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Abstract
Background  Breast cancer requires the greatest expenditure among all cancer types, and the costs vary by cancer stage and biomarker status.
Objective  This study aimed to examine the differences in public healthcare costs of breast cancer in New Zealand by stage and subtype.
Method  This study included patients diagnosed with invasive breast cancer between 1 July 2010 and 30 June 2018 and receiving services in public hospitals. These patients were identified from the National Breast Cancer Register and/or New Zealand Cancer Registry. Linking with the Pharmaceutical Collection, National Minimum Dataset, National Non-Admitted Patient Collection, and Mortality Collection, we estimated the median public healthcare costs of breast cancer by cancer stage and biomarker subtype.
Results  We identified 22,948 eligible patients. The median costs of breast cancer increased with stage of disease, from $NZ26,930 for stage I disease to $NZ50,388 for stage IV disease. The median costs for human epidermal growth factor receptor 2-positive (HER2+) disease were three times those for HER2-negative (HER2−) disease: $NZ106,428 for HER2+ cancers compared with $NZ28,481 for oestrogen receptor-positive (ER+)/HER2− cancers and $NZ31,722 for triple negative disease. Over 55% of the costs for HER2+ breast cancers were targeted therapy costs. For HER2− cancers, surgery incurred the biggest cost, followed by radiotherapy.
Conclusions  Treating patients with early-stage breast cancer is less costly than treating those with metastatic disease. The costs vary considerably between the subtypes. Patients with HER2+ cancer incurred three times the costs of those with HER2− cancers. These results provide baseline costing data for clinicians and policy makers when considering new targeted treatments.
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Key Points for Decision Makers

Treating patients with early-stage breast cancer is less costly than treating those with metastatic disease.

Patients with human epidermal growth factor receptor 2-positive (HER2+) cancer had three times the costs of those with HER2− cancers.

1 Introduction

Breast cancer is the most common cancer in New Zealand women [1]. Every year, around 3000 new cases are diagnosed in New Zealand, and around 600 deaths are specific to breast cancer [2]. Breast cancer is also one of the cancers that requires the greatest expenditure [3–5]. A New Zealand study by Blakely et al. [5] showed that breast cancer was the second-most expensive cancer (following colorectal cancer), costing New Zealand dollars ($NZ)126.7 million per year and accounting for 14% of total cancer costs [5].

Breast cancer stage and biomarker status (oestrogen receptor [ER], progesterone receptor [PR], and human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 positivity [HER2+]) are important predictive and prognostic indicators for breast cancer and affect treatment decision making [6, 7]. Patients diagnosed with stage I breast cancer are more likely to have breast-conserving surgery than mastectomy compared with those with stage II or III breast cancer [8]. Patients with metastatic breast cancer are most likely to have systemic treatments as the main treatment [9]. Surgery remains a mainstay of treatment for stage I–III breast cancer; however, adjuvant systemic treatments, including targeted therapy, and adjuvant radiation therapy lower the risk of recurrence and have been responsible for major improvements in survival over the last 40 years [10, 11]. For patients with hormone receptor-positive (ER+ and/or PR+) breast cancer, tamoxifen or aromatase inhibitors are usually recommended for at least 5 years [12]. HER2 targeted treatments, including trastuzumab, have been reported to be beneficial for improving the survival of patients with HER2+ disease [11, 13].

Breast cancer costs also vary by cancer stage and biomarker status. A recent systematic review showed that the mean costs of breast cancer at stage II, III, and IV were 32, 95, and 109% higher than at stage I, and the mean costs of regional and distant breast cancer were 41 and 165% higher than for local breast cancer [6]. Some systemic treatments that are specific to biomarker subtypes are expensive, which results in great variations in treatment costs by biomarker subtype [11]. We conducted this study to examine the differences in public healthcare costs of breast cancer in New Zealand by stage and subtype.

