Stark effect, polarizability, and electroabsorption in silicon nanocrystals
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Demonstrating the quantum-confined Stark effect (QCSE) in silicon nanocrystals (NCs) embedded in oxide has been rather elusive, unlike the other materials. Here, the recent experimental data from ion-implanted Si NCs is unambiguously explained within the context of QCSE using an atomistic pseudopotential theory. This further reveals that the majority of the Stark shift comes from the valence states which undergo a level crossing that leads to a nonmonotonic radiative recombination behavior with respect to the applied field. The polarizability of embedded Si NCs including the excitonic effects is extracted over a diameter range of 2.5–6.5 nm, which displays a cubic scaling, \( \alpha = cD_{\text{NC}}^3 \), with \( c = 2.436 \times 10^{-11} \) \( \text{C/(V m)} \), where \( D_{\text{NC}} \) is the NC diameter. Finally, based on intraband electroabsorption analysis, it is predicted that \( p \)-doped Si NCs will show substantial voltage tunability, whereas \( n \)-doped samples should be almost insensitive. Given the fact that bulk silicon lacks the linear electro-optic effect as being a centrosymmetric crystal, this may offer a viable alternative for electrical modulation using \( p \)-doped Si NCs.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The Stark effect has evolved within the previous century into a powerful spectroscopic tool for the solids.1 In the case of bulk semiconductors, shallow free excitons become easily ionized which limits the strength of the applied fields. A more robust variant of the Stark effect is the so-called quantum-confined Stark effect (QCSE) where the carriers are trapped in a quantum well or a lower-dimensional structure.2 In this regard, the quantum dots or nanocrystals (NCs) are preferred so as to take advantage of the full three-dimensional confinement.3 As a matter of fact, the electroabsorption studies were initiated quite early with CdS\(_x\)Se\(_{1-x}\) NCs embedded in a glass matrix.4 The QCSE activity in group-II–VI NCs (Refs. 5–7) was soon extended to group-III–As NCs.8,9 A related noteworthy achievement was registering photoluminescence (PL) from a single quantum dot within an ensemble,10 followed by probing the QCSE from a single dot.11

On the technologically important front of group-IV materials, a recent breakthrough was the announcement of QCSE in germanium multiple quantum wells sandwiched between SiGe barrier layers.12 The drawback of this structure is the small band offset of the barrier regions which limits the applied reverse bias before carrier tunneling sets in. Furthermore, it suffers from the polarization-dependent response discriminating between TE and TM polarizations; both of these shortcomings are inherently carried over to Si/Ge self-assembled quantum dots.13 Si NCs embedded in oxide, not only offer remedy to both of these problems, but also due to its insulating host matrix it can withstand very high electric fields. Surprisingly, even though nanosilicon has become an established field,14 the QCSE activity in this system has been quite overlooked. In some of the early electroluminescence and photoluminescence studies on Si NCs, the precursors of QCSE was reported as a small redshift which was however taken over by a strong blueshift.15,16 As another indirect measurement of QCSE in Si NCs, Lin et al.17 announced a 11 nm redshift under strong illumination, but without an external bias, which they attributed to a build up of an internal electric field due to capture of carriers in NCs. Only very recently, the direct measurement of QCSE under an external field in Si NCs was achieved on ion-implanted samples that yielded as large as a 40 nm redshift at cryogenic temperatures, which remained to be easily detectable at the room temperature.18 This much delayed progress may nevertheless become crucial for the electronically controllable silicon-based photonics and especially for optical modulators; the latter has been a real challenge, as bulk silicon, being a centrosymmetric crystal, lacks the Pockels effect which leaves the plasma effect as the main route for electrical modulation.19,20 Very recently, a GeSi electroabsorption modulator has been announced that makes use of the bulk Franz-Keldysh effect of germanium enhanced under tensile strain.21 Amidst these developments, the present understanding on the QCSE and electroabsorption in Si NCs remains to be quite insufficient so as to address whether it can offer a viable alternative to the existing and emerging ones.

