was performed with the Colilert and Enterolert systems (IDEXX) [41] in duplicate for the following samples: borehole, cold and post bathing following the manufacturer’s instructions.
Table 1. Physicochemical data measured at Peninsula hot springs from the borehole, pre- and post-filter.

| Analyte                                      | Units     | Borehole | Pre-Filter (Chlorine, Cold and Post Bathing) | Post Filter |
|----------------------------------------------|-----------|----------|---------------------------------------------|-------------|
| Total coliforms (n = 2)                     | cfu/mL    | <1       | <1 (cold); 2.3 (post bathing)                | -           |
| Faecal coliforms (n = 2)                    | cfu/mL    | <1       | <1 (cold); 2.3 (post bathing)                | -           |
| E. coli (n = 2)                              | cfu/mL    | <1       | <1                                           | -           |
| Temperature                                  | ºC        | 44       | 24                                           | 24          |
| Dissolved Oxygen (Field)                    | mg/L      | 0.9      | 7.0                                          | 3.0         |
| Dissolved Oxygen Calc (Field)               | %         | 14.4     | 90.8                                         | 39.0        |
| Free Chlorine (Field)                       | mg/L      |          | 0.27                                         | <0.05       |
| Total Chlorine (Field)                      | mg/L      |          | 0.81                                         | <0.05       |
| Field Monochloramine as Cl2                 | mg/L      |          | <0.05                                        | <0.05       |
| pH                                           | Units     | 6.9      | 7.8                                          | 7.7         |
| Phosphorus, reactive as P                   | mg P/L    | 0.14     | 0.21                                         | 0.16        |
| Phosphorus, total as P                      | mg P/L    | 0.20     | 0.26                                         | 0.22        |
| Total Organic Carbon                        | mg/L      | 1.6      | 2.6                                          | 1.7         |
| Suspended Solids                            | mg/L      | <2       | 3                                            | <2          |
| Total Solids, 105 ºC                        | mg/L      | 3200     | 3000                                         | 3000        |
| Electrical Conductivity at 25 ºC            | uS/cm     | 5600     | 5300                                         | 5300        |
| Salinity, calculated                        | mg/L      | 3700     | 3600                                         | 3500        |
| Turbidity, NTU                              | NTU       | <0.1     | 0.5                                          | 0.1         |
| Redox Potential against Calomel             | mV        | 200      | 180                                          | 180         |
| Chloride, as Cl                             | mg/L      | 1500     | 1400                                         | 1400        |
| Sulphate, as SO4                            | mg/L      | 15       | 20                                           | 21          |
| Alkalinity Total Alkalinity as CaCO3        | mg CaCO3/L| 730      | 690                                          | 680         |
| Calcium carbonate saturation index (no units)|           | 0.12     | 1.0                                          | 0.90        |
| Ammonia, as N                               | mg N/L    | 2.0      | 0.7                                          | 0.4         |
| Nitrate, as N                               | mg N/L    | 0.04     | <0.01                                        | 0.33        |
| Nitrite, as N                               | mg N/L    | <0.01    | 0.02                                         | 0.03        |
| Aluminium                                   | mg/L      | 0.22     | 0.02                                         | <0.01       |
| Arsenic                                     | mg/L      | <0.001   | <0.001                                       | <0.001      |
| Barium                                      | mg/L      | 0.63     | 0.54                                         | 0.55        |
| Beryllium                                   | mg/L      | <0.001   | <0.001                                       | <0.001      |
| Boron                                       | mg/L      | 1.1      | 0.98                                         | 0.99        |
| Cadmium                                     | mg/L      | <0.0002  | <0.0002                                      | <0.0002     |
| Chromium                                    | mg/L      | <0.001   | <0.001                                       | <0.001      |
| Cobalt                                      | mg/L      | <0.001   | <0.001                                       | <0.001      |
| Copper                                      | mg/L      | <0.001   | 0.001                                        | <0.001      |
| Iron                                        | mg/L      | 0.03     | 0.03                                         | <0.01       |
| Lead                                        | mg/L      | <0.001   | <0.001                                       | <0.001      |
| Manganese                                   | mg/L      | 0.072    | 0.086                                        | 0.029       |
| Mercury                                     | mg/L      | <0.0001  | <0.0001                                      | <0.0001     |
| Nickel                                      | mg/L      | <0.001   | <0.001                                       | <0.001      |
| Vanadium                                    | mg/L      | <0.001   | <0.001                                       | <0.001      |
| Zinc                                        | mg/L      | 0.001    | 0.004                                        | 0.005       |
| Calcium                                     | mg/L      | 120      | 120                                          | 120         |
| Magnesium                                   | mg/L      | 97       | 92                                           | 91          |
| Potassium                                   | mg/L      | 68       | 64                                           | 65          |
| Sodium                                      | mg/L      | 880      | 840                                          | 820         |
| Plate Count 36 ºC                           | orgs/mL   | 1800     | >10,000                                      | >10,000     |

