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Abstract
Let $S$ be a set of $n$ points in real four-dimensional space, no four coplanar and spanning the whole space. We prove that if the number of solids incident with exactly four points of $S$ is less than $Kn^3$ for some $K = o(n^{1/7})$ then, for $n$ sufficiently large, all but at most $O(K)$ points of $S$ are contained in the intersection of five linearly independent quadrics. Conversely, we prove that there are finite subgroups of size $n$ of an elliptic curve that span less than $n^3/6$ solids containing exactly four points of $S$.
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1 Introduction

This work is based on the articles of Green and Tao [7], and the first author [1]. Green and Tao proved that if $S$ is a set of $n$ points in the real plane with the property that the number of lines incident with exactly two points of $S$ is less than $Kn$, where $K < c(\log \log n)^4$ for some constant $c$ and $n$ sufficiently large, then all but at most $O(K)$ points of $S$ are contained in a (possibly degenerate) cubic curve. The lines incident with exactly two points of $S$ are called ordinary lines. In fact, Green and Tao gave a complete classification of the sets of $n$ points $S$ spanning less...
than \( cn(\log \log n)^c \) ordinary lines. Their paper inspired not only [1], but also articles concerning ordinary circles [9] and ordinary conics [4,5].

The first author proved in [1] that if \( S \) is a set of \( n \) points in real three-dimensional space with the property that no three points are collinear, \( S \) spans the whole space, and \( S \) spans at most \( Kn^2 \) planes incident with exactly three points of \( S \), where \( K = o(n^{1/7}) \) and \( n \) is sufficiently large, then all but at most \( O(K) \) points of \( S \) are contained in the intersection of two quadrics. The planes incident with exactly three points of \( S \) are called ordinary planes.

Suppose that \( S \) is a set of \( n \) points in four-dimensional space containing no four co-planar points and spanning the whole space. We say that a hyperplane, a three-dimensional subspace, is an ordinary solid, if it is incident with exactly four points of \( S \). In this article we will prove that if \( S \) spans at most \( Kn^3 \) ordinary solids, where \( K = o(n^{1/7}) \) and \( n \) is sufficiently large, then all but at most \( O(K) \) points of \( S \) are contained in the intersection of five linearly independent quadrics. We will, for the most part, refer to an ordinary solid as an ordinary hyperplane, to ease comparison with results in other dimensions. In the next section we shall provide examples of sets of \( n \) points in four-dimensional real space which span less than \( n^3/6 \) ordinary solids. This implies that there are examples attaining the bound for \( K = 1/6 \).

Let \( \mathbb{P}^d(\mathbb{R}) \) denote the \( d \)-dimensional projective space over \( \mathbb{R} \). The notation

\[(x_1 : x_2 : \ldots : x_{d+1})\]

stands for the projective point with vector representative \((x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{d+1})\).

2 Elliptic Curves

Let \( E \) be the elliptic curve in the plane defined as the zeros of the polynomial

\[X_3^2X_1 - X_2^3 - aX_2X_1^2 - bX_1^3.\]

The points on this elliptic curve are

\[\{(1 : x : y) \mid y^2 = x^3 + ax + b\} \cup \{O\},\]

where \( O \) is the point \((0 : 0 : 1) \). One can define a binary operation \( \oplus \) on \( E \) in such a way that \((E, \oplus)\) is an abelian group with identity element \( O \), see, for example, Silverman [11].

Explicitly this operation is defined in the following way. A divisor is a finite integer sum of points of \( E \). Suppose \( g \) and \( h \) are non-zero homogenous polynomials of the same degree in \( \mathbb{R}[X_1, X_2, X_3]/(X_3^2X_1 - X_2^3 - aX_2X_1^2 - bX_1^3) \). The divisor \((g/h)\) of \( g/h \) is the sum of the points of \( E \) (over \( \mathbb{C} \)) that are zeros of \( g \) minus the sum of points of \( E \) that are zeros of \( h \), where intersections are counted with multiplicity. On the set of divisors we define an equivalence relation where \( D \cong D' \) if and only if

\[D = D' + (g/h),\]
for some \(g\) and \(h\). We define the sum \(P \oplus Q\) as the point \(T\) of \(\mathcal{E}\) for which

\[
P - O + Q - O \cong T - O.
\]

We can prove that this is well defined. Let \(h\) be the linear form whose kernel is the line joining \(P\) and \(Q\). There is a third point \(R\) on \(\mathcal{E}\) (counting with multiplicity) on the line joining \(P\) and \(Q\). Let \(g\) be the linear form whose kernel is the line joining \(R\) and \(O\). The point \(T\) is the third point on this line. Observe that

\[
(g/h) = T + R + O - (P + Q + R) = T + O - P - Q.
\]

Thus, we have proved that

\[
P - O + Q - O + (g/h) = T - O,
\]

so \(\oplus\) is well defined. Moreover, this binary operation has the property that \(P \oplus Q \oplus R = O\) if and only if \(P\), \(Q\), and \(R\) are collinear.

Let \(\phi\) denote the morphism \(\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^4(\mathbb{R})\) defined by

\[
\phi((1 : x : y)) = (1 : x : y : x^2 : xy)
\]

and \(\phi(O) = (0 : 0 : 0 : 0 : 1)\). We can define a binary operation \(\circ\) on \(\phi(\mathcal{E})\) by

\[
\phi(P) \circ \phi(Q) = \phi(P \oplus Q).
\]

**Lemma 2.1** For distinct points \(P\), \(Q\), \(R\), and \(S\), the subspace spanned by \(\phi(P)\), \(\phi(Q)\), \(\phi(R)\), \(\phi(S)\) is a solid.

**Proof** Suppose that none of the points is the point \(O\). We need to show that the rank of the matrix

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & x_1 & y_1 & x_1^2 & x_1 y_1 \\
1 & x_2 & y_2 & x_2^2 & x_2 y_2 \\
1 & x_3 & y_3 & x_3^2 & x_3 y_3 \\
1 & x_4 & y_4 & x_4^2 & x_4 y_4
\end{pmatrix}
\]

is four. If not, then there are \(a, b, c, d, e, f\) such that

\[
y_i = a + b x_i + c x_i^2 \quad \text{and} \quad xy_i = d + e x_i + f x_i^2
\]

for all \(i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}\). Eliminating \(y\) from these equations we obtain a polynomial equation of degree 3 satisfied by each \(x_i, i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}\). Thus,

\[
aX + bX^2 + cX^3 = d + eX + fX^2,
\]

\(\square\) Springer
which implies $a = e$, $b = f$, and $d = c = 0$. This then implies that $Y = a + bX$ is a line incident with all four points $P$, $Q$, $R$, and $S$, contradicting the fact that a line is incident with at most three points of an elliptic curve.

Suppose that one of the points is the point $O$ which, without loss of generality, we can assume to be the point $P$. It is clear that the matrix

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & x_2 & y_2 & x_2^2 & x_2y_2 \\
1 & x_3 & y_3 & x_3^2 & x_3y_3 \\
1 & x_4 & y_4 & x_4^2 & x_4y_4 \\
\end{pmatrix}
$$

has rank four, so the subspace spanned by $\phi(P)$, $\phi(Q)$, $\phi(R)$, and $\phi(S)$ is a solid.

**Lemma 2.2** The group $(\phi(\mathcal{E}), \circ)$ is isomorphic to $(\mathcal{E}, \oplus)$. The identity element of $(\phi(\mathcal{E}), \oplus)$ is $\phi(O)$ and the binary operation has the property that

$$
\phi(P) \circ \phi(Q) \circ \phi(R) \circ \phi(S) \circ \phi(T) = \phi(O)
$$

if and only if $\phi(P)$, $\phi(Q)$, $\phi(R)$, $\phi(S)$, and $\phi(T)$ are contained in the same solid (hyperplane) of $\mathbb{P}^4(\mathbb{R})$.

