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Abstract

Individuals with autism spectrum disorders (ASD) are at higher risks of developing chronic oral health conditions. This trial compared the efficacy of two tooth-brushing educational interventions on the oral hygiene status (Simplified Oral Hygiene Index (OHI-S)) among 7–15-year-old students with ASD in Tehran. Four schools were divided to intervention (video modeling) and comparison (social story) school groups. The OHI-S of 137 participants had been evaluated at baseline and 133 were analyzed finally after one month. Baseline characteristics were similar between both groups. OHI-S improvement was significantly higher in intervention group. We concluded that tooth-brushing educational intervention using video modeling based on modern technologies would improve oral hygiene status more than traditional social stories (standard education) in individuals with ASD. Trial registration number: IRCT20200208046413N1, Registration date: 2020–07-05.
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Autism spectrum disorder (ASD) is one of the most severe childhood neuropsychiatric conditions. ASD is characterized by impairment in social interactions with repetitive behaviors and a restricted range of interests and functions (Happé, 2011). Individuals with ASD are at a higher risk of developing chronic non-communicable oral health conditions due to factors like poor dietary preferences, behaviors, and specific aversions (Feucht & Ogata, 2010; Jaber, 2011). The increasing prevalence of ASD has raised global interest in oral health concerns in these individuals. In addition, this coincides with one of the global oral health goals to promote the oral health of this population (Beaglehole, 2003; Gandhi & Klein, 2014).

Toothbrushing has an essential role in oral health maintenance and is one of the basic self-care skills. However, evidence suggests that children with ASD have difficulties in their daily toothbrushing practice (Du, Yiu, King, and disorders, 2019). Preventive educational interventions with emphasis on teaching an easy, proper and effective toothbrushing technique may be desirable to improve the oral health, particularly in young individuals with ASD (Piraneh et al., 2022). Accordingly, effective toothbrushing training can improve these children’s self-care and reduce their parents’ burdens and exhaustion (Zhou et al., 2020).

Special educational methods and intervention approaches are required to improve the toothbrushing practice in individuals with ASD. The most common method is visual pedagogy, which benefits from these children’s ability to respond better to pictures and images rather than conceptual words. It appears to be an effective training method for children with autism both at home and in school (Bäckman & Pilebro, 1999). Visual pedagogy has also been used to develop several types of educational techniques and instruments such
as pictured books, social stories, video modeling, picture containing apps, etc. Social stories are a common strategy for children with ASD. These stories are short by design and rely on a ratio of descriptive, perspective and/or affirmative sentences in addition to visual cues (Gandhi & Klein, 2014; Styles, 2011). Video uses technology to deliver modeling interventions efficiently and provides the learners with accurate and consistent exemplars of the target behavior being performed (Hong et al., 2016). Furthermore, Peer Mediated Interventions (PMI) has also been identified as a versatile and potentially effective intervention approach to teach a variety of skills to individuals with ASD (Watkins et al., 2015). Parent-mediated interventions are also increasingly used to target skill deficits in children with autism (Shalev et al., 2020).

To conduct an effective intervention, there is a need for a conceptual framework that guides the construction of the intervention and its evaluation. The PRECEDE-PROCEED model can be used as a framework to design and evaluate an oral health promotion effort. In this framework, health behavior is regarded as being influenced by both individual and environmental factors; hence, it has two distinct parts. The first part is an “educational diagnosis” – PRECEDE, an acronym for Predisposing, Reinforcing and Enabling Constructs in Educational Diagnosis and Evaluation. The second part is an “ecological diagnosis” – PROCEED, an acronym for Policy, Regulatory, and Organizational Constructs in Educational and Environmental Development. The PRECEDE component allows researchers to work backward from the ultimate goal of the research (distal outcomes) to create a blueprint to instruct the formation of the intervention or strategy. The PROCEED component may lay out the evaluation, including pilot study and efficacy study methodologies (Crosby & Noar, 2011).

