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ABSTRACT
Genetically engineered animal models that reproduce human diseases are very important for the pathological study of various conditions. The development of the clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPR) system has enabled a faster and cheaper production of animal models compared with traditional gene-targeting methods using embryonic stem cells. Genome editing tools based on the CRISPR-Cas9 system are a breakthrough technology that allows the precise introduction of mutations at the target DNA sequences. In particular, this accelerated the creation of animal models, and greatly contributed to the research that utilized them. In this review, we introduce various strategies based on the CRISPR-Cas9 system for building animal models of human diseases and describe various in vivo delivery methods of CRISPR-Cas9 that are applied to disease models for therapeutic purposes. In addition, we summarize the currently available animal models of human diseases that were generated using the CRISPR-Cas9 system and discuss future directions.
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Introduction
The clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPR) system, which is derived from the adaptive immune system of prokaryotes, is a breakthrough genome editing technology that can be applied to a variety of researches. Among them, the third-generation gene editing system Streptococcus pyogenes Cas9 (SpCas9) can efficiently introduce mutations at desired target positions in the genome in a guide RNA (gRNA)-dependent manner (Cong et al. 2013). The target recognition sequence of SpCas9, called the protospacer-adjacent motif (PAM) sequence, is 5′-NGG-3′ (N = A or T or G or C). To form a functional Cas9/gRNA complex, SpCas9 requires a gRNA consisting essentially of a CRISPR RNA (crRNA) and a trans-activating crRNA (tracrRNA). The Cas9 protein, which can target specific genes for editing and correction, generates DNA double-strand breaks at 20 base pair of target sequence positions that are complementary to the short gRNAs. The cleaved DNA is repaired by non-homologous end joining (NHEJ) or homology directed repair (HDR) endogenous repair mechanisms, to produce insertion or deletion (indel) mutations.

SpCas9 is widely used in a variety of basic research and clinical applications, including in vivo studies, because it provides highly efficient and characterized PAM sequences. Other highly efficient in vivo gene editing tools include Acidaminococcus sp. Cpf1 (AsCpf1), Lachnospiraceae bacterium Cpf1 (LbCpf1), Staphylococcus aureus Cas9 (SaCas9), and Campylobacter jejuni Cas9 (CjCas9). Among them, AsCpf1 and LbCpf1 have high HDR efficiencies, which allow the insertion or conversion of specific sequences. Therefore, these tools can be useful to generate knockin animal models (Moreno-Mateos et al. 2017). Moreover, SaCas9 and CjCas9 are smaller than SpCas9 and can be effectively used in in vivo delivery studies using adeno-associated virus (AAV) with packing limitation (Ran et al. 2015; Kim et al. 2017). Recently, cytosine base editor (CBE) and adenine base editor (ABE) have been reported, which are new programable base editing methods that can convert C to T or A to G at the nucleotide level (Komor et al. 2016; Gaudelli et al. 2017). Base editors are useful gene editing methods that can directly apply human pathogenic single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) to animal models and therapeutic studies. Here, we describe various advanced genome editing methods that can be applied to animal modeling and therapeutic research, and discuss future prospects.
Table 1. Generation and treatment of animal models of human diseases using genome editing methods.

