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Abstract
The equitable poverty reduction in North Sumatera became one of the unresolved issues until now. The decreasing of poverty percentage in the last five years in North Sumatera can not represent the level of public welfare. In fact, in 2013, there were 22 out of 33 districts in North Sumatera which have the poverty percentage more than ten percent and known as hardcore poverty. The highest poverty percentage was found in North Nias and Gunung Sitoli by 30.94 %, while the lowest was found in Deli Serdang by 4.71 %. This research stated the problem “How is the influence of the economic growth, level of education, and the minimum regional wages toward the level of poverty in North Sumatera Province? The objective is to analyze the influence of economic growth, the level of education and the minimum wages toward the poor population in North Sumatera Province. This research used 165 samples that spread in 33 districts in North Sumatera from 2009 until 2013 with panel data and using Fixed Effect Model Method. The result of the Ordinary Least Square Method (LOS) through the multiple linear regression estimated model showed that the economic growth and the minimum regional wages had negative influence, while the level of education had positive influence toward poverty in North Sumatera. The coefficient of determination (R²) is 0.948157 which means the variable of economic growth, minimum regional wages and level of education can define the poverty in North Sumatera by 94.82 %, and 5.18 % defined by other economic variables outside the model.
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INTRODUCTION
North Sumatera as a province with the fourth largest population in Indonesia with 33 city regencies contained in it is certainly one of the great potentials in Indonesia. The provincial government of North Sumatera as a government unit is of course very serious and continues to make efforts in overcoming the problem of poverty. This can be seen from the reduction in the percentage of poverty from year to year. In 2009, the poverty rate for North Sumatera was 11.51 percent, while in 2013 it was at 10.39 percent.
Equitable poverty reduction in North Sumatera is one of the unresolved problems to date. It turns out that the reduction in the percentage of poverty in the last 5 years in North Sumatera has not been able to represent the level of welfare of the people of North Sumatera. The actual conditions in the field of 33 urban districts in North Sumatera are 22 districts / cities whose poverty percentage in 2013 was more than 10 percent or known as hardcore poverty. Where the regions with the highest percentage of poverty were North Nias Regency and Gunung Sitoli City, which amounted to 30.94 percent, while the lowest poverty percentage in North Sumatera was in Deli Serdang district, which was 4.71 percent.

This is of course a task that must be completed immediately by the provincial government of North Sumatera. Equitable development to all districts / cities in North Sumatera, of course, must be done immediately. The development process certainly requires high regional income and fast economic growth. In many countries the main condition for a steady reduction in poverty is economic growth. Economic growth is indeed not enough to alleviate poverty but usually economic growth is something that is needed, even so, even good economic growth will not mean a decrease in the poor if it is not accompanied by equal income distribution (Wongdesmiwati, 2009).

Economic growth alone is likely to benefit only a small part of society and leave a large proportion of the poor behind. Meanwhile, prioritizing equity alone without high economic growth will not be able to improve welfare or only revolve around poverty distribution. Therefore, a country's economic policies must be formulated to be more pro-growth (spurring economic growth), pro-jobs (expanding employment), and pro-poor (reducing poverty) (Maipita et al, 2010).

The amount of minimum wage for workers which is determined by government policy also affects the level of poverty. The minimum wage policy in Indonesia itself was first implemented in the early 1970s. However, the implementation was not effective in these years (Suryahadi et al, 2003). The Indonesian government only started paying more attention to the implementation of minimum wage policies in the late 1980s. This is mainly due to pressure from the international community regarding issues concerning violations of labor standards that have occurred in Indonesia.

According to Law No. 13 of 2003, it is stated that the minimum wage is only intended for workers with a working period of 0 (zero) to 1 (one) year. From this definition, there are two important elements of the minimum wage (Sumarsono, 2003), namely:

### Table 1: Poverty Percentage in North Sumatera, 2009-2013

| Year | Poverty Percentage |
|------|--------------------|
| 2009 | 11.51              |
| 2010 | 11.31              |
| 2011 | 11.33              |
| 2012 | 10.41              |
| 2013 | 10.39              |

Source: BPS North Sumatera Province 2013
1. Starting wage is the lowest wage a worker must receive when he is first hired to work.
2. The minimum wage amount must be able to meet the minimum living needs of workers, namely the need for clothing, food and household needs.

