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A B S T R A C T

In this study, we propose a method for quantification of average hydrogen peroxide concentration within a living cell that is based on the use of genetically encoded H$_2$O$_2$ biosensor HyPer. The method utilizes flow cytometric measurements of HyPer fluorescence in H$_2$O$_2$-exposed cells to analyze the biosensor oxidation kinetics. Fitting the experimental curves with kinetic equations allows determining the rate constants of HyPer oxidation/reduction which are used further for the calculation of peroxide concentrations in the cells of interest both in the presence and absence of external H$_2$O$_2$. Applying this method to K562 cells, we have estimated the gradient as about 390-fold between the extracellular and intracellular level of exogenous H$_2$O$_2$ in cells exposed to the micromole doses of peroxide, as well as the average basal level of H$_2$O$_2$ in the cytosol of undisturbed cells ($[H_2O_2]_{basal} = 2.2 \pm 0.4 \text{nM}$). The method can be extended to other H$_2$O$_2$-sensitive redox probes or to procedures in which, rather than adding external peroxide, intracellular production of peroxide is triggered, providing a tool to quantitate not only basal average H$_2$O$_2$ concentrations but also the concentration of peroxide build up in the vicinity of redox probes.

1. Introduction

Modulation of intracellular concentration of H$_2$O$_2$ can cause a variety of cellular responses ranging from cell growth to cell death [1]. Although the spatio-temporal distribution of H$_2$O$_2$ local concentration in cells is constantly changing, being determined by the plenty of local events of peroxide generation and elimination, the cell carefully maintains its redox homeostasis and retains a dynamic balance between the number of H$_2$O$_2$ molecules produced and consumed per unit time. The product of this dynamic equilibrium is a macroscopic parameter, overall peroxide concentration averaged over time and intracellular space. Being able to determine the average intracellular peroxide concentration would be useful to monitor the functional state of the cells. However, only rough estimations of the average basal H$_2$O$_2$ level, as well as the range of its fluctuations in living cells, exist to the moment. In various publications, one can find different estimates of intracellular peroxide concentration: 1–700 nM [2], 1–10 nM [3], picomolar range [4], etc. Available evaluations are often based on the quantification of extracellular H$_2$O$_2$ followed by the subsequent calculation of intracellular H$_2$O$_2$ concentration using theoretical assessments of the extracellular-to-intracellular peroxide gradient establishing across the plasma membrane [2]. Recent elaboration of a wide range of genetically encoded redox biosensors (reviewed in Refs. [5,6]) allows going forward in quantifying the H$_2$O$_2$ level within a cell. We suggest to determine intracellular peroxide concentrations by using H$_2$O$_2$ biosensor HyPer.

HyPer is a chimeric protein derived from the bacterial transcription factor OxyR by inserting the circularly permuted yellow fluorescent protein (cpYFP) into the regulatory domain of OxyR, which is specifically sensitive to H$_2$O$_2$ [7]. HyPer exhibits two excitation peaks at 420 and 500 nm in the violet and blue spectral regions, corresponding to the protonated and deprotonated form of cpYFP, respectively. Upon HyPer exposure to H$_2$O$_2$, the OxyR domain undergoes conformational changes that are transmitted to the cpYFP domain and result in its...
deprotonation. Consequently, the excitation peak at 420 nm decreases in proportion to the increase in the peak at 500 nm, reflecting the accumulation of the oxidized HyPer form [8] (see the scheme in Fig. 1A).

In this work, we use flow cytometric measurements of HyPer fluorescence signal for the analysis of HyPer oxidation kinetics in cells exposed to H$_2$O$_2$ and show that fitting the experimental data with kinetic equations enables quantification of intracellular hydrogen peroxide in both presence and absence of external H$_2$O$_2$.

