THE ZETA FUNCTION FOR CIRCULAR GRAPHS

OLIVER KNILL

Abstract. We look at entire functions given as the zeta function \[ \sum_{\lambda > 0} \lambda^{-s} \]
where \( \lambda \) are the positive eigenvalues of the Laplacian of the discrete circular graph \( C_n \). We prove that the roots converge for \( n \to \infty \) to the line \( \sigma = \text{Re}(s) = 1/2 \) in the sense that for every compact subset \( K \) in the complement of that line, there is a \( n_K \) such that for \( n > n_K \), no root of the zeta function is in \( K \). To prove the result we actually look at the Dirac zeta function \( \zeta_n(s) = \sum_{\lambda > 0} \lambda^{-s} \) where \( \lambda \) are the positive eigenvalues of the Dirac operator of the circular graph. In the case of circular graphs, the Laplace zeta function is \( \zeta_n(2s) \).

1. Extended summary

The zeta function \( \zeta_G(s) \) for a finite simple graph \( G \) is the entire function \( \sum_{\lambda > 0} \lambda^{-s} \) defined by the positive eigenvalues \( \lambda \) of the Dirac operator \( D = d + d^* \), the square root of the Hodge Laplacian \( L = dd^* + d^*d \) on discrete differential forms. We study it in the case of circular graphs \( G = C_n \), where \( \zeta_n(2s) \) agrees with the zeta function \[ \sum_{\lambda > 0, \lambda \in \sigma(L)} \lambda^{-s} \]
of the classical scalar Laplacian like

\[
L = \begin{bmatrix}
2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
-1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2
\end{bmatrix}
\]
of the circular graph \( C_9 \). To prove that all roots of the zeta function of \( L \) converge for \( n \to \infty \) to the line \( \sigma = 1/2 \), we show that all roots of \( \zeta_n = \zeta_{C_n} \) accumulate for \( n \to \infty \) on the line \( \sigma = \text{Re}(s) = 1 \). The strategy is to deal with three regions: we first verify that for \( \text{Re}(s) > 1 \) the analytic functions \( \zeta_n(s)n^s/(2n^s) \) converge to the classical Riemann zeta function which is nonzero there. Then, we show that \( (\zeta_n(s) - nc(s))/n^s \) converges uniformly on compact sets for \( s \) in the strip \( 0 < \text{Re}(s) < 1 \), where \( c(s) = \int_0^1 \sin(\pi x)^{-s} \, dx \). Finally, on \( \text{Re}(s) \leq 0 \) we use that
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The cot function clear, because $\pi$ The paper also includes high precision numerical computations of roots of Euler.

where $n$ to investigate at first where the accumulation points are in the limit $s=2$ is interesting especially because $-\pi^2 \sin^{-2}(\pi x) = \zeta'(1, x) - \zeta'(1, 1-x)$, where $\zeta(s, x)$ is the Hurwitz zeta function. And this makes also the relation with the cot function clear, because $\pi \cot(\pi x) = \zeta(1, x) + \zeta(1, 1-x)$ by the cot-formula of Euler.

The paper also includes high precision numerical computations of roots of $\zeta_n$ made to investigate at first where the accumulation points are in the limit $n \to \infty$. These computations show that the roots of $\zeta_n$ converge very slowly to the critical line $\sigma=1$ and gave us confidence to attempt to prove the main theorem stated here.

We have to stress that while the entire functions $\zeta_n(s) \pi^s / (2n^s)$ approximate the Riemann zeta function $\zeta(s)$ for $\sigma = \text{Re}(s) > 1$, there is no direct relation on and below the critical line $\text{Re}(s) = 1$. The functions $\zeta_n$ continue to be analytic everywhere, while the classical zeta function $\zeta$ continues only to exist through analytic continuation. So, the result proven here tells absolutely nothing about the Riemann hypothesis. While for the zeta function of the circle, everything behind the abscissa of convergence is foggy and only visible indirectly by analytic continuation, we can fly in the discrete case with full visibility because we deal with entire functions.

There are conceptual relations however between the classical and discrete zeta functions: $\zeta_n$ is the Dirac zeta function of the discrete circle $C_n$ and $\zeta$ is the Dirac zeta function of the circle $T^1 = \mathbb{R}^1 / \mathbb{Z}^1$ which is the Riemann zeta function. At first, one would expect that the roots of $\zeta_n$ approach $\sigma = 1/2$; but this is not the case: they approach $\sigma = 1$. Only the Laplace zeta function $\zeta_n(2s)$ has the roots near $\sigma = 1/2$. In the proof, we use that the Hurwitz zeta functions $\zeta(s, x)$ are fixed points of Birkhoff renormalization operators $Tf(x) = (1/n^s) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f((k+x)/n)$, a fact which implies the already mentioned fact that $\cot(\pi x)$ fixed point property as a special case because $\lim_{s \to 1} (\zeta(s, x) - \zeta(s, 1-x)) = \pi \cot(\pi x)$ by Euler’s cotangent formula. The fixed point property of the Hurwitz zeta function is obvious for $\text{Re}(s) > 1$ and clear for all $s$ by analytic continuation. It is known as a summation
Actually, we can look at Hurwitz zeta functions in the context of a central limit theorem because Birkhoff summation can be seen as a normalized sum of random variables even so the random variables $X_k(x) = f((x + k)/n)$ in the sum $S_n = X_1 + \cdots + X_n$ depend on $n$. For smooth functions which are continuous up to the boundary, the normalized sum converges to a linear function, which is just $\zeta(0, x)$ normalized to have standard deviation 1. When considered in such a probabilistic setup, Hurwitz Zeta functions play a similar "central" role as the Gaussian distribution on $R$, the exponential distribution on $R^+$ or Binomial distributions on finite sets.

2. Introduction

The symmetric zeta function $\sum_{\lambda \neq 0} \lambda^{-s}$ of a finite simple graph $G$ is defined by the nonzero eigenvalues $\lambda$ of the Dirac matrix $D = d + d^*$ of $G$, where $d$ is the exterior derivative. Since the nonzero eigenvalues come in pairs $-\lambda, \lambda$, all the important information like the roots of $\zeta(s)$ are encoded by the entire function $\zeta_G(s) = \sum_{\lambda > 0} \lambda^{-s}$, which we call the Dirac zeta function of $G$. It is the discrete analogue of the Dirac zeta function for manifolds, which for the circle $M = T^1$, where $\lambda_n = n, n \in Z$, agrees with the classical Riemann zeta function $\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-s}$. The analytic function $\zeta_G(s)$ has infinitely many roots in general, which appear in computer experiments arranged in a strip of the complex plane. Zeta functions of finite graphs are entire functions which are not trivial to study partly due to the fact that for fixed $\sigma = \text{Re}(s)$, the function $\zeta(\sigma + i\tau)$ is a Bohr almost periodic function in $\tau$, the frequencies being related to the Dirac eigenvalues of the graph.

