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ABSTRACT

Objectives We aimed to profile the epidemiological changes of driving under the influence (DUI) in southern Taiwan after the legal blood alcohol concentration (BAC) limit was lowered from 50 to 30 mg/dL in 2013.

Setting Level 1 trauma medical centre in southern Taiwan.

Participants Data from 7447 patients (4375 males and 3072 females) were retrieved from the trauma registry system of a single trauma centre to examine patient characteristics (gender, age and BAC), clinical outcome variables (Abbreviated Injury Score, Injury Severity Score and mortality) and vehicular crash-related factors (vehicle type, airbag use in car crashes, helmet use in motorcycle crashes and time of crash) before and after the BAC limit change.

Results Our results indicated that the percentage of DUI patients significantly declined from 10.99% (n=373) to 6.84% (n=269) after the BAC limit was lowered. Airbag use in car crashes (OR: 0.30, 95% CI 0.10 to 0.88, p=0.007) and helmet use in motorcycle crashes (OR: 0.20, 95% CI 0.15 to 0.26, p<0.001) was lower in DUI patients compared with non-DUI patients after the BAC limit change, with significant negative correlation. DUI behaviour increased crash mortality risk before the BAC limit change (OR: 4.33, 95% CI 2.20 to 8.54), and even more so after (OR: 5.60, 95% CI 3.16 to 9.93). The difference in ORs for mortality before and after the change in the BAC legal limit was not significant (p=0.568).

Conclusion This study revealed that lowering the BAC limit to 30 mg/dL significantly reduced the number of DUI events, but failed to result in a significant reduction in mortality in these trauma patients.

INTRODUCTION

According to the WHO, over 1.2 million people die each year in road traffic crashes, with 75% of the fatalities occurring in men in the economically active age range. It is estimated that over 90% of road traffic deaths occur in low-income and middle-income countries, causing significant gross domestic product (GDP) losses of up to 5%. Driving under the influence (DUI) of alcohol increases the risk of crash as well as the severity of crash-related injuries, and results in longer hospital stays, higher healthcare costs and poorer outcomes compared with drivers in non-DUI-related crashes. In particular, when the driver’s blood alcohol concentration (BAC) exceeds 50 mg/dL, the risk and severity of traffic crashes increase remarkably. Efforts to reduce alcohol-impaired driving have included implementing laws regarding minimum legal drinking age and BAC limit when driving, taxation of alcohol, providing alcohol education and establishing alcohol treatment programmes.

Over the past decade (2007–2016), DUI-related traffic crashes have caused over 3000 deaths and ~110 000 injuries in Taiwan. Nevertheless, prior to April 1999, DUI was not deemed a serious crime in Taiwan: it was legal to drive with BAC of 50 mg/dL; drivers with BAC between 50 and 110 mg/dL violated Road Traffic Security Rules and faced license...
tions reduced after these regulation and law changes.13 According to national statistics, alcohol-impaired driving casual penalties were increased by up to 50%. According to the amendments to the Road Traffic Management and Penalty Act, Road Traffic Security Rules and Article 185 of the Criminal Law,13 including the most recent amendment to Road Traffic Security Rules in 2013, which lowered the legal BAC limit from 50 to 30 mg/dL. In addition, financial penalties were increased by up to 50%. According to national statistics, alcohol-impaired driving casualties reduced after these regulation and law changes.13 However, data on monthly injuries and deaths resulting from DUI-related crashes and number of monthly DUI violations are obtained from sobriety checkpoints by the police, and specific data regarding the impact of DUI on medical service utilisation after change in the legal BAC limit are not available. Therefore, we aimed to compare the epidemiological profile of DUI in southern Taiwan before and after the 2013 change in legal BAC limit using data from the trauma registry system. We examined patient demographics and outcomes, DUI status, crash-related factors, as well as outcomes before and after the limit change to define the prognosis and risk factors for DUI-related injury and mortality, and assess the effect of BAC limit change on these factors.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Patient and public involvement

The patients and the public were not involved in this study.

