Examining the Fish Microbiome: Vertebrate-Derived Bacteria as an Environmental Niche for the Discovery of Unique Marine Natural Products
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Abstract

Historically, marine invertebrates have been a prolific source of unique natural products, with a diverse array of biological activities. Recent studies of invertebrate-associated microbial communities are revealing microorganisms as the true producers of many of these compounds. Inspired by the human microbiome project, which has highlighted the human intestine as a unique microenvironment in terms of microbial diversity, we elected to examine the bacterial communities of fish intestines (which we have termed the fish microbiome) as a new source of microbial and biosynthetic diversity for natural products discovery. To test the hypothesis that the fish microbiome contains microorganisms with unique capacity for biosynthesizing natural products, we examined six species of fish through a combination of dissection and culture-dependent evaluation of intestinal microbial communities. Using isolation media designed to enrich for marine Actinobacteria, we have found three main clades that show taxonomic divergence from known strains, several of which are previously uncultured. Extracts from these strains exhibit a wide range of activities against both Gram-positive and Gram-negative human pathogens, as well as several fish pathogens. Exploration of one of these extracts has identified the novel bioactive lipid sebastenoic acid as an anti-microbial agent, with activity against Staphylococcus aureus, Bacillus subtilis, Enterococcus faecium, and Vibrio mimicus.

Introduction

Traditionally, marine invertebrates have been a mainstay for the discovery of novel natural products scaffolds. In 2009 alone, 1011 new compounds were described from the marine environment, approximately half of which are of invertebrate origin. [1] More recently, attention has focused on the symbiotic and mutualistic microbial communities living within these sessile marine organisms. Careful examination of these communities using a variety of microbiological and molecular techniques is revealing that microorganisms are the true producers of many of the compounds first isolated from host invertebrates. [2,3] Terrestrial microorganisms, especially those from the order Actinomycetales, formed the backbone for the golden age of antibiotic discovery, and are the source of the vast majority of FDA-approved antimicrobial agents. [4] In addition, whole genome sequencing has revealed that these organisms possess many more biosynthetic gene clusters than are predicted from the results of chemical analyses performed to date. [5] This suggests that there is an untapped opportunity for new compound discovery concealed within the genome sequences of Actinobacteria. For example, the genome sequence for Streptomyces avermitilis reveals gene clusters that code for the production of 37 secondary metabolites, yet only 13 natural products have been reported from this organism. [4]

In the ongoing search for new drug leads, it has been postulated that, in addition to activating silent biosynthetic gene clusters, emphasis on under-explored bacterial niche environments could also aide in the discovery of new chemical space. [6] Emphasis on these unique environments has garnered significant attention among the natural product community over the last few years. Examples of these unique environments include hydrothermal vents and invertebrate hosts, such as nematodes, [7,8,9,10] Along these same lines, researchers have examined Actinobacteria from dissected mud dabeber wasps, and recovered 15 diverse strains of Streptomyces spp., one of which led to the isolation of a novel antifungal macrocyclic lactam. [11,12] Separately, a different research program connected with the Philippine International Cooperative for Biodiversity Groups (ICBG) program has successfully isolated novel bioactive natural products from mollusks and cone snails by culturing symbiotic bacteria from the tissues of these gastropods. [13,14,15] While the studies discussed above are focused on invertebrates and insects, recent results from the human microbiome project have inspired our laboratory to investigate marine vertebrates as a potential source of novel marine Actinobacteria. [16] Examination of the human intestinal microbial flora indicates that there are surprisingly few Actinobacterial strains present with most microbione communities. In total, in a study of the intestinal bacterial communities from three different subjects, only 22 Actinobacteria
sequences were uncovered, representing 0.2% of the total bacterial nonchimeric 16S rDNA. [17] Of the Actinobacteria sequenced, only seven phylotypes were represented, four of which had not previously been associated with the human intestinal microflora, suggesting that intestinal bacterial diversity is higher than previously described. [10] In addition, a number of recent studies examining the gut microbiota of wild and laboratory fish have shown that there is evidence for a core gut microbiota within single species. [20,21,22] Discrete environmental niches contain unique distributions of microorganisms, and that bacterial distributions vary widely between different species. [20,21,22]

