Phase 1 open-label trial of intravenous administration of MVA-BN-brachyury-TRICOM vaccine in patients with advanced cancer
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ABSTRACT

Background MVA-BN-brachyury-TRICOM is a recombinant vector-based therapeutic cancer vaccine designed to induce an immune response against brachyury. Brachyury, a transcription factor overexpressed in advanced cancers, has been associated with treatment resistance, epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition, and metastatic potential. MVA-BN-brachyury-TRICOM has demonstrated immunogenicity and safety in previous clinical trials of subcutaneously administered vaccine. Preclinical studies have suggested that intravenous administration of therapeutic vaccines can induce superior CD8+ T cell responses, higher levels of systemic cytokine release, and stronger natural killer cell activation and proliferation. This is the first-in-human study of the intravenous administration of MVA-BN-brachyury-TRICOM.

Methods Between January 2020 and March 2021, 13 patients were treated on a phase 1, open-label, 3+3 design, dose-escalation study at the National Institutes of Health Clinical Center. The study population was adults with advanced solid tumors and was enriched for chordoma, a rare sarcoma of the notochord that overexpresses brachyury. Vaccine was administered intravenously at three DLs on days 1, 22, and 43. Blood samples were taken to assess drug pharmacokinetics and immune activation. Imaging was conducted at baseline, 1 month, and 3 months post-treatment. The primary endpoint was safety and tolerability as determined by the frequency of dose-limiting toxicities; a secondary endpoint was determination of the recommended phase 2 dose.

Results No dose-limiting toxicities were observed and no serious adverse events were attributed to the vaccine. Vaccine-related toxicities were consistent with class profile (ie, influenza-like symptoms). Cytokine release syndrome up to grade 2 was observed with no adverse outcomes. Dose-effect trend was observed for fever, chills/rigor, and hypotension. Efficacy analysis of objective response rate per RECIST 1.1 at the end of study showed one patient with a partial response, four with stable disease, and eight with progressive disease. Three patients with stable disease experienced clinical benefit in the form of improvement in pain. Immune correlatives showed T cell activation against brachyury and other tumor-associated cascade antigens.

Conclusions Intravenous administration of MVA-BN-brachyury-TRICOM vaccine was safe and tolerable. Maximum tolerated dose was not reached. The maximum administered dose was 109 infectious units every 3 weeks for three doses. This dose was selected as the recommended phase 2 dose.

Trial registration number NCT04134312.

INTRODUCTION

MVA-BN-brachyury-TRICOM is a recombinant vector-based therapeutic cancer vaccine. The vector is modified vaccinia Ankara (MVA) virus, designed to induce an enhanced immune response against brachyury, which is overexpressed in many solid tumors such as lung, breast, ovarian, chordoma, prostate, colorectal, and pancreatic adenocarcinoma. Brachyury is a member of the T-box family of transcription factors, characterized by a highly conserved DNA-binding domain designated as the T-domain. The gene encoding for the transcription factor brachyury was identified using a computer-based differential display analysis tool to conduct global comparison of expressed sequence tag clusters in the Unigene database. Brachyury homologs are involved in embryonic mesodermal development and play a vital role during early embryonic gastrulation and notochord formation. After embryonic development, brachyury is expressed in the testes, nucleus pulposus cells, and thyroid tissues but is undetectable in all other healthy adult tissues. In cancer, brachyury is thought to be a driver of epithelial-to-mesenchymal
transition, a reversible process during which cells switch from a polarized, epithelial phenotype into a highly motile, mesenchymal phenotype.\textsuperscript{13} - \textsuperscript{14} Epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition involves the downregulation of epithelial proteins such as E-cadherin and cytokeratins and the induction of mesenchymal proteins including fibronectin, N-cadherin, and vimentin.\textsuperscript{15} - \textsuperscript{16} It has been demonstrated that overexpression of brachyury in human carcinoma cell lines drives a switch from an epithelial to a mesenchymal-like phenotype.\textsuperscript{17} Overexpression of brachyury in epithelial tumor cells results in a concomitant increase in tumor-cell migration and extracellular matrix invasion. In preclinical studies, brachyury-silenced cells implanted subcutaneously in athymic mice had a diminished capacity to metastasize to the lungs from the primary subcutaneous tumor and showed a reduced ability to form experimental lung metastasis after intravenous implantation.\textsuperscript{17} These results demonstrate that the transcription factor brachyury confers on tumor cells a mesenchymal phenotype as well as migratory and invasive capabilities and enhances tumor-cell progression.

