Determining the Events in a Glacial Disaster Chain at Badswat Glacier in the Karakoram Range Using Remote Sensing
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Abstract: The Karakoram mountain range is prone to natural disasters such as glacial surging and glacial lake outburst flood (GLOF) events. In this study, we aimed to document and reconstruct the sequence of events caused by glacial debris flows that dammed the Immit River in the Hindu Kush Karakoram Range on 17 July 2018. We used satellite remote sensing and field data to conduct the analyses. The order of the events in the disaster chain were determined as follows: glacial meltwater from the G2 glacier (ID: G074052E36491N) transported ice and debris that dammed the meltwater at the snout of the G1 glacier (ID: G074103E36480N), then the debris flow dammed the Immit River and caused Lake Badswat to expand. We surveyed the extent of these events using remote sensing imagery. We analyzed the glaciers’ responses to this event chain and found that the glacial debris flow induced G1 to exhibit accelerating ice flow in parts of the region from 25 July 2018 to 4 August 2018. According to the records from reanalysis data and data from the automatic weather station located 75 km from Lake Badswat, the occurrence of this disaster chain was related to high temperatures recorded after 15 July 2018. The chains of events caused by glacially related disasters makes such hazards more complex and dangerous. Therefore, this study is useful not only for understanding the formation of glacial disaster chains, but also for framing mitigation plans to reduce the risks for vulnerable downstream/upstream residents.
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1. Introduction

Huge stores of ice and snow are located on the Tibetan Plateau (TP) and in the surrounding mountains, which is why this region has been designated as the “Third Pole” of the planet [1,2]. However, global warming weakens the inherent stability of glaciers [3]. Consistent warming of the TP is well documented; despite the protection of debris cover, 13–20% of the glacial mass in the Karakoram mountain range will be lost by the end of the 21st century if the background global temperature increases by 1.5 °C [4]. Glaciers in the TP have been shrinking since the 1960s in response to global warming. Unlike those in other parts of the Himalayas, the glaciers in the Karakoram are affected by complex dynamics. The Karakoram glaciers exhibit irregular behaviors such as glacial surging [5–7], which is known as the“ Karakoram anomaly” [5,8]. Several glaciers in the Karakoram exhibit surge-type behavior, meaning that they speed up and advance rapidly [6,9,10], which demonstrates the unique behavior of glaciers in this region [11]. Furthermore, decreased annual precipitation and increased precipitation during the winter has been reported in the Karakoram [12,13]. Consequently, early reports suggest that both the extent...
and the mass of the glaciers in the central Karakoram region have remained nearly constant since 1970 [14–16].

Global warming has caused the rapid melting of glacial ice in recent decades. The irregular behavior of glaciers in the Karakoram range has caused the expansion of existing lakes in the region and the creation of new ones, which ultimately results in glacial lake outburst flood (GLOF) hazards downstream [17–19]. Additionally, the frequency and intensity of natural hazards related to glacial variations (such as glacial debris flows, flash floods, and GLOFs) have increased. Glacial debris flows and GLOFs not only present acute risks to local habitats and inhabitants, but they can also have latent and long-term effects on adjacent and distal environments due to the transport of contaminated glacial river water [20]. Between 1998 and 2017, floods were the most frequently reported type of disaster worldwide, representing 43% of all recorded events and affecting at least two billion people [21].