Due to the importance of oral health in autistic individuals, increasing prevalence of ASD in the world, and limited studies investigating oral health education and promotion in individuals with autism, it is necessary to conduct a study to evaluate the effect of oral hygiene educational interventions on the oral hygiene status of children with ASD. Therefore, the present study was conducted to evaluate and compare the effect of two different toothbrushing educational interventions (social story based toothbrushing education versus video-modeling based toothbrushing training) on the oral hygiene status of primary school students with ASD aged 7–15 years old in Tehran, Iran using the Simplified Oral Hygiene Index (OHI-S) (Greene & Vermillion, 1964). The framework of the trial program was designed based on the branches of the PRECEDE–PROCEED planning model (Crosby & Noar, 2011; Saied-Moallemi, 2010).

Methods

Trial Design

A quasi-randomized (male students aged 7–15 years old with autism spectrum disorder studying in four primary schools in intervention and comparison groups with simple randomization [1:1]) two-arm, non-blind, parallel, controlled trial was conducted in Iran.

Participants

Eligible participants were male students with ASD aged 7–15 years old in the first to sixth grades of governmental special primary schools in Tehran, Iran. The inclusion criteria were parents’ consent for their child to participate in the study, age 7–15 years, ASD level 1 or 2, and access to the Internet and WhatsApp social network. The two exclusion criteria were the presence of other physical or developmental disabilities and inability to cooperate. All the students of these four schools including 161 students in 38 classrooms were invited. The parents of 147 students consented to their children’s participation in the study by signing informed consent forms after obtaining information about the purpose and process of the study (response rate: 91.3%). According to the inclusion criteria, 10 students who were diagnosed as ASD level 3 were excluded.

Study Settings

The study took place at the four public primary special schools that provide special training and care exclusively for male students with ASD in Tehran, Iran, from November 2019 to March 2020. (Besharat Special School, Imam Jafar Sadegh Special School, Payambar-e-Azam Special School, and Peyk-e-Honar Special School).

Randomization

Each school was considered as a randomization unit. As the number of schools was limited, the present study was conducted as a quasi-randomized controlled trial and these four schools were randomized to two equal arms of parallel school groups. Therefore, for simple randomization, each school’s name was written on a piece of paper and concealed in an envelope by a third person. These four schools were randomly allocated to the intervention and comparison groups by drawing envelopes randomly.
Sample Size

The sample size was calculated with the sample size calculation formula for clinical trials using mean and standard deviation (OHI-S mean difference = 0.6) (Charan & Biswas, 2013). Assuming that 20% of the students could be lost to follow-up, 60 students were needed. Considering a design effect of 1.5, at least 90 students were required.

Outcomes

The outcome variable was oral hygiene improvement measured as a decrease in the OHI-S score. The OHI-S consists of two parts, including the Debris Index (DI) and Calculus Index (CI). Debris is the soft foreign matter loosely attached to the teeth. It consists of mucin, bacteria and food, and varies in color from greyish white to green or orange, and calculus is defined as a deposit of inorganic salts composed primarily of calcium carbonate and phosphate mixed with food debris, bacteria and desquamated epithelial cells (Greene & Vermillion, 1964). Six tooth surfaces (3, 8, 14, 19, 24, and 30 in the permanent dentition or A, E, F, K, O, and P in the primary dentition) are scored on a scale of 0 to 3. The debris scores are summed and divided by the number of examined teeth for each subject to calculate DI. The same process is used to calculate CI. The sum of DI and CI equals the OHI-S (Kolawole & Folayan, 2019).

A valid and reliable instrument was designed and developed by an expert panel to measure the parents’ oral health knowledge and attitude as well as the student’s oral health behaviors. This instrument had acceptable content validity index (CVI) (≥ 0.83) and content validity ratio (CVR) (≥ 0.75) values (Polit & Beck, 2004). Subsequently, the face validity of the questionnaire was found to be acceptable according to twelve parents who answered questions about the clarity and comprehensibility of the questionnaire in a pilot study. The internal reliability was assessed by Cronbach’s alpha coefficient, which was 0.96. The intra-class correlation coefficient (ICC) was above 0.70 in test–retest analysis. They both had acceptable limits (Taber, 2018).

