Magnetization and EPR studies of the single molecule magnet Ni-4 with integrated sensors

1-1-2007

G. de Loubens

G. D. Chaves-O’Flynn

A. D. Kent

C. Ramsey

*University of Central Florida*

E. del Barco

*University of Central Florida*

*See next page for additional authors*

Find similar works at: https://stars.library.ucf.edu/facultybib2000

University of Central Florida Libraries http://library.ucf.edu

**Recommended Citation**

de Loubens, G.; Chaves-O’Flynn, G. D.; Kent, A. D.; Ramsey, C.; del Barco, E.; Beedle, C.; and Hendrickson, D. N., "Magnetization and EPR studies of the single molecule magnet Ni-4 with integrated sensors" (2007). *Faculty Bibliography 2000s*. 7010. https://stars.library.ucf.edu/facultybib2000/7010

This Article; Proceedings Paper is brought to you for free and open access by the Faculty Bibliography at STARS. It has been accepted for inclusion in Faculty Bibliography 2000s by an authorized administrator of STARS. For more information, please contact lee.dotson@ucf.edu.
Magnetization and EPR studies of the single molecule magnet $\text{Ni}_4$ with integrated sensors

G. de Loubens, G. D. Chaves-O’Flynn, A. D. Kent, C. Ramsey, E. del Barco, C. Beedle, and D. N. Hendrickson

ARTICLES YOU MAY BE INTERESTED IN

Ab initio calculation of anisotropic magnetic properties of complexes. I. Unique definition of pseudospin Hamiltonians and their derivation
The Journal of Chemical Physics 137, 064112 (2012); https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4739763

Microstrip resonators for electron paramagnetic resonance experiments
Review of Scientific Instruments 80, 075111 (2009); https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3186054

Observing electron spin resonance between 0.1 and 67 GHz at temperatures between 50 mK and 300 K using broadband metallic coplanar waveguides
Applied Physics Letters 106, 193505 (2015); https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4921231
Magnetization and EPR studies of the single molecule magnet Ni$_4$ with integrated sensors

G. de Loubens,$^a$ G. D. Chaves-O'Flynn, and A. D. Kent
Department of Physics, New York University, 4 Washington Place, New York, New York 10003

C. Ramsey and E. del Barco
Physics Department, University of Central Florida, 4000 Central Florida Boulevard, Orlando, Florida 32816-2385

C. Beedle and D. N. Hendrickson
Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, California 92093-0358

(Presented on 9 January 2007; received 30 October 2006; accepted 14 November 2006; published online 13 April 2007)

Integrated magnetic sensors that allow simultaneous EPR and magnetization measurements have been developed to study single molecule magnets. A high frequency microstrip resonator has been integrated with a micro-Hall effect magnetometer. EPR spectroscopy is used to determine the energy splitting between the low lying spin states of a Ni$_4$ single crystal, with an $S$=4 ground state, as a function of applied fields, both longitudinal and transverse to the easy axis at 0.4 K. Concurrent magnetization measurements show changes in spin population associated with microwave absorption. Such studies enable determination of the energy relaxation time of the spin system.

© 2007 American Institute of Physics. [DOI: 10.1063/1.2693727]

An understanding of decoherence and energy relaxation mechanisms in single molecule magnets (SMMs) is both of fundamental interest$^1$ and important for the use of SMMs in quantum computing.$^2$ Quantum tunneling of magnetization (QTM) has been widely studied in SMMs.$^3$–$^5$ A recent focus is on coherent QTM in which the tunneling rates may be faster than the rate of decoherence.$^6$–$^7$ Experiments on SMMs (Ref. 7 and 8) and doped antiferromagnetic rings$^9$,$^{10}$ have been reported. However, the basic relaxation mechanisms in SMMs are still under active investigation both experimentally and theoretically.$^{11}$

We have developed sensors allowing simultaneous EPR and magnetization measurements at low temperatures to study SMMs. A microstrip resonator$^{12}$ with resonance frequency between 25 and 30 GHz has been integrated on a chip with a micro-Hall effect magnetometer.$^{13}$ The high filling factor in such resonators allows measurement of photon absorption in very small crystals as well as the application of large microwave magnetic fields needed for Rabi experiments. The fast response of the Hall sensor (>1 MHz) also enables time-resolved measurements of the magnetization.

