In this review, the role of NF-κB in the induction of hepatocarcinogenesis by peroxisome proliferators is examined. The administration of peroxisome proliferators for more than a three-day period leads to the activation of NF-κB in livers of rats and mice. On the other hand, peroxisome proliferator activated receptor-α (PPARα) activation in non-hepatic tissues can lead to the inhibition of NF-κB activation. Several lines of evidence support the hypothesis that the activation of NF-κB by peroxisome proliferators in the liver is mediated by oxidative stress. The role of NF-κB in peroxisome proliferator-induced hepatocarcinogenesis has been examined using NF-κB knockout models. Specifically, the induction of cell proliferation and the promotion of liver carcinogenesis are inhibited in mice lacking the p50 subunit of NF-κB. Overall, the activation of NF-κB appears to be important in the carcinogenic activity of peroxisome proliferators.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Peroxisome proliferators (also known as PPARα agonists) are a group of chemically distinct compounds capable of eliciting persistent peroxisome proliferation in hepatocytes and inducing liver tumors in rats and mice [1, 2]. These chemicals activate the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor α (PPARα) which is essential for the carcinogenic properties of these agents [3]. The administration of peroxisome proliferators increases the size and number of peroxisomes and activates genes encoding several enzymes of the peroxisomal β-oxidation pathway [4, 5]. The rate-limiting enzyme of this pathway, fatty acyl CoA oxidase (FAO), produces hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) as a by-product. In addition, the induction of cytochrome P450 4A enzymes by peroxisome proliferators, which is mediated through PPARα, produces superoxide anions as by-products [6]. Oxidative stress may be important in the toxicity and carcinogenicity of peroxisome proliferators, via the induction of lipid peroxidation, oxidative DNA damage, and/or changes in gene expression [1, 7]. The changes in gene expression may be brought about in part by the activation of the transcription factor NF-κB, which is known to be induced by oxidative stress. In this review, we will discuss the evidence that peroxisome proliferators activate NF-κB, whether NF-κB activation is necessary for the induction of carcinogenesis by peroxisome proliferators, and the mechanisms by which peroxisome proliferators may influence NF-κB activation.

2. NF-κB

Nuclear factor-κB (NF-κB) is a eukaryotic transcription factor family consisting of the following proteins: p50 (NF-κB1), p65 (RelA), p52 (NF-κB2), c-Rel, and RelB. It is normally found in the cytoplasm as an inactive dimer, with the most common being the p50-p65 heterodimer, bound to an inhibitory subunit, IκB, which also has several family members, including IκBa, IκBβ, IκBγ, and IκBe [8]. Upon activation, NF-κB is released from IκB and translocates to
the nucleus, where it binds target sequences of responsive genes. This process requires the phosphorylation of IκB, followed by its subsequent degradation via the ubiquitin-mediated 26S proteosome pathway [8]. A 900 kDa complex, termed the IκB kinase (IKK) complex, has been identified and it consists of two kinase subunits, IKKa and IKKβ, and a regulatory subunit, IKKγ [9, 10]. These two kinase subunits form homo- or heterodimers that phosphorylate IκB molecules, leading to their degradation. This activation pathway for the p50–p65 heterodimer has been referred to as the classical or canonical NF-κB signaling pathway, and is dependent on the IKKβ and IKKγ subunits of IKK [11]. An alternative NF-κB signaling pathway has also been identified, in which IKKa is required and it results in the activation of the p52-RelB heterodimer [11].

One of the many mechanisms by which NF-κB can be activated is by increased oxidative stress. NF-κB can be activated in vitro by H2O2, and its activation can be inhibited by antioxidants, such as vitamin E or N-acetyl cysteine (NAC), or by increased expression of antioxidant enzymes [12–18]. In addition, agents that activate NF-κB frequently also increase oxidative stress [19]. However, Hayakawa et al. [20] found that NAC inhibits NF-κB activation independently of its antioxidant function.

