Low-Metallicity Globular Clusters in the Low-Mass Isolated Spiral Galaxy NGC 2403
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ABSTRACT

The globular cluster (GC) systems of low-mass late-type galaxies, such as NGC 2403, have been poorly studied to date. As a low mass galaxy (M_T = 7 × 10^9 M_☉), cosmological simulations predict NGC 2403 to contain few, if any, accreted GCs. It is also isolated, with a remarkably undisturbed HI disk. Based on candidates from the literature, Sloan Digital Sky Survey and Hyper Suprime-Cam imaging, we selected several GCs for follow-up spectroscopy using the Keck Cosmic Web Imager. From their radial velocities, and other properties, we identify 8 bona-fide GCs associated with either the inner halo or the disk of this bulgeless galaxy. A stellar population analysis suggests a wide range of GC ages from shortly after the Big Bang until the present day. We find all of the old GCs to be metal-poor with [Fe/H] ≤ −1. The age–metallicity relation for the observed GCs suggests that they were formed over many Gyr from gas with a low effective yield, similar to that observed in the SMC. Outflows of enriched material may have contributed to the low yield. With a total system of ~50 GCs expected, our study is the first step in fully mapping the star cluster history of NGC 2403 in both space and time.
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1 INTRODUCTION

The globular cluster (GC) systems of galaxies is an area of active research (see review by Forbes et al. (2018) and references therein). This is particularly true for low-mass galaxies, which have relatively poor GC systems compared to giant galaxies with their populous GC systems. While the Local Group contains some well-known low mass galaxies with modest GC systems, such as M33, the LMC and the SMC, the study of their GC systems is in some ways complicated by their proximity. In the case of M33 its radial velocities of its GCs (~180 km/s) overlap with high velocity stars of the Milky Way; the recent work of Larsen et al. (2021) found that several claimed GCs, in previous studies of M33, are actually foreground Milky Way stars. The Magellanic Clouds are of course in the process of merging with the Milky Way and it has been speculated for some time that they may have ‘lost’ GCs to our Galaxy (Lynden-Bell & Lynden-Bell 1995). Thus we need to look further afield to improve our understanding of the GC systems of such low mass galaxies.

While GC systems, in general, include both in-situ and ex-situ (accreted) formed GCs (Forbes & Remus 2018), low mass galaxies are expected to be dominated by in-situ formed GCs e.g. Oser et al. (2010) and Remus & Forbes (2021). In particular, galaxies with a total halo mass of M_h < 10^{11} M_☉ are expected to be dominated by in-situ formed GCs (Choksi & Gnedin 2019). This is in contrast to massive galaxies like the Milky Way, the halos of which are dominated by the accreted GCs of disrupted dwarf galaxies (Forbes 2020).

NGC 2403 is a low mass (M_V = −19.5, M_* = 7 × 10^9 M_☉), late-type (Scd) spiral galaxy in the outskirts of the M81 group at a distance of 3.2 Mpc (Carlin et al. 2016). It may be infalling for the first time into the M81 group (Williams et al. 2013) with M81 itself lying ~1 Mpc away. It has a satellite galaxy DDO 44 (M_V = −12.5), and recently a smaller dwarf, called MADCASH-1 (M_V = −7.7), was discovered by (Carlin et al. 2016). Although DDO 44 shows signs of interacting Carlin et al. (2019), NGC 2403 itself reveals a remarkably undisturbed HI disk (de Blok et al. 2008; Williams et al. 2013). This, and its relative isolation, suggests it is a galaxy that has not undergone a strong tidal interaction nor experienced ram pressure stripping. Although bulgeless, it does host a nuclear star cluster (Lira et al. 2007) and it reveals an extended stellar structure at large radii which is either an extension of the thick disk or the presence of a faint stellar halo (Barker et al. 2012). It is also an important Cepheid variable calibrator galaxy (Saha et al. 2006).

