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ABSTRACT

Many viral genomes encode small, integral membrane proteins that form homo-oligomeric channels in membrane, and they transport protons, cations, and other molecules across the membrane barrier to aid various steps of viral entry and maturation. These viral proteins, collectively named viroporins, are crucial for viral pathogenicity. In the past five years, structures obtained by nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR), X-ray crystallography, and electron microscopy (EM) showed that viroporins often adopt minimalist architectures to achieve their functions. A number of small molecules have been identified to interfere with their channel activities and thereby inhibit viral infection, making viroporins potential drug targets for therapeutic intervention. The known architectures and inhibition mechanisms of viroporins differ significantly from each other, but some common principles are shared between them. This review article summarizes the recent developments in the structural investigation of viroporins and their inhibition by antiviral compounds. This article is part of a Special Issue entitled: Viral Membrane Proteins—Channels for Cellular Networking.
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1. Introduction

The past few decades of virus research have witnessed the emergence and growth of a special family of membrane-embedded channel proteins encoded by the genome of viruses [1–3]. This family of proteins, collectively termed viroporins, generally has very low sequence homology with the ion channels from prokaryotes and eukaryotes, though certain transmembrane (TM) segments can be similar [4]. Viroporin proteins share some common features; they are small (50–120 amino acids), hydrophobic, and often dynamic, and due to the small size, these membrane proteins must oligomerize in order to form channels. Moreover, these viral channels appear to adopt minimalist but functional structural solutions that are drastically different from the known channel structures from other organisms, making structural and functional exploration of viroporins both interesting and rewarding.
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Early investigations of viroporins have focused on a few systems including 2B of poliovirus, 6K of togavirus, and M2 from influenza A virus (IAV). The 2B is a well-known viroporin implicated in membrane permeabilization to ions and small molecules during later stages of viral infection [5–7]. The 6K has been shown to form cation-selective channels when inserted into lipid bilayers [8], but the role of 6K in the viral life cycle is still poorly characterized [9–11]. The M2 proton channel has by far received the biggest spotlight because 1) it is the target of the once successful anti-flu drug (amantadine or rimantadine) and 2) it is a pH-dependent proton conducting channel (our knowledge of proton channel has for a long time been very limited) [12–14]. Since the discovery of above proteins, the viroporin family has grown substantially (Table 1). The human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1) Vpu and the hepatitis C virus (HCV) p7 have been shown to conduct cations (Table 1). The human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1) Vpu and the hepatitis C virus (HCV) p7 have been shown to conduct cations [15–19]. The influenza B viral genome encodes the BM2 protein that is a functional homolog of M2 but is not sensitive to the adamantane family drugs [20]. There is the viral K+ channel from a functional homolog of M2 but is not sensitive to the adamantane family drugs [20]. The hepatitis C virus (HCV) p7 have been shown to conduct cations [15–19].

The exact functions of viroporins in many viruses are not yet known, though their roles have been implicated in entry, viral assembly and viral release. In particular, the roles of the M2 proton channel during influenza replication have more or less been accepted. One role of the M2 channel is to equilibrate pH across the viral membrane during cell entry, which acidifies the virion interior after endocytosis and facilitates RNA release [24,25]. Another role of M2 is to equilibrate pH across the trans-Golgi membrane of infected cells during viral maturation, which preserves the pre-fusion form of hemagglutinin through de-acidification of the Golgi lumen [26]. The roles of other cation-selective viroporins are however not so clear. In the case of HCV, the p7-mediated channel activity has been reported to facilitate virus release [27,28]. In fact, one of the general roles proposed for viroporin is to depolarize either the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) or plasma membrane to facilitate membrane curvature during budding [3,29]. Another general role for viroporin is to simply cause cation leakage and cellular stress, which would induce programmed cell death [30]. In addition to ion permeation, several viroporins are known to participate in viral assembly through interaction with other proteins. For example, the IAV M2 and IBV BM2 proteins both have significant cytoplasmic domains that are involved in recruiting matrix proteins M1 during virus assembly [31,33]. The HCV p7 protein has also been reported to interact with other non-structural proteins such as NS2, and this interaction appears to be crucial for the production of infectious HCV particles [34,35].

