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Abstract We perform a systematic analysis of Standard Model extensions with an additional anomaly-free gauge $U(1)$ symmetry, to generate tree-level Dirac neutrino masses. An anomaly-free symmetry demands nontrivial conditions on the charges of the unavoidable new states. An intensive scan was performed, looking for solutions generating neutrino masses by the type-I and type-II tree-level Dirac seesaw mechanism, via operators with dimension 5 and 6, that correspond to active or dark symmetries. Special attention was paid to the cases featuring no extra massless chiral fermions or multicomponent dark matter with unconditional stability.

1 Introduction

Despite its enormous success, the standard model (SM) has to be extended in order to account for neutrino masses and dark matter (DM). Regarding neutrinos, the oscillation data is compatible with both Majorana or Dirac neutrino masses \textsuperscript{[1]}, with no strong preference for either of the two possibilities. Even if most of the literature assumes that neutrinos are Majorana in nature (see, e.g., Ref. \textsuperscript{[2]} for a review), the mass generation mechanism for Dirac neutrinos has recently received increased attention.

To explain Dirac neutrino masses, right-handed neutrinos (RHNs) have to be introduced. Additionally, an extra local symmetry is also required to guarantee proper total lepton number conservation \textsuperscript{[3]}. Even so, the required Yukawa couplings are typically very suppressed, of the order $O(10^{-10})$, if Dirac neutrino masses are induced directly from the SM Higgs mechanism \textsuperscript{[4,5]}. Nevertheless, if the symmetry forbids the tree-level contribution driven by the SM Higgs, a Dirac-seesaw mechanism can be implemented \textsuperscript{[6,7]}. For example, the type-I Dirac-seesaw \textsuperscript{[8–10]} could appear in the context of anomaly-free gauge $U(1)_{B-L}$ \textsuperscript{[3]} or $U(1)_{X}$ \textsuperscript{[11]} symmetries. Specific models have been studied for producing neutrino masses via the type-II Dirac Seesaw \textsuperscript{[12,13]} with both anomaly-free gauge $U(1)_{B-L}$ symmetries \textsuperscript{[14,15]} or with anomaly-free Abelian dark symmetries \textsuperscript{[16]}. The extra chiral singlet fermions required to cancel out the anomalies can be part of a hidden sector with DM candidates, as in the case type-I \textsuperscript{[17,18]} or type-II \textsuperscript{[19]} Majorana seesaw. At loop level, the heavy particles in the radiative seesaw can be fully associated to an Abelian gauge dark symmetry $U(1)_{D}$ with the lightest of them as DM candidate \textsuperscript{[20–23]}, as well to an active Abelian gauge symmetry $U(1)_{X}$, like an $U(1)_{B-L}$ \textsuperscript{[4,23–25]}. The studies of one-loop Dirac neutrino masses have typically focused on finding specific anomaly-free solutions of this two kinds of symmetries, see, e.g., Refs. \textsuperscript{[11,26–29]}. Only few studies have performed systematic analysis of SM extensions with an additional anomaly-free gauge $U(1)$ symmetry to generate Dirac neutrino masses \textsuperscript{[30,31]}.

Here we continue the effort of performing a systematic analysis of SM extensions, presenting a complete set of relevant anomaly-free solutions to the general problem of the generation of neutrino masses by the type-I and type-II tree-level Dirac seesaw mechanism, via dimension 5 and 6 operators. A full set of relevant solutions is obtained. Our method can be easily applied to find the full set of anomaly-free solutions to well defined phenomenological problems.

In this work, we look for anomaly-free solutions to SM extensions with an additional $U(1)$ gauge symmetry, giving rise to tree-level Dirac neutrino masses. For that purpose, in Sect. 2 we briefly revise the conditions to have a non-anomalous $U(1)$ gauge symmetry. In Sect. 3 we discuss solutions giving rise to neutrino masses, by the type-I and type-II tree-level Dirac seesaw mechanism, via operators with dimensions 5 and 6, corresponding to active or dark symmetries. Finally, in Sect. 4 our conclusions are presented.
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2 Anomaly conditions

We consider an extension of the SM with an additional $U(1)_X$ gauge symmetry, and $N'$ right-handed chiral fields $\psi_{\rho}$ singlets under the SM $SU(3)_c \otimes SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y$ group, with charges $n_{\rho}$ under the $U(1)_X$, where $\rho = 1, \ldots, N'$. Additionally, we assume that the SM right-handed chiral fermions transform under the active $U(1)_X$ symmetry, with charges denoted with the same name of the field.\(^1\) To avoid having an anomalous $U(1)_X$, the three linear anomaly conditions to be fulfilled are

\[
[SU(3)_c]^2 U(1)_X : \quad [3u + 3d] + [3 \times 2 Q] = 0, \\
[SU(2)_L]^2 U(1)_X : \quad [2L + 3 \times 2 Q] = 0, \\
[U(1)_Y]^2 U(1)_X : \quad \left[ (-2)^2 e + 3 \left( \frac{4}{3} \right)^2 u \right] \\
+ 3 \left( \frac{2}{3} \right)^2 d \right] + \left[ (2)^2 L + 3 \times 2 \left( \frac{1}{3} \right)^2 Q \right] = 0. 
\]

(3)

As they only depend on the SM fermions, three of their $X$-charges can be expressed in terms of the other two \([23,32–34]\), chosen to be $e$ and $L$, as

\[
u = -e - \frac{2}{3} L, \quad d = e + \frac{4}{3} L, \quad Q = -\frac{1}{3} L. 
\]

(4)

We note that the quadratic anomaly condition in $U(1)_X$ is trivially satisfied. However, the mixed gauge-gravitational \([Grav]^2 U(1)_X\) and the cubic \([U(1)_X]^3\) anomalies do depend on the extra fermion charges $n_{\rho}$, and therefore two additional conditions have to be imposed in order to avoid an anomalous $U(1)_X$ \([23]\):

\[
\sum_{\rho=1}^{N'} n_{\rho} + 3m = 0, \quad \sum_{\rho=1}^{N'} n_{\rho}^3 + 3m^3 = 0, 
\]

