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Oncostatin M is a secreted cytokine involved in homeostasis and in diseases involving chronic inflammation. It is a member of the gp130 family of cytokines that have pleiotropic functions in differentiation, cell proliferation, and hematopoietic, immunologic, and inflammatory networks. However, Oncostatin M also has activities novel to mediators of this cytokine family and others and may have fundamental roles in mechanisms of inflammation in pathology. Studies have explored Oncostatin M functions in cancer, bone metabolism, liver regeneration, and conditions with chronic inflammation including rheumatoid arthritis, lung and skin inflammatory disease, atherosclerosis, and cardiovascular disease. This paper will review Oncostatin M biology in a historical fashion and focus on its unique activities, in vitro and in vivo, that differentiate it from other cytokines and inspire further study or consideration in therapeutic approaches.

1. Introduction

Despite the original identification and cloning of the human cytokine Oncostatin M (OSM) in the late 1980s and an increasing interest in its study more recently, there is still a considerable amount that is not clear about its biology, function in vivo, and contradictory points of view on its role in certain conditions. The grouping of OSM into the family of gp130 (or IL-6/LIF) cytokines has been useful in rationalizing some redundant functions among this group and to explain lack of severe phenotypes in gene deficient mice. However, this grouping may also mask unique effects of OSM that has significant effects upon over- or underexpression in adult mammals. Reviews specifically on OSM have been published in 2003 and 2004 [1, 2] and other more recent reviews of the gp130 cytokine family including those of Silver and Hunter [3] and Sims and Walsh [4] incorporate aspects of OSM biology. This review will focus on OSM, discuss its activities relevant to pathology, and examine functions of OSM that are distinct from other gp130 family members, with a view to suggest further study into this interesting molecule and its role in disease.

2. Discovery, Cloning, and Expression

OSM was first purified and biochemically characterized on the basis of its antiproliferative activity on the A375 human melanoma cell line in vitro [5]. Its name was coined based on this inhibitory function on A375 and other melanoma cell lines. A potential function in the regulation of cancer was explored and further studies have clearly shown pleiotropic actions in hematopoietic, skeletal tissue alteration, metabolic, immunologic, differentiation, and inflammatory disease processes as outlined in more detail below. OSM was also found to interact with receptor complexes that included the cell signaling molecule gp130 and thus has been grouped with this gp130 family of cytokines in general.

The unique full length sequence of human OSM upon molecular cloning from U937 cells was completed in 1989 [6] to determine a 2kb mRNA transcript encoding a 252 amino acid polypeptide with a hydrophobic N-terminus signal peptide and 3’ AT-rich untranslated region similar to other cytokines. Although the full length form is active, a hydrophilic C-terminus region is cleaved off to yield a mature form of 196 amino acids with higher biological activity [7]. Cloning of the mouse OSM cDNA was completed in 1996 [8] and rat OSM cDNA has also been cloned [9]. The crystal structure of human OSM reveals a typical hematopoietic cytokine topology with up-up-down-down four-helix bundle with specific site 2 and site 3 epitopes predicted to interact with receptor chains on cell surfaces [10–12]. Human OSM has also been found to bind to collagens I, III, and IV in vitro [13] and, while OSM maintained its bioactivity in this
context, this suggests that interstitial collagens are involved in its spatial pattern of bioavailability in tissues.

Individual cell populations that express OSM include activated macrophages, monocytes, T cells, and dendritic cells [5, 6, 14] (reviewed in [1]) although it is not clear if specific subsets of T cells differentially express OSM. Grenier et al. [15] showed that OSM could be released by neutrophils from preformed stores and these cells could also synthesize OSM upon stimulation by LPS or GM-CSF. OSM was also shown to be released by neutrophils from patients with acute lung injury [16]. Enhanced levels of OSM could be detected systemically in septic shock [17] and early (day 1) in patients with overt bacterial peritonitis [18]. Monocyte/macrophages, a primary source of OSM, release OSM upon stimulation with agents such as TLR-ligands or prostaglandins [19]. Generally OSM systemic levels are low in chronic inflammatory conditions and levels at local sites of inflammation are more indicative of potential function in chronic disease (see below).

OSM expression during mouse development was noted in hematopoietic cells of developing liver and later in bone marrow, thymus, and spleen by in situ hybridization [20]. OSM mRNA was also detected after birth in tissue eosinophils of small intestine, lung, and skin [20] and is expressed significantly in bone marrow in adult mice [8]. OSM expression was noted in IL-5Rα subunit expressing cells [20], and its cloning as an IL-3/IL-5/GMCSF-induced immediate early gene in mice [8] implicates OSM involvement in hematopoiesis. In addition to neutrophil and eosinophils, mast cells have been shown to produce OSM upon T-cell activation but not upon IgE cross-linking [21].

3. OSM as a Member of the gp130 (IL-6/LIF) Family of Cytokines

The gp130 cytokines are proteins secreted by immune and nonimmune cells and function to modulate differentiation, hematopoietic, immune, and inflammatory cell networks. Several reviews provide extensive information regarding these molecules [22–26]. These cytokines are grouped together on the basis of the use of the signalling molecule gp130 as a subunit of the cell surface receptor complexes. Thus IL-6, leukemia inhibitor factor (LIF), interleukin-11 (IL-11), cardiotrophin-1 (CT-1), ciliary neurotrophic factor (CNTF), neurotrophin-1/BSF-3 [27], IL-27 [28], and OSM induce responses upon binding to receptor complexes which are dependent on gp130 and thus possess some redundant biological activities [10, 29–31]. IL-31 is another member of this family but engages a receptor complex that requires gp130-like protein (GPL) rather than gp130 [32].

3.1. Receptors. Cellular responses to individual family members reflect the presence (or absence) of expression of the individual cytokine-specific receptor subunits on cell surfaces. Despite some overlapping biological activities, gp130 cytokines also display divergent functions in vitro and likely have both shared and unique functions in vivo. The ligands of the IL-6 family were used to characterize and clone the receptor chain complexes. The gp130 family of cytokines, receptors, and cell signaling has also been the subject of excellent reviews [23, 30, 33–35]. These describe IL-6, IL-11, CNTF, LIF CT-1, and IL-31, all binding to a more specific binding receptor chain (IL-6Rα, IL-11Rα, CNTFR, and LIFRα) which then recruits gp130 for higher affinity and cell signaling (see Figure 1). In contrast, OSM binds gp130 with low affinity as described by Liu et al. [36] and Gearing et al. [37] and as such has little to no biological activity unless a second receptor chain is recruited, either the LIFRα [37] or the specific OSMReceptor-beta chain (OSMRβ) [38]. The gp130/LIFRα complex was termed as OSM receptor type I, and the OSMRβ/gp130 complex termed as the OSM receptor type II in the human system.

This terminology later became less relevant for the mouse system since mouse OSM binds mouse OSMRβ/gp130 but not the mouse LIFRα/gp130 complex. Sequencing and expression of mouse OSM enabled the identification and cloning of the mouse receptor homologue of OSMRβ and the characterization that the mouse OSM ligand did not engage or signal through the mouse LIF receptor [40–42]. This clearly indicated functional dissimilarities in the cytokine biochemistry and predicted functional differences in its biology between species. Interestingly, the OSM receptor system in rats has more recently been characterized [43] and shows that rat OSM engages both the LIF receptor and the OSM specific receptor in this species, analogous to the human type I and type II receptor. As the authors note, this suggests that studies in rats of OSM may more closely resemble human OSM receptor function and biology in vivo.

