Aprotic Ionic Liquids: A Framework for Predicting Vaporization Thermodynamics
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Abstract: Ionic liquids (ILs) are recognized as an environmentally friendly alternative to replacing volatile molecular solvents. Knowledge of vaporization thermodynamics is crucial for practical applications. The vaporization thermodynamics of five ionic liquids containing a pyridinium cation and the [NTf₂] anion were studied using a quartz crystal microbalance. Vapor pressure-temperature dependences were used to derive the enthalpies of vaporization of these ionic liquids. Vaporization enthalpies of the pyridinium-based ionic liquids available in the literature were collected and uniformly adjusted to the reference temperature \( T = 298.15 \) K. The consistent sets of evaluated vaporization enthalpies were used to develop the “centerpiece”-based group-additivity method for predicting enthalpies of vaporization of ionic compounds. The general transferability of the contributions to the enthalpy of vaporization from the molecular liquids to the ionic liquids was established. A small, but not negligible correction term was supposed to reconcile the estimated results with the experiment. The corrected “centerpiece” approach was recommended to predict the vaporization enthalpies of ILs.
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1. Introduction

Volatile molecular solvents are widely used in the chemical industry for extraction, recrystallization or as a reaction medium. The separation of solvents from chemicals is usually performed by evaporation or distillation [1–7]. It is inevitable that a significant amount of volatile solvents will be lost into the atmosphere and pollute nature. The extremely low-volatility ionic solvents, on the other hand, do not have this disadvantage and are considered to be a substitute for molecular solvents in many technical applications. Chemical processes are usually carried out at elevated temperatures where vapor pressures cannot be considered negligible. Therefore, a reliable knowledge of the vaporization thermodynamics is essential to avoid material losses or to reveal the limits of thermal stability [8–10].

Obtaining the proper vapor measurements of ionic liquids (ILs) are a challenging task because, at low temperatures, the vapor pressure is too low to be measured, but at high temperatures the decomposition processes can occur and falsify the result. For this reason, the experimental results for the vaporization thermodynamics of ionic liquids should be validated before they can be considered as reliable. [8–10] It is evident that a better understanding of transition enthalpies also helps to elucidate macroscopic fluid phenomena, and thus promote industrial applications.

Structure–property relationships are a very useful diagnostic tool to establish the internal consistency of available experimental data. They are suitable for predicting at least
the level of the property being measured when the data is known for similarly shaped molecules [11].

Unfortunately, only very few vapor pressures and vaporization enthalpies are available for ionic liquids [12]. This work contributes with six new datasets on the vaporization thermodynamics of pyridinium-based ionic liquids with bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)imide anion (see Figure 1) and the investigation of the interplay of structure–property relationships in ionic liquids, and leads to the development of a new general approach to predict vaporization enthalpies of ionic liquids using the available knowledge on the vaporization thermodynamics of molecular liquids. In our previous studies, we found that ionic liquids containing the [NTf₂] anion are best suited for vapor pressure measurements because of their remarkable thermal stability. The pyridinium-based ionic liquids are used as the first part to understand structure–property relationships in ionic liquids. The similar study of the imidazolium ILs is ongoing.

![Figure 1. Structures of pyridinium-based ionic liquids studied in this work using a quartz crystal microbalance (QCM) with \( R = \text{alkyl chain } C_3, C_4, C_6, \text{ and } C_8 \) and \( R_1 = \text{Me and CN}. \) For brevity, the cations of ILs measured in this work are named as follows: \([1-C_8-Py] \) for 1-octyl-pyridinium; \([3-Me1-C_2-Py] \) for 3-methyl-1-propyl-pyridinium; \([3-CN-1-C_6-Py] \) for 3-cyano-1-hexyl-pyridinium; \([4-CN-1-C_6-Py] \) for 4-cyano-1-hexyl-pyridinium; and \([3-CN-1-C_8-Py] \) for the 3-cyano-1-octyl-pyridinium cation connected with the bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)imide anion (abbreviation: \([\text{NTf}_2]\)).](image1)

The main idea of this work is demonstrated in Figure 2. As a matter of fact, in our previous work, we showed that the general regularities revealed for molecular liquids can be transferred to ionic liquids [13].

![Figure 2. Assessment of the enthalpy of vaporization \( \Delta^l_i H^o_m(298.15 \text{ K}) \) in molecular (a) and in ionic liquids (b).](image2)

Indeed, the vaporization enthalpies of, e.g., pyridine derivatives, can be reliably predicted with the help of a simple contribution, \( \Delta^l_i H^o_m(\text{H→R}_1) \), representing the replacement of an H atom in pyridine with any substituents (see Figure 2a). It was found that, for pyridinium-based ionic liquids, the same numerical values for the contributions \( \Delta^l_i H^o_m(\text{H→R}_1) \) can be used to estimate their vaporization enthalpies \( \Delta^l_i H^o_m(298.15 \text{ K}) \) as given in Figure 2b.
In this work, we ascertain and generalize this finding based on the available data on vaporization enthalpies of pyridinium ionic liquids with the help of complementary measurements of six new ionic liquids.

2. Materials and Methods

The samples of alkyl-pyridinium-based ionic liquids with the bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl) imide anion of 99% purity were of commercial origin (see Table S1) and were used as received. Before starting the experiment, however, a sample of an IL was placed in an open cavity of the thermostatted block and subjected to vacuum (10⁻⁵ Pa) for conditioning. The quartz crystal microbalance (QCM) [14] was used for vapor pressure measurements at different temperatures. A sample of an IL was placed in an open cavity (Langmuir evaporation) of the thermostatted block inside the set-up. The standard molar enthalpies of vaporization, Δ_H^m, were derived from the temperature dependences of vapor pressures. A concise description of the L-QCM (Langmuir quartz crystal microbalance) method and data treatment is presented in the Supporting Information.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Experimental Vaporization Thermodynamics of Pyridinium Based ILs

The original experimental vapor pressures of Ils at different temperatures are collected in Table S2. They were used to derive the standard molar enthalpies of vaporization Δ_H^m(T_\text{av}), which are referenced to the average temperatures T_\text{av}. These results are shown in Table 1, column 5. For thermochemical calculations, the vaporization enthalpies are used to adjust to the reference temperature T = 298.15 K. The Δ_H^m(298.15 K) values are calculated according to the Kirchhoff’s equation:

\[ \Delta_H^m(298.15 \text{ K}) = \Delta_H^m(T_\text{av}) + \Delta C_p^o \times (T_\text{av} - 298.15 \text{ K}) \]  

\( \Delta C_p^o \) values are presented in Table 1, column 6.

Table 1. Results of L-QCM studies of pyridinium-based ionic liquids (in kJ·mol⁻¹) \(^a\).

| Ionic Liquids       | T_{\text{range}} | T_\text{av} | \Delta H_p^o(T_\text{av}) | \Delta H_m^o(T_\text{av}) | \Delta C_p^o | \Delta H_m^o(298.15 \text{ K}) |
|---------------------|------------------|-------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------|--------------------------------|
| [1-C₈-Py][NTf₂]     | 383.2–430.4      | 406.2       | 76.5 ± 1.5               | 142.4 ± 1.0             | −100        | 153.2 ± 2.4                   |
| [3-Me-1-C₃-Py][NTf₂]| 357.9–407.5      | 385.2       | 71.7 ± 1.5               | 126.3 ± 1.0             | −70         | 132.4 ± 1.6                   |
| [3-CN-1-C₄-Py][NTf₂] | 400.3–448.1     | 424.8       | 98.5 ± 1.5               | 131.8 ± 1.0             | −78         | 151.1 ± 2.1                   |
| [3-CN-1-C₆-Py][NTf₂] | 402.9–450.6      | 426.2       | 79.6 ± 1.5               | 151.3 ± 1.0             | −84         | 162.1 ± 2.4                   |
| [4-CN-1-C₆-Py][NTf₂] | 405.4–448.1     | 427.5       | 87.3 ± 1.5               | 147.9 ± 1.0             | −81         | 158.4 ± 2.3                   |
| [3-CN-1-C₈-Py][NTf₂] | 407.9–455.7      | 434.8       | 79.7 ± 1.5               | 152.9 ± 1.0             | −91         | 165.3 ± 2.7                   |

\(^a\) Uncertainties of vaporization enthalpy (Δ_H^m) and Gibbs free energy of vaporization (Δ_G^m) are the expanded uncertainties (0.95 level of confidence, k = 2). \(^b\) Vaporization enthalpy measured in the specified temperature range (see Table S1) and referenced to the average temperature T_\text{av}. \(^c\) The heat capacity differences were derived from an empirical equation: ΔC_p^o = −0.126 × C_p^o(\text{liq})_{\text{exp}} − 1.5 (with R² = 0.987). The heat capacity values C_p^o(\text{liq})_{\text{exp}} are compiled in Table S3. \(^d\) Vaporization enthalpies Δ_H_m^o(T_\text{av}) were treated using Equation (1), with the help of heat capacity differences from column 5 to evaluate the enthalpy of vaporization at 298.15 K. The final uncertainties of vaporization enthalpy are expanded, taking into account the uncertainty of the heat capacity difference Δ_H_p^o assigned to be of ± 20 J·K⁻¹·mol⁻¹. \(^e\) From Ref. [13].

