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ABSTRACT: Most tumor-targeted drug delivery systems must overcome a large variety of physiological barriers before reaching the tumor site and diffuse through the tight network of tumor cells. Many studies focus on optimizing the first part, the accumulation of drug carriers at the tumor site, ignoring the penetration efficiency, i.e., a measure of the ability of a drug delivery system to overcome tumor surface adherence and uptake. We used three-dimensional (3D) tumor spheroids in combination with light-sheet fluorescence microscopy in a head-to-head comparison of a variety of commonly used lipid-based nanoparticles, including liposomes, PEGylated liposomes, lipoplexes, and reconstituted high-density lipoproteins (rHDL).

Whilst PEGylation of liposomes only had minor effects on the penetration efficiency, we show that lipoplexes are mainly associated with the periphery of tumor spheroids, possibly due to their positive surface charge, leading to fusion with the cells at the spheroid surface or aggregation. Surprisingly, the rHDL showed significantly higher penetration efficiency and high accumulation inside the spheroid. While these findings indeed could be relevant when designing novel drug delivery systems based on lipid-based nanoparticles, we stress that the used platform and the detailed image analysis are a versatile tool for in vitro studies of the penetration efficiency of nanoparticles in tumors.

1. INTRODUCTION

Over the last decades, nanoparticles (NPs) have been established as promising drug carriers for cancer therapy.1−3 The advantages of using NPs as drug carriers include specific targeting, enhanced drug stability, and accumulation in the tumor tissue relative to free drugs.4,5 Especially lipid-based NPs are attractive due to their high biocompatibility compared to other nanosized drug carriers, e.g., gold NPs or quantum dots.2,3 Most often, clinically approved lipid-based drug delivery systems rely on passive accumulation at the tumor site.3,4,6

The majority of studies on NP drug delivery focus on the accumulation of NPs in the tumor tissue (through biodistribution studies) and are less concerned with the penetration profile of the NPs into the tumor. We emphasize that the penetration efficiency of the NPs is an important parameter as the therapeutic efficiency could be limited when the drug is only delivered to the outermost cells of the tumor.7,8 Whilst it is well established that NP penetration depends on the physicochemical properties of the NPs, e.g., size,9−12 a detailed head-to-head comparison of the penetration of a broad selection of lipid-based NPs is still wanted to learn which kind of lipid-based NPs to use for a certain drug candidate. Hence, this study provides a comparative investigation of the penetration efficiencies of liposomes (Lip), PEGylated liposomes (PEG-Lip), reconstituted high-density lipoproteins (rHDL), and lipoplexes (LPX), which have all reached clinical trials.13,14 As a non-lipid control, we used polystyrene nanoparticles (PNP) (Figure 1). Briefly, liposomes (Lip and PEG-Lip) are some of the most commonly used drug carriers. They are spherical vesicles comprising a lipid bilayer and an aqueous core, while surface grafting of polyethylene glycol (PEG) is frequently used to increase their blood circulation time.15,16 LPX are complexes of nucleic acids that are stabilized by cationic lipids17 and are usually used for gene-targeting applications. The rHDL are biomimetic particles similar to the endogenous high-density lipoproteins (HDL), a natural lipid transporter in the body;14 hence, rHDL are promising drug delivery carriers for various applications.17 There are several variants of the rHDL/HDL-mimicking particles,14,18 and in this study, we used the ∼10 nm-sized discoidal rHDL consisting of a lipid bilayer stabilized at the edge by two apolipoproteins A-I (apoA-1).

