First microsatellite markers for *Paspalum plicatulum* (Poaceae) characterization and cross-amplification in different *Paspalum* species of the Plicatula group
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**Abstract**

**Background:** *Paspalum plicatulum* is a perennial rhizomatous grass with natural diploid and polyploid cytotypes. It is a member of Plicatula, which has historically been recognized as a highly complex group containing species of ecological, ornamental and forage importance. The complex nature of the *P. plicatulum* genome makes it a challenging species for genetic research. This study aimed to develop and characterize microsatellite molecular markers in *P. plicatulum* and to evaluate their transferability to other Plicatula group species.

**Findings:** Microsatellite sequences were identified from three enriched libraries from *P. plicatulum*. Specific primers were designed, and 25 displayed polymorphism when screened across 48 polyploid *Paspalum* spp. genotypes. The number of bands per locus ranged from 2 to 17, with a mean of 8.65. Private bands for each species were identified; the highest number of private bands was observed for *P. plicatulum* in 52% of the loci analyzed. The mean polymorphism information content of all loci was 0.69, and the mean discriminatory power was 0.82. Microsatellite markers were satisfactorily cross-amplified for the eight tested Plicatula-group *Paspalum* species, with *P. atratum* exhibiting the highest transferability rate (89.86%). STRUCTURE and Discriminant Analysis of Principal Components separated accessions into three groups but did not reveal separation of the accessions according to species.

**Conclusions:** This study describes the first microsatellite markers in *P. plicatulum*, which are polymorphic, efficient for the detection and quantification of genetic variation, and show high transferability into other species of the Plicatula group. This set of markers can be used in future genetic and molecular studies necessary for the proper development of conservation and breeding programs. Private bands within the markers can be used to assist in species identification.
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**Background**

The genus *Paspalum* L. includes approximately 350 species distributed in tropical and subtropical regions. Most of the species are native to South America [1, 2], including 210 species of Brazilian origin [3]. The basic chromosome number in *Paspalum* is *x* = 10 [4–6]; this number is the most common, with a few exceptions. Polyploidy is present in nearly 80% of the species [2], with tetraploidy being the most frequent condition (ca. 50%) [7–9], although diploids have also been found in the genus [1, 10]. Generally, species of *Paspalum* consist of sexual-diploid and apomictic-polyploid cytotypes [11].

Among the *Paspalum* species, our efforts are dedicated to the study of *Paspalum plicatulum* Michx., a perennial rhizomatous grass with natural diploid and polyploid cytotypes [12]. This grass originated in Brazil and is widely distributed from the southern United States...
to southern Argentina and Western India. This species has ecological, ornamental and forage importance [13] and is known as “pasto negro” in Brazil as it is generally used in pastures. *Paspalum plicatum* is member of the Plicatula group, which represents species that occur throughout the Brazilian territory with wide morphological variation [14].

The Plicatula group is an informal botanic group proposed by Chase [15] to group species related to *P. plicatum*. The group is easily differentiated by the morphology of the spikelet, which has sterile lemma with transverse wrinkles and a conspicuously convex anthericum and is a shining dark brown. However, the variability of reproductive and vegetative characters makes identification at the specific level difficult. As such, several species and accessions have been included in this group as synonyms for *P. plicatum* [1]. The lack of correct characterization of the members of this group and the quantification of their variability make it difficult to use them in breeding and conservation programs and in germplasm exchanges. Although some studies have been reported with germplasm banks and taxonomic revisions of this group, many species of the group Plicatula remain unidentified [16, 17].

The complex nature of the *P. plicatum* genome makes the species a challenging target for genetic research. Thus, our goal in this study was to develop specific microsatellite markers for *P. plicatum*. Variation of molecular markers specifically developed for the species serves as a powerful tool for the identification of individuals. Furthermore, these markers can potentially provide an excellent tool for the study of the Plicatula group species, aiding in botanical species classification. For this purpose, we isolated and characterized microsatellite markers from *P. plicatum* and evaluated the transferability of these markers in *Paspalum* species belonging to the Plicatula group.

**Methods**

**Plant material and DNA extraction**

Three accessions of *P. plicatum*, BGP 8, BGP 80 and BGP 86 (collector code-V 5852), were chosen randomly for library construction. For characterizing loci, forty-eight *Paspalum* accessions from different species belonging to the Plicatula group were evaluated (Table 1), among which were included two (BGP 8, BGP 80) of the three samples used for the library construction. These samples are from the Germplasm Bank of *Paspalum*, maintained by EMBRAPA Southeast Livestock, São Carlos, SP, Brazil, and were originally collected from the south to the north of Brazil. Twenty-six samples are from *P. plicatum*, and the other 27 accessions were used for evaluation of transferability and correspond to the following species: 3 from *P. atratum* Swallen; 4 from *P. compressifolium* Swallen; 6 from *P. guenoarum* Arechav., *P. lenticulare* Kunth. and *P. rhodopedum* L.B.Sm. and Wassh. (3); one from *P. lepton* Schult.; and two from *P. rojasii* Hack. Total genomic DNA samples were extracted from lyophilized leaf tissues following the cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) method, previously described by [18], and DNA quality and quantity were assessed using 1% agarose gel electrophoresis, with comparison to known quantities of uncut λ phage DNA (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA).

