Deep Online Correction for Monocular Visual Odometry
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Abstract—In this work, we propose a novel deep online correction (DOC) framework for monocular visual odometry. The whole pipeline has two stages: First, depth maps and initial poses are obtained from convolutional neural networks (CNNs) trained in self-supervised manners. Second, the poses predicted by CNNs are further improved by minimizing photometric errors via gradient updates of poses during inference phases. The benefits of our proposed method are twofold: 1) Different from online-learning methods, DOC does not need to calculate gradient propagation for parameters of CNNs. Thus, it saves more computation resources during inference phases. 2) Unlike hybrid methods that combine CNNs with traditional methods, DOC fully relies on deep learning (DL) frameworks. Though without complex back-end optimization modules, our method achieves outstanding performance with relative transform error (RTE) = 2.0% on KITTI Odometry benchmark for Seq. 09, which outperforms traditional monocular VO frameworks and is comparable to hybrid methods.

I. INTRODUCTION

Monocular visual odometry has attracted more and more attention for its wide applications in robotics, autonomous driving and augmented reality (AR). As an effective complement to other sensors such as GPS, Inertial Navigation System (INS) and wheel odometry, etc., monocular VO is popular for its low cost and easy access.

A larger number of robust and accurate monocular VO systems have been developed in the past decades [1]. These methods can be roughly classified into three categories: traditional, DL-based and hybrid methods.

Traditional monocular VO methods [2]–[7] usually consist of tracking, local or global optimization and loop closure modules, which make full use of geometric constraints. Although some traditional methods have shown excellent performance in terms of robustness and accuracy, they inherently suffer from the loss of absolute scales without extra information. Moreover, no reliable ego-motion will be obtained unless the parallax between successive frames is large enough.

Deep learning based methods [8]–[12] try to tackle the above problems by training CNNs on large amounts of data. Rather than specifying these geometric constraints manually, DL-based methods are able to obtain them by exploiting prior knowledge from training data. As a result, reasonable poses and depth can be estimated even when parallax is not large enough. Besides, online-learning can be utilized to further improve the performance. Despite the advantages of the DL-based methods, the accuracy of estimated ego-motion is still inferior to traditional approaches.

Fig. 1: Mapping results for KITTI Seq. 09 via our method. 3D points are first obtained by inverse projection with depth and camera intrinsics and then transformed into a global coordinate via the camera pose. The green points represent LiDAR points just for comparison. The blue curves describe the trajectory of our method.

Hybrid methods try to combine the interpretability of traditional methods and strong data fitting abilities of DL-based methods. Existing hybrid methods usually leverage CNNs as initialization for traditional VO frameworks [13]–[15]. Though hybrid methods achieve state-of-the-art results, the heavy calculation burdens prevent further application into practice.

In this paper, we propose a novel deep online correction (DOC) framework for monocular VO, which is composed of two CNNs and the proposed online correction module. The former provides depth estimation and initial poses, while the latter further improves the accuracy and robustness of poses via gradient propagations. Different from existing hybrid methods, the whole pipeline is concise and does not involve traditional modules like global bundle adjustment or pose graph optimization. Different from existing online-learning methods, the parameters of depth and pose CNNs will not be updated during inference phases, which improves the efficiency of real-time performance. This approach is much more effective because it reduces dimensions of optimizable space from millions of parameters to only 6DoF poses. In addition, it can be implemented in a two-frame or three-frame manner, which is quite flexible.
The contributions of our works are as follows:

- We propose a fully DL-based VO which is composed of CNNs and an online correction module. The proposed method combines the advantages of both traditional and DL-based methods.
- Another version named DOC+ is designed to further improve the VO performance. Two kinds of implementations are provided to show flexibility.
- Our approach achieves state-of-the-art accuracy among current monocular methods. On the KITTI Odometry Seq. 09 (see Fig. 1 for visualization), DOC and DOC+ achieve performance of RTE=2.3% and RTE=2.0% respectively.

II. RELATED WORKS

A. Traditional VO

Traditional VO is a vital component for most popular SLAM frameworks. They can be roughly divided into two categories: indirect methods [2]–[4] that recover depth and poses by minimizing geometric errors; direct methods [5]–[7] that minimize photometric errors. Despite great accuracy and efficiency, these methods usually can only estimate poses and depth up to an unknown scale factor in a monocular setup. Moreover, accurate poses may not be recovered in extreme conditions such as texture-less surfaces or dynamic scenes.