2. Methods

2.1. Cell cultures

For the generation of a stable cell line expressing HyPer in cell cytosol, K562 cells were transduced with lentiviral vector encoding pHyPer-cyto (Evrogen, Russia). After transduction, cells were cultivated in RPMI-1640 medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 1% L-glutamine, and 1% penicillin-streptomycin. Cells were subcultured twice a week at a split ratio of 1:4 for up to 15 passages after transduction. In addition to HyPer, we used SypHer [9], redox-inactive modification of cpYFP-OxyR protein, which is an optimum control molecule for HyPer [7,8], as it is characterized by identical fluorescence properties, pH sensitivity, intracellular localization, etc. K562 cells were transfected with SypHer-encoding plasmid kindly gifted by Dr. V. Belousov (Shemyakin-Ovchinnikov Institute of Bioorganic Chemistry, Moscow).

2.2. Measurements of HyPer oxidation kinetics upon H$_2$O$_2$ exposure

Before the experiments, cells were resuspended in PBS (∼15 000 cells/ml) and incubated at standard growth conditions for 30 min to adapt to the new environment. After the bolus addition of H$_2$O$_2$ at concentrations ranged from 0.5 to 2.5 μM, cell samples were maintained at 37°C and 5% of CO$_2$. Within 10 min after the peroxide addition, at different time points, cell sample aliquots were analyzed with CytoFLEX flow cytometer (Beckman Coulter). HyPer fluorescence in gated HyPer-positive cells was examined by monitoring fluorescence signal at 525 nm registered at 488 nm excitation (hereafter denoted as EX488/FL525 signal), which reflects the accumulation of oxidized form of sensor [10] (Fig. 1A). For the quantification of the oxidized HyPer fraction in cells (described in Results section), the EX488/FL525 fluorescence signal was measured also in the cells treated with high doses of DTT (10 mM, 10 min) and H$_2$O$_2$ (0.5 mM, 5 min) to achieve total HyPer reduction and oxidation, respectively. Cell treatments were performed at standard growth conditions (37°C and 5% of CO$_2$) in cell culture plates, or non-conical tubes to prevent cell deoxygenation. SypHer fluorescence was analyzed according to the same protocol as used for measuring HyPer signal.

To monitor the extracellular H$_2$O$_2$ concentration in the course of kinetic measurements, Amplex® Red Hydrogen Peroxide/Peroxidase Assay Kit (Invitrogen) was used in accordance with the procedure described in Ref. [11]. At H$_2$O$_2$ concentration of 5 μM and cell density of 15 000 cells/ml, the decrease in H$_2$O$_2$ concentration in the extracellular medium did not exceed several percent within 10 min after the peroxide addition to cells and therefore was neglected in the analysis of HyPer oxidation.

2.3. Analysis of HyPer oxidation kinetics

Generally, under the steady-state conditions of cell exposure to both exogenous and endogenous H$_2$O$_2$, kinetics of HyPer oxidation can be described by the following equations [12]:

$$\frac{d[H_{2}O_{2}]_{ex}}{dt} = -k_{o} \cdot [H_{2}O_{2}]_{ex} \cdot [\text{HyPer}_{rd}]_{ss}$$

(1)
I. Calibration of HyPer oxidation/reduction rate constants

Measurements of HyPer oxidation kinetics upon H$_2$O$_2$ exposure

Non-linear fitting the experimental curves with Eq. 1

Estimation of apparent first-order rate constants for the oxidation and reduction of HyPer, $k_{ox}$ and $k_{rd}$.

II. Calculation of exogenous and endogenous peroxide concentrations
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Fig. 2. Scheme describing the method for quantification of exogenous and endogenous H$_2$O$_2$ concentrations in HyPer-expressing cells. Abbreviations: $HyPer_{ox}$ and $HyPer_{rd}$, oxidized and reduced HyPer fractions; $k_{ox}$ and $k_{rd}$, apparent first-order rate constants of HyPer oxidation and reduction; $[H_2O_2]_{basal}$, basal endogenous hydrogen peroxide concentration, $[H_2O_2]_{addin}$, additional intracellular hydrogen peroxide concentration arising from the cell exposure to external peroxide.

