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ABSTRACT

Background: Interstitial lung disease (ILD) is a complex and heterogeneous group of acute and chronic lung diseases of several known and unknown causes. While clinical practice guidelines (CPG) for idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF) have been recently updated, CPG for ILD other than IPF are needed. Methods: A working group of multidisciplinary clinicians familiar with clinical management of ILD (pulmonologists, radiologist, pathologist, and rheumatologist) and three epidemiologists selected by the leaderships of Indian Chest Society and National College of Chest Physicians, India, posed questions to address the clinically relevant situation. A systematic search was performed on PubMed, Embase, and Cochrane databases. A modified GRADE approach was used to grade the evidence. The working group discussed the evidence and reached a consensus of opinions for each question following face-to-face discussions. Results: Statements have been made for each specific question and the grade of evidence has been provided after performing a systematic review of literature. For most of the questions addressed, the available evidence was insufficient and of low to very low quality. The consensus of the opinions of the working group has been presented as statements for the questions and not as an evidence-based CPG for the management of ILD. Conclusion: This document provides the guidelines made by consensus of opinions among experts following discussion of systematic review of evidence pertaining to the specific questions for management of ILD other than IPF. It is hoped that this document will help the clinician understand the accumulated evidence and help better management of idiopathic and nonidiopathic interstitial pneumonias.
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Summary of interstitial lung disease consensus statements

Interstitial lung disease (ILD) is a broad and complex heterogeneous group of lung diseases. While clinical practice guidelines (CPG) have been developed for the diagnosis and management of idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF), CPG for the diagnosis and management of patients with other ILD are lacking. There is an unmet need for the development of evidence based CPGs for all major subtypes of ILDs. These consensus statements were developed by an ILD working group with the collaboration of Indian Chest Society (ICS) and National College of Chest Physicians (NCCP), India, after systematic review of existing evidence. This statement is aimed to provide the physicians working in diverse health-care systems with a better understanding to diagnose and manage patients with non-IPF ILD in India and beyond. It is also hoped that this document will be useful to physicians confronted with patients who are not willing, wanting, and/or able to be subjected to invasive diagnostic interventions.

A working group of multidisciplinary clinicians familiar with clinical management of ILD (pulmonologists, radiologist, pathologist, and rheumatologist) and epidemiologists selected by the leaderships of ICS and NCCP posed 29 search questions to address the clinically relevant situation. A systematic search was performed on the PubMed, Embase databases, and the Cochrane Library. Data related to each question were reviewed in face-to-face discussions among the group members. Statements framed reflect the consensus opinion of the working group. A modified GRADE approach was used to grade the evidence.

Outcome: The following statements were the consensus of the working group for patients diagnosed with ILD:
- Baseline spirometry should be obtained in all patients with suspected interstitial lung disease (ILD)
- Volume scans on multidetector computed tomography (MDCT) (16 slice or higher) are preferable at initial assessment
- BAL may be used to diagnose certain rare ILDs. When performed, infection must be ruled out (especially Mycobacterium tuberculosis) by special stains, molecular techniques, and cultures of the BAL specimen, if suspected by the clinician
- TBLB may be considered in those patients likely to have ILDs, particularly if the disease has a tendency for bronchocentric involvement
- In patients not-at-high risk for surgical complications, the conditional recommendation for the surgical lung biopsy (SLB) made in the 2018 CPG was endorsed
- TBLC may be considered for obtaining biopsy in carefully selected patients with ILD at centers with expertise in the procedure
- Endorsement of the conditional recommendations for the multidisciplinary discussion (MDD) made by the international experts for the diagnosis of ILD
- Most common comorbidities encountered in ILD are gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD), pulmonary
hypertension (PH), lung cancer, obstructive sleep apnea (OSA), and venous thromboembolism (VTE)

- Every effort should be made to identify and treat the comorbid conditions influencing cough in ILD
- Pulmonary rehabilitation is suggested in dyspneic patients with ILD
- Endorsement of the recommendations for the annual influenza vaccination and Pneumococcal vaccination by the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP) to all patients with ILD
- Treatment indicated for underlying lung disease as the mainstay of therapy and supplemental oxygen for patients with hypoxemia
- Consideration of NIV as early as possible in patients who require high-flow supplemental oxygen at rest
- The consideration of MV in patients with AE ILD with respiratory failure should be made only after proper counseling
- Lung transplantation is the only treatment with clearly proven survival benefit in advanced ILD
- Palliative care for all patients with advanced ILDs
- Monitoring of disease with spirometry is advised at 4–6 months intervals
- Oral corticosteroids for 4–12 weeks are an appropriate treatment option for patients with acute/subacute HP
- ANA testing (by indirect immunofluorescence method), rheumatoid factor (RF), and antibody to Cyclic Citrullinated Peptide (anti-CCP) testing at baseline for all patients with ILD
- Corticosteroids may be given to treat RA-ILD
- Low-dose oral corticosteroids may be used for the treatment of systemic sclerosis (SSC-ILD) and high-dose should be avoided in scleroderma as it is associated with risk of renal crisis
- Cyclophosphamide or mycophenolate mofetil treatment in SSC-ILD is appropriate for patients with progressive disease
- Avoidance of continued exposure to silica and direct inhalation of tobacco products is strongly urged
- The updated recommendations published by the ATS for the diagnosis and treatment of IPF are endorsed, including treatment with antifibrotic agents, pirfenidone or nintedanib

INTRODUCTION

Interstitial lung diseases (ILDs) are a broad and heterogeneous group of lung diseases with overlapping clinical, radiological, and histopathological features. While clinical practice guidelines (CPGs) have been developed by international experts for idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF), the need for CPGs to guide clinicians to diagnose and treat patients with other ILD is evident. The Indian Chest Society (ICS) and the National College of Chest Physicians (NCCP), India, took an initiative for a task force to frame a consensus statement for management of ILD. The target audiences are clinicians who care for adults with ILD. Given the lack of evidence-based CPG for general ILD and specific ILD other than IPF, the objective of this task force was to develop a document to help the clinicians within and beyond India, to have a better understanding of the most appropriate diagnostic and therapeutic interventions available.

We believe that this document will be useful for clinicians in making accurate diagnosis and appropriate therapeutic interventions for patients with ILD other than IPF.

METHODOLOGY

A working group of multidisciplinary expert clinicians familiar with clinical management of ILD (45 pulmonologists, 2 radiologist, 2 pathologist, 1 rheumatologist, and 3 epidemiologists India, with Co-chairs VS and GR posed) selected by the leadership of the ICS and NCCP, India, posed 29 search questions to address the management of ILD. A systematic search was performed on the PubMed and Embase databases and the Cochrane Library. A modified GRADE approach was used to grade the evidence [Table 1]. The working group discussed the evidence and reached a consensus of opinions for each question following face-to-face discussions. A consensus was sought for all questions – it was unanimous in cases with high quality evidence. Greater than 80% agreement was used as threshold to determine consensus for those with a lesser quality of evidence. CPGs developed by international experts for diagnosis and management of IPF were reviewed and endorsed by the group. The specific questions addressed in this document are pertinent to the adult patient suspected to have ILD as defined:

Unexplained respiratory symptoms with chest radiograph or CT evidence of “ILD” – these include bilateral lung involvement with parenchymal densities including bilateral nodules and/or airspace densities and/or fibrotic patterns [Figure 1].

