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ABSTRACT

Air pollution is one of the vital problems for the sustainability of cities and public health. The lockdown caused by the COVID-19 outbreak has become a natural laboratory, enabling to investigate the impact of human/industrial activities on the air pollution. In this study, we investigated the spatio-temporal density of TROPOMI-based nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) and sulfur dioxide (SO₂) products, and MODIS-derived Aerosol Optical Depth (AOD) from January 2019 to September 2020 (also covering the first wave of the COVID-19) over Turkey using Google Earth Engine (GEE). The results showed a significant decrease in NO₂ and AOD, while SO₂ unchanged and had slightly higher concentrations in some regions during the lockdown compared to 2019. The relationship between air pollutants and meteorological parameters during the lockdown showed that air temperature and pressure were highly correlated with air pollutants, unlike precipitation and wind speed. Moreover, Purchasing Managers’ Index (PMI) data, indicator of economic/industrial activities, also provided poor correlation with air pollutants. TROPOMI-based NO₂ and SO₂ were compared with station-based pollutants for three sites (suburban, urban, and urban-traffic classes) in Istanbul, revealing 0.83, 0.70 and 0.65 correlation coefficients for NO₂ respectively, while SO₂ showed no significant correlation. Besides, AOD data were validated using two AERONET sites providing 0.86 and 0.82 correlation coefficients. Overall, the satellite-based data provided significant outcomes for the spatio-temporal evaluation of air quality, especially during the first wave of the COVID-19 lockdown.

1. Introduction

Air pollution is one of the most important and vital challenges in developing countries, which occurs as a result of rapid urban development, the expansion of the manufacturing industry and the use of fossil fuels in factory, and residential activities (He et al., 2017; Xu and Lin, 2017; Perera, 2017). In recent decades, the issue of pollution and environmental degradation have been among the main concerns at the domestic, regional and international levels (Eckhoff, 2009). Air pollution occurs when large volumes of harmful particles or substances such as gases, particulate matters, and biological molecules enter the Earth’s atmosphere. It can also increase the effect of global warming or disease in humans such as Asthma, Bronchitis, shortness of breath, heart attacks and various respiratory allergies (Arya, 1999; Eckhoff, 2009). Among the air pollutant gases, the most critical pollutants in the world’s metropolitan areas are carbon monoxide (CO), ozone (O₃), sulfur dioxide (SO₂), nitrogen dioxide (NO₂), Particulate Matters (PM₂.₅ and PM₁₀) (Zhang et al., 2020a). These pollutants are generally emitted from both stationary and mobile resources. Stationary resources involve domestic, residential and industrial activities, while transportation and vehicles that pollute the air by generating pollutants from exhaust fumes and particulate matter are among the mobile sources of the air pollution. In fact, except for SO₂, which is mainly emitted from residential and industrial sources, the other pollutants are generally emitted much more by the vehicles.

Satellite-based remote sensing technology offers an effective solution for long-term spatio-temporal monitoring of air quality under various scales. The use of satellite imagery to monitor air pollution dates back to the 1970s with the utilization of the Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer (AVHRR), Landsat, and the Geostationary Operational
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Concerning the literature, many researchers have studied monitoring, analyzing and retrieval of air pollutants such as Aerosols, NO\(_2\), SO\(_2\), PM\(_x\), CH\(_4\), CO, and O\(_3\) using these remote sensing satellites (Aldabash et al., 2020; Kaplan and Yigit Avdan, 2020; Laat et al., 2020; Lin et al., 2019; Ruoying et al., 2019; Salmabadi and Saedi, 2019; Wang et al., 2019; Feng et al., 2019; Hajiloo et al., 2019; Wu et al., 2019; Borisdorf et al., 2019; O’Brien et al., 2016; Tzortziou et al., 2018; Xu et al., 2018). The TROPOspheric Monitoring Instrument (TROPOMI) onboard Sentinel-5P, launched on October 13, 2017, is the first Copernicus satellite-based sensor for air quality monitoring (Butz et al., 2012). It has been providing high spatio-temporal resolution data for monitoring the air quality parameters, namely, Absorbing Aerosol Index (AAI or UVAI), O\(_3\), CO, NO\(_2\), SO\(_2\), CH\(_4\), cloud characteristics and formaldehyde (HCHO) (El Khoury et al., 2019).

In addition to the aforementioned satellite-based air pollution studies, in late 2019, monitoring and investigating the effect of coronavirus (COVID-19) lockdown on air pollution has attracted the attention of the scientists as a milestone for air quality management all around the world. Ogen (2020) investigated the relationship between the COVID-19 mortality and long-term exposure to NO\(_2\) pollution via Sentinel-5P in Italy, Spain, France and Germany. The results indicated that the long-term exposure to NO\(_2\) may be one of the most important contributors to fatality caused by the COVID-19 in these regions (Ogen, 2020). Another study was about monitoring the effect of NO\(_2\) concentration on the atmosphere during the lockdown in Spain (Mesas-Carrascosa et al., 2020). They concluded that there was a significant correlation between the level of the population’s activity and the reduction in NO\(_2\) values. Metya et al. (2020) used ARIS-derived CO and NO\(_2\), and OMI-derived SO\(_2\) in India and China during January–April 2020. Their results indicated that the tropospheric NO\(_2\) levels reduced on an average by 17% over India and 25% over China. Besides, approximately 17% reduction was observed in the boundary layer SO\(_2\) especially over the Eastern sector of India, and the 6.5% reduction of CO was determined over north-central China. Nichol et al. (2020) investigated regional air quality indicators over the Beijing–Tianjin–Hebei (BTH) region and other parts of China during the winter of 2019–2020, when the COVID-19 outbreak in China caused reduction in transport and economic activity from November 2019 to February 2020. They stated that, in March and April 2020, Ozone Monitoring Instrument (OMI) satellite-derived NO\(_2\) significantly decreased across China. They also highlighted that the increase in PM2.5 unlike the decrease in NO\(_2\) in BTH and across China is likely due to enhanced production of secondary particulates. Ghahremanloo et al. (2020) utilized SO\(_2\), CO, NO\(_2\) and HCHO from Sentinel-5P, and daily AOD from the Himawari-8 satellite over BTH, Wuhan, Seoul, and Tokyo during February 2019 and 2020. The comparison analysis between 2019 and 2020 indicated the vast pollution reduction in Wuhan with a decline of about 83%, 11%, 71%, 4% and 62% in NO\(_2\), HCHO, SO\(_2\), CO, and AOD, respectively. The finding of Kanniah et al. (2020) determined a notable decrease in tropospheric NO\(_2\) during the lockdown period over the Southeast Asia region. Furthermore, some studies also stated that TROPOMI-based NO\(_2\) observations were decreased during the lockdown period in some of the big European cities, highly populated Chinese cities and North of Italy, respectively (Cerosimo et al., 2020; Fan et al., 2020; Ghasempour et al., 2021; Virghileanu et al., 2020). Apart from the satellite-based air pollutant analyses, some researchers also proved the reduction in station-based air pollutants due to the COVID-19 pandemic control strategies (Chen et al., 2021; Nakada and Urban, 2020; Othman and Latif, 2021).

