**Cadm1 Is a Metastasis Susceptibility Gene That Suppresses Metastasis by Modifying Tumor Interaction with the Cell-Mediated Immunity**
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**Abstract**

Metastasis is a complex process utilizing both tumor-cell-autonomous properties and host-derived factors, including cellular immunity. We have previously shown that germline polymorphisms can modify tumor cell metastatic capabilities through cell-autonomous mechanisms. However, how metastasis susceptibility genes interact with the tumor stroma is incompletely understood. Here, we employ a complex genetic screen to identify Cadm1 as a novel modifier of metastasis. We demonstrate that Cadm1 can specifically suppress metastasis without affecting primary tumor growth. Unexpectedly, Cadm1 did not alter tumor-cell-autonomous properties such as proliferation or invasion, but required the host’s adaptive immune system to affect metastasis. The metastasis-suppressing effect of Cadm1 was lost in mice lacking T cell–mediated immunity, which was partially phenocopied by depleting CD8+ T cells in immune-competent mice. Our data show a novel function for Cadm1 in suppressing metastasis by sensitizing tumor cells to immune surveillance mechanisms, and this is the first report of a heritable metastasis susceptibility gene engaging tumor non-autonomous factors.

**Introduction**

Metastatic disease remains a major problem for the clinical treatment of many different malignancies. Metastases can appear years after treatment of the primary tumor and is frequently refractory to therapy [1]. It has been estimated that approximately 90% of cancer-related deaths are directly attributable to the development of metastatic disease, rather than the primary tumor [2]. In order for a tumor cell to form a clinically-relevant metastatic lesion, it must undergo a highly complex process termed the invasion-metastasis cascade, which includes escaping from the primary tumor, entering the circulation, evading the immune system, seeding the secondary organ, and adapting to growth in this foreign environment [3]. Evidence suggests that the invasion-metastasis cascade is driven by a complex interplay of tumor cell-autonomous properties and host derived factors [3]. There is also accumulating evidence that germline polymorphism modifies tumor cell metastatic capability, indicating that heritable genetic variability can predict a tumor cell’s propensity to metastasize [4–6]. In this study, we employ a complex genetics screen that exploits the differential heritable metastatic susceptibility observed among strains of inbred mice to identify tumor-autonomous expression of Cadm1 as a germline modifier of metastatic susceptibility. We demonstrate that over-expression of Cadm1 by as little as 1.5-fold can specifically suppress metastasis without any resultant difference in primary tumor growth.

In addition to tumor-autonomous cellular phenotypes, metastatic efficiency is also impacted by tumor non-autonomous, host-derived factors including the immune system [3]. However, mechanisms by which tumor cells interact with the immune system remain poorly understood. Here, we show that the metastasis suppressive effects of Cadm1 are lost in mice lacking functional T cell–mediated immunity, an effect which is partially phenocopied by the depletion of CD8+ T cells in immune-competent mice, suggesting that Cadm1 sensitizes tumor cells to immune-surveillance mechanisms by CD8+ T cells. Since differences in expression of Cadm1 are inherited in mice, our data links the contribution of the genetic background in determining metastatic risk to the adaptive immune system, suggesting that individuals with higher levels of Cadm1 expression may be more resistant to metastasis.

**Results**

Complex genetic screen identifies Cadm1 as a candidate metastasis susceptibility gene

Previous work from our laboratory demonstrated that the progeny of FVB-MMTV-PyMT, a mouse model of metastatic breast cancer, and NZB/B1NJ or C58/J mice have significantly reduced pulmonary metastasis relative to the parental FVB-
Mmtv-pyMT [7]. Preliminary genetic mapping in an NZBxFVB backcross (Figure 1A) suggested the presence of a metastasis susceptibility gene on chromosome 9 [8] which was subsequently validated by the development of a chromosomal substitution strain [9]. Reproducible association of a metastasis susceptibility locus on proximal chromosome 9 was obtained by analysis of a 226 animal FVB)F1 transgene-negative females showed a statistically significant increase in metastatic susceptibility relative to FVB (p = 0.022, Figure 1D), consistent with the hypothesis that NZB and FVB have distinct haplotypes at the Cadm1 locus and validated one synonymous polymorphism in exon 2 (rs32721609, Figure S2). Since no non-synonymous polymorphisms were observed, subsequent efforts were focused on the possible effect of expression levels on the metastatic phenotype.

To validate microarray data that Cadm1 was differentially expressed in FVB and NZB, quantitative real time PCR (qRT-PCR) was conducted on reverse transcribed RNA extracted from PyMT-FVB and NF9 tumors. The results showed a trend toward increased Cadm1 expression in NF9 tumors relative to FVB that was of borderline significance (p = 0.073, Figure 1E). To rule out the possibility that differential expression might have resulted from somatic alterations, expression was assessed in normal lung tissue as a representative epithelial sample. qRT-PCR in normal lung tissue from high-metastatic FVB and low-metastatic (NZB x FVB)F1 transgene-negative females showed a statistically significant increase in Cadm1 expression in NZB F1 mice of about 1.5-fold (Figure 1E). These results, in combination with linkage and haplotype data, were consistent with the hypothesis that Cadm1 expression is likely to be a germline modifier of metastasis.

