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Abstract

SEDS family peptidoglycan (PG) glycosyltransferases, RodA and FtsW, require their cognate transpeptidases PPB2 and FtsI (class B penicillin binding proteins) to synthesize PG along the cell cylinder and at the septum, respectively. The activities of these SEDS-bPBPs complexes are tightly regulated to ensure proper cell elongation and division. In Escherichia coli FtsN switches FtsA and FtsQLB to the active forms that synergize to stimulate FtsWI, but the exact mechanism is not well understood. Previously, we isolated an activation mutation in ftsW (M269I) that allows cell division with reduced FtsN function. To try and understand the basis for activation we isolated additional substitutions at this position and found that only the original substitution produced an active mutant whereas drastic changes resulted in an inactive mutant. In another approach we isolated suppressors of an inactive FtsL mutant and obtained FtsWE₂₈₉G and FtsIK₂₁₁I and found they bypassed FtsN. Epistatic analysis of these mutations and others confirmed that the FtsN-triggered activation signal goes from FtsQLB to FtsI to FtsW. Mapping these mutations, as well as others affecting the activity of FtsWI, on the RodA-PBP2 structure revealed they are located at the interaction interface between the extracellular loop 4 (ECL4) of FtsW and the pedestal domain of FtsI (PBP3). This supports a model in which the interaction between the ECL4 of SEDS proteins and the pedestal domain of their cognate bPBPs plays a critical role in the activation mechanism.

Author summary

Bacterial cell division requires the synthesis of septal peptidoglycan by the widely conserved SEDS-bPBP protein complex FtsWI, but how the complex is activated during cell division is still poorly understood. Previous studies suggested that FtsN initiates a signaling cascade in the periplasm to activate FtsWI. Here we isolated and characterized
activated FtsW and FtsI mutants and confirmed that the signaling cascade for FtsW activation goes from FtsN to FtsQLB to Ftsl and then to FtsW. The residues corresponding to mutations affecting FtsWI activation are clustered to a small region of the interaction interface between the pedestal domain of Ftsl and the extracellular loop 4 of FtsW, suggesting that this interaction mediates activation of FtsW. This is strikingly similar to the proposed activation mechanism for the RodA-PBP2 complex, another SEDS-bPBP complex required for cell elongation. Thus, the two homologous SEDS-bPBP complexes are activated similarly by completely unrelated activators that modulate the interaction interface between the SEDS proteins and the bPBPs.

**Introduction**

The bacterial divisome is a multi-protein complex that synthesizes septal peptidoglycan during cell division in most walled bacteria [1,2]. For decades, the class A penicillin binding protein PBP1b (contains both glycosyltransferase and transpeptidase activity) in *E. coli* was thought to be the primary septal PG synthase [2]. However, recent studies indicate that FtsW is the essential septal PG glycosyltransferase which functions along with its cognate transpeptidase FtsI (PBP3) [3,4], a class B penicillin binding protein. PBP1b contributes to septal PG synthesis, but it is not essential since cells divide relatively normally in its absence [4–10]. FtsW is a member of the SEDS (shape, elongation, division and sporulation) family and is widely conserved in bacteria having a cell wall [11,12]. It contains 10 transmembrane segments and forms a complex with Ftsl to synthesize septal PG [3,13]. Another SEDS-bPBP complex, formed by RodA and PBP2, synthesizes PG during cell elongation in many rod-shaped bacteria [12,14,15]. Although the divisome and elongasome each contain a SEDS-bPBP pair connected to an actin-like protein (FtsA and MreB, respectively), there are many additional components involved in regulation of these two systems (FtsQLBN vs MreCD/RodZ). Moreover, these regulators are completely unrelated. How these disparate regulators activate these homologous complexes to synthesize PG is critical for understanding cell elongation and division.

An *in vitro* PG polymerization assay showed that PBP2/Ftsl is necessary for the PG glycosyltransferase activity of RodA/FtsW [3,15,16], however, the mechanism by which PBP2/Ftsl stimulates RodA/FtsW is not fully understood. A structure of the RodA-PBP2 complex from *Thermus thermophilus* revealed that there are two interaction interfaces between RodA and PBP2 (Fig 1A) [16]. One occurs in the plane of the membrane, between the single transmembrane segment of PBP2 and transmembrane segments 8 and 9 of RodA, and is important for complex formation [16]. Another occurs between the periplasmic pedestal domain of PBP2 and extracellular loop 4 (ECL4) of RodA [16]. A negative-stain electron microscopy analysis of the *Tt*RodA-PBP2 complex suggests that this second interaction interface is transient and flexible, with very few specific interactions [16]. Nonetheless, disruption of this interaction abolished PBP2’s ability to stimulate RodA *in vitro* [16]. Moreover, some mutations within the ECL4 of RodA or the pedestal domain of PBP2 activate the elongasome/Rod complex *in vivo* [15,17]. Thus, a model emerging from these studies is that the pedestal domain of PBP2 acts as a central allosteric hub to regulate the activity of RodA through ECL4 [16]. However, ECL4 is not completely resolved in the structure of the RodA-PBP2 complex (Fig 1A, dash line) and additional evidence is required to confirm this model as well as to unravel the mechanistic details [16]. Moreover, whether this mechanism applies to FtsWI is not clear since the transmembrane segment of Ftsl seems to be sufficient for FtsW activity in the *in vitro* PG polymerization assay [3].
Although FtsI is sufficient for the PG glycosyltransferase activity of FtsW in vitro [3], this appears to be a basal activity and another layer of regulation exists in vivo. This layer includes FtsA, FtsEX, FtsQLB, and FtsN (Fig 1B) with FtsN arriving last and triggering FtsWI activity [18–25]. FtsN is a bitopic membrane protein with a short N-terminal cytoplasmic domain (FtsN\textsubscript{cyto}), a transmembrane segment, followed by an essential domain (FtsN\textsubscript{E}) and a SPOR domain in the periplasm [26]. In the current model, FtsN\textsubscript{cyto} interacts with FtsA in the cytoplasm and synergizes with the FtsN\textsubscript{E} domain in the periplasm to switch FtsA and FtsQLB to the ON states which derepress or activate the enzymatic activity of FtsWI (Fig 1B) [1,18,27].

This regulatory model is based on the isolation of ‘activation’ (superfission) mutations in ftsA, ftsB and ftsL which lessen or bypass the requirement for FtsN [18], presumably because they mimic FtsN action. The mutations in ftsB and ftsL cluster in a small region of the coiled
coil domain of FtsB and FtsL termed CCD (Constriction Control Domain) [18]. A recent study found that *P. aeruginosa* FtsQLB, or just FtsLB, activates the PG polymerization activity of FtsWI, suggesting that FtsQLB is an activator of FtsWI and not a repressor [21]. However, FtsN did not stimulate the in vitro system, suggesting the isolated complex is already in an activated state [21]. In support of this model, FtsL mutants were isolated that still form a complex with FtsWI but are defective for cell division in vivo and fail to stimulate FtsWI in vitro [21]. Another study isolated similar variants of FtsL in *E. coli* and found that these mutations defined a motif in FtsL named AWI (Activating FtsWI) that is critical for activation of septal PG synthesis [22]. Further characterization of these FtsL mutants suggested a model in which the AWI domain of FtsL is likely to be exposed by FtsN action to act on FtsI to stimulate the PG polymerization activity of FtsW [22]. However, biochemical evidence for the interaction is still missing.

