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ABSTRACT

We present results from the first high-resolution hydrodynamical simulations of non-Gaussian cosmological models. We focus on the statistical properties of the transmitted Lyman-α flux in the high-redshift intergalactic medium. Imprints of non-Gaussianity are present and are larger at high redshifts. Differences larger than 20 per cent at z > 3 in the flux probability distribution function for high-transmissivity regions (voids) are expected for values of the non-linearity parameter $f_{NL} = \pm 100$ when compared to a standard $\Lambda$ cold dark matter cosmology with $f_{NL} = 0$. We also investigate the one-dimensional flux bispectrum: at the largest scales (corresponding to tens of Mpc), we expect deviations in the flux bispectrum up to 20 per cent at $z \sim 4$ (for $f_{NL} = \pm 100$), significantly larger than deviations of $\sim 3$ per cent in the flux power spectrum. We briefly discuss possible systematic errors that can contaminate the signal. Although challenging, a detection of non-Gaussianities in the interesting regime of scales and redshifts probed by the Lyman-α forest could be possible with future data sets.
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1 INTRODUCTION

According to the standard gravitational instability picture, present-day cosmic structures have evolved from tiny initial fluctuations in the mass density field that obey Gaussian statistics. However, departures from Gaussianity inevitably arise at some level during the inflationary epoch. The various mechanisms that produce primordial non-Gaussianity during inflation have been thoroughly investigated by Bartolo et al. (2004, and references therein). A convenient way of modelling non-Gaussianity is to include quadratic correction in Bardeen’s gauge-invariant potential $\Phi$:

$$\Phi = \Phi_L + f_{NL}(\Phi_L^2 - \langle \Phi_L^2 \rangle) ,$$

where $\Phi_L$ represents a Gaussian random field and the dimensionless parameter $f_{NL}$ quantifies the amplitude of the corrections to the curvature perturbations. The above definition in which the term $-f_{NL}\langle \Phi_L^2 \rangle$ is small guarantees that $\langle \Phi \rangle = \langle \Phi_L \rangle = 0$. Although the quadratic model quantifies the level of primordial non-Gaussianity predicted by a large number of scenarios for the generation of the initial seeds for structure formation (including standard single-field and multifield inflation, the curvaton and the inhomogeneous reheating scenarios), one should keep in mind that there are different ways for a density field to be non-Gaussian (NG) and that different observational tests capable of going beyond second-order statistics should be used to fully characterize the nature of non-Gaussianity.

To date, the strongest observational constraint for NG models is provided by the recent analysis of the Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) 5-year temperature fluctuation maps (Komatsu et al. 2008) according to which $-9 < f_{NL} < 111$ at the 95 per cent confidence level in the local model. The large-scale structure (LSS) provides alternative observational constraints which are, in principle, more stringent than the cosmic microwave background (CMB) since they carry information on the three-dimensional primordial fluctuation fields, rather than on a two-dimensional temperature map. Moreover, if the level of primordial non-Gaussianity depends on scale, then CMB and LSS provide independent constraints since they probe different scales. For this reason, the WMAP 5-year limits on $f_{NL}$ need not be applied on the smaller scales probed by the LSS, and the NG models that we consider in this work, which have $|f_{NL}|$ as large as 200, are thus not in conflict with the CMB on the scales which are relevant for our analysis.

A very promising way to constrain departures from Gaussianity is to measure the various properties of massive virialized structures like their abundance (Matarrese, Verde & Jimenez 2000; Verde et al. 2001; Lo Verde et al. 2008), clustering and their biasing (Grinstein & Wise 1986; Matarrese, Lucchin & Bonometto 1986; Carbone,
and show an example of simulated Lyman-\(\alpha\) quasar [quasi-stellar object (QSO)] spectrum. In Section 3, we present the results of the various flux statistics. In Section 4, we address the role of systematic and statistical errors that could contaminate the NG signal. We conclude in Section 5.

