QUASI-MORPHISMS AND SYMPLECTIC QUASI-STATES
FOR CONVEX SYMPLECTIC MANIFOLDS

SERGEI LANZAT

Abstract. We use quantum and Floer homology to construct (partial)
quasi-morphisms on the universal cover $\widetilde{\operatorname{Ham}}_c(M,\omega)$ of the group of comp-
actly supported Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms for a certain class of non-
closed strongly semi-positive symplectic manifolds $(M,\omega)$. This leads to a
construction of (partial) symplectic quasi-states on the space $C_{cc}(M)$ of con-
tinuous functions on $M$ that are constant near infinity. The work extends
the results by Entov and Polterovich which apply in the closed case.

1. Introduction

1.1. Overview. Let $(M,\omega)$ be a connected symplectic manifold (possibly with
boundary). Let $\operatorname{Ham}_c(M,\omega)$ be the group of Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms of
$(M,\omega)$ generated by (time-dependent) Hamiltonians with compact support that
lies in the interior of $M$ and let $\widetilde{\operatorname{Ham}}_c(M,\omega)$ be its universal cover. Let $C_c(M)$
(resp. $C^\infty_c(M)$) be the space of continuous (resp. Poisson-Lie algebra of smooth)
functions on $M$ with compact support that lies in the interior of $M$. In the case
of a closed $M$ we will write $\operatorname{Ham}(M,\omega)$, $\widetilde{\operatorname{Ham}}(M,\omega)$, $C(M)$ and $C^\infty(M)$ without
the lower indices.

In the case of a closed $M$, Entov and Polterovich [14], [15], [17] (see also [39],
[45], [46] for subsequent important developments) used quantum and Floer ho-
omology to construct certain “almost homomorphisms” $\mu : \widetilde{\operatorname{Ham}}(M,\omega) \to \mathbb{R}$ (in
some cases this function descends to a function on $\operatorname{Ham}(M,\omega)$) with a number
of remarkable properties. In particular, $\mu$ has the following Calabi property:
its restriction to the subgroup $\widetilde{\operatorname{Ham}}_c(U) \subset \widetilde{\operatorname{Ham}}(M,\omega)$ for any sufficiently small
open subset $U \subset M$ is the classical Calabi homomorphism, where $\widetilde{\operatorname{Ham}}_c(U)$ is
the subgroup of elements generated by Hamiltonians $H \in C^\infty(S^1 \times M)$ with
$\operatorname{supp}(H(t,\cdot)) \subset U$ for all $t \in S^1$.

In addition, Entov and Polterovich associate to each such “almost homomor-
phism” an “almost linear” functional $\zeta : C(M) \to \mathbb{R}$, also with a number of
remarkable properties.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 53D05, 53D40, 53D45.
Key words and phrases. quasi-morphism, quasi-state, convex symplectic manifold, Hamil-
tonian symplectomorphism, quantum cohomology, Floer homology.
Partially supported by the ISF grant 881/06.
The exact meaning of the terms “almost homomorphism” and “almost linear functional” depends on the algebraic structure of the quantum homology \( QH_*(M) \) of \( M \). In a somewhat unprecise way this dependence can be formulated as follows: if the algebra \( QH_*(M) \) admits a field as a direct summand (in the category of algebras over a certain base field), then the “almost homomorphism” is a **homogeneous quasi-morphism**. Otherwise, for a general \( M \), one gets a function with weaker properties – it is called a **partial quasi-morphism**. Accordingly, in the former case the “almost linear functional” has stronger properties and is called a **symplectic quasi-state** (see the definition in [15] and Section 3.2 below), while in the latter case it has weaker properties and is called a **partial symplectic quasi-state**.

The existence of (partial) quasi-morphisms and (partial) quasi-states (constructed by means of the quantum and Floer homology) has various interesting applications – see e.g. [14], [15], [16], [18], [19], [20], [21], [22], [9], [8]. We will discuss these applications further in the paper.

### 1.2. Constructions and main results

The goal of this paper is to construct (partial) quasi-morphisms and (partial) quasi-states for non-closed (strongly semi-positive – see Definition 2.4) symplectic manifolds. Let us first give exact definitions of these objects.

**Definition 1.1.** A function \( q : G \to \mathbb{R} \) on a group \( G \) is called a **quasi-morphism** if there exists a constant \( C \geq 0 \) such that

\[
|q(g_1g_2) - q(g_1) - q(g_2)| \leq C, \quad \text{for every } g_1, g_2 \in G.
\]

The minimal constant \( C \) in the above inequality is called the **defect** of \( q \) and will be denoted by \( D(q) \). A quasi-morphism \( q \) is called **homogeneous** if \( q(g^n) = nq(g) \) for all \( g \in G \) and \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \). Any quasi-morphism \( q \) can be homogenized yielding a homogeneous quasi-morphism \( \hat{q}(g) := \lim_{n \to +\infty} q(g^n)/n \), which, in general, may be a homomorphism.

**Definition 1.2.** Let \( U \subset M \) be a displaceable open set. By Banyaga’s fragmentation lemma (see [2]), each \( \tilde{\phi} \in \widehat{\text{Ham}}_c(M,\omega) \) can be represented as a product of elements of the form \( \tilde{g} \tilde{\theta} \tilde{g}^{-1} \), with \( \tilde{\theta} \in \widehat{\text{Ham}}_c(U) \). The **fragmentation length** \( \| \tilde{\phi} \|_U \) of \( \tilde{\phi} \) is the minimal number of factors in such a product.

**Definition 1.3.** A function \( q_p : \widehat{\text{Ham}}_c(M,\omega) \to \mathbb{R} \) is called a **partial quasi-morphism** if it satisfies following properties:

**Controlled quasi-additivity** Given a displaceable open set \( U \subset M \), there exists a positive constant \( R \), depending only on \( U \), so that

\[
|q_p(\tilde{\phi} \tilde{\psi}) - q_p(\tilde{\phi}) - q_p(\tilde{\psi})| \leq R \cdot \min \left\{ \| \tilde{\phi} \|_U, \| \tilde{\psi} \|_U \right\}
\]

for any \( \tilde{\phi}, \tilde{\psi} \in \widehat{\text{Ham}}_c(M,\omega) \).
(Semi-homogeneity) \( q_p(\tilde{\phi}^m) = m q_p(\tilde{\phi}) \) for any \( \tilde{\phi} \in \widetilde{\text{Ham}}_c(M, \omega) \) and any \( m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \).

Denote by \( C_{cc}(M) \) the space of all continuous functions \( F \) on \( M \) endowed with the uniform norm, such that \( F \in C_{cc}(M) \) if and only if there exists \( n_F \in \mathbb{R} \), for which \( F - n_F \in C_c(M) \). Denote also by \( C_{cc}^\infty(M) \) the subspace of \( C^\infty \)-smooth functions inside \( C_{cc}(M) \). The following definitions extend the notion of a (partial) symplectic quasi-state to the case of a non-closed \( M \).

**Definition 1.4.** A (not necessarily linear) functional \( \zeta : C_{cc}(M) \to \mathbb{R} \) is called a symplectic quasi-state if it satisfies the following properties:

- **(Strong quasi-linearity)** \( \zeta(\lambda F + G) = \lambda \zeta(F) + \zeta(G) \) for all Poisson commuting smooth functions \( F, G \), i.e. \( \{F, G\} = 0 \) and for all \( \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \).
- **(Monotonicity)** \( \zeta(F) \leq \zeta(G) \) for \( F \leq G \).
- **(Normalization)** \( \zeta(1) = 1 \).
- **(Vanishing)** \( \zeta(F) = n_F \), provided \( \text{supp}(F - n_F) \) is displaceable.
- **(Symplectic invariance)** \( \zeta(F) = \zeta(F \circ \phi) \) for \( \phi \in \text{Symp}_c^0(M, \omega) \), where \( \text{Symp}_c^0(M, \omega) \) denotes the identity component of the group of compactly supported symplectomorphisms of \( (M, \omega) \), whose supports lie in the interior of \( M \).

**Definition 1.5.** Let \( \zeta_p : C_{cc}(M) \to \mathbb{R} \) be a functional, which satisfies monotonicity, normalization, vanishing and symplectic invariance axioms from above. Assume that \( \zeta_p \) has two additional properties:

- **(Partial additivity)** If \( F, G \in C_{cc}^\infty(M) \), \( \{F, G\} = 0 \) and the support of \( G \) is displaceable, then \( \zeta_p(F + G) = \zeta_p(F) + n_G \).
- **(Semi-homogeneity)** \( \zeta_p(\lambda \cdot F) = \lambda \cdot \zeta_p(F) \) for any \( F \) and any \( \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \). We call such a function \( \zeta_p \) a partial symplectic quasi-state.

Our construction of (partial) quasi-morphisms and quasi-states for non-closed manifolds will follow the general scheme of the Entov-Polterovich construction in the closed case. In order to implement that scheme in the non-closed case one needs to overcome a number of technical difficulties, which we discuss below and, more importantly, a serious conceptual difficulty: namely, a priori, it may well happen that the resulting \( q_1 : \widetilde{\text{Ham}}_c(M, \omega) \to \mathbb{R} \) will turn out to be just a homomorphism (for instance, a scalar multiple of the Calabi homomorphism) and the corresponding \( \zeta : C_{cc}(M) \to \mathbb{R} \) will be just a linear functional. (In the closed case, this cannot happen due to the famous Banyaga’s theorem [2] which implies that there are non-trivial homomorphisms on \( \text{Ham}(M, \omega) \) and the Calabi property of \( \mu \) which guarantees that \( \mu \) cannot be just zero.) In the paper we overcome the latter difficulty for a wide class of non-closed manifolds \( (M, \omega) \) by using the results of Biran and Cornea [4] on the pearl (Lagrangian quantum) homology.

Namely, let \( X \) be a smooth closed connected manifold of dimension \( 2n \) for \( n > 1 \). Assume that \( X \) is either a homology sphere over \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \) or the singular homology algebra (with respect to the intersection product) \( H_*(X; \mathbb{Z}_2) \) is
generated as a ring by $H_{2n−1}(X;\mathbb{Z}_2)$. Examples of $X$ such that $H_*(X;\mathbb{Z}_2)$ is generated as a ring by $H_{2n−1}(X;\mathbb{Z}_2)$ are direct products of smooth closed 2-dimensional surfaces (orientable or not), homology projective spaces over $\mathbb{Z}_2$, direct products or connected sums of the above manifolds. We take $(M,L,\omega)$ to be the one-point symplectic blow-up $(\widetilde{D^*X},\widetilde{X},\omega_\delta)$ of the cotangent closed disk bundle $D^*X$ relative to the Lagrangian zero section $X$, i.e. the symplectic blow-up of size $\delta$ that corresponds to a symplectic embedding of pairs $(B^{2\dim X}(\delta),B^{\dim X}(\delta)) \hookrightarrow (D^*,X)$. Here $D^*X$ denotes a cotangent closed disk bundle of $X$, $B^{2\dim X}(\delta)$ is the standard closed ball with the center at zero and radius $(\delta^2)^{1/2}$ in $\mathbb{C}^{\dim X}$ and $B^{\dim X}(\delta)$ is its real part in $\mathbb{R}^{\dim X} = \Re \mathbb{C}^{\dim X}$.

**Theorem 1.6.** Let $(M,L,\omega)$ be as above. Then there exist a homogeneous quasi-morphism $\varphi : \widetilde{\operatorname{Ham}}_c(M,\omega) \to \mathbb{R}$, which is not a homomorphism and, accordingly, the corresponding quasi-state $\zeta : C_{cc}(M) \to \mathbb{R}$, which is a non-linear functional.

Moreover, we have

**Theorem 1.7.** The homogeneous quasi-morphism $\varphi : \widetilde{\operatorname{Ham}}_c(M,\omega) \to \mathbb{R}$ in Theorem 1.6 descends to $\operatorname{Ham}_c(M,\omega)$.

For a wider class of non-closed symplectic manifolds $(M,\omega)$ the same method allows to construct a partial quasi-morphism $\varphi_p : \widetilde{\operatorname{Ham}}_c(M,\omega) \to \mathbb{R}$, which, a priori, may be a homomorphism (or equal to $\varphi$ for $(M,\omega)$ as in Theorem 1.6), see Theorem 3.6 and Section 3.6. This class contains convex compact strongly semi-positive symplectic manifolds (see Sections 2.1, 2.2 for definitions) and certain open convex symplectic manifolds, see Section 3.6 and Corollary 3.17. In particular, the cotangent bundle $(T^*X,\omega_{can})$ over any closed connected smooth manifold $X$, an open Stein manifold $(M,J,f)$ (see Example 2.2(3)) and $(M,\omega)$ from Theorem 1.6 belong to this class. The next proposition shows that under certain assumptions the partial quasi-morphism $\varphi_p$ is not a homomorphism. Recall that a symplectic manifold $(M,\omega)$ is called **weakly exact** if $[\omega]|_{\pi_2(M)} = 0$.

**Proposition 1.8.** The partial quasi-morphism $\varphi_p : \widetilde{\operatorname{Ham}}_c(M,\omega) \to \mathbb{R}$ is not a homogeneous quasi-morphism (and, in particular, not a homomorphism) and, accordingly, the corresponding partial quasi-state $\zeta_p : C_{cc}(M) \to \mathbb{R}$ is not a symplectic quasi-state (and, in particular, a non-linear functional) under either of the following (mutually non-excluding) assumptions:

**(A)** $(M,\omega)$ is a convex compact weakly exact symplectic manifold admitting a closed Lagrangian submanifold $L \subset M \setminus \partial M$, such that

1. the inclusion map $L \hookrightarrow M$ induces an injection $\pi_1(L) \hookrightarrow \pi_1(M)$,
2. $L$ admits a Riemannian metric with no non-constant contractible closed geodesics,

**(B)** $(M,\omega) = (T^*X,\omega_{can})$, where $X$ is any closed connected smooth manifold admitting a non-vanishing closed 1-form.
If $(M, \omega)$ satisfies (A) or (B) then $q_p$ descends to $\text{Ham}_c(M, \omega)$.

Let us note that the claim in the case (B) follows from the results of Monzner, Vichery and Zapolsky \cite{Monzner_Vichery_Zapolsky}, who use a different construction of the same flavor to construct partial quasi-morphisms (which are not homogeneous quasi-morphisms) on $\text{Ham}_c(T^*X, \omega_{\text{can}})$ for any closed connected smooth manifold $X$ – the claim follows from the comparison of the latter partial quasi-morphisms and $q_p$.

Accordingly, for such symplectic manifolds, one can use the obtained (partial) quasi-morphisms and (partial) quasi-states to get numerous applications similar to the ones in the closed case – see Section 4.

Now let us briefly outline our construction and discuss the above-mentioned technical difficulties and the ways to overcome them.

First, since the original construction for closed manifolds involves moduli spaces of pseudo-holomorphic curves, we need to impose some restriction on the behavior of $M$ at infinity (or near the boundary) in order to guarantee that the relevant moduli spaces are compact. Conditions of this sort are well-known in symplectic topology and we will use one of them: namely, we will work with strongly semi-positive compact symplectic manifolds with boundary, called \textit{convex symplectic manifolds} – see Section 2.1 for a precise definition. Examples of convex symplectic manifolds include a closed symplectic ball, a cotangent closed disk bundle over a closed manifold, as well as their blow-ups at interior points.

Second, we need to set up an appropriate version of the quantum homology. Note that, unlike in the closed case, in the case of a manifold with boundary there are absolute and relative to the boundary singular homologies and three different versions of the (classical) intersection product – see Definition 2.3. Accordingly, a straight-forward generalization of the construction in the closed case yields relative and absolute quantum homologies $QH^\pm$ of our symplectic manifold and three versions of the quantum products – see Definition 2.6.

