Photobiomodulation for the management of alopecia: mechanisms of action, patient selection and perspectives
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Abstract: Photobiomodulation (PBM) or low-level laser therapy was discovered over 50 years ago, when Mester in Hungary observed regrowth of hair in mice when irradiated with a ruby laser. At the present time, several different PBM devices are marketed to assist with hair regrowth in alopecia patients. This review covers the three main types of alopecia (androgenetic, areata, and chemotherapy-induced), and discusses the mechanism of action of PBM for each disease. The different devices used (mostly low powered red laser diodes), dosimetry, animal models, and clinical trials are summarized. Criteria for patient selection are outlined. Finally a perspectives section looks forward to the future.
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Introduction

Photobiomodulation was discovered in 1967 by Endre Mester working at the Semelweiss University in Hungary. Mester had obtained an example of the newly invented ruby laser, and commenced a series of experiments designed to answer two questions: (a) can laser irradiation of an experimental tumor transplanted into a mouse or rat produce any cures? (as had been recently reported by McGuff in Boston); (b) does repeated laser irradiation of the skin in a mouse or rat cause skin cancer? However the ruby laser did not have sufficient power to produce cures in an experimental tumor, and repeated irradiation did not cause any cases of skin cancer. Nevertheless these experiments did produce highly interesting and useful results. Mester found that incisions that had been made to transplant the tumors healed more rapidly in the laser-treated animals than in controls, and moreover the hair grew back faster in the shaved regions of the skin when treated with the ruby laser. Mester named this phenomenon “laser biostimulation” and it later became known as “low-level laser therapy” (LLLT). Recently an international consensus agreed on the use of the term “photobiomodulation, PBM” to replace LLLT for three reasons. Firstly, there was no agreement on what the term “low” actually meant. Secondly the growing realization that non-coherent light sources such as light-emitting diodes (LEDs), could perform as well as lasers, meant that including the term “laser” was no longer appropriate. Thirdly, the realization that many of the applications involved inhibition of biological processes, rather than the more usual stimulation, meant that the term “modulation” was more appropriate.
Although the early studies mainly used red light (600–700 nm), it was subsequently found that near-infrared (NIR) light (760–1000 nm) was equally (if not more) effective. Light in the low 700 nm regions does not appear to be particularly effective. This double peak in the action spectrum reflects the absorption spectrum of cytochrome c oxidase (CCO), unit IV in the mitochondrial respiratory chain. Together with studies showing the effects of light on isolated mitochondria, these observations led to formation of the most widely-held hypothesis that light stimulates respiration in mitochondria, increasing electron transport, oxygen consumption, and ATP synthesis. This stimulation may happen via photodissociation of inhibitory nitric oxide from the heme and copper centers contained within CCO.

For a number of years the principle uses of PBM were in the areas of wound healing, and reducing pain and inflammation in musculoskeletal disorders. However starting at the beginning of the 21st century, PBM was actively investigated as a treatment for different forms of hair loss.

Hair loss or alopecia affects the majority of the population at some time over their lifespan, and those afflicted are increasingly demanding some type of treatment. A healthy head of hair has great social significance for humans. Healthy hair indicates health, youth and vigor. Male pattern baldness is taken to be a sign of age and loss of vigor, which is often concealed or else the entire head is shaved. There is enormous demand for drugs and other treatments that can slow down or reverse hair loss; this has led to the creation of a multibillion-dollar industry. In the USA > $3.5 billion is spent every year on treating hair loss. It has been stated that “mental disorders such as anxiety, depression, social phobia, posttraumatic stress disorder, and suicidal thoughts are increased among alopecia patients.”

The hair follicle (HF) is a complex mini-organ embedded in the skin and is composed of the papilla, matrix, root sheath and bulge. There are between 250,000 and 500,000 HF on the human scalp. Hair grows in cycles during which it moves sequentially from one phase to another (Figure 1). In normal HF the anagen growth phase can last between 2–6 years. This is followed by a short catagen involution-phase, which lasts 1–2 weeks, and then by a telogen resting-phase lasting 5–6 weeks. The old hair is then shed, the anagen phase begins over again, and a new hair is produced. Normally, up to 90% of the HF are in anagen phase, while 10–14% are in telogen and 1–2% in catagen.

