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Abstract

This study investigates the anti-oxidant effect of Curcumin formulation (UltraSol CurcuWin) on stress induced rats. Fisher 344 N male rats that were 6-8 weeks old were chosen for the study. 30 animals were divided into 5 groups: I, II, III, IV and V. Group I was taken as the control group and was administered only with vehicle. All other groups were administered with various stressors every 24 hours over a period of 3 weeks. Group II which is administered with stressors and vehicle is used as a positive control. Curcumin powder 95% was administered to Group III with a dose of 100 mg/kg/day. UltraSol CurcuWin is administered per orally at 100 mg/kg/day and 200 mg/kg/day to groups IV & V respectively.

Anti-oxidant effect was evaluated based on behavioral studies and tissue necropsy studies. Data revealed that UltraSol CurcuWin provided significant protection against loss of body weight (p<0.01), ameliorated anxiety (p<0.01) and depression behavior (p<0.01). Tissue necropsy studies on UltraSol CurcuWin20 % administered animals indicated a significant lower levels of Glutathione (GSH) in brain (p<0.01), heart (p<0.01) and liver (p<0.05). Lipid Peroxide (LPO) was significantly higher in brain (p<0.05), heart (p<0.01), liver (p<0.05) and kidney (p<0.01). Activity of Catalase decreased in the brain (p<0.05), heart (p<0.01) and kidney (p<0.01). The levels of super oxide dismutase (SOD) were significantly lower (p<0.01) in brain, heart and kidney. There is no significant difference between the plasma cortisol levels of unstressed rats and those that were administered with high dose UltraSol CurcuWin 20 % (Group V). There is no effect on protein content between the control and treatment groups. Histology studies on the hypothalamus indicated no stress related or treatment related changes.

In conclusion UltraSol CurcuWin showed a potential role on endocrine function and also demonstrated the ability in alleviating the stress induced changes. UltraSol CurcuWin can act as a potent adaptogen.
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Introduction

Curcumin is a versatile compound having a plethora of pharmacological activities. Curcumin has been shown to exhibit antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, [1-4] anti microbial, and anticarcinogenic [5-9] activities. Additionally, the hepatoprotective, [10-12] thrombosis suppressing, [13] myocardial infarction protective, [14-16]. hypoglycemic, [17-20] and anti rheumatic [21] effects of curcumin are also well established. Various animal models [22,23] or human studies [24-27] proved that curcumin is extremely safe even at very high doses. For example, three different phase I clinical trials indicated that curcumin, when taken as high as 12 g per day, is well tolerated [25-27]. Similarly, the efficacy of curcumin in various diseases including cancer has been well established [28]. Several clinical studies dealing with the efficacy of curcumin in humans can also be cited [29,30]. The pharmacological safety and efficacy of curcumin makes it a potential compound for treatment and prevention of a wide variety of human diseases.

Curcumin has been proved to be less bio available [28-33]. The absorption, bio distribution, metabolism, and elimination studies of curcumin have, unfortunately, shown only poor absorption, rapid metabolism, and elimination of curcumin as major reasons for poor bioavailability of this interesting poly
Different strategies have been pursued to improve the absorption of curcumin including nanocrystals, emulsions, liposomes, self-assemblies and nanogels [55]. In animals, co-administration of curcumin with an extract obtained from the black pepper has been shown to increase the absorption of curcumin by 1.5-fold. Whereas, a complex of curcumin with phospholipids increased absorption by 3.4-fold [56] and a formulation of curcumin with a micellar surfactant (polysorbate) has been shown to increase the absorption of curcumin in mice 9.0-fold [57]. A micro emulsion system of curcumin, which consists of Capryol 90 (oil), Cremophor RH40 (surfactant), and Transcutol P aqueous solution (co-surfactant) has been shown to increase the relative absorption in rats by 22.6-fold [58]. Polyactic-co-glycolic acid (PLGA) and PLGA-polyethylene glycol (PEG) blend nanoparticles increased curcumin absorption by 15.6- and 55.4-fold, respectively, compared to an aqueous suspension of curcumin in rats [59].

