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Abstract

Critical 2D Ising model with a boundary magnetic field is arguably the simplest QFT that interpolates between two non-trivial fixed points. We use the diagonalising Bogolyubov transformation for this model to investigate two quantities. Firstly we explicitly construct an RG interface operator that is a boundary condition changing operator linking the free boundary condition with the one with a boundary magnetic field. We investigate its properties and in particular show that in the limit of large magnetic field this operator becomes the dimension 1/16 primary field linking the free and fixed boundary conditions. Secondly we use Schrieffer-Wolff method to construct effective Hamiltonians both near the UV and IR fixed points.
1 Introduction

We consider the two-dimensional critical Ising model on an upper half-plane with complex coordinates \( z = x + iy, \bar{z} = x - iy \) and the boundary at \( z = \bar{z} \). The model admits two elementary conformal boundary conditions corresponding to keeping the boundary spin fixed (up or down) or allowing it to fluctuate freely. In terms of free massless fermions \( \psi(z), \bar{\psi}(\bar{z}) \) the free boundary condition corresponds to setting \( \psi(z) = \bar{\psi}(\bar{z}), z = \bar{z} \) and the fixed one corresponds to \( \psi(z) = -\bar{\psi}(\bar{z}), z = \bar{z} \). For the free boundary condition the vacuum is doubly degenerate. In the quantisation with time along the boundary we can choose a basis of spin up and spin down vacua: \( |0, \pm \rangle \). To describe the boundary spin operator we introduce following [1], [2] a boundary fermion field \( a(x) \) with a two-point function

\[
\langle a(x)a(x') \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \text{sign}(x - x').
\]

The corresponding operator \( a \) acts on the vacua as \( a|0, \pm \rangle = |0, \mp \rangle \).

Starting with a free boundary condition we can perturb it by switching on a boundary magnetic field \( h \) that couples to the boundary spin operator \( \sigma_B(x) = i(\psi(x) + \bar{\psi}(x))a(x) \). The Euclidean action functional describing the perturbed model on the upper half plane is

\[
S = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \int_{0}^{\infty} dy \left[ \psi \partial_{\bar{z}} \bar{\psi} + \bar{\psi} \partial_{z} \psi \right] + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \left[ -\frac{i}{4\pi} \psi \bar{\psi} + \frac{1}{2} a \partial_{x} a + ih(\psi + \bar{\psi})a \right].
\]

This model was introduced in [1] where in particular it was shown that it is integrable. The local magnetisation was computed in [2] and the exact boundary state was found in [3]. (The results of two latter papers were also generalised to non-critical temperature in [7], [8] but in the present paper we confine ourselves to the critical bulk.) The boundary entropy flow was studied in [4] while the approach to the infrared fixed point and some numerical aspects were studied in [5], [6]. In the latter two papers it was shown how to solve the model in the Hamiltonian formalism using a Bogolyubov transformation.

In the present paper our main interest in this model lies in the fact that while being Gaussian and solvable by fairly elementary methods it interpolates between two non-trivial fixed points. This allows one to use it as a laboratory for investigating the approach to non-trivial fixed points. While the onset of RG flow near a UV fixed point has been studied extensively in QFT literature, and has many results which are now considered standard, the situation near a nontrivial end point of the flow is not so well understood. We focus our attention on two quantities. The first is the RG interface. The idea of an RG interface was first spelled out in [10], [9] and then elaborated in [9] and [11]. In general, for a perturbation of a Euclidean UV CFT by a relevant operator \( \phi(x) \) with coupling \( \lambda \) we consider a set up in which we perturb on a half space and renormalise it by adding the usual counter terms inside the perturbed region as well as, possibly, additional counter terms on the boundary of the perturbed region. The renormalised theory defines a codimension one extended object which we call an interface between the UV CFT and the perturbed QFT. The interface has its own stress-energy tensor conserved together with the stress-energy tensors of the bulk theories [20], [21]. If we
take the RG scale on the perturbed side to infinity we get a conformal interface between the UV CFT and the IR CFT. The latter can be trivial in which case we get a conformal boundary condition describing the vacuum of the perturbed theory in the far infrared.

For a boundary CFT in two-dimensions (BCFT) perturbed by a boundary operator the corresponding interface between the UV BCFT and the perturbed theory is point-like and is thus described by a local boundary condition changing operator. We denote this operator $\psi_{\lambda,0}$ assuming that we are on the upper half plane and the perturbed boundary condition is to the right of $\psi_{\lambda,0}$ (see the picture below). Similarly we introduce a conjugate operator $\tilde{\psi}_{0,\lambda} = \psi_{\lambda,0}^\dagger$ that has the perturbed boundary condition on its right.

Any boundary RG flow always ends at some infrared BCFT (there is no mass gap and no boundary analogue of the trivial QFT). We thus get a boundary condition changing operator between two BCFTs which we call an RG operator. Unlike in the case of bulk RG flows where (with the exception of very few cases) we don't have a description of all conformal interfaces between the UV and IR CFTs, for two BCFT's (with the same two-dimensional bulk CFT) we often have a complete description of all boundary condition changing operators. For example this is the case when the bulk CFT is a Virasoro minimal model. Then all boundary conditions and all boundary condition changing operators have been classified by Cardy [17]. Cardy’s construction has been generalised in [19] to the case of general rational CFTs. For boundary RG flows in the minimal models triggered by $\psi_{1,3}$ boundary operators a concrete proposal for an RG operator between the UV and IR BCFTs was investigated in [12].

In the present paper we explicitly construct the RG interface operator for the boundary magnetic flow. It is given by formula (3.6) which is one of our main results. For the infinite magnetic field limit we show that this operator tends to the boundary condition changing primary field of scaling dimension 1/16. We investigate some properties of the interface operator including its expansion near the IR fixed point.

The second quantity we consider is the effective Hamiltonian which we construct systematically both near the UV and IR fixed points. While both Hamiltonians describe small energy states they have different nature. For the UV effective Hamiltonian we adopt the mode truncation regularisation of [5] and the effective interaction terms describe the low energy effects of the omitted high energy modes. These interaction terms are given by irrelevant operators with couplings that depend on the boundary magnetic field $h$ and the truncation parameter. Such effective Hamiltonians are well
understood and we work it out for the present model to see how the Schrieffer-Wolff method [22] (see [24] for a review) works in this simple setting and to prepare ground for the infrared effective Hamiltonian which is our main interest.

Usually in QFT when we study a flow ending up in the infrared at a non-trivial fixed point we do not go beyond guessing the leading irrelevant operator along which the theory arrives to the fixed point. But even a perturbation by a single irrelevant operator requires infinitely many counter terms. Furthermore irrelevant perturbations can lead to unstable or non-unitary theories depending on the sign of the perturbation or when the value of the coupling is large enough. The subleading terms are necessary to keep the effective theory unitary and well defined at a range of scales near the fixed point. The leading irrelevant terms in the effective actions near infrared fixed points were studied for integrable RG flows in two dimensions in [25], [26], [37], [28], [33]. For the boundary magnetic field model the leading irrelevant perturbation was identified in [5]. It is proportional to the bulk stress-energy tensor restricted to the boundary. In this paper we go beyond [5] and show how one can systematically generate the subleading terms in the effective Hamiltonian using the Schrieffer-Wolf method. We calculate explicitly some of the subleading terms, they are presented in (6.33). The two themes – the RG interface and the effective infrared theory, get interwoven when we discuss the expansion of the RG interface in the far infrared.

The main body of the paper is organised as follows. In section 2 we explain how the model is solved using a Bogolyubov transformation. That includes both the spectrum and the vacuum. In section 3 we explicitly construct the interface operator between the unperturbed and perturbed models and analyse its asymptotic behaviour. In section 4 the spectrum of the mode truncated theory is discussed along with generalisations of the model which include switching on perturbations by the stress-energy tensor. In section 5 we discuss the effective Hamiltonian near the UV fixed point. We first derive it using the exact solution for stress-energy tensor perturbation described in section 4. After that we introduce the Schrieffer-Wolf method and apply it to the same problem. In section 6 we use the Bogolyubov transformation and a version of Schrieffer-Wolf transformations to show how one can systematically generate terms in the effective Hamiltonian near the infrared fixed point, explicitly computing some of the subleading terms. In section 7 we conclude pointing at some questions that would be interesting to address. Two appendices contain some calculational details.

2 Solution via Bogolyubov transformation

As discussed in [1] for conformal field theory on a half plane the stress-energy tensor conservation equations are

$$\partial_x T = 0, \quad \partial_x \bar{T} = 0,$$

$$T(x) - \bar{T}(x) = -2\pi i \frac{d\theta(x)}{dx}$$

(2.1)

where as usual $T = -2\pi T_{zz}$, $\bar{T} = -2\pi T_{\bar{z}\bar{z}}$ are rescaled components of the stress-energy tensor while $\theta(x)$ is the single boundary component. The Hamiltonian for translations
along the boundary can then be written as
\[ H = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty \left[ T(x + iy) + \bar{T}(x - iy) \right] dy + \theta(x). \]  
(2.2)

For a perturbation of conformal boundary condition described by a Euclidean action
\[ S = S_0 + \lambda \int \psi(x), \]  
(2.3)

with \( \psi(x) \) being some boundary operator, one has
\[ \theta(x) = \beta(\lambda) \psi^{\text{ren}}(x) \]  
(2.4)

where \( \Delta \) is the conformal dimension of \( \psi(x) \).

To study our model using the hamiltonian formalism we put it on a strip. This gives us a discrete Hilbert space and regulates infrared divergences. Let the strip have width \( L \) and coordinates \( \tau \in \mathbb{R}, \sigma \in [0, L] \) along and across the strip respectively. The strip is related to the upper half plane by a conformal transformation \( w = \frac{L}{\pi} \log(z) \) where \( w = \tau + i\sigma \). The free boundary condition on both ends of the strip is described by
\[ \psi(w)|_{\sigma = 0} = \bar{\psi}(\bar{w})|_{\sigma = 0}, \quad \psi(w)|_{\sigma = L} = -\bar{\psi}(\bar{w})|_{\sigma = L}. \]  
(2.5)

This gives the following standard mode expansions for the fermion fields on the strip
\[ \psi(w) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{L}} \sum_{k = -\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{(k+1/2)^2}{L^2}(\tau + i\sigma)} a_{k+1/2}, \]  
\[ \bar{\psi}(w) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{L}} \sum_{k = -\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{(k+1/2)^2}{L^2}(\tau - i\sigma)} a_{k+1/2}. \]  
(2.6)

The corresponding creation operators are \( a_{k+1/2}^\dagger = a_{-(k+1/2)}, k \geq 0 \) that together with the annihilation operators \( a_{k+1/2}, k \geq 0 \) furnish a representation of the Neve-Schwarz (NS) algebra. The Hamiltonian that generates translations along the strip is given by
\[ H_0 = \frac{\pi}{L} (L_0 - \frac{c}{24}) = \frac{\pi}{L} \left( \sum_{k = 0}^{\infty} (k + \frac{1}{2}) a_{k+1/2}^\dagger a_{k+1/2} - \frac{1}{48} \right). \]  
(2.7)

As we have a finite length system it no longer exhibits a degenerate vacuum. The unique vacuum \( |0\rangle \) satisfies \( a_{k+1/2}|0\rangle = 0 \). The boundary fermion operator \( a \) mixes the states with even and odd number of creation operators so that the physical state space \( \mathcal{H}_L \) is spanned by the orthonormal basis
\[ a_{k_{1}+1/2}^\dagger \cdots a_{k_{N}+1/2}^\dagger |0\rangle, \quad N\text{-even}, \quad a_{k_{1}+1/2}^\dagger \cdots a_{k_{N}+1/2}^\dagger |a\rangle, \quad N\text{-odd}. \]  
\(^1\)

\(^1\)We adopt a convention in which correlation functions are deformed by inserting \( e^{-S} \).
It is also convenient to consider an extended Fock space $F_L$ spanned by vectors of the above type with parity conditions on the numbers of oscillators disregarded. We have $F_L = H_L \oplus H'_L$ where $H'_L$ is spanned by vectors

$$a^\dagger_{k_1+1/2} \cdots a^\dagger_{k_N+1/2}|0\rangle, \quad N-\text{odd}, \quad a^\dagger_{k_1+1/2} \cdots a^\dagger_{k_N+1/2}|a\rangle, \quad N-\text{even}. \quad (2.9)$$

The operator $a$ can be defined on $F_L$ by requiring that it anti-commutes with all $a^\dagger_{k+1/2}$, $a_{k+1/2}$ and satisfies $a^2 = 1$, and $a|0\rangle = |a\rangle$.

We can also introduce a boundary fermion operator $a'$ (on $F_L$) that leaves on the $\sigma = L$ end of the boundary. It satisfies $(a')^2 = 1$, anti-commutes with $a$ and all operators $a^\dagger_{k+1/2}$, $a_{k+1/2}$ and can be chosen to act

$$a'|0\rangle = i|a\rangle, \quad a'|a\rangle = -i|0\rangle. \quad (2.10)$$

We further form operators

$$A = \frac{1}{2}(a + ia'), \quad A^\dagger = \frac{1}{2}(a - ia') \quad (2.11)$$

that satisfy the canonical anti-commutation relations

$$\{A, A\} = \{A^\dagger, A^\dagger\} = 0, \quad \{A, A^\dagger\} = 1. \quad (2.12)$$

We note that

$$A|0\rangle = 0, \quad A^\dagger|0\rangle = |a\rangle. \quad (2.13)$$

The space $F_L$ is then the standard Fock space for the canonical oscillator algebra spanned by $\{A, A^\dagger, a_{k+1/2}, a^\dagger_{k+1/2} | k = 0, 1, \ldots \}$ with the Fock vacuum $|0\rangle$. The physical state space $H_L$ is preserved by products of even numbers of operators from this oscillator algebra.

Perturbing the model by the boundary magnetic field $h$ on the $\sigma = 0$ end of the strip is described on a half plane by a Hamiltonian of the form (2.2), (2.4) with $\theta(x)$ proportional to $\sigma_B(x)$. On the strip the perturbed Hamiltonian reads

$$H_h = H_0 + ih\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{L}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (a^\dagger_{k+1/2} + a_{k+1/2}) \quad (2.14)$$

that is defined on $H_L$. It is convenient to introduce a dimensionless Hamiltonian

$$h_\alpha \equiv \frac{L}{\pi} H_h = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k + \frac{1}{2})a^\dagger_{k+1/2}a_{k+1/2} - \frac{1}{48} + i\alpha a \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (a^\dagger_{k+1/2} + a_{k+1/2}) \quad (2.15)$$

where

$$\alpha = h\sqrt{\frac{L}{\pi}} \quad (2.16)$$

is a dimensionless coupling.