At present, glacier-related natural hazards in the TP that are driven in part by climate change are increasing both in magnitude and frequency. Since 2015, there have been a number of glacial collapses/surges in the TP. For example, in 2015, the Karayaylak glacial surge occurred on Kongur Tagh (7719 m a.s.l.) in the Pamir Mountains [22]. In July and September of 2016, the Aru village in the TP experienced two ice collapses associated with Glacier No. 53 [23], and in October 2016, glacier 5J352E0017 in the Amnye Machen Mountains (eastern Tibet) experienced an ice collapse [24]. Ice collapses have become an important disaster type in High Mountain Asia (HMA). Glacial collapses/surges act as part of a cascading chain process. For example, in September 2002, the Kolka–Karmadon event (in the Caucasus) underwent rock/ice calving that stopped 20 km downstream, but then continued to form a 15 km long debris flow in the downriver reaches, resulting in the deaths of nearly 140 people [25,26]. In October 2018, ice/rock falls in the Sedongpu basin induced debris flows that blocked the Yarlung Zangbo River [27]. Such glacial disasters pose a great threat to adjacent villages in valleys, downstream populations, and infrastructure [28,29]. This is particularly critical because institutional mechanisms and the means to deal with cascading multihazard environmental disasters are lacking in this region. Hence, it is necessary to find reasonable ways to mitigate glacier-related hazards such as glacial debris flows, the creation and expansion of glacial lakes, and GLOFs. To do so, it is necessary to assess the regional and local vulnerabilities to develop a better understanding of the influence of cryospheric changes on humanity [30,31]. It is also necessary to raise awareness regarding the potential risks of cascading glacial hazards [32].

In this study, we observed a typical glacial disaster in the Karakoram Range and identified the disaster development process using remote sensing imagery and field sampling methods. We also evaluated the impacts of the disaster on the environment (glaciers) and human settlements and habitats. Through this local disaster case, we hope to renew the cognition of glacial disasters.

2. Study Area

The Gilgit River originates from a high alpine glacier in an area where two mountain systems (the Hindu Kush and the Karakoram) meet. It is a tributary of the Indus River and flows through the town of Gilgit. The climate is hot in summer and cold in winter. Summer prevails in months from June to August. In July and August, the mean maximum temperature at Gilgit station (35.6°N, 74.2°E, 1460 m a.s.l.) is normally above 35 °C. Winter starts in November and remains until the end of March. January is the coldest month, with a mean minimum temperature reaching below −2 °C. The mean annual precipitation (1961–2014) is 134 mm, of which ~70% is usually received from April to September [33,34].

Glacier inventories that have been issued in the region include the Randolph Glacier Inventory (RGI) [35], the Glacier Area Mapping for Discharge from the Asian Mountains (GAMDAM) [36], and the glacier inventories for Karakoram and Pamir [15]. In this study, we used data from the GAMDAM inventory, in which the glacial extents were delineated in 1999. Approximately 1800 km² of the Gilgit River basin is glaciated [35], which includes
156 glaciers that cover a total area of $270.55 \pm 6.20 \text{ km}^2$ in the Immit Basin. The largest glacier in the area is the Karambar glacier, with an area of $65.32 \pm 0.72 \text{ km}^2$ (Figure 1). As of 10 July 2018, eight glacial lakes were located in the basin, covering a total area of $3.33 \pm 0.12 \text{ km}^2$. Of these, Karambar Lake is the largest, with an area of $2.55 \pm 0.08 \text{ km}^2$. In addition to the glaciers in the Immit Basin, an additional two adjacent glaciers (G1 and G2) are of importance to this study (Figure 1). The G1 is developed on Purian Sar (6240 m a.s.l.). The areas and lengths of G1 and G2 are $15.65 \text{ km}^2$ and $2.95 \text{ km}^2$, and $10.2 \text{ km}$ and $3.3 \text{ km}$, respectively.

![Figure 1](image_url). The location of the Badswat village in Pakistan. The dammed point is marked in green. The automatic weather station (AWS) is sited in the southeast of Badswat. The glacial debris flow was initiated from G2 (Background images: Landsat 8 OLI Path: 150, Row: 34–35, acquired on 11 August 2018).

