Possible Male Infanticide in Wild Orangutans and a Re-evaluation of Infanticide Risk
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Infanticide as a male reproductive tactic is widespread across mammals, and is particularly prevalent in catarrhine primates. While it has never been observed in wild orangutans, infanticide by non-sire males has been predicted to occur due to their extremely long inter-birth intervals, semi-solitary social structure, and the presence of female counter-tactics to infanticide. Here, we report on the disappearance of a healthy four-month-old infant, along with a serious foot injury suffered by the primiparous mother. No other cases of infant mortality have been observed at this site in 30 years of study. Using photographic measurements of the injury, and information on the behavior and bite size of potential predators, we evaluate the possible causes of this injury. The context, including the behavior of the female and the presence of a new male at the time of the injury, lead us to conclude that the most likely cause of the infant loss and maternal injury was male infanticide. We suggest that in orangutans, and other species where nulliparous females are not preferred mates, these females may be less successful at using paternity confusion as an infanticide avoidance tactic, thus increasing the likelihood of infanticide of their first-born infants.

Infanticide, the intentional killing of an infant, is predicted to occur as a male reproductive tactic in situations where a male has a high probability that he has not sired a given offspring, a reasonable expectation that he may be able to successfully father the female’s subsequent offspring, and where premature loss of a suckling infant allows a female to conceive again sooner than she would have otherwise1–2. The definition of infancy varies between species but generally refers to the period in which an offspring is dependent on lactation to meet the majority of their caloric and nutritional needs. Infanticide has been reported in several mammalian orders, these include primates, carnivores, rodents, artiodactyles, equids and possibly cetaceans3–5. Amongst the primates, observed or suspected infanticide, and other attacks on infants, have been reported in 64 species, from both wild and captive populations6–9. However, even in those species where it is known to occur, individual instances are rare and the probability of an event being witnessed by a human fieldworker is thus slim10. Because infanticide happens quickly and is often performed by new males, who are thus more likely to be unhabituated11, it is often difficult to observe or is only inferred12.

Orangutan (Pongo spp.) infants are predicted to be vulnerable to infanticide due to a suite of life history and behavioral characteristics13,13. Two of these factors are non-annual breeding4 and a long period of lactation relative to gestation5. With a mean 7.6 year inter-birth interval, orangutans are non-annual breeders who have the longest period of lactation of any mammal14–18. Long periods of lactation result in extended lactational subfecundity, during which a female cannot conceive19. Thus, infant death will stop this period of lactational subfecundity, allowing a female to conceive again much more quickly than she would have otherwise20. As in humans21,22, maternal energetic condition, which is further depleted by the demands of lactation, likely also contributes to the long periods of lactational subfecundity in orangutans19. Orangutan females may also experience periods of
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high energetic stress due to fluctuations in food availability. For these two reasons, orangutan females typically cannot conceive throughout the majority of their long inter-birth interval. Thus, infanticide could be advantageous to orangutan males who have a low probability of paternity, since infanticide will stop the suppressive effect of suckling on female ovarian function as well as remove the energetic demands of lactation, thus shortening the period of lactational subfecundity. Amongst primates, infanticide is also most common in females that carry (as opposed to cache) their young and that do not receive significant forms of allomaternal care. Orangutans also fit this pattern.

In addition to infanticide being attributed to male-male competition for paternity, it is also considered a form of sexual coercion. Sexual coercion is the use of force, or threat of force, by a male to increase the chance that a female will mate with the coercive male when she is fecund, or decrease the chance that she will mate with another male, at some cost to the female. While infanticide does not necessarily cause physical harm to the female herself, it is costly for a female, as she loses significant reproductive investment with the death of her infant. One of the factors selecting for male coercion of females is a female's lack of allies. Orangutans are semi-solitary, with females spending long periods of time alone, thus females are rarely in the presence of other adults, specifically potential sires of the infant that could potentially intervene, or come to her aid, during an infanticidal attack. These two factors, long periods when a female cannot conceive and a semi-solitary lifestyle, are also significant contributors to forced copulation in orangutans, another form of sexual coercion that is particularly prevalent in this species, with rates variable depending on the study site. Despite possessing these risk factors, infanticide has not yet been observed in wild orangutans, and so far, no suspected cases have been reported. In fact, infant loss is extremely rare in orangutans. The only confirmed incidence of orangutan infanticide comes from captivity where an adult male killed a newborn infant, albeit one that he had sired, apparently an aberrant behavior due to captivity.

In many anthropoid primates, females have evolved a variety of counter-tactics to decrease the likelihood of male infanticide. These counter-tactics involve physiological responses or adaptations, maternal aggression, avoidance of infanticidal individuals, social alliances, and strategic or multi-male mating. These tactics largely apply to protection against infanticide once the threat is present, but female mating tactics can reduce the risk of infanticide before the infant is born. Promiscuous mating leads to paternity confusion, so that many males could be the possible sire of an infant. It may be in a female's best interest to mate promiscuously, and sometimes conspicuously, to give males an inflated estimate of paternity certainty. In species with concealed ovulation, females can mate during non-fertile periods and post-conception to obfuscate paternity even further.

Female orangutans exhibit some of these physiological and behavioral adaptations that are consistent with infanticide avoidance. Physiologically, orangutan females do not exhibit visual displays of receptivity, such as sexual swellings, but they display a white or reddish labial swelling near the end of the first trimester of pregnancy that could serve to inform males that copulation will not result in conception. Female orangutans normally prefer to mate with prime, flanged males. Genetic paternity analysis from three orangutan sites in both Borneo and Sumatra also shows that flanged males sire more offspring than unflanged male (23 offspring sired by 9 flanged males compared to 11 offspring sired by 6 unflanged males). However, unflanged males achieve more matings than flanged males. This is, at least in part, due to females preferentially mating with unflanged males when conception risk is low, a behavior consistent with the anti-infanticide tactic of paternity confusion. Additionally, pregnant female orangutans are highly receptive to non-prime males. Such post-conceptive mating is also interpreted as a way to confuse paternity and is common in species that are at risk of infanticide.

Female parity is also expected to influence infanticide risk, as two female counter-tactics may improve with greater maternal experience: maternal protective behavior and paternity confusion. First, experienced mothers may be better at avoiding potentially infanticidal situations. Thus, if maternal protection influences the occurrence of infanticide, then infants of primiparous females will be more vulnerable to infanticide than infants of multiparous females. However, there is mixed evidence for and against the hypothesis that primiparous mothers are more vulnerable to infanticide. In blue monkeys, parity was not found to be a significant factor in determining the incidence of infanticide during a male takeover. In contrast, primiparous chacma baboons were more likely to lose infants to infanticide than multiparous females. In gorillas, Fossey found that primiparous gorilla mothers are three times more likely to lose infants to infanticide than multiparous mothers because primiparous females are more likely to transfer groups. Watts also found that more first born gorilla infants were victims of infanticide, however, the difference was not statistically significant. In these gorilla and blue monkey studies, female promiscuous mating may not be a viable counter-tactic due to the primarily one-male social systems of these populations.

Here we report on the case of a primiparous female orangutan (Pongo pygmaeus wurmbii) who received a serious injury, losing part of her left foot, coincident with the disappearance of her 4-month-old infant. This reported case is the only known or suspected occurrence of infant mortality in over 30 years of orangutan research at Gunung Palung National Park. We describe the injury and review the possible causes, arguing that sexually selected male infanticide is the most likely explanation for both her infant loss and the injury she sustained. We argue that her mating and social behavior, before and after the infant loss, are consistent with suspected infanticide by a male. We also present data on the female's general condition after the injury, including results from urinalysis, controlling for food availability. It has been argued that infanticide is not a sexually selected tactic in male orangutans because it is unlikely that an infanticidal male will still be in the vicinity of a female long enough after killing her infant for the female to return to cycling. However, we provide observations to the contrary in this paper. Finally, we suggest that aside from maternal experience, sexually selected females may have increased vulnerability to infanticidal attacks on their infants because they are generally not as attractive as parous females and thus are hampered from using paternity confusion as an anti-infanticide tactic.
Methods

Study site and behavioral observations. This study was conducted at the Cabang Panti Research Station in Gunung Palung National Park, located in West Kalimantan, Indonesia, on the island of Borneo. The current project was established in 1992, with long-term data collection beginning in 1994, and a data gap between 2003 and 2008. Between August 1994 and December 2015 over 71,000 hours of observation were conducted on orangutans in this study population. Orangutans were followed through focal animal sampling from night-nest to night-nest, or until they were lost. It was not possible to record data blind because our study involved known focal animals in the field.