(5)

where $m \equiv e + 2L$. Equation (4) can be conveniently rewritten as

\[
u = \frac{4L}{3} - m, \quad d = m - \frac{2L}{3}, \quad Q = -\frac{L}{3}, \quad e = m - 2L. 
\]

(6)

Finally, we note that the SM Higgs must have an $X$-charge

\[
h = -e - L = L - m 
\]

(7)

to guarantee that SM quarks and charged leptons acquire masses through the standard Higgs mechanism.\(^2\) Along these lines, we also assume that the singlet chiral fermions $\psi_{\rho}$ only acquire mass through the spontaneous symmetry breaking (SSB) of the extra $U(1)_X$ symmetry. This excludes solutions with vector-like states. We note that the existence of fields charged under both hypercharge and $U(1)_X$ induce at loop level the kinetic mixing operator $L \supset \frac{\xi}{2} B^{\mu\nu} X_{\mu\nu}$, where $B^{\mu\nu}$ and $X^{\mu\nu}$ are the field strengths related to the $U(1)_Y$ and the extra $U(1)_X$, respectively. The dimensionless parameter $\epsilon$ depends on the masses of the particles in the loop, as well as their specific charge assignment and the gauge couplings under the two $U(1)$ symmetries \([35–37]\).

It is interesting to note that the conditions in Eq. (5) are completely equivalent to the ones coming from a scenario where the SM is extended with a dark $U(1)_D$ gauge symmetry with $N = N' + 3$ right-handed singlet chiral fermions, $N'$ of them with the charges $n_{\rho}$ and three with charge $m$, and where the SM is invariant (hence a dark symmetry) \([31]\). Even if comparable, there is a major technical advantage of the latter approach: If the SM is extended with and additional dark $U(1)_D$ gauge symmetry (under which it is uncharged), and $N$ right-handed chiral fields singlets under the SM group, the $U(1)_D$ is not anomalous if the Diophantine equations

\[
\sum_{\rho=1}^{N} n_{\rho} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{\rho=1}^{N} n_{\rho}^3 = 0, 
\]

(8)

coming from the mixed gauge-gravitational \([Grav]^2 U(1)_D\) and cubic \([U(1)_D]^3\) conditions are fulfilled.

3 Dirac seesaw models

In this section we look for anomaly-free $U(1)_X$ gauge extensions of the SM, with $N$ singlet chiral fermions, realizing the effective Dirac neutrino mass operators \([12,38]\) at tree-level. In the two-component spinor notation, they can be written as

\[
\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = h^a_{\nu} (v_{R_a})^\dagger \epsilon_{ab} L_i^b H^h \left( \frac{S^h}{\Lambda} \right)^\delta \\
+ \text{H.c., with } i = 1, 2, 3, 
\]

(9)

and $\delta = 1$ or $2$ for dimension 5 (D-5) or 6 (D-6) operators, respectively. Here $h^a_{\nu}$ correspond to dimensionless induced couplings, $v_{R_a}$ are at least two RHNs ($\alpha = 1, 2, \ldots$) with the same $X$-charge $\nu$, $L_i$ are the lepton doublets with $X$-charge $-L$, $H$ is the SM Higgs doublet with $X$-charge $h$ = 

\(^2\) In particular, if $h = 0$ a gauge symmetry with SM-fermion charge $X = m \ (B - L)$ is obtained, where $B - L$ are the baryon-minus-lepton charges.
$L - m, S$ is the complex singlet scalar responsible for the 
SSB of the anomaly-free gauge symmetry with $X$-charge $s = -(v + m)/\delta$, respectively, and $\Lambda$ is a scale of new 
physics, which is parametrically the typical mass scale of 
the new (heavy) states. In general, after the SSB, a remnant 
physics, which is parametrically the typical mass scale of

3.1 Type-I Dirac seesaw

The realizations of type-I Dirac seesaw with $D-5$ operators 
automatically imply a vector-like pair of singlet fermions, 
and is therefore not possible with only chiral fermions. 
However, the situation is different for $D-6$ operators. Figure 1 
presents the diagram realizing the $D-6$ effective Lagrangian 
in Eq. (9) for type-I seesaw, if one only allows SSB masses 
for the singlet chiral fermions. It is important to note that this 
process can only take place for active symmetries (a dark 
symmetry would imply a state $\chi_R$ with charge $r = 0$). In 
that figure, the flux of charges in each vertex imply that 

$$ -m = r, \quad r = s - l, \quad -l = s + v. \quad (10) $$ 

The charge of $S$ can be expressed as a function of $l$ and $m$ as 

$$ s = l - m, \quad (11) $$

and the chiral fermion charges obey 

$$ v + 2l = m. \quad (12) $$

It is important to note that the first condition in Eq. (10) does 
not imply vector-like fermions, even if it contains particles 
with opposite charges, since the charge $m$ is associated to 
SM doublets. In this way, for any chiral solution (i.e., without 
opposite charges) we have two minimal ways of building a 
solution satisfying the condition in Eq. (12):

- If $m$ is already present in the solution as a non-repeated 
  $X$-charge $(m, \ldots)$, we can add two sets of opposite sign 
  charges $m$, such that 

$$ (m, \ldots) \rightarrow (m, m, m, -m, -m, \ldots) \quad (13) $$

where $-m$ is the $X$-charge of two new chiral fields $\chi_{R1}$, $\chi_{R2}$. This is the minimal requirement for a rank-2 neutrino mass matrix for light neutrinos. We note that if the original solution satisfies the Diophantine equations, the second will trivially do it as well.

- If $m$ is not present in the solution we can add three sets of opposite sign charges $m$, and identify the $X$-charges of three new chiral fields $\chi_{R1}$, $\chi_{R2}$ and $\chi_{R3}$ as $-m$.

