Electronic Structure Studies of FeSi: A Chiral Topological System
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Most recent observation of topological Fermi arcs on the surface of manyfold degenerate B20 systems, CoSi and RhSi, have attracted enormous research interests. Although another isostuctural system, FeSi, has been predicted to show bulk chiral fermions, it is yet to be clear theoretically and as well experimentally that whether FeSi possesses the topological surface Fermi arcs associated with the exotic chiral fermions in vicinity of the Fermi level. In this contribution, using angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy (ARPES) and density functional theory (DFT), we present the low-energy electronic structure of FeSi. We further report the surface state calculations to provide insights into the surface band structure of FeSi near the Fermi level. Unlike in CoSi or RhSi, FeSi has no topological Fermi arcs near the Fermi level as confirmed both from ARPES and surface state calculations. Further, the ARPES data show spin-orbit coupling (SOC) band splitting of 40 meV, which is in good agreement with bulk band structure calculations. We noticed an anomalous temperature dependent resistivity in FeSi which can be understood through the electron-phonon interactions as we find a Debye energy of 80 meV from the ARPES data.

Introduction: — Since the discovery of linear dispersive Dirac fermions in graphene [1][2], the condensed matter has become fertile grounds for the investigation of various exotic topological fermions. Especially, the experimental realization of three-dimensional topological insulators [3] further boosted the field to new heights, from basic sciences [4][20] to more complex technological designs for the futuristic topological quantum computations (TQC) [27–31]. At present, the topological quantum materials are classified by the Weyl fermions [13–25][32], the Dirac fermions [7][12][25], and the Majorana fermions [31][33]. In general, at the band crossing point (BCP), the Weyl fermions have twofold degeneracy and the Dirac fermions have fourfold degeneracy. Recently, a new type of quantum materials have emerged with manyfold degenerate fermions at the band crossing point [34][39]. These manyfold degenerate fermions are manifestations of the certain space-group symmetries in presence of the time-reversal invariance [34]. Among them, the topological chiral systems like the transition-metal mono-silicides MSI (M = Co, Mn, Fe, Rh) have been at the recent intense research focus as under certain conditions, these systems are predicted to show spin-1/2 Weyl fermions with a topological charge of ± 1 [34][30][38][43], spin-1 excitations with a topological charge of ± 2 [41], and spin-3/2 Rarita-Schwinger-Weyl (RSW) fermions with topological charges of ±4 [45]. Moreover, the surface Fermi arcs connecting the manyfold degenerate BCPs are much longer in these systems compared to the other known Weyl and Dirac semimetals [19][46][48].

Earlier the transition metal monosilicides were extensively studied for their low-energy electronic correlations [49][59]. Specifically, FeSi shows peculiar temperature dependent electronic and magnetic properties. It is an antiferromagnetic metal above 500 K, while a nonlinear narrow band gap insulator at low temperatures [60][64]. Further, FeSi behaves as a semiconductor with an indirect band gap of 50 meV within the temperature range of 100-200 K [57][60], while is a bad metal outside of this temperature range. Different mechanisms were proposed to explain this strange electronic and magnetic behaviour, (a) electron-phonon interactions [55][65], (b) spin fluctuations [66][67], and c) charge excitations [68]. Apart from these interesting physical properties, FeSi is further predicted to show the above-mentioned manyfold degenerate chiral fermions at the high symmetry points with a nonzero Berry phase [69]. Further, a recent transport study on FeSi show anomalous temperature dependent resistivity which they attribute it to the plausible topological surface states [64].

Motivated by the presence of surface Fermi arcs in RhSi and CoSi, we studied the low-energy electronic structure of isostructural FeSi using angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy and density functional theory to show that despite FeSi is being chiral topological system, associated surface Fermi arcs connecting the manyfold degenerate bulk BCPs are absent near the Fermi level. These observations are further confirmed by our surface state calculations. The ARPES data clearly show a spin-orbit coupling band splitting of 40 meV, consistent with the theoretical calculations which predict a SOC split of 39.5 meV. We further noticed anomalous temperature dependent resistivity in FeSi, that means, FeSi is a semiconductor respecting the activation energy formula only within the temperature range of 75-143 K and eventually becoming a bad metal as moving away from the this tem-

...
Figure 1. (a) and (b) are the cubic crystal structure and corresponding Brillouin zone of FeSi, respectively. (c) Temperature dependent resistivity. Red curve in (c) is the activation formula fitting. Inset in (c) is the ln(ρ) vs. 1/T. Red line in inset is the linear fitting. (d) Zoomed in resistivity data at high temperature, showing semiconductor to metal cross-over at T ≈ 220 K.

