Isolated Avulsion Fracture of the Lesser Trochanter in Adults
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Abstract. Background/Aim: Isolated fractures of the lesser trochanter (LT) of adults are rare and most of them are pathologic fractures due to an underlying malignancy. The aim of our study was to provide comprehensive information on the epidemiology, etiology, diagnostic approach, and treatment algorithm of adult LT fractures. Patients and Methods: We present the cases of six patients who were treated for isolated LT fractures between November 2010 and May 2019. A literature review was performed to identify adult LT fracture cases in previous studies. Results: In two patients, the LT fracture was the first manifestation of the underlying tumors. Through a literature review, we identified 32 adults with isolated LT fractures. Among these, 27 were pathologic fractures. In 11 pathologic fractures, LT avulsion was the first manifestation of malignancy. Conclusion: Metastasis and the first manifestation of an underlying malignancy should be suspected in adult patients with isolated LT fracture. A stepwise approach can prevent misdiagnosis and offer rational treatment modality.

Isolated fracture of the lesser trochanter (LT) is rare (1), and has been known to have bimodal age-distribution (2, 3). The bimodality of the age-incidence has been ascribed to the subgroups with different etiologies. Avulsion fractures of the lesser trochanter occur in adolescents prior to the fusion of the apophysis due to sudden forceful contraction of iliopsoas muscle during vigorous movements such as jumping or sprinting (4).

At the age of 18, the LT apophysis is fused. After this age, most avulsions of the lesser trochanter are pathological fractures due to malignant lesions, and occur without overt trauma (5-10). Sometimes, LT avulsion is the presenting symptom before the diagnosis of underlying tumor. For accurate diagnosis and appropriate treatment of this pathologic fracture in adults, thorough understanding of this injury is essential.

Patients with malignancy are treated with surgery, chemotherapy or irradiation depending on the pathologic nature and the stage of underlying tumor. The surgical treatment includes extensive resection of tumor with reconstruction using tumor prosthesis and prophylactic intramedullary nailing (2, 3, 11).

Although, cases of isolated LT fracture have been reported in the literature, there has been no study, which comprehensively elaborates on the patient demographics, diagnostic approach and treatment guidelines.

Therefore, the purposes of our study were 1) to present six isolated avulsion fractures of the lesser trochanter, 2) to characterize the fracture through systematic review of previous studies and our cases and 3) to establish a systematic algorithm for the diagnosis and treatment of isolated avulsion of the lesser trochanter in adult patients.

Patients and Methods

From November 2010 to May 2019 seven patients were diagnosed with isolated avulsion fracture of the LT at the author’s hospital. A 15-year old male who sustained traumatic LT avulsion during playing soccer was excluded from the study. The remaining six patients, who had pathological LT avulsion, were included.

We reviewed medical records of these six patients in terms of age, gender, mechanism of injury, clinical manifestation, degree of displacement, underlying malignancy, and treatment of LT fracture.
The disease first manifested as a pathologic fracture. Whether the patient was previously diagnosed with malignancy or primary tumor or metastasis, primary focus in case of metastasis, fracture of adults were included to the study. Through manual search, additional papers concerning LT avulsion were reviewed to determine whether to be included in the study. Consequently, full-texts were reviewed to determine whether to be included in the study. Through manual search, additional papers concerning LT avulsion fracture of adults were included to the study.

The following information was collected from the included articles: age, gender, pathologic fracture or non-pathologic fracture, primary tumor or metastasis, primary focus in case of metastasis, whether the patient was previously diagnosed with malignancy or the disease first manifested as a pathologic fracture.

Results

Case presentation. All six patients were male and their mean age at the time of LT fracture diagnosis was 63 years (range=56-79 years) (Table I).

All six patients presented to hospital with groin pain and antalgic gait. Radiographs of the both hips revealed avulsion fractures of the LT (Figure 1A). The mean displacement of lesser trochanter fragment was 1.2 cm (range=0.5-2.2 cm).

In five patients, the fracture developed without definite trauma, while the other patient sustained a fall. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) was performed in all six patients. The MRI scan showed metastatic or primary intramedullary lesions with avulsion fracture at the lesser trochanteric area (Figure 2A and B).

Four patients had a history of internal organ malignancy: follicular thyroid cancer, renal cell carcinoma, prostate cancer and osteosarcoma. In the remaining two patients, the LT fracture was the first manifestation of underlying tumors; hepatocellular carcinoma and renal cell carcinoma, which were revealed on further diagnostic work-up including CT-guided core needle biopsy prior to definitive treatment in order to confirm the diagnosis.