2 Methods

2.1 Data Sources

Patients diagnosed with invasive (stage I–IV) breast cancer between 1 July 2010 and 30 June 2018 were identified from the National Breast Cancer Register and the New Zealand Cancer Registry (NZCR). We excluded patients who only received treatments for breast cancer in private hospitals and included those who received healthcare services in public hospitals (with or without private treatments). Eligible patients were linked with the Pharmaceutical Collection (PHARMS, including all publicly funded pharmaceuticals prescribed in both public and private hospitals), National Minimum Dataset (NMDS, including all publicly funded inpatient records), National Non-Admitted Patients Collection (NNAPC, including all publicly funded outpatient records), and the Mortality Collection (MORT, coded mortality information), datasets and death certificates (uncoded mortality information) using the national health index number, which is a unique identifier for people using publicly funded health and disability services in New Zealand.

Based on their prognosis and treatment pattern, breast cancer subtypes were categorised into three groups according to biomarker status: ER+/HER2−, HER2+, and triple negative. In this study, HER2+ was defined as fluorescence in situ hybridization-amplified or 3+ staining on immunohistochemistry according to the 2013 American Society of Clinical Oncology Guideline [14]. As recommended in the 2001 St. Gallen Consensus, ER+ or PR+ was assessed as any degree of immunohistochemistry positivity (at least 1+ intensity and 1% staining of nuclei) [15].

2.2 Cancer Care Pathway

We divided the cancer care pathway into two phases: (1) the initial treatment phase (TP, 3 months preceding and 12 months following diagnosis of breast cancer) and (2) the follow-up phase (second to fifth year following diagnosis). We further broke down the follow-up phase into the second (FU2), third (FU3), fourth (FU4), and fifth (FU5) year. The 5-year follow-up time was because eligible patients were often recommended for 5–10 years of endocrine therapy. We considered the earliest of date of death or the latest date of service (31 December 2019) available in the NNAPC, NMDS, and PHARMS as the censor date. The estimation of costs for each phase only included patients who had follow-up time for that phase. To calculate the total cost of all
phases combined, we only included patients who had follow-up time for all phases.

### 2.3 Cost Estimation

The cost estimation was from the perspective of the New Zealand Ministry of Health and only included public medical costs: public outpatient services, public inpatient services, and funded pharmaceuticals (prescribed by either public or private hospitals). Our clinical advisers (RL, MKH, and IC) checked the definitions of purchase unit codes for outpatient services (in NNAPC), the definitions of surgery codes for inpatient services (in NMDS), and pharmaceuticals, and this study only included the inpatient, outpatient, and pharmaceutical records relevant to breast cancer. Costs of diagnostic services such as radiology and pathology and of treatment response assessment tools were also included in the inpatient or outpatient costs. For pharmaceuticals, only relevant endocrine therapy, chemotherapy, and HER2-targeted therapies were included. Other medications such as pain killers were not included, because we could not identify whether these medications were breast cancer related. Including all these medications would overestimate the costs. All cost estimations were based on SNZ, year 2019/2020 values. In terms of the purchasing power, SNZ1 was equal to £0.484 and $US0.692 in 2020. Appendixes 1–3 in the electronic supplementary material include the lists of included outpatient events, inpatient events, and pharmaceuticals, respectively.

Outpatient costs were estimated by multiplying the number of relevant outpatient visits recorded in the NNAPC with the unit cost of outpatient visits. The unit costs for outpatient visits were based on district health board-contracted purchase unit prices [16]. Inpatient costs were estimated by multiplying the accumulated cost weights for all relevant events by the purchase unit price as set by the national pricing programme [16]. The Ministry of Health calculates the cost weights, which provide resource utilisation information, for each diagnosis-related group code using the weighted inlier equivalent separation method, and sets a purchase unit price for each year. The 2019/2020 cost-weight unit price was SNZ5216.21 [17]. The costs of publicly funded pharmaceuticals were estimated by multiplying the quantity of the pharmaceuticals dispensed by the unit prices for each pharmaceutical that appears in the pharmaceutical schedule [18].

Because costs are right-skewed data, we estimated the median and interquartile range (IQR) of the public medical costs of breast cancer during the initial TP and the follow-up phase. The costs were also computed by tumour anatomic stage (stage I, II, III, and IV) [19] and by biomarker subtype. The difference in median costs by tumour anatomic stage and by biomarker subtype were examined using the median test, and the subgroup difference was considered significant if the p value was < 0.05. We also calculated the proportion of different cost components in total costs: surgery costs; costs of diagnostic tests, scans, or biopsies; radiotherapy costs; costs of medical oncology visits; chemotherapy costs; targeted therapy cost; endocrine therapy costs; and other costs.

