Abstract

Background The Acute Care Surgery (ACS) model was developed as a dedicated service for the provision of 24/7 nontrauma emergency surgical care. This systematic review investigated which components are essential in an ACS model and the state of implementation of ACS models worldwide.

Methods A literature search was conducted using PubMed, MEDLINE, EMBASE, Cochrane library, and Web of Science databases. All relevant data of ACS models were extracted from included articles.

Results The search identified 62 articles describing ACS models in 13 countries. The majority consist of a dedicated nontrauma emergency surgical service, with daytime on-site attending coverage (cleared from elective duties), and 24/7 in-house resident coverage. Emergency department coverage and operating room access varied widely. Critical care is fully embedded in the original US model as part of the acute care chain (ACC), but is still a separate unit in most other countries. While in most European countries, ACS is not a recognized specialty yet, there is a tendency toward more structured acute care.

Conclusions Large national and international heterogeneity exists in the structure and components of the ACS model. Critical care is still a separate component in most systems, although it is an essential part of the ACC to provide the best pre-, intra- and postoperative care of the physiologically deranged patient. Universal acceptance of one global ACS model seems challenging; however, a global consensus on essential components would benefit any healthcare system.

Introduction

Delivering adequate healthcare to the acutely ill surgical patient has been a challenge for decades. Over the years, the quality of acute care improved significantly. However, due to increasing numbers of patients presenting to the emergency department (ED), analysis and distribution of resources has become even more important [1, 2]. In response to the lack of dedicated and well-organized services for the provision of non-traumatic emergency surgical care, the American Association for the Surgery of Trauma (AAST) initiated the development of the Acute Care Surgery (ACS) model, which was subsequently...
adopted in most institutions offering emergency surgical care across the United States (US) [3].

Initially, most high-income countries worldwide had a traditional on-call model, comprising of a rotating pool of surgeons managing most or all emergency surgical case-load in addition to elective duties [4]. No dedicated team was available, the surgeon on-call was often not on-site, and most emergency surgery was performed either in after-hours when an operating room (OR) was available, or elective cases were canceled in order to perform those interventions.

This changed with the implementation of the original (US) ACS model, with fundamental components like a dedicated surgical team (surgeon, residents, nursing staff) separated from other surgical services, and the inclusion of surgical critical care. Resources, infrastructure, and surgical skills were combined to provide care for all surgical emergencies 24/7 [5–8]. Hence, the attending surgeon staffing the ACS service today is accountable for the whole Acute Care Chain (ACC), being broadly trained in emergency general surgery, trauma surgery, and critical care. Thus, concerns regarding the increasing subspecialization of surgeons, and subsequent decline in expertise and quality of care for general surgical emergencies are attacked [3]. Furthermore, the ACS model counteracted the decreased interest in trauma surgery due to the increasing non-operative nature of the field, by integrating trauma with emergency general surgery, thereby increasing the trauma surgeon’s operative workload and clinical productivity [5, 8–13].

The model has shown to be a necessary addition to the healthcare system with improved patient outcomes and cost-effectiveness [4, 6, 7, 13–20]. Several variations of this original ACS model have gained popularity around the world [21]. However, the structure of the different models varies broadly and it remains unclear which components constitute an optimal model, and whether this model could be uniformly implemented worldwide. The aim of this systematic review is to investigate which components are essential for a uniform ACS model, by giving an overview of the current available ACS models worldwide and their state of implementation.

**Materials and methods**

This systematic literature review was performed using the guidelines of the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses statement (PRISMA) [22]. Methods, inclusion criteria, and objectives were gathered in a protocol and registered in PROSPERO (ID: CRD42019118449).

**Search strategy**

A literature search was conducted using PubMed, MEDLINE, EMBASE, Cochrane library, and Web of Science databases. An additional literature search was conducted to identify relevant meeting abstracts. The search strategy was devised with the help of a medical librarian expert from Leiden University Medical Center. The final search was performed on 11 September 2018. The search terms included "acute care surgery," "acs," "emergency surgery," "es," "worldwide," "systems," "trauma and acute care," "economics."