NF-κB has been shown to be important in the regulation of numerous genes, including many that regulate the immune response, inflammation, cell proliferation, and apoptosis [21–23]. Several inflammatory factors that are related to NF-κB activation have been identified, including TNF-α, interleukin (IL)-6, and IL-1β [23, 24]. Several studies have used genetically modified mice to examine the role of NF-κB subunits in these functions. Knockout mice have been developed for all NF-κB subunits [25–29]; in addition, knockouts for specific tissues, such as the liver, have been developed [30, 31]. Studies in which NF-κB activity has been inhibited by the deletion of one of its subunits, the inhibition of its translocation, or the expression of a dominant negative form of IκB have demonstrated a clear role for NF-κB in inhibiting apoptosis by tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α) or other apoptosis inducers in several cell types [25, 26, 32–36]. The deletion of the p65/relA subunit leads to embryonic lethality at 15-16 days of gestation, due to hepatocyte apoptosis [26]. The deletion of the p50 subunit leads to defects in the immune response involving B cells [25]. Hepatocyte apoptosis is higher in p50−/− mice [37, 38], but it is not lethal as in the p65 knockout. However, DNA synthesis and liver regeneration were not affected by the absence of the p50 subunit following partial hepatectomy or carbon tetrachloride treatment; increased levels of p65 may have compensated for the lack of p50 [39]. Similarly, the hepatic-specific expression of a truncated IκBa superrepressor did not affect DNA synthesis, apoptosis, or liver regeneration following partial hepatectomy, but led to increased apoptosis after treatment with TNF-α [40]. Also, the hepatic inflammatory response after ischemia/reperfusion was not altered in p50−/− mice [41]. The deletion of p52 led to defects in humoral immunity and splenic architecture [28]. In RelB−/− mice, multiorgan inflammation, impaired cellular immunity, and hematopoietic abnormalities were observed [29]. The deletion of c-rel led to defects in humoral immunity and in the proliferation of T cells in response to mitogens [27]. In addition, B cells lacking p50, RelB, or c-Rel (but not p52 or p65) exhibited decreased proliferation in response to lipopolysaccharide (LPS) [25, 27, 42–44].

3. HEPATIC ACTIVATION OF NF-κB BY PEROXISOME PROLIFERATORS

Our initial study examined whether peroxisome proliferators could activate NF-κB in the liver (Table 1) [45]. Rats were fed a diet containing 0.01% ciprofibrate; control rats received the same diet without ciprofibrate. Animals were sacrificed 3, 6, or 10 days after starting treatment. NF-κB DNA binding activity was monitored using electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSAs) with a radiolabeled NF-κB probe. Low levels of NF-κB were found in the liver nuclear extracts from control rats and remained unchanged over the 10-day period. Three days after the initiation of treatment, an increase in nuclear NF-κB DNA binding activity was observed in treated versus control rats. NF-κB levels continued to increase at six and ten days after treatment. Quantitative radioanalytic image analysis indicated that the level of induction was nearly two-fold by day 3 and increased to 4-fold by day 10. Hepatocyte nuclear factor 3 (HNF-3; foxa) is composed of a family of liver-enriched transcription factors that regulate the expression of many liver genes [46]. EMSAs with a radiolabeled HNF-3 binding motif derived from the rat transthyretin promoter showed that HNF-3 binding activity remained unchanged over the 10-day period in both treated and control rats. This indicates that ciprofibrate does not lead to a global, but rather a more restricted increase in hepatic transcription factor activity.