While the precise functions of ion conduction activity of many viroporins remain to be discovered, advances in structural characterization of influenza M2, BM2 and HCV p7 proteins have provided compelling evidence that viroporins are not simple porins but can adopt interesting architectures for regulated transport activities such as pH dependent proton transport and selective cation conductance. Furthermore, structural characterizations of interactions between viroporins and small molecule inhibitors have revealed conceptually very different mechanisms by which these channel proteins can be inhibited, and thus alluded to new opportunities of using viroporins as antiviral targets. The current review describes the recently characterized viroporin architectures, their intriguing and minimalist modes of assembly, and how the new structural information explains the observed functional properties of the viroporins. This review also describes examples of viroporins being inhibited by the known antiviral drugs and explains the mechanism of drug inhibition and drug resistance.

### 2. The architectures of viroporins

Structural studies of viroporins have not been easy because these small membrane proteins are typically dynamic and very sensitive to the hydrophobic surroundings. In the last five years, multiple biophysical techniques including solution NMR, X-ray crystallography, solid-state NMR, and EM have been used to gradually fill the knowledge gap. For example, there are now structural information of the channel domain of M2 in crystal and solution states, in lipid bilayer, under different pHs, and bound to different small molecules. These complementary structural data allow elucidation of the functional mechanism from different view angles. In addition to the influenza proton channels, the structure of the full-length p7 channel of HCV has recently been determined. In both cases, structure determination revealed unexpected structural features that are important for understanding the functional mechanism.

#### 2.1. The influenza M2 channels: structural solutions for proton conduction

The M2 protein of IAV and BM2 protein of IBV are 97- and 109-residue single-pass membrane proteins, respectively, that form homotetramers in membrane [36–40]. The two proteins share almost no sequence homology except for the HxxxW sequence motif in the TM domain that is essential for channel activity. Their domain arrangements are also different. The TM region encompasses residues 24–46 in M2 and residues 4–33 in BM2. Hence the unstructured N-terminal segment preceding the TM domain is much longer in M2 and has been sought after as a possible target for vaccine development [41–45]. In addition to the membrane-embedded channel domain, M2 and BM2 both have relatively large C-terminal cytoplasmic regions. These regions have been suggested to play a role during viral assembly, by recruiting the matrix proteins to the cell surface during virus budding and/or by contributing to the coating of matrix proteins to the viral envelope [31,32,46,47].

The first detailed structures of the M2 channel were determined concurrently by solution NMR spectroscopy and X-ray crystallography in 2008 [48,49]. Subsequent X-ray and solution NMR studies determined the structures of the channel domain under different conditions [50] and with different drug resistance mutations [51,52]. Moreover, structural characterization by solid-state NMR spectroscopy also generated working structural models for the M2 channel in the lipid bilayer environment [53,54]. The above structures solved under different conditions show substantially different conformations (Fig. 1A), suggesting that the assembly of the M2 channel is quite dynamic and is sensitive to the reconstitution environment. This structural plasticity could have been one of the major factors that caused technical difficulties for structure determination.

| Name of virus | Name of viroporin | # of amino acid | Oligomeric state | Ion or substrate | References |
|---------------|------------------|----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------|
| IAV M2        | 97               | Tetramer       | H+               | Ref. [37,38,74] |
| IBV BM2       | 115              | Tetramer       | H+               | Ref. [39]      |
| HCV p7        | 63               | Hexamer        | Cation           | Ref. [18,62]   |
| HIV-1 Vpu     | 81               | Pentamer       | Cation           | Ref. [70,71,90]|
| SARS-CoV 3a   | 274              | Tetramer       | K+               | Ref. [72]      |
| E              | 76               | Pentamer       | Na+, K+          | Ref. [91]      |
| Alphavirus 6 K| 61               | N/A b          | Na+, K+, Ca2+    | Ref. [8]       |
| Poliovirus VP4| 68               | N/A b          | RNA              | Ref. [23]      |
| 2B            | 97               | N/A b          | Ca2+             | Ref. [7,92]    |
| HIV-1 E       | 83               | N/A b          | N/A              | Ref. [93,94]   |
| PBCV-1 Kv     | 94               | Tetramer       | K+               | Ref. [21,95]   |

* a The viruses listed are influenza A virus (IAV), influenza B virus (IBV), hepatitis C virus (HCV), human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1), severe acute respiratory syndromes-associated coronavirus (SARS-CoV), alphavirus, poliovirus, human papillomavirus (HPV), and *Paramecium bursaria* chlorella virus 1 (PBCV-1).