Comparison of the microbial diversity of environmental samples from three distinct sources (human gut, [18] ocean, [23] and soil [24]) indicates that the distributions of phyla found using 16S rDNA sequencing differ significantly between each of these environmental niches. Although low, each of these separate sources contained measurable levels of Actinobacteria, many of which share low sequence identity at the 16S rDNA level. Given that discrete environmental niches contain unique distributions of Actinobacteria, and that vertebrates have been largely ignored to date with respect to natural products discovery, we therefore elected to examine the fish microbiome with a focus on the isolation of cultivable Actinobacteria for natural products discovery.

Although Actinobacteria are prolific producers of secondary metabolites, their role in the gut is poorly understood. Commensal Actinobacteria of the genus Bifidobacterium have been shown to regulate interleukin-10 (IL-10) production in healthy hosts. [25] IL-10 is an anti-inflammatory cytokine that has been implicated in protection against inflammation-driven host pathology in germ free mice. From the natural products perspective, small molecules have been shown to influence intercellular signaling networks, especially between microbial species. [26] In a marine gut microbiota study, it has been demonstrated that antibiotics heavily modify intestinal microbial populations, and that after treatment with antibiotics, individuals are more susceptible to infection by pathogenic bacteria, highlighting the role that commensal bacterial populations play in controlling disease progression. [27]

We now present the first report of the examination of the fish microbiome as a source of microbial diversity for natural products research. This study has resulted in the isolation of taxonomically distinct Actinomycetales from fish intestines, as well as unique strains of Firmicutes and Proteobacteria. These bacteria display a wide range of biological activities against both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacterial pathogens, and are able to inhibit the growth of a number of commercially important fish pathogens. Examination of the chemical extracts of liquid cultures of these isolates to produce bioactive natural products, 21 of these strains (denoted by blue diamonds in Figure 1) have been isolated from cold environments such as Antarctica, suggesting that fish from temperate marine environments such as the Monterey Bay and Puget Sound, could represent a source of discovery for novel psychrophilic bacterial strains. This is also in contrast to isolates from our sediment-derived microbial isolation program, which rarely results in the isolation of psychrophilic strains, despite being subjected to the same sample storage and isolation methods.

Of the isolated strains, one in particular (FI-1004) showed very low sequence identity to published sequence data (97.8%), suggesting a significant divergence from known cultured isolates. In order to examine the position of this new strain within the existing taxonomic landscape, the 16S rDNA (Table S1) was submitted to the Ribosomal Database Project’s classifier browser. [32] Analysis of the taxonomical hierarchy revealed that FI-1004 is related to the genus Panaeosthorsia. [33] Using the same database, the 20 type strains with relevance to FI-1004 were identified, and their phylogeny examined using the same parameters as for the original tree (Figure 2). This phylogenetic tree indicates that FI-1004 only clusters with Cellulomonadaceae and Sanguibacteraceae families and loosely clades with Paraeroeskovia. The maximum 16S rDNA sequence similarity of FI-1004 to the three closest type strains is low, at 97.8% for P. marina and 97.0% for both Oerskovia paurometabola and O. enterophila. The Panaeosthorsia genus was recently described as a novel genus, isolated from a Japanese marine sediment sample, [33] whereas the Oerskovia genus, which is closely related to Cellulomonas genus, is typically isolated from environmental soil samples. [34]

One of the goals within our laboratory is the discovery and development of lead compounds for drug development against protozoan parasites and bacterial targets. To examine the capacity of these isolates to produce bioactive natural products, 21 of these...
isolates were selected based on morphological characteristics, and large-scale liquid cultures prepared and extracted using our standard protocol. Crude extracts were prefractionated on C18 cartridges to give seven prefractions, and screened against a panel of human and fish bacterial pathogens.

