Endothelial cell loss in penetrating keratoplasty, endothelial keratoplasty, and deep anterior lamellar keratoplasty
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Abstract:

PURPOSE: To compare endothelial cell density (ECD) loss rates in penetrating keratoplasty (PKP), Descemet’s stripping automated endothelial keratoplasty (DSAEK), and deep anterior lamellar keratoplasty (DALK).

DESIGN: Single-center, multiple-surgeon, retrospective cohort study.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: Patients who received PKP, DSAEK, or DALK from 2009 to 2014 were analyzed (68 vs. 38 vs. 11 patients, respectively). We excluded patients with therapeutic PKP or regraft, infection, endothelial rejection, or uncontrolled glaucoma. Only clear grafts and initial ECD more than 1000 cell/mm² were included in the study. The main outcome was ECD loss rate. The follow-up time period was divided into five subgroups: 0–1.5 months, 1.5–6 months, 6–12 months, 12–24 months, and longer than 24 months.

RESULTS: Average ECD loss rate (cell/mm²/month) declined in all three groups (PKP group: −561.5, −113.2, −36.6, −31.4, and −53.7; DSAEK group: −686.4, −68.3, −21.8, −14.4, and −5.1; DALK group: −576.5, −68, −23.7, 5.9, and 18.3). Although DSAEK group showed faster ECD loss rate in the early postoperative period, it became slower compared to the PKP group within the postoperative 6th month and demonstrated significant difference within 2 years. No ECD loss developed in the DALK group after the 1st postoperative year; this was significantly different from the PKP group.

CONCLUSIONS: Although ECD loss rate in the DSAEK group was initially larger than that in the PKP group, the DSAEK group possessed better long-term endothelial cell survival rate. The DALK group had a lower ECD loss rate than that of the other groups and maintained a stable ECD at 1 year after surgery.
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Introduction

Penetrating keratoplasty (PKP) has long been the standard treatment for corneal transplantation and has well-established safety and efficacy rates. Owing to evolving technology, we have treated these diseases with several types of keratoplastic surgeries since the late 20th century. For cases with bullous keratopathy, corneal endothelial transplantation by Descemet's stripping automated endothelial keratoplasty (DSAEK) or Descemet’s membrane endothelial keratoplasty has the advantages of a more regular corneal contour and faster recovery than that associated with PKP. If the endothelium is not involved, deep anterior lamellar keratoplasty (DALK) is currently considered...
Materials and Methods

This was a retrospective cohort study that enrolled consecutive patients who received PKP, DSAEK, or DALK at NTUH from January 2009 to April 2014. Four surgeons in this single-center performed the procedures. Our study followed the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki, and it was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the NTUH.

We included the patients who met the following criteria: (1) received the procedure for the first time, (2) had a clear graft during OPD follow-up, (3) had an initial postoperative ECD more than 1000 cell/mm², and (4) had a minimum postoperative follow-up of 1 year. The exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) therapeutic indication for infection control such as corneal ulcer or keratouveitis, (2) repeated keratoplastic surgical history, (3) documented rejection episode during outpatient clinic follow-up, and (4) postoperative infection or uncontrolled glaucoma.

One hundred and seventeen patients undergoing PKP, DSAEK, or DALK (68, 38, and 11 patients, respectively) were enrolled in the study. The ECD of the central corneal graft was measured by two experienced technicians using corneal confocal microscopy (Nidek-ConfoScan3, Tokyo, Japan). The follow-up time period was divided into five subgroups: 0–1.5 months, 1.5–6 months, 6–12 months, 12–24 months, and longer than 24 months. The main outcome measured was ECD loss velocity among the groups. The ECD loss velocity was defined as the difference in ECD divided by the duration of the two consecutive time points. It can be presented as the following equation:

\[
ECD \text{ loss velocity} = \frac{ECD_{t_1} - ECD_{t_2}}{t_2 - t_1}
\]

Where \(t_1\) and \(t_2\) represent two consecutive time points. Demographic data, surgical indications, and postoperative steroid medications were also examined to compare the groups.

Statistical analysis

Baseline characteristics, such as age and ECD, were compared among the three groups with an ANOVA test; sex was compared with Chi-square test. The results for ECD loss rates were compared between two keratoplastic procedures (PKP vs. DSAEK and PKP vs. DALK) using a Student’s \(t\)-test first and subsequently by multiple regression analysis with adjustment for age and sex. \(P < 0.05\) was considered statistically significant. For all statistical analyses, SAS 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA) was used.

