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Abstract
Objective: To evaluate the efficacy and safety of simultaneous administration of dutasteride, tadalafil, and solifenacin in the treatment of benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH) with overactive bladder symptoms and lower urinary tract obstruction in previously unsuccessfully treated men.

Methods: Patients in Group A (n=97) received dutasteride 0.5 mg/day, tadalafil 2.5 mg/day, and solifenacin 2.5 mg/day; Group B (n=95) received dutasteride 0.5 mg/day, tadalafil 5 mg/day, and solifenacin 5 mg/day; Group C (n=103) received dutasteride 0.5 mg/day, tadalafil 20 mg/day, and solifenacin 10 mg/day. The functional status of the lower urinary tract was assessed using the International Prostate Symptom Score (I-PSS), Overactive Bladder Questionnaire (OABq), International Index of Erectile Function (IIEF), and Male Sexual Health Questionnaire Ejaculatory Dysfunction (MSHQ-EjD) as well as uroflowmetry.

Results: The total score of the sexual function remained unchanged in Group B of patients 81.3 points vs. 80.2 points (p<0.05) according to MSHQ-EjD, 61.4 points vs. 51.2 points (p<0.05) according to IIEF data. The total assessment of symptoms of hyperactivity significantly decreased in Group C according to OABq data after the 4th week of the study (17.5 points vs. 26.1 points, p<0.05) and remained below the baseline until the end of the study (15.2 points).
Conclusions: The simultaneous administration of standard doses of dutasteride, solifenacin, and tadalafil for 3 months is safe, effective, and can be recommended for patients with BPH to reduce symptoms of obstruction and hyperactivity of the bladder and maintain sexual function. © 2022 Editorial Office of Asian Journal of Urology. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

The development of the benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH) is usually associated with a metabolic disorder, hormonal dysfunction, and chronic inflammation. With the presence of at least two of these three processes, the probability of BPH developing is considered to be very high [1,2]. One of the important mechanisms of BPH is an increase of 5alpha-reductase activity and interstitial concentration of dihydrotestosterone, which stimulates the activity of interstitial cells of the gonads, smooth muscles, connective tissue, and prostatic epithelium in the presence of estrogens. Metabolic syndrome can lead to atherosclerosis of the microcirculatory channel of the pelvic organs, including the prostate gland and detrusor. It can also be accompanied by an alteration of the nitric oxide-cyclic guanosine monophosphate pathway, an enhancement of RhoA-Rho-kinase contractile signaling, and an increase of afferent adrenergic impulsion level. In addition, the violation of intercellular interaction and local mechanisms of normal growth and change the rational behavior [10,11]. Some patients refused to continue the prescribed treatment having not received a rapid effect of monotherapy, and the development of BPH gets worse [12–14].

Considering that the prevalence of BPH among men over 50 years old is 20%–62%, and the risk of developing BPH for forty-year-old reaches 45%, we can assume that the timely treatment of BPH is of great interest of the professional community [15,16]. Currently doctors use alpha-adrenergic blockers (α1-AB) and 5alpha-reductase inhibitors (5-ARIs) to reduce the volume of the prostate gland. 5-ARIs in the presence of estrogens significantly reduce the concentration of 5alpha-dihydrotestosterone, and inhibit the proliferation of glandular tissue.

One of the most effective representatives of the 5-ARIs line is 1 and 2 isoenzymes 5-ARI—dutasteride. However, it reduces the quality of sperm and inhibits libido along with reducing the volume of the prostate gland. In turn, the deterioration of sexual function can lead to the appearance of reactive depression symptoms and the refusal to continue prescribed therapy [17,18].

Some researchers propose to use phosphodiesterase-5 inhibitor (PDE5-I) tadalafil aiming to correct the sexual function when patients take dutasteride. Tadalafil improves an erection, and increases libido and general satisfaction with sexual function [19]. The combination of these drugs proved to be very effective for patients with obstructive symptoms, but was not successful enough to correct the hyperactivity symptoms [20,21]. In the recent literature, there is also evidence on the appropriateness and safety of prescribing antimuscarinic drugs (AM) to patients with BPH who take dutasteride. However, it is noted that the combination of dutasteride and AM can hardly bring relief to men with severe symptoms of sexual dysfunction [22,23]. As can be seen from the above, the data on attempt to correct BPH with symptoms of obstruction and hyperactivity using a combination of selective inhibitors of 5alpha-reductase and antimuscarinic medications when controlling a sexual function with tadalafil are not presented in the current urological periodicals.