Cadm1 expression inversely impacts metastasis

Cadm1, also known as Tslk, Neol2, Ra175, IgSf4a and SynCAM is an immunoglobulin superfamily adhesion molecule reported to be involved in homotypic and heterotypic cell-cell interactions [15]. It has also been identified as a tumor suppressor in lung adenocarcinoma [16]. To test whether variation in Cadm1 expression had an impact on tumor growth and metastasis, two independent mouse mammary tumor cell lines stably expressing Cadm1 were generated. Mvt-1 and 6DT1 cells [17] were transduced with Pol2-driven empty vector or Cadm1 expression vector lentivirus particles and selected with blasticidin. Stable expression of exogenous Cadm1 was confirmed by Western Blot and qRT-PCR (Figure 2A and 2D) and quantification revealed that 6DT1 cells overexpressed Cadm1 by approximately 1.5 fold, similar to the difference observed in NF9 tumors and lung relative to FVB (Figure 1E and Figure 2D). One hundred thousand cells stably expressing Cadm1 or empty vector were then injected into the fourth mammary fat pad of syngeneic mice. Thirty days post-injection mice were euthanized and primary tumors were resected and weighed to assess the effect of Cadm1 on primary tumor growth. A statistically significant reduction in primary tumor growth was observed for the Mvt-1 cell line (Figure 2B). In contrast, no significant difference in primary tumor growth was observed 6DT1 cells.
The effect of ectopic Cadm1 expression on metastasis was then assessed by pulmonary surface metastasis counts. To account for the reduction of primary tumor growth for the Mvt-1/Cadm1 cell line, metastasis counts were also normalized by primary tumor burden to allow better comparisons between cell lines. As shown in Figure 2C, 2E, and 2F, Cadm1 expression significantly reduced the metastatic capability of both Mvt-1 and 6DT1 relative to controls, consistent with a metastasis susceptibility role for Cadm1. The metastatic nodule size was measured on lung sections of orthotopically injected mice and did not differ significantly between control and Cadm1 expressing cells (Figure S3). Significant reductions in pulmonary metastasis were also observed by intravenous injection of tumor cells into the tail-vein, a model that assays tumor cell colonization of the lung (Figure 2G), suggesting that the effect of Cadm1 on metastasis operates downstream of local invasion and intravasation.
Figure 2. The effect of Cadm1 over-expression on tumor growth and metastasis in vivo. (a) Expression of endogenous and exogenous V5-epitope tagged Cadm1 protein (IB, immunoblot) and total protein by ECL densitometry on immunoblot. (d) Total RNA levels of Cadm1 measured by qRT-PCR (mean ±/− standard error of the mean [SEM]). Mvt-1 and 6DT1 mouse mammary tumor cells stably expressing Cadm1 were implanted into mammary fat pads of syngeneic FVB mice. Data on primary tumor burden (Mvt-1: p = 0.0031; 6DT1: p = 0.2261) (b), pulmonary surface metastases (c)
Expression of Cadm1 in 6DT1 cells suppressed metastasis without significantly impacting primary tumor growth, suggesting that, Cadm1 might be functioning purely as a metastasis suppressor in this cell line. To test whether Cadm1 had metastasis-specific effect on metastatic progression, knockdown of the endogenous gene in 6DT1 cells was performed as Cadm1 expression in 6DT1 cells reproducibly has no effect on primary tumor growth. Due to the potentially confounding effect on the primary tumor the Mvt-1 cell line was excluded from this analysis. 6DT1 cells were transduced with two independent shRNA lentivirus constructs targeting Cadm1 and were selected with puromycin, achieving 44% and 81% reduction of total Cadm1 protein, respectively (Figure 3A and 3D). These cells were subsequently injected orthotopically into immune-competent syngeneic mice for in vivo analysis. Cells expressing shRNA-14 showed a statistically significant reduction in primary tumor mass while those expressing shRNA-15 showed no change in primary tumor mass (Figure 3B). The tumor suppression observed with shRNA-14 is likely to be an off-target effect since it was only observed with one of two shRNAs. Although only shRNA-14 significantly promoted metastasis (Figure 3C), both constructs showed significant increases in pulmonary metastasis after normalization for primary tumor burden (Figure 3E). Since increases in metastases were observed for both constructs Cadm1 is likely to have a metastasis-specific function in this model system.

Cadm1 transgene expression is attenuated in metastatic nodules

To assess if the reduction in metastasis observed in Cadm1 over-expressing Mvt-1 cells was due to a tumor suppressor or metastasis-specific effect, immunohistochemistry was performed. Matched tumor and lung specimens were sectioned and staining was conducted against the V5 epitope to determine if pulmonary metastases from Cadm1 positive tumors retained expression of V5-tagged Cadm1. As shown in Figure 4A–4F, the primary tumors (Figure 4A–4B) stained positive for the V5 epitope, however, pulmonary metastases (Figure 4C–4D) from matched tumors did not stain for V5, indicating that these metastases had lost expression of the Cadm1 transgene.

