Abnormal function of telomere protein TRF2 induces cell mutation and the effects of environmental tumor-promoting factors (Review)
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Abstract. Recent studies have found that somatic gene mutations and environmental tumor-promoting factors are both indispensable for tumor formation. Telomeric repeat-binding factor (TRF)2 is the core component of the telomere shelterin complex, which plays an important role in chromosome stability and the maintenance of normal cell physiological states. In recent years, TRF2 and its role in tumor formation have gradually become a research hot topic, which has promoted in-depth discussions into tumorigenesis and treatment strategies, and has achieved promising results. Some cells bypass elimination, due to either aging, apoptosis via mutations or abnormal prolongation of the mitotic cycle, and enter the telomere crisis period, where large-scale DNA reorganization occurs repeatedly, which manifests as the precancerous cell cycle. Finally, at the end of the crisis cycle, the mutation activates either the expression level of telomerase or activates the alternative lengthening of telomere mechanism to extend the local telomeres. Under the protection of TRF2, chromosomes are gradually stabilized, immortal cells are formed and the stagewise mutation-driven transformation of normal cells to cancer cells is completed. In addition, TRF2 also shares the characteristics of environmental tumor-promoting factors. It acts on multiple signal transduction pathway-related proteins associated with cell proliferation, and affects peripheral angiogenesis, inhibits the immune recognition and killing ability of the microenvironment, and maintains the stemness characteristics of tumor cells. TRF2 levels are abnormally elevated by a variety of tumor control proteins, which are more conducive to the protection of telomeres and the survival of tumor cells. In brief, the various regulatory mechanisms which tumor cells rely on to survive are organically integrated around TRF2, forming a regulatory network, which is conducive to the optimization of the survival direction of heterogeneous tumor cells, and promotes their survival and adaptability. In terms of clinical application, TRF2 is expected to become a new type of cancer prognostic marker and a new tumor treatment target. Inhibition of TRF2 overexpression could effectively cut off the core network regulating tumor cell survival, reduce drug resistance, or bypass the mutation under the pressure of tumor treatment selection, which may represent a promising therapeutic strategy for the complete eradication of tumors in the clinical setting. Based on recent research, the aim of the present review was to systematically elaborate on the basic structure and functional characteristics of TRF2 and its role in tumor formation, and to analyze the findings indicating that TRF2 deficiency or overexpression could cause severe damage to telomere function and telomere shortening, and induce DNA damage response and chromosomal instability.
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1. Introduction

It is well-known that cancer driver genes play an important role in the occurrence and development of tumors. Gene mutations continuously accumulate with aging or in tumor lesions. However, previous studies have found that there are also numerous somatic mutations in normal adult tissues, as well as specific ‘cancer-driven mutations’, for example, mutations in the cancer-driving gene Notch1 (1,2). Therefore, there is a view that the main risk factor for cancer development is not persistent carcinogenic mutations, but the exposure of the initial mutant cells to an environment that promotes tumor development (3,4). This is consistent with the decisive role of the tumor microenvironment in the process of tumor formation and development. Data analysis found that the telomeric repeat-binding factor (TRF)2 protein was dysfunctional, possessing the dual characteristics of promoting cell mutation and the formation of extracellular tumor-promoting environment factors, and plays a key role in the process of tumor formation (5-8).

Telomeres are a type of DNA-protein complex structure, which seal the ends of the chromosome arms of eukaryotic cells and maintain the stability of the genome. Telomeres are essential for the integrity of the chromosomes. In all mammals, telomeres are composed of a highly conserved hexamer (TTAGGG) tandem repeating DNA sequence and telomere binding protein, which is composed of the shelterin complex, accessory factors and telomerase (5,9,10). The shelterin complex can specifically bind to telomere DNA to cause the telomere end structure to form a telomere loop (T-loop), assisting the telomeres to form caps and protecting the ends of chromosomes from DNA double-strand break (DSB) recognition, thereby inhibiting DNA damage response (DDR), maintaining the integrity of telomere structure and function, and preserving chromosome stability (9). Human shelterin complexes consist of six core proteins: TRF1 and TRF2, TERF1-interacting nuclear factor 2 (TINF2), TRF2-interacting protein 1 (also known as repressor/activator protein 1; RAP1), protection of telomeres 1 (POT1) and POT1-binding protein 1 (also known as telomere-binding protein POT1-interacting protein 1; TPP1) and a tripeptide kinase (10). The shelterin proteins, TRF1 and TRF2, display specific affinity for the double-stranded (ds) DNA of the telomere, while the POT1-TPP1 shelterin subcomplex covers single-stranded telomere G-rich overhangs (11). Based on the currently available-related research, the present review aimed to systematically discuss the basic structure and functional characteristics of TRF2, and elucidate its role in tumor formation.

2. TRF2 structure and function maintenance

TRF2 is an important polypeptide in the telomere shelterin complex. The gene is located on chromosome 16q22.1, 30 kb in length and is widely expressed in numerous tissues in humans (National Centre Biotechnology Information via flatfile form; ftp://ftp.ncbi.nih.gov/genomes/). TRF2 includes 4 functional domains, which are described as follows: i) The N-terminal basic region [glycine and arginine-rich (GAR) or basic domain], which is rich in glycine and arginine residues, and is indispensable for the basic protective function of TRF2 (9). Knocking down expression of non-coding telomere repeats-containing RNA (TERRA) using short inhibiting RNA lead to telomere dysfunction, including increased metaphase chromosomal aberrations (an 1.4-fold increase in telomere repeat signal intensity, but no significant change in average telomere length) and telomere lesions associated with p53-binding protein 1 (53BP1) and phosphorylated histone H2AX (γH2AX) (9,12). The TRF2 basic domain can recruit and bind TERRA independently of the telomere sequence, and it can interact with subunits of the origin recognition complex 1 domain associated with the TRF2 basic domain to promote telomere heterochromatin formation and participate in the regulation of DDR (12). The complete basic domain, via non-specific electrostatic attraction, notably enhances the affinity of TRF2 to DNA and protects the telomere displacement loop (D-loop) from cleavage by endonucleases (13). ii) The dimerization domain, also known as the TRF homology (TRFH) domain, is involved in the formation of homodimers. Similar to TRF1, it has the same α helix structure, resembling a twisted horseshoe (5). The dimerization interface exhibits unique interactions, which can prevent heterodimerization (5). TRFH is a protein domain that can recognize specific peptides of the (Y/F)XL motif, and it is also a hub structure of multiple (Y/F)XL motif-containing protein signals, which mediate telomere length control and end protection. For example, the TRF2-TINF2 interaction regulates telomere formation and activation of telomerase (a specialized DNA polymerase which adds repeats of telomere DNA to the ends of chromosomes) (14,15). In addition, the TRF2-Apollo and TRF2-microcephalin interactions regulate DNA damage repair response (16,17) and play an important role in molecular dimerization, DNA binding and telomere positioning (18). In the TRF2 domain of TRF2, lysine and arginine residues are surrounded by 90 bp DNA. This structure is important in maintaining the topological structure of telomere DNA, inhibiting the activation of the ataxia telangiectasia-mutated (ATM) kinase checkpoint and preventing telomere non-homologous end joining (NHEJ) (19). iii) The orientation sequence (nuclear localization signal), or flexible hinge domain, contains TRF2 and other shelterin proteins, such as RAP1 and TINF2 interaction sites (11,20). iv) The C-terminal domain [Myb/homerodomain-like telobox DNA-binding domain (DBD)] is mainly responsible for the specific recognition and binding of the telobox DNA repeat sequence, TTAGGG (14,21). TRF2 DBD binds to telomere DNA and nuclear chromosome arrays, and rarely non-specifically binds to non-telomere DNA sequences (22). Telomeres functionally maintain the stability of chromosomes and the biological clock-like function of DNA replication, and their stability depends on 2 mechanisms. The first relies on telomerase or alternative lengthening of telomere (ALT) activity, which can compensate for replication erosion; the second relies on its own special chromatin organization, which protects the ends of chromosomes from abnormal signals and repair (9,11). Chromatin-mediated telomere protection involves several protein-regulated pathways, which directly or indirectly bind to telomere DNA, such as the shelterin complex (23,24). The TRF2 protein in the shelterin complex is important for maintaining the normal functional state of telomeres and is considered as a telomere protective protein. For example, TRF2 folds human telomeres into loops...
to prevent unnecessary DDR, blocks ATM signaling and NHEJ, and promotes telomere replication, serving as a key regulator of telomere integrity (9,24-26). The structure and main functions of TRF2 are shown in Fig. 1.

3. Functional characteristics of TRF2 and the maintenance of cell chromosome stability

TRF2 maintains and dynamically regulates the structure of eukaryotic telomere end loops. TRF2 protein mutation or abnormal expression (particularly domain mutation associated with loop assembly, including the basic and the RBM domains) leads to loss of the T-loop, loss of protection of the DNA end, DDR or end-to-end fusion, chromosomal instability (CIN), cell aging, apoptosis or malignant transformation. With respect to morphology, the linear chromosomes of eukaryotes end with nuclear protein complex telomeres. Mammalian telomere DNA is composed of several kbp 5’-TTAGGG-3’ repeats and the ends are terminated in a 50-400 nucleotide single-stranded overhang, with a G-rich sequence. The dsDNA of telomere repeats forms a T-loop and the 3' end of the single strand of the telomere is inserted into the dsDNA of the telomere (i.e., the 3’ overhang end is paired with the C-rich strand). The G-rich strand, in the double strand, is replaced to form a replacement loop, which is called D-loop. This telomere ring structure hides the ends of the chromosomes to protect the stability of telomere DNA from DDR or end-to-end fusion (27).

