Molecular and morphological analysis of the developing nemertean brain indicates convergent evolution of complex brains in Spiralia
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Abstract

Background: The brain anatomy in the clade Spiralia can vary from simple, commissural brains (e.g., gastrotrichs, rotifers) to rather complex, partitioned structures (e.g., in cephalopods and annelids). How often and in which lineages complex brains evolved still remains unclear. Nemerteans are a clade of worm-like spiralians, which possess a complex central nervous system (CNS) with a prominent brain, and elaborated chemosensory and neuroglandular cerebral organs, which have been previously suggested as homologs to the annelid mushroom bodies. To understand the developmental and evolutionary origins of the complex brain in nemerteans and spiralians in general, we investigated details of the neuroanatomy and gene expression in the brain and cerebral organs of the juveniles of nemertean Lineus ruber.

Results: In the juveniles, the CNS is already composed of all major elements present in the adults, including the brain, paired longitudinal lateral nerve cords, and an unpaired dorsal nerve cord, which suggests that further neural development is mostly related with increase in the size but not in complexity. The ultrastructure of the juvenile cerebral organ revealed that it is composed of several distinct cell types present also in the adults. The 12 transcription factors commonly used as brain cell type markers in bilaterians show region-specific expression in the nemertean brain and divide the entire organ into several molecularly distinct areas, partially overlapping with the morphological compartments. Additionally, several of the mushroom body-specific genes are expressed in the developing cerebral organs.

Conclusions: The dissimilar expression of molecular brain markers between L. ruber and the annelid Platynereis dumerilii indicates that the complex brains present in those two species evolved convergently by independent expansions of non-homologous regions of a simpler brain present in their last common ancestor. Although the same genes are expressed in mushroom bodies and cerebral organs, their spatial expression within organs shows apparent differences between annelids and nemerteans, indicating convergent recruitment of the same genes into patterning of non-homologous organs or hint toward a more complicated evolutionary process, in which conserved and novel cell types contribute to the non-homologous structures.
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**Background**

Nemertea is a clade of ca. 1300 described species of unsegmented worms, which predominantly occur in marine environments [1–3]. Phylogenetically, they belong to the large animal group called Spiralina (together with, e.g., annelids, mollusks and flatworms) [4–12]; however, despite recent progress in molecular phylogenetics, their exact position on the spiralian tree of life remains controversial [6–8, 10, 13].

Most nemerteans are active predators, which hunt for their invertebrate prey using a specialized eversible proboscis, a morphological apomorphy of the clade [1, 14–18]. This active lifestyle is accompanied by a relatively complex nervous system, which is coupled with an extensive complement of neuropeptides [19–21] and includes a large, multilobed brain (with two ventral and two dorsal lobes), a pair of lateral medullary nerve cords, vast peripheral network, and multiple specialized sensory organs [17, 18, 21–31]. Among the latter, the most conspicuous are the so-called cerebral (or cephalic) organs — paired structures of neurosecretory and either chemosensory function, located on the lateral sides of the head [17, 18, 22–26, 30, 32–35]. The exact arrangement of the cerebral organs varies between nemertean clades from relatively simple, innervated ciliated pits present in some Tubulaniformes, to the complex neuroglandular organs structurally integrated with the brain and connected through the convoluted ciliated canals directly to the external environment in lineid heteronemerteans [17, 18, 22–26, 29, 30, 34, 35]. Yet, even in the species, in which the cerebral organs are well integrated into the brain, they initially develop from the separated ciliated canals that proximally fuse with the brain during ontogenesis [36–39]. The phylogenetic analysis of morphological traits in nemerteans indicated that cerebral organs were already present in the last common nemertean ancestor [23]. However, it remains unclear, whether the cerebral organs represent an autapomorphy of nemerteans or homologs to some organs present in other spiralians such as ciliated pits of flatworms [32, 40] or mushroom bodies of annelids [22, 41, 42]. The main argument for the homology of nemertean cerebral organs and ciliated pits present in some platyhelminths is the morphological similarity of both structures, which are composed of ciliated canal and the conspicuous neuroglandular, ganglion-like mass that connects directly to the brain [32, 40, 43]. On the other hand, the cerebral organs show some structural and functional similarities with the mushroom bodies of annelids [22] and both organs share high expression levels of proteins with the alleged function in memory and learning [42].

In the present study, we describe the detailed morphology of the nervous system and gene expression in the brain and cerebral organs of the juveniles of *Lineus ruber* (Müller, 1774), a directly developing lineid heteronemertean. *L. ruber* has been studied in the past for both adult morphology [21, 23, 25–28, 31–33] and some aspects of its development [31, 36, 44], including the molecular patterning of anterior-posterior axis, germ layers, and lateral nerve cords [38, 45]. Comparison of our data with the existing morphological descriptions of the adult nervous system in *L. ruber* [21, 23, 25–28, 31–33] and other closely related species allows a better understanding of the ontogeny of the complex nemertean nervous system. Additionally, juxtaposition of gene expression profiles in the developing brain of *L. ruber* with that of other Spiralia [45–53] can pinpoint similarities and differences in the molecular patterning of the spiralian brains in general, which in turn can inform evolution of the complex nemertean brain. Moreover, by comparing gene expression in cerebral organs of *L. ruber* and mushroom bodies of a comprehensively studied annelid *P. dumerilii* [54], we can provide new data to test the homology hypothesis of the cerebral organs of nemerteans and mushroom bodies of annelids.

**Results**

**Morphology of the nervous system in the juvenile *L. ruber***

The investigated juveniles of *L. ruber* were freshly hatched from the egg mass, 42 days after oviposition [38]. We visualized the nervous system of the juveniles by applying antibody staining against tyrosinated tubulin, FMRF-amide, and serotonin (5-HT), as well as Sytox green nuclear staining and fluorescent in situ mRNA hybridization of the choline acetyltransferase (*ChAT*), a genetic marker of the cholinergic neurons [55].

