Tenecteplase and Alteplase for Thrombolysis of Acute Ischemic Stroke within 4.5 Hours: An Efficacy and Safety Study

Nikita Dhar1, Mritunjai Kumar1#, Ashutosh Tiwari2, Ishita Desai2, Govind Madhaw1,2, Niraj Kumar1

1Department of Neurology, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, Rishikesh, Uttarakhand, 2Department of Neurology, Rajendra Institute of Medical Sciences, Ranchi, Jharkhand, India

*Contributed equally to the manuscript.

Abstract

Objective: To compare the efficacy and safety of thrombolysis using Tenecteplase (TNK) versus alteplase in acute ischaemic stroke (AIS) patients within 4.5-hour window period. Methods: This retrospective study involved the collection of data from consecutive AIS patients who underwent thrombolysis in the Department of Neurology at a tertiary care university hospital, between May 2018 to January 2021. Data including clinical history, neurological assessment using modified Rankin score (mRS), National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS), brain neuroimaging, treatment, and outcome details were collected. The primary efficacy outcome was the proportion of patients with good functional recovery (mRS ≤2) at 3 months. Results: Total of 42 patients with AIS underwent thrombolysis, of which 19 received alteplase and 23 got TNK. The median (range) onset to door time [120 (20-210) versus 120 (30-210) minutes; P = 0.823] and median (range) onset to needle time [150 (60-255) versus 160 (50-240) minutes; P = 0.779] were comparable in both alteplase and TNK groups, respectively. The primary outcome of good functional recovery (mRS ≤2) at 3 months was observed in more than half the patients in each group and was comparable (P = 0.701). Post-thrombolysis complications including cerebral haemorrhage (symptomatic or asymptomatic) were comparable between the two groups (31.6% vs 30.4%; P = 0.936), except a significantly higher proportion of patients on TNK required mechanical ventilation (10.5% v/s 43.5%; P = 0.019). Conclusions: This study showed a comparable efficacy and safety profile of alteplase and TNK in thrombolysis of AIS throughout the 4.5 hours window period. Moreover, the ease of administration and better pharmacodynamic properties favors tenecteplase.
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INTRODUCTION

Stroke is the second leading cause of death after coronary artery disease, with a worldwide incidence rate of about 12.2 million per year.[1-4] The stroke diagnosis and management have witnessed considerable advancement over the past couple of decades, with intravenous thrombolysis using alteplase becoming the gold standard for managing acute ischaemic stroke (AIS) patients worldwide. Time is the brain, and the first critical step in stroke management is early identification of AIS patients and referral to centers capable of providing appropriate therapy as quickly as possible. Lack of health care facilities, as well as various transportation issues, have been the major hurdle in thrombolysis of AIS patients, which get several times magnified in the sub-Himalayan region of India.

Alteplase, a second generation rt-PA is the first FDA-approved thrombolytic agent for acute stroke. Its major limitations include a low recanalization rate, the possibility of intracerebral hemorrhage, susceptibility to plasminogen activation inhibitors, and short half-life necessitating it to be given as an infusion drug.[3] Tenecteplase (TNK), a variant of alteplase, overcomes these limitations.[4] It has recently been approved in India for treatment of AIS, albeit for those presenting within 3-hour window period.[5] TNK does not need to be given as an infusion agent. It can be administered in a single bolus dose. It’s thrombolytic profile and potency appeared better than alteplase in animal studies.[6]

While the majority of western literature[6-9] focused on higher doses of TNK (0.25 mg/kg-0.4 mg/kg), a lower dose of 0.20 mg/kg has been approved in India for AIS.[10] However, there is limited data on the use of this dose in a real-world healthcare setting in India, with just two randomized open label trials demonstrating the efficacy and safety of 0.2 mg/kg TNK.[5,11] Recently, a single-center retrospective study (TENVALT) from southern India, compared the low dose of TNK to alteplase.[12] To date, the majority of Indian research published involved a population from the nation’s southern region with a paucity of data from the northern part of India. Moreover, only a few of
the comparative studies have reported the use of TNK within 3-4.5-hour window period,[13] with no such reports from India to date. Therefore, we conducted this study to compare the efficacy and safety of thrombolysis using TNK versus alteplase in AIS patients within the 4.5-hour window period.