Third, we need to set up the Hamiltonian Floer homology to work with compactly supported (time-dependent time-periodic) Hamiltonians. Modifying the constructions of Frauenfelder and Schlenk \cite{Frauenfelder_Schlenk}, we get relative and absolute Hamiltonian Floer homologies $FH^\pm$ associated to the same $H$. Both homologies are equipped with a so-called pair-of-pants product and a filtration induced by the action functional. The Piunikhin-Salamon-Schwarz construction \cite{Piunikhin_Salamon_Schwarz} generalizes from closed to convex symplectic manifolds and yields canonical ring (PSS) isomorphisms between $FH^\pm$ and $QH^\pm$. Hence, for a non-zero quantum homology class $a^\pm \in QH^\pm$ and a Hamiltonian $H$ as above, we get two different versions of \textit{spectral numbers}: $c^\pm(a^\pm, H)$. They are obtained by sending $a^\pm$ by the PSS isomorphisms to $FH^\pm$ and measuring its filtration level there. As in the closed case, the spectral numbers depend only on the element $\tilde{\phi}_H \in \tilde{\text{Ham}}_c(M, \omega)$ generated by $H$. Thus we get the spectral numbers $c^\pm(a^\pm, \tilde{\phi}_H)$ – see Section 2.4.
Next, as in the closed case, in order to build quasi-morphisms from the spectral numbers we need to have idempotents in the quantum homology. Assume that idempotents $\epsilon^\pm \in QH^\pm$ are the unities in field summands in $QH^\pm$ (a condition of this sort is absolutely crucial and appears in the closed case as well) and satisfy one more assumption related to the Poincaré-Lefschetz duality in the quantum homology (this additional condition is not needed in the closed case, roughly speaking, because the intersection product of absolute homology classes is non-degenerate). Note that, unlike in the closed case, where only one idempotent is needed, we need two idempotents $\epsilon^\pm$. The reason for this is that the Poincaré-Lefschetz isomorphism switches between different homology theories – absolute and relative. Then we show that the functions $\tilde{\phi} \mapsto c^\pm(\epsilon^\pm, \tilde{\phi})$ are quasi-morphisms on $\widetilde{\text{Ham}}_c(M, \omega)$ with the same homogenization $q$, see Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.3. This homogeneous quasi-morphism, shifted by the Calabi homomorphism (which is again a homogeneous quasi-morphism) has the Calabi property, see Corollary 3.4. As in the closed case, the constructed homogeneous quasi-morphism defines a quasi-state, see Theorem 3.7. Recall that in the closed case a quasi-state, by definition, has to be equal 1 on 1. In fact, and this is an additional technical problem appearing in the case of a non-closed $M$, the definition of a quasi-state has to be modified since the constant function 1 does not lie in $C^\infty_c(M)$ – the “Lie algebra” of the “infinite-dimensional Lie group” $\text{Ham}_c(M, \omega)$. We overcome the difficulty by enlarging the space $C^\infty_c(M)$ to the space $C^\infty_{cc}(M)$.

Finally, and this was already discussed above, we show that the resulting $q$ is not a homomorphism (and the corresponding $\zeta$ is, accordingly, non-linear). In fact, in the cases where we can show this, we can also show, using a computation involving the Seidel homomorphism \cite{seidel} that $q$ descends to $\text{Ham}(M, \omega)$.

1.3. **Organization of the paper.** The paper is organized in the following way. In Section 2 we recall the definition of (absolute and relative) quantum and Floer homologies of strongly semi-positive convex compact symplectic manifolds and define absolute and relative analogues of the spectral invariants on the group of compactly supported Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms. In Section 3 we prove that under appropriate algebraic conditions on the absolute and relative quantum homology algebras of a strongly semi-positive compact convex symplectic manifold $M$, the universal cover of the group of its compactly supported Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms admits a real-valued homogeneous quasi-morphism. By a “linearization” of the quasi-morphism we define a symplectic quasi-state on the space of smooth functions on $M$, which are constant near the boundary $\partial M$. Using Biran-Cornea Lagrangian pearl homology, we construct examples of manifolds, for which the quasi-state is non-linear functional and hence, the quasi-morphism is not a homomorphism. Modifying the Seidel homomorphism construction, we prove that for our examples the quasi-morphism descends to the group of compactly supported Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms of $M$. Next, we
show that for a certain class of weakly exact compact convex symplectic manifolds the above construction leads to a non-trivial partial quasi-morphism and a partial quasi-state. Finally, we discuss (partial) quasi-morphisms and (partial) quasi-states for non-compact convex manifolds. In Section 4 we discuss several applications in the spirit of Entov-Polterovich to that class of symplectic manifolds.

Acknowledgement. This paper is a part of author’s Ph.D. thesis, being carried out under the supervision of Professor Michael Entov and Professor Michael Polyak, at Technion – Israel Institute of Technology. I would like to thank Michael Entov, who introduced me to this subject, guided and helped me a lot while I was working on this paper. I am grateful to Leonid Polterovich for important comments and advice that have considerably improved the text. I would like to thank Felix Schlenk for his valuable mathematical and linguistic remarks. I am beholden to Frol Zapolsky for stimulating discussions and for explanations concerning the vanishing of spectral invariants on non-positive compactly supported functions on a cotangent bundle that admits a non-vanishing closed section. Finally, I am grateful to Michael Polyak for his valuable suggestions and comments in the course of my work on this paper.

2. Symplectic preliminaries.

In this section we recall the definitions of quantum and Floer homologies of strongly semi-positive convex compact symplectic manifolds. The material is well-known and standard – for more details see [30, Chapters 1, 2] for more details.

2.1. Convex symplectic manifolds.

Definition 2.1. ([13, 24, 32, 34]) Consider a $2n$-dimensional compact symplectic manifold $(M, \omega)$ with a non-empty boundary $\partial M$. The boundary $\partial M$ is called convex if there exists a Liouville vector field $X$ (i.e. $\mathcal{L}_X \omega = d \iota_X \omega = \omega$), which is defined in the neighborhood of $\partial M$ and which is everywhere transverse to $\partial M$, pointing outwards. A compact symplectic manifold $(M, \omega)$ with non-empty boundary $\partial M$ is called convex if $\partial M$ is convex.

Examples 2.2.

1. The standard closed $r$-balls $(\mathbb{B}^{2n}(r), \omega_0)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ are convex.
2. Cotangent closed $r$-ball bundles $(\mathbb{D}^*_r X, \omega_{can})$ are convex.
3. Stein domains: if $(M, J, f)$ is a Stein manifold, where $(M, J)$ is an open complex manifold and $f : M \to \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth exhausting plurisubharmonic function, such that the critical points of $f$ are in, say, $\{ f < 1 \}$, then $M_i = \{ f \leq i \}$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$, are exact convex compact symplectic manifolds w.r.t the symplectic form $\omega_f := -d(df \circ J)$. 
2.2. Quantum homology of compact convex symplectic manifolds. Let the base field $\mathbb{F}$ be either $\mathbb{Z}_2$ or $\mathbb{C}$.

Recall the definition of the intersection products for a manifold with boundary.

**Definition 2.3.** Homomorphisms

- $\bullet_1 : H_i(M; \mathbb{F}) \otimes H_j(M; \mathbb{F}) \to H_{i+j-2n}(M; \mathbb{F})$
- $\bullet_2 : H_i(M; \mathbb{F}) \otimes H_j(\partial M; \mathbb{F}) \to H_{i+j-2n}(M; \mathbb{F})$
- $\bullet_3 : H_i(M, \partial M; \mathbb{F}) \otimes H_j(M, \partial M; \mathbb{F}) \to H_{i+j-2n}(M, \partial M; \mathbb{F})$

are called the intersection products in homology.

Here, $H^j(M; \mathbb{F}) \xrightarrow{\text{PLD}_j} H_{2n-j}(M, \partial M; \mathbb{F})$, $H^j(M, \partial M; \mathbb{F}) \xrightarrow{\text{PLD}_j} H_{2n-j}(M; \mathbb{F})$ are the Poincaré-Lefschetz isomorphisms given by $\text{PLD}_i(\alpha) := \alpha \cap [M, \partial M]$, $i = 1, 2$, where $[M, \partial M]$ is the relative fundamental class, i.e. the positive generator of $H_{2n}(M, \partial M; \mathbb{F}) \cong \mathbb{F}$.

Next, denote by $H^S_2(M)$ the image of the Hurewicz homomorphism $\pi_2(M) \to H_2(M, \mathbb{Z})$. The homomorphisms $c_1 : H^S_2(M) \to \mathbb{Z}$ and $\omega : H^S_2(M) \to \mathbb{R}$ are given by $c_1(A) := c_1(TM, \omega)(A)$ and $\omega(A) = [\omega](A)$ respectively.

**Definition 2.4.** ([27], [32]) A symplectic 2n-manifold $(M, \omega)$ is called strongly semi-positive, if $\omega(A) \leq 0$ for any $A \in H^S_2(M)$ with $2 - n \leq c_1(A) < 0$.

Let $(M, \omega)$ be a strongly semi-positive convex compact symplectic manifold. Consider the space $J(M, \partial M, \omega)$ of $\omega$-compatible almost complex structures on $M$ that are adapted to the boundary, i.e. $J \in J(M, \partial M, \omega)$ iff $J$ is $\omega$-compatible and for all $x \in \partial M$ and for all $v \in T_x \partial M$ we have

\[ J(x)X(x) \in T_x \partial M, \quad \omega(v, J(x)X(x)) = 0, \quad \omega(X(x), J(x)X(x)) = 1. \]

The space $J(M, \partial M, \omega)$ is non-empty and connected, and all $J$-holomorphic curves lie in the complement of some open neighborhood of $\partial M$ for any almost complex structure $J \in J(M, \partial M, \omega)$ — see [34] Section 9.2 and [30] Section 1.1.3] for more details. Let $m \geq 3$ be a natural number, $p \in \{0, 1, \ldots, m\}$ and $A \in H^S_2(M)$. Fix distinct marked points $(z_1, \ldots, z_m) \in (S^2)^m$ and a generic $J \in J(M, \partial M, \omega)$. Define the genus zero Gromov-Witten invariant $GW_{A,p,m}$ relative to the boundary as the $m$-linear map over $\mathbb{F}$

\[ GW_{A,p,m} : H_*(M; \mathbb{F})^{\times p} \times H_*(M, \partial M; \mathbb{F})^{\times (m-p)} \to \mathbb{F}, \]

which counts the following geometric configurations.

If $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Z}_2$, choose smooth cycles $f_i : V_i \to M$ representing $a_i \in H_*(M; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ for
every $i = 1, \ldots, p$, and choose relative smooth cycles $f_j : (V_j, \partial V_j) \to (M, \partial M)$ representing $a_j \in H_*(M, \partial M; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ for every $j = p + 1, \ldots, m$, such that all the maps are in general position. Then $GW_{A,p,m}(a_1, \ldots, a_m)$ counts the parity of $J$-holomorphic spheres in class $A \in H^2_2(M)$, such that $z_i$ is mapped to $f_i(V_i)$ for every $i = 1, \ldots, p$, and $z_j$ is mapped to $f_j(V_j \setminus \partial V_j)$ for every $j = p + 1, \ldots, m$. If $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$, first define $GW_{A,p,m}$ for rational classes. For non-zero $a_i \in H_*(M; \mathbb{Q})$, $i = 1, \ldots, p$, there exist non-zero $r_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ and smooth cycles $f_i : V_i \to M$ representing $r_i a_i$, and for non-zero $a_j \in H_*(M, \partial M; \mathbb{Q})$, $j = p + 1, \ldots, m$, there exist non-zero $r_j \in \mathbb{Q}$ and relative smooth cycles $f_j : (V_j, \partial V_j) \to (M, \partial M)$ representing $r_j a_j$, such that all the maps are in general position. Then the invariant $GW_{A,p,m}(r_1 a_1, \ldots, r_m a_m)$ counts the algebraic number of $J$-holomorphic spheres in class $A \in H^2_2(M)$, such that $z_i$ is mapped to $f_i(V_i)$ for every $i = 1, \ldots, p$, and $z_j$ is mapped to $f_j(V_j \setminus \partial V_j)$ for every $j = p + 1, \ldots, m$. Recall from [30] Section 1.2 that $GW_{A,p,m}$ defined on integral cycles is multilinear. We can therefore define

$$GW_{A,p,m}(a_1, \ldots, a_m) := \frac{1}{r_1 \cdots r_m} GW_{A,p,m}(r_1 a_1, \ldots, r_m a_m).$$

Next, we extend the rational $m$-linear map $GW_{A,p,m}$ to a complex $m$-linear map by $\mathbb{C}$-linearity.

Next, consider the following Novikov ring $\Lambda$. Let

$$\Gamma := \Gamma(M, \omega) := \frac{H^2_2(M)}{\ker(c_1) \cap \ker(\omega)}$$

and let

$$G := G(M, \omega) := \frac{1}{2} \omega (H^2_2(M)) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$$

be the subgroup of half-periods of the symplectic form $\omega$ on spherical homology classes. Let $s$ be a formal variable. Define the field $\mathbb{K}_G$ of generalized Laurent series in $s$ over $\mathbb{F}$ of the form

$$\mathbb{K}_G := \left\{ f(s) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{G}} z_\alpha s^\alpha, z_\alpha \in \mathbb{F} \mid \# \{ \alpha > c \mid z_\alpha \neq 0 \} < \infty, \forall c \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

**Definition 2.5.** Let $q$ be a formal variable. The **Novikov ring** $\Lambda := \Lambda_G$ is the ring of polynomials in $q, q^{-1}$ with coefficients in the field $\mathbb{K}_G$, i.e.

$$\Lambda := \Lambda_G := \mathbb{K}_G[q, q^{-1}].$$

We equip the ring $\Lambda_G$ with the structure of a graded ring by setting $\deg(s) = 0$ and $\deg(q) = 1$. We shall denote by $\Lambda_k$ the set of elements of $\Lambda$ of degree $k$. Note that $\Lambda_0 = \mathbb{K}_G$.

Now, the quantum homology $QH_*(M; \Lambda)$ and the relative quantum homology $QH_*(M, \partial M; \Lambda)$ are defined as follows. As modules, they are graded modules
over $\Lambda$ defined by

$$QH_*(M; \Lambda) := H_*(M; \mathbb{F}) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} \Lambda, \quad QH_*(M, \partial M; \Lambda) := H_*(M, \partial M; \mathbb{F}) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} \Lambda.$$ 

A grading on both modules is given by $\deg(a \otimes s^a q^m) = \deg(a) + m$. Next, we define the quantum products $\ast_l$, $l = 1, 2, 3$, which are deformations of the classical intersection products $\bullet_l$, $l = 1, 2, 3$. Choose a homogeneous basis $\{e_k\}_{k=1}^d$ of $H_*(M; \mathbb{F})$, such that $e_1 = [pt] \in H_0(M; \mathbb{F})$. Let $\{e_k^\vee\}_{k=1}^d$ be the dual homogeneous basis of $H_*(M, \partial M; \mathbb{F})$ defined by $\langle e_i, e_j^\vee \rangle = \delta_{ij}$, where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the Kronecker pairing.

**Definition 2.6.** Let $A \in H^2(M)$ and let $[A] \in \Gamma$ be the image of $A$ in $\Gamma$. Bilinear homomorphisms of $\Lambda$-modules

$$\ast_1 : QH_*(M; \Lambda) \times QH_*(M; \Lambda) \to QH_*(M; \Lambda)$$

$$\ast_2 : QH_*(M; \Lambda) \times QH_*(M, \partial M; \Lambda) \to QH_*(M; \Lambda)$$

$$\ast_3 : QH_*(M, \partial M; \Lambda) \times QH_*(M, \partial M; \Lambda) \to QH_*(M, \partial M; \Lambda)$$

are given as follows.

Let $a \in H_i(M; \mathbb{F})$, $b \in H_j(M; \mathbb{F})$ and let $c \in H_i(M, \partial M; \mathbb{F})$, $d \in H_j(M, \partial M; \mathbb{F})$. Then

$$a \ast_1 b := \sum_{[A] \in \Gamma} \left( \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{A' \in [A]} GW_{A', \ast_1}(a, b, e_i^\vee) e_i \right) \otimes s^{-\omega(A)} q^{-2c_1(A)},$$

$$a \ast_2 d := \sum_{[A] \in \Gamma} \left( \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{A' \in [A]} GW_{A', \ast_2}(a, d, e_i^\vee) e_i \right) \otimes s^{-\omega(A)} q^{-2c_1(A)},$$

$$c \ast_3 d := \sum_{[A] \in \Gamma} \left( \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{A' \in [A]} GW_{A', \ast_3}(c, d, e_i) e_i^\vee \right) \otimes s^{-\omega(A)} q^{-2c_1(A)},$$

with $\deg(a \ast_1 b) = \deg(a \ast_2 d) = \deg(c \ast_3 d) = i + j - 2n$. We extend these $\mathbb{F}$-bilinear homomorphisms on classical homologies to $\Lambda$-bilinear homomorphisms on quantum homologies by $\Lambda$-linearity.

The next theorem summarizes the main properties of quantum products. The proof is standard – see [30, Theorem 1.3.4] for more details.

**Theorem 2.7.**

(i) The quantum products $\ast_l$, $l = 1, 2, 3$ are super-commutative in the sense that $(a \otimes 1) \ast_l (b \otimes 1) = (-1)^{\deg(a) \deg(b)} (b \otimes 1) \ast_l (a \otimes 1)$ for $l = 1, 2, 3$ and for elements $a, b \in H_*(M; \mathbb{F}) \cup H_*(M, \partial M; \mathbb{F})$ of pure degree.

(ii) The triple $(QH_*(M; \Lambda), +, \ast_1)$ has the structure of a non-unital associative $\Lambda$-algebra.
(iii) The triple \((QH_*(M, \partial M; \Lambda), +, \ast_3)\) has the structure of a unital associative \(\Lambda\)-algebra, where \([M, \partial M]\) is the multiplicative unit.

(iv) The quantum product \(*_2\) defines on \((QH_*(M; \Lambda), +, \ast_1)\) the structure of an associative algebra over the algebra \((QH_*(M, \partial M; \Lambda), +, \ast_3)\).