2 NON-GAUSSIAN HYDRODYNAMICAL SIMULATIONS

We rely on simulations run with the parallel hydrodynamical (TreeSPH) code GADGET-2 based on the conservative ‘entropy-formulation’ of smoothed particle hydrodynamics (SPH) (Springel 2005). They consist of a cosmological volume with periodic boundary conditions filled with an equal number of dark matter and gas particles. Radiative cooling and heating processes were followed for a primordial mix of hydrogen and helium. We assumed a mean ultraviolet background similar to that proposed by Haardt & Madau (1996) produced by quasars and galaxies as given by with helium heating rates multiplied by a factor of 3.3 in order to better fit observational constraints on the temperature evolution of the IGM (e.g. Schaye et al. 2000; Ricotti, Gnedin & Shull 2000). This background naturally gives a hydrogen ionization rate \(\Gamma_{\mathrm{H}} \sim 1\) at the redshifts of interest here (e.g. Bolton et al. 2005; Faucher-Giguère et al. 2008). The star formation criterion is a very simple one that converts all the gas particles whose temperature falls below \(10^5\) K and whose density contrast is larger than 1000 into collisionless stars (it has been shown that the star formation criterion has a negligible impact on flux statistics.). More details can be found in Viel et al. (2004a).

The cosmological reference model corresponds to a ‘fiducial’ \(\Lambda\) cold dark matter (LCDM) Universe with parameters at \(z = 0\), \(\Omega_m = 0.26, \Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.74, \Omega_b = 0.0463, n_s = 0.95\) and \(H_0 = 72\) km s\(^{-1}\) Mpc\(^{-1}\) and \(\sigma_8 = 0.85\) (the B2 series of Viel et al. 2004a). We have used 2 \(\times 384^3\) dark matter and gas particles in a 60 h\(^{-1}\) comoving Mpc box for the flux power and bispectrum, to better sample the large scales. For the flux PDF, we relied instead on 2 \(\times 256^3\) dark matter and gas particles in a 20 h\(^{-1}\) comoving Mpc, since below and around \(z = 3\) this seems to be the appropriate resolution to get numerical convergence. The gravitational softening was set to 2.5 and 5 h\(^{-1}\) kpc in comoving units for all particles for the 20 and 60 comoving Mpc h\(^{-1}\) boxes, respectively. The mass per gas particle is 6.12 \(\times 10^6\) M\(_{\odot}\) h\(^{-1}\) for the small boxes and 4.9 \(\times 10^7\) M\(_{\odot}\) h\(^{-1}\) for the large boxes, while the high-resolution run for the small box has a mass per gas particle of 1.8 \(\times 10^6\) M\(_{\odot}\) h\(^{-1}\) [this refers to a (20, 384) simulation that was performed in order to check for numerical convergence of the flux PDF]. In the following, the different simulations will be indicated by two numbers, \((N_1, N_2)\): \(N_1\) is the size of the box in comoving Mpc h\(^{-1}\) and \(N_2\) is the cubic root of the total number of gas particles in the simulation. NG is produced in the initial conditions at \(z = 99\) using the same method as in Grossi et al. (2007) that we briefly summarize here. Initial NG conditions are generated without modifying the linear matter power spectrum using the Zel’dovich approximation: a Gaussian gravitational potential is generated in Fourier space from a power-law power spectrum of the form \(P(k) \propto k^{-3}\) and inverse Fourier transformed in real space to produce \(\Phi_k\). The final \(\Phi\) is obtained using equation (1). Finally, back in Fourier space, we modulate the power-law spectrum using the transfer functions of the LCDM model.

We also run some other simulations at higher resolutions to check for numerical convergence. In particular, we have performed...
a (20,384) simulation run to analyse the flux PDF. For the (20,256) models, the flux PDF has numerically converged only below \( z = 3 \) (see Bolton et al. 2008). However, since our results will always be quoted in comparison with the \( f_{\text{NL}} = 0 \) case (i.e. as a ratio of two different quantities), we expect the resolution errors to be unimportant (i.e. we assume the same resolution corrections should be applied to all the models, even though this assumption should be explicitly checked).

A projected density slice of the gas (IGM) distribution for the (20,256) simulation of thickness 1.5 comoving Mpc \( h^{-1} \) is shown in Fig. 1. We focus on this simulation because at \( z = 3 \) the flux PDF has numerically converged. In the middle panel, we plot the gas density in the Gaussian case, while residuals in the two models with \( f_{\text{NL}} = -200 \) and \(+200\) are shown in the left- and right-hand panels, respectively. On average regions of the cosmic web below the mean density appear to be \( \sim 10 \) per cent less (more) dense in the negative (positive) \( f_{\text{NL}} \) case. This trend is apparent not only near the centre of these regions but also in the matter surrounding them [see for example the void at \((x = 17; y = 8)\) (comoving Mpc \( h^{-1} \)]. The same qualitative behaviour can be observed in the distribution of the dark matter particles (see fig. 2 of Grossi et al. 2008).