Following this initial observation, several additional studies have demonstrated that peroxisome proliferators activate NF-κB in the livers of rats and mice, species that are sensitive to the carcinogenic effects of peroxisome proliferators (Table 1). Ciprofibrate has been found to increase the DNA binding activity of NF-κB in both rats and mice [16, 17, 38, 57, 58]. Wy-14,643 and dicamba increased the DNA binding activity of NF-κB in rats and/or mice, while gemfibrozil and dibutyl phthalate activated NF-κB to a lesser extent in rats and not at all timepoints [49, 53, 54, 56]. Delerive et al. [52] observed that hepatic IκBα expression was increased by ciprofibrate in mice; this finding is somewhat difficult to interpret since IκB is an NF-κB-regulated gene but it is also associated with inhibiting NF-κB signaling. Nanji et al. [55] observed that clofibrate treatment did not affect the DNA binding activity of NF-κB (this study also observed that clofibrate decreased ethanol-induced NF-κB activation); however, this finding is also difficult to interpret since all rats were fed fish oil, which itself is a peroxisome proliferator [59]. All of the above studies examined NF-κB activation 3 or more days after beginning peroxisome proliferator administration. In short-term studies, Rusyn et al. observed that hepatic NF-κB DNA binding activity was increased shortly after a single dose of Wy-14,643, and that the increase was primarily due to increased DNA binding activity in Kupffer cells and to the presence of NADPH.
| Authors                  | Species | Agent                | Dose                        | Time Points     | Endpoint | Effect                        |
|-------------------------|---------|----------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|----------|-------------------------------|
| Li et al., 1996 [45]    | Rats    | Ciprofibrate         | 0.01% in diet               | 3, 6, 10 days   | EMSA     | Increased                    |
| Ohmura et al., 1996 [47]| Rats    | BR-931               | 250 mg/kg single p.o. dose  | 0.5–5 hr after single dose | EMSA     | No effect                     |
| Menegazzi et al., 1997 [48]| Rats   | Nafenopin           | 200 mg/kg single p.o. dose  | 0.5–24 hr after single dose | EMSA     | No effect                     |
| Nilakantan et al., 1998 [16]| Mice | Ciprofibrate         | 0.01% in diet               | 21 days         | EMSA     | Increased                    |
| Espandiari et al., 1998 [49]| Rats | Dicamba             | 100 mg/kg single p.o. dose  | 1–36 hr after single dose | EMSA     | Increased at 2 and 8 hr; no change at 1, 24, and 36 hr |
| Fusen et al., 1998 [50] | Rats    | Wy-14,643            | 100 mg/kg single p.o. dose  | 2 hr after single dose | EMSA     | Increased                    |
| Nilakantan et al., 1998 [16]| Mice | Ciprofibrate         | 100 mg/kg single p.o. dose  | 2–24 hr after single dose | EMSA     | Increased                    |
| Delerive et al., 2000 [52]| Mice | Ciprofibrate         | 0.05% in diet               | 2 weeks         | IκBα expression | Increased in wild-type but not PPARα−/− mice |
| Tharappel et al., 2001 [53]| Rats   | Wy-14,643            | 0.05 or 0.005% in diet      | 6, 34, 90 days  | EMSA     | Increased                    |
| Gemfibrozil            | Rats    | Gemfibrozil          | 0.1 or 1.6% in diet         | 6, 34 days      | EMSA     | No effect                     |
| Gemfibrozil            | Rats    | Gemfibrozil          | 0.1 or 1.6% in diet         | 90 days         | EMSA     | Increased only at lower dose |
| Dibutyl phthalate      | Rats    | Dibutyl phthalate   | 0.5 or 2.0% in diet         | 6 days          | EMSA     | No effect                     |
| Dibutyl phthalate      | Rats    | Dibutyl phthalate   | 0.5 or 2.0% in diet         | 34, 90 days     | EMSA     | Increased                    |
| Hamsters              | Rats    | Wy-14,643            | 0.5 or 0.005% in diet       | 6, 34, 90 days  | EMSA     | No effect                     |
| Gemfibrozil            | Rats    | Gemfibrozil          | 0.6 or 2.4% in diet         | 6, 34, 90 days  | EMSA     | No effect                     |
| Dibutyl phthalate      | Rats    | Dibutyl phthalate   | 0.5 or 2.0% in diet         | 6, 34, 90 days  | EMSA     | No effect                     |
| Fischer et al., 2002 [54]| Rats   | Wy-14,643            | 0.1% in diet                | 10 days         | EMSA     | Increased                    |
| Tharappel et al., 2003 [38]| Mice | Ciprofibrate         | 0.01% in diet               | 10 days         | EMSA     | Increased                    |
| Calfee-Mason et al., 2004 [17]| Rats | Ciprofibrate         | 0.01% in diet               | 10 days         | EMSA     | Increased                    |
| Nanji et al., 2004 [55] | Rats    | Clofibrate           | 100 mg/kg p.o. daily + fish oil | 4 weeks       | EMSA     | No effect compared to fish oil alone; decreased ethanol-induced activation |
| Woods et al., 2007 [56] | Mice    | Wy-14,643            | 0.1% in diet                | 1 week, 5 weeks, 5 months | EMSA     | Increased in wild-type and NADPH oxidase-deficient mice; no effect in PPARα-deficient mice |
| Calfee-Mason et al., 2008 [57] | Mice | Ciprofibrate         | 0.01% in diet               | 10 days         | EMSA     | Increased                    |
oxidase in Kupffer cells [50, 51]. However, after a single dose of BR-931 [47] or nafenopin [48], the DNA binding activity of NF-κB in liver was not increased after 0.5–24 hours following exposure. Taken together, these data suggest that the early activation of hepatic NF-κB occurs in Kupffer cells, while the activation in hepatocytes does not appear until 3 days or later after the beginning of peroxisome proliferator administration. Finally, the presence of PPARα is necessary for these changes in NF-κB activation to occur, since neither Wy-14,643 nor ciprofibrate affected NF-κB activation in PPARα-deficient mice [52, 56].