The subject of this study is the adult female orangutan, Walimah, who was born on October 30, 1998. At the time of the injury reported here Walimah was 16.6 years old. This female is extremely habituated to human presence. Since her birth, through December 2015, she was followed for 540 days (5620 hours) of focal observation, 582 days (6245 hours) where she was observed when her mother was the focal individual, and 355 days (3834 hours) when she was in association with another orangutan as a non-focal individual. Thus, she had spent at least 1477 days and 15,699 hours in the presence of humans at the time of this study. Her follows were distributed across all years and periods of food availability.

Standard orangutan data collection protocols were used alongside established methods of recording nutritional intake, energy expenditure, and behavior. The position of the infant on the mother’s body was recorded every 5 minutes as well as any distance separation between mother and infant. During orangutan follows, focal animals were watched by the species, and records were made of any injuries. The field data collection protocols have been used since the beginning of the study and thus we compared Walimah’s mating behavior to our database records from other females at Gunung Palung, collected between January 1997 and December 2015. We limited our comparisons of mating behavior to females who had greater than 180 hours of focal animal observation during the peri-conceptive period. We defined the peri-conceptive period as one year prior to conception through the first trimester of pregnancy. This is when females showed the greatest interest in mating and encompasses the mean waiting time of 4–10 months between the resumption of mating after giving birth to the next conception. Orangutans have concealed ovulation, and pregnancy status is effectively not revealed to males until approximately the end of the first trimester when they display a white or reddish labial swelling.

Fruit availability. Fruit availability was determined from monthly monitoring of orangutan fruit trees (>14.5 cm diameter at breast height) and lianas (>3.5 cm diameter at breast height) in 70 plots (20 m × 50 m) and belts (100 m × 20 m) established and monitored by A. Marshall, and his assistants, in collaboration with CDK. From this dataset the kilocalories of orangutan fruit available per hectare were estimated for each month based on the top 25 orangutan fruit genera established from 23,232 feeding observations collected between 1994 and 2015. This measurement is calculated by summing, for each genus, the # stems/hectare × percentage of stems fruiting × mean fruit crop size/stem × mean grams/fruit × mean kcal/gram = kcal available/hectare. For statistical comparisons, Kcal available/hectare were converted to a Z score for each month, based on monthly estimates of food availability from 1994–2016, standardizing across study periods as described above and in Knott.

Urine collection and analysis. Urine samples were collected whenever possible, particularly from first-morning voids during focal follows. Samples were collected either using a plastic sheet or were pipetted directly from vegetation. Samples contaminated by feces were discarded. Chemical reagent strips (Boehringer Mannheim Chemstrip 10 with SG) that test for the presence of disease and physiological status were used to assess the presence of ketones in urine as an indicator of weight loss from the breakdown of fat stores and leukocytes as an indicator of infection. Pregnancy was tested using the OneMedhCG Urine Pregnancy Test that measures HCG (Human Chorionic Gonadotropin).

Museum measurements. We used a mounted museum specimen of an adult Bornean female orangutan at Harvard University’s Museum of Comparative Zoology (MCZ Catalog #5974) to aid in estimating the approximate size of the bite wound observed. We took multiple photographs of the feet of the mounted specimen with a tape measure placed in the photos for comparison. These photographs were sized and rotated in the computer to match photographs of the injury (taken on July 30, 2015). This allowed us to obtain an estimate of the minimum size of the wound. We also measured the maxillary and mandibular inter-canine distance of available male and female orangutan specimens from the MCZ as well as two adult male orangutan specimens from Gunung Palung. Inter-canine distance was measured in two ways, as the distance between the cusp tips of the canines (ICD1) and as the greatest distance between the distal surfaces of the canines (ICD2).

Infanticide literature review. We reviewed the published accounts of infanticide in apes, and recorded how often infanticides were observed or inferred in order to put our observations in context. Mothers are sometimes injured during infanticidal attacks. Thus, replicating the protocol of van Schaik, we reviewed infanticide cases in the primate literature to determine the incidence and location of female injuries in order to better understand the probability of female injury during an infanticide. If a detailed description of the attack was given, but no injuries were mentioned, we assumed that no injury occurred. If the infanticide report did not contain a detailed description of the attack, it was labeled as insufficient data.

Statistical analyses. To assess the impact of Walimah’s injury on physiological markers in her urine, we used a GLMM with leukocyte level as the target variable, injury status (before or after) as a fixed effect and relative
Table 1. Walimah’s mating effort during her peri-conceptive period (7/9/2013–9/25/2014).

| Male   | Status   | No. Days Encountered | Time in Association (min) | No. Matings | Female Ovulatory Status | Duration of Sexual Contact (min) | No. Sexual Behaviors | No. Female Proceptive Behaviors | Nature of Mating |
|--------|----------|----------------------|---------------------------|-------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|
| Codet  | Flanged  | 14                   | 4199                      | 4           | Peri-ovulatory          | 84                            | 104                 | 67                            | highly proceptive |
| Malik  | Unflanged| 9                    | 4045                      | 2           | Post-conception         | 28                            | 39                  | 21                            | 1 forced, 1 cooperative |
| Yoda   | Unflanged| 3                    | 941                       | 0           | NA                     | 0                             | 0                   | 0                             | NA              |
| Kecil  | Unflanged| 3                    | 934                       | 0           | NA                     | 2                             | 2                   | NA                            | NA              |
| Balu   | Flanged  | 3                    | 657                       | 0           | NA                     | 0                             | 0                   | 0                             | NA              |
| Syklops| Unflanged| 3                    | 131                       | 0           | NA                     | 0                             | 0                   | 0                             | NA              |
| Zorro  | Unflanged| 1                    | 455                       | 0           | NA                     | 0                             | 0                   | 0                             | NA              |
| Prabu  | Flanged  | 1                    | 141                       | 0           | NA                     | 0                             | 0                   | 0                             | NA              |
| Unknowns| Unflanged| 4                    | 332                       | 0           | NA                     | 0                             | 0                   | 0                             | NA              |

Results

Reproductive behavior and birth. The first time Walimah was observed mating was a forced copulation with an unflanged male on April 27, 2009, when she was 10.4 years old. Despite many hours of observation (1846 hours), she was not seen mating again until March 20, 2014 when she was 15.4 years old. During this interim period, she spent 60% of her social time at a median distance of 17.3 m from adult flanged or unflanged males, but without mating (51% of her social time was exclusively with males – without any females present). Starting in August 2013, however, Walimah began following and engaging in proceptive behavior with the flanged male Codet. During these interactions, Codet would remain motionless while Walimah closely inspected him, including his penis, lightly touched him and presented her genitals to him. After 7 months of such interactions, with no mating observed, Walimah successfully mated with Codet after 26 minutes of prolonged manual and oral stimulation and attempted intromission by Walimah. These behaviors re-occurred over the next two days. Such mating interactions, involving extensive proceptive behavior by the female, but with no male mating attempts, and intense focus on one flanged adult male, have also been reported in other studies of primiparous, adolescent females, but are not observed in multiparous females. Post-conception, Walimah traveled with an unflanged male, with whom she mated twice, once cooperatively and once forced. These matings did not involve the elaborate and prolonged ‘foreplay’ by Walimah that was seen with the flanged male Codet.

Table 1 shows that during Walimah’s peri-conceptive period, she was observed traveling with 8 individually recognizable flanged or unflanged males and an additional 1 to 4 unflanged adult males who were not individually recognizable. During this same period of time, there were 18 individually recognizable adult males seen in the study site and an additional 3–9 adult males who were not individually recognizable. Walimah was only observed to mate with two of these males. Despite spending time with at least 9 different adult males, she concentrated her mating effort on the flanged male Codet.

Walimah’s first pregnancy was confirmed on July 18, 2014 based on detecting HCG in her urine via a urinary test strip. Mean length of orangutan gestation has been measured as 245 days56. Based on the finding that HCG is detected, on average, 9 days after conception in humans57 and that pregnancy hormone production in orangutans is remarkably similar to humans58, we estimated her conception date as July 9, 2014 and her due date as March 1, 2015.

Walimah was found with her newborn infant on March 11, 2015. The infant was judged to be 1–2 weeks old, giving her an estimated birth date of approximately March 1, 2015, as predicted. Infant sex was determined to be female through photographs and visual observation and confirmed independently by multiple observers. Walimah was followed with her new infant for 35 days until they were lost on April 15, 2015. During this time period she showed species-typical maternal behavior. The infant was carried, via clinging and sometimes maternal support, for 100% of observation hours during this period. The infant appeared strong, alert, and healthy the entire time (Fig. 1).