The value Δ_l^oC_{p,m} = C_{p,m}^o(g) − C_{p,m}^o(liq) is the difference between the molar heat capacities of the gaseous C_{p,m}^o(g) and the liquid phase C_{p,m}^o(liq), respectively. The required Δ_l^oC_{p,m} values are presented in Table 1, column 6.

The compilation of experimental thermodynamic data of pyridinium-based Ils measured using the L-QCM technique is presented in Table 1. The Δ_H_m^o(298.15 K)-values for methyl- and cyano-substituted pyridinium-based Ils were measured for the first time (except for [3-CN-1-C₄-Py][NTf₂] data reported in our previous work [13]). To investigate the structure–property relationships, we also compiled (see Tables 2 and 3) the vaporiza-
tion enthalpies of the pyridinium-based ILs connected to the [NTf₂] anion available in the literature.

Table 2. Compilation of the enthalpies of vaporization Δ\text{H}^\text{m}_{\text{m}} for [1-C\text{\textsubscript{n}}-Py][NTf₂] available in the literature.

| IL              | M a  | \(T_{\text{av}}\) | \(\Delta\text{H}_{\text{m},\text{m}}(T_{\text{av}})\) J mol\(^{-1}\) | \(\Delta C_{\text{p},\text{m}}^\text{c}\) ± \(\Delta C_{\text{p},\text{m}}^\text{d}\) K | \(\Delta\text{H}^\text{m}_{\text{m}}(298.15 \text{ K})\) d | Ref. |
|-----------------|------|-------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|------|
| [1-C\textsubscript{2}-Py][NTf₂] | L-QCM | 400.6             | 125.3 ± 1.0                      | -61                             | 131.5 ± 1.6                     | [15] |
|                 | K-QCM | 498.6             | 120.1 ± 1.2                      | -61                             | 132.4 ± 2.8                     | [16] |
|                 |       |                   |                                  |                                 | 131.7 ± 1.4                     | average |
| [1-C\textsubscript{3}-Py][NTf₂] | L-QCM | 398.2             | 128.0 ± 1.0                      | -66                             | 134.6 ± 1.7                     | [15] |
|                 | K-QCM | 504.5             | 124.1 ± 1.0                      | -66                             | 137.7 ± 2.9                     | [16] |
|                 |       |                   |                                  |                                 | 135.4 ± 1.5                     | average |
| [1-C\textsubscript{4}-Py][NTf₂] | L-QCM | 399.5             | 131.1 ± 1.0                      | -70                             | 138.2 ± 1.7                     | [15] |
|                 | K-QCM | 553.0             | 119.8 ± 2.2                      | -70                             | 137.6 ± 4.2                     | [17] |
|                 |       |                   |                                  |                                 | 136.5 ± 3.4                     | [16] |
|                 |       |                   |                                  |                                 | 137.8 ± 1.4                     | average |
| [1-C\textsubscript{5}-Py][NTf₂] | L-QCM | 408.6             | 134.2 ± 1.0                      | -73                             | 141.7 ± 1.8                     | [15] |
| [1-C\textsubscript{6}-Py][NTf₂] | TPD   | 405.7             | 137.3 ± 1.0                      | -77                             | 145.6 ± 1.9                     | [15] |
| [1-C\textsubscript{8}-Py][NTf₂] | L-QCM | 400.6             | 142.4 ± 1.0                      | -100                            | 153.2 ± 2.4                     | [16] |

\(a\) Method: K-QCM—Knudsen effusion cell combined with a quartz crystal microbalance; L-QCM—Langmuir evaporation from the open surface combined with the quartz crystal microbalance; TPD—temperature-programmed desorption line-of-sight mass spectrometry at a ultra-high vacuum. \(b\) Vaporization enthalpies measured in the specified temperature range and referenced to the average temperature \(T_{\text{av}}\). \(c\) The heat capacity differences were derived in our previous work [15] from the experimental volumetric properties. \(d\) Vaporization enthalpies \(\Delta\text{H}^\text{m}_{\text{m}}(T_{\text{av}})\) were treated using Equation (1), with help of the heat capacity differences from column 5 to evaluate the enthalpies of vaporization at 298.15 K. The final uncertainties of vaporization enthalpies are expanded, taking into account the uncertainty of the heat capacity difference \(\Delta C_{\text{p},\text{m}}\) assigned to be of ± 100 J K\(^{-1}\) mol\(^{-1}\). \(e\) Weighted mean value. Values in bold are recommended for further thermochemical calculations. Uncertainty of the vaporization enthalpy \(U(\Delta\text{H}^\text{m}_{\text{m}}))\) is the expanded uncertainty (0.95 level of confidence, \(k = 2\)).

Table 3. Compilation of the enthalpies of vaporization Δ\text{H}^\text{m}_{\text{m}} for [2-Et-C\textsubscript{n}-Py][NTf₂] evaluated in this work from the data available in the literature [19].

| Ionic Liquid | \(T_{\text{av}}\) a | \(\Delta\text{H}_{\text{m},\text{m}}(T_{\text{av}})\) J mol\(^{-1}\) | \(\Delta C_{\text{p},\text{m}}^\text{c}\) ± \(\Delta C_{\text{p},\text{m}}^\text{d}\) K | \(\Delta\text{H}^\text{m}_{\text{m}}(298.15 \text{ K})\) d |
|--------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| [2-Et-1-C\textsubscript{2}-Py][NTf₂] | 508.0             | 124.6 ± 1.4                      | -73                             | 139.9 ± 3.4                     |
| [2-Et-1-C\textsubscript{3}-Py][NTf₂] | 510.4             | 121.0 ± 0.8                      | -76                             | 137.2 ± 3.3                     |
| [2-Et-1-C\textsubscript{4}-Py][NTf₂] | 503.0             | 122.3 ± 0.6                      | -80                             | 138.7 ± 3.3                     |
| [2-Et-1-C\textsubscript{5}-Py][NTf₂] | 510.5             | 127.3 ± 1.4                      | -84                             | 145.1 ± 3.8                     |
| [2-Et-1-C\textsubscript{6}-Py][NTf₂] | 505.5             | 128.3 ± 0.6                      | -88                             | 146.5 ± 3.7                     |
| [2-Et-1-C\textsubscript{7}-Py][NTf₂] | 508.2             | 131.4 ± 2.8                      | -92                             | 150.7 ± 4.8                     |
| [2-Et-1-C\textsubscript{8}-Py][NTf₂] | 505.5             | 138.5 ± 1.7                      | -96                             | 158.4 ± 4.3                     |
| [2-Et-1-C\textsubscript{9}-Py][NTf₂] | 522.8             | 139.7 ± 1.3                      | -100                            | 162.1 ± 4.7                     |

\(a\) Average temperature of the K-QCM experiments. \(b\) Vaporization enthalpies measured [19] in the specified temperature range and referenced to the average temperature \(T_{\text{av}}\). \(c\) The heat capacity differences were derived from an empirical equation: \(\Delta C_{\text{p},\text{m}} = -0.126 \times C_{\text{p},\text{m}}(\text{liq}) \times 1.5 \) with \(R^2 = 0.987\). The heat capacity values \(C_{\text{p},\text{m}}(\text{liq})\) are compiled in Table S3. \(d\) Vaporization enthalpies \(\Delta\text{H}^\text{m}_{\text{m}}(T_{\text{av}})\) were treated using Equation (1), with the help of the heat capacity differences from column 5 to evaluate the enthalpies of vaporization at 298.15 K. The final uncertainties of the vaporization enthalpy are expanded, taking into account the uncertainty of the heat capacity difference \(\Delta C_{\text{p},\text{m}}\) assigned to be of ± 20 J K\(^{-1}\) mol\(^{-1}\).
3.2. Comparison of the Vaporization Enthalpies Derived from the Theoretical and Empirical Methods

Taking into account the difficulties of experimental measurements of the extremely low vapor pressures, the vaporization enthalpies should be compared to results obtained from other methods (see Tables 4 and 5).