There are many reasons to look at zeta functions, both in number theory as well as in physics [11, 5]. One motivation can be that in statistical mechanics, one looks at partition functions $Z(t) = \sum \exp(-t\lambda)$ of a system with energies $\lambda$. Now, $Z'(0) = -\sum (\lambda_k)$ and the $s$'th derivative in a distributional sense is $d^s/dt^s Z(0) = \sum_{\lambda} \lambda^s$. If $\lambda$ are the positive eigenvalues of a matrix $A$, then $Z(t) = \text{tr}(e^{-tA})$ is a heat kernel. An other motivation is that $\exp(-\zeta'(0))$ is the pseudo determinant of $A$ and that the analytic function, like the characteristic polynomial, encodes the eigenvalues in a way which allows to recover geometric properties of the graph. As we will see, there are interesting complex analytic questions involved when looking at graph limits of discrete circles $C_n$ which have the circle as a limit.

This article starts to study the zeta function for such circular graphs $C_n$. The function

$$\zeta_n(s) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} 2^{-s} \sin^{-s}(\pi k/n)$$

is of the form $\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} g(\pi k/n)$ with the complex function $g_s = (2\sin(x))^{-s}$ and where $\text{Det}(\text{D}(C_n)) = n$. If $s$ is in the strip $0 < \sigma < 1$, the function $x \rightarrow g_s(x)$
is in $L^1$, but not bounded. If $c(s) = \int_0^1 g_s(x) \, dx$, then $\zeta_n(s) - nc(s)$ is a Riemann sum \( \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} h(\pi k/n) \) for some function $h(x)$ on the circle $R/Z$ which satisfies $\int_0^1 h(x) \, dx = 0$. Whenever $h$ is continuous like for $\sigma = \text{Re}(s) < 0$, the Riemann sum $(1/n) \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} h(\pi k/n)$ converges. However, if $h$ is unbounded, this is not necessarily true any more because some of the points get close to the singularity of $g$. But if - as in our case - the Riemann sum is evaluated on a nice grid which only gets $1/n$ close to a singularity of type $x^{-s}$, one can say more. In the critical strip the function is in $L^1(T^1)$. To the right of the critical line $\sigma = 1$, the function $g_s$ has the property that $\zeta_n(s) \pi^s/(2n^s)$ converges to the classical Riemann zeta function $\zeta(s)$. Number theorists have looked at more general sums. Examples are [7 8].

Studying the zeta functions $\zeta_n$ for discrete circles $C_n$ allows us to compute values of the classical zeta function $\zeta(s)$ in a new way. To do so, we use a result from [12] telling that cot is a fixed point of a Birkhoff renormalization map \( \Pi \). We compute $\zeta_m(s)$ explicitly for small $k$, solving the Basel problem for circular graphs and as a limit for the circle, where it is the classical Basel problem. While these sums have been summed up before [4], the approach taken here seems new.

To establish the limit $[\zeta_n(s) - nc(s)]/n^s$, we use an adaptation of a Newton-Coates method for $L^1$-functions which are smooth in the interior of an interval but unbounded at the boundary. This method works, if the K-derivative

$$K_f = \frac{f'' f'''}{(f'')^2}$$

is bounded $|K_f(x)| \leq M$ for $x \in (0,1)$. The K-derivative has properties similar to the Schwarzian derivative. It is bounded for functions like $\cot(\pi x), \log(x), x^{-s}$ or $(\sin(\pi x))^{-s}$ which appear in the zeta function of the discrete circle $C_n$. A comparison table below shows the striking similarities with the Schwarzian derivative. On the critical line $\sigma = 1$, we still see that $\zeta_n(s)/(n \log(n))$ stays bounded.

Various zeta functions have been considered for graphs: there is the discrete analogue of the Minakshisundaram-Pleijel zeta function, which uses the eigenvalues of the scalar Laplacian. In a discrete setting, this zeta function has been mentioned in [11] for the scalar San Diego Laplacian. Related is $\sum_{\lambda>0} \lambda^{-s}$, where $\lambda$ are the eigenvalues of a graph Laplacian like the scalar Laplacian $L_0 = B - A$, where $B$ is the diagonal degree matrix and where $A$ is the adjacency matrix of the graph. In the case of graphs $C_n$, the Dirac zeta function is not different from the Laplace zeta function due to Poincaré duality which gives a symmetry between 0 and 1-forms so that the Laplace and Dirac zeta function are related by scaling. If the full Laplacian on forms is considered for $C_n$, this can be written as $\zeta(2s)$ if $\zeta(s)$ is the Dirac zeta function for the manifold. The Ihara zeta function for graphs is the discrete analogue of the Selberg zeta function [9 8 20] and is also pretty unrelated to the Dirac zeta function considered here. An other unrelated zeta function is the graph automorphism zeta function [13] for graph automorphisms is a version of the Artin-Mazur-Ruelle zeta function in dynamical systems theory [19]. We have looked at almost periodic Zeta functions $\sum_n g(n\alpha)/n^s$ with periodic function $g$ and irrational $\alpha$ in [15]. An example is the polylogarithm $\sum_n \sin(n\alpha)/n^s$. Since we look here at $\sum_n \sin(n\alpha)$ with rational $\alpha$, one could
Figure 1. The Zeta function $\zeta(s)$ of the circle $T^1$ is the classical Riemann zeta function $\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-s}$. The Zeta function $\zeta_n(s)$ of the circular graph $C_n$ is the discrete circle zeta function $\zeta_n(s) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (2\sin(\pi k/n))^{-s}$ which is a finite sum. In the limit $n \to \infty$, the roots of the entire functions $\zeta_n$ are on the curve $\sigma = 1$.

look at $\sum_{k=1}^{q} \sin^{-s}(\pi k\alpha)$, where $p/q$ are periodic approximations of $\alpha$. For special irrational numbers like the golden mean, there are symmetries and the limiting values can in some sense computed explicitly [15, 16, 12]. Fixed point equations of Riemann sums are a common ground for Hurwitz zeta functions, polylogarithms and the cot function.

In this article we also report on experiments which have led to the main question left open: to find the limiting set the roots converge to in the critical strip. We will prove that the limiting set is a subset of the critical line $\sigma = 1$ but we have no idea about the nature of this set, whether it is discrete or a continuum. Figure (4) shows the motion of the roots from $n = 100$ to $n = 10000$ with linearly increasing $n$ and then from 10000 to 20480000, each time doubling $n$. There is a reason for the doubling choice: $\zeta_{2n}$ can be written as a sum $\zeta_n$ and a twisted $\zeta_n(1/2)$ and a small term disappearing in the limit. This allows to interpolate roots for $n$ and $2n$ with a continuous parameter seeing the motion of the roots in dependence of $n$ as a flow on time dependent vector field. This picture explains why the roots move so slowly when $n$ is increased.

