1. INTRODUCTION

The use of antifouling paints containing biocides (antifoulants) is the most common approach to prevent biofouling of surfaces in many industrial sectors and for the public community. The formulation of antifouling paints can be classified into three types (Figure S-1). First, antifoulants can be simply and molecularly dispersed in wet paints. This approach can be easily manipulated but often suffers from premature release or degradation of the active biocides. Excessive amounts of drugs are typically used in the paints to extend their effective time, but this usually causes environmental pollution and possibly leads to macroscopic phase separation in the coatings. Second, the biocides can be immobilized on objects like binders and pigments. Nevertheless, this method is limited to a small number of biocides that satisfy practical requirements or contain a suitable ligand. The issue of incompatibility prevents the combination of different kinds of biocides in a single paint formulation with high antifouling efficiency.

Third, biocides can be microencapsulated, which has various advantages in the production of antifouling paints: (i) the release speed of the active compounds from painted surfaces can be considerably slower than the coatings with freely dispersed biocides; (ii) encapsulated biocides are protected from degradation; (iii) macroscopic phase separation is prevented; and (iv) the handling and application of biocides are much easier after encapsulation. To date, numerous microcapsules carrying antifouling biocides have been reported. Various biocides have been encapsulated in polymer particles consisting of polymeric urushiol, poly(lactic-co-glycolic), melamine formaldehyde, and poly(methyl methacrylate). However, the size distribution and morphology of such particles are usually poorly controlled (Table S-1), which can cause difficulties in achieving a controllable dosage and highly reproducible sustained release of the encapsulated drug. It is also important that the microcapsule material is compatible with the wet paint to avoid heterogeneous dispersion, microcapsule agglomeration, and other undesirable issues. For example, the encapsulation of biocides in a hydrophilic material would be suitable for its combination with the waterborne paints and varnishes, offering benefits that include low viscosity and nonflammability. The microcapsule materials should also be biodegradable and environmentally acceptable to avoid possible pollution.
previous studies often deal with problems, such as clogging issues and nonuniform formation of the hydrogels.\textsuperscript{35–38} Therefore, although alginate hydrogels might be potentially suitable for the encapsulation of biocides, to the best of our knowledge, the microencapsulation of antifouling biocides in alginate hydrogels has never been reported.

Here, we demonstrate the environment-friendly hydrophilic encapsulation of a hydrophobic antifouling biocide in calcium alginate (Ca-alginate) hydrogels by applying a microfluidic emulsion-based external gelation technique that we reported previously (Figure 1).\textsuperscript{37} In the present study, Irgarol (N-cyclopropyl-N’-(1,1-dimethylethyl)-6-(methylthio)-1,3,5-triazine-2,4-diamine), a hydrophobic and phytotoxic antifoulant that inhibits photosystem II and prevents the growth of aquatic plant species (Figure S-2),\textsuperscript{38,39} was successfully encapsulated in that inhibits photosystem II and prevents the growth of aquatic plant species (Figure S-2),\textsuperscript{38,39} was successfully encapsulated in the Ca-alginate microcapsules via external ionic cross-linking.

This was in agreement with our previous study,\textsuperscript{37} in which we obtained pure Na-alginate droplets with a mean diameter of 190 μm and a coefficient of variation (CV) of 2.2% in the dripping mechanism, when the same flow rates were set for the continuous phase ($Q_c = 2.0$ mL/h) and the disperse phase ($Q_d = 0.1$ mL/h). This similarity in the experimental results suggests that the presence of the drug did not severely affect the mechanism of droplet generation and droplet sizes. During the experiments, the microfluidic chip did not experience gel clogging when operated normally.

2. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

2.1. Microfluidic Generation of Na-Alginate Droplets Loaded with Drugs. First, the formation of Na-alginate droplets loaded with Irgarol was observed at the upstream cross-junction of the device. Figure 2 displays a typical process of formation of a Na-alginate droplet containing the drug particles. Similar sized droplets were generated one by one in a dripping regime; the break-off rate was ~8 drops/s. The size of these Na-alginate droplets was calculated from the droplet production rate and disperse-phase flow rate (0.1 mL/h). The generated Na-alginate droplets loaded with the drug had a diameter of approximately 188 μm. Satellite droplets with a diameter of ~49 μm were occasionally formed owing to the highly viscous Na-alginate aqueous solution (ca. 1500 mPa-s; Figure 2, $t = 48$ ms). The Na-alginate droplets reached the next junction where two streams of the fine water-in-oil CaCl\textsubscript{2} emulsion were coflowing (Figure 2, $t = 109$ ms) to make Ca-alginate hydrogel microparticles via external ionic cross-linking.

Figure 3a shows bright-field photomicrographs of the Ca-alginate hydrogels redispersed in pure water at different magnifications, (b) size distribution of the produced Ca-alginate hydrogels, and (c) SEM image of a dried microgel with drug inside.

![Figure 1. Schematic illustration of the microfluidic process to prepare hydrogel microspheres of calcium alginate (Ca-alginate) loaded with the antifouling drug Irgarol.](image1)

![Figure 2. High-speed photomicrographs showing the break-off of a sodium alginate (Na-alginate) droplet containing the drug. Flow rates of the droplet phase, carrier oil phase, and reactant emulsion stream are 0.1 mL/h, 2.0 mL/h (=1.0 mL/h $\times$ 2), and 20.0 mL/h (=10.0 mL/h $\times$ 2), respectively.](image2)

![Figure 3. Generated Ca-alginate hydrogel microcapsules carrying drug particles. (a) Photomicrographs of the Ca-alginate hydrogels redispersed in pure water at different magnifications, (b) size distribution of the produced Ca-alginate hydrogels, and (c) SEM image of a dried microgel with drug inside.](image3)
In our previous study, monodisperse and highly spherical Ca-alginate hydrogel particles without any cargo inside were generated at the same flow rate ($Q_0 = 2.0 \text{ mL/h}$, $Q_d = 0.1 \text{ mL/h}$, and $Q_r = 20.0 \text{ mL/h}$) with a mean diameter of 160 $\mu$m, CV value of 4.2%, and roundness of 0.96. Thus, we showed that the encapsulation of the drug did not significantly affect the size, size distribution, shrinkage ratio, or morphology of the obtained Ca-alginate microgels.

2.3. Release Rate of the Encapsulated Drug. We investigated the release rate of the encapsulated drug from four hydrogel samples loaded with only the drug. Pure water was chosen as the surrounding medium in this experiment for simplicity, although in practical applications, the synthesized hydrogel particles will be dispersed in paints for use in air, marine, and freshwater sites. By combining two types of disperse phase (Disperse #1 and Disperse #2) and two different collection times (30 and 60 min), we prepared four samples with three different encapsulated drug amounts $m$, (Table S-5). Three parallel experiments were performed for each sample to produce an average value and standard deviation. The results of time-lapse ultraviolet–visible (UV–vis) measurements are shown in Figure 4a. The drug concentration in the tested solutions gradually increased with time. After 31 h, the drug concentration of the tested solution with type 2 hydrogels reached a plateau at approximately 1.3 mg/L. Then, after 41 h, the drug concentration of the solutions with type 1 and type 4 hydrogels hit a plateau at approximately 3.2 mg/L. Finally, after 50 h, the drug concentration of the tested solution with type 3 hydrogels reached a plateau at approximately 6.0 mg/L. During this experiment, we did not find any notable deformation or degradation of the hydrogel particles.

