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Abstract. Two-particle high-$p_T$ triggered correlations in $Cu + Cu$ and $Au + Au$ collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 62$ GeV and $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV in STAR at RHIC are presented. The $N_{part}$, $p_T^{associated}$, and $p_T^{trigger}$ dependence of the yield per trigger in the Jet and Ridge components of the near-side is investigated for $h$, $K^0_S$, $\Lambda$, and $\Xi$ trigger and associated particles. The system and energy dependence of these components is a potentially powerful tool to distinguish between models used to describe their production.

1. Data analysis and results

Previous studies in $Au + Au$ collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV demonstrated that the near-side peak in high-$p_T$ triggered correlations can be decomposed into two structures. The Jet is narrow in both azimuth ($\Delta \phi$) and pseudorapidity ($\Delta \eta$), similar to what is observed in $d + Au$, while the Ridge is narrow in azimuth but broad in pseudorapidity. The Jet component is similar to that expected from vacuum fragmentation, whereas the Ridge has properties similar to the bulk$^{[1, 2]}$. Comparing data from $Au + Au$ and $Cu + Cu$ collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 62$ GeV and $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV tests whether these conclusions are robust.

Several mechanisms have been proposed for the production of the Ridge$^{[3, 4, 5, 6]}$. These models have yielded few calculations which can be directly compared to data, in part because of the number of factors which must be considered when theoretically calculating the experimentally measured quantities. These data should provide a good test of models for the production of the Jet and Ridge because trends expected with changing collision energy and in nuclei collided in a given model should be easier to calculate theoretically.

The distribution of associated particles relative to a high-$p_T$ trigger particle in azimuth ($\Delta \phi$) and pseudorapidity ($\Delta \eta$) is normalized per trigger. The elliptic flow ($v_2$) modulated background is subtracted assuming a two-component model and using the Zero-Yield-At-Minimum (ZYAM) method$^{[7]}$. The background is set by averaging over three points near the minimum at $\Delta \phi \approx 1$. The validity of this assumption is checked by comparing to the ZYAM method with one point and by allowing the background level
Figure 1: Energy and system dependence of yields for h-h correlations. Dependence of Jet yield on (a) $p_T^{\text{trigger}}$, (b) $p_T^{\text{associated}}$, (c) $N_{\text{part}}$, (d) Ridge yield on $N_{\text{part}}$, (e) Ridge/Jet on $N_{\text{part}}$. 3.0 < $p_T^{\text{trigger}}$ < 6.0 GeV/c except for (a) and 1.5 GeV/c < $p_T^{\text{associated}}$ < $p_T^{\text{trigger}}$ except for (b). Data from the fits in (b) are shown in table 1. Colour online.

to vary in a fit. All correlations are corrected for the detector efficiency of the associated particle. The Ridge yield is given for $|\Delta \eta| < 1.75$ and $|\Delta \phi| < 1$ and the Jet yield is extracted in the range $|\Delta \eta| < 0.75$. The $K^0_S$, $\Lambda$, and $\Xi$ are identified by reconstruction of their decay vertices in the STAR TPC. [8] provides a more complete description of both yield extraction and particle identification. Unless otherwise noted, 1.5 GeV/c < $p_T^{\text{associated}}$ < $p_T^{\text{trigger}}$ and 3.0 < $p_T^{\text{trigger}}$ < 6.0 GeV/c. The data from Au + Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV are from [1].

A systematic error due a detector effect, discussed in greater detail in [9], which causes lost tracks at small $\Delta \phi$ and small $\Delta \eta$ has not yet been taken into account. This effect, on the order of 10%, is greater in higher multiplicity environments, at lower $p_T^{\text{associated}}$ and $p_T^{\text{trigger}}$, and for particles identified by the reconstruction of decay vertices, and therefore reduces the Jet yield more for $K^0_S$ and $\Lambda$ than h and more in Au + Au than in Cu + Cu collisions. The degree of correlation between systematic errors on the Ridge yield for different particle species due to $v_2$ is still being studied. These systematic errors affect both identified trigger and associated particle studies.

The Jet yield per trigger is shown as a function of $p_T^{\text{trigger}}$ in figure 1a, as a function of $p_T^{\text{associated}}$ in figure 1b, and as a function of $N_{\text{part}}$ in figure 1c. The Jet yield at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 62$ GeV is considerably lower than that at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV. However, similar features are observed at both energies; the Jet yield rises with $p_T^{\text{trigger}}$, decreases steeply with $p_T^{\text{associated}}$, and is independent of $N_{\text{part}}$. The data in table 1 demonstrate that the Cu + Cu and Au + Au inverse slope parameters are within error at the same $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$.
Figure 2: System and particle type dependence at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV for identified trigger particles. (a) Dependence of Jet on $p_T^{\text{trigger}}$ for $1.5 \text{ GeV/c} < p_T^{\text{associated}} < p_T^{\text{trigger}}$. (b) Dependence of Jet and Ridge on $p_T^{\text{associated}}$ for $3.0 < p_T^{\text{trigger}} < 6.0 \text{ GeV/c}$. (c) Dependence of Jet on $N_{\text{part}}$. (d) Dependence of Ridge on $N_{\text{part}}$. Data from the fits in (b) are shown in table 1. The systematic errors due to $v_2$ are comparable to those shown for unidentified triggers for $K^0_S$ triggers and roughly 3/2 times larger for $\Lambda$ and $\Xi$ [1, 8]. Colour online.

and $N_{\text{part}}$. The Ridge yield as a function of $N_{\text{part}}$ is shown in figure 1d. The Ridge yield increases dramatically with $N_{\text{part}}$ for both energies. Although the magnitude of the yield of both the Jet and the Ridge are considerably smaller at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 62$ GeV, figure 1e shows that Ridge/Jet is roughly independent of energy.