In the NG models considered here, the growth of structures in terms of density PDF is different. As discussed in Grossi et al. (2008), the maps of residuals in the NG cases reflect the differences in the primordial PDF of the mass overdensity. As shown in figs 1 and 5 of Grossi et al. (2008), the mass PDF is skewed towards the positive (negative) overdensities in the NG models with positive (negative) \( f_{\text{NL}} \) values, as compared to the Gaussian case. As a consequence, since the gas traces the underlying mass distribution at these redshifts well, voids emptier in the \( f_{\text{NL}} = -200 \) case (map on the left), while denser environments like filaments and knots look more prominent in the \( f_{\text{NL}} = +200 \) case (map on the right) with respect to the Gaussian case. These differences in the tails of the density PDF also impact on the filaments around the mean density that surround the voids. In fact the size of the voids is slightly different in the negative and positive NG models: for negative \( f_{\text{NL}} \) values, the emptier voids grow in size faster than for the Gaussian case and even faster than for positive \( f_{\text{NL}} \) values, displacing the filaments around the mean densities at different positions in the three cases and giving rise to the filamentary pattern of residuals of the panels.

To perform our analysis, we have extracted several mock QSO absorption spectra from the simulation box. All spectra are drawn in the redshift space taking into account the effect of the IGM peculiar velocities along the line-of-sight \( v_{\text{pec}} \). Basically, the simulated flux at the redshift-space coordinate \( u \) (in km s\(^{-1}\)) is given by

\[
\tau(u) = \frac{\sigma_{0,\alpha}}{H(z)} \int_{\infty}^{u} \, dx \, n_{\text{HI}}(x) \mathcal{G}(u - x - v_{\text{IGM,pec}}(x), b(x)) \, dx, \tag{2}
\]

where \( \sigma_{0,\alpha} = 4.45 \times 10^{-18} \) cm\(^2\) is the hydrogen Lyman-\(\alpha\) cross-section, \( H(z) \) is the Hubble constant at redshift \( z \), \( x \) is the real-space coordinate (in km s\(^{-1}\)), \( b = (2k_{B}T/mc^{2})^{1/2} \) is the velocity dispersion in units of \( c \) and \( \mathcal{G} = (\sqrt{\pi}b)^{-1} \exp[-(u - y - v_{\text{IGM,pec}}(y))^{2}/b^{2}] \) is the Gaussian profile that well approximates the Voigt profile in the regime considered here. The neutral hydrogen density in real space, that enters the equation above, could be related to the underlying gas density by the following expression (e.g. Hui & Gnedin 1997; Schaye 2001):

\[
n_{\text{HI}}(x, z) \approx 10^{-5} \pi_{\text{KGM}}(z) \left( \Omega_{\text{m}} h^{2} / 0.019 \right) \left( \Gamma_{-12} / 0.5 \right)^{-1} \times \frac{T(x, z)}{10^{4} \text{K}} ^{-0.7} \left( \frac{1 + z}{4} \right)^{3} \left[ 1 + \delta_{\text{IGM}}(x, z) \right]^{2}, \tag{3}
\]

with \( \Gamma_{-12} \) is the hydrogen photoionization rate in units of s\(^{-1}\), \( T \) is the IGM temperature and \( \pi_{\text{KGM}}(z) \) is the mean IGM density at that redshift. However, this equation is not explicitly used since the neutral hydrogen fraction is computed self-consistently for each gas particles during the simulation run. The integral in equation (2) to obtain the Lyman-\(\alpha\) optical depth along each of the simulated line of sight is thus performed using the relevant hydrodynamical quantities from the numerical simulations: \( n_{\text{HI}}, T, v_{\text{pec}} \). More details on how to extract a mock QSO spectrum from an hydrodynamical simulation using the SPH formalism can be found in Theuns et al. (1998).