FtsEX has a complex role in the regulation of septal PG synthesis. It interacts with FtsA to promote the recruitment of downstream proteins but blocks septal PG synthesis if it cannot hydrolyze ATP [20, 23]. Consistent with this, mutations in *ftsA* that disrupt this interaction alleviate the inhibition caused by an FtsEX ATPase mutant, provided that *ftsA* carries another mutation that bypasses the role of FtsEX in divisome assembly [20]. Mutations in the CCD domain of *ftsL* or *ftsB* that ‘activate’ the divisome in the periplasm (require less FtsN) also confer resistance to the division inhibitory activity of the FtsEX ATPase mutant which blocks the cytoplasmic signal from FtsN [20]. Interestingly, an FtsW mutant (FtsWM269I), isolated as a suppressor of the FtsEX ATPase mutant, is less dependent upon FtsN [20]. The mutation is located in the ELC4 of FtsW, potentially mimicking the AWI-FtsI interaction and switching FtsW to an active conformation. To learn more about the activation mechanism of FtsWI, we further characterized *ftsWM269I* and isolated additional active *ftsW* and *ftsI* mutations in this study. Our results confirm that the signaling cascade for FtsW activation follows the order of FtsN > FtsQLB > FtsI > FtsW and supports a model in which FtsI/PBP2 activates FtsW/RodA via an interaction between their pedestal domain and the ECL4 of FtsW/RodA. This model also explains why in some bacteria FtsI is essential even though its transpeptidase activity is dispensable.

**Results**

**Overexpression of FtsWM269I bypasses FtsN for division**

Previously, we showed that FtsWM269I reduces the dependency on FtsN [20], however, it was not clear whether it can completely bypass FtsN. To check this, the growth and morphology of cells carrying either wild type *ftsW* or *ftsWM269I* on the chromosome were monitored following depletion of FtsN. The FtsN depletion strain contained a plasmid which expresses FtsN constitutively but is temperature sensitive for replication so that at 42˚C the plasmid is unable to replicate and FtsN is gradually depleted. As shown in S1A Fig, the strain expressing FtsW grew at 42˚C whereas the strain expressing FtsW had greater than a 1000-fold plating deficiency. In liquid culture, cells with *ftsW* were filamentous 4 hours after the shift to 42˚C. In contrast, cells expressing FtsWM269I had a normal cell size at this time, however, by 8 hours they stopped dividing and became filamentous indicating *ftsN* was not bypassed (S1B Fig). Consistent with this, P1 transduction of the *ftsN*::*kan* allele into a strain carrying *ftsWM269I* yielded colonies of varying sizes but the transductants were unable to grow when restreaked (S1C Fig). These results indicate that FtsWM269I is more efficient than FtsW for division and that a chromosomal copy of *ftsWM269I* almost, but not completely, bypasses FtsN.

Some activating mutations are able to bypass *ftsN* completely when overexpressed. One example is FtsA E124A, which was originally reported to bypass FtsN [28], however, subsequent work found that it had to be overexpressed [26]. To see if overexpression of FtsWM269I
bypassed ftsN, we introduced plasmids expressing either FtsW or FtsW\textsuperscript{M269I} under the control of an IPTG-inducible promoter into W3110 as well as a derivative carrying ftsW\textsuperscript{M269I} on the chromosome, and then tried to knock out ftsN by P1 transduction. For a control we used a plasmid that expresses FtsN under an IPTG-inducible promoter. As shown in S2 Fig, ftsN::kan transductants were readily obtained in the presence or absence of IPTG when the control plasmid expressing ftsN was present (basal expression of ftsN from the plasmid is sufficient for complementation). As expected, ftsN::kan could not be transduced into W3110 or the strain with ftsW\textsuperscript{M269I} on the chromosome when they contained a plasmid expressing ftsW. However, transductants were readily obtained with both the wild type and the FtsW\textsuperscript{M269I} mutant strains when ftsW\textsuperscript{M269I} was on the plasmid and induced with 60 \(\mu\)M IPTG (S2 Fig). These transductants grew well when restreaked in the presence of IPTG but poorly in its absence (Fig 2A). In liquid culture, cells became filamentous if FtsW\textsuperscript{M269I} was not induced, whereas cells overexpressing FtsW\textsuperscript{M269I} continued to divide, although these cells were longer than wild type cells (Fig 2B). These results demonstrate that overexpression of ftsW\textsuperscript{M269I} can bypass FtsN.

Mutations at the M269 position can activate or inactivate FtsW

The above results demonstrate that FtsW\textsuperscript{M269I} is an activated mutant which can bypass FtsN provided it is overexpressed, but how it works is not clear. Alignment of FtsW and its paralog

---

**Fig 2. Overexpression of FtsW\textsuperscript{M269I} bypasses FtsN.** (A) IPTG-dependent growth of ftsN::kan transductants from S2 Fig. A single transductant of each strain was restreaked on LB plates with ampicillin, kanamycin, 1 mM sodium citrate and with or without 60 \(\mu\)M IPTG. Plates were incubated at 37°C overnight and photographed. (B) Morphology of WT and FtsW\textsuperscript{M269I} cells with or without FtsN. Cells from an overnight culture of SD534 [W3110, ftsN::kan ftsW\textsuperscript{M269I}/ pSEB429-204 (pDSW208, P\textsubscript{P204}::ftsW\textsuperscript{M269I})] were collected by centrifugation and washed twice with LB to remove IPTG and resuspended in the same volume of LB. The culture was diluted 1:100 and split in half, one with IPTG and one without IPTG and grown at 37°C. The control cultures (W3110 and SD488 [W3110, leu::Tn10 ftsW\textsuperscript{M269I}]) were diluted 1:100 in fresh LB medium with antibiotics and grown at 37°C. Samples were taken for photography 2.5 hours after removal of IPTG. The scale bar is 5 \(\mu\)m.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1009366.g002
RodA from diverse bacterial species revealed that M269 is not very conserved, but in most cases the position contains a hydrophobic amino acid (S3 Fig). Interestingly, the neighboring residue A270 is highly conserved and an A246T mutation in Caulobacter crescentus FtsW (corresponding to A270 of E. coli FtsW) produces a hyperactive division phenotype [29,30]. Also, mutation of the corresponding residue in E. coli RodA (A234T) bypasses its regulators (RodZ, MreC and MreD) in the elongasome complex [15,17]. In the recently reported TtRodA-PBP2 structure [16], the residues corresponding to M269 and A270 are located in ECL4 of TtRodA and are close to the tip of the pedestal domain of TtPBP2 (Fig 1A), which is believed to function in the allosteric activation of TtRodA. These observations suggest that the M269-A270 region of FtsW and the corresponding region of RodA play an important role in regulating their activities and further characterization of this region may provide insight into the activation mechanism of this protein family.

