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Abstract. Using simple kinematical arguments, we derive the Fokker–Planck equation for diffusion processes in curved spacetimes. In the case of pure Brownian motion, this equation coincides with Eckart’s relativistic heat equation (albeit in a simpler form) and therefore provides a microscopic justification of his phenomenological heat-flux ansatz. Furthermore, it is easy to derive from it the small-time asymptotic expansion of the mean square displacement of Brownian motion in static spacetimes. Beyond general relativity itself, this result has potential applications in analogue gravitational systems.
1. Introduction

1.1. New laws from old ones

If general relativity is ‘probably the most beautiful of all existing physical theories’ [12], it is certainly thanks to its geometric character, which reduces the dynamics of test bodies in a gravitational field to pure kinematics\(^1\). This feature makes it an unprecedented \textit{heuristic machine}: to uncover the effect of gravity on a given physical phenomenon, consider the old law that describes it \textit{in the absence of gravity}, phrase it in kinematical terms (times lapses and distance intervals), replace ‘time’ by ‘proper time’ and ‘distance’ by ‘proper distance’ and read off the new law \textit{in the presence of gravity}. For instance, the Fermat principle states that, in

\(^1\) ‘Kinematics’ has several, inconsistent, meanings in the physics literature. Here, we use this term to refer to the description of a phenomenon purely in terms of \textit{space} and \textit{time}.
the absence of gravity, light rays follow the paths that extremize time. Then general relativity immediately generates a new law from Fermat’s principle: in the presence of gravity, light rays follow the paths that extremize proper time. The bending of light in the presence of spacetime curvature follows immediately from this new law.

It is interesting to note that the generative character of general relativity is actually more general than general relativity itself. Indeed, it relies neither on local Lorentz invariance, nor on the absence of a preferred foliation of spacetime, nor on the Einstein equation, nor even on the relationship between stress-energy density and spacetime curvature. This is particularly clear in the example mentioned above: the spacetime curvature responsible for the bending of light could come from a refractive index gradient as well as from the vicinity of a massive star. In other words, whether spacetime curvature is fundamental or effective is irrelevant to the generation of a new law from old ones.

This circumstance is all the more important because a growing number of condensed-matter systems are now understood to behave as effective, or analogue, spacetimes. In addition to Gordon’s refractive optical medium, one can mention Unruh’s dumb hole (a supersonic fluid flow), but also corrugated graphene sheets, Bose–Einstein condensates, slow light systems, superfluids, metamaterials, etc. (See [1] for an updated review of analogue gravity.) The fruitfulness of this connection between condensed-matter physics and general relativity goes both ways: gravitational analogues provide valuable model systems to emulate otherwise out-of-reach relativistic phenomena [23]; conversely, the geometric setup of general relativity sheds new light on venerable fields such as optics [14], hydrodynamics [10]—or transport theory, as this paper intends to demonstrate.

1.2. The Tolman–Ehrenfest law of thermal equilibrium

That the ‘new law from old ones’ principle is not confined to the realm of mechanics, or electrodynamics, is demonstrated by the early history of general relativity. Indeed, one of the first problems which Einstein analyzed in terms of gravitational redshift was a thermodynamical one: the problem of finding the equilibrium temperature distribution $T^*$ in a static gravitational field. As early as 1912, that is, three years before the completion of general relativity, he speculated that, because in a curved spacetime proper time does not run at the same rate in different places, $T^*$ should not be homogeneous [8]. This remarkable intuition was put on a firm ground by Tolman and Ehrenfest [22], who showed that

$$
\chi T^* = \text{const},
$$

where $\chi = (-\xi^a \xi_a)^{1/2}$ is the redshift factor and $\xi^a$ a timelike Killing vector.

From our perspective, the Tolman–Ehrenfest relation (1) is a prototype of these kinematical laws which follow directly from the geometrical setup of general relativity. In their original paper, however, Tolman and Ehrenfest gave it a complicated dynamical proof, which relied on both the Einstein equation and the equation of state of thermal radiation. Several authors later pointed out this anomaly, and proposed more minimalist derivations [5, 21]. One, due to Rovelli and the present author, goes like this [19]:

- In the non-relativistic canonical ensemble, the equilibrium temperature $T^*$ can be computed as the rate of the modular flow generated by a thermal state $\rho$ (the Hamiltonian flow of $\ln \rho$) with respect to time.
Hence, in a stationary spacetime, $T^*$ can be computed locally as the rate of the modular flow generated by a thermal state $\rho$ (the Hamiltonian flow of $\ln \rho$) with respect to proper time.