The questionnaire consisted of four parts. The first part was about demographic and basic characteristics of the students including age, education level of parents, and the household economic status. The second part used four items to evaluate the oral health behavior of autistic children (including sugary snack consumption, toothbrushing frequency and routines, and frequency of fluoridated toothpaste use). The third part contained eight items on the parents’ oral health knowledge, and the last part included six items on the parents’ attitude towards oral health.

The parents’ education level was assessed by their last academic degree via a multiple-choice question ordinated in six education levels including: 1-illiterate, 2-unfinished high school education, 3-high school diploma, 4-associated degree, 5-bachelor’s degree, and 6-master’s degree or higher. Then, the levels were dichotomized according to statistical distribution into two groups of 1-associate degree or less and 2-higher than associate degree. The household economic status was assessed according to relative poverty in urban areas of Iran, which was estimated at 360 USD at the time of the study. A monthly income of below 360 USD was considered as low-income and above 360 USD was considered as high income.

The parents’ oral health knowledge was assessed with eight true/false questions. A correct answer to each question received a score of 1 and a wrong answer received a score of 0. Accordingly, the sum score of these eight responses indicated the parents’ overall knowledge score that ranged from 0 to 8. Finally, the overall score was converted to the overall knowledge percentage for easier understanding. The parents’ attitude towards oral health was assessed using six questions on a five-point Likert scale (completely agree = 1, almost agree = 2, no idea = 3, almost disagree = 4, and completely disagree = 5). The answers were coded and aligned from the lowest proper value to the highest and scored from 1 to 5 respectively. Then, the sum score of these six responses indicated the parents’ overall attitude score, which ranged from 6 to 30. In addition, the overall score was converted to the overall attitude percentage.

Pilot Study and Calibration

Prior to the main study, a pilot study was conducted in a private charity educational center for autistic children in Tehran. Eleven children with ASD participated in the pilot study. For intra-examiner calibration, the examiner—a general dentist-examined 10 children and recorded the OHI-S. A second examination was conducted after 30 min. The intra-class correlation coefficient (ICC) was calculated for the OHI-S (ICC = 1). The inter-examiner agreement was assessed between the examiner and an oral public health specialist. An ICC of 1 was calculated for the OHI-S (ICC for DI and CI = 1).

Baseline

A week before oral examination, a social story that contained pictures of the examiner and examination steps was given to the parents with an information sheet containing necessary instructions regarding storytelling and showing the numbered pictures in the mentioned order at least three times a day during the week. Subsequently, the questionnaire was completed by one of the parents. A psychologist who was evaluating the students in the study as to the level of severity assessed the severity of autism (ASD level 1: mild ASD, level 2: moderate ASD, and level 3: severe ASD).
according to the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 5th Edition (DSM-5) (Association, 2013). On the examination day, the students were visited in a classroom known as the “health room” one by one with their teachers. The students were asked to sit on a bench. The examination was carried out under natural light using an intra-oral examination mirror and the OHI-S was recorded. In addition, the cooperation level of the students during examination was reported using the Frankle scale (Nilchian et al., 2017). Basic characteristics were similar between the two groups. A soft toothbrush and tasteless fluoridated toothpaste were given to each student as a reward at the end of the oral examination.

**Interventions**

This study was designed based on the modified PRECEDE-PROCEED oral health promotion model (Saied-Moallemi, 2010). The different parts of the model in the present study were as follows: 1-Fluoride therapy is routinely provided for grades 1 to 6 in all schools twice a year by the Iranian Ministry of Health as a national program (considered as an environmental factor), 2-The education level of the parents and the household income were enabling factors, 3-The child’s level of ASD was considered a predisposing factor, 4-The parents’ oral health knowledge and attitude as well as their supervision over their children’s toothbrushing practice were considered as reinforcing factors (Fig. 1).

