Urine Output Is Associated With In-hospital Mortality in Intensive Care Patients With Septic Shock: A Propensity Score Matching Analysis

Tianyang Hu 1†‡, Zhao Qiao 1† and Ying Mei 2*

1 Department of Cardiology, The Second Affiliated Hospital, Chongqing Medical University, Chongqing, China, 2 Health Management Center, The Second Affiliated Hospital, Chongqing Medical University, Chongqing, China

Background: The relationship between urine output (UO) and in-hospital mortality in intensive care patients with septic shock is currently inconclusive.

Methods: The baseline data, UO, and in-hospital prognosis of intensive care patients with septic shock were retrieved from the Medical Information Mart for Intensive Care IV (MIMIC-IV) database. By drawing receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves and comparing the areas under the ROC curves (AUC) to determine the predictive value of UO for in-hospital mortality, and by drawing the Kaplan-Meier curves to compare the difference in in-hospital mortality between different groups of UO.

Results: Before and after the propensity score matching (PSM) analysis, UO was always a risk factor for in-hospital mortality in patients with septic shock. The AUC of UO was comparable to the Sequential Organ Failure Assessment (SOFA) scoring system, while the AUC of combining UO and SOFA was greater than that of SOFA. The median survival time of the high-UO group (UO > 0.39 ml/kg/h, before PSM; UO > 0.38 ml/kg/h, after PSM) was longer than that of the low-UO group. Compared with the high-UO group, the hazard ratios (HR) of the low-UO group were 2.6857 (before PSM) and 1.7879 (after PSM).

Conclusions: UO is an independent risk factor for septic shock. Low levels of UO significantly increase the in-hospital mortality of intensive care patients with septic shock. The predictive value of UO is comparable to the SOFA scoring system, and the combined predictive value of the two surpasses SOFA alone.
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INTRODUCTION

Sepsis is a life-threatening organ dysfunction caused by a dysregulated host response to infection. Septic shock is the most severe form of sepsis, which is characterized by persisting hypotension requiring vaspressors to maintain mean arterial pressure ≥65 mmHg and having an increased serum lactate level >2 mmol/L despite adequate volume resuscitation (1, 2). The in-hospital mortality rate of sepsis exceeds 10%, and septic shock is even worse (1, 3). A meta-analysis of European and North American populations showed that the in-hospital mortality rate of septic...
shock was as high as 39% (95% CI: 34.4–43.9%) (4). It is of far-reaching significance to clarify the independent risk factors related to mortality, which can further guide nursing and treatment, so as to achieve the purpose of reducing mortality especially in the intensive care unit (ICU).

Daily urine output (UO) is measured routinely in the ICU, and its prognostic value has already emerged. In 2013, Oh et al. (5) found that UO was significantly associated with the prognosis in critically ill patients with acute kidney injury (AKI) requiring continuous renal replacement therapy (CRRT). When the timing of CRRT initiation was stratified by 6 h UO, 28-day all-cause mortality rates were significantly lower in the non-oliguric group compared with the oliguric group. Huang et al. (6) found that reduced initial 24 h UO was associated with an increased risk in 7- and 30-day all-cause mortality and major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE) in ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) patients admitted without cardiogenic shock and renal dysfunction. Zhang et al. (7) investigated the relationship between UO on the first day of admission to the ICU and the in-hospital mortality of unselected critically ill patients and found that UO was an independent risk factor of mortality regardless of whether diuretics were used or not. Oliguria is one of the important signs of hypoperfusion in septic shock (8). However, due to the complexity of the composition of patients admitted to the ICU, it is not known whether the conclusion of Zhang et al. is applicable to septic shock. To date, no researches have confirmed the relationship between UO and mortality of patients with septic shock. This study is based on a well-known public database, Medical Information Mart for Intensive Care IV (MIMIC-IV) database, to investigate the relationship between UO on the first day of admission and the in-hospital mortality of intensive care patients with septic shock.