Q 1: Should spirometry, DLCO, and 6-minute walk test be performed in the initial evaluation?

Key statements

- The ATS guidelines on lung function testing and 6MWT for the clinical practice were endorsed as a standard of care to ensure quality [1, 2]
- Baseline spirometry should be obtained in all patients with suspected interstitial lung disease (ILD) (2A)
- Plethysmographic lung volumes should be done wherever feasible (3A)
- Initial evaluation should include DLCO corrected to hemoglobin (DLCO corred) wherever feasible (3A)
- 6MWT should be assessed at baseline (2A).

DISCUSSION

Desirable effects

Spirometry, DLCO, and 6MWT are routine lung function tests to assess the functional impairment at rest and with
activity of the patient in an objective manner at diagnosis as well as to prognosticate the disease rather than a diagnostic tool to characterize the subtype of ILD.\(^3,4\) They are also useful to assess disease progression and response to treatment.\(^5,6\) In this regard, the rate of FVC decline has been used as primary endpoint in most if not all clinical trials including IPF and scleroderma.\(^7,8\)

During 6MWT, patients appreciate their symptoms of shortness of breath by correlating their symptoms to distance walked and fall in oxygen saturation and thereby appreciate the need to use supplemental oxygen. Baseline lung functions are advisable for all patients, useful for monitoring progress of disease and treatment response [Figure 2].

Undesirable effects

There were no identified harms associated with patients performing the tests other than the requirement of learning the technique of correctly performing the test and out-of-pocket cost involved.

Q 2. Should computed tomography scan chest be performed in diagnosis of interstitial lung disease?

Table 1: The modified grade system including grades and each statement was graded as per the strengths

| Grade of evidence | Criterion |
|-------------------|-----------|
| Level 1 evidence  | Evidence from ≥1 good quality and well conducted randomized control trial(s) or meta-analysis of RCT’s Evidence from at least 1 RCT of moderate quality, or well-designed clinical trial without randomization; or from cohort or case-controlled studies |
| Level 2 evidence  | Evidence from descriptive studies Not backed by sufficient evidence; however, a consensus reached by the working group, based on clinical experience and expertise |
| Level 3 evidence  | Evidence from descriptive studies Not backed by sufficient evidence; however, a consensus reached by the working group, based on clinical experience and expertise |

Additionally the evidence is given strengths depending on risk and benefits

Strength A Strong recommendation to do (or not to do) where the benefits clearly outweigh the risk (or vice versa) for most, if not all patients. E.g., 1A, 2A

Strength B Weak recommendation, where benefits and risk are more closely balanced or are more uncertain. E.g., 1B, 2B, 3B

**DISCUSSION**

Desirable effects

‘Obtaining HRCT scans of the chest’ has become quite essential component of diagnostic evaluation in ILD and is in essence a motherhood statement. The disease specific patterns have diagnostic and prognostic significance [Figure 3].

Undesirable effects

The major concern with the volumetric technique is the radiation dose exposure.\(^9\) However, recent technological advances allow significant reduction of the radiation exposure without a compromise in quality.\(^10-15\)

Quality of HRCT would be another concern; Table 2 provides the recommended protocol for obtaining a good-quality HRCT.\(^16\) Interacting with the radiologist and communicating the requirements would be a step toward multidisciplinary discussion.

Q 3. Should following procedure/s be performed in diagnosis?

A. Bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL)
B. Transbronchial lung biopsy (TBLB)
C. Transbronchial cryobiopsy (TBLC)
D. Video-assisted thoracoscopic (VATS) lung biopsy.
Key statements

- BAL may be used to diagnose certain rare ILDs such as pulmonary alveolar proteinosis (PAP), PLCH, and eosinophilic pneumonia (3B).
- When performed, infection must be ruled out (especially Mycobacterium tuberculosis) by special stains, molecular techniques, and cultures of the BAL specimen, if suspected by the clinician (usual practice point).
- Noninvasive tests such as sputum for microbiologic and molecular testing should precede a flexible bronchoscopy; a positive result obviates the need of a BAL.
- Conventional TBLB should not be done in patients with UIP pattern on HRCT (2A).
- TBLB may be considered in those patients likely to have ILDs, particularly if the disease has a tendency for bronchocentric involvement such as sarcoidosis and HP (3B).
- The site of biopsy site should be guided by HRCT (usual practice point).
- TBLC may be considered for obtaining biopsy in carefully selected patients with ILD at centers with expertise in the procedure (2A).
- In patients not-at-high risk for surgical complications, the conditional recommendation for the surgical lung biopsy (SLB) made in the 2018 CPG was endorsed by this group and should be considered for diagnosis of ILD based on availability of local surgical expertise if the HRCT does not show a characteristic pattern of a specific ILD subtype (1A).
- VATS lung biopsy should be preferred over open lung biopsy (1A).
- Patients with forced vital capacity (FVC) ≥55% and diffusion capacity (DLCOcorr to Hb) ≥35%, and either absent or only mild PH, are at minimal risk of complications (2B).
• SLB should not be performed in patients with respiratory failure/those on mechanical ventilation as it is associated with risk of high mortality (1A).

DISCUSSION

Bronchoalveolar lavage

Desirable effects

A properly performed BAL (Table 3) helps ascertain the cellularity by differential count, rules out infections, especially mycobacterial, fungal, and viral, thereby narrowing the differential diagnoses of ILD. In appropriate clinical settings, BAL specimens are useful to diagnose alveolar proteinosis and alveolar hemorrhage. In resource-limited setting, a properly performed and analyzed BAL may be of particular benefit in non-IPF ILDs. BAL and lung biopsy of any kind are not indicated in patients with known CTD manifesting ILD for the purpose of the specific histopathology diagnosis.

Undesirable effects

Although BAL is a relatively safe procedure, the risks associated with the procedure and conscious sedation are relative. Rarely, BAL may precipitate an episode of acute exacerbation of underlying ILD. Patients may experience discomfort and/or cough during the procedure. Appropriate standardization of the process and interpretation of BAL results needs to be ensured to minimize variability.