By stationarity, the modular flow generated by $\rho$ is proportional to the Killing flow; hence $T^*(\sigma) \propto dt(\sigma)/ds(\sigma)$, where $t(\sigma)$ is the Killing parameter at a spatial point $\sigma$ and $s(\sigma)$ the local proper time.

By definition, this ratio is the inverse of the redshift factor $\chi(\sigma)$; hence $T^*(\sigma) \propto 1/\chi(\sigma)$.

Thus, the equilibrium temperature distribution $T^*$ is such that the combination $\chi T^*$ satisfies the non-relativistic equilibrium criterion. Can this reasoning be extended to non-equilibrium processes such as heat conduction or more general diffusion processes? How far does the ‘new laws from old ones’ principle lead us when we leave the equilibrium regime?

1.3. The stochastic route to diffusion

As already emphasized, the key step to address this question is to frame the diffusion problem in kinematical terms. With this aim, we can follow the path opened by Einstein himself in his 1905 work on Brownian motion [7]: write the master equation for a stochastic process and derive the corresponding Fokker–Planck equation in the diffusive limit.

In this stochastic approach, the basic concept is that of transition rates: the probability that a random walker will jump from one position to another per unit time. These are kinematical in nature (they are expressed in terms of distance and time), and are therefore easily amenable to the ‘new laws from old ones’ principle. Precisely by this token, we will derive in this paper the curved-spacetime generalization of the Fokker–Planck equation, applicable to any kind of diffusive transport (atomic and molecular diffusion, photon diffusion, thermal and electronic conduction, etc), in any kind of fundamental or analogue spacetime. In the case of pure Brownian motion and static spacetimes, it reads

$$\hat{\xi}^a \nabla_a (\chi p) = \kappa \Delta (\chi p),$$

(2)

where $p$ is the probability density of Brownian motion, $\hat{\xi}^a = \xi^a/\chi$ the hydrostatic four-velocity, $\Delta$ the spatial Laplace–Beltrami operator and $\kappa$ the diffusivity. Note that equation (2) is nothing but the standard diffusion equation, with $p$ replaced by $\chi p$, as in the Tolman–Ehrenfest relation—plain and simple.

Although (to our knowledge) it was never written in this form, equation (2) is actually well known in relativistic hydrodynamics: it is the phenomenological heat equation of Eckart [6]. Here, it is derived from stochastic mechanics, rather than postulated to satisfy the second law of thermodynamics—just like Einstein derived the diffusion equation postulated by Fourier and Fick.

1.4. On causality

The notion of ‘relativistic Brownian motion’ has been discussed by many authors in recent years [4]. What is usually meant by this expression is a formulation of Brownian motion that is consistent with special-relativistic causality. Our goal here is different: we wish to understand the effect of a non-trivial spacetime geometry on a diffusion process.
As is already apparent from our equation (2), which is parabolic and hence permits superluminal propagation, we do not attempt to include causality in our framework. Instead, our setup is the following. We consider a fluid flow in spacetime—the bath within which the stochastic process takes place—and fix the associated orthogonal foliation of spacetime. In this foliation, each hypersurface is the ‘instantaneous space’ relative to fiducial observers comoving with the flow. Our assumption is that, at each instant $t$, a random walker dragged by the flow can jump from one point to another point of the same hypersurface.

Of course, this acausal approach is at variance with the textbook notion that special relativity precedes general relativity. However, it is by no means unreasonable. It simply expresses a physical approximation, namely that microscopic relaxations occurs on time scales much shorter than that of the diffusion process itself. This regime is well known in the context of kinetic theory, where it is referred to as the ‘hydrodynamic limit’, and is also the regime in which Eckart’s dissipative relativistic hydrodynamics applies. See [2] for an interesting discussion on why an acausal equation such as (2) is not inconsistent with microscopic causality.

1.5. Results

Besides deriving the master and Fokker–Planck equations for stochastic processes in curved spacetimes, our results in this paper are the following.

- We provide a microscopic justification to Eckart’s heat-flux ansatz, and extend it to more general diffusion processes.
- We generalize the Tolman–Ehrenfest relation to non-equilibrium stationary states, with arbitrary boundary conditions.
- We compute the gravitational corrections to the mean squared displacement of Brownian motion in static isotropic spacetimes.

The last item is particularly interesting. In a curved spacetime, the usual scaling law $\langle x^2 \rangle_t \propto t$ holds only in the $t \to 0$ asymptotic limit. At later times, spacetime curvature corrections show up and modify the growth rate of $\langle x^2 \rangle_t$. This suggests that diffusive transport in gravitational analogues could perhaps be tailored by tuning the effective metric coefficients [20].