The most important cells in the HF are those in the dermal papilla (DP). These cells produce signals to control sequential cycling of the follicular epithelium. It is thought that epithelial stem cells, which reside in the bulge area of the HF, can respond to the signals from the DP. These stem cells give rise to progenitor cells, which then become transiently amplifying cells that migrate downward into the deep dermis. These cells differentiate into matrix cells that actually produce the hair shaft, and the sheath. Several growth factor families are involved in HF cycling, namely fibroblast growth factor, EGF, hepatocyte growth factor, IGF-I, and TGF-β. Signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (stat3) is the most important transcription factor involved in spontaneous HF cycling. There is also another stat3-independent pathway involving PKC, which is involved in HF cycling, after plucking for instance.

A series of signaling molecules is involved in each step of primary hair development and differentiation that have been elucidated in studies of embryogenesis. Wingless type (Wnt) signaling is crucial for the initiation of HF development. Wnt-protein is a ligand that binds to a cell-surface receptor “Frizzled” family member, which then transduces the signal to the intracellular protein “Dishevelled” (Dsh). Dsh causes the accumulation of β-catenin in the cytoplasm (by protecting it from degradation) and its eventual translocation into the nucleus to act as a transcriptional co-activator of transcription factors that belong to the TCF/LEF family.

“Sonic hedgehog” (Shh) signaling plays an important role in both embryonic and adult HF development. Shh binds to and inhibits the extracellular domain “Patched,” allowing the intracellular domain “Smoothened” to accumulate and inhibit the proteolytic cleavage of the Gli family of zinc-finger transcription factors.

**Alopecia**

There are three main types of alopecia; androgenetic alopecia (AGA), alopecia areata (AA) and chemotherapy-induced alopecia (CIA).

**Androgenetic alopecia**

AGA affects the majority of males as they age, and the distinctive pattern of hair loss is often called “male pattern baldness.” The most important predisposing factors are genetic and hormonal, and the balance between two
androgen steroids, testosterone and 5α-dihydrotestosterone (DHT). This balance depends on the activity of the enzyme, 5α-reductase in the scalp. Differences in expression of, or polymorphisms in the androgen receptor gene in the HF may also be involved. The precise androgen responsive genes in the HF responsible for AGA have yet to be identified. While AGA in women operates by similar molecular mechanisms, the visible pattern of hair loss on the head is different.

The only widely-employed approved drug therapies for AGA are topical minoxidil (Rogaine®) and oral finasteride (Propecia). In 1988, the FDA approved 2% minoxidil topical solution (Rogaine) for use in treating AGA in men. A 2% solution for women became available in 1991, and a 5% solution became available over the counter for use in men in 1997. In 1997, finasteride (Propecia) was approved by the FDA for the treatment of male AGA at a dose of 1 mg/day. This medication is a competitive inhibitor of 5α-reductase that inhibits the conversion of testosterone to DHT, which is involved in miniaturizing the HF in AGA.

Alopecia areata
AA is thought to be an auto-immune disease, in which host T-cells attack the HF. Autoantibodies that bind to epitopes in anagen HF have been found both in affected humans and experimental mouse models. Biopsies obtained from affected individuals have shown an inflammatory infiltrate around the anagen HF consisting of activated CD4 and CD8 T lymphocytes.

In humans AA is sometimes known as spot-baldness with coin shaped areas of hair loss. AA can progress to alopecia totalis (whole scalp) or alopecia universalis (whole body). Individuals with AA are more likely to have another autoimmune disease, and in about 20% of cases there is a family history of AA.

There is currently no universally proven therapy for AA that induces and sustains remission. Ito suggested...
that since spontaneous remission occurs in 80% of patients within 1 year, and not all patients require intense therapy, that no therapy at all (watchful observation) could be the best option. Topical corticosteroids remain the cornerstone of initial treatment, as indeed they are for many other inflammatory skin disorders.

Chemotherapy-induced alopecia
CIA is one of the best-known side effects of chemotherapy for cancer. Cancer chemotherapy triggers apoptosis in rapidly dividing cancer cells, but also affects rapidly dividing cells in other tissues (such as the hematopoietic system and the gastrointestinal epithelial lining). Chemotherapy-induced apoptosis depends on the expression of p53, which accumulates in dividing cells after DNA damage, resulting in growth arrest or induction of programmed cell death. Many p53-responsive genes are up-regulated, such as Fas, IGF-BP3 and Bax. Chemotherapy affects the rapidly proliferating keratinocytes in the bulb region of the anagen HF that are responsible for producing the hair shaft. The HF then enters a dystrophic catagen stage and the hair falls out.

The most often used intervention for CIA is scalp-cooling. A study reported that use of a scalp-cooling device in women with breast cancer receiving anthracycline and/or taxane based chemotherapy, applied from 30 min before to 90 min after each infusion, preserved hair in 48 out of 95 subjects in the active group and in 0 out of 47 subjects in the sham group.

