Dissecting the old Mediterranean durum wheat genetic architecture for phenology, biomass and yield formation by association mapping and QTL meta-analysis
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Abstract

Association mapping was used to identify genome regions affecting yield formation, crop phenology and crop biomass in a collection of 172 durum wheat landraces representative of the genetic diversity of ancient local durum varieties from the Mediterranean Basin. The collection was genotyped with 1,149 DArT markers and phenotyped in Spanish northern and southern locations during three years. A total of 245 significant marker trait associations (MTAs) (\(P<0.01\)) were detected. Some of these associations confirmed previously identified quantitative trait loci (QTL) and/or candidate genes, and others are reported for the first time here. Eighty-six MTAs corresponded with yield and yield component traits, 70 to phenology and 89 to biomass production. Twelve genomic regions harbouring stable MTAs (significant in three or more environments) were identified, while five and two regions showed specific MTAs for northern and southern environments, respectively. Sixty per cent of MTAs were located on the B genome and 29% on the A genome. The marker wPt-9859 was detected in 12 MTAs, associated with six traits in four environments and the mean across years. To refine QTL positions, a meta-analysis was performed. A total of 477 unique QTLs were projected onto a durum wheat consensus map and were condensed to 71 meta-QTLs and left 13 QTLs as singletons. Sixty-one percent of QTLs explained less than 10% of the phenotypic variance confirming the high genetic complexity of the traits analysed.

Introduction

Durum wheat (\(Triticum turgidum\) L. var. \(durum\)) is grown on around 17 million hectares worldwide. It is a major crop in the Mediterranean Basin, which is the largest durum producing area worldwide, the most significant durum import market and the largest consumer of durum wheat products. Wheat was domesticated in the Fertile Crescent (10,000 BP), and spread to the west of the Mediterranean Basin reaching the Iberian Peninsula around 7,000
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Abbreviations: AM, association mapping; BP, Before present; CDW, crop dry weight at tillering (CDW$_{tt}$), jointing (CDW$_{j}$), anthesis (CDW$_{a}$), and physiological maturity (CDW$_{m}$); DBA, number of days from booting to anthesis; DSB, number of days from sowing to booting; GD, grain diameter; GFD, grain filling duration; GW, grain weight; GWS, grain weight per spike; GY, grain yield; HI, harvest index; LD, linkage disequilibrium; LG, percentage of large grains; MG, percentage of medium grains; MQTL, meta-QTL; MTA, marker trait associations; NDVI, normalized difference vegetation index at vegetative (NDVI$_{v}$) and grain filling (NDVI$_{g}$) stages; NFs, number of florets per spikelet; NFTm$^{2}$, number of fertile tillers per square meter; NGS$^{2}$, number of grains per square meter; NGS, number of grains per spike; NSm$^{2}$, number of spikes per square meter; NSP, number of spikes per plant; NsS, number of spikelets per spike; NTP, number of tillers per plant; PH, plant height; QTL, quantitative trait locus; SG, percentage of small grains; SL, spike length.

years BP [1]. Natural and human selection occurring during this migration resulted in the establishment of local landraces specifically adapted to a diversity of agro-ecological zones, and is considered the largest source of biodiversity within the species [2]. The cultivation of local landraces was progressively abandoned from the early 1970s due to its replacement with the improved, more productive and genetically uniform semi-dwarf cultivars derived from the Green Revolution. However, evidence supports the hypothesis that landraces can provide new alleles for the improvement of commercially valuable traits [3]. Mediterranean landraces represent an important group of genetic resources because of their genetic diversity, their documented resilience to abiotic stresses and their resistance to pests and diseases [3].

In the Mediterranean basin wheat is mainly grown under rainfed conditions and yield is often constrained by water and heat stress that are common during the grain filling period, due to the low and unpredictable seasonal rainfalls and high temperatures during the last stages of the crop season [4]. Moreover, according to the intergovernmental panel for climate change (http://www.ipcc.ch/), drought conditions are expected to worsen, with warmer temperatures and lower and more erratic water availability affecting the major wheat producing areas, including the Mediterranean Basin. In a context of climate change, improving the knowledge of yield and the most important traits underlying the adaptive response of durum wheat to Mediterranean environments is essential to enhance the development of varieties adapted to sub-optimal environments. Exploiting genetic diversity from local landraces in breeding programmes is important for adaptation to harsh environments and end-product quality, given the high level of polymorphism found between and within Mediterranean landraces for traits of commercial importance [5]. Thus, unravelling the genetic mechanisms underlying development, growth and yield formation, under water limited conditions is one of the major challenges for wheat production worldwide.

Association mapping (AM) and linkage Quantitative Trait Loci (QTL) mapping are the two main approaches used to dissect the genetic basis of complex traits [6]. AM is used as a complementary approach to linkage analysis providing broader allelic coverage and offering higher mapping resolution. Association mapping is based on linkage disequilibrium (LD), defined as the non-random association of alleles at different loci, and is used to detect the relationship between phenotypic variation and genetic polymorphisms [7]. It is important, however, to differentiate the LD due to physical linkage from LD due to population structure that can be caused by selection, genetic drift and species-dependent characters such as the mating system [7]. Germplasm collections characterized by medium to high LD levels are suitable for the identification of chromosome regions harbouring genes/QTL controlling agronomic traits in wheat [8]. To date AM has been carried out in many crops. In wheat AM has been recently conducted for investigating the genetic basis of yield and yield components in a number of environments [6, 9–15], grain quality traits [15,16], root traits [17], biomass for bioethanol production [12], resistance to diseases [18], and crop phenology [6, 14].

To synthesize the large amount of information currently available on QTLs for relevant agronomic traits in wheat and its integration on a consensus map is essential in order to accelerate the breeding programmes. One way to synthesize and integrate all QTL information is the QTL meta-analysis approach developed by [19]. QTL meta-analyses have been performed in the last years mostly in bread wheat for grain traits [20], plant height [21], sprouting tolerance and dormancy [22], dietary fiber content in grain [23], grain yield [24], crop phenology [25], and resistance to septrinia tritici blotch [26], powdery mildew [27], fusarium head blight [28–30], leaf rust [31] and UG99 stem rust [32]. However, studies in durum wheat are scarce.

In this study, AM was used to identify genome regions affecting yield formation, crop phenology and crop biomass in a collection of 172 durum wheat landraces representative of the variability existing in the species within the Mediterranean Basin. The population structure of
the collection was previously analysed [5] showing a strong eastern-western geographical structure consistently matching with the spread of wheat across the Mediterranean Basin. Additionally, QTL meta-analysis was conducted in the current study to narrow down the QTL intervals and to identify consensus QTL regions controlling the target traits.

Materials and methods

Plant material

This study evaluated a collection of 172 durum wheat landraces and old varieties from 21 Mediterranean countries, whose genetic structure and agronomic performance have been recently reported [5]. To represent the genetic diversity of ancient local durum varieties from the Mediterranean Basin, landraces were selected as described in [2]. Seeds provided by public gene banks (Centro de Recursos Fitogenéticos INIA-Spain, ICARDA Germplasm Bank and USDA Germplasm Bank) were bulk-planted and one spike of the dominant type from each bulk (usually with a frequency above 80% of the bulk) was planted on a row the next crop season for purification. The harvested seeds were further increased in plots, ensuring that the seeds used for each experiment had a common origin.

Phenotyping

Field experiments were carried out during three harvesting seasons (2007, 2008 and 2009) in two locations in Spain (Lleida in the north-east of Spain, referred to as North and Granada, in the south of Spain, further referred to as South) as reported previously by [5].

    Phenology traits were dissected in days from sowing to booting (DSB), days from booting to anthesis (DBA) and grain filling duration (GFD), i.e., days from anthesis to physiological maturity. The traits were determined in each plot considering growth stages (GS) 45, 65 and 87, respectively, of the Zadoks scale [33]. Crop dry weight (CDW g m$^{-2}$) at GS21 (beginning of tillering), GS33 (jointing), GS65 (anthesis) and GS87 (physiological maturity) was calculated for each sample as described by [34]. Plant height (PH, cm), and grain yield (GY, t ha$^{-1}$) and yield components: number of spikes per square metre (NSm$^{-2}$), number of grains per square metre (NGm$^{-2}$), grain weight (GW, mg) and harvest index (HI) were calculated as described by [5].