With respect to biological function, TRF2 mediates the formation of loop structures. The functional elimination of TRF2 in the cell will result in the loss of the loop structure (28). In the absence of other shelterin proteins, TRF2 can also form a T-loop alone (29), mediating telomere protection at different cell cycle stages (30). The mechanism of T-loop formation is associated with the ability of TRFH domains in TRF2 to bind DNA with low affinity and change the DNA topology (19). It has been found that the TRF2 dimer, via several lysine and arginine residues located around TRFH, encapsulates ~90 bp DNA and forms DNA topology. For TRF2 mutants with insufficient encapsulates (named Top-less), the topological structure of telomere DNA can be changed and the number of terminal T-loops can be reduced (19). This encapsulation also only occurs when the Myb/SANT domain of TRF2 and the dsDNA TTAGGG repeat sequence are bound to each other (19).

Figure 1. Schematic diagram of TRF2 structure and its main functions. TRF2 includes 4 functional domains: Basic, TRF homology, flexible hinge and DNA-binding domains. Different structural domains have functional characteristics of I, II, III and IV, respectively. The various structural domains of TRF2 act synergistically and play an important role in regulating the orderly assembly and untwisting of the T- and D-loops. The structural variation of TRF2 can cause large fragments of telomeres to be lost, resulting in severe telomere shortening. TRF2, telomeric repeat-binding factor 2; T-loop, telomere loop; D-loop, telomere displacement loop; C, C-terminal; N, N-terminal; TERRA, telomeric repeat-containing RNA; RAPI, repressor/activator protein 1; TINF2, TERF1-interacting nuclear factor 2.
The formation and/or maintenance of the T-loop does not require the N-terminal basic domain of TRF2, but this domain can bind to and cover the branched-DNA 3-way junction. The 3-way junction structure contains the poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP)1 activation site, and the activation of PARP1 can cause the harmful poly(ADP-ribosyl)ation (PARylation) of the telomere protein (31). The TRF2 protein basic domain, H31A and the TINF2 protein jointly inhibit PARP1 activity, and inhibit the migration of branched-DNA to form double Holliday junction (dHJ), stabilize the T-loop, prevent it from being cut and thereby prevent telomere shortening (31). Therefore, the basic domain of TRF2 is crucial for the stability of the T-loop.

In the cell cycle, the T-loop is a highly dynamic and regulated structure, and its assembly and disassembly are coordinated in the cell cycle. The T-loop requires twining and unfolding in an orderly and alternate manner, controlling the protection and replication functions of the DNA ends, and coordinating the structural contradiction between the two functions (32). A previous study found that there was a cyclin-dependent kinase (CDK)2 phosphorylation site at Ser365 of TRF2, which was shown to be phosphorylated in the G1, G2 and M phases, but not in the S phase (32). Due to the dephosphorylation of the phosphatase, PP6R3, which is enriched in the S phase, a narrow window is created at Ser365. The helicase regulator of telomere elongation helicase 1 (RTHEL1) can enter and untie the T-loop instantaneously, relieving the collision between the S-phase replication fork and the T-loop, and promote telomere DNA replication. At the Ser365 site of TRF2 in cell cycle phases, other than the S phase, RTHEL1 is repelled and released from telomerases, and TRF2 is again phosphorylated, restoring the T-loop structure. This not only prevents the disorderly unwrapping of the T-loop and inappropriate activation of ATM, but also counteracts the replication conflicts of the secondary DNA structure in the telomere and the genome. Therefore, the phosphorylation switch in TRF2 coordinates the assembly and disassembly of the T-loop during the cell cycle, protecting telomerases from replication stress and unplanned DDRs (32).

In-depth studies have found that the interaction site of RTHEL1 with TRF2 is mapped to an uncharacterized C4C4 metal-binding motif (33). The p.R1264H mutation in the C4C4 motif can disrupt the S-phase RTHEL1-TRF2 interaction and leads to a T-loop split, accompanied by rapid changes in telomere length, telomere loss and T-circle (TC) formation. The amino acid p.I124D mutation, in the TRFH dimerization domain of TRF2, can also specifically disrupt the binding to RTHEL1 and prevent its recruitment on telomerases, thereby phenotypically replicating the p.R1264H mutation in RTHEL1, leading to T-loop abnormal resection, uneven telomere length, telomere loss and TC formation (33). Therefore, TRF2 plays an important role in coordinating T-loop assembly and disassembly during the cell cycle.

In addition to the T-loop, the D-loop must also be disassembled immediately during DNA replication. An in vitro purified protein function test found that TRF2 exerted a notable inhibitory effect on single-stranded (ss) DNA strand invasion and D-loop formation mediated by Rad51 in the telomere template, which is not conducive to the formation of the D-loop structure; however, in the non-telomeric region of the genome or Myb domain mutants, it could promote single strand invasion and D-loop formation, and was conducive to homology recombination, which may be associated with the specific structure and function of the dsDNA-binding domain (Myb SANT) of TRF2 (34).

After the formation of the D-loop, TRF2 binds to DNA, the toughness of its basic domain decreases, and it becomes structural and rigid. It can also prevent telomere D-loop unwinding caused by a variety of DNA unwinding factors and ensure the stability of the T-loop (13). RAP1 is recruited to the telomere and binds to the RBM domain of TRF2 to form the RAP1-TRF2 complex, which can restore the toughness of the TRF2 basic domain, eliminate non-specific DNA and histone electrostatic affinity of the TRF2 N-terminal, inhibit its interaction with DNA and mediate chromatin loosening (13). At this time, despite the presence of TRF2, RAP1 can also promote D-loop unwinding with helicase, which is beneficial for telomere extension and chromosome end replication. It can be seen that the combination of RAP1 and TRF2 can inhibit the affinity of the TRF2 basic domain to telomere DNA and eliminate the D-loop structure (13). Based on the aforementioned mechanism, as the cell cycle changes, TRF2 dynamically adjusts the D-loop to alternately unwind and close the loop, which not only stabilizes telomerases, but also ensures the normal progression of the cell cycle.

In summary, the different modes of action and functional state of the telomere protein, with TRF2 as the core, determines the loading and unloading regulation of the T- and D-loops to maintain chromosome stability, and the cell cycle of normal cells.

TRF2 inhibits telomere DDR and abnormal repair
TRF2 regulation of DDR. Telomere deprotection occurs in aging or damaged cells, which coincides with the natural erosion of the chromosome ends. When the telomere reaches a very short length, DDR is no longer under control. The metabolic activity continues; however, the cell will still enter an irreversible stagnation state (35). The mechanism is associated with the decrease of TRF2 protein content and function. When the telomere is severely shortened, it will erode numerous TRF2-binding sites. Insufficient TRF2 recruitment will lead to the failure of telomere protection, loss of its inhibitory effect on ATM and ATM activation. Phosphorylated ATM can induce subsequent localization of DDR components. For example, γ-H2AX and 53BP1 are recruited to the ends of the chromosomes, leading to the formation of what is referred to as telomere dysfunction-induced foci (TIF), activating the DDR cascade and inducing telomere repair (36).

The inhibitory effect of TRF2 on ATM has been associated with the functions of its TRFH domain and hinge domain, in the following 2 forms: i) The TRFH domain of TRF2 promotes the formation of the T-loop structure, hiding the 3′ ssDNA at the end of the telomere, in the double-stranded structure, which does not produce an interaction between the MRN complex and the DNA end similar to the DSB sensor; thus, preventing MRN-dependent ATM activation (35-37). Since the maintenance of the T-loop structure is associated with the functional integrity of TRF2, TRF2 dysfunction can induce the loss of T-loop protection at the telomere ends and expose the DNA, which can trigger the initial steps in
the DDR pathway, trigger the ATM cascade and produce DDR (19). ii) The inhibitory DDR response (IDDR) domain of TRF2 regulates the activity of downstream proteins in the ATM cascade. At the γH2AX-labeled telomere, TRF2 relies on the deubiquitinating enzyme, BRCC3, and the ubiquitin ligase, UBR5, via the "IDDR motif" in its hinge domain to hinder the recruitment of E3 ubiquitin ligase, RNF168, and inhibit its activity (37). With respect to RNF168, the DNA damage signal cascade is interrupted to prevent the positioning of 53BP1, thereby preventing chromosome end-to-end fusion (37). The ability of TRF2 to prevent the activation of the DDR pathway can also be enhanced with other shelterin subunits. For example, TRF1 recruits TINF2, which is conducive to the effective combination of TRF2 and telomeres, and strengthens the telomere protection function of TRF2. TINF2, together with TRF1 and TRF2, improve the telomere positioning and function of TRF2 (38,39).