The RG flow sends the coupling $\alpha$ to infinity so that asymptotically the model approaches a non-trivial infrared fixed point described by the fixed spin boundary condition.
at $\sigma = 0$ (the sign of the spin is fixed by the sign of the coupling $\alpha$). The infrared fixed point (keeping the spectator boundary condition at $\sigma = L$ always to be free) is described by Ramond oscillators $b_n^\dagger$, $b_n$, where $n > 0$ is an integer and $b_0$ is the zero mode. The corresponding dimensionless Hamiltonian is

$$h_\infty = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} nb_n^\dagger b_n + \frac{1}{24}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.17)

where the $c/24$ Casimir energy is shifted due to the $1/16$ weight of the $\sigma$-representation of the Virasoro algebra.

We will solve the perturbed model (2.15) by a Bogolyubov transformation that in particular will establish a precise connection between the $a_{k+1/2}^\dagger$ and $b_n^\dagger$ oscillators.

### 2.1 The spectrum

The Hamiltonian (2.15) is quadratic and can be diagonalised by means of a Bogolyubov transformation. To find the latter we write a linear ansatz

$$b_\omega = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (A_{\omega,k} a_{k+1/2}^\dagger + B_{\omega,k} a_{k+1/2}) + \frac{a}{f(\omega)},$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.18)

subject to the equation

$$[h_\alpha, b_\omega] = \omega b_\omega.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.19)

The system of linear equations on the coefficients $A_{\omega,k}$, $B_{\omega,k}$, $f(\omega)$ admits a non-trivial solution provided $\omega$ satisfies the following transcendental equation

$$\tan(\pi \omega) = -\frac{\omega}{2\pi \alpha^2}, \quad \alpha \neq 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.20)

It has a zero mode solution $\omega = 0$ as well as an infinite number of solutions $\pm \omega_n$, $n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$ with $\omega_{n+1} > \omega_n > 0$. The positive $\omega$ solutions $b_\omega$ are creation operators and the negative $\omega$ ones are the conjugated annihilation operators. The values $\omega_p$ give excitation energies above the vacuum. We will formally demonstrate this below but before that we discuss some general features of the solutions to (2.20) and develop further the Bogolyubov transformation.

Equation (2.20) is quite well known in the literature and in fact there are explicit integral formulas available for the values $\omega_p$ derived via a suitable Riemann factorisation problem [30]. Most of the general features of the spectrum however can be easily obtained directly from equation (2.20) as well as the initial pieces of power series expansions near $\alpha = 0$ and $\alpha = \infty$. As we increase $\alpha$ from $\alpha = 0$ towards $\alpha = \infty$ each value $\omega_n$ monotonically interpolates between $\omega_n = n - 1/2$ at $\alpha = 0$ and $\omega_n = n$ at $\alpha = \infty$. This corresponds to a flow between the Neveu-Schwarz and Ramond algebras describing the free and fixed boundary conditions at the UV and IR ends of the RG flow. For $n \gg \alpha^2$ we have

$$\omega_n = n - 1/2 + \frac{2\alpha^2}{n - 1/2} + O\left(\frac{n}{\alpha^2}\right)^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.21)
that means that above the energy scale $\alpha^2$ set by the coupling $h$ we recover the UV CFT spectrum of conformal dimensions.

Coming back to the linear transformation (2.18) we further choose a set of canonically normalised solutions

\begin{align*}
    b_{\alpha,n}^\dagger &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (A_{n,k}a_{k+1/2} + B_{n,k}a_k) + \frac{a}{f_n}, \\
    b_{\alpha,n} &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (A_{n,k}^*a_{k+1/2} + B_{n,k}^*a_k^\dagger) + \frac{a}{f_n}
\end{align*}

(2.22)

with

\begin{align*}
    A_{n,k} &= \frac{2i\alpha}{(k + 1/2 - \omega_n)f_n}, \\
    B_{n,k} &= \frac{-2i\alpha}{(k + 1/2 + \omega_n)f_n}, \\
    f_n &= \sqrt{2 + 4\alpha^2 \pi^2 + \frac{\omega_n^2}{\alpha^2}}.
\end{align*}

(2.23)

The zero mode is chosen to be

\begin{align*}
    b_{\alpha,0} &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (A_{0,k}a_{k+1/2} + B_{0,k}a_k) + \frac{a}{f_0},
\end{align*}

(2.25)

with

\begin{align*}
    A_{0,k} &= \frac{2i\alpha}{(k + 1/2)f_0}, \\
    B_{0,k} &= \frac{-2i\alpha}{(k + 1/2)f_0}, \\
    f_0 &= \sqrt{2 + 4\alpha^2 \pi^2}.
\end{align*}

(2.26)

These solutions satisfy the canonical anti-commutation relations

\begin{align*}
    \{b_{\alpha,n}^\dagger, b_{\alpha,m}\} = \delta_{n,m}, \quad \{b_{\alpha,n}^\dagger, b_{\alpha,m}^\dagger\} = \{b_{\alpha,n}, b_{\alpha,m}\} = 0, & \quad n, m > 0, \\
    \{b_{\alpha,0}, b_{\alpha,0}\} = 2b_{\alpha,0}^2 = 1, \quad \{b_{\alpha,0}, b_{\alpha,n}\} = \{b_{\alpha,0}, b_{\alpha,n}^\dagger\} = 0.
\end{align*}

(2.27)

The phases of these solutions are chosen based on computational convenience. With this choice we have

\begin{align*}
    \lim_{\alpha \to 0} b_{\alpha,0} &= \frac{a}{\sqrt{2}}, \\
    \lim_{\alpha \to 0} b_{\alpha,n}^\dagger &= i\text{sign}(\alpha)a_{n-1/2}^\dagger, \\
    \lim_{\alpha \to 0} b_{\alpha,n} &= -i\text{sign}(\alpha)a_{n-1/2}.
\end{align*}

(2.29)

We further define operators

\begin{align*}
    B_{\alpha} &= \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{2}b_{\alpha,0} + i\alpha), \\
    B_{\alpha}^\dagger &= \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{2}b_{\alpha,0} - i\alpha)
\end{align*}

(2.30)

that anti-commute with all operators $b_{\alpha,k}^\dagger, b_{\alpha,n}, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and satisfy

\begin{align*}
    \{B_{\alpha}, B_{\alpha}\} = \{B_{\alpha}^\dagger, B_{\alpha}^\dagger\} = 0, \quad \{B_{\alpha}, B_{\alpha}^\dagger\} = 1.
\end{align*}

(2.31)

The equations (2.22), (2.25) then define a linear canonical transformation from the fermionic oscillator algebra $A$ spanned by $\{A_{\alpha,k}^\dagger, a_{\alpha,k+1/2}^\dagger\} |k = 0, 1, \ldots\}$ and the fermionic algebra $B$ spanned by $\{B_{\alpha}, B_{\alpha}^\dagger, b_{\alpha,k}, b_{\alpha,k}^\dagger |n = 1, 2, \ldots\}$. 
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Next we show that the canonical transformation at hand is realised by a unitary operator that acts in $\mathcal{F}_L$ and preserves the subspaces $\mathcal{H}_L, \mathcal{H}'_L$. (It thus deserves to be called a Bogolyubov transformation.)

First we remind the reader some general facts (see e.g. [16]). A general canonical transformation acting on oscillator algebra spanned by $a_i^\dagger, a_i$ with $i$ from some discrete index set, can be written as

$$a_i' = \sum_j (\Phi_{ij} a_j + \Psi_{ij} a_j^\dagger), \quad (a_i')^\dagger = \sum_j (\Phi_{ij}^* a_j^\dagger + \Psi_{ij}^* a_j).$$

(2.32)

A unitary operator $U$ such that

$$a_i' = U a_i U^{-1}, \quad (a_i')^\dagger = U a_i^\dagger U^{-1}$$

exists if and only if the operator $\Psi_{ij}$ is Hilbert Schmidt that is if

$$\text{Tr} \Psi_{ij}^\dagger \Psi = \sum_{i,j} |\Psi_{ij}|^2 < \infty.$$  

(2.33)

In the case at hand we calculate from (2.22), (2.25) and the definitions of $A^\dagger, A, B_{\alpha}, B_{\alpha}^\dagger$

$$\text{Tr} \Psi_{ij}^\dagger \Psi = \sum_{i,j} |\Psi_{ij}|^2 < \infty.$$ 

(2.34)

because of (2.21). The above inequality is true for every finite value of $\alpha$. In section 3 we will explicitly construct the corresponding unitary operator. Since this operator preserves the numbers of oscillators it preserves the physical space $\mathcal{H}_L$ and the complimentary space $\mathcal{H}'_L$. The Fock vacuum state $|0\rangle_\alpha$ of the algebra generated by $\{B_{\alpha}, B_{\alpha}^\dagger, b_{\alpha,n}, b_{\alpha,n}^\dagger | n = 1, 2, \ldots \}$ satisfies $B_{\alpha}|0\rangle = b_{\alpha,n}|0\rangle = 0, n = 1, 2, \ldots$. As is well known in the theory of Bogolyubov transformations the vacuum $|0\rangle$ can be written as an exponential of an operator quadratic in the creation operators $a_{k+1/2}^\dagger, A^\dagger$ and thus belongs to $\mathcal{H}_L$.

We now turn to the Hamiltonian which being rewritten in terms of the new set of oscillators is

$$h_\alpha = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \omega_n b_{\alpha,n}^\dagger b_{\alpha,n} + \mathcal{E}_\alpha$$

(2.36)

where

$$\mathcal{E}_\alpha = -\frac{1}{48} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^\infty (n - 1/2 - \omega_n)$$

(2.37)

\footnote{This expression can be formally derived by substituting the linear transformations (2.22) into $\sum \omega_n b_{\alpha,n}^\dagger b_{\alpha,n}$, normal ordering the expression with respect to the oscillators $a_k^\dagger, a_k$ and then using the standard bilinear relations for the coefficients of the Bogolyubov transformation stemming from the canonical anti-commutation relations (2.27). Also some regularisation of the sums should be assumed in these manipulations.}
is the new vacuum energy. It follows from (2.21) that this sum diverges as a harmonic series. In the Lagrangian description this divergence shows up as a one loop divergence in the integral of the two point function \( \langle a(\tau)\psi(\tau)a(\tau')\psi(\tau') \rangle \) that has a \( 1/|\tau - \tau'| \) singularity. Using contour integration the subtracted energy can be written as

\[
\mathcal{E}_{\alpha}^{\text{ren}} = -\frac{1}{48} - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty dx \ln \left( \frac{x + 2\pi \alpha^2 \tanh(\pi x)}{x + 2\pi \alpha^2} \right) + \alpha^2 \ln(\alpha^2 \mu) \quad (2.38)
\]

where \( \mu \) is a subtraction point. In the limit \( \alpha \to \infty \) the value of the integral term becomes 1/16 that is the lowest conformal weight for fixed-free boundary conditions on a strip.

Evidently the states minimising the energy of (2.36) considered as an operator on \( \mathcal{F}_L \) are linear combinations of the Fock vacuum \( |0\rangle_\alpha \) and the state \( B^\dagger_\alpha |0\rangle_\alpha \). Since as explained before \( |0\rangle_\alpha \in \mathcal{H}_L \) it follows that \( B^\dagger_\alpha |0\rangle_\alpha \in \mathcal{H}'_L \) and therefore \( |0\rangle_\alpha \) is the unique vacuum of the Hamiltonian \( h_\alpha \) that lies in the physical state space \( \mathcal{H}_L \). All excited energy eigenstates are obtained by acting on \( |0\rangle_\alpha \) by an even number of creation oscillators \( B^\dagger_\alpha, b^\dagger_\alpha \). Since \( B^\dagger_\alpha \) commutes with \( h_\alpha \) the energy spectrum is given by a sum of \( \mathcal{E}_\alpha \) and a number of values \( \omega_\kappa \) without repetition. This spectrum can be easily extrapolated to \( \alpha = \infty \) that clearly coincides with the free fermion one that describes (2.17).

2.2 Some further formal aspects

It is straightforward to find that the inverse transformation to (2.22), (2.25) is

\[
a^\dagger_{k+1/2} = \sum_{n=1}^\infty (A^*_n b^\dagger_{a,n} + B_n b_{a,n}) + A^*_0 b_0,
\]

\[
a_{k+1/2} = \sum_{n=1}^\infty (A_n b_{a,n} + B^*_n b^\dagger_{a,n}) + A_0 b_0,
\]

\[
a = 2 \left[ \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{b^\dagger_{a,n} + b_{a,n}}{f_n} + \frac{b_0}{f_0} \right].
\]

The linear transformation (2.22), (2.25) can be continued to the limit \( \alpha = \infty \) where all coefficients tend to finite limits. Explicitly we get a linear transformation

\[
b^\dagger_n = \sum_{k=0}^\infty (A^\infty_n a^\dagger_{k+1/2} + B^\infty_n a_{k+1/2}),
\]

\[
b_n = \sum_{k=0}^\infty ((A^\infty_n)^* a_{k+1/2} + (B^\infty_n)^* a^\dagger_{k+1/2}),
\]

\[
b_0 = \sum_{k=0}^\infty (A^\infty_0 a^\dagger_{k+1/2} + B^\infty_0 a_{k+1/2})
\]
with
\[ A_{n,k}^\infty = \frac{i}{\pi(k + 1/2 - n)}, \quad B_{n,k}^\infty = \frac{-i}{\pi(k + 1/2 + n)}, \quad (2.42) \]
\[ A_{0,k}^\infty = \frac{i}{\pi(k + 1/2)}, \quad B_{0,k}^\infty = \frac{-i}{\pi(k + 1/2)}. \quad (2.43) \]

We see that the boundary fermion \( a \) drops out of the linear transformation and thus can no longer be obtained as a linear combination of \( b_n^\dagger, b_n, b_0 \). (If we take the limit \( \alpha \to \infty \) mode-wise in (2.40) we obtain zero on the right hand side.) It is straightforward to check though that (2.41) still defines a canonical transformation between the set of modes \( \{ a_{k+1/2}^\dagger, a_{k+1/2} \mid k \in \mathbb{N} \} \) and the set \( \{ b_0, b_n^\dagger, b_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \} \). The inverse transformation is obtained by substituting (2.42), (2.43) into (2.39). This transformation however is no longer a Bogolyubov transformation\(^3\) as
\[ \sum_{n=1}^\infty \sum_{k=0}^\infty |B_{n,k}^\infty|^2 \quad (2.44) \]
diverges logarithmically (as a harmonic series \( \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{1}{n} \)). This fact has an interesting interpretation in terms of the RG interface operator that we discuss in section 3. The modes \( \{ b_0, b_n^\dagger, b_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \} \) are associated with the Ramond free fermion description of the IR fixed point with Hamiltonian (2.17).