The main objective of this study is to monitor and investigate the spatio-temporal patterns of Sentinel-5P derived air pollutants, namely, NO\(_2\), and SO\(_2\), and MODIS-derived AOD before and during the first wave of the COVID-19 covering the period from January 2019 to September 2020 over Turkey. The COVID-19 lockdown period in Turkey mainly covers the period from March 10 to May 31, 2020 for the first wave, and partly June since the restrictions were gradually loosened in June. Thus, we assumed the lockdown period as of March, April and May in 2020. Although there was a partial lockdown instead of a full lockdown in Turkey for the first wave, it was observed that human activities greatly reduced in this period due to the warnings to control the spread of the virus. As it is clear from the given literature, many studies have been published about the effect of the COVID-19 outbreak on air quality. These studies can be categorized into four distinctive groups including (i) station-based studies, (ii) remote sensing based studies, (iii) combination of the station-based and the remote sensing based studies, and (iv) remote sensing based studies with meteorological/climatological parameters (Karuppasamy et al., 2020; Broomandi et al., 2020; Elshorbany et al., 2021; Mesas-Carrascosa et al., 2020; Metya et al., 2020; Ghahremanloo et al., 2020; Nakada and Urban, 2020; Rojas et al., 2021; Virghileanu et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2020c). Concerning the originality of this research, this study includes a combined analysis of all four approaches mentioned above with also an addition of Purchasing Managers’ Index (PMI), which is an indicator of economic/industrial activities. Furthermore, satellite-based pollutants and meteorological parameters were visualized, analyzed and extracted using Google Earth Engine (GEE) open-source geospatial analysis platform, which enables working on JavaScript programming language.
2. Study area

Turkey, with 81 provinces and a population of 83.15 million (http://www.nufusu.com/), is a unique country that is lying partly in Europe at geographical coordinates of 36°–42° N and 26°–45° E. The territory of Turkey has a length of 1660 km from east to west and an area of 780043.00 square kilometers. The average altitude of the country is about 1132 m from mean sea level and there are four different types of climate containing Mediterranean climate, Black Sea Climate, Marmara climate and Continental climate. Ankara, the capital of Turkey, and Istanbul, the country’s economic, cultural and historical center are the most populous cities in Turkey. Fig. 1 represents the study area and the ground stations via Digital Elevation Model (DEM) obtained from Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (SRTM).

3. Materials and methodology

3.1. Data collection and preprocessing

In addition to several studies about air pollution monitoring during the COVID-19 pandemic lockdowns (Gahreamanloo et al., 2020; Kan-niah et al., 2020; Mesas-Carrascosa et al., 2020; Metya et al., 2020; Nichol et al., 2020; Ogen, 2020; Tobias et al., 2020), we have investigated the impact of the COVID-19 lockdown on the air quality at a national level (over the whole Turkey) for the period from January 2019 to September 2020. As shown in Table 1, we utilized six types of data sets in this study: (a) Hourly NO\textsubscript{2} and SO\textsubscript{2} concentrations from the Turkey Air Quality Monitoring Center (TAQMC), (b) Daily satellite image data of Sentinel-5P derived NO\textsubscript{2} and SO\textsubscript{2} products from the GEE, (c) Daily satellite image data of MODIS AOD product from the GEE platform, (d) ERA5 Reanalysis climatic and meteorological data, (e) AERosol RObotic NETwork (AERONET) data, and (f) Purchasing Managers’ Index (PMI). The connections between the data sets and their usages are presented in the following sections.

3.1.1. Satellite remote sensing data

In this study, we have employed satellite image collections to analyze the spatio-temporal distribution of air pollutants, namely, NO\textsubscript{2}, SO\textsubscript{2} and AOD before and during the COVID-19 pandemic lockdown. The daily TROPOMI and MODIS data were used to produce the maps of monthly spatial patterns of the air pollutants. We acquired the tropospheric vertical column density of offline level 3 NO\textsubscript{2} (COPERNICUS/SSP/OFFL/L3_NO2) and the vertical column density at the ground level of offline level 3 SO\textsubscript{2} (COPERNICUS/SSP/OFFL/L3_SO2) from the TROPOMI instrument on board the Sentinel-5P satellite. The TROPOMI is an advanced multispectral imaging spectrometer with nadir-viewing and wavelengths of Ultraviolet–Visible (UV-VIS, 270 nm–495 nm), Near Infrared (NIR, 675 nm–775 nm), and Shortwave Infrared (SWIR, 2305 nm–2385 nm). Besides, it is tracking the unique effects of atmospheric gases in different parts of the electromagnetic spectrum. It uses passive remote sensing techniques to reach the target above the atmosphere by measuring the solar radiation reflected by the Earth. The sensor works in a cross direction, with ~2600 km swath width on the ground surface. The nadir view for all spectral bands is 7 × 3.5 km\textsuperscript{2}, except SWIR bands with 7 × 7 km\textsuperscript{2} and UV band with 7 × 28 km\textsuperscript{2} (Veefkind et al., 2012).

However, in this study, all these Sentinel-5P derived products are delivered in 0.01 arc degree (~1.11 km) spatial resolution from the GEE. In addition to Sentinel-5P data, we collected the daily AOD images of the MODIS (MODIS/006/MCD19A2_GRANULES) onboard the Terra and Aqua satellites with 1 km spatial resolution. Google Earth Engine, with a powerful open-source processing system in JavaScript programming language, enables users to perform calculations, analyze and visualize large volumes of data without the need for powerful systems. The system supports a variety of widely used satellite data such as Landsat, Sentinel and MODIS that are available free of charge.

3.1.2. Turkey Air Quality Monitoring Center (TAQMC) data

Hourly pollutants’ concentration data can be gathered from the Turkish air quality real-time release platform (https://www.havaizleme.gov.tr/) administered by the Turkey Air Quality Monitoring Center (TAQMC), one of the major institutions under the Environment and Urban Ministry. The extensive monitoring stations provide continuous hourly pollutant measurements. In this study, we used the ground-based measurements of NO\textsubscript{2} and SO\textsubscript{2} concentrations that were obtained from three hotspots monitoring stations as urban traffic (Beşiktas), urban background (Başakşehir), and suburban (Şile) stations over the most populous cities in Istanbul. Ground-based NO\textsubscript{2} and SO\textsubscript{2} values were analyzed together with Sentinel-5P derived NO\textsubscript{2} and SO\textsubscript{2} data over the related test sites. A time interval of ±0.5 h was used to match the datasets of TAQMC observations with the satellite pass time. Thus, the hourly pollutant concentrations from the TAQMC were used for the comparison of the data of the ground stations and the satellite acquisitions.

3.1.3. Aerosol robotic network (AERONET) data

The Aerosol Robotic NETwork (AERONET) is a globally ground-based remote sensing aerosol network established and distributed by NASA and PHOTONS. For more than 25 years, the AERONET has been using Cimel Electronique Sun/Sky radiometers to prepare extremely accurate ground truth measurements. In this study, we have used the version 3 level 2 AOD data that have high-quality ground-based assurance for the validation of MODIS AOD products. The AERONET dataset was obtained from Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (SRTM).

