Does N-Nitrosomelatonin Compete with S-Nitrosothiols as a Long Distance Nitric Oxide Carrier in Plants?
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Plants transmit a variety of signaling molecules from roots to aerial parts, and vice-versa, in response to their growth conditions (environment, nutrients, stress factors etc.). A signaling molecule should be produced quickly, induce a defined effect within the cell and be removed or metabolized rapidly when not required. Nitric oxide (NO) plays significant signaling roles in plant cells since it has all the above-stated features. It is a gaseous free radical, can gain or lose an electron, has short half-life (~30 sec) and it can exist in three interchangeable forms, namely the radical (NO•), the nitrosonium cation (NOO•) and as nitroxy radical (NO). NO is soluble in aqueous as well as lipid phases. It rapidly reacts with oxygen to form NO2 and can react with other potential signaling molecules as well (e.g. superoxide anions (O2•-)). In the recent past, NO has been observed to regulate various growth and developmental processes [1,2].

In sunflower seedlings, enhanced NO accumulation in response to salt stress sensed by seedling roots, leads to enhanced tyrosine nitration of proteins in seedling cotyledons [2]. Although investigations have been undertaken in animal systems to examine targeted delivery of NO [3], mechanisms of transcellular migration of NO from one tissue system in the plant body (eg. roots) to another long distance region (e.g. shoots) are so far not fully understood and require deeper investigations. In order to act as a long distance signaling molecule, NO interacts with certain carrier molecules. In this context, endogenous S-nitrosothiols have been suggested to be responsible for NO transport [4].

S-Nitrosothiols- versatile molecules as NO carriers

S-nitrosothiols (SNOs), which are formed by the reaction of NO with protein or non-protein sulphydryl-containing compounds, facilitate various important physiological functions performed by NO [5,6]. SNOs are generated in vivo under physiological conditions to reach a concentration of up to 1 µM [4]. The in vivo half-life of NO is very short as compared to that of SNOs which are generally more stable in solution [7-10]. SNOs have the ability to transport, store and deliver NO. They can also participate in post-translational modifications triggered by cell signaling and stress conditions [11]. SNOs are involved in many important biological reactions which includes NO release, transnitrosation, S-thiolation, in addition to direct actions [5,12]. S-nitrosylation of cysteine thiols of proteins is a significant redox-based post-translational modification [6,12]. SNOs are divided into two families: low-molecular mass S-nitrosothiols (LMM-SNOs) and high-molecular mass S-nitrosothiols (HMM-SNOs). S-nitrosothiols (GSNO), S-nitrosothiocysteine (CySNO) and S-nitrosothiolglycine (GlyCySNO) are LMM-SNOs, of which GSNO is the most abundant in biological systems [13].

It is generated by the interaction of NO and reduced glutathione (thiol tripeptide, γ-glutamyl cysteinyl glycine; GSH) by a process called S-nitrosylation. The reaction takes place either via N2O3 formation or by the addition of NO to a glutathionyl radical formed during this reaction [14]. GSNO has significant physiological relevance since it is thought to function as an intracellular mobile reservoir of NO bioactivity both in animals and plant cells [12,15-18]. HMM-SNOs are produced by NO binding to sulphydryl (-SH) groups present in specific cysteine residues of proteins [13].

Determination of GSNO in plant samples still presents a challenge due to several technical obstacles and cumbersome sample preparation procedures. It can also be affected by light, metal-catalyzed SNO decomposition, enzymatic degradation catalyzed by GSNO reductase, and a reduction in the S-NO bond caused by reductants and endogenous thiols [13]. Two different approaches to detect GSNO have been reported in higher plants: immunohistochemical analysis using commercial antibodies against GSNO and liquid chromatography-electrospray/mass spectrometry (LC- ES/MS) [19-21].

N-Nitrosomelatonin- probable competitor of S-Nitrosothiols for long distance transport of nitric oxide in plants