1.6. Plan of the paper

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 consists of preliminaries on the $D+1$ formalism for relativistic hydrodynamics and on the non-relativistic theory of stochastic processes. Our theory of stochastic processes in curved spacetimes is developed in section 3, and the limit case of Brownian motion is studied in section 4. In section 5, we obtain a small-time asymptotic expansion for the mean squared displacement of Brownian motion in static isotropic spacetimes. Our conclusion follows in section 6.

2 Eckart’s theory is often considered ‘unacceptable’ because of its acausal character and its alleged instability [9]. As far as the author can see, this judgment is completely misled: Eckart’s heat equation is a perfectly well-behaved parabolic PDE, whose status with respect to a fully relativistic dissipative hydrodynamics is the same as that of the Newton–Cartan gravity with respect to general relativity: an excellent approximation in most physical situations. See [11] for a mathematical argument to this effect.
2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, we consider a \((D+1)\) dimensional spacetime with signature \((-++\cdots)\). (We keep \(D\) unspecified to include lower dimensional analogue spacetimes in the discussion.) We denote by \(\nabla\) the spacetime Levi–Civita connection, and \(a, b, c, \ldots, i, j, \ldots\) are abstract indices.

The standard references for general relativity and the \(D+1\) formalism are [15, 26]; stochastic processes and Fokker–Planck equations are exposed in [18, 25].

2.1. The \(D+1\) formalism

Consider a relativistic fluid with velocity \(u^a\). Assume that its flow is irrotational, namely

\[
 u_\l\nabla_\r u_\s = 0.
\]

Then, according to the Frobenius theorem, there is a foliation of spacetime by hypersurfaces \(\Sigma_t\) orthogonal to \(u^a\). Furthermore, the slices \(\Sigma_t\) are the level sets of time functions \(t: M \to \mathbb{R}\) such that

\[
 u_\a = -N \nabla_\a t
\]

for some non-negative function \(N\). The function \(N\) is called the lapse function, and the slices \(\Sigma_t\) have the interpretation of ‘instantaneous space’ relative to observers comoving with the fluid. In the following, we will denote by \(\sigma\) a flow line of \(u^a\) (a ‘spatial point’), and \(\sigma_t\) its intersection with \(\Sigma_t\).

The intrinsic geometry of the spatial hypersurfaces \(\Sigma_t\) is coded by the induced metric

\[
 h_{\a \b} = g_{\a \b} + u_\a u_\b,
\]

and its associated covariant derivative\(^3 \) \(D_\a\) and Laplace–Beltrami operator \(\Delta\), while their embedding in spacetime is measured by the (symmetric) extrinsic curvature tensor

\[
 K_{\a \b} = D_\a u_\b.
\]

Its trace \(\theta = D_\a u^\a = \nabla_\a u^\a\) is the expansion scalar, and measures the fractional rate of change of an infinitesimal volume \(\delta V\) about a spatial point along the flow, namely

\[
 \theta = u^\a \nabla_\a \ln \delta V = \frac{1}{N} \frac{1}{\delta V} \frac{d(\delta V)}{dt}.
\]

The factor \(1/N\) above converts the proper time along the flow into the global time coordinate \(t\).

A situation of particular interest is the hydrostatic equilibrium: the vector \(\xi^a = \nabla^a t = -u^a/N\) is then Killing, i.e. generates timelike isometries. In this context, the lapse function \(N\) is usually denoted by \(\chi\), and is called the redshift factor. It satisfies \(u^a \nabla_a \chi = 0\), and gives the acceleration \(a^b = u^c \nabla_c u^b\) of the flow by

\[
 a^b = \nabla^b \ln \chi.
\]

Moreover, the time–time component of the Ricci tensor \(E = R_{ab} u^a u^b\) (sometimes called the Raychaudhuri scalar) is given in this case by

\[
 E = D_b a^b + a_b a^b.
\]

\(^3\) The covariant derivative \(D_\a\) associated with \(h_{\a \b}\) acts on a tensor field \(T_{\a_1 \cdots \a_n}^{\b_1 \cdots \b_m}\) according to

\[
 D_\c T_{\a_1 \cdots \a_n}^{\b_1 \cdots \b_m} = h_{\c \e_1} \cdots h_{\c \e_n} h_{\a_1 \b_1} \cdots h_{\a_n \b_n} \nabla_{\c} T_{\e_1 \cdots \e_n}^{\b_1 \cdots \b_m}.
\]
In general relativity, this scalar is tightly related to the local mass-energy density, by virtue of the Einstein equation. We will see that $E$ plays an interesting role in diffusion phenomena.