**Selection of articles**

Articles from January 2000 until September 2018 were included. Titles of articles identified by the search were screened for relevancy. Titles and abstracts of identified articles were then screened for relevancy. Any disagreement about the relevancy of titles and abstracts was resolved by discussion between the two reviewers (MVDW and GVDW), if needed with involvement of a third author (RH). The full text of included abstracts was retrieved. We included articles providing an extensive description of an ACS model, such as studies reporting on patient outcomes, surgeon satisfaction and opinion on ACS, cultural differences, and financial implications of ACS models. In addition, only articles in English and Dutch were included. Articles that exclusively focused on outcomes in pediatric or geriatric patients, education or training were excluded. Additionally, the reference lists of included articles were screened for relevant studies. We also included grey literature from websites of surgical societies, manuscripts, meeting abstracts, and additional literature received through contact with local experts. The search strategy for meeting abstracts is provided in Appendix 1

**Data extraction**

Data extraction was performed by breaking down all models in relevant structural components, in a table using Microsoft® Excel version 16.23.

| Relevant structural components of ACS models |
|---------------------------------------------|
| • Region/country                           |
| • Type of model                             |
| • Dedicated team: yes/no                    |
| • Dedicated unit: yes/no                    |
| • Elective duties of attending surgeon      |
| • Dedicated operating room (OR) access      |
| • Service coverage                          |
Relevant structural components of ACS models

- ED coverage
- Trauma coverage
- Critical care coverage

Quality assessment

No quality-assessment tool for descriptive literature exists to our knowledge. The Newcastle–Ottawa Scale (NOS) is a validated tool designed for assessing the quality of non-randomized studies, but not specifically descriptive research [23]. We found the NOS the most suitable tool to assess quality of included studies. Two authors (MVDW and GVDW) independently assessed study quality. Any discrepancies were resolved by consensus discussion, with involvement of a third author (RH) if needed. Study quality was rated “high,” “medium” or “low” according to points awarded for each domain [24]. The complete NOS scores are provided in Appendix 2.

Results

Study characteristics

The search identified 1292 articles; another 243 meeting abstracts were identified through an additional search. After removal of duplicates, 1502 abstracts were screened, and 134 full-text articles were evaluated after removal of irrelevant abstracts. After applying exclusion criteria, 58 full-text articles and meeting abstracts were eligible for inclusion, as well as four articles from additional sources (grey literature). In total, 62 articles describing ACS model-variations in 13 countries were included (Figs. 1, 2 and Tables 1, 2). The structural components of the model described in each article are summarized in Table 2.

North America

Eighteen studies described ACS models in the USA [5–8, 10–14, 16–20, 25–28]. The majority of studies described a dedicated ACS service with daytime on-site attending coverage, and dedicated resident rotations [5, 7, 8, 10, 11, 14, 28]. Most models provided trauma [7, 8, 10, 11, 14, 17–20, 25, 27]—and/or critical care [6, 7, 10, 11, 18–20, 25, 27], seven studies reported a completely separate service or subunit [5–7, 10, 16, 19, 20]. The elective duties of attending surgeons were cleared in seven, [5, 6, 12–14, 20, 28] eight had protected operating room (OR) time, [6, 8, 11, 13, 14, 19, 26, 27], and six provided ED coverage by attendings and/or residents [5–7, 14, 17, 20]. These components were not frequently described in other articles. Only two articles reported ACS surgeons were trained to provide critical care but did not specifically describe ICU coverage [26, 28].

Eight studies discussed ACS models in Canada [9, 15, 29–34]. The majority of the articles described a dedicated ACS service with on-site daytime attending coverage in which the attending surgeon was cleared of elective duties, exclusively providing non-traumatic emergency surgical care and daytime protected OR time, varying from 5 to 8 h per day. Other structural features of ACS models reported in these articles included a service that solely consisted of a dedicated surgeon [29, 31, 34], on-site night-time attending coverage [9, 33], 24-hour resident coverage [9]. Two articles described a separate (sub)unit for the ACS service. In four articles, the ACS team was responsible for ED emergency surgical consultations [15, 29, 31, 33]. Critical care was not described as an ACS component in any of the included articles.