We retrospectively collected patient data from the trauma registry system of the Kaohsiung Chang Gung Memorial Hospital, a 2686-bed Level I regional trauma centre that provides emergent care to trauma patients primarily from southern Taiwan.14 15 Only drivers in car and motorcycle crashes that occurred in the Kaohsiung and Pingtung areas of Taiwan who were hospitalised between July 2009 and December 2016 were included. Twenty-three patients with incomplete data were excluded, as well as those whose hospital visit took place between January 2013 and June 2013, the period when amendments to the Road Traffic Management and Penalty Act were announced and implemented. Data from 7447 patients were used for the analysis.

BAC tests were routinely ordered for patients in the emergency room (ER) with clinical suspicion of DUI. Patients with BAC >30 mg/dL (the threshold for DUI after January 2013) were categorised into two groups according to when their visit took place: before BAC limit change (July 2009 to December 2012; n=3395 patients) and after BAC limit change (July 2013 to December 2016; n=4052 patients). Detailed patient information was recorded and included the following variables: age, gender, type of vehicle crash, time and location of crash, airbag use (car crashes only), helmet use (motorcycle crashes only), BAC, hospital length of stay (LOS), in-hospital mortality and crash-related trauma by body region. The Abbreviated Injury Score (AIS) was used to evaluate injury severity in the following regions: head/neck, face, chest, abdomen, extremities (including pelvis) and external. Patients with higher AIS were combined for analysis in some regional subgroups due to inadequate patient numbers. The Injury Severity Score (ISS) was calculated by summing the square of the three highest AIS scores in each region.16

Patient characteristics, clinical outcome variables and vehicular crash-related factors were compared before and after the BAC limit change using the chi-square test, Student’s t-test and Mann-Whiney U-test. Differences in characteristics between patients in DUI (BAC ≥30 mg/dL) and non-DUI groups were also examined. Parameters were presented as numbers (percentage), median ± IQR range or mean ± SD. Logistic regression was used to define changes in baseline traits and clinical outcomes in the DUI and non-DUI groups before and after the BAC limit change. Breslow-Day statistics testing was performed to examine homogeneity in different stratifications. We also analysed the ratio of single-vehicle nighttime (SVN) crashes to multiple-vehicle daytime (MVD) crashes as a proxy measure used in many studies for alcohol involvement.17 18

R Statistical Software V.3.3.3 was used to geographically present the change in DUI event density in southern Taiwan, and demonstrate the monthly trend in the number of DUI patients from 2009 to 2016. All other analyses were performed using SAS V.9.4. Statistical significance was defined as p<0.05.

RESULTS

The average age at the time of crash was 43.68±18.70 (range: 11–90 years). Of these patients, 642 (8.6%) were classified as DUI (BAC ≥30 mg/dL). Most crashes were with a motorcycle vehicle (7237 patients; 97.2%), and occurred between 06:00 and 14:00 (3173 patients; 42.6%). Motorcyclists were wearing a helmet at the time of the crash in 88.93% (n=7237) of the patients, whereas 63.81% (n=134) of car drivers had airbag protection. The average mortality was 1.34%, and median hospital LOS was 6 days.

As shown in table 1, 3395 patients were admitted to our hospital before the BAC limit change, and 4052 patients after. In both time periods, patients tended to be males between the ages of 40 and 45. The percentage of DUI patients significantly declined from 10.99% (n=373) to 6.64% (n=269) after the BAC limit change (figure 1), and the average BAC at admission decreased from 21.19 to 12.31 mg/dL. This trend was observed across different regions in southern Taiwan, as shown in figure 2. The percentage of airbag use in car crashes decreased, while helmet use in motorcycle crashes increased. No significant changes in mortality and hospital stay were found in...
our analyses. Patient numbers did not vary by season or day of the week, although a slight decrease was observed in the spring before the BAC limit change.

Examination of patient characteristics, crash-related factors and clinical outcomes in DUI and non-DUI patients revealed that patients in the DUI group were mostly male (88.47%) compared with the non-DUI group (55.94%) (table 2). Patients in the DUI group were significantly younger (p<0.001). Reduced use of airbags in car crashes and helmets in motorcycle crashes were found in higher proportion in the DUI group than in the non-DUI group. DUI patients tended to have significantly higher ISS (13 vs 9, p<0.001) and longer hospital stay (8 vs 6 days, p<0.001) than non-DUI patients. A significantly higher mortality rate was found in DUI patients than in non-DUI (4.67% vs 1.03%, p<0.001). No significant seasonal differences

