Transcriptome Analysis of mRNAs and Long Non-Coding RNAs During Subsequent Embryo Development of Porcine Cloned Zygotes After Vitrification
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Cryopreservation of porcine cloned zygotes has important implications for biotechnology and biomedicine research; however, lower embryo developmental potential remains an urgent problem to be resolved. For exploring the sublethal cryodamages during embryo development, this study was designed to acquire the mRNA and long non-coding RNA (IncRNA) profiles of 2-cells, 4-cells and blastocysts derived from vitrified porcine cloned zygotes using transcriptome sequencing. We identified 167 differentially expressed (DE) mRNAs and 516 DE IncRNAs in 2-cell stage, 469 DE mRNAs and 565 lncRNAs in 4-cell stage, and 389 DE mRNAs and 816 DE lncRNAs in blastocyst stage. Functional enrichment analysis revealed that the DE mRNAs during embryo development were involved in many regulatory mechanisms related to cell cycle, cell proliferation, apoptosis, metabolism and others. Moreover, the target genes of DE lncRNAs in the three embryonic stages were also enriched in many key GO terms or pathways such as “defense response”, “linoleic acid metabolic process”, “embryonic axis specification”, “negative regulation of protein neddylation”, etc. In conclusion, the present study provided comprehensive transcriptomic data about mRNAs and IncRNAs for the vitrified porcine cloned zygotes during different developmental stages, which contributed to further understand the potential cryodamage mechanisms responsible for impaired embryo development.
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INTRODUCTION

In addition to being important livestock, pigs are also ideal large animal models for biological and biomedical research because they share similar anatomy, genetics, physiology, and pathology with humans (Tang et al., 2007; Wilkinson et al., 2013). The generation of genetically modified pigs has great promise in livestock industry and human disease treatment including regenerative medicine, xenotransplantation, stem cell therapy and others (Yang and Wu, 2018; Yue et al., 2021). These
special pigs can be produced through the combination of gene editing technology and cloning by somatic cell nuclear transfer (SCNT) (Whitelaw et al., 2016). Furthermore, the cloned embryos obtained using these technical procedures are indispensable to result in genetically identical offspring (Keeler, 2015). For research and therapeutic purposes, the production of embryonic stem cells with the same genetic characteristics also requires cloned embryos by SCNT technique (Gouveia et al., 2020). Therefore, it is very essential to effectively cryopreserve porcine cloned embryos in order to genetic resource preservation as well as convenient research and utilization in related fields.

Cryopreservation of porcine embryos has been consistently considered difficult to perform owing to high chilling and freezing sensitivity caused by abundant cytoplasmic lipid droplets (Jin et al., 2013). With the development of vitrification techniques, the cryosurvival efficiency of porcine embryos at various developmental stages has been greatly improved in recent years (Wu et al., 2016; Bartolac et al., 2018; Nguyen et al., 2018; Nohalez et al., 2018; Xiang et al., 2019), and live piglets are also produced from the vitrified embryos derived in vivo or vitro after transfer into recipients (Beebe et al., 2011; Misumi et al., 2013; Mito et al., 2015; Cuello et al., 2016; Kamoshita et al., 2017). However, vitrification results in the sublethal damages of surviving embryos as well, which may affect the subsequent properties for embryonic development such as blastocyst formation and embryo implantation (Tajima et al., 2020; Vining et al., 2021). Some studies have observed that vitrification of porcine early embryos including zygotes and 2- and 4-cell reduces their potential to develop to the blastocyst stage (Esaki et al., 2004; Somfai et al., 2009; Gomis et al., 2013). Although not reported frequently, there are some studies being conducted on the vitrification of porcine cloned embryos, resulting in an acceptable survival rate (Du et al., 2007; Kawakami et al., 2008; Nakano et al., 2011; Jia et al., 2020). Moreover, porcine cloned embryos may be appropriate for vitrifying at very early developmental stages such as the zygote stage, so they can be well applied to embryo transfer or mechanism research relate to embryonic development. Although vitrification is proved to not significantly reduce survival rate, the porcine cloned zygotes still show lower blastocyst formation rate as compared with their fresh counterparts (27.1 vs. 36.7%) (Jia et al., 2020). Thus it is very necessary to explore the structural and functional changes that occur during embryonic development of vitrified porcine cloned zygotes.