3.2. Cell Signaling. Several signalling pathways (JAK/STAT3, MAP Kinase (MAPK), and PI3K Kinase (PI3K) [44–48]) can be stimulated by gp130 cytokines, although the spectrum of pathways enlisted varies depending on the cell type and differential recruitment of signalling pathways. The STAT family of transcription factors has been established as critical cell signalling molecules involved in immunity and inflammation [49–51] and mediates IFNα and IFNβ (STAT1 and 2), gp130 cytokines and IL-10 (STAT3), IL-12 (STAT4), prolactin and growth hormone (STAT5A and 5B, resp.), and IL-4/IL-13 (STAT6) signaling. STATs are latent transcription factors that are phosphorylated by the Janus family of tyrosine kinases (JAKs) upon ligand interaction with cell surface receptor complexes; translocate to the cell nucleus and bind to specific DNA sequences in promoters or to other transcription factors to modulate gene expression. Their activity can be further regulated through serine phosphorylation [52] and inhibited by intracellular SOCS (suppressors of cytokine signaling proteins) and PIAS (protein inhibitor of activated STAT) [53, 54].

PI3K are a family of kinases that phosphorylate the 3′hydroxyl group of phosphatidylinositol, recruit, and facilitate activation of serine/threonine kinases including Akt (PKB) and PDK-1 which can regulate signalling pathways that influence cell survival and differentiation [55, 56]. PI3K/Akt activity has been implicated in cellular processes that make up the hyperproliferative, aggressive cellular environment...
Figure 1: Schematic diagram of the gp130 cytokine receptor complexes. Members of the gp130 cytokine family engage in receptor complexes that include the gp130 signal transduction chain with the exception of IL-31, which engages a complex that utilizes gp130-like (GPL or IL-31Rα) chain. The family may be subdivided further according to the signaling chains utilized: gp130/gp130; gp130/LIFR; gp130/OSMRβ; GPL/OSMRβ, and gp130/WSX-1, (modified from Fritz [39]).

identified during chronic inflammatory disease [57]. MAPK pathways transmit the signals of a range of cytokines and growth factors to regulate a broad spectrum of cell phenotypic behaviour [58].

On the basis of in vitro studies, the OSM ligand recruits a broader array of cell signaling pathways than most gp130 cytokines, likely on the basis of the extent and amount/cell of cellular expression of the OSMRβ subunit. OSMRβ and gp130 chains are widely expressed in connective tissue cells, and in bioassays OSM is consistently more active than other gp130 cytokines in regulating genes such as IL-6, MMP-1 and TIMP-1, hepatocyte acute phase proteins, and chemokines such as eotaxin-1 (see Figure 2).

Although OSM is most closely related to LIF [1] and engages the LIFRα/gp130 receptor complex, OSM ligand binding to its own specific (type II) receptor engages additional pathways highlighting nonredundant functions of the OSMRβ/gp130 signaling complex. Auguste et al. [59] showed that JAK1, JAK2, and Tyk2 were activated by LIF and OSM but that only OSM also activated STAT5b. Hermanns et al. [60] showed that OSM, but neither LIF nor IL-6, induced Shc isoforms and association with adaptor molecule Grb2 and also that Shc was recruited to OSMRβ but not gp130. This was associated with stronger ERK1/2 MAPKinase activation, and later studies also showed strong and prolonged induction of SOCS3 by OSM compared to IL-6 signaling [61]. Focusing on mouse OSM and its receptor system, we have shown that OSM regulates STATs-1 and -3, as do other gp130 cytokines, but also induced STAT5, STAT6, Akt, and Protein Kinase C delta [62–64] activation in mouse fibroblasts. Collectively, the data supports unique signaling by OSMRβ/gp130 pathways and predicts unique functions of OSM in vivo.

The identification and cloning of IL-31 [32] added an interesting complexity to the OSMR system since IL-31 receptor complex requires OSMRβ and the specific GPL (IL-31Rα) protein [65]. The apparent differences in function of IL-31 from OSM are striking in context of the sharing of the OSMRβ receptor as a required partner in cell signalling. The intracellular signals generated by IL-31 are distinct from OSM in lung epithelial cells [66] and the level of expression of IL-31Ralpha (GPL) is also markedly lower in epithelial cells [67]. IL-31 is produced by activated T helper 2 cells and IL-31 transgenic animals showed skin lesions and alopecia [32]. Subsequent work showed that IL-31 and GPL expression were elevated in atopic dermatitis at the mRNA [68] and protein level [69]. On the basis of IL-31 knockout mouse responses, IL-31 was hypothesized to have a dampening effect on airway allergic inflammation induced in a mouse
model of *Schistosoma mansoni* egg administration [70]. However, later studies showed that IL-31Rα deficient mice have elevated responsiveness to OSM both *in vitro* and *in vivo* and the authors suggest that previously observed effects on IL-31Receptor knockout mice were due to elevated OSM biological function in lung [71]. Soluble forms of gp130 and OSMRβ have been characterized and detected in normal human sera [72]. These may contribute to control of OSM activity by forming complexes with OSM, although transsignalling such as that seen by IL-6/solubleIL-6R or transsignalling inhibition by soluble gp130 [73,74] has not thus far been identified. A synthetic OSMRβ-gp130 fusion protein that has high affinity and inhibitory activity for mouse OSM [75] maybe a very useful tool.

4. **Mouse Transgenic Studies, OSM, and OSMReceptorβ Gene Deficiency**

Effects of transgenic overexpression have suggested pathogenic roles for OSM. Earlier studies showed that mice transgenic for bovine OSM possessed bone abnormalities, fibrosis around the pancreas, and abnormal lymphoid tissue [76,77]. Another study showed that OSM transgene induced increases in both mature and immature extrathymic T cells [78], and this was not dependent on thymus since nu/nu mice could be reconstituted with OSM-transgenic bone marrow cells to see the same effect. Transient overexpression systems of OSM using Ad vector to explore local tissue effects have been used to characterise effects in joint, skin, and lung [79] (more details below) and the data suggest that effects of overexpression can be qualitatively much different depending on the tissue/organ site.

There have been relatively few articles published on OSM ligand deficient mice. Morikawa et al. [80] have shown that during development, OSM knockout mice show decreases in neurons with nociceptive phenotype within the dorsal root ganglion, and mice showed reduced sensitivity to several different pain stimuli. This suggests a potential role in pain perception, although whether manipulation of OSM function after development of the central nervous system has similar effects would be an interesting question.

More recently the work of Esashi et al. shows the intriguing observation that OSM knockout animals have reduced thymocytes and abnormal thymus structure, associated with glomerulonephritis and autoantibody against dsDNA in aged mice [81]. The authors suggest that OSM plays a role in preventing clearance of apoptotic thymocytes that contributes to development of autoimmune disease. On the other hand, Clegg et al. [82] many years earlier showed increased in double stranded DNA antibodies in serum of mice transgenic for OSM under the control of the proximal lck promoter. This was associated with a decrease in the thymocytes, but with an increase in CD8 positive T cells. It is not clear how to rationalize similar effects on increasing autoantibody in both OSM knockout mice and OSM transgenic mice, other than speculating about the complexity of prolonged expression or underexpression through development or the cell type generating the overexpression system. That being noted, the involvement of OSM in autoimmune antibody generation is a potential area for further investigation.