Transbronchial lung biopsy

Desirable effects

The diagnostic yield of a TBLB specimen is high in ILD with a peribronchovascular or centrilobular pattern of distribution such as sarcoidosis, HP, PAP, lymphangitis carcinomatosis, and alveolar microlithiasis. Recent reports suggest the utility of TBLB for molecular diagnosis of UIP.6-21

Undesirable effects

With the exception of sarcoidosis, TBLB has limitations with diagnostic yield because of the small size of the biopsy specimen, high probability of crush artifacts, sampling errors, inability to penetrate beyond the peribronchial region, and disintegration of the friable tissue. Further, patients with IPF may have NSIP pattern in some areas of their lungs and thus small sized TBLBs may misclassify UIP as NSIP.22 Bleeding (1%-4%), pneumothorax (1%-6%), and mortality (<0.05%) constitute the main complications.

Transbronchial lung cryobiopsy

Desirable effects

TBLC has advantages over TBLB by providing larger lung specimens with little crush artifact.24 The larger size of the specimen increases the probability of sampling the tissue of interest. Previous data has shown that a relatively lower proportion of patients with ILD undergo lung biopsies reflecting the reluctance on part of physician or patient or due to lack of facilities for SLB.25,26 Performing a cryobiopsy would bridge the gap of providing larger tissue with good yield without the cost, risk and surgical expertise required for SLB.

Table 2: Recommended high-resolution computed tomography scanning protocol for patient’s being evaluated for interstitial lung disease

| S.No. | Protocol recommended |
|-------|----------------------|
| 1     | Noncontrast examination |
| 2     | Volumetric acquisition with selection of Submillimetric collimation Shortest rotation time Highest pitch |
|       | Tube potential and tube current appropriate to patient size Typically 120 kVp and 9240 mAs Lower tube potentials (e.g., 100 kVp) with adjustment of tube current encouraged for thin patients |
|       | Use of techniques available to avoid unnecessary radiation exposure (e.g., tube current modulation) |
| 3     | Reconstruction of thin-section CT images (9.15 mm): Contiguous or overlapping Iterative reconstruction algorithm if validated on the CT unit (if not, filtered back projection) |
| 4     | Number of acquisitions Supine: Inspiratory (volumetric) Supine: Expiratory (can be volumetric or sequential)* Prone: Only inspiratory scans (can be sequential or volumetric)** |
| 5     | Recommended radiation dose for the inspiratory volumetric acquisition 1-3 mSv (i.e., “reduced” dose) Strong recommendation to avoid “ultralow-dose CT” (<1 mSv) |

*Though the ATS/ERS 2018 guidelines gives a choice between volumetric or sequential scans for the expiratory acquisition, we suggest volumetric scan at expiration as well, for the benefits described in the text. Reprinted with permission of the American Thoracic Society.

Copyright © 2019 American Thoracic Society. Cite: Author(s)/Year/Title/ Journal title/Volume/Pages. The American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine is an official journal of the American Thoracic Society. **Prone scans should be done in patients with minimal or absent symptoms. CT: Computed tomography

Table 3: Procedure, handling of specimen and cellular analysis to be done on Bronchoalveolar lavage fluid as per the American Thoracic Society guidelines 2012

| S.No. | Recommended protocol |
|-------|----------------------|
| 1     | Site for taking a BAL is decided by the part of lung most affected |
| 2     | Minimum 100 ml and maximum 300 ml normal saline is instilled after wedging the bronchoscope in the distal most segment |
| 3     | Saline is instilled in 3-5 sequential aliquots and then sucked out with suction pressures <100 mmHg |
| 4     | Minimum 30% of instilled saline should be retrieved to label it adequate sample |
| 5     | BAL fluid is transferred to laboratory situated in same hospital as the procedure may be transferred as such |
| 6     | If transfer requires >30 min, it should transported on ice |
| 7     | BAL differential count should be performed including: neutrophils, eosinophils, lymphocytes, macrophages |

Reprinted with permission of the American Thoracic Society. Cite: Author(s)/Year/Title/Journal title/Volume/Pages. The American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine is an official journal of the American Thoracic Society.

BAL: Bronchoalveolar lavage
Undesirable effects
The technique is relatively new with varying levels of expertise and lack of standardized and/or validated technique. The rate of complications are variable: pneumothorax (3%–33%), moderate-to-severe bleeding (0%–78%), acute exacerbation, and death (0.04%–4.3%). Due to the risk of complications, the technique should be performed by experts trained and familiar with the technique in carefully selected patients (FVC ≥1.5 L and 50% of predicted, FEV1 ≥0.8 L, DLCO ≥30% of predicted, PaO2 ≥60 mm Hg, absence of extensive fibrotic changes on CT scan, no or mild pulmonary hypertension, no coagulopathy) in only specialized centers.

It is further suggested that the procedure be performed safely under general anesthesia with an artificial airway (rigid bronchoscope, endotracheal tube, and laryngeal mask airway) in place along with the use of fluoroscopy and prophylactic occlusion balloon/Fogarty balloon catheter/bronchial blocker and with stand by surgeon.

Video-assisted thoracic surgery lung biopsy
Desirable effects
VATS-associated lung biopsy provides specimens with large size, from multiple lobes and with preserved architecture. This is currently considered as the gold standard for sample collection for histopathological diagnosis by obtaining adequate samples from 2 to 3 lobes increasing the diagnostic yield.

Undesirable effects
This requires general anesthesia and has associated risks. Postoperative pneumonia, pleural effusion, chronic chest pain, prolonged air leak, acute exacerbation of ILD, requirement of mechanical ventilation, delayed wound healing, neuropathic pain, prolonged hospital stay, readmission to hospital within 1 month of discharge, and death are the complications of SLB. Acute exacerbation requires special mention as it is associated with high mortality rates. Complications are more frequently encountered in patients with IPF (compared to other ILDs), FVC <55% or DLCO <35% and those undergoing nonelective SLB.

Q 4. Should integrated multidisciplinary team-guided discussion be performed?

Key statements
- The conditional recommendations for the multidisciplinary discussion (MDD) made by the international experts for the diagnosis of ILD were endorsed and the need for the MDD was emphasized whenever there are inconsistencies between clinical, radiological or pathological features (1A)
- MDD should include clinician or pulmonary physician, radiologist, and pathologist (2A)
- Pathologist is not needed for MDD if diagnosis of ILD is established without surgical biopsy and rheumatologist may be part of MDD on case-to-case basis (usual practice point).

DISCUSSION

Desirable effects
Multidisciplinary interaction is vital for improving the initial diagnosis and reducing the number of patients with undifferentiated ILD [Figure 4]. The usefulness of MDD, especially in cases with atypical findings, and its clinical utility or better management has been well documented. Telephone, conference calling, communication by texting via smartphones, other current and/or evolving digital/electronic means and E-mails are appropriate means of communication among experts, provided they allow a thorough two-way interaction.

