Effect of Super Absorbent Polymer and Irrigation Deficit on Water Use Efficiency, Growth and Yield of Cotton
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Abstract  
Sustainable use of water resources in agriculture is a necessity for many arid countries. In order to investigate the effect of water deficit, irrigation after 120 (control), 155 (moderate water stress) and 190 mm (sever water stress) pan evaporation and super absorbent polymer rates (SAP) (0, 30, 60 and 90 kg ha$^{-1}$) on growth, yield and water use efficiency of cotton, an experiment was conducted as split plot based on a randomized complete block design with three replications. Moreover, the effect of water quality (distilled water and solutions of 0.25, 0.5, 0.75, 1 and 1.25% NaCl) was investigated on water holding capacity by SAP. Results revealed that moderate water stress (irrigation intervals of approx. 15 days) along with 60 kg ha$^{-1}$ SAP application was the best treatment in terms of growth and yield indices of cotton. The results for plant height, plant dry weight, boll number per plant and fiber yield in this treatment were 16, 28, 42 and 10% higher than control treatment, respectively. Water deficit and SAP application improved the water use efficiency (WUE) of cotton. The amount of WUE in moderate water stress treatment along with consumption of 60 or 90 kg ha$^{-1}$ SAP was 26% higher than for control treatment. In addition, water holding capacity by SAP in distilled water treatment was 7 times higher than in the case of 1.25% NaCl solution. The overall results showed that irrigation deficit and SAP application are two appropriate strategies for crop production in areas affected by drought stress, especially if low saline water sources are used.
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Introduction

Cotton (Gossypium hirsutum L.) is a woody, perennial, indeterminate plant with the C$_3$ photosynthesis pathway, grown in warm and some temperate climates for fiber and, with less importance, for oil production. This crop is cultivated as an annual plant and is grown around the world from the tropics to regions with temperatures as high as 42 °C. The major cotton producers are China, India, Pakistan, United States, Uzbekistan, Brazil and Turkey (Stewart et al., 2010; Evett et al., 2012; Dai and Dong, 2014). Cotton is a salt and drought tolerant plant and consequently is suitable for arid and semi-arid regions. In areas with low rainfall, cotton yields increases with irrigation application over the range of 600 to 900 mm. However, excessive water availability promotes its vegetative growth and suppresses reproductive growth. Ovady water provided during flowering, boll growth and fiber development stages, will result in rapid and continued vegetative growth and dropping of early flowers and young bolls. Nevertheless, water stress during the reproductive stage, if severe enough, also causes abscission of flowers and bolls (Evett et al., 2012).

Reduced availability of water resources in many arid countries such as Iran, particularly in response to the indiscriminate harvesting of water reservoirs and climate change, has created real concerns (Razavi and Davary, 2014). Therefore, water scarcity is a serious threat for food production and security for millions of people in arid and semi-arid regions. Concurrent with the increase in world population, the arable lands per capita and water availability will decrease. Therefore, water resources including rainfall and irrigation water must be used more efficiently and water productivity should be increased (Zhang, 2003; Dabhi et al., 2013). Where water resources are limited, the farmers and researchers’ goal must be maximizing net income for water used per unit, rather than per land unit. Hence, currently the emphasis is on the concept of water productivity, namely the yield or net income per unit of water used in evapo-transpiration (Fereres and Soriano, 2007).

Deficit irrigation is one of the main strategies for the optimization of water use in irrigated lands, where crops are irrigated less than the requirement. As a result, some of the plant yield is reduced, but more land can be brought under cultivation by saving in water consumption and therefore,
greater benefit can be achieved. In addition, appropriate use of deficit irrigation can increase water use efficiency (Akbari, 2011). Deficit irrigation is a sustainable and appropriate production solution, especially in dry regions of the world. By increasing irrigation intervals, reducing the amount of water used in each irrigation time or by limiting water consumption to drought-sensitive growth stages, this practice aims to maximize water productivity and to stabilize yields. This strategy is a successful way for increasing water productivity in different crops without causing severe decline in growth and yield of plant. However, considering that drought tolerance varies by genotype and phenological stages, deficit irrigation requires detailed understanding of crop response to drought stress (Geerts and Ræs, 2009; Ghorbani-Nasrabad and Hezarjaribi, 2010).