As mentioned previously, in order to limit the total number of solutions that cancel the anomaly induced by the additional $U(1)_X$, the following restrictions are taken into account:

1. By construction, all new chiral fermions have to be 
   charged under $U(1)_X$, i.e., solutions with vanishing 
   charges are disregarded.
2. For the chiral fields, the maximal charge allowed (in absolute value) is 30.
3. Solutions with vector-like fermions are disregarded. We 
   emphasize that the first condition in Eq. (10) together with 
   the requirement of a rank-2 neutrino mass matrix demand 
   at least 2 opposite pairs of charges. However, this does 
   not imply vector-like fermions, since the charges $m$ are 
   associated to SM doublets.
4. At least two charges have to be equal. Their corresponding 
   fields are identified with the RHNs.
5. A second set of at least two equal charges have to exist. 
   Their corresponding fields are identified with the heavy 
   left-handed chiral fermions. This is due to the need of a 
   neutrino mass matrix for the light neutrinos of at least rank 2.
6. A third set of three equal charges is required. Their corresponding 
   fields are identified with the SM doublets charged under the new $U(1)_X$.
7. We restrict ourselves to $N \leq 9$ fields, with charges satisfying 
   the two Diophantine conditions in Eq. (8), and take 
   the minimal charge (in absolute value) to be positive. We 
   note that there are no solutions for $N \leq 5$ with at least 
   two sets of equal charges [39,40].
8. The numbers of left-handed chiral fermions $\chi_R$ and $\chi_L$ 
   has to be the same, for their masses to be generated by the 
   SSB of the $\Sigma$.
9. The charge assignment may not allow all chiral fields 
   to acquire masses via the SSB. We only consider solu-
   tions which have extra massless chiral fields all of them 
   acquiring masses through an extra singlet scalar $S'$ with 
   $X$-charge $s'$. This implies that these charges cannot be 
   repeated.
10. We want RHN masses to be generated by tree-level Dirac seesaw. That implies that all vertices between $S$, the RHN and the other chiral fields should be forbidden by the symmetries.

11. In the case of new set of chiral fermions which get masses through $S'$ the lightest fermion must be a viable DM candidate.

12. In order to have a viable type-I Dirac seesaw, new heavy chiral fermions have to satisfy the condition in Eq. (12).

Additionally, we note that for a fixed number of chiral fields, different solutions could share the same qualitative behavior. For example, the second solution in Table 1 is (1, 2, 2, −3, −3, −3, 4) and has to be expanded with the set of opposite charges (1, 1, −1, −1) to get (1, 1, 1, −1, −1, 2, 2, −3, −3, −3, 4). That solution and (3, 3, 3, −5, −5, 7, 7, −7, −7, −7, 8) are equivalent (and therefore the latter is omitted in the table) in the sense that both contain three RHNs (with charges $v = −3$ and $v = 3$, respectively), two heavy Dirac fermions (with charges (−1, 2) and (−5, 7)), and a single massless Majorana fermion (with charge 4 and 8). Therefore, in this case only one solution (the one with the smallest charge in absolute value) is reported in Table 1.

The solutions of the Diophantine equations satisfying all the previously enumerated conditions are shown in Table 1. The solutions for $N$ extra chiral fermions are parametrized as a function of two sets of integers $\ell$ and $k$ (first three columns).

The fourth column shows the charge assignments, whereas the fifth the general common denominator (GCD) of the original solution. Technically speaking, the solutions were found using the package anomalies³ [31]. We note that even if most of the solutions contain massless chiral fermion, there are two solutions without ($N$ highlighted in bold). Regarding these solutions without massless fermions, a few comments are in order:

- The first solution corresponds to $N = 9$ and has the charge assignment $\bigl(6, 6, 6, −6, −6, 2, −5, 9, 9, −12, −12, −13, 16\bigr)$. In this case, there are two RHNs with charges $v = −12$, two $\chi_L$ with charge $l = 9$, and therefore 3 has to have a charge $s = −v−l = 3$. Additionally, three states with charges $m = v + 2l = 6$ are required. As the original solution contains a single state with charge 6, two extra chiral fields with the same charge are added, together with two $\chi_R$ with charge $r = −m = −6$. Finally, there are two extra Dirac fermions (2, −5) and (−13, 16) that get mass via the SSB by the scalar $S$, each of them being independent DM candidates protected by a residual $\mathbb{Z}_3$ symmetry. Other particles (i.e., the SM doublets, the RHNs and the heavy fermionic mediators of the type-I Dirac Seesaw) are neutral under such symmetry.
- The second solution also needs $N = 9$ new chiral fermions and corresponds to the charge assignment $\bigl(−3, −3, −3, 3, 3, 1, 2, −6, −6, −6, 8, 9, 9, −11\bigr)$. It contains two RHNs with charge $v = 9$, and three $\chi_L$ with $r = −m = 3$ have been added. Finally, two Dirac fermions (1, 2) and (8, −11) acquire mass via the scalar $S$ with charge $s = −v−l = −3$.

All other solutions presented in Table 1 have a number of massless chiral fermions. They can be either extra relativistic degrees of freedom, or additional DM candidates if they acquire mass from another mechanism.