The activation of hepatic NF-κB had also been examined in vitro. EMSAs demonstrated NF-κB induction by ciprofibrate in peroxisome proliferator-responsive H4IIEC3 rat hepatoma cells but not in peroxisome proliferator-insensitive HepG2 human hepatoma cell lines [18]. In addition, stably transfected NF-κB-regulated reporter genes were activated by ciprofibrate in H4IIEC3 cells. These changes were observed after 72 hours of exposure, with the increase in fatty acyl CoA oxidase activity being observed at 24 hours, the first time point tested. This reporter gene activation was blocked by the antioxidants N-acetylcysteine and vitamin E. West et al. [60] examined the activation of NF-κB in cultured mouse hepatocytes in response to nafenopin. After a 4-hour exposure, the DNA binding activity of NF-κB was increased. Using human HuH7 hepatoma cells, Kleemann et al. [61] found that Wy-14,643 increased IκBα protein levels and decreased the nuclear translocation of NF-κB. In addition, the peroxisome proliferators Wy-14,643 and fenofibrate decreased interleukin-1β-induced C-reactive protein expression. Delerive et al. [52] also found that IκBα expression and protein levels were increased by Wy-14,643 in primary human hepatocytes and that IL-1β-induced cyclooxygenase-2 protein levels were decreased by Wy-14,643. These studies suggest that rodent hepatic NF-κB activation is due to, at least in part, activation in hepatocytes. In human liver cells and cell lines, however, NF-κB activation is not affected or is decreased by peroxisome proliferator administration.