Table 4. Comparison of the experimental and theoretical vaporization enthalpies $\Delta^\circ g_{\text{H},\text{om}}(298.15 \text{ K})$ of [1-C$_n$Py][NTf$_2$] (in kJ·mol$^{-1}$).

| Method                  | [1-C$_2$Py] | [1-C$_3$Py] | [1-C$_4$Py] | [1-C$_6$Py] | [1-C$_8$Py] |
|-------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| GAFF [20]               | -           | 125.0       | -           | -           | -           |
| CL&P FF original [21]   | -           | -           | 167.0       | -           | -           |
| CL&P FF refined [21]    | -           | -           | 141.0       | -           | -           |
| MD [22]                 | -           | -           | 137.3       | -           | -           |
| COSMO-therm [23]        | -           | -           | -           | 142.0       | -           |
| COSMO-RS [24]          | 143.9 ± 10  | 143.1 ± 10  | 145.8 ± 10  | -           | -           |
| Empiric [25]            | -           | -           | 154.0       | -           | -           |
| $\gamma^\infty_1$ based (see text) [26]| - | - | 139.0 ± 4.2 | 147.5 ± 4.4 | 153.6 ± 4.6 |
| Experiment $^a$         | 131.7 ± 1.4 | 135.4 ± 1.9 | 137.8 ± 1.4 | 146.1 ± 1.8 | 153.2 ± 2.4 |

$^a$ Experimental data on $\Delta^\circ g_{\text{H},\text{om}}(298.15 \text{ K}, [1-C_n\text{Py}][\text{NTf}_2])$ were obtained from Table 2. The extended uncertainty with $k = 2$ and confidence level 0.95 is presented.

Table 5. Comparison of the experimental, empirical, and theoretical vaporization enthalpies $\Delta^\circ g_{\text{H},\text{om}}(298.15 \text{ K})$ of [Alkyl-1-C$_n$-Py][NTf$_2$] (in kJ·mol$^{-1}$).

| Method                  | Method          | $\Delta^\circ g_{\text{H},\text{om}}(T,K)$ | Ref.     |
|-------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------------------|---------|
| [3-Me-1-C$_2$-Py][NTf$_2$] | CRDS $^a$ additivity | 172 ± 35, 131.2 ± 1.6 | [27] Table S5 |
| [2-Et-1-C$_2$-Py][NTf$_2$] | COSMO-RS additivity | 143.2 ± 10, 139.9 ± 3.4 | [24] Table 3, S5 |
| [3-Me-1-C$_3$-Py][NTf$_2$] | COSMO-RS additivity | 138.6 ± 10, 134.9 ± 1.7 | [24] Table S5 |
| [4-Me-1-C$_3$-Py][NTf$_2$] | COSMO-RS additivity | 143.4 ± 10, 135.2 ± 1.7 | [24] Table S5 |
| [4-Me-1-C$_4$-Py][NTf$_2$] | $\gamma^\infty_1$ based additivity | 135.7 ± 3.0, 137.6 ± 1.6 | Table S6, S5 |

$^a$ Measured by CRDS (cavity ring-down laser absorption spectroscopy). The experimental value $\Delta^\circ g_{\text{H},\text{om}}(419 \text{ K}) = 162 ± 35 \text{ kJ·mol}^{-1}$ [27] was adjusted to the reference temperature $T = 298.15 \text{ K}$, with the help of $\Delta C_{p,m}^T = -85 \text{ J·mol}^{-1}·\text{K}^{-1}$, derived as shown in Table S3.

3.2.1. Molecular Dynamic (MD)

A number of different MD simulation methods were used to calculate the vaporization enthalpies of ionic liquids [20–23] with varying degrees of success (see Table 4). The General AMBER Force Field (GAFF) [20] failed to predict the enthalpy of the vaporization of [1-C$_3$-Py][NTf$_2$] properly. Additionally, the original CL&P FF method [21] heavily overestimates the vaporization enthalpy of [1-C$_4$-Py][NTf$_2$]. However, after the refinement of this method [21], an acceptable agreement with the experiment was achieved for [1-C$_4$-Py][NTf$_2$] (see Table 4). Borodin [22] used a version of the MD simulation package Lucretius for MD simulations, which includes many-body polarization simulations. His result for the vaporization enthalpy of [1-C$_4$-Py][NTf$_2$] is in excellent agreement with the experiment. The empirical force fields are usually parametrized with experimental thermodynamic and structural data. Hence, our new experimental results on vaporization enthalpies for pyridinium-based series can be used for the development, re-parametrization, and validation of modern MD methods [10,28,29].
3.2.2. COSMO

The quantum-chemistry-based model, COSMO with modifications COSMOtherm [23] and COSMO-RS [24], was used for the prediction of the thermochemical properties of ILs. However, as shown in Table 4, the $\Delta_f^{\text{H}_m}(298.15 \text{ K})$ values calculated by the original COSMO-RS [24] are systematically (of about 10 kJ·mol$^{-1}$) higher, compared to the experimental result. In contrast, the result for [1-C$_6$-Py][NTf$_2$] predicted by the modified COSMOtherm is in agreement with the experiment (see Table 4).

3.2.3. CRDS Method

Gas-phase electronic absorption spectroscopy was successfully used for studies of 3-Me-1-ethylpyridinium and 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium cations connected with the [NTf$_2$] anion [27]. The vapor pressures at 400–430 K were derived from the measured absorbance. The vaporization enthalpy determined for [3-Me-C$_2$-Py][NTf$_2$] using the CRDS (cavity ring-down laser absorption spectroscopy) method is presented in Table 5 and is evidently too high.

3.2.4. Gas Chromatographic Method (GC)

This method is based on the experimental infinite dilution activity coefficients $\gamma_1^\infty$ derived from the retention times of various solutes measured by gas chromatography using the IL as the solute [12]. The necessary details are presented in the Supporting Information. Ban et al. [26] used this method and reported the vaporization enthalpies, $\Delta_f^{\text{H}_m}(298.15 \text{ K})$, of [1-C$_n$-Py][NTf$_2$] with alkyl chain $n = 4$, 6 and 8), which are compiled in Table 4 and they appear to be reasonable, in comparison to other methods. We used the original data for $\gamma_1^\infty$ for [4-Me-1-C$_4$-Py][NTf$_2$], measured by Domanska and Marciniak [30], and derived, $\Delta_f^{\text{H}_m}(298.15 \text{ K}) = 135.7 \pm 3.0$ kJ·mol$^{-1}$ (see Table 5), which meets the level expectation.

3.2.5. Empirical Model

In an empirical approach developed by Licence and Jones [25], the $\Delta_f^{\text{H}_m}(298.15 \text{ K})$-value is decomposed into the Coulombic and van der Waals contributions from the cation and anion. Unfortunately, a very limited experimental data set on vaporization enthalpies was used for the parameterization of this approach. As can be seen from Table 4, the vaporization enthalpy $\Delta_f^{\text{H}_m}(298.15 \text{ K}) = 154$ kJ·mol$^{-1}$ estimated by this model for [1-C$_4$-Py][NTf$_2$] is practically equal to $\Delta_f^{\text{H}_m}(298.15 \text{ K}) = 153$ kJ·mol$^{-1}$ estimated for [1-C$_6$-Py][NTf$_2$], in contrast to the established growth trend of the vaporization enthalpy, with increasing chain-length dependence within the homologous series. Apparently, this method needs further development, but unfortunately no update since 2014 has been found in the literature.

