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ABSTRACT

Scientific advancements from 2002 to 2020 for coronaviruses, i.e., SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV outbreaks, could lead towards a better understanding of the exposure to a health crisis. However, data on its transmission routes and persistence in the environment is still in need of the hour. In this review, we discuss the impact of environmental matrices on dealing with the consequences of the global COVID-19 outbreak. We have compiled the most recent data on the epidemiology and pathogenesis of the diseases. The review aims to help researchers and the larger public recognize and deal with the consequences of co-occurring viral indicators for COVID-19 and provide nano-technological perspectives of possible diagnostic and treatment tools for further studies. The review highlights environmental wastes such as hospital wastewater effluents, pathogen-laden waste, pathogen-laden ground/surface water, wastewater sludge residues and discusses their potential remediation technologies, i.e., pathogen-contaminated soil disposal, municipal and medical solid waste collection, recycling, and final disposal. Finally, holistic suggestions to tackle environmental-related issues by the scientific community have been provided, where scientists, consultants may involve in a tiered assessment from the hazard to risk management in the post-COVID-19 world.

1. Introduction

The severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV) is from the lineage of β-coronaviruses that caused the emergence of previous outbreaks of SARS (2002, China) and the Middle East Respiratory Syndrome virus MERS (2012, Saudi Arabia) [1]. The β-coronaviruses such as SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV can induce infection in the lower respiratory tract, followed by cough and fever, favoring severe respiratory illness in humans [2]. A recent outbreak by the novel SARS-CoV-2 shows similarity to SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV, predominately attacks alveolar epithelial cells of the lung, stimulates peripheral pneumonia, and results in mass mortality [3]. This suggests that the clinical spectrum of SARS-CoV-2 is not confined to peripheral pneumonia but indicates a multiple series of illnesses leading to multiple organ failures such as heart, liver, kidney, gut, or gastrointestinal tract [4,5]. WHO on March 11, 2020, has declared the novel coronavirus (COVID-19) outbreak a global pandemic.

Pathogenic diseases in humans are caused by infectious agents primarily comprised of a virus, fungi, prions, parasites, and a more comprehensive range of unicellular and multicellular eukaryotes and microorganisms. However, vulnerability and occurrences largely depend on pathogenicity and climatic conditions [6]. It is imperative to develop detection methods for (i) enteric viral indicators of human-fecal origin (specifically), which would tell us about the environmental water
pollution levels and (ii) specific pathogenic viruses (in this case, SARS-CoV-2). As enteric viruses cannot multiply in the absence of their host cells, therefore, it can be a possible strategy to avoid the discharge of fatal viruses directly into the environment and human food chain [7,8] rather than their disposal via wastewater treatment plants (WWTP).

It is uttermost important to consider and proceed in the process of inactivation of enteric viruses. It has been observed that under different environmental conditions, the enteroviruses and adenoviruses have been detected and isolated from various water sources, including groundwater, seawater, river, and drinking water [9].

At the starting of February 2021, the case is increasing exponentially to 106 million globally and 2.3 million fatalities, rendering it one of the most significant challenges civilization had ever encountered. Indeed, further studies are needed to predict the direct and indirect impact on the water system [10,11]. Furthermore, the prediction of the impact and related technologies to handle future consequences of such problems is vital [12,13].

Often, to detect the relatively low concentration of enteric viruses in environmental samples, many studies have been conducted to focus on concentrating the virus for enhancing the usefulness of detection assays, using molecular- and culturing-based techniques [14,15]. Research focus across global research networks has been developed to harness present technologies for developing risk assessment tools, instrumental in checking the spread of the COVID-19 virus [16,17].

The present review focuses on the importance of pathogenic markers in environmental water and their detection. Like human enteric viruses, pathogenic viruses can be traced in water and wastewater systems to investigate microbial contamination for monitoring and epidemiology and is of paramount importance in a pandemic period like COVID-19. The review further limelight maneuvering nanotechnology-based surveillance, diagnosis, and treatment of SARS-CoV-2 for better and faster results.

