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Hereditary hyperferritinemia-cataract syndrome (HHCS) is a novel genetic disorder characterized by elevated serum ferritin and early onset cataract formation. The excessive ferritin production in HHCS patients arises from aberrant regulation of L-ferritin translation caused by mutations within the iron-responsive element (IRE) of the L-ferritin transcript. IREs serve as binding sites for iron regulatory proteins (IRPs), iron-sensing proteins that regulate ferritin translation. Previous observations suggested that each unique HHCS mutation conferred a characteristic degree of hyperferritinemia and cataract severity in affected individuals. Here we have measured the in vitro affinity of the IRPs for the mutant IREs and correlated decreases in binding affinity with clinical severity. Thermodynamic analysis of these IREs has also revealed that although some HHCS mutations lead to changes in the stability and secondary structure of the IRE, others appear to disrupt IRP-IRE recognition with minimal effect on IRE stability. HHCS is a noteworthy example of a human genetic disorder that arises from mutations within a protein-binding site of an mRNA cis-acting element. Analysis of the effects of these mutations on the energetics of the RNA-protein interaction explains the phenotypic variabilities of the disease state.

Several reports have described a new autosomal dominant disorder called hereditary hyperferritinemia-cataract syndrome (HHCS)1 (1–12). This condition is characterized by a combination of elevated levels of serum ferritin and congenital nuclear cataract. Human ferritin is composed of two different types of subunit (H-ferritin and L-ferritin), although the relative proportion of each subunit in the ferritin copolymer varies among tissues (13). Through the use of monoclonal antibodies specific for either H- or L-ferritin, the excess serum ferritin in HHCS patients has been shown to be predominantly L-ferritin (3, 7). A close relationship has also been established between monocyte L-ferritin content and serum ferritin concentration (7), suggesting that the excess production of L-ferritin in cells is directly responsible for the hyperferritinemia. Although serum ferritin is thought to reflect body iron stores, individuals with HHCS do not appear to suffer from iron overload (1, 2).

The expression of both H-ferritin and L-ferritin is normally regulated according to intracellular iron concentration by means of iron-responsive elements (IREs) that are found within the 5′-untranslated regions of their transcripts (14, 15). These regulatory elements interact with the iron regulatory proteins IRP1 and IRP2, which are both capable of sensing cellular iron status. Under conditions of intracellular iron depletion, both IRP1 and IRP2 bind to IREs with high affinity. The binding of an IRP to the ferritin IRE prevents the binding of the 43 S translation initiation complex to the transcript (16, 17) and thus blocks translation of the ferritin protein. When intracellular iron concentrations rise, IRP1 acquires a [4Fe-4S] cluster that prevents its association with IREs and activates its function as a cytosolic aconitase (reviewed in Refs. 18 and 19). Under the same conditions, IRP2 is targeted for degradation by the proteasome (20, 21). In the absence of bound IRP, the ferritin transcript is readily translated. IREs are also found within the transcripts of other iron uptake and iron utilization proteins and have a highly conserved stem-loop secondary structure (Fig. 1b).

The absence of iron overload in HHCS patients suggested that the elevated serum ferritin resulted from misregulation of L-ferritin expression. Analysis of the L-ferritin genetic locus in HHCS patients led to the identification of mutations occurring within the IRE of L-ferritin (Fig. 1a), most of them occurring as single point mutations (2, 4, 7, 9, 11), although a double point mutation (7) and a 29-base deletion (8) have also been reported. Several of these mutations have been shown to disrupt IRE-IRP binding in vitro, and it seems likely that the others would have similar effects, leading to increased expression of L-ferritin in vivo. As patients with HHCS have been characterized, however, it has become clear that not all are equally affected by the disorder. Some patients have serum ferritin levels more than 5-fold higher than normal and a history of severe cataracts, whereas others have only slightly elevated serum ferritin and are asymptomatic for cataract.

Studies of non-HHCS IRE mutations have demonstrated that some mutations affect in vitro IRE-IRP binding affinities much more severely than others (22), so we hypothesized that all or part of the range of severity seen among HHCS patients might arise from differences in the ability of each mutation to disrupt IRE-IRP interactions. We were also interested in evaluating whether HHCS mutations might affect the affinities of IRP1 and IRP2 differently. As studies have demonstrated, both IRP1 and IRP2 are capable of recognizing their own unique substrates in vitro (23, 24). In an effort to identify any corre-
lation between HHCS severity and IRP affinity, and to reveal any differences in the behavior of the two IRPs, we determined the dissociation constants (\(K_D\) values) for the binding of the HHCS IREs to both IRP1 and IRP2.