Genotyping

DNA isolation was performed from young leaf samples following the method of Doyle and Doyle [35] and sent to Diversity Arrays Technology (Canberra, Australia) (http://www.diversityarrays.com). The durum wheat Pstl/TaqI array v2.0, containing 7600 single DArT clones, was used for genotyping the panel of accessions. Loci were designed as ‘wPt’, ‘rPt’ and ‘tPt’, corresponding to wheat, rye and triticale clones, respectively. Polymorphic alleles were scored as 1 or 0 depending on the positive or negative hybridization.

    Eleven hundred and forty-nine markers were used to genotype the 172 wheat accessions. To reduce the risk of false positive marker-trait associations (MTAs), rare alleles (i.e., with frequencies lower than 0.05), accessions with more than 25% of missing values and markers with more than 20% of missing values, were excluded from the analysis.

    Markers were ordered according to the consensus map of durum wheat developed by Maccaferri et al. [36]. The consensus map presented several recombination gaps lacking polymorphic markers. Thus, chromosomes 1A, 2A and 3A were represented by two linkage groups. In order to locate markers not positioned by [36], the ‘Wheat consensus map version 3.0’ downloaded from http://www.diversityarrays.com/sequence-maps was used. Additionally,
DArT sequences were downloaded from [http://www.diversityarrays.com/dart-map-sequences](http://www.diversityarrays.com/dart-map-sequences) and blasted against the wheat genome sequence at [https://wheat-urgi.versailles.inra.fr/Seq-Repository/Assemblies](https://wheat-urgi.versailles.inra.fr/Seq-Repository/Assemblies). Only sequences from significant MTAs were blasted.

**Linkage disequilibrium**

Only markers with known map positions were used to perform linkage disequilibrium (LD) analysis with TASSEL 3.0 [37]. LD was estimated as the square of marker correlations ($r^2$). Two significance levels were chosen, moderate, $P<0.01$ and highly significant, $P<0.001$. The LD was calculated separately for loci on the same chromosome (intra-chromosomal pairs) and for unlinked loci (inter-chromosomal pairs). A critical $r^2$ value beyond which LD is most likely due to linkage was determined taking the 95th percentile of the root transformed $r^2$ values of unlinked markers [8]. The intra-chromosomal $r^2$-values were plotted against the genetic distance and a LOESS curve was fitted to the plot using Genstat v.18 software (VSN International), to determine at which distance the curve intercepts the line of the critical $r^2$ in order to estimate how fast the LD decay occurs.

**Association mapping**

Association mapping was carried out for the best linear unbiased estimates (BLUEs) using a mixed linear model accounting for genetic relatedness using the kinship matrix, the K model implemented in GenStat v.18 (VSN International). The significance of each marker trait association (MTA) was assessed with the Wald test, and results were expressed with the associated $P$-values on a $-\log_{10}$ scale.

Graphical representation of the genetic position of MTAs was carried out using MapChart 2.3 [38].

**QTL meta-analysis**

Four hundred and seventy-six QTLs were reported in the 26 published studies examined. A total number of 25 traits were studied: CDW$_{21}$, DSH, DSA, DSM, GD, GFD, GW, GWS, GY, HI, LG, MG, NDVI$_{gr}$, NDVI$_{sv}$, NFs, NFTm$^2$, NGm$^2$, NGS, NSP, NaS, NSm$^2$, NTP, PH, SG and SL.

For each study, the following information was collected: parents of the cross, type of cross, number of progenies, name of QTLs, trait, environment, LOD score, PVE (phenotypic variance explained) by each QTL, QTL position on the authors’ linkage map, flanking markers and QTL supporting interval (SI).

To compare the QTLs detected in different populations, original QTL data were projected onto the consensus map of durum wheat developed by [36]. QTLs were projected following the homothetic approach proposed by Chardon et al. [39]. The SI were defined as reported by [31] and estimated at 95% on the consensus map using the empirical formula proposed by [40] and [41]:

$$SI = \frac{163}{(N \times R^2)}$$ for recombinant inbred line (RIL).

$$SI = \frac{530}{(N \times R^2)}$$ for doubled haploid (DH), backcrosses (BC) and F$_2$ progenies.

Where $N$ is the size of the population and $R^2$ the proportion of variance explained by the QTL.

QTL meta-analysis was conducted following the approach of Goffinet and Gerber [19] and Veyrieras et al. [42] using BioMercator v4.2 [43, 44], available at [http://moulon.inra.fr/](http://moulon.inra.fr/). Additionally, MQTLs reported previously by [24] for yield components and [25] for phenology...
were projected onto the consensus map for further comparisons. Graphical representation of
the genetic position of MQTLs was carried out using MapChart 2.3 [38].

Results

DArT markers

In order to genotype the 172 durum wheat landraces, 1,149 DArT markers were selected from
the durum wheat PstI/TaqI array v2.0 due to their polymorphism. In order to reduce the risk
of false positives, markers and accessions were analysed for the presence of duplicated patterns
and missing values. Forty six markers with a duplicated scoring pattern in the accessions, five
markers with more than 20% missing values and 24 markers with rare alleles (i.e. frequency
lower than 5%) were excluded, as well as three accessions with more than 25% missing values.
The final genotype file comprised 169 durum wheat landraces, and 1,074 DArT markers.

Markers were ordered according to the consensus map of durum wheat developed by [36].
Eight hundred and forty four markers had a known position in the linkage map, 111 were
assigned to a linkage group but their position on it was unknown, and finally 119 were not
located in any linkage group. The DArT markers were distributed across the 7 homologous
chromosome groups. Chromosomes 1A, 2A and 3A presented two linkage groups. The map
covered a length of 2169.4 cM, ranging from 11.6 cM in chromosome 3A (LG1) to 232.7 cM in
chromosome 2B. The number of markers per chromosome ranged from 12 in chromosome
5A to 105 in chromosome 6B. As reported by [36] in the consensus map, the number of mark-
ers assigned to the A genome (327) was less than those assigned to the B genome (517), 39%
and 61% respectively.

Linkage disequilibrium analysis

Linkage disequilibrium was estimated for locus pairs in the same chromosome (intra-chromo-
somal loci) and for unlinked loci (inter-chromosomal loci). Using the 172 genotypes with 842
DArT markers with known positions, 606,650 possible pair-wise loci were observed. Of these
locus pairs, 15.1% and 7.1% showed significant linkage disequilibrium at \( P<0.01 \) and \( P<0.001 \),
respectively. There were 60 (5A) to 5355 (6B) possible locus pairs in the 17 linkage groups. The
percentage of locus pairs showing significant LD at \( P<0.01 \) ranged from 13.1% (2B) to 58.9%
(2A-LG2) and at \( P<0.001 \) from 6.8% to 41.2% in the same linkage groups.

The mean \( r^2 \) for unlinked loci was 0.02, whereas for intra-chromosomal loci ranged from
0.03 (2B, 7A) to 0.43 (1A-LG2). A critical value of \( r^2 > 0.28 \) was determined as the threshold for
LD due to physical linkage. The decay of LD varied in each chromosome as it is reported in S1
File. Markers were in LD in a range from less than 1cM (chromosomes 3A-LG1 and 5A) to ~
8cM (chromosomes 3A-LG2 and 3B).

Association mapping

Association mapping (AM) was performed with 1074 DArT markers. Results of AM are
reported in S2 File and Fig 1. Using a threshold of -\( \log_{10}(P) > 3 \) a total of 245 marker trait asso-
ciations (MTAs), involving 154 DArT markers, were detected for the 13 measured or calcu-
lated traits in six environments, North (N) and South (S) during 3 years (2007, 2008, 2009)
and calculated mean data across environments (NM and SM) [5]. The ‘Wheat consensus map
version 3.0’ downloaded from http://www.diversityarrays.com/sequence-maps and the BLAST
tool at https://wheat-urgi.versailles.inra.fr/Seq-Repository/Assemblies allowed us to identify
the linkage group and the physical position of 2 and 12 previously unmapped MTAs respec-
tively (S2 File).
The MTAs were located in all chromosomes with 66% of MTAs located on genome B and 31% on genome A, leaving 3% of MTAs unassigned to any chromosome. The highest number of MTAs identified were on chromosomes 2B and 6B (30) followed by chromosome 7B with 27 MTAs. On the contrary, the lowest number of MTAs were located on chromosomes 5A (4), 3A (5) and 7A (7).

Eighty-six MTAs corresponded with yield and associated traits (GW, NSm², NGm², HI), 70 to phenology development and 89 to biomass (PH, CDW) (Table 1). Taking into consideration the location latitude, 141 MTAs were detected in the north and 104 in the south. By years, the highest number of significant MTAs (70) were detected in 2007, followed by 2008 and 2009 with 52 and 51 MTAs, respectively. Using the mean data across years, a total of 72 MTAs were detected.