| Species | Target gene | Disease | Technique | Editing Method | Reference |
|---------|-------------|---------|-----------|----------------|-----------|
| Mouse   | Fah         | Tyrosinemia | Microinjection | SpCas9 mRNA  | Li et al. (2015) |
|         | Rag1, IL2RgammaC | Immunodeficient | Microinjection | SpCas9 mRNA  | Duan et al. (2016) |
|         | Notch3      | Lateral meningocoe syndrome | Microinjection | SpCas9 mRNA  | Rauch et al. (2018) |
|         | ATP6V1H     | Osteoporosis | Microinjection | SpCas9 mRNA  | Mochizuki et al. (2018) |
|         | Bril        | Osteogenesis imperfecta (OI) | Microinjection | SpCas9 mRNA  | Duan et al. (2016) |
|         | Sox9        | Acanthopicom camptomelic dysplasia (ACD), Campomelic dysplasia (CD) | Microinjection | SpCas9 mRNA  | Mochizuki et al. (2018) |
|         | Dystroplin  | Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy (DMD) | Electroporation | Cytidine Base editor 3 | Kim et al. (2017) |
|         | p53, Lkb1, KRAS | Cancer | Intratracheal injection | SpCas9 AAV  | Platt et al. (2014) |
| Rat     | Tyrosine hydroxylase (TH) | Parkinson's disease (PD) | Intracranial injection | SpCas9 plasmid | Back et al. (2019) |
|         | Huntingtin (HTT) | Huntington's disease (HD) | Somatic cell nuclear transfer | SpCas9 plasmid | Yan et al. (2018) |
|         | Parkin, Pink1 | Parikinson's disease (PD) | Somatic cell nuclear transfer | SpCas9 plasmid | Zhou et al. (2015) |
| Monkey  | Dystroplin  | Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy (DMD) | Microinjection | SpCas9 mRNA  | Chen et al. (2015) |
| Dog     | Myostatin   | Muscle hypertrophy | Microinjection | SpCas9 mRNA  | Sui et al. (2018) |
| Rabbit  | Myostatin   | Muscle hypertrophy | Microinjection | SpCas9 mRNA  | Sui et al. (2018) |
| Dog     | Dystroplin  | Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy (DMD) | Microinjection | SpCas9 mRNA  | Xu et al. (2018) |
|         | PAX4        | Diabetes mellitus (DM) | Microinjection | SpCas9 mRNA  | Xu et al. (2018) |
| Dog     | F8          | Hemophilia A | Patient-Derived iPSCs correction and transplantation | SpCas9 Plasmid | Park et al. (2015) |
|         | F9          | Hemophilia B | Intravenous injection | SaCas9 AAV  | Ohmori et al. (2017) |
|         | Dystroplin  | Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy (DMD) | Intramuscular injection, Intrapertoneal injection, Intravenous injection, Retro-orbital injection, Intramuscular injection | SaCas9 AAV | Nelson et al. (2016) |
|         |             |             |             |                    | Long et al. (2016) |
|         |             |             |             |                    | Bengtsson et al. (2017) |
|         | SOD1        | Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) | Intravenous injection | SaCas9 AAV  | Gaj et al. (2017) |
|         | Fah         | Tyrosinemia | Intravenous injection (Hydrodynamic injection) | SpCas9 Plasmid | Yin et al. (2014) |
|         |             |             | Intravenous injection | SpCas9 AAV  | Yin et al. (2016) |
|         |             |             | (Hydrodynamic injection) | Adenine Base Editor (ABE) | Song et al. (2019) |
|         | LTR, Gag, Pol| HIV-1/AIDS | Intravenous injection, Intravaginal injection, Retro-orbital injection | SaCas9 AAV | Kaminski et al. (2016) |
|         |             |             |             |                    | Yin et al. (2017) |
|         | Huntingtin (HTT) | Huntington's disease (HD) | Stereotactic injection | SpCas9 AAV  | Monkeys et al. (2017) |
|         | Vegfr2      | Age-related macular degeneration (AMD) | Intravitreal injection | SpCas9 AAV  | Huang et al. (2017) |
|         | Vegfr       | Subretinal injection | SpCas9 | AAV | Kim et al. (2017) |
|         | Vegfa       | Intravitreal injection | CjCas9 | AA | Kim et al. (2017) |
|         | Hif1a       | Intravitreal injection | LbCpf1 | AA | Koo et al. (2018) |
|         | Bace1       | Alzheimer's disease (AD) | Intracranial injection | SpCas9 RNP with peptide | Park et al. (2019) |
| Rat     | Rho         | Retinal dystrophy | Subretinal injection | SpCas9 plasmid | Bakondi et al. (2016) |
| Dog     | Dystroplin  | Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy (DMD) | Intramuscular injection, Intravenous injection | SpCas9 AAV | Amoasi et al. (2018) |
| Pig     | Alb         | Liver failure, traumatic shock | Microinjection | SpCas9 mRNA  | Peng et al. (2015) |
**Generation of animal models of human diseases using genome editing methods (Table 1)**