Mice deficient in OSMRβ chain have been generated and are useful for examining the function of the specific OSM receptor in the mouse. Tanaka et al. [83] showed that although the OSMRβ knockout mice were healthy and fertile,
hematopoietic alterations were evident including erythrocyte and platelet levels which were reduced compared to wild-type animals. Chimeric OSMRβ-knockout mice engrafted with wild-type bone marrow showed reduced erythrocytic and megakaryocytic progenitors, indicating that the OSMRβ was required for the hematopoietic microenvironment. A number of other studies have shown defects of OSMRβ-deficient mice in acute inflammation [84], liver regeneration [85], thymic hypoplasia [81], and net metabolism of bone [86] and fat [87].

5. Effects on Hepatocytes and Liver

The liver responds to inflammatory mediators with the synthesis of a number of acute phase proteins that are spilled into the systemic circulation and result in higher levels of these proteins back at the site of inflammation (reviewed in [88]). The identification of OSM as a regulator of hepatocyte acute phase protein response in 1992 [89] helped to group the cytokine on the basis of functionality alongside IL-6 and LIF at that time. Published work has shown that OSM regulates LDLR in liver cells [90] suggesting a role in cholesterol metabolism. More recent studies have identified hepcidin as a target for regulation by OSM [91, 92] resulting in decreased serum iron levels and implicating OSM in disorders involving anaemia.

The potential role of OSM in mouse liver development was suggested by studies identifying its function in fetal liver hepatic cell differentiation [93] and fetal liver hematopoiesis. Nakamura et al. found that OSMRβ knockout mice had impaired liver regeneration responses upon liver damage within models of carbon tetrachloride (CCL4) exposure or partial hepatectomy [85]. They also found that IL-6 deficiency failed to express OSM in response to CCL4, and subsequent administration of OSM induced liver cell cycle genes. Studies have gone on to investigate the function of OSM as a liver regenerating factor. Following work in 2005 that showed OSM-stimulated differentiation of rat oval cells toward hepatocytes [9], a gene therapeutic approach using OSM cDNA in rats showed an attenuation of liver damage following dimethylnitrosamine treatment [94]. Patent positions have been prepared in this arena, suggesting potential for a therapeutic approach to liver damage.

OSM is expressed by Kupffer cells, and along with other gp130 cytokines, regulates hepatocyte responses [89]. In liver fibrosis, hepatic stellate cells play an important role in fibrogenesis [95], and contributions by OSM have been suggested. For example, Znoyko et al. [96] examined cirrhotic and normal liver human samples and showed that although OSM was elevated in cirrhotic tissue, the OSMRβ was absent, and the LIF receptor was significantly increased. This might suggest that functioning OSM in this fibrotic system acts through the OSM receptor type I (the LIF receptor complex in humans).

6. OSM Regulates Joint Synovium and Cartilage Remodeling

The initial observations that IL-6 [97] and later OSM [98–100] were present in the synovial fluid of rheumatoid arthritis patients stimulated the study of their potential roles in inflammatory joint disease. Subsequent to observations of the regulation of TIMPs in vitro [101] OSM was shown to regulate the balance of matrix metalloproteinase (MMP) and their inhibitors (TIMPs) in connective tissue cells. Functions of OSM contribute to the network of cytokines, mediators, enzymes, and structural proteins that control net ECM (see Figure 3). In vitro, OSM regulates TIMP-1 and TIMP-3 [102] in chondrocytes and was originally thought to contribute to a protective role in extracellular matrix (ECM) catabolism. However, studies in vitro showed that mouse OSM induced MCP-1/CCL-2 production and anchorage independent growth of mouse synovial cells [103] and human OSM induced CCL-13 in human synovial fibroblasts [104] indicating a potential proinflammatory role.

6.1. Cartilage Catabolism. Furthermore, based on studies of cartilage ex vivo, a catabolic function for OSM in the regulation of chondrocytes and their matrix became clear. The work by the group of Cawston et al. has shown robust activity of OSM in combination with established proarthritis mediators [98, 105] through the upregulation of the matrix metalloproteinase (MMP) expression and enzymatic activity. Thus, OSM in combination with IL-1α caused maximal collagen release from cartilage explants of either bovine or human origin [105, 106]. Examination of the genes regulated by OSM/IL-1α showed synergistic regulation of several MMPs human chondrocyte cultures in vitro, including MMP-1, 3, 8, 13, and 14 [107, 108]. Of significance in the cartilage explant studies was that, among the gp130 cytokines tested, only OSM, or IL-6 if the soluble IL-6 receptor was also added to the culture system, induced this synergistic set of responses [106]. Furthermore, IL-17A-induced bovine cartilage catabolism was also synergistically enhanced by OSM [109] where degradation was inhibited with a synthetic metalloproteinase inhibitor.

Consistent with these in vitro observations, models of mouse arthritis showed ameliorated joint inflammation when treated with an antibody to OSM [110], encouraging further work on OSM as a potential target for inflammatory joint disease. This was in stark contrast to previous work in 1999 [111] suggesting that the systemic administration of human OSM ligand to animal models of arthritis was protective. Wallace et al. [112] had shown that injection of human recombinant OSM reduced LPS-induced TNFα levels and lethality. They also showed marked reduction in inflammatory parameters in the anticollagen antibody cocktail-induced model of RA in C57Bl6 mice, administering human OSM (iv, daily for 10 days). Systemic human OSM in this study also ameliorated inflammation and damage in an EAE model. However, it later became clear that the interpretation of experiments using human OSM in mouse models was compromised by the species specificity within the biology of OSM, in that human OSM does not engage the specific mouse OSM receptor (see above). The anti-inflammatory effects likely engaged the mouse LIF receptor or possibly other undefined receptors to generate the observations of anti-inflammatory functions in mice.
Overexpression of OSM in Joints In Vivo. Overexpression studies in transgenic animals using either human or bovine OSM cDNA [77] could also be subject to potential problems with interpretation due to the species specificity. Bamber et al. [77] and Clegg et al. [113] assessed mouse OSM transgenic effects but mainly focused on lymphopoiesis and autoantibody levels as noted above. Juan et al. [114] compared the effects of transgenic human-, bovine-, and mouse-OSM overexpression in female (C57BL/6J x DBA/2J) mice using retroviral constructs and showed far more mild effects in bone remodeling with mouse OSM. We and others have studied the effects of transient gene transduction using Adenovirus (Ad) vectors to express species-homologous cytokines in mice. These can be administered to achieve local site expression of transgene (such as joint, lung, or skin) over 2–12 days (depending on the vector and the site) after which time the vector is cleared and the transgene expression wanes. By administering Ad vectors locally to joint spaces in mice, a number of studies have investigated the effects of specific transgenes in mouse models of inflammatory joint inflammation. Using this approach, IL-4 and IL-10 overexpression can alter inflammatory parameters in the collagen-induced arthritis mouse model [115, 116].

Transient local overexpression of OSM using Ad vector induced synovial inflammation, pannus formation, and cartilage degradation upon intra-articular (ia) injection [103, 117]. Concomitant addition of OSM and either IL-1 or TNFα using Ad vector ia administration showed marked elevation of joint and bone remodeling with altered balance of MMP and TIMP in mouse cartilage [118–120]. This was consistent with the synergy seen in ECM modulation on the basis of in vitro analysis. Further studies on cartilage catabolism mechanisms using combinations of OSM/IL-1 or OSM/TNF cocktail as a model stimulus in vitro have shown induction of MMP-10 in chondrocytes and synovial fibroblasts [121], induction of fibroblast activation protein-α in chondrocytes [122], or that PI3K/Akt [123] and protein kinase R [124] signaling may be potential targets to regulate synergistic cartilage catabolic effects generated by these cytokines.

