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Abstract

Introduction: Type D personality is the last distinguished specific type of personality that is characterised by two dimensions: a tendency for feeling negative emotions – depression, anxiety, anger or hostility, and a tendency for withdrawal from the society. The latest research shows the significant role played by type D personality in the aetiology and course of a variety of diseases.

Aim: The article discusses the problem of the occurrence of type D personality in the group of patients suffering from psoriasis. Diversities in the clinical condition of psoriasis patients due to increasing type D personality traits are specified.

Material and methods: Ninety psoriasis patients and 86 healthy subjects participated in the research. In the research questionnaires, the scale for assessing increasing psoriasis complaints and the DS-14 scale to assess type D personality were applied.

Results: Research results made it possible to corroborate more frequent occurrence of type D personality among psoriasis patients. Moreover, it was found that with increasing negative affectivity – one of type D personality components – complaints increase as far as the clinical condition of psoriasis patients is concerned.

Conclusions: Monitoring of psychological well-being of psoriasis patients, especially within type D personality, seems to be a vital element, irrespective of purely medical treatment.
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Introduction

Psoriasis is one of autoaggressive diseases, i.e. diseases in the course of which the immune system attacks the cells of the body system. The occurrence of pathological lesions, their persistence and returns are determined by a variety of intrasystemic and exogenous factors, predispositions of the individual to illnesses and non-specific personality traits [1–5].

The skin is an organ that reacts to the psychological well-being; it reflects the physical and mental state [6]. Skin diseases, in the majority of cases, do not pose a direct hazard to health, and that is why problems of individuals with various dermatoses are frequently ignored or reduced to minor problems of a cosmetic nature [7]. In reality, skin lesions are visible to the environment and can strongly affect the patients’ psychological well-being [8]. In patients suffering from psoriasis, psychophysiological disorders occur: there is a correlation between the occurrence or advancement of skin lesions and the psychological well-being of the patient [9].

As far as research on the role of psychological factors in the aetiology and course of diseases is concerned, three factor types can be distinguished: personality, behavioural and social [10]. This article addresses the relationship between type D personality and the clinical condition of psoriasis patients.

The associations between personality and health can be manifested in a variety of ways. Personality can be treated in causal categories as a disease risk factor. Certain biological mechanisms fundamental for personality tend to shape it and simultaneously affect predispositions to fall ill [11].
The results of research to date allow us to formulate a claim that personality, expressed in relatively perma-
nent traits, is a kind of a mediator between stress result-
ning from the environment and the onset, development 
and course of a somatic disease [12].

The effect of personality on health is a two-way one: 
direct through physiological mechanisms and indirect 
through stress experienced as well as ways of coping 
with it, and also through preferred health behaviours [11].

The research on causality between personality and 
disease as well as on predispositions to fall ill is signifi-
cantly restricted, since personality is only one of a pleth-
or of factors determining the individual’s health, and 
conducting research pertaining to all of them is impos-
sible. Most research is correlational research that does 
not answer the question about the cause and effect. It 
should be borne in mind that certain personality traits 
are conducive to falling ill, but also personality may be 
subject to changes as a result of a disease, especially 
when the disease is chronic or incurable. The research 
conducted so far has focused mainly on seeking risk fac-
tors and traits conducive to falling ill [11, 13, 14].

Cognitive reinterpretation is vital for the process of 
coping with the disease; it may result in the reduction 
of negative emotions, and the increase in positive emo-
tions; this may contribute to the body system activating 
its immunological potential and combating the disease 
[11, 15].

The concept of type D personality, also referred to 
as the distressed personality, was introduced in the re-
search literature by Johan Denolett from the University of 
Tilburg, the Netherlands, in 1995. The emergence of this 
new personality type was a consequence of ambiguous 
research results on the role of other complex behavioural 
patterns in the development and course of somatic dis-

tes [16].