The use of fertilized 1-cell-stage embryos is the most common method of producing genome-engineered animal models. The methods that are used for producing animal models using fertilized embryos with the CRISPR system include microinjection, electroporation, and genome editing via oviductal nucleic acid delivery (GONAD) (Figure 1). Microinjection is a method of injecting the Cas9/gRNA complex directly into the cytoplasm or pronucleus of fertilized 1-cell embryos, whereas electroporation enables gene editing by inducing electric stimulation in the presence of the Cas9/gRNA complex to fertilized 1-cell embryos (Wang et al. 2013; Qin et al. 2015). GONAD is a new method to introduce the Cas9/gRNA complex into embryos without sacrificing animals (Gurumurthy et al. 2016). The Cas9/gRNA complex for genome editing is injected directly into the oviduct of pregnant mouse, followed by in situ electroporation. Here, we describe studies of animal models of diseases using various CRISPR-based genome editing methods.

**Mice and rats**

The most common animal model of diseases generated using genome editing is the rodent, including mice and rats. In 2013, the Jaenisch group reported knockin or knockout mouse models that were generated using a one-step method via cytoplasmic microinjection of fertilized eggs using the RNA-guided Cas9 nuclease system (Wang et al. 2013; Mashiko et al. 2013; Shen et al. 2013). Since then, various animal models of disease have been generated using the CRISPR-Cas9 system.

The CRISPR-Cas9 system allows the generation of mutant mouse models that cannot be genetically manipulated using previous approaches. The Su group reported the generation of an immunodeficient mouse model with NRG (NOD-Rag1-/IL2RgammaC-null) knock-out and a tyrosinemia mouse model with Fah gene knockin by combining in vitro fertilization (IVF) with the CRISPR-Cas9 technology. A sufficient number of fertilized embryos were produced through IVF, and a high rate of gene targeting was achieved via pronuclear microinjection of the Cas9 mRNA, gRNA, and single-stranded oligonucleotide DNA (ssDNA) into the embryos (Li et al. 2015).

One group created a human lateral meningocele syndrome (LMS)-related mutant mouse model of the Notch3 gene via microinjection of the Cas9 mRNA and gRNA. The LMS-related mutant mouse model induced a 35%–60% decrease in the cancellous bone volume, together with a reduction in trabecular number, which mimics the skeletal manifestation of lateral meningocele syndrome (Canalis et al. 2018). In addition, several studies have reported mouse models of osteoporosis that were generated by knocking out the ATP6V1H gene, a subunit of V-

![Figure 1. Mouse modeling methods using the CRISPR system.](image-url)

A, CRISPR delivery to zygote embryos using microinjection (pronucleus/cytoplasm) or electroporation. Edited 2-cell-stage embryos are transplanted into a surrogate mouse and the edited offspring are obtained. B, Genome editing via oviductal nucleic acid delivery (GONAD) is a new method of introduction of the Cas9/gRNA complex into embryos. Direct injection of the Cas9/gRNA complex for genome editing into oviduct of pregnant mouse, followed by an electrical impulse.
ATPase that plays an important role in the biological and physiological functions of osteoclasts (Yao et al. 2007; Duan et al. 2016; Zhang et al. 2017). The Moffatt group reported a knockin mouse model that mimicked the BRIL mutation of patients with osteogenesis imperfecta type V perfectly. Osteogenesis imperfecta type V is caused by an autosomal dominant c.-14C > T mutation in the 5′ untranslated region (UTR) of BRIL, which creates a novel translational start site that adds five residues (MALEP) in frame to the natural sequence of BRIL. The authors synthesized gRNAs targeting the 5′UTR of the mouse Bril gene and injected them into mouse embryos together with the Cas9 mRNA and a 67mer single-stranded oligodeoxynucleotide (ssODN) carrying the c.-14C > T mutation. MALEP-BRIL heterozygous mice also induced less mineralization and excessive cartilage differentiation from incomplete osteoblast differentiation and bone collar formation (Rauch et al. 2018).