NGC 2403 has some global properties similar to those of the bulgeless Local Group galaxy M33 (Scd, M_V = −19.4). M33 contains a large population of intermediate-age star clusters, particularly at large
Table 1. NGC 2403 Globular Cluster Candidate Properties

| ID | $g$ (mag) | $g - i$ (mag) | Source | $R_p$ (arcmin) | Size (pc) |
|----|----------|--------------|--------|----------------|----------|
| C1 | 19.1     | 0.15         | PS     | 5.3            | 3.5      |
| C4 | 18.2     | 0.91         | DR15   | 5.3            | 2.0      |
| D2 | 18.0     | 0.68         | DR15   | 8.6            | –        |
| D6 | 19.3     | 0.95         | DR7    | 14.3           | 3.6      |
| F1 | 19.1     | 0.88         | DR7    | 12.4           | 5.0      |
| F16| 19.5     | 1.01         | DR15   | 7.9            | 2.2      |
| JD1| 19.0     | 0.81         | DR7    | 6.7            | –        |
| JD2| 19.8     | 0.86         | DR15   | 80             | –        |
| JC15| 19.8    | 1.04         | HSC    | 4.2            | –        |
| F46| 18.3     | 0.85         | DR15   | 5.0            | 4.4      |

Notes: Source of photometry, i.e. PanSTARRS, SDSS or Hyper Suprime-Cam imaging. $R_p$ is the projected radius from the centre of NGC 2403 (JD2 lies close to DDO 44), with 1 arcmin equivalent to 900 pc. Sizes for D6, F1 are from Davidge (2007), C1, C4, F16 from this work and F46 from L21.

galactocentric radii (Chandar et al. 2002; Davidge 2003; San Roman et al. 2010; Fan & de Grijs 2014) with 85% of them kinematically-associated with the inner halo and 15% associated with the disk (Chandar et al. 2002). Chandar et al. (2002) found that the inner halo GCs in M33 reveal a significant age spread when compared to those of the Milky Way. A key difference is that M33 has signatures of an inner stellar halo but not an outer one (Gilbert et al. 2021). This may indicate a relatively small contribution from accreted dwarf galaxies in M33’s assembly history. In this context, we note at least one halo GC (HM33-B) which Larsen et al. (2021) argued was accreted on the basis of its anomalous chemical signature.

The GC system of NGC 2403 has been poorly studied to date with very little work on it since the photographic plate study of Battistini et al. (1984). In this work they identified 8 candidates as having colours typical of GCs, 6 star clusters with bluer colours and 5 with redder colours than typical Milky Way GCs. Davidge (2007) also identified another half dozen GC candidates in their infrared imaging and estimated their sizes. NGC 2403 does not appear in the catalogue of Harris et al. (2013) listing galaxies with known GC systems (it lists M33 to have a total of 50 GCs) and its total number of GCs is unknown. Based on its total halo mass of $2\sim3\times10^{11} M_\odot$ (Li et al. 2020), and the relation of Burkert & Forbes (2020), we predict a total GC system of 40–50 members. Based on a typical GC specific frequency for spiral galaxies, over a range of luminosity, of $S_N \sim 1$ (see Lomelí-Núñez et al. 2021), around 60 GCs are expected. As well as having an undefined number of GCs, we are unaware of any published high quality spectra for confirmed GCs in this nearby galaxy. Thus the fraction of disk vs halo GCs and whether its halo GCs resemble those of old halo GCs of the Milky Way, or its younger accreted GCs are unknown. The age-metallicity relation (AMR) of NGC 2403’s GC system, which potentially holds important clues on the galaxy’s assembly history, is currently undefined.

Here we obtain Keck Cosmic Web Imager (KCWI) spectra for 9 GC candidates around NGC 2403 finding 7 to be confirmed GCs. Along with one other GC in NGC 2403 observed using HIRES on the Keck telescope, bringing the total to 8, we discuss their stellar population properties and compare them to those of SMC/LMC, M33 and the Milky Way. At a distance of 3.2 Mpc (Davidge 2003), 1" corresponds to 15 pc.

Figure 1. Difference between the $i$ band magnitudes measured on a 30s HSC exposure of NGC 2403 with 3-pixel and 12-pixel apertures, $i_3 - i_{12}$, as a function of the $i$ band PSF magnitude. Point sources occupy the locus at $i_3 - i_{12} \sim 0.35$. More extended objects containing excess flux (relative to the PSF) exhibit larger $i_3 - i_{12}$ values, with those between 0.5 and 0.9 (dashed lines) the best candidates for GCs. We highlight as blue dots the two dozen candidate GCs selected based on all of our criteria, open green squares highlight the six candidate GCs with matches to our HSC catalog, and the orange diamond highlights the new candidate, JC15, that we followed up spectroscopically (see section 2.2).