Based on the experts’ opinion and the relevant literature that has shown the visual pedagogy approach to be an effective and practical method to educate individuals with ASD (Pilebro & Bäckman, 2005; Smutkeeree et al., 2020), we decided to use this approach to train these students to use the Fones toothbrushing technique. Tailoring the intervention educational package was based on the modern technology and used video modeling. Research has demonstrated oral hygiene improvement after toothbrushing training via video modeling interventions in children with ASD (Popple et al., 2016). The educational package used for comparison (social story) was designed based on the standard routine of education in schools for students with ASD. Social story interventions have been used to improve toothbrushing skills in children with or without autism; however, they have proven more efficient in improving the oral hygiene status.
in children with autism (Zhou et al., 2020). In the two inter-
vention schools, the parents in the intervention groups were
invited to a face-to-face meeting in order to provide infor-
mation about sugary foods and the effect on tooth surfaces
and the oral cavity. The importance of plaque control was
discussed and instructions regarding the intervention video
were provided as the first step. The parents were taught how
their children should brush their teeth through video mod-
eling (Hong et al., 2016). Subsequently, a video that was
already prepared was played for them, a 3-min video that
presented the Fones brushing technique in 23 smaller stages.
The Fones technique was selected because it is easy to learn
and apply, especially for the students with ASD and their
caregivers (Dias et al., 2010). In the video, a 10-year-old
boy presented the stages slowly and a background voice nar-
rated a simple sentence in each stage to instruct the student.
The video file was sent to parents via the WhatsApp social
network. The parents were asked to play the video every
day and practice the stages with their children as much as
possible. A poster containing selected photos taken from the
above video was given to parents to place on the bathroom
wall where their children brushed their teeth to help them
remember the stages. Reminder WhatsApp messages were
sent to the parents three times a week. In order to prepare a
supportive environment, as a PMI for the students, a training
session was held for teachers to teach them the Fones brush-
ing technique. The teachers were asked to play the video for
the students in the classroom and help the students practice
toothbrushing with their classmates in the school environ-
ment twice a week. The posters that were given to the par-
ents containing photos from the video were also displayed in
the school restrooms where the students brushed their teeth.

In the two comparison schools, the parents in the compar-
ison group were provided with same oral health education
as the intervention group in the form of pamphlets instead
of the face-to-face meeting. Since printed materials such as
pamphlets are frequently used for health education and
are effective in changing knowledge, attitudes and behavior
for a wide range of health-related issues (Redman, 1997),
the study was purposely designed to keep the comparison
group's educational package in a common and traditional
style as much as possible. A social story containing photos
and sentences of the 23 smaller stages of the Fones tooth-
brushing technique was given to the parents. The parents
were asked to practice with their children every day and
use the stages in their children's toothbrushing routine as
much as possible. Thus, both intervention and comparison
groups were taught how to brush their teeth using the Fones
toothbrushing technique in 23 smaller stages by two different
visual pedagogic tools (video modeling in the intervention
group and social story in the comparison group). The same
poster given to the intervention group was given to the par-
ents of children in the comparison group to install on their
bathroom wall as well. Reminder WhatsApp messages were
sent three times a week, similar to the intervention group.
However, no PMIs were used in the comparison group.

Follow-Up

A follow-up evaluation was done one month after the inter-
vention using the same questionnaire used for baseline
evaluation. The questionnaire was completed by the par-
ents. Oral examination of the students was done by the same
examiner in order to record secondary OHI-S (Fig. 2).

Blinding

In this study, the children and their parents were aware of
the educational group they were allocated to. It was not pos-
sible to design and implement a double-blind trial since oral
examination by a stranger examiner in the follow-up session
could affect the children's cooperation. The only blind party
was the statistician.

