Repression of RNA Polymerase II Transcription by a Drosophila Oligopeptide
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Abstract

Background: Germline progenitors resist signals that promote differentiation into somatic cells. This occurs through the transient repression in primordial germ cells of RNA polymerase II, specifically by disrupting Ser2 phosphorylation on its C-terminal domain.

Methodology/Principal Findings: Here we show that contrary to expectation the Drosophila polar granule component (pgc) gene functions as a protein rather than a non-coding RNA. Surprisingly, pgc encodes a 71-residue, dimeric, alphahelical oligopeptide repressor. In vivo data show that Pgc ablates Ser2 phosphorylation of the RNA polymerase II C-terminal domain and completely suppresses early zygotic transcription in the soma.

Conclusions/Significance: We thus identify pgc as a novel oligopeptide that readily inhibits gene expression. Germ cell repression of transcription in Drosophila is thus catalyzed by a small inhibitor protein.

Introduction

Germline progenitor cells resist signals that promote differentiation into somatic cells during development, thus maintaining their cell fate. In the fruit fly Drosophila and in many other species, this occurs through the transient repression of RNA polymerase II (Pol II) mediated transcription in primordial germ cells [1; 2]. Specifically, this occurs by disrupting the phosphorylation of Ser2 in the C-terminal domain (CTD) of Pol II, a post-translational modification that promotes transcriptional elongation of the polymerase. A screen in Drosophila melanogaster identified polar granule component (pgc) as the gene required for Pol II inhibition and germ cell establishment [3]. pgc encodes two small ORFs that diverge within the D. sophophora subgenus and have no obvious orthologues outside of the genus. These facts, as well as an ORF1 polar granule component (D. sophophora), diverge within the subgenus and have no obvious orthologues outside of the genus. This occurs through the transient repression in primordial germ cells of RNA polymerase II, specifically by disrupting Ser2 phosphorylation on its C-terminal domain.

In a bioinformatic search for novel, small proteins that may be involved as cofactors in transcription-related processes, we noted that pgc ORF1 and ORF2 could encode two 71-residue and 75-residue oligopeptides (Figure 1A) with significant predicted alphahelical structure (Figure 1B). We therefore tested whether these two ORFs may encode folded proteins and directly assessed whether Pgc protein may be responsible for the known repressive roles of the Drosophila pgc gene. Transfection assays clearly reveal the ability of pgc ORF1 to repress transcription. Further, microinjection of a folded 71 amino acid ORF1 oligopeptide readily inhibits zygotic transcription, establishing Pgc protein as a general transcriptional repressor protein.

Results and Discussion

pgc ORF1 encodes a small, alpha-helical protein

To test whether pgc ORFs encode folded proteins, we recombinantly expressed and purified the two short ORFs encoded by Drosophila pgc gene in E. coli as [His]6-tagged fusion proteins. While ORF2 is insoluble, Pgc ORF1 can be refolded and migrates as an estimated monomer and dimer fraction on a size-exclusion chromatography column (Figure 1C,D). Furthermore, far-UV CD assays reveal alpha-helical structure in both monomer and dimer Pgc fractions (Figure 1E). Dimeric Pgc ORF1 contains a higher helical content, as seen by the lower ellipticity at 222 nm wavelength (dimeric Pgc exhibits a far-UV CD spectrum consistent with ~20% α-helix and ~25% β-strand content). Thus Pgc may exist in a dynamic equilibrium between monomer-dimer species. Consistent with what is often seen for very small proteins, our data suggest that Pgc ORF1 dimerization may stabilize the protein’s fold.

The Pgc oligopeptide represses Pol II Ser2 phosphorylation

To test whether a short DNA construct encoding Pgc ORF1 (but not the remainder of the pgc sequence) is able to reduce nuclear Ser2 CTD phosphorylation, we transfected Drosophila Kc cells with V5-[His]6-tagged pgc ORF1 and ORF2. pgc ORF1 represses Ser2 CTD phosphorylation (Figure 2), while pgc ORF2
does not change the Ser2 phosphorylation state of Pol II. The loss of CTD Ser2 phosphorylation suggests Pgc ORF1 directly or indirectly functions by repressing normal Pol II function.

In order to directly test whether Pgc protein, rather than its RNA message, is responsible for the decrease in CTD Ser2 phosphorylation in vivo, we micro-injected the anterior pole of stage 3–4
Drosophila embryos with folded Pgc. Remarkably, recombinant Pgc suppresses Ser2 CTD phosphorylation around the injection site (Figure 3A–H), but does not alter normal levels of CTD phosphorylation at the posterior pole. Hence, Pgc protein can lower Ser2 CTD phosphorylation levels in somatic embryonic nuclei.