Figure 2. Gaia parameters “astrometric excess noise” (upper panel) and “BP/RP excess” (lower panel) as a function of Gaia G magnitudes for objects in our HSC imaging. The curves in each panel are the GC candidate selection criteria derived by Hughes et al. (2021) to select Cen A clusters. Symbols are as in Figure 1.
2 GLOBULAR CLUSTER CANDIDATES

Our GC candidates for follow-up spectroscopy have been taken from the literature and supplemented by our own data.

2.1 Literature Candidates

From Battistini et al. (1984) we include their objects C1, C4, F1 and F16 and from Davidge (2007) we include D2 and D6. According to Davidge (2007), several GC candidates are partially resolved in their near-IR imaging. They measured extended sizes for D2, D6, F1, F16 and F46. The candidates C1, C4, F16 and F46 are clearly resolved GCs in archival Hubble Space Telescope Advanced Camera for Surveys (HST/ACS) imaging. We have estimated the effective radius of each of these GCs after subtracting in quadrature the ACS point spread function (based on several stars in the image). Our radii are listed in Table 1, with F46 from Larsen et al. (2021). While we find a similar size for F16 (2.2 vs 2.7 pc), we can rule out F46 having a size of 10.4 pc, as found by Davidge (2007), based on the ACS imaging. For D2 they estimated a small but extended size of 1.8 pc. We also examined the other candidates listed in Battistini et al. (1984) with available HST/ACS imaging but concluded that are unlikely to be GCs.

2.2 New Candidates

We used the characteristics of the candidates from Battistini et al. (1984) and Davidge (2007) to help select additional GC candidates for spectroscopic follow-up. In particular, using SDSS DR7 we searched for slightly resolved objects with r-band Petrosian radius < 2 arcsec, i-band magnitude in the range 17 < i < 19, colour in the range 0.7 < g − i < 1.1 and 2.0 < u − z < 3.5. Two of these candidates were targeted for follow-up spectroscopy, which are named JD1 and JD2.

Table 2. NGC 2403 Globular Cluster Candidate Spectroscopic Observations

| ID   | RA (2000) | Dec (J2000) | RV (km/s) | S/N | Notes   |
|------|-----------|-------------|-----------|-----|---------|
| C1   | 07:36:10.40 | +65:39:35.00 | 72        | 58  | Disk    |
| C4   | 07:36:04.43 | +65:33:59.8  | 158       | 62  | Disk    |
| D2   | 07:37:26.99 | +65:28:20.9  | 16        | 64  | MW Star |
| D6   | 07:35:05.74 | +65:45:27.4  | 165       | 22  | Halo    |
| F1   | 07:35:12.43 | +65:43:15.8  | 210       | 45  | Halo    |
| F16  | 07:38:01.52 | +65:33:06.6  | 165       | 43  | Disk    |
| JD1  | 07:37:52.89 | +65:34:07.6  | 145       | 46  | Halo    |
| JD2  | 07:33:34.58 | +65:26:29.8  | 0         | 12  | MW Star |
| JC15 | 07:36:18.55 | +65:38:33.0  | 96        | 8   | Disk    |
| F46  | 07:36:29.16 | +65:40:33.5  | 140       | –   | Halo    |

Notes: Radial velocity and S/N per Å are measured from our KCWI spectra. The radial velocity of F46 was measured from a HIRES spectrum by L21. Typical velocities uncertainties are on the order of 10 km s⁻¹.

In the case of JD2, it was not selected to be a GC candidate of NGC 2403 as it lies at a projected distance of ~75 kpc (and hence greater in physical distance), but it does lie near the dwarf satellite galaxy DDO 44 and might be associated with it (given its mass, a couple of GCs might be expected).

We selected further candidates from imaging data obtained with Hyper Suprime-Cam (HSC) on the Subaru 8.2m telescope. These data were gathered as part of the Magellanic Analogs Dwarf Companions and Stellar Halos (MADCASH) survey, a deep, wide-area search for dwarf satellites of nearby Magellanic Cloud analogs. In addition to the deep exposures of NGC 2403 first presented in Carlin et al. (2016), short (30s) exposures on each field were also taken to prevent bright GC candidates from saturating. Here we use these g and i band images taken on 2016/2/9, with seeing of 0.89″ and 0.59″, respectively. After combining the g and i band source catalogs, we matched them to Gaia EDR3 (Gaia Collaboration et al. 2016, 2021), selecting the best match within 1″ of each source. We then selected all objects with magnitudes 17 < i < 20.5, colours 0.5 < (g − i) < 1.3, and at least 4″ from the centre of NGC 2403 (to avoid the most crowded regions of the images). We further removed all objects whose Gaia proper motions or parallaxes are measured with > 3σ significance, as GCs at ~ 3 Mpc distances should not have measurable proper motions or parallaxes.

