Influence of rice varieties on sensory profile and consumer acceptance for frozen-cooked rice
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ABSTRACT

The objectives of this study were to generate sensory profiles by descriptive analysis, to access consumer acceptability by consumer acceptance test, and to determine the driving sensory attributes for frozen-cooked rice made by five difference rice varieties. Rice varieties resulted in significant differences in the sensory profiles of the frozen-cooked rice samples. Sixteen descriptive attributes – aroma (rice bran, raw rice, tap water), taste (sweet, bitter), flavor (rice cake, roasted), texture (glutinousness, firmness, cohesiveness of mass, particle feeling, moistness), and appearance (amount of embryo buds, glossiness, rice shape, whiteness, size of rice) were used as descriptive terms for the samples. Oryza sativa L. var. Ilpum was the most accepted variety when processed to frozen cooked rice and should therefore be the first option for processing. Partial least square regression analysis found no drivers of liking and five drivers of disliking for frozen-cooked rice: Rice bran aroma, glutinousness, firmness, particle feeling and amount of embryo buds.
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INTRODUCTION

Medium and short grain rice (Oryza sativa L.) is the staple food of northeastern Asian countries including Korea, Japan and northern China. Recently, the United States has become one of the major countries growing medium and short grain rice. These rice varieties are called ‘sticky rice’ because the rice kernels are attached together and have a glutinous texture after cooking. These rice varieties are mainly consumed after cooking or steaming in most countries. However, it is difficult to maintain cooked rice quality after cooking because of staling (Yu et al., 2010). Freezing techniques have been applied to bread products (Barcenas and Rosell, 2006), cooked starchy convenience foods (De Kock et al., 1995) and pasta (Olivera and Salvadori, 2009) to maintain food quality after cooking in western countries. Applying a freezing technique would be one option to extend storage periods with consistent quality for cooked rice, and change the notion of home-cooking of rice to the purchase cooked rice at the grocery market as ready-to-eat products.

Frozen-cooked rice processing is relatively simple in comparison with aseptic-packaged cooked rice processing (Ji, 2010). The facility for aseptic-packaged cooked rice is expensive (approximately 20 million dollars in Korea) and requires an aseptic-packaging processing, which required extensive to prevent microorganism contamination. In addition, processing manipulation for the production of different products is difficult. On the other hand, a frozen-cooked rice processing facility is a relatively cheap (approximately 5 million dollars in Korea) and small processing line. For safety, microorganism contamination can be easily prevented by freezing after cooking. Ease of processing control enables producers to manufacture various frozen-cooked rice items using only one processing line. The frozen cooked rice market in Korea is increasing by 20-30% of revenue every year by increasing from 2.3 million dollars in 2010 to 12 million dollars in 2013 (Food News, 2014; Ji, 2010). Potential growth is eventually expected to reach 200 million dollars, considering the 500 million dollars Japanese market for frozen-cooked rice in 2005 (Park, 2008).

