Effective non-Hermitian Hamiltonian for open systems with Neumann boundary conditions

Dmitrii N. Maksimov\textsuperscript{a,1,*}, Almas F. Sadreev\textsuperscript{a}, Alina A. Lyapina\textsuperscript{b}, Artem S. Pilipchuk\textsuperscript{b}

\textsuperscript{a} LV Kirensky Institute of Physics, 660036, Krasnoyarsk, Russia
\textsuperscript{b} Siberian Federal University, 660080, Krasnoyarsk, Russia

Abstract
We develop the full-pledged effective non-Hermitian Hamiltonian approach for open systems with Neumann boundary conditions. We demonstrate how the approach could be used for calculating the scattering matrix and the scattering function in one, two, and three dimensional open resonators. Possible applications to the acoustic scattering problem are considered.

1. Introduction
The approach of the effective non-Hermitian Hamiltonian \cite{1, 2, 3} have found numerous applications in various branches of physics including atomic nuclei \cite{4, 5}, chaotic billiards \cite{6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11}, tight-binding models \cite{12, 13, 14, 15, 16}, potential scattering \cite{17}, photonic crystals \cite{18}, etc. The objective of the present paper is to revisit the concept of the effective non-Hermitian Hamiltonian in application to open resonators with the Neumann boundary conditions. The problem of resonant scattering typically involves a resonator (which could be an atom, atomic nucleus, quantum dot, microwave or acoustic cavity etc) and one, two or more scattering channels that couple the resonator to the environment. The mainstream idea is to split the full Hilbert space into subspaces: subspace $B$ formed by the eigenfunctions of discrete spectrum localized within the scattering center, and subspace $C$ which spans the extended eigenfunctions of the scattering channels. Therefore, the exact description of open system meets a problem of matching the wave functions of discrete and continuous spectra. In 1957 Livshits \cite{19} and independently Feshbach in 1958 \cite{20} introduced the idea to project the total Hilbert space onto the discrete states of subspace $B$. Given the Hamilton operator of the whole system as

$$\hat{H} = \hat{H}_B + \sum_C (\hat{H}_C + V_{BC} + V_{CB})$$
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the projection onto the discrete subspace leads to the concept of the effective non-Hermitian Hamiltonian \[ \hat{H}_{\text{eff}} = \hat{H}_B + \sum_C V_{BC} \frac{1}{E^+ - \hat{H}_C} V_{CB}. \] (2)

Here \( \hat{H}_B \) is the Hamiltonian of the closed system, \( \hat{H}_C \) is the Hamiltonian of the scattering channel \( C \), \( V_{BC}, V_{CB} \) stand for the coupling matrix elements between the eigenstates of \( \hat{H}_B \) and the eigenstates of the scattering channels, and \( E \) is the energy of scattered particle (wave). The term \( E^+ = E + i0 \) ensures that only outgoing waves will be present in the solution after the scattering occurs. As a result the effective Hamiltonian (2) is a non-Hermitian matrix with complex eigenvalues \( z_\lambda \) which determine the positions and lifetimes of the resonant states as \( \text{Re}(z_\lambda) \), and \( -2\text{Im}(z_\lambda) \) correspondingly. If the propagation band of waveguide is infinite then the effective non-Hermitian Hamiltonian takes the most simple form widely used in the scattering theory \[ \hat{H}_{\text{eff}} = \hat{H}_B - i\pi \sum_{C=1}^{W} W_C W_C^\dagger, \] (3)

where \( W_C \) is a column matrix whose elements account for the coupling of each individual inner state to the scattering channel \( C \). The scattering matrix \( S_{CC'} \) is then given by the inverse of \( E - \hat{H}_{\text{eff}} \) \[ S_{CC'}(E) = \delta_{CC'} - 2\pi i(C,E) \frac{1}{E - \hat{H}_{\text{eff}}} (C',E). \] (4)

The approach of the effective non-Hermitian Hamiltonian for two dimensional resonator-waveguide systems controlled by the Schroödinger equation was previously addressed in Refs. \[ 6, 8, 9 \]. In particular in Ref. \[ 8 \] the authors derived exact formulas for the coupling matrices \( W_C \) for both Dirichlet and Neumann Boundary conditions on the boundary of the resonator. What is more interesting, however, it was shown \[ 8 \] that only in the case of the Neumann boundary condition the approach is stable with respect to truncation of the discrete basis to a finite number of eigenstates thanks to the absolute convergence of the spectral sum for the reaction matrix. In the above references \[ 6, 8, 9 \] the resonator-waveguide problem was considered in the context of quantum scattering. This imposes restrictions on the applicability of the effective non-Hermitian Hamiltonian because one normally requires the Dirichlet boundary conditions on the infinitely highward-wall boundary. Although, there are techniques to improve the convergence of the spectral sum for the reaction matrix \[ 21, 22 \] in the Dirichlet case, in general one would resort to the mixed boundary conditions applying the Dirichlet boundary conditions on the physical boundaries while the Neumann boundary condition is applied on the waveguide-resonator interface \[ 8 \]. One the other hand, the Neumann boundary conditions are the boundary conditions for the pressure field on a sound hard boundary. That prompts us to attempt applying the effective non-Hermitian
Hamiltonian formalism to acoustic scattering problem. In this paper we focus on developing the effective non-Hermitian Hamiltonian formalism including applications to discretized systems which render the method applicable to open acoustic resonators of arbitrary shape in which the eigenfunctions could not be found analytically.