Table 1  Patient characteristics, clinical variables and vehicular crash-related variables before and after BAC limit change

|                         | Before BAC limit change | After BAC limit change | P value |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|---------|
| Gender, n (%)           |                         |                        | 0.028   |
| Male                    | 2041 (60.12)            | 2334 (57.6)            |         |
| Female                  | 1354 (39.88)            | 1718 (42.4)            |         |
| Age (years)             | 42.77 (18.34)           | 44.45 (18.97)          | <0.001* |
| DUI, n (%)              |                         |                        | <0.001  |
| Yes                     | 373 (10.99)             | 269 (6.64)             |         |
| No                      | 3022 (89.01)            | 3783 (93.36)           |         |
| BAC (mg/dL)             | 21.19 (62.49)           | 12.31 (47.12)          | <0.001* |
| Car, n (%)              |                         |                        | 0.057   |
| Airbag                  | 73 (70.19)              | 61 (57.55)             |         |
| No airbag               | 31 (29.81)              | 45 (42.45)             |         |
| Motorcycle, n (%)       |                         |                        | <0.001  |
| Helmet                  | 2876 (87.39)            | 3560 (90.22)           |         |
| No helmet               | 415 (12.61)             | 386 (9.78)             |         |
| ISS                     | 9 (9)                   | 9 (9)                  | 0.041*  |
| Hospital stay (days)    | 6 (7)                   | 7 (8)                  | 0.198*  |
| Mortality, n (%)        |                         |                        | 0.125   |
| Alive                   | 3357 (98.88)            | 3990 (98.47)           |         |
| Death                   | 38 (1.12)               | 62 (1.53)              |         |
| Seasons, n (%)          |                         |                        | <0.001  |
| Spring                  | 605 (17.82)             | 994 (24.53)            |         |
| Summer                  | 874 (25.74)             | 1008 (24.88)           |         |
| Autumn                  | 970 (28.57)             | 962 (23.74)            |         |
| Winter                  | 946 (27.86)             | 1088 (26.85)           |         |
| Time, n (%)             |                         |                        | <0.001  |
| 06:00–14:00             | 1351 (39.79)            | 1822 (44.97)           |         |
| 14:00–22:00             | 1436 (42.30)            | 1608 (39.68)           |         |
| 22:00–06:00             | 608 (17.91)             | 622 (15.35)            |         |
| Weekdays, n (%)         |                         |                        | 0.012   |
| Monday                  | 487 (14.34)             | 639 (15.77)            |         |
| Tuesday                 | 553 (16.29)             | 558 (13.77)            |         |
| Wednesday               | 440 (12.96)             | 587 (14.49)            |         |
| Thursday                | 494 (14.55)             | 590 (14.56)            |         |
| Friday                  | 480 (14.14)             | 613 (15.13)            |         |
| Saturday                | 504 (14.85)             | 582 (14.36)            |         |
| Sunday                  | 437 (12.87)             | 483 (11.92)            |         |

*Mann-Whitney test.
BAC, blood alcohol concentration; DUI, driving under the influence; ISS, Injury Severity Score.
were found between the groups. Time of visit to the ER differed for the DUI patients compared with the non-DUI patients. Most DUI patients visited the ER between 22:00 and 06:00 (50.31%), while only 13.33% of non-DUI patients visited at this time. Additionally, DUI crashes tended to occur on weekends (18.69% on Saturday and 19.31% on Sunday).

Table 3 compares OR for different stratified parameters before and after the BAC limit change. Males still showed increased odds of DUI (crude OR: 6.01, 95% CI 4.69 to 7.69), but the BAC limit change showed no significant effect on DUI behaviour between genders. DUI behaviour increased the crash mortality risk before the BAC limit change (OR: 4.33, 95% CI 2.20 to 8.54), and even more so after (OR: 5.60, 95% CI 3.16 to 9.93). The difference in these ORs was not significant (p=0.568). Regarding time of crash, a greater number of DUI patients appeared between 22:00 and 06:00 (OR 12.70) than between 14:00 and 22:00 (3.04) and between 06:00 and 14:00 (baseline). This trend was significantly increased after the BAC limit change, which showed ORs of 20.76 and 5.50, respectively.

