Suzaku captures a possible eclipse in IGR J16207–5129 and identifies a weak-flaring state in IGR J17391–3021
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We present the results from analyses of Suzaku observations of the supergiant X-ray binaries IGR J16207–5129 and IGR J17391–3021. For IGR J16207–5129, we provide the first broadband (0.5–60 keV) spectrum from which we confirm a large intrinsic column density \( N_H = 16 \times 10^{22} \) cm\(^{-2}\), and constrain the cutoff energy for the first time \( E_c = 19 \) keV. We observed a prolonged (> 30 ks) attenuation of the X-ray flux which we tentatively attribute to an eclipse of the probable neutron star by its massive companion. For IGR J17391–3021, we witnessed a transition from quiescence to a low-activity phase punctuated by weak flares whose peak luminosities in the 0.5–10 keV band are only a factor of 5 times that of the pre-flare emission. The weak flaring is accompanied by an increase in the absorbing column which suggests the accretion of obscuring clumps of wind. Placing this observation in the context of the recent Swift monitoring campaign, we now recognize that these low-activity epochs constitute the most common emission phase for this system, and perhaps in other SFXTs as well.

8th INTEGRAL Workshop “The Restless Gamma-ray Universe”
September 27-30 2010
Dublin Castle, Dublin, Ireland

\(^{\ddagger}\)Speaker.

\(^{\ddagger}\)AB and JAT acknowledge grants: Suzaku NNX08AB88G, Chandra G089055X, and INTEGRAL NNX08AC91G.
1. Introduction & Observations

Among the more intriguing results by INTEGRAL has been the discovery of new types of high-mass X-ray binaries (HMXBs) with supergiant companions. They can be broadly divided into systems that are persistently-emitting (SGXBs: supergiant X-ray binaries) and those that emit sporadically (SFXTs: supergiant fast X-ray transients). The distinction between the two groups is not as clearly defined as once believed. Therefore, the continued study of these systems is crucial for understanding the origin and evolution of these previously-rare HMXBs.

In 2008, the Suzaku space telescope observed two such objects: the SGXB named IGR J16207–5129 and the SFXT dubbed IGR J17391–3021 (= XTE J1739–302). Fig. 1 presents images of the fields around the 2 sources. Note that XIS did not record data during the first observation of IGR J16207–5129. In addition, IGR J17391–3021 was very faint during its observation so HXD recorded few photon counts. Hence, HXD data were ignored in the analysis of IGR J17391–3021. After removing bad events, and after extracting gaps in the observation, the effective exposure times that remained were 33 and 37 ks for IGR J16207–5129 and IGR J17391–3021, respectively.

2. X-ray Variability

2.1 IGR J16207–5129

The light curve from IGR J16207–5129 (Fig. 2) illustrates that the emission varies within 1–2 orders of magnitude on ks timescales, which is typical of persistent SGXBs. The maximum luminosity is $5 \times 10^{35}$ erg s$^{-1}$ ($d/6$ kpc)$^2$, while the minimum is $2 \times 10^{34}$ erg s$^{-1}$.
Intriguingly, X-rays from the source, and the variability associated with that emission, are significantly diminished during the last ~30 ks of the observation. Several mechanisms can be invoked to explain the suppression of X-ray emission for a sustained period. If the accretor were to enter an “off” state, this would last a few 100 s, or at least an order of magnitude shorter than the period of inactivity that we observed. Occulting clumps in the wind or hydrodynamic effects would lead to changes in $N_H$, which we do not see. An occultation of the neutron star’s shock face would imply unrealistic column densities at other orbital phases. A remaining viable explanation is an eclipse of the primary by its donor star, but the data do not allow us to confirm or reject this hypothesis. Finally, we note that whatever mechanism is involved in driving the sporadic emission in SFXTs could be responsible for the prolonged dip (e.g., the 33-ks dormant period of IGR J17391–3021 in Fig. 2).

### 2.2 IGR J17391–3021

During the initial 33 ks of the observation of IGR J17391–3021, the source is in an extremely
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Figure 3: Left: Suzaku spectrum of IGR J16207–5129 corrected for the background and fit with an absorbed power law with an exponential cutoff whose parameters are listed in Table I. The data represent photon counts from XIS-1 (red), XIS-0 combined with XIS-3 (blue), and HXD-PIN (black). Right: Solutions to a model of an eclipsing SGXB (Rappaport & Joss, 1983) viewed under different inclination angles (degrees). The compact object is assumed to be a neutron star of 1.4 $M_\odot$, and the mass of the companion star is set to 20 $M_\odot$, with a radius of 20 $R_\odot$. The eclipse lasts >30 ks (solid curve). The dot-dashed lines represent the limits of orbital radii of clumpy winds in SGXBs (Negueruela et al., 2008). The shaded region shows the extent of the parameter space in which IGR J16207–5129 might be located.

A low-activity phase that is at or near quiescence (Fig. 2). The source then enters a period of enhanced activity in which the luminosity is only a factor of 5 that of the “quiescent” emission. Bozzo et al. (2010) noticed similar behavior in a recent XMM-Newton observation of this source. Observations prior to and after MJD 54518.92 are referred to henceforth as “dormant” and “active” epochs, respectively. The active state features 3 quasi-periodic flares separated by ~15 ks intervals. The unabsorbed 0.5–10 keV luminosity is $1.3 \times 10^{33}$ erg s$^{-1}$ ($d/2.7$ kpc)$^2$ when dormant, and $7.4 \times 10^{33}$ erg s$^{-1}$ when active.