2. Pathogenic contamination and associated environmental risks

Due to the various policies adopted by government agencies after the emergence of the COVID-19 outbreak, the positive and negative impact of the virus on the environment has been reported by various authors, as shown in Table 1. It is evident from literature that temperature and coronavirus transmission have nonlinear relation. Prata et al. (2020) applied a generalized additive model (GAM) was applied to represent the behavior of the growth curve of COVID-19 in the capital cities of Brazil [18]. The GAM curve implied a negative linear relationship between temperatures and daily cumulative confirmed cases of COVID-19 in the range from 16.8° to 27.4°C.

Air qualities are also a viable option for COVID-19 cases worldwide, especially in China, where air quality index (AQI) gone high to 10 units and transmission cases by 5 to 7%. Few cities of Chine show a negative effect on air quality interaction with COVID-19 transmission, attributed to an increase in temperature causes less spread and declining cases. This will help in understanding both aspects during any future pandemic situations [12,19]. Several recent studies have shown that pathogens present in environmental matrices such as landfill leachate [20], soil [21], food (fruit and vegetables) [22], irrigation water [23,24], and sewage sludge [25] are scientist’s primary concern. The growing attention of this issue from the last three decades has emerged as an important epidemiological study of wastewater, although its impact and viability remain unsatisfactory despite global efforts. According to a recent study, a variety of viral pathogens are commonly reported in wastewater in various countries over diverse continents, including Africa, North America, Asia, Europe, South America, and Australia. The established independent studies detected the number of samples positive for human viruses, such as Noroviruses (NoVs), Enteroviruses (EVs), Hepatitis A virus (HAV), and Adenoviruses (AdVs) in WWTP’s globally is approximately 48.3% (2396/4963). The higher detection rates (samples positive per total samples) were reported in South America (560/1022: 54.8%), followed by Africa (1689/913: 54.1%), Asia (273/660: 41.4%), North America (51/130: 39.2%), and Europe (599/1553: 38.6%) respectively (Fig. 1) [26]. A summary of global detection of Noroviruses (NoVs), Enteroviruses (EVs), Hepatitis A virus (HAV), and Adenoviruses (AdVs), SARS-CoV-2 in the collected samples from untreated wastewater from WWTP’s using RT-PCR technique are shown in Table 2.

3. Viral indicators in wastewater

Human enteric viruses may develop over a hundred forms, and there are rising amounts of diverse emergent strains. Appropriate indicators are needed to evaluate enteric viruses for determining the treatment efficiency of WWTPs and drinking water sources. This would involve measuring indices such as quantitative analysis of viral indicators, pathogenic persistence and abundance, absorption to solid matter, and transference to the marine environment. To tackle the complexity, it is imperative to have trustable markers for detecting human-derived enteric viruses and cost-effective technologies to set-up surveillance via wastewater-based epidemiology (WBE) systems. Farkas et al. (2020) comprehensively reviewed the optimal criteria for detecting human-