The identification of the HHCS mutations has also provided us with an opportunity to understand more precisely the structural features of the IRE required for IRP binding. Recent NMR studies of the consensus IRE have revealed intriguing features of its three-dimensional structure (25–27); however, it has been difficult to ascertain the importance of those features for IRP recognition. We have used thermal denaturation methods to determine the effects of each HHCS mutation on the thermodynamic stability of the IRE. Analysis of the resulting melting curves has allowed us to identify HHCS mutations that are likely to disrupt direct contacts between the IRE and IRPs and other mutations located both near and distant from putative contact points whose predominant effect is to alter IRE stability.

### EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

**Synthesis of Wild-type and Mutant RNAs**—The RNAs used for both the band shift competition assays and thermal denaturation experiments were prepared by T7 RNA polymerase transcription from single-stranded DNA templates using published methods (28). A series of 94-nucleotide template DNAs were used to encode the 77-nucleotide RNAs and were purchased from either Operon Technologies, Inc. (Alameda, CA) or Integrated DNA Technologies (Coralville, IA). For example, the template for the wild-type \(t\)-ferritin IRE was \(5'\)-CTGGAGAGAGTCTCCCGAGATCTTCGCTGCTCAAACACTCTGTGAAAGCCAGAA GA-CAGACCCCGGCGGGACCGGCAGACTCTCATGTGAGTGTCCATATT-A3' (the T7 RNA polymerase promoter region is italicized). A typical 1-ml transcription reaction contained 40 mM Tris-\(\mathrm{HCl}\) (pH 7.5), 20 mM MgCl\(_2\), 5 mM dithiothreitol, 1 \(\mu\)M spermidine, 0.01% Triton X-100, 2 mM each NTP, 0.2 \(\mu\)M DNA template, and 1.5 units/\(\mu\)l T7 RNA polymerase (Life Technologies, Inc.) and was incubated at 37 °C for 3 h. Transcribed RNAs were purified on 8% (7 M urea) polyacrylamide gels, extracted from the gel, and desalted by dialysis against a solution of 10 mM potassium phosphate (pH 7.5) and 10 mM KCl using Spectra/Por CE 500 molecular weight cut-off Dialysis (Spectrum). All RNAs were quantitated and folded as described above.

**Synthesis of Radiolabeled Wild-type Ferritin IRE**—Internally \(\beta\)-radiolabeled wild-type ferritin IRE was transcribed from the same DNA template described above. RNA was transcribed in a 25-\(\mu\)l transcription reaction containing 40 mM Tris-\(\mathrm{HCl}\) (pH 7.5), 25 mM MgCl\(_2\), 5 mM dithiothreitol, 1 \(\mu\)M spermidine, 0.01% Triton X-100, 4 mM ATP, 4 mM CTP, 0.8 mM UTP, 1.7 \(\mu\)M (125 \(\mu\)Ci) \(\alpha\)-\(\beta\)-\(\beta\)-UTP (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech), 0.2 \(\mu\)M DNA template, and 2 units/\(\mu\)l T7 RNA polymerase. RNA was purified as described except that following isolation from the gel the RNA was ethanol-precipitated and resuspended in diethyl pyrocarbonate-treated \(H_2O\). The RNA was quantitated and folded as described above.

**Band-shift Competition Experiments**—Human IRP1 and IRP2 proteins for these studies were expressed and purified as previously reported (29). All competition reactions were prepared as follows: 0.8 pmol (40 nM) \(3^{29}P\)-labeled wild-type \(t\)-ferritin IRE was mixed with either 0, 1, 2, or 3 pmol (29, 58, 116, or 174 nM) of unlabeled competitor for the presence of 5% glycerol, 0.025 units/\(\mu\)l RNase inhibitor (5 Prime → 3 Prime, Inc., Boulder, CO), 0.15 mg/ml yeast tRNA, 2 mM dithiothreitol, 25 mM Tris-\(\mathrm{HCl}\) (pH 7.5), 40 mM KCl, and 0.6 pmol (30 nM) of IRP1 or IRP2. These reactions were incubated at room temperature for 20 min and then electrophoresed on 10% nondenaturing polyacrylamide gels at 130 V for 4 h. After drying, the gels were exposed to either Kodak BioMax MR film or a Molecular Dynamics PhosphorImage system.