Forty-two-day-old juveniles have already all major components of the nervous system (Figs. 1 and 2), which is composed of (1) central nervous system (CNS) with brain, two lateral nerve cords (LNCs) connected by a postpharyngeal and posterior commissures and a single dorsal nerve cord (DNC); (2) stomatogastric nervous system (SNS), especially well developed in the pharyngeal region; (3) innervation of the proboscis; (4) network of fine peripheral nerves in the trunk; (5) a pair of large cerebral organs; and (6) other sensory structures such as frontal organs and frontal sensory nerves.

The brain is located anteriorly and is divided into four lobes: two ventral (*vbl*, Figs. 1 and 2B, F, H, I) and two dorsal ones (*dbc*, Figs. 1 and 2A, E). Each lobe is composed of the internal neuropile and the external layer of perikarya (Fig. 2C–F, J). Anteriorly both dorsal and ventral lobes are connected by dorsal (*dbc* Figs. 1 and 2A, C, E, G, I) and ventral (*vbc* Figs. 1 and 2B, D, F, H–J) brain commissures, respectively. Thus, the brain neuropile forms a ring around rhynchocoel and proboscis (Fig. 1). Posteriorly, each dorsal brain lobe is further divided into an inferior and a superior branch. The former
connects directly to the cerebral organ (see below), while the latter ends blindly on the dorsal side of the animal (Figs. 1 and 2E). The neuropiles of the ventral lobes posteriorly give rise to the LNCs (Fig. 2D, H, J). FMRF-amide-like immunoreactive (FLIR) perikarya and ChAT+ cells have been observed in both dorsal and ventral brain lobes (Fig. 2A–F), while serotonin-like immunoreactive (SLIR) perikarya are present only in the ventral lobes (Fig. 2H–I). Both dorsal and ventral commissures and neuropiles of all brain lobes are composed of FLIR, SLIR, and tyrosinated tubulin-like immunoreactive (TLIR) neurites (Fig. 2A–D, G–J).

Three longitudinal nerve cords originate from the brain: a pair of thick LNCs (ln, Figs. 1 and 2A, B, D, F, H–J) and a finer, unpaired DNC (dn, Figs. 1 and 2A, G, I). The LNCs are composed of an external layer of perikarya and an internal neuropile (and hence represent medullary nerve cords [56]). The neuropiles are densely packed with TLIR, SLIR and FLIR neurites (ln, Fig. 2A, B, D, H–J), while numerous ChAT+ neuronal cell bodies as well as more sparsely distributed FLIR and SLIR perikarya are mostly present in the anterior section of each LNC (Fig. 2B, D, F, I, J). The LNCs are connected behind the pharynx by a medullary postpharyngeal commissure (ppc, Fig. 2B, F, H, J), which is composed of TLIR and SLIR neurites as well as few FLIR and numerous ChAT+ perikarya (Fig. 2F, J). At the end of the animal body, both LNCs converge in a posterior commissure (pc, Figs. 1 and 2B, H), which shows the same immunoreactivity patterns as neuropiles of LNCs. The DNC originates from the dorsal brain commissure. Compared to the LNCs, it is much finer and does not seem to be associated with any perikarya (Fig. 2A, G, I). It is composed of only a few TLIR and SLIR neurites, while anteriorly, a pair of fine FLIR dorsal accessory nerves branch out from it (adn, Figs. 1 and 2A). At the level of the pharynx, a fine, SLIR and TLIR dorsal commissure connects dorsal and lateral nerve cords (dc, Figs. 1 and 2I).

The SNS is composed of thick TLIR, FLIR, and SLIR pharyngeal nerves, which originate from the ventral brain lobes and meander around the pharynx (phn, Figs. 1 and 2C, D, J). Numerous sensory FLIR and SLIR cells are located along the pharyngeal nerves (Fig. 1; double arrowheads Fig. 2D, J). Each of those cells has a basal connection to the pharyngeal nerve and an apical process pointing toward the pharyngeal lumen.

Some neural structures are also associated with the proboscis. Two longitudinal TLIR and FLIR nerves extend along the proboscis (pn, Figs. 1 and 2C); however, their exact origin in the brain remains unclear. Scattered ChAT+ cells, of probably sensory function, are present in the epidermis of the proboscis (yellow arrowheads, inset in Fig. 2E).

The extensive network of peripheral nerves was detected in the trunk, especially evident on the ventral side of the animal. It is composed of regular transverse ventral TLIR commissures (Fig. 1; arrowheads, Fig. 2B), some of which are additionally SLIR (arrowheads, Fig. 2H–J). A less regular network of SLIR intraepidermal...
neurites is present on both dorsal and ventral sides of the juvenile (Fig. 2G–J).

A pair of conspicuous cerebral organs is located on the lateral sides of the head, just behind the brain (co, Figs. 1 and 2C, E). More details of their morphology can be found in the following section. Other sensory structures, detected in addition to the cerebral organs, includes FLIR and ChAT+ anterior sensory cells (asc, Figs. 1 and 2C, E), which likely contribute to the so-called frontal organs [22, 25–27], and numerous SLIR cephalic nerves extending anteriorly from the brain (an, Figs. 1 and 2H). Although 42-day-old juveniles already
possess rudiments of eyespots [38], we were not able to conclusively detect them in our investigation.

EdU staining in 60-day-old juveniles showed that most of the brain cells at this later developmental stage are not mitotically active in contrast to the cells in other organs, such as proboscis, rhynchocoel, or cerebral organs (Fig. 3A and B).

