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Abstract

The use of adsorbed natural gas (ANG) as a transportation fuel is a relatively cleaner alternative compared to that of gasoline and is important from the perspective of environmental safety. However, unlike gasoline and diesel, natural gas requires compression, liquefaction, and adsorption techniques for its storage, as it has a very low volumetric energy density. Among all storage techniques, adsorption-based natural gas (ANG) storage is considered as more economical and relatively safe technology due to its mild temperature and pressure conditions for the storage. This chapter will summarize the recent advances in the area of ANG with reference to various synthetic storage materials recently developed for the purpose and their efficiency towards storage and deliverability of natural gas. Particular emphasis will be given to adsorbents based on porous carbon materials, metal organic frameworks, and covalent organic frameworks for the said application. The synthetic procedure for the above adsorbents, followed by their efficiency to store and deliver natural gas, will be discussed. Finally, in the conclusion, the future scope of the technology will be summarized.
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1. Introduction

The well-known alternative fuels for crude oil-based liquid products are natural gas and hydrogen. Nevertheless, these gaseous fuels require a special storage technique for effective utilization due to their lower volumetric energy density values. Natural gas with wide availability and improved production technology is considered to be an important energy source for the future and a cleaner form of energy compared to that of the higher hydrocarbon-based fuels such as gasoline and diesel due to its low C/H ratio. This consists of mainly methane (55–98 vol%) as the primary component along with other gases such as ethane (2–4 vol%), propane (0.5–2 vol%), and butane (0.25–0.5 vol%) in minor amounts along with few acid gases in trace amounts [1]. An estimate has shown that natural gas produces 55.9 kg CO$_2$/GJ of energy, which is lower than that of anthracite coal (91.3 CO$_2$/GJ), gasoline (78.5 CO$_2$/GJ), and diesel (73.3 CO$_2$/GJ) [2]. Therefore, among the several areas of attention for further technological advances in the natural gas domain, storage, utilization, and supply chain are prominent in the downstream sector. The concept of natural gas storage is old and typically produced and, in some cases,
the processed gas is stored in an underground facility in the vicinity of the supply center. The stored gas is regularly monitored for potential loss and emission reliability of the supply chain to meet the customer demand is an important parameter for this form of energy [3]. The current issues related to the environment has led to adopting effective measures to handle major pollution sources and the transportation sector is considered as one of the important one among these. The use of natural gas owing to wide availability and its lower carbon emission compared to that of the higher hydrocarbons as fuel for vehicular application is projected to control the pollution level to a certain extent. Natural gas in two forms are utilized as vehicle fuel, i.e. compressed natural gas (CNG) and liquefied natural gas (LNG). The CNG is especially important for light vehicle transport such as cars and other cargo transporting vehicles, whereas the LNG form is utilized in industries and manufacturing along with domestic applications. However, these systems suffer from the limitations of high cost, low storage efficiency, and safety issues.

To improve the efficiency of the process, the concept of adsorbed natural gas (ANG) originated, in which the natural gas was stored in a comparatively high amount in a porous adsorbent system under ambient temperature conditions. In this process, the methane is believed to be adsorbed in molecular form in the nanosized pores of the adsorbent network and the density in the adsorbed form exceeds the bulk density. The adsorption process being exothermic typically depended on the thermodynamic conditions. The amount of adsorption increased with a decrease in temperature and an increase in pressure. The ANG is considered to be a cost-effective alternative compared to that of liquefaction and energy-intensive compression. The storage pressure in this scenario can be decreased to ~35 bar compared to that of the utilized in CNG technology (200 bar) [4]. The target set by the Department of Energy (DOE) is 263 cm³/cm³ working capacity under standard conditions for the adsorbent material to be commercially viable. The value is gravimetrically equivalent to 0.5 g/g of adsorbent and amount of CNG at 200 bar and 25°C pressure and temperature respectively. Furthermore, the low energy density value of the natural gas also requires improvement which may be achieved by high-density packing so that it mimics the energy density value of LNG, i.e. ~22.2 MJ/L. Therefore, efficient adsorbents with high adsorption and desorption efficiency are desirable to utilize this ANG technology in an affordable manner for commercial implementation.

