Mediating role of job satisfaction in the relationship between organizational identification and organizational commitment: An empirical study on ship agency employees
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ABSTRACT

Understanding the relationship between an organization and the employees in that organization is of paramount importance for the success and future of that organization. This is related to the measurement of the organizational attitudes and behaviours of employees and the use of these attitudes and behaviours for forward-looking predictions. This being said, the aim of the study is to explore the relationship between the organizational identification levels of employees in ship agencies, and their job satisfaction and organizational commitment. For the purpose of this study, the data were obtained from 265 employees of different ship agencies in the cities of Istanbul and Kocaeli, Turkey, through questionnaire. The data then were analyzed via the structural equation modeling analyses, which were conducted using AMOS v22 by Bootstrap resampling with 5000 replications; the results have showed that organizational identification has both a positive direct and an indirect effect on organizational commitment, the latter through job satisfaction. Further, this study revealed that organizational identification, together with job satisfaction, explained about 0.59% of the variation in organizational commitment.

1 Introduction

Understanding the psychological bond between the individuals and the organization is of a pivotal role in organizational behaviour studies as it shapes the attitudes and behaviours of employees (Rhoades and Eisenberger, 2002). Considering rapidly changing, competitive business environment; employees become the most significant and leading factor to achieve greater success, efficiency, and productivity (Nath and Agrawal, 2015; Güleç and Samancı, 2018). Organizations which desire to enhance their competitive power, need highly motivated, committed, satisfied and innovative human capital (Abou Elhaga and Imran, 2014). For this reason, determining employees’ perceptions about their organization is critical to understand and control mechanisms that influence employees’ attitudes, and behaviours toward their jobs (Tüzün, 2009).

In the organizational behaviour literature, organizational identification (OI), organizational commitment (OC), and job satisfaction (JS) concepts have received considerable attention since they provide strong, interpretive constructs for work related attitudes and behaviours. Previous studies have reported numerous favorable outcomes associated with these key topics. For instance, OI which reflects ‘oneness’ perception of members with organization, is known to increase organizational citizenship behaviour (Ashforth, et al., 2008; Tanghe et al., 2010), in-role behavior (Haslam and Ellemers, 2005; van Knippenberg, 2000); extra-role behavior (Riketta, 2005; Lee et al., 2015), job satisfaction (Feather and Rauter, 2004; Yang and Chang, 2008; Alegre et al., 2016), organizational commitment (Meyer et al., 2004; Marique and Stinglhamber, 2011; DeConinck, 2011) and many other desirable outcomes. Present study sets out to reveal the relationships between the OI, JS and OC of the employees working for ship agencies. Though a sizable number of studies exploring these subjects with different variables have been published over years, there has been
few papers examining the relationships among all these three variables together (e.g. Arıkoğlu, 2019; Feather and Rauter, 2004; Mete et al., 2016, Sökmen, 2019). In maritime business context, no single study exists which investigates the linkage between those subjects, solely. In that regard, little attention has been paid to OC and JS relationship (e.g. Esmailpour and Ranjbar, 2018; Larsen et al., 2012; Yücel and Yorulmaz, 2016).

Ship agencies are one of the widely utilized intermediaries in the maritime sector just like freight forwarders and ship brokers. In international maritime trade, such intermediaries play a fundamental role with respect to effective service management by providing high quality, economical, effective, safe and secure logistics, and maritime services (Tuna, 1998). Deveci and Cerit (2007) emphasized that complexity of logistics and transportation services necessitates utilization of these supporting, and facilitating intermediaries such as ship agencies, and forwarders. These intermediaries carry out various crucial tasks including logistics arrangements, market research, legal advice, sales and post-sales services etc. (Ahn et al., 2011). Ship agencies, more particularly, represent ship owners and principles, and they perform multiple significant functions consisting commercial, operational, legal functions and so on (Özaydın, 2016). The primary objective of a ship agency is to protect owner’s and ship’s interests to accomplish undertaken ship operations with lowest cost and optimum efficiency (Baran and Arabelen, 2018). A wide range of services, from booking, marketing and sales, documentation to container tracking, port services, and customer relations, are offered by port agents (Deveci, 2002). Obviously, employees of ship agencies interact with several actors, and have crucial responsibilities to complete maritime services in the most effective manner. However, necessity of providing fast and high quality service in an intense competitive environment results in time pressure and job stress on agency employees. Unlike seafarers who have been subject to plenty of organizational researches (e.g. Ayap and Macalalad, 2016; Hult and Snöberg, 2014; Yorulmaz, 2018; Yuen et al., 2018) due to challenging, threatening, isolated living and working conditions, there is a lack of research exploring organizational behaviours of employees working for ship agencies. The importance of requirement of a research on ship agencies in Turkey also lies in the fact that the number of companies that received the shipping agency authorization certificate from T.C. Ministry of Transport and Infrastructure is 1251 (DTO, 2019). Although considerable amount of ship agencies, and thus employees are engaged in the maritime sector; only few studies regarding organizational behaviour have been conducted (e.g. Baran and Arabelen, 2017, 2018; Karaman, 2009; Sanrı, 2014; Widyaningrum and Widianti, 2019; Sanrı and Kamanlı, 2020). Therefore, our research makes significant contributions to literature in two ways:

- It is the first research investigating causal linkage among those three variables in the maritime sector.
- This study extends previous studies by testing the mediatary role of job satisfaction in organizational identification and organizational commitment relationship.

2 Theoretical framework and hypotheses

2.1 Organizational identification and organizational commitment

The psychological linkage between employees and their organization has been mainly conceptualized through OI and OC. The conceptual association of these two phenomena is ambiguous (Sass and Canary, 1991) since many authors have been asserted that both terms are synonym (Mottaz, 1989; Riketta, 2005) while others have argued against the idea by indicating the terms as distinct but related concepts (Gautam et al., 2004; van Knippenberg and Sleebos, 2006; Ashforth et al., 2008).