Initial reports of brachyury overexpression in cancer originated from studies in chordoma, a rare sarcoma derived from remnants of the primitive notochord. Chordomas universally overexpress brachyury,\textsuperscript{18} - \textsuperscript{19} while brachyury gene duplication seems to be a major susceptibility factor for familial chordoma.\textsuperscript{20} Brachyury is an essential transcriptional regulator of chordoma identity and is related to super-enhancer functionality, thus representing a therapeutic target of special interest for chordoma.\textsuperscript{21} - \textsuperscript{22} Overexpression of brachyury messenger RNA was also found in gastrointestinal, bladder, kidney, ovarian, uterine, and testicular carcinomas, as well as in cell lines of lung, colon, and prostate cancers.\textsuperscript{6} In contrast, brachyury messenger RNA was notably absent in the vast majority of normal tissues tested, making brachyury an attractive target for the development of novel anticancer therapies.

To date, five investigational therapeutic cancer vaccines targeting brachyury have been studied or remain under investigation in human clinical trials. The first vaccine to undergo human testing was GI-6301 (NCT01519817), a yeast-brachyury vaccine composed of heat-killed recombinant \textit{Saccharomyces cerevisiae} expressing brachyury.\textsuperscript{23} A phase 2 study (NCT02983498) of the \textit{S. cerevisiae} yeast-brachyury vaccine in combination with radiation therapy in chordoma did not meet its primary efficacy endpoint.\textsuperscript{24} The next vaccine to undergo human trials was MVA-brachyury-TRICOM, an MVA vector-based vaccine expressing the transgenes for brachyury and three human T cell costimulatory molecules: B7.1, ICAM-1, and LFA-3, designated as TRICOM. After the phase I dose-escalating trial (NCT02179515), a second phase I study (NCT03349983) employed a heterologous ‘prime and boost’ strategy with MVA-brachyury-TRICOM as the priming vaccine and fowlpox virus (FPV)-brachyury-TRICOM (FPV-brachyury) as the booster vaccine.\textsuperscript{25} - \textsuperscript{26} The Bavarian Nordic (BN)-brachyury therapeutic cancer vaccine (consisting of the ‘prime and boost’ regimen) is currently under investigation in a phase 2 study in combination with radiation therapy in chordoma (NCT03595228) and in combination with other systemic therapies in breast and prostate cancer (NCT03493945, NCT04020094, NCT04296942). Clinical trials of adenovirus-vector vaccines (ie, ETBX-051) targeting brachyury are also underway (NCT03384316, NCT03481816).

Previous clinical trials of therapeutic cancer vaccines have generally deployed the vaccine product via subcutaneous or intramuscular routes. Preclinical studies have demonstrated that intravenous administration of some vaccines, including MVA-based constructs, can induce superior immunogenicity compared with subcutaneous or intramuscular routes. A preclinical study comparing different routes of administration of an MVA-vectorized HIV vaccine found that the intravenous route was more efficient in inducing T cell immunity. In addition, the analysis of systemic cytokine responses demonstrated a strong production of monocyte chemotactrant protein 1 and 3 (MCP-1 and MCP-3), regulated upon activation, normal T cell expressed and secreted chemokine (RANTES), interleukin (IL)-6, IL-18, and interferon (IFN)-γ following intravenous administration. Consistent with cytokine production, stronger NK and T cell activation was associated with intravenous administration.\textsuperscript{27} - \textsuperscript{29} Here, we present results from the first-in-human clinical trial of an intravenously administered therapeutic cancer vaccine targeting brachyury. NCT04134312 was a single-site, open-label, phase 1, dose-escalation study of intravenously administered MVA-BN-brachyury-TRICOM. The investigational biologic product, also known as MVA-mBN240B, is a liquid-frozen, highly attenuated, live recombinant virus that was supplied by the study sponsor, Bavarian Nordic. The primary objectives of this trial were to assess the safety and tolerability of the vaccine and to determine the recommended phase 2 dose (RP2D).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patient eligibility

The study population was adults with advanced solid tumors and was enriched for chordoma. Eligible histologies included tumors with known overexpression of brachyury such as non-small cell lung, small cell lung, breast, ovarian, prostate, colorectal, pancreas, hepatocellular, bladder, and kidney carcinomas. Eligible patients were required to have metastatic or incurable disease, with disease progression or intolerance to systemic therapies with known benefit; specific treatment and the number of lines of prior treatment required to enroll varied by tumor type. Patients were required to have measurable disease per Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (RECIST) 1.1 or evaluable disease defined by the presence of elevated serum tumor markers and/or clear radiographic or clinical evidence of tumor which did not meet RECIST 1.1 measurement requirements.\textsuperscript{30} Enrollment was limited to patients with an Eastern...
Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) performance status of 0 or 1 at study entry. Normal organ and bone marrow function were required with standard parameters. Women of childbearing potential were required to have a negative pregnancy test within 48 hours of treatment; breast feeding was not permitted. Men and women of childbearing potential agreed to use effective birth control or abstinence during the study and for 3 months after the last vaccination. Patients were required to have completed any prior treatment at least 4 weeks prior to the first planned dose of vaccine, the exception being 6 weeks for any prior antibody therapies due to prolonged half-life. Patients must have recovered to either grade 1 or baseline from any clinically significant toxicity associated with prior therapy. The study did not enroll patients with serious intercurrent illness, active infection, recent administration of antibiotics, acute autoimmune disease, immunosuppression, HIV, chronic active hepatitis, or hypersensitivity to any vaccine, aminoglycoside, or egg product. Chronic systemic steroid use was prohibited within 4 weeks of study enrollment; physiologic replacement dosing was permitted.

