Technical Note

Acute Primary Repair of the Anterior Cruciate Ligament With Anterolateral Ligament Augmentation
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Abstract: Acute injuries of the anterior cruciate ligament are often associated with concurrent injuries to the structures of the anterolateral complex, specifically the anterolateral ligament. Some injury patterns of the anterior cruciate ligament involve tearing of the majority of the ligament from the femoral origin, leaving a large, viable ligament remnant. In these patients, a repair of the anterior cruciate ligament back to the femoral origin can be undertaken. Subsequently, percutaneous repair of the anterolateral ligament can be performed through anatomical, percutaneous suture tape augmentation. The combined technique of anterior cruciate ligament repair with anterolateral ligament reinforcement is presented.

Anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) rupture is one of the most frequent orthopaedic and sports medicine injuries in the active population and often results in knee instability and functional impairment that eventually contribute to the development of post-traumatic arthritis.1,2 Recently, clinical and radiologic studies have demonstrated that the lesion of the anterolateral ligament (ALL) and capsule of the knee are associated with ACL tears in almost 90% of cases and that this represents the most important risk factor for grade 3 pivot shift (PS) in acute ACL-injured knees.3-5 For this reason, several extra-articular reconstruction (EAR) techniques have been proposed to address rotation instability by adding a lateral tenodesis or reconstructing anatomically the ALL.6-9 Moreover, recently with the advent of new surgical techniques, materials, and devices, there has been renewed interest in primary repair of acute ACL tears in proximal tears with good tissue quality of the tibial remnant.9-11 This technique demonstrates the use of arthroscopic primary ACL repair concurrently with percutaneous minimally invasive reinforcement of the ALL using suture augmentation.

Surgical Technique (With Video Illustration)

A careful preoperative patient selection is required for this technique. Patients with proximal ACL tears who have sufficient length of the ACL remnant to reapproximate to the femoral stump of the torn ligament and sufficient tissue quality to hold sutures are candidates for this technique, regardless of age or activity level. We would recommend this technique be performed within 2 weeks of the initial injury, taking advantage of the inflammatory phase possibly enhancing the spontaneous healing potential of the ACL and ALL.

Indications

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) appearance of the ACL tears is preoperatively classified according to van der List et al.12 into 1 of 5 types. Type I is a proximal avulsion of the ligament from its femoral insertion (distal remnant length >90% total ligament length), type II is a proximal tear (75%-90%), type III is a midsubstance tear (25%-75%), type IV is a distal tear (10%-25%), and type V is a distal avulsion tear...
(0%-10%). Also, the tissue quality of the ACL on the preoperative MRI is evaluated to predict eligibility for ACL repair and classified as good, fair, and poor.13

Arthroscopic primary repair is only performed in patients with proximal type I, type II, and type III tears with more than 50% of the tibial remnant intact and with good tissue quality to have good reapproximation of the ligament toward the femoral stump. These conditions are usually seen in the acute surgery, within 14 days from injury. The indications for adding an ALL internal brace (IB) augmentation are a grade 2 or 3 of PS, as evaluated with physical examination under anesthesia, and a tear of the ALL, as evaluated with preoperative 1.5-T MRI.4,5,14,15

**General Preparation**

All patients provide informed consent before undergoing to ACL repair with ALL IB augmentation. Patients receive standard preoperative antibiotics and regional anesthesia and are placed in the supine position with the knee free to move from full extension to 90° of flexion (Fig 1). Examination under anesthesia is performed on both knees to confirm the injuries of the affected leg. Finally, a tourniquet is applied around the proximal thigh, and the leg is prepared and draped in a sterile fashion.

A transtendinous portal is used for diagnostic arthroscopy, and the anteromedial portal is used as a working portal (Fig 2). The torn ACL is carefully evaluated and probed to identify the tear type and determine tissue quality (Fig 3). For those knees with type I, type II, and type III tears with more than 50% of the tibial remnant intact and with good tissue quality, we proceed with the ACL repair technique. An accessory anterolateral portal is created, and a 6-mm PassPort Cannula (Arthrex, Naples, FL) is inserted to facilitate suture passage and management (Fig 1).

