Food security, mental health, and socioeconomic status: A cross-sectional study among medical college students in central part of Iran, Kashan
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Abstract

Background and Aims: Food security is a complex and multidimensional issue that has been recognized as a serious public health problem all over the world for the past two decades. The present study was designed and conducted to determine the prevalence of food insecurity among college students at the Kashan University of Medical Sciences and some related factors including socioeconomic and mental health determinants.

Methods: The present study is a cross-sectional study conducted on 358 students who were currently studying at the Kashan University of Medical Sciences. The students who were pregnant or had a special diet to follow were excluded. Samples were selected by the systematic method. Food security was measured using United States Department of Agriculture. Food Frequency Questionnaire, 21-items Depression Anxiety Stress Scales, and Socioeconomic Questionnaire were used to investigate the nutrients intake, depression/anxiety status, and socioeconomic factors, respectively. Chi-square, independent t-test, and logistic regression were used to analyze the data.

Results: Based on the findings of the present study, 16.8% of students experienced different levels of food insecurity, and 83.2% of participants were categorized as food secure. Gender, financial assistance, health insurance, depression, and students’ occupation are associated with food insecurity ($P < .05$). Additionally, the results of the logistic regression analysis showed that depression (OR 1.04; 95% CI 1.01-1.07), and students’ jobs (OR 0.43; 95% CI 0.23-0.80) have the greatest impact on students’ food insecurity.

Conclusion: The findings of the present study show that economic factors and gender have a significant impact on students’ food security. Moreover, the association of food insecurity with mental disorders such as depression was demonstrated in the current study. However, we could not detect any significant association between daily energy intake and macronutrients which indicates the requirement for more detailed studies.
Food security is described as the ability to access sufficient and safe food without any significant physical and economical limitations. Based on this definition, food security is affected by multiple factors and among them, the economic situation should be considered as the most important factor. There are multiple factors that may affect food security status in positive or negative ways such as culture, climate change, and disability. In addition, some special conditions such as the Covid-19 pandemics, could increase the food insecurity prevalence all over the world. Latest investigations reported that about 9% of the world population is in a severe food insecurity status which means nearly 697 million are food-insecure individuals. Most of the time, the duration of the food insecurity experience is short, however, this situation is frequently repeated since the major reasons behind food insecurity still remain. The information on food insecurity consequences in adults is quite limited. Investigations indicated that food insecurity is a major challenge in University students and the prevalence of food insecurity is much higher among college students than other groups; the association between food insecurity and poorer psychological status, academic performance, and diet has been shown in previous limited studies.

Food insecurity among students is a critical issue and it is hard to be noticed because most of the students try to hide such problems and are ashamed to talk about these kinds of issues. Until now, most of the limited studies conducted on University students’ food security were about the prevalence and its association with economic variables. An investigation in Australia indicated the food insecurity prevalence as 46.5% among University students. Another study which was carried out on Illinois University students reported that 35% of included students were food insecure. Another investigation on food insecurity prevalence in New Hampshire (USA) indicated that 25% of the 943 participants were food insecure. Previous studies suggest a correlation between food insecurity and using financial aids, having a job along with studying, house instability, and poorer academic performances. One study has been performed on universities students in South Africa and the results indicated that 60% of students suffered from severe food insecurity. The authors of this study believe that food insecurity is one of the major reasons that half of the South African University students never complete their education. It has been demonstrated that the students who lived with families with lower income are at a higher risk of food insecurity in their student life. Another cross-sectional study approved that food-insecure students have a higher chance of being among 10% of the lowest grade point average (GPA). In addition, food insecurity could have direct and indirect effects on the health of college students. Multiple investigations emphasize on negative effects of food insecurity on the anthropometric indices.
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We assessed students' food security status using the 18-items USDA U.S. Household Food Security Survey Module. The validity and reliability of this questionnaire have been evaluated by Rafiei et al.27 We have used it to investigate students' food security status in the past 12 months. Based on the coding guideline outlined in Table 1, the participants were categorized into food secure, food insecure without hunger, food insecure with moderate hunger, and food insecure with severe hunger. This categorization was conducted based on the number of positive and negative answers to questions. For a more accurate comparison, participants were divided into two groups of food secure and food insecure. The first class (food secure) was considered as the food secure group. In contrast, the other classes (food insecure without hunger, food insecure with moderate hunger, and food insecure with severe hunger) were considered as the food insecure group. In summary, students who got 0 to 2 scores on the USDA questionnaire were identified as food secure, and the score of more than two was characterized as food insecure.