2.4. Next Generation Sequencing (NGS) (16S rRNA) and Analysis

Sequencing and analysis. Total genomic DNA was extracted from 0.22-µm filters using a MoBio Power Soil DNA extraction kit according to the manufacturer’s instructions (MoBio Laboratories Inc., Carlsbad, CA, USA).

Three replicates of DNA from each sample were pooled and subjected to library preparation using Illumina Nextera® XT Index Kits (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) with Illumina’s primer set.
recommendation following the company’s instructions. The set of primers were Forward Primer = 5’ TCGTCGCCAGCGTCAATGTGTGATGAAAGACACGGCTACGGCGGNCAG and Reverse Primer = 5’ GTCTCTGCTCGAGATGTGTGATGAAAGACACGGCTACGGCGGNCAG. The DNA from the library was quantified using Qubit® 2.0 Fluorometer (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA). The samples were pooled and run in a MiSeq platform (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA). The obtained sequences were analysed using the 16S Metagenomics app in the Illumina Basespace and Quantitative Insights Into Microbial Ecology (QIIME) package available in the Illumina Basespace. Analysis of the data was performed with the Megan software. The number of reads and percentage of quality filtering was satisfactory for all samples. For example, for sample Postbath3 there were 64,413 reads (95% reads passing quality filtering).

2.5. Statistical Analysis

An Operational Taxonomic Units (OTU) genus-level table was imported in Primer 7 software, (Auckland, New Zealand); the data was standardized and transformed using square root. Shannon diversity and richness indices were also calculated using Primer 7 software as described in the software manual. The standardized and transformed data were subjected to resemblance analysis using S17 Bray Curtis similarity resemblance measure and cluster analysis applied to generate plot dendrograms using the group average cluster mode. In addition, PCA (Figure 2) and Non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) were performed using Primer 7 [42] (Figure 3).

Figure 2. Principal component analysis of three types of water: Borehole, Pre- and Post-filter. Measurements for carbonate and hydroxide alkalinity as CaCO₃. Arsenic, Beryllium, Cadmium, Chromium, Cobalt, Lead, Mercury, Nickel and Vanadium were below the detection limit for the three types of water.
3.2. Coliforms

No coliforms or *E. coli* were observed in the samples until after bathers had used the geothermal water (Table 1). Even so, the numbers were very low (2.3 cfu/100 mL) and within the permissible limits of the World Health Organization (WHO) that classifies the water quality used for recreation as poor.

**Figure 3.** (A) Bray Curtis similarity resemblance measure applied to samples from PHS. (B) Non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) was performed using Primer 7.

Data from this study was subjected to XLSTAt (2014) for Principal component analysis with a Pearson (n) coefficient.
3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Physicochemical and Microbial Characteristics

As expected, significant differences in temperature and dissolved oxygen concentration occurred when geothermal borehole water was used for bathing. The geothermal water comes out at 44 °C and subsequently cools through the bathing process to 24 °C. Dissolved oxygen (DO) concentration increased from 14.4% in the borehole to 90.8% in the pre-filter water and decreases to 39% again in the post-filter water. The pH fluctuated from 6.9 in the borehole to 7.8 in the Spa facilities (the pre-filter water).