Statistical Methods

The collected data were entered into a dataset in the SPSS
software version 24 (IBM Corp, Released 2017, Armonk,
NY). First, the data were scanned, and false entries were
cleared from the dataset. There were no missing values at
baseline. The students who did not complete the follow-up
oral evaluation were not included in the analysis due to lack
of outcome variables. The STATA/SE version 14 (Stata-
Corp, Released 2016, College Station, TX) was used for
longitudinal data analysis. Considering the normal distribu-
tion of the data, quantitative numerical variables are pre-
sent as mean and standard deviation (SD) and categorical
variables are reported as number (n) and percentage (%).
Each classroom was considered a cluster. Due to the close
relationships of the students' parents in the schools and a
high probability of intervention contamination, it was not
possible to group different clusters in a particular school. In
order to evaluate and compare the effect of intervention and
comparison educational packages on outcome measures and
to consider the internal effect of the clusters (class rooms),
multilevel mixed effect linear regression model was used for
quantitative variables and multilevel mixed effect logistic
regression model was applied to categorical variables. P-val-
ues less than 0.05 were considered significant in all analyses.

Results

At baseline, 137 male students from four schools entered
the study. Four schools were randomized into two equal
arms of parallel school groups. The two intervention
At baseline, the mean age of the students was $11.57 \pm 2.29$ years (male: 100%). The mean oral hygiene status of the students according to the OHI-S was $1.91 \pm 0.51$. The mean oral health knowledge and attitude scores of the parents were $86.19 \pm 14.64$ and $82.79 \pm 13.06$, respectively. The demographic and baseline characteristics of the students in the intervention and comparison groups are presented in Table 1 [Table 1]. The frequency of the parents’ correct responses to oral health knowledge questions in intervention and comparison groups before and after the intervention is demonstrated [Table 2]. The parents in both groups showed an increasing trend of oral health knowledge in all items except item 2 (Eating sweet food causes tooth decay) and item 5 (It is beneficial to visit a dentist for regular check-ups) in the intervention group, which showed a slight decline of 1.3% in the post-intervention assessment.

The mean scores of the parents’ oral health attitude in intervention and comparison groups before and after the intervention are placed in Table 3 [Table 3]. The scores of all of the six items had an increasing trend in both groups in post-intervention assessment.

Comparison of the effect of two educational packages on the outcome measures between the two groups at one-month follow-up is presented in Table 4 [Table 4]. The OHI-S was significantly lower in the intervention group compared to the comparison group, indicating a better oral health status ($p$-value $= 0.006$). Other measured values including the students’ oral health behavior and parents’ oral health knowledge and attitude were not significantly different between the two groups. However, in both groups, the percentage of the parents’ oral health knowledge and attitude had an increasing trend compared to baseline. As for the items of the students’ oral health behavior, the number of students who “brushed at least once a day”, “used fluoridated toothpaste most of the time”, and “brushed their teeth under supervision or aided” had an increasing trend in both groups. The number of students that “consumed sweet snacks twice a day or less” did not change compared to baseline.

**Discussion**

The present study was a quasi-randomized controlled trial aimed to evaluate and compare the effect of social story based toothbrushing education versus video-modeling based tooth-brushing training on oral hygiene status of male students aged 7–15 years old with autism spectrum disorder in the governmental special primary schools of Tehran, Iran. The main finding of this study was that the OHI-S decreasing trend was significantly higher in the intervention group versus the comparison group, which could be due to the higher efficacy of video modeling compared to social stories in ASD children. The post-intervention evaluations showed that the eating habits and oral
health behavior were not significantly different between the intervention and comparison groups. This study was conducted in two phases, including pre-intervention (baseline) and follow-up (one month post intervention). The present study introduced and compared two child-friendly noninvasive educational packages for teaching toothbrushing skills to children with ASD. Both educational packages improved the oral hygiene status of children with ASD in the intervention and comparison groups and also enhanced the parents’ oral health knowledge and attitude according to the branches of PRECEDE–PROCEED planning model. However, the parents’ oral health knowledge and attitude were similar between the two groups after the intervention. The Fones brushing technique is considered as a basic skill for oral hygiene maintenance in these individuals as it is easy to learn and apply (Dias et al., 2010). Two different visual educational techniques were used in the study groups. One of the techniques was video modeling, which is one of the newest tools of visual pedagogy and employs technological advances (Hong et al., 2016). This technique was used in the intervention group. The other technique was a popular traditional instrument known as the social story, which was used in the comparison group (Styles, 2011). Both of the intervention and comparison groups used a parent-mediated intervention to maximize the children’s learning through increasing their opportunity to practice toothbrushing at home (Brookman-Frazee et al., 2009). The innovation of the study was that a PMI was included in the educational package of the intervention group to provide a supportive environment for the students in the school (Watkins et al., 2015).