3. The Dirac zeta function

For a finite simple graph $G = (V, E)$, the Dirac zeta function $\zeta_G(s) = \sum_{\lambda > 0} \lambda^{-s}$ is an analytic function. It encodes the spectrum $\{\lambda\}$ of $|D|$ because the traces of $|D|^n$ are recoverable as $\text{tr}(|D|^n)/2 = \zeta(-n)$. Therefore, because the spectrum of $D$ is symmetric with respect to $0$, we can recover the spectrum of $D$ from $\zeta_G(s)$. The Hamburger moment problem assures that the eigenvalues are determined from those traces. For circular graphs $C_n$, the eigenvalues are

$$\lambda_k = \pm 2\sin(\pi k/n), \ k = 1 \ldots n - 1$$
together with two zero eigenvalues which belong by Hodge theory to the Betti numbers $b_0 = b_1 = 1$ of $C_n$ for $n \geq 4$. The Zeta function $\zeta(s)$ is a Riemann sum for the integral
\[ c(s) = \int_0^1 2^{-s} \sin^{-s}(\pi x) \, dx = 2^{-s} \frac{\Gamma((1-s)/2)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(1-s/2)}. \]

Besides the circular graph, one could look at other classes of graphs and study the limit. It is not always interesting as the following examples show: for the complete graph $K_n$, the nonzero Dirac eigenvalues are $\sqrt{n}$ with multiplicity $2^{2^{n-1}-1}$ and $\zeta_n(s) = 2^{2^{n-1}-1} n^{-s/2}$ do not have any roots. For star graphs $S_n$, the nonzero Dirac eigenvalues are 1 with multiplicity $n-1$ and $\sqrt{n}$ with multiplicity 1 so that the zeta function is $\zeta_n(s) = (n-1) + n^{-s/2}$ which has a root at $-2 \log(1-n)$. One particular case one could consider are discrete tori approximating a continuum torus. Besides graph limits, we would like to know the statistics of the roots for random graphs. Some pictures of zeta functions of various graphs can be seen in Figure [5]. As already mentioned, we stick here to the zeta functions of the circular graphs. It already provides a rich variety of problems, many of which are unsettled.

Unlike in the continuum, where the zeta function has more information hidden behind the line of convergence - the key being analytic continuation - which allows for example to define notions like Ray-Singer determinant as $\exp(-\zeta'(0))$, this seems not that interesting at first in the graph case because the Pseudo determinant [14] is already explicitly given as the product of the nonzero eigenvalues. Why then study the zeta functions at all? One reason is that for some graph limits like graphs approximating Riemannian manifolds, an interesting convergence seems to take place and that in the circle case, to the right of the critical strip, a limit of $\zeta_n$ leads to the classical Riemann zeta function $\zeta$ which is the Dirac zeta function of the circle.

Approximating the classical Riemann zeta function by entire functions is possible in many ways. One has studied partial sums $\sum_{k=1}^n n^{-s}$ in [6, 18]. One can look at zeta functions of triangulations of compact manifolds $M$ and compare them with the zeta functions of the later. This has been analyzed for the form Laplacian by [17] in dimensions $d \geq 2$. We will look at zeta functions of circular graphs $C_n(s)$ and their symmetries in form of fixed points of Birkhoff sum renormalization maps [1], and get so a new derivation of the values of $\zeta(2n)$ for the classical Riemann zeta function. While there is a more general connection for $\sigma > 1$ pointed out here is only a trivial bridge. It is possible that the fine structure of the limiting set of roots of circular zeta functions encodes information of the Riemann zeta function itself and allow to see behind the abscissa of convergence of $\zeta(s)$ but there is no doubt that such an analysis would be much more difficult.
Theorem 1 (Convergence). a) For any \( s \) with \( \text{Re}(s) > 1 \) we have point wise
\[
\zeta_n(s) \frac{\pi^s}{2n^s} \to \zeta(s).
\]
b) For \( \text{Re}(s) \leq 0 \) we have point wise convergence
\[
\zeta_n(s) \to c(s) \neq 0.
\]
c) For \( 0 < \text{Re}(s) < 1 \), we have point wise convergence of \( [\zeta_n(s) - nc(s)]/\sigma(\zeta_n) \) to a nonzero function.

In all cases, the convergence is uniform on compact subsets of the corresponding open regions.

This will be shown below. An immediate consequence is:

Corollary 2 (Roots approach critical line). For any compact set \( K \) and \( \epsilon > 0 \), there exists \( n_0 \) so that for \( n > n_0 \), the roots of \( \zeta_n(s) \) are outside \( K \cap \{1 - \epsilon < \text{Re}(s) < 1 + \epsilon \} \).

The main question is now whether
\[
\zeta_n(s) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2^s \sin^s(\pi \frac{k}{n})}
\]
has roots converging to a discrete set, to a Cantor type set or to a curve \( \gamma \) on the critical line \( \text{Re}(s) = 1 \).

If the roots should converge to a discrete set of isolated points, one could settle this with the argument principle and show that a some complex integral \( \int_C f'(s)/f(s) \, ds \) along some rectangular paths do no more move. Besides a curve or discrete set \( \{b_1, b_2, \ldots \} \) the roots could accumulate as a Hausdorff limit on compact set or Cantor set but the later is not likely. From the numerical data we would not be surprised if the limiting set would coincide with the critical line \( \sigma = 1 \).

We have numerically computed contour integrals
\[
\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{\zeta'(z)\zeta(z)}{\zeta(z)} \, dz
\]
counting the number of roots of \( \zeta_n \) inside rectangles enclosed by \( C : (0, a) \to (1, a) \to (1, b) \to (0, b) \) to confirm the location of roots. That works reliably but it is more expensive than finding the roots by Newton iteration. In principle, one could use methods developed in [10] to rigorously establish the existence of roots for specific \( n \) but that would not help since we want to understand the limit \( n \to \infty \).

If the roots should settle to a discrete set, then [10] would be useful to prove that this is the case: look at a contour integral along a small circle along the limiting root and show that it does not change in the limit \( n \to \infty \). We currently have the impression however that the roots will settle to a continuum curve on \( \sigma = 1 \).

4. Specific values

We list now specific values of
\[
\zeta_n(s) = \frac{1}{2^s \sin^s(\pi \frac{1}{n})} + \cdots + \frac{1}{2^s \sin^s(\pi \frac{n-1}{n})}.
\]
As a general rule, it seems that one can compute the values $\zeta_n(s)$ for the discrete circle explicitly, if and only the specific values for the classical Riemann zeta function $\zeta(s)$ of the circle are known. So far, we have only anecdotal evidence for such a meta rule.

**Lemma 3.** For real integer values $s = m > 0$, we have

$$
\zeta_n(-2m) = \frac{\text{tr}(L^n)}{2} = n \sum_{k=0}^m B(m, k)^2,
$$

where $L$ is the form Laplacian of the circular graph $G_n$.

**Proof.** Start with a point $(0, 0)$ and take a simplex $x$ (edge or vertex), then choosing a nonzero entry of $Dx$ is either point to the right or up. In order to have a diagonal entry of $D^m$, we have to hit $m$ times $-1$ and $m$ times $+1$.

The traces of the Laplacian have a path interpretation if the diagonal entries are added as loops of negative length. For the adjacency matrix $A$ one has that $\text{tr}(A^n)$ is the number of closed paths of length $n$ in the graph. We can use that $L = D^2$ and $|D|$ is the adjacency matrix of a simplex graph $G$. But it is not $|D|^2 = D^2$.

For $n = 0$, where $\text{tr}(D^0) = 2n$ but $\zeta_n(0) = 2n - 2$, we have a discrepancy; the reason is that we have defined the sum $\sum_{\lambda \neq 0} \lambda^{-s}$ which for $s = 0$ does not count two cases of $0^0$, which the trace includes. Formulas like $2 \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} 2^m \sin^m(\pi k/n) = \text{tr}(D^m)$ relate combinatorics with analysis. It is Fourier theory in the circular case.

