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Abstract  Fever is a common symptom of childhood infections that in itself does not require treatment. The UK’s National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) advises home-based antipyretic treatment for low-risk feverish children only if the child appears distressed. The recommended antipyretics are ibuprofen or paracetamol (acetaminophen). They are equally recommended for the distressed, feverish child; therefore, healthcare professionals, parents and caregivers need to decide which of these agents to administer if the child is distressed. This narrative literature review examines recent data on ibuprofen and paracetamol in feverish children to determine any clinically relevant differences between these agents. The data suggest that these agents have similar safety profiles in this setting and in the absence of underlying health issues, ibuprofen seems to be more effective than paracetamol at reducing NICE’s treatment criterion, ‘distress’ (as assessed by discomfort levels, symptom relief, and general behavior).

Key Points

- Clinical data suggest that ibuprofen and paracetamol have similar safety profiles but underlying health issues need to be considered when choosing between the two antipyretics to treat a feverish, distressed child.
- Studies suggest that ibuprofen is more effective than paracetamol at relieving fever-associated discomfort, providing symptom relief and improving general behavior.
- Selecting the most suitable antipyretic for the individual child may help to optimize the chance of treatment success first time, thereby limiting the need to administer further treatment.

1 Introduction

Fever is the primary presentation for a host of childhood illnesses, and its underlying cause is generally benign. Fever may have a beneficial effect in terms of fighting infection [1], although its value in the recovery process is far from clear, since in vivo data are largely lacking. Fever can, however, be associated with distress and discomfort in children, leading to a high degree of parental concern. For febrile children without any indication of a serious underlying condition (‘low-risk’ fever), national guidelines recommend home management [1–4]. However, despite the recognition over 30 years ago of parental misconceptions around childhood fever and calls for improved educational intervention, ‘fever phobia’ remains common, and parents...
and caregivers continue to show uncertainty, misjudgment and anxiety in managing their feverish child [5–7].

Recently, the UK’s National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) issued guidelines for the assessment and initial treatment of the feverish child, an update of earlier guidelines produced in 2007 [2]. NICE defines fever as an elevation of body temperature above the normal daily variation. For the assessment of children with fever, NICE has developed the ‘traffic light’ system for identifying the risk of a serious illness such as meningitis (Table 1). Children who have all the green (low-risk) features and no amber (intermediate-risk) or red (high-risk) features are most likely to have a self-limiting viral infection, and can be cared for at home with appropriate advice provided to parents and caregivers. Key among the updated recommendations is the advice to treat with an antipyretic only if the child appears distressed, with a focus on comforting the child, rather than on achieving normothermia (Table 2). In line with evidence showing increased discomfort and a lack of efficacy relative to antipyretics [8–10], physical interventions such as tepid sponging are no longer recommended for the treatment of fever [2].

Management of the distressed, feverish child therefore relies on the use of drug intervention and both ibuprofen and paracetamol (acetaminophen) are given equal status in the current guidelines. Parents and caregivers therefore need to choose between the two, the key aspects of which are compared in Table 3, and may seek guidance from healthcare professionals (HCPs) as to which is more appropriate for their child.

Interestingly, despite equal recommendation in guidelines, there is evidence to suggest that paracetamol is the