Undesirable effects
While there are no undesirable effects from the patients perspective, face-to-face MDD may not be feasible for all patients with ILD. It is unclear if all patients with ILD need a MDD by experienced experts for accurate diagnosis. The need for MDD in difficult cases, especially for the ones that are atypical, unclassifiable, or newly diagnosed, is evident. Largely, the decision will depend on the experience of the MDD team.

Q 5. What are the essential diagnostic “evaluation” needed for patients with severe interstitial lung disease presenting with respiratory failure at the initial visit (and are not in acute exacerbation)?

Key statement
- The panel endorsed the following standard of care for all patients with interstitial lung disease with respiratory failure at initial evaluation
  - Detailed history
  - Complete blood count
  - A comprehensive metabolic panel including, liver and kidney function tests and serum electrolytes
  - Chest radiograph and HRCT chest
  - Electrocardiogram.

![Figure 4: Advantages of multidisciplinary discussion in diagnosis of interstitial lung disease](image)
• Pulse oximetry
• Arterial blood gases (if SpO2 < 90%)
• Additional tests to be considered at the initial visit include connective tissue disease markers (rheumatoid factor, antinuclear antibody (ANA), myositis panel, anticyclic citrullinated peptide).

• Following tests may be considered in selected patients with severe ILD
  • Transthoracic echocardiogram, cardiac enzymes (clinical suggestion, acute worsening)
  • CT pulmonary angiography (only if acute worsening)
  • BAL cellular analysis or any biopsy procedure should be avoided
  • BAL can be considered to rule out infection only if clinically indicated and indispensable for decision-making.

DISCUSSION

There was no evidence pertaining to this question. A typical scenario was presented to the working group. The panel advocated detailed history and tests for diagnosis of ILD and also tests to rule out concomitant cardiac or pulmonary vascular disorders.

Desirable effects
The investigations of the clinical scenario would provide clues to the specific subtype of ILD; in addition, they may help in identifying diagnosing comorbid conditions that might possibly leading to deterioration of the patient.

Undesirable effects
Other than the costs involved in conducting the investigations, the working group did not find any untoward side effect of the investigations.

Q 6. Should the following tests be done to monitor progress of interstitial lung disease?
1. Forced vital capacity (FVC)
2. Diffusion capacity
3. 6MWT
4. HRCT.

Key statements
• Disease monitoring in IPF is advised at 4–6-month interval with FVC (2A), DLCO_{corr to Hb} (3A), 6-min walk test: For distance and SpO2 measurements (2A) and Medical Research Council Dyspnea Score (MRCDS)
• HRCT chest determined/guided by clinical needs/indicated (usual practice point)
• In other ILDs, the panel suggested FVC at 6 months interval till clinical stability is achieved, thereafter every 12 months (3A), DLCO_{corr to Hb} may be repeated yearly. The role of 6MWT in CTD associated ILDs is limited due to presence of various confounding factors (usual practice point).

DISCUSSION

The working group endorses the use of pulmonary function tests in prognosticating ILD. A marginal decline of 5%–10% in FVC has also been proposed as indicator of significant disease progression and mortality in some studies.[60] Patients who are too sick to perform the tests may be evaluated with MRCDS [Figure 5].[61,62]

Desirable effects
Lung function tests and 6-min walk test provide objective tools to judge treatment response and disease progression. Deterioration of patient can be assessed by these tests to diagnose whether deterioration is due to worsening disease or comorbid illness.

Undesirable effects
Other than the cost and the effort to perform the tests which some patient may find uncomfortable, there are no untoward effects of the investigations. The standard 6 MWT that utilizes finger oximetry for assessing oxygenation may give false reading in some patients with Raynaud’s phenomenon, sclerodactyly, dysrhythmia, and methemoglobinemia.

Q 7. What are the common comorbidities in interstitial lung disease and how to screen for them?

Key statements
• Most common comorbidities encountered in ILD are gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD), pulmonary hypertension (PH), lung cancer, obstructive sleep apnea (OSA), and venous thromboembolism (VTE)
• Screening tools for monitoring comorbidities in ILD patients are:
  1. GERD: A validated GERD questionnaire (3A)
  2. PH: Echocardiography as a screening tool for PH/PAH in ILD patients (3A). ILD patients though right heart catheterization remains the gold standard for documenting the diagnosis. [3A]
  3. CT for Lung cancer: A significant smoking
history (2A), chest pain (3A), hemoptysis (3A), and areas of emphysema on HRCT (2A) act as warning signs for lung cancer and should trigger a search for the same.

4. OSA: ILD patients with high body mass index (BMI) (3A) and a positive sleep apnea screening questionnaire (3A) may be evaluated by polysomnography

5. VTE: Sudden onset and/or rapid worsening of dyspnea, palpitations, lower extremity edema with positive wells or revised Geneva score may act as trigger to search for VTE (3A).

DISCUSSION

Desirable effects
Diagnosis and treatment of comorbid conditions associated with ILD would likely improve outcome and the quality of life of patients.\textsuperscript{[25,63‑71]}

Undesirable effects
Other than the associated costs, there are no untoward effects of the investigations.

The cost-effectiveness of CT screening for lung cancer is unknown in low-resource and tuberculosis-endemic settings.

Q 8. Should following therapies be used for management of cough in interstitial lung disease?

1. Prednisolone
2. Gabapentin
3. Thalidomide.

Key statements
- A short trial of oral prednisolone in distressing cough associated with IPF is an appropriate consideration (3B)
- Gabapentin may be tried for intractable cough (3B)
- Thalidomide may be tried for intractable/distressing cough associated with IPF (2B)
- Every effort should be made to identify and treat the comorbid conditions influencing cough in ILD (usual practice point).

DISCUSSION

Desirable effects
Evaluation and treatment for comorbid conditions that might explain other reasons of cough may lead to targeted treatment and reduction in cough frequency in some patients. Empirical trial of a short course of prednisolone, gabapentin, or thalidomide may be worthwhile for the potential desirable effect of suppressing intractable cough [Figure 6].

Undesirable effects
Drug adverse effects are the undesirable consequences of the use of such agents for cough control. Weighing the risk benefit ratio, a short course may be attempted in cases of debilitating cough.

Q 9. Should following therapies be used for management of dyspnea in progressive interstitial lung disease?

1. Pulmonary rehabilitation
2. Supplemental oxygen
3. Nebulized opioid therapy.

Key statements
The working group suggests:
- Pulmonary rehabilitation in dyspneic patients with ILD (2A)
- Supplemental oxygen in patients with documented resting hypoxemia and/or exercise-induced hypoxemia, and desaturation while sleeping (2B)
- Long-term oxygen therapy (LTOT) for patients with ILD who have persistent resting hypoxemia (3A)
- Nebulized opioid therapy is not beneficial to relieve dyspnea in all ILD patients and may be used only for patients receiving comfort and palliative care (2A).