Concerning the solutions with multicomponent DM, we explore the cases which feature at least two DM candidates with unconditional stability [41]. This happens when there are two remnant symmetries such that $\mathbb{Z}_{|s|} \cong \mathbb{Z}_p \otimes \mathbb{Z}_q$ with $\mathbb{Z}_p \otimes \mathbb{Z}_q$ coprimes, which guaranteed the stability of each lightest state under $\mathbb{Z}_p$ and $\mathbb{Z}_q$, respectively, without imposing any kinematical restriction. For the two DM candidates associated to the set of chiral fields $\psi_i$ and $\chi_j$, we consider the first two possibilities for $|s|$ [41]

$$\bullet \quad Z_6 \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \otimes \mathbb{Z}_3; \text{ solutions with at least a set of chiral fields with } \psi_i \sim [\omega_6^2 \vee \omega_6^4] \text{ under } \mathbb{Z}_6, \text{ and at least a set of chiral fields with } \chi_i \sim \omega_6^3 \text{ under } \mathbb{Z}_6,$$

$$\bullet \quad Z_{10} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \otimes \mathbb{Z}_5; \text{ solutions with at least a set of chiral fields with } \psi_i \sim [\omega_{10}^2 \vee \omega_{10}^6 \vee \omega_{10}^8] \text{ under } \mathbb{Z}_{10} \text{ and at least a set of chiral fields with } \chi_i \sim \omega_{10}^9 \text{ under } \mathbb{Z}_{10},$$

where $\omega_{|s|} = e^{i 2\pi/|s|}$. The solutions with unconditional stability are highlighted with a bold font in the column $s$ of Table 1. In this case, we have only a $\mathbb{Z}_{10}$ solution in which we have a first set of singlet chiral fermions $\psi_i$ with charges $\bigl(\omega_{10}^2 , \omega_{10}^6 , \omega_{10}^8 \bigr)$ and a second singlet chiral fermion $\chi$ with charge $\omega_{10}^9$. This give to arise a Dirac fermion DM candidate $(\psi_1 (\psi_2) )^\dagger \text{ protected by } \mathbb{Z}_5$ and a Majorana fermion DM $(\chi (\chi) )^\dagger \text{ protected by } \mathbb{Z}_2$.

Finally, the type-I Dirac seesaw realizations of the effective operator of D-6 in Eq. (9) have to have a sufficiently rich $h_W^0$ structure to explain the full neutrino oscillation data. That can be guaranteed by having a rank 2 or 3 Dirac neutrino mass matrix, via the inclusion of a proper set of chiral fermions for each solution. For example, consider the first solution $(1, −4, −4, 9, 9, −11)$, which is promoted to $(1, 1, 1, −1, −1, −4, 9, 9, −11)$ by adding two pairs of extra states $(1, −1)$. We assign $m = 1, r = −1, l = −4$ and $v = 9$, such that $s = −5$ gives masses to two Dirac neutrinos. For

³ https://pypt.org/project/anomalies/.
Table 1 Type-I Dirac neutrino masses: set of charges satisfying the Diophantine equations together with the conditions enumerated in the text, for \( N \) extra chiral fermions, featuring Dirac neutrino masses generated by D-6 operators. The solutions without massless chiral fermions are highlighted with a bold font \( N \), while the solution with unconditional stability through \( \mathbb{Z}_{10} \) is highlighted with a bold font for the charge of \( S \)

| \( N \) | \( \ell \) | \( k \) | Solution | GCD | Extra | \( l \) | \( \nu \) | \( s \) | Massless | \( s' \) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 6 | (1, −2) | (−4, 1) | (1, −4, −4, −9, −9, −11) | 3 | (1, 1, −1, −1) | −4 | 9 | −5 | (−11) | 22 |
| 7 | (−1, 1) | (−1, 0, −1) | (1, 2, 2, −3, −3, −4) | 1 | (1, 1, −1, −1) | 2 | −3 | 1 | (4) | 8 |
| 7 | (3, 1) | (−1, −5, 7) | (2, 2, −4, −7, −8, −8, 9) | 1 | (−4, −4, 4, 4) | 2 | −8 | 6 | (7, 9) | 16 |
| 7 | (−1, 1) | (−1, 0, −1) | (1, 2, 2, −3, −3, −4) | 1 | (−4, −4, −4, 4, 4) | −3 | 2 | 1 | (1, 4) | 5 |
| 8 | (0, −3, −1) | (−3, −5, −6, −4) | (3, −4, −6, −6, 7, 7, 8, −9) | 1 | (8, 8, −8, −8) | 7 | −6 | −1 | (−9) | 18 |
| 8 | (−4, −1, 1) | (−13, −14, −7) | (1, 1, −2, −4, −4, 10, 11, −13) | 2 | (−2, −2, 2, 2) | 1 | −4 | 3 | (11) | 22 |
| 8 | (0, 2, 3) | (−1, −7, −4, −5) | (1, −5, −5, −10, 11, 11, 11, −14) | 2 | (1, 1, −1, −1) | −5 | 11 | −6 | (−10, −14) | 24 |
| 8 | (−1, 0, 1) | (−11, −8, 8) | (1, 2, 13, 13, −16, −16, −19, 22) | 2 | (−19, −19, 19, 19) | −16 | 13 | 3 | (22) | 44 |
| 8 | (0, 1, −2) | (−1, −2, −4, 1) | (2, 2, 2, −5, −5, −5, 7) | 2 | (−8, −8, 8, 8, 8) | −5 | 2 | 3 | (7) | 14 |
| 8 | (−1, 0, 1) | (−4, −2, 2) | (1, −2, −2, 4, 5, −7, −7, 8) | 1 | (−16, −16, −16, 16, 16, 16) | −7 | −2 | 9 | (16) | 32 |
| 8 | (−1, 0, −1) | (−2, 1, −1) | (2, −5, −5, −5, 7, 8, 8, −10) | 1 | (−2, −2, −2, 2, 2) | −5 | 8 | −3 | (2) | 4 |
| 8 | (0, −1, 0) | (−2, −1, −2, −1) | (1, 4, 5, 5, −8, −10, −10, 13) | 1 | (−15, −15, −15, 15, 15, 15) | −10 | 5 | 5 | (15) | 30 |
| 8 | (5, −7, −1) | (−5, −8, −6, 0) | (5, 5, 5, 13, −17, −17, −17, 23) | 60 | (−29, −29, −29, 29, 29, 29) | −17 | 5 | 12 | (13, 23) | 36 |
| 8 | (−1, −2, 2) | (−10, −1, −8) | (1, 10, 10, 10, −19, −19, −19, 26) | 17 | (−28, −28, −28, 28, 28, 28) | −19 | 10 | 9 | (1, 26) | 27 |
| 9 | (1, 2, 1, 2) | (−5, −3, −6, −3) | (1, 1, 2, 2, −5, −7, −7, 9) | 1 | (−5, −5, 5, 5) | 1 | −7 | 6 | (9) | 18 |
| 9 | (−3, −1, −2) | (−1, −5, −1, −5) | (1, 2, 2, 2, −5, −5, −8, 9) | 1 | (−8, −8, 8, 8) | −5 | 2 | 3 | (1, 9) | 10 |
| 9 | (−4, −1, 1) | (−3, −9, −7, −1) | (1, 1, 1, 5, 5, −8, −8, 9, 11) | 2 | (11, 11, −11, −11) | 5 | 1 | −6 | (−7, −8, −9) | 16 |
| 9 | (−3, −1, −2) | (−1, −3, −2, −3) | (1, −2, 5, 5, 6, −9, −9, −9, 12) | 2 | (1, 1, −1, −1) | 5 | −9 | 4 | (12) | 24 |
Table 1 continued