4. IMPORTANCE OF NF-κB IN HEPATOCARCINOGENESIS BY PEROXISOME PROLIFERATORS

An important question is whether NF-κB activation by peroxisome proliferators is necessary for carcinogenesis by peroxisome proliferators, as well as the induction of changes in cell proliferation, apoptosis, and gene expression. If NF-κB activation does contribute to the promoting activity of peroxisome proliferators, one would predict that if the activity of NF-κB were diminished, the enhancement of cell proliferation and carcinogenesis as well as the inhibition of apoptosis by peroxisome proliferators would be decreased. Several studies have examined this question, using mice in which the p50 subunit of NF-κB has been deleted. In the first study, the effect of p50 deletion on cell proliferation, apoptosis, and related gene expression was examined [38]. Wild-type and p50−/− mice were fed a diet with or without 0.01% ciprofibrate for 10 days. NF-κB DNA binding activity was present and increased after ciprofibrate treatment in wild-type mice, but was not detected in p50−/− mice. The untreated p50−/− mice had a higher level of hepatic cell proliferation, as measured by BrdU labeling, than did untreated wild-type mice. However, the increase in proliferation was greater in ciprofibrate-fed wild-type mice than in ciprofibrate-fed p50−/− mice. The apoptotic index was low in wild-type mice in the presence or absence of ciprofibrate. Apoptosis was increased in untreated p50−/− mice compared to wild-type mice; apoptosis was reduced in p50−/− mice after ciprofibrate feeding. Because increased cell proliferation in the liver is associated with increased activator protein-1 (AP-1) activity, the expression of genes in the Fos and Jun families of transcription factors was examined. The c-Jun and JunB mRNA levels were higher in untreated p50−/− mice than in untreated wild-type mice; c-Jun mRNA levels increased whereas JunB mRNA levels decreased in both groups after ciprofibrate treatment. However, c-Jun and JunB protein levels were the same in untreated wild-type and p50−/− mice, and increased in both groups after ciprofibrate treatment. Apoptosis-related gene expression was also examined, and several apoptosis-related mRNAs were higher in untreated p50−/− mice compared to untreated wild-type mice; expression of these genes increased in both groups after ciprofibrate treatment. These data indicate that NF-κB contributes to the proliferative and apoptotic changes that occur in the liver in response to ciprofibrate.

The role of NF-κB in the inhibition of apoptosis by peroxisome proliferators has also been examined in vitro. Using primary rat hepatocytes, Cosulich et al. [62] infected cells with an adenovirus containing a dominant negative form of IKK2. The dominant negative IKK2 induced apoptosis in the hepatocytes, which could not be inhibited by the addition of nafenopin. These data indicate that NF-κB activation is essential for the inhibition of apoptosis by peroxisome proliferators.

The role of NF-κB in the promotion of hepatocarcinogenesis by Wy-14,643 has been examined, using p50−/− mice [63]. The p50−/− and wild-type mice were first administered diethylnitrosamine (DEN) as an initiating agent. Mice were then fed a control diet or a diet containing 0.05% Wy-14,643 for 38 weeks. As expected, wild-type mice receiving DEN only developed a low incidence of tumors, and the majority of wild-type mice receiving both DEN and Wy-14,643 developed tumors. However, no tumors were seen in any of the p50−/− mice. Treatment with DEN/Wy-14,643 increased both cell proliferation and apoptosis in wild-type and p50−/− mice; DEN treatment alone had no effect. In the DEN/Wy-14,643-treated mice, cell proliferation and apoptosis were slightly lower in the p50−/− mice than in the wild-type mice. These data demonstrate that NF-κB is involved in the promotion of hepatic tumors by the peroxisome proliferator Wy-14,643; however, in this study, the difference in tumor incidence could not be attributed to alterations in either cell proliferation or apoptosis.
5. MECHANISMS BY WHICH PEROXISOME PROLIFERATORS INFLUENCE NF-κB ACTIVATION

The studies discussed above showed that peroxisome proliferators activate hepatic NF-κB, except possibly for very short exposure periods, and that NF-κB activation is necessary for the promoting activity and associated biochemical activities of peroxisome proliferators. The mechanisms by which peroxisome proliferators activate NF-κB have been examined in several studies. These studies can be divided into two main groups: (1) those taking place in nonhepatic cells or nonrodent hepatocytes, or in which the exposure time was short; and (2) those in liver, in which the exposure time was longer, usually greater than one week. The former studies involved alterations in NF-κB in the absence of changes in gene expression brought about by PPARα activation in rodent liver. For the longer studies, however, changes in gene expression and cell metabolism in response to PPARα activation have occurred. These include the induction of the peroxisomal β-oxidation pathway including fatty acyl CoA oxidase (FAO) and the cytochrome P-450 4A (CYP4A) family. FAO produces hydrogen peroxide as a by-product, and CYP4A may also produce reactive oxygen species. PPARα activation also results in a decrease in the activities of cellular antioxidant enzymes such as glutathione peroxidase, glutathione S-transferase, and DT-diaphorase, and in the concentrations of cellular antioxidants such as vitamin E [7]. Therefore, oxidative stress may be an important mechanism in the activation of NF-κB by peroxisome proliferators.