**Proof (adapted from [3, Prop. 3])** Suppose that none of the points is the point $O$, so that $\phi(P)$, $\phi(Q)$, $\phi(R)$, and $\phi(S)$ are the points

$$
(1 : x_i : y_i : x_i^2 : x_i y_i),
$$

$i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, for some $x_i$ and $y_i$. By Lemma 2.1, these four points span a solid. If there is another point $(1 : x : y : x^2 : xy)$ on this solid, then

$$
\begin{vmatrix}
1 & x & y & x^2 & xy \\
1 & x_1 & y_1 & x_1^2 & x_1y_1 \\
1 & x_2 & y_2 & x_2^2 & x_2y_2 \\
1 & x_3 & y_3 & x_3^2 & x_3y_3 \\
1 & x_4 & y_4 & x_4^2 & x_4y_4 \\
\end{vmatrix} = 0.
$$

This gives

$$
y(x + c_3) = c_2x^2 + c_1x + c_0
$$

for some $c_0$, $c_1$, $c_2$, $c_3$. If this point is also a point of $\mathcal{E}$ then

$$
y^2 = x^3 + ax + b.
$$

Eliminating $y$ from these two equations we get a polynomial of degree 5 in $x$. There are four real solutions $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4$, and the fifth real solution gives us the point $\phi(T)$.
Suppose that $\phi(P), \phi(Q), \phi(R), \phi(S),$ and $\phi(T)$ are contained in the same solid (hyperplane) of $\mathbb{P}^4(\mathbb{R})$. Then there is a quadratic form $g = g(X_1, X_2, X_3)$ defining a conic containing $P, Q, R, S, \text{ and } O$. Since the above determinant is zero, this conic necessarily contains $T$. Let $h$ be the linear form whose kernel is the hyperplane $X_1 = 0$. Then the divisor

$$\left(\frac{g}{h^2}\right) = P + Q + R + S + T + O - 6O$$

so

$$P - O + Q - O + R - O + S - O + T - O$$

is zero in the quotient of the divisors, which implies

$$P \oplus Q \oplus R \oplus S \oplus T = O,$$

and hence (1) holds. A similar argument works in the case that one of the points is the point $O$.

To prove the converse, suppose we have (1). Let $g = g(X_1, X_2, X_3)$ be the quadratic form defining the conic containing $P, Q, R, S, O$ and let $h$ be the linear form whose kernel is the hyperplane $X_1 = 0$. Then

$$\left(\frac{g}{h^2}\right) = P + Q + R + S + U + O - 6O$$

for some $U$ in the intersection of the conic and $\mathcal{E}$. Since, as divisors

$$P + Q + R + S + T \cong 5O,$$

we conclude that $T \cong U$. Hence, $T$ is on the conic defined by $g$. Coordinatising the points $\phi(P), \phi(Q), \phi(R), \phi(S),$ and $\phi(T)$ as

$$(1 : x_i : y_i : x_i^2 : x_i y_i),$$

for $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$, this implies that

$$\begin{pmatrix}
1 & x_1 & y_1 & x_1^2 & x_1 y_1 \\
1 & x_2 & y_2 & x_2^2 & x_2 y_2 \\
1 & x_3 & y_3 & x_3^2 & x_3 y_3 \\
1 & x_4 & y_4 & x_4^2 & x_4 y_4 \\
1 & x_5 & y_5 & x_5^2 & x_5 y_5 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}$$

has rank 5. Hence, the top left $5 \times 5$ submatrix has rank 4, which implies that $\phi(P), \phi(Q), \phi(R), \phi(S),$ and $\phi(T)$ are contained in the same solid of $\mathbb{P}^4(\mathbb{R})$. \hfill \Box

\textcopyright Springer
Suppose \( S \) is a set of \( n \geq 6 \) points selected as a subgroup of \( (\mathcal{E}, \oplus) \). By Lemma 2.1, any four points of \( \phi(\mathcal{S}) \) span a solid and, by Lemma 2.2, \( \phi(\mathcal{S}) \) spans \( \mathbb{P}^4(\mathbb{R}) \). The number of ordinary solids spanned by \( \phi(\mathcal{S}) \) will be the number of solutions to

\[
2P \oplus Q \oplus R \oplus S = 0,
\]

where \( P, Q, R, \) and \( S \) are distinct points of \( \mathcal{S} \). This, we can bound from above by choosing \( P \) and then choosing a 2-subset \( \{Q, R\} \) of points of \( \mathcal{S} \setminus \{P\} \). Then \( \mathcal{S} \) spans at most

\[
\frac{n(n-1)(n-2)}{2}
\]

ordinary solids. If \( \mathcal{S} \) is 2-torsion free then we can choose \( \{Q, R, S\} \) and bound the number of ordinary solids by

\[
\frac{n(n-1)(n-2)}{6}. \tag{2}
\]

Therefore, if the group of the elliptic curve has a 2-torsion free subgroup of size \( n \) then we can construct a set \( \mathcal{S} \) of points in \( \mathbb{P}^4(\mathbb{R}) \) with the property that no four points of \( \mathcal{S} \) are co-planar, the set \( \mathcal{S} \) spans the whole space, and spans at most (2) ordinary solids.

The set of points of \( \phi(\mathcal{E}) \) is contained in the following five linearly independent quadrics:

\[
\begin{align*}
X_4X_1 - X_2^2, & \quad X_2X_3 - X_5X_1, & \quad X_3X_4 - X_5X_2, \\
X_3^2 - X_2X_4 - aX_1X_2 - bX_1^2, & \quad X_3X_5 - X_4^2 - aX_4X_1 - bX_1X_2.
\end{align*}
\]

Our aim will be to prove a converse of this, that if the number of ordinary solids spanned by \( \mathcal{S} \) is small then all but a few points of \( \mathcal{S} \) are contained in the intersection of five linearly independent quadrics.

Apart from the elliptic curve example, we also have a trivial example which spans few ordinary solids. If we take \( \mathcal{S} \) to be the set of \( n - 1 \) points on a normal rational curve in a hyperplane not incident with \( P \), then \( \mathcal{S} \) spans at most

\[
\frac{(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)}{6}
\]

ordinary solids.

### 3 The Graph Associated with a Set of Hyperplanes

Let \( \mathcal{S} \) be a set of \( n \) points in \( \mathbb{P}^4(\mathbb{R}) \) with the property that any four points of \( \mathcal{S} \) span a solid. We begin by defining the graph \( \Gamma = \Gamma(S) \) associated with \( \mathcal{S} \). In the dual space the points of \( \mathcal{S} \) are hyperplanes and we denote this set of hyperplanes by \( \mathcal{S}^* \). Let
$p^*$ denote the solid in the dual space, dual to the point $p$. Let $p, q, r, s$ be four points of $\mathcal{S}$. Since $p, q, r, s$ span a solid, in the dual space $p^* \cap q^* \cap r^* \cap s^*$ is a point. The point $p^* \cap q^* \cap r^* \cap s^*$ is defined to be a vertex of the graph. Observe that we do not rule out the possibility that there are more points of $\mathcal{S}$ whose dual is incident with this point. Indeed, with our additional hypothesis on $\mathcal{S}$ this will be the norm, rather than the exception. For each $p, q, r \in \mathcal{S}$, the edges of the graph $\Gamma$ are line segments on the line $p^* \cap q^* \cap r^*$ cut out by the vertices. For each $p, q \in \mathcal{S}$, the faces of the graph $\Gamma$ are the faces cut out by the edges in the plane $p^* \cap q^*$.

Following Green and Tao’s use of a triangular grid in $\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{R})$ in [7] and the first author’s generalisation to $\mathbb{P}^3(\mathbb{R})$ in [1], we further generalise these definitions to $\mathbb{P}^4(\mathbb{R})$. Let $I, J, K, L, M$ be discrete intervals in $\mathbb{Z}$. A 5-cell grid of dimension

$$|I| \times |J| \times |K| \times |L| \times |M|$$

is a collection of hyperplanes $(p^*_i)_{i \in I}, (q^*_j)_{j \in J}, (r^*_k)_{k \in K}, (s^*_l)_{l \in L}, (t^*_m)_{m \in M}$ in $\mathbb{P}^4(\mathbb{R})$ such that $p^*_i, q^*_j, r^*_k, s^*_l, t^*_m$ intersect in a point if and only if $i + j + k + l + m = 0$ and no other hyperplane of the grid passes through the point of intersection. Along with the notion of 5-cell grid, we introduce the notion of good/bad edges. In their paper, Green and Tao defined good and bad edges in order to characterise edges of the graph $\Gamma$ according to whether they contributed to the triangular grid structure of the graph or not.

According to Green and Tao [7], a good edge in the graph in $\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{R})$ was an edge that was the side of two triangular faces and whose end vertices were each of degree 6. A bad edge was simply any edge that was not good. In $\mathbb{P}^4(\mathbb{R})$ we will define a good edge in the following way. A good edge of the graph $\mathbb{P}^4(\mathbb{R})$ is any edge $e$ in $\Gamma$ such that any face that contains $e$ is a triangle and such that the end vertices of $e$ are each incident with exactly five hyperplanes of $S^*$. A bad edge is any edge of $\Gamma$ that is not good. To state this in the same way as Green and Tao we could say that the end vertices of a good edge have degree 20.