Collectively, data suggest that OSM is capable of priming and inducing maximal responses to IL-1, TNFα, or IL-17A in arthritis and thus joint destruction. Data from models of arthritis performed in OSM knockout mice are not published or easily accessible at this time; however, there has been reasonable rationale to develop antibodies to target OSM for indications such as RA. Targeting the gp130 cytokine pathway with antibody to the IL-6Rα subunit has shown efficacy in clinical trials in RA patients [97, 125]; however, lack of full clinical response in many patients as well as potential side effects suggests that additional approaches to TNFα or IL-6 blockade should be considered. The species specificity of the OSM responses highlights potential difficulties in
the field in developing interpretable preclinical models in mice. Since the receptor expression is in hematopoietic as well as nonhematopoietic cells, humanized nu/nu or chimeric mice would possess both human and mouse OSM specific receptors that are species specific in their binding, as well as human and mouse LIF receptors that are not necessarily species specific.

7. OSM and Bone Metabolism

It has been established (reviewed in [4, 126]) that gp130 cytokines can regulate both osteoblast and osteoclast activity and thus bone metabolism. The notion that OSM could alter bone tissue was suggested first by the transgenic overexpression studies using OSM in mice that showed signs of osteopetrosis [76] depending on the promoter construct in which the transgene was expressed. Developmental abnormalities in spermatogenesis, keratinized epithelia, neurotoxicity, and lymphoid tissue were also observed.

7.1. Osteoblastogenesis. Work by Jay et al. [127] in 1996 showed biological activity of OSM and LIF in neonatal murine rat and mouse calvarial cell cultures, inducing proliferation and collagen synthesis but reducing alkaline phosphatase and bone resorption. However, human recombinant OSM was used for these studies, which does not engage the specific mouse OSM Receptor as noted above. Analysis of cell types responding to OSM in context of other gp130 cytokines was completed by Bellido et al. who showed expression of the type II OSM receptor on the mouse MG-63 osteoblast cell line [128] and also noted differences in levels of receptors for gp130 cytokines among the various lines used. The effects of OSM and gp130 cytokines likely depend on the stage of bone progenitor cells which may differentially express sufficient receptors, since IL-6/solubleIL-6receptor, or IL-11/solubleIL-11R, could induce osteoblast markers in murine embryonic fibroblasts, whereas OSM or CT-1 could not [129].

Subsequent studies indicated that both LIF and OSM could regulate rat calvarial cell osteoblast differentiation processes, where OSMRβ was highly expressed early in osteoblastogenesis but plateaued or decreased later in the culture system [130]. The same group went on to show that using the same rat calvarial bone nodule assay system, the presence of mouse OSM (but not LIF; IL-11, or IL-6/sIL-6R) early in the cultures (days 1–3) increased bone colony numbers [131] but also may have had apoptotic effects in the rat calvarial cell culture systems. Thus the regulation of bone formation in assays in vitro appears to be sensitive to time-dependent presence of gp130 cytokines including OSM.

More recent studies using the species homologous cytokine and cell system more accurately reflect function of OSM and gp130 cytokines in vitro and in vivo. Overexpression of mouse OSM upon intra-articular administration of Ad-mOSM in mouse knees using Adenovirus vectors induced bone apposition [132] along the periosteum in vivo, accompanied by the marked upregulation of cartilage catabolism in the synovial joint and growth plate damage [117]. The effect of mouse OSM in vitro on murine preosteoblastic C2C12 cells showed increases in alkaline phosphatase upon combination with bone morphogenic protein (BMP)-2 [132], suggesting recruitment of BMPs or other factors in OSM-induced effects on periosteal bone formation in vivo. The site of OSM overexpression very much affects the observations in vivo. Administration of Ad vector expressing mouse OSM into the tibia bone marrow of mice showed marked increase in bone apposition without any signs of bone resorption [133]. More recently, Walker et al. [86] have observed an osteopetrotic phenotype in mice lacking OSMRβ. This is in contrast to expectations on the basis of osteopetrotic phenotype of bovine OSM transgenic mice, with the proviso that the LIFReceptor was likely engaged in that system. Indeed, Walker et al. [86] suggest that mouse OSM can regulate OSMR/- osteoblasts and postulate this acts through the mouse LIFR, in contrast to the generally accepted notion that such an interaction is not functional in mice. Also proposed was that OSM/OSMRβ interaction was responsible for osteoclast differentiation in their system. In a follow-up study this group provides evidence, using wild-type and OSMRβ deficient mice, that OSM participates in the anabolic effects of parathyroid hormone [134] and thus may be integrated mechanistically into PTH standard therapy for osteoporosis in humans.

Studies done in human cell culture systems showed that conditioned medium of LPS-stimulated human monocyte/macrophages could induce differentiation of osteoblasts from human mesenchymal stem cells (MSC) [133], and antibodies to OSM or to LIF partially inhibited supernatant activities in osteogenesis. Antibodies to both OSM and LIF completed abrogated the effect. Knockdown by siRNA of receptor subunits of OSMRβ, gp130, or STAT3 also inhibited these effects [133], substantiating the role of OSM and its specific type II receptor in human osteoblastogenesis. This is further supported by recent work showing that cord-blood-derived macrophages released OSM that enhanced MSC differentiation toward osteoblasts [135], and another study that showed monocyte contact with MSC elevated OSM expression and induced osteoblast phenotype from MSC in a STAT-3 dependent manner [136]. In addition to differentiation processes, OSM can regulate chemokine expression such as MCP-1/CCL-2 in osteoblasts [137] and thus may further contribute to cell accumulation in inflammatory arthritis through this pathway.

7.2. Osteoclastogenesis. It is well established that bone metabolism is a net balance of osteoblast activity and osteoclast activity. Osteoclastogenesis is also regulated by gp130 cytokines, and this contributes to a complex regulation of net bone metabolism by cytokines and growth factors. In the mouse coculture system using calvaria osteoblasts and bone marrow as source of osteoclast precursors, mOSM markedly increased osteoclast phenotypes (TRAP+) cells as did mouse LIF and mouse CT-1, whereas IL-6 did not (without the sIL-6R present) [138]. The addition of glucocorticosteroid synergistically enhanced the effects on osteoclastogenesis and may in part be due to the regulation of receptor chains for gp130 cytokines in vitro. Palmqvist et al. [139] showed
that mouse calvarial bone explants responded with mineral and collagen release when stimulated with mouse OSM, human OSM, or LIF and regulated the expression of RANK and osteoprotegerin (OPG) in this system. The addition of OPG could inhibit the bone resorbing activity, suggesting a mediation through the RANK/RANKL in mouse calvarial bone.