Since the M269I mutation is a subtle change, we tested whether other changes (M269A, M269V, M269F, M269K and M269E) would result in stronger variants that rescue the growth of an ftsN depletion strain without overexpression. If M269I disrupted an interaction to produce an active mutant, a more drastic change should be more disruptive leading to an even more active mutant. As shown in Fig 3A, substitutions that replaced M269 with hydrophobic residues supported the growth of an FtsW depletion strain about as well as the WT. However, they could not rescue the growth of the FtsN depletion strain even when overexpressed, indicating they were not activated mutants (Fig 3B). Removing the side chain of M269 (M269A)

---

**Fig 3. FtsW**

(A) Complementation test of FtsW mutants. Plasmid pDSW208, pSEB429 or its derivatives carrying ftsW mutations were transformed into strain SD237 [W3110, leu::Tn10, ftsW::kan/pDSW406 (pBAD33, P$_{BAD}$::ftsW)] on LB plates with ampicillin, kanamycin, chloramphenicol and 0.2% arabinose. The next day, a single transformant of each resulting strain was resuspended in 1 ml of LB medium, serially diluted. 3 μl of each dilution was spot on LB plates with antibiotics, with or without 0.2% arabinose and increasing concentrations of IPTG. Plates were incubated at 37˚C overnight and photographed.

(B) Spot test of the ability of FtsW mutants to rescue the growth of an FtsN depletion strain. Colonies of strain SD264 [W3110, ftsN::kan/pBL154 (pSC101ts, P$_{syn}$::ftsN, spc)] harboring pDSW208 or pSEB429 expressing FtsW variants were resuspended in 1 ml of LB medium and serially diluted. 3 μl of each dilution was spotted on LB plates with antibiotics with or without IPTG. Plates were incubated at 30˚C for 24 hours or at 42˚C overnight and photographed.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1009366.g003
resulted in a partially functional protein that had to be overexpressed to complement whereas changing it to charged amino acids (M269K or M269E) resulted in non-functional proteins (Fig 3A). Not surprisingly, these mutants were also unable to rescue the growth of the FtsN deletion strain. These results suggest that a bulky hydrophobic residue at 269 is very important for the normal function of FtsW and M269I is a unique substitution that leads to an active FtsW. Also, introducing a charged amino acid results in an inactive protein. We also tested the A270T mutation (corresponding to the CcFtsWA246T and EcRodA A234T) to see if it was an active mutant. FtsW A270T, however, had a slightly reduced function since a higher inducer concentration was required for complementation (Fig 4A). Western blot of GFP fusion of FtsW M269K and FtsW A270T using anti-GFP antibody showed that they were expressed at the same level as wild type FtsW (Fig 4B), indicating that it was unlikely because they were unstable.

**Inactive FtsW mutants localize to division site and recruit downstream proteins**

Since FtsW M269K and FtsW A270T did not complement, we tested whether they localized to the Z ring using a depletion strain in which FtsW was supplied from a plasmid under the control of an arabinose inducible promoter and FtsW-GFP fusions were expressed from an IPTG-inducible promoter on a compatible plasmid. As shown in Fig 5A, both FtsW-GFP and FtsWA270T-GFP localized to the division site and were able to support division in the absence of arabinose. Note that the level of FtsWA270T-GFP expressed from this plasmid is sufficient to overcome the mutant’s defect. Although FtsWM269K-GFP localized to potential division sites, it did not support division. These results indicate that FtsWM269K, and probably FtsWA270T, do not have a problem in localizing to the Z ring.

Previous studies have shown that recruitment of FtsI is due to its TM domain and flanking residues interacting with FtsW [31,32]. Since M269 and A270 are in close proximity to the interaction interface between the TM domain of FtsW and FtsI, we tested whether FtsWM269K and FtsWA270T affected the recruitment of FtsI. As expected, GFP-FtsI no longer localized to potential division sites in filaments following FtsW depletion, and adding back FtsW or FtsWA270T restored cell division and GFP-FtsI localization (Fig 5B). Although FtsWM269K was unable to support division, it still recruited GFP-FtsI to presumptive division sites in the filaments, indicating that the divisome was assembled. Furthermore, bacterial two hybrid analysis showed that M269K and A270T did not affect the interaction between FtsW and FtsI or

---

**Fig 4. Analysis of FtsW mutants.** (A) Complementation test of FtsW mutants. Plasmid pDSW208, pSEB429 or its derivatives carrying ftsW mutations were transformed into strain SD237 [W3110, leu::Tn10, ftsW::kan/pDSW406 (pBAD33, P_{BAD}::ftsW)] on LB plates with ampicillin, kanamycin, chloramphenicol and 0.2% arabinose. The spot test was performed as Fig 3A. (B) Western blot to test the stability of FtsW mutants. Details about the blot is described in Materials and Methods. [https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1009366.g004](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1009366.g004)
between FtsW and FtsQLB (S4 Fig). The active mutant M269I also displayed a similar interaction with these divisome proteins. Thus, the mutations (active or inactive) did not detectably affect the interaction between FtsW and other divisome proteins, indicating that they likely affect the activation of FtsW.

Isolation of FtsW<sup>E289G</sup> and FtsI<sup>K211I</sup> as hyperactive division mutants that bypass FtsN

In a recent study, we isolated dominant negative FtsL mutants that block cell division [22]. These mutants support assembly of the divisome but are unable to divide because they are likely unable to interact with FtsI in response to FtsN [22]. Such mutants are suppressed by the hyperactive ftsW<sup>M269K</sup> mutation which no longer requires the activation signal from FtsN [22]. To isolate additional active ftsW and ftsI mutations, we screened FtsW and FtsI mutant libraries for suppressors of one of these FtsL mutants (FtsL<sup>E87K</sup>). We isolated one mutation in ECL4 of FtsW (E289G) and one in the pedestal domain of FtsI (K211I) (Fig 1A). As previously shown and in Figs 6 and S5, FtsI<sup>E87K</sup> and another inactive mutant FtsL<sup>L86F</sup> were unable to complement an FtsL deletion strain when expressed from an arabinose-inducible promoter on a plasmid. However, expression of FtsW<sup>E289G</sup> or FtsI<sup>K211I</sup> from an IPTG-inducible promoter on a compatible plasmid rescued the growth of the strain when FtsL<sup>E87K</sup> or FtsL<sup>L86F</sup> were expressed, indicating that they are suppressed.