While searching for the optimal combination of drugs that reduce the symptoms of obstruction and hyperactivity in men with BPH, simultaneous administration of tamsulosin, dutasteride, and imidafenacin was proposed which, in the opinion of the authors, “did not cause serious adverse reactions in patients with enlarged prostate” [24]. The mode of action of tamsulosin and dutasteride is different, so the effect of reducing obstruction increases; the purpose of this combination seems rational and justified from this point of view. However, a decrease in the sexual life quality and libido (against the background of dutasteride administration), as well as the maintenance of hyperactivity symptoms can lead to psycho-emotional lability of patients, reactive depression, and refusal of further treatment. There are numerous studies, including our own, which indicated a significant decrease in medication adherence, and even a rejection of it in case of the absence of a relatively rapid positive effect [25–27]. Nevertheless, methods for rapid and safe correction of symptoms of obstruction and hyperactivity and sexual dysfunction in the dutasteride treatment of BPH are not currently fully studied. When selecting medications, we relied upon data of many investigations that dutasteride may have a faster and long-lasting effect of imaginative expansion of tissues of the prostatic gland compared to other representatives of the group of selective inhibitors of 5alpha, and alpha1-adrenergic blocking agents. This medicinal product is considered to be thoroughly studied but it has a substantial undesired effect in the form of decrease of sexual function.
dysfunction. At the same time, it does not have any observable effect on symptoms of hyperactivity. Therefore, in the number of investigations of recent vintage it is specified that the progression of BPH may be accompanied by detrusor hypoxia and abnormality of autonomous innervation, “afferent noise”, which is accompanied by augmenting of symptoms of hyperactivity. This understanding is confirmed by numerous clinical observations, but attempts to control these symptoms using antimuscarinic medications are presented only by very few works. Currently, we are not able to find information about attempts of simultaneous solution of the problem of decrease of symptoms of hyperactivity and maintaining of a sexual function in the scientific databases in case of long-lasting treatment of BPH with dutasteride. Probably this attempt could cause an occurrence of the new treatment strategy in relation to similar patients and increase a patient retention to the conservative therapy of BPH.

Based on these views, literature data, and results of previous studies, we hypothesized that simultaneous administration of PDE5-I and AM in the dutasteride treatment of BPH may be an effective and safe method for correcting the symptoms of obstruction and hyperactivity, as well as preventing the development of sexual dysfunction. Therefore, the aim of this study was to assess the possibility of BPH pathological symptoms treating using combination dutasteride, tadalafil, and solifenacin at different dosages without violating sexual function in patients.

2. Patients and methods

This study was conducted from Mar 1, 2016 to Jan 10, 2017 using the principles of randomization and blinding. In total, 295 men with BPH and symptoms of obstruction and hyperactivity were taken to participate in this study. The criteria for excluding patients from the group were the following reasons: Age 50 years and older, symptoms of obstruction (8 points and higher according to the International Prostate Symptom Score [I-PSS] questionnaire [28]), symptoms of hyperactivity (8 points or higher on the Overactive Bladder Awareness Tool [OAB-AT] [29]), and the volume of the prostate gland more than 35 mL. The average sexual function score on the I-PSS scale in men included to the study was 21.6 points; in 95% of patients the function score corresponded to a range of 12–28 points. The criteria for the inclusion of patients in the group were the following reasons: Prostatic-specific antigen (PSA) level above 10 ng/mL, dementia, terminal cancer, chronic visceral diseases at the stage of prolonged decompensation, overweight or underweight, and taking drugs (alpha1-blockers, selective 5-ARIs, type 5 phosphodiesterase inhibitors, and antimuscarinic drugs) that affect prostate growth, symptoms of hyperactivity or sexual function during the last 6 months before the study. Previous to this term, 94 (31.9%) patients took various AM earlier than 6 months before the start of treatment; this intake usually did not exceed 2 months. Seventy-three (24.7%) patients pointed out the occasional administration of PDE5-I. Socio-demographic and physiological characteristics of patients from each group are presented in Table 1.

