Both OsRecQ1 and OsRDR1 Are Required for the Production of Small RNA in Response to DNA-Damage in Rice
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Abstract

Small RNA-mediated gene silencing pathways play important roles in the regulation of development, genome stability and various stress responses in many eukaryotes. Recently, a new type of small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) approximately 20–21 nucleotides long in Neurospora crassa have been shown to mediate gene silencing in the DNA damage response (DDR) pathway. However, the mechanism for RNA silencing in the DDR pathway is largely unknown in plants. Here, we report that a class of small RNAs (qiRNAs) derived from rDNA was markedly induced after treatment by DNA-damaging agents [ethyl methanesulphonate (EMS) and UV-C], and that aberrant RNAs (aRNAs) as precursors were also highly induced after the DNA damage treatment in rice. However, these RNAs were completely abolished in OsRecQ1 (RecQ DNA helicase homologue) and OsRDR1 (RNA-dependent RNA polymerase homologue) mutant lines where either gene was disrupted by the insertion of rice retrotransposon Tos17 after the same treatment. DNA damage resulted in a more significant increase in cell death and a more severe inhibition of root growth in both mutant lines than in the WT. Together, these results strongly suggest that both OsRecQ1 and OsRDR1 play a pivotal role in the aRNA and qiRNA biogenesis required for the DDR and repair pathway in rice, and it may be a novel mechanism of regulation to the DDR through the production of qiRNA in plants.
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Introduction

Introduction of DNA damage in most organisms is caused by two major sources from exogenous factors such as ultraviolet light (UV), ionizing radiation and chemical exposure [1,2], as well as through endogenous cellular processes such as cellular metabolism and replication errors [3,4]. Failure to repair DNA damage can lead to blockages of DNA transcription and replication, mutagenesis and cell death [5,6]. The mechanism of DNA damage response (DDR) and repair is essential for the maintenance of genomic integrity and survival for all organisms [7]. A variety of DNA repair pathways have been developed to fix the different kinds of DNA damage in eukaryotic cells, which mainly repair direct reversal of damage (DR), single-strand breaks (SSBs) and double-strand breaks (DSBs) [4,5]. The mechanisms of non-homologous end-joining (NHEJ) and homologous recombination (HR) are involved in the DSB repair pathway [8]. Many proteins acting as sensors, transducers or effectors are required for cell cycle checkpoint regulation, DNA repair and apoptosis in different DDR pathways [9]. Previous studies suggest that the RecQ family of DNA helicase is involved in the DNA repair pathway [10,11]. The anthrax toxin receptor (ATR) and ataxia telangiectasia mutated (ATM) protein kinases have known to be involved in a wide variety of responses to DNA damage in plants [12], both ATM and ATR play central roles in the cellular response to DSBs by regulating DNA repair, cell-cycle arrest and apoptosis [13], and suppressor of gamma response 1 (SOG1) participates in pathways governed by both ATR and ATM sensor kinases in plants [9]. Currently, a novel protein in mammals, RHINO (Rad9, Rad1, Hus1 interacting nuclear orphan) is shown to be required for ATR (ataxia telangiectasia and the Rad3-related) signaling and cell cycle checkpoint activation in the DDR pathway [14], and Wolf-Hirschhorn syndrome candidate 1 (WHSC1) gene in human cells recruited to sites of DNA damage in the DDR [15].

RNA silencing is a manner of gene regulation by degrading sequence-specific RNA, which is conserved among eukaryotes including fungi, animals and plants [16,17]. A number of genes have been implicated in the diverse RNA silencing pathway in multiple organisms [18,19,20]. QDE-1 (RNA-dependent RNA polymerase, RDR homologue) and QDE-3 (RecQ DNA helicase homologue) in the filamentous fungus Neurospora crassa have been shown to be involved in the generation of double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) induced RNA silencing [21,22]. In Arabidopsis, some homologues of RDRs (AirRDR1, AirRDR2 and AirRDR6) have been shown to be responsible for RNA silencing or the antiviral pathway [23,24,25]. In rice, previous studies suggest that OsRecQ1,
a QDE-3 homologue, is thought to participate in the process of allowing inverted repeat (IR) DNA to be transcribed into dsRNA that can trigger RNA silencing [26]. OsRDR1 has been reported to be involved in virus mediated RNA silencing [27], while rice chromomethyltransferase 3 (OsCMT3) has been anticipated to be involved in the epigenetic process in affecting genome activity during abiotic stress [28]. Suppressor of gene silencing 3 (SGS3) in Arabidopsis has been shown to be required for the biogenesis of trans-acting small interfering RNAs (ta-siRNAs) [24]. There are at least two copies of SGS3 (OsSGS3a (AK064995) and OsSGS3b (AK100699)) in rice, and a recent finding showed that rice (OsSGS3a) interacted with a silencing suppressor, Rice stripe virus (RSV) p2 protein, which has been demonstrated to be be targets of TAS3-derived ta-siRNAs, was up-regulated in RSV-infected rice [29].