**METHODS**

**Database**

MIMIC-IV (https://mimic.mit.edu/) builds upon the MIMIC-III database (9) and has made many improvements. MIMIC-IV contains comprehensive information (laboratory measurements, medications administered, vital signs documented, etc.) of patients admitted to a Tertiary Academic Medical Center in Boston, MA, USA between 2008 and 2019. The database is applicable to septic shock. To date, no researches have confirmed the relationship between UO and mortality of patients with septic shock. This study is based on a well-known public database, Medical Information Mart for Intensive Care IV (MIMIC-IV) database, to investigate the relationship between UO on the first day of admission and the in-hospital mortality of intensive care patients with septic shock.

**Abbreviations**: UO, urine output; MIMIC-IV, Medical Information Mart for Intensive Care IV; AKI, acute kidney injury; CRRT, continuous renal replacement therapy; MACE, major adverse cardiovascular events; STEMI, ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction; ROC, receiver operating characteristic; AUC, areas under the receiver operating characteristic curves; PSM, propensity score matching; SOFA, Sequential Organ Failure Assessment; HR, hazard ratio; OR, Odds Ratio; CI, Confidence Interval; ICU, intensive care unit; ICD, International Classification of Disease; RRT, renal replacement therapy; M ± SD, mean ± standard deviation; IQR, interquartile range; LOS, Length of Stay; CCI, Charlson Comorbidity Index; HB, Hemoglobin; WBC, White Blood Cells; PLT, Platelets; Cr, Creatinine; BUN, Blood Urea Nitrogen; TBil, Total Bilirubin; HR, Heart Rate; bpm, beat per minute; MAP, Mean Arterial Pressure; RR, Respiratory Rate; cpm, count per minute.

**Study Population and Data Extraction**

The patients diagnosed with “septic shock” in the MIMIC-IV database are divided into two categories: “septic shock” with International Classification of Disease (ICD) code 78552 (9th revision), and “severe sepsis with septic shock” with ICD code (10th revision). The inclusion criteria were: (1) aged ≥ 18 years; (2) UO (ml/day) assessed within 24 h from admission; (3) UO (ml/kg/h) could be calculated within 24 h from admission. Post-procedural septic shock was excluded. Since the same patient may have multiple admission records, we only included the first ICU stay for each patient.

The following data was extracted from the MIMIC-IV database (version 1.0) by Navicat Premium software (version 15.0): age, gender, length of ICU stay, length of hospital stay, Charlson comorbidity index, Sequential Organ Failure Assessment (SOFA) score/ hemoglobin/white blood cells/platelets/creatinine/blood urea nitrogen/total bilirubin/heart rate/mean arterial pressure/respiratory rate/weight/urine output/ whether complicated with AKI/whether to take diuretics/whether treated with renal replacement therapy (RRT) on the first day of admission and hospital expire flag (a binary flag which indicates whether the patient died in hospital). Charlson comorbidity index (10) is a scoring system to quantify comorbidities (including myocardial infarct, congestive heart failure, peripheral vascular disease, cerebrovascular disease, dementia, chronic pulmonary disease, rheumatic disease, peptic ulcer disease, liver disease, diabetes, paraplegia, renal disease, malignant cancer, metastatic solid tumor, and acquired immunodeficiency syndrome). Diuretics mainly include bumetanide, chlorothiazide, furosemide, hydrochlorothiazide, metolazone, and spironolactone. If a variable was assessed multiple times on the first day of admission, took the average value.

**Statistical Analysis**

Use the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test to evaluate whether the variables follow the normal distribution. If followed, then express the variable as mean ± standard deviation (M ± SD) and compare with independent sample t-test; if not follow, then express the variable as the median with interquartile range (IQR) and compare with Wilcoxon rank-sum test. Categorical variables were expressed as numbers and percentages, and compared by Chi-square test. Binomial Logistic regression analysis was conducted to evaluate the impact of UO on in-hospital mortality in patients with septic shock. Variables with a P-value < 0.1 in the univariate analysis were included in the multivariate analysis. Z test was conducted following the method of Delong et al. (11) to compare the predictive value of UO, SOFA, and UO+SOFA by comparing the area under curves (AUC) of the receiver operating characteristic curves (ROC).