The ACL remnant on the tibial side is prepared by suture passage into the ligament with a scorpion suture passer using No.2 FiberWire and TigerWire stitches (Arthrex). The sutures are then looped through the ligament using a lasso-loop knot-tying configuration. The stitches are passed through the anteromedial and posterolateral bundle of the ACL. The strength of suture fixation is tested by pulling traction on the ends of the stitches (Fig 4).

Next, a femoral outside-in ACL guide is used to create a femoral tunnel. The guide is placed at the origin of the femoral stump for anatomic guidance. It should be noted that the femoral stump is not debrided at all to ensure anatomic positioning and facilitate healing of
the repaired ACL. The femoral tunnel is drilled using an outside-in technique using a 4-mm drill. On the lateral side of the knee, the guide is placed close to the anatomical insertion of the ALL at the level of the lateral epicondyle. A FiberStick No. 2 (Arthrex) is then passed from outside to in through the guide trocar and retrieved with a grasper from the anteromedial portal. The FiberStick is then passed to the anterolateral portal and used to pass the ACL repair stitches up through the femoral tunnel to reapproximate the tibial ACL remnant to the femoral ACL stump.

On the lateral aspect of the knee, the 2 anatomical insertion points of the ALL are approached via a mini skin incision. Two 2.4-mm K-wires are fixed in the anatomical footprints of the ALL: in the femur posterior and proximal to the lateral epicondyle (femoral insertion) and in the tibia between the Gerdy's tubercle and the fibular head 1 cm above the joint line (tibial insertion; Fig 5). A suture is passed over the 2 wires and isometry is checked through range of motion (ROM) to have a graft that tightens in extension and slacks in flexion and also to avoid any possible overconstraint of the normal flexion and internal rotation of the knee.

The repair stitches of the ACL coming out form the joint are then passed through a 4.75-mm bioabsorbable knotless anchor (SwiveLock; Arthrex) and fixed through a 4 × 20-mm bone socket drilled at the level of femoral insertion of the ALL. Before final fixation of the ACL, the repair stitches are tensioned by cycling the knee and then fixed with the knee in full extension. Subsequently, the wires coming out form the femoral bone socket, and already fixed with the first knotless anchor (SwiveLock; Arthrex), are then passed subcutaneously and deep to the iliotibial band to reach the skin incision at the level of the anatomical tibial insertion of the ALL. At this level a second 4 × 20-mm bone socket is drilled and the wires are fixed by using a 4.75-mm absorbable knotless anchor (SwiveLock; Arthrex) with the knee in full extension, completing the IB of the ALL (Fig 6). Finally, the repaired ACL is probed and evaluated at different degrees of flexion to confirm the integrity of the repair, and the ALL IB is double-checked by moving the knee form full extension to 90° of flexion to avoid any possible overconstraint (Video 1).

The arthroscopic portals and skin incision are closed with skin sutures. A short brace locked in extension is applied at the end of the procedure (Table 1).

**Rehabilitation**

Patients are discharged the day after the procedure with a short brace locked in extension, which is

**Table 1. Tips and Tricks**

- Use 3 arthroscopic portals (central transtendinous, AM, and AL)
- Leave the ACL femoral stump intact
- Use the ACL femoral stump as reference for femoral tunnel placement
- Use 2 K-wires to check isometry of ALL IB
- Fix the ACL repair in full extension
- Fix the ALL IB in full extension

ACL, anterior cruciate ligament; AL, anterolateral; ALL IB, anterolateral ligament internal brace; AM, anteromedial.
magnetic resonance imaging. As a valgus, varus, internal rotation, and external rotation, which is a complex series of movements involving knee motion between 0° and 90° is initiated the day after surgery, with a goal of reaching full ROM within 4 weeks. The brace is unlocked to 90° of flexion after 1 week and to complete ROM after 2 weeks and removed after 4 weeks. Physical therapy is begun the day after surgery, focusing on early ROM by maintaining full extension and progressively increasing flexion. Specific muscle control and strengthening exercises were started 4 weeks after surgery. Patients begin sport-specific training at 2 months postoperatively. Pivoting sports are allowed if the neuromuscular function has recovered, which usually occurs within 6 months.

