Crystallographic analysis of *Staphylococcus aureus* LcpA, the primary wall teichoic acid ligase
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Gram-positive bacteria, including major clinical pathogens such as *Staphylococcus aureus*, are becoming increasingly drug-resistant. Their cell walls are composed of a thick layer of peptidoglycan (PG) modified by the attachment of wall teichoic acid (WTA), an anionic glycopolymer that is linked to pathogenicity and regulation of cell division and PG synthesis. The transfer of WTA from lipid carriers to PG, catalyzed by the LytR–CpsA–Psr (LCP) enzyme family, offers a unique extracellular target for the development of new anti-infective agents. Inhibitors of LCP enzymes have the potential to manage a wide range of bacterial infections because the target enzymes are implicated in the assembly of many other bacterial cell wall polymers, including capsular polysaccharide of streptococcal species and arabinogalactan of mycobacterial species. In this study, we present the first crystal structure of *S. aureus* LcpA with bound substrate at 1.9 Å resolution and those of *Bacillus subtilis* LCP enzymes, TagT, TagU, and TagV, in the apo form at 1.6–2.8 Å resolution. The structures of these WTA transferases provide new insights into the binding of lipid-linked WTA and enable assignment of the catalytic roles of conserved active-site residues. Furthermore, we identified potential subsites for binding the saccharide core of PG using computational docking experiments, and multiangle light-scattering experiments disclosed novel oligomeric states of the LCP enzymes. The crystal structures and modeled substrate-bound complexes of the LCP enzymes reported here provide insights into key features linked to substrate binding and catalysis and may aid the structure-guided design of specific LCP inhibitors.

The discovery of penicillin nearly a century ago ushered in an era of targeting bacterial cell wall peptidoglycan (PG) biosynthesis as an effective approach to combat a wide variety of bacterial infections. To survive the onslaught of β-lactams and other classes of typically bactericidal antibiotics, bacteria have evolved a myriad of resistance countermeasures in parallel. As a result, new therapeutic agents are now urgently needed, and their development will rely on extensive research efforts on additional bacterial targets. Wall teichoic acid (WTA) is a Gram-positive bacterial cell wall polymer that is covalently attached to the N-acetylmuramic acid (MurNAc) C6-hydroxyl group of PG (Fig. S1). The inhibition of WTA biosynthesis is an attractive therapeutic approach because methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* deficient in the production of WTAs are known to be resensitized to certain classes of β-lactam antibiotics (1, 2). This intriguing phenomenon is believed to stem from the role of WTA in guiding PG cross-linking through spatiotemporal localization of certain penicillin-binding proteins (PBPs) (1–3). The absence of WTA disables these select classes of PBPs through mislocalization and sensitizes the organism to β-lactams that target unaffected PBPs. Another physiological role of WTA is the regulation of cell division through localization of autolysins to the division septum for PG breakdown (4). In addition, this polymer is linked to pathogenicity because WTA-deficient mutants are defective in biofilm formation, host cell adherence, and colonization (5–7).

WTA is synthesized in the cytoplasm on undecaprenyl-phosphate (C₅₅-P) for translocation across the lipid bilayer and subsequent attachment to PG (8). The ribitol-phosphate polymer of *S. aureus* WTA is anchored to PG through a sugar-based linkage comprised of phosphate–GlcNAc–N-acetylmannosamine–[glycerol-phosphate]₂ (Fig. S1). The transfer of WTA to PG is catalyzed on the outer leaflet of the cytosolic membrane by members of the LytR–CpsA–Psr (LCP) protein family that are unique to predominantly Gram-positive bacteria (9). Deletion of *Bacillus subtilis* LCP enzymes (TagT₁₅₅, TagU₁₅₅, and TagV₁₅₅) has been shown to be lethal presumably because of the accumulation of...
nonfunctional lipid-bound WTA intermediates and depletion of the pool of lipid carriers required for PG synthesis (9, 10). In S. aureus, deletion of LCP enzymes (LcpA-SA, LcpB-SA, and LcpC-SA) resulted in WTA-deficient mutants with physiological and pathogenic defects (11, 12).

The LCP family represents an attractive class of drug targets in that the soluble catalytic region is on the extracellular face of the cytosolic membrane, and there are no mammalian orthologs (13). Furthermore, LCP enzymes are involved in the PG attachment of not only WTA but other secondary polymers of therapeutic interest, including Streptococcus pneumoniae capsular polysaccharide (CP) and Mycobacterium tuberculosis arabinogalactan (14, 15).