Mechanisms of action of PBM in alopecia
Androgenetic alopecia
The results of PBM for AGA suggest that the fraction of all the HF in the anagen phase is increased. This may be due to the ability of PBM to stimulate the mitochondria in the bulge stem cells. Stem cells are quiescent cells that have adapted to survive in their hypoxic niche. One of the most damaging agents to the longevity of cells is oxidative damage to DNA and other biomolecules, caused by the ROS that are an inevitable by-product of aerobic respiration. Therefore stem cells tend to have an overall anaerobic metabolism characterized by low mitochondrial activity and high expression of glycolytic enzymes. The low metabolic rate of stem cells accounts for their relative quiescence and increased resistance to stress. Because stem cells must last for such a long time, they have to minimize the number of cell divisions they undergo because each division carries a small risk of DNA damage.

So the hypothesis is that when PBM is delivered to the hypoxic stem cell niche, the rudimentary mitochondria in the stem cells are triggered into action, and mitochondrial biogenesis can take place producing even more mitochondria. Increased mitochondrial activity is accompanied by an increasing demand for oxygen, which is not available in the low-oxygen environment of the niche. Therefore the stem cells have to leave their niche in pursuit of the oxygen they need to satisfy their new metabolism involving oxidative phosphorylation. The burst of intracellular ROS that is observed to follow PBM may also have a role in triggering the differentiation of stem cells. As mentioned above the stem cells, become progenitor cells, transiently amplifying cells and finally matrix cells as the HF enters the anagen phase.

Alopecia areata
The mechanism of action of PBM has some differences (and some similarities) with that outlined above for AGA. Because AA is an autoimmune disease, the principle molecular signatures are characteristic of a pro-inflammatory environment in the HF. PBM has long been known to have a pronounced anti-inflammatory effect, but it is only recently that the possible mechanism for this has become apparent. Cells in the macrophage lineage can assume a diversity of phenotypes, and retain the capability to shift their function to maintain tissue homeostasis. Macrophages can be activated by LPS or IFN-γ to an M1 phenotype that expresses pro-inflammatory cytokines and is able to kill microbial cells. On the other hand macrophages can be activated by IL-4/IL-13 to an M2 phenotype for phagocytosis of debris, resolution of inflammation and tissue repair. Increasing evidence suggests a role of metabolic reprogramming in the regulation of the innate inflammatory response. Studies have demonstrated that the M1 phenotype is often accompanied by a shift from oxidative phosphorylation to aerobic glycolysis for energy production. Macrophage activation may be involved in the pathogenesis of autoimmune conditions.

Since there exists considerable evidence that PBM can activate the mitochondrial metabolism towards oxidative phosphorylation, and away from aerobic glycolysis this is a plausible reason why PBM may change the macrophage phenotype from M1 towards M2. The consequences of this shift would be that the highly pro-inflammatory
environment that encourages T-cell attack on the HF, would be switched to a less inflammatory environment.

**Chemotherapy-induced alopecia**

The mechanism of PBM is likely to operate via a different set of signaling pathways. It has long been known that PBM is able to protect cells at risk of dying. Many in vitro models have been utilized to show that PBM can inhibit apoptosis in different cells triggered by a number of different toxic substances. This effect occurs due to the up-regulation of anti-apoptotic proteins, possible in the mitochondria. Another group of chromophores was identified in the HF, namely opsins. Opsins are blue-light responsive signaling molecules, that were responsible for reducing apoptosis and prolonging the anagen phase in ex vivo HF that were treated with 3.2 J/cm² of 453 nm LED light.

**Photobiomodulation for alopecia**

**Devices and parameters**

Most of the marketed devices have been based on low power (5 mW) red laser diodes, while some contain LEDs in addition to lasers. The red wavelengths have usually been between 630 nm and 660 nm. These devices can be divided into four broad types, (a) hand held combs or brushes; (b) head bands; (c) caps or helmets; (d) stationary hoods (see Figure 2 for examples). The total number of laser diodes incorporated into each of the delivery devices determines the total power administered to the head, and hence the time required to deliver the desired dose to the affected regions of the scalp. Dosimetry is usually measured as an energy density (J/cm²) with a value of 4 J/cm² frequently quoted. The time of application is usually between 10 and 20 minutes, which can be calculated as the desired fluence of 4 J/cm² divided by a usual power density of 5 mW/cm² equals 800 seconds (13.3 minutes). This calculation is arrived at by multiplying the power density of 5 mW/cm² by the time of 800 seconds to give 4000 mJ/cm² and dividing the result by 1000 to convert to 4 J/cm². The treatment repetition for a home use device is usually once per day, but once every two days is also possible. The advantages of a comb or a band device over a cap or a hood, are that the teeth of the laser comb part the hair to allow the light to penetrate better down to the HF.