These weak flares are just above quiescence, and their peak fluxes are at the level of the faint detections (and a few upper limits) from Swift monitoring (Romano et al., 2009). Epochs of enhanced activity just above quiescence, but well below the bright flaring episodes typical of this class, represent 60% of all observations, so they are the most common emission state. Given more exposure time, many Swift upper limits would be detections suggesting that the duty cycle is even higher. Based on the source ephemeris (Drave et al., 2010), which places the XMM-Newton and Suzaku observations at different phases, such low-activity states are not confined to a specific part of the orbit.

3. Environment of the X-ray Emitter

3.1 IGR J16207–5129

The spectrum of IGR J16207–5129 (Fig. 3) features a large absorbing column and a possible iron line, both of which suggest the presence of matter around the X-ray source. A cutoff near 20 keV favors a neutron star as the compact object. The observed attenuation of the X-ray flux cannot be explained by an increase in the column density since the measured absorption value does not
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Figure 4: Left: Background-corrected spectrum of IGR J17391–3021 fit with an absorbed power law (see Table 1 for parameters). The data represent photon counts from XIS-1 (red), and XIS-0 combined with XIS-3 (blue). Each bin collects a minimum of 150 counts. Right: Parameter space of $N_H$ and $\Gamma$ derived from absorbed power laws fit to the spectra of IGR J17391–3021 during dormant (red) and active (blue) states. The crosses represent the best-fitting parameters and the contours denote 68%, 90%, and 99% confidence levels.

change in a significant way between O2a and O2b, nor between the low and high-intensity states of O2 (Table 1).

The eclipse interpretation remains a viable explanation and it allows us to consider the orbital configuration of the X-ray binary. An eclipse duration of at least 30 ks (0.35 d) sets a lower limit on the orbital period at 0.7 d. With this constraint, and assuming typical stellar parameters, a model for an eclipsing binary (Rappaport & Joss, 1983) yields a parameter space that is bounded by orbital periods between 4 and 9 d with inclination angles > 50°. The full orbit is within the upper limit of the clumpy-wind radius, unless the orbital period is greater than 10 d, which is unusual for SGXBs but plausible (e.g., IGR J19140+0951 has an orbital period of 14 d).

3.2 IGR J17391–3021

Figure 4 presents the time-averaged spectrum of IGR J17391–3021 fit with an absorbed power law. When IGR J17391–3021 is in the active state, the $N_H$ is at least twice as high as it is in the dormant phase. This is unlike what was seen by Bozzo et al. (2010) who found that the $N_H$ remained steady (within the statistical errors) during weak flares while the photon index varied by around 50%.

Differences in the spectral properties of the flares caught by Suzaku and XMM-Newton could be due to unequal geometric configurations of the system between the observations. A partial-covering model fit to the XMM-Newton spectrum suggests that up to 40% of the X-ray emission is not absorbed by the clump itself, but rather by the interstellar medium. The same model fit to our active spectrum reveals an absorber that shields a much larger fraction of the X-ray source (less than 13% of the emission is unblocked). The column density during activity is 2–4 times the interstellar value which suggests that the source is not strongly absorbed (intrinsically) except when the primary accretes a clump passing along our line of sight (see also Rampy et al., 2009).
Table 1: Parameters from absorbed power laws fit to the Suzaku spectra of IGR J16207–5129 and IGR J17391–3021 for various epochs. The luminosity in the 0.5–10 keV band is corrected for absorption. Errors represent 90% confidence.

| source          | epoch | $N_{\text{H}}$ | $\Gamma$ | $L$       | $\chi^2$/dof |
|-----------------|-------|----------------|----------|-----------|--------------|
| IGR J16207–5129 | total | 16±1           | 0.9±0.2  | 130       | 1.05/199     |
|                 | O2a   | 19±1           | 1.3±0.1  | 240       | 0.91/182     |
|                 | O2b   | 19±5           | 1.5±0.4  | 56        | 0.51/64      |
| IGR J17391–3021 | total | 3.6±0.4        | 1.4±0.1  | 4.8       | 0.82/66      |
|                 | dormant | 1.0±0.6       | 1.0±0.3  | 1.3       | 0.68/8       |
|                 | active | 4.1±0.5        | 1.5±0.1  | 7.4       | 0.73/54      |

4. Conclusions

The putative eclipse in the persistently-emitting SGXB IGR J16207–5129 awaits confirmation. As a prototypical member of the class of SFXTs, IGR J17391–3021 holds valuable clues to the accretion processes of these intriguing transients. The demarcation between SGXBs and SFXTs is not as clear as originally believed, and a few systems might represent intermediate states between SFXTs and SGXBs. In this respect, the study of IGR J16207–5129 and IGR J17391–3021 will play an integral role in helping us understand the extent to which emissivity differences between both populations stem from their unequal wind and orbital characteristics. These results have been published as Bodaghee et al. (2010) and Bodaghee et al. (2011).
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