Thermopower of Interacting GaAs Bilayer Hole Systems in the Reentrant Insulating Phase near $\nu = 1$
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We report thermopower measurements of interacting GaAs bilayer hole systems. When the carrier densities in the two layers are equal, these systems exhibit a reentrant insulating phase near the quantum Hall state at total filling factor $\nu = 1$. Our data show that as the temperature is decreased, the thermopower diverges in the insulating phase. This behavior indicates the opening of an energy gap at low temperature, consistent with the formation of a pinned Wigner solid. We extract an energy gap and a Wigner solid melting phase diagram.

PACS numbers: 73.40.-c, 71.30.+h, 73.50.Lw

The ground state of two-dimensional (2D) carrier systems has been subject to intensive work over the past decades. The interplay of Coulomb interaction, disorder, and magnetic field $B$ leads to many different ground states. In low-disorder 2D systems, a sufficiently large $B$ is expected to quench the kinetic energy of the carriers, leading to the formation of a Wigner solid (WS). Experimental evidence has been accumulated for the existence of a WS in both GaAs 2D electron single-layers around the fractional quantum Hall state at Landau level filling factor $\nu = \frac{1}{5}$ and electron bilayers in the vicinity of total filling factor $\nu = \frac{1}{2}$, as well as in dilute, single-layer, GaAs 2D hole systems near $\nu = \frac{1}{4}$.

Recently, a reentrant insulating phase (IP) was observed in interacting GaAs bilayer hole systems around the many-body quantum Hall state (QHS) at total filling factor $\nu = 1$. It was suggested in Ref. that this IP signals a bilayer WS, stabilized at such high $\nu$ by strong Landau level mixing due to higher effective mass of holes, and by the interlayer Coulomb interaction in the bilayer system. While magnetotransport studies in bilayer systems have intensified in recent years, little attention was paid to their thermoelectric properties. Thermopower coefficients are sensitive tools to study the electronic properties of 2D systems, including Si inversion layers, SiGe quantum wells, and GaAs heterojunctions. In particular, as the temperature $T \to 0$, the diffusion thermopower is expected to vanish as $T^{1/3}$ for a mobility gap or diverge as $T^{-1}$ in the presence of an energy gap.

We study here the thermopower of interacting GaAs bilayer hole systems around $\nu = 1$. When the hole densities in the two layers are equal, at filling factors near $\nu = 1$, our measurements show that the diffusion thermopower diverges as $T^{-1}$ when the temperature is lowered below $\sim 100$ mK. This behavior corroborates the magnetotransport and the non-linear $I - V$ results. The $T$ dependence of the thermopower indicates the opening of an energy gap, consistent with the formation of a pinned, bilayer WS near the QHS at $\nu = 1$, and allows us to extract a phase diagram for the WS melting.

The samples we have studied are Si modulation-doped GaAs bilayer hole systems grown by molecular beam epitaxy on undoped GaAs (311)A wafers. The two samples consist of two 15 nm wide GaAs quantum wells separated by an AlAs barrier with a thickness of 11 nm (sample A) and 7.5 nm (sample B). The width of the AlAs barrier and the large effective mass of the holes assure a weak interlayer tunneling. Two $8 \times 2$ mm$^2$ rectangular pieces of wafer were cut with their length along the [011] (sample A) and [233] (sample B) crystallographic directions. On both specimens, we patterned 400 $\mu$m wide Hall bars in order to reduce density inhomogeneities; the distance between the voltage probes along the Hall bar is 1.2 mm. Ohmic contacts were made to both layers. A back-gate was used to tune the charge distribution of the two layers. The charge imbalance is denoted by $\Delta p = (p_T - p_B)/2$, where $p_B$ and $p_T$ are the hole densities of the bottom and the top layer, respectively. For balanced charge distributions ($\Delta p = 0$), the total hole density for both samples is close to $p = 5 \times 10^{11}$ cm$^{-2}$. Our experimental setup for thermopower measurements is described in Ref. One end of each sample was mounted onto the cold finger of a dilution refrigerator, while a strain gauge, used as heater, was glued on the other end using GE varnish. To measure the longitudinal thermopower $S_{xx}$, a thermal gradient was created along the sample by applying a sine wave current at a frequency $f = 1.3$ Hz through the heater and measuring, via a lock-in amplifier, the voltage signal at $2f$ between two contacts on the same edge of the Hall bar. The temperature gradient was determined using two carbon paint thermometers deposited on the sample’s surface, and was kept below 10% of the mean $T$.