Further, gross dissection with subsequent qRT-PCR of metastatic nodules from the lungs of mice injected intravenously with Mvt-1/Cadm1 cells revealed that exogenous Cadm1 mRNA expression was attenuated in all four mice relative to the levels expressed in those cells upon injection (Figure 4G) and total Cadm1 expression was not significantly different between Mvt-1/Vector and Mvt-1/Cadm1 bearing mice (Figure 4H). Since these cells were injected directly into the venous circulation and likely seeded the lungs immediately after injection, they had little time to lose Cadm1 expression suggesting that tumor cells with lower overall Cadm1 expression upon injection were selected for colonization. Together, this data suggests that low Cadm1 expression may be required for successful lung colonization by Mvt-1 cells, consistent with a specific metastasis-suppressing role in this cell line.

Cadm1 expression does not affect cell-autonomous properties in vitro

Previous studies demonstrated that expression of Cadm1 could affect in vitro phenotypes associated with metastatic potential [18]. To examine the mechanism by which Cadm1 influences metastasis in breast cancer, proliferation, migration and invasion assays were performed. Ectopic expression of Cadm1 had no significant impact on proliferation rates in either 2-D or 3-D culture, and no difference in trans-well migration or invasion (Figures S4, S5 and S6). Though Cadm1-expressing 6DT1 cells demonstrated a slight, marginally significant reduction in motility relative to control cells by scratch wound assay, Mvt-1 cells showed no difference in motility (Figure S7). These data would suggest that the effects of Cadm1 are likely distinct from events leading to the initial escape from the primary tumor, which is supported by the observed suppression of metastasis upon tail vein injection (Figure 2G).

Cadm1 interacts with the cell-mediated immunity

Cadm1 has been shown to engage in heterotypic interactions with class-I restricted T cell adhesion molecule (Ctam), a marker of activated CD8\(^+\) T cells and NK-cells [19]. This interaction has been shown to induce interferon-\(\gamma\) production by CD8\(^+\) T cells, enhance CD8\(^+\) T cell and NK-cell cytotoxicity in vitro, and tumor rejection in vivo [20,21]. In order to test the role of cell-mediated immunity in Cadm1-mediated metastasis suppression, control and Cadm1-expressing cells were orthotopically implanted into athymic nude mice. As illustrated in Figure 5, the metastatic phenotype of Cadm1-expressing cells was rescued in immunocompromised mice. In addition, the tumor suppressive effect of Cadm1 in Mvt-1 cells was also lost. This suggests that T cell mediated immunity may be critical for the tumor and metastasis suppressive effects of Cadm1.

It has been reported that Ctam is present on activated natural killer (NK) and CD8\(^+\) T cells. Since athymic mice possess functional NK cells, we hypothesized that the loss of Cadm1-mediated metastasis suppression might be mediated by CD8\(^+\) T cells. Thus we orthotopically injected Mvt-1 cells expressing Cadm1 in immune-competent mice in which CD8\(^+\) lymphocytes were depleted with anti-CD8 antibody (Figure 6A and Figure S8). Unexpectedly, Cadm1-expressing Mvt-1 did not suppress tumor growth in this experiment as previously observed (Figure 2C). However, subsequent protein-level expression analysis of the cells used in this experiment revealed that the level of Cadm1 over-expression was lower than in previous studies (Figure S9) and similar to the levels observed in the 6DT1 cell line, suggesting that higher Cadm1 expression is required to suppress primary tumor growth than to achieve metastasis suppression.

Although pulmonary metastases were significantly reduced in both control IgG treated and CD8\(^+\) lymphocyte-depleted mice, this effect was lost specifically in CD8\(^+\) lymphocyte-depleted mice when metastases were normalized by matched primary tumor mass (Figure 6B, 6C, and 6D). This suggests that CD8\(^+\) lymphocytes contribute to Cadm1-mediated metastasis and implicates the role of cell-mediated immunity in metastasis suppression by Cadm1.

The engagement of Cadm1 on tumor cells and Ctam on CD8\(^+\) T cells has been reported to induce interferon-\(\gamma\) production of CD8\(^+\) T cells [20,21]. We hypothesized that enhanced interferon-\(\gamma\) may mediate an inflammatory response to induce tumor cytotoxicity. To test whether interferon-\(\gamma\) secretion was enhanced in mice bearing Cadm1-expressing tumors relative to those bearing control
Figure 3. The effect of Cadm1 knockdown on tumor growth and metastasis in vivo. 6DT1 cells expressing shRNA constructs targeting Cadm1 showed (a) protein level knockdown of Cadm1 by immunoblot and ECL densitometry quantification and (d) total RNA level knockdown (mean ±/− SEM). Orthotopic implant data showing the effects of Cadm1 knockdown on primary tumor burden (shScr vs. sh14: p = 0.0090; shScr vs. sh15: p = 0.0943; sh14 vs. sh15: p = 0.0001) (b), pulmonary surface metastases (shScr vs. sh14: p = 0.0085; shScr vs. sh15: p = 0.0709; sh14 vs. sh15: p = 0.3485) (c), and tumor-normalized metastases (e) (shScr vs. sh14: p = 0.00005; shScr vs. sh15: p = 0.0444; sh14 vs. sh15: p = 0.0152; median +/- interquartile range; n = 10 mice per group). doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1002926.g003
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tumors, we performed an ELISPOT assay. Cells derived from the draining lymph nodes contralateral to the tumor were used because the tumors frequently enveloped the ipsilateral lymph nodes. We observed a marked increase in interferon-γ secretion in lymphocytes derived from the lymph nodes of Cadm1+/ tumor bearing mice (Figure 6E and 6F), indicating that an interferon-γ-mediated immune response local to the primary tumor may be involved in Cadm1-mediated metastasis suppression.