Results

The summary of baseline characteristic data is shown in Table 1. Although most of the patients underwent PKP, the number of patients receiving DSAEK and DALK has continued to increase. The average age was oldest in the DSAEK group and youngest in the DALK group. The baseline ECD in the PKP and DSAEK groups represented the preoperative ECD of the donor corneas, whereas it represented the preoperative ECD of the recipient corneas in the DALK group. In view of these baseline ECD values, the ECD between the PKP and DALK groups was comparable, and the DSAEK group had a higher ECD than that of the other two groups.

| Table 1: Demographic data of penetrating keratoplasty, Descemet’s stripping automated endothelial keratoplasty, and deep anterior lamellar keratoplasty |
|----------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--------|
|                      | PKP \(\text{\(n=68\)}}\) | DSAEK \(\text{\(n=38\)}}\) | DALK \(\text{\(n=11\)}}\) | \(P\)   |
| Mean±SD (age in years) | 53.5±21.1 | 64.36±12.5 | 38.93±19.7 | 0.0003 |
| Gender (%) | | | | |
| Male | 31 (46) | 15 (39) | 5 (45) | 0.8237 |
| Female | 37 (54) | 23 (61) | 6 (55) | |
| Baseline ECD, \(\text{cells/mm}^2\) | \(2600±289\) | \(2806±355\) | \(2651±503\) | 0.0157 |

\(^{1}\text{Baseline ECD: In PKP and DSAEK group, the baseline ECD represents the donor ECD. In DALK, it means the recipient ECD. SD = Standard deviation, ECD = Endothelial cell density, DALK = Deep anterior lamellar keratoplasty, PKP = Penetrating keratoplasty, DSAEK = Descemet’s stripping automated endothelial keratoplasty}\)
In our hospital, PKP had the widest range of indications, varying from stromal surgical opacity to corneal edema.

Corneal opacity was the most common indication for PKP. Bullous keratopathy was the main reason in the DSAEK group, and the DALK group was composed of keratoconus and corneal opacity with ECD within the normal range [Table 2]. We examined the postoperative steroid medication at the latest follow-up and found that 0.1% betamethasone was predominant in the PKP and DSAEK groups (86.8% in both groups). Only a few patients were maintained with 0.1% fluoromethalone or no steroid over a long-term period. The DALK group had almost equal proportions of 0.1% betamethasone and 0.1% fluoromethalone, which was quite different from the other two groups.

The trends of ECD loss rate in the three groups showed a maximum in the early postoperative 1 to 6 months (DALK, PKP, and DSAEK: −576.5 ± 522.9, −561.5 ± 425.7, and −686.4 ± 447.7 cells/mm²/month, respectively). Thereafter, it declined gradually and became stationary after 1 year [Figure 1]. After we adjusted the age and sex, we found the ECD loss rate was highest in the DSAEK group initially but became lower than that of the PKP group at the end of 6th postoperative month and reached a statistically significant difference in the 2nd postoperative year [Table 3]. Similarly, the ECD loss rate in the DALK group gradually became slower than that of the PKP group and reached significance in the first and second postoperative years [Table 4]. In addition, we found that the value of the ECD was not correlated with the loss rate of the ECD throughout the study period.

**Discussion**

Our main interest in the study was the natural course of ECD loss in the above-mentioned three keratoplastic procedures. Acute endothelial rejection may occur in patients undergoing PKP or DSAEK but not in patients with DALK. Furthermore, the onset period of acute corneal rejection and subsequent treatments varies greatly between cases, which could deeply influence the ECD. To minimize variation in the three groups, we excluded the acute endothelial rejection cases during enrollment for our study. Other complicated cases, such as active or chronic infections, repeated keratoplastic surgeries and postoperative uncontrolled glaucoma.

**Table 2: Surgical indication of penetrating keratoplasty, Descemet’s stripping automated endothelial keratoplasty, and deep anterior lamellar keratoplasty**

| Surgical indication | PKP (%) | DSAEK (%) | DALK (%) |
|---------------------|---------|-----------|----------|
| Bullous keratopathy | 17 (25) | 24 (63)   |          |
| Fuchs’ dystrophy    | 5 (7)   | 10 (26)   |          |
| ICE syndrome        | 4 (11)  |           |          |
| Corneal opacity     | 30 (44) | 5 (45)    |          |
| Keratoconus         | 9 (13)  | 6 (55)    |          |
| Corneal dystrophy   | 3 (5)   |           |          |
| Corneal melting     | 3 (5)   |           |          |
| Peter’s anomaly     | 1 (1)   |           |          |