The article is organized as follows. In Sec. 2 we consider a simple one dimensional tight-binding model which is aimed to illustrate our approach to derive the effective non-Hermitian Hamiltonian. In Sec. 3 we extend our results to 2D case and demonstrate the connection between the discrete model based on the finite-difference representation of the Helmholtz equation and the continuous model based on the eigenfunctions of the partial differential equation. In Sec. 3 we demonstrate the effective non-Hermitian approach for finding the transmission spectra and scattering functions in a realistic 3D structure. Finally, we conclude in Sec. 5.

2. The effective non-Hermitian Hamiltonian for one-dimensional system with the Neumann boundary conditions

There are many approaches to establish the effective non-Hermitian Hamiltonian formalism [1,2,3,6,12,13,17]. In this paper we adopt a variation of the method recently developed for two-particle Bose-Hubbard lattice model [23]. To describe the method we begin with the simplest possible one-dimensional tight-binding model which consists of one-dimensional resonator coupled to one or two half-infinite wires (waveguides). The systems under consideration are sketched in Fig. 1 We will see later that this approach can be easily generalized to higher dimensions as well as applied to the continuous limit which corresponds to 2D and 3D acoustic problems.

2.1. Tight-binding chain coupled to a single waveguide

For the model depicted in Fig. 1(a) the 1D stationary Schrödinger equation takes the following form

\[ \hat{H} \psi_j = -t_j^{(L)} \psi_{j-1} - t_j^{(R)} \psi_{j+1} + (2 - \delta_{j}^{(N-1)}) \psi_j = E \psi_j; \ j = -\infty, \ldots, N \]  

where

\[ t_j^{(L)} = \begin{cases} \ t & \text{if } j = 0; \\ \ 1 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \]

while

\[ t_j^{(R)} = \begin{cases} \ t & \text{if } j = -1; \\ \ 0 & \text{if } j = N - 1 \\ \ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \]

One can see that the parameter \( t \) in this model controls the coupling between the resonator and the waveguide. Meanwhile, because the Neumann BC at site \( N \) in the discrete case are written as

\[ \psi_N - \psi_{N-1} = 0, \]
Figure 1: (Color online) One-dimensional tight-binding chain (resonator) of \( N \) sites (red circles) coupled to one (a) or two (b) semi-infinite waveguides (blue stars). The coupling between the resonator and the waveguides (dashed lines) is controlled by hopping matrix element \( t \). All other sites are coupled via unit hopping matrix element (solid lines).

The term \( \delta_{N-1} \) is introduced at the end of the tight-binding chain to account for the Neumann boundary condition.

The key idea of our approach is to split the total space into two subspaces. The first subspace corresponds to the resonator with \( j = 0, 1, \ldots, N - 1 \) while the second subspace corresponds to the waveguide with \( j < 0 \). Respectively we present the solution of the Eq. (5) in the following form

\[
\psi(j) = \begin{cases} 
\psi_B(j) & \text{if } j = 0, 1, \ldots, N - 1; \\
 a_L^{(+)} \psi_+(j) + a_L^{(-)} \psi_-(j) & \text{if } j \leq 0,
\end{cases}
\]  

(9)

where \( \psi_B(j) \) is the solution within the resonator

\[
\psi_B(j) = \sum_{m=1}^{N} \chi_m \psi_m(j),
\]  

(10)

with \( \psi_m(j) \) as the eigenfunctions of the resonator

\[
\psi_1(j) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N}}, \quad \psi_m(j) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{N}} \cos(k_m(j+1/2)), \quad k_m = \frac{\pi(m-1)}{N}, m = 2, 3, \ldots, N.
\]  

(11)

with the corresponding eigenenergies given by

\[
E_m = 2 - 2 \cos(k_m).
\]  

(12)

We note in passing that \( \psi_m(j) \) Eq. (11) are the eigenvectors of the \( N \times N \) matrix Hamiltonian operator with the Neumann boundary conditions (8) at \( j = 0 \) and
The solution in the waveguide is written as a superposition of ingoing and outgoing waves

$$\psi_{(\pm)}(j) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi \sin(k)}} e^{\pm ikj},$$

with $a_L^{(\pm)}$ as the incoming and outgoing amplitudes. The waves $\psi_{(\pm)}(j)$ are eigenfunctions of the tight-binding infinite wire $-\psi_{(\pm)}(j) - \psi_{(\pm)}(j + 1) + 2\psi_{(\pm)}(j) = E\psi_{(\pm)}(j)$ with the dispersion relation

$$E = 2 - 2\cos(k).$$

Obviously, in this present model the $S$-matrix contains only reflection coefficient $S = r$ with $|r| = 1$, i.e., only the phase of the wave changes in the scattering.