| N | $\ell$ | $k$ | Solution | GCD | Extra | $l$ | $\nu$ | $s$ | Massless | $s'$ |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 9 | (2, −1, 0, 1) | (0, −3, 4, −4) | (2, 6, −7, 8, −11, −12, −13, 13) | 1 | (−11, −11, 11, 11) | −12 | 13 | −1 | (2, 8) | 10 |
| 9 | (2, 1, −2) | (−1, −3, 0, −4) | (2, 4, −6, 7, −9, −9, 12, 12, −13) | 2 | (−6, −6, 6, 6) | −9 | 12 | −3 | (2, 4, 7, −13) | 6 |
| 9 | (−1, 2, 3, 2) | (−1, −3, −2, −5) | (1, 1, 2, 5, 8, −9, −11, −11, −14) | 1 | (−9, −9, 9, 9) | 1 | −11 | 10 | (14) | 28 |
| 9 | (1, 0, −2, −1) | (−6, −5, −4, −5) | (1, −1, −4, 5, −6, −6, 10, 14, −15) | 1 | (−4, −4, 4, 4) | 1 | −6 | 5 | (5, 14) | 19 |
| 9 | (3, 1, 3, 2) | (−4, −6, −5, −2) | (2, −5, 6, 9, 9, −12, −12, −13, 16) | 2 | (6, 6, −6, −6) | 9 | −12 | 3 | 0 | − |
| 9 | (−1, 0, −2) | (−4, −3, 4, 3) | (1, 8, −12, −12, −12, 14, 14, 16, −17) | 2 | (16, 16, −16, −16) | 14 | −12 | −2 | (8, −17) | 9 |
| 9 | (−1, 1, 0, 2) | (−4, −5, 4, 0) | (3, 8, −11, −11, 12, 12, 16, −16, 19) | 4 | (8, 8, −8, −8) | 12 | −16 | 4 | (3, 19, −11) | 22 |
| 9 | (−5, 1, 9) | (−4, 1, 3, −1) | (1, −2, 7, 11, 11, −15, −15, −24, 26) | 3 | (7, 7, −7, −7) | 11 | −15 | 4 | (1, −24, 26) | 2 |
| 9 | (2, −1, 3, 1) | (−6, −5, −3, −4) | (1, 1, 2, 5, −6, −6, −6, 8) | 1 | (−11, −11, −11, 11, 11, 11) | −6 | 1 | 5 | (2, 5, 8) | 10 |
| 9 | (2, 1, 3) | (−2, 0, −5, 8) | (1, −2, −3, −3, −3, 4, 8, 8, −10) | 1 | (2, 2, 2, −2, −2, −2) | −3 | 8 | −5 | (−2, −10) | 12 |
| 9 | (−2, −3, 0, 1) | (−2, −4, −3, 0) | (1, 4, 5, −6, −6, −6, 9, −10) | 1 | (−3, −3, −3, 3, 3) | −6 | 9 | −3 | (1, 4, 5, −10) | 5 |
| 9 | (1, 2, 1, −1) | (−6, −3, −5, −3) | (1, 2, −6, −6, −6, 8, 9, −11) | 1 | (−3, −3, −3, 3, 3) | −6 | 9 | −3 | 0 | − |
| 9 | (−3, −6, 1, −4) | (−3, −6, −5, 4) | (2, −3, −3, −3, −6, 7, 11, −12) | 2 | (1, 1, 1, −1, −1, −1) | −3 | 7 | −4 | (11, −12) | 1 |
| 9 | (−2, −1, 0) | (−1, −3, −5, −3) | (5, 6, 6, 6, −8, −9, −9, −10, 13) | 2 | (−12, −12, −12, 12, 12, 12, −12, −12, −12) | −9 | 6 | 3 | (12) | 24 |
| 9 | (2, 0, 1, −1) | (−6, −3, 2, −5) | (4, 4, 6, 6, −7, −7, −7, −12, 13) | 4 | (−8, −8, −8, 8, 8, 8) | −7 | 6 | 1 | (4) | 8 |
| 9 | (−5, −2, 3) | (−1, −2, 3, −4) | (3, 5, 5, −8, −8, −8, 12, 12, −13) | 3 | (−4, −4, −4, 4, 4, 4) | −8 | 12 | −4 | (3, −13, 5) | 10 |
| 9 | (−6, −3, −2) | (−1, −5, 2, −2) | (5, 5, −8, 11, 11, −14, −14, −14, 18) | 12 | (−17, −17, −17, 17, 17, 17) | −14 | 11 | 3 | (18) | 36 |
avoiding the chiral fermion with charge $-11$ to be massless, an extra scalar $S'$ with $X$-charge 22 can be introduced to give a Majorana mass.

3.2 Type-II Dirac seesaw

Contrary to type-I, type-II Dirac seesaw with only chiral fermions can be realized via D-5 operators. Figure 2 presents the diagrams realizing the D-5 (left panel) and D-6 (right panel) effective Lagrangian in Eq. (9) for type-II seesaw, if one only allows SSB masses for the singlet chiral fermions.

