DNA assembly of nanoparticle superstructures for controlled biological delivery and elimination
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The assembly of nanomaterials using DNA can produce complex nanostructures, but the biological applications of these structures remain unexplored. Here, we describe the use of DNA to control the biological delivery and elimination of inorganic nanoparticles by organizing them into colloidal superstructures. The individual nanoparticles serve as building blocks, whose size, surface chemistry and assembly architecture dictate the overall superstructure design. These superstructures interact with cells and tissues as a function of their design, but subsequently degrade into building blocks that can escape biological sequestration. We demonstrate that this strategy reduces nanoparticle retention by macrophages and improves their in vivo tumour accumulation and whole-body elimination. Superstructures can be further functionalized to carry and protect imaging or therapeutic agents against enzymatic degradation. These results suggest a different strategy to engineer nanostructure interactions with biological systems and highlight new directions in the design of biodegradable and multifunctional nanomedicine.

Inorganic nanoparticles can be synthesized in the 1–100 nm size range with precise shapes, surface chemistries and physical properties. This engineering flexibility has enabled the design of novel therapeutics, contrast agents, and integrated systems for the diagnosis and treatment of diseases. To deliver these nanoparticles to their biological targets with low toxicity, recent studies have focused on understanding the effects of nanoparticle physicochemical properties (for example, size, shape and surface chemistry) on interactions with cells and tissues. Although several formulations have been shown to effectively target diseased tissues (for example, tumours), these designs diverge from those required for mitigating toxicity. Tumour-targeting nanoparticles are required to have sufficiently large sizes to reduce clearance and improve retention within tumours, yet such inorganic nanoparticles will remain in the body for a long time because they do not biodegrade. This in vivo persistence has raised concerns about chronic toxicity due to the possibility that inorganic nanoparticles may aggregate, generate harmful metabolites and redistribute to vital organs within the body. Few studies have demonstrated how the physicochemical properties of inorganic nanoparticles can be engineered to mediate both delivery and elimination. This design bottleneck will stall the clinical translation of these nanotechnologies. Here, we explore the use of DNA to organize sub-6 nm inorganic nanoparticles (a size that can be eliminated through the kidneys) into larger superstructures to mediate their biological delivery and elimination. This strategy combines the engineering flexibility of inorganic nanoparticles with the biodegradability of organic molecules, which should open new avenues to rationally engineer the interactions of inorganic nanoparticles with complex biological systems.

Assembly of nanoparticle superstructures using DNA

Figure 1a illustrates the principles of using DNA–nanoparticle assembly to engineer colloidal superstructures with different physicochemical properties. First, we used metal–thiol or streptavidin–biotin chemistry to functionalize inorganic nanoparticles with single-stranded DNA. We then mixed DNA-functionalized nanoparticles together with linker DNA strands containing complementary sequences to initiate their assembly into colloidal superstructures. The architecture of the assembled superstructure was controlled by using both nanoparticle geometry and DNA grafting density, where the latter determines the number of connections each nanoparticle makes with other building blocks. Finally, the outer surface of the resulting superstructure was coated with additional ligands to present the appropriate surface chemistries for interfacing with biological systems. This was achieved by assembling nanoparticles with low DNA grafting densities on the outer layer of the superstructure, so that their unsaturated surfaces provide binding sites for ligand attachment.

In this study, we used a ‘core–satellite’ architecture to build DNA-assembled superstructures where one or multiple layers of satellite nanoparticles surround a central core nanoparticle (Fig. 1b). Each layer of the core–satellite was encoded by a unique DNA sequence, so that nanoparticles grafted with the specific DNA sequence inserted into the corresponding layer. A linker DNA containing complementary regions to every layer joined the nanoparticles together. Each layer of nanoparticles could be designed with a different composition, size or surface chemistry (Fig. 1b). This modularity allowed us to construct superstructures with controlled dimensions and multiple functionalities from relatively simple building blocks. The permutations among the nanoparticle designs and DNA sequences can also quickly generate superstructures with distinct physicochemical properties. Figure 1c shows the use of two unique nanoparticle building blocks and two DNA sequences (for example, two layers) to give $2^2 = 4$ unique core–satellite superstructures. The total number of unique superstructures increases exponentially with increasing number of core–satellite layers and nanoparticle designs. For example, the combination of 10 nanoparticle designs in a three-layer (for example, three DNA sequences) core–satellite would give $3^{10} = 59,049$
The use of \( n \)-layer core–satellites with \( m \) nanoparticle designs gives \( n^m \) unique superstructures, each of which may interact differently with cells and tissues. This diversity of superstructure candidates will allow us to identify designs with high biological stability, low non-specific biological interactions, and favourable pharmacokinetics for disease targeting.