We first summarize the magnetotransport results for our samples. In Fig. we illustrate for sample A the longitudinal resistivity $\rho_{xx}$ as a function of $B$ at low $T$. Consistent with the sample’s extremely small interlayer tunneling at low $B$ the $\rho_{xx}$ trace exhibits minima...
FIG. 1: Upper panel: longitudinal resistivity \( \rho_{xx} \) as a function of \( B \) at the indicated temperatures for sample A at a total density \( p = 5.1 \times 10^{11} \text{ cm}^{-2} \). For the data in the figure, the densities of the individual layers are equal (\( \Delta p = 0 \)). Top inset: The \( T \)-dependence of \( \rho_{xx} \) at \( \nu = 0.92, 0.95, \) and 1.1. Bottom inset: A magnified view of the low-\( B \) \( \rho_{xx} \) trace at 30 mK. Lower panels: differential resistance in the insulating phase of sample A as a function of the dc bias for \( \nu = 1 \) (left panel) and 0.95 (right panel) at 30 mK.

only at even integer fillings \( \nu = 2, 4, 6, \) etc. The strong interlayer interaction, however, leads to a many-body QHS at \( \nu = 1 \) \( [\text{[1]} \) \], flanked by reentrant IP's \( [\text{[2]} \) \]. The measured QHS excitation gaps \( 1 \Delta \), extracted from the \( T \) dependence of \( \rho_{xx} \) at \( \nu = 1 \), are 0.13 and 0.67 K, respectively; the larger \( 1 \Delta \) for sample B is consistent with its smaller \( d/l_B \) ratio \( [\text{[16]} \) \]. The \( T \)-dependence of \( \rho_{xx} \) at \( \nu = 0.92, 0.95, \) and 1.1 is displayed in the top inset to Fig. \( \text{[4]} \) \) revealing the insulating behavior at these fillings. Finally, in the bottom panels of Fig. \( \text{[4]} \) \) we show \( I - V \) characteristics at filling factors near \( \nu = 1 \) for sample A, exhibiting a strongly non-linear behavior. We have observed qualitatively similar \( I - V \) curves for sample B also. These non-linear data are similar to previous measurements in the IP near \( \nu = \frac{1}{3} \) in single-layer GaAs 2D hole systems \( [\text{[3]} \) \).

Before discussing our \( S_{xx} \) data, we briefly review the essential concepts for understanding thermopower data in 2D carrier systems \( [\text{[7]} \) \). In general \( S_{xx} \) consists of two additive contributions: the phonon-drag thermopower \( S_{xx}^g \) and the diffusion thermopower \( S_{xx}^d \). In GaAs-based heterostructures, the former is known to be dominant for \( T \gtrsim 200 \text{ mK} \), while the latter provides the main contribution at lower temperatures (\( T \lesssim 100 \text{ mK} \)). The phonon-drag thermopower is given by \( S_{xx}^g = \frac{C \rho}{\pi \Lambda v_s \tau_{ph}} \), where \( C \) is the specific heat, \( \Lambda \) is the phonon mean free path, \( e \) is the electron charge, \( v_s \) is the sound velocity, and \( \tau_{ph} \) is the phonon momentum relaxation time due to scattering with holes only \( [\text{[7]} \) \). The diffusion thermopower, on the other hand, measures the heat or the entropy per carrier and is sensitive to the density of states at the Fermi level. In particular, for a 2D insulating system, \( S_{xx}^d \) can distinguish between a mobility gap and an energy gap. In the first case, \( S_{xx}^d \) should vanish at low \( T \) as \( S_{xx}^d \propto T^{1/3} \); while for the second case - when an energy gap \( E_g \) separates the ground state from its excitations - \( S_{xx}^d \) is expected to diverge at low \( T \) as \( S_{xx}^d \approx \frac{k_B E_g}{2e k_B T^{-1}} \) \( [\text{[14, 18, 19]} \) \).

Hence, \( S_{xx}^d \) is a unique probe of the ground state of 2D systems as it can critically test if the IP results from the strong localization of the carriers (mobility gap) or from the formation of a pinned WS (energy gap).

We now present the result of our \( S_{xx} \) measurements, summarized in Figs. \( 2 \) and \( 3 \) and consider first the phonon-drag regime. Figure \( 2 \) shows \( S_{xx} \) vs \( B \) traces for sample A at various temperatures. The curves at \( T = 285, 310, \) and 365 mK in the phonon-drag regime.

FIG. 2: \( S_{xx} \) as a function of \( B \) at four temperatures below 120 mK for sample A. Inset: \( S_{xx} \) vs \( B \) is displayed at \( T = 285, 310, \) and 365 mK in the phonon-drag regime.

We now present the result of our \( S_{xx} \) measurements, summarized in Figs. \( 2 \) and \( 3 \) and consider first the phonon-drag regime. Figure \( 2 \) shows \( S_{xx} \) vs \( B \) traces for sample A at various temperatures. The curves at \( T = 285, 310, \) and 365 mK in the phonon-drag regime.
3, and 6) and at ν = 1 consistent with a vanishing density of states at the Fermi level \[17\]. In the phonon-drag regime, a dramatic decrease of $S_{xx}$ is found in the entire investigated B range as $T$ diminishes. This is clearly indicated by the $T$ evolution of $S_{xx}$ at various fillings shown in Fig. 3 for both samples. In the top panel of Fig. 3, $S_{xx}$ vs $T$ is displayed for sample A at $\nu = 1.1, 1, 0.95, 0.92$ and for $B = 0.1$ T when the bilayer system is balanced. In the bottom panel of the figure, we show $S_{xx}$ vs $T$ for sample B. Data points are presented at $\nu = 1.14$ for a balanced and a slightly imbalanced charge distribution. At high $T$, $S_{xx} \approx S_{xx}^0$ follows the well known $T^4$ law \[20\] for all the experimental configurations shown in Fig. 3 \[21\].