In order to find the equation for the scattering function $\psi_B(j)$, let evaluate the scalar product $\langle \psi_m | \hat{H} - E | \psi \rangle = 0$ with operator $\hat{H}$ implicitly defined through Eq. (14).

$$\langle \psi_m | \hat{H} - E | \psi \rangle = (2 - E)\chi_m - \sum_{j=0}^{N-2} \psi_m(j)\psi(j + 1)$$
$$- \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \psi_m(j)\psi(j - 1) - \psi_m(N - 1)\psi(N - 1) - t\psi_m(0)\psi(-1)$$
$$= (2 - E)\chi_m - t\psi_m(0)\psi(-1)$$
$$- \sum_{n=1}^{N} \chi_n \left[ \sum_{j=0}^{N-2} \psi_m(j)\psi_n(j + 1) + \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \psi_m(j)\psi_n(j - 1) - \psi_m(N - 1)\psi_n(N - 1) \right]$$
$$= -E\chi_m + \sum_{n=1}^{N} \chi_n (\psi_m | \hat{H}_N | \psi_n) + \sum_{n=1}^{N} \chi_n \psi_m(0)\psi_n(0) - t\psi_m(0)\psi(-1)$$
$$= (E_m - E)\chi_m + \sum_{n=1}^{N} \chi_n \psi_m(0)\psi_n(0) - \frac{t}{\sqrt{4\pi \sin(k)}} \psi_m(0) \left[ a_L^{(+)} e^{-ik} + a_L^{(-)} e^{ik} \right].$$

On the other hand the scalar quantity $\langle \psi_{(-)} | \hat{H} - E | \psi \rangle = 0$ could be evaluated as

$$\langle \psi_{(-)} | \hat{H} - E | \psi \rangle = -\psi_{(-)}(-1) [\psi(-2) + t\psi_B(0) - (2 - E)\psi(-1)]$$
$$- \sum_{j=-\infty}^{-2} \psi_{(-)}(j) [\psi(j - 1) + \psi(j + 1) - (2 - E)\psi(j)]$$
$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi \sin(k)}} \left[ a_L^{(+)} + a_L^{(-)} \right] e^{-ik} - \frac{t}{\sqrt{4\pi \sin(k)}} e^{-ik} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \chi_n \psi_n(1).$$

\[ j = N - 1 \]

$$\hat{H}_N = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & 2 & -1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 2 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 2 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & 2 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -1 & 1 \\
\end{pmatrix}.$$

(13)
The resulting expressions could be presented in a matrix form as

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
E_m - E + W_L W_L^\dagger - \frac{t}{\sqrt{4\pi \sin k}} e^{ik} W_L \\
- \frac{t}{\sqrt{4\pi \sin k}} e^{-ik} W_L^\dagger
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
|\chi\rangle \\
\theta_L
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
\frac{t}{\sqrt{4\pi \sin k}} e^{-ik} W_L a_L^{(+)} \\
\sqrt{4\pi \sin k} e^{-ik} a_L^{(+)}
\end{bmatrix},
\]

(18)

where \(|\chi\rangle\) is the column vector of coefficients \(c_m\) which define the scattering function \(\psi_B(j)\) through Eq. (10), while \(W_L\) is a column vector with its elements given by

\[
\{W_L\}_m = \psi_m(1).
\]

(19)

From the second row of Eq. (18) we have

\[
a_L^{(-)} = -a_L^{(+)} + t \sqrt{4\pi \sin(k)} W_L^\dagger |\chi\rangle.
\]

Substituting this in the first row of Eq. (18) we obtain

\[
(\hat{H}_{eff} - E)|\chi\rangle = -it \sqrt{\frac{1}{\pi} \sin(k)} W_L a_L^{(+)},
\]

(20)

where operator \(\hat{H}_{eff}\) could be easily recognized as the effective non-Hermitian Hamiltonian

\[
\hat{H}_{eff} = E_m \delta_{mn} + (1 - t^2 e^{ik}) W_L W_L^\dagger.
\]

(21)

2.2. Transmission through one dimensional system

The model considered in the previous subsection was introduced with the only goal to illustrate our approach to derive the effective non-Hermitian Hamiltonian. Let us now consider a less trivial example, namely, the tight-binding model depicted in Fig. 1(b). Repeating the calculations from the previous subsection one can easily find that the effective non-Hermitian Hamiltonian now takes the following form

\[
\hat{H}_{eff} = E_m \delta_{mn} + (1 - t^2 e^{ik}) \sum_{C=L,R} W_C W_C^\dagger.
\]

(22)

with

\[
\{W_R\}_m = \psi_m(N - 1).
\]

(23)

The equation for the scattering function now reads

\[
(\hat{H}_{eff} - E)|\chi\rangle = -it \sqrt{\frac{1}{\pi} \sin(k)} \sum_{C=L,R} W_C a_C^{(+)}.
\]

(24)

while the reflection amplitudes can be found as

\[
a_C^{(-)} = -a_C^{(+)} + \sqrt{4\pi \sin(k)} W_C^\dagger |\chi\rangle
\]

(25)
The $S$-matrix is implicitly defined through the following equation connecting incoming and outgoing amplitudes

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
    a_L^{(-)} \\
    a_R^{(-)}
\end{pmatrix}
= (r t' r' t)
\begin{pmatrix}
    a_L^{(+)} \\
    a_R^{(+)}
\end{pmatrix}
$$