### 3 Results

Between 1 July 2010 and 30 June 2018, a total of 22,948 patients were diagnosed with invasive breast cancer and received treatments for breast cancer in public hospitals (Table 1), including 6405 patients with stage I cancers, 6744 with stage II, 3828 with stage III, 1093 with stage IV, and 4878 with an unknown cancer stage. The majority of the cancer cases were ER+/HER2− (15,615 [74%]); 3384 (16%) were HER2+ and 1963 (9%) were triple negative. The proportion of ER+/HER2− disease decreased with increasing cancer stage, from 80% for stage I cancers to 62% for stage IV cancers. In contrast, the percentage of HER2+ cancers increased with cancer stage, from 7% for stage I disease to 27% for stage IV. The number of eligible patients in each phase decreased with time because some patients died or were censored because of loss of follow-up.

The median costs of breast cancer for the whole study period (TP–FU5) were $NZ26,930 (IQR 12,479–41,512) for stage I disease, $NZ31,372 (IQR 18,563–50,933) for stage II disease, $NZ42,273 (IQR 25,681–75,162) for stage III disease, and $NZ50,388 (IQR 20,685–116,161) for stage IV disease (median test p < 0.001; Table 2). Stage III cancers had the highest costs during the TP, and stage IV cancers had the lowest costs during the TP. The costs in FU2–FU5 for stage IV cancers were consistently higher than the costs for other cancer stages.

The median costs for HER2+ disease were three times those for HER2− disease (Table 2): $NZ106,428 (IQR 54,350–139,103) for HER2+ cancers compared with $NZ28,481 (IQR 17,333–42,601) for ER+/HER2− cancers and $NZ31,722 (IQR 17,714–47,283) for triple negative disease (p < 0.001). HER2+ cancers had higher costs during all phases than HER2− cancers. For cancers of the same subtype, the costs increased with cancer stage. For example, the median costs increased from $NZ25,485 (IQR 11,257–37,248) for ER+/HER2− stage I cancers to $NZ38,855 (IQR 18,826–61,429) for stage IV cancers (p < 0.001), and the median costs increased from $NZ74,290 (27,117–115,479) for HER2+ stage I cancers to $NZ279,644 (188,778–381,531) for HER2+ stage IV cancers (p < 0.001).

Generally, the proportions of total costs accounted for by surgery and diagnostic tests, scans, or biopsies decreased with increasing cancer stage in all phases (Table 3). During the TP, the proportion of costs applicable to surgery ranged
from 37% for stage I cancers to 26% for stage IV cancers, and the proportion of the costs accounted for by diagnostic tests, scans, or biopsies ranged from 21 to 12%. Conversely, the percentage of total costs incurred by medical oncology visits, targeted therapy costs, and chemotherapy costs increased with cancer stage. During the TP, the percentage of total costs from medical oncology visits ranged from 3% for stage I cancers to 7% for stage IV cancers, the percentage for targeted therapy costs ranged from 14 to 31%, and the percentage for chemotherapy ranged from 4 to 9%.

For HER2+ cancers, targeted therapy costs accounted for more than half of the total costs during the TP and 72% during the FU2 (Table 4). For ER+/HER2− cancers, surgery incurred the highest costs (44% during the TP) followed by radiotherapy (22% during the TP). Chemotherapy costs only accounted for 5% of the total costs for ER+/HER2− disease during the TP and 11% for triple negative cancers.

