Clinical Presentation of Lumbosacral Spinal Canal Stenosis Among Sudanese Patients
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Abstract

Background: Spinal canal Stenosis is a disabling disease and a major health problem facing most of the population all over the world. Patients suffering from lumbar spinal stenosis develop pain, paresthesia’s, numbness, and weakness in the back and legs due to entrapment of the lumbosacral nerve roots in the constricted neural canal and foramina.

Objective: To determine clinical presentation of lower spinal canal stenosis among Sudanese patients.

Methods: It was retrospective -hospital based study comprises 101 patients (58 male and 42 female) of post-operative patients diagnosed as severe lumbosacral spinal canal stenosis and undergone de-compressive surgery at neurosurgery department of Alshaab Teaching Hospital and Ribat Teaching Hospital. Interviews using a tested questionnaire were used for data collection. Patients’ files were also studies to determine the type of operation done; the etiology of the disease, and MRI of the patients were reviewed. Examination of the weight and height were also done.

Results: Hundred and one (101) patients were selected, the age distribution ranged from 15 to 80 years with a mean (standard deviation) age of 57.0±2.2 years and the most affected patients were more than 50 years 41 (40.6%). It was observed that 59 (58.4%) participants were male and 42 (41.6%) were female. Lower limb numbness and/or tingling were the most common symptoms, occurring in 93 (92.1%) of the patients, followed by lower back pain in 92 (91.1%), weakness in 70 (69.3%) and sphincteric loss observed in 20 (19.8%). Symptoms were bilateral in 58 (57.4%), asymmetrical in 95 (94.1%) and in 65 (64.4%) of patients involved the entire leg.

Conclusion: The present study highlighted the clinical presentation of spinal canal stenosis and showed that lower limb numbness and/or tingling were the most common symptom, followed by pain and weakness. Male patients were mainly affected and the age most affected by the spinal canal stenosis was more than 50 years.

Keywords: Clinical presentation, lumbosacral stenosis, Sudanese patients.

Introduction

The causes of lumbar spinal stenosis can be congenital or acquired [1]. Spondylitis, or degenerative arthritis affecting the spine, is the most common cause of lumbar spinal stenosis and typically affects individuals over the age of 60 years [2]. Progressive disc degeneration due to aging, trauma or other factors can lead to disc protrusion and/or loss of disc height with attendant loading of the posterior elements of the spine, including the facet joints. Facet joint arthropathy and osteophyte formation follow, along with hypertrophy of the ligamentum flavum. All of these processes (facet osteophytes, ligamentum flavum hypertrophy, and disc bulging) can encroach on the central canal and the neural foramina. Spondylolisthesis, in which one vertebral body translates anteriorly or posteriorly with respect to an adjacent vertebral body can also occur, exacerbating the spinal canal narrowing. The L4-5 level is most commonly involved, followed by L5-S1 and L3-4.

The clinical features of lumbar spinal stenosis (LSS) were characterized in many studies and the hallmark of LSS was mainly neurogenic (or pseudo) claudication [3-7]. This is the tendency for
symptoms, usually pain, to be exacerbated with walking, standing, and/or maintaining certain postures. Most reported relief with lying, sitting, or flexion at the waist (squatting, leaning forward) [5]. Claudication ranges according to these different studies are from 75% of up to 92% of the cases. In another study, a report of a lower sensitivity (60%) of neurogenic claudication for the diagnosis of LSS was available, however with an unclear standard for the diagnosis [8]. The aim of this study is to determine the clinical pattern of lower spinal canal stenosis among Sudanese patients.

Methods

It was retrospective -hospital based study of patients who were post-operatively diagnosed and as having severe lumbo-sacral spinal canal stenosis and underwent de-compressive surgery at neurosurgery department of Alshaab Teaching Hospital and Ribat Teaching Hospital; the main two centers out of three which provide neurosurgery services in the country. Data was collected from 101 patients' files (58 male and 43 females) after taking permission from the directors of the two hospitals, including demographic data, natural history of the disease, such as the type of operation done, the cause(s) of spinal stenosis which were diagnosed by consultant radiologist and confirmed by the neurosurgeon, in addition to MRI reports written by consultant radiologist and examination of the weight and height. All individuals in this study exhibited intermittent claudication, often accompanied by other symptoms such as radioculopathy and/or LBP.