Table 1

| Category | Variables | Units | Temporal Resolution | Data Source |
|----------|-----------|-------|---------------------|-------------|
| Satellite image data of Sentinel-5P | tropospheric_NO2_column_number_density | mole/cm\textsuperscript{2} | daily | https://developers.google.com/earth-engine/datasets/ |
| Satellite image data of MODIS | Optical_Depth_047 | gtr/cm\textsuperscript{2} | daily | https://developers.google.com/earth-engine/datasets/ |
| Pollutant Concentration (Turkey Air Quality Monitoring Center) | NO\textsubscript{2}, SO\textsubscript{2} | m/s | monthly | https://developers.google.com/earth-engine/datasets/ |
| ERA5 | v_component_of_wind_10m, u_component_of_wind_10m, mean_2m_air_temperature, total_precipitation, surface_pressure | m, k, m, m, pa | daily | https://developers.google.com/earth-engine/datasets/ |
| AERONET | AOD_500 nm, AOD_440 nm | pm | hourly | https://aeronet.gsfc.nasa.gov/ |
| PMI | PMI data | * | monthly | https://www.investing.com/economic-calendar/turkish-manufacturing-pmi-1305 |
is divided into 3 quality levels including raw data from level 1, cloud-screened data from level 1.5, and quality assurance data from level 2 (Smirnov et al., 2000). The AERONET version 3 level 2 data may be downloaded from the AERONET website (https://aeronet.gsfc.nasa.gov/The AOD in the AERONET version 2 was semi-automatically controlled in near real-time quality using a cloud-screening method, while the AERONET Version 3 algorithm provides fully automated cloud screening and quality control (Giles et al., 2019).

3.1.4. ERA5 reanalysis data

In addition to the previous data sets, we employed daily meteorological/climatological data from the latest ERA5 reanalysis produced by the European Center for Medium-range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) under the Copernicus Climate Change Service. Although the main reason for air pollution is the increase of pollutant concentration in the atmosphere, the local and regional meteorological/climatological conditions have also affected the air quality (Ghahremanloo et al., 2020; Kanniah et al., 2020; Nichol et al., 2020). Thus, in this study, we also investigated the relationship between satellite-derived air pollutants and ERA5’s meteorological/climatological parameters, namely, the eastward-northward components of wind speed (WS), air temperature (Temp.), surface pressure (Pres.) and total precipitation (Prec.) with a spatial resolution of 0.25° × 0.25°.

3.1.5. Purchasing manager index data

The Purchasing Managers’ Index (PMI) is one of the most important indicators of the economic activity that examines companies’ purchasing managers’ tendency to purchase goods and services. It is one of the indices that can explain the growth forecasts of countries in the best way (Eren, 2014) (http://www.bireyselyatirimci.com/pmi-endeksi-nedir/). On the other hand, faster expansion in industrial activities or any reduction in PMI may cause to increase or decrease in air pollutants. Thus, we examined whether there was any correlation between PMI and satellite-derived pollutants. Besides, this analysis may explain if industrial activities have a high impact on air pollutants by expecting the pollutants should reduce in COVID-19 lockdown days.

3.2. Methodology

In this study, we focused on the following analyses: 1) monthly NO\textsubscript{2}, SO\textsubscript{2} and AOD images and their statistics were extracted for 2019 and 2020. 2) Hourly TROPOMI-based NO\textsubscript{2} values were compared with three station-based NO\textsubscript{2} in Istanbul. 3) The performance of MODIS AOD was validated using AOD from two ground-based stations. 4) The relationship between monthly average meteorological/climatological parameters and monthly average satellite-based pollutants was investigated. Finally, 5) The relationship between monthly average satellite-based pollutants and (PMI) was examined. Fig. 2 represents the workflow used to analyze pollutant concentration and related variables, which involve five source data sets, namely, (i) Sentinel-5P TROPOMI-based NO\textsubscript{2} and SO\textsubscript{2} products, and MODIS-based AOD product; (ii) meteorological parameters (e.g. Temp., Pres., WS) from ERA5, and (iii) AERONET AOD data, (iv) NO\textsubscript{2} and SO\textsubscript{2} ground measurements from TAQMS stations, and (v) PMI dataset. In this study, we used free image collection of TROPOMI and MODIS instruments in GEE datasets. GEE is an open-source geospatial analysis platform that enables users to globally or locally visualize and analyze changes, map trends, and quantify differences on the Earth’s surface. Many studies related to land cover changes (Huang et al., 2017), crop mapping (Clemente et al., 2020), disaster management (DeVries et al., 2020), surface water change (Xia et al., 2019), and natural resource management (Aksoy et al., 2019; Leinonen et al., 2018) were carried out successfully and efficiently on the GEE platform. Concerning the analyses, a JavaScript program was developed in GEE to acquire, correct and visualize the data from image collections. The codes used in this paper can be found in the supplementary material. In this stage, the selected images are processed using the following steps:

(a) filtered the imaging time over the clipped region of interest (whole Turkey).
(b) removed cloudy pixels using a conditional (cloud\textsubscript{fraction} > 0.5) on TROPOMI-based products (Fioletov et al., 2020; Ialongo et al., 2020; Lorente et al., 2017, 2019; Van Geffen et al., 2018) and using best quality condition (for QA band AOD = 0) on MODIS-derived AOD data.

Fig. 2. The workflow illustrating the operations and relations between the methods for the evaluation of air pollutants and related variables.
(c) extracted daily images by mosaicking the overlapping scenes over the whole study zone,
(d) extracted hourly AOD pixel value, NO2 and SO2 column density pixel values from images covering the ground stations (TAQMS stations and AERONET sites) for the validation processes,
(e) calculated the monthly images by averaging the daily images for preparing monthly spatio-temporal maps and also for calculating the relationship between meteorological parameters in the lockdown months. Furthermore, for investigating the relationship between PMI and air pollutants.

Hourly data were only used for the validation step. TROPOMI-based NO2 and SO2 data were compared with TAQMS ground-measurements for three test sites (urban, urban-traffic and suburban) in the city of Istanbul. To evaluate MODIS AOD 470 nm data, we applied AERONET data (AOD440 and AOD500) with a time tolerance of ±0.5 h. Since AERONET does not provide AOD 470 nm, the mean value of AERONET AOD 440 nm and 500 nm values were calculated for AOD 470 nm retrieval relevant to MODIS AOD 470 nm (Equation (1)).

$$AOD\ (470\text{nm}) = \frac{AOD\ (500\text{nm}) + AOD\ (440\text{nm})}{2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)
We utilized four statistical metrics below, namely, correlation coefficient \( R \), Root Mean Square Error \( (\text{RMSE}) \), Standard Deviation of Error \( (\text{STD Error}) \), and Bias to examine the relationship between MODIS AOD and AERONET AOD.

\[
R = \frac{\sum(y_i - \bar{y})(x_i - \bar{x})}{\sqrt{\sum(y_i - \bar{y})^2 \sum(x_i - \bar{x})^2}}
\]

\[
\text{RMSE} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum(x_i - y_i)^2}{n}}
\]

\[
\text{SDT of Error} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum(y_{\text{Error}} - \bar{y}_{\text{Error}})^2}{n}}
\]

\[
\text{Bias} = \frac{\sum(x_i - y_i)}{n}
\]

Where \( y_i \), \( x_i \), \( \bar{y} \), and \( \bar{x} \) are the MODIS-derived AOD, AERONET-based AOD, their mean values, respectively. Thus, \( y_{\text{Error}} \) refers to the difference between MODIS-derived AOD and AERONET-based AOD, and \( \bar{y}_{\text{Error}} \) refers to the mean of differences.

In the last stage, to investigate if the meteorological/climatological parameters play an important role in the results of pollutants in the lockdown period, the monthly meteorological data of ERA5 with the selected information layer were used to extract the correlation coefficients. Furthermore, the relationship between monthly pollutants and PMI was also investigated to find out the effect of PMI on pollutants.

To summarize the innovation in the methodology; i) a JavaScript program in the GEE was developed to automate the image analysis, ii) satellite-based pollutants were compared and analyzed with ground-based observations from different land cover types, iii) the relationship between meteorological parameters/PMI and satellite-based pollutants was analyzed.