After these initial selection criteria, we explored aperture magnitudes from the HSC data, and quality parameters from Gaia that are known to efficiently select extended objects. Because we have a sample of known NGC 2403 GCs, we can confirm that any selection criteria we implement effectively select known GCs. Figure 1 shows the difference between the HSC i band magnitudes measured in apertures of 3-pixel and 12-pixel radii, which we label i3 and i12, as a function of PSF magnitude. Objects that are very extended (i.e., galaxies) will have a lot of flux beyond their 3-pixel radius, and thus have high values of i3 − i12, while stars should have a small amount of flux beyond a 3-pixel radius, which will be primarily determined by the extendedness of the PSF (and thus roughly the same for all stars). The locus of stars is at i3 − i12 ~ 0.35 in Figure 1. Extended galaxies will be well above this locus, while GCs, which are only slightly extended, will have intermediate flux ratios in this figure.

We note that 3 candidates do not appear in Figure 1. They are: JD1 which is undetected because it lies in a crowded region of the NGC 2403 disk, JD2 which is beyond the HSC field of view and F1 as it does not have reliable measurements from Gaia (it nonetheless appears extended according to its measured i3 − i12).
value). Candidate D2 has flux ratios in this figure consistent with being a point source, and also a large measured proper motion and is thus likely a foreground star.

Figure 2 displays the Gaia parameters “astrometric excess noise” (AEN) and “BP/RP excess”, which have been shown by Hughes et al. (2021) to effectively select objects around Cen A that are slightly more extended than the PSF (i.e., candidate GCs), as a function of Gaia G magnitude. We overlay and adopt the curves from Equations 1 and 2 from Hughes et al. to separate candidate extended objects from point-like sources.

While this selection yields two dozen candidates, upon visual inspection, we found many of these are obvious background galaxies. Additional work is therefore required to create a comprehensive list, over the entire luminosity function and spatially, of the 50 plus GC candidates expected around NGC 2403.

For the best candidate, which we name JC15, we have obtained a spectrum (see below). JC15 lies close to the confirmed GCs D6 and F16 in the selection figures. Most importantly, JC15 shows signs of extendedness in \(i_3 - i_2\), but does not have extremely large flux ratios. It appears round in the i band HSC images, with some hints of semi-resolved faint stars at the outskirts.

2.3 Candidates for Follow-up Spectroscopy

Our final sample of GC candidates for which we obtain spectra are given in Table 1. It lists the g band magnitude and \(g - i\) colour taken from SDSS or HSC imaging. The quoted colours are not corrected for Galactic extinction nor internal extinction within NGC 2403. Based on their projected positions on the galaxy disk, JC15 may experience the most internal extinction (it is the reddest object) and D6 the least. We also include in Table 1 (and subsequent tables) the confirmed GC F46. This Battistini et al. (1984) GC candidate, was subsequently confirmed by Larsen et al. (2021) (hereafter L21) using the HIRES instrument at the Keck Observatory.

Fig. 3 shows an image of NGC 2403 with the locations of our GC candidates indicated. Other than JD2, all of our GC candidates follow a flattened disk structure along the major axis. Given the lack of a bulge in NGC 2403, we expect confirmed GCs to be associated with either the disk or the inner halo. In Fig. A1 we show a montage of SDSS, or if available HST, ‘postage stamp’ images of each GC candidate (including F46 by L21).

3 DATA

Globular cluster candidates were observed using the KCWI imager (Morrissette et al. 2018) on the Keck II telescope over several different nights under programs W140, U216, W024 and N195. In particular, they are D2 (2019/10/29), C4, D6, JD2 (2020/2/16), JC15 (2021/10/4), and F1, F16, JD1, C1 (2022/1/28).

Exposure times ranged from 10 to 40 mins under 1–2" seeing conditions. We used the medium field-of-view and the BH3 grating (with a spectral resolution R = 9000) for C4, D2, D6, JD2. For C1, F1, F16 and JD1 we used the large field-of-view and the BL grating (R = 900). Standard stars were observed in the same setups as the target objects. Some lower S/N spectra were also obtained for some of these candidates but they are not used in this current work.