A formulation made by mixing curcumin with glycerin, gum ghatti, and water, followed by wet milling and dispersion by high-pressure homogenization has been shown the increase curcumin appearance in the blood by 27.6-fold [62]. A novel curcumin formulation which was made water soluble by dispersing curcumin and antioxidants (tocopherol and ascorbyl palmitate) on a water-soluble carrier such as polyvinyl pyrrolidone has been shown to have greater antidepressant action compared to conventional curcumin [63]. One study conducted at our research center demonstrated that a combination of hydrophilic carrier, cellulosic derivatives and natural antioxidants significantly increases curcuminoid appearance in the blood in comparison to unformulated standard curcumin [64].

Although molecular mechanisms of action of curcumin are not fully understood, curcumin has proven to be a safe agent for the treatment of various ailments. Our formulation has demonstrated significantly improved solubility in vitro and therefore is expected to have more bioavailability. Under routine conditions curcumin proved to be an ailment for various disorders that arise due to oxidative stress. Obviously a formulation with improved bioavailability must eliminate or decrease stress induced changes [1-30]. In this study we investigated the effectiveness of our Curcumin formulation (UltraSol CurcuWin) on adaptogenic and endogenous antioxidant /oxidative stress in chronic mild unpredictable stress model in rats and compared with that of the curcumin powder.

Materials and Methods

Chemicals & Reagents

Sodium pyrophosphate, NBT, PMS, NADH, glacial acetic acid, n-butanol, Griess reagent(sulphanilamide, orthophosphoric acid and naphthyl ethyl diamine), potassium chloride, Bradford reagent, trichloro acetic acid, 2-nitrobenzoic acid, sodium chloride, Sodium phosphate monobasic, Sodium phosphate dibasic, TBA, H2O2, Potassium dichromate, HCL, Sodium hydroxide all the chemicals purchased from SRL, Mumbai, India. All other reagents used were of analytical grade unless specified. Carboxy methyl cellulose (at 0.5% w/v is used as a vehicle, Ultrasol CurcuWin (20% w/v & purity 22.23 % is prepared in our facility. Curcumin Powder with purity 95.36 % is prepared in our facility.

Instrumentation

Spectrophotometer (25, Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) is used for all the estimation. Microscopical examination is made using a Motic DMB1-2MP, China. Data analysis is performed using Graph Pad Prism Version 5.0 software for deriving statistical parameters.

The elevated plus maze was made of wood painted in black, and consisted of two opposite open arms (50 × 10 × 0.5 cm), an open platform (10 ×10 cm) in the center and two opposite closed arms (50 ×10 ×40 cm). Open field maze was made of black wood and consisted of a floor (96 × 96 cm) with 50 cm walls The box floor was painted with white lines (6 mm) to form 16 equal squares.

Management of animals

The institutional animal ethics committee of Sri Ramachandra University, Chennai approved the study protocol. The study was performed at CEPT, which is an approved laboratory vide registration number 189/PO/bc/1999/CPCSEA. All ethical practices as laid down in the guidelines for animal care were followed during the study. Fisher 344 N male rats aged between 6-8 weeks were used in this study, with the experiment lasting for a period of 3 weeks. The animals were procured from the breeding stock from National Institute of Nutrition, Hyderabad, India. Upon procurement, the rats were kept at the Center for toxicology and developmental research, Sri Ramachandra, University, Chennai. Animals are randomized based on stratified body weight method and divided into 5 groups of 6 animals each (I, II, III, IV and V) and were acclimatized for 5 days. The animals were marked with black permanent market at the base of the tail. Standard rodent feed purchased from M/s Provimi Animal Nutrition India was provided ad libitum. Mili Q RiOs filtered water was provided ad libitum.

