Can silencing of transposons contribute to variation in effector gene expression in *Phytophthora infestans*?
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Transposable elements are ubiquitous residents in eukaryotic genomes. Often considered to be genomic parasites, they can lead to dramatic changes in genome organization, gene expression, and gene evolution. The oomycete plant pathogen *Phytophthora infestans* has evolved a genome organization where core biology genes are predominantly located in genome regions that have relatively few resident transposons. In contrast, disease effector-encoding genes are most frequently located in rapidly evolving genomic regions that are rich in transposons. *P. infestans*, as a eukaryote, likely uses RNA silencing to minimize the activity of transposons. We have shown that fusion of a short interspersed element (SINE) to an effector gene in *P. infestans* leads to the silencing of both the introduced fusion and endogenous homologous sequences. This is also likely to occur naturally in the genome of *P. infestans*, as transcriptional inactivation of effectors is known to occur, and over half of the translocated “RXLR class” of effectors are located within 2 kb of transposon sequences in the *P. infestans* genome. In this commentary, we review the diverse transposon inventory of *P. infestans*, its control by RNA silencing, and consequences for expression modulation of nearby effector genes in this economically important plant pathogen.

Oomycete Plant Pathogens and Their Effectors

Many eukaryotic plant pathogens exhibit variation in traits such as specific virulence and avirulence, and pathogenicity. The mechanisms underlying this variation have remained largely unaddressed. Much research is presently focused on identifying the molecules (proteins or metabolites) that act at the interface of pathogen and host. Outcomes from this research have led to the development of general models that describe interactions between plants and pathogens at the molecular level. Central to these evolutionary hypotheses, such as the “zig-zag” model, are pathogen molecules called effectors. Mechanistic details regarding plant immunity can be found in many outstanding reviews (for example, see refs. 1 and 2). In brief, all pathogens trigger defense responses in plants, due to detection of conserved molecules called pathogen associated molecular patterns (PAMPs). This detection triggers an array of immune responses, or PAMP triggered immunity (PTI). Pathogens may adapt and produce secreted effectors to suppress PTI in plants, leading to effector-triggered susceptibility (ETS). Effectors can also be recognized by specific plant host resistance (R) proteins, resulting in effector-triggered immunity (ETI). In this instance, the recognized effector is termed an avirulence (Avr) protein. It is postulated that the high numbers of R-genes in plant genomes and their large sequence diversity are essential evolutionary factors in the surveillance machinery for resisting pathogen attack. Plant R-genes evolve through duplication, unequal crossing over, recombination and diversification, leading to clusters of paralogous genes. In comparison, pathogens have evolved various ways to evade detection by these resistance proteins, such as variations in sequence, gene loss, or transcriptional inactivation (reviewed in refs. 4 and 5).
Efectors are thus considered to define the host range of a pathogen, by adapting to specific host target proteins. The selection pressure on effectors has resulted in their placement among the most rapidly evolving proteins in pathogen genomes.

How expression of effector genes varies or is regulated in pathogen genomes is little understood, but it is likely that epigenetic mechanisms may have some influence. Epigenetic control of genes is well described in eukaryotes and its mechanism(s) frequently involves overlap with genome defense mechanisms such as RNA mediated silencing, DNA or histone methylation, and heterochromatin formation (reviewed in refs. 6 and 7). These latter mechanisms often have the endogenous role of restricting the deleterious impact of transposable element activity on their host genome (reviewed in ref. 8).

Oomycetes are a group of eukaryotes that superficially resemble fungi in their hyphal growth habit and formation of spores, but are only distantly related to fungi, being placed in the stramenopiles.9 Oomycetes encompass many extremely destructive plant pathogens such as the potato late blight agent, Phytophthora infestans. This pathogen precipitated the Irish potato famine in the mid-1800s and can still cause economically significant losses, thus making it a continuing threat to food security.6,11 Recent years have witnessed a renaissance in molecular biology research into oomycetes, culminating in the genome sequencing of at least seven plant pathogenic species and the discovery of vast numbers of disease promoting effector proteins.12-17 These effector proteins are grouped into two broad classes; those that act in the apoplast (outside the plasma membrane of plant cells), and those that are translocated into host cells to exert their action (reviewed in ref. 18). This latter group contains the intensely studied “RXLR” and “Crinkler” classes of effectors that are defined by specific amino acid motifs within their peptide sequences (reviewed in refs. 18 and 19).