### 4 Discussion

The main findings from this study were that the costs of breast cancer varied substantially by stage and subtype. The median costs of stage II, III, and IV cancers were 16, 57, and 87% higher than the costs of stage I cancers, and the costs of HER2+ disease were more than three times the costs of the HER2− cancers. These are consistent with the results from previous studies that have also demonstrated a higher cost with increasing stage and/or a higher cost for HER2+ tumours than HER2− disease [6, 20, 21]. The results from
Table 2  Median costs ($NZ) and interquartile range

| Stage   | TP     | FU2         | FU3         | FU4         | FU5         | All years          |
|---------|--------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------------------|
| Overall | 25,855 (12,401–39,719) | 483 (43–2529) | 161 (15–856) | 94 (0–595) | 64 (0–542) | 30,823 (17,952–51,823) |
| By stage |        |             |             |             |             |                    |
| Stage I | 22,588 (10,098–34,027) | 393 (30–959) | 64 (0–495) | 61 (0–436) | 56 (0–408) | 26,930 (12,479–41,512) |
| Stage II | 26,504 (15,242–40,242) | 505 (43–1915) | 189 (14–814) | 95 (10–580) | 64 (0–537) | 31,372 (18,563–50,933) |
| Stage III | 32,226 (19,016–49,771) | 1074 (393–9416) | 542 (16–5147) | 457 (42–1104) | 407 (28–922) | 42,273 (25,681–75,162) |
| Stage IV | 17,737 (4,294–43,291) | 5617 (12,479–41,512) | 3835 (899–19,897) | 3561 (565–16,996) | 3070 (462–13,410) | 50,388 (20,685–116,161) |
| p value | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 |
| By subtype |        |             |             |             |             |                    |
| ER+/HER2− | 23,467 (11,560–34,777) | 437 (40–1146) | 149 (30–809) | 95 (16–561) | 64 (11–528) | 28,481 (17,333–42,601) |
| HER2+ | 71,081 (29,273–93,070) | 11,816 (393–25,463) | 125 (0–722) | 74 (0–551) | 60 (0–546) | 106,428 (54,350–139,103) |
| Triple negative | 26,275 (14,050–37,461) | 129 (0–814) | 64 (0–413) | 0 (0–407) | 25,581 (8296–42,950) | 31,722 (17,714–47,283) |
| p value | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 |
| Stage I |        |             |             |             |             |                    |
| ER+/HER2− | 20,826 (9778–30,204) | 254 (30–809) | 60 (0–423) | 56 (0–407) | 25,485 (11,257–37,248) |
| HER2+ | 69,679 (19,629–91,176) | 11,816 (393–25,463) | 125 (0–722) | 74 (0–551) | 60 (0–546) | 74,290 (27,117–115,479) |
| Triple negative | 22,908 (8343–34,614) | 129 (0–814) | 64 (0–413) | 0 (0–407) | 25,581 (8296–42,950) | 32,525 (17,926–47,269) |
| p value | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 |
| Stage II |        |             |             |             |             |                    |
| ER+/HER2− | 24,341 (13,128–35,396) | 449 (40–1065) | 174 (20–707) | 104 (23–569) | 64 (10–533) | 28,621 (17,647–37,248) |
| HER2+ | 69,769 (29,004–91,176) | 11,816 (393–25,463) | 125 (0–722) | 74 (0–551) | 60 (0–546) | 101,870 (51,568–131,688) |
| Triple negative | 26,032 (14,552–37,220) | 129 (0–814) | 64 (0–413) | 0 (0–407) | 25,581 (8296–42,950) | 32,525 (17,926–47,269) |
| p value | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 |
| Stage III |        |             |             |             |             |                    |
| ER+/HER2− | 28,662 (18,061–40,816) | 990 (407–2492) | 547 (64–1381) | 505 (56–1094) | 433 (42–946) | 35,748 (22,810–53,275) |
| HER2+ | 77,510 (43,501–95,584) | 26,858 (8416–40,991) | 554 (56–2132) | 505 (40–1513) | 407 (10–1051) | 118,172 (80,016–150,747) |
| Triple negative | 29,488 (17,723–39,716) | 505 (0–2951) | 393 (0–1860) | 20 (0–545) | 34,628 (22,628–49,827) | 34,628 (22,628–49,827) |
| p value | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 |
| Stage IV |        |             |             |             |             |                    |
| ER+/HER2− | 14,607 (4874–29,520) | 3072 (1065–10,717) | 2767 (534–9246) | 2528 (467–9230) | 2697 (498–8723) | 38,855 (18,826–61,429) |
| HER2+ | 80,738 (30,499–106,337) | 39,593 (11,625–82,200) | 35,035 (3589–82,168) | 28,028 (6594–76,709) | 23,123 (1815–67,262) | 279,644 (188,778–381,531) |
| Triple negative | 29,168 (12,757–43,940) | 7860 (1133–23,349) | 5769 (1009–13,033) | 1300 (229–6633) | 2737 (449–19,144) | 65,380 (31,744–89,291) |
| p value | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 |

