Use of the National Early Warning Score for predicting in-hospital mortality in older adults admitted to the emergency department
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Objective The National Early Warning Score (NEWS), based on the patients' vital signs, detects clinical deterioration in critically ill patients and is used to reduce the incidence of in-hospital cardiac arrest. However, although mortality prediction based on vital signs may be difficult in older patients, the effectiveness of the NEWS has not yet been evaluated in this population. This study aimed to test the hypothesis that an elevated NEWS at admission increases the mortality risk in older patients admitted to the emergency department (ED).

Methods We conducted a single-center retrospective study, including patients admitted to the ED between November 2016 and February 2017. We included patients aged > 65 years who were admitted to the ED for any medical problem. The NEWS was calculated at the time of ED admission. The primary outcome was in-hospital mortality.

Results In total, 3,169 patients were included in this study. Median age was 75 years (interquartile range [IQR], 70 to 80 years), and 1,557 (49.1%) patients were male. The in-hospital mortality rate was 5.1% (161 patients). Median NEWS was higher in non-survivors than in survivors (5 [IQR, 3–8] vs. 1 [IQR, 0–3], P < 0.001). Multivariate logistic analysis showed that the NEWS was associated with in-hospital mortality, after adjusting for other confounders. The area under the curve of the NEWS for predicting in-hospital mortality was 0.820 (95% confidence interval, 0.806 to 0.833).

Conclusion Our results show that the NEWS at admission is associated with in-hospital mortality among patients aged > 65 years.
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INTRODUCTION
Korea is becoming a super-aged society and is expected to have the fastest aging rate among the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development countries. Aging is one of the leading causes of increased healthcare burden in emergency departments (EDs). Older adults visit the ED more frequently than the younger. Furthermore, accurate diagnosis and treatment may be delayed in elderly patients because they present with atypical signs and symptoms, use several medications, and have multiple comorbidities; these factors make mortality prediction difficult.

The National Early Warning Score (NEWS) is a simple and rapid bedside tool involving seven physiologic parameters: respiration rate, oxygen saturation, use of supplemental oxygen, temperature, systolic blood pressure, heart rate, and consciousness level. It was originally developed to predict clinical deterioration, including cardiac arrest within 24 hours, in ward patients. Moreover, the NEWS is associated with mortality and intensive care unit admission in patients admitted to the ED. As mentioned above, the NEWS is based on the patient’s vital signs. However, in elderly patients, mortality prediction based on vital signs may be difficult because older patients may show subtle changes in vital signs due to a reduced physiological response to physiological stress. Despite this difficulty, few studies have evaluated the effectiveness of the NEWS in elderly patients admitted to the ED.

The aim of this study was to test the hypothesis that an elevated NEWS at admission increases the risk of mortality in older patients admitted to the ED. We assessed the association between the NEWS at admission and in-hospital mortality in older patients admitted to the ED. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report to describe the association between the NEWS and in-hospital mortality among older patients.

METHODS
Patients and setting
We conducted a retrospective observational study of consecutive patients admitted to the ED of a single academic tertiary hospital between November 2016 and February 2017. This study was approved by the institutional review board of Seoul St. Mary’s Hospital (file number: XC17REDI0071), and the requirement for informed consent was waived because of the study’s retrospective nature.

We included patients aged > 65 years admitted to the ED for any medical issue. The following patients were excluded: patients with trauma-related injuries; those visiting the ED for a non-medical issue; and those who died before arrival to the hospital. The primary outcome of this study was in-hospital mortality.

Data collection
Data of the following demographic characteristics were collected from patients’ medical records: age, sex, and comorbidities, including diabetes mellitus, hypertension, coronary artery disease, liver cirrhosis, end-stage renal disease (receiving renal replacement therapy), chronic lung disease, congestive heart failure, and malignancy. We also collected data of the following clinical findings: systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, mean arterial pressure, heart rate, respiratory rate, body temperature, mental status, and Korean Triage and Acuity Scale (KTAS) score. The KTAS is a triage tool consisting of five levels for classification of patients according to their chief complaints and vital signs.

The NEWS
The NEWS consists of seven physiological variables: systolic blood pressure, heart rate, respiratory rate, body temperature, oxygen saturation, use of supplemental oxygen, and level of consciousness (Table 1). The NEWS is calculated by summing the scores
for each of the seven variables (0–3 points). NEWS-based categories were classified as low risk (0–4), intermediate risk (5–6), and high risk (≥ 7). The NEWS was calculated at the time of ED admission.