**Oomycete Genomes, Transposons and RNA Silencing**

Of the available oomycete genome sequences, the genome of *P. infestans* has been analyzed and annotated in the most detail. Bioinformatic analyses have revealed that the *P. infestans* genome is organized into gene-rich islands, separated by extensive stretches of gene-poor and highly repetitive DNA.12,13 The repetitive DNA is rich in transposable elements. Effector genes are preferentially located in the gene-poor and repeat (transposon)-rich genomic regions.13,20 This raises the possibility that, first, transposon activity may contribute to the evolution of effectors, and second that the proximity of transposons to effectors may influence their expression. The proximity of transposon sequences to active genes has been reported to influence their expression in numerous organisms.21,22

*P. infestans* has the largest known oomycete genome, at 240 Mb.13 It has been estimated that 74% of the genome comprises highly repeated sequences. The repetitive DNA of *P. infestans* encompasses a wide repertoire of transposons: short interspersed elements (SINEs), non-long-terminal repeat (non-LTR) long interspersed elements (LINEs), Copia and Gypsy LTR retrotransposons, Cryptons, Helitrons, DIRS-like, mini-transposable elements (MITEs), hATs, PiggyBACs, Mutators, Mariners, and a broad diversity of novel LTR and DNA transposons.13,23,24 Some of these transposons are presumably active, as their transcripts are present at high levels in some lifecycle stages.25 However, many are believed to represent ancient insertions into the *P. infestans* genome and are therefore now inactive.13,20

*P. infestans* has an active RNA silencing pathway,7 which has been exploited in RNA interference (RNAi) studies to determine the role(s) of specific genes (reviewed in refs. 28 and 29). The silencing pathway presumably acts, as in many other eukaryotes (reviewed in ref. 8), to restrict the activity of its heavy genomic load of transposons. A hallmark of silencing is the presence of small non-coding RNAs (sRNA) of 19–40 nt. The general processes and components involved in RNA silencing are reviewed elsewhere.6,7,28

Consistent with this, in a recent report we identified small non-coding RNAs of 40 nt that were homologous to a non-autonomous *P. infestans* SINE called infSINEm.30 The *P. infestans* genome contains 32 copies of infSINEm, and some copies are expressed at a low level, likely through its internal RNA polymerase III promoter. It was hypothesized that the identified 40 nt sRNAs were likely to be involved in silencing the expression of infSINEm, and that any *P. infestans* sequence transcriptionally fused to infSINEm, together with its endogenous copy, would also be subject to silencing (Fig. 1). An additional reason to examine the spread of silencing from a transposon to an endogenous gene was to exploit this to develop simpler vectors for targeted gene silencing in *P. infestans*. The PiAvr3a gene was selected to deliver a pheno-typic readout of silencing spread from infSINEm, as this effector gene is essential for pathogenicity on potato leaves,30,31 and overexpression in the sense direction

---

**Figure 1.** Model for silencing of an effector-encoding gene by transcriptional fusion to a SINE in *P. infestans*. Small RNAs derived from endogenous infSINEm (green) initiate silencing of the transgenic PiAvr3a-infSINEm fusion transcript (yellow-blue) through degradation by Argonaute (Ago). Secondary sRNAs are formed from the fusion transcript through the action of RNA dependent RNA polymerase (RdR) and Dicer-like (Dcl). Secondary sRNAs target the endogenous copies of both infSINEm and PiAvr3a (brown) to initiate (Ago) or reinforce silencing (RdR→Dcl→Ago). Arrows indicate the direction and reinforcement of silencing.
had not previously led to silencing. Transcriptional fusions of infSINEm in transgenic lines of P. infestans, under control of a strong constitutive promoter, initially yielded several lines that were partially silenced for PiAvr3a. This was most pronounced when infSINEm was fused to the 3’ end of PiAvr3a, although silencing was also observed for infSINEm-PiAvr3a fusions. However, over time the silencing was overcome or released in most transgenic lines; the expression of PiAvr3a and infSINEm returned to wild-type or higher levels. In a small number of lines, both PiAvr3a and infSINEm became fully silenced.

Evidence for Endogenous Silencing of Effectors in P. infestans through Proximity to Transposons

The biological significance of our findings is that a transposable element-derived sequence, silenced via sRNAs, can potentially also bi-directionally silence nearby sequences in P. infestans. Similar proliferation of transposons and gene repression has been reported from Drosophila melanogaster. However, in the P. infestans system, transposition rates and distance to a potential target gene remains to be determined.

In a study into the effects of silencing a series of P. infestans NIF transcription factors, it was demonstrated that silencing of these genes led to formation of heterochromatin at the affected locus. The formation of heterochromatin was also demonstrated to spread outwards from the silenced locus for approximately 300 bp, but was also detectable up to 600 bp.

