Fasting hepatic glucose uptake is higher in men than women
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Abstract

Differences in glucose metabolism between men and women have previously been reported. Our purpose was to determine if there is a gender difference in fasting hepatic glucose uptake (MRglu). Fifty-five patients (44 men, 11 women) referred for routine PET/CT using the glucose tracer 2-deoxy-2-[F-18]fluoro-D-glucose (FDG), mainly for cancer, had dynamic imaging for 30 min immediately following injection. Hepatic FDG clearance (mL/min/100 mL) was measured as gradient divided by intercept from Patlak–Rutland graphical analysis using a volume of interest over the abdominal aorta to record input function. Hepatic MRglu was obtained by multiplication of clearance by blood glucose concentration. Hepatic steatosis was diagnosed as CT density ≤40 HU. Mean (standard deviation) hepatic MRglu in 44 men was 2.30 (1.14) μmol/min/100 mL, significantly higher than in 11 women in whom it was 1.07 (1.35) μmol/min/100 mL (P = 0.003). CT density was 52 (12) HU in women compared with 45 (9) HU in men (P = 0.04), but there was no significant difference in blood glucose, BMI, or prevalence of recent chemotherapy (within 6 months preceding PET/CT). When patients were subdivided into those without hepatic steatosis (31 men/9 women), those without evidence of FDG-avid malignancy on PET/CT (15/6), and those without either (11/5), gender differences in hepatic MRglu remained highly significant, but there were no significant differences in CT density, blood glucose, BMI, or recent chemotherapy history. Despite this being a population of clinically referred patients, the results strongly suggest that fasting hepatic MRglu is higher in men than in women.

Introduction

There are differences between men and women with respect to glucose metabolism (Basu et al. 2006; Horton et al. 2006; Blaak 2008; Magkos et al. 2010; Varlamov et al. 2015). For example, compared with men, women are less glucose tolerant (Blaak 2008), have a lower hepatic extraction fraction of insulin (Basu et al. 2006), and produce less glucose in response to exercise (Horton et al. 2006). Women and the females of other species are also more susceptible to the effects of hepatotoxins, such as alcohol (Tuyns and Pequignot 1984; Iimuro et al. 1997), and there are gender differences in the natural history of liver disease (Guy and Peters 2013). However, data on gender differences in fasting hepatic glucose uptake (MRglu) are more difficult to find.

Previous studies have used the glucose tracer, 2-deoxy-2-[F-18]fluoro-D-glucose (FDG) and positron emission tomography (PET) to measure hepatic MRglu (Choi et al. 1994; Iozzo et al. 2003; Borra et al. 2008), but only in men. Like glucose, FDG freely exchanges between blood and hepatocytes via K1 (close to hepatic perfusion) and k2 (diffusion constant) (Choi et al. 1994; Torizuka et al. 1995; Green et al. 1998) (Fig 1). In the hepatocyte, FDG is phosphorylated to FDG-6-phosphate via hexokinase (transport pathway k3). Dephosphorylation through glucose-6-phosphatase (k4) appears to be relatively slow compared with phosphorylation, even in fasting patients.
(Choi et al. 1994; Iozzo et al. 2003), so that dynamic imaging over a limited period of time following FDG injection with graphical analysis of data can be used to measure hepatic FDG clearance (Choi et al. 1994; Munk et al. 2001; Iozzo et al. 2003).

The aim of the study was to determine if there is a difference in hepatic MRglu between men and women.

**Methods**

**Compliance with ethical standards**
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**Ethical approval**

All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards.

**Patients**

Sixty patients referred for clinically indicated PET/CT (57 for management of cancer and three for unexplained fever) were recruited. No specific selection criteria were used other than exclusion of patients with suspected high alcohol intake (confessing to >21 units per week). These patients also formed the population in a previous study that investigated the relationships of hepatic MRglu with hepatic steatosis and obesity, published elsewhere (Keramida et al. 2016). As previously described, they had dynamic PET for 30 min following FDG injection (~400 MBq) in a single bed position with detector over the torso. This was followed by routine whole-body PET/CT (Siemens Healthcare, Erlangen, Germany) at 60 min post injection, again as previously described (Keramida et al. 2016).

Three men and 2 women with type-2 diabetes mellitus were excluded, leaving 44 men (mean age 61 ± standard deviation [SD] 12) and 11 women (mean age 60 ± 10).