To prove that FtsW<sup>E289G</sup> and FtsI<sup>K211I</sup> were active division mutants, we tested if they suppressed other mutations that blocked septal PG synthesis. First, we tested the ATPase defective
mutant of FtsEX, FtsEX$_{D162N}$X, which was previously shown to block septal PG synthesis and to be suppressed by FtsWM$_{269I}$ [20,23,33]. As shown in S6A Fig, overexpression of FtsEX$_{D162N}$ blocked the growth of the wild type strain but not a strain carrying the ftsW$_{E289G}$ mutation. Notably, FtsW$_{E289G}$'s resistance to FtsE$_{D162N}$X was even greater than FtsW$_{M269I}$, suggesting that FtsW$_{E289G}$ is a stronger activation mutant than FtsW$_{M269I}$. A strain carrying the ftsI$_{K211I}$ mutation also displayed resistance to FtsE$_{D162N}$X (S6B Fig), consistent with it being an activation mutation.

Next, we tested if FtsW$_{E289G}$ and FtsI$_{K211I}$ could suppress the depletion of FtsN. As shown in S7 Fig, overexpression of FtsW$_{E289G}$ or FtsI$_{K211I}$ in an FtsN depletion strain fully rescued the growth defect, indicating that they suppressed the depletion of FtsN. To further test the strength of FtsW$_{E289G}$ and FtsI$_{K211I}$, we checked whether they could bypass FtsN by transducing ftsN::kan into strains carrying these mutations. FtsI$_{K211I}$ was able to bypass ftsN on LB with 1% NaCl at 42°C (but not at 37°C) when it was overexpressed but not at the chromosomal level (S8 Fig). In liquid culture, ftsI$_{K211I}$ ftsN::kan cells grew poorly and were filamentous even when ftsI$_{K211I}$ was overexpressed (Fig 7A). On the other hand, a chromosomal copy of ftsW$_{E289G}$ was sufficient to bypass FtsN even on LB with 0.5% NaCl and these transductants grew well upon restreaking (S8B Fig). Inspection of ftsW$_{E289G}$ ftsN::kan cells revealed that they are only slightly longer than the wild type cells (Fig 7B), indicating that FtsN was largely bypassed.

We also tested additional substitutions of E289 of FtsW (E289Q, E289L and E289R) and K211 of FtsI (K211A, K211T, K211F, K211E) to see if they could rescue the growth of the FtsN depletion strain. Unlike substitutions at the M269 position of FtsW, which could be beneficial or detrimental for cell division, substitutions at the E289 position did not significantly affect the function of FtsW. Likewise, substitutions at K211 did not affect FtsI function. As shown in
S9 and S10 Figs, all tested FtsW and FtsI mutants were functional in a complementation test, but only FtsWE289G and FtsIK211I could rescue the FtsN depleted strain. Thus, similar to ftsW\(^{M269I}\), only very specific mutations (ftsW\(^{E289G}\) and ftsI\(^{K211I}\)) gain the ability to bypass FtsN.

Lastly, we tested if the ftsW\(^{E289G}\) and ftsI\(^{K211I}\) mutations affected the interaction of FtsW or FtsI with other divisome proteins using the bacterial two hybrid assay. As shown in S4B Fig, ftsW\(^{E289G}\) and ftsI\(^{K211I}\) did not detectably affect the interaction between FtsW or FtsI and other division proteins (FtsL, FtsB, FtsQ and FtsI or FtsW). Thus, these two mutations are unlikely to increase the activity of FtsW in vivo by enhancing their interaction with other divisome proteins.

Epistatic analysis of the division mutations confirms the signaling cascade for FtsWI activation

Our recent study on the role of FtsL in E. coli cell division suggests that the periplasmic signaling cascade for FtsWI activation follows the order FtsN\(\rightarrow\)FtsQLB\(\rightarrow\)FtsI\(\rightarrow\)FtsW [22]. In this study, and consistent with this model, we found several active mutants of FtsI and FtsW that suppressed inactive FtsL mutants and bypassed FtsN [22]. If the model is correct, active ftsW mutations should also suppress ftsI mutations deficient in activation as they would bypass this step. Several mutations in the pedestal domain of FtsI (G57D, S61F, L62P and R210C) were reported to affect the localization of FtsN [34], but our recent study suggested that these
mutations likely affect the ability of FtsI to activate FtsW [22]. Thus, we tested if FtsW$^{E289G}$ could suppress these $ftsI$ mutations. As shown in Fig 8, these FtsI mutants were unable to complement a strain carrying the temperature-sensitive $ftsI^{23}$ mutation at 37˚C. However, growth was restored for three of the four mutants (G57D, S61F and R210C) if FtsW$^{E289G}$ was present. A failure to rescue the fourth mutant (L62P) may be due to the proline substitution which often affects protein structure substantially. Thus, FtsW$^{E289G}$ was dominant over three of these inactive FtsI mutations.

Based on our analysis above, FtsW$^{M269K}$ and FtsW$^{A270T}$ are likely defective in the activation step with FtsW$^{M269K}$ being more severely affected than FtsW$^{A270T}$. If the signaling cascade model is correct, activation mutations in upstream proteins in the cascade should not suppress FtsW$^{M269K}$ or FtsW$^{A270T}$. We tested two active mutations in the periplasmic cascade, one in FtsB (E56A) and one in FtsI (K211I). As expected, FtsW$^{M269K}$ was not suppressed by an active $ftsB^{E56A}$ mutation or when FtsI$^{K211I}$ was overexpressed (Fig 9). However, the growth of the strain containing FtsW$^{A270T}$ was restored in the presence of FtsB$^{E56A}$ or when FtsI$^{K211I}$ was overexpressed. We also tested if overexpression of FtsN or the presence of the $ftsA^{R286W}$ mutation, both of which enhance activation of FtsWI, could suppress FtsW$^{M269K}$ or FtsW$^{A270T}$. As shown in S11 Fig, the growth defect of FtsW$^{A270T}$ but not FtsW$^{M269K}$ was suppressed. Thus, the weakly defective mutant FtsW$^{A270T}$ still responds to activation by FtsN or by activation mutations in the upstream proteins in the signaling cascade, but the severely defective mutant FtsW$^{M269K}$ is unable to respond. Altogether, these results support that the signaling cascade flows from FtsN > FtsQLB > FtsI > FtsW and confirm that mutations in downstream proteins are dominant over mutations in upstream proteins.

