Distributed statistical inference with pyhf enabled through funcX
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Abstract. In High Energy Physics facilities that provide High Performance Computing environments provide an opportunity to efficiently perform the statistical inference required for analysis of data from the Large Hadron Collider, but can pose problems with orchestration and efficient scheduling. The compute architectures at these facilities do not easily support the Python compute model, and the configuration scheduling of batch jobs for physics often requires expertise in multiple job scheduling services. The combination of the pure-Python libraries pyhf and funcX reduces the common problem in HEP analyses of performing statistical inference with binned models, that would traditionally take multiple hours and bespoke scheduling, to an on-demand (fitting) “function as a service” that can scalably execute across workers in just a few minutes, offering reduced time to insight and inference. We demonstrate execution of a scalable workflow using funcX to simultaneously fit 125 signal hypotheses from a published ATLAS search for new physics using pyhf with a wall time of under 3 minutes. We additionally show performance comparisons for other physics analyses with openly published probability models and argue for a blueprint of fitting as a service systems at HPC centers.

1 Introduction

Researchers in High Energy Physics (HEP) and other fields are encouraged by their funding bodies to take advantage of the High Performance Computing (HPC) facilities constructed at various institutions. These facilities include capable machines such as Theta at Argonne National Laboratory with 280,000 cores and 192 hardware-accelerated GPUs [1]. While powerful, these architectures do not easily support the Python compute model. Users must construct batch jobs and submit them to a queue for execution when compute time is available. The results are stored on the file system and must be stitched back together once all of the jobs have completed. On many of these systems, Python tooling lags the current
state of the art and configuring modern Python libraries to use HPCs can be a tedious task and require expertise.

In HEP a core component of analysis of data collected at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) is performing statistical inference for binned models to extract physics information. The statistical fitting tools used in HEP have traditionally been implemented in C++, but in recent years pyhf [2, 3], a pure-Python library with automatic differentiation and hardware acceleration, has grown in use for analysis related statistical inference problems. The fitting of multiple different hypotheses for new physics signatures (signals) is a computational problem that lends itself easily to parallelization, but is hampered on HPC environments by the additional tooling overhead required, which can be very difficult to master. Through use of funcX [4], a pure-Python high performance function serving system designed to orchestrate scientific workloads across heterogeneous computing resources, pyhf can be used as a highly scalable (fitting) function as a service (FaaS) on HPCs.

2 Fitting as a Service Methods and Technologies

2.1 pyhf

For measurements in HEP based on binned data (histograms), the HistFactory [5] family of statistical models has been widely used for likelihood construction in Standard Model measurements (e.g. Refs. [6, 7]) as well as searches for new physics (e.g. Ref. [8]) and reinterpretation studies (e.g. Ref. [9]). pyhf is a pure-Python implementation of the HistFactory statistical model for multi-bin histogram-based analysis. pyhf's interval estimation is computed through either the use of the asymptotic formulas of Ref. [10] or empirically through pseudoexperiments ("toys" in HEP parlance). Through adoption of open source "tensor" computational Python libraries (i.e. NumPy, TensorFlow, PyTorch, and JAX), pyhf is able to leverage tensor calculations to outperform the traditional C++ implementations of HistFactory on data from real LHC analyses. pyhf can additionally leverage automatic differentiation and hardware acceleration from the tensor libraries that support them to further accelerate fitting. Through use of JSON to provide a declarative plain-text serialisation for describing HistFactory-based likelihoods [11] — well suited for reinterpretation and long-term preservation in analysis data repositories such as HEPData [12] — pyhf has also become a widely used tool across experiment and theory. Given its lightweight core dependencies and wide distribution through The Python Package Index (PyPI), Conda-forge, and CernVM File System (CernVM-FS) it is easily installable on a wide variety of platforms, including Linux containers. Minimally sized Docker images containing stable releases of pyhf are also distributed through Docker Hub.

2.2 funcX

funcX is a distributed FaaS platform designed to support the unique needs of scientific computing. It combines a reliable and easy-to-use cloud-hosted interface with the ability to securely execute functions on distributed endpoints deployed on various computing resources. funcX supports many high performance computing systems and cloud platforms, can use three popular container technologies, and can expose access to heterogeneous and specialized computing resources. The funcX API is a powerful tool to developers and analysts, allowing servable functions to be created from arbitrary Python functions. To execute a remote function registered with an instance of the funcX client class, a function on the funcX client is called and passed the remote function’s required arguments, as seen in Listing 1.
Listing 1: Truncated example of use of the funcX Python API to register and execute a pyhf function on a funcX endpoint and then retrieve the execution output. This example shows evaluation of the background only hypothesis workspace and is extended in a similar fashion to evaluate the signal hypothesis workspaces.