Small RNA (sRNA), including small interfering RNA (siRNA) and microRNA (miRNA), plays an important role in the RNA silencing pathway during diverse biological processes in plants and animals [30,31]. Later studies have shown that siRNA and miRNA are mobile signals that control gene regulation in the RNA silencing pathway [32,33]. More recently, small RNA-mediated gene silencing as a new layer has been shown to modulate protein activity in the DDR pathways in Neurospora and animals [34,35,36], but the mechanism for RNA silencing in the DDR pathway remains largely unknown in plants. In this paper, we present the role of OsRecQ1 and OsRDR1 in the small RNA regulating DDR in rice and propose a novel mechanism of gene regulation to the DDR through small RNA biogenesis in plants.

Results

qiRNAs Induced by DNA-damaging Agents in Rice

A previous study has shown that a new class of small interfering RNAs (qiRNAs) in Neurospora crassa is involved in regulation of gene silencing in the DDR pathway [36]. It is worthwhile examining whether there is a similar mechanism of qiRNA regulation to the DDR in plants. Here, rice leaves and calli were treated by the DNA-damaging agents EMS or UV-C, respectively. Northern blot analysis with an RNA probe specific for the sense 25S rDNA region showed that aRNAs derived from rDNA specific transcripts with a few hundred nucleotides (nt) to 2 kilobases (kb) were markedly induced after UV or EMS treatment, but it was at an undetectable level under normal conditions in WT (Figure 1A), and a similar result was also obtained using an RNA probe specific for the sense 25S rDNA region (data not shown), suggesting that these aRNAs derived from both strandsofDNA for 25SrRNA. RT-qPCR analyses showed that aRNAs originated from rDNA specific transcripts with a few hundred nucleotides (nt) to 2 kilobases (kb) were markedly induced after UV or EMS treatments in WT, but were completely abolished in the OsRecQ1 mutant line (Figure 1B) and a similar result was also obtained using an RNA probe specific for the sense 25S rDNA region (data not shown) suggesting that these aRNAs derived from both strands of DNA for 25S rRNA. RT-qPCR analyses showed that aRNAs originated from both upstream (U) and downstream (D) regions of the transcribed 25S rDNA locus were highly induced after

aRNAs Required for qiRNA Biogenesis as Precursors in Rice

It is generally accepted that the biogenesis of qiRNAs requires aberrant RNAs (aRNAs) as precursors [36]. To examine this possibility, the relationship between qiRNAs and aRNAs was investigated in rice by northern blot analyses and quantitative reverse transcription PCR (RT-qPCR). The results from the northern blot analyses using an RNA probe specific for the antisense 25S rDNA region showed that a class of small RNAs (qiRNAs) about 20–21 nucleotides (nt) in length was significantly induced after UV or EMS treatment in WT, but was at an undetectable level under normal conditions in WT (Figure 1A), and a similar result was also obtained using an RNA probe specific for the sense 25S rDNA region (data not shown), suggesting that these small RNA are double stranded. Interestingly, qiRNA accumulation was completely abolished in the OsRecQ1 mutant line (ND8004) (Figure 1A). These results suggest that OsRecQ1 is required for qiRNA biogenesis in the DDR pathway.