All patients were divided into three groups: A, B and C. Patients in each group received dutasteride, tadalafil, and solifenacin. The men from Group A received these drugs in a reduced dose, from Group B in a standard dose, from Group C in an increased dose. Randomization was carried out by blind random sampling using a random number generator. Each patient from these groups was examined prior to the start of this study and had a diagnosis "BPH with symptoms of obstruction and hyperactivity of the bladder". Also, every male from the sample was assigned with a random number corresponding to one of three groups (A or B, or C). A patient with an appropriate diagnosis could have been included into each of three groups, having given written informed consent to participate in the study. The sample size was determined according to the standard formula, including Z-value of the standardized normally distributed random variable. When calculating the volume, the dispersion characteristic of the studied variables, obtained in previous similar studies [6,8,11,12,14], was taken into account. Based on an acceptable sampling error and taking a cutoff level of 95% as a confidence level, we calculated that the minimum number of each of the compared groups could be 87 people. We determined the approximate percentage of patients who discontinue treatment in the combined treatment of urological diseases on the basis of the results of previously conducted similar design studies, including our own studies. Thus, by reference to data on dispersion of the symptoms under examination and on probable number of patients refusing medical treatment for any reasons, at the start of the investigation minimum 94 persons shall be in each group. At the beginning of the experiment, there were 97 people in Group A, 95 people in Group B, and 103 people in Group C. The total number of patients was equal to 295.

In accordance with the design of this study, the time interval of 12 weeks from the start of the study was taken as the primary endpoint. In the primary endpoint, the main clinical effects were evaluated on the state of sexual function and symptoms of hyperactivity with minimal, standard, and elevated doses of solifenacin and tadalafil. We chose the minimum time as a secondary endpoint when a significant decrease in symptoms of hyperactivity was noted. Since the efficacy and safety of each drug were well studied [15–21], as well as taking into account the recommendations of the ethics committee, we did not form a placebo group in this study. A control group included patients who received standard doses of drugs recommended by manufacturers.

The design of the study is presented in Fig. 1. Patients in all three groups received dutasteride at the standard dosage (0.5 mg/day) recommended by the manufacturer. At the same time, to study the possibility of simultaneous correction of the symptoms of hyperactivity and reduction of sexual function, Group A patients (n = 97) received low doses of tadalafil 2.5 mg/day and solifenacin 2.5 mg/day, in accordance with previously obtained data on the use of these drugs in flexible doses [30,31]. Group B patients (n = 95) received these drugs in standard doses (tadalafil 5 mg/day and solifenacin 5 mg/day). Group C patients
received the elevated doses (tadalafil 20 mg/day and solifenacin 10 mg/day) [32,33]. The safety and efficacy of tadalafil and solifenacin in reduced and elevated doses in comparison to standard doses have been previously proven.

At the start and finish of the study, all patients underwent ultrasound examination of the prostate gland. They had a study level of PSA, and uroflowmetry (UF) was performed. Also, all men were interviewed using questionnaires the I-PSS, OAB-q, Men’s Sexual Health Questionnaire (MSHQ-EjD), and International Index of Erectile Function (IIEF). Questionnaires were repeated every 2 weeks using MSHQ-EjD, IIEF, OABq-AT, I-PSS, and UF; patients filled voiding diary on a daily basis [34,35].