4. Results and discussion

4.1. Spatio-temporal distribution of tropospheric NO\(_2\) column density over Turkey

The lockdown in Turkey due to the outbreak of COVID-19, mainly from March 10, 2020 to May 31, 2020, decreased transportation...
activities that lead to lower energy consumptions and less oil request. Moreover, it provided a good opportunity to study its impacts on air quality by comparing some pollutant concentrations. Although there are many key parameters that may change the air pollution concentration, this study is conducted based on the assumption of the used parameters and corresponding analyses. One of the important trace gases in the atmosphere is NO\(_2\). While some nitrogen oxides naturally occur, some of them are due to human activities. The major part of NO\(_2\) produced by human activities is related to the burning of fuel in stationary sources and resulting from combustion in vehicles and industrial enterprises (Ghahremanloo et al., 2020; Kanniah et al., 2020).

Fig. 3 shows the monthly comparisons of spatial tropospheric NO\(_2\) images over Turkey from January 2019 to September 2020. There are no data pixels in the east-direction of images such as January and February that result from cloudy pixel conditions and quality assurance (QA) limitation of the algorithms (Ialongo et al., 2020; Lorente et al., 2017; Nichol et al., 2020; Omrani et al., 2020; Zheng et al., 2019). As seen in Fig. 3, these images display a general reduction in NO\(_2\) distribution when comparing January–Jun 2019 with January–June 2020. In particular, the spatial distribution of NO\(_2\) decreased significantly during the lockdown period (March–May 2020) compared to the same period of 2019. In order to support these visual interpretations, the area information in Table 2, where NO\(_2\) > 4 \* 10^{15} \text{molec/cm}^2, was extracted. The area information from January to June 2019 varied from 23800.3 km\(^2\) to 3476.6 km\(^2\), respectively, whereas it ranged from 23509.2 km\(^2\) to 356.1 km\(^2\) for the same period of 2020. However, after the lockdown restrictions are relaxed in July, the NO\(_2\) concentration started to increase in July, August and September 2020 compared to the same months of 2019. The area information in Table 2 also reveals this increase. This observable increase was probably due to the rising vehicle emissions and industrial activities after the lockdown period. The tropospheric NO\(_2\) reduction obtained during the lockdown period in Turkey coincides with similar studies conducted over different countries (Fan et al., 2020; Mesas-Carrascosa et al., 2020; Metya et al., 2020; Nichol et al., 2020; Tobias et al., 2020; Virgileanu et al., 2020).

Concerning the general evaluation of the spatial variability of NO\(_2\) pollution over Turkey, Marmara and Aegean regions show the highest spatial variability of NO\(_2\), whereas the Mediterranean, Southeastern Anatolia, and central Anatolia partly revealed high NO\(_2\) distribution. In addition to the spatio-temporal comparisons of 2019 and 2020 images, it would be better to provide quantitative analyses for this period as in Fig. 4 and Table 2. Fig. 4 reveals the monthly average TROPOMI-based NO\(_2\) column density over Turkey in 2019 and 2020. As a general evaluation, the trend of monthly NO\(_2\) averages shows the

### Table 2

Average, standard deviation, maximum, minimum, and percentage of change rate values of the TROPOMI NO\(_2\) column density calculated during January–September 2019 and 2020 over Turkey.

| Month | Jan. | 2020 | Feb. | 2020 | Mar. |
|-------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Year  |      |      |      |      |      |
| Average | 1.6581 | 1.5213 | 1.4235 | 1.3764 | 1.4557 | 1.2148 |
| S.D   | 1.0930 | 1.1745 | 1.1187 | 0.9953 | 0.8698 | 0.7736 |
| Min   | –1.4851 | –2.1240 | –1.4960 | –1.0804 | –0.7089 | –1.0800 |
| Max   | 13.1696 | 18.6903 | 39.2789 | 22.9577 | 12.4227 | 9.7954 |
| Area (km\(^2\)) for NO\(_2\)>4 | 23800.3 | 23509.2 | 18291.8 | 16129.8 | 13388.6 | 9027.1 |
| PCR   | –8.25 | –3.31 | –16.55 |

| Month | Apr. | May | Jun. |
|-------|------|-----|-----|
| Year  |      |     |     |
| Average | 1.1364 | 1.0129 | 1.0530 | 0.9214 | 1.2372 | 1.0076 |
| S.D   | 0.7060 | 0.4808 | 0.5037 | 0.3791 | 0.3785 | 0.3999 |
| Min   | –0.6486 | –1.3173 | –0.6213 | –0.8127 | –0.6144 | –0.5564 |
| Max   | 12.5657 | 6.2744 | 9.1033 | 5.9866 | 7.5727 | 6.5480 |
| Area (km\(^2\)) for NO\(_2\)>4 | 5733.7 | 1644.4 | 3476.6 | 356.1 | 808.2 | 903.8 |
| PCR   | –10.86 | –12.49 | –18.56 |

| Month | Jul. | Aug. | Sep. |
|-------|-----|-----|-----|
| Year  |      |     |     |
| Average | 1.1591 | 1.2772 | 1.2227 | 1.2437 | 1.1642 | 1.2789 |
| S.D   | 0.4211 | 0.4093 | 0.6262 | 0.4532 | 0.5225 | 0.5005 |
| Min   | –0.4497 | –0.2739 | 0.0937 | 0.1062 | 0.0304 | 0.2295 |
| Max   | 6.8161 | 7.9473 | 7.7835 | 7.2345 | 7.2871 | 7.4934 |
| Area (km\(^2\)) for NO\(_2\)>4 | 1270.2 | 1689.8 | 618.6 | 1920.0 | 4225.0 | 3246.3 |
| PCR   | 10.19 | 1.71 | 9.85 |
maximum amount in the winter, while the minimum amount in the summer. In Fig. 4, a decrease was observed in monthly average NO$_2$ values from January to July in 2020, and these values were also lower than 2019 monthly averages. Considering the lockdown period, the monthly average NO$_2$ values declined in 2020 compared to the same period of 2019. On the other hand, after the lockdown period, the monthly average NO$_2$ values increased dramatically in 2020, which were also higher than in 2019. Table 2 shows the results of the statistical parameters, namely, average, standard deviation (S.D), minimum (min), maximum (max), and percent of change rates (PCR) obtained from the monthly NO$_2$ images. The average value of NO$_2$ column density dropped from 1.66*10$^{15}$ molec/cm$^2$ to 1.05*10$^{15}$ molec/cm$^2$ from January to June, and then increased to 1.79*10$^{15}$ molec/cm$^2$ in December in 2019. On the other hand, in 2020, the average NO$_2$ values started from 1.52*10$^{15}$ molec/cm$^2$ in January, then slightly decreased to 0.92*10$^{15}$ molec/cm$^2$ in May, and finally reached 1.28*10$^{15}$ molec/cm$^2$ in September. The statistical results in Table 2 demonstrate that a descending trend was observed in 2020 in comparison with 2019. In addition, the monthly PCR between 2019 and 2020 for March, April, May and June were calculated as –16.5%, –10.8%, –12.49% and –18.56%, respectively, proving the descending trend in the lockdown. It is obvious that the lockdown of the COVID-19 outbreak and staying at

Fig. 5. The monthly images of SO$_2$ column density over Turkey.
home have caused a significant reduction in tropospheric NO\textsubscript{2} column density.