To reduce potential bias, propensity score matching (PSM) analysis was performed between the death group and survival
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RESULTS

Baseline Characteristics

MIMIC-IV database contains 76,540 ICU admissions. Finally, 3,917 patients were included in this study (of which 1,345 died and 2,572 survived in the hospital, Figure 1) and the in-hospital mortality rate was 34.34%. The length of hospital stay of the survival group was longer than that of the death group (P < 0.001). Age, Charlson Comorbidity Index, SOFA score, the level of creatinine, total bilirubin, blood urea nitrogen, heart rate and respiratory rate on the first day of admission in the death group were higher than in the survival group significantly (P < 0.001 for all). Mean arterial pressure, the level of hemoglobin and platelets in the survival group were higher than in the death group significantly (P < 0.001 for all). UO in the survival group was higher than in the death group significantly (P < 0.001). The proportions of complicated with AKI, treated with diuretics and RRT in the death group were higher than in the survival group (P < 0.001 for all). After PSM with age, gender, Charlson comorbidity index, the level of hemoglobin, white blood cells, platelets, creatinine, blood urea nitrogen, total bilirubin, heart rate, mean arterial pressure, respiratory rate, whether complicated with AKI, whether to take diuretics, and whether treated with RRT on the first day of admission, the matching variables were balanced and comparable between the two groups (of which 963 died and 963 survived, Table 1). After PSM, the length of hospital stay of the survival group was still longer than that of the death group (P < 0.001). SOFA score on the first day of admission in the death group was still significantly higher than in the survival group (P < 0.001), and UO in the survival group was still significantly higher than in the death group (P < 0.001). The baseline characteristics were presented in Table 1.

Logistic Regression Analysis

Considering the collinearity between UO (ml/day) and UO (ml/kg/h), the latter was included in the binomial logistic regression analysis. UO was a risk factor for in-hospital mortality in patients with septic shock before (OR: 0.285, 95% CI: 0.247–0.330, P < 0.001) and after (OR: 0.507, 95% CI: 0.434–0.593, P < 0.001) adjustment (Table 2). After PSM, UO was still a risk factor for in-hospital mortality in patients with septic shock before (OR: 0.544, 95% CI: 0.465–0.638, P < 0.001) and after (OR: 0.678, 95% CI: 0.578–0.796, P < 0.001) adjustment (Table 3).

Comparison of ROC Curves

The ROC curves were drawn to clarify the predictive value of UO for in-hospital mortality of septic shock (Figure 2). Before PSM (Figure 2A), the AUCs of UO, SOFA, combining UO and SOFA (UO + SOFA) were 0.722, 0.725, and 0.753, respectively (Table 4). The AUC of UO was comparable to SOFA (Z = 0.237, P = 0.8127), while the AUC of UO+SOFA was greater than that of UO (Z = 5.079, P < 0.0001) and SOFA (Z = 6.264, P < 0.0001). UO+SOFA had the highest sensitivity (69.81%) and Youden's index (0.2420), while UO had the highest specificity (76.94%). After PSM (Figure 2B), the AUCs of UO, SOFA, and UO+SOFA were 0.637, 0.622, and 0.643, respectively (Table 5). The AUC of UO was still comparable to SOFA (Z = 1.909, P = 0.2756), while the AUC of UO + SOFA was also greater than that of SOFA (Z = 3.034, P = 0.0024), but comparable to UO (Z = 0.640, P = 0.5219). UO+SOFA had the Youden's index (0.2420), while UO
had the highest specificity (71.55%) and SOFA had the highest sensitivity (70.40%).

**Comparison of Kaplan-Meier Curves**

Before PSM, UO was divided into high-UO group and low-UO group with the optimal cut-off value of 0.39 ml/kg/h. The Kaplan-Meier curves are shown in Figure 3. The median survival time of the high-UO group was 42.097 days (95% CI: 37.842–46.352), while of the low-UO group was 11.449 days (95% CI: 9.955–12.926), and the difference was statistically significant (P = 0.0001). Compared with the high-UO group, the hazard ratio (HR) of the low-UO group was 1.7879 (95% CI: 1.5669–2.0401). After PSM, the optimal cut-off value was 0.38 ml/kg/h (Figure 4). The median survival time of the high-UO group was 42.097 days (95% CI: 37.842–46.352), while of the low-UO group was 11.449 days (95% CI: 9.955–12.926), and the difference was statistically significant (P < 0.0001). Compared with the high-UO group, the HR of the low-UO group was 1.7879 (95% CI: 1.5669–2.0401).