ER = oestrogen receptor, FU2 = second follow-up year, FU3 = third follow-up year, FU4 = fourth follow-up year, FU5 = fifth follow-up year, HER2+/- = human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 positive/negative, TP = initial treatment phase

△ Adis
Table 3 Proportion (%) of each cost component in different phases by cancer stage

| Cancer stage | Stage I | Stage II | Stage III | Stage IV | Overall |
|--------------|---------|----------|-----------|----------|---------|
| **TP**       |         |          |           |          |         |
| Surgery      | 37      | 37       | 33        | 26       | 35      |
| Diagnostic test, scan or biopsy | 21 | 18 | 11 | 12 | 16 |
| Radiotherapy | 18      | 16       | 17        | 12       | 17      |
| Medical oncology | 3 | 4 | 7 | 7 | 4 |
| Targeted therapy | 14 | 16 | 20 | 31 | 18 |
| Chemotherapy | 4       | 6        | 10        | 9        | 7       |
| Endocrine therapy | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Others       | 3       | 3        | 2         | 2        | 2       |
| **FU2**      |         |          |           |          |         |
| Surgery      | 26      | 24       | 21        | 17       | 22      |
| Diagnostic test, scan or biopsy | 10 | 7 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Radiotherapy | 5       | 5        | 6         | 8        | 6       |
| Medical oncology | 6 | 7 | 8 | 11 | 8 |
| Targeted therapy | 42 | 47 | 49 | 47 | 47 |
| Chemotherapy | 7       | 7        | 8         | 10       | 8       |
| Endocrine therapy | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Others       | 5       | 3        | 2         | 2        | 2       |
| **FU3**      |         |          |           |          |         |
| Surgery      | 48      | 43       | 44        | 16       | 39      |
| Diagnostic test, scan or biopsy | 15 | 14 | 8 | 7 | 11 |
| Radiotherapy | 11      | 8        | 7         | 9        | 9       |
| Medical oncology | 7 | 10 | 12 | 11 | 10 |
| Targeted therapy | 7 | 13 | 18 | 45 | 20 |
| Chemotherapy | 4       | 5        | 6         | 11       | 6       |
| Endocrine therapy | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Others       | 7       | 5        | 3         | 1        | 4       |
| **FU4**      |         |          |           |          |         |
| Surgery      | 50      | 39       | 40        | 16       | 38      |
| Diagnostic test, scan or biopsy | 17 | 15 | 8 | 6 | 11 |
| Radiotherapy | 9       | 8        | 7         | 6        | 8       |
| Medical oncology | 6 | 10 | 11 | 13 | 10 |
| Targeted therapy | 7 | 16 | 24 | 44 | 22 |
| Chemotherapy | 3       | 6        | 7         | 13       | 7       |
| Endocrine therapy | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Others       | 6       | 5        | 2         | 2        | 3       |
| **FU5**      |         |          |           |          |         |
| Surgery      | 39      | 36       | 37        | 18       | 34      |
| Diagnostic test, scan or biopsy | 17 | 14 | 6 | 3 | 10 |
| Radiotherapy | 12      | 9        | 9         | 8        | 9       |
| Medical oncology | 6 | 10 | 12 | 13 | 10 |
| Targeted therapy | 15 | 20 | 25 | 43 | 25 |
| Chemotherapy | 5       | 6        | 7         | 13       | 8       |
| Endocrine therapy | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Others       | 4       | 4        | 3         | 2        | 3       |