- For $s = 0$ we have $\zeta_n(s) = 2n - 2$ because the sum has $2n - 2$ terms $1$.
- For $s = -1$ we have $\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} 2 \sin(\pi k/n) = \text{Im}(4/(1 - e^{i\pi/n}))$ which as a Riemann sum converges for $n \to \infty$ to $2\Gamma(1/2)\Gamma(1/2) = 4/\pi = 1.27324 \ldots$.
- For $s = -2$ we have $\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} 2^2 \sin^2(\pi k/n) = 2n$.
- For $s = 1$, we see convergence $\zeta_n(n \log(n)) \to 0.321204$. Since $c(1) = \infty$ this agrees in a limiting case with the convergence of $(\zeta_n - nc(1))/n = \zeta_n/n - c(1)$.
- For $s = 2$, we deal with the discrete analogue of the **Basel problem** and the sum $\zeta_n(2) = (1/4) \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} 1/\sin^2(\pi k/n)$. We see that $\zeta_n(2)/n^2 \to (1 - n^2)/12$ which implies $\zeta(2) = \pi^2/6$.
- For $s = 3$, we numerically computed $\zeta_n(3)/n^3$ for $n = 10^7$ as 0.0009692044. Since no explicit expressions are known for $\zeta(3)$, it is unlikely also that explicit expressions for $\zeta_n$ will be available in the discrete.
- For $s = 4$, we will derive the value $1/720$. Also this will reestablish the known value value $\zeta(4) = \pi^4/90$ for the Riemann zeta function.

**5. The Basel Problem**

The finite analogue of the Basel problem is the quest to find an explicit formula for

$$A(n) = \frac{\zeta_n(2)}{n^2} = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sin^{-2}(\frac{\pi k}{n}).$$

Curiously, similar type of sums have been tackled in number theory by Hardy and Littlewood already [3] and where the sums are infinite over an irrational rotation number. We have

**Lemma 4.** $\frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sin^{-2}(\frac{\pi k}{n}) = \frac{1-1/n^2}{3}$. 
Proof. The function \( g(x) = \cot(\pi x) \) is a fixed point of the Birkhoff renormalization

\[
T(g)(y) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} g\left(\frac{y}{n} + \frac{k}{n}\right).
\]

Therefore, \( g' = -\pi \sin^{-2}(\pi x) \) or \( \sin^{-2}(\pi x) \) is a fixed point of

\[
T_2(g)(y) = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} g\left(\frac{y}{n} + \frac{k}{n}\right).
\]

Let's write this out as

\[
\frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} g\left(\frac{y}{n} + \frac{k}{n}\right) = g(y) - 1/n^2 g\left(\frac{y}{n}\right).
\]

The right hand side is now analytic at 0 and is \((1 - 1/n^2)/3 + A_2x^2 + A_4x^4\ldots\). Therefore, the limit \( y \to 0 \) gives

\[
\frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{\sin^{-2}(\pi k/n)}{n} = \left(1 - \frac{1}{n^2}\right)/3.
\]

The following argument just gives the limit \( A \). Chose \( n = 3m \) and chose three sums for \( y = 0, y = 1/3 \) and \( y = 2/3 \):

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{m^2} \left[ g\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) + g\left(\frac{2}{n}\right) + \cdots + g\left(\frac{n-3}{n}\right) \right] & \to A \\
\frac{1}{m^2} \left[ g\left(\frac{2}{n}\right) + g\left(\frac{5}{n}\right) + \cdots + g\left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right) \right] & \to g(1/3) = 4/3 \\
\frac{1}{m^2} \left[ g\left(\frac{3}{n}\right) + g\left(\frac{6}{n}\right) + \cdots + g\left(\frac{n-2}{n}\right) \right] & \to g(2/3) = 4/3,
\end{align*}
\]

where the limits are \( n = 3m \to \infty \). When adding this up we get \( A3^2 \) on the left hand side and \( A + 4/3 + 4/3 \) on the right hand side in the limit. From \( A(3^2 - 1) = 2(4/3) \) we get \( A = 1/3 \). \( \square \)

Example. For \( n = 2 \) we get \( \zeta_9(2) = 20/3 \). The scalar Laplacian which agrees with the Laplacian on 1-forms is displayed in the introduction. The nonzero eigenvalues are 3.87939, 3.87939, 3, 1.6527, 1.6527, 0.467911, 0.467911. The sum of the reciprocals \( \sum \lambda_i^{-1} \) indeed is equal to 20/3. This is the trace of the Green function. Note that since in the circle case, the scalar Laplacian \( L_0 \) and the one form Laplacian \( L_1 \) are the same since we have chosen only the positive part of the Dirac operator \( D \) which produces \( L = D^2 = L_0 \oplus L_1 \), we have \( \zeta(2k) = \text{Tr}(L^{-k})/2 \), where \( \text{Tr} \) is the sum of all nonzero eigenvalues. We had to divide by 2 because we only summed over the positive eigenvalues of \( D \).

The formula \( \zeta_n(2) = (n^2 - 1)/12 \) is true for all \( n \):

**Theorem 5** (Discrete Basel problem). For every \( n \geq 1 \),

\[
\zeta_n(2) = \frac{(n^2 - 1)}{12}.
\]

It is a solution of all the arithmetic recursions

\[
A(m) + A(k)k^2 = A(mk)k^2.
\]
Proof. The previous derivation for \( m \rightarrow 3m \) can be generalized to \( m \rightarrow km \). It shows that \( A(m) + A(k)k^2 = A(mk)k^2 \), which is solved by \( (1 - 1/n^2)/12 \). □

Remarks.
1) \( B(n) = n^2A \) satisfies the relation \( B(m)/m^2 + B(k) = B(mk)/m^2 \). \( C(n) = nA \) satisfies the relation \( C(m) + C(k)km = C(mk)k \).
2) The answer to \( \zeta_n(2) = \text{tr}(L^{-1}) \) is the variance of uniformly distributed random variables in \{1, \ldots, n\}: (1/n) \sum_{k=1}^{n} k^2 - ((1/n) \sum_{k=1}^{n} k)^2 = (n^2 - 1)/12. Is this an accident?

Corollary 6 (Classical Basel problem). \( \zeta(2) = \sum_{k>0} \frac{1}{k^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{6} \).

Proof. By Theorem (1), we have \( \zeta(s) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \zeta_n(s)2^s\pi^s/(2n^s) \). For \( s = 2 \), we get from the discrete Basel problem the answer \( \lim_{n \to \infty}(1 - 1/n^2)\pi^2/6 = \pi^2/6 \). □

Let’s look at the next larger case and compute \( \text{tr}(L^{-4}) \):

Lemma 7. For every \( n \),

\[
\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sin^{-4}\left(\frac{\pi k}{n}\right) = \frac{(n^2 - 1)(n^2 + 11)}{45}.
\]

In the limit this gives \( \frac{1}{12} \) so that \( \sum_{k>0} \frac{1}{k^4} = \frac{\pi^4}{90} \).

Proof. a) We can get an explicit formula for the sum \( \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (2 + \cos(2\pi k/n))/\sin^4(\pi k/n) \) by renormalization. We can also get an explicit formula for

\[
\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (2 - 2 \cos(2\pi k/n))/\sin^4(\pi k/n) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sin^{-2}(\pi k/n)
\]

which allows to get one for \( \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} 1/\sin^4(\pi k/n) \).