**DISCUSSION**

To the best of our knowledge, this study investigated the relationship between urine output on the first day of admission and in-hospital mortality of intensive care patients with septic shock for the first time. We confirmed that UO is an independent risk factor for septic shock. Before PSM, the optimal cut-off value determined by the ROC curve was 0.39 mg/kg/h. Compared with the high-UO group, the HR of the low-UO group was 2.6857, suggesting that the risk of in-hospital death in the low-UO group was 2.6857 times that of the high-UO group. The optimal cut-off value after PSM was 0.38 mg/kg/h, which was almost the same as before PSM. It was found that the risk of in-hospital death in the low-UO group was 1.7879 times that of the high-UO group. Therefore, the above results indicate that a low level of UO on the first day is significantly associated with an increase in in-hospital mortality.

The PSM is a “post-randomization” statistical analysis method, which reduces the influence of biases and confounding variables on the results in retrospective studies to a certain extent. AKI is the most frequent complication in septic shock and RRT is the standard of care for severe AKI (12). If patients with septic shock have oliguria or anuria on admission, they may progress to AKI at a later stage. A study showed that 3–5 h consecutive oliguria in patients with septic shock may be an indicator to measure the risk of AKI (13). Meanwhile, the most frequent indication for acute dialysis was oliguria (14).
### TABLE 2 | Binomial Logistic regression analysis of urine output for in-hospital mortality among intensive care patients with septic shock (before PSM).

| Variable | Univariable | P | Multivariable | P |
|----------|-------------|---|--------------|---|
| Age      | 1.014 (1.010–1.018) | 0.000 | 1.009 (1.002–1.016) | 0.007 |
| Gender (male) | 0.976 (0.855–1.114) | 0.720 |                |    |
| LOS hospital | 0.976 (0.971–0.982) | 0.000 | 0.966 (0.960–0.973) | 0.000 |
| LOS ICU  | 1.004 (0.996–1.013) | 0.282 |                |    |
| CCI      | 1.161 (1.133–1.188) | 0.000 | 1.143 (1.107–1.181) | 0.000 |
| Hemoglobin | 0.925 (0.894–0.956) | 0.000 | 0.942 (0.904–0.982) | 0.005 |
| WBC      | 1.005 (0.999–1.010) | 0.088 | 0.998 (0.992–1.004) | 0.532 |
| Platelets | 0.998 (0.998–0.999) | 0.000 | 1.001 (1.000–1.001) | 0.095 |
| Creatinine | 1.182 (1.131–1.234) | 0.000 | 0.719 (0.661–0.781) | 0.000 |
| BUN      | 1.015 (1.012–1.017) | 0.000 | 1.009 (1.005–1.014) | 0.000 |
| Total bilirubin | 1.182 (1.131–1.234) | 0.000 | 1.035 (1.017–1.054) | 0.000 |
| Heart rate | 1.016 (1.013–1.020) | 0.000 | 1.017 (1.012–1.022) | 0.000 |
| MAP      | 0.967 (0.959–0.975) | 0.000 | 0.988 (0.979–0.998) | 0.016 |
| Respiratory rate | 1.086 (1.069–1.102) | 0.000 | 1.045 (1.025–1.066) | 0.000 |
| SOFA score | 1.015 (1.012–1.017) | 0.000 | 1.175 (1.147–1.203) | 0.000 |
| Day 1 UO, mg/kg/h | 0.285 (0.247–0.330) | 0.000 | 0.507 (0.434–0.593) | 0.000 |
| Day 1 AKI | 1.747 (1.505–2.027) | 0.000 | 1.030 (0.854–1.240) | 0.760 |
| Day 1 diuretic | 1.772 (1.483–2.117) | 0.000 | 1.590 (1.284–1.968) | 0.000 |
| Day 1 RRT | 3.174 (2.667–3.777) | 0.000 | 2.285 (1.779–2.935) | 0.000 |

PSM, Propensity Score Matching; OR, Odds Ratio; CI, Confidence Interval; LOS, Length of Stay; ICU, Intensive Care Unit; CCI, Charlson Comorbidity Index; WBC, White Blood Cells; BUN, Blood Urea Nitrogen; MAP, Mean Arterial Pressure; SOFA, Sequential Organ Failure Assessment; UO, Urine Output; AKI, Acute Kidney Injury; RRT, Renal Replacement Therapy.