In recent years, increased focus has been placed on detecting the variation in gene expression for oocytes and embryos after vitrification. It is an effective means to analyze differences of important genes between fresh and vitrified embryos by using quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR). For instance, vitrification of porcine blastocysts has been reported to result in altered expression of POU5F1 and HSPA1A (Castillo-Martín et al., 2015). In our previous study, the resultant blastocysts from vitrified early-stage porcine cloned embryos showed increased expression of PCNA, CPT1, POU5F1 and DNMT3B (Jia et al., 2020). Moreover, a microarray approach has also been applied to analyze the impact of vitrification on transcriptome profile of in vivo-derived porcine blastocysts (Cuello et al., 2021). On the other hand, the Smart-seq2 protocol has evolved into widely used tools for comprehensive analysis of the differential transcriptomes for vitrified oocytes in several mammalian species such as mice (Gao et al., 2017), pigs (Jia et al., 2019) and cattle (Wang et al., 2017; Huang et al., 2018; Zhang F. et al., 2020), which allows amplification to be performed for a small sample prior to the RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) (Picelli et al., 2014). More recently, the transcriptome features and differences of vitrified porcine cloned blastocysts have been investigated using RNA-seq (Zhang L. et al., 2020).

Long non-coding RNAs (IncRNAs) are important members of non-coding RNAs family, with transcripts longer than 200 nucleotides. Accumulating evidences indicate that IncRNAs play assorted functions in the field of reproduction, such as spermatogenesis (Trovero et al., 2020), follicle growth (Ernst et al., 2018), oocyte maturation (Jiao et al., 2020; Wang J. et al., 2020; Wang J. J. et al., 2020; Yang et al., 2021), oocyte-to-embryo transition (Svoboda, 2017), embryonic development (Bouckenheimer et al., 2016) and others. Recent researches confirm that epigenetic modification can also be regulated by IncRNAs in oocytes and SCNT embryos (Yang et al., 2020; Deng et al., 2021). Based on above description, the present study applied the RNA-seq technique coupled with Smart-seq2 method to obtain the mRNA and lncRNA profiles of 2-cells, 4-cells and blastocysts derived from vitrified porcine cloned zygotes, which will directly lead to a deeper understanding of the molecular mechanism responsible for embryonic developmental impairment.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Chemicals and Reagents**

In this study, all chemicals and reagents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Chemical Company (Shanghai, China), unless otherwise specified. Tissue culture medium-199 (TCM-199), Dulbeco’s phosphate buffered saline (DPBS) and knockout serum replacement were obtained from ThermoFisher Scientific (Shanghai, China).

**Oocyte Collection and Maturation in vitro**

Porcine pre-pubertal ovaries were obtained from a local slaughterhouse and transported to the laboratory within 2 h in saline at 35–37°C. There, follicular fluids were aspirated from 3 to 8 mm antral follicles using a 20 ml-disposable syringe with an 18-gauge needle. After settlement for a short time, the sediments were resuspended two times with Tyrode’s lactate-HEPES-polyvinyl alcohol (TLH-PVA) medium (Funahashi et al., 1997) and then observed under a stereomicroscope (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan). Cumulus-oocyte complexes (COCs) with homogeneous ooplasm and three or more layers of compact cumulus cells were selected for in vitro maturation (IVM). In brief, groups of 50–70 COCs were washed three times in IVM medium and then cultured in each well of a 24-well plate (Costar, Corning, NY, United States) containing 500 μl IVM medium for 42–44 h at...
39°C in an atmosphere of 5% CO₂ with saturated humidity. The IVM medium consisted of TCM-199 supplemented with 3.05 mM D-glucose, 0.57 mM cysteine, 0.91 mM sodium pyruvate, 10% (v/v) porcine follicular fluid, 10 ng/ml epidermal growth factor, 0.5 μg/ml follicle-stimulating hormone and 0.5 μg/ml luteinizing hormone. At the end of IVM, COCs were denuded of cumulus cells by repeatedly pipetting in TLH-PVA medium containing 0.1% (w/v) hyaluronidase. Oocytes with an extruded first polar body and evenly granulated cytoplasm were selected for enucleation.

