Ecological effects of selective oral decontamination on multidrug-resistance bacteria acquired in the intensive care unit: a case–control study over 5 years
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Abstract

Purpose: This case–control study investigated the long-term evolution of multidrug-resistant bacteria (MDRB) over a 5-year period associated with the use of selective oropharyngeal decontamination (SOD) in the intensive care unit (ICU). In addition, effects on health care-associated infections and ICU mortality were analysed.

Methods: We investigated patients undergoing mechanical ventilation > 48 h in 11 adult ICUs located at 3 campuses of a university hospital. Administrative, clinical, and microbiological data which were routinely recorded electronically served as the basis. We analysed differences in the rates and incidence densities (ID, cases per 1000 patient-days) of MDRB associated with SOD use in all patients and stratified by patient origin (outpatient or inpatient). After propensity score matching, health-care infections and ICU mortality were compared.

Results: 5034 patients were eligible for the study. 1694 patients were not given SOD. There were no differences in the incidence density of MDRB when SOD was used, except for more vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus faecium (0.72/1000 days vs. 0.31/1000 days, \( p < 0.01 \)), and fewer ESBL-producing Klebsiella pneumoniae (0.22/1000 days vs. 0.56/1000 days, \( p < 0.01 \)). After propensity score matching, SOD was associated with lower incidence rates of ventilator-associated pneumonia and death in the ICU but not with ICU-acquired bacteremia or urinary tract infection.

Conclusions: Comparisons of the ICU-acquired MDRB over a 5-year period revealed no differences in incidence density, except for lower rate of ESBL-producing Klebsiella pneumoniae and higher rate of vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus faecium with SOD. Incidence rates of ventilator-associated pneumonia and death in the ICU were lower in patients receiving SOD.
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Bacterial pathogens over the years. However, the increase in antibiotic resistance has not shown any differences in the resistance rates of SOD or SDD has no overall ecological impact. Although recent publications in intensive care units (ICUs) with low and highly resistant microorganisms show that prolonged use of SOD or SDD is associated with the emergence of higher selection under SOD in mechanically ventilated critically ill patients and thus poses a threat. In critically ill patients undergoing mechanical ventilation, the use of selective decontamination of the oropharynx (SOD) and the digestive tract without or with systemic antibiotics (SDD) is controversial. The main argument against its use is the possible ecological impact on antibiotic resistance. Although recent publications in intensive care units (ICUs) with low and highly resistant microorganisms show that prolonged use of SOD or SDD is associated with the emergence of higher selection under SOD in mechanically ventilated critically ill patients and thus poses a threat.

Methods

This is a case–control study of the long-term effects of SOD on ecological safety in 11 adult intensive care units of a 2000-bed hospital of the Ludwig-Maximilians-University of Munich (LMU Klinikum). The intensive care units at LMU Hospital are spread across three locations within the city limits, on two campuses and in a cooperating cardiac clinic. The study protocol was approved by the Ethical Committee (EC) of the LMU in December 2016 (Projekt Nr: 733-16). The EC waived the requirement for informed patient consent because of anonymous data processing after completion of data sampling and eligibility.

The study protocol was prepared and discussed with an interdisciplinary group of infectiologists, microbiologists, pharmacists, and intensive care physicians (iKUM Group, Infektiologie am Klinikum der Universität München). Data were collected and analysed by a computer scientist trained in health informatics and qualified in medicine. The adherence to preventive measures and procedures to control ventilator-associated pneumonia and ICU deaths occurred less frequently with selective oral decontamination. According to propensity score matching, ventilator-associated pneumonia and ICU deaths occurred less frequently with selective oral decontamination.

Introduction

In critically ill patients, the use of selective decontamination of the oropharynx (SOD) and the digestive tract without or with systemic antibiotics (SDD) is controversial. The main argument against its use is the possible ecological impact on antibiotic resistance. Although recent publications in intensive care units (ICUs) with low and highly resistant microorganisms show that prolonged use of SOD or SDD is associated with the emergence of higher selection under SOD in mechanically ventilated critically ill patients and thus poses a threat.