Figure 1. The detection of aRNAs and qiRNAs in WT and the OsRecQ1 mutant line (ND8004) after the DNA damaging agent UV or EMS treatment by northern blot and RT-qPCR analysis. (A) The results show a significant induction of qiRNAs about 20–21 nucleotides (nt) in length after UV or EMS treatments in WT, but a complete abolishment in the OsRecQ1 mutant line. An RNA probe derived from the sense 25S rDNA region was used. The arrow denotes the qiRNAs. The bottom panel of tRNAs shows equal loading control. (B) The results show a marked induction of 25S rDNA specific transcripts after UV or EMS treatments in WT, but a complete loss in the OsRecQ1 mutant line (ND8004). An RNA probe derived from sense 25S rDNA region was used. The bottom panel of rRNAs shows equal loading control. (C) A schematic diagram shows the upstream (U) and downstream (D) primers from rRNA regions for RT-qPCR analysis. The transcriptional start site is shown with an arrow. (D) RT-qPCR results indicating an abolishment of aRNAs from the rDNA locus induced by UV treatment in the OsRecQ1 mutant lines (ND8004). The expressing level of rice ubiquitin gene was used as the internal control. Two independent experiments are shown. Data are the mean ± SE (n = 3), *P < 0.001. RT-qPCR analysis showing a loss of aRNAs from the rDNA locus induced by EMS treatment in the OsRecQ1 mutant lines (ND8004). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0055252.g001
UV or EMS treatment, but were completely abolished in the OsRecQ1 mutant lines (Figure 1D and E). These results indicate that aRNAs transcribed from the rDNA locus as precursors are required for qiRNA biogenesis in the DDR in rice and that the RecQ DNA helicase, OsRecQ1, is required for aRNA biogenesis in the DDR pathways.

**RNA-dependent RNA Polymerase 1 (RDR1) Required for aRNA and qiRNA Biogenesis in Rice**

RDRs are an essential component of RNA silencing and can specifically recognize aRNAs, convert them to double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) [37,38]. Some other related genes such as OsCMT3 [28] and OsSGS3 [29] may participate in this biological processing of DDR. To examine this possibility in the DDR in rice, the accumulation of qirRNAs and aRNAs was investigated by northern blot analyses and RT-qPCR detection in the OsRDR1 as well as other mutant lines including OsCMT1, OsCMT3 and OsSGS3b [24,29,29]. The results from the northern blot analyses with a RNA probe specific for the antisense 25S rDNA region show that qirRNAs and aRNAs were obviously induced after UV treatment in WT, OsCMT1, OsCMT3 and OsSGS3b (Figure 2A and B). Notably, qirRNAs and aRNAs were completely abolished in both OsRDR1 mutant lines (ND2001 and ND2059) [25] but not in other mutant lines (Figure 2A and B), and a similar result of qirRNAs and aRNAs was also obtained using a RNA probe specific for the sense 25S rDNA region (data not shown). Furthermore, RT-qPCR analysis also showed that aRNAs derived from both the upstream (U) and downstream (D) regions of the rDNA locus were significantly induced after UV treatment in WT, OsCMT1, OsCMT3 and OsSGS3b (Figure 2C), but not in the OsRDR1 mutant lines, indicating that OsRDR1 is indispensable for qirRNA and aRNA biogenesis in the DDR pathway in rice.

**DDR Induced by DNA-damaging Agents in Rice**

To investigate the DDR in the OsRecQ1 and OsRDR1 mutant lines, two-week-old seedlings were treated by UV-C irradiation for 0, 8, 16, 24 h. In Arabidopsis, Rad51A1 gene family is found to be involved in the DDR [4,5]. After the treatments, the expression of OsRad51A1 and OsRad51A2 were checked by RT-PCR analysis, and the results show that the transcription of OsRad51A2 was strongly induced by UV-C irradiation in the root tip (RT) of WT, but not in the OsRecQ1 and OsRDR1 mutant lines (Figure 3A), and OsRad51A2 was also strongly detected in the shoot apical meristem (SAM) after UV-C treatment, but not in the mature leaf (ML) possibly because of no proliferating stage (Figure 3B and C). However, the transcription of OsRad51A1 was not changed in the RT and SAM after UV-C treatment (Figure 3B and C). These results suggest that OsRad51A2, not OsRad51A1, may participate in the DDR pathway induced by UV-C.

To further examine the DDR, the root tissues after UV-C treatment were checked for cell death by Evans blue staining. The results showed that more dead cells were stained blue under the microscope (Figure 4A), suggesting the higher frequency of cell death in the both OsRecQ1 and OsRDR1 mutant lines than that in the WT.