The type D personality embraces two major dimen-
sions, treated as relatively stable personality traits, 
namely, negative affectivity and social inhibition. Nega-
tive affectivity is concerned with tendencies to experi-
ence strong negative emotions such as anxiety, anger, 
hostility, irritability. Social inhibition is expressed in the 
tendency to refrain oneself from expressing negative 
emotions and behaviours concurrent with these emo-
tions. Inhibition occurs mostly in social situations, and 
the individual is aware of being inhibited. This behaviour 
results from the fear of being disapproved of and rejected 
by others. Individuals with type D personality are char-
acterised by the following traits: a tendency to worry 
and feel stressed, low (or lack of) sense of security, pes-
simistic outlook on life, feeling unhappy, poor tendency 
to share emotions with others mainly due to the fear of 
being disapproved of and rejected, sense of discomfort 
in the presence of other people, mainly strangers, weak 
bonds with other people and a tendency to blame one-
self. Moreover, the distressed personality is connected 
with such symptoms of psychological stress as depres-
sion, difficulties in utilising social support, feeling bad, 
low self-esteem, low level of life satisfaction as well as a 
sense of exhaustion [16, 17].

In type D individuals processes of cognitive assess-
ment and ways of coping with stress are realised in a 
specific way. This is to be observed in the three com-
ponents: cognitive, affective and behavioural. Type D 
individuals perceive the world around in a peculiar man-
ner. Negative affectivity, which seems to be a permanent 
trait, precedes the process of cognitive assessment and 
ways of coping, which is conducive to assessing events 
as threatening and harmful. Negative affective, typical 
of type D, results in the individual perceiving the reality 
as a threat (cognitive component), which secondarily in-
tensifies negative emotions such as anxiety, fear, anger, 
hostility, irritability (affective component) and induces 
assuming a defensive attitude and to cope with these 
emotions. Type D personality most frequently copes 
through inhibiting from expressing emotions and be-
aviours conformant with emotions (behavioural com-
ponent). As a result, the sense of stress is enhanced and 
leads to changes in the autonomic nervous and somatic 
systems that further on result in the development of the 
disease or deterioration of health [11, 18].

Type D personality should not be treated as pathol-
ology. It is considered as an extreme verge of dimensions 
viewed as psychological norms [11, 19]. The first research 
claiming that type D personality negatively affects health 
was published in 1995 [17]. This personality type is, most 
of all, treated as a risk factor for somatic diseases. The 
research to date has shown that type D individuals are 
four times more prone to falling ill with coronary heart 
disease than persons not revealing any traits of type D 
personality. Moreover, in these patients, mortality result-
ing from these diseases is higher [17]. Research results 
demonstrated higher occurrence of type D personality 
among hypertensive patients in comparison to the gen-

eral population. The research to date has also shown that 
type D personality traits affect rehabilitation effects in 
cardiac patients [19]. What is more, one of traits of type D 
personality – negative affectivity – is a predictor of such 
diseases as coronary heart disease, hypertension, can-
cer, peptic ulcer disease and psoriasis. Social inhibition 
fulfils a predictive role in such diseases as coronary heart 
disease, hypertension, cancer and peptic ulcer disease 
[11]. The probability of a subsequent heart attack in type 
D individuals with coronary heart disease is 52%, and in 
persons who do not reveal these personality traits – 12% 
[20]. Seventy-three percentage of cases of death for car-
diac reasons were found in persons with type D personal-
ity [21]. Longitudinal research showed that the mortality 
rate of patients with type D personality 6 years after the 
first measurement test was 27%, and in persons that did 
not have this personality type – 7% [22]. Pedersen and 
Denolet repeated the research in 2000; type D person-
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Psoriasis patients suffer from psychophysiological disorders, which denotes a correlation between the occurrence or advancement of skin lesions and the patient’s psychological health. Stress, conflicts experienced and internal tension release adverse physiological changes in the body [9].

Research on the relation between psychological factors and psoriasis was instigated in line with the psychosomatic approach. The focus was laid on psychogenic determinants of psoriasis, and attempts were made to connect the occurrence and subsequent returns of the disease with such psychological variables as conflicts, personality traits, negative events in one’s life or stress. Currently, the disease itself is considered as the source of stress and it is beyond any doubt that the relation between stress and psoriasis goes bidirectionally [23]. The research conducted by Ogińska-Bulik and Juczyński [24] demonstrates that the component of type D personality – negative affectivity – turned out to be a determinant of psoriasis.

Aim

The article aims at verifying whether psoriasis patients differ from healthy individuals as regards type D personality as well as at determining the correlation between the health of patients and the occurrence of type D personality.

Material and methods

The following research instruments were applied in the research presented: personal questionnaire, the scale specifying the increase in complaints associated with psoriasis, DS14 personality scale by Ogińska-Bulik, Juczyński and Denollet.