2. Materials for ANG storage

The selection of a suitable adsorbent is one of the most important criteria for the utilization of the technology for successful commercial application. An adsorbent with high surface area, large pore volume, narrow micropore distribution, the pore size of 1–1.2 nm, and high density is required to achieve the ambitious ANG storage target for vehicular application set by the US DOE. The porous materials studied in recent literature for adsorption based natural gas storage at relatively low pressure compared to that of the CNG and ambient temperature conditions are resins, zeolites [5], xerogels [6], aerogels, carbon-based materials [7] such as carbon nanotubes and fibers, metal organic frameworks (MOF) and covalent organic frameworks (COF). The methane adsorption efficiency is known to be linearly dependent on the surface area of the adsorbent (Figure 1) [8]. Earlier studies have revealed that Zeolites exhibiting adsorption capacity up to 100 V/V storage capacity are not suitable to reach the target set by the DOE and the interest has shifted to the carbon-based materials and 3D frameworks [4].
The volumetric storage capacity of the adsorbed natural gas may be calculated in a simple manner by following the equation given below;

\[ n_{stg} = n_{exc} + \rho_{gas} \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{\rho_{pack}}{\rho_{He}} \right) \right] \]

(1)

where, \( n_{stg} \) is the volumetric storage capacity, \( n_{exc} \) is the excess amount of adsorbate per volume of adsorbent, \( \rho_{gas} \), \( \rho_{pack} \), and \( \rho_{He} \) is the gas, packing and helium density respectively.

2.1 Porous carbon materials

Porous carbon materials are one of the widely studied systems for said application. In general carbon-based materials have exhibited higher adsorption capacity compared to that of the other studied porous materials possessing similar surface area due to their slit-shaped pore structure [9]. The packing density of activated carbon-based materials is also known to exhibit a linear dependence on the applied pressure and the value reaches up to 0.8 g/cm³ on applying pressure till 980 MPa [10]. Furthermore, the activated carbon-based materials can be easily synthesized from readily available low-cost starting materials such as wooden materials, corns, different fossil fuels, and polymer and are cost-effective. These materials can be easily physically activated using steam or CO₂ and chemically activated in an industrial scale using acids and bases under high-temperature conditions [11]. Earlier studies on carbon-based adsorbent for the natural gas storage application was based on the single-walled carbon nanotubes (SWCNT). The Monte Carlo simulation-based theoretical studies predicted that the SWCNT bundles may be suitable for methane storage under moderate pressure conditions [12]. However, experimental studies afterward suggested that the adsorption capacity is limited to 0.11 g/g in these systems at 60 bar and 30°C [13]. The activated carbon-based materials have been successful in achieving excess gravimetric methane uptake till 0.2 g/g at 35 bar and ambient temperature conditions and in this case the delivery capacity was 170 V/V at 65 bar pressure [14]. Further increase in pressure to 100 bar improved the adsorption capacity to 263 V/V, which surpassed the target set by DOE. However, the deliverable capacity of carbon-based materials is reported to be inferior, which limits the utilization of these materials for storage applications. The carbon nanotube (CNT) though has shown promise to achieve high adsorption capacity, the experimental value is limited to 160 V/V at 35 bar and 25°C [15].
One of the advantages of these carbon-based materials is that these can be synthesized from renewable, polymeric, and cost-effective sources and activated via multiple routes. For example, olive stones were utilized to prepare carbon-based materials, which on carbonization at 500°C under inert atmosphere produced the carbon material. The sample was activated using KOH at a high temperature of 800°C [16]. The sample exhibited 3551 m²/g BET surface area and 215 V/V methane uptake capacity at 100 bar with a working capacity of 135 V/V. In another instance, polycyronitrile beads were pyrolyzed at 600°C to synthesize carbon flowers. The carbon flowers were then activated with KOH by heating the mixture at 800°C. The flowers were also activated by heating these under CO₂ flow at 850°C. The resulting carbon materials exhibited a BET surface area of 1077 m²/g and methane adsorption capacity of 196 V/V at 65 bar [17]. Other biomass precursors for the synthesis of porous carbon materials include coconut shells [18], corn straws [19], banana peels [20], and soya [21]. Xiao and coworkers published a summary on the use of various biomass for the synthesis of porous carbon materials and the surface area of the resulting materials [22]. The review also summarized the different activation methods utilized to activate the carbon materials such as strong inorganic bases [23], lewis acid [24], and H₃PO₄ [25] based procedures to optimize the pore structure further and enhance their adsorption performance. Templating is another useful technique utilized in literature to achieve highly ordered and large surface area carbon materials. Both soft and hard templating can be used for this purpose. Hard templates could be a various nanoparticles, silica, and molecular sieves. For example, mesoporous silica sieve hard templates in presence of 1,10-phenanthroline ligand were utilized to synthesize Co immobilized nitrogen-doped porous carbon materials for catalytic applications. The calcination for the material synthesis was carried out at 800°C [26]. Typically, in the case of the soft templating method, the polymers or surfactant self-assemble into a particular ordered shape, which is then immobilized into the mesoporous material to be synthesized. Subsequently, the templates are removed to expose the pores and obtain the porous material. Ionic liquid and self-assembled block polymers have been utilized as the soft templates for this purpose [27, 28]. Direct carbonization of ordered nanostructures is also becoming another attractive option to generate porous carbon materials. For example, the MOF can be utilized as precursors to directly synthesize porous carbon materials via pyrolysis under an inert environment [29]. The presence of various organic ligands may also serve as the carbon source for the above synthesis, whereas the metal nodes often help to control the pore structure and control the physical properties of the carbon material. For example, Yamaguchi and coworkers utilized a Zn and Co-based bimetallic MOF to synthesize nanoporous carbon by pyrolyzing the precursor under an inert atmosphere at 900°C [30]. The shape of the precursor was replicated in the carbon material produced as can be shown in Figure 2 below. Metal such as Zn evaporates at a high temperature allowing the formation of pores in the resulting carbon product. In some cases, the metal ions convert to the corresponding nanoparticles and serve as a catalytic site for further applications. The type of metal, the metal content, and ligand type play important role in developing nanoporous carbon structures.