B. Deep-learning-based VO

Recent years have witnessed thriving development of deep learning based visual odometry. One of the first DL-based VO estimation methods is proposed by Konda et al. [8] and Wang et al. [10]. Despite impressive results, their applications are limited by the requirements of labeled data.

To solve this problem, unsupervised methods are proposed and become popular. SfM-Learner [16] proposed by Zhou et al. is a representative pioneer of unsupervised VO. It contains a Pose-CNN and a Depth-CNN, and the generated poses and depth are utilized to synthesize a new view as supervision. However, the performance of SfM-Learner is less competitive to traditional methods and it still suffers from scale ambiguities problem. Li et al. [9] and Bian et al. [2] solve the scale problem by introducing either stereo image training or extra scale consistent loss term. The performance of unsupervised methods is further improved by some following works: Monodepth2 [18] utilize a minimum reprojection loss and a multi-scale strategy during training for better depth estimation; Zhao, W et al. [19] proposed a novel framework that utilizes optical flow to estimate poses; Wagstaff et al. [11] proposed a two-stage method in Deep Pose Correction (DPC) to further improve the results from Pose-CNN. Since unsupervised learning does not rely on labeled data, online-learning can be used to improve performance on test data: Li et al. [12] utilize meta-learning for better generalizability. Chen et al. [20] proposed the concept of the Output Fine Tune (OFT) and the Parameter Fine Tune (PFT). However, the comparison has only been made for depth refinements and the OFT shows little improvements to the overall results. While our methods exploit the potential of OFT in visual odometry by designing specific optimization procedure for online correction. Despite promising results on visual odometry, DL-based methods are still inferior to some traditional frameworks concerning generalizability and efficiency. We kindly recommend the visual odometry survey [21] for more details.

C. Hybrid VO

Since the pros and cons of traditional VO and DL-based VO vary, it is a natural idea to combine them to build a robust VO system. CNN-SVO [13] is one of the first hybrid VO frameworks by integrate a depth-CNN into SVO [5]. DVSO [14] and D3VO [15] use CNNs as initialization and use DSO [7] as optimization backend. Benefits from good initialization of CNNs and strong robustness from traditional frameworks, hybrid methods have now achieved the state-of-the-art result in public benchmark. Deep frame-to-frame visual odometry (DFVO) [22] utilizes CNNs to predict optical flow and depth of given image and then use traditional geometric constraints to recover 6DoF and achieve a promising result. BANet [23] modified the bundle adjustment such that it is differentiable and thus can be integrated into an end-to-end framework. Our approach is different from existing hybrid methods as
we propose an novel online correction module based on DL frameworks without using global bundle adjustment.

III. APPROACH

The core idea of the DOC framework is that the relative poses are directly optimized by minimizing photometric errors based on gradient propagation. DOC does not rely on traditional frameworks and only need to calculate gradient w.r.t the 6DoF pose (see Fig. 2 for details). In this section, we first describe the training procedure for Depth-CNN and Pose-CNN. And then we describe details of DOC (two-frame optimization) and DOC+ (three-frame optimization) as shown in Fig. 4.

A. Training of Depth-CNN and Pose-CNN

For training, the proposed Depth-CNN and Pose-CNN have similar architectures to Monodepth2 [18], but are tailored for the need for online correction initialization. Depth-CNN has a U-Net like structure with skip-connections. It takes a single RGB image and outputs a depth map. Pose-CNN takes two concatenated images as input and outputs rotation and translation vectors. Stereo images are leveraged in training phases to recover absolute scales, while only monocular images are fed into the networks during testing. Besides, to improve the performance of the networks and for the usage of online correction model. We use the “explainability” mask [16] instead of auto-masking in original paper.