**Figure 1**: Percentage of Poverty in Districts / Cities in North Sumatera in 2013

Source: BPS North Sumatera Province 2013

Sumarsono (2003) also argues that wages are the main source of income for a worker, so that wages must be sufficient to meet the needs of workers and their families fairly. The limit of fairness in the Minimum Wage Policy in Indonesia can be assessed and measured by the Minimum Living Needs (KHM) or it is often called the Decent Living Needs (KHL).

(UMP) in North Sumatera Province continues to increase from year to year. In 2009 the minimum wage rate was 905,000 rupiah, then increased to 965,000 rupiah in 2010 and 1,035,500 rupiah in 2011. The highest increase occurred in 2013, from 1,200,000 rupiah in 2012 to 1,305,000 rupiah.

**Table 2**: Development of Provincial Minimum Wages (PMW) in North Sumatera Province, 2009 - 2013 (in Rupiah)

| Year | PMW      | % increase | Inflation (%) |
|------|----------|------------|---------------|
| 2009 | 905.000  | 10.07      | 2.61          |
| 2010 | 965.000  | 6.63       | 8.00          |
| 2011 | 1,035.500| 7.31       | 3.67          |
| 2012 | 1,200.000| 15.89      | 3.86          |
| 2013 | 1,305.000| 8.75       | 10.18         |

Source: BPS North Sumatera in Figures of Various Years of Publication, (processed)

Based on the observations in table 1 above, we can see that in 2010 and 2013 the percentage of the inflation rate that occurred in North Sumatera was higher than the percentage of the provincial minimum wage increase. The rate of increase in inflation which is higher than the rate of increase in the PMW will certainly reduce the welfare of workers.

Another factor that affects poverty levels is education. The correlation between education and poverty has long been a central issue in many countries, both developed
and developing countries. Even in developed countries such as the United States, problems arise as a result of the large subsidies allocated to the poor (Carey, 2002). Meanwhile in Indonesia the problem lies in the injustice in gaining access to education between the rich and the poor. Where the costs for schools for the rich and the poor are relatively the same regardless of their family's economic background (Nurdyana et al, 2012).

The new growth theory emphasizes the importance of the role of government, especially in enhancing human capital development and encouraging research and development to increase human productivity. The fact can be seen that investing in education will be able to improve the quality of human resources as shown by increasing one's knowledge and skills. The higher a person's education level, the knowledge and expertise will also increase so that it will encourage an increase in work productivity. The low productivity of the poor can be caused by their low access to education (Sitepu and Sinaga, 2004).

Based on the above background, North Sumatera Province in 2009 - 2013 experienced a decrease in the poverty rate each year, but the reduction in the poverty rate was not evenly distributed in 33 districts / cities in North Sumatera province. Therefore, further research is needed to find out what factors affect poverty in North Sumatera Province so that later it can be used as a basis for making economic policies.

One of the indicators of a country's economic success can be calculated by measuring economic growth. Economic growth is marked by an increase in GDP (Gross Domestic Product) which in turn has an impact on the movement of production that requires resources, be it natural resources, human resources and capital resources.

The higher the education level of human resources or workers, of course, will have an impact on production results in accordance with the company's targets. However, considering the high unemployment rate which is directly proportional to the poverty level, it has caused a growing polemic in society. This condition is faced with the increasing number of schools and universities that are developing in the country which annually produce educated workers. However, not all of these workers are able to fill the available jobs.

The amount of wages given by employers to workers is a form of appreciation for the work done by workers. Worker satisfaction with the amount of wages received depends on the welfare of the workers themselves. When wages do not guarantee the welfare of life and even fulfill the basic needs of workers, it can be ascertained that the amount of wages is in favor of the interests of producers or employers who want to maximize their profits without paying attention to the welfare of workers.