OI can be loosely defined as ‘the degree to which a member defines him or herself by the same attributes that he or she believes define the organization’ (Dutton et al., 1994). Another most frequently used definition made by Mael and Ashforth (1992) as ‘the perception of oneness with or belongingness to an organization, where the individual defines him or herself in terms of the organization(s) in which he or she is a member.’ They approach to OI as a particular cast of social identification. Both definitions are essentially rooted in Social Identity Theory (Tajfel and Turner, 1986) which suggests that individuals’ identities that draw from group membership, are key influencers on their self-concept. Put it differently, when a member’s belief and thoughts become self-defining, OI emerges (Karanika-Murray et al., 2015). Accordingly, employees internalize organization’s norms and values, and perceive organizational membership as a part of their personalities. For instance, van Dick (2001) assert that OI is composed of cognitive, evaluative, affective, and behavioral aspects. Cognitive component refers to awareness of being a part of a certain social group; evaluative component attributes positive judgments regarding the organization; emotional affection to the group is implied by affective component, while behavioral component indicates participation in actions. Through identification with the organization, multiple needs of employees such as belongingness, self-enhancement, safety and uncertainty reduction can be satisfied (Ashforth et al., 2008). A sense of identification exhortates employees to display greater levels of altruism, cooperation, supportive actions, and devote more effort in favor of the organization (Demir, 2015). Moreover, perception of having similar goals, values, and interests with other members may avert employees from being alienated, and leaving the organization (Dutton et al., 1994; Riketta and van Dick, 2005). Feeling of attachment and loyalty to the organization stimulates employees’ intrinsic motivation to support organizational processes, take more responsibility and improve job performance (Edwards, 2005; De Roeck et al., 2014). In this respect, several lines
of evidence have demonstrated that employees who feel themselves strongly identified with the organization are more likely to adopt more affirmative work-related attitudes and behaviours including organizational citizenship behaviour (Bergami and Bagozzi, 2000; Ashforth, et al., 2008; Tanghe et al., 2010), in-role behavior (Haslam and Ellemers, 2005; van Knippenberg, 2000); extra-role behavior (Riketta, 2005; Van Dick et al., 2008; Lee et al., 2015), job satisfaction (Dutton et al., 1994; Feather and Rauter, 2004; Yang and Chang, 2008; Yücel and Bekaş, 2012; Başar and Başın, 2015; Alegre et al., 2016; Tharikh et al., 2016), organizational commitment (Meyer et al., 2004; Marique and Stinglhamber, 2011; DeConinck, 2011, Ng, 2015).

OC, on the other hand, has also drawn a great deal of academic attention over the last few decades owing to its importance for organizational performance and effectiveness (Nath and Agrawal, 2015). The most prominent definition of the OC proposed by Mowday, Steers, and Porter (1979) as ‘the relative strength of an individual’s identification with and involvement in a particular organization’ for Wieselsberger (2004), it is a psychological and emotional state that shapes the relationship between the employee and his/her organization, in such a way that affects the decision about maintaining membership of the organization. Most of the researches conducted on OC have employed the Meyer and Allen’s (1990) widely-accepted model which comprises three components: The first aspect is affective organizational commitment (AOC), and is identified as ‘the employee’s emotional attachment to, identification with, and involvement in the organisation.’ The second aspect, continuance commitment (CC); indicates employees’ unwillingness to quit job due to associated costs (i.e. time, status, benefits etc.) with leaving the organization. The third aspect is called normative commitment (NC) which stems from sense of obligation to keep working for the organization because of thought that is a moral duty (Ucanok and Karabat, 2013; Yousef, 2017). These definitions may pose a confusing problem for distinguishing OI from OC, especially affective version due to conceptual overlap between the notions (Sass and Canary, 1999; Bergami and Bagozzi, 2000). However, there exist several distinctive landmarks to differentiate these two concepts. First of all, even both terms denote employee-organization relationship, OI explains the link in terms of self-referential concept while OC does not (Edwards, 2005). Employees who strongly identified with their organization always tend to give priority to organizational goals and values, also think and behave for sake of group since perceived those as a part of their self-concept. Secondly, OI is greatly flexible, contingents upon the salience of the social group and the context of communication with other groups. Whereas, OC is viewed as an attitude that, once developed, is relatively steady and long-lasting (Guatam et al., 2004). The sources of both concepts also bring about third distinctness. Perceived similarity and joint fate within the organization become grounds for identification (Mael and Ashforth, 1992), while OC is expounded via reciprocal benefits of employees’ and their organization. In other words, satisfaction of socio-emotional needs (payment, recognition, support etc.) of the employees encourage them to be more committed (Rhoades and Eisenberger, 2002).

Reviewing the literature reveals that OI has a significant impact on work behaviours. For instance, Mete, Sökmen and Byık (2016) examined the link among OI, OC, JS, and person-organization fit with respect to IT specialists. They reported positive correlation among those four variables. Supportively, Lee and colleagues (2015), in their comprehensive meta analysis research, found that when individuals become identified with their organizations, it is more likely to followed by satisfaction and commitment. Authors asserted that having identified employees takes relatively precedence over employing those satisfied or committed individuals to improve organizational performance. Another meta analysis provided by Riketta (2005) confirmed that OI is closely associated with JS, OC, intent to leave, and many other several work-related outcomes. DeConinck (2011) posited that OI indirectly effects turnover rates of employees through OC. In the same vein, Ng (2015) noted the significance of OI and organizational trust as impetus of OC. He asserted that those two factors create strong motivation for employees to experience greater level of OC. A number of studies support those propositions by demonstrating that OI promotes OC (Bergami and Bagozzi, 2000; Meyer et al., 2004; Marique and Stinglhamber, 2011). According to these arguments the following hypothesis is proposed:

**Hypothesis 1:** OI of ship agency employees positively affects their OC.

### 2.2 Organizational identification and job satisfaction

OI is vital for organizations since it affects why individuals decide to participate in or quit their organization (Ashforth et al., 2008). Extensive theoretical and empirical studies have consistently accentuated JS as an essential determinant factor of whether employees stay in or withdraw from an organization (Aziri, 2011; Bakker and Goodall, 2020). Being the most frequently investigated attitude within the organizational behavior literature, JS refers to the degree to which an employee likes his/ her job. It reflects pleasurable emotions stemming from judgment toward one’s job experience based on how well his/her needs and expectations are met with what got from the job (Locke, 1969). There are several environmental (organization-related) and individual elements that influence the JS level of employees within the organization. According to Cumby and Alexander (1998), JS occurs contingent upon not only expectations about work environment, but also interaction of employees, their personality traits and values. Five determinants the mostly mentioned in the satisfaction studies are management/supervision, relations of co-workers, pay levels, nature of the work, and promotion
Employees who feel strong identification with their organizations are more likely to interpret work environment more favourably thanks to escalation of satisfaction. As strongly identified employees construe their jobs as an evidence of their membership to organization, those people tend to perceive their job positively. Put it differently, OI cognozantly or unconsciously leads employees to suppress or ignore detrimental, negative conditions regarding their jobs (van Dick et al., 2004; Loi et al., 2014). Thereof, sense of identification might be considered as an antecedent to JS. There is a great number of studies that corroborate the contextual relationship among OI and JS. In a study carried out by van Dick and colleagues (2008), foci of both work-group and OI on JS was investigated. Study results were congruent with Riketta and Van Dick’s research (2005) which concluded that members having high level of identification are expected to exhibit extra-role behaviours and satisfaction. Karanika-Murray and colleagues (2015) asserted that employees who are strongly identified themselves with their organization generally prefer to dedicated to, and engaged in their work, and consequently they feel satisfied. Moreover, authors reported the relationship between those two concepts can be mediated through work engagement. Similarly, Alegre and partners (2016) emphasized the significance of OI and OC’s precursor role in achieving JS. Higher level of identification with organizational values and goals, and pleasant relations with workmates are indicated as driving force behind perception of satisfaction. On the other hand, having lower identification causes feeling of de-motivation, alienation and dissatisfaction that increases job stress, grievances, turnover and absenteeism (Edwards, 2005; De Roeck et al., 2013). A recent study conducted by Sökmen (2019) on 708 employees working for three to five stars hotels supported findings of Alegre and partners. Analyzing the relationship among OI, OC and JS via regression and correlation analysis, he noted that OI has significant effects both on OC and JS. Their findings were slightly different from Tüzün’s (2009) work which tested same variables on 578 bank employees. She also indicated OI and OC as pre-conditions of JS. However, OC’s effect on JS was found relatively stronger than OI. In the same vein, Van Knippenberg and Van Schie (2000), Feater and Rauter (2004), Riketta (2005), Gümüş et al. (2012), Loi et al. (2014); Başar and Basm (2015), Türköğlu (2018) supported the positive linkage between OI and JS. Accordingly, we put forward:

Hypothesis 2: OI of ship agency employees positively affects their JS.

2.3 The mediator role of job satisfaction

JS which is characterised by multi-faceted structure, has been investigated much more often compared to other job characteristics. An employee who is satisfied with his/her job may develop positive reactions toward his/her organization such as better performance, higher OC, more productivity, lower absenteeism and turnover intentions (Kim et al., 2005; Nath and Agrawal, 2015). Although numerous studies have reported a significant, positive relation among JS and OC over years (e.g. Güleryüz et al., 2008; Naderi Anari, 2012; Antonaki and Trivellas, 2014; Arıköç, 2019); a controversy regarding the causal explanation of this linkage still exists. Some scholars proposed that JS emanates from OC (Adekola, 2012; Song et al., 2015; Alegre et al., 2016; Saydan and Davran, 2019). They asserted that when employees are morally committed to their organization, they perceive job more meaningful and develop sense of belonging, confidence and efficacy that results in positive evaluations of work environment. For instance, Adekola (2012) pointed out the OC as a critical predictor of JS. Comparing Nigerian public and private universities, he maintained that job security makes academics more committed and in turn generates greater level of satisfaction. Similarly, Song et al. (2015) conducted research to casino employees and documented determinative power of OC on JS. However, on the other hand, majority of the scholars advocated that JS leads to OC (e.g. Kim et al., 2005; Yang and Chang, 2008; Azeeem, 2010; Valaei and Rezaei; 2016). Samad and Hassan (2007) and Awang et al. (2010) suggested that employees who feel satisfied with what they do at work, are inclined to develop stronger commitment than those dissatisfied. Dissatisfaction of employees causes lower commitment that may also result in withdraw from the organization (Sarwar and Abugre, 2013). Concordantly, Qasim and Sayeed (2012) asserted that satisfaction perception makes employees more loyal, committed, productive and creative. Satisfied employees desire to keep working for their organization. However, based on their meta-analytical work, Güleç and Samancı (2018) suggested that both OC and JS levels of employees may differ from one sector to another. To illustrate, they found those variables are the lowest in the health sector. Studying JS of employees working in Izmir-based ship agents, Karaman (2009) revealed that satisfaction level of those employees are above average. Likewise, Baran and Arabelen (2018) stated that JS is of relatively higher prominence in service sectors, but different dimensions of satisfaction may lead to different levels of satisfaction. They concluded that co-worker relations and job security are the most satisfying components for ship agents’ employees, while compensation and advancements are the least ones. Furthermore, they stated that increase in age, seniority and work experience brings about higher satisfaction. Another study carried out by Yumuşak et al. (2013) revealed that JS, especially characteristics of the work, enhances OC. Although they did not reported any
significant relationship among demographic features of the employees and OC; education level, gender, and time duration at work were found notable determinants of OC of employees working in textile industry.

Aydoğdu and Aşıkgil (2011)’s study on both service providers and production companies demonstrated that JS significantly affects all three dimensions of OC. They indicated dissatisfaction as the main reason of low commitment. In the same vein, Yorulmaz and Yücel (2016) conducted a study on employees who are working in shipyards and horticultural businesses, and concluded that OC dimensions, being affective commitment in the first place, are greatly influenced by JS. These findings consistent with Kuruüzüm et al. (2009) and Günlü et al. (2010)’s researches with an exception of its influence on CC. Accordingly, JS precedes both AOC and NC, yet not CC. Moreover, there also exist a little empirical evidence which reported that no consistent and significant relationship found between JS and OC (Curry et al., 1986; Kalleberg and Mastekaasa, 2001; Norizan, 2012). Consequently we hypothesized that:

**Hypothesis 3:** JS of ship agency employees positively affects their OC.

The conceptual model of the study points out the direct impact of OI and JS on OC. These expectations have been supported by various empirical evidences as stated above. Nonetheless, in addition to having direct influence, JS is also predicted to facilitate to clarify the linkage between OI and OC. Lok and Crawford (2001), for instance, found that a good deal of precursors of OC are either partially or entirely mediated through JS. It is not surprising when considering intersection position of JS for many models and theories which expound individuals’ attitudes and behaviours (Mete et al., 2016). Due to explanatory power of the variable, JS has been used to clarify a degree of changes in work related outcomes. We propose JS as a mediator in the OI and OC relationship owing to several reasons. Firstly, plethora of evidence have presented positive correlations between OI and JS (e.g. Riketta and Van Dick, 2005; Karanika-Murray et al. 2015; Başar and Başm, 2015), and between OC and JS (e.g. Yang and Chang, 2008; Yücel and Bektas, 2012; Tharikh et al., 2016). Moreover, mediating effect of JS on several work outcomes that strongly associated with either with OI or OC has been also proved in various studies. To exemplify, based on an empirical research on four different sample groups from two banks, a call-center and a hospital, van Dick et al. (2004) posited that JS is mediated the relationship of OI and turnover intention which has been consistently reported as a vital determinant of OC. Antonaki and Trivellas (2014) found JS mediates the relation among OC and psychological contract breach which plays a significant role in perceived organizational membership framework. Güleryüz et al. (2008), on the other hand, revealed that emotional intelligence influences OC purely via JS. Since both OI and OC reflects psychological and emotional bonds, JS that reflecting pleasurable emotions is expected to bridge and support these two variables in some extent. Thereby, in the light of these arguments, we finally proposed that:

**Hypothesis 4:** JS has a mediating effect on relationship between OI and OC of ship agency employees.

### 3 Research method

#### 3.1 Data collection and sampling

This study seeks to reveal the casual relationship between OI, JS and OC in ship agencies based on the data obtained from 265 employees of ship agencies through face-to-face interviews and a questionnaire using Google form between May and August, 2020.