In both wild and farmed fish, vibriosis is one of the most common bacterial diseases to affect fish populations. Vibriosis is caused by a number of related *Vibrio* species, all of which are fast growing opportunistic bacteria that can quickly dominate the intestinal microbiota of fish. [35] It is estimated that all marine fish are susceptible to infection by at least one species of *Vibrio*, [36] however, the number of therapeutic options designed specifically for fish pathogens is low, leading to frequent over use of traditional antibiotics in aquaculture. The development of new compounds that specifically target marine *Vibrio* species could provide an alternative approach to aquaculture stock management that would remove or reduce the current dependence on antibiotics used in human health applications, which in turn would have a positive effect on antibiotic management strategies.

### Table 1. Fish Origins and Taxonomy, Microbial Isolates, and NCBI Closest Relatives.

| Fish Source                          | Dissection Site     | Isolate # | Accession # | Closest NCBI relative, Accession # | % Identity |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------|-----------|-------------|------------------------------------|------------|
| **Canadian Rock Cod, Santa Cruz**   | Stomach             | 1004      | JQ691555    | *Micrococcineae bacterium DSW-2*, FM995611.1 | 97.8       |
|                                      | Midintestine        | –         | –           | –                                 | –          |
|                                      | Posterior Intestine | 1001      | JQ691544    | *Micromonaspora sp. TSF84-03, HM001288.1 | 99.9       |
|                                      |                     | 1006      | JQ691560    | *Micromonaspora lupini*, AJ783995.1 | 99.2       |
|                                      |                     | 1005      | JQ691559    | *Micromonaspora sp. TSF84-03, HM001288.1 | 99.6       |
| **Sole, Moss Landing**               | Stomach             | 1014      | JQ691539    | *Paracoccus sp. NPO-JL-65, AY745834.1 | 99.8       |
|                                      | Midintestine        | –         | –           | –                                 | –          |
|                                      | Posterior Intestine | 1016      | JQ691541    | *Psychrobacter okhotskensis* strain MD17, NR_024806.1 | 99.8       |
|                                      |                     | 1007      | JQ691542    | *Pseudomonas trivalis* strain BIBH 749, DQ885949.1 | 99.9       |
| **Pyloric caeca**                    |                     | 1010      | JQ691553    | *Microbacterium oxydans*, EU821338.1 | 99.9       |
| **Lantern Fish, Monterey Bay**       | Entire Intestine    | 1012      | JQ691538    | *Bacillus sp. G1DM-77*, EU037267.1 | 100.0      |
|                                      |                    | 1031      | JQ691563    | *Micromonaspora coerulea DSM 43143, NR_026277 | 99.6       |
|                                      |                    | 1032      | JQ691540    | *Micromonaspora coerulea DSM 43143, NR_026277 | 99.6       |
| **Lantern Fish, Monterey Bay**       | Entire Intestine    | 1009      | JQ691537    | *Paenibacillus glucanolyticus* strain DSM 5162, NR_040883.1 | 99.4       |
|                                      |                    | 1011      | JQ691562    | *Paenibacillus glucanolyticus* strain DSM 5162, NR_040883.1 | 99.5       |
| **Red Rock Fish, Seattle**           | Pyloric caeca       | 1018      | JQ691545    | *Rhodococcus sp. ZS2-21*, FJ195998 | 99.8       |
|                                      | Anterior Intestine  | –         | –           | –                                 | –          |
|                                      | Midintestine        | –         | –           | –                                 | –          |
|                                      | Posterior Intestine | 1023      | JQ691557    | *Micromonaspora echinospora* strain 4RS-3a, EU379278 | 100.0      |
| **Norwegian Mackrel, Seattle**       | Stomach             | 1030      | JQ691549    | *Rhodococcus sp. ZS2-21*, FJ195998 | 99.9       |
| **USA Smelt, Seattle**               | Entire Intestine    | 1019      | JQ691558    | *Micromonaspora sp. R1*, EU714258 | 99.9       |
|                                      | Posterior Intestine | 1025      | JQ691550    | *Rhodococcus sp. Eu-32*, DQ386111.2 | 98.7       |
|                                      | Midintestine        | –         | –           | –                                 | –          |
|                                      | Posterior Intestine | –         | –           | –                                 | –          |