3.3. Validation of the Vaporization Enthalpies

The comparison of the experimental enthalpies of vaporization with those derived from theoretical and empirical methods of the previous section was not sufficient to validate the available enthalpies of vaporization of the pyridinium-based ILs. The consistency of the complete data set could be checked using structure–property correlations, e.g., chain-length dependence, or using the correlation between the vaporization enthalpy and surface tension of the ILs.

3.3.1. Structure–Property Correlations: Chain-Length Dependence

The linear correlation of $\Delta_f^{\text{H}_m}(298.15 \text{ K})$ values with the number of carbon atoms in the alkyl chain within the homologue series of ionic liquids is a well-established phenomenon, e.g., for the series [C$_n$ mim][NTf$_2$] [31] or [N(R)$_4$][NTf$_2$] [32]. We also correlated the $\Delta_f^{\text{H}_m}(298.15 \text{ K})$ values for the [1-C$_n$-Py][NTf$_2$] series (evaluated in Table 2) with the
number of carbon atoms, \( n \), in the alkyl chain attached to the cation nitrogen atom. The following correlation was obtained (see Table S8):

\[
\Delta \tilde{H}_m^0(298.15 \text{ K}) / \text{kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1} = 124.2 + 3.60 \times n \quad \text{(with } R^2 = 0.9958) \tag{2}
\]

The relatively high correlation coefficient \( R^2 \) is evidence of a good consistency of experimental data approximated by Equation (2).

The surface tension \( \sigma_{298} \) (surface tension at the reference temperature \( T = 298.15 \text{ K} \)) as a thermophysical property is directly related to the vaporization enthalpy \( \Delta \tilde{H}_m^0(298.15 \text{ K}) \). Is the chain-length dependence of \( \sigma_{298} \) linear for pyridinium ionic liquids \([1-\text{C}_n\text{-Py}]\text{[NTf}_2\text{]}\)? The compilation of the experimental \( \sigma_{298} \) values available in the literature is presented in Table 6.

| Ionic Liquid       | \( N_C \) | \( \sigma_{298}\) (exp) | \( \sigma_{298}\) (est) \(^a\) | \( \Delta \) \(^b\) |
|--------------------|-----------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------|
| \([1-\text{C}_2\text{-Py}]\text{[NTf}_2\text{]}\) | 2         | 37.4 \([33]\)           | 37.2                         | 0.2         |
| \([1-\text{C}_3\text{-Py}]\text{[NTf}_2\text{]}\) | 3         | 35.4 \([34]\)           | 35.9                         | −0.5        |
| \([1-\text{C}_4\text{-Py}]\text{[NTf}_2\text{]}\) | 4         | 34.8 \([35]\)           | 34.5                         | 0.3         |
| \([1-\text{C}_5\text{-Py}]\text{[NTf}_2\text{]}\) | 5         | -                        | 33.1                         | −           |
| \([1-\text{C}_6\text{-Py}]\text{[NTf}_2\text{]}\) | 6         | 31.7 \([36]\)           | 31.7                         | 0.0         |
| \([1-\text{C}_8\text{-Py}]\text{[NTf}_2\text{]}\) | 8         | -                        | 29.0                         | −           |

\(^a\) Estimated from the chain-length dependence according to Equation (3). \(^b\) The difference between columns 3 and 4.

Using this data, a robust linear correlation with the alkyl chain length attached to the N atom of the cation was established according to Equation (3):

\[
\sigma_{298}\) (est) = −1.37 \times N_C +40.0 \quad \text{(with } R^2 = 0.980) \tag{3}
\]

This correlation we used to estimate the \( \sigma_{298}\) (est) values for \([1-\text{C}_5\text{-Py}]\text{[NTf}_2\text{]}\) and \([1-\text{C}_8\text{-Py}]\text{[NTf}_2\text{]}\) is required for the correlation with the vaporization enthalpies in Section 3.3.2.

3.3.2. Correlation of the Vaporization Enthalpies with the Surface Tension

In this work, we correlated \( \Delta \tilde{H}_m^0(298.15 \text{ K}) \) for the \([1-\text{C}_n\text{-Py}]\text{[NTf}_2\text{]}\) series with the surface tensions \( \sigma_{298} \) from Table 7, column 2. The results are presented in Table 7, column 4.

| Ionic Liquid       | \( \sigma_{298} \) | \( \Delta \tilde{H}_m^0(298.15 \text{ K})\) \(^a\) | \( \Delta \tilde{H}_m^0(298.15 \text{ K})\) \(^b\) | \( \Delta \) \(^c\) |
|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------|
| \([1-\text{C}_2\text{-Py}]\text{[NTf}_2\text{]}\) | 37.4 \([33]\)     | 131.7 ± 1.4                 | 131.0               | 0.7         |
| \([1-\text{C}_3\text{-Py}]\text{[NTf}_2\text{]}\) | 35.4 \([34]\)     | 135.4 ± 1.5                 | 136.2               | −0.8        |
| \([1-\text{C}_4\text{-Py}]\text{[NTf}_2\text{]}\) | 34.8 \([35]\)     | 137.8 ± 1.4                 | 137.8               | 0.0         |
| \([1-\text{C}_5\text{-Py}]\text{[NTf}_2\text{]}\) | 33.1 \(^d\)       | 141.7 ± 1.8                 | 142.2               | −0.5        |
| \([1-\text{C}_6\text{-Py}]\text{[NTf}_2\text{]}\) | 31.7 \([36]\)     | 146.1 ± 1.8                 | 145.9               | 0.2         |
| \([1-\text{C}_8\text{-Py}]\text{[NTf}_2\text{]}\) | 29.0 \(^d\)       | 153.2 ± 2.4                 | 153.0               | 0.2         |

\(^a\) Experimental data from Table 1. \(^b\) Estimated using Equation (4). \(^c\) Difference between columns 3 and 4. \(^d\) Values have been derived from the chain-length dependence in Table 6.

A good linear correlation has been found to be:

\[
\Delta \tilde{H}_m^0(298.15 \text{ K}) / \text{kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1} = 228.9 − 2.62 \times \sigma_{298} \quad \text{(with } R^2 = 0.995) \tag{4}
\]
for the [1-Cₙ-Py][NTf₂] series. As shown in Table 7, the differences between the experimental and estimated values do not exceed 1 kJ mol⁻¹, demonstrating the consistency of the data set of the unsubstituted pyridinium-based ILs.

Can we also use σ₂⁹₈ values to prove the consistency of the evaporation data for Me- and CN-substituted pyridinium ILs? To test this, we carefully collected the surface tension data of methyl-substituted (see Table 8) and cyano-substituted (see Table 9) pyridinium-based ILs and correlated these values with the vaporization enthalpies evaluated in Tables 1 and 2.

| Ionic Liquid | σ²⁹₈(exp) mN m⁻¹ | Δ⁵H⁰ₘ(298.15 K)exp a kJ mol⁻¹ | Δ⁵H⁰ₘ(298.15 K)calc b kJ mol⁻¹ | Δ c |
|--------------|----------------|------------------|-------------------|-----|
| [1-C₂-Py][NTf₂] | 37.4 [33] | 131.7 ± 1.4 | 130.2 | 1.5 |
| [1-C₃-Py][NTf₂] | 35.4 [34] | 135.4 ± 1.5 | 135.7 | −0.3 |
| [1-C₄-Py][NTf₂] | 34.8 [35] | 137.8 ± 1.4 | 137.3 | 0.5 |
| [1-C₅-Py][NTf₂] | 33.1 [Table 6] | 141.7 ± 1.8 | 141.9 | −0.2 |
| [1-C₆-Py][NTf₂] | 31.7 [36] | 146.1 ± 1.8 | 145.7 | 0.4 |
| [1-C₈-Py][NTf₂] | 29.0 [Table 6] | 153.2 ± 2.4 | 153.1 | 0.1 |
| [2-Me-1-C₂-Py][NTf₂] | 38.5 [37] | - | 127.2 | - |
| [2-Me-1-C₃-Py][NTf₂] | 36.9 [37] | - | 131.6 | - |
| [3-Me-1-C₃-Py][NTf₂] | 35.8 [38] | 132.4 ± 1.6 | 134.6 | −2.2 |
| [4-Me-1-C₃-Py][NTf₂] | 35.2 [34] | - | 136.2 | - |
| [2-Me-1-C₄-Py][NTf₂] | 36.3 [35] | - | 133.2 | - |
| [3-Me-1-C₄-Py][NTf₂] | 35.5 [35] | - | 135.4 | - |
| [4-Me-1-C₅-Py][NTf₂] | 35.0 [35] | - | 136.8 | - |