* b Pore formation indicated but a defined oligomeric state either does not exist or is not characterized.
Despite the conformational variability, the experimental structures converged to a common mode of channel assembly: a left-handed four-helix bundle forms the channel pore, and tetramerization of the four TM helices is further stabilized by intermolecular contacts between C-terminal amphipathic helices flanking the TM domain \[48,54\]. This mode of TM helix assembly places the “H” and “W” of the HxxxW sequence motif inside the channel. Four imidazole rings of His37, which are pH trigger devices and are essential in transporting protons, are packed closely inside the pore. Moreover, packing of the Trp41 indoles creates a channel gate, which occludes the C-terminal end of the pore.

The detailed structure of the BM2 channel domain was solved using solution NMR methods \[33\]. The BM2 channel is also assembled through left-handed helical packing but unlike M2, the packing shows strong coiled-coil characteristics with regular heptad repeats \[12\] (Fig. 1B). In the BM2 coiled-coil tetramer, positions a and d of the heptad repeats are occupied mostly by serines and His19 to form the hydrophilic pore. Positions g and e are occupied by leucines and phenylalanines, respectively, to allow for hydrophobic packing between the peripheral leucines and phenylalanines. This arrangement for coiled-coil assembly in membrane is the opposite to that of water-soluble coiled-coil tetramer, in which positions a and d are typically hydrophobic residues and positions g and e are polar residues \[55\]. The inverse coiled-coil assembly in membrane places the histidine (His19) and tryptophan (Trp23) of the HxxxW motif inside the pore. The cytoplasmic domain

---

**Fig. 1.** Structures of the influenza proton channels and mechanism of proton conduction. (A) The many structures of the influenza M2 channel. The PDB codes 2RLF and 2KWX represent the solution NMR structures of the wildtype and the V27A mutant determined using residues 18–60. The 3C9J and 3LBW are crystal structures of the TM domain (residues 22–46) determined at pH 7.3 and pH 6.5, respectively. The structures 2L0J and 2KQT were obtained using solid-state NMR using protein constructs that encompass residues 22–62 and residues 22–46, respectively. (B) Solution NMR structures of the BM2 protein. The PDB codes 2KIX and 2KJ1 represent the structures of the TM domain (residues 1–33) and the cytoplasmic domain (residues 43–103), respectively. (C) Isolated view of the pore-lining histidine and tryptophan sidechains in M2 and BM2 channels. Images are from the high resolution crystal structure (3LBW) and NMR structure (2RLF) of M2 and NMR structure of BM2 (2KIX). (D) Cartoon of the mechanism of proton conduction. (1) Channel breathing due to thermal energy can transiently enlarge the N-terminal opening, allowing protons to be relayed cross the Val27 barrier. (2) The protons bind to His37 imidazole, and protonation of His37 triggers opening of the Trp41 gate. (3) C-terminal water molecules accept protons from protonated His37. (4) Polar residues Asp44 and Arg45 facilitate proton exit.
of BM2 is also a left-handed coiled-coil tetramer, but it is water-soluble and has strong bipolar distribution of surface charged residues. This domain specifically interacts with the M1 matrix protein [33].

The structural arrangement of the histidine and tryptophan inside the pore in M2 and BM2 implies the roles of imidazoles as proton selection devices and indoles as channel gates (Fig. 1C). Indeed, functional assays [56] and NMR measurements [57] suggested that proton conduction across the M2 channel involves cycles of histidine protonation and deprotonation, and that the histidines serve as proton shuttling devices. A concern of histidine protonation is that when multiple histidines are protonated, the charge repulsion between them would be strong enough to destabilize the tetramer. This issue can however be resolved by the fact that not all histidines can be protonated at the same time because protonation of one histidine would increase the barrier for the protonation of another histidine [58]. Indeed, multiple pKa values have been observed in proton conduction assays of M2 [56]. Therefore, our current understanding of the proton conduction mechanism is that the His-Trp structural elements constitute the minimally required unit for pH-dependent proton transport (Fig. 1D). It was proposed in Ref. [58] that in the closed state two pairs of histidines in the tetramer each share one proton, which explains the high pKa ~ 8.2. Lowering pH results in the protonation of the third histidine from the N-terminal side that, in turn, results in disruption of the two histidine dimers and proton conduction. The remaining question to be addressed in the future is how does the third protonation result in conformational change of the tryptophan that would allow relaying the proton to the C-terminal side of the tryptophan gate [56]. Finally, the significant structural differences between the M2 and BM2 channels show strong ability of influenza virus to evolve different solutions to achieve the same goal.