### Table 1

| Areas/Sectors | Impact on the environment | Findings | References |
|--------------|----------------------------|----------|------------|
| Air quality  | Positive                   | Reduction of PM2.5, PM10, NOx, and CO concentrations were reduced during lockdown. | [72] |
|              | Negative                   | O3 concentrations increased by around 50% | [73] |
| Surface water| Negative                   | The existence of almost all enteric viruses, result in surface water contamination | [49,74,75] |
| Groundwater  | Positive                   | Reduction of As, Se, Pb, and Fe in industrial waste during COVID-19 lockdown. NO3 and coliform reduced due to the closure of industrial activities including fisheries. | [76] |
| WWTP’s       | Negative                   | SARS-CoV-2 RNA was quantified in wastewater treatment plants in various countries (Australia, China, France, Germany, Israel, Italy, India, Netherlands, Japan, Spain, Turkey, and the USA). Leakage and defects in the sewer supply was identified as the transmission mode for SARS. Detection of disinfection by products due to excessive disinfectant use | [1,3,17,39,52,77] |
| Surface Soil | Negative                   | At least one enteric pathogens were detected in 96% of soil samples. | [80] |
| Agriculture sector | Negative                 | Negatively impact harvesting, resulting in crop losses and reduce the supply of fruits and vegetables | [81] |
| Solid Waste | Management sector   | Negative                   | Improper disposal of medical, household, and other hazardous waste-laden pathogens, thereby threatening to the terrestrial environment | [38,82] |
A suitable indicator for human-derived fecal pollution would ideally be specific, exclusive for human (and not animals), and have to have similar biophysical properties such as size, structure, retention, inactivation, just like common enteric viruses, present throughout the year and ubiquitously in all wastewater-contaminated environments (such as sewers, WTPs, aquatic ecosystems). Enteric viruses are also remarkably resistant to wastewater treatment [28], contrary to enveloped viruses such as SARS-CoV-2. With the inception of the WBE concept, sampling of viral indicators from sewers, contaminated surface water could serve as a representative of communities, leading to practical action-plans and environmental policymaking during the COVID-19 outbreak [29].

Elevated levels of influenza viruses, coronaviruses, circoviruses, and papillomaviruses have been found in wastewater but not in contaminated environments due to their quick decay in water. Among well-characterized indicators, fecal indicator bacteria (FIB Coliform bacteria, E-coli, Streptococcus, and Enterococcus spp.) are generally used to indicate fecal contamination. Bacteria effectively get killed in WWTWs before their discharge to surface waters. Hence FIB-based water quality monitoring for indirectly indicating viral populations would not be a smart choice. Among enteric viruses, Hepatitis E and A viruses abundantly contaminate urbanized environments [30], while less frequently in developed regions; but they too fall short of the criteria of being human feces-specific viral indicators. Based on analyzing over 120 studies of various potential viral indicators that lack seasonal specificity and are abundantly present in the contaminated environments, Human polyomaviruses (PyVs), Group F mastadenoviruses (AdVs) (adenoviruses associated with gastroenteritis), and Aichi viruses (AiVs) are the best fit for the criteria [31,32].

One of the most potential viral indicators, the plant virus, pepper mild motel virus (PMMoV; Virgaviridae family), has also been shown to be specific to human waste. It is derived from the human diet upon the consumption of peppers (Capsicum spp.) and food products contaminated with the virus and found in contaminated surfaces, groundwater, and potable water [33]. The waterborne disease made scientists again think about the reach of the outbreak of a pandemic. Enteric viruses will come from different human activities like human waste, which use to travel quickly with little decay. Their monitoring as well identification are important parts for accessing the risk management as well as for early control. Individual identification is difficult. Instead, viral metrics can be employed for wastewater evaluation, viral decay, and its transport detection [34,35]. PMMoV belongs to the same genus (Tobamovirus) as the Tobacco Mosiac virus, and several studies (across continents) have individually concluded PMMoV's suitability as an enteric virus indicator [36,37]. Additionally, the relative safety of the non-pathogenic plant virus makes PMMoV suitable as an enteric virus indicator. PMMoV is noted as the most abundant RNA virus in human feces distributed globally [33,36].

4. Association of coronaviruses with human-derived waste

Although known to be primarily targeting the respiratory system, the previous coronavirus outbreaks of SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV in derived enteric viruses across aquatic environments [27], which will be used as a reference study throughout this manuscript. The criteria for the best possible practices for a viral indicator have been based on (i) detection and quantification of enteric viruses under minimal infrastructural amenities, (ii) specific measurements to human-derived waste, (iii) high concentration levels in wastewater (for easy detection), (iv) persistence in larger aquatic ecosystems, and (v) geographical distribution over time.