Examination of the microbial load in the water samples was assessed using heterotrophic plate counts, as it remains the methodology used for reporting against legislative guidelines for microbial loads. As expected, the number of colony forming units (cfu) increased from 1800 cfu/mL in the borehole to >10,000 cfu/mL in the pre- and post-filter water (Table 1). Principal component analysis (PCA) (Figure 2) confirmed that each of the waters (borehole, pre- and post-filter) was physico-chemically different, largely due to exposure to the bathers and well as changing environmental conditions. Such significant changes in water would be expected to lead to different microbial communities as observed in Figure 3.

3.2. Coliforms

No coliforms or E. coli were observed in the samples until after bathers had used the geothermal water (Table 1). Even so, the numbers were very low (2.3 cfu/100 mL) and within the permissible limits of the World Health Organization (WHO) that classifies the water quality used for recreation as poor when the 95th percentile intestinal enterococci/100 mL are in the range of 201–500 and very poor when they are >500 [43]. These results are similar to previous measurements performed with the same method on the waters by an independent laboratory (data not shown). The presence of coliforms is used as indicator organisms and their presence after human activity suggests that other introduced organisms may also be present, changing the ‘bathing biome’.

3.3. Microbial Diversity of the Hot Spring

Next generation sequencing was used in this study to characterise the microbial community in the borehole water and microbial community dynamics throughout the balneotherapy process, with the aim of elucidating the original water microbiome (borehole) and those microbiomes once the water reaches the surface and is cooled, after it is chlorinated (‘bathing biome’) and once it has been used by the bathers (post-bathing).

Such characterization allows us to know the original microbiome in an Australian hot spring and compare it to similar hot springs throughout the world, as well as evaluate the presence of pathogens in this system and observe the changes in microbial diversity driven by physicochemical changes (temperature, dissolved oxygen, chlorine addition and pH). The result of the Bray Curtis similarity and NMDS revealed that the microbial diversity in each of the waters is distinct and indeed affected by the physicochemical factors (Figure 3).

The bacterial community from the borehole (44 °C) was dominated by *Thiobacillus* followed by *Sphingobium* and *Agrobacterium* (Figure 4). *Thiobacillus*-like bacteria were previously reported to be isolated from Icelandic thermal areas [44] and other strains related to *Thiobacillus hydrothermalis* have been found on hot springs microbial mats also in Iceland [45]. Other thermotolerant bacteria such as *Acidithiobacillus caldus* have been reported in geothermal pools on the Caribbean island of Montserrat [46], in a Mexican geothermal field [47] and in mud from a sulphurous-bromine-iodine Italian spa complex [37]. Members of the *Sphingobium* have also been found in hot springs in Taiwan [48] and China [33], while similar sequences (PK34) to *Agrobacterium rhizogenes* have been found in a Thai hot spring [49].
The fourth predominant group in the borehole water was the *Sulfuricurvum*. This genus has also been found in different hot springs around the world such as Peru [50], Armenia [51], Svalbard, Norway [52] and the USA [53]. This finding indicates the presence of sulphur oxidisers (chemolithoautotrophs).

The specific physicochemical properties of geothermal water differ according to local conditions such as temperature, which is generally higher than the one recorded at the PHS (44 °C) and it has been observed that species richness and diversity is strongly correlated to temperature [39]. The temperatures of water sampled from geothermal boreholes mentioned above were in the following ranges: 65 to 90 °C in Iceland [45], 33 to 48 °C in Montserrat (pH range of 1.6 to 3.0) [46] and 35 to 90 °C in Mexico (pH: 2–4.8) [47]. The temperature of spring water varies even more beyond these locations; 60 to 65 °C in Tibet [35], 45 to 99 °C in China, 71.9 to 90.7 °C in Yellowstone [31], 60 to 95 °C in Pakistan [32] and 48 to 58 °C in India [34]. Other hot springs in Australia (the great Artesian basin, which is a deep geothermal aquifer) are dominated by hydrogen-oxidizing thermophiles such as *Hydrogenobacter* and *Hydrogenophilus*; however, these waters are at 64 °C, pH 8 and have redox values of ~48 mV [36].