A personal questionnaire is an instrument which was used for gathering information on the psycho-social situation of patients and their health. The following health determinants were considered: duration of the disease in years, disease-related complications and comorbidity.

The increase in complaints was determined by means of a self-assessment scale that evaluates the increase in psoriasis-related complaints and considers such determinants as pain, burning, itching and irritation. Each of the determinants was given points, ranging 1 to 5, by the researcher where 1 stood for the highest score, and 5 – the lowest score for each of those complaints. In general, the highest score for complaints was 4 points, and the lowest 20 points.

The DS-14 personality scale by Ogińska-Bulik, Juczyński and Denollet is a tool for testing adult patients and healthy individuals. It comprises 14 statements, out of which seven measure the tendency to sense negative emotions (negative affectivity – NA), and the remaining seven measure the tendency to inhibit oneself from expressing those emotions and relevant behaviours (social inhibition – SI). Each statement is evaluated on a five-point scale, from 0 – false to 4 – true. The scale is applied to measure the increase in traits of type D personality. Results for negative affectivity and social inhibition are calculated separately. A higher score denotes a higher increase in traits comprising a given dimension [25].

Reliability of the scale was evaluated on a group of 1,154 persons (healthy individuals and cardiac patients). The Cronbach’s α coefficients amount to: 0.86 for the NA scale and 0.84 for the SI scale. Reliability of the scale was evaluated by the test-retest method after 3 months on a group of 60 healthy individuals and amounted to 0.76 for the NA scale and 0.73 for the SI scale. In the group of 40 cardiac patients it amounted to 0.74 for the NA scale and 0.70 for the SI scale [25].

Accuracy was specified on a group of 1,154 healthy individuals and cardiac patients. Two factors were distinguished by means of factor analysis. The first, referred to as Negative Affectivity, accounted for 40.3% of result variance, and the other – Social Inhibition – for 12.9% of result variance. Accuracy of criteria was specified on the basis of correlations with other instruments for measuring dimensions similar to type D. Both dimensions of type D personality show a relation with the intensity of stress experienced, general health measured by the GHQ-28 scale questionnaire, with negative and positive affections and one of the aspects of psychological disposition, namely, affective responsiveness [25].

Research subjects

The group of patients embraced persons with diagnosed psoriasis who expressed their written consent to take part in the research. At the same time the control group was subject to research; this group included healthy individuals selected in line with the following criteria:

• sex, age, education (patient-adjusted),
• health (these persons did not suffer from somatic diseases or mental disorders),
• informed consent to take part in the research.

After having obtained the consent of the relevant Bioethical Commission and the head of the Clinic, 90 psoriasis patients and 86 healthy individuals were subject to examinations. The group of patients included 46 women and 44 men aged 18–70. The mean age for the entire group of research subjects was 41.58 years (SD = 13.71). In the group of male patients, the mean age was 40.50 years (SD = 14.54; aged 18–66), and in the group of female patients – 42.60 years (SD = 12.93; aged 19–70).

In the control group, 44 women and 42 men were examined; the youngest person was 20, and the oldest 70. The mean age was 42.00 years (SD = 13.79). For men the
mean was 43.64 (SD = 12.58; aged 20–70), and for women – 40.43 (SD = 14.83; aged 20–68). Both groups were comparable in terms of the subjects’ age.

In the group of psoriasis patients, the number of persons with vocational and secondary education was the highest, the lowest – with primary education. In the control group, there were more persons with secondary education, with no persons with primary education. As far as marital status is concerned, in both groups married persons were predominant, with the lowest number of widowed or divorced persons. Some subjects did not give any answers pertaining to their marital status.

Considering the state of disease, such aspects as disease duration (in years), complications or the absence of them, comorbidity or increase in such complaints as pain, burning, itching and irritation (Table 1) were taken into account. All the aforementioned parameters were slightly higher in men, yet the difference was not statistically significant (for disease duration $p = 0.463$; for complaints $p = 0.807$).

About 73% of patients suffered from complications, and in majority of them (89%) other somatic diseases co-occurred.

### Statistical analysis

The data obtained were subject to quantitative analysis applying such basic descriptive statistics as mean results (M), standard deviation (SD) and median. The normality of result distribution was verified by the Shapiro-Wilk test. In order to verify the significance of differences between groups under research, the t-Student test was applied for independent samples ($t$), and in case of a failure to fulfill conditions referring to normal distribution and homogeneity of the variance test, the nonparametric U Mann-Whitney ($z$) test was applied. Calculations were made with the use of Statistica 9.0 statistical software.