We say an edge of the graph $\Gamma$ is a rather good edge if it is a good edge and every edge coming from its end vertices is also a good edge. We will call an edge of $\Gamma$ slightly bad if it is not a rather good edge.

These two concepts are important, as their appearance comes naturally inside the 5-cell structure. The other implication is also true, that the structure of $\Gamma$ around a rather good edge is that of a 5-cell grid. This fact will be more useful to us, and we will prove it now.

**Lemma 3.1** The structure of $\Gamma$ around a rather good edge $e$ on the line $p^*_0 \cap q^*_0 \cap r^*_0$, cut on one end by $s^*_0$ and $r^*_0$ and on the other end by $s^*_1$ and $r^*_1$, is that of a 5-cell grid where the hyperplanes $p^*_i, q^*_j, t^*_k, s^*_l, r^*_m$ intersect in a point if and only if $i + j + k + l + m = 0$ for all $i, j, k \in \{-1, 0, 1\}, l \in \{0, 1\}$, and $m \in \{-1, 0\}$ unless (possibly) $\{i, j, k\} \subseteq \{-1, 1\}$.

**Proof** Since $e$ is a rather good edge, the edge along the line $p^*_0 \cap q^*_0 \cap r^*_0$, cut out by $p^*_0 \cap q^*_0 \cap r^*_0 \cap s^*_0$ and $p^*_0 \cap q^*_0 \cap r^*_0 \cap s^*_1$, is a good edge, so there is another point, $t^* \in \mathcal{S}$, such that $t^*_0$ is incident with the point $p^*_0 \cap q^*_0 \cap r^*_0 \cap s^*_1$. Similarly, the edge
Fig. 1 The double diamond structure around a rather good edge in the solid $t_0^*$. Each line should be read as intersect $t_0^*$, so, for example, the line $p_0^* \cap q_{-1}^*$ in the figure is the line $p_0^* \cap q_{-1}^* \cap t_0^*$.

along the line $p_0^* \cap q_{0}^* \cap r_{-1}^*$, cut out by $p_0^* \cap q_{0}^* \cap r_{-1}^* \cap s_0^*$ and $p_0^* \cap q_{0}^* \cap r_{-1}^* \cap s_0^*$, is a good edge, so there is another point, $t_1 \in \mathcal{S}$, such that $t_1^*$ is incident with the point $p_0^* \cap q_{0}^* \cap r_{-1}^* \cap s_0^*$.

By Ball [1, Lem. 4.2], in the solid $t_0^*$, the structure around the rather good edge $e$ is a double diamond, Fig. 1. By reading off the points in Fig. 1, we see that the hyperplanes $p_0^* \cap q_{0}^* \cap r_{-1}^* \cap s_0^*$, and $p_0^* \cap q_{0}^* \cap r_{-1}^* \cap s_0^*$, intersect in a point if and only if $i + j + k + l + m = 0$ for all $i, j, k \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$, $l \in \{0, 1\}$, and $m \in \{-1, 0\}$ unless $\{i, j, k\} \subseteq \{-1, 1\}$.

We have already mentioned the relationship between good and rather good edges and 5-cell grids. The objective of introducing the grids in the first place was the idea.
that sets of points spanning few ordinary hyperplanes should be rich in hyperplanes containing five points of \( \mathcal{S} \), and thus, should contain 5-cell grids. One of the first results we can prove indicates that this is the case is Lemma 3.2, which indicates there are few bad edges in the graph \( \Gamma \).

**Lemma 3.2** Let \( \mathcal{S} \) be a set of \( n \) points in \( \mathbb{P}^4(\mathbb{R}) \), not all in a hyperplane and such that any four points of \( \mathcal{S} \) span a hyperplane. If \( \mathcal{S} \) spans less than \( K n^3 \) ordinary hyperplanes then the graph \( \Gamma = \Gamma(\mathcal{S}) \) has at most \( 48K n^3 \) bad edges.

**Proof.** Let \( V \) and \( E \) be the set of vertices and edges of the graph \( \Gamma \), respectively. Let \( \pi \) and \( \pi' \) be two elements of \( \mathcal{S}^* \). As these are hyperplanes of \( \mathbb{P}^4(\mathbb{R}) \), the intersection \( \pi \cap \pi' \) is a plane.

Let us call \( V_{\pi,\pi'} \) the set of vertices of \( \Gamma \) that are in both \( \pi \) and \( \pi' \), and let us define in the same way the set \( E_{\pi,\pi'} \) of edges in the intersection. Let us also consider the set of faces \( F_{\pi,\pi'} \) in the plane \( \pi \cap \pi' \) defined by these vertices and edges. As \( \pi \cap \pi' \) is a projective plane, Euler’s formula is

\[
|V_{\pi,\pi'}| - |E_{\pi,\pi'}| + |F_{\pi,\pi'}| = 1. \tag{3}
\]

Let us call \( F \) the set of faces in the graph \( \Gamma \), which we will take as the union of the faces defined in the planes \( \pi \cap \pi' \) for all \( \pi, \pi' \in \mathcal{S}^* \), so

\[
F = \bigcup_{\pi,\pi' \in \mathcal{S}^*} F_{\pi,\pi'}. \tag{4}
\]

Now, taking the Euler’s formula (3) into account, if we sum for all the choices of \( \pi \cap \pi' \) in \( \mathcal{S}^* \) we end up with

\[
\sum_{i=4}^{n} \frac{i(i-1)}{2} v_i - 3|E| + |F| = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}, \tag{4}
\]

where the numbers \( v_i \) represents the number of vertices in \( \Gamma \) that are incident with exactly \( i \) hyperplanes of \( \mathcal{S}^* \). This formula follows since any face is contained in exactly one plane \( \pi \cap \pi' \), a line in \( \mathbb{P}^4(\mathbb{R}) \) is the intersection of three hyperplanes (thus, any edge of \( E \) is in exactly three planes \( \pi \cap \pi' \)), and a vertex that is incident with exactly \( i \) hyperplanes of \( \mathcal{S}^* \) will be contained in \( i(i-1)/2 \) planes \( \pi \cap \pi' \).

Since each edge is contained in exactly three planes \( \pi \cap \pi' \) and since in each of these planes it lies between two faces, we obtain the following equation by counting pairs \( (e, f) \) of edges and faces in two different ways,

\[
\# (e, f) = 6|E| = \sum_{j \geq 3} jf_j, \tag{5}
\]

where \( f_j \) denotes the number of faces in \( F \) with \( j \) edges.

Now we count pairs \( (v, e) \) of edges and vertices in two different ways. Note that for a vertex incident with exactly \( i \) hyperplanes there are \( i(i-1)(i-2)/6 \) lines (which
are intersection of three hyperplanes) incident with it. Therefore,

\[ 2|E| = \sum_{i \geq 4} 2 \cdot \frac{i(i-1)(i-2)}{6} v_i. \] (6)

Now we will use these equations and the fact that \( v_4 \leq Kn^3 \) (since \( v_4 \) represents the number of ordinary hyperplanes), to obtain the bound for the number of bad edges in \( \Gamma \). We can combine Euler’s formula (4) with the equations (5) and (6) to obtain the following:

\[ |F| - 3|E| + \sum_{i \geq 4} \frac{i(i-1)}{2} v_i = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}, \]

\[ 6|F| - 18|E| + 3 \sum_{i \geq 4} i(i-1)v_i = 3n(n-1), \]

\[ 6|F| - 2 \sum_{j \geq 3} jf_j - \sum_{i \geq 4} i(i-1)(i-2)v_i + 3 \sum_{i \geq 4} i(i-1)v_i = 3n(n-1), \]

\[ - 2 \sum_{j \geq 3} (j-3)f_j - \sum_{i \geq 4} i(i-1)(i-5)v_i = 3n(n-1), \]

\[ 12v_4 = 2 \sum_{j \geq 4} (j-3)f_j + \sum_{i \geq 6} i(i-1)(i-5)v_i + 3n(n-1). \] (7)

We can use this last equation (7) to obtain some bounds, since every summand on the right hand side is non-negative.