The majority of the participants are male (N=166, 62.6%), aged between 26-30 (N=96, 36.2%), have experience of 4 to 7 years (N=83, 31.3%) and hold a bachelor’s degree (N=233, 87.9%). Also, 10 participants (3.8%) aged between 41-45; 38 participants (14.3%) have-experience of 12 years or more; 13 participants (4.9%) hold a master’s degree and 32 (12.1%) serve as managers.
3.2 Measures instruments

The measurement tools used in this study were developed as 5-point Likert type instruments (1 = I certainly disagree, 5 = I totally agree); the reliability and validity of these tools were confirmed by previous studies. As these tools were applied to a different sample group, their reliability and validity were analyzed once again.

To measure OI, this study drew on the scale developed by Mael and Ashforth (1992). This scale consists of one dimension and six items. The scale of JS, which was previously used by Wright and Cropanzano (1998), has one dimension and five items. The explanatory factor analysis (EFA) performed to test the structural validity of the measurement tools, showed that the item that "If a story in the media criticized the ship agency that I work, I would feel embarrassed" in the scale of OI and the item that "All in all, I am satisfied with the supervision" in the scale of JS had low factor loads (0.27-0.32), and thus they were removed from the analysis. The scale of OC used in this study was developed by Meyer and Herscovitch (2001). The scale consists of one dimension and six items such as "I am willing to go the extra mile to make the agency that I work successful."

3.3 Statistical analysis

To determine the direct and indirect effect of the OI of employees in ship agencies on their OC and on JS, this study performed respectively frequency analyses, an EFA, normality and reliability analyses using SPSS Statistics program. Also, the structural validity of the measurement model was tested through confirmatory factor analysis (CFA); the research hypotheses were tested using AMOS 22 program and the Sobel test were conducted by Bootstrap resampling with 5000 replications.

4 Results

4.1 Validity and reliability analysis

The results of the EFA using the principal components analysis and Varimax rotation, which was performed to determine the structural validity of the measurement model, demonstrated that there were two items with factor loads less than 0.50, which were then removed from the scale. The second EFA yielded a three-factor structure that consists of items with eigenvalues greater than 1 and grouped under the corresponding factors (KMO=0.897; χ² = 2191.304; df = 105; p < 0.001). Accordingly, OC explained 24.83% (factor loads varied between 0.627-0.807); JS explained 20.81% (factor loads varied between 0.774-0.839) and OI explained 19.50% (factor loads varied between 0.565-0.775) of the total explained variance of the factors in the measurement model and they overall explained 65.14%. Table 1 presents the standardized factor loads, which were yielded by the CFA performed after the EFA on the measurement tool, t-values and

| Measures | Items | Std. β | t-Value | CA/CR | AVE | MSV | ASV |
|----------|-------|--------|---------|-------|-----|-----|-----|
| OI       | OI1   | 0.520  | –       | 0.770/0.836 | 0.516 | 0.292 | 0.262 |
|          | OI2   | 0.540  | 5.707***|        |     |     |     |
|          | OI3   | 0.833  | 6.690***|        |     |     |     |
|          | OI4   | 0.804  | 6.631***|        |     |     |     |
|          | OI5   | 0.822  | 6.669***|        |     |     |     |
| JS       | JS1   | 0.796  | –       | 0.882/0.871 | 0.629 | 0.337 | 0.285 |
|          | JS2   | 0.894  | 15.140***|       |     |     |     |
|          | JS3   | 0.678  | 11.211***|       |     |     |     |
|          | JS4   | 0.790  | 13.570***|       |     |     |     |
| OC       | OC1   | 0.730  | –       | 0.874/0.877 | 0.543 | 0.337 | 0.314 |
|          | OC2   | 0.791  | 10.121***|       |     |     |     |
|          | OC3   | 0.791  | 10.760***|       |     |     |     |
|          | OC4   | 0.751  | 11.629***|       |     |     |     |
|          | OC5   | 0.697  | 12.290***|       |     |     |     |
|          | OC6   | 0.652  | 12.292***|       |     |     |     |

Goodness of Fit Indices

χ²/df = 2.372; CFI= 0.945; TLI= 0.933; RMSEA= 0.050; SRMR= 0.072

*** p<0.001

Source: Authors
the AVE (Average Variance Extracted), MSV (Maximum Shared Variance), ASV (Average Shared Variance) and CR (Composite Reliability) values calculated for the convergent and discriminant validity. As seen in Table 1, the standardized factor loads were greater than 0.50 and statistically significant (p < 0.001) and the goodness of fit indices for the measurement model were within acceptable values \( \chi^2/\text{sd} = 2.372; \text{CFI} = 0.945; \text{TLI} = 0.933; \text{RMSEA} = 0.050; \text{SRMR} = 0.072 \) (Hu and Bentler, 1999; Hair et al., 2014). Besides, the CR values were higher than the AVE values and above 0.70, and the AVE values were above 0.50, which confirms the convergent validity of the measurement tool. Also, Table 1 shows that the square root values of the AVE values were greater than the Pearson’s correlation coefficients, which confirms the discriminant validity of the tool as well (Fornell and Larcker, 1981; Hair et al., 2014).

To test the reliability of the model, which was considered valid, the CR and Cronbach’s Alpha (CA) coefficients of the measurement model were calculated, as can be seen in Table 1. It is clear that both CR and Cronbach’s Alpha (CA) coefficients were above 0.70, and thus the model was considered as a reliable model (Hair et al., 2014).

The coefficients of skewness and kurtosis were measured to reveal whether the variables in the measurement model, which was already considered as structurally valid and reliable, were normally distributed or not. Also, the means of the variables as well as the Pearson’s correlation coefficients are presented in Table 2. As the coefficients of skewness and kurtosis of the variables in Table 2 were in the range of ±1.5, it can be argued that the data were normally distributed. Further, Table 2 shows that the OI, JS and OC means of the employees were high and the correlation between them was moderate.