**Materials and Methods**

This is a single-center, retrospective study involving patients with the diagnosis of AIS, admitted at a tertiary care university hospital in the Northern part of India, between May 2018 till January 2021, who underwent thrombolysis. We aimed to compare the efficacy and safety of alteplase versus TNK. The study protocol was approved by the Institute Ethics Committee (AIIMS/IEC/21/278/Date: 15/05/2021).

**Inclusion and exclusion criteria**

All AIS patients aged ≥18 years or older presenting within 4.5 hours of stroke symptoms were included if they had a pre-morbid modified Rankin score (mRS) of ≤2 and lacked evidence of intracerebral hemorrhage in non-contrast CT brain. All patients eligible for mechanical thrombectomy (MT) also underwent thrombolysis. However, MT could not be done due to its unavailability at our centre. Those AIS patients in whom thrombolysis was contraindicated, were excluded from the study. After recording a written informed consent from the patient or a close relative/caregiver, the included patients underwent thrombolysis using either alteplase or TNK as per the local availability of the thrombolytic agents and affordability of the patients.

**Data collection**

The admission and follow-up charts of AIS patients who underwent thrombolysis were reviewed for a thrombolytic agent used (alteplase vs TNK) along with demographic, clinical, and neuroimaging including magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)/Computed tomography (CT) of brain, treatment and outcome details. The stroke time periods including onset to door and onset to needle time were recorded. Findings on baseline CT/MRI Brain and a follow-up brain imaging 24 hours after receiving thrombolytic therapy were recorded. The type of stroke was classified according to the trial of ORG 10172 in acute stroke treatment (TOAST) criteria.[14] Complications developed during hospital stay including post-thrombolysis cerebral hemorrhage, sepsis, need for mechanical ventilation, ICU care, need for surgical intervention, hospital/ventilator acquired pneumonia, seizures, headache, acute kidney injury, or transaminitis were recorded. Hemorrhagic transformation in acute ischemic stroke following thrombolysis was classified into four groups: hemorrhagic infarction type 1 (HI1; small petechiae at the border of the infarcted area), hemorrhagic infarction type 2 (HI2; confluent petechiae in the infarcted area, but lacking mass effect), parenchymal hematoma type 1 (PH 1; hemorrhage ≤30% of the infarcted area with minimal mass effect), parenchymal hematoma type 2 (PH 2; dense hemorrhagic areas >30% of the infarcted area with significant mass effect).[15,16]

**Drug administration**

During the 4.5-hour window period, patients with AIS fulfilling inclusion criteria were given either TNK (0.2 mg/kg to a maximum of 20 mg as a single intravenous bolus)[10] or alteplase (0.9 mg/kg to a maximum of 90 mg, with 10% dose given as initial bolus and remainder as an intravenous infusion over 1 hour).[17]

**Outcome measures**

**Primary outcome**

The primary efficacy outcome was the proportion of patients with good functional recovery, defined as mRS score of 0–2, at 90 days.[18] An attending neurologist evaluated the patient in follow-up visits.

**Secondary outcome**

Asymptomatic or symptomatic intracerebral hemorrhage, defined as a new intracranial hemorrhage leading to worsening of NIHSS ≥4 points or death, within the first 24 hours after administration of thrombolytic agent[19] was a secondary outcome. A follow-up CT brain was done 24-hour following the thrombolytic therapy in all patients. In addition, a need for mechanical ventilation and decompression craniotomy, in-hospital mortality, and mortality at three months were analyzed.