Like in the closed case, we have different natural pairings. The \(\mathbb{K}_G\)-valued pairings are given by

\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta_1 & : QH_2(M; \Lambda) \times QH_{2n-k}(M; \Lambda) \to \Lambda_0 = \mathbb{K}_G, \\
\Delta_2 & : QH_2(M; \Lambda) \times QH_{2n-k}(M, \partial M; \Lambda) \to \Lambda_0 = \mathbb{K}_G, \\
\Delta_l(a, b) & := i(a \ast_I b), \text{ for } l = 1, 2,
\end{align*}
\]

where \(i : QH_0(M; \Lambda) = \bigoplus_i H_i(M; \mathbb{F}) \otimes \Lambda \to \mathbb{K}_G\) is the map that sends \([pt] \otimes f_0(s) + \sum_{m=1}^{2n} a_m \otimes f_m(s)q^m\) to \(f_0(s)\). The \(\mathbb{F}\)-valued pairings are given by

\[
\Pi_l = j \circ \Delta_l, \text{ for } l = 1, 2,
\]

where the map \(j : \mathbb{K}_G \to \mathbb{F}\) sends \(f(s) = \sum_{\alpha} z_{\alpha}s^\alpha \in \mathbb{K}_G\) to \(z_0\). The following proposition is proved similarly to its analogue in the closed case – see [30 Proposition 1.3.6] for details.

**Proposition 2.8.** The pairings \(\Delta_l\) and \(\Pi_l, l = 1, 2,\) satisfy

\[
\Delta_l(a, b) = \Delta_2(a \ast_I b, [M, \partial M] \otimes 1),
\]

\[
\Pi_l(a, b) = \Pi_2(a \ast_I b, [M, \partial M] \otimes 1),
\]

for any quantum homology classes \(a \in QH_2(M; \Lambda), b \in QH_{2n-k}(M, \partial M; \Lambda)\). Moreover, the pairings \(\Delta_2\) and \(\Pi_2\) are non-degenerate.

The ring \(\Lambda\) and the groups \(QH_*(M, \partial M; \Lambda)\), \(QH_*(M; \Lambda)\) admit a valuation by extending the valuation \(\nu : \mathbb{K}_G \to G \cup \{-\infty\}\) on the field \(\mathbb{K}_G\), which is given by

\[
\begin{align*}
\nu & : f(s) = \sum_{\alpha \in G} z_{\alpha}s^\alpha := \max\{\alpha | z_{\alpha} \neq 0\}, f(s) \neq 0 \\
\nu(0) & = -\infty.
\end{align*}
\]

Extend \(\nu\) to \(\Lambda\) by \(\nu(\lambda) := \max\{\alpha | p_{\alpha} \neq 0\}\), where \(\lambda\) is uniquely represented by \(\lambda = \sum_{\alpha \in G} p_{\alpha}s^\alpha, p_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{F}[q, q^{-1}]\). Note that for all \(\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda\) we have

\[
\nu(\lambda + \mu) \leq \max(\nu(\lambda), \nu(\mu)), \nu(\lambda\mu) = \nu(\lambda) + \nu(\mu), \nu(\lambda^{-1}) = -\nu(\lambda).
\]

Now, any non-zero \(a \in QH_*(M, \partial M; \Lambda)\) (resp. \(a \in QH_*(M; \Lambda)\)) can be uniquely written as \(a = \sum_i a_i \otimes \lambda_i\), where \(a_i \in H_*(M, \partial M; \mathbb{F})\) (resp. \(a_i \in H_*(M; \mathbb{F})\)) and \(\lambda_i \in \Lambda\). Define \(\nu(a) := \max\{\nu(\lambda_i)\}\).

Finally, let us note that there is a natural extension of the theory of Hamiltonian fibrations over the two-sphere \(S^2\) with a closed fiber to the case of compact convex symplectic fiber \((M, \omega)\). Namely, we consider fibrations \(M \hookrightarrow P \xrightarrow{\pi} S^2\), with the fiber \((M, \omega)\) over \(S^2\) with the structural group \(\text{Ham}_c(M, \omega)\) that are
trivial near the boundary, i.e. there exists an open neighborhood $W$ of $\partial P$ such that $\pi|_W$ can be identified with the projection $\mathbb{S}^2 \times U \to \mathbb{S}^2$, where $U$ is an open neighborhood of $\partial M$. Recall (see e.g. [31, Section 8.2]) that a Hamiltonian fibration always comes with a certain (Hamiltonian) connection.

Now, consider the space $\mathcal{J}(P, \partial P, \omega)$ of almost complex structures on $P$ that are adapted to the fibration (cf. [44], [31, Section 8.2]). Equip $\mathbb{S}^2$ with a positive oriented complex structure $j_{\mathbb{S}^2}$. We say $J \in \mathcal{J}(P, \partial P, \omega)$ iff

(i) the restriction of $J$ to each fiber $M_z$ belongs to the space $\mathcal{J}(M_z, \partial M_z, \omega_z)$ of $\omega_z$-compatible almost complex structures that are adapted to the boundary,

(ii) on $W = \mathbb{S}^2 \times U$ the structure $J$ does not depend on the $z$-variable, for $z \in \mathbb{S}^2$, and it is of the form $J|_{\{z\} \times U} \oplus j_{\mathbb{S}^2},$

(iii) the horizontal distribution $\text{Hor} \subset \pi^* TP$ w.r.t the Hamiltonian connection on the fibration is invariant under $J,$

(iv) the projection $\pi : P \to \mathbb{S}^2$ is a $(J, j_{\mathbb{S}^2})$-holomorphic map.

Like in the closed case, one can define a sectional Gromov-Witten invariant relative to the boundary $SGW_{A,p,m}$ as an $m$-linear map over $\mathbb{F}$

$$SGW_{A,p,m} : H_*(M; \mathbb{F})^\times p \times H_*(M, \partial M; \mathbb{F})^\times (m-p) \to \mathbb{F},$$

which counts the following geometric configurations. Fix distinct marked points $(z_1, \ldots, z_m) \in (\mathbb{S}^2)^m$ and let $\iota_i : M \hookrightarrow P$ be a symplectic embedding with $\iota_i(M) = \pi^{-1}(z_i), i = 1, \ldots, m.$

If $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Z}_2,$ choose smooth cycles $f_i : V_i \to M$ representing $a_i \in H_*(M; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ for every $i = 1, \ldots, p,$ and choose relative smooth cycles $f_j : (V_j, \partial V_j) \to (M, \partial M)$ representing $a_j \in H_*(M, \partial M; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ for every $j = p+1, \ldots, m,$ such that all the maps are in general position. Then $SGW_{A,p,m}(a_1, \ldots, a_m)$ counts the parity of $(j_{\mathbb{S}^2}, J)$-holomorphic sections in class $A \in H_2^S(P),$ such that $z_i$ is mapped to $\iota_i \circ f_i(V_i)$ for every $i = 1, \ldots, p,$ and $z_j$ is mapped to $\iota_j \circ f_j(V_j \setminus \partial V_j)$ for every $j = p+1, \ldots, m.$

If $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C},$ then, as before, we first define $SGW_{A,p,m}$ for rational classes by counting the algebraic number of $(j_{\mathbb{S}^2}, J)$-holomorphic sections in class $A \in H_2^S(P)$ that map the marking points to the corresponding rational cycles, and then extend it to a complex $m$-linear map by $\mathbb{C}$-linearity – see [30, Sections 1.1.10, 1.2.6] for more details.

2.3. Floer homology of compact convex symplectic manifolds. We extend the definitions and the constructions of [24] to the case of strongly semi-positive compact convex symplectic manifolds. The proofs are easy modifications of the proofs from [24] – see [30, Section 2.1] for more details.

Admissible Hamiltonians on $M.$ First, recall that a smooth function (Hamiltonian) $H : \mathbb{S}^1 \times M \to \mathbb{R}$ generates a smooth vector field $X_H : \mathbb{S}^1 \times M \to TM,$
called the Hamiltonian vector field of $H$, by $\omega(X_H, \cdot) = -dH(\cdot)$. The flow generated by $X_H$ will be denoted by $\phi^t_H$.

Let $X$ be a Liouville vector field on a neighborhood of $\partial M$ — see Definition 2.1. Using $X$ we can symplectically identify a neighborhood of $\partial M$ with $(\partial M \times (-2\varepsilon, 0], d\langle e^\alpha \rangle)$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$, where $\alpha = \iota_X\omega$ is the Liouville 1-form. In this identification we used coordinates $(x, r)$ on $\partial M \times (-2\varepsilon, 0]$, and in these coordinates, $X(x, r) = \frac{\partial}{\partial r}$ on $\partial M \times (-2\varepsilon, 0]$. We can thus view $M$ as a compact subset of the non-compact symplectic manifold $(\widehat{M}, \widehat{\omega})$ defined as

$$
\widehat{M} = M \cup_{\partial M \times \{0\}} \partial M \times [0, \infty),
$$

$$
\widehat{\omega} = \begin{cases} 
\omega & \text{on } M, \\
\langle e^r \alpha \rangle & \text{on } \partial M \times (-2\varepsilon, \infty),
\end{cases}
$$

and $X$ smoothly extends to $\partial M \times (-2\varepsilon, \infty)$ by

$$
\widehat{X}(x, r) := \frac{\partial}{\partial r}, \quad (x, r) \in \partial M \times (-2\varepsilon, \infty).
$$

For any $r \in \mathbb{R}$ we denote the open “tube” $\partial M \times (r, \infty)$ by $P_r$. Let $\phi^t := \phi^t_{\widehat{X}}$ be the flow of $\widehat{X}$. Then $\phi^r(x, 0) = (x, r)$ for $(x, r) \in P_{-2\varepsilon}$. Choose an $\widehat{\omega}$-compatible almost complex structure $\widehat{J}$ on $\widehat{M}$, such that

(10) \hspace{1cm} \widehat{\omega}\left(\widehat{X}(x), \widehat{J}(x)v\right) = 0, \quad x \in \partial M, \quad v \in T_x \partial M,

(11) \hspace{1cm} \widehat{\omega}\left(\widehat{X}(x), \widehat{J}(x)\widehat{X}(x)\right) = 1, \quad x \in \partial M,

(12) \hspace{1cm} d_{(x, 0)}\phi^r \widehat{J}(x, 0) = \widehat{J}(x, r)d_{(x, 0)}\phi^r, \quad (x, r) \in P_{-2\varepsilon}.

Definition 2.9. For any smooth manifold $B$ define the subset $\widehat{\mathcal{J}}_B$ of the set of smooth sections $\Gamma(\widehat{M} \times B, \text{End}(T\widehat{M}))$ by

$$
\widehat{J} \in \widehat{\mathcal{J}}_B \iff \widehat{J}_b := \widehat{J}(\cdot, b) \text{ is } \widehat{\omega}-\text{compatible and satisfies (10), (11) and (12)}.
$$

For any $r \geq -2\varepsilon$ define $\mathcal{J}_{B, P_r}$ to be the set of all $\widehat{J} \in \widehat{\mathcal{J}}_B$ that are independent of the $b$-variable on $\mathcal{F}_r$. And, at last, we define the set

$$
\mathcal{J}_{B, P_r} := \left\{ J \in \Gamma(M \times B, \text{End}(TM)) \mid J = |\widehat{J}|_{M \times B} \text{ for some } \widehat{J} \in \widehat{\mathcal{J}}_{B, P_r} \right\}.
$$

By [7] Remark 4.1.2 or [12] discussion on page 106], the space $\mathcal{J}_{B, P_r}$ is non-empty and connected.

Let $R$ be the Reeb vector field of the Liouville form $\iota_X\omega$ on $\partial M$, i.e. $R = \widehat{\iota}_X\widehat{J}|_{\partial M}$ for any $\widehat{J} \in \widehat{\mathcal{J}}_{B, P_{-2\varepsilon}}$. Let $e \in C^\infty(P_{-2\varepsilon})$ be given by $e(x, r) := e^r$. We have that for any $h \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, the Hamilton equation $\dot{x} = X_H(x)$ of $H = h \circ e$ restricted to $\partial M$ has the form

(13) \hspace{1cm} \dot{x}(t) = h'(1) R(x(t)).
Define the Reeb period \( \kappa \in (0, \infty) \) of \( R \) by
\[
\kappa := \inf_{c > 0} \{ \dot{x}(t) = c R(x(t)) \text{ has a non-constant } 1\text{-periodic solution} \}.
\]

Define two sets of smooth functions \( \hat{\mathcal{H}}^\pm \subseteq C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^1 \times \hat{M}) \) by
\[
\hat{H} \in \hat{\mathcal{H}}^+ \iff \exists h \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \text{ such that } \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
0 \leq h'(e^r) < \kappa \forall r \geq 0, \\
h'(e^r) = 0 \forall r \geq \varepsilon, \\
\hat{H}|_{\mathbb{S}^1 \times \bar{P}_0} = h \circ e,
\end{array} \right. \\
\hat{H} \in \hat{\mathcal{H}}^- \iff -\hat{H} \in \hat{\mathcal{H}}^+.
\]

By (13), (14) and (15), we have that for any \( \hat{H} \in \hat{\mathcal{H}}^\pm \) the restriction of the flow \( \hat{\phi}_\hat{H}^t \) to \( \bar{P}_0 \) has no non-constant 1-periodic solutions. Next, we define two sets of admissible Hamiltonian functions on \( M \) by
\[
\mathcal{H}^\pm := \left\{ H \in C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^1 \times M) \mid H = \hat{H}|_{\mathbb{S}^1 \times \bar{M}} \text{ for some } \hat{H} \in \hat{\mathcal{H}}^\pm \right\}.
\]

Note that the space \( \mathcal{H}_\kappa(M) \) of \( C^\infty \)-smooth functions on \( \mathbb{S}^1 \times M \), whose support is compact and is contained in \( \mathbb{S}^1 \times (M \setminus \partial M) \) is the subset of \( \mathcal{H}^+ \cap \mathcal{H}^- \).

Floer homology groups. Given \( H \in \mathcal{H}^\pm \), we denote the set of contractible 1-periodic orbits of \( \hat{\phi}_H^t \) by \( \mathcal{P}_H \). For generic \( H \) we have that
\[
\det (\text{id} - d_{x(0)} \hat{\phi}_H^1) \neq 0, \forall x \in \mathcal{P}_H,
\]
see [27, Theorem 3.1]. Since \( M \) is compact, \( \mathcal{P}_H \) is a finite set. Such a generic admissible \( H \) is called regular, and the set of regular admissible Hamiltonians is denoted by \( \mathcal{H}^\pm_{\text{reg}} \subset \mathcal{H}^\pm \). Let \( \Lambda \) be the Novikov ring defined in (4). Given \( H \in \mathcal{H}^\pm \), consider the symplectic action functional
\[
\mathcal{A}_H(x) := -\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \bar{x}^* \omega + \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} H(t, x(t)) dt,
\]
which is defined on the space of equivalence classes \( \bar{x} := [x, \bar{x}] \) of pairs \( (x, \bar{x}) \) w.r.t. the equivalence relation \( (x_1, \bar{x}_1) \sim (x_2, \bar{x}_2) \) iff \( x_1 = x_2, \omega(\bar{x}_1 \#(-\bar{x}_2)) = c_1(\bar{x}_1 \#(-\bar{x}_2)) = 0 \), where \( x: \mathbb{S}^1 \to M \) is a smooth contractible loop and \( \bar{x}: \mathbb{D}^2 \to M \) satisfies \( \bar{x}(e^{it}) = x(t) \). For a generic pair \( (H, J) \in \mathcal{H}^\pm_{\text{reg}} \times \mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{S}^1, P_{-2\varepsilon}} \), one can associate to \( \mathcal{A}_H \) a Floer chain complex \( (CF_*(H; \Lambda), \partial_{H,J}) \): the generators of the complex are the elements of \( \mathcal{P}_H = \{ \bar{x} = [x, \bar{x}] \mid x \in \mathcal{P}_H \} \) and the \( \Lambda \)-linear differential \( \partial_{H,J} : CF_*(H; \Lambda) \to CF_{*-1}(H; \Lambda) \) counts the algebraic number of isolated \( (H, J) \)-Floer cylinders connecting elements of \( \mathcal{P}_H \), such that the corresponding capped cylinders represent the zero class in \( \Gamma \). By the maximum principle, see [24, Corollary 2.3], \((H, J)\)-Floer cylinders lie away from the boundary \( \partial M \) and thus, the differential \( \partial_{H,J} \) is well-defined. Such a generic pair \( (H, J) \) will be called a regular admissible pair. The homology \( H_*(CF_*(H; \Lambda), \partial_{H,J}) \) is called the Floer homology over \( \Lambda \) and will be denoted by \( HF_*(H, J; \Lambda) \).
By [24] Corollary 2.3 and by the connectedness of the space \( J_{S^1, P_{2\varepsilon}} \), the Floer continuation maps theorem [27] Theorem 5.2 still holds. Thus, the Floer homology \( HF_*(H, J; \Lambda) \) does not depend on the choice of a regular admissible pair \((H, J)\).