Infant loss and foot injury. On July 6, 2015, Walimah was discovered again after a period of 82 days with no observation. Her infant was no longer present. Walimah’s home range was searched for any sign of her infant, but no remains were found. Given the infant’s total dependence on the mother at this stage, the infant was presumed dead. Based on the birth date and the time of discovery, the age of death of the infant was estimated as between 1.5 and 4 months old. This represents the first known infant orangutan death since the establishment of the study site in 1984.
Concurrent with the infant loss, Walimah was seen on this date to have a severe injury to her left foot (Fig. 2). The wound was estimated to have occurred 1–2 weeks prior, based on the state of the exposed tissue and the subsequent monitoring of wound healing. Walimah's wound showed that a large portion of her foot was missing, as well as the fourth and fifth toes. Her remaining second and third digits were swollen and bent under her foot and she was no longer capable of using these digits. She retained her heel, however, and first toe. Additionally, her hair and possibly some tissue on the top layer of her foot were also missing. This area appeared red and raw and she habitually licked the wound. No other injuries were observed.

Walimah also appeared to be visibly thinner than when she was last observed. However, Chemstrip analysis of her urine (n = 91) did not reveal the presence of any ketones after her injury. Thus, we can conclude she was not experiencing significant weight loss. However, the mean leukocyte level (n = 91) during her post-injury period was significantly higher than at any time during the two years prior to her injury (F = 5.147, df = 1, p < 0.05).

Over the course of the following month, the swelling on digits 2 and 3 decreased, yet they remained bent and were not used. We note that this was the first injury to a female orangutan that we witnessed at Cabang Panti. This is in contrast to multiple and extensive injuries observed in males including missing eyes, damaged flanges, injured fingers and toes, and deep back wounds. In our analysis of wounding at Cabang Panti, of 17 known injuries, except for this case, all victims were male; 71% flanged and 23% unflanged.

Subsequent mating and social behavior. Twenty-three days after Walimah was found injured, and with her infant missing, she was seen in association with an unflanged male who was new to the study population. This male was first observed in the study site two weeks before Walimah gave birth and she had no prior social interaction with him. Her first recorded mating after giving birth was with this same unflanged male on November 8, 2015, four months after she was found with her injury. It is possible that she mated earlier than that as she was seen in association with a male during 40% of her follow days during that period and was not followed continuously. In November 2015, she was observed mating with two different males.

In order to assess whether Walimah's social behavior changed dependent on her reproductive or injury status, we examined data collected between August 1, 2013, when she first started consorting with adult males,
to February 28, 2016. This incorporated the recovery period after her injury and infant loss. We restricted our analysis to full day follows in order to be able to compare the daily rate of sociality. This gave us a sample of 140 full days, comprised of 15.7% of days cycling, 33.6% of days pregnant, 25.0% of days lactating and 25.7% of days during her injury/recovery phase. Figure 3 compares the time she spent in association with other orangutans during these four periods. The percentage of time spent social was significantly influenced by reproductive/injury status ($F = 14.4, df = 3, p < 0.001$). Overall, 90% of her time spent social was in association with a male. Walimah had no social interactions when she had her lactating infant. Results of the GLMM, showing estimated mean differences between periods, indicate that the percentage of time spent social during her post-injury phase was not significantly different than her earlier cycling phase (estimate = $-0.13, p = 0.131$), but she spent significantly more time social during her injury phase compared to her lactation (estimate = $0.32, p < 0.001$) and pregnancy (estimate = $0.28, p < 0.001$) phases. During lactation, she spent significantly less time social than when she was cycling (estimate = $-0.46, p < 0.001$), but lactation and pregnancy levels of sociality were not significantly different (estimate = $-0.05, p = 0.46$). She also spent significantly less time social during pregnancy compared to during cycling (estimate = $-0.41, p < 0.001$).

Comparison with museum specimens. We used three different close-up photographs of the foot of the mounted female orangutan specimen, positioned next to the measuring tape, and compared them to two different photographs of Walimah’s injured foot, taken at different angles. The shape of the wound is consistent with a severe bite removing a portion of her foot. Figure 4 shows Walimah licking her wound and the size of the wound in comparison to her own mouth. Field observations and video revealed that her jaw fit into the gap in her foot as she was observed to lick the inner part of the wound that was still unhealed. The wound was swollen, so the fact that her jaw fit into the wound indicated to us that the injury was caused by a jaw at least as big, or likely bigger, than Walimah’s. Given the body position of the mounted orangutan specimen, it was not possible to photograph the foot from below, as with the wild orangutan. However, by rotating the photographs and sizing them to match, the width of her wound was estimated as 5 cm (Fig. 5). The same wound width result was obtained on all 3 photographs. As her foot was swollen, 5 cm was considered the minimum wound width. Our measurement of the inter-canine distance of the maxilla and mandible of male and female orangutan specimens are shown in Table 2.
with male maxillary ICD ranging from 6.27–8.32 cm and female maxillary ICD ranging from 5.32–6.33. Thus, the estimated bite wound size of larger than 5 cm falls within the orangutan maxillary ICD range.

**Literature review.** We reviewed 113 papers on primate infanticide to determine prevalence of maternal injury during an infanticidal attack (Fig. 6). Of the 54 species that had sufficient data available to determine if mothers were injured, there was a report of at least one mother injured in 15 species. Table 3 shows the location of each case of maternal injury concurrent with an infanticidal attack by a male. It is worth noting that mothers are injured during infanticidal attacks in other great ape species. In the majority of the cases in which the location....

|                | ICD1 (cm)       | ICD2 (cm)       |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|
|                | mean ± sd   | range   | n*  | mean ± sd | range   | n*  |
| Male maxilla   | 6.27 ± NA     | NA      | 1   | 7.49 ± 0.61 | 6.77–8.32 | 5   |
| Male mandible  | 5.49 ± 0.35   | 5.24–5.74 | 2   | 5.95 ± 0.51 | 5.39–6.72 | 6   |
| Female maxilla | 5.55 ± 0.37   | 5.32–5.98 | 3   | 5.89 ± 0.33 | 5.62–6.33 | 2   |
| Female mandible| 4.25 ± 0.24   | 4.07–4.41 | 2   | 4.58 ± 0.30 | 4.16–4.85 | 4   |

Table 2. Mean inter-canine distances (ICD) of Bornean orangutans. *Sample size varies due to the condition of the canines in the specimens measured.

Figure 5. Comparison of Walimah's foot injury to museum specimen. Photo © Tim Laman.

Figure 6. Incidence of maternal injury across primate species during an infanticidal attack.
of the maternal injury was given, the injury occurred on the female's extremities (8 out of 12). Additionally, our review of the cases of infanticide by male apes revealed that 51% of all such cases were observed and 49% were inferred based on the co-occurrence of the disappearance of a healthy infant and a social encounter with a potentially infanticidal male, such as a new immigrant or an intergroup encounter (Table 4). However, it should be noted that for all of these species, except *Hyllobates lar*, at least one infanticide by males has been observed.

### Nulliparous female mating behavior.

We also considered whether Walimah may have been more vulnerable to infanticide because she was a primiparous mother and thus examined her mating behavior when she was nulliparous. Walimah was only observed mating with 2 different males or 0.38 unique male mating partners/100 observation hours during her peri-conceptive period. This is lower than the mean for parous females. Parous females (*n* = 5) were observed mating with an average of 2.6 males or 0.76 unique male mating partners/100 observation hours during their peri-conceptive periods. Nulliparous females (*n* = 2) mated with an average of 1.5 males or 0.32 unique male mating partners/100 observation hours during their peri-conceptive periods. Although our sample size was not large enough to test for statistical significance, these differences are potentially meaningful in terms of promiscuous mating and the female’s ability to confuse paternity.

### Discussion

#### Possible causes of injury.

Although the exact circumstances of Walimah’s injury and loss of her infant can never be positively determined, we can evaluate both the possible and the probable causes of these events. Given that the loss of an infant orangutan and a severe injury to a female are both extremely rare, they occurred very close in time, and neither has been seen in over 30 years of research at this site, we argue that it is most parsimonious, without any evidence to the contrary, that these events occurred at the same time, due to the same cause. However, we cannot rule out the possibility that this injury and infant loss were separate events.

#### Mechanical injury.

We ruled out the possibility that Walimah’s injury was caused by a mechanical injury such as being struck by a falling tree or branch or falling out of a tree. While such things are possible, but unlikely, events for orangutans, such an injury would lead to a fracture wound, not the loss of a large chunk from the middle of her foot. There are also no known cases of other kinds of mechanical injuries to orangutans caused by environmental hazards.