2.2. The funnel architecture of the p7 channel

The viroporin protein p7 encoded by the HCV genome is a 63-residue protein that oligomerizes in membrane to form cation-selective channels [18,19], with higher selectivity for Ca^{2+} than K^{+}/Na^{+} [59,60]. The channel activity of p7 is important for the assembly and release of infectious viruses, although the molecular mechanism of this function remains elusive [27,28]. As in the case of M2, structural characterization of p7 was confronted with challenges of preparing concentrated and homogeneous sample of p7 oligomers. Earlier NMR studies found that the p7 monomer has three helical segments: two in the N-terminal half of the sequence and one near the C-terminus [60,61]. Although the monomeric state is unlikely to conduct ions, it could be involved in interacting with the NS2 protein during virus assembly [34,35]. The first structural investigation of the assembled p7 oligomer was conducted using single-particle EM, which obtained a 16 Å resolution electron density map of the protein complex [62]. The map shows that the p7 from HCV genotype 2a (JFH-1 strain) forms a 42 kDa hexamer and adopts a flower-like shape that does not resemble any of the known ion channel structures in the database. While the above structural investigations validated p7 as a stable hexameric complex, the structural details required for understanding ion conduction...
and drug inhibition remained unknown. Recently, an effective solution NMR system of the p7 hexamer was established using p7 from genotype 5a (EUH1480 strain), which is one of the less hydrophobic sequences among the p7 variants. Using the NMR system, the detailed structure of the p7 hexamer was eventually determined [63].

The NMR structure of the p7 channel shows that HCV has developed a solution to conduct cations that is substantially different from any of the known K⁺ or Ca²⁺ channels. The channel has a funnel-like architecture with six minimalist chains, each containing three helical segments, H1, H2 and H3. The H1 and H2 helices of the monomers form the narrow and wide regions of the funnel-shaped cavity, respectively, and the H3 helices wrap the channel peripheral by interacting with H2 of the i + 2 and H1 of the i + 3 monomers (Fig. 2A). There are no intramonomer contacts between the three helical segments in the context of the hexamer. The high order of oligomerization and the maximized interactions between the monomers allow a small hydrophobic peptide (63-residues) to form a 42 kDa channel complex.

Although having a minimalist architecture compared to other Ca²⁺ or Mg²⁺ channels, the p7 hexamer appears to possess essential features of ion channels: 1) pore elements that support selective ion dehydration; 2) a gate or constriction that prevents non-specific permeation, but can open in response to regulating factor such as pH, voltage, ligand, or the ion of selection itself [64–66]. The channel interior of p7 has a number of strongly conserved residues that are likely candidates to serve the above functions. The most obvious one is Asn9, which forms a ring of carboxamide near the narrow end of the channel (Fig. 2B). Residue 9 is asparagine in all strains except being substituted with histidine in genotype 2 viruses. Formation of a ring of carboxylates or carboxamides has been a recurring theme in prokaryotic and eukaryotic cation-selective channels [69] because the immobile arginines can recruit mobile anions, which in turn facilitate cations to diffuse through the pore. It is interesting to note that a highly basic region containing Arg155, Lys159 and Lys163 in the pore was also found in the CRAC Orai structure [68]. One possible role of these basic residues in cation selective channels is binding and obstructing anions while allowing cations to diffuse into the pore. This mechanism would be consistent with the observation that replacing Arg35 with negatively charged aspartic acid largely abrogated conductance [63].

Finally, an unresolved issue with the NMR structure is that the size of the Asn9 ring (inner diameter ~ 7 Å) is significantly larger than those in, e.g., the CRAC and CorA crystal structures. At this size, the carboxamide ring clearly cannot provide tight coordination of Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, and Na⁺. Moreover, the recent structure of the calcium release-activated calcium (CRAC) channel Orai shows a hexameric ring of aspartic acids [68]. These channels all have strong selectivity for divalent cations, although they can also conduct monovalent cations such as Na⁺ and K⁺.

