Chiral expansion of nucleon PDF at $x \sim m_{\pi}/M_N$
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Based on the chiral perturbation theory, we investigate the low-energy dynamics of nucleon parton distributions. We show that in different regions of the momentum fraction $x$ the chiral expansion is significantly different. For nucleon parton distributions these regions are characterized by $x \sim 1$, $x \sim m_{\pi}/M_N$ and $x \sim (m_{\pi}/M_N)^2$. We derive extended counting rules for each region and obtain model-independent results for the nucleon parton distributions down to $x \gtrsim m_{\pi}^2/M_N^2 \approx 10^{-2}$.

I. INTRODUCTION

The investigation of the pion contribution to nucleon parton distribution functions (PDFs) started already in the early 70’s [1]. Roughly speaking, one can single out two utmost approaches. The first approach is based on the convolution model and on the interpretation of the pion cloud distribution as the amplitude of the Sullivan process, for details see [2]. The second one is based on the straightforward application of low-energy effective theories, such as chiral perturbation theory (ChPT), to PDFs, see e.g. [3]. Both of these approaches have their own advantages and disadvantages: the convolution model provides a simple and demonstrative interpretation, whereas the effective theory approach is based on a systematical expansion. In spite of superficial similarity of the approaches some of the results for the meson cloud contributions are in contradiction, for a recent comparison see [4].

The application of ChPT to pions shows itself to be very efficient and describes from the model-independent field theory-based point of view some well-known effects, such as, the increasing of the pion size in the chiral limit [5]. On the other hand the application of the low-energy
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FIG. 1: Diagrammatical illustration of a hard scattering process. The coefficient function $C$ is computable within perturbative QCD, whereas the coefficient function $W$ is computable within ChPT. The horizontal lines represent the Mellin convolution and the black blob is the parton distribution in the chiral limit.

effective theory to the non-local operators, appearing in the definition of parton distributions, is not straightforward. This is caused by the necessity to resum higher order terms in the chiral expansion \cite{6}. Recently such an analysis has been performed for the nucleon case \cite{7}. It has been shown that in the nucleon case the situation is more distinct, i.e., there are several regions in $x$ with significantly different structure of the chiral expansion, which has been earlier recognized in model considerations, see e.g. \cite{8}. In the following we shortly explain the uses of the meson-nucleon ChPT framework for the evaluation of nucleon parton distributions. The details and results of our calculation are/will be given in \cite{7, 9, 10}.

II. EFFECTIVE OPERATOR FOR NUCLEON PARTON DISTRIBUTIONS

Generalized parton distributions (GPDs) are very intricate and rich objects. To point out the framework, we consider for simplicity only non-skewed GPDs ($\Delta^+ = 0$) with nonzero $\Delta^2$-dependance, which reduce at $\Delta^2 = 0$ to nucleon PDFs, where we restrict us to the isovector combination. Expressions for $\Delta^+ \neq 0$, as well as, for other flavor combinations can be found in \cite{9}. These parton densities are defined via the nucleon matrix elements of the light-cone quark operators

$$
\int \frac{d\lambda}{2\pi} e^{-ix\lambda P^+} \langle p | O(\lambda) | p' \rangle \bigg|_{\Delta^+ = 0} = \frac{1}{P^+ u} \left( \gamma^+ q^{u-d}(x, \Delta^2) + \frac{i\sigma^{+\nu}}{2M} E^{u-d}(x, \Delta^2) \right) u,
$$

where $O(\lambda)$ is the unpolarized (or vector) quark operator of twist-two, $M$ is the nucleon mass, and $\Delta = p' - p$.