To further investigate the release rate and released amount of encapsulated drug, we carried out a curve fitting of the UV–vis measurement results using the Noyes–Whitney equation, in which the drug dissolution rate is directly proportional to the difference of dissolved drug concentration $C$ at time $x$ from the saturation concentration $C_s$.

$$\frac{dC}{dx} = \frac{1}{k}(C_s - C)$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $k$ is the dissolution time constant. When the initial condition is $C(0) = 0$, the equation is

$$C = C_s(1 - e^{-x/k})$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

We used the released amount $m_r$ to represent the total amount of drug successfully released from the hydrogel particles in the measurements, which is equal to $m_r = V \cdot C_s$.  

where $V$ is the total volume of the tested solution, which equals 0.05 L.

The parameter analysis of the curve fitting in Figure 4a is shown in Table 1. For type 1 hydrogels, the time constant of their release function was 14.23 h. The released amount of drug in type 1 hydrogels was 0.164 mg, which we consider as the amount of drug remaining in the hydrogels after filtration. Because the encapsulated amount was 0.250 mg, the encapsulation efficiency was calculated as 65.6%. For type 2 hydrogels, the time constant of the release function was 14.37 h and the released amount of drug was 0.072 mg, whereas the encapsulated amount was 0.125 mg. Thus, 57.6% of the drug remained in the microcapsules after filtration. For type 3 hydrogels, the time constant of the release function was 15.39 h, the released amount of the drug was 0.303 mg, and the encapsulated amount was 0.500 mg, indicating that 60.6% of the drug was retained before the measurement. Finally, for type 4 hydrogels, the time constant of their release function was 15.31 h, the released amount of the drug was 0.157 mg, and the encapsulated amount was 0.250 mg. Therefore, 62.8% of the drug remained in the microcapsule before the measurement.

In the hydrogels loaded with only the drug (types 1–4), the average value of the time constants $k$ of the four fitting curves was 14.83 ± 0.53. The small deviation in the amount of released drug at specific times and the small deviation in the time constant $k$ suggested that the release rate of the encapsulated drug was stable. Furthermore, the released amount of the encapsulated drug can be easily estimated and controlled according to the Noyes–Whitney equation and the steady time constant $k$. The amount of the drug successfully released from the hydrogels during the measurement was always less than the encapsulated amount in the four samples. The average value of the drug encapsulation efficiency was 61.7 ± 2.9%. The decrease in the drug amount was probably owing to its dissolution and the loss of hydrogel particles during the filtration process.

![Figure 4](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acsomega.0c02971)

**Table 1. Parameter Analysis of the Release of the Drug from Microgel Capsules**

| function | type 1 | type 2 | type 3 | type 4 |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| $C_s$    | 3.29   | 1.24   | 6.06   | 3.14   |
| $k$      | 14.23  | 14.37  | 15.39  | 15.31  |
| released amount ($m_r$), mg | 0.164 | 0.072 | 0.303 | 0.157 |
| encapsulated amount ($m_e$), mg | 0.250 | 0.125 | 0.500 | 0.250 |
| encapsulation efficiency (EE), % | 65.6 | 57.6 | 60.6 | 62.8 |
The hydrogel particles loaded with the drug in pure water at 0 and 72 h are shown in Figure 4b. At first (0 h), the drug crystals were observed inside the gel particles (Figure 4b-A). However, after 72 h, most of the encapsulated drug crystals disappeared (Figure 4b-B), suggesting that the drug dissolved in the surrounding aqueous solution.

In the practical fabrication of antifouling coating paints, the aqueous medium in both microcapsule suspension and wet coating paints should be largely removed to avoid possible undesirable problems (e.g., heterogeneous dispersion and agglomeration), forming a polymeric coating matrix for the final products. We consider that drug release from our alginate capsules to the surrounding dried coating matrix would be significantly slower than that from the capsules exposed directly to the aqueous environment in this study. In addition, the release of the biocide from the coating matrix to the surrounding aqueous environment would be controlled by several factors, such as the coating layer thickness, diffusion coefficient in the coating, and coating/water distribution coefficients. Thus, the controlled release of biocides from the coating formulated with our alginate microcapsules would be achieved by considering the permeation through both the microcapsule barrier and dried coating matrix.