It has been reported that cotton is a sensitive plant to irrigation timing and quantity through different growth stages. In north Iran condition, of providing 70% of water requirement of cotton, it was obtained higher water use efficiency (16.7%) and only 4.7% yield reduction than with full irrigation regime (Ghorbani-Nasrabad and Hezarjaribi, 2010). In another study on cotton in north of Iran it was reported that full irrigation is not necessary for this plant and irrigation with 75% of water requirement could be sufficient (Akbari, 2011). Results of an experiment on cotton revealed that use of limited drip irrigation regime with 50% of water requirement leads to a moderate decrease in yield, without considerable negative impact on the fiber quality and had remarkable benefits in terms of water saving and water use efficiency (Papastylianou and Argyrokastritis, 2014).

Uncertainty of precipitation and increased temperatures in low rainfall areas is prominent throughout the world, which encourages efficient water conservative by soil amendments such as plant residue, zeolite and synthetic super absorbent polymers (SAP) (Dabhi et al., 2013; Najafinezhad et al., 2014). In dry areas, water scarcity is the main limiting factor for crop production. Thus, the use of SAP may effectively increase plant growth by improving nutrient use efficiency and water retention into the soil. These polymers are soil conditioners, developed to aid plant establishment and growth in drought condition (Woodhouse and Johnson, 1991; Eneji et al., 2013; Mazen et al., 2015). SAPs are highly hydrophilic due to low cross-links in their structure and have great potential for soil restoration and storing water for plant growth (Keshavarz et al., 2012). So far, the positive effects of SAP application on growth, yield and water use efficiency in many crops such as maize (Eneji et al., 2013; Mazen et al., 2015), pear millet (Keshavarz et al., 2012), barely and lettuce (Woodhouse and Johnson, 1991) has been reported. Most of these studies have concluded that SAP reduces water stress during prolonged stress condition and during irrigation intervals (Dabhi et al., 2013). However, water absorbing ability of SAPs depends on many factors, including irrigation water quality. So that, their efficiency might be reduced in the case of saline irrigation water (Rahbar and Banedjschafie, 2009; Rousta et al., 2013).

A large part of Iran has arid and semi-arid climate and is facing high water scarcity due to low precipitation. Nevertheless, excess water is often used for the irrigated crops and water use efficiency is low in the country. Accordingly, crops such as cotton, which is cultivated in many parts of the country, are facing the risk of removing from the cropping pattern in these areas, due to the fact that cotton uses more water than other historical native crops. Therefore, improving the water use efficiency is required for stable cotton production in the country. Hence, the aim of this study was to investigate the effects of deficit irrigation and SAP application on growth, yield and water use efficiency of cotton, in arid regions of Iran. In addition, in arid areas minimal water resources also have high levels of salts which could affect the efficacy of SAPs. Therefore, simulation of SAP efficiency at different levels of salinity was of the other objectives in this study.

Materials and Methods

Experimental site
This research was conducted at Sarayan (33 °N, 58 °E and 1450 masl) in South Khurasan province, Eastern part of Iran. The climate of Sarayan is characterized as semi-arid and the average annual precipitation and mean annual temperature are 150 mm and 17 °C, respectively. Climatic conditions of research station during the experiment period are shown in Table 1.