**Construction of microsatellite-enriched libraries and sequence analysis**

For the constructions of the first (Lb-1), second (Lb-2) and third (Lb-3) libraries, we used the accessions BGP 86, BGP 80 and BGP 8, respectively. The libraries were constructed as described in [19]. DNA samples were digested using Afal endonuclease (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, California, USA) and were then ligated to the double-stranded Afal adapters (5′-CTCTTTGCTACCGTGGACTA-3′) and (5′-TAGTCCACCCTAAGAAAGACACA-3′). An enrichment was performed using hybridization-based capture with (GT)8 and (CT)8 biotinylated probes and streptavidin-coated magnetic beads (Streptavidin Magnetic Particles, Promega, Madison, Wisconsin, USA). Selected DNA fragments were amplified by PCR and then cloned into the pGEM-T Easy vector (Promega, Madison, Wisconsin, USA). Competent *Escherichia coli* XL1-Blue cells (Stratagene, Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, California, USA) were transformed with recombinant plasmids via the electroporation method and were then cultivated on agar medium containing ampicillin (100 mg/ml), X-galactosidase 2% (100 µg/ml) and IPTG (100 mM). Positive clones were randomly selected using white/blue screening and were sequenced on an automated ABI 3500XL Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, California, USA) using T7 and SP6 primers and a BigDye Terminator version 3.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit (Applied Biosystems).

All obtained sequences were analyzed to identify microsatellite-enriched regions with the Simple Sequence Repeat Identification Tool (SSRIT) [20], and oligonucleotides complementary to genomic sequences flanking the microsatellite region were designed using Primer3Plus [21] with the following criteria: preferable primer size between 18 and 22 bp; melting temperature (Tm) between 50 and 60 °C; amplified product length between 100 and 300 bp; and GC content between 40 and 60%. Following these criteria, 56 primer pairs were designed and synthesized for analysis.
Table 1  Genotypes of *Paspalum* spp. of the Plicatula group used for the characterization and transferability analyses of the new microsatellite markers

| AN | Local code (BGP) | Species | Collector code | Latitude | Longitude | Collection site |
|----|------------------|---------|----------------|----------|-----------|----------------|
| 1  | 8                | *Paspalum plicatum* | V.D.Fi [7441] | −27.950000 | −50.450000 | Capão Alto, Santa Catarina, Brazil |
| 2  | 67               | *Paspalum plicatum* | V.BoPrSe [4258] | −30.116667 | −51.966667 | Butiá, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil |
| 3  | 39               | *Paspalum rhodopedum* | V.MtFr [9851] | −28.250000 | −51.866667 | Caseiros, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil |
| 4  | 90               | *Paspalum plicatum* | EEA [149] | −30.100000 | −51.316667 | Guaíba, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil |
| 5  | 89               | *Paspalum plicatum* | EEA [148] | −30.100000 | −51.316667 | Guaíba, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil |
| 6  | 88               | *Paspalum plicatum* | EEA [147] | −30.100000 | −51.316667 | Guaíba, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil |
| 7  | 271              | *Paspalum lenticulare* | [14535] | −20.679722 | −55.296111 | Dois Irmãos do Buriti, Mato Grosso do Sul, Brazil |
| 8  | 220              | *Paspalum compressifolium* | V [14196] | −28.833333 | −51.566667 | Vila Flores, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil |
| 9  | 71               | *Paspalum plicatum* | V.BoPrSe [4337] | −30.400000 | −54.316667 | São Gabriel, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil |
| 10 | 226              | *Paspalum plicatum* | V.Chies & Palmieri [14206] | −28.283333 | −52.450000 | Passo Fundo, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil |
| 11 | 109              | *Paspalum plicatum* | V.BoPrSe [9981] | −32.116667 | −52.350000 | Rio Grande, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil |
| 12 | 172              | *Paspalum plicatum* | V.Q.FdSv [11893] | −22.933889 | −55.635278 | Aral Moreira, Mato Grosso do Sul, Brazil |
| 13 | 83               | *Paspalum plicatum* | V.BoPrPo1 [4741] | −29.000000 | −53.666667 | Tupanciretã, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil |
| 14 | 73               | *Paspalum plicatum* | V.BoPrSe [4347] | −30.250000 | −54.533333 | São Gabriel, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil |
| 15 | 232              | *Paspalum plicatum* | V.Chies & Palmieri [14229] | −28.450000 | −55.133333 | São Luiz Gonzaga, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil |
| 16 | 177              | *Paspalum plicatum* | V.GoMlSv [11082] | −25.450000 | −49.383333 | Campo Largo, Paraná, Brazil |
| 17 | 179              | *Paspalum compressifolium* | V.GoMlSv [11101] | −25.450000 | −49.633333 | Campo Largo, Paraná, Brazil |
| 18 | 180              | *Paspalum plicatum* | V.GoMlSv [11102] | −25.450000 | −49.633333 | Balsa Nova, Paraná, Brazil |
| 19 | 181              | *Paspalum plicatum* | V.GoMlSv [11141] | −25.316667 | −49.050000 | Campina Grande do Sul, Paraná, Brazil |
| 20 | 182              | *Paspalum plicatum* | V.GoMlSv [11142] | −25.316667 | −49.050000 | Quatro Barras, Paraná, Brazil |
| 21 | 261              | *Paspalum plicatum* | [14496] | −18.766667 | −51.300000 | Itarumã, Goiás, Brazil |
| 22 | 279              | *Paspalum atratum* | V [14554] | −20.483611 | −55.806944 | Anastácio, Mato Grosso do Sul, Brazil |
| 23 | 243              | *Paspalum plicatum* | Rc [1333] | −7.350000 | −46.600000 | Riachão, Parába, Brazil |
| 24 | 265              | *Paspalum plicatum* | V [14503] | −19.566667 | −51.233333 | Paranaiba, Mato Grosso do Sul, Brazil |
| 25 | 198              | *Paspalum plicatum* | V.GoSv [11450] | −26.516667 | −51.916667 | Palmas, Tocantins, Brazil |
| 26 | 197              | *Paspalum plicatum* | V.GoSv [11054] | −26.516667 | −51.916667 | Palmas, Tocantins, Brazil |
| 27 | 301              | *Paspalum plicatum* | V.PoRcMmSv [14630] | −20.566667 | −54.683333 | Campo Grande, Mato Grosso do Sul, Brazil |
| 28 | 164              | *Paspalum plicatum* | V.QFdSv [11826] | −22.150000 | −54.833333 | Itapóra, Mato Grosso do Sul, Brazil |
| 29 | 159              | *Paspalum guenonarum* | V.QFdSv [12739] | −20.633333 | −51.100000 | Pereira Barreto, São Paulo, Brazil |
| 30 | 87               | *Paspalum plicatum* | EEA 81 | −30.400000 | −54.316667 | São Gabriel, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil |
| 31 | 80               | *Paspalum plicatum* | V.BoPrOl 4644 | −28.983333 | −55.300000 | São Borja, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil |
| 32 | 137              | *Paspalum plicatum* | V.GoMi 10728 | −28.833333 | −52.433333 | Soledade, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil |
| 33 | 259              | *Paspalum lenticulare* | V.14487 | −17.416667 | −50.400000 | Acreúna, Goiás, Brazil |
| 34 | 165              | *Paspalum lenticulare* | V.QFdSv [11827] | −22.150000 | −54.833333 | Dourados, Mato Grosso do Sul, Brazil |
| 35 | 284              | *Paspalum guenonarum* | V.RcMmSv [14568] | −22.250000 | −54.966667 | Dourados, Mato Grosso do Sul, Brazil |
| 36 | 153              | *Paspalum compressifolium* | DGoMi 480 | −27.383333 | −51.133333 | Campos Novos, Santa Catarina, Brazil |
| 37 | 296              | *Paspalum rhodopedum* | V.RcMmSv [14616] | −22.400000 | −54.783333 | Dourados, Mato Grosso do Sul, Brazil |
| 38 | 151              | *Paspalum rhodopedum* | DGoMi 311 | −27.671667 | −51.460556 | Barracão, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil |
| 39 | 249              | *Paspalum compressifolium* | VTsDiff14431 | −30.100000 | −51.783333 | Arroio dos Ratos, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil |
| 40 | 407              | *Paspalum lepton* | – | – | – | – |
| 41 | 374              | *Paspalum guenonarum* | – | – | – | – |
| 42 | 212              | *Paspalum guenonarum* | – | −30.200000 | −56.216667 | Quaraí, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil |
Fragment amplification and statistical analysis