In the present study, DASS-21 was used for evaluating the mental status including depression, anxiety, and stress of students. This questionnaire contains 21 questions with one of the following options: Never (with zero scores), rarely (1 score), sometimes (2 scores), and most of the time (3 scores). Each of the depression, anxiety, and stress have seven related questions. Since this questionnaire is a short version of DASS-42; the final score of each of these subscales was duplicated. Table 2 indicates the interpretation of 21-item DASS sub-variable scores and different classifications regarding depression, anxiety, and stress.

Sociodemographic and socioeconomic characteristics of participants were assessed using a questionnaire containing questions about gender, age, field and degree, housing situation, type of college/university attended (tuition payment), GPA, financial aids, and employment (unemployed, full-time, and part-time). In addition, macronutrient intakes were assessed by FFQ evaluation. We used a modified-FFQ which included 65 items specialized for national purposes. The reliability and validity of the questionnaire has been approved by Ahmadnezhad et al28 in 2017. The data obtained from FFQ were converted to the daily energy and macro-nutrients intake and were analyzed using Nutritionist 4 software (First Databank; Hearst, San Bruno, California).

### 2.1 Statistical analysis

The data analysis was performed using SPSS version 22 (IBM, Armonk, New York). The status of food security was categorized into food secure and food insecure. We used Chi-square analysis to assess the statistical association between food security variables and qualitative variables which were derived from the sociodemographic and DASS questionnaires. Additionally, an independent-sample t-test was used to determine the association of quantitative variables and food security variables. Eventually, multiple logistic regression was performed independently to assess several potential risk factors for food insecurity among students. All tests were two-tailed and P-values <.05 were considered statistically significant.

### 3 RESULT

After excluding two students due to diabetes according to the eligibility criteria, the final sample analysis included 358 students. The prevalence of food insecurity among students at Kashan University of Medical Sciences is estimated to be 16.8% according to the USDA food security questionnaire. A summary of the student's characteristics by food security status is presented in Table 3. A 22.3% of male students were food insecure while the prevalence of food insecurity in female students was 13.6%. According to Chi-square analysis results, food insecurity is significantly associated with the gender of students (\( P = .034 \)). A greater percentage of students who work as part-time (28.2%) or full-time (26.3%) employees were food insecure compared to students who did not have a specific job (12.6%). This finding shows that students' food insecurity is significantly associated with their employment status (\( P = .003 \)). There was a considerable association between food security and having health insurance (\( P = .006 \)). It was shown that 31.3% of students who did not had health insurance, were food insecure. Whereas, 14.7% of students with health insurance were food insecure. Moreover, 20.1% of students who used financial aid were food insecure, and of those who did not use financial aid, food insecurity prevalence was 12.5%.

---

**TABLE 1**  Scoring and classification system of USDA food security questionnaire

| Scores (positive answers) | Classification          |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|
| 0-2                       | Food secure             |
| 3-7                       | Food insecure without hunger |
| 8-12                      | Food insecure with moderate hunger |
| 13-18                     | Food insecure with severe hunger |