Total number of cases: 68 (PKP), 38 (DSAEK), 11 (DALK)

**Table 3: Comparison of endothelial cell density loss rate between penetrating keratoplasty and Descemet’s stripping automated endothelial keratoplasty**

| Follow-up period (months) | ECD loss rate, mean±SD (cells/mm²/month) | t-test | Adjusted for age and sex |
|---------------------------|------------------------------------------|--------|--------------------------|
| 0-1.5                     | −561.5±425.7 −686.4±447.7               | 0.36   | 0.78                     |
| 1.5-6                     | −113±121.4 −68.3±152.4                   | 0.15   | 0.053                    |
| 6-12                      | −36.6±63.5 −21.5±77.2                   | 0.46   | 0.54                     |
| 12-24                     | −31.4±42.0 −14.4±45.0                   | 0.27   | 0.29                     |
| >24                       | −53.7±14.0 −5.1±13.0                    | 0.001* | 0.012*                   |

*P<0.05. PKP = Penetrating keratoplasty, DSAEK = Descemet’s stripping automated endothelial keratoplasty, ICE = Iridocorneal endothelial syndrome

**Table 4: Comparison of endothelial cell density loss rate between penetrating keratoplasty and deep anterior lamellar keratoplasty**

| Follow-up period (months) | ECD loss rate, mean±SD (cells/mm²/month) | t-test | Adjusted for age and sex |
|---------------------------|------------------------------------------|--------|--------------------------|
| 0-1.5                     | −561.5±425.7 −576.5±522.9               | 0.38   | 0.33                     |
| 1.5-6                     | −113.2±121.4 −68±142.4                   | 0.32   | 0.59                     |
| 6-12                      | −36.6±63.5 −23.7±37.5                    | 0.59   | 0.42                     |
| 12-24                     | −31.4±42.0 5.9±24.8                      | 0.008* | 0.032*                   |
| >24                       | −53.7±14.0 18.3±33.1                     | 0.007* | 0.049*                   |

*P<0.05. PKP = Penetrating keratoplasty, DALK = Deep anterior lamellar keratoplasty, SD = Standard deviation, ECD = Endothelial cell density

**Figure 1:** The postoperative ECD loss rate in the three groups. The ECD loss rate between the DALK and PKP groups was comparable initially, but the DALK became stationary at 1 year postoperatively. The ECD loss rate in the DSAEK group was the highest in the beginning but declined within 6 months postoperatively. DALK = Deep anterior lamellar keratoplasty, PKP = Penetrating keratoplasty, DSAEK = Descemet’s stripping automated endothelial keratoplasty, ECD = Endothelial cell density.
were also excluded to elucidate the natural course of these three procedures with less bias. Compared to PKP, the DSAEK and DALK surgeries are relatively new and difficult. The beginners need deep learning curves. Then, we excluded all complication cases to reduce the bias of different keratoplastic procedures. To minimize the effect of the different disease entities and different surgeons, we chose the cases with initial postoperative ECD more than 1000 cells/mm² to make the three groups have similar initial conditions before analyzing their natural courses.

It is believed that corneal endothelial cells are responsible for graft survival in any kind of keratoplastic surgery. The normal corneal ECD declines with age and the physiologic loss is approximately 0.6% per year. PKP has been shown to have accelerated endothelial cell loss with a rate of 28.8% after 6 months, 39.8% after 12 months, 49% after 24 months, and between 69% and 75% after 5 years. The contributing factors include the initial surgical trauma, cellular interactions between the donor and recipient, immune reactions, accelerated cellular aging, secondary glaucoma as well as donor status and donor preservation conditions. In contrast to PKP, DSAEK seems to be associated with less chronic immune reactions and a substantially smaller surgical wound. Low immune reaction in DSAEK had been postulated to relate to reduction in suture numbers, reduced immunogenicity of endothelium graft, and no exposure to surface which made the antigen presenting cells more difficult to access the graft. However, due to vigorous manipulation of the endothelial graft during surgery, the endothelial cell loss might be tremendous in the early postoperative period and result in primary graft failure. Several articles have focused on the difference in the ECD loss rate between PKP and DSAEK. The average ECD loss percentage increased with time in both PKP and DSAEK groups (PKP vs. DSAEK: 6 months: 34% vs. 11%, 1 year: 28%–32% vs. 20%–35.8%, 2 years: 45% vs. 36%, 3 years: 47%–48% vs. 39%–53%, 5 years: 60.9 vs. 48.7%, and 10 years: 70%–82% vs. 53%–80%).