Donor Cell Preparation
The donor cells were isolated from the ear tissues of Diannan miniature pigs and then cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Ausbian, Sydney, Australia) at 38.5°C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO₂. After four to eight passages, donor cells were induced entry into the G0/G1 phase and then harvested with trypsin for SCNT.

SCNT and Embryo Culture
The procedures of SCNT were carried out as described previously (Wei et al., 2013). Briefly, oocytes were incubated in porcine zygote medium-3 (PZM-3) (Yoshioka et al., 2002) supplemented with 0.1 μg/ml demecoline and 0.05 M sucrose for 0.5–1 h. Moreover, the first polar body and chromosomes were enucleated using an aspiration glass pipette in TLH-PVA medium containing 0.1 μg/ml demecoline and 5 μg/ml cytochalasin B. A donor cell was inserted into the perivitelline space of an enucleated oocyte. The oocyte–donor cell couplets were placed between two wires of a fusion chamber overlaid with fusion medium (0.28 M mannitol, 0.1 mM MgSO₄ and 0.5 mM HEPES), and then fused by a direct current (DC) pulse of 200 V/mm for 20 μs using an Electro Cell Fusion Generator (LF201, NEPA GENE Co., Ltd. Japan). After incubating in PZM-3 for 0.5–1 h, they were activated by a DC pulse of 150 V/mm for 100 μs in activation medium (0.28 M mannitol, 0.1 mM MgSO₄, 0.05 mM CaCl₂ and 0.5 mM HEPES), followed by a 2–4 h culture in PZM-3 supplemented with 5 μg/ml cytochalasin B at 39°C. The donor cells were immobilized on AMPure XP beads and validated using the Agilent High Sensitivity DNA Kit on an Agilent 2100 bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, CA, United States). Library preparation was generated from an equal amount of cDNA samples using the Illumina Nextera XT DNA Sample Preparation Kit (Illumina, San Diego, CA, United States), and library quality was assessed in an Agilent 2100 bioanalyzer. RNA-Seq was conducted on the Illumina Novaseq™ 6000 platform (Illumina, San Diego, CA, United States) in the 150 bp paired-end configuration by LC-Bio Sciences (Hangzhou, China).

Vitrification and Warming
According to our previous study (Jia et al., 2020), the presumptive cloned zygotes after chemical activation were submitted to vitrification and warming. Basic medium (BM) was composed of DPBS with 20% (v/v) knockout serum replacement. Laboratory temperature was maintained at 25 ± 1°C. After washing in BM for a moment, the zygotes were placed into equilibration solution supplemented with 15% (v/v) ethylene glycol (EG) for 3 min, and then transferred to vitrification solution consisting of 0.6 M sucrose, 50 mg/ml polyvinylpyrrolidone and 35% (v/v) EG for 20–30 s. Thereafter, a group of about 10 zygotes were loaded onto a Cryotop carrier (Kitazato Biopharma, Shizuoka, Japan) with minimal vitrification solution and immediately plunged into liquid nitrogen (LN₂) for long-term storage. Warming was performed on a 42°C hot plate by directly dipping the tip of Cryotop carrier into 1.0 M sucrose for 1 min at 42°C. The vitrified zygotes were sequentially transferred stepwise into 0.5 and 0.25 M sucrose for 2.5 min at 39°C, respectively. Following incubation in BM for 5 min, they were washed three times in PZM-3 and subjected to in vitro culture.