**Study design**

The study explored three dose levels (DL) of vaccine: $1 \times 10^7$ infectious units (Inf. U.) (DL 1), $1 \times 10^8$Inf. U. (DL 2), and $1 \times 10^9$Inf. U. (DL 3). Based on preclinical data, we anticipated that the change in the route of administration from subcutaneous (SC) to intravenous would speed the dynamics of cytokine release with potential increase of the cytokine levels in blood. Concern for potential cytokine release syndrome (CRS) was the rationale for proceeding with a dose-escalation phase 1 design up to $1 \times 10^9$Inf. U., which has been historically the maximum dose administered SC. Following a screening period and informed consent, patients were admitted on day -1 to the inpatient service at the National Institutes of Health (NIH) Clinical Center in Bethesda, Maryland, USA. Three intravenous treatments were administered every 3 weeks on days 1, 22, and 43. Blood samples were taken at prespecified time points to assess drug pharmacokinetics and immune activation. Inpatient hospitalization was necessary for pharmacokinetic assessment and patient safety due to the potential for immune activation and CRS. Patients were discharged 2 days post-treatment on days 3, 24, and 45; they returned 1 week after each treatment for a safety check consisting of complete history and physical with laboratory assessment and ECG. Imaging with formal response assessment per RECIST 1.1 was conducted at baseline, 1 month, and 3 months post-final vaccination. Trial duration was approximately 24 weeks per patient, including a screening period of approximately 4 weeks, 8 weeks of active inpatient treatment, and 3 months of follow-up (figure 1).

The primary endpoint was safety and tolerability as determined by the frequency of dose-limiting toxicities (DLTs). Adverse events (AEs) were assessed by the investigator and graded per the National Cancer Institute’s (NCI’s) Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events V.5.0, except CRS which was graded as per American Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation CRS Consensus grading. Dose escalation followed typical 3+3 design principles. The maximum tolerated dose was defined as the dose at which the probability of a DLT was $\leq 16.7\%$. Patient treatment was staggered for safety, which was monitored jointly by the research team, the NIH’s Institutional Review Board, and the study sponsor. Dose escalation could not proceed without the agreement of the safety monitoring team. Stopping rules for toxicity were enumerated for individual patients and the overall study. Patients who dropped out of the study prior to receiving the second vaccination, and who had had no DLTs, were replaced. No intrapatient dose escalation was permitted.

**Statistical and data analyses**

The trial was not powered to test any hypothesis and no formal inferential statistical analysis was performed. Exploratory assessments of immunogenicity included measurement of T cell responses to tumor-associated antigens (TAAs). Exploratory efficacy analysis included

---

**Figure 1** Consort flow diagram. Of 14 patients officially screened for the trial, only one patient was unable to obtain imaging with MRI to confirm eligibility. The other 13 patients screened were treated (3 at DL1, 4 at DL2 and 6 at DL3). One patient (patient #7) treated at DL2 was replaced for failure to complete the DLT period due to treatment delay associated with the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic. DL, dose level; DLT, dose-limiting toxicity.
assessment of tumor response by objective response rate (ORR) per RECIST 1.1, 3 months after the final vaccination. Exploratory analysis using volumetric tumor segmentation was performed in patients with chordoma to assess tumor response more sensitively using MedX software (Medical Numerics, Germantown, Maryland, USA). Chordoma volume was measured by manually outlining the lesions on MRI or CT images and multiplying the area within the contours by the image slice thickness. Pain scores and daily analgesic requirements were self reported and no standardized Quality of life (QOL) questionnaire was used. All data reported here are collected up to April 14, 2021.