Polymerase chain reactions (PCRs) were performed in a 15-μl final volume containing 30 ng of template DNA, 1× PCR buffer (20 mM Tris HCl [pH 8.4] and 50 mM KCl), 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 0.2 mM of each dNTP, 10 μg/ml bovine serum albumin (BSA), 0.5 mM of each primer, and 1 U of Taq DNA polymerase (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, California, USA). The PCR program used for all loci amplifications was as follows: 2 min 30 s of initial denaturation at 94 °C followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 94 °C for 1 min, the specific annealing temperature of each primer pair (Table 2), extension at 72 °C for 1 min, and a final extension at 72 °C for 8 min. Amplified products were preliminarily checked on 3% agarose gels prior to genotyping using silver-stained 6% denaturing polyacrylamide gels [22], and product sizes were determined using a 10-bp DNA ladder (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, California, USA). Microsatellites were treated as dominant markers due to the polyploid nature of the genotypes. Accordingly, the data were scored based on the presence (1) or absence (0) of a band for each of the Paspalum genotypes. In dominant locus patterns, estimates of allelic frequencies are not possible; therefore, observed heterozygosity was not estimated. As such, polymorphism information content (PIC) was used to evaluate and characterize microsatellite loci using the formula

$$PIC = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} 2p_i^2p_j^2,$$

where n is the number of bands of the marker among the set of samples used for characterizing the microsatellite polymorphism and pi and pj are the frequencies of bands i and j [23]. Discriminatory power (DP) [24] values were calculated to compare the efficiencies of microsatellite markers in varietal identification.

Two approaches were used to evaluate the population structure and grouping of the accessions studied: STRUCTURE and Discriminant Analysis of Principal Components (DAPC).

The model-based Bayesian analysis implemented in the software package STRUCTURE [25] was used to determine the approximate number of genetic clusters (K) within the full data set and to assign individuals to the most appropriate cluster. All simulations were performed using the admixture model, with 500,000 replicates for burn-in and 1000,000 replicates for Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) processes in ten independent runs. The numbers of clusters (K) tested ranged from 1 to 15. The optimal number of clusters was determined by calculating the ln(K) and ΔK values, as previously described by [26] and as implemented in STRUCTURE HARVESTER [27]. A consensus STRUCTURE plot was obtained from the admixture repeats using the greedy algorithm in CLUMPP [28], and final plots were produced using STRUCTURE PLOT [29].