### 4.2 Hypothesis testing

Figure 2 shows the results of the structural equation modeling analyses, which were conducted to test the research model using AMOS v22 by Bootstrap resampling with 5000 replications. As seen in Figure 2, the goodness of fit indices \( \chi^2/\text{sd} = 2.372; \text{CFI} = 0.945; \text{TLI} = 0.933; \text{RMSEA} = 0.050; \text{SRMR} = 0.072 \) in the measurement tool were within the acceptable values (Hu and Bentler, 1999; Hair et al., 2014); the total effect \( \beta = 0.691; p < 0.01 \) and direct effect \( \beta = 0.485; p < 0.01 \) of the OI on the OC were positive and statistically significant, and the positive effect of the OI on the JS was also statistically significant and explained 27.5% of the variation in the JS \( \beta = 0.524; p < 0.01; \text{R}^2 = 0.275 \). The combined effect of the OI and JS on OC was also examined and it was found that the effect of OI was persistent but decreased, and the JS had a positive impact on the OC \( \beta = 0.393; p < 0.01 \)
and they together explained about 59% of the variation in the JS. Based on these results, Hypotheses 1, 2 and 3 were all supported.

The mediating role of JS was assessed by considering the confidence intervals obtained by the Bootstrap analysis measuring indirect effect. The confidence intervals in the Bootstrap analysis were statistically significant ($\beta = 0.206; p < 0.05; 95\% CI [0.116; 0.314]$) and did not include 0; from this it follows that JS is an mediating variable between OI and OC (MacKinnon, Lockwood and Williams, 2004). Further, the intermediary role of JS was also analyzed through the Sobel test. The results showed that its intermediary role is statistically significant ($z = 3.798; SE = 0.067; p < 0.001$). Based on this result, Hypothesis 4 was supported as well.

5 Conclusion and discussion

This study seeks to reveal the casual relationship between organizational identification, job satisfaction and organizational commitment in ship agencies based on the data obtained through face-to-face interviews and questionnaire using Google form between May and July, 2020. The data, gathered from 265 employees in ship agencies in the cities of Istanbul and Kocaeli, were analyzed through path analyses in structural equation modeling; the results supported the four research hypotheses.

The findings of this study reveal that the OI of the employees in ship agencies have both a positive direct and an indirect effect on their OC, the latter through their JS. That is to say, the JS levels of the employees, who identify themselves with their organizations, increase, which in turn results in increased OC. Because the results of this present study highlight that OI both directly increases OC and indirectly enhances JS, which positively affects OC. This also accords with Lee et al. (2015)’s findings suggesting that sense of identification followingly makes individuals more likely to develop JS and OC since perception of organizational identity as self-referential, motivates individuals to react more positively towards both their job, and also organization. The results of this study are congruent with results of considerable amount of past researches which have studied organizational identification as a precursor to organizational commitment (e.g. Bergami and Bagozzi, 2000; Meyer et al., 2004; Marique and Stinghamber, 2011), and job satisfaction (e.g. Riketta and Van Dick, 2005; Karanika-Murray et al., 2015; Allegre et al., 2016). As distinct from previous researches, to the best of our knowledge, mediating effect of JS between OI and OC relationship was uncovered for the first time. Although positive correlations of three variables together have supported via some studies (e.g. Arıkoglu, 2019; Feather and Rauter, 2004; Mete et al., 2016, Sökmen, 2019), we contributed to literature by proving strong significant effect of JS within the relationship of OI and OC. This evidence accords well with findings of Lok and Crawford (2001) which demonstrated that JS either totally or partially mediates the relationship of OC with a great number of antecedents of it. The result is not surprising when considering Social Exchange Theory. Accordingly, fulfillment of employees’ socioemotional needs stemming from OI affects their loyalty, effort, involvement, and evaluations. To the extent that employees are satisfied with this reciprocal relation, they will encouraged to be organizationally committed. In other words, perceived quality of exchange relationship leads employees to increase their commitment. Consistent with this reasoning, all hypotheses were supported.

The value of this research emanates from its novel findings and contributions to the both maritime and management fields. Being an international, dynamic, busy and customer-oriented circle of trade, understanding the motivational factors of ship agency employees is essential for sustainable competitive advantage, effective and efficient work processes, and also customer satisfaction. Obviously, managers who are aspiring to achieve sustainable organizational success are expected to be more aware, supportive and motivating. Therefore, this study provide a promising basis not only theoretical but also practical implications for the future researches.

The sample of this study consists of only employees in ship agencies, which operate in Istanbul and Kocaeli, and this is certainly a limitation of this study. For this reason, further studies may include larger sample groups with all employees in ship agencies across Turkey or test the research model with employees in different sectors.

References

[1] Abou Elnaga, A., and Imran, A. (2014). The impact of employee empowerment on job satisfaction theoretical study. American Journal of Research Communication, 2(1), pp. 13-26.

[2] Adekola, B. (2012). The impact of organizational commitment on job satisfaction: A study of employees at Nigerian Universities. International Journal of Human Resource Studies, 2(2), pp. 1-17.

[3] Ahn, J., Khandelwal, A.K. and Wei, S. J. (2011). The role of intermediaries in facilitating trade. Journal of International Economics, 84(1), pp. 73-85.

[4] Alegre, I., Mas-Machuca, M., and Berbegal-Mirabent, J. (2016). Antecedents of employee job satisfaction: Do they matter?. Journal of Business Research, 69(4), pp. 1390-1395.

[5] Antonaki, X. E., and Trivellas, P. (2014). Psychological contract breach and organizational commitment in the Greek banking sector: The mediation effect of job satisfaction. Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences, 148, pp. 354-361.

[6] Arıkoglu, E. (2019). Çalışanların iş tatmini örgütsel bağılilik, örgütsel ödüleşme ve örgütsel vatandaşlık davranışını açısından değerlendirilmesi: Finans sektöründe bir araştırmaya. (Yayınlanmamış yüksek lisans tezi). İstanbul Ticaret Üniversitesi Dış Ticaret Enstitüsü Uluslararası Ticaret Anabilim Dalı, İstanbul.
[7] Ashforth, B. E., Harrison, S. H., and Corley, K. G. (2008). Identification in organizations: An examination of four fundamental questions. *Journal of Management*, 34(3), pp. 325-374.

[8] Awang, Z., Ahmad, J. H., and Zin, N. M. (2010). Modelling job satisfaction and work commitment among lecturers: A case of UITM Kelantan. *Journal of Statistical Modeling and Analytics*, 1(2), pp. 45-59.