In this paper we show EPR spectroscopy of the two lowest energy levels associated with high spin states ($S$=4) of a Ni$_4$ single crystal. We present simultaneous measurements of associated photon-induced changes in the magnetization. This represents an important advance from the experiments in Refs. 7 and 9 in which only photon-induced magnetization changes were measured, and EPR studies were not possible. In particular, it enables a direct determination of the energy relaxation time of the spin system.

A schematic of our integrated sensor is presented in Fig. 1. The Hall sensor is fabricated from a GaAs/AlGaAs heterostructure two-dimensional (2D) electron gas to form a cross of width of 50 μm, chosen to optimize the coupling with a SMM crystal with lateral dimensions of about 100 μm. Our magnetometer has a Hall coefficient of 1780 Ω/T and a noise level of 2 μT/√Hz with a current of 10 μA. This permits detection of changes in the magnetization smaller than 5×10$^{-4}$ of the saturation magnetization of our crystals (~5×10$^5$ μm$^3$), using a lock-in detection bandwidth of 300 ms. The microstrip resonator, a 250 nm thick gold line, is evaporated on top of the Hall sensor and capacitively coupled to two feedlines, so that it can work in transmission mode. It is designed to be matched to 50 Ω and its fundamental resonance frequency ranges between 25 and 30 GHz (~0.4 mm width and 1.5 mm length). The strength of the microwave (MW) field $h_{\text{ac}}$ at the sample location was calibrated for each integrated sensor used: the circularly polarized saturation amplitude of DPPH ($h_{\text{ac}}=0.9±0.05$ Oe) corresponds to an incident power $P_{\text{in}}$ of about 50 mW. This value depends on the quality factor of the resonator, found to be about 20.

The sensor is in a 3He cryostat with a base temperature of 0.35 K. The two ports of the microstrip are connected through coaxial lines to an Agilent PNA 50 GHz vector net-
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![FIG. 1. Schematic of the sensor developed for this study.](image-url)
work analyzer with copper and stainless steel sections to minimize thermal and MW losses (12–14 dB in our frequency range at low temperature). The PNA is used as a MW source and allows transmission measurement. Furthermore, it is possible to gate the source using a pulse pattern generator (no pulsed experiments will be presented in this paper). A high field superconducting vector magnet is used to apply dc magnetic fields in arbitrary directions with respect to the axes of the crystal.

To demonstrate the capabilities of our integrated sensor, we have studied [Ni(hmp)(dmbrCl)]_, henceforth referred to as Ni₄. This is a particularly clean SMM with no solvate molecules present in its crystal phase and only 1% (natural abundance) of nuclear spins on the transition metal sites. This results in narrower EPR peaks than in many SMMs. The spin Hamiltonian of Ni₄ is to first approximation

\[
\mathcal{H} = - D S_z^2 - \mu_B \mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{S} + \mathcal{H}',
\]