2.2 Metal organic frameworks

In this regard, the MOFs have gained strong interest in their ability to reversibly store natural gas for vehicular application. MOFs are a wide family of reticular and highly porous coordination polymers formed by the coordination self-assembly of the metal center with multidentate organic building blocks [32, 33]. The MOFs are reported to exhibit ultra-high surface area up to 10,000 m²/g, and tunable pore sizes
with the possibility to functionalize them [34]. A number of MOF materials such as MIL-74, CAU-8, Zn₆(H₂O)₃(BTP)₄, Zn-MOF-74, 3W-ROD-1, and Ni-MOF-74 are studied towards their ability to store natural gas (Figure 3) [31]. Similarly, Pore size optimization is one of the important targets in the field of MOF materials. The effective way to address the same is by gradually changing the length of the organic linker and introducing functionality into the linker. For example, the introduction of sulfone and carbonyl groups notably improved the CO₂ absorption capacity compared to that of the un-functionalized one (Figure 4) [35].

For an adsorbent to exhibit adequate adsorption ability, the system should maintain a balance between gravimetric (SA₇) and volumetric surface area (SAᵥ).
along with high porosity. Often the normalized value of the product of $SA_G$ and $SA_V$ is utilized to suggest the above. It is known that the helium void fraction displays a volcano relationship with the largest pore diameter. The NU-1500; Al and PET-based MOF systems exhibit void fraction and largest pore diameter values of 0.76 and 12.7 Å respectively and display high CH$_4$ storage capacity. A similar system with Fe at the coordinating center, the NU-1501 series displayed increased VF values of 0.87 and an LPD value of 18.8 Å [36]. The MOF series based on extended PET system and Al metal displayed $SA_G$ and $SA_V$ of 7310 m$^2$/g and 2060 m$^3$/cm$^3$ respectively. The corresponding Fe-based system showed a somewhat lower $SA_G$ value (7140 m$^2$/g) and comparable $SA_V$ value (2130 m$^3$/cm$^3$). The study showed that the $SA_G$ and $SA_V$ values can be controlled further to significantly improve the gravimetric uptake capacity while retaining the volumetric storage capacity.