[9] Ayan, M. S. (2015). *Denizcilik İşletmelerinde Örgüt Kültürü ve İzmir Bölgesine Yönelik Bir Araştırma* (Yayınlanmamış Doktora Tezi). Dokuz Eylül Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Enstitüsü Denizcilik İşletmeleri Yönetimi Anabilim Dalı, İzmir.

[10] Ayap, C. D., and Macalalad, J. A. (2016). Work values and job satisfaction among seafarers in j-phil marine incorporated. *Asia Pacific Journal of Academic Research in Business Administration*, 2(1), pp. 58-72.

[11] Aydoğdu, S. and Aşıkgil, B. (2011). How demographic factors affect job satisfaction: The mediating effect of job satisfaction between emotional intelligence and organisational commitment. *Management Research and Practice*, 3(4), pp. 77-86.

[12] Azeem, S. M. (2010). Job satisfaction and organizational commitment among employees in the Sultanate of Oman. *Psychology*, 1(4), pp. 295-300.

[13] Aziri, B. (2011). Job satisfaction: a literature review. *Management Research and Practice*, 3(4), pp. 77-86.

[14] Bäker, A., and Goodall, A. H. (2020). Feline followers and organizational politics. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, 13(1), pp. 41-57.

[15] Baran, E., and Arabelen, G. (2018). How demographic factors affect job satisfaction: A conceptual and operational review. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, 7(4), pp. 207-230.

[16] Baran, E., and Arabelen, G. (2017). The effects of internal marketing on job satisfaction: A quantitative research. *Dokuz Eylül Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Enstitüsü Denizcilik İşletmeleri Yönetimi Anabilim Dalı*, İzmir.

[17] Baran, E., and Arabelen, G. (2018). How demographic factors affect job satisfaction in shipping agencies?: A research through Izmir-based liner shipping agencies. *Journal of ETA Maritime Science*, 6(3), pp. 229-242.

[18] Başar, U., and Basim, N. (2015). Effects of organizational identification on job satisfaction: Moderating role of organizational politics. *Yönetim ve Ekonomi: Celal Bayar Üniversitesi İktisadi ve İdari Bilimler Fakültesi Dergisi*, 22(2), pp. 663-683.

[19] Bergami, M., and Bagozzi, R. P. (2000). Self-categorization, affective commitment and group self-esteem as distinct aspects of social identity in the organization. *British Journal of Social Psychology*, 39(4), pp. 555-577.

[20] Carmeli, A., Gilat, G., and Wolsberg, J. (2006). Perceived external prestige, organizational identification and affective commitment: A stakeholder approach. *Corporate Reputation Review*, 9(2), pp. 92-104.

[21] Cumbeş D.A., and Alexander, J. W. (1998). The relationship of job satisfaction with organizational variables in public health nursing. *The Journal of Nursing Administration*, 28(5), pp. 39-46.

[22] Curry, J. P., Wakefield, D. S., Price, J. L., and Mueller, C. W. (1986). On the causal ordering of job satisfaction and organizational commitment. *Academy of Management Journal*, 29(4), pp. 847-858.

[23] De Roeck, K., Marique, G., Stengelhammer, F., and Swaen, V. (2014). Understanding employees’ responses to corporate social responsibility: Mediating roles of overall justice and organisational identification. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 25(1), pp. 91-111.

[24] Deveci, D. A., and Cerit, A.G. (2007). Bütünleşik (çoku) tasarımçılık hizmetleri sektörünün dağıtım kanalında reaktivite ve katılıma. *12. Ulusal Pazarlama Kongresi Bildiriler Kitabı*. Sakarya Üniversitesi, Sakarya.

[25] Demir, M. (2002). Konteyner tasmacılığı gemi acenteleri: Konteyner taşımacılık gemi acentelerinde yönelik uygulama. *Yayınlanmamış Doktora Tezi* İstanbul Üniversitesi Deniz Bilimleri ve İşletmece Enstitüsü, İstanbul.

[26] DTO (2019). *İzmir Deniz Ticareti Odası Deniz Denizcilik Dergisi*, 21, pp. 1-40. Available at http://www.dtoizmir.org/tr/dosyalar/denizdenmerhaba/PDB%20AYILAR/s21.pdf

[27] Dutton, J. E., Dukerich, J. M., and Harquail, C. V. (1994). *Organizational images and member identification*. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, pp. 239-263.

[28] Edwards, M. R. (2005). Organizational identification: A conceptual and operational review. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, 7(4), pp. 207-230.

[29] Esmaeipour, M., and Ranjarb, M. (2018). Investigating the impact of commitment, satisfaction, and loyalty of employees on providing high-quality service to customers. *Studies in Business and Economics*, 13(1), pp. 41-57.

[30] Feather, N. T., and Rauter, K. A. (2004). *Organizational citizenship behaviours in relation to job status, job insecurity, organizational commitment and identification, job satisfaction and work values*. *Journal of Occupational And Organizational Psychology*, 77(1), pp. 81-94.

[31] Formell, C., and Larcker, D. F. (1981). Evaluating structural equation models with unobservable variables and measurement error. *Journal of Marketing Research*, pp. 39-50.

[32] Gautam, T., Van Dick, R., and Wagner, U. (2004). Organizational identification and organizational commitment: Distinct aspects of two related concepts. *Asian Journal of Social Psychology*, 7(3), pp. 301-315.

[33] Gulec, D., and Samanci, S. (2018). The relationship between organizational commitment and job satisfaction in Turkey: A meta-analytical study. *The International Journal of Human and Behavioral Science*, 4(1), pp. 9-21.

[34] Gunlu, E., Aksaraylı, M. and Sahin Perçin, N. (2010). Job satisfaction and organizational commitment among hotel managers in Turkey. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, 22 (5), pp. 693-717.

[35] Güleryüz, G., Güney, S., Aydin, E. M., and Aşan, Ö. (2008). The mediating effect of job satisfaction between emotional intelligence and organisational commitment of nurses: A questionnaire survey. *International Journal of Nursing Studies*, 45(11), pp. 1625-1635.

[36] Gümüş, M., Hamarat, B., Colak, E., and Duran, E. (2012). Teachers’ organizational citizenship behaviors and organizational identification in public and private preschools. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 174, pp. 1176-1182.

[37] Gümüş, M., Hamarat, B., Colak, E., and Duran, E. (2012). Organizational and occupational identification. *Career Development International*, 17(4), pp. 300-313.
[38] Hair, J. F., W. C. Black, B. J. Babin, and Anderson R. E. (2014). *Multivariate Data Analysis*. 7th ed. Upper Saddle River, New Jersey: Prentice Hall.

