Comparative effectiveness and safety of anticoagulants for the treatment of heparin-induced thrombocytopenia
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Introduction

Heparin-induced thrombocytopenia (HIT) still affects a large number of patients. An estimated 12 million hospitalized patients receive heparin derivatives every year in the USA; approximately one in 40 patients receiving unfractionated heparin (UFH) and one in 500 patients receiving low molecular weight heparin (LMWH) develops HIT.1-3 HIT is regarded as one of the most prothrombotic clinical states with a high risk (at least 50%) of thromboembolism (TE) and even death.4,5 Despite this, the effectiveness and safety of non-heparin anticoagulants for the treatment of HIT are not fully established, and the optimal treatment strategy is unknown. In a systematic review and meta-analysis, we aimed to determine precise rates of platelet recovery, new or progressive thromboembolism (TE), major bleeding, and death for all non-heparin anticoagulants and to study potential sources of variability.

Methods: Following a detailed protocol (PROSPERO: CRD42020219027), EMBASE and Medline were searched for all studies reporting clinical outcomes of patients treated with non-heparin anticoagulants (argatroban, danaparoid, fondaparinux, direct oral anticoagulants [DOAC], bivalirudin, and other hirudins) for acute HIT. Proportions of patients with the outcomes of interest were pooled using a random-effects model for each drug. The influence of the patient population, the diagnostic test used, the study design, and the type of article was assessed.

Results: Out of 3194 articles screened, 92 studies with 119 treatment groups describing 4698 patients were included. The pooled rates of platelet recovery ranged from 74% (bivalirudin) to 99% (fondaparinux), TE from 1% (fondaparinux) to 7% (danaparoid), major bleeding from 1% (DOAC) to 14% (bivalirudin), and death from 7% (fondaparinux) to 19% (bivalirudin). Confidence intervals were mostly overlapping, and results were not influenced by patient population, diagnostic test used, study design, or type of article.

Discussion: Effectiveness and safety outcomes were similar among various anticoagulants, and significant factors affecting these outcomes were not identified. These findings support fondaparinux and DOACs as viable alternatives to conventional anticoagulants for treatment of acute HIT in clinical practice.
anticoagulants for the treatment of HIT are not fully established, and the optimal treatment strategy is still unknown.6

Intravenous anticoagulants such as argatroban and bivalirudin are conventional treatments for acute HIT, which are licensed in various countries. The downsides of these drugs, however, are important: the bleeding risk is high, a constant intravenous line and laborious laboratory monitoring are required, and they are expensive and therefore not available in many health care settings.7,8 Fondaparinux and direct oral anticoagulants (DOACs), which have emerged as potential alternatives to intravenous anticoagulants in recent years, avoid these problems.9,10 Their effectiveness and safety is, however, not as well-established, and they are recommended in less severely ill patients only.6

The comparative effectiveness and safety of anticoagulants for the treatment of HIT is unclear because adequately designed clinical trials are lacking. Evidence is largely limited to observational studies, which in turn, are associated with important methodological limitations: small sample size; not all important outcomes were studied; control groups receiving other anticoagulants were mostly missing; and potential sources of variability such as patient population, diagnostic testing strategy, and study design might have influenced the results.

To fill this critical gap in knowledge, we conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis aiming (1) to retrieve all available data from studies observing patients treated with various non-heparin anticoagulants for acute HIT, (2) to perform a quantitative meta-analysis for important clinical outcomes (platelet recovery, TE, major bleeding, and death), and (3) to study potential sources of variability (patient population, diagnostic testing strategy, and study design). These data will help to appraise the effectiveness and safety of various non-heparin anticoagulants, even in the absence of adequately designed randomized controlled trials.

2 | MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1 | Protocol, study identification; and screening

A study protocol was submitted to the PROSPERO international prospective register of systematic reviews (CRD 42020219027). A sensitive search strategy was developed to identify all studies which assessed the effectiveness and safety outcomes of anticoagulants used for the treatment of acute HIT. We searched MEDLINE and EMBASE through the Ovid platform from inception until November 10, 2020. The following search terms were used: (heparin-induced thrombocytopenia.tw OR heparin induced thrombocytopenia.ti) AND (Hirudins[MeSH OR hirudins. tw OR rivaroxaban[MeSH] OR rivaroxaban.tw OR Dabigatran[MeSH] OR Dabigatran.ti OR Danaparoid.ti OR lepirudin.tw OR argatroban.tw OR Fondaparinux.tw OR Bivalirudin.tw OR Desirudin.tw OR Apixaban. tw OR Edoxaban.ti). The search was limited to studies in humans; no restrictions were applied concerning language or type of publication. Additionally, references of articles were manually checked for potentially eligible studies. Records were screened in duplicate by two investigators (J.K., M.N.) and duplicates were removed. The manuscript was prepared following the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) guideline.11