Figure 1 Monthly number of DUI (BAC ≥30 mg/dL) patients before and after BAC limit change. BAC, blood alcohol concentration; DUI, driving under the influence.
DISCUSSION

Our analyses presented various factors associated with DUI behaviour, including gender, mortality, time of day and day of week of the crash, use of airbags in cars and use of helmets in motorcyclists. In this study, females accounted for a minor proportion (12%) of DUI patients, consistent with previous research. Complex causal relationships in physiological and social factors may account for the difference in males and females involved in DUI. Our previous studies also reported more males than females with vehicular crashes sent to our ER. Compared with females, males had a higher risk of motorcycle crashes, which accounted for ~60% of injuries in southern Taiwan. Male/female differences in alcoholic liver injury, alcohol-induced brain injury and alcohol-related behavioural and medical problems have also been reported.

Increased vehicle performance and a higher number of safety features lead to greater risk-taking behaviour by the driver, and our results indicated that drivers using airbags in their vehicles show a significantly lower OR of being in a DUI crash. Although the decreased use of airbags may be due to the fact that DUI drivers tend to drive older vehicles, not wear a safety belt and drive over the speed limit, this finding could indicate a relationship between the value placed on safety (eg, purchase cars with more safety features) and the avoidance of risk-taking behaviours such as DUI. Our study also examined helmet use in motorcyclists, which has been mandatory for motorcyclists in Taiwan since June 1997; helmets were used by 90% of patients driving a motorcycle in this study. Previous studies have reported helmet use to be a protective factor or strong predictor of motorcycle crashes. Our study also found that helmet use plays a significantly protective role in DUI crashes, supporting findings from Ohio, USA and Iran that suggest that motorcyclists involved in alcohol-involved crashes are significantly less likely to wear a helmet. The decreased helmet use rate in our data may have contributed to the higher mortality that we saw in DUI motorcycle drivers after the legal BAC limit was lowered to 30 mg/dL.
Our analysis is consistent with findings in Taiwan based on national statistics\(^\text{13}\) that report a significant reduction in DUI events after the BAC limit change. Previous studies report reductions in both crashes and fatalities when legal limits for BAC are lowered to 50 mg/dL.\(^\text{34, 35}\) A significant decrease of 3.7% (95% CI 0.9% to 6.5%) in fatally injured drivers with a BAC level equal to or >50 mg/dL was found following the change.\(^\text{36}\) A recent meta-analysis examined the impact of lowering the legal BAC limit to 50 mg/dL, and found an 11.1% decrease in rates of fatal alcohol-related crashes.\(^\text{37}\) In this study, the proportion of male and female DUI patients and overall mortality rate did not change after the legal limit change. However, while the proportion of males and females did not change, other elements in patient characteristics changed in our study after the legal BAC was lowered to 30 mg/dL: the percentage of DUI patients significantly decreased from 10.99% to 6.6% and average BAC at admission decreased from 21.19 to 12.31 mg/dL. The decline in DUI events can be seen in the different geographical regions of Kaohsiung and Pingtung surrounding our hospital. Time of injury appears to play a role in severity of injury. It has been reported that drivers not under the influence of alcohol suffer more severe injuries between midnight and early morning compared with early night-time.\(^\text{38}\) Findings from other studies have indicated that

**Table 2** Patient characteristics, clinical variables and crash-related variables in DUI (BAC ≥30 mg/dL) and non-DUI patients