Although the growing popularity of frozen-cooked rice as well as the consistent growth of aseptic-packaged cooked rice have been noted (Kum, 2010), there are
few studies involving sensory evaluations of processed cooked rice products. Most of the published studies used steamed rice for their evaluations (Suwansri et al., 2002; Yau and Huang, 1995; Meullenet et al., 2001). Quality and sensory characteristics of a rice variety (Juanbyeo) developed for aseptic-packaged cooked rice were reported in Korea (Oh et al., 2010). Three different processing methods for cooked rice—aseptic-packaged, steamed and frozen—were compared in terms of their sensory profiles and consumer acceptance, and showed similar consumer acceptability between home-made and frozen cooked rice (Kwak et al., 2013a). Kwak et al. (2015b) recently reported that the drivers of liking for aseptic-packaged cooked rice were rice cake flavor, sweetness, roasted aroma and flavor, cohesiveness of mass, deformation and shininess. However, to the authors’ knowledge, there have been no studies on the sensory profiles and consumer acceptability of frozen-cooked rice to date. It is necessary to identify sensory profiles, measure consumer acceptance, and to determine driving sensory attributes in order for the industry to produce high quality frozen-cooked rice as frozen-cooked rice market expanding.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Rice variety
Five rice varieties grown in a commercial rice paddy in Korea were harvested in 2012. *Oryza sativa* L. var. Boramchan (BO) had strong disease endurance and higher yield in comparison with regular varieties (KiYong et al., 2012). *Oryza sativa* L. var. Chucheong (CH) was one of the major rice varieties in Korea and the most commonly consumed variety at home due to its high quality (Oh et al., 2010). *Oryza sativa* L. var. Sindongjin (SI) was a rice variety widely grown in the southwestern part of Korea, an area known as the granary of Korea. *Oryza sativa* L. var. Ilpum (IL) had small, round shaped rice kernels. The advantages of these varieties was that the consumer acceptance of frozen-cooked SI and IL rice were similar to home-cooked rice (Kwak et al., 2013a). *Oryza sativa* L. var. Ilmi (IM) was the variety typically used for frozen-cooked rice production, with 8% of total production in Korea. The rice varieties was purchased from the local rice processing complexes as brown rice and stored in a 4 °C low-temperature warehouse. The brown rice kernels were polished to white rice kernels (92% weight of brown rice) using a rice polishing machine (Model MC-250, Wakayama Co. Ltd., Wakayama, Japan).

Quality characteristics of polished rice kernels
The ratio of head rice after milling was determined using a lab size rice inspector (RN-200, Kett Electronic Laboratory, Tokyo, Japan). Approximately 1000 kernels were placed in the inspector and the ratio of head rice was calculated. The moisture content of the rice kernels was measured by the AOAC method (AOAC, 2002). Rice (10.0 g) was dried overnight in a dry oven (105 °C) and the weight was measured. The difference in weight before and after drying was divided by the initial weight to calculate the percentage of moisture content. Rice freshness was measured using a rice freshness analyzer (Shinsensa, Satake Corporation, Hiroshima, Japan) in order to compare the activity of peroxidase as an indicator of the degree of freshness (Chen and Chen, 2003). Measurements were triplicated and averaged.

Frozen-cooked rice preparation
Frozen-cooked rice was prepared by Kwak’s method (Kwak et al., 2013a). Briefly, polished rice (1000.0 g) was washed three times using tap water and then soaked in tap water for 80 min. After draining, the soaked rice and filtered spring water (Sparkle, Sparkle, Co. Ltd., Seoul, Korea) were placed in an electronic rice cooker (CR-1502-G, CuCu Homews Co., Yangsan, Korea). Rice was cooked using a regular cooking option and left for an additional 15 min for carry-over cooking. Cooked rice samples above 1 cm from the bottom and below 1 cm from the top were mixed together. Two hundred grams of cooked rice were transferred to a plastic package and wrapped twice with a plastic wrap. The packaged cooked rice samples were quick-frozen for one hour using a rapid-freezer at -70 °C (Blast chiller, IRINOX, Treviso, Italy) and the samples were stored at -20 °C for two weeks prior to the descriptive analysis and consumer acceptance test.

Descriptive analysis
Frozen-cooked rice samples were heated for three minutes using a microwave (RE-C24RW, Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., Seoul, Korea) at 700W. Approximately 66 g of frozen-cooked rice was transferred to a 150 mL plastic cup and covered with a lid. The samples were placed in pottery cups and the cups were placed in thermos lunch boxes (AL-500, Woonam Industry, Seoul, Korea), which were kept in a warmer (70 °C), to minimize temperature change. All samples were kept in a warmer (70 °C) prior to the descriptive analysis. Panelists used chopsticks for sample tastings. Three-digit random numbers were displayed on the thermos lunch box for sample identification.