Recent investigations in the author’s laboratory have demonstrated the critical role of melatonin (a neurotransmitter in animals) in transducing abiotic stress-induced signals from seedling roots to the aerial parts [22]. This is achieved by enhanced melatonin accumulation in seedling roots and cotyledons, which interferes with auxin action on growth modulation. Melatonin modulates auxin action in cell elongation through its biosynthesis from tryptophan which is also the precursor for the biosynthesis of indole-3-acetic acid. Melatonin is also capable of scavenging reactive oxygen species (ROS) accumulated in the cells in response to stress [23]. It has been reported to increase the activities of various ROS scavenging enzymes [24]. Melatonin molecule consists of an electron-rich indole moiety and two side chain groups, namely 3-methoxy group (H2O3) and 3-amide group (CH3COONH). Its high resonance stability, electroreactivity and low activation energy barriers make melatonin a potent free radical scavenger [25,26]. Its side chains also have a significant contribution in the antioxidative properties of the melatonin molecule. Carbonyl moiety present in the functional group (N=C=O) of C3 amide side chain is crucial for its ability to quench ROS. Melatonin is an efficient ROS scavenger since up to four or more molecules of ROS can be scavenged by a single melatonin molecule [27]. The mechanisms of ROS scavenging by melatonin involves processes, such as electron donation to form melatoninyl cation radical, hydrogen donation from nitrogen atom, nitrosation, addition, substitution and nitrosation reactions [25, 28-29]. Melatonin may also directly scavenge H2O2 and help in maintaining a steady state concentration of H2O2 [30]. Melatonin biosynthesis and its regulation involves the activity
of enzymes - Serotonin N-acetyltransferase (SNAT, E.C. 2.3.1.87) and hydroxyindole-O-methyltransferase (HIOMT, E.C.2.1.1.4). HIOMT is a rate limiting enzyme and regulates the final step of melatonin biosynthesis from N-acetyl serotonin [31,32]. Recent findings from the author's laboratory have shown a 72% increase in HIOMT activity in response to 120 mM NaCl stress in 2 day old, dark-grown sunflower seedling cotyledons [22]. Transcriptomic analysis in Arabidopsis has shown that 183 genes are associated with melatonin-induced changes in hormonal signaling [33]. Among the major plant hormones, indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) is structurally similar to melatonin [34]. Auxin responsive genes are both up- and down-regulated in response to IAA. IAA is structurally similar to melatonin [34]. Auxin responsive genes are both up- and down-regulated in response to melatonin. Auxin transport and homeostasis thus seem to be under the precise regulation by melatonin [33].

Melatonin is an N-terminal blocked tryptophan derivative which can be easily nitrosated via various mechanisms at the nitrogen atom of the indole ring to give N-nitrosomelatonin (NOMela). Melatonin can be nitrosated at physiological pH by employing four nitrosating entities namely, N2O3, nitroxyl ions, peroxynitrite, or N-nitroso-N-acetyl tryptophan [35] (Figure 1). Nitrosation of melatonin by NO occurs only under aerobic conditions since N-terminal blocked tryptophan derivatives do not react with NO in the absence of oxygen. Peroxynitrite-induced nitrosation of melatonin proceeds via N2O3 formation and it nitrates melatonin at various positions (N-1, C-4, C-6). Nitrosation of melatonin by peroxynitrite has, however, been observed to be a low efficiency process. Nitrosation of melatonin is also possible through its interaction with nitrolyl moiety. This process is, however, not yet fully understood. None of the above-stated nitrosation routes of melatonin have been reported to be effective in vivo because N-terminal blocked tryptophan derivatives present in proteins are in the orders of magnitude higher concentrated than melatonin and are only slightly effective than melatonin in scavenging reactive nitrogen species (RNS) and ROS. Generation of NOMela through the transfer of NO+ function via a biomolecular reaction from a N-nitroso N-terminal blocked tryptophan derivative to melatonin at physiological pH has, however, been reported. This reaction is analogous to transnitrosation reaction between S-nitrosothiols and thiols, and is a reversible reaction.

**SNOs or NOMela- biochemical features and limitations as NO carriers**

NOMela can be quantitatively determined both by direct and indirect methods [35]. In a pure sample, NOMela has a λmax absorbance at 346 nm. This feature can be used to determine NOMela concentrations in the range of 5-100µM. However, if the samples contain other compounds with same λmax (346 nm), purification of NOMela by capillary zone electrophoresis or HPLC is suggested. The indirect methods for NOMela detection rely on the production of certain fluorescent derivatives (Figure 2). Thus, NOMela can react with aromatic amines, such as amino phenyl fluorescein (APF), and 4,5-diaminofluorescein (DAF-2) to yield fluorescent dyes fluorescein and triazolfluorescein (DAF-2D), respectively. These aromatic amines can thus be used as reporter molecules for NOMela detection. The major limitation of this procedure for NOMela detection is that the fluorescence of fluorescein and DAF-2D is significantly quenched by proteins. Another reporter molecule, N-methyl-4-hydrazino-7-nitrobenzofurazan (MNBDA), however, reacts with NOMela and the fluorescence of the reaction product N-methyl-4-amino-7-nitrobenzofurazan (MNBDA) is not quenched by proteins. Furthermore, S-nitrosothiols do not react with MNBDA in the presence of EDTA. Thus, it is possible to detect NOMela using MNBDA even in the presence of S-nitrosothiols, since EDTA complexes with catalytically active transition metal ions required for decomposition of S-nitroso compounds. Additionally, working at pH 11 (where the rate of hydrolysis reactions is about eight fold lower than at pH 7.4) can reduce the influence of other competing hydrolysis reactions.