The lipid-based NPs used here differ in several aspects like (i) size, (ii) surface charge, (iii) surface chemistry, and (iv)
targeting mechanisms. For the latter, only rHDL are believed to be actively targeting as they are recognized by the scavenger receptor class B type 1 (SR-BI) that is overexpressed in many types of cancer cells,\textsuperscript{14,19} including the U87-MG brain cancer cells used in this study.\textsuperscript{20}

To investigate the NP uptake and penetration, we used an in vitro three-dimensional (3D) tumor spheroid model,\textsuperscript{21,22} which is a well-established drug screening system.\textsuperscript{23–25} Even though this simple system is grown from monocultures, it still preserves the essential features present in cancer tumors in vivo, i.e., rapid proliferation at the surface and slow metabolism, or necrosis, in the center of spheroids.\textsuperscript{26} The majority of studies of NP distributions in tumor spheroids use tumor spheroid fixation,\textsuperscript{27–33} often in combination with histological sectioning.\textsuperscript{34–39} Nevertheless, there are also reports of NP penetration in live tumor spheroids using confocal-scanning microscopy.\textsuperscript{40–50} Live imaging of NP-treated tumor spheroids ensures that the studied NPs preserve localization, stability, and emission wavelength,\textsuperscript{51,52} as indicated for semiconducting NPs.\textsuperscript{53} However, the high scattering and absorption of a live tissue\textsuperscript{54} limits the imaging depth. Thus, to overcome these constraints, we used an Airy beam light-sheet microscope\textsuperscript{55,56} that allows for optical sectioning with little bleaching or photodamage.\textsuperscript{57} With this setup, we combine deep imaging of NPs with single-cell resolution of tumor spheroids of hundreds of micrometers.\textsuperscript{27,58}

This study is a head-to-head comparison of the penetration efficiencies of several lipid-based NPs commonly used for drug delivery. We use a 3D tumor spheroid model in combination with optical sectioning and a custom-made analysis procedure, and we find that clustering on the tumor spheroid surface and penetration efficiency vary significantly among the tested lipid-based NP types. This in vitro platform can easily be adapted to study the penetration of a large variety of fluorescently labeled NPs. Hence, we provide an important tool to screen and optimize the penetration efficiency of NPs before conducting more complex in vivo studies.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Preparation of NPs. 2.1.1. Materials. The lipids 1,2-dipalmitoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (DPPC), cholesterol, 1,2-dioleoyl-3-trimethylammonium-propane (chloride salt) (DOTAP), and 1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycerol-3-phosphoethanolamine-N-[amino(polyethylene glycol)-2000] (ammonium salt) (DOPE-PEG2k) were supplied from Avanti Polar Lipids. The lipophilic carbocyanine dye 1,1′-dioctadecyl-3,3,3′,3′-tetramethylindocarbocyanine perchlorate (DiI) was supplied from Thermo Fisher Scientific. The CpG ODN 2395 was supplied from Invivogen. The stealth liposome mix (consisting of hydrogenated soy l-α-phosphatidylcholine (HSPC), cholesterol, and DSPE-PEG2k in a weight ratio of 3:1:1) was acquired from Lipoid GmbH (Germany). Reagents for the buffers used for preparation of the NPs (phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), sodium chloride, sodium citrate, and Hepes, glucose, and sodium cholate hydrate), 99.9% absolute ethanol, and tert-butanol were all supplied from Sigma-Aldrich (Denmark).

2.1.2. Liposomes/PEGylated Liposomes. Lip were prepared with DPPC/cholesterol/DiI in a molar ratio of (61.3:38.2:0.5), while PEG-Lip were prepared from the stealth liposome mix HSPC/cholesterol/DSPE-PEG (56.6:38.2:5.2) supplied with 0.5 mol % DiI. Initially, the lipids were dissolved in tert-butanol/MilliQ (9:1), snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen, and freeze-dried overnight using a Scanvac Coolsafe lyophilizer (Labogene, Denmark). The dry lipids were rehydrated in PBS to a total lipid concentration of 10 mM and put under 65 °C heating and magnetic stirring for 1 h. The size of the liposomes was controlled by extruding 21 times through Whatman filters (GE Healthcare, U.K.) with a pore size of 100 nm using a Mini-Extruder from Avanti Polar Lipids. The liposome suspension was transferred to a glass vial and stored at 4 °C.