2.2. Markov processes

Let $\Sigma$ be a Riemannian manifold with metric $h_{i j}$ and covariant derivative $D_i$, representing a curved space, and denote by $\sigma_t \in \Sigma$ the instantaneous position of a random walker at time $t$. In the Markovian setup, we assume that $\sigma_t$ completely determines its later positions $\sigma_{t'}$ ($t' > t$), according to transition rates $\Gamma(\sigma \rightarrow \sigma')$. By definition, these are such that the elementary probability for the walker to jump from a volume $dV(\sigma)$ about $\sigma \in \Sigma$ to a volume $dV(\sigma')$ about $\sigma' \in \Sigma$ in time $dt$ is given by

$$\Gamma(\sigma \rightarrow \sigma') dV(\sigma) dV(\sigma') dt. \quad (10)$$

As a rule, the transition rates are implicit functions of the metric $h_{i j}$.

Let $p_t(\sigma)$ denote the probability density that the walker is in the neighborhood of $\sigma$ at time $t$, i.e. $\sigma_t = \sigma$, and

$$j_i(\sigma \rightarrow \sigma') = p_t(\sigma) \Gamma(\sigma \rightarrow \sigma') \quad (11)$$

the corresponding probability fluxes. Balancing the incoming and outgoing fluxes at $\sigma$, we can immediately write the evolution equation for $p_t$ as

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} p_t(\sigma) = \int_{\Sigma} dV(\sigma')(j_i(\sigma' \rightarrow \sigma) - j_i(\sigma \rightarrow \sigma')) , \quad (12)$$

i.e.

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} p_t(\sigma) = \int_{\Sigma} dV(\sigma')(p_t(\sigma') \Gamma(\sigma' \rightarrow \sigma) - p_t(\sigma) \Gamma(\sigma \rightarrow \sigma')) , \quad (13)$$

where $dV(\sigma)$ is the Riemannian volume element on $\Sigma$. This integro-differential equation is known as the master equation, and the operator $\mathcal{M}$ such that $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} p_t = \mathcal{M} p_t$ is the master operator.

In this stochastic framework, the notion of equilibrium state has a clear-cut definition: a steady-state solution $p^*$ is an equilibrium distribution if the corresponding probability fluxes cancel pairwise, i.e.

$$p^*(\sigma) \Gamma(\sigma \rightarrow \sigma') = p^*(\sigma') \Gamma(\sigma' \rightarrow \sigma). \quad (14)$$

This condition is known as the detailed balance condition.

Under certain regularity conditions for the rates $\Gamma$, one can show that the paths $(\sigma_t)$ are discontinuous: for this reason one often speaks of jump processes in this case. The situation changes in the limit where the jumps become infinitely frequent and short-range (with respect to some relevant coarse-graining scale). Then $\Gamma$ becomes distributional, and the master operator $\mathcal{M}$ reduces to its second-order truncation $\mathcal{L}$ in a moment expansion, reading

$$\mathcal{L} p_t = -D_i (w_i^t p_t) + \frac{1}{2} D_i D_j (w_{ij}^t p_t) . \quad (15)$$

Here $w_i^t$ is a vector field on $\Sigma$, the drift vector, and $w_{ij}^t$ is a symmetric and positive-definite rank-2 tensor field, the diffusion tensor. Equation (15) is called the Fokker–Planck equation. Note that the transition rates $\Gamma$ are related to $\mathcal{L}$ according to

$$\Gamma(\sigma' \rightarrow \sigma) = \mathcal{L} \delta(\sigma', \sigma), \quad (16)$$
where $\delta$ is the Dirac distribution on $\Sigma$ and $\mathcal{L}$ acts on the $\sigma'$ variable. Stochastic processes described by such Fokker–Planck equations are called diffusion processes.

The simplest example of such a diffusion process is Brownian motion, for which (by definition) $w_i^j = 0$ and $w_i^j = 2\kappa h_{ij}$ for some positive constant $\kappa$. The corresponding Fokker–Planck equation
\[ \partial_t p_t = \mathcal{L} p_t \]
(17)
is the classical diffusion equation.

3. The master and Fokker–Planck equations in curved spacetimes

In this section, we describe the curved spacetime generalization of the master and Fokker–Planck equations for Markov processes.

3.1. The Markovian setup

Consider a Markov process defined by stationary transition rates $\Gamma(\sigma' \rightarrow \sigma)$, depending parametrically on a Riemannian metric $h_{ab}$. In the case of Brownian motion, for instance, $\Gamma(\sigma' \rightarrow \sigma) = \kappa \Delta \delta(\sigma, \sigma')$, with $\Delta$ being the Laplace–Beltrami operator associated with $h_{ab}$.