Multiple copies of the lcp gene are often found in Gram-positive bacteria, and the gene products display catalytic and functional differences. B. subtilis TagU was found to have higher catalytic activity than TagT and TagV variants in that species, whereas M. tuberculosis Rv3484 was shown to be the only essential LCP enzyme in vivo (16, 17). In S. aureus, LcpA was discovered to be the primary WTA transferase, whereas LcpC acts as the primary CP transferase (18, 19). The natural PG acceptor substrate of LCP enzymes also appears variable. The disaccharide lipid-linked PG precursor, lipid II, was demonstrated to be a substrate of LcpB but not for LcpA (18, 19). In addition, S. aureus LcpB was found to be incapable of utilizing cross-linked S. aureus PG as the acceptor substrate, suggesting that the attachment of WTA occurs prior to cross-linking of PG strands (20). In contrast, a study conducted on B. subtilis LCP enzymes demonstrated WTA ligation to mature cross-linked B. subtilis PG in vitro (16).

Given the observed functional variations among species, understanding the underlying molecular details becomes important. Prior crystallographic studies have been conducted on LCP enzymes with S. pneumoniae Cps2A and B. subtilis TagT characterized in complex with analogs of the polyprenyl substrate (Table S1). In the former, the bound lipids lack the saccharide headgroup that differentiates CP/WTA precursors from lipid II (9, 14). More recently, C30-PP–GlcNAc and C30-PP–GlcNAc–ManNAc were successfully co-crystallized with B. subtilis TagT; however, the saccharide headgroups were found in different orientations, and the expected specificity determining polar contacts between the saccharide substrate moieties and the enzyme active site were not observed (20). A notable structural variant of LCP enzymes is that of Actinomyces oris LcpA, which possesses unique structural features around the active site presumably associated with binding target proteins rather than PG for glycosylation (21).

Further structural characterization of LCP enzymes is required to investigate PG binding and to clarify the structural relationship between the donor lipid headgroup and the enzyme. In this study, we present four crystal structures of S. aureus and B. subtilis LCP enzymes for a comparative analysis that provides the molecular basis of residues assessed in published mutagenesis studies. Importantly, our structure of S. aureus LcpA complexed to C40-PP–GlcNAc provides clarity on the orientation of the saccharide headgroup and reveals an interface reliant on van der Waals and hydrophobic contacts rather than direct polar interactions. Furthermore, the structure of S. aureus LcpA provides a clinically relevant target for structure-guided design of inhibitors. We complement our crystallographic work by modeling PG-bound complexes, and we report hitherto unknown oligomeric states of various LCP enzymes in solution.

Results

Overall structure

Our crystallographic study yielded the first structure of the primary S. aureus WTA transferase, LcpA-SA (Fig. 1 and Table 1). The crystallized enzyme captured C40-PP–GlcNAc, an endogenous lipid with central features in keeping with the natural lipid donor substrate. The construct (residues 80–327; ΔTM) used for crystallization encompasses the extracellular catalytic region, known as the LCP domain, and lacks the single N-terminal transmembrane anchor (Fig. S2a). Our structure of LcpA-SA was solved by molecular replacement to 1.9 Å resolution, providing an excellent template for future structure-based drug design work.

The LCP domain of LcpA-SA is comprised of a six-stranded β-sheet sandwiched between multiple α-helices and several double-stranded β-sheets (Fig. 1a and Fig. S2a). A large hydrophobic lipid-binding pocket with a narrow opening and a wide base is formed by the central β-sheet and helices 3–7 (Fig. 1b). An electrostatic region for binding the pyrophosphate moiety of the lipid donor substrate is found at the entrance of the hydrophobic pocket highlighting the location of the active site. LcpA-SA shows the highest overall structural similarity to Enterococcus faecalis EF0465 with an RMSD of 2.5 Å for 227 Ca pairs, indicating regions of significant difference (Table S1). However, structural comparison of just the highly conserved active-site residues shows that LcpA-SA is most similar to apo and C30-PP–GlcNAc–ManNAc–bound TagTBS with a closely matched RMSD of 0.85 Å for 10 Ca pairs in both instances and 1.3–1.6 Å for 44 common side chain heavy atoms therein. The active site of LcpA-SA is surrounded by four regions, designated here as regions A (residues 92–100), B (residues 188–201), C (residues 217–224), and D (residues 296–312), that display structural variability when compared with the LCP enzymes of other species (Fig. 1a). To this end, we have expanded our structural understanding of LCP enzymes by solving additional structures from the prototypical Gram-positive bacterium, B. subtilis (Fig. 2 and Table 1). The first is the novel structure of TagUBS (residues 62–306; selenomethionine (SeMet)-substituted) phased by single isomorphous replacement and refined to 2.2 Å resolution. Additional insights into the architecture of the active site are provided by the structure of TagVBS (residues 72–332; 1.6 Å resolution) at a higher resolution than previously reported (2.6 Å resolution) and the structure of TagTBS (residues 46–322; 2.8 Å resolution) with additional electron density for a previously disordered and unmodeled region in the apo structure.