Cadm1 expression predicts outcome in breast cancer patients

The down-regulation of Cadm1 in invasive breast cancer, primarily by promoter hypermethylation, has been reported in at least four studies [22–25]. This loss or reduction in Cadm1 expression is associated with increased tumor grade, stage, and local invasiveness [22–25]. To determine whether levels of Cadm1 expression in breast tumors correlated with survival in patients, we...
Figure 6. The effect of Cadm1 expression on tumor and metastasis in CD8+ T cell depleted mice. Orthotopic injections of control and Cadm1-expressing Mvt-1 cells into immune-competent FVB mice that were treated with IgG or anti-CD8 resulted in (a) >90% depletion of CD8+ T cells. (b) No significant differences were observed in tumor burden between control and Cadm1 expressing within the same antibody treatment.
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searched three publicly available datasets from Oncomine, two of which have associated publications [26,27] and the Gene expression-Based Outcome for Breast cancer Online (GOBO, http://co.bmc.lu.se/gobo/), a web-based meta-analysis tool containing microarray-based tumor expression data on 1881 patients from 11 public datasets [28].

With the exception of the Boersma set, high Cadm1 expression consistently correlated with significantly improved survival in estrogen receptor (ER) positive tumor datasets, (Figure 7A, 7B, 7C, 7E, and Table S1). In contrast, high Cadm1 levels only significantly correlated with improved survival in ER negative patients in the Boersma dataset (Figure 7D and 7F), suggesting that any effect Cadm1 levels may have on tumor progression are primarily relevant to ER positive tumors. Since metastasis is the primary determinant of overall survival in cancer, this suggests that high tumor cell Cadm1 expression may also be protective against metastasis in human breast cancer.

Discussion

Numerous studies have implicated down-regulation of Cadm1 with poor patient prognosis [10,12–14,29,30]; however, to the best of our knowledge no studies have investigated the mechanism responsible. Here we demonstrate that expression of Cadm1 in two independent cell lines resulted in either specific metastasis suppression or tumor suppression accompanied by a reduction in metastasis. As metastasis is the primary cause of cancer-induced mortality, these findings imply a direct role for Cadm1 in metastatic progression in breast cancer and provide a link between diminished Cadm1 expression and reduced progression-free survival.

Two key observations suggest a direct role for Cadm1 in metastasis suppression. First, in the Mvt-1 cell line, Cadm1 ectopic expression was lost in all metastases, suggesting that down-regulation of Cadm1 may be a prerequisite for completion of the metastatic cascade. Second, manipulation of Cadm1 in 6DT1 cells specifically affected the ability to form pulmonary metastases, without any significant effect on primary tumor growth. Thus, in the 6DT1 cell line, Cadm1 appears to function as a metastasis susceptibility gene, based on variations in functional levels. Together, the results suggest that Cadm1 may have both tumor suppressive and metastasis suppressive activities which may depend on the level of Cadm1 expressed.

The identification of Cadm1 as an inherited metastasis suppressor gene was not predicted by the initial linkage analysis. Genetic analysis of both backcross populations and the congenic mouse strains indicated that inheritance of the C58 or NZB chromosome 9 candidate region was associated with an increase in pulmonary metastasis. In contrast, the up-regulation seen for both the C58 and NZB Cadm1 alleles was associated with a decrease in pulmonary metastasis in the orthotopic transplant studies.

A likely explanation for this discrepancy lies with the complexity of the genome. Every individual carries numerous susceptibility and resistance factors and the final phenotype is dictated by the balance of these genes. The pro-metastatic chromosome 9 interval that was investigated in this study contains more than one gene that fit the criteria for a metastasis susceptibility gene. In addition, the strategy followed here does not capture candidates based on non-synonymous amino acid substitutions. We speculate the paradox between the identification of Cadm1 as a metastasis-suppressing gene in a metastasis-promoting genomic region is explained by the presence of one or more metastasis-promoting genes within the interval. When inherited together the metastasis-promoting factors dominate, masking the effect of Cadm1.

Finally, our results potentially implicate Cadm1 in cancer immunoeediting, a process by which the adaptive immune system protects the host from developing cancer and, in turn, alters tumor progression by driving the outgrowth of tumor cells with decreased sensitivity to immune attack [31,32]. We have shown that Cadm1 may regulate metastasis by sensitizing tumor cells to surveillance by the immune system. Our findings show the metastatic potential of Cadm1-expressing cells was fully rescued in mice deficient of T cell mediated immunity, indicating that Cadm1-mediated metastasis suppression specifically involves the adaptive cell mediated immunity rather than the innate immunity, as the innate immune system is intact in athymic mice. However, we cannot rule out the possibility that thymus-dependent, Cadm1-sensitive immune cells are indirectly associated with metastasis suppression by recruiting innate immune cells, including NK-cells, to eliminate tumor cells. Since the effect of CD8+ T cells depletion did not result in a full rescue, we hypothesize that NKT cells, which also express the Cadm1 receptor, Crtam, [33] and are absent in athymic mice [34] may also contribute to tumor cell cytotoxicity and clearance.