A previous study found that Top‑less telomere TIF cells maintained a certain NHEJ inhibitory ability, indicating that there was another mechanism protecting the ends of telomeres from NHEJ (19). If the expression of the TRF2‑interacting protein, RAP1, in the telomeres is eliminated at this time, the repressive ability of NHEJ will be reduced and end‑to‑end fusion will occur. It can be observed that, when topology‑mediated telomere protection is compromised, the presence of RAP1 can also serve as a backup mechanism for preventing NHEJ (19).

TRF2 has an inhibitory effect on the DDR cascade. It is well‑known that the DDR cascade, under the monitoring of cell cycle checkpoints, can block the cell cycle, facilitate the repair of damaged DNA and maintain chromosome stability (30). Cells that are difficult to repair due to severe damage are eliminated by initiating the apoptotic cascade, to avoid the proliferation of abnormal cells with unstable chromosomes due to telomere damage, which will cause tissue and organ dysfunction (30,31). TRF2 can prevent abnormal DNA enzymatic excision and maintain the integrity of telomeres and chromosomes (31). However, under certain conditions, TRF2 also exerts a significant inhibitory effect on DDR, that damages or severely shortens telomeres, allowing cells to evade cycle checkpoint monitoring and repair. Chromosomally unstable cells grow and proliferate under the promoting effect of cyclin. Due to CIN, the mutant cells in the genome cannot be cleared in a timely manner and mutations accumulate, increasing the risk for the occurrence of tumors and other genetic diseases (such as xeroderma pigmentosum syndrome) (32,33,35,40). The inhibitory effect of TRF2 on the DDR cascade following telomere injury is shown in Fig. 2.

Regulatory effect of TRF2 on the abnormal repair of telomere ends. When cell chromosomes are severely shortened, due to replicative wear and tear, or other pathological factors (such as, abnormal expression of TRF2, XPF enzyme digestion or telomere replication excision), the end loses the protection of the shelterin complex protein and is recognized by the cell as broken DNA (36,37). At this time, DDR is activated, which induces abnormal repair and the mutual combination of broken ends, forming multiple centromeric chromosomes, losing the ability of normal replication and division of cells, and finally being eliminated (9,29,33,35). This process may also be considered as the physiological effect of the self‑protecting mechanism of the body, by eliminating aging or mutated cells, to maintain the relative chromosome stability of living tissue and cells, and avoid the occurrence of tumors or other related diseases, such as Bloom's syndrome or Werner syndrome (41,42). Similar to the DSB repair mechanism, telomere ends are usually repaired in three ways: Canonical NHEJ (C‑NHEJ), alternative NHEJ (A‑NHEJ) and homologous recombination repair (HDR).

Among these, C‑NHEJ is the most common repair method. After TRF2expression is suppressed, the telomere ends lose their protective structure. Under the induction of DDR, the circular nucleoprotein Ku70/80 heterodimer is loaded to the telomere ends to prevent free DNA from being decomposed by nuclelease (43,44). After the protein, Ku recruits DNA‑dependent protein kinase catalytic subunits, it further recruits and phosphorylates several factors, such as DNA ligase (Lig) IV and XRCC4, and finally each protein contributes to the completion of telomere‑telomere fusion (30,43,44). It was previously demonstrated that the Ku70 N‑terminal Ku70α5 region residues contributed to the Ku‑Ku association between telomeres to form heterotetramers and prepared DNA end‑joining. TRF2 can also interact with Ku via the Ku70α5 domain (the functional area of TRF2 has not yet been determined), blocking Ku from connecting the 2 telomeres to directly inhibit NHEJ at the telomeres (45). Therefore, TRF2 is considered as the main inhibitor of telomere C‑NHEJ, which directly inhibits C‑NHEJ via the negative regulation of Ku (45). The inhibition of C‑NHEJ by TRF2 is shown in Fig. 3. Fig. 3A shows that the damaged shortened telomere ends bind to the Ku70/80 protein, and via the mutual affinity of the Ku70 α5 domains, a Ku70/80 heterotetramer is formed, leading to chromosome end‑to‑end fusion. Fig. 3B shows that TRF2 directly binds to the α5 domain of Ku70 to prevent the formation of Ku70/80 heterotetramers and inhibit the recruitment to the telomere ends of DNA‑PKcα, Lig4, XRCC4 and XLF making the ends unable to be effectively connected.

The normal telomere T‑loop structure, mediated by TRF2, can also limit the loading of the Ku70/80 heterodimer at the telomere ends; therefore, the presence of TRF2 at the telomeres may be beneficial for eliminating C‑NHEJ. As the telomere C‑NHEJ fusion requires ATM kinase activation (24), the key component of the cascade is 53BP1. It was previously found that, in the absence of 53BP1, even without TRF2, telomere C‑NHEJ is very rare (46). On the one hand, the accumulation of 53BP1 at the telomeres, by recruiting a series of 53BP1‑dependent DDR factors, including RIF1 and related shelterin complexes, reduces the end DNA strand excision effect of multiple nucleases, and inhibits other methods of telomere end joining, such as DNA homologous recombination repair (47). On the other hand, 53BP1 can promote the dynamic movement of DNA damage sites in the nucleus. Unprotected telomeres and other sites of DNA damage become more mobile and move in a larger area of the nucleus. 53BP1‑mediated mobility increases the chance of telomeres approaching another telomere, thereby facilitating telomere fusion (47). Furthermore, the presence of 53BP1 can also cause the aggregation of unprotected telomeres, which may also promote telomere fusion (48). As aforementioned, the regulation of 53BP1 by the IDDR domain of the TRF2 hinge region can effectively inhibit C‑NHEJ‑mediated terminal fusion; therefore, inhibition of C‑NHEJ by TRF2 is more conducive.
to aging or abnormal cells bypassing the checkpoint block, promoting cell cycle progression and causing pathological mitosis, adversely affecting chromosome stability (49).

When cell telomeres lack Ku or Lig4 nucleoproteins, another alternative DSB repair mechanism is often used, referred to as A-NHEJ (50,51). At the end of the telomere, PARP1 binds to the 5' ds-ss transition site and is activated, thereby initiating A-NHEJ. The PARsylation of PARP1 to nearby proteins (including histones) creates a binding platform for DNA Lig3 and DNA polymerase. If the ends of 2 different DNAs have 3' overhangs and exhibit slight homology, DNA polymerase-mediated filling and Lig3 (or replication ligase Lig1) end ligation will result in A-NHEJ. The homology required for A-NHEJ is minimal (≥1 base pairs) (50,51). In the case of 2 dysfunctional telomeres, the homology between the 3' overhang ends (2 bp per telomere repeat) is sufficient for A-NHEJ. Ku70/80 in C-NHEJ usually inhibits the A-NsHEJ pathway by competing with PARP1 at the end (50,51). When shelterin is completely removed from the telomeres of cells lacking Ku70/80, almost all telomeres are fused by A-NHEJ (50).

Another study found that, as TRF2 is an independent factor in the formation of the T-loop, it may hide the telomere ends in the T-loop to inhibit PARP1 activation, and A-NHEJ does not occur. However, knocking down the expression level of TRF2 with short inhibiting or shRNA from Ku70/80-deficient cells will only result in a mild telomere fusion phenotype (51). Mouse embryonic fibroblasts lacking TPP1 or POT1a/b can also exhibit low levels of A-NHEJ fusion (52), and TINF2 can also limit the accumulation of PARP1 in telomeres and inhibit the initiation of A-NHEJ (31), indicating that other shelterin components can synergistically enhance the inhibition of A-NHEJ. Therefore, mechanistically, T-loop formation and PARP1 blocking may be the 2 main mechanisms in which telomeres avoid this pathway. In addition, it was found that the Werner syndrome (WRN) protein exerts a regulatory effect on the induction of C-NHEJ and A-NHEJ. WRN promotes C-NHEJ via its helicase and nuclease functions, and prevents 5' end excision in a non-catalytic manner by inhibiting MRE11 and CTIP, thereby inhibiting A-NHEJ (53).

The physiological significance of cell replicative senescence is the inhibition of tumor formation. In critical short telomeres lacking telomerase, the HDR between sister chromatids can partially compensate for the loss of telomere repeats when telomerase is missing, thereby promoting early onset of aging (54), which can also be considered as the 3rd method of telomere terminal repair. In human cells, telomere HDR activation is usually inhibited by Ku70/80, but in the absence of some subunits of shelterin, such as POT1, very low levels of telomere sister chromatid exchange can be detected even in the presence of Ku70/80 (55). The key to HDR activation is the activation of PARP1, and a variety of mechanisms that inhibit PARP1 activity can prevent HDR. Rai et al (56) found that the shelterin substructure, RAP1, and the basic domain of TRF2 can act together to inhibit the localization of PARP1 to telomeres, thereby inhibiting the telomere recruitment of the HJ dissociation enzyme, SLX4, and ultimately inhibit HDR. In the absence of the basic domain of TRF2 and the RAP1 protein, PARP1, SLX4, and other proteins
involved in HDR (for example, Mus81 and Sgs1), promote the rapid resection of T-loop structure telomeres, telomere loss, and the formation of chromosome ends without telomeres, eventually leading to an extensive telomere-free chromosome fusion in virus specific T cells (56). With respect to the related mechanism, as the local loss of TRF2 activates PARP1, the PARsylation of PARP1 can lead to the recruitment of the SLX4-SLX1-Mus81 HJ dissociation enzymes (57). These HJ dissociation enzymes may cleave the T-loop 3-way connection, but will not cause loss of telomeres or untie the T-loop, which promotes HDR. However, in the absence of the TRF2 basic domain, the 3-way connection can freely branch and migrate, thereby potentially forming a dHJ (31). Treatment of dHJ by HJ dissociation enzymes may result in the excision of telomere DNA in the loop. The TRF2 basic domain may bind to the 3- or 4-way connection of DNA. This binding is not related to the DNA sequence and has similar affinity for these 2 structures (dissociation constant, ~200 nM) (31). TRF2 binds to the 3-way connection at the base of the telomere T-loop, which can mask the PARP1 activation site at the 5’ concave end, inhibits the recruitment of SLX4 and other endonucleases (Mus81), and also stabilizes the 3-way connection, inhibits branch migration, avoids the formation of dHJ, and ultimately prevents T-loop cleavage (31). However, investigation of this mechanism did not reveal that RAP1 has a synergistic effect with TRF2 in inhibiting PARP1 or T-loop cleavage (54), which is inconsistent with the aforementioned results, and further research is required (31).