### Results

The occurrence of type D personality was observed in the group of psoriasis patients. However, this result should be considered with care since it is only slightly above the limit for type D. No type D personality was found in the group of healthy individuals (Table 2). Statistically significant differences occurred between the groups of patients and healthy individuals in terms of negative affectivity ($t = 4.15, p < 0.05$), whereas for social inhibition the difference was not statistically significant ($t = 1.91, p = 0.58$). Psoriasis patients experienced more negative emotions than healthy individuals.

Differences were found in the increase in components of type D personality considering the sex ratio in the groups of patients and healthy individuals. In the group of female patients, a significantly higher increase in negative affectivity and social inhibition was observed. Thus, female psoriasis patients had more negative affection and were more socially inhibited than healthy female individuals (Table 3). Male psoriasis patients differed significantly from healthy male individuals in terms of negative affectivity. They tended more frequently to express negative affection in comparison to healthy male individuals (Table 4).

Mean results for complaints are within the range of moderate forms of psoriasis. Complaints were assessed considering such determinants as pain, burning, itching and irritation (Table 5).

### Table 1. Disease duration in years and increase in psoriasis-related complaints – mean results for the entire group and according to sex ratio

| Clinical condition determinants | Women | | | Men | | | Total | | |
|---------------------------------|-------|---|---|-------|---|---|-------|---|---|
|                                 | Mean  | Standard deviation | Mean  | Standard deviation | Mean  | Standard deviation |
| Disease duration                | 17.74 | 13.37                     | 19.74 | 12.34                       | 18.76 | 12.82                     |
| Complaints                      | 12.98 | 3.89                      | 13.19 | 4.24                       | 13.09 | 4.05                      |

### Table 2. Mean results of psoriasis patients and healthy individuals as for type D factors

| Test scales               | N   | Mean | Standard deviation | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|---------------------------|-----|------|--------------------|--------|---------|---------|
| DS14 Negative affectivity | 90  | 12.66| 6.60               | 12.00  | 0.00    | 28.00   |
| DS14 Social inhibition    | 90  | 10.01| 5.98               | 10.00  | 0.00    | 26.00   |
| DS14 Negative affectivity | 86  | 9.01 | 4.89               | 8.00   | 0.00    | 22.00   |
| DS14 Social inhibition    | 86  | 8.34 | 5.64               | 17.00  | 0.00    | 42.00   |
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In relation to the clinical condition of the subjects, differences were observed in the increase in type D personality traits. The influence of the following health determinants was analysed: disease duration, increase in complaints, occurrence of complications and comorbidity. For the disease duration and complaints, two groups were distinguished, and the results obtained were divided by means of the median test. For complications and comorbidity, the occurrence or the absence of those determinants were taken into consideration.

With increasing complaints, patients expressed higher levels of negative affectivity (Table 6).

Psoriasis patients did not differ significantly in terms of the increase in type D personality traits when the following determinants were considered: disease duration, occurrence of complications and comorbidity.

Table 3. Significant results in terms of type D personality traits – comparison between female patients and female healthy individuals

| Test scales         | Patients          | Healthy individuals | Value of t | Value of p |
|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------|------------|------------|
|                     | Mean (SD)         | Mean (SD)           |            |            |
| DS14 Negative affectivity | 14.52 (6.94)     | 10.05 (5.02)       | 3.467      | 0.001      |
| DS14 Social inhibition | 10.77 (5.37)     | 8.09 (5.55)        | 2.302      | 0.024      |

Table 4. Significant results in terms of type D personality traits – comparison between male patients and male healthy individuals

| Test scales         | Patients          | Healthy individuals | Value of t | Value of p |
|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------|------------|------------|
|                     | Mean (SD)         | Mean (SD)           |            |            |
| DS14 Negative affectivity | 9.28 (6.48)      | 8.60 (5.78)        | 2.635      | 0.010      |

Table 5. Mean results for psoriasis-related complaints in the entire group as well as for women and men

| Complaints   | Women (Mean, SD) | Men (Mean, SD) | Total (Mean, SD) |
|--------------|------------------|----------------|------------------|
| Pain         | 14.74 (4.72)     | 14.87 (5.19)   | 14.81 (4.94)     |
| Burning      | 14.39 (4.83)     | 14.64 (5.27)   | 14.52 (5.03)     |
| Itching      | 10.93 (4.47)     | 11.38 (4.99)   | 11.16 (4.72)     |
| Irritation   | 11.86 (4.48)     | 11.87 (5.08)   | 11.86 (4.77)     |
| Complaints – in total | 12.98 (3.89) | 13.19 (4.24) | 13.09 (4.05) |