---

Table 1. The main climatic indices during the experiment

| Growth months (Local months and their A.D equivalents) | Precipitation (mm) | Evaporation (mm) | Monthly average humidity (%) | Monthly sunshine hours | Average of minimum temperatures (°C) | Average maximum temperatures (°C) |
|--------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Khordad (22 May-21 Jun)                                | 0                  | -               | 18                           | 336.5                 | 20.5                                | 34.8                             |
| Tir (22 Jun-22 Jul)                                    | 0                  | 461.7           | 14                           | 381.9                 | 22.8                                | 36.9                             |
| Mordad (23 Jul-22 Aug)                                 | 0                  | 425.2           | 13                           | 369.9                 | 22.3                                | 37.3                             |
| Shahrivar (23 Aug-22 Sept)                             | 0                  | 329.0           | 15                           | 350.6                 | 18.4                                | 36.2                             |
| Mehr (23 Sep-23 Oct)                                   | 0                  | 238.0           | 23                           | 288.6                 | 15.0                                | 29.8                             |
| Aban (23 Oct-22 Nov)                                   | 26                 | 94.2            | 45                           | 265.8                 | 05.2                                | 18.3                             |
| Azar (22 Nov-2 Dec)                                    | 11                 | -               | 48                           | 220.2                 | 02.5                                | 14.9                             |

Table 2. The main properties of superabsorbent polymer (potassium polyacrylate and polyacrylamide copolymers)

| Index | Description | Index | Description |
|-------|-------------|-------|-------------|
| Appearance | White granule | Grain size (mm) | 112 |
| Density (g cm⁻³) | 1.1-1.5 | pH | 7.4 |
| The actual capacity of absorbing tap water* (g g⁻¹ within an hour) | 11.6 | Maximum durability (year) | 7 |
| Moisture content (%) | 11.6 | EC (µm) | 1754 |

*From Rostampour and Ghahari (2014); ** Total salts remained after water evaporation = 0.06%0
Experimental treatments
Field experiment
In order to study the effects of deficit irrigation and chemical super absorbent polymer (SAP) on vegetative and reproductive growth indices and water use efficiency of cotton, a one year experiment was conducted at Sarayan Faculty of Agriculture, University of Birjand, Iran during 2013-2014. The experiment was evaluated as split plot based on a randomized complete block design, with three replications. Experimental factors were consisted of water deficit: irrigation after 120 (control), 155 (moderate water stress) and 190 mm (sever water stress) evaporation from pan, as main factor, and SAP application (0, 30, 60 and 90 kg ha$^{-1}$) as sub factor. Almost 600 m$^3$ ha$^{-1}$ water were used in each irrigation round, after evaporation occurred at the rates of required (120, 155 and 199 mm) for each irrigation treatment. The conventional irrigation interval for cotton in Sarayan is one time in 12 days (∼120 mm pan evaporation). Therefore, 155 and 190 mm pan evaporation treatments (approximately equal to irrigation intervals of 15 and 18 days) were selected as irrigation deficit. The consumed SAP was made of potassium polyacrylate and polyacrylamide copolymers. The main properties of SAP are presented in Table 2.

Laboratory experiment
Water holding ability of SAP is strongly influenced by the type and concentration of ions in the irrigation water. Therefore, the amount of this index was measured within the first hour of moisture supply in six water quality treatments including solutions of 0 (distilled water), 0.25, 0.5, 0.75, 1 and 1.25% NaCl. Moreover, grain size (by micrometer), pH (by pH meter), EC (by EC meter) and density of SAP were determined (Table 2). The ability of the superabsorbent polymers for water retaining decreased dramatically in saline waters, especially in response to divalent salts (Rahbar and Banedjschafie, 2009). Therefore, the amounts of qualitative indices of irrigation water such as monovalent and divalent ions concentration are presented in Table 3.

