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MEAN REPRESENTATION NUMBER OF INTEGERS AS THE SUM OF PRIMES

GAUTAMI BHOWMIK AND JAN-CHRISTOPH SCHLAGE-PUCHTA

ABSTRACT. Assuming the Riemann Hypothesis we obtain asymptotic estimates for the mean value of the number of representations of an integer as a sum of two primes. By proving a corresponding \( \Omega \)-term, we show that our result is essentially the best possible.

1. INTRODUCTION AND RESULTS

When studying the Goldbach conjecture that every even integer larger than 2 is the sum of two primes it is natural to consider the corresponding problem for the von Mangoldt function \( \Lambda \). Instead of showing that an even integer \( n \) is the sum of two primes, one aims at showing that \( G(n) = \sum_{k_1 + k_2 = n} \Lambda(k_1)\Lambda(k_2) \) is sufficiently large, more precisely, \( G(n) > C\sqrt{n} \) implies the Goldbach conjecture. It is known since long that this result is true for almost all \( n \). It is easy to see that if \( f \) is an increasing function such that the Tchebychev function \( \Psi(x) = x + O(f(x)) \), then the mean value of \( G(n) \) satisfies the relation

\[
\sum_{n \leq x} G(n) = x^2/2 + O(xf(x)).
\]

If we consider the contribution of only one zero of the Riemann zeta function \( \zeta \), an error term of size \( O(f(x)^2) \) appears, which, under the current knowledge on zero free regions of \( \zeta \), would not be significantly better than \( O(xf(x)) \). Fujii\(^\text{[3]}\) studied the error term of this mean value under the Riemann Hypothesis (RH) and obtained

\[
\sum_{n \leq x} G(n) = x^2/2 + O(x^{3/2})
\]

which he later improved\(^\text{[4]}\) to

\[
(1) \quad \sum_{n \leq x} G(n) = x^2/2 + H(x) + (O(x \log x)^{4/3})
\]

with \( H(x) = -2 \sum_{\rho \in \{0(x+\rho)\}} \frac{x^{1+\rho}}{\rho} \), where the summation runs over all non-trivial zeros of \( \zeta \). In fact, the oscillatory term \( H(x) \) is present even without assuming RH, however, it is necessary for the error estimate above.

\(^{1991} \text{Mathematics Subject Classification. 11P32, 11P55.} \)
In this paper we prove that

**Theorem 1.1.** Suppose that the RH is true. Then we have

\[
\sum_{n \leq x} G(n) = \frac{1}{2} x^2 + H(x) + \mathcal{O}(x \log^5 x),
\]

and

\[
\sum_{n \leq x} G(n) = \frac{1}{2} x^2 + H(x) + \Omega(x \log \log x).
\]

This confirms a conjecture of Egami and Matsumoto [2, Conj. 2.2]. Recently, Granville [6] used (1) to obtain new characterisations of RH. The innovation of the present work is the idea to use the distribution of primes in short intervals to estimate exponential sums close to the point 0. Note that using the generalised Riemann Hypothesis one could similarly find bounds for the exponential sums in question in certain neighbourhoods of Farey fractions. Such a bound, for example, fixes a gap in the proof of [6, Theorem 1C]. This approach can further be used to study the meromorphic continuation of the generating Dirichlet-series \( \sum G(n)n^{-s} \), as introduced by Egami and Matsumoto [2], a topic we deal with elsewhere [1].

The log-power in the error term can be improved, but reaching \( \mathcal{O}(n \log^3 n) \) would probably require some new idea.

We would like to thank the referee for suggesting the use of Lemma 2 below, which lead to a substantial improvement.

## 2. Proofs.

To prove the first part of our theorem, we compute the sum using the circle method. We use the standard notation.

Fix a large real number \( x \), set \( e(\alpha) = e^{2\pi i \alpha} \) and let

\[
S(\alpha) = \sum_{n \leq x} \Lambda(n)e(\alpha n),
\]

\[
T_y(\alpha) = \sum_{n \leq y} e(\alpha n),
\]

\[
T(\alpha) = T_x(\alpha),
\]

\[
R(\alpha) = S(\alpha) - T(\alpha).
\]

The following is due to Selberg [8, eq. (13)].