An example of line of sight is shown in the top panel of Fig. 2, while the bottom panel shows the ratio of the gas density along
1) that are typically associated with z = 5.5 and around 2 per cent at 100, fNL = ± 0.8, + NL 0.9 − NL = ± 0.7, NL bracket the Gaussian case with fNL = 0. Although differences among the models are large enough to be appreciated in a statistical sense when many spectra are considered (see text). The fact that the transmitted flux is close to unity (upper panel). Three QSO spectra are shown with different line styles and correspond to the Gaussian case (dashed, red line) and to fNL = ± 200 (solid black and solid blue, respectively). The transmitted flux (no noise is added in this case) is almost identical for the two NG models in magnitude but not in sign, as expected. One can better appreciate the differences among the models by looking at the gas density (bottom panel). On average, differences are of the order of 10 per cent are present.

the line of sight of NG and Gaussian models (in real space). In the following, we will focus on the high transmissivity in which the transmitted flux is close to unity (upper panel). Three QSO spectra are shown with different line styles and correspond to the Gaussian case (dashed, red line) and to fNL = ± 200 (solid black and solid blue, respectively). The transmitted flux (no noise is added in this case) is almost identical for the two NG models in magnitude but not in sign, as expected. One can better appreciate the differences among the models by looking at the gas density (bottom panel). On average, differences are of the order of 10 per cent, even if in some cases they can rise above 30–40 per cent. The fact that the correspondingly variations in the flux are comparatively smaller (usually less than few per cent) is somehow expected, since differences in the gas density are exponentially suppressed by the non-linear transformation between flux and matter (and by other non-linear effects as well). However, despite their small amplitude, the differences in the transmitted flux are large enough to be appreciated through appropriate statistical analyses of many independent lines of sight, as we will see in the following sections. Global statistics will be usually shown for samples of 1000 lines of sight extracted along random directions within the simulated volume.

3 RESULTS

3.1 The Lyman-α flux probability distribution function

In Fig. 3, we show results for the flux PDF at z = 3, 4 and 5.5 using the (20, 256) simulations in the left-hand, middle and right-hand panels, respectively. The mock QSO spectra have been normalized to reproduce the same (observed) mean flux level. The scaling factor is usually different by less than 2 per cent from the standard NG case (more precisely the differences are below 1 per cent at z = 3, around 1 per cent at z = 4.0 and around 2 per cent at z = 5.5 between the Gaussian and the fNL = ± 200 cases.). Differences between the NG case and the Gaussian one are appreciable only in regions of high transmissivity (flux ∼ 1) that are typically associated with the connected regions below mean density (voids) in the matter distribution. At z = 3 (left-hand panel), the differences can be of the order of 20 per cent (40 per cent) for models with fNL = ± 100 (± 200). Models with negative (positive) values of fNL produce less (more) absorption. This reflects the fact that voids in models with negative fNL are emptier of the neutral hydrogen than in the Gaussian case. The opposite holds true for models with positive fNL. This is
analogous to the effect discussed by Grossi et al. (2008) on the dark matter density field and characterized in terms of the PDF of density fluctuations. In that case, for negative values of $f_{NL}$, the low-density tail of the dark matter density PDF is more prominent. In our case what is more prominent is the high-flux tail of the Lyman-α flux PDF. The amplitude of the effect increases with the redshift. At $z = 4$ (middle panel), differences w.r.t. the Gaussian case are as large as 30–60 per cent (for $f_{NL} = ±100$ and ±200, respectively), and at $z = 5.5$ (right-hand panel), the differences are of the order of ~100–150 per cent (for $f_{NL} = ±100$ and ±200, respectively). Note that in the latter (at $z = 5.5$) case we have used a different scale for the y-axis.

From an observational viewpoint, it should be noted that the Lyman-α flux PDF has been measured with great accuracy using high-resolution spectra taking into account the metal contaminations and continuum-fitting errors at $z = 2.07, 2.54$ and 2.94 by Kim et al. (2007). On the contrary, continuum-fitting errors and the metal contaminations are somewhat harder to estimate in the measurements at higher redshifts ($z = 4.5$ and 5.5) by Becker, Rauch & Sargent (2007). We will come back to this point in Section 4.