**Statistical analysis**

Assessment of the normality of data was done using the Shapiro-Wilk method. Continuous and normally distributed variables were represented as mean ± SD (standard deviation) and compared by using an independent *t*-test between the “alteplase” and “TNK” group, while continuous but nonparametric variables were represented as median (range) and compared using Mann–Whitney *U* test. Fischer’s exact test was used for comparing categorical variables. Multivariate binary logistic regression was used to find independent predictors of in-hospital mortality which included variables with *P* < 0.1 on univariate analysis. All analyses were done using Statistical Package for Social Sciences 21 version (SPSS, IBM, Chicago, Illinois, United States) software. A variable with a two-tailed *P* < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

A total of 42 patients with AIS underwent thrombolysis between May 2018 and January 2021, with 19 receiving alteplase and the remainder getting TNK [Supplementary Figure 1]. Baseline demographic, clinical, and stroke characteristics were comparable between both treatment groups [Table 1]. Large vessel stroke was present in 52.6% and 61.9% of patients in alteplase and TNK groups respectively. Although these patients were eligible for MT, none of them underwent the procedure due to its unavailability at our centre. Infarction in the middle cerebral artery (MCA) territory was comparable in both groups, while anterior and posterior cerebral arteries (ACA and PCA) infarctions were commoner in the TNK group. The median onset to door time (time from onset of stroke
symptoms to reaching the hospital) was comparable in both alteplase and TNK groups [median (range) = 120 (20-210) versus 120 (30-210) minutes; \( P = 0.823 \)]. The median onset to needle time was also comparable in both alteplase and TNK groups [median (range) = 150 (60-255) and 160 (50-240) minutes; \( P = 0.779 \)].

Comparison of primary and secondary outcomes in the two groups is shown in Table 2. In the alteplase and TNK groups, the median NIHSS at admission [9 (range 2-22) vs 12 (range 3-33); \( P = 0.612 \)] and the change in NIHSS from admission to discharge [3 (0 to 8) versus 4 (-2 to 15); \( P = 0.074 \)] were comparable. Median mRS of Alteplase group at admission, discharge and 90 days was 5 (range 0-5), 4 (0-6), and 2 (0-6), while the same in TNK group was 4 (1-5), 2 (0-6) and 1 (0-6), respectively. The primary outcome of good functional recovery at 3 months with mRS scores \( \leq 2 \) was observed in more than half the patients in each group and was comparable. Thus, both thrombolytic agents showed comparable efficacy in improving functional outcomes. In the patient with large vessel stroke, good functional recovery at 3 months was seen in 50% and 43.8% patients in Alteplase and TNK arms, respectively (\( P = 0.76 \)).

Number of patients developing cerebral hemorrhage (symptomatic or asymptomatic) post-thrombolysis was comparable between the two groups (alteplase: 31.6%, TNK: 30.4%; \( P = 0.936 \)). In patients with large vessel stroke, proportion of patients with cerebral haemorrhage (symptomatic or asymptomatic) were comparable (alteplase: 20% vs TNK: 31.3%; \( P = 0.67 \)). In patients with post-thrombolysis intracerebral hemorrhage, the proportion of patients with H1, H2, and PH 1 were 15.8%, 10.5%, and 5.3% vs 17.4%, 4.3%, and 8.7% in alteplase vs TNK groups respectively. None of our patients developed PH 2. There were no other serious adverse effects. Need of decompressive craniotomy and mortality during hospital admission as well as that within 3 months were comparable in both groups including those with large vessel stroke. However, compared to alteplase, a significantly higher proportion of patients on TNK required mechanical ventilation (alteplase: 2 (10.5%) v/s TNK: 10 (43.5%); \( P = 0.019 \)). A single patient in the TNK group developed angioedema.

Table 3 shows the subgroup analysis of primary efficacy and safety outcomes among TNK and alteplase groups in the two window period subgroups (<3 hours and 3-4.5 hours). For both subgroups of the window period (<3 hours and 3-4.5 hours), the thrombolytic agents were comparable for good functional recovery i.e., mRS \( \leq 2 \) at 90 days as well as primary safety outcomes including any intracranial hemorrhage or symptomatic intracranial hemorrhage.