The data were processed using the standard KCWI data reduction pipeline. We took the non-sky subtracted, standard star calibrated cubes and cropped them to the wavelength range common to all slices to use them for the remainder of our analysis. The globular clusters were sky subtracted by taking an appropriately sized region centred on each cluster and subtracting off an on-chip offset region as ‘sky’. Where multiple exposures were taken on the same object these were mean stacked to produce a single spectrum for each GC. Final integration times for each object were: 1440s for C1, 2400s for C4, 1200s for D02, 1200s for D06, 1200s for F1, 1440s for F16, 1200s for JD1, 900s for JD2 and 900s for JC15.

Table 2 lists the candidate coordinates, signal-to-noise ratio of each spectrum and our measured radial velocity (with a barycentric correction applied) with typical uncertainties of \(\pm 10\) km s\(^{-1}\). All of the measured radial velocities are consistent with those expected from NGC 2403, however they are also within the velocity range of Milky Way stars.

The GC candidates shown in Fig. 3 are colour coded according to their measured radial velocity (RV). The general rotation of the stellar and HI disk from Fraternali et al. (2002) shows that the SE
side of NGC 2403 is receding at 250 km s$^{-1}$ while the NW side is "approaching" us at 10 km s$^{-1}$ (the maximum disk rotation velocity is around 130 km s$^{-1}$). The mean RV of NGC 2403 is 133 km s$^{-1}$. On the basis of measured velocities relative to the galaxy's velocity field we suggest that D6, F1 and JD1 (and F46) are GCs likely associated with the halo rather than the disk of NGC 2403. Given these GCs all lie within a projected distance of 13 kpc they may be more akin to the halo rather than the disk of NGC 2403. Given these GCs all lie within a projected distance of 13 kpc they may be more akin to inner halo GCs as seen in the Milky Way’s GC system. The objects consistent with the general disk rotation are C1, C4, F16 and JC15.

Although the dwarf galaxy DDO 44 reveals a tidal stream due to likely interaction with NGC 2403 (Carlin et al. 2019) it has a recession velocity of 255 km s$^{-1}$ and so JD2 with a velocity of ~0 km s$^{-1}$ is very unlikely to be associated with DDO 44. We conclude that JD2 is a likely foreground star. Candidate D2 has a blue colour, a near zero radial velocity, a similar flux in 3 and 12 pixels in our HSC imaging and Gaia parameters consistent with a Milky Way star. So despite the extended size for D2 given by Davidge (2007), we conclude that it is actually a foreground star.

The status of each GC candidate is summarised in Table 2, with 7 being confirmed GCs and 2 as foreground stars. We will therefore no longer consider these stars further. Fig. 4 shows the KCWI spectra for the final sample of 7 confirmed GCs (and highlights the different wavelength scales used for the observations). The key absorption lines are indicated.

4 STELLAR POPULATION ANALYSIS

To analyse the stellar populations of the 7 GCs, we follow the method outlined in Ferré-Mateu et al. (2021) for compact stellar systems. Briefly, we use the MILES Single Stellar Population (SSP) library (Vazdekis et al. 2010) models with the BaSTI isochrones, considering templates that range from metallicities of [Z/H] = −2.42 to +0.40 dex and that cover ages from 0.03 to 14 Gyr, assuming a universal Kroupa IMF. The SSP models include different alpha element ratios, from solar to super-solar (Vazdekis et al. 2016). The work of Mendel et al. (2007) compared the SSP models of Vazdekis et al. to other SSP models and found good consistency for Milky Way GCs. We run pPXF using multiplicative polynomials and applying a regularization value that ensures that the resulting star formation history is the smoothest possible while maintaining a realistic fit. The best fit SSP model using pPXF (Cappellari 2012) for each GC is overplotted in Fig. 4. For the results, we adopt the means of the mass-weighted stellar population parameters. However, for the [Fe/H] metallicity that is measured directly from the absorption line indices. For the [α/Fe] ratios we employ the Mg$b$, and Fe5015 lines (which are common to all spectra; these same pairs were employed by the SAURON survey of early-type galaxies; Peletier et al. (2007)). We find that only JD1 has a clear super-solar alpha element enhancement and, in this case we employ the corresponding alpha-enhanced, rather than base, MILES models. Otherwise the GCs are consistent with a solar alpha element ratio.