Sample Collection and RNA-Seq
Three biological replicates were performed for all experiments. For the experimental groups, the cloned zygotes were vitrified and then cultured in PZM-3 to develop into 2-cells, 4-cells and blastocysts. The fresh cloned embryos that developed to the 2-cell, 4-cell and blastocyst stages were used as the corresponding non-vitrified controls. The cloned embryos of each stage during preimplantation development were collected at the following time points after chemical activation: 24 h for 2-cells (4 per pool), 48 h for 4-cells (2 per pool), and 144 h for blastocysts (1 per pool). These embryos were transferred into cell lysis buffer, immediately frozen in LN₂ and stored at −80°C. The full-length cDNA was generated with SMART-Seq v4 Ultra Low Input RNA Kit (Takara Bio United States, Mountain View, CA, United States) following the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, first-strand cDNA was synthesized using 3’ SMART-Seq CDS Primer II A and SMART-Seq v4 Oligonucleotide, following by cDNA amplification through LD-PCR. Then the amplified cDNA was purified by immobilization on AMPure XP beads and validated using the Agilent High Sensitivity DNA Kit on an Agilent 2100 bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, CA, United States). Library preparation was generated from an equal amount of cDNA samples using the Illumina Nextera XT DNA Sample Preparation Kit (Illumina, San Diego, CA, United States), and library quality was assessed in an Agilent 2100 bioanalyzer. RNA-Seq was conducted on the Illumina Novaseq™ 6000 platform (Illumina, San Diego, CA, United States) in the 150 bp paired-end configuration by LC-Bio Sciences (Hangzhou, China).

Transcripts Assembly
Firstly, the reads that contained adaptor contamination, low quality bases and undetermined bases were removed by Cutadapt (https://code.google.com/p/cutadapt/). Then FastQC (http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/) was used to verify sequence quality. The clean reads were mapped to the genome data of Sus scrofa with Bowtie2 (http://bowtie.bio.sourceforge.net/bowtie2/index.shtml) and Hisat2 (https://daehwankimlab.github.io/Hisat2/), and mapped reads of each sample were subsequently assembled using StringTie (http://ccb.jhu.edu/software/stringtie/). Finally, all transcriptsomes were merged to reconstruct a comprehensive transcriptome using a Perl script.

LncRNA Identification
Transcripts overlapping with known mRNAs and transcripts shorter than 200 bp were discarded. Then we predicted transcripts with coding potential by utilizing coding potential calculator (CPC, http://cpc.cbi.pku.edu.cn/) and coding-non-coding index (CNCI, http://cpc.cbi.pku.edu.cn/) All transcripts
with score CPC < −1 and score CIN < 0 were removed. The remaining transcripts were considered as IncRNAs.

**Different Expression Analysis of mRNAs and IncRNAs**

StringTie was used to perform expression level analysis for mRNAs and IncRNAs by calculating fragments per kilobase of exon per million fragments. The differentially expressed (DE) mRNAs and IncRNAs were determined with the threshold of |log₂(fold change)| > 1 and statistical significance (p-value < 0.05) by R package-edgeR.

**Target Gene Prediction**

To explore the function of IncRNAs, we predicted their target genes in cis, as IncRNAs may play a cis role to act on neighboring target genes. In the present study, coding genes within 100 Kb upstream and downstream of DE IncRNAs on the genome were selected by a python script. Only the DE mRNAs were considered as potential cis-target genes in order to reduce false positives.

**Functional Enrichment Analysis**

GO enrichment analysis was carried out for all DE mRNAs and cis-target genes of DE IncRNAs using BLAST2GO (https://www.blast2go.com/), according to cellular component, biological process and molecular function. Meanwhile, the KEGG pathway database (http://www.genome.jp/kegg/) was used to perform pathway enrichment analysis. GO terms and KEGG pathways with p-value < 0.05 were considered significantly enriched.

**qRT-PCR**

Total complementary DNA (cDNA) was isolated from 2-cells (10 per pool), 4-cells (5 per pool) and blastocysts (5 per pool) using a TransScript®-Uni Cell to cDNA Synthesis SuperMix for qPCR (TransGen Biotech, Beijing, China) according to the manufacturer’s protocol, and stored at −20°C until used. qRT-PCR was conducted using a CFX Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, United States) with the Fast qPCR Mix (Tsingke, Beijing, China). Reaction conditions were 95°C for 1 min, followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 10 s and 60°C for 15 s. Four replicates were made for each reaction. qRT-PCR was performed in three independent biological replicates for each sample. Relative expression of selected genes was calculated by the 2^−ΔΔCT method after normalization to GAPDH. Primer sequences used in this experiment are listed in Supplementary Table S1.

