Advantages of lung ultrasound in triage, diagnosis and monitoring COVID-19 patients: review
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Over the last decades, especially during the COVID-19 pandemic period, lung ultrasound (LUS) gained interest due to multiple advantages: radiation-free, repeatable, cost-effective, portable devices with a bedside approach. These advantages can help clinicians in triage, in positive diagnostic, stratification of disease forms according to severity and prognosis, evaluation of mechanically ventilated patients from Intensive Care Units, as well as monitoring the progress of COVID-19 lesions, thus reducing the health care contamination. LUS should be performed by standard protocol examination. The characteristic lesions from COVID-19 pneumonia are the abolished lung sliding, presence of multiple and coalescent B-lines, disruption and thickening of pleural line with subpleural consolidations. LUS is a useful method for post-COVID-19 lesions evaluation, highlight the remaining fibrotic lesions in some patients with moderate or severe forms of pneumonia.
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Introduction

Over the last decades, lung ultrasound (LUS) successfully entered into the international protocols for diagnosis of respiratory diseases (especially in emergency and for pleural damage evaluation). The first protocol was described by Daniel Liechtenstein in 2008, named the BLUE protocol, which identifies the cause of dyspnea and respiratory failure, with a bedside approach [1]. Since then, LUS has gained more and more interest even during the COVID-19 pandemic, because of its several advantages. Nowadays we have access to portable US devices (convenient for emergency medicine or ICU). LUS technique is repeatable and more affordable than computerized tomography (CT). In this work we aimed to review the utility and advantages of LUS in triage, suspicion raises diagnosis and monitoring the COVID-19 patients and highlighted the suggestive LUS findings associated with SARS-COV2 pneumonia.

What are the advantages of LUS in the COVID-19 pandemic period?

First of all, and the most important advantage in our opinion is that LUS is a non-irradiant, noninvasive, repeatable method, thus it can be suitable for the evaluation of severely ill patients, in the emergency room or in ICU and even it can be used in pregnant women. LUS can be performed at the patient’s bedside, integrates real-time imaging into the clinical decision, and does not claim special patient preparation which is important in the rapid triage of the patients with clinical suspicion of COVID-19.

In the last two years, it has been developed portable devices, even with wireless US probes that can be covered with sterile sheath and easily decontaminated before and after the procedure. All this contributes to reducing the crossover contamination of the healthcare providers and decreases the time of the investigation. Another advantage was described in 2009 during the influenza epidemic (H1N1) when it has been demonstrated that LUS could differentiate viral pneumonia from bacterial pneumonia [2].

LUS can identify pulmonary lesions in the early phase of the disease and evaluate the progression of COVID-19 lesions during the hospitalization along with patients' stratification by severity and prognosis. LUS may also highlight the remaining fibrotic lesions in some patients with moderate or severe forms of pneumonia and permits long-term follow-up.

Even though thoracic CT is considered the "gold standard" imaging method in diagnosing COVID-19 pneumonia, CT scans could, in several moments, be replaced with LUS examination, because CT is still irradiant and access to the CT of the high infectious patients is difficult. Thus, CT has a lack of repeatability in a short interval of time. More than that, many studies reported that LUS has comparable diagnostic accuracy with CT findings and superior to chest X-ray [3,29].

Other advantages of LUS and potential users are in triage for patients with acute respiratory failure (a prompt LUS examination can be done using BLUE protocol in less than 3 minutes) [4-6]. LUS is a complement diagnostic method in patients with high clinical suspicion of COVID-19 with inconclusive changes on chest x-ray and a negative result on RT-PCR nasopharyngeal swab test. Because patients’ transportation to radiology departments for CT evaluation is difficult or not possible (like in ICU), LUS associated with echocardiography is more effective in evalu-
ation and optimization of the treatment [7,8]. For critically ill patients (with bilateral and extensive B-lines and pleural irregularities) LUS is able to evaluate the need for mechanical ventilation and post procedures and detect complications like pneumothorax or pleural effusion [9-15].