Statistical analysis
We presented non-normally distributed continuous data as medians and interquartile ranges (IQRs) and presented categorical data as percentages. To compare patient characteristics between groups, we used the Mann-Whitney U-test (for continuous variables) and the Fisher exact test and chi-squared test (for categorical variables). Univariate analysis was performed to determine the covariates for in-hospital mortality. Multivariate logistic regression analysis was performed to select covariates. Variables with P-values < 0.05 in univariate analysis were selected for multivariate analysis.

To evaluate the association between the NEWS and in-hospital mortality, the NEWS were aggregated into tertiles using the following cutoff values: 0–4, 5–6, and ≥ 7. The NEWS was also examined as a continuous variable. Statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics ver. 24.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) and MedCalc ver. 15.2.2 (MedCalc Software, Mariakerke, Belgium). P-values ≤ 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

RESULTS
Characteristics of the study population
In total, 3,169 patients were included in the analysis. Median age

Table 1. The National Early Warning Score

| Physiological parameters | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
|-------------------------|---|---|---|---|
| Respiration rate (BPM)  | ≤ 8 | 9–11 | 12–20 | ≥ 21 |
| Oxygen saturation (%)   | ≤ 91 | 92–93 | 94–95 | ≥ 96 |
| Any supplemental oxygen | Yes | No |
| Temperature (°C)        | ≤ 35.0 | 35.1–36.0 | 36.1–38.0 | 38.1–39.0 | ≥ 39.1 |
| SBP (mmHg)              | ≤ 90 | 91–100 | 101–110 | 111–219 | ≥ 220 |
| Heart rate (BPM)        | ≤ 40 | 41–50 | 51–90 | 91–110 | 110–130 | ≥ 131 |
| Consciousness level     | A | V, P, or U |

SBP, systolic blood pressure; A, alert; V, verbal response; P, pain response; U, unresponsive.

Table 2. Baseline characteristics in patients with or without in-hospital mortality

| Variable                        | Survivors (n = 3,008) | Non-survivors (n = 161) | P-value |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|---------|
| Age (yr)                        | 75 (70–80)            | 77 (70–83)               | 0.009   |
| Sex, male                       | 1,453 (48.3)          | 1,055 (64.6)             | <0.001  |
| Past history                    |                       |                          |         |
| Diabetes mellitus               | 849 (28.2)            | 59 (36.6)                | 0.021   |
| Hypertension                    | 1,611 (53.6)          | 87 (54.0)                | 0.906   |
| CAD                             | 457 (15.2)            | 24 (14.9)                | 0.922   |
| Liver cirrhosis                 | 66 (2.2)              | 7 (4.3)                  | 0.076   |
| ESRD on RRT                     | 87 (2.9)              | 8 (5.0)                  | 0.132   |
| Chronic lung disease            | 503 (16.7)            | 53 (32.9)                | <0.001  |
| CHF                             | 57 (1.9)              | 6 (3.7)                  | 0.105   |
| Malignancy                      | 755 (25.1)            | 86 (53.4)                | <0.001  |
| Vital signs                      |                       |                          |         |
| SBP (mmHg)                      | 140 (122–155)         | 125 (109.5–139.5)        | <0.001  |
| DBP (mmHg)                      | 78 (68–89)            | 70 (60–84)               | <0.001  |
| MAP (mmHg)                      | 99 (87–110)           | 90 (78–100)              | <0.001  |
| Heart rate (BPM)                | 85 (74–98)            | 98 (85–115)              | <0.001  |
| Respiration rate (BPM)          | 19 (18–20)            | 20 (18–22)               | <0.001  |
| Temperature (°C)                | 36.8 (36.5–37.2)      | 37.0 (36.5–37.7)         | 0.107   |
| Mental status                   |                       |                          | <0.001  |
| Alert                           | 2,900 (96.4)          | 129 (80.1)               |         |
| Verbal                          | 41 (1.4)              | 8 (5.0)                  |         |
| Pain                            | 61 (2.0)              | 18 (11.2)                |         |
| Unresponsive                    | 6 (0.2)               | 6 (3.7)                  |         |
| Triage level                    |                       |                          | <0.001  |
| Immediate                       | 23 (0.8)              | 5 (3.1)                  |         |
| Emergent                        | 299 (9.9)             | 46 (28.6)                |         |
| Urgent                          | 2,050 (68.2)          | 105 (65.2)               |         |
| Semiurgent                      | 537 (17.9)            | 4 (2.5)                  |         |
| Nonurgent                       | 99 (3.3)              | 1 (0.6)                  |         |
| NEWS                            | 1 (0–3)               | 5 (3–8)                  | <0.001  |
| Aggregated NEWS                 |                       |                          | <0.001  |
| Aggregate 0–4                   | 2,655 (88.3)          | 73 (45.3)                |         |
| Aggregate 5–6                   | 231 (7.7)             | 35 (21.7)                |         |
| Aggregate ≥ 7                   | 122 (4.1)             | 53 (32.9)                |         |
| ICU admission†                  | 269 (8.9)             | 74 (46.0)                | <0.001  |
| Hospital admission†             | 1,255 (41.7)          | 150 (93.2)               | <0.001  |