| Variable | Group A (n = 97) | Group B (n = 95) | Group C (n = 103) |
|----------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Age, mean (SD), year | 62.3 (10.5) | 66.4 (12.4) | 67.3 (14.2) |
| Married, n (%) | 61 (62.9) | 73 (76.8) | 70 (68.0) |
| Professionally active, n (%) | 35 (36.1) | 45 (47.4) | 32 (31.1) |
| City areas, n (%) | 78 (80.4) | 57 (60.0) | 68 (66.0) |
| Education, n (%) | | | |
| Secondary | 19 (19.6) | 17 (17.9) | 13 (12.6) |
| Vocational | 31 (32.0) | 17 (17.9) | 34 (33.0) |
| Higher | 47 (48.4) | 61 (64.2) | 56 (54.4) |
| Experience of taking, n (%) | | | |
| α1-AB (monotherapy) | 34 (35.1) | 19 (20.0) | 21 (20.4) |
| 5-ARIs (monotherapy) | 14 (14.4) | 25 (26.3) | 31 (30.1) |
| PDE5-Is (monotherapy) | 9 (9.3) | 14 (14.7) | 18 (17.5) |
| AM (monotherapy) | 45 (46.4) | 64 (67.4) | 42 (40.8) |
| Various of combinations | 19 (19.6) | 27 (28.4) | 58 (56.3) |
| MSHQ-EjD score sum, mean (SD) | 75.8 (9.1) | 80.2 (11.4) | 68.5 (9.9) |
| IIEF score sum, mean (SD) | 55.2 (7.1) | 51.2 (7.8) | 47.3 (8.8) |
| Prostate volume, mean (SD), mL | 44.5 (5.5) | 39.8 (7.4) | 38.9 (7.7) |
| Level of PSA, mean (SD), ng/mL | 3.7 (2.1) | 4.2 (1.4) | 4.6 (1.2) |
| Uroflowmetry, mean (SD) | | | |
| PVR, mL | 46.9 (7.6) | 50.3 (12.1) | 42.4 (8.5) |
| Q̄aver, mL/s | 9.1 (2.7) | 9.5 (2.6) | 8.9 (1.7) |
| Qmax, mL/s | 13.0 (3.4) | 12.3 (5.0) | 12.1 (2.4) |
| I-PSS score sum, mean (SD) | 20.4 (4.5) | 21.0 (3.5) | 22.1 (3.8) |
| OAq-AT score sum, mean (SD) | 25.6 (5.4) | 28.7 (3.6) | 26.1 (5.2) |
| Diary of voiding, mean (SD) | | | |
| Daytime frequency, episodes | 9.7 (1.5) | 8.8 (0.7) | 9.2 (1.3) |
| Nighttime frequency, episodes | 2.4 (1.4) | 2.1 (1.0) | 2.3 (0.9) |
| Urgency, episodes | 1.7 (0.7) | 1.9 (0.4) | 1.9 (0.5) |
| Incontinence, episodes | 0.4 (0.4) | 0.4 (0.2) | 0.3 (0.2) |

I-PSS, International Prostate Symptom Score; OAq-AT, Overactive Bladder Questionnaire Awareness Tool; PSA, prostatic-specific antigen; MSHQ-EjD, Men’s Sexual Health Questionnaire-Ejaculatory Dysfunction; IIEF, the International Index of Erectile Function; PVR, post void residual urine volume; Q̄aver, average flow rate; Qmax, maximum flow rate; α1-AB, alpha1-adrenergic blockers; 5-ARIs, 5alpha-reductase inhibitors; AM, antimuscarinic drug; PDE5-Is, phosphodiesterase type 5 inhibitors; SD, standard deviation.

(n=103) received the elevated doses (tadalafil 20 mg/day and solifenacin 10 mg/day) [32,33]. The safety and efficacy of tadalafil and solifenacin in reduced and elevated doses in comparison to standard doses have been previously proven.

At the start and finish of the study, all patients underwent ultrasound examination of the prostate gland. They had a study level of PSA, and uroflowmetry (UF) was performed. Also, all men were interviewed using questionnaires the I-PSS, OAq-AT, Men’s Sexual Health Questionnaire (MSHQ-EjD), and International Index of Erectile Function (IIEF). Questionnaires were repeated every 2 weeks using MSHQ-EjD, IIEF, OAq-AT, I-PSS, and UF; patients filled voiding diary on a daily basis [34,35].

The I-PSS questionnaire is an international score for assessing symptoms of prostate disease. During the survey, patients were asked to answer each of the seven closed and one open question; the result was interpreted as follows: From 8 to 19 points—moderate severity, more than 20 points—severe degree of violations. UF was carried out in a standard configuration with the determination of the average volumetric and average maximum speed of urination, delay at the start, and the total time of urination. In the urination diary, which was filled in by the patients on a daily basis throughout the study, the patient had to reflect the time of each urination, the volume of urine excreted, episodes of urination urgency, episodes of urinary incontinence, and information about drinking balance. The information about taking medications and side effects was additionally entered in the diary voiding.