\[
HyPer_{rd\text{ basal}} = \frac{k_{rd}}{k_{ox} + k_{rd}}
\]

\[
k_{ox} = k_{HyPer + H_2O_2} \times [H_2O_2]_{basal}
\]

\[
[H_2O_2]_{basal} = [H_2O_2]_{basal} + [H_2O_2]_{addin}
\]

In these equations, $HyPer_{rd\text{ basal}}$ refers to the fraction of HyPer in the reduced form observed in a cell at time $t$. $HyPer_{rd\text{ basal}}$ is the steady state level of the reduced HyPer fraction established as a result of concurrent processes of HyPer oxidation and reduction. $k_{ox}$ and $k_{rd}$ are apparent first-order rate constants for the oxidation and reduction of HyPer (corresponding to $k_{activation}$ in Ref. [12]), respectively. $k_{ox}$ is determined by $[H_2O_2]_{basal}$, the intracellular hydrogen peroxide concentration averaged over the sites of HyPer localization (in this study it is a cell cytosol), and the second-order rate constant for the reaction between HyPer and hydrogen peroxide, $k_{HyPer + H_2O_2} = 5 \times 10^7 M^{-1} s^{-1}$ [13]. $[H_2O_2]_{basal}$, generally, consists in the sum of the basal H$_2$O$_2$ level ([H$_2$O$_2$]$_{basal}$) and additional intracellular H$_2$O$_2$ concentration arising from the cell exposure to external peroxide ([H$_2$O$_2$]$_{addin}$). Finally, $HyPer_{rd\text{ basal}}$ is the basal fraction of HyPer in the reduced form accessible for oxidation at time $t = 0$.

In the limit $t \to \infty$, Eq. (1) becomes:

\[
HyPer_{rd\text{ basal}} \downarrow \to \infty = HyPer_{rd\text{ basal}}
\]

Thus, at long incubation times, reduced HyPer fraction settles in a steady-state, which by taking into account Eq. (2) and Eq. (3) is expressed as a non-linear function of $[H_2O_2]_{basal}$:

\[
\frac{1}{HyPer_{rd\text{ basal}}} = \frac{1}{HyPer_{rd\text{ basal}}} = 1 + \frac{k_{HyPer + H_2O_2} [H_2O_2]_{basal}}{k_{rd}} \times [H_2O_2]_{basal}\]

It is important to note that in the absence of external peroxide, Eq. (6) can be used for determining the basal H$_2$O$_2$ concentration. For this purpose, the following substitutions are made: $[H_2O_2]_{basal} = [H_2O_2]_{basal}$.
and $\text{HyPer}_{\text{red}} = \text{HyPer}_{\text{red, bas}}$.

When the experimental set up entails the addition of extracellular peroxide, the dependence of $[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]_{\text{bas}}$ on the extracellular peroxide concentration, $[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]_{\text{bas}}$, is made explicit in Eq. (7), in which $\text{gradient}$ is the ratio between the extracellular and the intracellular exogenous H$_2$O$_2$ concentrations. Combination of Eq. (3) and Eq. (7) allows expressing $k_{\text{ox}}$ as a function of $[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]_{\text{bas}}$ (Eq. (8)). If $\text{gradient}$ is independent on the extracellular peroxide concentration, then this function is linear, but, generally, this is not the case because $\text{gradient}$ may depend on $[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]_{\text{bas}}$ as well.

$$[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]_{\text{in}} = [\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]_{\text{bas}} + [\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]_{\text{ex}}/\text{gradient}$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

$$k_{\text{ox}} = k_{\text{HyPer, sYPH2}} \times [\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]_{\text{bas}} + k_{\text{HyPer, sYPH2}} \times [\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]_{\text{ex}}/\text{gradient}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

2.4. Statistical analysis

Experimental data are presented as the mean values of at least three independent experiments with standard deviations.