**Discussion**

Anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction (ACLR) is one of the most frequent orthopaedic procedures, with an estimated 100,000 to 175,000 ACL reconstructions (ACLRs) performed annually. The primary goal of an ACL surgery is to stabilize the knee, especially by controlling the PS phenomenon. In fact, a residual rotatory instability, which has been reported from 11% to 30% in several studies, is related to poor outcomes and less satisfactory results. Although the results of the several ACLR techniques are satisfactory, concerns remain about the ability to fully control the PS phenomenon.

The majority of ACL injuries occur secondary to a noncontact mechanism as a result of a PS-like injury, which is a complex series of movements involving knee valgus, varus, internal rotation, and external rotation moments, with an anterior-translation force. As a consequence of this injury mechanism, other structures can be damaged along with the ACL at the time of injury, such as the structures of the anterolateral complex, which includes the ALL, the iliotibial band and the lateral meniscus.

Tears to the ALL frequently occur, as it is damaged concurrently with the ACL in almost 90% of cases in acute settings, as demonstrated by Ferretti et al., with a systematic surgical exposure of the lateral compartment in acute ACL surgeries. These findings are consistent with results reported when a lateral incision was standardly performed using the old-style open ACL reconstruction techniques. A similar prevalence of injury to the secondary restraints was found using preoperative MRI in acute ACL tears.

The ALL has been described as a distinct structure located proximally and posteriorly to the lateral femoral epicondyle and extending to a point midway between the Gerdy’s tubercle and fibular head on the tibia. Several navigated studies have demonstrated the biomechanical role of the ALL in controlling the rotational stability of the knee and the PS phenomenon, as well as the role of its anatomic reconstruction. Several techniques have been evaluated for the treatment of ALL lesions and subsequent anterolateral rotational instability of the knee. Both nonanatomical lateral extra-articular tenodesis and anatomical ALL reconstruction techniques have shown excellent results and a reduction of failure rate in a high number of patients. However, EAR techniques as well as ALL reconstructions are able to reduce the force applied to the ACL up to 80%, possibly protecting the repaired ACL during its spontaneous healing.

This protective effect of EAR techniques has been also recently confirmed in an MRI study of Cavaignac et al. They concluded that ACL grafts showed generally better incorporation and maturation when combined with lateral extra-articular tenodesis. Some preliminary reports and original techniques for ALL repair and ALL augmentation with suture tape have been already described. Internal bracing involves the augmentation of a ligament repair with

### Table 2. Indications and Contraindications of Arthroscopic Primary ACL Repair With ALL Augmentation

| Indications                                    | Absolute contraindications                  |
|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| Type I ACL tear                               | Type IV ACL tear                            |
| Type II ACL tear                              | Type V ACL tear                             |
| Type III ACL tear with at least 50% of tibial remnant intact |                                |
| Good tissue quality                           | Poor tissue quality                         |
| Acute injury (injury-to-surgery interval maximum 2 weeks) | Re-rupture of a repaired ACL                |
| Patients of all age and activity levels       | Relative contraindications                  |
| Grade 2 or 3 pivot shift                      | Previous experience or familiarity with the procedure |
| Incomplete ALL tear                           | Grade 1 pivot shift or no MRI sign of ALL tears |

ACL, anterior cruciate ligament; ALL, anterolateral ligament; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging.

### Table 3. Advantages and Disadvantages of Arthroscopic Primary ACL Repair With ALL Augmentation

| Advantages                                      | Disadvantages                                   |
|------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| Minimally invasive technique without skin incisions | Only in selected group of patients              |
| Anatomical repair of the ACL                    | Long-term outcomes (>5 years) unknown           |
| Anatomical augmentation of the ALL              |                                                |
| Early ROM                                      |                                                |
| Lower risk of infection vs ACLR + EAR           |                                                |
| Less pain and swelling vs EAR                   |                                                |

ACL, anterior cruciate ligament; ACLR, anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction; ALL, anterolateral ligament; EAR, extra-articular reconstruction; ROM, range of motion.
suture tape, which reinforces the ligament and promotes natural healing by protecting the ligament during the healing phase and allowing early mobilization.30

The addition of ALL augmentation to ACL repair, presented in Video 1, is based on the mentioned results of ALL reconstruction in terms of protection of the intra-articular ACL repair procedure and also, acting as an IB, as a prevention of subsequent injury.