2.2 | Study eligibility

The eligibility of studies was assessed in full-text by two investigators (J.K., M.N.) and disputes were resolved by discussion. The following inclusion criteria were applied: (1) treatment of acute HIT with one of the specified non-heparin anticoagulants mentioned below, and (2) reporting of at least one of the following outcomes: (a) platelet recovery, (b) new or progressive TE, (c) major bleeding, or (d) death. Exclusion criteria were: (1) review articles without new data, (2) insufficient clinical data, (3) double publications, (4) case reports, and (5) investigational therapies other than the pre-specified anticoagulants. We did not apply exclusion criteria regarding study design, type of publication, publication date, or language.

2.3 | Definition of drugs, outcomes, and other variables of interest

The following categories of treatment schemes were defined: argatroban, danaparoid, fondaparinux, DOACs, bivalirudin, and other hirudins. The DOACs included apixaban, edoxaban, dabigatran, and rivaroxaban. Other hirudins included lepirudin, desirudin, and hirudin. In cases where patients were treated with more than one non-heparin anticoagulant, only the drug administered for the longest duration was considered for analysis.

Acute HIT was defined as newly diagnosed HIT prior to platelet recovery. Platelet recovery and new or progressive TE were defined as effectiveness outcomes, and major bleeding and death of any cause were defined as safety outcomes. Platelet recovery was defined as an increase in platelet count of ≥100 × 10^9/L or doubling of the nadir platelet count, or a 30% increase from the nadir if the nadir was above 100 × 10^9/L.12 TE was defined as a new objectively verified arterial or venous TE or progression of already present TE. Major bleeding was defined according to the classification of the International Society on Thrombosis and Hemostasis (ISTH).13 If bleeding events were reported using the Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE) of the US National Cancer Institute, bleeding grade 3 and 4 were defined as major bleeding.14 All events that were observed within the observation time defined by the primary study were counted.

Anticipating that factors related to the study design of the primary studies might have affected the outcomes, we defined several potential sources of variability for sensitivity analyses. First, different strategies to diagnose HIT were addressed by creating the following groups of studies: (1) clinically suspected HIT, (2) HIT diagnosed using heparin-platelet-factor-4 antibody tests (H/PF4), and (3) HIT diagnosed by a washed platelet assay (serotonin release assay, SRA, or heparin-induced platelet-aggregation assay, HIPA). Second, different
study populations were considered by grouping studies into (a) patients with HIT complicated by thrombosis at inclusion (HITT), (b) patients with isolated HIT without thrombosis (HIT), and (c) mixed patients. The study design was categorized into (a) prospective observational studies (including single-arm interventional studies), (b) retrospective observational studies, and (c) randomized controlled trials (RCTs). Article types were classified as either (a) journal articles (published in a peer-reviewed scientific journal), or (b) congress abstracts.

2.4 | Data extraction

The following data were extracted by two investigators in parallel (J.K., M.N.): first author, year of publication, type of publication, study design, number of participants, the population of participants, the diagnostic test used, age, drug, observation time, number of patients included in each treatment group, the number of patients with (a) platelet recovery, (b) new or progressive TE, (c) major bleeding, and (d) death. Data were collected per treatment group. The extracted data were exported to a spreadsheet and checked for errors by a third author (H.N.).

2.5 | Assessment of methodological quality and risk of bias

The quality of the primary articles and the risk of bias were independently assessed by J.K., H.N., and M.N. using an adaptation of the Newcastle-Ottawa-Scale (NOS). The NOS is an established tool for the assessment of the risk of bias in non-randomized observational studies, which was adapted to fit our research question. Three different domains were assessed with several signaling questions. Points were assigned for each domain as follows: selection of
patients (up to three points), comparability between study groups (up to two points), and outcome measures (up to three points). Studies with a score of ≥6 points, 3–5 points, and ≤2 points were considered low risk of bias, medium risk of bias, and high risk of bias, respectively. If the investigators did not agree, disputes were resolved by discussion. The adapted NOS template is reported in the supplementary material. Contour-enhanced funnel plots were created for each of the outcomes to assess publication bias.