Experimental details: Single crystals were grown using floating zone method. As grown single crystals were characterized using X-ray diffractometer (XRD) and energy dispersive X-ray analysis (EDAX). These characterization techniques confirm the stoichiometric composition of FeSi and the space group of P213 (198) [57, 71].

Resistivity measurements were carried out on a closed cycle refrigerator (CCR) based cryostat of CRYOMECH. Four copper (Cu) leads were connected to the sample by vacuum compatible silver epoxy (Epo-Tek H27D) in Van der Pauw method. The sample temperature was varied between 4 and 320 K during the measurements.

ARPES measurements were carried out at 1³-ARPES end station equipped with VG- Scienta R4000 electron analyzer in BESSY II (Helmholtz zentrum Berlin) synchrotron radiation center [72, 73]. The angular resolution was set at 0.2° for R4000. Photon energies for the measurements were varied between 30 and 110 eV. The energy resolution was set between 10 and 15 meV depending on the excitation energy. Data were recorded at a chamber vacuum of the order of 1 × 10⁻¹⁰ mbar and the sample temperature was kept at 1 K during the measurements. We employed various photon polarizations in order to extract the electronic structure comprehensively. Another set of ARPES measurements were performed in Swiss Light Source (SLS) at the SIS beamline using a VG-Scienta R4000 electron analyzer. Photon energy was varied between between 20 and 120 eV. Overall energy resolution was set between 15 and 25 meV depending on the photon energy. Samples were cleaved in situ at a sample temperature of 15 K and the chamber vacuum was better than 5 × 10⁻¹¹ mbar during the measurements. At SIS beamline, the data were recorded by varying the sample temperature between 15 and 80 K.

Band structure calculations: Band structure calculations were performed on the noncentrosymmetric cubic B20 crystal structure of FeSi [74], having the lattice parameters of a = b = c = 4.84 Å, using density functional theory (DFT) within the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) of Perdew, Burke and Ernzerhof (PBE) exchange and correlation potential [75] as implemented in the Quantum Espresso simulation package [76]. Upright-soft non-relativistic and fully relativistic pseudopotentials were used to perform the calculations without spin-orbit coupling (SOC) and with SOC, respectively. The electronic wavefunction is expanded using plane waves up to a cutoff energy of 40 Ry (545 eV). Brillouin zone sampling is done over a 20×20×20 Monkhorst-Pack k-grid. The internal coordinates of the system are relaxed before producing the band structure.

For the surface state calculations, the tight-binding model was derived by computing the maximally-localized Wannier functions, choosing Fe 3d and Si 3p orbitals as the basis using the Wannier90 code [77]. We then employed WannierTools package [78] for analysis of surface and topological properties. Spin-orbit coupling was included for the surface calculations.

Results and discussions: Resistivity of FeSi as a function of temperature is shown in Figure 1. As can be seen from Figs. 1(c) and 1(d), the resistivity of FeSi decreases with increasing temperature up to 220 K, like a semiconductor. However, from a close observation we realise that FeSi is semiconductor only within the temperature range of 75-143 K as it can be properly fitted by the activation formula, ρ(T) = ρ₀ e (E_g/kT), where E_g is the band gap. By fitting the resistivity data, as shown by the red line in the inset of Fig. 1(c), we estimate a semiconducting band gap of E_g = 30 meV within this temperature range. The derived gap is in good agreement with previous report [19]. Further, we noticed a kink in the resistivity curve at around T = 75 K, below which dρ/dT decreases with the temperature. Similarly, we find that dρ/dT decreases with increasing temperature between 143 K and 220 K. And beyond 220 K, the resistivity increases with temperature. This peculiar resistivity character below 75 K and above 143 K can be attributed to bad metalicity of FeSi [57, 64]. Thus, our...
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Maps in the $k$ recorded at a sample temperature of 1 K. Fermi surface is found to be different from different studies [58, 64, 79–82].