2.4. Comparison of the Release Speed of Encapsulated and Nonencapsulated Drugs. The release speeds of the drug with and without encapsulation were compared (Figure 5). We prepared two nonencapsulated drug samples with different drug concentrations. Three parallel experiments were performed for each sample to produce an average value and standard deviation. After 7 h, the drug concentration of the tested solution with nonencapsulated drug sample 2 reached a plateau at approximately 3.2 mg/L. After 25 h, the drug concentration of the tested solution with nonencapsulated drug sample 1 reached a plateau at approximately 6.0 mg/L.

The parameter analysis of the curve fitting in Figure 5 is shown in Table 2. For the nonencapsulated drug sample 1, the time constant of their release function was 5.73 and the actual released amount of drug was 0.309 mg. For the nonencapsulated drug sample 2, the time constant of their release function was 6.54 and the actual released amount of drug was 0.157 mg.

By comparing the results of nonencapsulated samples with the encapsulated samples of the same saturated concentration (types 3 and 4), we observed two important differences. First, the release speed of the nonencapsulated drug sample was faster than that of the encapsulated one. The average dissolution time constant increased from 6.14 to 14.83 after encapsulation. The alginate hydrogel is not an ideal material for the sustained release of low-molecular-weight drugs owing to its relatively large pore size. Therefore, the sustained release from the hydrogel particles in this study was probably caused by partial coverage of a polymer network on the drug crystals and the resultant delayed dissolution. Second, the deviation of the released amount of the nonencapsulated drug at each specific time was larger than that of the encapsulated drug. We suppose that this smaller deviation was partially owing to the hydrophilic coatings of alginate microcapsules, which provided a uniform contact area of the hydrophobic drug crystals with the surrounding aqueous solution.

2.5. Ca-Alginate Microcapsules Containing Both Cellulose Fibers and Drug. The prepared hydrogel microcapsules loaded with the antifouling drug are intended to be mixed into the wet coating paints. To prevent the possible break of hydrogel microcapsules during the fabrication process, we added cellulose fibers into the hydrogel beads to enhance their mechanical strength.

We encapsulated both the drug and cellulose fibers in the Na-alginate droplets (Disperse #3, Figure S-5). Similar sized droplets were formed in the dripping regime at a production rate close to that of the droplets loaded with only the drug (~8 drops/s). The production rate of the droplets suggested that the generated Na-alginate droplets loaded with both the drug and cellulose fibers had a diameter of approximately 188 μm. Satellite droplets with a diameter of ~39 μm were occasionally generated following the main droplet (Figure S-5, t = 36 ms). The droplet reached the second junction for gelation in the coflowing CaCl₂ emulsion (Figure S-5, t = 117 ms).

The generated hydrogels were filled with cellulose fibers and had a spherical shape with a roundness of approximately 0.93 (Figure 6a). These particles had a mean diameter of 163 μm with a CV value of 3.9% (Figure 6b). The SEM image of the dried beads, carrying both the drug and fiber, suggests that these microcapsules retained a spherical shape after drying. However, compared with the surface of the dried gel beads

Figure 5. Time vs concentration of the dissolved nonencapsulated drug compared with the released drug from the prepared hydrogel particles.

Table 2. Parameter Analysis of the Release of the Encapsulated and Nonencapsulated Samples

|                | type 3 | nonencapsulated drug sample 1 | type 4 | nonencapsulated drug sample 2 |
|----------------|--------|-------------------------------|--------|-------------------------------|
| C₂₀ function   | 6.06   | 6.17                          | 3.14   | 3.14                          |
| k              | 15.39  | 5.73                          | 15.31  | 6.54                          |
| released amount (m₁), mg | 0.303  | 0.309                         | 0.157  | 0.157                         |
| encapsulated amount (m₂), mg | 0.500  | 0.500                         | 0.250  | 0.250                         |
| encapsulation efficiency (EE), % | 60.6   | 61.8                          | 62.8   | 62.8                          |
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with only the drug (type 3) had similar time constants of the fitting curve (15.34) and encapsulation efficiencies (61.0%), suggesting that the encapsulation of the cellulose fiber did not affect the release rate and released amount of the encapsulated drug.