Agromonic practices
Soil preparation was done in 10 April and seed planting of cotton using Khordad cultivar was applied in 20 June. About 30 ton per hectare cow manure was used during seedbed preparation practices. Also, 240 kg ha$^{-1}$ urea (80 kg pre planting and 160 kg during vegetative growth period) and 200 kg ha$^{-1}$ phosphate (pre-planting) were used. Seed sowing was performed manually, using pile method (4 seeds per pile) in 3×3 m plots. The distances between plants after plant thinning in 20 July, were adjusted to 60×25 cm, therefore plant density was 83,000 plants per hectare. For SAP application, the material was mixed properly with soil using manual plough, to a depth of 30 cm. All plots were irrigated immediately after seed sowing in 22 Jun as well as in 1 July and then the irrigation intervals treatments were exerted in each plot until the end of the growth season. At the end of the growing season all plots were irrigated (around 15 Oct) and then irrigation was stopped until the end of cotton picking period. Irrigation frequencies in 120, 155 and 190 mm pan evaporation treatments (equal to ∼12, 15 and 18 days irrigation intervals) to the end of the growing season were 11, 9 and 8 times, respectively. The amount of water used in each irrigation round was 600 m$^3$ ha$^{-1}$. Accordingly, the amount of water used during growth season was determined using the amount of water used in each irrigation time × irrigation frequencies in each treatment. Finally, water use efficiency was calculated using the following equation. Also, the harvesting of cotton was done in three times at 27 Oct, 10 Nov and 17 Nov.

\[
WUE (kg \ m^{-2}) = \frac{\text{Cotton yield (kg ha}^{-1})}{\text{Water used during growing season (m}^3\text{ha}^{-1})}
\]

Measured indices
For measuring vegetative and reproductive growth (yield components) indices of cotton, five plants were selected randomly in each plot at 20 Oct. After that, some indices including plant height (cm), plant dry weight (g), number of lateral branches per plant, number of reproductive branches per plant, mean boll weight (fiber + seed + capsule), boll number per plant, fiber yield per boll and yield per plant were measured. Moreover, the amount of chlorophyll index was determined using SPAD meter model 502 plus Konica. For determining the amounts of yield per hectare the remaining plants (19 plants per plot) were used after elimination of the border effect (two marginal rows and a half meters from each side of three middle rows) and their cotton was picked in three harvests. Finally, harvest index was calculated using the equation:

\[
\text{Harvest index} = \frac{\text{Economical yield (lint + seed)(kg - ha}^{-1})}{\text{Biological yield (vegetative body + lint + seed)(kg - ha}^{-1})}
\]

Data analysis
Statistical analysis of the experimental data was made by the Duncan multiple ranges test at the 5% level of probability, using SAS 9.1.

Results and Discussion
Effect of salt concentration on water holding capacity of SAP
Water quality had a considerable effect on the water holding ability by superabsorbent polymer (SAP). Water absorption was highest in distilled water treatment and then decreased with increasing salt concentration. The amount of water retaining capacity by SAP in distilled water experiment was about 7 times more than solution of 1.25% sodium chloride (Fig. 1). This result indicated that profitability of SAP application is strongly dependent on solute concentration and water quality. These findings are in accordance with the results of Rousta et al. (2013) which reported that SAPs application is not recommended when irrigation water is saline. In a similar study, it was reported that water-holding ability of SAP decreased to 83% for water with 2,000 mg l$^{-1}$ salt concentration compared to distilled water (Rahbar and Banedjschafie, 2009). In the present field study the
Table 4. Analysis of variance for interaction effects of irrigation management (A) and SAP application (B) on vegetative and reproductive growth of cotton

| Source of variation | df | Means comparisons | Interaction effects of irrigation management and super absorbent polymer (potassium polyacrylate and polyacrylamide copolymers) application on vegetative growth indices of cotton |
|---------------------|----|-------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Chlorophyll index (SPAD) | Mean boll weight | Number of reproductive branches | Number of lateral branches | Plant dry weight | Plant height | df |
| 6.70* | 163.5* | 1.16* | 0.9** | 41.51** | 50.4* | 2 | Replication |
| 3.02* | 26.1** | 13.0* | 40.1** | 2366.51** | 258.0* | 2 | A |
| 4.97** | 50.7** | 0.85** | 11.5** | 255.52** | 95.9* | 4 | A*Replication (Ea) |
| 1.00** | 242.5** | 13.06** | 26.2** | 846.61** | 70.3** | 3 | B |
| 25.58 | 157 | 12.41 | 9.4 | 1329.26* | 90.7** | 6 | A'B |
| 17.30 | 175.5 | 4.5 | 9.0 | 496.12 | 305.7 | 18 | Error (Eb) |
| 58.58 | 815.7 | 45.0 | 97.3 | 5335.55 | 871.2 | 35 | Total |