![Table of results](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1009366.g008)
ftsW::kan /pSC101ts, ftsW

| P204:: | 30 °C | 0 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 125 |
|--------|------|---|----|----|----|-----|
| ftsB   | -    | FtsW | FtsW M269K | FtsW A270T |
| ftsB E56A | - | FtsW | FtsW M269K | FtsW A270T |

ftsW::kan /pSC101ts, ftsW

| P BAD:: | P204:: | 42 °C+0.2% Arabinose+IPTG(μM) |
|---------|--------|-----------------------------|
| Vector  | FtsI   | 30 °C 0 15 30 60 125          |
| FtsW    | FtsI   | 30 °C 0 15 30 60 125          |
| FtsI    | FtsI   | 30 °C 0 15 30 60 125          |
| FtsW M269K | FtsI | 30 °C 0 15 30 60 125          |
| FtsW M269K | FtsI | 30 °C 0 15 30 60 125          |
| FtsW A270T | FtsI | 30 °C 0 15 30 60 125          |
| FtsW A270T | FtsI | 30 °C 0 15 30 60 125          |

ftsW E289G and ftsI K211I are intragenic suppressors of inactive FtsW and FtsI mutants, respectively

In addition to the epistatic analysis of the active and inactive mutations between different division proteins, we also tested the epistatic interaction between the active and inactive mutations of FtsI and FtsW. As shown in Fig 10A, the inactive FtsI mutants (G57D, S61F, L62P and R210C) expressed from an IPTG-inducible promoter on a plasmid were unable to complement the ftsI23ts strain at 37°C. However, adding the K211I mutations to these alleles, except for FtsI L62P, rescued the growth of the ftsI23ts strain, indicating that K211I is an intragenic suppressor of these inactivating ftsI mutations. However, it is noticeable that a basal level of expression of FtsI or FtsI K211I from the plasmid was sufficient to complement the ftsI23ts strain, whereas a higher concentration of IPTG was required for complementation with the double mutants, indicating that the inactive mutations reduced the efficiency of FtsI K211I. Similarly, the ftsW E289G mutation suppressed the ftsW M269K and ftsW A270T mutations. As shown in Fig 10B, the FtsW depletion strain was unable to grow on plates without arabinose, however, growth was restored by the presence of a plasmid that expressed either wild type FtsW or
FtsW and E289G from an IPTG-inducible promoter (even in the absence of IPTG, indicating that basal expression is sufficient). With FtsW \( A270T \) growth was restored at 15 μM IPTG consistent with it being somewhat deficient. However, adding E289G to the deficient alleles (FtsW \( M269K/E289G \) and FtsW \( A270T/E289G \)) resulted in the complementation of the depletion strain. FtsW \( A270T/E289G \) did not require IPTG for complementation, whereas FtsW \( M269K/E289G \) needed 15 μM IPTG for optimal growth. Thus, E289G rescued both M269K and A270T, although M269K reduced the activity of E289G.

Mutations affecting activation of SEDS-bPBP complexes cluster to the interaction interface between the ECL4 of SEDS proteins and the pedestal domain of bPBPs

The residues in FtsW and FtsI that correspond to the mutations analyzed here are either located in the ECL4 of FtsW or in the pedestal domain of FtsI. The corresponding domains have been proposed to play a critical role in activation of the RodA-PBP2 complex [16]. This prompted us to map all known mutations that result in activation or inactivation of the two SEDS-bPBP complexes to the \( TrRodA\)-PBP2 structure. These include those in \( E. coli \) FtsW.
Table 1. Active (orange) and inactive (magenta) FtsW/RodA and FtsI/PBP2 mutations.

| Corresponding residues of mutations | EcFtsW | CcFtsW | EcRodA | TtRodA |
|-------------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| T52                                | A31K   | I23    | L18    |        |
| L164                               | F145L  | I128   | L122   |        |
| V201                               | T180A  | S163   | A156   |        |
| M269I/K/E                          | I245   | I233   | I226   |        |
| A270T                              | A240T  | A234T  | A227   |        |
| V285                               | V261   | T249P  | S242   |        |
| E289G                              | R264   | E254   | G247   |        |
| Corresponding residues of mutations | EcFtsI | CcFtsI | EcPBP2 | TtPBP2 |
| N18                                | I45V   | A15    | NA     |        |
| V54                                | F71    | Q51L   | A35    |        |
| K55                                | S72    | T52N   | L36    |        |
| G57D                               | A74    | S54    | S38    |        |
| S61F/P                             | G78    | R58    | Y42    |        |
| L62P                               | G79    | I59    | L3E    |        |
| V64                                | A81    | T61R   | T45    |        |
| I208                               | NA     | E226   | A186E  |        |
| R210C                              | NA     | E228   | E188   |        |
| K211I                              | NA     | V229   | V189   |        |

NA: Not available (CcFtsI lacks the region containing the corresponding residues in the alignment)

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1009366.t001

Discussion

A key step in bacterial cell division is the activation of septal PG synthesis at the Z ring. In E. coli and many gram-negative bacteria, this is triggered by FtsN, the last essential division protein recruited to the Z ring [24–26]. Although FtsN binds to and stimulates the activity the class A penicillin binding protein PBP1b [5,6,9,10], which contributes to septal PG synthesis...
The main function of FtsN is to activate the essential septal PG synthase FtsWI. FtsN does this by initiating two parallel signaling pathways, one in the cytoplasm and one in the periplasm (Fig 1B). In the cytoplasm, the cyto domain of FtsN interacts with FtsA to switch it to an active conformation which contributes to FtsWI activation by an unknown mechanism [1,18,27]. In the periplasm, the E domain of FtsN acts through FtsQLB, likely causing a conformational change such that the AWI domain of FtsL becomes available to interact with FtsI which stimulates the activity of FtsW [1,18,19,22,27]. This proposed activation pathway is strikingly similar to that of the analogous RodA-PBP2 complex despite the regulatory proteins being completely unrelated. In this complex MreC interacts with the pedestal domain of PBP2 and is proposed to switch the complex to the active conformation [15,16,38,39]. However, a detailed mechanism by which these SEDS-bPBPs are stimulated by their activators is still not clear.

Previous studies showed that mutations in the CCD domain of FtsB or FtsL enable cells to divide with reduced or no FtsN function [18–20], whereas mutations in the AWI domain of FtsL (L86F, E87K), which lie on the opposite side of a putative helix encompassing the CCD domain, abolish FtsQLB’s ability to activate septal PG synthesis in vivo and to stimulate FtsWI in vitro [21,22]. However, these inactive FtsL mutations are suppressed by a mutation in FtsW (M269I) which was isolated as a suppressor of an ATPase mutant of FtsEX and found to

![Fig 11. Location of residues on the TtRodA-PBP2 structure that correspond to mutations that affect the activity of SEDS-bPBP protein complexes.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1009366.g011)
tolerate the depletion of FtsN [20,22]. Here we show that this mutation contains a unique substitution that allows the bypass of FtsN when overexpressed indicating input from FtsN is no longer required. However, drastic substitutions at the M269 position (M269K/E) result in inactive FtsW proteins that are key for teasing out the signaling cascade for FtsWI activation.