Detailed morphology of the cerebral organs

Each cerebral organ is composed of two parts: a distal ciliated canal (cc, Figs. 1, 2C, and 4B, C), which opens to the exterior on the side of the head (in the posterior part of the so-called lateral cephalic slit), and a proximal neuroglandular portion (co, Figs. 1 and 2C). The lumen of the ciliated canal is slightly curved in 42-day-old juveniles, but the characteristic triple right-angle bends, present in the adult lineids [32–34] are not yet evident (cc, Fig. 2C). The ciliated canal connects the external environment with the neuroglandular part, which itself is firmly attached to the inferior branch of the dorsal brain lobe (Figs. 1, 2E, and 4B, C). A thick TLIR and FLIR neurite bundles of cerebral organ extend from the most posterior part of the dorsal lobe neuropile and penetrates the neuroglandular portion of the cerebral organ (con, Fig. 4C). We detected a few FLIR and much more numerous ChAT+ cells in the neuroglandular portion of the organ (arrowhead, Fig. 4C and arrow, Fig. 4B, respectively), while serotonin-like immunoreactivity was not detected (data not shown).

To gain further insight into the morphology of the cerebral organs, we supplemented the aforementioned confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM) based methods with ultrathin sectioning of resin-embedded specimens (60-day-old juveniles) and TEM examination of the organ. That allowed us to describe the fine structure of the cerebral organ and ultrastructure of the particular cell types contributing to it. Since all detected cell types correspond directly to the ones described previously by Ling in his investigation of adult L. ruber [32], we adopted the terminology used therein.

We investigated cross-sections through the neuroglandular portion of the cephalic organ. The mass of the organ is located between the proboscis and the lateral nerve cords (Fig. 4A) and it is penetrated by both the cerebral organ nerve (con) and the ciliated canal (cc). The ciliated canal is divided into two parallel parts: a larger major ciliated canal (mjc) and a smaller minor ciliated canal (mnc) (Fig. 4G). Based on the ultrastructure, six distinct cell types can be distinguished in the sectioned area of the cerebral organ. The most numerous are cells corresponding to the type 1 bipolar cells (bc1) described by Ling [32] that constitute the majority of the neuroglandular mass (Fig. 4D, E). Their relatively small nuclei are roughly polygonal in cross-section and have dark nucleoplasm with the irregularly distributed chromatin (Fig. 4D). The very similar cells, type 2 bipolar cells following classification by Ling [32], are much less frequent (bc2, Fig. 4E). They have the same nuclear size and shape as well as chromatin arrangement as bc1, but their nucleoplasm is electron-translucent (Fig. 4E). A relatively few ganglion cells (gc) are present in the vicinity of the nerve of cerebral organ (Fig. 4D, E). Those cells have large nuclei that are almost circular in section and display an electron-translucent nucleoplasm with nucleolus and irregularly distributed chromatin (Fig. 3).
Fig. 4. Detailed morphology of cerebral organs in juveniles of *L. ruber*. TEM micrographs of cerebral organs in 60-day-old juvenile, showing cross-section (A) and details of particular regions of the organ (D-G). Z-projections of cerebral organs in 42-day-old juveniles visualized with Sytox green nuclear staining and in situ hybridization with probe against ChAT (cyan and red, respectively; B) and antibodies against FMRF-amide and tyrosinated tubulin (magenta and yellow; respectively; C). Cerebral organs are outlined in red (A) and white (B, C). Orientation inside the animal is indicated in the top-right corners in A–C (A, anterior; P, posterior; D, dorsal; V, ventral; M, median; L, lateral). Micrographs in D–G show magnified areas of A. White outlined boxes on E, F, and G indicate areas magnified in corresponding insets. ax, neuroglia axon; bc1, bipolar cell type 1; bc2, bipolar cell type 2; bv, blood vessel; cc, ciliated canal; ccc, ciliated canal cell; con, cerebral organ nerve; cr, ciliary rootlet; dbl, dorsal brain lobe; ds, desmosome; ga, Golgi apparatus; gc, ganglion cell; lcc, dilated cilia of lappet cell; lnc, longitudinal nerve cord; mjc, major ciliated canal; mnc, minor ciliated canal; mt, mitochondrion; ng, neuroglia; ngc, neuroglandular cell; pb, proboscis; ry, rhynchocoel. White arrow indicates ChAT+ cells in cerebral organ, and white arrowhead FMRF-amide-like immunoreactivity in cerebral organ.
On the dorso-lateral side of the cerebral organ a single, large, irregularly shaped cell (ngc, Fig. 4D–F) exhibits the same ultrastructure as neuroglandular cells, identified by Ling [32]. Its branching, spacious cytoplasm is filled with numerous electron-dense inclusions. Additionally, the Golgi apparatus was observed in the cytoplasm (ga; inset, Fig. 4F). A single cell that was observed on the opposite, ventro-median side of the organ (ng, fig. 5).
Fig. 4E), corresponds ultrastructurally to the neuropil cell type observed in adults [32]. It is less voluminous than the neuroglandular cell, has a darker cytoplasm, and is more densely packed inclusions. A cross-section through the cellular process with the similar ultrastructure (corresponding to the neuropil axons described by Ling in adults [32]) is visible ca. 3 μm from the neuropil cell body (ax; inset, Fig. 4E). The cells of the ciliated canal (ccc) represent the last cell type visible on the examined cross-section (Fig. 4D, E). The apical surface of those cells is densely packed with cilia, which are equipped with asymmetrically bifurcating ciliary rootlets (cr; inset, Fig. 4G). Numerous mitochondria are present just below the ciliary rootlets, while the lateral sides of the cells are connected apically by desmosomes (mt and ds, respectively; inset, Fig. 4G). The cilia on the border of the major and the minor canals (lcc) are characteristically dilated and form a septum that divides both canals (inset, Fig. 4G). Those cilia indicate the presence of the seventh cell type, lappet cells, although the cells themselves could not be distinguished from the other cells of the ciliated canal.

EdU staining of mitotically active cells in the 60-day-old juveniles indicated intensive proliferation in cerebral organs, especially in its anterior region (Fig. 3B).