The animal room was well ventilated with 12-15 air
Exchanges/hour and maintained with a temperature range of 19-23 °C and humidity ranging from 30-70% throughout the study. Animal room was built with automatic 12hr light and dark cycles. Animals were housed in polypolypropylene cages with de-dusted and autoclaved husk as bedding material. Caging and bedding materials were changed on alternate days.

**Administration of compounds and Induction of stress**

Group I was taken as the control group and was administered with vehicle. All other groups were administered with various stressors every 24 hours over a period of 3 weeks. Group II which is administered with stressors and vehicle is used as a positive control. Curcumin powder 95% was administered with 100 mg/kg/day per orally to Group III. UltraSol CurcuWin20% is administered per orally at 100 mg/kg/day and 200 mg/kg/day to groups IV & V respectively [65-66]. Test/Reference compounds were formulated freshly prior to administration every day using 0.05% w/v CMC as vehicle. Test/reference items were administered 30-45 minutes before the induction of stress to the animals by oral gavage using ball tipped 18G needle and polypropylene syringe. The dose volume is fixed at 10 ml/kg body weight.

Table 1: Schedule of different stressors during the 21 days study period.

| Day | Stressor                    | Period   |
|-----|-----------------------------|----------|
| 1   | Tail Pinch                  | 1-2 min  |
| 2   | Cold Swim at 12-15°C        | 5-10 min |
| 3   | Hot stress in oven at 40-42°C| 10-15 min|
| 4   | Soiled Cage                 | 24± 0.30 h|
| 5   | Food Deprivation            | 24± 0.30 h|
| 6   | Water Deprivation           | 24± 0.30 h|
| 7   | Day and Night Reversal      | 12 + 12 h|
| 8   | Social isolation            | 24± 0.30 h|
| 9   | Social crowding             | 24± 0.30 h|
| 10  | Restrain Stress             | 20± 5 min|
| 11  | Tail Pinch                  | 1-2 min  |
| 12  | Cold Swim at 12-15°C        | 5-10 min |
| 13  | Hot stress in oven at 40-42°C| 10-15 min|
| 14  | Soiled Cage                 | 24± 0.30 h|
| 15  | Food Deprivation            | 24± 0.30 h|
| 16  | Water Deprivation           | 24± 0.30 h|
| 17  | Day and Night Reversal      | 12 + 12 h|
| 18  | Social isolation            | 24± 0.30 h|
| 19  | Social crowding             | 24± 0.30 h|
| 20  | Restrain Stress             | 20± 5 min|
| 21  | Cold swim at 12-15°C        | 5-10 min |

Stressors were administered using the procedure described by Wu et al. [67]. Accordingly, except for the 24 hour stressors, all stressors were administered once daily in the morning between 9.30 and 12.30. A complete schedule of different stressors administered during the 21 day study was given in (Table 1). The different stressors were administered at an interval of at least 7 days. With the exception of cold swim stress that was administered 3 times during the study, all other stressors were administered at least twice during the study period.

**Behavioral studies**

**Measurement of anxiety in elevated plus maze**

Anxiety behavior was assessed using elevated plus maze test following Lister method [68]. The elevated plus maze was made of wood painted in black, and consisted of two opposite open arms (50 × 10 × 0.5 cm), an open platform (10 × 10 cm) in the center and two opposite closed arms (50 × 10 × 40 cm). The entire maze was elevated 50 cm from the floor in a dimly lit room (20 Lux). Rat was placed on the central platform facing one of the open arms. The time spent and the numbers of entries in the open and closed arms were recorded for a period of three minutes. An entry was considered when all the four paws placed in one arm. The maze was cleaned following each trial to remove any residue or odors.

**Measurement of depression in open field exploratory test**

Depression was assessed using open field test following Lister [69]. The open field maze was made of black wood and consisted of a floor (96 × 96 cm) with 50 cm walls. The apparatus was illuminated with a low intensity diffuse light (45 W) situated 45 cm above the floor level. The box floor was painted with white lines (6 mm) to form 16 equal squares. During a three min observation period, the rat was placed at one corner of the apparatus facing the wall. The number of squares crossed (ambulation), rearing, grooming, immobility period, urination and fecal pellets were recorded. The maze was cleaned following each trial to remove any residue or odors.