For the case D-5, the flux of the $X$-charges in each vertex for an active symmetry satisfy

$$L - m = \eta + s, \quad -L + \eta = \nu,$$

which implies that

$$\nu + m + s = 0.$$

For D-6, the type-II seesaw simply implies a change of charge of $s$ to $s/2$, as in the upper vertex there are two ongoing $S$ instead of a single one.

3.2.1 Active symmetry

The solutions of the Diophantine equations satisfying all the previously enumerated conditions for a type-II Dirac neutrino masses and an active symmetry, are shown in Table 2 for the D-5 operator.

We note that even if most of the solutions contain massless chiral fermions, there is a single solution without (highlighted in bold). It corresponds to a case with $N = 6$ new chiral fermions, with the charge assignment $(1, -2, -3, 5, 5, -6)$. It contains two RHNs with charge $\nu = 5$. There are also two extra Dirac fermions $(1, -6)$ and $(-2, -3)$ that get mass via the SSB by the scalar $S$ with charge $s = -\nu = -5$, each of them being independent DM candidates protected by a residual $\mathbb{Z}_5$ symmetry.

- The other two solutions contain $N = 9$ new chiral fermions, the first with the assignment $(1, -2, 3, 4, 6, -7, -7, -7, 9)$. It contains three RHMs with charge $\nu = -7$, and three Dirac fermions $(1, 6, (-2, 9)$ and $(3, 4)$ gaining mass via a scalar of charge $s = 7$.

- Finally, the last solution has charges $(1, 1, -4, -5, 9, 9, 9, -10, -10)$. It contains three RHMs with charge $\nu = 9$, and three Dirac fermions $(1, -10), (1, -10)$ and $(-4, -5)$ that obtain mass via the scalar $S$ with charge $s = -9$. Additionally, the scalar $\eta$ has charge $\eta = \nu = 9$. The dark $U(1)_D$ symmetry is broken by $S$ down to a $\mathbb{Z}_9$ symmetry.

We also have four solutions with unconditional stability. Concerning the second with $\mathbb{Z}_6 \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \otimes \mathbb{Z}_3$, some phenomenological considerations are in order: the particle content of the model, along with the charges of the remnant sym-

\[ \nu + m + s/\delta = 0, \]

with $m$ for a gauge $U(1)_D$ symmetry, $\delta = 1$ or 2 for D-5 or D-6 realizations, as in the upper vertex there are two ongoing $S$ instead of a single one.

The solutions of the Diophantine equations satisfying all the previously enumerated conditions for a type-II Dirac seesaw neutrino mass mechanism and a dark symmetry, are shown in Table 3, for the D-5 operator. A total of 19 solutions where found, 3 of them (highlighted in bold) without massless fermions.\[ \text{\textsuperscript{5}} \]

Regarding these solutions without massless fermions, a few comments are in order:

- The first solution corresponds to $N = 6$ and has the charge assignment $(1, -2, -3, 5, 5, -6)$. It contains two RHNs with charge $\nu = 5$. There are also two extra Dirac fermions $(1, -6)$ and $(-2, -3)$ that get mass via the SSB by the scalar $S$ with charge $s = -\nu = -5$, each of them being independent DM candidates protected by a residual $\mathbb{Z}_5$ symmetry.

- The other two solutions contain $N = 9$ new chiral fermions, the first with the assignment $(1, -2, 3, 4, 6, -7, -7, -7, 9)$. It contains three RHMs with charge $\nu = -7$, and three Dirac fermions $(1, 6, (-2, 9)$ and $(3, 4)$ gaining mass via a scalar of charge $s = 7$.

- Finally, the last solution has charges $(1, 1, -4, -5, 9, 9, 9, -10, -10)$. It contains three RHMs with charge $\nu = 9$, and three Dirac fermions $(1, -10), (1, -10)$ and $(-4, -5)$ that obtain mass via the scalar $S$ with charge $s = -9$. Additionally, the scalar $\eta$ has charge $\eta = \nu = 9$. The dark $U(1)_D$ symmetry is broken by $S$ down to a $\mathbb{Z}_9$ symmetry.

\[ \text{\textsuperscript{4}} \text{We note that this case reduces to the one with an active symmetry in the limit } \nu = 0 = m. \]

\[ \text{\textsuperscript{5}} \text{The first two solutions were recently presented in Ref. [16].} \]
Table 2 Type-II Dirac neutrinos for an active symmetry: Set of charges satisfying the Diophantine equations together with the conditions enumerated in the text, for $N$ single singlet chiral fermions, featuring Dirac neutrino masses generated by D-5 operators. The solutions without massless chiral fermions are highlighted with a bold font.

| N | $\ell$   | k               | Solution                     | GCD | $m$ | $\nu$ | $s$ | Massless | $s'$ |
|---|---------|-----------------|------------------------------|-----|-----|-------|-----|----------|------|
| 6 | $(-1, -2)$ | $(-1, 2)$       | $(1, 1, 1, -4, -4, 5)^{[14]}$ | 1   | 1   | $-4$  | 3   | (5)      | 10   |
| 7 | $(-1, 1)$  | $(-1, 0, -1)$   | $(1, 2, 2, -3, -3, -3, 4)$  | 1   | $-3$| 2     | 1   | (1, 4)   | 5    |
| 8 | $(1, -3, -2)$ | $(-4, -9, -5, -3)$ | $(1, 3, 3, -5, -7, -7, 9)^{[14]}$ | 1   | 3   | $-7$  | 4   | (9)      | 18   |
| 8 | $(-8, -1, -4)$ | $(-2, -6, 4, -5)$ | $(7, -8, -18, -18, 20, 20, -23)$ | 2   | 20  | $-18$ | 2   | $(-23, -8, 7)$ | 16   |
| 9 | $(3, 0, -1, 1)$ | $(-6, -5, 5, -1)$ | $(2, 2, 2, -3, -3, -3, 5, -5, 6)$ | 1   | 2   | $-5$  | 3   | (4)      | 8    |
| 9 | $(1, -1, -2, -1)$ | $(-6, -5, 3, -5)$ | $(1, 2, 2, 2, -3, -6, -8, 9)^{[14]}$ | 1   | 2   | 1     | 3   | $(-8, 3)$ | 11   |
| 9 | $(-2, -3, 0, 1)$ | $(-2, -4, -3, 0)$ | $(1, 4, 5, -6, -6, -6, 9, 9, -10)$ | 1   | $-6$| 9     | 3   | $(-10, 1, 4, 5)$ | 5    |
| 9 | $(1, 2, 1, -1)$  | $(-6, -3, -5, -3)$ | $(1, 2, -6, -6, -8, 8, 9, 9, -11)$ | 1   | $-6$| 9     | 3   | (1)      | -    |
| 9 | $(-5, -2, 3)$  | $(-1, -2, 3, -4)$ | $(3, 5, 5, -8, -8, -8, 12, 12, -13)$ | 3   | $-8$| 12    | $-4$| $(-13, 3, 5)$ | 10   |