Table 3 presents the mean mass-weighted stellar populations that we measure for the ages and metallicities with uncertainties. Quoted uncertainties are determined using a Monte Carlo technique in which we have varied different ingredients in the pPXF analysis, (e.g. polynomials, degree, regularization, alpha vs. base models) to determine the overall uncertainties. Stellar masses are calculated from $g$-band magnitudes and the resulting mass-to-light ratio from the SSP analysis. We note the very young age of the cluster C1 (400 Myr) and the strong Balmer absorption lines in its spectrum. Our SSP analysis reveals no evidence for a hidden old stellar population for this GC. Although we continue to refer to C1 as a GC, some prefer the ‘young massive cluster’ nomenclature.

For F46, we list the stellar population parameters determined independently by Larsen et al. (2021), i.e. their assumed age and measured [Fe/H] metallicity (they found [α/Fe] = +0.15). Their assumed age, of 13 Gyr, can be considered an upper limit. For the stellar mass we assume the same ratio as JC15 (i.e. $M/L_\gamma \sim 2$) which gives a stellar mass of $1 \times 10^5 M_\odot$ in reasonable agreement with the dynamical mass calculated by L21 of $15 \times 10^5 M_\odot$.

Using the stellar parameters determined from our SSP analysis, we predict $g-i$ colours for our GCs. These predicted colours are compared to the measured colours, corrected for Galactic extinction ($A_G = 0.11$), in Fig. 5. The predicted and Galactic extinction-corrected colours are in reasonable agreement, thus providing further confidence in our stellar population analysis. Correction for internal dust extinction within NGC 2403 for the disk GCs could improve the agreement further.

Before discussing our stellar population results it is worth reviewing the potential biases and caveats in the object selection and data analysis. Both the literature and our own selection of GC candidates are naturally biased away from the inner disk due to the possible confusion with young star clusters and III regions. This might tend to bias our sample against the selection of metal-rich disk GCs.

The stellar masses calculated for our sample of GCs (see Table 3) are all but one above $10^5 M_\odot$. With a mean GC mass of around $2 \times 10^5 M_\odot$ for the Milky Way’s GC system, this indicates that our sample is drawn from the most massive or brightest (for comparison the GC turnover magnitude is $M_\gamma \sim -7.2$) of the GCs in NGC 2403. We note that selecting the more massive GCs from the Milky Way’s system would create a bias towards selecting accreted GCs (Mackey & van den Bergh 2005).

There is the possibility of contamination in our GC spectra by emission lines associated with star formation in the disk of NGC 2403. If present, Hβ emission would tend to fill in the Hβ absorption line resulting in an older inferred age if not corrected for. If contamination from emission lines was present, we would expect to see the [OII] line at 5007 Å – a visual inspection reveals no clear evidence for [OIII]. Furthermore, there is no evidence for emission lines from our pPXF analysis of the entire spectrum. Thus we conclude that our spectra do not suffer from such contamination.

Moreover, the observed GCs may contain blue horizontal branch (BHB) stars. These hot stars can give the impression of a young spectral age while having limited effect on the derived metallicity and alpha elements (Conroy et al. 2018). The study of Mendel et al. (2007) examined the effects of BHB stars on the derived stellar population parameters from integrated spectra and found a limited...
impact when a large spectral range was used. We thus consider that
the ages of those GCs with a shorter baseline should be considered
lower limits, as without higher quality and/or longer baseline spectra
we are unable to rule out the presence of BHB stars.

5 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this work we obtain spectra, radial velocities, stellar population pa-
rameters (age, metallicity and alpha abundances) and stellar masses
for 7 confirmed GCs associated with NGC 2403. One additional GC,
F46, was previously observed using HIRES at the Keck Observatory
by Larsen et al. (2021) and we include it in our subsequent analysis.
They derived a radial velocity (confirming association with NGC
2403), a low metallicity and a mildly enhanced alpha element ratio.
Previoulsly, Battistini et al. (1984) managed to obtain low quality
spectra of 3 GC candidates (C3, C4 and F21). For C3 they noted si-
larities to a A-type spectrum indicating an age of around £1 Gyr.
For the only object in common with this study, C4, they described
the spectrum as being that of a classical GC (which we confirm). For
F21 they concluded it was a background galaxy.

The stellar populations, and possible biases/caveats, for the Keck-
observed GCs are summarised in Section 4 and Table 3. Bearing in
mind that 8 GCs out of a possible GC system of around 50 may not
be representative, we discuss our sample of high-mass disk/halo GCs
in the context of GC systems in other nearby galaxies below.