Following the general ‘new law from old ones’ ansatz, we take this process as defining the instantaneous dynamics of a random walker in spacetime, in proper time $t$. In other words, given an irrotational flow $u^a$, we consider the associated orthogonal foliation $(\Sigma_t)$, evaluate $\Gamma$ on the induced metric $h_{ab}$, and assume that the probability that a random walker carried by the flow $u^a$ will jump from the position $\sigma_t$ to the position $\sigma'_t$ in proper time $d\sigma_t$ is given by
\[ \Gamma(\sigma_t \rightarrow \sigma'_t) \, dV(\sigma_t) \, dV(\sigma'_t) \, ds(\sigma_t), \tag{18} \]
where $s(\sigma_t)$ is the proper time along $\sigma$.

3.2. The master equation

Now, to write the corresponding probability equation, which is necessarily global, we must convert the proper time $s(\sigma_t)$ in (18) into the time coordinate $t$. This is achieved thanks to the lapse function $N$, as
\[ ds(\sigma_t) = N(\sigma_t) \, dt. \tag{19} \]
Hence, we can rewrite (18) as
\[ \Gamma(\sigma_t \rightarrow \sigma'_t) \, dV(\sigma_t) \, dV(\sigma'_t) \, N(\sigma_t) \, dt. \tag{20} \]
Denoting by $p(\sigma_t)$ the probability density of the stochastic process, the probability flux is therefore
\[ j(\sigma_t \rightarrow \sigma'_t) = N(\sigma_t) p(\sigma_t) \Gamma(\sigma_t \rightarrow \sigma'_t). \tag{21} \]
This expression is physically intuitive: where proper time runs faster (high $N$), the walker jumps more frequently (high $j$).

From this simple argument, we find that, if $\mathcal{M}$ is the master operator associated with the rates $\Gamma$, the right-hand side of the curved-spacetime master equation should be $\mathcal{M}(Np)$, i.e.
\[ \int_{\Sigma_t} \, dV(\sigma'_t) \left( N(\sigma'_t) p(\sigma'_t) \Gamma(\sigma'_t \rightarrow \sigma_t) - N(\sigma_t) p(\sigma_t) \Gamma(\sigma_t \rightarrow \sigma'_t) \right). \tag{22} \]

4 If spacetime is not static, this makes the transition rates implicit functions of time.
A moment of reflection shows that the left-hand side of the master equation should also be modified in a curved spacetime. Indeed, recall that in a curved spacetime, the time variation of an integrated density does not coincide with the integral of the time derivative of the density: if $V_t$ is a region in $\Sigma_t$, then
\begin{equation}
\frac{d}{dt} \int_{V_t} dV(\sigma_t)p_t(\sigma_t) \neq \int_{V_t} dV(\sigma_t) \partial_t p_t(\sigma_t).
\end{equation}
This is due to the fact that the volume element $dV(\sigma_t)$ itself depends on time. The correct formula follows from the relationship (7) defining the expansion scalar and reads
\begin{equation}
\frac{d}{dt} \int_{V_t} dV(\sigma_t)p_t(\sigma_t) = \int_{V_t} dV(\sigma_t)(\partial_t p_t(\sigma_t) + N \theta p_t).
\end{equation}
Shrinking the volume $V_t$ down to zero, we thus find that the left-hand side of the master equation should be $\partial_t p_t + N \theta p_t$ instead of $\partial_t p_t$.

Combining both insights, we find that the master equation in a curved spacetime with lapse $N$ and expansion $\theta$ is
\begin{equation}
\partial_t p_t + N \theta p_t = \mathcal{M}(N p).
\end{equation}
It is easy to check that this equation conserves the total probability $\int_{\Sigma_t} dV(\sigma_t)p_t(\sigma_t)$, as it should.

### 3.3. Detailed balance condition

Note that, in the case of static spacetimes ($\theta = 0$ and $N = \chi$ is the redshift factor), we can read off from (21) the generalized detailed balance condition: for an equilibrium distribution $p^*$, the probability fluxes cancel pairwise if
\begin{equation}
\Gamma(\sigma' \rightarrow \sigma) \chi(\sigma') p^*(\sigma') = \Gamma(\sigma \rightarrow \sigma') \chi(\sigma) p^*(\sigma).
\end{equation}
Hence, the product $\chi p^*$ must satisfy the usual detailed balance condition defined by the rates $\Gamma(\sigma \rightarrow \sigma')$, instead of $p^*$ itself, as in the non-relativistic case. This is the stochastic counterpart of the Tolman–Ehrenfest relation (1), where $\chi T^*$ satisfies the usual homogeneity condition instead of $T^*$ itself.