The electrostatic surfaces of the LCP enzymes are highly variable in addition to the electropositive region formed by conserved arginine residues at the active site. This electropositive region becomes more difficult to observe when the guanidinium side chains are not localized by a pyrophosphate group.
Between our four structures, the most significant difference in secondary structure is found in region B, where LcpASA has a large loop, TagTBS has a /H92512-helix, and both TagUBS and TagVBS have a double-stranded /H92522-sheet (Figs. 1a and 2a). Regions A and C encompass flexible loops, and region D adopts a two-stranded /H92522-sheet with an enrichment of aromatic residues that we predict bind to the carbohydrate groups of PG.

In the structure of TagUBS, the rearrangements of helices 3–7 on one side of the central /H92522-sheet resulted in the collapse of the lipid-binding site (Fig. 2b). These structural differences are facilitated by the association of two protein molecules forming

**Figure 1. Crystal structure of S. aureus LcpA.** a, ribbon structure of LcpASA (green) bound to C40-PP–GlcNAc (yellow) with labeled structural features. H, helix; B, /H92522-strand; NH, nonconserved helix. The active site is surrounded by four regions, designated here as A, B, C, and D. Heteroatoms are colored by type (oxygen, red; phosphorus, orange; nitrogen, blue). b, the lipid-binding pocket of LcpASA is shown in a cross-section of a side view with an electrostatic potential surface. The locations of the substrate-binding sites are outlined in the top view. c, conserved residues surrounding the pyrophosphate moiety of the bound lipid are depicted as sticks. Bonds are indicated by lines (salt bridges as thick dotted lines and hydrogen bonds as thin dotted lines). d, residues surrounding a buried glycerol molecule (purple) and the N-acetyl group of C40-PP–GlcNAc are depicted as sticks.
The interactions between LcpA<sub>SA</sub> and C<sub>40</sub>-PP–GlcNAc are shown in Fig. 1c. Residues surrounding the lipid tail display low sequence conservation but are largely hydrophobic. Notably, the bulky aromatic side chain of Phe-171 introduces a kink at the third prenyl moiety, whereas the wide base of the pocket induces a twist in the lipid backbone to accommodate the remainder of the lipid tail. Invariant arginine residues form a positively charged entrance to the hydrophobic pocket, where their guanidinyl groups form salt bridges with the pyrophosphate moiety of the polyprenyl. Arg-99 and Arg-216 are in contact with the α-phosphate group and Arg-122, Arg-218, and Arg-227 are in contact with the β-phosphate group in keeping with earlier mutagenesis studies showing their importance in growth and activity in various species (summarized in Table S2) (9, 20, 25).

The orientation of the lipid-bound GlcNAc moiety is stabilized by an intramolecular hydrogen bond (3.0 Å) between the nitrogen atom of the N-acetyl group and a phosphoryl oxygen of the α-phosphate group (Fig. 1c). In addition, the position of the GlcNAc moiety is stabilized by hydrophobic and van der Waals interactions between the N-acetyl group and a shallow pocket outlined by residues Asn-194, Ile-195, Arg-216, Phe-217, Arg-218, and His-219 of regions B and C (Fig. 1d). These observations are also supported by the structure of TagU<sub>BS</sub> bound to C<sub>40</sub>-PP–GlcNAc–ManNAc (Fig. S3). The lack of specific interactions between the enzyme and the N-acetyl group

---

The modest interfacial surface area of 760 Å<sup>2</sup> across a crystallographic 2-fold symmetry axis at one end of the lipid-binding site (helices 6 and 7 and β-strand 9) (Fig. S2c). The association is stabilized by hydrogen bonds and hydrophobic interactions mainly consisting of aromatic residues from the interior of the hydrophobic pocket. Notably, several crystal structures of LCP enzymes, including TagT<sub>BS</sub> here, display disorder of helix 6 (Fig. 2a). The exposure of the hydrophobic core to bulk solvent may be the driving force behind the dimerization of TagU<sub>BS</sub>. The hydrophobic and aromatic nature of this exposed region also suggests a possible surface for association with membrane.