In summary, following telomere damage and shortening, the DDR cascade is activated, and the 3 end-repair methods, C-NHEJ, A-NHEJ and HDR may be triggered, according to the characteristics of the internal environment of the cell nucleus. This abnormal repair leads to telomere dysfunction and CIN. Surviving cells change with the cell cycle, and abnormal replication and mitosis will produce a variety of random DNA recombination mutations.

Regulation of telomere length by TRF2. Telomere length is associated with chromosome stability. Telomeres are severely shortened and lose the protective effect of shelterin substructures, which can cause CIN, and abnormal expression of the telomere protein can also cause changes in telomere length, which also affects chromosome stability (58). TRF2 is a key player in telomere protection and telomere length maintenance. It can prevent loss of T-loops and is also a negative regulator of telomere length. For example, the overexpression of TRF2 leads to shortening of telomeres in normal primary fibroblasts and telomerase-positive lung, liver and gastric cancer cells (59-61). Previous studies have found that the mechanism of TRF2 and cell telomere length regulation is associated with the following aspects. i) On the one hand, as aforementioned, the interaction of the N-terminal basic domain of TRF2 with the dissociation enzyme-related protein, SLX4, and different types of endonucleases involved in dissociation activities, such as GEN1 and MUS81, prevent the cutting of telomeres (62). Furthermore, various types of dissociation...
enzyme, such as SLX4 and GEN1 activities are checked via this domain to promote the precise repair of stalled forks, which occur during telomere replication (62). On the other hand, the TRF2 homodimerization domain can recruit SLX4, which is also a structure-specific DNA repair nuclease scaffold, and can recruit the Holliday ligation enzyme, SLX1 and other nucleases (GEN1 and MUS81) to the telomeres to prevent telomere damage, lengthening and fragility, and plays multiple roles in regulating telomere homeostasis (63). ii) TRF2 promotes telomere shortening via the DNA repair endonuclease XPF-excision repair cross complementation group 1 (ERCC1) enzyme complex. XPF-ERCC1 serves as a structure-specific endonuclease, involved in nucleotide excision repair, cross-linking repair and DNA recombination (61,64,65). In the absence of the XPF-ERCC1 nuclease, K5-TRF2 mice, which overexpress TRF2, did not exhibit significant telomere shortening, suggesting that the TRF2-bound XPF enzyme rapidly degrades telomeres in the presence of increased TRF2 expression (61). Therefore, telomere shortening promoted by TRF2 requires the function of XPF-ERCC1. An in-depth study has demonstrated that the nuclease activity of XPF-ERCC1 was essential for nucleotide excision repair, but the XPF-ERCC1 mutant protein, without nuclease activity, could also induce TRF2-mediated telomere shortening, revealing that the role of XPF-ERCC1 was unrelated to its nuclease function, and this phenomenon was conserved between mice and humans; therefore, the specific mechanism of action requires further investigation (64). XPF-ERCC1 can also negatively regulate the binding of TRF2 and telomere DNA. Introducing wild-type XPF into XPF-deficient cells (human Phoenix and GM08437B cells) was shown to reduce the association between TRF2 and telomere DNA by >40%. If the function of its nuclease domain is still lost, the aforementioned effect can be eliminated. These findings indicated that XPF-ERCC1 controls TRF2 and telomere length maintenance via 2 unique mechanisms (65). iii) The TRF2-mediated growth factor heregulin (HRG) is a negative regulator of telomere length. Co-immunoprecipitation and imaging experiments demonstrated that HRGβ2 could be partially localized at the end of chromosomes, bind to and interact with RAPI/TRF2 (66,67). Overexpression of HRGβ2 promoted notable upregulation of TRF2 protein expression, while knockout of the protein markedly reduced the protein expression level of TRF2 (66,67). In non-invasive, HRG-negative MCF-7 breast cancer cells, engineered overexpression of the HRGβ2 subtype resulted in notable shortening of the telomeres; in highly invasive, HRG-overexpressing MDA-MB-231 and Hs578T cells, antisense-mediated HRGβ2 inhibition could increase telomere length (66,67). Therefore, the telomere length regulation function of HRGβ2 may be associated with its regulation of TRF2 (66,67). iv) TRF2 can regulate the expression of human telomerase reverse transcriptase (hTERT), a telomerase rate-limiting catalytic protein component. Telomerase is an important factor in the regulation of telomere length, but it is mostly in a state of expression inhibition in somatic cells (68-70). A previous study found that subtelomere genes were regulated by the telomere length-dependent loop (telomere position effect over long distances; TPE-OLD), which silences subtelomere genes by affecting telomere position (68). The TERT gene is a mega-base in length, from the end of the human 5p chromosome. Cells with long telomeres at the end of the 5p chromosome form a telomere chromatin loop in the hTERT site domain, which inhibits hTERT expression (68). It was found that TRF2 knockdown notably reduced the interaction between telomere and the hTERT locus, suggesting that TRF2 may be involved in the T-loop interaction on the hTERT locus (68). With respect to the mechanism, with cell aging or telomere shortening and decreased TRF2 level, the chromatin ring and hTERT locus could become separated, and notable epigenetic changes, such as DNA methylation and histone modification, may occur in the hTERT promoter region, which may activate hTERT transcription and enhance telomerase activity (68). A previous study further demonstrated that there were frequent interstitial telomeric DNA sequences (ITS) downstream of the TERT site in higher primates (69). Through the interaction with interstitial TTAGGG repeats, TRF2 may be responsible for the interaction between telomere and hTERT ITS in cells with long telomeres, and act on the hTERT promoter to inhibit its expression. When TRF2 protein expression level decreases, the interaction between T-loop and ITS is weakened, which may relieve the inhibition of hTERT, induce the expression of hTERT and affect the telomerase activity assembly (69).

**TRF2 extratelomeric epigenetic regulation function.** TRF2 can bind to a specific sequence of dsDNA via its C-terminal Myb domain. In addition, there is another broader non-ds binding method. Studies have found that, in the human genome, TRF2 has thousands of binding sites outside the telomeres and is associated with the whole genome (70,71). This association enables TRF2 to participate in extratelomeric DNA repair and gene transcription regulation (71-73). The repetitive guanine-rich sequence can form a 4-stranded DNA tetramer structure in solution (74-77) and TRF2 is one of the few proteins with specific high affinity for this structure (78,79). This affinity requires the N-terminal basic domain of TRF2 and the C-terminal DNA-binding domain to collaborate, and its affinity is stronger than the specific sequence of dsDNA (73). Therefore, a large part of non-telomere TRF2-binding sites are composed of non-ds G-quadruplexes (G4s). The G4 motif is a DNA secondary structure. The core structure of the G4 motif comprises stacked planar tetrads of guanine residues stabilized by Hoogsteen base pairing (74). It is considered to be a global gene regulatory motif and this function requires interaction with a transcriptional regulatory protein specifically associated with G4s. G4s are abundant in gene promoters and are evolutionarily conserved in a variety of animals and microorganisms, such as human, chimpanzee, mouse and rat, and several kinds of bacteria (Escherichia coli and Saccharomyces cerevisiae) (74,75,80). There are extensive TRF2-G4 associations in the entire genome and they regulate gene expression in a G4s motif-dependent manner (70). The interaction between non-telomere TRF2 and promoter G4s induces gene regulation via epigenetic modification, leading to the activation or silencing of histone marker genes. It has been demonstrated that, following binding of TRF2, histones are modified, resulting in transcriptional inhibition of 8 out of 9 genes, changing the expression and epigenetic status of the target promoter (70).

p21 (also known as CDKN1A), a CDK inhibitor, is negatively regulated by TRF2 in a G4-dependent manner, i.e., loss of
TRF2 can enhance the activation of p21 (72). This is achieved by TRF2 by recruiting the REST-co-REST-LSD1 inhibitory complex. The activation of p21 leads to cell cycle arrest and apoptosis/senescence, which is the key mechanism underlying the action of DNA damage-inducing anticancer drugs. In cancer cells (HT1080 fibrosarcoma and MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cell lines) with relatively higher TRF2 protein expression levels, p21 activation was found to be notably reduced following drug treatment, suggesting that it is associated with drug resistance (72).