Taken together with our results from infSINEm, it is possible that genes located near silenced transposons (within 300 bp) may be subject to reduction in expression due to heterochromatin formation (Fig. 2). This is particularly of interest when the genomic locations of the RXLR effectors are considered. These genes are preferentially located in genomic regions also heavily populated with transposons. Little is known of which effector genes are essential for infection, and which are dispensable. In P. infestans, to date PiAvr3a is the only effector that has been demonstrated to be essential for pathogenicity. Therefore, until many more RXLR encoding genes have been assessed for their effects on pathogenicity through silencing, it is difficult to definitively associate the genomic location of specific RXLR effectors and neighboring transposons with reduced pathogenicity. However, the recent report of PiAvr2 may provide some evidence that transposon-initiated silencing of effectors may also occur naturally.

The PiAvr2 effector is recognized by the R2 resistance protein in potato, and initiates a defense response called the hypersensitive response, a form of programmed cell death that restricts the growth of invading pathogens, including P. infestans. Genotypes of P. infestans that are virulent on potato plants with the R2 gene express a sequence variant called PiAvr2-like that is not recognized by R2. Virulent isolates are typically homozygous for PiAvr2-like, while avirulent isolates may be homozygous for PiAvr2 or heterozygous. However, a small number of virulent genotypes are heterozygous, but express only PiAvr2-like. Other heterozygous isolates may express predominantly one allele. These results

![Figure 2](Image)
demonstrate an allele-specific inactivation of expression at this effector locus. The sequence and organization of the genomic region encompassing the PiAvr2 locus is highly variable between virulent and avirulent alleles, and is bounded by transposable element-derived sequences. The nearest of these transposons (transposase-like) is 231 bp from the 3' end of PiAvr2, which is within the range of heterochromatin formation determined experimentally.\(^{34}\) It remains to be determined if this proximity can influence PiAvr2 expression.

Transcriptional inactivation of avirulence effectors has also been observed in P. sojae, the soybean root rot pathogen. Genotypes have been identified that exhibit transcriptional inactivation of the PsAvr1a, 1b and 3a/5 avirulence genes.\(^{36,38}\) For PsAvr1a and 3a/5, transposable element sequences are located at the 3' end, or in the promoter of these genes, respectively.\(^{37,38}\) Although it is intriguing that transposons are found nearby transcriptionally inactivated effector genes, it should be cautioned that ascribing effector silencing events to transposons is complicated by the nature of effector gene evolution in P. infestans. That is, many RXLR effectors are part of gene families, with members exhibiting very closely related gene sequences.\(^{13}\) Furthermore, effectors may also exhibit copy number polymorphism between isolates.\(^{37}\) Transcriptional inactivation by silencing mechanisms may lead to a loss, or reduced transcription, for the entire gene family. However, such a possibility remains to be demonstrated.

Of the 563 RXLR effectors predicted from the P. infestans genome, a total of 283 are located within 2 kb of a transposon-derived sequence (Fig. 3). Of these, four contain transposon insertions, a further 35 are located within 300 bp of a transposon-derived sequence, and a total of 106 within 600 bp. PiAvr2, together with PiAvr4, PiAvrBlb1, and PiAvrBlb2 (reviewed in ref. 5) are found within 2 kb of transposon-derived sequences.

The possibility that P. infestans can vary the expression of a large proportion of pathogenicity effectors may contribute to its adaptability when confronted with resistant host plants. In addition to the sequence variation present within populations, it is possible that it can also use epigenetic mechanisms to alter its specific virulence and overcome plant resistance, and vary pathogenicity.

**Conclusions and Prospects**

Transposable elements are often called selfish or junk DNA, but they have had a profound influence on the evolution of the genomes, and likely the biology, of many fungal and oomycete plant pathogens. This is exemplified by P. infestans and closely related species that have greatly expanded genomes,\(^{39}\) assumed to be due to extensive transposon amplification. It has been proposed that the location of the majority of disease effector genes in transposon-rich, rapidly evolving, genomic regions is likely to have had an impact on adaptability to new host plants throughout evolution.\(^{39}\) The next step in understanding the influence that transposons have on the biology of P. infestans will be to determine the extent of influence from heterochromatin formation in close proximity to transposons. Small RNAs are considered to be centrally involved in many aspects of gene silencing, either post-transcriptional or transcriptional. High-throughput deep-sequencing of sRNAs will reveal if these sRNAs map to, and thus silence, the regions of genome spanning effectors and transposons. Such studies are presently comparatively rare for plant pathogens,\(^{40}\) but hold promise in determining the role(s) of transposons in influencing the expression and evolution of effector genes, and thus host range of plant pathogens.
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