We note in passing that we also derived a Bogolyubov transformation between the oscillators of two deformed theories with couplings \( \alpha_1 \) and \( \alpha_2 \). Taking \( \alpha_2 \) to infinity we find a transformation that is no longer a canonical linear transformation.

### 2.3 The vacuum

In this section we will construct explicitly the vacuum state \(|0\rangle\_\alpha \) in terms of the UV CFT oscillators \( a_{k+1/2}^\dagger \) and the boundary fermion \( a \). For a general Bogolyubov transformation (2.32) the Fock space vacuum annihilated by all of the annihilation oscillators \( a_i^\dagger \) is given by
\[ |0\rangle' = \Theta \det(\Phi \Phi^\dagger)^{1/4} \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} a_i^\dagger (\Phi^{-1} \Psi)_{ij} a_j^\dagger \right] \quad (2.45) \]
where \( \Theta \) is a phase that can be chosen arbitrarily. For the Bogolyubov transformation at hand the matrix \( \Phi_{ij} \) is an infinite-dimensional matrix that does not have any simple structure that would allow us to invert it explicitly. To obtain an explicit form of the vacuum we proceed in a roundabout way.

Writing an ansatz
\[ |0\rangle\_\alpha = \mathcal{N}_\alpha \exp \left[ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p,r=0}^{n_c} a_{p+1/2}^\dagger M_{pr} a_{p+1/2}^\dagger \right] \left( |0\rangle + i \sum_{l=0}^{n_c} a_{l+1/2}^\dagger |a\rangle \right) \quad (2.46) \]
we require that it is an eigenvector of the perturbed Hamiltonian (2.15) with an eigenvalue \( \mathcal{E}_0 \). As \( \mathcal{E}_0 \) is expected to be divergent we regularise all sums over mode numbers by

---

\(^3\)In terminology of [1] we have here an improper canonical transformation.
truncating them at some value \( n_c \gg 1 \) to be later taken to infinity. Direct calculation yields the following set of equations

\[
(p + r + 1)M_{pr} - \alpha (d_r - d_p + \sum_{k=0}^{n_c} [M_{kp}d_r - M_{kr}d_p]) = 0,
\]

\[
d_p(p + 1/2) - \alpha \left( 1 + \sum_{k=0}^{n_c} M_{kp} \right) = \mathcal{E}_0d_p,
\]

\[-\alpha \sum_{k=0}^{n_c} d_k = \mathcal{E}_0. \tag{2.47}\]

Combining the first two equations we obtain

\[
M_{pr} = d_pd_r \left( \frac{p - r}{r + p + 1} \right) \quad r, p = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \tag{2.48}\]

Substituting (2.48) and the third equation in (2.47) into the second equation in (2.47) we get the following system of quadratic equations on the vector \( d_p \)

\[
(p + 1/2)d_p \left( 1 + 2\alpha \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{d_k}{p + k + 1} \right) = \alpha \quad p = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \tag{2.49}\]

where we already took the limit \( n_c \to \infty \). If we solve (2.49) then using (2.48), (2.46) we obtain the vacuum vector.

Next we define a generating function

\[
f(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} d_ke^{-(k+1/2)t}, \quad t > 0. \tag{2.50}\]

We see from (2.49) that for \( p \to \infty \), neglecting the sum, we have \( d_p \to \frac{\alpha}{p + 1/2} \) so that the series in (2.50) converges uniformly for all \( t > 0 \).

In terms of the generating function (2.50) the system of equations (2.49) takes the form of an integral equation

\[
f(t) + 2\alpha \int_{0}^{\infty} dt' f(t+t')f(t') = \alpha G(t) \quad t \geq 0 \tag{2.51}\]

where

\[
G(t) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-(l+1/2)t}}{l + 1/2} = \ln \left( \frac{1 + e^{-t/2}}{1 - e^{-t/2}} \right). \tag{2.52}\]

To extend the above integral equation to the entire real line we define

\[
f_+(t) = \begin{cases} f(t), & t > 0 \\ 0, & t \leq 0 \end{cases}, \quad f_-(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & t \geq 0 \\ f(-t), & t < 0 \end{cases} \tag{2.53}\]
and
\[ G_+(t) = \begin{cases} G(t), & t > 0 \\ 0, & t \leq 0 \end{cases}, \quad G_-(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & t \geq 0 \\ G(-t), & t < 0 \end{cases}. \] (2.54)

In terms of these functions we recast equation (2.51) as
\[ f_+(t) + f_-(t) + 2\alpha \int_0^\infty dt' f_+(t+t')f_+(t') = \alpha(G_+(t) + G_-(t)) \quad t \in \mathbb{R} \] (2.55)

We next define the Fourier transforms
\[ \tilde{f}_\pm(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt f_\pm(t)e^{-i\omega t}, \quad \tilde{G}_\pm(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt G_\pm(t)e^{-i\omega t}. \] (2.56)

The function \( \tilde{f}_+(\omega) \) analytically extended to the complex plane is holomorphic in the lower half plane \( \text{Im}(\omega) < 0 \). Also, since \( \tilde{f}_-(\omega) = \tilde{f}_+(-\omega) \) the analytically extended \( \tilde{f}_-\) is holomorphic in the upper half plane. Fourier transforming (2.55) we obtain
\[ \tilde{f}_+(\omega) + \tilde{f}_+(-\omega) + 4\pi\alpha \tilde{f}_+(\omega)\tilde{f}_+(-\omega) = \alpha(\tilde{G}_+(\omega) + \tilde{G}_+(-\omega)) = \frac{\alpha \tanh(\pi\omega)}{2\omega}. \] (2.57)

Finally, defining
\[ \Phi(\omega) = 1 + 4\pi\alpha \tilde{f}_+(\omega) \] (2.58)
we rewrite the last equation as a holomorphic factorisation equation
\[ \Phi(\omega)\Phi(-\omega) = 1 + \frac{2\pi\alpha^2 \tanh(\pi\omega)}{\omega}. \] (2.59)

This can be solved by standard techniques. Relegating the details to appendix A we present here an integral formula for \( d_k \) that follows from the solution to (2.59)
\[ d_k = \frac{\Gamma(k + 1/2)}{\sqrt{2\pi\Gamma(k + 1)}} \exp \left[ -\frac{(k + 1/2)}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{x^2 + (k + 1/2)^2} \ln \left( 1 + \frac{x}{2\pi\alpha^2 \tanh(\pi x)} \right) \right]. \] (2.60)

3  The RG interface operator

3.1  Construction of the operator

In the operator quantisation we can associate with every interface between two QFTs a pairing of states in the two theories (see [13] for a general discussion). In particular in the hamiltonian formalism we can consider a pairing between eigenstates \( |E_i\rangle_0 \) of the Hamiltonian in the UV CFT and the eigenstates \( |E_j\rangle_\lambda \) of the Hamiltonian of the perturbed theory (both defined on a strip). We can consider solving for the perturbed eigenstates in the UV CFT state space. In superrenormalisable theories while the norms
of the perturbed eigenvectors defined in the unperturbed state space are divergent, the ratio’s of components are finite. Thus, we can write

$$|E_j\rangle_{\lambda} = \sum_{ji} C_{ji} |E_i\rangle_0$$

(3.1)

where $C_{ji}$ are numbers, understanding this as an expansion defining an element dual to the state space $\mathcal{H}_L$ of the UV CFT. The coefficients $C_{ji}$, defined up to an overall normalisation of $|E_j\rangle_{\lambda}$, are the pairings defined by RG interface. For a boundary perturbation the coefficients $C_{ij}$ corresponding to orthonormal basis vectors $|E_i\rangle_0$ and $|E_j\rangle_{\lambda}$ are matrix elements of the RG operator $\hat{\psi}_{0,\lambda}$

$$C_{ij} = a \langle E_i | \hat{\psi}_{0,\lambda} | E_j \rangle_{\lambda} .$$

(3.2)

For the boundary magnetic field model considered in this paper the expansion (3.1) is obtained via the Bogolyubov transformation solving the perturbed QFT. The corresponding RG operator that we denote as $\hat{\psi}_{0,\lambda}$ intertwines the Heisenberg algebras $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ defined in section 2.1.

For a general Bogolyubov transformation (2.32) such an intertwiner $\mathcal{I}_{0,0'}$ is defined as follows. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a Fock space representation corresponding to the canonical algebra with generators $a_i, a_i^\dagger$ and a vacuum $|0\rangle$ and let $U : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ be the unitary operator effecting the Bogolyubov transformation (2.32). Define a separate Fock space $\mathcal{F}'$ with vacuum $|0'\rangle$ realising a canonical algebra with generators $b_i, b_i^\dagger$. The intertwiner $\mathcal{I}_{0,0'} : \mathcal{F}' \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ is then defined so that

$$\mathcal{I}_{0,0'} b_i = \sum_j (\Phi_{ij} a_j + \Psi_{ij} a_j^\dagger) \mathcal{I}_{0,0'} , \quad \mathcal{I}_{0,0'} b_i^\dagger = \sum_j (\Phi_{ij}^* a_j^\dagger + \Psi_{ij}^* a_j) \mathcal{I}_{0,0'} .$$

(3.3)

Explicitly it can be written as

$$\mathcal{I}_{0,0'} = 0 \det \left( \Phi \Phi^* \right)^{1/4} |0\rangle \langle 0' | \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \left( \Phi^* \Phi - 2 a_i^\dagger \Phi^{-1} a_j b_j - a_i^\dagger \Phi^{-1} \Phi^* a_j^\dagger b_j \right) \right]$$

(3.4)

where all operators $a_i^\dagger$ are understood to be standing to the left of $|0\rangle$. The coefficients standing at monomials in the creation and annihilation operators are the same as the coefficients defining the symbol of the unitary operator $U$ (see [16] formula (5.15)).

Given the matrix $\mathcal{M}_{ij} = (\Phi^{-1} \Psi)_{ij}$ one can express the other two matrices entering (3.4) as

$$\Phi_{ij}^{-1} = \Phi_{ij}^\dagger + \sum_k \mathcal{M}_{ik} \Psi_{kj}^\dagger , \quad (\Phi^* \Phi^{-1})_{ij} = \sum_k \Psi_{ik}^* \Phi_{kj}^\dagger + \sum_{kl} \Psi_{ik}^* \mathcal{M}_{kl} \Psi_{lj}^\dagger .$$

(3.5)

We next specialise the general expression (3.4) to the boundary magnetic field model. As before let $\mathcal{F}_L$ be the Fock space corresponding to the algebra $\mathcal{A}$. Let us introduce an independent Fock space $\mathcal{F}_L^\alpha$ built from the vacuum $|0\rangle_\alpha$ annihilated by $\{ B_\alpha, b_\alpha, n = 1, 2, \ldots \}$ with the other states obtained by acting on the vacuum by the raising operators $\{ B_\alpha^\dagger, b_\alpha, n = 1, 2, \ldots \}$. The RG operator $\hat{\psi}_{0,\alpha} : \mathcal{F}_L^\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_L$ can then be worked out using
\[
\hat{\psi}_{0,\alpha} = \mathcal{N}_\alpha |0\rangle\langle 0|_\alpha \exp \left[ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p,r=0}^{\infty} a_{p+1/2}^\dagger M_{pr} a_{r+1/2}^\dagger + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} b_{\alpha,n} N_{nm} b_{\alpha,m} \right]
\]
\[
+i \sum_{n,p=0}^{\infty} a_{p+1/2}^\dagger O_{pn} b_{\alpha,n} \left( 1 + i \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{d}_n a_{\alpha,n} \right) \left( 1 + i \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} d_l a_{l+1/2}^\dagger a \right)
\]

where all operators \(a_{p+1/2}^\dagger\) and \(a\) act on \(|0\rangle\) from the left e.g.

\[
|0\rangle\langle 0|_\alpha a_{l+1/2}^\dagger b_{\alpha,m} a_{r+1/2}^\dagger b_{\alpha,n} \equiv -a_{l+1/2}^\dagger a_{r+1/2}^\dagger |0\rangle\langle 0|_\alpha b_{\alpha,m} b_{\alpha,n},
\]

the matrix \(M_{pr}\) and the vector \(d_l\) are given in (2.48), (2.60), while

\[
N_{nm} = \tilde{d}_n \tilde{d}_m \left( \frac{\omega_m - \omega_n}{\omega_m + \omega_n} \right), \quad m + n \neq 0, \quad N_{0,0} = 0,
\]

\[
O_{pn} = d_p \tilde{d}_n \left( \frac{p + 1/2 + \omega_n}{p + 1/2 - \omega_n} \right),
\]

\[
\tilde{d}_p = \frac{\sqrt{2\pi} |\alpha| \Gamma(\omega_p + 1/2)}{f_p \Gamma(\omega_p + 1)} \exp \left[ \frac{\omega_p}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{x^2 + \omega_p^2} \ln \left( 1 + \frac{x}{2\pi\alpha^2 \tanh(\pi x)} \right) \right]
\]

where \(p = 1, 2, \ldots\) and

\[
\tilde{d}_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}.
\]

The normalisation factor \(\mathcal{N}_\alpha\) can be expressed as a determinant of a certain infinite-dimensional matrix, we will not pursue an explicit expression for it. It will suffice to know that it is non-vanishing for all finite values of \(\alpha\) and goes to zero for \(\alpha \to \infty\). Note that \(\hat{\psi}_{0,\alpha}\) preserves the physical subspace \(\mathcal{H}_L^0 \subset \mathcal{F}_L^0\) that is built by acting on the Fock vacuum \(|0\rangle_\alpha\) by even numbers of creation operators. The physical subspace is mapped onto the physical subspace \(\mathcal{H}_L\). Since the Bogolyubov transformation is invertible there is also the inverse operator \(\hat{\psi}_{\alpha,0}\).