2.6 Synthesis of data

Statistical analyses were done with the “meta” and “metafor” package for “R”. The principal summary measure considered for this meta-analysis was the proportion of patients with the outcomes of interest (platelet recovery, TE, major bleeding, and death). The log-transformed proportions were pooled using a random-effects model based on a random intercept logistic regression model. A random-effect model was chosen since we expected high heterogeneity among the studies. We decided against a Freeman-Tukey double arcsine transformation to avoid misleading results due to differences in study size. Corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CI) were calculated. The proportions and corresponding 95% CI were reported back-transformed. Heterogeneity between studies was tested using Higgins I². We constructed forest plots displaying the pooled percentage for each of the outcomes. To explore potential sources of variability and bias, we pooled proportions separately for each level of the variable and created forest plots. 95% CI and Higgins I² were also calculated for each of the levels.

3 RESULTS

3.1 Study identification and selection

The literature search identified 3190 records (MEDLINE: \( n = 1207 \); EMBASE: \( n = 1983 \)) and an additional five were found after a manual search of the bibliographies of eligible studies. After removing duplicates, 2091 records were screened. One thousand six hundred ninety articles were excluded because they did not meet the inclusion criteria or focused on an unrelated topic. Four hundred and one articles were assessed in full-text. Of these, 309 were excluded because no new data were given (\( n = 130 \)), insufficient clinical data reported (\( n = 44 \)), double publication (\( n = 81 \)), case reports only (\( n = 9 \)), or used an investigational therapy other than one of the pre-specified anticoagulants (\( n = 45 \)). Ninety-two articles reporting on 4698 patients in 119 study groups were eventually included. A flow-diagram of the articles is illustrated in Figure 1.

3.2 Study characteristics and patients

The study design was prospective in 12 publications, retrospective in 78 publications, and a RCT was conducted in two cases. One hundred and nineteen treatment groups were identified. Seventeen studies were published as congress abstracts and 75 as journal articles. The number of participants ranged from two to 697 and the publication date between 1995 and 2020. The observation time ranged from a few days to 5 years after discharge. Platelet recovery was reported in 63 treatment groups, TE in 101, major bleeding in 96, and death in 100. The mean age of the participants ranged from 5 months to 73.7 years. HIT was diagnosed
using a washed platelet assay (SRA/HIPA) in 20 treatment groups, a heparin/PF4 antibody assay in 42 treatment groups, and clinical characteristics alone in 57 groups. The study population consisted of patients with thrombosis in 16 treatment groups, patients without thrombosis in 13 treatment groups, mixed patients in 67 groups, and not specified in 23 treatment groups. Argatroban was used in 39 groups, danaparoid in 19 groups, fondaparinux in 19 groups, DOACs in eight groups, bivalirudin in 13 groups, and other hirudins in 21 groups. Out of eight groups utilizing DOACs, rivaroxaban was used in five groups, apixaban in two groups, and dabigatran in one group. Detailed study characteristics are given in Table S1 of the supplementary material.

3.3 Methodological quality

The risk of bias was high in 66 out of 91 studies according to the adapted NOS score. It was medium in 25 studies and low in only one study. Common study limitations included lack of confirmation of whether patients received the treatment, which was prescribed, lack of appropriate control groups, and short follow-up period. A summary plot of the risk of bias is displayed in Figure 2 and a detailed traffic light plot can be seen in Figure S2 (supplementary material). Contour-enhanced funnel plots are given in Figure S3; asymmetry is present on visual inspection of each of the outcomes.

3.4 Effectiveness: platelet recovery and new or progressive TE

The pooled rates of platelet recovery for each of the drugs are illustrated in Figure 3(A). They ranged from 0.74 with bivalirudin (95% CI: 0.58, 0.85; $I^2 = 90.3$%; based on 509 patients) to 0.99 with fondaparinux (95% CI: 0.90, 1.00; $I^2 = 44.7$%, $n = 351$). The pooled...
platelet recovery rates were 0.81 (95% CI: 0.58, 0.93; \( I^2 = 41.8\% \), \( n = 247 \)) for argatroban, 0.90 (95% CI: 0.78, 0.95; \( I^2 = 60.9\% \), \( n = 359 \)) for danaparoid, 0.96 for DOACs (95% CI: 0.88, 0.99; \( I^2 = 0.0\% \), \( n = 74 \)), and 0.97 (95% CI: 0.79, 1.00, \( I^2 = 0.00\% \), \( n = 144 \)) for other hirudins. CIs were overlapping for most drugs. The CI for bivalirudin, however, did not overlap with the CI for fondaparinux or DOACs.