The aim of this study was to analyse the emergence of multidrug-resistant bacteria (MDRB), SOD is used in 24.1% of all adult ICUs (unpublished data from the ONTAI study) [12]. Even in large hospitals, ICU directors disagree on its use resulting in different preventive strategies. At Munich University Hospital (LMU Klinikum), a large-scale hospital at two campuses and an affiliated cardiac clinic, SOD without systemic antibiotics is in clinical use in some multidisciplinary ICUs for more than 3 decades [13, 14]. Close surveillance and strict infection control have not shown any differences in the resistance rates of bacterial pathogens over the years. However, the increasing prevalence of MDRB in German ICUs carries the risk of higher selection under SOD in mechanically ventilated critically ill patients and thus poses a threat.

The aim of this study was to analyse the emergence of MDRB associated with SOD over a period of 5 years in mechanically ventilated patients. In particular, it should be determined which MDRB emerged during and after the use of SOD in the ICU with focus on extended-spectrum beta-lactamases (ESBL) expressing Enterobacteriales and carbapenemase-producing Gram-negative bacteria (GNB) [5]. Possible interactions through the administration of systemic antibiotics were also analysed.

Take-home message

In this case–control study in mechanically ventilated patients (>48 h), no differences in the incidence density of multidrug-resistant bacteria were observed, except for a lower rate of Klebsiella pneumoniae with extended beta-lactamase and a higher rate of vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus faecium with selective oral decontamination. According to propensity score matching, ventilator-associated pneumonia and ICU deaths occurred less frequently with selective oral decontamination.
between. Prior to administration, either oral hygiene was performed or at least the oral cavity and nasopharynx was suctioned. SOD was administered until the tracheal tube was removed. In patients with a tracheal cannula via a tracheostoma, in transplanted patients and in immunosuppressed patients, SOD was administered until discharge from the ICU.

For the objective of this analysis, all directors of the 11 ICUs of the hospital were contacted and informed about the purpose of the study. They provided detailed information on preventive measures and procedures to control ventilator-associated pneumonia and on the routine use or non-use of SOD in mechanically ventilated patients during the study period (January 2011 to December 2016). Intensive care units that did not use SOD served as control.

The primary objective of this exploratory analysis was to detect differences in the evolution of multidrug-resistant bacteria (MDRB) associated with the use of selective oropharyngeal decontamination in critically ill patients undergoing mechanical ventilation >48 h. We analysed all patients admitted to the ICU and used all routine surveillance samples taken in the patients under study at admission and during the stay in the ICU.

MDRBs that developed during the stay in the ICU were considered, and the respective incidence density and resistance rate of MDRBs were calculated. We used the definition of the German Hospital Infection Surveillance for Intensive Care Units (ITS-KISS) to distinguish MDRBs that were already present on admission to the ICU or acquired during the ICU stay [17]. From day 3 onward in the ICU, if emerging MDRBs were cultured in any sample taken, they were considered acquired during the ICU stay. MDRBs isolated within the first two days in the ICU or up to 14 days prior to ICU admission have been documented but were not considered associated with the use of SOD. In addition, we carried out subgroup analyses in patients who were admitted directly to the ICU from the community and in patients who had already been hospitalised outside the ICU for >48 h. Secondary endpoints were rates of MDRB in all cultures taken at ICU admission (in the first 2 days) and incidence density of MDRB in total (MDRB at admission and ICU-acquired).

Overall, the ecological impact on resistance was assessed in the participating ICUs, including surveillance and clinical samples. Surveillance endpoints were the rates and incidence densities of MDRB such as methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA), vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus faecium (VRE), extended-spectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL) producing Escherichia coli and Klebsiella pneumoniae, fluoroquinolone-resistant Escherichia coli, carbapenem-resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae, Enterobacter cloacae, Serratia marcescens, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Stenotrophomonas maltophilia and Acinetobacter baumannii. Resistance were assessed in total, in all cultures taken at admission to the ICU (on day 1 or 2 of stay on the ICU) and during the stay in the ICU (on day 3 or later of stay on the ICU) according to the criteria of the German Nosocomial Infection Surveillance System (KISS) [18].