3. Results

To test the applicability of HyPer for quantitative measurements, we exposed HyPer-expressing K562 cells suspended in PBS to steady-state micromolar doses of extracellular H$_2$O$_2$. Near steady-state conditions were attained by bolus addition of peroxide to highly diluted cell suspensions (~15,000 cells/mL). At such cell densities, the drop of extracellular H$_2$O$_2$ concentration due to the peroxide scavenging by cells was negligible during the first 10 min after H$_2$O$_2$ addition (see Methods section). To start with, we analyzed HyPer fluorescence after 2-min incubation of cells with H$_2$O$_2$ and measured EX488/FL525 signal, which corresponds to the oxidized form of HyPer (Fig. 1 B). At H$_2$O$_2$ concentrations exceeding 10µM, sensor became totally oxidized and signal intensity saturated. However, up to the 2.5µM of H$_2$O$_2$, the signal increased linearly with H$_2$O$_2$ concentration (Fig. 1 C), demonstrating a sensitive response under the experimental conditions used.

Next, to measure the intracellular levels of peroxide in both disturbed or undisturbed cells, we calibrated the HyPer fluorescence signal using exogenous peroxide. The experimental design is shown in Fig. 2. At first, the drop in the reduced HyPer fraction in cells is measured at different time points after the addition of H$_2$O$_2$ to cell suspensions. Oxidation kinetics is determined by the rate of sensor oxidation with intracellular peroxide and also by the capacity of cells to reduce the sensor. When the rates of HyPer reduction and oxidation balance each other, reduced HyPer fraction reaches the steady state level. Accordingly, non-linear fitting the experimental curves with kinetic equations (Eq. (1) in Methods section) enables simultaneous deriving two parameters, namely apparent first-order rate constants for the oxidation and reduction of HyPer, $k_{\text{ox}}$ and $k_{\text{red}}$, respectively. This procedure is performed for different concentrations of extracellular peroxide. After that, using the estimated rate constants and measured values of the steady state levels of HyPer reduction in exposed and non-exposed cells, both basal and additional intracellular H$_2$O$_2$ concentration, $[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]_{\text{bas}}$ and $[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]_{\text{add}}$, are determined (Eqs. (6)-(8)). In fact, the main idea of the proposed method is to use the extracellular peroxide for calibrating not the HyPer signal itself, but the rate constants of sensor oxidation/reduction, which are used further for estimation of intracellular H$_2$O$_2$ levels in both disturbed and undisturbed cells.

For approbation of this method, we performed experiments on K562 cells suspended in PBS. The reduced HyPer fraction in cells, $\text{HyPer}_{\text{red}}$, was derived from the fluorescence measurements (Fig. 3 A):

$$\text{HyPer}_{\text{red}} = \frac{\text{FL}_{\text{max}} - \text{FL}}{\text{FL}_{\text{max}} - \text{FL}_{\text{min}}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

where FL is a mean EX488/FL525 signal measured in the cells of interest, whereas $\text{FL}_{\text{min}}$ and $\text{FL}_{\text{max}}$ are mean EX488/FL525 signals measured in cells incubated with high doses of DTT (to achieve total HyPer reduction) and H$_2$O$_2$ (to achieve total HyPer oxidation), respectively. To ensure that the changes in HyPer fluorescence after the DTT or H$_2$O$_2$ exposure are caused by the reduction/oxidation of HyPer redox-active cysteine residues, in parallel experiments we examined the response of SypHer-expressing cells to the same treatments. SypHer [9] is a redox-inactive modification of HyPer that differs from the parental protein by single mutation (Cys-199 was replaced by Ser-199) and thus has identical spectral characteristics [8]. Due to this fact, SypHer is a best control to HyPer, as it is characterized by similar pH sensitivity, intracellular localization, etc. Contrary to HyPer-expressing K562 cells, SypHer-expressing K562 cells did not respond to high dosage DTT/H$_2$O$_2$ treatments (Fig. 3 B), as well as to micromole H$_2$O$_2$ concentrations, giving confidence on the reliability of the $\text{HyPer}_{\text{red}}$ quantification method used.

