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ABSTRACT

WordNet proved that it is possible to construct a large-scale electronic lexical database on the principles of lexical semantics. It has been accepted and used extensively by computational linguists ever since it was released. Some of its applications include information retrieval, language generation, question answering, text categorization, text classification and word sense disambiguation. Inspired by WordNet's success, we propose as an alternative a similar resource, based on the 1987 Penguin edition of Roget's Thesaurus of English Words and Phrases.

Peter Mark Roget published his first Thesaurus over 150 years ago. Countless writers, orators and students of the English language have used it. Computational linguists have employed Roget's for almost 50 years in Natural Language Processing. Some of the tasks they have used it for include machine translation, computing lexical cohesion in texts and constructing databases that can infer common sense knowledge. This dissertation presents Roget's merits by explaining what it really is and how it has been used, while comparing its applications to those of WordNet. The NLP community has hesitated in accepting Roget’s Thesaurus because a proper machine-tractable version was not available.

This dissertation presents an implementation of a machine-tractable version of the 1987 Penguin edition of Roget’s Thesaurus – the first implementation of its kind to use an entire current edition. It explains the steps necessary for taking a machine-readable file and transforming it into a tractable system. This involves converting the lexical material into a format that can be more easily exploited, identifying data structures and designing classes to computerize the Thesaurus. Roget’s organization is studied in detail and contrasted with WordNet’s.

We show two applications of the computerized Thesaurus: computing semantic similarity between words and phrases, and building lexical chains in a text. The experiments are performed using well-known benchmarks and the results are compared to those of other systems that use Roget’s, WordNet and statistical techniques. Roget’s has turned out to be an excellent resource for measuring semantic similarity; lexical chains are easily built but more difficult to evaluate. We also explain ways in which Roget’s Thesaurus and WordNet can be combined.
To my parents, who are my most valued treasure.
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When Peter Mark Roget published his first *Thesaurus* over 150 years ago in 1852, he could not imagine that his work would be used to further research in human language technologies. He was born in London in 1779, the son of Jean Roget, a Genevan protestant pastor, and Catherine, the granddaughter of a French Huguenot who had fled to London after the revocation of the Edict of Nantes. Peter Mark’s whole life prepared him to become the author of the *Treasury of Words*. This physician’s preferences were anatomy and physiology, subjects that involve dissection and classification. As expressed by Kent (Chapman, 1992, p. vii): “A lifetime of secretaryships for several learned societies had thoroughly familiarized him with the need for clarity and forcefulness of expression. […] It was Roget’s meticulous, precise way of looking at order, at plan and interdependence in animal economy that would eventually find expression in his unique and practical lexicographic experiment.” As a professor, Roget prepared a notebook of lists of related words and phrases in various orders to help him express himself in the best possible way. Kirkpatrick (Kirkpatrick, 1998, p. xi) describes the manner in which Roget prepared the *Thesaurus* once he retired from professional life: “Now, in his seventies, he was able to draw on a lifetime’s experience of lecturing, writing and editing to make these lists into a coherent system available for others to use. It took him four years, longer than he had thought, and required all his organizational skills and the meticulous attention to detail that had characterized his editing work. Not only did the *Thesaurus* utilize all Roget’s competences, it also fulfilled a need for him: the need, in a society changing with frightening speed, where the old moral and religious order was increasingly in question, to reaffirm order, stability and unity, and through them the purpose of a universal, supernatural authority.” Roget supervised about twenty–five editions and printings of the *Thesaurus* until he died at the age of ninety.1

1 Image of Peter Mark Roget: http://www.toadshow.com.au/anne/images/roget_peter.gif
Chapter 1. Introduction

1 Introduction

1.1 Lexical Resources for Natural Language Processing

Natural Language Processing (NLP) applications need access to vast numbers of words and phrases. There are now many ways of obtaining large-scale lexicons: query words on the Internet (Turney, 2001), use large corpora such as the Wall Street Journal or the British National Corpus, as well as extract information from machine-readable dictionaries (Wilks et al., 1996) or use electronic lexical databases such as WordNet (Fellbaum, 1998). Which of these methods is best? Our intuition presented in this dissertation is that computational linguists should extend and computerize the work of lexicographers, professionals who take concrete decisions about words, their senses and how they should be arranged. Computer programmers have been reckless in imagining that they can do without linguists. To investigate this conjecture I have implemented a large-scale electronic lexical knowledge base designed on the 1987 Penguin edition of Roget’s Thesaurus (Kirkpatrick, 1987).

The first use of Roget’s Thesaurus in NLP dates back to 1957 (Masterman); WordNet, a kind of thesaurus, has been available since 1991. These lexical resources have been used, among others, for the following applications:

- Machine Translation
  - proposing synonyms to improve word for word translations
- Information Retrieval
  - performing word sense disambiguation
  - expanding query terms by proposing synonyms
  - detecting the expected answer types of questions
- Information Extraction and Text Summarization
  - measuring semantic similarity between words
  - building lexical chains

Computational linguists have given presentations on the following subjects in two workshops about WordNet organized by the Association for Computational Linguistics (Harabagiu, 1998; Moldovan and Peters, 2001): Information Retrieval, Language Generation, Question Answering,
Text Categorization, Text Classification and Word Sense Disambiguation. Researchers displayed techniques for combining WordNet and Roget’s at both workshops. I discuss some of these applications further in Chapter 2.

1.2 Electronic Lexical Knowledge Bases

Let’s begin by defining the term Electronic Lexical Knowledge Base (ELKB). It is a model for a lexical resource, implemented in software, for classifying, indexing, storing and retrieving words with their senses and the connections that exist between them. It relies on a rich data repository to do so. This model defines explicit semantic relationships between words and word groups. It maps out an automatic process for building an electronic lexicon. It is electronic not only because it is encoded in a digital format, but rather because it is computer-usable, or tractable. The process for creating an ELKB presents all the steps involved in this task: from the preparation and acquisition of the lexical material, to defining the allowable operations on the various words and phrases. The use of a defined systematic approach to building an ELKB should reduce the irregularities usually contained in handcrafted lexicons. My thesis is a way of verifying this intuition.

My ELKB has been created from the machine readable text files with the contents of the 1987 Penguin’s Roget’s Thesaurus. It must maintain the information available in the printed Thesaurus while it is put in a tractable format. Going from readable to tractable involves cleaning up and re-formatting the original files, deciding what services the ELKB should offer and implementing those services.

1.3 An Introduction to Roget’s Thesaurus

Roget’s Thesaurus is sometimes described as a dictionary in reverse (Wilks et al., 1996, p. 65). According to Roget, it is “… a collection of the words it [the English language] contains and of the idiomatic combinations peculiar to it, arranged, not in alphabetical order as they are in a Dictionary, but according to the ideas which they express” (Roget, 1852). The Thesaurus is a catalogue of semantically similar words and phrases, divided into nouns, verbs, adjectives, adverbs and interjections. A phrase in Roget’s is not one in the grammatical sense, but rather a collocation or an idiom, for example: fatal gift, poisoned apple, or have kissed the Blarney Stone. The reader perceives implicit semantic relations between groups of similar words. This resembles how Miller describes WordNet as lexical information organized by word meanings, rather than word forms (Miller, 1990). In WordNet, English nouns, verbs, adjectives and adverbs are organized into sets of near synonyms, called synsets, each representing a lexicalized concept. Semantic relations serve as links between the synsets.
A major strength of *Roget’s Thesaurus* is its unique system of classification “of the ideas which are expressible by language” (Roget, 1852). The original system was organized in six classes: *Abstract relations, Space, Material World, Intellect, Volition, Sentient and Moral Powers*. Roget devised this system in the following way: “I have accordingly adopted such principles of arrangement as appeared to me to be the simplest and most natural, and which would not require, either for their comprehension or application, any disciplined acumen, or depth of metaphysical or antiquarian lore” (*ibid.*). Within these six classes are sections, and under the sections are almost 1,000 heads. This system of classification has withstood the test of time remarkably well, hardly changing in 150 years, a tribute to the robustness of *Roget’s* design.

*Roget’s Thesaurus* is the creative wordsmith’s instrument, helping clarify and give shape to one’s thoughts. “The assistance it gives is that of furnishing on every topic a copious store of words and phrases, adapted to express all the recognizable shades and modifications of the general idea under which those words and phrases are arranged” (*ibid.*). *Roget’s* is indeed, as its name’s Greek etymology indicates, a vast treasure house of English words and phrases.

### 1.3.1 The *Roget’s Electronic Lexical Knowledge Base*

The objective of this thesis is to produce a machine-tractable version of the 1987 Penguin edition of *Roget’s Thesaurus* (Kirkpatrick, 1987) — the first implementation of an *ELKB* that uses an entire current edition. The *FACTOTUM* semantic network (Cassidy, 1996, 2000) is the first implementation of a knowledge base derived from a version of *Roget’s Thesaurus*, using the 1911 edition that is publicly available from the Project Gutenberg Web Site (Hart, 1991). A good *ELKB* should have a large, modern vocabulary, a simple way of identifying the different word senses, a clear classification system, and usage frequencies, several ways of grouping words and phrases to represent concepts, explicit links between the various units of meaning and an index of all words and phrases in the resource. It should also contain a lexicon of idiomatic expressions and proper nouns. Definitions of the words and phrases, as well as subcategorization information, akin to what can be found in a learner’s dictionary, would also be beneficial. The *ELKB* constructed in the course of this research is not ideal, but it is sufficient to demonstrate that *Roget’s* is a useful and interesting resource.

### 1.4 Goals of this Thesis

The goal of this thesis is to investigate the usefulness of *Roget’s Thesaurus* for NLP. I expect that it will be an effective alternative to *WordNet*. To achieve this goal, *Roget’s* must be first computerized, evaluated and applied to some interesting tasks. The quantitative and qualitative
evaluation constantly uses *WordNet 1.7.1* as a benchmark. Other more cursory comparisons are made with other lexical resources.

This treasure of the English language is exploited to create a new resource for computational linguists. The goal is to computerize the *Thesaurus*: create a machine-tractable lexical knowledge base, and represent in it the explicit, and some of the implicit, relationships between words. I have performed experiments using the system for measuring the semantic similarity between words and building lexical chains. This dissertation also presents steps for combining *Roget’s* and *WordNet*.

### 1.5 Organization of the Thesis

Chapter 2 of the thesis gives an overview of the role of thesauri in NLP, discussing how such resources benefit research. The various versions of *Roget’s Thesaurus* and *WordNet* demand and receive special attention.

Chapter 3 presents the details of the design and implementation of the *ELKB*. It explains all of the necessary steps for transforming the *Roget’s* text files into a machine tractable format. These steps discuss the necessary functionality of such a system. The construction of the *ELKB* fulfills the first goal of this thesis.

Chapter 4 presents a measure of semantic similarity between words and phrases using the *Roget’s ELKB*. It presents a semantic distance measure and evaluates it using a few typical tests. I perform a comparison to *WordNet* based measures and other statistical techniques.

Chapter 5 presents an implementation of lexical chain construction using the *Roget’s ELKB*. It discusses in detail every design decision and includes a comparison to lexical chains built by hand using *WordNet*.

Chapter 6 discusses how to combine *Roget’s Thesaurus* and *WordNet*. It presents steps on how to link the senses of the words and phrases included in both resources as well as how to add explicit semantic relations to the *Thesaurus*.

Chapter 7 gives a summary of the thesis, discusses problems, and presents future work to be done in improving the *Roget ELKB* and avenues for further applications.

The appendices contain detailed information regarding the following topics:

- The design and implementation of the *ELKB*. Appendices A through C present the basic functions and use cases of the *ELKB*, the documentation as well as the graphical and command line interfaces to the system.
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• The programs developed for this thesis and the preparation of the lexical material. Appendices D through F list the programs developed for this thesis, state the manner in which they must be used to prepare the lexical material for the ELKB, give a detailed account of the conversion of the Pearson source files into the format used by the system, and present some errors found in the source files.

• The word lists used by the ELKB. Appendices G and H show the 646 American and British spelling variations and the 980-element stop list used by the ELKB.

• Experiments performed in this thesis. Appendices I through L present results of the semantic similarity and lexical chain building experiments.

• Appendix M shows the first two levels of the WordNet 1.7.1 noun hierarchy.
1.5.1 Paper Map

Parts of the dissertation have already been subject of papers:

| Topic                                      | dissertation sections | previously described in                                      |
|--------------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|
| The use of Thesauri in NLP                 | 2.1-2.3.2, 2.4         | Jarmasz and Szpakowicz (2001a), Jarmasz and Szpakowicz (2001c) |
| The Design of the ELKB                    | 2.5, 3.1-3.4           | Jarmasz and Szpakowicz (2001a), Jarmasz and Szpakowicz (2001b), Jarmasz and Szpakowicz (2001c) |
| The Implementation of the ELKB            | 3.5, 7.2               | Jarmasz and Szpakowicz (2001b), Jarmasz and Szpakowicz (2001b) |
| Using Roget’s to Measure Semantic Similarity | 4                      | Jarmasz and Szpakowicz (2001c), Jarmasz and Szpakowicz (2003b) |
| Lexical Chain Construction Using Roget’s   | 5                      | Jarmasz and Szpakowicz (2003a)                               |
| Combining Roget’s and WordNet             | 2.3.3, 6.1-6.4, 7.3    | Jarmasz and Szpakowicz (2001a), Jarmasz and Szpakowicz (2001b), Jarmasz and Szpakowicz (2001c) |
2  The Use of Thesauri in Natural Language Processing

This chapter presents the way in which this research field has used the two most celebrated thesauri in NLP, Roget’s and WordNet. It illustrates the history of both lexical resources, explains their conception and original purpose. I analyze some of the various versions of Roget’s and elucidate the decision to use Penguin’s Roget’s Thesaurus of English Words and Phrases as the source for my ELKB. This chapter further shows the manner in which researchers have used the Thesaurus and WordNet in NLP and discusses the trend towards merging lexical resources. Finally, I present the desideratum for an ELKB based on Roget’s and outline its evaluation procedure.

2.1  The Role of Thesauri in NLP

Computational linguists have used dictionaries and thesauri in NLP ever since they first addressed the problem of language understanding. Ide and Véronis (1998) explain that machine-readable dictionaries (MRDs) became a popular source of knowledge for language processing during the 1980s. Much research activity focused on automatic knowledge extraction from MRDs to construct large knowledge bases. Thesauri using controlled vocabularies, for example the Medical Subject Headings thesaurus (Medical Subject Headings, 1983), the Educational Resources Information Centre thesaurus (Houston, 1984) and the IEE Inspec thesaurus which contains technical literature in domains related to engineering (Inspec Thesaurus, 1985), have proven effective in information retrieval (Lesk, 1995). George Miller and his team constructed manually WordNet, the only broad coverage, freely available lexical resource of its kind. I propose an ELKB similar in scope and function to WordNet and construct it automatically from the most celebrated thesauri.

2.2  An Overview of Roget’s Thesaurus

Roget’s Thesaurus, a collection of words and phrases arranged according to the ideas they express, presents a solid framework for a lexical knowledge base. Its explicit ontology offers a classification system for all concepts that can be expressed by English words; its rich semantic groups are a large resource that this thesis shows to be beneficial for NLP experiments. Yet this resource must be studied carefully before an ELKB can be devised.

2.2.1  The Many Versions of Roget’s

The name “Roget’s” has become synonymous with the Thesaurus, yet most thesauri are not based on the original classification system. Roget published the first edition of his Thesaurus of
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*English Words and Phrases* in May 1852. Already by 1854, Reverend B. Sears had copied it in the United States, removing all of the phrases and placing all words and expressions borrowed from a foreign language in an Appendix (Kirkpatrick, 1998). Today, a quick search for *Roget’s Thesaurus* at Amazon.com reveals well over 100 results with such titles as *Roget's 21st Century Thesaurus*, *Roget International Thesaurus*, *Roget's II: The New Thesaurus*, *Roget's Children's Thesaurus*, *Bartlett's Roget's Thesaurus*, *Roget's Super Thesaurus* and *Roget's Thesaurus of the Bible*. Thesauri are now commonplace in written reference libraries or electronic formats, found on the Internet (Lexico, 2001), in word processors or prepared for NLP research like the *ELKB*.

Which thesaurus is the best? A study of the publishers’ descriptions of their works suggests that there are many excellent thesauri. The introduction to *Roget's International Thesaurus* describes it as “a more efficient word-finder because it has a structure especially designed to stimulate thought and help you organize your ideas” (Chapman, 1992). *Roget's II: The New Thesaurus* gives itself a clear mandate as “a book devoted entirely to meaning” (Master, 1995). Penguin’s 1998 edition of *Roget's Thesaurus of English Words and Phrases* (Kirkpatrick) is the present day thesaurus most similar to the original: “The unique classification system which was devised by Peter Mark Roget and is described fully in the Introduction, has withstood the test of time remarkably well. … it is eminently capable of absorbing new concepts and vocabulary and of reflecting what is happening in the English language as time goes by.”

The various thesauri boast anywhere from 200,000 to 300,000 words but size alone is not what matters. *Roget’s International Thesaurus* (Chapman, 1992) lists close to 180 different kinds of trees from the *acacia* to the *zebrawood*. This enumeration is not nearly enough to be exhaustive, nor does it really help to describe all aspects of the concept 310 *Plants*. If the size of a thesaurus determined a “winner”, one could simply publish a list of plants, animals, and so on. This thesis demonstrates that the classification system of the 1987 edition of Penguin’s *Roget’s Thesaurus* is its great strength. It is interesting to note that Roget’s source of inspiration for the *Thesaurus* indeed was plant taxonomy, so it is much more than a mere catalogue. Every word or phrase is carefully placed in the hierarchy. While a good thesaurus must contain many words, it should above all classify them methodically, according to the ideas which they express.

### 2.2.2 A Comparison of Potential Candidates for Building an ELKB

In this sea of thesauri, only four candidates remain as contenders for building an electronic lexical knowledge base: the 1911 Project Gutenberg (Hart, 1991) edition, Patrick Cassidy’s *FACTOTUM* semantic network (Cassidy, 1996, 2000), HarperCollins’ *Roget’s International Thesaurus* and Penguin’s *Roget’s Thesaurus of English Words and Phrases*. The first two resources are electronic versions of an early *Roget’s Thesaurus*, the latter two printed versions.
The 1911 Project Gutenberg (Hart, 1991) edition is a text file derived from the 1911 version of *Roget's Thesaurus*. MICRA, INC. prepared it in May 1991. This is a public domain version of the *Thesaurus*. This version consists of six classes, 1035 major subject headings and roughly 41,000 words, 1,000 of them added by MICRA Inc. The original classification system has been preserved. This 1911 version is the foundation for *FACTOTUM*, which is described as a semantic network organized very similarly to *Roget’s* but with a more explicit hierarchy and 400 semantic relations that link the individual words to one of the 1035 heads (Cassidy, 2000)

The fifth edition of *Roget’s International Thesaurus* is “the most up-to-date and definitive thesaurus” according to its editor, Robert L. Chapman (1992). It consists of fifteen classes, 1073 headwords and more than 325,000 words. Previous printed editions of *Roget's International Thesaurus* have been successfully used in NLP for word sense disambiguation, information retrieval and computing lexical cohesion in texts. We present examples of such experiments in section 2.3.1. As far as we know, no electronic versions of *Roget’s International Thesaurus* have been made available to the public at large.

Penguin’s *Roget’s Thesaurus of English Words and Phrases*, edited by Betty Kirkpatrick (1998) is “a vast treasure-house according to ideas and meanings” as advertised by Penguin Books. It consists of six classes, 990 headwords and more than 250,000 words. It is has maintained a classification system similar to that of the original edition, and the vocabulary has been updated to reflect the changes since the mid-19th century.

Two criteria for choosing the starting point for the electronic lexical knowledge base stand out: an extensive and up-to-date vocabulary and a classification system very similar to that of the original *Thesaurus*. The constraint on the classification system allows investigating how well it has stood the test of time. Only the 1911 version and the Penguin edition have kept the original classification system, but the latter is more complete. For this reasons I have chosen *Roget’s Thesaurus of English Words and Phrases* as the foundation of my electronic lexical knowledge base.

### 2.3 NLP Applications of *Roget’s Thesaurus* and *WordNet*

It is commonly accepted that a lexical resource should not be prepared if there is no specific task for it. I have developed what is often referred to as a “vanilla flavor” lexicon – a resource that has a broad, general coverage of the English language (Wilks et al., 1996). The fear in creating such a lexical resource is that by trying to be suitable for all applications, it ends up being useful for none. *WordNet* is also such an instrument and it has proven to be invaluable to the NLP community.
Both *Roget’s Thesaurus* and *WordNet* were not initially intended for NLP. The first was planned for writers and orators, for those who are “painfully groping their way and struggling with the difficulties of composition” (Kirkpatrick, 1998), the latter as a model for psycholinguists, devised as “an on-line representation of a major part of the English lexicon that aims to be psychologically realistic” (Beckwith et al., 1991). Like penicillin, *WordNet* is now considered a panacea. *Roget’s Thesaurus*, whose potential I intend to demonstrate, should become equally effective. The following sections describe how both resources have been used in NLP.

### 2.3.1 Using *Roget’s Thesaurus* in NLP

*Roget’s Thesaurus* has been used sporadically in NLP since about 1950 when it was first put into a machine-readable form (Masterman, 1957). The most notable applications include machine translation (Masterman, 1957, Sparck Jones, 1964), information retrieval (Driscoll, 1992, Mandala *et al.*, 1999), computing lexical cohesion in texts (Morris and Hirst, 1991) and word sense disambiguation (Yarowsky, 1992).

Masterman (*ibid.*) used a version on punched cards to improve word-for-word machine translation. She demonstrated how the *Thesaurus* could improve an initially unsatisfactory translation. As an example, Masterman explains that the Italian phrase “*tale problema si presenta particolarmente interessante*”, translated word-for-word as “*such problems self-present particularly interesting*”, can be retranslated as “*such problems strike one as, [or prove] particularly interesting*”. The essence of this “thesaurus procedure” is to build, for every significant word of the initial translation, a list of Heads under which they appear, and to look for intersections between these lists. Replacements for inaccurately translated words or phrases are selected from the Head that contains the most words from the initial translation. Alternatives can be manually selected by choosing a better word from this Head. Masterman notes that the sense of a word, as used in a sentence, can be uniquely identified by knowing to which Head this sense belongs.

Sparck Jones (1964) realized that the *Thesaurus* in its present form had to be improved for it to be effective for machine translation. She therefore set out to create the ideal machine translation dictionary that “… has to be a dictionary in the ordinary sense: it must give definitions or descriptions of the meanings of words. It must also, however, give some indication of the kinds of contexts in which the words are used, that is, must be a ‘semantic classification’ as well as a dictionary” (*ibid.*). Sparck Jones believed that by classifying dictionary definitions using *Roget’s* Heads she could construct the resource that she required.
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Under *Roget’s* headwords there are groups of closely semantically related words, located in the same paragraph and separated by semicolons. Sparck Jones (1964) built “rows” consisting of close semi-synonyms, using the *Oxford English Dictionary*. She then attempted to classify the rows according to the common membership in headwords. Here are some rows that have been classified as belonging to the Head *activity*:

- activity animation
- activity liveliness animation
- activity animation movement
- activity action work
- activity energy vigour

Sparck Jones later used these techniques for information retrieval. Other people (Driscoll, 1992 and Mandala *et al.*, 1999) have also used the *Thesaurus* for this purpose, but this time to expand the initial queries.

Halliday and Hasan (1976) explain that a cohesive text is identified by the presence of strong semantic relations between the words that it is made up of. Morris and Hirst (1991) calculated lexical cohesion, which they call “the result of chains of related words that contribute to the continuity of lexical meaning” within texts. The fourth edition of *Roget’s International Thesaurus* (Chapman, 1977) was used to compute manually lexical chains, which are indicators of lexical cohesion. Stairmand (1994) automated this process using the 1911 edition of *Roget’s Thesaurus* but did not obtain good results, as this version of the *Thesaurus* contains limited and antiquated vocabulary. Ellman (2000) once again used the 1911 edition to build lexical chains so as to construct a representation of a text’s meaning from its content. An implementation of a lexical chain building system that uses the *ELKB* is presented in Chapter 5.

Word sense disambiguation must be the most popular use of *Roget’s Thesaurus* in NLP. Yarowsky (1992) defines the sense of a word as “the categories listed for that word in *Roget’s International Thesaurus*” The 1000 headwords allow to partition the major senses of a word quite accurately. To perform sense disambiguation, one must determine under which headword the given sense belongs. This can be determined by using the context of a polysemous word and the words of a given class. Other people who have used *Roget’s* for word sense disambiguation include Bryan (1973, 1974), Patrick (1985), Sedelow and Mooney (1988), Kwong (2001b).

*Roget’s Thesaurus* has also been used to measure semantic similarity with extremely good correlation with human judgments. It was first accomplished by McHale (1998) using the taxonomy of *Roget’s International Thesaurus*, third edition (Berrey and Carruth, 1962). Another implementation, using the *ELKB*, is discussed in Chapter 4.
Scientists have also attempted to build databases that can infer common sense knowledge, for example that “blind men cannot see”, using *Roget’s*. Some implementations include Cassidy’s *FACTOTUM* semantic network (Cassidy, 2000) and the work of Sedelow and Sedelow (1992).

### 2.3.2 Using *WordNet* in NLP

George Miller first thought of *WordNet* in the mid-1960s. The *WordNet* project started in 1985 and seven different versions have been released since version 1.0 in June of 1991 (Miller, 1998a). Although it is an electronic lexical database based on psycholinguistic principles, it has been used almost exclusively in NLP. For numerous research groups around the world it is now a generic resource. A tribute to its success are the Coling-ACL ’98 workshop entitled *Usage of WordNet in Natural Language Processing Systems* (Harabagiu, 1998), the NAACL 2001 *WordNet and Other Lexical Resources* workshop (Moldovan and Peters, 2001), the 1st *International WordNet Conference* (Fellbaum, 2002) and the close to 300 references in the *WordNet Bibliography* (Mihalcea, 2003). Some of the issues discussed at the *WordNet* workshops and conference include determining for which applications *WordNet* is a valuable resource, evaluating if *WordNet* can be used to develop high performance word sense disambiguation algorithms and extending *WordNet* for specific tasks. The semantic relations in *WordNet* have often been studied, even exploited for a variety of applications, for example measuring semantic similarity (Budanitsky and Hirst, 2001), and encoding models for answers types in open-domain question answering systems (Pasca and Harabagiu, 2001). Using *WordNet* as a blueprint, various multilingual lexical databases have been implemented, the first one being *EuroWordNet* (Vossen, 1998), a multilingual electronic lexical database for Dutch, Italian, Spanish, German, French and Estonian.

Due to the limitations of the printed version of *Roget’s Thesaurus*, many researchers have opted for *WordNet* when attempting to extend their algorithms beyond toy problems. Systems that perform word sense disambiguation have been implemented using this electronic lexical database (Sussna, 1993; Okumura and Honda, 1994; Li et al., 1995; Mihalcea and Moldovan, 1998; Kwong, 2001b; Fellbaum et al.2001). *WordNet*’s taxonomy has been exploited to measure semantic similarity – for a survey of these metrics see (Budanitsky and Hirst, 2001). Lexical chains (Morris and Hirst, 1991) were first built by hand using *Roget’s International Thesaurus*. Hirst and St-Onge (1998) later implemented them using *WordNet*. Lexical chains built using *WordNet* have been applied in text summarization by Barzilay and Elhadad (1997), Brunn et al. (2001) as well as Silber and McCoy (2000, 2002). It is impossible to evaluate how many people who have used *WordNet* would have used *Roget’s Thesaurus* were it in a machine-tractable form and free.
2.3.3 Combining *Roget’s* Thesaurus and *WordNet* in NLP

A current trend in NLP is towards combining lexical resources to attempt to overcome their individual weaknesses. *Roget’s* taxonomy has been used to compute the semantic similarity between words and the results have been compared to those of the same experiments using *WordNet* (McHale, 1998). Although the two resources were not actually combined, and it is not clear which system produces better results, it is an interesting investigation, which reiterates the fact that both can be used for the same applications. This experiment is repeated in Chapter 4 using the *ELKB*. Others have attempted to enrich *WordNet* with *Roget’s Thesaurus* to supplement the lack of relations between part of speech and proper nouns by those available in the *Thesaurus* (Mandala et al., 1999). Kwong (1998, 2001a) presents an algorithm for aligning the word senses of the nouns in the 1987 edition of *Roget’s Thesaurus of English Words and Phrases* an those in *WordNet 1.6*. A limited number of noun senses has been mapped. Nastase and Szpakowicz (2001) perform a similar experiment on a smaller set of words but use a larger set of parts-of-speech: nouns, adjectives and adverbs. An implementation of the mapping of word senses in the *ELKB* and *WordNet 1.7* is discussed in Chapter 6. This is of importance, since as Kwong states (1998): “In general we cannot expect that a single resource will be sufficient for any NLP applications. *WordNet* is no exception, but we can nevertheless enhance its utility”. The analogy can be drawn with *Roget’s*: alone it cannot serve all tasks; combined with *WordNet* the *Thesaurus* will be enriched.

2.4 *Roget’s Thesaurus* as a Resource for NLP

2.4.1 Why Have People Used *Roget’s* for NLP?

This chapter has presented examples of NLP applications that used *Roget’s Thesaurus*. What were the incentives? The structure based on the hierarchy of categories is very simple to computerize and use, as was demonstrated by Masterman (1957) and Sparck Jones (1964). No “reverse engineering” is required to access this lattice of concepts, as it would have to be if one were building it from a dictionary. *Roget’s* has a long established tradition and is believed to be the best thesaurus. It is, however, not machine tractable in the way *WordNet* is. To quote McHale (1998): “*Roget’s* remains, though, an attractive lexical resource for those with access to it. Its wide, shallow hierarchy is densely populated with nearly 200,000 words and phrases. The relationships among the words are also much richer than *WordNet’s* IS-A or HAS-PART links. The price paid for this richness is a somewhat unwieldy tool with ambiguous links”. Indeed, the extreme difficulty of exploiting implicit semantic relations is one of the reasons why the *Thesaurus* has been considered but discarded by many researchers.
2.4.2 Why Do People Not Use Roget’s More for NLP?

It is difficult for a computer to use a resource prepared for humans. *WordNet* is simply easier to use, as explained by Hirst and St-Onge (1995): “Morris and Hirst were never able to implement their algorithm for finding lexical chains with Roget’s because no on-line copy of the thesaurus was available to them. However, the subsequent development of *WordNet* raises the possibility that, with a suitable modification of the algorithm, *WordNet* could be used in place of Roget’s.”

An electronic version of the 1911 edition of *Roget’s Thesaurus* has been available since 1991. This edition also proves inadequate for NLP, as Hirst and St-Onge (1995) describe: “Recent editions of Roget’s could not be licensed. The on-line version of the 1911 edition was available, but it does not include the index that is crucial to the algorithm. Moreover, because of its age, it lacks much of the vocabulary necessary for processing many contemporary texts, especially newspaper and magazine articles and technical papers.” Stairmand (1994) confirmed that it is not possible to implement a lexical chainer using the on-line 1911 version.

The literature shows that only Penguin’s *Roget’s Thesaurus of English Words and Phrases*, HarperCollins’ *Roget’s International Thesaurus* as well as the 1911 edition have been used for NLP research. Choosing the concept hierarchy of one or the other does not ensure a definitive advantage, as Yarowsky (1992) states: “Note that this edition of Roget’s Thesaurus [Chapman, 1977] is much more extensive than the 1911 version, though somewhat more difficult to obtain in electronic form. One could use other concept hierarchies, such as WordNet (Miller, 1990) or the LDOCE subject codes (Slator, 1992). All that is necessary is a set of semantic categories and a list of the words in each category.” Roget’s is more than a concept hierarchy, but the elements that are most easily accessed using a printed version are the classification system and the index. For this reason, computational linguists have limited their experiments to computerizing and manipulating the index.

The availability of the lexical material of a current edition of *Roget’s Thesaurus* is the major hindrance for using this resource in NLP. The publishers of Roget’s do not make it easy to obtain an electronic copy.

2.4.3 A Machine-tractable Version of Roget’s extended With WordNet Relations

*Roget’s Thesaurus* has many undeniable advantages. It is based on a well-constructed concept classification, and its entries were written by professional lexicographers. It contains around 250,000 words compared to *WordNet’s almost 200,000*. Roget’s employs a rich set of semantic relations, most of them implicit (Cassidy, 2000). These relationships are one of the most interesting qualities. Morris and Hirst (1991) say: “A thesaurus simply groups related words
without attempting to explicitly name each relationship. In a traditional computer database, a systematic semantic relationship can be represented by a slot value for a frame, or by a named link in a semantic network. If it is hard to classify a relationship in a systematic semantic way, it will be hard to represent the relationship in a traditional frame or semantic network formalism. A machine-tractable thesaurus will possibly present a better way of organizing semantic relations, although the challenge will be to label them explicitly.

*Roget’s Thesaurus* does not have some of *WordNet’s* shortcomings, such as the lack of links between parts of speech and the absence of topical groupings. The clusters of closely related words are obviously not the same in both resources. *WordNet* relies on a set of about 15 semantic relations, which I present in Chapter 3. Search in this lexical database requires a word and a semantic relation; for every word some (but never all) of 15 relations can be used in search. It is impossible to express a relationship that involves more than one of the 15 relations: it cannot be stored in *WordNet*. The *Thesaurus* can link the noun *bank*, the business that provides financial services, and the verb *invest*, to give money to a bank to get a profit, as used in the following sentences, by placing them in a common head 784 *Lending*.

1. Mary went to the *bank* yesterday.
2. She *invested* $5,000.00 in mutual funds.

This notion cannot be described using *WordNet’s* semantic relations. While an English speaker can identify a relation not provided by *WordNet*, for example that one invests money in a bank, this is not sufficient for a computer system. The main challenge is to label such relations explicitly. I expect to be able to identify a good number of implicit semantic relations in *Roget’s* by combining it with *WordNet*. This process is described in Chapter 6.

*WordNet* was built using different linguistic sources. They include the *Brown Corpus* (Francis and Kucera, 1982), the *Basic Book of Synonyms and Antonyms* (Urdang, 1978a), *The Synonym Finder* (Urdang, 1978b), the 4th edition of *Roget’s International Thesaurus* (Chapman, 1977) and Ralph Grishman’s *COMLEX* (Macleod, *et al.*, 1994). Many of the lexical files were written by graduate students hired part-time. Penguin’s *Roget’s Thesaurus of English Words and Phrases* is prepared by professional lexicographers and validated using data from the *Longman Corpus Network* of many millions words. The carefully prepared *Thesaurus* is therefore more consistent than *WordNet* as is shown by comparing the *soldier* paragraph in the *Thesaurus* Head 722 *Combattant. Army. Navy. Air Force* and the kinds of *soldier* listed in *WordNet 1.7.1*.
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soldier, army man, pongo; military man, long-term soldier, regular; soldierly, troops, see armed force; campaigner, old campaigner, conquistador; old soldier, veteran, Chelsea pensioner; fighting man, warrior, brave, myrmidon; man-at-arms, redcoat, legionary, legionnaire, centurion; vexillary, standard-bearer, colour escort, colour sergeant, ensign, cornet; heavy-armed soldier, hoplite; light-armed soldier, peltast; velites, skirmishers; sharpshooter, sniper, franc-tireur, 287 shooter; auxiliary, territorial, Home Guard, militiaman, fencible; yeomanry, yeoman; irregular, irregular troops, moss-trooper, cateran, kern, gallowglass, rapparee, bashi-bazouk; raider, tip-and-run run; guerrilla, partisan, freedom fighter, fedayeen; resistance fighter, underground fighter, Maquis; picked troops, 644 elite; guards, housecarls, 660 protector, see armed force; effective, enlisted man; reservist; volunteer; mercenary; pressed man; conscript, recruit, rookie; serviceman, Tommy, Tommy Atkins, Jock, GI, doughboy, Aussie, Anzac, poilu, sepoy, Gurkha, askari; woman soldier, female warrior, Amazon, Boadicea; battlemaid, valkyrie; Wren, WRAF, WRAC.

Figure 2.1: The Roget's Thesaurus Paragraph soldier 722 n.

soldier => cannon fodder, fresh fish; cavalrman, trooper; cavalrman, trooper; color bearer, standard-bearer; Confederate soldier; redcoat, lobsterback; flanker; goldbrick; Green Beret; guardsman; Highlander; infantryman, marcher, foot soldier, footslogger; Janissary; legionnaire, legionary; man-at-arms; militiaman; orderly; paratrooper, para; peacekeeper; pistolero; point man; ranger; regular; reservist; rifleman; Section Eight; tanker, tank driver; territorial; Unknown Soldier; Allen, Ethan Allen; Bayard, Seigneur de Bayard, Chevalier de Bayard, Pierre Terrail, Pierre de Terrail; Borgia, Cesare Borgia; Higginson, Thomas Higginson, Thomas Wentworth Storrow Higginson; Kosciusko, Tadeusz Kosciusko; Lafayette, La Fayette, Marie Joseph Paul Yves Roch Gilbert du Motier, Marquis de Lafayette; Lawrence, T. E. Lawrence, Thomas Edward Lawrence, Lawrence of Arabia; Lee, Henry Lee, Lighthorse Harry Lee; Mohammed Ali, Mehmet Ali, Muhammad Ali; Morgan, Daniel Morgan; Percy, Sir Henry Percy, Hotspur, Harry Hotspur; Peron, Juan Domingo Peron; Smuts, Jan Christian Smuts; Tancred.

Figure 2.2: The kinds of soldier in WordNet 1.7.1

The Roget's paragraph does not contain any proper nouns, which at first may seem as a weakness compared to WordNet, but is the most rational decision for such a lexical resource, as WordNet's list contains but an infinitely small number of the world's great soldiers. Although WordNet lists Allen, Bayard, Borgia, Higginson, Kosciusko, Lafayette, Lawrence, Lee, Mohamed Ali, Morgan, Percy, Peron, Smuts and Tancred other arguably even greater, such as
Alexander, Caesar, Charlemagne, Timur, Genghis Khan, Napoleon, Nelson are absent. This example is not sufficient to prove that the *Thesaurus* is a more carefully crafted resource than *WordNet*, but is enough to indicate that *Roget’s* is a very good foundation for an electronic lexical knowledge base and that *WordNet* is not perfect. Extending *Roget’s* with *WordNet* can only make it better, as the combined information makes for a richer semantic network. Although *WordNet* has become the *de facto* standard electronic lexical knowledge base for NLP, there is no reason why it should be the only one. The *ELKB* is built from *Roget’s Thesaurus* and can be combined with *WordNet*. This results in an interesting alternative for solving NLP problems.

### 2.5 The Evaluation of a Thesaurus Designed for NLP

The evaluation of the *ELKB* must be functional, quantitative and qualitative. It is functional in the sense that the *ELKB* must allow the same manipulations as the printed *Thesaurus*: word and phrase lookup, browsing via the hierarchy, random browsing and following links. Experiments that have been previously done by hand, for example calculating the distance between words or phrases by counting their relative separation in *Roget’s*, must be automated. Chapter 3 presents the various use scenarios and discusses how they are implemented in the *ELKB*. The evaluation is quantitative in the sense that the *ELKB* should have a comparable number of word senses as *WordNet*. This evaluation is performed in Chapter 3. It finally is qualitative in the sense that the words and phrases contained in *Roget’s* should perform a wide variety of NLP applications. The *Thesaurus* is put to the test by calculating semantic similarity between words and phrases, explained in Chapter 4, and in the task of building lexical chains, described in Chapter 5. The experiments involving mapping *Roget’s* senses onto *WordNet* senses in Chapter 6 expose the differences in lexical material between both resources.
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3 The Design and Implementation of the ELKB

The preliminary step in evaluating the usefulness of Roget’s Thesaurus for NLP is the implementation of the ELKB. This chapter describes the steps involved in computerizing the Thesaurus, from the details of how this resource is organized, to a Java implementation of the ELKB. I explain Roget’s structure, behavior and function as well as present the way in which the ELKB works. This chapter shows the steps involved in transforming the source material into a format that is adequate for further processing, and discusses the required data structures for the system. It finally illustrates some scenarios of how the ELKB is to be used. I perform a comparison to WordNet, the de facto standard for electronic lexical databases, at the various stages of the design and implementation of this electronic resource.

3.1 General organization of Roget’s and WordNet

Ontologies have been used in Artificial Intelligence since the 1950s. Researchers agree that they are extremely useful for a wide variety of applications but do not agree on their contents and structure (Lehmann, 1995). Roget proposed a classification system that is essentially a taxonomy of ideas that can be expressed in the English language. His system has a very Victorian bias to it, but this thesis demonstrates that it is useful to modern day researchers nonetheless. Let’s examine its properties and compare it to the ontology of nouns implicitly present in WordNet.

Roget’s ontology is headed by six Classes. The first three Classes cover the external world: Abstract Relations deals with such ideas as number, order and time; Space is concerned with movement, shapes and sizes, while Matter covers the physical world and humankind’s perception of it by means of five senses. The remaining Classes deal with the internal world of human beings: the mind (Intellect), the will (Volition), the heart and soul (Emotion, Religion and Morality). There is a logical progression from abstract concepts, through the material universe, to mankind itself, culminating in what Roget saw as mankind’s highest achievements: morality and religion (Kirkpatrick, 1998). Class Four, Intellect, is divided into Formation of ideas and Communication of ideas, and Class Five, Volition, into Individual volition and Social volition. In practice, therefore, the Thesaurus is headed by eight Classes. This is the structure that has been adopted for the ELKB.

A path in Roget’s ontology always begins with one of the Classes. It branches to one of the 39 Sections, then to one of the 79 Sub-Sections, then to one of the 596 Head Groups and finally to one of the 990 Heads. Each Head is divided into paragraphs grouped by parts of speech: nouns, adjectives, verbs and adverbs. According to Kirkpatrick (1998) “Not all Heads have a full
complement of parts of speech, nor are the labels themselves applied too strictly, words and phrases being allocated to the part-of-speech which most closely describes their function”. Much is left to the lexicographers’ intuitions, which makes it hard to use Roget’s as it is for NLP applications. Finally a paragraph is divided into semicolon groups of semantically closely related words. These paths create a graph in the Thesaurus since they are interconnected at various points. An example of a Head in Roget’s is 864 Wonder. I show it here with the first paragraph for every part-of-speech as well as its path in the ontology:

**Class six:** Emotion, religion and morality

**Section two:** Personal emotion

**Sub-section:** Contemplative

**Head Group:** 864 Wonder – 865 Lack of wonder

**Head:** 864 Wonder

*N.* wonder, state of wonder, wonderment, raptness; admiration, hero worship, 887 love; awe, fascination; cry of wonder, gasp of admiration, whistle, wolf wolf, exclamation, exclamation mark; shocked silence, 399 silence; open mouth, popping eyes, eyes on stalks; shock, surprise, surprisal, 508 lack of expectation; astonishment, astoundment, amazement; stupor, stupefaction; bewilderment, bafflement, 474 uncertainty; consternation, 854 fear.

... 

**Adj.** wondering, marvelling, admiring, etc. vb.; awed, awestruck, fascinated, spellbound, 818 impressed; surprised, 508 inexpectant; astonished, amazed, astounded; in wonderment, rapt, lost in wonder, lost in amazement, unable to believe one's eyes or senses; wide-eyed, round-eyed, pop-eyed, with one's eyes starting out of one's head, with eyes on stalks; open-mouthed, agape, gaping; dazzled, blinded; dumbfounded, dumb, struck dumb, inarticulate, speechless, breathless, wordless, left without words, silenced, 399 silent; bowled over, struck all of a heap, thunderstruck; transfixed, rooted to the spot; dazed, stupefied, bewildered, 517 puzzled; aghast, flabbergasted; shocked, scandalized, 924 disapproving.

... 

**Vb.** wonder, marvel, admire, whistle; hold one's breath, gasp, gasp with admiration; hero-worship, 887 love; stare, gaze and gaze, goggle at, gawk, open one's eyes wide, rub one's eyes, not believe one's eyes; gape, gawp, open one's mouth, stand in amazement, look aghast, 508 not expect; be awestruck, be overwhelmed, 854 fear; have no words to express, not know what to say, be reduced to silence, be struck dumb, 399 be silent.
Adv. wonderfully, marvellously, remarkably, splendidly, fearfully; wondrous strange, strange to say, wonderful to relate, mirabile dictu, to the wonder of all.

Int. amazing! incredible! I don't believe it! go on! well I never! blow me down! did you ever! gosh! wow! how about that! bless my soul! 'pon my word! goodness gracious! whatever next! never!

Figure 3.1: The Roget’s Thesaurus Head 864 Wonder.

Miller took a different approach to constructing an ontology for WordNet. Only nouns are clearly organized into a hierarchy. Adjectives, verbs and adverbs are organized individually into various webs that are difficult to untangle. This decision has been based on pragmatic reasons more than on theories of lexical semantics, as Miller (1998b) admits: “Partitioning the nouns has one important practical advantage: it reduces the size of the files that lexicographers must work with and makes it possible to assign the writing and editing of the different files to different people.” Indeed, organizing WordNet’s more than 100,000 nouns must have required a fair amount of planning.

In WordNet version 1.7.1 noun hierarchies are organized around nine unique beginners. A unique beginner is a synset which is found at the top of the noun ontology. Most synsets are accompanied with a gloss which is a short definition of the synonym set. The following are the unique beginners:

- **entity, physical thing** (that which is perceived or known or inferred to have its own physical existence (living or nonliving))
- **psychological feature**, (a feature of the mental life of a living organism)
- **abstraction**, (a general concept formed by extracting common features from specific examples)
- **state**, (the way something is with respect to its main attributes; "the current state of knowledge"; "his state of health"; "in a weak financial state")
- **event**, (something that happens at a given place and time)
- **act, human action, human activity**, (something that people do or cause to happen)
- **group, grouping**, (any number of entities (members) considered as a unit)
- **possession**, (anything owned or possessed)
- **phenomenon**, (any state or process known through the senses rather than by intuition or reasoning)

Figure 3.2: The WordNet 1.7.1 unique beginners.
All of the other nouns can eventually be traced back to these nine synsets. If these are considered analogous to Roget’s Classes, the next level of nouns can be considered as the Sections. In all, the unique beginners have 161 noun synsets directly linked to them (Appendix M). The number of nouns that are two levels away from the top of the noun hierarchies have not been identified, but if even a quarter of the WordNet nouns can be found here, they would represent close to 37,000 words.

Miller (1998b) mentions that WordNet’s noun ontology is relatively shallow in the sense that it seems to have a limited number of levels of specialization. In theory, of course, there is no limit to the number of levels an inheritance system can have. Lexical inheritance systems, however, seldom go more than 10 or 12 levels deep, and the deepest examples usually contain technical distinctions that are not part of the everyday vocabulary. For example, a Shetland pony is a pony, a horse, an equid, an odd-toe ungulate, a placental mammal, a mammal, a vertebrate, a chordate, an animal, an organism, an object and an entity: 13 levels, half of them technical (ibid.).

The IS-A relations connect WordNet’s noun hierarchy in a vertical fashion, whereas the IS-PART, IS-SUBSTANCE, IS-MEMBER and the HAS-PART, HAS-SUBSTANCE, HAS-MEMBER relations allow for horizontal connections. This allows interconnecting various word nets, represented by the synsets, into a large web.

A simple quantitative comparison of the two ontologies is difficult. Roget (1852) claims that organizing words hierarchically is very useful: “In constructing the following system of classification of the ideas which are expressible by language, my chief aim has been to obtain the greatest amount of practical utility.” Miller, on the other hand, feels that it is basically impossible to create a hierarchy for all words, since: “these abstract generic concepts [which make up the top levels of the ontology] carry so little semantic information; it is doubtful that people could agree on appropriate words to express them.” (1998b) The Tabular synopsis of categories, which represents the concept hierarchy, is presented at the beginning of the Thesaurus. On the other hand, in WordNet only the unique beginners are listed, and only in the documentation. This shows that much more value was attributed to the ontology in Roget’s. Tables 3.1 and 3.2 show the portions of Roget’s and WordNet’s ontologies that classify the various abstract relations. Both tables use the Class, Section and Head notation from Roget’s Thesaurus. The Section Time has been expanded to present the underlying Heads. The glosses that accompany each WordNet noun synset are not included in the table so as to compare them to semicolon groups, for which the Thesaurus does not give definitions.
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Class One: Abstract Relations

1 Existence

2 Relation

3 Quantity

4 Order

5 Number

6 Time

Absolute: (definite/indefinite) 108 Time 109 Neverness
110 Period 111 Course
112 Contingent duration
113 Long duration 114 Transience
115 Perpetuity 116 Instantaneousness
117 Chronometry 118 Anachronism

Relative: (to succession) 119 Priority 120 Posterity
121 Present time 122 Different time
123 Synchronism

(to a period) 124 Futurity 125 Past time
126 Newness 127 Oldness
128 Morning 129 Evening
130 Youth 131 Age
132 Young person 133 Old person
134 Adultness

(to an effect or purpose) 135 Earliness 136 Lateness
137 Occasion 138 Untimeliness

Recurrent: 139 Frequency 140 Infrequency
141 Periodicity 142 Fitfulness

7 Change

8 Causation

Table 3.1: The hierarchical structure of Abstract Relations in Roget’s Thesaurus.

The Heads in the printed Roget’s Thesaurus are placed in two distinct columns to express opposing ideas such as 128 Morning and 129 Evening. Sometimes there is an intermediate idea, for example:

132 Young person 133 Old person 134 Adultness

The visual representation of the hypernym tree for WordNet’s Time Section has been chosen so as to facilitate the comparison with Roget’s. The order of the synsets in the table is the one given by WordNet. There does not seem to be as clear an underlying structure as the one presented by the Thesaurus.
### Unique Beginner Three: Abstraction

#### 1 Time

|   |   |
|---|---|
| 1 | Geological time, geologic time |
| 2 | Biological time |
| 3 | Cosmic time |
| 4 | Civil time, standard time, local time |
| 5 | Daylight-saving time, daylight-savings time, daylight saving, daylight savings |
| 6 | Present, nowadays |
| 7 | Past, past times, yesteryear, yore |
| 8 | Future, hereafter, futurity, time to come |
| 9 | Musical time |
| 10 | Continuum |
| 11 | Greenwich Mean Time, Greenwich Time, GMT, universal time, UT, UT1 |
| 12 | Duration, continuance |
| 13 | Eternity, infinity, forever |

#### 2 Space

|   |   |
|---|---|
| 3 | Attribute |
| 4 | Relation |
| 5 | Measure, quantity, amount, quantum |
| 6 | Set |

#### Table 3.2: The hierarchical structure of Abstraction in WordNet

### 3.2 The Counts of Words and Phrases in Roget’s and WordNet

The simplest way to compare Roget’s Thesaurus and WordNet is to count strings. Table 3.3 shows the word and phrase counts for the 1987 Roget’s, divided among parts of speech. A sense is defined as the occurrence of a word or phrase within a unique semicolon group, for example the slope in \{rising ground, rise, bank, ben, brae, slope, climb, incline\}. Table 3.4 presents the different counts for WordNet 1.7.1 and the strings in common with Roget’s. Here a sense is the occurrence of a string within a unique synset, for example slope in \{slope, incline, side\}.

The absolute sizes are similar. The surprisingly low 32% overlap may be due to the fact that WordNet’s vocabulary dates to 1990, while Roget’s contains a vocabulary that spans 150 years, since many words have been added to the original 1852 edition, but few have been removed. It is also rich in idioms: “The present Work is intended to supply, with respect to the English language, a desideratum hitherto unsupplied in any language; namely a collection of words it
contains and of the idiomatic combinations peculiar to it …” (Roget, 1852). Fellbaum (1998b) admits that WordNet contains little figurative language. She explains that idioms must appear in an ELKB if it is to serve NLP applications that deal with real texts where idiomatic language is pervasive.

| POS      | Unique Strings | Paragraphs | Semicolon Groups | Senses  |
|----------|----------------|------------|------------------|---------|
| Noun     | 56307          | 2876       | 31133            | 114052  |
| Verb     | 24724          | 1497       | 13968            | 55647   |
| Adjective| 21665          | 1500       | 12889            | 48712   |
| Adverb   | 4140           | 498        | 1822             | 5708    |
| Interjection | 372     | 61         | 65               | 406     |
| **Totals** | **107208**    | **6432**   | **59877**        | **224525** |

Table 3.3: 1987 Roget’s Thesaurus statistics.

| POS      | Unique Strings | Synsets | Senses | Common with Roget’s | % of common strings |
|----------|----------------|---------|--------|----------------------|---------------------|
| Noun     | 109195         | 75804   | 134716 | 27118                | 24.83               |
| Verb     | 11088          | 13214   | 24169  | 7231                 | 65.21               |
| Adjective| 21460          | 18576   | 31184  | 10465                | 48.76               |
| Adverb   | 4607           | 3629    | 5748   | 1585                 | 34.40               |
| Interjection | 0          | 0       | 0      | 0                    | 0.00                |
| **Totals** | **146350**    | **111223** | **195817** | **46399** | **31.70** |

Table 3.4: WordNet 1.7.1 statistics. Common refers to strings both in WordNet and Roget’s.

3.3 The semantic relations of Roget’s and WordNet

Cassidy (2000) has identified 400 kinds of semantic relations in the FACTOTUM semantic network which is based on the 1911 edition of Roget’s Thesaurus. This suggests that the 1987 Penguin edition of Roget’s has a rich set of implicit semantic relations. To build a useful electronic lexical knowledge base from the Thesaurus, these relations must be made available explicitly. Some semantic relations are present already within the Tabular synopsis of categories, as Kirkpatrick (1998) explains: “Most Heads are in pairs, representing the positive and negative aspects of an idea, e.g. 852 Hope, 853 Hoplessness.” This antonymy relationship that is present for Heads does not necessarily translate into a relation of opposition for the words contained under each Heads. This is due to the fact that Heads and words that belong to it represent two
different types of concepts. The Head represents a general concept, whereas the words and phrases represent all of the various aspects of this concept. Thus, under the Head School can be found such notions as college, lycée, gymnasium, senior secondary school; lecture room, lecture hall, auditorium, amphitheatre; and platform, stage, podium, estrade.

Two types of explicit relationships are present at the word level: Cross-reference and See. Cross-reference is a link between Heads via the syntactic form of a word. For example, the Heads Female and Parentage are linked by the Cross-reference maternity. The word maternity is present within the group mother, grandmother in the Head Female and is the first word of a paragraph in the head Parentage. According to Kirkpatrick (1998), the See relationship is used to refer the reader to another paragraph within the same Head, where the idea under consideration is dealt with more thoroughly. An example of this is when a general paragraph such as killing in Head Killing: destruction of life is followed by more specific paragraphs homicide and slaughter. The relationship appears in the following manner in the text: murder, assassination, bumping off (see homicide).

It is a common misconception that the Thesaurus is simply a book of synonyms. Roget (1852) admits in fact that “it is hardly possible to find two words having in all respect the same meaning, and being therefore interchangeable; that is, admitting of being employed indiscriminately, the one or the other, in all applications”. According to Kirkpatrick (1998), the intention is to offer words that express every aspect of an idea, rather than to list synonyms. The groups of words found under a Head follow one another in a logical sequence. Systematic semantic relations, such as IS-A and PART-OF, are not required between the semicolon groups and the Head. For example, both restaurant and have brunch are found under the same Head Food: eating and drinking. Although the native English speaker can identify various relations between food, restaurant and have brunch, it is not an easy thing to discover automatically. This is a major challenge; some possible algorithms for automatic labeling of semantic relations are presented in Chapter 6.