First we deduce that for faces

\[ \sum_{j \geq 4} (j-3)f_j \leq 6v_4 \leq 6Kn^3, \]

\[ \sum_{j \geq 4} f_j \leq \sum_{j \geq 4} (j-3)f_j \leq 6Kn^3, \]

\[ \sum_{j \geq 4}jf_j \leq 6Kn^3 + 18Kn^3 = 24Kn^3. \] (8)

This gives us a bound for the number of edges incident with a face that is not a triangle. Now, we look at the vertices:

\[ \sum_{i \geq 6} i(i-1)(i-5)v_i \leq 12v_4 \leq 12Kn^3, \]

\[ \sum_{i \geq 6} i(i-1)v_i \leq \sum_{i \geq 6} i(i-1)(i-5)v_i \leq 12Kn^3, \]

\[ \sum_{i \geq 6} i(i-1)(i-2)v_i \leq 12Kn^3 + 36Kn^3 = 48Kn^3. \]
From this last equation we can bound the number of edges incident with a vertex that is not incident with exactly five hyperplanes,

$$\sum_{i \neq 5} i(i - 1)(i - 2) v_i = 8v_4 + \sum_{i \geq 6} i(i - 1)(i - 2) v_i \leq 8Kn^3 + \frac{48Kn^3}{3} = 24Kn^3.$$  

(9)

Now, an edge can be bad if either it is contained in some non-triangular face or if one of its end vertices is not incident with exactly five hyperplanes (i.e., the vertex has degree different than 20). Therefore, if we join the two equations (8) and (9), we obtain the following bound:

$$\# \text{ Bad Edges} \leq 24Kn^3 + 48Kn^3 = 48Kn^3.$$

Lemma 3.3 \textit{The number of slightly bad edges is at most $1872Kn^3$.}

**Proof.** This is quickly proved by counting. A slightly bad edge is either a bad edge itself or a good edge that is incident with a bad edge. We know from Lemma 3.2 that the number of bad edges in $\Gamma$ is at most $48Kn^3$. On the other hand, we know that the end vertices of a good edge have degree 20 (since they are incident to five hyperplanes of $\mathcal{P}^n$). Thus, we can bound the number of slightly bad edges by the following rough estimation:

$$\# \text{ Slightly Bad Edges} \leq 48Kn^3 + 2 \cdot (20 - 1) \cdot 48Kn^3 = 1872Kn^3.$$

4 Intersection of Five Quadrics

We have defined in the previous section the concepts of 5-cell grid and good/bad edges and described how they relate to our problem. In keeping with the work of Green and Tao [7], we now study if sets of points that dualise into 5-cell grids are contained in a certain variety and, in that case, deduce what is the nature of that variety.

The answer to that question is that, indeed, sets of points dualising in $\mathbb{P}^4(\mathbb{R})$ into 5-cell grids are contained in the intersection of five quadrics. In this section we will prove this, along with some other results that we will need later about these varieties.

We say that a quadric is degenerate at a point $p$, if for every point $x$ on the quadric the entire line joining $p$ and $x$ is contained in the quadric. Equivalently, if $p$ is the point $(1:0:0:0:0)$ with respect to some basis, the quadratic form defining the quadric has no $X_1$ term.

Before studying the characteristics of the variety, we prove that the sets of points forming the 5-cell grid are contained in the intersection of five quadrics. For this we will need two lemmas, the first of which is the following.

Lemma 4.1 \textit{Let $S = \{p_0, p_1, q_0, q_1, r_{-1}, r_0, s_{-1}, s_0, t_{-1}, t_0, t_1\}$ be eleven points of $\mathbb{P}^4(\mathbb{R})$ such that $p_i, q_j, r_k, s_l, t_m$ are contained in a hyperplane if and only if $i + j + k + l + m = 0$. Then there are five linearly independent quadrics that contain the}
eleven points of $S$ and six linearly independent quadrics that contain the ten points of $S \setminus \{t_0\}$.

**Proof** We can choose a basis so that

\[
\begin{align*}
p_0 &= (1 : 0 : 0 : 0 : 0), & p_1 &= (a_1 : a_2 : a_3 : a_4 : a_5), & q_0 &= (0 : 1 : 0 : 0 : 0), \\
q_1 &= (b_1 : b_2 : b_3 : b_4 : b_5), & r_{-1} &= (0 : 0 : 1 : 0 : 0), & r_0 &= (c_1 : c_2 : c_3 : c_4 : c_5), \\
s_{-1} &= (0 : 0 : 0 : 1 : 0), & s_0 &= (d_1 : d_2 : d_3 : d_4 : d_5), & t_0 &= (0 : 0 : 0 : 0 : 1).
\end{align*}
\]

Each of the following subsets of five points are contained in a hyperplane:

\[
\begin{align*}
\{p_0, q_0, r_0, s_0, t_0\}, & \quad \{p_1, q_0, r_{-1}, s_0, t_0\}, & \{p_1, q_0, r_0, s_{-1}, t_0\}, \\
\{p_1, q_1, r_{-1}, s_{-1}, t_0\}, & \quad \{p_0, q_1, r_0, s_{-1}, t_0\}, & \{p_0, q_1, r_{-1}, s_0, t_0\},
\end{align*}
\]

which implies that

\[
\begin{align*}
d_4c_3 &= c_4d_3, & b_1a_2 &= a_1b_2, & a_4d_1 &= a_1d_4, \\
b_3c_2 &= c_3b_2, & a_3c_1 &= c_3a_1, & d_4b_2 &= b_4d_2.
\end{align*}
\]

Since $t_1$ is the intersection of the hyperplanes spanned by the following four sets of points,

\[
\begin{align*}
\{p_1, q_0, r_{-1}, s_{-1}\}, & \quad \{p_0, q_0, r_0, s_{-1}\}, & \{p_0, q_0, r_{-1}, s_0\}, & \{p_0, q_1, r_{-1}, s_{-1}\},
\end{align*}
\]

we can calculate the coordinates of $t_1$. Likewise, we can calculate the coordinates of the points $t_{-1}$, since it is the intersection of the hyperplanes spanned by the four sets of points

\[
\begin{align*}
\{p_0, q_1, r_0, s_0\}, & \quad \{p_1, q_1, r_{-1}, s_0\}, & \{p_1, q_1, r_0, s_{-1}\}, & \{p_1, q_0, r_0, s_0\}.
\end{align*}
\]

Let $M$ denote the $11 \times 15$ matrix whose rows are indexed by the points of $S$ and whose columns are indexed by pairs $(i, j)$ where $1 \leq i \leq j \leq 5$. The entry in the row indexed by $x$ and the column indexed by the pair $(i, j)$ is $x_i x_j$.

With the aid of a computer algebra package, we deduce that the matrix $M$ has rank at most 10. Therefore, the right-kernel of $M$ has dimension at least 5, which corresponds to the quadrics that are zero at the points of $S$. If we remove the row corresponding to the point $t_0$, the revised matrix has rank at most 9, so the right-kernel has dimension at least 6, which corresponds to the quadrics that are zero at the points of $S \setminus \{t_0\}$.

Notice that the space of quadrics in $\mathbb{P}^4(\mathbb{R})$ has dimension $\binom{5}{2} = 15$ and that, in a generic situation, each point we force our quadrics to contain should impose a linearly independent condition on the space of quadrics. Thus, in that general situation we would expect the space of quadrics passing through eleven points to be of dimension 4 and the space of quadrics passing through ten points to be of dimension 5. However, in Lemma 4.1 we proved that there are six linearly independent quadrics passing
through the ten points used in the proof, and five quadrics through the whole set of eleven points. This constitutes one more dimension than what we would expect in a general situation.

From this lemma we obtain the variety that we were looking for, the intersection of five linearly independent quadrics. In order to extend this result to the entire 5-cell grid, we will need a further result, which is closely related to the previous proof.

**Lemma 4.2** Let \( \{p_0, p_1, q_0, q_1, r_{-1}, r_0, s_{-1}, s_0, t_{-1}, t_1\} \) be ten points on \( \mathbb{P}^4(\mathbb{R}) \) such that \( p_1, q_j, r_k, s_l, t_m \) are contained in a hyperplane if and only if \( i + j + k + l + m = 0 \). Then any quadric that contains nine of the ten points must also contain the tenth one.

**Proof** As mentioned above, the expected dimension of the space of quadrics passing through the ten points should be 5, instead of having the six linearly independent quadrics as we proved in Lemma 4.1. We want to prove that the space of quadrics through any subset of nine points of these ten has dimension 6, as one would expect. This will imply that the six linearly independent quadrics passing through any nine points are the same as the ones going through the ten points, and thus, any quadric going through nine points will contain the tenth point of this set. For this we prove that any subset of nine points of these impose nine linearly independent conditions on the space of quadrics.