10.1371/journal.pone.0035398.t001
Figure 1. Molecular Phylogenetic Analysis by Maximum Likelihood for all Isolated, Culturable Strains of Bacteria Isolated from Fish Intestines. The evolutionary history was inferred by using the Maximum Likelihood method based on the Kimura 2-parameter model. [48] The bootstrap consensus tree inferred from 2000 replicates is taken to represent the evolutionary history of the taxa analyzed. [49] Branches
The results from growth inhibition assays against this panel of bacterial pathogens are summarized in Table 2. Overall, nine of the 21 extracts showed activity against one or more target strains, with five of the nine active extracts inhibiting the growth of one or more Gram-positive strains, and eight of the nine inhibiting the growth of one or more Gram-negative strains. This is in contrast to

Figure 2. Phylogenetic Relationships of Taxa Related to FI-1004. The evolutionary history was inferred using the Neighbor-Joining method. [50] The bootstrap consensus tree inferred from 1000 replicates is taken to represent the evolutionary history of the taxa analyzed. [49] Branches corresponding to partitions reproduced in less than 50% bootstrap replicates are collapsed. The percentage of replicate trees in which the associated taxa clustered together in the bootstrap test (1000 replicates) are shown next to the branches. [49] The tree is drawn to scale, with branch lengths measured in the number of substitutions per site. The evolutionary distances were computed using the Maximum Composite Likelihood method [51] and are in the units of the number of base substitutions per site. The analysis involved 24 nucleotide sequences. All positions containing gaps and missing data were eliminated. There were a total of 1353 positions in the final dataset. Evolutionary analyses were conducted in MEGAS. [30] The sequence of Brevibacterium linens DSM 20425 was used as an outgroup.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0035398.g002
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traditional natural product libraries, where Gram-positive antibiotics are typically much more common than those that target Gram-negative strains. Of the eight Vibrio species in the panel, five were inhibited by one or more extracts, with most active extracts showing activity against two or more Vibrio species. In addition, three of the eight Vibrio strains were inhibited by two or more extracts, suggesting that some of these compounds may have broad spectrum efficacy for treatment of vibriosis in aquaculture facilities.

Of the extracts that showed activity in this screen, FI-1004 was of particular interest because of its low sequence identity to other published bacterial sequences (vide supra). Semipreparative reverse phase high performance liquid chromatography (RP-HPLC) yielded five fractions for secondary screening. Screening of these sub-fractions revealed a single major component of the mixture that was responsible for the observed bioactivity. Purification of this material using C$_{18}$ RP-HPLC afforded a novel bioactive lipid, sebastenoic acid (1), as an optically active yellow solid (Figure 3).

Structure elucidation for this new metabolite was performed as follows. HRESI-TOFMS analysis gave the molecular ion [M+Na]$^+$ at 261.1835 which was consistent with the molecular formula C$_{15}$H$_{26}$O$_2$Na (calcd. 261.1825). The UV spectrum for this material using C$_{18}$ RP-HPLC afforded a novel bioactive lipid, sebastenoic acid (1), as an optically active yellow solid (Figure 3). The H$_2$ – H$_3$ coupling constant had a large value (15.0 Hz), assigning the C2 olefin as $E$. The H4 – H5 $^3$J$_{HH}$ coupling constant had a smaller value (10.8 Hz), suggesting that the C4 olefin possesses the $\Delta$ geometry. This was confirmed by NOESY correlations between H4 and H5, and between H5 and H6, which can only be accounted for if the C4 olefin possesses the cis conformation.