In humans, SRY-box 9 (SOX9) mutations lead to acampomelic campomelic dysplasia (ACD) and campomelic dysplasia (CD), which are developmental disorders of cartilage. The Takai group generated a mouse model of ACD and CD by deleting the cartilage-specific SOX9 enhancer, termed the rib-cage-specific enhancer, using the embryo microinjection method of the CRISPR system. This model was consistent with the clinical phenotypes of human ACD/CD patients and is expected to be applicable to studies aimed at the treatment of ACD/CD (Mochizuki et al. 2018).

As mentioned previously, the Liu group introduced a new CBE method that can convert C to T at the nucleotide level (Komor et al. 2016). The Kim group reported a mouse model of Duchenne muscular dystrophy (DMD) that was generated by inducing stop codons from a single C to T conversion at exon 20 of the dystrophin gene via cytidine-deaminase-mediated base editing by embryo electroporation using a CBE protein and gRNA (Kim et al. 2017).

The Zhang groups reported CRISPR-Cas9 knockin mice for genome editing and cancer modeling. Using this model, a gRNA was delivered to the AAV vector system to model simultaneously the dynamics of the top three significantly mutated genes, i.e. KRAS, p53, and LKB1, to cause lung adenocarcinoma (Platt et al. 2014).

As for rats, the Harvey group generated a Parkinson’s disease model by knocking out Tyrosine Hydroxylase (TH) gene from brain dopaminergic neurons in rats via intracranial injection of AAV vectors expressing SpCas9 and gRNA (Back et al. 2019). This Parkinson’s disease rat model is expected to be used for multipurpose studies aimed at developing therapies for this disease.

Large animals (pigs, monkeys, dogs, and rabbits)

Various neurodegenerative diseases, including Alzheimer’s disease (AD), Huntington’s disease (HD), Parkinson’s disease (PD), and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS), share the characteristics of age-dependent neurological symptoms and selective neuronal degeneration (Wyss-Coray 2016). HD results from a monogenic mutation consisting of the expansion of a CAG repeat located in exon 1 of the huntingtin (HTT) gene (Bates et al. 2015). One group used the CRISPR-Cas9 system to generate a HD pig model that expresses full-length mutant HTT endogenously. In that study, the brains of the HD model pigs showed selective degeneration of striatal medium spiny neurons (Yan et al. 2018).

The loss of function of the Parkin and PINK1 proteins can cause PD. PD pig models have been generated by editing the Parkin, PINK1, and DJ1 genes using CRISPR-system-based somatic cell nuclear transfer (Hai et al. 2014; Zhou et al. 2015).

Another study reported a monkey model of DMD by targeting CRISPR-Cas9 to exons 4 and exons 46 of the dystrophin gene (Chen et al. 2015; Niu et al. 2014).

The myostatin (MSTN) gene is a negative regulator of skeletal muscle mass (McPherron et al. 1997). MSTN knockout dog and rabbit models with a double muscle phenotype were generated by injecting the Cas9 mRNA and gRNA into zygotes, to generate a muscle hypertrophy model (Zou et al. 2015; Lv et al. 2016). One group also reported the generation of DMD rabbit models via microinjection of Cas9 mRNA and gRNA targeting dystrophin exon 51 into rabbit zygotes (Sui et al. 2018).

Lastly, PAX4 is a major diabetes mellitus (DM) susceptibility gene that is related with many different types of DM, including T1DM, T2DM, maturity-onset diabetes, type 9 (MODY9), and ketosis-prone diabetes. A PAX4 knockout model was generated by co-injection of the Cas9 mRNA and gRNA into rabbit zygotes. This rabbit model of DM exhibited typical phenotypes of growth retardation, persistent hyperglycemia, reduced insulin-producing β cells, and increased glucagon-producing cells (Xu et al. 2018).

Therapies for animal models of human diseases using genome editing methods (Table 1)

The CRISPR delivery system for genome editing is classified into plasmid-based CRISPR-Cas9, Cas9 mRNA/gRNA, and Cas9/gRNA ribonucleoproteins (RNPs). The delivery strategies of the CRISPR-Cas9 gene editing system for therapeutic applications include the use of
peptides, liposomes, or nanoparticles as carriers or the use of viral delivery systems (such as AAV and lentiviruses) (Figure 2). Here, we introduce a series of studies aimed at developing therapies for animal models of human diseases using genome editing methods.