Without loss of generality, let us suppose that the subset of nine points we choose is the one without the point \( t_1 \). We can choose an appropriate basis so that the points have the following coordinates:

\[
p_0 = (1 : 0 : 0 : 0 : 0), \quad p_1 = (a_1 : a_2 : a_3 : a_4 : a_5), \quad q_0 = (0 : 1 : 0 : 0 : 0),
\]
\[
q_1 = (b_1 : b_2 : b_3 : b_4 : b_5), \quad r_0 = (0 : 0 : 1 : 0 : 0), \quad r_{-1} = (c_1 : c_2 : c_3 : c_4 : c_5),
\]
\[
s_0 = (0 : 0 : 0 : 1 : 0), \quad s_{-1} = (d_1 : d_2 : d_3 : d_4 : d_5), \quad t_{-1} = (0 : 0 : 0 : 0 : 1).
\]

As in the proof of Lemma 4.1, the quadrics that are zero on

\[
\{p_0, p_1, q_0, q_1, r_{-1}, r_0, s_{-1}, s_0, t_{-1}\}
\]

are given by the non-zero elements of the right-kernel of the matrix

\[
M = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

If we can prove the matrix \( M \) has rank 6 then the space of quadrics that are zero on the nine points has dimension 6.
The five points corresponding to the basis of \( \mathbb{P}^4(\mathbb{R}) \) implies immediately that the matrix has rank at least 5. We only need to prove that the \( 4 \times 10 \) sub-matrix corresponding to the last four rows has rank 4. The key ingredient to prove this comes from the nature of the set we are dealing with. We will use the fact that the points \( p_i, q_j, r_k, s_l, t_m \) are in a hyperplane if and only if \( i + j + k + l + m = 0 \).

First of all, we can suppose that none of the coefficients \( a_5, b_5, c_5, d_5 \) are 0. This is because, if any of them were, that point would be contained in the hyperplane spanned by \( p_0, q_0, r_0, s_0 \) and by hypothesis they are not.

Secondly, we know that the five points \( p_1, q_1, r_{-1}, s_{-1}, t_{-1} \) are not contained in a hyperplane, as their indices sum to \(-1\). This means that the five points are linearly independent and that the matrix

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
 a_1 & a_2 & a_3 & a_4 & a_5 \\
b_1 & b_2 & b_3 & b_4 & b_5 \\
c_1 & c_2 & c_3 & c_4 & c_5 \\
d_1 & d_2 & d_3 & d_4 & d_5
\end{pmatrix}
\]

has rank 5. Now, since we know that the coefficients \( a_5, b_5, c_5, d_5 \) are different from 0, we can scale the points to make them 1 and get rid of the \( X_5 \) coefficients. After doing that, looking at the sub-matrix given by the columns corresponding to the coefficients of \( X_1X_5, X_2X_5, X_3X_5, \) and \( X_4X_5 \), we get the following matrix:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
a_1 & a_2 & a_3 & a_4 \\
b_1 & b_2 & b_3 & b_4 \\
c_1 & c_2 & c_3 & c_4 \\
d_1 & d_2 & d_3 & d_4
\end{pmatrix}
\],

which we know has rank 4. Hence, the rank of \( M \) is 9.

If the point left out was not \( t_1 \) but one of the other points, we would need to use a different basis, but we would be able to apply the same reasoning and reach the same conclusion.

Since we have proved that there are six linearly independent quadrics through each subset of nine points, we conclude that any quadric passing through a subset of nine points also contains the tenth point.

In Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2 we have proved that some small sets of points on a 5-cell grid are contained in the intersection of five linearly independent quadrics. What we want to prove now, using these two results, is that the whole 5-cell grid structure must be contained in the intersection of five quadrics, which is the main theorem of this section.

In order to use these lemmas later, we will need to be careful and verify that the points to which we apply them maintain the same structure as the points in the lemma. With this in mind, and to avoid complications later, we will present the sets of points in these two lemmas as follows. We will apply Lemma 4.1 to the following set of eleven points:
We will apply Lemma 4.2 to the following set of ten points:

| p_{-1} | p_0 | p_1 |
|--------|-----|-----|
| q_{-1} | q_0 | q_1 |
| r_{-1} | r_0 | r_1 |
| s_{-m} | s_{-1} | s_0 |
| t_0 | t_1 | \ldots | t_m |

The exact statement that we will prove is slightly different to what we have mentioned, as we will be proving that a 5-cell grid at the neighbourhood of a segment of rather good edges is contained in the intersection of five linearly independent quadrics. We present the theorem in this way as it will be more useful to us later to state it like this. However, one can see that the proof we present here can be easily adapted to take into account the whole grid.

**Theorem 4.3** Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a set of $n$ points in $\mathbb{P}^4(\mathbb{R})$, not all in a hyperplane and such that any four points of $\mathcal{S}$ span a hyperplane. Let $p, q, r \in \mathcal{S}$ be points of $\mathcal{S}$ such that the line $p^* \cap q^* \cap r^*$ in $\Gamma$ contains a segment $T$ of $m$ rather good edges. Then there are five linearly independent quadrics such that they contain the points $p, q,$ and $r$ and all the points $s$ such that $s^*$ intersects $p^* \cap q^* \cap r^*$ in $T$.

**Proof** First of all, because the segment $T$ is a segment of $m$ rather good edges, by Lemma 3.1, we know that the structure of $\Gamma$ around $T$ is almost that of a 5-cell grid of dimensions $3 \times 3 \times 3 \times (m + 1) \times (m + 1)$. We clarify this almost below.

Because it has the structure of a 5-cell grid, we can rename the points involved to be as follows:

| p_{-1} | p_0 | p_1 |
|--------|-----|-----|
| q_{-1} | q_0 | q_1 |
| r_{-1} | r_0 | r_1 |
| s_{-m} | s_{-1} | s_0 |
| t_0 | t_1 | \ldots | t_m |

having $p = p_0$, $q = q_0$, and $r = r_0$. Lemma 3.1 implies that the hyperplanes $p_i^*, q_j^*, r_k^*, s_l^*, t_n^*$ intersect in a point if and only if $i + j + k + l + n = 0$ for all $i, j, k \in \{-1, 0, 1\}, l \in \{-m, \ldots, 0\}$, and $n \in \{0, \ldots, m\}$ unless (possibly) $\{i, j, k\} \subseteq \{-1, 1\}$.

Now we apply Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2 in order to get our five quadrics. It will be important when we use these lemmas that the eleven (respectively ten) points we apply the lemmas to, hold the same structure as the points used in the lemmas and that one can arrange a bijection between the sets of points that maintains invariant the spanned hyperplanes of the set.
Firstly we apply Lemma 4.1 to the set

\[ \begin{array}{cccccc}
  t_1 & r_1 & s_0 & q_0 & p_1 \\
  t_0 & r_0 & s_{-1} & q_{-1} & p_{-1}
\end{array} \]

It is clear that this set of points holds the same structure as that of the set in Lemma 4.1. So Lemma 4.1 applies and we know that there are five linearly independent quadrics containing the eleven points. Let us denote by \( \{Q_1, Q_2, Q_3, Q_4, Q_5\} \) this set of five quadrics.

Consider the set of ten points:

\[ \begin{array}{cccccc}
  t_1 & r_1 & s_0 & p_0 & q_1 \\
  t_0 & r_0 & s_{-1} & p_{-1} & q_{-1}
\end{array} \]

This set has the same structure as the set in Lemma 4.2, so the lemma applies and any quadric passing through nine of these points passes through the tenth. But we know that the nine points \( \{t_0, t_1, r_0, r_1, s_{-1}, s_0, p_{-1}, p_0, q_{-1}\} \) are contained in the five quadrics \( Q_i \). Thus, the tenth point \( q_1 \) is also contained in these quadrics. We can use this same trick to argue that the point \( r_{-1} \) is also contained in the quadrics.

Now we want to extend this argument to include all the points \( s_{-i} \) and \( t_i \). We will manage this by induction.

First of all, we already know that the points \( \{s_0, s_{-1}, t_0, t_1\} \) are contained in the five quadrics. Now, for the induction hypothesis, let us suppose that the set of points \( \{s_0, s_{-1}, \ldots, s_{-i}, t_0, t_1, \ldots, t_i\} \) are all contained in our set of five quadrics. We will now prove that both \( s_{-i-1} \) and \( t_{i+1} \) are also contained in the five quadrics.

To begin with, consider the following set of points:

\[ \begin{array}{cccc}
  p_1 & q_0 & t_{i+1} \\
  p_0 & q_{-1} & r_0 & s_{-i+1} \\
         & r_{-1} & s_{-i} & t_{i-1}
\end{array} \]

Although it is slightly more complicated than before, one can check that the same hyperplane relation holds for this set as for the one in Lemma 4.2. Hence, Lemma 4.2
applies. Since we know from the induction hypothesis that the nine points
\[ \{ p_0, p_1, q_0, q_1, r_0, r_1, s_{-i+1}, s_{-i}, t_{i-1} \} \]
are all contained in the five quadrics \( Q_i \), we deduce that the tenth point \( t_{i+1} \) is also contained in the quadrics.