*ER* oestrogen receptor, *FU2* second follow-up year, *FU3* third follow-up year, *FU4* fourth follow-up year, *FU5* fifth follow-up year, *HER2*+/− human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 positive/negative, *TP* initial treatment phase.
Table 4 Proportion (%) of each cost component in different phases by cancer subtype

| Cancer subtype         | ER+/HER2− | HER2+ | Triple negative | Overall |
|------------------------|-----------|-------|-----------------|---------|
| **TP**                 |           |       |                 |         |
| Surgery                | 44        | 18    | 39              | 35      |
| Diagnostic test, scan or biopsy | 22        | 7     | 17              | 16      |
| Radiotherapy           | 21        | 9     | 21              | 17      |
| Medical oncology       | 4         | 5     | 7               | 4       |
| Targeted therapy       | 1         | 51    | 1               | 18      |
| Chemotherapy           | 5         | 10    | 11              | 7       |
| Endocrine therapy      | 0         | 2     | 0               | 0       |
| Others                 | 3         | 2     | 3               | 2       |
| **FU2**                |           |       |                 |         |
| Surgery                | 46        | 8     | 44              | 22      |
| Diagnostic test, scan or biopsy | 14        | 3     | 13              | 7       |
| Radiotherapy           | 11        | 2     | 15              | 6       |
| Medical oncology       | 12        | 5     | 10              | 8       |
| Targeted therapy       | 7         | 72    | 7               | 47      |
| Chemotherapy           | 5         | 9     | 5               | 8       |
| Endocrine therapy      | 1         | 0     | 0               | 0       |
| Others                 | 5         | 1     | 5               | 3       |
| **FU3**                |           |       |                 |         |
| Surgery                | 50        | 22    | 46              | 39      |
| Diagnostic test, scan or biopsy | 14        | 6     | 13              | 11      |
| Radiotherapy           | 10        | 5     | 11              | 9       |
| Medical oncology       | 11        | 8     | 11              | 10      |
| Targeted therapy       | 3         | 48    | 7               | 20      |
| Chemotherapy           | 5         | 8     | 8               | 6       |
| Endocrine therapy      | 1         | 0     | 0               | 1       |
| Others                 | 5         | 2     | 4               | 4       |
| **FU4**                |           |       |                 |         |
| Surgery                | 47        | 22    | 53              | 38      |
| Diagnostic test, scan or biopsy | 15        | 5     | 15              | 11      |
| Radiotherapy           | 10        | 3     | 9               | 8       |
| Medical oncology       | 12        | 8     | 9               | 10      |
| Targeted therapy       | 3         | 52    | 5               | 22      |
| Chemotherapy           | 6         | 8     | 5               | 7       |
| Endocrine therapy      | 2         | 0     | 0               | 1       |
| Others                 | 5         | 2     | 3               | 3       |
| **FU5**                |           |       |                 |         |
| Surgery                | 42        | 15    | 53              | 34      |
| Diagnostic test, scan or biopsy | 15        | 2     | 15              | 10      |
| Radiotherapy           | 12        | 4     | 10              | 9       |
| Medical oncology       | 13        | 8     | 7               | 10      |
| Targeted therapy       | 5         | 61    | 6               | 25      |
| Chemotherapy           | 8         | 8     | 5               | 8       |
| Endocrine therapy      | 2         | 0     | 0               | 1       |
| Others                 | 4         | 2     | 3               | 3       |

*ER* oestrogen receptor, *FU2* second follow-up year, *FU3* third follow-up year, *FU4* fourth follow-up year, *FU5* fifth follow-up year, *HER2+/−* human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 positive/negative, *TP* initial treatment phase
this study are important for healthcare planning, including
decisions around medical resource distribution, as well as
budget impact analysis for funding a new medication that is
targeted for a subtype.

The differences in costs by cancer stage and subtype can
be attributed to the differences in treatments and risk of can-
cer progression of different subgroups. For example, patients
with metastatic breast cancer are less likely to receive surgical
treatments than those with other cancer stages, which
explains the lower surgery costs during the TP. The mainstay
of treatments for stage IV cancers—systemic treatments—
are included in the pharmaceutical costs [22]. Patients with
stage II and III breast cancers are at higher risk of developing
metastatic disease than are those with stage I cancers
[23] and are therefore more likely to incur healthcare costs in
subsequent years. Breast cancer screening also affects the
distribution of cancer subtype at diagnosis. Screening-
detected patients are more likely to have ER+/HER2− can-
cers [24]. These would result in lower mean costs per case
for screen-detected cancers. However, screening is also asso-
ciated with overdiagnosis and overtreatment and thus would
increase total costs [25].