### Discussion

This study showed comparable efficacy and safety of thrombolysis with either alteplase or TNK in AIS patients throughout the 4.5 hours’ window period. A good functional recovery at 3 months with mRS scores \( \leq 2 \) was comparable in both groups. Development of post-thrombolysis intracerebral hemorrhage following either drug was comparable. Patients in both groups had similar in-hospital mortality that at 90 days. Although there was a significantly higher requirement for mechanical ventilation in the TNK group, both groups had a comparable need for decompressive craniotomy.

The results of our study are concordant with those reported in multiple previous studies.\(^{[6,7,12,13]}\) The largest phase 3 trial failed to show superiority of TNK over alteplase in terms of good functional recovery (mRS 0 and 1) at 3 months.\(^{[60]}\) Our research varies from that of Parson et al.\(^{[9]}\) and Campbell et al.\(^{[9]}\) where the primary outcome was reperfusion after 24 hours. While TNK was found superior to alteplase in achieving the primary endpoint of reperfusion and clinical improvement at 24 hours by Parson et al.,\(^{[9]}\) Campbell et al.\(^{[9]}\) 2018 reported a comparably higher rate of occluded vascular area reperfusion with TNK as compared to alteplase (22% vs 10%; \( P = 0.002 \) for noninferiority; \( P = 0.03 \) for

---

**Table 1: Baseline demographic, clinical, and stroke characteristics**

| Characteristics                  | Alteplase (n=19) | Tenecteplase (n=23) | \( P \)  |
|----------------------------------|------------------|---------------------|---------|
| Demographic profile              |                  |                     |         |
| Age in years: Median (Range)     | 60 (40-81)       | 60 (26-84)          | 0.519   |
| Gender- Male: n (%)              | 11 (57.9)        | 17 (73.9)           | 0.273   |
| Risk factors                     |                  |                     |         |
| Previous stroke or TIA           | 5 (26.3)         | 2 (8.7)             | 0.127   |
| Hypertension                     | 15 (78.9)        | 15 (65.2)           | 0.327   |
| Diabetes mellitus                | 3 (15.8)         | 8 (34.8)            | 0.163   |
| Coronary artery disease          | 5 (26.3)         | 2 (8.7)             | 0.127   |
| Atrial fibrillation              | 5 (26.3)         | 4 (17.4)            | 0.483   |
| Dyslipidaemia (LDL ≥100 mg/dl)   | 4 (21.1)         | 1 (4.3)             | 0.096   |
| Smoker                           | 11 (57.9)        | 9 (39.1)            | 0.226   |
| Alcoholic                        | 6 (31.6)         | 3 (13.0)            | 0.145   |
| Transportation Time (in minutes) |                  |                     |         |
| Onset to door time: Median (Range)| 120 (20-210) | 120 (30-210)       | 0.823   |
| Onset to Needle time: Median (Range) | 150 (60-255) | 160 (50-240)       | 0.779   |
| Clinical characteristics         |                  |                     |         |
| Baseline NIHSS: median (range)   | 9 (2-22)         | 12 (3-33)           | 0.612   |
| ASPECT score: median (Range)     | 7 (1-10)         | 8 (2-10)            | 0.947   |
| TOAST classification             |                  |                     |         |
| Large Vessel Stroke              | 10 (52.6)        | 16 (61.9)           | 0.506   |
| Cardioembolic stroke             | 3 (15.8)         | 3 (13)              | 1.000   |
| Small vessel stroke              | 5 (26.3)         | 2 (8.7)             | 0.214   |
| Other determined etiology        | 0 (0.0)          | 1 (4.3)             | NA      |
| Other undetermined etiology      | 1 (5.3)          | 1 (4.3)             | 1.000   |