| Table 1 | NICE guidelines for identifying low-risk, intermediate-risk and high-risk fever in children [2] |
|---------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Green/low risk | | |
| Color (of skin, lips or tongue) | Normal color | Pallor reported by parent/carer | Pale/mottled/ashen/blue |
| Activity | Responds normally to social cues | Not responding normally to social cues | No response to social cues |
| Content/smiles | No smile | | Appears ill to a healthcare professional |
| Stays awake or awakens quickly | Wakes only with prolonged stimulation | | Does not wake if roused does not stay awake |
| Strong normal cry/not crying | Decreased activity | | Weak, high-pitched or continuous cry |
| Respiratory | Nasal flaring | | Grunting |
| Tachypnea: respiratory rate >50 breaths/minute, age 6–12 months | | | Tachypnea: respiratory rate >60 breaths/minute |
| >40 breaths/minute, age >12 months | | | Moderate or severe chest indrawing |
| Oxygen saturation ≤95% in air | | | |
| Crackles in the chest | | | |
| Circulation and hydration | Normal skin and eyes | Tachycardia: | Reduced skin turgor |
| Moist mucous membranes | >160 beats/minute, age <12 months | ≥39 °C | Age <3 months and temperature ≥38 °C |
| | >150 beats/minute, age 12–24 months | Fever for ≥5 days | Non-blanching rash |
| | >140 beats/minute, age 2–5 years | Rigors | Bulging fontanelle |
| | Capillary refill time ≥3 seconds | Swelling of a limb or joint | Neck stiffness |
| | Dry mucous membranes | Non-weight-bearing limb/not using an extremity | Status epilepticus |
| Other | Poor feeding in infants | Reduced skin turgor | Focal neurological signs |
| Reduced urine output | Reduced urine output | | Focal seizures |

*NICE* National Institute for Health and Care Excellence
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Table 2  NICE guidelines for antipyretic interventions in children [2]

| Antipyretic agents do not prevent febrile convulsions and should not be used specifically for this purpose |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Tepid sponging is not recommended for the treatment of fever |
| Children with fever should not be underdressed or over-wrapped |
| Consider using either paracetamol or ibuprofen in children with fever who appear distressed |
| Do not use antipyretic agents with the sole aim of reducing body temperature in children with fever |
| When using paracetamol or ibuprofen in children with fever: |
| Continue only as long as the child appears distressed |
| Consider changing to the other agent if the child’s distress is not alleviated |
| Do not give both agents simultaneously |
| Only consider alternating these agents if the distress persists or recurs before the next dose is due |

NICE National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Table 3  Summary of over-the-counter (OTC) paracetamol and ibuprofen for the distressed, feverish child

| Typical OTC dosing interval | Paracetamol | Ibuprofen |
|----------------------------|-------------|-----------|
| 4 hours                    |             | 6 hours   |

| Route of OTC administrationa | Paracetamol | Ibuprofen |
|-----------------------------|-------------|-----------|
| Oral, palatable suspension is available | Oral, palatable suspension is available |

| Commercial availability | Paracetamol | Ibuprofen |
|-------------------------|-------------|-----------|
| Brands such as Calpol and Tylenol are established and familiar to parents | Potentially less familiarity with brands such as Nurofen for Children |

| Efficacy | Paracetamol | Ibuprofen |
|----------|-------------|-----------|
| Effective [2] | Effective [2]. Better than paracetamol at reducing fever-related discomfort [26, 27] |

| Safety considerations relevant to specific patient groups | Paracetamol | Ibuprofen |
|----------------------------------------------------------|-------------|-----------|
| May be preferable for children with gastrointestinal infection | Risk of gastrointestinal irritation [35], true incidence uncertain due to under-reporting, short-term use may be asymptomatic |
| May be preferable in patients at high risk of gastrointestinal bleeding | Risk of gastrointestinal bleeding—potentially serious, but rare. No significant difference in risk from paracetamol [1, 40, 41] |
| Increased risk of asthma-related outpatient attendance in children with asthma [49] | May be preferable for children with asthma (but without aspirin-sensitive asthma) |
| May be preferable for children with chicken pox | Risk of severe cutaneous complications in patients with varicella or herpes zoster [77] |
| Risk of hepatotoxicity—potentially serious, but rare [1, 88] | May be preferable where there is a risk of dosing error or confusion |
| May be preferable for children who are dehydrated or with pre-existing renal disease or multi-organ failure | Risk of renal toxicity—potentially serious, but rare [1] |

a Different routes of administration may be used for pediatric fever in hospitalized patients

‘favored’ antipyretic medication for home management of pediatric fever [11]. The reasons for this apparent discrepancy are unclear, although over-the-counter (OTC) paracetamol has been available for longer than ibuprofen, and brand names such as Calpol and Tylenol are consequently firmly established in the minds of parents. This familiarity can present advantages (rapid access when required) and disadvantages (resistance to change). There may also be perceptions, for both parents and HCPs, around relative safety and efficacy. This narrative literature review of recent data aims to determine whether there are any clinically relevant differences in efficacy and safety between ibuprofen and paracetamol that may recommend one agent over the other in the management of the distressed, feverish child. In addition, it also explores why there is a discrepancy between current guidelines and the real-world use of these treatments.