To assign the remainder of the molecule, we turned to the $^1$H NMR spectrum (Figures S1, S2, S3, S4, S5, and S6), which revealed the presence of two methyl groups ($\delta$ 11.6, d, $J$ = 6.6 Hz; $\delta$ 19.5, t, $J$ = 7.2 Hz) and a large methylene envelope ($\delta$ 1.27–1.34) suggesting the presence of a methyl branched alkyl chain. Key HMBC correlations from H12 to C11, C14 and C15 allowed the placement of methyl group C15 at the antepenultimate carbon of the alkyl chain, forming a sec-butyl motif, and assigning sebastenoic acid an anteiso fatty acid (Figure 3). The remaining unassigned atoms possessed the formula C$_{5}$H$_{10}$, which could only be assigned as a linear methylene chain based on the $^{13}$C multiplicity obtained from the DEPT-135 spectrum. Assembly of these three subunits gave the planar structure of sebastenoic acid as $\Delta^{2}$E,4$\Delta^{2}$-methyltetradeca-2,4-dienoic acid (Table 3).

Determination of the absolute configuration of the chiral center at C12 was based on comparison with the reported optical rotation for the hydrogenated $\Delta^{2}$E Fatty acid. [38,39] Natural sebastenoic acid was reduced by hydrogenation over 10% palladium on carbon to give 2,3,4,5-tetrahydrosebastenoic acid (2). Comparison of the optical rotation for 2 ($[\alpha]_D^{24} = -7.21$) with the reported literature value for ($R$)-12-methyltetradecanoic acid ($[\alpha]_D^{24} = -5.84$) defined the absolute configuration at C12 as $R$.

Table 2. Bioactivities of Fish Microbiome Isolates.

| Strain | Phylum   | V. fischeri | V. cholerae | V. hollisae | B. subtilis | V. mimicus | S. aureus | Y. ruckeri | E. faecium | V. vulnificus |
|--------|----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------------|-----------|------------|------------|--------------|
| FI-1001| A        |             |             |             |             |            |           |            |            |              |
| FI-1002| A        |             |             |             |             |            |           |            |            |              |
| FI-1003| A        |             |             |             |             |            |           |            |            |              |
| FI-1004| A        |             |             |             |             |            |           |            |            |              |
| FI-1005| A        | ✓           |             |             |             |            |           | ✓          |            |              |
| FI-1006| A        |             |             |             |             |            |           |            |            |              |
| FI-1007| G        |             |             |             |             |            |           |            |            |              |
| FI-1008| G        |             |             |             |             |            |           | ✓          |            |              |
| FI-1009| F        |             |             |             |             |            |           | ✓          |            |              |
| FI-1010| A        |             |             |             |             | ✓          |           | ✓          |            |              |
| FI-1011| F        |             |             |             |             |            |           | ✓          |            |              |
| FI-1012| F        |             |             |             |             |            |           | ✓          |            |              |
| FI-1013| G        | ✓           | ✓           |             | ✓           | ✓          | ✓         | ✓          | ✓          |              |
| FI-1014| A        |             |             |             |             |            |           |            |            |              |
| FI-1015| A        |             |             |             |             |            |           | ✓          |            |              |
| FI-1016| G        |             |             |             |             |            |           | ✓          |            |              |
| FI-1017| F        |             |             |             |             |            |           | ✓          |            |              |
| FI-1018| A        |             |             |             |             |            |           | ✓          |            |              |
| FI-1019| A        | ✓           |             |             |             |            |           | ✓          |            |              |
| FI-1020| A        |             |             |             |             |            |           | ✓          |            |              |
| FI-1021| A        |             |             |             |             |            |           | ✓          |            |              |

A = Actinobacteria, F = Firmicutes, $\gamma$ = Alphaproteobacteria, $\beta$ = Gammaproteobacteria, (−) = Gram-negative, (+) = Gram-positive. Check mark indicates activity in growth inhibition assay.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0035398.t002
Branched chain fatty acids are ubiquitous in the bacterial world and are common substrates for phospholipid synthesis, these lipids typically being incorporated into bacterial cell membranes.[39] In addition, saturated anteiso fatty acids are frequently encountered in nature, however unsaturated anteiso fatty acids containing 15 carbon atoms are comparatively rare, with only one previous example having been reported.[40] (2E,4E)-2-Methyl-tetradeca-2,4-dienoic acid (1) (rubiginosic acid), another \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \)-unsaturated fatty acid, was isolated from the terrestrial fungus Hypoxylon rubiginosum, however no antibacterial activity was reported.