3.2 The Lyman-α flux void distribution function

A different, although not completely unrelated, statistics is represented by the PDF of the voids of the given comoving mass $R$. Searching for voids in the Lyman-α forest of observed QSO spectra has a long dating history (see e.g. Carswell & Rees 1987; Crotts 1987; Ostriker, Bajtlik & Duncan 1988; Duncan, Ostriker & Bajtlik 1989; Dobrzycki & Bechtold 1991; Rauch et al. 1992) but in this paper we focus on the impact of non-Gaussianities on their statistical properties.

We define flux voids as in Viel et al. (2008): connected one-dimensional regions along the QSO spectrum whose transmitted flux is above the mean flux level at that redshift. In Fig. 4, we show the ratio between the PDFs of the NG and Gaussian cases at $z = 3.0$. For this plot, we have used the (60, 384) simulations that have the largest box size. Although the size of the largest voids ($R \sim 20$ comoving Mpc $h^{-1}$) is comparable to that of the box, the corresponding differences in the probability distribution are rather mild and of the order of 10–15 per cent.

The differences are as expected for voids of sizes larger than 20 comoving Mpc $h^{-1}$ (while for smaller ones, the differences are negligible): negative values of $f_{NL}$ result in voids that are emptier compared to the standard Gaussian case and thereby the typical sizes could be larger; while the opposite trend can be seen for the positive values of $f_{NL}$. The effect, even for $f_{NL} = ±200$, is however somewhat smaller than the effects that can be induced by changing other cosmological or astrophysical parameters (see the relevant plots in Viel et al. 2008). Furthermore, the uncertainty in the mean flux level at $z = 3$, which enters the definition of a void in the flux, produces an effect that is still larger than the NG signal sought.

3.3 The Lyman-α flux power spectrum

Primordial non-Gaussianity affects the evolution of density perturbation, particularly at the epochs and scales in which they enter the non-linear regime. Deviations from the Gaussian case are larger at high redshift, since at late times the non-linear dynamical effects become dominant. However, the contribution of non-Gaussianity implied by $f_{NL} = ±100$ is always within a few per cent of the total gravitational potential and should not appreciably affect the linear matter power spectrum. Consequently, we also expect the effect on the one-dimensional flux power spectrum to be small.

To quantify the effect, we have plotted in Fig. 5 the one-dimensional flux power spectrum for the Gaussian and NG cases at $z = 3, 4$ and 5.5 in the left-hand, middle and right-hand panels, respectively. Even in this case, the QSO spectra have been normalized to reproduce the same mean flux. Differences to the Gaussian case are of the order of 2, 3 and 5 per cent at the redshifts considered here and manifest themselves as an overall plateau with slightly more power at the largest scales (a factor of 2 larger than at the smallest scales probed). As expected, the effect of primordial non-Gaussianity on the flux power spectrum is small and the effect decreases with time.

In principle, this effect on the flux power is degenerate only with a change in the mean flux level (see e.g. fig. 3 of Viel & Haehnelt 2006 or fig. 13 of McDonald et al. 2005): this means that other changes in cosmological parameters and/or astrophysics produce a different $k$-dependent change in the flux power than the one produced by non-Gaussianities. However, the magnitude of this effect is quite small and probably not detectable with present data sets.

3.4 The Lyman-α flux bispectrum

Unlike the power spectrum, the bispectrum on large scales is sensitive to the statistical properties of primordial fluctuations like a primordial non-Gaussianity (Fry 1994; Verde 2002; Sefusatti & Komatsu 2007). Therefore, the one-dimensional flux bispectrum looks like a very promising statistics to search for non-Gaussianities in the IGM. The Lyman-α flux bispectrum has been calculated for the first time using high-resolution QSO spectra by Viel et al. (2004b). Here, we use the same definition i.e. the real part of the three point function in $k$-space, $D_k = \text{Re} [\delta_F(k_1) \delta_F(k_2) \delta_F(k_3)]$, for closed triangles $k_1 + k_2 + k_3 = 0$, $\delta_F(k)$ is the Fourier transform of $\delta F$. $D_k$ is related to the bispectrum of the flux $B_k(k_1, k_2, k_3)$

$$\langle D_k \rangle = 2\pi B_k(k_1, k_2, k_3) \delta^3(k_1 + k_2 + k_3).$$

$\delta^3(k)$ is the one-dimensional Dirac delta function and $\langle \cdot \rangle$ indicates the ensemble average. Since we compute the one-dimensional...
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Figure 5. Ratio between the simulated one-dimensional flux power spectrum of four different (60, 384) models with $f_{NL} = -200, -100, +100, +200$ and $f_{NL} = 0$, represented by the blue dashed, blue continuous, black continuous and black dashed lines, respectively. Results are shown at $z = 3, 4$ and $5.5$ in the left-hand, middle and right-hand panels, respectively.

