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**Abstract**

Chihuahua desert possesses 60% of the *Opuntia* species (nopal) naturally grown in Mexico. These species are considered a reserve material due to its tolerance to adverse weather conditions, and some have been used as cattle forage regardless their low protein content. One of the traditional ways for protein enrichment is through yeast fermentation using *S. cerevisiae*. In the present work, several routes have been assessed to augment cellulose bioavailability during fermentation. The protein enrichment process, coupled with an additional thermal, enzymatic or fungal treatment in a semi-solid culture is evaluated. The yeast concentration was the constant parameter in the culture at 15% wet basis. Six different treatments were proposed considering: a. Thermal treatment; b. Fermentation using *Phanerochaete chrysosporium* A594; c. Novozyme 188 and Celluloclast hydrolysis; d. Fermentation with *S. cerevisiae* at 37°C for 48 h, 180 rpm. For each sample, lignin, cellulose and protein were measured. The results are as follows. Protein at original sample was of 0.042%, and its content (%) in the different treatment combinations was: a and d: 16.5; a,b and d:22.8; a,c and d: 10.6; d:14.3; b and d: 25.9; c and d:8.75. Therefore, thermal processing did not contribute to the increase in protein content in the final product, neither was the enzymatic pre-treatment. Fungal solid state fermentation contributed significantly to the increment in protein content due, principally, to the lignin depletion in the fermentation media.
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**Introduction**

*Opuntia spp* is a traditional cactacea, which comprises more than 200 species reported in the world [1]. In Mexico, coexist 188 species and 78 of them are endemic [1,2]. This genera has been used for many functional proposes such as cosmetics, a source of natural colorants and for animal and human feeding [2]. Recently, its usage as forage has been increased due to the severe drought periods accounting for the irregular distribution of the rain and water supply. These incidences have caused a reduction of pasture and common fodder deficiency [3]. The solution that has been applied is the usage of supplements and concentrates whose market prices are many times non affordable by the consumers [4].

Cactacea genera have been used worldwide as a cheap alternative for cattle feeding, in particular *Opuntia indica* has successfully been used in Brazil, South Africa and Tunez [5-7]. Due to its physiological characteristics, it is of special importance in the arid and semiarid regions as a reserve material due to its tolerance to adverse weather conditions and its potential for providing minerals, water and carbohydrates. In spite of these advantages, *Opuntia* doesn’t possess the required protein content, especially for the milk producer’s cows [5,8]. One of the traditional ways for protein enrichment is through yeast fermentation using *S. cerevisiae* as inoculum. Cell protein from microorganisms is one of the possible high quality sources that can be considered for this purpose. Besides, some bacteria fungi and yeasts possess a high growth rate and a beneficial metabolism for cattle [9]. Some yeasts, as *S.cerevisiae*, could also provide some of the vitamins of the B complex. This alternative has been recently reported in the studies performed by Araujo and collaborators, reaching 465% of protein increment compared to the control [4]. In a fermentation process, bio-availability of the substrate could be crucial for increasing the respective reaction yields. In the case of *S. cerevisiae*, glucose is the principal substrate used, which comes from the celluloseic substrate. The glucose concentration affects the rate of its metabolism and the presence of lignin substrates could diminish the total efficiency performance.

In this regard, the present work provides information about several treatment alternatives to increase cellulose bio-availability of *Opuntia* cladodes. Also, for these different alternatives, thermal treatment is assessed and free glucose in the fermentation liquors is analyzed. The effect of fungal or enzymatic fermentation is considered as well. The process of protein enrichment of the *Opuntia*, using the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* yeast, in a semi-solid culture to improve the nutritional value, is evaluated. Biopolymers distribution in the fermentation media are correlated to the maximum protein production.

**Methodology**

**Determination of moisture**

Cladodes of *Opuntia spp.* from Milpa Alta, Mexico (20.65° latitude, -100.32° length)of 15-20 cm length were harvested on May 2008 and sent to Chihuahua. They were cooled at 4°C until the moisture analysis was carried out. Cladodes were crushed by triplicate, obtaining a final volume of 10 mL. The sample was subjected to 65°C for 48 h. Moisture
content, based on dry matter (DM), was calculated using the mass weight differences.