Historical flow data from the Gilgit River have exhibited a decreasing water volume from 1961 to 1985 and an increasing water volume from 1986 to 2010. The recent increasing trend could be due to increasing temperatures and rainfall [37]. The Ishkoman valley, located at the headwater of the Gilgit River, is located in northern Pakistan in Gilgit-Baltistan, in the Immit Valley (Figure 1). The elevation of the valley ranges from 2100 to 3700 m a.s.l. On 17 July 2018, the Badswat and Bilhanz villages in the Immit Valley were affected by a glacial debris flow, which led to the expansion of a dammed lake (Lake Badswat, 74.0327°E, 36.5455°N, 2610 m a.s.l.). This study focused on glacier behavior in and adjacent to the Immit River, where this glacial debris flow impacted Lake Badswat. Landsat images, Sentinel 2 images, and GF-1 images (among others) were used to investigate the factors that triggered this event.
3. Data and Methods

3.1. Satellite Data

To survey the glacial event, we used optical data from different sources (Table 1) and images from a number of satellite platforms, including Sentinel 2, Landsat 8 Operational Land Imager (OLI), GF-1 WFV1/2, and CB04 P5M (http://www.cresda.com, accessed on 15 January 2019). Landsat 8 OLI images and Sentinel 2 data were acquired from the United States Geological Survey (USGS; http://earthexplorer.usgs.gov/, accessed on 20 December 2018) and corrected for georeferenced terrain within prescribed tolerances (<12 m root mean square error, RMSE). The GF-1 WFV1/2 system is a multispectral scanning radiometer with a temporal resolution of 4 days and a spatial resolution of 16 m. The CB04 P5M system is a panchromatic (PAN) image with a spatial resolution of 5 m. Both the WFV1/2 and CB04 P5M images were orthorectified using a rational polynomial coefficient (RPC) in the Environment for Visualizing Images (ENVI) 5.0 software package (ITT Visual Information Solutions). The WFV1/2 and Landsat 8 images were coregistered with each other and had an RMSE of less than 1 pixel. Additionally, we also used very high-resolution imagery from Google Earth, such as worldview satellite data acquired on 20 July 2017. This imagery was analyzed directly at its maximum resolution using the Google Earth platform.

Table 1. The data resources used in this study.

| No. | Sensors                  | Path/Row | Acquisition Date | Resolution (m) |
|-----|--------------------------|----------|------------------|----------------|
| 1   | Landsat 8 OLI            | 150/35   | 10 July 2018     | 15             |
| 2   | Landsat 8 OLI            | 150/35   | 11 August 2018   | 15             |
| 3   | Landsat 8 OLI            | 150/35   | 12 September 2018| 15             |
| 4   | GF-1 WFV2                | 69/96    | 15 July 2018     | 16             |
| 5   | GF-1 WFV1                | 71/95    | 31 July 2018     | 16             |
| 6   | CB04 P5M (panchromatic)  | 43/59    | 21 August 2018   | 5              |
| 7   | HJ1A CCD2                | 55/72    | 19 July 2018     | 30             |
| 8   | Landsat 7 ETM+           | 150/35   | 16 September 1999| 15             |
| 9   | S2 MSI *                 | T43SDA   | 20 July 2016     | 10             |
| 10  | S2 MSI *                 | T43SDA   | 15 July 2018     | 10             |
| 11  | S2 MSI *                 | T43SDA   | 25 July 2018     | 10             |
| 12  | S2 MSI *                 | T43SDA   | 4 August 2018    | 10             |
| 13  | S2 MSI *                 | T43SDA   | 19 August 2018   | 10             |
| 14  | S2 MSI *                 | T43SDA   | 18 September 2018| 10             |
| 15  | S2 MSI *                 | T43SDA   | 28 October 2018  | 10             |
| 16  | Planet Scope             |          | 15 July 2018     | 3              |
| 17  | Planet Scope             |          | 18 July 2018     | 3              |
| 18  | Planet Scope             |          | 25 July 2018     | 3              |
| 19  | Planet Scope             |          | 15 August 2018   | 3              |

* Sentinel 2 Multispectral Instrument.