We have two well-defined pair-of-pants products according to the class of Hamiltonians. Namely, for generic pairs \((H_i^\pm, J_i^\pm) \in H_{\text{reg}}^\pm \times J_{S^1, P_{2\varepsilon}}^\pm, i = 1, 2, 3\), we have two homomorphisms

\[
*_{PP} : HF_k(H_1^\pm, J_1^\pm; \Lambda) \otimes HF_l(H_2^\pm, J_2^\pm; \Lambda) \to HF_{k+l-2n}(H_3^\pm, J_3^\pm; \Lambda).
\]

Following the arguments of U. Frauenfelder and F. Schlenk in [24] Section 4 we have the Piunikhin-Salamon-Schwarz-type isomorphisms of \( \Lambda \)-algebras

\[
\Phi_{\text{PSS}}^\pm : (QH_\ast^\pm, *) \to \left( HF_*(H^\pm, J^\pm; \Lambda), *_{PP}^\pm \right),
\]

where \((QH_\ast^\pm, *) := (QH_\ast(M, \partial M; \Lambda), *)\) and \((QH_\ast^\pm, *^\pm) := (QH_\ast(M; \Lambda), *_1^\pm)\) – see [30] Section 2.1.6 for more details.

2.4. Spectral invariants. The symplectic action functional \( A_H \) and the valuation \( \nu \) define a filtration \( CF^{(-\infty, \alpha)}_\ast(H, \Lambda) \) on the Floer chains group in a standard way – see e.g. [36]. The differential \( \partial_{H,J} \) preserves the subspace \( CF^{(-\infty, \alpha)}_\ast(H, \Lambda) \) and thus, the filtration descends to the homology:

\[
HF^{(-\infty, \alpha)}_\ast(H, J; \Lambda) := H_\ast(CF^{(-\infty, \alpha)}_\ast(H, \Lambda), \partial_{H,J}).
\]

Following Viterbo [47], Schwarz [13] and Oh [36] we define two kinds of spectral invariants \( c^\pm : QH_\ast^\pm \times H^\pm \to \mathbb{R} \), which descend to \( H_{\text{reg}}(M, \omega) \). Namely, for a regular admissible pair \((H^\pm, J^\pm)\) and for \( 0 \neq a^\pm \in QH_\ast^\pm \) we define

\[
c^\pm(a^\pm, H^\pm) := \inf \left\{ \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \mid \Phi_{\text{PSS}}^\pm(a^\pm) \in HF^{(-\infty, \alpha)}_\ast(H^\pm, J^\pm; \Lambda) \right\}.
\]

Following [43], [37] Theorem 5.3, Proposition 5.8, [46], we obtain the following standard properties of the spectral numbers – see [30] Section 2.2 for details.

**Proposition 2.10.**

(i) Spectral numbers \( c^\pm(a^\pm, H^\pm) \) are finite and do not depend on the choice of almost complex structures \( J^\pm \).

(ii) For any \( H^\pm, K^\pm \in H_{\text{reg}}^\pm \), we have

\[
|c^\pm(a^\pm, H^\pm) - c^\pm(a^\pm, K^\pm)| \leq \|H^\pm - K^\pm\|_{L^{(1, \infty)}}.
\]

where the \( L^{(1, \infty)} \)-norm \( \|\cdot\|_{L^{(1, \infty)}} \) on \( C^\infty([0, 1] \times M) \) is defined as

\[
\|H\|_{L^{(1, \infty)}} := \int_0^1 \left( \sup_{x \in M} H(t, x) - \inf_{x \in M} H(t, x) \right) dt.
\]

In particular, the functions \( H^\pm \mapsto c^\pm(a^\pm, H^\pm) \) are \( C^0 \)-continuous on \( H_{\text{reg}}^\pm \).
Corollary 2.11. The functions $c^\pm : H^\pm \mapsto c^\pm(a^\pm, H^\pm)$ can be extended to functions $c^\pm : \mathcal{H}^\pm \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, which are 1-Lipschitz w.r.t. the $L^{(1,\infty)}$-norm. In particular, $c^\pm(a^\pm, H)$ are well defined for $C^\infty$-smooth compactly supported $H \in \mathcal{H}_c(M) \subset \mathcal{H}^+ \cap \mathcal{H}^-$. 

Proposition 2.12. For any $H^\pm, K^\pm \in \mathcal{H}^\pm$ and any $0 \neq a^\pm, b^\pm \in QH_0^\pm$ we have the following properties of spectral numbers. 

(Spectrality) If $(M, \omega)$ is rational, i.e. the group $\omega(H^s_0(M)) \subset \mathbb{R}$ is a discrete subgroup of $\mathbb{R}$, or if $(M, \omega)$ is irrational, but the Hamiltonians $H^\pm$ are non-degenerate, then $c^\pm(a^\pm, H^\pm) \in \text{Spec}(H^\pm)$, where $\text{Spec}(H^\pm)$ is the action spectrum, i.e. the set of critical values of $\lambda \in \text{Spec}(H^\pm)$. 

(Quantum homology shift property) $c^\pm(\lambda a^\pm, H^\pm) = c^\pm(a^\pm, H^\pm) + \nu(\lambda)$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$. 

(Monotonicity) If $H^\pm \leq K^\pm$, then $c^\pm(a^\pm, H^\pm) \leq c^\pm(a^\pm, K^\pm)$. 

($C^0$-continuity) $|c^\pm(a^\pm, H^\pm) - c^\pm(a^\pm, K^\pm)| \leq \|H^\pm - K^\pm\|_{L^{(1,\infty)}}$. 

(Symplectic invariance) $c^\pm(a^\pm, \psi^* H^\pm) = c^\pm(a^\pm, H^\pm)$ for every element $\psi \in \text{Symp}_0^c(M, \omega)$, where $\text{Symp}_0^c(M, \omega)$ denotes the identity component of the group of symplectomorphisms of $(M, \omega)$, whose support is contained in $M \setminus \partial M$. 

(Normalization) $c^\pm(a^\pm, 0) = \nu(a^\pm)$ for every $a^\pm \in QH_0^\pm$. 

(Homotopy invariance) If $H, K \in \mathcal{H}_c(M)$ and $\phi^1_H = \phi^1_K$, then $c^\pm(a^\pm, H) = c^\pm(a^\pm, K)$. Thus one can define $c^\pm(a^\pm, \phi)$ for any $\phi \in \widetilde{\text{Ham}}_c(M, \omega)$ as $c^\pm(a^\pm, H)$ for any $H \in \mathcal{H}_c(M)$ generating $\phi$, i.e. $\phi = \phi^1_H$. 

(Triangle inequality) For any $H, K \in \mathcal{H}_c(M)$ then $c^\pm(a^\pm \star b^\pm, H \# K) \leq c^\pm(a^\pm, H) + c^\pm(b^\pm, K)$, and thus, for any $\widetilde{\phi}, \widetilde{\psi} \in \widetilde{\text{Ham}}_c(M, \omega)$ we have $c^\pm(a^\pm \star b^\pm, \widetilde{\phi} \widetilde{\psi}) \leq c^\pm(a^\pm, \widetilde{\phi}) + c^\pm(b^\pm, \widetilde{\psi})$. 

(Poincaré-Lefschetz duality) Let $\Pi_2 : QH_0^+ \times QH_0^{-2n-\ast} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ be the non-degenerate pairing defined in [13]. Then 

$$c^\pm(a^\pm, H^\pm) = - \inf \left\{ c^\pm(b^\mp, (H^\pm)^{(-1)}) \mid \Pi_2(a^\pm, b^\mp) \neq 0 \right\},$$

where the inverse Hamiltonian $H^{(-1)}$ generates the inverse path $(\phi^t_H)^{-1}$, and is given by $H^{(-1)}(t, p) := -H(-t, p)$. In particular, for any $\widetilde{\phi} \in \widetilde{\text{Ham}}_c(M, \omega)$ we have $c^\pm(a^\pm, \widetilde{\phi}) = - \inf \left\{ c^\pm(b^\mp, (\widetilde{\phi})^{-1}) \mid \Pi_2(a^\pm, b^\mp) \neq 0 \right\}$. 

3. Quasi-morphisms and quasi-states.

In this section we extend the constructions from [14] and [15] of quasi-morphisms and quasi-states to the case of compact convex strongly semi-positive symplectic manifolds.
3.1. Quasi-morphisms. Fix two non-zero idempotents $\epsilon^{\pm} \in QH_{2n}^{\pm}$.

**Theorem 3.1.** Suppose that

(QM$_1$) the $\mathbb{K}_G$-subalgebras $\mathbb{K}^{\pm} := \epsilon^{\pm}QH_{2n}^{\pm}$ are fields,

(QM$_2$) for all $a^{\mp} \in QH_{0}^{\mp}$ one has $\Pi_2(a^{\mp}, a^{\mp}) \neq 0 \Rightarrow \epsilon^{\mp} \ast a^{\mp} \neq 0$.

Then there exist constants $C^{\pm} > 0$, such that

$$c^{\mp}(\epsilon^{\mp}, \phi) + c^{\pm}(\epsilon^{\pm}, \phi^{-1}) \leq C^{\mp}$$

for all $\phi \in \widetilde{\text{Ham}}_c(M, \omega)$. It implies that for all $\phi \in \widetilde{\text{Ham}}_c(M, \omega)$

$$c^{+}(\epsilon^{+}, \phi) + c^{+}(\epsilon^{+}, \phi^{-1}) + c^{-}(\epsilon^{-}, \phi) + c^{-}(\epsilon^{-}, \phi^{-1}) \leq C := C^{+} + C^{-}.$$  

In particular, since

$$0 \leq \nu(\epsilon^{\pm}) = c^{\pm}(\epsilon^{\pm}, \text{id}_M) = c^{\pm}(\epsilon^{\pm} \ast \epsilon^{\pm}, \phi \phi^{-1}) \leq c^{\pm}(\epsilon^{\pm}, \phi) + c^{\pm}(\epsilon^{\pm}, \phi^{-1})$$

we have that

$$\max\left\{c^{+}(\epsilon^{+}, \phi) + c^{+}(\epsilon^{+}, \phi^{-1}) ; c^{-}(\epsilon^{-}, \phi) + c^{-}(\epsilon^{-}, \phi^{-1})\right\} \leq C$$

for any $\phi \in \widetilde{\text{Ham}}_c(M, \omega)$.

**Remark 3.2.** Note the behaviour of the $\pm$ sign vs the $\mp$ sign in the (QM$_2$) condition. We also note that there is no such (QM$_2$) condition in the closed case. Moreover, we do not know any example of a non-closed manifold, where (QM$_2$) does not hold.

Recall that the Hofer (bi-invariant) pseudo-metric $\tilde{d}$ on $\widetilde{\text{Ham}}_c(M, \omega)$ is given by $\tilde{d}(\phi_H, \phi_K) := \| (\phi_H^{-1})^{-1} \phi_K \|$, where $\| \phi \| := \inf\{ \| H \|_{L(1, \infty)} \mid \phi_H = \phi \}$.

**Corollary 3.3.** The functions $c^{\pm} : \widetilde{\text{Ham}}_c(M, \omega) \to \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$c^{\pm}(\phi) := c^{\pm}(\epsilon^{\pm}, \phi)$$

are quasi-morphisms. These functions satisfy

$$\left| c^{\pm}(\phi_H) - c^{\pm}(\phi_K) \right| \leq \| H - K \|_{L(1, \infty)},$$

and hence, are 1-Lipschitz w.r.t the Hofer pseudo-metric $\tilde{d}$. Moreover, for any $\phi \in \widetilde{\text{Ham}}_c(M, \omega)$ we have $\tilde{c}^{+}(\phi) = \tilde{c}^{-}(\phi)$. Denote this homogeneous quasi-morphism by $\tilde{c}_1$.

**Proof.** By the triangle inequality for spectral numbers we have

$$c^{\pm}(\epsilon^{\pm}, \phi \phi) = c^{\pm}(\epsilon^{\pm} \ast \epsilon^{\pm}, \phi \phi) \leq c^{\pm}(\epsilon^{\pm}, \phi) + c^{\pm}(\epsilon^{\pm}, \phi)$$
for any $\tilde{\phi}, \tilde{\psi} \in \widehat{\text{Ham}}_c(M, \omega)$. Hence, $c^\pm (\tilde{\phi} \tilde{\psi}) \leq c^\pm (\tilde{\phi}) + c^\pm (\tilde{\psi})$. For another inequality we note that for any $\tilde{\phi}, \tilde{\psi} \in \widehat{\text{Ham}}_c(M, \omega)$

$$c^\pm (\tilde{\phi} + \tilde{\psi}) = c^\pm (\tilde{\phi}) + c^\pm (\tilde{\psi}) \leq c^\pm (\tilde{\phi}^{-1} \tilde{\psi}) \leq c^\pm (\tilde{\phi}) + c^\pm (\tilde{\psi}) \leq C + c^\pm (\tilde{\phi} \tilde{\psi}).$$

Inequality (19) follows directly from the $C^0$-continuity property of spectral numbers.

The equality $\hat{c}^+ (\tilde{\phi}) = \hat{c}^- (\tilde{\phi})$ follows immediately from the homogenization of the inequality $c^\pm (\epsilon^\pm, \tilde{\phi}) + c^\pm (\epsilon^\pm, \tilde{\phi}^{-1}) \leq C^\pm$.

Recall that a subset $A$ of a symplectic manifold $(M, \omega)$ is called **displaceable** if there exists $\phi \in \text{Ham}_c(M, \omega)$, such that $\phi(A) \cap \overline{A} = \emptyset$.

**Corollary 3.4.** The function $\mathfrak{q} : \widehat{\text{Ham}}_c(M, \omega) \to \mathbb{R}$ is a 1-Lipschitz (w.r.t the Hofer pseudo-metric) homogeneous quasi-morphism. Moreover, for every open and displaceable $U \subset M$, the restriction of $\mathfrak{q}$ to $\widehat{\text{Ham}}_c(U)$ is identically zero, where $\widehat{\text{Ham}}_c(U) \subset \widehat{\text{Ham}}_c(M, \omega)$ is the subgroup of elements $\hat{\phi}_H$ generated by Hamiltonians $H \in \mathcal{H}_c(M)$ with $\text{supp}(H(t, \cdot)) \subset U$ for all $t \in S^1$.

**Proof.** The proof of the Lipschitz property of $\mathfrak{q}$ repeats verbatim the proof of [14, Proposition 3.5]. The last assertion follows from the “shift of the spectrum” trick of Ostrover [38] — see [30, Corollary 3.1.5]. □

**Remark 3.5.** A priori, the homogeneous quasi-morphism $\mathfrak{q}$ may be a homomorphism. We discuss the examples of symplectic manifolds, for which $\mathfrak{q}$ is not a homomorphism in Section 3.3.

**Proof of Theorem 3.1.** We follow the argument from [14, Theorem 3.1].

**Step 1.** By [14, Lemma 3.2], there exists a positive real number $R$, such that $\nu(a) + \nu(a^{-1}) \leq R$ for every $a \in \mathbb{R}^+ \setminus \{0\} \cup \mathbb{R}^- \setminus \{0\}$.

**Step 2.** By the triangle inequality and the normalization properties of spectral numbers, we have

$$c^\pm (\epsilon^\pm * a^\pm, \tilde{\phi}) \leq c^\pm (a^\pm, \tilde{\phi}) + \nu (\epsilon^\pm),$$

for all $a^\pm \in QH^\pm$ and for all $\tilde{\phi} \in \widehat{\text{Ham}}_c(M, \omega)$.

**Step 3.** Define the sets $Q^\pm := \{a^\pm \in QH_0^\pm \mid \Pi_2 (\epsilon^\pm, a^\pm) \neq 0\}$. By the Poincaré-Lefschetz duality property of spectral numbers, we have that for every $\tilde{\phi} \in \widehat{\text{Ham}}_c(M, \omega)$
− c± (ε±, ˜φ−1) = \inf_{\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{r}^+} \left\{ c^+ (a^+, \phi) \right\} \geq −\nu (\epsilon^+) + \inf_{\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{r}^+} \left\{ c^+ (\epsilon^+ * a^+, ˜\phi) \right\} \quad \text{(QHSP)}

= −\nu (\epsilon^+) + \inf_{\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{r}^+} \left\{ c^+ \left( q^{2^n} (\epsilon^+ * a^+), ˜\phi \right) \right\} \quad \text{(QM1) \& (QM2) \Rightarrow} \exists (q^{2^n} (\epsilon^+ * a^+))^{-1} \in \mathfrak{k}^+ \setminus \{0\}

\geq −\nu (\epsilon^+) + \inf_{\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{r}^+} \left\{ c^+ (\epsilon^+, ˜\phi) − \nu \left( (q^{2^n} (\epsilon^+ * a^+))^{-1} \right) \right\} \quad \text{Step 1+(QHSP)}

\geq −\nu (\epsilon^+) + c^+ (\epsilon^+, ˜\phi) − R + \inf_{\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{r}^+} \{ \nu (\epsilon^+ * a^+) \} \quad \Pi_a (\epsilon, a) \neq 0 \Rightarrow \nu (\epsilon^+ * a) \geq 0

\geq −\nu (\epsilon^+) + c^+ (\epsilon^+, ˜\phi) − R.