**Calculation of Dissociation Constants** \(K_D\) and \(K_{\text{rel}}\)—Quantitation of band-shift competition gels was performed using a Molecular Dynamics PhosphorImager and the Molecular Dynamics ImageQuant software package. Calculated \(K_D\) values were used as a method previously reported for competition assays (30). Briefly, quantitation of the gels yielded \(f_0\) (the fraction of radiolabeled RNA shifted in the absence of competitor), \(f_1\) (the amount of radiolabeled RNA shifted in lanes with competitor), and \(f_\text{rel}\), where \(f_\text{rel} = f_1 / f_0\). From these values, the following equations were used to determine the apparent \(K_D\) (\(K_D^\text{app}\); \(K_D^\text{app} = (C_{\text{free}}/f_0)/1 - f_\text{rel}\)), \(K_D\), \(K_D^\text{rel}\) (known) for the wild-type IRE (as previously reported, see Ref. 23), the following equation can be used to determine \(K_D\) (known). For each HHCS mutant, \(K_D\) (comp) is defined as the mean of at least three separate experiments ± 1 S.D. in Table I. \(\Delta G_D\) (complex) was calculated from RT in \(K_D\).

**Statistical Analysis of HHCS Severity Correlations with \(K_D\)**—Data were stored, analyzed, and reported with the package Exstatix (Select Micro Systems, Inc., Yorktown Heights, NY) and DeltaGraph Pro 3 (DeltaPoint, Inc., Monterey, CA). Results were expressed as a mean ± 1 S.D. unless otherwise stated. Simple and multiple linear regression and nonlinear regression analyses were employed to identify the parameters most closely related to \(K_D\). The correlations of \(\ln\) (serum ferritin) versus 1/\(K_D\) were statistically significant for both IRP1 (\(n = 37, \text{\textbf{F}} = 32.886, p < 0.00001\)) and IRP2 (\(n = 37, \text{\textbf{F}} = 29.435, p < 0.00001\)). The fit of \(\ln\) (max ferritin) versus \(K_D\) (known) to \(\Delta G_D\) (complex) was calculated from RT in \(K_D\).

**Thermal Denaturation (Tm) Experiments**—All thermal denaturation experiments were performed on a Beckman DU 640 UV-visible spectrophotometer equipped with a high performance temperature controller and a 6-position mini-cuvette holder. All measurements were made using 0.350-ml volume Teflon-stopped quartz cuvettes with a 1.0-cm pathlength.
Figure 2. Band-shift competition assays of IREs containing HHCS mutations. Band-shift competition experiments were performed in order to determine the binding affinities ($K_d$ values) of IREs containing HHCS point mutations. Radiolabeled ($^{32}P$) wild-type L-ferritin IRE (0.8 pmol) was incubated with either human IRP1 or human IRP2 (0.6 pmol) in the absence or presence of increasing quantities (0, 0.8, 1.6, 4.0, 8.0, and 80.0 pmol) of unlabeled competitor IRE that contained either no mutations (wild-type L-ferritin) or a single HHCS mutation. These samples were electrophoresed on 10% nondenaturing polyacrylamide gels, and the amount of $^{32}P$-labeled IRE in an IRP-shifted band was measured in each lane.

The gels were visualized by autoradiography and by exposure to a phosphorimaging screen, which permitted the quantitation of the amount of RNA shifted in each lane. Sections of each gel containing the IRP-shifted RNA are assembled above. From these images we can identify mutations that severely reduce IRE affinity (Verona, Paris 2, and Paris 1), moderately reduce affinity (London 1, London 2, and Pavia 1), and minimally alter affinity (Pavia 2).

Table I

| HHCS mutation | IRP1 binding | IRP2 binding |
|---------------|--------------|--------------|
|               | $K_d$ | $K_{rel}$ | $\Delta G_{25}\text{(complex)}$ | $K_d$ | $K_{rel}$ | $\Delta G_{25}\text{(complex)}$ |
| L-Ferritin IRE | 50 ± 2 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 41 ± 2 | 1.0 | 0.0 |
| Verona 2 | 109,000 ± 7000 | 2200.0 | 4.6 | 9,100 ± 600 | 220.0 | 3.2 |
| Paris 1 | 11,000 ± 1000 | 220.0 | 3.2 | 3,400 ± 200 | 83.0 | 2.6 |
| London 1 | 460 ± 70 | 9.2 | 1.3 | 230 ± 70 | 56.0 | 1.0 |
| London 2 | 1,800 ± 400 | 36.0 | 2.1 | 400 ± 90 | 9.8 | 1.4 |
| Paris 2 | 31,000 ± 4000 | 620.0 | 3.8 | 9,100 ± 800 | 220.0 | 3.2 |
| Pavia 1 | 3,700 ± 500 | 74.0 | 2.5 | 2,600 ± 300 | 63.0 | 2.5 |
| Pavia 2 | 75 ± 5 | 1.5 | 0.2 | 71 ± 2 | 1.7 | 0.3 |
| Truncated | 68 ± 3 | 1.4 | 0.2 | 66 ± 4 | 1.6 | 0.3 |

RESULTS

We used band-shift competition assays to determine the affinities of IRP1 and IRP2 for IREs containing HHCS mutations. These experiments measured the ability of the mutant IREs to compete with $^{32}P$-labeled wild-type L-ferritin IRE for the binding of the IRPs, as detected by the gradual disappearance of a shifted band on the gel in the presence of increasing amounts of unlabeled competitor. As a control, we included an experiment in which wild-type L-ferritin IRE was used as the competitor. In these and all subsequent experiments, we used RNA oligonucleotides that had been designed to include the cap modifications and having a G instead of C at the 9 position to permit efficient translation by T7 RNA polymerase. Gel sections showing the IRP-shifted band from each experiment have been assembled in Fig. 2. The appearance of the IRP1-shifted band as a fine doublet is the result of
changes in the oxidative state of a disulfide couple that does not affect IRE binding activity (data not shown).