3.2. Climate Data

Air temperature and precipitation are two important parameters in the study of cryospheric hazards. Considering the scarcity of in-situ temperature and precipitation data in complex mountain terrain, grid-based reanalysis data (such as ERA-Interim (the European Center for Medium Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) reanalysis)) have generally been used for climate studies. In this study, daily air temperature data acquired from ERA-Interim and daily precipitation data acquired from the Climate Hazards Group InfraRed Precipitation with Station data (CHIRPS) were used to determine the impact of climate change on the occurrence of GLOF events.

ERA-Interim is a global atmospheric reanalysis product generated by ECMWF [38]. This product continuously updates forward in time from 1979 to the present. ERA-Interim reanalysis data contains dozens of climate elements at multiple resolution scales (0.125°, 0.25°, 0.5°, 0.75°, and 1°). Previous studies have suggested that ERA-Interim exhibits a
better overall performance than other reanalysis datasets for representing air temperatures and hydrological cycles in mountainous regions [39, 40].

CHIRPS is a 30+ year quasiglobal rainfall dataset [41]. It covers the area from 50° S to 50° N, and extends from 1981 to the near-present. CHIRPS utilizes 0.05° resolution satellite imagery with in-situ station data to generate gridded rainfall time series and was initially developed for trend analysis and seasonal drought monitoring, but is now widely used for climate change analysis [42, 43].

We also used temperature and precipitation data recorded by the automatic weather station (AWS) that was installed on the Ghukin glacier (36.43° N, 74.86° E, 2641 m a.s.l) in May, 2018 (Figure 1). This AWS is located 75 km from Lake Badswat and is not in the Gilgit watershed. This dataset can be used to validate the reanalysis data.

3.3. Glacier and Glacial Lake Mapping

Landsat 8 OLI images were interpreted visually. The 2018 boundaries of the glaciers and glacial lakes were delineated manually, due to their small sizes and other challenging mapping characteristics of debris-covered glaciers. Glacier and glacial lake mapping uncertainties originate from the coverage of glaciers and glacial lakes by debris, shadows, and inaccuracies in the mapping process [44–46]. We estimated the uncertainties using Equation (1), since there was no ground truthing or reference data for any larger set of glaciers in the study region [44].

\[
e(s) = k \epsilon_1 s^p
\]

where \( e \) is uncertainty (km²), \( s \) is area (km²), \( p \) is 0.70, and \( \epsilon_1 = 0.039 \), which is the estimated fractional error in a measured area of 1 km². The correction factor \( k \) was set as 1. Consequently, the uncertainty of the mapped glacier area was less than 2.3% and the uncertainty of the mapped glacial lake was 3.6%.

3.4. Glacier Velocity

Surface velocity is a key factor in glacial surging. In this study, we surveyed the glacier surface velocity during the flood event using Landsat 8 OLI images (panchromatic band, band 8) and Sentinel 2 images (near-infrared band, band 8). For automatic surface tracking, the feature tracking method (including cross-correlation and offset-tracking) was primarily used [47, 48], and software packages, including the Coregistration of Optically Sensed Images and Correlation (COSI-Corr) and CIAS, were utilized [49, 50]. The COSI-Corr software package is a plug-in for the ENVI remote sensing software that allows for automatic and precise orthorectification, coregistration, and subpixel correlation of satellite and aerial images without ground control points [50]. We used the image correlation algorithm in the frequency domain implemented in the COSI-Corr software package [50] to estimate the glacier surface displacements; a 64 by 64 pixel window was used as the initial search window, and an 8 by 8 pixel window was used as the final window. The signal-to-noise ratio was set at a threshold of 0.95 to avoid a correlation bias, and four robustness iterations were applied. The step size was set to 8 pixels to produce velocity maps with a 120/80 m resolution. The uncertainty was evaluated by measuring displacements on a glacier free region, and was less than 5.0 m for each Landsat-derived displacement map. Surface displacements were then converted to daily velocities.