Descriptive analysis was conducted with 8 trained panelists (females, ages 34 – 51, living in the Seoul capital area, Korea). The panelists had at least 360 hours of experience in Quantitative Descriptive Analysis (QDA) within the past three years. Trainings and evaluations for frozen-cooked rice were carried out for 12 hours. Training was conducted for 10 hours and two final evaluations were performed for two hours. The panelists were asked to swallow the samples after tasting for a unified tasting procedure. Filtered spring water...
(Sparkle, Sparkle Co. Ltd., Seoul, Korea) was used as a palate cleanser. In the first session, the panelists tasted 5 samples and generated descriptive attributes for aroma, taste, flavor, texture and appearance. Definitions and references for the attributes were also discussed. During the next 4 sessions, panelists evaluated references and discussed the attributes to consolidate them to a number below 20. Finally, 17 attributes were determined (Table 1). The attributes and intensity ratings for each attribute were determined over the training of three sessions. The panelists rated the attribute intensities using a 16-point category scale, which was labeled as ‘0=not at all and 15=extremely strong’. The panelists compared their intensity ratings and discussed attributes that had different rating patterns. Finally, panelists participated in a practice evaluation to familiarize them with the final testing procedure. The evaluation was conducted in individual booths with a computerized sensory data collection system (Sens Mine, Sensometrics, Seoul, Korea) under a red lighting system. The appearance attributes were evaluated in a light box (Superlight, Boteck, Siheung, Korea) with ‘day light’ set-up. The results were discussed in the next session to minimize variations among panelists. Re-training was performed for attributes that showed inconsistent rating patterns among the panelists during the practice evaluation. Final evaluations

| Attribute                  | Definition                                           | Reference                                      | Evaluation process                                                                 |
|----------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Aroma                      |                                                      |                                                |                                                                                   |
| Rice bran                  | Aroma perceived from rice bran                      | Rice bran                                     | Measure the highest intensity of rice bran aroma when smelling samples (0=no perception, 15=extremely strong) |
| Raw rice                   | Aroma perceived from raw rice                       | Polished rice                                 | Measure the highest intensity of raw rice aroma when smelling samples (0=no perception, 15=extremely strong) |
| Tap water                  | Aroma perceived from tap water before boiling       | Tap water (just after boiling)                | Measure the highest intensity of tap water aroma when smelling samples (0=no perception, 15=extremely strong) |
| Taste                      |                                                      |                                                |                                                                                   |
| Sweet                      | Sweet taste                                         | 2% (w v−1) sugar solution                     | Measure intensity of sweetness after 5-8 times of chewing (0=no perception, 15=extremely strong) |
| Bitter                     | Bitter taste                                        | 0.03% (w v−1) caffeine solution               | Measure intensity of bitterness after 5-8 times of chewing (0=no perception, 15=extremely strong) |
| Flavor                     |                                                      |                                                |                                                                                   |
| Rice cake                  | Flavor of rice cake                                 | Sliced steamed rice cake                      | Measure intensity of steamed rice cake flavor after 3-5 times of chewing (0=no perception, 15=extremely strong) |
| Roasted                    | Flavor of dried and roasted cooked rice             | Puffed rice snack                             | Measure intensity of roasted flavor after 3-5 times of chewing (0=no perception, 15=extremely strong) |
| Texture                    |                                                      |                                                |                                                                                   |
| Glutinousness              | Stickiness of cooked rice                           | Caramel                                       | Measure intensity of glutinousness when chewing using molars after 3-5 times of chewing (0=not at all, 15=extremely glutinousness) |
| Firmness                   | Force to cut cooked rice kernels using the front teeth | Roasted peanut                                 | Measure the force when cutting rice kernel using front teeth (0=extremely softness, 15=extremely firmness) |
| Cohesiveness of mass       | Formation of mass when chewing the sample           | Steamed rice cake                             | Chew 2-3 times of cooked rice using molars and evaluate (0=not at all, 15=extremely) |
| Particle feeling           | Amount of left-over particles after shallow cooked rice | Puffed rice kernel                           | Feeling of left-over rice particles after swallowing puffed rice kernel (0=not at all, 15=extremely) |
| Moistness                  | Perception of moisture content when pressing tofu in the mouth | Tofu                                          | Perceive moistness when pressing cooked rice kernels (0=extremely low, 15=extremely high) |
| Appearance                 |                                                      |                                                |                                                                                   |
| Amount of embryo buds      | Amount of embryo bud on the rice                    | Commercial aseptic-packaged cooked rice        | Measure how many embryo buds were observed in comparison with the surface of commercial aseptic-packaged cooked rice |
| Glossiness                 | Glossiness of cooked rice kernel                    | Surface of commercial aseptic-packaged rice porridge | Measure the intensity of glossiness of cooked rice surface under lightening box (1=no glossiness, 15=extremely glossiness) |
| Rice shape                 | Degree of whole shape of rice kernels               | Surface of commercial aseptic-packaged rice porridge | Measure the degree of whole shape of rice kernels (0=not whole shape kernel, 15=perfect whole shape rice kernel) |
| Whiteness                  | Degree of white color (Hex triplet: #FFFFFF)        | Standard white color                          | Measure the intensity of standard white color on the computer screen              |
| Size of rice               | Size of intact rice                                 | Commercial aseptic-packaged cooked rice kernel | Measure the size of a rice kernel under lightening box (1=extremely small, 8=sample as commercial, 15=extremely large) |
were carried out twice using the same set-up as the practice evaluation. Samples were presented according to the mutually orthogonal Latin square (MOLS) design to minimize carry-over effect (Wakeling and MacFie, 1995). Side-by-side scale presentation method was used to allow the panelists to compare the intensities of each attribute within the samples (Mazzucchelli and Guinard, 1999).