Proximal to the Asn9 ring of p7 is the hydrophobic ring formed by Ile6, which defines the narrowest point of the channel. Residue 6 is isoleucine or valine throughout HCV genotypes and subtypes. Therefore, a narrow hydrophobic ring at this position likely serves as a hydrophobic constriction which prevents water from freely passing through. A mechanism of cation conduction can be envisaged from the structure. The role of the Asn9 ring is to provide ion selectivity by recruiting and dehydrating cations near the funnel exit, and the unhydrated cations can pass the hydrophobic ring. In this regard, the Asn9 has the feature of being a broad selectivity filter.

In addition to what appears to be the cation selectivity ring near the narrow, N-terminal exit of the channel, the wider, C-terminal entrance of the channel is decorated with a conserved ring of arginines or lysines. Placement of a positively charged ring at the entrance was incomprehensible to us initially because it may repel cations. But an earlier study reported that a designed TM barrel with internal arginine–histidine dyads forms efficient cation selective channels [69] because the mobile arginines can recruit mobile anions, which in turn facilitate cations to diffuse through the pore. It is interesting to note that a highly basic region containing Arg155, Lys159 and Lys163 in the pore was also found in the CRAC Orai structure [68]. One possible role of these basic residues in cation selective channels is binding and obstructing anions while allowing cations to diffuse into the pore. This mechanism would be consistent with the observation that replacing Arg35 with negatively charged aspartic acid largely abrogated conductance [63].

Finally, an unresolved issue with the NMR structure is that the size of the Asn9 ring (inner diameter ~ 7 Å) is significantly larger than those in, e.g., the CRAC and CorA crystal structures. At this size, the carboxamide ring clearly cannot provide tight coordination of Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, and Na⁺.
and this raises a number of questions that need to be addressed in the future. Does the NMR structure, solved in the absence of Ca\(^{2+}\) and inhibitors, represent the open or closed state of the channel (if the two states exist)? Is Ca\(^{2+}\) selectivity of p7 as strong as the highly regulated Ca\(^{2+}\) channel such as CRAC? Or was p7 developed as a general, unspecific cation channel for the purpose of dissipating membrane potential? Addressing these questions would require further structural investigation of p7 under different conditions and more extensive characterization of the channel conductance properties by single-channel measurements. From structural perspective, the funnel-like architecture is formed with multiple helical segments connected by hinges and short loops and we believe that this flexibility can afford the dynamic opening and closing of the tip of the channel.

2.3. Other viroporins

In addition to the above viroporin structures, structural characterization has been conducted for several other viroporins. Combined use of solid-state NMR PISEMA experiment and solution NMR first identified the membrane-associated region of the Vpu protein from HIV-1 including a TM helix and a juxtamembrane amphiphilic helix parallel to the membrane [16]. The same research group obtained a more detailed structure of the TM helix and its tilt angle relative to the lipid bilayer, and in combination with computational modeling, provided a homopentameric model of the Vpu channel [70]. A somewhat different model of the pentameric Vpu was recently developed using intermonomer distance restraints from magic angle spinning (MAS) NMR experiments, suggesting that Vpu, like M2 and p7, also adopts substantial structural variability [71]. In addition to Vpu, the oligomeric state analyses have been performed for the Kcv protein from PBCV-1 [22], and the 3a and E proteins from SARS-CoV [72,73]. These studies suggested that Kcv and 3a form homotrimers while the E protein forms a stable pentamer. We believe that these viroporins may adopt interesting architectures as well to transport ions.

3. Drug inhibition of viroporins

One of the motivations for investigating viroporins is their anticipated structural difference with human channels and thus the potential as antiviral drug targets. A successful example of antiviral drug that targets viroporin is amantadine (Symadine) or rimantadine (Flumadine), which inhibits proton conduction of the M2 channel [74,75] and was the first licensed drug for treating influenza infections [76]. Rimantadine has also been shown to inhibit the HCV p7 channel [18,77]. Since the first successful drug targeting a viroporin, researches on viral membrane proteins have identified several more viroporin inhibitors with varying efficacies, including hexamethylenemiamide that inhibits HIV-1 Vpu [17], HCV p7 [59,60] and E from SARS-CoV [78], long-allyl-chain iminosugar derivatives that inhibit HCV p7 [19], as well as a new compound, BIT225, that inhibits HIV-1 Vpu [79] and HCV p7 [80].