By visual inspection, we can readily distinguish the mutations that most severely impair the ability of the IRE to compete for IRP binding from those that only modestly impair competition. The most ineffective competitors are the Verona, Paris 2, and perhaps Paris 1 mutations. IREs containing these mutations only begin to show significant competition when presented at 100-fold excess. Another group of mutations, including London 1, London 2, and Pavia 1, compete effectively at somewhat lower concentrations, between 5- and 10-fold excess. In contrast, the Pavia 2 mutation nearly rivals the wild-type L-ferritin IRE in its ability to compete for binding. Qualitatively, the competition profile for each mutation appears to be similar for both IRPs.

To characterize further these mutations, we quantitated the amounts of shifted and unshifted RNA in each of the competition experiments. By using the previously determined $K_D$ values for the interactions of a consensus IRE with IRP1 (50 pM) or IRP2 (30 pM) (23), we were able to calculate the $K_D$ for both IRP1 and IRP2, with found further evidence of a correlation for both IRP1 and IRP2. As Fig. 3 illustrates, maximal values of serum ferritin (max ferritin) are shown as filled circles. Nonlinear regression of ln (max ferritin) versus 1/$K_{nadir}$ (IRP1) yielded ln (max ferritin) = 7.210 - 1.619(1/$K_{nadir}$), $(n = 37, F = 32.886, p < 0.00001)$, whereas regression of ln (max ferritin) versus 1/$K_{nadir}$ (IRP2) yielded ln (max ferritin) = 7.640 - 1.995(1/$K_{nadir}$), $R^2 = 0.978$. b, plot of the serum ferritin versus $K_{nadir}$ for IRP2. Again, maximal values of serum ferritin (max ferritin) are shown as filled circles. Nonlinear regression of ln (serum ferritin) versus 1/$K_{nadir}$ (IRP2) yielded ln (serum ferritin) = 7.236 - 1.762(1/$K_{nadir}$), $(n = 37, F = 29.435, p < 0.00001)$, whereas regression of ln (max ferritin) versus 1/$K_{nadir}$ (IRP2) yielded ln (max ferritin) = 7.6908 - 2.1204(1/$K_{nadir}$), $R^2 = 0.991$.

The absence of a quantitative measurement for the severity of cataract in HHCS patients made it difficult to establish any clear relationship between variations in $K_{nadir}$ and severity of cataract. We therefore defined cataract as severe when there was a marked loss in visual acuity in the 1st decades (with some individuals requiring surgery), mild when the defect in visual acuity could be corrected with the use of appropriate eyeglasses, and asymptomatic when it did not impair visual acuity. By using nonparametric analysis, values of $K_{nadir}$ (IRP1) $<$100 and $K_{nadir}$ (IRP2) $<$80 were significantly associated with asymptomatic to mild cataract, whereas $K_{nadir}$ (IRP1) $>$100 or $K_{nadir}$ (IRP2) $>$80 were found in association with mild to severe cataract ($\chi^2 = 29.68, p < 0.00001$). The characteristics of each mutation are summarized in Table II.

Because the consensus IRE has both conserved primary sequence elements and conserved secondary structure elements, we realized that reductions in affinity in HHCS could be caused by the elimination of a sequence-specific contact (hydrogen bonding, van der Waals contacts, electrostatic interactions), the perturbation of the secondary structure or stability of the IRE (structure-specific effects), or a combination of both. The destabilization of the complex with respect to the wild-type association can be expressed in terms of $\Delta G_{25}(complex)$ and is readily calculated from RT $K_{nadir}$ such that decreases in affinity appear as positive values. The change in free energy of the complexes ranged from 0.2 to 4.6 kcal/mol for IRP1 and 0.3 to 3.2 kcal/mol for IRP2 (Table I). The change in IRE-IRP complex stability should be reflected by the sum of changes in specific intermolecular interactions and changes in the stability of the IRE, which can be expressed as $\Delta \Delta G_{25(IRE)}$. In an
effort to understand some of these contributions, we used UV thermal denaturation experiments and the fitting of sequential transitions to the resulting melting curves to determine ∆ΔG_{25} (IRE) (31).