**Dynamic imaging**

Hepatic FDG clearance was measured from dynamic imaging using Patlak–Rutland (PR) graphical analysis (Choi et al. 1994; Munk et al. 2001; Iozzo et al. 2003; Borra et al. 2008) and Hermes software (Hermes Medical Solutions, Stockholm, Sweden), as previously described (Keramida et al. 2016). Hepatic activity was summed from regions of interest of 3.0 cm diameter over the right lobe on about contiguous 20 transaxial images, avoiding any suspected focal hepatic pathology in each transaxial section. Blood pool activity was obtained similarly from ROIs of 1.6 cm diameter on about 20 transaxial images of the abdominal aorta within the field of view, being careful to place the ROI within the lumen, which, on early imaging, is conspicuous. The ratio of hepatic-to-aortic counts was then plotted against the ratio of integral of aortic counts-to-aortic counts (Fig 2). The latter has units of time (‘normalized time’). The gradient of the PR plot is proportional to hepatic FDG clearance (Ki) and the intercept is proportional to the distribution volume (V(0)) of unphosphorylated FDG throughout the liver. The proportionality constants (based on the relation of tissue counts and blood counts to tissue and blood concentrations FDG) are identical, as proved elsewhere (Keramida et al. 2016); so, Ki was divided by V(0) to give hepatic FDG clearance per unit FDG hepatic distribution volume. This requires no attenuation correction because the proportionality constants cancel out. As FDG presumably does not penetrate hepatic fat deposits, this approach has the advantage that the physical impact of hepatic fat on the FDG signal (Keramida et al. 2014) also cancels out because it affects gradient and intercept equally. Ki/V(0)
was then multiplied by blood glucose to give hepatic MRglu (µmol/min/ml). Lumped constant (LC) for the liver was assumed to be unity (Iozzo et al. 2007).

**Statistics**

Results are expressed as mean ± standard deviation. Differences between men and women were evaluated using Student’s unpaired t-test. Correlations were based on Pearson correlation analysis. Differences were considered nonsignificant when \( P > 0.05 \).

**Table 1.** Mean (standard deviation) hepatic glucose uptake (MRglu), CT density, blood glucose concentration, body mass index (BMI), and recent chemotherapy history compared between men and women in 55 patients and three subgroups.

|                      | MRglu (µmol/min/100 mL) | CT Density (HU) | Blood glucose (mmol/L) | BMI (kg/m²) | Chemotherapy within 6 months |
|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|------------------------|-------------|------------------------------|
| All patients         |                         |                 |                        |             |                              |
| Men (n = 44)         | 2.30 (1.14)             | 45 (9)          | 5.7 (0.6)              | 27 (5)      | 11/44                        |
| Women (n = 11)       | 1.07 (1.35)             | 52 (12)         | 5.8 (0.7)              | 26 (6)      | 1/11                         |
| \( P \)              | 0.003                   | 0.04            | NS                     | NS          | NS                           |

- **No steatosis**
  - Men (n = 31)
    - MRglu: 2.04 (1.11) HU
    - Blood glucose: 5.7 (0.6) mmol/L
    - BMI: 25 (4) kg/m²
    - Chemotherapy: 6/31
  - Women (n = 9)
    - MRglu: 0.64 (0.76) HU
    - Blood glucose: 5.6 (0.5) mmol/L
    - BMI: 25 (5) kg/m²
    - Chemotherapy: 1/9

- **No FDG-avid malignancy**
  - Men (n = 15)
    - MRglu: 2.41 (0.99) HU
    - Blood glucose: 5.7 (0.6) mmol/L
    - BMI: 26 (5) kg/m²
    - Chemotherapy: 4/15
  - Women (n = 6)
    - MRglu: 0.54 (0.66) HU
    - Blood glucose: 5.6 (0.6) mmol/L
    - BMI: 28 (3) kg/m²
    - Chemotherapy: 1/6

- **No steatosis or FDG-avid malignancy**
  - Men (n = 11)
    - MRglu: 2.21 (1.03) HU
    - Blood glucose: 5.6 (0.5) mmol/L
    - BMI: 24 (2) kg/m²
    - Chemotherapy: 2/11
  - Women (n = 5)
    - MRglu: 0.34 (0.48) HU
    - Blood glucose: 5.5 (0.6) mmol/L
    - BMI: 28 (4) kg/m²
    - Chemotherapy: 1/5

\( P \) refers to the difference between men and women (Student unpaired t-test). NS, statistically insignificant (\( P > 0.05 \)).

**Ethics**

All patient data are anonymous. All patients attending our PET/CT unit are asked for permission to use any of their imaging data for research purposes (a practice approved by a National Research Ethics Committee) and all patients consented. Moreover, the acquisition of the dynamic data (which did not require any additional radiation exposure and for which all patients gave written informed consent) was approved by a National Research Ethics Committee.

**Figure 2.** Example of time-count curves for the aortic blood pool (left panel), liver (middle panel) (kcounts = counts/frame in thousands), and Patlak–Rutland plot based on these curves (right panel). Note that the first two frames are not included in the Patlak–Rutland plot.
Results

Hepatic MRglu was approximately twice as high in men (2.30 ± 1.14 μmol/min/100 mL) compared with women (1.07 ± 1.35 μmol/min/100 mL; P = 0.003) in all 55 patients (Fig 3; Table 1). The difference was equally marked in the 40 with no steatosis, the 21 with normal PET/CT and the 16 with no steatosis and normal PET/CT (Fig 3; Table 1). There were no significant differences in blood glucose, body mass index (BMI), or recent chemotherapy history in any of the subgroups. CT density, however, was minimally but significantly higher in women when all 55 patients were considered but not in the subgroups (Table 1). When patients with hepatic steatosis were excluded, the gender difference in hepatic MRglu was still marked. There was no correlation between hepatic MRglu and age in either gender. Mean hepatic MRglu in 11 men with a history of chemotherapy within 6 months of their PET/CT scan was 2.54 ± 0.90 μmol/min/100 mL compared with 2.22 ± 1.21 μmol/min/100 mL in 33 men who had not received chemotherapy within 6 months (P > 0.05).