While all the available evidence suggests that NIR light (800–900 nm) or LED as opposed to laser light would perform as well as red laser light, so far these wavelengths...

---

**Figure 2** Examples of PBM devices for alopecia. (A) HairMax LaserComb (Lexington Int, Boca Raton, FL); (B) HairMax LaserBand 82 (Lexington); (C) RedRestore Max Laser Cap 272 (Capillus, Miami, FL); (D) Revage 670 Laser Hood (Apira Science, Boca Raton, FL).
have not been much tested, although in one case a combination of 655 nm and 808 nm was used. A recent study looked at different wavelengths of LED (415 nm, 525 nm, 660 nm, 830 nm) on the stimulation of human dermal papilla cells and the elongation of ex vivo HF. All four wavelengths showed positive effects, but overall 660 nm was the most efficient. There was significantly increased expression of mRNAs for members of the Wnt signaling pathway that are responsible for cell proliferation, migration and organization (β-catenin, Axin2, Wnt3a, Wnt5a and Wnt10b). LED irradiation significantly increased the expression of β-catenin and cyclin D, and the phosphorylation of MAPK and extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK) as determined by Western blot. These genes are also responsible for cell proliferation and migration.

Animal studies
Mester’s original study involved delivering 1 J of pulsed light from a ruby laser at 694-nm (1 millisecond pulse duration) with a 1 cm² spot to the depilated abdominal area of black C57BL/6 and white Balb/c mice every week for up to 11 weeks. Before each successive treatment the skin was again depilated. Increased hair growth in the irradiated spot was observed in all black animals between the 5th and 7th treatment. This reaction continued up to the 9th treatment. In white mice no effect on hair growth was detected up to the 8th irradiation, but thereafter hair growth was stimulated to a lesser extent compared to black mice.

Wikramanayake et al reported that PBM could have beneficial effects in a mouse model of AA. The model involves topical application of focal heat to the skin of C3H/HeJ mice leading to hair loss accompanied by intrafollicular and peri-follicular mononuclear cell infiltrates in the anagen HF. Affected regions of mouse skin were treated with a 655 nm laser for 20 s daily, three times per week for 6 weeks. Hair regrowth was first observed in the PBM group after 2 weeks of laser treatment and at 6 weeks there was complete hair regrowth in all six mice. In the sham group there was no regrowth of hair at 6 weeks.

The same group (Wikramanayake et al) also reported the use of PBM to treat CIA in a rat model. The chemotherapy agents, cyclophosphamide, etoposide, or a combination of cyclophosphamide and doxorubicin were administered to two-week old rats to induce whole body alopecia 7–10 days later. The rats received PBM (655 nm laser) for 1 min once daily for 10 days. Rats receiving laser treatment regrew hair 5 days earlier than rats receiving chemotherapy alone or sham laser treatment. The authors checked that the PBM treatment did not protect subcutaneously injected cancer cells from the effects of the chemotherapy.

Clinical studies
Most clinical trials so far reported have been carried out for AGA in either men or women. One of the first reports used the HairMax Laser Comb (655 nm laser) in a double-blind, sham-controlled, multicenter, 26-week trial with 110 randomized males with Norwood-Hamilton classes Ia-V AGA. Patients were treated for 15 min three times per week for 26 weeks. Subjects receiving active PBM showed a mean increase in hair density (+17.3±11.9 hairs/cm²) while those receiving sham had a decrease (−8.9±11.7), p<0.0001. Kim et al used a helmet type device containing 630 nm and 660 nm LEDs and 650 nm laser diodes. They recruited 40 subjects comprising 26 men (Norwood-Hamilton III–VII) and 14 women (Ludwig I–III) with AGA. Subjects were treated for 15 min once every day for 24 weeks. Subjects receiving active treatment showed a mean increase in hair density of +17.2±12.1 while subjects receiving sham showed a decrease of −2.1±18.3, p=0.003. There was also a significant increase in hair thickness in active treated subjects. Lanzaflame et al published a pair of papers describing trials of a helmet device consisting of 655 nm LEDs and 655 nm lasers on 44 males and 47 females. Patients were treated for 25 min every two days for 16 weeks. The men showed a 62.5% increase in hair counts in the active group vs a 37% increase in sham group (p=0.003). The women showed a 48% increase in the active group vs a 11% increase in the sham group (p<0.001).