The results of all microbiological examinations carried out during the study period were taken from an electronic database of the Department of Medical Microbiology and Hospital Hygiene (Max von Pettenkofer Institute, LMU Munich) and combined with electronic clinical data of the study patients. After data processing, the data were irreversibly anonymised. The incidence density (ID) under SOD was calculated by dividing the number of first incident isolation of multidrug-resistant pathogens by the cumulative sum of days of length of stay in the ICU related to 1,000 patient-days. Repeat cultures confirming a previous finding of MDRB with the same resistance profile during the ICU stay were not counted for the analysis.

In addition, in order to detect a possible selection pressure under SOD, the development of the incidence density of MDRB, which differed between the groups, was analysed and compared over the 5 years of the study period. The overall antimicrobial use was assessed by recording all administered antibiotics (except from SOD) by the defined daily doses (DDD) for each participating ICU. The antibiotic use density was calculated as follows: (antibiotic use in g/DDD in g) × 100 patient-days.

The secondary objective of this study was to analyse health care-associated infections (HAI) such as ventilator-associated pneumonia, nosocomial blood stream infection and nosocomial urinary tract infections during the intervention with SOD [19]. For the diagnosis of ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP), any documented pneumonia that occurred during the period under mechanical ventilation was used [20]. For the diagnosis of bacteremia, every blood culture in which a pathological pathogen could be cultivated was counted. In case of Staphylococcus epidermidis, at least two blood cultures taken from different puncture sites had to be positive to be counted. For urinary tract infections, we counted any catheter-associated urinary tract infection in which >10^5 CFU per millilitre were detected in the urine collected from the urinary catheter.

For the propensity score analysis, the variables were matched for age, gender, duration of mechanical ventilation, sepsis severity score [21], first SAPS II score [22], length of stay (LOS) in the ICU and for type of admission. Finally, we assessed observed incidence death rates before and after propensity score matching.
Statistical analysis
We used MedCalc® Statistical Software version 20 (MedCalc Software Ltd, Ostend, Belgium) and the open source statistical software R (version 3.6.0, Vienna, Austria) for statistical analysis. The incidence rate was compared between the groups as the ratio of MDRB first isolates and the total number of days in the ICU. Repeated comparisons of each MDRB over the years were corrected according to Bonferroni–Holms.

To obtain two highly similar groups for comparison of health-care infections and death in the ICU, propensity score matching was performed considering 7 variables such as age, sex, duration of mechanical ventilation, sepsis severity, Simplified Acute Physiology Score (SAPS) II at ICU admission, length of ICU stay and type of ICU admission (surgical or non-surgical). Propensity scores were matched using nearest-neighbour matching to achieve lowest standardised mean differences of the seven variables. After matching, scores were tested for normality. Incidence rates were compared as the ratio between the number of events observed and the total number of days at risk. Trends over years between the groups were tested with repeated measures analysis of variance. \( p \) values < 0.05 were considered significant.

Results
Of the 11 ICUs participating in this analysis, 7 ICUs used SOD routinely in mechanically ventilated patients and 3 ICUs did not use any SOD. One ICU used SOD only in selected patients at high risk for VAP. Due to this inconsistent use of SOD, 478 ventilated patients in this ICU were excluded from case–control study (Fig. 1). Additional preventive measures and procedures to control ventilator-associated pneumonia were followed in the
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ten remaining ICUs in the same way as documented in a separate quality control analysis.

Between January 2012 and December 2016, 12,172 consecutive adult (≥18 years) critically ill patients undergoing mechanical ventilation (MV) were identified from the hospital electronic databases. 7138 patients were excluded because of MV ≤48 h (6252 patients), use of SOD only in selected patients in 1 ICU (478 patients) and additional 408 patients because of incomplete electronic datasets (see Fig. 1).