At low temperatures, where the thermopower is dominated by the diffusion contribution, $S_{xx}$ increases with decreasing $T$ in the IP’s reentrant around $\nu = 1$. This main result of our work is illustrated in Fig. 4 by the $S_{xx}$ vs $B$ traces at $T = 113, 71, 58$, and $51$ mK \[22\]. The divergence of $S_{xx}$ at low $T$ in the IP is further illustrated in Fig. 3. Focusing on the data shown in the top panel of Fig. 3, we observe that at $\nu = 1$, $S_{xx}$ rapidly approaches zero as expected for a QHS with no entropy \[12\] and $S_{xx}$ at $B = 0.1$ T is proportional to $T$ below 200 mK as expected for a diffusion-dominated thermopower in a metallic system. However at $\nu = 1.1, 0.95$, and 0.92, $S_{xx} \propto T^{-1}$ as $T \to 0$. This divergence of $S_{xx}$ in the reentrant IP’s at very low $T$ indicates the opening of an energy gap and suggests the formation of a pinned bilayer WS near $\nu = 1$.

Data for sample B exhibit qualitatively the same behavior. In the lower panel of Fig. 3 we show $S_{xx}$ vs $T$ data for sample B at $\nu = 1.14$ where this sample’s magnetotransport data reveal an IP. The $S_{xx}$ data show a divergence at low $T$ when the bilayer system is balanced (closed circles, $\Delta p = 0$). In the same panel we also show data (open circles, $\Delta p = 2.6 \times 10^{9}$ cm$^{-2}$) for sample B when charge distribution is imbalanced, i.e., when charge is removed from the the bottom layer via the application of positive back-gate bias. For the imbalanced state, $S_{xx}$ at $\nu = 1.14$ turns from a $T^{-1}$ to an approximately linear temperature dependence. This observation is in agreement with magnetotransport results showing that the reentrant IP disappears for small charge imbalance between the two layers \[7\]. We note that the strengthening of the $\nu = 1$ QHS when $\Delta p$ increases, seen in the magnetotransport \[7\], is also observed in thermopower measurements. In other words, the filling factor range around $\nu = 1$ where $S_{xx} \to 0$ as $T \to 0$ extends when charge is transferred from one layer to the other (data not shown here).
We now turn to a more quantitative discussion of our thermopower data. From the $T$ dependence of $S_{xx}$, shown in Fig. 8 and the inset to Fig. 11, we can extract a phase diagram for the WS melting. To estimate the melting temperature $T_m$, we assume that the solid-to-liquid transition occurs when $S_{xx}$ starts to rise as $T$ is lowered. As illustrated in the inset to Fig. 11 for $\nu = 0.93$, we have fitted at fixed filling factors the phonon-drag thermopower with a $T^4$ law for $T \gtrsim 200$ mK and the diffusion thermopower with a $T^{-1}$ law for $T \lesssim 100$ mK. The intersection of these two fits determines $T_m(\nu)$. In Fig. 11 the $T_m$ vs $\nu$ phase diagram is shown for sample A. The reentrant behavior of the IP is illustrated by the evolution of $T_m$; $T_m$ is finite in a small filling range centered near $\nu = 1.1$ and at $\nu \gtrsim 0.95$, but is vanishingly small near $\nu = 1$ ($B = 2.1$ T) where the quantum Hall ground state is present. The magnitude of the estimated $T_m$ in our sample is similar to the reported values of the WS melting temperature in single-layer 2D hole systems around $\nu = 0.8$ and $\nu = 0.85$. Using Eq. (1) and the $S_{xx} = \alpha T^{-1}$ fit to the diffusion regime, an estimate of the energy gap associated with the pinned WS can be made when $E_g \gg k_B T$. This energy gap likely corresponds to the creation energy of thermally activated defects (such as bound pairs of dislocations), which may be responsible for electrical conduction in the pinned WS. For example, at $\nu = 0.92$, $E_g/k_B = 0.34$ K in sample A. This value is of the same order of magnitude as the activation energy $E_A/k_B = 0.15$ K determined from fitting $\rho_{xx}$ in the low-$T$ range to $\ln(\rho_{xx}) \propto E_A/2k_B T$, and compares well with the WS melting temperature $T_m = 0.18$ K at this filling.

To conclude, we have measured the thermopower of interacting GaAs bilayer hole systems around $\nu = 1$. At very low $T$, when the diffusion thermopower dominates, $S_{xx}$ diverges in the IP’s reentrant around $\nu = 1$. This behavior indicates the opening of an energy gap and the presence of a pinned bilayer WS. We have interpreted our thermopower data in terms of a WS melting and extracted a $T_m$ vs $\nu$ phase diagram.
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