Three-dimensional Ultrathin Planar Lenses by Acoustic Metamaterials
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Acoustic lenses find applications in various areas ranging from ultrasound imaging to nondestructive testing. A compact-size and high-efficient planar acoustic lens is crucial to achieving miniaturization and integration, and should have deep implication for the acoustic field. However its realization remains challenging due to the trade-off between high refractive-index and impedance-mismatch. Here we have designed and experimentally realized the first ultrathin planar acoustic lens capable of steering the convergence of acoustic waves in three-dimensional space. A theoretical approach is developed to analytically describe the proposed metamaterial with hybrid labyrinthine units, which reveals the mechanism of coexistence of high refractive index and well-matched impedance. A hyperbolic gradient-index lens design is fabricated and characterized, which can enhance the acoustic energy by 15 dB at the focal point with very high transmission efficiency. Remarkably, the thickness of the lens is only approximately 1/6 of the operating wavelength. The lens can work within a certain frequency band for which the ratio between the bandwidth and the center frequency reaches 0.74. By tailoring the structure of the metamaterials, one can further reduce the thickness of the lens or even realize other acoustic functionalities, opening new opportunity for manipulation of low-frequency sounds with versatile potential.

The focusing of acoustic energy enables nonintrusive inspection, detection and even manipulation of matters, and acoustic lenses are employed in a variety of applications ranging from nondestructive detection of damages in materials to biomedical imaging and even noninvasive cancer treatment. In retrospect, one of the leading trends in the development of modern devices is miniaturization and integration. It is therefore of paramount significance to realize an acoustic lens that has a compact size, a flattened shape as well as a high transmission efficiency. Relying on the interface of a uniform material to alter the wave trajectory, the conventional lenses can hardly serve as an ideal lens due to the inherent geometrical and wave aberrations. As a conceptually more natural but generally much less convenient approach, the refractive index can be appropriately varied to design gradient index (GRIN) acoustic lenses¹–⁹. High refractive index in the center of the GRIN lenses is conceptually more natural but generally much less convenient approach, the refractive index can be appropriately varied to design gradient index (GRIN) acoustic lenses¹–⁹. High refractive index in the center of the GRIN lenses is conceptually more natural but generally much less convenient approach, the refractive index can be appropriately varied to design gradient index (GRIN) acoustic lenses¹–⁹. High refractive index in the center of the GRIN lenses is conceptually more natural but generally much less convenient approach, the refractive index can be appropriately varied to design gradient index (GRIN) acoustic lenses¹–⁹. High refractive index in the center of the GRIN lenses is conceptually more natural but generally much less convenient approach, the refractive index can be appropriately varied to design gradient index (GRIN) acoustic lenses¹–⁹. High refractive index in the center of the GRIN lenses is conceptually more natural but generally much less convenient approach, the refractive index can be appropriately varied to design gradient index (GRIN) acoustic lenses¹–⁹. High refractive index in the center of the GRIN lenses is conceptually more natural but generally much less convenient approach, the refractive index can be appropriately varied to design gradient index (GRIN) acoustic lenses¹–⁹.

GRIN lens formed by spatially varying the size of coiling structure will inevitably lead to considerable fabrication difficulty as well as large absorption effect of acoustic energy since the coiling units at the rim of the lenses have to suffer from the trade-off between high refractive index and acoustic impedance match, making it impossible to either shrink the lens thickness down to subwavelength regime or guarantee a flat lens shape.

Recent advances in acoustic metamaterials¹⁵–²⁰ have broadened the horizon of acoustic waves and opened new era for building diverse unconventional and fascinating devices²¹–²⁴. Particularly, the coiling-up-space metamaterials proposed by Li and colleagues have the potential to yield extreme constitutive parameters including high refractive index²⁶ that should be substantially important for reducing the lens thickness. However such a structure cannot be simply piled up to construct a metamaterial lens due to the huge impedance mismatch. Moreover, GRIN lens formed by spatially varying the size of coiling structure will inevitably lead to considerable fabrication difficulty as well as large absorption effect of acoustic energy since the coiling units at the rim of the lenses have to suffer from the trade-off between high refractive index and acoustic impedance match, making it impossible to either shrink the lens thickness down to subwavelength regime or guarantee a flat lens shape.