(26)

where $a_L^{(-)}, a_R^{(-)}$ are the right and left outgoing amplitudes, $r, r'$ are the reflection coefficients, and $t, t'$ are the transmission coefficients. Combining the above equation we find the $S$-matrix in the following form

$$
S_{C,C'} = -\delta_{C,C'} - it\sqrt{2}\sin(k)W_C^\dagger \frac{1}{\hat{H}_{eff} - E} t\sqrt{2}\sin(k)W_{C'}
$$

(27)

After some algebra it could be easily found that in the coordinate representation $\hat{H}_{eff}$ takes the following form

$$
\langle j|\hat{H}_{eff}|j'\rangle = \hat{H}_D - t^2 e^{ik}\sum_{C=L,R} W_C^\dagger \frac{1}{\hat{H}_{eff} - E} W_C
$$

(28)

where $\hat{H}_D$ is the tight-binding Hamiltonian of the chain of length $N$ with the Dirichlet boundary conditions

$$
\hat{H}_D =
\begin{pmatrix}
    2 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
    -1 & 2 & -1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
    0 & -1 & 2 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
    \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
    0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 2 & -1 & 0 \\
    0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & 2 & -1 \\
    0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -1 & 2
\end{pmatrix}
$$

(29)

It is also worth mentioning that the effective non-Hermitian Hamiltonian in the Dirichlet representation has the following form

$$
\hat{H}_{eff} = E_m\delta_{m,n} - t^2 e^{ik}\sum_{C=L,R} W_C W_C^\dagger
$$

(30)

where \(\{W_L\}_m = \psi_m(0)\), \(\{W_R\}_m = \psi_m(N-1)\) with $\psi_m(j)$ as the eigenfunctions of the Dirichlet eigenvalue problem. One can see that Eq. (28) is fully consistent with the earlier findings. However the present approach is much simpler, in particular it is free from evaluation of principal value integrals.

Finally, to illustrate the effective non-Hermitian Hamiltonian approach we plot transmission probability $T$ vs. energy $E$ in Fig. 2. To obtain the transmission probability $T$ one can directly apply Eq. (27). However, this approach would be numerically inefficient because it involves evaluation of the inverse of the matrix $\hat{H}_{eff} - E$. In practice to speed-up the performance one would first use Eq. (24) to find the interior wave function $|\chi\rangle$ and then use Eq. (25) for finding the reflection amplitudes. The data in Fig. 2 obviously coincide with...
the transmission probability through tight-binding chain with the Dirichlet BC according to [13]. The reason for this is that the resonator-waveguide boundary is artificial and, thus, one has freedom in choosing the boundary conditions [17] as long as the resulting equations (22) is consistent with the total Hamiltonian of the system [5]. However, as it will be seen below, the transmission coefficient depends on the choice of the boundary conditions at physical boundaries of the resonator.

3. Two-dimensional model

Before proceeding to the effective non-Hermitian Hamiltonian we would like to make some remarks to draw an analogue between the tight-binding model and acoustics. The stationary acoustic field satisfies the Helmholtz equation

$$\nabla^2 \psi + \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} \psi = 0,$$

(31)

where $\psi$ is the pressure, $c$ - the speed of sound, and $\omega$ is the frequency. In what follows $c$ is set equal to unity. The condition on the sound hard boundary is the Neumann boundary condition which requires the normal derivative of the pressure field to be equal to zero on the boundary $S$

$$\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial n} |_S = 0 \quad (32)$$

In this section we consider the resonator shown in Fig. 3. This system can be seen as a limiting case of three-dimensional planar duct-cavity-duct acoustic system with a rectangular cross-section if the thickness of the resonator along the $z$-axis is substantially smaller than the length and the width in the $x$ and $y$-dimensions.

To find the numerical solution the Helmholtz equation (31) could be written through the centered second difference scheme as

$$\frac{1}{a_0^2} (\psi_{l+1,j} + \psi_{l-1,j} + \psi_{l,j+1} + \psi_{l,j-1} - 4\psi_{l,j}) + \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} \psi_{l,j} = 0,$$

(33)

where $a_0$ is the step-size in space. The resulting expression (33) could be viewed as an eigenvalue problem

$$\tilde{H} \psi = E \psi,$$

for the tight-binding "Hamiltonian" $\tilde{H}$ with four off-diagonals which carry the nearest neighbor hopping matrix element $1/a_0^2$, while the "energy" is given by

$$E = \frac{\omega^2}{c^2}.$$

Notice that Eq. (33) preserves all symmetries of the system in Fig. 3 in the discretized coordinates $x_j = a_0 j, y_l = a_0 l$. therefore one can easily introduce the discretized Neumann boundary conditions (8) as

$$\psi_{l,\pm(N_l-1),j} = 0, \quad \psi_{l,\pm l,\pm(N_l-1)} = 0$$
on the vertical and horizontal boundaries correspondingly (see Fig. 3) with \( N_i \) and \( N_j \) being the indexes of the edge sites. At this point we would like to notice that our choice of the system and the discretization method are solely aimed at simplifying the ensuing algebra. If the configuration of the system is more involved then the finite-difference scheme would not be the method of choice. We will see, however, that in the continuous limit \( a_0 \to 0 \) the resulting expressions are not dependant on the orientation of the grid. On the other hand if a more sophisticated meshing procedure, like hp-adaptive finite-element method [24], is applied one would use the same technique to derive the effective non-Hermitian Hamiltonian under the only conditions that the grid is locally regular on each waveguide-resonator interface and preserves the translational symmetry in the waveguides.

