Optimization of moist and oven-dried bacterial cellulose production for functional properties
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Abstract

Bacterial cellulose (BC) is a natural polymer with properties suitable for tissue engineering and possible applications in scaffold production. However, current procedures have limitations in obtaining BC pellicles with the desired structural, physical, and mechanical properties. Thus, this study analyzed the optimal culture conditions of BC membranes and 2 types of processing: draining and oven-drying. The aim was to obtain BC membranes with properties suitable for a wound dressing material. Two studies were carried out. In the preliminary study the medium (100 mL) was inoculated with varying volumes (1; 2; 3; 4; and 5 mL) and incubated statically for different periods (3; 6; 9; 12; and 18 days), using a full factorial experimental design. Thickness, uniformity, weight, and yield were evaluated. In the optimization study, a Box–Behnken design was used. Two independent variables were used: inoculum volume (X1: 1; 3; and 5 mL) and fermentation period (X2: 6; 12; and 18 d) to determine the target response variables: thickness, swelling ratio, drug release, fiber diameter, Tensile strength, and Young’s Modulus for both dry and moist BC membranes. The mathematical modelling of the effect of the 2 independent variables was accomplished by response surface methodology (RSM). The obtained models were validated with new experimental values, and confirmed for all tested properties, except Young Modulus of oven-dried BC. Thus, the optimal properties in terms of a scaffold material of the moist BC were obtained with an inoculum volume of 5% (v/v) and 16 d of fermentation. While, for the oven-dried membranes a 4% (v/v) and 14 d of fermentation.

Introduction

Bacterial cellulose (BC) has an array of physical, mechanical and biological properties, such as swelling behavior (Lin et al. 2013; Zeinali Kalkhoran et al. 2018), high tensile strength (Li et al. 2015b; Lin et al. 2013; Wu et al. 2018), high water-holding capability (Wu et al. 2018; Zeinali Kalkhoran et al. 2018), high porosity (Ullah et al. 2017), ultra-fine fiber network (Lin et al. 2013), biodegradability, non-toxic nature and biocompatibility makes it a promising material in tissue engineering (Badshah et al. 2017; Bodea et al. 2019; Fu et al. 2013; Rambo et al. 2008). It is produced by several bacteria as a primary metabolic product in the form of swollen membranes (Badshah et al. 2017). However, the most effective is Komataeibacter xylinus, formerly known as Gluconacetobacter xylinum. It is currently used to produce commercially available BC because of its high productivity (Blanco Parte et al. 2020; Wang et al. 2019).

BC has been studied rather extensively, mainly in terms of biosynthesis and applications (Bodea et al. 2019; Wang et al. 2019). Different methods and systems to produce BC have been analyzed and many of studies dealt with the production of BC in the last decades (Ahmed et al. 2019; Bae and Shoda 2005; Bilgi et al. 2016; Du et al. 2018; Tan et al. 2012). Their main aim was to increase BC productivity by obtaining different morphologies, structures, properties, and applications. They usually tried to increasing the BC yield by employing experimental designs and statistical methods to optimize the type and proportion of culture media (Bae and Shoda 2005; Bilgi et al. 2016; Santosot et al. 2020). Response surface methodology (RSM) is one of the current approaches of the BC optimization studies and it is usually applied on a Box-Behnken Design (BBD) (Ahmed et al. 2019; Bae and Shoda 2005; Du et al. 2018; Tan et al. 2012). In terms of culture, the static method was most frequently used to obtain BC at large scale because it is a relatively simple technique (Bilgi et al. 2016; Wang et al. 2019). However, there seems to be a lack of studies that evaluated the simple inoculum volume – fermentation duration relationship in terms of their effect upon the biomedical properties of BC. Thus, it is not yet clear how these basic process parameters influence the uptake ability of BC.

Pristine BC has a unique macromolecular structure consisting of thin subfibrils, which form microfibrils because of strong inter- and intramolecular hydrogen bonding (Badshah et al. 2017). The usual purification methods of the pristine BC pellicles is the treatment with 0.1% NaOH solution for 1 h at 80°C, while the main processing of the BC is lyophilization (Kirdponpattara et al. 2015; Santosot et al. 2020; Ullah et al. 2017). However, this processing affects the properties of the native membrane such as: visco-elasticity or uptake capabilities (Alonso et al. 2018; Fu et al. 2013; Zhang et al. 2011) important characteristics for biomedical applications. Another simple and cheap processing method is oven-drying. It seems to make the membrane compact, and brittle (Fu et al. 2013; Zhang et al. 2011) because the BC structure becomes more rigid and less porous (Alonso et al. 2018). However, the effect of this processing on the biomedical properties of BC is not fully described as oven-drying of BC is reported sparingly in the scientific literature.

Thus, the aim of the present study is to determine the optimal combination of inoculum volume and fermentation duration for G. xylinus ATCC 70017B to produce BC membranes with desirable morphological, mechanical, and uptake properties for biomedical purposes, in particular for wound dressing scaffold. RSM was chosen to model, analyze, and optimize the properties of BC (the dependent variables) because they are simultaneously influenced by both inoculum volume and fermentation duration (the independent variables). An BBD was chosen as it is currently of great interest because it generates a smaller number of experiments with reliable results (Ahmed et al. 2019). Additionally, the effect of oven-drying was evaluated against the pristine water-dibined BC using the same methodology and properties of biomedical interest. To the best of our knowledge this is the first study which intends to optimize the fermentation (inoculum volume and duration) and oven-drying of pristine BC in terms of biomedical properties by employing mathematical modelling.

Materials And Methods

Microbial strain and chemicals

Microbial strain: Gluconacetobacter xylinus (Komataeibacter xylinus) (ATCC® 700178™); chemicals: glucose, yeast extract, CaCO3, Agar, NaOH, NaOCl; 1.6% glutaraldehyde; sodium cacodylate trihydrate (C2H12AsNaO3) buffer, osmium tetroxide 1%, uranyl acetate 2%.

Fermentation method

The utilized liquid and solid media both contained 50 g/L glucose, 5 g/L yeast extract and 12.5 g/L CaCO3 (Yu et al. 2016), but the liquid had distilled water as solvent, while the solid medium had an agar base (15 g/L agar).
The lyophilized *G. xylinus* was reactivated by pouring 1.0 mL of liquid medium to rehydrate the pellet, then the suspension was transferred into a 5 mL tube, vortexed and incubated at 26°C for 72 h. The bacteria were cultured 3-4 times until a homogeneous cellulose film was observed on the surface of the medium (Cai and Kim 2009). The suspension was then cultured on sterile solid medium and incubated (DigitHeat 2001245) at 26°C for 7 days. The culture was preserved on solid medium at 4°C and recultivated as described, every 2 to 3 weeks.

The inoculum solution was prepared by aseptically removing 7 to 9 bacterial colonies of the 7-day old *G. xylinus* culture with a cell spreader and transfer them in a 9 mL sterile saline solution tube. Then the resulting solution was mixed thoroughly for 5 min using a MaxQ 2000 Vortex Mixer. The resulted bacterial cell suspension was adjusted to 1.5×10^7 cells/mL (Dahman et al. 2010) using a spectrophotometer (Shimadzu UV-1900) at 600 nm absorbance and used as inoculum solution.

The fermentation was carried out in sterilized 120 mL square glass bottles containing 100 mL specific liquid medium. For the preliminary study the medium was inoculated using varying inoculum volume (1; 2; 3; 4 and 5 mL corresponding to 1%; 2%; 3%; 4% and 5% (v/v)) and incubated statically at 26°C for different periods (3; 6; 9; 12; and 18 days). The maximum of 5 mL was chosen because higher values decreased the cellulose production (Rangaswamy et al. 2015). A maximum chosen because although the reported fermentation period varied from 4 days (Bilgi et al. 2016) to 30 days (Zeng et al. 2011), the usual maxima was between 14 to 21 days (Bilgi et al. 2016; Du et al. 2018; Zeng et al. 2011), supporting our choice. For the optimization study a Box-Behnken Design (BBD) experimental design was used with 3 levels for both the inoculum volume (1; 3; 5 mL) and fermentation period (6; 12; 18 d) (Table 2). All samples were performed in triplicate.