South America

Poggetti et al. [35] reported on the early development of an ACS model in Brazil. No dedicated ACS model was described, only specialists working in-house 12 to 24-hour shifts, covering trauma and nontrauma emergency surgical services. Critical care is provided separately by anesthetists or specialists trained in critical care.

Australasia

Twenty-three articles from Australasia (Australia and New Zealand) described Acute Surgical Unit (ASU) models for the provision of acute care surgery [36–58]. ASU features that were repeatedly mentioned included a dedicated, consultant (attending)-led ACS service, with clearance of the attending surgeon’s elective workload, daytime on-site attending coverage, 24/7 coverage by dedicated residents, and on-call from home night-time attending coverage. All New Zealand articles reported 24/7 dedicated OR access, whereas Australian articles mainly reported daytime or shared protected OR time [37, 38, 40–46, 50–53]. None of the included articles reported on-site night-time attending coverage of an ASU. Six of the ASU’s described were a separate (sub)unit from other surgical services [36–38, 41–43]. Six articles described coverage of the ED by the ASU team or resident during working hours [37, 38, 43, 46, 48, 49]. None of the articles reported ICU
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Fig. 1 Flowchart of included studies
coverage or provision of critical care. Trauma care was reported in 4 articles [38, 47–49].

Europe

United Kingdom (UK)

Five articles described ACS models in the UK [59–63]. Two articles described the same Emergency Surgical Unit (ESU) model [59, 60]. The majority of the articles described a dedicated team operating within an independent (sub)unit, with daytime on-site attending coverage provided by a surgeon without elective duties, night-time on-call attending coverage, and round-the-clock coverage by dedicated residents. Four articles reported dedicated OR access, predominantly via a shared or attending-controlled OR list [59, 60, 62, 63]. One article reported attending coverage of the ED [61], but another article described a Surgical Assessment Unit (SAU) where patients are assessed by the attending [62]. None of the articles reported critical care or trauma care to be provided by the ACS service. One article described a surgical triage unit (STU) aimed at improving clinical efficiency by assessing and triaging surgical patients [61].

Continental Europe

Two articles reported on ACS models in Scandinavia [35, 64]. One article from Sweden described a dedicated ACS unit separated from other services with a 28-bed acute surgical ward, with attendings cleared from elective workload, daytime on-site attending coverage, 24/7 on-site coverage by residents dedicated to the unit, night-time on-call attending coverage, and shared dedicated OR time. Furthermore, the unit provided ED, ICU, and trauma coverage. The article from Finland did not describe an existing ACS model. Emergency surgical care is provided by all university—and central hospitals, via a traditional on-call model or by 24 h in-house specialists from large surgical specialties. These surgeons do not provide critical care.