3.1. The effective non-Hermitian Hamiltonian for 2D lattice model

The technique described in the previous section can be easily generalized for 2D case. Let \( P \) be the number of sites across the waveguide and \( N \) the number of sites along the resonator, then the channel functions are given by

\[
\psi_{L, p}^{(\pm)}(j, l) = \phi_p(l) \phi_L^{(\pm)}(j), \; j < 0
\]

and

\[
\psi_{R, p}^{(\pm)}(j, l) = \phi_p(l) \phi_R^{(\pm)}(j), \; j > N - 1,
\]

where \( \phi_q(l) \) is the transversal wavefunction of the waveguide

\[
\phi_1(l) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{P}}, \; \phi_p(l) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{P}} \cos \left( \frac{\pi(p - 1)(l + P/2)}{P} \right), \; p = 2, 3, \ldots P,
\]

while the travelling waves \( \phi_R^{(\pm)}(j) \) and \( \phi_L^{(\pm)}(j) \) are

\[
\phi_R^{(\pm)}(j) = a_0 \frac{\sqrt{4\pi \sin(k_p a_0)}}{\sin(k_p a_0)} \exp(\pm ik_p a_0 j'), \; \phi_L^{(\pm)}(j) = a_0 \frac{\sqrt{4\pi \sin(k_p a_0)}}{\sin(k_p a_0)} \exp(\pm ik_p a_0 j \mp ik_p a_0 N).
\]

The corresponding dispersion relationship is given by

\[
E_p = \frac{1}{a_0^2} \left[ 4 - 2 \cos(a_0 k_p) - 2 \cos \left( \frac{\pi(p - 1)}{P} \right) \right].
\]

Using (38) one can easily check that the waves (37) obey the normalization condition

\[
\langle j | j' \rangle = \int_{E_{\min}}^{E_{\max}} dE \psi_p^{(\pm)}(j)^* \psi_p^{(\pm)}(j') = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\alpha e^{i\alpha(j - j')} = \delta_{jj'}.
\]

The scattering domain is a rectangular box with \( N \) sites in the direction parallel to the transport axis and \( M \) sites in the transversal direction. Then the eigenenergies of the box with the Neumann boundary conditions are given by

\[
E_{m,n} = \frac{1}{a_0^2} \left[ 4 - 2 \cos \left( \frac{\pi(m - 1)}{N} \right) - 2 \cos \left( \frac{\pi(m - 1)}{M} \right) \right],
\]
while the eigen-functions $\psi_{n,m}(j,l)$ are the products of two factors each given by Eq. (11)

$$\psi_{n,m}(j,l) = \psi_n(j)\psi_m(l)$$

(41)

After evaluating expressions analogous to Eqs. (16) and (17) one finds that the coupling between $m,p$ mode of the box and $q$ channel in the left waveguide is accounted for through the matrix $W_{L,p}(n,m)$

$$W_{L,p}(m,n) = \frac{\psi_n(0)}{\sqrt{a_0}} \sum_l \psi_m(l) \phi_p(l).$$

(42)

while for the right waveguide we have

$$W_{R,p}(m,n) = \frac{\psi_n(N-1)}{\sqrt{a_0}} \sum_l \psi_m(l) \phi_p(l).$$

(43)

The effective non-Hermitian Hamiltonian reads

$$\hat{H}_{eff} = E_{n,m} \delta_{n,n'} \delta_{m,m'} + \sum_{p=1}^P \left(1 - e^{ik_p a_0}\right) \sum_{C=L,R} W_{C,p}^\dagger W_{C,p}.\quad (44)$$

The equation for the interior wave-function $|\chi\rangle$ is

$$(\hat{H}_{eff} - E)|\chi\rangle = -i \sum_{p=1}^P \sqrt{\frac{\sin(k_p a_0)}{a_0 \pi}} \sum_{C=L,R} W_{C,p} a_{C,p}^{(+)}|\chi\rangle,$$

(45)

and, finally, for reflection amplitudes $a_{C,p}^{(-)}$ we have

$$a_{C,p}^{(-)} = -a_{C,p}^{(+)} \mp \sqrt{\frac{4\pi \sin(k_p a_0)}{a_0}} W_{C,p}^\dagger|\chi\rangle.$$\quad (46)

3.2. Continuous limit

In the continuous limit $a_0 \to 0$ the eigenfunctions of the resonator

$$\psi_{n,m}(x,y) = \psi_n(x)\psi_m(y)$$

are given by

$$\psi_1(x) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{L_x}}, \quad \psi_n(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{L_x}} \cos\left[\frac{\pi n x}{L_x}\right], \quad n > 1, x \in [0 L_x],$$

$$\psi_1(y) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{L_y}}, \quad \psi_m(y) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{L_y}} \cos\left[\frac{\pi m (y+L_y/2)}{L_y}\right], \quad m > 1, y \in [-L_y/2 L_y/2]$$