Other groups have reported significant improvements in hair regrowth in both men (128 subjects) and women (141 subjects) using a HairMax Laser Comb, and in 44 females using a laser cap device. Barikbin et al compared the effects of a 655 nm laser cap and a laser scanner combining 655 nm and 808 nm in 90 subjects. Both devices significantly improved hair counts but the 655/808 nm combination was slightly better. In all cases, the incidence of side effects was rare (<10%), tolerable and transient. Dry skin, irritation, pruritis and mild headache were the most often reported side effects.

Esmet et al compared topical minoxidil 5% with PBM using an iGrow helmet and the combination of both therapies in 45 women with AGA. Topical minoxidil was applied twice daily, and PBM was used for 25 min...
3 days a week for the 16-week study duration. All groups were effective, but the combination group showed a benefit earlier (at 2 months) compared to the monotherapies.

There have been few clinical trials of PBM for alopecia areata. One conducted by Yamazaki et al. used a “SuperLizer” device that emits linear polarized light over a wavelength range of 600–1600 nm.71 Fifteen patients with patchy hair loss, were treated on some areas for 3 min once every 1 or 2 weeks for up to 5 months, while other areas acted as controls. 46.7% of the treated areas showed hair regrowth, 1.6 months earlier than the non-irradiated areas (p=0.003).

I cannot trace any publication of a clinical trial for CIA although some studies have been proposed.

**Patient selection**

Patient selection should take account of the following points. Both men and women with AGA respond very well to PBM. However, because men generally have higher levels of DHT (and testosterone) compared to women, the continuing pressure exerted by hormonal influences is more pronounced in men. Therefore the PBM is constantly fighting against the influence of DHT, and may have to be periodically used throughout the entire lifetime. This consideration may explain why some trials of PBM have shown somewhat better results in women compared to men. Due to the rather gradual benefits of PBM for alopecia, it makes a lot of sense to commence treatment sooner rather than later. Ideally treatment should commence at the earliest stage of self-perceived thinning hair. In shiny bald scalps as are seen in some men, the HF are gone forever, and no amount of PBM will bring the follicles back from the dead. The question is sometimes raised about different pigmentation levels of hair and skin and whether this affects the benefits of PBM. Undoubtedly hair is a barrier to light penetration, and thick dark hair is a considerable barrier. However, since the light is most required in areas of hair loss, this may not be a big problem in reality. As regards pigment levels in skin, it is believed that dark skin (Fitzpatrick skin types IV–VI) require higher doses of light (longer exposure to a PBM device) compared to light skin (Fitzpatrick skin types I–III), although this hypothesis has not yet been fully tested in a clinical trial. The study showing an increased benefit of combining topical minoxidil with PBM70 suggests further combination studies should be explored. The use of PBM in combination with hair transplantation surgery has been discussed, but as yet there are no published studies. PBM is proposed to be able to encourage the integration of the transplanted hair grafts and also to hasten the healing of the donor sites. Moreover, some investigators are considering the combination of PBM with platelet rich plasma (PRP). PRP is a growing technology for treatment of AGA involving the injection into the scalp of autologous PRP at monthly intervals for 3 months.72 In some cases the PRP can be activated before injection using calcium chloride,73 and PBM has been proposed as an alternative method to activate PRP.

The use of PBM in cases of AA has not been investigated to anything like the same level as PBM for AGA. This is probably because AA is fairly rare, while AGA affects the majority of the population.

The use of PBM should be tested in patients undergoing chemotherapy for cancer (probably women with breast cancer). It is suggested that consideration should be given to commencing PBM a few days before initiation of chemotherapy as well as during the infusion itself, to give the HF a chance to upregulate anti-apoptotic proteins.

**Perspectives**

The use of PBM for hair regrowth still remains contentious. This is despite an ever-growing number of clinical trials reporting positive results, mainly in AGA. Nevertheless, some studies have used relatively small sample sizes, and there may be a perceived risk of bias in other studies. Perhaps another reason for this lack of universal acceptance, is the fact that PBM requires fairly prolonged application over a period of months to achieve the optimal effects. This was probably unrealistic when PBM was generally applied in clinics or salons. Some individuals probably gave up when they did not see rapid results, or else expected PBM to work in relatively advanced cases of AGA. Moreover, some companies have marketed PBM in an unrealistic fashion, encouraging over-optimistic expectations. Now that home use PBM devices are becoming widely available, and also the fact that LED devices are becoming more common, perhaps we can expect that the public acceptance will increase. Well-controlled clinical trials of PBM in patients with AA and CIA are urgently required.
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