DISCUSSION

Desirable effects
Pulmonary rehabilitation is useful for patients to cope with dyspnea, inactivity, and reduced quality of life. The beneficial effects in symptoms, physical activity, 6 min walk distance, and quality of life have been documented.\textsuperscript{[72,73]}

Oxygen therapy alleviates symptoms of dyspnea, improves activity, dyspnea scores, and walk distance. Opioid therapy does not relieve dyspnea in all ILD patients\textsuperscript{[74]} and may be helpful in small group with end stage lung disease, primarily for palliative care.

Undesirable effects
Pulmonary rehabilitation has no beneficial effects on survival. The effects last as long as program is continued.
The lack of facilities in reasonable proximity to patients’ home and cost of travelling to such facilities pose additional logistic challenges.

Cost of oxygen therapy, emotional willingness, and behavioral changes to accept the dependency upon supplemental oxygen for daily activities either continuously or as needed at home and in public places and fire hazards associated with inadvertent oxygen use are some of the undesirable consequences that patients will need to be aware of.

Concomitant respiratory depression, addiction, altered mental status, lethargy, excessive sleep, and side effects such as constipation are undesirable consequences of the therapy.

Q 10. Should patients with interstitial lung disease receive vaccination against influenza and pneumococci?

**Key statement**
- The working group endorsed the recommendation by ACIP (Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices) for vaccinations (influenza and Pneumococci) for all patients with ILD (usual practice point).

**DISCUSSION**

**Desirable effects**
Influenza and pneumococcal vaccinations have been associated with decreased infection and fewer exacerbations, hospital visits, admissions, and death in patients with chronic lung disease. [75-78]

**Undesirable effects**
Minimal chances of allergic reactions and cost are the undesirable consequences.

Q 11. Should pulmonary hypertension associated with interstitial lung disease be treated with medications indicated for pulmonary hypertension?

**Key statements**
- The group endorses the guidelines for management of chronic PH-specific therapy for patients with PH (1A) and the treatment of underlying lung disease as the mainstay of therapy and supplemental oxygen in cases of hypoxemia. [79,80]
- Ambrisentan is contraindicated in patients with PH related to IPF. The therapeutic benefits of other PH-specific therapy in ILD-related PH remains unknown (2A).

**DISCUSSION**

**Desirable effects**
The group acknowledged the ambiguous results of studies evaluating role of various drugs in PH associated with ILD. [81-84] Potential therapeutic benefits with use of sildenafil in patients with severe lung function impairment in improving gas exchange status and quality of life might be considered for the well informed patient particularly with right ventricular dysfunction. [82,85]

**Undesirable effects**
Ambrisentan has been associated with disease progression and increased hospitalization in IPF, and is thus contraindicated in the same. [86] Side effects associated with other medications use for the management of PH include systemic hypotension, liver toxicity, and require monitoring for known side effects.

Q 12. Should noninvasive ventilation (NIV) and mechanical ventilation (MV) be used in patients with interstitial lung disease?

**Key statements**
- Consideration of NIV as early as possible in patients who require high-flow supplemental oxygen at rest, especially in patients manifesting acute exacerbation (AE)-ILD with respiratory failure as it has been associated with better short term outcomes (2A).
- The consideration of MV in patients with AE ILD with respiratory failure should be made only after proper counseling (2A)

**DISCUSSION**

**Desirable effects**
NIV has been shown to improve dyspnea and respiratory failure in a subset of patients. [87,88] MV may help tide over short term respiratory failure due to reversible causes. [88]

**Undesirable effects**
Apart from the cost of NIV, asynchrony with ventilator, the inability to communicate, eat, and drink are hindrances to its use.

MV is associated with increased mortality and worse outcomes in patients with acute exacerbation of ILD. [89] Thus, it should be applied after weighing risk benefit ratio on case to case basis.

Q 13. Should lung transplantation be advised to patients with interstitial lung disease?

**Key statements**
- Lung transplantation is the only treatment with clearly proven survival benefit in advanced ILD, especially IPF, and should be considered in carefully selected patients (2A).

**DISCUSSION**

**Desirable effects**
Lung transplant is a viable option with proven survival benefits in selected patients with end stage fibrotic lung disease. [90]
Undesirable effects
Posttransplant survival is variable in lung transplant programs. While the 5-year survival in most experienced lung transplant programs is about 70%, less experienced programs have lesser survival rates. Patients and their care givers may need to relocate to places away from their homes to be close to lung transplant programs. Psychosocial stress, financial restraints/burden, side effects of the procedure, and medications are all significant limitations.

Q 14. Should palliative care be advised to patients with interstitial lung disease?

Key statements
• The working group suggests that all patients with advanced ILDs receive palliative care to improve quality of life (1A)
• Multidisciplinary collaborative care for the potential of reduced rates of respiratory related hospitalizations and death (2A).

DISCUSSION

Desirable effects
The most prominent symptoms in a terminally ill ILD patient are dyspnea, cough, depression, and heart burn. Palliative care aims at addressing these symptoms with the aim to provide a better quality of life through pulmonary rehabilitation,[72,73] morphine,[91] oxygen therapy,[92] NIV,[93] and antireflux therapy for gastroesophageal reflux[94] (Figure 7).

Undesirable effects
The side effects of the medications used to alleviate the symptoms to comfort the patient may limit the optimum benefits for the patient; there are no survival benefits.

Questions, statements, and remarks summarized for a few specific interstitial lung disease

Q 15. Should serum precipitins be done to evaluate for HP?

Key statement
• Based on lack of standardization and lack of validated testing antigens, the working group opined against obtaining serum for precipitins routinely for patients with ILD (2A).

Desirable effects
A raised serum precipitin in conjugation with appropriate clinical presentation, radiology, and biopsy may prompt further diagnostic evaluation that might lead toward a diagnosis of HP.

Undesirable effects
However, they have variable diagnostic accuracies with positive results in exposed healthy individuals and negative results in patients with HP.[95,96] While the positive test merely indicates the exposure, the test is insensitive and thus the awareness of the presence or absence of the specific IgG may not be helpful for all patients.

Q 16. Should following drugs be used in the treatment of HP?

1. Oral corticosteroids
2. Azathioprine
3. Mycophenolate (MMF).

Key statements
• Oral corticosteroids for 4–12 weeks are an appropriate treatment option for patients with acute/subacute HP with monitoring of lung function parameters and side effects (1A)
• Prolonged use of oral steroids (2A), azathioprine, and MMF (3A) should be based on clinical response and tolerance.

DISCUSSION

Desirable effects
In patients with acute HP, there is improvement in symptoms and lung functions with oral corticosteroid. However, this benefit is not sustained over long term. Limited retrospective data is available on role of immunosuppressants in chronic HP with studies claiming improvement in lung functions, diffusion, and steroid sparing effects.