Pgc protein silences zygotic transcription in the soma

To test whether this inhibitory effect on Pol II phosphorylation also alters the transcription of early zygotic genes, as would be predicted for such a dramatic change in CTD Ser2 phosphorylation, we probed Pgc-injected embryos for the presence of two early transcripts [4]. CG3502, whose expression starts after the 11th cleavage division before cellularization, and serpent, whose expression in the anterior pole starts at cellularization following the 13th cleavage division. Injection of recombinant Pgc into the anterior pole fully represses the expression of CG3502 (Figure 4). Similarly, serpent mRNA is not detected near the injection site, while posterior pole serpent RNA accumulates normally (Figure 5). These two assays show that Pgc protein can account for the known biological roles of the Drosophila pgc gene. In summary, our data identify a novel, short Drosophila oligopeptide protein that can efficiently repress zygotic Pol II transcription in the somatic nuclei of the early embryo. This suggests that pgc encodes a folded oligopeptide which renders primordial germ cells insensitive toward somatic differentiation signals by lowering Ser2 CTD phosphorylation and hence blocking Pol II-mediated transcription.

A recent publication by Hanyu-Nakamura and colleagues complements our oligopeptide-focused analysis through of a range of genetic experiments [5]. Further, their studies provide a molecular hint on the direct or indirect target of Pgc’s repressive action. Specifically, they identify the Ser2 CTD kinase Cdk9, a subunit of the positive elongation factor P-TEFb, as the likely biological target of Pgc function [5]. Pgc fractionates with Cdk9 in immunoprecipitation assays and affects Cdk9 recruitment to polytene chromosomes, but does not appear to directly inhibit P-TEFb CTD kinase activity in vitro [5]. This suggests that Pgc may sequester Cdk9 activity away from active promoters. It is currently not known whether Pgc can interact with Cdk9 directly. Future studies will address the exact mechanism of how Pgc leads to transcriptional inhibition through repression of Pol II CTD Ser2 phosphorylation. It will be interesting, for example, to test whether Pgc alters the ability of the Pol II CTD to interact with transcriptional elongation and other factors, such as the RNA processing machinery. High-resolution structural analysis of Pgc monomers and dimers, for example, should provide important clues about the protein’s molecular form and function, and improve the chances of identifying a conserved molecular structure capable of inhibiting Pol II in species beyond Drosophila.

Our results show that Pgc is an independently-folded oligopeptide protein which reduces gene expression by affecting Pol II CTD phosphorylation. There are other examples of small, oligopeptide proteins and peptide motifs that regulate gene expression, notably in the RNAi pathway [6–8]. Our study identifies a small, 71-residue germ-cell oligopeptide that can critically regulate gene expression at one of the earliest steps on the pathway from gene to protein by suppressing the activity of a cofactor that facilitates transcription. The ability of folded Pgc to inhibit zygotic transcription upon microinjection suggests it is able to dynamically associate with (or sequester) cellular, nuclear target proteins, including the multisubunit transcriptional co-activator P-TEFb, and lead to a rapid and likely reversible repression of RNA Pol II Ser2 CTD phosphorylation.

Materials and Methods

Secondary structure predictions

ORF1 and ORF2 of Drosophila pgc were analyzed using a combination of secondary structure prediction programmes, including PredictProtein (www.predictprotein.org), IUPred (iupred.enzim.hu), GlobPlot 2 (globplot.embl.de) and Agadir [9].

Cloning

pgc ORF1 and ORF2 were PCR-amplified from BDGP clone RE14873 using Phusion DNA polymerase (Finzymes). For
bacterial expression of N-terminal (His)\textsubscript{6}-tagged proteins, Pgc was cloned into pETM-11 (a pET-24 derivative) using NcoI and NotI.

For inducible expression in \textit{Drosophila} cell culture, ORF1 and ORF2 were cloned into pMT-V5-His (Invitrogen) using EcoRI and NotI. The resulting Pgc proteins are V5 and His-tagged on the C-terminus. Constructs were verified by DNA sequencing.

**Figure 3. Microinjection of recombinant Pgc protein strongly reduces CTD Ser2 phosphorylation in \textit{Drosophila} embryonic nuclei.**
Pgc was injected (arrows) into the anterior region of stage 3–4 \textit{Drosophila} embryos. Representative images of embryos fixed 30 minutes after the injection of Pgc protein (A–H) or buffer only (I–L). DNA detected by Hoechst stain (purple, A, C, D, G, I, K) and CTD phospho-Ser2 (green, B, E, F, H, J, L). Ser2 phosphorylation strongly decreases at the site of injection (arrow, B). In comparison, somatic cell nuclei in the posterior area of the same embryo show no changes in Ser2 phosphorylation (H). Ser2 phosphorylation is gradually lost when moving away from the site of injection (E, F, arrow, left, denotes anterior region). Ser2 phosphorylation does not decrease with control injections (J) when compared to the uninjected area (L).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0002506.g003
Drosophila cell culture and transfections

Drosophila Kc cells were grown at 25°C in Schneider’s Drosophila Medium (Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (Invitrogen), as well as penicillin and streptomycin. For the transfection of Kc cells with the pMT-Pgc-V5His constructs, we used Effectene (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. We induced Pgc expression with 70 μM CuSO4 (Sigma) 16 hours after transfection and fixed the cells 24 hours after induction.