2 To Treat or Not to Treat

Before discussing treatment, it is important to consider what constitutes ‘distress’ and how parents interpret this term [12]. Perception of distress is likely to vary markedly between parents, and may be linked to factors such as level of education, socioeconomic status and cultural
background [13–15]. This may impact on when a parent decides to start treating their child with an antipyretic, whether to change antipyretics, or indeed when to consult an HCP. The problem of defining distress is recognized in the NICE guidelines, and the Guideline Development Group has called for studies on home-based antipyretic use and parental perception of distress caused by fever in order to clarify issues such as triggers for antipyretic use and help-seeking behavior [2].

The rationale for treating only the distressed, feverish child is based on the fact that absolute body temperature alone does not appear to be an indicator of serious infection [16, 17]. In addition, there is no evidence that fever in itself increases the risk of parentally-feared adverse events such as febrile convulsions or brain damage [18], and lowering temperature with antipyretics does not appear to be effective at preventing febrile convulsions [19, 20]. Based on such data, recent guidelines emphasize the need to treat only the symptoms of fever in children who are either in discomfort or distressed, and not to focus on normothermia [1–3]. Despite this, an elevated body temperature (whatever site or method of measurement is used), even below 38 °C, continues to be a cause of concern for many parents [7]. Unfounded concerns contribute to reports that the vast majority of caregivers would give antipyretic medication to a feverish child, even if the child appeared otherwise comfortable [7, 13, 21]. Overall, it seems that parental misconceptions around fever and ‘fever phobia’ have changed little since this problem was first recognized over 30 years ago [6]. Overcoming such concerns and gaining parental acceptance of current recommendations not to give antipyretics simply to reduce fever in children, but only to alleviate distress [2, 22], is clearly a major challenge.

3 Treating the Distressed, Feverish Child

While reduction of fever should not be the primary indication for antipyretic treatment according to NICE guidelines, when a child is distressed, treatment with antipyretics is likely to ease symptoms. The distress experienced by feverish children may in fact be due to the mismatch in body and environmental temperatures, as well as any illness-associated pain. It is clear to see why alleviating these symptoms could reduce the distress associated with fever.

3.1 Fever Reduction

Despite recommendations to treat distress rather than fever, ‘fever phobia’ means that fever itself is currently the target of therapy for many parents, with a rapid and prolonged effect being their likely priority for comforting their child and to minimize medication. Overall, meta-analyses suggest that ibuprofen provides more rapid and longer lasting fever reduction in children compared with paracetamol [23–25]. In a large, randomized, blinded study of paracetamol plus ibuprofen for the treatment of fever in children (PITCH), involving 156 children who were being managed at home, ibuprofen was shown to provide faster fever clearance and longer time without fever in the first 24 hours compared with paracetamol [26].

3.2 Symptomatic Relief

Given that the NICE guidelines do not recommend the use of antipyretic treatment solely to reduce temperature, the primary consideration in antipyretic choice should be relief of distress (i.e., the recommended indications for antipyretic use in childhood fever). Subjective assessments such as how uncomfortable or distressed a child appears are clearly less easy to quantify than temperature reduction; nevertheless, they have been assessed in a number of studies. The PITCH study found that treatment with ibuprofen led to a greater number of children being recorded as having no discomfort at 24 hours (69 % vs 44 % for paracetamol) (Fig. 1) [26]. Based on such findings, the authors of the PITCH study recommended that ibuprofen should be used as first-line therapy in feverish children [11, 26].