#### Sunbear.

Gunung Palung National Park hosts a population of sun bears (*Helarctos malayanus*). These are the smallest bears in the world, weighing 25–65 kg. They are opportunistic omnivores, primarily eating fruit, and augmenting their diet with stingless honey bees, termites, ants, larva, honey and small mammals. Sunbears travel on the ground and are able to forage arboreally. Although the mean width of sun bear canines (6.08 cm) is large enough to deliver the wound we observed, a sun bear attack seems highly unlikely for the following reasons. First, there are no known attacks of sunbears on orangutans. In fact, we were unable to find any accounts of sunbear attacks on any large animals except for humans, either on the ground or in the trees. Sun bears are normally passive, but there have been occasional attacks on humans when surprised. Second, this kind of wound is not consistent with a sun bear attack. They have poor eyesight and attacks on humans typically happen when they are startled at close range. One such attack on a human

| Species                  | Location of Injury                  | Reference                          |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| *Tarsius spectrum*      | bite, no location given             | Gursky-Doyen                       |
| *Alouatta caraya*       | hair missing from back              | Pave et al.                        |
| *Alouatta seniculus*    | no location given                   | Crockett                           |
| *Alouatta seniculus*    | no location given                   | Crockett                           |
| *Alouatta seniculus*    | no location given                   | Crockett                           |
| *Cebus capucinus*       | cheek                               | Manson et al.                     |
| *Cercocercus atys*      | large infected wound on leg         | Fruteau et al.                     |
| *Cercopithecus campbelli* | no location given       | Galat-Luong and Galat             |
| *C. mitis stublimensii*  | no location given                   | Cords and Fuller                   |
| *Macaca radiata*        | right hand                          | Singh et al.                       |
| *Papio anubis*          | no location given                   | Collins et al.                     |
| *Papio cynocephalus*    | face, tail, forelimbs, hand         | Shopland                           |
| *Papio hamadryas*       | neck bites                          | Swedell and Tesfaye                |
| *Papio ursinus*         | left arm                            | Palombin et al.                    |
| *Theropithecus gelada*  | limb                                | Mori et al.                        |
| *Theropithecus gelada*  | palm                                | Mori et al.                        |
| *Gorilla gorilla beringei* | head wounds, possibly fatal    | Fossey                             |
| *Pan troglodytes*       | eye, cheek, hand                    | Murray et al.                      |
| *Pan troglodytes*       | face, ears, fingers, toes           | Wilson et al.                      |

Table 3. Incidents of maternal injury (each line represents a single mother) in primates concurrent with an infanticidal attack by a male.
occurred at our research site in 2000 and involved a canine puncture wound. Walimah showed no puncture injuries. Furthermore, attacks typically also involve scratches from the sun bears' sharp claws, and scratches were not present on Walimah. Third, an orangutan that did encounter a sun bear could easily escape vertically, being a far superior climber. Although they are arboreal, sun bears claw their way up and down tree trunks vertically. They are unable to swing or jump between trees. Orangutans, however, are extremely adept arboreal climbers and are easily able to move between trees. Thus, an orangutan confronted by a sun bear in the canopy could easily escape. Therefore, we conclude that the nature of the injury, the lack of any prior attacks on orangutans, and the typical behavioral patterns observed in both sun bears and orangutans do not support a sun bear as a likely cause of this injury.

Clouded leopard. The largest feline predator in Borneo is the clouded leopard (Neofelis diardi borneensis). Clouded leopards are medium-sized felids, ranging from 11 to 23 kg in weight, that typically prey on small animals, such as juvenile bearded pigs, small deer, pangolins, monkeys and squirrels. Clouded leopards, like most cats, kill by stalking their prey, and jumping on them from behind. Prey are dispatched by delivering one killing bite to the neck or back, with their long canines severing the spinal cord. Once a bite is made, the cat typically holds on until the animal dies. This is opposed to canids that deliver multiple, slashing bites. Clouded leopards have the longest canines for their body size of any cat and their canines have the highest breaking point. Their small size and slender canines give them the weakest bite force of any of the medium to large-sized felids. Large cats have much greater bite forces, for example, 1234.3 N in the tiger (Panthera tigris).

Clouded leopard attacks involve puncture wounds on the spine, at the back of the neck, as well as claw scrapes. The puncture wounds on one animal, known to have been killed by a clouded leopard, had an inter-canine width of 3 cm. Clouded leopard attacks on infant and juvenile proboscis monkeys (Nasalis larvatus) consist of bites to the necks, delivered from behind. Another reported clouded leopard attack involved a juvenile, 3.7 kg, siamang (Symphalangus syndactylus) with a severed vertebrae at C2. There are no reported cases of clouded leopard attacks involving wounds other than on the neck or back.

| Species                  | Reference                  | Observed | Inferred | Total |
|-------------------------|----------------------------|----------|----------|-------|
| Hoolock hoolock         | Alfred and Sati            | 1        | 0        | 1     |
| Hylobates lar           | Borries et al.             | 0        | 1        | 1     |
| Gorilla beringei beringei | Fossey                    | 3        | 6        | 9     |
| Gorilla beringei beringei | Watts                     | 0        | 8        | 8     |
| Gorilla beringei grauseri | Stokes et al.              | 0        | 3        | 3     |
| Gorilla gorilla gorilla | Yamagiwa and Kahelkwa     | 3        | 0        | 3     |
| Pan troglodytes         | Arcadi and Wrangham        | 1        | 0        | 1     |
| Pan troglodytes         | Bakameeta et al.           | 0        | 1        | 1     |
| Pan troglodytes         | Boesch et al.              | 1        | 0        | 1     |
| Pan troglodytes         | Bygott                     | 1        | 0        | 1     |
| Pan troglodytes         | Goodall                    | 2        | 1        | 3     |
| Pan troglodytes         | Hamai et al.               | 2        | 0        | 2     |
| Pan troglodytes         | Kawanaka                   | 0        | 1        | 1     |
| Pan troglodytes         | Masui in Hamai et al.      | 1        | 0        | 1     |
| Pan troglodytes         | Murray                     | 1        | 0        | 1     |
| Pan troglodytes         | Newton-Fisher              | 1        | 1        | 2     |
| Pan troglodytes         | Nishida et al.             | 0        | 2        | 2     |
| Pan troglodytes         | Nishida and Kawanaka       | 0        | 1        | 1     |
| Pan troglodytes         | Norikoshi                  | 0        | 1        | 1     |
| Pan troglodytes         | Sherrow and Amsler         | 3        | 0        | 3     |
| Pan troglodytes         | Suzuki                     | 1        | 0        | 1     |
| Pan troglodytes         | Takahatu                   | 1        | 0        | 1     |
| Pan troglodytes         | Watts and Mitani           | 2        | 0        | 2     |
| Pan troglodytes         | Watts et al.               | 1        | 0        | 1     |
| Pan troglodytes         | Wilson et al.              | 2        | 0        | 2     |
| Total                   |                            | 27       | 26       | 53    |

Table 4. Observed and inferred cases of infanticide by males in apes.
were apparently killed by a clouded leopard while walking on the ground around the feeding station. Given
the nature of the attacks, Rijksen\textsuperscript{2} concluded that clouded leopards are too small to successfully prey on larger
orangutans and that juveniles accompanied by their mothers would be protected from attack. He also attributes
these deaths to one individual clouded leopard that had discovered the vulnerability of these rehabilitant juveni-
les at the feeding station. We could find no other reports of attempted or successful clouded leopard attacks on
orangutans.\textsuperscript{27,36–38,86}

Both the location and nature of Walimah’s injuries are thus inconsistent with a clouded leopard attack for the
following reasons. First, clouded leopards stalk their prey and then attack from the back, delivering puncture
wounds to the head, neck and upper extremities.\textsuperscript{90,91} Previously known or suspected cases of clouded leopard
attacks on orangutans involved such injuries. Walimah’s injury did not involve a puncture wound and was deliv-
ered to the foot. Second, the canine width of clouded leopards is significantly smaller than the estimated width
of Walimah’s injury. The injury to Walimah’s foot was estimated to be a minimum of 5 cm wide, significantly
larger than the 3 cm inter-canine width of a clouded leopard. Third, the clouded leopard is a small cat that kills
successfully by using their extremely long canines to sever the spinal cord. They have a weak bite force and thus
are unlikely to have the jaw strength to bite through and remove bone from an orangutan’s foot.

**Human.** Another possible source of attack is from a human. Humans do attack and kill female orangutans
to take their infants.\textsuperscript{42} However, we do not suspect that this was a human attack. First, all known cases of humans
taking infants involve the use of guns of some sort,\textsuperscript{93} and the mother is killed. Because the infant was being carried
on her body, the only way a human would have been able to take this infant would have been by shooting the
mother and then taking the infant after the mother fell from the tree. There is no evidence that Walimah had any
injuries associated with being shot or having fallen. Hunters, or local people, may carry a *parang* (machete) into
the forest. But, Walimah’s injury does not involve a cut or slice that could have been delivered by a
*parang*. We also note that there are no metal animal snares found in this forest, as are seen in some African forests\textsuperscript{43,95} and thus we can also rule out a snare injury.

