Flavoured leptogenesis: a successful thermal leptogenesis with $N_1$ mass below $10^8$ GeV
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Abstract. We prove that taking correctly into account the lepton flavour dependence of the $CP$ asymmetries and washout processes, it is possible to obtain successful thermal leptogenesis from the decays of the second right-handed neutrino. The asymmetries in the muon and tau-flavour channels are then not erased by the inverse decays of the lightest right-handed neutrino, $N_1$. In this way, we reopen the possibility of “thermal leptogenesis” in models with a strong hierarchy in the right-handed Majorana masses that is typically the case in models with up-quark–neutrino Yukawa unification.

1. Introduction
The observed baryon asymmetry of the universe as measured by the WMAP experiment [1] is,

$$Y_B^{\text{obs}} = \frac{n_B - n_{\bar{B}}}{s} = (8.7 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-11}. \quad (1)$$

This asymmetry can be produced from an initially baryon symmetric universe provided the three Sakharov conditions [2] are met: $B$ (or $L$) violation, $C$ and $CP$ violation and departure of thermal equilibrium. The measure of nonvanishing neutrino masses and mixings and the use of the seesaw mechanism to explain the smallness of these masses has made leptogenesis [3] one of the most promising mechanisms to generate the baryon asymmetry of the universe. The seesaw mechanism requires the presence of heavy right-handed Majorana ($L$–violating) neutrinos with complex Yukawa couplings that can generate a lepton asymmetry through their out-of-equilibrium decays. This “lepton” asymmetry is then transformed into baryon asymmetry by the standard model sphaleron processes violating $(B + L)$ [4].

In the so-called “thermal leptogenesis” mechanism, right-handed neutrinos are thermally produced after inflation through scatterings and inverse decays in the thermal plasma. In fact, it is usually assumed that the lepton asymmetry is generated by the out-of-equilibrium decays of the lightest right-handed neutrino, $N_1$ when the temperature gets below the neutrino mass and the right-handed neutrinos can not follow the rapidly changing equilibrium distribution. The asymmetry generated by the two heavier right-handed neutrinos, $N_2$ and $N_3$ that we take as hierarchically heavier than $N_1$, is usually assumed to be either negligible or efficiently washed-out by the $N_1$ inverse decays [5]. In these conditions only $N_1$ generates a baryon asymmetry. The final value of the baryon asymmetry normalized to the entropy density, $Y_B$, is then related...
to the $CP$ asymmetry, $\varepsilon_1$, in the decays of the lightest right-handed neutrino as

$$Y_B = \frac{8}{23} \frac{n_{N_1}}{s} \chi_1 \varepsilon_1 \simeq 3 \times 10^{-4} \chi_1 \varepsilon_1,$$

(2)

where the factor $8/23$ is the fraction of the $B-L$ asymmetry converted into baryon asymmetry by sphalerons. $n_{N_1}/s$ is the number density of right-handed neutrinos normalized to the entropy density that in equilibrium is approximately equal to $0.2/g_*$ with $g_* \simeq 230$ the number of propagating states in the supersymmetric plasma. Finally $\chi_1$ is the efficiency factor describing the fraction of the $CP$ asymmetry that survives the washout by inverse decays and scattering processes. Now, for hierarchical right-handed neutrinos, it is possible to relate $\varepsilon_1$ to the mass of the lightest right-handed neutrino, the observed neutrino masses, and an effective leptogenesis phase as

$$\varepsilon_1 = \frac{3}{8\pi} \frac{M_1}{v_2} (m_3 - m_1) \sin \delta_L \leq \frac{3}{8\pi} \frac{M_1}{v_2} m_{\text{atm}} \simeq 2 \times 10^{-6} \left( \frac{M_1}{10^{10} \text{ GeV}} \right) \left( \frac{m_{\text{atm}}}{0.05 \text{ eV}} \right),$$

(3)

where the maximum value for this asymmetry corresponds to fully hierarchical neutrinos with $m_3 = m_{\text{atm}} \simeq 0.05 \text{ eV}$, $m_1 \simeq 0$ and a maximal phase $\delta_L$.