During the study period of 5 years, 5034 patients were eligible for the study from whom a total of 143,842 microbiological test results were available (respiratory samples n = 26,957, blood cultures n = 20,719, urine sample n = 19,655; rectal swabs n = 4,605, other samples n = 71,906). 1694 patients did not receive topical SOD and 3340 patients did (Fig. 1, 1>). Out of these patients, 1280 patients with SOD and 1076 patients without SOD were admitted from the community to the ICUs (Fig. 1, 2>), whereas 1077 and 372 patients were already in the hospital but outside the ICU >48 h prior to admission (Fig. 1, 3>).

Characteristics of all included patients with mechanical ventilation (>48 h) are given in Table 1. Large standardised mean differences (SMD) between the two groups (SMD > ±0.3) were found for the type of ICU admission, with more surgical and transplant patients in the SOD group and more medical patients in the non-SOD group. Length of stay in the ICU was also longer in the SOD group. Other differences were small (SMD ≤±0.3).

After propensity score matching two comparable groups of 1694 patients were generated with high agreement in age, gender, duration of mechanical ventilation, sepsis severity score, SAPS II score, LOS in the ICU, and type of admission (SMD <±0.03, see Table 2).

### Table 1 Characteristics of included patients with mechanical ventilation (>48 h)

|                     | 3340 patients with SOD | 1694 patients without SOD | SMD       |
|---------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----------|
| Age [years]         | 61 (16)                 | 66 (15)                   | −0.30     |
| Female [no]         | 1265 (38)               | 564 (33)                  | 0.10      |
| Sepsis severity score [points] | 50 (14)                   | 49 (12)                   | 0.01      |
| SAPS II at ICU admission [points] | 40 (14)                   | 43(13)                    | −0.21     |
| Duration of mechanical ventilation [hours] | 351 (412)                 | 304 (304)                 | 0.15      |
| Length of stay in the ICU [days] | 26 (28)                   | 19 (17)                   | 0.41      |
| Medical admission [no]* | 1322 (40)                 | 1305 (77)                 | −0.89     |
| Surgical admission [no] | 2018 (60)                 | 389 (23)                  | 0.89      |
| Post solid organ transplantation [no] | 316 (9)                   | 19 (1)                    | 0.93      |

Results are expressed as mean with standard deviation (SD) in parentheses or number of cases with percentage in parentheses

*Without previous surgery at admission to hospital or ICU, respectively

ICU, intensive care unit; SAPS II, Simplified Acute Physiology Score II; SMD, standardised mean differences; SOD, selective oropharynx decontamination

### Table 2 Characteristics of patients before and after propensity score matching

|                     | Before propensity score matching | After propensity score matching |
|---------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
|                     | With SOD (n = 3340) | Without SOD (n = 1694) | SMD | With SOD (n = 1694) | Without SOD (n = 1694) | SMD |
| Age [years]         | 61.23 | 65.72 | −0.30 | 61.89 | 65.72 | −0.25 |
| Female [percent]    | 37.87 | 33.29 | 0.10  | 35.71 | 33.29 | 0.05  |
| Duration of mechanical ventilation [hours] | 350.81 | 304.14 | 0.15  | 338.26 | 304.14 | 0.11  |
| Sepsis severity score [points] | 49.58 | 49.48 | 0.01  | 48.81 | 49.48 | −0.06 |
| SAPS II at ICU admission [points] | 39.99 | 42.67 | −0.21 | 41.09 | 42.67 | −0.12 |
| Length of stay in the ICU [days] | 25.83 | 18.99 | 0.41  | 21.35 | 18.99 | 0.14  |
| Surgical admission [percent] | 60.42 | 22.96 | 0.89  | 26.21 | 22.96 | 0.08  |