|                 | DUI             | Non-DUI          | P value |
|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|---------|
| Gender, n (%)   |                 |                  | <0.001  |
| Male            | 568 (88.47)     | 3807 (55.94)     |         |
| Female          | 74 (11.53)      | 2998 (44.06)     |         |
| Age (years)     | 39.84 (12.76)   | 44.07 (19.13)    | <0.001* |
| Car, n (%)      |                 |                  | 0.020   |
| Airbag          | 25 (50.00)      | 109 (68.13)      |         |
| No airbag       | 25 (50.00)      | 51 (31.88)       |         |
| Motorcycle, n (%)|                |                  | <0.001  |
| Helmet          | 433 (73.14)     | 6003 (90.34)     |         |
| No helmet       | 159 (26.86)     | 642 (9.66)       |         |
| ISS             | 13 (14)         | 9 (7)            | <0.001* |
| Hospital stay (days) | 8 (11) | 6 (7)            | <0.001* |
| Mortality, n (%)|                 |                  | <0.001  |
| Alive           | 612 (95.33)     | 6735 (98.97)     |         |
| Death           | 30 (4.67)       | 70 (1.03)        |         |
| Seasons, n (%)  |                 |                  | 0.522   |
| Spring          | 135 (21.03)     | 1464 (21.51)     |         |
| Summer          | 153 (23.83)     | 1729 (25.41)     |         |
| Autumn          | 163 (25.39)     | 1769 (26.00)     |         |
| Winter          | 191 (29.75)     | 1843 (27.08)     |         |
| Time, n (%)     |                 |                  | <0.001  |
| 06:00–14:00     | 70 (10.90)      | 3103 (45.60)     |         |
| 14:00–22:00     | 249 (38.79)     | 2795 (41.07)     |         |
| 22:00–06:00     | 323 (50.31)     | 907 (13.33)      |         |
| Weekdays, n (%) |                 |                  | <0.001  |
| Monday          | 81 (12.62)      | 1045 (15.36)     |         |
| Tuesday         | 72 (11.21)      | 1039 (15.27)     |         |
| Wednesday       | 85 (13.24)      | 942 (13.84)      |         |
| Thursday        | 79 (12.31)      | 1005 (14.77)     |         |
| Friday          | 81 (12.62)      | 1012 (14.87)     |         |
| Saturday        | 120 (18.69)     | 966 (14.20)      |         |
| Sunday          | 124 (19.31)     | 796 (11.70)      |         |

*Mann-Whitney test.

BAC, blood alcohol concentration; DUI, driving under the influence; ISS, Injury Severity Score.
## Table 3  Homogeneity analysis of variables related to DUI before and after BAC limit change

|                  | Before BAC limit change | After BAC limit change | Crude OR (95% CI) | P value |
|------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|---------|
|                  | DUI                     | Non-DUI                |                   |         |
| Before BAC limit change |                   |                       |                   |         |
| Gender, n (%)    |                          |                        |                   |         |
| Male             | 331 (9.75)              | 1710 (50.37)           | 6.05 (4.35 to 8.40) |         |
| Female           | 42 (1.24)               | 1312 (38.65)           |                   | 0.952   |
|                  |                          |                        |                   |         |
| Mortality, n (%) |                          |                        |                   | 0.568   |
| Alive            | 360 (10.60)             | 2997 (88.28)           | 4.33 (2.20 to 8.54) |         |
| Death            | 13 (0.38)               | 25 (0.74)              | 1.55 (0.98 to 2.46) |         |
|                  |                          |                        |                   |         |
| Time, n (%)      |                          |                        |                   | <0.001  |
| 06:00–14:00      | 46 (12.33)              | 1305 (43.18)           | 1                 |         |
| 14:00–22:00      | 139 (37.27)             | 1297 (42.92)           | 3.04 (2.16 to 4.28) |         |
| 22:00–06:00      | 188 (50.40)             | 420 (13.90)            | 12.70 (9.03 to 17.85) |         |
|                  |                          |                        |                   |         |
| Car, n (%)       |                          |                        |                   | 0.007   |
| Airbag           | 19 (59.38)              | 54 (75.00)             | 0.49 (0.20 to 1.18) |         |
| No airbag        | 13 (40.63)              | 18 (25.00)             | 1.23 (0.94 to 1.61) |         |
|                  |                          |                        |                   |         |
| Motorcycle, n (%)|                          |                        |                   | <0.001  |
| Helmet           | 261 (76.54)             | 2615 (88.64)           | 0.42 (0.32 to 0.55) |         |
| No helmet        | 80 (23.46)              | 335 (11.36)            | 3.38 (2.62 to 4.39) |         |
|                  |                          |                        |                   |         |
| Seasons, n (%)   |                          |                        |                   | 0.456   |
| Spring           | 63 (16.89)              | 542 (17.94)            | 1                 |         |
| Summer           | 98 (26.27)              | 776 (25.68)            | 1.09 (0.78 to 1.52) |         |
| Autumn           | 94 (25.20)              | 876 (28.99)            | 0.92 (0.66 to 1.29) |         |
| Winter           | 118 (31.64)             | 828 (27.40)            | 1.23 (0.89 to 1.70) |         |
|                  |                          |                        |                   |         |
| Weekdays, n (%)  |                          |                        |                   | <0.001  |
| Sunday           | 73 (19.57)              | 364 (12.05)            | 1                 |         |
| Monday           | 40 (10.72%)             | 447 (14.79%)           | 0.45 (0.30 to 0.67) |         |
| Tuesday          | 45 (12.06)              | 508 (16.81)            | 0.44 (0.30 to 0.66) |         |
| Wednesday        | 47 (12.60)              | 393 (13.00)            | 0.60 (0.40 to 0.88) |         |
| Thursday         | 50 (13.40)              | 444 (14.69)            | 0.56 (0.38 to 0.83) |         |
| Friday           | 46 (12.33)              | 434 (14.36)            | 0.53 (0.36 to 0.78) |         |
| Saturday         | 72 (19.30)              | 432 (14.30)            | 0.83 (0.58 to 1.18) |         |