The baryon asymmetry is precisely measured from the cosmic microwave background as $Y_B^{\text{CMB}} = 0.9 \times 10^{-10}$ [1]. Thus, using Eqs. (2) and (3) with $\chi_1 = 1$ we obtain a lower bound on the mass of $N_1$ [6]:

$$M_1 \geq M_1^{\text{min}} = 1.5 \times 10^9 \text{ GeV} \left( \frac{\eta_B^{\text{CMB}}}{9 \times 10^{-11}} \right) \left( \frac{0.05 \text{ eV}}{m_{\text{atm}}} \right).$$

(4)

However this lower bound represents a serious problem for many flavour models, specially those incorporating some grand unification symmetry. In these models we usually expect that neutrino Yukawas are closely related to the up-quark Yukawa matrices. As show in the appendix of [7] (see also Ref. [8]) and explained below, in these models it is possible to obtain the mass of the lightest right-handed neutrino as

$$|M_1| \simeq \frac{g_1 v_2^2}{W_{1k}^2 m_{\nu_k}} \simeq \frac{m_{\nu_u}^2}{W_{11}^2 m_1 + W_{12}^2 m_{\text{sol}} + W_{13}^2 m_{\text{atm}}},$$

(5)

with $W_{ij}$ the rotation from the basis of diagonal $\nu_L$ masses to the basis where the (left-handed) neutrino Yukawa matrix $Y_{\nu} Y_{\nu}^\dagger$ is diagonal, $m_{\nu_k}$ the left-handed neutrino masses that we take as hierarchical and we have approximated $y_1 v_2 \simeq m_u$. From this expression it is straightforward to see that we can only obtain $M_1 \geq 10^9 \text{ GeV}$ if

$$\frac{m_{\nu_u}^2}{10^9 \text{ GeV}} = 4 \times 10^{-6} \text{ eV} \left( \frac{m_{\nu_u}^2}{(2 \text{ MeV})^2} \right) \simeq \left| W_{11}^2 m_1 + W_{12}^2 m_{\text{sol}} + W_{13}^2 m_{\text{atm}} \right|.$$  

(6)

This implies that, barring accidental cancellations (or some specific textures as in [9]), having a large enough $N_1$ is only possible if we have simultaneously $m_1 \lesssim 4 \times 10^{-6} \text{ eV}$, $W_{12}^2 \lesssim 5 \times 10^{-4}$ (for $m_{\text{sol}} \simeq 0.008 \text{ eV}$) and $W_{13}^2 \lesssim 8 \times 10^{-5}$ (for $m_{\text{atm}} \simeq 0.05 \text{ eV}$). These conditions are usually not satisfied in most flavour models where the typical values for the lightest right-handed neutrino mass are close to $10^6$–$10^7 \text{ GeV}$ with $W_{12}$ of the order of mixing angle in solar neutrinos [10–12]. Therefore, apparently, these models can not produce a sufficient baryon asymmetry through the thermal leptogenesis mechanism.
2. Flavoured leptogenesis