ACA: anterior cerebral artery; ASPECT: Alberta Stroke Program Early CT Score; ICA: internal cerebral artery; MCA: middle cerebral artery; NA: not applicable NIHSS: National Institute of Health Stroke Scale; PCA: posterior cerebral artery; TIA: transient ischemic attack; TOAST: trial of ORG 10172 in acute stroke treatment
superiority), before endovascular thrombectomy. The latter trial was powered for noninferiority and assessed reperfusion at 24 hours as the primary endpoint. Despite substantial reperfusion at initial angiographic assessment, the proportion of patients with functional independence at 90 days (64% vs 51%; \( P = 0.06 \)) and early neurological improvement (reduction of at least 8 points or a score of 0 or 1 on the NIHSS at 72 hours) of their stroke deficit (\( P = 0.053 \)) were comparable between TNK and alteplase groups.\[9\] The fact that reperfusion must transform into a better functional outcome, is still being questioned. Two recent metaanalysis of five randomized controlled trials reported TNK and alteplase to be comparable with regard to functional independence (mRS 0–2) or risk of symptomatic or any intracerebral hemorrhage despite significantly better reperfusion.\[20,21\]

It is worth analyzing subtle differences in the baseline characteristics of previous studies including ours. The baseline NIHSS score of studies showing better functional outcomes\[9\] or relatively better functional recovery at 3 months\[9\] with TNK compared to alteplase was 14 and 17, respectively, while the baseline NIHSS in studies showing similar functional outcomes at 3 months\[6,7,12,13\] including our study, is 12 or less. Higher NIHSS suggests proximal occlusion with a large clot burden. It can be construed that both TNK and alteplase show similar efficacy for small clot burden. However, when faced with an occlusion due to larger clot, TNK fares better compared to alteplase, probably owing to its higher fibrin specificity. TNK was developed as a plasminogen activator with greater fibrin specificity and reduced clearance compared with alteplase.\[4\] Besides above, advantages of TNK include ease of administration as a single-bolus and shorter time to initiate interfacility transfer following intravenous lytic administration. In our study, the patients in the TNK group showed greater early clinical improvement (a larger change in NIHSS), although statistically insignificant. However, the primary efficacy outcome was similar in both groups. This observation is consistent with the findings of several Indian and Western studies depicting comparable efficacy as well as safety outcomes in both TNK and alteplase groups.\[8,9,20,22,23\] However, our study differed from previous Indian studies with respect to the time to thrombolysis. While previous Indian studies included patients within 3 hours of stroke onset, we extended the time to thrombolysis to 4.5 hours.\[5,11\] Subgroup analysis comparing the safety and efficacy end points of TNK and alteplase within 3 hours and 3 – 4.5 hours showed comparable results.

The secondary outcome with respect to safety i.e., intracranial hemorrhage (symptomatic and asymptomatic) was comparable between both TNK and alteplase groups in this study but was higher than that reported in the previous trials. While NOR-TEST trial reported any intracerebral hemorrhage and symptomatic intracerebral hemorrhage in 10% and 3% vs 10% and 2% in TNK and alteplase groups respectively,\[6\] the EXTEND-IA TNK trial reported symptomatic intracerebral hemorrhage in 1% patients each in TNK and alteplase groups.\[9\] Increased intracerebral hemorrhage in our study might have resulted because of a higher proportion of our patients suffering large vessel stroke in both TNK and alteplase groups (61.9% vs 52.6%) as compared to NOR-TEST (20% vs 20%)\[6\] and EXTEND-IA TNK (21% vs 18%)\[9\] trials. Although our study did not compare various doses of TNK during thrombolysis, it has been suggested by previous studies that a higher dose of TNK is associated with greater risk of symptomatic intracranial hemorrhage.\[24\] We observed a comparable need of decompressive hemicraniectomy in both groups, however, the need of mechanical ventilation was greater in the TNK group, which could have resulted due to greater large vessel stroke with higher NIHSS at admission in the tenecteplase group. Only a single patient in the TNK