**Consumer acceptance test**

The consumer acceptance test was conducted with 52 subjects (females, ages 29 – 49) living in the Seoul capital area in Korea. Consumers were instructed on the purpose of the test, how to evaluate the samples, testing procedure, and how to use a 15-point category scale (Kwak et al., 2013b; 2015b) for the frozen-cooked rice evaluation. The 15-point category scale was labeled as ‘1=dislike extremely, 8=neither like nor dislike, and 15=like extremely’. Overall acceptance and acceptance of appearance, taste and texture were assessed. Samples were presented according to Williams’ design for 5 samples to minimize carry-over effect (Williams, 1949). Samples were served one-by-one with 7-minute intervals to avoid sample comparisons during the test and to give panelists enough time for evaluation and recovery.

Each sample was heated with a microwave (C24RWS, Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.) for three minutes. The sample was then placed in a white colored pottery bowl. One bowl was served to three consumers. Consumers transferred approximately 60 g of the sample to a 190 mL paper cup for tasting. Consumers used chopsticks and were asked to swallow the sample after the evaluation. Filtered spring water (Sparkle, Sparkle Co., Ltd, Seoul, Korea) was served as a palate cleanser. The consumer acceptance test was carried out in a consumer testing room of the recruiting company in one session. Fluorescent lighting was used and the temperature of the testing room was maintained at 24 °C. Conversation and cell phone use were strictly prohibited during the test. Monetary compensation was provided after the test.

**Statistical analyses**

XLSTAT (version 2012, Addinsoft, Paris, France) was used for all statistical analyses. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed for the quality characteristics of rice kernels. Seventeen descriptive attributes were analyzed by 2-way ANOVA with three independent variables - ‘panel’, ‘replication’ and ‘sample’. If the descriptive attributes had significant differences among samples, Duncan’s multiple range test was performed to identify the significance among samples at $p < 0.05$. Principal component analysis (PCA) was conducted to determine the overall relationship between the descriptive attributes and the samples. Consumer acceptance test results were analyzed using 2-way ANOVA with two independent variables - ‘panel’ and ‘sample’. If a significant difference was found among the samples, Duncan’s multiple range test was conducted to determine the significance among samples at $p < 0.05$. PCA for consumers’ overall quality ratings were performed by correlating the descriptive analysis and overall quality ratings to determine driving sensory attributes for frozen-cooked rice.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Quality characteristics of polished rice kernels**

Ratio of head rice, moisture content and freshness are presented in Table 2. BO and SI had less than 80% of head rice, which might negatively influence the consumer acceptability (Kim et al., 2000). Moisture contents of the rice kernels were below 16%, which is the maximum allowable moisture content (NAQS, 2015). The degree of freshness of rice kernels were above 92. The results showed that rice kernels in this study were fresh and lipid oxidation did not progress during the storage period (Matsukura et al., 2000).