The international OAq-questionnaire (OAq-q) is used for the differential diagnosis of urinary incontinence types and for evaluating the effectiveness of treatment. It contains questions to identify urgent urinary incontinence, stress urinary incontinence, nocturia, and other pathological symptoms of the lower urinary tract. The patient had to fill it out on his own, noting the severity of certain symptoms. The 15-question IIEF contains the domains: Erectile function (Q1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 15), orgasmic function (Q9, 10), sexual desire (Q11, 12), intercourse satisfaction (Q6, 7, 8) and overall satisfaction (Q13, 14). Patients with low IEEF scores (<14 out of 30) in domain A (erectile function) usually need
correction and the use of special medicines. The MSHQ-EjD contains the erection scale (three items), ejaculation scale (seven items), and sexual satisfaction scale (six items). It is aimed to study the same functions, but is more concise, and has slightly different wording of questions, which may be important in the study of sexual function in patients with cognitive impairment.

The statistical processing of the data was also carried out using the standard analysis package Statistics 6.0 (StatSoft Inc., Tulsa, OK, USA). Evaluation of the variables’ differences in different groups and within one group at different stages of treatment was carried out using ANOVAs; the Bonferroni correction was used to correct type I errors. Correlation between the curves describing the change of mean population values was performed using the Spearman coefficient. The reliability of the differences between the mean values of the variables in the groups was considered sufficient for \( p < 0.05 \). Each set of variables for a particular participant was assigned a random sequence number using a random number generator.

During the study, 29 (9.8%) patients from all groups were withdrawn. Seven (2.4%) patients of Group A were discontinued (6 [2.0%] due to lack of expected effect; 1 [0.3%]—without any explanation). Seven patients from Group B also refused to continue the study (5 [1.7%] due to intolerable side effects; 2 [0.7%]—due to exacerbation of chronic diseases). Fifteen patients were withdrawn from Group C (10 [3.4%]—due to intolerable side effects; 3 [1.0%]—due to a lack of expected effect; 1 [0.3%]—due to an exacerbation of a chronic disease; and 1 [0.3%]—for an unknown reason). The most frequent side effects were dry mouth (7 [2.4%]), nausea (3 [1.0%]), headache (3 [1.0%]), and pain in the heart (1 [0.3%]). The number of patients in the primary and secondary endpoint, taking into account the "losses", turned out to be sufficient for the correct comparison of variables.

In total, 61 (20.7%) patients experienced side effects. Dry mouth was noted in 28 (9.5%) cases; 25 (8.5%) patients had headache and dizziness; 8 (2.7%) had other symptoms. Side effects were short-term, disappeared on their own, and did not lead to the refusal of treatment in 46 (15.6%) patients.

Conducting this study, we followed the ethical standards recommended by the Helsinki Declaration, as amended in Seoul (decision of the Ethics Committee of FEFU M-0173.18). Prior to the study, each patient signed a written informed consent. Study design was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of School of Biomedicine, Far Eastern Federal University (0281M/03102018).

3. Results

Comparison of the initial average values of variables between groups did not reveal any significant differences between them. The Student’s t-criteria when comparing the initial average I-PSS values were:

- For Groups A and B:
  - I-PSS: \( p_{AB} = 0.071 \), \( p_{AC} = 0.077 \), \( p_{BC} = 0.069 \);
  - UF: \( p_{AB} = 0.091 \), \( p_{AC} = 0.087 \), \( p_{BC} = 0.089 \);
  - OAB-q: \( p_{AB} = 0.083 \), \( p_{AC} = 0.091 \), \( p_{BC} = 0.088 \);
  - Voiding diaries: \( p_{AB} = 0.075 \), \( p_{AC} = 0.079 \), \( p_{BC} = 0.064 \);
  - MSHQ: \( p_{AB} = 0.065 \), \( p_{AC} = 0.072 \), \( p_{BC} = 0.051 \);
  - IIEF: \( p_{AB} = 0.084 \), \( p_{AC} = 0.057 \), \( p_{BC} = 0.066 \).

The Fig. 2 presents the results of comparing the severity of the symptoms of obstruction in men with BPH from different groups before and after the course of treatment according to I-PSS and UF data. There were no significant differences between the assessment of symptoms at the start and after the study in Group A.