(47)

where we assumed that the $x$-axis coincides with the center-line of the waveguides. The corresponding eigenvalues are

$$E_{n,m} = \left(\frac{\pi n}{L_x}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\pi m}{L_y}\right)^2.$$\quad (48)
The solutions in the waveguides are

$$
\psi_{L,p}^{(\pm)}(x, y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi k_p}} e^{\pm ik_p x} \phi_p(y), \quad x < 0,
$$

and

$$
\psi_{R,p}^{(\pm)}(x, y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi k_p}} e^{\mp ik_p x} e^{ik_p L_y} \phi_p(l), \quad x > L_x,
$$

where \( \phi_q(y) \) is the transversal wavefunction of the waveguide

$$
\phi_1(y) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{d}}, \quad \phi_p(y) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{d}} \cos \left[ \frac{\pi p(y + d/2)}{d} \right], \quad p = 2, 3, \ldots Q.
$$

The corresponding dispersion relation reads

$$
E_p = k_p^2 + \left( \frac{\pi p}{d} \right)^2.
$$

Taking into account that the step-size \( a_0 \) is found as

$$
a_0 = \frac{d}{P} = \frac{L_y}{M} = \frac{L_x}{N},
$$

the continuous limit from Eqs. (34), (35), and (41) to Eqs. (47), (49), and (50) is reached as

$$
\psi_{C,p}^{(\pm)}(x, y) = \lim_{a_0 \to 0} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{a_0}} \psi_{C,p}^{(\pm)}(j, l) \right), \quad \psi_{n,m}(x, y) = \lim_{a_0 \to 0} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{a_0}} \psi_{n,m}(j, l) \right),
$$

Thus, the continuous limit of coupling matrices \( \widetilde{W}_{C,q}(m, p) \) could be found as

$$
\widetilde{W}_{L,p}(n, m) = \psi_n(0) \int_{-d/2}^{d/2} dy \psi_m(y) \phi_p(y)
$$

while for the right waveguide we have

$$
\widetilde{W}_{R,p}(n, m) = \psi_n(L_x) \int_{-d/2}^{d/2} dy \psi_m(y) \phi_p(y),
$$

then the effective non-Hermitian Hamiltonian reads

$$
\hat{H}_{eff} = E_{n,m} \delta^n_m \delta^n_m - \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{k_p}{2\pi} \sum_{C=L,R} \widetilde{W}_{C,p} \widetilde{W}_{C,p}^+. \quad (57)
$$

The equation for the scattering function takes the following form

$$
(\hat{H}_{eff} - E) |\chi\rangle = -i \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{\frac{k_p}{\pi}} \sum_{C=L,R} \widetilde{W}_{C,p} \alpha^{(+)}_{C,p}.
$$
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while the reflection amplitudes are given by

\[ a_{C,p}^{(-)} = -a_{C,p}^{(+)} + \sqrt{4\pi k_p} \langle \chi | \tilde{W}_{C,p}^\dagger | \chi \rangle \] (59)

The interior wave function \( \psi_b(x, y) \) could be found as

\[ \psi_b(x, y) = \sum_{n,m=1}^\infty \chi_{n,m} \psi_{m,n}(x, y) \] (60)

The above presented approach is valid not only for the system shown in Fig. 3. In general the resonator eigenfunctions could not be found through separation of variables as in Eq. (35). However, on any zero curvature waveguide-resonator interface of length \( d \) one can introduce a local set of coordinates with the \( y \)-axis directed along the interface while the \( x \)-axis is directed along the waveguide. Then the same calculations give us the following expression for the coupling matrix

\[ \tilde{W}_p(m) = \int_{-d/2}^{d/2} dy \psi_m(y, x) \phi_p(y), \] (61)

where we have a single index \( m \) to enumerate the eigenfunctions of the resonator. The rest of the formulas (58, 59, 60) remain correct with the only difference that index \( C \) could run over arbitrary number of waveguides attached to the resonator. This resulting expressions are consistent with the earlier findings in ref. [8].

### 3.3. Numerical results

To perform a numerical test we calculated the transmission coefficient \( T \) using both discrete (44) and continuous (58) approaches. The geometric parameters of the system were chosen as \( d = 1, L_y = 1.8, L_x = 1 \). In the discrete model we took \( N = 20, Q = 20, P = 36 \) which corresponds to \( a_0 = 0.05 \). In the continuous model where we have an infinite number of modes in the resonator as well as in the waveguide Eq. (58) was truncated to a finite number of unknowns. To be consistent with our discrete model we took 20 modes in each waveguide while the indices \( m \) and \( p \) in Eq. (58) run as \( n = 1, 2, \ldots, M \) and \( n = 1, 2, \ldots, N \). Thus, we guaranteed that in both approaches we have the same number of degrees of freedom. For our system the coupling matrices \( \tilde{W}_{L,p}(n, m) \) and \( \tilde{W}_{R,p}(n, m) \) could be found analytically through Eqs. (55) and (56). The resulting expressions are