### Table 2: Efficacy and safety outcomes among tenecteplase and alteplase groups

| Parameters | Median (range) | Alteplase (n=19) | Tenecteplase (n=23) | \( P \) |
|------------|----------------|------------------|---------------------|------|
| Efficacy outcome | | | | |
| NIHSS at admission | 9 (2-22) | 12 (3-33) | 0.612 |
| Change in NIHSS at discharge | 3 (0-8) | 4 (2-15) | 0.074 |
| mRS at admission | 5 (0-5) | 4 (1-5) | 0.511 |
| mRS at 90 days | 2 (0-6) | 1 (0-6) | 0.815 |
| mRS ≤2 at 90 days | 9 (52.9) | 13 (59.1) | 0.701 |
| Change in mRS at 90 days | 1 (-1 to 3) | 2 (-2 to 4) | 0.402 |
| In Hospital mortality | 3 (15.8) | 6 (26.1) | 0.418 |
| Mortality at 90 days: n (%) | 5 (26.3) | 6 (26.1) | 0.883 |
| Need of Decompressive craniectomy | 3 (15.8) | 5 (21.7) | 0.625 |
| Need of Mechanical ventilation | 2 (10.5) | 10 (43.5) | 0.019 |
| Safety outcome | | | | |
| Any ICH | 6 (31.6) | 7 (30.4) | 0.936 |
| Symptomatic ICH | 1 (5.3) | 2 (8.7) | 0.667 |
| Other serious side effects | 0 (0) | 1* (4.3) | NA |

**Table 3: Efficacy and safety outcomes among tenecteplase and alteplase groups in the two subgroups of window period (<3 hours and 3-4.5 hours)**

| Parameters | Alteplase | Tenecteplase | \( P \) |
|------------|-----------|--------------|------|
| A Window period <3 hours | (n=11) | (n=15) | |
| 1 Efficacy outcome | | | |
| mRS ≤2 at 90 days: n (%) | 5 (45.5%) | 8 (53.3%) | 0.69 |
| 2 Safety outcome | | | |
| Any ICH: n (%) | 5 (45.5%) | 4 (26.7%) | 0.42 |
| Symptomatic ICH: n (%) | 1 (9.1%) | 1 (6.7%) | 1.00 |
| B Window period 3-4.5 hours | (n=8) | (n=8) | |
| 1 Efficacy outcome | | | |
| mRS ≤2 at 90 days: n (%) | 5 (62.5%) | 5 (62.5%) | 1.00 |
| 2 Safety outcome | | | |
| Any ICH: n (%) | 1 (12.5%) | 3 (37.5%) | 0.57 |
| Symptomatic ICH: n (%) | 0 (0) | 1 (12.5%) | |

ICH: intracerebral hemorrhage; mRS: modified Rankin score; NA: not applicable; NIHSS: National Institute of Health Stroke Scale.

*Angioedema
group developed angioedema which was managed successfully using intravenous hydrocortisone and diphenhydramine. Angioedema is a rare complication, reported in nearly two percent of AIS patients who are thrombolysis with either TNK or alteplase.\(^{[7,25]}\)

Retrospective design, single-centre study, and small sample size are the major limitations of our study. However, the outcome parameters were sufficiently robust and the results are in keeping with those reported in previous literature. A multicentre randomized study with a larger sample size, in clinical settings similar to ours, is desirable to confirm our findings.

**Conclusion**

The present study showed a comparable efficacy and safety profile of tenecteplase and alteplase in thrombolysis of AIS throughout the 4.5 hours’ window period. Moreover, the ease of administration and better pharmacodynamic properties favors tenecteplase.
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Supplementary Figure 1: CONSORT flowchart for screening, inclusion, and exclusion of cases. CT: Computed tomography; ICH: intracerebral hemorrhage; MRI: magnetic resonance imaging; MV: mechanical ventilation.

- Number of medical records identified and screened (n=1107)
  - Number of patients with stroke (n=284)
    - Excluded (242):
      - Acute ischemic stroke > 4.5 hours (n=183)
      - Acute hemorrhagic stroke (n=23)
      - Cerebral venous sinus thrombosis (n=36)
  - Number of patients fulfilling the inclusion and exclusion for thrombolysis (n=42)
    - Alteplase (n=19)
    - Tenecteplase (n=23)

Data collected:
- Demographic and clinical details including neurological examination findings
- Stroke time periods including onset to door and onset to needle time
- Neuroimaging findings (CT/MRI Brain)
- Modified Rankin score assessed at admission and three months following stroke
- Complications including asymptomatic or symptomatic ICH, need for MV, decompression craniotomy, in-hospital mortality.