Meantime, the suspension cell assay was also performed by Evans blue staining for monitoring cell death estimation by spectrophotometry or by microscopic observation [39], and both results indicate that UV irradiation resulted in a more significant increase in cell death in the OsRecQ1 and OsRDR1 mutant lines than in the WT (Figure 4B). Moreover, the root growth assay of seedlings [40] was carried out by liquid cultures containing 0, 10, 20, 30 μM EMS, and the results show that the root growth of the OsRecQ1 and OsRDR1 mutant seedlings was more severely suppressed compared to the WT (Figure 4C and D). These results indicate that OsRecQ1 and OsRDR1 play a key role in the DNA repair pathway.

**Discussion**

The dynamic state of DNA metabolism acts as replication, recombination and repair for tolerating and repairing numerous types of damage in all living organisms [5]. Although failure to repair DNA damage can lead to serious diseases in humans and animals, this situation is not a particular case in most higher plants. However, the mechanism of DNA metabolism plays a significant role in cell metabolic activity, normal growth and development in reproductive tissues of higher plants such as meristematic tissues [4]. Rad51-like genes were previously shown to be involved in HR and related repair pathways through mediating strand invasion and exchange between homologous DNA molecules [4,5]. The transcription of OsRadA is thought to be related to the level of cell proliferation in the meristematic tissues [41] and for meiotic homologous recombination and the repair of DSBs [42,43,44]. During the study of OsRecQ1 and OsRDR1 functions in the DDR, we observed that the expression of OsRad51A2 was significantly induced by UV-C irradiation in the RT and SAM of WT, but not in the OsRecQ1 and OsRDR1 mutant lines (Figure 3A). These results suggest that the transcription of OsRad51A2 is particularly relevant to the level of cell proliferation in the replicating tissues, but that of OsRad51A1 is not (Figure 3B and C), suggesting that OsRad51A1 may have a different role from that of OsRad51A2 in this DDR pathway. It can be thought at least that OsRecQ1 and OsRDR1 are in the upstream to OsRad51A2. Since these two genes are involved in qiRNA biogenesis, qiRNA might affect the transcription of OsRad51A2. It remains to elucidate how qiRNA (or other yet unknown OsRDR1 and OsRecQ1 involved small RNA) are directly/indirectly involved in the transcription of OsRad51A2, and that OsRecQ1 and OsRDR1 may play an important role in the processing of cell proliferation in the DDR pathway. It would be interesting to investigate whether this is general for other genes related to the DDR such as BRC1 (Breast Cancer 1), MRE11 (Meiotic Recombination 11) or RECQ4 (Recombination Q4) in rice.

Although the mechanisms of DNA damage and repair have been clearly established in bacteria, yeast, and mammals, it is worthwhile determining whether these mechanisms exist in higher plants [4]. As a new class of small RNA, qiRNA, has recently been shown to be involved in regulation of gene silencing in the DDR pathway in Neurospora crassa [36]. The present research focuses on a novel aspect of small RNA-mediated gene silencing in the DDR pathways in rice. The results suggest that the production of qiRNA may be a novel mechanism for the DDR in plants, which is similar to the mechanism of RNAi in the DDR pathway in Neurospora crassa. In Figure 5, a proposed model for the RNA silencing pathway in the DDR is shown. After DNA damage, cells activate the DNA repair pathway that decides the cell’s fate either to repair damage or to undergo apoptosis [34,36]. The DDR provokes cell-cycle progression to regulate protein levels through the small RNA-mediated gene silencing pathway, which responds to DNA damage checkpoints [36,45]. Our results show that both OsRecQ1 and OsRDR1 are required for aRNA and qiRNA biogenesis after DNA-damaging agent (EMS or UV) treatments, and aRNAs are required for qiRNA biogenesis as precursors. In our experiments not only qiRNA but also aRNAs were found to be double-stranded because either sense or antisense RNA probe could detect their RNA bands in the northern blots. aRNA is thought to be single-stranded [46,47]. However, it is not the case in this study. It may possible that in DDR both sense and antisense DNA strands at the
same rDNA locus could be transcribed to produce aberrant RNAs. DNA helicase and RDR may participate in this step because QDE1 showed RNA/DNA dependent RNA polymerase activity [36] and RDR6 in Arabidopsis showed polymerase activity on ssRNA as well as ssDNA in in vitro polymerase activity assay [37]. It remains to be determined whether both sense and antisense 25S rDNA regions were transcribed as a unit length or not.