The findings of the PITCH study are in line with an earlier study which also reported that comfort (assessed on scores of general behavior and degree of relief) was higher with ibuprofen compared with paracetamol [27]. Interestingly, in a study by Autret-Leca and colleagues [28], significantly more parents of children treated with ibuprofen rated the drug as ‘very efficacious’ compared with parents of children treated with paracetamol, despite the fact that there was no measurable difference in antipyretic efficacy (area under the temperature reduction curve expressed as an absolute difference from baseline, from 0 to 6 h).

Fig. 1 Percentage of children without fever-associated symptoms at 24 hours (the PITCH study) [26]
between ibuprofen and paracetamol. This suggests that the superiority of ibuprofen in terms of symptom relief may be related to additional benefits other than simply temperature reduction. For example, ibuprofen has been shown to be more effective than paracetamol for pediatric pain relief in several studies in different settings [29–31] and in a recent meta-analysis [25], suggesting that pain may be an important contributory factor to a child’s overall discomfort when suffering from the effects of a febrile illness.

3.3 Efficacy: Summary

Based on available data, ibuprofen appears to have a more rapid onset and longer duration of effect, and provides more effective relief of fever-associated discomfort compared with paracetamol, particularly in the first 24 hours of the child’s illness. Rapid relief of symptoms is clearly an important consideration in feverish children; a child who is comfortable is more likely to maintain nutrition and hydration, for example. In addition, the longer duration of action of ibuprofen may also improve sleep patterns [32]. Taken together, rapid and prolonged symptomatic relief not only has benefits for the child, but also for the wider family.

3.4 Safety

Safety is clearly a primary consideration in the choice of antipyretic. Overall, ibuprofen and paracetamol are considered to have similar safety and tolerability profiles in pediatric fever, and this has been confirmed in meta-analyses [25, 33]. For example, a recent meta-analysis including 19 evaluable studies found no significant difference between the two agents in terms of the incidence of adverse events in pediatric patients (odds ratio [OR] 0.82; 95 % confidence interval [CI] 0.60–1.12) [25]. Larger studies are, however, required to adequately detect and quantify rare adverse effects.

Ibuprofen and paracetamol are considered to be generally well tolerated by children [34]; however, a number of specific safety issues are often raised for both agents which may impact on recommendations and prescribing practice. The question arises as to whether these concerns are evidence-based, or have arisen due to medical ‘myths’ or ‘dogma’.

3.4.1 Gastrointestinal Effects

Concerns regarding potential adverse gastrointestinal (GI) effects with the use of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) are relatively common. While GI bleeding is the most serious, GI irritation may be a more frequent adverse event [35], although its true incidence is uncertain since many mild cases are likely to go unreported. In a double-blind study of children taking ibuprofen (n = 76) or paracetamol (n = 74) for up to 3 days, there was only one GI event (diarrhea) reported as possibly related to treatment, and this occurred in the ibuprofen group [36]. Potentially, GI irritation could be important in the setting of a GI infection since there is synergism for the development of peptic ulcers and ulcer bleeding between Helicobacter pylori infection and NSAID use [37]. However, as discussed below, clinical data suggest that—for short-term use such as pediatric fever-related symptoms, and with doses available OTC—the risk of GI events is no greater for NSAIDs than for paracetamol.

Dose-dependent GI toxicity (e.g., bleeding) in association with NSAID treatment in adults is well documented in ‘at-risk’ patients [38]. However, at OTC doses in adults, symptomatic GI side effects with ibuprofen are comparable with placebo and treatment is well tolerated [38].