Two additional mutations were isolated that suppress these inactive FtsL mutations, one in the ECL4 of FtsW (E289G) and one in the pedestal domain of FtsI (K211I). Notably, the \( ftsW^{E289G} \) mutation was also isolated as a suppressor of \( ftsN \) deletion by other groups [4]. Both of these mutants bypass the essentiality of FtsN indicating that they are active mutants. They also suppress the ATPase mutant of FtsEX that blocks the cytoplasmic signal from FtsN. Strikingly, cells with a single copy of \( ftsW^{E289G} \) allows cells to divide almost normally without FtsN. FtsW\( ^{E289G} \) can also suppress FtsI mutants (G57D, S61F and R210C) defective in activation \textit{in vivo}. However, none of the reported active mutants of the upstream proteins can suppress an FtsW mutant (M269K) severely defective in activation, although they are able to suppress one (A270T) that is weakly defective. These results suggest that FtsW\( ^{M269K} \) is locked in an inactive state and are in agreement with the model in which the periplasmic signaling cascade for FtsWI activation follows the order: \( \text{FtsN} > \text{FtsQLB} (\text{FtsL}^{\text{AWI}}) > \text{FtsI} > \text{FtsW} \) [22]. Mutations in upstream proteins of the signaling cascade can block the activation of FtsWI, but once FtsW is in the active conformation (induced by the active mutations E289G or M269I reported here), this FtsN-triggered activation signaling cascade can be bypassed.

This signaling cascade (\( \text{FtsN} > \text{FtsQLB} (\text{FtsL}^{\text{AWI}}) > \text{FtsI} > \text{FtsW} \)) can explain why FtsI homologs in several Gram-positive organisms are essential even though their transpeptidase activity is not [40,41]. In \textit{Bacillus subtilis}, cells continue to divide in the presence of a \( \beta \)-lactam that blocks the transpeptidase activity of the FtsI homolog (PBP2B) and a catalytically inactive mutant of PBP2B supported cell division [40]. Under these circumstances, the transpeptidase activity required for crosslinking PG glycan strands synthesized by FtsW is likely provided by another nonessential PBP (PBP3), which localizes to the division site and becomes essential only when the transpeptidase activity of PBP2B is blocked [40]. Comparable results were obtained in \textit{Staphylococcus aureus}, in which introduction of a point mutation in the transpeptidase active site of the FtsI homolog (PBP1) did not result in a division block but the gene could not be deleted [41]. We suggest that the essential role of the FtsI homologs in these organisms is to transmit the activation signal from FtsL\( ^{\text{AWI}} \) to FtsW to start polymerization of PG glycan strands. Thus, cells can still divide even when FtsI homolog is inhibited by a \( \beta \)-lactam because FtsW is still activated and another PBP crosslinks the newly synthesized PG glycan strands. However, in \textit{E. coli}, which lacks an accessory PBP, inhibition of the transpeptidase activity of FtsI results in the futile cycle of septal PG synthesis by FtsW [42].

How FtsI stimulates the activity of FtsW is not currently clear. Previous studies on the RodA-PBP2 complex indicated that the interaction between the pedestal domain of bPBP and the ECL4 of their cognate SEDS protein plays a critical role in activating the polymerization activity of the SEDS proteins [16]. In support of this, mutations in the ECL4 of RodA or in the pedestal domain of PBP2 activate the complex [15,17]. Similarly, here we found that mutations in the ECL4 of FtsW can lock the protein in an inactive state (M269K) that no longer responds to the activation by FtsN or in an active state (E289G and to a less extent M269I) that no longer requires FtsN for division. Mutations in the pedestal domain of FtsI can also activate (K211I) or inactivate (G57D, S61F, L62P and R210C) the FtsWI complex \textit{in vivo}. Such mutations are quite unique and are unlikely to be isolated by site-directed mutagenesis. In BTH assays they do not detectably affect the interaction of FtsW with FtsI or their interaction with other division components. However, it is highly likely that these mutations, which are clustered to a small region at the interaction interface between the pedestal domain of FtsI and ECL4 of FtsW, lock the complex in an active or inactive state (Fig 10). This change will be difficult to
detect using classical genetic or biochemical approaches because previous work on the RodA-PBP2 complex indicates that this interaction interface lacks specific interactions and is transient and flexible [16]. Nonetheless, the isolation of active mutations in both FtsWI and RodA-PBP2 at the same positions suggest that they are activated in similar ways by completely unrelated proteins, which likely modulate the interface between the SEDS protein and the bPBP.

Biochemical characterization of the FtsW and FtsI mutations studied here will be key to understanding their effect on the FtsWI complex, but our genetic analysis provides some clues. Analysis of the substitutions at the 269 position of FtsW suggests that a hydrophobic interaction at this position is critical for FtsWI to transition between the active and inactive conformations. A strong hydrophobic interaction will favor the protein in the active form, whereas loss of this hydrophobic interaction will favor the inactive form. On the other hand, the negative charge of E289 does not seem to be important for FtsW function as even changing it to arginine only slightly affects its function. However, only the E289G substitution can lock FtsW in the active form and surprisingly, it is epistatic to the M269K mutation that locks the protein in the inactive form. Similarly, the positive charge of K211 of FtsI is not essential for its function, but only K211I produces an active FtsI protein and suppresses nearby inactive FtsI mutations. These observations suggest that subtle local re-arrangements of the FtsWI complex, likely involving the region around M269-A270 and E289 of FtsW and region around K211 of FtsI, are critical for the stabilization of the active and inactive conformations. Since these mutations appear to lock FtsWI in the active/inactive conformation, they should be useful for structural and biochemical analysis of the FtsWI complex to reveal details of the activation mechanism.

Materials and methods

Media, bacterial strains, plasmids and growth conditions

Cells were grown in LB medium (1% tryptone, 0.5% yeast extract, 0.5% NaCl and 0.05 g/L thymine), LB medium without NaCl or 1% NaCl at indicated temperatures. When needed, antibiotics were used at the following concentrations: ampicillin = 100 μg/ml; spectinomycin = 25 μg/ml; kanamycin = 25 μg/ml; tetracycline = 25 μg/ml; and chloramphenicol = 20 μg/ml. Strains, plasmids and primers used in this study are listed in S1 Table, S2 Table and S3 Table, respectively. Construction of strains and plasmids is described in detail in S1 Text with the primers listed in S3 Table.

BTH assay

To detect the interaction between FtsW/FtsI or its mutants and other division proteins, appropriate plasmid pairs were co-transformed into BTH101. The next day, single colonies were resuspended in 1 ml LB medium, and 3 μL of each aliquot was spotted on LB plates containing ampicillin, kanamycin, 40 μg/ml X-gal and 100 μM IPTG. Plates were incubated at 30˚C overnight before imaging.

Localization of GFP-fusion proteins

Localization of FtsW-L60-GFP. Overnight cultures of SD237 [W3110, leu::Tn10 ftsW::kan/ pDSW406 (P_{BAD}::ftsW, cat)] carrying plasmid pDSW210 (P_{206c::gfp}) or pSD247 (P_{206c::ftsW-l60::gfp}) and its derivatives were diluted 1:100 in fresh LB medium with antibiotics and 0.2% arabinose, grown at 30˚C for 2 h. Cells were then collected by centrifugation and washed twice with fresh LB and resuspended in the same volume of LB medium. These arabinose-free
culture were then diluted 1:10 in fresh LB medium and IPTG was added to a final concentration of 60 μM. 4 hours post removal of arabinose and induction with IPTG, cells were immobilized on 2% agarose pad for photograph.