**Lipid-binding site**

In the structure of *S. aureus* LcpA, we have fortuitously captured a lipid substrate produced by the overexpression strain BL21(DE3) *Escherichia coli* for the synthesis of O7-specific lipopolysaccharide (22). The clear density at the extended hydrophobic lipid-binding pocket (surface area ~ 680 Å<sup>2</sup>; volume ~ 550 Å<sup>3</sup>) allowed unambiguous modeling of a lipid tail and a monosaccharide–pyrophosphate headgroup (Fig. S2d). The electron density of the monosaccharide is in keeping with a galactose presumably appended to GlcNAc by the enzyme WbbD in *E. coli* (24).
likely allows for the significant observed differences in the secondary structure of region B as mentioned above. Region C possesses two highly conserved residues, a pyrophosphate-binding arginine (Arg-218) and an aspartate (Asp-224). The loop of region C is commonly held away from the active site by a resident acidic residue that forms a salt bridge with a nearby basic residue. Region C is fully modeled in our structures of LcpASA, TagTBS, and TagUBS. A comparison between all available LCP enzyme structures reveals that ordering of the loop in region C depends heavily on the extension of helix 5 beyond the conserved aspartate (LcpASA Asp-224) to the adjacent residue (LcpASA Gly-223), commonly found to be glycine. We note that our structure of TagTBS differs from that of the previously reported apo and C40-PP bound structures in that region C is resolved. Interestingly, region C of our structure is conformationally distinct from that of the C30-PP–GlcNAc bound form (RMSD of 2.7 Å for 7 Cα pairs) but more closely resembles that of the C90–PP–GlcNAc–ManNAc bound form (RMSD of 0.4 Å for 7 Cα pairs) with the loop directed away from the active site. The similarity indicates that this placement of region C is not induced by the presence of the second sugar as previously presumed.

The requisite catalytic metal is not observed in the electron density of LcpASA, despite the presence of the two conserved magnesium-binding aspartate residues (Asp-91 and Asp-101) at the opposite ends of region A and the appropriately positioned pyrophosphate moiety of the lipid substrate. We believe the metal ion may have been displaced during crystallization because region A is shifted slightly away from the active site through interactions with a neighboring molecule in the crystal; at the same time, this subtle motion likely inhibited the pyrophosphatase activity of the enzyme and fortuitously allowed capture of the intact lipid headgroup.

Our structure of TagUBS displayed no density for an endogenous lipid as the hydrophobic pocket is partially collapsed due to the rearrangements described above (significantly reduced surface area ~ 130 Å²; volume ~ 66 Å³). In contrast, small clusters of weak electron density, dimensions collectively in keeping with a potential bound lipid but of insufficient order for precise modeling, were observed within the lipid pocket of TagVBS (surface area ~ 660 Å²; volume ~ 500 Å³) and TagTBS (surface area ~ 750 Å²; volume ~ 630 Å³).

Interestingly, a cluster of ordered water molecules and a glycerol molecule from our cryoprotectant were found within the

---

**Figure 2. Crystal structures of B. subtilis TagT, TagU, and TagV.** a, ribbon structures of TagTBS (orange), TagUBS (blue), and TagVBS (red) with labeled regions surrounding the active sites (A, B, C, and D). b, the lipid-binding pockets are shown as cross-sections (side views) with electrostatic potential surfaces. c, the locations of the substrate-binding sites are outlined in the top views of the surfaces.
structure of LcPAS₅A, highlighting the presence of an accessible hydrophilic pocket buried under the active site and adjacent to the lipid-binding pocket (Fig. 1d). The hydrophilic pocket is outlined by highly conserved residues including Ser-119, Arg-122, Asp-224, Arg-227, and Gln-231 (Fig. S5). We suggest that the conserved and accessible nature of this cryptic site could benefit the design of inhibitors with increased binding affinity.

**Peptidoglycan-binding site**

A groove outlined by regions A, C, and D (Fig. 3a and Fig. S4) has been proposed to be the general PG-binding site of LCP enzymes (9, 14, 20), but accurate assignment of binding features is hindered by structural variability surrounding the groove and supports the need to study the S. aureus variant described here for drug discovery efforts. Region A of LcPAS₅A is fully modeled in our structure and exhibits low sequence conservation except for an invariant arginine residue, Arg-99. The side chain of Arg-99 is in contact with the α-phosphate group of the lipid; however, it is expected that Arg-99 will shift to avoid sterical hindrance when a divalent cation is bound (Fig. 1e). The inability of Arg-99 to interact with the lipid in the catalytically active magnesium-bound state suggests that Arg-99 is involved in binding PG but not necessarily the lipid substrate. In the structure of LcPAS₅A, the loop of region C is ordered and positioned to enlarge the putative PG-binding groove (Fig. 3). Region D, found across from region C on the opposite side of the PG groove, adopts a two-stranded β-sheet and often displays an enrichment of aromatic residues that could aid in the binding of PG through carbohydrate-aromatic interactions. In addition, LcPAS₅A Asp-123, Lys-135, and Asn-137 are conserved residues with possible roles in PG binding because they are found away from the lipid substrate and within the putative PG-binding groove. Notably, TagTBS residues equivalent to Asp-123 and Lys-135 (TagTBS Asp-119 and Lys-131) were reported to be essential for activity (20).