### Purification of bacterial cellulose (BC)

BC membranes were collected from the surface of the liquid medium and washed repeatedly (cca. 3 times) with distilled water. Then, BC was treated with 500 mL of 0.1% NaOH solution for 1 h at 80°C on a magnetic stirrer to remove all bacteria cells. The BC pellets (6.5/6.5 mm) were washed again with distilled water and left for 24 h in a 3% NaOCl solution (Gea et al. 2011). Afterwards, they were washed with distilled water until reaching a pH of 7. The membranes thus obtained were stored either in distilled water at 4°C until further analysis (thickness, uniformity, weight, water uptake ability, and drug release) or individually vacuum packed in transparent polyethylene foil (for mechanical test, TEM, SEM and FTIR).

### Processing of BC membranes

Throughout the experiment 2 types of membrane processing were analyzed: half of the batch was oven-dried (DigitHeat 2001245) at 40°C until constant weight (cca. 30-60 min), resulting dry BC pellicles (BCd) (Rambo et al. 2008), while the other half was pressed with filter paper until almost all the water in their structure was removed, resulting drained, but moist BC pellicles (BCm).

### PRELIMINARY STUDY

#### Transmission electron microscopy (TEM)

Two types of samples (32.5 x 32.5 mm) were used for TEM analysis: pristine untreated and unpurified BC pellicles, and purified BC membranes. TEM was used for the analysis of the cellulose-forming bacteria and evaluation of the purification process. The cellulose films were treated with 1.6% glutaraldehyde (1 h fixation) and buffer (0.1 M, pH 7.4). Each sample was washed 3-5 times every 5-10 min and left in the buffer for 2 days. Then, the samples were fixed for 1h in osmium tetroxide 1%, incubated in the dark for 2h in uranyl acetate 2%, dehydrated in different concentrations of acetone and incorporated into acetone/resin in a RT agitator, then infiltrated in resin Spurr/epon 100% and polymerized. Finally, the samples were cut ultran and analyzed with a transmission electron microscope (ZEISS LIBRA 120) at 80 kV. The images were analyzed using ImageJ 1.48 software to assess the morphology of *G. xylinus*.

#### Film thickness and uniformity

The film thickness of native purified BC membranes (65 mm x 65 mm) was measured using a digital caliper (WIHA 29422). Five measurements were taken for each sample at various random locations. The uniformity was determined as standard deviation (SD) of the 5 measurements. All the measurements were done in triplicate and the results were expressed as mean ± SD.

#### Film weight and yield

The weight of native BC, BCd and BCm (32.5 x 32.5 mm) was accurately measured using an analytical balance (KERN ABT 100-5NM). The dry mass was determined gravimetrically by oven-drying the samples at 40°C xation) and buffer (0.1 M, pH 7.4). Each sample was washed 3-5 times every 5-10 min and left in the buffer for 2 days. Then, the samples were xed for 1h

### OPTIMIZATION STUDY

#### Experimental design and target optimal levels for the response parameters

A Box-Behnken Design (BBD) experimental design (Ahmed et al. 2019; Bae and Shoda 2005; Du et al. 2018; Tan et al. 2012) was used to optimize the fermentation conditions to obtain BC with properties fit for biomedical purposes: drug release (Y_1) (Ullah et al. 2017), mechanical properties (Y_2) (Li et al. 2015b), structure (Y_3) (Wei et al. 2011), as response variables. Two independent variables were considered at 3 levels: inoculum volume (X1) at 1 mL, 3 mL, and 5 mL; and fermentation period (X2) at 6 d; 12 d; and 18 d. The levels were selected based on the results from our preliminary study, but also, according to current research (Bilgi et al. 2016; Du et al. 2018; Rangaswamy et al. 2015; Zeng et al. 2011). The BBD generated 15 experimental runs for the fermentation conditions (represented by X_1 and X_2) needed to obtain the target response variables (Y_1, Y_2, Y_3) for the Response surface methodology (RSM) 3 replicates...
were set at the center of the design for the estimation of the pure error sum of squares (Ferreira et al. 2007; Lim et al. 2019). The experimental runs were randomized and carried out in unblocked design (Table 2).

The following levels for each of the response variables were targeted for the multi-response analysis in the optimization procedure: a target thickness of 2 mm (Fu et al. 2012), highest half-swelling time (Campano et al. 2015), highest drug half-release time, highest tensile strength and minimum Young's Modulus (Amin et al. 2012; Campano et al. 2015; Lin et al. 2013) and minimum fiber diameter (Fu et al. 2013). The target thickness of 2 mm was chosen for optimal properties, as recommended by Fu et al. (2012). The highest possible value was chosen for half-swelling time (Campano et al. 2015; Li et al. 2015a), drug half-release time (Amin et al. 2012; Reiniati et al. 2017), and tensile strength (Amin et al. 2012; Campano et al. 2015; Lin et al. 2013) to obtain BC with the best uptake and release properties, but with a good tensile strength. However, the minimum Young's Modulus (Amin et al. 2012; Campano et al. 2015; Lin et al. 2013) and minimum fiber diameter (Fu et al. 2013) were chosen to obtain an elastic BC that can be properly manipulated, and with a 3D structure that would retain and release optimally any incorporated substance.

Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR)

The infrared absorption spectrum for each sample was recorded using the Shimadzu IRPrestige-21 spectrophotometer (Alonso et al. 2018; Gea et al. 2011; Zhang et al. 2011). Because of the structure of the BC, the sample was applied directly to the ATR (attenuated total reflectance) horizontal diamond accessory with a single reflection from PIKE and pressed using its Hight Force Clamp. The spectrum was recorded at a wavelength range of 600-4000 cm\(^{-1}\), with a resolution of 4 cm\(^{-1}\) with 16 scans per measurement, according with previous studies (Tercjak et al. 2015). Cellulose from filter paper was used as a reference for the functional groups in BC. The primary data obtained were processed using the IR solution Software programs Overview (Shimadzu) and OriginR 7SR1 Software (OriginLab Corporation, Northampton, USA).

Water uptake ability: swelling ratio, and moisture content

The initial weights of BCd and BCm (32.5 x 16.25 mm, prepared as described before) were accurately measured. Then each sample was immersed in a vial containing 20 mL of deionized water and incubated at room temperature (Lin et al. 2013). At precise intervals (10 min; 20 min; 30 min; 1 h; 6 h and 24 h) the swollen membranes were weighted after the removal of excess surface water by gently tapping with filter paper. The swelling behavior and moisture content of the membranes were determined using equations (1) and (2).

\[
Sr (%) = (W_{\text{wet}} - W_{\text{dry}})/W_{\text{dry}} \times 100 \quad (1)
\]

\[
Mc (%) = (W_{\text{wet}} - W_{\text{dry}})/W_{\text{wet}} \times 100 \quad (2)
\]

where: \(Sr\) = swelling ratio; \(Mc\) = moisture content ratio; \(W_{\text{wet}}\) = weight of swollen pellicles; \(W_{\text{dry}}\) = initial weight

The half-swelling time was obtained by plotting the \(Sr\) by time and computing the duration in which the pellicles swell at the half of their \(W_{\text{wet}}\) (Li et al. 2011). All the measurements and computations were done in triplicate and the results were expressed as mean ± SD.

Drug release and drug half-release time

The kinetics of drug release was estimated using beet juice, as immersion fluid (Basak et al. 2015). Firstly, a standard curve of beet juice concentration versus absorbance at 520 nm (Shimadzu UV-1900) (Basak et al. 2015) was obtained by measuring various dilutions (0.1%; 0.3%; 0.5%; 0.7%; 1%; 1.5%). The obtained curve had the following equation: \(y = 0.3644x + 0.0007\) and an \(R^2\) of 0.9996.