| Ionic Liquid | σ²⁹₈(exp) mN m⁻¹ | Δ⁵H⁰ₘ(298.15 K)exp a kJ mol⁻¹ | Δ⁵H⁰ₘ(298.15 K)calc b kJ mol⁻¹ | Δ c |
|--------------|----------------|------------------|-------------------|-----|
| [3-CN-1-C₄-Py][NTf₂] | 32.00 [35] | 151.0 ± 2.1 | 151.5 | −0.5 |
| [3-CN-1-C₅-Py][NTf₂] | 29.37 [35] | 162.1 ± 2.4 | 162.4 | −0.3 |
| [4-CN-1-C₅-Py][NTf₂] | 30.60 [35] | 158.4 ± 2.3 | 157.3 | 1.1 |
| [3-CN-1-C₆-Py][NTf₂] | 28.65 [35] | 165.3 ± 2.7 | 165.4 | −0.1 |

| Ionic Liquid | σ²⁹₈(exp) mN m⁻¹ | Δ⁵H⁰ₘ(298.15 K)exp a kJ mol⁻¹ | Δ⁵H⁰ₘ(298.15 K)calc b kJ mol⁻¹ | Δ c |
|--------------|----------------|------------------|-------------------|-----|
| [3-CN-1-C₄-Py][NTf₂] | 32.00 [35] | 151.0 ± 2.1 | 151.5 | −0.5 |
| [3-CN-1-C₅-Py][NTf₂] | 29.37 [35] | 162.1 ± 2.4 | 162.4 | −0.3 |
| [4-CN-1-C₅-Py][NTf₂] | 30.60 [35] | 158.4 ± 2.3 | 157.3 | 1.1 |
| [3-CN-1-C₆-Py][NTf₂] | 28.65 [35] | 165.3 ± 2.7 | 165.4 | −0.1 |

For [Me-1-Cₙ-Py][NTf₂]: Δ⁵H⁰ₘ(298.15 K) = −2.72 × σ²⁹₈(exp) + 232.1 (with R² = 0.980) (5)

For [CN-1-Cₙ-Py][NTf₂]: Δ⁵H⁰ₘ(298.15 K) = −4.16 × σ²⁹₈(exp) + 284.5 (with R² = 0.986) (6)

As shown in Tables 7 and 8, the differences between the experimental and estimated values are mostly below 1 kJ mol⁻¹, which also demonstrates the sufficient consistency of the data set of the substituted pyridinium-based ILs evaluated in this work. This dataset can now be used to develop a methodology to predict the enthalpies of ILs, which are difficult to access experimentally.
3.4. Group Additivity to Predict the Vaporization Enthalpies of Ionic Liquids Using Contributions from Molecular Liquids

The enthalpy of vaporization is generally a measure of the intensity of intermolecular interactions that hold molecules together in the liquid state. When Van der Waals forces and hydrogen bonding prevail over molecular liquids, the additional strong Coulomb interactions are specific to ionic liquids. This makes the ionic liquids (or molten salts) extremely low volatile, with vaporization enthalpies between 120 and 180 kJ·mol⁻¹ [31]. Group additivity (GA) methods are successfully used to predict vaporization enthalpies of molecular liquids. In conventional GA methods, the vaporization enthalpies of molecules are split up into smallest possible groups in order to obtain well-defined contributions. The prediction is then based on the idea of “LEGO® bricks”, where the energetics of the molecule of interest are collected from the appropriate type and number of bricks. A comprehensive system of group contributions (or increments) covers the major classes of organic compounds [39]. Using the same method for ionic liquids composed of large organic cations and large organic/inorganic anions is impractical, due to too many “bricks” and a very limited amount of available experimental enthalpies of vaporization. To overcome these limitations, we developed a general approach to estimate the vaporization enthalpies based on a so-called “centerpiece” molecule [40,41]. This approach is closely related to the broadly used group additivity (GA) methods [39,42]. The idea of the “centerpiece” approach is to start the prediction with a potentially large “core” molecule that can generally mimic the structure of the molecule of interest, but, at the same time, must has a reliable enthalpy of vaporization. The ionic liquids are predestined for such an approach. The visualization of the “centerpiece” approach for R-substituted [C2-Py][NTf2] ionic liquid is presented in Figure 3 as an example.

![Figure 3. The visualization of the “centerpiece” approach for the [1-C2-Py][NTf2] substituted with methyl or cyano substituent (left). Estimation of $\Delta l^G H_m^0$ (298.15 K) values for [R-1-C2-Py][NTf2] (right).](image)

Indeed, [1-C2-Py][NTf2] as the “centerpiece” model already bears the main energetic contributions to the vaporization enthalpy, due to the sum of the Coulomb and Van der Waals interactions present in the liquid phase. Such a bulk contribution is unique to [1-C2-Py][NTf2] as the “centerpiece” molecule and can hardly be captured by any other method. This special feature of the “centerpiece” approach significantly increases the reliability of the property prediction for similarly shaped molecules, e.g., [R-1-C2-Py][NTf2] (see Figure 3, right), where substituent (with contribution $\Delta l^G H_m^0$ (H→R) to the vaporization enthalpy) is simply attached to the aromatic ring of the cation (see Figure 3, left). For this reason, the $\Delta l^G H_m^0$ (298.15 K) estimated using the “centerpiece” approach for the [R-1-C2-Py][NTf2] ionic liquids can be ad hoc regarded as reliable, since the “main” contribution to the vaporization energetics from the [1-C2-Py][NTf2] is already well established and consistent
with the results available for the [1-Cₙ-Py][NTf₂] series (R = alkyl with n = 1–6) [15]. It is quite evident that the contributions, Δ₁^R Hₒ^m(H→R), for the “appending” to the [1-C₂-Py][NTf₂] “centerpiece” are comparatively small and affordable for a reliable assessment. As a matter of fact, in our recent work [13], we have shown that the Δ₁^R Hₒ^m(H→Me) and Δ₁^R Hₒ^m(H→CN) contributions derived from the molecular liquids (substituted pyridines and quinolines) are generally transferrable to ionic liquids. The numerical values for these contributions are presented in Table 10.

Table 10. Specific “transfer” contribution, Δ₁^R Hₒ^m (H→R₁) derived [13] from vaporization enthalpies of substituted pyridines or quinolines. R = Me, CN, or Et (at 298.15 K in kJ mol⁻¹) a.

| R₁       | Δ₁^R Hₒ^m (H→R₁) b |
|----------|---------------------|
| 2-methyl-| 2.3 ± 0.2           |
| 3-methyl-| 4.4 ± 0.3           |
| 4-methyl-| 4.7 ± 0.3           |
| 2-cyano- | 18.4 ± 0.4          |
| 3-cyano- | 15.6 ± 0.7          |
| 4-cyano- | 13.8 ± 0.8          |
| 2-ethyl- | 5.7 ± 0.2 b         |

a Uncertainties are expanded uncertainties (0.95 level of confidence, k = 2). b Calculated as the difference between Δ₁^R Hₒ^m (298.15 K) = 45.9 ± 0.4 kJ mol⁻¹ for 2-ethyl-pyridine [13] and Δ₁^R Hₒ^m (298.15 K) = 40.2 ± 0.2 kJ mol⁻¹ for pyridine [43].