More recently, a kind of small RNAs (DSB-induced small RNAs, diRNAs) has been reported to be involved in the DSB repair pathway. diRNAs are generated from the sequences in the vicinity of DSB sites in Arabidopsis and in human cells [48]. In our current findings, qiRNAs are derived from rDNA repeats, which contribute to DDR by inhibiting rRNA biogenesis and regulating protein translation levels [36]. Upon exposure to DNA damaging agents, rDNA-specific small RNAs are induced that mediate DSB repair on damaged repetitive rDNAs. Therefore, qiRNAs are a different kind of small RNAs in the DDR pathway from diRNAs in the DSBs repair pathway.

**Figure 2.** The detection of aRNAs and qiRNAs in WT and other mutant lines after UV treatment by Northern blot and RT-qPCR analysis. (A) The results show an obvious induction of qiRNAs after UV or EMS treatments in WT and some of the mutant lines, but a complete abolishment in both OsRDR1 mutant lines. An RNA probe derived from the sense 25S rDNA region was used. The arrow denotes the qiRNAs. The bottom panel of rRNAs shows equal loading control. OsRDR1-1(ND2001), OsRDR1-2(ND2059), OsCMT1 (NE7010), OsCMT3 (NC4949) and OsSGS3b (NE5050) mutant lines were used (see experimental procedures). (B) The results show a high induction of rDNA specific transcripts after UV treatment in WT and some of mutant lines, but an obvious loss in both OsRDR1 mutant lines (ND2001 and ND2059). An RNA probe derived from sense 25S rDNA region was used. The bottom panel of rRNAs shows equal loading control. OsRDR1-1(ND2001), OsRDR1-2(ND2059), OsCMT1 (NE7010), OsCMT3 (NC4949) and OsSGS3b (NE5050) mutant lines were used (see experimental procedures). (C) The results show an abolishment of aRNAs from the rDNA locus induced by UV treatment in both OsRDR1 mutant lines (ND2001 and ND2059). The expressing level of rice ubiquitin gene was used as the internal control. Data are the mean ± SE (n = 3), *P < 0.001. OsRDR1-1(ND2001), OsRDR1-2(ND2059), OsCMT1 (NE7010), OsCMT3 (NC4949) and OsSGS3b (NE5050) mutant lines were used (see experimental procedures).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0055252.g002
In summary, we demonstrated that both OsRecQ1 and OsRDR1 are required for aRNA and qiRNA biogenesis in the DDR pathway, qiRNAs derived from rDNAs repeats are important for efficient DDR. It will be very exciting to have further studies on dissecting the mechanisms by which the production of small RNAs participate in the DDR pathways in plants.

Materials and Methods

Plant Materials and Growth Conditions

The WT (*Oryza sativa* L. cv. Nipponbare) and its knockout mutant lines were used in this study. OsRecQ1 (ND8004 and ND0059) and OsRDR1 (ND2001 and ND2059) mutant lines were

Figure 3. The expression of DDR genes induced by UV-C treatments in rice. (A) An increasing expression of OsRad51A2 induced by UV-C irradiation in the root tip tissues (RT) of WT, but not in the OsRecQ1 (ND0059) and OsRDR1 (ND2001) mutant lines. Levels of the rice ubiquitin gene were used as the internal control. (B) A strongly detection of OsRad51A2 in the RT after UV-C treatment in WT, but not in the OsRecQ1 (ND0059) and OsRDR1 (ND2001) mutant lines, and the transcription of OsRad51A1 was not changed after UV-C treatment in the RT and the mature leaf (ML). Levels of the rice ubiquitin gene were used as the internal control. (C) A highly expression of OsRad51A2 in the shoot apical meristem (SAM) and the RT after UV-C treatment in WT, but not but not in the OsRecQ1 (ND0059) and OsRDR1 (ND2001) mutant lines, and the levels of OsRad51A1 transcription was not different before and after UV-C treatment in the SAM and the RT. Levels of the rice ubiquitin gene were used as the internal control.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0055252.g003
reported previously [26,27]. OsSGS3b (NE5050), OsCMT1 (NE7010) and OsCMT3 (NC4949) mutant lines were used in this study. RNA silencing induction by particle bombardment was defective in these three mutants (unpublished data). The seeds of homozygous mutant lines were used to produce calli, and the plants and its calli were grown in proper conditions as previously described [26].