Further, the partial rescue of the metastatic phenotype by depletion of CD8+ T cells suggests that Cadm1 may promote the detection of tumor cells by an immune surveillance mechanism which potentially results in the CD8+ T cell-mediated cytotoxicity and clearance of Cadm1 expressing cells from circulation and secondary sites. While we have not directly shown that Cadm1 expression resulted in tumor cell death by T cells, this notion is supported by previous studies [20,21] showing Cadm1 expression on target cells enhances T cell cytotoxicity in an MHC-dependent manner. Thus loss or reduction in Cadm1 expression may contribute to the final step of cancer immunoeediting: tumor cell “escape” from detection by the immune system [31]. Reports that the Crtam-Cadm1 interaction induces interferon-γ secretion [20,21] combined with our observation that lymph nodes draining Cadm1+ tumors contain lymphocytes that secrete higher levels of interferon-γ than controls suggest that the interaction with the immune system may be mediated by engaging Crtam on cytotoxic lymphocytes. Further, the role of Cadm1 as a tumor-autonomous component of immune surveillance is consistent with our observations that 1) knockdown of endogenous Cadm1 potentiates metastatic capability, and 2) pulmonary metastases have lost expression of exogenous Cadm1. Together with the numerous [10–14] reports in the literature that Cadm1 expression is lost in more invasive tumors, our data implicates Cadm1 loss as an important step in cancer immunoeediting that enhances the immune evasive and metastatic properties of tumor cells.

Materials and Methods

Mouse genetics (FVBxNZB backcross, generating and genotyping subcongenic mice, QTL analysis)

The NZB backcross has been described previously [8]. NZB chromosome 9 subcongenics were generated by breeding the NF9
Figure 7. The effect of Cadm1 expression levels on survival in patient datasets. (a) Compiled results of -\( \log_{10} \) transformed p-values for all survival analyses. Kaplan-Meier plots of (b) estrogen receptor (ER) positive invasive ductal carcinoma (IDC) tumors from the Oncomine TCGA set, (c) ER positive tumors from the Desmedt set, (d) ER negative tumors from the Boersma set, (e) ER positive tumors from GOBO, (f) all tumors from the Boersma set.

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1002926.g007
chromosomal substitution line [9] to FVB/NJ, backcrossing the F1 progeny to FVB/NJ and screening the N2 backcross progeny for retention of NZB chromosomal segments. Chromosomal segments of interest were made homozygous by breeding to FVB/NJ followed by brother-sister mating of animals containing the segment of interest. Genotyping was performed by using a combination of microsatellite and SNP genotyping.

The C50 backcross was generated by crossing PyMT male animals with C50/J females to generate PyMT-positive F1 males, which were subsequently bred to FVB/NJ females to generate N2 backcross animals. Tumor phenotyping was performed as previously described [33]. Genotyping was performed using the Illumina Mouse Density Linkage array at the Center for Inherited Disease Research (CIDR) using spleen DNA isolated by the Wizard DNA purification kit. Quantitative trait mapping (QTL) was performed using J/QTL [36].

**Exon sequencing of Cadm1 from genomic DNA**

Exons were amplified from genomic DNA then run on a 2% agarose gel. Amplified bands were purified by the Qiagen Gel Extraction Kit and cloned into TOPO-TA vectors (Invitrogen) and transformed into One-shot Top10 chemically competent E. Coli (Invitrogen) as per manufacturer’s protocol. Plasmid was purified using the Mini Prep kit (Qiagen). Sequencing reactions were conducted on ~350 ng of purified plasmid using Big Dye Terminator reaction mix (Applied Biosystems) following the manufacturers protocol and sequenced by the NCI Sequencing Facility.

**Cell lines and culture conditions**

All experiments were conducted using FVB/NJ background mouse mammary tumor explants cell lines 6DT1 and Mvt-1 kindly provided by Dr. Lalage Wakefield [17]. Cell lines were cultured in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) (Gibco) supplemented with L-Glutamate (Gibco), 9% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Gemini BioProducts), and 1% Penicillin and Streptomycin (Invitrogen). All lentivector constructs and lentivirus particles were generated by the Protein Expression Laboratory and the Viral Technology Group in NCI, Frederick.

**Oligonucleotides and plasmids**

pDonr253 is a Gateway Donor vector modified from pDonr201 (Invitrogen). pDonr253 replaces the kanamycin resistance gene with a gene encoding spectinomycin resistance, and contains several sequencing primer sites to aid in sequence verification of the final clones.

**Cloning of Cadm1**

Marine Cadm1 was cloned into a Gateway Entry clone by PCR from a cDNA template (BC095986, RefSeq NM_018770.3). The Entry clone contains the complete ORF preceded by a Kozak translation initiation sequence and an ATG start codon, and lacking a stop codon at the 3’ end to allow C-terminal fusions to be generated. DNA was amplified using specific primers L10379 and L10383 containing the Gateway recombination sequences, and PCR was carried out using Phusion polymerase (New England Biolabs). The final PCR product contains the gene of interest flanked on the 5’ side with a Gateway attB1 site and on the 3’ side with a Gateway attB2 site. The PCR product was cleaned using the QiaQuick PCR purification kit (Qiagen), and recombined into pDonr253 using the Gateway BP recombination reaction (Invitrogen) by the manufacturer’s protocols. The subsequent Entry clone was sequence verified throughout the entire cloned region.