7.3. Adipogenesis. The function of OSM in stimulating differentiation from multipotential mesenchymal precursor cells clearly shows it can inhibit adipogenesis while simultaneously stimulating osteoblastogenesis (as do other gp130 cytokines). One of the original observations regarding family member IL-11 biological activity was as an adipose inhibitory factor [140–142] and also as an inducer of osteoblastogenesis [129]. It became clear that several gp130 cytokines alter differentiation pathways of multipotential mesenchymal cells, switching development toward osteoblastogenesis and inhibiting pathways to adipocytes. OSM could skew osteoblasts from adipocytes in bone marrow stromal cell lines (e.g., TBR341-2) or skew skeletal muscle differentiation from smooth muscle cells (e.g., TBRB) [143]. In human adipose-derived mesenchymal stem cells, OSM induced bone markers Runx2 and osteocalcin, while inhibiting adipocyte markers PPARy and lipoprotein lipase [144].

Oncostatin M inhibited the adipocyte differentiation of 3T3 preadipocytes most strongly among gp130 cytokines and through ERK and STAT5 signalling pathways [145]. OSM, LIF, and CT can downregulate the LIFR thus incorporating cross-talk and inhibition between these cytokines [146]. The very recent identification of the OSMRβ deficient mouse with increased adipose tissue upon aging, as well as glucose intolerance [87], paints a complex picture of the function of OSM and balance of bone and adipose upon aging in mice. Studies on OSMRβ deficient mice suggest that long term lack of OSM signalling induces an osteoporotic bone phenotype [86]; however, long term decrease on OSMRβ would presumably reduce both OSM and IL-31 signalling, and this may complicate the interpretation of effects in aging mice. Publications regarding the assessment of IL-31 in bone metabolism or on osteoclasts and osteoblasts are scarce at this time. Interestingly, serum OSM levels were shown to be inversely related to age in a study on human patients by Hamilton et al. [147], the significance of which is not clear presently.

8. Oncostatin M and Cancer

The originally described activity of OSM in inhibiting the proliferation of melanoma cell lines A375 cells and SK-MEL-28 as well as lung A549 carcinoma cells and HTB10 neuroblastoma cells in vitro [5] generated interest in consideration of OSM as an anticancer agent. This potential was explored for a while, concomitant with studies by researchers at Bristol-Myers Research Institute in examining anti-inflammatory properties of human OSM in mouse models of arthritis and EAE [148]. As discussed previously, the anti-inflammatory effects could not be attributed specifically to mouse OSM receptor activation. Preclinical studies of human OSM for cancer treatment have not been completed, possibly due to side effects of systemically administered protein. It was shown in 1993 that OSM stimulates growth of Kaposi's sarcoma cells [149] and that it could activate the PI3’K [44] Stat-1 and -3, ERK, and JNK pathways [45] in these cells in vitro. In the last 10 or so years, functions of OSM have been further explored in various different cancer and tumour systems.

8.1. Melanoma. Although the growth of human melanoma cell lines was inhibited by OSM in several studies, metastatic melanoma cell lines lack responsiveness to OSM and this was correlated to the loss of the specific OSMRβ chain [150]. Experiments by these investigators showed that histone deacetylase agents enhanced OSMRβ expression and responsiveness of the cell lines, suggesting that epigenetic mechanisms alter the nature of metastatic melanoma in vivo. Another study on the 1286 melanoma cell line showed high SOCS-3 expression [151], the natural inhibitor of JAK/SAT3 signalling, and that forced suppression of SOCS-3 could render the cells again responsive to inhibitory effects of OSM or IL-6. In examining human stage 3 melanoma patient populations retrospectively, Lacreusette et al. [152] found that relapse-free survival correlated to sensitivity to OSM or IL-6 antiproliferative effects but not to responses to other cytokines including IFNγ or TNFα. In further examining molecular mechanisms, some of the patient cell lines showed defects in signalling pathways including PKCdelta-dependent activation of serine phosphorylation (ser 727) on STAT-3, which was required for OSM inhibitory activity [153]. Thus, there are various mechanisms by which melanoma cells may escape inhibition by OSM or IL-6.

8.2. Osteosarcoma. The regulation of osteosarcoma by gp130 cytokines and other agents has been studied from several aspects (reviewed in [154]). Chipoy et al. found that OSM could regulate rat osteosarcoma cell proliferation [155] and reduced osteoblast markers in differentiated osteosarcoma cells as well as mature osteoblasts but not in early mesenchymal progenitors. Further studies showed that OSM could sensitize osteosarcoma cells to the effects of apoptosis inducing agents such as staurosporine [156, 157] through a mechanism involving STAT5 and p53. The efficacy of the related apoptotic-inducing agent Midostaurin in vivo was enhanced upon systemic upregulation of OSM by adenosiral overexpression of OSM in rats [158]. Somewhat in contrast, Fossey et al. [159] showed in both canine and human osteosarcoma systems in vitro that OSM induced STAT3 mediated MMP-2 and VEGF expression and invasiveness through matrigel, supporting an invasive phenotypic alteration. This difference could reflect in vitro versus in vivo effects or complexities in receptor usage by mouse OSM in rats.

8.3. Breast Cancer. Douglas et al. (1997) [160] showed that receptor chains for gp130 cytokines were present in a majority of breast cancer cell lines suggesting that these cytokines could regulate these cells’ function. OSM could inhibit the proliferation of 3/4 of the cell lines tested in that study, and
IL-11, LIF, or IL-6 could inhibit proliferation but in a smaller proportion of the cell lines studied.

In using immunohistochemistry and Western blots analysis, higher percentages of positive samples for OSM, LIF, and OSMRβ were seen in breast carcinoma and infiltrating tumours than in benign disease [161]. A recent study has shown that elevated OSMRβ levels were associated with a shorter recurrence-free and overall survival times [162]. Studies on the role of OSM in breast cancer have shown that a complex set of activities are likely involved.

OSM inhibits proliferation while increasing S100A9 expression [163] in MCF-7 breast cancer cells. S100A7 (Psoriasis) has also been shown to be induced by OSM in breast cancer cell lines [164]. Despite being able to inhibit proliferation in murine adenocarcinoma M6 and metastatic M6c cells, as observed in other cell breast cancer lines, Holzer et al. [165] showed that OSM increased M6 cell-cell and cell-substrate attachment and in vitro assays of invasive capabilities. Jorczyk et al. later showed similar actions in two different human breast cell lines [166], indicating consistency of observations in human and mouse systems. Snyder et al. have shown, using both mouse and human systems, that OSM induces fascin through a STAT3-dependent mechanism and thus contributes to a migratory and invasive phenotype of breast cancer cells [167]. Furthermore, more recent data suggests that c-myc serves as a switch to alter breast cancer cell responses to OSM, where c-myc elevation reduces its antiproliferative effects and elevates anchorage independent growth, indicative of a metastatic phenotype [168]. Indeed, while OSM has inhibitory effects on osteosarcoma and chondrosarcoma [169], its growth stimulatory effect on Ewing sarcoma was associated with elevated c-myc [170]. In addition, OSM can induce epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT) and cancer stem cell-like features in breast cancer cells in vitro [171, 172]. Collectively, these data indicate a battery of direct effects of OSM on breast cancer cells.

8.4. Other Cancers. A protumourigenic role in other cancer types has also been suggested. Rather than inhibiting proliferation, OSM stimulates the proliferation of SKOV3 ovarian cancer cells [173] and Ewing sarcoma cell lines [170]. With respect to cervical cancer, OSMRβ mRNA was elevated in cervical squamous cell carcinoma cells but not in squamous intraepithelial lesions [174]. The authors suggest that increase in the receptor expression was associated with late stages of cervical carcinogenesis and adversely with patient overall survival. A further study associated enhanced OSMR expression on cervical carcinoma cells with higher induction VEGF-A and endothelial tube formation in vitro, indicating angiogenic action [175]. Cell signaling upon OSM stimulation of tumour cells derived from neural tissue can also be detected, although responses vary, in that glioma cell line proliferation was not affected whereas OSM suppressed the proliferation of astrogliaoma cells [176, 177].