While b) follows from a), there is a simpler direct derivation of b) which works in the case when we are only interested in the limit. With have \( g'(x) = -\pi \sin^{-2}(\pi x) \), then \( g''(x) = -\pi^3 2(2 + \cos(2\pi x))/\sin^4(\pi x) \) which has the same singularity at \( x = 0 \) than \( -\pi^3 6 \sin^{-4}(x) \). Now \( h = g''(x) \) is a fixed point of the Birkhoff renormalization operator

\[
T_4(g)(y) = \frac{1}{n^4} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} g\left(\frac{y}{n} + \frac{k}{n}\right).
\]

Lets call the limit \( A \). As before, we see that the limit \( A \) satisfies \( A + h(1/3) + h(2/3) = A^3 \). Since \( h(1/3) = h(2/3) = \pi^3 16/3 \). We have \( A = \pi^3 (32/3)/(3^4 - 1) = \pi^3 2/15 \). If we do the summation with \( \sin^{-4}(x) \) we get \( (1/6\pi^3) \) that result which is 1/45.

□

6. Proof of the Limiting Root Result

In this section we prove the statements in Theorem (1). We will use that if a sequence \( f_n \) of analytic functions converges to \( f \) uniformly in an open region \( G \) and \( K \) is a subset of \( G \) and all \( f_n \) have a root in \( K \). Then \( f \) has a root in \( K \). This is known under the name Hurwitz theorem in complex analysis (e.g. [2] and follows from a contour integral argument.
a) **Below the critical strip.** The first part deals with non-positive values of \( \sigma \), where \( \zeta \) is a Riemann sum.

**Proposition 8.** For large enough \( n \), there are no roots of \( \zeta_n(s) \) in \( \sigma \leq 0 \).

**Proof.** Below the critical strip \( \sigma \leq 0 \), the sum \( \zeta_n(s)/n \) is a Riemann sum \( \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} g(k/n) \) for a continuous, complex valued function \( g(x) = \sin^{-s}(x) \) on \([0, 1]\). The function \( c(s) \) has no roots in \( \sigma \leq 0 \). By Hurwitz theorem, also \( \zeta_n \) has no roots there for large enough \( n \). □

There are still surprises. We could show for example that \( [\zeta_n(s) - nc(s)]/n^s \) even converges even for \(-1 < s < 0\). This shows that the Riemann sum \( \zeta_n(s)/n \) is very close to the average \( c(s) \) below the critical strip. We do not need this result however.

**To the right of the critical strip**

There is a relation between the zeta function of the circular graphs \( C_n \) and the zeta function of the circle \( T^1 \) if \( s \) is to the right of the critical line \( \sigma = 1 \). Establishing this relation requires a bit of analysis.

By coincidence that the name “Riemann” is involved both to the left of the critical strip with Riemann sum and to the right with the Riemann zeta function. The story to the right of the critical line is not as obvious as it was in the left half plane \( \sigma < 0 \).

**Proposition 9 (Discrete and continuum Riemann zeta function).** If \( \zeta_n(s) \) is the zeta function of the circular graph \( C_n \) and \( \zeta(s) \) is the zeta function of the circle (which is the classical Riemann zeta function), then

\[
\zeta_n(s) \frac{2(2\pi)^s}{n^s} \rightarrow \zeta(s)
\]

for \( n \to \infty \). The convergence is uniform on compact sets \( K \subset \{ \sigma > 1 \} \) and \( \zeta_n \) has no roots in \( K \) for large enough \( n \).

**Proof.** We have to show that the sum

\[
\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} 2^{-s} \sin^{-s}(\pi k/n) (2\pi)^s n^s - \frac{1}{k^s}
\]

goes to zero. Instead, because the Riemann sums \( \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} g(k/n) \) of \( g(x) = 1/x \) and \( g(x) = 1/(1 - x) \) give the same result, we can by symmetry take twice the discrete Riemann sum and look at the Riemann sum

\[
\frac{S_n}{n^s}
\]

with \( S_n = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} h(k/n) \) and

\[
h(x) = 22^{-s} \sin^{-s}(\pi x) - 2^{-s}(\pi x)^{-s}.
\]

We have to show that \( S_n/n^s \) converges to zero. This is equivalent to show that \( n^{-(s-1)}(S_n/n) \) converges to zero. Now,

\[
\frac{S_n}{n} = H(1 - \frac{1}{2n}) - H(\frac{1}{2n}) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_k K(\frac{k}{n}),
\]
where $H(x)$ is the anti-derivative of $h$ and where $K(x)$ is a $K$-derivative of $h$. Since $\zeta$ has no roots in $K$, we know by Hurwitz also that $\zeta_n$ has no roots for large enough $n$. □

**On the critical line**

On the critical line, we have a sum

$$\zeta(i\tau) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{\sin(\pi \frac{k}{n})} e^{-i\tau \log(\sin(\pi \frac{k}{n}))}.$$

Now $\sin^{-1}(\pi x)$ is no more in $L^1$ but we have $\int_{1/n}^{(n-1)/n} \sin^{-1}(x) \, dx \sim c_n = -2 \log(n) + C_n$. This shows that $(\zeta_n - C_n)/(n \log(n))$ plays now the same role than $(\zeta_n(s) - c(s))/n^s$ before. This only establishes that $\zeta(s)/(n \log(s))$ stays bounded but does not establish convergence. We measure experimentally that $\zeta_n(s)/n^s$ could converge to a bounded function which has a maximum at 0. Indeed, we can see that the maximum occurs at $\tau = 0$ and that the growth is logarithmic in $n$. We do not know whether there are roots of $\zeta_n(s)$ accumulating for $n \to \infty$ on $\sigma = 1$.

**Inside the critical strip**

The following result uses Rolle theory developed in the appendix:

**Theorem 10** (Central limit in critical strip). For $0 < \sigma = \Re(s) < 1$, the limit

$$\chi(s) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\zeta_n(s) - nc(s)}{n^s}$$

exists. There are no roots in the open critical strip.

**Proof.** On the interval $[1/n, (n-1)/n]$, the function $\sin(\pi x)/n^s$ takes values between $[-1, 1]$ and subtracting $nc(s)/n^s$ gives a function $h$ on $[0, 1]$ which averages to 0. We have now a smooth bounded function $h$ of zero average and have to show that $\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} h(k/n)$ converges. Let $H$ be the antiderivative, Now use the mean value theorem to have $\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} H'(y_k) = 0$, where $y_k$ are the Rolle points satisfying $H'(y_k) = h((k+1)/n) - h(k/n)$. deviation of the Rolle point from the mean. We will see that

$$\frac{\zeta_n(s) - nc(s)}{n^s} = \frac{1}{n^{2+s}} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} K^{(s)}(\frac{k}{n}) \sin^{-s}(\pi \frac{k}{n}).$$

We know that $[\zeta_n(z_n) - nc(z_n)]/n^s$ converges for $n \to \infty$ uniformly for $s$ on compact subsets of the strip $0 < \sigma < 1$. Hurwitz assures that there are no roots in the limit. □

Remarks.

1) We actually see convergence for $-2 < \sigma < 1$.

2) The above proposition does not implie that $\zeta_n(s)$ has no roots outside the critical strip for large enough $n$. Indeed, we believe that there are for all $n$ getting closer and closer to the critical line.

3) Because of the almost periodic nature of the setup we believe that for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is a $n_0$ such that for $n > n_0$, there are no roots in $\sigma \in [-\epsilon, 1+\epsilon]$. 