For the actual drug release assay, BCd and BCm (32.5 x 16.25 mm) membranes were loaded with concentrated beet juice overnight. The membranes thus prepared were immersed in 20 mL distilled water and a 2 mL solution was taken at precise time intervals (30 min; 1h; 2h; 3h; 6h; 24h; 48h; 72h) and their absorbance was measured at 520 nm (Shimadzu UV-1900) to determine the beet juice release (Basak et al. 2015). After removing aliquots of 2 mL from each sample for analysis, the same volume of fresh distilled water was added (Wu et al. 2014). UV absorbance was measured to determine the concentration of released beet juice at each time point (Yoshino et al. 2013). The drug half-release time was obtained by plotting the released beet juice by time and computing the duration in which the pellicles release half of their total uptake (Li et al. 2011). All the measurements and computations were done in triplicate and the results were expressed as mean ± SD.

Mechanical properties

The mechanical properties of the BCd and BCm samples were determined by standard tensile tests. All measurements were carried out at room temperature (23°C) and humidity in the range of 45–50%. Specimens of 6.5 cm in length, 2 cm in width, and 0.6 mm thick were loaded to failure with constant crosshead speed (2 or 4 mm/min) using an tensile test machine (Instron 3366 (10 kN) (Paşcalău et al. 2012). Five specimens were tested for each BCm and BCd sample. The maximum load (N), tensile strength (MPa), elongation at break (%), Young’s modulus (MPa), and stiffness (kN/cm) were calculated. The results were reported as mean ± SD of 5 measurements.

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM)

Prior to SEM analysis the BCm were treated with 1.6% glutaraldehyde in a sodium cacodylate trihydrate (C\(_{2}\)H\(_{12}\)AsNaO\(_{3}\)) buffer (0.1 M, pH 7.4) for 1 h; afterwards each sample was washed 3-5 times every 5-10 min with the C\(_{2}\)H\(_{12}\)AsNaO\(_{3}\) buffer, and then left in the buffer solution for 1 d. The next day the samples were lyophilized in a Critical Point drier. BCd and processed BCm membranes were sprayed with Au and Pd (80:20 ratio) in a sputtering apparatus (Leica EM ACE600). All prepared samples were prepared in duplicate and analyzed with ZEISS EVO electronic microscope (Alonso et al. 2018; Kirdponpattara et al. 2015).
et al. 2015; Santos et al. 2020). Image analysis and fiber diameter measurement were performed with ImageJ 1.48 software. The diameters were analyzed in 5 different images fields per each sample measuring the diameter of minimum 100 fibers per field (Kirdponpattara et al. 2015).

### Statistical analysis, Response Surface Methodology (MRS) and model fitting

XLSTAT (version 2020.4.1) and Minitab® (version 19.2020.1) statistical software programs were used to analyze the data. A one-way ANOVA (p < 0.05) within samples was used to compare the effects of inoculum, harvest day, and processing type. Fisher pairwise comparisons (LSD, P = 0.05) was applied whenever ANOVA indicated significant differences among the samples. Pearson correlation coefficients were computed. Linear regression analysis was used to quantify the effect of harvest day, inoculum volume, and processing with a confidence interval of 95%, a tolerance of 0.0001 and a model selection based on best model by adjusted R².

Correlational Principal Component Analysis (PCA) was performed on the preliminary data formatted in observations/variables table (Cătunescu et al. 2019). Three of the 5 identified factors (components) were selected: F1 which had an Eigenvalue of 2.67 and accounted for a variability 53.48%, F2 which had an Eigenvalue of 1.11 and accounted for a variability 22.15%, and F3 which had an Eigenvalue of 0.72 and accounted for a variability 14.45%.

Response Surface Methodology (RSM) was used on a fully factorial design with 5 levels for the preliminary study and on a Box-Behnken experimental design for the optimization procedure (Ahmed et al. 2019; Bae and Shoda 2005; Du et al. 2018; Tan et al. 2012). For the preliminary study 2 continuous explanatory variables (X₁: harvest day, X₂: inoculum volume) were chosen and 1 response variables variable (Y₁: thickness). For the optimization study the 2 continuous explanatory variables (X₁: harvest day, X₂: inoculum volume) were kept and 1 categorical variable was added, X₃: type of membrane. Their affect was modelled on 6 response variables (Y₁: thickness; Y₂: half-swelling time; Y₃: drug half-release time; Y₄: tensile strength; Y₅: Young's modulus; Y₆: fiber diameter). Linear, interaction, and squared coefficients of the model were determined by least square regression. A stepwise approach was followed to select the terms in the mathematical model and an α ≤ 0.15 was set for a term to enter the model. Additional terms were added in the final step to maintain the hierarchical model. 2D response surface charts and the desirability functions were also computed. ANOVA and Mann-Whitney two-tailed test were performed to analyze the fitting of the model. For response optimization the following goals were set: thickness – target to 2 mm; fiber diameter – minimum; swelling half-life – maximum; drug-release half-life – maximum; tensile strength – maximum; Young's modulus – minimum. For the validation of the models, new experimental runs were compared with the PI 95% of each theoretical value of the response parameters.

### Results And Discussion

#### Preliminary study

**Transmission electron microscopy TEM**

Both purified and unpurified pristine BC membranes were analyzed to assess the effectiveness of NaOH and NaOCl purification treatment. In pristine BC, the bacteria cells occurred randomly in the membrane structure. The bacteria had ellipsoidal to rod shapes with dimensions between 0.3-0.7 x 0.8-1.4 µm (Figure 1. a, b) similar to current reports (Campano et al. 2015). The bacteria wall was between 0.02 and 0.03 µm (Figure 1. c). This confirmed that the G. xylinus cultures were not infected during the handling and fermentation with other species.

Purification is a crucial step in the production of any cellulose product, and more so when aiming a biomedical application. This process is intended to remove all non-cellulose materials such as proteins and nucleic acids derived from bacterial cells and the culture medium. Additionally, the purification process allows the formation of strong inter- and intra- fibrillogen hydrogen bonds (Gea et al. 2011). The most widely used purification procedure is the treatment of the harvested pellets with 0.1 M NaOH at 60 - 80°C for 1 - 3 h; then repeatedly washings with distilled water until reaching a neutral pH (Alonso et al. 2018; Gea et al. 2011; Wang et al. 2017). The proper purification of BC is important because molds usually start to grow within a few weeks in improperly purified BC membranes, which become darker and opaque (Gea et al. 2011).

In our case, the pristine BC films were yellow when harvested from the culture medium and became brownish after the NaOH treatment, with random transparent areas. However, after a 24-hour NaOCl treatment, the BC membranes reached transparency, showing the desired gel-like structure. TEM imaging confirmed the effectiveness of the treatment, as no rod-shaped bacteria nor other impurities were present in the internal structure of the membrane, even after 6 months. Thus, the two-step treatment that we proposed purified optimally the BC and stopped mold growing. This ensured longer storage, up to 6 months, without experiencing any change in color and/or quality.

**Film thickness, uniformity**

BC samples had different thickness according to the inoculum volume and harvest day (Supplementary data Table 2). The thickness varied for all pellets from 1.34 ± 0.20 mm in the 6th harvest day, to 2.67 ± 0.67 mm in the 18th day. It was also observed that all samples inoculated with 1 mL were thicker than other samples, however, the difference was significant only in the 9th day of harvest.