B. DOC: Two-frame-based Optimization

For testing, DOC considers two consecutive frames in the online correction module. Given the input images \{I_{i-1}, I_i\}, the Depth-CNN and Pose-CNN are used to the infer depth \{D_{i-1}, D_i\} and initial pose \(T_i^{i-1}\) respectively. The online correction module will iteratively refine the ego-motion via minimizing special photometric errors defined as

\[ E_i = E_i^{i-1} + E_i^{i-1}, \]

where \(E_i\) is the photometric errors at time step \(i\). \(E_i^{i-1}\) and \(E_i^{i-1}\) are forward and backward errors as follows:

\[ E_i^{i-1} = M_i \circ E_{pho}(\Pi(D_i, T_i^{i-1}, I_{i-1}, K), I_i), \]

\[ E_i^{i-1} = M_{i-1} \circ E_{pho}(\Pi(D_{i-1}, T_{i-1}^{i-1}, I_{i-1}, K), I_{i-1}), \]

where \(\circ\) denotes element-wise multiplication. Here we take \(E_i^{i-1}\) for an illustration and \(E_i^{i-1}\) can be obtained in a similar way.

\(\Pi\) in (2) is the warping function as proposed by Jaderberg et al. in [23]. It synthesis a novel view according to input image \(I_i\), corresponding depth \(D_i\), camera intrinsic \(K\) and transform matrix \(T_i^{i-1}\) by

\[ \hat{I}_i = \Pi(D_i, T_i^{i-1}, I_{i-1}, K). \]

\(E_{pho}\) in (2) calculate photometric errors between images:

\[ E_{pho}(I_i, I_{i-1}) = M_{std}(||I_i - I_{i-1}||), \]

where \(M_{std}\) is a function that behaves as an outlier rejester:

\[ M_{std}(E) = E \circ (E < (\hat{E} + E_\sigma)), \]

where \(\hat{E}\) and \(E_\sigma\) are means and standard deviations of \(E\) respectively. Instead of using SSIM [25], we use the truncated \(L_1\) loss because we find it achieves similar accuracy for the DOC module but is more computational efficient.

\(M_i\) in (2) is a mask composed of an occlusion mask and an explainability mask denoted as \(M_o\) and \(M_e\) respectively. \(M = M_o \circ M_e\). Similar to [26], the occlusion mask \(M_o\) is defined as follows:

\[ M_o = [\Pi(D_i, \hat{T}_i, D_{i-1}, K) > D_i | D_i > d_m], \]

where [\(\bullet\)] is the Iverson bracket and \(d_m\) is a threshold set to 5 meters to filter out wrong occlusion mask pixels caused by incorrect depth estimation especially in the distance. \(M_e\) is the explainability mask predicted by CNN according to SIM-Learner [16]. As we can see from Fig. 5, \(M_o\) successfully covers the pixels with “ghosting effect” where the double traffic rods appear. \(M_e\) reduces photometric errors in the high-frequency areas like roofs and vegetation.

Fig. 3: Visualization of photometric errors before and after online correction. Sub-figure (a) and (b) are original images and corresponding depth maps respectively. Dark to bright in the depth maps represent near to far. Sub-figure (c) and(d) are reconstructed images before and after online correction. Sub-figure (e) and(f) are photometric errors before and after online correction. From dark to red represents errors from small to large. Note that in the error maps, errors around the curb and the manhole cover (circled out in the error map) have been reduced during the online correction.
Fig. 4: Illustrations of DOC (two-frame) and DOC+ (three-frame) frameworks. The blue box represents DOC which only minimizes photometric errors from two consecutive frames. The orange box represents DOC+ which uses re-projection errors from pairs of frames among three frames.

The equation (2) and (3) is connected with the following constraint:

\[ T_{i-1}^i = \text{inv}(T_{i-1}^{i-1}). \]  

(8)

\[ T_{i-1}^i \] represents a rigid transform in \( \mathbb{R}^{4\times 4} \) parameterized by a 6 degree vector \( r_i^{-1}, t_i^{-1} \in SE(3) \). The vector can be easily transformed into transform matrix with the Rodrigues' rotation formula.

Finally, Adam \([27]\) optimizer is used to update relative poses. The maximum number of iterations \( N \) is set to 20 in all our experiments. The full algorithm is presented in Alg. \[1\]. The visualization and discussion of error maps before and after optimization are shown in Fig. 3.

C. DOC+: Three-frame-based Optimization

DOC+ takes three frames in the online correction module, which can further improve the pose accuracy.

For each frame \( I_i \), we consider two previous frames \( I_{i-1} \) and \( I_{i-2} \). The relative pose for last frames \( T_{i-1}^i \) has been optimized during last step. \( T_{i-1}^i \) is initialized by Pose-CNN and will be further optimized. We consider 4 photometric errors into the total energy function:

\[ E_i = \alpha(E_{i-1}^i + E_{i-1}^i) + (1-\alpha)(E_{i-2}^i + E_{i-2}^i), \]  

(9)

where \( \alpha \) is a balancing factor between current and previous frames and is set to 0.8 during all experiments.