Recent advances in acoustic metamaterials¹⁵–²⁰ have broadened the horizon of acoustic waves and opened new era for building diverse unconventional and fascinating devices²¹–²⁴. Particularly, the coiling-up-space metamaterials proposed by Li and colleagues have the potential to yield extreme constitutive parameters including high refractive index²⁶ that should be substantially important for reducing the lens thickness. However such a structure cannot be simply piled up to construct a metamaterial lens due to the huge impedance mismatch. Moreover, GRIN lens formed by spatially varying the size of coiling structure will inevitably lead to considerable fabrication difficulty as well as large absorption effect of acoustic energy since the coiling units at the rim of the lenses have to suffer from the trade-off between high refractive index and acoustic impedance match, making it impossible to either shrink the lens thickness down to subwavelength regime or guarantee a flat lens shape.
ization and integration and may take a step towards the practical application of acoustic GRIN lens, has never been achieved.

Here, we have designed and experimentally realized the first ultrathin planar acoustic lens capable of focusing low-frequency acoustic wave in 3D space, to circumvent the aforementioned limitations. As the basic building blocks of lens, a specified type of hybrid labyrinthine unit formed by coupling a modified coiling structure with air channels is proposed. To better understand the underlying physics, a theoretical approach based on the transfer matrix and Green’s function theory has been developed which gives analytical description to such hybrid labyrinthine units with subwavelength scale. A monolayer of such metamaterial units with appropriate geometrical parameters is shown to act as an acoustic lens capable of yielding refractive index far greater than previously realizable while keeping the acoustic impedance well-matched. Both the theoretical and the experimental results demonstrate that the resulting lens can manipulate the 3D convergence of acoustic waves with wavelength nearly 6 times larger than its thickness, and the efficiency of acoustic focusing is very high with remarkable enhancement of acoustic energy at the predicted focal point (~15 dB). Furthermore, the lens has a flat structure which is potentially more compatible and integratable in practical applications as compared with the previous designs with curved or structured surface. We envision the realization of acoustic focusing with a subwavelength structure to pave the way for application of acoustic lenses in practical scenarios such as acoustic imaging and casual engineering.

**Results**

Figures 1(a) and 1(b) show the design principle of the ultrathin and planar lens, comprising a number of hybrid labyrinthine units each with a curved channel and two straight channels inside. There exists substantial phase delay as the incident wave propagates along the curved channel inside these units in comparison with the elapsing phase in a straight channel of the same physical length, which effectively provides a high refractive index.$^{9,20}$ This allows a monolayer of such metamaterial units to provide sufficient phase accumulation for propagating waves at different radial distances to converge, shrinking the overall thickness of the lens down to subwavelength regime. To design the desired lens, we engineer the phase delay by changing the overall length of the channel $l = a - 2w - d$, while keeping the unit thickness $t$ and the channel width $d$ invariant. As a consequence of such a simple and intuitive design, the resulting lens has a perfectly flat surface and the channels are sufficiently wide to avoid the viscosity effect (detailed discussion can be found in Supplementary Information). Furthermore, the absence of extremely small units makes the fabrication of the prototype lens practical. As an example, a GRIN lens with a hyperbolic secant profile shown in Fig. 1(c), which has been proven capable of reducing the aberration of focal spot, is designed and fabricated. And for the sake of simplicity while without losing generality, the designed lens has an axisymmetric structure. The proposed scheme however, has the potential to build ultrathin planar lens with arbitrary index profile by properly tailoring the phase distribution of each metamaterial unit.