Based on these principles, we generated a sublibrary of colloidal superstructures with different hydrodynamic sizes and surface chemistries to study the impact of their design on molecular and cellular interactions. Figure 2a–c shows the simplest two-layer core–satellite structures synthesized for these experiments. We first synthesized 13 nm gold nanoparticles and used them as the core by grafting them with thiolated core oligonucleotides at a density of \( \sim 0.12 \) DNA per nm\(^2\). This density corresponded to a valency of 80–90 DNA strands per particle, allowing them to make a large number of connections with the satellites. DNA grafting density was controlled by varying the DNA-to-nanoparticle grafting stoichiometry and quantified by using a fluorescence
depletion assay (Supplementary Fig. 1). We then synthesized 3 nm and 5 nm gold nanoparticles as the satellites by coating them with the satellite oligonucleotide sequence at a density of \( \approx 0.05 \) DNA per nm\(^2\). This density corresponded to 2–3 DNA strands per particle, which was sufficient to stabilize the satellites against aggregation, but minimized their probability of crosslinking superstructures into macroscopic aggregates. We note that this low DNA coverage also left the rest of the satellite nanoparticle surface available for further ligand conjugation. A linker DNA containing complementary regions to both the core and satellite sequences was used to join these nanoparticles together. To assemble core–satellites, we first annealed a stoichiometric amount of linker DNAs with the core nanoparticles in a hybridization buffer that was first heated to 60 °C for 10 min and then kept at 37 °C for 2 h. Linker-hybridized core nanoparticles were then purified by centrifugation and subsequently combined with satellite nanoparticles under similar hybridization conditions. We used a 100 times molar excess of satellite nanoparticles per core nanoparticle to further eliminate the probability of superstructure crosslinking. Following core–satellite assembly, colloidal superstructures were back-filled with the polymer poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG) to improve their biological stability and reduce non-specific interactions with biomolecules and cells\(^{25}\). We used four different linker stoichiometries (2, 8, 16 and 24 linkers per core; see 

Figure 2 | Characterization of core–satellite superstructures. a, b, TEM characterization of two-layer core–satellites as a function of satellite-to-core ratio \( r = 2, 8, 16 \) and 24) (a) and satellite PEG length \( (M_w = \text{bare, 1, 5 and 10 kDa}) \) (b). c, Three-layered core–satellites were synthesized by introducing a third DNA sequence \((\text{satellite}^2)\), which inserts into the linker DNA. (i) Attaching this sequence to the linker increased the core–satellite separation distance when viewed under TEM. Other nanomaterials grafted with this sequence were used to generate various three-layer superstructures (ii, 5 nm gold nanoparticles; iii, 3 nm + 5 nm gold nanoparticles; iv, quantum dots + 3 nm gold nanoparticles). Scale bars, 50 nm. d, Low-magnification TEM images of the core–satellites in a, showing their colloidal stability and monodispersity in saline. Scale bars, 100 nm. e, Left: fluorescence spectra of core–satellites with (red solid line) and without (blue dashed line) doxorubicin incorporation. Right: fluorescence images of vials containing superstructures labelled with different coloured DNA-binding dyes (SG, Sybr Gold; PI, propidium iodide; S61, Syto61; TP3, TO-PRO-3) captured using three filter sets (top, 460/535 nm; middle, 560/600 nm; bottom, 650/700 nm). f, Left: fluorescence spectra of core–satellite superstructures with (red solid line) and without (blue dashed line) FAM incorporation. Right: vials of superstructures labelled with or without quantum dot incorporation under ultraviolet excitation. g, Cross-sectional view of a core–satellite. Inset: positioning of payloads encapsulated either via intercalating (orange hexagon) or hybridizing (green circle) to the DNA strands within the superstructure.
have shown that DNA-coated nanomaterials characterized in Supplementary Fig. 2), which generated superstructures with different satellite-to-core ratios (Fig. 2a). We used three different lengths of PEG (1, 5 and 10 kDa) to control the overall superstructure surface chemistry and morphology (Fig. 2b). We also generated three-layer core–satellite structures in which a third DNA sequence (satellite2) hybridizes to an internal region of the linker (see schematic in Supplementary Fig. 3 and images in Fig. 2c,i). By grafting this DNA sequence onto other sets of nanoparticles, superstructures with additional satellite layers could be constructed (Fig. 2c,ii–iv and Supplementary Fig. 4). Varying these parameters generated a diverse set of superstructures with hydrodynamic sizes ranging from 50 nm to 150 nm (Supplementary Fig. 5). Transmission electron microscopy (TEM, Fig. 2d) and UV–vis absorbance characterizations (Supplementary Fig. 6) demonstrated that these superstructures were monodisperse and collooidally stable in saline.