Out of the 154 markers, 69% were detected only in a single environment, and the remaining 31% in two or more environments, including mean data across years. One hundred and twenty-eight markers were associated with only one trait and the remaining 26 markers from two to six traits. A total of 31 MTAs (13%) involved unmapped markers.

Based on the category of the traits, 35% of MTAs detected in the north corresponded to yield and associated traits, 34% with crop phenology and 31% with biomass. In the south the biomass related traits represented the 43% of the MTAs, followed by yield (36%) and phenology development (21%).

Among the different regions showing MTAs, twelve of them contained the most stable MTAs, detected in at least three environments (Table 2). Five of these regions showed MTAs involved in yield and related traits, seven reported associations with biomass traits and 5 with phenology development. Chromosome 6B showed the highest number of chromosome

---

**Fig 1. Genetic map for significant MTAs.** Genetic position in the durum wheat consensus map from Maccaferri et al. [36] of significant MTAs in the north (blue) and south (red) Spanish locations together with the position of MQTLs reported in this study and by other authors [24, 25]. Numbers in parenthesis after MTAs represent the number of different MTAs for the trait. When a MQTL involved only one QTL, it is reported as the trait for that QTL. For the extended name of the traits, see the list of acronyms. 

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0178290.g001
regions with stable MTAs (3). The marker wPt-9859 located on chromosome 2B (10.2 cM) was detected in 12 MTAs, associated to six traits in four environments and mean data across years.

Chromosome 1A showed a stable MTA region for NGm\(^2\) and GW (42.9–43.9 cM) reported in north and south environments. On chromosome 2B the marker wPt-1140 (133.4 cM) showed effects for PH and DSB in north and south environments, respectively. On chromosome 3B a locus controlling PH and GW (181.6–184.4 cM) was detected in both environments.

### Table 1. Number of significant associated markers.

| Trait\(^a\) | N7 | N8 | N9 | NM | S7 | S8 | S9 | SM |
|------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| GY         | 4  | 1  | 2  | 4  | 4  | 1  | 0  | 0  |
| NGm\(^2\)  | 3  | 1  | 0  | 1  | 3  | 2  | 3  | 0  |
| TKW        | 3  | 8  | 2  | 7  | 3  | 0  | 1  | 6  |
| HI         | 1  | 1  | 0  | 5  | 0  | 1  | 0  | 6  |
| NSm\(^2\)  | 1  | 1  | 2  | 2  | 1  | 1  | 4  | 1  |
| Total yield| 12 | 12 | 6  | 19 | 11 | 5  | 8  | 13 |
| CDW\(_{21}\) | 6  | 0  | 1  | 2  | 2  | 0  | 2  | 2  |
| CDW\(_{33}\) | 1  | 1  | 0  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 0  | 2  |
| CDW\(_{65}\) | 0  | 2  | 1  | 3  | 3  | 1  | 6  | 1  |
| CDW\(_{87}\) | 3  | 0  | 1  | 0  | 0  | 1  | 5  | 0  |
| PH         | 5  | 9  | 3  | 5  | 5  | 4  | 4  | 5  |

Number of DArT markers associated with the assessed traits at each environment and in the calculated mean across them in north and south.

\(^{a}\)For the extended name see list of acronyms.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0178290.t001

### Table 2. Chromosome regions containing the most stable MTAs.

| Chromosome | Position (cM) | Trait\(^b\) | Environments\(^b\) | Effect\(^c\) |
|------------|--------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------|
| 1B         | 42.9–43.9    | NGm\(^2\), GW | N7, NM, S9      | +/-         |
| 2B         | 10.2         | GY, GW, DBA, GFD, PH, CDW\(_{65}\) | N7, N8, NM, S7, S9, SM | +/-         |
| 2B         | 133.4        | PH, DSB     | N7, N8, S9      | +           |
| 3B         | 181.6–184.4  | PH, GW      | N7, N8, S7, SM  | +/-         |
| 4B         | 15.7–16.3    | GY, GW      | N7, N8, NM      | -           |
| 4B         | 50.0         | PH          | N8, N9, NM      | +           |
| 5B         | 18.5–25.6    | GFD, DBA    | S9, N8, NM      | +/-         |
| 6A         | 26.6–28.0    | DSB, HI     | NM, S7, SM      | +/-         |
| 6B         | 5.1          | DSB         | N8, N9, NM      | -           |
| 6B         | 16.5–24.7    | CDW\(_{21}\), PH | N7, N8, S9, SM | +           |
| 6B         | 158          | CDW\(_{33}\), CDW\(_{65}\), PH | N8, S7, S8, SM | +/-         |
| 7B         | 152.2        | CDW\(_{65}\), PH | N7, N8, NM, S7, S8, S9, SM | -           |

\(^{b}\)For the extended name see list of acronyms.

\(^{a}\)N: north, S: south, followed by a figure indicating the harvesting year M: mean value across the 3 years.

\(^{c}\)Minor allele effect

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0178290.t002
reducing PH and increasing GW. Chromosome 4B harboured a locus with effects on yield and GW only significant in the north environment. A second locus on 4B located at 50.0 cM corresponding with the marker wPt-6209 was significant for PH in the north environment. A locus on chromosome 5B increased GFD and decreased DBA in north and south environments, respectively. On chromosome 6A a locus was detected for DSB and HI in the north and the south reducing the number of days from sowing to booting and increasing HI. Chromosome 6B reported the highest number of regions with stable MTAs (3). The first of these regions showed MTAs reducing DSB in the north. The second region was involved in biomass traits, producing a gain in both CDW at tillering and PH. The last region was also involved in biomass traits, increasing CDW at anthesis and reducing PH. Finally, on chromosome 7B another region controlling biomass traits was detected in 3 and 4 environments in the north and the south, respectively. Some of these regions showed other MTAs, but present in less than three environments, thus they were not considered stable for the corresponding traits.

Although most regions reported MTAs belonging to north and south locations, regions with MTAs specific for each one of them were found (Figs 1 and 2). These regions were considered if they included at least 3 MTAs belonging to the same trait category, yield, biomass or phenology. Six regions were found to be specific from north environment. In four of these regions associations were found corresponding with phenological development, two for yield and one for biomass. In the south no specific regions were found for phenology, and only two were detected, one for yield and one for biomass traits.

**QTL meta-analysis**

This survey collected data from 24 studies reporting QTLs for wheat yield and yield components, biomass related traits and phenology, published from 2008 to 2015 (Table 3). The studies covered 23 different experimental crosses involving 44 parental lines and 4438 progenies. The traits were evaluated in a total of 117 environments and 477 QTLs were subjected for QTL projection onto the durum wheat consensus map developed by Maccaferri et al. [36].

One hundred and ninety three QTLs (40%) were found in the A genome and 284 (60%) in the B genome. Chromosome 4B was the chromosome with the highest number of QTLs (103), whereas chromosome 1A was the one with the lowest (11) (Fig 3A). The number of QTLs per
trait ranged from one for biomass, GFD and HI to 137 for GW. Grain weight traits (GWS, GW) included a total of 170 QTLs (36%) (Fig 3B). The distribution between the number of QTLs and size of the SI (Fig 3C) ranged from 1.9 to 51.9 cM with an average of 14.5 cM. Thirty-eight per- cent of the QTLs had a SI less than 10 cM and 82% of the QTLs less than 20 cM. The PVE explained by single QTL followed an L-shape distribution, with the majority of the QTLs (92%) showing a PVE < 0.2 (Fig 3D). PVE ranged from 0.03 to 0.68 with an average of 0.11.

The 477 QTLs projected onto the durum wheat consensus map were subjected to meta- analysis using the functions of Goffinet and Gerber [19] when the number of QTLs in a chromosome was lower than ten and those of Veyrieras [42] when the number was 10 or more. Following an AIC criterion, 409 QTLs were grouped into 71 MQTLs (S3 File). Thirteen QTLs remained as single QTLs clearly defined as not overlapping with other MQTLs and finally, 55 QTL SIs overlapped with different MQTLs and were not included in any of them based on the membership coefficient given by the software and if the peak of the QTL was located out of the MQTL SI.