It is evident that the strong hiearchy in the right-handed neutrino masses represents a very serious problem for all these flavour models, that are forced to abandon thermal leptogenesis and use other mechanisms to produce the observed baryon asymmetry [13]. In this talk we present a solution to this problem. We show that when the flavour dependence of the lepton asymmetries and the erasure processes are correctly taken into account, it is still possible to generate a large enough baryon asymmetry in the decays of the second right-handed neutrino $N_2$ that then survives the washout due to the inverse decays of $N_1$. The basic idea behind this statement is that the asymmetries generated by $N_2$ in the different flavour channels are washed out by different $N_1$ Yukawa interactions. In fact, the decays of the second right-handed neutrino $N_2$ create different asymmetries in the $\tau$, $\mu$ and $e$ channels. As we will show these asymmetries do not mix through the thermal interactions with the plasma before the $N_1$ inverse decays become effective. Therefore, it is evident that the different flavour channels will only be erased by the corresponding $N_1 \rightarrow HL_i$ interaction and not by the sum over all lepton flavours as usually done [5, 7, 14–17]. Taking into account these effects, we prove here that in models with $Y_\nu \simeq Y_\tau$, the lepton asymmetry in the $\tau$ and $\mu$ channels is only mildly erased by $N_1$ decays. Some of these ideas were already present in the literature. For instance, the possibility of generating the asymmetry in $N_2$ decays was previously discussed by P. di Bari in the one flavour approximation [14]; an early discussion of flavour effects in leptogenesis, mainly in $N_1$ decays, can be found in [18], and flavour effects in the context of low scale leptogenesis models were recently studied in [19]. In this talk we show that combining these elements it is possible to obtain successful leptogenesis in realistic models of fermion masses with up-quark–neutrino Yukawa unification. Therefore, we reopen the possibility of “thermal leptogenesis” in these models.

In the following we give a general proof of this statement. Although this mechanism is equally valid in supersymmetric and non-supersymmetric models we only discuss the non-supersymmetric example. The supersymmetric case is completely analogous [20].

The general expression for leptonic Yukawa couplings and Majorana masses in a seesaw Standard Model is, $L_{Yuk} = L^T Y^e e_R^T H_1 + L^T Y^\nu \nu_R^T H_2 - \frac{1}{2} \nu_R^T M \nu_R$, with $L_i, e_R, \nu_R$, $(i = e, \mu, \tau)$ the three generations of leptons and $Y^e, Y^\nu$ and $M$, $3 \times 3$ matrices. In the basis of diagonal $Y^e$ and $M$, the neutrino Yukawa matrix is $Y^\nu = V_L^\dagger D_{Y_\nu} \cdot V_R$, where $D_{Y_\nu}$ is a diagonal mass of neutrino Yukawa couplings, that in the class of models discussed here is $D_{Y_\nu} = \text{diag}(y_1, y_2, y_3) \simeq \text{diag}(m_u/v_2, m_c/v_2, m_t/v_2)$. The effective Majorana mass for the left-handed neutrinos is obtained through the seesaw mechanism as

$$m_\nu = v_2^2 Y^\nu \cdot M^{-1} \cdot Y^{\nu T} = U \cdot D_{m_\nu} \cdot U^T,$$

where the mixing matrix $U$ is the Pontecorvo-Maki-Nakagawa-Sakata (PMNS) matrix that is sufficiently known from neutrino experiments, and $D_{m_\nu} = \text{diag}(m_1, m_2, m_3)$ the three neutrino masses. At the moment, we know only two mass differences, $\Delta m^2_{\text{atm}} \simeq 2.7 \times 10^{-3}$ eV$^2$ and $\Delta m^2_{\text{sol}} \simeq 7.0 \times 10^{-5}$ eV$^2$. Although in the following we assume hierarchical spectrum with normal hierarchy, other cases can be easily treated in the same way.

Now we define the hermitian matrix $\Lambda \equiv \frac{1}{v_2^2 y_1^4} M^{-1} M^{-1}$ [7] and, in the basis of diagonal $Y^\nu Y^{\nu}$, from Eq. (7) we have

$$\frac{\Lambda}{v_2^2 y_1^4} = D_{Y_\nu}^{-1} V_L^\dagger m^T \nu_1 V_L D_{Y_\nu}^{-2} V_L m_\nu V_L^T D_{Y_\nu}^{-1} \equiv D_{Y_\nu}^{-1} \Delta^\dagger D_{Y_\nu}^{-2} \Delta D_{Y_\nu}^{-1},$$

with $\Delta = V_L m_\nu V_L^T \equiv W D_{m_\nu} W^T$. From here the matrix $\Lambda$ can be written as