Let us consider the prediction of the vaporization enthalpy for [3-Me-1-C₃-Py][NTf₂] as an example (see Table 11). The [1-C₃-Py][NTf₂] with Δ₁^R Hₒ^m (298.15 K) = 135.4 ± 1.5 kJ mol⁻¹ was used as the “centerpiece”. Contribution Δ₁^R Hₒ^m (H→Me) = 4.4 ± 0.3 kJ mol⁻¹ from Table 9 was appended to the aromatic ring in the three position to construct the desired IL. The resulting value Δ₁^R Hₒ^m(CP) = 138.9 ± 1.6 kJ mol⁻¹ is in fair agreement with the experimental result from the QCM study Δ₁^R Hₒ^m(exp) = 132.4 ± 1.6 kJ mol⁻¹. Similarly, we used the “centerpiece” approach to estimate the Δ₁^R Hₒ^m(CP) values for the collection of the ionic liquids (see Table 11), where reliable experimental vaporization enthalpies were available.

Even a quick look at the results presented in Table 11 can reveal that the “centerpiece” approach of about 5 kJ mol⁻¹ systematically overestimates the vaporization enthalpies, if we directly take the Δ₁^R Hₒ^m(H→R) contributions from molecular liquids to the ionic liquids. It is thus evident that the overestimation is quite independent of the type and position of the substituent on the aromatic ring. Moreover, two ionic liquids, [3-Me-1-C₄-Py][BF₄] and [3-Me-1-C₄-Py][BF₄], with the [BF₄]⁻ anion of a totally different nature, also show the same trend as the [NTf₂] ionic liquids (see the final two lines in Table 11). One of the plausible explanations for this observation is that the Δ₁^R Hₒ^m(H→R) contributions are derived from molecular liquids. For vaporizing molecular liquids, all types of interaction need to be overcome for bringing the monomer molecules into the gas phase. This situation is different for ionic liquids, which evaporate as ion pairs. Thus, an attractive cation–anion Coulomb interaction, hydrogen bonding between both ions and, in particular, a dispersion interaction within the ion pair are taken into the gas phase. The overestimation of the vaporization enthalpies in the order of 5 kJ mol⁻¹ by applying the “centerpiece” is in the order of the derived dispersion energies between the cations and anions in an ion pair [44–48]. Indeed, such a contribution should be more or less constant for all types of ionic liquids, and only marginally dependent on the nature of the cation and anion, as demonstrated in the present paper.

Admittedly, these forces play only a subordinate role in ionic liquids. Thus, a direct transfer of the Δ₁^R Hₒ^m(H→R) contributions from the molecular to the ionic liquids evidently requires a systematic correction. Considering the common nature of the systematics observed for the ILs in Table 11, we propose the application of the “centerpiece” approach to ILs, along with the correction term Δ = (−4.9 ± 0.8) kJ mol⁻¹ (see Table 11), to predict the
Δf Hm°(298.15 K) values of the broad range of ionic liquids using a comprehensive collection of Δf Hm°(H→R) contributions available from molecular liquids.

Such a straightforward procedure facilitates a rapid diagnostic of the experimental or theoretical vaporization enthalpies already available in the literature. For example, in Table 5, we collect the results for five ionic liquids of the [Alkyl-1-C₄-NTf₂] series. The Δf Hm°(298.15 K) values for this series were of a different quality. Nonetheless, our calculations using the “corrected-centerpiece” approach allows for a reliable estimate of the “expected” level of vaporization enthalpy for each species in Table 5, to detect the “sick” data and help to improve the experimental and theoretical methods. A prime example to support this idea is the calculation for [3-Me-1-C₄-Py][NTf₂] (see Table 5, line 3 and Table S5). The “corrected-centerpiece” result Δf Hm°(298.15 K) = 131.2 ± 1.6 kJ·mol⁻¹ makes it clear that the Δf Hm°(298.15 K) = 137.2 ± 35 kJ·mol⁻¹ measured by the CRDS method is definitely an error, even taking into account the extremely significant uncertainties. However, by having such a convenient tool as the “corrected-centerpiece” approach, it might be possible to improve the measuring technique, provided that the level of the “expected” vaporization enthalpy is preliminarily assessed.

Table 11. Calculation of the vaporization enthalpies, Δf Hm°, of alkyl- and cyano-substituted pyridinium-based ILs using the “centerpiece approach” (at 298.15 K in kJ·mol⁻¹) a.

| IL                  | Δf Hm° (H→R) b | Δf Hm° (CP) c | Δf Hm° (CP) d | Δf Hm° (exp) | Δ e  |
|---------------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|------|
| [3-Me-1-C₃-Py][NTf₂] | 4.4 ± 0.3      | 135.4 ± 1.5  | 138.9 ± 1.6  | 132.4 ± 1.6 | Table 1 | -6.5 ± 2.3 |
| [2-Me-1-C₄-Py][NTf₂] | 2.3 ± 0.2      | 131.7 ± 1.4  | 134.0 ± 2.4  | 127.2 ± 2.0 | Table 1 | -6.8 ± 3.2 |
| [2-Me-1-C₅-Py][NTf₂] | 2.3 ± 0.2      | 135.4 ± 1.5  | 137.7 ± 2.5  | 131.6 ± 2.0 | Table 7 | -6.1 ± 3.2 |
| [3-Me-1-C₆-Py][NTf₂] | 4.4 ± 0.3      | 135.4 ± 1.5  | 139.8 ± 2.5  | 134.6 ± 2.0 | Table 7 | -5.2 ± 3.2 |
| [4-Me-1-C₇-Py][NTf₂] | 4.7 ± 0.3      | 135.4 ± 1.5  | 140.1 ± 2.5  | 136.2 ± 2.0 | Table 7 | -3.9 ± 3.2 |
| [2-Me-1-C₈-Py][NTf₂] | 2.3 ± 0.2      | 137.8 ± 1.4  | 140.1 ± 2.4  | 133.2 ± 2.0 | Table 7 | -6.9 ± 3.2 |
| [3-Me-1-C₉-Py][NTf₂] | 4.4 ± 0.3      | 137.8 ± 1.4  | 142.2 ± 2.5  | 135.4 ± 2.0 | Table 7 | -6.8 ± 3.2 |
| [4-Me-1-C₁₀-Py][NTf₂] | 4.7 ± 0.3      | 137.8 ± 1.4  | 142.5 ± 2.5  | 136.8 ± 2.0 | Table 7 | -5.7 ± 3.2 |
| [3-CN-1-C₄-Py][NTf₂] | 15.6 ± 0.7     | 137.8 ± 1.4  | 153.4 ± 2.6  | 151.2 ± 2.1 | Table 1 | -2.2 ± 3.4 |
| [3-CN-1-1-C₆-Py][NTf₂] | 15.6 ± 0.7     | 146.1 ± 1.8  | 161.7 ± 3.1  | 162.1 ± 2.4 | Table 1 | 0.4 ± 3.9  |
| [4-CN-1-C₅-Py][NTf₂] | 13.8 ± 0.8     | 146.1 ± 1.8  | 159.9 ± 3.0  | 158.4 ± 2.3 | Table 1 | -1.5 ± 3.8 |
| [3-CN-1-C₆-Py][NTf₂] | 15.6 ± 0.7     | 153.2 ± 2.4  | 168.8 ± 3.7  | 165.3 ± 2.7 | Table 1 | -3.5 ± 4.6 |
| [2-Et-1-C₃-Py][NTf₂] | 5.7 ± 0.2      | 135.4 ± 1.5  | 141.1 ± 3.6  | 137.2 ± 3.3 | Table 3 | -3.9 ± 4.9 |
| [2-Et-1-C₄-Py][NTf₂] | 5.7 ± 0.2      | 137.8 ± 1.4  | 143.5 ± 3.6  | 138.7 ± 3.3 | Table 3 | -4.8 ± 4.9 |
| [2-Et-1-C₅-Py][NTf₂] | 5.7 ± 0.2      | 141.7 ± 1.8  | 147.4 ± 4.2  | 145.1 ± 3.8 | Table 3 | -2.3 ± 5.7 |
| [2-Et-1-C₆-Py][NTf₂] | 5.7 ± 0.2      | 146.1 ± 1.8  | 151.8 ± 4.1  | 146.5 ± 3.7 | Table 3 | -5.3 ± 5.5 |
| [2-Et-1-C₇-Py][NTf₂] | 5.7 ± 0.2      | 149.4 ± 2.0 e | 155.1 ± 5.2  | 150.7 ± 4.8 | Table 3 | -4.4 ± 7.1 |
| [2-Et-1-C₈-Py][NTf₂] | 5.7 ± 0.2      | 153.2 ± 2.4  | 158.9 ± 4.9  | 158.4 ± 4.3 | Table 3 | -0.5 ± 6.5 |
| [3-Me-1-C₄-Py][BF₄] | 4.4 ± 0.3      | 149.9 ± 2.3 [31] | 154.3 ± 3.3  | 149.5 ± 2.3 [31] | -4.8 ± 4.0 |
| [4-Me-1-C₄-Py][BF₄] | 4.7 ± 0.3      | 149.9 ± 2.3 [31] | 154.6 ± 3.0  | 148.9 ± 2.1 [31] | -5.7 ± 3.8 |