In patients from Group B daytime frequent urination (from 2.6 to 1.3 episodes, \( p < 0.05 \)), urgency (from 2.9 to 1.4 episodes, \( p < 0.05 \)), nocturia (from 2.7 to 1.5 episodes, \( p < 0.05 \)) significantly decreased. In patients from Group C
Figure 2  Symptoms of obstruction lower urinary tract in patients with benign prostate hyperplasia before and after treatment (n=295). (A) I-PSS before/after treatment, total score; (B) UF before/after treatment, Qaver, mL/s. I-PSS, International Prostate Symptom Score; UF, uroflowmetry. * Differences are statistically significant.

The assessment of symptoms of obstruction significantly decreased from 22.1 to 11.2 point. The average volume flow rate of urine after treatment significantly increased in Group C (12.8 vs. 8.9 mL/s, p<0.05) and in Group B (13.4 vs. 9.5 mL/s, p<0.05). The results of hyperactivity symptoms are presented in Fig. 3. The assessment of symptoms of hyperactivity in Groups B and C after treatment became significantly lower (Group B: 17.6 vs. 28.7 points, p<0.05; Group C: 15.2 vs. 26.1 points, p<0.05). The number of episodes of urgency and nighttime urination significantly decreased after treatment in both these groups, but the number of episodes of urination during the day decreased reliably only in Group C (5.4 vs. 9.2 episodes, p<0.05).

The assessment of sexual function increased in patients from Group C (according to IIEF—60.7 vs. 47.3 points, p<0.05; according to MSHQ-EjD—84.8 vs. 68.5, p<0.05). The largest increase in the scores was noted in the domain's "erection" and "satisfaction". The assessment of the sexual function did not change significantly in Groups A and B (Fig. 4).

Figure 3  Symptoms of hyperactive lower urinary tract in patients with benign prostate hyperplasia before and after treatment (n=295; Group A: n=95; Group B: n=97; Group C: n=103). (A) OAB-questionnaire overall rating; (B) Voiding diaries, number of episodes. OAB, overactive bladder. * Differences are statistically significant.

The reverse development of symptoms of obstruction and hyperactivity occurred in different groups at different speeds. A significant decrease of hyperactivity symptoms (OABq-AT) in Group C occurred after 4 weeks of follow-up (17.5 vs. 26.1, p<0.05); the assessment of these symptoms in the group was 15.2 points at the end of the study. By the end of the treatment, the assessment of hyperactivity symptoms was also significantly lower than the baseline (p<0.05) in Group B, but the decrease in scores was more gradual and the differences were evident only at the end of the study. The average numbers of points in Group C patients also decreased by the end of treatment, but the differences were unreliable. The decrease in the average number of points according to the I-PSS questionnaire occurred synchronously in all three observed groups. The significant differences between the assessments at the beginning and at the end of the study were found in Group B and Group C, mainly due to the reduction of the hyperactivity symptoms. Correlation between the curves describing the change in the number of points in all three groups turned out to be high (rA/B=0.90, p<0.05; rA/C=0.89, p<0.01; rB/C=0.96, p<0.05). A significant increase in the total index of sexual function in Group C was detected after 8 weeks of follow-up (81.7 vs. 68.5, p<0.05).

4. Discussion

The findings allow us to suggest that simultaneous administration of tadalafil, dutasteride, and solifenacin is an acceptable combination for the treatment of BPH with symptoms of bladder hyperactivity. In total, 61 (20.7%) patients from all groups reported about side effects, but only 5.1% of men had severe side effects and were discontinued; otherwise the symptoms were short-term and there was no need for additional therapy. The most frequent side effects were dry mouth (9.5%), headache, and dizziness (8.5%). Side effects were noted in 26 (8.8%) patients from Group A, 23 (7.8%) patients from Group B, and 12 (4.1%) patients from Group C. The percentage of side effects when taking a combination of drugs did not exceed the sum of the percentages of side effects which occur in case of each single drug administration [19,21,23]. This also applies to the percentage of patients with side effects from Group C who took higher doses of tadalafil and solifenacin [33,36,37]. Thus, our data support the opinion of most researchers that increasing the dose of solifenacin and tadalafil, as a rule, does not lead to a significant increase in the number of side effects.