Table 3 Type-II Dirac neutrinos for a dark symmetry: Set of charges satisfying the Diophantine equations together with the conditions enumerated in the text, for $N$ single singlet chiral fermions, featuring Dirac neutrino masses generated by D-5 operators. None of the present solutions contain massless chiral fermions.

| N | $\ell$   | k               | Solution                     | GCD | $m$ | $\nu$ | $s$ | Massless | $s'$ |
|---|---------|-----------------|------------------------------|-----|-----|-------|-----|----------|------|
| 6 | $(-1, -2)$ | $(-1, 2)$       | $(1, 1, 1, -4, -4, 5)^{[16]}$ | 1   | 1   | $-1$  | $(-4)$ | 8    |
| 6 | $(-1, 1)$  | $(-2, 0)$       | $(1, -2, -3, 5, 5, -6)^{[16]}$ | 1   | 5   | $-5$  | (1) | -        |
| 6 | $(0, 2)$   | $(-1, -2, 1)$   | $(1, 1, 8, -11, -16, 17)^{[14]}$ | 1   | 1   | $-1$  | $(11, 8)$ | 3    |
| 7 | $(-1, 1)$  | $(-1, -2, -1)$  | $(1, 3, -4, 5, -6, -6, 7)$  | 1   | $-6$| 6     | $(4, 7)$ | 3    |
| 7 | $(-1, 0, -2)$ | $(-1, 0, -1)$   | $(1, 7, 8, 9, -9, -11)$      | 1   | $-9$| 9     | $(7, 11)$ | 18   |
| 8 | $(-1, 2, -2)$ | $(-7, 4, 0)$    | $(1, 2, 2, -3, -5, -6, 7)$  | 1   | 2   | 2     | $(6)$ | 12   |
| 8 | $(3, 2, -2)$ | $(-7, -10, -4)$ | $(2, -3, 4, -5, -6, 7, 7, -8)$ | 1   | 7   | 7     | $(8, -6)$ | 14   |
| 8 | $(1, -2, 2)$ | $(-1, 0, -3, 5)$ | $(4, -5, -5, 7, 8, -10, -10, 11)$ | 1   | $-10$| 10    | $(4, 7, 8, 11)$ | 15   |
| 8 | $(-2, -1, 2)$ | $(-2, -5, 1)$   | $(1, 2, -7, -7, 10, 10, -11)$ | 2   | 10  | $-10$ | $(7, 2)$ | 5    |
| 9 | $(-2, -3, -1, -2)$ | $(-2, -6, -5, 3)$ | $(3, -4, -6, -6, -8, 10, 14, 16, -19)$ | 1   | $-6$| 6     | $(19, 16)$ | 3    |
| 9 | $(1, 0, 4, 3)$ | $(-2, 0, -2, -4)$ | $(1, -3, 6, 6, 6, -7, -10, -15, 16)$ | 1   | 6   | $-6$  | $(15)$ | 30   |
| 9 | $(-6, -3, -6, -2)$ | $(-6, -4, -1, -5)$ | $(1, 1, 2, 2, 3, -5, -6, -6, 8)$ | 1   | 2   | 2     | $(6)$ | 12    |
| 9 | $(2, -3, 1, -1)$ | $(-3, -1, -2, -1)$ | $(1, 2, 3, 4, -6, -7, -7, -7)$ | 1   | $-7$| 7     | (1) | -        |
| 9 | $(-3, -1, 5)$  | $(-9, 3, -4, -1)$ | $(1, 2, -3, 4, -5, -6, 8, -9)$ | 1   | $8$ | $-8$  | $(6, 2)$ | 4    |
| 9 | $(-2, 1, -2)$  | $(-1, -2, -1, 3)$ | $(2, 2, 4, -5, -5, 5, 8, 8, -9)$ | 1   | $-5$| 5     | $(2, 8)$ | 10   |
| 9 | $(4, 5, -1)$  | $(-2, -1, -1, -3, 2)$ | $(2, -5, 8, 10, 10, -12, -16, -18, 21)$ | 1   | $10$| $-10$ | $(16, 21)$ | 5    |
| 9 | $(-2, 0, 2)$  | $(-1, 1, 0, -1)$ | $(1, -1, -4, -5, 9, 9, -10, -10)$ | 1   | 9   | $-9$  | (1) | -        |
| 9 | $(2, -2, 1, 3)$ | $(-5, -4, -3, -1)$ | $(1, -2, -2, -2, 5, -7, 8, 9, -10)$ | 1   | $-2$| 2     | $(9)$ | 18   |
| 9 | $(-2, -3, 0, 1)$ | $(-2, -4, -3, 0)$ | $(1, 4, 5, -6, -6, 9, 9, -10)$ | 1   | $-6$| 6     | $(9)$ | 18   |
| 9 | $(-4, -5, 3)$  | $(-2, 0, -1, -2)$ | $(3, -5, -4, 5, -6, -8, -8, 10)$ | 1   | $-8$| 8     | $(6, 10)$ | 4    |
| 9 | $(1, 0, 2, 1)$  | $(-5, -3, -6, -6)$ | $(1, -3, -3, -4, 5, 8, 9, 9, -12)$ | 1   | $-3$| 3     | $(9)$ | 18   |
| 9 | $(-2, 0, 1, 3)$ | $(-1, 0, 1, 3)$ | $(1, 2, 2, 2, -6, 9, -10, -12, 13)$ | 1   | 1   | $-1$  | $(6, 2)$ | 4    |
| 9 | $(3, 0, 5)$  | $(-2, -5, -4, -2)$ | $(1, 5, 9, -10, -10, -10, 16, 25, -26)$ | 3   | $-10$| 10    | $(25)$ | 50   |
Table 4 Charges for last solution. $i = 1, 2, 3, \alpha = 1, 2, 3$. Note that \((\omega^d)^\alpha = \omega^{+d} = \omega^{\alpha-d}\)