In this work, we aim for an assessment of these prospects from a rigorous atomistic point of view, starting with the recent QCSE experiment and extending our analysis to both fundamental as well as applied directions. First, we theoretically show that, the highly pronounced luminescence shifts as measured in Ref. 18 unambiguously originates from the Stark effect. In so doing, the importance of the excitonic effects is emphasized for larger NCs. The detailed explanation of the emission strength as a function of Stark field reveals the intricate interplay of the single-particle Stark shifts, their level crossings and the electric field dependence of the oscillator strengths. From a fundamental point of view, in this context the most important physical quantity is their polarizability. However, this is a subject which has not been discussed so far in the literature. Therefore, we provide the polarizability of embedded Si NCs for the useful 2.5–6.5 nm diameter range and furthermore, our exhaustive computations are expressed in simple expressions to enable their use by other researchers. Finally, we complete our theoretical
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analysis with the intraband electroabsorption properties of Si NCs under a strong electric field, where we predict the marked discrepancy between the n- and p-doped NCs.

Our computational framework is a semiempirical pseudopotential-based atomistic Hamiltonian in conjunction with the linear combination of bulk bands (LCBB) as the expansion basis. The strong Stark field is included directly to the Hamiltonian without any perturbative approximation. This is the current state-of-the-art theory for this system size, which is far advanced compared to effective mass and envelope functions approaches. The competence of this technique has been well tested; in the case of embedded Si and Ge NCs, this has been employed to study interband and intraband optical absorptions, Auger recombination, and carrier multiplication; furthermore, predictions for the third-order nonlinear optical properties using the same theoretical approach have been independently verified experimentally. The paper is organized as follows. A description of the theoretical method is given in Sec. II. The QCSE, polarizability, and intraband electroabsorption are analyzed in Sec. III. Main conclusions are presented in Sec. IV.

II. THEORY

In the LCBB approach, the NC wave function with a state index $j$ is expanded in terms of the bulk Bloch band $(n)$ and the wave vector $(\mathbf{k})$ as,

$$\psi(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{N,\mathbf{k},\mu} C_{N,\mathbf{k},\mu} e^{i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}} u_{N,\mathbf{k},\mu}(\mathbf{r}),$$

(1)

where $N$ is the number of primitive cells within the computational supercell, $C_{N,\mathbf{k},\mu}$ is the expansion coefficient set to be determined, and $\mathbf{k}$ is the constituent bulk material label pointing to the NC core and embedding medium. $u_{N,\mathbf{k},\mu}(\mathbf{r})$ is the cell-periodic part of the Bloch states which can be expanded in terms of the reciprocal-lattice vectors, $\{\mathbf{G}\}$ as,

$$u_{N,\mathbf{k},\mu}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Omega_0}} \sum_{\mathbf{G}} B^n_{\mathbf{G},\mu}(\mathbf{G}) e^{i \mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{r}},$$

(2)

where $\Omega_0$ is the volume of the primitive cell. The Hamiltonian has the kinetic and the ionic potential parts, the latter for describing the atomic environment within the pseudopotential framework, given by

$$\hat{H} = \hat{T} + \hat{V}_{pp} = -\frac{\hbar^2 \nabla^2}{2m_0} + \sum_{\mu,\mathbf{r},\mathbf{j}} W^\mu_{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{R}_j) n^\mu_{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_j - \mathbf{d}_\mu),$$

(3)

where $m_0$ is the free electron mass, $W^\mu_{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{R}_j)$ is the atomic identity coefficient that takes values 0 or 1 depending on the type of atom at the position $\mathbf{R}_j$, $\mathbf{d}_\mu$ is the displacement of this particular atom within the primitive cell. $\mathbf{v}_s^\mu$ is the screened spherical pseudopotential of atom $\alpha$ of the material $\mu$, the latter distinguishes the NC and the matrix regions.