Asia

Two articles were found, from Singapore and Taiwan, respectively [65, 66]. The current model in Singapore consists of a consultant (attending)-led, dedicated emergency surgery and trauma team (ESAT), with an in-house attending cleared from elective duties and present during daytime. This model includes a separate ward and trauma coverage. Resident coverage, OR access, ED, and critical care coverage were not described. In Taiwan, a 24/7 in-house trauma surgeon, who is not cleared from clinical duties covering all trauma and non-trauma surgical
| Author               | Year | Country | Study design   | Model  | NOS Score | Quality rating |
|----------------------|------|---------|----------------|--------|-----------|----------------|
| Beardsley et al. [37]| 2013 | Australia | Retrospective | SAPU   | 6         | Low            |
| Cox et al. [38]      | 2010 | Australia | Report         | ASU    | –         | –              |
| Dickfos et al. [39]  | 2017 | Australia | Retrospective  | RAMS   | 5         | Low            |
| Lancashire [43]      | 2014 | Australia | Retrospective  | ASU    | 6         | Low            |
| Allaway et al. [36]  | 2017 | Australia | Retrospective  | ASU    | 6         | Low            |
| Gandy et al. [40]    | 2010 | Australia | Retrospective  | ACS    | 6         | Low            |
| Guy et al. [41]      | 2018 | Australia | Retrospective  | ASU    | 6         | Low            |
| Kinneer et al. [42]  | 2017 | Australia | Retrospective  | ASU    | 7         | Med            |
| Lehane et al. [44]   | 2010 | Australia | Retrospective  | ACS    | 6         | Med            |
| Musiienko et al. [45]| 2016 | Australia | Retrospective  | ASU    | 8         | High           |
| Parasyin et al. [46] | 2009 | Australia | Retrospective  | ACS    | 5         | Low            |
| Pepingo et al. [47]  | 2012 | Australia | Retrospective  | ASU    | 6         | Low            |
| Shakerian et al. (Br J Surg) [49] | 2015 | Australia | Retrospective  | ASU    | 8         | High           |
| Shakerian et al. (2) (World J Surg) [48] | 2015 | Australia | Retrospective  | ASU    | 8         | High           |
| Suhardja et al. [50] | 2015 | Australia | Retrospective  | ASU    | 6         | Low            |
| Von Conrado et al. [51]| 2010 | Australia | Retrospective  | ASU    | 6         | Low            |
| Wang et al. [52]     | 2018 | Australia | Financial analysis | ASU   | –         | –              |
| Suen et al. [53]     | 2013 | Australia | Retrospective  | EGS    | 6         | Low            |
| Poggetti et al. [35] | 2009 | Bra/Fin/USA | Descriptive    | –      | –         | –              |
| Anantha et al. [29]  | 2015 | Canada    | Retrospective  | ACCESS | 6         | Low            |
| DeGirolamo et al. [30]| 2018 | Canada    | Multicenter observational | EGS   | –         | –              |
| Faryniuk et al. [31] | 2013 | Canada    | Retrospective  | ACSS   | 6         | Low            |
| Kreindler et al. [32]| 2012 | Canada    | Retrospective  | ACS    | 7         | Med            |
| Lim et al. [9]       | 2013 | Canada    | Retrospective  | ACS    | 6         | Low            |
| Qureshi et al. [15]  | 2013 | Canada    | Pre-post       | ACCESS | 6         | Low            |
| Van Zyl et al. [33]  | 2018 | Canada    | Prospective    | ACS    | 8         | High           |
| Wanis et al. [34]    | 2014 | Canada    | Retrospective  | ACS    | 6         | Low            |
| Hsee et al.(World J Surg) [54]| 2012 | New Zealand | Retrospective  | ASU    | 6         | low            |
| Hsee et al. (ANZ J Surg) [55]| 2012 | New Zealand | Descriptive    | ASU    | –         | –              |
| Pillai et al. [56]   | 2013 | New Zealand | Retrospective  | ASU    | 6         | Low            |
| Poh et al. [57]      | 2013 | New Zealand | Retrospective  | ASU    | 6         | Low            |
| Poole et al. [58]    | 2011 | New Zealand | Descriptive    | ACS team | –     | –              |
| Mpirimbanyi et al. [69]| 2017 | Rwanda    | Cross-sectional | –      | –         | –              |
| Mathur et al. [65]   | 2018 | Singapore | Retrospective  | ESAT   | 6         | Low            |
| Al Ayoubi et al. [64]| 2012 | Sweden    | Quality control | ACST Unit | –  | –              |
| Fu et al. [66]       | 2014 | Taiwan    | Pre-post       | ACS    | 6         | Low            |
| Dresser et al. [70]  | 2017 | Uganda    | Descriptive    | ECP    | 6         | Low            |
| Bokhari et al. [59]  | 2015 | UK        | Audit          | ESU    | 6         | Low            |
| Bokhari et al. [60]  | 2016 | UK        | Retrospective  | ESU    | 7         | Med            |
| Navarro et al. [61]  | 2017 | UK        | Retrospective  | STU    | 6         | Low            |
| Sorelli et al. [62]  | 2008 | UK        | Retrospective  | Dedicated EGS surgeon | 6 | Low |
emergencies while also covering the ED, was described. No separate ward, OR access, nor critical care was described.

Africa

Two studies described an acute care and general surgical unit (ACGSU) at the same hospital in South Africa [67, 68]. It consists of a dedicated, separate unit with an independent ward, and round-the-clock resident coverage by dedicated residents who are supported by on-call attendings. No dedicated OR time is available. The unit covers the ED, but does not provide critical care or trauma care.

No comprehensive ACS model was in place in Rwanda and Uganda [69, 70].