Here, (QHSP) stands for (Quantum homology shift property) from Proposition 2.12. It follows that c^± (\epsilon^+, ˜\phi) + c^± (\epsilon^+, ˜\phi−1) \leq C^± := \nu (\epsilon^+) + R, for every ˜\phi ∈ \hat{\Ham}_c (M, \omega).

In the absence of conditions (QM1) and (QM2) of Theorem 3.1, the functions c± and ˜c± most likely are not quasi-morphisms. On the other hand, they have weaker properties analogous to [15].

The proof of the next theorem follows verbatim from [15] Theorem 7.1.

**Theorem 3.6.** The homogenization ˜c± : \( \hat{\Ham}_c (M, \omega) \rightarrow R \) of c± has the following properties:

(1) **Controlled quasi-additivity** Given a displaceable open set U ⊂ M, there exists a positive constant R, depending only on U, so that

\[ |\hat{c}^± (\tilde{\phi} \psi) − \hat{c}^± (\tilde{\phi}) − \hat{c}^± (\tilde{\psi})| \leq R \cdot \min \left\{ \| \tilde{\phi} \|_U, \| \tilde{\psi} \|_U \right\} \]

for any ˜\phi, ˜\psi ∈ \hat{\Ham}_c (M, \omega).

(2) **Semi-homogeneity** c^± (\tilde{\phi}^m) = mc^± (\tilde{\phi}) for any ˜\phi ∈ \hat{\Ham}_c (M, \omega) and any m ∈ \mathbb{Z}_{≥0}.

Thus, ˜c± is a partial quasi-morphism. In addition, the function is 1-Lipschitz w.r.t the Hofer pseudo-metric, and for every open and displaceable U ⊂ M, the restriction of c^± to \( \hat{\Ham}_c (U) \) is identically zero.

### 3.2. Symplectic quasi-states

Denote by \( \mathcal{H}_{cc} (M) \) the set of \( C^\infty \)-smooth functions \( H : S^1 \times M \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \), such that \( H ∈ \mathcal{H}_{cc} (M) \) if and only if there exists \( n_H ∈ C^\infty (S^1, \mathbb{R}) \), for which \( H − n_H ∈ \mathcal{H}_c (M) \). Notice, that \( \mathcal{H}_c (M) ⊂ \mathcal{H}_{cc} (M) \) and \( \hat{\Ham}_c (M, \omega) := \{ \phi_H \mid H ∈ \mathcal{H}_{cc} (M) \} \). For any \( H ∈ \mathcal{H}_{cc} (M) \) the spectral numbers \( c^± (a^±, H) \) are defined as above, and \( c^± (a^±, H) = c^± (a^±, F) + \int_0^1 n_H (t) dt \), where \( H = F + n_H \) is a unique representation with \( F ∈ \mathcal{H}_c (M) \) and \( n_H ∈ C^\infty (S^1, \mathbb{R}) \).
Theorem 3.7. Suppose that the quantum homology algebras $QH^\pm_*$ of $(M,\omega)$ satisfy conditions $(QM_1)$ and $(QM_2)$ (see Theorem 3.1). Then $C_{cc}(M)$ admits a symplectic quasi-state (possibly trivial, see Remark 3.5).

Proof. Define $\zeta : C_{cc}^\infty(M) \to \mathbb{R}$ by
\begin{equation}
(21) \quad \zeta(F) := n_F + \eta\left(\tilde{\phi}_F^1\right).
\end{equation}
We follow [15, Theorem 3.1]. By the Lipschitz property of the quasi-morphism $\eta$, the function $\zeta$ extends continuously to $C_{cc}(M)$. Since $\eta\left(\text{id}_M\right) = 0$, we have $\zeta(1) = 1$. Symplectic invariance and monotonicity are direct consequences of the same properties of the spectral numbers. The vanishing axiom follows immediately from Corollary 3.4. For the strong quasi-additivity axiom we note that on the one hand, $\{F,G\} = 0$ implies $\tilde{\phi}_F^1\tilde{\phi}_G^1 = \tilde{\phi}_G^1\tilde{\phi}_F^1 = \tilde{\phi}_F^1 G$. On the other hand, the restriction of a homogeneous quasi-morphism to any abelian subgroup is a homomorphism. These two observations directly lead to the result. \[ \square \]

If the quantum homology algebras $QH^\pm_*$ of $(M,\omega)$ do not satisfy conditions $(QM_1)$ and $(QM_2)$, Formula (21) defines only a partial quasi-state (see Theorem 3.8). In general, the subalgebra $QH^-_{2n}$ does not contain non-zero idempotents. For example, in the weakly exact case there are no quantum effects and the quantum homology algebras $QH^\pm_*$ of $(M,\omega)$ reduce to the usual singular homology algebras with the standard intersection products. On the other hand, the fundamental class $[M,\partial M] \otimes 1$ is always a non-zero idempotent in $QH^+_2$. Therefore, using the partial quasi-morphism $\eta_p := c^+$ defined by $\epsilon^+ := [M,\partial M] \otimes 1$, we can construct a partial symplectic quasi-state on $C_{cc}(M)$ for any strongly semi-positive compact convex symplectic manifold $(M,\omega)$.

Theorem 3.8. Suppose $(M,\omega)$ is a strongly semi-positive compact convex symplectic manifold. Then $C_{cc}(M)$ admits a partial symplectic quasi-state.

Remark 3.9. A priori, this partial symplectic quasi-state might be trivial.

Proof. Take any non-zero idempotent $\epsilon^+ \in QH^+_{2n}$. Define $\zeta_p : C_{cc}^\infty(M) \to \mathbb{R}$ by
\begin{equation}
(22) \quad \zeta_p(F) := n_F + \eta_p\left(\tilde{\phi}_F^1\right).
\end{equation}
Now, the proof repeats verbatim [15, Theorem 4.1]. \[ \square \]

3.3. Non-triviality of the quasi-morphism and of the quasi-state. A priori, $\eta$ might be a homomorphism. In this section we present examples of monotone compact convex symplectic manifolds, for which $\eta$ is a genuine homogeneous quasi-morphism and $\zeta$ is a genuine non-linear symplectic quasi-state.

We shall examine the case of the one-point symplectic blow-up $(\widetilde{D^*X},\widetilde{X})$ of the cotangent disk bundle $D^*X$ relative to the Lagrangian zero section $X$, i.e. the symplectic blow-up of size $\delta$ that corresponds to a symplectic embedding...
of pairs \((\mathbb{B}^{2\dim X}(\delta), \mathbb{B}^{\dim X}(\delta))\) \(\rightarrow (\mathbb{D}^\ast X, X)\), where \(\mathbb{B}^{2\dim X}(\delta)\) is the standard closed ball with center zero and radius \((\frac{\delta}{2})^{1/2}\) in \(\mathbb{C}^{\dim X}\) and \(\mathbb{B}^{\dim X}(\delta)\) is its real part in \(\mathbb{R}^{\dim X} = \text{Re} \mathbb{C}^{\dim X}\), see [12, Theorem 1.21]. Remark that the blown up pair is diffeomorphic to \((\mathbb{D}^\ast X \# \mathbb{C}^{\dim X}, X \# \mathbb{R}^{\dim X})\).

Following [10, Section 8] we adapt the Biran-Cornea pearl homology machinery to our setting. Set \(F = \mathbb{Z}_2\). Let \(\dim X = 2n\) and denote by \(E = \mathbb{CP}^{2n-1}\) the exceptional divisor. Let \(J \in \mathcal{J}(M, \partial M, \omega)\) be a generic almost complex structure that is standard near \(E\). Since \(H_2(M, L; \mathbb{Z}) = H_2(\mathbb{CP}^{2n}, \mathbb{RP}^{2n}; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}\), we have that \(L\) is the closed monotone Lagrangian submanifold with the minimal Maslov number \(N_L = 2n - 1\), and \(M\) is a compact convex monotone symplectic manifold with the minimal Chern number \(C_M = 2n - 1\). Since \(H_2^S(M; \mathbb{Z}) = H_2^S(\mathbb{D}^\ast X; \mathbb{Z}) \oplus \mathbb{Z}[\langle E \rangle^{2n-1}] \cong H_2^S(\mathbb{D}^\ast X; \mathbb{Z}) \oplus \mathbb{Z}\), and \(\omega|_{H_2^S(\mathbb{D}^\ast X; \mathbb{Z})} = 0\), \(\omega([E]^{2n-1}) = \delta\), the group of half-periods of \(M\) is \(G = \frac{\delta}{2} \cdot \mathbb{Z}\) and hence \(\mathbb{K}_G = \mathbb{Z}_2[[s^{\delta/2}]]\) – the field of formal Laurent series in variable \(s^{\delta/2}\) with a finite Taylor part. The monotonicity constant of \(M\) is \(\kappa = \frac{\delta}{2\eta - 1}\), and the monotonicity constant of \(L\) is \(\eta = \frac{\delta}{2} = \frac{\delta}{4n-2}\). Recall that \(\omega = \kappa \cdot c_1\) and \(\omega|_{H_2^D(M, L; \mathbb{Z})} = \eta \cdot \mu\), where \(H_2^D(M, L; \mathbb{Z})\) is the image of the relative Hurewicz homomorphism \(\pi_2(M, L) \rightarrow H_2(M, L; \mathbb{Z})\) and \(\mu : H_2^D(M, L; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\) is given by the Maslov index.

We also shall use the following notations: \((H_+^s, \bullet^+) := (H_*(M, \partial M; \mathbb{Z}_2), \bullet_3)\) and \((H_-^s, \bullet^-) := (H_*(M; \mathbb{Z}_2), \bullet_1)\).

**Definition 3.10.** [4] Let \(L\) be a Lagrangian submanifold of the symplectic manifold \((M, \omega)\) and \(\tilde{\phi} \in \text{Ham}_c(M, \omega)\). The **depth** and, respectively, the **height** of \(\tilde{\phi}\) on \(L\) are defined as:

\[
\text{depth}_L(\tilde{\phi}) = \sup_{[\phi'_H] = \tilde{\phi}} \inf_{\gamma \in \Lambda(L)} \int_{S^1} H(\gamma(t), t) dt
\]

\[
\text{height}_L(\tilde{\phi}) = \inf_{[\phi'_H] = \tilde{\phi}} \sup_{\gamma \in \Lambda(L)} \int_{S^1} H(\gamma(t), t) dt ,
\]

where \(\Lambda(L)\) stands for the space of smooth loops \(\gamma : S^1 \rightarrow L\), \(H : M \times S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\) is a compactly supported Hamiltonian, and \([\phi'_H] = \tilde{\phi}\) means the equality of homotopy classes of paths (relative to the ends).

Our goal is to prove the following

**Theorem 3.11.** Let \(X\) be a smooth closed connected manifold of dimension \(2n\) for \(n > 1\), such that \(X\) is a homology sphere over \(\mathbb{Z}_2\) or the singular homology algebra (w.r.t the intersection product) \(H_*(X; \mathbb{Z}_2)\) is generated as a ring by \(H_{2n-1}(X; \mathbb{Z}_2)\). Let \((M, L) := (\mathbb{D}^\ast X, \tilde{X})\) be the one-point symplectic blow-up relative to the Lagrangian zero section \(X\) as above. Then there exist two non-zero
idempotents \(e^\pm \in QH^\pm_{4n}(M)\) satisfying conditions (QM\(_1\)) and (QM\(_2\)), so that
\[
\text{depth}_X(\tilde{\phi}) \leq c^\pm(e^\pm, \tilde{\phi}) \leq \text{height}_X(\tilde{\phi}) + \delta, \quad \forall \tilde{\phi} \in \widehat{\text{Ham}}_c(M, \omega).
\]

In particular,
\[
(23) \quad \text{depth}_X(\tilde{\phi}) \leq q_1(\tilde{\phi}) \leq \text{height}_X(\tilde{\phi}), \quad \forall \tilde{\phi} \in \widehat{\text{Ham}}_c(M, \omega),
\]
where \(q_1(\tilde{\phi})\) is the homogenization of \(c^\pm(e^\pm, \tilde{\phi})\).

**Proof of Theorem 1.6** (assuming Theorem 3.11). We shall show that the symplectic quasi-state \(\zeta : C^\infty_c(\mathbb{D}^nX) \to \mathbb{R}\) given by \(\zeta(F) := n_F + q_1\left(\tilde{\phi}^\Gamma\right)\) is a non-linear functional and thus, \(q_1\) is not a homomorphism. Indeed, let \(L_1, L_2, L_3\) be three compactly supported symplectic isotopies of \(\tilde{X}\), such that \(L_1 \cap L_2 \cap L_3 = \emptyset\) and \(L_i \pitchfork L_j\) for all \(i, j\). Take \(F_1, F_2 \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{D}^nX)\), such that \(F_i|_{L_i} \equiv 0\) for \(i = 1, 2\) and \((F_1 + F_2)|_{L_3} \equiv 1\). By (23) we have \(\min_X F \leq \zeta(F) \leq \max_X F\), for all \(F \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{D}^nX)\), and by the symplectic invariance we have \(\zeta(F) = \zeta(F \circ \phi)\), for all \(F \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{D}^nX)\), \(\phi \in \text{Symp}_c^0(\mathbb{D}^nX)\). It follows that \(\zeta(F_1) = \zeta(F_2) = 0\) and \(\zeta(F_1 + F_2) = 1\). \(\square\)

**Examples 3.12.** Examples of \(X\) for which \(H_*(X; \mathbb{Z}_2)\) is generated as a ring by \(H_{2n-1}(X; \mathbb{Z}_2)\) are direct products of smooth closed 2-dimensional surfaces (orientable or not), homology projective spaces over \(\mathbb{Z}_2\), and direct products or connected sums of the above manifolds.

For the proof of the last theorem, we use the theory of the (pearl) Lagrangian quantum homology developed by Biran and Cornea, see [3], [4], [5], [6]. Let \(QH(L; \Lambda')\) be the pearl homology of \(L\) over the Novikov ring \(\Lambda' := \mathbb{Z}_2[t, t^{-1}]\), where \(t = s^{-b/2}q^{-2n-1}\). Note that \(\Lambda'\) is the sub-ring of \(\Lambda\). Since \(M\) is tame, the pearl homology is well-defined. We are interested in the following algebraic operations that have been defined in the above works in the closed case, and readily extend to our case by using admissible Hamiltonians and Morse functions on \(M\), see [5] Theorem 2.5.2, Theorem 2.6.1 for the full list of properties.

**The module structures \(\otimes^\pm\).** There are two canonical operations
\[
\otimes^\pm : H^+_i \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} QH_j(L; \Lambda') \to QH_{i+j-4n}(L; \Lambda'), \quad \forall i, j \in \mathbb{Z}
\]
that make \(QH(L; \Lambda')\) into a module over the rings \((H^+_s, \bullet^\pm)\).

**The quantum inclusion maps \(i_L^\pm\).** There is a canonical map of degree zero \(i_L^\pm : QH(L; \Lambda') \to QH^\pm\), which is a map of \(H^\pm\)-modules. In addition, using the \(*_2\) quantum product one can observe that the map \(i_L^+\) is also a map of \(H^\pm\)-modules, i.e. \(i_L^-(a \otimes^+ x) = a *_2 i_L^-(x)\) for \(a \in H^+_s\) and \(x \in QH(L; \Lambda')\). Note that for any \(x \in H_s(M; \mathbb{Z}_2)\) the classical part of \(i_L^-(x)\) (resp. \(i_L^+(x)\)) is equal to \(i_s(x) \in H_s(M; \mathbb{Z}_2)\) (resp. \((j \circ i)_s(x) \in H_s(M, \partial M; \mathbb{Z}_2)\)). Here \(i : L \hookrightarrow M\) and \(j : (M, \emptyset) \hookrightarrow (M, \partial M)\) are the standard inclusions.
**Gromov-Witten invariants.** Invariants $GW_{A,1,3}(a, b, c)$ and $GW_{A,2,3}(a, b, c)$ (over $\mathbb{Z}_2$) can be non-zero only when the classes $a, b, c$ come from the classes $[E]^i, ([E]^i)^\vee$ and $A = d[E]^{2n-1} = d[\mathbb{C}P^1]$ for $d \in \mathbb{N}$. Since the class $([E]^i)^\vee$ can be represented by a submanifold $\mathbb{C}P^i \subset E$ and we are using a generic almost complex structure that is standard near $E$, we have

$$GW_{d[\mathbb{C}P^1], 1, 3}([E]^i, ([E]^i)^\vee, ([E]^j)^\vee) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } d = 1 \text{ and } 0 < i, j, k < 2n \text{ with } \ i - j - k = -1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}$$

and

$$GW_{d[\mathbb{C}P^1], 2, 3}([E]^i, [E]^j, ([E]^k)^\vee) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } d = 1 \text{ and } 0 < i, j, k < 2n \text{ with } \ i + j - k = 2n - 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

**Quantum homologies $QH_{4n}^\pm$ and condition (QM1).** Denote $\varepsilon_{0n} = [pt] \otimes q^{4n}, \varepsilon_{i} = [E]^{2n-i} \otimes q^{4n-2i}$ for $0 < i < 2n$. Then $\varepsilon^- = s^\delta \varepsilon_1$ is an idempotent w.r.t. the $\ast^-$ product and

$$\varepsilon^- QH_{4n}^- = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{K}_G}\{\varepsilon_{1}^-, \varepsilon_{2}^-, \ldots, \varepsilon_{2n-2}^-, s^\delta \varepsilon_0^- + \varepsilon_{2n-1}^-\} \cong \frac{\mathbb{K}_G[x]}{\left(x^{2n-1} + s^\delta\right)}$$

is a field. Indeed, $s^\delta \varepsilon_0^- + \varepsilon_{2n-1}^- \mapsto x$ gives rise to an isomorphism of $\mathbb{K}_G$-algebras.