WordNet is based on about fifteen semantic relations, the most important of which is synonymy. Every part-of-speech in WordNet has a different set of semantic relations. It is important to note that synonymy is the only relation between words. All others are between synsets. For example, the synsets car, auto, automobile, machine, motorcar -- (4-wheeled motor vehicle; usually propelled by an internal combustion engine; “he needs a car to get to work”) and accelerator, accelerator pedal, gas pedal, gas, throttle,
| Semantic relation       | Description                                                                 | Part-of-speech | Example                                                                                     |
|------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Synonym**            | A concept that means exactly or nearly the same as another. WordNet considers immediate hypernyms to be synonyms. | N  V  Adj  Adv | { sofa, couch, lounge } are all synonyms of one another. { seat } is the immediate hypernym of the synset. |
| **Antonym**            | A concept opposite in meaning to another.                                   | N  V  Adj  Adv | { love } is the antonym of { hate, detest }.                                                 |
| **Hypernym**           | A concept whose meaning denotes a superordinate.                            | N  V          | A { feline, felid } is a hypernym of { cat, true cat }.                                     |
| **Hyponym**            | A concept whose meaning denotes a subordinate.                              | N  V          | A { wildcat } is a hyponym of { cat, true cat }.                                            |
| **Substance meronym**  | A concept that is a substance of another concept.                            | V             | A { snowflake, flake } is substance of { snow }.                                            |
| **Part meronym**       | A concept that is a part of another concept.                                | V             | A { crystal, watch crystal, watch glass } is a part of a { watch, ticker }.                  |
| **Member meronym**     | A concept that is a member of another concept.                              | Adj           | An { associate } is a member of an { association }.                                         |
| **Substance of holonym** | A concept that has another concept as a substance.                         | V             | A { tear, teardrop } has { water, H2O } as a substance.                                     |
| **Part of holonym**    | A concept that has another concept as a part.                               | V             | A { school system } has a { school, schoolhouse } as a part.                                |
| **Member of holonym**  | A concept that has another concept as a member.                             | V             | { organized crime, gangland, gangdom } has { gang, pack, ring, mob } as a member.           |
| **Cause to**           | A verb that is the cause of a result.                                       | V             | { give } is the cause of the result { have, have got, hold }                                |
| **Entailment**         | A verb that involves unavoidably a result.                                  | V             | To { die, decease, perish, go, exit, pass away, expire } involves unavoidably to { leave, leave behind }. |
| **Troponym**           | A verb that is a particular way to do another.                              | V             | To { samba } is a particular way to { dance, trip the light fantastic }.                     |
| **Pertainym**          | An adjective or adverb that relates to a noun.                              | N  Adj        | { criminal } relates to { crime }.                                                          |
| **Attribute**          | An adjective that is the value of a noun.                                  | Adj           | { fast (vs. slow) } is a value of { speed, swiftness, fastness }                            |
| **Value**              | A noun that has an adjective for a value.                                  | Adj           | { weight } has { light (vs. heavy) } as a value.                                            |

Table 3.5: The semantic relations in WordNet.
gun -- (a pedal that controls the throttle valve; “he stepped on the gas”) are linked by the meronym (has part) relation, whereas the nouns car and auto are linked by synonymy. Table 3.5 summarizes the semantic relations. All of the examples are taken from WordNet version 1.7.1. and {...} represents a synset.

WordNet’s semantic relations are discussed in detail in the International Journal of Lexicography 3(4) and WordNet: An Electronic Lexical Database (Fellbaum, 1998).

3.4 Accessing Roget’s and WordNet

It is very important to have adequate methods of accessing an electronic lexical knowledge base. These access methods should be designed in a computationally efficient manner, since this resource is to be machine-tractable, and faithfully reproduce how the printed version is used. For the task of computerizing Roget’s Thesaurus, a study of its manual use can offer good suggestions. WordNet can also be a source of more ideas. Roget’s provides an Index of the words and phrases in the Thesaurus. For every item a list of keywords, with their Head numbers and part-of-speech, indicates in what Paragraph a word can be found. The different Keywords give an indication of the various senses of a word. The combination keyword, head number, part-of-speech represents a unique key in the Thesaurus.

The following is an example of an Index entry:

- daily
  - often 139 adv.
  - seasonal 141 adj.
  - periodically 141 adv.
  - journal 528 n.
  - the press 528 n.
  - usual 620 adj.
  - cleaner 648 n.
  - servant 742 n.

The Paragraph pointed to by the key journal 528 n. is the following:

528 Publication

N. ...

journal, review magazine, glossy m., specialist m., women’s m., male-interest m., pulp m.; part-work, periodical, serial, daily, weekly, monthly, quarterly, annual; gazette, trade journal, house magazine, trade publication 589 reading matter.
A Roget’s Paragraph is made up of a Keyword and a sequence of Semicolon Groups. The Keyword, an italicized word at the beginning of a Paragraph, is not intended to be a synonym of the words that follow it, but is rather a concept that generalizes the whole Paragraph. It also allows to identify the position of other words in the Index and to locate Cross-references (Kirkpatrick, 1998). A Semicolon Group is a list of closely related words and phrases, for example: ; part-work, periodical, serial, daily, weekly, monthly, quarterly, annual; Such lists are separated by semicolons. This is the smallest unit above single words and phrases in Roget’s.

Most people use the Index when looking up a word in the Thesaurus, but Roget (1852) intended his classification system to also serve this purpose: “By the aid of this table the reader will, with a little practice, readily discover the place which the peculiar topic he is in search of occupies in the series; and on turning to the page in the body of the Work which contains it, he will find the group of expressions he requires, out of which he may cull those that are the most appropriate to his purpose”. Searching the Thesaurus like this allows looking at all of the words found under a Head, regardless of the part-of-speech. In this manner all of the concepts that express every aspect of a given idea can be found.

For the human user, the Index is the most practical means of looking up a word. For the computer, the classification system is extremely practical, as it has been shown, for example, by Yarowsky’s (1992) word sense disambiguation experiment or the semantic similarity metric presented in Chapter 4. It is important to be able to locate a word within its semicolon group, and from there to look at the other words in the same Paragraph, the same Head, knowing at all times in which place the word is found in the classification system. Using the ELKB it must be possible to follow the different paths built from the parts of speech, semantic relations and the ontology.

Graphical and command line interfaces exist for WordNet. Both work essentially in the same way. After selecting a word, all of its senses appear, listed within the synsets to which they belong, ordered by frequency and part-of-speech. For example, the search for the word daily returns the following:
At this point, the user can decide to continue his search of the database by using one of the semantic relations. The system does not show the exact location in the ontology where the search results are originating from, nor can all the concepts describing an idea be easily extracted. The number of times the word senses occur in Semcor (Landes et al., 1998), a semantic concordance based on the Brown Corpus (Francis and Kucera, 1982), is displayed by WordNet.

All the methods to access Roget’s that the printed version offers have been implemented in the ELKB. WordNet provides an interface to its lexical material in a manner that is similar to only using Roget’s index. The ELKB allows performing this type of search as well as using the classification system. Appendix A presents the use cases and explains the basic functions of the ELKB.

3.5 The preparation of the Lexical Material

We have licensed the source of the 1987 Roget’s from Pearson Education. It is divided into files with the text of the Thesaurus and files with its index. The Text file and Index file, both about 4
MB in size, are marked up using codes devised by the owners of the resource. Appendix E presents the steps for converting the codes into HTML-like tags. Appendix D lists the Perl scripts used for transforming the lexical material into a format that is suitable for the ELKB along with their accompanying documentation. The ELKB is created using only the Text file; the Index is constructed using the words and phrases loaded in the knowledge base. This is a necessary step, as the supplied Index file does not contain entries for all of the words contained in the Thesaurus.

Certain space-saving conventions are used in the source data. Where consecutive expressions use the same word, repetitions may be avoided using “or”, as in “drop a brick or clanger”, “countryman or -woman”. The repeated word may also be indicated by its first letter, followed by a full stop: “weasel word, loan w., nonce w.” (Kirkpatrick, 1998). All such abbreviations must be expanded before the lexical material is loaded into the ELKB. A Perl script was written to do this as well as to replace the Pearson codes by HTML-like tags, easier to process automatically. Other programs validate the expansion errors mostly due to noise in the original material.

3.5.1 Errors and Exceptions in the Source Files

The original text files supplied by Pearson Education contain some errors. There are 8 occurrences of lines that include the string “Bad Character”, for example: Err\pbf\ Bad Character: \char`\?\char`\}. There are some phrases where spaces are missing between the words, for example “creativeaccounting” instead of “creative accounting”. Other words are split which seem to be spelling mistakes at first but a closer look reveals that the missing letters are separated from the words by a space, for example: “incommunicativene ss”. The code #1$:#5 is frequently inserted in the file but does not mean anything. Appendix F shows 179 instances of errors where spaces are missing and 26 instances of errors that contain an extra space, as well as specifying the original file in which they can be found.

3.6 The Java implementation of the ELKB

The entire functionality of the printed version of Roget’s has been implemented in Java. The ELKB, which is comprised of eighteen classes, is organized around four major ones: the RogetELKB class which is the main entry point into the system, the Category class which models the taxonomy and has methods to traverse it, the RogetText class which represents the 990 Heads as well as the words and phrases stored under them and the Index class which contains the references to all of the words and phrases in the Thesaurus. These four classes, as well as their relation to the other fourteen, are described in the following sections. The class diagram of the ELKB is shown in Figure 3.4. Appendix B presents a detailed documentation of the system.
Figure 3.4: Class Diagram of the ELKB.
Correctly reproducing the printed *Roget’s Thesaurus*, creating an application programming interface (API) that is both efficient and easy to use, performance, memory and the availability of the *ELKB* software to the largest possible audience have been the main concerns of this implementation. The library of Java API is used for all of the experiments included in this dissertation: evaluating the semantic similarity of words and phrases, the construction of lexical chains, and investigating algorithms for mapping the *Thesaurus* onto *WordNet*, presented in chapters 4, 5 and 6 respectively. The *ELKB* can be accessed by making direct call to the API, as well as by using the command line and graphical user interface (GUI). A first version of the GUI was created by Pierre Chrétien and Gilles Roy to fulfill the requirements of their fourth-year Honors Software Engineering project. Appendix C presents the GUI and the command line interface.

### 3.6.1 The *ELKB*Roget and Related Classes

The *ELKB*Roget class is the one that contains all others in the system. It has methods to perform manipulations on the *ELKB*, from looking up a word or phrase, calculating the distance between two words or phrases, to identifying their relative position in the taxonomy. Instances of the *Index* and *Category* classes are loaded into memory to allow for rapid access. The Heads, contained in the *RogetText* object, are read from files when required. This configuration is

The best compromise between performance and memory usage. Two objects, *Path* which calculates and stores the path between two references, and *PathSet* which contains all paths between a pair of words and phrases, are accessed from *ELKB*Roget. They allow calculating the shortest path between two words or phrases.

### 3.6.2 The *Category* and Related Classes

The *Category* class models the *Tabular synopsis of categories* described in Section 3.1. It is made up of two arrays; the first contains *Category* and the second *HeadInfo* objects. The *Category* objects are comprised of an array of *Section* objects, which in turn contain an array of *SubSection* objects, which have an array of *Group* objects which are finally made up of an array of *HeadInfo* objects. The *HeadInfo* objects describe a Head entirely with respect to its location in *Roget’s* taxonomy. It is defined uniquely by the Class number, Section number, Sub-section name, Head Group, as well as the name and number of the Head. It requires little memory as it does not contain any of the words or phrases. The second array of the *Category* class contains the 990 *HeadInfo* objects that describe the 990 Heads of the *Thesaurus*. Thus, the taxonomy can be traversed depth-wise, starting with the *Class* objects, or breadth-wise by accessing the *HeadInfo*
objects. It is often more interesting to access the array of Heads, as this can be done via random access using a Head number.

### 3.6.3 The RogetText and Related Classes

The RogetText class represents everything that is contained in the Text of the Thesaurus. It contains the Head, Paragraph, SG and SemRel classes. The SG objects contain Semicolon groups. The SemRel class is used to model Roget’s explicit semantic relations, Cross-references and See-references, discussed in Section 3.3. A Head object contains five arrays of Paragraphs, one for each of nouns, adjectives, verbs, adverbs and interjections, which are in turn made up of an array of SG objects. The RogetText is stored as 990 files, one for each Head. They are loaded as required. A word or phrase is looked up using a reference, for example: contempt 922 int. when searching for the phrase *in your face*. The reference specifies the Head number, 922 in this example, which allows the specified Head to be retrieved in constant time. The correct Paragraph is retrieved by finding the index of the Paragraph that corresponds to the reference, and looking up this Paragraph. The search is done in linear time and the look up in constant time. To find the word or phrase in the Semicolon group, its membership must be first identified in the array of SG objects, and then the Semicolon group is searched sequentially. The ELKB contains methods to retrieve the Paragraphs and SG objects in constant time if the references are translated into absolute addresses, for example the reference contempt 922 int. could be changed to 922.5.1.1.3., which would represent Head 922, part-of-speech label interjection, 1st Paragraph, 1st Semicolon group and third word. As the lexical material does not generally change, these references are not required to be calculated often, but the transformation procedure has not been implemented in this version of the ELKB. There are 990 Head, 6,432 Paragraph, and 59,877 SG objects in this computerized Roget’s.

### 3.6.4 The Index and Related Classes

The Index contains all of the words and phrases found in the 990 Heads. It consists of a hash table of Index entries, which represent the words and phrases of the Thesaurus, with pointers to their corresponding references, as well as an array of all distinct references in the ELKB. The same reference is used for all of the words or phrases in a Paragraph. It is more economical to store this reference once and to have the entries maintain a pointer to it, rather than to store it every time it is used. The size of the Index object is a major concern, as it must be stored in memory to ensure rapid access. Finding an entry in the Index hash table and looking up its corresponding references is performed in constant time. The references are stored in Reference objects and their pointers are encoded as Strings, which represent their addresses in the
references array, separated by semicolons. For example, the Index words `know-how`, `stealth`, `diplomacy` and `intrigue` all have the reference `cunning 698 n.` as do all the other words that are located in this Roget’s Paragraph. Instead of storing this reference with every entry that it belongs to, only the location in an array of unique references is kept. Since an entry may have several references, all the addresses are kept, for example `345:456:2045:12374`. The `Morphy` and `Variant` classes are used by the `Index` object to perform transformations that allow retrieving words and phrases written in forms that are not contained in the `Thesaurus`. These classes and the transformations are described in the following section. There are 104,333 `Index` entries and 223,219 total References, and 6,432 unique `Reference` objects in the `ELKB`.

### 3.6.5 Morphological Transformations

The ability to perform morphological transformations is essential for a good lexical knowledge base. The lemma of a word or phrase written in British English is generally stored in the Index, as this is the form in which it also appears in the 1987 edition of Penguin’s `Roget’s Thesaurus`. If an inflected word is passed to the `ELKB` it must be transformed so as to retrieve the appropriate References. Three tools are available to execute the passage of an input string into a recognized form: a file containing pairs of strings in American and British spelling; rules for detaching inflectional endings to obtain base forms; and exception list files for nouns, verbs, adjectives and adverbs in which inflected forms can be searched and base forms found. Appendix G presents the American and British spelling word list used by the `ELKB`. It contains 646 pairs of spelling variations and has been compiled from various lists freely available on the web. The rules, presented in Table 3.6, and the exception lists were taken from `WordNet 1.7.1`. The exception lists are quite extensive: 5992 pairs in the `noun.exc` file, 5285 in the `verb.exc`, 1486 in `adj.exc` and 7 in the `adv.exc` file. These transformations are performed in the `Index` class using the `Variant` and `Morphy` classes described previously. There is no method for identifying the part-of-speech of an input string in the `ELKB`. For this reason all the detachment rules and exception files are applied and searched. Although this is not the best implementation, it allows a good recall of the References stored in the Index.

Retrieving phrases from the Index is problematic for the `ELKB`. There are many in Roget’s, a lot of which are specific to British English, for example: `man on the Clapham omnibus`, `drunk as David’s sow` or come from other languages, for example: `faute de mieux`, `Alea jacta est`. Once again, the exact string must be entered for the corresponding references to be returned. Verbs will not be found if they are preceded by `to` or `be` for example: `to offer`, `be disorderly`. This problem can be easily circumvented, but has not been implemented in the `ELKB` as it is very difficult to conceive rules that deal with all possible verb phrases that contain
prepositions, for example: ask for it. There are several possible solutions to the problem of phrases. They could be indexed under every word in the phrase or a method that retrieves all the phrases in the Index that contain specific words could be implemented, but none has been so far. This is detrimental to the ELKB, as Roget’s Thesaurus has a very rich collection of phrases, but has not been a hindrance for obtaining good results in NLP applications, as is presented in Chapter 4 and 5.

| Part-of-speech | Suffix | Transformation |
|----------------|--------|----------------|
| Noun           | s      | s              |
| Noun           | ses    | s              |
| Noun           | xes    | x              |
| Noun           | zes    | z              |
| Noun           | ches   | ch             |
| Noun           | shes   | sh             |
| Noun           | men    | man            |
| Noun           | ies    | y              |
| Verb           | s      | y              |
| Verb           | ies    | y              |
| Verb           | es     | e              |
| Verb           | es     | e              |
| Verb           | ed     | e              |
| Verb           | ed     | e              |
| Verb           | ing    | e              |
| Verb           | ing    | e              |
| Adjective      | er     | e              |
| Adjective      | est    | e              |
| Adjective      | er     | e              |
| Adjective      | est    | e              |

Table 3.6: Transformation rules for the various parts-of-speech.

3.6.6 Basic Operations of the ELKB

The basic operations of the ELKB are:

- finding the references for a given word or phrase in the Index
- looking up a given reference in the Text
traversing the taxonomy to calculate the distance between words and phrases

- identifying the type of relationship that exists between words and phrases as defined by their location in the hierarchy

A host of NLP application can be implemented using these four basic operations. Three are presented in this dissertation: measuring semantic similarity, building lexical chains, and mapping Roget’s Thesaurus onto WordNet. The first uses the taxonomy to measure the distances, the second relies on properties of the Thesaurus to identify specific relations, and the third exploits word and phrase look-up as well as Roget’s hierarchy. In the current implementation of the ELKB, the slowest operation is the word and phrase look up. This is due to the fact that Heads are read from a file, and sequential searches performed on the Paragraph and SG arrays to find the location of the symbolic references. Performance can be greatly increased by loading the 990 Heads into memory and using absolute addresses for the references. Both of these are realistic improvements.
4 Using Roget’s Thesaurus to Measure Semantic Similarity

Measuring semantic similarity with the ELKB allows us to present a first application of the system as well as to perform a qualitative evaluation. In this chapter, we define the notions of synonymy and semantic similarity and explain a metric for calculating similarity based on Roget’s taxonomy. We evaluate it using a few typical tests. The experiments in this chapter compare the synonymy judgments of the system to gold standards established by Rubenstein and Goodenough (1965), Miller and Charles (1991) as well as Finkelstein et al. (2002; Gabrilovich 2002) for assessing the similarity of pairs of words. We further evaluate the metric by using the system to answer Test of English as a Foreign Language [TOEFL] (Landauer and Dumais, 1997) and English as a Second Language tests [ESL] (Turney, 2001), as well as the Reader’s Digest Word Power Game [RDWP] (Lewis, 2000-2001) questions where a correct synonym must be chosen amongst four target words. We compare the results to six other WordNet-based metrics and two statistical methods.

4.1 The notions of synonymy and semantic similarity

People identify synonyms — strictly speaking, near-synonyms (Edmonds and Hirst, 2002) — such as angel – cherub, without being able to define synonymy properly. The term tends to be used loosely, even in the crucially synonymy-oriented WordNet with the synset as the basic semantic unit (Fellbaum, 1998, p. 23). Miller and Charles (1991) restate a formal, and linguistically quite inaccurate, definition of synonymy usually attributed to Leibniz: “two words are said to be synonyms if one can be used in a statement in place of the other without changing the meaning of the statement”. With this strict definition there may be no perfect synonyms in natural language (Edmonds and Hirst, ibid.). Computational linguists often find it more useful to establish the degree of synonymy between two words, referred to as semantic similarity.

Miller and Charles’ semantic similarity is a continuous variable that describes the degree of synonymy between two words (ibid.). They argue that native speakers can order pairs of words by semantic similarity, for example ship – vessel, ship – watercraft, ship – riverboat, ship – sail, ship – house, ship – dog, ship – sun. The concept can be usefully extended to quantify relations between non-synonymous but closely related words, for example airplane – wing.

Rubenstein and Goodenough (1965) investigated the validity of the assumption that “… pairs of words which have many contexts in common are semantically closely related”. This led them to establish synonymy judgments for 65 pairs of nouns with the help of human experts. Miller and
Charles (ibid.) selected 30 of those pairs, and studied semantic similarity as a function of the contexts in which words are used. Others have calculated similarity using semantic nets (Rada et al., 1989), in particular WordNet (Resnik, 1995; Jiang and Conrath, 1997; Lin, 1998; Hirst and St-Onge, 1998; Leacock and Chodorow, 1998) and Roget’s Thesaurus (McHale, 1998), or statistical methods (Landauer and Dumais, 1997; Turney, 2001). Terra and Clarke (2003) present a survey of statistical methods. This leads naturally to combined approaches that rely on statistical methods enhanced with information contained in WordNet (Finkelstein et al., 2002) and methods that merge the results of various statistical systems (Bigham et al., 2003).

The objective is to test the intuition that Roget’s Thesaurus, sometimes treated as a book of synonyms, allows to measure semantic similarity effectively. We propose a measure of semantic distance, the inverse of semantic similarity (Budanitsky and Hirst, 2001) based on Roget’s taxonomy. We convert it into a semantic similarity measure, and empirically compare it to human judgments and to those of NLP systems. We evaluate the measure by performing the task of assigning a similarity value to pairs of nouns and choosing the correct synonym of a problem word given the choice of four target words. This chapter explains in detail the measures and the experiments, and draws a few conclusions.

4.2 Edge counting as a metric for calculating synonymy

Roget’s structure provides an easy mechanism for calculating the semantic distance using edge counting. Given two words, the system looks up the corresponding references in the index, and then calculates all paths between the references using the taxonomy. The distance value is equal to the number of edges in the shortest path as indicated in Table 4.1. For example, the distance between feline and lynx is 2. It can be calculated as follows:

The word feline has the following references in Roget’s:
1) animal 365 ADJ.
2) cat 365 N.
3) cunning 698 ADJ.

The word lynx has the following references in Roget’s:
1. cat 365 N.
2. eye 438 N.

These six paths are obtained:
Path between feline (cat 365 N.) and lynx (cat 365 N.) [ length = 2 ]
feline → cat ← lynx
### Table 4.1: Distance values attributed to the various path lengths in the Thesaurus.

| Distance value | Shortest path | Example |
|---------------|---------------|---------|
| 0             | same semicolon group | journey’s end – terminus In head 295 Arrival, N., goal journey’s end, final point, point of no return, terminus 69 extremity |
| 2             | same paragraph   | devotion – abnormal affection In head 887 Love, N., love |
| 4             | same part of speech | popular misconception – glaring error In head 495 Error, N., error In head 495 Error, N., mistake |
| 6             | same head        | individual – lonely In head 88 Unity, N., unit In head 88 Unity, Adj., alone |
| 8             | same head group  | finance – apply for a loan In head group 784 Lending – 785 Borrowing In head 784 Lending, Vb., lend In head 785 Borrowing, Vb., borrow |
| 10            | same sub-section | life expectancy – herbalize In sub-section Vitality In head 360 Life, N., life In head 368 Botany, Vb., botanize |
| 12            | same section     | Creirwy (love) – inspired In section 5 Religion In head 967 Pantheon, N., Celtic deities In head 979 Piety, Adj., pietistic |
| 14            | same class       | translucid – blind eye In class 3 Matter In head 422 Transparency, Adj., transparent In head 439 Blindness, N., blindness |
| 16            | in the Thesaurus | nag – like greased lightning In head 891 Resentment, Vb., enrage In head 277 Velocity, Adv., swiftly |

Path between **feline** *(animal 365 ADJ.)* and **lynx** *(cat 365 N.)*  [ length = 6 ]  
feline → animal → ADJ. → 365. Animality. Animal ← N. ← cat ← lynx

Path between **feline** *(animal 365 ADJ.)* and **lynx** *(eye 438 N.)*  [ length = 12 ]  
feline → animal → ADJ. → 365. Animality. Animal → [365, 366] → Vitality → Section three : Organic matter ← Sensation ← [438, 439, 440] ← 438. Vision ← N. ← eye ← lynx
McHale (1998) has also used the *Third Edition of Roget’s International Thesaurus* (Berrey and Carruth, 1962) to measure semantic similarity. He calculated the semantic distance between nouns using four metrics: counting the number of edges, the absolute number of words and phrases between two target nouns, and by using measures first presented by Resnik (1995) as well as Jiang and Conrath (1997) for *WordNet*-based systems. McHale finds that edge counting is the best of the implemented *Roget’s*-based measures and correlates extremely well with human judges. He calculates semantic similarity using the Miller and Charles (1991) set in which the pairs *cemetery* – *woodland* and *shore* – *woodland* have been removed, as the noun *woodland* is not present in *WordNet* version 1.4, the resource to which the results are being compared. McHale obtains a correlation with the gold standard of $r = .88$, which is quite close to $r = .90$ obtained by Resnik (*ibid.*) who repeated the experiment using human judges on the 28 pairs of nouns. Although the publishers of *Roget’s International Thesaurus* have not made it publicly available in a machine-tractable format, it does suggest that we can obtain equally good results using the *ELKB*.

Rada et al. (1989) explain that a distance measure in a taxonomy should satisfy the properties of a metric. A function $f(x,y)$ is a metric if the following properties are satisfied:
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1) \( f(x, x) = 0 \), zero property,
2) \( f(x, y) = f(y, x) \), symmetric property
3) \( f(x, y) \geq 0 \), positive property, and
4) \( f(x, y) + f(y, z) \geq f(x, z) \), triangular inequality.

The proposed semantic distance measure using Roget’s taxonomy is in fact a metric, as it satisfies the four properties:

1) zero property: the shortest distance between a word and itself is always zero as it belongs to a semicolon group.
2) symmetric property: the shortest distance between two words is equal to the least number of edges between them. Order is not important, and therefore this property holds.
3) positive property: the distance value between two words is an integer between 0 and 16.
4) triangular inequality: if \( x \) and \( z \) belong to the same semicolon group, this property is true as \( f(x, z) = 0 \), and the sum of any other distance measure will be at least equal to 0. If \( x, y \) and \( z \) are all in different classes, then \( f(x, z) = 16 \) and \( f(x, y) + f(y, z) = 32 \). The shortest path between \( x \) and \( z \) going through \( y \) will always be greater or equal to the shortest path between \( x \) and \( z \) as the word \( y \) introduces the extra distance in the taxonomy towards the first common node.

For the purpose of comparing to other experiments, the semantic distance must be transformed into a semantic similarity measure. The literature proposes two formulas to perform this transformation. The first is to subtract the path length from the maximum possible path length (Resnik, 1995):

\[
\text{sim}_1 (w_1, w_2) = 16 - \left[ \min \text{distance}(r_1, r_2) \right] \tag{1}
\]

The second is to take the inverse of the distance value plus one (Lin, 1998):

\[
\text{sim}_2 (w_1, w_2) = \frac{1}{1 + \left[ \min \text{distance}(r_1, r_2) \right]} \tag{2}
\]

In both formulas \( r_1 \) and \( r_2 \) are the sets of references for the words or phrases \( w_1 \) and \( w_2 \). As the maximum distance in the Thesaurus is 16, the values for \( \text{sim}_1 \) range from 0 to 16 and for \( \text{sim}_2 \) from 0.059 to 1.000. In both formulas, the more related the two words or phrases are, the larger the score. As the distances are quite small, the second formula can never reach a value close to 0.
The constant 1 which is added to the divider is also quite arbitrary. The first formula is best suited to edge counting as it maintains the same distribution of values as the distance metric. We use it for the experiments presented in this chapter.

4.3 An Evaluation Based on Human Judgments

4.3.1 The Experiment

Rubenstein and Goodenough (1965) established synonymy judgments for 65 pairs of nouns. They invited 51 judges who assigned to every pair a score between 4.0 and 0.0 indicating semantic similarity. They chose words from non-technical every day English. They felt that, since the phenomenon under investigation was a general property of language, it was not necessary to study technical vocabulary. Miller and Charles (1991) repeated the experiment restricting themselves to 30 pairs of nouns selected from Rubenstein and Goodenough’s list, divided equally amongst words with high, intermediate and low similarity. More recently, Finkelstein et al. (2002) have prepared the WordSimilarity – 353 Test Collection (Gabrilovich, 2002) which contains 353 English word pairs along with similarity judgments performed by humans. The set also contains proper nouns and verbs. It is discussed in more detail in the next section.

The three experiments have been repeated using the Roget’s Thesaurus system. The results are compared to six other similarity measures that rely on WordNet. We use Pedersen’s Semantic Distance software package (2003) with WordNet 1.7.1 to obtain the results. The first WordNet measure used is edge counting. It serves as a baseline, as it is the simplest and most intuitive measure. The next measure, from Hirst and St-Onge (1998), relies on the path length as well as the number of changes of direction in the path; they define these changes in function of WordNet semantic relations. Jiang and Conrath (1997) propose a combined approach based on edge counting enhanced by the node-based approach of the information content calculation proposed by Resnik (1995). Leacock and Chodorow (1998) count the path length in nodes rather than links, and adjust it to take into account the maximum depth of the taxonomy. Lin (1998) calculates semantic similarity using a formula derived from information theory. Resnik (1995) calculates the information content of the concepts that subsume them in the taxonomy. We calculate the Pearson product-moment correlation coefficient for the human judgments with the values achieved by the systems. The correlation is significant to at the 0.01 level. These similarity measures appear in Tables 4.2, 4.3 and 4.4.
4.3.2 The Results

We begin the analysis with the results obtained by Roget’s. The Miller and Charles data in Table 4.2 show that pairs of words with a semantic similarity value of 16 have high similarity, those with a score of 12 to 14 have intermediate similarity, and those with a score below 10 are of low similarity. This is intuitively correct, as words or phrases that are in the same Semicolon Group will have a similarity score of 16, those that are in the same Paragraph, part-of-speech or Head

| Noun Pair        | Miller | Penguin | WordNet | Hirst | Jiang | Leacock | Lin | Resnik |
|------------------|--------|---------|---------|-------|-------|---------|-----|--------|
| car – automobile | 3.920  | 16.000  | 30.000  | 16.000| 1.000 | 3.466   | 1.000| 6.340  |
| gem – jewel      | 3.840  | 16.000  | 30.000  | 16.000| 1.000 | 3.466   | 1.000| 12.886 |
| journey – voyage | 3.840  | 16.000  | 29.000  | 4.000 | 0.169 | 2.773   | 0.699| 6.057  |
| boy – lad        | 3.760  | 16.000  | 29.000  | 5.000 | 0.231 | 2.773   | 0.824| 7.769  |
| coast – shore    | 3.700  | 16.000  | 29.000  | 4.000 | 0.647 | 2.773   | 0.971| 8.974  |
| asylum – madhouse| 3.610  | 16.000  | 29.000  | 4.000 | 0.662 | 2.773   | 0.978| 11.277 |
| magician – wizard| 3.500  | 14.000  | 30.000  | 16.000| 1.000 | 3.466   | 1.000| 9.708  |
| midday – noon    | 3.420  | 16.000  | 30.000  | 16.000| 1.000 | 3.466   | 1.000| 10.584 |
| furnace – stove  | 3.110  | 14.000  | 23.000  | 5.000 | 0.060 | 1.386   | 0.238| 2.426  |
| food – fruit     | 3.080  | 12.000  | 23.000  | 0.000 | 0.088 | 1.386   | 0.119| 0.699  |
| bird – cock      | 3.050  | 12.000  | 29.000  | 6.000 | 0.159 | 2.773   | 0.693| 5.980  |
| bird – crane     | 2.970  | 14.000  | 27.000  | 5.000 | 0.139 | 2.079   | 0.658| 5.980  |
| tool – implement | 2.950  | 16.000  | 29.000  | 4.000 | 0.546 | 2.773   | 0.935| 5.998  |
| brother – monk   | 2.820  | 14.000  | 29.000  | 4.000 | 0.294 | 2.773   | 0.897| 10.489 |
| lad – brother    | 1.660  | 14.000  | 26.000  | 3.000 | 0.071 | 1.856   | 0.273| 2.455  |
| crane – implement| 1.680  | 0.000   | 26.000  | 3.000 | 0.086 | 1.856   | 0.394| 3.443  |
| journey – car    | 1.160  | 12.000  | 17.000  | 0.000 | 0.075 | 0.827   | 0.000| 0.000  |
| monk – oracle    | 1.100  | 12.000  | 23.000  | 0.000 | 0.058 | 1.386   | 0.233| 2.455  |
| cemetery – woodland| 0.950  | 6.000   | 21.000  | 0.000 | 0.049 | 1.163   | 0.067| 0.699  |
| food – rooster   | 0.890  | 6.000   | 17.000  | 0.000 | 0.063 | 0.827   | 0.086| 0.699  |
| coast – hill     | 0.870  | 4.000   | 26.000  | 2.000 | 0.148 | 1.856   | 0.689| 6.378  |
| forest – graveyard| 0.840  | 6.000   | 21.000  | 0.000 | 0.050 | 1.163   | 0.067| 0.699  |
| shore – woodland | 0.630  | 2.000   | 25.000  | 2.000 | 0.056 | 1.674   | 0.124| 1.183  |
| monk – slave     | 0.550  | 6.000   | 26.000  | 3.000 | 0.063 | 1.856   | 0.247| 2.455  |
| coast – forest   | 0.420  | 6.000   | 24.000  | 0.000 | 0.055 | 1.520   | 0.121| 1.183  |
| lad – wizard     | 0.420  | 4.000   | 26.000  | 3.000 | 0.068 | 1.856   | 0.265| 2.455  |
| chord – smile    | 0.130  | 0.000   | 20.000  | 0.000 | 0.066 | 1.068   | 0.289| 2.888  |
| glass – magician | 0.110  | 2.000   | 23.000  | 0.000 | 0.056 | 1.386   | 0.123| 1.183  |
| rooster – voyage | 0.080  | 2.000   | 11.000  | 0.000 | 0.044 | 0.470   | 0.000| 0.000  |
| noon – string    | 0.080  | 6.000   | 19.000  | 0.000 | 0.052 | 0.981   | 0.000| 0.000  |

Correlation: 1.000 0.878 0.732 0.689 0.695 0.821 0.823 0.775

Table 4.2: Comparison of semantic similarity measures using the Miller and Charles data.
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will have a score of 10 to 14, and words that cannot be found in the same Head, therefore do not belong to the same concept, will have a score between 0 and 8. Roget’s results correlate very well with human judgment for the Miller and Charles list ($r=0.878$), almost attaining the upper bound ($r=0.885$) set by human judges (Resnik, 1995) despite the outlier crane – implement, two words that are not related in the Thesaurus.

The correlation between human judges and Roget’s for the Rubenstein and Goodenough data is also very good ($r=0.818$) as shown in Table 4.3. Appendix I presents the 65 pairs of nouns. The outliers merit discussion. Roget’s deems five pairs of low similarity words to be of intermediate similarity, all with the semantic distance value of 12. We therefore find these pairs of words all under the same Head and belonging to noun groups. The Thesaurus makes correct associations but not the most intuitive ones: glass – jewel is assigned a value of 1.78 by the human judges but can be found under the Head 844 Ornamentation, car – journey is assigned 1.55 and is found under the Head 267 Land travel, monk – oracle 0.91 found under Head 986 Clergy, boy – rooster 0.44 under Head 372 Male, and fruit – furnace 0.05 under Head 301 Food: eating and drinking.

|                       | Rubenstein Goodenough | Penguin Roget | WordNet Edges | Hirst St. Onge | Jiang Conrath | Leacock Chodorow | Lin | Resnik |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|-------------------|-----|--------|
| Correlation           | 1.000                 | 0.818         | 0.787          | 0.732          | 0.731         | 0.852             | 0.834| 0.800  |

Table 4.3: Comparison of semantic similarity measures using the Rubenstein and Goodenough data.

We have also performed the same experiment on the WordSimilarity – 353 Test Collection. The correlation of Roget’s measure with human judges is $r=0.539$, which seems quite low, but is still better than the best WordNet based measure, $r=0.375$, obtained using Resnik’s function and comparable to Finkelstein et al.’s combined metric which obtains a score of $r=0.550$. Table 4.4 summarizes these results and Appendix J presents the entire 353 word pair list. We cannot simply attribute the low scores to the measures not scaling up to larger data sets. The Finkelstein et al. list contains pairs that are associated but not similar in the semantic sense, for example: liquid – water. The list also contains many culturally biased pairs, for example: Arafat – terror and verbs. Table 4.5 presents all of the pairs for which at least one word is not present in Roget’s. These can be placed in five categories: proper nouns, verbs, new words that were not in widespread use in 1986, words for which the plural is present in Roget’s but not its singular form, and words that are simply not in the Thesaurus. The authors of the list describe it as representing various degrees of similarity and write that they employed 16 subjects to rate
### Table 4.4: Comparison of semantic similarity measures using the Finkelstein et al. data.

| Word pair | Finkelstein et al. | Penguin | WordNet | Hirst | St.Onge | Jiang | Leacock | Chodorow | Lin | Resnik |
|-----------|--------------------|---------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|----------|-----|--------|
| Maradona – football | Maradona is not in Roget's. |         |         |       |         |       |         |          |     |        |
| Jerusalem – Israel | Israel is not in Roget's. |         |         |       |         |       |         |          |     |        |
| Harvard – Yale | Harvard and Yale are not in Roget's. |         |         |       |         |       |         |          |     |        |
| Jerusalem – Palestinian | Palestinian is not in Roget's. |         |         |       |         |       |         |          |     |        |
| Arafat – terror | Arafat is not in Roget's. |         |         |       |         |       |         |          |     |        |
| Arafat – peace | Arafat is not in Roget's. |         |         |       |         |       |         |          |     |        |
| Arafat – Jackson | Arafat and Jackson are not in Roget's. |         |         |       |         |       |         |          |     |        |
| Psychology – Freud | Freud is not in Roget's, but Freudian psychology is [reference: psychology 477 n.]. |         |         |       |         |       |         |          |     |        |
| Mexico – Brazil | Mexico and Brazil are not in Roget’s, but Brazil nut is [reference: fruit 301 n.]. |         |         |       |         |       |         |          |     |        |
| Japanese – American | Japanese and American are not Roget’s, but un-American [reference: extraneous 59 adj.], American mustard [reference: condiment 389 n.] and American organ [reference: organ 414 n.] are all in the Thesaurus. |         |         |       |         |       |         |          |     |        |
| Drink – eat | eat can be a verb or an interjection in Roget’s. |         |         |       |         |       |         |          |     |        |
| money – laundering | laundering is a verb in Roget’s. |         |         |       |         |       |         |          |     |        |
| fuck – sex | fuck is a verb in Roget’s [reference: unite with 45 VB.] but sex and fucking appear in the same semicolon group [reference: coition 45 N.]. fuck, fucking and sex all appear under the same Head, 45 Union. |         |         |       |         |       |         |          |     |        |
| hundred – percent | percent is not in the index, but the phrase hundred per cent is [reference: hundred 99 N.] as well as per cent [reference: ratio 83 N.]. |         |         |       |         |       |         |          |     |        |
| video – archive | archive is not in Roget’s, but archives is [references: record 548 N., collection 632 N., title deed 767 N.]. |         |         |       |         |       |         |          |     |        |
| grocery – money | grocery is not in Roget’s but groceries is [reference: provisions 301 N.]. |         |         |       |         |       |         |          |     |        |
| computer – internet | internet is not in Roget’s. |         |         |       |         |       |         |          |     |        |
| Stock – CD | CD is not in Roget’s. |         |         |       |         |       |         |          |     |        |
| aluminum – metal | aluminum as well as aluminium are not in Roget’s. |         |         |       |         |       |         |          |     |        |
| cup – tableware | tableware is not in Roget’s. |         |         |       |         |       |         |          |     |        |

### Table 4.5: Finkelstein et al. word pairs not found in Roget’s Thesaurus.
the semantic similarity on a scale from 0 to 10, 0 representing totally unrelated words and 10 very much related or identical words (Finkelstein et al., 2002). They do not explain the methodology used for preparing this list. Human subjects find it more difficult to use a scale from 0 to 10 rather than 0 to 4. These issues cast a doubt on the validity of this list, and we therefore do not consider it as a suitable benchmark for performing experiments on semantic similarity.

Resnik (1995) argues that edge counting using WordNet 1.4 is not a good measure of semantic similarity as it relies on the notion that links in the taxonomy represent uniform distances. Tables 4.2 and 4.3 show that this measure performs well for WordNet 1.7.1. It is most probable that George Miller’s team has much improved the lexical databases’ taxonomy and that the distances between words are more uniform, but the goal of this dissertation is not to investigate the improvements made to WordNet. Table 4.6 shows that it is difficult to replicate accurately experiments using WordNet-based measures. Budanitsky and Hirst (2001) repeated the Miller and Charles experiment using the WordNet similarity measures of Hirst and St-Onge (1998), Jiang and Conrath (1997), Leacock and Chodorow (1998), Lin (1998) and Resnik (1995). They claim that the discrepancies in the results can be explained by minor differences in implementation, different versions of WordNet, and differences in the corpora used to obtain the frequency data used by the similarity measures. Pedersen’s software (2003) does not yield the exact results either. We concur with Budanitsky and Hirst, pointing out that the Resnik, Leacock and Chodorow as well as the Lin experiments were performed not using the entire Miller and Charles set, but a 28 noun-pair subset discussed previously.

| Original results | Resnik | Jiang Conrath | Lin | Leacock Chodorow | Hirst St-Onge |
|------------------|--------|---------------|-----|------------------|---------------|
| Budanitsky Hirst | 0.791  | 0.828         | 0.834| N./A.            | N./A.         |
| (28 pairs)       | 0.774  | 0.850         | 0.829| 0.816            | 0.744         |
| Distance 0.11    | 0.778  | 0.687         | 0.841| 0.831            | 0.682         |
| (28 pairs)       |        |               |     |                  |               |
| Distance 0.11    | 0.787  | 0.696         | 0.846| 0.832            | 0.689         |
| (30 pairs)       |        |               |     |                  |               |

Table 4.6: Comparison of correlation values for the different measures using the Miller and Charles data.
4.4 An Evaluation Based on Synonymy Problems

4.4.1 The Experiment

Another method of evaluating semantic similarity metrics is to see how well the different measures can score on a standardized synonymy test. Such tests have questions where the correct synonym is one of four possible choices. TOEFL (Landauer and Dumais, 1997), ESL (Turney, 2001), and RDWP (Lewis, 2000-2001) contain these kinds of questions. Although this evaluation method is not widespread in NLP, researchers have used it in Psychology (Landauer and Dumais, ibid.) and Machine Learning (Turney, ibid.). The experimental question set consists of 80 TOEFL questions provided by the Educational Testing Service via Thomas Landauer, 50 ESL questions created by Donna Tatsuki for Japanese ESL students (Tatsuki, 1998), 100 RDWP questions gathered by Peter Turney and 200 RDWP questions gathered from 2000 – 2001 issues of the Canadian edition of Reader’s Digest (Lewis, ibid.) by Tad Stach.

A RDWP question is presented like this: “Check the word or phrase you believe is nearest in meaning. ode – A: heavy debt. B: poem. C: sweet smell. D: surprise.” (Lewis, 2001, n. 938). The ELKB calculates the semantic distance between the problem word and each choice word or phrase. The choice word with the shortest semantic distance becomes the solution. Choosing the word or phrase that has the most paths with the shortest distance breaks ties. Phrases that cannot be found in the Thesaurus present a special problem. The distance between each word in the choice phrase and the problem word is calculated; we ignore the conjunction and, the preposition to, and the verb be. The system considers the shortest distance between the individual words of the phrase and the problem word as the semantic distance for the phrase. This technique, although simplistic, can deal with phrases like rise and fall; to urge; and be joyous that may not be found in the Thesaurus. The ELKB is not restricted to nouns when finding the shortest path – it considers nouns, adjectives, verbs and adverbs. Using the previous RDWP example, the system would output the following:

- \( \text{ode N. to heavy debt N., length = 12, 42 path(s) of this length} \)
- \( \text{ode N. to poem N., length = 2, 2 path(s) of this length} \)
- \( \text{ode N. to sweet smell N., length = 16, 6 path(s) of this length} \)
- \( \text{ode N. to surprise VB., length = 12, 18 path(s) of this length} \)

\[ \Rightarrow \text{Roget thinks that ode means poem: CORRECT} \]

Figure 4.2: Solution to a RDWP question using the ELKB.
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We put the WordNet semantic similarity measures to the same task of answering the synonymy questions. The purpose of this experiment is not to improve the measures, but to use them as a comparison for the ELKB. The answer is the choice word that has the largest semantic similarity value with the problem word, except for edge-counting where the system picks the smallest value, which represents the shortest distance. When ties occur, a partial score is given; .5 if two words are tied for the highest similarity value, .33 if three, and .25 if four. The results appear in Tables 4.7 to 4.9. Appendix K presents the output of the ELKB and the systems using WordNet-based measures implemented using the Semantic Distance software package (Pedersen, 2003). We have not tailored the WordNet measures to the task of answering these questions. All of them, except Hirst and St-Onge, rely on the IS-A hierarchy to calculate the path between words. This implies that these measures have been limited to finding similarities between nouns, as the WordNet hyponym tree only exists for nouns and verbs; there are hardly any links between parts of speech. We have not implemented special techniques to deal with phrases. It is therefore quite probable that the WordNet-based similarity measures can be improved for the task of answering synonymy questions.

This experiment also compares the results to those achieved by state-of-the-art statistical techniques. Latent Semantic Analysis (LSA) is a general theory of acquired similarity and knowledge representation (Landauer and Dumais, 1997). It was used to answer the 80 TOEFL questions. The algorithm, called PMI-IR (Turney, 2001), uses Pointwise Mutual Information (PMI) and Information Retrieval (IR) to measure the similarity of pairs of words. Turney has evaluated it using the TOEFL and ESL questions. Researchers have determined the best statistical methods (Terra and Clarke, 2003; Bigham et al., 2003) and evaluated them using the same 80 TOEFL problems.

4.4.2 The Results

The ELKB answers 78.75% of the TOEFL questions (Table 4.7). The two next best systems are Hirst St-Onge and PMI-IR, which answer 77.91% and 73.75% of the questions respectively. LSA is not too far behind, with 64.38%. Terra and Clarke (2003) obtained a score of 81.25% using a statistical technique similar to Turney’s. The discrepancies in results are most probably due to differences in the corpora used to measure the probabilities. By combining the results of four statistical methods, including LSA and PMI-IR, Bigham et al. (2003) obtain a score of 97.50%. They further declare the problem of this TOEFL set to be “solved”. All the other WordNet-based measures perform poorly, with accuracy not surpassing 25.0%. According to Landauer and Dumais (ibid.), a large sample of applicants to US colleges from non-English speaking countries
took the TOEFL tests containing these items. Those people averaged 64.5%, considered an adequate score for admission to many US universities.

|                  | Penguin | WordNet | Hirst | St.Onge | Jiang | Conrath | Leacock | Lin | Resnik | PMI-IR | LSA |
|------------------|---------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|---------|-----|--------|--------|-----|
| Correct          | 63      | 17      | 57    | 20      | 17    | 19      | 15      | 59  | 50     |        | 50  |
| Questions with ties | 0       | 1       | 18    | 0       | 1     | 1       | 3       | 0   | 6      |        |     |
| Score            | 63      | 17.5    | 62.33 | 20      | 17.5  | 19.25   | 16.25   | 59  | 51.5   |        |     |
| Percent          | 78.75   | 21.88   | 77.91 | 25.00   | 21.88 | 24.06   | 20.31   | 73.75| 64.38  |        |     |
| Questions not found | 4       | 53      | 2     | 53      | 53    | 53      | 53      | 0   | 0      |        |     |
| Other words not found | 22      | 24      | 2     | 24      | 24    | 24      | 24      | 0   | 0      |        |     |

Table 4.7: Comparison of the similarity measures for answering the 80 TOEFL questions.

|                  | Penguin | WordNet | Hirst | St.Onge | Jiang | Conrath | Leacock | Lin | Resnik | PMI-IR |
|------------------|---------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|---------|-----|--------|--------|
| Correct          | 41      | 16      | 29    | 18      | 16    | 18      | 15      | 15  | 37     |        |
| Questions with ties | 0       | 4       | 5     | 0       | 4     | 0       | 3       | 0   | 0      |        |
| Score            | 41      | 18      | 31    | 18      | 18    | 18      | 16.33   | 37  |        |        |
| Percent          | 82.00   | 36.00   | 62.00 | 36.00   | 36.00 | 36.00   | 32.66   | 74.00|        |        |
| Questions not found | 0       | 11      | 0     | 11      | 11    | 11      | 11      | 0   |        |        |
| Other words not found | 2       | 23      | 2     | 23      | 23    | 23      | 23      | 0   |        |        |

Table 4.8: Comparison of the similarity measures for answering the 50 ESL questions.

|                  | Penguin | WordNet | Hirst | St.Onge | Jiang | Conrath | Leacock | Lin | Resnik |
|------------------|---------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|---------|-----|--------|
| Correct          | 223     | 68      | 123   | 68      | 68    | 63      | 59      |     |        |
| Questions with ties | 0       | 3       | 44    | 1       | 3     | 9       | 14      |     |        |
| Score            | 223     | 69.33   | 136.92| 68.5    | 69.33 | 66.17   | 64      |     |        |
| Percent          | 74.33   | 23.11   | 45.64 | 22.83   | 23.11 | 22.06   | 21.33   |     |        |
| Questions not found | 21      | 114     | 6     | 114     | 114   | 114     | 114     |     |        |
| Other words not found | 18      | 340     | 377   | 340     | 340   | 340     | 340     |     |        |

Table 4.9: Comparison of the similarity measures for answering the 300 RDWP questions.

The ESL experiment (Table 4.8) presents similar results. Once again, the Roget’s system is best, answering 82% of the questions correctly. The two next best systems, PMI-IR and Hirst and St-Onge fall behind, with scores of 74% and 62% respectively. All other WordNet measures give very poor results, not answering more than 36% of the questions. The Roget’s similarity measure is clearly superior to the WordNet ones for the RDWP questions (Table 4.9). Roget’s answers
74.33% of the questions, which is almost equal to a “Good” vocabulary rating according to Reader’s Digest (Lewis, 2000-2001), where the next best WordNet measure, Hirst and St-Onge, answers only 45.65% correctly. All others do not surpass 25%.

4.4.3 The Impact of Nouns on Semantic Similarity Measures

The TOEFL, ESL and RDWP experiments give a clear advantage to measures that can evaluate the similarity between words of different parts-of-speech. This is the case for Roget’s, Hirst and St-Onge, and the statistical measures. To be fair to the other WordNet-based systems, the experiments have been repeated using subsets of the questions that contain only nouns. The results are presented in Tables 4.10 to 4.12.

| Penguin Roget | WordNet Edges | Hirst St.Onge | Jiang Conrath | Leacock Chodorow | Lin | Resnik |
|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|------------------|-----|--------|
| Correct       | 17            | 14            | 12            | 17               | 14  | 15     | 11     |
| Questions with ties | 0             | 0             | 4             | 0                | 1   | 3      |
| Score         | 17            | 14            | 15.25         | 17               | 14  | 15.25  | 12.25  |
| Percent       | 94.44         | 77.78         | 75.00         | 94.44            | 77.78 | 84.72  | 68.06  |
| Questions not found | 0             | 1             | 1             | 1                | 1   | 1      |
| Other words not found | 0             | 2             | 2             | 2                | 2   | 2      |

Table 4.10: Comparison of the measures for answering the 18 TOEFL questions that contain only nouns.

| Penguin Roget | WordNet Edges | Hirst St.Onge | Jiang Conrath | Leacock Chodorow | Lin | Resnik |
|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|------------------|-----|--------|
| Correct       | 19            | 13            | 16            | 15               | 13  | 15     | 13     |
| Questions with ties | 0             | 4             | 2             | 0                | 4   | 0      |
| Score         | 19            | 15            | 15.25         | 15               | 15  | 15     | 13.83  |
| Percent       | 76.00         | 60.00         | 67.00         | 60.00            | 60.00 | 60.00  | 55.32  |
| Questions not found | 0             | 0             | 0             | 0                | 0   | 0      |
| Other words not found | 1             | 0             | 0             | 0                | 0   | 0      |

Table 4.11: Comparison of the measures for answering the 25 ESL questions that contain only nouns.

The WordNet measures perform much more uniformly and yield better results, but the Roget’s system is still best. The performance of the ELKB has increased for the TOEFL questions, decreased for the ESL and remained about the same for RDWP. Although this is not an exhaustive manner of evaluating the efficiency of edge counting as a measure of semantic similarity for various parts-of-speech, it does show that it is effective for nouns, as well as
adjectives, verbs and adverbs. Most of the nouns not found in WordNet are phrases. For example, the RDWP problem “swatch - A: sample of cloth. B: quick blow. C: petty theft. D: repair of clothing.” cannot be answered using WordNet. The phrases sample of cloth; quick blow; petty theft and repair of clothing are simply not in the lexical database. The ELKB finds the correct answer by using the technique presented in section 4.4.1. If a tailored method were used to deal with phrases in WordNet, the scores of the systems using this resource would definitely improve but this research is beyond the scope of this dissertation as the goal of this thesis is to investigate the usefulness of Roget’s Thesaurus for NLP.

|                  | Penguin | WordNet | Hirst | Jiang | Leacock | Lin  | Resnik |
|------------------|---------|---------|-------|-------|---------|------|--------|
| Correct          | 115     | 61      | 55    | 62    | 62      | 57   | 53     |
| Questions with ties | 0       | 3       | 20    | 1     | 3       | 8    | 13     |
| Score            | 115     | 62.33   | 61.5  | 62.5  | 63.33   | 59.83| 57.67  |
| Percent          | 74.68   | 40.47   | 39.94 | 40.58 | 41.12   | 38.85| 37.45  |
| Questions not found | 13      | 3       | 3     | 3     | 3       | 3    | 3      |
| Other words not found | 5       | 235     | 232   | 235   | 235     | 235  | 235    |

Table 4.12: Comparison of the measures for answering the 154 RDWP questions that contain only nouns.

### 4.4.4 Analysis of results obtained by the ELKB for RDWP questions

Twenty RDWP questions are presented in every issue of Reader’s Digest (Lewis, 2000-2001). These questions generally belong to a specific topic, for example: nature, Canadian Forces peace keeping or Food preparation, serving and eating. The results per topic are presented in Table 4.13. Reader’s Digest gives the following Vocabulary Ratings for the human who plays the game:

- **Fair:** 10 – 14 (50% – 70%)
- **Good:** 15 – 17 (75% – 85%)
- **Excellent:** 18 – 20 (90% – 100%)

The issue per issue analysis allows identifying some of the topics that are well represented and some that are not in the Roget’s. The ELKB performs extremely well, obtaining a rating of Excellent, for the questions pertaining to Greek rooted words and manners. This can be attributed to the fact that the first edition of the Thesaurus was prepared during the Victorian era by a doctor who was well accustomed to Greek words and good manners. Words are generally not removed from subsequent editions of Roget’s, but the process of adding new words is a more
arduous one, in particular technical terms, as is demonstrated by the low rating of *Fair* obtained the financial term set.

| Month  | Description                                 | Number of questions | Correct | Percent | Questions not found | Other words not found |
|--------|---------------------------------------------|---------------------|---------|---------|---------------------|----------------------|
| Jan-00 | Nature                                      | 20                  | 15      | 75.00   | 0                   | 2                    |
| Mar-00 | Words from recent issues of RD              | 20                  | 17      | 85.00   | 1                   | 1                    |
| Apr-00 | Financial terms                             | 20                  | 12      | 60.00   | 5                   | 1                    |
| May-00 | Canadian Forces peace keeping               | 20                  | 15      | 75.00   | 1                   | 0                    |
| Jun-00 | Seaside vacation                            | 20                  | 12      | 60.00   | 4                   | 0                    |
| Jul-00 | Greek rooted words                          | 20                  | 18      | 90.00   | 0                   | 2                    |
| Aug-00 | Food preparation, serving and eating        | 20                  | 14      | 70.00   | 1                   | 0                    |
| Sep-00 | Areas of study                              | 20                  | 13      | 65.00   | 4                   | 0                    |
| Jan-01 | Manners                                     | 20                  | 18      | 90.00   | 0                   | 2                    |
| May-01 | Character traits                            | 20                  | 17      | 85.00   | 0                   | 2                    |
| Web Set | Questions taken RD web site                 | 100                 | 72      | 72.00   | 5                   | 8                    |

Table 4.13: Score of the *ELKB* for the *RDWP* questions per category.

### 4.5 Summary of results

This chapter has shown that the electronic version of the *ELKB* is as good as, if not better than, *WordNet* for measuring semantic similarity. The distance measure used, often called edge counting, can be calculated quickly and performs extremely well on a series of standard synonymy tests. Table 4.14 summarizes the results for the Roget’s and WordNet-based measures. Out of 8 experiments, the *ELKB* is first every time, except on the Rubenstein and Goodenough list of 65 noun pairs. Combined statistical methods that use the Internet as a corpus perform better, but they access many more words than are contained in either lexical resource.

| Experiment                  | Penguin Roget | WordNet Edges | Hirst St.Onge | Jiang Conrath | Leacock Chodorow | Lin | Resnik |
|-----------------------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|------------------|-----|--------|
| Miller Charles              | 1             | 5              | 7             | 6             | 3                | 2   | 4      |
| Rubenstein Goodenough       | 3             | 5              | 6             | 7             | 1                | 2   | 4      |
| Finkelstein et al.          | 1             | 7              | 6             | 5             | 4                | 3   | 2      |
| TOEFL                       | 1             | 5              | 2             | 3             | 5                | 4   | 7      |
| ESL                         | 1             | 3              | 2             | 3             | 3                | 3   | 7      |
| Reader's Digest             | 1             | 3              | 2             | 5             | 3                | 6   | 7      |
| TOEFL - Nouns               | 1             | 4              | 5             | 2             | 4                | 3   | 3      |
| ESL - Nouns                 | 1             | 3              | 2             | 3             | 3                | 3   | 7      |
| Reader's Digest - Nouns     | 1             | 4              | 5             | 3             | 2                | 6   | 7      |

Table 4.14: Summary of results – ranking of similarity measures for the experiments.
Most of the WordNet-based systems perform poorly at the task of answering synonym questions. This is due in part to the fact that the similarity measures can only be calculated between nouns, because they rely on the hierarchical structure that is almost only present for nouns in WordNet. These systems also suffer from not being able to deal with many phrases. A system that is tailored to evaluate synonymy between pairs of words and phrases might perform much better than what has been presented here.