**Immune assays**

Research blood samples for measurement of peripheral T cell responses to TAA were collected at baseline, prior to each vaccine administration, and at the end of treatment. These studies were performed by the NCI’s Laboratory of Tumor Immunology and Biology. Peripheral blood was collected in sodium heparin tubes and peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) were separated by Ficoll-Hypaque density gradient separation. The resulting cells were cryopreserved in 90% heat-inactivated human AB serum and 10% dimethyl sulfoxide at a concentration of 1×10^7 cells/mL. Cryopreserved PBMCs were assessed for T cell responses to brachyury, the target antigen encoded by the vaccine, as well as against carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) and mucin-1 (MUC1), antigens not encoded by the vaccine. This assay has been previously described and involves stimulating PBMCs with overlapping 15-mer peptide pools and evaluating CD4^+ and CD8^+ T cells for the production of cytokines (IFN-γ, tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α, IL-2) or for expression of a degranulation marker (CD107a) by flow cytometry. Cryopreserved PBMCs were assessed for T cell responses to brachyury, the target antigen encoded by the vaccine, as well as against carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) and mucin-1 (MUC1), antigens not encoded by the vaccine. This assay has been previously described and involves stimulating PBMCs with overlapping 15-mer peptide pools and evaluating CD4^+ and CD8^+ T cells for the production of cytokines (IFN-γ, tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α, IL-2) or for expression of a degranulation marker (CD107a) by flow cytometry. Fluorophore-conjugated antibodies included anti-human CD4 clone OKT4, CD8 clone RPA-T8, IFN-γ clone B27, TNF-α clone MAb11, IL-2 clone MQ1-17H12, and CD8a clone H4A3. All peptide pools were purchased from JPT; peptide pools encoding human leukocyte antigen (HLA) and CEFT (a mixture of peptides of cytomegalovirus, Epstein-Barr virus, influenza, and tetanus toxin) were used as negative and positive controls, respectively. For analysis of immune responses, at least 3×10^5 events in the live gate were acquired with a BD LSR Fortessa equipped with a UV, violet, blue, red, and yellow/green laser, and Flow Cytometry Standard (FCS) files were analyzed with FlowJo V.9.9.6 for Macintosh (TreeStar). The absolute number of viable CD4^+ or CD8^+ T cells producing cytokine or positive for CD107a at the end of the expansion was calculated per 1×10^6 cells plated at the start of the stimulation assay. This calculation accounts for not only the percentage but also the total number of viable antigen-specific T cells expanded in the stimulation assay. The background signal (obtained with the HLA peptide pool) and any value obtained prior to treatment were subtracted from those obtained after treatment ((post-TAA−post-HLA)−(pre-TAA−pre-HLA)). A patient was scored as developing a response to a TAA if a patient had more than 250 CD4^+ or CD8^+ T cells that produced IFN-γ, TNF-α, or IL-2 or were positive for CD107a at the end of the stimulation assay per 1×10^6 cells that were plated at the start of the assay. Multifunctional T cells, defined as CD4^+ or CD8^+ T cells expressing two or more of IFN-γ, TNF-α, IL-2, or CD107a, were also evaluated. Patients were scored as developing multifunctional T cells during therapy if there was a more than 3-fold increase after versus before vaccination.

To determine the degree of T cell infiltrate in a biopsy sample obtained 2 days after the third vaccine in patient #9, tissue was minced, digested using a human tumor dissociation kit (Miltenyi) per manufacturer protocol, and the resulting suspension filtered (70 μm). Red blood cells were lysed, and single-cell suspensions blocked with fc block (BD Biosciences) prior to staining with antibodies (all purchased from Biolegend) using methods previously described. Fluorophore-conjugated antibodies included anti-human CD45 clone HI30, CD4 clone OKT4, CD8 clone RPA-T8, CCR7 clone G043H7, and CD45RA clone HI100. A live dead discriminator (live/dead fixable blue, Invitrogen) was included, and ‘fluorescence minus one’ controls were used for gating.

**RESULTS**

**Patient population**

Between January 2020 and March 2021, 13 patients were treated at the NIH Clinical Center in Bethesda, Maryland, USA. Mean patient age was 60 (range: 46–77). Male (69%) and Caucasian (69%) patients predominated across all DLs. Patients of color were represented, including two African Americans (15%), one Asian (8%), and one Native American (8%). Patients had a preserved ECOG performance status of either 0 (38.5%) or 1 (61.5%). The overall cohort included 10 patients (76.9%) with chordoma, 1 (7.7%) with small cell breast cancer, 1 (7.7%) with colorectal cancer, and 1 (7.7%) with prostate cancer. The patients with breast, colorectal, and prostate cancer were treated on DL1, while DL2 and DL3 were given exclusively to patients with chordoma. While the study was enriched for chordoma, the treatment of non-chordoma patients exclusively at DL1 was not preplanned. At baseline, 46.2% of all patients had locally advanced disease and 53.8% had metastatic disease. The median number of prior systemic cancer therapies was 3 (range: 1–12). Descriptive characteristics for the overall study population and each DL are shown in table 1.