However, the main purpose of this study was to investigate the possibilities of rapidly reducing hyperactivity symptoms and preserving sexual function during the dutasteride treatment of BPH. We managed to establish that in patients who previously had an unsatisfactory experience of treatment, the appointment of standard doses of tadalafil, dutasteride, and solifenacin ensures a smooth, gradual decrease of the hyperactivity symptoms. A significant reduction of most of the hyperactivity symptoms was noted at the 6–8 weeks’ follow-up. The data obtained are similar to the results of other studies [20,21,24], but allow us to suggest that a 2-month delay in the effect, despite maintaining a normal sexual function, may
adversely affect the motivation of health behaviors and medication adherence.

The detrusor hyperactivity symptoms significantly decreased among patients who received increased doses of tadalafil and solifenacin (Group C) at the 4th week of observation already. This is a very important result, in our opinion, that the rapid reverse development of obsessive, irritating hyperactivity symptoms, such as nocturia, urgency, and high nighttime and daytime frequency of urination, can have a favorable psychological effect and significantly increase medication adherence. In addition, this group was the only one in which patients noted improvement in sexual function (except for the ejaculation domain), and significant differences in MSHQ-EJD were revealed at the 8th week of follow-up. However, we could not confirm a significant increase in sexual function in this group according to IIIEF.

Double control of the results when using different instruments (questionnaires) confirmed their reliability [17,18]. The results of a study of changes in the symptoms of hyperactivity and obstruction using different tools have also been consistent. Thus, data on the level of urethral obstruction obtained using the I-PSS were fully confirmed by the results of UF: At the end of the study, both methods showed a statistically significant decrease in pathological symptoms in Groups B and C, and their moderate (not statistically significant) decreases in Group A. The explanation of the fact that with equal doses of dutasteride the result was not the same may be attributed to the additional action of other drugs, in particular, by an improvement in detrusor microcirculation while taking standard and increased doses of solifenacin. We obtained the highest level of correlation between the results of the study of hyperactivity symptoms using OAB-q and diaries of urination, which was quite rationally explainable, given the closeness of most of the questions used by both tools. However, if OAB-q allowed us to assess the functional state of the LUTS from the point of view of the subjective perception of the patient, then diaries of urination allowed us to formally register pathological symptoms in real time. The identity of the data obtained by these tools allowed us to obtain additional confirmation of the changes that occurred under the influence of treatment.

The tendency to decrease the symptoms of obstruction corresponded to the effectiveness of dutasteride which was described in the recent literature. In particular, there is evidence of a decrease in the size of the prostate gland and a reliable decrease in obstruction after the 6–9 months of regular intake of this drug [17,38]. We did not set out to further investigate the effectiveness of this drug in our short-term study, which was well confirmed by numerous trials. However, we managed to find out that the simultaneous administration of tadalafil, dutasteride, and solifenacin in elevated doses leads, in addition to the expected gradual decrease of the LUTS of obstruction, to a rapid reduction in hyperactivity and a rise in sexual function in patients.

Reception of solifenacin in a low dose (Group A) did not lead to a significant decrease in the symptoms of hyperactivity even at the end of the study. The average dose of this drug made it possible to achieve the desired result by 10–12 weeks of the study (Group B). At the same time, when taking an increased dose of solifenacin (Group C), a significant decrease in the symptoms of hyperactivity was noted by the end of the first month of treatment. Such a result is in good agreement with earlier conducted similar researches, including those by our research team, and is likely to positively affect the patient’s behavioral strategy with regard to adherence to treatment and the exact execution of doctor’s prescriptions. Taking the minimum and standard doses of tadalafil allows to maintain a normal level of sexual function (in Group A, a statistically insignificant deterioration was noted). If patients take an increased dose of tadalafil, then despite taking dutasteride, their sexual function significantly improves by the end of treatment (Group C). Taking a standard dose of dutasteride in all three groups gave the expected effect by the end of the observation: The symptoms of obstruction decreased moderately, which was reflected in the test results. Thus, the main result of the study was the confirmation of the assumption made in the working hypothesis that taking an increased dose of solifenacin and a standard dose of tadalafil can avoid the negative effect of dutasteride on sexual function and reduce the symptoms of bladder hyperactivity.