Hot springs can be generally categorized in two groups: acid springs and those neutral or slightly alkaline springs [39]. PHS falls in the neutral group. We aimed to compare the microbial diversity in PHS to others around the world with similar temperature (44 to 50 °C) (regardless of pH). The Volcanic Taupo hot spring in New Zealand, the Forest springs in California and the hot spring in Los Azufres, Mexico, have similar temperatures to the PHS. Proteobacteria is the common phylum among the four hot springs (Figure 5). Firmicutes is common to PHS, Taupo and Forest springs while Chlorobi is common to PHS, Taupo and Los Azufres.
Although some phyla are common among the different hot springs, it is also noticeable that most phyla present in the PHS are unique and have not been identified in spa water from other samples. This suggests a certain uniqueness of the microbial community in the samples examined in this study.

### 3.4. Microbial Diversity Changes in the PHS Facilities

Prior to being used for bathing, the geothermal water at PHS is treated with chlorine to a final concentration of 0.2 mg L\(^{-1}\). Chlorination is recommended by the World Health Organization (WHO) [43] and the Australian guidelines for managing risks in recreational waters [54]. Chlorine is the most widely used water disinfectant. It has been used since 1845 to control the cholera outbreak in London and is still being used worldwide by most governments. It prevents *Pseudomonas dermatitis* outbreaks if the pH is 7.2–7.8 and the residual chlorine levels are between 2–5 ppm [55].

Moreover, chlorination of the water is recommended since there have been several outbreaks of gastrointestinal disease in recreational waters in Australia [56] and the US [55]. The etiological agents in those outbreaks have been *Shigella sp.*, *E. coli* O157:H7, *Leptospira* sp., *Giardia lamblia*, *Cryptosporidium parvum*, Norwalk-like viruses and Adenovirus 3.

Causes of the outbreaks are varied and include poor treatment, low chlorine levels or even resistant microorganisms such as *Cryptosporidium* and *Giardia* which are relatively resistant to disinfection by chlorine [55].

Recent studies have proposed the use of nanomaterials (Ag and TiO\(_2\) nanoparticles, graphene oxide, ZnO), photocatalysis and advanced filtration as alternatives to chlorine [5,57]. This is because the use of chlorine may produce some disinfection by products (DBP) such as trihalomethanes, haloacetic acids and halo nitromethanes, chloramines and chlorophenols among others [58]. Moreover, the ‘untouchability’ concept [5,57] of the natural waters has been proposed. Such a concept states that the biological and physico-chemical components of natural spa pools should remain untreated because each of them plays a specific role in the unique properties of the water and their claimed therapeutic effects. NGS has been proposed to classify the waters and their properties, which in turn should aid in the formulation of strategies to manage hygiene [4,6,59,60] and a database for spa microbiota has been developed and can be accessed at mfATLAS.it [6].
The total chlorine concentration increases from 0 in the borehole to 0.81 mg/L in the pre-filter water to below 0.05 mg/L in the post-filter water (Table 1). The presence of chlorine is likely to have a significant effect on the microbial community. The pre-filter water with a final chlorine concentration of 0.2 mg L$^{-1}$ was found to be dominated by *Sphingobium*, *Parvibaculum* and *Achromobacter*, followed by *Pseudomonas* and *Halothiobacillus* (Figure 4).