A DAPC analysis as implemented in the R package adegenet was also performed, which uses a nonparametric approach, free from Hardy–Weinberg constraints, as described in [30]. Two approaches were conducted: (1) the first DAPC analysis was performed, providing the information of eight groups according to biological information (eight species); and (2) a priori definition of clusters to study population structure was required; therefore, the number of clusters was assessed using the function find.clusters, which runs successive K-means clustering with increasing numbers of clusters (k). We assumed 15 as the maximum number of clusters. The optimal number of clusters was estimated using the Bayesian information criterion (BIC), which reaches a minimum when the best-supported assignment of individuals to the appropriate number of clusters is approached. Both DAPC results are presented as multidimensional scaling plots.

Table 1 continued

| AN | Local code (BGP) | Species | Collector code | Latitude | Longitude | Collection site |
|----|----------------|---------|----------------|----------|-----------|----------------|
| 43 | 280            | Paspalum atratum | VRcMmSv 14557 | −20.483611 | −55.806944 | Anastácio, Mato Grosso do Sul, Brazil |
| 44 | 35             | Paspalum guenoarum | VMFrLw 9813 | −28.916667 | −55.600000 | São Borja, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil |
| 45 | 15             | Paspalum atratum | VPrPcAr 8687 | −20.300000 | −56.416667 | Miranda, Mato Grosso do Sul, Brazil |
| 46 | 283            | Paspalum rojasii | VRcMmSv 14567 | −22.250000 | −54.966667 | Dourados, Mato Grosso do Sul, Brazil |
| 47 | 264            | Paspalum guenoarum | V 14502  | −19.566667 | −51.233333 | Paranaiba, Mato Grosso do Sul, Brazil |
| 48 | 300            | Paspalum rojasii | VPoRcMmSv 14628 | −20.500000 | −54.733333 | Campo Grande, Mato Grosso do Sul, Brazil |
| Locus name        | Source library | GenBank accession number | Repeat Motif | Ta (C°) | Primer Sequence (5′-3′) | Size Range (bp) | P. plicatum | Plicatula species |
|------------------|----------------|--------------------------|--------------|---------|--------------------------|-----------------|-------------|------------------|
| Pp-UNICAMP01     | Lb-1           | KR611535                 | (TG)8        | 60      | F: GTGCAACACTATGACACCAG  | 173–181         | 4           | 0.64            | 0.70            |
|                  |                |                          |              |         | R: ACAGTGCACACCTTGT     |
| Pp-UNICAMP02     | Lb-1           | KR611536                 | (CCGAC)3     | 51      | F: CTCCACCAACCCCTTAC    | 187–203         | 6           | 0.72            | 0.81            |
|                  |                |                          |              |         | R: TAGTCCATATCCCCTTCTG  |
| Pp-UNICAMP03     | Lb-1           | KR611537                 | (AC)8        | 60      | F: CTGCTAAGTTACGCTAT    | 127–167         | 7           | 0.73            | 0.87            |
|                  |                |                          |              |         | R: ATGGAT GGAACCTTGATG  |
| Pp-UNICAMP04     | Lb-1           | KR611538                 | (CA)7        | 60      | F: TTGGATGCAACCACTATAG  | 133–151         | 3           | 0.41            | 0.69            |
|                  |                |                          |              |         | R: CACCTCTACTTCATCACC   |
| Pp-UNICAMP05     | Lb-1           | KR611539                 | (GT)7        | 60      | F: ATGGATGCAACCTTGATG   | 157–173         | 5           | 0.61            | 0.79            |
| Pp-UNICAMP06     | Lb-1           | KR611540                 | (TG)8        | 51      | F: GGTCCCTGATTGATTCT    | 155–169         | 7           | 0.73            | 0.88            |
| Pp-UNICAMP07     | Lb-1           | KR611541                 | (TCT)4       | 65      | F: AGCCCTGCCCTGACCTTC  | 222–258         | 6           | 0.66            | 0.74            |
| Pp-UNICAMP08     | Lb-1           | KR611542                 | (GT)7        | 51      | F: TGTTTTGGGATAAGGATAG  | 144–170         | 12          | 0.66            | 1.00            |
|                  |                |                          |              |         | R: CCTCTCAACATGGTACCA   |
| Pp-UNICAMP09     | Lb-1           | KR611543                 | (AC)7        | 56      | F: GCACAGGTTAGAACCATTTCA| 228–260         | 8           | 0.81            | 0.85            |
|                  |                |                          |              |         | R: TATGGAACCTTGATGGATG  |
| Pp-UNICAMP10     | Lb-1           | KR611544                 | (CA)7        | 60      | F: ATACCTAGATGACCTCACA  | 230–256         | 4           | 0.67            | 0.83            |
|                  |                |                          |              |         | R: CACTCACCACGGCTATGATA |
| Pp-UNICAMP11     | Lb-1           | KR611545                 | (CA)7        | 60      | F: GGAGAGATGAGACTCCCTTG | 232–266         | 6           | 0.72            | 0.86            |
|                  |                |                          |              |         | R: ACITCTACGGCTACCTGAT  |
| Pp-UNICAMP12     | Lb-2           | KR611546                 | (GT)7        | 65      | F: CCGTGTGACTAGATGAG    | 231–277         | 11          | 0.79            | 0.92            |
|                  |                |                          |              |         | R: AAAGGCCCCACTATAATTCCG|
| Pp-UNICAMP13     | Lb-2           | KR611547                 | (CA3CG)CA3   | 55      | F: GGAGAGATGAGACTCCCTTGG | 116–142         | 10          | 0.71            | 0.74            |
|                  |                |                          |              |         | R: TCAAGGGTGGACAAACAC   |
| Pp-UNICAMP14     | Lb-2           | KR611548                 | (ACAT)4      | 63      | F: GAATTCGGTTGTTGATT    | 223–243         | 5           | 0.59            | 0.75            |
| Pp-UNICAMP15     | Lb-2           | KR611549                 | (AG)17       | 55      | F: ACAGCTGCGGCTACAC    | 152–166         | 8           | 0.74            | 0.91            |
| Pp-UNICAMP16     | Lb-2           | KR611550                 | (AC)6        | 55      | F: CGACATGGCTCGATGAAAT  | 258–300         | 4           | 0.33            | 0.33            |
| Pp-UNICAMP17     | Lb-2           | KR611551                 | (AG)17       | 57      | F: TGACCCTGCTATCTCTT    | 147–191         | 15          | 0.87            | 0.99            |