Our data indicate that the GC system of NGC 2403 was formed
over an extended period of time from early in the Universe until today.
The GCs have near solar alpha-element ratios with one presenting
super-solar enhancement. Compared to the two subpopulations of
the Milky Way’s GC system, the old GCs studied here are all relatively
metal-poor ([Fe/H] £ –1); only the very young cluster C1 is metal-
rich. We note that Davidge (2003) also measured a low metallicity
of [Fe/H] = –2.2 for field stars in the outer halo (projected ~22 kpc
along the minor axis, beyond our most distant GC) of NGC 2403.

This metallicity is comparable to those for the oldest GCs in our
sample.

In Fig. 6 we show the ages and [Fe/H] metallicities for our NGC
2403 GCs, along with those of the Milky Way, the Magellanic Clouds
and M33 (a possible analogue galaxy to NGC 2403). For M33 we
show a confirmed intermediate-aged (2–5 Gyr) GC from (Chandar
et al. 2006) which has a metallicity of [Fe/H] = –0.65±0.16 (Sara-
jeedini et al. 2000). We also show the mean values for a dozen old
M33 GCs (i.e. age = 10.35±0.71 Gyr and [Fe/H] = –1.12±0.09) from
Beasley et al. (2015). We refer the reader to Larsen et al. (2021) for
arguments that some of the Beasley et al. objects may actually be
foreground stars (their exclusion does not significantly change the
mean values). For the Magellanic Clouds we take the reliable ages
and metallicities from the appendix of Horta et al. (2021), i.e. those
with a confidence code of 1. We take ages and metallicities for the
Milky Way GCs from Forbes (2020). In the Milky Way, the in-situ formed
bulge and disk GCs formed over a short time period (1-2 Gyr) at early
times (~ 13 Gyr ago), whereas the younger GCs are all the result of ex-
situ formation and subsequent accretion of their host dwarf galaxy
(Forbes 2020).

Our observed NGC 2403 GCs in Fig. 6 are coded by whether
we have assigned them to the halo or to the disk of NGC 2403 on
the basis of their relative velocities (see Table 2). We find no clear
distinction between disk and halo GCs in terms of their average age or
metallicity. The (disk) GC F46 is shown with an upper age limit of 13
Gyr as assigned by L21. Given that NGC 2043 has little or no bulge
(similar to M33), we do not expect bulge GCs to be present, as they
are in the Milky Way. At a given age, the NGC 2403 GCs observed
in this study have metallicities most similar to those of the SMC,
slightly less than those of M33 and the LMC, and systematically
lower than GCs accreted from dwarf galaxies onto the Milky Way.
In terms of the age distribution, the Magellanic Clouds, M33 and
NGC 2403 all appear to have formed star clusters from early times
(~ 13 Gyr ago) until just a few Gyr ago. We remind the reader of
C3 in NGC 2403, which Battistini et al. (1984) claimed it has an A-
type spectrum indicative of a £1 Gyr old GC. Furthermore massive
(~ 10^5 M_☉), young (~0.1 Gyr) star clusters have been identified by
Larsen & Richtler (1999) to be forming up to the present day in NGC
2403.

To further discuss the age-metallicity distribution of our observed
GCs, we also show in Fig. 6 the age-metallicity relation (AMR) for
a leaky-box chemical enrichment model. The chemical enrichment
models assume non-enriched gas 13.5 Gyr ago and an effective yield
of p (i.e. elements returned to the ISM for subsequent star formation
after accounting for outflows of enriched material), which is related
to stellar metallicity by the equation:

\[ [\text{Fe/H}] = -p \ln \left( \frac{t}{13.5} \right) \]

Such a model provides a good representation for the GCs from dis-
rupted satellites in the Milky Way. Indeed, this aspect of dwarf galaxy
GC systems was used by Kruijssen et al. (2019) and Forbes (2020)
to associate individual Milky Way GCs with disrupted satellites. The
yields for the five largest disrupted satellites, including the Sgr dwarf,
were found to range from 0.22 to 0.35. The model shown in Fig. 6
has p = 0.1 and is very similar to the one derived from the stars in
the SMC (\( M_\star = 0.4 \times 10^5 M_\odot \)) from Leaman et al. (2013).