To calculate the matrix element (1) in an effective field theory, we have to find an effective operator in terms of hadronic degrees of freedom that possesses the symmetry properties as the
QCD operator $O(\lambda)$. This procedure can be understood as some kind of low-energy factorization, which separates the very low-energy dynamics of large distance interactions of a hadron with its meson cloud (which is governed by the spontaneous chiral symmetry braking) from the unknown dynamics of the hadron core. Visual representation of such a double factorization is presented in fig[1]. The unknown part $\hat{q}(x)$, representing the hadron core dynamics, enters via a generating function in the effective operator. Since the construction of the effective operator is only restricted by the quantum numbers of the QCD operator, the amount of possible effective operators is infinite. However, their number can be restricted by choosing a proper counting hierarchy. Note that the effective operator has indefinite twist since the effective degrees of freedom are of indefinite twist by themselves.

In order to calculate the chiral corrections to parton distributions, one should first find the counting rules for all dimensional quantities. In the meson-baryon ChPT one has the following counting rules

$$\partial_\mu \pi \sim m, \quad \partial_\mu N \sim M, \quad (2)$$

where the pion mass $m \ll M$. The pion mass is the small parameter of the chiral expansion

$$\frac{m}{4\pi F_\pi} = a_\chi \ll 1,$$

whereas, the nucleon mass violates the low-energy expansion: $\frac{M}{4\pi F_\pi} \sim 1$. There are several methods to bypass the violation of the chiral expansion by nucleon mass, such as, the heavy baryon theory [11], the extended on-mass-shell (EOMS) scheme [12], and several others. However, for the consideration of a nonlocal operator one should go beyond the standard power counting rules of meson-baryon ChPT. The reason is that the nonlocal operator has its own intrinsic dimensional scale: the light-cone separation $\lambda$. The chiral counting for $\lambda$ should be defined additionally.

At all that, the situation is significantly different for pion and nucleon parton distributions. The origin of the difference is the counting rules for the derivatives of pion and nucleon fields [2]. Let us demonstrate this fact explicitly. First of all, in order to apply the counting rules, we have to expand the matrix element of the light-cone operator in the set of local operators.

$$O(\lambda) = O^{(0)} + \lambda O^{(1)} + \lambda^2 O^{(2)} + \ldots, \quad (3)$$

where $O^{(n)} \sim \partial_+^n O(0)$.

Let us suppose now that the operator [3] contains only pion fields and that only a pion is present in the in/out-state. Then the chiral expansion for every individual local operator $O^{(n)}$ starts from $a_{\chi}^{n}$:

$$\langle \pi | O_\pi (\lambda) | \pi \rangle = \left[ q^{(0,0)} + a_\chi q^{(0,1)} + \ldots \right] + a_\chi \left[ a_\chi q^{(1,1)} + a_\chi^2 q^{(1,2)} + \ldots \right] + a_\chi^2 \left[ a_\chi^2 q^{(2,2)} + \ldots \right] + \ldots, \quad (4)$$
where \( a_\lambda = (4\pi F_\pi)\lambda \). One can see that if \( a_\lambda \sim a_\chi \) or \( a_\lambda \sim 1 \) only the first few terms contribute to the expansion at level \( \mathcal{O}(a_\chi) \). Such a picture corresponds to rather small light-cone separation, \( \lambda \sim (4\pi F_\pi)^{-1} \). In the regime \( a_\lambda \sim a_\chi^{-1} \), which implies \( \lambda \sim m^{-1} \), the series reorganizes:

\[
\langle \pi | O_\pi(\lambda) | \pi \rangle = q^{(0,0)} + a_\chi a_\lambda q^{(1,1)} + (a_\chi a_\lambda)^2 q^{(2,2)} + ... + a_\chi a_\lambda q^{(1,2)} + ... + ... ,
\]

where all terms in the brackets are of the same order. For large \( \lambda \), the higher order contributions of the expansion should be taken into account. However, in the definition of the parton distribution function all possible \( \lambda \)'s contribute, except for very large \( \lambda \) at which the Fourier exponent starts to oscillate. The effective region of integration is \( 0 < \lambda \lesssim (xp_+)^{-1} \). Therefore, in order to obtain the correct chiral expansion in, say \( x \sim 1 \), one should take \( a_\lambda \sim a_\chi^{-1} \) at least (since \( p_+ \sim m \)). The detailed discussion can be found in [13]. For lower \( x \) the higher order terms should be taken into account [6].