Whilst there are less data regarding GI effects in febrile children, in one of the largest trials comparing ibuprofen and paracetamol use, the risk of GI bleeding was low (7.2 per 100,000 for ibuprofen and 0 per 100,000 for paracetamol), with no statistically significant difference between the two treatment groups (p = 0.31) [39]. The four cases of GI bleeding reported in this study occurred in children treated with ibuprofen, all of whom were managed conservatively with no endoscopy being required [39]. This finding is occasionally cited as a potential cause for concern, despite the lack of significance relative to paracetamol. However, since this early study, other studies have confirmed that upper GI complications (UGICs) are rare events in children treated with NSAIDs, with a low absolute risk [40, 41]. In addition, a recent case-controlled study in children admitted to hospital via emergency departments for acute conditions over an 11-year period found no significant difference in risk of UGICs with paracetamol (adjusted OR 2.0; 95 % CI 1.5–2.6) compared with ibuprofen (adjusted OR 3.7; 95 % CI 2.3–5.9) [41].

One result of the perceived association of NSAIDs and UGICs is the common advice to take ibuprofen with food (or fluids such as milk), the rationale being that such co-administration exerts a ‘protective’ effect in the GI tract. Respectively, food and fluids also guard against glutathione depletion (and the attendant risk for hepatic toxicity) [42] and dehydration (and the attendant risk for renal toxicity) [43]. This has a particular impact for OTC use in childhood fever, where children may feel too unwell to eat or drink. As discussed in a recent literature review, the effect of fasting on NSAID-related GI effects has never been properly studied in humans [44]. Food is known to delay the achievement of peak levels of NSAIDs and so impacts on efficacy. Therefore, the authors suggested that it may be
more appropriate to advocate OTC ibuprofen be taken on a fasting stomach in order to achieve a rapid onset of action and effect, thereby avoiding the use of an ‘extra’ dose [44].

3.4.2 Asthma

Aspirin-induced asthma is a well recognized clinical syndrome, arising most commonly in adults, and infrequently in children [45], and thought to be related to COX inhibition, which shows a high level of cross-sensitivity with other NSAIDs [46, 47]. A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study found that ibuprofen-induced bronchospasm occurred in 2 % of pediatric patients with asthma with a further 2 % demonstrating a clinical decrease in spirometric measurements [48].

Ibuprofen does not appear to exacerbate asthma in children without a history of aspirin sensitivity, and may in fact be associated with a lower risk of exacerbation than paracetamol [47]. In two large studies of febrile children [36, 49], the unexpected finding was a slightly reduced risk of asthma compared with paracetamol usage. In one of these studies, a randomized controlled trial in febrile children with asthma, those who received ibuprofen were significantly less likely to require outpatient visits for asthma (3.0 % for ibuprofen vs 5.1 % for paracetamol; relative risk 0.56, 95 % CI 0.34–0.95) compared with children who received paracetamol [49]. Paracetamol use during pregnancy has been implicated in asthma development and the increasing incidence of asthma in adults and children in epidemiologic, observational and pathophysiologic studies (reviewed in [50–52] and more recently in a prospective birth cohort study [53]). Given the widespread use of paracetamol in children, there has been a call for causation to be proved or disproved in adequately powered placebo-controlled trials [54], and clearly more research is required in this field.

3.4.3 Renal Effects

NSAIDs have been associated with the development of acute kidney injury (AKI), which is thought to be related to a reduction in prostaglandin synthesis [55], which is required for renal perfusion in dehydration [56]. This is a potentially serious, albeit rare, adverse effect associated with NSAID use. There were no incidences of acute renal failure in a large practitioner-based population study which included 55,785 children treated with ibuprofen [39], or in the Boston Collaborative Fever study which included 27,065 febrile children randomized to ibuprofen [57]. A further study by the same authors found that, with short-term use of ibuprofen, the risk of less severe renal impairment is small and not significantly greater than with paracetamol [58].