On the other hand, let us consider the following points:

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
p_{-1} & q_0 & r_{0} & s_{-i-1} \\
p_0 & q_1 & r_1 & t_{i-1} \\
 & & t_i & s_{-i+1}
\end{array}
\]

As in the previous case we have that the same structure holds. So Lemma 4.2 applies, and by the same argument as before, since the induction hypothesis tells us that the nine points
\[ \{ p_0, p_{-1}, q_0, q_1, r_0, r_1, t_{i-1}, t_i, s_{-i+1} \} \]
are already contained in our five quadrics, we get that the tenth point of the set, \( s_{-i-1} \), is also contained in the quadrics.

With this theorem we have proved that the dual set of a 5-cell grid (or a segment of rather good edges in \( \Gamma_1 \)) is contained in the intersection of five quadrics. Later we will prove using this that any set of points spanning few ordinary hyperplanes must also be mostly contained in the intersection of five quadrics.

## 5 The Variety Defined by the Intersection of Five Quadrics

In this section, we shall study some properties of the variety defined by five linearly independent quadrics, although the results in this section are not necessary in order to be able to prove the main theorem, Theorem 6.2, of the article.

The main theorem of this section is inspired by Glynn’s article [6]. In his paper, Glynn talks, among other things, about normal rational curves and the intersection of quadrics. Although his work is applied to spaces over the finite field \( \mathbb{F}_q \), most of his results can be adapted to work over an arbitrary field \( \mathbb{F} \).

We are particularly interested in the following theorem which is [6, Thm. 3.1]. We define an **arc** of \( \mathbb{P}^d(\mathbb{F}) \) as a set \( \mathcal{A} \) of points of \( \mathbb{P}^d(\mathbb{F}) \) with the property that any subset of \( d + 1 \) points of \( \mathcal{A} \) spans \( \mathbb{P}^d(\mathbb{F}) \).

**Theorem 5.1** Consider a subspace \( Q \) of quadrics on \( \mathbb{P}^d(\mathbb{F}) \) generated by a collection of \( \binom{d}{2} \) independent quadrics. Let \( \mathcal{A} = \bigcap Q \) be the intersection of the quadrics in \( Q \). Suppose that \( \mathcal{A} \) generates \( \mathbb{P}^d(\mathbb{F}) \) and that \( Q \) does not contain any quadric that is the union of two hyperplanes of \( \mathbb{P}^d(\mathbb{F}) \). Then \( \mathcal{A} \) is an arc of \( \mathbb{P}^d(\mathbb{F}) \).
This theorem is relevant here because it implies that if instead of five linearly independent quadrics we had that our set $S$ is included in the intersection of six linearly independent quadrics such that they do not span any hyperplane pair quadric, then the set $S$ would be an arc. By the definition of arc, this would mean that every hyperplane spanned by the set $S$ would be ordinary, which is precisely the contrary of our aim here. However, Glynn’s theorem can be extended to the following theorem.

**Theorem 5.2** Consider a subspace $Q$ of quadrics on $\mathbb{P}^d(\mathbb{F})$ generated by a collection of $\binom{d}{2} - 1$ independent quadrics. Let $\mathcal{I} = \bigcap Q$ be the intersection of the quadrics in $Q$. Suppose that $\mathcal{I}$ generates $\mathbb{P}^d(\mathbb{F})$, does not contain a line, and that $Q$ does not contain any quadric that is the union of two hyperplanes of $\mathbb{P}^d(\mathbb{F})$. Then $\mathcal{I}$ has the property that any hyperplane contains at most $d + 1$ points of $\mathcal{I}$.

**Proof** Suppose that this is false, and let $H$ be a hyperplane containing at least $d + 2$ points of $\mathcal{I}$.

Since a vector space satisfies the exchange axiom and $\mathcal{I}$ spans the whole space, there is a hyperplane $H$ that contains at least $d + 2$ points from $\mathcal{I}$, $d$ of which span $H$. After a suitable change of basis, we can assume that $H$ is the hyperplane $X_{d+1} = 0$ and that the $d + 2$ points are

\[
\begin{align*}
p_1 &= (1 : 0 : 0 : \ldots : 0 : 0), \\
p_2 &= (0 : 1 : 0 : \ldots : 0 : 0), \\
&\vdots \\
p_{d-1} &= (0 : \ldots : 0 : 1 : 0 : 0), \\
p_d &= (0 : \ldots : 0 : 0 : 1 : 0), \\
p_{d+1} &= (c_1 : c_2 : \ldots : c_d : 0), \\
p_{d+2} &= (e_1 : e_2 : \ldots : e_d : 0).
\end{align*}
\]

We want to prove that these $d + 2$ points impose distinct conditions on the space of quadrics containing them. Clearly $p_1, \ldots, p_d$ impose distinct conditions. It is easy to check that the two last points impose two further distinct conditions on the space of quadrics. Indeed, the points $p_{d+1}$ and $p_{d+2}$ will impose linearly independent conditions in the space of quadrics unless the $2 \times 2$ sub-determinants of the matrix

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
c_1c_2 & c_1c_3 & c_1c_4 & \ldots & c_{d-2}c_d & c_{d-1}c_d \\
e_1e_2 & e_1e_3 & e_1e_4 & \ldots & e_{d-2}e_d & e_{d-1}e_d
\end{pmatrix}
\]

are zero. This can only happen if the two points are the same or if only one of the coefficients $X_iX_j$ is non-zero, which means that the points $p_{d+1}$ and $p_{d+2}$ are on a line joining two of the basis points. But then this would imply that the quadrics, and hence $\mathcal{I}$, would contain this line which by hypothesis does not happen. Hence, $p_{d+1}$ and $p_{d+2}$ impose different conditions on the space of quadrics.

So the points $p_1, \ldots, p_{d+2}$ impose $d + 2$ linearly independent conditions on the space of quadrics defined on the hyperplane $H \cong \mathbb{P}^{d-1}(\mathbb{F})$. This space of quadrics has
dimension \((\frac{d+1}{2})\) which implies that we have at most \((\frac{d+1}{2}) - (d+2) = \frac{d^2}{2} - d/2 - 2\) linearly independent quadrics on \(H\) containing the points from \(\mathcal{S} \cap H\).

As we have \((\frac{d}{2}) - 1 = \frac{d^2}{2} - d/2 - 1\) linearly independent quadrics that are zero on \(\mathcal{S}\), this means that in the subspace of quadrics generated by them, there must be two independent quadrics that agree on their restriction to \(H\). Taking the difference of these two quadrics, this implies that there is a quadric in the subspace generated by the \((\frac{d}{2}) - 1\) quadrics that is zero on \(H\). This quadric is necessarily a hyperplane pair quadric, which contradicts the hypothesis.

In our case when \(d = 4\), Theorem 5.2 implies that if \(\mathcal{S} = \bigcap Q\) spans the whole space and does not contain a line, then it has the property that any hyperplane of \(\mathbb{P}^4(\mathbb{R})\) intersects \(\mathcal{S}\) in at most five points.

### 6 Structural Theorems in Four Dimensions

In this section we prove structure theorems for 4-dimensional space. Firstly, we present a weak version of the structure theorem. This version of the structure theorem, although a weaker result than the main structure theorem we will present later, has merit of its own, since we do not make any assumptions with respect to the value of \(K\), in contrast with the main structure theorem, where we need \(K\) to be \(o(n^{1/7})\).

We will state our weak structure theorem in the same fashion as Green and Tao. The exact numbers in the theorem are not that important, but it is important that we can prove that the set \(\mathcal{S}\) is contained in the union of an \(O(K)\) number of varieties, each of which is the intersection of five quadrics.

**Theorem 6.1** (weak structure theorem in four dimensions) Let \(\mathcal{S}\) be a set of \(n\) points in \(\mathbb{P}^4(\mathbb{R})\), such that any subset of four points span a hyperplane and \(\mathcal{S}\) is not contained in a hyperplane. If \(K \geq 1\) and \(\mathcal{S}\) spans at most \(Kn^3\) ordinary hyperplanes, then \(\mathcal{S}\) is contained in the union of at most \(33699K\) varieties, each of which is the intersection of five quadrics.