3.5. Field Survey

A field survey was conducted at Lake Badswat on 21 July 2019 to investigate the deposition and material sources of the glacial debris flow (Figure 2). The whole debris flow gully average gradient is about 29% and the width is about 110 m (as derived from Google Earth). The composition of the debris flow was dominated by gravel, while the lateral moraine was mostly composed of glacialfluvial deposit gravel. Consequently, we determined that the lateral moraines were the source of the debris flow (Figure 2).
4. Results

4.1. Determination of Disaster Chain Process Using Remote Sensing

Three steps were taken to identify the disaster chain process using remote sensing techniques. First, visual examination of the Sentinel 2 images was conducted. We observed that a change in the surface at the 3600 m contour (Figure 3b) occurred at the end of G2, which was the origin of the debris flow. Furthermore, there was also a fresh trace from an “ice debris flow” to an “ice dam”. Therefore, we deduced that water came from the G2 foreland and that meltwater from G2 (~3600 m a.s.l) drained through and eroded the G1 lateral moraine on 25 July 2018.

Second, we analyzed the satellite images to understand the glacial debris flow. We determined that the glacial debris flow strengthened below the “ice dam” (Figure 4b). We observed a region of fresh changes (ice dam region, Figure 4c) in the image from 25 July 2018. Additionally, after the event, an end moraine lake was observed (Figure 4c,d). Conse-
sequently, we inferred that ice dammed the debris flow route because the glacial debris flow initiated from G2 eroded ice bodies in the G1 ablation region, which caused some of the ice bodies to collapse. This event did not destroy the supraglacial lake between 15 July 2018 and 15 August 2018. Consequently, this debris flow went from 3600 m a.s.l. to 2610 m a.s.l., with a distance of 3.4 km through two steps.

![Satellite images of the G1 snout (a-d) before and after the events from 15 July 2018 to 15 August 2018 (background: Planet Scope. (a) before the event at G1; (b) after the event without end moraine lake; (c,d) after the event with end moraine lake).](image)

In the final step, we visually assessed the sediment movement and deposition. We observed that the glacial debris flow from G1 carried lateral moraine sediments mixed with collapsed ice. This mixture flowed into the Immit River at 2610 m a.s.l. The debris flow triggered the formation of a sediment fan of approximately 1300 m × 500 m, and dammed the Immit River (Figure 3). After this occurred, the area of Lake Badswat expanded rapidly within a short time.

4.2. Dammed Lake

The area of Lake Badswat was 0.16 km$^2$ on 10 July 2018 and 0.14 km$^2$ on 15 July 2018, both of which were measured before the debris flow dammed the Immit River. After the event, the area of Lake Badswat expanded to 0.53 km$^2$ on 25 July and to 0.63 km$^2$ on 4 August 2018. However, based on the Sentinel 2 images acquired on 25 July 2018,
the Immit River was not completely blocked. Figure 5 shows a time series visualization of the extent of Lake Badswat from 10 July 2018 to 23 October 2018.

![Figure 5. Variations in the area of Lake Badswat before and after the event.](image)

4.3. Exposure and Loss Analyses

Vulnerability is primarily the result of exposure to the debris flow and the damming of the lake. There are two aspects of vulnerability: physical and environmental vulnerabilities of people, livelihoods, infrastructure, and buildings; and socioeconomic vulnerabilities, including poverty, lack of preparedness, susceptibility, and adaptive capacity. We found that some vegetation and the main road were destroyed by the debris fan. Additionally, over 40 houses, farmland, and smaller roads were inundated as a result of the expansion of Lake Badswat (Figure 6). The access road that serves 10 villages (located upstream of the Immit River) was also blocked, which forced the relocation of 1000 people to safety [https://www.akdn.org/gallery/akdns-response-glacial-lake-outburst-flood-immit-valley-gilgit-baltistan, accessed on 12 October 2018].