**Histology studies**

All animals were euthanized at the end of the experimental period using anesthetic ether in closed chamber. Adrenal glands, pituitary gland and brain was collected and fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin for 48 hours. The tissues were processed for paraffin embedment, sectioned and then stained with Hematoxylin and Eosin [70] for microscopic evaluation.

**Biochemical Studies**

After completion of the study, the enzymatic antioxidants levels in the brain, heart, liver and kidney were estimated using standard protocols. The antioxidant markers such as Superoxide dismutases Kakkar et al. [71], Catalase Beers & Sizer [72], Lipid peroxidation Okhawa et al. [73] and reduced Glutathione Jollow et al. [74] were estimated along with Total protein Lowry et al. [75] were available in literature. All methods were standardized in the laboratory before testing the study samples. The stress hormone cortisol is estimated by ELISA method [76]. Values
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were expressed in mean ± SEM; n= 6 animals/group; Mean difference between the groups were analyzed using one way ANOVA followed by tukey's multiple comparison test in graph pad prism 5.0.

Results and Discussion

Behavioral studies

Body weight: A significant decrease (p< 0.01) in body weight was observed in stress induced rats when compared to unstressed rats from day 10 till the completion of the study. UltraSol CurcuWin treatment significantly ameliorated these changes in comparison to vehicle treated stressed rats [Day 10 (p < 0.05), 15 (p<0.01), 20 (p<0.01) and 22 (p<0.01)]. The values were found to be comparable with Curcumin Powder 95%. The drop in body weight on day 22 in all the groups is due to fasting. The results are given in (Table 2) & (Figure 1).

Table 2: Effect of test formulation on body weight changes in chronic mild unpredictable stress model in rats.

| Group | Treatment                              | Body Weight |
|-------|----------------------------------------|-------------|
|       |                                        | Day -5      | Day 0     | Day 5    | Day 10    | Day 15    | Day 20    | Day 22    |
| I     | Normal Control (Unstressed + Vehicle)  | 120.67±3.47 | 127.50±3.34 | 133.50±3.62 | 139.17±3.20 | 145.17±3.16 | 153.67±2.43 | 142.17±2.52 |
| II    | Positive Control (Stressed + Vehicle)  | 120.00±2.74 | 125.83±3.38 | 131.50±3.25 | 121.60±3.53## | 114.07±3.73## | 110.50±3.82## | 107.33±3.43## |
| III   | Reference Control (Stressed + Curcumin Powder 95 %) | 121.67±1.56 | 127.83±1.54 | 132.33±1.26 | 136.17±1.22** | 139.03±1.08** | 139.33±0.61** | 132.50±1.38** |
| IV    | Stressed + UltraSol CurcuWin20 % - Low dose | 120.00±3.51 | 125.17±4.07 | 128.50±3.65 | 134.67±3.38* | 138.13±3.06** | 145.33±2.89** | 137.33±2.81** |
| V     | Stressed + UltraSol CurcuWin20 % - High dose | 121.17±2.89 | 126.50±2.77 | 131.33±2.58 | 134.83±2.77** | 138.33±3.11** | 144.50±2.68** | 139.00±2.62** |

Values were expressed in mean ± SEM; n= 6 animals/group; Mean difference between the groups were analyzed using one way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s multiple comparison test in graph pad prism 5.0. ## indicates p value <0.05, vs group I; *, ** indicates p value < 0.05 and 0.01, respectively vs group II.