### TABLE 3 | Binomial Logistic regression analysis of urine output for in-hospital mortality among intensive care patients with septic shock (after PSM).

| Variable | Univariable | P | Multivariable | P |
|----------|-------------|---|--------------|---|
| Age      | 1.001 (0.995–1.007) | 0.858 |                |    |
| Gender, male | 1.038 (0.868–1.242) | 0.681 |                |    |
| LOS hospital | 0.981 (0.974–0.987) | 0.000 | 0.979 (0.972–0.986) | 0.000 |
| LOS ICU  | 0.992 (0.982–1.002) | 0.106 |                |    |
| CCI      | 1.006 (0.974–1.039) | 0.715 |                |    |
| Hemoglobin | 0.982 (0.939–1.027) | 0.434 |                |    |
| WBC      | 0.999 (0.992–1.006) | 0.792 |                |    |
| Platelets | 1.001 (1.000–1.001) | 0.099 | 1.002 (1.001–1.002) | 0.000 |
| Creatinine | 1.005 (0.948–1.066) | 0.871 |                |    |
| BUN      | 1.001 (0.998–1.004) | 0.601 |                |    |
| Total bilirubin | 0.999 (0.979–1.020) | 0.913 |                |    |
| Heart rate | 1.000 (0.994–1.005) | 0.853 |                |    |
| MAP      | 0.999 (0.989–1.009) | 0.801 |                |    |
| Respiratory Rate | 0.993 (0.973–1.014) | 0.513 |                |    |
| SOFA score | 1.102 (1.078–1.127) | 0.000 | 1.105 (1.079–1.133) | 0.000 |
| Day 1 UO, mg/kg/h | 0.544 (0.465–0.638) | 0.000 | 0.678 (0.578–0.796) | 0.000 |
| Day 1 AKI | 1.054 (1.082–1.290) | 0.607 |                |    |
| Day 1 diuretic | 1.038 (0.818–1.316) | 0.762 |                |    |
| Day 1 RRT | 0.993 (0.790–1.248) | 0.954 |                |    |

PSM, Propensity Score Matching; OR, Odds Ratio; CI, Confidence Interval; LOS, Length of Stay; ICU, Intensive Care Unit; CCI, Charlson Comorbidity Index; WBC, White Blood Cells; BUN, Blood Urea Nitrogen; MAP, Mean Arterial Pressure; SOFA, Sequential Organ Failure Assessment; UO, Urine Output; AKI, Acute Kidney Injury; RRT, Renal Replacement Therapy.
general, whether patients are complicated with AKI and whether to undergo RRT or diuretic therapy are significantly related to UO theoretically. We have also balanced some important laboratory tests and vital signs. Among them, white blood cells count is related to infection and is often used to detect sepsis (15); creatinine and BUN can reflect renal function (16); platelets and total bilirubin can reflect coagulation function and liver function (17); respiratory rate is related to respiratory function; hemoglobin not only reflects the presence of anemia, but also is related to oxygenation (18). We were trying to evaluate indicators related to cardiac function, but the missing values of indicators such as troponin and BNP in the MIMIC-IV database are too many, and some even exceed 90%. Imaging data of cardiac function, such as ejection fraction, are currently not available in MIMIC-IV database. Thus, we finally used heart rate and mean arterial pressure as matching parameters to minimize the cardiac function bias between the two groups. After PSM, although the risk of in-hospital death in the low-UO group was lower than
before, it still had approximately twice the risk of death (1.7879 times) compared to the high-UO group. It can be seen that the UO on the first day of admission does not depend on whether complicated with AKI and whether to undergo RRT or diuretic therapy, but is directly related to the prognosis of the patients, reflecting the independence of its predictive value.