**Lemma 1.** Assuming RH we have

\[
\int_1^x |\Psi(t + h) - \Psi(t) - h|^2 \, dt \ll xh \log^2 x.
\]

The following result is due to Gallagher, confer [8, Lemma 1.9] and put \( T = y^{-1}, \delta = y/2 \).
Lemma 2. Let $c_1, \ldots, c_N$ be complex numbers, and set $S(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} c_n e(tn)$. Then
\[
\int_{-1/y}^{1/y} |S(t)|^2 dt \ll y^{-2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |A(x)|^2 dx.
\]
where
\[
A(x) = \sum_{n \leq N, |n-x| \leq y/4} c_n.
\]

Our main technical result is the following.

Lemma 3. Suppose the RH. Then we have for $y \leq x$ the estimate
\[
\int_{-y-1}^{-y} |R(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha \ll \frac{x}{y} \log^4 x.
\]

Proof. We put $N = x$ and $c_n = \Lambda(n) - 1$ into Lemma 1. Putting
\[
B(t) = \sum_{n \leq x, t < n \leq t + y/2} c_n
\]
we obtain
\[
\int_{-y-1}^{-y} |R(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha \ll \frac{x}{y} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |B(t)|^2 dt = y^{-2} \int_{-y/2}^{N} |B(t)|^2 dt.
\]
In the range $-y/2 < t < 0$ we have
\[
\int_{-y/2}^{0} |B(t)|^2 dt = \int_{0}^{y/2} |\Psi(t) - [t]|^2 dt \ll y^2 \log^4 y.
\]
For $0 \leq t \leq x - y/2$ we have $B(t) = \Psi(t + y/2) - \Psi(t) - y/2 + \mathcal{O}(1)$, thus we can apply Lemma 1 to obtain
\[
\int_{0}^{x - y/2} |B(t)|^2 dt \ll x + \int_{0}^{y/2} |\Psi(t + y/2) - \Psi(t) - y/2|^2 dt \ll xy \log^2 x.
\]
Finally for $x - y/2 \leq x \leq N$ we have $B(x) = \Psi(x) - \Psi(t) - (x-t) + \mathcal{O}(1)$. The RH being equivalent to $\Psi(x) = x + \mathcal{O}(x^{1/2} \log^2 x)$, this implies $B(x) \ll x^{1/2} \log^2 x$, and therefore
\[
\int_{x-y/2}^{x} |B(t)|^2 dt \ll xy \log^4 x.
\]
Collecting our estimates our claim follows. \qed
Note that no non-trivial unconditional version of Lemma 3 can be proven without better understanding of the zeros of the Riemann ζ-function, since the existence of a single zero close to 1 would already blow up the left-hand side.

Writing \( S^2(\alpha) \) as \((T(\alpha) + R(\alpha))^2\) we have

\[
\sum_{n \leq x} G(n) = \int_0^1 T(-\alpha)S^2(\alpha)d\alpha = \frac{1}{2}x^2 + 2 \int_0^1 |T(\alpha)|^2 R(\alpha)d\alpha + \int_0^1 T(-\alpha)R^2(\alpha)d\alpha + O(x).
\]

We claim that the second term yields \( H(x) \), and the last one an error of admissible size. In fact, the second term can be written as

\[
2 \int_0^1 |T(\alpha)|^2 S(\alpha)d\alpha - 2 \int_0^1 |T(\alpha)|^2 T(\alpha)d\alpha = 2 \sum_{n \leq x} (\Lambda(n)-1)([x]-n) = 2 \sum_{n \leq x-1} (\Psi(n) - n).
\]

We now insert the explicit formula for \( \Psi(n) \), and replace the sum over \( n \) by an integral to find that the second term is indeed \( H(x) + O(x) \).