Undesirable effects
Side effects of specific therapy [Table 4] in addition to the costs incurred are the undesirable side effects. Continued immunosuppression may have impact on survival. In countries with high prevalence of tuberculosis, monitoring for new or recurrent tubercular infection should be done. Short-term use of oral corticosteroids in HP has been advised by the group based on a RCT conducted...
There is a lack of evidence pertaining to duration and dose of corticosteroids for long-term therapy in HP. Prolonged use of corticosteroids and other immunosuppressants should be prescribed after weighing benefit of individual response and side effects associated with the drugs [Table 4].

Inability to identify the inciting antigen is associated with worse survival. Therefore, every effort should be made to identify the inciting antigen. The antigen may be unique to the area of residence depending on occupation, environment, and local customs; thus, enquiry should be directed to determining the exposure in a detailed manner.

Q 17. Should pirfenidone and nintedanib be used in the treatment of idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis?

**Key statements**

- **All symptomatic IPF patients with FVC of >50% predicted should be initiated on pirfenidone (1B)**
- **The patients on pirfenidone developing ≥10% subsequent decline in FVC in any 6–12 months period should be given a choice of continuation of therapy or switch to an alternative therapy depending on case to case basis (UPP)**
- **All symptomatic IPF patients with FVC of >50% predicted should be initiated on nintedanib (1B)**
- **The patients on nintedanib developing ≥10% subsequent decline in FVC in any 6–12 months period should be given a choice of continuation of therapy or switch to an alternative therapy on case to case basis (UPP)**
- **Either Pirfenidone or Nintedanib may be chosen for**

**Table 4: Doses, side effects and management of side effects of commonly used drugs in the treatment of interstitial lung disease**

| Drug               | Dose                                      | Side effect       | How to manage side effect                        |
|--------------------|-------------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| Pirfenidone        | 1800-2400 mg/day in divided doses, 200 mg 3 tablets thrice a day | Nausea, vomiting  | Reduce or stop the drug, PPI                      |
|                    |                                           | Photosensitivity, rash | Cover exposed skin, sunscreen                     |
|                    |                                           | Elevated liver enzymes | Monitor LFT monthly for 6 months, thereafter 3 monthly |
|                    |                                           | Diarrhea          | Reduce or stop drug, Imodium                     |
|                    |                                           | Nausea, vomiting   | Reduce or stop drug, PPI                         |
|                    |                                           | Elevated liver enzymes | Monitor LFT monthly for 3 months, thereafter 3 monthly |
| N- acetyl cysteine | 600 mg thrice a day                       | Nausea, vomiting, diarrhea | Bring to lowest dose possible, sugar avoidance, oral hypoglycemia (if patient develops diabetes mellitus), exercise |
| Prednisolone       | 1 mg/kg BW tapered to 0.25 mg/kg BW*      | Hyperglycemia     | Salt avoidance, exercise                         |
|                    |                                           | Hypertension       | Salt avoidance, exercise                         |
|                    |                                           | Swelling face      | Salt avoidance                                   |
| Azathioprine       | 50 mg twice a day                         | Neutropenia        | Reduce or stop the dose, PCP prophylaxis         |
|                    |                                           | Hematological      | Reduce or stop the dose                          |
|                    |                                           | Neutropenia, thrombocytopenia | Folic acid is added once a week               |
|                    |                                           | Gastrointestinal  | Give with food PPI                               |
| Methotrexate**     | 10 mg/week may be increased to 20 mg/week and brought down to 5 mg/week | Nausea, vomiting  | Reduce or stop the drug, PCP prophylaxis         |
|                    |                                           | Hematological      | Reduce or stop the dose                          |
|                    |                                           | Neutropenia, thrombocytopenia | Folic acid is added once a week               |
|                    |                                           | Gastrointestinal  | Give with food PPI                               |
|                    |                                           | Hemorrhagic cystitis | Less with intermittent dosing                    |
| MMF                | 1.5-3mg/day                               | Leucopenia         | Reduce or stop drug, PCP prophylaxis             |
|                    |                                           | Diarrhea          | Reduce dose, hydration                           |
| Cyclophosphamide   | 500-1000 mg IV per 4 week or 1-2 mg/day orally | Neutropenia, Infertility | Monitoring CBC                                   |
|                    |                                           | Hemorrhagic cystitis | Less with intermittent dosing                    |
|                    |                                           | Infertility        | Monitoring CBC                                   |
| Infliximab         | 3 mg/kg at 0, 2, 6, 12, 18, 24 weeks     | Allergic reactions | Slow infusion, antiallergics, paracetamol corticosteroid loading |
|                    |                                           | Infecions         | Rule of pulmonary tuberculosis prior to initiation, PCP prophylaxis, monitoring |
| Rituximab          | 1000 mg IV repeat at 2 weeks              | Allergic reaction  | Stop infusion                                    |
|                    |                                           | Cytopenias         | Antiallergic medications, paracetamol corticosteroid loading |
|                    |                                           | Infecions         | CBC monitoring                                   |
|                    |                                           |                   | PCP prophylaxis, monitoring                      |

*In Scleroderma ILD the dose of prednisolone should be kept < 10 mg/day, ** Patients on methotrexate should be followed up with monthly CBC, LFT and RFT for 6 months followed by 3 monthly testing. BW: Body weight, PPI: Proton pump inhibitors, LFT: Liver function tests, PCP: Pneumocystis carinii, CBC: Complete blood count, MMF: Mycophenolate mofetil, ILD: Interstitial lung disease, IV: Intravenous.
patients with IPF based on patient preference and tolerability (UPP).

DISCUSSION

Desirable effects
Pirfenidone has been associated with slowing of the absolute decline in FVC, increases progression-free survival, and reduces mortality.\textsuperscript{[100]} Nintedanib has been associated with reduction in decline in predicted FVC, acute exacerbation and risk of all cause, and respiratory related and on treatment mortality.\textsuperscript{[101,102]}

Undesirable effects
Side effects of the drugs [Table 4] in addition to their cost are the undesirable effects. Duration of treatment is life-long.

Q 18. Should N-acetylcysteine be used in the treatment of IPF?

Key statement
• NAC is currently not recommended for routine treatment of IPF and may be considered in certain subgroups on a case to case basis (UPP).

DISCUSSION

Desirable effects
NAC has not shown any beneficial effects on lung functions, adverse outcomes and death.\textsuperscript{[103]} A genotype analysis of single nucleotide polymorphism (SNPs) of patients with IPF found that TOLLIP polymorph rs3750920 TT was associated with favourable response to NAC while CC polymorph was associated with increased mortality.\textsuperscript{[104]}

Undesirable effects
Side effects such as nausea and vomiting and cost are other issues.

Q 19. Should combination therapy be used for IPF?

Key statement
• More evidence is needed to recommend use of pirfenidone in combination with nintedanib or NAC and the dose of individual drugs to be used in such therapy in patients with IPF.