Plant Sensitivity Measurement to UV-C and EMS Treatments

Two-week-old seedlings were irradiated under ultraviolet light (UV-C, 254 nm) using a germicidal lamp (Matsuda) for 0, 8, 16, 24 h as previously described [40]. Total RNA and mRNA were isolated from the rice tissue of ML, SAM or RT after UV-C treatment. RT-PCR analysis was performed as previously described [26]. Levels of the rice ubiquitin gene were used as the internal control. The sequences of primer pairs for RT-PCR for OsRAD51A1 (AB080262) and OsRAD51A2 (AB080264) genes were amplified by the following pairs of primers: 5'-GCTCATGCTTCCACAACAAG-3' (OsRad51-F), 5'-GGCA-GAAAACCTTACTTCG-3' (OsRad51A1-R) and 5'-AATTCTGGCTCGTCTAAGC-3' (OsRad51A2-R), respectively.

For the root tissues staining by the Evan's blue and suspension cell assay, aliquots of RT were removed from treatments and performed by Evan's blue assay for monitoring cell death.
estimation by spectrophotometry or by microscopic observation as previously described [39].

For the root growth assay, 20 seeds of rice WT and each mutant line were grown in a Petri dish for liquid cultures containing 0, 10, 20, 30 mM EMS (Sigma-Aldrich) for two weeks with a modified version as previously described [41]. Three independent experiments were carried out.

For the detection of qiRNAs and aRNAs, four leaf segments (about 6 cm) of seedlings at the two-week-old stage or one-month-old calli were used for the treatments by irradiation under UV-C light for 24 h or by liquid cultures containing 0.4% EMS (Sigma-Aldrich) for 48 h. After the treatments, northern blot and RT-qPCR analyses were performed as described below.

RNA Gel Blot Analyses
Total RNA was extracted from rice leaves and calli after DNA damage treatments as previously described [26,27]. Low and high molecular weight RNAs were used to detect qiRNAs and aRNAs with 25 and 40 μg of total RNA, respectively. Sense or antisense rRNA probes were prepared by in-vitro transcription derived from 25S rDNA regions (2035 bp fragment from 3 to 2037 region in AK119809) using a DIG RNA labeling (SP6/T7) kit (Roche) following the manufacturer’s instructions. For small RNA detection, the RNA probe was hydrolyzed to an average size of 50 nt as described [49,50]. Prehybridization and hybridization were performed for qiRNA and aRNA detection at 42°C or 65°C, respectively. After hybridization, the membrane was washed three times with 0.1 x SSC and 0.1% SDS buffer for 30 min at 50°C or 68°C and detected by a DIG detection kit (Roche) following the manufacturer’s instructions.

RT-qPCR Analyses
Quantitative real-time PCR (RT-qPCR) was performed with a Light Cycler II system (Roche) using a previously described protocol [26,27]. In brief, total RNA was isolated using an RNaseasy plant mini kit (QIAGEN) and treated with RNase-free DNase I (Roche), and Poly (A)+ mRNA was purified by an Oligotex-dT30 mRNA purification kit (TaKaRa). Reverse transcription using random hexamers was carried out with equal amounts of mRNA (100 ng) by an AMV reverse transcriptase XL kit (TaKaRa). Synthesized cDNAs were 10-fold diluted and used for PCR by the incorporation of the fluorescent DNA dye SYBR green using the Quantitect™ SYBR® Green PCR kit (Qiagen). Gene-specific primers were derived from the upstream (forward, 5'-AGTCCCATGGGCGGCTCTCTAAG-3' and reverse, 5'-GTCCCGTCCTTGGAGTCTG-3') or downstream (forward, 5'-CGATGTGGGCTCTTCTCATAC-3' and reverse, 5'-AACCCTGTCTCACGACGGTC-3') sequence of the 25S rDNA region. Each reaction was performed in duplicate. Levels of the rice ubiquitin gene were used as the internal control [26].
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