Denote $\varepsilon_{i}^+ = ([E]^i)^\vee \otimes q^{4n-2i}$ for $0 < i < 2n$ and $\varepsilon_{2n}^+ = [M, \partial M]$. Then $\varepsilon^+ = s^\delta \varepsilon_1^+$ is an idempotent w.r.t. the $\ast^+$ product and

$$\varepsilon^+ QH_{4n}^+ = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{K}_G}\{\varepsilon_{1}^+, \varepsilon_{2}^+, \ldots, \varepsilon_{2n-1}^+\} \cong \frac{\mathbb{K}_G[x]}{\left(x^{2n-1} + s^\delta\right)}$$

is a field. Indeed, $\varepsilon_{2n-1}^+ \mapsto x$ gives rise to an isomorphism of $\mathbb{K}_G$-algebras.

**Condition (QM2).** Take $a^- = \sum_{i=0}^{2n-1} f_i(s^{\delta/2}) q^{-4n} \varepsilon_i^- + \gamma \in QH_0^-$, where $f_i(s^{\delta/2}) \in \mathbb{K}_G$ and $\gamma$ comes from the homology of $\mathbb{D}^*_X$. If

$$\Pi_2(\varepsilon^+ \ast_2 a^- \otimes 1) \subset [M, \partial M] \otimes 1 = \left(f_{2n-1}(s^{\delta/2}) s^\delta\right)_0 \neq 0,$$

then $(\varepsilon^- \ast_2 a^-)_{[pt]} = f_{2n-1}(s^{\delta/2}) s^\delta \neq 0$.

Take $a^+ = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} f_i(s^{\delta/2}) q^{-4n} \varepsilon_i^+ + \xi \in QH_0^+$, where $f_i(s^{\delta/2}) \in \mathbb{K}_G$ and $\xi$ comes from the homology of $\left(\mathbb{D}^*_X, \partial \mathbb{D}^*_X\right)$. If

$$\Pi_2(\varepsilon^+ \ast_2 a^+ \otimes 1) \subset [M, \partial M] \otimes 1 = \left(f_{2n-1}(s^{\delta/2}) s^\delta\right)_0 \neq 0,$$

then $(\varepsilon^+ \ast_2 a^+)_{q^{-4n} \varepsilon_{2n-1}^+} = f_{2n-1}(s^{\delta/2}) s^\delta \neq 0$. 

**Quasi-morphisms and Symplectic Quasi-States**
We conclude that the elements $\epsilon^{\pm}$ are idempotents needed to define the quasi-morphism $q$.

**Lagrangian quantum homology.** It follows from [4, Theorem 1.2.2(i)] that for any $X$ with the above homological conditions $QH(L; L') \cong H(L; Z_2) \otimes_{Z_2} L'$. Consider the class $[RP^2] \in H_2(L; Z_2) = H_2(X; Z_2) \oplus Z_2([RP^2])$. We have that $i_*([RP^2]) = [E]^{2n-1} = [CP^1] \in H_2(M; Z_2)$. Since the minimal Maslov number $N_L = 2n - 1$ is odd and $j_*(([E]^{2n-1}) = [E]^\vee$, we have that $i_L^+([RP^2]) = [E]^\vee$ and $i_L^-[([RP^2]) = [E]^{2n-1}$. In particular, $\epsilon^{\pm} = i_L^+([RP^2]) \otimes s^q 2^{n-2}$. By the degree reason, we see that $QH_2(L; L') = H_2(L; Z_2)$. It follows that

$$i_L^+([E]^\vee \otimes^+ [RP^2]) = [E]^\vee \ast_2 i_L^-[([RP^2]) = [E]^{2n-1} \otimes s^q 2^{4n},$$

and

$$i_L^-[([E]^{2n-1} \otimes^-[ RP^2]) = [E]^{2n-1} \ast i_L^-[([RP^2]) = [E]^{2n-1} \otimes s^q 2^{4n}.$$  

**Lemma 3.13.** The following holds

$$[E]^\vee \otimes^+ [RP^2] = [E]^{2n-1} \otimes^+ [RP^2] = [RP^2] \otimes s^q 2^{4n}.$$  

**Proof.** Since

$$[E]^\vee \otimes^+ [RP^2], [E]^{2n-1} \otimes^- [RP^2] \in QH_{4-4n}(L) = H_2(L; Z_2) \otimes_{Z_2} s^q 2^{4n} Z_2,$$

we have

$$[E]^\vee \otimes^+ [RP^2] = (a + k[RP^2]) \otimes s^q 2^{4n}, \quad [E]^{2n-1} \otimes^- [RP^2] = (b + l[RP^2]) \otimes s^q 2^{4n},$$

where $a, b \in H_2(X; Z_2)$ and $k, l \in Z_2$. Thus,

$$(i_L^-(a) + k[E]^{2n-1} \otimes s^q 2^{4n} = [E]^{2n-1} \otimes s^q 2^{4n}$$

and

$$(i_L^-(b) + l[E]^{2n-1} \otimes s^q 2^{4n} = [E]^{2n-1} \otimes s^q 2^{4n}.$$  

Since the classical (degree zero) part of $i_L^-(a)$ (resp. $i_L^-(b)$) is $i_-(a) = a$ (resp. $i_+(b) = b$), we have by the degree reason that $i_L^-(a) = a$ and $i_L^-(b) = b$. It follows that $a = b = 0$ and $k = l = 1$. 

**Proof of Theorem 3.11**. The above computations showed that the conditions of Lemma 5.3.1 from [4] are fulfilled and hence we have the following estimates:

From [4, Lemma 5.3.1(i)] we have

$$c^+([E]^\vee, \tilde{\phi}), c^-[([E]^{2n-1}, \tilde{\phi}) \geq \text{depth}_L(\tilde{\phi}) - \delta, \forall \tilde{\phi} \in \text{Ham}_c(M,\omega).$$

Hence, $c^\pm(\epsilon^\pm, \tilde{\phi}) \geq \text{depth}_L(\tilde{\phi}), \forall \tilde{\phi} \in \text{Ham}_c(M,\omega)$, and hence

$$q(\tilde{\phi}) \geq \text{depth}_L(\tilde{\phi}), \forall \tilde{\phi} \in \text{Ham}_c(M,\omega).$$

From [4, Lemma 5.3.1(ii)] we have

$$c^\pm(\epsilon^\pm, \tilde{\phi}) \leq \text{height}_L(\tilde{\phi}) + \delta, \forall \tilde{\phi} \in \text{Ham}_c(M,\omega),$$
and hence \( q(\bar{\phi}) \leq \text{height}_L(\bar{\phi}), \forall \bar{\phi} \in \tilde{\text{Ham}}_c(M, \omega). \) 

Remark 3.14. It might seem that the simplest manifold for which one should try to check the non-triviality of the quasi-morphism/semi-state is the symplectic blow-up \((\mathbb{B}^4, \omega_B)\) of size \(0 < \delta << 1\) at zero of the standard closed unit 4-ball \(\mathbb{B}^4\) in \((\mathbb{C}^2, \omega_0)\). Indeed, it is a compact convex symplectic manifold, which satisfies

conditions \((\text{QM}_1)\) and \((\text{QM}_2)\) of Theorem 3.1. Hence, the group \(\tilde{\text{Ham}}_c(\mathbb{B}^4, \omega_B)\) admits a homogeneous quasi-morphism \(q\) as above. In addition, \(\mathbb{B}^4\) contains a monotone closed Lagrangian submanifold \(L\) of minimal Maslov number \(N_L = 2 - \) the Clifford torus \(T^2_{clif}\). So one can hope to get similar estimates for \(q\) using the Lagrangian quantum homology \(QH(T^2_{clif})\) of \(T^2_{clif}\) as before. But unfortunately, from the geometric criterion for the vanishing of the Lagrangian quantum homology, see [4, Proposition 4.2.1], the algebra \(QH(T^2_{clif})\) vanishes. Hence, the question of the non-triviality of \(q\) for \((\mathbb{B}^4, \omega_B)\) is open.

3.4. Descent of \(q\) to the group \(\text{Ham}_c(M, \omega)\). In this section we shall prove Theorem 1.7. To this end, we shall show that the restriction of \(q\) to the abelian subgroup \(\pi_1 \text{Ham}_c(M, \omega) \subset \tilde{\text{Ham}}_c(M, \omega)\) vanishes identically. For this purpose, we follow [14] and use the Seidel homomorphism \(\Psi : \pi_1 \text{Ham}_c(M, \omega) \to (QH^*_+, *, +)^\times\) (see [14], cf. [29]), where \((QH^*_+, *, +)^\times\) denotes the group of units of the relative quantum homology algebra \((QH^*_+, *, +)\) of \(M\). We follow [29] in the description of the Seidel homomorphism in the case of compact convex strongly semi-positive symplectic manifolds.

So, let \(\varphi\) be a loop of Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms and let

\[(M, \omega) \hookrightarrow (P_\varphi, \omega_\varphi) \xrightarrow{\pi} S^2\]

be a Hamiltonian fibration over the two-sphere \(S^2\) with the coupling class \(u_\varphi\) and the vertical Chern class \(c_\varphi\). We define an equivalence relation on the space of sections of the fibration \(P_\varphi \xrightarrow{\pi} S^2\) in the following way: two sections \(\sigma_1\) and \(\sigma_2\) are said to be \(\Gamma\)-equivalent if \(u_\varphi[\sigma_1(S^2)] = u_\varphi[\sigma_2(S^2)]\), \(c_\varphi[\sigma_1(S^2)] = c_\varphi[\sigma_2(S^2)]\). It has been shown in [14] that the set \(S_\varphi\) of all such equivalent classes is an affine space modeled on the group \(\Gamma\), see (11).

Next, we fix the canonical section class \([\sigma]_\varphi\) that is uniquely determined by \(u_\varphi([\sigma]_\varphi) = c_\varphi([\sigma]_\varphi) = 0\) and define a group homomorphism

\[\rho : \pi_1 \text{Ham}_c(M, \omega) \to \text{End}_\Lambda(QH^*_+)\] given by \(\rho([\varphi]) = \Psi_{\varphi, [\sigma]_\varphi}\),

where

\[\Psi_{\varphi, [\sigma]_\varphi}(a) = \sum_{[B] \in \Gamma} a_{[B]} \otimes s^{-\omega([B])} q^{-2\chi([B])}, a_{[B]} \in H_{\deg(a) + 2\chi([B])}(M, \partial M; \mathbb{Z}_2).\]

The class \(a_{[B]}\) is uniquely defined by \(a_{[B]} \bullet_2 b = \sum_{[B] \in [B]} SGW_{B, 1.2}(a, b)\), where \(SGW_{A,1.2}(a, b)\) is the 2-pointed sectional Gromov-Witten invariant – see Section...
2.2. Like in the closed case (see [44] or [29]) \( \psi \) is an isomorphism for any loop \( \varphi \) and actually depends only on \([\varphi] \in \pi_1 \text{Ham}_c(M, \omega)\). Moreover, for any \([\varphi] \in \pi_1 \text{Ham}_c(M, \omega)\) we have \( \rho([\varphi])(a) = \psi_{\varphi,[\sigma]_{\varphi}}([M, \partial M]) \ast^+ a \). The Seidel homomorphism \( \Psi : \pi_1 \text{Ham}_c(M, \omega) \to (QH^+_c, \ast^+) \) is defined by \( \varphi \mapsto \rho([\varphi])([M, \partial M]) \). By the same type of arguments as in the closed case one can show that this is indeed a well-defined homomorphism – see [44], [29], [34] for more details. We have the following

**Proposition 3.15.** Let \( M \) be one of the manifolds listed in Theorem 3.1. Then \( \Psi([\varphi]) = [M, \partial M] \otimes 1 \), for any \([\varphi] \in \pi_1 \text{Ham}_c(M, \omega)\).

**Proof.** Recall that for such an \( M \) we have that \( \Gamma = \mathbb{Z}([\mathbb{CP}^1]), \omega([\mathbb{CP}^1]) = \delta \) and \( c_1([\mathbb{CP}^1]) = 2n - 1 \), where \([\mathbb{CP}^1] = [E]^{2n-1} \) and \( E = \mathbb{CP}^{2n-1} \) is the exceptional divisor. We need to show that \( \psi_{\varphi,[\sigma]_{\varphi}}([M, \partial M]) = [M, \partial M] \otimes 1 \) for any \([\varphi] \in \pi_1 \text{Ham}_c(M, \omega)\).

First, note that since \( GW_{A,1,3}(a, b, [pt]) = 0 \) for all \( A \in H_2^S(M) \) and all \( a, b \in H_* (M, \partial M; \mathbb{Z}_2) \), it follows that the \( \Lambda \)-module

\[
I := \bigoplus_{i < 4n} H_i(M, \partial M; \mathbb{Z}_2) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2 \Lambda
\]

is an ideal in \((QH^+_c, \ast^+)\). (Here \(4n = \dim M, n > 1\).) Then any unit in \((QH^+_c, \ast^+)\) is of the form \([M, \partial M] \otimes \lambda + x\), where \( x \in I \) and \( \lambda \in \Lambda \) is a unit. This statement is analogous to [33, Lemma 2.1].

Second, we have that

\[
\psi_{\varphi,[\sigma]_{\varphi}}([M, \partial M]) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{k[\mathbb{CP}^1]} \otimes s^{-k\delta} q^{-2k(2n-1)},
\]

where \( a_{k[\mathbb{CP}^1]} \in H_{4n+2k(2n-1)}(M, \partial M; \mathbb{Z}_2) \). By the form of a unit in \((QH^+_c, \ast^+)\), we have that \( a_0 = [M, \partial M] \). Moreover, since \( H_{4n+2k(2n-1)}(M, \partial M; \mathbb{Z}_2) = 0 \) for all \( k \neq 0, -1 \), we have that

\[
\psi_{\varphi,[\sigma]_{\varphi}}([M, \partial M]) = [M, \partial M] \otimes 1 + a_{\mathbb{CP}^1} \otimes s^\delta q^{4n-2},
\]

where \( a_{\mathbb{CP}^1} \in H_2(M, \partial M; \mathbb{Z}_2) \). But since \( \dim X < 4n - 2 \) we have

\[
H_2(M, \partial M; \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong H_2(\mathbb{D}^* X, \partial \mathbb{D}^* X; \mathbb{Z}_2) \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2(\mathbb{E}^\vee) \cong H_{4n-2}(\mathbb{D}^* X; \mathbb{Z}_2) \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2(\mathbb{E}^\vee) \cong H_{4n-2}(X; \mathbb{Z}_2) \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2(\mathbb{E}^\vee),
\]

and we conclude that

\[
\psi_{\varphi,[\sigma]_{\varphi}}([M, \partial M]) = [M, \partial M] \otimes 1 + a[\mathbb{E}^\vee] \otimes s^\delta q^{4n-2},
\]

where \( a \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \).

Now, suppose on the contrary that \( a = 1 \). Then

\[
([M, \partial M] \otimes 1 + [\mathbb{E}^\vee] \otimes s^\delta q^{4n-2}) \ast^+ ([M, \partial M] \otimes 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{2n-1} ([\mathbb{E}^\vee]^i \otimes \mu_i + x) = [M, \partial M] \otimes 1
\]
for some $\mu_i \in \Lambda$ and $x \in \bigoplus_{i \leq 4n} H_i(\mathbb{D}^* X, \partial \mathbb{D}^* X; \mathbb{Z}_2) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2 \Lambda$. An easy computation shows that

$$([\mathcal{M}, \partial \mathcal{M}] \otimes 1 + [\mathit{E}]^\vee \otimes s^\delta q^{4n-2}) \ast^+ ([\mathcal{M}, \partial \mathcal{M}] \otimes 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{2n-1} ([\mathit{E}]_i)^\vee \otimes \mu_i + x) =$$

$$[\mathcal{M}, \partial \mathcal{M}] \otimes 1 + x + [\mathit{E}]^\vee \otimes s^\delta q^{4n-2}.$$ 

The element $(x + [\mathit{E}]^\vee \otimes s^\delta q^{4n-2}) \in I$ differs from zero, because if $x \neq 0$ then $x$ and $[\mathit{E}]^\vee \otimes s^\delta q^{4n-2}$ are $\Lambda$-linearly independent. Thus we get a contradiction and the proposition is proven. 