The thickness of the films was significantly influenced by both the inoculum volume (p < 0.008) and the harvest day (p < 0.0001), as resulted from linear regression analysis. However, the duration of fermentation was the most influential factor. Thus, the inoculum volume was removed from the regression analysis, as suggested, and a linear equation was obtained: thickness (mm) = 1.19 ± 6.56 · 10⁻² · day. Although the model was statistically confirmed, only 47% of the variability was explained by the parameter day (R² = 0.47). Thus, a further response surface regression of thickness as response variable was performed on the factorial design with 5 levels (Figure 2) and the model presented in Table 1 was generated.
The 2899 cm\(^{-1}\) wavenumbers for samples with 1 mL, probably because of the variations in the BC structure. This peak could be attributed to the stretching vibration of intra and inter O-H bond in cellulose (G. xylinus) because the really significant quantity is the number of bacteria within the aerobic zone, which are producing the cellulose. Thus, based on our results and previous reports we kept the inoculum volume in our experiment design for the optimization study.

The uniformity was not influenced by inoculum or fermentation period; however, there was a significant difference between the samples (p = 0.033), as seen in Supplementary data Table 2. Additionally, thickness was negatively correlated with the uniformity (r = -0.595; p < 0.05) in Pearson correlation analysis (Supplementary data Figure 1 and Table 1). Thus, the thicker the membrane, the more uniform tends to be.

Similar to our results, some studies showed that the thickness may vary from 0.01 mm at 48 h incubation period (Machado et al. 2016) to 8 mm after 2 weeks (R. Rebello et al. 2018) in a static culture. Also, Blanco Parte et al. (2020) reported that the thickness of the BC becomes unevenly during the fermentation period. However, other studies reported no apparent variations or obvious imperfections after direct visual evaluation of BC membranes (Sokolnicki et al. 2006). Nonetheless, the thickness of BC films may be controlled by adjusting the incubation period and improving the fermentation method (Fu et al. 2012).

**Film weight and yield**

An increase in both dry weight and water content was observed with increasing fermentation period (Supplementary data Table 2). The dry weight varied from a minimum of 2.63 ± 1.10 mg to 9.83 ± 1.46 mg, that is, approximately from 0.3% to 0.9%, while the yield varied from 0.11 ± 0.04 g/L to 0.39 ± 0.06 g/L. BC yield was the lowest in all 1 mL inoculum samples. The productivity in BC increased from the 6\(^{th}\) harvest day (0.11 ± 0.04 g/L) to the 15\(^{th}\) harvest day (0.27 ± 0.15 g/L). The 15\(^{th}\) fermentation day had the highest cellulose yields and the results are in accord with current studies (Castro et al. 2012; Hornung et al. 2006). Hornung et al. (2006) reported that BC yield increased with fermentation time, cellulose formation ceased, however, after 15 fermentation days. Castro et al. (2012) observed that even though BC yield increased from 2\(^{nd}\) to 8\(^{th}\) incubation day, BC production decreased between the 8\(^{th}\) and 14\(^{th}\) fermentation day.

Our results are in line with current results which state that the content of BC in initial state being no higher than 1% (Castro et al. 2012; Rangaswamy et al. 2015; Skvortsova et al. 2019). BC is a hydrogel-like membrane, and its fibrous and network-like structure contribute to its very high water content (Gea et al. 2011). This property is important when considering its biomedical application as wound dressing material (Bodea et al. 2019; Fu et al. 2013).

The regression analysis showed that the harvest period significantly influenced BC dry weight (p < 0.002), water content (p < 0.0001), and yield (p = 0.002), while the inoculum volume seemed to have no significant influence.

**Optimization study**

For the optimization study a BBD experimental design was used to obtain the variable combinations for the experimental runs (Table 2). This enabled the experimental runs of a smaller number of samples with reliable results because the number of levels for the factors is minimized (from 5 to 3 in our case) (Pal and Jadeja 2019; Rodsaman and Sothornvit 2019) and it generates less extreme experimental combinations compared to Central Composite Design (CCD) (Otto 2016). The prediction precision around the supposed optimum is similar to the CCD because the center point level is repeated (3 times in the current study), but with fewer runs (Addinsoft 2020). However, the efficiency of BBD was shown to be higher than CCD and Three-Level Full Factorial (Ferreira et al. 2007; Pal and Jadeja 2019), providing a model with a better fit. Thus, by using the BBD the number of experiments was significantly reduced compared to the fully factorial design with 5 levels as in the preliminary study. In addition, less extreme experimental combinations were tested.

**Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR)**

Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR) analyzes cellulose using the chemical bonding present in the biopolymer. It is an alternative for qualitative analysis and it enables the identification of BC types and their purity (Alonso et al. 2018; Gea et al. 2011; Nishi et al. 1990; Watanabe et al. 1998).

In this study, the functional groups of all 5 variants of BC were confirmed by comparison with the infrared spectrum of filter paper (FP). As shown in Figure 3, the bacteria G. xylinus produced BC containing 20 identified functional groups, the majority similar to FP. The adsorption at 3332 cm\(^{-1}\) present in FP spectra could be attributed to stretching vibration of intra and inter O-H bond in cellulose (Yassine et al. 2016). This absorbance peak is present in all BC samples, but its position seemed to vary slightly with the volume on inoculum. The peak was positioned at lower wavenumbers for samples with 5 mL inoculum and higher wavenumbers for samples with 1 mL, probably because of the variations in the BC structure.

The 2899 cm\(^{-1}\) peak could be attributed to C-C stretching of CH\(_2\) and CH\(_3\) groups or CH\(_2\) asymmetric stretching (Tercjak et al. 2015). The FP spectra shows a peak at 1647 cm\(^{-1}\) corresponding to H-O-H bending of absorbed water (Yassine et al. 2016). In BC samples this peak appears shifted to lower wavenumbers:

![Table 1. Coded coefficients of the response surface regression of thickness (Y1) performed on the factorial design with 5 levels and regression equation in uncoded units](image-url)

| Model/term | linear | b0 | b1 | b2 | square | b11 | b22 | lack-of-fit | R^2 |
|------------|--------|----|----|----|--------|-----|-----|-------------|-----|
| coefficient | 1.966  | 0.393 | -0.047 | -0.130 | 0.167 | 0.431 | 0.50 |
| P-value    | 0.000  | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.354 | 0.054 | 0.143 | 0.053 |
| Regression equation: Y1 = 1.123 + 0.149 \cdot X1 - 0.274 \cdot X2 - 0.004 \cdot X1^2 + 0.042 \cdot X2^2 |

Note: The explanatory variables were X1: harvest (d) and X2: inoculum volume (mL). A stepwise selection of terms was used with α ≤ 0.15 for a hierarchical model.
1645 cm\(^{-1}\) to 1639 cm\(^{-1}\). The peak at 1427 cm\(^{-1}\) present in all samples may correspond to CH\(_2\) scissoring (Dammström et al. 2005), but most studies attribute it to CH\(_3\) symmetric bonding or O-H in plane bending (Ashori et al. 2012; Barud et al. 2011). The peak at 1365 cm\(^{-1}\) in FP spectra could be assigned to C-H bending. This peak was shifted to lower wavenumbers in all BC samples, similar to other peaks. The peak at 1334 cm\(^{-1}\) could correspond to C-H deformation or O-H in-plane bending (Castro et al. 2011) and absorption at 1315 cm\(^{-1}\) may be assigned to out-of-plane wagging of the CH\(_2\) groups. The absorption at 1159 cm\(^{-1}\) is observed in FP and all BC spectra, with a shift to higher wavenumber (1161 cm\(^{-1}\)) for samples with 1 mL inoculum. This is a typical indicator of the presence of C-O-C antisymmetric bridge stretching of 1,4-b-D-glucoside in BC (Amin et al. 2012).

It was shown that the peaks around 1000-1100 cm\(^{-1}\) can be assigned to C-O stretching vibrations in primary alcohol and C-O-C skeletal vibrations (Barud et al. 2011), but this hypothesis is controversial. For example, some studies attributed the absorption at 1030 cm\(^{-1}\) and 1054 cm\(^{-1}\) to the bending of C-O-H bond of carbohydrates (Huang et al. 2015; Sun et al. 2010) or C-O-C pyranose ring skeletal vibration (Castro et al. 2014; Yassine et al. 2016). These peaks are also present in all the studied samples. Gao et al. (2011) reported that the peak at 1029 cm\(^{-1}\) (present in FP and all BC samples spectra) might be also associated with the presence of OCH\(_3\), while the peak at 1107 cm\(^{-1}\) (present in FP and all BC samples spectra) indicated C-C bonds of the monomer units of polysaccharides or C-O bending vibration (Castro et al. 2011). An intense peak was observed at 1003 cm\(^{-1}\) in the BC spectra, originated from the stretching vibrations of C\(_2\)O\(_3\), which was the main bonding forming a cross-linking structure (Garside and Wyeth 2003).