[39] Haslam, S. A., and Ellemers, N. (2005). Social identity in industrial and organizational psychology: Concepts, controversies and contributions. *International Review of Industrial and Organizational Psychology*, 20(1), pp. 39-118.

[40] Hu, L. T., and Bentler, P. M. (1999). Cut off criteria for fit indexes in covariance structure analysis: Conventional criteria versus new alternatives. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, 6(1), pp. 1-55.

[41] Hult, C., and Snöberg, J. (2014). Swedish seafarers’ commitment to work in times of flagging out. *TransNav: International Journal on Marine Navigation and Safety of Sea Transportation*, 8, pp. 121-128.

[42] Kalleberg, A. L., and Mastekaasa, A. (2001). Satisfied movers, committed stayers: The impact of job mobility on work attitudes in Norway. *Work and Occupations*, 28(2), pp. 183-209.

[43] Karaman, Z. (2009). İzmir’deISTRYOSUNLAR İZ DÔYUMLARINDAN BILIRIYEMI? (Yayınlanmamış Doktora Tezi), Dokuz Eylül Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Enstitüsü Denizcilik İşletmeleri Yönetimi Anabilim Dalı, İzmir.

[44] Karanika-Murray, M., Duncan, N., Pontes, H.M. and Griffiths, M.D. (2015). Organizational identification, work engagement, and job satisfaction. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 30(8), pp. 1019-1033.

[45] Kim, W. G., Leong, J. K., and Lee, Y. K. (2005). Effect of service orientation on job satisfaction, organizational commitment, and intention of leaving in a casual dining chain restaurant. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 24(2), pp. 171-193.

[46] Kuruüzüm, A., İpekçi Çetin, E. and İrmak, S. (2009). Path analysis of organizational commitment, job involvement and job satisfaction in Turkish hospitality industry. *Tourism Review*, 64 (1), pp. 4-16.

[47] Larsen, S., Marnburg, E., and Øgaard, T. (2012). Working onboard—Job perception, organizational commitment and job satisfaction in the cruise sector. *Tourism Management*, 33(3), pp. 592-597.

[48] Lee, E.-S., Park, T.-Y., and Koo, B. (2015). Identifying organizational identification as a basis for attitudes and behaviors: A meta-analytic review. *Psychological Bulletin*, 141(5), pp. 1049-1080.

[49] Locke, E. A. (1969). What is job satisfaction?. *Organizational Behavior and Human Performance*, 4(4), pp. 309-336.

[50] Loi, R., Chan, K. W., and Lam, L. W. (2014). Leader–member exchange, organizational identification, and job satisfaction: A social identity perspective. *Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology*, 87(1), pp. 42-61.

[51] Lok, P. and Crawford, J. (2001). Antecedents of organizational commitment and the mediating role of job satisfaction. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 16 (8), pp. 594-613.

[52] Luthans, F. (2010). *Organizational Behavior: An Evidence-Based Approach*. New York: McGraw-Hill Irwin.

[53] MacKinnon, D. P., Lockwood, C. M., and Williams, J. (2004). Confidence limits for the indirect effect: Distribution of the product and resampling methods. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, 39(1), pp. 99-128.

[54] Maed, F., and Ashforth, B. E. (1992). Alumni and their alma mater: A partial test of the reformulated model of organizational identification. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 13(2), pp. 103-123.

[55] Marique, G., and Stinglhamber, F. (2011). Identification to proximal targets and affective organizational commitment. *Journal of Personnel Psychology*, 3, pp. 107-117.

[56] Mete, E. S., Sökmen, A., and Büyük, Y. (2016). The relationship between organizational commitment, organizational identification, person-organization fit and job satisfaction: A research on IT employees. *International Review of Management and Business Research*, 5(3), pp. 870-901.

[57] Meyer, J. P., and Herscovitch, L. (2001). Commitment in the workplace: Toward a general model. *Human Resource Management Review*, 11(3), pp. 299-326.

[58] Meyer, J. P., Allen, N. J., and Gelettat, I. R. (1990). Affective and continuance commitment to the organization: Evaluation of measures and analysis of concurrent and time-lagged relations. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 75(6), pp. 710-720.

[59] Meyer, J.P., Becker, T. E., and Vandenberge, C. (2004). Employee commitment and motivation: A conceptual analysis and integrative model. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 89, pp. 991-1007.

[60] Mottaz, C. J. (1989). An analysis of the relationship between attitudinal commitment and behavioral commitment. *The Sociological Quarterly*, 30(1), pp. 143-158.

[61] Mowday, R. T., Steers, R. M., and Porter, L. W. (1979). The measurement of organizational commitment. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 14(2), pp. 224-247.

[62] Naderi Anari, N. (2012) Teachers: emotional intelligence, job satisfaction, and organizational commitment. *Journal of Workplace Learning*, 24 (4), pp. 256-269.

[63] Nath, G. K., and Agrawal, R. (2015). Job satisfaction and organizational commitment: Is it important for employee performance. *International Journal of Management and Business Research*, 5 (4), pp. 269-278.

[64] Ng, T.W. (2015). The incremental validity of organizational commitment, organizational trust, and organizational identification. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 88, pp. 154-163.

[65] Norizan, I. (2012). Organizational commitment and job satisfaction among staff of higher learning education institutions in Kelantan. (Doctoral dissertation). Universiti Utara Malaysia.

[66] Özyazıcı, N.G.G. (2016). Gemi açenteli sektöründe yaşanan hizmet problemlerinin incelemesi. Dokuz Eylül Üniversitesi Denizcilik Fakültesi Dergisi, 8(1), pp. 83-96.

[67] Peterson, D. K., Puia, G. M. and Suess, F. R. (2003). Yo Tengo La Camiseta (I Have the Shirt On): An exploration of job identification to proximal targets and affective organizational commitment. *International Review of Management and Business Studies*, 10(2), pp. 73-88.

[68] Qasim, S. and Sayeed, F.C. (2012). Exploring factors affecting employees’ job satisfaction at work. *Journal of Management and Social Sciences*, 8(1), pp. 31-39.

[69] Rhoades, L., and Eisenberger, R. (2002). Perceived organizational support: A review of the literature. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 87, pp. 698-714.

[70] Riketta, M. (2005). Organizational identification: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 66, pp. 358-384.
[71] Riketta, M., and Van Dick, R. (2005). Foci of attachment in organizations: A meta-analytic comparison of the strength and correlates of workgroup versus organizational identification and commitment. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 67, pp. 490-510.

[72] Samad, S. and Hassan, Z. (2007). *Assessing the effects of job satisfaction and psychological contract on organizational commitment among employees in Malaysian SMEs*, In the 4th SMEs in a Global Economy Conference.