\[ \tilde{W}_{L,p}(n, m) = \sqrt{\frac{2 - \delta_0^a}{L_x}} V_{p,m}; \quad \tilde{W}_{L,p}(n, m) = \sqrt{\frac{2 - \delta_0^a}{L_x}} (-1)^{n-1} V_{p,m}, \] (62)
where

\[ V_{p,m} = \begin{cases} 
\frac{\sqrt{2L_y}}{\pi m} & \text{if } p = 1, m = 1; \\
0 & \text{if } p > 1, m = 1; \\
\frac{1}{\pi m} \sqrt{\frac{2L_y}{d}} \left( \sin \left[ \pi m \frac{L_y + d}{2L_y} \right] - \sin \left[ \pi m \frac{L_y - d}{2L_y} \right] \right) & \text{if } p = 1, m > 1; \\
\frac{2\sqrt{L_y}d}{\pi ((md)^2 - ((p-1)L_y)^2)} \left( (-1)^{p-1} \sin \left[ \pi m \frac{L_y + d}{2L_y} \right] - \sin \left[ \pi m \frac{L_y - d}{2L_y} \right] \right) & \text{if } p > 1, m > 1.
\end{cases} \]  

(63)

The numerical data are shown in Fig. 4 where one can see a very good agreement between two methods. This, in accordance with ref. [8], proves that the effective non-Hermitian Hamiltonian is stable with respect to truncation of the number of modes. Finally, in Fig. 5 we present two typical configurations of the real part of the pressure field with the resonator excited through both first and second scattering channels.

4. Transmission through cylindrical acoustic resonator

In this section we consider sound transmission in three dimensional system, namely a cylindrical resonator coupled with two cylindrical waveguides as shown in Fig. 6. We restrict ourself to the coaxial case where the angular momentum \( -i \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \) is a constant of motion \( \phi \) being the azimuthal variable. Therefore the Hilbert space of the total system is a direct sum of the subspaces specified by the angular momentum. Below we present the effective non-Hermitian Hamiltonian approach in case of zero angular momentum. The generalization to non-zero angular momentum is straightforward.

4.1. The effective non-Hermitian Hamiltonian

The eigenfrequencies of the closed cylindrical resonator with the Neuman boundary conditions are given by

\[ \omega_{mn}^2 = c^2 \left( \frac{\mu_m^2}{R^2} + \frac{\pi n^2}{L^2} \right) \]

(64)

where \( R \) and \( L_z \) are the radius and length of the cylindrical resonator, and \( \mu_m \) is the m-th root of the equation \( J_0'(\mu_m) = J_1(\mu_m) = 0, \ m = 1, 2, \ldots \) for the derivative of the first order Bessel function. The corresponding eigenfunctions are

\[ \psi_{mn}(r, \phi, z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi R J_0(\mu_m)}} J_0 \left( \frac{\mu_m r}{R} \right) \psi_n(z), \psi_1 = \sqrt{\frac{1}{L_z}}, \psi_n(z) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{L_z}} \cos \left( \frac{\pi(n-1)}{L_z} \right), \ n = 2, 3, \ldots \]

(65)

Similar to the 2D acoustic case considered in the previous section in the continuous limit we obtain the effective Hamiltonian in the same form as Eq. (57) with the coupling matrices given by

\[ \tilde{W}_{L,p}(n, m) = \sqrt{\frac{2 - \delta_n^0}{L}} V_{p,m}; \ W_{L,p}(n, m) = \sqrt{\frac{2 - \delta_n^0}{L}} (-1)^{n-1} V_{p,m}, \]

(66)
with
\[ V_{p,m} = \frac{1}{\pi a R J_0(\mu_m) J_0(\mu_p)} \int_0^a \int_0^{2\pi} dr d\phi r J_0(\mu_m r / R) J_0(\mu_m r / a), \]  
(67)

where \( a \) is the radius of the cylindrical waveguides. Integration in Eq. (67) can be done analytically \[25\]

\[ V_{p,m} = \frac{2 a^2 \mu_m}{(\mu_m a)^2 - (\mu_p R)^2} J_1(\mu_m a / R) J_0(\mu_m). \]  
(68)

Finally, the dispersion relation for the scattering channels reads
\[ \omega^2 = c^2 (\mu_p^2 / a^2 + k_p^2), p = 1, 2, \ldots \]  
(69)

The numerical procedure for finding the reflection amplitudes \( a_{p,c}^{(-)} \) and the interior scattering function is identical to the 2D case considered in the previous section Eqs. (57), (58), (59), and (60).