For the nucleon operator, or for the pion operator in the nucleon brackets, the structure of the chiral expansion is different. The point is that there is a possibility to get the nucleon mass scale via derivatives acting on the nucleon field, and therefore

\[
\langle N | O_N(\lambda) | N \rangle = q^{(0,0)} + a_\chi q^{(1,1)} + ... + a_\lambda q^{(2,2)} + ... + ... .
\]

One can see that at \( a_\lambda \sim 1 \) (or \( \lambda \sim M^{-1} \)) the expansion contains infinitely many terms of \( \mathcal{O}(a_\chi) \). Integration over the region \( 0 < \lambda \lesssim M^{-1} \) corresponds to \( x \sim 1 \). This regime has been considered in [3, 16, 17] and in many other articles. At such small \( \lambda \) the operator is almost local, and the result of calculation leads to the generally incorrect expression \( q(x, \Delta^2) = q(x)F(\Delta^2) \), where \( F \) is the corresponding form factor. The first significant reorganization of the series takes place at \( a_\lambda \sim a_\chi^{-1} \). This allows us to obtain corrections to parton distributions down to \( x \sim \frac{m^2}{M^2} = \alpha \). The next significant reorganization takes place at \( a_\lambda \sim a_\chi^{-2} \), which corresponds to \( x \sim \alpha^2 \). More details of the chiral expansion analysis for nucleon parton distributions are given in [4].

It is very inconvenient to deal with the parameter \( \lambda \) in a straightforward manner, moreover, due to the \( \lambda \)-integration we loose the guidance of the counting rules. In order to bypass these difficulties, we suggest to transfer the counting rules of \( \lambda \) to the light-cone vector \( n_\mu \), which accompanies the parameter \( \lambda \) in the definition of the parton distribution. Also we suggest to work directly with nonlocal operator (i.e., without expansion in \( \lambda \)). Note that one can rescale \( n_\mu \) without damaging the operator properties. Thus, we assume that \( \lambda \sim 1 \), whereas \( n_\mu \) changes its counting depending on \( x \). In the \( x \)-region, interesting for us, the counting rule for \( n_\mu \) reads

\[
n_\mu \sim \frac{1}{m} \quad \text{for} \quad x > \frac{m^2}{M^2} = \alpha^2 .
\]
The assumption $\lambda \sim 1$ prevents us from the expansion of the nonlocal operator. Therefore, all loop calculations are performed with nonlocal vertices.

Employing the new counting rules, we have constructed the operator suitable for the description of GPDs and PDFs in the range down to $x \sim \alpha$ for the vector and axial-vector cases in both the isovector and isoscalar sectors, which arise altogether from four different generating functions. Only two of them appear at the tree level and in the chiral limit they have the meaning of the corresponding parton distributions. The remaining two functions appear at one loop level and they have no simple interpretation. The isovector vector operator is a typical representative,

$$O_x^a(\lambda) = \int_{-1}^{1} d\beta \ N \left( -\frac{\beta \lambda}{2} \right) \gamma^+ \left[ \hat{q}(\beta) t^a_+ + \frac{q_2(\beta) - q_3(\beta)}{4} t^a_+ + \frac{\Delta \hat{q}(\beta) - q_2(\beta)}{4} \gamma^5 \hat{t}^a_+ \right] N \left( \frac{\beta \lambda}{2} \right),$$

where $\hat{q}(\beta)$ and $\Delta \hat{q}(\beta)$ are the isovector combinations of PDFs in the chiral limit, $q_2(\beta)$ and $q_3(\beta)$ are additional generating functions. The pion field combinations are

$$t^a_\pm = \frac{1}{2} \left( u^\dagger \left( -\frac{\beta \lambda}{2} \right) \tau^a u \left( \frac{\beta \lambda}{2} \right) \pm u \left( -\frac{\beta \lambda}{2} \right) \tau^a u \left( \frac{\beta \lambda}{2} \right) \right),$$