[73] Sanrı, Ö. (2014). Çalışanların kurumsal sorumluluk algısının etkisi: gemi acentelerinde çalışanlar ve işçinin çıkarları üzerine bir uygulama. *Akademik Hassasiyetler*, 7(13), pp. 489-504.

[74] Sarwar, S., and Abugre, J. (2013). The influence of rewards and job satisfaction on employees in the service industry. *The Business and Management Review*, 3(2), pp. 22-32.

[75] Sass, J. S., and Canary, D. J. (1991). Organizational commitment and identification: An examination of conceptual and operational convergence. *Western Journal of Communication (Includes Communication Reports)*, 55(3), pp. 275-293.

[76] Saydan, R., and Davran, O.G.D. (2019). İş tatmini ve örgütSEL bağlanı среди ilişkili: ilk ve ortak kullanılan öğretmenleri üzerine bir uygulama. *Sosyal Araştırmalar ve Davranış Bilimleri Dergisi*, 8, pp. 241-261.

[77] Song, H. J., Lee, H. M., Lee, C. K., and Song, S. J. (2015). The role of CSR and responsible gambling in casino employees' organizational commitment, job satisfaction, and customer orientation. *Asia Pacific Journal of Tourism Research*, 20(4), pp. 455-471.

[78] Sökmen, A. (2019). ÖrgütSEL özdeşleşme, örgütSEL bağlılık ve iş tatmini ilişkisi: otel işletmecilerinde bir araştırmaya. *Journal of Tourism and Gastronomy Studies*, 7(2), pp. 980-990.

[79] Tabachnick, B. G., and Fidell, L. S. (2013). *Using multivariate statistics: International edition*. Pearson, Boston.

[80] Tajfel, H., and Turner, J. C. (1986). The social identity theory of intergroup behaviour. *Psychology of Intergroup Relations*. Chicago, United States of America: Nelson.

[81] Tanghe, J., Wisse, B., and Van Der Flier, H. (2010). The formation of group affect and team effectiveness: The moderating role of identification. *British Journal of Management*, 21(2), pp. 340-358.

[82] Tharikh, S. M., Ying, C. Y., and Saad, Z. M. (2016). Managing job attitudes: The roles of job satisfaction and organizational commitment on organizational citizenship behaviors. *Procedia Economics and Finance*, 35, pp. 604-611.

[83] Türkoglu, G. (2018). Relationships between organizational identification and work engagement job satisfaction and turnover intention in family firms (Unpublished Master Thesis). Kadir Has Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Enstitüsü İşletme Anabilim Dalı, İstanbul.

[84] Uçanok, B., and Karabatoğlu, S. (2013). The effects of values, work centrality, and organizational commitment on organizational citizenship behaviors: Evidence from Turkish SMEs. *Human Resource Development Quarterly*, 24(1), pp. 89-129.

[85] Valaei, N. and Rezaei, S. (2016). Job satisfaction and organizational commitment: An empirical investigation among ICT SMEs. *Management Review Research*, 39 (12), pp. 1663-1694.

[86] Van Dick, R. (2001). Identification in organizational contexts: Linking theory and research from social and organizational psychology. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, 3(4), pp. 265-283.

[87] Van Dick, R., Christ, O., Stellmacher, J., Wagner, U., Alhsweide, O., Grubba, C., ... and Tissington, P. A. (2004). Should I stay or should I go? Explaining turnover intentions with organizational identification and job satisfaction. *British Journal of Management*, 15(4), pp. 351-360.

[88] Van Dick, R., van Knippenberg, D., Kerschreiter, R., Hertel, G., and Wieseke, J. (2008). Interactive effects of work group and organizational identification on job satisfaction and extra-role behavior. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 72(3), pp. 388-399.

[89] Van Knippenberg, D. (2000). Work motivation and performance: A social identity perspective. *Applied Psychology*, 49(3), pp. 357-371.

[90] Van Knippenberg, D., and Sleebos, E. (2006). Organizational identification versus organizational commitment: self-definition, social exchange, and job attitudes. *Journal of Organizational Behavior: The International Journal of Industrial, Occupational, Organizational Psychology and Behavior*, 27(5), pp. 571-584.

[91] Van Knippenberg, D., and Van Schie, E. C. (2000). Foci and correlates of organizational identification. *Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology*, 73(2), pp. 137-147.

[92] Widyaningrum, N., and Widianti, N. (2019). The improvement of customer satisfaction on services of shipping agency-a survey of St. Samudera Perdana sailas semarang, Indonesia. *European Journal of Management and Marketing Studies*, 3(4), pp. 100-112.

[93] Wieselsberger, K. (2004). *The psychological contract is dead, long live the psychological contract: issues of talent management and retention in the context of the new employment relationship*, London School of Economics, August.

[94] Wright, T. A. and Cropanzano, R. (1998). Emotional exhaustion as a predictor of job performance and voluntary turnover. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 83, 3, pp. 486-493.

[95] Yang, F. H., and Chang, C. C. (2008). Emotionally laboring job satisfaction and organizational commitment amongst clinical nurses: A questionnaire survey. *International Journal of Nursing Studies*, 45(6), pp. 879-887.

[96] Yorulmaz, M. (2018). The Relationships Between Seafarers’ Job Satisfaction, Task and Contextual Performance. *Journal of ETA Maritime Science*, 6(4), pp. 349-363.

[97] Yorulmaz, M., and Yücel, G. (2016). Evaluation of job satisfaction and organisational commitment shipyard and horticultural businesses workers: a study in Yalova. *IIB International Refereed Academic Social Sciences Journal*, 7(23), pp. 19-44.
[101] Yousef, D. A. (2017). Organizational commitment, job satisfaction and attitudes toward organizational change: A study in the local government. *International Journal of Public Administration, 40*(1), pp. 77-88.

[102] Yucel, I., and Bektas, C. (2012). Job satisfaction, organizational commitment and demographic characteristics among teachers in Turkey: Younger is better?. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences, 46*, pp. 1598-1608.

[103] Yuen, K. F., Loh, H. S., Zhou, Q., and Wong, Y. D. (2018). Determinants of job satisfaction and performance of seafarers. *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice, 110*, pp. 1-12.

[104] Yumoşak, S., Özafşarhoğlu, Ö. G. S., and Yıldız, H. (2013). İş tatmini ile örgütsel bağlılık arasındaki ilişkisinin incelenmesi: Uşak tekstil sektöründe bir uygulama. *Ege Stratejik Araştırmalar Dergisi, 4*(2), pp. 56-79.