Open field exploratory behavior: A significant increase (p<0.01) in immobility period and decrease in ambulation (p<0.01), rearing (p<0.01) and grooming (p<0.05) were observed in vehicle treated stressed rats when compared to unstressed rats. UltraSol CurcuWin- low and high dose treatment significantly and dose dependently increased (p<0.05 and 0.01, respectively) ambulatory behavior in comparison to vehicle treated stressed rats. However, treatment with Curcumin Powder 95% and UltraSol CurcuWin- low and high dose produced a non-significant decreased in immobility period and increase in rearing and grooming behavior when compared to the vehicle treated stressed rats. The results are given in (Table 3) & (Figure 2)
Table 3: Effect of test formulation on open field exploratory behavior in chronic mild unpredictable stress model in rats.

| Group | Treatment                                      | Number of ambulations | Immobility period (Seconds) | Number of rearings | Number of grooming |
|-------|-----------------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| I     | Normal Control (Unstressed + Vehicle)         | 66.17±1.96             | 43.00±7.39                  | 17.33±1.31         | 8.17±0.79          |
| II    | Positive Control (Stressed + Vehicle)         | 31.00±3.78##           | 90.67±8.78##                | 9.50±0.76##        | 4.67±0.76#         |
| III   | Reference Control (Stressed + Curcumin Powder 95%) | 71.50±7.68**          | 65.00±2.32                  | 15.50±2.49         | 6.17±0.83          |
| IV    | Stressed + UltraSol CurcuWin20 % - Low dose   | 58.67±2.58*           | 73.33±5.52                  | 14.33±0.71         | 5.50±0.76          |
| V     | Stressed + UltraSol CurcuWin20 % - High dose  | 86.17±6.28**           | 69.17±11.17                 | 12.00±0.82         | 6.17±0.40          |

Values were expressed in mean ± SEM; n= 6 animals/group; Mean difference between the groups were analyzed using one way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparison test in graph pad prism 5.0. #, ## indicates p value < 0.05 and 0.01, respectively vs group I; *, ** indicates p value < 0.05 and 0.01, respectively vs group II.

Elevated plus maze: A significant increase (p<0.01) in number of entries and time spent in closed arms and significant decrease number of entries (p<0.05) and time spent (p<0.01) in open arms were observed in stressed rats when compared to unstressed rats. UltraSol CurcuWin treatment significantly decreased the number of entries (p<0.01) and time spent (p<0.01 in high dose) in closed arms in comparison to stressed rats. In comparison to the vehicle treated stressed rats, rats treated with both the doses of UltraSol CurcuWin produced a non-significant increase in number of entries and time spent in open arms. The results are given in (Table 4).

Table 4: Effect of test formulation on elevated plus maze test in chronic mild unpredictable stress model in rats.

| Group | Treatment                                      | Number of entries | Time spent (seconds) |
|-------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
|       |                                               | Open arm          | Closed arm           | Open arm          | Closed arm         |
| I     | Normal Control (Unstressed + Vehicle)         | 3.33±0.42         | 5.33±0.42            | 25.50±1.63        | 136.83±4.50        |
| II    | Positive Control (Stressed + Vehicle)         | 1.50±0.34#        | 8.50±0.85##          | 7.83±2.36#        | 161.00±6.09##      |
| III   | Reference Control (Stressed + Curcumin Powder 95%) | 2.33±0.42         | 3.67±0.21**          | 18.67±3.25        | 131.83±4.88**      |
| IV    | Stressed + UltraSol CurcuWin20 % - Low dose   | 2.50±0.22         | 3.17±0.31**          | 15.83±1.80        | 145.33±3.37        |
| V     | Stressed + UltraSol CurcuWin20 % - High dose  | 2.50±0.43         | 3.33±0.21**          | 19.00±3.04        | 136.33±3.14**      |

Values were expressed in mean±SEM; n= 6 animals/group; Mean difference between the groups were analyzed using one way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparison test in graph pad prism 5.0. #, ## indicates p value < 0.05 and 0.01, respectively vs group I; *, ** indicates p value < 0.05 and 0.01, respectively vs group II.