Desirable effects
Large randomized controlled trials are needed to compare combination therapy with placebo before advocating the same.\textsuperscript{[105]}

Undesirable effects
The side effects and cost of therapy are more in case of combination therapy.

Q 20. Should antiacid therapy be used in the treatment of IPF?

Key statement
• The committee suggests that antiacid treatment may be initiated in patients with IPF at the time of diagnosis (3B).

Desirable effects
There have been conflicting studies on the efficacy of antiacid treatment in patients with IPF with some reporting lesser mortality whereas others have not found significant improvement.\textsuperscript{[106–108]} Antireflux surgery has shown to have nonsignificant improvement in FVC, acute exacerbations and mortality.\textsuperscript{[109]}

Undesirable effects
Side effects are minimal for medical management of reflux disease. Surgical complications are associated with laparoscopic reflux surgery.

Q 21. Should ANA testing be performed in patients with interstitial lung disease?

Key statements
• All patients with ILD should undergo ANA testing (by indirect immunofluorescence method), rheumatoid factor (RF) and anti-CCP testing at baseline (3A)
• Additional serologic testing should be advised in patients with a high pre-test probability for connective tissue disease (CTD)-ILD (3A)
• Repeat serological testing is indicated in presence of signs and symptoms of CTD (if previously negative) (3A)
• Repeat serological testing is not indicated in previously screened serology positive CTD ILD patients (3B).

DISCUSSION

Desirable effects
ANA testing is vital to rule out CTD-ILD, since many a times ILD may be the only manifestation of autoimmune disease.

Undesirable effects
Not all autoimmune ILDs have a positive ANA panel. Cost effectiveness has not been ascertained despite it being recommended as a screening test in 2011 and 2018 guidelines. The group endorsed and reinstated the recommendation made in the 2018 guideline even in context of resource-limited settings.\textsuperscript{[16]} The diagnosis of ILD requires meticulous evaluation for an underlying CTD, with major implications for prognosis and management.\textsuperscript{[7]}

Q 22. Rheumatoid arthritis associated interstitial lung disease

a. Should steroids be used to treat patients of RA-ILD?
b. Should following drugs such as cyclophosphamide, mycophenolate mofetil, and rituximab be used in the treatment of RA-ILD?
c. Should drugs such as methotrexate, leflunomide, and antitumor necrosis factor (TNF) be continued for the treatment of RA who develops ILD?
Key statements

- Corticosteroids may be used in the treatment of RA-ILD (3B)
- Cyclophosphamide (2B), mycophenolate mofetil (2B), and rituximab (3B) may be used in the treatment of RA-ILD in case of no response to corticosteroids
- Role of other drugs in RA patients who develop ILD:
  1. Methotrexate should be discontinued in patients of RA diagnosed with ILD (2B)
  2. Leflunomide can be continued in patients diagnosed with RA-ILD (1B)
  3. Other antitumor necrosis factor (TNF) agents may be used cautiously (3B).

DISCUSSION

The treatment of RA-ILD with anti-inflammatory agents is complex as the evidence is very low. Considerable debate and discussion amongst the working group was held. Many of drugs used in the treatment of RA are associated with causing ILD; thereby, there were no consensus reached-section is divided into the drugs used in the treatment of RA-ILD and whether some of the drugs should be continued in RA patients who develop ILD.

Desirable effects

Corticosteroids are anti-inflammatory drugs which help suppress disease activity leading to improvement in symptoms and lung functions.[110-112] Additional immunosuppressants such as cyclophosphamide, MMF, and rituximab have steroid sparing effects.[113-115]

Undesirable effects

Immunosuppression and potential side effects associated with individual agents and infection is of significant concern and patients will need frequent monitoring through blood counts during visits. In addition, there is propensity to cause ILD by some of the immunosuppressants such as methotrexate.[116,117] While these risks are relative, the patient will need appropriate monitoring to detect adverse effects that may require prompt intervention.

Q 23. Scleroderma associated interstitial lung disease

a. Should steroids be used to treat patients of scleroderma associated ILD (SSC-ILD)?
b. Should drugs such as cyclophosphamide, mycophenolate mofetil, and azathioprine be used to treat patients of SSC-ILD?
c. Should Rituximab be used to treat patients of SSC-ILD?

Key statements

- Low-dose steroids may be continued in the treatment of SSC-ILD. High-dose steroids should be avoided in scleroderma as it is associated with risk of renal crisis (2B)
- Treatment in SSC-ILD may be initiated in cases with progressive disease with either cyclophosphamide or mycophenolate mofetil (1A)
- Mycophenolate mofetil has better tolerability and lesser side effects, though more expensive (1A)
- Azathioprine is an alternate drug for maintenance therapy in SSC-ILD (1A)
- Rituximab could be considered in patients with refractory scleroderma. It should be administered at tertiary care level after evaluating the pros and cons of treatment (2B).

DISCUSSION

Desirable effects

Corticosteroids and other drugs have been associated with improvement in dyspnea, lung functions, and quality of life.

Undesirable effects

High-dose corticosteroids may precipitate renal crisis in patients with SSC-ILD.[118] Immunosuppression and secondary infection are a dreaded complication of these drugs. Effect lasts till the drugs are taken and there is no long lasting benefits. Based on scleroderma lung study I and II, the working group endorsed the use of either cyclophosphamide, MMF, or azathioprine for the treatment of SSC-ILD.[119,120] MMF is equivalent to cyclophosphamide though with better safety profile.[120]

The group acknowledged the awareness of ongoing clinical trials with antifibrotic agents – pirfenidone and nintedanib and were not aware of the data published since.[7] Nintedanib, an anti-fibrotic drug has been shown to reduce the annual decline in lung functions associated with SSC-ILD. However, there was no advantage on the other manifestations of the SSC.

Q 24. Should serum ACE be done to evaluate for sarcoidosis?

Key statements

- The group did not consider the utility of measuring serum ACE routinely for the diagnosis of sarcoidosis (2A).

DISCUSSION

Poor sensitivity and specificity along with unwarranted cost have precluded ACE as test of choice for sarcoidosis.[121,122]

Q 25. Should endobronchial biopsy, transbronchial lung biopsy, and transbronchial needle aspiration be performed in diagnosis of sarcoidosis?

Key statements

- Combined EBB, TBLB, and TBNA has the maximum yield for the diagnosis of pulmonary sarcoidosis (1A)
- The choice of technique used for TBNA (conventional or endobronchial ultrasound, EBUS) is deferred to the operator when performed in conjunction with EBB and TBLB (1A).
DISCUSSION

Desirable effects
The working group reviewed the available literature and unanimously agreed that combination of procedures such as TBNA, TBLB, and endobronchial biopsies lead to higher yield in diagnosing sarcoidosis rather than either procedure alone.\[23,123-125\]

Undesirable effects
Combining the three procedures increases the duration and cost. Combining TBLB to TBNA and EBB would increase risk of bleeding and pneumothorax, which were minimal with only former two procedures.