The Roget’s Thesaurus similarity measures correlate well with human judges, and perform similarly to the WordNet-based measures at assigning synonymy judgments to pairs of nouns. Roget’s shines at answering standard synonym tests. This result was expected, but remains impressive: the semantic distance measure is extremely simple and no context is taken into account, and the system does not perform word sense disambiguation when answering the questions. Standardized language tests appear quite helpful in evaluating NLP systems, as they focus on specific linguistic phenomena and offer an inexpensive alternative to human evaluation.
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5 Automating the Construction of Lexical Chains using Roget’s

Morris and Hirst (1991) present a method of linking significant words that are about the same topic. The resulting lexical chains are a means of identifying cohesive regions in a text, with applications in many natural language processing tasks, including text summarization. Morris and Hirst constructed the first lexical chains manually using Roget’s International Thesaurus. They wrote that automation would be straightforward given an electronic thesaurus. Most applications so far have used WordNet to produce lexical chains, perhaps because adequate electronic versions of Roget’s were not available until recently. This chapter discusses the building of lexical chains using the electronic version of Roget’s Thesaurus, the second application of the ELKB. We implement a variant of the original algorithm. We explain the necessary design decisions and include a comparison with other implementations. Computational linguists have proposed several evaluation methods, in particular one where they construct lexical chains for a variety of documents and then compare them to gold standard summaries. This chapter discusses related research on the topic of lexical chains.

5.1 Previous Work on Lexical Chains

Lexical chains (Morris and Hirst, *ibid.*) are sequences of words in a text that represent the same topic. The original implementation was inspired by the notion of cohesion in discourse (Halliday and Hasan, 1976). An electronic system requires a sufficiently rich and subtle lexical resource to decide on the semantic proximity of words.

Computational linguists have used lexical chains in a variety of tasks, from text segmentation (Morris and Hirst, 1991; Okumura and Honda, 1994), to summarization (Barzilay, 1997; Barzilay and Elhadad, 1997; Brunn, Chali and Pinchak, 2001; Silber and McCoy, 2000, 2002), detection of malapropisms (Hirst and St-Onge, 1998), the building of hypertext links within and between texts (Green, 1999), analysis of the structure of texts to compute their similarity (Ellman, 2000), topic detection (Chali, 2001), and even a form of word sense disambiguation (Barzilay, 1997; Okumura and Honda, 1994). Most of the systems use WordNet to build lexical chains, perhaps in part because it is readily available. Building lexical chains is a natural task for Roget’s Thesaurus as they were conceived using this resource. Ellman (*ibid.*) has used the 1911 edition of Roget’s and the 1987 edition of Longman’s *Original Roget’s Thesaurus of English Words and Phrases*. The lexical chain construction process is computationally expensive but the price seems worth paying if lexical semantics can be incorporated in natural language systems.
Our implementation builds the lexical chains using the *ELKB*. The original lexical chain algorithm (Morris and Hirst, *ibid.*) exploits certain organizational properties of *Roget’s Thesaurus*. *WordNet*-based implementations cannot take advantage of *Roget’s* relations. They also usually only link nouns, as relations between parts-of-speech are limited in *WordNet*. Morris and Hirst wrote: “Given a copy [of a machine readable thesaurus], implementation [of lexical chains] would clearly be straightforward”. The goal of this experiment is to test this statement in practice. This work is guided by the efforts of those who originally conceived lexical chains, as well as Barzilay and Elhadad (1997), the first to use a *WordNet*-based implementation for text summarization, and Silber and McCoy (2002), the authors of the most efficient *WordNet*-based implementation.

### 5.2 Lexical Chain Building Algorithms

Algorithms that build lexical chains consider one by one words for inclusion in the chains constructed so far. Important parameters to consider are the lexical resource used, which determines the lexicon and the possible relations between the words, called *thesaural relations* by Morris and Hirst (1991), the thesaural relations themselves, the transitivity of word relations and the distance — measured in sentences — allowed between words in a chain (Morris and Hirst, *ibid.*).

Our lexical chain building process builds *proto-chains*, a set of words linked via thesaural relations. Our implementation refines the proto-chains to obtain the final lexical chains. We summarize the lexical chain building process with these five high levels steps:

1. Choose a set of thesaural relations;
2. Select a set of candidate words;
3. Build all proto-chains for each candidate word;
4. Select the best proto-chains for each candidate word;
5. Select the lexical chains.

### 5.2.1 Step 1: Choose a Set of Thesaural Relations

Halliday and Hasan (1976) have identified five basic classes of dependency relationships between words that allow classifying lexical cohesion. Identifying these relationships in a text is the first step towards constructing lexical chains. These five classes are:

1. Reiteration with identity of reference:
   a. Mary bit into a *peach*.
   b. Unfortunately the *peach* wasn’t ripe.
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2. Reiteration without identity of reference:
   a. Mary ate some peaches.
   b. She likes peaches very much.

3. Reiteration by means of a superordinate:
   a. Mary ate a peach.
   b. She likes fruit.

4. Systematic semantic relation (systematically classifiable):
   a. Mary likes green apples.
   b. She does not like red ones.

5. Nonsystematic semantic relation (not systematically classifiable):
   a. Mary spent three hours in the garden yesterday.
   b. She was digging potatoes.

Of the five basic classes of dependency relationships, the first two are easy to identify, the next two are identifiable using a resource such as Roget’s or WordNet. Morris and Hirst identify five types of thesaural relations that suggest the inclusion of a candidate word in a chain (1991). Although the fourth edition of Roget’s International Thesaurus (Chapman, 1977) is used, the relations can be described according to the structure of Penguin’s Roget’s Thesaurus, which has been presented in Chapter 3. The five thesaural relations used are:

1. Inclusion in the same Head.
2. Inclusion in two different Heads linked by a Cross-reference.
3. Inclusion in References of the same Index Entry.
4. Inclusion in the same Head Group.
5. Inclusion in two different Heads linked to a common third Head by a Cross-reference.

Morris and Hirst state that although these five relations are used “the first two are by far the most prevalent, constituting over 90% of the lexical relationships.”

In our implementation, the decision has been made to adopt only a refinement of the first thesaural relation, as it is the most frequent relation, can be computed rapidly and consists of a large set of closely related words. The use of the second relation is computationally expensive and not intuitive. A Cross-reference in Roget’s Thesaurus belongs to a Semicolon Group and points to another Paragraph in a specific Head. For example, the Cross-reference 137 timely in the Semicolon Group ;in loco, well-timed, auspicious, opportune, 137 timely; points from this Semicolon Group in the adjective Paragraph with keyword advisable in the
Head 642 Good Policy to the adjective paragraph with keyword timely in Head 137 Occasion: timeliness. The Cross-reference is therefore a relation from a Semicolon Group to a Paragraph and does not link all words and phrases in a Paragraph to those of the Paragraph to which it points. It is clearly not symmetric and does not link comparable concepts. There are about 10 times more words and phrases in the Thesaurus than Cross-references, which suggests that the first relation should be at least 10 times more frequent than the second one.

In conjunction with the first relation, simple term repetition is exploited. All other presented by Morris and Hirst are discarded. The two relations used for the implementation of lexical chains using the ELKB are:

1. Repetition of the same word, for example: Rome, Rome.
2. Inclusion in the same Paragraph.

Chapter 3 discusses the manner in which words and phrases found under the same Paragraph are related. A large number of them are near-synonyms, or are related by the IS-A and PART-OF relations, as is experimentally shown in Chapter 6.

For the sake of comparison, here are WordNet relations that Silber and McCoy (2002) use in their implementation of lexical chains:

1. Two noun instances are identical, and are used in the same sense.
2. Two noun instances are synonyms.
3. The senses of two noun instances are linked by the hypernym / hyponym relation.
4. The senses of two noun instances are siblings in the hypernym / hyponym tree.

The first three relations used by Silber and McCoy have counterparts in the Roget’s Thesaurus implementation. A sense of a word or phrase can be uniquely identified in the ELKB by its location in the taxonomy. The fourth relationship is used to link all of the words and phrases that are hypernyms or hyponyms of a synset. In this manner, train and railroad train are related as they belong to the same synset, they are related to boat train as it is hyponym of train. Car train, freight train, rattler, hospital train, mail train, passenger train, streamliner and subway train are in turn all related to boat train as they are hyponyms of train. A counterpart of this relation cannot be explicitly found in the Thesaurus as it is dependent on WordNet’s structure, although the synsets that are grouped by these four relations are comparable to the Semicolon Groups that make up a Paragraph, as is discussed in Chapter 6.

Morphological processing must be automated to assess the relation between words. This is done
both by WordNet and the ELKB. A resource that contains proper names and world knowledge, such as the layout of streets in the city of Ottawa, or who is the Prime Minister of Canada, would be a great asset for the construction of lexical chains. This information is not found in Roget’s or WordNet, but could be added in some simplified form, using gazetteers and other knowledge sources, like the World Gazetteer (World Gazetteer, 2003) or the Central Intelligence Agency World Factbook (CIA Factbook, 2002). We have not incorporated this kind of information into the ELKB.

5.2.2 Step 2: Select a Set of Candidate Words

The building process does not consider repeated occurrences of closed-class words and high frequency words (Morris and Hirst, 1991). Our system removes the words that should not appear in lexical chains using a 980-element stop list, union of five publicly-available lists: Oracle 8 ConText, SMART, Hyperwave, and lists from the University of Kansas and Ohio State University. The stop list is presented in Appendix H. After eliminating these high frequency words it would be beneficial to identify nominal compounds and proper nouns. Most of the known WordNet-based implementations of lexical chains consider only nouns. This may be due to limitations in WordNet, in particular the fact that the IS-A hierarchy, essential to most systems, is only developed extensively for nouns. Roget’s allows building lexical chains using nouns, adjectives, verb, adverbs and interjections. Our implementation considers the five parts-of-speech. Nominal compounds can be crucial in building correct lexical chains, as argued by Barzilay (1997); considering the words crystal and ball independently is not at all the same thing as considering the phrase crystal ball. Roget’s has a very large number of phrases, but this is not exploited. We have not developed a method for tagging phrases in a text in conjunction with the ELKB. Roget’s contains around 100 000 unique words and phrases, but very few are technical or proper nouns. Any word or phrase that is not in the Thesaurus can only be included in a chain via simple repetition.

5.2.3 Step 3: Build all Proto-chains for Each Candidate Word

Inclusion in a proto-chain requires a relation between the candidate word and the chain. This is an essential step, open to interpretation. Should all word in the proto-chain be related via a thesaural relation, or is it enough to link adjacent words in the chain? An example of a chain is \{cow, sheep, wool, scarf, boots, hat, snow\} (Morris and Hirst, 1991). Should all of the words in the chain be directly related to one another? This would mean that cow and snow should not appear in the same chain. Should only specific senses of a word be included in a chain? Should a chain be built on an entire text, or only segments of it? Barzilay (1997) performs word
sense disambiguation as well segmentation before building lexical chains. In theory, chains should disambiguate individual senses of words and segment the text in which they are found; in practice this is difficult to achieve. What should be the distance between two words in a chain? These issues are discussed by Morris and Hirst (ibid.) but not definitively answered by any implementation. These are serious considerations, as it easy to generate spurious chains.

Silber and McCoy (2002) build all possible proto-chains for the candidate words. All of the words in a chain must be related to one another. The best intermediate chains are kept and become the output to the system. This implementation adopts a similar methodology. All possible proto-chains are built for the set of candidate words. All words in a chain must be related via the two proposed thesaural relations. For example, all the words in the chain \{driving, exciting, hating, setting, set, setting, hated, drive, driving, driven, drove, drove, drove, cut\} can be found in the Head 46 Disunion under the following Paragraph once morphological transformations have been applied:

| Adj. | set apart, put aside, set aside, 632 store; conserve, 666 preserve; mark out, tick off, distinguish, 15 differentiate, 463 discriminate; single out, pick out, 605 select; except, exempt, leave out, 57 exclude; boycott, send to Coventry, 620 avoid; taboo, black, blacklist, 757 prohibit; insulate, isolate, cut off, 235 enclose; zone, compartmentalize, screen off, declare a no-go area, 232 circumscribe; segregate, ghettoize, sequester, quarantine, maroon, 883 seclude; keep apart, hold apart, drive apart. |

Forcing all words to be related allows building cohesive chains. Transitive relations that would allow two words to be related via a third one, for example sheep and scarf related through wool, are not allowed in this implementation. The proto-chains are scored using the procedure described in the next section and the best ones are kept. The text is not segmented; rather the distance in sentences between words in a proto-chain is taken into account by the scoring system.

### 5.2.4 Step 4: Select the Best Proto-chains for Each Candidate Word

As a word or phrase may have several senses, it may also have several proto-chains, but the system must only keep one. Morris and Hirst (1991) identify three factors for evaluating strength of a lexical chain: reiteration, density, defined in terms of the types of thesaural relations that are contained in the chain, and length. The more repetitious, denser and longer the chain, the stronger it is. This notion has been generally accepted by the other implementations of lexical chains, with the addition of taking into account the type of relations used in the chain when
scoring its strength. The values in Table 5.1 are used to score the meta-chains. This is similar to Silber and McCoy’s (2002) scoring system.

| thesaural relation             | 1-3 sentences | 3 – 5 sentences | more than 5 sentences |
|-------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------------|
| Repetition of the same word   | 1.00          | 1.00            | 1.00                  |
| Inclusion in the same Head    | 1.00          | 0.75            | 0.50                  |

**Table 5.1:** Scores attributed to thesaural relations in the meta-chains.

The rationale for these scores is that the repetition of the same term anywhere in a text represents a strong relation. Good writing style encourages the use of synonyms to convey the same idea. These can be found in the same Roget’s Paragraph as the other words in the chain, but since a Paragraph does not only contain synonyms, this relation is not as strong as reiteration. Since the further two words are in a text, the less chance they have of discussing the same topic, unless it is a reference to previous idea, the relation based on inclusion in the Paragraph decreases in strength as the distance increases. The scores attributed to each relation have been chosen on an ad hoc basis. These values can be refined in conjunction with an accurate evaluation method.

### 5.2.5 Step 5: Select the Lexical Chains

Our system selects the lexical chains from the best proto-chains. In Sibler and McCoy’s implementation (2002) a word belongs to only one lexical chain. Most implementations have adopted this strategy. We have as well so as to compare our lexical chains to those of other systems. A word belongs in the chain to which it contributes the most, which means the proto-chain with the highest score. The word is removed from all other proto-chains and their scores are adjusted accordingly. The lexical chain building procedure stops once the best proto-chain is selected for each word.

### 5.3 Step-by-Step Example of Lexical Chain Construction

Ellman (2000) analyses the following quotation, attributed to Einstein, for the purpose of building lexical chains. The words in bold are the candidate words retained by this implementation that uses the *ELKB* after the stop list has been applied.

> We suppose a very long train travelling along the rails with a constant velocity $v$ and in the direction indicated in Figure 1. People travelling in this train will with advantage use the train as a rigid reference-body; they regard all events in reference to the train. Then every event which takes place along the line also takes place at a particular point of the train. Also, the definition of simultaneity can be given relative to the train in exactly the same way as with
Our system builds all possible proto-chains, consisting of at least two words, for each candidate word, proceeding forward through the text. Since most words have multiple senses, they also have multiple proto-chains. For this example, there are 9 proto-chains for the word *suppose*, 167 for *train*, 29 for *travelling*, 1 for *rails*, 2 for *constant*, 7 for *direction*, 3 for *advantage*, 11 for *regard*, 15 for *events*, 131 for *takes* and 2 for *line*. These proto-chains are presented in Appendix L. The chain building procedure considers only the candidate words found between the current location and the end of the file. The number of meta-chains is a function of the number of senses of a word and the number of remaining candidate words to be considered for the chain. The best meta-chains retained for each word by the system ordered by their score with the sense number (which corresponds to the Head in which the word can be found) and line numbers of the first word are:

1. *train, rails, train, train, train, line, train, train, embankment* [score: 9.0, sense: 624, line: 1]
2. *direction, regard, reference, respect* [score: 4.0, sense: 9, line: 1]
3. *travelling, travelling, takes, takes* [score: 4.0, sense: 981, line: 1]
4. *suppose, regard, takes, takes* [score: 4.0, sense: 485, line: 1]
5. *regard, takes, takes* [score: 3.0, sense: 438, line: 2]
6. *advantage, takes, takes* [score: 3.0, sense: 916, line: 2]
7. *takes, takes, respect* [score: 3.0, sense: 851, line: 3]
8. *constant, rigid* [score: 2.0, sense: 494, line: 1]
9. *events, event* [score: 2.0, sense: 725, line: 2]
10. *line, relative* [score: 2.0, sense: 27, line: 3]
11. *rails, respect* [score: 1.75, sense: 924, line: 1]

Once it is determined to which meta-chain a word contributes the most, the final lexical chains generated by the system are:

1. *train, rails, train, train, train, line, train, train, embankment* [score: 9.0, sense: 624, line: 1]
2. *suppose, regard, takes, takes* [score: 4.0, sense: 485, line: 1]
3. *direction, reference, respect* [score: 3.0, sense: 9, line: 1]
4. *travelling, travelling* [score: 2.0, sense: 981, line: 1]
5. *constant, rigid* [score: 2.0, sense: 494, line: 1]
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6. events, event [score: 2.0, sense: 725, line: 2]

As a comparison, these eight lexical chains are obtained by Ellman (2002):

1. train, rails, train, line, train, train, embankment
2. direction, people, direction
3. reference, regard, relative-to, respect
4. travelling, velocity, travelling, rigid
5. suppose, reference-to, place, place
6. advantage, events, event
7. long, constant
8. figure, body

The Einstein quotation was first studied by St-Onge (1995) who obtained the following nine lexical chains using his WordNet-based system:

1. train, velocity, direction, train, train, train, advantage, reference, reference-to, train, train, respect-to, simultaneity
2. travelling, travelling
3. rails, line
4. constant, given
5. figure, people, body
6. regard, particular, point
7. events, event, place, place
8. definition
9. embankment

The ELKB does not generate as many chains as Ellman or St-Onge, but the chains seem to adequately represent the paragraph. The best lexical chains generated by the ELKB {train, rails, train, train, train, line, train, train, embankment} and Ellman {train, rails, train, line, train, train, train, embankment} are almost identical. This is to be expected, as they both use Roget’s Thesaurus. The only difference is the number of repetitions of the nouns train, which is an indication that Ellman’s implementation is not as rigorous as it should be. It is surprising that the remaining chains are so different, especially since certain
words are not even related in the ELKB, for example direction and people, or advantage and event, as in the chains {direction, people, direction} and {advantage, events, event}. This is a clear indication that the versions of Roget’s used by the systems are quite different. Ellman’s second chain {direction, people, direction} is clearly erroneous since the word direction only appears once in the paragraph. St-Onge generates chains that are hard to quantify as coherent compared to the ones the two other systems build. In the chain {train, velocity, direction, train, train, train, advantage, reference, reference-to, train, train, respect-to, simultaneity} there is no intuitive relation between velocity and respect-to, although it is possible to consider a transitive relation using other words in the chain. It is odd that rails and line are not in the same chain as train, since these concepts are very closely related. The singleton chains {definition} and {embankment} are also listed. Lexical chain building systems generally do not consider these as they are too short to represent a cohesive region in a text. This subjective comparison does not allow determining which system is best. An objective way is required for evaluating lexical chains, which we discuss in Section 5.6.

5.4 A Comparison to the Original Implementation

Morris’ and Hirst’s (1991) demonstrate their manual lexical chain procedure on the first section of an article in Toronto magazine, December 1987, by Jay Teitel, entitled “Outland”. This section presents the text, where the candidate words are highlighted, and compares the lexical chains generated by the ELKB to those of the original algorithm.

I spent the first 19 years of my life in the suburbs, the initial 14 or so relatively contented, the last four or five wanting mainly to be elsewhere. The final two I remember vividly: I passed them driving to and from the University of Toronto in a red 1962 Volkswagen 1500 afflicted with night blindness. The car's lights never worked - every dusk turned into a kind of medieval race against darkness, a panicky, mournful rush north, away from everything I knew was exciting, toward everything I knew was deadly. I remember looking through the windows at the commuters mired in traffic beside me and actively hating them for their passivity. I actually punched holes in the white vinyl ceiling of the Volks and then, by way of penance, wrote beside the names and phone numbers of the girls I would call when I had my own apartment in the city. One thing I swore to myself: I would never live in the suburbs again.

My aversion was as much a matter of environment as it was traffic - one particular piece of the suburban setting: "the cruel sun." Growing up in the suburbs you can get used to a surprising number of things - the relentless "residentialness" of your surroundings, the weird certainty you have that everything will stay vaguely new-looking and immune to historic soul no matter how many years pass. You don't notice the eerie silence that descends each weekday when every sound is drained out of your neighbourhood along with all the people who've gone to work. I got used to pizza, and cars, and the fact that the cultural hub of my community was the collective TV
set. But once a week I would step outside as dusk was about to fall and be absolutely bowled over by the setting sun, slanting huge and cold across the untreed front lawns, reminding me not just how barren and sterile, but how defended life could be. As much as I hated the suburban drive to school, I wanted to get away from the cruel suburban sun.

When I was married a few years later, my attitude hadn’t changed. My wife was a city girl herself, and although her reaction to the suburbs was less intense than mine, we lived in a series of apartments safely straddling Bloor Street. But four years ago, we had a second child, and simultaneously the school my wife taught at moved to Bathurst Street north of Finch Avenue. She was now driving 45 minutes north to work every morning, along a route that was perversely identical to the one I’d driven in college.

We started looking for a house. Our first limit was St. Clair - we would go no farther north. When we took a closer look at the price tags in the area though, we conceded that maybe we’d have to go to Eglinton - but that was definitely it. But the streets whose names had once been magical barriers, latitudes of tolerance, quickly changed to something else as the Sundays passed. Eglinton became Lawrence, which became Wilson, which became Sheppard. One wind-swept day in May I found myself sitting in a town-house development north of Steeles Avenue called Shakespeare Estates. It wasn’t until we stepped outside, and the sun, blazing unopposed over a country club, smacked me in the eyes, that I came to. It was the cruel sun. We got into the car and drove back to the Danforth and porches as fast as we could, grateful to have been reprieved.

And then one Sunday in June I drove north alone. This time I drove up Bathurst past my wife’s new school, hit Steeles, and kept going, beyond Centre Street and past Highway 7 as well. I passed farms, a man selling lobsters out of his trunk on the shoulder of the road, a chronic care hospital, a country club and what looked like a mosque. I reached a light and turned right. I saw a sign that said Houses and turned right again.

In front of me lay a virgin crescent cut out of pine bush. A dozen houses were going up, in various stages of construction, surrounded by hummocks of dry earth and stands of precariously tall trees nude halfway up their trunks. They were the kind of trees you might see in the mountains. A couple was walking hand-in-hand up the dusty dirt roadway, wearing matching blue track suits. On a “front lawn” beyond them, several little girls with hair exactly the same colour of blond as my daughter’s were whispering and laughing together. The air smelled of sawdust and sun.

It was a suburb, but somehow different from any suburb I knew. It felt warm.

It was Casa Drive.

In 1976 there were 2,124,291 people in Metropolitan Toronto, an area bordered by Steeles Avenue to the north, Etobicoke Creek on the west, and the Rouge River to the east. In 1986, the same area contained 2,192,721 people, an increase of 3 percent, all but negligible on an urban scale. In the same span of time the three outlying regions stretching across the top of Metro - Peel, Durham, and York - increased in population by 55 percent, from 814,000 to some 1,262,000. Half a million people had poured into the crescent north of Toronto in the space of a decade, during which time the population of the City of Toronto actually declined as did the population of the “old” suburbs with the exception of Etobicoke and Scarborough. If the
sprawling agglomeration of people known as Toronto has boomed in the past 10 years it has boomed outside the traditional city confines in a totally new city, a new suburbia containing one and a quarter million people.

Figure 5.3: The first section of the Outland article.

The ELKB generates 110 lexical chains. The first 9 are presented here, the remaining are in Appendix L.

1. suburbs, commuters, city, suburbs, suburbs, community, city, suburbs, closer, streets, road, crescent, houses, suburb, suburb, urban, crescent, suburbs, sprawling, city, city, suburbia [score: 20.0, sense: 192, line: 1]

2. life, lights, rush, notice, weekday, week, fall, life, minutes, morning, day, time, light, span, time, stretching, decade, time, quarter [score: 17.0, sense: 110, line: 1]

3. driving, exciting, hating, setting, set, setting, hated, drive, driving, driven, drove, drove, drove, cut [score: 12.75, sense: 46, line: 2]

4. north, north, north, limit, north, north, north, north, top, north [score: 10.0, sense: 213, line: 3]

5. girls, people, girl, virgin, girls, people, people, people, people, people [score: 8.75, sense: 132, line: 5]

6. spent, passed, pass, moved, passed, fast, past, past, passed, wearing, past [score: 8.5, sense: 111, line: 1]

7. final, night, call, house, called, hit, stages, construction, stands, whispering [score: 8.25, sense: 594, line: 2]

8. sun, sun, sun, sun, sun, air, sun, space [score: 7.0, sense: 383, line: 7]

Morris and Hirst identified the 9 following lexical chains:

1. suburbs, driving, Volkswagen, car's, lights, commuters, traffic, Volks, apartment, city, suburbs, traffic, suburban, suburbs, residentialness, neighbourhood, community, suburban, drive, suburban, city, suburbs, apartments, Bloor St., Bathurst St., Finch St., driving, route, driven, house, St. Clair, Eglinton, streets, Eglinton, Lawrence, Wilson, Sheppard, town-house, Steeles, car, drove, Danforth, porches, drove, drove, Bathurst, Steeles, Centre St., Highway 7, trunk, road, light, turned, houses, turned, houses, roadway, lawn, suburb, suburb, people, Metropolitan Toronto, Steeles, people, people, urban, Metro, Peel, Durham, York, population, people, Toronto, population, city, Toronto, population, suburbs, Etobicoke, Scarborough, people, Toronto, city, suburbia, people.

2. afflicted, darkness, panicky, mournful, exciting, deadly, hating, aversion, cruel, relentless, weird, eerie, cold, barren, sterile, hated, cruel, perversely, cruel
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3. married, wife, wife, wife
4. conceded, tolerance
5. virgin, pine, bush, trees, trunks, trees
6. hand-in-hand, matching, whispering, laughing, warm
7. first, initial, final
8. night, dusk, darkness
9. environment, setting, surrounding

The lexical chains produced by Morris and Hirst can be quite long. The first has 84 and the second 19 words. Specific knowledge of Toronto is used to build the first chain, something that cannot be reproduced by an automated implementation based only on Roget’s or WordNet. Both sets of chains identify suburbia as the main topic of the text. The ELKB hints that driving is a hated activity in the 3rd lexical chain. As with the Einstein example, a subjective comparison of lexical chains is not very conclusive.

5.5 Complexity of the Lexical Chain Building Algorithm

The most computationally expensive part of the lexical chain building process is the construction of all possible meta-chains as described in Step 3: Build All Proto-chains for Each Candidate Word. The complexity of the other components of the implementation is negligible compared to this one. Step 3 can be described by the following pseudo-code:

```pseudo-code
for each ( ucw(i) in the set of unique_candidate_words)
    for each ( sense(j) of ucw(i) )
        for each ( cw(k) in the set of candidate_words)
            if there exists thesaural_relation ( ucw(i) and cw(k) )
                then
                    add cw(k) to meta_chain
```

Figure 5.4: Algorithm for building all proto-chains.

Given that there are \( n \) candidate words in a text, and each word has on average 2.14 senses in the ELKB and that in the worst case there are as many unique candidate words as there are total candidate words in a text, the complexity of Step 3 is \( n \times 2.14 \times n \) which is \( O(n^2) \). We use heuristics to improve performance, for example a sense, identified by the triple Head number, Paragraph key and part-of-speech, is only considered once during the meta-chain building process, and the list of candidate words is reduced once all chains have been built for a given
unique candidate word, but the computational complexity of the chain building procedure remains $O(n^2)$.

Silber and McCoy (2002) propose a linear time algorithm for the implementation of lexical chains. Their system can process a 40,000 word document in 11 seconds using a Sparc Ultra 10 Creator. As a manner of comparison, the ELKB implementation requires 5 seconds to process the 89 word Einstein text and 51 seconds for the 964 word Outlands document using an Intel Pentium 4, 2.40 GHz processor with 256 MB of RAM. The 4 seconds that it takes to load the ELKB into memory is included in these times. This implementation is clearly much slower than Silber and McCoy’s although it has not been refined for this task. One of the goals of their WordNet-based implementation is to optimize the process so as to construct chains for extremely large documents. It is the fastest known lexical chain building system.

### 5.6 Evaluating Lexical Chains

Morris and Hirst (1991) evaluate their lexical chains by comparing them to the heading structure of a text assigned by the author. This evaluation is adequate if the goal of lexical chains is to segment a text into distinct regions according to their topic. It assumes that the author has presented the only possible correct partitioning of the text. Lexical chains are generally not used for this purpose, Barzilay (1997) has even segmented the text before building the chains, and authors do not always assign subject headings to identify the various ideas. For these reasons, that evaluation procedure cannot be used to evaluate and objectively compare the lexical chains created by various systems.

Hirst and St-Onge (1998) propose the task of malapropism detection to evaluate lexical chains. A malapropism is defined as “the confounding of an intended word with another word of similar sound or similar spelling that has a quite different and malapropos meaning, for example, an ingenuous [for ingenious] machine for peeling oranges.” (Fellbaum, 1998, p. 304). This task is not very common and the evaluation procedure requires a corpus of malapropisms, a resource that is not readily available.

Silber and McCoy (2002) evaluate their implementation by comparing their lexical chains to summaries of a document collection. Their evaluation method is inspired by those used in text summarization. Their corpus is made up of scientific documents with abstracts and chapters from University textbooks that contain chapter summaries. Marcu (1999) has argued that abstracts of articles can be accepted as reasonable summaries. This procedure involves comparing the senses of the words in the lexical chains to the senses of the words in the abstract. This is necessary, as the summaries many not contain the same words as the texts, and therefore the lexical chains.
This evaluation procedure is interesting, since large amounts of documents with their summaries are produced for the Document Understanding Conferences (DUC, 2001, 2002). For this evaluation procedure to work with Roget’s, it is necessary to tag the senses of the words and phrases in the texts and summaries using those found in the Thesaurus. We have not performed or implemented any manual or automatic procedure to do so. Although promising, we have not evaluated our implementation of lexical chains using this procedure.

Lexical chains can also be evaluated by assessing the quality of the summaries that are produced by them (Barzilay and Elhadad, 1997; Brunn, Chali and Pinchak, 2001) but the investigation of this task is beyond the scope of this dissertation.

5.7 About the Straightforwardness of Implementing Lexical Chains

The experiment shows that it is possible to create lexical chains using our electronic version of Roget’s Thesaurus, but that it is not as straightforward as it was originally claimed. Roget’s has a very rich structure that can be exploited for lexical chain construction. Using the ELKB, many more thesaural relations can be used than in this implementation, but they come with a computational cost. WordNet implementations have access to a different set of relations and lexical material. Although there is a consensus on the high-level algorithm, there are significant differences in implementations. The major criticism of lexical chains is that there is no adequate evaluation of their quality. Until it is established, it will be hard to compare implementations of lexical chain construction algorithms. This experiment demonstrates that the ELKB and WordNet can be used effectively for the same task.
6 Finding the Hidden Treasures in the Thesaurus

The experiments presented in Chapters 4 and 5 show that Roget’s Thesaurus is a valuable resource for NLP, yet these applications exploit only a fraction of this abundant lexical knowledge base. A current trend in NLP is to combine lexical resources to overcome their individual weaknesses. This chapter discusses the correspondence between Roget’s and WordNet. We show a method for disambiguating Roget’s paragraphs by mean of groups of synsets. This procedure exposes WordNet’s semantic relations that are present in the Thesaurus. The fact that the ELKB does not label semantic relations explicitly is a major hindrance for NLP applications: “Roget’s remains … an attractive lexical resource for those with access to it. Its wide, shallow hierarchy is densely populated with nearly 200,000 words and phrases. The relationships among the words are also much richer than WordNet’s IS-A or HAS-PART links. The price paid for this richness is a somewhat unwieldy tool with ambiguous links” (McHale, 1998). Machine learning techniques can label these relations given sufficient training data. This chapter concludes with a study of avenues to improve the ELKB using Longman’s Dictionary of Contemporary English (LDOCE) (Procter, 1978).

6.1 A Quantitative Comparison of Roget’s and WordNet

Chapter 3 describes the similarities between Roget’s and WordNet. This section presents a detailed examination of the portions that contain the most and least overlap in lexical content. Roget’s ontology can be divided into classes that describe the external world (Abstract Relations, Space, Matter) and ones that describe the internal world of the human. These subjects almost evenly dived the lexical material, 446 headwords belong to the external world, 544 to the internal world. WordNet seems to favor the external world, with only two of the nine unique beginners \{psychological feature\} and \{act, human action, human activity\} describing the internal world of the human. Intuition suggests that Roget’s and WordNet should have a big overlap in lexical material pertaining to the material world. Experiments have identified a list of over 45,000 strings that can be found in WordNet 1.7.1 and the 1987 Roget’s. Table 6.1 presents the distribution of words and phrases within the ELKB ordered by class number. % of c.h., % of c.k. and % of c.s. in Table 6.1 indicate the percentage of heads, keywords and strings that can be found in this common word and phrase list.

Both lexical resources are similar in absolute size, containing about 200,000 word-sense pairs. 53% of all words in Roget’s are nouns, 20% adjectives, 23% verbs, 4% adverbs and less than 1% are interjections. 74% of WordNet are nouns, 15% adjectives, 8% verbs and 3% adverbs. There are no interjections in WordNet. Intuition suggested a large overlap between both resources, but
the 46,399 common words and phrases only represent about 43% of the unique words and phrases in the Thesaurus and 32% of WordNet. All of the occurrences of common strings make up 63% of Roget’s total lexical content. The equivalent calculation has not been performed for WordNet. The top-level of the ontologies hinted that the overlap would be concentrated in the first three Roget classes, but the results in Table 6.1 shows the common strings distributed pretty evenly across the whole resource. A head-per-head analysis shows that 78% of head names as well as 75% of paragraph keywords can be found in WordNet. 86% of heads have at least 50% of their words in common with those of WordNet and 93% of heads have at least 50% of keywords in common. Table 6.2 shows the 10 heads with the highest and lowest percentage of common strings. H in WN indicates that the head name can be found in WordNet.

| Class # | # of sections | # of heads | # of paragraphs | # of SGs | # of strings | % of c.h. | % of c.k. | % of c.s. |
|--------|----------------|------------|-----------------|----------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 1      | 8              | 182        | 1146            | 10479    | 38029       | 63.74     | 75.48     | 65.75     |
| 2      | 4              | 136        | 992             | 8904     | 32634       | 71.32     | 80.04     | 63.77     |
| 3      | 3              | 128        | 714             | 6429     | 22734       | 72.66     | 79.97     | 64.31     |
| 4      | 7              | 67         | 460             | 4226     | 15471       | 79.10     | 75.87     | 56.65     |
| 5      | 3              | 81         | 495             | 4953     | 18401       | 88.89     | 78.18     | 59.33     |
| 6      | 5              | 138        | 927             | 9616     | 36525       | 88.41     | 72.49     | 59.53     |
| 7      | 4              | 84         | 447             | 4125     | 15873       | 86.90     | 71.14     | 55.88     |
| 8      | 5              | 174        | 1251            | 11149    | 44858       | 83.91     | 67.63     | 55.04     |
| Total: | 39             | 990        | 6432            | 59877    | 224525      | 77.98     | 74.66     | 60.31     |

Table 6.1: Distribution of words and phrases within Roget’s Thesaurus ordered by class number.

Identifying the areas where WordNet and the Thesaurus overlap are of interest since this should be a good indicator of where the two resources can be combined. The comparison of the Head 567 Perspicuity has with the WordNet synsets that are synonymous to perspicuity and perspicuous shows that the semicolon groups and the synsets organized around these two words can be quite similar. The content of the Head is the following:

567 Perspicuity

N. perspicuity, perspicuousness, clearness, clarity, lucidity, limpidity, 422 transparency; limpid style, lucid prose, 516 intelligibility; directness, 573 plainness; definition, definiteness, exactness, 494 accuracy.

ADJ. perspicuous, lucid, limpid, 422 transparent; clear, unambiguous, 516 intelligible; explicit, clear-cut, 80 definite; exact, accurate; uninvolved, direct, 573 plain.

Figure 6.1: The Roget’s Thesaurus Head 567 Perspicuity.
Table 6.2: Distribution of words and phrases within Roget's Thesaurus ordered by percentage of common strings.

| % of c.s. | Class # | Head                  | H. in WN | # of paragraphs | # of SGs | # of strings | % of c.k. |
|-----------|---------|-----------------------|----------|----------------|---------|--------------|-----------|
| 97        | 1       | 42: Decrement-thing deducted | No       | 1              | 10      | 36           | 100       |
| 94        | 1       | 77: Class              | Yes      | 5              | 28      | 121          | 100       |
| 94        | 5       | 567: Perspicuity       | Yes      | 2              | 9       | 31           | 100       |
| 91        | 5       | 571: Vigour            | Yes      | 3              | 28      | 140          | 67        |
| 87        | 5       | 576: Inelegance        | Yes      | 2              | 36      | 118          | 100       |
| 86        | 6       | 631: Materials         | No       | 3              | 32      | 145          | 67        |
| 85        | 4       | 493: Ignoramus         | Yes      | 2              | 10      | 39           | 100       |
| 85        | 5       | 572: Feebleness        | Yes      | 2              | 24      | 104          | 50        |
| 83        | 3       | 353: Air pipe          | No       | 1              | 12      | 48           | 0         |
| 83        | 5       | 564: Grammar           | Yes      | 4              | 37      | 117          | 100       |
| ...       |         |                       |          |                |         |              |           |
| 35        | 5       | 585: Soliloquy         | Yes      | 4              | 11      | 29           | 50        |
| 34        | 8       | 907: Gratitude         | Yes      | 7              | 27      | 112          | 50        |
| 34        | 8       | 957: Judge             | Yes      | 3              | 25      | 80           | 71        |
| 31        | 3       | 361: Death             | Yes      | 9              | 116     | 407          | 100       |
| 31        | 8       | 908: Ingratitude       | No       | 5              | 17      | 64           | 67        |
| 31        | 4       | 506: Oblivion          | Yes      | 6              | 52      | 162          | 40        |
| 30        | 8       | 958: Lawyer            | Yes      | 7              | 36      | 123          | 83        |
| 30        | 1       | 109: Neverness         | No       | 2              | 13      | 27           | 86        |
| 29        | 8       | 977: Heterodoxy        | Yes      | 8              | 50      | 133          | 50        |
| 24        | 8       | 948: Sobriety          | Yes      | 5              | 25      | 96           | 63        |

The synonym synsets do not contain all of the words and phrases in the Head, even though 94% of these are contained in WordNet. This is an indication that the semantic relations that link the semicolon groups in a paragraph extend beyond synonymy. This is further discussed in section 6.3.
Both lexical resources are comparable in size, but *WordNet’s* 111,223 synsets are almost double the 59,877 semicolon groups in the *ELKB*. Only 1,431 semicolon groups and synsets are identical, 916 consist of one word or phrase, 459 of two, 51 of three, 4 of four and 1 of five words and phrases. The common sets of four or more words are:

- \{compass, grasp, range, reach\}
- \{ease, relaxation, repose, rest\}
- \{escape, leak, leakage, outflow\}
- \{fourfold, quadruple, quadruplex, quadruplicate\}
- \{coronach, dirge, lament, requiem, threnody\}

Semicolon groups contain on average 3.75 words and phrases, synsets 1.76. This may indicate that synsets represent a much more focused concept than semicolon groups even though both are defined as sets of closely related words. The next section investigates a technique for matching semicolon groups to synsets.

### 6.2 Combining *Roget’s* and *WordNet*

The semicolon group and the synset represent the smallest independent unit of *Roget’s* and *WordNet*. Although not identical, these groups can be compared and linked. Kwong (1998, 2001) proposes an algorithm for aligning *WordNet* noun synsets with their equivalent noun sense in the 1987 edition of Penguin’s *Roget’s Thesaurus*. A sense in *Roget’s* is defined by a noun and its location within a specific semicolon group, paragraph and head. The following steps describe my variant of Kwong’s algorithm:

**Step 0:** Take an index item \(W\) from *Roget’s* index. For example, the word *desk* is an index item in:

```
desk
  cabinet 194 n.
  stand 218 n.
  classroom 539 n.
```

**Step 1:** In *Roget’s*, find all paragraphs \(P_m\) such that \(W \in P_m\).

**Step 2:** In *WordNet*, build all mini-nets \(M_n\) for \(W\). A mini-net consists of a synset \(S_n\) such that \(W \in S_n\) with its corresponding hypernym synsets \(\text{Hyp}(S_n)\), and coordinate synsets \(\text{Co}(S_n)\). The coordinate synsets represent the immediate hypernyms of the synset as well as the hypernyms’ immediate hyponyms. This is done to compare similar structures in both resources and to ensure enough lexical material to calculate a significant overlap.
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Step 3: Compute a similarity score matrix $A$ for the WordNet mini-nets and the Roget’s paragraphs. A similarity score $A_{jk}$ is computed for the $j^{th}$ WordNet mini-net and the $k^{th}$ Roget’s paragraph, according to the following formula:

$$A_{jk} = \alpha_1|S_j \cap P_k| + \alpha_2|Hyp(S_j) \cap P_k| + \alpha_3|Co(S_j) \cap P_k|$$

Kwong sets $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = 1$. These weights are reasonable as it seems that no one relation is more important than another. The procedure uses lemmata when comparing words but does not lemmatize elements of phrases.

Step 4: Find the global maximum $\max(A_{jk})$ of the matrix $A$. The $j_{th}$ WordNet mini-net can be aligned with the $k_{th}$ Roget’s Thesaurus paragraph found in the maximum intersection.

Kwong (ibid.) takes a WordNet synset and assigns a Roget’s sense to it. The system maps 18,000 noun synsets onto 30,000 senses. She gives the following statement regarding accuracy: “Although it had been difficult and impractical to check the mappings … exhaustively given the huge amount of data, extensive sampling of the results showed that over 70% of the mappings are expected to be accurate”. We have not verified this precision value.

Nastase and Szpakowicz (2001) have implemented a procedure that links WordNet semicolon groups to Roget’s senses for all parts-of-speech. As the same complement of semantic relations is not available to all parts-of-speech in WordNet, different ones must be used for building mini-nets:

- using nouns: synonyms, hyponyms, hypernyms, meronyms and holonyms;
- using adjectives and adverbs: synonyms;
- using words that are derived from another word $w$: the information pertaining to the word $w$, according to its part-of-speech.

The precision of this algorithm is 57% when applied to the various parts-of-speech, comparatively to Kwong’s 70% for nouns. We have not implemented much of this algorithm using the ELKB due to the large amount of data which makes it very time consuming to evaluate.

The task of aligning semicolon groups with synsets is more complicated as this mapping is many-to-many. Daudé et al. (2001) map WordNet 1.5 synsets onto WordNet 1.6 synsets using relaxation labeling. Their technique is very effective, but it relies on the structure imposed by the semantic relations. This mapping cannot be simply translated to align Roget’s semicolon groups onto WordNet synsets as no explicit semantic relations are given in the Thesaurus. Without further experiments, it is difficult to assess the feasibility of this algorithm.
6.3 Importing Semantic Relations from WordNet into Roget’s

Roget’s lacks explicitly labelled semantic relations. Chapter 4 has shown that its structure can be exploited to measure semantic similarity effectively, but having labeled semantic relations allows to further untangling the rich information contained in the paragraphs. This can be illustrated by examining the first paragraph of the Head 276 Aircraft. The hyponym and meronym relations used by WordNet are clearly present, as well as the notions of “science of aircraft”, “testing of an aircraft”, as well as “places where an aircraft can land”:

276 Aircraft
N. aircraft,

science of aircraft:
271 aeronautics;

kinds of aircraft:
aerodyne, flying machine; aeroplane, airplane, crate; plane, monoplane, biplane, triplane; amphibian; hydroplane, seaplane, flying boat; airliner, airbus, transport, freighter; warplane, fighter, bomber, 722 air force; stratocruiser, jet plane, jet, jumbo jumbo, jump jumbo, supersonic jumbo, turbojet, turboprop, turbofan, propfan; VTOL,STOL, HOTOL;

parts of an aircraft:
flying instruments, controls, flight recorder, black box, autopilot, automatic pilot, joystick, rudder; aerofoil, fin, tail; flaps, aileron, 271 wing; prop, 269 propeller; cockpit, flight deck; undercarriage, landing gear; safety belt, life jacket, parachute, ejection seat, 300 ejector;

testing of an aircraft:
test bed, wind tunnel; flight simulator;

places where an aircraft can land:
aerodrome, airport, 271 air travel.

Figure 6.3: The first paragraph of the Roget’s Thesaurus Head 276 Aircraft.

Nastase and Szpakowicz (ibid.) have found empirically the hypernym relation to be prevalent between the keyword and the other phrases that make up a paragraph. Cassidy (2000) has identified 400 semantic relations in the 1911 edition of Roget’s Thesaurus. Some of these relations are: is-caused-by, is-performed-by, has-consequence, is-measured-by, is-job-of. Cassidy’s work is done manually. An alternative to this is to align paragraphs and mini-nets and label the relations automatically. The paragraph for the noun decrement illustrates the procedure:
Chapter 6. Finding the Hidden Treasures in the Thesaurus

Head 42 Decrement: thing deducted

**N. decrement, deduction, depreciation, cut** 37 diminution; allowance; remission; tare, drawback, clawback, rebate, 810 discount; refund, shortage, slippage, defect 307 shortfall, 636 insufficiency; loss, sacrifice, forfeit 963 penalty; leak, leakage, escape 298 outflow; shrinkage 204 shortening; spoilage, wastage, consumption 634 waste; subtrahend, rake-off, 786 taking; toll 809 tax.

**Figure 6.4:** The Roget's Thesaurus noun paragraph of Head 42 Decrement.

The mini-net for the noun decrement can be built in the following way:

Overview of noun decrement The noun decrement has 2 senses:

1. decrease, decrement -- (the amount by which something decreases)
2. decrease, decrement -- (a process of becoming smaller)

Synonyms/Hypernyms of noun decrement:

**Sense 1** - decrease, decrement: {amount}

**Sense 2** - decrease, decrement: {process}

Hyponyms of noun decrement:

**Sense 1** - decrease, decrement: {drop, fall}, {shrinkage}

**Sense 2** - decrease, decrement: {wastage}, {decay, decline}, {slippage}, {decline, diminution}, {desensitization, de sensitisation}, {narrowing}

Coordinate Terms of noun decrement:

**Sense 1** - decrease, decrement: {amount}, {quantity}, {increase, increment}, {decrease, decrement}, {insufficiency, inadequacy, deficiency}, {number, figure}

**Sense 2** - decrease, decrement: {process}, {natural process, natural action, action, activity}, {photography}, {chelation}, {human process}, {development, evolution}, {economic process}, {decrease, decrement}, {increase, increment, growth}, {processing}, {execution}, {degeneration}, {shaping, defining}, {dealignment}, {uptake}

**Figure 6.5:** The WordNet mini-net for the noun decrement.

By matching semicolon groups and synsets where at least one word or phrase is in common, it is possible to rearrange the Roget's paragraph in the following manner:

**N. decrement**

**Hyponym:** deduction, depreciation, cut 37 diminution; refund, shortage, slippage, defect 307 shortfall, 636 insufficiency;
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shrinkage 204 shortening; spoilage, wastage, consumption 634 waste.

No label: allowance; remission; tare, drawback, clawback, rebate, 810 discount; loss, sacrifice, forfeit 963 penalty; leak, leakage, escape 298 outflow; subtrahend, rake-off, 786 taking; toll 809 tax.

Figure 6.6: The Roget’s noun paragraph of Head 42 Decrement labelled with WordNet relations.

This algorithm only allows for discovering the WordNet relations that are present in Roget’s. Learning the relations labeled by Cassidy and using machine learning techniques (O’Hara and Wiebe, 2003) would expose more clearly the richness of the Thesaurus. This has yet to be attempted using the ELKB.

6.4 Augmenting WordNet with Information contained in Roget’s

Semicolon groups are organized around subjects in Roget’s whereas synsets are linked by the closed set of semantic relations in WordNet. Fellbaum (1998, p.10) calls this particularity of WordNet the Tennis Problem and describes it in the following manner: “… WordNet does not link racquet, ball, and net in a way that would show that these words, and the concepts behind them, are part of another concept that can be expressed by court game.” George Miller has promised that this will be corrected in WordNet 2.0. Roget’s Thesaurus can help in this task. It contains the paragraph ball game in the Head 837 Amusement:

N. ball game, pat-ball, bat and ball game; King Willow, cricket, French cricket; baseball, softball, rounders; tennis, lawn tennis, real tennis, table tennis, pingpong; badminton, battledore and shuttlecock; squash, rackets; handball, volleyball; fives, pelota; netball, basketball; football, Association football, soccer; rugby, Rugby football, Rugby Union, Rugby League, rugger; lacrosse, hockey, ice hockey; polo, water polo; croquet, putting, golf, clock golf, crazy golf; skittles, ninepins, bowls, petanque, boule, curling; marbles, dibs; quoits, deck quoits, hoop-la; billiards, snooker, pool; bagatelle, pinball, bar billiards, shove ha’penny, shovelboard.

Figure 6.7: The Roget’s Thesaurus ball game 837 n. paragraph.

This paragraph contains rackets, ball game and netball, similar words to racquet, ball and net. A native English speaker can make the connection. This example illustrates that the organization of Roget’s lexical material is quite different than that of WordNet’s, that WordNet would benefit from Roget’s topical clustering and that adding such links automatically is not a trivial task. Stevenson (2001) considers that synsets can be linked using a new relationship when
a *Roget’s* paragraph has a strong overlap with three or more synsets. He links 24,633 *WordNet* synsets to 3,091 *Roget’s International Thesaurus* (Chapman, 1977) paragraphs. These figures represent almost 25% of *WordNet* synsets and 50% of *ELKB* paragraphs in terms of absolute numbers, which suggests that augmenting *WordNet* in this manner is a promising avenue of research.

### 6.5 Other Techniques for Improving the *ELKB*

Kwong (1998) has shown that it is possible to obtain a mapping between *LDOCE* and *Roget’s Thesaurus* using *WordNet*. Although Kwong only performs this experiment on a small set of 36 nouns, the idea of incorporating information contained in *LDOCE* into *Roget’s* is very attractive. *LDOCE* contains definition and frequency information that is very beneficial. Researchers have also proven it to be a valuable resource for *NLP*. The *ELKB* that would contain definitions, frequency information as well as a set of labeled semantic relations is very close to the holy grail of computational lexicography: “a *neutral, machine-tractable, dictionary*” (Wilks *et al.*, 1996).
7 Summary, Discussion, and Future Work

This chapter summarizes the contributions of the thesis and presents known flaws of the ELKB, aspects that should be improved and ideas for future applications.

7.1 Summary

The goal of this thesis was to establish if Roget’s Thesaurus can be a realistic alternative to WordNet. To achieve this, various sub-goals had to be met. The first is the design and implementation of the ELKB; next I performed NLP experiments whose results are compared to those of WordNet-based systems. The thesis also contains a quantitative comparison of both lexical knowledge bases.

Chapter 1 presents the context, goals and organization of this thesis.

Chapter 2 gives a brief history of how computational linguists have used thesauri in NLP. It discusses the various versions of Roget’s and explains the rationale for choosing the 1987 edition of Penguin’s Roget’s Thesaurus of English Words and Phrases as the source for the ELKB. This chapter also discusses several applications of Roget’s Thesaurus and WordNet in NLP.

Chapter 3 discusses the design of the ELKB as well as its implementation. It shows the necessary steps to transform the computer-readable Pearson Education files into a tractable form. This involves converting the lexical material into a format that can be more easily exploited, identifying data structures and classes to computerize the Thesaurus, indexing all of the words and phrases in the resource and ensuring that they can be retrieved even when the exact string is not supplied. I explain in detail Roget’s organization and contrast it with WordNet’s. The implementation verifies the accuracy of the design and ensures that Roget’s functionality is faithfully reproduced by the ELKB.

Chapter 4 explains how Roget’s Thesaurus can be used to measure semantic distance. Using three well known benchmarks for the evaluation of semantic similarity, I correlate the similarity values calculated by the ELKB and six WordNet-based measures with those assigned by human judges. Roget’s gets scores of over .80 for two of the three benchmarks, quite close to those obtained when the experiments are replicated using humans. The system outperforms the WordNet-based measures most of the time. The chapter presents a second class of experiments, where the correct synonym must be selected amongst a group of four words. These are taken from ESL, TOEFL and Readers’ Digest questions. The ELKB is compared to the same WordNet-
based measures as well as to statistical methods. The **ELKB** outperforms all systems that do not rely on combined approaches, obtaining scores in the 80% range.

Chapter 5 explains how lexical chains can be built using the **ELKB**. It presents the necessary design decisions for automating the chain building procedure by walking through the algorithm that has been used for all implementations. I compare the lexical chains the **ELKB** constructs to those built manually by the inventors of lexical chains, automatically using a partially computerized *Roget’s Thesaurus* and a *WordNet*-based system. This chapter discusses several evaluation procedures, in particular one in which the lexical chains are compared to summaries of texts.

Chapter 6 describes steps for combining *Roget’s* and *WordNet*. It shows some of the rich implicit semantic relations that are found in the *Thesaurus*. I explain how *WordNet* can enrich *Roget’s* and vice-versa, as well as present an algorithm for aligning both resources.

Chapter 7 presents a summary of the dissertation. It discusses known flaws as of the **ELKB** as well as future extensions and applications.

### 7.2 Conclusions

This dissertation has shown that it is possible to computerize *Roget’s Thesaurus* so that it maintains all of the functionality of the printed version and allows for manipulations suitable for NLP applications. I have used the **ELKB** in a few experiments, but these are not enough to determine if it is a credible alternative to *WordNet*. I offer a few ideas for those who intend to use the **ELKB** or want to build a similar knowledge base.

#### 7.2.1 Building an **ELKB** from an Existing Lexical Resource

Building an **ELKB** from an existing lexical resource is a very attractive proposition. A computational linguist can save much time by exploiting the structure and lexical material contained in existing dictionaries and thesauri. I have encountered two major problems when implementing the **ELKB**. The lexicographer’s directives are not known and it is very tedious to comprehend the organization of paragraphs and semicolon groups without having specific explanations for the underlying decisions. Implementing the **ELKB** would have been much simpler had the editor’s instructions for the preparation of the *Roget’s Thesaurus* been available. The next problem is that the lexical material must be licensed from the publisher for a considerable price. This hinders the public acceptance of the **ELKB** as most research groups are unwilling to spend money on an unproven resource.
7.2.2 Comparison of the ELKB to WordNet

The ELKB is comparable to WordNet in many ways. It contains a similar number of words and phrases and this thesis has shown that they both can be used for the same tasks. Although they are similar, this dissertation demonstrates that their organization is quite different. The ELKB draws on the 150 years that lexicographers have taken to prepare the Thesaurus. Pearson’s publishes a new edition roughly every ten years. The ELKB lacks the support of the NLP community which WordNet has. WordNet is slightly more than ten years old, new versions are released about every two years. Version 2.0 promises to correct many flaws that are discussed in this dissertation. Several research groups work independently from George Miller’s to enhance this lexical resource. Its prevalence is not only due to its quality but largely also to the fact that it is free.

7.2.3 Using the ELKB for NLP Experiments

This thesis has used the ELKB to measure semantic similarity between words and phrases and to build lexical chains. I have been able to perform these experiments with ease using the Java implementations. These two applications can be integrated into larger systems, for example one that performs Text Summarization or Question Answering tasks. The ELKB has also been used in two computer science honors projects. Gilles Roy and Pierre Chrétien created a graphical user interface for the Thesaurus, Tad Stach wrote a program to play the Reader’s Digest Word Power Game. The ELKB must be used in more experiments to test the software thoroughly.

7.2.4 Known Errors in the ELKB

The ELKB still contains errors, mostly in its lexical material. These are often due to the mistakes contained in the original Pearson files. I estimate that about 2% - 3% of the words and phrases in the ELKB are incorrect. Although this percentage is small, it is enough to be noticed and adversely affect future applications.

7.2.5 Improvements to the ELKB

The computerized Roget’s Thesaurus that I have implemented is far from being the perfect lexical knowledge base. Many improvements can be made to the software.