**Orangutan.** The only source of recorded injury for wild orangutans at this study site are adult male orangu-
tans. Orangutan males are known to attack and wound other males.\textsuperscript{96,97} In our review of orangutan wounding
patterns at Gunung Palung\textsuperscript{98} we found that 81% of males, who had been followed for at least 5 days, had sustained
orangutan-inflicted wounds. Of these, 35% were to the hands and feet. Male orangutans often are seen with bent
or missing digits caused from bites delivered by other males.\textsuperscript{96,97} Furthermore, caged male orangutans have been
known to bite off the fingers of their human keepers. Thus, male orangutans regularly bite the hands and feet of
other male orangutans and are capable of biting off pieces of flesh and bone. We suggest that this is what may have
happened to Walimah as she fought with a male during an attack that was aimed at killing her infant.

The nature of the wound itself is also consistent with an orangutan attack. We estimated the wound as being at
least 5 cm wide (Fig. 5), within the range of orangutan canine breadth (Table 2). An adult male orangutan would
also have the strength and ability to deliver such a wound. Orangutan males, both flanged and unflanged, some-
times forcibly copulate with females.\textsuperscript{25,37,98} Although forced matings are not known to result in serious injury to
females,\textsuperscript{26} they do indicate that both flanged and unflanged male orangutans are able to restrain and overpower
females.\textsuperscript{46} Finally, orangutans were calculated to have a bite force of 2560 N at the 2nd molar (the position where
bite force was measured),\textsuperscript{89} an order of magnitude greater than the 344N bite force of the clouded leopard at the
canine or 547 N at the carnassial (the 4th upper premolar and 1st lower molar).\textsuperscript{80,100} It is worth noting that the bite
force of an orangutan is far greater than even a tiger. Orangutans have powerful bites because some of their foods,
particularly seeds, are extremely hard and they feed by crushing these food items.\textsuperscript{101} Cats on the other hand, kill
by puncturing with their canines, and feed by tearing, not crushing their prey.

Walimah’s injury represents the first known wounding attack on a female orangutan at Gunung Palung. Attacks
and injuries to female orangutans, in general, are very rare.\textsuperscript{102} However, such attacks have been reported from Tuanan Research Station\textsuperscript{102} where it has recently been reported that there was a fatal coalitionary attack by a
young female and an unflanged male on an older resident female who had a four-year-old offspring.\textsuperscript{48} The authors
argue that although the young female initiated the attack, it proved lethal when she was joined by an unflanged
male, who delivered the most severe injuries, including a serious bite to the foot. The authors speculate that it
was the presence of two individuals that led to the female’s death, as she couldn’t escape, and it was the male who
delivered the fatal injuries. They also conclude that the attacking female could not have successfully carried out
this attack alone.

Although we cannot rule out the possibility that Walimah was attacked by another adult female orangutan, or
that a female joined a male in an attack, we argue that this scenario is less likely than a solo attack by a male. First,
female–female antagonism, and particularly direct contact, is rare in orangutans. In our study of female–female
competition,\textsuperscript{103} we recorded only 97 incidents of female–female aggression in 7041 orangutans follows (1.3%) and
this was all non-contact aggression. Second, in the Tuanan case, the injured female had repeatedly been in antag-
onistic interactions with the attacking female.\textsuperscript{88} We observed no cases of female harassment of Walimah in over
15,000 hours of observation. Third, no other injuries were found on Walimah. If the aim of the attack was lethal
aggression against Walimah, rather than Walimah getting in the way of an attack on her infant, we would have
expected additional injuries. While it is possible that Walimah herself was the victim of the attack and was unable
to care for her infant after the injury, this seems unlikely due to the lack of additional injuries, such as seen in the
Tuanan case. Infanticide by females is more common in mammalian species with intense resource competition.\textsuperscript{104}
While orangutans do experience some forms of resource competition,\textsuperscript{103,105} it seems unlikely that a female oran-
gutan was capable of carrying out this attack on her own. This is also apparently the case in chimpanzees where
all cases of female infanticide have been carried out by a pair or group of females.\textsuperscript{106,107} Fourth, as Marzec et al.\textsuperscript{88}
found, instances of females attacking other females are very rare and are not known to cause severe injury, and
they argue that a female would not have been able to deliver bites of the same force as a male. Indeed, the force of the bite needed to sever a portion of Walimah’s foot would have been substantial, but based on other orangutan injuries observed, is well within the capabilities of a flanged or unflanged adult male. Orangutans exhibit extreme sexual dimorphism, with flanged males being twice the size of adult females. Unflanged males are closer to female size, but are still larger. We also know from the regular occurrence of forced copulations that both types of male can easily overpower females. Along with their larger bodies, males have substantially larger jaws and canines, with Bornean males having canines that are 1.48–1.63 times longer than those of females. This evidence, combined with the semi-solitary nature of orangutans, makes it most likely that a single adult male orangutan carried out the attack.

We also argue that it is highly unlikely that Walimah was attacked by a male during a forced copulation. Orangutans have a mean inter-birth interval of 7.6 years and a mean interval of postpartum amenorrhea of 5.4 years. This attack occurred 4 months after Walimah gave birth, many years before she would be expected to start ovulating again. Orangutan males typically avoid mating with females with very young infants, preferring to mate with reproductive females. Furthermore, in an earlier review of forced copulations in wild orangutans, Knott found that although a male may attempt to restrain a female during forced copulations, which can include hitting and biting the female, there are no reports of bites ever breaking the skin. In fact, there are no reports of females receiving wounds of any kind, including soft tissue injuries.

However, injuries do sometimes occur in cases of sexually selected infanticide by males (Table 3), especially in great apes. Although in the majority of cases of primate infanticide mothers are not injured, this may be due to the fact that in some species males are more likely to attack infants who are not clinging to their mother’s body (e.g. Hanuman langurs, Semnopithecus entellus). However, Walimah’s infant was clinging 100% of the time before she disappeared, which is typical of ape infants. At 3–6 months of age, time spent off the mother’s body is minimal (21% of the time), always within the same tree and they are never more than 10 meters away. Scott and Knot found that when males approach, the distance between mothers and infants also decreases, and no infant younger than 10 months was recorded out of contact with his or her mother when a male was present. Thus, an infanticide would have involved a very close association between the mother and the attacker, which would have increased the possibility of injury to the mother. Table 3 shows that when mothers are injured during successful infanticidal attacks, they are often injured on the extremities, consistent with the location of Walimah’s injury. Although rare, there are instances of male infanticide in primates where the male has taken an infant off of the female’s ventrum. Based on the evidence, we conclude that the most probable cause of Walimah’s injury was a bite by a male orangutan during a successful infanticidal attack on her infant.

Infanticide risk in primiparous females. Promiscuous mating by females serves to establish a nonzero chance of paternity among multiple males, thereby decreasing the risk of infanticide. We suggest that in species where females mate with multiple males, primiparous mothers are at increased risk of infanticidal attack due to the fact that nulliparous females are not preferred as mating partners by males, and thus they are less successful at employing promiscuous mating for paternity confusion as a counter-tactic to infanticide. Muller et al. found that male chimpanzees prefer mating with older, parous females over both nulliparous and young parous females, and suggest that this may be a result of accumulated maternal experience leading to lower rates of infant mortality for these mothers, or the possibility that their advanced age signals higher genetic quality. Anderson argues that the lack of male mating interest in primiparous females, across many primate species, is due to lower birth rates and lower infant survivorship for these inexperienced mothers. Additionally, primiparous females often have long periods of adolescent subfecundity, likely the longest of any of the primates. As documented in other primates (chacma baboons, Papio ursinus; chimpanzees, Pan troglodytes; ring-tailed lemurs, Lemur catta; mandrills, Mandrillus sphinx), male orangutans seem to have low interest in mating with young nulliparous females. Thus, if female orangutans rely on promiscuous mating as an anti-infanticide tactic, nulliparous females, being less attractive to males, would have limited opportunity to wield this tactic effectively. Without being able to effectively employ this behavioral counter-tactic, primiparous females should be at a higher risk of infanticidal attack compared to multiparous females.

Walimah’s case fits this prediction as she was a primiparous mother and was only seen mating with two males during her peri-conceptive period. As described, Walimah had long, elaborate, extremely proceptive sexual interactions with one flanged male, Codet, and comparatively short and notably less proceptive and even non-receptive matings with one unflanged male. Walimah displayed 7 months of high interest in Codet, before they successfully mated. We argue that because she invested so heavily in mating with this flanged male (Table 1), she did not mate with more of the other males in the study site. Given these observations of Walimah, along with our observations of other nulliparous female mating events that were similar, and the description Schürmann provided of nulliparous female and flanged male mating events, it seems that nulliparous female orangutans put forth a great deal of effort to mate with individual flanged males – effort that we do not see matched in parous females. Galikas also found that nulliparous females were much more proceptive than parous females. Nulliparous female orangutans may be unable to sufficiently confuse paternity because they are unable to mate with as many males as parous females are, due to a combination of a lack of male interest in mating with them, their focus on flanged males, and their lack of social confidence. We suggest that more studies, in primates and other species, should compare the ability of nulliparous versus parous females to mate promiscuously, and thus further test the hypothesis that primiparous mothers are at increased infanticide risk compared to multiparous mothers.