Q 26. Should following drugs be used in the treatment of pulmonary sarcoidosis?

1. Corticosteroids
2. Methotrexate
3. Azathioprine
4. Leflunomide
5. Hydroxychloroquine
6. Infliximab.

Key statements
• Observe patients without pharmacological interventions in patients who are asymptomatic stage 0/1 pulmonary sarcoidosis (1A)
• Treat patients with symptomatic stage 1 and all stage 2,3 and 4 pulmonary sarcoidosis with oral corticosteroids (1A)
• Additional immunosuppressant – methotrexate (2A), azathioprine (3A/B), leflunomide (3A), and hydroxychloroquine – may be tried in patients not responding to oral steroids or with associated steroid toxicity
• Infliximab may be tried after carefully weighing the risk benefit ratio in patients with refractory pulmonary sarcoidosis (1A).

DISCUSSION

Desirable effects
Corticosteroids improve dyspnea score, lung functions and radiology in sarcoidosis.\[126-128\] Other immunosuppressants may be given in resistant cases or those on high-dose corticosteroids.\[129-132\] They have steroid sparing and therapeutic effects [Figure 8].

Undesirable effects
Corticosteroids have no significant benefits for asymptomatic stage 0/1 sarcoidosis. Moreover, the effects last as long as they are used.\[1126\] There is no long-term benefits in term of lung functions. Immunosuppression is another concerning feature not only for corticosteroids but for other immunosuppressants as well.

Q 27. Should steroids and cyclophosphamide be used in the treatment of idiopathic nonspecific interstitial pneumonia?

Key statements
• Oral corticosteroids are suggested for the treatment of iNSIP (3B)
• Immunosuppressants such as cyclophosphamide may be used as add-on therapy in patients not responsive to steroids (3B).

DISCUSSION

Desirable effects
Corticosteroids improves symptom score and lung function with response more pronounced in cellular NSIP; concomitant consolidation, seronegative ANA and shorter disease duration.\[113-115\]

Undesirable effects
Risk of immunosuppression including bacterial and mycobacterial infections is the dreaded complication and may impact survival. The current approach relies on retrospective studies; RCT’s are lacking, probably due to the ambiguity with regards to the diagnosis of iNSIP. In asymptomatic or mildly symptomatic cases close observation is often done, as the risk of treatment outweighs the benefits. In symptomatic patients, oral corticosteroids are the mainstay of therapy.

Q 28. Should silica exposure and tobacco smoke inhalation be avoided in patients with silicosis?

Key statements
• Avoidance of continued exposure to silica and direct inhalation of tobacco products is advisable (usual practice point).

DISCUSSION

Desirable effects
Avoidance of exposure is vital to avoid harmful effects of silica on the lungs. Tobacco products need to be avoided to prevent concomitant illnesses such as chronic obstructive lung disease and lung cancer.
Undesirable effects
Other than logistic issues of finding an alternate occupation, there are no undesirable effects. Preventive, remedial, rehabilitative measures should be implemented as silicosis is an incurable disease. Legislations and bills to promote a safe working environment are essential throughout the world.

Q 29. Should the following therapies be offered to patient with silicosis?

1. Oral corticosteroid
2. Aluminum inhalation
3. Whole lung lavage
4. Rehabilitation and exercise training.

Key statements
- No Corticosteroids for routine treatment of acute or chronic silicosis as the risk benefit balance seems to disfavor their use (3A)
- Aluminum inhalation for the treatment of silicosis is not advised (3A)
- Whole lung lavage as potential benefits in the treatment of alveolar proteinosis due to acute silicosis/silicoproteinosis may be suggested in carefully selected patient population at specialized centers (3B)
- Rehabilitation and exercise training of at least 4–8 weeks is advised for potential beneficial effects in terms of improvement in exercise capacity and quality of life in patients with chronic silicosis (1B).

DISCUSSION

Desirable effects
Corticosteroids and aluminum may have improvement in symptoms of dyspnea. However, risk outweighs harm. In cases of acute silicosis, whole lung lavage may be attempted, which may lead to improvement in lung functions. Exercise training has the benefit of improving quality of life and exercise capacity.

Undesirable effects
The risk associated with immunosuppression caused due to corticosteroids far outweighs the benefits which are minimal. Similarly, aluminum is associated with impaired cognition and dementia. Experimental therapies such...
whole lung lavage is associated with risk of it being an invasive procedure with complications such as respiratory failure and side effects of sedatives. Exercise training done under supervision has minimal side effects other than cost and logistics. Various modalities have been tried in past for the treatment of silicosis but most lack efficacy or have potential for serious side effects. Currently pulmonary rehabilitation is the only modality that improves quality of life for patients with chronic silicosis.

**Approach to a patient suspected to have interstitial lung disease**

A careful history of symptoms, including symptoms suggestive of CTD, environmental exposures, occupational, and family history should be taken. A thorough clinical examination for signs of extrapulmonary involvement in CTD-ILD should be taken [Figure 9]. An algorithm is provided in Figure 10.

**Limitations**

The intent of providing this document was to guide the clinicians in the community with consensus of the opinion of experts based on their experience and systematic review of the evidence.[142] We acknowledge the limitations of the methodology used to develop this document. First, the document reflects the opinions of the selected participants including only one rheumatologist. Second, meta-analysis was not done and there was no methodologist involved in the project. Acknowledging that the task force committee reached the consensus of the evidence discussed in 2018, pertinent new reports published since have not been discussed by the committee and incorporated in this document. These include the results of the INBUILD trial published in Oct 2019[143] and of subgroup analyses of the trial[144] as well as the just published guideline on diagnosis and detection of sarcoidosis.[145]

**Future directions**

Evidence-based CPG for individual ILD are needed and for this to materialize, well designed, prospective studies are warranted. These include studies to determine the diagnostic accuracy and yield of lung biopsy techniques. Molecular signatures, genomic classifiers, machine learning tools, and circulating biomarkers in non-IPF fibrotic lung diseases are needed to make a diagnosis with MDD and without the requirement of surgical lung biopsy for the conventional histopathology features to differentiate the UIP patterns associated with ILD other than IPF. It is hoped that ongoing and future clinical trials will determine the safety and efficacy of currently available and new pharmacological as well as nonpharmacological interventions for non-IPF fibrotic ILD’s.

**CONCLUSION**

For the very first time, extensive literature search, review, and discussion of available evidence was done by a working group to formulate the consensus statement for management of ILD in general and for a few specific ILD, other than IPF. The consensus statements provide an understanding of the current clinical practices and a suggested framework for the practicing physicians when confronted with patient presenting with ILD. The clinicians should apply the statements made in the clinical context of individual patient, considering the patient’s values and preferences, and should not consider these statements as CPG or mandates.
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