The gas adsorption capacity and separation performance of the MOFs may be optimized further by suitably modifying the organic building blocks with functional groups using simple organic transformation. The presence of polar functional groups in the mainframe of MOFs effectively improves the CO$_2$ capture and separation abilities. For example, MOF is based on flexible hexadentate ligands containing amide groups, N-tris-isophthalic acid-1,3,5-benzenetricarboxamide (TPBTM) such as $[Cu_{34}(TPBTM^6\cdot)_{8}(H_2O)_{24}](Cu$-TPBTM) with high surface area [37]. The pore structure of the resulting MOFs depended on the size, structure, and functionality of the organic building blocks as shown in Figure 5 for representative purposes.

These class of materials are reported to exhibit adsorption capacity up to 180 V/V. For example, the HKUST-1 based on Cu metal and 1,3,5-benzenetricarboxylate organic linker exhibits surface area up to 1800 m$^2$/g with adequate stability [37]. Similarly, a MOF system based on Cu and a hexadentate linker 3,3',3'',5,5',5''-benzene-1,3,5-triyl-hexabenzoic acid exhibited BET surface area up to 6240 m$^2$/g [39]. The open metal site in these MOFs serves as the site to bind to the methane molecule. At 100 bar pressure and 25°C, these MOFs display
storage capacity up to 330 V/V. The issue with this MOF system towards practical applications is that higher hydrocarbons, such as ethane and propane adsorb more strongly compared to that of the methane and tend to block the pore site [40]. Seki reported a MOF system, based on copper and triethylene diamine (TED), \([\text{Cu}-(\text{O}_2\text{CRCO}_2\cdot1/2\text{TED})_n]\) \([R = 4,4'-\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{C}_6\text{H}_4(1)]\) which recorded a volumetric storage capacity for methane up to 225 V/V [41]. For the synthesis of MOFs for said application, key factors such as the number of coordinating sites, size, shape, and geometric configuration of organic linkers require careful attention, which determines the self-assembled structure and gas adsorption performance of MOFs. Typically, the coordinating sites of the organic building blocks consist of electron-donating elements such as O, S, and N. Among the corresponding coordinating functionalities, the carboxylic acid groups tend to form stable MOFs. A set of crystalline MOFs based on 4,4’,4”-\([\text{benzene-1,3,5-triyl-tris(ethyne-2,1-diyl)\text{tribenzoate}}\) organic linker and \(\text{Zn}_4\text{O}(\text{CO}_2)_6\) was synthesized that exhibited non-interpenetrating 3D crystal structures with pores of ~48 Å sizes [42]. The MOF displayed an extremely high BET surface area of 6240 m²/g. A table summarizing the adsorption capacity under given temperature and pressure conditions for various MOFs is included for reference (Table 1) [43].

Considering the gas density in the pores of the adsorbent to be considerably higher compared to that of the bulk density, the optimization of void space becomes important to maximize the adsorption capacity. Therefore, monoliths of MOFs are prepared using compression, binder, and polymeric additives [45]. However, the use of additives though known to improve the mechanical strength has their drawback as these tend to block the pore space and decrease the uptake capacity [46]. Therefore, preparation of pure monolith of MOF using compression has been pursued in literature. Even mechanical compression notably decreased the uptake capacity possibly due to the collapse of the pore structure. For example, the uptake capacity of \(\text{Ni}_2(1,4\text{-dioxido-2,5-benzenedicarboxylate})\) based MOF decreased from 230 to 100 V/V at 34 bar and 30°C after palletization [47]. Another way to handle the issue is to use a sol-gel technology in which gradual evaporation of solvent from the monoliths without destroying the pore structure. Recently, HKUST-1 monolith prepared using sol-gel technique exhibited uptake capacity of 259 V/V at 65 bar and 25°C [48].