The mechanical strength of the hydrogel particles was largely enhanced owing to the cellulose fibers. Figure 6e shows the deformation percentage of two hydrogel layers under different external stress values. We represented the deformation percentage of the hydrogel layer between the two glass slides using the following equation

$$D = \frac{\Delta T}{T_0} \times 100$$

Here, $D$ is the deformation percentage, $\Delta T$ is the deformation amount, and $T_0$ is the original thickness of the prepared hydrogel layer. Three parallel experiments were performed for each sample to produce an average value and standard deviation.

When 0.74 N of force was applied, the average deformation percentage of the hydrogel layers with and without fibers was 0.93 and 21.21%, respectively. When the force was increased to 1.52 N, the deformation of hydrogel layers increased. The hydrogel layer containing cellulose fibers had an average deformation percentage of 18.52% and the hydrogel layer with no fibers inside had an average deformation percentage of 37.27%. When the force was further increased to 11.76 and 16.66 N, the deformation of tested hydrogel layers reached a maximum value. The hydrogel layer containing cellulose fibers had a maximum deformation percentage of approximately 50%, whereas the hydrogel layer without fibers inside had a maximum deformation percentage of approximately 71%.

The mechanical strength of the hydrogel microcapsules could be improved by adding the cellulose fibers. We believe that this higher mechanical strength might have the potential for the dispersion of microcapsules into a coating system, which could ensure that microcapsules maintain an intact structure with a controlled release function.

2.6. Antifouling Effect of the Encapsulated Drug. Algae, mussels, and bacteria are the common objects used for the evaluation of antifouling effects in the marine applications. In our study, however, we demonstrated the antifouling effect of the encapsulated Irgarol, which is known as an effective inhibitor of the photosynthesis of a large number of marine and freshwater plant species, using water grass ($B$. monnieri) (Figure 7). First, all of the water grass samples were alive with

![Figure 6](Image)

Figure 6. Generated Ca-alginate hydrogel microcapsules of drugs and cellulose fibers. (a) Photomicrographs of the Ca-alginate hydrogels dispersed in pure water observed at different magnifications: (A) 10x and (B) 40x. (b) Size distribution of the produced Ca-alginate hydrogels. (c) SEM image of a dried microgel with drugs and fibers. (d) Time vs concentration of the released drug from Ca-alginate microcapsules with or without cellulose fibers. (e) Deformation percentage of the hydrogels with or without cellulose fibers inside under the external applied force.

The average drug release rates, measured by UV-vis spectroscopy in three repeated experiments, are shown in Figure 6d. The drug concentration in the tested solutions with hydrogels loaded with both the drug and fibers gradually increased with time and reached a plateau at approximately 6.0 mg/L after 50 h. The parameter analysis of the curve fitting in Figure 6d is shown in Table 3. In the hydrogel particles encapsulating both the drug and cellulose fibers, the time constant of the release function was 15.34, the released amount of the drug was 0.309 mg, and the encapsulated amount was 0.500 mg, suggesting that approximately 61.0% of the drug remained encapsulated before the measurement. The hydrogels loaded with the drug and fiber and the hydrogels loaded

Table 3. Parameter Analysis of the Release of the Drug from the Hydrogels Loaded with Cellulose Fibers

| Function | with fiber (type 5) | without fiber (type 3) |
|----------|-------------------|-----------------------|
| $C_i$    | 6.17              | 5.93                  |
| $k$      | 15.34             | 16.52                 |
| released amount ($m_r$), mg | 0.309 | 0.310 |
| encapsulated amount ($m_e$), mg | 0.500 | 0.500 |
| encapsulation efficiency (EE), % | 61.0 | 60.5 |

![Figure 7](Image)

Figure 7. Photographs of cultured water grass ($B$. monnieri) from (a) top and (b) side views.
green leaves. However, after 4 days of culture, in the beaker
with type 3 hydrogels, most of the water grass leaves had
become shriveled. Moreover, the color of the water in the
beaker turned black with a rotten smell, indicating that the
water grass almost died off. In contrast, in the beaker with
type 4 hydrogels, the water grass was still alive with green leaves,
although the water in the beaker became turbid. No strange
smell could be noted. Then, after 6 days of culture, most of the
water grass samples in the beakers containing the antifouling
drug were withered and the color of the water inside the beaker
darker with a strong rotten smell.