where the first term is the uniaxial anisotropy, the second is the Zeeman energy, and the last one includes higher order anisotropy terms [i.e., \(-B S_z^4 + C(S_+^4 + S_-^4)\)]. The \(S=4\) ground state of the molecule at low temperature is the consequence of ferromagnetic exchange interactions between the four Ni²⁺ (\(S=1\)) ions. The uniaxial anisotropy leads to a large energy barrier \(DS_z^2 \approx 12\) K [anisotropy field \(H_a=2DS/|g\mu_B|\approx 4.5\) T] to magnetization reversal between states of projection \(S_z=\pm 4\) along the easy axis of the molecules (z). The two lowest energy states are the symmetric (|A\rangle) and antisymmetric (\(|A\rangle\) linear combinations of |up\> and |down\> states. In the presence of a transverse field \(H_T\), the latter are tilted away from the easy axis and have opposite \(z\) projections. There is an energy separation between \(|A\rangle\) and \(|S\rangle\), known as the tunnel splitting \(\Delta\), which, in the field range in these experiments, increases with \(H_T\) when \(H_T\) equals zero. This energy separation also increases with \(H_T\) due to the Zeeman term. Thus, when a sample is irradiated at constant frequency \(f\) with a MW field \(h_{ac}\) aligned with \(z\), it is possible to induce transitions between \(|A\rangle\) and \(|S\rangle\) and to map out the constant energy splitting (\(\approx hf\)) locus in the \((H_z, H_T)\) phase space (cf. Fig. 2). These measurements enable determination of the spin-Hamiltonian parameters and set a lower bound of the decoherence time, as shown below. The transition rate is given by the Fermi golden rule,

\[
\Gamma = \frac{\pi}{2} \left(\frac{g\mu_B h_{ac}}{h}\right)^2 |\langle S|S_z|A\rangle|^2 f(\omega),
\]

where \(\langle S|S_z|A\rangle\) is the matrix element coupling the states and \(f(\omega)\) is a Lorentzian of linewidth \(1/2T_1\).

In steady state, the absorbed power is simply \(P_{abs} = \Gamma h f n_d\), where \(n_d\) is the difference in population between states \(|A\rangle\) and \(|S\rangle\). When these states have different projections on the \(z\) axis, the photon-induced transitions lead to a change in magnetization \(\Delta M\). If these projections on the \(z\) axis are opposite, one can deduce \(\Delta M\) from energy conservation. It is simply linked to the absorbed power and to the energy relaxation time \(T_1\),

\[
T_1 P_{abs} = \frac{h \Gamma \Delta M N_0}{2 M_{eq}},
\]

where \(N_0\) and \(M_{eq}\) are the difference in population between \(|A\rangle\) and \(|S\rangle\) and the magnetization in the absence of MW, respectively. Thus, simultaneous measurements of \(P_{abs}\) and \(\Delta M\) yield \(T_1\). We emphasize that this latter may be different from the spin-phonon relaxation time, as in the case of the phonon bottleneck.

At the temperatures used in our experiments only the two lowest lying spin levels are thermally populated. Moreover, for the transverse fields used, the thermal energy is smaller than the photon energy, \(k_B T < hf\). A pyramidal Ni₄ crystal is placed with one of its faces parallel to the plane of the sensor, in the middle of the microstrip resonator, and oriented so that \(h_{ac}\) is aligned with \(z\), along the axis of the pyramid. The Hall device responds to the average magnetic field perpendicular to the plane of the sensor, which for the sample shape and placement is mainly due to the \(z\) component of the sample magnetization. Because of this pyramidal shape, the easy axis is not aligned (\(\alpha=20°\)) with \(H_L\), which lies in the sensor plane. There is also a second misalignment \(\theta<5°\) between \(H_L\) and the projection of the \(z\) axis on the sensor plane. The longitudinal field felt by the molecules is thus \(H_z = H_{LT} \cos \alpha \cos \theta + H_{LT} \cos \alpha \sin \theta\), whereas the transverse field is to a good approximation \(H_T\). Note that the EPR data are plotted versus \(H_z\).