7.2.5.1 Retrieval of Phrases

The ELKB does not perform any morphological transformations when looking up a phrase. If a user does not supply the exact string contained in the Index, no result will be returned. For example, the phrase “sixty four thousand dollar question” will not be found because the
exact string in the ELKB is “the sixty-four-thousand-dollar question”. Giving access to all of Roget’s phrases is a difficult problem to solve but is one that merits attention. The Thesaurus contains many phrases, some of them very peculiar, for example: “Cheshire cat grin”, “Homeric laughter” or “wisest fool in Christendom”. It is possibly an area where the ELKB is superior to WordNet. I have not investigated this. An ideal solution would be to integrate in the Index a method that could extract all phrases that contain certain words. Also, morphological transformations would have to be performed on all words in a phrase to find the form contained in the Thesaurus. An imperfect solution that I have adopted for the ELKB is to index all two word phrases under each of the words. Although this improves the recall of phrases, it introduces many odd references for index entries. For example, the phrase fish food is now indexed under fish and food. The ELKB determines that the distance between food and rooster is 4, meaning that the words are quite similar, when the intuitive association is not that strong. The system is finding the shortest path between all references of food and rooster, which happens to be between fish food and rooster, found in two different noun paragraphs of the head 365 Animality. Animal. When the phrase fish food is ignored, the distance between food and rooster is 10.

7.2.5.2 Displaying the Semicolon Group Which Contains a Variant of the Search Word

As described in Chapter 3, when a word is looked up, morphological transformations are performed to find all matching entries that are contained in the Index. For example, when a user enters the word tire, the ELKB finds the words tire and tyre in the Index. The reference for tyre is wheel 250 N. The system finds the Head 250 Circularity: simple circularity, and locates the wheel noun paragraph. The ELKB searches the paragraph sequentially until the word tire is found. Since tyre is the word contained in the paragraph, the correct semicolon group is not returned. This causes a slight problem when calculating semantic distance. For example, the system determines the distance between the words hub and tire to be 2 instead of 0 as the words do not appear in the semicolon group ;hub, felloe, felly, tyre; This is not difficult to correct, but awkward, so I left it as one of a number of future adjustments.

7.2.5.3 Original vs. New Index

The ELKB uses an Index that is generated from all of the words and phrases that it contains. Pearson Education supplies an Index that is about half the size of the automatically generated one. The system stores the two in separate files that cannot be used at the same time. I would have more faithfully reproduced Roget’s Thesaurus if the entries in the Index had been flagged as original and new.
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7.2.5.4 Optimization of the ELKB

This version of the ELKB serves as a proof of concept. Future releases must improve memory usage and speed if this resource is to be a viable alternative to WordNet. Performance can be improved by loading the text of the 990 Heads into memory and storing absolute references to Paragraphs and semicolon groups, as described in Chapter 3. The current implementation loads in 3 seconds on a Pentium 4, 2.40 GHz with 256 MB of RAM, and requires about 40 MB of RAM.

7.3 Future Work

The ultimate goal of this research should be to make the ELKB available to any research group that requests it. Beyond the fact that the lexical material must be licensed, future maintainers of the system should thoroughly evaluate the ELKB and use it in a wide variety of applications so as to attract the interest of the NLP community. It should also be enhanced to make it more competitive with regards to WordNet.

7.3.1 More Complete Evaluation of the ELKB

This dissertation has performed a partial evaluation of the ELKB by comparing it to WordNet-based systems and statistical techniques. A comparison to other versions of Roget’s Thesaurus, namely the 1911 edition, FACTOTUM and Roget’s International Thesaurus should be carried out. Until this is done, I cannot say how good this version of Roget’s is compared to all others. Future research should perform further benchmark experiments with the ELKB, namely Word Sense Disambiguation. This is a problem that has a long history in NLP and for which thesauri have been used (Ide and Véronis, 1998).

7.3.2 Extending the Applications Presented in the Thesis

Turney (2002) has used his semantic similarity metric to classify automobile and movie reviews. Bigham et al. (2003) use their similarity metric to answer analogy problems. In an analogy problem, the correct pair of words must be chosen amongst four pairs, for example: cat:meow: (a) mouse:scamper, (b) bird:peck, (c) dog:bark, (d) horse:groom, (e) lion:scratch. To correctly answer dog:bark, a system must know that a meow is the sound that a cat makes and a bark the sound that a dog makes. Both of these applications can be implemented with the ELKB.

As discussed in Chapter 5, several researchers have used lexical chains for Text Summarization, most notably Barzilay and Elhadad (1997) as well as Silber and McCoy (2000). Since I have
implemented a system that can build lexical chains, it would be very interesting to put it to this task.

### 7.3.3 Enhancing the **ELKB**

Chapter 6 describes several enhancements to the **ELKB**. If I had combined *Roget’s* with *WordNet*, labeled the implicit semantic relations and included frequency information as well as dictionary definitions from LDOCE, the **ELKB** would be one of the premier lexical resources for NLP.
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Appendix A: The Basic Functions and Use Cases of the ELKB

These are the basic functions of the ELKB:

1. Look up a Word or Phrase.
2. Browse the Taxonomy.
3. Look up All Words and Phrases in a Head.
4. Calculate the Distance between Two Words or Phrases.
5. Identify the Thesaural Relation between Two Words or Phrases.

These functions can be described by their accompanying use cases.

1 Look up a Word or Phrase

1. The user enters a word or phrase.
2. The system performs morphological transformations on the word or phrases.
3. The system searches the index for all entries that contain the transformed search term.
4. The system returns all references for the found index entries.
5. The user chooses a reference from the result list.
6. The system returns the paragraph that contains the reference.
7. The semicolon group that contains the reference is located.

Alternative: The search term is not in the index.
At step 3, the system fails to find the search term in the index.
Allow the user to re-enter a word or phrase.
Return to primary scenario at step 2.

Alternative: The user cancels the look up.
At step 1 or 5, the user cancels look up.

2 Browse the Taxonomy

1. The system displays the names of the classes.
2. The user chooses a class to expand.
3. The system displays the sections that belong to the selected class.
4. The user chooses a section to expand.
5. The system displays the sub-sections that belong to the selected section.
6. The user chooses a sub-section to expand.
7. The system displays the head groups that belong to the selected sub-section.
8. The user chooses a head group to expand.
9. The system displays the heads that belong to the selected head group.
10. The user chooses a head to expand.
11. The system displays the text of the selected head.
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Alternative: *The user selects another class, section, sub-section, head group or head.*
At steps 2, 4, 6, 8 or 10 the user can decide to expand another class, section, sub-section, head group or head.
Return to primary scenario at step 3, 5, 7, 9 or 11 depending on what step has been performed.

Alternative: *The user collapses a class, section, sub-section, head group or head.*
At step 3, 5, 7, 9 or 11 the user can decide to collapse a class, section, sub-section, head group or head.
The system hides any of the content displayed by the selected class, section, sub-section, head group or head.
Return to primary scenario at step 2, 4, 6, 8 or 10 depending on what steps are possible.

Alternative: *The user specifies a head number.*
The user may know the exact head number he wants to look up. The system displays the entire path indicating the class, section, sub-section, head group and head. The system continues at step 11.

3 Look up all Words and Phrases in a Head
1. The user selects or enters a head number.
2. The system displays the text of the selected head.

4 Calculate the Distance between Two Words or Phrases
1. The user enters two words or phrases.
2. The system performs morphological transformations on each word or phrase.
3. The system looks up each transformed word or phrase in the index.
4. The system finds all paths between each reference of the words or phrases.
5. The system assigns a score to every path: 0 if the two references point to the same semicolon group, 2 if they point to the same paragraph, 4 if the point to the same part-of-speech of the same head, 6 if they point to the same head, 8 if they point to the same head group, 10 if they point to the same sub-section, 12 if they point to the same section, 14 if they point to the same class and 16 if the references are in two different classes of the *ELKB*.
6. The distance is given by the smallest score.

5 Identify the Thesaural Relation between Two Words or Phrases
1. The user enters two words or phrases
2. If the same lexicographical string was entered, the thesaural relation is “T0: reiteration”.
   Terminate the procedure.
3. Else, the system performs morphological transformations on each word or phrase.
4. The system looks up each transformed word or phrase in the index.
5. The system compares pair wise the references of the index entries.
6. If two references point to the same paragraph, then the thesaural relation is “T1”. Terminate the procedure.
7. Else, no thesaural relations exist between these two words or phrases.
Appendix B: The ELKB Java Documentation

This appendix presents a summary of the Java documentation for all the classes of the ELKB.

Package ca.site.elkb

| Class Summary |
|---------------|
| **Category**  | Represents the *Roget's Thesaurus Tabular Synopsis of Categories.* |
| **Group**     | Represents a *Roget's Thesaurus* Head group. |
| **Head**      | Represents a *Roget's Thesaurus* Head. |
| **HeadInfo**  | Object used to store the information that defines a Head but not its words and phrases. |
| **Index**     | Represents the computer index of the words and phrases of *Roget's Thesaurus*. |
| **Morphy**    | Performs morphological transformations using the same rules as *WordNet*. |
| **Paragraph** | Represents a *Roget's Thesaurus* Paragraph. |
| **Path**      | Represents a path in *Roget's Thesaurus* between two words or phrases. |
| **PathSet**   | A set that contains all of the paths between two words and phrases as well as the number of minimum length paths. |
| **Reference** | Represents a symbolic pointer to a location where a specific word or phrase can be found in *Roget's Thesaurus*. |
| **RoOfClass** | Represents the topmost element in *Roget's Thesaurus Tabular Synopsis of Categories*. |
| **RogetELKB** | Main class of the *Roget's Thesaurus Electronic Lexical KnowledgeBase*. |
| **RogetText** | Represents the Text of *Roget's Thesaurus*. |
| **Section**   | Represents a *Roget's Thesaurus* Section. |
| **SemRel**    | Represents a *Roget's Thesaurus* relation between a word or phrase. |
| **SG**        | Represents a *Roget's Thesaurus* Semicolon Group. |
| **SubSection**| Represents a *Roget's Thesaurus* Sub-section. |
| **Variant**   | Allows to obtain a variant of an English spelling. |
public class Category
extends java.lang.Object

Represents the Roget's Thesaurus Tabular Synopsis of Categories. The topmost level of this ontology divides the Thesaurus into eight Classes:

1. Abstract Relations
2. Space
3. Matter
4. Intellect: the exercise of the mind (Formation of ideas)
5. Intellect: the exercise of the mind (Communication of ideas)
6. Volition: the exercise of the will (Individual volition)
7. Volition: the exercise of the will (Social volition)
8. Emotion, religion and morality

Classes are further divided into Sections, Sub-sections, Head groups, and Heads.

| Constructor Summary |
|---------------------|
| **Category** ()     |
| Default constructor.|
| **Category**(java.lang.String filename) |
| Constructor that builds the Category object using the information contained in a file. |

| Method Summary |
|----------------|
| int **getClassCount** () |
| Returns the number of Roget's Classes in this ontology. |
| java.util.ArrayList **getClassList** () |
| Returns the array of RogetClass objects. |
| int **getHeadCount** () |
| Returns the number of Heads in this ontology. |
| int **getHeadGroupCount** () |
| Returns the number of Head groups in this ontology. |
| java.util.ArrayList **getHeadList** () |
| Returns the array of HeadInfo objects. |
| ca.site.elkb.RogetClass **getRogetClass**(int index) |
| Returns the Roget's Class at the specified position in the array of |
### Classes.

| Method                      | Description                                                                 |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| int `getSectionCount()`     | Returns the number of Sections in this ontology.                            |
| int `getSubSectionCount()`  | Returns the number of Sub-sections in this ontology.                        |
| void `printHeadInfo()`      | Prints the array of `HeadInfo` objects to the standard output.              |
| void `printRogetClass(int index)` | Prints the Roget's Class at the specified position in the array of Classes to the standard output. |
| `java.lang.String toString()` | Converts to a string representation the Category object.                   |
public class Group
extends java.lang.Object

Represents a Roget's Thesaurus Head group. For example:

79 Generality    80 Speciality

A Group can contain 1, 2 or 3 HeadInfo objects.

### Constructor Summary

| Constructor                  | Description |
|------------------------------|-------------|
| Group()                      | Default constructor. |
| Group(int start)             | Constructor that takes an integer to indicate first Head number of the Group. |

### Method Summary

| Method                           | Description |
|---------------------------------|-------------|
| void addHead(ca.site.elkb.HeadInfo head) | Add a HeadInfo object to this Group. |
| int getHeadCount()               | Returns the number of Heads in this Group. |
| java.util.ArrayList getHeadList() | Returns the array of HeadInfo objects. |
| int getHeadStart()               | Returns the number of the first Head in this Group. |
| void setHeadStart(int start)     | Sets the number of the first Head in this Group. |
| java.lang.String toString()      | Converts to a string representation the Group object. |
public class Head
extends java.lang.Object

Represents a Roget's Thesaurus Head. A Head is defined by the following attributes:

- Head number
- Head name
- Class number
- Section number
- list of paragraphs
- number of paragraphs
- number of semicolon groups
- number of words and phrases
- number of cross-references
- number of see references

The relative positions of the noun, adjective verb, adverb and interjection paragraphs in the array of paragraphs is kept by the nStart, adjStart, vbStart, advStart, and intStart attributes.
| Method | Description |
|--------|-------------|
| `int getAdjSGCount()` | Returns the number of adjective semicolon groups of this Head. |
| `int getAdjStart()` | Returns the index of the first adjective paragraph in the array of Paragraph objects of this Head. |
| `int getAdvCount()` | Returns the number of adverb word and phrases of this Head. |
| `int getAdvCRefCount()` | Returns the number of adverb cross-references of this Head. |
| `int getAdvParaCount()` | Returns the number of adverb paragraphs of this Head. |
| `int getAdvSeeCount()` | Returns the number of adverb references of this Head. |
| `int getAdvSGCount()` | Returns the number of adverb groups of this Head. |
| `int getAdvStart()` | Returns the index of the first adverb paragraph in the array of Paragraph objects of this Head. |
| `int getClassNum()` | Returns the Class number of this Head. |
| `int getCreCount()` | Returns the number of cross-references of this Head. |
| `java.lang.String getHeadName()` | Returns the name of this Head. |
| `int getHeadNum()` | Returns the number of this Head. |
| `int getIntCount()` | Returns the number of interjection word and phrases of this Head. |
| `int getIntCRefCount()` | Returns the number of interjection cross-references of this Head. |
| `int getIntParaCount()` | Returns the number of interjection paragraphs of this Head. |
| `int getIntSeeCount()` | Returns the number of interjection references of this Head. |
| `int getIntSGCount()` | Returns the number of interjection semicolon groups of this Head. |
| `int getIntStart()` | Returns the index of the first interjection paragraph in the array of Paragraph objects of this Head. |
| `int getNCnt()` | Returns the number of noun word and phrases of this Head. |
| `int getNCntCRefCount` | Returns the number of noun cross-references of this Head. |
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| Method                                      | Description                                                                 |
|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| `int getNParaCount()`                       | Returns the number of noun paragraphs of this Head.                          |
| `int getNSeeCount()`                        | Returns the number of noun see references of this Head.                     |
| `int getNSGCount()`                         | Returns the number of noun semicolon groups of this Head.                   |
| `int getNStart()`                           | Returns the index of the first noun paragraph in the array of Paragraph objects of this Head. |
| `ca.site.elkb.Paragraph getPara(int paraNum, java.lang.String pos)` | Returns the Paragraph object specified by the paragraph number and part-of-speech. |
| `ca.site.elkb.Paragraph getPara(java.lang.String paraKey, java.lang.String pos)` | Returns the Paragraph object specified by the paragraph key and part-of-speech. |
| `int getParaCount()`                        | Returns the number of paragraphs of this Head.                              |
| `int getSectionNum()`                       | Returns the Section number of this Head.                                    |
| `int getSeeCount()`                         | Returns the number of see references of this Head.                          |
| `int getSGCount()`                          | Returns the number of semicolon groups of this Head.                        |
| `int getVbCount()`                          | Returns the number of verb word and phrases of this Head.                   |
| `int getVbCRefCount()`                      | Returns the number of verb cross-references of this Head.                   |
| `int getVbParaCount()`                      | Returns the number of verb paragraphs of this Head.                         |
| `int getVbSeeCount()`                       | Returns the number of verb references of this Head.                         |
| `int getVbSGCount()`                        | Returns the number of verb groups of this Head.                             |
| `int getVbStart()`                          | Returns the index of the first verb paragraph in the array of Paragraph objects of this Head. |
| `int getWordCount()`                        | Returns the number of words of this Head.                                   |
| `void print()`                              | Prints the contents of this Head to the standard output.                    |
| `void printAllSG()`                         | Prints all the semicolon groups of this Head separated on a separate line to the standard output. |
| Method                      | Description                                                                 |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| `void printAllWords()`      | Prints all the words and phrases of this Head separated on a separate line to the standard output. |
| `void setClassNum(int num)` | Sets the Class number of this Head.                                          |
| `void setHeadName(java.lang.String name)` | Sets the name of this Head.                                               |
| `void setHeadNum(int num)`  | Sets the number of this Head.                                               |
| `void setSectionNum(int num)` | Sets the Section number of this Head.                                       |
| `java.lang.String toString()` | Converts to a string representation the Head object.                        |
public class HeadInfo
extends java.lang.Object

Object used to store the information that defines a Head but not its words and phrases. It contains the following attributes:

- Head number
- Head name
- Class number
- Section number
- Sub-section name
- Head group, defined as a list of HeadInfo objects

### Constructor Summary

| Constructor | Description |
|-------------|-------------|
| HeadInfo()  | Default constructor. |
| HeadInfo(int number, java.lang.String name, int cn, int sn, java.lang.String subName, java.util.ArrayList groupList) | Constructor which sets the Head number and name, as well as the Class and Section number, Sub-section name and Head group list. |
| HeadInfo(java.lang.String sInfo, int cn, int sn, java.lang.String subSectInfo, java.lang.String sGroupInfo) | Constructor which sets the Head number and name, as well as the Class and Section number, Sub-section name and Head group list. |

### Method Summary

| Method | Description |
|--------|-------------|
| int getClassNum() | Returns the Class number of this Head. |
| java.util.ArrayList getHeadGroup() | Returns the array of HeadGroup objects of this Head. |
| java.lang.String getHeadName() | Returns the name of this Head. |
| int getHeadNum() | Returns the number of this Head. |
| int getSectNum() | Returns the Section number of this Head. |
| java.lang.String getSubSectName() |
| Method                                      | Description                                                                 |
|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| `void setClassNum(int num)`                 | Sets the number of this Head.                                               |
| `void setHeadGroup(java.util.ArrayList group)` | Sets the array of HeadGroup objects of this Head.                           |
| `void setHeadName(java.lang.String name)`   | Sets the name of this Head.                                                 |
| `void setHeadNum(int num)`                  | Sets the number of this Head.                                               |
| `void setSectNum(int num)`                  | Sets the Section number of this Head.                                      |
| `void setSubSectName(java.lang.String name)` | Sets the Section name of this Head.                                         |
| `java.lang.String toString()`                | Converts to a string representation the HeadInfo object.                   |

Returns the Sub-section name of this Head.
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Class Index

java.lang.Object
   +---ca.site.elkb.Index

All Implemented Interfaces:
   java.io.Serializable

public class Index
   extends java.lang.Object
   implements java.io.Serializable

Represents the computer index of the words and phrases of Roget's Thesaurus. According to Kirkpatrick (1998) "The index consists of a list of items, each of which is followed by one or more references to the text. These references consist of a Head number, a keyword in italics, and a part of speech label (n. for nouns, adj. for adjectives, vb. for verbs, adv. for adverbs, and int. for interjections). The keyword is given to identify the paragraph which contains the word you have looked up; it also gives and indication of the ideas contained in that paragraph, so it can be used as a clue where a word has several meanings and therefore several references." An example of an Index Entry is:

   stork
      obstetrics 167 n.
      bird 365 n.

In this example stork is an Index Item and obstetrics 167 n. is a Reference. This Index object consists of a hashtable of Index Entries, hashed on the String value of the Index Item. For every key (Index Item) the value is a list of Reference objects. The hashtable is implemented using a HashMap.

See Also:
   Serialized Form

Constructor Summary

| Constructor | Description |
|-------------|-------------|
| Index()     | Default constructor. |
| Index(String filename) | Constructor that builds the Index object using the information contained in a file. |
| Index(String fileName, int size) | Constructor that builds the Index object using the information contained in a file and sets the initial size of the index hashtable. |

Method Summary

| Method | Description |
|--------|-------------|
| boolean containsEntry(String key) | Returns true if the specified entry is contained in this index. |
| TreeSet getEntry(String key) | Returns all references for a given word or phrase in the index. |
### java.util.ArrayList

- **getEntryList**(java.lang.String key)
  - Returns the list of references for a given word or phrase in the index.

- **getEntryList**(java.lang.String key, int itemNo)
  - Returns the list of references for a given word or phrase in the index preceded by a number to identify the reference.

### java.util.TreeSet

- **getHeadNumbers**(java.lang.String key)
  - Returns a set of head numbers in which a word or phrase can be found.

### int

- **getItemCount**()
  - Returns the number of entries in this index.

- **getItemsMapSize**()
  - Returns the number of items contained in the hash map of this index.

- **getRefCount**()
  - Returns the number of references in this index.

### java.util.ArrayList

- **getRefObjList**(java.lang.String key)
  - Returns an array of Reference objects.

- **getRefPOS**(java.lang.String key)
  - Returns a string containing the part-of-speech of the references for a given index entry.

- **getStrRef**(java.lang.String strIndex)
  - Returns a reference in String format as printed in *Roget's Thesaurus*.

- **getStrRefList**(java.lang.String key)
  - Returns a list of references in string format instead of pointers.

### int

- **getUniqRefCount**()
  - Returns the number of unique references in this index.

### void

- **printEntry**(java.lang.String key)
  - Prints the index entry along with its references to the standard output.

- **printEntry**(java.lang.String key, int itemNo)
  - Prints the index entry along with its numbered references to the standard output.
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**Class Morphy**

```java
java.lang.Object
    +--ca.site.elkb.Morphy
```

**All Implemented Interfaces:**

`java.io.Serializable`

public class **Morphy**
extends `java.lang.Object`
implements `java.io.Serializable`

Performs morphological transformations using the same rules as *WordNet*.

The following suffixal substitutions are done for:

- **nouns:**
  1. "s" -> ""
  2. "ses" -> "s"
  3. "xes" -> "x"
  4. "zes" -> "z"
  5. "ches" -> "ch"
  6. "shes" -> "sh"
  7. "men" -> "man"

- **adjectives:**
  1. "er" -> ""
  2. "est" -> ""
  3. "er" -> "e"
  4. "est" -> "e"

- **verbs:**
  1. "s" -> ""
  2. "ies" -> "y"
  3. "es" -> "e"
  4. "es" -> ""
  5. "ed" -> "e"
  6. "ed" -> ""
  7. "ing" -> "e"
  8. "ing" -> ""

The noun.exc, adj.exc, verb.exc and adv.exc exception files, located in the `$HOME/roget_elkb` directory, are searched before applying the rules of detachment.

**See Also:**

`Serialized Form`
### Field Summary

| Field Name | Type | Description |
|------------|------|-------------|
| ADJ_EXC    | String | Location of the adj.exc file. |
| ADV_EXC    | String | Location of the adv.exc file. |
| ELKB_PATH  | String | Location of the ELKB data directory. |
| NOUN_EXC   | String | Location of the noun.exc file. |
| USER_HOME  | String | Location of user's Home directory. |
| VERB_EXC   | String | Location of the verb.exc file. |

### Constructor Summary

| Constructor | Description |
|-------------|-------------|
| Morphy()    | Default constructor. |

### Method Summary

| Method Name | Return Type | Description |
|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| getBaseForm | java.util.HashSet | Reruns all the base forms for a given word. |
| main        | static void | Allows the Morphy class to be used via the command line. |
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Class Paragraph

java.lang.Object
    +--ca.site.elkb.Paragraph

public class Paragraph
    extends java.lang.Object

Represents a *Roget’s Thesaurus* Paragraph. A Paragraph is defined by the following attributes:

- Head number
- Paragraph name
- Paragraph keyword
- Part-of-speech
- list of Semicolon Groups
- number of Semicolon Groups
- number of words and phrases
- number of Cross-references
- number of See references

Constructor Summary

| Constructor                                      | Description                                      |
|-------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| Paragraph()                                    | Default constructor.                             |
| Paragraph(int head, int para, java.lang.String p) | Constructor which sets the Head number, Paragraph number and part-of-speech. |
| Paragraph(int head, int para, java.lang.String key, java.lang.String p) | Constructor which sets the Head number, Paragraph number, keyword, and part-of-speech. |

Method Summary

| Method                                      | Description                                      |
|---------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| void addSG(java.lang.String sg)            | Adds a Semicolon Group, represented as a string, to the Paragraph. |
| boolean equals(java.lang.Object anObject)  | Compares this paragraph to the specified object.  |
| java.lang.String format()                   | Converts to a string representation, similar to the printed format, the Paragraph object. |
| java.util.ArrayList getAllWordList()        | Returns all of the words and phrases in a paragraph. |
| int getRefCount()                           | Returns the number of Cross-references in this Paragraph. |
| int getHeadNum()                            |                                                  |
Returns the Head number of this Paragraph.

| java.lang.String | getParaKey() |
|------------------|--------------|
|                  | Returns the keyword of this Paragraph. |

Returns the number of this Paragraph.

| int | getParaNum() |
|-----|--------------|
|     | Returns the number of this Paragraph. |

Returns the part-of-speech of this Paragraph.

| java.lang.String | getPOS() |
|------------------|----------|
|                  | Returns the part-of-speech of this Paragraph. |

Returns the number of See references in this Paragraph.

| int | getSeeCount() |
|-----|--------------|
|     | Returns the number of See references in this Paragraph. |

Returns the Semicolon Group at the specified position in the array of Semicolon Groups.

| ca.site.elkb.SG | getSG(int index) |
|-----------------|------------------|
|                 | Returns the first Semicolon Group in this Paragraph which contains the given word. |

Returns the the first Semicolon Group in this Paragraph which contains the given word.

| int | getSGCount() |
|-----|--------------|
|     | Returns the number of Semicolon Groups in this Paragraph. |

Returns the array of Semicolon Groups of this Paragraph.

| java.util.ArrayList | getSGList() |
|--------------------|------------|
|                    | Returns the array of Semicolon Groups of this Paragraph. |

Returns the number of words in this Paragraph.

| int | getWordCount() |
|-----|--------------|
|     | Returns the number of words in this Paragraph. |

Extracts the keyword from a Semicolon Group represented as a string.

| java.lang.String | parseParaKey(java.lang.String line) |
|------------------|-----------------------------|
|                  | Extracts the keyword from a Semicolon Group represented as a string. |

Prints the contents of this Paragraph to the standard output.

| void | print() |
|------|--------|
|      | Prints the contents of this Paragraph to the standard output. |

Prints all the contents of all Semicolon Groups, including references, without any special formatting.

| void | printAllSG() |
|------|--------------|
|      | Prints all the contents of all Semicolon Groups, including references, without any special formatting. |

Prints all of the words and phrases in the Paragraph on a separate line to the standard output.

| void | printAllWords() |
|------|-----------------|
|      | Prints all of the words and phrases in the Paragraph on a separate line to the standard output. |

Sets the Head number of this Paragraph.

| void | setHeadNum(int num) |
|------|---------------------|
|      | Sets the Head number of this Paragraph. |

Sets the keyword of this Paragraph.

| void | setParaKey(java.lang.String key) |
|------|----------------------------------|
|      | Sets the keyword of this Paragraph. |

Sets the number of this Paragraph.

| void | setParaNum(int num) |
|------|---------------------|
|      | Sets the number of this Paragraph. |

Sets the part-of-speech of this Paragraph.

| void | setPOS(java.lang.String p) |
|------|-----------------------------|
|      | Sets the part-of-speech of this Paragraph. |

Converts to a string representation the Paragraph object.

| java.lang.String | toString() |
|------------------|-----------|
|                  | Converts to a string representation the Paragraph object. |
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Class Path

class Path extends java.lang.Object implements java.lang.Comparable

| All Implemented Interfaces: |
|-----------------------------|
| java.lang.Comparable         |

Represents a path in *Roget's Thesaurus* between two words or phrases.

### Constructor Summary

| Constructor                  | Description                                           |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|
| Path()                      | Default constructor.                                  |
| Path(java.util.ArrayList path) | Constructor that initialized this Path object with a Path. |

### Method Summary

| Method                                                   | Description                                           |
|----------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|
| int compareTo(java.lang.Object other)                   | Compares two paths.                                  |
| java.lang.String getKeyword1()                           | Returns the keyword of the the first word or phrase in this Path. |
| java.lang.String getKeyword2()                           | Returns the keyword of the the second word or phrase in this Path. |
| java.lang.String getPath()                               | Returns the path between the first and second word or phrase. |
| java.lang.String getPathInfo1()                          | Returns the location in the ontology of the first word or phrase in this Path. |
| java.lang.String getPathInfo2()                          | Returns the location in the ontology of the second word or phrase in this Path. |
| java.lang.String getPos1()                               | Returns the part-of-speech of the the first word or phrase in this Path. |
| java.lang.String getPos2()                               | Returns the part-of-speech of the the second word or phrase in this Path. |
| java.lang.String getWord1()                              | Returns the first word or phrase in this Path.       |
| java.lang.String getWord2()                              | Returns the second word or phrase in this Path.      |
### Appendix B: The ELKB Java Documentation

| Method          | Description                                                   |
|-----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| int length()    | Returns the number of elements in this Path.                 |
| int size()      | Returns the length in this Path.                             |
| java.lang.String toString() | Converts to a string representation the Path object. |
public class PathSet
extends java.lang.Object
implements java.lang.Comparable

A set that contains all of the paths between two words and phrases as well as the number of minimum length paths. This class is used to measure semantic distance.

The PathSet also contains the original strings before any morphological transformations of modifications of phrases These are contained in origWord1 and origWord2.

Constructor Summary

| Constructor | Description |
|-------------|-------------|
| PathSet ()  | Default constructor. |
| PathSet(java.util.TreeSet pathSet) | Constructor that initialized this PathSet object with a PathSet. |

Method Summary

| Method | Description |
|--------|-------------|
| int compareTo(java.lang.Object other) | Compares two PathSets according to the length of the shortest path. |
| java.util.TreeSet getAllPaths () | Returns all Paths in this PathSet. |
| int getMinLength () | Returns the length of the shortest Path in this PathSet. |
| int getMinPathCount () | Returns the number of minimum length Paths in this PathSet. |
| java.lang.String getOrigWord1 () | Returns the original form of the first word or phrase in this PathSet. |
| java.lang.String getOrigWord2 () | Returns the original form of the second word or phrase in this PathSet. |
| java.lang.String getPos1 () | Returns the part-of-speech of the first word or phrase in this PathSet. |
| java.lang.String getPos2 () | Returns the part-of-speech of the second word or phrase in this PathSet. |
### Java.lang.String `getWord1()`
Retrieves the first word or phrase after the morphological transformations are applied in this PathSet.

### Java.lang.String `getWord2()`
Retrieves the second word or phrase after the morphological transformations are applied in this PathSet.

### Java.lang.String `getWordPair()`
Converts to a string representation the PathSet object - used for debugging.

### Void `setOrigWord1(java.lang.String word)`
Sets the original form of the first word or phrase in this PathSet.

### Void `setOrigWord2(java.lang.String word)`
Sets the original form of the second word or phrase in this PathSet.

### Java.lang.String `toString()`
Converts to a string representation the PathSet object.
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Class Reference

java.lang.Object
| +--ca.site.elkb.Reference

All Implemented Interfaces:
java.io.Serializable

Direct Known Subclasses:
SemRel

public class Reference
extends java.lang.Object
implements java.io.Serializable

Represents a symbolic pointer to a location where a specific word or phrase can be found in Roget's Thesaurus. A reference is identified by a keyword, head number and part of speech sequence.

An example of a Reference is: obstetrics 167 n. This instance of a Reference is represented as:

- **Reference name**: obstetrics
- **Head number**: 167
- **Part-of-speech**: N.

A Reference is always liked to an index entry, for example: stork.

See Also:
Serialized Form

### Constructor Summary

| Constructor                                      |
|--------------------------------------------------|
| **Reference()** Default constructor.             |
| **Reference(java.lang.String ref)** Constructor that creates a Reference object by parsing a string. |
| **Reference(java.lang.String name, int head, java.lang.String p)** Constructor which sets the reference name, Head number and part-of-speech. |
| **Reference(java.lang.String name, int head, java.lang.String p, java.lang.String entry)** Constructor which sets the reference name, Head number, part-of-speech, and Index entry. |

### Method Summary

| Type  | Method                                      | Description                                                                 |
|-------|---------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| int   | **getHeadNum()**                            | Returns the Head number of this Reference.                                   |
| java.lang.String | **getIndexEntry()**                             | Returns the Index entry of this Reference.                                  |
Appendix B: The ELKB Java Documentation

```java
java.lang.String getPos()
   Returns the part-of-speech of this Reference.

java.lang.String getRefName()
   Returns the name of this Reference.

void print()
   Prints this Reference to the standard output.

void setHeadNum(int head)
   Sets the Head number of this Reference.

void setIndexEntry(java.lang.String entry)
   Sets the Index entry of this Reference.

void setPos(java.lang.String p)
   Sets the part-of-speech of this Reference.

void setRefName(java.lang.String name)
   Sets the name of this Reference.

java.lang.String toString()
   Converts to a string representation the Reference object.
```
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Class RogetClass

defined in package ca.site.elkb
extends java.lang.Object

public class RogetClass extends java.lang.Object

Represents the topmost element in Roget's Thesaurus Tabular Synopsis of Categories. It is represented by its number, name, subclass name if it is a subclass of an original Roget Class, and range of Sections that it contains. For example, Class 4. Intellect: the exercise of the mind (Formation of ideas) is represented as:

- **Class number**: 4
- **Class number in string format**: Class four
- **Class Name**: Intellect: the exercise of the mind
- **First section**: 16
- **Last section**: 22

### Constructor Summary

| Constructor | Description |
|-------------|-------------|
| **RogetClass()**  | Default constructor. |
| **RogetClass(int num, java.lang.String name)**  | Constructor which sets the Class number and name. |
| **RogetClass(int num, java.lang.String name, int start, int end)**  | Constructor which sets the Class number and name, as well as the first and last Section number. |
| **RogetClass(int num, java.lang.String strClassNum, java.lang.String strClassName)**  | Constructor which sets the Class number, Class number in string format and Class name, while parsing the strings for the Class number and name. |
| **RogetClass(int num, java.lang.String snum, java.lang.String name, int start, int end)**  | Constructor which sets the Class number, Class number in string format, Class name, as well as the first and last Section number. |
| **RogetClass(int num, java.lang.String snum, java.lang.String name, java.lang.String subClass)**  | Constructor which sets the Class number, Class number in string format, Class and Sub-class name. |
| **RogetClass(int num, java.lang.String snum, java.lang.String name, java.lang.String subClass, int start, int end)**  | Constructor which sets the Class number, Class number in string format, Class name, Sub-class name as well as the first and last Section number. |

### Method Summary
**void addSection(ca.site.elkb.Section section)**

Adds a Section to this RogetClass.

**java.lang.String getClassName()**

Returns the name of this RogetClass.

**int getClassName()**

Returns the number of this RogetClass.

**int getSectionEnd()**

Returns the number of the last section of this RogetClass.

**java.util.ArrayList getSectionList()**

Returns the array of Section objects in this RogetClass.

**int getSectionStart()**

Returns the number of the first section of this RogetClass.

**java.lang.String getStrClassNum()**

Returns the number of this RogetClass in string format.

**java.lang.String getSubClassName()**

Returns the Sub-class name of this RogetClass.

**int headCount()**

Returns the number of Heads of this RogetClass.

**void print()**

Prints the contents of this RogetClass to the standard output.

**int sectionCount()**

Returns the number of Sections of this RogetClass.

**void setClassName(java.lang.String name)**

Sets the name of this RogetClass.

**void setClassName(int num)**

Sets the number of this RogetClass.

**void setSectionEnd(int end)**

Sets the number of the last section of this RogetClass.

**void setSectionStart(int start)**

Sets the number of the first section of this RogetClass.

**void setStrClassNum(java.lang.String snum)**

Sets the number of this RogetClass in string format.

**void setSubClassName(java.lang.String subClass)**

Sets the Sub-class name of this RogetClass.

**java.lang.String toString()**

Converts to a string representation the RogetClass object.
java.lang.Object  
|  
+- ca.site.elkb.RogetELKB

public class RogetELKB
extends java.lang.Object

Main class of the Roget's Thesaurus Electronic Lexical KnowledgeBase. It is made up of three major components:

- the Index
- the Tabular Synopsis of Categories
- the Text

Required files:
- elkbIndex.dat: The Index in binary file format.
- rogetMap.rt: The Tabular Synopsis of Categories.
- ./heads/head*: The 990 heads
- AmBr.lst: The American to British spelling word list.
- noun.exc, adj.exc, verb.exc, adv.exc: exception lists used for the morphological transformations.

These files are found in the $HOME/roget_elkb directory.

### Field Summary

| static java.lang.String | CATEG  |
|-------------------------|--------|
| Location of the ELKB Tabular Synopsis of Categories.
| ca.site.elkb.Category | category |
| The ELKB Tabular Synopsis of Categories.
| static java.lang.String | ELKB_PATH |
| Location of the ELKB data directory.
| static java.lang.String | HEADS |
| Location of the Heads.
| ca.site.elkb.Index | index |
| The ELKB Index.
| static java.lang.String | INDEX |
| Location of the ELKB Index.
| ca.site.elkb.RogetText | text |
| The ELKB Text.
| static java.lang.String | USER_HOME |
| Location of user's Home directory.
### Constructor Summary

| Constructor | Description  |
|-------------|--------------|
| RogetELKB() | Default constructor. |

### Method Summary

| Method | Description |
|--------|-------------|
| `java.util.TreeSet getAllPaths(String word1, String word2)` | Returns all the paths between two words or phrases. |
| `java.util.TreeSet getAllPaths(String word1, String word2, String POS)` | Returns all the paths between two words or phrases of a given part-of-speech. |
| `static void main(String[] args)` | Allows the ELKB to be used via the command line. |
| `ca.site.elkb.Path path(String word1, String ref1, String word2, String ref2)` | Calculates the path between two senses of words or phrases. |
| `java.lang.String t1Relation(String word1, int headNum1, String refName1, String pos1, String word2)` | Determines the thesaural relation that exists between a specific sense of a word or phrase and another word or phrase. |
| `java.lang.String t1Relation(String word1, String word2)` | Determines the thesaural relation that exists between two words or phrases. |
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Class RogetText

java.lang.Object
 | +--ca.site.elkb.RogetText

All Implemented Interfaces:
  java.io.Serializable

public class RogetText extends java.lang.Object implements java.io.Serializable

Represents the Text of Roget's Thesaurus. The following information is maintained for the Text:

- number of Heads
- number of Paragraphs
- number of words and phrases
- number of Semicolon Groups
- number of Cross-references
- number of See references

This information is also kept for all nouns, adjectives, verbs, adverbs and interjections.

See Also:
  Serialized Form

---

Constructor Summary

| RogetText() | Default constructor. |
|-------------|

| RogetText(int capacity) | Constructor which specifies the number of Heads contained in this RogetText. |

| RogetText(int capacity, java.lang.String fileName) | Constructor that builds the RogetText object by specifying the number of Heads and using the information contained files which end with .txt. |

| RogetText(int capacity, java.lang.String fileName, java.lang.String extension) | Constructor that builds the RogetText object by specifying the number of Heads and using the information contained files which end with the given extension. |

| RogetText(java.lang.String path) | Constructor which specifies the directory in which the Heads are found. |
### Method Summary

| Method                                      | Description                                                                                                         |
|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| `void addHead(ca.site.elkb.Head headObj)`  | Adds a Head object to this RogetText.                                                                               |
| `void addHead(java.lang.String fileName)`  | Adds a Head which is contained in the specified file to this RogetText.                                              |
| `int getAdjCount()`                         | Returns the number of adjectives in this RogetText.                                                                  |
| `int getAdjCRefCount()`                     | Returns the number of adjective Cross-references in this RogetText.                                                  |
| `int getAdjParaCount()`                     | Returns the number of adjective Paragraphs in this RogetText.                                                        |
| `int getAdjSeeCount()`                      | Returns the number of adjective See references in this RogetText.                                                     |
| `int getAdjSGCount()`                       | Returns the number of adjective Semicolon Groups in this RogetText.                                                   |
| `int getAdvCount()`                         | Returns the number of adverbs in this RogetText.                                                                     |
| `int getAdvCRefCount()`                     | Returns the number of adverb Cross-references in this RogetText.                                                     |
| `int getAdvParaCount()`                     | Returns the number of adverb Paragraphs in this RogetText.                                                            |
| `int getAdvSeeCount()`                      | Returns the number of adverb See references in this RogetText.                                                        |
| `int getAdvSGCount()`                       | Returns the number of adverb Semicolon Groups in this RogetText.                                                      |
| `int getCRefCount()`                        | Returns the number of Cross-references in this RogetText.                                                             |
| `ca.site.elkb.Head getHead(int headNum)`   | Returns the Head with the specified number.                                                                          |
| `int getHeadCount()`                        | Returns the number of Heads in this RogetText.                                                                        |
| `int getIntCount()`                         | Returns the number of interjections in this RogetText.                                                                |
| `int getIntCRefCount()`                     | Returns the number of interjection Cross-references in this RogetText.                                                 |
| `int getIntParaCount()`                     | Returns the number of interjection Paragraphs in this RogetText.                                                      |
| `int getIntSeeCount()`                      | Returns the number of interjection See references in this RogetText.                                                   |
| `int getIntSGCount()`                       | Returns the number of interjection Semicolon Groups in this RogetText.                                                 |
Returns the number of nouns in this RogetText.

```java
int getNCRefCount()
    Returns the number of noun Cross-references in this RogetText.
```

Returns the number of noun Paragraphs in this RogetText.

```java
int getNParaCount()
    Returns the number of noun Paragraphs in this RogetText.
```

Returns the number of noun See references in this RogetText.

```java
int getNSeeCount()
    Returns the number of noun See references in this RogetText.
```

Returns the number of noun Semicolon Groups in this RogetText.

```java
int getNSGCount()
    Returns the number of noun Semicolon Groups in this RogetText.
```

Returns the number of Paragraphs in this RogetText.

```java
int getParaCount()
    Returns the number of Paragraphs in this RogetText.
```

Returns the number of See references in this RogetText.

```java
int getSeeCount()
    Returns the number of See references in this RogetText.
```

Returns the number of Semicolon Groups in this RogetText.

```java
int getSGCount()
    Returns the number of Semicolon Groups in this RogetText.
```

Returns the number of verbs in this RogetText.

```java
int getVbCount()
    Returns the number of verbs in this RogetText.
```

Returns the number of verb Cross-references in this RogetText.

```java
int getVbCRefCount()
    Returns the number of verb Cross-references in this RogetText.
```

Returns the number of verb Paragraphs in this RogetText.

```java
int getVbParaCount()
    Returns the number of verb Paragraphs in this RogetText.
```

Returns the number of verb See references in this RogetText.

```java
int getVbSeeCount()
    Returns the number of verb See references in this RogetText.
```

Returns the number of verb Semicolon Groups in this RogetText.

```java
int getVbSGCount()
    Returns the number of verb Semicolon Groups in this RogetText.
```

Returns the number of words and phrases in this RogetText.

```java
int getWordCount()
    Returns the number of words and phrases in this RogetText.
```

Prints the contents of a Head specified by its number to the standard output.

```java
void printHead(int headNum)
    Prints the contents of a Head specified by its number to the standard output.
```

Converts to a string representation the RogetText object.

```java
java.lang.String toString()
    Converts to a string representation the RogetText object.
```
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Class Section

 java.lang.Object
|   +-ca.site.elkb.Section

public class Section
extends java.lang.Object

Represents a Roget's Thesaurus Section. A Section is defined by the following attributes:

• Section number
• Section number in string format
• Section name
• number of the first Head
• number of the last Head
• array of Heads

A Section can contain Head or HeadInfo objects, depending on the use.

Constructor Summary

| Constructor                                                        |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Section ()**                                                     |
| Default constructor.                                               |
| **Section (int number, java.lang.String name)**                    |
| Constructor which sets the Section number and name.               |
| **Section (int number, java.lang.String name, int start, int end)**|
| Constructor which sets the Section number and name, as well as the number of the first and last Head. |
| **Section (int number, java.lang.String strNum, java.lang.String strName)** |
| Constructor which sets the Section number, name, and Section number in string format and Class name, while parsing the strings for the Section number and name. |

Method Summary

| Method                              |
|-------------------------------------|
| void addHeadInfo(ca.site.elkb.HeadInfo head) |
| Adds a HeadInfo object to this Section. |
| int getHeadEnd()                    |
| Returns the number of the last Head of this Section. |
| java.util.ArrayList getHeadInfoList() |
| Returns the array of HeadInfo objects of this Section. |
| int getHeadStart()                  |
| Returns the number of the first Head of this Section. |
| java.lang.String getSectionName()   |
| Returns the name of this Section. |
| Java Type               | Method Name               | Description                                                                 |
|------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| int                    | getSectionNum()           | Returns the number of this Section.                                         |
| java.lang.String       | getStrSectionNum()        | Returns the number of this Section in string format.                        |
| int                    | headCount()               | Returns the number of Heads in this Section.                                |
| void                   | print()                   | Prints the content of this Section to the standard output.                  |
| void                   | printHeadInfo()           | Prints the information regarding the Heads contained in this Section to the standard output. |
| void                   | setHeadEnd(int end)       | Sets the number of the last Head of this Section.                          |
| void                   | setHeadStart(int start)   | Sets the number of the first Head of this Section.                         |
| void                   | setName(java.lang.String name) | Sets the number of this Section in string format.                        |
| void                   | setSectionNum(int num)    | Sets the number of this Section.                                           |
| void                   | setStrSectionNum(java.lang.String snum) | Sets the number of this Section in string format.                       |
| java.lang.String       | toString()                | Converts to a string representation the Section object.                    |
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Class SemRel

java.lang.Object
   +---ca.site.elkb.Reference
      +---ca.site.elkb.SemRel

All Implemented Interfaces:
   java.io.Serializable

public class SemRel
   extends Reference

Represents a Roget's Thesaurus relation between a word or phrase. This can be a Cross-reference or a See reference. For example:

- See drug taking
- 646 perfect

Relation types currently used by the ELKB are cref and see.

See Also:
   Serialized Form

Constructor Summary

SemRel ()
   Default constructor.

SemRel(java.lang.String t, int headNum, java.lang.String refName)
   Constructor which sets the relation type, Head number and Reference name.

Method Summary

java.lang.String getType ()
   Returns the relation type.

void print ()
   Prints this relation to the standard output.

void setType (java.lang.String t)
   Sets the relation type.

java.lang.String toString ()
   Converts to a string representation the SemRel object.

Methods inherited from class ca.site.elkb.Reference

getHeadNum, getIndexEntry, getPos, getRefName, setHeadNum, setIndexEntry,
| setPos, setRefName |
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Class SG

generic

public class SG
extends java.lang.Object

Representes a Roget's Thesaurus Semicolon Group. For example:

• zeal, ardour, earnestness, seriousness;

A Semicolon Group is defined by the following attributes:

• Head number
• Paragraph number
• Paragraph keyword
• Part-of-speech
• Semicolon Group number
• number of Cross-references
• number of See references
• number of See references
• list of word and phrases
• list of special tags for the words and phrases
• list of references

Constructor Summary

| Constructor | Description |
|-------------|-------------|
| SG()   | Default constructor. |
| SG(int numSG, int numP, int numH, java.lang.String text, java.lang.String p) | Constructor that sets the Semicolon Group number, Paragraph number, Head number, the words and phases of the Semicolon Group and the part-of-speech. |
| SG(int num, java.lang.String text) | Constructor that sets the Semicolon Group number and the words and phases that it contains. |

Method Summary

| Method | Description |
|--------|-------------|
| void addSemRel(ca.site.elkb.SemRel rel) | Adds a relation to this Semicolon Group |
| void addWord(java.lang.String word) | Adds a word or phrase to this Semicolon Group. |
| void addWord(java.lang.String word, java.lang.String tag) | Adds a word or phrase and its style tag to this Semicolon Group. |
### java.lang.String format()
Returns this Semicolon Group formatted in a string, including references, style tags and punctuation.

### java.util.ArrayList getAllWordList()
Returns the list of words and phrases, including the references, contained in this Semicolon Group.

### int getRefCount()
Returns the number Cross-references in this Semicolon Group.

### java.lang.String getGroup()
Returns a string containing all of the words and phrases in the Semicolon Group minus the references.

### int getHeadNum()
Returns the Head number of this Semicolon Group.

### java.lang.String getOffset()
Returns a symbolic address of this Semicolon Group.

### java.util.ArrayList getOffsetList()
Returns a list of Semicolon Groups with their symbolic addresses.

### java.lang.String getParaKey()
Returns the Paragraph keyword of this Semicolon Group.

### int getParaNum()
Returns the Paragraph number of this Semicolon Group.

### java.lang.String getPOS()
Returns the part-of-speech of this Semicolon Group.

### java.lang.String getReference()
Returns a string containing only the references of this Semicolon Group.

### int getSeeCount()
Returns the number See references in this Semicolon Group.

### java.util.ArrayList getSemRelList()
Returns the list of relations of this Semicolon Group.

### int getSGNum()
Returns the number of this Semicolon Group.

### java.util.ArrayList getStyleTagList()
Returns the list of style tags of this Semicolon Group.

### int getWordCount()
Returns the number of words and phrases in this Semicolon Group.

### java.util.ArrayList getWordList()
Returns the list of words and phrases, minus the references, contained in this Semicolon Group.

### void print()
Prints this Semicolon Group to the standard output.

### void setHeadNum(int num)
Sets the Head number of this Semicolon Group.

### void setParaKey(java.lang.String key)
### Appendix B: The ELKB Java Documentation

| Method Type | Method Name | Description |
|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| void        | setParaNum(int num) | Sets the Paragraph number of this Semicolon Group. |
| void        | setPOS(java.lang.String p) | Sets the part-of-speech of this Semicolon Group. |
| void        | setSGNum(int num) | Sets the number of this Semicolon Group. |
| void        | setText(java.lang.String text) | Sets the words and phrases used in this Semicolon Group. |
| java.lang.String | toString() | Converts to a string representation the SG object. |
public class SubSection
extends java.lang.Object

Represents a *Roget's Thesaurus* Sub-section. A Sub-section may or may not exist. Here is an example:

- **Class one**: Abstract Relations
- **Section one**: Existence
- **Sub-section title**: Abstract
- **Head group**:
  - 1 Existence
  - 2 Nonexistence

Sub-sections may contain several Head groups.

**Constructor Summary**

| Constructor                                      | Description                                                                 |
|--------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| `SubSection()`                                  | Default constructor.                                                       |
| `SubSection(int start)`                         | Constructor which sets the number of the first Head.                      |
| `SubSection(int start, java.lang.String sInfo)` | Constructor which sets the number of the first Head and the name of the Section supplied as a string to be parsed. |
| `SubSection(java.lang.String sInfo)`           | Constructor which sets the name of the Section by parsing a string.        |

**Method Summary**

| Method Name | Description                                                                 |
|-------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| `void addGroup(ca.site.elkb.Group group)` | Adds a Head Group to this Sub-section.                                    |
| `int getGroupCount()`                  | Returns the number of Head groups in this Sub-section.                    |
| `java.util.ArrayList getGroupList()`   | Returns the list of Head groups in this Sub-section.                      |
| `int getHeadCount()`                   | Returns the number of Heads in this Sub-section.                          |
| `int getHeadStart()`                   | Returns the number of the first Head in this Sub-section.                 |
| `void print()`                         | Displays the content of a Sub-section in a similar way to *Roget's Thesaurus* |
| Method | Description |
|-------|-------------|
| **void** `setHeadStart(int start)` | Sets the number of the first Head in this Sub-section. |
| **java.lang.String** `toString()` | Converts to a string representation the SubSection object. |
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Class Variant

java.lang.Object
   +---ca.site.elkb.Variant

All Implemented Interfaces:
   java.io.Serializable

public class Variant
   extends java.lang.Object
   implements java.io.Serializable

Allows to obtain a variant of an English spelling. A British spelling variant can be obtained from an American spelling and vice-versa.

The default American and British word list is AmBr.lst contained in the $HOME/roget_elkb directory. It is loaded by the default constructor.

See Also:
   Serialized Form

Field Summary

| Field Type | Field Name   | Description |
|------------|--------------|-------------|
| static java.lang.String | AMBR_FILE | Location of the default American and British spelling word list. |
| static java.lang.String | ELKB_PATH | Location of the ELKB data directory. |
| static java.lang.String | USER_HOME | Location of user's Home directory. |

Constructor Summary

| Constructor | Description |
|-------------|-------------|
| Variant()   | Default constructor. |
| Variant(java.lang.String filename) | Constructor that builds the Variant object using the information contained in the specified file. |

Method Summary

| Method | Description |
|--------|-------------|
| java.lang.String amToBr(java.lang.String american) | Returns the British spelling of a word, or null if the word cannot be found. |
| java.lang.String brToAm(java.lang.String british) | Returns the American spelling of a word, or null if the word cannot be found. |
Appendix C: The *ELKB* Graphical and Command Line Interfaces

This appendix presents the graphical and command line interfaces to the *ELKB* along with their related documentation.

1 The Graphical User Interface

The Graphical User Interface (GUI) is a configurable mechanism for querying the *ELKB*. The GUI is designed to be as versatile, intuitive and informative as the printed version of the *Thesaurus*. To use it, a user supplies a word or phrase that is looked up *ELKB*’s index. The interface returns a list of references if the given word or phrase is found. The user must select one to display the paragraph in which the word or phrase is contained. An example using the word *please* is shown Figure C1.

![Figure C1: Screenshot of the GUI](image-url)
Figure C1 shows the following parts of the GUI:

- **Index Word**: the word or phrase to be looked up. By hitting Enter or the clicking on the Search Button in the Search Results box. A history of queried words and phrases is maintained by the GUI.

- **Search Button**: searches the index for the word or phrase found in the Index Word box.

- **Search Results**: the result of the search is displayed in this box, also labeled as Index Listings in Figure C1. The user clicks on the desired reference to display the matching paragraph.

- **Paragraph**: a paragraph is displayed in this text box when the user clicks on a result in the Search Results, or when the user clicks on a head in the Taxonomy Tree. The GUI displays the semicolon group containing the Index Word in bold, and references to other heads in the Thesaurus as blue underlined text. A word or phrase in the Paragraph display can be selected by holding down the left mouse button while moving the mouse. If a word or phrase is selected, a menu appears with an option to perform a query on the selected text. If a user right clicks on a reference a popup menu appears with an option to follow the link. If the user clicks on the follow link menu item, the referenced paragraph appears in the Paragraph window. When text is selected, it can be copied to the system clipboard by pressing CTRL-C.

- **Taxonomy Tree**: An alternative way to use the Thesaurus is to browse the words and phrases using the classification system. A user can expand a node in the tree by double clicking on it, or by clicking on the “+” beside the node. If a user double clicks on a head, the first paragraph of the head appears in the Paragraph window. A node collapses when the “-” beside it is clicked, hiding any sub nodes.

- **Side Bar**: This bar can be moved left or right to modify the size of the Taxonomy Tree and the other half of the GUI.

- **Previous Paragraph**: this button displays the paragraph that precedes the one currently shown in the Paragraph window as ordered in Roget’s Thesaurus.

- **Next Paragraph**: this button displays the paragraph that follows the one currently shown in the Paragraph window as ordered in Roget’s Thesaurus.

- **Part of Speech**: each paragraph belongs to a part of speech. The part of speech of the currently displayed paragraph appears in a drop down list box. A user can display all of the different parts of speech that exist in the current head by clicking the down arrow of the list box. Clicking on one of the choices displays the first paragraph of the selection in the Paragraph window.

- **Head**: The head name and number of the currently displayed paragraph is shown here.
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2 The Command Line Interface

The command line interface allows looking up a word or phrase, or calculating the distance between two words or phrases. Figure C2 shows the possible options of the interface, Figures C3 and C4 the steps for looking up the word please, and Figure C5 the distance between words God and Yahweh.

Figure C2: Screenshot of the command line interface

Figure C3: The references of the word please
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Figure C4: The paragraph of the reference 7. please 826 VB.

Figure C5: The distance between words God and Yahweh
Appendix D: The Programs Developed for the Thesis

This appendix lists the programs developed for the thesis. The programs can be classified in three categories: preparation of the lexical material for the *ELKB*, testing and use of the *ELKB* and experiments. The programs implemented for this thesis are:

- **Preparation of the lexical material:**
  - **format**: Perl program that converts the Pearson source files into a format recognizable by the *ELKB*.
  - **getHeads.pl**: Perl program that takes Pearson Text file converted by the `format` program and separates it into 990 files, one for each head.
  - **ELKBWords**: Java Program that lists all of the words and phrases found in the *ELKB* as well as their paragraph keyword, head number and part-of-speech.
  - **createIndex.pl**: Perl program that takes the output of `ELKBWords` and converts it into an Index file to be used by the *ELKB*.
  - **index2.pl**: Perl program that removes errors from the output of `createIndex.pl`.
  - **MakeBinIndex**: Java program that takes the output of `index2.pl` and transforms the Index file in a binary format to be used by the *ELKB*.