**TABLE 2**  Method of interpretation of DASS 21-item sub-variable scores and classification of the indices

|             | Depression | Anxiety | Stress   |
|-------------|------------|---------|----------|
| Normal      | 0-9        | 0-7     | 0-14     |
| Mild        | 10-13      | 8-9     | 15-18    |
| Moderate    | 14-20      | 10-14   | 19-25    |
| Severe      | 21-27      | 15-19   | 26-33    |
| Very severe | 28 and more| 20 and more | 34 and more |
| Variable | Food security status | No. | Food secure % | Food insecure % | P-value |
|----------|----------------------|-----|--------------|-----------------|---------|
| Sample   |                      | 358 | 83.2         | 16.8            |         |
| Gender   |                      |     |              |                 |         |
| Male     |                      | 130 | 77.7         | 22.3            | .034    |
| Female   |                      | 228 | 86.4         | 13.6            |         |
| Degreea  |                      |     |              |                 | .393    |
| AD       |                      | 4   | 75.0         | 25.0            |         |
| BS       |                      | 185 | 79.5         | 20.5            |         |
| MS       |                      | 21  | 85.7         | 14.3            |         |
| PhD      |                      | 4   | 75.0         | 25.0            |         |
| Dental   |                      | 36  | 86.1         | 13.9            |         |
| Medical  |                      | 108 | 88.9         | 11.1            |         |
| Job      |                      |     |              |                 | .003    |
| Unemployed|                    | 261 | 87.4         | 12.6            |         |
| Full time|                      | 19  | 73.7         | 26.3            |         |
| Part-time|                      | 78  | 71.8         | 28.2            |         |
| Health insurance |      |     |              |                 | .006    |
| Yes      |                      | 313 | 85.3         | 14.7            |         |
| No       |                      | 45  | 68.9         | 31.1            |         |
| Housing situation |      |     |              |                 | .458    |
| Campus   |                      | 228 | 83.3         | 16.7            |         |
| With family|                  | 106 | 81.1         | 18.9            |         |
| Suite    |                      | 24  | 91.7         | 8.30            |         |
| Financial aid |      |     |              |                 | .045    |
| Yes      |                      | 209 | 79.9         | 20.1            |         |
| No       |                      | 149 | 87.9         | 12.1            |         |
| Type of admission |      |     |              |                 | .499    |
| Paying tuition|             | 32  | 87.5         | 12.5            |         |
| Tuition-free|                | 326 | 82.8         | 17.2            |         |
| GPAa     |                      |     |              |                 | .064    |
| 0-12     |                      | 2   | 100          | 0.0             |         |
| 12.1-15  |                      | 73  | 84.9         | 15.1            |         |
| 15.1-18  |                      | 258 | 84.5         | 15.5            |         |
| 18.1-20  |                      | 25  | 64.0         | 36.0            |         |
| Anxietyc |                      |     |              |                 | .073    |
| Normal   |                      | 306 | 84.3         | 15.7            |         |
| Mild     |                      | 30  | 86.7         | 13.3            |         |
| Moderate |                      | 16  | 62.5         | 37.5            |         |
| Severe   |                      | 6   | 66.7         | 33.5            |         |
| Depressionc |        |     |              |                 | .036    |
| Normal   |                      | 253 | 87.0         | 13.0            |         |
| Mild     |                      | 34  | 73.5         | 26.5            |         |
| Moderate |                      | 43  | 74.4         | 25.6            |         |
| Severe   |                      | 28  | 75.0         | 25.0            |         |
A significant association was indicated between food insecurity and using monetary facilities \( (P = .045) \). No significant difference was observed between food security status and housing situation, GPA, and type of university admission.

Regarding the mental health status of the participants according to the analysis of the DASS questionnaire, 13% of students who did not suffer from any level of depression were food insecure, while 26.5% of students with mild depression, 25.6% of students with moderate depression, and 25% of students with severe depression suffered from food insecurity. The association between food insecurity and depression was significant \( (P = .036) \). The chi-square test was not able to find any association between food insecurity and anxiety/stress; however, the difference between anxiety scores’ mean in food secure and food insecure students was significant \( (P = .022) \). Moreover, depression scores’ mean \( (P = .016) \) and stress scores’ mean \( (P = .016) \) were higher significantly in the food insecure group (Table 4).

The results of multiple logistic regression (backward stepwise method) have been summarized in Table 5 and the variables with the strongest association with food insecurity were recognized. According to the outcome of the last step of logistic regression, food insecurity was more likely to happen in students who have a job (OR 0.43; 95% CI 0.23-0.80). Students who use financial aid had almost double the odds to be food insecure (OR 2.07; 95% CI 1.09-3.93). In comparison with students without health insurance, students who take advantage of health insurance had a lower chance of being food insecure.
(OR 0.36; 95% CI 0.17-0.77). And also, students who suffer from various levels of depression were more likely to be food insecure compared to the normal students (OR 1.04; 95% CI 1.01-1.07). The amount of calorie and macronutrient intake was not significantly associated with food insecurity.

4 | DISCUSSION

In the present study, the students’ gender, employment, having health insurance, taking benefit of financial aid, depression, anxiety, and stress came out to be associated with college students’ food insecurity. The prevalence of food insecurity among medical students at Kashan University of Medical Sciences was estimated at 16.8%, and 83.2% of students were considered as food secure. According to Sol-davini et al investigation on food insecurity of college students during the Covid-19 pandemic, about 14% of college students in the United States were suffering from food insecurity during the Coronavirus pandemic. In addition, Gaines et al study has shown that the prevalence of food insecurity among college students at a public university in the southeastern United States was about 14%. This investigation was carried out in 2014 on 557 undergraduate students. However, another investigation on food security status among 580 high school students in Isfahan, Iran indicated the prevalence of food insecurity as 36.6%. The reason for the difference between the current study and the latter investigation could be due to the economic and decision-making independence of college students which make them less affected by family status as compared to high school students. Additionally, the time gap between the two studies should be considered.

Based on Broussard’s report which was published in 2019, globally, women are marginally more likely to be at risk of food insecurity compared to men. Several studies which have been performed on college students, had not reported a significant difference in food insecurity prevalence between male and female students. However, Berg et al study indicated more prevalence of food insecurity among male students. The present study indicated that men have a significantly higher chance of being food insecure. This might be because female students care more about their health generally and paying for food which is not the young men’s priority. In addition, male students have taken less advantage of family financial supports compared to female students.