In tissues that are not responsive to the peroxisome proliferative and carcinogenic effects to peroxisome proliferators, such as human hepatocytes and nonhepatic tissues and cells, the administration of PPARα activators clearly leads to a decrease in NF-κB activation and NF-κB-regulated gene expression. These include kidney cells in vitro [64], human aortic smooth muscle cells [52, 65], human HuH7 hepatoma cells [61], primary human hepatocytes [52], human endothelial cells [66], Cos-1 cells [67], and mouse splenocytes in vivo [68]. In these cases, PPARα decreased NF-κB activation by the direct interaction with p65 [65] and/or by increasing IκBα expression [52]. The administration of peroxisome proliferators also decreased the expression and/or protein levels of NF-κB-regulated inflammatory genes, including IL-6 [65, 68], IL-12 [68], C-reactive protein [61], vascular cell adhesion molecule-1 (VCAM-1) [66], and COX-2 [67]. On the other hand, inhibition of the NF-κB signaling pathway by inactivating the NF-κB essential modulator (NEMO) gene in rodent liver leads to a decrease in the expression of PPARα [69].

Several lines of evidence support the hypothesis that NF-κB activation after one week or more of exposure to peroxisome proliferators is mediated by oxidative stress produced by peroxisome proliferators. First, overexpression of the hydrogen peroxide-producing enzyme that is induced by peroxisome proliferators, FAO, is sufficient to activate NF-κB in Cos-1 cells [70]. In addition, FAO overexpression in Cos-1 cells, in the presence of an H2O2-generating substrate, can activate an NF-κB-regulated reporter gene. Electrophoretic mobility shift assays further demonstrated that FAO expression increases nuclear NF-κB DNA binding activity in a dose-dependent manner. The antioxidants vitamin E and catalase can inhibit this activation [70].

Second, overexpression of the hydrogen peroxide-detecting enzyme catalase in the livers of transgenic mice inhibits the activation of NF-κB by ciprofibrate [16]. In this study, mice overexpressing catalase in the liver or nontransgenic littermates were fed either 0.01% ciprofibrate or a control diet for 21 days. FAO activity was not significantly affected by catalase overexpression although the ratio of FAO to catalase was significantly decreased in transgenic animals. Ciprofibrate increased NF-κB DNA binding activity in the livers of non-transgenic mice, but this increase was inhibited by catalase overexpression. In addition, the ciprofibrate-induced increase in hepatocyte proliferation was decreased by catalase overexpression, indicating a possible role for NF-κB in cell proliferation by peroxisome proliferators.

Third, studies in species with different responses to peroxisome proliferators support a role for oxidative stress in NF-κB activation. Rats and mice are sensitive to the hepatocarcinogenic and cell proliferation-inducing effects of peroxisome proliferators whereas other species, such as Syrian hamsters, are not [71, 72]. Therefore, we examined the effects of three different peroxisome proliferators on antioxidant enzymes, antioxidant vitamins, and NF-κB activation in rats and Syrian hamsters [53, 73, 74]. The peroxisome proliferators Wy-14,643, gemfibrozil, and dibutyl phthalate were administered to animals for 6, 34, or 90 days. In rats, decreases in glutathione reductase (GR), glutathione S-transferase (GST), and selenium-dependent glutathione peroxidase (GPx) were observed following peroxisome proliferator treatment at various time points. In hamsters, a higher basal level of activities for GR, GST, and selenium GPx was observed as compared to rats. In addition, hamsters showed decreases in GR and GST activities following peroxisome proliferator treatment. Interestingly, selenium-GPx activity was increased in hamsters following peroxisome proliferator treatment. Treatment for 90 days with Wy-14,643 resulted in no change in GPx1 mRNA in rats and increased GPx1 mRNA in hamsters. In both rats and hamsters treated with Wy-14,643, we observed decreases in α-tocopherol content and total superoxide dismutase (SOD) activity. Conversely, DT-diaphorase activity was decreased following Wy-14,643 treatment in rats at all time points and doses, but only sporadically affected in hamsters. Rats and hamsters treated with DBP demonstrated increased SOD activity at 6 days; however, in the rat, DBP decreased SOD activity at 90 days and α-tocopherol content was decreased throughout. In gemfibrozil-treated rats and hamsters, a decrease in α-tocopherol content and an increase in DT-diaphorase activity were observed. In either species, no consistent trend was observed in total ascorbic acid content after treatment with any of the peroxisome proliferators. NF-κB activation was evaluated by EMSA. Wy-14,643 increased the DNA binding activity of NF-κB at all three timepoints in rats and produced the highest activation of the three chemicals tested (Table 1). Gemfibrozil and DBP increased NF-κB activation to a lesser extent in rats and not at all times. There were no differences...
in hepatic NF-κB levels between control hamsters and hamsters treated with any of the peroxisome proliferators. These studies show that NF-κB is not activated by peroxisome proliferators in hamsters, which have much higher levels of the antioxidant enzymes glutathione peroxidase, glutathione S-transferase, glutathione reductase, and DT-diaphorase, and which are not responsive to the carcinogenic effects of the peroxisome proliferators.