**RESULTS**

**Overview of RNA-Seq Data**

In the present study, a total of 18 cDNA libraries were constructed using 2-cells, 4-cells and blastocysts derived from fresh and vitrified porcine cloned zygotes. We obtained 146.81 Gb raw data after sequencing by the Illumina Novaseq™ 6000 platform. After quality control, 110.88 Gb valid data were retained, with an average Q30 ratio of 98.59% and GC contents of 47−54%. Moreover, 75.81−90.17% of valid reads were aligned against the *Sus scrofa* reference genome, and the unique mapping ratio were 57.50−69.96%. The detailed information about data quality and mapping statistics are shown in Supplementary Table S2.

**Identification of IncRNAs**

The basic features of IncRNAs were identified and compared with mRNAs by analyzing the transcript length, exon number and open reading frame (ORF) length (Figure 1). Transcript length of IncRNAs was mainly between 200 and 1,000 bp, while most of mRNA transcripts showed more than 1,000 bp length. The majority of IncRNAs consisted of one or two exon(s), which had fewer exon number compared with mRNAs. Moreover, ORF length of IncRNAs was mostly distributed within 0−100 aa, and was also shorter than that of mRNAs. The above data in this study conformed to the typical characteristics of IncRNAs. In addition, a total of 140420 IncRNAs were identified from the 18 libraries, including 401 known IncRNAs and 140019 novel IncRNAs.

**Differential Expression Analysis of mRNAs and IncRNAs**

The volcano plots of the differential mRNA expression analysis are revealed in Figure 2. For the comparison of 2-cells from vitrified and fresh zygotes, a total of 167 DE mRNAs were found of which 33 were up-regulated and 134 were down-regulated (Supplementary Table S3). Moreover, we found that 469 mRNAs were differentially expressed in the 4-cells from vitrified zygotes, including 411 up-regulated and 58 down-regulated mRNAs (Supplementary Table S4). Comparing vitrified zygote-derived blastocysts with fresh blastocysts, there were 189 up-regulated and 200 down-regulated DE mRNAs (Supplementary Table S5).

Figure 3 shows the differential IncRNA abundances as volcano plots. The 2-cells from vitrified and fresh zygotes were found to present 516 DE IncRNAs, with 270 up-regulated and 246 down-regulated IncRNAs (Supplementary Table S6). Moreover, the 4-cells from vitrified zygotes had 565 IncRNAs with differential expression including 93 up-regulated and 472 down-regulated IncRNAs compared with the fresh 4-cells (Supplementary Table S7). There were a total of 816 DE IncRNAs (400 up-regulated and 416 down-regulated) between vitrified zygote-derived blastocysts and fresh blastocysts (Supplementary Table S8).

In addition, the Venn diagrams of mRNAs and IncRNAs were constructed from three comparisons in order to further analyze the intersection genes. We found that the shared DE mRNAs were 3 in the development stages of 2-cell, 4-cell and blastocyst (Figure 4A). Moreover, there was only 1 overlapping IncRNA for these three embryonic stages (Figure 4B).

Finally, a cis-target gene prediction was performed to obtain the potential target mRNAs of the DE IncRNAs (Supplementary Table S9).
Table S9. 15 pairs of lncRNA-mRNA were identified in 2-cells from vitrified and fresh zygotes, and the target genes contained SMARCAD1, MYSM1, POGZ, ACINI, GIN1, SLC31A1, LARP7, FAR1, NNT, TXNL4B and MYEF2. Comparing 4-cells from vitrified zygotes with control, there were 4 pairs of lncRNA-mRNA, with target genes of ITPKA, NDEL1, RELCH and DAPL1. Moreover, a total of 53 pairs of lncRNA-mRNA were acquired between vitrified zygote-derived blastocysts and fresh blastocysts. Some important genes were found, such as ABCF3, CCAR1, GDF9, ISYNA1, KIF20B and so on.
Functional Enrichment Analysis of mRNAs and IncRNA Targets in 2-Cells

Firstly, we performed a GO enrichment analysis for these DE mRNAs (Figures 5A,B and Supplementary Table S10). The enriched GO terms were primarily associated with “nucleus”, “nucleic acid binding”, “negative regulation of transcription by RNA polymerase II”, “protein heterodimerization activity”, “oxidation-reduction process”, “cell migration”, “nucleosome assembly”, “regulation of cell cycle”, “glutathione metabolic process”, “negative regulation of TOR signaling”, etc. In addition, the DE mRNAs were enriched in several important KEGG pathways including “cellular senescence”, “mTOR signaling pathway”, “hippo signaling pathway”, “p53 signaling pathway” and “adherens junction” (Figure 5E and Supplementary Table S10).