The absorbance of each IRE was monitored at both 260 and 280 nm as the temperature was increased from 18 to 95 °C. From the change in absorbance as a function of temperature, we calculated the first derivative with respect to temperature (∆A/∆T), and we plotted this versus temperature to yield the melting curves shown in Fig. 4. The predicted total ∆G, ∆H, and ∆S for the l-ferritin IRE using the Turner Rules (32) and the secondary structure depicted in Fig. 1 are as follows: ∆H = −288.2 kcal/mol, ∆S = −819 e.u., and ∆G = −27.8 kcal/mol. Because the helical portion of the l-ferritin IRE used here is periodically interrupted by unpaired nucleotides, we expected these IREs to denature as a series of discrete domains and that these would appear as overlapping sequential transitions in the melting profiles. Although we were uncertain about the number of transitions to expect in the unfolding of our IREs, the melting profiles. Although we were uncertain about the number of transitions to expect in the unfolding of our IREs, the melting profiles. Although we were uncertain about the number of transitions to expect in the unfolding of our IREs, the melting profiles. Although we were uncertain about the number of transitions to expect in the unfolding of our IREs, the melting profiles. Although we were uncertain about the number of transitions to expect in the unfolding of our IREs, the melting profiles. Although we were uncertain about the number of transitions to expect in the unfolding of our IREs, the melting profiles. Although we were uncertain about the number of transitions to expect in the unfolding of our IREs, the melting profiles. Although we were uncertain about the number of transitions to expect in the unfolding of our IREs, the melting profiles. Although we were uncertain about the number of transitions to expect in the unfolding of our IREs, the melting profiles. Although we were uncertain about the number of transitions to expect in the unfolding of our IREs, the melting profiles. Although we were uncertain about the number of transitions to expect in the unfolding of our IREs, the melting profiles. Although we were uncertain about the number of transitions to expect in the unfolding of our IREs, the melting profiles. Although we were uncertain about the number of transitions to expect in the unfolding of our IREs, the melting profiles. Although we were uncertain about the number of transitions to expect in the unfolding of our IREs, the melting profiles. Although we were uncertain about the number of transitions to expect in the unfolding of our IREs, the melting profiles. Although we were uncertain about the number of transitions to expect in the unfolding of our IREs, the melting profiles. Although we were uncertain about the number of transitions to expect in the unfolding of our IREs, the melting profiles. Although we were uncertain about the number of transitions to expect in the unfolding of our IREs, the melting profiles. Although we were uncertain about the number of transitions to expect in the unfolding of our IREs, the melting profiles. Although we were uncertain about the number of transitions to expect in the unfolding of our IREs, the melting profiles. Although we were uncertain about the number of transitions to expect in the unfolding of our IREs, the melting profiles. Although we were uncertain about the number of transitions to expect in the unfolding of our IREs, the melting profiles. Although we were uncertain about the number of transitions to expect in the unfolding of our IREs, the melting profiles. Although we were uncertain about the number of transitions to expect in the unfolding of our IREs, the melting profiles. Although we were uncertain about the number of transitions to expect in the unfolding of our IREs, the melting profiles. Although we were uncertain about the number of transitions to expect in the unfolding of our IREs, the melting profiles. Although we were uncertain about the number of transitions to expect in the unfolding of our IREs, the melting profiles. Although we were uncertain about the number of transitions to expect in the unfolding of our IREs, the melting profiles. Although we were uncertain about the number of transitions to expect in the unfolding of our IREs, the melting profiles. Although we were uncertain about the number of transitions to expect in the unfolding of our IREs, the melting profiles. Although we were uncertain about the number of transitions to expect in the unfolding of our IREs, the melting profiles. Although we were uncertain about the number of transitions to expect in the unfolding of our IREs, the melting profiles. Although we were uncertain about the number of transitions to expect in the unfolding of our IREs, the melting profiles. Although we were uncertain about the number of transitions to expect in the unfolding of our IREs, the melting profiles. Although we were uncertain about the number of transitions to expect in the unfolding of our IREs, the melting profiles. Although we were uncertain about the number of transitions to expect in the unfolding of our IREs, the melting profiles.