**Proof** From Lemma 3.3 we get that there are at most \(1872Kn^3\) slightly bad edges in the graph \(\Gamma\). Using the pigeon-hole principle we know that there have to be points \(p, q, r \in S\) such that the number of slightly bad edges on the line \(l = p^* \cap q^* \cap r^*\) is at most

\[
\frac{1872Kn^3}{\binom{n}{3}} = 11232K \frac{n^2}{(n-1)(n-2)} = 11232K \left(1 + \frac{3n-2}{(n-1)(n-2)}\right).
\]

We can assume that \(n \geq 33699\) since otherwise the theorem is immediately proved by choosing a variety for each point. This alone is enough to bound the number of slightly bad edges \(b\) of \(l\) by \(11233K\).

The slightly bad edges will partition the line \(l\) into a set of at most \(b\) segments of consecutive good edges. By Theorem 4.3, we know that for any of these segments \(T\), there are five linearly independent quadrics containing the points \(p, q, r\) and such that
for any point \( s \in \mathcal{S} \), where \( s^* \) intersects the line \( l \) in \( T \), is contained in the intersection of the five quadrics. So we can cover all the points whose duals intersect \( l \) in the middle of a segment of good edges with less than \( 11233K \) of these varieties.

On the other hand, any point whose dual intersects \( l \) in a vertex of a bad edge of \( l \) has to be treated separately. We have, though, at most \( 2 \times 11233K \) vertices incident with a bad edge in \( l \), and for each one of these vertices, we can construct a variety (intersection of five quadrics) such that all the points whose dual intersects \( l \) in that vertex are contained in the variety (this is obvious, since the dual of the vertex itself is a hyperplane that contains all of these points).

From the number of varieties covering the points incident with the segments of good edges and the ones incident with the bad edges, we conclude that all the points of \( \mathcal{S} \) can be covered with a collection of at most \( 11233K + 2 \times 11233K = 33699K \) varieties each of which is the intersection of five quadrics.

Now we present the full structure theorem, which is the main theorem of this section, as well as the whole paper. The purpose of the theorem is to classify the sets of points in \( \mathbb{P}^4(\mathbb{R}) \) that span few ordinary hyperplanes, as did the structure theorems of Green and Tao [7] and Ball [1] in two and three dimensions, respectively.

**Theorem 6.2** (full structure theorem) Let \( \mathcal{S} \) be a set of \( n \) points in \( \mathbb{P}^4(\mathbb{R}) \) such that any subset of four points spans a hyperplane and such that \( \mathcal{S} \) is not contained in a hyperplane. If \( \mathcal{S} \) spans less than \( Kn^3 \) ordinary hyperplanes, for some \( K = o(n^{1/7}) \), then one of the following holds:

(i) All but at most \( 6K \) points of \( \mathcal{S} \) are contained in a hyperplane.

(ii) There are five linearly independent quadrics such that all but at most \( O(K) \) points of \( \mathcal{S} \) are contained in the intersection of the five quadrics.

**Proof** Let \( \mathcal{S}' \) be the subset of points \( p \in \mathcal{S} \) such that \( p \) is incident with at most \( DKn^2 \) ordinary hyperplanes, for some large constant \( D \). We can bound the size of \( \mathcal{S}' \) in the following way: Since the points of \( \mathcal{S} \) not in \( \mathcal{S}' \) are incident with more than \( DKn^2 \) ordinary hyperplanes, and since every ordinary hyperplane contains exactly four points, we get

\[
|\mathcal{S} \setminus \mathcal{S}'| \cdot DKn^2 < 4Kn^3.
\]

This gives

\[
|\mathcal{S}'| > \left( \frac{1}{D} - 4 \right)n.
\]

If we project the set \( \mathcal{S} \) from any point \( p \in \mathcal{S}' \) we obtain a set in \( \mathbb{P}^3(\mathbb{R}) \) spanning less than \( DKn^2 \) ordinary planes.

The three-dimensional structure theorem from [1, Thm. 1] tells us about different possible sets that span few ordinary planes in \( \mathbb{P}^3(\mathbb{R}) \). These possibilities are:

(a) There are two distinct quadrics such that all but at most \( O(K) \) points of \( \mathcal{S} \) are contained in the intersection of the quadrics.
(b) There are two planar sections of a quadric which contain \( n/2 - O(K) \) points of \( \mathcal{S} \) each.

(c) All but at most \( 2DK \) points of \( \mathcal{S} \) are contained in a plane.

First assume that there is a point in \( \mathcal{S}' \) where the projection of the set \( \mathcal{S} \) from \( p \) is almost contained in a plane (case (c)).

This implies that there is a solid \( \pi \) containing at least \( n - c \) points of \( \mathcal{S} \), where \( c = O(K) \). Let \( q \) be a point of \( \mathcal{S} \setminus \pi \). Each triple of points \( \mathcal{S} \cap \pi \), together with \( q \) span an ordinary solid, unless there is another point \( p \in \mathcal{S} \setminus (\pi \cup \{q\}) \), on the solid. However, for each pair of points \( x, y \) of \( \mathcal{S} \cap \pi \), consider the plane of \( \pi \) spanned by \( x, y \) and the point of intersection of line joining \( p \) and \( q \) with \( \pi \). By hypothesis, this plane contains at most one other point of \( \mathcal{S} \). Thus, we conclude that, for a fixed \( x, y \in \mathcal{S} \cap \pi \), all but at most \( c - 1 \) of the triples \( x, y, z \), where \( z \in \mathcal{S} \cap \pi \), span an ordinary solid with \( q \). Therefore, there are at least

\[
\binom{n - c}{3} - (c - 1)\binom{n - c}{2}
\]

triples of \( \pi \cap \mathcal{S} \) that together with \( q \) span an ordinary solid. Hence,

\[
c\left(\binom{n - c}{3} - (c - 1)\binom{n - c}{2}\right) \leq Kn^3.
\]

For \( n \) large enough, this implies that \( c \leq 6K \) and we have case (i).

We can suppose from now on that no point of \( \mathcal{S}' \) projects the set \( \mathcal{S} \) into a set almost contained in a plane. We want to prove that almost none of the points of \( \mathcal{S}' \) can project the set \( \mathcal{S} \) into a set of type (b).

Let us suppose that there are at least four points in \( \mathcal{S}' \) such that the projection of \( \mathcal{S} \) from these points is as in case (b). We denote these points by \( p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4 \). This means that, for each of these points, there are two planar conics containing \( n/2 - O(K) \) points of the projection each.

The lift of these two planes to \( \mathbb{P}^4(\mathbb{R}) \) consists of two hyperplanes \( H_1 \) and \( H_2 \) containing \( n/2 - O(K) \) points of \( \mathcal{S} \) each. We claim that the lift of the planes produced by each of the points \( p_i \) must result in the same two hyperplanes \( H_1 \) and \( H_2 \). Suppose not, that the lift of one of the planes produced by some of the \( p_i \) produces a hyperplane \( H_3 \), different from \( H_1 \) and \( H_2 \). The intersections \( \pi_1 = H_1 \cap H_3 \) and \( \pi_2 = H_2 \cap H_3 \) are both planes on \( \mathbb{P}^4(\mathbb{R}) \). Since all \( H_1, H_2, \) and \( H_3 \) contain \( n/2 - O(K) \) points of \( \mathcal{S} \), one of \( \pi_1 \) or \( \pi_2 \) would have at least \( n/4 - O(K) \) points of \( \mathcal{S} \), which is a contradiction since we cannot have four coplanar points in \( \mathcal{S} \).

Now, as all \( p_i \) project the points in the two hyperplanes \( H_1 \) and \( H_2 \) into two planar conics, we deduce that the points \( p_i \) are all contained in the hyperplanes \( H_1 \) and \( H_2 \). That implies they are in the intersection of the two hyperplanes which, since the hyperplanes are distinct, is a plane of \( \mathbb{P}^4(\mathbb{R}) \). By hypothesis, the set \( \mathcal{S} \) does not have four coplanar points, a contradiction. Thus, we conclude that there are at most three points of \( \mathcal{S}' \) that project the set \( \mathcal{S} \) into a set of type (b). Therefore, removing at most three points from \( \mathcal{S}' \), we can assume that all points of \( \mathcal{S}' \) project the set \( \mathcal{S} \) into a set of type (a).
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Now, let us consider two of these points \( p_1 \) and \( p_2 \in \mathcal{S}' \), projecting \( \mathcal{S} \) onto a set of type (a). Apply a projective transformation so that they become the points \((1 : 0 : 0 : 0)\) and \((0 : 1 : 0 : 0)\), respectively.

Let \( Q_1 \) and \( Q'_1 \) be the two quadrics degenerate at \( p_1 \) given by (a), and let \( Q_2 \) and \( Q'_2 \) be the quadrics degenerate at \( p_2 \), also given by (a). Then these quadrics will have coefficient 0 at the \( X_1 \) and \( X_2 \) terms respectively.