To gain further insight into PG binding, we conducted docking studies using a data-driven biomolecular docking program, HADDOCK2.2 (26). A chitin oligosaccharide with three GlcNAc residues (triGlcNAc) was selected as the binding molecule to represent the glycan core of PG. triGlcNAc was docked onto two crystals structures: LcPAS₅A in complex with C₅₅PP–WTA and LcPAS₅A in complex with C₅₀PP–GlcNAc–ManNAc (PDB code 6MPS) (Fig. 3 and Fig. S6). The C₆-hydroxyl group belonging to the central GlcNAc of triGlcNAc was selected as the nucleophile, and distance constraints were imposed on its position relative to the CZ atom of the putative arginine general base (LcPAS₅A Arg-122; TagTBS Arg-118; 3.5 Å) and the β-phosphorus group of the pyrophosphoryl moiety (electrophile; 2.5 Å). Conserved surface-exposed active-site residues in the vicinity of the electrophile were identified using ConSurf and selected as residues with potential to bind triGlcNAc (27). Regions A, B, C, and D adjacent to the active site were specified as fully flexible. 78 models of triGlcNAc-bound LcPAS₅A clustered into 8 groups, and 98 models of triGlcNAc-bound TagTBS clustered into 12 groups (Tables S3 and S4). The top-scoring clusters of the two docking experiments produced structurally similar complexes that allowed mapping of saccharide-binding subsites in the predicted PG-binding groove. Subsite 0, adjacent to the β-phosphate group and above LcPAS₅A Arg-122, houses the saccharide representing the MurNAc moiety of PG with the putative nucleophilic C₆-hydroxyl group. Subsite 1 is adjacent to LcPAS₅A Asp-224, and subsite -1 is between LcPAS₅A Lys-135 and Arg-99. The variability in interactions with the glycan among top-scoring structures prevented accurate assignment of PG binding. Sequence conservation is low outside of the three subsites mapped in our docking study, and high variability was observed in docking experiments conducted with longer glycan strands. Importantly, there appears to be ample space in the groove to accommodate additional saccharides at either end of the identified subsites.

**Catalytic mechanism**

The reaction catalyzed by LCP enzymes is presumably similar to other biological phosphoryl-transfer reactions in which the nucleophile aligns with the electrophilic phosphorous (the β-phosphorous of C₅₅PP–WTA) and the leaving group (the α-phosphorous of C₅₅PP–WTA) for an in-line attack (Fig. 4a). It is unlikely that the reaction proceeds through the formation of a covalent enzyme intermediate because no residues are suitably positioned to play the role of the nucleophile in LcPAS₅A and other variants (Fig. 3a). As such, we infer that the C₆-hydroxyl group of PG MurNAc acts as the nucleophile and sits adjacent to the β-phosphorus group of the lipid substrate donor in the putative PG-binding groove. Furthermore, no conserved acidic residues that might typically act as the general base in deprotonation/activation of the C₆-hydroxyl are appropriately localized. Based on the putative location of the nucleophile, we considered Arg-122 and Arg-218 of LcPAS₅A as candidates to carry out the role of general base. Indeed, the equivalent TagTBS residues, Arg-118 and Arg-219, were reported to be essential for activity in vitro (20). There is precedent for enzymes to use arginine in a general base role, and commonalities among them are shared with LCP enzymes (29).

Notably, the pKₐ of the arginine general base is believed to be depressed by adjacent positive charges, such as the cluster of arginine residues in the active site of LCP enzymes (29). Another common feature is the activation of the guanidinium group by a nearby carboxylate group (29). In the structure of LcPAS₅A, the two general base candidates are adjacent to the carboxylate groups of Asp-123 and Asp-224. Between the two aspartate residues, only the TagTBS residue (Asp-119) corresponding to LcPAS₅A Asp-123 was found to be essential (20). It has been proposed that carboxylate groups may depress the pKₐ of a guanidinium group by twisting the planar conformation, adopted by the protonated form, to eliminate resonance (29). Alternatively, the carboxylate group may facilitate proton exchange for rapid equilibrium between the deprotonated and protonated forms of guanidine (29). Exchange between the two forms is also expected to be rapid because of their relatively high solvent exposure (29). Of the two candidates, Arg-122 has a higher likelihood to be the general base because the adjacent carboxylate of Asp-123 is both conserved and essential. The only carboxylate group that the other general base candidate, Arg-218, can interact with belongs to Asp-224, a nonessential but invariant residue among LCP enzymes. The structure of

---

**Crystallographic analysis of LytR–CpsA–Psr enzymes**

Fig. S4

Fig. S6

---
LcpASA also shows that the α-phosphate group of the bound lipid forms a salt bridge with the guanidyl group of Arg-216, a feature that is observed with other lipid-bound structures of LCP enzymes (9, 14, 20). Given its unique positioning, we propose that Arg-216 acts as the general acid with proton exchange of its guanidinium side chain promoted by access to bulk solvent. In support, the corresponding Corynebacterium glutamicum LcpA residue, Arg-257, was reported to be essential for growth in a complementation study (25).