In the one-month follow-up, the effect of the educational packages on the outcome measures was compared between the two groups. The percentage of the parents’ oral health knowledge and attitude had a slightly increasing trend compared to the pre-intervention phase in both groups. As for the

---

**Fig. 3** CONSORT Flow Diagram of efficacy of two different tooth-brushing educational interventions on oral hygiene status of Iranian students with autism spectrum disorders
Table 1 Demographic and basic characteristics of students with autism spectrum disorders (ASD) at baseline in the intervention and comparison groups

|                     | Intervention group (n = 79) | Comparison group (n = 58) |
|---------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
| Age                 | 11.51 ± 2.26                | 11.67 ± 2.33              |
| Oral hygiene status (OHI-S) | 1.85 ± 2.26              | 1.98 ± 0.54              |
| Parents’ oral health knowledge | 85.71 ± 15.14           | 86.84 ± 14.06           |
| Parents’ oral health attitudes | 83.34 ± 13.50           | 82.03 ± 12.51           |
| Father’s education level |                      |                           |
| Associate degree or less | 32 (40.5%)                | 34 (58.6%)               |
| Higher than associate degree | 47 (59.5%)                | 24 (41.4%)               |
| Mother’s education level |                      |                           |
| Associate degree or less | 35 (44.3%)                | 33 (56.9%)               |
| Higher than Associate degree | 44 (55.7%)                | 25 (43.1%)               |
| Family income        |                            |                           |
| Less than 360 USD    | 46 (73.4%)                 | 46 (79.3%)               |
| 360 USD or more      | 21 (26.6%)                 | 12 (20.7%)               |
| Level of ASD         |                            |                           |
| 1 (mild)             | 53 (67.1%)                 | 37 (63.8%)               |
| 2 (moderate)         | 26 (32.9%)                 | 21 (36.2%)               |
| Cooperation level (Frankle) |                    |                           |
| Definitely positive  | 39 (49.4%)                 | 32 (55.2%)               |
| Positive             | 24 (30.4%)                 | 17 (29.3%)               |
| Negative             | 13 (16.4%)                 | 7 (12.1%)                |
| Definitely negative  | 3 (3.8%)                   | 2 (3.4%)                 |
| Daily brushing frequency |                      |                           |
| Once or more         | 54 (68.4%)                 | 34 (58.6%)               |
| Less than once       | 25 (31.6%)                 | 24 (41.4%)               |
| Routines of tooth-brushing |                  |                           |
| Unaided              | 10 (12.7%)                 | 10 (17.2%)               |
| Supervised or aided  | 69 (87.3%)                 | 48 (82.8%)               |
| Use of fluoridated toothpaste |            |                           |
| Most of the time     | 60 (75.9%)                 | 43 (74.1%)               |
| Seldom or never      | 19 (24.1%)                 | 15 (25.9%)               |
| Frequency of daily sugary snacks consumption |   |                           |
| Twice or less        | 68 (86.1%)                 | 52 (89.7%)               |
| More than twice      | 11 (13.9%)                 | 6 (10.3%)                |

students’ oral health behavior, the number of students who brushed at least once a day, often used fluoridated toothpaste, and brushed their teeth under supervision or aided also showed an increasing trend in both groups compared to the baseline. However, the number of students that consumed sugary snacks twice a day or less remained unchanged compared to baseline. In this study, the dietary habits and oral health behavior were not significantly different between intervention and comparison groups after the intervention, which could be due to the short time of intervention that was not long enough to cause a behavior change (Asma’a et al., 2013; Ghaffari et al., 2018). In a meta-analysis, the minimum time required to apply interventions with positive effects on oral health behavior in children was three months. (Ghaffari et al., 2018).