**Localization of GFP-FtsI.** Overnight cultures of SD288 [W3110, ftsW::kan gfp-ftsI/pSD257 (pSC101ts, ftsW, Spc)] carrying plasmid pBAD33 or pDSW406 (pBAD33, P<sub>BAD</sub>·ftsW) and its derivatives from 30˚C were diluted 100X in fresh LB medium with antibiotics, grown at 30˚C for 2 h. The cultures were then diluted 1:10 in fresh LB medium with antibiotics, 0.2% arabinose and 10 μM IPTG and grown at 37˚C for 3 hours. Cells were immobilized on 2% agarose pad for photograph.

**Creation of FtsW and FtsI mutant library and screen for suppressors of dominant-negative FtsL mutants**

**Creation of FtsW and FtsI mutant libraries.** ftsW was subjected to random PCR mutagenesis using the primer 5-NcoI-ftsW and 3-ftsW-HindIII and plasmid pSEB429 (pDSW208, P<sub>204</sub>·ftsW). Gel purified PCR product was digested with NcoI and HindIII and ligated into pSEB429 digested with the same enzymes. Ligation product was transformed into JS238 competent cells and plated on LB plates with ampicillin and glucose. About 20,000 transformants were pooled together and plasmids were isolated and stocked. FtsI mutant library was constructed similarly using primer pair pLY91-I-F and pLY91-I-R and plasmid pLY91 as a template.

**Screen for suppressors of dominant-negative FtsL mutants.** The mutagenized pSEB429 (pSEB429M) or pLY91 (pLY91M) was transformed into strain SD399 [W3110, ftsL::kan / pSD256 (pSC101ts, ftsL, aadA)] harboring plasmid pSD296-E87K (pBAD33, P<sub>BAD</sub>·ftsL<sup>E87K</sup>) and suppressors of FtsL<sup>E87K</sup> were selected on LB plates with antibiotics, 0.2% arabinose and 60 μM IPTG at 37˚C. Strain SD399 could not grow at 37˚C because plasmid pSD256 was not able to replicate, plasmid pSD296-E87K was not able to complement as FtsL<sup>E87K</sup> was not functional and toxic when induced with arabinose. Transformants that could grow on the selective plates thus likely contained plasmids expressing FtsW or FtsI mutants that could suppress the defect of FtsL<sup>E87K</sup>. In the screen with FtsW mutant library, 20 transformants were picked and restreaked on the selective plates for growth, 14 of them grew well. Plasmids were isolated from these 14 transformants and retransformed into strain SD399 carrying pSD296-E87K to confirm the suppression. Sequencing of the ftsW genes in these 14 suppressing plasmids showed that all of them harbored the E289G mutation (8 contained only the E289G mutation, 6 contained the E289G mutation as well as additional mutations). However, these other mutations were not further studied because the suppression of FtsL<sup>E87K</sup> was apparently due to the E289G mutation. In the screen with FtsI mutant library, 52 transformants were picked and restreaked on the selective plates for growth, 18 of them grew well. 17 of the plasmids isolated were confirmed to suppress FtsL<sup>E87K</sup> and sequencing of the ftsI gene on these plasmids showed that all of them harbor the K211I mutation.

**Western blot**

To measure the level of FtsW-L60-GFP mutants, overnight cultures of JS238 (wild type strain) harboring the respective plasmid were diluted 1:100 in LB medium with ampicillin and 30μM IPTG. After growth at 37˚C for 2 hours, OD<sub>600</sub> of each culture was measured and samples were taken for western blot. Cells were collected, resuspended in SDS-PAGE sample buffer and kept at room temperature for 30 min before they were loaded on the SDS-PAGE gel for analysis. Western blotting and detection of FtsW-L60-GFP were performed as previously described for other division proteins [43]. Anti-GFP antibody (Rockland Immunochemicals) was used at a dilution of 1/1000.
Supporting information

S1 Text. Construction of strains and plasmids.

S1 Table. Bacterial strains used in this study.

S2 Table. Plasmids used in this study.

S3 Table. Primers used in this study.

S1 Fig. Chromosomal FtsW<sup>M269I</sup> tolerates depletion of FtsN but cannot bypass FtsN. (A) Spot test of the ability of FtsW<sup>M269I</sup> to tolerate the depletion of FtsN. Colonies of strain SD264 [W3110, ftsN::kan/pBL154 (pSC101<sup>ts</sup>, P<sub>syn</sub>::ftsN, spc)] and SD265 [W3110, ftsW<sup>M269I</sup> ftsN::kan/pBL154 (pSC101<sup>ts</sup>, P<sub>syn</sub>::ftsN, spc)] was resuspended in 1 ml of LB medium, serially diluted. 3 μl of each dilution was spot on LB plates with antibiotics. Plates were incubated at 30˚C for 24 hours or at 42˚C overnight and photographed. (B) Morphology of strain SD264 and SD265 at 30 and 42˚C. Overnight culture of SD264 and SD265 were diluted 1:100 in fresh LB medium with antibiotics and grown at 30˚C for 2 hours. Samples were taken for photograph or further diluted in 1:10 in LB medium and shifted 42˚C. The cultures were kept in exponential phase and samples were taken for photograph at indicated time points after the temperature shift. (C) P1 transduction to test the ability of FtsW<sup>M269I</sup> to bypass FtsN. P1 transduction of ftsN::kan from strain CH34/pMG20 (ftsN::kan) was attempted into S3 (W3110 leu::Tn10) and SD247 (W3110 leu::Tn10, ftsW<sup>M269I</sup>) following a standard procedure. Transductants were selected on LB plates with kanamycin and 1 mM sodium citrate. Plates were incubated at 30, 37, and 42˚C overnight or up to 24 hours. Obtained transductants were restreaked on LB medium with kanamycin and 1 mM sodium citrate for further growth. Only the results from 42˚C was shown. Scale bar is 5 μm.

S2 Fig. P1 transduction to test the ability of FtsW<sup>M269I</sup> to bypass FtsN. P1 transduction of ftsN::kan from strain CH34/pMG20 (ftsN::kan) was attempted into W3110 and SD247 (W3110 leu::Tn10, ftsW<sup>M269I</sup>) harboring plasmid pSEB417 (P<sub>trc</sub>::ftsN), pSEB429 (P<sub>trc</sub>::ftsW) or pSEB429-M269I (P<sub>trc</sub>::ftsW<sup>M269I</sup>) following a standard procedure. Transductants were selected on LB plates with ampicillin, kanamycin, 1 mM sodium citrate and with or without 60 μM IPTG. Plates were incubated at 30˚C up to 24 hours or 37 or 42˚C overnight. Similar number of transductants were obtained for a control expressing FtsN and when FtsW<sup>M269I</sup> was induced with 60μM IPTG. Only the results from 37˚C was shown.