We used the following strategy to assign each melting transition to a specific portion of the IRE: transitions in which the T_m was significantly affected by a mutation were assigned to a region that included that site and contained enough secondary structure to approximately match the ∆H determined by the curve-fitting analysis. If a mutation produced a T_m change in more than one transition, the transition that was most affected was matched with the region containing that mutation, and the other affected transitions were assigned to adjacent domains. Analysis of the melting curves of each of the mutants (with the exception of the Pavia 2 mutant) yielded two transitions for which the T_m and ∆H remained essentially unchanged, one transition that showed changes in T_m and ∆H for mutations that occurred in both the upper stem and loop (Paris 1, London 1, London 2), and a fourth transition that predominantly showed changes when the mutations occurred in the vicinity of the bulged C (Paris 2, Pavia 1) (Fig. 5a). This pattern allowed us to confidently pair the transition at T_m = 48.5 °C (T1) with the region just below the bulged C and the transition at T_m = 58.6 °C (T2) with the upper stem and loop (Fig. 5b). Although the precise regions corresponding to the transitions at 60.7 °C (T3) and 67.9 °C (T4) are difficult to assign because of the shortage of mutational information from that part of the IRE, the dramatic change in the T4 portion of the melting curve of Pavia 2 (Fig. 4, Pavia 2 panel) suggests that T4 includes the helix (C18:G62 to U26:A54) in which the Pavia 2 mutations occur. The thermodynamic parameters derived from each RNA are listed in Table III. Because we were uncertain of the changes in secondary structure in the Pavia 2 mutant, we were unable to derive thermodynamic information from the Pavia 2 melting curve.

**DISCUSSION**

Among the numerous genetic disorders that have been identified and studied, HHCS has emerged as a novel disease that arises from mutations within the protein-binding site of an RNA regulatory element. The mutations associated with HHCS occur throughout the IRE of the l-ferritin transcript and are so far the only naturally occurring mutations known to disrupt IRP recognition in a living organism. The clinical severity of HHCS is remarkably varied; serum ferritin levels can range anywhere from 2- to 10-fold higher than normal, and lens opacities can be severe enough to require surgical intervention or mild enough to have no detectable effect on visual acuity.

The measurements of the in vitro affinities of IRP1 and IRP2 for IREs containing HHCS mutations revealed that these mutations had variable effects on IRP binding. Relative dissociation constants ranged from 1.5 (Pavia 2) to 2200.0 (Verona) for IRP1, for a nearly 1500-fold difference in effect, and from 1.7 (Pavia 2) to 220.0 (Verona) for IRP2, or a 130-fold difference. We also found that with every mutation, except Pavia 2, the affinity for IRP1 was more affected than for IRP2. Studies of nonconsensus IRP-binding sequences have shown that, in general, IRP2 has a greater tolerance for mutations within the hexanucleotide loop of the IRE (23, 24). Additionally, the IRE binding capacity of IRP2 is much less affected by mutagenesis of individual arginines within the putative IRE-binding site (23). The diminished sensitivity of IRP2 to IRE mutations is
further highlighted by the effects of the HHCS mutations, although the ranking of the HHCS mutations from lowest to highest affinity remains the same for both IRPs.

The reported concentration of serum ferritin for each HHCS mutation covers a wide range and may reflect the influence of non-HHCS factors, such as inflammation, cancer, and pathogenic infection, that are known to affect ferritin expression (33). We anticipated that this variability might mask correlations between binding affinity and serum ferritin levels; yet we discovered a significant correlation between serum ferritin levels and $K_{\text{Rel}}$ for both IRPs and an even stronger correlation between the $K_{\text{Rel}}$ and maximum serum ferritin. With such strong correlations for both IRPs, these experiments do not identify either protein as the predominant contributor to HHCS. The sharp transition from low (wild-type and Pavia 2) to relatively high (London 1) maximum serum ferritin with increasing $K_{\text{Rel}}$ implies that the affinity of the IRPs for the IRE is highly optimized for the consensus sequence. Even the appearance of a measurable difference in serum ferritin for patients with the weakly impaired Pavia 2 mutation illustrates the extreme sensitivity of the IRE-IRP system to slight perturbations in affinity. With regard to cataract, there also appears to be a correlation between cases of HHCS requiring surgical intervention and mutations with the largest values of $K_{\text{Rel}}$. More subtle correlations between $K_{\text{Rel}}$ and severity might easily be masked by other genetic or environmental factors thought to influence cataractogenesis, including differences in diet, exposure to UV light, or substance abuse (34).

Despite the correlation between serum ferritin levels and the effect of each HHCS mutation on the binding of IRPs, the mechanism by which l-ferritin reaches the serum is unclear. Ferritin appears in human serum even under normal conditions, and consists predominantly, if not exclusively, of l-ferritin (35). There have also been reports of glycosylated forms of ferritin in the serum (36, 37), implicating a secretory origin for a portion of serum ferritin. Although neither l- nor H-ferritin has a classical signal peptide for targeting the nascent protein to the endoplasmic reticulum, l-ferritin has a short stretch of hydrophobic residues near the N terminus that might permit translocation to the ER. Several studies have detected elevated