We consider that the photosynthesis of water grass was
inhibited by the antifouling drug, resulting in the withering and
rotting of the samples. Thus, we showed that the encapsulated
drug could be released from the hydrogel beads over time and
could exhibit its antifouling effect. In addition, according to
Table 1, the total released drug amounts of type 3 and type 4
hydrogels were 0.303 and 0.157 mg, respectively. Therefore,
we speculate that the water grass with type 3 hydrogels
withered faster than the water grass with type 4 hydrogels
because of the higher drug concentration.

3. CONCLUSIONS

A microfluidic method was used for the synthesis of
hydrophilic Ca-alginate hydrogel microcarriers loaded with
an antifouling biocide. We produced Irgarol-encapsulated
monodisperse hydrogel beads with an average diameter of
160 μm, CV of 3.8%, roundness of 0.97, and the encapsulation
efficiency of 61.7 ± 2.9%. Using UV-vis spectroscopy, we
observed a stable and controlled release through encapsulation.
Cellulose fibers incorporated into the biocide enhanced the
mechanical strength of the monodisperse microcapsules having
an average diameter of 163 μm, CV of 3.7%, and roundness of
0.93. Finally, the antifouling effect of the drug released from
the hydrogel beads was demonstrated with water grass (B. monnieri).

For practical applications, it will be important to formulate
water-based and/or solvent-based paints with our alginate
capsules and to evaluate the release rates of the biocide from
the coated surfaces. It is also needed to demonstrate the
scaled-up production of the particles via the parallelization of
many channels on a chip because the production throughput in
our present setup was very low (~8 particles/s). We believe that this study suggests a promising route for manufacturing
hydrogel microcapsules of hydrophobic herbicides that will be
to transform the formulation of antifouling coating paints.

4. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

4.1. Microfluidic Device. A microfluidic device comprising
a water-in-oil CaCl2 emulsion coflow for external gelation
(Figure 1). The upstream channels were 200 μm wide and 200
μm deep. As for the downstream junction, the two input
channels were 200 μm wide, the drain channel was 400 μm
wide, and these channels were 400 μm deep. We fabricated
these channels in a chip (15 × 15 × 3.5 mm3) of quartz glass,
according to our previously published protocol.

4.2. Chemicals. Sodium alginate (80–120 mPa s for 10 g/L,
20°C), corn oil, calcium chloride (>95%, CaCl2), hexane
(>95%), and ethylenediamine-N,N,N′,N′′-tetraacetic acid diso-
dium salt dihydrate (>99.5%) were purchased from Fujifilm
Wako Pure Chemical Corporation (Osaka, Japan). We
prepared the dispense phase by mixing a 3 wt % aqueous
Na-alginate solution with Irgarol 1071 (Ciba) or with both the
drug and cellulose fibers (Arbocel UFC 100, JRS, MI, Figure S-6)
by using a disperser (T10 basic ULTRA-TURRAX, IKA,
Königswinter, Germany). The contents of the dispense phase
used in the experiments are shown in Table S-2. Corn oil was
used as the continuous phase. As for the CaCl2 emulsion, corn
oil containing a surfactant (SY-Glyster CRS-75, Sakamoto
Yakuhin Kogyo, Osaka, Japan) at 0.1 wt % was mixed with a 30
wt % aqueous CaCl2 solution via homogenization at 30,000
rpm for 3 min. The weight fraction of aqueous solution in this
emulsion was set as 0.26. The formulation of the materials
other than the drug and fibers was based on our previous work,
while we set the concentrations of the drug and fibers
so that they would not clog the tubes and microchannels. The
flow rates of the continuous phase (Qc), disperse phase (Qd),
and emulsion phase (Qe) in all experiments were set at 2.0, 0.1,
and 2.0 mL/h, respectively. Hexane and deionized water
(Merck Direct-Q UV, Tokyo, Japan) were chosen for washing
the collected hydrogel microparticles. In case of accidental
clogging due to gelation in the device, an aqueous solution of
ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (5 wt %) was used to dissolve
the clogs.