ICU, intensive care unit; SAPS II, Simplified Acute Physiologic Score II; SMD, standardised mean differences; SOD, selective oropharynx decontamination
vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus faecium* (VRE), extended-spectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL) producing *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, fluoroquinolone-resistant *Escherichia coli*, carbapenem-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Enterobacter cloacae*, *Serratia marcescens*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* and *Acinetobacter baumannii* of all included patients did not differ between the two groups with the exception of vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus faecium* (VRE) being significantly increased, and *Klebsiella pneumonia* ESBL being significantly decreased in the group undergoing SOD (Table 3). Incidence densities were about twice as high in the SOD group for VRE (0.72/1000 days vs. 0.31/1000 days, \(p<0.01\)), and about half as high for ESBL-producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (0.22/1000 days vs. 0.56/1000 days, \(p<0.01\)). MRSA rates tended to be lower in the SOD group (0.19/1000 days vs. 0.37/1000 days, \(p=0.06\)). Analysis of patients admitted to the ICU directly from the community also found that VRE rates develop more frequently in patients with SOD (Table 4). In patients who were treated in the hospital for more than 48 h prior to admission to the ICU, we found a lower incidence rate of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* ESBL in the group treated with SOD (Table 5). The evolution of the ICU-acquired MDRB over the 5 years revealed no trend of MDRB selection found to be different between

| Table 3 ICU-acquired MDRB over a 5-year period in all patients under study |
|---|---|---|
| 1 > all included patients | 86,281 days with SOD (n = 3340) | 32,177 days without SOD (n = 1694) |
| | No | Incidence densities/1000 days | No | Incidence densities/1000 days | Comparison of two rates |
| | | | | | \(p\) value |
| Methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* | 16 | 0.19 | 12 | 0.37 | 0.06 |
| Vancomycin-resistant *E. faecium* | 62 | 0.72 | 10 | 0.31 | \(<0.01\) |
| ESBL-producing *E. coli* | 58 | 0.67 | 15 | 0.47 | 0.20 |
| Fluoroquinolone-resistant *E. coli* | 57 | 0.66 | 25 | 0.78 | 0.50 |
| ESBL-producing *K. pneumoniae* | 19 | 0.22 | 18 | 0.56 | \(<0.01\) |
| Carbapenem-resistant *K. pneumoniae* | 3 | 0.03 | 4 | 0.12 | 0.07 |
| *Enterobacter cloacae* | 36 | 0.42 | 13 | 0.40 | 0.92 |
| *Serratia marcescens* | 10 | 0.12 | 4 | 0.12 | 0.91 |
| *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* | 80 | 0.93 | 33 | 1.03 | 0.63 |
| *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* | 58 | 0.67 | 25 | 0.78 | 0.54 |
| *Acinetobacter baumannii* | 16 | 0.19 | 3 | 0.09 | 0.26 |

Table 3 ICU-acquired MDRB over a 5-year period in all patients under study

| ICU, intensive care unit; SOD, selective oropharynx decontamination; MDRB, multidrug-resistant bacteria; ESBL, extended-spectrum beta-lactamases |

| Table 4 ICU-acquired MDRB over a 5-year period in patients admitted from the community |
|---|---|---|
| 2 > patients not hospitalised before admission to the ICU | 30,768 days with SOD (n = 1280) | 18,433 days without SOD (n = 1076) |
| | No | Incidence densities/1000 days | No | Incidence densities/1000 days | Comparison of two rates |
| | | | | | \(p\) value |
| Methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* | 3 | 0.10 | 6 | 0.33 | 0.07 |
| Vancomycin-Resistant *E. faecium* | 18 | 0.59 | 3 | 0.16 | 0.03 |
| ESBL-producing *E. coli* | 18 | 0.59 | 11 | 0.60 | 0.96 |
| Fluoroquinolone-resistant *E. coli* | 18 | 0.59 | 13 | 0.71 | 0.61 |
| ESBL-producing *K. pneumoniae* | 7 | 0.23 | 10 | 0.54 | 0.07 |
| Carbapenem-resistant *K. pneumoniae* | 1 | 0.03 | 3 | 0.16 | 0.12 |
| *Enterobacter cloacae* | 12 | 0.40 | 8 | 0.43 | 0.81 |
| *Serratia marcescens* | 4 | 0.13 | 3 | 0.16 | 0.77 |
| *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* | 32 | 1.04 | 15 | 0.81 | 0.43 |
| *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* | 26 | 0.85 | 10 | 0.54 | 0.23 |
| *Acinetobacter baumannii* | 8 | 0.26 | 1 | 0.05 | 0.10 |