Pgc protein expression and purification

His-Pgc protein was expressed in E. coli Rosetta (Novagen) cells at 37°C for 4 hours and purified from inclusion bodies on a Ni2+-NTA column (GE Life Sciences) under denaturing conditions. The bacterial pellet was resuspended and lysed in a buffer containing 50 mM Tris pH 8.0, 10 mM MgCl2, 1 mM DTT, 1 mM PMSF and protease inhibitors. The resuspended cells were centrifuged in a SS34 rotor at 9100 rpm at 4°C for 1 hour. The pellet was resuspended in a buffer containing 6 M guanidine-HCl, 50 mM Tris pH 8.0, 250 mM NaCl and extracted for 12–14 hours at 4°C. The suspension was centrifuged in a SS34 rotor at 18000 rpm at 4°C for 2 hours and the supernatant was filtered through 5 μm and 0.22 μm filters. The extract was diluted 1:10 in equilibration buffer (6 M Urea, 50 mM Tris pH 8.0, 250 mM NaCl, 15 mM Imidazole, 5 mM β-mercaptoethanol) and loaded onto a HisTrap HP (GE Healthcare) column. After loading, the resin was washed with 8 column volumes of equilibration buffer. The bound protein was eluted with equilibration buffer containing 500 mM Imidazole. The eluate was diluted to 1 mg/ml in equilibration buffer and dialyzed in 1 L of a buffer containing 5 M Urea, 5% glycerol, 250 mM NaCl, 50 mM Na2HPO4/NaH2PO4 pH 8.0, 5 mM DTT and 25 mM Tris pH 8.0 at 4°C using Spectra/Por (Spectrum) dialysis membranes with a cut off of 3.5 kDa. After 1 hour of dialysis, the protein was dialyzed overnight into PBS containing 5% glycerol. Recombinant Pgc is >99% nucleic-acid free, as determined by absorbance measurements at 260/280 nm wavelength. Pgc protein was stored at 4°C.

The biological activity of Pgc was measured by microinjecting embryos with refolded Pgc and determining the inhibition of Ser2 phosphorylation in the CTD of Pol II.

Drosophila embryo injections

For the injection of Drosophila embryos with bacterially purified Pgc (200 μM) in PBS containing 5% glycerol, 0–1 hour old embryos were collected from white1118 flies kept on apple juice agar at 19°C and 65–70% humidity. Each embryo was injected with 100–200 picolitre of Pgc. For control experiments, we injected the same volume of dialysis buffer (PBS). The eggs were aged at 19°C and 65–70% humidity until fixation and prepared for injection using standard protocols. Before injection, they were dechorio-
nated in 50% bleach, desiccated and covered with 10S Voltalef oil (Atochem). For injections, we used Femtotips I microinjection needles (Eppendorf) on an Eppendorf microinjector. Injected embryos were aged further before fixation. To analyze the effect of refolded Pgc on CTD Ser 2 phosphorylation, we injected 2–3 hour old embryos and aged them 30 minutes after injection until cellularization was observed in the majority of the injected embryos. For RNA in-situ hybridizations, embryos were aged 1 hour following Pgc injection. Pre-cellularization embryos were staged by counting Hoechst-stained nuclei, while later stages were determined by measuring the average length of elongating nuclei (from 3–4 to 10–15 μm).

Immunostainings

We used standard protocols to perform immunostainings [10]. Kc cells were fixed in 4% formaldehyde for 10 minutes, washed in PBS-Tween 20 (0.05%) and blocked in 5% milk in PBS-Tween 20 for 1 hour. Drosophila embryos were fixed by rotating them in 1 part heptane (Sigma):1 part 4% formaldehyde for 10 minutes and devitellinized in 1 part heptane:1 part methanol (Sigma) with vigorous shaking for 1 minute. Devitellinized embryos were washed in methanol, rehydrated in PBS-Tween 20 (0.5%) and blocked in 5% milk in PBS-Tween 20 for 1 hour. We incubated the fixed cells and embryos with the primary antibodies at 4°C overnight and the secondary antibodies at room temperature for 3 hours. We used mouse monoclonal anti-phospho-Serine2 CTD (H5) antibody (Covance; at 1:800 dilution) and rabbit polyclonal anti-V5 antibody (Abcam at 1:1000 dilution). Hoechst stain labelled DNA. The samples were mounted using VectaShield mounting medium.

RNA in situ hybridizations of somatic transcripts

Fluorescent in situ hybridizations was performed as described by the Krause laboratory (http://www.utoronto.ca/krause/). DIG-labeled RNA probes were made through PCR amplification of BDGP DGC clones LD34564 and LD16058 using T7, T3 or SP6 primers (Invitrogen) and subsequent in vitro transcription using T7, T3 or SP6 RNA polymerases (Fermentas) and DIG RNA-labelling mix (Roche). We used biotin-conjugated mouse monoclonal anti-DIG antibody (Jackson ImmunoResearch) and Alexa Fluor 488 TSA Kit T-20932 (Invitrogen) for signal amplification. All imaging with a Leica TCS SP2 AOBS (Leica Microsystems) confocal microscope.
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