Biological testing of 1 against relevant test organisms gave MIC values as summarized in Table 4. Overall, 1 showed reasonable activity against the three Gram-positive test strains, with low activity against the Gram-negative test strain. The ability of strain FI-1004 to produce an antibiotic with activity against common pathogens could indicate its capacity as a probiotic constituent of the microbiome of the fish host. Colonization of the fish intestinal tract occurs just hours after hatching, and it has been demonstrated that maintaining a healthy microbiota is a key factor in maintaining overall health of host organisms.[41] Correct establishment of the microbiota in fish is critical to survival, as they are in direct contact with pathogenic and opportunistic bacteria in the marine environment. There is evidence that probiotic bacteria afford greater benefit to the health of farmed fish than commercially available products.[41] Understanding the innate microbial composition of commercial stock species is key in determining appropriate probiotics for protection against harmful bacteria. Along these lines, the development of new antibiotics or probiotics will be of significant value to the aquaculture industry, which is the fastest growing food production sector according to the Food and Agriculture Organization.[42].

In conclusion, we have shown that the fish microbiome represents a unique source of microbial diversity, and that these strains have the capacity to produce novel bioactive secondary metabolites. Isolated strains were shown to possess activity against both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacterial pathogens. Examination of the chemical constituents from one of these extracts lead to the isolation of the novel anteiso fatty acid sebastenoic acid (1), which possesses a unique unsaturation pattern for this compound class.

The ability of the isolated cultures to produce compounds which demonstrate activity against fish pathogens, such as Vibrio spp., suggest that bacteria isolated from the fish microbiome could be used as probiotic agents, and that they could be play a role in innate microbial biocontrol in wild populations. Overall, this research represents the first investigation into the discovery of natural products from the fish microbiome.

**Materials and Methods**

**Fish Collection and Dissection**

Whole dead intact fish were purchased from commercial vendors in Moss Landing, California and Seattle, Washington, and stored at \(-20\) °C until use. All instruments, surfaces, and the exterior of each fish were treated with 70% EtOH and instruments were flame sterilized prior to dissection. The dissection was performed in the presence of a flame, and instruments were flame sterilized after each cut. An incision was made on the ventral side of each fish at the anus, and extended

---

**Table 3. NMR Chemical Shift Table for Sebastenoic Acid.**

| Position | \( \delta_c \) Multiplicity | \( \delta_d \) | \( \delta_H \) Multiplicity (J in Hz) |
|----------|-----------------------------|-------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1        | 167.9, C                    | –           | –                                   |
| 2        | 121.7, CH                   | 5.86 d      | d, 15.0                             |
| 3        | 140.8, CH                   | 7.57        | dd, 15.0, 11.4                      |
| 4        | 127.1, CH                   | 6.18        | dd, 11.4, 10.8                      |
| 5        | 142.6, CH                   | 5.91        | dt, 10.8, 7.8                       |
| 6        | 28.7, CH2                   | 2.30        | ddd, 8.4, 7.8, 1.2                  |
| 7        | 29.9, CH2                   | 1.43        | m                                   |
| 8        | 27.7, *CH2                  | 1.34–1.27 m | m                                   |
| 9        | 29.8, *CH2                  | 1.34–1.27 m | m                                   |
| 10       | 30.4, *CH2                  | 1.34–1.27 m | m                                   |
| 11       | 30.1, CH2                   | 1.14, 1.30  | m                                   |
| 12       | 35.1, CH                    | 1.31        | m                                   |
| 13       | 37.2, CH2                   | 1.10, 1.32  | m                                   |
| 14       | 19.5, CH2                   | 0.85        | t, 7.2                              |
| 15       | 11.6, CH2                   | 0.86        | d, 6.8                              |