| KTAS 1 (n = 28) | KTAS 2 (n = 345) | KTAS 3 (n = 2,155) | KTAS 4 (n = 541) | KTAS 5 (n = 100) |
|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| NEWS            |                 |                   |                 |                 |
| Aggregate 0–4   | 13 (46.4)       | 236 (68.4)        | 1,848 (85.8)    | 532 (98.3)      |
| Aggregate 5–6   | 3 (10.7)        | 38 (11.0)         | 216 (10.0)      | 8 (1.5)         |
| Aggregate ≥ 7   | 12 (42.9)       | 71 (20.6)         | 91 (4.2)        | 1 (0.2)         |

KTAS, Korean Triage and Acuity Scale; NEWS, National Early Warning Score.

Variables are expressed as median (interquartile range) or n (%). CAD, coronary artery disease; ESRD, end-stage renal disease; RRT, renal replacement therapy; CHF, congestive heart failure; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; MAP, mean arterial pressure; NEWS, National Early Warning Score; ICU, intensive care unit.

*Hospital admission includes both ward admission and ICU admission.
was 75 years (IQR, 70 to 80 years), and 1,557 (49.1%) patients were male. The in-hospital mortality rate was 5.1% (n = 161). The baseline characteristics of the study population are presented in Table 2. Median NEWS was 1.0 (IQR, 0 to 3.0). The NEWS was higher in non-survivors (median, 5; IQR, 3 to 8) than in survivors (median, 1; IQR, 0 to 3, P<0.001). The associations between the KTAS and NEWS are presented in Table 3.

**Logistic regression analysis**

On univariate analysis, age, male sex, diabetes mellitus, liver cirrhosis, end-stage renal disease on renal replacement therapy, chronic lung disease, congestive heart failure, malignancy, and triage level were found to be associated with in-hospital mortality and were selected as covariates (Table 4). The NEWS was examined as a continuous and categorical variable. Both models showed an association between the NEWS and in-hospital mortality. When the NEWS was examined as a continuous variable, the adjusted odds ratio for in-hospital mortality was 1.475 (95% confidence interval [CI], 1.395 to 1.561). When the NEWS was examined as a categorical variable, the adjusted odds ratios for in-hospital mortality were as follows: NEWS 5–6, 4.666 (95% CI, 2.986 to 7.292) and NEWS ≥ 7, 13.641 (95% CI, 8.899 to 20.909) (Table 5).

**Table 4. Odds ratios for all-cause in-hospital mortality events**

| Variable | Univariate | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| | Odds ratio | 95% CI | P-value | |
| Age | 1.032 | 1.010–1.053 | 0.004 | |
| Sex, male | 1.953 | 1.403–2.718 | <0.001 | |
| Diabetes mellitus | 1.471 | 1.057–2.047 | 0.022 | |
| Hypertension | 1.020 | 0.742–1.401 | 0.905 | |
| CAD | 0.978 | 0.627–1.526 | 0.922 | |
| Liver cirrhosis | 2.026 | 0.914–4.490 | 0.082 | |
| ESRD on RRT | 1.756 | 0.836–3.687 | 0.137 | |
| Chronic lung disease | 2.444 | 1.735–3.442 | <0.001 | |
| CHF | 2.004 | 0.851–4.720 | 0.112 | |
| Malignancy | 3.422 | 2.484–4.714 | <0.001 | |
| SBP | 0.978 | 0.972–0.984 | <0.001 | |
| DBP | 0.977 | 0.967–0.986 | <0.001 | |
| MAP | 0.973 | 0.964–0.982 | <0.001 | |
| Heart rate | 1.029 | 1.021–1.037 | <0.001 | |
| Respiratory rate | 1.118 | 1.069–1.168 | <0.001 | |
| Temperature | 1.206 | 1.013–1.435 | 0.035 | |
| Mental status | | | | |
| Alert | Reference | Reference | Reference | |
| Verbal | 4.386 | 2.015–9.548 | <0.001 | |
| Pain | 6.634 | 3.810–11.550 | <0.001 | |
| Unresponsive | 22.481 | 7.152–70.661 | <0.001 | |
| Triage level | | | | |
| Immediate | 21.522 | 2.398–193.157 | 0.006 | |
| Emergent | 15.231 | 2.073–111.884 | 0.007 | |
| Urgent | 5.071 | 0.700–36.710 | 0.108 | |
| Semiurgent | 0.737 | 0.082–6.667 | 0.786 | |
| Nonurgent | Reference | Reference | Reference | |
| NEWS | 1.485 | 1.410–1.565 | <0.001 | |
| Aggregated NEWS | | | | |
| Aggregate 0–4 | Reference | Reference | Reference | |
| Aggregate 5–6 | 5.511 | 3.603–8.427 | <0.001 | |
| Aggregate ≥ 7 | 15.800 | 10.617–23.513 | <0.001 | |