The TE rates are displayed for all drugs in Figure 3(B). Even though rates ranged from 0.01 (95% CI: 0.00, 0.13; \( I^2 = 0.0\% \), \( n = 241 \)) with fondaparinux to 0.07 (95% CI: 0.04, 0.13; \( I^2 = 2.88\% \), \( n = 506 \)) with danaparoid, CIs were largely overlapping. The rate was 0.05 (95% CI: 0.03, 0.09; \( I^2 = 35.27\% \), \( n = 1733 \)) with argatroban, 0.03 (95% CI: 0.01, 0.08; \( I^2 = 0.0\% \), \( n = 124 \)) with DOACs, 0.04 (95% CI: 0.02, 0.08; \( I^2 = 31.7\% \), \( n = 688 \)) with bivalirudin, and 0.04 (95% CI: 0.02, 0.08; \( I^2 = 32.5\% \), \( n = 788 \)) with other hirudins.

### 3.5 Safety: major bleedings and deaths

Pooled proportions of patients with major bleeding are illustrated in Figure 3(C). The range of proportions was 0.01 (95% CI: 0.00, 0.22; \( I^2 = 88.1\% \), \( n = 762 \)) for bivalirudin. The rates were 0.08 (95% CI: 0.05, 0.11; \( I^2 = 44.6\% \), \( n = 1100 \)) for argatroban, 0.05 (95% CI: 0.02, 0.14; \( I^2 = 70.7\% \), \( n = 551 \)) for danaparoid, 0.07 for fondaparinux (95% CI: 0.03, 0.16; \( I^2 = 28.2\% \), \( n = 355 \)), and 0.09 (95% CI: 0.05, 0.17; \( I^2 = 68.5\% \), \( n = 772 \)) for other hirudins. The CIs were overlapping for all drugs.

The pooled death rates for each of the anticoagulants are displayed in Figure 3(D). They ranged from 0.07 (95% CI: 0.02, 0.18; \( I^2 = 24.4\% \), \( n = 266 \)) with fondaparinux to 0.19 (95% CI: 0.15, 0.23; \( I^2 = 40.3\% \), \( n = 818 \)) with bivalirudin. They were 0.10 with argatroban (95% CI: 0.06, 0.18; \( I^2 = 73.7\% \), \( n = 1573 \)), 0.13 with danaparoid (95% CI: 0.07, 0.23; \( I^2 = 73.6\% \), \( n = 602 \)), 0.16 with DOACs (95% CI: 0.11, 0.24; \( I^2 = 0.00\% \), \( n = 124 \)), and 0.12 (95% CI: 0.07, 0.20; \( I^2 = 71.3\% \), \( n = 707 \)) with other hirudins. The CIs of the drugs were overlapping.

### 3.6 Sources of variability

Hypothesizing that characteristics of study design, patient population, diagnostic tests, and type of publication might have influenced the results, we conducted sensitivity analyses with respect to these
variables. The type of diagnostic test (SRA/ HIPA vs H/PF4 immunoassay vs clinical criteria) did not affect the proportions of platelet recovery, TE recurrence, major bleeding, or death (Figure 4(A)); CI measures were widely overlapping. The study population (patients with TE vs without TE vs mixed patients) did not influence the results of the outcomes and CI measures were overlapping (Figure 4(B)). In the case of the study design used (prospective vs retrospective vs RCT), no apparent differences were found (Figure 4(C)). The CI of the RCT group was wide since this group included the lowest number of studies and patients. The article type (journal article vs. conference abstract) showed widely overlapping CI as well (Figure 4(D)).

4 | DISCUSSION

In a comprehensive systematic review and meta-analysis, we (a) summarized data of 119 study groups reporting on 4698 patients treated with various non-heparin anticoagulants for acute HIT, (b) performed quantitative meta-analyses of key clinical outcomes (platelet recovery, TE, major bleeding, and death), and (c) studied the influence of potential sources of variability (patient population, diagnostic testing strategies, study design, and type of publication). The rates of platelet recovery, new or progressive TE, major bleeding, and death were mostly similar between drugs. Fondaparinux and DOACs appear to be equally safe and effective compared to intravenous anticoagulants. These findings were not affected by (a) patient populations, (b) diagnostic testing strategies, (c) the study design, or (d) type of publication.