A funcX endpoint is a logical entity that represents a compute resource. The endpoint is managed by an agent process that allows the funcX service to dispatch functions to that resource for execution. The agent handles authentication and authorization, provisioning of nodes on the compute resource, and monitoring and management. Administrators or users can deploy a funcX agent and register an endpoint for themselves or others, providing descriptive metadata (e.g. name, description). As seen in Listing 1, each endpoint is assigned a unique identifier for subsequent use.

Behind the scenes, funcX uses a heterogeneous executor model based on the Parsl parallel scripting project [13]. This architecture uses manager processes which run at a particular compute site. The managers are configured to use one of many different task execution providers, such as HTCondor, Slurm, Torque, and Kubernetes. With this architecture it is possible to launch tasks on any of these different environments using the
same, simple invocation syntax. Resources on different HPCs can be accessed by simply changing the endpoint identifier. The endpoint’s configuration has numerous settings to tune the endpoint’s use of compute resources to the specific environment and the computational profile of the job at hand. This can include configuring workers to take advantage of small windows of CPU availability, or allowing the workers to wait for a larger allocation to be available. In either event, the \texttt{funcX} service will cause the task to wait and execute as many tasks as it can when the workers are available. This helps to match the job profiles against a wide variety of compute environments. The endpoint process itself is lightweight and consumes minimal resources while awaiting new tasks to schedule on workers.

The dependencies required to execute user defined functions can be setup in multiple ways. Developers can provide a command to install dependencies that will be executed on each worker prior to scheduling any tasks (e.g. \texttt{pip install "pyhf[contrib]"}). Environments that support containerization through Shifter or Singularity can specify a container in the setup. This is easiest to administer; however, it requires that all tasks running on that endpoint only depend on these provided settings. Currently, the Kubernetes executor offers more sophisticated support for containers. Users may register a Docker image with \texttt{funcX} and associate that image with a function. The Kubernetes executor will launch worker pods with the requested container as needed to support task invocations.

### 2.3 Current and Future FaaS Analysis Facilities

Through the capabilities of \texttt{funcX} and the fitting performance and declarative nature of \texttt{pyhf} there is opportunity to create a fitting FaaS analysis facility blueprint for leveraging the scaling potential of HPC centers and dedicated hardware acceleration resources. The blueprint can then be replicated in deployment at HPC centers with available resources and allocation. Figure 1 shows possible cyberinfrastructure and system design prospects, from the viewpoints of developers and users, to create a deployment of the blueprint. Through the development of \texttt{pyhf} and \texttt{funcX} and through this work, the authors have implementations of the “Development”, “Building”, and “Deploying” stages of the “FaaS Team” section of Figure 1 as well as the “Fit” stage of the “End Users”. The remaining critical infrastructure and administrative stages to create a functional FaaS analysis facility do not have existing implementations at the time of writing (2021), but are the subject of ongoing discussions inside of the Institute for Research and Innovation in Software for High Energy Physics (IRIS-HEP) [14]. As a demonstration of the ability to reduce the time to insight such facilities would offer, we use the RIVER HPC system’s [15] deployment of \texttt{funcX} to simultaneously evaluate the 125 signal hypothesis patches from the published analysis of a search for electroweakinos with the ATLAS detector using the full Run-2 dataset of 139 fb$^{-1}$ of $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV proton-proton collision data [16] with \texttt{pyhf}. RIVER is able to use \texttt{funcX}’s Slurm task execution provider in concert with a Docker image containing all runtime dependencies and the Kubernetes \texttt{funcX} executor to leverage the 120 VM cluster for batch jobs. Each pair of VMs share a hardware node with two Intel Xeon E2650 v3 processors (24 cores), 16 x 16GB TruDDR4 Memory (256GB), two 800GB SATA MLC SSD’s (1.6TB), and a 10GigE network — providing an excellent testing grounds for scaling workflows.
3 Scaling of Statistical Inference

Using the \texttt{funcX} configuration deployed on RIVER described in Section 2.3, \texttt{funcX} is able to receive posted JSON serializations of the \texttt{pyhf} pallet containing the background workspace and signal patches downloaded from HEPData [18], start \texttt{funcX} worker nodes, send patched workspaces to each worker, fit the workspace and return the results with a user wall time of under 3 minutes. As this wall time includes data transfer to and from the user’s machine and RIVER, and worker node orchestration time, the time required for inference alone is even smaller. Example typical run output and performance can be seen in Listing 2. The timing results over multiple trials for Ref. [18], using \texttt{pyhf}’s NumPy backend and SciPy optimizer, along with the results from additional analyses [19, 20] that have openly published probability models as \texttt{pyhf} pallets on HEPData [21, 22], are summarized in Table 1 and visualized in Figure 2 and compared to the fit time for all patches on a single node. All code used in these studies is publicly available on GitHub at Ref. [23, 24].