*Breslow-Day statistic.  
BAC, blood alcohol concentration; DUI, driving under the influence.
injuries involving alcohol-intoxicated drivers are influenced less by geographic and environmental factors than by the nature of collision and time of crash. Our results indicate different temporal distribution (in weekday and time of day, but not in seasons) in the DUI and non-DUI groups. Most non-DUI patients visited the ER between early morning and afternoon (06:00–14:00), but DUI patients tend to search for medical aid between 22:00 and 06:00 (50.31%). A previous study in Hong Kong indicated a similar temporal pattern in DUI using a slightly different time framework. This study found that most DUI events occurred between 15:00 and 23:00 (39.5%) and between 23:00 and 07:00 (29.8%). Consistent with our findings, a higher prevalence of DUI on weekends than on weekdays is reported in other studies. In addition, the significant drop in SVN/MVD ratio after the legal BAC limit was lowered indicated that the limit change had an effect on the reduction in DUI behaviour.

Although our study found that DUI events significantly decreased after the legal BAC limit was lowered, the

### Table 4: The distribution of Abbreviated Injury Scale score by region in DUI (BAC ≥30 mg/dL) and non-DUI patients

| Region       | DUI                  | Non-DUI               | OR (95% CI) | P value |
|--------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-------------|---------|
| Head/neck    |                       |                       |             | <0.0001 |
| 0            | 269 (41.90%)          | 4799 (70.52%)         | 1           |         |
| 1            | 94 (14.64%)           | 638 (9.38%)           | 2.63 (2.05 to 3.37) |         |
| 2            | 22 (3.43%)            | 105 (1.54%)           | 3.74 (2.32 to 6.02) |         |
| 3            | 81 (12.62%)           | 506 (7.44%)           | 2.86 (2.19 to 3.72) |         |
| 4            | 131 (20.40%)          | 597 (8.77%)           | 3.92 (3.12 to 4.91) |         |
| 5–6          | 45 (7.01%)            | 1160 (2.35%)          | 0.69 (0.50 to 0.96) |         |
| Face         |                       |                       |             | <0.0001 |
| 0            | 361 (56.23%)          | 5342 (78.50%)         | 1           |         |
| 1            | 64 (9.97%)            | 493 (7.24%)           | 1.921 (1.45 to 2.55) |         |
| 2            | 215 (33.49%)          | 949 (13.95%)          | 3.353 (2.79 to 4.02) |         |
| 3            | 2 (0.31%)             | 21 (0.31%)            | 1.409 (0.33 to 6.03) |         |
| Thorax       |                       |                       |             | <0.0001 |
| 0            | 488 (76.01%)          | 5640 (82.88%)         | 1           |         |
| 1            | 31 (4.83%)            | 220 (3.23%)           | 1.63 (1.11 to 2.40) |         |
| 2            | 27 (4.21%)            | 306 (4.50%)           | 1.02 (0.681 to 1.53) |         |
| 3            | 57 (8.88%)            | 437 (6.42%)           | 1.51 (1.13 to 2.02) |         |
| 4            | 37 (5.76%)            | 196 (2.88%)           | 2.18 (1.52 to 3.14) |         |
| 5–6          | 2 (0.32%)             | 6 (0.08%)             | 3.85 (0.78 to 19.14) |         |
| Abdomen      |                       |                       |             | <0.0001 |
| 0            | 552 (85.98%)          | 6319 (92.86%)         | 1           |         |
| 1            | 7 (1.09%)             | 38 (0.56%)            | 2.109 (0.94 to 4.74) |         |
| 2            | 42 (6.54%)            | 222 (3.26%)           | 2.166 (1.57 to 3.05) |         |
| 3            | 23 (3.58%)            | 141 (2.07%)           | 1.867 (1.19 to 2.93) |         |
| 4            | 13 (2.02%)            | 69 (1.01%)            | 2.157 (1.19 to 3.93) |         |
| 5            | 5 (0.78%)             | 16 (0.24%)            | 3.583 (1.31 to 9.81) |         |
| Extremities  |                       |                       |             | <0.0001 |
| 0            | 261 (40.65%)          | 1839 (29.02%)         | 1           |         |
| 1            | 63 (9.81%)            | 435 (6.39%)           | 1.020 (0.76 to 1.37) |         |
| 2            | 185 (28.82%)          | 2973 (43.69%)         | 0.438 (0.36 to 0.53) |         |
| 3–5          | 133 (20.72%)          | 1558 (22.89%)         | 0.61 (0.48 to 0.75) |         |
| 14           |                       |                       |             |         |
| 0            | 536 (83.49%)          | 5799 (85.22%)         | 1           | 0.195   |
| 1            | 102 (15.89%)          | 981 (14.42%)          | 1.125 (0.90 to 1.41) |         |
| 2            | 4 (0.62%)             | 25 (0.37%)            | 1.731 (0.60 to 4.99) |         |