### 3.2 Further analysis

We next consider the \(\alpha \to \infty\) limit of the RG operator \(\hat{\psi}_{0,\alpha}\). We have \(\lim_{\alpha \to \infty} \omega_p = p\),

\[
d_k \to \frac{\Gamma(k + 1/2)}{\sqrt{2\pi} \Gamma(k + 1)}, \quad \tilde{d}_p \to \frac{\Gamma(p + 1/2)}{\sqrt{2\pi} \Gamma(p + 1)} = g(p)
\]

where \(k, p = 0, 1, \ldots\). The normalisation factor \(\mathcal{N}_\alpha\) vanishes in the \(\alpha \to \infty\) limit. This reflects the fact that the canonical transformation at hand stops being proper. Multiplying by a suitable function of \(\alpha\) that diverges for \(\alpha \to \infty\) we obtain in the limit a finite non-vanishing quantity we denote \(\mathcal{N}_\alpha^{\text{ren}}\). It is convenient to introduce

\[
a_{0}^\dagger \equiv ia
\]
and to set \( g(-1/2) = 1 \) so that we can compactly write

\[
\hat{\psi}_{0,\infty} = N_{\infty}^{\text{ren}} |0\rangle \langle 0|_\infty \exp \left[ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p,r=-1/2}^\infty a_p^{\dagger} M_{pr}^{\infty} a_r^{\dagger} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n,m=0}^\infty b_n N_{nm}^{\infty} b_m \right] + i \sum_{n=0}^\infty \sum_{p=-1/2}^\infty a_p^{\dagger} O_{pn}^{\infty} b_n
\]

(3.14)

where

\[
M_{pr}^{\infty} = g(p)g(r) \left( \frac{p-r}{r+p+1} \right), \quad O_{pn}^{\infty} = g(p)g(n) \left( \frac{p+1/2+n}{p+1/2-n} \right),
\]

(3.15)

\[
N_{n,m}^{\infty} = g(n)g(m) \left( \frac{m-n}{m+n} \right), \quad n + m \neq 0, \quad N_{0,0}^{\infty} = 0.
\]

(3.16)

and the indices \( p, r \) in the sums are understood to run over the set \( \{-1/2, 0, 1, 2, \ldots\} \).

To define matrix elements of \( \hat{\psi}_{0,\infty} \) between physical states it is convenient to introduce a basis in each physical space. For an orthonormal basis in \( \mathcal{H}_L \) we take

\[
|k_1 \ldots k_{2n}\rangle_{NS} = a_{k_1+1/2}^{\dagger} \ldots a_{k_{2n}+1/2}^{\dagger} |0\rangle
\]

(3.17)

where \( k_1 > k_2 > \cdots > k_{2n} \) and \( k_{2n} \) is allowed to be \(-1/2\). For an orthonormal basis in \( \mathcal{H}_L^{\infty} \) we take

\[
|n_1 \ldots n_{2l}\rangle_{R} = b_{n_1}^{\dagger} \ldots b_{n_{2l}}^{\dagger} |0\rangle_{\infty}, \quad n_1 > n_2 > \cdots > n_{2l} \geq 0.
\]

(3.18)

We note that in standard notation for Ramond fermions the Fock vacuum \( |0\rangle_{\infty} \) stands for \( |\sigma\rangle \) - the state of lowest conformal weight 1/16 and \( b_0 |\sigma\rangle \) stands for the disorder operator state \( |\mu\rangle \).

A matrix element

\[
\langle k_1 \ldots k_{2s}|\hat{\psi}_{0,\infty}|n_1 \ldots n_{2t}\rangle_{R} = N_{\infty}^{\text{ren}} \prod_{i=1}^s g(k_i) \prod_{j=1}^t g(n_j) G(k_1, \ldots k_{2s}|n_1 \ldots n_{2t})
\]

(3.19)

where \( G(k_1, \ldots k_{2s}|n_1 \ldots n_{2t}) \) can be expressed as a correlator of fictitious free fermion fields \( \chi(\alpha_i) \) and \( \tilde{\chi}(\beta_j) \) with

\[
n_i = e^{\beta_i}, \quad k_j + \frac{1}{2} = e^{\alpha_j}
\]

(3.20)

and two-point functions

\[
\langle \chi(\alpha_i) \chi(\alpha_j) \rangle \equiv -\frac{k_i - k_j}{k_i + k_j + 1} = -\tanh \left( \frac{\alpha_i - \alpha_j}{2} \right),
\]

(3.21)

\[
\langle \tilde{\chi}(\beta_i) \tilde{\chi}(\beta_j) \rangle \equiv \frac{n_i - n_j}{n_i + n_j} = \tanh \left( \frac{\beta_i - \beta_j}{2} \right),
\]

(3.22)
\[ \langle \chi(\alpha_l) \tilde{\chi}(\beta_j) \rangle \equiv i \frac{k_i + 1/2 + n_j}{k_i + 1/2 - n_j} = i \coth \left( \frac{\alpha_i - \beta_j}{2} \right), \quad (3.23) \]

In the above \( k_i = -1/2 \) corresponds to \( \alpha_i = -\infty \), \( n_j = 0 \) corresponds to \( \beta_j = -\infty \) and the propagator formulas are obtained as limits of (3.21), (3.22), (3.23). The quantity

\[ G(k_1, \ldots, k_{2s}|n_1 \ldots n_{2t}) = \langle \chi(\alpha_{2s}) \ldots \chi(\alpha_1) \tilde{\chi}(\beta_1) \ldots \tilde{\chi}(\beta_{2t}) \rangle \quad (3.24) \]

is then given as a sum over all possible contractions with the above two-point functions. Using a well-known identity (see e.g. [14] formula (3.14))

\[ \langle \chi(\alpha_{2s}) \ldots \chi(\alpha_1) \rangle = 2s \prod_{i<j} \tanh \left( \frac{\alpha_i - \alpha_j}{2} \right), \quad (3.25) \]

which can be obtained via bosonisation, we obtain the following closed expression for \( G \)

\[ G(k_1, \ldots, k_{2s}|n_1 \ldots n_{2t}) = \left( \prod_{i<j} \frac{k_i - k_j}{k_i + k_j + 1} \right) \left( \prod_{i<j} \frac{n_i - n_j}{n_i + n_j} \right) \times \left( \prod_{1 \leq i < 2s; 1 \leq j \leq 2t} \frac{k_i + 1/2 + n_j}{k_i + 1/2 - n_j} \right). \quad (3.26) \]

Formula (3.19) is to be compared with a formula for matrix elements of the primary field \( \sigma \) of dimension 1/16 that is a boundary condition changing field between the free and fixed boundary conditions. An explicit formula for its matrix elements can be obtained by taking a massless limit of formula (2.12) in [15] for the matrix elements of the bulk field \( \sigma(z, \bar{z}) \) and separating the holomorphic factor. Adjusting for the change of OPE coefficients and choice of phases we find a precise match. Namely identifying the state \( -ia_{1/2}^\dagger a \rangle \) with \( |\epsilon\rangle \) – the state associated with the energy density primary, we obtain

\[ \hat{\psi}_{0,\infty} = -N_{\infty}^{\text{ren}} \sigma \quad (3.27) \]

where \( \sigma \) is normalised so that the state it creates at infinity has norm 1 (or, equivalently, its two-point function on a half-plane at unit separation equals 1). While for finite \( \alpha \) the interface operator \( \hat{\psi}_{0,\alpha} \) is canonically normalised it is not clear whether there is such a normalisation for \( \hat{\psi}_{0,\infty} \). It would be interesting to know how exactly \( N_{\alpha} \) diverges when \( \alpha \to \infty \) to see if there is a canonical choice of \( N_{\infty}^{\text{ren}} \). If that was the case the value of \( N_{\infty}^{\text{ren}} \) would be analogous in some sense to the \( g \)-factor associated with 1-dimensional RG defects of bulk flows\(^4\).

Now that we have a precise construction of the local operator \( \hat{\psi}_{0,\alpha} \) it is interesting to note that its OPE with itself is non-singular for finite \( \alpha \). This stems from the fact that we can represent the norm squared of the perturbed vacuum as

\[ \alpha \langle 0|0 \rangle_{\alpha} = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \langle \hat{\psi}_{0,\alpha}(-\frac{\epsilon}{2},0) \hat{\psi}_{0,\alpha}(\frac{\epsilon}{2},0) \rangle \quad (3.28) \]

that equals 1 because the Bogolyubov transformation at hand is proper and \( \hat{\psi}_{0,\alpha}(0,0) \) is a unitary operator. We illustrate (3.28) on the following figure.

\(^4\)However while the \( g \) factor of any interface is fixed by conformal symmetry alone that would not be the case for fixing the normalisation of a boundary condition changing operator.
Similarly we can swap the operators $\hat{\psi}_{0,0}$, $\hat{\psi}_{0,\alpha}$ and obtain a representation of the norm squared of \( |0\rangle \) as represented in the perturbed theory Fock space. That norm squared also equals to 1.

For $\alpha = \infty$ we had to renormalise the prefactor $N_{\alpha}$ to obtain a primary operator whose OPE with itself is singular. This reflects the fact the Bogolyubov transformation at $\alpha = \infty$ is not proper. The limits $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$ and $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ do not commute as simply reflects the general fact that the UV behaviour changes discontinuously at the IR fixed point.

It is also interesting to investigate the leading corrections to the asymptotic of $\hat{\psi}_{0,\alpha}$ in the limit $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$. Besides the normalisation factor $N_{\alpha}$ the coupling $\alpha$ enters into (3.6) only via the quantities $d_p$ and $\tilde{d}_p$. Assuming $\alpha \gg p$ and $\alpha \gg k$ we can find the leading asymptotic using the asymptotic of the integral

$$I(\alpha, \omega) = \int_0^\infty \frac{dx}{x^2 + \omega^2} \ln \left( 1 + \frac{x}{2\pi \alpha^2 \tanh(\pi x)} \right) \sim \frac{\ln \alpha}{\pi \alpha^2}. \quad (3.29)$$

This gives the leading asymptotics

$$d_k \sim g(k) \exp \left( -(k + 1/2) \frac{\ln \alpha}{(\pi \alpha)^2} \right), \quad \tilde{d}_p \sim g(p) \exp \left( p \frac{\ln \alpha}{(\pi \alpha)^2} \right) \quad (3.30)$$

that means that up to a change in normalisation, the leading correction to $\hat{\psi}_{0,\infty}$ is

$$\hat{\psi}_{0,\alpha} \sim \exp \left( -\frac{\ln \alpha}{(\pi \alpha)^2} L_0 \right) \hat{\psi}_{0,\infty} \exp \left( \frac{\ln \alpha}{(\pi \alpha)^2} L_0 \right) = \exp \left( -\frac{\ln \alpha}{(\pi h)^2} \partial_\tau \right) \hat{\psi}_{0,\infty} \quad (3.31)$$

that is just a shift in the position of $\hat{\psi}_{0,\infty}$. The operator is shifted away from the ultraviolet boundary condition into the region of the infrared boundary condition. We can explain the appearance of logarithm in this expression using the infrared effective description of the theory near the $\alpha = \infty$ fixed point. It was shown in [5] that near the infrared fixed point the theory is described in the leading order by a perturbation of the Euclidean action

$$S_\alpha = S_{\text{IR}} + \frac{1}{2\pi h^2} \int_{-\infty}^\infty d\tau \left[ T_{ww}(\tau) + T_{\bar{w}\bar{w}}(\tau) \right] \quad (3.32)$$

where $T_{ww}(\tau), T_{\bar{w}\bar{w}}(\tau)$ stand for the stress energy tensor components restricted to the $\sigma = 0$ boundary. We note that (3.32) corresponds to the perturbation of dimensionless
Hamiltonian (see (2.2), (2.4))
\[ h_\alpha = h_{\text{IR}} - \frac{1}{2\pi^2 \alpha^2} T(1) \]  
(3.33)

where \( T = -2\pi T_{zz} \) stands for the stress-energy component on the half-plane.

At the leading order in conformal perturbation theory the operator \( \hat{\psi}_{0,\alpha}(0,0) \) can be described as the operator \( \hat{\psi}_{0,\infty} \) with a single insertion of
\[ -\frac{1}{\pi h^2} \int_{-\infty}^{0} d\tau T_{ww}(\tau) . \]

The contribution from short distances then comes by integrating the singular terms in the operator product expansion
\[ T_{ww}(\tau) \hat{\psi}_{0,\infty}(0) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \left[ \hat{\psi}_{0,\infty}(0) \frac{\partial_\tau \hat{\psi}_{0,\infty}(0)}{\tau} + \ldots \right] . \]  
(3.34)

Introducing a long distance cutoff \( l \) and a short distance cutoff \( \epsilon \) we obtain
\[ -\frac{1}{\pi h^2} \int_{-l}^{\epsilon} d\tau T_{ww}(\tau) \hat{\psi}_{0,\infty}(0) = \frac{1}{2\pi^2 h^2} \left( \frac{\hat{\psi}_{0,\infty}(0)}{16\epsilon} - \ln(\epsilon/l) \partial_\tau \hat{\psi}_{0,\infty}(0) \right) + \ldots \]  
(3.35)

where we explicitly write only the UV-divergent terms. The letter have to be subtracted by counterterms which define the renormalised operator \( \hat{\psi}_{0,\alpha} \). The term that diverges as \( 1/\epsilon \) contributes to the overall normalisation of \( \hat{\psi}_{0,\alpha} \) while the logarithmic divergence is cancelled by a counterterm
\[ \hat{\psi}^{c.t.} = -\frac{1}{2\pi^2 h^2} \ln(\epsilon h^2) \partial_\tau \hat{\psi}_{0,\infty}(0) \]  
(3.36)

where \( h^2 \) is inserted inside the logarithm for dimensional reasons. Choosing \( l = L/\pi \) (that amounts to just choosing a particular subtraction point) we obtain a leading order correction
\[ \hat{\psi}_{0,\alpha} = \hat{\psi}_{0,\infty} - \frac{\ln(\alpha)}{\pi^2 h^2} \partial_\tau \hat{\psi}_{0,\infty}(0) \]  
(3.37)

that gives the same shift as in (3.31).