The peak at 896 cm\(^{-1}\) present in FP and all BC samples spectra could be assigned to antisymmetric out-of-phase ring stretching of beta-glycosidic linkages between the glucose units, which is designated as an amorphous absorption band (Dayal et al. 2013; Goh et al. 2012).

In conclusion, BC produced by G. xylinus seems to consist mainly of pure cellulose I because of the 2 weak peaks at around 1427 cm\(^{-1}\) and 898 cm\(^{-1}\) and other peaks corresponding to pure cellulose (Goh et al. 2012; Nelson and O’Connor 1964). Additionally, we found peaks at around 3338 cm\(^{-1}\), 1160 cm\(^{-1}\), and 900 cm\(^{-1}\), all well studied previously and attributed to cellulose I (Rani et al. 2011a; Rani et al. 2011b). The peaks observed at 1334 cm\(^{-1}\), 1315 cm\(^{-1}\), 1278 cm\(^{-1}\) and 1427 cm\(^{-1}\) show, however, evidence of the presence of cellulose II as well (Rani et al. 2011a; Rani et al. 2011b).

**Water uptake ability: swelling ratio and moisture content**

The BCd and BCm batches took up significant amounts of water during the 24 h (Figure 4, Supplementary data Table 3), both showing significant different swelling ratios at the end compared with the beginning of the trials (p < 0.0001, p = 0.0004, respectively). The swelling activity among BCd samples was statistically different only up to the first 10 min (p = 0.046). The samples obtained with 5 mL inoculum and harvested after 18 d had the highest swelling ratio after 10 min (1079.41±81.60%) (Figure 4 b), while the membrane with 3 mL harvested after 12 d, which had the lowest (694.79±66.50%). The swelling activity up to 10 min was significantly influenced by the volume of inoculum used to obtain the BC (p = 0.039), while the harvest day seemed to have no significant individual influence. Li et al. (2015b) showed that the water uptake ability of moist BC after 10 minutes was 44 times its own weight, thus higher than in the present study. The swelling increased gradually and reached 52 times its own weight after 12 h, while in our case it reached only 1792%.

Both types of BC membranes swelled rapidly in the first half an hour. After this moment, BCm increased gradually in the next 6 h, then it maintained its swollen mass (Figure 4 A). On the other hand, BCd increased gradually up to 24 h (Figure 4 b). Similarly, Lin et al. (2013) showed that moist BC membranes swelled gradually for 6 h, then maintained a stable state for the next 60 h. Unlike our study, Rambo et al. (2008) observed that oven-dried BC reached the maximum swelling ratio (175%) after 30 min, then decreased slightly to 153% between 0.5 and 1 h, and achieved a stable state after 1 h.

The swelling ratio over time of the BCd samples taken as a whole was significantly influenced by all 3 tested inoculum volumes, with the pellicle obtained with 5 mL inoculum achieving the highest swelling ratio (2121%) after 24 h (2121%) in the samples with 5 ml inoculum harvest in the 18th day, compared to the same BCm samples (1600%). This may be due to our reporting of the swelling ratios of both BCd and BCm to their initial dry mass and not to the initial dry mass.

Both tested fermentation variables, the inoculum volume, and the harvest day, significantly influenced the swelling activity BC, as a whole, (p < 0.002). In general, a volume of inoculum of 1 mL produced pellicles with significant swelling up to a mean of 20 min, while higher volumes up to 30 min. This shows, once again, that uptake ability of BC if influenced by the inoculum volume, most probably because of the difference in thickness of the pellicles (Supplementary data Table 2), their difference in network morphology, and fiber thickness. The thickness varied for all pellicles, according to the inoculum
volume and harvest day, from 1.34±0.20 mm in the 6th harvest day, to 2.67±0.67 mm in the 18th day (Supplementary data Table 2). Yoshino et al. (2013) reported that BC expanded up to 80% in the first 10 min and about 3 times its initial thickness after 4 h, reaching to a thickness of 2.5 mm. Juncu et al. (2016) compared different films of sodium carboxymethyl cellulose with increasing BC content. The study revealed that the swelling degree decreased with the increase of BC content. A content of 12.5 mg of BC in the membranes showed the highest swelling ratio. In our case, the swelling ratio increased progressively and constant during the 24 h, probably because our maximum cellulose content was 9.83±1.46 mg, thus below the 12.5 inflection point.

BC mechanical properties were in indirect correlation with the swelling ratio. The maximum load, tensile strength (r = -0.534; p = 0.002), Young's Modulus and stiffness (r = -0.506; r = 0.004) were negatively correlated with the swelling ability of the BC membranes. In contrast, the elongation at break is positively correlated to the uptake ability (r = 0.534; p = 0.002). A reduced water content in BC membranes brings the fibers closer together, which gives the tendency to assemble with each other into more compact fiber bundles leading to a more compact structure (Fu et al. 2013; Zhang et al. 2011). The uptaken water in BC pellicles limits the interactions among the fibers by competitive water–cellulose hydrogen bonds. Water in BC pellicle is crucial to achieving a good alignment of the BC fibers, and thus good mechanical properties since water can serve as a plasticizer (Wang et al. 2017).

The half-swelling time of BCd varied between 0.99±0.38 h and 2.12±0.84 h, with significant differences among samples (Table 2). The fermentation had an impact, with samples harvested after 18 days having higher half-swelling times. For the BCm this variation was between 2.47±0.20 h and 2.68±0.18 h, but with no significant differences. Linear regression analysis showed that only significant influence on the half-swelling time of all BC samples seems to be the processing. Oven processing affected negatively the half-swelling time (b = -1.028), with a mean value of 1.5 h compare to 2.52 h for BCm.

An ideal wound dressing must have a high water uptake ability, to avoid the accumulation of wound exudate, which reduces the wound healing, and permit the oxygenation to the wound (Li et al. 2015b; Wei et al. 2011; Wu et al. 2018). Thus, the swelling ratio and half-swelling time are important parameters for BC intended as wound dressing. BC would need to absorb the exudate and maintain proper wound moisture during the healing process, which promotes the penetration of active substances embedded in the dressing, and provide a painless removal after recovery (Lin et al. 2013). Additionally, a high uptake ability is also needed for a dressing delivering controlled-release active substances (Juncu et al. 2016; Wei et al. 2011). These results are in accord with previous studies, Wei et al. (2011) suggested that the highly porous structure permitted loading of drugs into the hydrogel fibrillar matrix. BC has shown to possess this ability, being thus suited for this biomedical use.

The moisture content of both BC membranes increased at the end compared with the beginning of the trials (Figure 5, Supplementary data Table 4). BCm and BCd moisture content was significantly different after 10 min and 24 h (p = 0.013; p = 0.033, respectively). The water content of BCd increased from 90% after 10 min to 95% in 24 h, compared to BCm, with a moisture content of 86% after 10 min and reaching 93% after 24 h. It was observed that the oven-drying processing significantly affected the moisture content of BC membranes (p = 0.012). BCm had a loosely interconnected network structure, compared to BCd (5.2.6. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM)). Yet, the structure of oven-dried BC was more compact with no visible pores on the surface, as described by Ullah et al. (2017), which explains the much lower moisture content of BCd compared to BCm. Lin et al. (2013) showed similarly to our study that the moisture content of moist BC membranes increased gradually until 6 h, than they maintained a stable state for the next 60 h.