- **Testing of the *ELKB***:
  - **Driver**: Java program that tests the various methods of the *ELKB*.
  - **TestELKB**: Java program that implements the command-line interface of the *ELKB*.
  - **CERoget**: Java program that implements the graphical user interface of the *ELKB*.

- **Experiments**:
  - **Similarity**: Java program used for the experiments on semantic similarity.
  - **similarity.pl**: Perl program used for the experiments on semantic similarity.
  - **LexicalChain**: Java program that builds lexical chains using the *ELKB*.

It is enough to run most of these programs to know how they should be used. The preparation of the lexical material requires special attention. I have supplied here the documentation to perform this task.
The Preparation of the Lexical Material for use by the *ELKB*.

The *format*, *getHeads.pl*, *ELKBWords*, *createIndex.pl*, *index2.pl* and *MakeBinIndex* programs must be used in the following manner to convert the Pearson source files. This procedure will create the Text and Index files to be used by the *ELKB*.

1. Concatenate all of the *rogetXXX.txt* files supplied by Pearson Education.
2. Run the *format* script. Usage: *format* -t input_file output_file. The *format* program can be used to convert the format of the Pearson Index files by using the –i flag.
3. Run the *getHeads.pl* script on the resulting file. This creates a heads directory that contains the 990 Heads.
4. Copy the heads directory to the user’s home directory.
5. Run *ELKBWords* and re-direct the output to a file.
6. Sort the file using *sort*.
7. Remove duplicate entries using *uniq*.
8. Remove errors by hand, these include:
   - the first few hundred lines
   - lines specified by *Error:*
   - lines containing *At line:*
9. Run the *createIndex.pl* program on the resulting file.
10. Run the *index2.pl* script on the output of *createIndex.pl*.
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The text files supplied by Pearson’s Education are not easy to read, and they use their own specific codes. Even though these codes are explained in their documentation, it is preferable to use easily understood HTML-like tags. Here are the first few lines of the Text file:

#t#6Class one
#L#6Abstract Relations
#U#5Section one
#V#1Existence
#H#3[001] 1 Existence
#S#1N.
#H#3[001] 1 Existence
#S#1N.
#D#10 / /............../..../....../././../.............../
#T#6existence, #5being, entity; absolute being, the absolute 965#6divineness#5; aseity, self-existence; monad, a being, an entity, ens, essence, #1$:#5quiddity; Platonic idea, universal; subsistence 360 #6life#5; survival, eternity 115 #6perpetuity#5; preexistence 119 #6priority#5; this life 121 #6present time#5; existence in space, prevalence 189 #6presence#5; entelechy, realization, becoming, evolution 147 #6conversion#5; creation 164 #6production#5; potentiality 469 #6possibility#5; ontology, metaphysics; realism, materialism, idealism, existentialism 449 #6philosophy#5.

The *format* Perl script performs the following sixteen steps to convert the Text file:

1. Replace the #t#6 codes indicating a Class number and italics by `<classNumber>`#<i>. A closing `/</classNumber>` tag is added and the Class number is separated by #.
   
   example: #t#6Class one → `<classNumber>`#<i>`#Class one #</i>`#</classNumber>

2. Replace the #L#6 codes indicating a Class title and italics by `<classTitle>`#<i>. A closing `/</classTitle>` tag is added and the Class title is separated by #.
   
   example: #L#6Abstract Relations → `<classTitle>`#<i>`#Abstract Relations #</i>`#</classTitle>

3. Replace the #I#1 codes indicating a Sub-class title and small bold by `<subClassTitle>`#<size=-1>`#</size>`#</subClassTitle>.
   
   example: #I#14.1 Formation of ideas → `<subClassTitle>`#<size=-1>`#4.1 Formation of ideas #</size>`#</subClassTitle>
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[4] Replace the #U#5 codes indicating a Section number and roman by <sectionNumber>. A closing </sectionNumber> tag is added.
example: #U#5Section one → <sectionNumber> #Section one #</sectionNumber>

[5] Replace the #V#1 codes indicating a Section title and small bold by <sectionTitle> size=-1 > . Closing </size> tags are added.
example: #V#1Existence → <sectionTitle> #Existence #</sectionTitle>

[6] Replace the #H#3 codes indicating a Headword and large bold by <headword> #. A closing </b> tag is added and every field is separated by a #.
example: #H#3[001] 1 Existence → <headword> #[001] #1# Existence #</headword>

[7] Replace the #S#1 codes indicating the part of speech and small bold symbol by <pos> #. A closing </b> tag is added after the part of speech label.
example: #S#1N. → <pos> #N. #</pos>

[8] Replace the #D#10 / code by <br> that indicates a blank line.
example: #D#10 / → <br>

[9] Replace the #T Paragraph code by <paragraph> and a new line.
example: #T → <paragraph>

[10] Place every Semicolon Group on an individual line, label it with the <sg> tags and replace the #6 (italic) and #5 (roman) codes by <i> and </i>. Separate every word, phrase and final punctuation symbol with a comma.
example: #6existence, #5being, entity; → <sg><i>existence, </i>being, entity,;</sg>
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[11] Remove the #1$:#5 codes which appear often in the files but do not mean anything.
example: #1$:#5quiddity → quiddity

[12] Label the Cross-references, <number>#6<string>#5, with the <cref> </cref> tags.
exmaple: 360 #6life#5 → <cref>360 <i>life</i></cref>

[13] Label the See-references, (#1see #6<string>#5), with the <see> </see> tags.
exmaple: (#1see #6turmoil#5) → <see><i>turmoil</i></see>

[14] Finish the paragraph with a </paragraph> tag.

[15] Expand abbreviations when required.

[16] Additional tags (derog), (e), (tdmk), and (vulg), which respectively indicate words or phrases that are derogatory, of French origin that require a final “e” if applied to a woman, a registered trademark and vulgar, are replaced by (<derog>), (<e>), (<tdmk>), and (<vulg>).

The sample of the Pearson file after the substitutions looks like this:

```
<classNumber>#1#</classNumber>
<sectionNumber>#1#</sectionNumber>
<headword>#<b>[001] #1# Existence #</b>#</headword>
<pos>#<size=-1>#<b>#N.#</b>#</size>#</pos>
<br>
<paragraph>
<sg><i>existence, </i>being, entity,;</sg>
<sg>absolute being, the absolute, <cref>965<i>divineness</i></cref>,;<sg>
<sg>aseity, self-existence,;</sg>
<sg>monad, a being, an entity, ens, essence, quiddity,;</sg>
<sg>Platonic idea, universal,;</sg>
<sg>subsistence, <cref>360 <i>life</i></cref>,;<sg>
<sg>survival, eternity, <cref>115 <i>perpetuity</i></cref>,;<sg>
<sg>preexistence, <cref>119 <i>priority</i></cref>,;<sg>
<sg>this life, <cref>121 <i>present time</i></cref>,;<sg>
<sg>existence in space, prevalence, <cref>189 <i>presence</i></cref>,;<sg>
<sg>entelechy, realization, becoming, evolution, <cref>147<i>conversion</i></cref>,;</sg>
<sg>creation, <cref>164 <i>production</i></cref>,;<sg>
<sg>potentiality, <cref>469 <i>possibility</i></cref>,;<sg>
<sg>ontology, metaphysics,;</sg>
<sg>realism, materialism, idealism, existentialism, <cref>449 <i>philosophy</i></cref>,;</sg>
</paragraph>
```
Appendix F: Some Errors in the Pearson Source Files

This appendix lists errors that I have identified in the Pearson source files. 179 phrases where a space is missing and 26 words that are cut by a space have been found and corrected.

| File Name   | Original string     | Corrected string  |
|-------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| roget016.txt | andneedles          | and needles       |
| roget036.txt | andNicolette        | and Nicolette     |
| roget028.txt | anotherplace        | another place     |
| roget029.txt | antimissilemissile  | antimissile missile |
| roget029.txt | antitankobstacles   | antitank obstacles |
| roget029.txt | armstraffic         | arms traffic      |
| roget023.txt | artnouveau          | art nouveau       |
| roget013.txt | aslead              | a slead           |
| roget013.txt | bambooshoots        | bamboo shoots     |
| roget009.txt | becomehorizontal    | become horizontal |
| roget005.txt | beunderpopulated    | be underpopulated |
| roget021.txt | blunderhead         | blunder head      |
| roget028.txt | blunderhead         | blunder head      |
| roget026.txt | bookcollection      | book collection   |
| roget020.txt | bookwoman           | book woman        |
| roget021.txt | byallusion          | by allusion       |
| roget011.txt | caninetooth         | canine tooth      |
| roget017.txt | choirleader         | choir leader      |
| roget004.txt | cognizanceof        | cognizance of     |
| roget021.txt | commonplacebook     | commonplace book  |
| roget016.txt | deathby             | death by          |
| roget026.txt | dechoix             | de choix          |
| roget022.txt | deskwork            | desk work         |
| roget011.txt | dogpaddle           | dog paddle        |
| roget011.txt | dogsleighb         | dogsleighb        |
| roget035.txt | emptystomach        | emptystomach      |
| roget033.txt | excitedfeeling      | excited feeling   |
| roget035.txt | fearof              | fear of           |
| roget024.txt | fictionalbiography  | fictional biography |
| roget020.txt | fineadjustment      | fine adjustment   |
| roget020.txt | flatteringhope       | flattering hope   |
| roget015.txt | foodplant           | food plant        |
| roget021.txt | goldendream         | goldendream       |
| roget016.txt | gothrough           | gothrough         |
| roget036.txt | hardbitten          | hardbitten        |
| roget014.txt | hardwater           | hardwater         |
| roget020.txt | havea               | havea             |
| File Name   | Original string | Corrected string |
|------------|-----------------|------------------|
| roget040.txt | havemercy       | have mercy       |
| roget039.txt | headfor         | head for         |
| roget040.txt | hedgepriest     | hedge priest     |
| roget013.txt | icecream        | ice cream        |
| roget011.txt | inkind          | ink drop         |
| roget024.txt | inkslinger      | ink slinger      |
| roget030.txt | inlast          | in last          |
| roget020.txt | interlocutorydecr | interlocutory decree |
| roget035.txt | inwishful       | in wishful       |
| roget029.txt | inwrestling     | in wrestling     |
| roget034.txt | ladykiller      | lady killer      |
| roget039.txt | lawcourts       | law courts       |
| roget036.txt | lawhusband      | law husband      |
| roget027.txt | leadpollution   | lead pollution   |
| roget013.txt | leapfrogger     | leap flogger     |
| roget034.txt | legpull         | leg pull         |
| roget024.txt | lightreading    | light reading    |
| roget002.txt | lorryload       | lorry load       |
| roget002.txt | lorryload       | lorry load       |
| roget011.txt | lorryload       | lorry load       |
| roget021.txt | lossofreason    | loss of reason   |
| roget038.txt | lovepot         | love pot         |
| roget020.txt | makeabsolute    | make absolute    |
| roget026.txt | mechanicaladvantage | mechanical advantage |
| roget006.txt | mellowfruitfulness | mellow fruitfulness |
| roget021.txt | mentaldeficiency | mental deficiency |
| roget007.txt | mentalweakness  | mental weakness  |
| roget036.txt | mixedmarriage   | mixed marriage   |
| roget011.txt | mouseproof      | mouse proof      |
| roget013.txt | naturalfunctions | natural functions |
| roget029.txt | needlegun       | needle gun       |
| roget037.txt | ofGod           | of God           |
| roget037.txt | ofhonour        | of honour        |
| roget028.txt | ofParliament    | of Parliament    |
| roget001.txt | ofreference     | of reference     |
| roget016.txt | ofsmell         | of smell         |
| roget021.txt | ofspeaking      | of speaking      |
| roget007.txt | ofstrength      | of strength      |
| roget026.txt | ofsubstance     | of substance     |
| roget016.txt | oftorture       | of torture       |
| roget021.txt | ofunsoundmind   | of unsound mind  |
| roget040.txt | oncedelivered   | once delivered   |
| roget035.txt | one'sbreath     | one's breath     |
| File Name      | Original string | Corrected string |
|---------------|-----------------|------------------|
| roget025.txt  | one'slot        | one's lot        |
| roget033.txt  | one'smind       | one's mind       |
| roget016.txt  | one'snose       | one's nose       |
| roget030.txt  | one'spockets    | one's pockets    |
| roget032.txt  | onefor          | one for          |
| roget024.txt  | onehander       | one hander       |
| roget020.txt  | onesidedness    | one sidedness    |
| roget021.txt  | onesyllable     | one syllable     |
| roget023.txt  | onesyllable     | one syllable     |
| roget037.txt  | onlyoneself     | only oneself     |
| roget016.txt  | onthe           | on the           |
| roget008.txt  | outof            | out of           |
| roget012.txt  | paddlewheel     | paddle wheel     |
| roget016.txt  | painfulaftermath| painful aftermath |
| roget038.txt  | pamperedappetite| pampered appetite|
| roget016.txt  | pipeof          | pipe of          |
| roget016.txt  | pipetobacco     | pipe tobacco     |
| roget008.txt  | pistolshot      | pistol shot      |
| roget038.txt  | profitmaking    | profit making    |
| roget008.txt  | puddingbasin    | pudding basin    |
| roget021.txt  | puddinghead     | pudding head     |
| roget035.txt  | racialprejudice | racial prejudice |
| roget010.txt  | rainhat         | rain hat         |
| roget032.txt  | remainderman    | remainder man    |
| roget009.txt  | rubbingshoulders| rubbing shoulders|
| roget024.txt  | runthrough      | run through      |
| roget026.txt  | safeconduct     | safe conduct     |
| roget021.txt  | setbefore       | set before       |
| roget035.txt  | setdown         | set down         |
| roget020.txt  | sexprejudice    | sex prejudice    |
| roget028.txt  | shopfloor       | shop floor       |
| roget013.txt  | shortcrust      | short crust      |
| roget022.txt  | situationcomedy | situation comedy  |
| roget010.txt  | skiboots        | ski boots        |
| roget036.txt  | slangwhang      | slang whang      |
| roget005.txt  | soonafter       | soon after       |
| roget029.txt  | staffwork       | staff work       |
| roget011.txt  | stationwaggon   | station wagon    |
| roget011.txt  | swallowhole     | swallow hole     |
| roget011.txt  | swordpoint      | sword point      |
| roget029.txt  | swordstick      | sword stick      |
| roget004.txt  | systemsanalyst  | systems analyst  |
| roget026.txt  | tablemat        | table mat        |
### Appendix F: Some Errors in the Pearson Source Files

| File Name   | Original string  | Corrected string |
|-------------|------------------|------------------|
| roget036.txt| takeoffence      | take offence     |
| roget011.txt| talkdown         | talk down        |
| roget024.txt| talknineteen     | talk nineteen    |
| roget018.txt| thatyou          | that you         |
| roget016.txt| theagony         | the agony        |
| roget030.txt| theascendant     | the ascendant    |
| roget021.txt| thebend          | the bend         |
| roget040.txt| thechurch        | the church       |
| roget012.txt| theclappers      | the clappers     |
| roget022.txt| theeducationally | the educationally|
| roget018.txt| theeyes          | the eyes         |
| roget015.txt| thefallen        | the fallen       |
| roget019.txt| thehouse         | the house        |
| roget039.txt| thelaw           | the law          |
| roget015.txt| theLongKnives    | the Long Knives  |
| roget020.txt| thematter        | the matter       |
| roget030.txt| themoney         | the money        |
| roget029.txt| theoffensive     | the offensive    |
| roget039.txt| therap           | the rap          |
| roget030.txt| therose          | the rose         |
| roget013.txt| thescales        | the scales       |
| roget025.txt| thescent         | the scent        |
| roget013.txt| theshakes        | the shakes       |
| roget007.txt| thespout         | the spout        |
| roget022.txt| thetrumpets      | the trumpets     |
| roget038.txt| theup            | the up           |
| roget013.txt| theweight        | the weight       |
| roget016.txt| ticklingsensation| tickling sensation|
| roget002.txt| tieup            | tie up           |
| roget018.txt| tiger'seye       | tiger's eye      |
| roget003.txt| timeslip         | time slip        |
| roget005.txt| timeslip         | time slip        |
| roget005.txt| timewarp         | time warp        |
| roget016.txt| tobacchochewer   | tobacco chewer   |
| roget017.txt| tomtom           | tomtom           |
| roget007.txt| topcondition     | top condition    |
| roget006.txt| tothe            | to the           |
| roget007.txt| toughguy         | tough guy        |
| roget008.txt| townsperson      | towns person     |
| roget013.txt| trencherwoman    | trencher woman   |
| roget013.txt| turnthe          | turn the         |
| roget034.txt| twicetold        | twice told       |
| roget024.txt| typefoundry      | type foundry     |
## Appendix F: Some Errors in the Pearson Source Files

| File Name   | Original string | Corrected string |
|-------------|-----------------|------------------|
| roget022.txt| tyre mark       | tyre mark        |
| roget023.txt| undDrang        | und Drang        |
| roget034.txt| underone's      | under one's      |
| roget007.txt| vicelike        | vice like        |
| roget020.txt| voxpopuli       | vox populi       |
| roget015.txt| wastepipe       | waste pipe       |
| roget026.txt| wastepipe       | waste pipe       |
| roget040.txt| watchnight      | watch night      |
| roget007.txt| weakas          | weak as          |
| roget029.txt| wholehogging    | whole hogging    |
| roget031.txt| withdrawpermission | withdraw permission |
| roget009.txt| withinside      | with inside      |
| roget014.txt| withrain        | with rain        |

**Table F1:** 179 phrases where a space is missing in the Pearson source files
### Appendix F: Some Errors in the Pearson Source Files

| File Name    | Original string       | Corrected string     |
|--------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| roget030.txt | decentralizatio n     | decentralization      |
| roget007.txt | destruct ion          | destruct ion         |
| roget024.txt | editio n              | edition               |
| roget009.txt | extraterritoriali ty  | extraterritoriality  |
| roget006.txt | fatherf.              | father figure.       |
| roget013.txt | featherweig ht        | featherweight         |
| roget036.txt | glorificatio n        | glorification         |
| roget019.txt | impracticabilit y     | impracticability      |
| roget027.txt | misappropriatio n     | misappropriation      |
| roget003.txt | overfulfil ment       | overfulfilment        |
| roget026.txt | overfulfil ment       | overfulfilment        |
| roget013.txt | geographical          | geographical          |
| roget040.txt | archdeacon            | archdeacon            |
| roget010.txt | di sequilibrium        | disequilibrium        |
| roget027.txt | ince ssant            | ince ssant            |
| roget022.txt | suggestio n           | suggestion            |
| roget022.txt | suggestio n           | suggestion            |
| roget007.txt | superfecundatio n     | superfecundation      |
| roget022.txt | suppressio n          | suppression            |
| roget022.txt | suppressio n          | suppression            |
| roget021.txt | technical              | technical              |
| roget024.txt | televisi on           | television             |
| roget021.txt | telltal e             | telltale              |
| roget038.txt | unconscientiousn ess  | unconscientiousness   |
| roget019.txt | unpredictabilit y     | unpredictabilit y     |
| roget029.txt | withdr awal            | withdrawal             |

**Table F2:** 26 words and phrases with a space in the wrong place from the Pearson files
Appendix G: The 646 American and British Spelling Variations

This appendix shows the 646 American and British spelling variations used by the ELKB. It is a union of three publicly available word lists: *The American British – British American Dictionary* (Smith, 2003), *Delphion’s American/British Patent Term* (Derwent, 2001) and *XPNDAC – American and British Spelling Variations* (XPNDAC, 2003).

| **American**     | **British**     |
|-----------------|-----------------|
| abridgment      | abridgement     |
| accouterment    | accoutrement    |
| acknowledgment  | acknowledgement |
| adapter         | adaptor         |
| advertisement   | advertizement   |
| advisor         | adviser         |
| adz             | adze            |
| aerospace plane | aerospaceplane  |
| afterward       | afterwards      |
| aging           | ageing          |
| airily          | aerify          |
| airplane        | aeroplane       |
| airy            | aery            |
| alluvium        | alluvion        |
| alright         | alright         |
| aluminum        | aluminium       |
| ameba           | amoeba          |
| Americanize     | Americanise     |
| amid            | midst           |
| among           | amongst         |
| amphitheater    | amphitheatre    |
| analog          | analogue        |
| analyze         | analyse         |
| anemia          | anaemia         |
| anemic          | anaemic         |
| anesthesia      | anaesthesia     |
| aesthetic       | anaesthetic     |
| anesthetist     | anaesthetist    |
| annex           | annexe          |
| anti-aircraft   | anti-aircraft   |
| apologize       | apologise       |
| apothegm        | apophthegm      |
| appall          | appal           |
| apprise         | apprise         |
| arbor           | arbour          |

| **American**     | **British**     |
|-----------------|-----------------|
| archeology      | archaeology     |
| arder           | arduor          |
| armor           | armour          |
| armorer         | armourer        |
| armory          | armoury         |
| artifact        | artefact        |
| ashtray         | ash-tray        |
| asphalt         | asphalte        |
| ass             | arse            |
| atchoo          | atishoo         |
| ax              | axe             |
| B.S.            | B.Sc.           |
| back scratch    | backscratch     |
| backward        | backwards       |
| balk            | baulk           |
| ball gown       | ballgown        |
| baloney         | boloney         |
| baritone        | barytone        |
| bark            | barque          |
| barreled        | barelled        |
| barreled        | barreller       |
| barreling       | barelling       |
| battle-ax       | battleaxe       |
| bedeviled       | bedevilled      |
| behavior        | behaviour       |
| behavioral      | behavioural     |
| behoove         | behove          |
| belabor         | belabour        |
| bell ringer     | bellringer      |
| belly flop      | bellyflop       |
| beside          | besides         |
| bicolor         | bicolour        |
| bisulfate       | bisulphate      |
| bladder wrack   | bladderwrack    |
| book collection | bookcollection  |
| **American** | **British** |
|--------------|------------|
| bookkeeper   | book-keeper|
| boric        | boracic    |
| break dance  | breakdance |
| brier        | briar      |
| buncombe     | bunkum     |
| burden       | burthen    |
| burglarize   | burglarise |
| burned       | burnt      |
| by allusion  | byallusion |
| cachexia     | cachexy    |
| cafe         | café       |
| caliber      | calibre    |
| caliper      | calliper   |
| calipers     | callipers  |
| calisthenics | callisthenics |
| call girl    | callgirl   |
| canceled     | cancelled  |
| canceling    | cancelling |
| candor       | candour    |
| cantaloupe   | cantaloup  |
| capitalize   | capitalise |
| carburetor   | carburettor|
| carcass      | carcass    |
| caroler      | caroller   |
| caroling     | carolling  |
| cat slick    | catslick   |
| catalog      | catalogue  |
| catalyze     | catalyse   |
| categorize   | categorize |
| catsup       | ketchup    |
| caviler      | caviller   |
| cell phone   | cellphone  |
| center       | centre     |
| centerboard  | centreboard|
| centerfold   | centrefold |
| centering    | centring   |
| centerpiece  | centrepiece|
| centimeter   | centimetre |
| cesarean     | caesarean  |
| cesarian     | caesarian  |
| cesium       | caesium    |
| chamomile    | camomile   |
| channeled    | channelled |
| characterize | characterise|

| **American** | **British** |
|--------------|------------|
| check        | cheque     |
| checker      | chequer    |
| chili arch   | chillarch  |
| chili        | chilli     |
| choir stall  | choirstall |
| cigaret      | cigarette  |
| citrus       | citrous    |
| civilization | civilization |
| clamor       | claramour  |
| clangor      | clangour   |
| clarinetist  | clarinetist|
| claw back    | clawback   |
| clerestory   | clerestory |
| clever stick | cleverstick |
| cloture      | closure    |
| cogency      | coagency   |
| colonize     | colonize   |
| color        | colour     |
| conjuror     | conjurer   |
| connection   | connexion  |
| cornflower   | cornflour  |
| councilor    | councilor  |
| counseled    | counselled |
| counseling   | counseling |
| counselor    | counselor  |
| cozy         | cosy       |
| crawfish     | crayfish   |
| criticize    | criticize  |
| curb         | kerb       |
| cutlas       | cutlass    |
| czar         | tsar       |
| dark fall    | darkfall   |
| daydream     | day-dream  |
| defense      | defence    |
| deflexion    | deflexion  |
| deflexion    | deflexion  |
| demeanor     | demeanour  |
| dependent    | dependant  |
| deviled      | devilled   |
| deviling     | devilling  |
| dialog       | dialogue   |
| dialyze      | dialyse    |
| diarrhoea    | diarrhoea  |
| dieresis     | diaeresis  |
### Appendix G: The 646 American and British Spelling Variations

| American      | British          | American      | British          |
|---------------|------------------|---------------|------------------|
| discolor      | discolour        | epaulet       | epaulette        |
| disfavor      | disfavour        | epicenter     | epicentre        |
| disheveled    | dishevelled      | epilog        | epilogue         |
| disheveling   | dishevelling     | equalled      | equalled         |
| dishonor      | dishonour        | equalising    | equalising       |
| disk          | disc             | equalising    | equalising       |
| dissention    | dissension       | esophagus     | oesophagus       |
| distill       | distil           | esthete       | aesthetic        |
| disulfide     | disulphide       | epicentre     | aesthetic        |
| dolor         | dolour           | estival       | aestival         |
| donut         | doughnut         | estrogen      | oestrogen        |
| doodad         | doodah           | estrus        | oestrus          |
| doom watch    | doomwatch        | ether         | aether           |
| draft         | draught          | etiological   | aetiological     |
| draftsman     | draughtsman      | etiology      | aetiology        |
| drafty        | draughty         | eurythmy      | eurythmy         |
| dramatize     | dramatise        | fagot         | faggot           |
| dreamed       | dreamt           | fagoting      | faggoting        |
| driveling     | drivelling       | fantasize     | fantasise        |
| dryly         | drily            | favor         | favour           |
| drypoint      | dry-point        | favored       | favoured         |
| duelist       | duellist         | favorite      | favourite        |
| duelists      | duellists        | favoritism    | favouritism      |
| eager         | eagre            | fecal         | faecal           |
| ecology       | oecology         | feces         | faeces           |
| ecumenical    | oecumenical      | fervor        | fervour          |
| edema         | oedema           | fetal         | foetal           |
| edematous     | oedematous       | fete          | fete             |
| elite         | élite            | fetid         | foetid           |
| emphasize     | emphasise        | fetor         | foetor           |
| enameled      | enamelled        | fetus         | foetus           |
| enameling     | enamelling       | fiber         | fibre            |
| enamor        | enamour          | fiberboard    | fibreboard       |
| encyclopedia  | encyclopaedia    | fiberglass    | fibreglass       |
| endevor       | endevour         | flakey        | flaky            |
| enology       | oenology         | flavor        | flavour          |
| enroll        | enrol            | flavored      | flavoured        |
| enrollment    | enrolment        | floatation    | flotation        |
| enthral       | enthral          | font          | fount            |
| entre         | entrée           | forgather     | forgather        |
| enure         | inure            | forego        | forgo            |
| envelop       | envelope         | form          | forme            |
| eon           | aeon             | forward       | forwards         |
| eons          | aeons            | frog march    | frogmarch        |
|              |                  | fueled        | fuelled          |
| American     | British    |
|--------------|------------|
| fueling      | fuelling   |
| fulfill      | fulfil      |
| fulfillment  | fulfilment  |
| furor        | furore     |
| fuse         | fus          |
| galipot      | gallipot   |
| gallows bird | gallowsbird |
| gantlet      | gauntlet   |
| garrote      | garotte    |
| garroded     | garotted   |
| garroting    | garotting  |
| gasoline     | gasolene   |
| gayety       | gaiety     |
| gel          | gell       |
| genuflection | genuflexion |
| glamor       | glamour    |
| glamorize    | glamorise  |
| goiter       | goitre     |
| gonorrhea    | gonorrhoea |
| good-by      | goodbye    |
| gram         | gramme     |
| gray         | grey       |
| groveled     | grovelled  |
| groveler     | groveller  |
| groveling    | grovelling |
| grueling     | gruelling  |
| gynecology   | gynaecology|
| gypsy        | gipsy     |
| hair space   | hairspace |
| Halloween    | Hallowe'en |
| halfyard     | halliard   |
| harbor       | harbour    |
| harmonize    | harmonise  |
| have mercy   | havemercy  |
| hell hag     | hellhag    |
| hemoglobin   | haemoglobin|
| hemophilia   | haemophilia|
| hemorrhage   | haemorrhage|
| hemorrhoid   | haemorrhoid|
| hold all     | holdall    |
| homeopath    | homoeopath |
| homeostasis  | homoeostasis|
| homolog      | homologue  |
| honor        | honour     |
| hosteled     | hostelled  |
| hosteler     | hosteller  |
| hosteling    | hostelling |
| hostler      | ostler     |
| humor        | humour     |
| ill betide   | illbetide  |
| immortalize  | immortalise|
| impanel      | empanel    |
| in appetite  | inapptenance|
| in expectancy| inexpectancy|
| in wresting  | inwrestling|
| incase       | encase     |
| inclose      | enclose    |
| indorse      | endorse    |
| inflection   | inflexion  |
| inquire      | enquire    |
| inquiry      | enquiry    |
| instal       | install    |
| installment  | instalment  |
| instill      | instil     |
| insure       | ensure     |
| intern       | interne    |
| jail         | gaol       |
| jeweler      | jeweller   |
| jewelry      | jewellery  |
| jib           | gybe       |
| jimm            | jemmy    |
| Jr          | Jnr.       |
| judgment     | judgement  |
| karat        | carat      |
| kidnaped     | kidnapped  |
| kidnaper      | kidnapper  |
| kidnaping     | kidnapping |
| kilometer    | kilometre  |
| kneeled      | knelt      |
| knob stick   | knobstick  |
| know all     | knowall    |
| labeled      | labelled   |
| labor        | labour     |
| lackluster   | lacklustre |
| lady killer  | ladykiller |
| lave rock    | laverock   |
| lay stall    | laystall   |
| lead pollution | leadpollution |
| leaned       | leant      |
| American       | British      | American       | British       |
|----------------|--------------|----------------|--------------|
| leaped         | leapt        | misjudgment    | misjudgement |
| learned        | learnt       | mitre          | mitre        |
| leg pull       | legpull      | mobilize       | mobilise     |
| lemongrass     | lemon        | modeled        | modelled     |
| leukemia       | leukaemia    | modeler        | modeller     |
| leveled        | levelled     | modeling       | modelling    |
| leveler        | leveller     | mold           | mould        |
| leveler        | leveller     | molding        | moulding     |
| leveling       | levelling    | mollusk        | mollusc      |
| libeled        | libelled     | molt           | moult        |
| libeling       | libelling    | mom            | mum          |
| libelous       | libellous    | monolog        | monologue    |
| license        | licence      | motorize       | motorise     |
| licorice       | liquorice    | mum chance     | mumchance    |
| light well     | lightwell    | mustache       | moustache    |
| limp back      | limback      | naive          | naive        |
| liter          | litre        | naturalize     | naturalise   |
| logorrhea       | logorrhoea   | naught         | nought       |
| long shore     | longshore    | neighbor       | neighbour    |
| louver         | louvre       | neighborhood   | neighbourhood|
| low fellow     | lowfellow    | neighborly     | neighbourly  |
| Luster         | lustre       | neoclassical   | neo-classical|
| M.S.           | M.Sc.        | net            | nett         |
| malodor        | malodour     | night watch    | nightwatch   |
| man hour       | manhour      | nite           | night        |
| man oeuvre     | manoeuvre    | niter          | nitre        |
| maneuver       | manoeuvre    | not respect    | notrespect   |
| marshaled      | marshalled   | note paper     | notepaper    |
| marveled       | marvelled    | ocher          | ochre        |
| marveling      | marvelling   | odor           | odour        |
| marvelous      | marvellous   | offense        | offence      |
| marvelously    | marvellously | omelet         | omelette     |
| matinee        | matinée      | organize       | organise     |
| meager         | meagre       | organized      | organised    |
| medieval       | mediaeval    | orthopedics    | orthopaedics |
| mega there     | megathere    | outmaneuver    | outmanoeuvre |
| menorrhea      | menorrhoea   | paddy whack    | paddywhack   |
| mental deficiency | mentaldeficiency | pajamas     | pyjamas      |
| metaled        | metalled     | paleobotany    | palaeobotany |
| metaling       | metalling    | Paleocene      | Palaeocene   |
| meter          | metre        | paleoclimatology | palaeoclimatology |
| mill pool      | millpool     | paleogeography  | palaeogeography |
| millimeter     | millimetre   | paleography    | palaeography  |
| misbehavior    | misbehaviour | paleolithic    | palaeolithic |
| misdemeanor    | misdemeanour | paleomagnetism | palaeomagnetism |
| American       | British      | American       | British      |
|---------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|
| paleontology  | palaeontology| primeval      | primaeval    |
| Paleozoic     | Palaeozoic   | program       | programme    |
| panatela      | panatella    | programed     | programmed   |
| paneled       | panelled     | programe      | programmer   |
| paneling      | panelling    | programing    | programming  |
| panelist      | panellist    | prolog        | prologue     |
| paralyze      | paralyse     | propellent    | propellant   |
| parameterize  | parametrize  | propellosion  | propellossion|
| parlor        | parlour      | pudgy         | podgy        |
| pastel list   | pastellist   | pull through  | pullthrough   |
| pasteurized   | pasteurised  | pumelling     | pummelling   |
| pavior        | paviour      | pupilage      | puxtapuggle  |
| pean          | pean         | pygmy         | pigment      |
| peas          | pease        | quarreled     | quarrelled   |
| pedagog       | pedagogue    | quarreler     | quarreller   |
| pedagogy      | paedagog     | quarreling    | quarrelling  |
| pedaled       | pedalled     | racing prejudice | racial prejudice |
| pedaling      | pedalling    | rancor        | rancour      |
| peddler       | pedlar       | raveled       | ravelled     |
| pederast      | paederast    | realize       | realise      |
| pediatric     | paediatric   | recognizance  | recognisance |
| pediatrician  | paediatrician| recognize     | recognise    |
| pediatrics    | paediatrics  | reconnoiter   | reconnoitre  |
| pedler        | pedlar       | remodeling    | remodelling  |
| pedophile     | paedophile   | retroflection  | retroflexion |
| pedophilia    | paedophilia  | revealed      | revelled     |
| penciled      | pencilled    | reveler       | reveller     |
| penciling     | pencilling   | revealing     | revelling    |
| persnickety   | pernickety   | revery        | reverie      |
| philter       | philtre      | reviviscence  | revivescence |
| pickaninny    | piccaninny   | rigor         | rigour       |
| picket        | piquet       | rivaling      | ravelling    |
| pill winks    | pilliwinks   | role          | rle           |
| pillar box    | pillarbox    | roll mops     | rollmops     |
| pipe tobacco  | pipetobacco  | roller coaster | rollercoaster |
| pjamas        | pyjamas      | romanize      | romanise     |
| plow          | plough       | ruble         | rouble       |
| plowman       | ploughman    | rumor         | rumour       |
| plowshare     | ploughshare  | saber         | sabre        |
| polyethylene  | polythene    | safe conduct  | safeconduct  |
| popularize    | popularise   | saga more     | sagamore     |
| port fire     | portfire     | sally port    | sallyport    |
| practice      | practise     | saltier       | saltire      |
| pretense      | pretence     | saltpeter     | saltpetre    |
| pricey        | pricy        | sanitorium    | sanatorium   |
## Appendix G: The 646 American and British Spelling Variations

| American | British |
|----------|---------|
| satirize | satirise |
| savior   | saviour |
| savor    | savour  |
| savory   | savoury |
| scalawag | scallywag |
| scalp lock| scalplock |
| scepter  | sceptre |
| scimitar | scimitar |
| septicemia| septicaemia |
| sepulcher| sepulchre |
| sex prejudice| sexprejudice |
| sheep track| sheeptrack |
| shooting gallery| shootinggallery |
| shoveled | shovelled |
| show     | shew    |
| shrink pack| shrinkpack |
| shriveled| shrivelled |
| signaler | signaler |
| signaling | signalling |
| siphon   | syphon  |
| siren    | syren   |
| skeptic  | sceptic |
| skeptical| sceptical |
| skepticism| scepticism |
| skillful | skilful |
| skillfully| skilfully |
| skin flick| skinflick |
| slug     | slog    |
| slush    | slosh   |
| smelled | smelt   |
| smoke duct| smokeduct |
| smolder  | smoulder |
| snail shell| snailshell |
| snicker  | snigger |
| sniveled | snivelled |
| sniveler | sniveller |
| sniveling| snivelling |
| snow pack| snowpack |
| snowplow | snowplough |
| soft back| softback |
| somber   | sombre  |
| soy sauce| soysauce |
| specialize| specialise |
| specialty| speciality |
| specter  | spectre  |

| American | British |
|----------|---------|
| spelled  | spelt   |
| spilled  | spilt   |
| spiraling| spiralling |
| splendor | splendour |
| spoiled  | spoilt  |
| Sr       | Snr     |
| stanch   | staunch |
| standardize| standardise |
| stenosis | stegnosis |
| story    | storey  |
| stout fellow| stoutfellow |
| succor   | succour |
| suffix ion| suffixion |
| sulfate  | sulphate |
| sulfide  | sulphide |
| sulfur   | sulphur |
| sulfureted| sulphuretted |
| swallow hole| swallowhole |
| symbolize | symbolise |
| synagog  | synagogue |
| syneresis| synaeresis |
| synesthesia| synaesthesia |
| syphon   | siphon  |
| taffy    | toffee  |
| the fallen| thefallen |
| theater  | theatre |
| thralldom| thraldom |
| throw stick| throwstick |
| thru     | through |
| tidbit   | titbit  |
| tike     | tyke    |
| till ant | tillant |
| tire     | tyre    |
| tiro     | tyro    |
| titer    | titre   |
| toilet   | toilette|
| tonite   | tonight |
| toward   | towards |
| towelling | towelling |
| trammed | trammed |
| traveled | travelled |
| traveler | traveller |
| traveling| travelling |
| travelog | travelogue |
| tricolor | tricolour |
### Table G1: The 646 American and British Spelling Variations

| American       | British            |
|----------------|--------------------|
| trisulfate     | trisulphate        |
| troweled       | trowelled          |
| troweling      | trowelling         |
| tumor          | tumour             |
| tunneling      | tunnelling         |
| ultrahigh      | ultra-high         |
| ultramodern    | ultra-modern       |
| unraveled      | unravelled         |
| unraveled      | untravelled        |
| unraveling     | unravelling        |
| untrammelled   | untrammelled       |
| valor          | valour             |
| vapor          | vapour             |
| vaporize       | vaporise           |
| vaporware      | vapourware         |
| veranda        | verandah           |
| vial           | phial              |
| video pack     | videopack          |
| vigor          | vigour             |
| vise           | vice               |
| visually challenged | visuallychallenged |
| wagon          | waggon             |
| watercolor     | watercolour        |
| weed killer    | weedkiller         |
| whey face      | wheyface           |
| while          | whilst             |
| whiskey        | whisky             |
| willful        | wilful             |
| willie         | willy              |
| woolen         | woollen            |
| wooly          | woolly             |
| word stock     | wordstock          |
| worshiped      | worshipped         |
| worshiper      | worshipper         |
| worshipping    | worshipping        |
| yodeling       | yodelling          |
## Appendix H: The 980-element Stop List

This 980-element stop list is a union of five publicly-available lists: *Oracle 8 ConText, SMART, Hyperwave*, and lists from the *University of Kansas* and *Ohio State University*.

| 0   | 45   | 81  | along | b     | can   |
|-----|------|-----|-------|-------|-------|
| 1   | 46   | 82  | alpha | back  | can't  |
| 10  | 47   | 83  | already | backed | cannot |
| 11  | 48   | 84  | also   | backing | cant   |
| 12  | 49   | 85  | although | backs | caption |
| 13  | 5    | 86  | always | barely | case   |
| 14  | 50   | 87  | am     | be     | cases  |
| 15  | 51   | 88  | among  | became | cause  |
| 16  | 52   | 89  | amongst | because | causes |
| 17  | 53   | 9   | an     | become | certain |
| 18  | 54   | 90  | and    | becomes | certainly |
| 19  | 55   | 91  | another | becoming | changes |
| 20  | 56   | 92  | any    | been   | chi    |
| 21  | 57   | 93  | anybody | before | circa  |
| 22  | 58   | 94  | anyhow | beforehand | clear |
| 23  | 59   | 95  | anyone | began  | clearly |
| 24  | 6    | 96  | anything | begin | cm     |
| 25  | 60   | 97  | anyway | beginning | co     |
| 26  | 61   | 98  | anyways | behind | co.    |
| 27  | 62   | 99  | anywhere | being | com    |
| 28  | 63   | a    | apart  | beings | come   |
| 29  | 64   | a's  | appear | believe | comes  |
| 30  | 65   | able | appreciate | below | con    |
| 31  | 66   | about | appropriate | beside | concerning |
| 32  | 67   | above | are | besides | consequently |
| 33  | 68   | according | area | best | consider |
| 34  | 69   | accordingly | areas | beta | considering |
| 35  | 7    | across | aren't | better | contain |
| 36  | 70   | actually | around | between | containing |
| 37  | 71   | adj  | as | beyond | contains |
| 38  | 72   | after | aside | big | corp |
| 39  | 73   | afterwards | ask | billion | corresponding |
| 40  | 74   | again | asked | both | could |
| 41  | 75   | against | asking | brief | couldn't |
| 42  | 76   | ain't | asks | but | course |
| 43  | 77   | all | associated | by | currently |
| 44  | 78   | allow | at | c | d |
| 45  | 79   | allows | available | c'mon | db |
| 46  | 80   | alone | awfully | came | delta |
### Appendix H: The 980-element Stop List

| described   | et       | further  | he'd  | inc. | latest  |
|-------------|----------|----------|-------|------|---------|
| despite     | eta      | furthered| he'll | indeed| latter  |
| did         | etc      | furthering| he's  | indicate| latterly|
| didn't      | even     | furthermore| hello | indicated| lb     |
| didst       | evenly   | furthers | help | indicates| lbs    |
| differ      | ever     | g        | hence | inner | least   |
| different   | every    | gamma    | henceforth| inside | less   |
| differently | everybody| gave     | her   | insofar | lest    |
| do          | everyone | general  | here  | instead | let     |
| doer        | everything| generally| here's| interest| let's   |
| does        | everywhere| get     | hereafter| interested| lets   |
| doesn't     | ex       | gets    | hereby | interesting| like |
| doest       | exactly  | getting | herein| interests| liked  |
| doeth       | example  | give    | hereupon| into | likely  |
| doing       | except   | given   | hers  | inward | little  |
| don't       | f        | gives   | herself| iota | ln      |
| done        | face     | go      | hi    | is   | lo      |
| dost        | faces    | goes    | high  | isn't| long    |
| doth        | fact     | going   | higher| it   | longer  |
| down        | facts    | gone    | highest| it'd | longest |
| downed      | fairly   | good    | him   | it'll | look    |
| downs       | far      | goods   | himself| it's | looking |
| downs       | felt     | got     | his   | its  | looks   |
| downwards   | few      | gotten  | hither| itself| ltd     |
| during      | fewer    | great   | hopefully| iv | m      |
| during      | fewer    | great   | hopefully| iv | m      |
| during      | fewer    | great   | hopefully| iv | m      |
| each        | fifth    | greatest| howbeit| j  | mainly  |
| early       | fifty    | greetings| however| just | make   |
| edu         | find     | group   | hundred| k  | makes   |
| eg          | finds    | grouped | hz    | kappa| making |
| eight       | first    | grouping| i    | keep | man     |
| eighteen    | five     | groups  | i'd   | keeps| many    |
| eighty      | followed| h      | i'll  | kept | may     |
| either      | following| had    | i'm   | kg   | maybe   |
| eleven      | follows  | hadn't | i've  | km   | me      |
| else        | for      | happens | ie    | know | mean    |
| elsewhere   | former   | hardly  | if    | known| meantime|
| end         | formerly| has     | ignored| knows| meanwhile|
| ended       | forth    | hasn't | ii   | l    | member  |
| ending      | forty    | hast    | ili  | lamda| members |
| ends        | found    | hath    | immediate| large| men     |
| enough      | four     | have    | important| largely| merely |
| entirely    | fourteen| haven't| in    | last | mi      |
| epsilon     | from     | having  | inasmuch| lately| might   |
| especially  | ft       | he      | inc   | later| million |
### Appendix H: The 980-element Stop List

| mine     | noone     | ourselves | qv       | seventeen | such       |
|----------|-----------|-----------|----------|-----------|------------|
| miss     | nor       | out       | r        | seventy   | sup        |
| ml       | normally  | outside   | rather   | several   | sure       |
| mm       | not       | over      | rd       | shall     | t          |
| more     | nothing   | overall   | re       | shalt     | t's        |
| moreover | novel     | own       | really   | she       | take       |
| most     | now       | oz        | reasonably | she'd    | taken      |
| mostly   | nowhere   | p         | recent   | she'll    | taking     |
| mr       | nu        | part      | recently | she's     | tau        |
| mrs      | number    | parted    | regarding | should    | tell       |
| ms       | numbers   | particular | regardless | shouldn't | ten        |
| mu       | o         | particularly | regards  | show      | tends      |
| much     | obviously | parting   | relatively | showed    | th         |
| must     | of        | parts     | respectively | showing  | than       |
| my       | off       | per       | rho      | shows     | thank      |
| myself   | often     | perhaps   | right    | sides     | thanks     |
| mz       | oh        | phi       | room     | sigma     | thanx      |
| n        | ok        | pi        | rooms    | simply    | that       |
| name     | okay      | place     | roughly  | since     | that'll     |
| namely   | old       | placed    | s        | six       | that's      |
| nay      | older     | please    | said     | sixteen   | that've     |
| nd       | oldest    | places    | same     | sixty     | thats       |
| near     | omega     | plus      | saw      | small     | the        |
| nearly   | omicron   | point     | say      | smaller   | thee       |
| necessary | on       | pointed   | saying   | smallest  | their      |
| need     | once      | pointing  | says     | so        | theirs     |
| needed   | one       | points    | sec      | some      | them       |
| needing  | one's     | possible  | second   | somebody  | themselves |
| needs    | ones      | pre       | secondly | somehow   | then       |
| neither  | only      | present   | seconds  | someone   | thence     |
| never    | onto      | presented | secs     | something | there      |
| nevertheless | open   | presenting | see       | sometime  | there'd     |
| new      | opened    | presents  | seeing   | sometimes | there'll    |
| newer    | opens     | presumably | seem     | somewhat  | there're    |
| newest   | or        | pro       | seemed   | somewhere | there's     |
| next     | order     | probably  | seeming  | soon      | there've    |
| nine     | ordered   | problem   | seems    | sorry     | thereafter  |
| nineteen | ordering  | problems  | seen     | specified | thereby    |
| ninety   | orders    | provides  | self     | specify   | therefore   |
| Nm       | other     | psi       | selves   | specifying | therein    |
| No       | others    | put       | sensible | state     | thereof    |
| nobody   | otherwise | puts      | sent     | states    | thereon    |
| non      | ought     | q         | serious  | still     | theres     |
| none     | our       | que       | seriously | stop      | thereupon  |
| nonetheless | ours  | quite     | seven    | sub       | these      |
### Appendix H: The 980-element Stop List

| Element       | Example Usage                  |
|---------------|--------------------------------|
| theta         | turning                        |
| they          | turns                           |
| they'd        | twelve                         |
| they'll       | twenty                         |
| they're       | twice                          |
| they've       | two                            |
| thine         | u                              |
| thing         | un                             |
| things        | under                          |
| think         | unfortunately                  |
| thinks        | unless                         |
| third         | unlike                         |
| thirteen      | unlikely                       |
| thirty        | until                          |
| this          | unto                           |
| thorough      | up                             |
| thoroughly    | upon                           |
| those         | upsilon                        |
| thou          | us                             |
| though        | use                            |
| thought       | used                           |
| thoughts      | useful                         |
| thousand      | uses                           |
| three         | using                          |
| through       | usually                        |
| throughout    | uucp                           |
| thru          | v                              |
| thus          | value                          |
| thy           | various                        |
| thyself       | very                           |
| to            | vi                             |
| today         | via                            |
| together      | vii                            |
| too           | vii                            |
| took          | viz                            |
| toward        | vs                             |
| towards       | w                              |
| tried         | want                           |
| tries         | wanted                         |
| trillion      | wanting                        |
| truly         | wants                          |
| try           | was                            |
| trying        | wasn't                         |
| turn          | way                            |
| turned        | ways                           |
|              | whole                          |
|              | whom                           |
|              | whomsoever                      |
|              | whose                          |
|              | whoso                          |
|              | whosoever                      |
|              | you                            |
|              | you'd                           |
|              | why                            |
|              | you'll                          |
|              | will                           |
|              | you're                          |
|              | were                           |
|              | willing                        |
|              | you've                          |
|              | weren't                        |
|              | wish                           |
|              | younger                        |
|              | within                         |
|              | youngest                       |
|              | without                        |
|              | your                           |
|              | won't                          |
|              | yours                          |
|              | whatever                      |
|              | wonder                          |
|              | yourselves                     |
|              | when                           |
|              | worked                         |
|              | z                              |
|              | whence                         |
|              | working                        |
|              | zero                           |
|              | whenever                      |
|              | works                          |
|              | zeta                           |
|              | where                          |
|              | wouldn't                       |
|              | where's                         |
|              | x                              |
|              | whereafter                      |
|              | xi                             |
|              | whereas                        |
|              | xii                            |
|              | whereby                       |
|              | xiii                           |
|              | therefore                      |
|              | xiv                            |
|              | wherein                        |
|              | xix                            |
|              | whereinto                       |
|              | xv                             |
|              | whereof                        |
|              | xvi                            |
|              | whereon                        |
|              | xvii                           |
|              | wheresoever                     |
|              | xviii                          |
|              | whereupon                      |
|              | xx                             |
|              | wherever                      |
|              | xxi                            |
|              | wherewith                      |
|              | xxii                           |
|              | whether                        |
|              | xiii                           |
|              | which                          |
|              | xxiv                           |
|              | while                          |
|              | xxix                           |
|              | whilst                         |
|              | xxv                            |
|              | whither                        |
|              | xxvi                           |
|              | who                            |
|              | xxvii                          |
|              | who'd                          |
|              | xxviii                         |
|              | who'll                          |
|              | y                              |
|              | who's                          |
|              | yd                             |
|              | whoever                        |
|              | ye                             |
Appendix I: The Rubenstein and Goodenough 65 Noun Pairs

This appendix contains the Rubenstein and Goodenough (1965) 65 noun pairs and the semantic similarity scores for the ELKB as well as the WordNet-based measures. They are correlated to Rubenstein and Goodenough’s results.

| Noun Pair          | Rubenstein Goodenough | WordNet Edges | Hirst St.Onge | Jiang Conrath | Leacock Chodorow | Lin | Resnik |
|--------------------|-----------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|------------------|-----|--------|
| gem – jewel        | 3.940                 | 16.000        | 30.000        | 16.000        | 1.000            | 3.466 | 1.000  | 12.886 |
| midday – noon      | 3.940                 | 16.000        | 30.000        | 16.000        | 1.000            | 3.466 | 1.000  | 10.584 |
| automobile – car   | 3.920                 | 16.000        | 30.000        | 16.000        | 1.000            | 3.466 | 1.000  | 6.340  |
| cemetery – graveyard | 3.880               | 16.000        | 30.000        | 16.000        | 1.000            | 3.466 | 1.000  | 10.689 |
| cushion – pillow   | 3.840                 | 16.000        | 29.000        | 4.000         | 0.662            | 2.773 | 0.975  | 9.891  |
| boy – lad          | 3.820                 | 16.000        | 29.000        | 5.000         | 0.231            | 2.773 | 0.824  | 7.769  |
| cock – rooster     | 3.680                 | 16.000        | 30.000        | 16.000        | 1.000            | 3.466 | 1.000  | 11.277 |
| implement – tool   | 3.660                 | 16.000        | 29.000        | 4.000         | 0.546            | 2.773 | 0.935  | 5.998  |
| forest – woodland  | 3.650                 | 14.000        | 30.000        | 16.000        | 1.000            | 3.466 | 1.000  | 10.114 |
| coast – shore      | 3.600                 | 16.000        | 29.000        | 4.000         | 0.647            | 2.773 | 0.971  | 8.974  |
| autograph – signature | 3.590               | 16.000        | 29.000        | 4.000         | 0.325            | 2.773 | 0.912  | 10.807 |
| journey – voyage   | 3.580                 | 16.000        | 29.000        | 4.000         | 0.169            | 2.773 | 0.699  | 6.057  |
| serf – slave       | 3.460                 | 16.000        | 27.000        | 5.000         | 0.261            | 2.079 | 0.869  | 9.360  |
| grin – smile       | 3.460                 | 16.000        | 30.000        | 16.000        | 1.000            | 3.466 | 1.000  | 9.198  |
| glass – tumbler     | 3.450                 | 16.000        | 29.000        | 6.000         | 0.267            | 2.773 | 0.873  | 9.453  |
| cord – string      | 3.410                 | 16.000        | 29.000        | 6.000         | 0.297            | 2.773 | 0.874  | 8.214  |
| hill – mound        | 3.290                 | 12.000        | 30.000        | 16.000        | 1.000            | 3.466 | 1.000  | 11.095 |
| magician – wizard  | 3.210                 | 14.000        | 30.000        | 16.000        | 1.000            | 3.466 | 1.000  | 9.708  |
| furnace – stove    | 3.110                 | 14.000        | 23.000        | 5.000         | 0.060            | 1.386 | 0.238  | 2.426  |
| asylum – madhouse  | 3.040                 | 16.000        | 29.000        | 4.000         | 0.662            | 2.773 | 0.978  | 11.277 |
| brother – monk     | 2.740                 | 14.000        | 29.000        | 4.000         | 0.294            | 2.773 | 0.897  | 10.489 |
| food – fruit       | 2.690                 | 12.000        | 23.000        | 0.000         | 0.088            | 1.386 | 0.119  | 0.699  |
| bird – cock        | 2.630                 | 12.000        | 29.000        | 6.000         | 0.159            | 2.773 | 0.693  | 5.980  |
| bird – crane       | 2.630                 | 14.000        | 27.000        | 5.000         | 0.139            | 2.079 | 0.658  | 5.980  |
| oracle – sage      | 2.610                 | 16.000        | 23.000        | 0.000         | 0.057            | 1.386 | 0.226  | 2.455  |
| sage – wizard      | 2.460                 | 14.000        | 25.000        | 2.000         | 0.060            | 1.674 | 0.236  | 2.455  |
| brother – lad      | 2.410                 | 14.000        | 26.000        | 3.000         | 0.071            | 1.856 | 0.273  | 2.455  |
| crane – implement  | 2.370                 | 0.000         | 26.000        | 3.000         | 0.086            | 1.856 | 0.394  | 3.443  |
| magician – oracle  | 1.820                 | 6.000         | 28.000        | 6.000         | 0.533            | 2.367 | 0.957  | 9.708  |
| glass – jewel      | 1.780                 | 12.000        | 24.000        | 2.000         | 0.064            | 1.520 | 0.249  | 2.426  |
| cemetery – mound   | 1.690                 | 0.000         | 20.000        | 0.000         | 0.065            | 1.068 | 0.076  | 0.699  |
| car – journey      | 1.550                 | 12.000        | 17.000        | 0.000         | 0.075            | 0.827 | 0.000  | 0.000  |
| hill – woodland    | 1.480                 | 0.000         | 25.000        | 2.000         | 0.060            | 1.674 | 0.132  | 1.183  |
| crane – rooster    | 1.410                 | 12.000        | 23.000        | 0.000         | 0.080            | 1.386 | 0.510  | 5.980  |
| furnace – implement | 1.370                | 6.000         | 25.000        | 2.000         | 0.081            | 1.674 | 0.299  | 2.426  |
## Appendix I: The Rubenstein and Goodenough 65 Noun Pairs

| Noun Pair         | Rubenstein Goodenough | ELKB | WordNet Edges | Hirst St.Onge | Jiang Conrath | Leacock Chodorow | Lin | Resnik |
|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------|---------------|--------------|------------------|-----|--------|
| coast – hill       | 1.260                 | 4.000| 26.000        | 2.000         | 0.148        | 1.856            | 0.689| 6.378  |
| bird – woodland    | 1.240                 | 8.000| 22.000        | 0.000         | 0.068        | 1.269            | 0.147| 1.183  |
| shore – voyage     | 1.220                 | 2.000| 18.000        | 0.000         | 0.049        | 0.901            | 0.000| 0.000  |
| cemetery – woodland| 1.180                 | 6.000| 21.000        | 0.000         | 0.049        | 1.163            | 0.067| 0.699  |
| food – rooster     | 1.090                 | 6.000| 17.000        | 0.000         | 0.063        | 0.827            | 0.086| 0.699  |
| forest – graveyard | 1.000                 | 6.000| 21.000        | 0.000         | 0.050        | 1.163            | 0.067| 0.699  |
| lad – wizard       | 0.990                 | 4.000| 26.000        | 3.000         | 0.068        | 1.856            | 0.265| 2.455  |
| mound – shore      | 0.970                 | 6.000| 26.000        | 3.000         | 0.126        | 1.856            | 0.649| 6.378  |
| automobile – cushion| 0.970              | 4.000| 23.000        | 3.000         | 0.084        | 1.386            | 0.386| 3.443  |
| boy – sage         | 0.960                 | 8.000| 25.000        | 2.000         | 0.067        | 1.674            | 0.260| 2.455  |
| monk – oracle      | 0.910                 | 12.000| 23.000       | 0.000         | 0.058        | 1.386            | 0.233| 2.455  |
| shore – woodland   | 0.900                 | 2.000| 25.000        | 2.000         | 0.056        | 1.674            | 0.124| 1.183  |
| grin – lad         | 0.880                 | 6.000| 17.000        | 0.000         | 0.053        | 0.827            | 0.000| 0.000  |
| coast – forest     | 0.850                 | 6.000| 24.000        | 0.000         | 0.055        | 1.520            | 0.121| 1.183  |
| asylum – cemetery  | 0.790                 | 0.000| 19.000        | 0.000         | 0.046        | 0.981            | 0.064| 0.699  |
| monk – slave       | 0.570                 | 6.000| 26.000        | 3.000         | 0.063        | 1.856            | 0.247| 2.455  |
| cushion – jewel    | 0.450                 | 6.000| 24.000        | 0.000         | 0.062        | 1.520            | 0.243| 2.426  |
| boy – rooster      | 0.440                 | 12.000| 19.000       | 0.000         | 0.064        | 0.981            | 0.228| 2.171  |
| glass – magician   | 0.440                 | 2.000| 23.000        | 0.000         | 0.056        | 1.386            | 0.123| 1.183  |
| graveyard – madhouse| 0.420             | 4.000| 16.000        | 0.000         | 0.045        | 0.758            | 0.062| 0.699  |
| asylum – monk      | 0.390                 | 0.000| 20.000        | 0.000         | 0.049        | 1.068            | 0.109| 1.183  |
| asylum – fruit     | 0.190                 | 6.000| 24.000        | 0.000         | 0.060        | 1.520            | 0.215| 2.426  |
| grin – implement   | 0.180                 | 0.000| 17.000        | 0.000         | 0.062        | 0.827            | 0.000| 0.000  |
| mound – stove      | 0.140                 | 6.000| 24.000        | 2.000         | 0.071        | 1.520            | 0.296| 3.443  |
| automobile – wizard| 0.110                 | 0.000| 19.000        | 0.000         | 0.068        | 0.981            | 0.147| 1.183  |
| autograph – shore  | 0.060                 | 0.000| 18.000        | 0.000         | 0.047        | 0.901            | 0.000| 0.000  |
| fruit – furnace    | 0.050                 | 12.000| 24.000       | 0.000         | 0.064        | 1.520            | 0.225| 2.426  |
| noon – string      | 0.040                 | 6.000| 19.000        | 0.000         | 0.052        | 0.981            | 0.000| 0.000  |
| rooster – voyage   | 0.040                 | 2.000| 11.000        | 0.000         | 0.044        | 0.470            | 0.000| 0.000  |
| cord – smile       | 0.020                 | 0.000| 18.000        | 0.000         | 0.054        | 0.901            | 0.165| 1.821  |
| Correlation        | 1.000                 | 0.818| 0.787         | 0.732         | 0.731        | 0.852            | 0.834| 0.800  |