To quantify intracellular H$_2$O$_2$ concentrations, following the procedure shown in Fig. 2, $\text{HyPer}_{\text{red}}$ was monitored after the addition of micromolar concentrations of peroxide to cell suspensions. Exposure of cells to H$_2$O$_2$ resulted in the gradual accumulation of oxidized HyPer and concomitant decrease of $\text{HyPer}_{\text{red}}$ (Fig. 4 A), and after 10 min, the reduced HyPer fraction reached a pseudo-steady state level when the
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Fig. 3. Quantitation of the reduced HyPer fraction in cells using total reduction/oxidation of the sensor. (A, B) Flow cytometry histograms of HyPer-expressing (A) and SypHer-expressing (B) K562 cells: untreated and exposed to high doses of DTT (10 mM, 10 min) or H$_2$O$_2$ (0.5 mM, 5 min).
rates of HyPer reduction and oxidation were balanced. It is important to note that the kinetics of HyPer oxidation (Fig. 4A) does not reflect the dynamics of H$_2$O$_2$ penetration to cells. According to recent estimations, the H$_2$O$_2$ concentration profile reaches a steady state in a cell within a time scale on the order of 1 ms after H$_2$O$_2$ addition [14]. For the description of HyPer oxidation dynamics, we applied non-linear fittings of Eq. (1) (see Methods section) to the experimental data and obtained apparent first-order rate constants for the oxidation and reduction of HyPer, $k_{ox}$ and $k_{rd}$, respectively (see Table 1). Interestingly, $k_{rd}$ decreased whereas $k_{ox}$ increased linearly with increasing extracellular peroxide concentration [H$_2$O$_2$]$_{ex}$ (Fig. 4B, C). In accordance with Eq. (8), as long as $k_{rd}$ is proportional to [H$_2$O$_2$]$_{ex}$, the gradient between the extracellular and intracellular concentration of exogenous peroxide (gradient = [H$_2$O$_2$]$_{ex}$/[H$_2$O$_2$]$_{basal}$) can be considered as independent on [H$_2$O$_2$]$_{ex}$. Due to this circumstance, linear approximation of $k_{rd}$ plot with Eq. (8) allowed simultaneous estimation of two parameters: the gradient and the average basal concentration of peroxide in cells [H$_2$O$_2$]$_{basal}$. As a result, we obtained the following estimates: [H$_2$O$_2$]$_{basal}$ of 2.1 nM and gradient = 380.

Since these estimates were obtained in the presence of external low micromolar H$_2$O$_2$ concentration, it is important to evaluate whether they are physiological relevant or whether they reflect a situation where endogenous peroxidoxins and peroxidases are overwhelmed by the added peroxide. If this last scenario is the case, estimated gradients would be less steep and the calculated [H$_2$O$_2$]$_{basal}$ would be much higher than the true average basal level. To clarify this issue, we applied an alternative procedure that determines [H$_2$O$_2$]$_{basal}$ based on the basal level of HyPer oxidation. Eq. (6) links the pseudo-steady state level of HyPer reduction and equilibrated concentration of intracellular H$_2$O$_2$ for both disturbed and undisturbed cells. To calculate [H$_2$O$_2$]$_{basal}$ using Eq. (6), the value of basal HyPer reduction HyPer$_{rd}$ basaled measured in absence of exogenous peroxide as well as the rate constant $k_{rd}$ value for undisturbed cells are needed. For this purpose, the dependence of $k_{rd}$ (Fig. 4C) was extrapolated to the situation of [H$_2$O$_2$]$_{ex}$ = 0, yielding the value denoted in Table 1 as $k_{rd}$ basaled. After the substitution of HyPer$_{rd}$ basaled measured at $t = 0$ and $k_{rd}$ basaled into Eq. (6), we estimated the average concentration of endogenous H$_2$O$_2$ in K562 cells as [H$_2$O$_2$]$_{basal}$ = 2.2 ± 0.4 nM. This value is similar to the value calculated above, indicating that our estimates are physiologically relevant.