Both OSM and IL-6 could stimulate the proliferation of prostate cancer 22Rv1 cells [178] and stimulate u-Plasminogen Activator and VEGF in DU-145 prostate cancer cells [179]. In addition, OSM, along with LIF and IL-6, was detectable by immunohistochemistry in benign prostatic hyperplasia but expressed at increased levels in higher grade prostatic carcinoma [180]. Recently, Smith et al. [181] described data in mice to show in vivo that OSM and IL-6 paracrine secretion could enhance malignant progression of prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia that was generated by loss of PTEN function and thus PI3K/Akt pathway over-activation.

Lung cancer is particularly difficult to treat and results in by far the highest mortality rate among the major cancers. Epithelial cells are a major source of lung carcinomas although OSM and other gp130 cytokines generally inhibit proliferation of normal lung epithelial cells. However, OSM had less inhibitory action on premalignant epithelial cell lines, suggesting that epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT) alters responses to OSM and other cytokines [182]. The observation of EMT induction by OSM or hepatocyte growth factor was noted in lung cancer or pancreatic cancer cell lines in vitro [183], indicating that direct regulation of cancer cells by OSM can be enhanced by other factors. On the other hand, and interestingly, Wang et al. [184] have shown that, in a mouse xenograft system, factors produced by human mesenchymal stem cells could induce mesenchymal-to-epithelial transition (MET) of human lung adenocarcinoma cells, and antibody to OSM was able to reverse the inhibitory effect, suggesting a protective role of OSM.

Chen et al. [185] have noted that human lung adenocarcinoma cells mainly expressed a short form of the OSMRβ, which acts as a decoy receptor and thus decreased sensitivity to inhibitory effects of OSM on proliferation. The higher expression of this short form correlated with disease progression and poor prognosis. Furthermore, the OSMRβ was found to be frequently methylated in primary colon cancer tissues but not in normal tissues, and the methylation resulted in silencing of the receptor and decreased sensitivity to OSM inhibition of proliferation [186–188]. A spliced variant encoding a soluble form of OSMRβ was evident in esophageal cancer tissue but not normal tissues [189] and OSM receptor gene polymorphisms were described in a papillary thyroid cancer population [190]. Collectively, these works suggest that in digestive system cancers studied thus far, OSMRβ protein functions in tumour cells are downregulated, desensitizing them to a putative antiproliferative role of OSM.

8.5. OSM and the Tumour Environment. The growth of many solid tumours is crucially dependent on noncancerous stromal/inflammatory cells within the tumour mass or surrounding it. Cancer and inflammation are closely intertwined, and it is clear that invasion of normal tissue by malignancy generates a host inflammatory response to solid tissue tumours. Tumors that successfully expand must manipulate or navigate host responses. Both angiogenesis and ECM remodeling are required in the growth and structure and metastatic potential of solid tumors. A significant body of literature supports the implication of hematopoietic-derived tumour associated macrophages (TAMS) and tumour associated neutrophils (TANS) in contributing to the tumour growth (as reviewed in [191]). The activity of such tumour
associated nontumour “host” cells is clearly implicated in tumour progression, where cancer cells can benefit from normal organ repair mechanisms such as tissue remodeling and angiogenesis in order to expand. In addition to TAMs and TANs, the presence of altered fibroblast populations (cancer associated fibroblasts, CAFs) may also be crucial to significant tumour expansion [192, 193].

Since OSM and other gp130 cytokines participate in inflammatory mechanisms, their role in regulating tumour stromal cells in cancer progression is important to determine. In this context, the work by Queen et al. is interesting in that human neutrophils secrete Oncostatin M upon coculture with MDA-MB-231 or T47D human breast cancer cells [194]. A recent study has shown that breast cancer cell products can induce OSM from both monocytes and macrophages and that OSM cooperated with heparin-binding EGF-like growth factor (HB-EGF) to modulate tumour cell chemotaxis [195]. Recent work using the 4T1.2 mouse mammary tumor model showed that knockdown of OSM in 4T1.2 cells rendered them significantly less capable of establishing metastasis in bone, decreased osteoclastogenic action, and somewhat increased time of survival in the tumour resection model [196]. Thus breast tumour cell expression of OSM and modulation of nontumour stromal cells may recruit OSM into the cell interactions in vivo via TAMs or TANs or other cells such as osteoclasts. This suggestion is supported by recent data published by Benson et al. [197] who showed in a mouse model of pancreatic tumour metastasis to liver that OSM was localized by immuno-fluorescence to TAMs, but not TANs, in the tumour tissue. Although not known at this time, it would seem quite likely that OSM may also be involved in regulation of CAF phenotypes.

9. OSM and the Central Nervous System

Various studies have explored the functions of OSM in cells of the central nervous system (CNS) as previously reviewed [2] and its potential role in CNS inflammatory diseases. Detection of OSM by immunohistochemistry in brain tissues of multiple sclerosis patients showed localization to microglia, reactive astrocytes, and infiltrating leukocytes, whereas staining was not found in the parenchyma of normal brains [198]. Cerebral endothelial cell expression of MCP-1 and IL-6 are targets of OSM stimulation in vitro, as are elevations in astrocytes of COX-2, IL-6, VEGF, and PGE2 levels [199–201]. Astrocytoma gene responses to OSM include those involved in matrix remodelling and angiogenesis [202]. Glezer and Rivest [203] show that OSM enhances oligodendrocyte precursor activity which may function in tissue repair.

OSM activities upon exogenous intraventricular administration in mice include suppression of neural precursor cells (NPC) [204] in subventricular zones, consistent with in vitro suppression of NPC neurosphere generation by OSM but not LIF or CNTF. This is also consistent with these author’s observations that OSMRβ deficient mice have markedly more neural precursor cells in the brain subventricular zone [204]. Thus, OSM and OSMRβ may be important for CNS homeostasis, as also implied by the sensory defects in the OSM knockout mice discussed above [80].

10. Lung, Inflammation, and Extracellular Matrix

Documentation of altered levels of OSM in human airway disease has been published over the past several years. In 2005, Kang et al. showed that, in examining OSM mRNA and OSM protein, levels were higher in samples of nasal mucosa of patients with allergic rhinitis compared to that of normal subjects [205]. Later, Simpson et al. [206] detected elevated levels of OSM protein in human sputum samples from asthma patients with severe airflow obstruction. Mozaffarian et al. detected elevated levels of OSM in the bronchoalveolar fluid of patients with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF) [207] and increased expression of OSM in scleroderma-associated interstitial lung disease [208]. OSM has also been detected in bronchoalveolar lavage mast cells of patients with sarcoidosis [21]. Furthermore, Baines et al. [209] have shown data indicating samples of induced sputum from adult patients with chronic-obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) (n = 22) showed higher OSM levels than healthy controls (n = 29) as assessed by ELISA. In addition, OSM mRNA was elevated in COPD sputum cells as assessed by RT-PCR. These clinical studies validate the investigation of the role of OSM in these various lung conditions.