Five patients were operated with wide resection and reconstruction of the proximal femur; Four patients were treated with the use of The MUTARS (Modular Universal Tumor and Revision System, Implantcast, Buxtehude, Germany) (Figure 1B), and one patient with bipolar hemiarthroplasty.

The patient with metastatic lesion from renal cell carcinoma underwent preoperative trans-arterial embolization (TAE) with the use of micro-coils to reduce blood loss during the operation. In the four patients treated with wide excision and skeletal reconstruction with MUTARS, the histological diagnosis of excised mass at LT was proven to be metastasis from primary underlying malignancies.

The remaining one patient was diagnosed with prostate cancer with multiple metastases, and was treated with radiation therapy.

| Number |
|--------|
| Gender |
| Male   | 6 |
| Female | 0 |

The mean age of patients was 58.3 years (range=19-90 years). Among the 32 isolated LT fractures, 5 (15.6%) were non-pathologic fractures due to trauma, another 5 (15.6%) were pathologic fractures of primary malignancies, and the remaining 22 (68.8%) were pathologic fractures of metastatic lesions. Five primary malignancies at the LT consisted of two chondrosarcomas, one plasmacytoma, one Ewing’s sarcoma and one osteosarcoma. Among the 22 metastatic patients, the site of primary malignancy was breast in five, lung in four, thyroid in two, and prostate in two. Other primary malignancies included renal cell carcinoma, colon cancer, nasopharyngeal cancer, bronchogenic carcinoma, synovial cell sarcoma of popliteal fossa, non-Hodgkin lymphoma, pancreas cancer, hepatocarcinoma and adenocarcinoma of unknown origin.
In 11 patients with pathologic fractures, the LT avulsion was the first manifestation of the malignancies.

For 4 out of 5 patients with traumatic LT fractures, conservative treatment with nonweight bearing was initially performed (1, 12, 13). Three patients failed in conservative therapy and were eventually treated with open reduction and internal fixation (12). The other patient was treated with prophylactic internal fixation at the first place (12).

Figure 1. A 68-year old man had a right hip pain without trauma. (A) Anterior-posterior radiograph of the hip showed right isolated lesser trochanter avulsion fracture. (B) He was treated with use of The MUTARS (Modular Universal Tumour and Revision System, Implantcast, Buxtehude, Germany).

Figure 2. A 56-year old man had pain in the right hip without trauma. (A) T2 weighted coronal and (B) T2 transverse MRI showed right isolated lesser trochanter avulsion fracture and enhanced surrounding soft tissue.
For 27 patients with pathologic LT fractures, initial treatment strategies were as follows; conservative treatment in 8 patients (9, 14-18), prophylactic fixation in 8 patients (2, 5, 9, 14, 19-21) tumor prosthesis in 3 patients (3, 5), and allograft-prosthesis composite in one patient (5). Among 8 patients who underwent conservative therapy, 3 patients were subsequently treated with open reduction and internal fixation (14). Treatment modality in 7 patients from 4 studies was not described (7, 10, 22, 23).

Discussion

Of the 38 isolated LT fractures - 32 from the literature and 6 from our own cases - 33 (86.8%) were pathologic fractures. Among the 33 pathologic fractures, six (18.2%) were fractures due to primary malignancies at the LT and 27 (81.8%) were metastatic fractures.

The mechanism of LT fracture is mostly an avulsion injury by psoas tendon with or without the presence of underlying pathology around this area. In adults with LT fracture, pathologic fracture should be considered especially when there is no or low energy trauma prior to the fracture.

Several authors have reported that isolated LT fracture might be the first presentation of undiagnosed malignancies (14, 15). In 13 patients (34.2%, 13/38), 11 patients from the literature and two from present study, the LT fracture was the

Figure 3. Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic review and Meta-analysis flow diagram details the process of relevant study selection.
first manifestation of malignancies. In these patients, the underlying primary malignancy should be identified for planning further treatment.