The number of clustered QTLs ranged from two in 16 MQTLs on different chromosomes to 29 in MQTL40 on chromosome 4B, involving eight traits. The SI reported for the MQTLs

Table 3. Summary of QTL studies included in the meta-analysis.

| Cross                   | Progeny| Size | Traits          | Environments | QTLs | Reference |
|-------------------------|--------|------|-----------------|--------------|------|-----------|
| Louise x Penawawa       | RIL    | 188  | PH              | 5            | 7    | [45]      |
| CDC Teal x CDC Go       | RIL    | 187  | DSA, DSH, DSM, FTm² | 4            | 15   | [46]      |
| Wimai 8 x Jimai 20 (WJ) | RIL    | 175  | NGS, GWS, NSP, GW| 4            | 23   | [47]      |
| Wimai 8 x Yannian 19 (WY)| RIL   | 172  | NGS, GW         | 4            | 19   | [47]      |
| Wimai 8 x Louhan 2 (WL) | RIL    | 179  | NGS, NSP, GW    | 3            | 19   | [47]      |
| 05210 x Laizhou953      | F2     | 166  | NGS, NSP        | 1            | 2    | [48]      |
| Kofa x Svevo            | RIL    | 249  | FTm², NGS, NDVI, NGm² | 1            | 17   | [49]      |
| Nanda2419 x Wangshuibai | RIL    | 130  | GW, NGS, PH, NSP, NTP | 4            | 34   | [50]      |
| AC Barrie x Cutler      | RIL    | 177  | DSA, DSM        | 5            | 9    | [51]      |
| Keumkang x Olgeoru      | DH     | 122  | GY, NGS, NSm², GW| 1            | 6    | [52]      |
| CNN x CNN (WI 3A)       | RIL    | 223  | DSA, GY, NGS, NSm², PH, GW | 6            | 25   | [53]      |
| Nanda 2419 x Wangshuibai| RIL    | 230  | DSA             | 4            | 8    | [54]      |
| ND3331 x Zang1871       | RIL    | 217  | NGS, GWS, NSP, PH, GW | 9            | 66   | [55]      |
| Lang x CSCR6            | RIL    | 82   | NGS, PH, GW     | 1            | 3    | [56]      |
| Kofa x Svevo            | RIL    | 249  | DSH             | 1            | 3    | [57]      |
| Halberg x Karl92        | RIL    | 118  | CDW₂₁, DSH, DSM, GFD, GY, NGS, NSm², GW | 1            | 14   | [58]      |
| Rye selection 111 x Chinese spring | RIL | 92   | GW              | 1            | 6    | [59]      |
| HTRI 11712 x HTRI 105   | F2:3   | 133  | GW              | 2            | 10   | [60]      |
| Kitanonari x Ldn/KU-2097| F2    | 132  | DSA, DSH, DSM   | 1            | 3    | [61]      |
| PDW 233 x Bhalegaon 4   | RIL    | 140  | GY, NGS, GWS, GW | 5            | 18   | [62]      |
| Rye selection 111 x Chinese spring | RIL | 185  | GW              | 8            | 17   | [63]      |
| Seri M82 x Babax        | RIL    | 167  | DSA, DSM, GY, NGm², NDVI₂, NDVIᵥ, PH, GW | 1            | 11   | [64]      |
| Chuanmai 42 x Chuannong 16 (F8) | RIL | 127  | GY, NGS, GWS, NGm², NSm², GW | 7            | 35   | [65]      |
| MN98550 x MN99394       | RIL    | 139  | DSH, GY, LG, MG, PH, GD, GW, SG | 4            | 41   | [66]      |
| Line 3228 x Jing 4893   | F2:3   | 237  | Nfs, NGS, SL, NsS, GW | 3            | 24   | [67]      |
| Arche x Récitai         | DH     | 222  | NGm², GW       | 31           | 42   | [68]      |

aRIL: recombinant inbred line; DH: double haploid.
bFor the extended name see list of acronyms.
cNumber of QTLs subjected for projection onto the durum wheat consensus map.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0178290.t003
ranged from 0.37 to 22.67 cM with an average of 5.44 cM. This means a reduction of more than 50% from those observed in the initial QTLs. The number of traits involved in each MQTL ranged from one in 14 MQTLs to eight in MQTL40 (S3 File).

Meta-QTLs for phenology and yield and components reported in previous studies [24, 25] were also projected onto the consensus map developed by Maccaferri [36] in order to find common regions with our analysis. Only MQTLs flanked by common markers among the original maps and [36] were projected (S4 File). Based on overlapping MQTL SIs, three MQTLs were found in common locations with those reported by [25] on chromosomes 2B, 5B and 7B, and 12 were in common with those reported by [24] located on chromosomes 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 4B, 5A, 6A and 7A (Fig 1, S3 and S4 Files).

**Discussion**

**Linkage disequilibrium in Mediterranean landraces**

It is well known that population structure influences linkage disequilibrium due to the presence of population stratification and an unequal distribution of alleles within groups, which can result in spurious associations [69]. The durum wheat Mediterranean landraces used in the present study showed a strong structural pattern [5], showing an eastern-western geographical pattern in agreement with dispersal of wheat across the Mediterranean basin [70, 71]. The mean $r^2$ calculated for both inter- and intra-chromosomal loci was 0.02 and the extent of LD was estimated to be up to ca. 8 cM. These results were similar to the average of those reported by other authors using different types of variety panels. Maccaferri et al. [72], using a durum wheat panel representative of the major gene pools around the world and a set of 58 SSR markers, found high LD for inter-marker distance to be lower than 20 cM. Although a substantial reduction of spurious associations was obtained due to population structure, the authors concluded that the small number of accessions in each one of the subpopulations could lead a reduction in the power of the LD test. More recently, the same authors, using more SSR markers (179) in a collection of elite durum wheat accessions from the Mediterranean countries, South-

![Fig 3. Parameters estimated in QTL studies collected for meta-analysis. Number of QTLs per A) chromosome, B) trait, C) supporting interval (cM) and D) phenotypic variance explained.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0178290.g003)
Western USA and Mexico, found 27.8% of the loci in LD at $P<0.01$ and 15.1% at $P<0.001$, with a decay of the LD up to 10 cM, suggesting that for an adequate genome coverage, thousands of markers would be needed [6]. In a further study, [36] using the same panel of durum wheat genotypes but including a total of 2575 markers (SSR and DArT), reduced the extent of LD to 0 to 5 cM. In particular, the elite Mediterranean cultivars showed a LD decay within 2.20 cM. Somers et al. [73] found that 47.9% and 14.0% of locus comparisons showed significant LD at $P>0.001$ for bread and durum wheat, respectively, and LD decay over a distance between 0.5 and 5 cM for both wheat species. The collections studied showed a very high number of loci with rare alleles and, as reported by [74], the calculation of LD using $r^2$ is dependent on allele frequency. The lower number of locus pairs in LD found in the durum collection was attributed by the authors to the diverse geographic origins and independent breeding programs for the durum entries. Laidò et al. [9], using a panel of 128 durum wheat accessions representing the Italian breeding programs over the last 100 years and 102 wild and domesticated accessions, found an average $r^2$ for the whole collection of 0.02 and 22.7% of the markers in LD at $P<0.01$ with an extent of LD less than 14 cM, whereas LD decay for the durum sub-sample was estimated for a distance up to 18 cM. The pattern of LD is extremely population-dependent and related to the process of domestication. The authors explained the higher level of LD found in the durum subsample compared to the wild and domesticated accessions by the different levels of historical recombinations in the two samples. Hu et al. [13] with a panel of durum accessions of a worldwide origin observed that LD decay varied between chromosomes.

Similar results were reported by [13] and previously by [75] for chromosome 4B, being the second one with the lowest LD. The low LD found on 4B was explained by these authors as due to the selection for genes located in this chromosome. The use of a well-structured collection of accessions and the use of a large number of markers have led to a low percentage of locus pairs showing LD (15.1% at $P<0.01$ and 7.1% at $P<0.001$) and LD decay below 8 cM. The LD observed in our study also varies between chromosomes, and this will affect the resolution for association mapping.

**Marker trait associations**

This study represents the first attempt to dissect the genetic basis of complex traits in a collection of Mediterranean durum wheat landraces. The collection was grown under the warm and dry conditions that characterize the western area of the Mediterranean basin [34]. A total of 245 associations between 1,072 markers and 13 traits were detected during three years in two locations of contrasting latitude in Spain. According to [9] MTAs located within map intervals of 5–10 cM are to be considered as belonging to the same QTL. Thus, in the current study, 53 regions across the durum wheat genome represent candidate QTLs. Twelve of these regions represented stable MTAs with reproducible associations in at least three environments.