**Toxicity**

No DLTs were observed in this study. Right upper quadrant abdominal pain in a patient with liver metastases was the only grade 3 treatment-related AE; all others were grade 1 or 2. Notably, four patients (31%) experienced grade 1 and 2 CRS with a dose-effect trend, supported by the correlation between frequency and grade of AEs with DL. While fever, chills/rigor, and hypotension were...
the most frequent manifestations across all DLs, these AEs were observed in 100% of patients treated at DL3. Observed symptoms of CRS across all DLs included fever (69%), chills (69%), hypotension (62%), fatigue (62%), headache (46%), nausea (39%), and myalgia (39%), among others. Changes in temperature and blood pressure associated with CRS were transient across DLs and returned to normal levels mostly within 24 hours. Two patients were treated with glucocorticoids and intravenous crystalloid volume resuscitation and all recovered completely. Chills were subjectively intense but improved with meperidine. The nausea/emesis reported is thought to be attributed to meperidine due to temporal association following meperidine administration. AEs categorized as clinical signs and symptoms possibly related to CRS are summarized in table 2.

CRS typically manifested 6–8 hours after vaccine administration, peaked at 8–12 hours, and resolved within 24 hours. The timing of the most frequent manifestations of CRS and its relationship with DLs is shown in figure 2. Of note, elevated C reactive protein (CRP) was observed within 24 hours post-vaccination and returned to baseline over a similar time course as the development and resolution of CRS-related AEs. No elevation of CRP was observed in DL1, only a 1.4-fold increase in DL2 and 8.2-fold increase in DL3 (online supplemental figure 1). No signs or signals of cardiac, hepatic, pulmonary, renal, or bone marrow toxicity were detected. Other than CRS, AEs were uncommon and considered unrelated to the investigational drug. For example, one case of grade 2 hyponatremia, a serious AE, was attributed to syndrome of inappropriate antidiuretic hormone secondary to disease progression in a case of clival chordoma. One case of grade 2 bullous pemphigoid requiring corticosteroid therapy was attributed to prior immune checkpoint inhibitor (ICI) therapy (ie, anti-programmed cell death 1 (PD-1) monoclonal antibody) due to the presence of baseline autoantibodies. Attribution of this immune-related AE was confounded by the antecedent development of infection with SARS-CoV-2. A detailed description of this case was previously reported.35

| Table 1 Baseline characteristics and demographic data of enrolled patients presented by dose level |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Dose level cohort               | DL1 n=3         | DL2 n=4         | DL3 n=6         | Overall n=13     |
| Age                             |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| Mean (SD)                       | 58.3 (4.93)     | 61.5 (12.66)    | 59.8 (8.93)     | 60.0 (8.88)     |
| Min, Max                        | 55, 64          | 46, 77          | 48, 75          | 46, 77          |
| Sex, n (%)                      |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| Male                            | 1 (33.3)        | 3 (75.0)        | 5 (83.3)        | 9 (69.2)        |
| Female                          | 2 (66.7)        | 1 (25.0)        | 1 (16.7)        | 4 (30.8)        |
| Race, n (%)                     |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| White                           | 3 (100)         | 1 (25.0)        | 5 (83.3)        | 9 (69.2)        |
| African American                | 0               | 2 (50.0)        | 0               | 2 (15.4)        |
| Asian                           | 0               | 1 (25.0)        | 0               | 1 (7.7)         |
| Other                           | 0               | 0               | 1 (25.0)        | 1 (7.7)         |
| ECOG PS, n (%)                  |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| 0                               | 0               | 2 (50.0)        | 3 (50.0)        | 5 (38.5)        |
| 1                               | 3 (100)         | 2 (50.0)        | 3 (50.0)        | 8 (61.5)        |
| Primary cancer site, n (%)      |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| Chordoma                        | 0               | 4 (100)         | 6 (100)         | 10 (76.9)       |
| Breast                          | 1 (33.3)        | 0               | 0               | 1 (7.7)         |
| Colorectal                      | 1 (33.3)        | 0               | 0               | 1 (7.7)         |
| Prostate                        | 1 (33.3)        | 0               | 0               | 1 (7.7)         |
| Current disease status, n (%)   |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| Locally advanced                | 0               | 1 (25.0)        | 5 (83.3)        | 6 (46.2)        |
| Metastatic                      | 3 (100)         | 3 (75.0)        | 1 (16.7)        | 7 (53.8)        |
| Number of prior systemic cancer therapies |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| Median                          | 10              | 1               | 3               | 3               |
| Min, Max                        | 9, 12           | 1, 1            | 2, 4            | 1, 12           |

DL, dose level [one semicolon, not two]; ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group Performance Status.
Immune analyses

The Fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS)-based assay for T cells expressing the type I cytokines IFN-γ, TNF-α, IL-2, and/or the degranulation marker CD107a following stimulation with overlapping peptide pools is described in detail in the Materials and Methods section. Representative flow cytometry plots of brachyury-specific CD4+ and CD8+ T cells developed in a patient after vaccination are shown in online supplemental figure 2. Pre-existing brachyury, CEA, and MUC1-specific T cells were detected in 54%, 33%, and 73% of patients, respectively (online supplemental figure 3). The presence of pre-existing T cell responses at baseline did not correspond with the development of TAA responses during therapy. Including all DLs and all time points examined, 9/13 (69%) patients developed CD4+ and/or CD8+ T cell responses after vaccination to brachyury, the antigen encoded by the vaccine, while 7/8 (88%) and 7/11 (64%) developed CD4+ and/or CD8+ T cell responses to the ’cascade’ antigens CEA and MUC1 not encoded in the vaccine (online supplemental figure 4). The induction of antigen-specific T cells by MVA-BN-brachyury-TRICOM given intravenously was rapid, with the majority of patients (75%) having an increase in CD4+ and/or CD8+ T cells targeting at least one of the antigens tested after a single dose of vaccine. Polyfunctional TAA responses, defined as CD4+ or CD8’ T cells that express two or more of the markers IFN-γ, TNF-α, IL-2, or CD107a, were also measured before and after vaccination. Using the criteria of a >3-fold increase post-versus pre-vaccination, or the presence of >100 polyfunctional cells post-vaccination per 1×10⁶ PBMCs (if negative at pre), polyfunctional T cells specific for brachyury, CEA, and MUC1 were generated in 62%, 75%, and 45% of patients, respectively (figure 3). There was a trend of a dose-related increase in the development of multifunctional brachyury-specific T cells noted, with 1/3 (33%) patients developing brachyury-specific T cells at DL1, 2/4 (50%) at DL2, and 5/6 (83%) at DL3. The magnitude of polyfunctional CD4+ and CD8+ T cells generated in each patient after (vs before) vaccination can be seen in online supplemental figure 5.

Clinical outcomes

An end-of-study evaluation of ORR (3 months after the last vaccination) in April 2021 per RECIST 1.1 showed one patient with a partial response (PR), four with stable disease (SD), and eight with progressive disease. No complete responses were observed. One patient with a PR and three with SD experienced clinical benefit with symptomatic improvement in pain and decreased use of daily medication.
analgesics. Exploratory retrospective response assessment using volumetric analysis performed by an experienced, blinded radiologist showed that while only one PR was observed per RECIST 1.1, two volumetric responses were observed. Patient #9, whose tumor decreased by only 33% per RECIST 1.1, had a 66% shrinkage in tumor volume per volumetric analysis. Patient #12 had a minimal decrease in tumor length of 2.7% per RECIST 1.1, which was considered SD; however, volumetric analysis showed a 41% decrease in tumor volume. This patient also had significant pain reduction, evidenced by decreasing pain scores and reduced opioid requirement. Of note, retrospective volumetric analysis was limited to patients with chordoma only. Efficacy results per RECIST 1.1 and volumetric analysis are summarized in table 3.

Case report of partial responder
One PR per RECIST 1.1 was observed in patient #9, a 59-year-old Caucasian man with metastatic chordoma of the lumbar spine extending through the anterior abdomen (figure 4). During 5 years of prior treatments, this chordoma failed to respond to surgical resection, proton radiation therapy, cryoablation, nivolumab, and other investigational therapies including NKTR-214, also known as bempegalgesleukin, a CD122-preferential IL-2 pathway agonist. The patient did not receive any therapy over the 6-month period prior to treatment on study. He received three treatments with intravenous MVA-BN-brachyury-TRICOM at DL3 over a 2-month treatment window. In the 48 hours following his first treatment, the patient noted a sensation of fullness in his abdomen as if his tumor were swollen. He developed concomitant edema, likely secondary to an inflammatory response in the tumor causing compression of the lower extremity vasculature, which resolved within a week. Prior to the third vaccine treatment, it was readily apparent on exam that the previously exophytic tumor was regressing. Areas of skin breakdown that had previously oozed blood had begun to heal. Clinical improvements in pain were also noted, both in terms of decreasing subjective pain scores as well as daily requirements of opioid analgesia. By the end-of-treatment visit, his skin had completely healed and only a small piece of residual tumor remained visible outside of the abdomen. End-of-treatment response assessment showed a 33% decrease in tumor size per RECIST 1.1 but a more impressive 66% decrease in tumor volume. Prior to vaccination, this patient had detectable levels of peripheral CD4+ T cells specific for brachyury (online supplemental figure 3), and after
one vaccine dose (D22), displayed a transient increase in CD8+ T cells specific for brachyury that were characterized by the production of IFN-γ and TNF-α (online supplemental figure 4). Flow cytometry assessment of the degree of immune infiltrate in a biopsy taken 2 days after the third vaccine in this patient showed that 71% of viable cells were leukocytes (CD45+). Among the leukocytes, 39% were CD4+ T cells and 31% were CD8+ T cells. This percentage of T cells equates to approximately 2466 CD4+ T cells/cm² and 1966 CD8+ T cells/cm² in the biopsy sample obtained. Within the CD4+ and CD8+ T cell compartments, the majority of cells detected were either a central memory (CCR7+, CD45RA−) or effector memory (CCR7− CD45RA−) phenotype; 47% of CD4+ T cells and 24% of CD8+ T cells were central memory cells, while 52% of CD4+ T cells and 75% of CD8+ T cells were effector memory cells. Prior to enrolling in this study, the patient was in clinical decline and considering transitioning to hospice care to focus on palliation of pain. As of the writing of this article, the patient’s tumor has not...
DeMaria PJ, et al. J Immunother Cancer 2021;9:e003238. doi:10.1136/jitc-2021-003238