Exclusion criteria: Individuals who suffered from developmental stenosis and fractures were excluded from the study.

Ethical clearance: Ethical approval was obtained from the Technical Ethical Committee (TEC), Faculty of Medicine, University of Gezira, Wad Median, Sudan.

Statistical analysis: The mean and standard deviation minimum, maximum and frequency values were calculated. Significant difference was set at P < 0.05. Analysis was conducted using SPSS (Statistical Package for Social Sciences) for windows, version 20.

Results

Table 1 shows demographic characteristic of the patients

Hundred and one (101) patients were selected, the age distribution ranged from 15 to 80 years with a mean (standard deviation) of age 57.0±2.2 years and the most affected patients were more than 50 years 41 (40.6%). It was observed that 59 (58.4%) participants were male and 42 (41.6%) were female. Their jobs were mainly employee 18 (17.8%), laborers 17 (16.8%), farmers 15 (14.9%) and housewives 28 (27.8%). The average height of patients was between 160-180, while their average weight was between 60-70kilograms and the Mean±SD of BMI was 28.9±0.7

Table 2 shows clinical presentation of the patients

About eighty five percent of the patients (84.2%) had low back pain, which exacerbated with activity especially walking and sitting (38.7%), walking, standing and sitting in (32.7%).

Sensory symptoms that affect the lower limbs

Lower limbs pain occurred in 92 (91.1%) of patients, while 93 (92.1%) of patients had numbness and tingling sensation, only 24 patients appreciated complete sensory loss at once during their illness (23.8%), sixty five patients (64.4%) had pain that involves the entire leg while (34.7%) had pain affecting the upper and lower parts of the leg.

Motor Symptoms that Affect the Lower Limbs

Seventy patients had weakness in one or both lower limbs (69.3%) and thirty one of the patients (30.7%) had no lower limbs weakness.

Pattern of symptoms among the lower limbs

The symptoms were bilateral in most of the patients (57.4%), but often asymmetrical (94.1%).

Sphincteric Disturbance (Loss)

Most of the patients had no sphincteric loss (80.2%), out of the (19.2%) sphincter loss, 3 (3.0%) of them lost their urinary sphincters, 10 (9.9%) lost their anal sphincters, while 7 (6.9%) lost both the anal and urinary sphincters (Tables 1 & 2).

Table 1: Demographic Characteristic of Patient with Lumbosacral Spinal Canal Stenosis.

| Item                | Frequency | Percent |
|---------------------|-----------|---------|
| Age in years        |           |         |
| <20 years           | 2         | -2.00%  |
| 20-30 years         | 9         | -8.90%  |
| 31-40 years         | 18        | -17.80% |
| 41-50 years         | 31        | -30.70% |
| >50 years           | 41        | -40.60% |
| Total               | 101       | -100.00%|
| Mean±SD             | 57.0±2.2  |         |
| Gender              |           |         |
| Male                | 59        | -58.40% |
| Female              | 42        | -41.6   |
| Total               | 101       | -100.00%|
| Occupation          |           |         |
| Employee            | 18        | -17.80% |
| Labors              | 17        | -16.80% |
| Farmers             | 15        | -14.90% |
| Solders             | 6         | -5.90%  |
| Students            | 4         | -4.00%  |
| Housewives          | 28        | -27.80% |
| Idle                | 7         | -6.90%  |
| Drivers             | 6         | -5.90%  |
| Total               | 101       | -100.00%|
| BMI                 |           |         |
| <20                 | 22        | -21.80% |
| 20-30                | 35        | -34.60% |
| >30                 | 44        | -43.60% |
| Total               | 101       | -100.00%|

Table 2: Clinical Presentation of Patient with Lumbosacral Spinal Canal Stenosis.