2.1.3. Lipoplexes. LPX were prepared by the ethanol-injection method. They were formulated with cholesterol/DOTAP/DOPE-PEG2k/DiI (49.5:49:1:0.5) and CpG ODN 2395 (single-stranded synthetic DNA) as the encapsulated nucleic acid. Initially, the lipids were dissolved in 99.9% absolute ethanol, and CpG was diluted in citrate buffer, pH 5. The lipid solution was mixed into the CpG solution under vigorous vortexing, yielding a DOTAP/CpG (8:1). Immediately after mixing, the mixture was diluted by adding twice the volume of buffered saline (0.3 M sodium chloride, 20 mM sodium citrate, pH 6.0). To remove excess ethanol, the solution was then dialyzed for 24 h using cassettes with 3.5k MWCO (Thermo Fisher Scientific) against 300 mM Hepes and 5% glucose buffer (pH 7.4) with two buffer exchanges. After dialysis, the LPX solution was transferred to amicon spin filters (MWCO 10 000) in 15 mL tubes and centrifuged three times at 4000g and 4 °C for 10 min. Between each cycle, the volume was replenished by adding 300 mM Hepes and 5% glucose buffer (pH 7.4) to ensure the removal of unbound CpG. After washing, the LPX solution (10 mM total lipid concentration) was transferred to a new vial and allowed to equilibrate at room temperature for 30 min. The LPX were stored at 4 °C and used within 4 weeks after preparation.

2.1.4. rHDL. The lipids DPPC/DiI (99.5:0.5) were dissolved in tert-butanol/MilliQ (9:1) before freeze-drying overnight. ApoA-I (purified from human plasma as described elsewhere\textsuperscript{59}) dissolved in PBS containing 20 mM cholate was added to the lipid mixture such that it yielded a protein/lipid...
molar ratio of 100 and a total lipid concentration of 5 mM. The solution was heated to 41 °C for approximately 1 h to ensure that the lipids were dissolved. Subsequently, Bio-Beads from Bio-Rad (Denmark) were added to remove the cholate and induce the self-assembly of the rHDL. The sample was incubated overnight with the Bio-Beads that were removed afterward by spinning the liquid from a 2 mL Eppendorf tube with a perforated hole into a falcon tube. The sample was stored at 4 °C.

2.2. Characterization of the NPs. All lipid-based NPs were fluorescently labeled using 0.5 mol % DiI fluorophore (549/565 nm). They were diluted in PBS, such that they contained a similar amount of fluorophores, as estimated by absorbance (NanoDrop 2000/2000c Spectrophotometer, Thermo Fisher Scientific), (Supporting Information Figure S2), and were further diluted 10X in an imaging medium (see Section 2.4) when added to the spheroids.

The fluorescently labeled (580/605 nm) anionic polystyrene carboxylate-modified nanoparticles (Thermo Fisher, Lot No. = 1922891) were sonicated for 10 min and were diluted in an imaging medium (see Section 2.4 section) to a final concentration of 1.7 × 1011 particles/mL.

The hydrodynamic diameters of the NPs were measured by dynamic light scattering (DLS) on a ZetaSizer Nano ZS (Malvern Instruments, U.K.) over an average of three runs of 10–15 cycles. The results were estimated by number [%]. The ζ-potential was obtained by the same instrument using a universal “Dip” Cell (Malvern Panalytical, U.K.) and was estimated with an average of three runs with an automatically determined number of cycles (10–100 cycles). Before the ζ-potential measurements, the samples were diluted 25X in a buffer containing 10 mM Hepes and 5% glucose (pH 7.4). For both the size and ζ-potential measurements, a distribution was obtained from each run/cycle with mean and standard deviation (SD). In Table 1, we present the average of the means and average of the SD from the three runs.

2.3. Cell Culture. Gliosblastoma multiforme U87-MG cells were cultured in high-glucose Dulbecco’s-modified Eagle’s Medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 1% (100 units/mL penicillin and 100 µg/mL streptomycin), all from Gibco.