Figure 2. The limiting function $\chi(s)$ shown in the complex plane on $-1 < \sigma < 2$ and $0 < \tau < 18$ as a contour plot of $|\chi(s)|$.

7. Questions

A) This note has been written with the goal to understand the roots of $\zeta_n$ and to explain their motion as $n$ increases. We still do not know:

We think that the limiting accumulation set could coincide with the entire critical line. If this is not true, where are the gaps?

B) The zeta functions considered here do not belong to classes of Dirichlet series which are classically considered. The following question is motivated from the classical symmetry for $\xi(s) = \pi^{-s/2}s(s-1)\Gamma(s/2)\zeta(s)$ which satisfies $\xi(1-s) = \xi(s)$ and where $S(s) = 1-s$ is the involution:

B) Is there for every $n$ a functional equation for $\zeta_n$.

We think the answer is yes in the limit. A functional equation which holds for each $n$ would be surprising and very interesting.

C) The shifted zeta function

$$\zeta_n(y, s) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (2 \sin(\pi(k + y)/n))^{-s}.$$  

is different from the “twisted zeta function” $\zeta(s) = \sum \lambda(\lambda + a)^{-s}$ which motivated by the Hurwitz zeta function (4) for some integer values of $s$. The function

$$z_n(s, y) = \zeta_n(s, 0) + \zeta_n(s, y)$$

has the property that $z_n(s, 0) = 2\zeta_n(s), z_n(s, 1/2) = \zeta_{2n}(s) + (2 \sin(\pi/n))^{-s}$. We can now define a vector field

$$F_n(s) = -\partial_s z_n(s, y)/\partial_y z_n(s, y)|_{y=0}$$

which depends on $n$ and has the property that the level set $s = c$ moves with $y$. This applies especially to roots. If we start with a root of $\zeta_n$ at $y = 0$, we end up with a root of $\zeta_{2n}$ at $y = 1/2$. This picture explains why the motion of the roots has a natural unit time-scale between $n$ and $2n$ but it also opens the possibility that we get a limiting field:
8. Tracking roots

We report now some values of the root closest to the origin. These computations were done in the summer of 2013 and illustrate how slowly the roots move when $n$ is increased. The results support the picture that the distance between neighboring roots will go to zero for $n \to \infty$ even so this is hard to believe when naively observing the numerics at first. For integers like $n = 10',000$, we still see a set which appears indistinguishable from say $n = 10',100$, giving the impression that the roots have settled. However, the roots still move, even so very slowly: even when observing on a logarithmic time scale, the motion will slow down exponentially. Their speed therefore appears to be asymptotic to $A e^{-C r^t}$ for some constants $A,B$ which makes their motion hard to see. For the following data, we have computed the first root with 400 digit accuracy and stopped in each case the Newton iteration when $|\zeta_n(z)| < 10^{-100}$.

| n       | root $r(n)$                                        |
|---------|-----------------------------------------------------|
| 5000    | $z = 1.0147497138 + 0.7377785810i$                  |
| 10000   | $z = 1.0120939324 + 0.6811471384i$                  |
| 20000   | $z = 1.0100259045 + 0.6327440122i$                  |
| 40000   | $z = 1.0083949013 + 0.5908710693i$                  |
| 80000   | $z = 1.0070933362 + 0.5542725435i$                  |
| 160000  | $z = 1.0060433506 + 0.5219986605i$                  |
| 320000  | $z = 1.0051878321 + 0.4933170571i$                  |
| 640000  | $z = 1.0044843401 + 0.4676534598i$                  |
| 1280000 | $z = 1.0039009483 + 0.4445508922i$                  |
| 2560000 | $z = 1.0034133623 + 0.4236409658i$                  |
| 5120000 | $z = 1.0030028952 + 0.4046232575i$                  |
| 10240000| $z = 1.0026550285 + 0.3872502227i$                  |
| 20480000| $z = 1.0023583755 + 0.3713159709i$                  |

If $r(n) \in C$ denotes the first root of $\zeta_n(s)$, then linear interpolation of the Re($r(n)$) data indicate that for $n = 10^{10}$ only, we can expect the root to be in the strip. This estimate is optimistic because the root motion will slow down. It might well be that we need to go to much higher values to reach the critical strip, or - which is very likely by our result - that the critical strip is only reached asymptotically for $n \to \infty$. A reason for an even slower convergence is also that $\zeta(s)$ has a pole at $s = 1$, which could be the final landing point of the first root we have tracked.

9. Appendix: Newton-Cotes with singularities

This appendix contains a result which complements the Newton-Cotes formula for functions which can have singularities at the boundary of the integration interval. It especially applies for functions like $\sin(\pi k x)^{-s}$ which appear in the zeta function for discrete circles. We might expand the theme covered in this appendix elsewhere for numerical purposes or in the context of central limit theorems for stochastic processes with correlated and time adjusted random variables. Both probability
Figure 3. Tracking the roots of $\zeta_n(s)$ for $n = 100$ to $n = 6000$ shows that their paths appear to move smoothly. There are still roots outside the strip but they will eventually move to the right boundary $\sigma = 1$ of the critical strip $0 < \sigma < 1$. We see that the root motion line touches the line $\sigma = 1$. The right figure shows the tiny rectangle at the lower right corner of the first picture, where we can see the first root, tracked in an expensive long run for $n = 5000, 10000, \ldots, 20480000$ and interpolated with a quadratic fit. The vertical line is $\sigma = 1$, which contains the pole $s = 1$.

Theory and numerical analysis are proper contexts in which Archimedean Riemann sums - Riemann sums with equal spacing between the intervals - can be considered.
We refine here Newton-Coates using a derivative we call the $K$-derivative. This notion is well behaved for functions like $f(x) = \sin^{-s}(\pi x)$ on the unit interval if $s \in (0, 1)$. To do so, we study the deviation of Rolle points from the midpoints in Riemann partition interval and use this to improve the estimate of Riemann sums. If we would know the Rolle points exactly, then the finite Riemann sum would represent the exact integral. Since we only approximate the Rolle points, we get close.

In the most elementary form, the classical **Newton-Cotes formula** for smooth functions shows that if $\int_0^1 f(x) \, dx = E[F]$, then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) - nE[f] \right\}$$

is bounded above by $\max_{0 \leq x \leq 1} |f''(x)|/2$. This gives bounds on how fast the Riemann sum converges to the integral $E[f]$.

Since we want to deal with Riemann sums for functions which are unbounded at the end points, where the second derivative is unbounded, we use a $K$-derivative which
Figure 5. The zeta functions for the complete graph $K_5$ the linear graph $L_{10}$ the circular graph $C_{10}$ the star graph $S_{10}$ the wheel graph $W_{10}$, a random Erdős-Rényi graph $E_{20,0.5}$, the Peterson graph $P_{5,2}$ and the octahedron graph $O$. 
The Rolle point of an interval \((-a,a)\) is the point \(x(a) \in (-a,a)\) satisfying \(f(a) - f(-a) = f'(x(a))\) and which is closest to 0. If there should be two, we could chose the right one to make it unique. The existence of Rolle points is assured by the mean value theorem. For small \(a\) and nonlinear \(f\), it is close to the center 0 of the interval. The picture illustrates, how close the Rolle point \(x(a)\) is in general to the midpoint of an interval. The value \(f(x(a))\) is \(Kf'(0)f''(0)a^2\) close to \(f(0)\), if \(Kf(0)\) is the \(K\)-derivative.