A suitable indicator for human-derived fecal pollution would ideally have to be specific, exclusive for human (and not animals), and have to have similar biophysical properties such as size, structure, retention, inactivation, just like common enteric viruses, present throughout the year and ubiquitously in all wastewater-contaminated environments (such as sewers, WTPs, aquatic ecosystems). Enteric viruses are also remarkably resistant to wastewater treatment [28], contrary to enveloped viruses such as SARS-CoV-2. With the inception of the WBE concept, sampling of viral indicators from sewers, contaminated surface water could serve as a representative of communities, leading to practical
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**Table 2**

Global detection of Noroviruses (NoVs), Enteroviruses (EVs), Hepatitis A virus (HAV), and Adenoviruses (AdVs), SARS-CoV-2 in the collected samples from untreated wastewater from WWTWs using RT-PCR technique.

| Country    | Amount of sample (mL) | Concentration (copies/L) | Limit of quantification (copies/L) or detection rate | References |
|------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| Australia  | 100-200               | 0.120                    | –                                                     | [42]       |
| Bangladesh | 50                    | $10^7$                   | 75%                                                   | [39]       |
| Brazil     | 40                    | Qualitative              | –                                                     | [50]       |
| China      | 100                   | $10^3$                   | 10$^3$                                                | [41]       |
| Canada     | 250                   | $5.5 \times 10^5$ to $5.5 \times 10^7$ | 95%                                                   | [84]       |
| France     | 50                    | $0 \times 10^7$          | –                                                     | [85]       |
| Finland    | 2000-5000             | 3.6-4.8                  | 2.4-2.6                                               | [86]       |
| Germany    | 45                    | $2.7 \times 10^3$ to $3.7 \times 10^4$ | –                                                     | [87]       |
| Japan      | 200-5000              | $0 \times 10^7$          | –                                                     | [17]       |
| Netherlands| 250                   | Qualitative              | –                                                     | [88]       |
| Pakistan   | 400                   | $10^5$                   | –                                                     | [89]       |
| Spain      | 200                   | 0.5-5.5                  | 4.45                                                  | [50]       |
| Switzerland| 500                   | Qualitative              | –                                                     | [48]       |
| Turkey     | 40                    | $0.33 \times 10^3$       | –                                                     | [77]       |
| USA        | 40                    | $0 \times 10^7$          | –                                                     | [90]       |
| India      | 50                    | $0.40 \times 10^7$       | –                                                     | [1]        |
| Italy      | 500                   | Qualitative              | –                                                     | [73]       |

**Fig. 1.** Worldwide detection rates of human viruses (HAV, EVs, NoVs, AVs, and AdVs) with number of positive samples from total samples WWTWs [26].

Table 2

Global detection of Noroviruses (NoVs), Enteroviruses (EVs), Hepatitis A virus (HAV), and Adenoviruses (AdVs), SARS-CoV-2 in the collected samples from untreated wastewater from WWTP's using RT-PCR technique.
2002–2003 and 2012, respectively, indicated transmission through the fecal-oral route, behaving as enteric viruses [38]. The gastrointestinal symptoms and presence of viral RNA in stool in hospitalized COVID-19 patients was studied by at least 15 research groups (until July 2020) in symptoms and presence of viral RNA in stool in hospitalized COVID-19 fecal-oral route, behaving as enteric viruses [38]. The gastrointestinal sequences of human, bovine, canine, porcine, and avian AdV genomes chain reaction (qPCR) was used for detecting and quantifying distinctive sequences of human, bovine, canine, porcine, and avian AdV genomes depending on their melting temperature in samples of water and sediment [1,33]. Agricultural and human sewage contamination in recreational, groundwater, and drinking water was evaluated by measuring strains of human and porcine AdVs, bovine PyVs, and porcine circovirus [1]. Human AdV qPCR assays were used to exclusively targeted human-specific AdV groups (A-G), mainly (A-F), in multiple studies [27]. Few studies are based on the mutation of SARS-CoV-2 sequences from the sequence GenBank collections. In the USA, next-gen sequencing samples of five-point mutation were found out with clonal impact were seen. It is also reported that SARS-CoV-2 is still mutating in the helicases gene, implying more developments yet to come. Hence it will help in understanding the nature as well as early detection via PCR (RT-qPCR)-based viral research [42,43]. The waterborne AdVF group (mostly type 41) was found to be most widespread in wastewater and the environment. Among polyomaviruses (PyV), the JC and BK strains were exclusive to human waste (compared to animal waste); and are very common in wastewater, and MC PyV was also present in wastewater. Further studies could conclusively determine the association of human-specific AIV (groups A and B) with animal waste, which is abundant in wastewater-contaminated environments [31,44].