Even though metastases are the most probable diagnoses in adults aged over 40 years with a solitary LT fracture - especially without a history of cancer - biopsy should be considered in order to confirm the diagnosis. The criteria of biopsy in our institute includes 1) a solitary bone lesion of a patient with a history of cancer, that may change the treatment plan according to the diagnosis; 2) a solitary bone lesion of a patient without any history of cancer with features

| Author(s)       | Age (years) | Gender | Pathologic or Traumatic | Primary focus of metastasis | Treatment               |
|-----------------|-------------|--------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|
| Singh P et al.  | 40          | F      | Traumatic               |                             | Conservative            |
| Kumar et al.    | 60          | F      | Pathologic              | Lung cancer**               | Prophylactic fixation   |
| Edmonds L.D. et al. | 63 | F      | Pathologic              | Colon adenocarcinoma        | N/A                     |
| Uzun et al.     | 86          | M      | Traumatic               |                             | Conservative → Complete fracture |
| Ruffing T et al.| 55          | F      | Pathologic              | Breast cancer               | Palliative radiation    |
| TQ Phan et al.  | 58          | M      | Pathologic              | Nasopharyngeal carcinoma    | Conservative            |
| Uddin et al.    | 48          | F      | Pathologic              | Bronchogenic carcinoma**    | Prophylactic fixation   |
| Kho, J.S.B et al.| 52   | F      | Pathologic              | Breast cancer               | N/A                     |
| Herren et al.   | 61          | F      | Pathologic              | Breast cancer**             | Conservative            |
| Fox et al.      | 75          | M      | Pathologic              | Renal cell carcinoma**      | Prophylactic fixation   |
| Hatim Abid et al.| 85    | M      | Pathologic              | Thyroid adenocarcinoma      | Prophylactic fixation   |
| Reategui-Villegas et al.| 69 | M      | Pathologic              | Lung cancer**               | Prophylactic fixation   |
| Rouvillain et al. | 63  | M      | Pathologic              | Lung adenocarcinoma**       | Tumor prosthesis        |
| Bonshahi et al. | 90          | F      | Traumatic               |                             | Conservative → Complete fracture |
| Peh WC et al.   | 73          | F      | Pathologic              | Breast cancer               | N/A                     |
| Afra R, et al.  | 44          | M      | Pathologic*             | Plasmacytoma                | Prophylactic fixation   |
| 69              | M          | Pathologic*             | Chondrosarcoma             | Tumor prosthesis         |
| 19              | M          | Pathologic*             | Ewing’s sarcoma            | Tumor prosthesis        |
| 36              | M          | Pathologic*             | Chondrosarcoma             | Allograft-prosthesis composite |
| Khoury et al.   | 65          | F      | Pathologic              | Synovial sarcoma            | Prophylactic fixation   |
| 37              | M          | Pathologic*             | Osteosarcoma               | Prophylactic fixation    |
| Philips et al.  | 55          | M      | Pathologic              | Colon adenocarcinoma        | N/A                     |
| Bertin et al.   | 52          | F      | Pathologic              | Pancreas islet-cell**       | Prophylactic fixation   |
| 58              | M          | Pathologic              | Thyroid follicular carcinoma** | Conservative → Complete fracture |
| NA              | NA         | Pathologic              | Prostate cancer**          | Conservative → Complete fracture |
| NA              | NA         | Pathologic              | Adenocarcinoma of unknown origin** | Conservative → Complete fracture |
| Current study   | 57          | M      | Pathologic              | Hepatocellular carcinoma    | Tumor prosthesis        |
| 68              | M          | Pathologic              | Thyroid cancer**           | Tumor prosthesis        |
| 56              | M          | Pathologic              | Renal cell carcinoma       | Tumor prosthesis        |
| 72              | M          | Pathologic              | Prostate cancer**          | Palliative radiation    |
| 62              | M          | Pathologic              | Renal cell carcinoma       | Tumor prosthesis        |
| 62              | M          | Pathologic*             | Osteosarcoma               | Bipolar hemiarthroplasty |

*Primary pathologic; **Fracture as first manifestation of malignancy; NA: Not applicable; M: male; F: female.

| Tumor marker | Suspected origin of malignancy |
|--------------|--------------------------------|
| AFP, PIVKA-II| Liver, bile duct               |
| CA19-9       | Pancreas, stomach, lung, colon |
| CEA          | Lung, colon, pancreas, stomach, breast, prostate |
| Thyroglobulin| Thyroid                        |
| IL-2         | Lymphoma, lung, myeloma        |
| PSA          | Prostate                       |
| CA15-3       | Breast                         |
| CA125        | Breast, Lung                   |
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of malignancies; 3) multiple bone lesions of unknown primary site.