### 3.4. Diffusive limit

Assume from now on that the stochastic process is of diffusive type (or can be approximated by one) and denote by $\mathcal{L}$ the Fokker–Planck operator defined by the rates $\Gamma$, as in (15). Then from (25) it follows immediately that the Fokker–Planck equation reads
\begin{equation}
\partial_t p + N \theta p = \mathcal{L}(N p),
\end{equation}
i.e.
\begin{equation}
\partial_t p + N \theta p = -D_a(w^a_1 N p) + \frac{1}{2} D_a D_b(w^{ab}_2 N p),
\end{equation}
where $w^a_1$ and $w^{ab}_2$ are the drift vector and diffusion tensor associated with the rates $\Gamma$, as in section 2.2. This is the curved-spacetime Fokker–Planck equation.

\textsuperscript{5} We recommend van Kampen’s note [24] for a discussion of the applicability of this approximation.
Note that (28) can be given a more hydrodynamical flavor, by replacing the unphysical derivative $\partial_t$ by the convective derivative $u^a \nabla_a$, which evolves the probability distribution in proper time rather than in coordinate time; it then becomes

$$u^a \nabla_a p + \theta p = -\frac{D_a (w_1^a N p)}{N} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{D_a D_b (w_2^{ab} N p)}{N}.$$  

(29)

This equation is the main result of this paper.

4. The case of Brownian motion

In this section we focus on the properties of Brownian motion in curved spacetimes.

4.1. The general-relativistic diffusion equation

We saw in section 2 that Brownian motion is characterized among diffusion processes by the vanishing of the drift vector, $w_1^a = 0$, and by $w_2^{ab} = 2\kappa h^{ab}$, with $\kappa$ being the diffusivity. The corresponding Fokker–Planck equation is therefore

$$\partial_t p + N \theta p = \kappa \Delta (N p)$$  

(30)

or

$$u^a \nabla_a p + \theta p = \kappa \frac{\Delta (N p)}{N}.$$  

(31)

The remainder of this paper is concerned with the properties of this curved-spacetime diffusion equation.

4.2. Comments on the hydrostatic case

Consider the hydrostatic case, where (31) reduces to

$$u^a \nabla_a p = \kappa \frac{\Delta (\chi p)}{\chi}.$$  

(32)

Several comments can be made about this equation. Firstly, since $u^a \nabla_a \chi = 0$, this equation indeed coincides with (2), as announced in the introduction. Secondly, using the relation $a_b = D_b \log \chi$ between the acceleration of the congruence $a_b$ and the spatial gradient of the redshift factor, equation (32) can be reorganized as

$$(\dot{\xi}^b - 2\kappa a^b) \nabla_b p = \kappa \Delta p + \kappa E p,$$  

(33)

where $E$ is the Raychaudhuri scalar. In addition to the usual diffusion term $\Delta p$, this equation contains two remarkable terms, which have no analogue in the non-relativistic diffusion equation.

- **Drift.** The term $2\kappa a^b \nabla_b p$ is a drift term. Unlike the drift term in the classical Fokker–Planck equation (15), it vanishes in the limit $\kappa \to 0$ and is therefore a genuine effect of diffusion.
- **Source.** The term $\kappa E p$, where $E = D_b a^b + a_b a^b$, is a source term. It implies that the probability density appears to comoving observers as sourced by ($\kappa$ times) the Raychaudhuri scalar $E$.

Both terms, which result from the non-homogeneity of $\chi$ in space, can be interpreted as stochastic gravitational redshift effects.

---

6 That is *not* to say that the total probability is not conserved; we saw that it is.
4.3. Derivation of Eckart’s constitutive relation

Another interesting consequence of our stochastic derivation of the diffusion equation in curved spacetimes is the vindication of Eckart’s phenomenological constitutive relation for the heat flux in general relativity [6]:

\[ q^b = -\kappa (D_b T + T a^b). \]  

(34)

This relation was postulated by Eckart on the basis of thermodynamical arguments, and can be used to write the relativistic heat equation as

\[ u^a \nabla_a T + (D_b + a_b) q^b = 0. \]  

(35)

Consider now the diffusion equation (32) for the probability density of Brownian motion in a static spacetime, and compare it with Eckart’s heat equation (35): they are the same. In other words, we have reappraised Eckart’s heat equation as a probabilistic equation—just like Einstein did with Fick’s diffusion equation.