**Subcloning of Cadm1 and control lentivectors**

A lentiviral vector expressing a C-terminal V5 epitope-tagged fusion of Cadm1 was generated using Multisite Gateway recombination. An entry clone using the murine Pol2 promoter was recombined with the Cadm1 entry clone and a C-terminal entry clone encoding the V5 epitope tag (GKPIPNPLLGLDST) into a Gateway destination vector pDest-639. This vector is a modified version of the pFUGW lentiviral vector which contains the enhanced polypurine tract (PPT) and woodchuck regulatory element (WRE) to provide higher titer virus. In addition, it contains an antibiotic resistance gene for blasticidin resistance. Entry clones were subcloned by Gateway Multisite LR recombination using the manufacturer’s protocols (Invitrogen). Expression clones were transformed into E. coli STBL3 cells to minimize unwanted LTR repeat recombination, and verified by agarose gel electrophoresis and restriction digest. Transfection-ready DNA for the final clones was prepared using the GenElute XP Maxiprep kit (Sigma). A control vector (8166-M24-638) was generated by standard Gateway LR recombination of a stuffer fragment made up of a non-coding DNA into the pLenti6-V5-DEST vector (Invitrogen). All lentivector constructs and lentivirus particles were generated by the Protein Expression Laboratory and the Viral Technology Group in NCI, Frederick.

**Viral transduction**

Two milliliter suspensions of 5×10⁴ cells were incubated at 37°C in 5% CO₂ overnight. Cells were then infected with 30 μL of concentrated lentivirus suspension, and selected 30 hours post-infection with 5 mg/mL blasticidin for over-expression (Invitrogen) constructs or 10 μg/mL (shRNA) puromycin for shRNA constructs.

**Immunoblot and antibodies**

Protein was extracted by cell lysis in 400 μL of Pierce lysis buffer, vigorous homogenization, and incubation on ice for one hour. Twenty micrograms of protein extract per sample in NuPage LDS Sample Buffer and NuPage Reducing Agent (Invitrogen) were used in western blotting. PVDF membrane (Millipore) containing transferred proteins was incubated overnight with the primary antibodies: mouse anti-V5 (Invitrogen), chicken IgY anti-SytCAM/TSLC1/Cadm1 (MBL International), or mouse anti-β-actin (Abcam). The membrane was then incubated with horse-radish peroxidase linked anti-mouse (GE Healthcare) or anti-chicken IgY secondary antibodies (Abcam). Immunoblot was visualized using Amersham ECL Prime Western Blotting Detection System and Amersham Hyperfilm ECL (GE Healthcare). Densitometry data were obtained and analyzed with a ChemiDoc-It Imaging System and VisionWorksLS software (UVP).

**RNA isolation, reverse transcription, and real-time polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR)**

RNA was isolated from tumors and cell lines using RNaseasy kit (Qiagen) and reverse transcribed using iScript (Bio-Rad). Real-
Time PCR was conducted using QuantiTect SYBR Green PCR kit (Qiagen). See Table S2 for primer sequences.

**Scratch wound assay**

One-milliliter suspensions of $6 \times 10^5$ cells were seeded in triplicate into each well of 24-well Essen ImageLock (Essen Bioscience) plates in selective media and incubated at 37°C and 5% CO$_2$ overnight. The following day, the cells were washed twice with PBS and incubated at 37°C and 5% CO$_2$ for 3 hours in 10 ug/mL mitomycin C. Scratch wounds were made using Essen 4-channel scratch instrument loaded with Eppendorf 10 uL micropipette tips. Cells were subsequently washed three times with PBS, placed in selective media and placed in Incucyte (Essen Bioscience). Incucyte was programmed to image each well at 2-hour intervals. Data analysis was conducted using Incucyte 2011A software.

**Proliferation assay**

One-milliliter suspensions of $5 \times 10^3$ cells were seeded in six replicates into each well of 24-well cell culture plates (Corning, Inc.) in selective media and placed in Incucyte. Incucyte was programmed to image each well at 3-hour intervals. Data analysis was conducted using Incucyte 2011A software.

**Transwell migration and invasion assays**

Matrigel inserts were hydrated for 2 hours in 500 uL serum-free DMEM then suspensions of $7.5 \times 10^5$ cells were seeded in triplicate into each well of the 24-well plate format BD BioCoat Control and Matrigel Invasion Chambers (BD Biosciences) and incubated for 20 hours at 37°C in 5% CO$_2$. Enriched media was used as chemoattractant. Membranes were subsequently fixed with methanol, stained with crystal violet, and cells present on the underside of the membrane were counted.

**Three-dimensional culture**

Cultrex 3-D culture matrix (Trevigen, Inc.) was injected into 8-chamber slides and allowed to solidify at 37°C for 30 minutes. Cells were trypsinized and 5,000 counted then resuspended in 400 uL DMEM, 9% FBS, 5 ug/mL Blasticidin, and 2% Cultrex and incubated at 37°C with 5% CO$_2$ for 3 days prior to microscopy.