**Table I:** Comparison of semantic similarity measures using the Rubenstein and Goodenough data
Appendix J: The WordSimilarity-353 Test Collection

This appendix presents The WordSimilarity-353 Test Collection (Finkelstein et al., 2002; Gabrilovich 2002) and the semantic similarity scores for the ELKB as well as the WordNet-based measures. They are correlated to Finkelstein et al.’s results.

| Word Pair          | Gabr. | ELKB | WN Edges | Hirst St.O. | Jiang Con. | Lea. Chod. | Lin | Res. |
|--------------------|-------|------|----------|-------------|------------|------------|-----|------|
| tiger – tiger      | 10.00 | 16.00| 30.00    | 24.00       | 1.00       | 3.47       | 1.00| 12.18|
| fuck – sex         | 9.44  | 28.00| 3.00     | 0.18        | 2.37       | 0.78       | 8.27|
| journey – voyage   | 9.29  | 16.00| 29.00    | 4.00        | 0.17       | 2.77       | 0.70| 6.05 |
| midnight – noon    | 9.29  | 16.00| 30.00    | 16.00       | 1.00       | 3.47       | 1.00| 10.57|
| dollar – buck      | 9.22  | 16.00| 30.00    | 16.00       | 1.00       | 3.47       | 1.00| 10.31|
| money – cash       | 9.15  | 16.00| 28.00    | 5.00        | 0.19       | 2.37       | 0.74| 7.14 |
| coast – shore      | 9.10  | 16.00| 29.00    | 4.00        | 0.65       | 2.77       | 0.97| 8.96 |
| money – cash       | 9.08  | 16.00| 28.00    | 5.00        | 0.19       | 2.37       | 0.74| 7.14 |
| money – currency   | 9.04  | 16.00| 29.00    | 5.00        | 0.41       | 2.77       | 0.90| 7.14 |
| football – soccer  | 9.03  | 16.00| 29.00    | 4.00        | 0.27       | 2.77       | 0.88| 10.17|
| magician – wizard  | 9.02  | 14.00| 30.00    | 16.00       | 1.00       | 3.47       | 1.00| 9.70 |
| type – kind        | 8.97  | 16.00| 29.00    | 4.00        | 0.62       | 2.77       | 0.95| 5.60 |
| gem – jewel        | 8.96  | 16.00| 30.00    | 16.00       | 1.00       | 3.47       | 1.00| 12.87|
| car – automobile   | 8.94  | 16.00| 30.00    | 16.00       | 1.00       | 3.47       | 1.00| 6.33 |
| street – avenue    | 8.88  | 16.00| 29.00    | 4.00        | 0.21       | 2.77       | 0.81| 8.09 |
| asylum – madhouse  | 8.87  | 14.00| 29.00    | 4.00        | 0.66       | 2.77       | 0.98| 11.26|
| boy – lad          | 8.83  | 16.00| 29.00    | 5.00        | 0.23       | 2.77       | 0.82| 7.76 |
| environment – ecology | 8.81 | 14.00| 29.00    | 4.00        | 0.17       | 2.77       | 0.74| 7.14 |
| furnace – stove    | 8.79  | 14.00| 23.00    | 5.00        | 0.06       | 1.39       | 0.24| 2.45 |
| seafood – lobster  | 8.70  | 16.00| 28.00    | 5.00        | 0.24       | 2.37       | 0.84| 8.08 |
| mile – kilometer   | 8.66  | 14.00| 27.00    | 4.00        | 0.10       | 2.08       | 0.55| 5.34 |
| Maradona – football| 8.62  |      |          |             |            |            |     |      |
| OPEC – oil         | 8.59  | 4.00 | 17.00    | 0.00        | 0.05       | 0.83       | 0.00| 0.00 |
| king – queen       | 8.58  | 16.00| 28.00    | 5.00        | 0.27       | 2.37       | 0.89| 11.49|
| murder – manslaughter | 8.53 | 14.00| 28.00    | 5.00        | 0.17       | 2.37       | 0.76| 7.84 |
| money – bank       | 8.50  | 16.00| 24.00    | 0.00        | 0.10       | 1.52       | 0.47| 4.11 |
| computer – software| 8.50  | 14.00| 16.00    | 0.00        | 0.06       | 0.76       | 0.00| 0.00 |
| Jerusalem – Israel | 8.46  |      | 20.00    | 4.00        | 0.06       | 1.07       | 0.31| 3.71 |
| vodka – gin        | 8.46  | 14.00| 28.00    | 5.00        | 0.12       | 2.37       | 0.70| 8.43 |
| planet – star      | 8.45  | 14.00| 28.00    | 5.00        | 0.35       | 2.37       | 0.88| 6.84 |
| calculation – computation | 8.44 | 16.00| 30.00    | 16.00       | 1.00       | 3.47       | 1.00| 8.88 |
| money – dollar     | 8.42  | 16.00| 26.00    | 3.00        | 0.18       | 1.86       | 0.73| 7.14 |
| law – lawyer       | 8.38  | 12.00| 21.00    | 0.00        | 0.06       | 1.16       | 0.00| 0.00 |
| championship – tournament | 8.36 | 6.00 | 22.00    | 0.00        | 0.04       | 1.27       | 0.00| 0.00 |
| seafood – food     | 8.34  | 14.00| 29.00    | 16.00       | 0.29       | 2.77       | 0.83| 5.69 |
| weather – forecast | 8.34  | 14.00| 17.00    | 0.00        | 0.05       | 0.83       | 0.00| 0.00 |
| FBI – investigation| 8.31  | 14.00| 19.00    | 0.00        | 0.05       | 0.98       | 0.00| 0.00 |
| network – hardware | 8.31  | 6.00 | 27.00    | 4.00        | 0.06       | 2.08       | 0.32| 3.44 |
| nature – environment | 8.31 | 4.00 | 24.00    | 0.00        | 0.06       | 1.52       | 0.07| 0.71 |
## Appendix J: The WordSimilarity-353 Test Collection

| Word Pair          | Gabr. | ELKB | WN Edges | Hirst St.O. | Jiang Con. | Lea. Chod. | Lin | Res. |
|--------------------|-------|------|----------|-------------|------------|------------|-----|------|
| man – woman        | 8.30  | 16.00| 27.00    | 4.00        | 0.13       | 2.08       | 0.59| 4.81 |
| money – wealth     | 8.27  | 16.00| 29.00    | 4.00        | 0.96       | 2.77       | 1.00| 8.87 |
| psychology – Freud | 8.21  | 12.00| 0.00     | 0.04        | 0.52       | 0.00       | 0.00| 0.00 |
| news – report      | 8.16  | 16.00| 29.00    | 5.00        | 0.83       | 2.77       | 0.99| 6.99 |
| vodka – brandy     | 8.13  | 14.00| 28.00    | 5.00        | 0.14       | 2.37       | 0.73| 8.43 |
| war – troops       | 8.13  | 12.00| 22.00    | 0.00        | 0.06       | 1.27       | 0.00| 0.00 |
| Harvard – Yale     | 8.13  | 28.00| 5.00     | 0.17        | 2.37       | 0.79       | 10.17|      |
| bank – money       | 8.12  | 16.00| 24.00    | 0.00        | 0.10       | 1.52       | 0.47| 4.11 |
| physics – proton   | 8.12  | 12.00| 13.00    | 0.00        | 0.05       | 0.58       | 0.00| 0.00 |
| planet – galaxy    | 8.11  | 12.00| 23.00    | 4.00        | 0.05       | 1.39       | 0.17| 2.17 |
| stock – market     | 8.08  | 16.00| 24.00    | 4.00        | 0.10       | 1.52       | 0.40| 3.04 |
| psychology – psychiatry | 8.08  | 16.00| 24.00    | 2.00        | 0.11       | 1.52       | 0.62| 6.51 |
| planet – moon      | 8.08  | 16.00| 27.00    | 4.00        | 0.25       | 2.08       | 0.82| 6.84 |
| planet – constellation | 8.06  | 12.00| 27.00    | 4.00        | 0.13       | 2.08       | 0.57| 4.50 |
| credit – card      | 8.06  | 16.00| 25.00    | 2.00        | 0.07       | 1.67       | 0.37| 4.41 |
| hotel – reservation| 8.03  | 6.00 | 20.00    | 0.00        | 0.05       | 1.07       | 0.07| 0.71 |
| planet – sun       | 8.02  | 12.00| 27.00    | 4.00        | 0.28       | 2.08       | 0.84| 6.84 |
| tiger – jaguar     | 8.00  | 16.00| 28.00    | 5.00        | 0.21       | 2.37       | 0.84| 9.74 |
| tiger – feline     | 8.00  | 14.00| 28.00    | 6.00        | 0.25       | 2.37       | 0.85| 8.41 |
| closet – clothes   | 8.00  | 12.00| 24.00    | 0.00        | 0.08       | 1.52       | 0.31| 2.45 |
| planet – astronomer| 7.94  | 12.00| 24.00    | 0.00        | 0.06       | 1.52       | 0.23| 2.45 |
| soap – opera       | 7.94  | 16.00| 20.00    | 0.00        | 0.06       | 1.07       | 0.20| 1.96 |
| movie – theater    | 7.92  | 16.00| 23.00    | 0.00        | 0.06       | 1.39       | 0.00| 0.00 |
| planet – space     | 7.92  | 12.00| 23.00    | 3.00        | 0.07       | 1.39       | 0.19| 1.96 |
| treatment – recovery| 7.91  | 6.00 | 24.00    | 0.00        | 0.08       | 1.52       | 0.28| 2.25 |
| liquid – water     | 7.89  | 16.00| 29.00    | 6.00        | 0.99       | 2.77       | 1.00| 6.19 |
| life – death       | 7.88  | 16.00| 28.00    | 5.00        | 0.23       | 2.37       | 0.81| 6.95 |
| baby – mother      | 7.85  | 14.00| 26.00    | 3.00        | 0.22       | 1.86       | 0.76| 6.01 |
| aluminum – metal   | 7.83  | 29.00| 4.00     | 0.21        | 2.77       | 0.79       | 7.09|      |
| cell – phone       | 7.81  | 6.00 | 26.00    | 3.00        | 0.13       | 1.86       | 0.68| 7.21 |
| lobster – food     | 7.81  | 14.00| 27.00    | 3.00        | 0.15       | 2.08       | 0.67| 5.69 |
| dollar – yen       | 7.78  | 14.00| 27.00    | 3.00        | 0.11       | 2.08       | 0.63| 6.85 |
| wood – forest      | 7.73  | 14.00| 30.00    | 16.00       | 1.00       | 3.47       | 1.00| 8.28 |
| money – deposit    | 7.73  | 16.00| 28.00    | 6.00        | 0.16       | 2.37       | 0.72| 6.82 |
| television – film  | 7.72  | 16.00| 26.00    | 3.00        | 0.22       | 1.86       | 0.80| 7.23 |
| psychology – mind  | 7.69  | 16.00| 24.00    | 0.00        | 0.09       | 1.52       | 0.41| 3.39 |
| game – team        | 7.69  | 12.00| 23.00    | 0.00        | 0.07       | 1.39       | 0.00| 0.00 |
| admission – ticket | 7.69  | 16.00| 22.00    | 0.00        | 0.06       | 1.27       | 0.27| 2.88 |
| Jerusalem – Palestinian | 7.65  |     | 16.00    | 0.00        | 0.04       | 0.76       | 0.06| 0.71 |
| Arafat – terror    | 7.65  |     |         |             |            |            |      |      |
| dividend – payment | 7.63  | 14.00| 28.00    | 6.00        | 0.15       | 2.37       | 0.71| 7.09 |
| profit – loss      | 7.63  | 14.00| 25.00    | 3.00        | 0.33       | 1.67       | 0.86| 6.54 |
| computer – keyboard| 7.62  | 14.00| 27.00    | 2.00        | 0.08       | 2.08       | 0.43| 4.29 |
| boxing – round     | 7.61  | 14.00| 24.00    | 0.00        | 0.13       | 1.52       | 0.67| 6.85 |
| century – year     | 7.59  | 14.00| 28.00    | 4.00        | 0.13       | 2.37       | 0.52| 3.72 |
| rock – jazz        | 7.59  | 16.00| 28.00    | 5.00        | 0.17       | 2.37       | 0.78| 8.67 |
| computer – internet| 7.58  | 23.00| 5.00     | 0.06        | 1.39       | 0.31       | 3.44|      |
| Word Pair                  | Gabr. | ELKB | WN Edges | Hirst St.O. | Jiang Con. | Lea. Chod. | Lin | Res. |
|---------------------------|-------|------|----------|-------------|------------|------------|-----|------|
| money – property          | 7.57  | 14.00 | 28.00    | 6.00        | 0.34       | 2.37       | 0.88| 6.96 |
| tennis – racket           | 7.56  | 6.00  | 22.00    | 0.00        | 0.06       | 1.27       | 0.27| 3.12 |
| announcement – news       | 7.56  | 14.00 | 26.00    | 3.00        | 0.10       | 1.86       | 0.47| 3.84 |
| canyon – landscape        | 7.53  | 6.00  | 19.00    | 0.00        | 0.05       | 0.98       | 0.17| 1.96 |
| day – dawn                | 7.53  | 14.00 | 28.00    | 5.00        | 0.08       | 2.37       | 0.38| 3.72 |
| food – fruit              | 7.52  | 12.00 | 24.00    | 0.00        | 0.11       | 1.52       | 0.33| 1.96 |
| telephone – communication | 7.50  | 14.00 | 21.00    | 0.00        | 0.08       | 1.16       | 0.00| 0.00 |
| currency – market         | 7.50  | 14.00 | 23.00    | 0.00        | 0.06       | 1.39       | 0.00| 0.00 |
| psychology – cognition    | 7.48  | 12.00 | 25.00    | 3.00        | 0.13       | 1.67       | 0.46| 2.89 |
| Marathon – sprint         | 7.47  | 14.00 | 20.00    | 0.00        | 0.05       | 1.07       | 0.19| 2.25 |
| seafood – sea             | 7.47  | 6.00  | 23.00    | 0.00        | 0.06       | 1.39       | 0.09| 0.71 |
| book – paper              | 7.46  | 16.00 | 28.00    | 5.00        | 0.12       | 2.37       | 0.58| 5.18 |
| book – library            | 7.46  | 16.00 | 24.00    | 2.00        | 0.07       | 1.52       | 0.28| 2.45 |
| Mexico – Brazil           | 7.44  | 26.00 | 3.00     | 0.08        | 1.86       | 0.53       | 6.05|
| media – radio             | 7.42  | 16.00 | 19.00    | 0.00        | 0.04       | 0.98       | 0.00| 0.00 |
| psychology – depression   | 7.42  | 12.00 | 21.00    | 0.00        | 0.06       | 1.16       | 0.28| 2.80 |
| jaguar – cat              | 7.42  | 14.00 | 29.00    | 4.00        | 0.33       | 2.77       | 0.91| 9.74 |
| fighting – defeating      | 7.41  | 4.00  | 0.00     |             |            |            |     |      |
| movie – star              | 7.38  | 12.00 | 27.00    | 0.00        | 0.07       | 1.39       | 0.31| 2.88 |
| bird – crane              | 7.38  | 14.00 | 18.00    | 5.00        | 0.14       | 2.08       | 0.66| 5.97 |
| hundred – percent         | 7.38  | 20.00 | 0.00     | 0.07        | 0.90       | 0.21       | 1.81|
| dollar – profit           | 7.38  | 16.00 | 29.00    | 0.00        | 0.06       | 1.07       | 0.18| 1.81 |
| tiger – cat               | 7.35  | 14.00 | 28.00    | 4.00        | 0.36       | 2.77       | 0.92| 9.74 |
| physics – chemistry       | 7.35  | 14.00 | 23.00    | 2.00        | 0.23       | 2.37       | 0.81| 7.04 |
| country – citizen         | 7.31  | 12.00 | 27.00    | 5.00        | 0.07       | 1.39       | 0.10| 0.71 |
| money – possession        | 7.29  | 12.00 | 14.00    | 5.00        | 0.17       | 2.08       | 0.63| 4.11 |
| jaguar – car              | 7.27  | 6.00  | 30.00    | 0.00        | 0.06       | 0.63       | 0.08| 0.71 |
| cup – drink               | 7.25  | 14.00 | 27.00    | 5.00        | 0.19       | 2.08       | 0.77| 7.08 |
| psychology – health       | 7.23  | 12.00 | 20.00    | 0.00        | 0.05       | 1.07       | 0.00| 0.00 |
| museum – theater          | 7.19  | 6.00  | 24.00    | 2.00        | 0.06       | 1.52       | 0.24| 2.45 |
| summer – drought          | 7.16  | 6.00  | 27.00    | 4.00        | 0.07       | 2.08       | 0.36| 3.72 |
| phone – equipment         | 7.13  | 6.00  | 28.00    | 2.00        | 0.24       | 2.37       | 0.80| 6.04 |
| investor – earning        | 7.13  | 4.00  | 0.00     |             |            |            |     |      |
| bird – cock               | 7.10  | 12.00 | 29.00    | 6.00        | 0.16       | 2.77       | 0.69| 5.97 |
| company – stock           | 7.08  | 14.00 | 25.00    | 2.00        | 0.12       | 1.67       | 0.46| 3.35 |
| tiger – carnivore         | 7.08  | 14.00 | 27.00    | 5.00        | 0.18       | 2.08       | 0.74| 6.78 |
| stroke – hospital         | 7.03  | 12.00 | 20.00    | 0.00        | 0.06       | 1.07       | 0.06| 0.71 |
| liability – insurance     | 7.03  | 8.00  | 26.00    | 3.00        | 0.19       | 1.86       | 0.79| 8.28 |
| game – victory            | 7.03  | 14.00 | 24.00    | 0.00        | 0.12       | 1.52       | 0.50| 3.78 |
| doctor – nurse            | 7.00  | 12.00 | 27.00    | 5.00        | 0.25       | 2.08       | 0.83| 7.28 |
| tiger – animal            | 7.00  | 14.00 | 27.00    | 2.00        | 0.12       | 2.08       | 0.55| 4.32 |
| psychology – anxiety      | 7.00  | 12.00 | 21.00    | 0.00        | 0.08       | 1.16       | 0.33| 2.80 |
| game – defeat             | 6.97  | 14.00 | 24.00    | 0.00        | 0.10       | 1.52       | 0.46| 3.78 |
| FBI – fingerprint         | 6.94  | 4.00  | 16.00    | 0.00        | 0.04       | 0.76       | 0.00| 0.00 |
| money – withdrawal        | 6.88  | 6.00  | 21.00    | 0.00        | 0.06       | 1.16       | 0.00| 0.00 |
| street – block            | 6.88  | 14.00 | 25.00    | 2.00        | 0.08       | 1.67       | 0.30| 2.46 |
| opera – performance       | 6.88  | 12.00 | 24.00    | 2.00        | 0.08       | 1.52       | 0.34| 2.88 |
| Word Pair          | Gabr. | ELKB Edges | WN Edges | Hirst St.O. | Jiang Con. | Lea. Chod. | Lin | Res. |
|-------------------|-------|------------|----------|-------------|------------|------------|-----|------|
| drink – eat       | 6.87  | 5.00       |          |             |            |            |     |      |
| drug – abuse      | 6.85  | 14.00      | 22.00    | 0.00        | 0.06       | 1.27       | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| tiger – mammal    | 6.85  | 14.00      | 25.00    | 3.00        | 0.14       | 1.67       | 0.64 | 5.43 |
| cup – tableware   | 6.85  | 28.00      | 6.00     | 0.58        | 0.08       | 1.16       | 0.33 | 2.80 |
| student – professor | 6.81 | 14.00      | 23.00    | 0.00        | 0.07       | 1.39       | 0.28 | 2.45 |
| concert – virtuoso| 6.81  | 14.00      | 20.00    | 0.00        | 0.05       | 1.07       | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| computer – laboratory | 6.78 | 6.00      | 21.00    | 0.00        | 0.05       | 1.16       | 0.08 | 0.71 |
| love – sex        | 6.77  | 12.00      | 29.00    | 4.00        | 0.18       | 2.77       | 0.78 | 8.27 |
| television – radio| 6.77  | 16.00      | 28.00    | 5.00        | 0.33       | 2.37       | 0.90 | 9.51 |
| Problem – challenge | 6.75 | 14.00      | 25.00    | 2.00        | 0.08       | 1.67       | 0.37 | 3.84 |
| Arafat – Peace    | 6.73  |            |          |             |            |            |     |      |
| movie – critic    | 6.73  | 12.00      | 20.00    | 0.00        | 0.06       | 1.07       | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| bed – closet      | 6.72  | 6.00       | 28.00    | 4.00        | 0.15       | 2.37       | 0.70 | 6.74 |
| psychology – science | 6.71 | 14.00      | 29.00    | 4.00        | 0.24       | 2.77       | 0.81 | 6.51 |
| fertility – egg   | 6.69  | 12.00      | 20.00    | 0.00        | 0.04       | 1.07       | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| lawyer – evidence | 6.69  | 6.00       | 20.00    | 0.00        | 0.06       | 1.07       | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| precedent – law   | 6.65  | 16.00      | 28.00    | 6.00        | 0.17       | 2.37       | 0.74 | 6.94 |
| football – tennis | 6.63  | 16.00      | 25.00    | 2.00        | 0.17       | 1.67       | 0.76 | 8.09 |
| minister – party  | 6.63  | 16.00      | 25.00    | 2.00        | 0.07       | 1.67       | 0.26 | 2.45 |
| professor – doctor | 6.62 | 14.00      | 24.00    | 0.00        | 0.20       | 1.52       | 0.77 | 6.55 |
| psychology – clinic | 6.58 | 12.00      | 17.00    | 0.00        | 0.05       | 0.83       | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| cup – coffee      | 6.58  | 14.00      | 25.00    | 2.00        | 0.11       | 1.67       | 0.61 | 6.12 |
| water – seepage   | 6.56  | 6.00       | 22.00    | 0.00        | 0.05       | 1.27       | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| government – crisis | 6.56 | 6.00      | 23.00    | 0.00        | 0.06       | 1.39       | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| space – world     | 6.53  | 16.00      | 26.00    | 4.00        | 0.07       | 1.86       | 0.19 | 1.96 |
| Japanese – American | 6.50 | 25.00      | 2.00     | 0.09        | 1.67       | 0.54       | 6.27 |     |
| dividend – calculation | 6.48 | 6.00     | 21.00    | 0.00        | 0.05       | 1.16       | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| victim – emergency | 6.47 | 6.00      | 23.00    | 0.00        | 0.05       | 1.39       | 0.07 | 0.71 |
| luxury – car      | 6.47  | 8.00       | 18.00    | 0.00        | 0.05       | 0.90       | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| tool – implement  | 6.46  | 16.00      | 29.00    | 4.00        | 0.55       | 2.77       | 0.94 | 5.99 |
| competition – price | 6.44 | 2.00      | 23.00    | 0.00        | 0.08       | 1.39       | 0.25 | 2.65 |
| street – place    | 6.44  | 16.00      | 25.00    | 3.00        | 0.07       | 1.67       | 0.30 | 3.35 |
| psychology – doctor | 6.42 | 16.00      | 18.00    | 0.00        | 0.06       | 0.90       | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| gender – equality | 6.41  | 2.00       | 23.00    | 0.00        | 0.06       | 1.39       | 0.30 | 3.25 |
| listing – category | 6.38 | 4.00      | 23.00    | 0.00        | 0.07       | 1.39       | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| discovery – space | 6.34  | 12.00      | 23.00    | 0.00        | 0.06       | 1.39       | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| oil – stock       | 6.34  | 14.00      | 22.00    | 0.00        | 0.09       | 1.27       | 0.43 | 5.19 |
| video – archive   | 6.34  | 23.00      | 0.00     | 0.06        | 1.39       | 0.22       | 2.45 |     |
| governor – office | 6.34  | 17.00      | 22.00    | 0.00        | 0.06       | 1.27       | 0.24 | 2.45 |
| train – car       | 6.31  | 16.00      | 25.00    | 4.00        | 0.18       | 1.67       | 0.71 | 5.50 |
| record – number   | 6.31  | 14.00      | 29.00    | 6.00        | 0.32       | 2.77       | 0.84 | 5.87 |
| shower – thunderstorm | 6.31 | 16.00      | 24.00    | 0.00        | 0.08       | 1.52       | 0.56 | 6.85 |
| brother – monk    | 6.27  | 14.00      | 29.00    | 4.00        | 0.29       | 2.77       | 0.90 | 10.48 |
| nature – man      | 6.25  | 14.00      | 27.00    | 4.00        | 0.08       | 2.08       | 0.30 | 2.40 |
| Word Pair                  | Gabr. | ELKB | WN Edges | Hirst St.O. | Jiang Con. | Lea. Chod. | Lin | Res. |
|---------------------------|-------|------|----------|-------------|------------|------------|-----|------|
| production – crew         | 6.25  | 8.00 | 24.00    | 0.00        | 0.06       | 1.52       | 0.00| 0.00 |
| family – planning         | 6.25  | 16.00| 23.00    | 0.00        | 0.06       | 1.39       | 0.00| 0.00 |
| disaster – area           | 6.25  | 16.00| 22.00    | 0.00        | 0.08       | 1.27       | 0.37| 3.35 |
| skin – eye                | 6.22  | 16.00| 25.00    | 2.00        | 0.10       | 1.67       | 0.50| 4.21 |
| food – preparation        | 6.22  | 16.00| 27.00    | 3.00        | 0.12       | 2.08       | 0.47| 3.21 |
| bread – butter            | 6.19  | 12.00| 27.00    | 5.00        | 0.12       | 2.08       | 0.61| 5.69 |
| movie – popcorn           | 6.19  | 0.00 | 18.00    | 0.00        | 0.05       | 0.90       | 0.00| 0.00 |
| production – crew         | 6.19  | 12.00| 28.00    | 5.00        | 0.21       | 2.37       | 0.75| 5.67 |
| family – planning         | 6.19  | 8.00 | 21.00    | 0.00        | 0.05       | 1.16       | 0.07| 0.71 |
| preservation – world      | 6.19  | 12.00| 24.00    | 0.00        | 0.06       | 1.52       | 0.00| 0.00 |
| dollar – loss             | 6.09  | 6.00 | 21.00    | 0.00        | 0.07       | 1.16       | 0.19| 1.81 |
| weapon – secret           | 6.06  | 14.00| 21.00    | 0.00        | 0.06       | 1.16       | 0.00| 0.00 |
| precedent – antecedent     | 6.04  | 16.00| 25.00    | 4.00        | 0.05       | 1.67       | 0.30| 3.84 |
| shower – flood            | 6.03  | 16.00| 26.00    | 3.00        | 0.09       | 1.86       | 0.60| 7.56 |
| registration – arrangement| 6.00  | 4.00 | 25.00    | 2.00        | 0.07       | 1.67       | 0.32| 3.12 |
| arrival – hotel           | 6.00  | 2.00 | 21.00    | 0.00        | 0.05       | 1.16       | 0.07| 0.71 |
| announcement – warning    | 6.00  | 16.00| 25.00    | 2.00        | 0.08       | 1.67       | 0.40| 3.84 |
| baseball – season         | 5.97  | 4.00 | 17.00    | 0.00        | 0.06       | 0.83       | 0.00| 0.00 |
| game – round              | 5.97  | 16.00| 26.00    | 4.00        | 0.16       | 1.86       | 0.72| 7.23 |
| drink – mouth             | 5.96  | 12.00| 24.00    | 0.00        | 0.07       | 1.52       | 0.25| 2.40 |
| energy – crisis           | 5.94  | 14.00| 24.00    | 0.00        | 0.06       | 1.52       | 0.30| 3.35 |
| grocery – money           | 5.94  | 20.00| 0.00     | 0.00        | 0.06       | 1.07       | 0.00| 0.00 |
| life – lesson             | 5.94  | 6.00 | 21.00    | 0.00        | 0.07       | 1.16       | 0.29| 2.88 |
| cucumber – potato         | 5.92  | 14.00| 27.00    | 4.00        | 0.11       | 2.08       | 0.64| 7.50 |
| king – rook               | 5.92  | 16.00| 28.00    | 5.00        | 0.20       | 2.37       | 0.85| 10.93|
| reason – criterion        | 5.91  | 4.00 | 23.00    | 0.00        | 0.09       | 1.39       | 0.37| 2.89 |
| equipment – maker         | 5.91  | 6.00 | 22.00    | 0.00        | 0.07       | 1.27       | 0.10| 0.71 |
| cup – liquid              | 5.90  | 12.00| 25.00    | 3.00        | 0.16       | 1.67       | 0.69| 5.97 |
| deployment – withdrawal   | 5.88  | 6.00 | 22.00    | 0.00        | 0.05       | 1.27       | 0.21| 2.25 |
| tiger – zoo               | 5.87  | 6.00 | 23.00    | 0.00        | 0.04       | 1.39       | 0.06| 0.71 |
| journey – car             | 5.85  | 12.00| 17.00    | 0.00        | 0.07       | 0.83       | 0.00| 0.00 |
| precedent – example       | 5.85  | 16.00| 29.00    | 4.00        | 0.23       | 2.77       | 0.83| 7.81 |
| smart – stupid            | 5.81  | 8.00 | 18.00    | 3.00        | 0.04       | 0.90       | 0.00| 0.00 |
| plane – car               | 5.77  | 16.00| 24.00    | 3.00        | 0.21       | 1.52       | 0.75| 5.55 |
| planet – people           | 5.75  | 4.00 | 23.00    | 4.00        | 0.07       | 1.39       | 0.00| 0.00 |
| lobster – wine            | 5.70  | 12.00| 21.00    | 0.00        | 0.07       | 1.16       | 0.33| 3.21 |
| money – laundering        | 5.65  | 21.00| 0.00     | 0.00        | 0.05       | 1.16       | 0.00| 0.00 |
| Mars – scientist          | 5.63  | 4.00 | 20.00    | 0.00        | 0.06       | 1.07       | 0.09| 0.71 |
| decoration – valor        | 5.63  | 4.00 | 19.00    | 0.00        | 0.06       | 0.98       | 0.18| 1.81 |
| OPEC – country            | 5.63  | 2.00 | 24.00    | 5.00        | 0.08       | 1.52       | 0.35| 3.34 |
| summer – nature           | 5.63  | 6.00 | 22.00    | 0.00        | 0.07       | 1.27       | 0.22| 1.81 |
| tiger – fauna             | 5.62  | 12.00| 27.00    | 2.00        | 0.12       | 2.08       | 0.55| 4.32 |
| psychology – discipline   | 5.58  | 4.00 | 28.00    | 6.00        | 0.22       | 2.37       | 0.77| 6.01 |
| glass – metal             | 5.56  | 16.00| 26.00    | 3.00        | 0.09       | 1.86       | 0.40| 3.21 |
| alcohol – chemistry       | 5.54  | 2.00 | 20.00    | 0.00        | 0.06       | 1.07       | 0.00| 0.00 |
| disability – death        | 5.47  | 6.00 | 24.00    | 0.00        | 0.08       | 1.52       | 0.38| 3.35 |
| change – attitude         | 5.44  | 16.00| 25.00    | 2.00        | 0.08       | 1.67       | 0.30| 3.25 |
| Word Pair                  | Gabr. | ELKB | WN Edges | Hirst St.O. | Jiang Con. | Lea. Chod. | Lin  | Res. |
|---------------------------|-------|------|----------|-------------|------------|------------|------|------|
| arrangement               | 5.41  | 14.00| 27.00    | 4.00        | 0.08       | 2.08       | 0.43 | 4.92 |
| accommodation             |       |      |          |             |            |            |      |      |
| territory – surface       | 5.34  | 6.00 | 25.00    | 2.00        | 0.16       | 1.67       | 0.56 | 3.39 |
| credit – information      | 5.31  | 6.00 | 27.00    | 4.00        | 0.12       | 2.08       | 0.50 | 4.78 |
| size – prominence         | 5.31  | 14.00| 25.00    | 2.00        | 0.08       | 1.67       | 0.35 | 3.35 |
| exhibit – memorabilia     | 5.31  | 4.00 | 21.00    | 0.00        | 0.05       | 1.16       | 0.24 | 2.88 |
| territory – kilometer     | 5.28  | 4.00 | 22.00    | 0.00        | 0.06       | 1.27       | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| death – row               | 5.25  | 6.00 | 24.00    | 0.00        | 0.06       | 1.52       | 0.22 | 2.25 |
| man – governor            | 5.25  | 14.00| 26.00    | 3.00        | 0.09       | 1.86       | 0.32 | 3.44 |
| doctor – liability        | 5.19  | 6.00 | 21.00    | 0.00        | 0.06       | 1.16       | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| impartiality – interest   | 5.16  | 6.00 | 22.00    | 0.00        | 0.05       | 1.27       | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| energy – laboratory       | 5.09  | 14.00| 20.00    | 0.00        | 0.06       | 1.07       | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| secretary – senate        | 5.06  | 6.00 | 18.00    | 0.00        | 0.05       | 0.90       | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| death – inmate            | 5.03  | 4.00 | 22.00    | 0.00        | 0.05       | 1.27       | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| monk – oracle             | 5.00  | 12.00| 23.00    | 0.00        | 0.06       | 1.39       | 0.23 | 2.45 |
| cup – food                | 5.00  | 14.00| 25.00    | 3.00        | 0.14       | 1.67       | 0.61 | 4.99 |
| doctor – personnel        | 5.00  | 14.00| 21.00    | 0.00        | 0.07       | 1.16       | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| travel – activity         | 5.00  | 14.00| 25.00    | 2.00        | 0.19       | 1.67       | 0.51 | 2.25 |
| journal – association     | 4.97  | 4.00 | 24.00    | 0.00        | 0.05       | 1.52       | 0.22 | 2.65 |
| car – flight              | 4.94  | 12.00| 23.00    | 0.00        | 0.07       | 1.39       | 0.28 | 2.45 |
| street – children         | 4.94  | 12.00| 22.00    | 0.00        | 0.07       | 1.27       | 0.10 | 0.71 |
| space – chemistry         | 4.88  | 6.00 | 26.00    | 3.00        | 0.06       | 1.86       | 0.23 | 2.88 |
| situation – conclusion    | 4.81  | 4.00 | 25.00    | 0.00        | 0.10       | 1.67       | 0.32 | 2.25 |
| tiger – organism          | 4.77  | 6.00 | 28.00    | 2.00        | 0.10       | 2.37       | 0.32 | 2.17 |
| peace – plan              | 4.75  | 12.00| 22.00    | 0.00        | 0.08       | 1.27       | 0.34 | 2.80 |
| word – similarity         | 4.75  | 14.00| 22.00    | 0.00        | 0.09       | 1.27       | 0.26 | 1.81 |
| consumer – energy         | 4.75  | 6.00 | 21.00    | 0.00        | 0.06       | 1.16       | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| ministry – culture        | 4.69  | 4.00 | 21.00    | 0.00        | 0.06       | 1.16       | 0.29 | 3.04 |
| hospital – infrastructure | 4.63  | 6.00 | 18.00    | 2.00        | 0.04       | 0.90       | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| smart – student           | 4.62  | 6.00 | 18.00    | 0.00        | 0.05       | 0.90       | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| investigation – effort    | 4.59  | 4.00 | 27.00    | 4.00        | 0.17       | 2.08       | 0.65 | 4.48 |
| image – surface           | 4.56  | 16.00| 26.00    | 3.00        | 0.11       | 1.86       | 0.37 | 3.39 |
| life – term               | 4.50  | 14.00| 28.00    | 5.00        | 0.11       | 2.37       | 0.48 | 3.72 |
| computer – news           | 4.47  | 8.00 | 20.00    | 0.00        | 0.06       | 1.07       | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| board – recommendation    | 4.47  | 6.00 | 17.00    | 0.00        | 0.06       | 0.83       | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| start – match             | 4.47  | 6.00 | 24.00    | 4.00        | 0.09       | 1.52       | 0.42 | 3.78 |
| lad – brother             | 4.46  | 14.00| 26.00    | 3.00        | 0.07       | 1.86       | 0.27 | 2.45 |
| food – rooster            | 4.42  | 12.00| 18.00    | 0.00        | 0.06       | 0.90       | 0.09 | 0.71 |
| coast – hill              | 4.38  | 4.00 | 26.00    | 2.00        | 0.15       | 1.86       | 0.69 | 6.36 |
| observation – architecture| 4.38  | 4.00 | 25.00    | 2.00        | 0.06       | 1.67       | 0.29 | 3.12 |
| attempt – peace           | 4.25  | 8.00 | 24.00    | 0.00        | 0.06       | 1.52       | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| deployment – departure    | 4.25  | 6.00 | 23.00    | 0.00        | 0.06       | 1.39       | 0.21 | 2.25 |
| benchmark – index         | 4.25  | 12.00| 27.00    | 4.00        | 0.07       | 2.08       | 0.50 | 6.15 |
| consumer – confidence     | 4.13  | 4.00 | 21.00    | 0.00        | 0.05       | 1.16       | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| start – year              | 4.06  | 6.00 | 27.00    | 4.00        | 0.11       | 2.08       | 0.49 | 3.72 |
| focus – life              | 4.06  | 14.00| 25.00    | 2.00        | 0.08       | 1.67       | 0.37 | 3.35 |
| development – issue       | 3.97  | 12.00| 27.00    | 4.00        | 0.16       | 2.08       | 0.61 | 4.18 |
| Word Pair                  | Gabr. | ELKB  | WN Edges | Hirst St.O. | Jiang Con. | Lea. Chod. | Lin | Res. |
|---------------------------|-------|-------|----------|-------------|------------|------------|-----|------|
| day – summer              | 3.94  | 16.00 | 27.00    | 4.00        | 0.11       | 2.08       | 0.48| 3.72 |
| theater – history         | 3.91  | 6.00  | 24.00    | 0.00        | 0.06       | 1.52       | 0.00| 0.00 |
| situation – isolation     | 3.88  | 6.00  | 26.00    | 3.00        | 0.10       | 1.86       | 0.43| 3.35 |
| media – trading           | 3.88  | 16.00 | 23.00    | 0.00        | 0.05       | 1.39       | 0.21| 2.25 |
| profit – warning          | 3.88  | 8.00  | 20.00    | 0.00        | 0.06       | 1.07       | 0.18| 1.81 |
| chance – credibility      | 3.88  | 14.00 | 25.00    | 2.00        | 0.06       | 1.67       | 0.19| 1.81 |
| precedent – information   | 3.85  | 6.00  | 28.00    | 6.00        | 0.14       | 2.37       | 0.63| 5.21 |
| architecture – century    | 3.78  | 2.00  | 21.00    | 0.00        | 0.06       | 1.16       | 0.18| 1.81 |
| population – development  | 3.75  | 6.00  | 25.00    | 0.00        | 0.11       | 1.67       | 0.57| 5.60 |
| stock – live              | 3.73  | 16.00 | 0.00     |             |            |            |     |      |
| cup – object              | 3.69  | 14.00 | 26.00    | 4.00        | 0.14       | 1.86       | 0.38| 1.96 |
| atmosphere – landscape    | 3.69  | 12.00 | 22.00    | 0.00        | 0.07       | 1.27       | 0.32| 3.27 |
| minority – peace          | 3.69  | 14.00 | 25.00    | 2.00        | 0.06       | 1.67       | 0.29| 3.35 |
| peace – atmosphere        | 3.69  | 6.00  | 26.00    | 3.00        | 0.08       | 1.86       | 0.41| 4.26 |
| morality – marriage       | 3.69  | 8.00  | 24.00    | 0.00        | 0.06       | 1.52       | 0.00| 0.00 |
| report – gain             | 3.63  | 4.00  | 22.00    | 0.00        | 0.08       | 1.27       | 0.23| 1.81 |
| music – project           | 3.63  | 14.00 | 26.00    | 3.00        | 0.10       | 1.86       | 0.41| 3.12 |
| seven – series            | 3.56  | 4.00  | 21.00    | 0.00        | 0.06       | 1.16       | 0.20| 1.81 |
| experience – music         | 3.47  | 12.00 | 23.00    | 0.00        | 0.08       | 1.39       | 0.35| 2.89 |
| school – center           | 3.44  | 16.00 | 28.00    | 2.00        | 0.12       | 2.37       | 0.59| 5.33 |
| announcement – production | 3.38  | 4.00  | 23.00    | 0.00        | 0.08       | 1.39       | 0.33| 2.88 |
| five – month              | 3.38  | 4.00  | 23.00    | 0.00        | 0.08       | 1.39       | 0.35| 2.97 |
| money – operation         | 3.31  | 6.00  | 23.00    | 0.00        | 0.08       | 1.39       | 0.00| 0.00 |
| delay – news              | 3.31  | 8.00  | 22.00    | 0.00        | 0.07       | 1.27       | 0.21| 1.81 |
| morality – importance     | 3.31  | 2.00  | 26.00    | 3.00        | 0.13       | 1.86       | 0.57| 4.23 |
| governor – interview      | 3.25  | 4.00  | 18.00    | 0.00        | 0.05       | 0.90       | 0.00| 0.00 |
| practice – institution    | 3.19  | 16.00 | 28.00    | 6.00        | 0.21       | 2.37       | 0.82| 5.52 |
| century – nation          | 3.16  | 6.00  | 22.00    | 0.00        | 0.07       | 1.27       | 0.00| 0.00 |
| coast – forest            | 3.15  | 16.00 | 24.00    | 0.00        | 0.06       | 1.52       | 0.20| 1.96 |
| shore – woodland          | 3.08  | 6.00  | 25.00    | 2.00        | 0.06       | 1.67       | 0.21| 1.96 |
| drink – car               | 3.04  | 6.00  | 22.00    | 0.00        | 0.05       | 1.27       | 0.31| 1.96 |
| president – medal         | 3.00  | 4.00  | 16.00    | 0.00        | 0.05       | 0.76       | 0.00| 0.00 |
| prejudice – recognition   | 3.00  | 6.00  | 22.00    | 0.00        | 0.07       | 1.27       | 0.30| 2.89 |
| viewer – serial           | 2.97  | 4.00  | 20.00    | 0.00        | 0.06       | 1.07       | 0.23| 2.45 |
| Mars – water              | 2.94  | 12.00 | 23.00    | 0.00        | 0.07       | 1.39       | 0.24| 1.96 |
| peace – insurance         | 2.94  | 4.00  | 27.00    | 4.00        | 0.15       | 2.08       | 0.74| 8.28 |
| cup – artifact            | 2.92  | 0.00  | 27.00    | 5.00        | 0.15       | 2.08       | 0.45| 2.45 |
| media – gain              | 2.88  | 6.00  | 22.00    | 0.00        | 0.05       | 1.27       | 0.00| 0.00 |
| precedent – cognition     | 2.81  | 4.00  | 27.00    | 5.00        | 0.11       | 2.08       | 0.41| 2.89 |
| announcement – effort     | 2.75  | 4.00  | 20.00    | 0.00        | 0.06       | 1.07       | 0.00| 0.00 |
| crane – implement         | 2.69  | 0.00  | 26.00    | 3.00        | 0.09       | 1.86       | 0.39| 3.44 |
| line – insurance          | 2.69  | 6.00  | 26.00    | 3.00        | 0.11       | 1.86       | 0.51| 4.79 |
| drink – mother            | 2.65  | 16.00 | 25.00    | 2.00        | 0.09       | 1.67       | 0.34| 3.21 |
| opera – industry          | 2.63  | 6.00  | 18.00    | 0.00        | 0.06       | 0.90       | 0.18| 1.81 |
| volunteer – motto         | 2.56  | 0.00  | 17.00    | 0.00        | 0.04       | 0.83       | 0.00| 0.00 |
| listing – proximity       | 2.56  | 6.00  | 19.00    | 0.00        | 0.07       | 0.98       | 0.27| 2.65 |
| Arafat – Jackson          | 2.50  |       |          |             |            |            |     |      |
### Appendix J: The WordSimilarity-353 Test Collection

| Word Pair               | Gabr. | ELKB | WN Edges | Hirst St.O. | Jiang Con. | Lea. Chod. | Lin | Res. |
|-------------------------|-------|------|----------|-------------|------------|------------|-----|------|
| precedent – collection  | 2.50  | 6.00 | 27.00    | 5.00        | 0.13       | 2.08       | 0.61| 5.21 |
| cup – article           | 2.40  | 6.00 | 26.00    | 3.00        | 0.55       | 1.86       | 0.95| 7.52 |
| sign – recess           | 2.38  | 8.00 | 26.00    | 5.00        | 0.07       | 1.86       | 0.25| 2.45 |
| problem – airport       | 2.38  | 4.00 | 20.00    | 0.00        | 0.05       | 1.07       | 0.00| 0.00 |
| reason – hypertension   | 2.31  | 4.00 | 23.00    | 0.00        | 0.06       | 1.39       | 0.37| 4.26 |
| direction – combination | 2.25  | 6.00 | 24.00    | 0.00        | 0.08       | 1.52       | 0.27| 3.12 |
| Wednesday – news        | 2.22  | 4.00 | 18.00    | 0.00        | 0.07       | 0.90       | 0.21| 1.81 |
| cup – entity            | 2.15  | 0.00 | 25.00    | 3.00        | 0.13       | 1.67       | 0.16| 0.71 |
| cemetery – woodland     | 2.08  | 6.00 | 21.00    | 0.00        | 0.05       | 1.16       | 0.07| 0.71 |
| glass – magician        | 2.08  | 4.00 | 22.00    | 0.00        | 0.05       | 1.27       | 0.08| 0.71 |
| possibility – girl      | 1.94  | 6.00 | 22.00    | 0.00        | 0.06       | 1.27       | 0.00| 0.00 |
| cup – substance         | 1.92  | 6.00 | 25.00    | 2.00        | 0.13       | 1.67       | 0.44| 3.21 |
| forest – graveyard      | 1.85  | 6.00 | 21.00    | 0.00        | 0.05       | 1.16       | 0.07| 0.71 |
| stock – egg             | 1.81  | 14.00| 24.00    | 2.00        | 0.10       | 1.52       | 0.53| 4.99 |
| energy – secretary      | 1.81  | 4.00 | 20.00    | 0.00        | 0.06       | 1.07       | 0.00| 0.00 |
| month – hotel           | 1.81  | 0.00 | 20.00    | 0.00        | 0.06       | 1.07       | 0.00| 0.00 |
| precedent – group       | 1.77  | 6.00 | 26.00    | 4.00        | 0.10       | 1.86       | 0.35| 2.46 |
| production – hike       | 1.75  | 2.00 | 23.00    | 0.00        | 0.07       | 1.39       | 0.24| 2.25 |
| stock – phone           | 1.62  | 12.00| 24.00    | 2.00        | 0.09       | 1.52       | 0.41| 4.29 |
| holy – sex              | 1.62  | 6.00 | 22.00    | 0.00        | 0.05       | 1.27       | 0.00| 0.00 |
| stock – CD              | 1.31  |      | 25.00    | 2.00        | 0.07       | 1.67       | 0.40| 4.29 |
| drink – ear             | 1.31  | 6.00 | 23.00    | 0.00        | 0.07       | 1.39       | 0.21| 1.96 |
| delay – racism          | 1.19  | 4.00 | 24.00    | 0.00        | 0.05       | 1.52       | 0.21| 2.25 |
| stock – jaguar          | 0.92  | 12.00| 25.00    | 2.00        | 0.09       | 1.67       | 0.52| 5.47 |
| stock – life            | 0.92  | 12.00| 24.00    | 2.00        | 0.09       | 1.52       | 0.39| 3.35 |
| monk – slave            | 0.92  | 6.00 | 26.00    | 3.00        | 0.06       | 1.86       | 0.25| 2.45 |
| lad – wizard            | 0.92  | 4.00 | 26.00    | 3.00        | 0.07       | 1.86       | 0.27| 2.45 |
| sugar – approach        | 0.88  | 6.00 | 23.00    | 0.00        | 0.07       | 1.39       | 0.24| 1.96 |
| rooster – voyage        | 0.62  | 2.00 | 13.00    | 0.00        | 0.04       | 0.58       | 0.00| 0.00 |
| chord – smile           | 0.54  | 0.00 | 20.00    | 0.00        | 0.07       | 1.07       | 0.29| 2.88 |
| noon – string           | 0.54  | 6.00 | 19.00    | 0.00        | 0.05       | 0.98       | 0.00| 0.00 |
| professor – cucumber    | 0.31  | 0.00 | 18.00    | 0.00        | 0.05       | 0.90       | 0.19| 2.17 |
| king – cabbage          | 0.23  | 12.00| 21.00    | 0.00        | 0.06       | 1.16       | 0.27| 3.21 |

**Correlation**

|          | 1.00 | 0.54 | 0.27 | 0.34 | 0.35 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.37 |

*Table J1: Comparison of semantic similarity measures using the WordSimilarity-353 Test Collection*
Appendix K: TOEFL, ESL and RDWP questions

This appendix presents 80 TOEFL (ETS, 2003), 50 ESL (Tatsuki, 1998) and 100 RDWP questions (Turney, 2001; Lewis 2000-2001) as well as the answers given by my system using the ELKB and the WordNet-based system which uses the Hirst and St-Onge (1998) measure. The WordNet-based system is implemented using the Semantic Distance software package (Pedersen, 2002). Tad Stach collected the other 200 RDWP questions from the following Canadian issues of Reader’s Digest (Lewis 2000-2001): January, March, April, May, June, August and September 2000; January and May 2001.