Discussion

Our systematic review provides a comprehensive overview outlining the structural features of the different ACS models implemented worldwide, thereby determining which components are essential to comprise one uniform system and whether that would be desirable.

Worldwide, a transition in the acute care chain is seen, with adoption of various ACS models in high-income countries for the structured and dedicated provision of emergency general surgical care. However, we found that extensive national and international heterogeneity exists in the structure of ACS models, most likely due to discrepancies in healthcare environment, hospital infrastructure, and available resources [26]. We identified relevant structural components of ACS services using the criteria for ACS models formulated by the AAST Committee for

| Author                  | Year | Country | Study design | Model                  | NOS Score | Quality rating |
|-------------------------|------|---------|--------------|------------------------|-----------|----------------|
| Tincknell et al. [63]   | 2009 | UK      | Audit        | EST                    | –         | –              |
| Santry et al. [26]      | 2015 | USA     | Survey       | ACS/On-call/Hybrid     | –         | –              |
| Austin et al. [5]       | 2005 | USA     | Retrospective| EGS                    | 6         | Low            |
| Barnes et al. [10]      | 2011 | USA     | Retrospective| ACS                    | –         | –              |
| Britt et al. [6]        | 2009 | USA     | Retrospective| ACS                    | 6         | Low            |
| Bruns et al. [13]       | 2016 | USA     | Retrospective| ACES                   | 5         | Low            |
| Cherry-Bukowiec et al. [12] | 2012 | USA | Retrospective| NTE                    | 6         | Low            |
| Ciesla et al. [7]       | 2011 | USA     | Retrospective| ACS                    | –         | –              |
| Cubas et al. [14]       | 2012 | USA     | Retrospective| ACS                    | 6         | Low            |
| Diaz et al. [16]        | 2011 | USA     | Retrospective| ACS                    | 6         | Low            |
| Ekeh et al. [17]        | 2008 | USA     | Retrospective| ACS                    | 6         | Low            |
| Garland et al. [27]     | 2007 | USA     | Retrospective| ACS                    | –         | –              |
| Ladhani et al. [28]     | 2018 | USA     | Retrospective| EGS                    | 7         | Med            |
| Matsushima et al. [8]   | 2011 | USA     | Retrospective| ACS                    | 8         | High           |
| Miller et al. [18]      | 2012 | USA     | Retrospective| ACS                    | 4         | Low            |
| Procter et al. [19]     | 2013 | USA     | Financial analysis| ACS            | –         | –              |
| Pryor et al. [20]       | 2004 | USA     | Retrospective| EGS                    | 6         | Low            |
| Santry et al. [25]      | 2014 | USA     | Interview analysis| ACS        | –         | –              |
| Sweeting et al. [11]    | 2013 | USA     | Financial analysis| ACS        | –         | –              |
| Moodie [68]             | 2015 | RSA     | Audit        | ACGSU                  | –         | –              |
| Klopper et al. [67]     | 2017 | RSA     | Retrospective| ACGSU                  | –         | –              |

NOS, Newcastle–Ottawa Scale (study designs other than case–control –or cohort studies could not be scored using the Newcastle–Ottawa Scale); SAPU, Surgical Assessment and Planning Unit; ASU, Acute Surgical Unit; ACS, Acute Care Surgery; RAMS, Rapid Assessment Medical Surgical Unit; EGS, emergency general surgery service; ACCESS, Acute Care Emergency Surgery Service; ACSS, acute care surgical service; ESAT, Emergency Surgery and Trauma Team; ACST, Acute Care Surgery and Trauma; ECP, emergency care practitioner; ESU, emergency surgical unit; STU, Surgical Triage Unit; EST, emergency surgical team; ACES, NTE, Nontrauma Emergency Surgery service; ACGSU, acute care and general surgical unit; ANZ J Surg, ANZ Journal of Surgery; World J Surg, World Journal of Surgery; Retrospective, Retrospective cohort study
### Table 2 Components of ACS models worldwide