*a*Assignments interchangeable. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0035398.t003

---

**Table 4. Sebastenoic Acid (1) MICs Against Bacterial Panel.**

|         | B. subtilis | S. aureus | V. mimicus | E. faecium |
|---------|-------------|-----------|------------|------------|
| MIC (mg/mL) | 11.2      | 23.8      | 110.6      | 10.0       |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0035398.t004
anteriory to the isthmus. A second cut was made dorsally through the ocreculum. The stomach, pyloric caeca and intestines were separated from the body cavity and sterilized with 70% EtOH. The contents of the digestive tract were transferred to a sterile 10 mL Falcon tube at discrete portions of the gut: the posterior portion of the intestine, the mid-intestine, the stomach, and the pyloric caeca, as appropriate for each specimen.

**Cultivation of Bacteria**

Fish intestine contents were transferred to sterile Falcon tubes, 1 mL of sterile Milli-Q water was added, and the samples vortexed for 1 minute. Four different solid agar media were used for microbial isolation: actinomycete isolation agar (Difco), SNS, [43] and modified NTS and HV5. [44] All isolation plates were prepared with sterile sea water and supplemented with 50 mg/L of both cyclohexamide and nalidixic acid. Intestinal contents were plated using three different methods: a) the mixture was serially stained onto solid agar with a sterile swab, b) the mixture was diluted with 1 mL of sterile Milli-Q water and 100 μL of the resulting mixture spread onto the plate surface, c) the mixture was diluted with 10 mL of sterile Milli-Q water and 100 μL of the resulting mixture spread onto the plate surface. Cultures were incubated at room temperature and bacterial colonies displaying desired morphologies subcultured on Difco Marine Broth solid agar plates until pure. Typical incubation times for the appearance of colonies from isolation plates ranged from 30–90 days.

**DNA Isolation, PCR Amplification and Sequencing**

Genomic DNA was extracted using Microlysis buffer (Gel Company) by picking a single colony of the cultured strains according to manufacturers instructions. For PCR amplification of 16S rRNA gene, the primer pair 8F (5’-AGAGTTTGATCCTGCTGAGC-3’) and 1492R (5’-GTCCTACCTGGTGTCAGC-3’) was used. [45] Each reaction contained a total volume of 25 μL (2.0 μL genomic DNA, 1.0 μL of a 10 μM solution for each primer, 8.5 μL sterile water and 12.5 μL of MegaMix-Gold (Gel Company)). PCR was performed on a Eppendorf Mastercycler Personal thermocycler under the following conditions: initial denaturation 95°C for 5 min, 35 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 1 min, annealing at 50°C for 1 min, extension at 72°C for 1 min and 30 sec, and a final extension at 72°C for 10 min. After confirmation by gel electrophoresis (1% agarose gel in 1x TAE buffer), the PCR products were purified with QIAquick PCR Purification Kit (Qiagen) and sent directly to Seqetch Corporation for sequencing using the same PCR primers described above plus an additional middle primer 341F (5’-CCTAGGGAGGCAGC-3’). [46] DNA sequences were deposited to GenBank with accession numbers JQ691535-JQ691563.

**Extraction of Cultivated Isolates**

Purified bacterial colonies were grown in 1 L of modified SYP broth [47] (1L MilliQ water, 32.1 g Instant Ocean™, 10 g starch, 4 g peptone, 2 g yeast) with 20 g of Amberlite XAD-16 resin for 10 days at 27°C. Culture broth and resin slurries were filtered through glass microfiber filters, washed with water (3x200 mL) and the cells, resin, and filter paper extracted with 1:1 methanol/ dichloromethane (250 mL). Organic fractions were dried in vacuo, resolubilized in 500 μL of dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), and transferred to deep well 96-well plates for screening.