**Mouse work: Orthotopic and tail vein injections**

Female FVB/NJ mice from Jackson Laboratories were injected at 6–8 weeks of age. Two days prior to orthotopic injections, cells were placed in non-selective media. On the day of injection, $1 \times 10^5$ cells were injected orthotopically into the fourth mammary fat pad of age-matched virgin females. After 30 days the mice were euthanized by intraperitoneal injection of 1 mL Tribromoethanol and resected, surface metastases were counted; lungs were inflated with 1.6 million cells were loaded into each well of an IFN-γ ELISPOT plate, stimulated with anti-CD3 (0.5 ug/ml, eBioscience, San Diego, CA), and cultured overnight. The procedure was done according to recommendations from the manufacturer (BD). Three to four mice for each experimental group, and triplicates for each sample were examined. The ELISPOT plate was scanned in ImmunoSpot (Cellular Technology Ltd. Shaker Heights, OH) and quantification was assessed using the CTL Scanning and CTL counting 4.0.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis comparing two samples were conducted using the Mann-Whitney test on Prism Version 5.03 (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA). Multiple-comparison data was analyzed by Kruskal-Wallis test with post-hoc Conover-Iman correction for multiple analyses by R-script. Survival data was conducted with the Mantel-Cox test on Prism.

**Immunohistochemistry**

Immunohistochemical staining was performed on Leica Biosystems’ Bond Autostainer on paraffin embedded tissue sections with biotinylated rabbit anti-Goat IgG; primary antibody, anti-V5 rabbit polyclonal antibody (Abcam Catalog #: ab95038); Leica Biosystems Intense R Detection Kit. All histological analysis including paraffin embedding, sectioning, hematoxylin and eosin staining and immunohistochemistry were conducted by the Pathology/Histotechnology Laboratory, Laboratory Animal Sciences Program, SAIC, Frederick.

**Supporting Information**

**Figure S1** NZB and C58 chromosome 9 metastasis QTL. (EPS)

**Figure S2** Detection of synonymous SNP in Cadm1 exon 2 (rs32721609). (EPS)

**Figure S3** Metastatic nodule cross-sectional area ($\mu$m$^2$) was measured on hematoxylin and eosin stained sections, no statistically significant difference was observed (Mvt-1 Vector n = 275, Mvt-1-Cadm1 n = 44, 6DT1 Vector n = 38, and 6DT1 Cadm1 n = 22 metastatic nodules). (EPS)

**Figure S4** No significant difference observed in the growth rate or cellular phenotype of Cadm1 expressing Mvt-1 and 6DT1 cells relative to control. (TIF)

**Figure S5** Growth in three-dimensional culture. After growth Cultrex-containing rich media for 3 days, cells showed no difference in growth in three dimensional culture. (EPS)

**Figure S6** Effect of Cadm1 expression on trans-well migration and invasion. Cadm1-expressing Mvt-1 (a and c) and 6DT1 (b and d) cells were assayed for serum-stimulated transwell migration through porous Polytetrafluoroethylene and invasion through matrigel. (EPS)

**Figure S7** Motility of Cadm1 expressing Mvt-1 and 6DT1 cells relative to control. Cadm1 expression had no effect on Mvt-1 cell
motility but resulted in a minor reduction in motility of 6DT1 cells.

(TIF)

**Figure S8** Flow cytometry demonstrating CD8$^+$ T cell depletion in the peripheral blood of one representative sample from each group (a, b, c, d).

(EPS)

**Figure S9** Protein-level Cadm1 expression in Mvt-1 cell lines directly prior to injection into animals for *in vivo* studies in immune-competent (FVB), athymic (nude), and antibody-treated mice.

(EPS)

**Table S1** Breast cancer patient dataset details. n, number of patients per group; LN, lymph node; ER, estrogen receptor; IDC, invasive ductal carcinoma; TCGA, The Cancer Genome Atlas.