1 Semantic Distance measured using the ELKB

1.A. 80 TOEFL Questions

Question 1
enormously | tremendously | appropriately | uniquely | decidedly
enormously ADV. [enormously] to tremendously ADV. [tremendously], length = 4, 1 path(s) of this length
enormously ADV. [enormously] to appropriately ADV. [appropriately], length = 14, 1 path(s) of this length
uniquely is NOT IN THE INDEX
enormously ADV. to decidedly ADV., length = 4, 1 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that enormously means tremendously CORRECT

Question 2
provisions | stipulations | interrelations | jurisdictions |
provisions N. [provisions] to stipulations N. [stipulations], length = 0, 1 path(s) of this length
provisions N. [provisions] to interrelations N. [interrelations], length = 14, 3 path(s) of this length
provisions N. [provisions] to jurisdictions N. [jurisdictions], length = 12, 3 path(s) of this length
provisions N. to interpretations N., length = 12, 36 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that provisions means stipulations CORRECT

Question 3
haphazardly | randomly | dangerously | densely | linearly
haphazardly ADV. [haphazardly] to randomly ADV. [randomly], length = 0, 1 path(s) of this length
haphazardly ADV. [haphazardly] to dangerously VB. [dangerously], length = 12, 1 path(s) of this length
haphazardly ADV. [haphazardly] to densely ADJ. [densely], length = 16, 2 path(s) of this length
linearly is NOT IN THE INDEX
Roget thinks that haphazardly means randomly CORRECT

Question 4
prominent | conspicuous | battered | ancient | mysterious
prominent ADJ. [prominent] to conspicuous ADJ. [conspicuous], length = 0, 4 path(s) of this length
prominent ADJ. [prominent] to battered ADJ. [battered], length = 10, 10 path(s) of this length
prominent ADJ. [prominent] to ancient ADJ. [ancient], length = 4, 1 path(s) of this length
prominent ADJ. to mysterious ADJ., length = 8, 2 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that prominent means conspicuous CORRECT

Question 5
zenith | pinnacle | completion | outset | decline
zenith N. [zenith] to pinnacle N. [pinnacle], length = 0, 3 path(s) of
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this length
zenith N. [zenith] to completion N. [completion], length = 0, 4 path(s)
  of this length
zenith N. [zenith] to outset N. [outset], length = 8, 1 path(s) of this length
zenith N. to decline N., length = 8, 3 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that zenith means completion
TIE LOST
INCORRECT

Question 6
flawed | imperfect | tiny | lustrous | crude
flawed ADJ. [flawed] to imperfect ADJ. [imperfect], length = 0, 5 path(s)
of this length
flawed N. [flawed] to tiny ADJ. [tiny], length = 12, 2 path(s) of this length
flawed N. [flawed] to lustrous ADJ. [lustrous], length = 12, 3 path(s) of this length
flawed ADJ. to crude ADJ., length = 2, 2 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that flawed means imperfect
CORRECT

Question 7
urgently | desperately | typically | conceivably | tentatively
urgently ADV. [urgently] to desperately ADV. [desperately], length = 16, 1 path(s) of this length
typically is NOT IN THE INDEX
urgently ADV. [urgently] to conceivably ADV. [conceivably], length = 16, 1 path(s) of this length
tentatively is NOT IN THE INDEX
Roget thinks that urgently means desperately
CORRECT

Question 8
consumed | eaten | bred | caught | supplied
consumed VB. [consumed] to eaten VB. [eaten], length = 0, 6 path(s) of this length
consumed VB. [consumed] to bred VB. [bred], length = 8, 6 path(s) of this length
consumed VB. [consumed] to caught VB. [caught], length = 0, 1 path(s) of this length
consumed VB. to supplied N., length = 8, 9 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that consumed means eaten
TIE BROKEN
CORRECT

Question 9
advent | coming | arrest | financing | stability
advent N. [advent] to coming N. [coming], length = 0, 5 path(s) of this length
advent N. [advent] to arrest N. [arrest], length = 12, 2 path(s) of this length
advent N. [advent] to financing VB. [financing], length = 16, 28 path(s) of this length
advent N. to stability N., length = 10, 5 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that advent means coming
CORRECT

Question 10
concisely | succinctly | powerfully | positively | freely
succinctly (ANSWER) is NOT IN THE INDEX
concisely ADV. [concisely] to powerfully ADV. [powerfully], length = 16, 6 path(s) of this length
concisely ADV. [concisely] to positively ADV. [positively], length = 12, 4 path(s) of this length
consisely ADV. to freely VB., length = 12, 6 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that concisely means freely
INCORRECT

Question 11
salutes | greetings | information | ceremonies | privileges
salutes N. [salutes] to greetings N. [greetings], length = 0, 3 path(s) of this length
salutes VB. [salutes] to information N. [information], length = 6, 1 path(s) of this length
salutes N. [salutes] to ceremonies N. [ceremonies], length = 0, 1 path(s) of this length
salutes VB. to privileges N., length = 12, 26 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that salutes means greetings
TIE BROKEN
CORRECT
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**Question 12**
Solitary | alone | alert | restless | fearless
Solitary ADJ. [solitary] to alone ADJ. [alone], length = 0, 6 path(s) of this length
Solitary N. [solitary] to alert ADJ. [alert], length = 12, 10 path(s) of this length
Solitary N. [solitary] to restless ADJ. [restless], length = 8, 1 path(s) of this length
Solitary N. to fearless ADJ., length = 14, 9 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that solitary means alone
CORRECT

**Question 13**
Hasten | accelerate | permit | determine | accompany
Hasten VB. [hasten] to accelerate VB. [accelerate], length = 2, 5 path(s) of this length
Hasten VB. [hasten] to permit N. [permit], length = 10, 6 path(s) of this length
Hasten VB. [hasten] to determine VB. [determine], length = 2, 1 path(s) of this length
Hasten VB. to accompany VB., length = 10, 12 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that hasten means accelerate
TIE BROKEN

**Question 14**
Perseverance | endurance | skill | generosity | disturbance
Perseverance N. [perseverance] to endurance N. [endurance], length = 2, 4 path(s) of this length
Perseverance N. [perseverance] to skill N. [skill], length = 10, 16 path(s) of this length
Perseverance N. [perseverance] to generosity N. [generosity], length = 14, 2 path(s) of this length
Perseverance N. to disturbance N., length = 14, 11 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that perseverance means endurance
CORRECT

**Question 15**
Fanciful | imaginative | familiar | apparent | logical
Fanciful ADJ. [fanciful] to imaginative ADJ. [imaginative], length = 0, 2 path(s) of this length
Fanciful ADJ. [fanciful] to familiar ADJ. [familiar], length = 10, 10 path(s) of this length
Fanciful ADJ. [fanciful] to apparent ADJ. [apparent], length = 12, 13 path(s) of this length
Fanciful ADJ. to logical ADJ., length = 10, 32 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that fanciful means imaginative
CORRECT

**Question 16**
Showed | demonstrated | published | repeated | postponed
Showed VB. [showed] to demonstrated VB. [demonstrated], length = 0, 15 path(s) of this length
Showed VB. [showed] to published VB. [published], length = 0, 7 path(s) of this length
Showed N. [showed] to repeated N. [repeated], length = 0, 2 path(s) of this length
Showed VB. to postponed VB., length = 12, 52 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that showed means demonstrated
TIE BROKEN

**Question 17**
Constantly | continually | instantly | rapidly | accidentally
Constantly ADV. [constantly] to continually ADV. [continually], length = 0, 1 path(s) of this length
Constantly ADV. [constantly] to instantly ADV. [instantly], length = 8, 1 path(s) of this length
Constantly ADV. [constantly] to rapidly ADV. [rapidly], length = 16, 2 path(s) of this length
Constantly ADV. to accidentally ADV., length = 14, 2 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that constantly means continually
CORRECT

**Question 18**
Issues | subjects | training | salaries | benefits
Issues N. [issues] to subjects N. [subjects], length = 0, 1 path(s) of this length
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issues N. [issues] to training N. [training], length = 10, 149 path(s) of this length
issues N. [issues] to salaries N. [salaries], length = 10, 14 path(s) of this length
issues VB. to benefits N., length = 10, 62 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that issues means subjects
CORRECT

Question 19
furnish | supply | impress | protect | advise
furnish VB. [furnish] to supply VB. [supply], length = 0, 2 path(s) of this length
furnish VB. [furnish] to impress VB. [impress], length = 10, 15 path(s) of this length
furnish VB. [furnish] to protect VB. [protect], length = 10, 6 path(s) of this length
furnish VB. to advise VB., length = 10, 10 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that furnish means supply
CORRECT

Question 20
costly | expensive | beautiful | popular | complicated
costly ADJ. [costly] to expensive ADJ. [expensive], length = 0, 2 path(s) of this length
costly ADJ. [costly] to beautiful ADJ. [beautiful], length = 4, 1 path(s) of this length
costly ADJ. [costly] to popular N. [popular], length = 8, 1 path(s) of this length
costly ADJ. to complicated VB., length = 12, 2 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that costly means expensive
CORRECT

Question 21
recognized | acknowledged | successful | depicted | welcomed
recognized VB. [recognized] to acknowledged VB. [acknowledged], length = 0, 5 path(s) of this length
recognized VB. [recognized] to successful ADJ. [successful], length = 10, 91 path(s) of this length
recognized VB. [recognized] to depicted VB. [depicted], length = 12, 16 path(s) of this length
recognized VB. to welcomed VB., length = 0, 1 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that recognized means acknowledged
TIE BROKEN
CORRECT

Question 22
spot | location | climate | latitude | sea
spot N. [spot] to location N. [location], length = 2, 1 path(s) of this length
spot VB. [spot] to climate N. [climate], length = 8, 2 path(s) of this length
spot N. [spot] to latitude N. [latitude], length = 4, 1 path(s) of this length
spot ADJ. to sea ADJ., length = 8, 6 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that spot means location
CORRECT

Question 23
make | earn | print | trade | borrow
make VB. [make] to earn VB. [earn], length = 2, 5 path(s) of this length
make VB. [make] to print VB. [print], length = 2, 9 path(s) of this length
make VB. [make] to trade VB. [trade], length = 0, 5 path(s) of this length
make VB. to borrow VB., length = 2, 9 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that makes means trade
INCORRECT

Question 24
often | frequently | definitely | chemically | hardly
often ADV. [often] to frequently ADV. [frequently], length = 0, 3 path(s) of this length
often ADV. [often] to definitely ADV. [definitely], length = 14, 5 path(s) of this length
chemically is NOT IN THE INDEX
often ADV. to hardly ADV., length = 2, 1 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that often means frequently
CORRECT

Question 25
easygoing | relaxed | frontier | boring | farming
easygoing ADJ. [easygoing] to relaxed VB. [relaxed], length = 6, 1
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path(s) of this length
easygoing ADJ. [easygoing] to frontier N. [frontier], length = 16, 24
path(s) of this length
easygoing ADJ. [easygoing] to boring ADJ. [boring], length = 8, 1 path(s)
of this length
easygoing ADJ. to farming N., length = 12, 2 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that easygoing means relaxed
CORRECT

Question 26
debate N. [debate] to argument N. [argument], length = 0, 4 path(s) of
this length
debate N. [debate] to war N. [war], length = 2, 3 path(s) of this length
debate VB. [debate] to election N. [election], length = 10, 11 path(s) of
this length
debate N. to competition N., length = 2, 2 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that debate means argument
CORRECT

Question 27
narrow VB. [narrow] to thin VB. [thin], length = 0, 10 path(s) of this length
narrow N. [narrow] to clear N. [clear], length = 0, 2 path(s) of this length
narrow VB. [narrow] to freezing N. [freezing], length = 6, 4 path(s) of
this length
narrow N. to poisonous ADJ., length = 6, 2 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that narrow means thin
TIE BROKEN
CORRECT

Question 28
arranged VB. [arranged] to planned VB. [planned], length = 0, 6 path(s)
of this length
arranged VB. [arranged] to explained VB. [explained], length = 4, 1
path(s) of this length
arranged VB. [arranged] to studied VB. [studied], length = 4, 1 path(s)
of this length
arranged ADJ. to discarded N., length = 10, 30 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that arranged means planned
CORRECT

Question 29
infinite ADJ. [infinite] to limitless ADJ. [limitless], length = 0, 2 path(s) of this length
infinite ADJ. [infinite] to relative ADJ. [relative], length = 12, 6 path(s) of this length
infinite ADJ. [infinite] to unusual ADJ. [unusual], length = 4, 1 path(s) of this length
infinite ADJ. to structural ADJ., length = 12, 2 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that infinite means limitless
CORRECT

Question 30
showy ADJ. [showy] to striking ADJ. [striking], length = 2, 1 path(s) of
this length
showy ADJ. [showy] to prickly N. [prickly], length = 10, 4 path(s) of
this length
showy ADJ. [showy] to entertaining VB. [entertaining], length = 6, 2
path(s) of this length
showy ADJ. to incidental N., length = 10, 2 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that showy means striking
CORRECT

Question 31
levied VB. [levied] to believed VB. [believed], length = 12, 48 path(s)
of this length
levied VB. [levied] to requested VB. [requested], length = 0, 5 path(s)
of this length
levied VB. to correlated VB., length = 12, 9 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that levied means requested
INCORRECT

Question 32
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deftly | skillfully | prudently | occasionally | humorously
deftly (PROBLEM) not found in the index!!

Question 33

distribute | circulate | commercialize | research | acknowledge
distribute VB. [distribute] to circulate VB. [circulate], length = 0, 2 path(s) of this length
distribute VB. [distribute] to commercialize VB. [commercialize], length = 12, 1 path(s) of this length
distribute VB. [distribute] to research N. [research], length = 12, 13 path(s) of this length
distribute VB. to acknowledge VB., length = 10, 8 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that distribute means circulate
CORRECT

Question 34

discrepancies | differences | weights | deposits | wavelengths
discrepancies N. [discrepancies] to differences N. [differences], length = 0, 2 path(s) of this length
discrepancies N. [discrepancies] to weights VB. [weights], length = 14, 14 path(s) of this length
discrepancies N. [discrepancies] to deposits N. [deposits], length = 14, 4 path(s) of this length
discrepancies N. to wavelengths N., length = 16, 12 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that discrepancies means differences
CORRECT

Question 35

prolific | productive | serious | capable | promising
prolific ADJ. [prolific] to productive ADJ. [productive], length = 0, 5 path(s) of this length
prolific ADJ. [prolific] to serious ADJ. [serious], length = 10, 20 path(s) of this length
prolific ADJ. [prolific] to capable ADJ. [capable], length = 12, 6 path(s) of this length
prolific ADJ. to promising N., length = 10, 27 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that prolific means productive
CORRECT

Question 36

unmatched | unequaled | unrecognized | alienated | emulated
unmatched ADJ. [unmatched] to unequaled ADJ. [unequaled], length = 2, 1 path(s) of this length
unmatched ADJ. [unmatched] to unrecognized ADJ. [unrecognized], length = 16, 6 path(s) of this length
unmatched ADJ. [unmatched] to alienated VB. [alienated], length = 14, 2 path(s) of this length
unmatched ADJ. to emulated ADJ., length = 2, 1 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that unmatched means unequaled
CORRECT

Question 37

peculiarly | uniquely | partly | patriotically | suspiciously
peculiarly ADV. [peculiarly] to partly ADV. [partly], length = 8, 1 path(s) of this length
patriotically is NOT IN THE INDEX
suspiciously is NOT IN THE INDEX
Roget thinks that peculiarly means partly
INCORRECT

Question 38

hue | color | glare | contrast | scent
hue N. [hue] to color N. [color], length = 2, 4 path(s) of this length
hue N. [hue] to glare VB. [glare], length = 10, 6 path(s) of this length
hue N. [hue] to contrast N. [contrast], length = 10, 4 path(s) of this length
hue N. to scent VB., length = 10, 4 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that hue means color
CORRECT

Question 39

hind | rear | curved | muscular | hairy
hind ADJ. [hind] to rear ADJ. [rear], length = 2, 1 path(s) of this length
hind N. [hind] to curved N. [curved], length = 4, 1 path(s) of this length
hind N. [hind] to muscular N. [muscular], length = 14, 2 path(s) of this length
hind ADJ. to hairy ADJ., length = 12, 2 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that hind means rear
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Correct

Question 40
highlight | accentuate | alter | imitate | restore
highlight VB. [highlight] to accentuate VB. [accentuate], length = 2, 2
  path(s) of this length
highlight VB. [highlight] to alter N. [alter], length = 12, 10 path(s) of this length
highlight VB. [highlight] to imitate VB. [imitate], length = 4, 2 path(s) of this length
highlight N. to restore VB., length = 10, 19 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that highlight means accentuate
Correct

Question 41
hastily | hurriedly | shrewdly | habitually | chronologically
hastily ADV. [hastily] to hurriedly ADV. [hurriedly], length = 0, 1
  path(s) of this length
hastily ADV. [hastily] to shrewdly ADV. [shrewdly], length = 14, 2
  path(s) of this length
hastily ADV. [hastily] to habitually ADV. [habitually], length = 14, 2
  path(s) of this length
chronologically is NOT IN THE INDEX
Roget thinks that hastily means hurriedly
Correct

Question 42
temperate | mild | cold | short | windy
temperate ADJ. [temperate] to mild ADJ. [mild], length = 0, 3 path(s) of this length
temperate ADJ. [temperate] to cold ADJ. [cold], length = 2, 4 path(s) of this length
temperate ADJ. [temperate] to short N. [short], length = 2, 1 path(s) of this length
temperate VB. to windy ADJ., length = 8, 2 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that temperate means mild
Correct

Question 43
grin | smile | exercise | rest | joke
grin N. [grin] to smile N. [smile], length = 0, 4 path(s) of this length
grin N. [grin] to exercise VB. [exercise], length = 10, 4 path(s) of this length
grin N. [grin] to rest VB. [rest], length = 10, 16 path(s) of this length
grin N. to joke N., length = 2, 2 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that grin means smile
Correct

Question 44
verbally | orally | overtly | fittingly | verbosely
verbally ADV. [verbally] to fittingly ADV. [fittingly], length = 16, 4
  path(s) of this length
verbally ADV. [verbally] to possibly ADV. [possibly], length = 2, 1
  path(s) of this length
verbosely is NOT IN THE INDEX
Roget thinks that verbally means fittingly
Incorrect

Question 45
physician | doctor | chemist | pharmacist | nurse
physician N. [physician] to doctor N. [doctor], length = 0, 3 path(s) of this length
physician N. [physician] to chemist N. [chemist], length = 4, 1 path(s) of this length
physician N. [physician] to pharmacist N. [pharmacist], length = 4, 1
  path(s) of this length
physician N. to nurse VB., length = 2, 2 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that physician means doctor
Correct

Question 46
essentially | basically | possibly | eagerly | ordinarily
essentially ADV. [essentially] to basically ADV. [basically], length = 16, 5 path(s) of this length
essentially ADV. [essentially] to possibly ADV. [possibly], length = 2, 1
  path(s) of this length
essentially ADV. [essentially] to eagerly ADV. [eagerly], length = 16, 5
  path(s) of this length
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ordinarily is NOT IN THE INDEX
Roget thinks that essentially means possibly
INCORRECT

Question 47
keen | sharp | useful | simple | famous
keen ADJ. [keen] to sharp ADJ. [sharp], length = 0, 12 path(s) of this length
keen ADJ. [keen] to useful N. [useful], length = 10, 6 path(s) of this length
keen ADJ. [keen] to simple ADJ. [simple], length = 10, 43 path(s) of this length
keen ADJ. to famous ADJ., length = 12, 9 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that keen means sharp
CORRECT

Roget thinks that keen means sharp

Question 48
situated | positioned | rotating | isolated | emptying
situated ADJ. [situated] to positioned ADJ. [positioned], length = 0, 2 path(s) of this length
situated VB. [situated] to rotating VB. [rotating], length = 14, 51 path(s) of this length
situated VB. to emptying VB., length = 8, 4 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that situated means positioned
CORRECT

Roget thinks that situated means positioned

Question 49
principal | major | most | numerous | exceptional
principal N. [principal] to major N. [major], length = 0, 7 path(s) of this length
principal N. [principal] to most ADJ. [most], length = 6, 2 path(s) of this length
principal N. [principal] to numerous ADJ. [numerous], length = 14, 4 path(s) of this length
principal N. to exceptional ADJ., length = 6, 1 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that principal means major
CORRECT

Roget thinks that principal means major

Question 50
slowly | gradually | rarely | effectively | continuously
slowly ADV. [slowly] to gradually ADV. [gradually], length = 4, 1 path(s) of this length
slowly VB. [slowly] to rarely ADV. [rarely], length = 12, 4 path(s) of this length
effectively is NOT IN THE INDEX
slowly VB. to continuously ADV., length = 10, 8 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that slowly means gradually
CORRECT

Roget thinks that slowly means gradually

Question 51
built | constructed | proposed | financed | organized
built VB. [built] to constructed VB. [constructed], length = 0, 5 path(s) of this length
built ADJ. [built] to proposed VB. [proposed], length = 10, 22 path(s) of this length
built VB. [built] to financed VB. [financed], length = 10, 1 path(s) of this length
built VB. to organized VB., length = 0, 2 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that built means constructed
TIE BROKEN
CORRECT

Roget thinks that built means constructed

Question 52
tasks | jobs | customers | materials | shops
tasks N. [tasks] to jobs N. [jobs], length = 0, 9 path(s) of this length
tasks N. [tasks] to customers N. [customers], length = 10, 21 path(s) of this length
tasks N. [tasks] to materials N. [materials], length = 10, 60 path(s) of this length
tasks N. to shops VB., length = 6, 2 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that tasks means jobs
CORRECT

Roget thinks that tasks means jobs

Question 53
unlikely | improbable | disagreeable | different | unpopular
unlikely ADJ. [unlikely] to improbable ADJ. [improbable], length = 0, 1 path(s) of this length
unlikely VB. [unlikely] to disagreeable ADJ. [disagreeable], length = 16,
Appendix K: TOEFL, ESL and RDWP questions

30 path(s) of this length
unlikely VB. [unlikely] to different N. [different], length = 12, 5
path(s) of this length
unlikely VB. to unpopular ADJ., length = 16, 48 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that unlikely means improbable
CORRECT

Question 54
halfheartedly | apathetically | customarily | bipartisanly |
unconventionally
halfheartedly (PROBLEM) not found in the index!!

Question 55
annals | chronicles | homes | trails | songs
annals N. [annals] to chronicles N. [chronicles], length = 0, 3 path(s)
of this length
annals N. [annals] to homes VB. [homes], length = 12, 36 path(s) of this length
annals N. [annals] to trails N. [trails], length = 4, 1 path(s) of this length
annals N. to songs N., length = 12, 6 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that annals means chronicles
CORRECT

Question 56
wildly | furiously | distinctively | mysteriously | abruptly
wildly VB. [wildly] to furiously ADV. [furiously], length = 16, 3 path(s)
of this length
distinctively is NOT IN THE INDEX
mysteriously is NOT IN THE INDEX
wildly VB. to abruptly ADV., length = 12, 1 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that wildly means abruptly
INCORRECT

Question 57
hailed | acclaimed | judged | remembered | addressed
hailed VB. [hailed] to acclaimed VB. [acclaimed], length = 0, 2 path(s)
of this length
hailed N. [hailed] to judged VB. [judged], length = 12, 62 path(s) of this length
hailed N. [hailed] to remembered VB. [remembered], length = 12, 46
path(s) of this length
hailed N. to addressed N., length = 0, 2 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that hailed means acclaimed
CORRECT

Question 58
command | mastery | observation | love | awareness
command N. [command] to mastery N. [mastery], length = 2, 1 path(s) of
this length
command VB. [command] to observation N. [observation], length = 6, 2
path(s) of this length
command N. [command] to love VB. [love], length = 2, 7 path(s) of this length
command VB. to awareness N., length = 12, 39 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that command means love
TIE LOST
INCORRECT

Question 59
concocted | devised | cleaned | requested | supervised
concocted VB. [concocted] to devised VB. [devised], length = 0, 3 path(s)
of this length
concocted VB. [concocted] to cleaned VB. [cleaned], length = 8, 2 path(s)
of this length
concocted VB. [concocted] to requested VB. [requested], length = 10, 76
path(s) of this length
concocted VB. to supervised VB., length = 14, 2 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that concocted means devised
CORRECT

Question 60
prospective | potential | particular | prudent | prominent
prospective ADJ. [prospective] to potential ADJ. [potential], length = 2, 1
path(s) of this length
prospective N. [prospective] to particular N. [particular], length = 10, 14 path(s) of this length
prospective ADJ. [prospective] to prudent ADJ. [prudent], length = 2, 1
path(s) of this length
prospective ADJ. to prominent ADJ., length = 12, 3 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that prospective means potential
CORRECT

Question 61
generally | broadly | descriptively | controversially | accurately
broadly (ANSWER) is NOT IN THE INDEX
descriptively is NOT IN THE INDEX
controversially is NOT IN THE INDEX
generally ADV. to accurately ADV., length = 16, 5 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that generally means accurately
INCORRECT

Question 62
sustained | prolonged | refined | lowered | analyzed
sustained VB. [sustained] to prolonged VB. [prolonged], length = 0, 3
path(s) of this length
sustained VB. [sustained] to refined N. [refined], length = 6, 1 path(s)
of this length
sustained VB. [sustained] to lowered VB. [lowered], length = 10, 46
path(s) of this length
analyzed is NOT IN THE INDEX
Roget thinks that sustained means prolonged
CORRECT

Question 63
perilous | dangerous | binding | exciting | offensive
perilous ADJ. [perilous] to dangerous ADJ. [dangerous], length = 0, 1
path(s) of this length
perilous ADJ. [perilous] to binding VB. [binding], length = 10, 2 path(s)
of this length
perilous ADJ. [perilous] to exciting VB. [exciting], length = 14, 3
path(s) of this length
perilous ADJ. to offensive ADJ., length = 10, 1 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that perilous means dangerous
CORRECT

Question 64
tranquillity | peacefulness | harshness | weariness | happiness
tranquillity N. [tranquillity] to peacefulness N. [peacefulness], length = 0, 1 path(s) of this length
tranquillity N. [tranquillity] to harshness N. [harshness], length = 8, 1
path(s) of this length
tranquillity N. [tranquillity] to weariness N. [weariness], length = 8, 1
path(s) of this length
tranquillity N. to happiness N., length = 10, 8 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that tranquillity means peacefulness
CORRECT

Question 65
dissipate | disperse | isolate | disguise | photograph
dissipate VB. [dissipate] to disperse VB. [disperse], length = 0, 5
path(s) of this length
dissipate VB. [dissipate] to isolate VB. [isolate], length = 10, 1
path(s) of this length
dissipate VB. [dissipate] to disguise N. [disguise], length = 10, 1
path(s) of this length
dissipate VB. to photograph N., length = 14, 4 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that dissipate means disperse
CORRECT

Question 66
primarily | chiefly | occasionally | cautiously | consistently
chiefly (ANSWER) is NOT IN THE INDEX
primarily ADV. [primarily] to occasionally ADV. [occasionally], length = 10, 1 path(s) of this length
primarily ADV. [primarily] to cautiously ADV. [cautiously], length = 16, 3 path(s) of this length
primarily ADV. to consistently ADJ., length = 12, 1 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that primarily means occasionally
INCORRECT

Question 67
colloquial | conversational | recorded | misunderstood | incorrect
colloquial ADJ. [colloquial] to conversational ADJ. [conversational],
length = 12, 8 path(s) of this length
colloquial ADJ. [colloquial] to recorded VB. [recorded], length = 12, 128
path(s) of this length
Appendix K: TOEFL, ESL and RDWP questions

colloquial ADJ. [colloquial] to misunderstood VB. [misunderstood], length = 12, 16 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that colloquial means recorded INCORRECT

Question 68
resolved | settled | publicized | forgotten | examined
resolved N. [resolved] to settled N. [settled], length = 0, 3 path(s) of this length
resolved VB. [resolved] to publicized VB. [publicized], length = 12, 6 path(s) of this length
resolved VB. [resolved] to forgotten N. [forgotten], length = 10, 24 path(s) of this length
resolved VB. to examined VB., length = 12, 5 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that resolved means settled CORRECT

Question 69
feasible | possible | permitted | equitable | evident
feasible ADJ. [feasible] to possible ADJ. [possible], length = 0, 3 path(s) of this length
feasible ADJ. [feasible] to permitted ADJ. [permitted], length = 2, 1 path(s) of this length
feasible ADJ. [feasible] to equitable ADJ. [equitable], length = 16, 9 path(s) of this length
feasible N. to evident ADJ., length = 12, 6 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that feasible means possible CORRECT

Question 70
expeditiously | rapidly | frequently | actually | repeatedly
expeditiously (PROBLEM) not found in the index!!

Question 71
percentage | proportion | volume | sample | profit
percentage N. [percentage] to proportion N. [proportion], length = 2, 2 path(s) of this length
percentage N. [percentage] to volume N. [volume], length = 4, 1 path(s) of this length
percentage N. [percentage] to sample N. [sample], length = 2, 1 path(s) of this length
percentage N. to profit N., length = 2, 1 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that percentage means proportion TIE BROKEN CORRECT

Question 72
terminated | ended | posed | postponed | evaluated
terminated VB. [terminated] to ended VB. [ended], length = 0, 6 path(s) of this length
terminated VB. [terminated] to posed VB. [posed], length = 14, 15 path(s) of this length
terminated VB. [terminated] to postponed VB. [postponed], length = 12, 1 path(s) of this length
terminated ADJ. to evaluated VB., length = 12, 2 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that terminated means ended CORRECT

Question 73
uniform | alike | hard | complex | sharp
uniform ADJ. [uniform] to alike ADJ. [alike], length = 2, 1 path(s) of this length
uniform N. [uniform] to hard N. [hard], length = 4, 2 path(s) of this length
uniform ADJ. [uniform] to complex N. [complex], length = 6, 5 path(s) of this length
uniform ADJ. to sharp N., length = 6, 3 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that uniform means alike CORRECT

Question 74
figure | solve | list | divide | express
figure VB. [figure] to solve VB. [solve], length = 12, 10 path(s) of this length
figure N. [figure] to list N. [list], length = 2, 4 path(s) of this length
figure VB. [figure] to divide VB. [divide], length = 2, 1 path(s) of this length
figure N. to express VB., length = 2, 2 path(s) of this length
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Roget thinks that figure means list
INCORRECT

Question 75
sufficient | enough | recent | physiological | valuable
sufficient ADJ. [sufficient] to enough ADJ. [enough], length = 2, 1 path(s) of this length
sufficient N. [sufficient] to recent ADJ. [recent], length = 14, 15 path(s) of this length
sufficient ADJ. [sufficient] to physiological ADJ. [physiological], length = 16, 8 path(s) of this length
sufficient ADJ. to valuable ADJ., length = 4, 2 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that sufficient means enough
CORRECT

Question 76
fashion | manner | ration | fathom | craze
fashion N. [fashion] to manner N. [manner], length = 0, 5 path(s) of this length
fashion N. [fashion] to ration VB. [ration], length = 10, 11 path(s) of this length
fashion N. [fashion] to fathom VB. [fathom], length = 12, 22 path(s) of this length
fashion N. to craze N., length = 0, 3 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that fashion means manner
TIE BROKEN
CORRECT

Question 77
marketed | sold | frozen | sweetened | diluted
marketed N. [marketed] to sold N. [sold], length = 0, 5 path(s) of this length
marketed N. [marketed] to frozen N. [frozen], length = 10, 108 path(s) of this length
marketed N. [marketed] to sweetened VB. [sweetened], length = 10, 10 path(s) of this length
marketed N. to diluted ADJ., length = 12, 5 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that marketed means sold
CORRECT

Question 78
bigger | larger | steadier | closer | better
bigger ADJ. [bigger] to larger ADJ. [larger], length = 0, 4 path(s) of this length
bigger N. [bigger] to steadier ADJ. [steadier], length = 8, 1 path(s) of this length
bigger ADJ. [bigger] to closer VB. [closer], length = 8, 7 path(s) of this length
bigger N. to better VB., length = 2, 1 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that bigger means larger
CORRECT

Question 79
roots | origins | rituals | cure | function
roots N. [roots] to origins N. [origins], length = 0, 3 path(s) of this length
roots VB. [roots] to rituals N. [rituals], length = 6, 1 path(s) of this length
roots VB. to cure VB. [cure], length = 8, 1 path(s) of this length
roots N. to function N., length = 4, 1 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that roots means origins
CORRECT

Question 80
normally | ordinarily | haltingly | permanently | periodically
normally (PROBLEM) not found in the index!!

Final score: 63/80. 9 ties broken, 2 ties lost.

Question word not in index: 4 times.
Answer word not in index: 5 times.
Other word not in index: 17 times.

The following question words were not found in Roget: [deftly, halfheartedly, expeditiously, normally]
The following answer words were not found in Roget: [succinctly, uniquely, orally, broadly, chiefly]
Other words that were not found in Roget: [uniquely, linearly, typically, tentatively, chemically, patriotically, suspiciously, chronologically, overtly, verbosely, ordinarily]
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effectively, distinctively, mysteriously, descriptively, controversially, analyzed]

1.B. 50 ESL Questions

Question 1
rusty | corroded | black | dirty | painted

rusty ADJ. [rusty] to corroded VB. [corroded], length = 6, 1 path(s) of this length
rusty ADJ. [rusty] to black N. [black], length = 6, 9 path(s) of this length
rusty ADJ. [rusty] to dirty ADJ. [dirty], length = 0, 1 path(s) of this length
rusty ADJ. to painted ADJ., length = 2, 1 path(s) of this length

Roget thinks that rusty means dirty
INCORRECT

Question 2
brass | metal | wood | stone | plastic

brass N. [brass] to metal N. [metal], length = 0, 5 path(s) of this length
brass N. [brass] to wood N. [wood], length = 4, 1 path(s) of this length
brass N. [brass] to stone N. [stone], length = 2, 5 path(s) of this length
brass N. to plastic N., length = 4, 2 path(s) of this length

Roget thinks that brass means metal
CORRECT

Question 3
spin | twirl | ache | sweat | flush

spin VB. [spin] to twirl VB. [twirl], length = 0, 2 path(s) of this length
spin N. [spin] to ache VB. [ache], length = 12, 1 path(s) of this length
spin N. [spin] to sweat N. [sweat], length = 0, 1 path(s) of this length
spin VB. to flush VB., length = 4, 1 path(s) of this length

Roget thinks that spin means twirl
TIE BROKEN
CORRECT

Question 4
passage | hallway | ticket | entrance | room

passage N. [passage] to hallway N. [hallway], length = 2, 2 path(s) of this length
passage N. [passage] to ticket N. [ticket], length = 4, 2 path(s) of this length
passage N. [passage] to entrance N. [entrance], length = 2, 5 path(s) of this length
passage N. to room N., length = 2, 3 path(s) of this length

Roget thinks that passage means entrance
INCORRECT

Question 5
yield | submit | challenge | boast | scorn

yield VB. [yield] to submit VB. [submit], length = 0, 9 path(s) of this length
yield VB. [yield] to challenge VB. [challenge], length = 4, 2 path(s) of this length
yield VB. [yield] to boast VB. [boast], length = 8, 1 path(s) of this length
yield VB. to scorn VB., length = 10, 11 path(s) of this length

Roget thinks that yield means submit
CORRECT

Question 6
lean | rest | scrape | grate | refer

lean VB. [lean] to rest VB. [rest], length = 0, 2 path(s) of this length
lean VB. [lean] to scrape VB. [scrape], length = 2, 1 path(s) of this length
lean N. [lean] to grate VB. [grate], length = 6, 2 path(s) of this length
lean VB. to refer VB., length = 12, 15 path(s) of this length

Roget thinks that lean means rest
CORRECT

Question 7
barrel | cask | bottle | box | case

barrel N. [barrel] to cask N. [cask], length = 0, 2 path(s) of this length
barrel N. [barrel] to bottle N. [bottle], length = 4, 3 path(s) of this length
barrel N. [barrel] to box N. [box], length = 0, 9 path(s) of this length
barrel N. to case N., length = 4, 4 path(s) of this length

Roget thinks that barrel means box
TIE LOST
INCORRECT

Question 8
nuisance | pest | garbage | relief | troublesome

nuisance N. [nuisance] to pest N. [pest], length = 0, 4 path(s) of this length
nuisance N. [nuisance] to garbage N. [garbage], length = 14, 4 path(s) of
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this length
nuisance N. [nuisance] to relief N. [relief], length = 8, 2 path(s) of this length
nuisance N. to troublesome ADJ., length = 6, 1 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that nuisance means pest
CORRECT

Question 9
rug | carpet | sofa | ottoman | hallway
rug N. [rug] to carpet N. [carpet], length = 2, 2 path(s) of this length
rug N. [rug] to sofa N. [sofa], length = 12, 2 path(s) of this length
rug N. [rug] to ottoman N. [ottoman], length = 12, 2 path(s) of this length
rug N. to hallway N., length = 16, 2 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that rug means carpet
CORRECT

Question 10
tap | drain | knock | rap
tap VB. [tap] to drain VB. [drain], length = 0, 8 path(s) of this length
tap N. [tap] to boil VB. [boil], length = 6, 1 path(s) of this length
tap N. [tap] to knock N. [knock], length = 0, 10 path(s) of this length
tap N. to rap N., length = 0, 5 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that tap means knock
TIE LOST
INCORRECT

Question 11
split | divided | crushed | grated | bruised
split VB. [split] to divided VB. [divided], length = 2, 8 path(s) of this length
split VB. [split] to crushed VB. [crushed], length = 4, 1 path(s) of this length
split N. [split] to grated VB. [grated], length = 6, 1 path(s) of this length
split VB. to bruised VB., length = 10, 7 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that split means divided
CORRECT

Question 12
lump | chunk | stem | trunk | limb
lump N. [lump] to chunk N. [chunk], length = 0, 3 path(s) of this length
lump N. [lump] to stem N. [stem], length = 2, 1 path(s) of this length
lump N. [lump] to trunk N. [trunk], length = 2, 2 path(s) of this length
lump N. to limb N., length = 2, 3 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that lump means chunk
CORRECT

Question 13
outline | contour | pair | blend | block
outline N. [outline] to contour N. [contour], length = 0, 7 path(s) of this length
outline N. [outline] to pair N. [pair], length = 10, 41 path(s) of this length
outline N. [outline] to blend VB. [blend], length = 10, 8 path(s) of this length
outline N. to block VB., length = 2, 8 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that outline means contour
CORRECT

Question 14
swear | vow | explain | think | describe
swear VB. [swear] to vow VB. [vow], length = 0, 4 path(s) of this length
swear VB. [swear] to explain VB. [explain], length = 8, 1 path(s) of this length
swear VB. [swear] to think VB. [think], length = 4, 3 path(s) of this length
swear VB. to describe VB., length = 12, 77 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that swear means vow
CORRECT

Question 15
relieved | rested | sleepy | tired | hasty
relieved VB. [relieved] to rested VB. [rested], length = 0, 6 path(s) of this length
relieved VB. [relieved] to sleepy ADJ. [sleepy], length = 8, 1 path(s) of this length
relieved VB. [relieved] to tired VB. [tired], length = 8, 3 path(s) of this length
relieved VB. to hasty N., length = 2, 1 path(s) of this length
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Roget thinks that relieved means rested
CORRECT

**Question 16**

| Roget thinks that deserve means merit |

**deserve** VB. [deserve] to **merit** VB. [merit], length = 0, 2 path(s) of this length
**deserve** VB. [deserve] to **need** VB. [need], length = 10, 16 path(s) of this length
**deserve** VB. [deserve] to **want** VB. [want], length = 10, 23 path(s) of this length
**deserve** VB. to **expect** VB., length = 4, 1 path(s) of this length

Roget thinks that deserve means merit
CORRECT

**Question 17**

| haste | a hurry | anger | ear | spite |

**haste** N. [haste] to **hurry** N. [a hurry], length = 0, 6 path(s) of this length
**haste** N. [haste] to **anger** N. [anger], length = 4, 1 path(s) of this length
**haste** N. [haste] to **ear** N. [ear], length = 12, 10 path(s) of this length
**haste** N. to **spite** VB., length = 12, 5 path(s) of this length

Roget thinks that haste means a hurry
CORRECT

**Question 18**

| stiff | firm | dark | drunk | cooked |

**stiff** N. [stiff] to **firm** N. [firm], length = 2, 4 path(s) of this length
**stiff** ADJ. [stiff] to **dark** ADJ. [dark], length = 2, 1 path(s) of this length
**stiff** ADJ. [stiff] to **drunk** ADJ. [drunk], length = 2, 3 path(s) of this length
**stiff** ADJ. to **cooked** VB., length = 12, 34 path(s) of this length

Roget thinks that stiff means firm
TIE BROKEN
CORRECT

**Question 19**

| verse | section | weed | twig | branch |

**verse** N. [verse] to **section** N. [section], length = 2, 4 path(s) of this length
**verse** N. [verse] to **weed** VB. [weed], length = 10, 15 path(s) of this length
**verse** N. [verse] to **twig** N. [twig], length = 4, 1 path(s) of this length
**verse** N. to **branch** N., length = 4, 2 path(s) of this length

Roget thinks that verse means section
CORRECT

**Question 20**

| steep | sheer | bare | rugged | stone |

**steep** ADJ. [steep] to **sheer** ADJ. [sheer], length = 0, 3 path(s) of this length
**steep** VB. [steep] to **bare** ADJ. [bare], length = 8, 1 path(s) of this length
**steep** ADJ. [steep] to **rugged** ADJ. [rugged], length = 2, 1 path(s) of this length
**steep** VB. to **stone** N., length = 8, 1 path(s) of this length

Roget thinks that steep means sheer
CORRECT

**Question 21**

| envious | jealous | enthusiastic | hurt | relieved |

**envious** ADJ. [envious] to **jealous** ADJ. [jealous], length = 0, 7 path(s) of this length
**envious** ADJ. [envious] to **enthusiastic** ADJ. [enthusiastic], length = 12, 1 path(s) of this length
**envious** ADJ. [envious] to **hurt** ADJ. [hurt], length = 2, 1 path(s) of this length

Roget thinks that envious means jealous
CORRECT

**Question 22**

| paste | dough | syrup | block | jelly |

**paste** N. [paste] to **dough** N. [dough], length = 0, 2 path(s) of this length
**paste** N. [paste] to **syrup** N. [syrup], length = 2, 1 path(s) of this length
**paste** N. [paste] to **block** N. [block], length = 8, 1 path(s) of this length
**paste** N. to **jelly** N., length = 2, 1 path(s) of this length

Roget thinks that paste means dough
CORRECT

**Question 23**

| scorn | refuse | enjoy | avoid | plan |

**scorn** VB. [scorn] to **refuse** VB. [refuse], length = 2, 1 path(s) of this length
**scorn** VB. [scorn] to **enjoy** VB. [enjoy], length = 8, 1 path(s) of this length
scorn N. [scorn] to avoid VB. [avoid], length = 10, 45 path(s) of this length
scorn VB. to plan VB., length = 10, 24 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that scorn means refuse
CORRECT

**Question 24**
refer | direct | call | carry | explain
refer VB. [refer] to direct VB. [direct], length = 2, 1 path(s) of this length
refer VB. [refer] to call VB. [call], length = 2, 2 path(s) of this length
refer VB. [refer] to carry VB. [carry], length = 10, 39 path(s) of this length
refer VB. to explain VB., length = 4, 1 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that refer means call
TIE LOST
INCORRECT

**Question 25**
limb | branch | bark | trunk | twig
limb N. [limb] to branch N. [branch], length = 0, 8 path(s) of this length
limb N. [limb] to bark N. [bark], length = 10, 10 path(s) of this length
limb N. [limb] to trunk N. [trunk], length = 2, 5 path(s) of this length
limb N. to twig N., length = 0, 7 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that limb means branch
TIE BROKEN
CORRECT

**Question 26**
pad | cushion | board | block | tablet
pad VB. [pad] to cushion VB. [cushion], length = 0, 5 path(s) of this length
pad N. [pad] to board N. [board], length = 2, 5 path(s) of this length
pad VB. [pad] to block VB. [block], length = 2, 7 path(s) of this length
pad N. to tablet N., length = 2, 2 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that pad means cushion
CORRECT

**Question 27**
boast | brag | yell | complain | explain
boast VB. [boast] to brag VB. [brag], length = 0, 9 path(s) of this length
boast VB. [boast] to yell N. [yell], length = 12, 22 path(s) of this length
boast VB. [boast] to complain VB. [complain], length = 8, 1 path(s) of this length
boast VB. to explain VB., length = 10, 24 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that boast means brag
CORRECT

**Question 28**
applause | approval | fear | shame | friends
applause N. [applause] to approval N. [approval], length = 0, 2 path(s) of this length
applause N. [applause] to fear VB. [fear], length = 6, 1 path(s) of this length
applause N. [applause] to shame VB. [shame], length = 8, 4 path(s) of this length
applause N. to friends N., length = 8, 1 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that applause means approval
CORRECT

**Question 29**
sheet | leaf | book | block | tap
sheet N. [sheet] to leaf N. [leaf], length = 0, 5 path(s) of this length
sheet N. [sheet] to book N. [book], length = 0, 14 path(s) of this length
sheet N. to block N. [block], length = 4, 2 path(s) of this length
sheet VB. to tap VB., length = 4, 1 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that sheet means book
TIE LOST
INCORRECT

**Question 30**
stem | stalk | bark | column | trunk
stem N. [stem] to stalk N. [stalk], length = 0, 4 path(s) of this length
stem VB. [stem] to bark N. [bark], length = 10, 14 path(s) of this length
stem N. [stem] to column N. [column], length = 2, 2 path(s) of this length
stem N. to trunk N., length = 0, 4 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that stem means stalk
CORRECT

**Question 31**
seize | take | refer | request | yield
seize VB. [seize] to take VB. [take], length = 0, 8 path(s) of this length
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seize VB. [seize] to refer VB. [refer], length = 12, 16 path(s) of this length
seize VB. [seize] to request N. [request], length = 6, 1 path(s) of this length
seize VB. to yield VB., length = 10, 18 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that seize means take
CORRECT

Question 32
trunk | chest | bag | closet | swing
trunk N. [trunk] to chest N. [chest], length = 2, 1 path(s) of this length
trunk N. [trunk] to bag N. [bag], length = 4, 2 path(s) of this length
trunk N. [trunk] to closet N. [closet], length = 4, 2 path(s) of this length
trunk N. to swing N., length = 4, 1 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that trunk means chest
CORRECT

Question 33
weed | unwanted plant | cloth | animal | vegetable
weed N. [weed] to plant N. [unwanted plant], length = 0, 4 path(s) of this length
weed VB. [weed] to cloth N. [cloth], length = 6, 1 path(s) of this length
weed N. [weed] to animal N. [animal], length = 0, 1 path(s) of this length
weed N. to vegetable N., length = 0, 4 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that weed means unwanted plant
TIE BROKEN
CORRECT

Question 34
approval | endorsement | gift | statement | confession
approval N. [approval] to endorsement N. [endorsement], length = 2, 1 path(s) of this length
approval ADJ. [approval] to gift N. [gift], length = 10, 30 path(s) of this length
approval ADJ. to statement N. [statement], length = 10, 30 path(s) of this length
approval N. to confession N., length = 2, 1 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that approval means endorsement
CORRECT

Question 35
mass | lump | service | worship | element
mass N. [mass] to lump N. [lump], length = 0, 11 path(s) of this length
mass N. [mass] to service N. [service], length = 0, 14 path(s) of this length
mass N. [mass] to worship N. [worship], length = 2, 3 path(s) of this length
mass N. to element N. length = 4, 2 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that mass means service
TIE LOST
INCORRECT

Question 36
swing | sway | bounce | break | crash
swing VB. [swing] to sway VB. [sway], length = 0, 5 path(s) of this length
swing VB. [swing] to bounce VB. [bounce], length = 2, 2 path(s) of this length
swing VB. [swing] to break VB. [break], length = 2, 2 path(s) of this length
swing N. to crash N., length = 2, 4 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that swing means away
CORRECT

Question 37
sore | painful | red | hot | rough
sore ADJ. [sore] to painful ADJ. [painful], length = 0, 5 path(s) of this length
sore VB. [sore] to red VB. [red], length = 0, 3 path(s) of this length
sore N. [sore] to hot N. [hot], length = 0, 3 path(s) of this length
sore ADJ. to rough ADJ., length = 2, 3 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that sore means painful
TIE BROKEN
INCORRECT

Question 38
hinder | block | assist | relieve | yield
hinder VB. [hinder] to block VB. [block], length = 2, 2 path(s) of this length
hinder VB. [hinder] to assist VB. [assist], length = 8, 1 path(s) of this length
hinder VB. [hinder] to relieve VB. [relieve], length = 8, 3 path(s) of this length
hinder VB. to yield VB., length = 10, 40 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that hinder means block
CORRECT
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Question 39
sticky | gooey | smooth | shiny | wet
sticky ADJ. [sticky] to gooey ADJ. [gooey], length = 0, 2 path(s) of this length
sticky N. [sticky] to smooth N. [smooth], length = 2, 1 path(s) of this length
sticky ADJ. [sticky] to shiny ADJ. [shiny], length = 14, 2 path(s) of this length
sticky ADJ. to wet VB., length = 10, 34 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that sticky means gooey
CORRECT

Question 40
confession | statement | service | plea | bargain
confession N. [confession] to statement N. [statement], length = 0, 3 path(s) of this length
confession N. [confession] to service N. [service], length = 4, 4 path(s) of this length
confession N. [confession] to plea N. [plea], length = 2, 1 path(s) of this length
confession N. to bargain N., length = 4, 1 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that confession means statement
CORRECT

Question 41
weave | intertwine | print | stamp | shake
weave VB. [weave] to intertwine VB. [intertwine], length = 0, 5 path(s) of this length
weave VB. [weave] to print VB. [print], length = 2, 2 path(s) of this length
weave VB. [weave] to stamp VB. [stamp], length = 8, 1 path(s) of this length
weave VB. to shake VB., length = 2, 1 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that weave means intertwine
CORRECT

Question 42
saucer | dish | box | frisbee | can
saucer N. [saucer] to dish N. [dish], length = 2, 4 path(s) of this length
saucer N. [saucer] to box N. [box], length = 4, 8 path(s) of this length
frisbee is NOT IN THE INDEX
saucer N. to can N., length = 4, 3 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that saucer means dish
CORRECT

Question 43
substance | thing | posture | level | score
substance N. [substance] to thing N. [thing], length = 2, 13 path(s) of this length
substance N. [substance] to posture N. [posture], length = 2, 1 path(s) of this length
substance N. to level N. [level], length = 8, 2 path(s) of this length
substance N. to score N., length = 8, 1 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that substance means thing
TIE BROKEN
CORRECT

Question 44
firmly | steadfastly | reluctantly | sadly | hopefully
steadfastly (ANSWER) is NOT IN THE INDEX
firmly VB. [firmly] to reluctantly ADV. [reluctantly], length = 16, 6 path(s) of this length
firmly ADV. [firmly] to sadly ADV. [sadly], length = 12, 1 path(s) of this length
firmly VB. to hopefully ADV., length = 16, 3 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that firmly means sadly
INCORRECT

Question 45
twist | intertwine | clip | fasten | curl
twist VB. [twist] to intertwine VB. [intertwine], length = 0, 2 path(s) of this length
twist N. [twist] to clip N. [clip], length = 4, 2 path(s) of this length
twist VB. [twist] to fasten VB. [fasten], length = 4, 3 path(s) of this length
twist N. to curl N., length = 2, 4 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that twist means intertwine
CORRECT

Question 46
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scrape | grate | chop | mince | slice
scrape VB. [scrape] to grate VB. [grate], length = 0, 4 path(s) of this length
scrape VB. [scrape] to chop VB. [chop], length = 8, 1 path(s) of this length
scrape VB. [scrape] to mince VB. [mince], length = 2, 1 path(s) of this length
scrape VB. to slice N., length = 10, 25 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that scrape means grate
CORRECT

Question 47
grind | rub | slice | hit | tap
grind VB. [grind] to rub VB. [rub], length = 2, 6 path(s) of this length
grind VB. [grind] to slice VB. [slice], length = 4, 2 path(s) of this length
grind VB. [grind] to hit VB. [hit], length = 10, 37 path(s) of this length
grind VB. to tap ADJ., length = 10, 49 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that grind means rub
CORRECT

Question 48
swell | enlarge | move | curl | shake
swell VB. [swell] to enlarge VB. [enlarge], length = 0, 4 path(s) of this length
swell N. [swell] to move VB. [move], length = 6, 1 path(s) of this length
swell VB. [swell] to curl VB. [curl], length = 10, 34 path(s) of this length
swell N. to shake N., length = 4, 1 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that swell means enlarge
CORRECT

Question 49
harvest | intake | stem | lump | split
harvest N. [harvest] to intake N. [intake], length = 4, 1 path(s) of this length
harvest N. [harvest] to stem N. [stem], length = 8, 1 path(s) of this length
harvest N. [harvest] to lump N. [lump], length = 2, 1 path(s) of this length
harvest N. to split N., length = 4, 1 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that harvest means lump
INCORRECT

Question 50
approve | support | boast | scorn | anger
approve VB. [approve] to support VB. [support], length = 2, 3 path(s) of this length
approve VB. [approve] to boast VB. [boast], length = 4, 1 path(s) of this length
approve VB. [approve] to scorn VB. [scorn], length = 2, 1 path(s) of this length
approve VB. to anger N., length = 8, 1 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that approve means support
TIE BROKEN
CORRECT

Final score: 41/50. 7 ties broken, 5 ties lost.

Question word not in index: 0 times.
Answer word not in index: 1 times.
Other word not in index: 1 times.

The following question words were not found in Roget: []
The following answer words were not found in Roget: [steadfastly]
Other words that were not found in Roget: [frisbee]

1.C. 20 RDWP Questions – January 2000: Nature

Question 1
eddy | whirlpool | current | wave | wind
eddy N. [eddy] to whirlpool N. [whirlpool], length = 0, 4 path(s) of this length
eddy N. [eddy] to current N. [current], length = 2, 2 path(s) of this length
eddy N. [eddy] to wave N. [wave], length = 2, 3 path(s) of this length
eddy N. to wind N., length = 2, 5 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that eddy means whirlpool
CORRECT

Question 2
bough | branch | barricade | shaded area | fallen tree
bough N. [bough] to branch N. [branch], length = 0, 5 path(s) of this length
bough N. [bough] to barricade N. [barricade], length = 12, 1 path(s) of this length
bough N. [bough] to shaded N. [shaded area], length = 10, 31 path(s) of this length
bough N. [bough] to tree N. [fallen tree], length = 2, 2 path(s) of this length
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Roget thinks that bough means branch
CORRECT

Question 3
heath | overgrown open land | burned-over area | thin forest | pasture
heath N. [heath] to land N. [overgrown open land], length = 0, 2 path(s) of this length
heath N. [heath] to area N. [burned-over area], length = 4, 1 path(s) of this length
heath N. [heath] to forest N. [thin forest], length = 0, 2 path(s) of this length
heath N. to pasture N., length = 4, 2 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that heath means overgrown open land
CORRECT

Question 4
scud | run straight | move slowly | falter | vaporize
scud VB. [scud] to run VB. [run straight], length = 2, 5 path(s) of this length
scud VB. [scud] to move slowly VB. [move slowly], length = 8, 1 path(s) of this length
scud VB. [scud] to falter VB. [falter], length = 8, 2 path(s) of this length
scud N. to vaporize VB., length = 6, 1 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that scud means run straight
CORRECT

Question 5
williwaw | sudden windblast | rainsquall | songbird | meadow flower
williwaw N. [williwaw] to sudden N. [sudden windblast], length = 14, 2 path(s) of this length
rainsquall is NOT IN THE INDEX
williwaw N. [williwaw] to songbird N. [songbird], length = 14, 2 path(s) of this length
williwaw N. [williwaw] to flower N. [meadow flower], length = 4, 1 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that williwaw means meadow flower
INCORRECT

Question 6
verge | brink | middle path | bare ground | vantage point
verge N. [verge] to brink N. [brink], length = 0, 3 path(s) of this length
verge N. [verge] to path N. [middle path], length = 4, 2 path(s) of this length
verge VB. [verge] to ground VB. [bare ground], length = 10, 77 path(s) of this length
verge N. to vantage point N., length = 16, 9 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that verge means brink
CORRECT

Question 7
dale | valley | retreat | shelter | plain
dale N. [dale] to valley N. [valley], length = 2, 1 path(s) of this length
dale N. [dale] to retreat VB. [retreat], length = 16, 36 path(s) of this length
dale N. [dale] to shelter N. [shelter], length = 14, 2 path(s) of this length
dale N. to plain N., length = 2, 1 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that dale means valley
CORRECT

Question 8
limpid | clear | still | flat | luminous
limpid ADJ. [limpid] to clear ADJ. [clear], length = 0, 3 path(s) of this length
limpid ADJ. [limpid] to still VB. [still], length = 10, 23 path(s) of this length
limpid ADJ. [limpid] to flat ADJ. [flat], length = 10, 31 path(s) of this length
limpid ADJ. to luminous ADJ., length = 2, 1 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that limpid means clear
CORRECT

Question 9
floe | floating ice | frozen stream | lump | driftwood
floe N. [floe] to ice N. [floating ice], length = 0, 4 path(s) of this length
floe N. [floe] to frozen N. [frozen stream], length = 2, 2 path(s) of this length
floe N. [floe] to lump N. [lump], length = 12, 4 path(s) of this length
floe N. to driftwood N., length = 16, 6 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that floe means floating ice
CORRECT

Question 10
cascade | waterfall | thunder | swift current | edge
cascade N. [cascade] to waterfall N. [waterfall], length = 0, 2 path(s) of this length
cascade VB. [cascade] to thunder VB. [thunder], length = 12, 2 path(s) of this length
cascade N. [cascade] to current N. [swift current], length = 4, 1 path(s) of this length
cascade VB. to edge VB., length = 10, 8 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that cascade means waterfall
CORRECT

Question 11
undulation | rise and fall | faint motion | ebb and flow | quivering
undulation N. [undulation] to rise and fall VB. [rise and fall], length = 10, 1 path(s) of this length
undulation N. [undulation] to motion N. [faint motion], length = 2, 2 path(s) of this length
undulation N. [undulation] to ebb and flow N. [ebb and flow], length = 4, 2 path(s) of this length
undulation N. to quivering VB., length = 6, 1 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that undulation means faint motion
INCORRECT

Question 12
crag | steep rock | headland | barren hill | niche
crag N. [crag] to steep N. [steep rock], length = 0, 1 path(s) of this length
crag N. [crag] to headland N. [headland], length = 2, 1 path(s) of this length
crag N. [crag] to hill N. [barren hill], length = 2, 2 path(s) of this length
crag N. to niche N., length = 10, 3 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that crag means steep rock
CORRECT

Question 13
truss | cluster of flowers | main stem | bunch of grass | fallen petals
truss VB. [truss] to cluster VB. [cluster of flowers], length = 4, 3 path(s) of this length
truss N. [truss] to stem N. [main stem], length = 2, 2 path(s) of this length
truss VB. [truss] to bunch VB. [bunch of grass], length = 2, 5 path(s) of this length
truss VB. [truss] to fallen VB. [fallen petals], length = 8, 3 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that truss means bunch of grass
INCORRECT

Question 14
slough | deep mire | quicksand | shower | erosion
slough N. [slough] to mire N. [deep mire], length = 0, 1 path(s) of this length
slough N. [slough] to quicksand N. [quicksand], length = 2, 1 path(s) of this length
slough VB. [slough] to shower N. [shower], length = 6, 5 path(s) of this length
slough N. to erosion N., length = 10, 16 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that slough means deep mire
CORRECT

Question 15
lee | shelter | cove | grassland | riverbank
lee N. [lee] to shelter N. [shelter], length = 2, 2 path(s) of this length
lee N. [lee] to cove N. [cove], length = 14, 1 path(s) of this length
lee N. [lee] to grassland N. [grassland], length = 14, 1 path(s) of this length
riverbank is NOT IN THE INDEX
Roget thinks that lee means shelter
CORRECT

Question 16
brackish | salty | dirty | rough | noisy
brackish ADJ. [brackish] to salty ADJ. [salty], length = 0, 1 path(s) of this length
brackish ADJ. [brackish] to dirty VB. [dirty], length = 10, 6 path(s) of this length
brackish ADJ. [brackish] to rough ADJ. [rough], length = 4, 1 path(s) of this length
brackish ADJ. to noisy ADJ., length = 10, 1 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that brackish means salty
CORRECT

Question 17
precipice | vertical rockface | wide gap | broken path | descent
precipice N. [precipice] to vertical N. [vertical rockface], length = 2, 2 path(s) of this length
precipice N. [precipice] to broken VB. [broken path], length = 8, 1 path(s) of this length
precipice N. to descent N., length = 2, 2 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that precipice means descent
INCORRECT

Question 18
chasm | deep fissure | wide opening | mountain pass | series of falls
chasm N. [chasm] to fissure N. [deep fissure], length = 0, 2 path(s) of this length
chasm N. [chasm] to wide opening ADJ. [wide opening], length = 10, 3 path(s) of this length
chasm N. [chasm] to pass N. [mountain pass], length = 2, 1 path(s) of this length
chasm N. [chasm] to falls N. [series of falls], length = 2, 1 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that chasm means deep fissure
CORRECT

Question 19
sediment | settles to the bottom | floats | holds together | covers rocks
sediment N. [sediment] to the N. [settles to the bottom], length = 10, 24 path(s) of this length
sediment N. [sediment] to floats VB. [floats], length = 10, 9 path(s) of this length
sediment N. [sediment] to together VB. [holds together], length = 6, 1 path(s) of this length
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sediment N. [sediment] to rocks N. [covers rocks], length = 2, 1 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that sediment means covers rocks
INCORRECT

**Question 20**
torrent | violent flow | drift | swell | deep sound
torrent N. [torrent] to violent N. [violent flow], length = 4, 1 path(s) of this length
torrent N. [torrent] to drift VB. [drift], length = 8, 1 path(s) of this length
torrent N. [torrent] to swell N. [swell], length = 4, 1 path(s) of this length
torrent N. [torrent] to sound ADJ. [deep sound], length = 6, 2 path(s) of this length
Roget thinks that torrent means violent flow
CORRECT

**Final score: 15/20. 0 ties broken, 0 ties lost.**

The answer was not in the index 2 times.
The question was not in the index 0 times.