For the DE IncRNAs, their target genes were mainly involved in the GO terms of “defense response”, “cellular response to amino acid starvation”, “thioesterase binding”, “MAP kinase activity”, “calcium ion regulated exocytosis”, “L-serine biosynthetic process”, “positive regulation of reactive oxygen species biosynthetic process”, “cellular phosphate ion homeostasis”, “linoleic acid metabolic process” and others (Figures 5C,D and Supplementary Table S11). Moreover, KEGG pathway results showed that the target genes of IncRNAs were involved in “RIG-I-like receptor signaling pathway” and “Vitamin B6 metabolism” (Figure 5F and Supplementary Table S11).

Functional Enrichment Analysis of mRNAs and IncRNA Targets in 4-Cells

We found that the DE mRNAs were enriched in large numbers of significant GO terms such as “negative regulation of transcription, DNA-templated”,...
positive regulation of cell population proliferation, protein ubiquitination, positive regulation of apoptotic process, histone methyltransferase complex, response to oxidative stress, mitotic cell cycle, response to endoplasmic reticulum stress, autophagy and cell redox homeostasis (Figures 6A, B and Supplementary Table S12). The enriched KEGG pathways had "cell cycle", "spliceosome", "p53 signaling pathway", and multiple metabolic pathways including “glycerolipid metabolism”, “sphingolipid metabolism”, “glycerophospholipid metabolism” and “beta-alanine metabolism” (Figure 6E and Supplementary Table S12).

Based on GO enrichment analysis, the target genes of DE IncRNAs were major in "connexin complex", "G1 to G0..."
transition”, “histone H3-K4 trimethylation”, “mitotic chromosome condensation”, “mitochondrial respiratory chain complex IV assembly”, “embryonic axis specification”, “cadherin binding involved in cell-cell adhesion”, “linoleic acid metabolic process” and so on (Figures 6C, D and Supplementary Table S13). In addition, KEGG pathways focused on “linoleic acid metabolism”, “cell adhesion molecules”, “TGF-beta signaling pathway”, “fatty acid degradation” and “signaling pathways regulating pluripotency of stem cells” (Figure 6F and Supplementary Table S13).
Functional Enrichment Analysis of mRNAs and IncRNA Targets in Blastocysts

The DE mRNAs were significantly enriched to GO terms, including “metal ion binding”, “positive regulation of cell population proliferation”, “negative regulation of apoptotic process”, “positive regulation of cell migration”, “actin cytoskeleton organization”, “positive regulation of ERK1 and ERK2 cascade”, “cell-cell junction”, “lipid metabolic process”, “response to endoplasmic reticulum stress”, “regulation of Cdc42 protein signal transduction” and others (Figures 7A,B and Supplementary Table S14). According to KEGG pathway analysis, we observed several key pathways such as “cholesterol metabolism”, “PI3K-AKT signaling pathway”,...
In terms of the DE lncRNAs, GO term analysis showed that the target genes were enriched in “positive regulation of signal transduction by p53 class mediator”, “S-adenosylmethionine-dependent methyltransferase activity”, “cyclic-GMP-AMP binding”, “negative regulation of histone H3-K79 methylation”, “negative regulation of RNA biosynthetic process” and so on (Figures 7C,D and Supplementary Table S15). Although no KEGG pathways were significantly enriched, many target genes were involved in multiple metabolic pathways (Figure 7F and Supplementary Table S15).
Validation of mRNAs and IncRNAs by qRT-PCR

To further validate the results obtained by RNA-seq, we performed qRT-PCR to detect the expression of 4 mRNAs and 2 IncRNAs selected from 2-cells (KCNK12, CDC26, TSPAN1, MDC1, MSTRG.73238 and MSTRG.61964), 4-cells (DRAM2, LAMC3, FOXG1, TRIM35, MSTRG.29072 and MSTRG.11122) and blastocysts (APOA1, IL6R, DUSP5, ETNPL, MSTRG.79832 and MSTRG.51966), respectively. As shown in Figures 8A–C, the relative expression fold changes of these genes and IncRNAs in the three embryonic stages were consistent with the RNA-Seq data, suggesting that our transcript results were reliable.