![Figure 6. Surface exposure before and after the event. (a) Before the event (the background image is from Sentinel 2, acquired on 15 July 2018). (b) After the event (the background image is from Sentinel 2, acquired on 4 August 2018).](image)
4.4. Glacial Response to Disaster Events

Analysis of the Landsat ETM+ and OLI images suggests that the total areas of the 156 glaciers in the Immit basin in 1999 and 2018 were \(277.97 \pm 6.39\) km\(^2\) and \(273.95 \pm 6.30\) km\(^2\), respectively. The area covered by glaciers decreased by up to \(4.02\) km\(^2\) (1.4\%) during this time period. The G1 glacier is located at the edge of the Immit basin and appears to have undergone little change in its extent from 1999 to 2018; however, the bare ice lower edge of G1 receded by \(~700\) m. The area of the G2 glacier decreased from \(4.62\) km\(^2\) in 1999 to \(4.56\) km\(^2\) in 2018, accounting for a 1.3\% decrease in the total areal extent of G2.

Understanding glacial movement is important in the assessment of potentially unstable zones [23,51]. Identifying such areas is vital for detecting the cause of a current hazard, or for assessing how a glacier might respond to a current hazard. A glacier’s dynamic is one of the factors used to detect a potentially unstable zone. Due to the limited availability of cloud-free images, we derived the glacier surface velocities from 10 July 2018 to 12 September 2018 using a combination of images from Landsat 8 OLI and Sentinel 2. Longitudinal profiles were extracted to provide insight into the evolution of the glacier’s dynamic. We determined that the glacial surface velocity was less than \(1.5\) m d\(^{-1}\) from 10 July to 12 September 2018 using Landsat images (Figure 7), and that the glacier moved at a greater velocity during the flood event (10 July to 11 August 2018) than after the flood event (11 August to 12 September 2018). The glacier surface velocity was unusually large from 10 July to 11 August 2018 in Region A (Figure 7). Further analysis using Sentinel 2 images (band 8) found that rapid movement occurred from 25 July to 14 August 2018. These images show that unusually fast glacial movement occurred after the event.
Figure 7. The ice velocities and longitudinal profiles of G1 from 10 July 2018 and 12 September 2018 (from glacier tongue to glacier accumulation).
5. Discussion

5.1. Role of Cascading Glacial Hazards in Disasters

Two local residents from the Badswat area provided insight into the causes of the event. They informed us that collapsed ice blocked the melting channel of the glaciers, which in turn led to the formation of a small pool in the vicinity of the ice collapse. After passing the short-term weir, the meltwater opened the dammed point and gained momentum to form a debris flow, which blocked the Immit River and caused the expansion of Lake Badswat. This anecdotal tale of the events was similar to the results determined from the visual analysis of the satellite images. Thus, we deduced that meltwater from G2 triggered the debris flow (Figure 8a,b). We also determined that ice collapse was the strengthening factor in the glacier debris flow from G2. In summary, the ice collapse in the glacial area caused a small ice-blockage in the river, strengthened the debris flow, and dammed the Immit River, which caused the expansion of Lake Badswat. This series of events presented a disaster chain initiated by a glacial hazard. Finally, as the last step in the disaster chain, a GLOF occurred that endangered the residents’ lives and threatened infrastructure in the basin (Figure 8).

The Hindu Kush Himalayan (HKH) Range, as part of the Third Pole, is one of the most hazardous regions of the world due to glacial surges, flash floods and GLOFs, avalanches, landslides, droughts, and earthquakes. This is because of its steep terrain, fragile geological formations, high seismicity, intense and highly variable precipitation, and enormous quantities of snow and ice [53–58]. The meltwater in this region can cause the expansion of glacial lakes [59]. Consequently, glacial shrinkage and glacial lake expansion are closely
related to several types of natural hazards that occur in response to changing climatic conditions in the Himalayas and TP [19,60]. In this study, due to accessibility limitations in the field, we were unable to assess in-situ conditions at the snout of G2 to determine why the debris flow from G2 occurred. Despite the lack of a site visit, based on the findings from the satellite images and the corroborating accounts from local inhabitants, we are certain that the debris flow caused the expansion of Lake Badswat and led to the previously described disaster event chain.