**Descriptive analysis**

There were no significant difference in attributes for the ‘Replication’ variables ($p$-value: 0.07 – 1.00). Panelists’ responses were reproducible over the repeated evaluations and demonstrated the reliability of the panel training (Stone and Sidel, 2004). ‘Sample’ variables for each attribute were the major source of variations, with the exception of tap water (Results not shown). All attributes, except tap water, were significantly different among samples ($p < 0.001$). Since tap water did not differ significantly among the samples ($p = 0.838$), it was removed from further statistical analyses (Kwak et al. 2015a). Mean intensity ratings for 16 descriptive attributes are shown in Table 3. In the aroma modality, IM had the strongest rice kernel aroma while BO had the strongest raw rice aroma. Sweetness was strongly perceived in CH and bitterness was strong in BO. BO and CH had relatively high intensities for rice cake flavor. IL and SD comprised the lowest roasted flavor group in Duncan’s multiple range test. In the texture modality,

| Sample   | Head rice (%) | Moisture content (%) | Freshness |
|----------|---------------|----------------------|-----------|
| Boranchan (BO) | 75.73$^a$     | 14.50$^b$            | 93.3$^b$   |
| Chucheong (CH)  | 95.37$^a$     | 15.77$^b$            | 92.0$^b$   |
| Shindongjin (SD) | 75.83$^a$     | 14.97$^b$            | 98.0$^a$   |
| Ilpum (IL)      | 83.10$^a$     | 15.17$^b$            | 93.7$^b$   |
| Ilmi (IM)       | 88.10$^a$     | 15.67$^b$            | 98.7$^a$   |
| $p$-value       | <0.001        | <0.001               | <0.001     |

$^a$Different letters within a column meant significant differences at $p<0.05$
glutinous intensity was relatively low for IM and IL, while BO had the highest. Higher firmness was perceived for BO and SD while the perception of firmness for CH was lower. Chunkiness was strongly perceived in CH and BO. IM had the highest particle feeling while IL had the lowest. CH had the highest perception of moistness, while BO, SD, IM had the lowest. Although the same milling ratio (92%) was applied, BO had more embryo buds and could deliver a healthier image to consumers. The industry might use BO for developing a cooked rice product containing more embryo buds known as reservoir of nutrients (Bursat and Siriamornpun, 2010). SD had the highest intensities of glossiness, rice shape and size of rice. Whiteness was the lowest in BO and the same result was observed in aseptic-packaged cooked rice (Kwak et al., 2015b).

In order to identify the overall relationship between the samples and their descriptive attributes, a PCA biplot was created and is shown in Figure 1. The biplot explained 48.42% for the first dimension (F1) and 27.51% for the second dimension (F2). The positive side of the first dimension was associated with firmness, amount of embryo buds, glutinousness, bitterness and raw rice aroma, while the negative side was related with sweetness, whiteness and moistness. The second dimension was characterized by glossiness, size of rice and rice shape on the positive side; while, rice kernel aroma, roasted flavor, rice cake aromas and particle feeling were loaded on the negative side. The PCA biplot presented a distinct separation of the five frozen-cooked rice samples. SD on the right-top quadrant was related with size of rice and rice shape. BO was located on the right side of the biplot and associated with raw rice aroma, bitterness and glutinousness. IM was at the bottom of the biplot and characterized by roasted and rice cake flavors. CH was loaded on the left-bottom quadrant and showed positive correlations with moistness, whiteness and sweetness. IL was presented on the top-left quadrant of the biplot and associated with glossiness. Throughout the descriptive analysis rice varieties had different sensory profiles, which might influence to consumer acceptability.