Yield is the most important and genetically complex trait in wheat, being controlled by a large number of small effect QTLs and its dissection into components helps to identify genomic regions involved in yield formation. This complexity is revealed by the presence of MTAs for yield and components in all chromosome groups. In terms of stability across environments, only one region on chromosome 4B was detected for grain yield and two for grain weight (3B, 4B).

Grain number and grain weight are the main components affecting grain yield, and are usually negatively correlated [76]. As reported by [34] and [5], landraces from the East of the Mediterranean basin had a higher number of grains than those from the western area, with the opposite results for grain weight. Thus, detecting significant MTAs with a positive effect for both traits is challenging for the objective of increasing grain weight without reducing grain
number. In our study, all but one of the MTAs for these traits were independent from each other. Only chromosome 1B MTAs for both traits were closely linked and as expected with opposite effects, positive for grain weight and negative for grain number.

Three loci for heading date on chromosomes 3B and 6B, reported by [36] were also identified in the current study for DBA and DSB, with one in 6B corresponding with a stable MTA region. On chromosome 2B, two MTAs for GFD and one for DSB were located close to \textit{Ppd-B1} gene as reported by [25]. Additionally, genetic control of vernalization and earliness per se was reported in group 3 of chromosomes by [77].

Markers for PH were detected in chromosomes 1B, 2A, 2B, 4A, 4B, 5A, 6B and 7B. The locus on chromosome 2B may correspond with \textit{Rht4} [78], whereas the stable MTAs on 4B and 7B with \textit{Rht-B1} [79] and \textit{Rht13} [80], respectively. MTAs for grain number and yield were located at the same locus on 7B suggesting that plant height genes could have pleiotropic effects on agronomic performance, as reported previously [80–82]. Biomass traits have not been studied in depth as they have been negatively selected during the breeding process to increase grain yield in elite cultivars. Biomass traits are generally undesirable, as they are inversely related to yield in determining the harvest index [83]. MTAs for biomass (CDW) were found widely distributed along the genome. Only two stable MTAs were found on chromosomes 6B and 7B.

**QTL meta-analysis**

From a breeding perspective, QTL meta-analysis could gain power and precision if raw genotypic and phenotypic data from published QTL experiments are available [84]. As described by [85] this approach will help breeders and scientists to prioritize the selection of loci for breeding programs and for QTL cloning.

Several studies have identified QTLs controlling traits related to wheat yield and phenology development (for references see: [24, 25] and studies analysed in this study). QTL meta-analysis helps to integrate the QTL information available in order to determine regions of the genome that are frequently involved in trait variation and to narrow down the SI of QTLs. The results of QTL meta-analysis are strongly dependent on the precision of the initial QTL mapping, SI and projection quality [19]. In the current study, we only used QTLs fulfilling the requirements for QTL projection following the homothetic approach of Chardon et al. [39] and the BioMercator v4.2 software. QTL data from 26 independent studies were collected. Then, a QTL meta-analysis approach was carried out to detect genomic regions involved in yield, phenology and biomass traits previously identified.

QTL meta-analysis performed in this study revealed the presence of 84 (71 MQTL + 13 singletons) genomic regions involved in yield, yield components, and phenological development. Meta-analysis allowed for a remarkable simplification of the QTL regions, since the number was 6-fold fewer than the initial number of QTLs. MQTL positions reported in this study have some congruency with other results recently published. Three MQTLs were shared with [25] and 12 with [24] after projection of MQTL of those authors on the consensus map [36]. QTL data compilation showed that all wheat homologous groups of chromosomes are involved in the genetic control of yield, its related traits and biomass, whereas group 6 chromosomes lacked phenology QTLs.

Chromosomes 1B, 2B, 3A, 3B, 4A, 5A, 5B, 7A and 7B reported MQTLs for phenology traits. No MQTLs were detected on chromosome 2A where the photoperiod sensitivity gene \textit{Ppd-A1} is located. On 2B two MQTLs were found, but their position did not correspond with \textit{Ppd-B1}. These observations would suggest that there is little or no variation for \textit{Ppd} genes in this collection of genotypes. Three MQTLs were detected on chromosome 5A from 34 to 104cM, from
them MQTL44 and 45 could be related to a photoperiod sensitivity QTL reported by [86] and the vernalization gene Vrn-A1, respectively. Chromosome 5B presented three MQTLs in a position from 54 to 100cM. In this case MQTL50 could be related to Vrn-B1, whereas MQTL48 could be associated with intrinsic earliness or earliness per se (eps) QTL detected by [86]. Chromosomes 4A and 7B reported four and five MQTLs, respectively in the locations 60cM (4B) and 70cM (7B) suggesting important new regions controlling earliness. In addition, several QTLs were identified on chromosomes 1B, 3A, 3B, and 7A suggesting other regions with minor effects on earliness.

Grain yield can be partitioned into three major components: number of spikes per unit area, number of grains per spike and grain weight. These yield components are sequentially formed and are affected by other traits such as plant height, crop phenology and biomass. Together these traits make up grain yield, a complex trait controlled by multiple loci. However, some of these components are more stably inherited, such as grain weight [4, 87]. Candidate genes for grain weight have been identified in bread wheat in recent years. The sucrose synthase gene TaSus2, an ortholog of the maize gene SuSy [88], was isolated and mapped in wheat by [89]. The gene was mapped on chromosome 2B in a region where two MQTLs (MQTL15 and 17) were found in our study including QTLs for grain weight. The cell wall invertase (CWI) is a critical enzyme for sink tissue development and carbon partition, and has a high association with grain weight [90]. These authors characterized the full-length genomic DNA sequence of a CWI gene located on wheat chromosome 2A, designated TaCwi-A1. MQTL11, including QTLs for TKW, is located in the vicinity of this gene. Rustgi et al. [91], from a search of candidate genes in rice, determined the location of two orthologous sequences underlying yield QTLs on chromosome 3A of wheat, CKX2 and GID2-like. Location of these two genes may correspond with MQTL22, 23 and 24 but only the last two were associated with QTL involved in grain yield, grain weight and spikes per square meter. In rice, OsGW2 encodes a RING-type E3 ubiquitin ligase and functions as a negative regulator of grain width and weight [92]. More recently, Simmonds et al. [93] positioned the wheat orthologous TaGW2 on chromosome 6A. Opposite results found by other authors, i.e. a positive regulation of grain size [94] and a negative regulation [95], did not allow to conclude the exact effect of the gene on grain size and weight. In the present study MQTL54 and 55 were located within the QTL region reported by [93]. In rice, the TGW6 gene determines grain weight and encodes a protein with indole-3-acetic acid (IAA)-glucose hydrolase activity [96]. Its ortholog in wheat, TaTGW6, is considered as a candidate gene related to grain development [97]. The gene was located on chromosome 4A, but no MQTLs for grain weight were found at that location in this study indicating that there is little or no variation for that gene in this collection of genotypes.

The meta-QTL analysis allowed us the identification of previously detected genomic regions harbouring QTLs for yield, phenology and biomass in durum wheat. In combination with the association mapping study reported in this work, they allowed us to dissect the genetic architecture of important traits for durum wheat breeding in Mediterranean landraces. Future studies using marker sequence and the recently updated wheat genome sequence assembly will be useful for searching and identifying putative candidate genes controlling the analysed traits.

Supporting information

S1 File. Linkage disequilibrium decay. Plots for LD decay in each one of the linkage groups. (TIF)

S2 File. Marker trait associations. Significant marker trait associations (MTAs) at $-\log_{10}(P) > 3$. Chr: chromosome. Position in the chromosome is expressed in cM (except for DArTs
marked with ´ that is reported in Mb). NA: not assigned to any chromosome. U: unmapped. N: north. S: south, followed by a figure indicating the harvesting year M: mean value across the 3 years.

S3 File. Summary of MQTL information. It is reported the chromosome, the meta-QTL, its position in the consensus map [36] with a 95% of supporting interval (SI), the number of QTLs belonging to the MQTL, and finally the different traits associated to the MQTL.

S4 File. Chromosome position of previously mapped MQTLs. MQTLs from previous studies [4, 25] have been projected in the consensus map [36] for comparison with MTAs and MQTL reported in the present work.

Acknowledgments
This research was funded by the projects AGL-2006-09226-C02-01 (CR) and AGL2015-65351-R (JMS) from the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness (http://www.mineco.gob.es) and CERCA Programme / Generalitat de Catalunya (http://cerca.cat/). JMS was hired by the INIA-CCAA program funded by Instituto Nacional de Investigación y Tecnología Agraria y Alimentaria and Generalitat de Catalunya. Thanks are given to Dolors Villegas and Luis F. García del Moral for their contribution to phenotyping and to Dr. Fred van Eeuwijk from WUR.