$$\Lambda_{ij} = \left( \bar{\chi}_i \right) \cdot \left( \bar{\chi}_j \right) \equiv \sum_k \left( \bar{\lambda}_{ik}^* \right) \left( \bar{\lambda}_{jk} \right) \kappa, \quad \bar{\chi}_i = \frac{y_1}{y_2} \left( \begin{array}{c} \Delta_{11} y_1 \\ \Delta_{21} y_2 \\ \Delta_{31} y_3 \end{array} \right).$$
Given the strong hierarchy in the neutrino-Yukawa eigenvalues (similar to the hierarchy in the up-quark masses) we have in practice always that $|\Delta_1| > |\Delta_2| > |\Delta_3|$. For instance, to change this hierarchy in the simplified case where we take $W_{13} \simeq 0$ and $y_1/y_3 \simeq 0$ would require that $|\Delta_{11}| > |\Delta_{22}| y_1^2 / y_3^2$. In these conditions it is very easy to understand that the largest eigenvalue of the matrix $\Lambda$, corresponding to $v_2^2 y_1^2 / |M_1|^2$, will be given in very good approximation by the $(1,1)$ element of this matrix. Therefore the lightest right-handed Majorana neutrino mass is given by:

$$ |M_1| \simeq \frac{y_1^2 v_2^2}{|\Delta_1|} \simeq \frac{y_1^2 v_2^2}{|\Delta_{11}|} = \frac{m_1^2}{|W_{1k}^2 m_{1k}|}. \quad (10) $$

From this expression we can estimate the mass of the lightest right-handed neutrino. If the observed neutrino mixings are not present in the neutrino-Yukawa matrices and come mainly from the seesaw mechanism itself we have that $V_L \simeq \mathbb{I}$ and $W \simeq U_{\text{PMNS}}$. Then we have that $|M_1| \simeq \frac{1}{2} m_2^2 / m_{\text{sol}} \simeq 1 \times 10^5$ GeV. Only in the case $W \simeq \mathbb{I}$ we can have $|M_1| \simeq m_2^2 / m_1 = 10^8 \left( 4 \times 10^{-5} \text{eV} / m_1 \right)$ GeV. Therefore, typically $N_1$ is too light to generate a sizeable baryon asymmetry. In the same way the associated eigenvector to this eigenvalue is given by $\lambda_1 \simeq \lambda_1 / \Delta_{11}$. Using this eigenvector we can also obtain the Yukawa couplings of $N_1$ in the basis of diagonal $Y^\nu$ and $M$ as

$$ (Y^\nu)_i = (V^\dagger_i \cdot D_{Y^\nu} (\lambda_1))_i = \frac{y_1}{\Delta_{11}} \left( \frac{\Delta_{11}}{\Delta_{21}} \right) \left( \frac{\Delta_{21}}{\Delta_{31}} \right) \left( \frac{\Delta_{31}}{\Delta_{11}} \right) \left( \frac{U_{1k}}{M_1} \right) \left( \frac{U_{2k}}{M_2} \right) \left( \frac{U_{3k}}{M_3} \right), \quad (11) $$

where we used that $\sum_i (V^i_i)^2 \Delta_{1i} = \sum_k U_{jk} m_{1k} W_{1k}$. At this point we can already discuss the behaviour of a lepton asymmetry created by the out-of-equilibrium decays of the next-to-lightest right-handed neutrino $N_2$. The asymmetry in the different flavour channels ($a = e, \mu, \tau$), assuming $M_1 \ll M_2 \ll M_3$, is given by [14]

$$ \epsilon_2^a = \frac{1}{8\pi} \left( \frac{3}{2} \right) \left( \frac{M_2}{M_3} \right) \text{Im} \left( Y_{a2}^* Y_{a3} Y_{k2}^* Y_{k3} \right) + \left( \frac{M_1}{M_2} \right) \text{Im} \left( Y_{a2}^* Y_{a1} Y_{k2}^* Y_{k1} \right). \quad (12) $$