The G4 structure, -600 bp upstream of the hTERT promoter, determines the occupancy rate of TRF2 in this promoter. Following TRF2 knockdown, the TRF2 occupancy rate of G4s in the promoter decreases, which can induce the transcriptional activation of hTERT (81). This is due to the polycomb inhibitor polycomb repressive complex 2 (PRC2) in the hTERT promoter being dependent on TRF2 recruitment. PRC2-induced H3K27 inhibits histone-tagged trimethylation, leading to the inhibition of hTERT. The G to A mutation in the cancer-specific and highly recurrent hTERT promoter disrupts the TRF2-G4 interaction. This mutation has been associated with several types of cancer, including glioblastoma, melanoma, hepatocellular carcinoma and urothelial carcinoma (81-85). It destroys the G4 structure in their respective promoters, such as TERT, which leads to the decrease in the stability of the genome and induces a variety of mutations, such as polyploid or aneuploid formation. CIN is one of the hallmarks of cancer and is attributed to errors in chromosome separation during mitosis (92,93). CIN plays an important role in cancer by accelerating the accumulation of genetic changes responsible for the transformation of cancer cells and is the main driving factor behind tumor development (92-94). CIN may occur through a variety of mechanisms, including cell cycle regulation, DDR, DNA replication, chromosome segregation and telomere dysfunction.

4. Association of TRF2 dysfunction with CIN and tumor formation

CIN refers to the increase in the frequency of chromosome gain or loss in the process of cancer cell division compared with that in normal cells, which leads to the decrease in the stability of the genome and induces a variety of mutations, such as polyploid or aneuploid formation. CIN is one of the hallmarks of cancer and is attributed to errors in chromosome separation during mitosis (92,93). CIN plays an important role in cancer by accelerating the accumulation of genetic changes responsible for the transformation of cancer cells and is the main driving factor behind tumor development (92-94). CIN may occur through a variety of mechanisms, including cell cycle regulation, DDR, DNA replication, chromosome segregation and telomere dysfunction.

Telomeres form protective caps at the ends of linear chromosomes to prevent nuclear degradation, end-to-end fusion, irregular recombination and CIN, and protect them from loss of genetic information and inappropriate handling when DNA is damaged. Telomeres are crucial for preserving the integrity and stability of chromosomes (49,95). It is hypothesized that tumor formation is suppressed by 2 replicative senescence and crisis (96). Under normal genetic and epigenetic background conditions, telomeres shorten with each cell division (physiological telomere wear), and finally stop cell proliferation by activating DDR, which regulates the life span of somatic cells and limits their renewal ability (96). If cells lose the checkpoint function, the ability to protect the ends of linear chromosomes from end-to-end fusion is eventually lost. A previous study revealed that the state of the telomere complex and the length of the telomere sequence was associated with chromosome stability (97). Specifically, telomere complex protein damage or abrasion telomere shortening activated THE ATM and ATR kinases via unprotected chromosomal ends, induced the DDR cascade, and caused cell cycle arrest. During this period, cells try to repair the damage. If the DNA damage cannot be repaired, the senescence response is triggered, which exerts an antitumor effect (98,99); on the other
hand, the loss of telomere protection and cycle checkpoint blockade may lead to a telomere crisis, which is characterized by further shortening of dysfunctional telomeres and extensive chromosomal fusion. Cells in this critical state will produce extensive apoptosis (100). End-to-end fusion of chromosomes during the telomere crisis can cause spontaneous mitotic arrest. During the period of spontaneous mitotic arrest during the telomere crisis, telomere deprotection is a potential molecular signal leading to cell death. The destruction of TRF2 leads to rapid mitotic cycle stagnation or cycle extension, which is an important signal leading to cell death (101). Since most cell deaths before the crisis are associated with mitosis arrest, it is hypothesized that prolonging mitosis is the main mechanism that restricts senescence and prolongs cell lifespan (101). Telomere crisis represents a highly unstable state of the genome and entering the break-fusion-bridge (BFB) cycle via end-to-end chromosome fusion is a classic mechanism underlying telomere-driven genome instability. The dysfunctional telomeres fuse with the ends of other chromosomes to produce dicentric chromosomes to initiate the anaphase bridge-dependent cycle (6,102,103). Anaphase chromatid bridges may or may not be broken, leading to numerous structural rearrangements, segment duplication or abnormal separation of polyplid chromosomes (103). Chromosomal rearrangements commonly associated with telomere dysfunction include irreversible translocation, region amplification and segment deletion, and non-segregating events that lead to aneuploidy. These dicentric chromatids formed by the replication and fusion of sister chromatids will participate in the fusion bridge breaking cycle until new telomeres are added to the free ends, leaving multiple segments of DNA amplification and deletion accumulation (103). Segment duplication may lead to increased expression of genes located in the amplified region, which is one of the mechanisms underlying oncogene activation. This can also confer resistance to chemotherapeutic drugs by increasing the number of copies of genes encoding drug targets (103). In brief, these rearrangements can produce chimeric genes or oncogene disorders, as well as changes in gene dosage required to induce tumorigenesis. In the absence of functional tumor suppressor genes, they can promote cancer occurrence (104-106). Therefore, CIN promotes the malignant transformation of precancerous cells at the genetic level. With the delayed activation of telomerase or alternative elongation mechanisms, precancerous cells can achieve unlimited replication capacity and immortality and, ultimately, complete cancerous transformation (Fig. 4).

TRF2 plays a crucial role in regulating molecular events, which maintain telomere integrity (107). The abnormally high or low expression level of TRF2 may lead to CIN and induce fatal tumor changes. In some types of cancer (such as breast cancer, stomach cancer and hematological malignancies), TRF2 expression is reduced (108-110). With respect to the underlying mechanism, TRF2 knockdown could destroy the T-loop structure and deprive telomere ends of protection. SLX4, GEN1 and MUS81 lack TRF2 regulation, which can cause telomere shortening (62); in addition, it has been demonstrated that the amino-terminal basic domain of TRF2 binds to the histone H2A isoype protein, H2ac, and recruits H2ac to the telomeres to participate in the regulation of telomere structure and/or function (111). The loss of H2ac may lead to the accumulation of telomere-related ATM-dependent DNA damage factors in H2ac-deficient cells, DDR, rapid loss of telomere repeats and G-rich strand loss (111). Telomere loss or damage can induce dysfunction, trigger DNA damage signal transduction, block cell cycle progression and induce cell aging and apoptosis. Some mutant cells can bypass cycle arrest, enter telomere crisis, accelerate improper repair, produce chromosome end-to-end fusion, initiate the BFB cycle, cause genomic instability and promote tumorigenesis (101). It was found that TRF2 knockdown could induce the expansion and metastasis of cancer stem cells (CSCs), while reducing the number of CD34+ stem cells (112,113). This reveals that the change in telomere length regulation mediated by TRF2 deficiency has a profound impact on stem cells (40,114). This effect involves epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT) caused by the expansion of CSCs (115), as well as the overexpression of telomerase and the ALT mechanism, which promotes the formation of metastatic tumors (116,117). The genetic and biological characteristics of these TRF2 deletion-mediated metastatic CSCs require further research for characterization.