The desired refractive index is achieved by carefully choosing geometrical parameters in the radial plane of the lens. The particular profile of refractive index employed in this study can be described as follows

$$n(r) = n_0 \sech(xr) \quad (1)$$

where $n_0$ is the refractive index at the center of the lens ($r = 0$) and $x$ is the gradient coefficient, defined as

$$x = \frac{1}{h} \cosh^{-1}\left(\frac{n_0}{n_h}\right) \quad (2)$$

with $h$ being the half-height of the lens, and $n_h$ being the refractive index on the edge of the lens. To facilitate the sample fabrication, the values for $n_0$, $n_h$ and $h$ are chosen to be 3.12, 1 and 75 cm respectively. As discussed above, the high refractive index in the proposed metamaterials physically stems from the difference between actual elapsed phases in the curved channel and in straight channel of the same physical length. For an individual labyrinthine unit, therefore, the refractive index can be further enhanced by increasing the ratio of the overall length of the channel to the unit thickness. Nevertheless, the maximal refractive index in the current lens is sufficiently high, as compared with the previously realizable, to harness the convergence of incident wave with a wavelength as long as 42.1 cm (viz., operating frequency at 815 Hz).

For the proposed hybrid labyrinthine units, it is not easy to estimate $n_z$ from the overall channel length in Part II, due to the strong coupling between acoustic fields at three inlets and outlets. To shed light on the mechanism of the proposed acoustic metamaterial, as well as providing a convenient tool for designing 3D lens, an analytical model has been developed by combining the transfer matrix method and the Green’s function theory. This model allows us to predict the complex transmission and reflection coefficient from which $n_z$ and $Z_r$ can be extracted. The hybrid labyrinthine unit shown in Fig. 1(b) can be divided into three parts: Parts I and III refer to two extra air channels, and Part II, the labyrinthine part. The averaged pressure and volume velocity fields ($\tilde{p}_l, U_l$) ($l = I, II, III$) in the inlets ($z = 0$) and outlets ($z = t$) of these parts are treated separately and can be expressed as

$$\tilde{p}_{l|z\to t} = n_{l1}\tilde{p}_{l|z=0} + n_{l2}\rho_0 c_s S_l^{-1} U_{l|z=0},$$

$$\rho_0 c_s S_l^{-1} U_{l|z\to t} = n_{l1}\tilde{p}_{l|z=0} + n_{l2}\rho_0 c_s S_l^{-1} U_{l|z=0},$$

$$\tilde{p}_{l|z\to t} = \frac{\Delta S_1}{\Delta S_2} m_{11}\tilde{p}_{l|z=0} + \frac{\Delta S_1}{\Delta S_2} m_{12}\rho_0 c_s (\Delta S_1)^{-1} U_{l|z=0},$$

$$\rho_0 c_s (\Delta S_1)^{-1} U_{l|z\to t} = \frac{\Delta S_1}{\Delta S_2} m_{21}\tilde{p}_{l|z=0} + \frac{\Delta S_1}{\Delta S_2} m_{22}\rho_0 c_s (\Delta S_1)^{-1} U_{l|z=0},$$

$$+ \sqrt{\frac{\Delta S_1}{\Delta S_2} m_{22}\rho_0 c_s (\Delta S_1)^{-1} U_{l|z=0}},$$

$$\tilde{p}_{l|z\to t} = n_{l1}\tilde{p}_{l|z=0} + n_{l2}\rho_0 c_s S_l^{-1} U_{l|z=0},$$

$$\rho_0 c_s S_l^{-1} U_{l|z\to t} = n_{l1}\tilde{p}_{l|z=0} + n_{l2}\rho_0 c_s S_l^{-1} U_{l|z=0},$$

where $n_l$ denote the transfer matrices of Parts I and III, $m_{ij}$ refer to the transfer matrix of Part II; $\Delta S_1$ and $\Delta S_2$ represent the cross sections of the inlet and outlet in Part II, and $S_{01}$ refer to the cross sections of the air channels of Part I and Part III, respectively. According to the Green’s function theory for ducts, the pressure fields in the input ($z < 0$) and output ($z > t$) area can be expressed as

$$p_{IN}(r,z) = p_i + p_s = e^{ikz} +$$

$$2\pi \int_{r=0}^{r_1} \frac{G(r,z,r_0,z_0)}{r_0} \frac{\partial p(r_0,z_0)}{\partial z_0} |_{z_0=0} r_0 dr_0,$$

$$+ 2\pi \int_{r_1}^{r_1+d} \frac{G(r,z,r_0,z_0)}{r_0} \frac{\partial p(r_0,z_0)}{\partial z_0} |_{z_0=0} r_0 dr_0,$$