4.4. The non-equilibrium Tolman–Ehrenfest condition

Another straightforward consequence of equation (32) is the generalization of the Tolman–Ehrenfest condition to non-equilibrium steady-state solutions. Indeed, we see from (30)–(31) that the steady-state solution \( T_\infty \) is given by (1) only in the absence of an external forcing on the boundary; in general, it satisfies instead

\[ \Delta (\chi T_\infty) = 0. \]  

(36)

Hence, steady-state solutions can be described as \( T_\infty = \psi / \chi \), where \( \psi \) is a harmonic function. (Equilibrium distributions correspond to the case \( \psi = \text{const.} \).) To our knowledge, this characterization of steady-state temperature distributions in static spacetimes was not derived before.

5. Corrections to the mean square displacement (MSD)

In this section we compute the gravitational corrections to the MSD of Brownian motion as a function of time.

5.1. Assumptions

To avoid dealing with the drift effect mentioned in section 4.2, we assume from now on that space is radially symmetric about \( o \), the origin of the Brownian motion. We also assume that the metric is quenched, i.e. evolves at a much lower rate than the diffusion process itself. In this approximation, the lapse function \( N \) and spatial geometry \( h_{ab} \) are essentially independent of \( t \), and the expansion scalar \( \theta \) is negligible with respect to the (inverse) diffusion time; hence (30) reduces to

\[ \partial_t K_\Sigma = \kappa \Delta (\chi K_\Sigma). \]  

(37)

Hereafter, we shall denote by \( \Sigma \) the time-independent spatial section, and by \( \langle T, \phi \rangle \) the pairing between a distribution \( T \) and a test function \( \phi \) on \( \Sigma \). We also assume (without loss of generality) that \( \chi(o) = 1 \). Finally, we disregard the possible existence of cut loci in \( \Sigma \), and effectively restrict our attention to a convex normal neighborhood of \( o \), where the (spatial) Riemannian distance \( \rho(\sigma) = d(\sigma, o) \) is a smooth function of \( \sigma \).
5.2. Green function and MSD

The most significant observable of Brownian motion is the MSD. It is defined as the expected value of the squared distance between the position of the Brownian walker at time $t$ and its initial position:

$$\langle \rho^2 \rangle_t = \langle K_t, \rho^2 \rangle.$$  \hspace{1cm} (38)

Here $K_t$ is the Green function (or heat kernel) of the diffusion equation (37), namely the solution with the initial condition

$$\lim_{t \to 0} K_t(\sigma) = \delta(\sigma, o),$$  \hspace{1cm} (39)

where $\delta(\sigma, o)$ is the Dirac distribution on the spatial slice $\Sigma$ with support at $o$. (Note that, with the definition (38), the MSD is measured as a function of the $t$ coordinate, which coincides with proper time only at the origin $o$: unlike the non-relativistic situation, there is no global physical time parameter in a curved spacetime.)

5.3. Asymptotic expansion of the MSD

Let us denote by $\mathcal{D}$ the differential operator $\kappa \Delta_q(\chi \cdot)$. Then equation (37) can be solved formally as

$$K_t(\sigma) = e^{\mathcal{D} \delta(\sigma, o)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{n!} \mathcal{D}^n \delta(\sigma, o).$$  \hspace{1cm} (40)

The MSD, in turn, can be computed by evaluating this distribution of the squared distance function $\rho^2$. To this effect, note that

$$\langle \mathcal{D} \delta, \rho^2 \rangle = \langle \delta, \mathcal{D}^\dagger \rho^2 \rangle = \mathcal{D}^\dagger \rho^2(o),$$  \hspace{1cm} (41)

where $\mathcal{D}^\dagger = \kappa \chi \Delta_q$ is the formal adjoint of $\mathcal{D}$. Hence

$$\langle \rho^2 \rangle_t = \langle K_t, \rho^2 \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{n!} \langle \mathcal{D}^\dagger \rangle^n \rho^2(o),$$  \hspace{1cm} (42)

i.e.

$$\langle \rho^2 \rangle_t = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\kappa t)^n}{n!} (\chi \Delta)^n \rho^2(o).$$  \hspace{1cm} (43)

This formula provides the asymptotic expansion of the MSD in the small time limit $t \to 0$. Up to second order in $t$, it gives

$$\langle \rho^2 \rangle_t = 2\kappa Dt \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{\Delta \chi(o)}{2} - \frac{R^{(D)}(o)}{3D} \right) \kappa t + O(t^2) \right].$$  \hspace{1cm} (44)

To arrive at this expression we used the geometric identities $\Delta \rho^2(o) = 2D$ and $\Delta^2 \rho^2(o) = -4R^{(D)}(o)/3$. At this order, we thus see that diffusion is enhanced by a convex lapse profile about $o$ and/or by negative spatial curvature.