Melo et al study which has been performed on 5648 adults demonstrated that people without health insurance are more likely to be food insecure than insured people. In another study conducted on college students, no significant association between food insecurity and health insurance was reported. The observable association between food insecurity and health insurance in the present study could represent an association between food insecurity and students’ household economic status indirectly. In agreement with these studies, food insecurity prevalence is higher in uninsured students in the present study which is probably because of lower income and the lack of a permanent job of the students or their parents.

Similar cross-sectional studies have indicated a higher rate of food insecurity in employed students in comparison with students who did not work within their study duration. In our study, we found that students who had a job (part-time [28.2%] and full-time [26.3%]) were more in danger of food insecurity. The reason for the observed difference might be because most of the students who work during the study are possibly have a weaker economic status and the salary of their job is not sufficient. Additionally, the students who work and study at the same time, probably have less time for eating.

Food insecurity was found to be significantly related to using financial aid. Other studies have shown this relationship as well. A study by Knol et al which has been conducted on 395 students, illustrated a higher rate of food insecurity among students who use financial assistance. The reason for these outcomes could be that the students who rely on financial aid probably have not sufficient money for adequate food and nutrition and are more likely to be food insecure.

Wolfson et al have suggested a possible relationship between food insecurity and depression, anxiety, and stress. Their investigation has performed on 1476 adults in 2020 and indicated that food-insecure people are more likely to be diagnosed with depression, anxiety, and stress. Another study which was published in 2021, investigated food insecurity and the psychological health of graduate students. This study demonstrated that graduate students who suffer from food insecurity have a higher chance of depression, anxiety, and stress. Following the finding of the present study, there is a significant difference in food insecurity prevalence between students with some levels of depression, anxiety, or stress and normal students. These results can prove that mental health could affect the food security status in young adults and may make them less careful about food and physical health. On the other side, mental health and food security seem like a two-way association.

A study conducted on 301 college students in the United States indicated an observable association between food insecurity and academic performance. It has been shown that food-insecure students were more likely to get a lower GPA at university. On the other hand, in a study performed on 269 university students, Kassier and Veldman did not show a significant association between food insecurity and academic performance. The present study represented no significant association between students’ GPA and food security status; however, the outcomes showed a slight increase in the GPA of food-insecure students. It can be concluded from the obtained results, that food-insecure students probably try harder and spend more time on their academic duties, to get better results and achieve better job opportunities. Nonetheless, for a more decisive conclusion, further studies are needed.

Zizza et al study has shed new light on food insecurity and energy intake association and proved that if is not necessarily associated with lower energy intake. However, more detailed studies like Larson et al survey, suggested that food-insecure adults have a less nutritious diet (lower amount of vegetables and whole grains) and more unhealthy food (added-sugars and simple carbohydrates). Several studies have compared the differences of food groups between food secure and food insecure subjects; though, no noteworthy study has
been observed to investigate nutrient intake among food secure and food-insecure people. In the present cross-sectional, there was no association between food insecurity and energy and macronutrient intake. Interestingly, the daily intake of carbohydrates was nonsignificantly higher in the food insecure group of students. The number of studies that have examined the subject is small and further studies are certainly required. In general, these results show that although most of the food insecure students met the dietary energy needs, their food choices could be limited. This could be because food insecure students focus more on relieving hunger rather than the retaining quality of the nutrients.

In this study, we tried to investigate various aspects of food insecurity among medical college students. Nevertheless, there were some undeniable limitations in our research. Considering the medical students’ tight schedule and limited time, the short versions of DASS and FFQ were used to augment the accuracy of answers. Another limitation was that we had to rely on some self-reported data such as GPA and bodyweight. Further studies must be conducted to concentrate more on specific questionnaires, using the longer and multiple versions of questionnaires to obtain more reliable findings.

5 | CONCLUSION

Food insecurity is one of the serious health problems worldwide which has a negative impact on both mental and physical health. In the current study, we investigated to see how food insecurity could affect the mental health and dietary intake of medical students. Additionally, we have assessed some of the possible factors that might increase the risk of food insecurity such as gender. Our findings suggested that food insecurity is related to mental health but affects nothing on energy intake and academic performance. Depression was significantly higher in food-insecure students compared to food-secured participants. Additionally, average scores of anxiety and stress were higher in the food insecure group of students. Moreover, a significant association between food insecurity status and socioeconomic factors such as students’ employment, using financial aid, and health insurance have been indicated. However, no significant associations were observed between food insecurity and students’ sleep duration, GPA, and macronutrient intake. Due to the contradictory results of food security-related studies, further investigations on the association between food security and dietary assessment and mental health or other relevant factors in medical students are warranted.
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