As in other chronic inflammatory diseases, the IL-6/gp130 cytokines have been implicated in inflammatory lung disease and are clearly accompanied by many cellular functions and interactions that are regulated by multiple factors. The role of cytokines has been reviewed extensively in other excellent reviews on allergic airway disease [210, 211] and fibrotic lung disease [212–214], the former of which has clearly been associated with Thelper 2 type cytokines including IL-4, IL-5, and IL-13 in mouse models and in atopic human asthma. Increases in fibrotic reactions are associated with severe asthma, particularly subepithelial collagen deposition which contributes to decline of lung function and gas exchange.

Increases in ECM are a central effect of diseases generating pulmonary fibrosis including conditions upon exposure to asbestos or silica, or that of unknown origin in IPF. Connective tissue cells are important targets of various molecules that induce collagens and other ECM components that contribute to the pathologic accumulation of matrix. The development of ECM remodeling in chronic lung inflammation could be dependent, at least in part, on OSM and/or its combinatorial effects with other cytokines on a variety of cells that can contribute to the inflammation and ECM deposits, including the lung fibroblast, lung myofibroblast, smooth muscle cells, and epithelial cells (see Figure 4).

10.1. Inflammation. Studies of gp130 cytokine overexpression in vivo were completed by DiCosmo et al. and his group, where transgenic mice overexpressing IL-6 in airway epithelial cells [215] showed increased CD4+ T cells and B220+ cell infiltration into lung and decreased sensitivity to methacholine in airway hyperreactivity assays. Their further
studies showed that transgenic IL-11 epithelial overexpression resulted in increased airway subepithelial fibrosis compared to IL-6 transgene [216, 217]. In using the adenovirus-mediated transient pulmonary transgenic overexpression system, it was later shown that mouse OSM induced a marked increase in airway ECM accumulation as well as increases in eosinophil infiltration in C57Bl/6 mice [218], whereas overexpression of IL-6 using the same adenovirus vector system in the rat had previously been shown to mediate increases in lymphocyte accumulation and antibody levels but did not result in the changes in ECM deposition [219,220]. These data suggest that OSM recruits additional pathways in vivo in the rodent lung further than those of IL-6 or IL-11. Recently we have shown that the AdOSM system induces inflammatory chemokines and cell infiltration that is largely dependent on IL-6; however, induction of accumulation/activation of B cells, dendritic cells, and CD4T cells did not require IL-6 [79], nor did the formation of induced bronchus associated lymphoid tissue (iBalt). This data implicates activities of OSM in lung in vivo that do not overlap with IL-6.

In contrast to effects in joints, overexpression of mouse OSM in lungs via Adenovirus vector (AdmOSM) induces marked eosinophil infiltration accompanied by elevation in eosinophil chemotactic factors [218, 221] and is characterized by increased collagen, fibronectin, and α-smooth muscle actin (SMA). In the mouse system, OSM induced eotaxin-1 in fibroblasts [218] in vitro, as well as expression of VCAM-1 by fibroblasts [63] which likely contribute to the attraction and passage of eosinophils through lung tissue compartments. The inflammatory effects of OSM overexpression are thus dependent on the site of transient overexpression, and the net result is likely due to differing cytokine milieu that is also present in a tissue-specific manner.

10.2. Airway Smooth Muscle Cells. The identification of OSM and its ability to induce eosinophil chemotactic factor expression in the mouse system [218] was complimented by studies investigating the effects of OSM on other lung cell populations. S. Shore's group generated a series of studies using human airway smooth muscle cell cultures and showed that human OSM and IL-6 (but not IL-11) induced STAT-3 activation and could synergize with IL-1 in upregulating COX-2 and PGE2 release [222]; the eotaxin-1 expression induced by IL-4 or IL-13 [223] could be synergistically enhanced with costimulation with OSM but not other gp130 cytokines [224], and this was associated with the induction
of the receptor chain IL-4Ra; human OSM induced VEGF expression and also synergized with IL-1β in the regulation of VEGF [225], and this was associated with the upregulation of the IL-1Receptor.

10.3. Lung Fibroblasts. Effects of OSM on human lung fibroblasts in culture have been identified including older studies showing upregulation of TIMP-1 and synergistic upregulation of IL-6 when OSM was combined with IL-1α to stimulate cells [226]. Human lung fibroblast proliferation and collagen synthesis (but not apoptosis) can be induced by OSM [227]. These effects could be inhibited by pharmacological agents that inhibited MAP kinases or tyrosine kinases and also suggest a significant role in lung inflammatory diseases [228]. Hepatocyte growth factor expression could also be elevated by OSM in lung fibroblast cell cultures [229]. The studies showing synergistic actions of OSM with IL-4 or with IL-13 in the induction of chemotactic factors such as eotaxin-1 were reproduced using mouse lung fibroblasts in a STAT-6 dependent mechanism [63] and were also associated with elevation of IL-4Ra [230]. These data point to the potential for OSM to combine with Th2 cytokines in mediating lung pathology and the generation of both inflammatory cell accumulation as well as ECM deposition. Cell signaling in fibroblasts in response to OSM includes the activation of STAT-1, -3, -5, -6, as well as p38 MAPK, ERK-1, -2, and protein Kinase C delta [62–64, 231] in vitro, indicating that a variety of fibroblasts functions can be modified by OSM.

Although TGFβ-SMAD3 signaling has been considered a central mediator in the generation of fibrotic responses and α-SMA expressing myofibroblasts, very recent data using human lung fibroblast cultures have shown that OSM can enhance gel contraction and α-SMA expression in vitro through a STAT3-mediated pathway that is independent in vitro on TGFβ or PGE [232]. The ability of OSM to induce a myofibroblast-like phenotype in these cells suggests the contribution of this pathway to myofibroblast accumulation in lung conditions involving fibrosis. STAT3 activation may be central to mechanism in conditions such as pulmonary fibrosis [233]. Hyperelevated activation of STAT-3 in a genetic mouse model system has also recently been shown to render animals highly susceptible to fibrosis in the bleomycin model of lung fibrosis, whereas hypoactivation of STAT3 rendered these mice protected [234]. Induction of ECM by overexpression byAdOSM in mouse lung did not absolutely require TGFβ-SMAD3 signaling and indeed induces sustained STAT3 activation [234]. While many different cytokines can activate STAT3, sustained activation of STAT3 by OSM may be indicative of OSM/STAT3 signaling as prominent in ECM remodeling in lung in certain conditions.

10.4. Epithelial Cells and EMT. Damage to epithelium and activation of epithelial wound healing mechanisms are thought to play important roles in fibrosis of tissues at mucosal surfaces [235]. Lung epithelial cells of various derivations respond to OSM in vitro, including the adenocarcinoma A549 type 2 alveolar line with induction of α-1 antitrypsin [236] and increases in alkaline phosphatase [237]. OSM synergized with house dust mite extract to induce PGE2 in these cells in vitro [238]. Distinct signaling pathways in these cells by OSM compared to IL-31 or other gp130 cytokines have also been noted [66]. Secretoglobins can be regulated by OSM in transformed Clara cells [239]. Cell cultures from normal human bronchial brushings respond robustly to OSM, moderately to IL-6, and little to LIF in vitro [182]. Lung epithelial cells also respond to OSM and IL-4 with synergy in expression of chemokines such as eotaxin-1 (C. D. Richards, unpublished). Although OSM has been shown to induce EMT in a transformed lung epithelial cell line [183], it is not clear if nontransformed lung epithelial cells behave similarly.