2.3.1. Gravitation-Assisted Spheroid Formation. Five hundred cells were seeded in each round-bottom ultralow attachment of 96 wells (Corning Costar) in a volume of 100 µL DMEM and incubated for 72 h (37° C, 5% CO2, and 100% humidity). After 72 h, the spheroids reached a radius of 134 ± 10 µm (mean ± SD, N = 19).

2.4. Sample Preparation. For imaging, the culture medium was replaced by phenol red-free DMEM (FluoroBrite, Gibco) without serum to minimize the noise. Fully formed spheroids were rinsed three times with imaging medium and were treated with nanoparticles in a fresh imaging medium for 5 h. After 3 h of incubation, the spheroids were nuclear-labeled by supplementing the wells with a cell-permeable nucleic acid stain (SYTO13, Invitrogen; 488/509 nm). Although the nuclear stain is a high-performance dye, it causes phototoxicity within 3 h,69 which restricts live-cell imaging. Spheroids were washed three times in PBS (Gibco) and then immersed in a fresh imaging medium. The spheroids were embedded in 1% low-melt agarose (NuSieve GTG Agarose; Lonza, Rockland, ME), were transferred separately in fluoroinated ethylene propylene (FEP) tubes (inner diameter 0.8 mm, outer diameter 1.6 mm) (Bohlerland), and were mounted on the microscope.

2.5. Live Spheroid Imaging. We used an Aurora air light-sheet microscope (M Squared) with a M2 filter cube with one objective for illumination (UMPlanFL N 10X/0.30 W) and one for detection (UMPlanFL N 20X/0.50 W), each with a 3.5 mm working distance. The light sheet was equipped with a blue (488 nm) and a yellow (570 nm) laser. The light sheet allowed deep sample penetration and high axial resolution over an extended field view (600 mm × 600 mm). The emitted light was collected through a band-pass filter (520–540 nm) and low-pass filter (>570 nm) for the 488 and 561 nm laser beams, respectively. Two stacks of images were recorded sequentially, one for the nuclear stain and one for the nanoparticle stain in steps of 0.4 µm in the axial direction using the build Aurora Alpha acquisition software. The laser intensity and exposure were kept constant among experiments, which were performed in room temperature with minimized background light.

2.6. Image Analysis. To measure the fluorescence intensity versus depth of the spheroid, we analyzed the cross-sections and quantified the intensity with a combination of Fiji plugins and custom-made Matlab routines. As our spheroids on average were 300 µm in diameter and the step size in the axial direction was 0.4 µm, the measured intensities of our cross-sections was averaged over 100 frames (which corresponds to 40 µm). We estimated the variation of the radius over this band to be less than the variations in R0 in the imaging plane. The obtained average cross-sections were analyzed to obtain the mean intensities as in eq 1.

2.6.1. Statistics. Unless otherwise stated, data are shown as mean ± standard deviation (SD) or SD of the mean (SEM), N ≥ 3. To assess statistical significance, analysis of variance (ANOVA, one-way) was performed for comparison of means, followed by Tukey’s post hoc test. Upon comparison to the α level of 5%, a p-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

To study the penetration efficiency of the NPs, we used a brain cancer tumor spheroid model grown from a seed of (∼200 cells/well) U87-MG cells in round-bottom ultralow attachment wells. While negatively charged coating impedes cell attachment to the wells, gravitation assists agglomeration (Figure 2A).80,61 After the initial spheroid formation, the growth rate was estimated to be ∼40 µm/day under our experimental conditions. Spheroids were harvested after 3 days, where they had reached a final radius of 134 ± 10 µm (mean ± SD, N = 19) and a density of about 3 × 104 cells/µm3. All spheroids were confirmed to attain similar morphological characteristics, e.g., ellipticity of 0.5 ± 0.1 (Figure S3).
3.1. Preparation and Characterization of the NPs. The lipid-based NPs (Figure 1) were prepared using standard methods as described in detail elsewhere for liposomes, LPX, and rHDL. Briefly, Lip and PEG-Lip were formed by hydration of freeze-dried lipids, followed by extrusion through a 10 nm filter. LPX were prepared by the ethanol-injection technique, where lipids dissolved in ethanol were rapidly injected into an aqueous buffer containing the nucleic acids Cpg. rHDL were prepared by the detergent depletion method, where lipids were dissolved with detergents that were subsequently depleted using Bio-Beads in the presence of the apolipoprotein A-I (apoA-I) to induce the self-assembly of rHDL. The NPs’ size and surface charge, i.e., ζ-potential, are listed in Table 1.