$$\hat{t}^a_\pm = \frac{1}{2} \left( u^\dagger \left( -\frac{\beta \lambda}{2} \right) \left[ \tau^a, U \left( \frac{\beta \lambda}{2} \right) \right] u \left( -\frac{\beta \lambda}{2} \right) \left[ \tau^a, U^\dagger \left( \frac{\beta \lambda}{2} \right) \right] u \left( \frac{\beta \lambda}{2} \right) + ... \right),$$

where $u^2 = U = \exp(i\pi^a r^a / 2F_\pi)$, and the dots denote the terms with commutators at the point $(-\beta \lambda/2)$. The axial-vector operator contains the same generating functions. Additionally, there is an operator which contains only the pion fields. It was derived in [13] and reads

$$O_\pi^a(\lambda) = -\frac{iF_\pi^2}{4} \int_{-1}^{1} d\beta \hat{Q}(\beta) \text{Tr} \left[ \tau^a \left( U^\dagger \left( -\frac{\beta \lambda}{2} \right) \hat{r}^a \hat{r}^a U \left( \frac{\beta \lambda}{2} \right) + U \left( -\frac{\beta \lambda}{2} \right) \hat{r}^a \hat{r}^a U^\dagger \left( \frac{\beta \lambda}{2} \right) \right) \right],$$

where $\hat{Q}(x)$ is the isovector pion PDF in the chiral limit. The complete expressions for the operators, and they normalizations can be found in [9, 10].

### III. Chiral Structure of the Nucleon

One of the main consequences of the different counting rules, applied to different regions in $x$, is that the resulting expression for the leading chiral correction is non-linear in $a^2_\chi$ rather than linear. The situation should be understood in the following way. On one hand, there is an “exact” (containing all orders of the perturbative expansion) expression $q(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a^n_\chi q^{(n)}(x)$. On the other hand, there is a truncated expression which contains, say, the leading term and the next-to-leading term: $q_t(x) = q^{(0)}(x) + a^2_\chi q^{(1)}(x)$. The difference between these two functions, $q(x) - q_t(x)$, is
FIG. 2: Diagrams relevant for the leading chiral correction to the unpolarized (vector) nucleon parton distribution. The crossed circle denotes the pure pion operator (9), the crossed box denotes the pion-nucleon operator (8).

not necessarily $O(a_\chi^3)$, but depending on $x$ it can be $O(a_\chi^3)$ or $O(a_\chi^0)$. Resumming particular higher order contributions, we obtain the expression which differs from the unknown “true” expression by $O(a_\chi^3)$ contributions.

Using the operators (8-9) and the counting rules (7), one can evaluate the leading nonanalytical contribution to the nucleon parton distributions. The analytical part cannot be evaluated that easy because it contains a large set of new generating functions. The diagram representation of the leading contribution is shown in fig. 2. The nucleon parton distribution is conveniently presented in the following form

$$q(x, \Delta^2) = \hat{q}(x) + \frac{M^2}{(4\pi F_\pi)^2} \int_{-1}^{+1} \frac{d\beta}{|\beta|} \theta \left( 0 < \frac{x}{\beta} < 1 \right) \left[ \hat{q} \left( \frac{x}{\beta} \right) C(\beta, \Delta^2) \right. $$

$$+ \left. \left( \Delta \hat{q} \left( \frac{x}{\beta} \right) - \frac{\hat{q}_2(\pi)}{2} \right) \Delta C(\beta, \Delta^2) + \hat{Q} \left( \frac{x}{\beta} \right) C_\pi(\beta, \Delta^2) \right],$$

where

$$C(x, \Delta^2) = - \left( 1 + \frac{5g_a^2}{2} \right) \delta(x) \alpha^2 \ln \alpha^2 + g_a^2 \int_0^{\bar{x}} d\eta \frac{x \alpha^2 - \frac{\Delta^2}{M^2} (\bar{x} - \eta (1 + x^2))}{\bar{x}^2 + \alpha^2 x - \frac{\Delta^2}{M^2} \eta (\bar{x} - \eta)},$$