4.2. Spatio-temporal distribution of SO\textsubscript{2} column density over Turkey

SO\textsubscript{2} is one of the tropospheric trace gases that is mostly produced by volcanoes and industrial activities. Due to the presence of sulfur compounds in oil and coal, their combustion leads to the production of SO\textsubscript{2}. We analyzed the spatio-temporal variations of the monthly average SO\textsubscript{2} column density over Turkey as in Fig. 5. No-data pixels are available from January to April in both 2019 and 2020 data sets. The main reasons are cloud fraction condition, the algorithm limitations for producing the data and quality assurance contrast. Due to noise on the SO\textsubscript{2} values, negative vertical column concentrations are perceived as low SO\textsubscript{2} emissions. According to the Sentinel-5P product readme file (https://sentinel.esa.int/web/sentinel/technical-guides/sentinel-5p/products-algorithms), it is recommended to filter outliers as concentration values lower than $-0.001$ mol/m\textsuperscript{2}.

Since the number of no-data pixels is too many in Fig. 5 for January, February and March of 2019 and 2020 images, the proper comparison is not possible for these months. However, concerning the concentrations of SO\textsubscript{2} values in these months, 2020 datasets had significantly higher concentrations than 2019. The area information in Table 3, where SO\textsubscript{2} $> 60 \times 10^{15}$ molec/cm\textsuperscript{2}, was extracted to observe the areal changes. The area information from January to September 2019 varied from 38167.5 km\textsuperscript{2} to 307.9 km\textsuperscript{2}, respectively, while it ranged from 152073.3 km\textsuperscript{2} to 317.0 km\textsuperscript{2} for the same period of 2020. In 2020, the area information of September image was the only image that showed less area than in 2019. The lockdown months, except March, showed no significant decrease compared to 2019. On the contrary, the spatial distribution of SO\textsubscript{2} values in the 2020 lockdown period had slightly higher concentrations than the same period of 2019. These results revealed that the lockdown had no effect on reducing the pollution caused by SO\textsubscript{2}. On the other hand, after the lockdown restrictions are relaxed in July, the monthly SO\textsubscript{2} distributions were identical for the following months in both 2019 and 2020. Concerning the literature, some studies also revealed similar results as in our study that the column density of SO\textsubscript{2} remained unchanged or increased in some regions of the related countries (Ghahremanloo et al., 2020; Tobías et al., 2020).

Fig. 6 represents the monthly average TROPOMI-based SO\textsubscript{2} column density over Turkey in 2019 and 2020. As a general evaluation, the trend of monthly SO\textsubscript{2} averages shows the maximum amount in the winter,
while the minimum amount in the summer. In Fig. 6, a decrease was observed in monthly average SO$_2$ values from January to September in 2020, and these values were close to or higher than 2019 monthly averages. Concerning the lockdown period, the monthly average SO$_2$ values were slightly higher in 2020 compared to the same period of 2019. Table 3 provides the results of the statistical parameters, namely, average, S.D, min, max and PCR obtained from the monthly SO$_2$ images.

The average value of SO$_2$ column density dropped from 23.51*10$^{15}$ molec/cm$^2$ to 3.48*10$^{15}$ molec/cm$^2$ from February to July, and then increased to 72.46*10$^{15}$ molec/cm$^2$ in December 2019. On the other hand, in 2020, the average SO$_2$ values started from 50.50*10$^{15}$ molec/cm$^2$ in January, then slightly decreased to 2.40*10$^{15}$ molec/cm$^2$ in September. The statistical results in Table 3 (average and PCR) demonstrate that an ascending trend was observed when comparing 2020 with 2019. The only negative monthly average SO$_2$ trend between 2020 and 2019 was observed in September as 66.71%. The results of the monthly average SO$_2$ values showed that the lockdown of COVID-19 outbreak and staying at home had no significant reduction on tropospheric SO$_2$ column density.

### 4.3. Spatio-temporal distribution of MODIS-based AOD over Turkey

Aerosols are suspensions of solid particles or liquid droplets in a gas, and they generally refer to dust particles such as ash, mist, smog, etc. Human-made aerosols are commonly found near large human habitats. The telemetry parameter used in this connection is the optical depth of the atmosphere. The aerosol optical depth (AOD) index is a measure of an aerosol that is calculated based on the ratio of solar waves propagated and absorbed in the atmosphere along the wavelength and the path traveled. This index is a quantitative parameter to indicate the density and concentration of particles in the atmosphere and is generally calculated along a vertical path (Filonchyk and Yan, 2019; Wei et al., 2019).

In order to provide the best quality AOD data, masking operation was applied to the AOD Quality Assessment (AOD_QA) band for generating monthly AOD images in Fig. 7. Although the number of no-data pixels is too many in Fig. 7 for January, February, March and April, proper comparison can be made since the spatial presence of the AOD data are identical in both 2019 and 2020 images. Concerning the spatial distribution of AOD in January and February 2020 images have lower AOD

| Month   | Jan.    | 2020 | Feb.    | 2020 | Mar.    | 2020 |
|---------|---------|------|---------|------|---------|------|
| Year    | 2019    | 2020 | 2019    | 2020 | 2019    | 2020 |
| Average | 17.7540 | 50.4978 | 23.5050 | 33.1631 | 13.8294 | 15.9301 |
| S.D     | 32.2796 | 52.6739 | 20.4712 | 31.2283 | 13.8729 | 17.9774 |
| Min     | –60.2049 | –60.2131 | –60.2036 | –60.1869 | –59.9939 | –60.1687 |
| Max     | 378.6225 | 971.4098 | 618.0464 | 628.6281 | 294.0248 | 455.0226 |
| Area(km$^2$) for SO$_2$ > 60 | 38167.5 | 152073.3 | 22561.5 | 93253.3 | 2661.4 | 8845.8 |
| PCR     | 184.43 | 41.09 | 15.19 | 184.43 | 41.09 | 15.19 |

| Month   | Apr. | May | Jun.  | | | |
|---------|------|-----|------| | | |
| Year    | 2019 | 2020 | 2019 | 2020 | 2019 | 2020 |
| Average | 5.3751 | 9.3876 | 4.4431 | 4.9014 | 3.4746 | 4.7309 |
| S.D     | 9.9822 | 11.5643 | 6.3090 | 7.0158 | 4.8672 | 5.7577 |
| Min     | –55.6041 | –59.8140 | –24.3164 | –27.1609 | –31.1326 | –21.0456 |
| Max     | 190.2 | 287.1 | 56.1 | 187.7 | 54.7 | 70.2 |
| Area(km$^2$) for SO$_2$ > 60 | 978.1 | 2149.7 | 0.0 | 54.8 | 0.0 | 19.4 |
| PCR     | 74.65 | 10.31 | 36.16 | 74.65 | 10.31 | 36.16 |

| Month   | Jul.  | Aug. | Sep.  | | | |
|---------|------|-----|------| | | |
| Year    | 2019 | 2020 | 2019 | 2020 | 2019 | 2020 |
| Average | 4.4094 | 4.6210 | 2.7875 | 3.2506 | 7.2199 | 2.4038 |
| S.D     | 6.4622 | 6.2072 | 6.6889 | 6.0039 | 6.9321 | 6.2558 |
| Min     | –19.2326 | –32.0067 | –41.5177 | –46.8179 | –29.2360 | –28.8736 |
| Max     | 108.5817 | 111.0524 | 101.9469 | 108.5692 | 109.0529 | 92.9221 |
| Area(km$^2$) for SO$_2$ > 60 | 523.5 | 410.3 | 307.9 | 317.0 | 486.8 | 176.5 |
| PCR     | 4.80 | 16.61 | –66.71 | 4.80 | 16.61 | –66.71 |