Next, ARPES data of FeSi is shown in Figure 2. Fig. 2 (a) shows $k_x-k_z$ Fermi surface map measured with the photon energies ranging from 75 eV to 108 eV with a step of 3 eV using $p$-polarized light. The high symmetry points $\Gamma, X$ and $R$ are denoted on the Fermi surface map following the equation, $k_z = \sqrt{\frac{2m}{\hbar^2}} (V_0 + E_z \cos^2 \theta)$ with an inner potential of 16 eV. From the $k_z$ Fermi surface map we realize that the photon energy of 100±3 eV detects the bands from the $\Gamma$ point and photon energy of 75±3 eV detects the bands from the $X$ point. Similarly, the high symmetry point $R$ is accessible with a photon energy of 86±3 eV when the sample surface is normal to the $c$ axis. Energy distribution maps along $\Gamma - X, \Gamma - M$ and $X - R$ are shown in Figs. 3 (a), (c), and (d), respectively. The band structure extracted along the in-plane $\Gamma - X ([100])$ as shown in Fig. 3 (b), is in good agreement with the band structure extracted along the out-of-plane $\Gamma - X ([001])$ as shown in Fig. 2 (d). The band structure derived from DFT calculations with SOC along $\Gamma - R$ and $X - R$ is overlapped on the experimental band structure as shown in Figs. 3 (c) and (d), and there is a good agreement between DFT calculations and ARPES data. The calculated bulk band structure without SOC and with SOC in the $k$ path $\Gamma X M\Gamma$ is shown in Figs. 2 (e) and 2 (f), respectively. Further, using $s$-polarized light we could detect flat bands along the $\Gamma - X$ high symmetry line (see Fig. 1 in supplementary information) which is in agreement with the DFT calculations. Thus, there is a finite spectral intensity at $X$ point that is clearly visible with the $s$-polarized light. This suggests that the band structure of FeSi near the Fermi level is composed by the multiple orbital characters. More details on the polarization dependent matrix elements can be found at Ref. [83].

Photon energy dependent ARPES data are shown in Figure 3. Fig. 3 (a) shows $k_x-k_z$ Fermi surface map measured with the photons energies ranging from 75 eV to 108 eV with a step of 3 eV using $p$-polarized light. The high symmetry points $\Gamma, X$ and $R$ are de-
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Figure 3. Out-of-plane electronic band structure of FeSi. (a) Fermi surface map in the $k_z - k_{\perp}$ plane. (b) Energy distribution map along $\Gamma$-$X$ (001) high symmetry line. (c) Energy distribution map along the $\Gamma$-$R$ high symmetry line overlapped with DFT band structure calculated including SOC. (d) Energy distribution map along the $X$-$R$ high symmetry line overlapped with DFT band structure calculated including SOC. (e) DFT band structure in the $\Gamma$RXT$\Gamma$ without SOC and (f) with SOC.

Figure 4. Temperature dependent ARPES data. (a) Energy distribution map along the $X$-$M$ high symmetry line measured using 90 eV photon energies at a sample temperature of 15 K. (b) Second derivative of (a). White dashed curves in (b) are the parabolic fits to the experimental bands. (c) Zoomed in DFT band structure along $X$-$M$ orientation. (d) Half width half maximum (HWHM) as a function of binding energy extracted from the EDM shown in (a) by fitting the momentum dispersive curves (MDCs) with the Lorentzian function. In (d), the red curve is a fit of the self-energy function. In (d), the red curve is a fit of the self-energy function.

ΓRXT$\Gamma$ are shown in Figs. 3(e) and (f), respectively. As predicted from the DFT calculations without SOC, in FeSi the triple-point spin-1 excitations with topological charge of $\pm 2$ are at the $\Gamma$ point and double Weyl fermions with topological charge of $\pm 2$ are at the $R$ point. On the other hand, DFT with SOC, the triple-point spin-1 excitations are predicted at the $R$ point while the spin-3/2 Rarita-Schwinger-Weyl fermions are predicted at the $\Gamma$ point [35].

Figure 3(a) depicts EDM along the $X$-$M$ orientation measured at a sample temperature of 15 K. From the second derivative of Fig. 4(a) as shown in Fig. 4(b) we identify two band dispersions, #1 and #2. Here, the band #1 is crossing the Fermi level with a momentum vector of 0.22 Å$^{-1}$ at the $X$ point whereas the band #2 does not cross the Fermi level. Further, we estimate an energy difference between the top of #1 and #2 is about 40 meV, which is in good agreement with the DFT calculations with SOC which predicts it to be 39.5 meV as shown in Fig. 4(c). In fact, without SOC there exists only one band dispersion along $X$-$M$ orientation at this energy position [see Fig. 2(e)]. Thus, the experimental band structure can be properly reproduced using DFT calculations only with the SOC inclusion. To further elucidate temperature effects on the electronic band structure of FeSi, we measured EDMs along $X$–$M$ orientation with temperature ranging between 15 K and 80 K (see Fig. 2 in the supplementary information). From the temperature dependent EDMs as shown in Fig. 2 of the supplementary information, it is evident that the band structure near the Fermi level hardly changes with the temperature at least within the range of 15-80 K. We further estimated half-width-half-maximum (HWHM) from MDCs which is directly related to the imaginary part of the self-energy ($\Im(\Sigma(E))$) for the band #1 as shown in Fig. 4(d). By fitting HWHM using the self-energy function [84], we find electron-phonon coupling at a Debye energy of 80 meV. This estimate of Debye energy is in good agreement with an earlier ARPES report which suggested a Debye energy of 90 meV [74]. Thus, the anomalous resistivity observed in FeSi (see Fig. 1) may not be of the electronic structure origin. But, based on the spectral functional analysis, we suggest that the electron-phonon coupling is playing
a crucial role for the observed anomalous resistivity also as suggested by the previous reports [58, 65, 85, 86].