$$\Delta C(x, \Delta^2) = g_a \delta(\bar{x}) \alpha^2 \ln \alpha^2 + 2g_a \bar{x} \ln \left( 1 + \frac{\alpha^2 x}{\bar{x}^2} \right),$$

$$C_\pi(x, \Delta^2) = (1 - g_a^2) \delta(x) \int_0^{1} d\eta \left( \alpha^2 - \eta \frac{\Delta^2}{M^2} \right) \ln \left( \alpha^2 - \eta \frac{\Delta^2}{M^2} \right) - 4g_a^2 x \ln \left( 1 + \frac{\alpha^2 x}{\bar{x}^2} \right) + 4g_a^2 \int_0^{\bar{x}} d\eta \frac{x \alpha^2 - x \eta \frac{\Delta^2}{M^2}}{\bar{x}^2 + \alpha^2 x - \frac{\Delta^2}{M^2} (\bar{x} - \eta)}.$$

with the axial-vector coupling constant $g_a \approx 1.27$, $\alpha = \frac{M}{M} \approx 0.15$, and $\bar{x} = 1 - x$. The evaluation has been done within the EOMS renormalization scheme. The structure of our result typically appear in a dispersive framework, see e.g. [14, 15], but never occurred in straightforward ChPT calculations [3, 16].

Indeed, one can see that in the region $x \sim 1$ our expressions can be straightforwardly expanded in $\alpha$. The first terms of the expansion coincide with the results obtained by means of Mellin
moments, see e.g. [17]. The region $x \sim \alpha$ is not described by the first terms of the $\alpha$-expansion, although it is still of order $O(\alpha^2)$. In the region $x \sim \alpha^2$ one cannot expand the functions $C$, $\Delta C$ and $C_\pi$ in $\alpha$, since they are altogether of order $\alpha^2$. These results are in agreement with [4] and can be compared in parts with dispersion analysis in [15].

Having at hand the model-independent result for the low-energy parameter behavior of the nucleon parton distributions one can consider various interesting aspects parton dynamics, such as, the role of the pion cloud in the nucleon, the size of the nucleon, the large-distance behavior of the nucleon, and many others. A brief inspection of expressions (10) shows the significant dominance of the pion operator in the region $x < \alpha$. This is also visualized in the left panel of fig. 3, where we show the relative chiral corrections for a standard PDF parametrization (taken as PDF in the chiral limit\(^1\)). One can see that the main contribution comes from the pion operator and that it this unevenly grows in the region $\alpha^2 \lesssim x \lesssim \alpha$. The behavior demonstrated in fig. 3 is universal, and holds for all quark and also antiquark PDFs. Recently, experimental data have been confronted with the description of the pion cloud model by means of the Sullivan process [18]. The main disagreement of data and the model prediction takes place in the region $x \sim \alpha$. Possibly, this disagreement can be reduced in our approach.

A more clear and model-independent result follows from the $\Delta^2$-dependance. The point is that the parton distribution in the chiral limit can be expressed via the standard PDF by solving the expression (10) at $\Delta^2 = 0$. Moreover, the transverse size of the nucleon, defined as $\langle \mathbf{b}^2(x) \rangle = \ldots$.

---

\(^1\) A more realistic treatment requires to take a PDF that is calculated in some model with massless quarks, e.g., in the light-front formulation of QCD.
$4d\ln q(x, \Delta^2)/d\Delta^2$ at $\Delta^2 = 0$, is insensible to the difference between $q(x)$ and $\tilde{q}(x)$ and also does not contain the unknown functions $\tilde{q}_2(x)$ and $\tilde{q}_3(x)$. Therefore, one can very precisely and in a model-independent way obtain an expression for the transverse size of the nucleon for the region $x \gtrsim \alpha^2$. In the right panel of fig. 2 we show our result for the transverse size vs. $x$. Technical details of our approach and qualitative estimates will be presented in [10].
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