In Fig. 6, we plot our findings in terms of ratios between the Gaussian and NG models in the squeezed (top panels) and flattened (bottom panels) configurations. Due to the intrinsic noisy nature of the bispectrum, we have binned the values in $k$-space in the same way as the flux power of the previous section.

One can see that while at $z = 3$ the differences are very small and usually less than $3-4$ per cent, they become much larger and of the order of $30-40$ per cent at $z = 4$. At $z = 5.5$, the differences again become smaller and with different wavenumber dependence. It is possible to interpret this trend in the framework of the second-order perturbation theory as done in Viel et al. (2004b): the overall amplitude and shape of the flux bispectrum could not be smooth and strongly depend (in a non-trivial way) on the redshift evolution of the coefficients that describe the evolution of the mean flux level and of the IGM temperature density.

bisppectrum, our triangles are degenerate and we choose two configurations: (i) the flattened configurations for which $k_1 = k_2$ and $k_3 = -2k_1$ and (ii) the squeezed configuration for which $k_1 = k - k_{\text{min}}$, $k_2 = -k - k_{\text{min}}$ and $k_3 = 2k_{\text{min}}$, with $k_{\text{min}} = 2\pi/L$ (L as the linear size of the box in km s$^{-1}$). In the following we will always show the flux bispectrum as a function of the wavenumber $k = k_1$. In Viel et al. (2004b), a numerical calculation of the flux bispectrum was compared to the analytical estimates obtained through an expansion at second order of the fluctuating Gunn–Peterson approximation (Gunn & Peterson 1965): while the overall amplitude and shape of the bispectrum was not matched by the theory, the shape, at least at large scales, was well reproduced. However, the theoretical expression for the flux bispectrum contained only the gravitational terms. Here, we extend this work by computing the flux bispectrum for NG Gaussian models using the numerical hydrodynamical simulations performed.

In Fig. 6, we plot our findings in terms of ratios between the Gaussian and NG models in the squeezed (top panels) and flattened (bottom panels) configurations. Due to the intrinsic noisy nature of the bispectrum, we have binned the values in $k$-space in the same way as the flux power of the previous section.

One can see that while at $z = 3$ the differences are very small and usually less than $3-4$ per cent, they become much larger and of the order of $30-40$ per cent at $z = 4$. At $z = 5.5$, the differences again become smaller and with different wavenumber dependence. It is possible to interpret this trend in the framework of the second-order perturbation theory as done in Viel et al. (2004b): the overall amplitude and shape of the flux bispectrum could not be smooth and strongly depend (in a non-trivial way) on the redshift evolution of the coefficients that describe the evolution of the mean flux level and of the IGM temperature density.

% Figure 5. Ratio between the simulated one-dimensional flux power spectrum of four different (60, 384) models with $f_{NL} = -200, -100, +100, +200$ and $f_{NL} = 0$, represented by the blue dashed, blue continuous, black continuous and black dashed lines, respectively. Results are shown at $z = 3, 4$ and $5.5$ in the left-hand, middle and right-hand panels, respectively.

% Figure 6. Ratio between the simulated one-dimensional flux bispectrum in the flattened $(k, k, -2k)$ (bottom row) and squeezed configuration $(k, -k, -k)$ (top row) $(k - k_{\text{min}}, -k - k_{\text{min}}, 2k_{\text{min}})$ with $k_{\text{min}} = 2\pi/L$ (and with L as the linear size of the box in km s$^{-1}$) of four different (60, 384) models with $f_{NL} = -200, -100, +100, +200$ and $f_{NL} = 0$, represented by the blue dashed, blue continuous, black continuous and black dashed lines, respectively. Results are shown at $z = 3, 4$ and $5.5$ in the left-hand, middle and right-hand panel, respectively.
Figure 7. Ratio between the simulated flux PDF of two different (20, 256) models with \( f_{\text{NL}} = -200, +200 \) and the gaussian model with \( f_{\text{NL}} = 0 \), represented by the blue and black lines, respectively. The continuous lines are for an infinite S/N, while dashed and dotted are for S/Ns of 100 and 250. Results are shown at \( z = 3, 4 \) and 5 in the left-hand, middle and right-hand panels. The continuum errors are modelled as described in the text and are of the order of 2 per cent at \( z = 3 \) and 10 per cent at \( z = 4, 5.5 \). In the left-hand panel, we report as a filled area the statistical error bars of the flux PDF at \( z = 2.94 \), as found in Kim et al. (2007).