Author Contributions
Conceptualization: JMS CR MES.
Data curation: JMS MM MR CR.
Formal analysis: JMS MM MR MES CR.
Funding acquisition: JMS CR.
Investigation: JMS MM MR MES CR.
Methodology: JMS MM CR.
Project administration: CR.
Resources: JMS CR.
Software: JMS MM.
Supervision: CR.
Validation: JMS CR.
Visualization: JMS CR.
Writing – original draft: JMS.
Writing – review & editing: JMS MM MES CR.

References
1. Feldman M. Origin of cultivated wheat. In Bonjean AP, Angus WJ, eds. The world wheat book: a history of wheat breeding. Paris: Intercept. Lavoisier Publishing. 2001; pp 3–56.
2. Nazco R, Villegas D, Ammar K, Peña RJ, Moragues M, Royo C. Can Mediterranean durum wheat landraces contribute to improved grain quality attributes in modern cultivars? Euphytica. 2012; 185: 1–17.

3. Lopes MS, El-Basyoni I, Baenziger S, Singh S, Royo C, Ozbek K, et al. Exploiting genetic diversity from landraces in wheat breeding for adaptation to climate change. Journal of Experimental Botany. 2015a; 66: 3477–3486.

4. Subira J, Álvaro F, García del Moral LF, Royo C. Breeding effects on the cultivar x environment interaction of durum wheat yield. European Journal of Agronomy. 2015; 68: 78–88.

5. Soriano JM, Villegas D, Aranzana MJ, García del Moral LF, Royo C. Genetic structure of modern durum wheat cultivars and Mediterranean landraces matches with their agronomic performance. PLoS ONE. 2016; 11(8): e0160983. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0160983 PMID: 27513751

6. Maccaferri M, Sanguineti MC, Demontis A, El-Ahmed A, García del Moral L, Maalouf F, et al. Association mapping in durum wheat grown across a broad range of water regimes. Journal of Experimental Botany. 2011; 62: 409–438. https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erq287 PMID: 21041372

7. Flint-García SA, Thornsberry JM, Buckler ES. Structure of linkage disequilibrium in plants. Annual Review of Plant Biology. 2003; 54: 357–374. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.arplant.54.031902.134907 PMID: 14502995

8. Breseghello F, Sorrells ME. Association mapping of kernel size and milling quality in wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) cultivars. Genetics. 2006; 172: 1165–1177. https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.105.044586 PMID: 16079235

9. Laidò G, Marone D, Russo MA, Colecchia SA, Mastrangelo AM, De Vita P, et al. Linkage disequilibrium and genome-wide association mapping in tetraploid wheat (Triticum turgidum L.). PLoS ONE. 2014; 9 (4): e95211. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0095211 PMID: 24759998

10. Sukumaran S, Dreisigacker S, Lopes M, Chavez P, Reynolds MP. Genome wide association study for grain yield and related traits in an elite spring wheat population grown in temperate irrigated environments. Theoretical and Applied Genetics. 2015; 128: 353–63. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-014-2435-3 PMID: 25490985

11. Ain Q, Rasheed A, Anwar A, Mahmood T, Imitiaz M, Mahmood T, et al. Genome-wide association for grain yield under rainfed conditions in historical wheat cultivars from Pakistan. Frontiers in Plant Science. 2012; 6: 743.

12. Bellucci A, Torp AM, Bruun S, Magid J, Andersen SB, Rasmussen SK. Association mapping in Scandanavian winter wheat for yield, plant height, and traits important for second-generation bioethanol production. Frontiers in Plant Science. 2015; 6: 1046. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2015.01046 PMID: 26635859

13. Hu X, Ren J, Ren X, Huang S, Sabiel SAI, Luo M, et al. Association of agronomic traits with SNP markers in durum wheat (Triticum turgidum L. durum (Desf.)). PLoS ONE. 2015; 10(6):e0130854. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0130854 PMID: 26104243

14. Lopes MS, Dreisigacker S, Peña RJ, Sukumaran S, Reynolds MP. Genetic characterization of the wheat association mapping initiative (WAMI) panel for dissection of complex traits in spring wheat. Theoretical and Applied Genetics. 2015b; 128: 453–464.

15. Tadesse W, Ogbonnaya FC, Jighly A, Sanchez-Garcia M, Sohail Q, Rajaram S, et al. Genome-wide association mapping of yield and grain quality traits in winter wheat genotypes. PLoS ONE. 2015; 10 (10): e141339.

16. Reif JC, Gowda M, Maureu HP, Longin CFH, Korzum V, Ehmeyer E, et al. Association mapping for quality traits in soft winter wheat. Theor Appl Genet. 2011; 122: 961–970. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-010-1502-7 PMID: 21153626

17. Cané MA, Maccaferri M, Nazerni G, Salvi S, Francia R, Colalongo C, et al. Association mapping for root architectural traits in durum wheat seedlings as related to agronomic performance. Molecular Breeding. 2014; 34: 1629–1645. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11032-014-0177-1 PMID: 25506257

18. Maccaferri M, Zhang J, Bulli P, Abate Z, Chao S, Cantiù D, et al. A genome-wide association study of resistance to stripe rust (Puccinia striiformis f. sp. tritici) in a worldwide collection of hexaploid spring wheat (Triticum aestivum L.). Genes Genomes Genetics. 2015; 5: 449–465. https://doi.org/10.1534/g3.114.014563 PMID: 25609748

19. Goffinet B, Gerber S. Quantitative trait loci: a meta-analysis. Genetics. 2000; 155: 463–473. PMID: 10790417

20. Tyagi S, Balyan HS, Gupta PK. Interval mapping and meta-QTL analysis of grain traits in common wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) Euphytica. 2015; 201: 367–380.

21. Griffiths S, Simmonds J, Leverington M, Wang Y, Fish L, Sayers L, et al. Meta-QTL analysis of the genetic control of crop height in elite European winter wheat germplasm. Molecular Breeding. 2012; 29: 159–171.
22. Tyagi S, Gupta PK. Meta-analysis of QTLs involved in pre-harvest sprouting tolerance and dormancy in bread wheat. Triticeae Genomics Genet. 2012; 3: 9–24.

23. Quraishi UM, Murat F, Abrouk M, Pont C, Confolent C, Oury FX, et al. Combined metagenomics analyses unravel candidate genes for the grain dietary fiber content in bread wheat (Triticum aestivum L.). Functional and Integrative Genomics. 2011 11:71–83. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10142-010-0183-2 PMID: 2097765

24. Zhang LY, Liu DC, Guo XL, Yang WL, Sun JZ, Wang DW, et al. Genomic distribution of quantitative trait loci for yield and yield-related traits in common wheat. Journal of Integrative Plant Biology. 2010; 52: 996–1007. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-7909.2010.00967.x PMID: 20977657

25. Hanocq E, Laperche A, Jaminon O, Laine AL, Le Gouis J. Most significant genome regions involved in the control of earliness traits in bread wheat, as revealed by QTL meta-analysis. Theoretical and Applied Genetics. 2007; 114: 569–584. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-006-0459-z PMID: 17171391

26. Goudemand E, Laurent V, Duchalais L, Ghaffary SM, Kema, Lonnet P, et al. Association mapping and meta-analysis: two complementary approaches for the detection of reliable Septoria tritici blotch quantitative resistance in bread wheat (Triticum aestivum L.). Molecular Breeding. 2013; 32: 563–584.

27. Marone D, Russo MA, Laidò G, De Vita P, Papa R, Blanco A, et al. Genetic basis of qualitative and quantitative resistance to powdery mildew in wheat: from consensus regions to candidate genes. BMC Genomics. 2013; 14: 562. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-14-562 PMID: 23957646

28. Liu S, Hall MD, Griffey CA, McKendry AL. Meta-analysis of QTL associated with Fusarium head blight resistance in wheat. Crop Science. 2009; 49: 1955–1968.

29. Löffler M, Schoen CC, Miedaner T. Revealing the genetic architecture of FHB resistance in hexaploid wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) by QTL meta-analysis. Molecular Breeding. 2009; 23: 473–488.

30. Mao S-L, Wei Y-M, Cao W, Lan XJ, Yu M, Chen ZM, et al. Confirmation of the relationship between plant height and Fusarium head blight resistance in wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) by QTL meta-analysis. Euphytica. 2010; 174: 343–356.