$$+ 2\pi \int_{r_1}^{t} \frac{G(r,z,r_0,z_0)}{r_0} \frac{\partial p(r_0,z_0)}{\partial z_0} |_{z_0=0} r_0 dr_0,$$

$$p_{OUT}(r,z) = p_i = 2\pi \int_{r=0}^{r_1-w} \frac{G(r,z,r_0,z_0)}{r_0} \frac{\partial p(r_0,z_0)}{\partial z_0} |_{z_0=0} r_0 dr_0,$$

$$+ 2\pi \int_{r_1}^{r_1+d} \frac{G(r,z,r_0,z_0)}{r_0} \frac{\partial p(r_0,z_0)}{\partial z_0} |_{z_0=0} r_0 dr_0,$$

$$+ 2\pi \int_{r_1}^{t} \frac{G(r,z,r_0,z_0)}{r_0} \frac{\partial p(r_0,z_0)}{\partial z_0} |_{z_0=0} r_0 dr_0,$$

where $G(r, z, r_0, z_0)$ is to the Green’s function in the duct. Substituting the equation of motion into Eq. (4) yields the pressure fields of the inlets ($z = 0$) and outlets ($z = t$):
Figure 1 | 3D axisymmetric acoustic GRIN lens. (a) The schematic diagram of the three-dimensional axisymmetric acoustic GRIN lens, which consists of an arrangement of nine steel hybrid labyrinthine units with specified separations \( s = 75 \text{ mm} \). (b) Cross-section diagram of a unit of the proposed lens. Four transverse beams (width \( w = 2 \text{ mm} \) and height \( l \)) and two standing beams (width \( w \) and height \( t = 47 \text{ mm} \)) are utilized to construct a labyrinthine unit (viz. Part II) (width \( a \)) with zigzag air channel (width \( d = 13 \text{ mm} \)). Two extra air channels (viz. Part I and Part III) with width, \((s - a)/2\), are left between the two adjacent labyrinthine units. (c) The desired profile of the relative refractive index \( n_r \) for the designed GRIN lens with maximal and minimal values of 3.12 and 1.

\[
\bar{p}|_{z=0} = 2 + \frac{\rho_0 c_0}{\sqrt{S_1}} U_{I}|_{z=0} g_{11} + \frac{\rho_0 c_0}{\sqrt{S_1}} U_{II}|_{z=0} g_{12} + \frac{\rho_0 c_0}{\sqrt{S_1}} U_{III}|_{z=0} g_{13},
\]

\[
\bar{p}|_{z=0} = 2 + \frac{\rho_0 c_0}{\sqrt{S_1}} U_{II}|_{z=0} g_{11} + \frac{\rho_0 c_0}{\sqrt{S_1}} U_{II}|_{z=0} g_{12} + \frac{\rho_0 c_0}{\sqrt{S_1}} U_{III}|_{z=0} g_{13},
\]

\[
\bar{p}|_{z=0} = 2 + \frac{\rho_0 c_0}{\sqrt{S_1}} U_{II}|_{z=0} g_{11} + \frac{\rho_0 c_0}{\sqrt{S_1}} U_{II}|_{z=0} g_{12} + \frac{\rho_0 c_0}{\sqrt{S_1}} U_{III}|_{z=0} g_{13},
\]

\[
\bar{p}|_{z=0} = 2 + \frac{\rho_0 c_0}{\sqrt{S_1}} U_{II}|_{z=0} g_{11} + \frac{\rho_0 c_0}{\sqrt{S_1}} U_{II}|_{z=0} g_{12} + \frac{\rho_0 c_0}{\sqrt{S_1}} U_{III}|_{z=0} g_{13},
\]

with \( g_{ij} \) being the Green integral coupling parameters between different inlets or outlets. Substituting Eq. (3) into Eq. (5) yields the volume velocity at the inlets and outlets, referred to as \( U_{I}|_{z=0} (L = I, II, III) \) and \( U_{I}|_{z=0} (L = I, II, III) \) respectively. The complex transmission and reflection coefficients of an individual metamaterial unit can be then derived according to Green’s functions:

\[
R = \frac{p_0(r,z)}{p_0(r,z)} = 1 - \frac{\rho_0 c_0}{\pi s^3} (U_{I}|_{z=0} + U_{II}|_{z=0} + U_{III}|_{z=0})
\]

\[
T = \frac{p_0(r,z)}{p_0(r,z)} = \frac{\rho_0 c_0}{\pi s^3} (U_{I}|_{z=0} + U_{II}|_{z=0} + U_{III}|_{z=0})e^{i(z-1)}
\]

Then the relative effective refractive index \( n_r \) and acoustic impedance \( Z_r \) can be retrieved from these complex coefficients. The details of the derivations, variables and matrix definitions are given in Supplementary Information.

