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ABSTRACT

Healthcare today incorporates the multidisciplinary team approach for holistic patient management in every discipline. It necessitates the inclusion of interprofessional education (IPE) in the medical education and training system to equip future doctors to adapt it for better patient outcomes. This article aims to draw attention of the stakeholders and policymakers toward the role of IPE in the medical curriculum.
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INTRODUCTION

Improvement in patient care is the goal of the medical health professional education remodeling. Managing patient as an individual and not the disease is the crux of the holistic approach to management in patient care. A holistic approach includes dealing with the effects of the illness on the patients’ all spheres of life, including physical, mental, and social well-being. While preparing the professionals of tomorrow, it is vital to inculcate in them the importance of a collaborative multidisciplinary team in patient care.

WHAT IS INTERPROFESSIONAL EDUCATION?

Various definitions of interprofessional education (IPE) exist. The American Association of Colleges of Pharmacy-Interprofessional Education Task Force1–4 definition reads as: “Interprofessional education involves educators and learners from two or more health professions and their foundational disciplines who jointly create and foster a collaborative learning environment. The goal of these efforts is to develop knowledge, skills, and attitudes that result in interprofessional team behaviors and competence. Ideally, IPE is incorporated throughout the entire curriculum in a vertically and horizontally integrated fashion.”

WHAT IS NOT IPE?

To have a clearer picture, it is equally important to understand what is not considered IPE.4 Students from different health professions who are unable to interact actively and gain but are physically in the same classroom and receiving the same knowledge or having the same learning experience are not considered IPE.4,5

An educator from a different profession teaching the subject without explaining the interprofessional correlation and patient-centered relevance is not considered IPE.

Another example is a patient care setting, which has various healthcare professionals but without shared responsibility or equal power in decision making in the patient care.1–4

WHAT IS THE GOAL OF IPE?

The IPE aims to educate the medical students to approach the management of the patients keeping all aspects of the patient’s life in mind. The professionals need to know and understand the individual well, their needs, and the effects of the illness on individuals’ all spheres of life.6 All this is in addition to the knowledge of the disease itself.

IPE improves the patient outcome as it teaches interprofessional patient care.5,7 It teaches the students to work as interprofessional team in their future practice. IPE team encompasses members specializing in different disciplines working together for patient-centered care. Each team member is empowered to decision plan depending on their expertise as the joint decision is valued.3,4

WHY IPE?

The 2006 to 2007 task force listed out the competencies and objectives of IPE after reviewing the literature.2–5,7 Vital competencies
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like “teamwork, communication skills, team organization and function, assessing and enhancing team performance, intrateam and interteam communication, leadership, resolving conflict and consensus building, and setting common patient care goals can be achieved by collaborative interprofessional education”.6

Various professional bodies have included IPE in their accreditation standards and guidelines.4-11 As far as medical education is concerned, “there are no official accreditation standards about IPE specifically in medical education”4,9 Nevertheless, it becomes the need of the hour to include IPE in the curriculum to move toward holistic care of the patient.

**Example of Interprofessional Education in Practice**

The Local Clinical Practice Guidelines (CPG)12 on the management of diabetes enforce the holistic approach to the management of diabetes. The multidisciplinary team involved in the management of diabetic patients includes primary care practitioner, diabetes educator, dietitian, physician, endocrinologist, pharmacist, optometrist, ophthalmologist, oral health professional, and physiotherapist.

The medical education IPE plan on diabetes includes the basic knowledge of the disease and complications, better understanding of the patients and their lifestyle, and the effects of the disease on the lifestyle. This helps in making the lifestyle modifications more practical, thus individualizing the management plan. Nonclinical, paraclinical, and clinical medical professionals impart the knowledge of the disease and complications and its effects on the patient’s lifestyle. The *dietitian* teaches the individualized diet plan and its co-relation to the lifestyle modification. The *physiotherapist’s* focus is on the individualized exercise plan, which is practical and possible for the patient. These patients may have other comorbidities like obesity, arthritis, and cardiovascular diseases. The *pharmacist* educates on the relevance of compliance, side effects of drugs, etc. The *dentist* highlights the dental complications and need of reference for regular dental checkups. The *Nursing care professionals* emphasize the nursing care of the diabetic patient.

Last but not the least the *Anaesthesiologist* highlights the special considerations of the controlled and uncontrolled diabetic patient coming for surgery, and the *intensivist and emergency physician* embark on the life-threatening emergencies like diabetic ketoacidosis.

The core of the IPE is patient-centered. The patient needs to understand the disease and its complications, accept the responsibility of self-care, and the necessity of management. *Diabetes Educator* and all the professionals educate the patient as well as the family. Good communication with the patient, family members, and among professionals involved in the patient management is vital. It avoids confusion, and the plan remains consistent.

Good communication skills help to form a good rapport with the patient. Good rapport helps in building trust. The patients are more likely to follow the advice if they trust the professional. The more the contact time between the educator and the patient, the better is the outcome.12

IPE teaches the students to function as a team to improve patient outcomes and practice it in their career.

The interprofessional collaboration success depends on the administrative facilitation. The where, when, and who questions require strategic planning to be answered. Starting from time and personnel resources to infrastructure and financial resources, each step requires individual and team support agreed upon by the administration. The planning of the yearly schedule will require inclusion of the IPE in the schedule of all the facilities concerned and allotment of the appropriate lecture halls and interprofessional communications and relations.4 The best time to introduce IPE into the undergraduate curriculum is still under debate, and a recent Swiss study recommends an early introduction of IPE in the medical curriculum.13

**How to Assess IPE?**

To make it effective, assessment must be an integral component of IPE sessions. Participant experience could be elicited using an online feedback template. Pretest and posttest would give evidence of gain in knowledge. Attitude assessment is an important component of IPE for which a validated Interprofessional Attitudes Scale (IPAS) is available.14

IPAS evaluation is based on the assessment of attitude toward the vital competencies of IPE, such as teamwork, communication skills, mutual respect, practical patient problem solving, and consensus-building for common patient care goals, taking into consideration the multidisciplinary collaboration.

“Interprofessional team-based case challenge” would be an exciting way to engage students in IPE exercises with the focus on fast-action in emergency case scenarios and critical thinking and collaborative problem-solving in complex case scenarios. The assessment will be for each team and not for an individual.

**What are the Barriers to the Implementation of IPE?**

Successful implementation of IPE faces barriers at various steps starting from organization-level down to faculty and student level. The main barriers identified in a Canadian study15 and American study16 were “scheduling, rigid curriculum, ‘turf battles,’ and lack of perceived value to IPE and attitudinal differences in health professionals, faculty members, and students, respectively”.4

It is vital to understand that as the health care advances, it is essential to modify the education and training of health care professionals as well. The stakeholder’s awareness and participation in the implementation are vital to provide the necessary resources and the funds.13 Various professional organizations need to join hands for the successful implementation of IPE.

**Conclusion**

The novel holistic approach to patient care requires interprofessional collaboration. The IPE combines the expertise of various health professions to improve patient outcomes. The inculcation of the IPE from the very beginning in the medical curriculum as a norm will make it easier for the budding doctors to follow it in their future practice. Professional organizations and stakeholders need to accept and promote IPE for the successful implementation of IPE.
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