The methane binding sites were studied in the literature on Zn-based MOF samples using low-temperature synchrotron analysis. The data revealed two primary adsorption sites and one secondary adsorption site. The primary adsorption sites...
were located near the Zn complex paddlewheel and the center of the small windows was recognized as the second primary adsorption site. At these sites, the methane interacted with the phenyl units and paddlewheel units. The secondary adsorption site was recognized as the center of the cavity [49]. However, still, the interaction of functional groups with methane is not very clear. More studies with support from spectroscopic tools may be necessary for future to completely ascertain the mechanism of methane storage. Using flexible MOFs is attractive to improve desorption capacity and minimize the loss during desorption. Considering the desorption pressure of the working engine is fixed at 4.8 bar by DoE, the reported flexible

| MOFs       | BET  | Pressure | Capacity (cm$^3$/g) | Reference |
|------------|------|----------|---------------------|-----------|
| NU-1500-Al | 3650 | 100      | 549.1               | [43]      |
| MOF-177    | 4500 | 65       | 475                 | [38]      |
| NU-1501-Al | 7310 | 100      | 929.7               | [43]      |
| PCN-46     | 2500 | 65       | 310.7               | [43]      |
| PCN-61     | 3000 | 65       | 366.8               | [43]      |
| PCN-66     | 4000 | 65       | 397.9               | [43]      |
| PCN-68     | 5109 | 65       | 465.2               | [43]      |
| UTSA-61    | 2171 | 65       | 337                 | [43]      |
| UTSA-20    | 1620 | 65       | 252.7               | [43]      |
| NOTT-119   | 4118 | 65       | 426.6               | [43]      |
| NU-111     | 4930 | 65       | 503                 | [43]      |
| NU-125     | 3286 | 65       | 395                 | [43]      |
| NU-140     | 4300 | 65       | 451.5               | [43]      |
| NOTT-100   | 1661 | 35       | 210                 | [43]      |
| NOTT-102   | 3342 | 35       | 308                 | [38]      |
| UTSA-110   | 3241 | 65       | 402                 | [44]      |
| UTSA-76    | 2820 | 65       | 363                 | [44]      |
| NJU-Bai-43 | 3090 | 65       | 396                 | [44]      |
| LIFM-82    | 1624 | 65       | 267                 | [44]      |
| MFM-38     | 2022 | 65       | 346                 | [44]      |
| MFM-115a   | 3394 | 65       | 389                 | [44]      |
| MIL-53 (Cr)| 1500 (Langmuir) | 35 | 159 | [38] |
| SNU-77     | 3670 | 35       | 250                 | [38]      |
| PCN-68     | 5109 | 35       | 332                 | [38]      |
| IRMOF      | 1102 | 35       | 163                 | [38]      |
| DUT-4      | 1308 | 35       | 158                 | [38]      |
| FJI-1      | 4043 | 35       | 273                 | [38]      |
| DUT-13     | 5570 (Langmuir) | 35 | 250 | [38] |
| MOF-210    | 6240 | 35       | 331                 | [38]      |
| DUT-8 (Co) | 1400 | 35       | 78                  | [38]      |

Table 1. The methane gas adsorption capacity of various MOFs under different pressures conditions [38, 43, 44].
MOFs are known to absorb ANG at between 35 and 65 bar and release most of the gas at ~5 bar pressure [50, 51]. The type of metal center in the MOF also controls the uptake capacity and thermal management of the system. For example, Co(bdp) and Fe(bdp) based MOFs through exhibit comparable methane uptake capacity, Fe-based system has desorption steps at higher pressures of 10 bar compared to that of the Co-based system [52]. Similarly, in terms of intrinsic thermal management, the amount of heat released by Fe(bdp) based system (64.3 kJ/L) is 12% compared to that of the Co(bdp) (73.4 kJ/L) based system. Overall, the challenge with these systems lies with the fact that the 3D structure to be produced in such a way that the aromatic rings are exposed for the CH$_4$ interaction. However, expansion of the organic linkers leads to fragile materials and allows for the self-interpenetration of lattices. Furthermore, the thermal stability of the coordination linkage, low heat of adsorption, and high packing density are also important from the perspective of commercial viability. Keeping in view of the above, suitable MOFs with high surface area and porosity may be designed and synthesized for the ANG storage application.