Evaluation of the main clinical effects at the primary endpoint (12 weeks from the start of treatment) revealed that Group A patients did not show a significant decrease in symptoms of obstruction and hyperactivity, and sexual function remained unchanged. In Group B patients, a significant decrease in obstruction and hyperactivity was noted, and no change in the state of sexual function was detected. In Group C patients, a significant decrease in symptoms of obstruction and hyperactivity was accompanied by an improvement in sexual function, including an increase in libido and erectile component. When evaluating the secondary endpoint, it was found that the symptoms of hyperactivity decreased most rapidly in patients of Group C who took an increased dose of solifenacin (without increasing the number of side effects).

Thus, when developing the design of our study we relied upon the idea that alpha1-adrenergic blocking agents and selective inhibitors of 5alpha-reductase were medicines with proven efficiency, but the long period was required for achievement of the therapeutic result. An additional point
is that their intake inhibits a sexual function and does not ease the symptoms of hyperactivity that often follow BPH. In turns this may lead to weak medication adherence.

In light of this we have assigned the task: To give a course of combination treatment aimed at quick reduction of annoying symptoms of hyperactivity and maintenance of normal sexual function of patients concurrently with institution of dutasteride. As a result of the study carried out, we found that in the course of the treatment of BPH with dutasteride, simultaneous application of standard doses of tadalafil allowed maintaining a normal sexual function in the majority of patients, and the application of high doses of solifenacin resulted in positive decrease of symptoms of hyperactivity by the end of the first month of observation. Moreover, the number of undesired effects is not increased as compared with their usual level; that is, there is no cumulative effect in respect of undesired effects.

The similar combination of medications was used in the clinical study for the first time ever. The successful result of observation allows to suggest that similar treatment strategy may be considered to be effective and safe in respect of patients with BPH accompanied by symptoms of obstruction and hyperactivity and has important advantage over existing strategies. From our point of view, concurrent use of three medications of different pharmacological classes may be a more successful treatment strategy as compared with surviving ones and be useful in clinical practice.

This study has some limitations. We did not study the effect of the combination on the basis of the severity of LUTS and the violation of sexual dysfunction. We did not evaluate the long-term results of the study either. The study of the possibility of long-term correction of sexual dysfunction and symptoms of hyperactivity may be the subject of further observations that develop the obtained result. The use of a combination of three drugs probably has a limitation in elderly patients, as well as men with a high index of comorbidity. A direct study of the correlation between the efficacy of the combination of tadalafil, dutasteride, and solifenacin, and medication adherence was also not included in the design of this study. These questions require further studying. In addition, we consider it an interesting and promising area of research to compare the effectiveness of pharmaceutical and surgical methods of treating BHP with symptoms of obstruction and hyperactivity, as well as a combination of these methods to optimize the treatment and rehabilitation algorithm of such patients. The total duration of observation over efficiency and safety of use of the proposed treatment strategy with respect to possible remote outcomes and refusal of the part of patients from the treatment shall make up not less than 6–12 months and shall be carried out for more patients.

Nevertheless, the results make it possible to recommend this combination of drugs for the treatment of BPH with symptoms of bladder hyperactivity without increasing the risk of sexual dysfunction.

5. Conclusion

Simultaneous administration of dutasteride, tadalafil, and solifenacin in standard doses for 3 months is accompanied by a gradual decrease of the obstruction symptoms and a significant decrease symptom of hyperactivity within 6–8 weeks, without changing sexual function. Simultaneous administration of elevated doses of these drugs can reliably reduce the symptoms of hyperactivity within the first 4 weeks from the start of treatment, and obstructive symptoms within 12 weeks without increasing side effects. The assessment of sexual function in patients is significantly increased. Simultaneous administration of dutasteride, tadalafil, and solifenacin in reduced doses does not lead to significant changes in the function of lower urinary tract. The use of a combination of standard doses of dutasteride, solifenacin, and tadalafil for 3 months in patients with BPH can reliably reduce the symptoms of obstruction and hyperactivity of the bladder while maintaining sexual function and does not lead to an increase in the frequency of side symptoms. At the same time, taking an increased dose of solifenacin can reliably reduce the symptoms of hyperactivity by the end of 1 month of administration, which can be of great importance for patient adherence to treatment.
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