Repeating the proof of [34, Lemma 12.5.3] (see also [14, Proposition 4.1]) we get the following

**Proposition 3.16.** Let $\mathcal{M}$ be one of the manifolds listed in Theorem 3.11. For every class $[\mathcal{V}] \in \pi_1 \mathcal{H}_c(M, \omega)$ and every non-zero $a \in QH^+_{4n}$ we have $$c^+(a, [\mathcal{V}]) = \nu(a \ast^+ \Psi([\mathcal{V}])^{-1}) = \nu(a).$$

**Proof of Theorem 1.7.** By Proposition 3.16 we have that

$$c^+(a, [\mathcal{V}]) = c^+(\epsilon^+, [\mathcal{V}]) = \nu(\epsilon^+) = \delta$$

for any class $[\mathcal{V}] \in \pi_1 \mathcal{H}_c(M, \omega)$, where $\epsilon^+ = [\mathit{E}]^\vee \otimes s^\delta q^{4n-2} \in QH^+_{4n}$. Thus, the homogenization $q_{\mathcal{L}}$ of $c^+$ vanishes on $\pi_1 \mathcal{H}_c(M, \omega)$. 

3.5. Non-triviality of $q_{\mathcal{P}}$ and $\zeta_{\mathcal{F}}$ for weakly exact manifolds. Set $\mathcal{F} = \mathbb{Z}_2$. Let $(M, \omega)$ be a convex compact weakly exact symplectic manifold, i.e. $[\omega]|_{\pi_2(M)} = 0$, and let $L \subset M \setminus \partial M$ be a closed Lagrangian submanifold such that

1. the inclusion map $L \hookrightarrow M$ induces an injection $\pi_1(L) \hookrightarrow \pi_1(M)$,

2. $L$ admits a Riemannian metric with no non-constant contractible closed geodesics.

Since $[\omega]|_{\pi_2(M)} = 0$ the quantum homologies ($QH^+_{4n}, \ast^+\ast$) are undeformed, i.e. the quantum products $\ast^+\ast$ coincide with the classical intersection products $\bullet^\pm$. In particular, there is no non-zero idempotent in the subalgebra $QH^-_{2n}$. On the other hand, the fundamental class $\epsilon^+ := [\mathcal{M}, \partial \mathcal{M}] \otimes 1$ is a non-zero idempotent in $QH^+_{2n}$, which defines the partial quasi-morphism $q_{\mathcal{P}} := \widehat{c}^+ : \widehat{\mathcal{H}_c}(M, \omega) \to \mathbb{R}$

the homogenization of $c^+(\tilde{\phi}) := c^+(\epsilon^+, \tilde{\phi})$, for $\tilde{\phi} \in \widehat{\mathcal{H}_c}(M, \omega)$. Hence, the functional $\zeta_{\mathcal{P}} : C_c(M) \to \mathbb{R}$ given by $\zeta_{\mathcal{P}}(F) := n_F + q_{\mathcal{P}}(\tilde{\phi}^F_F)$ is a partial symplectic quasi-state, see Theorem 3.8.

We note that the spectral invariant $c^+(\epsilon^+, \tilde{\phi})$ was defined in [24] for the class of convex compact weakly exact symplectic manifolds and is also called an action selector. It has the following properties:

1. The invariant $c^+$ vanishes on $\pi_1 \widehat{\mathcal{H}_c}(M, \omega)$, see [24, Proposition 7.1].
• For $F \in S_{HZ}^o(M)$ we have $c^+(\epsilon_+, \phi^1_{kF}) = \max_M F$, see [24] Theorem 5.3, where $S_{HZ}^o(M)$ is the class of Hofer-Zehnder admissible Hamiltonians.

Recall that $F \in S_{HZ}^o(M) \subset \mathcal{H}_c(M) \cap C_c^\infty(M)$ iff

(i) $F \geq 0$,

(ii) $F|_U = \max_M F$ for some open non-empty set $U \subset M$,

(iii) the only critical values of $F$ are 0 and $\max_M F$,

(iv) the flow $\phi^t_{kF}$ has no non-constant, contractible in $M$, $T$-periodic orbits with period $T \leq 1$.

**Proof of Proposition 1.8 (A).** Fix a Riemannian metric $g$ on $L$ all of whose contractible closed geodesics are constant. By Weinstein’s tubular neighborhood theorem, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that a closed $\varepsilon$-neighborhood $U_\varepsilon$ of $L$ in $M$ is symplectomorphic to $\mathbb{D}^*L$ – the unit cotangent closed disk bundle of $L$ w.r.t. the metric $g$. We choose coordinates $(q,p)$ on $\mathbb{D}^*L$ and consider a Hamiltonian $F \in S_{HZ}^o(M)$, which is zero on $M \setminus U_\varepsilon$ and $F(q,p) = \tilde{f}(\|p\|^2)$ on $U_\varepsilon \cong \mathbb{D}^*L$, where $f \in C_c^\infty([-1,1])$ with $\text{supp}(f) \subset (-1,1)$ and $f(r) \geq 0$ for all $r \in [-1,1]$.

For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ the Hamiltonian $kF$ satisfies the conditions (i) – (iii) and $\max_M(kF) = k \max_M F$. Moreover, by the conditions 1-2 on $L$, the flow $\phi^t_{kF}$ has no non-constant contractible periodic orbits of any period. Hence, $kF \in S_{HZ}^o(M)$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and $c^+(\epsilon_+, \phi^1_{kF}) = k \max_M F$. Now, take $F, G \in S_{HZ}^o(M)$ of the form $F(q,p) = \tilde{f}(\|p\|^2)$ and $G(q,p) = g(\|p\|^2)$ as above, such that $\max_M(F + G) \neq \max_M F + \max_M G$ and such that $\text{supp}(F) \cap \text{supp}(G) = \emptyset$. We have that $\{F, G\} = 0$ (since $\text{supp}(\{F, G\}) \subseteq \text{supp}(F) \cap \text{supp}(G)$) and moreover,

$$
\zeta_p(F + G) = \lim_{k \to +\infty} c^+(\epsilon_+, \phi^1_{k(F + G)}) = \lim_{k \to +\infty} k \max_M(F + G) = \max_M(F + G) \neq \max_M F + \max_M G
$$

$$
\max_M F + \max_M G = \lim_{k \to +\infty} k \max_M F + \lim_{k \to +\infty} k \max_M G = \lim_{k \to +\infty} \frac{c^+(\epsilon_+, \phi^1_{kF}) + c^+(\epsilon_+, \phi^1_{kG})}{k} = \zeta_p(F) + \zeta_p(G)
$$

It follows that $\zeta_p$ does not satisfy the strong quasi-linearity property (see Definition 1.4), and hence is not a symplectic quasi-state. In particular, $q_p$ is not a homogeneous quasi-morphism (and, in particular, not a homomorphism).

### 3.6. (Partial) quasi-morphism and (partial) quasi-state for open convex manifolds.

Recall that a manifold is called open if it is non-compact as a topological space and without boundary. An open symplectic manifold $(M, \omega)$ is called convex if there exists an increasing sequence of compact convex
Suppose that spectral invariants corresponding partial quasi-morphisms on symplectic submanifolds $M_k \subset M$ exhausting $M$, that is, 

$$M_1 \subset M_2 \subset \ldots \subset M_k \subset \ldots \subset M \quad \text{and} \quad \bigcup_k M_k = M.$$ 

Frauenfelder, Ginzburg and Schlenk [23] asked the natural question of patching of spectral invariants defined for $M_k$ into one spectral invariant for $M$.

**Corollary 3.17.** Let $(M, \omega)$ be an open convex symplectic manifold exhausted by compact convex strongly semi-positive symplectic submanifolds $M_k \subset M$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that spectral invariants $c_k^+ : \text{Ham}^c(M_k, \omega) \to \mathbb{R}$ given by $c_k^+(\phi) := c^+(\{M_k, \partial M_k \} \otimes 1, \tilde{\phi})$ satisfy $c_{k+1}^+(\text{Ham}^c(M_k, \omega)) = c_k^+$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the corresponding partial quasi-morphisms $\mathfrak{q}_p$ (resp. partial quasi-states $\zeta_p$) for $M_k$ fit together to a partial quasi-morphism $\mathfrak{q}_p$ (resp. partial quasi-state $\zeta_p$) for $M$.

For example, let $M = T^*X$ be the cotangent bundle (over a closed connected smooth manifold $X$) exhausted by cotangent closed $k$-disk bundles $M_k := D^*_kX$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ or let $(M, J, f)$ be an open Stein manifold exhausted by Stein domains $M_k := \{f \leq k\}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, see Example 2.2(3). In either cases, $M_k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ are convex compact weakly exact (in particular, strongly semi-positive) symplectic manifolds. As it was pointed in [23, Appendix A.3], these exhaustions satisfy the assumption of Corollary 3.17.

**Proof of Proposition 1.8 (descent to $\text{Ham}^c(M, \omega)$).** The statement follows immediately from the vanishing of the invariant $c_k^+$ on $\pi_1\text{Ham}^c(M_k, \omega)$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ (see Section 3.5) and from the above patching property. 

**Remark 3.18.** The argument in [23, Appendix A.3] is readily applicable to cotangent bundles blown up at a point. In particular, let $(M, L) := (T^*X, \tilde{X})$ be the one-point symplectic blow-up of size $\delta > 0$ relative to the Lagrangian zero section $X$. Recall that such a blow-up corresponds to a symplectic embedding of pairs $(B^{2\dim X}(\delta), B^{\dim X}(\delta)) \hookrightarrow (T^*X, X)$. Suppose that the size $\delta$ is sufficiently small so that the above embedding lies in the interior of the cotangent closed unit disk bundle $D^*_1X$. The same symplectic embedding gives rise to the relative one-point symplectic blow-up $(M_k, L) := (D^*_kX, \tilde{X})$ of the cotangent closed $k$-disk bundle $D^*_kX$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $X$ be as in Theorem 3.11. Then the homogeneous quasi-morphisms $\mathfrak{q}_q$ (resp. quasi-states $\zeta$) for $M_k$ fit together to a quasi-morphism $\mathfrak{q}_q$ (resp. quasi-state $\zeta$) for $M$.

Finally, let us note that Monzner, Vichery and Zapolsky [35] independently constructed partial quasi-morphisms and quasi-states for $T^*X$ using a different construction in the same spirit. They used Lagrangian spectral invariants to construct, for any closed connected smooth manifold $X$ and any $a \in H^1(X; \mathbb{R})$, a partial quasi-morphism $\mu_a : \text{Ham}^c(T^*X, \omega_{can}) \to \mathbb{R}$ and a partial quasi-state $\zeta_a : C^\infty_{cc}(T^*X) \to \mathbb{R}$, such that $\mu_a \leq q_p$, $\zeta_a \leq \zeta_p$ for any $a \in H^1(X; \mathbb{R})$. As it follows from their results, if $X$ admits a non-vanishing closed 1-form then...
ζ_p(F) = 0 for any F ∈ C^\infty_c(T^*X), such that F ≤ 0, n_F = 0. I thank Frol Zapolsky for explaining this point to me.

**Proof of Proposition 1.8 (B).** Let F ∈ C^\infty_c(T^*X) be a compactly supported non-positive Hamiltonian, such that F|_X = c < 0. Then ζ_p(F) = 0 and by [35, Theorem 1.8(vi)] for a = 0 ∈ H^1(X; \mathbb{R}), we have ζ_p(-F) = -c > 0. Hence, ζ_p(F) + ζ_p(-F) = ζ_p(-F) ≥ ζ_0(-F) = -c > 0 = ζ_p(F - F). It follows that ζ_p does not satisfy the strong quasi-linearity property (see Definition 1.4), and hence is not a symplectic quasi-state. In particular, q_F is not a homogeneous quasi-morphism (and, in particular, not a homomorphism).

### 4. Applications

#### 4.1. Hofer geometry

Recall (see [24], [41], [28]) that the **Hofer metric** d on Ham_c(M, ω) is defined by d(φ, ψ) := ∥φ^{-1} ◦ ψ∥, where the **Hofer norm** on Ham_c(M, ω) is given by ∥φ∥ := inf{∥H∥_{L^1(\infty)} | φ_H = φ}. This metric is bi-invariant.

**Proposition 4.1.** Let (M, ω) be a one-point symplectic blow-up \( \widetilde{\mathbb{D}^*X}_\delta \) of size \( \delta \ll 1 \) of \( \mathbb{D}^*X \) relative to X, where X is a homology sphere over \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \) or the singular homology algebra (w.r.t the intersection product) \( H_*(X; \mathbb{Z}_2) \) is generated as a ring by \( H_{2n-1}(X; \mathbb{Z}_2) \). Then for a time-independent Hamiltonian \( F ∈ H_c(M) \), such that \( \inf_{\widetilde{X}} F > 0 \), the one-parametric subgroup \( \{φ^t_F\}_{t ∈ \mathbb{R}} \) has a linear growth w.r.t. the Hofer metric.

**Proof.** Let \( q : \text{Ham}_c(M, ω) → \mathbb{R} \) be the homogeneous quasi-morphism from Theorem 3.7 and let \( \tilde{X} \subset M \) denote the blown up zero section. By Corollary 3.3, we have \( |q(φ)| ≤ d(id, φ) \) for all \( φ ∈ \text{Ham}_c(M, ω) \). For a time-independent Hamiltonian \( F ∈ H_c(M) \), such that \( \inf_{\tilde{X}} F > 0 \), we have \( q(φ^t_F) ≥ t \inf_{\tilde{X}} F \) for all \( t ≥ 0 \) (see Theorem 3.11). Then, by [14, Formula 1.22]

\[
\lim_{t→+∞} \frac{d(id, φ^t_F)}{t∥F∥_{L^1(∞)}} ≥ \frac{\inf_{\tilde{X}} F}{∥F∥_{L^1(∞)}} > 0.
\]

**Corollary 4.2.** The diameter of Ham_c(M, ω) w.r.t. the Hofer metric is infinite.

#### 4.2. Fragmentation length

Let \( \mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i ∈ I} \) be an open cover of a connected symplectic manifold \( (M, ω) \) and let \( φ ∈ \text{Ham}_c(M, ω) \). By Banyaga’s fragmentation lemma [2], φ can be written as \( φ = φ_1 ◦ ... ◦ φ_N \), where each \( φ_i \) lies in \( \text{Ham}_c(U_{j(i)}) \) for some \( j(i) ∈ I \). Denote by \( ∥φ∥_{\mathcal{U}} \) the minimal number of such \( φ_i \)’s needed to factorize \( φ \).

Suppose now that \( M := \widetilde{\mathbb{D}^*X} \) is a one-point symplectic blow-up of \( \mathbb{D}^*X \) relative to X, where X is a homology sphere over \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \) or the singular homology algebra (w.r.t the intersection product) \( H_*(X; \mathbb{Z}_2) \) is generated as a ring by \( H_{2n-1}(X; \mathbb{Z}_2) \). Let \( q : \text{Ham}_c(M, ω) → \mathbb{R} \) be the homogeneous quasi-morphism as above. We have the following
Corollary 4.3. Let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ be an open cover of $M \setminus \partial M$, such that each $U_i$ is displaceable by an element of $\text{Ham}_c(M, \omega)$. Then for every $\phi \in \text{Ham}_c(M, \omega)$ we have $\|\phi\|_\mathcal{U} \geq \frac{|q(\phi)|}{D(q)}$.

**Proof.** Let $\phi \in \text{Ham}_c(M, \omega)$ and let $N := \|\phi\|_\mathcal{U}$. Write $\phi = \phi_1 \circ \ldots \circ \phi_N$, where each $\phi_i$ lies in $\text{Ham}_c(U_{j(i)})$ for some $j(i) \in I$. Since $q$ vanishes on every $\text{Ham}_c(U_i)$, we have

$$|q(\phi)| = |q(\phi_1 \circ \ldots \circ \phi_N) - \sum_{i=1}^N q(\phi_i)| \leq (N - 1) \cdot D(q) \leq N \cdot D(q).$$

It follows that $N \geq \frac{|q(\phi)|}{D(q)}$. \qed

In the case of a weakly exact $(M, \omega)$ as in Section 3.3, we can estimate from below the fragmentation length $\|\phi\|_U$ w.r.t. a displaceable open set $U \subset M$ (see Definition 1.2) in terms of the partial quasi-morphism $q_p : \text{Ham}_c(M, \omega) \to \mathbb{R}$.

**Corollary 4.4.** For every displaceable open set $U \subset M$, there exists a positive constant $K = K(U)$, such that $\|\phi\|_U \geq K \cdot |q_p(\phi)|$ for every $\phi \in \text{Ham}_c(M, \omega)$. In particular, the fragmentation length $\|\phi\|_U$ is unbounded.