Similarly, a large-scale pediatric study by Ashraf and colleagues [59] found no incidences of renal conditions in over 30,000 children treated with either ibuprofen or paracetamol. There have, however, been rare case reports of reversible renal insufficiency in children with febrile illness treated with ibuprofen or other NSAIDs, largely associated with volume depletion [60–62]. Dehydration is common in children with fever [63] and is an important risk factor for NSAID-induced acute renal failure; this has led some experts to recommend caution with ibuprofen use in children with dehydration or pre-existing renal disease [1, 22]. Recently, a retrospective chart review of 1,015 children with AKI managed over an 11.5-year period concluded that 27 cases (2.7 %) were associated with NSAID use (predominantly ibuprofen), and that younger children (<5 years of age) were more likely to require dialysis or admission into intensive care units [64]. This retrospective study raises obvious concerns; however, it has a number of limitations. Most importantly, patients with a history of volume depletion, an independent risk factor for AKI, were not excluded from the analysis. The most common presenting symptoms in this study were vomiting and decreased urine output, and the majority of children defined as having NSAID-associated AKI had a history of volume depletion. One possibility is that these dehydrated patients may have developed AKI independently of NSAID use.

In clinical practice, the author’s experience is that renal problems arising out of short-term usage of ibuprofen in febrile children are an unlikely occurrence; nevertheless, caution (and common sense) should be applied when administering any agent that may interfere with renal function in a child with volume depletion and/or organ failure.

3.4.4 Hepatotoxicity and Risk of Overdose

Overdose of either drug can cause hepatotoxicity (which can be asymptomatic), although this is most often a risk linked with paracetamol. Hepatotoxicity is a potentially serious, albeit rare, adverse effect that has been reported with paracetamol in children at recommended doses [65–67] as well as in the setting of an acute overdose [68, 69]. There is also the possibility of paracetamol-related hepatitis due to chronic overdose following either the administration of supratherapeutic doses or too frequent administration of appropriate single doses [1, 70]. Current UK dosing guidelines are age-based (Table 4). However, a recent UK study found that underweight children are at risk of receiving approximately 200 %, and average-weight children up to 133 % of the recommended single and cumulative daily dose of paracetamol, leading to recently proposed changes in dosing recommendations [71, 72]. To reduce the risk of overdosing or underdosing, dosing
guidelines in some other countries are based on weight or both age and weight; the typical recommendation for ibuprofen is 5–10 mg/kg per dose, while for paracetamol it is 10–15 mg/kg per dose [1, 22, 73].

Reports of complications following ibuprofen overdose, particularly in children, are rare. The vast majority of individuals who overdose on ibuprofen alone have no, or only mild, symptoms [74]. Fatal overdose in adults is extremely rare and is generally related to complicating factors such as the presence of other drugs. Cases of symptomatic overdose in children have been reported following ingestion of over 440 mg/kg [75], but in general the risk of serious complications following ibuprofen overdose is low [76].

### 3.4.5 Other

An increased risk of severe cutaneous complications in patients with varicella or herpes zoster has been reported for NSAIDs but not for paracetamol [77]. Consequently, it has been recommended that fever and pain associated with varicella or herpes zoster infection should be treated with paracetamol, not an NSAID [77].

### 3.4.6 Safety: Summary

Specific safety issues that are often cited for ibuprofen and paracetamol may be a consideration for specific patient populations, but for the average child with symptoms of distress related to low-risk fever (that is, in the absence of underlying health issues) they are of less concern. Ibuprofen and paracetamol have similar safety and tolerability profiles when short-term OTC doses are used.

### 3.5 Combination Therapy

The use of combination therapy with either alternating or simultaneous use of ibuprofen and paracetamol is more effective at reducing fever than either agent alone [11, 78, 79], but there are few data on fever-related symptoms or distress. Recent systematic reviews have concluded that there is little evidence of any significant benefit (or harm) from combined or alternating treatment compared with the use of either drug alone [80, 81] and, in their recent update, NICE concluded that there was little evidence in the community that alternating therapy improves distress. Alternating the two agents is therefore only recommended if both have been ineffective as standalone treatments [2], the proviso being how a parent defines ‘ineffective’. Factors such as parental anxiety, poorly obtained or recorded temperatures, subjective assessment of level of discomfort or distress, and a lack of knowledge on the time to onset of antipyretic effect may contribute both to dosing more frequently than recommended and to a perceived lack of response to monotherapy, resulting in unnecessary (and potentially harmful) use of alternating therapy [15]. A further consideration regarding alternating treatment is the possibility of parental confusion, which may result in accidental overdose or underdosing [15, 82, 83]. While the recommended dosing interval for ibuprofen is 6 hours, it is

