Effects of the Parenting Efficacy Improvement Program for mothers as primary caregivers of children with cerebral palsy under rehabilitation
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The purpose of this study was done to determine the effect of a Parenting Efficacy Improvement Program (PEIP) to mothers of cerebral palsy. To investigate the PEIP on parenting efficacy and parenting stress. 60 subjects were composed with experimental group (n = 30) and control group (n = 30). The study was performed in the rehabilitation ward and rehabilitation daytime ward of National Health Insurance Service Ilsan Hospital in Goyang, Gyeonggi-do, Korea. The data were analyzed by chi-square test, independent t-test, and two-way repeated analysis of variance design using IBM SPSS Statistics ver. 20.0. Experimental group showed significantly improved parenting efficacy than that of control group (F=295.79, P<0.001) and experimental group showed significant decrease parenting stress than that of control group (F=138.76, P<0.001). The effect of a PEIP in this study was certainly improve parenting efficacy, decreased the parenting stress of cerebral palsy patient’s mothers. This program can be deployed as a proper nursing intervention on mothers of patients with cerebral palsy.
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INTRODUCTION

Cerebral palsy refers to a clinical syndrome that manifests as motor and postural impairments attributed to nonprogressive lesions or injuries to the immature brain the various reasons in the prenatal, perinatal, and postnatal period accompanied by dystonia, intellectual disabilities, visual and hearing impairments, convulsions, language impairments, learning disabilities, and emotional disorders (Shevell and Bodensteiner, 2004). As children with cerebral palsy require more help and health care services than children without disabilities (Young et al., 2007). They have higher health care needs and incidence of disease-related complications (Blackman and Conaway, 2014).

Parenting efficacy means cognitive characteristics and beliefs that play an important role in determining parents’ caregiving behavior (Brody et al., 1999). Meanwhile, parenting stress is a type of stress felt from the parenting role; it refers to the difficulty and pressure perceived by parents from parenting their children (Belt and Abidin, 1996). In other words, parents with a higher level of parenting efficacy try the appropriate coping behaviors (Mash and Johnston, 1983), whereas parents with a high level of stress from parenting are more likely to care their children inappropriately (Abidin, 1990). As children with cerebral palsy experience injuries to their senses, communication, and cognition and have many limitations in their activities of daily living, such as eating, dressing, bathing, and moving (Basaran et al., 2013), caregivers cannot stay apart from such children even for a moment. As they also require continuous rehabilitation and their caregiving needs increase as they get older (Cheshire et al., 2010), caregivers are required to do an increasing number of tasks over time.

Mothers of children with cerebral palsy take full responsibility for parenting as well as monitor their children’s various health problems and treatment processes; mothers may feel anxious about their children’s prognosis, which make them experience more physical and psychological difficulties compared with mothers of typical children (Lyngsøe et al., 2018). Further, burden of

*Corresponding author: Youn-Jung Kim  https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4991-0825
College of Nursing Science, Kyung Hee University, 26 Kyunghee-daero, Dongdaemun-gu, Seoul 02447, Korea
E-mail: yj129@khu.ac.kr
Received: October 2, 2019 / Accepted: November 3, 2019

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.
caring on children with disabilities may have a negative impact on family relationships, and it may be extra burden stress to mothers. A previous study also found that mothers of children with cerebral palsy have a higher level of parenting stress compared with mothers of children without any disease (Britner et al., 2003). Hence, the present study was conducted to apply a Parenting Improvement Efficacy Program (PEIP) to mothers who were primary caregivers of children with cerebral palsy, examine the difficulties they experienced owing to their children’s impairments, provide help to such mothers after confirming the effect of the program on parenting efficacy and parenting stress, and explore the relation with social support.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Participants

This study is aimed at the mothers of children who are admitted to the rehabilitation ward and daytime rehabilitation wards of National Health Insurance Service Ilsan Hospital in Goyang, Korea, and are undergoing rehabilitation treatment from outpatients. In this study, mothers who participated in the survey were selected as the control group by giving general explanation to mothers who are interested in this study but cannot participate in the program.

Experimental design

The present study was based on a nonequivalent control group pretest-posttest design as a quasi-experimental study that divided participants into two groups (experimental and control) depending on whether or not they received the structured PEIP and then compared parenting efficacy and parenting stress between the two groups. Table 1 provides a schematic representation of this design.

Measurements

Parenting efficacy scale

The parenting efficacy scale consists of 37 items in the following five subscales: general parenting, communication, health, education, and control. Before using this scale, this study obtained approval from the author who developed the scale. The parenting efficacy scale employed in the present study used a 5-point scale; a higher score indicated a higher level of parenting efficacy. Although this parenting efficacy scale was developed for parents of children who grow over the course of normal development, the present study applied the scale to mothers of pediatric patients with cerebral palsy, because the scale was about general parenting, and not specific academic performance or cognitive ability (Choi et al., 2012).