A key question regarding the biological application of colloidal superstructures is whether they can carry and protect pharmaceuticals against biological degradation. We found that therapeutic or imaging agents such as doxorubicin and several fluorescent molecules can be incorporated into superstructures through DNA intercalation or groove binding (Fig. 2e). Incorporation efficiency was dependent on linker sequence, improving with increasing number of TCG repeats, which is a known binding site for doxorubicin30. Other agents such as quantum dots and fluorescein amide (FAM), which do not intercalate or bind DNA directly, could be incorporated within superstructures as hybridized DNA conjugates (Fig. 2f). An advantage of using the assembly to store these agents is that they are embedded within the superstructure and not exposed on the nanoparticle surface (Fig. 2g). By selecting the appropriate core and satellite building blocks, superstructures enhanced DNA resistance against nuclease and serum degradation by up to fivefold relative to non-assembled nanoparticles (Supplementary Fig. 7). This improvement in DNA stability effectively protected the superstructures and its payloads from disintegrating in biological solutions. These results provide the first example of using assembly architecture to mediate payload stability and highlight a novel strategy to build integrated platforms that carry multiple functionalities.

Design-dependent uptake of nanoparticle superstructures

The potential application of colloidal superstructures as delivery platforms motivated us to further investigate their interactions with cells. We selected J774A.1 murine macrophages as a model cell system, because macrophages sequester the majority of in vivo administered nanoparticles37. Sequestration of nanoparticles by macrophages not only limits the dose that is available to accumulate at diseased sites, but is further associated with immune toxicity28,29. The ability to control nanoparticle interactions with macrophages could improve disease-specific delivery and reduce toxicity. We measured macrophage uptake by incubating J774A.1 cells in culture media containing gold nanoparticles for 4 h and then analysing the total cellular gold content using inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectroscopy (ICP-AES, Fig. 3a). To assess the impact of nanoparticle design and assembly on uptake, we first exposed macrophages separately with 13 nm core nanoparticles, 5 nm satellite nanoparticles coated with PEG 1 kDa, as well as superstructures assembled using these two components. Figure 3b shows that macrophages sequestered 13 nm core nanoparticles seven times more effectively than 5 nm satellite nanoparticles coated with PEG 1 kDa, consistent with previous findings that macrophage uptake correlates with nanomaterial size and surface charge30. Interestingly, the core–satellite superstructure was 2.5 times larger than its core component but resulted in twofold lower uptake into macrophages, suggesting the superstructure displayed a different surface chemistry that inhibited its uptake. These results also motivated us to systematically characterize the impact of building-block design and their assembly architecture on macrophage uptake. We used serum-free culture media for these experiments because our results (Supplementary Fig. 8) and a previous study31 have shown that DNA-coated nanomaterials are taken up by cells through direct interactions with receptors (for example, scavenger receptors) on the cell surface rather than through interactions with serum proteins adsorbed on the nanomaterial surface. Here, we observed a monotonic decrease in superstructure uptake by macrophages as a function of satellite-to-core ratio (Fig. 3c), suggesting that satellites inhibited macrophages from interacting with the core. This hypothesis is further supported by the different dose responses in these nanomaterials; macrophages sequestered DNA-coated core nanoparticles in a dose-independent manner, suggesting that cells take up such nanoparticles efficiently. In contrast, core–satellite structures exhibited a dose-dependent decrease in cell uptake similar to PEGylated nanomaterials (Supplementary Fig. 9). The length of PEG on the satellites also impacted macrophage uptake, with an increase from PEG 1 to 10 kDa reducing macrophage uptake by an additional 30% (Fig. 3d). Interestingly, 5 nm nanoparticles were approximately twice as effective as 3 nm nanoparticles at mitigating core–satellite uptake by macrophages, implying they provide a denser PEG surface chemistry (Fig. 3e). Taken together, the optimal superstructure design reduced macrophage uptake by 80% relative to the core nanoparticle, despite being three times larger in size. This was achieved by using 5 nm nanoparticles coated with PEG 10 kDa as satellites at a saturating satellite-to-core ratio. Other parameters such as linker length (Supplementary Fig. 10) had relatively little effect on uptake. These results highlight the central role of satellite design and assembly stoichiometry in dictating superstructure interactions with cells. Nanoparticle assembly can reduce macrophage uptake by (1) burying DNA within the superstructure to decrease their accessibility to cellular interactions and (2) using nanoparticles as scaffolds to increase the density of PEG coverage above the DNAs.