The photometric errors is defined similar as (2) and (3). Once \( T_i^{i-1} \) is obtained, \( T_i^{i-2} \) will be calculated as

\[ T_i^{i-2} = T_i^{i-1}T_i^{i-1}. \]  

(10)

The Adam optimizer is used to minimize \( E_i \) with respect to \( T_i^{i-1} \) and \( T_i^{i-2} \). Since the former one has been already updated during the last optimization, it is a natural idea to prevent \( T_i^{i-1} \) from being updated too much from initial values. In traditional frameworks, this is usually achieved by marginalization. We achieve this by setting different learning rates for each step. We empirically set the learning rate of previous frames as 10 times less than current frames.

Algorithm 1 Deep Online Correction (two-frame based)

Require: Depth-CNN; Pose-CNN; Intrinsic: \( K \)
Input: Image sequence: \([I_1, I_2, \ldots, I_k]\)
Output: Refined Pose: \([T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_k]\)

Initialization: \( T_1 = I \)
for \( i = 2, 3, \ldots, k \) do
Get Depth-CNN Prediction \( D_{i-1}, D_i \)
Get Pose-CNN Prediction \( \hat{r}^{i-1}, \hat{t}^{i-1} \)
Compute transform matrix \( \hat{T}^{i-1}_i \) from \( \hat{r}^{i-1}, \hat{t}^{i-1} \)
for \( step = 1, 2, \ldots, N \) do
Warp \( I_{i-1} \) into \( I_i: I_i' = \Pi(D_i, \hat{T}^{i-1}_i, I_{i-1}, K) \)
Compute \( E_{i-1}^{i} \) by (2)
Warp \( I_i \) into \( I_{i-1}: I_{i-1}'' = \Pi(D_{i-1}, \hat{T}^i_{i-1}, I_i, K) \)
Compute \( E_i^{i-1} \) by (3)
\( E_i = E_{i-1}^{i} + E_i^{i-1} \)
Compute gradient w.r.t. \( \hat{r}^{i-1}, \hat{t}^{i-1} \)
Use Adam optimizer to update \( \hat{r}^{i-1}, \hat{t}^{i-1} \)
end for
\( T_i \leftarrow T_{i-1}T_i^{i+1} \)
end for

IV. EXPERIMENTS

A. Implementation Details

We conduct experiments on both KITTI odometry dataset \([28, 29]\) and EuRoC MAV dataset \([30]\). For KITTI odometry dataset, the input images are resized to 832x256. The Pose-CNN and Depth-CNN are jointly trained on sequence 00-08, which contains 36671 training frames in total, and then validated on sequence 09 and 10 combined with the online correction module. The relative translation error (RTE) and relative rotation error (RRE) are applied for evaluation. RTE is the average translational root mean square error (RMSE) drift in percentage on length from 100, 200, ..., 800m, while RRE is the average rotation RMSE drift (°/100m) on length from 100, 200, ..., 800m. It is worth noting that we do not use the full KITTI Eigen split for training since it has some overlaps with KITTI odometry test dataset. For EuRoC MAV dataset, all stereo images are rectified and then resized to 736x480. Sequence MH_03 and MH_05 are used for testing. All the other sequences, which contains 22067 frames, are used for training. The RMSE of absolute trajectory errors (ATE) is used as evaluation metrics.

For both datasets, stereo images are utilized to train the CNNs while only monocular images are needed in the test phases. With the prior knowledge learned from stereo images, our method can recover the absolute scales even for unseen images. Backbones of Depth-CNN and Pose-CNN are ResNet-18 \([31]\) with pretrained weights from ImageNet \([32]\). We train the Depth-CNN and Pose-CNN for 20 epochs and use the parameters from last epoch to get depth estimation and pose initialization for testing sequences. The Adam optimizer with a learning rate of 1e-4 for the first 15 epochs and 1e-5 for the last 5 epochs are used during training. The batch size is set to 8 for training and
Fig. 5: Visualization. From top to bottom: warped image, the combination of occlusion (black) and explainability (gray) masks, photometric error map. In the top, we can see the double traffic rods in the warped image produced by occlusion during backward warping. The occlusion mask successfully calculates the area of pixels where occlusion happens. As a result the occluded region is not been calculated in photometric errors. The explainability mask in the middle produced by CNN usually reduces photometric errors in the high-frequency areas like roofs and vegetation.