31. Soriano JM, Royo C. Dissecting the genetic architecture of leaf rust resistance in wheat by QTL meta-analysis. Phytopathology. 2015; 105: 1585–1593. https://doi.org/10.1094/PHYTO-05-15-0130-R PMID: 26571424

32. Yu LX, Barbier H, Rouse MN, Singh S, Singh RP, Bhavani S, et al. A consensus map for Ug99 stem rust resistance loci in wheat. Theoretical and Applied Genetics. 2014; 127(7): 1561–1581. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-014-2326-7 PMID: 24903979

33. Zadoks J, Chang T, Konzak C. A decimal code for the growth stages of cereals. Weed Research. 1974; 14: 415–421.

34. Royo C, Nazco R, Villegas D. The climate of the zone of origin of Mediterranean durum wheat (Triticum durum Desf.) landraces affects their agronomic performance. Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution. 2014; 61: 1345–1358.

35. Doyle JJ, Doyle JL. A rapid DNA isolation procedure for small quantities of fresh leaf tissue. Phytochemical Bulletin. 1987; 19: 11–15.

36. Maccfarerri M, Canè MA, Sanguinetti MC, Salvi S, Colalongo MC, Massi A, et al. A consensus framework map of durum wheat (Triticum durum Desf.) suitable for linkage disequilibrium analysis and genome-wide association mapping. BMC Genomics. 2014; 15: 873. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-15-873 PMID: 25293821

37. Bradford P J, Zhang Z, Kroon DE, Casstevens TM, Ramdoss Y, Buckler ES. TASSEL: software for association mapping of complex traits in diverse samples. Bioinformatics. 2007; 23: 2633–2635. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btm308 PMID: 17586829

38. Voorrips RE. MapChart: Software for the graphical presentation of linkage maps and QTLs. The Journal of Heredity. 2002; 93: 77–78. PMID: 12011185

39. Chardon F, Virlon B, Moreau L, Falque M, Joets J, Decousset L, et al. Genetic architecture of flowering time in maize as inferred from quantitative trait loci meta-analysis and synteny conservation with the rice genome. Genetics. 2004; 168: 2169–2185. https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.104.032375 PMID: 15611184

40. Darvasi A, Soler M. A simple method to calculate resolving power and confidence interval of QTL map location. Behaviour Genetics. 2007; 27: 125–132.

41. Guo B, Sleper DA, Lu P, Shannon JG, Nguyen HT, Arelli PR. QTLs associated with resistance to soybean cyst nematode in soybean: meta-analysis of QTL locations. Crop Science. 2006; 46: 595–602.

42. Veyrieras JB, Goffinet B, Charcosset A. MetaQTL: a package of new computational methods for the meta-analysis of QTL mapping experiments. BMC bioinformatics. 2007; 8: 49. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-8-49 PMID: 17288608
43. Arcade A, Labourdette A, Falque M, Mangin B, Chardon F, Charcosset A, et al. BioMercator: integrating genetic maps and QTL towards discovery of candidate genes. Bioinformatics. 2004; 20: 2324–2326. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bth230 PMID: 15059820

44. Sosnowski O, Charcosset A, Joets J. BioMercator V3: an upgrade of genetic map compilation and quantitative trait loci meta-analysis algorithms. Bioinformatics. 2012; 28: 2082–2083. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts313 PMID: 22661647

45. Carter AH, Garland-Campbell K, Kidwell KK. Genetic mapping of quantitative trait loci associated with important agronomic traits in the spring wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) cross 'Louise' × 'Penawawa'. Crop Science. 2011; 51: 84–95.

46. Chen H, Iqbal M, Perez-Lara E, Yang RC, Ponziaik C, Spaner D. Earliness per se quantitative trait loci and their interaction with Vrn-B1 locus in a spring wheat population. Molecular Breeding. 2015; 35: 182.

47. Cui F, Zhao C, Ding A, Li J, Wang L, Li X, et al. Construction of an integrative linkage map and QTL mapping of grain yield related traits using three related wheat RIL populations. Theoretical and Applied Genetics. 2014; 127: 659–675. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-013-2249-8 PMID: 24326459

48. Deng S, Wu X, Wu Y, Zhou R, Wang H, Jia J, et al. Characterization and precise mapping of a QTL increasing spike number with pleiotropic effects in wheat. Theoretical and Applied Genetics. 2011; 122: 281–289. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-010-1443-1 PMID: 20872211

49. Graziani M, Maccaffarini M, Rocio C, Salvatorelli F, Tuberosa R. QTL dissection of yield components and morpho-physiological traits in a durum wheat elite population tested in contrasting thermo-pluviometric conditions. Crop & Pasture Science. 2014; 65: 80–95.

50. Jia H, Wan H, Yang S, Zhang Z, Kong Z, Xue S, et al. Genetic dissection of yield-related traits in a recombinant inbred line population created using a key breeding parent in China’s wheat breeding. Theoretical and Applied Genetics. 2013; 126: 2123–2139. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-013-2249-8 PMID: 23689745

51. Kamran A, Iqbal M, Navabi A, Randhawa H, Pozniak C, Spaner D. Earliness per se quantitative trait loci and their interaction with the photoperiod insensitive allele Ppd-D1a in the Cutler 3 AC Barrie spring wheat population. Theor Appl Genet. 2013; 126: 1965–1976. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-013-2110-0 PMID: 23649650

52. Lee HS, Jung JU, Kang CS, Heo HY, Park CS. Mapping of QTL for yield and its related traits in a doubled haploid population of Korean wheat. Plant Biotechnology Reports. 2014; 8: 443–454.

53. Liakat-Ali M, Baenziger PS, Al Ajlouni Z, Campbell BT, Gill KS, Eskridge K, et al. Mapping QTL for agronomic traits on wheat chromosome 3A and a comparison of recombinant inbred chromosome line populations. 2011; Crop Science. 51: 553–566.

54. Lin F Xue SL, Tian DG, Li CJ, Cao Y, Zhang ZZ, et al. Mapping chromosomal regions affecting flowering time in a spring wheat RIL population. Euphytica. 2008; 164: 769–777.

55. Liu G, Jia L, Lu L, Qin D, Zhang J, Guan P, et al. Mapping QTLs of yield-related traits using RIL population derived from common wheat and Tibetan semi-wild wheat. Theoretical and Applied Genetics. 2014; 127: 2415–2432. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-014-2387-7 PMID: 25208643

56. Ma D, Yan J, He Z, Wu L, Xia X. Characterization of a cell wall invertase gene TaCwi-A1 on common wheat chromosome 2A and development of functional markers. Molecular Breeding. 2012; 29: 43–52.

57. Maccaffarini M, Sanguineti MC, Corneti S, Araus JL, Salem MB, Bort J, et al. Quantitative trait loci for grain yield and adaptation of durum wheat (Triticum durum Desf.) Across a wide range of water availability. Genetics. 2008; 178: 489–511. https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.107.077297 PMID: 18202390

58. Mason RE, Hays DB, Mondal S, Ibrahim AMH, Basnet BR. QTL for yield, yield components and canopy temperature depression in wheat under late sown field conditions. Euphytica. 2013; 194: 243–259.

59. Mir RR, Kumar N, Jaiswal V, Girdharwal N, Prasad M, Balyan HS, et al. Genetic dissection of grain weight in bread wheat through quantitative trait locus interval and association mapping. Molecular Breeding, 2012; 29: 963–972.

60. Nezhad KZ, Weber WE, Röder MS, Sharma S, Lohwasser U, Meyer C et al. QTL analysis for thousand-grain weight under terminal drought stress in bread wheat (Triticum aestivum L.). Euphytica. 2012; 186: 127–138.

61. Nguyen AT, Nishijima R, Kajimura T, Murai K, Takumi S. Quantitative trait locus analysis for flowering-related traits using two F2 populations derived from crosses between Japanese common wheat cultivars and synthetic hexaploids. Genes and Genetic SystEms. 2015; 90: 89–98. https://doi.org/10.1266/ggs.90.89 PMID: 26399768

62. Pattin RM, Tamhankar SA, Oak MD, Raut AL, Honrao BK, Rao VS et al. Mapping of QTL for agronomic traits and kernel characters in durum wheat (Triticum durum Desf.). Euphytica. 2013; 190: 117–129.