The formulation can be cast into the following generalized eigenvalue equation:

$$\sum_{n,\mathbf{k},\mu} H_{n,\mathbf{k},\mu} \psi_{n,\mathbf{k},\mu} C_{n,\mathbf{k},\mu}^\mu = E_j \sum_{n,\mathbf{k},\mu} S_{n,\mathbf{k},\mu} \psi_{n,\mathbf{k},\mu} C_{n,\mathbf{k},\mu}^\mu,$$

(4)

where

$$H_{n,\mathbf{k},\mu} = \langle n'|\mathbf{k}' \mu' | \hat{T} + \hat{V}_{pp} | n\mathbf{k}\mu \rangle,$$

$$\langle n'|\mathbf{k}' \mu' | \hat{T} | n\mathbf{k}\mu \rangle = \delta_{\mathbf{k}',\mathbf{k}} \sum_{\mathbf{G}} \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} (\mathbf{G} + \mathbf{k}' - \mathbf{k})^2 B^\mu_{n,\mathbf{k},\mu}(\mathbf{G}) B^{\mu'*}_{n,\mathbf{k},\mu}(\mathbf{G}),$$

the overlap part in the generalized eigenvalue equation is of the form

$$S_{n,\mathbf{k},\mu} = \langle n'|\mathbf{k}' \mu' | n\mathbf{k}\mu \rangle.$$

The NC is intended to be embedded in silica, represented by an artificial wide band gap host matrix that has the same band-edge line up and the dielectric constant, but otherwise lattice-matched with the diamond structure of Si. We refer to Ref. 31 for the other technical details of the implementation of the electronic structure, including the form of the pseudopotentials for the NC and matrix media.

For the study of QCSE, we treat the strong external field in the same level as the other terms of the atomistic Hamiltonian (i.e., nonperturbatively). At variance with the electrostatic model used in Ref. 18, we assume that an individual spherical Si NC under consideration is embedded in a uniform medium having a constant permittivity for silicon rich oxide. This is justified by the spatial distribution of the light-emitting centers in Si-implanted SiO$_2$. In connection to the actual samples, we inherently assume that the NCs are well separated which applies safely to NC volume filling factors of about 10% or less. The basic electrostatic construction of the problem is presented with the assumption that the NCs are well separated in Fig. 1. If we denote the uniform applied electric field in the matrix region asymptotically away from the NC as $E_0$, then the solution for electrostatic potential is given in spherical coordinates by
\[ \Phi(r, \theta) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{3}{\epsilon + 2} F_0 r \cos \theta & r \leq a \\
\frac{\epsilon - 1}{\epsilon + 2} F_0 \frac{a^3}{r^2} \cos \theta & r > a, 
\end{cases} \]

where \( \epsilon = \varepsilon_{\text{nc}} / \varepsilon_{\text{matrix}} \) is the ratio of the permittivities of the inside and outside of the NCSs. Hence, this expression accounts for the surface polarization effects due to dielectric inhomogeneity which partially screens the external (i.e., dc Stark) field. The effect of this external field can be incorporated by adding the \( V_{\text{ext}} = e \Phi \) term to the potential-energy matrix elements. A computationally convenient recipe in the context of QCSE is to assume that external potential is relatively smooth so that its Fourier transform can be taken to be band limited to the first Brillouin zone of the underlying unit cell.\(^{35}\) This results in the following LCBB matrix element

\[ \langle n^* \vec{k}' \mu' | \hat{V}_{\text{ext}} | n \vec{k} \mu \rangle = e \Phi(\vec{k} - \vec{k}') \sum_{\vec{g}, \vec{g}'} B_{n^* \vec{k}'}(\vec{G} + \vec{g}) B_{n \vec{k}}(\vec{G} + \vec{g}') \]

\( \times \text{Rect}_{\vec{b}_1, \vec{b}_2, \vec{b}_3}(\vec{G} + \vec{k} - \vec{G}' - \vec{k}') \),

where \( \Phi(\vec{r}) \) is the Fourier transform of \( \Phi(\vec{r}) \) as given in Eq. (5). Rect\(_{\vec{b}_1, \vec{b}_2, \vec{b}_3}(\vec{G} + \vec{k} - \vec{G}' - \vec{k}') \) is the rectangular pulse function, which yields unity when its argument is within the first Brillouin zone defined by the reciprocal-lattice vectors, \( \{ \vec{b}_1, \vec{b}_2, \vec{b}_3 \} \), and zero otherwise.