Owing to the hierarchy in the neutrino Yukawa matrices and the right-handed Majorana neutrinos, the first term in Eq. (12) usually dominates and therefore we take it to estimate the size of the asymmetry. Now we have that, $1/\epsilon_2^a \left( M_{12} \right) Y_{a2} \left( M_{13} \right) Y_{a3} \left( M_{23} \right) Y_{k2} \left( M_{k3} \right)$, we have that $1/\epsilon_2^a \left( M_{12} \right) Y_{a2} \left( M_{13} \right) Y_{a3} \left( M_{23} \right) Y_{k2} \left( M_{k3} \right)$, therefore, the numerator in $\epsilon_2^a$ includes the $M_3$ contribution to the $(\nu_{1a})_{ak}$ element. To maximize the asymmetry we assume this element to be of order $m_{1a} = m_{\text{atm}}$ and define the corresponding $CP$-violating phase as $\delta_\nu$, then we have

$$ \epsilon_2^a \simeq \frac{3}{8\pi} \left( \frac{M_2}{M_3} \right) \left( \frac{M_1}{M_2} \right) \frac{Y_{a2}}{Y_{a3}} \delta_\nu, \quad (13) $$

where we use that the hierarchy in the Yukawa elements is such that $Y_{32} > Y_{22}, Y_{11}$. It is clear that asymmetry in the $\tau$ channel can be as large as $\epsilon_2^\tau = 3 \times 10^{-6} (M_2 / 10^{10} \text{GeV})$. In the muon and electron channels the asymmetry will be further suppressed by $|Y_{22}| / |Y_{32}|$ and $|Y_{12}| / |Y_{32}|$ respectively, and thus we can expect a smaller asymmetry specially in the electron channel $^1$.

These asymmetries are partially converted, through sphaleron processes, to a $\Delta_a = \frac{1}{3} B - L_a$ asymmetry and, in part, washed away by $N_2$ inverse decays. The final lepton asymmetry after the decay of $N_2$ will be given by

$$ \eta_{\Delta_a} \simeq \frac{n_{\Delta_a}}{s} \epsilon_2^a \frac{\theta_
u^{a}}{2} \quad (14) $$

$^1$ In many flavour models $|Y_{22}| \simeq |Y_{32}|$ to explain the relation between $m_{\nu} / m_\beta$ and the CKM element $V_{ab}$, although this is not necessary in the up sector [21].
with $n_{N_2}/s$ the number density of $N_2$ normalized to the entropy density. The efficiency factor, $\chi_2^0$, is the fraction of the produced asymmetry that survives after the end of $N_2$ decays and inverse decays and it is approximately given by

$$\chi_2^0 \simeq \frac{\Gamma(N_2 \rightarrow l_s H)}{H|_{T=\Delta_2}} \simeq \frac{\tilde{m}_{}^2}{m_s^6},$$

with $m_{}^2 \equiv \frac{v^2}{2} Y_{e2}Y_{\nu2}^*/M_2$ [15] and $m_s$ is the equilibrium mass equal to $\simeq 1 \times 10^{-3}$ eV in the SM [15]. The final values of this efficiency factor are model dependent and therefore we keep this factor as a parameter in the following.

At temperatures slightly below $M_2$ we have three different asymmetries in the $\Delta_\tau$, $\Delta_\mu$ and $\Delta_\nu$ channels. These asymmetries remain basically unchanged from $T \sim M_2$ to $T \sim M_1$ and, in particular, the different flavours do not mix. In fact, at this temperature, both $N_2$ and $N_3$ right-handed neutrinos have already decayed. Therefore the only possible Yukawa interactions in the plasma are those with the right-handed charged leptons and with $N_1$. Taking into account that the $Y_{\nu3}$ Yukawa interactions are in thermal equilibrium at temperatures below $\simeq 10^{14}$ GeV, it is more convenient to use the basis of diagonal charged lepton Yukawas to include these interactions in the thermal masses. Thus, only the Yukawa interactions with $N_1$ can change flavour, although $N_1$ are very rare in the plasma up to temperatures of the order of $M_1$ when they reach the equilibrium density [16, 17]. Therefore, we have to discuss the washout of the different asymmetries by the $N_1$ decays and inverse decays. First, it is easy to see that this washout will be exponential and not linear if the $N_1$ are thermally produced at temperatures close to $M_1$. From Ref. [16] and taking $\varepsilon \simeq 0$, the Boltzmann equation for this case would be