The diameter of the fibrils in the BC membranes is in direct correlation with the moisture content (r = 0.431; p = 0.018). The matrix of BC membranes consists of randomly arranged fibrils and a variety of empty space in-between which helps absorb and store water inside the membrane (Lin et al. 2013). There was a positive correlation between BC moisture content and Young's Modulus and stiffness (r = 0.431 and r = 0.432; p = 0.017; respectively). Also, the moisture content is negatively correlated to the elongation at break (r = -0.454; p = 0.012). The results are consistent other authors reporting that the mechanical behavior of BC essentially depends on its moisture content (Skvortsova et al. 2019).

BCd samples were significantly different after 10 min (p = 0.060). The pellicles obtained with 3 mL harvested after 12 days had the lowest moisture content (87%), while the membranes with 5 mL inoculum harvested after 18 days had the lowest moisture (91%) (Figure 5 b). The moisture content over time of the BCd samples taken as a whole was significantly influenced by both tested variables, with the pellicle obtained with 1 mL harvested in the 6th day up-taking the highest moisture content of 96% (Figure 5 b). Similarly, BCm samples taken as a whole were significantly influenced by all 3 tested inoculum volumes with the pellicles obtained with 5 mL (p < 0.0001) up-taking the highest moisture content (94%). On the other hand, only the harvest days 6 and 12 significantly influenced their moisture content. A fermentation period of 18 d probably generated pellicles too thick with a too dense fibrillar network (Figure 5 a).

The half-moisture time of BCd and BCm membranes was significantly different (p = 0.010) (Table 3) and linear regression analysis showed that processing might be the only significant variables influencing the half-moisture time of all BC samples. Oven-drying processing affected the half-moisture time (b = 0.726) with a mean value of 1.15 h compared to 0.43 h for BCm. The half-moisture time of BCm membranes was in direct correlation with the half-swelling time (r = 0.844; p = <0.0001), because, logically, the more a pellicle swells, the higher its water content becomes.

**Drug release**

The BCd and BCm membranes released significant amounts uptaken fluid (beet juice) during the 72 h (Figure 6, Supplementary data Table 5). Both BCd and BCm membranes showed a significant drug release capacity over time (p < 0.001). The release capacity was significantly influenced by all 3 inoculum volumes in both BCd (p < 0.005) and BCm (p < 0.046). The BCd samples obtained with 5 mL inoculum and harvested after 18 d had the highest drug release capacity (Figure 6), while BCm, had the highest drug release obtained for pellicles obtained with 3 mL inoculum and fermented for 12 (Figure 6). A gradual drug release was observed during the 72 h, with a significant difference between the 2 types of membranes throughout the tested period (p < 0.0001) (Figure 6). BCm samples had up to 3 times higher drug release capacity, than BCd (b_m = -0.006 vs. b_d = -0.003), suggesting that the oven-drying processing negatively affected the drug release ability of BC. The membrane type (moist or dry) significantly influenced the release capacity of BC (p < 0.0001), thus BCm had an almost 3 times higher drug release capacity than BCd (Figure 6). It seems that oven-drying makes the structure of BC more rigid and less porous (Alonso et al. 2018; Fu et al. 2013; Zhang et al. 2011). The three-dimensional porous structure of BCm can facilitate the drug release activity because of the
larger surface area that facilitates drug uptake and has faster release rates (Wei et al. 2011). Skvortsova et al. (2019) found that drying leads to distortions of the BC structure due to aggregation of cellulose fibrils. As a result, the porosity appears to decrease significantly. Contrary, Ullah et al. (2017) reported almost similar drug release rates for both the freeze-dried and oven-dried BC with no effect of the drying method. Regardless of the processing method, almost 100% of the drug was released within 45 min. The immediate drug release is linked to the hydrophilic nature and swelling behavior of BC. Wei et al. (2011) observed a stable and continuous release of the antimicrobial agent within 24 h.

Table 2. The properties of bacterial cellulose (BC) of interest for biomedical purposes at different fermentation conditions based on a Box-Behnken design for response surface methodology (RSM).

| Independent variables | Response - dependent variables |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------|
| X<sub>1</sub> harvest (d) | X<sub>2</sub> inoculum volume (mL) | X<sub>3</sub> BC type | Y<sub>1</sub> thickness* (mm) | Y<sub>2</sub> half-swelling time (h) | Y<sub>3</sub> drug half-release time (h) | Y<sub>4</sub> Tensile strenght σ (MPa) | Y<sub>5</sub> Young’s Mod (MPa) |
| exp | pred*** | exp | pred*** | exp | pred*** | exp | pred*** |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 6 | 1 | dry | 1.68 ± 0.16<sup>bc</sup> | 1.25 ± 0.5<sup>bc</sup> | 4.95 ± 0.77<sup>abc</sup> | 7.61 ± 0.21<sup>ab</sup> | 128.92 ± 30.37<sup>b</sup> |
| 2 | 18 | 1 | dry | 2.67 ± 0.67<sup>ab</sup> | 2.81 | 1.92 ± 0.89<sup>ab</sup> | 3.68 ± 0.32<sup>a</sup> | 10.34 ± 3.69<sup>b</sup> | 139.34 ± 22.35<sup>b</sup> |
| 3 | 12 | 3 | dry | 2.09 ± 0.15<sup>ab</sup> | 1.93 | 0.99 ± 0.38<sup>c</sup> | 12.78 ± 3.45<sup>a</sup> | 10.04 ± 1.90<sup>a</sup> | 117.86 ± 28.18<sup>b</sup> |
| 4 | 6 | 5 | dry | 1.34 ± 0.15<sup>c</sup> | 1.05 | 1.22 ± 0.6<sup>bc</sup> | 9.12 ± 1.60<sup>b</sup> | 7.08 ± 2.78<sup>ab</sup> | 143.99 ± 36.54<sup>a</sup> |
| 5 | 18 | 5 | dry | 2.28 ± 0.23<sup>ab</sup> | 2.23 | 2.12 ± 0.84<sup>a</sup> | 8.25 ± 1.61<sup>bcd</sup> | 9.22 ± 3.33<sup>a</sup> | 209.39 ± 23.85<sup>c</sup> |
| 6 | 6 | 1 | moist | 1.68 ± 0.16<sup>b</sup> | 1.62 | 2.47 ± 0.20<sup>a</sup> | 5.93 ± 0.58<sup>bcd</sup> | 3.81 | 14.03 ± 2.97<sup>c</sup> |
| 7 | 18 | 1 | moist | 2.67 ± 0.67<sup>ab</sup> | 2.81 | 2.53 ± 0.28<sup>d</sup> | 3.77 ± 1.76<sup>c</sup> | 3.54 | 26.38 ± 15.22<sup>c</sup> |
| 8 | 12 | 3 | moist | 2.09 ± 0.15<sup>d</sup> | 1.93 | 2.68 ± 0.18<sup>a</sup> | 5.97 ± 2.25<sup>bcd</sup> | 8.46 | 21.59 ± 11.90<sup>c</sup> |
| 9 | 6 | 5 | moist | 1.34 ± 0.15<sup>c</sup> | 1.05 | 2.49 ± 0.38<sup>b</sup> | 5.38 ± 1.95<sup>bcde</sup> | 7.06 | 12.44 ± 0.73<sup>c</sup> |
| 10 | 18 | 5 | moist | 2.28 ± 0.23<sup>ab</sup> | 2.23 | 2.47 ± 0.08<sup>b</sup> | 8.60 ± 2.81<sup>bc</sup> | 6.79 | 21.82 ± 2.47<sup>c</sup> |

Where: exp - experimental values; pred - predicted values by the RSM model; desir. - overall desirability (0…1); * - the thickness was measured for the entire batch, before drying (n=6); **the predicted value resulted from the model optimizing the BC properties; ***Mann-Whitney two-tailed test (α = 0.001) of the experimental data versus the values predicted by the model optimizing the BC properties

Note: The data are presented as mean ± SD. Different letters (a-h) within the same column show significant differences among the samples (Fisher (LSD), p < 0.05)

Generally, the drug release from hydrogels can be influenced by many factors like swelling, drug concentration, drug characteristics, and the hydrogel structure (Zeinali Kalkhoran et al. 2018). The highly porous structure of BCm (Supplementary data Figure 2) and BCd (Supplementary data Figure 3) can easily permit the loading of drugs into their matrix and subsequent drug release. It was observed that the samples obtained with 5 mL inoculum and harvested after 18 d had the highest swelling ratio in both BC membrane types, but BCd had a significantly better drug release behavior. BCd maximum drug release was obtained for the samples with 3 mL inoculum harvested in the 12<sup>th</sup> day. The rate of drug release depends on the water content of the swollen hydrogel, and on the structure of the fibrillar network (Wei et al. 2011). Juncu et al. (2016) stated that drug release decreased with the increase of BC content. Therefore, the concentration of BC could be an important factor which may control drug release. Additionally, they observed that the release ability presented a sudden growth during the first 20 min. The dry BC films released about 66% of the drug within one day (Wei et al. 2011).