BAC, blood alcohol concentration; DUI, driving under the influence.
increased point estimate for OR of DUI on mortality was not significant. Moreover, in DUI patients, the postlimit change mortality OR was significantly higher than prelimit change. This may be explained by the possibility that DUI drivers after the change were more addicted to alcohol and had a lower helmet use rate. Studies have shown that alcohol impairs driving ability, and that the intoxicated driver is more likely to cause fatal road traffic crashes, while other research has found the risk of mortality is not higher in patients with positive BAC. Some studies have found that serum ethanol is independently associated with increased mortality. Furthermore, some studies have proposed alcohol use can have a protective effect in trauma patients. In this study, we did not find a protective role for DUI in our analysis of the association between DUI and AIS. These findings indicated that lowering the legal BAC limit can reduce alcohol-impaired driving, but may be not enough to result in a significant reduction in mortality of those trauma patients. This may indicate that other preventative policies, such as taxes on alcohol, minimum legal drinking ages and administrative license revocation, should be considered. In fact, drivers with or without DUI had more heterogeneity in the factors that may affect injury severity. Bias may exist in the analysis with multivariate logistic regression for the association between the injury severity and the drivers with or without DUI, which may comprise a limitation in this study.

There are some other limitations to our study. First, the analysis was based on data from the trauma registry system of a level I regional trauma center in southern Taiwan. These results may not be externally valid. Besides, our selected statistical methods with limited parameters may not clarify the contributing factors and outcomes of DUI crashes due to its complex interaction. Second, there were differences in the baseline characteristics of patients admitted after traffic crashes before and after sanction change. The differences in baseline characteristics may have confounded results and observed differences may have implied the effect of sanction change. Third, the combined use of psychoactive medication and alcohol may increase the risk of having a crash. This confounder was not controlled in our study, although this bias is random. Fourth, patients seeking medical care due to a traffic crash did not routinely receive a blood alcohol test unless they showed symptoms of being alcohol-impaired or unconsciousness. This may underestimate the effect of DUI in our analysis. Fifth, our registry system is not able to report exact time elapsed from injury to an alcohol test. However, the mean transport time for the patients transported by emergency medical service to the hospital was 18.3±7.9 min according to our data and ~12 min according to Taiwan government data from January 2009 to June 2009. Thus, the bias may be minimal. In addition, driver use of safety belt was not registered in the trauma database, so we could not investigate this important variable. Furthermore, our registry system did not exclude the repeated DUI patients; although these drivers may be a small group in our study subjects, they may confound our statistical results. Finally, there may exist bias in the outcome assessment with control of alcohol consumption, vehicle miles travelled and vehicles and motorcycles registered, which were lacking in the registered trauma database.

**CONCLUSION**

This study revealed that lowering the legal limit for BAC to 30 mg/dL significantly reduced DUI events, but failed to result in a significant reduction in traffic crash mortality. Airbag use in car crashes and helmet use in motorcycle crashes after the limit change was less in DUI patients than in non-DUI patients, with significant negative correlation.
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