Interestingly, some coliphages can be used to differentiate human and animal-derived wastes. Coliphages are relatively well-characterized fecal viral/water pollution indicators [45]. It has been shown that FRNAPH or F + RNA (F-specific RNA coliphage) genogroups II and III are well associated with human sources, while genogroups I and IV are related to non-human sources. These differences among FRNAP genogroups have been exploited for developing protocols to identify the source of fecal contamination [1,46]. Among the phages most specific to humans are those infecting Bacteroides, BG-124 (BacBG124P), RYC-2056 (BacRYC2056P), GA-17 (BacGA17P) & ARABA-84 (BacARABA84P). However, there have been confounding results with animal wastes, as seen in a study in abattoirs [47]. crAsphage is found to be quite specific to humans; although there are few cases of cross-reactivity with dogs, gulls, poultry, pigs, and bovine feces [48,49], it is in many orders of magnitude less than human sources.

5. The occurrence of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in water

Several studies have been performed on SARS-CoV-2 RNA detection in the wastewater treatment plants (WWTPS), domestic wastewater, and surface water in Australia, China, France, Germany, Italy, India, Netherlands, Japan, Spain, Turkey, and the USA [5,17,39,50]. This led to insights that WBE-based diagnosis is a unique pre-exposure prophylactic measure and monitoring system for COVID-19 in communities worldwide [41]. El-Baz et al. (2020) concluded that WBE is also relevant for COVID-19 drugs detection in wastewater in early stages within a community [51].

Sewage monitoring and WBE practices have been successfully used for poliovirus detection in the past for monitoring the resurfacing of the disease [41] and monitoring narcotic metabolites in communities in China [29]. Thus, wastewater-based surveillance for monitoring CoV’s infections is much needed to prevent it in communities. Besides, the safety aspect should be considered in sampling wastewater, containing all PPE with protective sampling kits to avoid exposure to pathogens. In vitro observations demonstrated SARS-CoV-2 virus persistence for 2, 3, and 14 days in hospital wastewater, feces, and urine, respectively, at 20 °C [52]. In another study, the authors reported that SARS-CoV-2 RNA might be released through urine (10%) depending on patients’ severity [53]. The persistence of SARS-CoV-2 in wastewater was recently investigated, and it was reported that 1.4–6.5 days at room temperature would cause a 2log10 reduction in virus concentration [41].

6. Prospective of nanotechnology in diagnosing and treatment of COVID-19

Due to the SARS-CoV-2 outbreak, fast and accurate diagnostic tools are instantly required to screen COVID-19 patients and confirm the positive cases [54]. The standard method of diagnosis for SARS-CoV-2 is nucleic acid detection by reverse-time-PCR using reverse transcription (RT-qPCR) and screened via Computer tomography (CT) scans for lungs [55]. On the other hand, nanotechnology can play a role in producing scalable and inexpensive detection methods. Nano-sensors can potentially be used as a diagnostic tool to detect symptoms at an earlier stage, when viral loads are very low [54]. Optical bio-nano sensors to detect the coronavirus in ~30 min from patients’ samples without needing centralized laboratory tests have been proposed; such technologies can potentially track the evolution of viruses and prevent future outbreaks [56].