In the one-month follow-up, a decreasing trend was observed in the OHI-S in both groups indicating an improvement in the oral health status, which was consistent with previous studies (Dias et al., 2010; Popple et al., 2016; Zhou et al., 2020). The improvement in the overall oral health was probably due to reminder messages sent to both groups, which may have created a higher priority for oral health (Popple et al., 2016). This decreasing trend in the OHI-S was significantly higher in the intervention group versus the comparison group, which might be related to the significantly higher efficacy of teaching the correct brushing method and more effective plaque removal in the video modeling method due to the better interaction of autistic children with technology compared to the traditional method of social story printed on paper. This finding was consistent with the results of a study by Mohammadpour et al. that found video modeling increased self-help skills, including brushing skills, in children with autism at 1-month and 3-month follow-ups (Mohammadpour et al., 2013). Since video modeling uses visual guides, it is an effective strategy for educating people with ASD (Bellini & Akullian, 2007). According to another study, the visual nature of this strategy helps individuals with ASD find the right frame of reference for behavior in this context. Video modeling may help individuals with ASD to overcome problems such as the inability to focus on a stimulus, which affects imitation and random learning (McCoy & Hermansen, 2007). Another theory suggests that video modeling can help compensate for hypersensitivity to stimuli in individuals with ASD by facilitating their attention to stimuli and prominent symptoms (Charlop-Christy et al., 2000). Video modeling may also increase motivation, and it has been shown that it affects some children with ASD inherently (Charlop-Christy & Daneshvar, 2003). It seems that oral health behavior change in children with autism, including the frequency of toothbrushing per day and using fluoridated toothpaste, especially in those who are hypersensitive to taste and those who have certain eating habits, requires a great deal of practice and repetition. However, training aiming at optimizing the child’s toothbrushing skills with the help of parents or caregivers might improve the plaque removal and oral hygiene status and promotes oral health in these children.

Limitations

Unfortunately, because of the onset of covid-19 pandemic and closure of all schools across the country, further follow-ups were postponed and then cancelled due to the biological risks. It was difficult to compare the results with previous
studies because of the limited number of oral health related clinical trials in the ASD population. Moreover, previous studies were conducted in different age groups and different countries with various cross-cultural values and living standards, dietary habits, genetic backgrounds, etc.

**Strengths**

The present study was conducted in Tehran, a multicultural city with a population of about 10 million including individuals and families with different socio-demographic statuses (SES), health beliefs, and racial and ethnic backgrounds (Montazeri et al., 2008). To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study of oral health-related interventions in such a large sample size of children with ASD in the Middle East.

Parents of the students with ASD were apprehensive about any kind of clinical examinations on their children. In addition, the overload of responsibilities experienced by parents who have children with ASD contributed to a reluctance to teach a new skill to their child. Parents were more likely to participate in the study when an awareness was created about the potential oral health benefits that the Fones toothbrushing technique could provide. However, despite these difficulties, we were able to collect an acceptable database for the study. Another strength was the study setting, which included schools that enrolled children with ASD. Schools are the best place for examinations since children are used to this environment. A change of location for dental examination would probably provoke negative behaviors in this group of children (Rekha et al., 2012). In addition, the reminder messages sent via WhatsApp for both groups may