Biochemical studies

Reduced glutathione: Reduced glutathione content was found to be significantly decreased in brain (p<0.01), heart (p<0.01) and liver (p<0.05) tissues of stressed rats when compared to unstressed rats. Treatment with UltraSol CurcuWin significantly increased reduced glutathione content in brain [p<0.05 in UltraSol CurcuWin– low and high dose] and heart [p<0.01 and 0.05 in UltraSol CurcuWin– low and high dose, respectively] tissues when compared to vehicle treated stressed rats. However, a non-significant increase in liver glutathione content was observed in UltraSol CurcuWin treated rats in comparison to vehicle treated stressed rats. The values were found to be comparable with Curcumin Powder 95%. The results are given in (Table-5) & (Figure 3).
Table 5: Effect of test formulation on reduced glutathione content in chronic mild unpredictable stress model in rats.

| Group | Treatment                                      | Brain   | Heart   | Liver    | Kidney  |
|-------|------------------------------------------------|---------|---------|----------|---------|
| I     | Normal Control (Unstressed + Vehicle)          | 280.24±23.08 | 330.70±22.64 | 570.42±50.65 | 382.26±28.82 |
| II    | Positive Control (Stressed + Vehicle)          | 133.1±18.68## | 147.90±20.58## | 383.60±48.72# | 291.26±14.65 |
| III   | Reference Control (Stressed + Curcumin Powder 95 %) | 243.37±27.52* | 361.40±24.85** | 607.31±45.82** | 333.98±32.65# |
| IV    | Stressed + UltraSol CurcuWin20 % - Low dose     | 249.87±18.44* | 327.61±34.76** | 525.39±39.35 | 311.71±20.94 |
| V     | Stressed + UltraSol CurcuWin20 % - High dose    | 245.09±28.42* | 295.26±45.55** | 482.16±38.61 | 355.83±32.65 |

Values were expressed in mean±SEM; n= 6 animals/group; Mean difference between the groups were analyzed using one way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s multiple comparison test in graph pad prism 5.0. #, ## indicates p value <0.05 and 0.01, respectively vs group I; *, ** indicates p value < 0.05 and 0.01, respectively vs group II.

Lipid peroxide (LPO): A significant increase in LPO content was observed in brain, heart, liver and kidney (p<0.05, 0.01, 0.01 and 0.01, respectively) tissues of stressed rats when compared to unstressed rats. In comparison to vehicle treated stressed rats, UltraSol CurcuWin treatment significantly decreased these alterations in heart [p<0.01 in UltraSol CurcuWin- low and high dose], liver [p<0.05 and 0.01 in UltraSol CurcuWin- low and high dose, respectively] and kidney [p<0.05 and 0.01 in UltraSol CurcuWin- high and low dose, respectively] tissues. The protective effect of UltraSol CurcuWin was found to be better than Curcumin Powder 95% in heart, liver and kidney tissues. The results are given in (Table 6) & (Figure 4).

Table 6: Effect of test formulation on lipid peroxide content in chronic mild unpredictable stress model in rats.

| Group | Treatment                                      | LPO (mcg/g tissue) |
|-------|------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
|       | Brain                                         | Heart              | Liver                | Kidney               |
| I     | Normal Control (Unstressed + Vehicle)          | 180.73±10.37       | 171.53±7.38         | 223.65±11.44        | 212.27±14.98        |
| II    | Positive Control (Stressed + Vehicle)          | 241.10±11.07#      | 280.56±10.14##      | 340.28±24.56##      | 391.87±14.60##      |
| III   | Reference Control (Stressed + Curcumin Powder 95 %) | 211.56±1.20       | 247.24±12.63       | 282.84±28.23       | 232.12±17.36**     |
| IV    | Stressed + UltraSol CurcuWin20 % - Low dose    | 209.95±26.71       | 190.26±10.75**      | 251.73±8.35*       | 219.05±12.94**     |
| V     | Stressed + UltraSol CurcuWin20 % - High dose   | 207.72±7.19        | 194.06±11.30**      | 230.48±8.01*       | 313.95±17.50*      |