| Mean boll weight | Chlorophyll index (SPAD) | Number of reproductive branches | Number of lateral branches | Plant dry weight | Plant height | df | Source of variation |
|-------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|-------------|----|-------------------|
| 6.70* | 163.5* | 1.16* | 0.9** | 41.51** | 50.4* | 2 | Replication |
| 3.02* | 26.1** | 13.0* | 40.1** | 2366.51** | 258.0* | 2 | A |
| 4.97** | 50.7** | 0.85** | 11.5** | 255.52** | 95.9* | 4 | A*Replication (Ea) |
| 1.00** | 242.5** | 13.06** | 26.2** | 846.61** | 70.3** | 3 | B |
| 25.58 | 157 | 12.41 | 9.4 | 1329.26* | 90.7** | 6 | A'B |
| 17.30 | 175.5 | 4.5 | 9.0 | 496.12 | 305.7 | 18 | Error (Eb) |
| 58.58 | 815.7 | 45.0 | 97.3 | 5335.55 | 871.2 | 35 | Total |

| Chlorophyll index (SPAD) | Number of reproductive branches | Number of lateral branches | Plant dry weight (g) | Plant height (cm) | Super absorbent (kg ha\(^{-1}\)) | Source of variation |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|
| 49.0* | 6.41* | 11.2* | 58.3* | 58.7* | 0 | Control (120 mm evaporation and ~12 days irrigation intervals) |
| 48.5* | 7.75* | 13.2* | 66.6* | 64.3* | 30 | Moderate water stress (155 mm evaporation and ~15 days irrigation intervals) |
| 51.6* | 7.58* | 13.8* | 63.3* | 65.5* | 60 | Severe water stress (190 mm evaporation and ~18 days irrigation intervals) |
| 47.1* | 6.58* | 12.4* | 57.3* | 62.4* | 90 | |
| 43.8* | 6.83* | 13.0* | 80.0* | 65.7* | 0 | |
| 56.0* | 7.25* | 14.5* | 72.5* | 67.8* | 30 | |
| 51.4* | 9.75* | 16.2* | 80.8* | 69.2* | 60 | |
| 49.6* | 8.16* | 16.1* | 87.5* | 69.8* | 90 | |
| 43.1* | 6.75* | 12.4* | 66.6* | 63.1* | 0 | |
| 52.6* | 5.33* | 12.3* | 62.5* | 59.0* | 30 | |
| 47.9* | 7.25* | 13.8* | 88.3* | 64.3* | 60 | |
| 49.0* | 6.83* | 12.6* | 87.5* | 62.1* | 90 | |

All means within a column with the same letter(s) are not significantly different at 0.05 level of probability.

Vegetative growth indices of cotton

The interaction effects of deficit irrigation and SAP application were significant on most of the vegetative growth indices of cotton (Table 4). In all irrigation treatments, SAP application improved the vegetative growth properties including plant height, plant dry weight, number of lateral and reproductive branches and chlorophyll index. The highest values of most vegetative growth indices, in different irrigation levels, were obtained at the treatment of 60 kg ha\(^{-1}\) SAP application (Table 5). In addition, moderate water stress treatment at different levels of SAP improved most of the cotton growth indices (Table 5). Therefore, it is possible to save water resources by increasing irrigation intervals from 120 to 150 mm pan evaporation in semi-arid regions. The better growth of cotton in moderate water stress compared with control treatment may indicate the inhibitory role of excess moisture and thereby the occurrence of hypoxia in short periods during the growing season.