In general, if the plot of $k_{rd}$ on [H$_2$O$_2$]$_{ex}$ is not linear, meaning that the gradient depends on peroxide concentration, an alternative approach to the linear fit to Eq. (8) has to be applied to estimate the gradient. Within this approach, the basal peroxide concentration calculated from Eq. (6) and the second-order rate constant for the reaction between HyPer and hydrogen peroxide $k_{HyPer+H_2O_2} = 5 \times 10^7$ M$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$ [13] can be imputed in Eq. (8) to calculate the gradient and the intracellular level of exogenous peroxide, [H$_2$O$_2$]$_{addin}$, for each peroxide concentration applied (see Table 1). Using this approach, we estimated the mean gradient value, averaged over all experiments, as 390 ± 40. What is important, the values of [H$_2$O$_2$]$_{basal}$ and gradient, which we obtained using Eqs. (6) and (8), were similar, within experimental uncertainty, to those determined from the $k_{rd}$ linear plot of Fig. 4B before, giving confidence on the rigor of the methodology applied.
Table 1
Endogenous and exogenous H₂O₂ levels, as well as rate constants of HyPer oxidation/reduction, derived from the analysis of HyPer oxidation kinetics in H₂O₂-exposed K562 cells.

| Parameters | Extracellular hydrogen peroxide concentration([H₂O₂]ex) |
|------------|-------------------------------------------------------|
|            | 0.5 μM | 1 μM | 1.5 μM | 2 μM | 2.5 μM |
| k₀, s⁻¹ (N = 3) | (6.8 ± 1) × 10⁻³ | (5.0 ± 0.6) × 10⁻³ | (4.4 ± 1) × 10⁻³ | (2.6 ± 0.8) × 10⁻³ | (1.2 ± 1) × 10⁻³ |
| kᵦ, s⁻¹ (N = 3) | (1.8 ± 0.2) × 10⁻³ | (2.5 ± 0.4) × 10⁻³ | (2.8 ± 0.5) × 10⁻³ | (3.7 ± 0.1) × 10⁻³ | (4.4 ± 0.2) × 10⁻³ |
| gradient | 380 | 360 | 440 | 380 | 370 |
| [H₂O₂]₀, nM | 1.3 | 2.8 | 3.3 | 5.2 | 6.7 |
| [H₂O₂]₀, mean value | 390 ± 40 (N = 5) | 8.1 ± 0.5 × 10⁻³ (N = 3) | 2.2 ± 0.4 (N = 15) |

Abbreviations: k₀ and kᵦ, apparent first-order rate constants of HyPer oxidation and reduction; kᵦ₀, basal, apparent first-order rate constant of HyPer reduction in undisturbed cells; gradient, ratio between the extracellular and intracellular exogenous hydrogen peroxide concentration; [H₂O₂]₀, external hydrogen peroxide concentration; [H₂O₂]₀, basal, additional intracellular H₂O₂ concentration arising from the cell exposure to external peroxide.

4. Discussion

Presented analysis shows that HyPer fluorescence signal can be used for the quantitation of both exogenous and endogenous H₂O₂ in living cells. Calibration of HyPer signal can be performed by using extracellularly added H₂O₂, taking into account the gradient between the extracellular and intracellular levels of the oxidant that establishes due to the effective scavenging of peroxide within a cell [15–17]. Previously, it has been shown to that quantify the concentration gradient, either theoretical [18], or experimental [15,17] assessments may be used. For instance, the gradient can be experimentally estimated by measuring the kinetics of consumption of H₂O₂ in intact cells and activities of H₂O₂-removing enzymes in disrupted cells [15,17]. However, enzyme activities measured in disrupted cells may not reflect fluxes in vivo, and therefore, in this study, we used an alternative approach based on the acquisition of HyPer oxidation kinetics in intact living cells, followed by calculations with only one predefined parameter being needed, the second-order rate constant for the reaction between HyPer and H₂O₂. The equations describing the kinetics of protein oxidation in conditions of steady state exposure to peroxide were derived in Ref. [12] and then were employed in Ref. [19] for the characterization of the peroxide-sensing transcriptional regulators and estimation of H₂O₂ gradients in fission yeast exposed to external peroxide. We applied these equations to describe the kinetics of HyPer oxidation after the exposure of K562 cells to the bolus micromole doses of H₂O₂. In general, the near steady-state approximation can be applied in cases of short-term exposures of diluted cell suspensions to H₂O₂, because the typical first-order rate constant of H₂O₂ consumption by cultivated cells is about 10⁻¹² g⁻¹ cell⁻¹ L⁻¹ [20] and the drop of extracellular H₂O₂ concentration due to the peroxide scavenging by cells can be neglected.