Discussion

We show in a heterogeneous clinical population that there is a marked difference in hepatic MRglu between men and women that cannot be explained by differences in age, BMI, hepatic CT density, or recent chemotherapy administration. This complements previous work highlighting differences between men and women in glucose and fat metabolism, as described above.

The mechanism for this gender-specific difference in hepatic MRglu is not apparent, although sex hormones may have a role (Shen and Shi 2015). The phosphorylation transport constant, k₃, is sensitive to insulin and was shown by Iozzo et al. (2003) to remain sensitive to insulin in patients with low insulin sensitivity. Insulin resistance, which is more common in hepatic steatosis (Marchesini et al. 1999), may play a role, except the gender difference in hepatic MRglu was still seen when 15 patients with hepatic steatosis were excluded. Nevertheless, as hepatic MRglu was measured under fasting conditions, during which insulin levels would have been low, an insulin-mediated mechanism seems unlikely.

Previous studies (Choi et al. 1994; Munk et al. 2001; Iozzo et al. 2003) used kinetic modeling to determine transport rates of FDG between hepatocytes and blood (K₁ and k₂) and rate constants of FDG phosphorylation (k₃) and dephosphorylation (k₄). Modeling is complicated by the liver’s dual blood supply (Munk et al. 2001; Tragardh et al. 2015) but PR analysis, although yielding only Ki/V(0) rather than individual transfer constants, is more robust for measuring hepatic MRglu (Choi et al. 1994; Munk et al. 2001; Iozzo et al. 2003). We, like others (Choi et al. 1994; Munk et al. 2001; Iozzo et al. 2003), found the hepatic PR plot to be essentially linear, suggesting that k₄ makes no significant impact. Nevertheless, we limited our acquisition period to 30 min not only to minimize the potential effects of k₄ but also to minimize patient movement artifact, as unsedated patients find it difficult to lie still for more than 30 min. Our assumption of completion of mixing of FDG within its hepatic distribution volume within 3 min appears valid from the linearity of the PR plot from 3 min (Fig 1).

Our values of hepatic MRglu in men are very similar to values previously recorded by others who measured blood and liver FDG concentrations in absolute units (MBq/ml) rather than as raw counts (Choi et al. 1994; Iozzo et al. 2003; Borra et al. 2008). Thus, in healthy men, Choi et al. (1994) recorded a fasting hepatic MRglu of 2.1 μmol/min/100 mL, where 100 mL refers to total liver volume rather than V(0). The Finnish group obtained fasting values of 1.3 (Iozzo et al. 2003) and 3.6 (Borra et al. 2008) μmol/min/100 mL, again, in men. Choi et al. found V(0) to be 0.88 mL/mL. It is likely to
be lower in hepatic steatosis. Division of their mean hepatic MRglu by 0.88 gives 2.4 μmol/min/100 mL, which is the value that should be compared with ours.

FDG presumably does not penetrate the fat droplets within hepatocytes; so, overall hepatic FDG concentration will be reduced by the presence of hepatic fat (Keramida et al. 2014), thereby reducing the value of Ki. However, V(0) will be reduced equally, so dividing Ki by V(0) avoids this signal dilution effect, which could be prominent in severe hepatic steatosis.

Study limitations are as follows. Firstly, it would have been informative to measure blood insulin levels, as insulin stimulates k3 and increases hepatic MRglu (Choi et al. 1994; Iozzo et al. 2003). In this respect, similar measurements made during glucose challenge, such as performed by Choi et al. (1994), or hyperinsulinaemic euglycaemic clamp, such as performed by Iozzo et al. (2003), may help identify gender differences related to the role of insulin in mediating hepatic glucose uptake. Secondly, we assumed LC of unity for both genders, although it is unlikely that LC would differ between men and women. Thirdly, our patient population was clinically heterogeneous rather than a healthy one and included patients with hepatic steatosis. Previous studies on the relation between hepatic steatosis and hepatic MRglu are few and conflicting. However, we still saw a rather striking difference between hepatic MRglu in men and women when patients with hepatic steatosis and/or FDG-avid pathology were excluded. Finally, excluding patients with excessive alcohol intake may have been incomplete.

In conclusion, we present evidence that fasting hepatic MRglu is higher in men than in women. This may have implications for differences in susceptibility that exist between men and women with respect to liver disease. Further study of healthy men and women, matched for age, BMI, and CT density is warranted for confirmation of this observation.
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