Values were expressed in mean ± SEM; n= 6 animals/group; Mean difference between the groups were analyzed using one way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s multiple comparison test in graph pad prism 5.0. #, ## indicates p value <0.05 and 0.01, respectively vs group I; *, ** indicates p value < 0.05 and 0.01, respectively vs group II.
**Catalase activity:** A significant decrease in catalase activity was observed in brain, heart and kidney (p<0.05, 0.01 and 0.01, respectively) tissues of vehicle treated stressed rats when compared to unstressed rats. UltraSol CurcuWin treatment significantly increased the catalase activity in brain [p<0.01 in UltraSol CurcuWin– high dose], heart [p<0.01 in UltraSol CurcuWin– low and high dose] and kidney [p<0.01 in UltraSol CurcuWin– low and high dose] tissues when compared to vehicle treated stressed rats. The values were found to comparable with that of reference control, Curcumin Powder 95%. UltraSol CurcuWin increased catalase activity better than Curcumin Powder 95% in brain, heart and kidney tissues. The results are given in (Table 7) & (Figure 5).

**Table 7: Effect of test formulation on catalase content in chronic mild unpredictable stress model in rats.**

| Group | Treatment | Catalase (mcm/mt/mg ptn ) |
|-------|-----------|--------------------------|
|       | Brain     | Heart        | Liver         | Kidney        |
| I     | Normal Control (Unstressed + Vehicle) | 674.32±51.96 | 599.20±45.37 | 523.98±51.76 | 141.31±15.10 |
| II    | Positive Control (Stressed + Vehicle) | 431.78±22.04# | 394.33±25.58## | 405.92±29.34 | 56.51±5.18## |
| III   | Reference Control (Stressed + Curcumin Powder 95 %) | 574.20±51.05 | 629.58±50.89** | 630.49±61.36* | 98.27±8.65 |
| IV    | Stressed + UltraSol CurcuWin20 % - Low dose | 631.76±61.36 | 751.90±19.25** | 488.23±48.00 | 132.79±12.32** |
| V     | Stressed + UltraSol CurcuWin20 % - High dose | 714.00±36.11** | 663.23±24.58** | 418.16±35.84 | 161.98±23.08** |

Values were expressed in mean±SEM; n= 6 animals/group; Mean difference between the groups were analyzed using one way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s multiple comparison test in graph pad prism 5.0. #, ## indicates p value <0.05 and 0.01, respectively vs group I; *, ** indicates p value < 0.05 and 0.01, respectively vs group II.

**Superoxide dismutase (SOD) activity:** Superoxide dismutase activity was found to be significantly decreased (p<0.01) in brain, heart, liver and kidney tissues of stressed rats when compared to unstressed rats. In comparison to vehicle treated stressed rats, UltraSol CurcuWin treatment significantly increased SOD activity in brain [p<0.05 in UltraSol CurcuWin– low and high dose], heart [p<0.05 in UltraSol CurcuWin– high dose] and kidney [p<0.01 in UltraSol CurcuWin– low and high dose] tissues. However, a non-significant increase in SOD activity was observed in liver tissues of UltraSol CurcuWin treatment when compared to vehicle treated stressed rats. Further, UltraSol CurcuWin increased SOD activity better than Curcumin Powder 95% in brain and kidney tissues. The results are given in (Table 8) & (Figure 6).
Cortisol: A significant increase (p<0.01) in plasma cortisol level was observed in stressed rats when compared to unstressed rats. High dose of OAHT (B) treatment significantly decreased (p<0.01) the cortisol level in comparison to vehicle treated stressed rats. The values were found to be comparable with that of reference drug, OAHT (A). OAHT (B) decreased plasma cortisol levels better than OAHT (A) stressed animals. The results are given in (Table 9) & (Figure 7).