1 for online correction module. The whole framework is implemented by PyTorch [33] on a single NVIDIA TITAN Xp GPU. To speed up the online correction process, the whole online correction is slightly modified from Alg. 1. The equation 4 is composed of three parts: unprojection, transform and projection. For every frame, the unprojection part does not involve gradient propagation. Thus, it can be pre-computed before optimization iterations. The running speed for DOC and DOC+ is about 8 FPS and 5 FPS respectively. The running time includes both CNN inference and online correction modules.

B. Visual Odometry Evaluation

For KITTI odometry dataset, we take Pose-CNN from Monodepth2 as our baseline. As illustrated in Table I, our proposed DOC method outperforms traditional and DL-based methods and is competitive to existing hybrid methods. Trajectories of these methods are visualized in Fig. 6 using EVO [35]. Li et al. [12] use the meta-learning technique to update parameters during test time. Compared to our approach, we only need to calculate gradient propagation to 6DoF poses without updating the whole networks. DFVO [22] achieves better results in RRE as it uses traditional essential matrix estimation and PnP method to recover pose from depth and flow. As we can see from Seq. 09, traditional methods usually suffer from scale drift problems in monocular setups without loop closure. While DL-based methods can not guarantee accurate poses throughout the whole trajectories. It is observable that even with inferior performance on RTE, traditional methods usually show better performance on RRE than DL-based methods. The reason behind maybe twofold: First, scale drift problem clearly does not affect RRE as rotation is scale invariant. Second, traditional methods explicitly model optimization in rotation manifold SO(3) while DL-based methods numerically solve these problems through gradient update and Adam optimizer. Compared to both traditional and existing DL-based methods, our methods (DOC and DOC+) clearly show better performance and is comparable to hybrid methods. Besides, the trajectories estimated by DOC+ clearly show very small translational drift (with lowest ATE among all methods) without loop closure.

For EuRoC MAV dataset, we use ATE as evaluation metrics. It is a very challenging dataset as it contains large motion and various illumination conditions. As shown in Table III, the proposed methods clearly improve the odometry accuracy from Monodepth2 initialization. Our methods also outperform traditional methods like DSO and is comparable
with ORB-SLAM. Indoor datasets like EuRoC MAV usually have trajectories in one room or small space. Thus a full SLAM system with re-localization like ORB-SLAM usually perform better than pure VO methods.

We conduct a detailed ablation study for the DOC module on KITTI datasets (see Table II). First, we explore different loss functions for photometric errors. We found that truncated L1 loss can achieve similar performance compared to SSIM loss (e, f, g, h). The calculation of SSIM loss requires the computation of local mean and variance for every pixel while L1 loss can achieve similar performance compared to SSIM loss functions for photometric errors. We found that truncated L1 loss and occlusion masks improve the overall result (a-c). Occlusion masks stop gradient propagation where pixels have “ghosting effect” (see Fig. [5]). Explainability masks produced by Depth-CNN reduce weights for pixels usually occupied by high-frequency areas like trees and roofs or non-Lambertian surfaces like windows. These areas are noises for online correction and can not be described by reprojection warping. Finally, with all methods mentioned above, by only using the consecutive frame for online correction, our method already achieve satisfying odometry result. By using the three-frame-based optimization, DOC+ further achieve better result and smaller translation drift thanks to reprojection constraints from more image pairs. Adding more frames for optimization shows very little improvements for accuracy while increases the overall running time.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we propose a novel monocular visual odometry algorithm with an online correction module. It relies on DL-based frameworks and leverages advantages of both CNNs and geometric constraints. Specifically, Depth-CNN and Pose-CNN are trained in a self-supervised manner to provide initial ego-motion and depth maps with absolute scales. And then a novel online correction module based on gradient back-propagation is proposed to further improve the VO accuracy. Different from existing online learning methods, our online correction module does not update the networks’ parameters which makes it more concise and computationally efficient. Experiment results on KITTI dataset demonstrate that our method outperforms existing traditional and DL-based methods and is comparable with state-of-the-art hybrid methods.