Table 6. Statistically significant differences in the increase in type D personality traits as a result of increasing complaints in the group of psoriasis patients

| Test scales         | Higher increase in complaints | Lower increase in complaints | Value of t | Value of p |
|---------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------|------------|
|                     | Mean (SD)                     | Mean (SD)                   |            |            |
| DS14 Negative affectivity | 10.864 (6.414)               | 14.455 (6.356)              | −2.638     | 0.010      |

Table 7. Statistically significant differences in type D personality traits between men and women in the group of psoriasis patients

| Test scales         | Women (Mean, SD) | Men (Mean, SD) | Value of t | Value of p |
|---------------------|------------------|----------------|------------|------------|
|                     | Mean (SD)        | Mean (SD)      |            |            |
| DS14 Negative affectivity | 14.523 (6.940) | 10.870 (5.780) | 2.718      | 0.008      |
One statistically significant difference was found in the increase in one type D personality trait – negative affectivity – in terms of a demographic variable: sex. Women had higher levels of negative affectivity than men (Table 7). The patients under research did not differ significantly in terms of the increase in type D personality traits according to age.

Discussion

The results obtained corroborate prior findings on the occurrence of type D personality in patients suffering from chronic somatic diseases [24]. In the research presented female psoriasis patients expressed higher levels of negative affectivity in comparison to men. The research conducted by Boguszyńska-Górnicka et al. [26] demonstrates that female psoriasis patients show specific emotional disorders, a higher level of anxiety, tendencies to conversion reactions, substantial concentration on health-related problems as well as they are intensely affected by internal emotional conflicts. Moreover, in the group of female psoriasis patients, more difficulties with accepting the disease were observed when the patients were acting in haste [27], which is usually accompanied by the increase in negative affection [28]. Psoriasis patients differ among one another (in sex ratio) in terms of stress management strategies. Women significantly less frequently than men seemed to use the sense of humour, and more frequently emotional release [29]. It should be noted however that female psoriasis patients, despite having experienced more negative affection than healthy female individuals, were able to use positive emotions in thinking and acting in a more successful way in comparison to male psoriasis patients [30]. It seems that sex in the statistical model is an important factor determining the course of the disease in the group of psoriasis patients [31].

In psoriasis patients a correlation has been observed between the occurrence or advancement of skin lesions and the psychological well-being of the patient [9]. Exacerbation of psoriasis is related with the psychological well-being of patients because returns are frequently associated with exposure to stress [32]. Psoriasis-related stress on a daily basis is reflected in the form of physical complaints, negative emotions, unpleasant experiences in social situations, problems at work, the sense of personal debasement, problems in interpersonal contacts and in sexual relations [23]. The research conducted corroborated the relation between negative affectivity and the increase in complaints in psoriasis patients. This result may be compared with prior research saying that psoriasis is, to a substantial extent, modified by psychological factors [33]. Other research results allowed us to claim that a relation exists between the course of disease and the mood. Younger persons, women, in whom the disease has been going on for a shorter period of time, with more intensive complaints and more body surface covered with lesions, had more negative moods than elderly persons, men, in whom the disease has lasted for a long time, with less intensive complaints and less body surface covered with lesions [34]. It should be borne in mind that the role of the so-called personal resources, i.e. those psychological traits that help in coping with a difficult situation might be limited, especially when symptoms of the disease are prominent [30]. As research results demonstrate, the clinical condition is not always related with the psychological well-being of patients. For instance, the thyroid hormone concentrations did not differentiate between patients with autoaggressive thyroid diseases in terms of the illness acceptance [13]. The clinical condition reflected in the increase in symptoms measured by means of the PASI scale did not differentiate either between ways of coping applied or acceptance of the illness by psoriasis patients [29].

The results obtained reveal relations between clinical conditions of psoriasis patients and their emotional functioning. While preparing and planning treatment, one should take into consideration the fact of occurrence of type D personality in this group of patients. Psychotherapy is a beneficial form of supporting basic treatment as it decreases the frequency and intensity of returns [35].
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