In similar studies on pear millet (Keshavarz et al., 2012), peanut (Zaicidoustan et al., 2013), maize (Enejj et al., 2013; Mazen et al., 2015), mustard (Rahmani et al., 2009), bean (Pourmsael et al., 2013), corn (Khallili et al., 2011) and soybean (Yazdani et al., 2007) the application of SAP compensated the negative effects of drought

Amount of monovalent (Na\(^+,\) K\(^+\), Cl\(^-\)) and divalent (Ca\(^{2+}\), Mg\(^{2+}\)) ions in irrigation water was about 0.042% (Table 3). Therefore, the actual capacity of SAP for distilled water absorption (Fig. 1) was not obtained at real farm conditions.
stress and suggested that the irrigation intervals of crops could be increased with application of SAP. These materials are hydrophilic and can absorb and retain considerable amounts of water or aqueous solutions. After water availability, these polymers expand between 800 times of their original volume. By application of SAP high amounts of water can be collected, stored, and then released gradually for crop growth over duration between two irrigation or rainfall. Therefore, the application of SAP is a suitable method in irrigation deficit conditions because these materials moderate the negative effect of deficit water and so the irrigation period of a crop can be increased by their application (Dabhi et al., 2013). It has been reported that SAP consumption under drought stress reduces the damage caused by stress in the cytoplasmic membrane and subsequently decrease leakage of cell contents. Moreover, SAP reduces the production of destructive biomarkers and reactive oxygen species by increasing the water availability and thereby antioxidant enzymes activity decreases. These factors reduce the costs implied by supporting plants to neutralize the negative impacts of drought stress and finally enhance plant growth and yield (Khalili et al., 2009; Islam et al., 2011; Poreaemiai et al., 2013).

Reproductive growth indices of cotton

Interaction effects of different levels of irrigation and SAP application were significant on all reproductive growth properties of cotton (Table 4). The highest value of mean number of boll per plant was affected by moderate water stress and 60 kg ha⁻¹ SAP. Experimental factors had no considerable positive impact on mean boll weight. The value of this index in mentioned treatment was 17% higher than for severe water stress treatment, so that application of 60 kg ha⁻¹ SAP increased the amount of mentioned indices by 16% compared to control. In addition, the amounts of cotton yield per boll and per plant were higher in moderate water stress treatment and then followed by control and severe water stress treatments (Table 6). SAP has a positive effect on cotton yield, especially in moderate and severe water stress conditions. In all levels of water availability, the highest values of cotton yield belonged to 60 kg ha⁻¹ SAP treatment. The results of this experiment were in line with those of Rostampour and Ghamari (2014) on corn, Ziaedostan et al. (2013) on peanut, Enjei et al. (2013) on maize, Islam et al. (2011) on oat and Rostampour (2013) on sorghum, which reported that SAP had a positive effect on yield and yield component, especially in deficit irrigation condition. Their results revealed that SAP increased the relative water content, leaf water potential and chlorophyll content and reduced stress signals under deficit irrigation. Drought stress has adverse effects on water relations, leaf relative water content, stomatal conductivity, chlorophyll content, photosynthesis, leaf area duration, mineral nutrition, metabolism, growth and yield of plants. This environmental stress cause molecular damage to plants through formation of reactive oxygen species. SAP increases leaf area duration, reduces chlorophyll degradation, regulates the relationship between soil, water and plant and decreases water stress influences on growth of plants and finally increases crops yield (Stewart et al., 2010; Khali et al., 2011; Dabhi et al., 2013; Ziaedostan et al., 2013; Rostampour and Ghamari, 2014). It has been reported that drought stress leads to production of free oxygen radicals, which increase lipid peroxidation and oxidative stress in plants. Application of SAP could hold soil water, making same of it available to plants for reducing oxidative stress, especially under severe drought stress (Islam et al., 2011).

Water use efficiency of cotton

Water use efficiency (WUE) was significantly affected by deficit irrigation and SAP rates (Table 4). In all levels of SAP, this index was higher in moderate water stress treatment (Fig. 2). The amounts of WUE in moderate and severe water stress treatments were 25 and 16% higher than for control, respectively. Moreover, effect of SAP application was positive on WUE, especially in water deficit conditions.
cotton yield by 12% and the amount of water use efficiency by 14% compared to control treatment. Overall, irrigation deficit and SAP application are two suitable methods for crop production in areas affected by drought stress.
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