CASE REPORT

Low-grade appendiceal mucinous neoplasm (LAMN) as a mimicker of perforated diverticulitis: a case report
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Abstract

The differential diagnosis of abdominal pain is wide as both benign and malignant pathologies must be considered. There are currently no published case reports of low-grade appendiceal mucinous neoplasms (LAMNs) mimicking perforated diverticulitis. LAMNs are a heterogenous group of tumours, with no consensus treatment algorithm based on stage and histology. In this case report, we discuss a patient who presented emergently with generalized peritonism, with a diagnosis of perforated diverticulitis made on computed tomography scan. At laparotomy, a perforated appendix was identified, with histopathology identifying a perforated LAMN. This case highlights the importance of considering this rare but increasing incidence tumour when operative management is being planned.

INTRODUCTION

The differential diagnosis of abdominal pain must include both benign and malignant causes [1], with diagnosis achieved through history, examination, and adjuncts including biochemical markers and imaging [2]. Computed tomography (CT) is the imaging modality of choice in patients presenting with generalized peritonism [3], but comes with radiation exposure, which can be of concern in younger patients.

Low-grade appendiceal mucinous neoplasms (LAMN) are a heterogenous group of tumours that are rare but have a rising incidence, with treatment differing significantly based on stage and histology [4]. However, there is no current consensus guideline for the classification and treatment of appendiceal mucinous neoplasms [4]. Although there have been published reports of ruptured appendiceal diverticula mimicking LAMN [5], as well as LAMN being diagnosed in a presumed pelvic mass [6], there are no published reports of perforated LAMN as a mimicker of diverticulitis in a patient with generalized peritonism. Although rare, LAMNs are considered a significant clinical entity as perforation or positive resection margin leaving residual tumour can lead to the development of pseudomyxoma peritonei (PMP) [7]. A small case series showed no long-term recurrence after laparoscopic resection for non-perforated LAMN, with recurrence occurring in one patient that had perforation [8]. Examination of pathological specimens concluded that the involvement of neoplastic cells at the surgical margin did not necessarily lead to PMP, and also that a clear margin did not necessarily prevent PMP from developing [9]. The case presented here highlights the importance of considering this rare but increasing incidence tumour when intra-operative diagnosis is different to the entity anticipated based on pre-operative CT.

CASE

A 51-year-old male presented with 2 days of generalized abdominal pain and profuse watery stools. The pain commenced suddenly and had worsened, becoming so severe the patient was unable to mobilize. The patient’s background medical history consisted only of a supraumbilical hernia repair with mesh 6 years prior, with one colonoscopy 5 years prior completed due to a family history of bowel cancer, which identified a benign polyp. The patient denied any diverticula identified at that colonoscopy. A CT was obtained at presentation that identified a large volume of free gas and free fluid with concern for sigmoid diverticulum perforation (see Figs 1 and 2). At presentation, the patient was haemodynamically stable but had generalized peritonism on abdominal examination. Given the CT and examination findings, an exploratory laparotomy was organized.

A midline laparotomy was performed which identified gross four-quadrant purulent peritonitis with enteric content. In addition to this, widespread gelatinous deposits were encountered, with the main focus identified in the right iliac fossa (see Fig. 3). Biopsies of these...
The patient had an ileus and an acute kidney injury that resolved over 1 week. After this, he was discharged home. At review 2 months later, the patient was well and had returned to full work duties with no further issues. Repeat CT scan at this time did not identify any significant peritoneal metastases. Given the diagnosis of ruptured LAMN and the concern for PMP in the future, the patient was referred to a sub-speciality institution for ongoing management. It was determined that the patient does have a risk of developing PMP and that progress tumour markers and follow-up CT scan was to be performed in another 6-month time.

**DISCUSSION**

LAMN is a rare malignancy accounting for 1% of gastrointestinal neoplasms and is found in less than 0.3% of appendicectomy specimens [10,11]. In this case, it is unclear whether free acellular mucin was due to acute perforation of the LAMN, or from longstanding spread. Because of the suspicion of a mucinous tumour given the gelatinous deposits, thorough irrigation within the abdomen was completed to minimize the risk of tumour cell implantation. There is limited and mixed evidence surrounding the increased risk of PMP after the perforation of LAMN [9], suggesting that there are additional factors above surgical resection and perforation affecting the risk of developing PMP. The patient was referred to a specialist peritonectomy unit for consideration of further management, with conservative management consisting of ongoing surveillance suggested at this time.

Although perforation is a known complication of LAMN, there are currently no published case reports that have described a patient presenting with generalized peritonitis with CT suggesting of perforated diverticulitis, subsequently found to be due to perforation of LAMN with features of appendicitis. This case highlights the importance of considering this rare but increasing in incidence pathology when forming a differential diagnosis for abdominal pain in general, as well as for surgical planning for patients with an acute abdomen. This case emphasizes the importance of considering LAMN in surgical management where the intra-operative diagnosis does not match the diagnosis made pre-operatively. This highlights the high yield of thoroughly inspecting the remaining colon, small bowel and other organs when a presumed CT diagnosis such as perforated diverticulitis does not match the findings identified in the operating theatre.
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