The distribution and penetration of the lipid-based NPs were studied by detection of the DiI in the NPs. Besides being used as the fluorescent label, the lipid-conjugated DiI can also be considered as a model of lipid-conjugated drugs incorporated into the NPs. We confirmed that DiI was stably incorporated into the lipid-based NPs (Supporting Information Figure S1), as consistent with previous studies using similar types of fluorophores. We emphasize that all of the lipid-based NPs were prepared with equal mol% of fluorophores (0.5% DiI), and that the concentration of the NPs was adjusted such that the amount of fluorophores (based on absorbance) was equal in each sample (Supporting Information Figure S2). Thus, we assume that the lipid concentration was the same in each sample. Note that due to the different sizes of the lipid-based NPs, this implies that the particle concentration varied between samples.

3.2. Distribution of NPs in Tumor Spheroids. NP-treated tumor spheroids were optically sectioned (Figure 2B,C) in 400 nm steps to measure the fluorescence intensity on planar cross-sections of the spheroids. Notably, the intensity of the nuclear stain gradually decreased toward the center of the spheroids, which is likely explained by the diffusion gradient and effects from attenuation of the exciting/emitting light at increasing depths. Interestingly, the cross-sections (Figure 3) show a clear difference in the distribution of the different NPs in the tumor spheroids. While spheroids treated with Lip, PEG-Lip, rHDL, and PNP exhibited fluorescence intensity distributed across the whole cross-section (though with varying intensity), LPX accumulated primarily at the spheroid surface. Areas with augmented fluorescence were observed for HDL, Lip, and LPX, and while these areas were confined to the spheroids’ surface for LPX and Lip, they also appeared deeper in the spheroids for rHDL. The augmented fluorescence likely corresponds to either aggregation of particles or high local cellular uptake of NPs. In particular, LPX, due to positive surface charges, might effectively fuse with the outermost cells or aggregate in the culture medium (at 37 °C), since LPX is considered relatively unstable without PEGylation. Aggregation of LPX would likely render their size larger than the pores in the tumors, thereby impeding penetration, while adherence to the surface of the cells or cellular uptake prevents aggregated LPX from being washed out before imaging. Similarly, Lip are considered more prone to aggregation than PEG-Lip. However, in contrast to the initially smaller LPX, the neutral surface charge of the Lip possibly allowed them to penetrate deeper into the tumor due to inferior NP-cell interactions, before aggregation or cellular uptake. On the other hand, rHDL showed enhanced accumulation at much greater penetration depths. This could in part be ascribed to the smaller size of rHDL, allowing them to pass more easily through the interstitial space between the cells, like in the case of PNP.

3.3. NP Tumor Spheroid Penetration. The imaging of a dense spheroid is in brief limited by two conditions: (i) the attenuation of the fluorescence emission and (ii) the attenuation of the exciting laser through the spheroid. To balance (i), we did not use the cross-section from the spheroid equator but instead a cross-section closer to the imaging objective (emission Figure 2B) at a depth of 100–140 μm. Therefore, the measured intensities were averaged over 40 μm, which corresponds to 100 frames (Supporting Information Figure S4). Furthermore, to redeem the effect of (ii), we restricted our analysis to the half of the cross-section closest to the exciting laser (Figure 2D) and to a maximum depth of 80 μm.