Interestingly, kidney proximal tubule cells were shown to undergo EMT in response to peripheral blood mononuclear-conditioned medium and to OSM in vitro [240]. On the other hand, Sarközi et al. [241] completed work showing that OSM inhibited TGFβ-induced EMT in human proximal tubule cells. Tissues from patients with chronic obstructive nephropathy displayed elevated OSM expression, and in the mouse model of unilateral ureteral obstruction (UOO), kidney expression of OSM and the OSMRβ subunit were elevated within a short period of time following obstruction [242]. Studies have also shown suppression of renin in a kidney cell line by OSM that requires STAT5 [243]. These data suggest that further studies clarifying functions of OSM in kidney disease are merited.

11. OSM and Inflammatory Skin Conditions

Studies examining functions of OSM on cells derived from skin date back to the work of Duncan et al. [244] who showed in vitro that human OSM could regulate collagen and glycosaminoglycan production, as well as mRNA transcripts for collagen I and III, hyaluronic acid, chondroitin, and dermatan sulphate in microcultures of skin fibroblasts. In examining the molecular mechanisms of collagen gene induction, Ihn et al. [245] showed that Sp1/Sp3 transcription factors bind to regions in the collagen α-2 (I) promoter and were important in OSM responsiveness, although changes to the binding of this particular site were not readily apparent due to OSM stimulation. Later studies showed that OSM induced dermal fibroblast growth through MAPK kinase signalling [246] and could potentely regulate chemokine expression in these cells [247]. Peripheral blood monocytes from patients with systemic sclerosis produced more OSM than those from control patients [248] indicating potential participation in manifestation of skin disorders.

Transcriptional studies on keratinocytes indicated that OSM could regulate many genes including those of growth factors, angiogenesis, and tissue remodelling [249]. OSM induced keratinocyte migration and reconstituted epidermal thickness [250]. Moreover, these investigators showed that the receptor chains gp130 and OSMRβ, but not LIFR chain, were present in keratinocytes indicating functional type II OSM receptors and that both OSM and OSMRβ transcripts were elevated in skin lesions from both psoriatic patients and atopic dermatitis [250] compared to healthy skin samples.
This study also showed that OSM was expressed in lymphocyte populations derived from both psoriasis and dermatitis lesions. OSM appears to act in concert with other skin inflammatory mediators IL-1α, TNF, IL-17A, and IL-22 to regulate several genes implicated in psoriasis, including S100A9 and several chemokines [251]. Giot et al. [252] have recently shown that OSM is expressed in hypertensive leg ulcer lesions and contributes along with IL-1β to the maximizing of lesion pathology. Thus OSM interacts in networks of cytokines in skin disease, and how IL-31 fits into this network as a mediator in pruritic skin conditions [253] will be intriguing.

12. Atherosclerosis and Cardiovascular Disease

Atherosclerosis is currently widely accepted as an inflammatory condition involving aspects of both the innate and the acquired arms of the immune system. The atherosclerotic lesion is characterized by endothelial cell activation resulting from damage due to a variety of stimuli and this leads to accumulation of infiltrating inflammatory cells, particularly macrophages and lymphocytes. Cells such as macrophages acquire large amounts of cholesterol and become foam cells, and over time atherosclerotic plaques undergo substantial changes with continued cell infiltration, the formation of necrotic cores, proliferation and migration of smooth muscle cells, and extracellular matrix remodelling. The role of inflammation in atherosclerosis has been increasing in prominence as a major factor in etiopathogenesis (reviewed in [254–256]); however, the precise mechanisms of inflammation in vessel walls in atherosclerotic disease are not definitively characterized. Pro-inflammatory or innate cytokines released by macrophages including IL-1 and TNF have been implicated in the development of atherosclerosis in mouse models [257] whereas opposing roles of IL-6 have been described [258, 259] that may be dependent on the experimental model used.

The involvement of macrophages is clearly indicated in development of early plaque as well as abundance in ruptured plaques [260, 261]. Macrophages are primary sources of OSM and IL-6, and the effects of OSM in atherosclerosis and cardiovascular disease is becoming apparent. Several studies have examined OSM stimulation of endothelial cells, including expression of IL-6 [262], G-CSF, and GM-CSF [263], adhesion molecules such as p-selectin, L-selectin, and VCAM-1 in vitro [264, 265], and increased neutrophil rolling and adhesion to human umbilical cord endothelium in flow conditions [266]. OSM also induced microvascular endothelial cell angiogenesis [267] associated with COX-2 elevation, elevated Angiopoietin-2 [268], and showed angiogenic activity in vivo in rabbits [269]. Furthermore, OSM action has been observed in vascular smooth muscle cells (SMC) including proliferation of rabbit aortic SMC [270] and induction of VEGF, IL-6, and COX-2 in human vascular SMC [271, 272]. Collectively, the data suggest a proatherosclerotic function of OSM.

OSM ligand could be detected in human aortic aneurism tissue [264], and more recently OSM ligand was detected in both human aortic atherosclerotic lesions and in ApoE knockout mouse atherosclerotic lesions [273]. OSM functions in atherosclerosis are likely complex on the basis of its ability to regulate endothelial cells, vascular SMC, and angiogenesis. Likewise, the functions of OSM in myocardial infarction and remodelling are complex. The identification of regulation by OSM of cardiomyocyte expression of PAI-1 [274], VEGF [275], and TIMP-1 [276] and later SDF-1 [277] suggested roles in inflammation, repair, and tissue regeneration of damaged heart tissue. Kubin et al. [278] completed work to show that OSM mediates cardiac myocyte dedifferentiation in vitro and in vivo, can upregulate stem cell markers, and improve cardiac function after infarct but also has a deleterious effect in models of chronic dilated cardiomyopathy. Further study would help clarify potential for modification of OSM or its signalling for a therapeutic goal in cardiac and/or vascular disease.

13. Summary

Accumulated literature has described an array of OSM homeostatic functions including hematopoiesis, bone and fat turnover, CNS development, and liver regeneration. Data showing pro-inflammatory roles of OSM in joint, skin, lung, and vascular diseases are compelling and collectively suggest that OSM actions in vivo depend on context of the particular tissue/organ and cytokine milieu present. Thus OSM amplifies inflammatory responses differently in specific tissues, reduces thresholds of cytokine needed to initiate tissue inflammation and pathology, and likely does so in part through upregulation of receptors for other cytokines. Its role in cancers depends on the cancer type, where along with antiproliferative actions it also imparts an increased invasive phenotype in some tumours, whereas in others OSM can stimulate proliferation of the cancer cells. With effects in inflammation and angiogenesis, OSM may also play a role in modulating tumour microenvironment that facilitates tumour growth.

OSM has unique functions from other gp130 cytokines both in vitro and in vivo that involve pathways specifically invoked by the OSMRβ/gp130 or type II OSM receptor. However, since its activities range over so many cell types, targeting of either reduced- or enhanced-OSM signaling to specific tissues or organs, in a time sensitive fashion, would be required to enable approaches with less undesirable or unpredicted side effects. Generating phenotypic changes ex vivo in precursor cells such as MSC and reintroducing these for benefit, for example, in bone repair, may be possible in the future. The differences in species specificity of the ligand and receptor interactions complicate the development of preclinical models in rodents, although use of rat models may assist with this issue. In summary, OSM has shown to be an intriguing cytokine with still more to understand about its biology, due to its pleiotropic nature and ability to regulate several organ systems, if one were to effectively manipulate its function for therapeutic benefit.
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