Note that the generator of translations along the \( \sigma \) direction is given by
\[ H^\perp = -\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [T_{ww}(x) + T_{\bar{w}\bar{w}}(x)] . \]  
(3.38)

Its insertion on the boundary generates downward shifts of the boundary (making the strip wider). The leading perturbation (3.32) then corresponds to shifting the \( \sigma = 0 \) boundary downwards by \( \frac{1}{2\pi^2 \alpha^2} \). The signs of both shifts are important. Both are such that singularities coming from collisions of bulk operators with the perturbed boundary
and from boundary operators inserted in the unperturbed boundary colliding with the interface get smoothed out. The shift related to (3.32) has been used to construct regularised trial vacuum states in a variational method of [18]. Similarly the shift analogous to (3.31) can be used in a variational method for boundary RG flows. The author is planning to elaborate on this idea in [38].

4 The mode truncated theory

Here we consider a regulated version of theory (2.15) in which we restrict all mode sums to run from \( k = 0 \) to \( k = n_c \). We call this regularisation mode truncation. It was first considered in [5]. To define the mode-truncated Hilbert space \( \mathcal{H}^{n_c}_L \) we first define a finite-dimensional Fock space representation \( \mathcal{F}^{n_c}_L \) for the canonical oscillator algebra spanned by \( \{ A, A^\dagger, a_{k+1/2}, a_k^{1/2} | k = 0, 1, \ldots n_c \} \) with the Fock vacuum \( |0\rangle \). The physical state space \( \mathcal{H}^{n_c}_L \) is obtained by acting on the vacuum by products of even numbers of creation operators. Its dimension is \( 2^{n_c+1} \).

The mode truncated Hamiltonian reads

\[
    h^{n_c}_\alpha = -\frac{1}{48} + \sum_{k=0}^{n_c} (k + 1/2) a_{k+1/2}^\dagger a_{k+1/2} + i\alpha a^\dagger a_{k+1/2} + a_{k+1/2}. \tag{4.1}
\]

The spectral equation is

\[
    \sum_{k=0}^{n_c} \frac{2\omega^2}{(k + 1/2)^2 - \omega^2} = -\frac{\omega^2}{2\alpha^2}. \tag{4.2}
\]

This equation has non-negative solutions

\[
    \tilde{\omega}_{\alpha,0} = 0 < \tilde{\omega}_{\alpha,1} < \ldots < \tilde{\omega}_{\alpha,n_c+1} \tag{4.3}
\]

where \( i - 1/2 < \tilde{\omega}_{\alpha,i} < i + 1/2, i = 1, \ldots, n_c \) and \( \tilde{\omega}_{\alpha,n_c+1} > n_c + 1/2 \). For \( \alpha \gg \frac{(2n_c+1)}{4\sqrt{n_c+1}} \) we have

\[
    \tilde{\omega}_{\alpha,n_c+1} \equiv \Omega_\alpha \to 2|\alpha|\sqrt{n_c+1}. \tag{4.4}
\]

Thus we have a zero mode (as in the untruncated theory), a number of light modes approximating the first \( n_c \) positive solutions \( \omega_n \) of the untruncated theory and a mode \( \Omega_\alpha \) that becomes large for large \( \alpha \). We can call the letter mode a heavy mode. We denote the diagonalising modes respectively as \( \tilde{b}_{\alpha,0}, \tilde{b}_{\alpha,p}, p = 1, \ldots n_c + 1 \) with \( \tilde{b}_{\alpha,n_c+1} \equiv b_{\alpha,\Omega} \) being the heavy mode. The corresponding Bogolyubov transformation is

\[
    \tilde{b}_{\alpha,n}^\dagger = \sum_{k=0}^{n_c} (\tilde{A}_{n,k} a_{k+1/2}^\dagger + \tilde{B}_{n,k} a_{k+1/2}) + \frac{a}{f_{\alpha,n}},
\]

\[
    \tilde{b}_{\alpha,n} = \sum_{k=0}^{n_c} (\tilde{A}_{n,k}^* a_{k+1/2} + \tilde{B}_{n,k}^* a_{k+1/2}^\dagger) + \frac{a}{f_{\alpha,n}} \tag{4.5}
\]

with

\[
    \tilde{A}_{n,k} = \frac{2i\alpha}{(k + 1/2 - \tilde{\omega}_{\alpha,n}) f_{\alpha,n}}, \quad \tilde{B}_{n,k} = \frac{-2i\alpha}{(k + 1/2 + \tilde{\omega}_{\alpha,n}) f_{\alpha,n}} \tag{4.6}
\]
where $\tilde{f}_{\alpha,n} > 0$ and

$$
(\tilde{f}_{\alpha,n})^2 = 2 + 4\alpha^2 \sum_{k=0}^{n_c} \left( \frac{1}{(k + 1/2 - \tilde{\omega}_{\alpha,n})^2} + \frac{1}{(k + 1/2 + \tilde{\omega}_{\alpha,n})^2} \right),
$$

(4.7)

The zero mode is chosen to be

$$
\tilde{b}_{0,0} = \sum_{k=0}^{n_c} (\tilde{A}_{0,k} a_{k+1/2}^\dagger + \tilde{B}_{0,k} a_{k+1/2}) + \frac{a}{f_{\alpha,0}}
$$

(4.8)

with

$$
\tilde{A}_{0,k} = \frac{2i\alpha}{(k + 1/2)f_{\alpha,0}}, \quad \tilde{B}_{0,k} = \frac{-2i\alpha}{(k + 1/2)f_{\alpha,0}}
$$

(4.9)

and $\tilde{f}_{\alpha,0} > 0$ given by (4.7) with $\tilde{\omega}_{\alpha,n}$ replaced by zero.

For $\alpha \to \infty$ the light modes approach solutions to

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{n_c} \frac{1}{(k + 1/2)^2 - \omega^2} = 0
$$

(4.10)

that are located near positive integers. For $n \ll n_c$ we have solutions to (4.10)

$$
\tilde{\omega}_n = n + \frac{2n}{(n_c + 3/2)\pi^2} + O\left[\left(\frac{n}{n_c + 3/2}\right)^2\right].
$$

(4.11)

We denote the light modes at $\alpha = \infty$ as $\tilde{b}_0, \tilde{b}_n^\dagger, \tilde{b}_n$, $n = 1, \ldots, n_c$. From (4.11) we see that the boundary magnetic field theory mode-truncated at the UV fixed point flows in the far infrared to a fixed spin boundary CFT regulated in some more complicated way. The UV truncation parameter $n_c$ controls this regularisation of the IR theory in the sense that for $n_c \to \infty$ we recover the correct continuum spectrum. In principle one can find an effective IR Hamiltonian using some other regularisation scheme, say the mode truncation in the IR fermion modes, that reproduces (4.11) and higher order corrections.

We can generalise (4.11) by adding perturbations by other local operators bilinear in $\psi$ keeping the theory solvable by Bogolyubov transformation. We choose to add a perturbation by the stress energy tensor operator $T = -\frac{i}{2\pi} : \psi \partial_\tau \psi : (0,0)$ with a coupling $g$. This gives us the following dimensionless Hamiltonian

$$
\check{h}_{n_c}^{n_c} = -\frac{1}{48} + \sum_{k=0}^{n_c} \left( k + \frac{1}{2} \right) a_{k+1/2}^\dagger a_{k+1/2} + i\alpha a \sum_{k=0}^{n_c} \left( a_{k+1/2}^\dagger + a_{k+1/2} \right)
$$

$$
- \frac{g}{2} : \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n_c} \sum_{l=0}^{n_c} (a_{k+1/2}^\dagger + a_{k+1/2}) l(a_{l+1/2}^\dagger - a_{l+1/2}) \right) :
$$

(4.12)

We can find the spectrum of $\check{h}_{n_c}^{n_c}$ by writing an ansatz of the same form as (2.18) and then substituting it into (2.19). This gives a system of linear equations that has a nontrivial solution provided that $\omega$ solves the following transcendental equation

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{n_c} \frac{2\omega^2}{(k + 1/2)^2 - \omega^2} = -\frac{\omega^2 (1 + g(n_c + 1))^2}{\omega^2 g(1 + \frac{g}{2}(n_c + 1)) + 2\alpha^2}.
$$

(4.13)
Solutions to this spectral equation give energy gaps corresponding to creation operators diagonalising $h_{n\alpha g}$.

We will be also interested in the theory obtained by perturbing the infrared fixed point by the stress-energy tensor. In this case we are dealing with Ramond free fermions with Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_{(2.17)}$. The mode truncated theory perturbed by $T(0,0)$ is described by the Hamiltonian

$$\tilde{h}_{g}^{n_{c}} = \frac{1}{24} + \sum_{k=1}^{n_{c}} k b_{k}^{\dagger} b_{k} - \frac{g}{2} : \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n_{c}} (b_{k}^{\dagger} + b_{k}) + b_{0} \right) \sum_{l=1}^{n_{c}} l(b_{l}^{\dagger} - b_{l}) :$$

(4.14)

It can be diagonalised by a Bogolyubov transformation that gives a spectral equation

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n_{c}} \frac{2\omega^{2}}{n^{2} - \omega^{2}} - 1 = -\frac{(1 + \frac{2}{\pi^{2}}(1 + 2n_{c}))^{2}}{g(1 + g(1 + 2n_{c})/4)}.$$  

(4.15)

The spectra of both theories perturbed by the stress energy tensor demonstrate some peculiar features. Looking for example at (4.15) we see that for $g \ll 1/n_{c} \ll 1$ the low lying spectrum is well approximated by the equation

$$\tan(\pi \omega) = g\pi \omega$$

(4.16)

In particular we see that choosing $g = -\frac{1}{2\pi^{2}a^{2}}$ we reproduce (2.20). Taking a small negative $g$ and increasing it in magnitude to the value $g_{*} = -\frac{2}{1 + 2n_{c}}$ generates a reversed RG flow in which we approach approximately half-integer values that is the spectrum of the UV fixed point. Increasing the magnitude further will shift the spectrum back towards the integer values which we reach again at $g^{*} = -\frac{4}{1 + 2n_{c}}$. This looks like a bounce flow akin to the ones observed in TCSA numerics for boundary RG flows [33], [34]. Further increase of $g$ towards minus infinity does not change the low lying spectrum much at all. If we choose to take positive $g$ then qualitatively the behaviour is monotonic and we reach the same end point as going towards minus infinity. It should be noted that while the solutions to the spectral equation are always real for real coupling $g$ for positive $g$ and for large negative values of $g$ the spectrum looks like (2.20) with imaginary values of $\alpha$. We suspect that this signifies a certain instability of the vacuum similar to the one observed in the $T\bar{T}$-perturbed bulk theories [35], [36]. We are going to discuss this issues in more detail in [37].

5 Effective Hamiltonian near the UV fixed point

The spectrum of the mode truncated theory differs from that of the continuum theory with corrections suppressed by inverse powers of $n_{c}$. We would like to construct an effective Hamiltonian in the truncated Fock space that improves the accuracy of approximation of the spectrum for the low lying energies. Such an effective Hamiltonian can be expanded in (truncated) irrelevant operators with coefficients containing positive powers of $\alpha$ and negative powers of $n_{c}$. We will first describe a construction for such an effective Hamiltonian that uses the exact solution to theory (4.12) and then will explain how such Hamiltonians can be systematically obtained by Schrieffer-Wolff method.
To proceed with the first method we note that the continuum frequencies \( \omega_n \), that are solutions to the full untruncated spectral equation (2.20), can be represented as solutions to a deformed truncated spectral equation by rewriting (2.20) as

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{n_c} \frac{2\omega^2}{(k + 1/2)^2 - \omega^2} = -\frac{\omega^2}{2\alpha^2} - \sum_{k=n_c+1}^{\infty} \frac{2\omega^2}{(k + 1/2)^2 - \omega^2}. \tag{5.1}
\]

For \( \omega < n_c + 3/2 \) we can obtain approximations to the exact frequencies by expanding the right hand side in powers of \( \omega/(n_c + 3/2) \). The extra terms on the right hand side can be matched to couplings of irrelevant operators in the effective Hamiltonian. Thus expanding the right hand side of (5.1) to the order order \( \omega^4 \) we obtain

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{n_c} \frac{2\omega^2}{(k + 1/2)^2 - \omega^2} = -\frac{\omega^2}{2\alpha^2} - 2\omega^2 C^{(2)}_{n_c} - 2\omega^4 C^{(4)}_{n_c} + \ldots \tag{5.2}
\]

where

\[
C^{(4+2p)}_{n_c} = \sum_{l=n_c+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1 + l/2)^{4+2p}} = \frac{1}{(3 + 2p)(n_c + 3/2)^{3+2p}} + O\left(\frac{1}{(n_c + 3/2)^{4+2p}}\right). \tag{5.3}
\]

Comparing (5.2) with the expansion to the order \( \omega^4 \) of the right hand side of (4.13) with the couplings \( \alpha_{\text{eff}}, g_{\text{eff}} \equiv g(\alpha) \) we find matching when

\[
\frac{(1 + g_{\text{eff}}(n_c + 1))^2}{2(\alpha_{\text{eff}})^2} = \frac{1}{2\alpha^2} + 2C^{(2)}_{n_c},
\]

\[
g(1 + g(n_c + 1)/2)(1 + g(n_c + 1))^2 = -2C^{(4)}_{n_c}. \tag{5.4}
\]

Solving these equations we obtain

\[
g_{\text{eff}} \equiv g(\alpha) = \frac{1}{n_c + 1} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + K}} - 1\right), \quad \text{where} \quad K = -\frac{8\alpha^4 C^{(4)}_{n_c}}{(1 + 4\alpha^2 C^{(2)}_{n_c})^2} \tag{5.5}
\]

and

\[
\alpha_{\text{eff}} = \frac{\alpha[1 + g(\alpha)(n_c + 1)]}{\sqrt{1 + 4C^{(2)}_{n_c} \alpha^2}} \tag{5.6}
\]

each defined up to order \( 1/(n_c)^4 \) corrections. Expanding in powers of \( \alpha \) we obtain

\[
g(\alpha) = -8C^{(4)}_{n_c} \alpha^4 + O(\alpha^6/n_c^4), \quad \alpha_{\text{eff}} = \alpha - 2C^{(2)}_{n_c} \alpha^3 + O(\alpha^5/n_c^3). \tag{5.7}
\]

For this solution we observe that terms at higher powers of \( \omega^2 \) in (4.13) are suppressed by at least \( 1/(n_c)^6 \). This means that the theory (4.12) with \( \alpha = \alpha_{\text{eff}} \) and \( g = g(\alpha) \) as above has the low lying spectra \( \omega_n \ll n_c \) coinciding with the continuous theory spectra defined at \( \alpha < n_c + 3/2 \) up to terms of order \( 1/(n_c)^5 \). Thus in fact one can expand the effective couplings to the order \( 1/(n_c)^4 \) and truncate the expansion. This derivation of the effective Hamiltonian is somewhat ad hoc, it relied on knowing the exact spectrum
for theory (4.12). To improve the approximation we need to include higher dimension operators into the effective Hamiltonian. Next we are going derive the same effective Hamiltonian through the order \( \alpha^4 \) in the coupling using the Schrieffer-Wolff method that is a systematic method for producing approximations to any order of accuracy.