Both tested variables, the fermentation period and the inoculum volume, significantly influenced the drug release of both BC membranes. The inoculum volume significantly influenced the drug release capacity of both membranes up to 48 h. The release capacity over time of the BCm samples taken as a whole was significantly influenced by all 3 tested fermentation periods, compared to BCd samples which were only influenced by the 6<sup>th</sup> and 12<sup>th</sup> days. BCd samples with 5 mL had significantly higher release ability. BCm release behavior was also significantly influenced by 3 mL inoculum volume which had the highest drug release, up to 6 h (Figure 6)., with the highest values in the 12<sup>th</sup> harvest day compared to the 6<sup>th</sup> day.

The half-release time of BCd varied between 3.68±0.32 h and 12.78±3.45 h, with significant differences among samples (Table 2). For the BCm this variation was between 3.78±1.76 h and 8.60±2.81 h, but with no significant differences. The inoculum volume affected the half-release time (b = 0.813, p = 0.008). An inoculum volume of 5 mL and 3 mL had a significant influence on half-release time of both membrane types (p = 0.002). The fermentation period only influenced the half-release time of BCd, with the highest value of 12.78±3.45 h.

BC is an attractive material for the fabrication of intelligent adsorptive materials for drug-delivery applications because of its properties: an ultrafine fibrous network structure, a good water-absorbance capacity, and optimal mechanical properties (Amin et al. 2012). BC appears to be a promising wound dressing material because of its ability to absorb exudate and controlled release of medical substances at the initial stage of wound healing porous (Alonso et al. 2018; Fu et al. 2013; Zhang et al. 2011).

**Mechanical properties**

Wound dressing materials need strength, flexibility and should maintain their integrity during use (Li et al. 2015b; Lin et al. 2017; Lin et al. 2013). BCd with 1 mL inoculum harvested in the 18<sup>th</sup> day had the maximum load of 12.41 N and a tensile strength of 10.343 MPa, compared to the BCm samples, with a less than half that resistance of only 5.571 N and 4.642 MPa respectively (Table 2, Table 3). The tensile strengths obtained in the current study for BCd is in agreement with previous studies it were it ranged between 10.32 MPa (Fu et al. 2012) and 48.17 ± 15.38 MPa (Costa et al. 2019). And similarly, for BCm tensile strengths of 14.77 MPa (Lin et al. 2013) up to 207.0 MPa (Gee et al. 2011) were reported.

Among the BCd samples only the 5 mL inoculum harvested in the 18<sup>th</sup> day membrane was significantly different, and only for Young's modulus and the stiffness (p = 0.022). This sample had the highest values of 209.389 MPa and 41.865 kN/cm, respectively. In contrast, BCm samples significant higher values for maximum load and tensile strength (p = 0.007) were observed for the samples harvested in the 18<sup>th</sup> day.
Table 3. Characteristics of dry and moist bacterial cellulose membranes dependent on the harvest day and inoculum volume, mean±SD

| BC type | Harvest (d) | Inoculum volume (mL) | Half moisture content (h) | Maximum load (N) | Elongation at break, ε (%) | Stiffness, k (kN/cm) |
|---------|-------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|---------------------|
| dry     | 6           | 1                     | 2.04±2.04 ab              | 9.13±0.25 abc   | 6.95±1.79 bc             | 25.79±6.08 c       |
|         |             | 5                     | 0.87±0.53 abc             | 8.50±3.34 abc   | 4.92±0.46 b              | 28.80±7.30 c       |
|         | 12          | 3                     | 0.58±0.20 b              | 12.05±2.28 a    | 9.84±3.17 b             | 23.57±5.64 a       |
|         | 18          | 1                     | 1.06±0.69 abc            | 12.41±4.43 a    | 7.94±3.39 b             | 27.87±4.47 a       |
|         |             | 5                     | 1.23±0.57 abc            | 11.07±4.00 a    | 4.90±0.65 b              | 41.07±4.77 a       |
| moist   | 6           | 1                     | 0.42±0.11 b              | 3.63±0.77 cd    | 18.77±1.04 b            | 3.20±0.59 c        |
|         |             | 5                     | 0.46±0.31 b              | 3.14±0.46 cd    | 21.74±5.10 bc           | 2.49±0.15 c        |
|         | 12          | 3                     | 0.47±0.08 b              | 3.49±0.99 cd    | 16.11±1.36 bc           | 4.32±2.38 c        |
|         | 18          | 1                     | 0.45±0.12 b              | 5.57±0.38 cd    | 21.74±6.25 cd           | 5.28±3.05 c        |
|         |             | 5                     | 0.33±0.03 b              | 4.80±0.66 cd    | 18.40±4.47 bc           | 4.36±0.49 c        |

Note: The data are presented as mean ± SD. Different letters (a-d) within the same column show significant differences among the samples (Fisher (LSD), p < 0.05).

The results of mechanical testing showed that there was a statistically significant difference between BCD and BCM, taken as 2 batches (p < 0.0001) (Table 2, Table 3). The maximum load and tensile strength were significantly higher in BCD membranes compared to BCM (p < 0.0001). The Young’s modulus and stiffness where significantly higher in BCD samples, compared to BCM (Table 2, Table 3). The BCD samples with 5 mL inoculum harvested in the 18th day had a Young’s modulus of 209.389 MPa, which was almost 10 times higher than the corresponding BCM samples. Our results are in line with other studies that reported a range from 131 MPa (Fu et al. 2012) up to 458.38 MPa (Costa et al. 2019) for the Young’s modulus of BCD and 0.76 MPa (Li et al. 2015b) to 72.3 MPa (Lin et al. 2017) for BCM.

BCM had significantly higher elongation at break values, up to twice higher than BCD (Table 3). The current report show that the elongation at break of BCD may vary between 2.26 ± 0.73% (Almeida et al. 2014) and 9.00% (Fu et al. 2012), in contrast to BCM, that ranges between 4.54% (Pourjavaher et al. 2017) and can reach up to 32.17% (Lin et al. 2013). This makes BCD membranes more brittle. This was in accord with our visual observation that BCM was more elastic and malleable, compared to BCD which was inextensible, and hard to bend. Similarly Fu et al. (2013) stated that the dry BC film was more brittle than the moist BC film and as a result it broke easier in the tensile test. During elasticity testing, a decrease in strength occurs, leading to cracks in the BC membrane until it ruptures. Thus, the increase in crack propagation occurs very quickly in dry pellicles due to the lack of elasticity (Costa et al. 2019).

Nevertheless, the mechanical properties of BC may vary based on culturing and processing parameters, such as inoculum volume, culture time, medium, or post treatment (Lin et al. 2013). Our results corroborate this statement, as the maximum load and tensile strength of the BCM was significantly influenced by the harvest day (p < 0.001). In contrast, the inoculum volume did not appear to influence mechanical properties of BCM. In contrast, the properties of BCD did not seem to be influenced by the inoculum volume or harvest day. It is observed, however, that the inoculum had a great influence on Young’s modulus and stiffness (p < 0.051). But although close, it did not reach statistical significance.