$$\frac{\partial \Delta_a}{\partial z} = -\frac{1}{4} v_0^2 e^{-z} \sqrt{1 + \frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{\tilde{m}_{}^2}{m_s} A_{ab} \bar{\eta}_{\Delta_b},$$

with \(\tilde{m}_{}^2 \equiv \frac{v^2}{2} Y_{a1}Y_{\nu1}^*/M_1\) takes into account that a given asymmetry $\Delta_a$ can only be erased by the corresponding lepton flavour, $z = M_1/T$ and $m_s \simeq 1 \times 10^{-3}$ eV\(^4\). Now we can use Eqs. (10) and (11) and we see that

$$\tilde{m}_{}^2 = \left| \sum_k U_{1k} m_{\nu k} W_{1k}^2 / |\Delta_{11}| \right| \simeq |m_2 W_{12}/\sqrt{2} + m_1 W_{11}/\sqrt{2}|^2 / |\Delta_{11}|$$

$$\tilde{m}_1^\nu = \left| \sum_k U_{2k} m_{\nu k} W_{1k}^2 / |\Delta_{11}| \right| \simeq | -m_2 W_{12}/2 + m_1 W_{11}/2 + m_3 W_{13}/\sqrt{2}|^2 / |\Delta_{11}|$$

$$\tilde{m}_1^\tau = \left| \sum_k U_{3k} m_{\nu k} W_{1k}^2 / |\Delta_{11}| \right| \simeq |m_2 W_{12}/2 - m_1 W_{11}/2 + m_3 W_{13}/\sqrt{2}|^2 / |\Delta_{11}|,$$

where $m_3 = m_{\text{atm}}$ and $m_3 = m_{\text{sol}}$ and we have used bimaximal mixings in the PMNS matrix to make an estimate. The final values of $\tilde{m}_{}^2$ depend on the matrix $W$. In case the the mixings in the neutrino Yukawa matrix are small, we have that $W \simeq U$ and so

$$\tilde{m}_{}^2 \simeq \frac{m_2}{2} + \frac{m_1}{2} \simeq m_{\text{sol}}^2 / 2.$$
\[ \tilde{m}^\mu_1 \simeq \left| \frac{m_2}{2\sqrt{2}} + \frac{m_1}{2\sqrt{2}} \right|^2 \simeq \frac{m_{\text{sol}}}{4}, \]
\[ \tilde{m}^\tau_1 \simeq \left| \frac{m_2}{2\sqrt{2}} + \frac{m_1}{2\sqrt{2}} \right|^2 \simeq \frac{m_{\text{sol}}}{4}. \]

Using these values in the case \( \eta^\mu_{\Delta_e} \simeq \eta^\mu_{\Delta_\mu} \gg \eta^\mu_{\Delta_e} \), Eq. (16) can be easily integrated (taking \( \eta_{\Delta_e}(z) \simeq \eta_{\Delta_\mu}(z), \eta_{\Delta_\tau}(z) \simeq 0 \) and \( A_{\tau\tau} + A_{\tau\mu} = 0.63 \)) and we obtain
\[ \eta^\mu_{\Delta_{\mu,\tau}} = \eta^\mu_{\Delta_{\mu,\tau}} e^{-0.75 \tilde{m}^\mu_{\tau}/m_*}. \]  