Gene expression in the head

We investigated expression of 12 transcription factors (TFs), which have a role in CNS development of many bilaterians. Those genes include the conserved general brain markers (otx, bf1), genes involved in brain regional specification (pax6, nk2.1, nk2.2, rx, otp), and other neural genes, which are co-expressed in the annelid mushroom bodies (dach, emx, arx,svp, tll).

Expression of otx has been previously described for earlier developmental stages of L. ruber, in which the gene has a general anterior expression in the head [38]. In the 42-day-old juveniles, which we investigated, the gene otx is predominantly expressed in the brain (Fig. 5A, B) and cerebral organs (Figs. 5A and 6B). In the brain, otx is broadly and uniformly expressed both in dorsal and ventral lobes (Fig. 5A, B). In the cerebral organs, it is also widely expressed, both in the ciliated canal and neuroglandular part (Fig. 6B). A similar expression pattern of otx in the brain and cerebral organs has been also reported from developing juveniles of closely related Lineus viridis [57].

bf1 is expressed in the brain, cerebral organs, and scattered cells in the anterior epidermis and in the rhynchoeol (Figs. 5C, D and 6C). In the brain, bf1 is broadly expressed in the dorsal lobe (Fig. 5C), but in the ventral one it is only detectable in the lateral clusters of cells (Fig. 5D). The detected expression of bf1 in the cerebral organ is very strong in the neuroglandular part, whereas we did not detect a signal in the ciliated canal (Fig. 6C).

Expression of pax6, nk2.1, and nk2.2 has been previously investigated in the juveniles of L. ruber in relation to the nerve cord patterning [45]; however, the expression of those three genes in the brain was not described in the details that we provide here. In the head region, pax6 is expressed in the brain and the epidermal cells of the lateral cephalic slits and in the cerebral organs (Figs. 6A).
The gene is broadly expressed in the dorsal lobes (Fig. 5E), while in the ventral ones its expression is restricted to the lateral portions of the brain (Fig. 5F). In the cerebral organs, the gene is expressed in the stripe of cells on the lateral side of the neuroglandular portion (Fig. 6D).

In the head region, nk2.1 is expressed in the brain and proboscis (Fig. 5G, H). In the dorsal lobes, the gene is expressed only in the small lateral clusters of cells (Fig. 5G), while on the ventral side the gene is broadly expressed both in the median and lateral domains (Fig. 5H). nk2.1 is not expressed in the cerebral organs.

nk2.2 is expressed in the brain, proboscis, and cerebral organs (Fig. 5I, J). In the dorsal brain lobes, the gene is expressed in large clusters of posterior cells and in scattered anterior domains (Fig. 5I), whereas ventrally, it is expressed in median and lateral cell clusters (Fig. 5I). Expression in the cerebral organs is detected in isolated domains of both ciliated canal and neuroglandular portion (Fig. 5I).

The gene rx is expressed in the brain, anterior sensory organs, and epidermal cells of lateral cephalic slits and in the cerebral organs (Figs. 5K, L and 6E). Dorsally, the gene is expressed in isolated cells distributed relatively uniformly throughout the brain lobes (Fig. 5K). In the ventral lobes, rx is expressed only in a pair of postero-lateral cell clusters (Fig. 5L). In the cerebral organs, the gene is specifically expressed in the cluster of epidermal cells at the anterior side of the ciliated canal opening (Fig. 6F).

Expression of otp is detectable in the brain, LNCs, and numerous anterior sensory cells (Fig. 5M, N). In the dorsal lobes, the gene is expressed only in a relatively few lateral cells (Fig. 5M), while ventrally it is also predominantly expressed in the lateral cells of the brain lobes, but its expression was also detected in the more median cells contributing to the mouth innervation and anterior part of the LNC (Fig. 5N).

In the head region, the gene dach is expressed in the brain, cerebral organs, proboscis, and few isolated anterior cells (Figs. 5O, P and 6F). The expression in the brain is rather uniform and transcripts of the gene were detected in all regions of both dorsal and ventral lobes (Fig. 5O, P). In the cerebral organs, the gene was detected in some of the cells of both the ciliated canals and the neuroglandular portion (Fig. 5F).

Expression of the gene emx was detected in the brain, cerebral organs, proboscis, and cells along anterior cephalic nerves (Figs. 5Q, R and 6G). In the brain, the gene is expressed only in a few cells in the ventro-median domain (Fig. 5R). In the cerebral organs, the gene transcripts were detected in the cells at the posterior side of the ciliated canal opening and in a single median cell in the neuroglandular part of the organ (Fig. 6G).

The TF arx has a broad expression in the anterior body of the juvenile L. ruber. It is expressed in the brain, rhynchocoel, epidermal cells, and anterior sensory cells and in the cerebral organs (Figs. 5S, T and 6H). In both dorsal and ventral brain lobes, its expression was detected in numerous anterior, lateral and median cells (Figs. 5S, T). In contrast, the expression in the cerebral organs was restricted to a single cell at the posterior side of the ciliated canal opening (Fig. 6H).

The gene svp is also broadly expressed in anterior structures; its expression was detected in the brain, cerebral organs, LNCs, anterior sensory cells, and proboscis (Figs. 5U, V and 6I). In the dorsal brain lobes, it is expressed in cells distributed through the lateral and median regions (Fig. 5U), while ventrally it is expressed uniformly in the entire ventral lobes (Fig. 5V). In the cerebral organs, expression of svp was detected in some anterior and lateral cells of the neuroglandular part (Fig. 6I).

Transcripts of the gene ttl were detected in the brain, cerebral organs, and proboscis (Figs. 5W, X and 6J). Expression in the brain was restricted just to a few cells posteriorly to the ventral commissure (Fig. 5X). Signal from the probes against ttl was extremely strong in the cerebral organs (Figs. 5W, X) and was observed throughout the entire structure in cells of both the ciliated canal and the neuroglandular portion (Fig. 6I).