**Growth Inhibition Assay**

Overnight saturated cell cultures of pathogenic strains (Text S1) were diluted 1:1000 with fresh media and 30 μL of culture dispensed into each well of sterile clear 384-well plates. 300 μL of DMSO prefraction stock solutions were pinned into screening plates using a Perkin Elmer Janus MDT robot. After inoculation, screening plates were stacked in a plate reader/shaker (Perkin Elmer EnVision) and OD₆₀₀ readings taken once per hour for 24 hrs. Computer generated growth curves for serially diluted pure compounds were used to determine MIC values by correlating the OD₆₀₀ reading at the pre-exponential phase of the bacteria to the concentrations in individual wells.

**General Experimental Procedures**

Unless otherwise stated, reactions were performed under an argon atmosphere using freshly dried solvents. Methylen chloride (DCM) was dried by passing through an activated alumina column. Solvents used for HPLC chromatography were HPLC grade and were used without further purification. Optical rotations were measured on a Jasco P-2000 polarimeter using a 10 mm path length cell at 589 nm. NMR spectra were acquired on a Varian Inova 600 MHz spectrometer equipped with a 5 mm HCN triple resonance cryoprobe, and referenced to residual solvent proton and carbon signals (δH 7.26, δC 77.16 for CDCl₃ and δH 1.94, δC 1.39 for CD₃CN). High-resolution mass spectra were acquired with an ABI Mariner ESI-TOF-MS.

**Extraction and Isolation**

Seed culture of FI-1004 was grown in 1 L of modified SYP broth with 20 g of Amberlite XAD-16 resin for 10 days at 27°C and the organic extract generated as described above. The active 80% MeOH fraction was subjected to C₁₈ RP-HPLC Phenomenex Jupiter C₁₈ (4.6×250 mm, 5 μm), 82% MeOH/18% H₂O (acidified with 0.002% formic acid), 1 mL/min, 254 nm, τₒ = 16.6 to give sebastenoic acid as an optically active yellow solid (Figure S7).

**Hydrogenation of Sebastenoic Acid**

To a stirred solution of 1 (0.55 mg, 2.31 μmol) in dry DCM (1.5 mL) was added 10% palladium on carbon (5 mg) and the resulting suspension stirred under an atmosphere of H₂ (balloon) at room temperature for 16 h. The sample was concentrated to dryness under a stream of N₂, dissolved in MeOH (1 mL), and filtered through a 13 mm 0.2 μm nylon filter. The resulting filtrate was concentrated to dryness under a stream of N₂ to give 2,3,4,5-tetrahydrosebastenoic acid (2) as a white solid (0.45 mg, 81% yield).

**Compound Characterization**

**Sebastenoic acid (1)**: yellow solid; [α]_D25° = 8.91 (c 0.145, CHCl₃); UV (CHCl₃) λmax (log ε) 268 nm (4.31); for H and 13C NMR data see Table 3; HRESITOFMS m/z [M+Na]+ 261.1835 (calcd for C₁₉H₂₆O₂Na, 261.1825).

**2,3,4,5-Tetrahydrosebastenoic acid (2)**: yellow solid; [α]_D25° = 7.21 (c 0.41, CHCl₃); 'H NMR (CDCl₃, 600 MHz) δ 2.36 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 1.64 (p, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 1.34–1.24 (m, 17H), 1.15–1.06 (m, 2H), 0.85 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 0.84 (d,
Supporting Information

Text S1  Panel of Bacterial Strains.

Figure S1  Sebastenoic Acid $^1$H, d3-MeCN, 600 MHz.  

Figure S2  Sebastenoic Acid $^{13}$C, d3-MeCN, 600 MHz.

Figure S3  Sebastenoic Acid gCOSY, d3-MeCN, 600 MHz.

Figure S4  Sebastenoic Acid HMQC, d3-MeCN, 600 MHz.

Figure S5  Sebastenoic Acid gHMBC, d3-MeCN, 600 MHz.
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