The steepness of an AMR (i.e. the metallicity at a given age), as
represented by the yield, is primarily driven by the mass of the host
galaxy. Given that the AMR for NGC 2403 appears to be lower than
that of dwarf galaxies accreted onto the Milky Way and perhaps also
the GCs of M33 (which has a similar luminosity to NGC 2403),
other factors could be at play. One factor that may act to lower an AMR is the outflow of enriched material. In a study of nearby spirals and dwarf galaxies, Dalcanton (2007) concluded that “Metal-enriched outflow is therefore the only viable mechanism for producing galaxies with low effective yields.” The presence of an extended ‘HI halo’ around NGC 2403 led Fraternali et al. (2002) to suggest that it may be the signature of a galactic fountain. This outflow from the disk to the halo may contribute to the low effective yield as argued by Dalcanton (2007). Furthermore, there is a step change in the effective yield to lower values at rotation speeds of $V_{\text{rot}} \leq 150$ km s$^{-1}$ (Garnett 2002). NGC 2043, with $V_{\text{rot}} \sim 130$ km s$^{-1}$ falls close to this key transition.

Cosmological simulations predict that galaxies of NGC 2403’s mass have not undergone significant accretion (e.g. in the model of Choksi & Gnedin (2019)) and only in-situ formed GCs are expected. Indeed there are several arguments against NGC 2403 having experienced a massive merger event in the past, which would mean few, if any, accreted GCs. NGC 2403 is relatively isolated and may only be infalling into the low mass M81 group for the first time (there is no evidence of ram pressure stripping). It also reveals a remarkably uniform regularly rotating HI disk (de Blok et al. 2008). Its old stellar disk has a uniform metallicity of [Fe/H] $\sim -1$ (consistent with our most metal-rich GCs), indicating that it is well-mixed, and has an unbroken surface brightness profile (Williams et al. 2013). This suggests a relatively undisturbed galaxy despite its probable interaction with the dwarf satellite DDO 44 (Carlin et al. 2021). Davidge (2003) found evidence for AGB stars (ages $\leq 1$ Gyr) beyond the disk of NGC 2403 and argued that they formed in-situ and not from accretion. We also note that F46 does not have the anomalous chemical signature indicative of an accreted GC (Larsen et al. 2021). While we cannot rule out accreted GCs, our sample of GCs is likely formed, over many Gyr, from in-situ gas.

6 CONCLUSIONS

In this work we studied a sample of relatively high mass globular clusters (GCs) associated with the low mass, bulgeless galaxy NGC 2403. Based on GC candidates in the literature, and new candidates selected from SDSS and our Hyper Suprime-Cam imaging, we obtained spectra of nine candidates using the KCWI instrument at the Keck Observatory. We confirm seven of them to be GCs associated with NGC 2403, with the remaining two likely to be foreground stars.
Our results are supplemented with one additional GC observed using HIRES, also from Keck, by Larsen et al. (2021).

By comparing the radial velocities of the eight confirmed GCs with the overall rotation of the HI disk, we assign four GCs to the disk and four to the halo. Single stellar population model fits indicate that the GCs were formed over many Gyr (with the caveat that the presence blue horizontal branch stars can make GCs appear much younger than they are). We find most to be consistent with solar alpha element ratio. However, the old GCs are all found to be metal-poor, i.e. [Fe/H] \leq -1. The one young, massive cluster we obtain a spectrum for is more metal-rich. Cosmological simulations predict that few, if any, accreted GCs will be found in galaxies of the mass of NGC 2403. Based on the lack of disturbance in the stellar and HI disk, and its relative isolation, both the disk and halo GCs of NGC 2403 likely formed over an extended period from in-situ gas.

Our NGC 2403 GCs are systematically lower in metallicity at a given age compared to the Milky Way’s GC system and perhaps also to the GCs in M33. However, they exhibit a similar age-metallicity relation to the GCs of the Small Magellanic Cloud. The age-metallicity relation of the NGC 2403 GCs can be approximated by a leaky-box chemical enrichment model with a similar effective yield than that inferred for the SMC stars. Outflows of enriched material may explain the relatively low yield inferred for NGC 2403’s GC system.
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APPENDIX A: GLOBULAR CLUSTER CANDIDATE IMAGING

Images, from HST or SDSS, of our globular cluster candidates studied in this work, along with the confirmed GC (F46) of Larsen et al. (2021). We find two candidates (D2 and JD2) to be foreground stars.
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Figure A1. A montage of the globular cluster candidates studied in this work taken from SDSS or, if available, from HST imaging. Globular cluster IDs are labelled. Each image postage stamp covers a field of view of 25.6" × 25.6". At 3.2 Mpc, 1" = 15 pc. We conclude that D2 and JD2 are foreground stars (see text for details).