**LUS technique**

LUS should be performed by a standard protocol of examination and two types of probes are suitable for examination [16,17]. The linear probe with high frequencies (9-12 MHz), allows evaluation of superficial structure focusing on pleural line and superficial parenchyma. The convex probe with lower frequencies (3-5 MHz) but higher capacity of penetration allows better visualization of deep parenchymal structures and the diaphragm and it is suitable for obese patients [33].

In the last years, US machines have incorporated the lung preset (special application) with a depth setting of 6-10 cm for full appreciation of the extension of B-lines. There are two possible approaches, scanning longitudinally when the probe is placed perpendicular to the ribs, and transverse/oblique approach when the probe is placed horizontally along with the intercostal space. The oblique approach is better because allows visualizing a larger part of the pleural line, which is not interrupted by the rib shadows. LUS should be performed in sitting position [33]. If the patient can't sit up, LUS must be performed in a supine position with the head of the bed elevated. Critically ill patients with mechanical ventilation can be evaluated by tilting the patient on the side. It has been reported in many studies that a more comprehensive examination in the 16 thoracic zones has better accuracy in diagnosing COVID-19 lesions. For example, dividing the chest wall into 4 regions on both sides by 5 anatomical lines (parasternal, anterior and posterior axillary, scapular and paravertebral), every region with further division into an upper and lower area [18].

Which are the most frequent and characteristic LUS patterns found in COVID-19?

The normal lung with well aeration is characterized by A-lines, horizontal equidistant and repetitive reverberation artifacts, parallel to the pleural line. As the air decrease and the fluid increase in the subpleural interlobular septa, B-line artifacts start to appear.

B-lines are vertical hyperechoic laser-like rays arising from the pleural line and extended to the edge of the screen, which move with respiration (a sign called lung-sliding). The B-line pattern is the most characteristic sign in COVID-19 with different varieties (separated B-lines, focal, multifocal, coalescent, "rocket sign", "light beam"), reported by most of the studies, with a predominantly posterior and inferior distribution [19-24]. The B-lines can coexist with A-lines, in the context of good aeration, called spared areas. When the aeration of the lung progressively decreases, the B-lines increase and become coalescent. When the extension of B-lines covered the entire rib space and persists during the entire respiratory cycle the “white lung” sign is described (suggesting very severe lesions and lack of ventilation) [24]. Some of these featured are presented in figure 1 (images taken from our patients from Pulmonology Clinic Târgu Mureș during COVID-19 pneumonia).

Another specific pattern found in COVID-19 patients is pleural thickening with disruption and subpleural consolidation, named “shred sign” [25]. Because the increase of thromboembolic events in COVID-19 was reported, small subpleural consolidation with disruption of the pleura may indicate subpleural microinfarctions and Doppler function of LUS or “contrast-enhanced US technique” should help us for a clear diagnostic [26]. Subpleural small consolida-
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![Fig. 1. Our experience regarding the LUS characteristic lesions found in COVID-19 patients (we used a linear probe with lung preset).](image-url)
tions can progress into large poorly vascularized or avascular consolidation with dynamic or static air bronchogram, which can indicate a bacterial superinfection or a severe evolution (total absence of ventilation) [27]. Pleural effusion was an extremely rare sign associated with COVID-19 lesions, reported by lots of studies [19,27,18].

Findings of these characteristic LUS patterns, even in the absence of RT PCR results, must help clinicians to take the decision of prompt isolation and treatment of the pulmonary lesions.