Primary repair of ACL tears was the standard treatment for all ACL tears until the middle to the late 1980s. Although clinical results were initially promising, mid-term follow-up studies showed significantly greater rates of continued instability and pain and thus with the advent of arthroscopy, ACL reconstruction subsequently became the gold standard technique for all tear types. With the advent of new surgical techniques, materials, and devices, there has been a renewed interest in primary repair of ACL, with some recent studies on ACL repair showing good-to-excellent results and failure rate ranging from 7% to 15% of cases.9-11

The main advantages of repair as compared with reconstruction lie in the avoidance of any harvesting-related morbidity and complications, absence of any graft remodeling, and ligamentization process with a more physiological and anatomical healing. Moreover, fewer postoperative infections, easier rehabilitation, and earlier return to sports and daily activities of living should also be expected.31,32

DiFelice et al.33 reported excellent outcomes after primary ACL repair with a failure rate of 9% at a mean 3.5-year follow-up. Achtinich et al.34 compared primary repair with the gold standard of ACL reconstruction and noted equivalent functional outcomes after both procedures with a trend toward more revision after primary repair. Ferretti et al.11 showed good short-term clinical outcomes after ACL repair in acute injuries and also excellent MRI findings with early recovery of a normal morphology and signal intensity.

Recently, the results of ACL repair techniques have been reported in some reviews and meta-analyses of the literature with some conflicting results noted. The first, which was focused exclusively on arthroscopic ACL repair techniques, examined 13 studies and 1101 patients, showing how 3 different techniques (primary repair without augmentation, with static and with dynamic augmentation) are safe, with failure rates between 7% and 11%. The second, which looked at 28 studies and 2401 patients, reported how ACL reconstruction results in better survivorship and patients perceived postoperative improvement greater than repair.31,35

There are limitations to this technique. Absolute contraindications include patients with tears who have a distal remnant that is too short for reinsertion, tissue quality that cannot hold sutures (i.e., poor tissue quality), or re-rupture of a repaired ACL. Relative contraindications could be complete tears of ALL as well as Segond’s fracture. In such a case, direct repair and retensioning of the ALL with re-fixation of the bony fragment when present should be suggested, with or without IB augmentation. Other relative contraindications for this technique are surgical experience and surgical familiarity with the procedure. The indication for adding an ALL IB augmentation is a grade 2 or 3 of PS as evaluated with physical examination under anesthesia and a tear of the ALL as evaluated with preoperative 1.5-T MRI. We do not recommend IB in the case of 1 + PS and in patients without any direct or indirect MRI sign of ALL tear (Table 2).

The purposed technique presents some advantages: it is a minimally invasive technique without skin incision. Only arthroscopic portals and 2 small incisions on insertion points of the ALL are needed. The technique allows an anatomical repair of the ACL and also an anatomical augmentation of the ALL, possibly improving the spontaneous healing of the ACL. The accelerated postoperative protocol can decrease the risk of knee arthrofibrosis after acute ACL surgery. In addition, ALL IB augmentation leads to less postoperative pain and swelling in comparison with the extra-articular tenodesis techniques, thus allowing for earlier initiation of postoperative rehabilitation, which begins the day after surgery (Table 3).

This paper has some limitations. This is only the presentation of a surgical technique and no results are presented, so it is not possible to extrapolate consideration about clinical results at long-term follow-up as well as possible complications. However, in a recent study, Hopper et al.36 showed excellent outcomes at 2 years of follow-up in 94.7% of patients undergoing a combined ACL repair and ALL IB augmentation.

In conclusion, a recent resurgence of interest in ACL preservation has been noted using arthroscopic primary repair in patients with proximal tears. Treatment of anterolateral rotatory instability of the knee should include treatment of ALL tears and for this reason ALL IB is added. Moreover, ALL IB is able to protect healing of the ligament and enable ROM during the early phases of rehabilitation. In this Technical Note, we have described the surgical technique of arthroscopic primary repair of proximal ACL tears with ALL suture augmentation.
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