Table 4 ICU-acquired MDRB over a 5-year period in patients admitted from the community

| ICU, intensive care unit; SOD, selective oropharynx decontamination; MDRB, multidrug-resistant bacteria; ESBL, extended-spectrum beta-lactamases |
the groups in the analysis (Fig. 2). The incidence rate of all MDRB in samples taken at admission and during the stay in the ICU differed for MRSA, VRE, and ESBL-producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae* between the groups (Tab. S1). On admission, patients in the SOD group had a higher rate of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*.

Consumption of antibacterial drugs in all patients (*n* = 12,172) treated in intensive care units during the entire study period is shown in the supplement (including patients treated for less than 48 h or excluded for other reasons, Fig. S1). Overall, there was a significantly higher consumption of antibiotics administered intravenously in the ICUs with SOD. The cumulative DDD per 100 patient-days were 147 (95% CI 138-155) in the SOD group vs. 125 (95% CI 115-135) the group without SOD. ICUs with SOD used more carbapenems, 2nd-generation cephalosporins, glycopeptides, and other antibiotics, whereas ICUs without SOD used more 3rd-generation cephalosporins, penicillins with beta-lactamase inhibitors, and fluoroquinolones.

**Effect of SOD on health care-associated infections**

Propensity analysis of nosocomial infections (HAI) revealed lower incidence rates and densities of ventilator-associated pneumonia but not bacteremia or urinary tract infections in the group receiving SOD (Table 6). The number needed to prevent a VAP was 29. The incidence densities found in the studied patients were higher than reported by national surveillance.

**Effect of SOD on death in the ICU**

The incidence rate of death in the ICU was significantly lower in the SOD group. After 1:1 propensity score matching for demographic characteristics, sepsis severity, severity of illness, length of mechanical ventilation, LOS in the ICU and type of admission, mortality in the ICU was 28% in the group receiving SOD and 30% in the group not receiving SOD (Table 7).

**Discussion**

This case–control study of 5034 mechanically ventilated critically ill patients over a 5-year period found an incidence density of all MRDB equal to or lower than that reported for German ICUs in 2015 [15]. With SOD, there were no statistically significant differences in the incidence densities of ICU-acquired MDRB under study, with the exception of vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus faecium* (VRE), which was more common with SOD, and extended beta-lactamase *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, which was less common with SOD. There was no trend towards an increased selection of these MDRBs over the years observed. The propensity score analysis of health care-associated infections found that SOD was associated with less ventilation-associated pneumonia, but not with bacteremia or urinary tract infections acquired in the intensive care unit. Incidence rate of death in the ICU was lower in the SOD group.

Three limitations of this case–control study should be noted. First, there was already a difference in the rates of MDRB isolates at admission to the ICU, which can be attributed to the specifics of patients under study. Although we excluded MDRB isolates found in the first 48 h after ICU admission, this could influence the analysis. Second, many characteristics and disease severity were similar in the two groups, but there were more surgical patients in the SOD group and more medical
patients in the non-SOD group (Table 1). The surgical patients included also patients after solid organ transplantation such as heart, lung, and liver transplantation. In this group of patients, the rates of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* were found more frequently already at admission to the ICU (see Table S2 in the supplement). The increased rate of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* on admission may be related to patients with cystic fibrosis admitted prior and after lung transplantation. Although this particular patient cohort was more common in the SOD group, no higher rate of ICU-acquired *Pseudomonas* could be observed. Third, it was not possible to stratify the included patients according to the systemic antibiotics they received, since only the total antibiotic consumption of all patients treated in the intensive care units during the study period was available.