-- NEW STATS --
Question word not in index: 0 times.
Answer word not in index: 0 times.
Other word not in index: 2 times.

The following question words were not found in Roget: []
The following answer words were not found in Roget: []
Other words that were not found in Roget: [rainsquall, riverbank]

2 Semantic Distance measured using the Hirst and St-Onge WordNet-based measure

2.A. 80 TOEFL Questions

**Question 1**
enormously | tremendously | appropriately | uniquely | decidedly
enormously tremendously 16
enormously appropriately 0
enormously uniquely 0
enormously decidedly 0
WordNet thinks that the answer is tremendously
CORRECT

**Question 2**
provisions | stipulations | interrelations | jurisdictions | interpretations
provisions stipulations 0
provisions interrelations 0
provisions jurisdictions 0
provisions interpretations 0
WordNet thinks that the answer is stipulations
4 answers tied [score = 0.25]

**Question 3**
haphazardly | randomly | dangerously | densely | linearly
haphazardly randomly 16
haphazardly dangerously 0
haphazardly densely 0
haphazardly linearly 0
WordNet thinks that the answer is randomly
CORRECT

**Question 4**
prominent | conspicuous | battered | ancient | mysterious
prominent conspicuous 16
prominent battered 0
prominent ancient 0
prominent mysterious 0
WordNet thinks that the answer is conspicuous
CORRECT
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Question 5
zenith | pinnacle | completion | outset | decline
zenith  pinnacle  2
zenith completion  0
zenith outset  0
zenith decline  0
WordNet thinks that the answer is pinnacle
CORRECT

Question 6
flawed | imperfect | tiny | lustrous | crude
flawed  imperfect  0
flawed  tiny  0
flawed  lustrous  0
flawed  crude  0
WordNet thinks that the answer is imperfect
4 answers tied [score = 0.25]

Question 7
urgently | desperately | typically | conceivably | tentatively
urgently  desperately  16
urgently typically  0
urgently conceivably  0
urgently tentatively  0
WordNet thinks that the answer is desperately
CORRECT

Question 8
consumed | eaten | bred | caught | supplied
consumed  eaten  16
consumed bred  0
consumed caught  0
consumed supplied  3
WordNet thinks that the answer is eaten
CORRECT

Question 9
advent | coming | arrest | financing | stability
advent  coming  16
advent arrest  0
advent financing  0
advent stability  0
WordNet thinks that the answer is coming
CORRECT

Question 10
concisely | succinctly | powerfully | positively | freely
concisely succinctly  0
concisely powerfully  0
concisely positively  0
concisely freely  0
WordNet thinks that the answer is succinctly
4 answers tied [score = 0.25]

Question 11
salutes | greetings | information | ceremonies | privileges
salutes  greetings  4
salutes information  3
'ceremonies' not in WordNet.
salutes  ceremonies  0
salutes privileges  0
WordNet thinks that the answer is greetings
CORRECT

Question 12
solitary | alone | alert | restless | fearless
solitary  alone  16
solitary alert  0
solitary restless  0
solitary fearless  0
WordNet thinks that the answer is alone
CORRECT

Question 13
hasten | accelerate | permit | determine | accompany
hasten accelerate  0
hasten permit  0
hasten determine  4
hasten accompany 5
WordNet thinks that the answer is accompany
INCORRECT

Question 14
perseverance | endurance | skill | generosity | disturbance
perseverance endurance 0
perseverance skill 0
perseverance generosity 0
perseverance disturbance 4
WordNet thinks that the answer is disturbance
INCORRECT

Question 15
fanciful | imaginative | familiar | apparent | logical
fanciful imaginative 4
fanciful familiar 0
fanciful apparent 0
fanciful logical 0
WordNet thinks that the answer is imaginative
CORRECT

Question 16
showed | demonstrated | published | repeated | postponed
showed demonstrated 16
showed published 3
showed repeated 4
showed postponed 0
WordNet thinks that the answer is demonstrated
CORRECT

Question 17
constantly | continually | instantly | rapidly | accidentally
constantly continually 0
constantly instantly 0
constantly rapidly 0
constantly accidentally 0
WordNet thinks that the answer is continually
4 answers tied [score = 0.25]

Question 18
issues | subjects | training | salaries | benefits
issues subjects 16
issues training 4
['salaries' not in WordNet.] issues salaries
issues benefits 0
WordNet thinks that the answer is subjects
CORRECT

Question 19
furnish | supply | impress | protect | advise
furnish supply 16
furnish impress 0
furnish protect 0
furnish advise 0
WordNet thinks that the answer is supply
CORRECT

Question 20
costly | expensive | beautiful | popular | complicated
costly expensive 16
costly beautiful 0
costly popular 0
costly complicated 0
WordNet thinks that the answer is expensive
CORRECT

Question 21
recognized | acknowledged | successful | depicted | welcomed
recognized acknowledged 16
recognized successful 0
recognized depicted 0
recognized welcomed 4
WordNet thinks that the answer is acknowledged
CORRECT

Question 22
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| word       | location | climate | latitude | sea   |
|------------|----------|---------|----------|-------|
| spot       | location | climate | latitude | sea   |
| spot       | location | 6       | latitude | 3     |
| spot       | climate  | 0       | latitude | 3     |
| spot       | sea      | 3       | latitude | 3     |

WordNet thinks that the answer is location
CORRECT

**Question 23**

| verb       | earn     | print  | trade  | borrow |
|------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|
| make       | earn     | print  | trade  | borrow |
| make       | earn     | 16     | trade  | 4      |
| make       | earn     | 16     | trade  | 4      |
| make       | borrow   | 5      | trade  | 4      |

WordNet thinks that the answer is earn
CORRECT

**Question 24**

| adverb     | frequently | definitely | chemically | hardly |
|------------|------------|------------|------------|--------|
| often      | frequently | definitely | chemically | hardly |
| often      | frequently | 16         | chemically | 0      |
| often      | definitely | 0          | chemically | 0      |
| often      | hardly     | 0          | chemically | 0      |

WordNet thinks that the answer is frequently
CORRECT

**Question 25**

| adjective  | relaxed   | frontier | boring  | farming |
|------------|-----------|----------|---------|---------|
| easygoing  | relaxed   | frontier | boring  | farming |
| easygoing  | relaxed   | 0        | boring  | 0       |
| easygoing  | frontier  | 0        | boring  | 0       |
| easygoing  | farming   | 0        | boring  | 0       |

WordNet thinks that the answer is relaxed
4 answers tied [score = 0.25]

**Question 26**

| noun       | argument  | war     | election | competition |
|------------|-----------|---------|----------|-------------|
| debate     | argument  | war     | election | competition |
| debate     | war       | 0       | election | 0           |
| debate     | election  | 0       | war      | 0           |

WordNet thinks that the answer is argument
CORRECT

**Question 27**

| adjective  | thin      | clear   | freezing | poisonous |
|------------|-----------|---------|----------|-----------|
| narrow     | thin      | clear   | freezing | poisonous |
| narrow     | thin      | 16      | clear    | 0         |
| narrow     | freezing  | 0       | clear    | 0         |
| narrow     | poisonous | 0       | clear    | 0         |

WordNet thinks that the answer is thin
CORRECT

**Question 28**

| adjective  | planned   | explained | studied | discarded |
|------------|-----------|-----------|---------|-----------|
| arranged   | planned   | 3         | explained | 0         |
| arranged   | explained | 0         | studied  | 0         |
| arranged   | discarded | 0         | studied  | 0         |

WordNet thinks that the answer is planned
CORRECT

**Question 29**

| adjective  | limitless  | relative | unusual | structural |
|------------|------------|----------|---------|------------|
| infinite   | limitless  | relative | unusual | structural |
| infinite   | limitless  | 16       | relative | 0          |
| infinite   | relative   | 0        | unusual  | 0          |
| infinite   | unusual    | 0        | structural | 0         |

WordNet thinks that the answer is limitless
CORRECT

**Question 30**

| adjective  | striking  | prickly  | entertaining | incidental |
|------------|-----------|---------|--------------|------------|
| showy      | striking  | prickly | entertaining | incidental |
| showy      | striking  | 0       | prickly     | 0          |
| showy      | entertaining | 0       | prickly     | 0          |
| showy      | incidental | 0       | prickly     | 0          |
WordNet thinks that the answer is striking
4 answers tied [score = 0.25]

Question 31
levied | imposed | believed | requested | correlated
levied imposed 16
levied believed 0
levied requested 0
levied correlated 0
WordNet thinks that the answer is imposed
CORRECT

Question 32
deftly | skillfully | prudently | occasionally | humorously
deftly skillfully 0
deftly prudently 0
deftly occasionally 0
deftly humorously 0
WordNet thinks that the answer is skillfully
4 answers tied [score = 0.25]

Question 33
distribute | circulate | commercialize | research | acknowledge
distribute circulate 16
distribute commercialize 0
distribute research 0
distribute acknowledge 2
WordNet thinks that the answer is circulate
CORRECT

Question 34
discrepancies | differences | weights | deposits | wavelengths
['discrepancies' not in WordNet.] discrepancies differences
['discrepancies' not in WordNet.] discrepancies weights
['discrepancies' not in WordNet.] discrepancies deposits
['discrepancies' not in WordNet.] discrepancies wavelengths
NO ANSWER FOUND

Question 35
prolific | productive | serious | capable | promising
prolific productive 16
prolific serious 0
prolific capable 0
prolific promising 0
WordNet thinks that the answer is productive
CORRECT

Question 36
unmatched | unequaled | unrecognized | alienated | emulated
unmatched unequaled 4
unmatched unrecognized 0
unmatched alienated 0
unmatched emulated 0
WordNet thinks that the answer is unequaled
CORRECT

Question 37
peculiarly | uniquely | partly | patriotically | suspiciously
peculiarly uniquely 0
peculiarly partly 0
peculiarly patriotically 0
peculiarly suspiciously 0
WordNet thinks that the answer is uniquely
4 answers tied [score = 0.25]

Question 38
hue | color | glare | contrast | scent
hue color 6
hue glare 2
hue contrast 0
hue scent 3
WordNet thinks that the answer is color
CORRECT

Question 39
hind | rear | curved | muscular | hairy
hind rear 3
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hind curved 0
hind muscular 0
hind hairy 0
WordNet thinks that the answer is rear
CORRECT

Question 40
highlight | accentuate | alter | imitate | restore
highlight accentuate 6
highlight alter 0
highlight imitate 0
highlight restore 0
WordNet thinks that the answer is accentuate
CORRECT

Question 41
hastily | hurriedly | shrewdly | habitually | chronologically
hastily hurriedly 16
hastily shrewdly 0
hastily habitually 0
hastily chronologically 0
WordNet thinks that the answer is hurriedly
CORRECT

Question 42
temperate | mild | cold | short | windy
temperate mild 16
temperate cold 4
temperate short 0
temperate windy 0
WordNet thinks that the answer is mild
CORRECT

Question 43
grin | smile | exercise | rest | joke
grin smile 16
grin exercise 0
grin rest 0
grin joke 3
WordNet thinks that the answer is smile
CORRECT

Question 44
verbally | orally | overtly | fittingly | verbosely
verbally orally 0
verbally overtly 0
verbally fittingly 0
verbally verbosely 0
WordNet thinks that the answer is orally
4 answers tied [score = 0.25]

Question 45
physician | doctor | chemist | pharmacist | nurse
physician doctor 16
physician chemist 4
physician pharmacist 4
physician nurse 4
WordNet thinks that the answer is doctor
CORRECT

Question 46
essentially | basically | possibly | eagerly | ordinarily
essentially basically 16
essentially possibly 0
essentially eagerly 0
essentially ordinarily 0
WordNet thinks that the answer is basically
CORRECT

Question 47
keen | sharp | useful | simple | famous
keen sharp 16
keen useful 0
keen simple 4
keen famous 0
WordNet thinks that the answer is sharp
CORRECT
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Question 48
situated | positioned | rotating | isolated | emptying
situated positioned 5
situated rotating 2
situated isolated 3
situated emptying 0
WordNet thinks that the answer is positioned
CORRECT

Question 49
principal | major | most | numerous | exceptional
principal major 0
principal most 0
principal numerous 0
principal exceptional 0
WordNet thinks that the answer is major
4 answers tied [score = 0.25]

Question 50
slowly | gradually | rarely | effectively | continuously
slowly gradually 0
slowly rarely 0
slowly effectively 0
slowly continuously 0
WordNet thinks that the answer is gradually
4 answers tied [score = 0.25]

Question 51
built | constructed | proposed | financed | organized
built constructed 16
built proposed 0
built financed 0
built organized 5
WordNet thinks that the answer is constructed
CORRECT

Question 52
tasks | jobs | customers | materials | shops
tasks jobs 16
tasks customers 0
tasks materials 0
tasks shops 0
WordNet thinks that the answer is jobs
CORRECT

Question 53
unlikely | improbable | disagreeable | different | unpopular
unlikely improbable 16
unlikely disagreeable 0
unlikely different 0
unlikely unpopular 0
WordNet thinks that the answer is improbable
CORRECT

Question 54
halfheartedly | apathetically | customarily | bipartisanly | unconventionally
['halfheartedly' not in WordNet.] halfheartedly apathetically
['halfheartedly' not in WordNet.] halfheartedly customarily
['halfheartedly' not in WordNet.] halfheartedly bipartisanly
['halfheartedly' not in WordNet.] halfheartedly unconventionally
NO ANSWER FOUND

Question 55
annals | chronicles | homes | trails | songs
annals chronicles 4
annals homes 0
annals trails 0
annals songs 0
WordNet thinks that the answer is chronicles
CORRECT

Question 56
wildly | furiously | distinctively | mysteriously | abruptly
wildly furiously 0
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wildly  distinctively  0
wildly mysteriously  0
wildly abruptly  0
WordNet thinks that the answer is furiously
4 answers tied [score = 0.25]

Question 57
hailed | acclaimed | judged | remembered | addressed
hailed  acclaimed  16
hailed  judged  4
hailed  remembered  0
hailed  addressed  6
WordNet thinks that the answer is acclaimed
CORRECT

Question 58
command | mastery | observation | love | awareness
command mastery 16
command observation 2
command love  0
command awareness 2
WordNet thinks that the answer is mastery
CORRECT

Question 59
concocted | devised | cleaned | requested | supervised
concocted  devised  5
concocted  cleaned  4
concocted  requested  0
concocted  supervised  0
WordNet thinks that the answer is devised
CORRECT

Question 60
prospective | potential | particular | prudent | prominent
prospective potential 16
prospective particular  0
prospective prudent  0
prospective prominent 0
WordNet thinks that the answer is potential
CORRECT

Question 61
generally | broadly | descriptively | controversially | accurately
generally broadly 16
generally descriptively 0
generally controversially 0
generally accurately 0
WordNet thinks that the answer is broadly
CORRECT

Question 62
sustained | prolonged | refined | lowered | analyzed
sustained prolonged 16
sustained refined  0
sustained lowered  2
sustained analyzed 0
WordNet thinks that the answer is prolonged
CORRECT

Question 63
perilous | dangerous | binding | exciting | offensive
perilous dangerous 16
perilous binding  0
perilous exciting  0
perilous offensive  0
WordNet thinks that the answer is dangerous
CORRECT

Question 64
tranquillity | peacefulness | harshness | weariness | happiness
tranquillity peacefulness 4
tranquillity harshness 2
tranquillity weariness 3
tranquillity happiness 4
WordNet thinks that the answer is peacefulness
2 answers tied [score = 0.5]
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Question 65
- dissipate | disperse | isolate | disguise | photograph
  - dissipate disperse 16
  - dissipate isolate 0
  - dissipate disguise 0
  - dissipate photograph 0
  WordNet thinks that the answer is disperse
  CORRECT

Question 66
- primarily | chiefly | occasionally | cautiously | consistently
  - primarily chiefly 16
  - primarily occasionally 0
  - primarily cautiously 0
  - primarily consistently 0
  WordNet thinks that the answer is chiefly
  CORRECT

Question 67
- colloquial | conversational | recorded | misunderstood | incorrect
  - colloquial conversational 16
  - colloquial recorded 0
  - colloquial misunderstood 0
  - colloquial incorrect 0
  WordNet thinks that the answer is conversational
  CORRECT

Question 68
- resolved | settled | publicized | forgotten | examined
  - resolved settled 16
  - resolved publicized 0
  - resolved forgotten 0
  - resolved examined 0
  WordNet thinks that the answer is settled
  CORRECT

Question 69
- feasible | possible | permitted | equitable | evident
  - feasible possible 16
  - feasible permitted 0
  - feasible equitable 0
  - feasible evident 0
  WordNet thinks that the answer is possible
  CORRECT

Question 70
- expeditiously | rapidly | frequently | actually | repeatedly
  - expeditiously rapidly 0
  - expeditiously frequently 0
  - expeditiously actually 0
  - expeditiously repeatedly 0
  WordNet thinks that the answer is rapidly
  4 answers tied [score = 0.25]

Question 71
- percentage | proportion | volume | sample | profit
  - percentage proportion 4
  - percentage volume 0
  - percentage sample 0
  - percentage profit 4
  WordNet thinks that the answer is proportion
  2 answers tied [score = 0.5]

Question 72
- terminated | ended | posed | postponed | evaluated
  - terminated ended 16
  - terminated posed 4
  - terminated postponed 0
  - terminated evaluated 0
  WordNet thinks that the answer is ended
  CORRECT

Question 73
- uniform | alike | hard | complex | sharp
  - uniform alike 0
  - uniform hard 0
uniform complex 2
uniform sharp 0
WordNet thinks that the answer is complex
INCORRECT

Question 74
figure | solve | list | divide | express
figure solve 4
figure list 0
figure divide 4
figure express 0
WordNet thinks that the answer is solve
2 answers tied [score = 0.5]

Question 75
sufficient | enough | recent | physiological | valuable
sufficient enough 16
sufficient recent 0
sufficient physiological 0
sufficient valuable 0
WordNet thinks that the answer is enough
CORRECT

Question 76
fashion | manner | ration | fathom | craze
fashion manner 16
fashion ration 0
fashion fathom 0
fashion craze 4
WordNet thinks that the answer is manner
CORRECT

Question 77
marketed | sold | frozen | sweetened | diluted
marketed sold 5
marketed frozen 5
marketed sweetened 5
marketed diluted 4
WordNet thinks that the answer is sold
3 answers tied [score = 0.333333333333333]

Question 78
bigger | larger | steadier | closer | better
bigger larger 16
bigger steadier 0
bigger closer 0
bigger better 0
WordNet thinks that the answer is larger
CORRECT

Question 79
roots | origins | rituals | cure | function
roots origins 0
roots rituals 0
roots cure 0
roots function 0
WordNet thinks that the answer is origins
4 answers tied [score = 0.25]

Question 80
normally | ordinarily | haltingly | permanently | periodically
normally ordinarily 16
normally haltingly 0
normally permanently 0
normally periodically 0
WordNet thinks that the answer is ordinarily
CORRECT

Total questions = 80, score = 62.3333333333333, correct = 57, ties = 18
Number of problem words not found in WordNet: 2
Number of other words not found in WordNet: 2
Problem words not in WordNet: halfheartedly discrepancies
Other words not in WordNet: ceremonies salaries
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2.B. 50 ESL Questions

Question 1
rusty | corroded | black | dirty | painted
rusty corroded 0
rusty black 3
rusty dirty 0
rusty painted 0
WordNet thinks that the answer is black
INCORRECT

Question 2
brass | metal | wood | stone | plastic
brass metal 6
brass wood 2
brass stone 3
brass plastic 0
WordNet thinks that the answer is metal
CORRECT

Question 3
spin | twirl | ache | sweat | flush
spin twirl 16
spin ache 0
spin sweat 0
spin flush 4
WordNet thinks that the answer is twirl
CORRECT

Question 4
passage | hallway | ticket | entrance | room
passage hallway 5
passage ticket 2
passage entrance 4
passage room 2
WordNet thinks that the answer is hallway
CORRECT

Question 5
yield | submit | challenge | boast | scorn
yield submit 5
yield challenge 0
yield boast 0
yield scorn 0
WordNet thinks that the answer is submit
CORRECT

Question 6
lean | rest | scrape | grate | refer
lean rest 5
lean scrape 2
lean grate 0
lean refer 3
WordNet thinks that the answer is rest
CORRECT

Question 7
barrel | cask | bottle | box | case
barrel cask 16
barrel bottle 5
barrel box 5
barrel case 5
WordNet thinks that the answer is cask
CORRECT

Question 8
nuisance | pest | garbage | relief | troublesome
nuisance pest 3
nuisance garbage 0
nuisance relief 2
nuisance troublesome 0
WordNet thinks that the answer is pest
CORRECT

Question 9
rug | carpet | sofa | ottoman | hallway
rug carpet 16
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rug  sofa  3
rug  ottoman  3
rug  hallway  0
WordNet thinks that the answer is carpet
CORRECT

Question 10
tap  drain  boil  knock  rap
tap  drain  3
tap  boil  4
tap  knock  16
tap  rap  16
WordNet thinks that the answer is knock
INCORRECT

Question 11
split  divided  crushed  grated  bruised
split  divided  16
split  crushed  5
split  grated  4
split  bruised  3
WordNet thinks that the answer is divided
CORRECT

Question 12
lump  chunk  stem  trunk  limb
lump  chunk  16
lump  stem  0
lump  trunk  3
lump  limb  2
WordNet thinks that the answer is chunk
CORRECT

Question 13
outline  contour  pair  blend  block
outline  contour  4
outline  pair  0
outline  blend  3
outline  block  3
WordNet thinks that the answer is contour
CORRECT

Question 14
swear  vow  explain  think  describe
swear  vow  4
swear  explain  4
swear  think  3
swear  describe  0
WordNet thinks that the answer is vow
2 answers tied [score = 0.5]

Question 15
relieved  rested  sleepy  tired  hasty
relieved  rested  0
relieved  sleepy  0
relieved  tired  3
relieved  hasty  0
WordNet thinks that the answer is tired
INCORRECT

Question 16
deserve  merit  need  want  expect
deserve  merit  16
deserve  need  5
deserve  want  5
deserve  expect  0
WordNet thinks that the answer is merit
CORRECT

Question 17
haste  a hurry  anger  ear  spite
['a hurry' not in WordNet.]
haste  a hurry
haste  anger  0
haste  ear  0
haste  spite  0
WordNet thinks that the answer is anger
INCORRECT
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**Question 18**

stiff | firm | dark | drunk | cooked

- stiff firm 3
- stiff dark 0
- stiff drunk 16
- stiff cooked 0

WordNet thinks that the answer is drunk

INCORRECT

**Question 19**

verse | section | weed | twig | branch

- verse section 4
- verse weed 0
- verse twig 0
- verse branch 0

WordNet thinks that the answer is section

CORRECT

**Question 20**

steep | sheer | bare | rugged | stone

- steep sheer 16
- steep bare 0
- steep rugged 0
- steep stone 2

WordNet thinks that the answer is sheer

CORRECT

**Question 21**

envious | jealous | enthusiastic | hurt | relieved

- envious jealous 16
- envious enthusiastic 0
- envious hurt 0
- envious relieved 0

WordNet thinks that the answer is jealous

CORRECT

**Question 22**

paste | dough | syrup | block | jelly

- paste dough 0
- paste syrup 3
- paste block 0
- paste jelly 3

WordNet thinks that the answer is syrup

INCORRECT

**Question 23**

scorn | refuse | enjoy | avoid | plan

- scorn refuse 0
- scorn enjoy 0
- scorn avoid 0
- scorn plan 0

WordNet thinks that the answer is refuse

4 answers tied [score = 0.25]

**Question 24**

refer | direct | call | carry | explain

- refer direct 4
- refer call 2
- refer carry 4
- refer explain 2

WordNet thinks that the answer is direct

2 answers tied [score = 0.5]

**Question 25**

limb | branch | bark | trunk | twig

- limb branch 16
- limb bark 6
- limb trunk 4
- limb twig 5

WordNet thinks that the answer is branch

CORRECT

**Question 26**

pad | cushion | board | block | tablet

- pad cushion 5
- pad board 3
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pad block 5
pad tablet 16
WordNet thinks that the answer is tablet
INCORRECT

**Question 27**
boast | brag | yell | complain | explain
boast brag 16
boast yell 0
boast complain 0
boast explain 3
WordNet thinks that the answer is brag
CORRECT

**Question 28**
applause | approval | fear | shame | friends
applause approval 4
applause fear 0
applause shame 0
applause friends 0
WordNet thinks that the answer is approval
CORRECT

**Question 29**
sheet | leaf | book | block | tap
sheet leaf 4
sheet book 3
sheet block 5
sheet tap 6
WordNet thinks that the answer is tap
INCORRECT

**Question 30**
stem | stalk | bark | column | trunk
stem stalk 16
stem bark 5
stem column 5
stem trunk 5
WordNet thinks that the answer is stalk
CORRECT

**Question 31**
seize | take | refer | request | yield
seize take 4
seize refer 5
seize request 0
seize yield 3
WordNet thinks that the answer is refer
INCORRECT

**Question 32**
trunk | chest | bag | closet | swing
trunk chest 4
trunk bag 5
trunk closet 4
trunk swing 0
WordNet thinks that the answer is bag
INCORRECT

**Question 33**
weed | unwanted plant | cloth | animal | vegetable
["unwanted plant" not in WordNet.] weed unwanted plant
weed cloth 0
weed animal 3
weed vegetable 4
WordNet thinks that the answer is vegetable
INCORRECT

**Question 34**
approval | endorsement | gift | statement | confession
approval endorsement 5
approval gift 0
approval statement 5
approval confession 3
WordNet thinks that the answer is endorsement
2 answers tied [score = 0.5]
Question 35
mass | lump | service | worship | element
mass lump 4
mass service 5
mass worship 3
mass element 2
WordNet thinks that the answer is service
INCORRECT

Question 36
swing | sway | bounce | break | crash
swing sway 16
swing bounce 5
swing break 5
swing crash 4
WordNet thinks that the answer is sway
CORRECT

Question 37
sore | painful | red | hot | rough
sore painful 16
sore red 0
sore hot 4
sore rough 4
WordNet thinks that the answer is painful
CORRECT

Question 38
hinder | block | assist | relieve | yield
hinder block 16
hinder assist 0
hinder relieve 0
hinder yield 0
WordNet thinks that the answer is block
CORRECT

Question 39
sticky | gooey | smooth | shiny | wet
sticky gooey 4
sticky smooth 0
sticky shiny 0
sticky wet 16
WordNet thinks that the answer is wet
INCORRECT

Question 40
confession | statement | service | plea | bargain
confession statement 4
confession service 3
confession plea 0
confession bargain 0
WordNet thinks that the answer is statement
CORRECT

Question 41
weave | intertwine | print | stamp | shake
weave intertwine 5
weave print 4
weave stamp 4
weave shake 4
WordNet thinks that the answer is intertwine
CORRECT

Question 42
saucer | dish | box | frisbee | can
saucer dish 16
saucer box 4
saucer frisbee 5
saucer can 4
WordNet thinks that the answer is dish
CORRECT

Question 43
substance | thing | posture | level | score
substance thing 6
substance posture 3
substance level 3
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substance score 2
WordNet thinks that the answer is thing
CORRECT

Question 44
firmly | steadfastly | reluctantly | sadly | hopefully
firmly steadfastly 16
firmly reluctantly 0
firmly sadly 0
firmly hopefully 0
WordNet thinks that the answer is steadfastly
CORRECT

Question 45
twist | intertwine | clip | fasten | curl
twist intertwine 4
twist clip 3
twist fasten 4
twist curl 6
WordNet thinks that the answer is curl
INCORRECT

Question 46
scrape | grate | chop | mince | slice
scrape grate 16
scrape chop 4
scrape mince 3
scrape slice 5
WordNet thinks that the answer is grate
CORRECT

Question 47
grind | rub | slice | hit | tap
grind rub 4
grind slice 0
grind hit 5
grind tap 5
WordNet thinks that the answer is hit
INCORRECT

Question 48
swell | enlarge | move | curl | shake
swell enlarge 4
swell move 5
swell curl 2
swell shake 0
WordNet thinks that the answer is move
INCORRECT

Question 49
harvest | intake | stem | lump | split
harvest intake 0
harvest stem 0
harvest lump 0
harvest split 0
WordNet thinks that the answer is intake
4 answers tied [score = 0.25]

Question 50
approve | support | boast | scorn | anger
approve support 4
approve boast 0
approve scorn 0
approve anger 0
WordNet thinks that the answer is support
CORRECT

Total questions = 50, score = 31, correct = 29, ties = 5
Number of problem words not found in WordNet: 0
Number of other words not found in WordNet: 2
Problem words not in WordNet:
Other words not in WordNet: a hurry unwanted plant
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2.C. 20 RDWP Questions – January 2000: Nature

Question 1
eddy | whirlpool | current | wave | wind
eddy | whirlpool | 16
eddy | current | 4
eddy | wave | 4
eddy | wind | 0
WordNet thinks that the answer is whirlpool

Question 2
bough | branch | barricade | shaded area | fallen tree
bough | branch | 6
bough | barricade | 0
WordNet thinks that the answer is branch

Question 3
heath | overgrown open land | burned-over area | thin forest | pasture
WordNet thinks that the answer is pasture

Question 4
scud | run straight | move slowly | falter | vaporize
WordNet thinks that the answer is falter

Question 5
williwaw | sudden windblast | rainsquall | songbird | meadow flower
WordNet thinks that the answer is meadow flower

Question 6
verge | brink | middle path | bare ground | vantage point
WordNet thinks that the answer is brink

Question 7
dale | valley | retreat | shelter | plain
WordNet thinks that the answer is valley

Question 8
limpid | clear | still | flat | luminous
WordNet thinks that the answer is clear

Question 9
floe | floating ice | frozen stream | lump | driftwood
WordNet thinks that the answer is floating ice
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['frozen stream' not in WordNet.] floe  frozen stream
floe  lump  0
floe  driftwood  0
WordNet thinks that the answer is lump
INCORRECT

Question 10
cascade  waterfall  thunder  swift current  edge
cascade  waterfall  4
cascade  thunder  2
['swift current' not in WordNet.] cascade  swift current
cascade  edge  3
WordNet thinks that the answer is waterfall
CORRECT

Question 11
undulation  rise and fall  faint motion  ebb and flow  quivering
['rise and fall' not in WordNet.] undulation  rise and fall
['faint motion' not in WordNet.] undulation  faint motion
['ebb and flow' not in WordNet.] undulation  ebb and flow
undulation  quivering  0
WordNet thinks that the answer is quivering
INCORRECT

Question 12
crag  steep rock  headland  barren hill  niche
crag  steep rock  4
crag  headland  2
['barren hill' not in WordNet.] crag  barren hill
crag  niche  0
WordNet thinks that the answer is headland
INCORRECT

Question 13
truss  cluster of flowers  main stem  bunch of grass  fallen petals
['cluster of flowers' not in WordNet.] truss  cluster of flowers
['main stem' not in WordNet.] truss  main stem
['bunch of grass' not in WordNet.] truss  bunch of grass
['fallen petals' not in WordNet.] truss  fallen petals
NO ANSWER FOUND

Question 14
slough  deep mire  quicksand  shower  erosion
['deep mire' not in WordNet.] slough  deep mire
slough  quicksand  0
slough  shower  0
slough  erosion  0
WordNet thinks that the answer is quicksand
INCORRECT

Question 15
lee  shelter  cove  grassland  riverbank
lee  shelter  0
lee  cove  0
lee  grassland  0
lee  riverbank  0
WordNet thinks that the answer is shelter
4 answers tied [score = 0.25]

Question 16
brackish  salty  dirty  rough  noisy
brackish  salty  4
brackish  dirty  0
brackish  rough  0
brackish  noisy  0
WordNet thinks that the answer is salty
CORRECT

Question 17
precipice  vertical rockface  wide gap  broken path  descent
['vertical rockface' not in WordNet.] precipice  vertical rockface
['wide gap' not in WordNet.] precipice  wide gap
['broken path' not in WordNet.] precipice  broken path
precipice  descent  3
WordNet thinks that the answer is descent
INCORRECT
Question 18
chasm | deep fissure | wide opening | mountain pass | series of falls
['deep fissure' not in WordNet.] chasm deep fissure
['wide opening' not in WordNet.] chasm wide opening
chasm mountain pass 3
['series of falls' not in WordNet.] chasm series of falls
WordNet thinks that the answer is mountain pass
INCORRECT

Question 19
sediment | settles to the bottom | floats | holds together | covers rocks
['settles to the bottom' not in WordNet.] sediment settles to the bottom
sediment floats 2
['holds together' not in WordNet.] sediment holds together
['covers rocks' not in WordNet.] sediment covers rocks
WordNet thinks that the answer is floats
INCORRECT

Question 20
torrent | violent flow | drift | swell | deep sound
['violent flow' not in WordNet.] torrent violent flow
torrent drift 0
torrent swell 0
['deep sound' not in WordNet.] torrent deep sound
WordNet thinks that the answer is drift
INCORRECT

Total questions = 20, score = 7.25, correct = 7, ties = 1
Number of problem words not found in WordNet: 1
Number of other words not found in WordNet: 33

Problem words not in WordNet: williwaw

Other words not in WordNet: covers rocks, wide opening, ebb and flow, settles to the bottom, vertical rockface, fallen petals, violent flow, burned-over area, barren hill, bunch of grass, deep fissure, main stem, bare ground, thin forest, wide gap, faint motion, overgrown open land, rise and fall, floating ice, cluster of flowers, deep mire, middle path, frozen stream, steep rock, run straight, broken path, holds together, deep sound, swift current, move slowly, series of falls, fallen tree, shaded area
Appendix L: A Lexical Chain Building Example

This appendix shows the step-by-step output of my lexical chain building program that uses the ELKB. St-Onge (1995) and Ellman (2000) also use this text, attributed to Einstein, to demonstrate their lexical chain building systems.

**Step 1: Choose a Set of Thesaural Relations**

Chapter 5 presents the thesaural relations used by the ELKB.

**Step 2: Select a Set of Candidate Words**

We suppose a very long train travelling along the rails with a constant velocity $v$ and in the direction indicated in Figure 1. People travelling in this train will with advantage use the train as a rigid reference-body; they regard all events in reference to the train. Then every event which takes place along the line also takes place at a particular point of the train. Also, the definition of simultaneity can be given relative to the train in exactly the same way as with respect to the embankment.

**Step 3: Build All Proto-Chains for Each Candidate Word**

```plaintext
| Candidate Word | Score | Sense | Line |
|----------------|-------|-------|------|
| suppose, takes | 2.0   | 480   | 1    |
| suppose, takes, takes | 3.0   | 480   | 1    |
| suppose, regard | 2.0   | 485   | 1    |
| suppose, regard, takes | 3.0   | 485   | 1    |
| suppose, regard, takes, takes | 4.0   | 485   | 1    |
| suppose, takes | 2.0   | 512   | 1    |
| suppose, takes, takes | 3.0   | 512   | 1    |
| suppose, takes, takes | 3.0   | 510   | 1    |
| suppose, takes, takes | 3.0   | 510   | 1    |
| train, train   | 2.0   | 534   | 1    |
| train, train   | 3.0   | 534   | 1    |
| train, train, train | 4.0   | 534   | 1    |
| train, train, train | 5.0   | 534   | 1    |
| train, train, train, takes, takes | 6.0   | 534   | 1    |
| train, train, train, takes, train | 7.0   | 534   | 1    |
| train, train, train, takes, train, train | 8.0   | 534   | 1    |
| train, train   | 2.0   | 536   | 1    |
| train, train   | 3.0   | 536   | 1    |
| train, train   | 4.0   | 536   | 1    |
| train, train   | 5.0   | 536   | 1    |
| train, train, train, takes, takes | 6.0   | 536   | 1    |
| train, train, train, takes, takes, train | 7.0   | 536   | 1    |
| train, train, train, takes, takes, train, train | 8.0   | 536   | 1    |
| train, train   | 2.0   | 284   | 1    |
| train, train   | 3.0   | 284   | 1    |
```
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train, train, train, train [score: 4.0, sense: 284, line: 1]
train, train, train, train [score: 5.0, sense: 284, line: 1]
train, train, train, train, train [score: 6.0, sense: 284, line: 1]
train, train [score: 2.0, sense: 217, line: 1]
train, train [score: 3.0, sense: 217, line: 1]
train, train, train, train [score: 4.0, sense: 217, line: 1]
train, train, train, train, train [score: 5.0, sense: 217, line: 1]
train, train, train, train, train, train [score: 6.0, sense: 217, line: 1]
train, train [score: 2.0, sense: 267, line: 1]
train, train, train [score: 3.0, sense: 267, line: 1]
train, train, train, train [score: 4.0, sense: 267, line: 1]
train, rails [score: 2.0, sense: 274, line: 1]
train, rails, train [score: 3.0, sense: 274, line: 1]
train, rails, train, train [score: 4.0, sense: 274, line: 1]
train, rails, train, train, train [score: 5.0, sense: 274, line: 1]
train, rails, train, train, train, train [score: 6.0, sense: 274, line: 1]
train, train, train [score: 2.0, sense: 837, line: 1]
train, train, train [score: 3.0, sense: 837, line: 1]
train, train, train [score: 4.0, sense: 837, line: 1]
train, train, train, train [score: 5.0, sense: 837, line: 1]
train, train, train, train, train [score: 6.0, sense: 837, line: 1]
train, train [score: 2.0, sense: 268, line: 1]
train, train, train [score: 3.0, sense: 268, line: 1]
train, train, train [score: 4.0, sense: 268, line: 1]
train, train, train, train [score: 5.0, sense: 268, line: 1]
train, train, train, train, train [score: 6.0, sense: 268, line: 1]
train, train [score: 2.0, sense: 238, line: 1]
train, train, train [score: 3.0, sense: 238, line: 1]
train, train, train [score: 4.0, sense: 238, line: 1]
train, train, train, train [score: 5.0, sense: 238, line: 1]
train, train, train, train, train [score: 6.0, sense: 238, line: 1]
train, train [score: 2.0, sense: 72, line: 1]
train, train, train [score: 3.0, sense: 72, line: 1]
train, train, train, train [score: 4.0, sense: 72, line: 1]
train, train, train, train [score: 5.0, sense: 72, line: 1]
train, train, train, train, line, train [score: 7.0, sense: 72, line: 1]
train, train [score: 2.0, sense: 658, line: 1]
train, train, train [score: 3.0, sense: 658, line: 1]
train, train, train [score: 4.0, sense: 658, line: 1]
train, train, train, train [score: 5.0, sense: 658, line: 1]
train, train, train, train, train [score: 6.0, sense: 658, line: 1]
train, train [score: 2.0, sense: 461, line: 1]
train, train, train [score: 3.0, sense: 461, line: 1]
train, train, train, train [score: 4.0, sense: 461, line: 1]
train, train, train, train [score: 5.0, sense: 461, line: 1]
train, train, train, train, train [score: 6.0, sense: 461, line: 1]
train, train [score: 2.0, sense: 277, line: 1]
train, train, train [score: 3.0, sense: 277, line: 1]
train, train, train, train, train [score: 4.0, sense: 277, line: 1]
train, train, train, train, train, train [score: 5.0, sense: 277, line: 1]
train, train, train, train, train, train, train [score: 6.0, sense: 277, line: 1]
train, train [score: 2.0, sense: 742, line: 1]
train, train [score: 3.0, sense: 742, line: 1]
train, train, train, train, train [score: 4.0, sense: 742, line: 1]
train, train, train, train, train, train [score: 5.0, sense: 742, line: 1]
train, train, train, train, train, train, train, train [score: 6.0, sense: 742, line: 1]
train, train [score: 2.0, sense: 71, line: 1]
train, train, train [score: 3.0, sense: 71, line: 1]
train, train, train, train, train [score: 4.0, sense: 71, line: 1]
train, train, train, train, train, train, line [score: 5.0, sense: 71, line: 1]
train, train, train, train, train, train, line, train [score: 6.0, sense: 71, line: 1]
train, train, train, train, train, line, train [score: 7.0, sense: 71, line: 1]
train, train, train, train, train [score: 2.0, sense: 228, line: 1]
train, train, train [score: 3.0, sense: 228, line: 1]
train, train, train, train, train [score: 4.0, sense: 228, line: 1]
train, train, train, train, train, train [score: 5.0, sense: 228, line: 1]
train, train, train, train, train, train, train, train [score: 6.0, sense: 228, line: 1]
train, train, train, train, train [score: 2.0, sense: 273, line: 1]
train, train, train [score: 3.0, sense: 273, line: 1]
train, train, train, train, train [score: 4.0, sense: 273, line: 1]
train, train, train, train, train, train [score: 5.0, sense: 273, line: 1]
train, train, train, train, train, train, train, train [score: 6.0, sense: 273, line: 1]
train, train, train, train, train [score: 2.0, sense: 362, line: 1]
train, train, train [score: 3.0, sense: 362, line: 1]
train, train, train, train, train [score: 4.0, sense: 362, line: 1]
train, train, train, train, train [score: 5.0, sense: 362, line: 1]
train, train, train, train, train, train [score: 6.0, sense: 362, line: 1]
train, train, train, train, train, train [score: 2.0, sense: 441, line: 1]
train, train, train [score: 3.0, sense: 441, line: 1]
train, train, train, train, train [score: 4.0, sense: 441, line: 1]
train, train, train, train, train [score: 5.0, sense: 441, line: 1]
train, train, train, train, train, train [score: 6.0, sense: 441, line: 1]
train, rails [score: 2.0, sense: 624, line: 1]
train, rails, train [score: 3.0, sense: 624, line: 1]
train, rails, train, train [score: 4.0, sense: 624, line: 1]
train, rails, train, train, train [score: 5.0, sense: 624, line: 1]
train, rails, train, train, train, line [score: 6.0, sense: 624, line: 1]
train, rails, train, train, line, train [score: 7.0, sense: 624, line: 1]
train, rails, train, train, line, train, train [score: 8.0, sense: 624, line: 1]
train, rails, train, train, line, train, train, embankment [score: 9.0, sense: 624, line: 1]
train, train [score: 2.0, sense: 669, line: 1]
train, train [score: 3.0, sense: 669, line: 1]
train, train, train [score: 4.0, sense: 669, line: 1]
train, train, train, train, train [score: 5.0, sense: 669, line: 1]
train, train, train, train, train, train [score: 6.0, sense: 669, line: 1]
train, train [score: 2.0, sense: 67, line: 1]
train, train, train [score: 3.0, sense: 67, line: 1]
train, train, train [score: 4.0, sense: 67, line: 1]
train, train, train, train, train [score: 5.0, sense: 67, line: 1]
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train, train, train, train, train, train [score: 6.0, sense: 67, line: 1]
train, train [score: 2.0, sense: 278, line: 1]
train, train, train [score: 3.0, sense: 278, line: 1]
train, train, train, train [score: 4.0, sense: 278, line: 1]
train, train, train, train, train [score: 5.0, sense: 278, line: 1]
train, train, train, train, train, train [score: 6.0, sense: 278, line: 1]
train, train [score: 2.0, sense: 288, line: 1]
train, train [score: 3.0, sense: 288, line: 1]
train, train, train [score: 4.0, sense: 288, line: 1]
train, train, train, train, train [score: 5.0, sense: 288, line: 1]
train, train, train, train, train, train [score: 6.0, sense: 288, line: 1]
train, train [score: 2.0, sense: 40, line: 1]
train, train, train [score: 3.0, sense: 40, line: 1]
train, train, train, train [score: 4.0, sense: 40, line: 1]
train, train, train, train, train [score: 5.0, sense: 40, line: 1]
train, train, train, train, train, train [score: 6.0, sense: 40, line: 1]
train, train [score: 2.0, sense: 369, line: 1]
train, train, train [score: 3.0, sense: 369, line: 1]
train, train, train, train [score: 4.0, sense: 369, line: 1]
train, train, train, train, train [score: 5.0, sense: 369, line: 1]
train, train, train, train, train, train [score: 6.0, sense: 369, line: 1]
train, train [score: 2.0, sense: 610, line: 1]
train, train, train [score: 3.0, sense: 610, line: 1]
train, train, train, train [score: 4.0, sense: 610, line: 1]
train, train, train, train, train [score: 5.0, sense: 610, line: 1]
train, train, train, train, train, train [score: 6.0, sense: 610, line: 1]
train, train, train [score: 2.0, sense: 83, line: 1]
train, train, train [score: 3.0, sense: 83, line: 1]
train, train, train, train [score: 4.0, sense: 83, line: 1]
train, train, train, train, train [score: 5.0, sense: 83, line: 1]
train, train, train, train, train [score: 6.0, sense: 83, line: 1]
train, train [score: 2.0, sense: 689, line: 1]
train, train, train [score: 3.0, sense: 689, line: 1]
train, train, train [score: 4.0, sense: 689, line: 1]
train, train, train, train, train [score: 5.0, sense: 689, line: 1]
train, train, train, train, train [score: 6.0, sense: 689, line: 1]
train, train [score: 2.0, sense: 164, line: 1]
train, train, train [score: 3.0, sense: 164, line: 1]
train, train, train [score: 4.0, sense: 164, line: 1]
train, train, train, train [score: 5.0, sense: 164, line: 1]
train, train, train, train, train [score: 6.0, sense: 164, line: 1]

travelling, travelling [score: 2.0, sense: 265, line: 1]
travelling, travelling [score: 2.0, sense: 589, line: 1]
travelling, travelling [score: 2.0, sense: 981, line: 1]
travelling, travelling, takes [score: 3.0, sense: 981, line: 1]
travelling, travelling, takes, takes [score: 4.0, sense: 981, line: 1]
travelling, travelling [score: 2.0, sense: 75, line: 1]
travelling, direction [score: 2.0, sense: 271, line: 1]
travelling, direction, travelling [score: 3.0, sense: 271, line: 1]
travelling, travelling [score: 2.0, sense: 276, line: 1]
travelling, travelling [score: 2.0, sense: 295, line: 1]
Appendix L: A Lexical Chain Building Example

travelling, travelling [score: 2.0, sense: 793, line: 1]
travelling, travelling [score: 2.0, sense: 152, line: 1]
travelling, travelling [score: 2.0, sense: 618, line: 1]
travelling, travelling [score: 2.0, sense: 145, line: 1]
travelling, travelling [score: 2.0, sense: 117, line: 1]
travelling, travelling [score: 2.0, sense: 59, line: 1]
travelling, travelling [score: 2.0, sense: 282, line: 1]
travelling, velocity [score: 2.0, sense: 465, line: 1]
travelling, velocity, travelling [score: 3.0, sense: 465, line: 1]
travelling, travelling [score: 2.0, sense: 269, line: 1]
travelling, travelling [score: 2.0, sense: 266, line: 1]
travelling, travelling [score: 2.0, sense: 298, line: 1]
travelling, travelling [score: 2.0, sense: 453, line: 1]
travelling, travelling [score: 2.0, sense: 194, line: 1]
travelling, travelling [score: 2.0, sense: 314, line: 1]
travelling, travelling [score: 2.0, sense: 84, line: 1]
travelling, travelling, rigid [score: 3.0, sense: 84, line: 1]
travelling, travelling [score: 2.0, sense: 305, line: 1]
travelling, travelling [score: 2.0, sense: 744, line: 1]

rails, respect [score: 1.75, sense: 924, line: 1]
constant, rigid [score: 2.0, sense: 494, line: 1]
constant, line [score: 2.0, sense: 16, line: 1]
direction, line [score: 2.0, sense: 693, line: 1]
direction, regard [score: 2.0, sense: 9, line: 1]
direction, regard, reference [score: 3.0, sense: 9, line: 1]
direction, regard, reference, respect [score: 4.0, sense: 9, line: 1]
direction, line [score: 2.0, sense: 281, line: 1]
direction, line [score: 2.0, sense: 220, line: 1]
direction, line [score: 2.0, sense: 547, line: 1]

advantage, line [score: 2.0, sense: 640, line: 2]
advantage, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 916, line: 2]
advantage, takes, takes [score: 3.0, sense: 916, line: 2]
regard, respect [score: 2.0, sense: 920, line: 2]
regard, respect [score: 2.0, sense: 887, line: 2]
regard, respect [score: 2.0, sense: 880, line: 2]
regard, respect [score: 2.0, sense: 768, line: 2]
regard, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 438, line: 2]
regard, takes, takes [score: 3.0, sense: 438, line: 2]
regard, reference [score: 2.0, sense: 10, line: 2]
regard, reference, respect [score: 3.0, sense: 10, line: 2]
regard, reference [score: 2.0, sense: 923, line: 2]
regard, reference, respect [score: 3.0, sense: 923, line: 2]
regard, respect [score: 2.0, sense: 866, line: 2]

events, event [score: 2.0, sense: 725, line: 2]
events, event [score: 2.0, sense: 526, line: 2]
events, event [score: 2.0, sense: 1, line: 2]
events, event [score: 2.0, sense: 124, line: 2]
events, event [score: 2.0, sense: 157, line: 2]
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events, event [score: 2.0, sense: 590, line: 2]
events, event [score: 2.0, sense: 716, line: 2]
events, event [score: 2.0, sense: 167, line: 2]
events, event [score: 2.0, sense: 137, line: 2]
events, event [score: 2.0, sense: 8, line: 2]
events, event [score: 2.0, sense: 474, line: 2]
events, event [score: 2.0, sense: 616, line: 2]
events, event [score: 2.0, sense: 596, line: 2]
events, event [score: 2.0, sense: 154, line: 2]
events, event [score: 2.0, sense: 473, line: 2]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 18, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 761, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 583, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 808, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 86, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 498, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 74, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 36, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 714, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 851, line: 3]
takes, takes, respect [score: 3.0, sense: 851, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 825, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 490, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 828, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 622, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 148, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 791, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 859, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 173, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 20, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 672, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 959, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 833, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 963, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 955, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 296, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 458, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 673, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 57, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 638, line: 3]
takes, takes, respect [score: 3.0, sense: 638, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 551, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 37, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 740, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 660, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 810, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 198, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 468, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 204, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 39, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 163, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 459, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 706, line: 3]
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takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 310, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 619, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 852, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 671, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 712, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 900, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 187, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 708, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 788, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 786, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 831, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 188, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 65, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 508, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 525, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 542, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 46, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 745, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 189, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 823, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 108, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 192, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 144, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 721, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 627, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 682, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 516, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 915, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 603, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 891, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 584, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 78, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 457, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 308, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 829, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 304, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 917, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 858, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 165, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 910, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 802, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 172, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 767, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 370, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 662, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 311, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 881, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 773, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 979, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 704, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 854, line: 3]
takes, respects [score: 3.0, sense: 854, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 586, line: 3]
takes, takes [score: 2.0, sense: 481, line: 3]
Step 4: Select the Best Proto-chain for Each Candidate Word

take, takes, take, train, train, train, line, train, train, embankment [score: 9.0, sense: 624, line: 1]
direction, regard, reference, respect [score: 4.0, sense: 9, line: 1]
travelling, travelling, takes, takes [score: 4.0, sense: 981, line: 1]
suppose, regard, takes, takes [score: 4.0, sense: 485, line: 1]
regard, takes, takes [score: 3.0, sense: 438, line: 2]
advantage, takes, takes [score: 3.0, sense: 916, line: 2]
takes, takes, respect [score: 3.0, sense: 851, line: 3]
constant, rigid [score: 2.0, sense: 494, line: 1]
events, event [score: 2.0, sense: 725, line: 2]
line, relative [score: 2.0, sense: 27, line: 3]
rails, respect [score: 1.75, sense: 924, line: 1]
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Step 5: Select the Lexical Chains

train, rails, train, train, train, line, train, train, embankment [score: 9.0, sense: 624, line: 1]
suppose, regard, takes, takes [score: 4.0, sense: 485, line: 1]
direction, reference, respect [score: 3.0, sense: 9, line: 1]
travelling, travelling [score: 2.0, sense: 981, line: 1]
constant, rigid [score: 2.0, sense: 494, line: 1]
events, event [score: 2.0, sense: 725, line: 2]
Appendix M: The First Two Levels of the **WordNet 1.7.1 Noun Hierarchy**

This appendix presents the 9 unique beginners of *WordNet 1.7.1* and the 161 first level hyponyms.

**entity, physical thing**

- thing
- causal agent, cause, causal agency
- object, physical object
- substance, matter
- location
- subject, content, depicted object
- thing
- imaginary place
- anticipation
- body of water, water
- enclosure, natural enclosure
- expanse
- inessential, nonessential
- necessity, essential, requirement, requisite, necessary
- part, piece
- sky
- unit, building block
- variable

**psychological feature**

- cognition, knowledge, noesis
- motivation, motive, need
- feeling

**abstraction**

- time
- space
- attribute
- relation
- measure, quantity, amount, quantum
- set

**state**

- skillfulness
- cognitive state, state of mind
- cleavage
- medium
- ornamentation
- condition
- condition, status
- conditionality
- situation, state of affairs
relationship
relationship
tribalism
utopia
dystopia
wild, natural state, state of nature
isomerism
degree, level, stage, point
office, power
status, position
being, beingness, existence
nonbeing
death
employment, employ
unemployment
order
disorder
hostility, enmity, antagonism
conflict
illumination
freedom
representation, delegacy, agency
dependence, dependance, dependency
motion
motionlessness, stillness
dead letter, non-issue
action, activity, activeness
inaction, inactivity, inactiveness
temporary state
imminence, imminency, impendence, impendency, forthcomingness
readiness, preparedness, preparation
physiological state, physiological condition
kalemia
union, unification
maturity, matureness
immaturity, immatureness
grace, saving grace, state of grace
damnation, eternal damnation
omniscience
omnipotence
perfection, flawlessness, ne plus ultra
integrity, unity, wholeseness
imperfection, imperfectness
receivership
ownership
obligation
end, destruction, death
revocation, annulment
sale
turgor
homozygosity
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⇒ heterozygosity
⇒ polyvalence, polyvalency, multivalence, multivalency
⇒ utilization

event
⇒ might-have-been
⇒ nonevent
⇒ happening, occurrence, natural event
⇒ social event
⇒ miracle
⇒ migration
⇒ Fall

act, human action, human activity
⇒ action
⇒ nonaccomplishment, nonachievement
⇒ leaning
⇒ motivation, motivating
⇒ assumption
⇒ rejection
⇒ forfeit, forfeiture, sacrifice
⇒ activity
⇒ wear, wearing
⇒ judgment, judgement, assessment
⇒ production
⇒ stay
⇒ residency, residence, abidance
⇒ inactivity
⇒ hindrance, interference
⇒ stop, stoppage
⇒ group action
⇒ distribution
⇒ legitimation
⇒ waste, permissive waste
⇒ proclamation, promulgation
⇒ communication, communicating
⇒ speech act

group, grouping
⇒ arrangement
⇒ straggle
⇒ kingdom
⇒ biological group
⇒ community, biotic community
⇒ world, human race, humanity, humankind, human beings, humans, mankind, man
⇒ people
⇒ social group
⇒ collection, aggregation, accumulation, assemblage
⇒ edition
⇒ electron shell
⇒ ethnic group, ethnos
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⇒ race
⇒ association
⇒ subgroup
⇒ sainthood
⇒ citizenry, people
⇒ population
⇒ multitude, masses, mass, hoi polloi, people
⇒ circuit
⇒ system
⇒ series
⇒ actinoid, actinide, actinon
⇒ rare earth, rare-earth element, lanthanoid, lanthanide, lanthanon
⇒ halogen

possession
⇒ property, belongings, holding, material possession
⇒ territory, dominion, territorial dominion, province
⇒ white elephant
⇒ transferred property, transferred possession
⇒ circumstances
⇒ assets
⇒ treasure
⇒ liabilities

phenomenon
⇒ natural phenomenon
⇒ levitation
⇒ metempsychosis, rebirth
⇒ consequence, effect, outcome, result, event, issue, upshot
⇒ luck, fortune, chance, hazard
⇒ luck, fortune
⇒ pulsation
⇒ process