63. Ramya P, Chauabal A, Kulkarni K, Gupta L, Kadoo N. QTL mapping of 1000-kernel weight, kernel length, and kernel width in bread wheat (Triticum aestivum L.). Appl Genet. 2010; 51: 421–429.
64. Suzuki Pinto R, Reynolds MP, Mathews KL, McIntyre CL, Olivares-Villegas JJ, Chapman SC. Heat and drought adaptive QTL in a wheat population designed to minimize confounding agronomic effects. Theor Appl Genet. 2010; 121: 1001–1021. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-010-1351-4 PMID: 20523964

65. Tang YL, Li J, Wu YQ, Wei HT, Li CS, Yang WY, et al. Identification of QTLs for yield-related traits in the recombinant inbred line population derived from the cross between a synthetic hexaploid wheat-derived variety Chuanmai 42 and a Chinese elite variety Chuannong 16. Agricultural Sciences in China. 2011; 10: 1665–1680.

66. Tsilo TJ, Hareland GA, Simsek S, Chao S, Anderson JA. Genome mapping of kernel characteristics in hard red spring wheat breeding lines. Theoretical and Applied Genetics. 2010; 121: 717–730. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-010-1343-4 PMID: 20425103

67. Wang J, Liu W, Wang H, Li L, Wu J. QTL mapping of yield-related traits in the wheat germplasm 3228. Euphytica. 2011; 177:277–292.

68. Zheng BS, Le Gouis J, Leflon M, Rong WY, Laperche A, Brancourt-Humel M. Using probe genotypes to dissect QTL 3 environment interactions for grain yield components in winter wheat. Theoretical and Applied Genetics. 2010; 121: 1501–1517. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-010-1406-6 PMID: 20697687

69. Pritchard J, Stephens M, Donnelly P. Inference of population structure using multilocus genotype data. Genetics. 2000; 155: 945–959. PMID: 10835412

70. MacKey J. Wheat, its concept, evolution and taxonomy. In: Royo C, Nachit M, Di Fonzo N, Araus JL, Pfeiffer WH, Slafer GA (eds) Durum wheat breeding: current approaches and future strategies, vol 1. Haworth Press, New York. 2005; pp 3–62.

71. Moragues M, Moralejo M, Sorrells ME, Royo C. Dispersal of durum wheat landraces across the Mediterranean basin assessed by AFLPs and microsatellites. Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution. 2007; 54: 1133–1144.

72. Maccaferrì M, Sanguinetti MC, Noli E, Tuberosa R. Population structure and long-range linkage disequilibrium in a durum wheat elite collection. Molecular Breeding. 2005; 15: 271–290.

73. Somers DJ, Banks T, DePauw R, Fox S, Clarke J, Poznian C, et al. Genome-wide linkage disequilibrium analysis in bread wheat and durum wheat. Genome. 2007; 50: 557–567. https://doi.org/10.1139/g07-031 PMID: 17632577

74. Weir BS. Genetic data analysis II. Methods for discrete population genetic data. Sinauer Associates, Inc. Publishers. 1996.

75. Akhunov E, Akhunova A, Anderson O, Anderson J, Blake N, Clegg MT, et al. Nucleotide diversity maps reveal variation in diversity among wheat genomes and chromosomes. BMC Genomics. 2010; 11: 702. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-11-702 PMID: 21156062

76. Sadras VO. Evolutionary aspects of the trade-off between seed size and number in crops. Field Crops Research. 2007; 100: 125–138.

77. Miura H, Worland AJ. Genetic control of vernalization, daylength response and earliness per se by homeologous group 3 chromosomes in wheat. Plant Breeding. 1994; 113: 160–169.

78. Ellis MH, Rebettke GJ, Azanza F, Richards RA, Spielmeyer W. Molecular mapping of gibberellin-responsive dwarfing genes in bread wheat. Theoretical and Applied Genetics. 2005; 111: 423–430. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-005-2008-6 PMID: 15968526

79. Fick GN, Quislet CO. Genes for dwarfness in wheat, Triticum aestivum L. Genetics. 1973; 75: 531–539. PMID: 17248645

80. Rebettke GJ, Ellis MH, Bonnet DG, Condon AG, Falk D, Richards RA. The Rht13 dwarfing gene reduces peduncle length and plant height to increase grain number and yield of wheat. Field Crops Research. 2011; 124: 323–331.

81. Álvaro F, Isidro J, Villegas D, García del Moral LF, Royo C. Breeding effects on grain filling, biomass partitioning, and remobilization in Mediterranean durum wheat. Agronomy Journal. 2008a; 100: 361–370.

82. Álvaro F, Isidro J, Villegas D, García del Moral LF, Royo C. Old and modern durum wheat varieties from Italy and Spain differ in spike components. Field Crops Research. 2008b; 106: 86–93.

83. Royo C, Álvaro F, Martos V, Ramdani A, Isidro J, Villegas D, et al. Genetic changes in durum wheat yield components and associated traits in Italy and Spain during the 20th century. Euphytica. 2007; 155: 259–270.

84. Salvi S, Tuberosa R. The crop QTLome comes of age. Current Opinion in Biotechnology. 2015; 32: 179–185. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copbio.2015.01.001 PMID: 25614069
85. Salvi S, Tuberosa R. Cloning QTLs in plants, in: Varshney RK, Tuberosa R (Eds.), Genomics-assisted crop improvement: Genomics approaches and platforms, vol 1. Springer, Dordrecht, Netherlands. 2007; pp. 207–226.

86. Hanocq E, Niarquin M, Heumez E, Rousset M, Le Gouis J. Detection and mapping of QTL for earliness components in a bread wheat recombinant inbred lines population. Theoretical and Applied Genetics. 2004; 110: 106–115. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-004-1799-1 PMID: 15551039

87. Gupta PK, Rustgi S, Kumar N. Genetic and molecular basis of grain size and grain number and its relevance to grain productivity in higher plants. Genome. 2006; 49: 565–571. https://doi.org/10.1139/g06-063 PMID: 16936836

88. Carlson SJ, Chourey PS, Helentjaris T, Datta R. Gene expression studies on developing kernels of maize sucrose synthase (SuSy) mutants show evidence for a third SuSy gene. Plant Molecular Biology. 2002; 49: 15–29. PMID: 12008896

89. Jiang Q, Hou J, Hao C, Wang L, Ge H, Dong Y, et al. The wheat (T. aestivum) sucrose synthase 2 gene (TaSus2) active in endosperm development is associated with yield traits. Funct Integr Genomics. 2011; 11: 49–61. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10142-010-0188-x PMID: 20821031

90. Ma J, Zhang CY, Yan GJ, Liu CJ. Identification of QTLs conferring agronomic and quality traits in hexaploid wheat. Journal of Integrative Agriculture. 2012; 11: 1399–1408

91. Rustgi S, Shafqat MN, Kumar N, Baenziger PS, Ali ML, Dweikat I, et al. Genetic dissection of yield and its component traits using high-density composite map of wheat chromosome 3A: bridging gaps between QTLs and underlying genes. PLoS ONE. 2013; 8(7): e70526. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0070526 PMID: 23894667

92. Song XJ, Huang W, Shi M, Zhu MZ, Lin HX. A QTL for rice grain width and weight encodes a previously unknown RING-type E3 ubiquitin ligase. Nature Genetics. 2007; 39: 623–630. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng2014 PMID: 17417637

93. Simmonds J, Scott P, Leverington-Waite M, Turner AS, Brinton J, Korzum V, et al. Identification and independent validation of a stable yield and thousand grain weight QTL on chromosome 6A of hexaploid wheat (Triticum aestivum L.). BMC Plant Biology. 2014; 14: 191. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12870-014-0191-9 PMID: 25034643

94. Bednarek J, Boulaffous A, Girousse C, Ravel C, Tassy C, Barret P, et al. Down-regulation of the TaGW2 gene by RNA interference results in decreased grain size and weight in wheat. Journal of Experimental Botany. 2012; 63: 5945–5955. https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/ers249 PMID: 22996678

95. Yang Z, Bai Z, Li X, Wang P, Wu Q, Yang L, et al. SNP identification and allelic-specific PCR markers development for TaGW2, a gene linked to wheat kernel weight. Theoretical and Applied Genetics. 2012; 125: 1057–1068. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-012-1895-6 PMID: 22643902

96. Ishimaru K, Hirotsu N, Madoka Y, Murakami N, Hara N, Onodera H, et al. Loss of function of the IAA-glucose hydrolase gene TGW6 enhances rice grain weight and increases yield. Nature Genetics. 2013; 45: 707–711. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng2612 PMID: 23583977

97. Hu MJ, Zhang HP, Cao JJ, Zhu XF, Wang SX, Jiang H, et al. Characterization of an IAA-glucose hydrolase gene TaTGW6 associated with grain weight in common wheat (Triticum aestivum L.). Molecular Breeding, 2016; 36: 25.