The brain of the juvenile L. ruber is divided by commissures and lobe neuropiles into eight regions: unpaired dorso-anterior, dorso-median, ventro-anterior, and ventro-median regions as well as paired dorso-lateral and ventro-lateral areas (Fig. 5Y). Mapping of the above-described gene expression patterns onto those brain domains revealed that most of the regions express unique combination of the TFs (Fig. 5Y). The only brain regions which seem to express the same sets of TFs are dorsal and ventral lateral domains (Fig. 5Y).

Gene co-expression during brain development
To further explore co-expression of some of the TFs in the brain, we performed double in situ hybridization of the selected brain patterning genes (nk2.1, nk2.2, pax6 and rx). In addition to the investigation of 42-day-old juveniles, we also examined co-expression of those genes in the earlier developmental stage, 25-day-old early juveniles, in order to test whether the observed co-expression patterns are conserved throughout ontogenesis.

The CNS of 25-day-old juveniles shows much simpler morphology when compared to the hatched juveniles (Fig. 7A). It is composed of LNCs, which merge anteriorly in the brain with two commissures — a thicker ventral and thinner dorsal — that form a ring-shaped neuropile around the developing proboscis rudiment. At this developmental stage, the brain is not yet divided into the dorsal and ventral lobes and the cerebral organs
are not fully formed, being mainly composed by the ciliated canal, that is not directly connected with the brain [38].

In the brain of 25-day-old juvenile, *nk2.1* is expressed along the ventral commissure and in the lateral parts of the brain (Fig. 7B, C, E, G). In its lateral domains, the gene is co-expressed with *pax6* (blue arrowheads, Fig. 7B, C) and *rx* (blue arrowheads, Fig. 7G). Additionally, some of the lateral *nk2.1* cells also express *nk2.2* (blue arrowheads, Fig. 7E). The more median *nk2.1*’ cells that are associated with the ventral commissure are devoid of *pax6*, *nk2.2* and *rx* expression (white arrowheads, Fig. 7C, E, G). In addition to the expression in lateral domains, *pax6*, *nk2.2*, and *rx* are also expressed in cells associated with the dorsal commissure, which do not co-express *nk2.1* (white arrowheads, Fig. 7B, D, F).

The analysis of gene co-expression in the 42-day-old juveniles generally corroborates the expression map based on single gene hybridization; however, it allows more detailed description of the brain molecular regionalization. In the dorsal brain, *pax6* is broadly expressed in the lateral and median domains (white arrowheads, Fig. 7H) and only small clusters of lateral cells co-express *pax6* and *nk2.1* (blue arrowhead, Fig. 7H). In the ventral lobes, the lateral cells co-express *pax6* and *nk2.1* (blue arrowheads, Fig. 7I), while cells in the median domain express only *nk2.1* (white arrowhead, Fig. 7I). *nk2.1* and *nk2.2* are not co-expressed in the dorsal brain (Fig. 7J). *nk2.1* is expressed in the most lateral cells of the dorsal brain (white arrowheads, Fig. 7J), while *nk2.2* is expressed in the large, more posterior domains and in scattered cells in the anterior brain region (red}

---

**Fig. 7.** Co-expression of brain patterning genes in the developing brain of *L. ruber*. A. Morphology of the brain in 25-day-old juveniles. B–G Co-expression in the brain of 25-day-old juveniles. H–M Co-expression in the brain of 42-day-old juveniles. Fluorescent signal from antibody staining against tyrosinated tubulin is in yellow and from RNA probes is in magenta and green. For each panel, the color-coded names of hybridized genes are shown in the white box above the micrographs. White and red arrowheads indicate exclusive expression of one of the hybridized genes, blue arrowheads indicate co-expression. All animals are shown in dorso-ventral projection with anterior to the top; the letter in the top-right corner of each panel indicates whether the focus is on dorsal (d) or ventral (v) structures. Micrographs on B–M are not to the scale. co, cerebral organ; dbc, dorsal brain commissure; lnc, lateral nerve cord; pb, proboscis rudiment; ph, pharynx.
In the ventral brain, both genes are co-expressed in the postero-lateral and median domains (blue arrowheads, Fig. 7K); however, *nk2.1* has much broader ventral expression with many *nk2.1*+ cells devoid of *nk2.2* expression (white arrowheads, Fig. 7K). *rx* is expressed in scattered anterior, median, and lateral cells in the dorsal brain, which do not co-express *nk2.1* (white arrowheads, Fig. 7L). In the lateral parts of the brain, some cells co-express *rx* and *nk2.1* (blue arrowheads, Fig. 7L), while some *nk2.1*+ cells do not express *rx* (red arrowheads, Fig. 7L). In the ventral brain, the antero-lateral and median *nk2.1*+ cells do not express *rx* (white arrowheads, Fig. 7M), while small clusters of postero-lateral cells co-express both genes (blue arrowheads, Fig. 7M).

On the whole, comparison of gene co-expression between 25- and 42-day-old juveniles shows that the general molecular patterning of the developing brain is retained throughout development. The ventro-median region expresses *nk2.1* but not *pax6* nor *rx*. The lateral brain includes cells co-expressing *nk2.1* with *pax6*, *nk2.2*, and *rx*, while dorsal brain is mainly composed of *pax6*-*, nk2.2-*, and *rx*-positive cells which do not co-express *nk2.1*. The differences between both life stages are primarily associated with the more complex architecture of the brain in 42-day-old juveniles, which requires a more intricate developmental control; nevertheless, the most general gene expression patterns are conserved.