4.3. Preparation of Ca-Alginate Microcapsules. Gas-
tight syringes (1000 series, Hamilton Company, NV) filled
with solutions were linked to a stainless supporting holder
assembled with the glass chip via poly(tetrafluoroethylene)
tubes (inner diameter, 0.5 mm; outer diameter, 1.58 mm).
Infusion of the solutions into the channels was controlled by
syringe pumps (Legato 200 and KDS 200, KD Scientific, MA).
The mixture that leaves the output tube (inner diameter, 0.8
mm; outer diameter, 1.58 mm) was poured on a nylon mesh
(mesh size: 42 μm × 42 μm, Tokyo Screen, Tokyo, Japan) to
collect the microcapsules. Deionized water was poured on
these capsules to wash the CaCl2 emulsion away. Hexane was
then used to flush out the residual corn oil. Finally, deionized
water was added to wash out the hexane.

4.4. Ultraviolet—Visible (UV—vis) Spectroscopy. The
released amount of encapsulated drug was measured by UV—
vis spectroscopy. The setup for the UV—vis spectroscopy
consisted of a light source (DT-Mini-2, Ocean Optics, Oxford,
UK), a spectrometer (USB2000+, Ocean Optics), and a
cuvette holder and a glass cuvette (9/Q/10/UM, Starna,
London, UK). According to the Beer—Lambert law, the
absorbance is defined as

$$A = \log_{10}(I_0/I)$$

where $A$ is the measured absorbance, $I_0$ is the transmitted
intensity of UV—vis light after passing through the pure water,
and $I$ is the transmitted intensity of light passing through the
tested solution. For the UV—vis measurement, the hydrogel
microcapsules were collected on the nylon mesh. Then, they
were flushed into the glass beaker with 50 mL of pure water.
Next, 1.5 mL of the solution was transferred to the glass
cuvette for measurement. The solution was poured back into
the beaker (Figure S-7).

4.5. Relationship between UV—vis Light Absorbance
and Drug Concentration. We demonstrated the relationship
between the measured UV–vis light absorbance and drug concentration of the tested solution. Five samples with the drug concentration at approximately 7.5 mg/L were prepared. The five samples are detailed in Table S-3. We diluted each prepared sample by 1/6, 1/4, 1/3, 1/2, 2/3, and 5/6. Irgarol had only one peak value of absorbance (∼224 nm) in the wavelength range from 195 to 527 nm (Figure S-8). A graph of the relationship between the peak absorbance value (at 224 nm) and the drug concentration of the tested solution was prepared (Figure S-9a), and the linear fitting of scattering points was carried out (Figure S-9b). The relationship between the peak absorbance value and drug concentration was based on the following equation

\[ C_D = 8.93A \]  

(6)

where \( A \) is the peak UV–vis light absorbance value and \( C_D \) is the drug concentration of the tested solution. This linear fitting is detailed in Table S-4.