We can represent the Hamiltonian of the continuous theory as

\[
h_{\alpha} = h^L_0 + h^H_0 + v^L + v^{LH}
\]

where

\[
h^L_0 = \sum_{k=0}^{n_c} (k + \frac{1}{2}) a^\dagger_{k+1/2} a_{k+1/2} - \frac{1}{48}, \quad h^H_0 = \sum_{k=n_c+1}^{\infty} (k + \frac{1}{2}) a^\dagger_{k+1/2} a_{k+1/2},
\]

\[
v^L = i\alpha a \sum_{k=0}^{n_c} (a^\dagger_{k+1/2} + a_{k+1/2}), \quad v^{LH} = i\alpha a \sum_{k=n_c+1}^{\infty} (a^\dagger_{k+1/2} + a_{k+1/2})
\]

so that the index L labels contributions of light modes with \( k = 0, \ldots, n_c \) and H labels those of the heavy modes with \( k > n_c \). The term \( v^{LH} \) mixes the light and heavy modes.

The general idea of Schrieffer-Wolff method [22] is to find a sequence of unitary rotations in \( H_L \) that replaces the off-diagonal terms that mix the light and heavy modes by new off-diagonal terms suppressed by additional negative powers of \( n_c \) and positive powers of \( \alpha \) at the expense of new interactions between the light modes.

At the first step we remove \( v^{LH} \) by a unitary transformation

\[
h_{\alpha} \mapsto h_{\alpha,1} = U_1 h_{\alpha} U_1^{-1}
\]

where \( U_1 = e^{T_1} \) is chosen so that

\[
[T_1, h^H_0] = -v^{LH}.
\]

This can be achieved by taking

\[
T_1 = \int_{-\infty}^{0} dt e^{i(h^L_0 + h^H_0) t} v^{LH} e^{-i(h^L_0 + h^H_0) t}
\]

that for the case at hand gives

\[
T_1 = i\alpha a \sum_{k=n_c+1}^{\infty} \frac{a^\dagger_{k+1/2} - a_{k+1/2}}{k + 1/2}.
\]

Note that \( T_1 \sim \alpha/n_c \). Thus the new interaction term in \( h_{\alpha,1} \) that mixes the light and heavy modes will be at least of order \( (\alpha/n_c)^2 \). We can remove it by another unitary transformation constructed as in (5.12), (6.17), that will push the mixing interaction to a yet higher order. Repeating this procedure we can obtain (assuming convergence of the method) a sequence of effective Hamiltonians with better and better approximations of the continuum spectrum.
For the transformation generated by (5.14) we calculate through the order $\alpha^4$
\[
h_{\alpha,1} = \mathcal{E}_1(\alpha, n_c) + h_0^L + h_0^H + v_1^L + v_1^H + \mathcal{O}(\alpha^5)
\]  
(5.15)
where $\mathcal{E}_1(\alpha, n_c)$ is the shifted vacuum energy in which we have little interest, and
\[
v_1^L = i(\alpha - 2\alpha^3 C_n^{(2)}) a \sum_{k=0}^{n_c} (a_{k+1/2} + a_{k+1/2}) ,
\]  
(5.16)
\[
v_1^H = (-\alpha^2 + \alpha^4 C_n^{(2)}) \sum_{l=n_c+1}^{\infty} (a_{l+1/2}^\dagger + a_{l+1/2}) \sum_{k=n_c+1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{k+1/2}^\dagger - a_{k+1/2}}{k + 1/2} ,
\]  
(5.17)
\[
v_{1LH} = (-2\alpha^2 + 4\alpha^4 C_n^{(2)}) \sum_{l=0}^{n_c} (a_{l+1/2}^\dagger + a_{l+1/2}) \sum_{k=n_c+1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{k+1/2}^\dagger - a_{k+1/2}}{k + 1/2} - i \frac{4\alpha^3 C_n^{(2)}}{3} a \sum_{l=n_c+1}^{\infty} (a_{l+1/2}^\dagger + a_{l+1/2})
\]  
(5.18)
where $C_n^{(2)}$ is given in (5.3). In the new Hamiltonian the mixing interaction $v_{1LH}^H$ starts now with the order $\alpha^2$. We push this to the next order by performing another unitary transformation
\[
h_{\alpha,1} \mapsto h_{\alpha,2} = U_2 h_{\alpha,1} U_2^{-1} , \quad U_2 = e^{T_2}
\]  
(5.19)
where $T_2$ is chosen so that it removes the term of the order $\alpha^2$ in $v_{1LH}^H$, i.e.
\[
[T_2, h_0^H] = 2\alpha^2 \sum_{l=0}^{n_c} (a_{l+1/2}^\dagger + a_{l+1/2}) \sum_{k=n_c+1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{k+1/2}^\dagger - a_{k+1/2}}{k + 1/2} .
\]  
(5.20)
Using (6.17) we find
\[
T_2 = -2\alpha^2 \sum_{k=0}^{n_c} \sum_{l=n_c+1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{a_{k+1/2}^\dagger a_{l+1/2}^\dagger + a_{k+1/2} a_{l+1/2}}{(l + 1/2)(k + 1/2)} + \frac{a_{k+1/2}^\dagger a_{l+1/2}^\dagger + a_{k+1/2} a_{l+1/2}}{(l + 1/2)(l - k)} \right) .
\]  
(5.21)
For the new effective Hamiltonian we obtain
\[
h_{\alpha,2} = \mathcal{E}_2(\alpha, n_c) + h_0^L + h_0^H + v_2^L + v_2^H + v_{2LH} + \mathcal{O}(\alpha^5)
\]  
(5.22)
where
\[
v_2^L = i(\alpha - 2\alpha^3 C_n^{(2)}) a \sum_{k=0}^{n_c} (a_{k+1/2}^\dagger + a_{k+1/2}) + 2\alpha^4 \sum_{n=0}^{n_c} (a_{n+1/2}^\dagger + a_{n+1/2}) \sum_{k=0}^{n_c} (a_{k+1/2}^\dagger - a_{k+1/2}) f_{n_c}(k) ,
\]  
(5.23)
\[ f_{n_c}(k) = \sum_{l=n_c+1}^{\infty} \frac{2k+1}{(l-k)(k+l+1)(l+1/2)^2}. \] (5.24)

The function \( f_{n_c}(k) \) can be expanded in powers of \( (k+1/2) \). The expansion contains only odd powers and the coefficient at \((k+1/2)^p\) is of order \((1/n_c)^{p+2}\). At the leading order one has

\[ f_{n_c}(k) = 2C_{n_c}^{(4)}(k + 1/2) + \mathcal{O}((k + 1/2)^3). \] (5.25)

The terms in \( v^l \) now start from the order \( \alpha^3 \). We can push this to the order \( \mathcal{O}(\alpha^5) \) by applying two more unitary transformations \( e^{T_3} \) and \( e^{T_4} \) with \( T_3 \sim \alpha^3 \) and \( T_4 \sim \alpha^4 \). Direct inspection shows that these additional transformations do not induce any terms of order less than \( \alpha^5 \) in the light modes interaction \( v^l \). Hence through the order \( \alpha^4 \) the effective Hamiltonian for the light modes is given in (5.23). It contains the original magnetic field interaction with an effective coupling

\[ \alpha_{\text{eff}} = \alpha - 2\alpha^3 C_{n_c}^{(2)} \] (5.26)

as well as an infinite sequence of couplings to truncated irrelevant operators

\[ \mathcal{O}_p^{\text{tr}} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{L}{\pi} \right)^{p+1} : \psi \partial_\tau \psi : (0,0) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{n_c} (a_{n+1/2}^\dagger + a_{n+1/2}) \sum_{k=0}^{n_c} k^p (a_{k+1/2}^\dagger - a_{k+1/2}) : \] (5.27)

where \( p \) is odd and the leading operator \( \mathcal{O}_1^{\text{tr}} \) is the truncated holomorphic stress-energy tensor \( T^{\text{tr}} \) component taken on the half-plane.

If we continue the Schrieffer-Wolff process it is not hard to see that the effective Hamiltonian is always of the form

\[ H_{\alpha} = \mathcal{E}(\alpha, n_c) + \sum_{k=0}^{n_c} (k + \frac{1}{2}) a_{k+1/2}^\dagger a_{k+1/2} + i\alpha_{\text{eff}}(\alpha, n_c) a_{k+1/2}^\dagger a_{k+1/2} + g_{\text{eff}}(\alpha, n_c) T^{\text{tr}}(0,0) + \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} g_{2p+1}^{\text{eff}}(\alpha, n_c) \mathcal{O}_{2p+1}^{\text{tr}}(0,0) \] (5.28)

where each effective coupling has a double expansion in positive powers of \( \alpha \) and negative powers of \( n_c \). In our calculation that lead to (5.23) we found all terms in these expansions through the order \( \alpha^4 \) each to all orders in \( n_c \). These are given by (5.26) and

\[ g_{\text{eff}} = -8 C_{n_c}^{(4)} \alpha^4 + \mathcal{O}(\alpha^6), \quad g_{2p+1}^{\text{eff}} = -8 \alpha^4 C_{n_c}^{(4+2p)} \quad \text{for} \quad p \geq 1 + \mathcal{O}(\alpha^6). \] (5.29)

The explicit terms in \( \alpha_{\text{eff}} \) and \( g_{\text{eff}} \) coincide with those written in (5.7) that were obtained by a different method.

The effective Hamiltonian (5.28) reproduces the spectrum of the continuous theory in the truncated theory. By moving all interaction terms into the left hand side of (5.28) we obtain a representation of the truncated theory as the continuous theory plus corrections. This representation looks akin to the effective Hamiltonian discussed in [31], [32] that reproduces lattice size corrections within a continuum theory.
6 Effective Hamiltonian near the IR fixed point

We would like to discuss next how to construct an effective Hamiltonian on the RG trajectory corresponding to the boundary magnetic field model near the infrared fixed point. In the language of effective action such QFTs are described by adding irrelevant interactions to the infrared CFT action. This is also the case for effective Hamiltonians. For the theory at hand the approach to IR was investigated in [5] who showed that the leading interaction in the effective IR Hamiltonian is given by the stress energy tensor with a coupling

$$g_{\text{IR}}^\text{eff} = -\frac{1}{2\pi^2\alpha^2}. \quad (6.1)$$

Subleading terms were not discussed in that paper. We are going to apply the Schrieffer-Wolff method using the regularisation scheme that comes from the mode truncation at the UV fixed point. This will allow us to derive subleading terms systematically and we will compute some of them explicitly.

As a first step we would like to express $h_{\alpha,n_c}$ in terms of the creation and annihilation operators $\tilde{b}^\dagger_{\beta,n}$, $\tilde{b}_{\beta,n}$ of the infrared CFT using Bogolyubov transformation (4.5), (4.8). To this end we first note that

$$h_{\beta,n_c} = h_0^\beta + i\beta a \sum_{k=0}^{n_c} (a^\dagger_{k+1/2} + a_{k+1/2}) = \sum_{k=1}^{n_c} \tilde{\omega}_{\beta,k} \tilde{b}^\dagger_{\beta,k} \tilde{b}_{\beta,k} + \Omega_{\beta} b^\dagger_{\beta,\Omega} b_{\beta,\Omega} + \mathcal{E}_\beta(n_c) \quad (6.2)$$

and thus we can write

$$h_{\alpha,n_c} = \sum_{k=1}^{n_c} \tilde{\omega}_{\beta,k} \tilde{b}^\dagger_{\beta,k} \tilde{b}_{\beta,k} + \Omega_{\beta} b^\dagger_{\beta,\Omega} b_{\beta,\Omega} + \mathcal{E}_\beta(n_c) + i(\alpha - \beta) a \sum_{k=0}^{n_c} (a^\dagger_{k+1/2} + a_{k+1/2}). \quad (6.3)$$

We next rewrite the last term in (6.3) in terms of $\tilde{b}^\dagger_{\beta,n}$, $\tilde{b}_{\beta,n}$ using the inverse Bogolyubov transformation for (4.5), (4.8). This transformation is given by expressions of the same form as in (2.39), (2.40) with the Bogolyubov coefficients replaced by those given in (4.5), (4.8). In particular we find using the inverse transformation that

$$a = 2 \left( \tilde{b}_{\beta,0} + \sum_{k=1}^{n_c+1} \frac{\tilde{b}^\dagger_{\beta,k} + \tilde{b}_{\beta,k}}{f_{\beta,k}} \right),$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n_c} (a^\dagger_{k+1/2} + a_{k+1/2}) = \frac{i}{\beta} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n_c+1} \frac{\tilde{\omega}_{\beta,k} (\tilde{b}^\dagger_{\beta,k} - \tilde{b}_{\beta,k})}{f_{\beta,k}} \right). \quad (6.4)$$

Substituting these expressions into (6.3) we obtain

$$h_{\alpha,n_c} = \sum_{k=1}^{n_c} \tilde{\omega}_{\beta,k} \tilde{b}^\dagger_{\beta,k} \tilde{b}_{\beta,k} + \Omega_{\beta} b^\dagger_{\beta,\Omega} b_{\beta,\Omega} + \mathcal{E}_\beta(n_c)$$

$$+ 2 \left( 1 - \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \right) \left( \tilde{b}_{\beta,0} + \sum_{k=1}^{n_c+1} \frac{\tilde{b}^\dagger_{\beta,k} + \tilde{b}_{\beta,k}}{f_{\beta,k}} \right) \left( \sum_{l=1}^{n_c+1} \frac{\tilde{\omega}_{\beta,l} (\tilde{b}^\dagger_{\beta,l} - \tilde{b}_{\beta,l})}{f_{\beta,l}} \right). \quad (6.5)$$
In this expression we now take the limit \( \beta \to \infty \). We find that the linearly divergent term \( \Omega b^\dagger \beta \Omega \Omega \) cancels with a linearly divergent term from the last term in (6.5). Also the linear divergent term in the vacuum energy is cancelled. Noting the asymptotics

\[
\tilde{f}_{\beta,n} \sim \mathcal{O}(\beta), \quad n = 0, \ldots, n_c, \quad \tilde{f}_{\beta,n+1} \to 2
\]

(6.6)
as \( \beta \to \infty \), we obtain

\[
h_{\alpha,n_c} = \tilde{E}_0(n_c) + e_0^L + e_0^H + u^{\text{LH}}
\]

(6.7)

with

\[
\tilde{E}_0(n_c) = -\frac{1}{48} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{n_c} (n - \frac{1}{2} - \tilde{w}_n) + \frac{1}{2}(n_c + \frac{1}{2}),
\]

(6.8)

\[
e_0^L = \sum_{k=1}^{n_c} \tilde{\omega}_k \tilde{b}_k \tilde{b}_k, \quad e_0^H = 2\sqrt{n_c + 1} \alpha \text{ sign}(\beta) \tilde{b}_{\Omega} \tilde{b}_{\Omega},
\]

(6.9)

\[
u^{\text{LH}} = \sqrt{n_c + 1}(b_{\Omega} - b_{\Omega}^\dagger) \left( \frac{\tilde{b}_{\beta,0}}{t_0} + \sum_{k=1}^{n_c} \frac{\tilde{b}_k^\dagger + \tilde{b}_k}{t_k} \right).
\]

(6.10)

where

\[
(t_n)^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{n_c} \left( \frac{1}{(k + 1/2 - \tilde{\omega}_n)^2} + \frac{1}{(k + 1/2 + \tilde{\omega}_n)^2} \right), \quad t_n > 0
\]

(6.11)

and \( n = 0, \ldots, n_c \).