**Discussion**

**Comparison of juvenile and adult morphology**

Nervous system has been investigated in great detail in adult *Lineus ruber* [21, 23, 25–28, 31–33] and *Lineus viridis* [21–23, 27], a morphologically similar species that belongs to the same species complex [58, 59]. Comparison between juvenile and adult worms reveals that all major nervous structures described in the adults are already present in the 42-day-old juveniles, indicating that at this stage the general neuroarchitecture is already fully formed and that further development is mostly related with increase in the size but not morphological complexity. The same pattern is observed in number and diversity of cell types contributing to the cerebral organs. There are, however, some minor differences in immunoreactivity patterns between both life stages. For instance, SLIR perikarya have been reported in the dorsal brain ganglia of adult *L. ruber* [26], while we observed immunoreactivity against serotonin only in the ventral brain ganglia of the juveniles (Fig. 2I). This indicates that even though the general morphology of the brain is already established at the moment of hatching, the following growth of the brain is not only purely quantitative, but also new cell types are added in certain brain regions or some of the neurons change their immunoreactivity during further development. Moreover, staining of mitotically active cells showed that in 60-day-old juveniles cell proliferation in the brain is lower than in the other organs, while the cells of the cerebral organs are still intensively dividing (Fig. 3), indicating allometric growth of the CNS.

The major postpharyngeal commissure, which ventrally connects the lateral nerve cords, is the only juvenile neural structure which does not correspond directly to any of the elements of the adult nervous system of *L. ruber* [23, 25, 31] or, to our best knowledge, of any other nemertean, which nervous system has been studied thus far (e.g., [17, 18, 22–24, 30, 60–64]). In adult nemerteans, the lateral nerve cords are connected by numerous delicate ventral commissures that are composed just of bundles of neurites and are considered part of the peripheral nervous system. Conversely, the postpharyngeal commissure described in this study is associated with few SLIR and numerous ChAT+ perikarya and has typical medullary arrangement, markedly different from the remaining ventral commissures (Figs. 1 and 2). There are two possibilities to explain this discrepancy in morphology of both stages: either the commissure degenerates during ontogeny or, due to the allometric growth, becomes much less prominent in later developmental stages and was overlooked in previous investigations.

Nevertheless, the observation of the postpharyngeal ventral commissure in a nemertean is interesting since similar structures are present in numerous annelids (e.g., the first commissure connecting ventral nerve cords [65–70]), as well as in all major clades of gastrotrichs [71–73] and gnathiferans [74–77]. Therefore, the distribution of this character on the phylogenetic tree raises the possibility that the ventral postpharyngeal commissure connecting the major nerve cords might represent a plesiomorphic spiralian trait retained in some form in numerous clades.

**Expression of brain patterning genes in Spiralia**

Molecular patterning of the brain has been investigated in relatively many spiralian, representing diverse clades with broad spectrum of morphological complexity of their brains (Table 1). Among those species, the best studied is the annelid *Platyneuris dumerilii*, which possesses a relatively complex brain with multiple morphologically, functionally, and developmentally distinct regions [47, 54, 84–86]. One of the important characteristics of gene expression patterns during the development of the *P. dumerilii* brain is regional restriction of *nk2.1* expression to the ventro-median region and *pax6* expression in the lateral domains (including eyes and mushroom bodies), with only the minimal overlap of expression of both genes (Fig. 8A [47, 54]). This expression pattern resembles the one observed in vertebrates.
| Species          | Clade     | Brain type | Gene expression in the brain                                                                 | ref   | nk2.1     | ref   | nk2.2     | ref   | rx        | ref   |
|------------------|-----------|------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|-----------|-------|-----------|-------|-----------|-------|
| *Lineus ruber*   | Nemertea  | Complex    | Broadly expressed with the exception of ventro-median domain                                 | this study | Ventral brain, dorso-lateral domains | this study | Scattered expression in ventral, dorso-lateral and dorso-posterior domains | this study | Dorsal brain, ventro-lateral domains | This study |
| *Platyneris dumerilii* | Annelida  | Complex    | Paired lateral domains                                                                      | [47, 54] | Ventro-median domain | [47, 54] | No expression in the brain | [46] | anterior brain | [47] |
| *Dimorphilus gyrocinatus* | Annelida  | Compact    | Paired lateral domains                                                                      | [48] | Ventro-median and medio-lateral domains | [48] | No expression in the brain | [48] | N/A |
| *Capitella teleta* | Annelida  | Compact    | Paired lateral domains                                                                      | [53] | nk2.1a: paired medio-lateral domains | [48] | N/A |
| *Terebratulina transversa* | Brachiopoda | Larval apical organ | Paired dorso-lateral domains | [78, 79] | Ventral domain | [51, 80] | No expression in the apical organ | [45] | N/A |
| *Novocrania anomala* | Brachiopoda | Larval apical organ | Dorso-lateral domain                                                                       | [79] | Ventral domain | [80] | No expression in the apical organ | [45] | N/A |
| *Phoronopsis harmeri* | Phoronida  | Larval apical organ | No expression in the apical organ                                                           | [81] | No expression in the apical organ | [82] | N/A |
| *Schmidtea mediterranea* | Platyhelminthes | Compact | *pax6A*: broadly expressed throughout the brain, *pax6B*: lateral brain | [49] | Ventral brain, dorso-median domains | [50] | No expression in the brain | [83] | N/A |
| *Epiphanes senta* | Rotifera   | Compact    | Paired lateral domains                                                                      | [45] | nk2.1a: dorso-median domain, nk2.1b: medio-lateral domain, nk2.1c: ventro-median domain | [45] | Paired lateral domains | [45] | N/A |
and has been proposed as an ancestral bilaterian trait [47]. Although a comparable expression of those two genes is also witnessed in some Spiralia (Table 1), including other annelids [48, 52, 53], rotifers [45], and brachiopods [45, 51, 78–80], we did not retrieve a similar pattern in either 25- or 42-day-old juveniles of L. ruber (Figs. 7B, C, H, I and 8B). *nk2.1* is indeed mostly expressed in the ventral domain (Figs. 5H and 8B), however, it is broadly co-expressed with *pax6* in the ventral lobes and in the small dorso-lateral domains (Figs. 7H, J and 8B); while *pax6* shows expression not only in the lateral domains but is generally broadly expressed throughout the entire brain (including the dorso-median domain), with the only exception of the small ventro-median region (Figs. 5E, F and 8B).