According to our calculations, the basal peroxide level averaged over the sites of HyPer localization in the cytosol of K562 cells is about several nanomoles. Given that numerous experiments on the visualization of HyPer fluorescence [8], demonstrated a uniform spatial distribution of the biosensor in the cytosol, our estimates can be considered as the average overall H₂O₂ concentration in this cellular compartment. Follow-up studies will probably result in the determination of the average level of H₂O₂ in other cellular compartments and/or cell lines. In addition, we prove that the rise in H₂O₂ that occurs in the cytosol after the steady-state exposure of cells to the micromolar concentrations of extracellular peroxide is quite comparable to the average basal level of H₂O₂. We show that, under our experimental conditions, scavenging of H₂O₂ by cellular antioxidants generates a gradient between the extracellular and intracellular level of exogenous H₂O₂ of about 390-fold, independently on H₂O₂ concentrations applied, which seems reasonable in the case of the weak perturbations of the cell redox environment employed in the study.

At the same time, we found that, even in case of these weak oxidative perturbations, the rate constant of HyPer reduction kᵦ₀, which is determined by the interaction of oxidized sensor with thiol-reducing species, such as glutaredoxins and/or thioredoxins, is clearly influenced by the extracellularly added H₂O₂. In fact, the character of this dependence indicates that in H₂O₂-exposed cells, HyPer reduction cannot be considered as a reaction of pseudo-first order, and its rate is dependent on the level of reduced HyPer-interacting enzymes, which may be depleted after H₂O₂ exposure. For instance, recent theoretical considerations have shown that depletion of the reduced form of glutaredoxin can affect HyPer oxidation after a bolus exposure of HeLa cells to 20 μM of H₂O₂ [21]. In addition, we suggest that the level of reduced thioredoxins can also be lowered in the H₂O₂-exposed cells due to the massive reduction of oxidized peroxiredoxins [22]. Calibration of kᵦ₀ with the use of external peroxide enables quantification of the basal H₂O₂ levels (Eq. (6)).

Given the simplicity of the approach elaborated in our study, inaccuracies in the H₂O₂ quantification can occur due to the potential saturation of HyPer signal under the conditions of heavy oxidation, possible uncertainty in the signal calibration with the use of high doses of DTT and H₂O₂, as well as the simplicity of the kinetic model used. Having all this in mind, we suggest to consider the obtained estimates of the H₂O₂ gradient and the average basal H₂O₂ level in the cytosol of K562 cells as an approximate values, which nonetheless significantly restrict the range of possible assessments.

In conclusion, we proposed a method for absolute quantification of H₂O₂ within the cell that is based on the use of genetically encoded H₂O₂ biosensor HyPer. By applying this method to K562 cells, we have estimated the gradient between the extracellular and intracellular level of exogenous H₂O₂ in cells exposed to the micromolar doses of oxidant ([H₂O₂]₀/[H₂O₂]₀,admix = 390 ± 40), as well as the average level of H₂O₂ in the cytosol of undisturbed cells ([H₂O₂]₀, basal = 2.2 ± 0.4 nM). The method can be extended to other H₂O₂-sensitive redox probes or to procedures in which, rather than adding external peroxide, intracellular production of peroxide is triggered, providing a tool to quantitate not only basal H₂O₂ concentration, but also the concentration of peroxide build up in the vicinity of redox probes.
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