The three economic variables mentioned above, according to the author, need to be investigated further whether these variables affect the poverty level. So that according to the author, a frame of mind can be drawn as below.
RESEARCH METHOD

This study uses panel data analysis (pooled data). Where the goal is to determine the effect of the level of economic growth, minimum wages, and education on poverty levels in the province of North Sumatera. The variables in this study are:

1. Poverty rate (K), namely the population who were below the poverty line in 33 districts / cities in North Sumatera Province in 2009-2013 (in percent).
2. Regional Economic Growth (Y), expressed as changes in GRDP at constant prices in 33 Regencies / Cities of North Sumatera Province (in percent) in 2009-2013.
3. District / City Minimum Wage (U) is the minimum wage applicable in each region that is received by workers monthly. Minimum Wages used in this study is the minimum wage that applies in 33 regencies / cities of North Sumatera Province in 2009-2013 which is measured in rupiah units.

Education is defined as the population aged 10 years and over who passed the last high school education and above in 33 Regencies / Cities of North Sumatera Province in 2009-2013 which is measured in percentage units. In the preparation of this study the data used are secondary data taken from the Central Statistics Agency of North Sumatera Province and the Office of Manpower and Transmigration of North Sumatera Province. Secondary data used is time series data for the period 2009-2013. As well as cross section data covering 33 districts / cities in North Sumatera Province.

The data collection technique in this research is through documentary studies, namely by collecting data through written documents, journals, certain books, opinions, theories and using secondary data sourced from the North Sumatera Central Statistics Agency (BPS) and Department of Manpower and Transmigration of North Sumatera Province for the period 2009 - 2013. By analyzing the data obtained, to find out how much influence the independent variables have on the dependent variable using an econometric model by regressing the existing variables using the Panel Data Regression Model. The data used were analyzed quantitatively by using statistical analysis, namely multiple linear regression equations. The independent variable that affects the dependent variable is stated in the following functions:

$$ Y = f (X_1, X_2, X_3) \cdots $$

Then the function is transformed into a multiple linear equation model (multiple regression).

RESULT AND DISCUSSION
The interpretation of the final model selection used in this study is in accordance with the results of the data output and in accordance with the tests that have been carried out in this study, namely the model used is the Fixed Effect Model. As is known in the Fixed Effect Model, differences in individual characteristics and time are accommodated in the intercept so that the Poverty Level intercept of each Regency / City in North Sumatera Province is different as well as the different constants so that the model equation is different for each Regency. / Cities in North Sumatera Province are also different. But in general the similarities of this research are as follows:

\[ K = 6.655604 - 0.096160 \text{PE} - 0.527968 \text{MW} + 1.042747 \text{ED} \]

1. The poverty rate regression coefficient based on the above data processing results is 6.655604, which means that the normal poverty rate in North Sumatera is 6.66 percent of the population. This condition applies when the economic variables that affect poverty in this study are considered to be constant (fixed) or unchanged. The highest normal poverty conditions in districts / cities in North Sumatera Province were South Nias District at 1.518315 and North Nias at 1.473788. This means that in the condition other variables that influence in this study are constant or unchanged, the normal poverty rate in the two districts is 1.518315 percent and 1.473788 percent of the population in the regency. Meanwhile, the lowest level of poverty was found in Deli Serdang Regency which was -1.242673 and Binjai City which was -1.091363. Medan City which is the capital city of North Sumatera province has a normal poverty rate of -0.892924, meaning that based on the results of this study, the normal poverty rate in Medan City is -0.892924 percent.

2. The economic growth regression coefficient is -0.096160, which means that an increase in economic growth of 1 percent will reduce the poverty rate by 0.09 percent. The results of this study are in accordance with the hypothesis and previous research conducted by Permana and Fitrianti (2012) and Dollar and Kraay (2001) in Maipita (2014) which indicate a negative relationship between economic growth and poverty levels where an increase in economic growth will lead to a decline in poverty level. This study is also in accordance with Kuznet's theory which states that poverty has a very strong correlation, because the early stages of the development process the poverty rate tends to increase, but as it approaches the final stage of development the number of poor people will gradually decrease.