A very similar expression of *nk2.1* and *pax6* has been observed in planarians, where *nk2.1* is expressed mostly in the ventral portion of the brain [49, 50], while one of the *pax6* paralogs, *pax6A*, is broadly expressed in the brain tissue [49, 89]. A further parallel between planarians and *Lineus* is associated with a seemingly diminished role of *pax6* in eye formation: *pax6* is not expressed during eye development neither in *L. ruber* (this study) nor in *L. viridis* [90] (although it seems to have a role in eye regeneration in *L. sanguineus* [90]), while in flatworms eye regeneration has been demonstrated to be *pax6* independent [89]. The role of *pax6* in eye patterning is otherwise highly conserved among bilaterians (e.g., [91–93]). Due to the unstable position of Nemertea on the spiralian phylogeny (e.g., [6–8, 10]), it is currently impossible to determine whether those similarities between platyhelminths and nemerteans are due to the convergent evolution, a common evolutionary innovation or retention of ancestral plesiomorphic conditions in both lineages.

A spatially dissimilar gene expression patterns in the brains of various spiralian might be a consequence of cellular movements occurring during organogenesis, which can result in translocation of certain cell types and obliteration of the molecular similarities present in the earlier developmental stages. However, stable spatial expression of several genes in the developing heads of nemertean embryos and juveniles [38] as well as our comparison of gene expression in the early and well-developed juvenile brains (Fig. 7) indicate that, at least in *L. ruber*, the molecular patterning is conserved between various developmental stages.

Another important differences in expression of brain patterning genes between *L. ruber* and other Spiralia includes the expression of *nk2.2* within numerous brain domains of *L. ruber* (while the gene lacks brain expression not only in annelids [46, 48], but also in brachiopods [45] and flatworms [83]) as well as broad expression of *rx* in the dorsal lobes of the nemertean brain (versus their more rostral expression in *P. dumerilii* [47, 54]).

Altogether, this comparison shows that complex brains of nemerteans, and especially their dorsal lobes, show little resemblance in the molecular patterning to the complex brains of *P. dumerilii* (Fig. 8), which in turn seem to share more molecular similarities with simpler brains of other annelids and apical organs of brachiopod larvae (Table 1). This observation, in concert with morphological data [24, 70, 94], indicates that
complex brains of nemerteans and errant annelids evolved convergently, due to, e.g., similar selective pressure associated with predatory/active life style [95]. We propose that the increase in the brain size and complexity in those two lineages was achieved by independent expansions of non-homologous regions of simpler brains present in their respective ancestors.

Some of the investigated nemertean brain patterning genes are also expressed in the proboscis (nk2.1, nk2.2, dach, svp, tll) and rhynchocoel (bf1, arx), two morphological apomorphies of Nemertea [15, 16]. Considering that the proboscis is a highly innervated structure (this study; also [15–18, 22, 23, 25–28, 31, 62, 63, 96]), the neuronal genes in the proboscis might be expressed in the developing neuronal network of the organ. Comparable results were obtained by body region-specific transcriptomics of the nemertean Notospermus geniculatus, in which expression of some of the neuronal markers (e.g., elav, syt12) was also detected in the proboscis [97]. Expression of arx and bf1 in the rhynchocoel, a coelom-derived structure [16, 37], seems more peculiar, since those genes have a generally conserved neuroectodermal expression in Bilateria [51, 98–102]. However, arx is also expressed in clade-specific morphological structures of brachiopods (in chaetal sacs and protogulum forming epithelium [103, 104]), annelids (in chaetal sacs [105]), and mollusks (in radula formative tissue [106]). Therefore, our data just further expand the list of potential co-options of arx into patterning of spiralian evolutionary novelties.

Are mushroom bodies and cerebral organs derived from the same ancestral organs?

In numerous annelid brains, morphologically distinct structures, referred to as mushroom bodies, are present, which have allegedly chemosensory and cognitive functions [41, 42, 54, 85, 107–112]. There is an ongoing discussion on whether those structures are part of the ancestral annelid body plan or whether they evolved more recently in one of the annelid subclades [41, 85, 113]. However, their phylogenetic distribution (especially the lack of comparable structures in Palaeoannelida and Sedentaria [70, 94, 114]) favors the latter option [70, 94, 113, 115].

Nevertheless, morphologically similar structures are also present in Panarthropoda [42, 110, 116–119], which led some authors to the idea that mushroom bodies-like structures were already present in the common protostome ancestor [42, 54, 85, 110]. Although similarities in molecular patterning of annelid mushroom bodies and vertebrate pallium led to the assumption that both structures originated from the same sensory and associative brain center of hypothetical ancestral bilaterians [54], such homology statements, based on observation of only two phylogenetically distant clades, are always at the best case highly tentative [95, 120, 121].

Cerebral organs of nemerteans, in contrast to the annelid mushroom bodies, can be unequivocally reconstructed as present in the last common nemertean ancestor [23, 25, 30]. However, it remains unresolved whether they are nemertean evolutionary novelty or rather homologs of the mushroom bodies of annelids [22, 41, 42] or the lateral ciliated pits present in catenulids and macrostomids [32, 40, 43, 122], the two earliest sequentially branching platyhelminth clades [123]. Similarities between the mushroom bodies of annelids and the cerebral organs of nemerteans are rather superficial: the former are integral parts of the brain and are not connected to the external realm, while the latter are always contacting ambient environment and, especially in Hoplonemertea, might be spatially separated from the CNS [17, 30, 34, 35]. On the other hand, the function, general morphology, connectivity, and fine structure of cerebral organs of nemerteans and ciliated pits of flatworms bear a strong resemblance [32, 40, 43, 122], making their homology much more likely. Considering the arrangement of the cerebral organs in various nemertean clades, the “ciliated pit” organization seems to represent an ancestral character state also in nemerteans [22–24]. If one accepts that the cerebral organs of nemerteans and ciliated pits of catenulids and macrostomids are homologs [40], then, depending on the phylogenetic position of nemerteans, there are two possible scenarios of their evolution: (1) If nemerteans are sister group to platyhelminths (Parenchymia hypothesis [7, 124]), then the ciliated pits-like structures represent a synapomorphy of Parenchymia. (2) On the other hand, if nemerteans are closer to annelids than flatworms [5, 6, 8, 10], then the presence of ciliated pits might represent a plesiomorphic condition, present also in the annelid ancestor. The latter scenario opens an interesting possibility that ciliated, sensory nuchal organs, present on the prostomium of most annelids [125–127], might be derived from the ancestral spiralian ciliated pits. However, recently it has been suggested that nuchal organs, similarly as mushroom bodies, evolved in one of the annelid in groups and do not represent part of the ancestral annelid body plan [70, 94].