DISCUSSION

From January 2020 to March 2021, 13 patients were treated with three DLs of intravenous MVA-BN-brachyury-TRICOM vaccine. The maximum tolerated dose was not reached. The maximum administered dose was $10^9$ Inf. U. every 3 weeks for three doses, which was safe and well tolerated with no dose reductions or discontinuations due to safety. No serious AEs were attributed to the vaccine. Vaccine-related toxicities were consistent with class profile (ie, influenza-like symptoms). CRS up to grade 2 was observed with no adverse outcomes. A dose-effect trend was observed for fever, chills/rigor, and hypotension. One PR at DL3 (7.7%) was observed, a 33% decrease in tumor size per RECIST measurement, and 66% decrease per exploratory volumetric measurement. While no validated volumetric response criteria exist for chordoma, exploratory analysis performed by a blinded radiologist showed volumetric response in a second patient with chordoma who also reported significant reduction in his pain level. Additionally, three patients (31%) experienced clinical benefit in the form of decreased pain (50% of patients at DL3). Immune correlative studies show that intravenous administration of MVA-BN-brachyury-TRICOM generated brachyury-specific T cells in most patients demonstrating the immunogenicity of this vaccine. In addition, T cell responses against CEA and MUC1, antigens not encoded in the vaccine, were induced after vaccination, suggesting that MVA-BN-brachyury-TRICOM generates immunologically relevant tumor-cell destruction. Others have shown that long-lasting polyfunctional T cells are associated with

Table 3  Clinical efficacy (ORR per RECIST 1.1) and volumetric analysis

| Dose level | Patient no. | Pain improvement | RECIST at the last assessment (3 months after first dose) | Volumetric change, % change (baseline/study end; cm³) |
|------------|-------------|------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|
| 1          | 2           | No               | PD (clin. deterioration)                                | Not done                                             |
| 3          | 3           | No               | PD (clin. deterioration)                                | Not done                                             |
| 4          | 4           | No               | PD (clin. deterioration)                                | Not done                                             |
| 2          | 5           | No               | PD                                                      | +100 (15.3/30)                                       |
| 6           | 6           | No               | PD                                                      | +63.5 (7.4/12.1)                                     |
| 7           | 7           | Yes              | SD                                                      | +230 (57/188)                                        |
| 8           | 8           | No               | SD                                                      | Not done (too small to measure)                      |
| 3          | 9           | Yes              | PR                                                      | −66 (2146/724)                                       |
| 10          | No          | No               | PD                                                      | +75 (0.8/1.4)                                        |
| 11          | No          | No               | PD                                                      | +212 (10.7/33.4)                                     |
| 12          | Yes         | No               | SD                                                      | −41 (129/76)                                         |
| 13          | Yes         | No               | SD                                                      | +27 (15.5/19.7)                                      |
| 14          | No          | Yes              | PD (SD per RECIST but with clin. deterioration)         | +25 (11.1/13.9)                                      |

All dose levels

n=13

Yes 4 out of 13
No 9 out of 13

1 PR
4 SD
8 PD

Two with volumetric shrinkage

Summary of clinical efficacy results in all patients and per dose level, with a comparison of ORR per RECIST 1.1 and retrospective exploratory volumetric analysis.

ORR, objective response rate; PD, progressive disease; PR, partial response; RECIST, Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors; SD, stable disease

Figure 4  Patient #9 (responder). Before and after treatment photos and CT imaging for patient #9, a 59-year-old man with metastatic chordoma of the lumbar spine extending through the anterior abdomen. He had previously failed multiple lines of treatment. Following three doses of the investigational intravenous brachyury-targeting vaccine (DL3) given over a 2-month treatment window, the patient’s tumor shrank 33% per RECIST 1.1% and 66% per exploratory volumetric analysis. Left top: clinic photograph from August 14, 2020, prior to first dose of DL3 vaccine. Left bottom: baseline CT from August 14, 2020. Right top: clinic photograph from October 27, 2020, after three vaccine doses. Right bottom: End-of-treatment CT from October 27, 2020. DL, dose level; RECIST, Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors.
improved overall survival and can be induced by vaccination.\(^\text{36}\) In the current study, the majority of patients generated polyfunctional T cell responses to brachyury as well as against cascade antigens. Of note, we observed a trend indicating a potential dose–related response in the generation of multifunctional brachyury-specific T cells. After consideration of safety data and immune activation, the RP2D for intravenous administration of MVA-BN-brachyury-TRICOM was determined to be \(10^9\) Inf. U. q3w×3 doses.