### Consumer acceptance test

Consumer acceptance ratings for overall quality, appearance, aroma, texture, levels of glutinousness and hardness are presented in Table 4. IL showed the highest mean overall quality rating (11.08) and had a statistical significance from the other samples \( F_{4, 205} = 4.519, p = 0.003 \). IM was the

---

**Table 3: Intensity of 16 descriptive sensory attributes for frozen-cooked rice samples**

| Attribute         | BO(a) | SD | IM | IL | CH | p-value |
|-------------------|-------|----|----|----|----|---------|
| Aroma             | 1.03  | 2.69  | 1.03  | 1.88  | <0.001 |
| Rice bran         | 4.56  | 1.50  | 1.19  | 1.06  | <0.001 |
| Raw rice          |       |      |      |      |     |
| Taste             | 3.06  | 5.19  | 4.63  | 5.06  | <0.001 |
| Sweet             | 4.50  | 1.75  | 1.63  | 1.50  | <0.001 |
| Bitter            |       |      |      |      |     |
| Flavor            | 8.75  | 5.25  | 5.81  | 4.25  | <0.001 |
| Rice cake roasted | 5.69  | 3.04  | 4.81  | 2.88  | <0.001 |
| Texture           |       |      |      |      |     |
| Glutinousness      | 10.63 | 8.94  | 8.63  | 5.88  | <0.001 |
| Firmness           | 8.44  | 8.19  | 7.00  | 5.94  | <0.001 |
| Chunkiness         | 8.63  | 6.88  | 4.81  | 5.81  | <0.001 |
| Particle feeling   | 6.44  | 5.19  | 7.56  | 3.85  | <0.001 |
| Moistness          | 5.69  | 5.69  | 5.94  | 7.19  | <0.001 |
| Appearance         |       |      |      |      |     |
| Amount of embryo buds | 8.50  | 6.56  | 5.06  | 2.81  | <0.001 |
| Glossiness         | 4.44  | 3.81  | 3.69  | 7.19  | <0.001 |
| Rice shape         | 8.38  | 9.94  | 5.75  | 8.00  | <0.001 |
| Whiteness          | 5.81  | 7.50  | 8.81  | 6.89  | <0.001 |
| Size of rice       | 8.00  | 9.69  | 4.25  | 7.44  | <0.001 |

(a) Sample codes referred to Table 2. (b) Different letters within a row mean significant differences at \( p<0.05 \)

---

**Table 4: Mean consumer acceptances of rice samples**

| Acceptance      | BO(a) | SD | IM | IL | CH | p-value |
|-----------------|-------|----|----|----|----|---------|
| Overall         | 9.90  | 9.60 | 9.42 | 11.08 | 10.04 | 0.003  |
| Appearance      | 10.37 | 10.02 | 9.62 | 11.13 | 10.38 | 0.002  |
| Aroma           | 10.37 | 10.04 | 9.94 | 10.56 | 10.35 | 0.368  |
| Texture         | 9.67  | 9.75  | 9.04  | 11.04 | 9.75  | 0.000  |
| Glutinousness    | 9.50  | 9.08  | 9.00  | 10.60 | 9.77  | 0.003  |
| Level of cooking | 9.42  | 9.48  | 9.25  | 10.56 | 9.25  | 0.041  |

(a) Mean separation test was conducted using Duncan’s multiple range test at \( p<0.05 \). (b) Sample codes referred to Table 2. (c) Same letters within a row mean there was no statistical difference at \( p<0.05 \)
least accepted sample with a mean average rating of 9.42. Appearance ($F_{4,205} = 4.096, p = 0.002$), texture ($F_{4,205} = 5.468, p < 0.001$), levels of glutinousness ($F_{4,205} = 4.102, p = 0.003$) and levels of cooking ($F_{4,205} = 2.541, p = 0.041$) had statistical significance. Only aroma acceptance showed no significant difference among the samples ($F_{4,205} = 1.079, p = 0.368$), meaning that different rice varieties did not result in differences in aroma acceptance for frozen-cooked rice. IL received the highest acceptance ratings for the other attributes. The second most accepted sample based on the acceptance ratings was CH. IM had the lowest acceptance attributes.