From the masks of the averaged cross-sections, we defined the spheroid surface (d = 0 μm) as the maximum intensity depth of the nuclear stain. As the surface of the spheroid is rough, the radius of the spheroid, R0, changes in respect to the polar angle, θ (Figure 2D). Therefore, the intensity at a specific depth, d, of the averaged cross-section was quantified as follows.
\[ I = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^{M} I(x_i, y_i) \]  

for the \( M \) pairs of pixels, \((x_i, y_i)\), that fulfill the requirement

\[ x_i^2 + y_i^2 = (R_0 - d)^2 \]  

We found the normalized intensity profiles \( I \) averaged over all \( N \) spheroids of the nuclear stain (gray) and the investigated NPs as a function of the spheroid depth \( d \) (Figure 4). Regardless of the natural variations among the spheroids, the penetration profiles were specific for each type of NPs. Consistent with the cross-section images (Figure 3), we observed a gradual decrease in the nuclear stain’s intensity over \( d \). Even though individual nuclei cannot be distinguished at penetration depths greater than \( \sim 50 \mu m \), it has been previously shown that U87-MG spheroids of similar sizes have uniform densities.62 Furthermore, we observed that both rHDL and LPX exhibited high surface adhesion. However, LPX remained at the spheroid rim, while all of the other NPs penetrated more effectively toward the center of the tumor spheroid.

The penetration depth can be interpreted by the declination of the surface intensity by half \( d_{1/2} \) for the averaged profile. This measure confirmed the limited penetration depth of LPX, \( d_{1/2} = 26 \mu m \), in contrast to the larger penetration depths of

Figure 3. Cross-sections of U87-MG tumor spheroids treated with NPs. The pseudocolored images are representative examples of optical sections from spheroids at different depths in the axial direction (40–200 \( \mu m \)). The spheroids were all nuclear stained (green) and treated with NPs (red): Lip, PEG-Lip, rHDL, PNP, or LPX, for 5 h. The images have uneven contrast settings between the different NPs, and the scale bars correspond to 100 \( \mu m \).

Figure 4. Penetration of NPs in U87-MG tumor spheroids. The normalized average intensity of NP fluorescence versus tumor spheroid depth, \( d \). The intensity was averaged over all treated spheroids and normalized with the surface intensity (the surface was defined from the nuclear stain). All spheroids were exposed to both nuclear stain (gray, \( N = 19 \)) and NPs: Lip (blue, \( N = 3 \)), PEG-Lip (red, \( N = 5 \)), rHDL (yellow, \( N = 4 \)), PNP (green, \( N = 4 \)), and LPX (purple, \( N = 3 \)). The shaded area corresponds to the tumor spheroid surface, and error bars correspond to one SEM.
Lip, $d_{1/2} = 39 \, \mu m$, and PEG-Lip, $d_{1/2} = 67 \, \mu m$. The larger penetration depth of PEG-Lip relative to Lip could be attributed to reduced interactions with the cells due to the PEGylation, thus allowing for increased penetration into the tumor spheroid, as also reported elsewhere for PEGylated NPs. Though the $d_{1/2}$ indeed is a descriptive measure, it is not instrumental for the analysis of penetration profiles that differ from an exponential decay. For instance, rHDL had a unique secondary peak ($d = 80 \, \mu m$). Furthermore, PNP did not reduce the fluorescence intensity by half at the measured depths, although the intensity gradually decreased after the first surface cell layers.

The up-concentration in depth observed for rHDL might be explained by aggregation. Although Lip and PEG-Lip are relatively stable, rHDL aggregation might occur due to varying environmental conditions toward the spheroid core. For example, the spheroid core is slightly more acidic relative to the surface due to the increased lactate production from the oxygen-deficient cells, and the acidic conditions could potentially cause the HDL-associated apoA-I to unfold. Interestingly, the PNP (∼24 nm) did not accumulate toward the spheroids' center, though they seemingly also diffused throughout the tumor spheroids. This further confirms that the rHDL distribution in the spheroids is controlled by additional parameters besides size.