Few previous publications summarized observational data on the treatment of acute HIT. Sun et al. included nine studies with 689 participants comparing argatroban to bivalirudin and lepirudin, and observing similar numbers of bleeding events and TE. Bhatt et al. pooled the data of 43 patients treated with fondaparinux for acute HIT following cardiovascular interventions and reported TE recurrence estimates (5%) and bleeding (7%). Similar results were found in a broader meta-analysis of fondaparinux by Linkins et al. including nine studies and 118 patients. Estimates for DOAC-treated patients were calculated in a meta-analysis by Shatzel et al. (n = 54); however, 78% were initially treated with parenteral anticoagulants. A bleeding rate of 5.5% and no deaths were reported.

Our investigation has several strengths, which are in contrast to previous publications mentioned above. First, we conducted a comprehensive literature search, including all studies available without restrictions on population, type of article, publication date, or language. Secondly, all non-heparin anticoagulants used for the treatment of acute HIT were considered. Thirdly, quantitative meta-analyses of important clinical outcomes were conducted (platelet recovery, new or progressive TE, major bleeding, and death). Fourthly, we studied the influence of potential sources of variability (patient population, diagnostic testing strategy, study design, and type of publication).

Several limitations appear; most of them are inherent issues to any meta-analytic approach. First, our analysis relies on data obtained in various primary studies and the methodology of these studies is limited (e.g., small studies without control groups). Adequately designed randomized controlled trials are not available and the risk of bias was low in one study only. Excluding all other studies would make any meta-analysis and meaningful interpretation impossible. As long as high-quality data are not available, we aimed to summarize and pool all published clinical data, thus supporting clinical decision-making and development of future treatment guidelines. Following current recommendations, we addressed the possible risk of bias due to the primary studies’ methodological limitations by conducting several sensitivity analyses. We repeated the analysis in studies (a) with a more accurate testing strategy (SRA/HIPA), (b) different patient populations, (c) study designs, and (d) study population without identifying major differences. However, we cannot fully exclude that this might have introduced any bias. Secondly, we were not able to conduct a sensitivity analysis considering differences in observation time among studies. It varied substantially among publications and was not reported precisely in many studies (e.g., “hospital stay”). We cannot exclude that differences in observation times among different drugs might have introduced a risk of bias. Thirdly, contour-enhanced funnel plots showed asymmetry, suggesting a potential under-reporting of unfavorable results in small studies. However, it would have affected small studies, only marginally contributing to the overall estimates. Fourthly, various dosing schemes were reported for the same drug but establishing dose–response relationships were outside the scope of this work. Fifthly, we grouped all DOACs together because few studies were available; thus we cannot exclude that there may be differences in effectiveness and safety among different DOACs. Finally, a certain degree of selection bias might be present. Considering that fondaparinux and DOACs could have been given to a less ill patient population, resulting in more favorable outcomes for these drugs. Likewise, if sicker patients received drugs like bivalirudin or argatroban, this could bias the results against these agents.

Even though the level of evidence is sparse for some of the drugs (e.g., argatroban), the results of the current systematic review and meta-analysis suggest that there are no major differences among non-heparin anticoagulants for treatment of acute HIT with respect to safety and effectiveness. These findings support fondaparinux and DOACs as viable alternatives to conventional anticoagulants for treatment of acute HIT in clinical practice. Fondaparinux and DOACs are cost-effective, easy-to-manage, and potentially safer than intravenous anticoagulants. Ideally, this hypothesis should be tested in an adequately designed RCT. However, given that conducting RCTs in patients with HIT remains extremely difficult, our results represent the best level of evidence available. Future high-quality observational studies will improve the findings of meta-analyses and we encourage investigators of observational studies to conduct longer follow-up, refine the measurements of clinical outcomes, and improve reporting of results.

In conclusion, pooling data from 119 treatment groups and 4698 patients to estimate important clinical outcomes (platelet recovery, TE, major bleeding, death), we did not identify major differences among non-heparin anticoagulants for the treatment of acute HIT. These findings were not affected by the patient population, diagnostic
testing strategies, study design, or type of publication. Our results support fondaparinux and DOACs as viable alternatives to conventional agents for the treatment of acute HIT in clinical practice. In the absence of adequately designed RCTs, these findings represent the best level of evidence available.
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