Figures 2(a) illustrates the relationship between \( n_r \) and \( a \). It is noteworthy that the refractive index yielded by an individual metamaterials unit can be conveniently tailored to desired value by adjusting the value of a solely. Meanwhile, the widths of the steel beams and the channels in the labyrinthine units are kept invariant, and a planar structure of the presented GRIN lens is thus ensured. By comparing the curves in Fig. 1(c) and Fig. 2(a), ten different values of \( a \) are selected and shown in Fig. 2(b). At the rim of the lens, the desired refractive index should equal that of the background medium (viz., \( n_r = 1 \)), indicating that no labyrinthine unit is needed here (viz., \( a = 0 \)). As a result, only nine units with curled channels are required to form the GRIN lens. Figure 2(c) shows the relative effective refractive index.
index $n_r$, the relative effective refractive index impedance $Z_r$. Despite
the high refractive index provided by the hybrid labyrinthine meta-
mater, the relative acoustic impedance $Z_r$ always approaches unity
with maximal value of 1.53 for different $a$. Figure 2(d) illustrates the
power transmission as a function of $a$ for the case of hybrid laby-
rinthine units with air channels (red solid line) and the case of only
the coiling units (blue dotted line). Note that that the labyrinthine
units alone can only provide impedance matching at some specified
frequencies, and the minimal value of the power transmission is as
low as 0.39. In comparison, most acoustic energy ($|p|^2 \geq 84.5\%$)
penetrates through the complex units proposed here, contributing
significantly to the high efficacy of focusing. The underlying mecha-
nism can be understood as follows. For each metamaterial unit, the
transmitted waves are caused by the coupling between the wave fields
at the three outlets due to the continuity of volume velocity, which can
be observed in Eq. (6). For the labyrinthine units, the values of $a$ are
well tuned to support strong Fabry–Pérot resonances. Then the total
transmission is determined by the transmission through the labyrinth-
shine that depends on $U_{II}|z=5$. Note that an almost perfect impedance
match is achieved here. Near the rim of lens, the units have relatively
smaller $a$, which correspond to a variation of the resonant frequency.
Therefore the transmission through the labyrinthine will be weakened,
and the value of $U_{II}|z=5$ will also decrease. However, the widths of the
air channels have been enlarged in such cases, and the increase of
$U_{II}|z=5$ and $U_{III}|z=5$ compensate the total transmission efficiency. As a
result, the acoustic impedance $Z_r$ throughout the whole lens matches
that of background medium very well. This means the proposed
structure can yield considerably high index and well-matched imped-
ance simultaneously, suggesting the potential to serve as an ultrathin
lens with high efficacy. Also, it is worth pointing out that in the
proposed metamaterial unit with a hybrid structure, the air chan-
nel is introduced to compensate the impedance mismatch that is
the negative effect caused by the labyrinthine structure when
yielding high refractive index. Physically, the effective parameters of
the lens, including the refractive index and the acoustic imped-
ance, are determined by the whole hybrid structure. The increase
of the width of the air channel helps to significantly improve the
impedance match between the designed lens and the background
medium, while decreasing slightly the effective refractive index
due to the fact that volume fraction of the labyrinthine part
becomes smaller. However, such a reduction in the effective
refractive index is trivial in our design since the refractive index
yielded by the labyrinthine unit is considerably high.