It was not important for the above calculation but from now on we will assume that \( \alpha \) has the same sign as \( \beta \) as we clearly should in the context of the problem we are discussing. With this assumption \( \alpha \text{ sign}(\beta) = |\alpha| \) and the heavy mode \( b_{\Omega}^\dagger \) has a positive excitation energy. Now we are in a very good position to apply the Schrieffer-Wolff method as we have a single heavy mode whose energy grows linearly with \( \alpha \) and creates a large energy gap between all light and heavy energy states. This is better than in the UV effective Hamiltonian case discussed in the previous section where the gap between the heaviest of the light states and the lightest of the heavy states was just 1. It should be also noted that while the UV effective Hamiltonian (retaining all terms) reproduces the exact low energy spectrum of the continuum theory, our effective Hamiltonian near the infrared fixed point only aims at reproducing the truncated spectrum of \( h_{\alpha,n_c} \).

Before we present explicit calculations of the effective Hamiltonians it is worth organising the general expansion. Since our starting Hamiltonian is bilinear in the oscillator modes it is easy to see from (6.17) that it remains bilinear to all orders. By hermiticity a general term in the effective Hamiltonian is a linear combination of a constant and the following elementary bilinears

\[
N \equiv b_{\Omega}^\dagger b_{\Omega}, \quad (\tilde{b}_n + \tilde{b}_n^\dagger)(\tilde{b}_m - \tilde{b}_m^\dagger), \quad \tilde{b}_0(\tilde{b}_m - \tilde{b}_m^\dagger)
\]

(6.12)

and

\[
B_+ (\tilde{b}_m - \tilde{b}_m^\dagger), \quad B_- (\tilde{b}_m + \tilde{b}_m^\dagger), \quad B_- \tilde{b}_0
\]

(6.13)

where

\[
B_+ = b_{\Omega} + b_{\Omega}^\dagger, \quad B_- = b_{\Omega}^\dagger - b_{\Omega}.
\]

(6.14)
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A generator of a unitary transformation being antihermitean and containing only mixing terms is a linear combination of
\[ B_- (\hat{b}_m - \hat{b}^\dagger_m), \quad B_+ (\hat{b}_m + \hat{b}^\dagger_m), \quad B_+ \hat{b}_0. \] (6.15)

All commutators between two types of binomials are listed in Appendix B. Also when calculating generators \( S \) satisfying
\[ [S, e_0^L + e_0^H] = -u \] (6.16)
we use
\[ S = \int_0^\infty dt e^{te_0}ue^{-te_0} \] (6.17)
with \( e_0 = e_0^L + e_0^H \). Such expressions can be easily calculated by using
\[ \int_{-\infty}^0 dt e^{te_0} B_+ (\hat{b}_m - \hat{b}_m^\dagger) e^{-te_0} = \frac{W_a B_+ (\hat{b}_m + \hat{b}_m^\dagger)}{W_a^2 - \tilde{\omega}_m^2}, \] (6.18)
\[ \int_{-\infty}^0 dt e^{te_0} B_- (\hat{b}_m + \hat{b}_m^\dagger) e^{-te_0} = \frac{\tilde{\omega}_m B_- (\hat{b}_m - \hat{b}_m^\dagger)}{W_a^2 - \tilde{\omega}_m^2}, \] (6.19)
where
\[ W_a = 2|\alpha| \sqrt{n_c + 1}. \] (6.20)

The first unitary transformation we perform on \( h_{\alpha,n_c} \) is
\[ h_{\alpha,n_c} \rightarrow h^{(1)}_{\alpha,n_c} = e^{S_1} h_{\alpha,n_c} e^{-S_1} \] (6.21)
with \( S_1 \) chosen so that
\[ [S_1, e_0^L + e_0^H] = -u^{LH}. \] (6.22)

Using (6.18), (6.19) we obtain
\[ S_1 = -\sqrt{n_c + 1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{t_k} \left( \frac{W_a B_+ (\hat{b}_k + \hat{b}_k^\dagger)}{W_a^2 - \tilde{\omega}_k^2} + \frac{\tilde{\omega}_m B_- (\hat{b}_k - \hat{b}_k^\dagger)}{W_a^2 - \tilde{\omega}_m^2} \right) + \frac{B_+ \hat{b}_0}{t_0 W_a}. \] (6.23)

Using (6.17), (6.22) we have
\[ h^{(1)}_{\alpha,n_c} = e^{S_1} (e_0^L + e_0^H - [S_1, e_0^L + e_0^H]) e^{-S_1} = e_0^L + e_0^H - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n}{(n + 1)!} (\hat{S}_1)^{n+1} (e_0^L + e_0^H), \] (6.24)
where \( \hat{S}_1 X = [S_1, X] \) stands for the adjoint action. Noting that \( S_1 \sim \frac{1}{\alpha} \) and \( e_0^H \sim \alpha \) we see that the term \( \hat{S}_n (e_0^L + e_0^H) \) is of order \( 1/(\alpha)^{n-1} \). To obtain all terms to the order \( 1/\alpha^4 \) it suffices to keep terms in the expansion (6.24) up to \( n = 5 \). We obtain
\[ h^{(1)}_{\alpha,n_c} = e^{\hat{S}_1 (\alpha, n_c)} + e_0^L + e_0^H + u_1^L + u_1^H + O \left( \frac{1}{\alpha^5} \right) \] (6.25)
\[ \hat{\mathcal{E}}_1(\alpha, n_c) = \hat{\mathcal{E}}_0(n_c) - \frac{1}{2}(n_c + 1)\mathcal{D}^{(1)}_\alpha + \frac{1}{2}(n_c + 1)^2\mathcal{D}^{(1)}_\alpha \left( \mathcal{D}^{(2)}_\alpha + \frac{\mathcal{D}^{(1)}_\alpha}{2W_\alpha} \right), \quad (6.26) \]

\[ e^H_1 = \left[ W_\alpha + (n_c + 1)\mathcal{D}^{(1)}_\alpha - (n_c + 1)^2\mathcal{D}^{(1)}_\alpha \left( \mathcal{D}^{(2)}_\alpha + \frac{\mathcal{D}^{(1)}_\alpha}{2W_\alpha} \right) \right] b_1^\dagger b_0, \quad (6.27) \]

\[ u_1^L = (n_c + 1) \left( \sum_{l=1}^{n_c} \frac{\tilde{b}_l + \tilde{b}_l^\dagger}{t_l} + \frac{\tilde{b}_0}{t_0} \right) \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n_c} \frac{\tilde{\omega}_k(\tilde{b}_k^\dagger - \tilde{b}_k)}{t_k(W_\alpha^2 - \tilde{\omega}_k^2)} \right) \]

\[ - \frac{3}{2}(n_c + 1)^2\mathcal{D}^{(1)}_\alpha \left( \sum_{l=1}^{n_c} \frac{W_\alpha(\tilde{b}_l + \tilde{b}_l^\dagger)}{t_l(W_\alpha^2 - \tilde{\omega}_l^2)} + \frac{\tilde{b}_0}{t_0W_\alpha} \right) \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n_c} \frac{\tilde{\omega}_k(\tilde{b}_k^\dagger - \tilde{b}_k)}{t_k(W_\alpha^2 - \tilde{\omega}_k^2)} \right), \quad (6.28) \]

\[ u_1^{LH} = -\frac{2}{3}(n_c + 1)^\frac{3}{2} \left[ 2\mathcal{D}^{(1)}_\alpha \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n_c} \frac{\tilde{\omega}_k B_+(\tilde{b}_k^\dagger - \tilde{b}_k)}{t_k(W_\alpha^2 - \tilde{\omega}_k^2)} \right) \right. \]

\[ \left. - \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n_c} B_-(\tilde{b}_k + \tilde{b}_k^\dagger) \right) 2\mathcal{D}^{(2)}_\alpha + \frac{\mathcal{D}^{(1)}_\alpha W_\alpha}{W_\alpha^2 - \tilde{\omega}_k^2} + \frac{1}{t_0} B_-b_0(2\mathcal{D}^{(2)}_\alpha + \frac{\mathcal{D}^{(1)}_\alpha}{W_\alpha}) \right] \]

\[ - \frac{4}{15}(n_c + 1)^\frac{5}{2}\mathcal{D}^{(1)}_\alpha \left( \mathcal{D}^{(2)}_\alpha + \frac{\mathcal{D}^{(1)}_\alpha}{2W_\alpha} \right) \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n_c} \frac{W_\alpha B_-(\tilde{b}_k + \tilde{b}_k^\dagger)}{t_k(W_\alpha^2 - \tilde{\omega}_k^2)} + \frac{B_-b_0}{t_0W_\alpha} \right) \quad (6.29) \]

where

\[ \mathcal{D}^{(1)}_\alpha = \sum_{k=1}^{n_c} \frac{2W_\alpha}{t_k^2(W_\alpha^2 - \tilde{\omega}_k^2)} + \frac{1}{t_0^2W_\alpha}, \quad (6.30) \]

\[ \mathcal{D}^{(2)}_\alpha = \sum_{k=1}^{n_c} \frac{\tilde{\omega}_k^2}{t_k^2(W_\alpha^2 - \tilde{\omega}_k^2)^2}. \quad (6.31) \]

For \( \alpha \to \infty \) with \( n_c \) fixed we have \( \mathcal{D}^{(1)}_\alpha \sim 1/\alpha^5 \) and \( \mathcal{D}^{(2)}_\alpha \sim 1/\alpha^4 \). This implies that the leading mixing interaction term in \( u_1^{LH} \) is of the order \( 1/\alpha^2 \). We can remove it by a unitary transformation

\[ h_{\alpha,n_c} \mapsto h_{\alpha,n_c}^{(2)} = e^{S_2}h_{\alpha,n_c}e^{-S_2} \]

where \( S_2 \) is of the order \( 1/\alpha^3 \). An inspection shows that this will introduce new interactions that start from the order \( 1/\alpha^5 \). Hence all interactions to the order \( 1/\alpha^4 \) are already contained in the formulas above. Expanding \((6.28)\) in powers of \( 1/\alpha \) we obtain the effective Hamiltonian for light modes

\[ h_{\text{eff}}^{LH} = \bar{\mathcal{E}}_1(\alpha, n_c) + \sum_{k=1}^{n_c} \tilde{\omega}_k \tilde{b}_k^\dagger \tilde{b}_k + \tilde{g}^{\text{eff}}(\alpha, n_c)\tilde{T}(0, 0) + \tilde{g}_3^{\text{eff}}(\alpha, n_c)\tilde{O}_3(0, 0) + \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha^5} \right) \quad (6.33) \]

where

\[ \tilde{T}(0, 0) = -\frac{\pi^2}{2} \left( \sum_{l=1}^{n_c} \frac{\tilde{b}_l + \tilde{b}_l^\dagger}{t_l} + \frac{\tilde{b}_0}{t_0} \right) \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n_c} \frac{\tilde{\omega}_k(\tilde{b}_k^\dagger - \tilde{b}_k)}{t_k} \right), \quad (6.34) \]
\[ \tilde{O}_3(0, 0) = -\frac{\pi^2}{2} : \left( \sum_{l=1}^{n_c} \frac{\tilde{b}_l + \tilde{b}_l^\dagger}{t_l} + \frac{\tilde{b}_0}{t_0} \right) \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n_c} \tilde{\omega}_k^3 \frac{(\tilde{b}_k^\dagger - \tilde{b}_k)}{t_k} \right) : \]  

(6.35)

and

\[ \tilde{g}_{\text{eff}}^2(\alpha, n_c) = -\frac{1}{2\pi^2 \alpha^2} + \frac{3d_{n_c}^{(1)}}{8\pi^2 \alpha^4}, \]  

(6.36)

\[ \tilde{g}_3^\text{eff}(\alpha, n_c) = -\frac{1}{8\pi^2 \alpha^4(n_c + 1)}, \]  

(6.37)

\[ \tilde{E}_1^+(\alpha, n_c) = \tilde{E}_1(\alpha, n_c) - \frac{\pi^2}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n_c} \frac{1}{t_k^2} (\tilde{g}_{\text{eff}}(\alpha, n_c)\tilde{\omega}_k + \tilde{g}_3^\text{eff}(\alpha, n_c)\tilde{\omega}_k^3). \]  

(6.38)

Here

\[ d_{n_c}^{(1)} = \sum_{k=1}^{n_c} \frac{1}{t_k^2} + \frac{1}{2t_0^2}. \]  

(6.39)

In the large \( n_c \) limit \( t_i \to \pi \) so that we have

\[ T(0, 0) = T^{\text{tr}}(0, 0) + \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{n_c}), \quad \tilde{O}_3(0, 0) = \mathcal{O}_3^{\text{tr}}(0, 0) + \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{n_c}) \]  

(6.40)

where the operators on the right hand side are the stress energy tensor and \( :\psi \partial^3 \tau \psi: \) operators regulated by mode truncation (see also (5.27)). For fixed \( n_c \) we can thus consider \( T \) and \( \tilde{O}_3 \) as the corresponding continuous operators regulated in some truncation scheme.