Figure 2 shows the yields with identified trigger particles at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV. The dependence of the Jet yield on $p_T^{\text{trigger}}$, $p_T^{\text{associated}}$, and $N_{\text{part}}$, shown in figure 2a, b, and c, respectively, may indicate a slightly lower yield for $\Lambda$ and $K^0_S$. However, the systematic error due to tracking inefficiencies for close pairs, which is greater for $\Lambda$ and $K^0_S$ triggers than for $h$, may be sufficient to explain the observed difference. Figure 2b and figure 2d show the dependence of the Ridge on $p_T^{\text{associated}}$ and $N_{\text{part}}$, respectively; these data may indicate a slightly higher yield for $\Lambda$ and a slightly lower yield for $K^0_S$ triggers. However, the data are within error for all trigger species and the degree of correlation of errors for different trigger particle species is still under study. Therefore, within our current understanding of the errors, there is no significant dependence on the trigger particle species in either $Cu + Cu$ or $Au + Au$ collisions. The independence of the inverse slope parameters in table 1 on particle species further supports no trigger type dependence.

Figure 3 shows the yields with identified associated particles at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV.
Figure 3: System and particle type dependence of the Jet at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV for identified associated particles. (a) Dependence on $p_T^{\text{trigger}}$ for $1.5 \text{ GeV/c} < p_T^{\text{associated}} < p_T^{\text{trigger}}$ (b) Dependence of $p_T^{\text{associated}}$ for $3.0 < p_T^{\text{trigger}} < 6.0 \text{ GeV/c}$ (c) Particle ratios in the Ridge and Jet as compared to the inclusive particle ratios. Data from the fits in (b) are shown in table 1. Colour online.

Table 1: Inverse slope parameter $k$ (MeV/c) of $p_T^{\text{associated}}$ for fits of data in Figure 1(b), Figure 2(b), and Figure 3(b) to $A e^{-p_T/k}$. The inverse slope parameter from a fit to $\pi^-$ in Au + Au from [10] above 1.0 GeV/c is $k = 280.9 \pm 0.4 \text{ MeV/c}$ for $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 62 \text{ GeV}$ and is $k = 330.9 \pm 0.3 \text{ MeV/c}$ for $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200 \text{ GeV}$. Statistical errors only.

|          | 62 GeV | 200 GeV |
|----------|--------|---------|
|          | h-h    | K$^0_S$-h | $\Lambda + \bar{\Lambda}$-h | h-K$^0_S$ | h-$\Lambda + \bar{\Lambda}$ |
| Au Ridge | 317 ± 26 | 438 ± 4 | 406 ± 20 | 416 ± 11 |
| Au Jet   | 355 ± 21 | 445 ± 20 | 416 ± 11 | 416 ± 11 |
| Cu Jet   | 346 ± 20 | 445 ± 20 | 416 ± 11 | 416 ± 11 |

The dependence on $p_T^{\text{trigger}}$ shown in figure 3a and on $p_T^{\text{associated}}$ in figure 3b for Cu + Cu and Au + Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV are similar for both $K^0_S$ and $\Lambda + \bar{\Lambda}$ to that observed for unidentified hadrons, although the magnitude is considerably smaller. The baryon to meson ratios in the Ridge in Au + Au, the Jet in Au + Au and Cu + Cu, and the inclusive spectra in Au + Au and $p + p$ are compared in figure 3c. The baryon to meson ratio in the Ridge is within error of that of the inclusive ratio in Au + Au while the baryon to meson ratios in the Jet in Au + Au and Cu + Cu are comparable to that in $p + p$.

2. Conclusions

The measured Jet and Ridge yields in Cu + Cu and Au + Au collisions are within error at the same $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ and $N_{\text{part}}$, indicating no dependence on geometry. The Jet yield at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 62 \text{ GeV}$ is smaller than at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200 \text{ GeV}$, as expected from the steeper spectrum in $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 62 \text{ GeV}$ [11]; the observation that the Ridge/Jet ratio is roughly independent of energy is potentially a powerful way to distinguish models. No dependence on the trigger particle identity is observed. Baryon/meson ratios in Jet and Ridge and the differences in the associated particle spectra suggest that the Jet has
properties similar to $p + p$, whereas the properties of the Ridge are closer to the bulk.

[1] Bielcikova J (STAR) 2007 J. Phys. G: Nucl. Phys. 34 S929-932
[2] Putschke J (STAR) 2007 J. Phys. G: Nucl. Phys. 34 S679-683
[3] Armesto N, Salgado C, and Wiedemann 2005 Phys. Rev. C72 064910
[4] Wong C 2007 Phys. Rev. C76 054908
[5] Hwa R, and Chiu C 2005 Phys. Rev. C72 034903
[6] Voloshin S 2006 Phys. Lett. B632 490
[7] Adams J et al (STAR) 2005 Phys. Rev. Lett. 95 152301
[8] Nattrass C (STAR) 2008 J. Phys. G: Nucl. Phys. 35 044063
[9] Bombara M (STAR) 2008 J. Phys. G: Nucl. Phys. 35 044065
[10] Abelev B et al (STAR) 2007 Phys. Lett. B655 104
[11] Filimonov K (STAR) 2005 J. Phys. G: Nucl. Phys. 31 S513-S520