S3 Fig. Alignment of the extracellular loop 4 (ECL4) of FtsW and RodA from diverse bacterial species. Amino acid sequences were obtained from NCBI, aligned with Clustal Omega and then created using ESPRIT: [http://esprit.ibcp.fr/](http://esprit.ibcp.fr/). FtsW: E. coli (gi|2132970), K. pneumoniae (gi|597728208), S. flexneri (gi|110613701), Y. pestis (gi|115346361), B. thailandensis (gi|685745844), S. enterica (gi|205337406), P. aeruginosa (gi|15599609), L. pneumophila (gi|295650162), M. xanthus (gi|108761950), C. crescentus (gi|426019958), A. tumefaciens (gi|586950133), B. fragilis (gi|598888368), B. subtilis (gi|2493592), L. monocytogenes (gi|424013134), E. faecalis (gi|323480530), S. aureus (gi|384230086), M. tuberculosis (gi|613782430), C. glutamicum (gi|674168391), B. burgdoferi (gi|2493585), T. thermophiles
S4 Fig. Bacterial two hybrid test of the interaction between FtsW (A) or FtsI (B) and other divisome proteins. Plasmids pairs were transformed into strain BTH101, the next day a single transformant of each resulting strain was resuspended in 1 ml LB medium, 3 μl of each culture was spot on LB plates containing antibiotics, 40 μg/ml X-gal and IPTG. Plates were incubated at 30˚C for about 24 hours before photographing.

S5 Fig. Complementation test of FtsL mutants. Plasmid pBAD33, or pSD296 (P_{BAD}::ftsL) or its derivatives with different ftsL allele were transformed into strain SD399 (W3110, ftsL::kan/pSD256) harboring plasmid and transformants selected on LB plates with antibiotics and glucose. The next day, a single colony of each resulting strain was resuspended in 1 ml LB and serially diluted by 10. 3 μl of each dilution was spot on LB plates with appropriate antibiotics, with or without arabinose. Plates were incubated at 30˚C for 24 hours or at 37˚C overnight and photographed.

S6 Fig. FtsW^{E289G} (A) and FtsI^{K211I} (B) provide resistance to the division inhibitory activity of FtsE^{D162N}. plasmid pSD221 (pEXT22, P_{lac}::ftsEX) or pSD221-D162N (pEXT22, P_{lac}::ftsE^{D162N}) were transformed into strain W3110, SD247 (W3110, leu::Tn10, ftsW^{M269I}), SD488 (W3110, leu::Tn10, ftsW^{E289G}) or LYA8 (W3110, leu::Tn10, ftsI^{K211I}). The next day, a single transformant of the resulting strains was resuspended in 1 ml LB medium and serially diluted in 10. 3 μl of each dilution was spotted on LB plates with antibiotics and with or without IPTG. Plates were incubated at 37˚C overnight and photographed. Note that the resistance of SD488 to FtsE^{D162N} was stronger than that of strain SD247.

S7 Fig. Overexpression of FtsI^{K211I} (A) or FtsW^{E289G} (B) tolerates the depletion of FtsN. Plasmid pDSW208, pLY91 (pDSW208, P_{204}::ftsI), pSEB429 (pDSW208, P_{204}::ftsW), or their derivatives carrying different ftsI or ftsW allele were transformed into strain SD264 [W3110, ftsN::kan/pBL154 (pSC101^{ts}, ftsN)] and transformants selected on LB plates with antibiotics and glucose at 30˚C. The test was performed as Fig 3B.

S8 Fig. FtsI^{K211I} and FtsW^{E289G} bypass FtsN. (A) P1 transduction to test the ability of FtsI^{K211I} (A) and FtsW^{E289G} (B) to bypass FtsN. P1 transduction of ftsN::kan from strain CH34/pMG20 (ftsN::kan) was attempted into W3110, LYA8/pLY105 [W3110, leu::Tn10, ftsI^{K211I} / P_{204}::ftsI^{K211I}] and SD488 (W3110, leu::Tn10, ftsW^{E289G}) following a standard procedure. In the case of LYA8/pLY105, transductants were selected on LB plates with 1% NaCl, kanamycin, 1 mM sodium citrate and different concentration of IPTG at 30, 37, and 42˚C. Transductants were only obtained at 42˚C and they can only grow at 42˚C when restreaked. For SD488, transductants were selected on LB plates with kanamycin and 1 mM sodium citrate at 30, 37, and 42˚C. A similar number of transductants were obtained at all three temperatures and only the result from 37˚C is shown. 4 transductants from SD488 (W3110, leu::Tn10,
ftsW<sup>E289G</sup>) were restreaked on the same selection plates at 37˚C and all grew well.

(TIF)

S9 Fig. FtsW<sup>E289G</sup> is a unique mutant that suppresses the depletion of FtsN. (A) Complementation test of the FtsW mutants using strain SD237. (B) Spot test of the ability of FtsW mutants to rescue the growth of FtsN depletion strain at non-permissive condition. The tests were done as in Fig 3.

(TIF)

S10 Fig. FtsI<sup>K211I</sup> is a unique mutant that suppresses the depletion of FtsN. (A) Complementation test of the FtsI mutants. Plasmids pDSW208, pLY91 (P<sub>204</sub>;<i>ftsI</i>) and pLY105 (P<sub>204</sub>;<i>ftsI</i><sub>K211I</sub>) were transformed into strain PS413 (W3110, <i>ftsI<sup>23</sup></i>). A single transformant of each resultant strain was resuspended in 1 ml LB and serially diluted by 10<sup>3</sup> μl of each dilution was spot on LB plates with antibiotics and with or without IPTG. Plates were incubated at 30˚C for 24 hours or at 42˚C overnight and photographed. (B) Spot test of the ability of FtsI mutants to rescue the growth of FtsN depletion strain at non-permissive condition. Test was done as in S7B Fig.

(TIF)

S11 Fig. Overexpression of FtsN and FtsA<sup>R286W</sup> suppress FtsW<sup>A270T</sup> but not FtsW<sup>M269K</sup>. (A) spot test of the ability of FtsN overexpression to suppress FtsW<sup>A270T</sup> and FtsW<sup>M269K</sup>. (B) Spot test of the ability of FtsA<sup>R286W</sup> to suppress FtsW<sup>A270T</sup> and FtsW<sup>M269K</sup>. The test was carried out as in Fig 9 but in strain SD295 [W3110, ftsW::kan recA56 slrD::Tn10 /pSD257 (pSC101<sup>ts</sup>, ftsW)] or SD390 [W3110, ftsA<sup>R286W</sup>, ftsW::kan recA56 slrD::Tn10 /pSD257(pSC101<sup>ts</sup>, ftsW)]. Note that FtsW<sup>A270T</sup> was unable to complement the FtsW depletion strain but FtsA<sup>R286W</sup> suppressed the defect completely. In addition, in the presence of FtsA<sup>R286W</sup>, less inducer was needed to induce wild type FtsW for complementation.

(TIF)
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