**Table 5. ORs for NEWS in the prediction of all-cause in-hospital mortality**

| Aggregated NEWS | NEWS as continuous variable |
| --- | --- |
| OR (95% CI) | OR (95% CI) |
| NEWS 0–4 (n = 2,739, death = 73) | NEWS 5–6 (n = 268, death = 35) | NEWS ≥ 7 (n = 176, death = 54) |
| Model 1<sup>a</sup> | (Reference) | 4.666 (2.986–7.292) | 13.641 (8.899–20.909) | 1.475 (1.395–1.561) |

OR, odds ratio; NEWS, National Early Warning Score; CI, confidence interval.

<sup>a</sup>Age+sex+diasbetes mellitus+liver cirrhosis+end-stage renal disease on renal replacement therapy+chronic lung disease+congestive heart failure+malignancy+KTAS level.
Prognostic value of the NEWS
The area under the curve of the NEWS for predicting in-hospital mortality was 0.820 (95% CI, 0.806 to 0.833) (Fig. 1).

DISCUSSION
This study aimed to assess whether the NEWS at admission was associated with in-hospital mortality in older patients admitted to the ED. Our analysis showed that the NEWS at the time of ED admission was significantly correlated with in-hospital mortality among patients aged > 65 years. When the NEWS increased by 1, the in-hospital mortality rate increased by 47.5%. If the patient had a NEWS of ≥ 7, the risk of in-hospital death was 30.3%. However, if the patient had a NEWS of 0–4, the risk of in-hospital death was 2.7%. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report to describe the association between the NEWS and in-hospital mortality among older patients.

The triage of patients on arrival at the ED is very important for appropriate patient care. However, accurate patient triage requires experience and may be affected by interobserver variability. Several triage tools have been developed for use in the ED, including the KTAS, which is now being used in clinical practice. In this study, the NEWS was associated with in-hospital mortality in older adults admitted to the ED. Because the NEWS is an objective tool, additional use of the NEWS for patient triage could be considered. However, this should be studied further.

Owing to the increasing elderly population, physicians should give more medical attention to elderly patients. Older adults visit the ED more frequently than the younger. Predicting mortality and performing appropriate triage may be difficult in older patients because they present atypical signs and symptoms, have multiple comorbidities, and use multiple medications. However, risk factors for in-hospital mortality in older adults admitted to the ED have rarely been investigated. One study proposed a strategy based on targeting high-risk patients. The results of the current study may also assist in the identification of older patients with a high risk of in-hospital mortality.

Churpek et al. reported that vital signs detect cardiac arrest more accurately in nonelderly ward patients (area under the receiver operating characteristic curve, 0.85; 95% CI, 0.82 to 0.88) than in elderly ward patients (area under the receiver operating characteristic curve, 0.71; 95% CI, 0.68 to 0.75). In addition, they suggested the need for more accurate methods of risk stratification, such as stratification by comorbidities. However, considering this study only included elderly patients, no comparison was made with younger adults. Some studies have reported that the predictive ability of the NEWS increases on combining several laboratory results with the NEWS. This combination method should also be validated in older patients to improve predictive ability in this patient group.

This study had several limitations. First, this was a single-center, retrospective observational study. The baseline characteristics of ED patients may vary according to region and country. Therefore, the generalizability of the results of this study may be limited. Second, there could be unknown confounding factors due to the retrospective nature of this study. Moreover, we did not analyze laboratory or imaging findings, which indicates the need for prospective multicenter studies in the future. Third, the primary outcome of this study was all-cause in-hospital mortality, and we did not evaluate the association between the NEWS and cause-specific mortality. Finally, this study had a relatively short study period. Therefore, seasonal variations in the patient population should be considered while interpreting our results.

In conclusion, the NEWS is a simple and rapid bedside tool for predicting in-hospital mortality among patients aged > 65 years. A high NEWS in elderly patients admitted to the ED may provide evidence to support decision-making processes related to hospital admission, regardless of etiology.
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