**Fig. 4.** Absorbance melting curves of IREs containing HHCS mutations. The absorbance at 260 nm was collected at 0.5 °C intervals as a 1.0 μM sample of each IRE was heated from 18 to 95 °C at a rate of 0.5 °C/min. The partial first derivative of the absorbance with respect to temperature ($\Delta A_{260}/\Delta T$) was calculated to yield the melting curves shown above. In each case, the $\Delta A_{260}/\Delta T$ of the wild-type l-ferritin IRE is shown in overlay (open circles) to highlight the differences in the melting curves of the mutants (x). The dashed lines in the l-ferritin IRE panel are representative of the four sequential transitions that were fitted to each data set (the solid line is the theoretical melting curve for these transitions), with the sole exception of the Pavia 2. The thermodynamic parameters derived from the melting curves are listed in Table IV.
intracellular levels of L-ferritin in cell lines and cultured tissues from HHCS patients (7, 38), suggesting that both the intracellular and serum forms of L-ferritin are expressed from the same misregulated gene. It is possible that when L-ferritin is translated in serum monocytes it is partitioned into both the ER and the cytosol and that the ER fraction is secreted into the serum. This partitioning could also serve as an additional point of regulation and might explain some of the variation in levels of serum ferritin in HHCS patients. The mechanism leading to cataract formation is also unknown, but a recent study has suggested that it might involve perturbations of the redox status of the lens (38).

We also wondered what these mutations might reveal about the structure of the IRE and its interactions with the IRPs. Although little is known about the three-dimensional structure of IRP1 or IRP2 (39), there has been some success determining the structure of the consensus IRE. Unfortunately, it has been difficult to ascertain which aspects of the secondary and tertiary structure of the IRE are important for recognition by the IRPs, nor have the sites of any intermolecular contacts been firmly identified. In studies of the consensus IRE (22), the effects of single point mutations on IRP affinity have been measured in an effort to identify sites of contact. Although measurable effects may indicate the loss of base-specific contacts, they might also reflect changes in the stability of secondary or tertiary structure of the IRE. We recognized that some of the HHCS mutations might also act by disrupting important secondary structural elements of the IRE, including the A-form helix of the lower stem (Paris 2, Pavia 1, and Pavia 2), the extra-helical conformation of the bulged cytosine (Paris 2, Pavia 1, and Pavia 2), the extra-helical conformation of the A-form helix of the upper stem (London 1), and the base-stacking interactions within the loop (Paris 1) (27–29). To elucidate any effects of the HHCS mutations on the structural conformation of the IRE, we measured the melting curves of the mutant IREs and calculated differences in their thermodynamic stabilities as reflected by $\Delta G_{25}$(IRE). The comparison of these changes with $\Delta G_{25}$(complex) allowed us to begin to understand the effects of many of the HHCS mutations.

The Verona mutation caused the largest loss in affinity for IRP1 and IRP2; yet its melting curve and the thermodynamic stability were nearly identical to the wild-type sequence, with a $\Delta G_{25}$(IRE) of only 0.5 kcal/mol. NMR analyses of the IRE have shown that the guanosine normally found at this position is solvent-exposed and structurally dynamic (25, 27), and cleavage assays have identified this position as being particularly sensitive to chemical reagents (40, 41). These observations, together with the thermodynamics of the Verona mutant, suggest that the consensus guanosine in that position is involved in an interaction with the IRPs worth between 2.8 and 4.1 kcal/mol.

The Paris 1 mutation also had relatively little effect on the energetics of the IRE loop, producing a $\Delta G_{25}$(IRE) that is insufficient to account for all of $\Delta G_{25}$(complex) for either IRP. In the consensus IRE, the adenine normally found at this position has been shown to be stacked on top of the intra-loop C1-G5 base pair (25). Replacement of the consensus adenine with guanosine might alter the orientation of this stacking interaction, but such a change is unlikely to produce a large $\Delta G_{25}$(complex). Although SELEX experiments have confirmed the preference for adenosine at this site, one study found cytidine in several IRP-binding clones and guanosine in a single IRP2-specific sequence containing multiple nonconsensus nucleotides (23), whereas the other identified cytidine- and uridine-containing sequences (24). The tolerance of substitutions other than guanosine at this site suggests that this nucleobase may be involved in a stacking interaction with the IRP and that the large size of guanosine may disrupt this association under most conditions. Such an interaction could account for the observed changes in affinity and leaves open the possibility that this base becomes unstacked in the IRE-IRP complex.