An interesting case of chimpanzee intragroup infanticide fits this prediction. In this case, two females with 3–3.5 year old infants were present but only the primiparous female and her infant were attacked. Additionally,
the primiparous female and infantilecidual males were seen mating in the past, but at very low frequencies, when the female was maximally tumescent. This case supports the hypothesis that primiparous mothers may be at a disadvantage in terms of using promiscuous mating to protect their infants from infanticidal attacks by males.

Infanticide in orangutans revisited. Although circumstantial, the evidence in this case points to an attack by a male orangutan, and is the first report of a suspected case of infanticide in wild orangutans. The circum-
stances also provide further evidence that although rare, infanticide may occur in orangutans. One of the argu-
ments against the possibility of infanticide in orangutans has been that a male who killed a female’s offspring would be unlikely to have the opportunity to father subsequent offspring40. However, our data indicate that there is no reason to expect that a male would not be in the vicinity of a female several months after her infant’s death. Although we cannot say for certain who killed Walimah’s offspring, interestingly, she was seen with an unflanged male 23 days after she was found injured and without her infant. This male first appeared two weeks before she gave birth, was not present during Walimah’s peri-conceptive period and she had no known prior social interactions with him. During the month following the suspected infanticide, she was in almost continual consortship with this male. Matings were observed within 4 months of the suspected infanticide, although they may have occurred at an earlier date. Thus, the opportunity for a new male to enter the study area and then to kill an infant and subsequently sire the female’s next offspring existed. The assertion that a male who killed a female’s offspring would not have the opportunity to father future offspring with that female is not supported. Further, this timing of the return to mating after infant loss is comparable to the 2.5 month wait time reported by Galdikas42 after an ex-captive lost an infant, although ex-captive females may experience different energetic and social conditions. We have no way of knowing, if indeed Walimah and her baby were attacked by a male, if this was in fact that male. However, their subsequent consortship and mating demonstrate that a male of this species could have the opportunity to father a female’s offspring after infanticide, as predicted by the sexual selection hypothesis42,29,32.

Several other factors warrant the infanticide interpretation, and it fits the pattern observed in other great apes. Most intragroup infanticidal attacks by male chimpanzees occur during the first 6 months of an infant’s life10,13 and for gorillas, the mean age of infant victims was 358 days32. Walimah’s infant was approximately 4 months of age, consistent with when we might expect infanticide to occur. These first 6 months of lactation are also when we expect infant loss to have the greatest impact on female ovarian function, as it the most energetically demanding period for orangutan mothers43 and likely the most intense period of suckling. During this period Walimah may have been avoiding contact with conspecifics as she had no known social interactions during the period when she was with her new infant. Avoidance of potentially infanticidal conspecifics is another known anti-infanticide tactic employed by female mammals30. In a fission-fusion species such as orangutans, where individuals can choose whether or not to associate with others, this may be a preferred tactic. However, being alone also increases a female’s vulnerability, because of the lack of potential allies.

Our observations are thus consistent with the prediction that wild orangutan infants are vulnerable to infanti-
cide15,39. It will be of some interest to see if further fieldwork produces additional data on this important topic in helping us to understand infanticide as a male reproductive tactic.

Data Availability

The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

References

1. Sugiyama, Y. An artificial social change in a hanuman langurs (Presbytis entellus). Primates 6, 381–417 (1965).
2. Holy, S. B. Infanticide among animals: a review, classification, and examination of the implications for the reproductive strategies of females. Eth. and Soc. 1, 13–40 (1979).
3. van Schaik, C. P. Vulnerability to infanticide by males: patterns among mammals. In Infanticide by Males and Its Implications (eds C. P. van Schaik & C. H. Janson) 61–71 (Cambridge University Press, 2000).
4. Lukas, D. & Huchard, E. The evolution of infanticide by males in mammalian societies. Science 346, 841–844 (2014).
5. van Schaik, C. P. & Janson, C. H. Infanticide by males: patterns among mammals. In Infanticide by Males and Its Implications (eds C. P. van Schaik & C. H. Janson) 1–6 (Cambridge University Press, 2000).
6. Alvarez, S. et al. Male-directed infanticide in spider monkeys (Ateles spp.). Primates 56, 173–181 (2015).
7. Gursky-Doyen, S. Infanticide by a male spectral tarsier (Tarsius spectrum). Primates 52, 385–389 (2011).
8. Palombit, R. Male strategies and female counterstrategies. In The Evolution of Primate Societies (eds J. M. Mitani et al.) 432–468 (The University of Chicago Press, 2012).
9. Kane, E. E. & Gnepe, F. An infanticide attempt after male takeover in Diana monkeys (Cercopithecus diana diana) in Tai, Côte d’Ivoire. Afr. Primates 11, 37–40 (2016).
10. Charpentier, M. J. E. & Drea, C. M. Victims of infanticide and conspecific bite wounding in a female-dominant primate: a long-term study. PloS One 8, 1, 1–9 (2013).
11. Jolly, A. et al. Infant killing, wounding and predation in Eulemur and Lemur. Int J Primatol 21, 21–40 (2000).
12. Crockett, C. M. Re-evaluating the sexual selection hypothesis for infanticide by Alouatta males. In Sexual Selection and Reproductive Competition in Primates: New Perspectives and Directions (ed. C. B. Jones) 327–365 (Norman: The American Society of Primatologists, 2003).
13. van Schaik, C. & Kappeller, P. Infanticide risk and the evolution of male-female association in primates. P Roy Soc B 264, 1687 (1997).
14. Galdikas, B. M. F. & Wood, J. W. Birth spacing patterns in humans and apes. Am J Phys Anthropol 83, 185–191 (1990).
15. Knott, C. D. Female reproductive ecology of the ape: implications for human evolution. In Reproductive Ecology and Human Evolution Foundations of Human Behavior (ed. P. T. Ellison) 429–463 (Aldine de Gruyter, 2001).
16. Wich, S. et al. Life history of wild Sumatran orangutans (Pongo abelii). J Hum Evol 47, 385–398 (2004).
17. van Noordwijk, M. A. et al. The slow ape: high infant survival and long inter-birth intervals in orangutans. J Hum Evol 125, 38–49 (2018).
18. van Noordwijk, M. A., Willems, E. P., Utami Atmoko, S. S., Kuzawa, C. W. & van Schaik, C. Multi-year lactation and its consequences in Bornean orangutans (Pongo pygmaeus wurmbii). Behav Ecol Sociobiol 67, 805–814 (2013).
19. Knott, C. D., Thompson, M. E. & Wich, S. A. The ecology of reproduction in wild orangutans. In Orangutans: Geographic Variation in Behavioral Ecology and Conservation (eds S. A. Wich, S. S. Utami, T. Mitra Setia, & C. P. van Schaik) 171–188 (Oxford University Press, 2009).

20. Soltis, J., Thomsen, R., Matsubayasi, K. & Takenaka, O. Infanticide by resident males and female counter-strategies in wild Japanese macaques (Macaca fuscata). Behav Ecol Sociobiol 48, 195–202 (2000).

21. Vlek, J. M., Shideler, S. E. & Lasley, B. L. Comparisons of female reproductive hormone patterns in the hominoids. InComparative Primate Socioecology (ed. C. P. van Schaik & C. H. Janson, C. H.) 27–60 (Cambridge University Press, 2000).

22. Mallinson, J. Breeding of great apes at the Jersey Wildlife Preservation Trust and a look into the future. Zoo Biol 3, 1–11 (1984).

23. Hrdy, S. B. Infanticide as a primate reproductive strategy. Am Sci 65, 40–49 (1977).

24. Marshall, A. J., Beaudrot, L. & Wittmer, H. U. Responses of primates and other frugivorous vertebrates to plant resource variability. InComparative Primate Socioecology (eds C. P. van Schaik & C. H. Janson, C. H.) 27–60 (Cambridge University Press, 2000).

25. Knott, C. D. Orangutans: sexual coercion without sexual violence. InSexual Coercion and Mate Guarding in Nonhuman Primates: An Evolutionary Perspective on Male Aggression against Females (eds M. N. Müller & R. W. Wrangham) 81–111 (Harvard University Press, 1999).

26. Fox, E. Female tactics to reduce sexual harassment in the Sumatran orangutan (Pongo pygmaeus abelii). Behav Ecol Sociobiol 52, 93–101 (2002).