As will be supported by our following results, concomitant with the Stark redshift of the single-particle energies, the segregation of the electron and hole wave functions gives rise to a blueshift that partially negates the QCSE. To account for this effect, we include perturbatively\(^{38}\) the so-called diagonal direct Coulomb term, \( J_{\text{st}, \text{cc}} \), between the valence-state wave function, \( \psi_e(\vec{r}) \), and the conduction-state wave function, \( \psi_h(\vec{r}) \), using the expression

\[ J_{\text{st}, \text{cc}} = -\int d^3 r_1 d^3 r_2 \frac{\epsilon \psi_e(\vec{r}_1) \psi_h(\vec{r}_2) \overline{\psi_h(\vec{r}_1) \psi_e(\vec{r}_2)}}{e(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2) |\vec{r}_1 - \vec{r}_2|}, \]

where, \( e \) is the electronic charge, \( 1/e(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2) \) is the inhomogeneous inverse dielectric function for which we use the mask function approach of Ref. 40. This is the only, but by far the dominant Coulomb term that is included in this study. A more elaborate approach for the electrostatic as well as the nondiagonal Coulomb terms can be found in Ref. 41. Furthermore, we ignore the spin-orbit coupling and hence, the NC states in this work are doubly spin degenerate. This coupling is particularly weak in silicon with its small atomic number and as a matter of fact, it forms the basis for spin-based silicon quantum computing proposals.\(^{42}\) Accordingly, no spin-flip process is considered in the carrier relaxation following the optical excitation so that only spin-triplet excitons are formed for which there is no exchange Coulomb contribution. Even if spin flips were to be allowed, for the NC size ranges of this study, their contribution which decay with the third power of diameter\(^{25}\) would become totally negligible compared to the direct Coulomb and the single-particle Stark energies. Obviously, future studies can avoid some of these simplifications in this work.

III. RESULTS

A. Stark effect

In the recent experimental demonstration of QCSE in Si NCs,\(^{18}\) the wavelength for the peak-emitted intensity occurs at 780 nm. Based on our prior theoretical study,\(^{31}\) the corresponding diameter of the NC that matches with this optical gap is extracted as 5.6 nm. For this size of a NC, we display in Fig. 2 the evolution of the single-particle states with applied Stark field. This clearly reveals that valence states are more prone to Stark shifts which was also observed to be the case in InP quantum dots.\(^{43}\) Indeed, Fig. 3 vividly demonstrates that the highest-occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) wave-function distribution is significantly shifted by the Stark field and gets spatially squeezed between the high Stark field and the spherical NC interface. On the other hand, the lowest-unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) state encounters only a slight displacement, which is in the opposite direction with respect to HOMO as expected. According to stronger confinement of the valence states under the electric...
field, the interlevel separations become wider than those in the conduction states, as can be checked from Fig. 2. However, it needs to be reminded that the size quantization energy of the electrons is much larger than holes in Si NCs.

In Fig. 4 we compare the experimental Stark redshift data\textsuperscript{18} at 30 K with our present theoretical results. To correlate with this PL experiment and account for the thermal effects as well as the brightness of each excited-state recombination, we use the following Boltzmann factor-averaged and oscillator strength-averaged radiative recombination

\[ E_{\text{emission}} = \sum_{c,v} \frac{E_{cv} e^{-\beta(E_{cv} - E_{LH})} f_{cv}}{\sum_{c,v} e^{-\beta E_{cv} - E_{LH}}} f_{cv}, \]