Finally, the antioxidant, vitamin E, inhibits ciprofibrate-induced NF-κB activation, both in vivo and in vitro. In an in vitro study [18], NF-κB-regulated reporter genes were stably transfected into rat hepatoma H4IEC3 cells. The ciprofibrate-induced increase in luciferase activity after 72 hours of exposure was blocked by the addition of α-tocopheroyl acetate. N-acetyl cysteine also inhibited the ciprofibrate-induced increase. In the in vivo study [17], thirty-six male Sprague-Dawley rats were fed a purified diet containing varying levels of vitamin E (10, 50, 250 ppm α-tocopheroyl acetate). After 28 days, seven animals per vitamin E group received 0.01% ciprofibrate in the diet for 10 days. Increased dietary α-tocopheroyl acetate inhibited CIP-induced NF-κB DNA binding. Since NF-κB translocates to the nucleus upon the phosphorylation and degradation of IκB, we also used western blots to measure cytosolic protein levels of IκBα, IκBβ, and IκBα kinases: IKKα and IKKβ. However, IκBα protein levels were decreased in all three CIP-treated groups, with the 10 ppm vitamin E group also decreasing IκBα protein levels in control rats. No difference in IκBβ protein levels was observed among any of the groups. The CIP-treated rats generally had lower protein levels of IKKα and IKKβ.

An important question is whether vitamin E is exerting some of its effects by blocking the activation of NF-κB. The use of NF-κB knockout models may provide answers to this question. A study has addressed this question by examining if the inhibition of NF-κB by vitamin E is necessary for vitamin E’s effects on the induction of cell proliferation by the peroxisome proliferator ciprofibrate and on the inhibition of apoptosis by ciprofibrate [57]. Wild-type and p50−/− mice were administered ciprofibrate and one of two levels of vitamin E (10 or 250 mg/kg diet). Vitamin E inhibited ciprofibrate-induced cell proliferation only in the p50−/− mice. Dietary vitamin E also increased apoptosis and increased the GSH/GSSG ratio in both wild-type and p50−/− mice. This study suggests that vitamin E does not act by blocking NF-κB activation, indicating that vitamin E is acting by other molecular mechanisms.

6. CONCLUSIONS

In summary, the administration of most peroxisome proliferators leads to the activation of NF-κB in the liver of rats and mice. This activation appears to be necessary for the tumor-promoting activity and for the induction of cell proliferation by peroxisome proliferators. The activation of NF-κB appears to be mediated at least in part by the induction of oxidative stress by peroxisome proliferators. Future studies examining the mechanisms by which NF-κB is altered by PPARα activation will need to clearly distinguish between those changes brought about directly by PPARα and those brought about as a result of changes in gene expression through PPARα (such as the peroxisomal β-oxidation pathway). The identity of specific NF-κB-regulated genes after the administration of peroxisome proliferators, particularly genes related to cell proliferation and apoptosis, will also need to be determined in future studies.
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