The conventional oxygen therapy by high-flow nasal cannula (HFNC) via ventilator plays a significant role in the SARS-CoV-2 treatment as conventional O2-therapy is not responding well to COVID-19 patients. Recent advancements in Nanotechnology can play a substantial role in the treatment process by nanoparticles [57] that can provide a broad range of promising development of nano-based therapeutic agents or vaccines against several types of coronaviruses (Fig. 2). Various photosynthesized nanoparticles possess antiviral and antibacterial activities to reduce the disease risk. Prado et al. (2020) investigated that Gold (Au), Silver (Ag), and Au–Ag bimetallic nanoparticles have antibacterial potential [58]. Ag has previously shown antibacterial activity against E. coli and S. aureus [59,60]. Ag nanoparticles (AgNPs) can interact with the whole virus due to the minimal size (davg = 20 nm) of Ag nanoparticles than the average size of (davg = 120 nm) SARS-CoV-2. The authors highlighted the potential of AgNPs to inhibit the viral entry in host cells, as in the case of the HIV-1 virus, interact with cell receptors, and inhibit the viral replication [60]. Hence, it is expected that the global consumption for healthcare-related nanotechnology is expected to be >50 tons alone for silver nanoparticles [61].

Even nanocomposites are preferable in drug delivery and medicinal applications. For instance, graphene oxide-Silver (GO-Ag) nanocomposites increase the materials’ biocompatibility and lessen the toxicological effects of the nanosilver as a metallic nanoparticle [63]. Chen et al. (2016) reported that GO alone inhibited 16% of infection of an enveloped virus such as feline coronavirus (FCoV) but found no antiviral activity against non-enveloped virus-infectious bursal disease virus (IBDV) [64]. Another study showed that GO-Ag restrains 25% of infection by FCoV and 23% by IBDV. The reason is that GO sheets are negatively charged [65–69], they can interact with the positively charged lipid membranes, and Ag can bind to the sulfur groups of the viral proteins to impede the infection. Moreover, nanomaterials applications such as Graphene coated material can be used for medical devices, personal protective equipment, or facemasks to minimize transmission risk [70]. Unfortunately, the facemasks users often wear deficient quality, and even N95 filters have very low protection efficiency against SARS-CoV-2 [71]. Recently Jokanović et al. (2020) concluded that nanosilver masks have significant advantages as they are safe and can have high activity against viruses and bacteria, pertaining to their small size (3–10 nm) [71].
7. Conclusion and future scope

Reforming the healthcare system by governing bodies and investing in awareness programs in controlling infectious diseases proves advantageous. Endorsing the QSS model (Quarantine, shield, and stop) by the governments/health ministry helps resilient infection in the community. Although the report of detection of SARS-CoV-2 in pristine (raw) wastewater revealed that municipal wastewater could be used to monitor the prevalence of SARS-CoV-2 in the community. Besides, it does not provide a clear overview of the presence of viral RNA, which may contribute to the waterborne transmission of the virus. However, more research is required to have a deep insight into exposure assessment about the emission of bioaerosols from the WWTPs, which may pose a severe health concern for wastewater workers. Therefore, a conceptual framework is needed to monitor the SARS-CoV-2 presence and its survival in the air of WWTPs.

Also, investigation on the tools for identifying the infectious SARS-CoV-2 from all corners of environmental, although it needs appropriate safety measures to prevent the risk of transmission. This could be a major challenge to mitigate the likely cause associated with the presence, emergence or transmission of the virus in various environmental matrices. An introspection on informal referral systems, expertise in infection control sections, and suitable control measures for health crises would be necessary. The sense of urgency can be used to redress the status quo, introducing technologically sophisticated infrastructure and sanitation planning. Also, nanotechnology and wastewater-based epidemiology program could be cutting-edge interdisciplinary solutions and promising tools to diagnose, early detect, and control the spread of the outbreak.
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