3.4. Penetration Efficiency. To further quantify the ability of the various NPs to penetrate the tumor spheroid, we defined the penetration efficiency as the following ratio

$$\delta = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\langle I \rangle_{\text{in}}}{\langle I \rangle_{\text{out}}}$$

where $N$ is the number of spheroids, $\langle I \rangle_{\text{in}}$ is the average NP fluorescence at shallow depths ($0 \, \mu m < d < 20 \, \mu m$), and $\langle I \rangle_{\text{out}}$ is the average intensity deeper in the spheroids ($60 \, \mu m < d < 80 \, \mu m$). A uniform distribution of NPs within the spheroid would yield a $\delta$ close to 1 convoluted with an exponential-like decay due to the attenuation of the laser light, etc. As the penetration efficiency $\delta$ of the nuclear stain reflects the diffusion gradient in combination with the attenuating factors, it can be used to assess the homogeneity between tumor spheroids. The consistent $\delta$ values for the nuclear stain (Figure 5) confirmed that the tumor spheroids were uniform in morphology and size. The penetration efficiency of the NPs (Figure 5) generally followed the trends observed from the cross-sections (Figure 3) and penetration profiles (Figure 4). Only LPX had lower $\delta$ than the nuclear stain and significantly lower than Lip ($p < 0.04$), PEG-Lip ($p = 0.001$), and PNP ($p = 0.002$), since they were mainly associated or were taken up by the outermost cells. The rHDL showed the highest penetration efficiency $\delta$, which was significantly larger than Lip ($p = 0.02$), nuclear stain, and LPX ($p < 0.0001$, both). This is likely due to a combination of their small size, allowing them to easily diffuse through intercellular spaces of the tumor spheroids, and the surprising augmented accumulation of rHDL deeper in the spheroid.

To evaluate if NPs were taken up by the cells or simply adhered to their surface, we imaged the surface cells of the spheroid individually (Figure 6). As these images show single sheets in the imaging stacks ($0.4 \, \mu m$ thickness) inside the more than 10 $\mu m$ cells, the NP fluorescence (red) measured in proximity to the nucleus (green) is likely to be internalized NPs. These results are indicative of a view where all NPs were internalized (passively or actively) but to a greater extent for rHDL and LPX compared to Lip and PEG-Lip. Evidently, LPX, rHDL, and Lip were not only taken up by the cells but they also formed aggregations that adhered to the spheroid surface (Figure 3). The effect of cell internalization on NP penetration in tumors is disputed, as it has been found to both reduce penetration due to depletion and to facilitate penetration by transcellular transport.

Interestingly, Lip and PEG-Lip show similar uptake by outermost cells (Figure 6A,B) and have similar $\delta$ ($p = 0.95$), though the $d_{1/2}$ indicated that PEG-Lip penetrated deeper into the spheroid. This can in part be explained by the measured intensity peak of PEG-Lip just beneath the tumor spheroid surface (Figure 4). Hence, the PEG-Lip apparently overcame the adherence to the spheroid surface and penetrated the first cell layers efficiently, while only a limited amount reached deeper into the spheroid. We speculate that their PEGylation reduces the interactions between PEG-Lip and the cell membrane to allow rapid diffusion through the spheroid, in contrast to the less negatively charged/non-PEGylated Lip and to the slightly positively charged LPX.