4.6. Preparation of Ca-Alginate Hydrogels and Nonencapsulated Drug Samples for UV–vis Spectroscopy. We prepared four Ca-alginate hydrogel particles loaded with only the drug and one type of hydrogel particle containing both the drug and cellulose fibers for the UV–vis spectroscopy. The details of the prepared hydrogels are shown in Table S-5. For type 1 hydrogels, 0.1 g of Irgarol was added in 20 g of 3 wt % Na-alginate solution as the disperse phase (Disperse #1) and we collected the particles for 30 min. For type 2 hydrogels, 0.05 g of the drug was added in 20 g of 3 wt % Na-alginate solution as the disperse phase (Disperse #2) and we also collected the particles for 30 min. Type 3 hydrogels had the same content as type 1 hydrogels, but we collected the particles for 60 min. Type 4 hydrogels had the same content as type 2 hydrogels, while the collection time was 60 min. Type 5 hydrogels were loaded with 0.1 g of the drug and 1.0 g of cellulose fibers, which were mixed in 3 wt % Na-alginate solution as the disperse phase (Disperse #3) and the collection time was set as 60 min. In addition, we defined the encapsulated amount \( m_e \), which is the amount of encapsulated drug predicted from the drug concentration in the original disperse phase, the disperse phase flow rate, and the collection time, as follows:

\[ m_e = C_D \cdot Q_d \cdot t \]  

(7)

where \( C_D \) is the original concentration of drug in Na-alginate solution, \( Q_d \) is the flow rate of the Na-alginate solution phase, and \( t \) means the collection time.

As for the nonencapsulated drug samples, we dissolved 3.0 or 6.0 mg of Irgarol in 20 mL of methanol. Then, 1 mL of the prepared solution was transferred into the glass beaker and evaporated at 80 °C for 3 h. Finally, 50 mL of pure water was added to the beaker to achieve a final concentration of 6.0 mg/L (nonencapsulated drug sample 1) or 3.0 mg/L (non-encapsulated drug sample 2).

4.7. Measurement of Deformation of Ca-Alginate Hydrogels. We used two Ca-alginate hydrogel particles loaded with only the drug or both the drug and fibers to compare their mechanical strength. We chose type 3 hydrogels for the sample without fibers and type 5 hydrogels for the sample with fibers. These hydrogel particles were sandwiched separately between a pair of glass slides (S1112, Matsunami Glass, Osaka, Japan), and we applied stress from the top side (Figure S-10). The deformation of the hydrogel layer was recorded using a digital camera (Power Shot G7X, Canon, Tokyo, Japan) and analyzed using ImageJ (NIH, MD).

4.8. Culturing of Water Grass. We cultured 0.5 g of water grass seeds (B. monnieri, Balai, Japan) in a glass beaker with 80 g of aquarium functional soil (Sunsun, Zhoushan, Zhejiang, China) and 20 mL of pure water. After 1 week of culture, the Ca-alginate microcapsules were collected and dried on the nylon mesh and flushed into glass beakers filled with water grass using 30 mL of pure water. The glass beakers were put in the normal outdoor environment with sufficient sunlight.

4.9. General Equipment. A high-speed camera (FAST-CAM Mini AX50, Photron, Tokyo, Japan) was mounted on an upright microscope (BX-51, Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) to monitor the flow inside the microfluidic device. ImageJ was used to calculate the size and roundness of hydrogel microparticles. We obtained the particle diameter \( D \) and roundness \( R \) from the following equations

\[ D = 2\sqrt{S/\pi} \]  

(8)

\[ R = \frac{4\pi S}{L^2} \]  

(9)

where \( S \) is the projected area and \( L \) is the perimeter of a hydrogel microparticle measured by ImageJ. More than 150 samples were measured to calculate a coefficient of variation (CV). Software Origin Pro 2016 (OriginLab, MA) was used for linear and curve fitting. The collected hydrogels were dried for 1 day at room temperature (∼23 °C). Then, we observed the dried particles using a scanning electron microscope (SEM, JSM-6610LA, JEOL, Tokyo, Japan). The ATR-FTIR spectra were measured by an FTIR spectrophotometer (IRAffinity-1, Shimadzu, Tokyo, Japan). The spectra were collected in the range from 4000 to 400 cm⁻¹ with a resolution of 2 cm⁻¹ at 32 scans.
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