Collectively, we propose that catalysis is initiated when the transient deprotonated form of Arg-122 abstracts a proton from the PG MurNAc C6-hydroxyl group (Fig. 4a). In addition to coordinating the pyrophosphate moiety for catalysis, the magnesium ion and the invariant arginine residues are likely involved in stabilizing the transition state. This stabilization may occur through charge neutralization and the formation of stronger interactions with the trigonal bipyramidal transition state over the tetrahedral ground state. When the transition state collapses, a covalent bond between PG and WTA is formed, and the α-phosphate leaving group abstracts a proton from the guanidinium of Arg-216.

Pyrophosphatase activity and oligomerization

The catalytic release of P from pyrophosphate lipids by LCP enzymes has been reported (9, 14, 21, 25, 30). To compare the pyrophosphatase activity of S. aureus and B. subtilis LCP enzymes, we incubated 500 μM C10-PP with 50 μM purified LCP enzymes (Fig. 4b). A detergent (n-dodecyl β-D-maltoside) was included in the buffer to facilitate the release of endogenous lipids. LcpASA was found to exhibit the highest pyrophosphatase activity, with ~14% of C10-PP enzymatically cleaved after an 18-h incubation at 37 °C. In contrast, TagVBS did not exhibit pyrophosphatase activity under these conditions, and the other enzymes were found to cleave 2–3% of C10-PP. These differences could stem from a number of factors including the affinity for the lipid substrate. Analysis of the transferase activity was prevented by difficulties in substrate production at sufficient yield.

LCP enzymes are generally regarded as monomeric proteins. A notable exception is C. glutamicum LcpA, which has been reported to dimerize under oxidizing conditions and tetramerize in reducing environments based on size-exclusion chromatography results (25). In our purification of S. aureus and
B. subtilis LCP enzymes, small populations of oligomers were identified. To determine the oligomeric state of the complexes, size-exclusion chromatography with multiangle static light-scattering detection was performed (Fig. S7). LcpCSA, TagTBS, and TagVBS were found to assemble consistently into decamers with molecular masses of the oligomers (320.3 ± 11006 8.4, 357.3 ± 11006 2.9, and 330.8 ± 11006 7.8 kDa) determined to be 10.4, 10.3, and 10.1 multiples of the corresponding monomers (30.7 ± 11006 0.4, 34.8 ± 11006 0.2, and 32.8 ± 11006 0.8 kDa), respectively. Furthermore, TagTBS and TagVBS were also found to elute in a dimeric state (75.9 ± 0.9 and 65.9 ± 3.2 kDa, respectively), which suggests the decamers to be an assembly of dimers. Unfortunately, oligomers of the other LCP enzymes dissociated prior to analysis by size-exclusion chromatography with multiangle static light-scattering detection. Stable formation of the oligomers may require the missing transmembrane anchor and undiscovered binding partners.

Discussion

LCP-catalyzed secondary cell wall polymer attachment to peptidoglycan is an attractive therapeutic target because inhibition of this process is expected to disrupt peptidoglycan assembly, cell division, and pathogenicity. Furthermore, inhibitors of LCP enzymes would not be constrained by issues of membrane permeability. Here, we focused on the first atomic resolution analysis of the central LCP enzyme that mediates attachment of wall teichoic acid to peptidoglycan in S. aureus. Our crystal structures and modeled substrate-bound complexes provide a comprehensive understanding of key features linked to substrate binding and catalysis that should aid in the structure-guided design of inhibitors.

The structure of LcpASA in complex with C40-PP–GlcNAc provided clarification on the orientation of the saccharide headgroup and revealed a dependence on hydrophobic and van der Waals contacts rather than polar interactions with the enzyme (Fig. 1c). Another factor in the positioning of the GlcNAc moiety is an intramolecular hydrogen bond between the nitrogen atom of the N-acetyl group and a phosphoryl oxygen of the α-phosphate group (Fig. 1c).