**Mice and rats**

The Kim group reported functional correction of F8 gene chromosomal inversions in iPSCs derived from patients with hemophilia A using CRISPR-Cas9 (Park et al. 2015). Similarly, hemophilia B was also corrected by F9-gene-targeted SaCas9 AAV in mice (Ohmori et al. 2017).

DMD is an X-linked recessive disease that is caused by mutations in the dystrophin gene, which contains 79 exons, resulting in progressive muscle degeneration, muscle weakness, and myopathy (Hoffman et al. 1987). Most attempts at DMD correction aimed to restore dyspophin expression in a DMD mouse model (mdx) harboring a frameshift mutation in exon 23 of the gene by genome editing using an AAV delivery system of SaCas9 or SpCas9 with gRNA (Long et al. 2016; Nelson et al. 2016; Tabeordbar et al. 2016; Bengtsson et al. 2017). In addition to AAV, treatments that deliver RNP–gold particle complexes have also been reported (Lee et al. 2017). Dmd knockout mice were used by the Kim group to inject trans-splicing viral vectors (tsAAVs) of the ABE into the tibialis anterior muscle, to convert a premature stop codon to a glutamine codon and restore the expression of the dystrophin protein (Ryu et al. 2018).

ALS, characterized by loss of motor function, is a fatal and incurable neurodegenerative disease affecting the spinal cord and brain. Approximately 20% of familial cases of ALS carry autosomal dominant mutations in the superoxide dismutase 1 (SOD1) gene. The Schaffer group demonstrated that disruption of mutant SOD1 expression in the G93A-SOD1 mouse model of ALS via in vivo CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing using an AAV vector resulted in delayed disease onset, improved motor function, and reduced muscle atrophy (Gaj et al. 2017).

Hereditary tyrosinemia type I (HTI) is a fatal genetic disease caused by a mutation in fumarylacetoacetate hydrolase (FAH), the last enzyme of the catabolic pathway. FAH deficiency is associated with the accumulation of fumarylacetoacetate; accumulation of toxic metabolites in hepatocytes leads to severe liver damage and renal proximal tubule damage. The Fah5981SB mouse model, which harbors a homologous G to A point mutation in the last nucleotide of exon 8 of the Fah gene, recapitulates the human disease fully (Pauk et al. 2010). Recent studies have shown that the application of plasmid delivery of SpCas9 or ABE, gRNA, and HDR template DNA using the hydrodynamic

---

**Figure 2. Strategies for delivering the CRISPR system in vivo.** The CRISPR-Cas9 system can be delivered in either DNA, mRNA, or protein form in vivo, to induce gene editing. In vivo delivery methods, such as viruses, liposomes, peptides, or nanoparticles, have been developed for genome editing and can be applied to a variety of animals.
injection method induced specific genomic correction of the Fah splicing mutation (Yin et al. 2014; Song et al. 2019; Yin et al. 2016). Another group reported that the application of a combination of viral and lipid nanoparticle-mediated systems of delivery of the SpCas9 mRNA, gRNA, and repair template DNA enables specific correction of the Fah splicing mutation in a Fah5981SB mouse model (Yin et al. 2016).

Complete elimination or sterilization of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) is very important to achieve permanent treatment for HIV/acquired immunodeficiency syndrome. The Khalili and Hu groups removed the HIV-1 DNA via intravenous injection of an AAV system containing gRNA and SaCas9 into a humanized mouse model of chronic HIV-1 infection (Yin et al. 2017; Kaminski et al. 2016). This approach offers the potential to be used as a tool to remove targeted fragments of the HIV-1 genome from latently infected human cells.

Huntington disease is a genetic degenerative neurological disease caused by the expansion of CAG repeats in the huntingtin gene. The Davidson group reported a study of the therapeutic application of gene editing of mutant HTT alleles using the Cas9/gRNA AAV system in a transgenic HD mouse model harboring the human allele (Monteys et al. 2017).