If now we take the central value from the solar mass difference as \( m_{\text{sol}} = 0.008 \text{ eV} \), we have that the muon and tau asymmetries are only erased by a factor \( \exp(-1.5) \simeq 0.22 \) and hence they can survive the washout from \( N_1 \) decays. This should be compared with the washout that we would obtain in the single flavour approximation, that would be \( \simeq e^{-0.75} \sum \tilde{m}^\mu_i/m_* = e^{-0.75} m_{\text{sol}}/m_* = 2.4 \times 10^{-3} \). Now the final asymmetry in the \( \tau \) channel would be
\[ \eta^\mu_{\Delta_{\tau}} \simeq 0.001 \chi^\tau_2 \frac{3}{8\pi} \frac{M_2}{v^2} \frac{m_3}{m_2} \delta_{\tau} e^{-0.75 m_{\text{sol}}/(4m_*)} \lesssim 6.5 \times 10^{-10} (M_2/10^{10} \text{ GeV}) \chi^\tau_2, \]
\[ \eta_B = \frac{28}{79} (\eta^\mu_\Delta + \eta^\mu_{\Delta_\mu}) \simeq \frac{56}{79} \eta^\mu_{\Delta_e}, \]

where we have used that \( \eta_{\Delta_e} \simeq \eta_{\Delta_\mu} \) and \( \eta_{\Delta_\tau} \simeq 0 \). Thus in this case, it could be possible to generate a sufficient baryon asymmetry from the \( \mu \) and \( \tau \) channels even for efficiency factors \( \chi^\mu_2 \) and \( \chi^\tau_2 \) of order 0.15 and \( M_2 \simeq 10^{10} \text{ GeV} \). For smaller efficiency factors we could still have successful leptogenesis with a somewhat heavier \( M_2 \). This situation is typically found for instance in models with non-Abelian flavour symmetries. In this talk we have only presented a simple estimate to show that this mechanism can generate a large enough baryon asymmetry. A full computation in a model with an \( SU(3) \) flavour symmetry and spontaneous \( CP \) violation is now in progress [10, 12, 22].

From Eq. (17) and taking into account that \( \Delta_{11} = \sum_k W^2_{1k} m_{\nu_k} \), we can see the washout by \( N_1 \) can only be stronger than the result obtained in Eq. (18) if the contribution from the atmospheric neutrino mass is sizable (assuming that \( m_1 \ll m_{\text{sol}} \)). This requires basically that \( W_{13} m_3 \simeq W_{12} m_2 \). Clearly this possibility can not be excluded, but taking into account that \( m_2/m_3 \simeq 1/6 \), \( W = V_{14} \cdot U \) and that \( U_{12} \simeq 1/\sqrt{2} \) and \( U_{13} \leq 0.2 \) it requires large left-handed mixings in the neutrino Yukawa matrix. This could be possible in models based in Abelian flavour symmetries or discrete symmetries, although it is clear the final result is highly model dependent and the different models must be studied in detail. Recently a complete quantitative analysis of this possibility solving the full Boltzmann equations in \( SO(10) \)-like models has been performed [23]. In Figure 1 we present the regions of parameter space where the asymmetry generated by \( N_1 \) survived the \( N_2 \)-washout and is able to reproduce correctly the observed baryon asymmetry. We can see that successful leptogenesis may be achieved for \( M_2 \geq 10^{10.5} \text{ GeV} \) and \( m_1 \geq 10^{-3} \text{ eV} \). Therefore flavoured leptogenesis in the decays of the next-to-lightest right-handed neutrino can reproduce the observed baryon asymmetry.

3. Conclusions
We have shown that taking correctly into account the lepton flavour dependence of the \( CP \) asymmetries and washout processes, it is possible to obtain successful thermal leptogenesis from the decays of the second right-handed neutrino. The asymmetries in the muon and tau-flavour channels are then not erased by the inverse decays of the lightest right-handed neutrino, \( N_1 \). Therefore “thermal leptogenesis” is still viable in models with a strong hierarchy in the right-handed Majorana masses that is typically the case in models with up-quark–neutrino Yukawa unification.
Figure 1. Values of $d_e$ in the $M_0-M_{1/2}$ plane for $\tan \beta = 10, 30$ and $A_0 = 0$. The hatched region corresponds to the reach of the future MEG experiment on $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ in the same model.
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