| Field | $SU(2)_L$ | $U(1)_Y$ | $U(1)_D$ | $Z_6$ | $Z_2$ | $Z_3$ |
|-------|----------|----------|----------|-------|-------|-------|
| $L_i$ | 2        | -1       | 0        | 1     | +     | 1     |
| $v_{Ro}$ | 1     | 0        | 6        | 1     | +     | 1     |
| $\psi_1$ | 1     | 0        | -10      | $\omega^3_6 + \omega^3_2$ | -1 |
| $\psi_2$ | 1     | 0        | 16       | $\omega^4_6 + \omega_3$ | -1 |
| $\chi$ | 1       | 0        | -3       | $\omega_6^3$ | -1 |
| $\chi'$ | 1      | 0        | -15      | $\omega_6^3$ | -1 |
| $\xi_1$ | 1       | 0        | 1        | $\omega_6$ | -1 |
| $\xi_2$ | 1       | 0        | -7       | $\omega_6^5$ | $\omega_2^4$ |
| $H$ | 2        | 1        | 0        | 1     | +     | 1     |
| $\eta$ | 2        | 1/2      | 6        | 1     | +     | 1     |
| $S$ | 1        | 0        | -6       | 1     | +     | 1     |

\[\begin{align*}
+ \lambda_S H^\dagger S H^\dagger H + \lambda_{\eta H} \eta^\dagger \eta H^\dagger H \\
+ \lambda_{S \eta} S^\dagger \eta^\dagger \eta \left[ \kappa H^\dagger \eta S + \text{H.c.} \right],
\end{align*}\]

\[\langle H \rangle = \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad \langle \eta \rangle = \frac{v_{\eta}}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad \langle S \rangle = \frac{v_S}{\sqrt{2}}.\]

After the spontaneous symmetry breaking, the neutrino mass matrix reads

\[M_{\alpha i} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} h_{\alpha i} v_{\eta},\]

and therefore, \(v_{\eta}\) must be small to allow for sizeable Yukawa couplings. This condition can be easily satisfied if \(v_{\eta} \ll v\). In fact

\[v_{\eta} \approx \frac{\kappa v_S}{2M_{\eta}^2}\]

is expected to be much more smaller than \(v\) for large \(M_{\eta}\).

Contrary to the scotogenic model, the dark sector is completely independent of the heavy particles associated to the type-II Dirac seesaw. Moreover, because the $Z_6$ symmetry has two subgroups, the subsequent two dark sectors are completely independent between them, and therefore, we have at least two independent DM candidates. The first one, protected by the subgroup $Z_3$, is the Dirac fermion $\psi = (\psi_1 (\psi_2)^\dagger)^T$, while the second one, protected by the subgroup $Z_2$, is the Majorana fermion $\Xi = (\chi (\chi)^\dagger)^T$. For simplicity, we assume that other potential DM candidates are heavier than $\psi$ and $\Xi$ and have very small densities in the early universe, primarily by DM conversion into $\eta$ and $\psi$ mediated by $Z'$ and $S$ [30].

4 Conclusions

Studies on tree-level Dirac neutrino masses have typically focused on finding specific anomaly-free solutions for a given kind of symmetry, either for an active or dark symmetry. Alternatively, in the present work a complete set of relevant anomaly-free solutions to the general problem of the generation of Dirac neutrino masses at tree level with chiral singlet fermions has been presented. In particular, we restricted the analysis to solutions satisfying a set of general conditions enumerated in the text. An intensive scan was performed, looking for solutions generating neutrino masses by the type-I and type-II tree-level Dirac seesaw mechanism, via operators of dimension 5 and 6, that correspond to active or dark symmetries. Each of the presented solutions leads to a unique model with specific phenomenological implications.

It is interestingly to note that type-I Dirac seesaw can only take place for active symmetries, if one demands all extra fermions to be charged under the new symmetry. Additionally, type-I Dirac seesaw with dimension-5 operators automatically implies a vector-like pair of singlet fermions, and is therefore not possible with only chiral fermions. However, for dimension-6 operators we found a set of 36 solutions of the Diophantine equations (i.e. anomaly-free solutions) satisfying general conditions enumerated in the text (see Table 1). Among them, only 2 solutions with all extra fermions getting mass via the spontaneous symmetry breaking of the new Higgs field. The massless fermions of the other solutions can either contribute to the relativistic degrees of freedom $\Delta N_{\text{eff}}$ in the early universe [23], or acquire masses after the introduction of an extra singlet scalar, becoming independent DM candidates [17].

Contrary to type-I, type-II Dirac seesaw with only chiral fermions can be realized via dimension-5 operators. For the case of an active symmetry and dimension-5 operators, 9 solutions were found, only one of them without massless chiral fermions (see Table 2). Alternatively, for the case of a
dark symmetry, 19 solutions were found, 3 of them without massless chiral fermions (see Table 3).

Most of the solutions found could feature multicomponent DM. Special attention was brought to those with at least two DM candidates with unconditional stability, which guarantees the viability of DM without imposing any kinematical restriction.
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