First we prove that if \( Q_1, Q'_1 \) and \( Q_2, Q'_2 \) are not linearly independent quadrics then \( p_1 \) and \( p_2 \) are contained in many ordinary solids. Indeed, if \( Q_1, Q'_1 \) and \( Q_2, Q'_2 \) are linearly dependent quadrics, then there are constants \( \lambda, \lambda', \mu, \mu' \), not all zero, such that

\[
\lambda Q_1 + \lambda' Q'_1 + \mu Q_2 + \mu' Q'_2 = 0.
\]

Therefore, the quadric

\[
Q = \lambda Q_1 + \lambda' Q'_1 = -\mu Q_2 = e_{34}X_3X_4 + e_{35}X_3X_4 + e_{45}X_4X_5,
\]

is degenerate at both \( p_1 \) and \( p_2 \).

The quadric \( Q \) contains all but at most \( O(K) \) points of \( \mathcal{S} \), so the projection of \( \mathcal{S} \) from \( p_1 \) and \( p_2 \) projects all but at most \( O(K) \) points of \( \mathcal{S} \) onto a (possibly degenerate) conic. By hypothesis, any four points of \( \mathcal{S} \) span a solid, so no two points project to the same point of the conic. Suppose \( x \in \mathcal{S} \) projects onto the conic. Together with any one of all but at most \( O(K) \) of the other points of \( \mathcal{S} \), the point \( x \) spans an ordinary solid with \( p_1 \) and \( p_2 \). This gives at least \( n^2/2 - O(Kn) \) ordinary solids containing \( p_1 \) and \( p_2 \). Hence, there are less than \( 3Kn \) pairs \( p_1, p_2 \) for which \( Q_1, Q'_1 \) and \( Q_2, Q'_2 \) are not linearly independent quadrics.

A simple count shows that we can choose the points \( p_1, p_2, p_3 \in \mathcal{S}' \) so that they are all of type (a) and are all contained in the intersection of \( Q_1, Q'_1, Q_2, Q'_2, Q_3, Q'_3 \), and that \( Q_1, Q'_1 \) and \( Q_2, Q'_2 \) are linearly independent quadrics. Indeed, the number of triples of points of \( \mathcal{S}' \) which we cannot choose is \( O(Kn^2) \). Here \( Q_1, Q'_1 \) are the quadrics which are degenerate at \( p_i \) given by (a). Then we have that

\[
Q_3(X) = e_{12}X_1X_2 + e_{14}X_1X_4 + e_{15}X_1X_5 + e_{24}X_2X_4 + e_{25}X_2X_5 + e_{44}X_4^2 + e_{45}X_5^2 + e_{55}X_4X_5,
\]

\[
Q'_3(X) = f_{12}X_1X_2 + f_{14}X_1X_4 + f_{15}X_1X_5 + f_{24}X_2X_4 + f_{25}X_2X_5 + f_{44}X_4^2 + f_{45}X_5^2 + f_{55}X_4X_5.
\]

Let \( b_3(X, Y) \) and \( b'_3(X, Y) \) be the bilinear forms associated with the quadratic forms \( Q_3 \) and \( Q'_3 \), respectively. If \( x \) is a common zero of both \( Q_3 \) and \( Q'_3 \) then all the points on the line joining \( x \) and \( p_1 \) are zeros of

\[
b_3(X, p_1) Q'_3(X) - b'_3(X, p_1) Q_3(X).
\]

One can think of this as the cubic curve in the plane that is obtained by projecting the quadrics \( Q_3 \) and \( Q'_3 \) from \( p_1 \) and \( p_3 \). Calculating this curve explicitly, we obtain
\((e_{12}X_2 + e_{14}X_4 + e_{15}X_5)(f_{24}X_2X_4 + f_{25}X_2X_5 + f_{44}X_4^2 + f_{55}X_5^2 + f_{45}X_4X_5)\
- (f_{12}X_2 + f_{14}X_4 + f_{15}X_5)(e_{24}X_2X_4 + e_{25}X_2X_5 + e_{44}X_4^2 + e_{55}X_5^2 + e_{45}X_4X_5)\).

If both quadrics \(Q_3, Q'_3\) have zero coefficient at \(X_1X_2\) (i.e., \(e_{12} = f_{12} = 0\)), then we see that the point \(p_2\) is a singularity of the cubic curve (since the degree of the polynomial in \(X_2\) is one).

Since there is at most one singularity, we can find three points \(p_1, p_2, p_3 \in \mathcal{S}'\) that are all of type (a), have the property that \(p_i \in Q_j \cap Q'_j\), and for which \(p_2\) is not a singularity of the cubic curve obtained by projecting the quadrics \(Q_3, Q'_3\) from the points \(p_1\) and \(p_3\). Moreover, \(Q_1, Q'_1, Q_2, Q'_2\) are linearly independent quadrics.

As we have just seen, in this case at least one of the quadrics \(Q_3\) or \(Q'_3\) is linearly independent of \(Q_1, Q'_1, Q_2, Q'_2\). Hence, all but at most \(O(K)\) points of \(\mathcal{S}\) are contained in the intersection of five linearly independent quadrics.

\[\square\]

7 Comments and Conjectures

The most natural question to ask is if one can strengthen Theorem 6.2 (i) to state that all but \(O(K)\) points of \(\mathcal{S}\) are contained in the lift of a coset of a subgroup of an elliptic curve. This may involve a strengthening of the hypothesis on \(K\). It seems reasonable to expect that one can improve the bound \(K = o(n^{1/7})\) to \(K = o(n^{1/6})\) by relying only on [7, Prop. 5.3] and bypassing [1, Thm. 1]. However, we were not able to do this.

One can also consider the problem in higher dimensions, and the work included here gives us some clues to its solution.

It is easy to see that some of the concepts we have defined throughout, such as the 5-cell grid, or the concepts of good and bad edges, are naturally generalised to higher dimensions, the higher dimensional problem being first proposed in [2]. But the key ingredient of our study, the nature of the variety containing almost all the points of the sets spanning few ordinary hyperplanes, is a bit harder to generalise to higher dimensions.

We have talked briefly of the relation between the key varieties of the examples in two, three, and four dimensions. The relation between the varieties of different dimensions is very natural. It comes from the fact that, if \(\mathcal{S}\) is a set with few ordinary hyperplanes, the projection of \(\mathcal{S}\) from most of its points is a set with few ordinary hyperplanes in a lower dimension. Thus, it is only natural that the varieties containing this set of points are lifts of the other. The work of Glynn [6] gives us reasonable enough evidence to conjecture the nature of these varieties.

**Conjecture 7.1** Let \(\mathcal{S}\) be a set of \(n\) points on \(\mathbb{P}^d(\mathbb{R})\), where \(d \geq 4\) is a constant or a possibly sub-linear function of \(n\), such that any subset of \(d\) points of \(\mathcal{S}\) span a hyperplane of \(\mathbb{P}^d(\mathbb{R})\). Suppose that \(\mathcal{S}\) spans at most \(Kn^{d-1}\) hyperplanes for some \(K = o(n)\), then one of the following holds:

(i) All but at most \(O(K)\) points of \(\mathcal{S}\) are contained in a single hyperplane.

(ii) There is a set of \(\binom{d}{2} - 1\) linearly independent quadrics such that all but at most \(O(K)\) points of \(\mathcal{S}\) are contained in the intersection of the quadrics.

\[\square\]
We could go further and conjecture that all but at most $O(K)$ points of $\mathcal{S}$ are contained in the lift of a coset of a subgroup of an elliptic curve. This may require a strengthening of the hypothesis on $K$.

Since the publication of a preprint of this article, the following theorem has appeared in [8, Thm. 1.1], which proves this stronger version of Conjecture 7.1, albeit with a stronger hypothesis.

**Theorem 7.2** Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a set of $n$ points of $\mathbb{P}^d(\mathbb{R})$, where $d \geq 4$ and $C \geq \max((dK)^8, d^32^dK)$ for some sufficiently large absolute constant $C$, such that any subset of $d$ points of $\mathcal{S}$ span a hyperplane of $\mathbb{P}^d(\mathbb{R})$. If $\mathcal{S}$ spans at most $K\left(\frac{n-1}{d-1}\right)$ hyperplanes then $\mathcal{S}$ differs by at most $O(d^2K)$ points from one of the following:

(i) A subset of a hyperplane.
(ii) A coset of a subgroup of an elliptic curve or the smooth points of a rational acnodal curve of degree $d + 1$.
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