Note: NA², PIC³, DP⁴ are statistical measures related to the polymorphism of the SSR markers.
Table 2 continued

| Locus name       | Source library | GenBank accession number | Repeat Motif | Ta (°C)¹ | Primer Sequence (5′-3′) | Size Range (bp) | P. plicatum | Plicatula species⁴ |
|------------------|----------------|--------------------------|--------------|----------|-------------------------|----------------|-------------|-------------------|
|                  |                |                          |              |          |                         |                | NA²         | PIC³              |
| Pp-UNICAMP18     | Lb-2           | KR611552                 | (GT)8CA(GT)7 | 65       | R: AAGTTTGCTCTTTTCGCCGTG | 119–153        | 11          | 0.84 0.98        | 16 0.90          |
|                  |                |                          |              |          | F: CAGTCAACGACACCGGAAC  |                |             |                   |
|                  |                |                          |              |          | R: CCAACCTAAATCACCTCACC |                |             |                   |
| Pp-UNICAMP19     | Lb-2           | KR611553                 | (ATT)2(CA)8  | 57       | F: CCCCTCTCTCCATTTCCA   | 203–241        | 13          | 0.87 0.97        | 15 0.88          |
|                  |                |                          |              |          | R: AGCTCCGAGAAGCGAAGA   |                |             |                   |
| Pp-UNICAMP20     | Lb-2           | KR611554                 | (GT)7        | 65       | F: AAGAAGCTGCCAAGGAAC   | 115–162        | 4           | 0.66 0.81        | 3 0.65           |
|                  |                |                          |              |          | R: GGAATAAACCTCAATAGG   |                |             |                   |
| Pp-UNICAMP21     | Lb-2           | KR611555                 | (CT)14       | 55       | F: GAGGCCCCAGACACAAAGG  | 145–205        | 14          | 0.88 0.99        | 17 0.90          |
|                  |                |                          |              |          | R: ATCAACAGGCTCTCTAGTG  |                |             |                   |
| Pp-UNICAMP22     | Lb-3           | KR611556                 | (CA)3CG(CA)3 | 65       | F: CGCGGAGAGATGAGACT    | 133–137        | 3           | 0.50 0.64        | 3 0.35           |
|                  |                |                          |              |          | R: TCAAGTGGACCAAACAC    |                |             |                   |
| Pp-UNICAMP23     | Lb-3           | KR611557                 | (TAGA(GT)23  | 55       | F: AGCAGGAGATGATGAG     | 230–254        | 3           | 0.40 0.57        | 2 0.35           |
|                  |                |                          |              |          | R: TCTCAGTGATGCTAC      |                |             |                   |
| Pp-UNICAMP24     | Lb-3           | KR611558                 | (CA)9        | 63       | F: TCTTGATCATCCGTTATG   | 179–215        | 10          | 0.83 0.96        | 10 0.80          |
|                  |                |                          |              |          | R: GCTGCCGTGGCCACTCTGC  |                |             |                   |
| Pp-UNICAMP25     | Lb-3           | KR611559                 | (AC)8        | 63       | F: CGAACGGCTTTTTATCTCCCA | 218–316       | 8           | 0.71 0.92        | 9 0.78           |
|                  |                |                          |              |          | R: GCTCCGATCCCTCGAATTCT |                |             |                   |

¹ Amplification temperature (°C)  
² Maximum number of alleles observed  
³ Polymorphism information content  
⁴ Discrimination power  

---

⁵ Species from Plicatula group evaluated: *Paspalum plicatulum*, *Paspalum atratum*, *Paspalum compressifolium*, *Paspalum guenoarum*, *Paspalum lenticulare*, *Paspalum lepton*, *Paspalum rhadopedum* and *Paspalum rojasii*.

⁶ Loci excluded from the statistical analysis due to high index of missing data.
Results and discussion

For Lb-1, we selected and sequenced 144 positive clones, which yielded 109 contigs containing 33 simple sequence repeat (SSR) sequences. From these sequences, 24 primer pairs were designed and tested. Six were eliminated from the analysis because they did not amplify fragments under the various conditions tested, two had amplification artifacts that made genotyping impossible and five were monomorphic. Thus, 11 polymorphic loci were obtained from Lb-1. In addition to this library, more two genomic libraries were constructed, Lb-2 and Lb-3, with the aim of increasing the number of loci and providing greater robustness in the analysis. A total of 192 positive clones were selected and sequenced, but due to the time required for amplification tests of primer pairs and genotyping, added to the costs involved, we selected only the best sequences of each library to design primer pairs according to the desired parameters. Thirty-two additional primer pairs were designed: 23 from Lb-2 and 9 from Lb-3. From these, four primer pairs were eliminated because they did not amplify fragments, nine had amplification artifacts and five were monomorphic. Thus, more 14 polymorphic loci were obtained (ten from Lb-2 and four from Lb-3).