| Lower Back Pain and Association of Activity | Frequency | Percent |
|--------------------------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Lower Back Pain                           | Frequency | Percent |
| Yes                                        | 85        | -84.20% |
| No                                         | 16        | -15.80% |
| Total                                      | 101       | -100.00%|
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**Discussion**

The present study revealed that, age distribution ranged from 15 to 80 years with a mean (standard deviation) of 57.0±2.2 years and the most affected patients (40.6%) were elderly people (above 50 years old) which are consistent with the international pattern [9,2]. It was striking that the disease also affects young patients between 10 to 20 years of age, which it was also described by Boos and his colleagues [10,11] they found that discs degeneration occurs far earlier than other musculoskeletal tissues; the first unequivocal findings of degeneration in the lumbar discs were seen in the age group 11–16 years, about 20% of people in their teens have discs with mild signs of degeneration.

The results of this study showed that, male were more affected by the disease than female which is consistent to study done by [11]. Life style may have influence on the disease since most patients (nearly 50%) were laborers, officers or farmers. These occupations enhance the association between the job and the incidence of disc prolapsed.

In the current study about eighty five patients (84.2%) had low back pain, which exacerbated with activity especially walking and standing (36.6%), walking, standing and sitting in (27.7%). Many studies reported that, back pain as the striking symptom in case of LSS, together with lower extremity pain or other neurological symptoms [8,12-15]. McCombe PF, et al. [16] typically reported pain in the buttocks with or without radiation to the thighs and calves numbness and weakness in the lower extremities provoked by walking or prolonged standing. Claudication ranges from (75% up to 92%) according to [8]. As shown in the literature the erect, extended position narrows the lumbar canal by reducing the interlaminar space, causing overlap of laminar edges of adjoining vertebral bodies, relaxing and inward buckling of the ligamentum flavum, and rostral-anterior migration of the superior facets [1,17]. This may explain the onset or persistence of symptoms with prolonged standing. Watanable & Porter also explained it by “It is also possible that increased metabolic demands on spinal nerve roots during walking may exceed the available microvascular blood flow, especially when intrathecal pressures are elevated” [18,19]. This explains why 97% of this study subjects back pain was associated with activity (walking, standing or sitting).

The clinical features of lumbar spinal stenosis (LSS) were characterized in many studies and the hallmark of LSS was mainly neurogenic (or pseudo) claudication [3,4,6,7]. Some studies reported relief of the symptoms specially pain with lying, sitting, or flexion at the waist (squatting, leaning forward) [5]. Our results demonstrated that, lower limb numbness and/or tingling were the most common symptoms, occurring in (92.1%) of patients. This was followed by lower limb pain in (91.1%), and weakness in (69.3%). Complete sensory loss occurred during the disease period in about 23.8% of the patients. In contrast to the current study, other study revealed that lower limbs pain occurred in (93%), numbness and/or tingling in (63%) and weakness in only (43%) [3]. This reflects the high pain tolerance of our patients that may be due to deficient and poor neurological medical coverage in the country. Symptoms were bilateral in 57.4% but asymmetrical in about (94.1%). These symptoms usually involve (64.4%) of the entire leg rather than just the upper or lower leg. This can be explained by the fact that the disc compresses centrally as well as bilaterally on both nerve root exits, but with more pressure on one side. It is almost comparable to what is mentioned by [8,15,20]. “The symptoms bilaterally in
(68%), asymmetrical in (78%) and usually involved the entire leg in (15%)”. There was no association between the degree of spinal canal stenosis and the severity of symptoms was not shown among the patients. This finding is in accordance to what is mentioned by Boden, there is no substantive relationship between the severity of radiologic findings and the severity of clinical symptoms or prognosis, [9,21].

Conclusion

The present study highlighted the clinical presentation of spinal canal stenosis and showed that lower limb numbness and/or tingling were the most common symptom, followed by pain and weakness. Symptoms were bilateral, asymmetrical, and usually involved the entire leg rather than just the upper or lower leg. Male patients were mainly affected and the age most affected by the spinal canal stenosis was more than 50 years. The study definitely helps to know the pattern of spinal canal stenosis and to plan future strategies for early diagnosis & timely management.
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