Cellular degradation and exocytosis

Nanomaterials internalized by macrophages are sequestered within the cells if they are not biodegraded. This contributes to the persistence of inorganic nanoparticles within the body21,22. To investigate how superstructures are processed within macrophages, we washed the cells following their incubation with superstructures, and chemically fixed them for visualization under TEM (Fig. 4a). Electron micrographs reveal that superstructures associated with the extracellular membrane of macrophages both as single entities (Supplementary Fig. 11) and as clusters (Fig. 4b,i). Associated superstructures were eventually internalized by macrophages within vesicles, in which superstructures disassembled into their respective building blocks (Fig. 4b,ii). We did not observe intact superstructures within macrophages, even in cells fixed immediately following exposure to the superstructures (Supplementary Fig. 12), suggesting that the intracellular degradation of superstructures occurred rapidly. In contrast, superstructures incubated in culture medium alone (that is, without cells) remained largely intact over 8 h of incubation (Fig. 4c), indicating that superstructure degradation was intracellularly mediated. Phagocytic vesicles are known to contain a complex mixture of 40 or more hydrolytic enzymes that are responsible for digesting foreign pathogens or endogenous debris. It is possible for this mixture to quickly hydrolyse the DNA linkages that connect the nanoparticles22,23. Although many nanoparticle formulations have been reported to aggregate under such environmental conditions, superstructure components remained dispersed following breakdown. These building blocks eventually escaped from the vesicles and were distributed throughout the cellular cytoplasm (Fig. 4b,iii). To test whether this intracellular behaviour is mediated by superstructure assembly, we incubated core and satellite
nanoparticles separately with macrophages under identical conditions and then examined their subcellular localization over time under TEM. Here we observed that although both core and satellite nanoparticles were endocytosed within vesicles, some satellite nanoparticles may also have entered cells via vesicle-independent pathways (Supplementary Figs 13 and 14). In cells incubated with core nanoparticles, endocytosis resulted in the appearance of nanoparticle clusters that were confined within vesicles and grew in size over time, suggesting core nanoparticles are actively sorted into phagosomes from which they fail to escape. Satellite nanoparticles, in contrast, could be identified within cells both confined to vesicles and as individual, discrete nanoparticles within the cytoplasm.

Supplementary Fig. 14 further shows several instances where satellite nanoparticles originally confined within vesicles were released into the cytoplasm or excreted across the plasma membrane. These results suggest that, when delivered to the cells alone, the intracellular behaviour of nanoparticles is determined by their design. However, the assembly of these nanoparticles into superstructures alters their intracellular behaviour.

These results prompted us to carry out a parallel experiment in which we measured changes in total intracellular gold content to assess whether dispersed building blocks could escape from these macrophages following uptake (Fig. 4d). In cells treated with superstructures, we found a 10–40% reduction in intracellular gold content over the course of 8 h (Fig. 4e). The extent of this reduction was dependent on satellite design. In contrast, no change in gold content was measurable in cells incubated with core nanoparticles alone (Fig. 4e), suggesting that the measured differences were attributable to the satellites. These differences occurred independently of changes in cell density (Supplementary Fig. 15) and plasma membrane permeability (Supplementary Fig. 16), and were apparent when cells collected from different time points were cross-examined under TEM (Supplementary Fig. 17). Control experiments further verified that satellites alone escaped macrophage sequestration in a time- and PEG length-dependent manner (Fig. 4f, Supplementary Fig. 18). Although the role of nanoparticle and PEG size in cellular uptake has been widely reported, results herein suggest that these design parameters also define the intracellular behaviour of nanoparticles.
Figure 4 | Intracellular processing of superstructures by J774A.1 macrophages. a, Overview of subcellular localization of superstructures. b, Magnification of areas indicated in a: (i) intact superstructures first interact with the plasma membrane and (ii) are internalized by the macrophage within vesicles, where they undergo disassembly. (iii) Individual superstructure components eventually escape from vesicles and are seen distributed throughout the cytosol. The panels on the right depict similar stages of processing occurring in another macrophage cell. c, Core–satellite structures show minimal structural disintegration after 8 h of incubation in culture media containing 10% fetal bovine serum. All scale bars, 100 nm. d, Workflow for assessing superstructure excretion by J774A.1 macrophages using ICP-AES. e, Time-course changes in intracellular gold content following exposure to superstructures containing 5 nm satellite nanoparticles with varying PEG lengths. f, Time-course changes in intracellular gold content following exposure to 5 nm satellite nanoparticles with varying PEG lengths. Error bars represent s.e.m. from at least three independent replicates. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01. CS, core–satellite.
thresholds for cellular excretion, which has implications for the in vivo clearance and toxicity of nanomaterials. Additionally, molecular assembly techniques may offer a unique approach whereby PEGylated satellite nanoparticles can be used to facilitate therapeutic delivery without contributing significantly to the overall in vivo persistence of nanomaterials.