Targeting of various angiogenesis-related genes has been reported as a therapy for age-related macular degeneration. For example, Vegfr2 targeting by AAV intravitreal injection (Huang et al. 2017), Vegfr targeting by RNP and liposome subretinal injection (Kim et al. 2017), and Vegfa targeting using CjCas9 AAV intravitreal injection (Kim et al. 2017) have been reported. In addition, a recent study reported the targeting of the HIF1 gene using LbCpf1 AAV (Kim et al. 2017) in a laser-injury-induced mouse model of choroidal neovascularization (CNV).

Regarding treatments for AD, one group recently reported using Cas9/gRNA RNPs and R7L10 peptide nano-complexes to target the Bace1 gene to alleviate the symptoms of AD in 5xFAD and APP knockin mice (Park et al. 2019).

We also address the development of therapeutic approaches using CRISPR-Cas9 in rat models of human diseases. Transgenic S334ter rats carrying the mouse genomic fragment containing RhoS334 exhibit phenotypic similarities to human class-I RHO mistrafficking mutations and show continual photoreceptor (PR) loss and commensurate vision decline. The resultant peptide (RHO5334) lacks three serine residues that are required for PR deactivation after light stimulation and part of the signal sequence that is required for RHO trafficking to photoreceptor outer segments (Sung et al. 1994). The Wang group showed that CRISPR-Cas9 can be used in vivo to ablate selectively the rhodopsin gene carrying the dominant S334ter mutation (RhoS334) in a rat model of severe autosomal dominant retinitis pigmentosa. Allele-specific destruction of RhoS334 was induced by electroporation after subretinal injection of a Cas9/gRNA plasmid, which prevented retinal degeneration and improved visual function (Bakondi et al. 2016).

**Large animals (dogs and pigs)**

The delta E50-MD canine model of DMD, with loss of exon 50 and skipping of exon 51, carries a mutation corresponding to the mutant ‘hotspot’ in the human dystrophin gene. The Olson group delivered CRISPR gene editing components to dogs via intramuscular or systemic delivery using the AAV system. After systemic delivery to skeletal muscle, the levels of dystrophin were recovered to up to 90% of the normal values. These data gleaned from large-animal models support the concept that gene editing approaches can be clinically useful in the treatment of DMD (Amoasii et al. 2018).

In the pig model, the therapy approach is slightly different from that used for other animal models. Human serum albumin (HAS) has therapeutically significance for patients with liver failure and traumatic shock; however, its high cost and low availability make clinical use difficult. As a result, transgenic pigs were generated as a source of human serum albumin, but the purification of the human albumin only from endogenous pig albumin presented a practical challenge. The Zhang group used CRISPR-Cas9-mediated gene editing to replace the pig albumin gene with the human albumin cDNA (Peng et al. 2015). This group created pigs that only produced recombinant human albumin, which provides a promising strategy for the production of other biomedical therapeutics in large domesticated animals.

**Conclusion**

The CRISPR-Cas9 system is a very important and useful gene editing tool that can be applied to disease modeling and therapeutic research using a variety of animals. In this review, we introduced and summarized animal models of human diseases and therapeutic studies based on the CRISPR-Cas9 system. Recently, a method of producing animal models using the CRISPR system was reported, which uses mainly microinjection, electroporation, and the newly developed GONAD method with fertilized 1-cell embryos. In particular, the electroporation of the protein or mRNA form of Cas9 enabled the easy and quick production of animal models. Moreover,
the development of the GONAD method has simplified the animal modeling process without animal sacrifice.

Here, we also introduced studies of therapies that employed animal models of diseases using various delivery strategies, such as viruses, liposomes, peptides, or nanoparticles. However, the delivery strategies of the CRISPR system in vivo have not been fully validated regarding safety, accuracy, and efficiency. For clinical applications, further research will be needed to validate these delivery strategies. In addition, problems such as low HDR efficiency, off-target effects and immune rejection are steadily being raised. Several groups have recently pointed out that CRISPR-Cas9 causes unexpected large deletions and complex lesions in addition to predictable indels and conversion (Adikusuma et al. 2018; Kosicki et al. 2018). Thus, research aimed at overcoming these obstacles must be continued.
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