**Drying of nopal**

The nopal was dehydrated in order to have better handling and storage. Subsequently, it was reconstituted by taking 5 g of dried cactus and adding 95 mL of distilled water, to homogenize the water activity for all samples.

**Opuntia treatments**

A full factorial design with two variables, one with two levels (thermal treatment) and one with three levels (non-hydrolysis, fungal hydrolysis and enzymatic hydrolysis) was performed for six different treatments (Table 1). All analyses were made by triplicate. To determine if there were significant differences among them, a statistical analysis was performed by ANOVA using Minitab software (Minitab® 15.1.1.0Inc. 2007).

**Thermal treatment**

The treatment method was based on the use of water vapor at high pressure and temperature. After heating, the decompression of the material occurred, causing the breakdown of lignocellulosic structures. The cactus was placed in an autoclave (100 mL of reconstituted nopal) at 121°C and 1.05 atm of pressure, for different periods of time. Decompression was of 0.157 psi/s in 90s.

**Fungal hydrolysis**

*Phanerochaete chrysosporium* fungus A594 strain fresh culture provided by UAM-México was inoculated into PDA (Potato Dextrose Agar) and incubated for 48 h at 30°C. Yeast biomass was determined by turbidimetry (620 nm) to determine the appropriate volume of inoculum.

**Enzymatic hydrolysis**

The thermal-treated and non-treated *Opuntia* samples were enzymatically hydrolyzed by Celluclast 1.5 and Novozyme 188 enzymes (Novozymes Inc.). Tests were carried out with 100 mL of previously reconstituted nopal, shaken with an inoculum of 1 x 10⁷ spores per gram, in dry weight, for 7 days at 180 rpm, 30°C.

**Thermal treatment**

Yeast fermentation

The activity of the enzyme Celluclast 1.5 L was of 75 FPU/g and for 7 days at 180 rpm, 30°C.

**Determination of carbohydrates and organic acids by hPLC**

All samples digested were analyzed by high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC, Shimadzu CR 7A) using a column Aminex HPX-87H (300 × 7.8 mm, Bio-Rad Laboratories Ltd), a mobile phase of H₂SO₄ 0.005 mol L⁻¹ with a flow of 0.6 mL min⁻¹, at 45 °C. The compounds were analyzed using a refractive index detector Shimadzu RID 6A. Cellulose and hemicellulose were calculated as stated by Moraes-Rocha [10].

**Determination of proteins**

Protein analysis was made by the Bradford method. The description of the assay can be found elsewhere [11]. Bradford reactive (100 mL) was made with 10 mg of Coomassie blue-250, mixed with 10 mL of 88% phosphoric acid and 4.7 mL of absolute ethanol. Different dilutions of the sample were made (1:10, 1:20, 1:50) using 0.15 M of NaCl; 2 mL Bradford reagent was added and mixed. Calibration curves were prepared with dry bovine serum albumin-BSA (Sigma).

**Results**

Cladode is a part of the *Opuntia* plant that is frequently related to the flattened segment which replaces leaves for photosynthesis. It is also known as cactus stem. Cladode composition depends on edaphic factors at the cultivation site and season and age of the plant [3,12-14]. Initial characterization of the material was performed. Water quantity was measured as 94.82% in a dry matter basis (DM). Salim [15] found a 93% water content in *Opuntia ficus Indica*. In our results, Cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin (DM) are 28.15%, 2.82% and 12% respectively. According to Malainine [16], in 100 g of dry matter weight, there are 3.6 g of lignin, 21.6 g of cellulose, and 48 g of other polysaccharides. Peña-Valdivia et al. [2] have recently reported an extensive study on *Opuntia spp.* composition in different Mexican cultivars. Content of loosely bound hemicelluloses in “nopalitos” of the majority of cultivars was similar: 4-11% DM. On the other hand, tightly bound hemicelluloses in “nopalitos” of the majority of cultivars was similar: 4-11% DM. On the other hand, tightly bound hemicelluloses correspond to 2.2-4.6% DM. The majority of the cultivars analyzed by them had intermediate cellulose contents (7.2-13.3% DM), Ben Thilja [17] reported mean values in DM of five *Opuntia spp.* cladodes as follows: 11% cellulose, 8% hemicellulose and 3.9% lignin respectively.