HER2+ cancers incurred higher costs primarily because of
expensive targeted therapy, mainly HER2-targeted therapies.
Over half of the costs for HER2+ disease were targeted therapy
costs. During the study period of 1 July 2010 and 31 December 2019, trastuzumab, pertuzumab, and ado-trastuzumab emtansine (DM-1) were funded by PHARMAC to
treat HER2+ breast cancer in New Zealand. Trastuzumab
has been funded for HER2+ metastatic breast cancer since
2002 and for HER2+ stage I–III breast cancer since 2007
[26].

Some treatments, such as endocrine therapy (e.g. tamox-
ifen, aromatase inhibitors) are taken for a long time but
have relatively low costs. Other targeted treatments, such as
HER2-targeted therapies, have been shown to improve sur-
vival [11] but are expensive. The cost of a 12-month sequential
treatment of trastuzumab continuing after initial taxane-
based concurrent therapy with trastuzumab is $NZ71,000
per patient per annum [27]. With the increasing incidence of
breast cancer, the economic burden of targeted treatments is
expected to increase [28]. To control the increasing costs,
the funder would either need to have a better price for tar-
geted treatments or to move to generic drugs after the patent
expires.

The cost of breast cancer is a changing landscape, with
new treatments becoming available and funded in New
Zealand. Pertuzumab (funded since January 2017) and ado-
trastuzumab emtansine (since 1 December 2019) were only
recently approved by PHARMAC for HER2+ advanced
breast cancer [29]. Therefore, the costs of these two medica-
tions did not account for a large proportion of the total costs
in our study but may further increase the costs of treating
these patients in the future. Palbociclib, a targeting cyclin-
dependent kinase 4/6 inhibitor, has been funded in New
Zealand since April 2020. Some biosimilars for targeted
therapies are now available for breast cancer, which may
reduce future treatment costs [30]. Further studies will be
needed to estimate the impact of newly funded medications
on the costs of breast cancer.

One of the strengths of this study is that we combined the
National Breast Cancer Register data with the NZCR, PHARMS,
NMDS, NNAPC, and MORT datasets, and death
certificates, so we had comprehensive data on all patients
with breast cancer. This provided a large cohort of patients
with detailed information on cancer stage and subtype. One
limitation of this study was that, to calculate the pharmaceuti-
cal costs, we used the unit costs of drugs available in the
pharmaceutical schedule. Using these unit costs might lead
to an overestimation of the costs of cancer, because we did
not know whether any confidential rebates existed for these
drugs [31]. Over 20% of patients had cancer of an unknown
stage. Most were identified from the NZCR, which mainly
applies the Surveillance Epidemiology and End Results pro-
gramme cancer staging definitions. While we reported the
costs each year following diagnosis, we censored patients
who died or reached their censor date, which means the
number of patients reduced in the later years (especially in
FU4 and FU5). Another limitation is that we included only
docrine therapy, chemotherapy, and HER2-targeted ther-
apy but no other drugs that might have been used for breast
cancer, e.g. anti-emetic drugs, growth factors, pain killers,
and bisphosphonates, because we could not identify whether
these drugs were used for breast cancer or other diseases,
e.g. arthritis and osteoporosis. This study only estimated
public healthcare costs. More research is needed on private
and patient costs. Access to systemic treatments in New Zea-
land is more limited than in other developed countries. The
cost differences by tumour anatomic stage and by biomarker
subtype may be greater in countries with more liberal access
to new targeted therapies.

5 Conclusions

Treating patients with early-stage breast cancer is less costly
than treating those with metastatic disease. The costs vary
considerably between different subtypes. Patients with
HER2+ cancer had three times the costs of those with
HER2− disease. These results provide baseline costing data
for clinicians and policy makers considering new targeted
treatments.

**Supplementary Information** The online version contains supplemen-
tary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s41669-022-00327-5.
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