**Fig. 6.** The monthly TROPOMI-based SO$_2$ column density over Turkey in 2019 and 2020.
concentration than 2019 images. However, in March, the AOD distribution is higher in 2020 compared to the 2019 image. In the following lockdown month, April, a significant decrease in monthly AOD distribution was observed in 2020 compared to the same month of 2019, indicating the effect of lockdown was significant on AOD reduction. Since April 2020 was the month with the tightest restrictions. In the last month of lockdown (May 2020) and June 2020, almost identical AOD distribution was observed as in May–June 2019, while the density of AOD distributions varied from region to region. After the lockdown period, the monthly AOD distribution was higher in July 2020 and September 2020, whereas lower in August 2020 compared to the same months of 2019. On the other hand, the area information in Table 4, where AOD >0.4, was extracted to observe the areal changes. The area information from January to April 2019 varied from 394.6 km$^2$ to 1026.8 km$^2$, respectively, while it ranged from 722.4 km$^2$ to 2121.9 km$^2$ for the same period of 2020. Then, from April 2019 to July 2019, the area information was between 1616.5 km$^2$ and 1223.1 km$^2$, respectively, which was higher than the same period of 2020 (940.2–820.5 km$^2$). After the restrictions were relaxed in June, the area information of July, August and September 2020 was higher than the same months of 2019. The results of some studies also supported our findings by showing a decreasing trend in AOD in different test sites during the lockdown period.

Fig. 7. The monthly images of MODIS-derived AOD concentration over Turkey.
period (Ghahremanloo et al., 2020; Kanniah et al., 2020; Otmani et al., 2020).

Fig. 8 and Table 4 present comparative and quantitative statistical results of monthly AOD values over the study area for both 2019 and 2020. As a general evaluation of Fig. 8, the trend of monthly AOD averages from April to October is higher than the yearly averages, while the rest of the months reveal lower monthly average AOD than the yearly average. The monthly average AOD values in Fig. 8 coincided with the results of the spatio-temporal analysis presented above. We can see a significant decrease in April 2020 compared to April 2019. Besides, a sharp increase from 0.096 in March 2019 to 0.0186 in April 2019 can be seen clearly in the spatial distribution map in Fig. 7. In 2020, the lowest and highest values ranged from 0.096 to 0.186 in February and September, respectively. The statistical results of monthly AOD images in Table 4 display the PCR about -29.84% in April and 20.62% in September considering the comparison between 2019 and 2020.

4.4. Quantitative analysis of TROPOMI-based and station-based NO$_2$ and SO$_2$ for three test sites

This quantitative analysis relies on geostatistical data processing of the collected data including TROPOMI-based NO$_2$ column density (molec/cm$^2$), TROPOMI-based SO$_2$ vertical column density, related and ground station-based NO$_2$ concentrations ($\mu$g/cm$^3$). In Istanbul, we selected the statistical data from three ground stations (Fig. 1) as traffic-urban (Beşiktaş, urban (Başkşehir), and suburban (Şile) stations. The monthly trendlines revealed the decrease in the tropospheric NO$_2$ column density from January to September for all selected hotspots (Fig. 9a–c) in 2019 and 2020. Furthermore, the ground station measurements also confirmed this downward trendline in 2019 and 2020 for all test sites (Fig. 9d–f). In the suburban location, due to no data in February (Fig. 9c), we could not calculate the satellite image data for 2019 and 2020. The other important outcome of this analysis was that the monthly NO$_2$ levels were higher in 2019 than 2020 for all three sites and both data sets. In the suburban site, TROPOMI-based NO$_2$ (Fig. 9c) and station-based NO$_2$ (Fig. 9f) showed identical behavior in 2019 and 2020. However, in the urban test sites, the difference between 2019 and 2020, especially in the lockdown months (March–May), are obviously seen for both datasets (Fig. 9a–b and Fig. 9d–e). As in the NO$_2$ results, a decreasing trend in the SO$_2$ column density was observed from January to September for all selected hotspots (Fig. 9g–i) in 2019 and 2020. However, the ground station-based SO$_2$ provided opposite trends in 2019 and 2020 for urban and suburban test sites (Fig. 9j–l). In these sites, the ground-based SO$_2$ concentration has an increasing trend in 2020,
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stations or other airborne systems. Concerning the total column of a
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validations of the vertically integrated column amounts of some trace gases (Landgraf et al., 2018). Even so, some researchers claimed that they obtained from medium to high correlation coefficients between satellite and ground-based NO2 measurements (Cersosimo et al., 2020; Pinardi et al., 2018; Virgheleanu et al., 2020). In these studies, Virgheleanu et al. (2020) had the correlation coefficients ranging from 0.597 to 0.865, and the correlation coefficients from the study of Cersosimo et al. (2020) varied between 0.50 and 0.90. Moreover, Pinardi et al. (2018) provided very good coherence for daily and monthly comparisons between TROPOMI tropospheric NO2 vertical column density and ground-based NO2 data about R equal to 0.89. Besides, some other experiments also revealed that the satellite-based NO2 column density had a strong correlation with ground-based station data with a correlation coefficient almost above 0.8 (Buesela et al., 2008; Huijnen et al., 2009; Kramer et al., 2009). Therefore, we also compared the TROPOMI-based NO2 and SO2 with ground-based measurements in three test sites (urban, urban-traffic and suburban) in Istanbul, and we obtained the correlation coefficients between 0.65 and 0.83 for NO2, which coincide with the results of the above-mentioned studies. However, the SO2 analyses did not respond well with R-value ranging from 0.004 to 0.15.

Considering the relationship between satellite-based and ground-based measurements, we applied the hourly measurement with a time shift of about ±30 min from January 1, 2019 to September 30, 2020 in three test sites. The NO2 results in Fig. 10 illustrate the correlation coefficients of 0.83, 0.70, and 0.65 that were obtained from suburban (Fig. 10a), urban (Fig. 10b), and urban traffic stations (Fig. 10c), respectively. On the other hand, the SO2 results in Fig. 10 reveal the correlation coefficients of 0.15, 0.004, and 0.014 that were obtained from suburban (Fig. 10d), urban (Fig. 10e), and urban traffic stations (Fig. 10f), respectively. These results showed that the more the ground-based NO2 concentration was observed, the lower the correlation coefficient was obtained between satellite column density and ground-based measurements. Concerning the SO2 comparisons, no significant relationship was found between satellite-based and ground-based measurements.