Protein in fresh *Opuntia* analyzed was 0.34% DM. Salim reported a range of 1.4 to 7.61% of protein (DM), among different species and Gebremariam et al. (2006), 8.3% DM [6]. Other authors [12-14]

| Treatment trains | Thermal pretreatment | Non-thermal pretreatment |
|------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|
|                  | Non-hydrolysis       | Fungal hydrolysis        | Enzymatic hydrolysis |
| Yeast Fermentation | Yeast Fermentation | Yeast Fermentation      | Yeast Fermentation |
| T1               | T2                   | T3                       | T4                   |
| T5               | T6                   |                          |                      |

Table 1: Conditions of each fermentation treatments.
found 4-10 g of proteins in 100 g. Significant differences in protein are reported, nevertheless they are lower than other forage supplies, such as "alfalfa" which is of 20-28% DM [18].

Thermal treatment

Vapor explosion and decompression is a thermal treatment which has been used for lignocellulosic materials to increment cellulose availability [19]. Water penetrates and condenses into the material. When decompression occurs, water is cooled rapidly and the cellulose fiber rupture arises in the amorphous sections. For thermal treatment, no difference based on cellulose content in time, from 30 to 240 minutes, was noticed (Figure 1). Therefore, 30 minutes were fixed as the treatment time for further experiments.

Fungal and enzymatic fermentations

The glucose and cellulose concentration were analyzed in the liquor and solid fraction respectively, for non-thermally treated and thermal treated cladodes (Figure 2). Cellulose remaining in the solid fraction is almost equal. In the case of glucose, some differences are noticed. Thermal treatment always increases glucose compared to the non-thermal process. During fungal fermentation, glucose is liberated due to cellulose hydrolysis, such as it has been reported by other authors with Aspergillus Niger [20].

In the case of the enzymatic treatment, glucose increased from 8.32% to 30.45% and 34.75% in the liquors. Padron [21] reported enzymatic hydrolysis of Opuntia bolderi, where free glucose increased from 1-7%. In enzymatic fermentation, glucose is superior compared to fungal treatment. It is explained in terms of microorganism consumption during its metabolism.

Train treatments

Protein, cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin were evaluated for each treatment (Table 2). Results were evaluated statistically using the Fisher-Snedecor test ($\alpha=0.05$, $F_{c}=5.79$).

Cellulose concentration varies among treatments performed ($F>5.79$) (Table 2). The minor values attained were for T3 and T5. These are originated by the action of the microorganisms which consume mainly glucose as their carbon source. Hemicellulose concentration shows no significant differences among the treatments ($F \leq 5.79$). Total Lignin concentration has been evaluated and there were significant differences ($F>5.79$). Maximum lignin in liquor was for T4 and the lowest for T5. Lower lignin content increases digestibility of biomass for animal feed [9]. Regarding the protein content, the analysis also shows significant differences. T5 is the treatment with the highest concentration, with an increment of 26%. Cladodes without treatment present 0.34% DM. Araujo [4] reported the bioconversion of cactus pear by yeast in solid medium at different inoculum level (5-15%). There, a maximum PI of 465% was reached in 48 h. This report corresponds to a 25.48% DM, which is similar to our best result, using non-thermal pretreatment, fungal and yeast fermentation during 9 days. In the work of Oliveira et al, using Aspergillus Niger, protein increases to 12.80% DM in 120 h [20]. Díaz-Plasencia reported the fermentation of Opuntia spp. with Kluyveromyces Lactis. Crude protein increases from 9.35 to 19.36% in 48 h [22].

Conclusions

Thermal treatment does not benefit the yeast fermentation. In spite of a high glucose concentration into the liquor for the enzymatic process, protein enrichment was better for fungal treatment. Lignin depletion by the fungus is a strong factor to give better protein yields during yeast fermentation. Biological treatment with white rot fungi and yeast fermentation increases protein content to 26% DM.
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