DISCUSSION

In our previous study, the porcine cloned zygotes after vitrification showed a reduced embryo development in vitro, and abnormal expression of mRNA levels was also found in the resultant blastocysts (Jia et al., 2020). Therefore, it is important to continue in-depth research, focusing on the dynamic transcriptome landscapes in different developmental stages of these vitrified zygotes. In the present study, we collected samples from the 2-cells, 4-cells and blastocysts, and acquired extensive mRNA and IncRNA profiles using the RNA-Seq technique. The transcriptomic changes in the three key stages of embryo development would be useful for understanding the cryodamage mechanisms by which vitrification compromised subsequent developmental competence of porcine cloned zygotes.

In this study, we identified 167 DE mRNAs and 516 DE IncRNAs in 2-cells between the groups of vitrified and fresh zygotes. Recent studies have confirmed the abnormal epigenetic modifications in oocytes and embryos after vitrification (Chen et al., 2019; Movahed et al., 2019). Our GO enrichment analysis also found several significantly enriched terms related to epigenetic modifications including “histone H3-K27 trimethylation”, “histone H3-K4 trimethylation” and “histone H3-K27 methylation”. So, the down-regulated expression of HIST1H1D, HIST1H1E and EED genes involved in above terms could be responsible for epigenetic disturbances at 2-cell stage of the vitrified porcine cloned zygotes. We further focused on a GO term of “cellular response to leukemia inhibitory factor”, as it is reported that leukemia inhibitory factor supplemented in the medium can improve blastocyst development and cryotolerance (Kocyigit and Cevik, 2015). Moreover, GCLM gene encodes the modifier subunit of glutamate cysteine ligase that is the first-rate limiting enzyme in glutathione biosynthesis (McConnachie et al., 2013), and so the up-regulated expression of this gene may be a response to oxidative stress caused by vitrification. The KEGG pathway analysis revealed that vitrification of porcine cloned zygotes affected some specific pathways in the 2-cells. For example, both “p53 signaling pathway” and “base excision repair” as important stress response pathways have gained a role in the DNA damage response system (Bauer et al., 2015; Du et al., 2016). Their changes indicated that 2-cells from vitrified zygotes presented with cellular senescence, oxidative stress and DNA damage and other conditions. Furthermore, we speculated that “mTOR signaling pathway” and “Hippo signaling pathway” might be associated with the abnormal development of the vitrified zygote-derived 2-cells, because these two pathways are essential for growth and proliferation in embryos (Murakami et al., 2004; Hirate et al., 2013). On the other hand, 11 target genes of DE IncRNAs were significantly enriched in a “defense response” GO term, suggesting 2-cell embryo competence to respond to the impact of vitrification. In addition, no significant pathways were enriched in KEGG analysis, and “RIG-I-like receptor signaling pathway” might play a role in this process.

The present study showed that 469 mRNAs were differentially expressed in the 4-cells derived from vitrified zygotes, which was the largest number among three developmental stages of embryos. According to the GO enrichment results, many DE mRNAs were enriched in “nucleus”, “nucleoplasm”, “nucleic acid binding”, “RNA binding” and “negative regulation of transcription, DNA-templated”, suggesting that vitrification might influence the event of embryo genome activation (EGA) occurring in porcine 4-cells. We further found several stress response-related GO terms caused by vitrification, such as “response to oxidative stress”, “response to endoplasmic reticulum stress”, “ER overload response”, “DNA damage response”, “response to hydrogen peroxide” and so on. The PPP1R15B gene enriched in these GO terms could be profoundly important, and it is also considered as a EGA marker (Rissi et al., 2019). Endoplasmic reticulum stress has been reported to exert effects in mammalian oocyte maturation and embryo development (Lin et al., 2019), and occurs in the vitrified oocytes and embryos (Barrera et al., 2018; Khatun et al., 2020). Our KEGG analysis also found that ‘protein processing in endoplasmic reticulum’ was a most representative pathway enriched for the up-regulated genes and could be a coping capability to endoplasmic reticulum stress. Moreover, vitrification might not be favorable for the cell cycle progression of 4-cell embryos, based on the GO terms of “negative regulation of G1/S transition of mitotic cell cycle”, “negative regulation of cell cycle” and “negative regulation of mitotic cell cycle”. We also observed the up-regulated genes were enriched in the “cell cycle” pathway, which could possibly be involved in the repair mechanisms to drive the cell cycle properly. In addition, many metabolic pathways were found to be significantly enriched, including “glycerolipid metabolism”, “sphingolipid metabolism”, “lysine degradation”, “fatty acid elongation”, “gycerophospholipid metabolism”, and “beta-alanine metabolism”. As we known, endogenous lipid droplets and exogenous amino acids are important source of metabolic energy for embryos. Therefore, the changes of these pathways and genes related to metabolism might also affect subsequent embryo development. On the other hand, a total of 565 DE IncRNAs were identified in the transcriptomic profiles, and some of these target genes were involved in GO terms such as “G1 to G0 transition”, “embryonic axis specification”, “connexin complex”, “histone H3-K4 trimethylation”, “linoleic acid metabolic process” and others. These terms appeared to be closely associated with
embryo development, and so indicated the adverse effects of vitrification on the 4-cell embryos.