The crystal contact at region A of the LcpASA structure inadvertently aided our structural investigation because it displaced the active-site magnesium ion and prevented the catalytic removal of the lipid headgroup. Despite the absence of the divalent cation, the pyrophosphate moiety of the lipid is appropriately positioned by salt-bridge interactions with multiple invariant arginine residues (Fig. 1c). One of these residues, Arg-218 of LcpASA, is found on the loop of region C, which we noted...
as being highly flexible in a comparison between all available LCP enzyme structures. We speculate that flexibility of the loop aids in product expulsion because displacement of the resident arginine residue would weaken interactions with the phosphate moieties of the products.

In our analysis of the lipid-binding pocket of LcpA, we discovered the presence of a hydrophilic subpocket occupied by a glycerol molecule from the cryoprotectant (Fig. 1d). The binding of the glycerol molecule indicates that the buried pocket is not strictly enclosed and could accommodate exogenously added molecules. Importantly, the presence of this cryptic pocket is not restricted to LcpA because the surrounding residues are highly conserved. In addition to the substrate-binding sites, the buried hydrophilic pocket and the shallow pocket occupied by the N-acetyl group should be considered in drug design strategies to increase binding specificity and affinity.

The results of our computational docking experiments led to the identification of three potential PG saccharide-binding sites in proximity to conserved residues including Arg-99, Lys-135, Asn-137, and Asp-224 of LcpA (Fig. 3). Additional information regarding the acceptor-binding site is acquired by comparing our LCP enzyme structures with that of A. oris LcpA, which catalyzes glycosylation with a protein acceptor (Fig. S4). Notably, the β-sheet of region B is extended by two antiparallel β-strands inserted into region C of A. oris LcpA. In addition, a loop with a 3_10 helix replaces β-strands 11 and 12 that make up region D in conventional LCP enzymes. This loop is shifted away from the active site, closing off one end of the putative acceptor substrate-binding groove while enlarging the opposite end, presumably for accommodating the protein substrate. These differences highlight the importance of the antiparallel β-strands of region D for PG binding in our structures. In addition to the differences in secondary structure, Asp-224 of LcpA is replaced by an alanine in A. oris LcpA. These findings support our proposed role of LcpA in Asp-224 in PG binding and not in the activation of the general base. The conservation of LcpA Asp-123 among all LCP enzymes suggests that this aspartate is a more likely candidate for the execution of this role.

Crystallographic analysis of LytR–CpsA–Psr enzymes

Experimental procedures

Cloning, expression, and purification

Codon-optimized DNA sequences encoding for S. aureus LcpA (Uniprot Q99Q02), LcpB (Uniprot A0A0H3JR6), and LcpC (Uniprot A0A0H3NL8) and B. subtilis TagT (Uniprot Q7WY78), TagU (Uniprot Q02115), and TagV (Uniprot A9N699) were used as templates for amplifying regions of interest. The PCR products were cloned into modified pET28a (Novagen) and pET41b (Novagen) vectors for expression with a thrombin-cleavable hexahistidine tag. The plasmids were transformed into E. coli BL21 (DE3) and grown in ZYP-5052 autoinduction medium at 37 °C for 4 h before lowering the temperature to 25 °C for 18 h. SeMet-substituted TagUBS was expressed using a metabolic inhibition protocol (33), pET28a encoding for a N-terminal His-tag was ideal for expression of LcpA (residues 80–327), LcpB (residues 59–405), LcpC (residues 66–315) and TagT (residues 46–322), pET41b encoding for a C-terminal His tag was ideal for expression of TagUBS (residues 62–306) and TagV (residues 72–332). The cells were harvested by centrifugation and resuspended in 20 mM HEPES, pH 8, 150 mM NaCl, 25 mM imidazole for lysis using an Avestin EmulsiFlex-C5 homogenizer. Cell debris was pelleted by centrifugation at 125,000 × g for 45 min, and the supernatant was loaded on a 1-ml HisTrap FF column (GE Healthcare Life Sciences) equilibrated in the lysis buffer. The column was washed with 25 column volumes of the same buffer prior to elution with a linear imidazole gradient up to 500 mM in 25 ml. Purified enzymes were concentrated with an Amicon Ultra centrifugal filter (10-kDa molecular mass cutoff; EMD Millipore) for further purification by size-exclusion chromatography with a Superdex200 10/300 GL column (GE Healthcare Life Sciences) equilibrated with 20 mM HEPES, pH 8, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM MgCl2. Peak fractions were pooled and concentrated to ~10 mg/ml.