7 The higher-order terms involve higher derivatives of the squared distance function, which can also be expressed in terms of local curvature invariants \cite{3,16}.
5.4. The backward equation

Note that the expansion \((\langle \rho^2 \rangle)\) can be resummed formally as
\[
\langle \rho^2 \rangle_t = e^{iD^t} \rho^2(o).
\] (45)

Thus, the MSD \(\langle \rho^2 \rangle\) can also be obtained as the solution \(u_t\) to the adjoint, or backward, equation
\[
\partial_t u_t = \kappa \chi \Delta u_t
\] (46)
with the initial condition \(u_0(o) = \rho^2(o)\). This differential formulation can be useful in obtaining the MSD in concrete situations, by means of a numerical integration of (46).

5.5. Two examples

We close this section with two explicit examples where the MSD is altered by the spacetime geometry. The first one is the simplest general-relativistic star model, and the second one is inspired by condensed-matter gravitational analogues such as graded-index optical fibers.

- **Schwarzschild’s constant density star.** This is a static solution of the Einstein equation with uniform mass-energy density. It has two parameters \(R\) and \(M\), the radius and mass of the star, respectively. (See [17] for the explicit expression of the line element.) If \(o\) is the center of the star \((r = 0)\), one computes \(\Delta \chi(o) = 3GM/R^3\) and \(R^3(o) = 12GM/R^3\), and therefore
\[
\langle \rho^2 \rangle_t = 6\kappa t \left( 1 + \frac{GM}{6R^3} \kappa t + O(t^2) \right). \] (47)

Thus, a Brownian motion initialized at the center of the star spreads slightly faster than in flat spacetime. This result might seem paradoxical: does not gravity attract? Recall, however, that Brownian motion takes place within the stellar medium, which is not free-falling but static. The infinitely frequent collisions between this medium and the Brownian particle prevent the latter from falling to the center of the star. In contrast, we see here that they actually increase the MSD. However, this effect is small: a simple computation shows that the Brownian motion hits the surface of the star \((\langle \rho^2 \rangle^{1/2} \simeq R)\) long before the corrective term \((GM/6R^3)t\) becomes of the order of 1.

- **Parabolic lapse profile.** Interestingly, this speed-up effect can be emulated, and amplified, in a gravitational analogue with flat spatial geometry and a parabolic lapse profile
\[
\chi(\rho) = 1 + \epsilon \rho^2/R^2. \] (48)

Here \(\epsilon = \pm 1\) indexes the convexity/concavity of the profile. Such lapse profiles arise, for example, in graded-index optical fibers or in Kerr media controlled by intense laser pulses. (In these optical contexts, the lapse function is nothing but the inverse of the refractive index.) Moreover, this case has the advantage that the asymptotic expansion (42) can be resummed explicitly. Indeed, we have
\[
\Delta \chi = \epsilon \Delta \rho^2/R^2 = 2\epsilon D/R^2;
\] (49)

hence the formula (42) gives
\[
\langle \rho^2 \rangle_t = 2D \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\kappa^n t^n}{n!} \left( \frac{2\epsilon D}{R^2} \right)^{n-1} \] (50)
\[ \langle \rho^2 \rangle_t = \epsilon R^2 \left( e^{2 \epsilon D \kappa t / R^2} - 1 \right). \] (51)

In the convex case (\( \epsilon = 1 \)), the MSD therefore grows exponentially with time, while in the concave case (\( \epsilon = -1 \)), it slows down and eventually reaches the finite limit \( R^2 \) on the ‘infinite redshift surface’ \{\( \chi = 0 \)}. This effect becomes significant on the time scale \( R^2 / \kappa \).

Materials where \( R \) can be tuned experimentally could therefore provide benchmarks for the results discussed in this paper.

6. Conclusion

From a theoretical standpoint, our reasoning in this paper is very straightforward: it simply consists in incorporating gravitational redshift and spatial curvature effects into the standard master equation for a Markov process—in short, Einstein (1905) [7] amended by Einstein (1912) [8].

Simple as it is, however, this approach has allowed us to derive Eckart’s constitutive relation for heat transfer, to generalize it to non-thermal diffusion processes and to compute the gravitational correction to the diffusion square-root law. In particular, we have obtained the general small-time asymptotic expansion of the mean-squared displacement in static spacetimes, and concluded from two worked-out examples that experiments are more likely to reveal such corrections in *analogue* gravitational systems. Given the ubiquity of diffusion phenomena in condensed-matter physics, we are hopeful that these results will prove useful in applications. This would confirm—if that was needed—that general relativity remains as fertile as ever.
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