Figure 3 presents the EPR signal measured at \(f\)
$=28.67$ GHz for several values of $H_T$ as $H_z$ is swept at 0.1 T/min. The power transmitted through the resonator is monitored in cw mode. The low power level used for this study induces a small increase of the sample temperature to 0.4 K. Two symmetric groups of peaks with respect to $H_z = 0$ T are observed. They correspond to transitions between $|A\rangle$ and $|S\rangle$. A multiple-Lorentzian fit leads to two main peaks, $A$ and $B$, assigned to two species of Ni$_4$ molecules present in the crystal, associated with different molecular environments.\textsuperscript{15} As $H_T$ is increased, the amplitude of the peaks increases and the resonance occurs at smaller $H_z$. As expected the EPR signal disappears at large $H_T$ as $\Delta$ becomes larger than $\hbar f$. A direct diagonalization of $\mathcal{H}$ yields the dependence of the energy splitting on $H_z$ and $H_T$. The dashed line is a fit of the peak $A$ data (circles) using $D_A=0.757$ K, $B=7.9 \times 10^{-3}$ K, $C=3.25 \times 10^{-5}$ K, $g_z=2.23$, and $g_x=g_y=2.23$. These parameters are in good agreement with high frequency cavity EPR measurements.\textsuperscript{15} The dotted line is the best fit for peak $B$ (triangles), obtained for $D_B=0.785$ K, $B=9.9 \times 10^{-3}$ K, $C=3.25 \times 10^{-5}$ K, $g_z=3.02$, and $g_x=g_y=2.39$. An extremely high value (unphysical) of $g_z$ is needed to fit the data points, which is not understood at present.

The curve of the energy splitting as the longitudinal field goes to zero is evidence of level repulsion, as already noted in Ref. 7. EPR has the advantage that one can measure the splitting at small $H_z$, where no or only small changes in the magnetization are expected. The inset (a) of Fig. 3 shows the area under the experimental absorption curves versus $H_T$. The dashed line is the prediction from the direct diagonalization of $\mathcal{H}$ and Eq. (2). The calculation shows some discrepancy with the data in the intermediate regime of $H_T$. Nevertheless, it reproduces well the global behavior: the signal increases with $H_T$ until peak $B$ first disappears, followed by peak $A$. Using a semiclassical model (strictly valid for $S \gg 1$), an analytical expression for the linewidth of the EPR signal measured as $H_z$ is swept can be obtained,

$$\Delta H_z = \frac{\hbar f \delta E}{2g\mu_B S^0_z H_z^2},$$

where $\delta E$ is the energy broadening of the two levels and $S^0_z = S \sqrt{1 - (H_T/H_0)^2}$. The dashed line in the inset (b) of Fig. 3 is a fit of the data with respect to $\delta E$. The fitting value corresponds to a transverse relaxation time of 0.2 ns. This should be viewed as a lower bound of the decoherence time, $T_2 > 0.2$ ns, which is consistent with Ref. 7. We emphasize that no photon-induced changes in the magnetization have been observed on this crystal, either at that low MW power or in higher power pulsed MW experiments. Using Eq. (3), one can then deduce an upper bound for $T_1$ of 500 $\mu$s, i.e., much less than it had been found in Ref. 7.

Figure 4 presents the EPR signal and the simultaneous measured changes in the magnetization of a different crystal than reported in Fig. 3. The same EPR spectroscopy study as that described above yields similar results. However, in that case, there is a clear evidence of changes in the magnetization, at a similar level of absorbed power. This is due to a much longer $T_1$, found to be 30 ms assuming that the same part of the crystal contributes to the signals measured by the Hall sensor and the PNA. We note that using the calibrated MW field strength, $h_{\mu} = 9.8 \pm 1.5$ mOe, an estimate from the transition rate Eq. (2) yields a power absorbed 30 times larger than that observed. One can thus infer that only $3\%$ of the crystal is absorbing energy at the maximum of the resonance. We attribute this to inhomogeneous broadening of the absorption line.

In conclusion, simultaneous measurements of magnetization and EPR spectroscopy on tiny SMM single crystals have been demonstrated using an integrated sensor. The obtained EPR data are well explained using a direct diagonalization of the Hamiltonian of the spin system. Associated photon-induced changes in the magnetization depend on the energy relaxation time. The latter is seen to vary greatly between different crystals. This is probably linked to the thermalization of the crystal.\textsuperscript{18} A detailed study of such effects is in progress. Finally, the integrated sensors developed for this work are also promising to conduct Rabi and spin-echo experiments. Such experiments and real-time measurement of the magnetization should allow measurements of the intrinsic decoherence time and relaxation times in SMMs.
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