3.1. Amantadine inhibition of M2 channel

The mechanism of how amantadine/rimantadine inhibit M2 channel has been elusive until very recently. Previous confusion came mainly from the multiple binding sites that have been observed experimentally. In a crystallographic study of the M2 TM domain (residues 24–46) in the presence of amantadine, the drug density was found inside the channel near residue Ser31, but at structural resolution of 3.5 Å, it was difficult to confirm the position of amantadine binding [49]. At the same time, however, a solution NMR study of a longer version of M2 (residues 18–60) showed that rimantadine binds to an external, lipid-facing pocket around residue Asp44 between adjacent TM helices [48]. The mechanistic implications of the two binding sites are obviously different. One is the drug directly blocking the channel passage, and the other is an allosteric mechanism by which drug binding to the external site favors the closed state of the channel. Subsequent solid-state NMR measurements of the TM domain in lipid bilayer showed that rimantadine binds to both sites, with higher affinity for the pore site [53]. Functional studies using an AM2–BM2 chimera protein provided the strongest evidence that the pore binding site is the primary site of drug inhibition [81,82]. In this study, the authors used a chimera of M2 variants from influenza A and B viruses that contains only the pore-binding site which showed that the chimera channel is still sensitive to amantadine or rimantadine inhibition, indicating that the pore-binding site is the primary site of drug inhibition. Later, the structure of the TM domain of the chimera in complex with rimantadine was determined by NMR, providing a precise view of the drug binding inside the channel pore [83].

The structure shows that eight methyl groups of the M2 tetramer (two from each subunit: Val27 CH3H, and Ala30 CH3H) together form a deep internal pocket that completely wraps the adamantane cage of the drug (Fig. 3A). The nitrogen of the rimantadine amino group is on average 2.8 Å from the backbone carbonyl oxygen of Ala30 of one of the four subunits, probably forming a hydrogen bond. The terminal methyl group of the rimantadine is in the middle of the pore, facing the open space in the channel around the Gly34 position. The structure also shows that rimantadine binding is slightly tilted: its vertical axis is on average –20° from the C4 symmetry axis of the channel. The tilt angle is consistent with the amantadine tilt in the M2 channel observed with solid-state NMR spectroscopy [33].

Although amantadine or rimantadine has been widely used as anti-influenza drug for decades, drug resistant variants are widespread, with resistance now >90% [84]. The reported mutations that confer resistance include V27A, A30T, S31N, and G34E, among which S31N and V27A account for the vast majority of resistant strains [85,86]. It is obvious from the rimantadine-bound NMR structure that V27A and A30T will change the size and/or hydrophobicity of the adamantane binding pocket. The G34E mutation is likely to cause steric collision with the amino and methyl groups of the drug. Moreover, introducing four negative charges inside the small pore could alter the channel conformation. The S31N mutation is less straightforward to explain because Ser31 faces the helix–helix interface and does not appear to be involved in any direct interactions with the drug. Previous NMR analysis of the S31N mutant suggested that replacing Ser31 with the much bulkier asparagine has the effect of destabilizing helical packing [51]. We believe that the S31N mutation abrogates drug binding allosterically by disrupting or loosening the internal binding pocket. Modifying the adamantane compound to overcome this mutation is clearly not easy, but a recent study reported that conjugation of a – CH3-heteroaryl group to the amine of amantadine leads to significant inhibition of the S31N mutant [87].

3.2. Inhibition of the p7 channel by the adamantane compounds

In addition to blocking the M2 channel, the adamantane derivatives such as amantadine and rimantadine have also been reported to pose some inhibitory effects on the p7 channel conductance [18,88]. The therapeutic relevance of this finding was however controversial in clinical trials that investigated p7 amino acid variations in response to antiviral therapy with amantadine [89]. When treating HCV genotype 1a/b infected patients with amantadine in the clinical study, no significant correlation of overall amino acid variations in p7 with response to the treatment was found, although a p7 mutation, L20F, was observed more often in the drug resistant patients. Another in vitro study using single-channel recording technique showed that the activity of p7 from genotype 1b is not sensitive to amantadine [60]. The above discrepancies around amantadine inhibition could be explained by the sequence variations of p7 among the different genotypes. Indeed, a systematic investigation using multiple functional readouts including viral entry and release demonstrated substantial genotype-dependent and subtype-dependent sensitivity to several p7 inhibitors, including
amantadine and rimantadine [77], but the sequence differences are not sufficiently localized to enable elucidation of the drug binding location.