Overall, the experimental band structure is quantitatively in agreement with the DFT calculations. Specially, the experimental observation of SOC band splitting has been explained very well from DFT calculations with spin-orbit interactions. Till date, a very few ARPES data with low energy and momentum resolution are available in the literature on FeSi, so it is difficult to compare quantitatively. However, qualitatively, our ARPES data is consistent with some of the earlier ARPES reports [51, 55]. Next, coming to the main point of this manuscript, recent ARPES reports on CoSi and RhSi showed topological surface Fermi arcs spanning over a large area of 2D Brillouin zone [35, 36, 38–43]. Moreover, they could record manyfold bulk Weyl fermions at \( \Gamma \) and \( R \) high symmetry points. In contrast, we could not observe any such surface Fermi arcs from our ARPES measurements performed on the isostructural FeSi. As predicted by the DFT calculations [see Fig. 3(f)], in FeSi, the manyfold spin-3/2 RSW fermions are at 0.54 eV and the triple-point spin-1 excitations are at 0.37 eV above the Fermi level. So, it would not be possible to measure them using ARPES technique. Nevertheless, based on the present understanding, irrespective of the energy position of the manyfold band crossing points (BCPs) one would expect the associated Fermi surface arcs on the surface Brillouin zone [37, 38].

Therefore, to gain more insight into the surface band structure of FeSi, we carried out density functional theory calculations as shown in Fig. 5. We constructed a wannier function based model to compute the band structure of FeSi slab oriented along the [001] direction. The band structure, for a slab of thickness 88.96 Å, along the high symmetry directions is shown in Fig. 5(a). Most noticeably, we find only a set of trivial surface bands within the bulk band gap, and the absence of any topological protected Fermi arcs close to the Fermi level. The topological Fermi arcs, associated with manyfold fermions similar CoSi and RhSi, occur at substantially higher energies (0.55 eV above the Fermi level). Furthermore, we also considered the semi-infinite geometry, employing a Green’s function method to calculate the surface states, as a function of the in-plane momenta, at dierent energies. These are presented in Fig. 5(b)-(d). In stark contrast to the case of CoSi, we find that these surface states near the Fermi level close-in on themselves as shown in Figs. 5(b) and 5(c), clearly indicating the triviality of these states. The reciprocal space extent of these surface states diminishes as one moves away from the Fermi energy, with the closed loops shrinking in size. However, though the shape of the Fermi arcs is a bit different from CoSi and RhSi, the non-trivial topological Fermi arcs can be noticed in FeSi at 0.55 eV above the Fermi level as shown in Fig. 5(d). Thus the surface state calculations indicate that the topological Fermi arcs present in FeSi, however, they are not accessible by conventional ARPES technique. Further, these calculations predicted trivial surface states near the Fermi level which are not well resolved in our ARPES data due to either the surface state spectral intensity is very low compared to the bulk spectral intensity or the sample surface quality is not good enough to detect them.

Conclusions : – We systematically studied the low-energy electronic structure of topological chiral fermionic system, FeSi, using angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy and density functional theory to derive the following conclusions,

1. Observation of Fermi surface from the ARPES measurements suggest that FeSi is a metal at low temperature, in agreement with our resistivity measurements.
2. ARPES data show a spin-orbit band splitting of 40 meV that is nicely reproduced by the DFT calculations including SOC. Therefore, SOC effects must be considered while discussing the physics of manyfold degenerate fermions in the transition metal monosilicides.
3. Anomalous temperature dependent resistivity of FeSi can be explained by the electron-phonon interactions.
4. Unlike in the case of CoSi or RhSi, FeSi do not show topological surface Fermi arcs near the Fermi level.

Figure 5. Band structure calculated for a (001) oriented FeSi slab of thickness 88.96 Å. (a) Energy-momentum plot showing surface states (red colored) overlapped with the bulk band structure. Surface state Fermi map taken at \( E_F \) (b), \( E_F + 0.1 \text{ eV} \) (c), and \( E_F + 0.55 \text{ eV} \) (d).
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SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION
Figure 6. Polarization dependent Fermi surface maps measured with a photon energy of 100 eV. (a) $p$-polarization. (b) $s$-polarization. (c) and (d) are the EDMs taken along the $\Gamma - X$ orientation using $p$- and $s$- polarizations, respectively. (e) ARPES measurement geometry in which the $s$ and $p$ polarizations are defined with respect to the scattering plane (SP).

Figure 7. Temperature dependent ARPES data measured using $s$-polarized light with a photon energy of 90 eV.