3.5. Effect of the NP Design on the Penetration Efficiency. The penetration efficiency is an interplay between the NP design, tumor morphology, and cell internalization sensitivity. We found that LPX exhibited a very different penetration efficiency than Lip and PEG-Lip despite their similar size (∼100 nm). This might be attributed to the positive surface charge of LPX causing fusion-based uptake by the outermost cells (Figure 6) and lower stability of the LPX.
However, the importance of the surface charge has also been observed in other studies where charged NPs were shown to penetrate less efficiently than neutral NPs.\textsuperscript{49,76,77} The small differences in the sizes of rHDL and PNP (\textsim 10 nm versus \textsim 24 nm) might contribute to the slightly different penetration efficiencies, as shown for other NPs.\textsuperscript{9,78} We suggest, however, that the great accumulation of rHDL inside the spheroid is attributed to effects from the different environments at the spheroid core relative to the surface, e.g., the slightly acidic environment inside the spheroid might cause rHDL aggregation or alter the interactions between the rHDL/ApoA-I and the extracellular matrix and/or cells.\textsuperscript{79} Furthermore, viability of the cells might vary toward the core, thus potentially affecting the NP penetration. Interestingly, Lee et al.\textsuperscript{11} showed decreased penetration depth for NPs with targeting ligands, as the NP binding and uptake by the outermost cells inhibited further NP penetration into the spheroid. As SR-BI is expressed by the cells, the rHDL could, in principle, be actively taken up by all cells in the spheroids, thus resulting in likewise decreased accumulation toward the tumor center due to enhanced clearance by the outermost cells, compared to similar-sized nontargeting NPs. In contrast, Tang et al.\textsuperscript{30} suggested that SR-BI receptor-mediated cell uptake of HDL-mimicking NPs in the core of the tumor spheroid is important for enhanced penetration of the NPs. They based this claim on the observation that PEGylation of the HDL-mimicking NPs decreased the penetration into the tumor spheroid, which they attribute to SR-BI interactions being diminished by PEG. However, we emphasize that SR-BI is presumably expressed on all of the cells in the tumor spheroid, and we therefore suggest that additional parameters contribute to the observed increased rHDL accumulation toward the spheroid core.

The penetration efficiency of NPs is an important evaluation measure of therapeutic delivery systems that aim at distributing drugs uniformly in the tumor and must be considered together with the equally important biodistribution of NPs, which determines how much of the intravenously administered NPs end up in vivo tumor tissue compared to other organs.\textsuperscript{10} More specifically, PEGylation of liposomes is believed to be very important for long circulation times and results in high accumulation in the tumor due to the expected enhanced permeability and the retention (EPR) effect.\textsuperscript{3,16} However, we emphasize that the relevance of the EPR effect is disputed\textsuperscript{80} and that the exact relation between circulation and biodistribution is under much debate (chapter 5 in ref \textsuperscript{81}). We observed only slight (but not significant) difference in the penetration efficiency between Lip and PEG-Lip. In accordance with other in vitro studies, we observed higher penetration efficiency of the smaller NPs (rHDL and PNP).\textsuperscript{82} In contrast in vivo, \textsim 100 nm is believed to be the optimal size for uptake in tumors through the EPR effect.\textsuperscript{83} Despite their small size, intravenously injected rHDL are reported to yield relatively high tumor accumulation, often attributed to their SR-BI targeting feature.\textsuperscript{10,84–86} Our results suggest that the rHDL can be retained in the tumor, possibly as a result of the naturally occurring gradients in the cancer spheroid; hence, this might add to the explanation of the enhanced tumor accumulation of rHDL. Consequently, we highlight that the amount of NPs distributed in the tumor tissue is an interplay between NP biodistribution and penetration efficiency.

### 4. CONCLUSIONS

We performed a head-to-head comparison of the penetration efficiencies of lipid-based NPs that varied in size, surface charge, surface chemistry, stability, and targeting ability. Besides the direct comparison between the selected lipid-based NPs, our findings shed light on important and relevant effects on the penetration of NPs into tumors. While we only observed a limited effect on the penetration efficiency from PEGylation of liposomes, we did observe an effect of the NPs’ stability and surface charge, as indicated by the limited penetration efficiency of LPX compared to Lip/PEG-Lip. We also observed a high penetration efficiency for rHDL and speculated that rHDL’s unique penetration profile is attributed to a combination of their small size and the effects associated with the spheroid environment. It could be relevant in future experiments to thoroughly investigate the mechanisms that drive the different penetration efficiencies of the NPs.

The use of light-sheet microscopy and the detailed image analysis can be easily adapted to screen the penetration efficiency of any fluorescent NPs in 3D tumor spheroids. Therefore, the testing of NPs is only limited by the build-in optics of the light-sheet microscope, i.e., lasers and detectors. Thus, we present a powerful tool and analysis method for the in vitro evaluation of the penetration efficiency of NPs, a measure that can be highly relevant for the therapeutic effect of the corresponding drug delivery system.
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