PCA was conducted to identify the direction of consumer preference towards frozen-cooked rice samples. The PCA biplot of overall quality rating results explained 62.15% of the total variation (Figure 2). The first dimension (F1) explained 36.28% and the second dimension (F2) explained 25.86% of the total variation. The majority of consumers were placed near the right and right-top areas of the biplot where IL and CH were located. Fewer consumers were placed towards the left-top areas where IM and SD were located. The consumer preference pattern was identical to the mean consumer acceptance rating pattern. One interesting point from the mean consumer acceptance ratings and the biplot was that SD and IM have been used for frozen-cooked rice processing (Kwak et al., 2013a), but received lower consumer acceptance. On the other hand, CH and IL, which are consumed as steamed rice at home, had the highest consumer acceptance. Glossiness, sweetness, whiteness and moistness, which showed positive correlations with IL and CH in Figure 1, might affect consumer preference positively.

**Driving sensory attributes**

To determine the driving sensory attributes for overall quality ratings by consumers, PLS regression analysis was conducted using the descriptive analysis and overall quality ratings (Tenenhaus et al., 2005). A correlation map is presented in Figure 3 showing the relationships among overall quality, descriptive attributes and samples. The x-axis (t1) explained 49.57% and the y-axis (t2) explained 24.32% of the total variations. The overall quality rating was positively correlated with moistness and negatively correlated with firmness, amount of embryo buds, glutinousness and particle feeling. Highly accepted samples (IL and CH) were close to overall quality on the correlation map, whereas less accepted samples (BO, IM and SD) were located opposite side from the overall quality.

Variable importance in the projection (VIP) values by the PLS regression analysis represented significant variables for the model (Indahl et al., 2009). Generally, VIP values greater than 1 considered influential factors in PLS regression models (Wold, 2008; Azizan et al., 2012). In this study, the authors determined the driving sensory attributes as VIP values of 1 or above in t1 and t2 (Table 5) as well as the position in the correlation map (Figure 3). Rice bran aroma, glutinousness, firmness, particle feeling and amount of embryo buds were the drivers of disliking attributes for the frozen-cooked rice. Consumers seemed to be more influenced by negative factors than by positive factors in their determination of the overall quality of frozen-cooked rice.
The strongest disliking factor was particle feeling perceived while eating the frozen-cooked rice samples and this factor had the highest VIP value (Table 5). Particle feeling might be associated with the perception of half-cooked rice; therefore, consumers might feel that the samples were not fully cooked. In addition, the re-heating process (three-minute microwave for 200 g of frozen-cooked rice) dried the surface of the samples. Consumers might perceive the particle feeling when chewing the dried surface of the frozen-cooked rice. Another interesting point for the frozen-cooked rice was glutinousness, which was considered a factor for disliking. The opposite result was found in aseptic-packaged cooked rice (Kwak et al., 2013a), with glutinousness categorized as a driver of liking. The glutinousness perceived in the frozen-cooked rice might come from different physical properties in comparison with aseptic-packaged cooked rice or steamed rice. The re-heating process in a microwave dries the surface of frozen-cooked rice while the center remains moist (Zhao et al., 2007). The different texture conditions between the surface and the center of frozen-cooked rice kernel would influence the perception of glutinousness in frozen-cooked rice. Consumers seemed to not prefer this type of glutinousness and considered it as a disliking factor.

CONCLUSIONS

Rice varieties significantly influenced to sensory profiles and consumer acceptability of frozen-cooked rice samples. Therefore, careful selection of rice varieties is necessary in order to produce high quality frozen-cooked rice. II. was the most preferred in the consumer acceptance test. This variety would be the best choice among the five major rice varieties tested for frozen-cooked rice processing. The industry should consider II. as the first option for frozen-cooked rice. The driving sensory attributes for frozen-cooked rice were negatively correlated with the overall quality ratings in PLS regression analysis. This was the supporting evidence that consumer acceptability was heavily dependent on the disliking characteristics of the samples. Frozen-cooked rice producers should focus on eliminating of the drivers of disliking attributes (rice bran aroma, glutinousness, firmness, particle feeling, and amount of embryo buds) to ensure consumer satisfaction for their frozen-cooked rice products.
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