| Region/country | ACS model | Dedicated team | Dedicated unit | Elective duties surgeon | Dedicated OR access | Coverage | ED coverage | Trauma coverage | Critical care coverage |
|----------------|-----------|----------------|----------------|-------------------------|---------------------|---------|-------------|-----------------|-----------------------|
| **North America** | | | | | | | | | | |
| USA | | | | | | | | | | |
| ACS [5–8, 10, 11, 13, 14, 16–19, 25–28] | Yes | Varied | Varied | Varied | In-house | Not reported* | In-house | Not reported* | Varied | Included | Included |
| NTE [12] | Yes | Not reported* | Not reported* | Not reported* | In-house | Not reported* | Not reported* | Not reported* | Not reported* | Not included | Not included |
| EGS and trauma service [20] | Yes | Yes | Not reported* | Not reported* | In-house | In-house | On-call | In-house | Yes | Included | Included |
| **Canada** | | | | | | | | | | |
| ACS/ACCESS [9, 15, 29–34] | Yes | No | Daytime | Daytime | In-house | Not reported* | Not reported* | Not reported* | No | Not included | Not reported* |
| **South America** | | | | | | | | | | |
| Brazil [35] | None | No | No | Not reported* | Not reported* | Not reported* | Not reported* | Not reported* | Not reported* | Included | Not included |
| **Australia/New Zealand** | | | | | | | | | | |
| ASU (consultant led) [36, 38, 41–43, 45–52] | Yes | No | Cleared | Yes | In-house | In-house | On-call | In-house | Not reported* | Included | Not included |
| **Australia** | | | | | | | | | | |
| SAPU [37] | Yes | Yes | Not reported* | Yes | On-call | In-house | On-call | In-house | Yes | Not reported* | Not reported* |
| RAMS [39] | No | Yes | No | No | On-call | Not reported* | Not reported* | Not reported* | Not included | Not included | Not reported* |
| **Australia** | | | | | | | | | | |
| ACS/EGS service (consultant led) [40, 44, 46, 53] | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | In-house | In-house | On-call | In-house | Yes | Not included | Not reported* |
| **Europe** | | | | | | | | | | |
| United Kingdom | | | | | | | | | | |
| ESU [59, 60] | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | In-house | Not reported* | On-call | Not reported* | Not included | Not reported* | Not reported* |
| STU [61] | Yes | Yes | n/a** | n/a** | In-house | In-house | On-call | Not reported* | Yes | n/a** | n/a** |
| Single dedicated EGS surgeon [62] | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | In-house | In-house | On-call | Not reported* | Yes | Not reported* | Not reported* |
| EST [63] | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | In-house | In-house | On-call | In-house | On-call | Not included | Not reported* |
| **Sweden** | | | | | | | | | | |
| ACST Unit [64] | Yes | Yes | Shared | Shared | In-house | In-house | On-call | In-house | Yes | Included | Included |
| **Finland** | | | | | | | | | | |
| Traditional on-call [35] | | | | | | | | | | |
| **Asia** | | | | | | | | | | |
| Singapore | | | | | | | | | | |
| ESAT [65] | Yes | Yes | Not reported* | Not reported* | In-house | Not reported* | On-call | Not reported* | Not included | Not reported* |
| **Taiwan** | | | | | | | | | | |
| ACS (single surgeon) [66] | No | No | Not reported* | Not reported* | In-house | Not reported* | In-house | Not reported* | Yes | Included | Not reported* |
| **Africa** | | | | | | | | | | |
| South Africa | | | | | | | | | | |
| ACGSU [67, 68] | Yes | Yes | No | No | In-house | In-house | On-call | In-house | Yes | Not included | Not included |
| Rwanda | None [69] | | | | | | | | | |

*Not reported*
Acute Care Surgery (ACS), the GSA 12-point plan (Table 3), and components frequently reported in the ACS literature (Table 2) [3, 71].

Previous systematic reviews have focused on clinical and financial outcomes of ACS models [21]. A recent systematic review from New Zealand compared ACS models in Australasia, UK, and Europe using the General Surgeons Australia’s (GSA) 12-point plan (Table 3), but only included a few hospitals and their specific models [72].

Components included in a majority of the models were a dedicated surgical service covering all non-trauma emergency surgery, with daytime on-site attending coverage, clearance of attending’s elective duties, and 24/7 coverage by dedicated residents. (Table 2) Round-the-clock on-site attending coverage, one of the initial aims of the ACS model designed by the AAST, was only reported in articles from the USA and the article from Taiwan [3]. ACS wards or (sub)units separated from other surgical services were reported in the UK, Sweden, South Africa, and Singapore.