Note that we can have \(x(a)\) be discontinuous.

Figure 6. As similar properties than the Schwarzian derivative. The lemma below was developed when studying the cotangent Birkhoff sum which features a selfsimilar Birkhoff limit. We eventually did not need this Rolle theory in [12] but it comes handy here for the discrete circle zeta functions. As we will see, the result has a probabilistic angle. For a function \(g\) on the unit interval, we can see the Riemann sum \(y \to \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} g((k+y)/n)\) as a new function which can be seen as a sum of random variables and consider normalized limits as an analogue of central limits in probability theory.

When normalizing this so that the mean is 0 and the variance is 1, we get a limiting function which plays the role of entropy maximizing fixed points in probability theory, which is an approach for the central limit theorems. While for smooth functions, the limiting function is linear, the limiting function is nonlinear if there
are singularities at the boundary. We will discuss this elsewhere.

Given a function \( f \) which is smooth in a neighborhood of a point \( x_0 \), the Rolle point \( r(a) \) at \( x_0 \) is defined as a solution \( x = x(a) \in [x_0 - a, x_0 + a] \) of the mean value equation

\[
g(a, x) = f(x_0 + a) - f(x_0 - a) - 2af'(x) = 0
\]

which is closest to \( x_0 \) and to the right of \( x_0 \) if there should be two closest points. The derivative \( f'(x(x)) \) at \( x(a) \) agrees with the slope of the line segment connecting the graph part on the interval \( I = (x_0 - a, x_0 + a) \).

Given Rolle points \( r_k \) inside each interval \( I_k \) of a partition, a discrete fundamental theorem of calculus states that

\[
\sum_{j=1}^{k} g'(r_j) h_j = g(1) - g(0),
\]

assuming \([0, 1]\) is divided into intervals \([a_j, b_j]\) of length \( h_j = b_j - a_j > 0 \) with \( 1 \leq j \leq k \) satisfying \( b_j = a_{j+1} \) and \( b_k = 1, a_1 = 0 \). Especially, if the spacing \( h_j \) is the same everywhere, - in which case Riemann sums are often called Archimedean sums - then the exact formula

\[
\sum_{k=1}^{n} f'(r_k) = n[f(b) - f(a)]
\]

holds. The mean value theorem establishes the existence of Rolle points: \( G(s) = f(x_0 + a) - f(x_0 - a) - 2af'(-a + 2as) \) satisfies \( \int_{0}^{1} G(s) \, ds = 0 \) so that there is a solution \( G(s) = 0 \) and so a root \( x = x_0 - a + 2as \) of \( G(s) \). We take the point \( s \) closest to \( x_0 \) and if there should be two, take the point to the right. By definition, we have \( g(0, x_0) = 0 \). If the second derivative \( f''(x_0) \) is nonzero, then the implicit function theorem assures that the map \( a \rightarrow x(a) \) is a continuous function in \( a \) for small \( a \).

The formula will indicate and confirm that near inflection points, the Rolle point \( x(a) \) can move fast as a function of \( a \). While it is also possible that Rolle point can jump from one side to the other as we have defined it to be the closest, we can look at the motion of continuous path of \( x_a \).

Assume \( x_0 = 0 \) and that we look at \( f \) on the interval \([-a, a]\). The formula

\[
f(x_a) = f(0) + \left( \frac{f'(0)f'''(0)}{12f''(0)^2} \right) f''(0)a^2 + O(a^4)
\]

shown below in [14] motivates to define the K-derivative of a function \( g \) as

\[
K_g(x) = \frac{g'(x)g'''(x)}{g''(x)^2}.
\]

It has similar features to the Schwarzian derivative

\[
S_g(x) = \frac{g'''(x)}{g'(x)} - \frac{3}{2} \frac{g''(x)}{g'(x)^2}
\]

which is important in the theory of dynamical systems of one-dimensional maps. As the following examples show, they lead to similarly simple expressions, if we evaluate it for some basic functions:
Both notions involve the first three derivatives of $g$. The $K$-derivative is invariant under linear transformations

$$K_{ag+b}(y) = K_g(y)$$

and a constant for $g(x) = x^n, n \neq 0, 1$ or $g(x) = \log(x)$ or $g(x) = \exp(x)$. Note that while the Schwarzian derivative is invariant under fractional linear transformations

$$S_{(ag+b)/(cg+d)}(y) = S_g(y),$$

the K-derivative is only invariant under linear transformations only. It vanishes on quadratic functions.

An important example for the present zeta story is the function $g(x) = 1/\sin^s(x)$ for which

$$K_g(x) = \frac{2 \cos^2(x) \left(s^2 \cos(2x) + s^2 + 6s + 4\right)}{(s \cos(2x) + s + 2)^2}$$

is bounded if $s > -1$.

We can now patch the classical Newton-Coates in situations, where the second derivative is large but the K-derivative is small. This is especially useful for rational trigonometric functions for which the K-derivative is bounded, even so the functions are unbounded. The following theorem will explain the convergence of $(\zeta_n - E[\zeta_n])/n^s$ which is scaled similarly than random variables in the central limit theorem for $s = 1/2$. Here is the main result of this appendix:

**Theorem 11** (Rolle-Newton-Cotes type estimate). Assume $g$ is $C^5$ on $I = (0,1)$ and has no inflection points $g''(x) = 0$ in $(0,1)$ and satisfies $|K_g(x)| \leq M$ for all $x \in (0,1)$. Then, for every $0 \leq y < 1$ and large enough $n$,

$$\left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k/n \in I} g\left(\frac{k+y}{n}\right) - \int_0^1 g(x) \, dx \right| \leq \frac{1}{n^3} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} M g''\left(\frac{k+y}{n}\right) \leq \frac{M_1}{n^2} \left| g\left(\frac{n - (1/2) + y}{n}\right) - g\left(\frac{y + 1/2}{n}\right) \right|. $$

**Proof.** We can assume that $\int_0^1 g(x) \, dx = 0$ without loss of generality. Let $g/y + r_k$ be the Rolle points. Then $\sum_{k/n \in I} g(r_k) = 0$. Now use that $|g(k+y/n)| \leq M g''(k+y/n)$, where $M$ is the upper bound for the K-derivative. The last equation is a Riemann sum.
This especially holds if $g$ is smooth in a neighborhood of the compact interval $[0,1]$ in which case the limit
\[ T(g)(y) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left[ \sum_{k/n \in I} g\left(\frac{k+y}{n}\right) - n \int_a^b g(x) \, dx \right] \]
exists and is linear in $y$. We will look at this “central limit theorem” story elsewhere.

The result has two consequences, which we will need:

**Corollary 12.** For $g(x) = \sin(\pi x)^{-s} - (\pi x)^{-s}$ and $s > 1$, we have
\[ \frac{1}{n^s} \sum_{\frac{k}{n} \in (0,1/2)} g\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \to 0. \]

*Proof.* The function $g$ is continuous on $(0,1/2)$. Therefore, the Riemann sum
\[ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\frac{k}{n} \in (0,1/2)} g\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \]
converges. $\square$

The second one is:

**Corollary 13.** For $g(x) = \sin(\pi x)^{-s}$ we know that
\[ \left| \sum_{k/n \in I} g\left(\frac{k+y}{n}\right) - n \int_0^1 g(x) \, dx \right|/n^s \]
converges uniformly for $s$ in compact subsets $K$ of the strip $0 < \text{Re}(s) < 1$.