As mentioned earlier, *Sphingobium lutaeense* was isolated from a coastal hot spring in Taiwan [48]; this species is of biotechnological interest as a zeaxanthin producer which is a powerful antioxidant [61]. Some sequences retrieved from the Tengchong geothermal fields in Southwest China were also similar to *Sphingomodales* [33]. *Parvibaculum* strains have been found in Tunisian hot springs [62] and in the travertine at a crystal geyser in Utah, USA [63]; *Parvibaculum lavamentivorans* has been shown to metabolize alkylbenzenesulfonates [64]. Members related to *Achromobacter xylosidans* strains have been found in the Thailand hot spring Bor Khluen [49]. *Achromobacter* has also been linked to the degradation of PCBs, hydrocarbons, BTEX and crude oil. A purple sulphur bacteria sequence obtained from microbial mats in Greenland hot springs showed distant relation to a (non-photosynthetic) *Halothiobacillus* strain [65]. Additionally, members related to this group have been found in Japanese hot springs, one novel obligate chemolithoautotrophic, sulphur oxidizing strain member of the Gammaproteobacteria and related to the *Halothiobacillus* genera was isolated from a Japanese hot spring in the Fukushima prefecture [66], while others were the dominant group in a bacterial mat at the Naruko hot spring in the Miyagi prefecture [67]. Moreover, other *Halothiobacillus*-related clones have been reported from a sulphide-rich spring in Oklahoma, US [68].

Clearly the chlorine treatment and/or the change in temperature result in a significant reduction in *Thiobacillus* dominance as seen in the borehole water, favouring the *Sphingobium* and *Parvibaculum* as well as other groups of bacteria that may be resistant to the final chlorine concentration.

The *Thiobacillus* population were reduced from more than 50% of the total population in the borehole to below 5% after the chlorine treatment, while the *Sphingobium* slightly increase to almost 10% of the total population (Figure 4). *Achromobacter* seems favoured by the chlorine/temperature treatment to become one of the dominant groups in the treated water.

Before disposal, the chlorine-treated water is cooled to ambient temperature (24 °C) and the microbial community observed in samples from this water was very similar to the chlorine-treated water; as expected, *Sphingobium* and *Parvibaculum* persist as dominant groups but members of the *Azospira* were also predominant. Members of the *Azospira* have been found in a South African hot spring [69]. Some *Azospira* have been found capable of selenite reduction to elemental selenium [70]. Another group also observed in the cold water were the *Sphingosinicella*. This genus belongs to the *Sphingomonadaceae* family and the species *Microcystinivorans* was first described in 2006 [71]. In summary, further cooling to ambient temperature does not seem to affect the microbial diversity.

We also analysed samples of the water following bathing (32 °C) and found that the microbial community changes significantly, being dominated by *Pseudomonas* although *Sphingobium* was also observed (Figure 4). This was an interesting finding and there are a number of possible explanations including anthropogenic origin (i.e., visit of a *Pseudomonas*-carrying/-infected bathers) or the presence of *Pseudomonas* where this water is stored; *Pseudomonas* have also been reported in other thermal baths in Budapest [72]. Further spatial-temporal samples may be necessary to pinpoint the origin of the *Pseudomonas*. However, we can conclude that this post-bathing water should not be used for recreational purposes before the filtering process or before it undergoes another treatment since some of the risks when exposed to *Pseudomonas* strains include *P. otitis* [73]. The filtering process of the post-bathing water that is carried out on the site seems to aid in removing potential pathogens since the borehole samples were not dominated by *Pseudomonas*, despite the fact that the filtered post-bathing water is recharged into the borehole.

In summary, the microbial diversity in the PHS seems to be unique when compared to other hot springs within the same temperature range, regardless of pH. The disinfection treatment with chlorine impacts the microbial diversity by decreasing the number of *Thiobacillus* and favouring *Achromobacter*. 
More importantly, no pathogens were observed in any samples other than the post-bathing samples, which showed some evidence of *E. coli* and *Pseudomonas*. Since the post-bathing water is later used for the bore recharge, it is recommended to continue disinfecting the water with chlorine or a novel strategy (nanoparticles) and the filtering process before discharging water underground.
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