| Table 4 Standard over-the-counter (OTC) dose for paracetamol and ibuprofen |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| **Paracetamol**                  | **Ibuprofen**           |
| Age 2–3 months: 60 mg, with a further 60 mg after 4–6 hours if necessary (maximum of two doses) [89] | Age 3–5 months: 50 mg three times a day (maximum of three doses in 24 hours, do not use for more than 24 hours) |
| Age 3–6 months: 60 mg every 4–6 hours (maximum of four doses in 24 hours) | Age 6 months to 1 year: 50 mg three to four times a day |
| Age 6–24 months: 120 mg every 4–6 hours (maximum of four doses in 24 hours) | Age 1–4 years: 100 mg three times a day |
| Age 2–4 years: 180 mg every 4–6 hours (maximum of four doses in 24 hours) | Age 4–7 years: 150 mg three times a day |
| Age 4–6 years: 240 mg every 4–6 hours (maximum of four doses in 24 hours) | Age 7–10 years: 200 mg three times a day |
| Age 6–8 years: 250 mg every 4–6 hours (maximum of four doses in 24 hours) | Age 10–12 years: 300 mg three times a day |
| Age 8–10 years: 375 mg every 4–6 hours (maximum of four doses in 24 hours) | Age 12–16 years: 200 to 400 mg three to four times a day |
| Age 10–16 years: 500 mg every 4–6 hours (maximum of four doses in 24 hours) | Source: [90] |
| Source: [90] | |

Higher doses and different routes of administration may be used for pediatric fever in hospitalized patients.
4 hours for paracetamol, therefore a simple alternating dosing regimen can be difficult.

It is possible that treatment with a single combined dose of ibuprofen and paracetamol may offer a more effective option, with a reduced risk of dosing confusion compared with alternating therapy. There is a theoretical benefit to the co-administration of two antipyretics with different modes of action. Data in adults suggest that co-administration of ibuprofen and paracetamol provides highly effective pain relief [84] and antipyretic efficacy [85] (although distress was not measured in these patients), with a similar safety profile to each agent alone [86]. However, efficacy and safety data for combination therapy in children are lacking and, therefore, currently the author’s recommendation would be that this practice is not suggested for general OTC usage, in agreement with the latest NICE recommendations.

4 Summary and Conclusions

The NICE guidelines give equal recommendation to the use of paracetamol or ibuprofen for the short-term treatment of distress in low-risk feverish children [2]. Therefore, the caregiver or HCP has to make a choice between these readily available OTC agents.

The aim of this review has been to compile and compare the efficacy and safety data from available clinical studies that directly compare ibuprofen and paracetamol such that any clinically relevant differences can be considered and sensible conclusions drawn as to whether one agent has advantage over the other, and to enable the caregiver (or HCP) to make an informed choice.

The age of the child can be a factor in the decision of which antipyretic to use, since paracetamol can be given at 2 months of age whereas ibuprofen has an OTC license in infants aged over 3 months (weight > 5 kg) with a higher threshold of 6 months in some other countries, including the USA. However, from the age of 3 (or 6) months, both paracetamol and ibuprofen are suitable (Table 4).

Antipyretic efficacy data for ibuprofen and paracetamol are not relevant to the use of these agents in feverish children, considering the NICE guidance to focus on comforting the child, rather than on achieving normothermia. However, they do provide useful information. Antipyretic efficacy may indicate relevant pharmacologic onset and duration of effect, especially where distress is due to the mismatch in environmental and body temperatures. However, distress is likely multi-factorial so antipyretic efficacy cannot currently be used as a direct surrogate for efficacy against distress in feverish children; further research is required.