Septic shock is a complex syndrome with severe hemodynamic changes, manifested by profound cardiovascular

---

**FIGURE 3** Kaplan-Meier survival curves by urine output category (before propensity score matching, log-rank $P < 0.0001$). UO, Urine Output (mg/kg/h).

**FIGURE 4** Kaplan-Meier survival curves by urine output category (after propensity score matching, log-rank $P < 0.0001$). UO, Urine Output (mg/kg/h).
The essence of sepsis and septic shock is organ dysfunction, and the severity of organ dysfunction has been assessed through various scoring systems. Currently, SOFA is the predominant scoring system used for sepsis and septic shock, and it is also one of the definitions of sepsis recognized by Sepsis-3 (1). Several studies have confirmed the value of SOFA in predicting the mortality of septic shock (23–28), but its performance is not satisfactory. In our study, before PSM, the AUC of UO exceeded 0.7, which was of moderate predictive value. After PSM, AUC dropped to 0.637, suggesting that the predictive value was limited. However, the predictive value of UO was always comparable to the SOFA score system. Even so, the application of UO alone in the prediction of in-hospital mortality for septic shock still lacks practical significance. The combination of predictors may improve prediction performance. As the task force of Sepsis-3 pointed out, there are many novel biomarkers that can identify renal dysfunction or coagulopathy earlier than the elements used in SOFA, but they need to be more extensively verified before they are incorporated into the clinical criteria for sepsis (1).

In this study, the combined prediction efficiency of UO and SOFA was higher than that of SOFA alone. However, since the respective weights were not given, the corresponding cutoff values of the two were not suitable for predicting in-hospital mortality directly. Thus, it may be a better choice to consider creating a new scoring system, such as incorporating UO into SOFA as a factor, similar to the “UO-corrected SOFA scoring system.”

Our findings emphasize the importance of monitoring UO in clinical practice in order to identify high-risk patients with septic shock early and intervene as soon as possible to achieve the goal of reducing in-hospital mortality. UO monitoring is easy to perform and inexpensive, and is especially suitable for promotion in countries with limited resources. We recommend using the weight-corrected UO, namely UO (ml/kg/h). Moreover, we also emphasize the importance of UO in the hemodynamic management of septic shock. Hemodynamic support for patients with septic shock is crucial (29), including the use of large amounts of fluids in combination with vasopressors, and in some cases with inotropic agents. The hemodynamic targets for resuscitation of septic shock often rely on macro-hemodynamic parameters, including heart rate, mean arterial pressure, and central venous pressure. However, despite the restoration of macro-hemodynamic parameters, persistent alterations in microcirculatory blood flow can still lead to organ failure (2, 30, 31). This dissociation between the macrocirculation and microcirculation is the so-called “a loss of hemodynamic coherence” (32). UO reflects renal perfusion and is also an effective indicator of microcirculation perfusion. Thus, monitoring UO may play a positive role in hemodynamic management for septic shock.

We must point out the limitations of this study: (1) The patients in the MIMIC-IV database are mainly white, and a large number of patients cannot be identified by ethnicity. Therefore, the variable ethnicity was not included in the PSM analysis, which has a potential impact on the results; (2) At present, it is difficult to identify the exact sites of infection and causative organisms of the patients in the database. The predictive value of UO for septic shock caused by different reasons or in different sites of infection (such as kidney vs. other sites) may be significantly different; (3) The daily fluid intake (including drinking water) of the patients will also affect the UO, but since the exact values of these variables cannot be obtained, the influence of these confounding factors on the results cannot be ignored. It should be pointed out that a large part of the patients in this study may not have been diagnosed with septic shock at the time of admission. Therefore, our findings may also be applicable to intensive care patients with the potential to develop septic shock. The advantage of this study lies in the large sample size, which allows us to have enough space for the PSM analysis and makes our conclusions more reliable.

CONCLUSIONS
UO is an independent risk factor for septic shock. Low levels of UO significantly increase the in-hospital mortality of intensive care patients with septic shock. The predictive value of UO for patients with septic shock is comparable to the SOFA scoring system, and the combined predictive value of the two surpasses SOFA alone. Since the above results are based on this retrospective study, rigorous prospective clinical trials are still needed to confirm.
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