**Scanning electron microscopy (SEM)**

The surface morphology of the BCD and BCM membranes as seen by SEM is shown in Supplementary data Figure 2 and Figure 3. The structure is a well-organized, highly porous, three-dimensional fibrillar network, similar to previous reports (Alonso et al. 2018; Bodea et al. 2019; Pourjavaher et al. 2017; Wu et al. 2018). The matrix of BC membranes consists of randomly arranged nanofibers and empty spaces distributed randomly in-between. This network structure helps absorb and store water or water soluble compound inside the membrane (Lin et al. 2013; Wu et al. 2018).

The average fiber diameter of BCM ranged between 40.60 ± 4.99 nm up to 49.30 ± 4.18 nm (Table 2), in accord with current research (Fu et al. 2012). The largest median diameter was measured for membranes with 1 mL inoculum volume harvested in the 6th day (Supplementary data Figure 2 a), significantly different from the membranes harvested in the 18th day with 5 mL of inoculum (Supplementary data Figure 2 d). Both tested variables seemed to negatively influence the diameter of the BC fibrils, with the inoculum volume having a greater impact (b = -0.91; p = 0.013) than harvest day (b = -0.42; p = 0.001). Fu et al. (2012) reported the fiber diameters of thick BC (138.6 ± 37.6 nm) and thin BC (189.2 ± 57.9 nm) and similar to our results showed that the diameter of the fibers decreased as the thickness of the membrane and the incubation period increases.

The fiber diameter of BCD ranged, on average, between 51.34 ± 6.99 nm and 41.40 ± 3.87 nm (Table 2), which is consistent with previous studies. Pourjavaher et al. (2017) reported a fiber diameter around 45–70 nm, while Volova et al. (2018) obtained a range of 52 nm to 173 nm for dried BC (freeze dried and oven-dried). The median fiber diameter of BCD ranged between 41.40 nm in membranes with 1 mL inoculum volume harvested in the 18th day (Supplementary data Figure 2 c) to 51.33 nm in membranes with the same inoculum volume but harvested in the 6th day (Supplementary data Figure 3 a) (Figure 7). The latter diameter was significantly higher (p < 0.0001). For BCD only the harvest day had a significant negative influence (b = -0.47; p = 0.014) similar in impact with BCM.

As reported by Zeng et al. (2014) the drying method did not seem to influence the fibril diameter. This is surprising considering the differences in macroscopic structure between the two BC membranes types.

**Optimization of bacterial cellulose (BC) by Response Surface Methodology (RSM)**

The properties of the 2 types of BC obtained with varying harvest periods and inoculum volumes as set by a BBD experimental design were analyzed by RSM. The predicted values are shown in Table 2. The Mann-Whitney two-tailed test showed non-significant differences between the experimental and predicted
values at a significance level of 0.001. The multiple regression analysis of the RSM generated a system of polynomial equations that proved to be good statistical models for the experimental attributes of BC (Table 4). The lack-of-fit of all obtained models showed was not significant at levels higher than p = 0.440 (Table 4). This suggests that the proposed models fit the data.

The model equations within the tested intervals (X₁: 6…18 d and X₂: 1…5 mL) in uncoded values for the membranes are presented in Table 5, equations (3) – (14).

The RSM contour plots show the relationship between the 2 continuous predictors: X₁: harvest day and X₂: inoculum volume, and the 3 fitted response variables (Y₃: drug-release half-life; Y₅: Young's modulus; Y₆: fiber diameter) for each of the 2 types of membranes (dry and moist) (Figure 8). By using the RSM we generated a desirability function that enabled us to determine the mathematical optimum of the 3 parameters taken into consideration, within the proposed ranges.

Table 4. Model parameters (coded coefficients), p values and goodness of fit statistics obtained by response surface methodology (RSM) for each of the 6 response variables (Yᵢ)

|   | Y₁ thickness (mm) | Y₂ half-swelling time (h) | Y₃ drug half-release time (h) | Y₄ Tensile strength, σ (MPa) | Y₅ Young’s Modulus E (MPa) | Y₆ fiber diameter (µm) | Desirability |
|---|------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|--------------|
| b0 | 1.926*** | 0.000 | 2.013*** | 0.000 | 9.370*** | 0.000 | 6.149*** | 0.000 | 69.720*** | 0.000 | 45.580*** | 0.000 | 0.553*** |
| b₁ | 0.591*** | 0.002 | 0.203* | 0.054 | -0.135 | 0.793 | 0.985*** | 0.012 | 11.940** | 0.025 | -2.677*** | 0.000 | 0.066*** |
| b₂ | -0.289*** | 0.000 | NA | NA | 1.627*** | 0.004 | NA | NA | 9.620* | 0.066 | -0.889* | 0.132 | 0.033*** |
| b₃ (dry) | NA | NA | -0.514*** | 0.000 | 0.911* | 0.056 | 2.711*** | 0.000 | 64.120*** | 0.000 | 0.747 | 0.156 | 0.0216*** |
| b₁₂ | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 1.215** | 0.044 | NA | NA | 0.0166*** |
| b₁₃ | NA | NA | 0.191* | 0.068 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | -0.017*** | NA | NA |
| b₂₃ | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 11.660** | 0.028 | 0.924* | 0.118 | 0.0219*** |
| b₁₁ | NA | NA | NA | NA | -3.16* | 0.010 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| b₂₂ | NA | NA | NA | NA | -3.16* | 0.010 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 17.600* | 0.129 | NA | NA |
| b₃₃ | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| R² | 0.70 | 0.954 | 0.638 | 0.455 | 0.886 | 0.440 | 0.590 | 0.995 |
| Lack-of-fit | - | 0.000 | - | 0.000 | - | 0.003 | - | 0.000 | - | 0.000 | - | 0.000 |

Note: The explanatory variables were coef - coded coefficients, X₁: harvest (d), X₂: inoculum volume (mL), X₃: membrane type. A stepwise selection of terms was used with α ≤ 0.15 for a hierarchical model; NA – not applicable, the parameter was removed from the model.

* Significant at p < 0.15, **Significant at p < 0.05, *** Significant at p < 0.01.

The optimization procedure was run against the RSM model taken as a system of equations. The goal was to identify the values of the independent variables (X₁ harvest day, and X₂ inoculum volume) that jointly optimize the fitted dependent variables set as follows: thickness – target to 2 mm; fiber diameter – minimum; swelling half-life – maximum; drug-release half-life – maximum; tensile strength – maximum; Young's modulus – minimum. Thus, the system of equations has with only 1 possible solution, the optimal production conditions in terms of harvest day and inoculum volume. After computing the optimization analysis, our model suggested that the optimum conditions to obtain BC with appropriate properties for biomedical uses might be: X₁ harvest day = 15.70, X₂ inoculum volume = 5 mL, and X₃ membrane type = moist, with a composite desirability = 0.60, when considering harvest intervals of 6 to 18 d, inoculum volumes of 1 to 5 mL, and moist or oven-dried types. Thus, based on the results obtained in the RSM optimization study the following optimum parameters were chosen for further model validation: 16 days of fermentation and 5 mL of inoculum (Table 6) which contains the predicted and the experimental values.

The optimization procedure was run again with X₃ membrane type fixed on “dry” to obtain the optimal solution for BCd, as well. This resulted resulting in X₁ harvest day = 13.64, X₂ inoculum volume = 3.60 mL, with a composite desirability = 0.58. Again, the values were approximated to for X₁ =14 d, X₂ = 4 mL for ease of working. The predicted values for the BC properties were obtained after computing the model for the optimum conditions, in each case.

Table 5. The model equations in uncoded values of response variables for each of the 2 types of membranes (dry and moist)
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