In the face of the above-discussed concerns about the homology of mushroom bodies and cerebral organs, we were surprised to find that cells constituting the cerebral organs express the same set of transcription factors as mushroom bodies of annelids (with both structures being additionally free of nk2.1 expression). Although all nine of the annelid mushroom body markers, whose expression we tested, were expressed in the cerebral organs of L. ruber, they were not co-expressed uniformly throughout the entire structure. Some genes (otx, bf1, dach and tll) were expressed in all regions of the organ,
while others were restricted only to some cells in the neuroglandular portion (pax6, enx, svp) or the ciliated canal (rx, enx, arx). The complicated landscape of TFs expression in L. ruber correlates well with the fact, that the cerebral organs of 60-day-old juveniles are already composed of numerous diverse cell types, including neurons, glia cells, glandular cells, and ciliated epidermal cells (Fig. 4) as well as still dividing, possibly not fully differentiated, cells (Fig. 3). Unfortunately, with the resolution of our data, we were not able to pinpoint co-expression of particular TFs with specific cell types contributing to the organ. In P. dumerilii, these TFs are also not expressed uniformly in the entire mushroom body and show regionalized expression [54]; however, their regionalization does not simply correspond to the one observed in the cerebral organs of L. ruber. For example, otx and tll are expressed only in the subset of neurons constituting mushroom body, while expression of pax6, arx and svp is detected in most of the cells forming the organ [54]. Therefore, even though the same set of genes is expressed in both types of organs, their exact co-expression in particular cell types is probably divergent and the apparent similarities in gene expression profiles between both organs might be more superficial than they appear on the first sight.

A further problem with the interpretation of the gene expression patterns in the cerebral organs is related to the fact that, both in annelid and in nemertean, it remains unknown whether those TFs interact in the same gene regulatory network (GRN) or whether they are independently expressed in different, unrelated cell types. If they are part of the same GRN, then co-option of the ancestral regulatory program into patterning of non-homolog organs or indicators of the homology of some cell types contributing to mushroom bodies and cerebral organs that could evolve from the cell type present in the lateral chemosensory ciliated pits of the hypothetical spiralian ancestor. Further studies on the cell-type level and functional interactions of the studied TFs are needed to fully resolve the level of homology, or convergence, between mushroom bodies and cerebral organs.

Methods

Animal collection and morphological investigation

Adult specimens of Lineus ruber were collected near Bergen, Norway (Fanafjord; GPS coordinates: 60.251845 N, 5.320947 E). The animals had dark red coloration with wide pigment-free areas in the terminal part of the head. Animals were kept in the laboratory in filtered seawater at 14 °C with a daytime cycle: 13 h of sunshine and 11 h of darkness. Collection of egg masses and desired developmental stages and animal fixation as well as antibody, nuclear, and EdU stainings followed the already established protocols [38].

Specimens for TEM investigation were fixed in 4% PFA in PBS, rinsed in the same buffer, postfixed in 1% OsO4 diluted in PBS for 120 min at 4 °C, rinsed again, and dehydrated in graded ethanol/acetone series. The samples were embedded in Epon 812 resin (Sigma Aldrich) and cut to semi- and ultrathin sections with a
diamond knife (Diatome Histo Jumbo) using ultramicrotome Leica EM UC6. The ultrathin cross-sections of cerebral organ were placed on formvar-covered (Fluka) single slot copper grids and stained with 1% uranyl acetate and lead citrate.

Gene expression analysis
Coding sequences for analyzed genes were identified in the transcriptome of *L. ruber* with the reciprocal TBLASTN search using orthologous protein sequences from *P. dumerilii*. Sequence of all of the newly identified genes were translated into protein sequences and aligned with reference sequences from other animals (Table S1). The alignments were trimmed either manually or with TrimAl software [128] and analyzed with FastTree v2.1 [129] in order to assess orthology of the analyzed genes (Figs. S1–5). All newly obtained sequences were submitted to GenBank (Accession numbers MW720144–MW720151).

Fragments of genes were amplified from cDNA library using specific primer pairs, cloned into pGEM-T Easy vectors (Promega, USA) and then transformed into competent *Escherichia coli* cells for amplification. Plasmid DNA was isolated and sequenced in both forward and reverse directions using T7 and SP6 primers to assure that the desirable genes were cloned. The antisense probes were transcribed from linearized DNA and labeled either with digoxigenin (for hybridization of single mRNA) or with dinitrophenol (for detection of second gene in double in situ hybridization). Whole mount in situ hybridization followed the same procedure as described for *L. ruber* juveniles in other studies [38, 45].

Imaging and image processing
Samples for confocal laser scanning microscopy (antibody staining and in situ hybridization) were mounted in Murray’s clear and scanned in either Leica SP5 or Olympus FV3000 CLSM. Z-stacks of confocal scans were projected into 2D images in IMARIS 9.1.2. TEM microphotographs were obtained with Gatan ES500W camera mounted on transmission electron microscope Jeol JEM-1011. Both CLSM images and TEM micrographs were assembled in Adobe Illustrator CS6 into final figures. All the schematic drawings were done with Adobe Illustrator CS6.
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