5.2. Analysis of Climatic and Triggering Factors

Since no suitable temperature and precipitation data were available in the study area, we used reanalysis data and observation data from the adjacent AWS at the Ghukin glacier. The temperature data from the two datasets show a good correlation (correlation coefficient = 0.68). The datasets identified 3 days with high temperatures before 17 July 2018 (Figure 9a,b), which accelerated the melting of the ice. As for precipitation, there were notable differences between the two datasets regarding days and timing of rain (Figure 9). Despite these discrepancies, both data records indicate that there was no precipitation between 14 and 17 July 2018.

![Figure 9](image_url)

**Figure 9.** Temporal variations in temperature and precipitation from June to August 2018; (a) temperature and precipitation derived from reanalysis data; (b) temperature and precipitation derived from the AWS at the Ghukin glacier.

Climatic factors (especially extreme climatic conditions), glacier collapse, rock/ice falls, and earthquakes can induce GLOFs [55,61,62] (Table 2). High air temperatures have been reported in several cases as a driving factor behind glacial debris flow/GLOF events in the Karakoram and the TP [63–65], and may also be associated with rock/ice falls, which in turn drive debris flow events [25,26,66]. Furthermore, intense rainfall is an important factor that can induce debris flows/GLOFs in high mountain regions [67]. The event investigated in this study occurred in July 2018 after high temperature conditions occurred. Consequently, we believe that temperature was an important factor in this event. Moreover, before the event, the velocity of the G2 glacier exhibited abnormalities, but we were unable to survey the G2 collapse and/or rock/ice falls close to G2. Therefore, the evidence strongly points towards a climate-induced cause for this event sequence, although it is unclear whether or not precipitation contributed significantly.
Table 2. Comparisons of various glacial-related hazards.

| No. | Events                               | Date               | Disaster Chains                                                                 | Interpretation                                                                 |
|-----|--------------------------------------|--------------------| --------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1   | Kolka–Karmadon rock/ice slide [25]   | 20 September 2002  | Rock/ice slide, dammed river, debris flow                                      | Deposit of >100 × 10^6 m^3. 120 people killed.                                  |
| 2   | GLOF in Barun Valley, Nepal [66]     | 20 April 2017      | Rockfall, GLOF                                                                   | 2–3 km long lake. Displaced 10 families from their homes, destroyed fields.     |
| 3   | GLOF in Yarlung Zangbo River [27]    | 17 October 2018    | Glacial/rock fall, landslide, debris flows, GLOF                                | 2.5 km long 310–950 m wide dam. The Jiala village was inundated. Furthermore, 969 families with 4440 residents were threatened by floods. |
| 4   | Lake Badswat in Karakoram Range      | 17 August 2018     | Glacial melt water, ice fall, debris flows, dammed river–GLOF                    | 1.3 km long dam, 0.6 km^2 glacial lake. Country road was inundated and 1000 residents required evacuation. |

6. Conclusions

Multitemporal remote sensing images were visually interpreted to assess glacial movement, debris flow processes, and variations in the areal extent of glacial lakes. This assessment helped us to understand the event mechanism and evolution of this disaster cascade. We found that the debris flow from G2 was the origin of the event. The ice collapse at G1 was caused by the debris flow from G2, which blocked the supraglacial river. Following this, the debris flow gained momentum and carried gravel downstream, blocking the Immit River. Consequently, Lake Badswat was dammed, leading to its expansion and the inundation of nearby houses and roads. This series of events constituted a glacier disaster chain. The framework of this analysis shows the benefit of using high-resolution spatial remote sensing images as a data component to determine and document a chain of events. Due to the lack of in-situ observation data and direct weather observations, we could only analyze the development and cause of the expansion of Lake Badswat through remote sensing images, reanalysis data, and data sourced from the AWS station located 75 km away. The area of the G1 glacier changed little between 1999 and 2018. However, we observed an increase in the velocity of the local glacier movement from 25 July to 14 August 2018, but cannot definitively say whether or not this change was related to the ice collapse. The predisposing factors associated with the onset of this event were high temperatures recorded on the days preceding the event.
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