Twenty-five markers were polymorphic and successfully transferred to the other Paspalum species tested. The description of the number of bands per locus and the PIC and DP values for both P. plicatulum accessions and other Paspalum species are shown in Table 2. Two loci were excluded from statistical analyses because they presented high indices of missing data (Pp-UNICAMP05 and Pp-UNICAMP20). Of the 23 loci analyzed, the number of bands per locus for P. plicatulum ranged from 3 to 15, with an average of 7.74. Among the species of the Plicatula group, the number of bands ranged from 2 to 17, with an average of 8.65 bands per locus.

Private bands were observed for all species, with the exception of P. rojasii (Table 3). We use the term “private band” to describe bands that are observed in only one species (Fig. 1). Paspalum plicatulum had the highest number of these bands, with more than half of the analyzed loci (52%) showing at least one band unique to this species. Paspalum atratum showed the second highest value of private bands, which were observed in 32% of loci. The number of private bands observed, can decrease as more individuals of other species are analyzed. However, this result was interesting since only three individuals of P. atratum were analyzed. Private bands in a population are a simple measure of genetic distinctiveness. For the purposes of conservation and management, private bands are crucial for early detection and intervention in populations at early stages of introgression and for prioritizing conservation and recovery programs [31]. Furthermore, a large, reliable, well-chosen set of species-diagnostic markers can be used to help identify species, which is extremely valuable in the management of germplasm banks.

PIC values obtained for the 23 loci analyzed ranged from 0.33 to 0.88, with an average of 0.69 for P. plicatulum, and from 0.35 to 0.90, with an average of 0.71 across the species from the Plicatula group. According to the classification proposed by Botstein [32], twenty of our loci were classified as highly informative (PIC ≥ 0.5). DP values ranged from 0.33 to 1.00, with a mean of 0.82 observed for P. plicatulum. When the PIC and DP of each locus were analyzed together, five loci presented the highest values in both indexes: Pp-UNICAMP21, Pp-UNICAMP17, Pp-UNICAMP18, Pp-UNICAMP19 and Pp-UNICAMP24, in order of higher informativeness.

Microsatellite markers were satisfactorily cross-amplified for Paspalum species within the Plicatula group. Paspalum atratum presented the highest detected transferability (89.86%); this species is closely related to P. plicatulum. Paspalum atratum is highly polymorphic and is related to the “common” biotype of P. plicatulum [16]. Killeen [33] relates P. plicatulum var. robustum Hack. in synonymy to P. atratum. The transferability rates of the loci were also high for P. compressifolium (85.87%), P. lentistculare (82.61%) and P. guenoarum (78.26%). The success of transferability between species, as observed for other Paspalum species [34, 35] and between correlated grass species [36, 37], allows the reduction of time and costs in the development of new markers. Only the Pp-UNICAMP23 locus could not be amplified in P. rojasii. Pp-UNICAMP01 did not amplify in P. rhodopedum, P. rojasii or P. lepton. A total of eight loci did not amplify in P. lepton, which may have occurred due to the use of only one accession of this species in the study. The results of the cross-amplification tests are shown in Table 4.

The Bayesian analyses of population structure were input into STRUCTURE HARVESTER for computation of mean LnP(K) and AK, which peaked at K = 3, suggesting that three genetic clusters were sufficient to interpret the Paspalum germplasm data (Fig. 2); the results are also presented for K = 6, which was the second best K (Additional file 1). From the eight species from Plicatula group analyzed, 16 accessions were assigned to cluster 1 (red), 20 were assigned to cluster 2 (blue) and ten were assigned to cluster 3 (green) (Fig. 3) (Additional file 2). Two accessions of P. plicatulum did not sort to defined clusters. There was a tendency of P. plicatulum individuals to cluster with each other, but mainly in clusters 1 and 3. The remaining species were mostly classified into a mixed subgroup (cluster 2). However, no clear pattern for the assignment of individuals based on species was observed. The results of this analysis can be extremely
useful in breeding programs to guide the choice of accessions to cross. Because the gene pool is shared between accessions of the same cluster, the success rates of crossing them may be higher.

Additionally, two DAPC analyses were performed, and the results are presented as multidimensional scaling plots in Figs. 4 and 5. For both, we retained the first 16 principal components of the PCA, which explained 65% of the variation. In the first approach, we used DAPC to investigate the genetic structure of the sampled individuals, using species information pre-established by taxonomic classification (Fig. 4). The data were divided into two clear clusters: the first cluster consisted only of *P. atratum* individuals, and the second cluster consisted of all individuals from the remaining seven *Paspalum* species. The genetic closeness of these species favors sharing alleles, which complicates delineation between the different taxa of the Plicatula group. This difficulty was also reported by Cidade et al. [17]. However, the separation of *P. atratum* genotypes into a distinct cluster could be related to the efficiency of amplification of the developed loci and the detection of a high number of unique bands. DAPC has been proposed as an alternative to Bayesian clustering [30] as the method does not assume a population genetic model and yields better visualization of the relative distances between groups. In the second approach, we assumed no prior information about groupings of the accessions evaluated. Therefore, we searched for the best-supported number of clusters using the K-means algorithm. Inspection of BIC values (Additional file 3) revealed that two clusters were the most probable to explain the variance in these groups of accessions as the number of clusters should be \( \geq 2 \), as stated by the software. However, we selected three clusters to illustrate the true genetic clusters and consequently compared the results to the three clusters obtained with STRUCTURE (Fig. 5). The allocation of individuals to clusters from DAPC was similar to those achieved by STRUCTURE,
and both analyses showed the same pattern of clustering. Essentially, clusters 1 (red), 2 (blue) and 3 (green) of DAPC reflect the division of gene pools 1 (red), 2 (blue) and 3 (green) detected by STRUCTURE, respectively (Additional file 2).