Trauma care was only frequently reported in articles from the USA. In Canada, ACS services exclusively cover non-traumatic surgical emergencies [4]. This is in contrast with the model in the USA, which revolves around an acute and critical care trained trauma surgeon, and hence, logically, covers trauma. However, in Canada, ACS is mostly provided by general surgeons. The latter is also the case in Australasia, the UK, South Africa, Singapore, and Sweden.

Except for South Africa, emergency surgery models are not implemented yet in Africa; their focus is overall access to (emergency) healthcare, by improving infrastructure and availability of resources.

Critical care was added as an important entity within the original ACS model; completing the acute care chain (ACC). Although important in the US models, it is structurally missing or not reported in articles from other countries, including Canada [3]. In our vision, it is essential to the concept of ACS that a patient is being followed from arrival in the ED up until discharge, covering the full spectrum of care for acutely ill surgical patients. Peri-operatively, these acutely ill patients are in a state of survival. Peri-operative management of these patients focuses on damage control and powerful resuscitation. Therefore, critical care is a necessary component of the ACC, providing the full range of treatment for these physiologically deranged surgical patients. Hence, ACS surgeons should also be trained in that part of the pathophysiology.

OR access was only regularly described in Australasia, UK, and Sweden. In addition, if reported, it varied from shared access or a few hours per day, to 24/7 access (only in New Zealand). In the USA, only eight articles mentioned protected OR time, although it is a standard component of the original ACS model. ED coverage was reported in

| Region/country | ACS model | Dedicated team | Dedicated unit | Elective duties | Trauma coverage | Critical care coverage |
|----------------|-----------|----------------|---------------|----------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
| Uganda        | None (ECP) [70] |                |               |                |                   |                       |
| ACS, Acute Care Surgery | Sur, attending surgeon; Res, resident surgeon; NTE, non-trauma emergency service | Sur, attending surgeon; Res, resident surgeon; NTE, non-trauma emergency service | Sur, attending surgeon; Res, resident surgeon; NTE, non-trauma emergency service | Sur, attending surgeon; Res, resident surgeon; NTE, non-trauma emergency service | Sur, attending surgeon; Res, resident surgeon; NTE, non-trauma emergency service | Sur, attending surgeon; Res, resident surgeon; NTE, non-trauma emergency service |
| RAMS, Rapid Assessment Medical Surgical Team | ED, emergency department; OR, operating room; NTE, non-trauma emergency service; ACCESS, Acute Care Emergency Surgery Service; ESG, emergency surgical group; Env, emergency department | ED, emergency department; OR, operating room; NTE, non-trauma emergency service; ACCESS, Acute Care Emergency Surgery Service; ESG, emergency surgical group; Env, emergency department | ED, emergency department; OR, operating room; NTE, non-trauma emergency service; ACCESS, Acute Care Emergency Surgery Service; ESG, emergency surgical group; Env, emergency department | ED, emergency department; OR, operating room; NTE, non-trauma emergency service; ACCESS, Acute Care Emergency Surgery Service; ESG, emergency surgical group; Env, emergency department | ED, emergency department; OR, operating room; NTE, non-trauma emergency service; ACCESS, Acute Care Emergency Surgery Service; ESG, emergency surgical group; Env, emergency department | ED, emergency department; OR, operating room; NTE, non-trauma emergency service; ACCESS, Acute Care Emergency Surgery Service; ESG, emergency surgical group; Env, emergency department |
| In-house: surgeon/resident is on-call on site |                       |               |                |                |                   |                       |
| On-call: surgeon/resident is on-call but not on site |                       |               |                |                |                   |                       |
| Dedicated team: separate surgical service director, attending surgeons, residents and assistants, dedicated to the provision of ACS |                       |               |                |                |                   |                       |
| Dedicated unit: ACS team has a separate (sub)unit or ward; ED coverage: emergency surgery team is concerned with the initial assessment or surgical consultation of patients in the Emergency Department |                       |               |                |                |                   |                       |
| Not reported: it is unknown whether a structural feature is part of a model because it was not reported in included articles. No structural feature was described in included articles but not part of the model |                       |               |                |                |                   |                       |
| **STU is a triage unit and does not perform interventions** |                       |               |                |                |                   |                       |
Sweden, South Africa, and Taiwan. In our opinion, both dedicated OR access and ED coverage are a key component to streamline clinical care delivery and improve quality of care. Similar to the critical care component, these components are essential to complete the ACC. Such a structure would ensure rapid assessment and management of acute surgical patients, decreased after-hours operating, and thus improved quality of care.