3. The district / city minimum wage coefficient is -0.0527968. This means that an increase in the minimum wage of 1 percent will reduce the poverty rate by 0.0527968 percent. This result is in accordance with the hypothesis and previous research by Riva et al. (2014) who found a negative relationship between wages and poverty levels in Riau Province where the increase in wages has an impact on reducing the level of poverty.

4. District / city education coefficient of 1.042747 means that if there is an increase in education by 1 percent, the poverty rate in the province of North Sumatera will increase by 1.042747 percent. This result is not in accordance with the
hypothesis and previous research by Permana and Fitrianti (2012) which resulted that education had a negative and significant effect on the percentage of poor people in Central Java. This study is in accordance with the results of Dewi and Endrayani’s (2016) research which resulted that the level of education directly showed a positive and significant influence on the poverty level in Bali Province. The higher education that the community has makes them demand high wages according to what they want, if the company is deemed not to provide appropriate wages, they will choose to wait for jobs according to their wishes (Hajji and Nugroho in Dewi, 2016). An increase in the number of educated workers without being accompanied by an increase in the absorption of labor can create new problems in national development. There is a mismatch between the quality of graduates and the needs of the business world or educational institutions that are unable to produce ready-to-use graduates according to the needs and demands of national economic development (Tobing in Juwita 2011).

Data from the Ministry of Manpower and Transmigration shows that around 30 percent of job vacancies in 2010 were not filled. In fact, the number of job seekers is abundant. The character and competitiveness of job seekers is a problem. Data from provinces, districts and cities shows that there are 4.12 million job seekers registered, while job vacancies are available for 2.38 million people, of which only 1.62 million people are filled (about 70 percent). The reality that has occurred in the last 15 years is that the unemployment rate of higher education graduates has increased. This situation shows that equal opportunity to obtain quality education is a major problem in the education sector, while quality education will certainly form reliable human resources (Hariani, 2011).

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Conclusion

Based on the results of the analysis and discussion that has been stated, several conclusions can be drawn as follows:

1. The effect of Economic Growth (EG) on the Poverty Level (P) in 33 Regencies / Cities of North Sumatera Province can be said to have a negative and insignificant effect. This can be seen from the results of the regression test which has a sig value of 0.5739 <0.05, because a sig value of not less than 0.05 means that there is a negative and insignificant effect.

2. The influence of the education level of the population aged 10 years and over who passed the last high school education and above (E) on the Poverty Level (P) in 33 Regencies / Cities of North Sumatera Province can be said to have a positive and significant effect. This can be seen from the results of the regression test which has a sig value of 0.0000 <0.05, because a sig value of less than 0.05 means that there is a positive and significant effect.

3. The influence of the Regency / City Minimum Wage in 33 Regencies / Cities of North Sumatera Province can be said to have a negative and significant effect. This can be seen from the results of the regression test which has a sig value of
0.0000 < 0.05, because a sig value of less than 0.05 means that there is a negative and significant effect. Thus the hypothesis is proven.

Suggestion

Based on the results and conclusions of the study, the suggestions that can be put forward by the author are as follows:

1. It is recommended that the government pay attention to and maintain economic growth through the stability of the level of production of goods and services in North Sumatera in 33 districts / cities in North Sumatera Province. If economic growth is well maintained and is in a stable condition, this will have an impact on the amount of production that is stable and may even increase so that jobs will be wide open which can be filled by people who do not have a job.

2. It is recommended that the government needs to adjust the Regency / City Minimum Wage by taking into account the need for decent living and the welfare of the community, especially in 33 Regencies / Cities in North Sumatera Province. The government should set the Regency / City Minimum Wage to be above the Workers' Decent Living Needs.

3. It is recommended that the government as policy makers and schools and universities as producers of the workforce can pay more attention to the quality of graduates in order to fill the available jobs. Graduates from the education level of the workforce should have the potential or skills needed by the company so that there is no mismatch or a mismatch between the skills possessed by workers and the company's workforce needs.
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