average: -4.9 ± 0.8 f

a Uncertainties of the vaporization enthalpy (Δf Hm°) are the expanded uncertainties (0.95 level of confidence, k = 2). b From Table 9. c Enthalpies of vaporization of the “centerpiece” molecules from Tables 1–3. d Calculated as the sum of columns 2 and 3. e Calculated as the difference of columns 5 and 4. f Weighted mean value (the uncertainty was taken as the weighing factor).

4. Conclusions

The structure–property correlations have proven to be a useful diagnostic tool for predicting the vaporization enthalpies for ILs. The general transferability of the group contributions derived from molecular liquids to estimate the vaporization enthalpies of ionic liquids was demonstrated. It was shown that, with the “centerpiece” approach, it is possible to estimate the appropriate level of vaporization enthalpy. The further refinement of this approach with the small, but not negligible, correction term has helped brought the estimated results into agreement with the experiment. The corrected “centerpiece” approach was recommended to predict the vaporization enthalpies of ILs. The application of this approach to imidazolium-based ILs will be explored in the upcoming studies.
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Author Contributions: Formal analysis, S.P.V. and R.L.; Funding acquisition, S.P.V. and R.L.; Investigation, D.H.Z.; Methodology, S.P.V. and R.L.; Project administration, S.P.V. and R.L. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by the German Science Foundation (DFG) in the frame of the priority program SPP 1807 “Control of London Dispersion Interactions in Molecular Chemistry”, grant VE 265-9/2 to SPV and grant LU-506/12-2 for RL. DZ acknowledge financial support from the German Science Foundation (DFG), grant ZA 872/3-1, 407078203.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: The data supporting the reported results are given in the text and in the Supporting Materials.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Sample Availability: Samples of the compounds are not available from the authors.

References

1. Weingärtner, H. Understanding Ionic Liquids at the molecular level: Facts, problems, and controversies. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2008, 47, 654–670. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
2. Endres, F.; Zein El Abedin, S. Air and water stable ionic liquids in physical chemistry. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2006, 8, 2101–2116. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
3. Welton, T. Room-temperature ionic liquids. Solvents for synthesis and catalysis. *Chem. Rev.* 1999, 99, 2071–2084. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
4. Plechkova, N.V.; Seddon, K.R. Applications of ionic liquids in the chemical industry. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2008, 37, 123–150. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
5. Ventura, S.P.M.; e Silva, F.A.; Quental, M.V.; Mondal, D.; Freire, M.G.; Coutinho, J.A.P. Ionic-Liquid-mediated extraction and separation processes for bioactive compounds: Past, present, and future trends. *Chem. Rev.* 2017, 117, 6984–7052. [CrossRef]
6. Fumino, K.; Ludwig, R. Analyzing the interaction energies between cation and anion in ionic liquids: The subtle balance between Coulomb energy, hydrogen bonding and dispersion forces. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2014, 16, 21903–21929. [CrossRef]
7. Ludwig, R.; Kragl, U. Do we understand the volatility of Ionic Liquids? *Angew. Chemie Int. Ed.* 2007, 46, 6582–6584. [CrossRef]
8. Verevkin, S.P.; Kondratev, S.O.; Riabchunova, A.V.; Pimerzin, A.A. Weaving a network of reliable thermochemistry around lignin building blocks: Methoxy-phenols and methoxy-benzaldehydes. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* 2020, 59, 22626–22639. [CrossRef]
12. Verevkin, S.P. Imidazolium based ionic liquids: Unbiased recovering of vaporization enthalpies from infinite-dilution activity coefficients. Molecules 2021, 26, 5873. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

13. Verevkin, S.P.; Zherikova, K.V.; Martynyenko, E.A. Molecular versus ionic liquids: Development of a thermodynamic framework for predicting vaporization thermodynamics. J. Mol. Liq. 2022, 350, 118576. [CrossRef]

14. Verevkin, S.P.; Zaitsau, D.H.; Emel'yanenko, V.; Heintz, A. A new method for the determination of vaporization enthalpies of ionic liquids at low temperatures. J. Phys. Chem. B 2011, 115, 12889–12895. [CrossRef]

15. Zaitsau, D.H.; Yermalayeu, A.V.; Emel'yanenko, V.N.; Verevkin, S.P.; Welz-Biermann, U.; Schubert, T. Structure-property relationships in ILs: A study of the alkyl chain length dependence in vaporisation enthalpies of pyridinium based ionic liquids. Sci. China Chem. 2012, 55, 1525–1531. [CrossRef]

16. Rocha, M.A.A.; Santos, L.M.N.B.F. First volatility study of the 1-alkylpyridinium based ionic liquids by Knudsen effusion. Chem. Phys. Lett. 2013, 585, 59–62. [CrossRef]

17. Wang, C.; Luo, H.; Li, H.; Dai, S. Direct UV-spectroscopic measurement of selected ionic-liquid vapors. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2010, 12, 7246–7250. [CrossRef]

18. Deyko, A.; Lovelock, K.R.J.; Corfield, J.-A.; Taylor, A.W.; Gooden, P.N.; Villar-Garcia, I.J.; Licence, P.; Jones, R.G.; Krasovskiy, V.G.; Chernikova, E.A.; et al. Measuring and predicting DvapH298 values of ionic liquids. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2009, 11, 8544–8555. [CrossRef]

19. Vilas, M.; Rocha, M.A.A.; Fernandes, A.M.; Tojo, E.; Santos, L.M.N.B.F. Novel 2-alkyl-1-ethylpyridinium ionic liquids: Synthesis, dissociation energies and volatility. J. Phys. Chem. B 2015, 119, 2560–2572. [CrossRef]

20. Sprenger, K.G.; Jaeger, V.W.; Pfaendtner, J. The general AMBER force field (GAFF) can accurately predict thermodynamic and transport properties of many ionic liquids. J. Phys. Chem. B 2015, 119, 5882–5895. [CrossRef]

21. Borodin, O. Relation between heat of vaporization, ion transport, molar volume, and cation–anion binding energy for ionic liquids. J. Phys. Chem. B 2009, 113, 12353–12357. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

22. Preiss, U. Quantum Chemical Studies of Weakly Coordinated Ionic Systems: Predictions of Chemical and Physical Properties, Freiburg, 2010. Available online: https://www.amazon.de/Quantum-Chemical-Studies-Coordinated-Systems/dp/3838120647 (accessed on 1 February 2022).