Although the rationale for the development of an ACS model also exists in Europe, healthcare systems in Europe are still lacking a dedicated model. Uranues performed a survey including 18 countries, to determine whether a European ACS model exists [73]. They reported that it did not, and that ACS is not recognized as a separate specialty. Models involving emergency surgery are developed in line with country-specific factors, such as the political and socioeconomic situation and varied extensively within countries. In addition, the article reported varying levels of support for the model in participating countries. In the majority of the European countries, surgical emergencies are managed by surgical subspecialists according to the type of emergency (e.g., abdominal, trauma, etc.). No distinction was made between trauma and non-trauma in the management of surgical emergencies. Furthermore, elective and emergency surgical work streams are not separated in most European centers, and there are no dedicated resources for acute care surgery [73]. Hence, there is no consensus on whether an ACS system and ACS as a subspecialty are desirable, and if so, in what form. One of the reasons might be the difference in the specialty of trauma surgery. In continental Europe, trauma surgery comprises both skeletal and visceral trauma, whereas in other countries, including the USA, it only includes visceral trauma (skeletal trauma is part of the orthopedics department). That difference results in the question which surgeon should take the role of acute care surgeon. It is debatable whether ACS should be part of the gastro-intestinal department instead of the trauma department [73].

A possibility for an optimal, unified European model may be in line with the GSA 12-point plan, in which general surgeons provide emergency surgery, meaning that both GI- and trauma surgeons could participate in the model with additional training in managing the acutely ill surgical patient. In our vision, a European ACS model should have the following fundamental components in order to provide a decent ACC: a dedicated surgical team managing all non-traumatic surgical emergencies, with 24/7 on-site attending (free from elective duties) and resident coverage, round-the-clock access to a dedicated emergency operating room, and coverage of the ED and ICU by the ACS service. Most of these structural features have already been implemented in the Swedish ACST unit, which could serve as an example [64].

To assess whether an ACS model with the structure described above would be desirable, and (financially) viable in continental Europe, such a model should be piloted and evaluated first, before expanding nationwide. Our research group is currently performing a survey evaluating the state of implementation of ACS models in hospitals in the Netherlands.

**Limitations**

Our review has several limitations. First of all, most included studies are of retrospective nature, and therefore at risk of selection and information bias. No ideal tool is available to perform quality assessment of the descriptive literature. The NOS was found to be most suitable, but it is
difficult to draw conclusions about study quality based on this assessment. The majority of the studies were of low quality according to the NOS. However, our review focuses on the description of the ACS model, so the quality of the conducted research is less relevant. Furthermore, we may have missed relevant articles due to our language criterion. In addition, since the start of this review, new articles may have been published or existing models discussed in this review may have further developed. However, this systematic review is the only one of its scale identifying essential structural features of ACS models across all continents.

Conclusion

In conclusion, ACS has variably been implemented in mostly high-income countries, and large national and international heterogeneity still exists in the structure and components of the model. Critical care is still a separate unit and specialty in most systems while it is essential to be part of the ACC in order to provide the best pre-, intra-, and postoperative care of the physiologically deranged patient. Universal acceptance of one global ACS model seems challenging; however, a global consensus on essential components (see the ACC components described above) would benefit any healthcare system that is considering implementing such a model.
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| Poggetti et al. [35] | – | – | – | – | – |
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Mprtimbanyi et al. [69]* – – – – –
Navarro et al. [61] **** – ** 6 Low
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Santry et al. [25]* – – – – –
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Shakerian et al. [49] (Br J Surg) **** ** ** 8 High
Shakerian et al. [48] (World J Surg) **** ** ** 8 High
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