We now consider the third term. We split the integral into an integral over \([-x^{-1}, x^{-1}]\) and integrals of the form \([2^k x^{-1}, 2^{k+1} x^{-1}]\). On each interval we bound \( T(\alpha) \) by \( \min\{x, \frac{1}{\|\alpha\|}\} \), where \( \|\alpha\| \) is the distance of \( \alpha \) to the nearest integer, and \( R(\alpha) \) using Lemma 3. For the first interval this yields

\[
\int_{-x^{-1}}^{x^{-1}} T(-\alpha)R^2(\alpha)d\alpha \ll x \int_{-x^{-1}}^{x^{-1}} R^2(\alpha)d\alpha \ll x \log^4 x,
\]

while for the other intervals we obtain

\[
\int_{2^k x^{-1}}^{2^{k+1} x^{-1}} T(-\alpha)R^2(\alpha)d\alpha \ll 2^{-k} x \int_{2^k x^{-1}}^{2^{k+1} x^{-1}} R^2(\alpha)d\alpha \ll 2^{-k} x \frac{x}{2^{-k} x} \log^4 x \ll x \log^4 x
\]

There are \( O(\log x) \) summands, hence, the contribution of \( R^2 \) to the whole integral is \( O(x \log^5 x) \), and the first part of our theorem is proven.

We now turn to the proof of the \( \Omega \)-result. To do so we show that \( G(n) = \Omega(n \log \log n) \), hence, the left hand side of \( (1) \) has jumps of order \( \Omega(n \log \log n) \). Since \( x^2/2 \) and \( H(x) \) are continuous, the error term cannot be \( o(x \log \log x) \). By considering the average behaviour of \( H(n) - H(n-1) \), one can even show that the error term is of order \( \Omega(x \log \log x) \) for integral \( x \), however, we will only do the easier case of real \( x \) here.

The idea of the proof is that if an \( n \) is divisible by many small primes, then \( G(n) \) should be large. Let \( q_1 \) be the exceptional modulus for which a Siegel-zero for moduli up to \( Q \) might exist, and \( p_1 \) be some prime divisor of \( q_1 \). For the sake of determinacy we put \( p_1 = 2 \), if no Siegel zero exists. We now use the following result due to Gallagher [4, Theorem 7].
Lemma 4. We have
\[
\left| x - \sum_{n \leq x+h} \Lambda(n) \right| + \sum_{1 < q \leq Q} \sum_{\chi} \sum_{x \leq n \leq x+h} \Lambda(n) \chi(n) \leq h \exp \left( -c \frac{\log x}{\log Q} \right),
\]
provided that \( x/Q \leq h \leq x \), \( \exp(\log^{1/2} x) \leq Q \leq x^c \), \( c \) is an absolute positive constant, \( \sum \) denotes summation over primitive characters modulo \( q \), and if there exists an exceptional character, for which a Siegel zero exists, this character has to be left out of the summation.

We put \( Q = q = \prod_{p<h, p \neq p_1} p \). Then all characters \( \chi \) modulo \( q \) is induced by some primitive character \( \chi' \) modulo \( q' \leq q \), and
\[
\left| \sum_{x \leq n \leq x+h} \Lambda(n) \chi(n) - \sum_{x \leq n \leq x+h} \Lambda(n) \chi'(n) \right| \leq \sum_{d|q} \Lambda(d) \leq \log q,
\]
which is negligible. Hence, it follows from Lemma 2 that
\[
\left| x - \sum_{x \leq n \leq 2x} \Lambda(n) \chi_0(n) \right| + \sum_{\chi \not\equiv \chi_0 (\text{mod } q)} \left| \sum_{x \leq n \leq 2x} \Lambda(n) \chi(n) \right| \leq \frac{x}{2},
\]
where \( \chi_0 \) is the principal character, provided that \( q < x^{c'} \) for some absolute constant \( c' \). It follows that for \( (a, q) = 1 \) we have
\[
S(x, q, a) := \sum_{n \equiv a (\text{mod } q)} \Lambda(n) \geq \frac{x}{\varphi(q)}.
\]
Now
\[
\sum_{n \leq 4x} G(n) \geq \sum_{(a, q) = 1} S(x, q, a) S(x, q, q - a) \geq \frac{x^2}{4\varphi(q)},
\]
On the left we take the average over \( \ll \frac{x}{q} \) integers, hence, we obtain
\[
\max_{n \leq 4x} G(n) \gg \frac{x}{2\varphi(q)} = \prod_{p \leq h} (1 - p^{-1})^{-1} x \gg x \log \log x,
\]
and our claim follows.
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