4.5. Validation of MODIS-Derived AOD and AERONET AOD

We have considered the AERONET AOD hourly data as reference data for validating the MODIS-derived AOD 470 nm. There are five AERONET sites over turkey, while two of them are appropriate in this study due to the time interval considered. First, because of lack of enough corresponding image data over the mentioned AERONET sites,

Table 4  
Average, standard deviation, maximum, minimum, and percentage of change rate values of the MODIS-derived AOD calculated during January–December 2019 and 2020 over Turkey.

| Month | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | Jun. | Jul. | Aug. | Sep. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. |
|-------|------|------|------|------|-----|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Year  | 2019 | 2020 | 2019 | 2020 | 2019| 2020 | 2019 | 2020 | 2019 | 2020 | 2019 | 2020 |
| Mean  | 0.1298 | 0.1155 | 0.1155 | 0.0889 | 0.0955 | 0.1245 | 0.0484 | 0.0447 | 0.0429 | 0.0459 | 0.0407 | 0.0494 |
| S.D   | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Min   | 0.6040 | 2.1270 | 0.5980 | 1.1390 | 1.4710 | 0.8880 | 394.6 | 722.4 | 426.0 | 1191.3 | 1026.8 | 2121.9 |
| Max   | 378.0 | 1728.6 | 930.9 | 514.5 | 748.6 | 1050.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| Area (km²) for AOD>0.4 | PCR | Max | 29.84 | 0.24 | 4.80 | 12.38 | 24.34 | 0.24 | 10.98 | 23.07 | 4.0000 | 4.0000 |
| Year  | 2019 | 2020 | 2019 | 2020 | 2019| 2020 | 2019 | 2020 | 2019 | 2020 | 2019 | 2020 |
| Mean  | 0.1444 | 0.1622 | 0.1695 | 0.1283 | 0.1514 | 0.1826 | 0.0619 | 0.0854 | 0.0712 | 0.0897 | 0.0700 | 0.0946 |
| S.D   | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Min   | 378.0 | 1728.6 | 930.9 | 514.5 | 748.6 | 1050.4 | 10.98 | 23.07 | 4.0000 | 4.0000 | 4.0000 | 4.0000 |
| Max   | 378.0 | 1728.6 | 930.9 | 514.5 | 748.6 | 1050.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 |

while a decreasing trend is observed in 2019, showing that the lockdown
restrictions were not effective in decreasing the ground-based SO2 levels
as in the satellite-based results.

Indeed, TROPOMI-based NO2 and SO2, and station-based measurements
do not present the same quantity of NO2 and SO2 since the TROPOMI measures the total vertical amount of atmospheric NO2 and SO2, whereas ground stations provide only near-surface distribution of these pollutants. Even so, we checked if these data sets (satellite and ground measurements) have any relationship in this case study. In order to validate the TROPOMI-based vertical column product, it is required to have information on the vertical profile of the pollutant from the ground stations or other airborne systems. Concerning the total column of a pollutant, the vertical sensitivity of the retrieval is described by the total column-averaging kernel (Borsdorff et al., 2014). However, when the ground measurement is not a vertical profile, the application of the total column-averaging kernel becomes more difficult (Borsdorff et al., 2018). Only few ground stations are operational for providing the vertical
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The monthly average MODIS-derived AOD over Turkey in 2019 and 2020.
we used a distance buffer around sites almost 1.5 km and 6 km on ERDEMLI site and TUZ_GÖLÜ_3 site, respectively (henceforth mentioned with ERDEMLI* and TUZ_GÖLÜ_3*). Due to the absence of AERONET data in Tuz_Göölü_3*, only July–August 2018 data sets were used for this site, whereas, for the ERDEMLI* site, the data were available from July 2018 to March 2019. The results of the validation analysis between MODIS-derived AOD and AERONET-based AOD are illustrated in Fig. 11. The findings show that MODIS-derived AOD is well correlated with the AERONET AOD in both ERDEMLI* and TUZ_GÖLÜ_3* sites with the R-value equal to 0.86 and 0.82, and RMSE equal to 0.065 and 0.097, respectively. According to some studies, these correlation coefficient values are much similar with other resulted through various methods (Aldabash et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2020b). It is also worth noting that estimated surface reflectance’s errors and AOD conversion algorithms in aerosol loading can be influence over obtained results (Grey et al., 2006; Gupta et al., 2016).

4.6. Relationships between monthly average satellite-based air pollutants and climatological/meteorological parameters

It is worth emphasizing that the air quality does not depend only on the concentration of the pollutants, but also on the local and regional climatological and meteorological conditions (Ji et al., 2020). Researchers have investigated the spatial and temporal relationship between air pollutants and key meteorological factors (Athanassiadou et al., 2018; Banerjee et al., 2011). In addition, some studies have shown that meteorological conditions controlled the spatial and temporal impacts on air quality (Ji et al., 2020; Qiao et al., 2019). Hence, these factors and parameters should be thoroughly investigated to find out the impact of human and industrial activities on the pollutant concentration in the atmosphere. Therefore, we examined the correlation between each pollutant and key meteorological/climatological parameters for the lockdown period. Meteorological/climatological parameters include the monthly average of air temperature (Temp.) from the ground to 2 m height in Kelvin, the monthly sum of total precipitation (Prec.) in meters, the monthly average of Surface pressure (Pres.) in pascal, and the monthly average of wind speed (WS) in 10 m of ground in m/s. Table 5 provides the monthly average values of all parameters and the correlation coefficients between them. Table 5 shows significant correlations between all pollutants and air temperature in 2019 and 2020 ranging from 0.68 to 0.98. While the air temperature revealed strong negative correlations with NO₂ and SO₂, it had a high positive correlation with AOD for the lockdown period. Concerning the surface pressure, the correlations with all pollutants showed identical behaviors as in air temperature providing negative correlations with NO₂ and SO₂, whereas positive correlation with AOD. Besides, the absolute value of these correlations varied from 0.55 to 0.99 in 2019 and 2020. The precipitation and air pollutants did not have high correlations presenting almost
zero correlation with AOD in 2019 and 2020, while moderate positive correlations with NO\textsubscript{2} and SO\textsubscript{2} in 2020. Considering the wind speed and air pollutant relations, low correlation coefficients were observed in 2019 for all variables; however, moderate negative correlation and high positive correlation was obtained from NO\textsubscript{2} (0.56) - SO\textsubscript{2} (0.65) and AOD (0.92), respectively, in 2020. Hence, these results are indicating the main contribution of meteorological parameters in decreasing air pollution. According to Ghahremanloo et al. (2020), while there was a relatively high correlation between daily NO\textsubscript{2} concentration and daily meteorological parameters, there was a relatively high correlation over four region of China in 2019, but the correlations have reduced in 2020 during the quarantine. However, these results indicate the lockdown period times were the major reasons for decreasing in NO\textsubscript{2} density.

### 4.7. Relationships between monthly average satellite-based air pollutants and PMI data

The PMI of a certain month is released on the first business day of the following month, and it mainly provides the earliest information about the economic performance, which is usually measured by Gross Domestic Product (GDP) (Tsuchiya, 2012). The PMI is also taken into account as a good indicator of industrial production, which is one of the most important indices for assessing economic conditions (Tsuchiya, 2012). Since industrial activities are sometimes shown as one of the main factors causing air pollution, we investigated if there is any correlation between PMI and satellite-derived pollutants. To the best of our knowledge, only one study investigated NO\textsubscript{2} pollution and PMI relationship during the February 2020 COVID-19 lockdown in China (Diamond and Wood, 2020). Diamond and Wood (2020) stated that the PMI and ln(NO\textsubscript{2}) showed a pronounced and unprecedented decline in
Fig. 11. Scatterplots between MODIS-derived AOD 470 nm and AERONET AOD 470 nm.