The London 1 mutation occurs in the position that has been shown by NMR to form a base pair with the fifth nucleotide in the helix (25, 26). The mutation of C-39 to U would eliminate Watson-Crick pairing with G-5 but would still permit the formation of a U-G base pair. We would expect this interaction to be weaker than the normal C-G base pair, an expectation that was confirmed by a drop in the $T_m$(T2) of 4.3 °C and a corresponding $\Delta T_m$(IRE) of 1.2 kcal/mol. This is nearly identical to the $\Delta G_{25}$(complex) for both IRP1 (1.3 kcal/mol) and IRP2 (1.0 kcal/mol). Thus, it is likely that most of the
destabilization of the London 1 IRE-IRP complex arises from the weakened base pairing interaction, which leads in turn to a less favorable entropy change upon binding of the IRP.

The London 2 mutation might be expected to lead to a loss of base pairing, with the subsequent destabilization of the T2 domain. It does cause a drop in $T_m$ (T2), plus a small loss in $T_m$ (T1), but causes a $\Delta G_{25}(\text{IRE})$ of only 0.2 kcal/mol resulting from a gain in enthalpy of 10 kcal/mol and an entropic penalty of 34 e.u. In this mutant, the upper portion of the IRE could naturally adopt an extrahelical conformation and be structurally dynamic (25) and that the tendency of an unpaired nucleotide to stack within the helix is dependent upon the sequence of adjacent base pairs (45). We might expect these changes in helix geometry arising from an A:G mismatch may be sufficient to disrupt backbone contacts and account for the loss in binding affinity.

Both of the Paris 2 and Pavia 1 mutations give rise to moderate values of $\Delta G_{25}(\text{IRE})$ (1.1 and 1.7 kcal/mol, respectively). However, the Paris 2 mutation causes a much larger $\Delta G_{25}(\text{IRE})$ (complex). It has been shown that the unpaired cytosine normally adopts an extrahelical conformation and is structurally dynamic (25) and that the tendency of an unpaired nucleotide to stack within the helix is dependent upon the sequence of adjacent base pairs (45). Therefore, we might expect these changes to alter the stacking preferences of the otherwise bulged C-33. We found that the $\Delta H/(T1)$ of 10 kcal/mol for the Paris 2 mutation is accompanied by an increase in $\Delta H/(T2)$ of 7 kcal/mol, suggesting that a stacking interaction has shifted.
from the T2 domain to the T1 domain. This may indicate that the normally bulged C-33 has adopted an intrahelical, stacked conformation. Such a conformational change would mask the identity of the highly conserved C-33 and may prevent the formation of intermolecular contacts. The energy required to extrude C-33 from the helix may account for a portion of $\Delta G_{25}(\text{complex})$. Although the Pavia 1 mutant also has a similar decrease in $\Delta H(T1)$, the absence of a compensatory change in $\Delta H(T2)$ makes it less likely that the extrahelical conformation of C-33 is being altered.

Perhaps the most dramatic result from the thermal denaturation studies is the change in the melting curve profile of the Pavia 2 mutant. Despite the retention of near wild-type affinity for both IRP1 and IRP2, the melting curve suggests a complete change in the thermodynamic behavior of this RNA. Analysis of the predicted lowest energy secondary structures of the Pavia 2 IRE shows that the energy differences between the fold assumed in this study and alternate folds are much smaller than with the wild-type sequence (data not shown). Because the two mutations in this sequence are outside of the recognized IRP binding footprint (39), it is also unlikely that direct contacts are being lost. One possibility is that changes in the stability of the lower region of the IRE result in an altered fold of the RNA that retains the appropriate secondary structure in the IRP-binding regions of the IRE sequence.

The Pavia 2 mutation illuminates what might be the primary function of the lower stem of the IRE. The thermodynamic behavior of Pavia 2 indicates that the IRP-binding domain, comprised of T1 and T2 in this study, is intact and sufficiently stable to retain the secondary structure required for functional activity. The lower stem serves as an additional regulatory element in that its length and G-C content fine-tune the stability of the IRE, modulating the sensitivity of a transcript to IRP-mediated translational control. This model is supported by studies showing that the translation of ferritin is more sensitive to changes in cellular iron status than mitochondrial acetyl-CoA oxidase, which has a shorter, A-U rich lower stem in its IRE (46).

The recent discovery and characterization of hereditary hyperferritinemia-cataract syndrome has provided a unique opportunity to understand the effects of IRE mutations on the behavior of the IRP regulatory process in a living organism. The diversity of HHCS-causing mutations is remarkable, and we speculate that many more HHCS mutations will eventually be found. As the medical community continues to identify new mutations, it will be important to note those nucleotide positions that never seem to be affected in HHCS. We believe that there may be naturally occurring polymorphisms within the ferritin IRE that do not affect IRP binding, or perhaps some that enhance binding, but the absence of a known phenotype will make it difficult to identify such variations. For each new mutation that does affect IRP binding, however, we can perform similar thermodynamic analyses and further refine our understanding of the exquisite recognition of IREs by IRP1 and IRP2.