### Table 2

Distribution of parents’ correct answers to items of oral health knowledge in intervention and comparison groups before and after intervention

| Oral health knowledge statements (correct answers) | Intervention group (n=77) | Comparison group (n=56) |
|--------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|
|                                                   | Pre-intervention | Post-intervention | Pre-intervention | Post-intervention |
| 1- Gum disease is caused by microbial plaque. (Yes)* | 51 (66.2%) | 65(84.4%) | 39 (69.6%) | 51(91.1%) |
| 2- Eating sweet food causes tooth decay. (Yes)    | 75(97.4%) | 74(96.1%) | 53(94.6%) | 56(100%) |
| 3- Cavities are caused by microbial plaque. (Yes)  | 56(72.7%) | 62(80.5%) | 48(85.7%) | 50(89.3%) |
| 4- Brushing without toothpaste is enough for preventing dental caries. (No) | 60(77.9%) | 69(89.6%) | 46(82.1%) | 50(89.3%) |
| 5- It is beneficial to visit a dentist for regular check-ups. (Yes) | 73(94.8%) | 72(93.5%) | 52(92.9%) | 54(96.4%) |
| 6- Rinsing with salt water or other kinds of mouth rinses is sufficient to clean teeth. (No) | 65(84.4%) | 68(88.3%) | 42(75.0%) | 49(87.5%) |
| 7- Restricting consumption of cookies, chocolate, candies, and other sugary snacks helps prevent dental caries. (Yes) | 74(96.1%) | 75(97.4%) | 52(92.9%) | 54(96.4%) |
| 8- Regular teeth brushing helps prevent gum problems. (Yes) | 68(88.3%) | 73(94.8%) | 52(92.9%) | 55(98.2%) |

*Correct answer = 1 score, False answer = 0 score

### Table 3

Mean scores of parents’ oral health attitude in intervention and comparison groups before and after intervention

| Parental oral health attitude statements (positive attitude) | Intervention group (n=77) | Comparison group (n=56) |
|------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|
|                                                          | Pre-intervention | Post-intervention | Pre-intervention | Post-intervention |
| 1- State of teeth is decided at birth and is not related to self-care. (Max* = completely disagree) | 3.58 ± 1.00 | 3.90 ± 0.94 | 3.48 ± 1.03 | 3.80 ± 0.90 |
| 2- Poor teeth are detrimental to one’s appearance. (Max = completely agree) | 4.57 ± 0.68 | 4.70 ± 0.63 | 4.50 ± 0.79 | 4.80 ± 0.40 |
| 3- State of my teeth is of great importance to me. (Max = completely agree) | 4.38 ± 0.84 | 4.66 ± 0.55 | 4.54 ± 0.71 | 4.70 ± 0.69 |
| 4- Keeping natural teeth is not important. (Max = completely disagree) | 4.61 ± 0.71 | 4.65 ± 0.74 | 4.50 ± 0.85 | 4.61 ± 0.68 |
| 5- Dental problems can affect the body as whole. (Max = completely agree) | 4.35 ± 0.64 | 4.47 ± 0.79 | 4.23 ± 0.79 | 4.54 ± 0.63 |
| 6- Regular visits to the dentist prevent dental problems. (Max = completely disagree) | 4.52 ± 0.70 | 4.69 ± 0.59 | 4.41 ± 0.73 | 4.54 ± 0.63 |

*Maximum score = 5, Minimum score = 1
have had a supportive role in promoting the consistency of the training (Popple et al., 2016).

**Conclusion**

A quasi-randomized controlled trial was conducted to evaluate and compare the efficacy of social story based toothbrushing education versus video-modeling based toothbrushing training in promoting the oral hygiene status of male autistic students aged 7–15 years old in Tehran, Iran. The results indicated a higher improvement in oral hygiene status of the video-modeling group compared to the social story group, which could be due to the higher efficacy of video modeling versus social stories in children with ASD. However, the eating habits and oral health behaviors were similar in both groups after the intervention. The findings of the present study suggest that educational interventions using video modeling based on modern technologies could improve the oral hygiene status of individuals with ASD and might reduce the parents’ financial, social, and emotional burdens of health care. For health specialists, this study demonstrates the limitations and commences a pathway to find the best oral health educational interventions. In the future, there is a need for more clinical trials in the field of oral health with focus on the acquisition of toothbrushing skills in individuals with ASD in different populations.
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