In both analyses, there was no clear delimitation of taxa within our dataset, compared to that expected according to the number of species studied here. In general, *Paspalum* species of the Plicatula group do not present a clear boundary forming an intricate agamic complex [16]. During species identification, it is common to distinguish among related species using only a few morphological descriptors. In Fig. 3, the unique accession of *P. lepton* was located in cluster 1, where there is a higher concentration of *P. plicatulum* accessions. *Paspalum lepton* is associated with *P. plicatulum* based on general morphological aspects of both plant and spikelet, although the latter species does not possess leptomorph rhizomes. *Paspalum lepton* is also associated with *P. rhodopedum* by the presence of long leptomorph rhizomes, however, the most typical accessions of *P. lepton* are characterized by the presence of small and gray plants [38].

In Fig. 3, the accessions of *P. rhodopedum* appeared to be divided into two clusters. Distinguishing the studied species through taxonomic identification using only morphological characters has proven to be a difficult task. *P. rhodopedum* is strongly related to *P. rojasii*, and it is practically impossible to distinguish the two species without the presence of the base of the plant [16]. Zuloaga et al. [39] proposed the synonymization of these species, but *P. rhodopedum* has leptomorph rhizomes, and *P. rojasii* has pachymorph rhizomes. Zuloaga et al. [39] synonymized *P. guenoarum* and *P. rojasii*. These authors also synonymized *P. rhodopedum* to *P. guenoarum* var. rojasii, even though the first has long and characteristic leptomorph rhizomes [16], as an Israeli chandelier, and *P. guenoarum* does not have rhizomes. Figure 3 shows that accessions of *P. rojasii* grouped with *P. guenoarum* and *P. rhodopedum*. *Paspalum atratum* is morphologically similar to *P. plicatulum* and *P. lenticulare* [38]. One of the morphological characteristics that distinguish *P. atratum* from *P. lenticulare* is that the first has an unbranched stem and the other has a branched collm [16]. The presence of fungi from the genus *Bipolaris* is another morphological characteristic that can be observed in the field for both *P. atratum* and *P. lenticulare*. *P. compressifolium* is a species of glaucous leaves and extremely flat fan-shaped sheaths and is also taxonomically associated with
The accessions of *P. compressifolium* analyzed here showed a different grouping pattern compared to the other species considered in this study. Although the accessions were scattered among themselves and in different clusters, they were grouped with *P. plicatulum* (Fig. 3). However, *P. plicatulum* has wide morphological variability, is poorly understood and there is no consensus in the interpretation of the type specimen. The difficulty in defining this taxon and even the entire Plicatula group was highlighted by Killeen [33]. This result was expected because in a previous study [17], microsatellite markers (SSRs) developed for *P. notatum* and *P. atratum* were used in different *Paspalum* species, which allowed the authors to distinguish many different taxa, except for species belonging to the Plicatula group. Although high genetic variability within its species was observed, there was no clear distinction between different species in this botanical group. Furthermore, it is commonly known that the Plicatula group presents natural variation in morphological types [40].