A recent Spanish study conducted in the Internal Medicine department by specialists (with long experience in LUS), examined 130 patients with COVID-19 using LUS in the first 24 hours after admission, during the hospitalization and at discharge. They examined 12 areas (2 anterior, 2 lateral and 2 posterior for each lung) and quantified the lung lesions by a score between 0 and 4, called „Lung Ultrasound Zaragoza Score“ (LUZ). This score corresponds to the characteristic of LUS findings described anteriorly and assigns the following score: a). 0 point for the presence of A-lines with a normal pleural line; b). 1 point for A-lines coexisting with isolated and small B-lines; c). 2 points for disappearing of A-lines, multiple B-lines alternating with normal parenchymal areas and pleural line thickening with small „bites“; d). 3 points for coalescent B-lines that fill the entire intercostal space (waterfall pattern) and e). 4 points for broken pleural line with subpleural consolidations (1 to 1.5 cm deep). The study reported that a LUZ-score of more than 22 predicted the severity of lung involvements with the necessity to patient’s transfer in the ICU and the need for invasive mechanical ventilation [29].

“Long COVID-19” and LUS follow up

The role of LUS in the evaluation of residual lung injury after acute COVID-19 is a subject in the progress of research. Clinicians noticed persisting symptoms and organ dysfunction in numerous patients who have recovered after COVID-19, especially in those who had severe and extensive pulmonary involvements. At 6 months after the SARS COV2 infection onset, a significant number of patients remain with persistent fatigue, dyspnea, muscle weakness, sleep difficulties, with ground glass opacities at high-resolution CT [34,35]. A lot of patients still required supplemental oxygen. A COVID-19 follow-up study described that after one month from the first LUS evaluation, subpleural consolidations on LUS predict the lasting symptoms and a more severe course of the residual pulmonary lesions [35]. Another study which evaluated the lung lesions after four months post-hospital discharge on a cohort of 127 COVID-19 patients, found that 15 patients developed interstitial lung disease (fibrosis), findings that are compatible with non-specific interstitial pneumonia (NSIP) on high-resolution CT and lung biopsy [36]. In a previous study, has been highlighted that 21 out of 49 COVID-19 patients developed lung fibrosis after 30 days from hospital admission [38]. This subject of long post-COVID evolution and complications is debatable and needs long-term follow-up to determine if those lesions could be influenced or they are irreversible. As a sign of recovery (with increasing aeration in the lung) described the reappearance of A-lines [37].

**Differential diagnosis of COVID-19 ultrasound patterns**

These patterns described anterior are not specific only for COVID-19 lesions and could be found in several pathologies. The ultrasound differential diagnosis of lesions and distribution can be seen in Table 1.

**Conclusions**

LUS plays a key role during the COVID-19 pandemic, as it is an affordable, non-irradiant, portable method and it has the potential to improve the management of COVID-19 patients. The use of portable LUS has to become a common practice in emergency departments for triage and patients’ stratification and in pulmonology and ICU departments for diagnosing the characteristic COVID-19 abnormalities and monitoring the disease evolution. LUS could be in the future an accessible tool for monitoring the post COVID-19 status.

| Table 1. Differential diagnosis of COVID-19 ultrasound patterns |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **COVID-19** | **Cardiogenic pulmonary oedema [31]** | **Bacterial Pneumonia [32]** | **Interstitial Lung disease [33,34]** |
| **Distribution** | Patchy lesions with spared areas | Diffuse and bilateral lesions, without spared areas | Focal |
| **Lung Sliding** | Abolished | Present | Abolished |
| **B-lines** | Non-gravity related. | Homogenous, gravity-related. | Not frequent |
| Focal, separated and more often coalescent (white lung) | Usually separated. | Only in the case of focal interstitial syndrome |
| **Pleural line** | Irregular, thickened, discontinued | Regular and thin, no interruption seen | Not visible because of the consolidation |
| **Consolidation** | Subpleural consolidation (small and peripheral). Larger and deeper consolidation less frequent (seen in critically ill patients with bacterial superinfection) | Not present, only when a large pleural effusion causes compressive atelectasis | Large hypechoic, “tissue-like” image with dynamic or static bronchogram |
| **Pleural effusion** | Rare | Frequent usually bilateral (transudate-anechoic) | Usually small, with plankton sign (exudate-hypechoic) |
| | | | | |
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