As \texttt{funcX} endpoints run as users on the resources they are deployed on, and do not have elevated privileges, the number of worker nodes available is not an endpoint configurable option and so is not reported in this work. Endpoints will utilize available resources effectively and allocate jobs to any available workers given their configuration settings. A typical way to parameterize the range of available workers that an endpoint can scale work out on is by the \texttt{funcX} endpoint configuration variables \texttt{max\_blocks} and \texttt{nodes\_per\_block} that control the available compute blocks — the basic unit of resources acquired from an execution provider (e.g. a Slurm scheduler). \texttt{max\_blocks} controls the maximum number of blocks that can be active per \texttt{funcX} executor and \texttt{nodes\_per\_block} controls the number of nodes requested per block [13]. These configuration parameters determine for a given value (generally 1) of \texttt{parallelism} — the ratio of task execution capacity to the sum of running tasks and available tasks — how \texttt{funcX} provisions blocks and distributes work to nodes. The results summarized in Table 1 use \texttt{max\_blocks} = 4 and \texttt{nodes\_per\_block} = 1.
Table 1: Fit times for analyses using pyhf’s NumPy backend and SciPy optimizer orchestrated with funcX on RIVER with an endpoint configuration of and max_blocks = 4 and nodes_per_block = 1 over 10 trials compared to a single RIVER node. The reported wall fit time is the mean wall fit time of the trials. The uncertainty on the mean wall time corresponds to the standard deviation of the wall fit times.

| Analysis                  | Patches | Wall time (sec) | Single node (sec) |
|---------------------------|---------|----------------|-------------------|
| Eur. Phys. J. C 80 (2020) 691 | 125     | 156.2 ± 9.5     | 3842              |
| JHEP 06 (2020) 46         | 76      | 31.2 ± 2.7      | 114               |
| Phys. Rev. D 101 (2020) 032009 | 57      | 57.4 ± 5.2      | 612               |

These results are not fundamental limits of the performance of the software and are meant as preliminary tests of scaling on heterogeneous architecture. For comparison, on a local system with an AMD Ryzen 9 3900X processor (12 cores 3.8GHz) and 2 x 32GB DDR4-2400 Memory (64 GB) the fitting results for the 125 signal patches of Ref. [18] on a single core were obtained in 1672 seconds. Additionally, in isolated tests on RIVER the 125 signal patches of Ref. [18] were able to be fit with funcX orchestration in 76 seconds. These hardware and block scaling results are parts of ongoing studies to profile the scaling performance of funcX and pyhf for benchmark physics analyses on additional hardware architectures at target HPC facilities.

**Figure 2:** Visualization of comparison of the reported wall times in Table 1 categorized by analysis probability model for fits distributed across nodes compared to a single node.

4 **Conclusions**

Through the combined use of the pure-Python libraries funcX and pyhf, we have demonstrated the ability to parallelize and accelerate statistical inference of physics analyses on
HPC systems through a FaaS solution. Without having to write any bespoke batch jobs, inference can be registered and executed by analysts with a client Python API that still achieves the large performance gains compared to single node execution that is a typical motivation of use of batch systems. There is ongoing work to better monitor and extract the time costs associated with overhead and communication from the time devoted purely to statistical inference. Characterizing these costs will allow for better understanding of the scaling behavior observed across blocks. The results obtained on CPU further motivate the study of scaling performance with funcX across GPU — leveraging pyhf’s hardware accelerated computational backends — and the consideration of dedicated FaaS analysis facilities on HPC sites. These additional resources have the potential to offer even further speedup through acceleration and scaling in situations where complex analyses can have individual models take over ten minutes to fit and might have multiple hundreds of model hypotheses. The results additionally motivate investigation of the scaling performance for large scale ensemble fits in the case of statistical combinations of analyses and large dimensional scans of theory parameter space (e.g. phenomenological minimal supersymmetric standard model (pMSSM) scans) [25, 26].
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Listing 2: A subset of the run output from the execution of fitting the 125 signal hypothesis patches for the published ATLAS analysis [16]. The wall time (real) shows the simultaneous fit orchestrated by funcX is performed in 2 minutes and 20 seconds.
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