The increased occurrence of VRE under SOD may be related to the fact that there were more surgical patients in the SOD group. Many isolates with *E. faecium* in this study were found in swabs from rectum, wounds and abscesses. This may have led to the fact that *E. faecium* and thus VRE were found more frequently in the group with SOD during the stay in the ICU. In the analysis of antibiotic selection pressure over the study period of 5 years, our results did not support the assumption that selection pressure increased under SOD. It is noteworthy, that during the study period, the prevalence of VRE increased in German hospitals, particularly in southern regions of Germany accounting for 11 to 26% of all isolates [15, 23]. This was attributed, in part, to an extensive use of fluoroquinolones in outpatients in the corresponding areas of Germany [15, 23]. Both the trend over the years and the distribution of isolates at the end of this study suggest against an association with the use of SOD.

An new finding from this study is that ESBL-producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae* was more common in ICU patients not treated with SOD. When comparing the antibiotics used systemically during ICU stay, there was no difference in the indication for antibiotic therapy, that could explain this finding. SOD may be specifically successful in preventing colonisation and subsequent infection with ESBL-producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae*.

We found an increased total consumption of antibacterial drugs in intensive care units with SOD. This can be attributed, among other things, to the large number of postoperative surgical patients who spent only a day or two in the ICU and were not included in this study. A large proportion of these patients received antibiotics as perioperative antibiotic prophylaxis.

The propensity score analysis of health care-associated infections showed that ventilator-associated pneumonia occurred less frequently with SOD than in patients without SOD. This is consistent with the results of controlled studies on this prophylactic measure [14, 24, 25]. The extent to which the additional systemic administration of cefotaxime for 4 days would have further reduced this
incidence, as recently described [25], cannot be assessed on the basis of our data. Blood stream infections did not differ between the groups, which is consistent with findings of a large European trial [26].

The propensity score analysis also showed lower death rate in the SOD group. Both groups were equally severely ill and also well matched for LOS in the ICU and type of admission. Therefore, the observed lower ICU mortality in surgical patients compared to non-surgical patients can, therefore, be ruled out as a cause [2, 27].

Conclusion
In this case–control study of mechanically ventilated adult patients (>48 h), we found that the use of SOD was not associated with the development of antibiotic resistance in a set of ICUs with medium rates of MDR bacteria. According to propensity score matching, a recognised approach for causal inference in observational data, the use of SOD was associated with a lower incidence rate of ventilator-associated pneumonia and death in the ICU. Even though encouraging, these findings could not be extrapolated to intensive care units with high rates of MDR bacteria. Future studies are still required for these settings.
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Table 6 Health-care-associated infections in both groups after propensity score matching

| ICU-acquired infections during prevention* | with SOD (n = 1694) | Without SOD (n = 1694) | p value |
|------------------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|---------|
|                                         | Number of cases    | %                      | Incidence density/1000 days | Number of cases | %                      | Incidence density/1000 days |
| Ventilator-associated pneumonia          | 243                | 14                     | 10.2                             | 302            | 18                     | 14.1                             | <0.01   |
| Bacteremia                               | 218                | 13                     | 8.92                             | 182            | 11                     | 8.48                             | 0.61    |
| Urinary tract infections                 | 162                | 10                     | 6.79                             | 138            | 8                      | 6.43                             | 0.64    |

*Days at risk with mechanical ventilation: 23,876 days with SOD, 21,467 days without SOD

ICU, intensive care unit; SOD, selective oropharynx decontamination

Table 7 Incidence rate of death in the ICU in both groups

| Death in the ICU* | With SOD | Without SOD | p value |
|-------------------|----------|-------------|---------|
|                   | No       | %           | Incidence density/1000 days | No       | %           | Incidence density/1000 days |
| Before propensity score matching  | 759/3340 | 23          | 8.8                             | 509/1694 | 30          | 15.8                             | <0.01   |
| After propensity score matching     | 478/1694 | 28          | 13.2                            | 509/1694 | 30          | 15.8                             | <0.01   |

*Days at risk in the ICU: 86,281 and 36,167 days with SOD, respectively; 32,177 days without SOD

ICU, intensive care unit; SOD, selective oropharynx decontamination
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