While this study was not powered to demonstrate efficacy, the single PR in a patient with advanced sacral chordoma was compelling. Not only was the response impressive on clinical exam and CT imaging, but the patient also experienced a meaningful and durable improvement in quality of life. It remains unclear what personal or disease factors may have contributed to this patient’s profound response on study. Significant skin breakdown was noted at study baseline, and it is possible that the immune system was primed in similar fashion to the original immunotherapy responders of Coley.\(^\text{37}\) One must also consider that the patient was pretreated with more than one prior immunotherapy, including an anti-PD-1 ICI and investigational IL-2 agonist. Notably, the second patient who developed a response per exploratory volumetric analysis was also pre-treated with an ICI and derived significant clinical benefit. Both patients had ongoing responses as of June 1, 2021. It should be noted that the peripheral brachyury-specific T cells induced in the single patient developing a PR were transient. This phenomenon has been noted in numerous cancer vaccine trials\(^\text{25–38}\) and may reflect T cell trafficking into and out of the tumor as well as homeostatic regulation to suppress an immune response generated against self-antigens.

As the field of cancer immunotherapy continues to develop, vaccination remains a conceptually appealing strategy to effect a targeted immune response in patients with advanced malignancies. Yet there has been limited success to date in bringing therapeutic cancer vaccines or other viral immunotherapy products to market.\(^\text{39}\) The development of a clinically effective therapeutic cancer vaccine requires careful consideration of disease-specific factors (ie, the tumor model and appropriate selection of targetable TAA) and other strategies to optimize immune response. In chordoma, brachyury remains the most promising TAA for the development of novel targeted therapies. While limited clinical trials of brachyury-targeting cancer vaccines have yet to demonstrate efficacy, this phase 1 study is unique as the first intravenous administration of a brachyury-targeting vaccine and the second intravenous administration of any cancer vaccine.\(^\text{24–43}\) The immunogenicity induced by intravenous administration of MVA-BN-brachyury-TRICOM in the current study is comparable to that obtained with SC administration of MVA-brachyury-TRICOM vaccine in the phase 1 dose-finding study and a second phase 1 study employing MVA-brachyury-TRICOM as the priming vaccine and FPV-brachyury-TRICOM as the booster vaccine.\(^\text{25–26}\) This may be due to the small number of patients evaluated, the heterogeneity of patients enrolled in these studies, and the varied time points in which research bloods were evaluated for immune assessments. Optimism for the potential increased efficacy of intravenous vaccine administration in cancers is supported by evidence from infectious disease studies showing the superior ability of intravenously administered bacillus Calmette–Guérin to prevent \textit{Mycobacterium tuberculosis} infection in non-human primates.\(^\text{44}\)

In the future, researchers involved in the development of intravenously administered MVA-BN-brachyury-TRICOM must consider not only appropriate clinical endpoints, but whether it is appropriate to pursue a phase 2 efficacy study in chordoma. While ORR per RECIST 1.1 remains a valid surrogate to demonstrate efficacy in single-arm clinical trials, volumetric chordoma-specific response criteria supported by patient-reported outcome data may prove an acceptable alternative. As responses (per RECIST 1.1 and volumetric analysis) observed in our study were limited to patients with spinal chordomas, future efficacy trials may consider excluding patients with clival lesions due to potential for increased intracranial pressure from vaccine-mediated inflammation. It is unclear if tumor biology differs between clival and sacral chordomas to the extent that the tumors should be classified separately. Clival lesions are not only more difficult to measure due to their small size, but the potential for increased intracranial pressure from vaccine-mediated inflammation could present a risk to these patients.

If a phase 2 study of a single-agent vaccine in chordoma is not the next step in the development of treatments for this disease, what should be the goal of future phase 1 studies? Given that CRP levels rose to their highest level following administration of the first vaccine dose, fewer doses may be explored. Given the safety of intravenously administered MVA-BN-brachyury-TRICOM and the low grade of CRS observed, hospitalizations may be shorter in future studies. Finally, since both responding patients (by RECIST 1.1 and volumetric analysis) were previously treated with an ICI, is immune priming necessary to generate a clinical response? Future combination studies will evaluate potential synergies between this intravenously administered brachyury-targeting vaccine and other immunotherapeutic cancer drugs. Appropriate clinical endpoints will be important. While ORR per RECIST 1.1 remains a valid surrogate to demonstrate efficacy in single-arm clinical trials, volumetric chordoma-specific response criteria supported by patient-reported outcome data could be an acceptable alternative. Future studies will evaluate potential synergies between this intravenously administered brachyury-targeting vaccine and other immuno-oncology drugs. Given the rarity of chordoma and the paucity of patients available and eligible to enroll on study, careful consideration of study design is paramount.
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