23. Schröder, B.; Coutinho, J.A.P. Predicting enthalpies of vaporization of aprotic ionic liquids with COSMO-RS. Fluid Phase Equilib. 2014, 370, 24–33. [CrossRef]

24. Deyko, A.; Hassey, S.G.; Licence, P.; Chernikova, E.A.; Krasovskiy, V.G.; Kustov, L.M.; Jones, R.G. The enthalpies of vapourisation of ionic liquids: New measurements and predictions. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2012, 14, 3181–3193. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

25. Ban, T.; Li, X.P.; Li, C.L.; Wang, Q. Measurements of the solubility parameter and enthalpies of vaporization in N-alkyl-pyridinium bis[(trifluoromethyl)sulfonyl]imide ([CnPY][NTf2], n = 4,6,8) ionic liquid. Fluid Phase Equilib. 2019, 485, 94–100. [CrossRef]

26. Ogura, T.; Akai, N.; Kawai, A.; Shibuya, K. Gas phase electronic absorption spectroscopy of room temperature ionic liquids: N-Ethyl-3-methylpyridinium or 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium cation with bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)amido anion. Phys. Chem. Lett. 2013, 55, 110–114. [CrossRef]

27. Köddermann, T.; Paschek, D.; Ludwig, R. Ionic liquids: Dissecting the enthalpies of vaporization. ChemPhysChem 2008, 9, 549–555. [CrossRef]

28. Köddermann, T.; Paschek, D.; Ludwig, R. Molecular dynamic simulations of ionic liquids: A reliable description of structure, thermodynamics and dynamics. ChemPhysChem 2007, 8, 2464–2470. [CrossRef]

29. Domanińska, U.; Marciniak, A. Activity coefficients at infinite dilution measurements for organic solutes and water in the ionic liquid 4-methyl-N-butyl-pyridinium bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)imide. J. Chem. Thermodyn. 2009, 41, 1350–1355. [CrossRef]

30. Verevkin, S.P.; Zaitsau, D.H.; Emel’yanenko, V.; Yermalayeu, A.V.; Schick, C.; Liu, H.; Maginn, E.J.; Bulut, S.; Krossing, I.; Kalb, R.; et al. Making sense of enthalpy of vaporization trends for ionic liquids: New experimental and simulation data show a simple linear relationship and help reconcile previous data. J. Phys. Chem. B 2013, 117, 6473–6486. [CrossRef]

31. Zaitsau, D.H.; Plechkova, N.; Verevkin, S.P. Vaporization thermodynamics of ionic liquids with tetraalkylphosphonium cations. J. Chem. Thermodyn. 2019, 130, 204–212. [CrossRef]

32. Benito, J.; García-Mardones, M.; Pérez-Gregorio, V.; Gasçon, I.; Lafuente, C. Physicochemical study of n-ethylpyridinium bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)imide ionic liquid. J. Solution Chem. 2014, 43, 696–710. [CrossRef]

33. Klomfar, J.; Součková, M.; Pátek, J. Surface tension and density for members of four ionic liquid homologous series containing a pyridinium based-cation and the bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)imide anion. Fluid Phase Equilib. 2017, 431, 24–33. [CrossRef]

34. Domanińska, U.; Skiba, K.; Zawadzki, M.; Paduszyński, K.; Krollikowski, M. Synthesis, physical, and thermodynamic properties of 1-alkyl- cyanopyridinium bis[(trifluoromethyl)sulfonyl]imide ionic liquids. J. Chem. Thermodyn. 2013, 56, 153–161. [CrossRef]

35. Liu, Q.S.; Yang, M.; Li, P.P.; Sun, S.S.; Welz-Biermann, U.; Tan, Z.C.; Zhang, Q.G. Physicochemical properties of ionic liquids [Cnpy][NTf2] and [Cnpy][NTf2]. J. Chem. Eng. Data 2011, 56, 4094–4101. [CrossRef]

36. García-Mardones, M.; Bandrés, I.; López, M.C.; Gasçon, I.; Lafuente, C. Experimental and theoretical study of two pyridinium-based ionic liquids. J. Solut. Chem. 2012, 41, 1836–1852. [CrossRef]

37. Carvalho, P.J.; Neves, C.M.S.S.; Coutinho, J.A.P. Surface tensions of bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)imide anion-based ionic liquids. J. Chem. Eng. Data 2010, 55, 3807–3812. [CrossRef]
39. Verevkin, S.P.; Emel’yanenko, V.N.; Diky, V.; Muzny, C.D.; Chirico, R.D.; Frenkel, M. New group-contribution approach to thermochemical properties of organic compounds: Hydrocarbons and oxygen-containing compounds. *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data* 2013, 42, 33102. [CrossRef]

40. Verevkin, S.P.; Turovtsev, V.V.; Andreeva, I.V.; Orlov, Y.D.; Pimerzin, A.A. Webbing a network of reliable thermochemistry around lignin building blocks: Tri-methoxy-benzenes. *RSC Adv.* 2021, 11, 10727–10737. [CrossRef]

41. Verevkin, S.P.; Andreeva, I.V.; Zherikova, K.V.; Pimerzin, A.A. Prediction of thermodynamic properties: Centerpiece approach—How do we avoid confusion and get reliable results? *J. Therm. Anal. Calorim.* 2021, 1–10. [CrossRef]

42. Benson, S.W. *Thermochemical Kinetics: Methods for the Estimation of Thermochemical Data and Rate Parameters*; Wiley: New York, NY, USA, 1976; ISBN 0471067814.

43. Majer, V.V.; Svoboda, V.V.; Kehiaian, H.V. *Enthalpies of Vaporization of Organic Compounds: A Critical Review and Data Compilation*; Blackwell Scientific Publications: Oxford, UK, 1985; ISBN 0632015292.

44. Zaitsau, D.H.; Ludwig, R.; Verevkin, S.P. Determination of the dispersion forces in the gas phase structures of ionic liquids using exclusively thermodynamic methods. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2021, 23, 7398–7406. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

45. Zaitsau, D.H.; Emel’yanenko, V.N.; Stange, P.; Verevkin, S.P.; Ludwig, R. Dissecting the vaporization enthalpies of ionic liquids by exclusively experimental methods: Coulomb interaction, hydrogen bonding, and dispersion forces. *Angew. Chemie Int. Ed.* 2019, 58, 8589–8592. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

46. Zaitsau, D.H.; Emel’yanenko, V.N.; Stange, P.; Schick, C.; Verevkin, S.P.; Ludwig, R. Dispersion and hydrogen bonding rule: Why the vaporization enthalpies of aprotic ionic liquids are significantly larger than those of protic ionic liquids. *Angew. Chemie Int. Ed.* 2016, 55, 11682–11686. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

47. Fumino, K.; Wulf, A.; Verevkin, S.P.; Heintz, A.; Ludwig, R. Estimating enthalpies of vaporization of imidazolium-based ionic liquids from far-infrared measurements. *ChemPhysChem* 2010, 11, 1623–1626. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

48. Ludwig, R. Thermodynamic properties of ionic liquids—A cluster approach. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2008, 10, 4333–4339. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

49. Sauerbrey, G. Verwendung von Schwingquarzen zur Wägung dünner Schichten und zur Mikrowägung. *Z. Phys.* 1959, 155, 206–222. [CrossRef]

50. Zaitsau, D.H.; Yermalayeu, A.V.; Emel’yanenko, V.N.; Butler, S.; Schubert, T.; Verevkin, S.P. Thermodynamics of imidazolium-based ionic liquids containing PF6 anions. *J. Phys. Chem. B* 2016, 120, 7949–7957. [CrossRef]

51. Dzida, M.; Musiał, M.; Zorębski, E.; Zorębski, M.; Jacquemin, J.; Goodrich, P.; Wojnarowska, Z.; Paluch, M. Comparative study of effect of alkyl chain length on thermophysical characteristics of five N-alkylpyridinium bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)imides with selected imidazolium-based ionic liquids. *J. Mol. Liq.* 2019, 278, 401–412. [CrossRef]

52. Rocha, M.A.A.; Vilas, M.; Rodrigues, A.S.M.C.; Tojo, E.; Santos, L.M.N.B.F. Physicochemical properties of 2-alkyl-1-ethylypyridinium based ionic liquids. *Fluid Phase Equilib.* 2016, 428, 112–120. [CrossRef]

53. Heintz, A.; Kulikov, D.V.; Verevkin, S.P. Thermodynamic Properties of Mixtures Containing Ionic Liquids. 1. Activity Coefficients at Infinite Dilution of Alkanes, Alkenes, and Alkylbenzenes in 4-Methyl-n-butylpyridinium Tetrafluoroborate Using Gas–Liquid Chromatography. *J. Chem. Eng. Data* 2001, 46, 1526–1529. [CrossRef]

54. Hansen, C.M. 50 Years with solubility parameters—past and future. *Prog. Org. Coat.* 2004, 51, 77–84. [CrossRef]

55. Yoo, B.; Afzal, W.; Prausnitz, J.M. Solubility parameters for nine ionic liquids. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* 2012, 51, 9913–9917. [CrossRef]