The evidence indicates that ibuprofen may provide greater relief of symptoms in the distressed, feverish child compared with paracetamol [26, 27]. The longer duration of action of ibuprofen means the number of doses can be kept to a minimum, and a single dose may be all that is required in certain circumstances (e.g., post-immunization pyrexia). In addition, the faster onset of action and greater symptomatic relief with ibuprofen means that the NICE recommendation to relieve distress can be achieved more rapidly, with the concomitant advantage of a faster return to ‘normal’ family life.

Meta-analyses confirm that the safety and tolerability profiles of paracetamol and ibuprofen in pediatric fever are similar [25, 33]. Both drugs are associated with specific rare adverse effects, which are difficult to detect and quantify in all but the largest clinical trials, and which may be relevant to specific patient populations. For example, ibuprofen may be preferable in the setting of asthma (without known aspirin sensitivity) or where there is a risk of the parent or caregiver experiencing confusion overdosing (and potentially overdosing the child), whilst paracetamol may be preferable when children have chicken pox, are dehydrated, have pre-existing renal disease or multi-organ failure, or are at increased risk of GI bleeding (Table 3). In reality, such children are likely to be under the care of a clinician, who is best placed to weigh up the risks and benefits of each drug for the individual patient.

Paracetamol is generally conceived by the public (or HCPs) as being a ‘safer agent’ with fewer adverse effects. Possible reasons to explain this misconception could include the earlier potential exposure to paracetamol (after the child’s first immunization at 2 months of age), perhaps leading to a general misconception around its safety and tolerability. Therefore, with earlier familiarity, in the absence of advice to the contrary, many parents are likely to remain loyal to a drug they are used to. In addition, the fact that paracetamol is licensed for use in younger children may mean that parents perceive it to be a ‘safer’ medication. Familiarity also introduces risks, and the consequences of too frequent and unnecessary use of paracetamol can be serious. A further reason may be the often-cited advice to give ibuprofen with food (or milk), which could be associated with a perception of GI intolerance, despite the lack of evidence relating to short-term OTC usage.

While alternating treatment with ibuprofen and paracetamol may offer some advantages over monotherapy, a lack of efficacy and safety data in children, together with concerns around dosing confusion and risk of overdose, are currently considered to outweigh any benefit except in patients where single-agent treatment is ineffective.

The NICE guidelines recommend that children should only be treated for as long as symptoms persist; avoiding overtreatment is an important consideration with antipyretics, as with any drug. Conversely, delaying treatment or
underdosing may result in unnecessary discomfort to a distressed, feverish child, and may affect their desire to eat or drink. Ongoing distress in febrile children may also impact parents and the wider family. Fears that antipyretic use may prolong febrile illness have been shown to be unfounded and there is little evidence to suggest that antipyretics mask the symptoms and signs of serious illness [87]. Encouraging the appropriate use of antipyretics in distressed, feverish children is therefore clearly important.

In conclusion, fever is a common symptom of childhood infection which in itself does not require treatment. However, fever in children can be distressing for all concerned and there is a need for improved education and healthcare advice so that parents and caregivers can confidently and effectively manage a child’s low-grade fever at home. This includes being aware of the choice of OTC antipyretics available to them, knowing when to treat with an antipyretic agent, and being well informed on which agent to choose. The long-term goal of childhood fever management is improved self-care/home-care plans, with the advice and help of local pharmacists. This approach will help to empower parents and caregivers, enabling them to make informed decisions about their child’s wellbeing rather than relying on general practitioners or emergency departments. NICE guidelines recommend treatment when dealing with a distressed, feverish child, with the focus on comforting the child rather than reducing the temperature. Whilst the guidelines do not recommend one agent over another, evidence presented in this paper suggests that ibuprofen may provide greater efficacy in terms of the relief of symptoms in the distressed, feverish child and that short-term OTC ibuprofen and paracetamol have similar safety and tolerability profiles, although each may be preferred in some specific patient populations.
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