Histogram (Figure 8) of the three organs (hypothalamus, pituitary and adrenal glands) from the stressed rats and Curcumin Powder 95%, UltraSol CurcuWin – low and high dose treated rats revealed no stress related or treatment related changes and remained apparently normal in comparison to the unstressed rats. Reason for the no alterations in the pathology may be due to less intensity and duration of the stress administered to the animals.
Summary and Conclusion

Each cell in the human body maintains a condition of homeostasis between the oxidant and antioxidant species [76]. Up to 1–3% of the pulmonary intake of oxygen by humans is converted into ROS [77]. Under conditions of normal metabolism, the continuous formation of ROS and other free radicals is important for normal physiological functions like generation of ATP, various catabolic and anabolic processes and the accompanying cellular redox cycles. However, stress condition is known to cause the excessive release of free radicals either due to endogenous biological or exogenous environmental factors, such as chemical exposure, pollution, or radiation. The overall physiological impact of these factors and the adaptation ability of the body determine the variations in growth, development, productivity, and health status of the animals [78-80]. Strong and sustained exposure to stress [79,81,82] may result in higher energy negative balance and may ultimately result in reduction in adaptation mechanisms, increase in the susceptibility to infection by pathogens, decline in productivity, and finally a huge economical loss [79,83,84]. ROS can attack the lipids of cell membranes and DNA and protein content of the cells with lipid peroxidation of cellular membranes, membrane lipid peroxidation, and mitochondrial swelling and lysis [85-86].

In several studies, Curcumin showed significantly poor bioavailability. While pharmacological actions are usually dose dependent, the efficacy can also impaired by poor absorption and distribution characteristics. Our test formulation of Curcumin is aimed to increase the bioavailability and thus the overall pharmacological effect. In several animal models it has been demonstrated to exert potent antioxidant and anti-inflammatory and anti-tumor activity. In histological studies, it has been demonstrated that Curcumin has a potential role in endocrine function and also the ability to alleviate the stress induced changes. Histological studies indicated no stress related markers due to stress induced changes are significantly less.

As expected the levels of biochemical and histological markers due to stress induced changes are significantly less. Also, our formulation proved to be effective even in chronic conditions of stress. Therefore Curcumin can act as a potent antioxidant and as an adaptogen.

Curcumin has strong free radical scavenging activity and it is evident from this study that it can protect the biological systems against oxidative stress. Comparative analysis of the curcumin formulations with that of the Curcumin powder revealed that the content of reduced glutathione did not vary significantly in the brain. In the heart and kidney, the amount of reduced glutathione is relatively low in the animals treated with Curcumin test formulation. In comparison with the animals treated with Curcumin powder, there is a drastically lower level of reduced glutathione in the liver of animals treated with test formulation. This can attributed to the hepatic metabolism of Curcumin.

Comparison of the LPO content indicated that Curcumin test formulation displayed high effectiveness in lowering the LPO in the heart & liver. The LPO content in the brain for rats treated with either the test formulation or the powder did not show significant difference. Except in the liver, the activity of the catalase is significantly higher in the animals treated with test formulation as compared with the animals treated with Curcumin powder. The animals treated with the test formulation of Curcumin showed increased SOD activity than those treated with Curcumin powder.

In response to stress, cortisol is released into the body as a homeostatic mechanism. A comparison of the cortisol levels in the positive control and treated groups indicated that Curcumin is effective in reducing the levels of cortisol. There is insignificant difference between the levels of cortisol in animals treated with high dose of test formulation and the animals of the normal control group. Therefore Curcumin has a potential role on endocrine function and also the ability to alleviate the stress induced changes. Histological studies indicated no stress related or therapy related changes and remained apparently normal in comparison with the unstressed rats.

As expected the levels of biochemical and histological markers due to stress induced changes are significantly less. Also, our formulation proved to be effective even in chronic conditions of stress. Therefore Curcumin can act as a potent antioxidant and as an adaptogen.
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