Elimination and tumour targeting in mice
If small nanoparticles degraded from the superstructures can escape macrophage sequestration, we suspected that they might be renally eliminated from the body. This would decrease the biological persistence of nanoparticles injected into the body and eliminate the risks of long-term toxicity. To test this, we synthesized a panel of satellite building blocks and administered them intravenously into CD1 athymic nude mice. We housed the mice within metabolic cages, collected their urine for up to 48 h post-injection and analysed the urine for gold content. Urinary excretion was highest for the smallest satellites at 15% of the injected dose and diminished rapidly with nanoparticle size (Fig. 5a). Building on these results we assembled core–satellite with the smallest satellite nanoparticles to test the ability of superstructures to undergo renal clearance. The urinary excretion efficiency of superstructures closely mirrored the clearance behaviour of their building blocks (Fig. 5b), suggesting they can be engineered to eliminate from the body, unlike larger solid nanoparticles. More importantly, this result underscores the validity of our approach to tailor the size and surface chemistry of nanostructures to mediate their delivery while allowing them to eliminate from the body.

Finally, we assessed the potential of using superstructures to target tumours via a passive mechanism. Preliminary results with xenograft tumour models demonstrate that one of our current superstructure formulations accumulated within tumours better than its controls (for example, core nanoparticle and non-assembled mixture) following systemic administration (Fig. 5c). Using a previously published procedure for fluorescently labelling gold nanoparticles14, we chemically conjugated this formulation with near-infrared dyes to monitor their distribution in tumour xenograft models in real time. Our characterization shows the structures were not altered during this modification (Supplementary Fig. 22). Whole-animal fluorescence imaging showed that this superstructure design increased tumour-specific fluorescence contrast steadily over time (Supplementary Fig. 20), achieving a final tumour-over-background ratio of 2.3 ± 1.0 and a signal-over-noise ratio of 5.2 ± 0.5 at 24 h following administration (Fig. 5d and Supplementary Fig. 21a,b). Analysis of the fluorescence images estimated a blood circulation half-life of 5 h (Supplementary Fig. 21c). To ensure that the superstructures were non-toxic, we collected blood from these animals for biochemistry and haematology analysis and collected organs for biodistribution and histology analysis. The results show that, although a large proportion of these superstructures also accumulated in the liver and spleen (Supplementary Fig. 22), they did not cause acute toxicity and were well tolerated by the animals at the given dose (Supplementary Figs 23 and 24). Together, these results demonstrate the promise of using molecularly assembled superstructures for in vivo biomedical applications. As a next step, we are currently preparing a library of nanoparticles to further investigate and understand the effect of design on the rate and efficiency of tumour accumulation and whole-body clearance, similar to our previous study9. Our current findings have now defined the required building-block designs and assembly architectures to engineer superstructures that can accumulate in tumours and be eliminated from the body.
Conclusions
In summary, we have demonstrated the use of molecular assembly to mediate the biological delivery and elimination of nanoparticles. We showed that colloidal superstructures assembled with the appropriate building blocks and architectures can reduce their uptake and sequestration by macrophages, improve their accumulation into tumours, and facilitate their elimination from the body. The use of DNA assembly to engineer nanodelivery vehicles offers five advantages: (1) accurate and programmable control over nanocarrier architecture; (2) modular construction of complex platforms from simple nanoparticle building blocks; (3) compartmentalization of imaging or therapeutic payloads against biological degradation; (4) enabling of the development of new strategies to control the release of therapeutics (for example, DNAzymes); and (5) ability to control the design of multifunctional nanomedicines (for example, delivery vehicle with positron emission tomography, magnetic resonance imaging and optical imaging agents or therapeutics). The use of DNA and nanoparticle technologies together can help translate fundamental nanomaterial design principles that are being discovered into clinically relevant nanomedicine solutions.
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