### Table 4  Cross-amplification of the 25 SSR markers among the other *Paspalum* species evaluated

| Locus name         | *P. atratum* | *P. compressifolium* | *P. guenoarum* | *P. lenticulare* | *P. lepton* | *P. rhodopedum* | *P. rojasii* |
|--------------------|--------------|----------------------|----------------|-----------------|-------------|----------------|--------------|
| Pp-UNICAMP01       | 3_3          | 3_4                  | 2_6            | 3_3             | 0_1         | 0_3            | 0_2          |
| Pp-UNICAMP02       | 2_3          | 4_4                  | 5_6            | 3_3             | 0_1         | 2_3            | 1_2          |
| Pp-UNICAMP03       | 3_3          | 3_4                  | 5_6            | 3_3             | 0_1         | 2_3            | 1_2          |
| Pp-UNICAMP04       | 3_3          | 4_4                  | 4_6            | 3_3             | 1_1         | 2_3            | 1_2          |
| Pp-UNICAMP05       | 2_3          | 3_4                  | 1_6            | 0_3             | 0_1         | 0_3            | 0_2          |
| Pp-UNICAMP06       | 3_3          | 4_4                  | 6_6            | 3_3             | 1_1         | 2_3            | 2_2          |
| Pp-UNICAMP07       | 3_3          | 4_4                  | 5_6            | 3_3             | 1_1         | 3_3            | 1_2          |
| Pp-UNICAMP08       | 3_3          | 4_4                  | 6_6            | 3_3             | 1_1         | 2_3            | 1_2          |
| Pp-UNICAMP09       | 2_3          | 2_4                  | 3_6            | 2_3             | 0_1         | 2_3            | 1_2          |
| Pp-UNICAMP10       | 3_3          | 4_4                  | 5_6            | 3_3             | 1_1         | 3_3            | 1_2          |
| Pp-UNICAMP11       | 3_3          | 4_4                  | 5_6            | 3_3             | 1_1         | 3_3            | 1_2          |
| Pp-UNICAMP12       | 2_3          | 4_4                  | 5_6            | 3_3             | 0_1         | 1_3            | 1_2          |
| Pp-UNICAMP13       | 3_3          | 4_4                  | 5_6            | 3_3             | 1_1         | 3_3            | 2_2          |
| Pp-UNICAMP14       | 3_3          | 4_4                  | 5_6            | 3_3             | 1_1         | 1_3            | 2_2          |
| Pp-UNICAMP15       | 2_3          | 4_4                  | 5_6            | 2_3             | 0_1         | 2_3            | 2_2          |
| Pp-UNICAMP16       | 2_3          | 4_4                  | 4_6            | 2_3             | 0_1         | 2_3            | 1_2          |
| Pp-UNICAMP17       | 3_3          | 4_4                  | 6_6            | 2_3             | 1_1         | 2_3            | 2_2          |
| Pp-UNICAMP18       | 2_3          | 1_4                  | 5_6            | 3_3             | 0_1         | 3_3            | 1_2          |
| Pp-UNICAMP19       | 3_3          | 4_4                  | 4_6            | 3_3             | 1_1         | 3_3            | 1_2          |
| Pp-UNICAMP20       | 3_3          | 4_4                  | 1_6            | 3_3             | 1_1         | 0_3            | 0_2          |
| Pp-UNICAMP21       | 3_3          | 4_4                  | 4_6            | 2_3             | 1_1         | 2_3            | 1_2          |
| Pp-UNICAMP22       | 3_3          | 4_4                  | 3_6            | 1_3             | 1_1         | 1_3            | 1_2          |
| Pp-UNICAMP23       | 3_3          | 1_4                  | 6_6            | 1_3             | 1_1         | 1_3            | 0_2          |
| Pp-UNICAMP24       | 3_3          | 4_4                  | 5_6            | 2_3             | 1_1         | 2_3            | 2_2          |
| Pp-UNICAMP25       | 3_3          | 1_4                  | 5_6            | 1_3             | 1_1         | 1_3            | 1_2          |
| Total              | 67           | 86                    | 110            | 60               | 16          | 45              | 27           |
| Amplification %   | 89.33        | 86.00                  | 73.33          | 80.00            | 64.00       | 60.00           | 54.00        |

* Number of successfully amplified genotypes. Number of tested genotypes

---

![Fig. 2 STRUCTURE HARVESTER results. The approximate numbers of genetic clusters (K) within the full data set of 48 individuals based on results from the software package STRUCTURE. The ΔK [25] values are shown for each value of K, from one to fifteen. Results are derived from ten independent simulations for each value of K. The results show the best support for K = 3.](attachment:image.png)
coupled with hybridization throughout its evolution and high genetic variability within its species [17], making it a highly complex group with difficult taxonomic interpretation [17, 33]. However, a more detailed and accurate analysis of the *P. notatum* accessions was undertaken, species from which molecular markers were developed and where species varieties could be separated (var. *saurae* and var. *notatum*) with the aid of private bands. Therefore, we believe that the absence of delimitation between the taxa observed in our study may be related to the low number of genotypes of different species used in the analysis as the initial objective was only to test the transferability of loci.

The private bands identified in our study for seven different species from the Plicatula group present potential applications for species identification of samples and/or new collections, what is mandatory for conservation, cytogenetics, breeding and other uses for *Paspalum*.
However, these bands need further validation with larger numbers of genotypes from each species to be brought into practical use.

The microsatellites developed here are the first SSR markers developed for *P. plicatulum* and are highly transferrable to other species of the Plicatula group. These markers showed high polymorphism and were efficient in detecting genetic variations in the different species. These markers can be employed in future investigations of breeding programs, mating systems and kinship studies.

### Additional files

**Additional file 1.** Bar graph of the estimated membership coefficients (Q) by STRUCTURE software for K = 6 for each of the 48 *Paspalum* genotypes evaluated.

**Additional file 2.** Allocation of *Paspalum* individuals based on the groups formed in the analysis of the STRUCTURE and DAPC. Groups 1 (red), 2 (blue) and 3 (green) for both analyses.

**Additional file 3.** Bayesian information criterion (BIC) for different numbers of clusters. The accepted true number of clusters was three.

### Abbreviations

AN: annotation number; BGP: codes of the accessions from EMBRAPA; BIC: Bayesian information criterion; bp: base pairs; BSA: bovine serum albumin; CAPES: coordination of improvement of higher education personnel; CTAB: cetyltrimethyl ammonium bromide; DAPC: discriminant analysis of principal components; DNA: deoxyribonucleic acid; DP: discrimination power; EMBRAPA: Brazilian Agricultural Research Corporation; K: number of clusters; Lb-1: library construction from BGP 86; Lb-2: library construction from BGP 80; Lb-3: library construction from BGP 8; MCMC: Markov Chain Monte Carlo; NA: number of alleles; PCR: polymerase chain reaction; PCA: principal components analysis; PIC: polymorphism information content; Q: association coefficient determined using STRUCTURE analysis; SSR: simple sequence repeat; SSRIT: simple sequence repeat identification tool; Ta (°C): annealing temperature.
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