The most recent structural characterization of HCV p7 provided a detailed view of the binding site of amantadine or rimantadine [63]. NMR measurements of the channel-drug complex revealed that amantadine or rimantadine binds to six equivalent hydrophobic pockets (due to the six-fold symmetry of the p7 channel) between the pore-forming and peripheral helices (Fig. 3B). In each site, Leu52, Leu53, and Leu56 from H3 of the i monomer, Val25 and Val26 from H2 of the i + 2 monomer, and Phe20 from H2 of the i + 3 monomer together form a deep hydrophobic pocket that wraps around the adamantane cage of the drug. The amino group of amantadine or rimantadine is facing the large-ly hydrophilic channel lumen. An important property of the drug binding site is that it consists of elements from different helical segments and from different monomers. As rationalized above, permeation of cations through the p7 channel may depend on opening the narrow end of the funnel, which in turn depends on the reorientation of the helical segments. The binding of adamantane derivatives to the pocket may inhibit channel activity allosterically by causing the channel to close.

3.3. The same drug, different viroporins, and different modes of inhibition

Comparing the amantadine or rimantadine binding mode of HCV p7 to that of influenza M2 shows two fundamentally different mechanisms of drug inhibition. In the case of M2, one drug binds to one channel. Drug binding inhibits proton transport by directly blocking the channel passage; it also prevents channel from opening. In the case of p7, amantadine and rimantadine are clearly too small to block the channel. They instead bind to six equivalent sites outside of the channel cavity, which can afford up to six drugs per channel. If rimantadine binding to this site is relevant to inhibition, as crudely suggested by previous functional mutagenesis, drug binding to these sites inhibits cation conduction with an allosteric mechanism, possibly by stabilizing the closed state of the channel.

Although the mechanisms of drug inhibition may be completely different, the structural bases that govern drug-binding affinity for M2 and p7 are actually similar, and they involve hydrophobicity and size of the pocket, and position of the drug amino group. In the case of the M2 tetramer, the drug adamantane cage fits snugly in a hydrophobic pocket formed by eight methyl groups from Val27 and Ala30 (two from each subunit), while the drug amino group forms polar contact with the backbone oxygen of Ala30 and points to the polar region of the channel cavity (Fig. 4A). For the p7 channel, the adamantane cage is in contact with ten methyl groups and an aromatic group from the protein. These hydrophobic groups form a deep hydrophobic pocket that also matches closely the size of the adamantane cage (Fig. 4B). The amino group of amantadine or rimantadine points to the channel lumen; it is in position to form polar contacts with the electronegative groups such as backbone carbonyl of residues 15–17.

The similarity in amantadine or rimantadine binding between p7 and M2 allude to similar mechanism of drug resistance. Known drug resistance mutations of M2 such as V27A and A30T confer resistance by decreasing the hydrophobicity of the adamantane binding pocket, and this is conceptually consistent with the observed mutations that confer drug resistance in p7. In the case of p7, our functional assay showed that
single mutations L20F and F26A as well as double mutation L53T/A56S also significantly reduced rimantadine inhibition (unpublished data), and these mutations all decrease hydrophobicity of the adamantane binding pocket. Thus variations in the hydrophobicity of the adamantane binding pocket among the p7 variants explain the large differences in drug efficacies observed between different HCV genotypes.

4. Conclusion

Viruses have developed membrane-embedded viroporins for transport of ions and solutes during viral life cycle. The name “porin” seems to imply leaky pores, similar to the β-barrel porins in bacteria. But, the recent structural data show that these viroporins are more sophisticated than leaky pores. Although viroporins generally adopt minimalist architecture, they have the essential structural elements compatible with selective transport of protons and/or cations. These viral channels may not be as functionally robust or specifically regulated as some of their counterparts in prokaryotes and eukaryotes, because viruses often do not need intricate regulation of channel activities during their infection cycles. Nonetheless, the unique structures of viroporins can provide unique opportunities for developing novel antiviral therapeutics. We believe, with multiple biophysical and biochemical tools being established for structural studies of membrane proteins, new viroporin architectures will be discovered at a faster pace. Despite the progress in structure determination, major challenges lie ahead in viroporin research. The precise functional roles of many viroporins are still unclear. Moreover, due to the lack of robust single-channel recording setups suitable for viroporins, the ion conductance properties of most viroporins have not been fully characterized. Therefore, better definition of the functional aspects of viroporins will certainly draw greater enthusiasm for developing therapeutics that target this interesting family of membrane channels.
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