The first term in (6.36) coincides with the leading irrelevant interaction found in [5].

As for large \( n_c \) we have

\[ d_{n_c}^{(1)} \to \frac{1}{\pi^2} (n_c + \frac{1}{2}) \]  

(6.41)

the second term in (6.36) is divergent in \( n_c \) and can be interpreted as a counterterm stemming from the term in the OPE of stress-tensor \( T \) with itself proportional to \( T \):

\[ T(\tau, 0)T(0, 0) \sim \frac{1}{4\tau^4} + \frac{2T(0, 0)}{\tau^2} + \frac{\partial_\tau T(0, 0)}{\tau} + \ldots \]  

(6.42)

The corresponding divergence in the integrated correlator is not-integrable and being regulated by mode truncation gives a term proportional to \( n_c \). Such a counterterm should be present in any truncation scheme. In particular we find that this counterterm removes the leading \( n_c \)-dependence in the spectral equation (4.15) with \( g = \tilde{g}_{\text{eff}} \). Namely, substituting the leading asymptotic

\[ \tilde{g}_{\text{eff}} = -\frac{1}{2\pi^2 \alpha^2} + \frac{3(n_c + 1/2)}{8\pi^4 \alpha^4} \]  

(6.43)
into (4.15) and expanding up to $1/\alpha^5$ we obtain
\[
\left[ \sum_{k=0}^{n_c} \frac{2\omega^2}{n^2 - \omega^2} - 1 \right]^{-1} = - \frac{\tilde{g}_{\text{eff}}(1 + \tilde{g}_{\text{eff}}(1 + 2n_c)/4)}{(1 + \tilde{g}_{\text{eff}}^2)(1 + 2n_c))^2} \\
= - \frac{1}{2\pi^2\alpha^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{\alpha^6}\right).
\]
(6.44)

Noting that for $n_c \to \infty$
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{n_c} \frac{2\omega^2}{n^2 - \omega^2} - 1 \to -\pi\omega \cot(\pi\omega)
\]
(6.45)

we reproduce the spectral equation (2.20) up to terms suppressed by $1/\alpha^6$ and by $1/n_c$. Note that the truncation scheme used in (4.14), (4.15) is different from the mode truncation in the UV theory exported into IR which is used in (6.33).

At higher orders in $1/\alpha$ more derivative interactions $O_p$ will appear. We observe that the higher is the order of derivative $p$ the higher is the leading suppressing power of $1/\alpha$ standing at $O_p$. On general grounds, since only the vacuum energy diverges, we expect that our effective infrared Hamiltonian contains all the necessary counter terms to have a finite $n_c \to \infty$ limit of the excitation energies. The leading interaction is given by the stress-energy tensor with a coupling independent of $n_c$. One may wonder whether the whole effective Hamiltonian should be considered as the leading interaction plus (infinitely many) counter terms which are needed to have a finite $n_c \to \infty$ limit. We note that in the present theory at higher order we generate more $n_c$-independent terms in the effective Hamiltonian. For example by expanding the last term in (6.28) in powers of $\tilde{\omega}l/W_\alpha$ we generate a finite term proportional to
\[
\frac{1}{\alpha^6} \partial_\tau^2 \psi \partial_\tau \psi:
\]
(6.46)

that suggests that this picture (leading order interaction plus counter terms) may be too simplistic.\footnote{Strictly speaking we need to systematically perform all effective Hamiltonian calculations to the order $1/\alpha^6$ to make sure this finite term does not get cancelled by other similar contributions.}

\section{Concluding remarks}

Our main result regarding the RG interface is the explicit formula for the matrix elements (3.6). It would be interesting to calculate the normalisation factor $N_\alpha$ or at least its asymptotic expansion at large $\alpha$. The vanishing of this prefactor is related to the singularities in the OPE of $\hat{\psi}_{0,\alpha}$ with itself.

Another aspect of the RG interface which would be interesting to investigate is the transport of local operators. While the operator $\hat{\psi}_{0,\alpha}$ establishes a mapping between

\footnote{Up to a total derivative that can be removed by a unitary transformation this operator is equivalent to $O_3$.}
states in the unperturbed and perturbed theories, since at finite $\alpha$ the usual CFT state-operator correspondence does not work, this mapping does not automatically establish a mapping of local operators. To establish the latter we can follow the following procedure. Given an operator $\psi_{UV}$ at the UV fixed point we can surround it by $\psi_{0,\alpha}$ and its conjugate taken some finite distance $\epsilon$ away from $\psi_{UV}$ as depicted below.

As we send $\epsilon$ to zero we should obtain, possibly after renormalisation, a local operator in the perturbed theory. Similarly we can start with a local operator in the perturbed theory and surround it by the interface operators distance $\epsilon$ away. In this case we would expect in the $\epsilon \to 0$ limit to obtain a local operator in the UV BCFT. It seems plausible that for finite $\alpha$ both procedures are the inverse of each other but it would be interesting to work out the details. We would further speculate that the limit $\alpha \to \infty$ does not commute with the limit $\epsilon \to 0$ as the OPE of the interface operator with itself and with other operators becomes singular at the infrared fixed point $\alpha = \infty$. Moreover we would expect that the two mappings: from UV operators to the IR operators and in the opposite direction, are no longer the inverses of each other. For the present model it should be possible to get precise answers to these questions that may enrich our intuition about what happens to local operators as we arrive at an infrared fixed point.

Regarding the second aspect of the paper – the effective Hamiltonian near the IR fixed point, while it is instructing to see how it can be generated systematically term by term, it is desirable to understand better the role of regularisation scheme choice. The mode truncation scheme we used is very special in two respects. Firstly, taking the coupling to infinity we obtain a finite spectrum approximating the low lying IR BCFT spectrum so that the truncation in the UV BCFT corresponds to some (local) truncation scheme in the IR BCFT. Secondly, for the perturbed truncated theory at finite value of the coupling the energies separate cleanly into low energy states and heavy energy states whose energies are all of the order $\alpha$. While everything works out fine for this regularisation scheme, the feeling of the author is that its special features seem to obscure some conceptual issues which remain to be uncovered.
A Explicit formulas for the leg factors and matrices in the RG operator

We start with the factorisation equation

\[ \Phi(\omega)\Phi(-\omega) = 1 + \frac{2\pi\alpha^2 \tanh(\pi\omega)}{\omega}. \]  (A.1)

The function on the right hand side has simple poles at \( \omega = i(k + 1/2), \ k \in \mathbb{Z} \) and simple zeroes at \( \omega = \omega_n, \ n = \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots \) where \( \omega_n \) are non-zero solutions to (2.20). In general (A.1) defines \( \Phi(\omega) \) up to a multiplication by \( \exp(\omega A) \) where \( A \) is a constant.

We choose

\[ \Phi(\omega) = \sqrt{1 + \frac{2\pi^2\alpha^2}{\omega}} \Gamma(1/2 + i\omega) e^{i\gamma/2} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( 1 - \frac{\omega}{i\omega_n} \right) e^{-i\omega/n} \]  (A.2)

in such a way that for \( |\omega| \to \infty \)

\[ |\Phi(\omega)| \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\omega|}}. \]  (A.3)

This gives

\[ d_n = 2\pi i \text{Res} \tilde{f}_+(\omega)|_{\omega=i(n+1/2)} = \frac{\Gamma(n + 1/2) \sqrt{1 + 2\pi^2\alpha^2}}{2\alpha\pi^{3/2}} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( 1 - \frac{n+1/2}{\omega_k} \right). \]  (A.4)

We next take a logarithm of the infinite product and rewrite the corresponding series as a contour integral using a function

\[ W(\omega) = \frac{2\pi^2\alpha^2}{2\pi^2\alpha^2 + 1} \left( 1 + \frac{\omega}{2\pi\alpha^2 \tanh(\pi\omega)} \right) \]  (A.5)

that has simple poles at \( \omega \in i\mathbb{Z} \) and simple zeroes at \( \omega = \pm i\omega_k \). The normalisation is chosen so that \( W(0) = 1 \). For the logarithmic function rather than taking \( \log \left( 1 - \frac{i(n+1/2)}{\omega} \right) \), that has a branch cut on the imaginary axis that passes through some zeroes and poles of \( W(\omega) \), we choose \( \log(\omega - i(n + 1/2)) - \log(\omega) \). Here and elsewhere \( \log(z) \) stands for the principal branch function. With this in mind we write

\[ P_n = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( 1 - \frac{n+1/2}{\omega_k} \frac{\omega_h}{1 - n+1/2} \right) = \lim_{R \to \infty} \exp \left[ \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-R}^{R} dx \left( \log(x - i(n + 1/2)) - \log(x) \right) d\ln W(x) \right. \\
+ \int_{-R}^{0} dx d\ln W(x + i(n + 1/2)) \right] \]  (A.6)
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where the last term in the exponent comes from integrating along the branch cut of $\text{Log}(x - i(n+1/2))$. Taking into account that $W(x)$ is even the last expression simplifies to

$$P_n = \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \, \arctan \left( \frac{n+1/2}{x} \right) \ln W(x) + \ln \left( \frac{2\pi^2 \alpha^2}{2\pi^2 \alpha^2 + 1} \right) \right] \quad (A.7)$$

Integrating by parts and substituting $P_n$ into (A.4) we finally obtain (2.60).

Next we calculate the matrices $N_{nm}$ and $O_{pn}$ in (3.6). We have

$$O_{kn} = -i\langle 0|a_{k+1/2}^\dagger \hat{\psi}_{0,\alpha} b^\dagger_n |0\rangle_\alpha = \frac{2\alpha}{(k+1/2 - \omega_n)f_n} - \frac{d_{k+1/2}}{f_n} - \frac{2\alpha d_{k+1/2}}{f_n} \left( -\frac{2k+1}{\omega_n - k - 1/2} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{d_r}{r+k+1} + \left( \frac{\omega_n + k + 1/2}{\omega_n - k - 1/2} \right) \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{d_r}{r + 1/2 + \omega_n} \right) \quad (A.8)$$

where we used the intertwining property of $\hat{\psi}_{0,\alpha}$. Using the recurrence relation (2.49) we recast the last expression as

$$O_{kn} = d_k \tilde{d}_n \left( \frac{k + 1/2 + \omega_n}{k + 1/2 - \omega_n} \right) \quad (A.9)$$

where

$$\tilde{d}_n = \frac{1}{f_n} \left( 2\alpha \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{d_r}{r + 1/2 + \omega_n} + 1 \right). \quad (A.10)$$

Similarly using the intertwining property we obtain

$$\langle 0|a_{p}^\dagger \hat{\psi}_{0,\alpha} b^\dagger_q |0\rangle_\alpha = -\tilde{d}_q \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} iB_{p,k} d_k \left( \frac{\omega_q + k + 1/2}{\omega_q - k - 1/2} \right)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{f_p} \left( \frac{1}{f_q} + \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} iB_{q,r} d_r \right). \quad (A.12)$$

Furthermore, using

$$\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{id_k}{\omega_q - k - 1/2} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \, \tilde{f}_+(\omega) \left( \frac{\omega_q}{\omega_q + i\omega} \right) - 2\pi \tilde{f}_+(i\omega_q) = \frac{1}{2\alpha} \quad (A.13)$$

we recast (A.12) as

$$N_{qp} = \tilde{d}_q \tilde{d}_p \omega_p - \omega_q \quad (A.14)$$

Formula (3.10) is obtained from (A.10) by using

$$\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{d_r}{r + 1/2 + \omega_p} = 2\pi \tilde{f}_+(-i\omega_p) \quad (A.15)$$
We also calculate these operators satisfy

Here we collect some commutator formulas for the operators

Taking the logarithm of the infinite product factor and using a contour integration with summation function (A.5) we finally arrive at (3.10).

**B Some identities used in Schrieffer-Wolff calculations**

Here we collect some commutator formulas for the operators

These operators satisfy

We also calculate

\begin{align}
    [B_+ (\tilde{b}_n + \tilde{b}^\dagger_n), B_+ (\tilde{b}_m - \tilde{b}^\dagger_m)] &= -2(\tilde{b}_n + \tilde{b}^\dagger_n)(\tilde{b}_m - \tilde{b}^\dagger_m), \quad \text{(B.5)} \\
    [B_+ (\tilde{b}_n + \tilde{b}^\dagger_n), B_-(\tilde{b}_m + \tilde{b}^\dagger_m)] &= 2(2N - 1)\delta_{n,m}, \quad \text{(B.6)} \\
    [B_- (\tilde{b}_n - \tilde{b}^\dagger_n), B_-(\tilde{b}_m + \tilde{b}^\dagger_m)] &= 2(\tilde{b}_n - \tilde{b}^\dagger_n)(\tilde{b}_m + \tilde{b}^\dagger_m), \quad \text{(B.7)} \\
    [B_- (\tilde{b}_n - \tilde{b}^\dagger_n), B_+(\tilde{b}_m - \tilde{b}^\dagger_m)] &= 2(1 - 2N)\delta_{n,m}, \quad \text{(B.8)} \\
    [B_+ (\tilde{b}_n + \tilde{b}^\dagger_n), N] &= -B_-(\tilde{b}_n + \tilde{b}^\dagger_n), \quad [B_-(\tilde{b}_n - \tilde{b}^\dagger_n), N] = -B_+(\tilde{b}_n - \tilde{b}^\dagger_n), \quad \text{(B.9)} \\
    [B_+ (\tilde{b}_k + \tilde{b}^\dagger_k), (\tilde{b}_n + \tilde{b}^\dagger_n)(\tilde{b}_m - \tilde{b}^\dagger_m)] &= 2B_+\delta_{k,n}(\tilde{b}_m - \tilde{b}^\dagger_m), \quad \text{(B.10)} \\
    [B_- (\tilde{b}_k - \tilde{b}^\dagger_k), (\tilde{b}_n + \tilde{b}^\dagger_n)(\tilde{b}_m - \tilde{b}^\dagger_m)] &= 2B_-\delta_{k,m}(\tilde{b}_n + \tilde{b}^\dagger_n). \quad \text{(B.11)}
\end{align}
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