here, \( E_{cv} = E_c - E_v, E_{LH} \) are the conduction (\( c \)) to valence (\( v \)) state and LUMO to HOMO transition energies, respectively; \( f_{cv} \) is the Cartesian-averaged oscillator strength of the transition\textsuperscript{31} and \( \beta = 1/(kT) \) with \( k \) being the Boltzmann constant. We apply exactly the same averaging on the direct diagonal Coulomb energy by replacing the first \( E_{cv} \) term in the numerator with \( J_{v,v} \). The necessity for the Coulomb term is justified by the excellent agreement with the experimental data in Fig. 4, whereas without it (i.e., at the single-particle level) Stark shifts become significantly overestimated. Since our model does not incorporate any size inhomogeneity, interface states or the strain effects, its success also supports the atomistic quantum confinement framework as the main source of the luminescence in these particular Si NC samples. Furthermore, we note that as in the experimental work,\textsuperscript{18} we do not observe a dipolar term that gives rise to a linear dependence to the electric field.

The inset of Fig. 4 shows the evolution of the valence and conduction single-particle states of a 5.6 nm diameter Si NC with respect to dc electric field. It indicates that there exists a level crossing between the HOMO and the HOMO-1 states around an internal NC field of 150 kV/cm. Figure 5 contrasts the experimental PL peak intensity (Ref. 18) (upper plot) and the theoretical emission rate (lower plot) for Si NCs at 30 and 300 K. Lines are solely for guiding the eye.
required to properly account for all thermal aspects of this problem.

**B. Polarizability of Si NCs**

The theoretical results up to now were restricted to a single diameter of 5.6 nm as extracted from the PL peak of the experimental data. We have ignored the size distribution of the NCs in the actual samples. Next, we extract the size dependence of the polarizability of Si NCs, defined as:

\[
\alpha_{NC} = \frac{1}{2} \alpha E \frac{F_{NC}}{E},
\]

where \(\alpha\) is the overall Stark shift in the energy and \(F_{NC}\) is the electric field inside the NC. Here, the polarizability, \(\alpha\), is taken as scalar which is in general a rank-2 tensor, however, we have observed that the variation in Stark shift with respect to relative orientation of the electric field and the crystallographic planes of the NC or the \(c\) axis of the \(C_{3v}\) point group of the NCs, give rise to less than 10 meV changes for the highest applied fields. In Fig. 6 we show the excitonic polarizability (i.e., with direct Coulomb term included) at 30 K. For comparison purposes, the single-particle polarizability is also provided where this estimate becomes highly exaggerated for larger diameters. Both of these curves display a nonmonotonic behavior with respect to size which exists in other physical properties as well; such variations occur as the states, such as HOMO and LUMO, acquire different representations of the \(C_{3v}\) point group for different NC diameters. As in the basic dipole polarizability, their overall trend can be easily fitted by a cubic dependence \(\alpha = c D_{NC}^3\), where \(D_{NC}\) is the NC diameter, and with \(c = 2.436 \times 10^{-11} \text{ C/V m}\) and 4.611 \(\times 10^{-11} \text{ C/V m}\), for the excitonic and single-particle cases, respectively; in another unit system they are expressed as \(c = 1.521 \times 10^{15} \text{ meV/[(kV)^2 cm]}\) and 2.878 \(\times 10^{15} \text{ meV/[(kV)^2 cm]}\), respectively.

**C. Intraband electroabsorption of Si NCs**

In Fig. 7 the intravalence and intraconduction state electroabsorption curves for 4 nm and 6 nm diameter Si NC at 300 K. For amplitude comparison, all four curves are drawn to scale among themselves.
ever, this effect becomes quite insignificant for Si NCs with diameters larger than about 4 nm and furthermore it only affects the amplitude of the absorption without modifying its spectral profile.31

**IV. CONCLUSION**

In conclusion, we show that the recent QCSE data18 for the embedded Si NCs under a strong Stark field can essentially be explained very well with an atomistic pseudopotential model which otherwise does not incorporate any size inhomogeneity, interface states, or the strain effects. In this context, the importance of the direct Coulomb interaction is demonstrated, and a simple expression for the Si NC polarizability is extracted. Finally, in compliance with the fact that the valence states display much more Stark shift, it is shown that intravalence band electroabsorption enjoys wider voltage tunability which can be harnessed for Si-based electro-absorption modulator intentions.
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