**Proof.** Since $\phi(U)$ is a displaceable open set, we have $q_p(\phi \theta \phi^{-1}) = 0$ (see Corollary 3.3). By Theorem 3.6 and repeating the above argument, there exists a positive constant $R = R(U)$, such that $|q_p(\phi)| \leq R \cdot \|\phi\|_U$. Setting $K := 1/R$ we get the estimate. For Hofer-Zehnder admissible Hamiltonians $0 \neq F \in S^*_\text{HZ}(M)$ as in the proof of Proposition 1.8 (A), we have

$$\lim_{k \to +\infty} |q_p(\phi_{kF}^1)| = \lim_{k \to +\infty} k \max_M F = +\infty.$$ 

Hence, $\lim_{k \to +\infty} \|\phi_{kF}^1\|_U = +\infty$. \qed

4.3. **Symplectic rigidity.** Here we extend several notions related to rigidity of intersections in symplectic manifolds that were introduced by Entov-Polterovich in [16] to the case of a compact convex symplectic manifold.

**Definition 4.5.** (See [16].) Let $\zeta_p : C^\infty_c(M) \to \mathbb{R}$ be a partial symplectic quasi-state. A compact subset $X \subset \tilde{M}$ is called $\zeta_p$-**heavy** if for every $F \in C^\infty_c(M)$ with $F|_X = 0$, $F \leq 0$, one has $\zeta_p(F) = 0$, and it is called $\zeta_p$-**superheavy** if for every $F \in C^\infty_c(M)$ with $F|_X = 0$, $F \geq 0$, one has $\zeta_p(F) = 0$.

We shall need the following formal properties of $\zeta_p$-(super)heavy sets that follow immediately from [16] Sections 4.6 ].

- The classes of $\zeta_p$-superheavy and $\zeta_p$-heavy sets are $\text{Symp}_c^0(M, \omega)$-invariant.
• Every $\zeta_p$-superheavy set is $\zeta_p$-heavy (but in general not vice versa). If $\zeta_p$ is a quasi-state then the classes of $\zeta_p$-heavy and $\zeta_p$-superheavy sets coincide.
• Every $\zeta_p$-superheavy set intersects with every $\zeta_p$-heavy set. In particular, every $\zeta_p$-superheavy set cannot be displaced by a symplectomorphism from $\text{Symp}_c(M, \omega)$.
• Every $\zeta_p$-heavy subset is non-displaceable by any compactly supported Hamiltonian diffeomorphism of $M$.

Let $\zeta : C_\infty^c(\mathbb{D}^*X) \to \mathbb{R}$ be the symplectic quasi-state given by $\zeta(F) := n_F + q_1(\phi^1_F)$. By (23), we have $\min_X F \leq \zeta(F) \leq \max_X F$, for all $F \in C_\infty^c(\mathbb{D}^*X)$. Thus, we get the following

**Corollary 4.6.** Let $X$ be a smooth closed connected manifold of dimension $2n$ for $n > 1$, such that $X$ is a homology sphere over $\mathbb{Z}_2$ or the singular homology algebra (w.r.t the intersection product) $H_*(X; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ is generated as a ring by $H_{2n-1}(X; \mathbb{Z}_2)$. The Lagrangian $\tilde{X}$ in $(\mathbb{D}^*X, \omega_\delta)$ is $\zeta$-superheavy. In particular, $\tilde{X}$ is non-displaceable by a symplectomorphism from $\text{Symp}_c(\mathbb{D}^*X, \omega_\delta)$.

**Remark 4.7.** Note that if the Euler characteristic $\chi(\tilde{X})$ of $\tilde{X}$ is zero, i.e. $\chi(X) = 1$, then the Lagrangian $\tilde{X}$ can be displaced from itself topologically. Let us list some examples of such manifolds $X$: $\mathbb{RP}^{2n}, (S^2 \times T^2) \# \mathbb{RP}^4, (S^2 \times S^2) \# (\#_{3, i=1} \mathbb{RP}^4)$, $(\Sigma_{g_1} \times \Sigma_{g_2}) \# (\#_{i=1}^{(2g_1+1)(2g_2-3)} \mathbb{RP}^4)$, where $\Sigma_{g_i}$ is a closed orientable surface of genus $g_i > 1$ for $i = 1, 2$, and direct products of the above manifolds.

In the case of a symplectically aspherical (i.e. $|\omega|_{\pi_2(M)} = c_1|\pi_2(M) = 0$) connected $(M, \omega)$ with a connected Lagrangian submanifold $L$ as in Section 3.5 we can look for (super)heavy sets w.r.t. the non-linear partial symplectic quasi-state $\zeta_p : C_\infty(M) \to \mathbb{R}$ from Proposition 1.3 (A). We have the following

**Proposition 4.8.** The connected Lagrangian submanifold $L$ of $M$ is a $\zeta_p$-heavy set, but it is not a $\zeta_p$-superheavy set. (In particular, we recover the result by Frauenfelder and Schlenk [24] that $L$ is non-displaceable by any compactly supported Hamiltonian diffeomorphism of $M$.)

The statement about the $\zeta_p$-heaviness is analogous to [16] Theorem 1.17, and is based on the spectral estimates, which originated in the works by P. Albers [1], P. Biran and O. Cornea [3], [4], [5], [6]. As was pointed out in Section 3.3 the theory of pearl homology readily extends to a monotone convex symplectic manifold with a closed monotone Lagrangian submanifold. In the case of a symplectically aspherical convex symplectic manifold all quantum algebraic structures reduce to the classical homological operations.

**Proof.** The quantum inclusion map $i^\sim_L : QH(L) \to QH(M)$ reduces to the classical map of degree zero $i_* : H_*(L; \mathbb{Z}_2) \to H_*(M; \mathbb{Z}_2)$, which is induced by the
standard inclusion $i : L \hookrightarrow M$. Moreover, the homomorphism $i_* : H_0(L; \mathbb{Z}_2) = \mathbb{Z}_2([pt]) \to H_0(M; \mathbb{Z}_2) = \mathbb{Z}_2([pt])$ is an isomorphism, i.e. $i_*([pt]) = [pt]$ and hence, by [3] Lemma 5.3.1 (ii)], we have

$$c^-([pt], \tilde{\phi}) \leq \text{height}_L(\tilde{\phi}), \forall \tilde{\phi} \in \widehat{\text{Ham}}_c(M, \omega).$$

In particular, $c^-([pt], H) \leq \sup_L H$ for all $H \in C^\infty_c(M)$. Applying the Poincaré-Lefschetz duality (see, Proposition 2.12) and substituting $H := -F$ we get $c^+([M, \partial M], F) \geq \inf_L F$ for all $F \in C^\infty_c(M)$. It follows that $\zeta_p(F) \geq \inf_L F$ for all $F \in C^\infty_c(M)$ and thus, $L$ is a $\zeta_p$-heavy set.

Now, fix a Riemannian metric $g$ on $L$ all of whose contractible closed geodesics are constant and let $U_\varepsilon \cong \mathbb{D}^*L$ be an $\varepsilon$-Weinstein’s tubular neighborhood of $L$ w.r.t. the metric $g$. Consider a non-zero Hamiltonian $F \in S_H^\infty(M)$, which is zero on $M \setminus U_\varepsilon$ and $F(q, p) = f(||p||^2)$ on $U_\varepsilon \cong \mathbb{D}^*L$, where $f \in C_c^\infty([-1, 1])$ with $\text{supp}(f) \subset (-1, 1)$, $f(r) \geq 0$ for all $r \in [-1, 1]$ and $F|_L \equiv 0$. Then $\zeta_p(F) = \max_M F > 0$ and $L$ is not a $\zeta_p$-superheavy set. \hfill \Box

In the case of the cotangent bundle $(T^*X, \omega_{can})$ over a closed connected manifold $X$, one can use the results by Monzner, Vichery and Zapolsky [35, Section 1.3.4] in order to get additional examples of $\zeta_p$-heavy sets. Indeed, since $\zeta_a \leq \zeta_p$ for any $a \in H^1(X; \mathbb{R})$, any $\zeta_a$-superheavy set is $\zeta_p$-heavy, where $\zeta_a : C^\infty_c(T^*X) \to \mathbb{R}$ is the Monzner-Vichery-Zapolsky partial quasi-state.

4.4. The $C^0$-robustness of the Poisson bracket. Let $(M, L) = (\mathbb{D}^*X, \bar{X})$ be the relative one-point symplectic blow-up and $\zeta : C^\infty_c(M) \to \mathbb{R}$ be the symplectic quasi-state as before. Equip the space $C^\infty_c(M)$ with the uniform norm $\|F\|_\infty := \max_{x \in M} |F(x)|$. Following [22], let $\Pi : C^\infty_c(M) \times C^\infty_c(M) \to \mathbb{R}$ be a functional defined by $\Pi(F_1, F_2) = |\zeta(F_1 + F_2) - \zeta(F_1) - \zeta(F_2)|$. It can be interpreted as a measure of Poisson non-commutativity of functions $F_1$ and $F_2$. Since the arguments in [22, Theorem 1.4] depend only on the formal properties of a symplectic quasi-state, we have the following

**Proposition 4.9.** There exists a constant $C > 0$ so that

$$\Pi(F_1, F_2) \leq C \cdot \sqrt{\|\{F_1, F_2\}\|_\infty}$$

for all $F_1, F_2 \in C^\infty_c(M)$.

More generally, there is a lower bound on higher (iterated) Poisson brackets. Following [21] we denote by $P_N$ the set of Lie monomials in two variables involving $N$-times-iterated Poisson brackets (i.e. $P_1$ consists of $\{F_1, F_2\}$, $P_2$ of $\{{\{F_1, F_2\}, F_1}\}$ and $\{{\{F_1, F_2\}, F_2\}$, and so forth). For $F_1, F_2 \in C^\infty_c(M)$ set

$$Q_{N+1}(F_1, F_2) = \sum_{p \in P_N} \|p(F_1, F_2)\|_\infty.$$

By [21] Theorem 1.3, we have
Proposition 4.10. There exist constants $C_N > 0$ for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$ so that
\[ \Pi(F_1, F_2) \leq C_{N+1} \cdot Q_N(F_1, F_2) \] for any $F_1, F_2 \in C_{cc}^\infty(M)$.

Corollary 4.11. Let $L_1, L_2, L_3$ be three symplectic isotopies of $L$ by elements of $\text{Symp}^0(M, \omega)$, such that $L_1 \cap L_2 \cap L_3 = \emptyset$ and $L_i \cap L_j$ for all $i, j$ and let $F_1, F_2 \in C_{cc}^\infty(M)$ be such that $F_i|_{L_i} \equiv 0$ for $i = 1, 2$ and $(F_1 + F_2)|_{L_3} \equiv 1$. Then
\[ Q_N(F_1, F_2) \geq \left( \frac{1}{Q_{N+1}} \right)^{N+1}. \]

Proof. Since $L$ is $\zeta$-superheavy, it follows (by the symplectic invariance of $\zeta$) that $L_1, L_2, L_3$ are $\zeta$-superheavy as well. By [16] Proposition 4.3, we have $\Pi(F_1, F_2) = 1$ and hence, the result follows from Proposition 4.10.

4.5. Hamiltonian chords. Buhovsky-Entov-Polterovich [8] used Poisson brackets to define certain symplectic invariants of finite collections of sets in a symplectic manifold $(M, \omega)$. We shall consider the invariant $pb_4(X_0, X_1, Y_0, Y_1)$ of quadruples of compact sets $X_0, X_1, Y_0, Y_1 \subset M$ defined in [5]. It is defined by
\[ pb_4(X_0, X_1, Y_0, Y_1) := \inf \|\{F_1, F_2\}\|_{\infty}, \]
where the infimum is taken over the class $F_4(X_0, X_1, Y_0, Y_1) := \{(F_1, F_2) \in C_{cc}(M)^2 \mid F_1|_{X_0} \leq 0, F_1|_{X_1} \geq 1, F_2|_{Y_0} \leq 0, F_2|_{Y_1} \geq 1\}$.

This class is non-empty whenever $X_0 \cap X_1 = Y_0 \cap Y_1 = \emptyset$. If the latter condition is violated, $pb_4(X_0, X_1, Y_0, Y_1)$ is defined to be $+\infty$.

The following result was proved in [8].

Theorem 4.12. Let $X_0, X_1, Y_0, Y_1 \subset M$ be a quadruple of compact sets such that $X_0 \cap X_1 = Y_0 \cap Y_1 = \emptyset$ and $pb_4(X_0, X_1, Y_0, Y_1) = p > 0$. Let $G \in C_{cc}^\infty(M)$ be a Hamiltonian function with $G|_{Y_0} \leq 0$ and $G|_{Y_1} \geq 1$ generating a Hamiltonian flow $\phi^t_G$. Then $\phi^T_G(x) \in X_1$ for some point $x \in X_0$ and some time moment $T \in [-1/p, 1/p]$.

The curve $\{\phi^t_G(x)\}_{t \in [0;T]}$ is also called a Hamiltonian chord of $\phi^t_G$ (or, for brevity, of the Hamiltonian $G$) of time-length $|T|$ connecting $X_0$ and $X_1$. Hamiltonian chords joining two disjoint subsets (especially, Lagrangian submanifolds) of a symplectic manifold arise in several interesting contexts such as Arnold diffusion or optimal control, see [8] for the references.

From the estimate [24] and [8 Theorem 1.15(ii)] we conclude

Corollary 4.13. Let $M := \mathbb{D}^*X$ be the one-point symplectic blow-up of $\mathbb{D}^*X$ relative to $X$ and $\zeta : C_{cc}(M) \to \mathbb{R}$ be the symplectic quasi-state as above. Let $X_0, X_1, Y_0, Y_1 \subset M$ be a quadruple of compact subsets such that $X_0 \cap X_1 = Y_0 \cap Y_1 = \emptyset$ and $X_0 \cup Y_0, Y_0 \cup X_1, X_1 \cup Y_1, Y_1 \cup X_0$ are all $\zeta$-superheavy. Then
\[ pb_4(X_0, X_1, Y_0, Y_1) \geq \frac{1}{4C}, \]
for some constant $C$. 
where $C$ is the constant that appears in (24). In particular, there are Hamiltonian chords connecting $X_0$ and $X_1$.

**Example 4.14.** Let $L := \tilde{X} \subset M$ be the blown up zero section and let $L_0, L_1, L_2$ be its three symplectic isotopies of $L$ by elements of $\text{Symp}_c(M, \omega)$, such that $L_0 \cap L_1 \cap L_2 \neq \emptyset$ and $L_i \cap L_j$ for all $i, j$. Note that all the Lagrangians $L_i$ are $\zeta$-superheavy. Let $L_0 \cap L_1 = \{x_1, \ldots, x_k\}$ and let $D_i \subset L_1$ be a small open disk around $x_i$, such that $L_2 \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^k D_i = \emptyset$. Consider $X_0 := \bigcup_{i=1}^k D_i, X_1 := L_2, Y_0 := L_0, Y_1 := L_1 \setminus (\bigcup_{i=1}^k D_i)$.

### 4.6. Symplectic approximation

The following problem was initiated in [22] and developed in [21], [8].

**Problem.** Given a pair of functions on a symplectic manifold, what is its optimal uniform approximation by a pair of (almost) Poisson-commuting functions?

Let $(M, L) = (\tilde{\mathcal{D}}, \tilde{X}, \tilde{X})$ and $\zeta : C_{cc}(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be as before. Equip the space $\mathcal{F} := C_{cc}(M) \times C_{cc}(M)$ with the uniform distance

$$d((F_1, F_2), (G_1, G_2)) := \|F_1 - G_1\|_\infty + \|F_2 - G_2\|_\infty$$

and consider the family of subsets $\mathcal{K}_s \subset \mathcal{F}$, $s \geq 0$, given by

$$\mathcal{K}_s = \{(F_1, F_2) \in \mathcal{F} \mid \|\{F_1, F_2\}\|_\infty \leq s\}.$$

The **profile function** $\rho_{F_1, F_2} : [0; +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ associated with a pair $(F_1, F_2) \in \mathcal{F}$ is defined by $\rho_{F_1, F_2}(s) := d((F_1, F_2), \mathcal{K}_s)$ (see [8], [21]). The function $\rho_{F_1, F_2}(s)$ is non-increasing, non-negative and $\rho_{F_1, F_2}(\|\{F_1, F_2\}\|_\infty) = 0$. The value $\rho_{F_1, F_2}(0)$ is responsible for the optimal uniform approximation of $(F_1, F_2)$ by a pair of Poisson-commuting functions.

Take the quadruple $(X_0, X_1, Y_0, Y_1)$ of compact subsets of $M$ from Example 4.14. By [8] Theorem 1.4 (Dichotomy), we have the following

**Corollary 4.15.** For any pair $(F_1, F_2) \in \mathcal{F}$, such that at least one of the functions $F_1, F_2$ has its range in $[0, 1]$ and such that

$$F_1|_{X_0} \leq 0, \ F_1|_{X_1} \geq 1, \ F_2|_{Y_0} \leq 0, \ F_2|_{Y_1} \geq 1,$$

we have that the profile function $\rho_{F_1, F_2}$ is continuous, $\rho_{F_1, F_2}(0) = 1/2$ and

$$\frac{1/2 - \rho_{F_1, F_2}(s)}{s}$$

is bounded away from 0 and $+\infty$ for all sufficiently small $s > 0$. This gives a sharp rate, in terms of the power of $s$, of $\rho_{F_1, F_2}(s)$ near $s = 0$. 
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