Table 5

| Variables | 2019 | 2020 |
|-----------|------|------|
|           | Mar. | Apr. | May  | Mar. | Apr. | May  |
| NO₂       | 1.46 | 1.14 | 1.05 | 1.22 | 1.01 | 0.92 |
| SO₂       | 13.83| 5.38 | 4.44 | 15.93| 9.39 | 4.90 |
| AOD       | 0.10 | 0.19 | 0.18 | 0.12 | 0.13 | 0.18 |
| Temp. (K) | 278.77| 282.40| 289.92| 280.43| 283.22| 288.72|
| Cor.(NO₂,Temp.) | 0.68 | 0.68 | 0.68 | 0.68 | 0.68 | 0.68 |
| Cor.(SO₂,Temp.) | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| Cor.(AOD,Temp.) | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| Cor.(NO₂,Pres.) | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.19 |
| Cor.(SO₂,Pres.) | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.19 |
| Cor.(AOD,Pres.) | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.19 |
| Cor.(NO₂,Prec.) | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.19 |
| Cor.(SO₂,Prec.) | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.19 |
| Cor.(AOD,Prec.) | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.19 |
| WS (m/s) | 0.35 | 0.13 | 0.65 | 0.43 | 0.41 | 0.50 |
| Cor.(NO₂,WS) | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| Cor.(SO₂,WS) | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| Cor.(AOD,WS) | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 |

February 2020 followed by a rapid recovery. In Turkey, almost more than 80% of economic activities are managed in Marmara and Aegean region. Therefore, in this analysis, the monthly PMI values were compared with the monthly average air pollutants of the Marmara and the Aegean regions. Fig. 12 presents the scatter plot (a) and bar charts (b-d) between monthly PMI and monthly average air pollutants. The time interval of this analysis covers from January 2019 to September 2020. It is clear from Fig. 12a that air pollutants and PMI do not have high correlation coefficients with NO₂ (R = −0.08), SO₂ (R = −0.13) and AOD (R = 0.08) proving that industrial activities do not have a high impact on air pollution on their own. Although Fig. 12b and c illustrate a decreasing trend in NO₂ and SO₂ associated with PMI values in the lockdown period, it is clear that this trend is similar in both 2019 and 2020, and the long-term variations in the PMI do not prove any relationship with air pollutants. Unlike NO₂ and SO₂ trend, AOD has an increasing trend related to the PMI in the lockdown period, but the same trend is also observed for the same period of the previous year. Besides, the long-term variations in the PMI again do not prove any relationship with AOD.

4.8. Discussion on the effect of COVID-19 on environmental sustainability and air quality

So far, many studies have emphasized the effect of the COVID-19 on the sustainable development of the environment (Shulla et al., 2021; Rume and Islam, 2020; Mukherjee et al., 2020; Praveena and Aris, 2021; Kumar et al., 2020). Rupani et al. (2020) published a detailed review about the COVID-19 and its natural environmental impacts, which is also illustrated in Fig. 13. In addition, Bherwani et al. (2021) analyzed the impact of the COVID-19 on Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) of the United Nations in Indian subcontinent with a focus on air quality. All these studies revealed that the measures taken to control the spread of the virus had both negative and positive effects on the environment globally (Fig. 14). Concerning the positive effects, there were noticeable improvements in air quality, and reduction in Greenhouse Gases (GHGs) emissions, water pollution and environmental noise. However, the pandemic had also negative sides such as the increase in medical waste and household plastics/waste, and careless and overusing of masks, gloves, and disinfectants.

These environmental effects of the COVID-19 are assumed not to last long time. Therefore, this pandemic provides an opportunity to develop a proper strategy for a better and long-term sustainable environmental management, and to determine sustainable solutions for further improvement in the air quality after the pandemic. Within this context, Fig. 15, for which detailed information is provided by Rume and Islam (2020), illustrates some possible strategies for global environmental sustainability.

5. Conclusion

In this study, the spatial-temporal patterns of Sentinel-5P TROPOMI-derived air pollutants, namely, NO₂ and SO₂, and MODIS-derived AOD are monitored and investigated between January 2019 and September 2020 including first wave lockdown period of COVID-19 outbreak over Turkey. The GEE platform is used for the retrieval and processing of satellite imagery, and data analysis.

According to the obtained results, NO₂ characteristically reach minimum values in the spring, then starting to increase in the summer and reaches maximum in the wintertime. Unlike NO₂, SO₂ becomes minimum in the whole spring and summer seasons. On the contrary, AOD is higher between the months of April and October than the others are. The NO₂ results from Sentinel-5P are highly correlated with the results from the ground stations. Besides, MODIS-derived AOD is very consistent with AERONET results. In addition, all the results have significant correlations with some meteorological parameters, especially pressure and temperature. Interestingly, the correlations with all parameters are higher in 2020.

The results and analyses show that temporal variations are more representative in NO₂ for the lockdown period of COVID19 outbreak. As seen from Figs. 3 and 4, NO₂ concentrations in the lockdown period of 2020 are significantly smaller than in the same period of 2019. After the lockdown, the concentrations leap higher levels than 2019, especially starting from July. The NO₂ concentrations are mainly affected by transportation, industrial and agricultural activities, heating in the wintertime, air conditioning need in the summertime. PMI analyses show that there are weak correlation between industrial activities and NO₂ concentration. The lockdown period covers the springtime in which
heating, air conditioning agricultural needs are minimal. Therefore, it is considered that decreasing in transportation and industrial activities play the key role in decreasing NO$_2$ concentration during the lockdown period. The increase of the concentrations after the lock down coincides with the summer time. As seen from Fig. 3, spatial distribution of the NO$_2$ concentrations remarkably grows around the southern regions rather than the northern regions. They are the main touristic and agriculture regions in Turkey. In summer time, human population in touristic regions dramatically increases, so transportation and air-conditioning need also dramatically increase in these regions, especially in the southwestern part. For agriculture regions, irrigation, fertilization and stubble burning happen at the highest level in the summer time. As for the wintertime, it is understood the combustion increases due to house heating, which is the main parameter affecting the NO$_2$ concentration.

Unlike the decreasing trend of NO$_2$ in the lockdown period, the same significant decrease are not observed in SO$_2$ vertical column density and AOD during the whole lockdown period. Although, the AOD distribution significantly decreased only in April 2020, which the tightest restrictions were applied due to the COVID-19 outbreak, same period of 2019. These results reveal the lockdown had no effect on reducing effect on the vertical column density of SO$_2$. As in NO$_2$ analyses, the relationship between SO$_2$ and AOD, and meteorological/climatological parameters presents that air temperature and surface pressure have strong correlations with air pollutants, whereas precipitation and wind speed are not effective as well as air temperature and surface pressure. PMI correlation results also present almost no relationship with SO$_2$ and AOD showing economic/industrial activities do not have a high impact on the behavior of the air pollutants on their own. Compared to the April 2019, the spatial distribution of SO$_2$ in the 2020 lockdown period had slightly higher concentrations.

This study is the first attempt to monitor and analyze the effects of the first wave COVID-19 lockdown period as well as on air pollution using satellite-derived air pollutants and their relationships with various parameters in Turkey at a national level. The analyses in this study show that air pollution variation due to human activity can be monitored most effectively through NO$_2$ concentration using satellite imageries. In this way, GEE provides a powerful and very effective platform for researchers to analyze these parameters. Processing Sentinel-5P data in this platform, it is found out that NO$_2$ concentration significantly decreased during the first wave lockdown period of COVID-19 outbreak. The further analyses of the results with other parameters, shows that the transportation and industrial activities played the key role in decreasing the NO$_2$ level. As for the summer time after the lockdown, agricultural and touristic activities caused to higher NO$_2$ levels. Thus, as a future work, the authors plan to investigate the relationship between air pollutants and with various parameters such as traffic density, touristic and agricultural statistics as well as with fatality cases of COVID-19 outbreak on the city level in Turkey.
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