The guidelines of the National Comprehensive Cancer Network recommended that biopsy should be postponed until the completeness of thorough medical history taking, systemic review, physical examination, radiologic evaluation and laboratory test because of the following reasons (24): 1) the tumor may be a sarcoma; thus, a staging workup could prevent an inappropriately placed biopsy site or needle trajectory; 2) there may be a site that is easier to perform biopsy; 3) preoperative embolization may be needed to prevent bleeding; 4) a biopsy can be avoided if the diagnosis can be made on the basis of the laboratory analysis, such as with myeloma; 5) a working diagnosis or preoperative suspicion of a primary bone sarcoma on the basis of imaging studies can help the surgical pathologist to make an accurate diagnosis; 6) complete imaging combined with histopathologic analysis may make it more likely for the pathologist to accurately identify the source.

Moreover, poorly planned and impetuously performed biopsy in a patient with a primary bone sarcoma may have a negative impact on functional and oncological outcomes. Nevertheless, biopsy is necessary to make the diagnosis and treatment plan. A common mistake is to proceed with skeletal stabilization with an intramedullary nail for the primary bone cancer under the suspicion of metastasis for a destructive bone lesion (25). Some primary malignant bone tumors including chondrosarcoma, undifferentiated

Table IV. Malignancies where embolization might be helpful.

| Primary tumors                  | Metastases                      |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Giant cell tumor                | Renal cell carcinoma            |
| Aneurysmal bone cyst            | Arteriovenous malformation      |
| Sarcoma                         | Thyroid carcinoma               |
| Osteoblastoma                   |                                 |

Figure 4. Treatment algorithm for isolated LT avulsion fracture.
pleomorphic sarcoma of the bone and even osteosarcoma can develop in this age group.

Enhancement MRI and image-guided biopsy are mandatory to reveal the nature of LT fracture. Technetium-99m scintigraphy or positron emission tomography (PET) is necessary to detect other metastases (3, 5, 10, 14).

When metastasis is suspected and an underlying primary malignancy is not evident, further laboratory examinations including various tumor markers are essential to rule out malignancies and to investigate their origin. In male patients, AFP (alpha-fetoprotein), PIVKA-II (protein induced by vitamin K absence or antagonist-II), CA19-9 (carbohydrate antigen 19-9), CEA (carcinoembryonic antigen), thyroglobulin, IL-2 (interleukin-2) and PSA (prostate-specific antigen) are necessary, while CA15-3 (carbohydrate antigen 15-3), CA 125 (carbohydrate antigen 125) are necessary in female patients (Table III) (26, 27).

After the LT lesion is confirmed to be a primary malignancy or a metastasis, the extent and stage of the tumor should be evaluated. For the primary malignancy of the region, en bloc resection of the entire proximal femur is recommended in order to obtain local tumor control, and adjuvant chemotherapy is also considered according to the primary tumor type. As the weight bearing axis passes through the medial portion of the proximal femur, LT avulsion caused by the metastatic tumor is a sign of impending fracture in the femur proper. Classically, for LT avulsion with advanced disease, palliative treatment with prophylactic intra-medullary nailing of the proximal femur is recommended (11, 28). However, there is a concern of mechanical/local failure especially in this anatomical site. Moreover, with the recent advancement of chemotherapy/targeted agents, the survival of patients with metastatic bone disease has been improved. The treatment strategy for these patients should be based on life expectancy. Therefore, a durable skeletal reconstruction is needed to avoid complicating future management in selected cases. In the literature, wide excision with prosthetic replacement of the proximal femur has been suggested in a single primary or single metastatic lesion (3, 14). In our institute, proximal femur resection and endoprosthetic reconstruction is preferred for a primary bone malignancy or isolated bone metastasis after comprehensive discussion with medical oncologists when the life expectancy is expected to be longer than one year (Figure 4).

Excision of metastatic lesion is associated with considerable bleeding, when the lesion is hypervascular (29, 30). In this situation, preoperative trans-arterial embolization (TAE) is indicated to minimize blood loss (29, 30). Hypervascular tumors that could benefit from TAE include giant cell tumor, aneurysmal bone cyst, sarcoma, osteoblastoma, arteriovenous malformation, and metastases of renal cell carcinoma or thyroid carcinoma (Table IV) (31, 32).

**Conclusion**

In summary, underlying malignancy should be suspected in adult patients with isolated LT fracture. For accurate diagnosis and appropriate treatment, thorough understanding of this injury is essential. A stepwise approach can reduce the possibility of misdiagnosis and offer a logical and rational treatment modality option.
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