27. Wich, S. A. et al. Orangutan life history variation. In Orangutans: Geographic Variation in Behavioral Ecology and Conservation (eds S. A. Wich, S. S. Utami, T. Mitra Setia, & C. P. van Schaik) 65–76 (Oxford, 2009).

28. Mallinson, J. Breeding of great apes at the Jersey Wildlife Preservation Trust and a look into the future. Zoo Biol 3, 1–11 (1984).

29. Hrdy, S. B. Infanticide as a primate reproductive strategy. Am Sci 65, 40–49 (1977).

30. Ebensperger, L. A. Strategies and counterstrategies to infanticide in infants. Behav Ecol 73, 321–346 (1998).

31. Wolff, J. O. & Macdonald, D. W. Promiscuous females protect their offspring. Trends Ecol Evol 19 (2004).

32. van Schaik, C. P., Prdhavan, G. R. & van Noordwijk, M. A. Mating conflict in primates: infanticide, sexual harassment and female sexuality. InSexual Selection in Primates: New and Comparative Perspectives (eds P. M. Kappeller & C. P. van Schaik) 131–150 (Cambridge University Press, 2004).

33. van Schaik, C. P., van Noordwijk, M. A. & Nunn, C. L. Sex and social evolution in primates. InComparative Primate Socioecology (ed P. Lee) 204–240 (Cambridge University Press, 1999).

34. Galik, B. M. F. Orangutan reproduction in the wild. InReproductive Biology of the Great Apes (ed. C. E. Graham) 281–300 (Academic Press, 1981).

35. Knott, C. D., Emery Thompson, M. E., Stumpfl, R. & McIntyre, M. H. Female reproductive strategies in orangutans, evidence for female choice and counterstrategies to infanticide in a species with frequent sexual coercion. P Roy Soc B 277, 105–113 (2010).

36. Schürmann, C. L. Courtship and mating behavior of wild orang-utans in Sumatra. InPrimate Behavior and Sociobiology (eds A. B. Chiarelli & R. S. Corruccini) 130–135 (Springer-Verlag, 1981).

37. Watts, D. P. Infanticide in mountain gorillas: new cases and a reconsideration of the evidence. InInfanticide by Males and its Implications (eds C. P. van Schaik & C. H. Janson) 322–360 (Cambridge University Press, 2000).

38. Banes, G. L., Galik, B. M. & Viglant, L. Male orang-utan bimaturism and reproductive success at Camp Leakey in Tanjung Puting National Park, Indonesia. Behav Ecol Sociobiol 69, 1785–1794 (2015).

39. Goossens, E. et al. Genetic signature of anthropogen population collapse in orang-utans. Plos Biology 4, 285 (2006).

40. Utami, S. S. G., Goossens, B., Brufrud, M. W., de Ruiter, J. R. & van Hooff, J. A. Male bimaturism and reproductive success in Sumatran orang-utans. Behav Ecol 13, 643–652 (2002).

41. Mitani, J. Mating behaviour of male orangutans in the Kutai Game Reserve, Indonesia. Anim Behav 33, 391–402 (1985).

42. van Noordwijk, M. A. & van Schaik, C. P. Reproductive patterns in eurhian mammals: adaptations against infanticide? InInfanticide by Males and its Implications (eds C. P. van Schaik & C. H. Janson) 322–360 (Cambridge University Press, 2000).

43. Cords, M. & Fuller, J. L. Infanticide in Cercopithecus mitis stuhlmanni in the Kakamega forest, Kenya: variation in the occurrence of an adaptive behavior. Int J Primatol 31, 409–432 (2010).

44. Palombit, R. A. et al. Male infanticide and defense of infants in chacma baboons. InInfanticide by Males and its Implications (eds C. P. van Schaik & C. H. Janson) 123–152 (Cambridge University Press, 2000).

45. Gossey, D. Gorillas in the Mist. 329 (Houghton Mifflin, 1983).

46. Beaudrot, L. H., Kahlenberg, S. M. & Marshall, A. J. Why male orangutans do not kill infants. Behav Ecol Sociobiol 63, 1549–1562 (2009).

47. Watts, D. P. Infanticide in mountain gorillas: new cases and a reconsideration of the evidence. Ethology 81, 1–18 (1989).

48. Morrogh-Bernard, H. C. et al. Orangutan activity budgets and diet. InOrangutans: Geographic Variation in Behavioral Ecology and Conservation (eds S. A. Wich, S. S. Utami, T. Mitra Setia, & C. van Schaik) 119–134 (Oxford University Press, 2009).

49. Knott, C. D. Changes in orangutan calorific intake, energy balance, and ketones in response to fluctuating fruit availability. Int J Primatol 19, 1061–1079 (1998).

50. Marshall, A. J., Beaudrot, L. & Wittmer, H. U. Responses of primates and other frugivorous vertebrates to plant resource variability over space and time at Gunung Palung National Park. Int J Primatol 35, 1178–1201 (2014).

51. Knott, C. D. Energetic responses to food availability in the great apes: implications for hominin evolution. InPrime Seasonality: Implications for Human Evolution (eds D. K. Brockman & C. P. van Schaik) 351–378 (Cambridge University Press, 2005).

52. Knott, C. D. et al. Field collection and preservation of urine in orangutans and chimpanzees. Tropical Biodivers 3, 95–102 (1997).

53. van Schaik, C. P. Infanticide by male primates: the sexual selection hypothesis revisited. InInfanticide by males and its implications (eds C. P. van Schaik & C. H. Janson, C. H.) 27–60 (Cambridge University Press, 2000).

54. Schürmann, C. Mating behaviour of wild orangutans. In The Orang utan: Its Biology and Conservation (ed. L. de Boer) 269–284 (W. Junk, 1982).

55. O'Connell, C. A. The Costs and Benefits of Sociality Explored in Wild Bornean Orangutans (Pongo pygmaeus wurmbii), PhD Thesis, Boston University (2018).

56. Graham, C. Reproductive physiology. In Orang-utan Biology (ed. J. H. Schwartz) 91–103 (Oxford University Press, 1988).

57. Wilcox, A. J., Baird, D. D. & Weinberg, C. R. Time of implantation of the conceptus and loss of pregnancy. New Engl J Med 340, 1796–1799 (1999).

58. Czeszka, N. M., Shideler, S. E. & Lasley, B. L. Comparisons of female reproductive hormone patterns in the hominoids. InOrangutan Biology (ed. J. H. Schwartz) 117–122 (Oxford University Press, 1988).

59. Scott, K. S. S., Knott, C. D. & Laman, T. G. H. C. M. Infanticide in orangutans (Pongo pygmaeus wurmbii) in Gunung Palung National Park, Indonesia. (26th Congress of the International Primatological Society, 2016).

60. Stirling, I. & Derocher, A. E. Factors affecting the evolution and behavioral ecology of the modern bears. InBears: Their Biology and Management Vol. 8, 189–204 (International Association for Bear Research and management, 1990).