The 3D axisymmetric metamaterial lens shown in Fig. 3(a), is
fabricated with designed parameters. Nine axisymmetric meta-
mater units composing the lens are assembled with two supporting
shelves thin enough to neglect the scattering effect within the fre-
cency spectrum of interest. Figure 3(b) illustrates the simulated
acoustic intensity distribution $|p|^2$ of the designed lens. Figures 3(c)
and 3(d) display the numerical and the experimental results of acous-
tic intensity distribution $|p|^2$ in the focal region. Due to the axisym-
metry of the lens, only the intensity distributions on two orthogonal
planes are shown. The propagating waves pass through the lens and
focus at the focal point $z = 1.4$ m with significant intensity enhance-
ment. Good agreements can be observed between the numerical and
experimental results, which verify the proposed scheme and dem-
strate the performance of the fabricated lens. Slight discrepancy
also exists between the calculated and measured intensity distri-
bution, which may stem from imperfections of sample and limited
precision in the measurement.

To give a quantitative analysis to the focusing performance of the
prototype lens, we have measured the intensity distribution profiles
along different directions crossing the focus. Results are displayed in
Fig. 4. The simulated distributions are also included here for com-
parison. The experimental results agree excellently with the simu-
lated results, both show the significant energy enhancement at the
focal spot near $z = 1.4$ m. The intensity at the focal point is nearly
33.5 times ($\sim 15$ dB in experiments) greater than the one without the
lens. It is also noteworthy that, although the lens is designed to work
at 815 Hz, the resulting lens has a frequency band that ranges from
500 to 1100 Hz, i.e., the ratio between the bandwidth and the center
frequency almost reaches 0.74 (See the Supplementary Information
for the demonstration of the bandwidth of the focusing effect as well
as discussion on the underlying physics).
Discussions
In summary, we experimentally demonstrate a viable route for realizing 3D ultrathin planar acoustic lens using acoustic metamaterial units which provide high refractive index and well-matched impedance simultaneously. A theoretical method is developed for analytically describing the acoustic properties of this particular type of metamaterials. The sample lens confirms the functionality of the proposed scheme: high-efficient focusing of low-frequency sound using subwavelength-scale lens manipulating the phase of propagating wave. The experimental results agree well with the theoretical predictions. And the lens designed to work at 815 Hz actually exhibit a working bandwidth for which the ratio between the bandwidth and the center frequency almost reaches 0.74. Further optimization of our ultrathin and planar acoustic lens can pave the way to building even more compact, efficient and versatile acoustic devices for diverse applications such as acoustic imaging and casual engineering.

Method
Experiments setup and measurements. The experiment is performed in the outdoor environment with acoustic absorbing wedge placed on the ground. A 16 cm × 16 cm × 20 cm sound speaker located at the focus of a parabolic reflector is used to excite the acoustic plane waves with a frequency of 815 Hz. The sound pressure is measured by a movable 1/2-inch diameter microphone (Bruel & Kjaer 4191). The recording and analysis equipment contains a Bruel & Kjaer PULSE 3160-A-042 multichannel analyzer and a computer with PULSE software LabShop version 13.5.10.

Numerical Simulation. The finite Element Method (FEM) based on commercial software COMSOL Multiphysics® 4.3 b is employed for the simulations in Figs 3 and 4. The materials used in simulations are air and steel. The mass density and sound speed of air are \( \rho_a = 1.21 \text{ kg/m}^3, c_a = 343 \text{ m/s} \), and the mass density and sound velocity of steel are \( \rho_s = 7800 \text{ kg/m}^3, c_s = 6100 \text{ m/s} \), respectively. Perfectly matched

![Figure 3 | Acoustic focusing of the GRIN lens at 815 Hz. (a) The sample of the designed GRIN lens. The inlet is an enlarged view near the center of lens. (b) The simulated spatial distributions of the intensity field \( |p|^2 \) of the GRIN lens. (c) Closer view of the simulated distributions near the focus spot. (d) The measured spatial distributions of the intensity field \( |p|^2 \) of the fabricated sample.](image)

![Figure 4 | Experimental and theoretical demonstrations for the GRIN lens at 815 Hz. The simulated and experimental acoustic intensity distribution \( |p|^2 \) (a) along the axis and (b) along the transverse cross-section in the radial plane through the focal point at \( z = 1.4 \text{ m} \) for the cases with and without the GRIN lens.](image)
layers (PMLs) are imposed on the outer boundaries of simulated domain to eliminate the interference from reflected wave.
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