4 DISCUSSION

Among the different flux statistics that we have explored, the flux PDF seems to be the most promising in order to detect the primordial non-Gaussianity. However, to assess whether such information can actually be extracted from the real data sets, one needs to compare the expected signal with the amplitude of the known errors. The present statistical uncertainties in the flux PDF at \( z < 3 \) derived from the high-resolution, high signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) spectra is below 4–5 per cent. This number was derived using jackknife estimators from a suite of high-resolution, high S/N (\( > 50 \) and usually around 100) QSO spectra by Kim et al. (2007). This is smaller than the effect we are seeking and possibly the NG signature is degenerate with other effects such as a change in the temperature evolution of the IGM.

In Fig. 7, we show in a quantitative way the effect of the observational errors on the flux PDF at \( z = 3, 4 \) and 5.5. We use a realistic (observed) array of S/N values taken from Kim et al. (2007) at \( z = 2.94 \). The S/N depends on the transmitted flux, and the average S/N value for the noise array taken turned out to be \( \sim 100 \).

The various curves in these plots are the same as in Fig. 3 for the \( f_{\text{NL}} = \pm 200 \) cases only. The dashed refers to the realistic errors of Kim et al. (2007) corresponding to an average S/N = 100. We also plot the case of a more favourable case with S/N = 250 (dotted curve), while the infinite S/N error is represented by the continuous line. A second source of the uncertainty is represented by continuum-fitting errors that we have modelled in a statistical way that produces a \( \pm 2, \pm 6 \) and \( \pm 10 \) per cent displacement of the continuum level at \( z = 3, 4 \) and 5.5, respectively. These numbers have been derived by the estimates of Kim et al. (2007) and Becker et al. (2007) based on the analysis of high-resolution, high S/N QSO spectra. To account for these errors, we have adjusted the simulated continuum of the transmitted flux along every line of sight by a factor of \( 1 \pm G \), where \( G \) is a number drawn from Gaussian distributions with width 0.02, 0.06 and 0.1 at \( z = 3, 4 \) and 5.5, respectively. This should provide a reasonable estimate of the continuum-fitting errors’ effects on the flux PDF as long as these errors are Gaussian.

Of course, taking into account realistic S/N values and the continuum-fitting errors reduces the significance of the NG signal. We find that for a S/N of 100 (250) it is reduced by 40 per cent (20 per cent) at \( z = 3 \); in the same way at higher redshifts, where the NG signal is higher, we find similar values. The continuum-fitting errors are somewhat more important and reduce the significance of the NG signal on the flux PDF for \( f_{\text{NL}} = \pm 200 \) by \( \sim 40 \) per cent at \( z = 3 \). However, adding the two sources of errors at the same time as shown in Fig. 7 decreases the NG signal by 45, 40 and 80 per cent at \( z = 3, 4 \) and 5.5. This is represented by the shaded area in the leftmost panel and refers to \( z = 2.94 \). Ideally, one would like the NG signal to be larger than the statistical errors once all the systematic errors have been taken into account. At \( z = 3 \) we are indeed in this case, but only marginally so. We find that the effect of including continuum uncertainties at \( z = 3 \) has the same quantitative effect of dealing with a S/N of 250 instead of an infinite one, and when these two errors are added together the effect on the flux PDF is of the same order of the NG signal for \( f_{\text{NL}} = \pm 200 \). At higher redshifts, the situation becomes slightly better. However, despite the reduction of the NG signal, its signature is still large enough to be detected, especially at \( z > 3 \), and a higher significance could of course be reached once all the Lyman-\( \alpha \) flux statistics (PDF, flux power and bispectrum) will be fitted at the same time.