61. Frederiksson, G. M. Human-sunbear conflicts in East Kalimantan, Indonesian Borneo. Ursus 16, 130–137 (2005).

62. Frederiksson, G. M., Wich, S. A. & Trisno. Frugivory in sun bears (Helarctos malayanus) is linked to El Niño related fluctuations in fruiting phenology, East Kalimantan, Indonesia. Biol J Linn Soc 89, 489–508 (2006).
111. Rayadin, Y. & Spehar, S. N. Brief communication: body mass of wild Bornean orangutans living in human-dominated landscapes: implications for understanding their ecology and conservation. Am J Phys Anthropol 157, 339–346 (2015).
112. Plavcan, J. M. & van Schaik, C. P. Canine dimorphism. Evol Anthropol 2, 208–214 (1993).
113. Plavcan, J. M. & van Schaik, C. P. Intrasexual competition and canine dimorphism in Anthropoid primates. Am J Phys Anthropol 87, 461–477 (1992).
114. Boesch, C., Lanhart, K., Epplen, C., Epplen, J. T. & Winkler, P. Males as infant protectors in hanuman langurs (Presbytis entellus) living in multimale groups: defense pattern, paternity and sexual behaviour. Behav Ecol Sociobiol 46, 350–356 (1999).
115. Doran, D. M. The ontogeny of chimpanzee locomotor behavior, a case study of paedomorphism and its behavioral correlates. J Hum Evol 23, 139–158 (1992).
116. van Noordwijk, M. & van Schaik, C. Development of ecological competence in Sumatran orangutans. Am J Phys Anthropol 127, 79–94 (2005).
117. van Noordwijk, M. A. et al. Development of independence. In Orangutans: Geographic Variation in Behavioral Ecology and Conservation (eds S. A. Wich, S. S. Uam, T. Mitra Setia, & C. van Schaik) 189–204 (Oxford University Press, 2009).
118. Doran, D. Ontogeny of locomotion in mountain gorillas and chimpanzees. J Hum Evol 32, 323–344 (1997).
119. Scott, A. M. & Knott, C. D. Are male orangutans a threat to infants? Mother-offspring interactions with males in wild Pongo pygmaeus wurmbii. Am J Phys Anthropol 64, 23 (2017).
120. Van Belle, S., Kulp, A. E., Thiessen-Bock, R., Garcia, M. & Estrada, A. Observed infanticides following a male immigration event in black howler monkeys, Alouatta pigra at Palenque National Park, Mexico. Primates 51, 279–284 (2010).
121. Anderson, C. M. Female age: male preference and reproductive success in primates. Int J Primatol 7, 305–326 (1986).
122. Muller, M., Thompson, M. & Wrangham, R. Male chimpanzees prefer mating with old females. Curr Biol 16, 2234–2238 (2006).
123. Young, W. C. & Verkes, R. M. Factors influencing the reproductive cycle in the chimpanzee: the period of adolescent sterility and related problems. Endocrinology 33, 121–154 (1943).
124. Gilbert, C. & Gillman, J. Puberty in the baboon (Papio Ursinus) in relation to age and body weight. S Afr J Med Sci 25, 99–103 (1960).
125. Bercovitch, F. B. & Ziegler, T. E. Current topics in primate socioendocrinology. Annu Rev Anthropol 31, 45–67 (2002).
126. Daniel, A., Cowlishaw, G. & Hutchard, E. Stability and strength of male-female associations in a promiscuous primate society. Behav Ecol Sociobiol 70, 761–775 (2016).
127. Emery Thompson, M. et al. Faster reproductive rates trade off against offspring growth in wild chimpanzees. P Natl Acad Sci USA 113, 7780–7785 (2016).
128. Parga, J. A. Male mate choice in Lemur catta. Int J Primatol 27, 107–131 (2006).
129. Setchell, J. M. & Wickings, E. J. Male choice in male mandrills (Mandrillus sphinx). Ethology 13, 236–237 (2014).
130. Murray, C. M., Wrobleski, E. & Pusey, A. E. New case of intragroup infanticide in the chimpanzees of Gombe National Park. Int J Primatol 28, 23–37 (2007).
131. Arcadi, A. C. & Wrangham, R. W. Infanticide in chimpanzees: review of cases and a new within-group observer from the Kanyawara study group in Kibale National Park. Primates 40, 337–351 (1999).
132. Yamagiwa, J., Kahekwa, J. & Basabose, A. K. Infanticide and social flexibility in the genus Gorilla. Primates 50, 293–303 (2009).
133. Pavé, R. et al. Infant mortality in black-and-gold howlers (Alouatta caraya) living in a flooded forest in Northeastern Argentina. Int J Primatol 33, 937–957 (2012).
134. Mansor, J. H., Gros-Louis, J. & Perry, S. Three apparent cases of infanticide by males in wild white-faced capuchins (Cebus capucinus). Folia Primatol 75, 104–106 (2004).
135. Fruteau, C., Range, F. & Noë, R. Infanticide risk and infant defence in multi-male free-ranging sooty mangabeys, Cercocebus atys. Behave. Process 83, 113–118 (2010).
136. Galat-Luong, A. & Galat, G. Conséquences comportementales des perturbations sociales répétées sur une troupe de Mones de Lorr Cercopithecus campbelli lowei de Côte d’Ivoire. Terre et Vie 33, 49–57 (1979).
137. Singh, M., Kumara, H. N., Kumar, M. A., Singh, M. & Cooper, M. Male influx, infanticide, and female transfer in Macaca radiata radiata. Int J Primatol 27, 515–528 (2006).
138. Collins, D. A., Busse, C. D. & Goodall, J. Infanticide in two populations of savannah baboons. In Infanticide: Comparative and Evolutionary Perspectives (eds G. Hausfater & S. B. Hrdy) 193–216 (Aldin Publishing Company, 1984).
139. Shopland, J. M. An intergroup encounter with fatal consequences in yellow baboons (Papio cynocephalus). Am J Primate 3, 263–266 (1982).
140. Swedell, L. & Tesfaye, T. Infant mortality after takeovers in wild Ethiopian hamadryas baboons. Am J Primate 60, 113–118 (2003).
141. Mori, A., Belay, G. & Iwamoto, T. Changes in unit structures and infanticide observed in Arsi geladas. Primates 44, 217–223 (2003).
142. Bosch, D. Cannibalism among wild chimpanzees. Nature 238, 410–411 (1972).
143. Hamai, M., Nishida, T., Takasaki, H. & Turner, L. A. New records of within-group infanticide in wild chimpanzees. Primates 33, 151–162 (1992).
144. Kawanaka, K. Infanticide and cannibalism in chimpanzees, with special reference to the newly observed case in the Mahale Mountains. Afr Stud Monogr 1, 69–99 (1981).
145. Newton-Fisher, N. E. Infant killers of Budongo. Folia Primate 70, 167–169 (1999).
146. Nishida, T., Uehara, S. & Nyundo, R. Predatory behavior among wild chimpanzees of the Mahale Mountains. Primates 20, 1–20 (1979).
147. Nishida, T. & Kawanaka, K. Within-group cannibalism by adult male chimpanzees. Primates 26, 274–284 (1985).
148. Norikoshi, K. One observed case of cannibalism among wild chimpanzees of the Mahale Mountains. Primates 23, 66–74 (1982).
149. Sherrrow, H. M. & Amsey, S. J. New intercommunity infanticides by the chimpanzees of Ngogo, Kibale National Park, Uganda. Int J Primatol 28, 9–22 (2007).
150. Suzuki, A. Carnivority and cannibalism observed among forest-living chimpanzees. J Anthropol. Soc. Nippon 79, 30–48 (1971).
159. Takahta, Y. Adult male chimpanzees kill and eat a male newborn infant: newly observed intra-group infanticide and cannibalism in Mahale Mountain National Park, Tanzania. *Folia Primatologica* 44, 161–170 (1985).

160. Watts, D. P. & Mitani, J. C. Infanticide and cannibalism by male chimpanzees at Ngogo, Kibale National Park, Uganda. *Primates* 41, 357–365 (2000).

161. Watts, D. P., Mitani, J. C. & Sherrow, H. M. New cases of inter-community infanticide by male chimpanzees at Ngogo, Kibale National Park, Uganda. *Primates* 43, 263–270 (2002).

**Acknowledgements**

We thank the Universitas Tanjungpura (UNTAN), the Eijkman Institute for Molecular Biology, the National University of Indonesia (UNAS), the Directorate of Natural Resource Conservation and Ecosystems (KSDAE), the Gunung Palung National Park office (BTNGP), the Indonesian Institute of Sciences (LIPI), the Center for Research and Development in Biology (PPPB), and the Ministry of Research, Technology and Higher Education (RISTEKDIKTI) for their sponsorship and for granting permission to conduct research in Gunung Palung National Park. We also thank all the dedicated field assistants, research assistants, field managers, and students who assisted with data and sample collection. We thank Judy Chupasko, Tristan Rocher, and Mark Omura for assisting us at the Museum of Comparative Zoology, Harvard University. This research was supported by grants to CDK from the National Science Foundation (BCS #0936199, 9414388); the National Geographic Society; the L.S.B. Leakey Foundation; the Wenner Gren Foundation; the US Fish and Wildlife Service (F15AP00812, F12AP00369, 98210-8-G661); Conservation, Food and Health Foundation; Focused on Nature; Orangutan Conservancy; Disney Wildlife Conservation Fund; and Nacey Maggioncalda Foundation. AMS was supported by a National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship under Grant DGE-1247312. CAO was supported by a Boston University Graduate Research Abroad Fellowship.

**Author Contributions**

C.D.K. funded the field project, supervised and collected the data, and wrote the majority of the manuscript text. A.M.S. wrote sections of the manuscript, assisted C.D.K. with data collection for Table 2 and constructed the table, compiled the data and constructed Tables 3 and 4, and compiled the data and constructed Figure 7. C.A.O. contributed to the mating and social behavior data collection and compiled these data, compiled the data and constructed Table 1 and contributed to the writing of the manuscript. K.S.S. supervised and collected field data and contributed to the writing of the manuscript. T.G.L. took the photographs, contributed field observations, assisted with museum measurements and did the photographic comparisons and contributed to the writing of the manuscript. Riyand facilitated the field data collection as the project counterpart. T.W.S. contributed to field supervision, data collection and observation. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

**Additional Information**

**Competing Interests:** The authors declare no competing interests.

**Publisher’s note:** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article’s Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2019