Crystalization and structure determination

The crystals were grown at room temperature using the sitting-drop vapor-diffusion method (1 μl of protein mixed with 1 μl of precipitant). 8.5 mg/ml LcpA was crystallized in 2.4 mM ammonium sulfate, 0.08 mg/ml citric acid, pH 5.2. 10 mg/ml TagUBS was crystallized in 0.2 mM sodium malonate, 0.1 mM Bis-tris propane, pH 6.5, 20% PEG 3350. 12 mg/ml TagUBS was crystallized in 1.6 mM ammonium sulfate, 10 mg/ml TagUBS was crystallized in 0.3 mM ammonium nitrate, 17% PEG 3350. The crystals were cryoprotected in mother liquor supplemented with 30% glycerol and flash-cooled in liquid nitrogen. The diffraction data were collected with the O8JD-1 Beamline of the Canadian Light Source and processed using AutoProcess. The structures of LcpA, TagT, and TagUBS were solved with molecular replacement using Phaser-MR in Phenix with deposited structures (PDB code 3NXH or 4DE9) (14, 34). The structure of TagUBS was determined by single-wavelength anomalous diffraction phasing using a SeMet derivative. Model building and refinement were performed with Phenix and Coot (35, 36).
Crystallographic analysis of LytR–CpsA–Psr enzymes

Coordinates and structure factors were deposited in the Protein Data Bank with accession codes 6UEX (LcpASA), 6UF5 (TagTBS), 6UF6 (TagUBS), and 6UF3 (TagVBS).

Docking

Docking of triGlcNAc to crystal structures of LcpASA in complex with C40−PP–GlcNAc and TagTBS in complex with C30−PP–GlcNAc–ManNAc (PDB code 6MPS) was conducted with HADDOCK2.2 (26). The C6-hydroxyl group of the central GlcNAc was selected as the nucleophile, and distance constraints were imposed on its position relative to the C2 atom of the putative arginine general base (LcpASA Arg-122; TagTBS R118; 3.5 Å) and the β-phosphorous electrophile (2.5 Å). Conserved surface-exposed active-site residues in the vicinity of the electrophile were selected as passive residues with potential to bind triGlcNAc (LcpASA residues 91, 99, 101, 122, 123, 135, 137, 216, 218, 224, and 227; TagTBS residues 82, 95, 97, 118, 119, 131, 133, 217, 219, 224, and 227). These residues are at least 80% conserved among the top 500 homologous sequences compiled using ConSurf (27). Regions A, B, C, and D surrounding the entrance of the lipid-binding pocket were specified as fully flexible regions. Each docking experiment was conducted using 4000 structures for rigid body docking, 1000 structures for semiflexible refinement, and 200 structures for explicit solvent refinement. Clustering of structures was performed using the root-mean-square deviation method with a 2 Å cutoff.

Pyrophosphatase assay

The pyrophosphatase activity of the different ΔTMM LCP enzymes was assessed in triplicate with an end-point assay based on the release of P1. Briefly, the reaction solutions were prepared in 20 mM HEPES, pH 8, 150 mM NaCl, 10 mM MgCl2, 1.4 mM n-dodecyl β-D-maltoside and contained 500 μM C10−PP (Sigma) and 50 μM of the particular LCP enzyme under scrutiny. These reaction mixtures were incubated at 37 °C for 18 h before diluting them ~2.5-fold while simultaneously adding 1 unit/ml nucleoside phosphorylase and 200 μM 2-amino-6-mercapto-7-methylpurine ribonucleoside from an EnzChek phosphatase assay kit (Molecular Probes). Following a 10-min incubation period at room temperature, the absorbance of 20-μl aliquots was measured at 360 nm with a Synergy H4 multimode plate reader (BioTek). P1 released from the enzymatic cleavage of C10−PP was determined with control experiments lacking either or both C10−PP and an LCP enzyme.

Size-exclusion chromatography with multichannel static light scattering

Samples of freshly-purified ΔTMM LCP enzymes (2 mg/ml in 100 μl) pooled from the oligomer peak after size-exclusion chromatography on a Superdex200 10/300 GL column (GE Healthcare Life Sciences) were subjected to size-exclusion chromatography analysis a second time (Superdex200 10/300 GL, 22 °C, and flow rate of 0.45 ml/min) but with in-line multichannel light-scattering analysis (miniDAWN TREOS coupled with an Optilab T–REX differential refractometer; Wyatt Technologies) to determine the oligomerization state. No reducing agent was included in the buffer because none of the enzymes contain cysteine residues that might form disulfide bonds. The data were analyzed using the ASTRA version 6.1 software package provided by the manufacturer, and the results cited herein represent the averages of three separate experiments.

Analysis software

Crystal structures were visualized and represented using UCSF Chimera and PyMOL (37, 38). The cavity size of the lipid-binding pockets was determined using CASTp with a default radius probe of 1.4 Å (39). Interactions were probed using LigPlot and PLIP (40, 41). Sequence alignments were produced using the ESPript 3.0 server (42). Sequence conservation was analyzed using ConSurf (27). The domain structures were visualized using Domain Graph (43). Scatterplots were generated using Interactive Dotplot (44).
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