We stress that our quantitative arguments do not include the possible degeneracies on the flux PDF of NG with other cosmological and astrophysical parameters as addressed in Bolton et al. (2008). It is however intriguing that a better fit to the PDF data presented there at \( F > 0.8 \) would require emptier voids and thus negative values of \( f_{\text{NL}} \).

5 CONCLUSIONS AND PERSPECTIVES

In this work, we have explored the possibility of constraining primordial non-Gaussianity through the statistical properties of Lyman-\( \alpha \) forest QSO spectra at \( z \geq 3 \). For this purpose, and for the first time, we have performed a suite of high-resolution NG hydrodynamical simulations. Although recent analyses have provided convincing evidence that the most stringent constraints to primordial non-Gaussianity will be likely provided by the large-scale biasing properties of rare, massive objects (e.g. Slosar et al. 2008), the analysis of the Lyman-\( \alpha \) forest has to be regarded as a complementary since it would probe non-Gaussianity on smaller scales and at intermediate epochs between other LSS probes and the CMB.
The main results of this study can be summarized as follows.

(i) The differences between the Gaussian and the NG scenarios are more evident in regions of high-flux transmissivity associated to low-density environments in the gas distribution.

(ii) Deviations from the Gaussian case are best seen in the high-flux tail of the one-dimensional flux PDF: differences are of the order of 20–30 per cent and $z = 3$ and increase up to ~100 per cent at $z = 5.5$.

(iii) Differences in the void distribution function are comparatively smaller, indicating that the PDF is a better statistics to spot primordial non-Gaussianity.

(iv) The one-dimensional flux power spectrum is little affected by non-Gaussianity, as expected by the analogy with the matter power spectrum: the measured differences are of the order of a few per cent and increase at higher redshifts.

(v) The flux bispectrum represents a much more powerful statistics and potentially could provide strong constraints.

(vi) The significance of the NG signal is highly reduced when one accounts for the realistic S/N values in the measured flux PDF and continuum-fitting errors at high redshifts; nevertheless, significant constraints on the non-Gaussianity can still be extracted from the analysis of the high-flux tail of the flux PDF.

The statistical error bars on the flux power as measured using the SDSS Data Release 3 by McDonald (2006) are usually in the range 3–10 per cent (going from the small scales 0.01 $\text{s km}^{-1}$ to the largest 0.001 $\text{s km}^{-1}$) in the range $z = 2–4$, so the NG signal in this case is of the order of 50 per cent (Viel et al. 2004b), as making $5.5$. Even in this case to the largest $z$ even, $N$ at the $100$ per cent and increase at higher redshifts.

The statistical error bars derived from the present data sets of QSO spectra at high resolution in the flux PDF function are usually below 5 per cent for high-transmissivity regions. This value is basically comparable to what could be seen at high redshifts, while this value is smaller than the statistical error (even though combining all the data points the error on the power-spectrum amplitude becomes 0.6 per cent and on its slope $\pm 0.005$). The SDSS Data Release 3 is based on a sample of 3035, increasing the number of observed QSO spectra will further reduce the statistical error by a factor of $\sqrt{N_{\text{QSO}}}$ making the NG signature more evident, once the degeneracies with all the other cosmological and astrophysical parameters will be properly addressed.

Regarding the flux bispectrum, the present statistical error bars at $z \sim 2$ are of the order of 50 per cent (Viel et al. 2004b), as derived from high-resolution spectra, a value that is much larger than what is expected from a NG signal at that redshift, while this value is comparable to what could be seen at $z \sim 4$. Even in this case, in order to study putative NG signatures in a precise way in the flux bispectrum, more work is needed to address the numerical convergence of the flux bispectrum and to incorporate the relevant physical processes that can affect its shape and amplitude down to smaller scales than those probed by the flux power.

The statistical error bars derived from the present data sets of QSO spectra at high resolution in the flux PDF function are usually below 5 per cent for high-transmissivity regions. This value is basically determined by the S/N of the spectra and, at least potentially, higher S/Ns can be achieved and beat down this statistical error. This statistic seems promising due to the large number of QSO spectra available and to the better understanding of the systematics. Among the possible systematics, the most important is the uncertainty due to the continuum-fitting errors which however could probably be significantly reduced at high redshifts with a better understanding and removal of the QSO continuum.
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