The overexpression of TRF2 may also play an important role in the fate of cancer cells. Notable increases in TRF2 protein expression levels have been reported in a group of human cancers (such as epithelial carcinogenesis, gastric cancer and liver cancer) (118-120). The overexpression of TRF2 could accelerate the telomere erosion rate of human primary cells (UMUC3 bladder cancer cells) in the absence of telomerase, and even trigger DDR (121,122). A previous study (123) found that most chromosomal fusions induced by TRF2 overexpression were accompanied by extensive deletions involving subtelomere regions of chromosomes, causing sustained DNA replication stagnation, and the chromosomal ends were severely shortened randomly, whereas the fusion of these chromosomes usually contained microhomologous regions of 1 to 6 nucleotides. The mechanism may be as follows: TRF2 overexpression leads to the formation of excessive compact DNA-protein complexes and the binding of the TRF1 protein, which promotes telomere replication, to telomeres decreases, thereby hindering the development of telomere replication forks, leading to telomere DNA replication stagnation. The resulting decomposition of telomeric ultrafine anaphase bridges results in the random loss of large fragments of telomere sequences and subtelomere regions. Then, via the selective A-NHEJ repair process, the broken chromosomes are fused, which eventually leads to CIN (123,124). In addition, as aforementioned, the telomere shortening promoted by TRF2 also requires XPF-ERCC1 cooperation, which is unrelated to its endonuclease function, and the mechanism underlying its association with TRF2 overexpression leading to replication fork arrest requires further in-depth investigation. Another study demonstrated that TRF2 was overexpressed in colorectal cancer tissues and in the SW480 cell line, and the mechanism was associated with the transcription factor, Sp1, which is involved in the upregulation of TRF2 expression (125). It has also been demonstrated that the promoter of TRF2 is rich in GC sequences (70-75%), and there was no notable TATA box. Sp1 is a multi-gene family member with sequence-specific DNA binding to the C-terminal zinc finger motif (126-128). It activates transcription by binding to the GC/GT box and plays a key role in the regulation of gene expression in mammals lacking the TATA box (126,127). Sp1 regulates cancer-related targets, including...
PDEGF, p21, VEGF, TGFβ, cyclin D1, E2F1, c-Fos, TGF-α and osteopontin, which are key transcription factors caused by cancer (128-130). Therefore, it was hypothesized that, in certain types of tumor cells (such as human colon adenocarcinoma WiDr and Caco-2 cell lines), Sp1 may also upregulate the expression of TRF2 and induce tumor development (129,130). In addition to Sp1, β-catenin has also been shown to activate TRF2 transcription. The overexpression of the transcription factor complex β-catenin/T-cell factor (TCF)1E leads to an increase in TRF2 protein expression level and its binding to telomeres to confer telomere protection (131). By evaluating the number of TIF, i.e., the number of telomere foci co-localized with 53BP1 immune signal, it was confirmed that changes in β-catenin expression affected telomere protection (131). In addition, it was recently demonstrated that the expression level of TRF2 and TRF1 could also be regulated by the chromatin remodeling complex, BRM-SWi/tch/sucrose non-fermentable (SWI/SNF) (132). BRM could be recruited to the promoters of TRF2 and TRF1, which provides a basis for effective transcription, and also raises the possibility that several other identified or unknown factors may collaborate with BRM-SWi/tch/SNF to regulate the transcription of TRF2 and TRF1, whereas its deletion reduces the mRNA and protein expression levels of TRF2 and TRF1 (132). In addition, the TERF2 gene has a typical CpG island around its transcription start site. The hypomethylation of the TRF2 gene promoter and the CpG island of exon 1 is one of the important mechanisms underlying TRF2 gene upregulation, and hypomethylation may be involved in the upregulation of TRF2 in gastric cancer (133). The microRNAs (miR) miR-23a and miR-155 inhibited the translation of TRF2 and TRF1 by targeting the 3 untranslated regions of their transcripts (134,135).

A schematic diagram of the factors involved in the TRF2 tumor formation-promoting effect is shown in Fig. 5.

5. TRF2 and tumor angiogenesis

The role of TRF2 in cancer depends not only on its protective effect on telomeres, but also on its ability to regulate gene
expression. Angiogenesis is important for the progression of malignant tumors. A previous study found that TRF2 and Wilms' tumor suppressor 1 (WT1) were highly expressed in the blood vessels of tumors in patients with prostate, pancreas, breast, lung and kidney cancer, and TRF2 co-localized with WT1 in vascular endothelial cells (7); however, it was not expressed in the blood vessels of healthy adjacent tissues, further proving that TRF2 was the transcription target of WT1. WT1 binds to and activates the TRF2 promoter in human endothelial cells to promote TRF2 expression. TRF2 can stimulate the migration, proliferation and formation of tube-like structures by endothelial cells, thereby increasing tumor angiogenesis (7). Knockdown of TRF2 could suppress the angiogenic properties of endothelial cells. The specific mechanism could be that TRF2 binds to and transactivates the gene promoter of platelet-derived growth factor receptor β (PDGFRβ) in tumor endothelial cells, which promotes PDGFRβ expression on the tumor endothelial cell membrane, thereby enhancing the formation of the tumor vascular network and promoting tumor growth (7). This effect was independent of the telomere-protective effect of TRF2 (7). Another study found that TRF2 could notably affect the expression level of VEGF-A in the 'secretory body' of cancer cells (136). There was a positive correlation between high levels of TRF2 and VEGF-A, which promoted endothelial cell differentiation and angiogenesis, and it may be used as a new type of tumor prognostic marker to identify high-risk colorectal cancer subgroups (136). The underlying mechanism could be due to the combination of TRF2 and distal regulatory elements, which can promote the expression level of sulfatase 2 (SULF2), an endoglucosamine-6-sulfatase, and is a heparan sulfate proteoglycan (HSPG) catabolic enzyme. By inducing the post-synthesis modification of HSPGs, TRF2 weakens HSPGs ability to bind and isolate signaling molecules containing heparin-binding domains, including VEGF-A, thereby inhibiting the binding of VEGF-A to the plasma membrane, which can affect tumor vascularization and, consequently, tumor growth and metastasis (137). Clinically, TRF2/SULF2 expression level may be a biomarker of poor prognosis for patients with colorectal cancer (CRC) (137). Therefore, compared with the classic antitumor neovascularization therapy, using TRF2 as a therapeutic target may represent a major development in CRC therapy.
6. TRF2 and tumor signal transduction pathways

TRF2 expression is regulated by the Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway. As aforementioned, the Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway activates the expression of TRF2 and maintains the level of TRF2 that is essential for telomere protection in human cancer cells, normal cells and mouse intestinal tissues (131). The canonical Wnt signaling pathway activates and stabilizes β-catenin, translocates to the nucleus, then combines with members of the TCF-lymphoid enhancer factor (LEF) transcription factor family to activate gene transcription (131). Analysis of the human TERF2 gene using the Ensembl genome database (http://grch37.ensembl.org/index.html) revealed a potential regulatory region (termed Reg) downstream of the transcription start site (131). The TERF2-Reg sequence contains 6 putative TCF-LEF transcription factor-binding sites. The overexpression of β-catenin alone, or the co-overexpression of β-catenin and TCF-LEF induced specific activation of the natural Reg region (131,138). The expression level of β-catenin also determines the expression level of the RAP1 gene encoding the TRF2-interacting protein. These results indicated that β-catenin regulated telomere protection by regulating the level of TRF2. Using gene knockout mice, reducing the expression of the β-catenin gene, Ctnnb1, could trigger an increase in telomere TIF, which was accompanied by a decrease in cell viability and an increase in the percentage of cells expressing β-galactosidase (a marker of cellular senescence) (131). Typically, in normal and cancer cells, either in mice or humans, the activation of Wnt/β-catenin signaling leads to an increase in TRF2 expression levels and enhanced telomere protection (131,138), which is also one of the important mechanisms of abnormal proliferation of tumor cells.

TRF2 stability in cells is regulated by the RAS/RAF/MEK/ERK signaling pathway. In addition to playing a key role in cancer cells, the RAS/RAF/MEK/ERK signaling pathway also represents one of the most important pathways required for growth control, normal development, differentiation and environmental response in the majority of tissues. Throughout the cell cycle, subtle regulation of ERK1/2 activity is important for G1/S and G2/M transition (139,140). ERK1/2 activity decreases in the S phase compared with that in the G1 and M phases; however, a basic ERK1/2 activity continues throughout the cell cycle (141). TRF2 expression levels and telomere protection are regulated by MAP kinase signaling. Compared with that in the precursor cell line, the expression level of the TRF2 protein is increased in immortalized cells (142). In a variety of human cancers (such as epithelial carcinogenesis, gastric cancer and liver cancer), the expression of TRF2 at the RNA and protein level increases (8,121), and its internal mechanism may be associated with the regulation of TRF2 amino acid residue phosphorylation. As the serine 323 (Ser323) residue of TRF2 is embedded in the MAPK consensus PXSP phosphorylation motif, ERK1/2 and TRF2 interact in different types of cells (including normal as well as cancer cells) (143,144). TRF2 Ser323 can be phosphorylated by ERK1/2, which increases the half-life and stability of TRF2, participates in telomere protection, and promotes tumor growth by maintaining TRF2 levels (143,144). The mutant non-phosphorylated form of TRF2 is unstable and alters telomere termination in a dominant negative manner, triggering telomere uncovering, growth arrest and tumor reversion. Therefore, the constitutive activation of MAPK signal transduction in cancer leads to an increase in TRF2 levels and telomere protection (143,144). These results suggested that telomere stability is dependent on TRF2 phosphorylation, which is regulated by the Ras/Raf/MEK/ERK axis (144).

TRF2 is affected by IkB kinase (IKK) regulation in the signaling pathway. IKKα is known to be a key regulator of tumorigenesis by affecting the NF-kB signaling pathway (145). The activation of IKK-β has been associated with cancer pathogenesis and inflammatory diseases (146). Research on liver CSC revealed that, when the inflammation-related genes, IKKa and IKKβ, were both overexpressed, the interaction between the telomere DNA probe and TRF2 notably increased (146,147). In addition, the loading of POT1, Exol and SNM1b on the telomere DNA also increased, and the telomere length notably increased. On the contrary, when a single IKKγ was overexpressed, the interaction between the telomere DNA probe and TRF2 was markedly reduced, the loading of POT1, Exol and SNM1b on the telomere DNA was also reduced, and the telomere length was notably reduced (146,147). Mechanistically, IKKα, IKKβ and IKKγ depended on HP1 to change the methylation of histone H3 on lysine 27 (H3K27me3). IKKa and IKKβ enhanced, whereas IKKγ inhibited, the competition among HP1α, HP1β and HP1γ. Therefore, IKKα and IKKβ inhibit, whereas IKKγ enhances, the activities of H3K27 methyltransferases, SUZ12 and EZH2, regulating the methylation of H3K27 in the promoter region of HOTAIR. H3K27me3 negatively regulated the expression level of HOTAIR. HOTAIR exerted carcinogenic effects of IKKa, IKKβ and IKKγ in liver CSCs, and promoted the increase in TRF2 and the accumulation of telomere length (146,147). Collectively, these findings indicated that IKKa and IKKβ increased, whereas IKKγ decreased, telomere length and the expression levels of TRF2 and other related protective proteins [POT1, phosphorylated (p)POT1, Exo1, pExo1, SNM1B, pSNM1B/CST-AAF] in liver CSCs, which jointly regulated the proliferation ability of CSCs (147).