N-nitrosomelatonin (NOMela) can act as a NO releasing compound as it can efficiently transfer its nitroso function (NO+) to activated hydroxy compounds (e.g., vitamin C, vitamin E, catechols or serotonin) with the generation of melatonin and NO via alkyl and aryl nitrite.
intermediates, respectively \([36-40]\). NOMela can also shift its nitroso function to \(S\)-nitrosothiols to yield corresponding \(S\)-nitrosi-thiols. It is likely to instantaneously release melatonin, NO, and \(S\)-nitrosi-thiols, thereby avoiding the yield of nitroxyl radicals when \(S\)-nitrosi-thiols react with thiols \([41]\). NOMela is highly sensitive and unstable in light. In aqueous solution, \(N\)-\(NO\) bond of NOMela is broken in a hemolytic manner to produce NO and aminal radical \([42]\). NO-released from NOMela is independent of the composition of the buffer system. In the case of \(S\)-nitrosi-thiols like GSNO, NO-generation is, however, dependent on buffer composition \([4]\). LMM-SNOs such as GSNO react with thiols reversibly but not regiospecifically, thus leading to the production of toxic nitroxyl compound in a prominent side reaction. In contrast, NOMela reacts with thiols in an irreversible, regiospecific manner and, is therefore, able to more efficiently transnitrosate cysteiny l residues in proteins. In fact, in a pure chemical system, NOMela has been found to be 10-fold more effective than \(S\)-nitroscysteine in nitrosating the catalytically active cysteinyl residue of glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) \([43-45]\). A major problem with the use of almost every NO donor under aerobic condition is that they produce noxious oxidizing nitrogen oxygen species upon NO release. However, the production of these harmful species is automatically limited by the use of NOMela as NO-releasing compound. Since NOMela releases melanotin together with the generation of NO, therefore it works as a more efficient antioxidant \([4]\).

### Probable long distance routes of transport of SNOs and NOMela

Both xylem and phloem elements have certain unique features some of which are responsible for determining the nature of biomolecular transport across them from one region to another region in the plant. Mature vessels and tracheids are dead, hollow, lack plasma membrane and have a rigid cell wall impregnated with lignin. Absence of lipid membranes and hydrogel nature of pectin membranes of pits can regulate transport of metabolites through xylem elements. The absence of lipid membranes in vessels and tracheids enhances hydraulic conductance several folds as compare to cells intact with plasma membrane. Pit membrane consists of cellular microfibrils and a pectin/hemicellulose matrix with a number of pores (5-20 nm diameter), whereas the pectin component of pit membrane can respond like a hydrogel and lignification provides water proofing of cell walls. During development, sieve elements lose their nuclei and tonoplast. Mature sieve elements generally lack microfilaments, microtubules, golgi bodies and ribosomes but they contain mitochondria, plastids, smooth endoplasmic reticulum and their walls become non-lignified. Additionally, the parietal cytoplasm within the sieve tube elements contains phloem filament protein (PP1) and phloem lectin (PP2).

Based on the above-stated biochemical features of SNOs and NOMela, future investigations are likely to decipher the anatomical routes of SNOs and NOMela migration from one tissue/organ to another.

### Perspectives

Based on the in depth analysis of the unique chemical properties of \(N\)-nitrosomelatonin and \(S\)-nitrosi-thiols and their physiological and biochemical relevance in serving as potential competitors for long distance transport of NO in plants, the major challenges likely to be faced by plant biologists reside in precise applications of the available and upcoming technologies for a better understanding the significance of the two biomolecules in plant cells. Applications of fluorescence technologies, such as confocal imaging of live cells, are more likely to yield faster, accurate and precisely interpretable results on the spatio-temporal distribution and quantification of the two NO-transporting molecules in plant cells. Methodologies for such imaging have, however, to be developed with due caution keeping in view the pH sensitivity and stability of \(S\)-nitrosi-thiols and NOMela under the conditions of experimental analysis. Several instrument-dependent factors are also likely to limit the sought after information from live cell imaging. These include the ability to detect biomolecules above the background and instrument noise and the spatial resolution of the imaging system. Multiphoton imaging, which has revolutionized in \(in\) \(vitro\) imaging in animals, is strongly recommended for currently suggested applications in plant cells to reduce photobleaching of fluorescent biomolecules and generate images through highly focused excitation and emission. Surface plasma resonance (SPR) has revolutionized life science research by enabling real time detection and monitoring of biomolecular binding events. When used in an imaging mode, SPR can be very useful for quantifying and visualizing both fixed and live cells at low levels of incident light and be able to quantify very small amounts (up to 3 ng cm\(^{-2}\)) of \(S\)-nitrosi-thiols and NOMela from plant tissue. Again, as stated earlier, all this requires a very precise optimization of the protocol. This novel field of investigation marks an interface of chemistry and biology, and will benefit a lot from collaboration among the current generations of chemists, biochemists and plant physiologists. If, through the dedicated and persistent efforts, we can exploit the above-stated high end imaging technologies, the findings obtained there from are likely to revolutionize our current understanding of the NO-mediated long distance signaling mechanisms in plants, more so in plants exposed to a variety of biotic and abiotic stress conditions. Such an enhancement of our understanding of the mechanisms of stress tolerance in plants shall benefit plant biologists in particular and mankind in general, in their further pursuit to unravel novel survival strategies adopted by plants under harsh environmental conditions.
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