*Proof.* We have seen already that the K-derivative of $g$ is bounded. We have $G_n = [g'(\frac{n-1/2}{n} + \frac{y}{n}) - g'(\frac{1/2}{n} + \frac{y}{n})] \leq C_1$. Because the function $g''$ has always the same sign, the Rolle estimate shows that $|S_n/n - G_n| \leq |\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} g''(k/n)M/n^2|$. Applying the lemma again shows that this is the limit of $\frac{1}{n^{1+2}}[g'(1-1/(2n)) - g'(1/(2n))]$. We see that we have a uniform majorant and so convergence to a function which is analytic inside the strip. $\square$

Given a smooth $L^1$ function $g$ on $(0,b)$ and $n \geq 2$, define
\[ S_n(g)(y) = \sum_{0<k/n<1} g\left(\frac{k+y}{n}\right) \]
and $E[S_n] = \int_0^1 S_n(y) \, dy$ as well as $\text{Var}[S_n] = \int_0^1 (S_n - E[S_n])^2 \, dx$ and $\sigma[S_n] = \sqrt{\text{Var}[S_n]}$. Define
\[ T_n(g) = \frac{S_n(g) - E[S_n]}{\sigma[S_n]}. \]

**Examples.**

1) The function $g(x) = 1/x$ on $(1/n,1)$ has the K-derivative $3/2$. The Riemann sum is $(1/n) \sum_{k=1}^n 1/k = \sum_{k=1}^n 1/k$. The integral is log($n$). The theorem tells that $\sum_{k=1}^n 1/k - \log(n)$ is bounded. Of course, we know it converges to $\gamma$ the Euler-Mascheroni constant.

2) Let's take $g(x) = \log(x)$ on $I = [1,b]$ for which the K-derivative $K_g(x) = 3/2$ independent of $\epsilon$. Assume $y = 0$. The classical Newton-Coates would not have given us a good estimate for the Riemann sum because the interval increases. However,
Figure 7. Numerically we can iterate the operator $T$ on functions on $(0,1)$ twice. For the picture, we used the renormalization step $T_{30}$ defined in (4) twice. In the first picture, the initial function was a random smooth function of zero mean and variance 1. The second example is a function for which $\lim_{x \to 0} g(x)(x - 0)^{1/3} = 1$ and $\lim_{x \to 1} g(x)(x - 1)^{1/3} = -2$. The figures illustrate $T(Tg)) = T(g)$ and that for smooth functions in a neighborhood of $[0,1]$, the limit $T(g)$ is one of the two linear functions $ax + b = \pm \sqrt{12}x - \sqrt{3}$. If the function is unbounded at the ends, then the limiting function can become nonlinear.

The above theorem works. Applying the above theorem for $n = 1$ gives for every $b > 1$
\[
\sum_{k < b} \frac{1}{k} \log(b) \leq 2 + 1 = 3.
\]
Indeed the limit for $b \to \infty$ exists and is again Euler-Mascheroni constant. But the Rolle estimate is uniform in $n$. The bound 3 on the right hand side is not optimal. One can show it to be $\leq 1$.

3) For $g(x) = \cot(\pi x)$, we have $\min(|Kf(x)f''(x)|/6, |f''(x)/12|) \leq 1$. Again assume first $y = 0$. We have $|\sum_{k=1}^{[n-1/2]} \cot(\pi k/n)/n + \log(\sin(\pi x)/\pi)| \leq 1$ for all $n$. The limit which exists according to the theorem is about 0.183733.

4) The theorem implies that the limit $(1/n)\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \cot(\pi(k+y)/n)$ exists. Actually, since this is the Birkhoff normalization operator (a special Ruelle transfer operator known in dynamical systems theory), the limit is explicitly known to be $\cot(\pi y)$ if we sum from $k = 0$ to $n - 1$.

5) Let $g(x) = \sin^{-s}(\pi x)$ with $0 < s < 1$, where $M$ is 1. We also have
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{g(n)}{n^s} = \frac{1}{\pi^s}
\]
converging. The theorem applies and the limit exists because for $g(x) = \sin(\pi x)^{-s}$, the Rolle function is bounded.

Lemma 14 (Rolle point lemma). Assume $f$ is 5 times continuously differentiable in an interval $I = (-b, b)$ and that $f''(0)$ is not zero. Then there is $\xi \in I$ such that
the Rolle point \( x(a) \) satisfies
\[
x(a) = a^2 \frac{f'''(0)}{6f''(0)} + a^4 \frac{f''''''(\xi)}{120f''(0)}.
\]

Furthermore,
\[
f(x_a) = f(0) + a^2 K_f(0) \frac{f''(0)}{6} + O(a^4).
\]

Proof. We start with the implicit equation
\[
g(x,a) = f(a) - f(-a) - 2af'(x) = 0
\]
from which the chain rule gives in a familiar way the implicit differentiation formula
\[
x'(a) = \frac{g_a(0,0)}{g_x(0,0)}.
\]
A Taylor expansion with Lagrangian rest term
\[
f(a) = f(0) + af'(0) + a^2 \frac{f''(0)}{2!} + a^3 \frac{f'''(0)}{3!} + a^4 \frac{f''''(0)}{4!} + a^5 \frac{f'''''(\xi)}{5!}
\]
for some \( \xi \in (-b,b) \) shows that
\[
g_a(0,0) = 2f'(0) + 2a^3 \frac{f'''(0)}{3!} + 2a^5 \frac{f'''''(\xi)}{5!} = 2f'(0)
\]
\[
g_x(0,0) = -2af''(0).
\]
Therefore, \( x'(a) = a^2 \frac{f'''(0)}{6f''(0)} + a^4 \frac{f''''''(\xi)}{120f''(0)} \). And the rest is clear using \( f(x_a) = f(0) + f'(0)x_a + f''(0)x_a^2/2 + \ldots \).

Remark.
Using Riemann sums using points which estimate the Rolle points can produce a numerical method for integration of functions which are 5 times continuously differentiable in the interior of some interval but possibly unbounded at the boundary. Let \( a_k \) denote the end points of a Riemann partition and \( y_k \) the midpoints and \( 2a_k \) the length of the interval. Then
\[
S_n f = \sum_{k=1}^{n} [f(y_k)a_k + \frac{K_f(y_k)}{6} f''(y_k)a_k^3]
\]
has adjusted the midpoint in every interval \([-a,a]\) by an approximation of \( f(x_a) \).
Of course, there is a trade off, since we have to compute the \( K \)-derivative \( K_f(y_k) \) at a point. But the result will be of the order \( a^5 \) close to the real integral, like Simpson. Why is this helpful? It is the fact that the \( K \)-derivative is often finite, even so the function and its derivative can be unbounded. Any method, where intervals are divided in a definite way, like Simpson, can have larger error in those cases. An example is the function \( f(x) = \sin(\pi x)^{-s} \) for \( s \in (0,1) \) which is integrable but where standard integration methods complain about the singularity. Actually, one of our results shows that the difference of Riemann sum and integral is of the order \( n^2 \). The function, which appeared in the discrete circle zeta function, has a finite \( K \)-derivative.
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