In summary, TRF2 exhibits a variety of network connections with tumor regulatory signaling pathways, allowing tumor cells to achieve the networked regulation of multiple signaling pathways of TRF2 protein. Telomere function status, gene expression and functional proteins in the cytoplasm coordinate to promote rapid tumor cell proliferation, invasion and migration, immune evasion and drug resistance.

7. TRF2 and CSCs

CSCs are important for the continuous growth, recurrence and metastasis of malignant tumors. Telomere protection protein is an important maintenance component of the biological characteristics of CSCs and the role of TRF2 is particularly important. Short telomeres lacking TRF2 protection can hinder the proliferation of stem cells, affect their tissue regeneration potential and trigger the development of age-related diseases (105). The existence of CSCs mediated by TRF2 has been associated with the drug resistance, invasion and metastasis of cancer syndrome treatment (105).
Tumor radiotherapy can cause the formation of DSB in irradiated cells and trigger DDR. When DSB cannot be repaired effectively, the cells clear themselves through programmed apoptosis (148). CSCs usually exhibit high TRF2 expression level, which maintains the globular phenotype of tumor stem cells cloned in vitro (149,150). It was previously demonstrated that, after radiation treatment, the expression level of TRF2 and RAP1 in the stem cell cloning sphere increased, and the interaction between the 2 could also regulate the activity of hTERT, which was essential for the maintenance of telomeres (151). Enhanced expression of TRF2, RAP1 and hTERT in stem cell clone spheres could improve telomere DNA repair and protection, and counteract genomic instability caused by telomere shortening or DSB, thereby effectively resisting cell death induced by DNA damage caused by radiotherapy, chemotherapy and other factors (such as aging), maintain the survival of tumor cells, and produce tolerance to treatment (152).

A feature of the ALT mechanism in tumor cells is the assembly of ALT-associated promyelocytic leukemia-nuclear bodies (APBs) on the telomeres. The formation of APBs can induce telomere aggregation, telomere compaction and the concomitant depletion of the shelterin protein TRF2, strongly enrich the phosphorylation of ATM kinase and induce DDR (152,153). Therefore, APBs induce ATM phosphorylation by changing the state of telomere chromatins; thus, inducing DDR and recombinant repair of ALT-positive tumor cells and promoting telomere maintenance (153). TRF2 can regulate cell proliferation and differentiation in APBs by interacting with repressor element 1-silencing transcription factor (REST) via a non-telomere effect. The TRF2-REST complex may play a role in protecting REST from ubiquitin proteasome degradation, thereby maintaining CSCs in an undifferentiated stage (154). Glioblastoma contains self-renewing glioblastoma stem cells (GSCs), which are usually resistant to chemotherapy and radiotherapy. GSCs highly express REST, which may promote their resistance to standard cancer treatments (155,156). TRF2 stabilizes telomeres and REST, and maintains the self-renewal of neural stem cells and GSC (157). Viral vector-mediated infection using short hairpin (sh)RNAs targeting TRF2 mRNA could reduce TRF2 and REST expression levels in the GSCs of patients with glioblastoma, resulting in a decrease in GSC proliferation, while the protein expression levels of LICAM and t3-tubulin, which are normally expressed by neurons after mitosis, increases, suggesting that the loss of TRF2 leads to the differentiation of GSCs (157). The loss of TRF2 also renders GSCs sensitive to temozolomide, a DNA alkylating agent used in the treatment of glioblastoma (157). Using TRF2 as a target was shown to notably improve the survival rate of GSCs in a xenograft mouse model (157). These findings indicate a role of TRF2 in GSCs, which includes maintaining stability, ensuring proliferation and chemotherapy resistance, suggesting that TRF2 could be a potential therapeutic target for glioblastoma (157).

Knocking down the expression level of TRF2 could also inhibit the migration potential of oral cancer cell lines, and at the same time reduce the expression of key factors that maintain CSCs in the Cal27 cell line and the generated oral squamous cell carcinoma cells, including CD44, Oct4, Sox2, KLF4, c-Myc, β-catenin and hTERT molecules (150). Further research found that TRF2 has a strong affinity and interaction with the CSC marker, KLF4, which may be an important mechanism for the maintenance of CSCs, indicating that TRF2 was associated with the transmission of CSC-like phenotypes in oral cancer cells (150).

From the aforementioned results, it may be inferred that TRF2 plays a key role in maintaining the function of CSCs. TRF2 elimination in CSCs could further promote differentiation, loss of the biological characteristics of stemness, increased sensitivity to drugs or radiotherapy, inhibition of EMT, invasion and metastasis of tumor cells, which may improve the efficacy of clinical tumor treatment and long-term prognosis.

8. TRF2 and tumor immunity

In human malignant lesions, the increase of TRF2 expression level in some tumor cells (such as epithelial carcinogenesis, gastric cancer and liver cancer) could reduce the recruitment and activation of natural killer (NK) cells, and assist the tumor cells to bypass innate immune surveillance. This is a key step in human tumorigenesis, and it shows that TRF2 controls the biological functions of NK cells and affects tumorigenesis in a DDR-independent manner (8,158). Mechanistically, high TRF2 expression level was found to be associated with the expression level of 3 genes (HS3ST4, GPC6 and VCAN) involved in the biosynthesis of HSPG. These genes all contain an ITS as a binding site for TRF2 (158,159). After binding, TRF2 induces changes in the chromatin structure at the ITS, becomes a TRF2-dependent enhancer element, and activates the expression of neighboring genes (159). The promoting effect of TRF2 on the expression level of the HSPG gene was crucial to the formation of the polysaccharide calyx outside the cytoplasmic membrane, acting as a general remodeling agent of the glyocalyx, as the overexpression of TRF2 changed its overall structure, increased its stiffness and shortened its length (159). Changes in glyocalyx structure change the micro-gradient of cytokines, chemokines and growth factors involved in the recruitment and function of immune cells, which is required for myeloid-derived suppressor cell (MDSC) tumor recruitment. The proteoglycan version encoded by VCAN acts as a toll-like receptor (TLR2) ligand, and the TLR2 signal activates the immunosuppressive function of MDSCs via the IL-6 autocrine pathway, i.e., IL-6/JAK1/2/STAT3 signaling induces the immunosuppressive autocrine circuit (159). MDSCs are immature myeloid cells with strong immunosuppressive activity, which can trigger the immunosuppressive tumor microenvironment and promote tumor growth (160). In addition, TLR2 signaling also enhanced the immunosuppressive ability of MDSCs on NK cells via a paracrine IL-6/JAK1/2-dependent mechanism. Therefore, this TRF2-dependent HSPG biosynthesis gene regulatory network promoted the recruitment and function of MDSCs, inhibited the recruitment and HSPG biosynthesis gene expression level in some tumor cells, and inhibited the immune lymphocytes, and was negatively associated with the overall survival (OS) time in patients with gastric cancer, as it ultimately leads to tumor progression and metastasis (159).

9. Targeting TRF2 and tumor therapy

TRF2 is considered as a potential new target for cancer treatment. Inhibition of TRF2 could activate ATM-dependent DDR.
pathways and induce cell apoptosis or senescence (161). When short interfering RNA was used to knockdown the expression level of TRF2 in colorectal cancer and renal epithelial renal cell carcinoma cell lines, tumor cell proliferation and clonal formation were notably inhibited, and cell cycle arrest and apoptosis were markedly induced (125,162). In the xenotransplantation experiment of intracranial tumors, GSCs infected by lentivirus shTRF2 and empty vector were stereotaxically injected into the cerebral cortex of BALB/c nude mice, which lack a thymus. The results demonstrated that the life span of mice in the experimental group was significantly prolonged compared with that in the control group (157). This finding revealed that reducing the expression level of TRF2 may be noted to improve the survival rate of nude mice in a glioblastoma model. The aforementioned results all indicate that TRF2 may be a new antitumor treatment target. Furthermore, previous studies (163,164) suggest that, compared with that in the previous strategy of inhibiting telomerase to treat tumors, tumor therapy targeting TRF2 may be more effective.

The main reasons are as follows: First, telomerase inhibitors were not effective on tumor cells that rely on the ALT mechanism; second, in tumors exhibiting increased TRF2 expression, the therapeutic effect of telomerase inhibitors was not notable (163); reducing the expression level of TRF2 shortened the 3’ overhangs of telomeres, leading to chromosomal fusion of tumor cells and premature senescence or death of tumor cells. Decreasing the expression level of TRF2 could activate phosphorylated ATM, activating the tumor suppressor gene p53, which is not only an effector molecule downstream of the telomere damage signal, but also one of the main senescence promoters. P53 expresses the E3 ubiquitin ligase, Siah1, and targets TRF2 for ubiquitination and proteasomal degradation (164). TRF2 knockdown further promoted ATM activation; therefore, TRF2/ATM/p53/Siah1 formed a positive feedback loop, which could simultaneously promote telomere decapsulation, induce the p53-mediated cellular senescence signal transduction pathway, and enhance tumor cell suppression (164). Therefore, tumor therapy targeting TRF2 has broad application prospects.