2.3 Covalent organic frameworks

Another exciting avenue for reversible storage of CH$_4$ is the covalent organic frameworks (COFs). The COFs are nanoporous materials formed by the covalent crosslinking of organic functional groups. These are lightweight materials with large pore volume, low density, and presence of hydrocarbon frames that enhances the interaction towards CH$_4$ and improve the uptake capacity. Importantly, these possess high thermal stability and low molecular weight. For example, the COFs based on boronic acid linkage exhibited high thermal stability till 500°C and surface area up to 1590 cm$^2$/g [53]. The surface area of boronic acid-based COFs increased further by restructuring the pore structure through the incorporation of two nodes, i.e. triangular (ctn) and tetrahedral (bor). The surface area of the COFs was measured to be 4210 cm$^2$/g [54]. Earlier studies have proposed to minimize the methane COF interaction and increase the heat of adsorption to enhance the delivery efficiency of methane. These studies have further proposed that substitution on the phenyl ring does not alter the binding ability in the samples [55].

A study based on aromatic imine networks revealed that sub-stoichiometric construction of COFs hexagonal building blocks with four connecting sites, where the two unreacted sites can be used to enhance the selectivity of hydrocarbon adsorption [56]. The COF displayed a high BET surface area up to 3478 m$^2$/g and methane adsorption capacity of 11.2 cm$^3$/g. Similarly, another sub-stoichiometric COFs based on imines displaying bex net topology synthesized using tri- and tetratopic linkers are reported in the literature [57]. Topology control in COF is another important aspect to control the uptake capacity. For example, recently an N,N-dimethyl acetamide and 1,3,5-trimethylbenzene based imine-COF was synthesized that displayed two different types of triangular micropores of different pore dimensions. The diameter of the pores was 11.3 and 15.2 Å respectively [58]. The COFs with tbo topology have been reported in the literature by using planar porphyrin with four coordinating sites and 3 coordinating trigonal aldehydes of a triphenylamine. The COFs are arranged into a $Pm\bar{3}$ space group and constitute a non-interpenetrating framework [59].

COFs possessing pcu topology have been synthesized using distorted aromatic compounds serving as triangular antiprismatic nodes [60]. Imine-based COFs possessing fjh topology was synthesized by coupling a triangular linker with a square building block [61]. Triptycene-based COFs displaying a non-interpenetrated ceq or acs topology was synthesized using a combination of a triangular prism and
planar triangle nodes [44]. The sample displayed methane adsorption capacity up to 48 cm$^3$/g at 0°C and 1 bar. The COF exhibited a BET surface area value of 2650 m$^2$/g. Overall, studies have shown that the organic building block structure and functionality can be utilized as a control to develop COFs with controlled pore size, pore structure, and topology. The functionality in the COF system may be introduced to enhance the selectivity of such systems towards methane adsorption compared to that of the polar gases. The stoichiometry also serves as one of the handles to control the topology of the COFs. Several studies have shown that these materials possessing adequate thermal stability and binding ability towards methane may be a useful option for methane storage applications.

3. Conclusion

To summarize, ANG holds strong promise in the area of fuel for transportation and other consumer sectors. The affordability depends on the availability of cost-effective adsorbents with high storage capacity with optimized deliverability. Though porous carbon, metal-organic framework, and covalent organic framework have shown promise, the target set by the DOE is yet to be complied with optimum deliverability. Selective compositions have been successful in achieving the storage capacity limits, the cost-effective and large scale production of such materials is under ways to materialize a commercializable product. These porous nanostructures are predicted to reach a high surface area up to 4000 m$^2$/g and beyond. A greater understanding of the pore structure, synthetic process, and mechanism of formation, pore controlling factors during synthesis and factors contributing towards the pore uniformity and stability would notably help towards the development of nonporous materials with high surface area and controlled pore structure. Therefore, the development of new cost-effective, thermally stable adsorbents with high uptake capacity and material strength is still desirable to further fulfill the commercial viability aspect of the technology.
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