A total of 389 mRNAs and 816 IncRNAs were differentially expressed in the blastocysts developed from vitrified cloned zygotes. It is well known that lipid metabolism in the blastocyst stage is a fundamental function needed for subsequent implantation and placentation (Kajdasz et al., 2020). In this study, some GO terms related to lipid function were found to be enriched, such as “high-density lipoprotein particle remodeling”, “lipoprotein particle”, “negative regulation of very-low-density lipoprotein particle remodeling”, “cholesterol homeostasis”, “phospholipid efflux” and so on, and most of the involved mRNAs showed downregulation. The results were similar with those reported in bovine blastocytes cryopreserved at morula stage (Gupta et al., 2017). Moreover, the down-regulated expression of APOA1, APOC3, APOE, NR1I3 and SCARB1 genes also suggested disrupted triglyceride metabolism and lipid-related metabolism, leading to abnormal embryo development. The blastocysts vitrified at the zygote stage still exhibited cellular stresses based on “response to endoplasmic reticulum stress” and “cellular response to reactive oxygen species”. In addition, we found that vitrification influenced the GO terms of “positive regulation of cell population proliferation”, “positive regulation of cell migration” and “cell-cell junction”. These terms are tightly associated with the establishment of both trophoderm and inner cell mass in blastocysts. So, their changes probably led to a slow process of blastocyst formation in the vitrified zygotes as found in our study. According to KEGG pathway enrichment analysis, several important signaling pathways were significantly perturbed following vitrification. For instance, “PI3K-Akt signaling pathway” is an important regulator of cell cycle, and its inhibition results in delayed blastocyst hatching (Zhang et al., 2007). Another example is the “PPAR signaling pathway”, which is involved in cell proliferation and lipid metabolism and has a potential role during embryo development and implantation (Sidrat et al., 2020). Therefore, the altered signaling pathways in blastocysts developed from vitrified zygotes could be a putative cause of unsuccessful pregnancy after embryo transfer.

CONCLUSION

To the best of our knowledge, the present study was the first to provide a very detailed transcriptomic analysis for the vitrified porcine cloned zygotes at different stages of embryo development. A large amount of mRNAs and IncRNAs were found to be differentially expressed in the 2-cells (167 mRNAs and 516 IncRNAs), 4-cells (469 mRNAs and 565 IncRNAs) and blastocysts (389 mRNAs and 816 IncRNAs). The function enrichment analysis of DE mRNAs revealed that vitrification influenced many regulatory mechanisms related to cell cycle, cell proliferation, apoptosis, metabolism, etc. Moreover, the target genes of DE IncRNAs could be involved in stress response, metabolism, embryo development and so on. These results suggested that zygote vitrification altered gene expression patterns and biological pathways during the subsequent embryo developmental stages, which might have contributed to a better understanding of the potential cryodamage mechanisms responsible for impaired embryo development, and help to further improve the current vitrification procedures. In addition, the functions of IncRNA have not yet been fully identified in pigs, and so our study will provide valuable information for future related researches.
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