Radio- and chemotherapy play an important role in the treatment of malignant tumors; however, tumor drug resistance remains one of the main obstacles in clinical treatment. An increasing number of studies have found that TRF2 was associated with tumor drug resistance. In radioresistant A549R (telomerase-positive) and U2OSR (ALT mechanism) cells, TRF2 expression was found to be notably increased, while decreasing the expression level of TRF2 notably improved the radiosensitivity of tumor cells (165-167). It has been confirmed that there was a notable negative correlation between telomere length and radiosensitivity (166,167). Knockout of TRF2 may lead to telomere shortening and inhibition of telomerase activity, leading to radiosensitization and shortening of telomeres in A549 and U2OS cells, suggesting that TRF2 may regulate radiosensitization via telomere length and DDR. Orun et al (168) also found the same results while investigating immortalized mesenchymal stem cells, suggesting that TRF2 may be a potential target of radiotherapy for tumors. Ning et al (169,170) found that the expression level of TRF2 in the vincristine-resistant gastric cancer cell line, SGC7901/VCR, and the adriamycin-resistant gastric cancer cell line, SGC7901/ADR, was notably higher compared with that in parental cells. Inhibition of TRF2 expression could notably enhance the sensitivity of drug-resistant cells to cisplatin, adriamycin and etoposide (170). Furthermore, it was found that the expression of ATM, γ-H2AX and p53 in drug-resistant cells was notably lower compared with that in SGC7901 and, after using shRNA to inhibit the expression level of TRF2, the expression levels of ATM, γ-H2AX and p53 were notably upregulated, suggesting that TRF2 may promote tumor drug resistance by inhibiting the expression of ATM and its downstream target molecules (169,170). Benhamou et al (171) also found that inhibiting the expression level of TRF2 could notably enhance the sensitivity of oral squamous cell carcinoma cells to EGFR-targeting chemotherapy, such as cetuximab and erlotinib, which may become an important development and progress in cancer treatment. It has also been found that, in addition to TRF2 inhibition activating the ATM-dependent DDR pathways and inducing cell apoptosis or senescence, it can also inhibit p38MAPK phosphorylation, block tumor pathway signal transduction and increase chemotherapeutic drug sensitivity (172).

In mice with tumor overexpressing TRF2, a decrease in tumor volume and an increase in OS time were observed following treatment with fluorouracil (5-FU), indicating that TRF2 overexpression may increase the treatment efficiency of 5-FU (159). This was consistent with the MDSC knockdown results, and the enhanced response to 5-FU may be associated with enhanced elimination of MDSCs, allowing the immune system to become reactivated (159). Therefore, the expression level of TRF2 may be an interesting surrogate indicator of response to chemotherapy (159). The use of 5-FU treatment in gastric cancer, gemcitabine treatment in ovarian cancer, and paclitaxel-based chemotherapy in lung cancer (all 3 drugs target MDSCs) could reduce the negative effect of TRF2 overexpression on OS time (173-175). This indicates that the efficiency of chemotherapy is improved in patients with cancer and TRF2 overexpression.

A previous study (176) showed that the small molecule, curcusone C may bind to TRF2 via its DNA-binding site, thereby hindering its interaction with telomere DNA, activating the DDR, inhibiting tumor cell proliferation, causing cell cycle arrest and tumor cell apoptosis. Curcusone C may become a promising lead compound for the treatment of cancer with elevated TRF2. The anticancer activity of other terpenoids may be attributed to their effect on telomere protective protein. Chromosome analysis revealed that As2O3 downregulated the expression level of TRF2, contributing to end-to-end fusion and apoptosis of leukemia cells. Inhibition of TRF2 rendered leukemia cells more sensitive to As2O3, suggesting that TRF2 may represent a promising target for novel leukemia therapies (176).

In summary, maintaining the function of TRF2 is crucial for the control of pathological tumor cell behaviors, such as proliferation, invasion and migration. Overexpression or knockdown of TRF2 may cause CIN, affecting genome expression and cell survival. Regulating the function of TRF2, using artificial methods, to determine the prognosis of tumors, and its potential value in tumor treatment, require further in-depth investigations. Therefore, the research and development of
TRF2 functional knockdown or function-regulating drugs has broad prospects, and it will likely become one of the important research directions in tumor diagnosis and treatment in the future.

10. Conclusion

Accumulating evidence indicates that the telomere and non-telomere-related functions of the TRF2 protein play a key role in the regulation of cell lifespan, chromosome stability, DNA repair or replication, and the extracellular microenvironment (5-8). TRF2 links multiple functions or signaling pathways, which cells rely on for survival and reproduction, performs systemic regulation, enhances cell environment adaptation and survival ability, assists with the elimination of senescent mutant cells, and maintains the stability of genetic material (49,95,131,143). When replicative aging or other pathological factors (such as ionizing radiation) cause TRF2 dysfunction, cell physiological balance is severely disrupted, telomeres lose protein protection, telomere caps are eliminated, DDR is triggered, cell cycle is arrested, DNA is abnormally repaired, and end-to-end fusions occur. At this time, the chromosome is already unstable and is easily mutated (96-99). Due to cell checkpoint blockade, most cells undergo senescent apoptosis during this period to maintain the genetic stability of the body. However, a small number of cells may induce mutations, such as in p53 protein, which is common in most malignant tumors or abnormal expression of TRF2, disrupting the DDR cascade and, by extending the mitotic arrest cycle, bypassing the DDR-induced apoptosis mechanism and entering the telomere crisis period (100). During the telomere crisis, chromosomes are recombined via the BFB cycle DNA to generate large-scale mutant cells, referred to as precancerous cells. Finally, at the end of this cycle, telomerase expression or the ALT mechanism is activated to extend local telomerases, and gradually stabilize chromosomes under the protection of TRF2, forming immortal cells (6,101-103). This may be the stagewise mechanism underlying the transformation of normal human cells to malignant cells. Due to the uncertainty and randomness of DNA recombination in the BFB cycle, the mutation itself is heterogeneous and can generate the same immortal cells with heterogeneous characteristics, which may be an important origin of tumor heterogeneity (104-106). Subsequently, tumor cells have a telomere lengthening mechanism, due to the abnormal proliferation; however, the telomere remains relatively short, and the chromosome is only in a relatively stable state, which can produce subsequent gene recombinations. This may be the basis of mutations and typing of various subtypes of tumor cells (104-106). After the formation of immortalized tumor cells, the increase in TRF2 expression levels has an effect on the structure of the glycocalyx outside the tumor cell membrane and constitutes a microenvironment conducive to tumor growth. In this microenvironment, chemotactic aggregation of immunosuppressive MDSCs, and paracrine immunosuppressive factors, inhibit the tumor killing activity of NK cells and T lymphocytes (8,157-159). Furthermore, TRF2 acts synergistically with multiple signaling pathways in tumor cell proliferation and migration to maintain its own intracellular levels and promote tumor development (131,138,141,142,144,146,147). The function of TRF2 is also indispensable for maintaining the stemness characteristics of CSCs. TRF2 interacts with REST, KLF4 and other proteins (such as Oct4 and Sox2) to increase the activity of tumor cell stemness-related transcription factors, promote tumor stem cell division and proliferation, drug resistance, EMT, invasion and metastasis, which have a major effect on tumor prognosis (150,154,156,157). With the proliferation of tumor cells and the continuous development of the tumor, via the effect of angiogenesis-related factors or receptors, such as VEGF-A and PDGFRβ, TRF2 can promote the formation of nourishing tumor blood vessels, which facilitates the nutrient uptake and metabolism of tumor cells, further enhancing tumor development and contributing to a poor prognosis (7,136,137).

Therefore, the targeted treatment of TRF2 is important and has potential. Inhibition of TRF2 disrupts several pathways in which tumor cells depend for survival: It improves the immunosuppressive tumor microenvironment, promotes tumor cell apoptosis and CSC differentiation, inhibits the activity of telomerase and the ALT mechanism, and enhances the sensitivity of cancer cells to radiotherapy and chemotherapy (157,160-164,166). Under the action of the aforementioned multiple anticancer factors, it is difficult for tumor cells to continue to proliferate or survive; therefore, the efficiency of tumor treatment is increased. After TRF2 expression level is knocked down, telomerase and ALT functions are also simultaneously inhibited, and the transcriptional activity of CSC stemness-related factors is blocked, which is conducive to the differentiation of CSCs and the loss of immortalization ability (102,103,112,113,150). This can effectively inhibit the accumulation of malignant cell mutant genes in vivo, reduce the invasion and metastasis of tumor cells under the stress of treatment, and effectively remove mutated cells. However, with respect to safety, TRF2-targeted treatment may cause senescence and apoptosis of normal cells. Furthermore, specific adverse reactions require further evaluation. The specific targeting of tumor cell TRF2 is an issue, which requires resolving in TRF2 treatment research; however, the therapeutic prospects of this new target are worthy of further investigation.
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