In addition, cell loss increased by only 6%–7% between 6 months and 2 years postoperatively for endothelial keratoplasty, but the loss was 25% for PKP. Regarding ECD loss in the DSAEK and PKP groups, there have been different points of view among ophthalmologists. Price et al. suggested that DSAEK had a substantially high ECD loss rate within the 1st year but less cell loss in subsequent years. At 3 years, however, the median endothelial cell loss was comparable for the DSAEK and PKP groups, and the results were persistent in the subjects with Fuchs’ dystrophy and non-Fuchs’ dystrophy. On the contrary, Ang et al. showed that DSAEK resulted in lower endothelial cell loss for up to 3 years in patients with Fuchs’ dystrophy and bullous keratopathy. In our study, we did not measure the ECD of donors after preparation of DSAEK grafts, which could cause more ECD loss and explained the DSAEK group had a substantially high ECD loss rate in the early postoperative period. However, the ECD loss rate decreased to less than that of the PKP group within the 6th postoperative month. Although it was not significant initially, the trend in the difference between the two groups persisted and reached significance in the 1st postoperative year. Similar results in another study showed significantly lower ECD in the DSAEK group until 6 months, which increased to higher than that of the PKP group at postoperative 2 years. The initial large ECD loss might indicate that surgical trauma and manipulation were greater in the DSAEK group than in the PKP group. PKP is a well-established procedure that is familiar to experienced corneal specialists, whereas DSAEK was not performed frequently at the NTUH until 2009. Therefore, it was reasonable that the DSAEK group had more ECD loss than that in the other two groups in the early surgical period. However, the ECD loss rate in the DSAEK group became less than that of the PKP group within 6 months, and the loss velocity of the ECD was not correlated to the value of the postoperative ECD. More immunological loads, stronger inflammation reactions, and longer wound healing in PKP as compared to DSAEK could explain this phenomenon. Our results of the ECD loss rate were also consistent with other studies that focused on the loss percentage of ECD. Therefore, we were in agreement in that DSAEK had less endothelial cell loss than PKP over a long period.

With evolving surgical techniques, DALK has become the alternative procedure for patients with corneal stromal diseases such as keratoconus, stromal dystrophy, or corneal opacities. Theoretically, the ECD would be more stable in DALK than in PKP because Descemet’s membrane and the endothelial layer of the recipients are preserved in DALK. However, the postoperative ECD loss in DALK was still found and could be related to surgical injury and postoperative inflammation. In general, the reported long-term endothelial cell loss was lower in DALK than in PKP. One randomized multicenter clinical trial revealed that the ECD loss was significantly higher after PKP compared with that after DALK procedures performed without perforation of Descemet’s membrane in patients with corneal stromal pathology but was not different from the cases with perforation of Descemet’s membrane while performing DALK. The ECD loss rate in the DALK group in our study demonstrated nearly no ECD loss after the 1st postoperative year; even the initial loss rate was comparable with the PKP group.

The postoperative steroid usage somehow reflected the difference in ECD stability in these three groups. In the
Deep anterior lamellar keratoplasty versus penetrating keratoplasty: Comparison of long-term outcomes of visual outcomes of donor age and endothelial cell density (ECD) loss. Taiwan J Ophthalmol 2017;7(4):203.

The retrospective design was the main limitation of this study. In addition, the variation in the time for the ECD measurement, different surgeons and surgical techniques, and variant postoperative management are all issues to be considered. Different technicians and possible different measurement locations on the cornea may lead to some variability in the ECD data. We included diverse etiologies of corneal diseases that were different from other studies, which focused on specific disease etiology, and this might have caused potential variations in this study as well. To minimize this, we excluded the cases with complications. We selected less complicated cases and chose a follow-up period of at least 1 year to determine the natural course of the ECD loss rate in these three groups of patients.

Our study in eyes of Asian patients showed that the ECD loss rate in the DSAEK group was more in the early postoperative period but became slower after the 2nd postoperative year than that of the PKP. As for DALK, it resulted in a stable ECD after the 1st postoperative year and may not need long-term steroid therapy.

Conclusions
Initially postoperative ECD loss rate in the DSAEK group was larger than that in the PKP group, but the DSAEK group possessed better long-term endothelial cell survival rate in two years later. The DALK group had a lower ECD loss rate than that of the other two groups and maintained a stable ECD at one year after surgery. DALK patients may not need long-term use of steroid.
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