### References

1. Steeg PS, Theodorescu D (2008) Metastasis: a therapeutic target for cancer. Nat Clin Pract Oncol 5: 206–219.
2. Chaffer CL, Weinberg RA (2011) A perspective on cancer cell metastasis. Science 331: 1559–1564.
3. Valastyan S, Weinberg RA (2011) Tumor metastasis: molecular insights and evolving paradigms. Cell 147: 275–292.
4. Park YG, Zhao X, Weinberg RA (2008) Sip1 is a candidate for underlying the metastasis efficiency modifier locus Meps1. Nat Genet 37: 1055–1062.
5. Crawford NP, Alsaaraj J, Luces L, Walker RC, Officejala JS, et al. (2008) Bromodomain 4 activation predicts breast cancer survival. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 105: 6309–6315.
6. Crawford NP, Qian X, Ziegos A, Papageorge AG, Boersma BJ, et al. (2007) Rp1pl1, a new candidate susceptibility gene for breast cancer progression and metastasis. PLoS Genet 3: e214. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.0030214
7. Hunter K (2004) Genetic, genomic, and bioinformatic tools for studying breast cancer progression. Breast Dis 19: 83–91.
8. Hunter KW, Broman KW, Voyte Tl, Luces L, Cozma D, et al. (2001) Predisposition to efficient mammatory tumor metastatic progression is linked to the breast cancer metastasis suppressor gene Brml. Cancer Res 61: 6806–6817.
9. Lancaster M, Rouse J, Hunter KW (2005) Modifiers of mammary tumor progression and metastasis on mouse chromosomes 7, 9, and 17. Mamman Genome 16: 120–126.
10. Ito T, Shimada Y, Hashimoto Y, Kagano J, Kan T, et al. (2003) Involvement of TSLC1 in progression of epidermal squamous cell carcinoma. Cancer Res 63: 6320–6326.
11. Kikuchi S, Yamada D, Fukami T, Maruyama I, Ito A, et al. (2006) Hypermethylation of the TSLC1/IGSF4 promoter is associated with tobacco smoking and a poor prognosis in primary non-small cell lung carcinoma. Cancer 106: 1731–1738.
12. Yang G, He W, Luo F, Kung H, et al. (2011) Loss/Down-regulation of tumor suppressor in lung cancer-1 (TSLC1) alters tumorigenic growth properties and gene expression. Mol Cancer 4: 28.
13. Galibert L, Diener GS, Liu Z, Johnson RS, Smith JL, et al. (2005) Nectin-like protein 2 defines a subset of T cell zone dendritic cells and is a ligand for class-I-restricted T cell-associated molecule. J Biol Chem 280: 21953–21964.
14. Boles KS, Barchet W, Diacovo T, Gella M, Colonna M (2005) The tumor suppressor TSLC1/NECL-2 triggers NK-cell and CD8$^+$ T cell responses through the cell-surface receptor CRTAM. Blood 106: 779–786.
15. Giangreco A, Hoste E, Takai Y, Rosewell I, Watt FM (2012) Epidermal Cadm1 expression promotes autoimmune alopecia via enhanced T cell adhesion and cytotoxicity. J Immunol 188: 1514–1522.
16. Heller G, Gerads J, Ziegler B, Newsham I, Filips M, et al. (2007) Downregulation of TSLC1 and DAL-1 expression occurs frequently in breast cancer. Breast Cancer Res Treat 103: 283–291.
17. Takahashi Y, Iwai M, Kawat T, Arakawa A, Ito T, et al. (2011) Aberrant expression of tumor suppressors CAMDH1 and 1.1B in invasive lesions of primary breast cancer. Breast Cancer. Jpn J Clin Oncol 41: 1075–1081.
18. Bertolo C, Guerrero D, Vicente F, Cordoba A, Esteller M, et al. (2008) Differences and molecular immunohistochemical parameters in the subtypes of infiltrating ductal breast cancer. Am J Clin Pathol 130: 414–424.
19. Alline M, Peri L, Kujala S, Lahini-Domenici J, Outtila K, et al. (2002) Analysis of Ig2k24-24 loss of heterozygosity candidate target genes in breast cancer: indications of TSLC1 promoter hypermethylation. Genes Chromosomes Cancer 34: 384–389.
20. Boersma BJ, Reimers M, Yi M, Ludwig JA, Luke BT, et al. (2008) A stromal gene signature associated with inflammatory breast cancer. Int J Cancer 122: 1324–1329.
21. Debnath C, Fette P, Loi S, Wang Y, Lallemand F, et al. (2007) Strong time dependence of the 76-gene prognostic signature for node-negative breast cancer patients in the TRANSBIG multicenter independent validation series. Clin Cancer Res 13: 3207–3214.
22. Kingner M, Fredlund E, Hakkinen J, Berg A, Staaf J (2011) GOBO: gene expression-based outcome for breast cancer online. PLoS ONE 6: e17911. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0017911
23. Overmeer RM, Henken FE, Snijders Pj, Claassen-Kramer D, Berthof J, et al. (2008) Association between dense CADM1 promoter methylation and reduced protein expression in high-grade CIN and cervical SCC. J Pathol 215: 309–317.
24. Lung HL, Creangk A, Xie D, Cheng Y, Kwong FM, et al. (2006) TSLC1 is a tumor suppressor gene associated with metastasis in nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Cancer Res 66: 9385–9392.
25. Schreiber RD, Old LJ, Smyth MJ (2011) Cancer immunoeediting: integrating immunity’s roles in cancer suppression and promotion. Science 331: 1565–1570.
26. DuPage M, Mazumdar C, Schmidt LM, Creangk AF, Jacob T (2012) Expression of tumour-specific antigens underlies cancer immunoeediting. Nature 482: 405–409.
27. Kennedy J, Vicari AP, Saylor V, Zurawski SM, Copeland NG, et al. (2000) A molecular analysis of NKT cells: identification of a class-I restricted T cell-associated molecule (CRTAM). J Leukoc Biol 67: 725–734.
28. Lin M, Rozenshtein DP, Ruffolo JR, Jr, Fidler IJ, et al. (2007) Expression of tissue factor defines a subset of T cell zone dendritic cells and is a ligand for class-I-restricted T cell responses. Nat Immunol 8: 1005–1012.
29. Watabe K, Ito A, Koma Y, Kitamura Y (2003) IGSF4: a new intercellular adhesion molecule that is called by three names, TSLC1, SgIGSF and SynCAM, by virtue of its diverse function. Histol Histopathol 18: 1321–1329.
30. Pei XF, Nohle MS, Davoli MA, Rossjord E, Till-MT, et al. (2004) Eplatin T cell culture of primary mammatory tumors from MMTV-c-Myc transgenic mice. In Vitro Cell Dev Biol Anim 40: 14–21.
31. Sussex TE, Fletcher MT, Murakami Y, Reeves RH (2005) Tumor suppressor in lung cancer 1 (TSLC1) alters tumorigenic growth properties and gene expression. Mol Cancer 4: 20.