4.2. Mixed representation

Another interesting opportunity for separable systems is to use the discretized representation in one coordinate while the continuous representation is used in the other coordinates. Let us write the Helmholtz equation (31) in the following form
\[ \frac{1}{a_0^2} \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right) \psi_j - 2 \psi_j + \psi_{j-1} + \psi_{j+1} + E \psi_j = 0, \]  
(70)

where we used the continuous representation for the radial and azimuthal coordinates and the discrete representation for the axial coordinate \( z \). The idea of the approach is sketched in Fig. 7. The resulting expressions are the same as those found in 3.1 for both scattering function Eq. (45) and reflection amplitudes Eq. (46) with the only difference that the coupling matrices are now given by
\[ W_{L,p}(m, n) = \frac{\psi_n(0)}{\sqrt{a_0}} V_{p,m}, \quad W_{R,p}(m, n) = \frac{\psi_n(N-1)}{\sqrt{a_0}} V_{p,m}, \]  
(71)

where \( V_{p,m} \) are defined through Eq. (68). The eigenfrequencies of the resonator are now found as
\[ \omega_{mn}^2 = c^2 \left( \frac{\mu_m^2}{R^2} + \frac{2 \cos(k_a n a_0)}{a_0^2} \right), k_n = \frac{\pi (n - 1)}{L}, n = 1, 2, \ldots, N, \]  
(72)

while the dispersion relation for the channel function is
\[ \omega^2 = c^2 \left( \frac{\mu_p^2}{a^2} + \frac{2 \cos(k_p a_0)}{a_0^2} \right), p = 1, 2, \ldots \]  
(73)
4.3. Numerical results

Numerically computed scattering function are shown in Fig. 8. Here, to perform the numerical test we took 10 modes in each waveguide while in the resonator 20 modes were taken in each radial and axial variables. The same numbers were chosen in the mixed representation. In Fig. 9 we demonstrate the transmission spectra of the cylindrical resonators with two differing aspect ratios $a/R$. One can see a good agreement between continual and mixed approaches even for relatively small number of slices $N = 20$ (see Fig. 7).

5. Summary

In this paper we developed the approach of the effective non-Hermitian Hamiltonian to resonator-waveguide systems with the Neumann BC. To derive the effective non-Hermitian Hamiltonian we used an alternative approach which dates back to the seminal paper by Fano [26] and was recently adapted for the discrete Schrödinger equation [23]. In contrast with the standart Feshbash projection technique [20] our approach does non face a problem of principal value integration. It was shown that the method of the effective non-Hermitian Hamiltonian can be used to calculate the $S$-matrix and the scattering function of sound-hard boundary acoustic scattering problem. Thanks to the projection of the total Hilbert space onto the inner states of the resonator the method is free of the discontinuity problem typical for the mode-matching techniques [27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34]. It was shown that in the continuous case the effective Hamiltonian can be truncated to a reasonable small number of eigenmodes of the closed system whose eigenfrequencies are concentrated around the frequency of incident wave. In that sense the method of effective non-Hermitian Hamiltonian is analogous to the coupled-mode theory [35, 36] which however neglects the radiation shifts caused by a finite lower band edge in the waveguides. Therefore we can refer to the effective non-Hermitian Hamiltonian as an advanced form of the coupled mode theory. In this paper the effective non-Hermitian Hamiltonian was formulated in both continuous and discrete forms. The former is shown to be consistent with the earlier findings in ref. [8] while the latter seems to be more feasible for numerical implementation along with finite-difference and finite-element discretization schemes. In fact, the developed approach represents a tool for modelling systems with open boundaries. Alike to the recently developed wave finite-element methods [37, 38] it explicitly utilizes the translation invariance in the waveguide to formulate numerically exact transparent boundary conditions [39]. It should be noted, however, that the resulting equations [24], [45], and [58] contain dense rather then sparse matrices typical for the lattice methods. This to a certain degree degrades the numerical performance. Nevertheless, thanks to a clear physical picture of the wave transmission one may think of developing techniques based on truncation of the inner space to a small number of modes which are relevant to a particular case of the scattering problem. This represents a goal for the future studies. Finally, the effective Hamiltonian has an advantage in physical interpretation of it complex
eigenvalues $z$. The real parts $E_r = \text{Re}(z)$ define the positions of resonances with the resonance widths defined by $-2\text{Im}(z)$. That advantage becomes important in study of wave trapping [40, 41, 42] by tracing the imaginary parts of complex eigenvalues of the effective non-Hermitian Hamiltonian.
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Figure 2: (Color online) Transmission spectra of tight-binding chains of 5 (a) and 7 (b) sites, $t = 0.4$. 
Figure 3: (Color online) 2D acoustic resonator coupled two waveguides.
Figure 4: (Color online) Transmission spectra of 2D acoustic resonator with the incoming wave in the first (a) and second (b) channels; discrete model - solid blue line, continuous model - dashed red line.
Figure 5: Scattering function at $\omega = 3\pi/2$ with the incoming wave in the first (a) and second (b) channels.

Figure 6: Cylindric resonator of radius $R$ and length $L$ connected to coaxial waveguides with radius $a$. 
Figure 7: Cylindric resonator discretized in the axial coordinate.

Figure 8: Scattering function (real part) in a cylindrical resonator coupled with two coaxial waveguides. The wave is incident through the fist channel. $L = 3.5$, $\omega = 6.6845$. (a) $R = 1.5a$ and (b) for $R = 2a$. 
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Figure 9: (Color online) Transmission spectra of cylindric acoustic resonator with two differing aspect ratios: (a) $R/a = 1.5$ and (b) $R/a = 2$. Continuous representation - solid blue line, mixed representation - dashed red line.