Parenting stress scale

To measure the parenting stress of mothers of children with cerebral palsy, this study used the Parenting Stress Index/Short Form (PSI/SF) developed by Abidin (1990) for parents of children at risk of disability aged 1 to 12 years. The PSI/SF consists of 36 items in the three subscales of parental distress, parent-child dysfunctional interaction, and difficult child. The PSI/SF used for mothers in the present study employed a 5-point scale in which 1 point indicated “strongly disagree” and 5 points, “strongly agree.” A higher score indicated a higher level of parenting stress, and a lower score indicated a lower level of parenting stress. Before using this scale, this study obtained approval from the author who developed the scale.

Procedure

PEIP

Focusing on improving parenting efficacy and reducing parenting stress for mothers of children with cerebral palsy, this study established a program that a nurse could directly use in the hospital for mothers of children under rehabilitation for cerebral palsy. Program is incorporating informational support, which included lectures on the need for meetings among mothers of children with cerebral palsy children and the characteristics of children with cerebral palsy, and emotional support, which included sharing stress situations in parenting children with cerebral palsy, finding the causes of stress and self-understanding, finding the strengths of the mother and the child, meditating and stretching, and watching videos on cerebral palsy. In implementing the PEIP for mothers of children with cerebral palsy, one physical medicine and rehabilitation physician, one nursing professor, one chief nurse in the rehabilitation ward, one nurse with more than 5 years of experience in the rehabilitation ward, and one pediatric physiotherapist agreed to the program’s contents and methods.

Program intervention

The above-mentioned health care professionals divided the ex-
Experimental group into three teams and had participated in the program twice per week (Team 1: Monday and Thursday; Team 2: Tuesday and Friday; Team 3: Wednesday and Saturday). The program was provided for five sessions, each for 50 min.

**Program procedure**

This program was implemented over five sessions and divided into three stages: Beginning for session 1, middle for sessions 2 to 4, and end for session 5. The program’s contents were categorized into informational, emotional, and appraisal support. Informational support included lectures on the need for meetings among mothers of children with cerebral palsy and the characteristics of children with cerebral palsy. Emotional support included sharing stressful situations in parenting children with cerebral palsy, finding the causes of stress and self-understanding, finding the strength of the mother and the child, meditating and stretching, and watching videos on cerebral palsy. Appraisal support included listening, empathy, acceptance, and encouragement, which occurred during the program. Table 2 describes each session’s topic and activities.

**Ethical consideration**

This study was conducted with the permission of the Institutional Review Board (No. 2016-09-005), and the questionnaire completed by the participants in the study will never be used for research purposes. The personal confidentiality and anonymity exposed to the study were fully guaranteed and fully explained that participants could withdraw from the study at any time if desired. In addition, it explained that there are no costs, side effects, and risks involved in participating in the study, and explained the purpose and method of research before the program and received the voluntary consent form in writing before the program.

**Data analysis**

The data were analyzed by chi-square test, independent t-test, and two-way repeated analysis of variance design using IBM SPSS Statistics ver. 20.0 (IBM Co., Armonk, NY, USA).

**RESULTS**

**General characteristics**

The general characteristics of this study were as follows. The two groups were homogeneous because there was no statistically significant difference between the experimental and control groups (Table 3).

**Table 2. Parenting efficacy improvement program**

| Session | Activity | Time |
|---------|----------|------|
| Session 1 | - Program guide  
- Participant self-introduction | 50 min (lecture, discussion, Q&A) |
| Session 2 | - Sharing the stress of child care with cerebral palsy  
- Stress causes and self-deflection in child care of cerebral palsy  
- Find me and the advantage of the child | 50 min (discussion) |
| Session 3 | - Change your thinking (stretching and meditation) | 50 min (exercise) |
| Session 4 | - Watch a video on cerebral palsy | 50 min (watch video) |
| Session 5 | - Exploring and finalizing pathways for children with cerebral palsy  
- Program summary and evaluation | 50 min (lecture, discussion, Q&A) |

**Table 3. General features of the study subjects (n = 60)**

| Characteristic | Experimental (n = 30) | Control (n = 30) | χ² or t | P-value |
|---------------|----------------------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Mother age (yr) |                      |                 | 0.601  | 0.606   |
| > 35          | 17 (56.7)            | 14 (46.7)       |        |         |
| ≤ 35          | 13 (43.3)            | 16 (53.3)       |        |         |
| Final education |                     |                 | 2.009  | 0.571   |
| Below high school | 5 (16.7)            | 7 (23.3)        |        |         |
| College       | 11 (36.6)            | 14 (46.7)       |        |         |
| University    | 12 (40.0)            | 7 (23.3)        |        |         |
| Graduate school | 2 (6.7)              | 2 (6.7)         |        |         |
| Job           |                      |                 | 6.372  | 0.095   |
| Housewife     | 16 (53.3)            | 25 (83.3)       |        |         |
| Part-time job | 2 (6.7)              | 1 (3.3)         |        |         |
| Self-employment | 7 (23.3)           | 2 (6.7)         |        |         |
| Full-time job | 5 (16.7)             | 2 (6.7)         |        |         |
| Child gender  |                      |                 | 1.071  | 0.438   |
| Boy           | 14 (46.7)            | 18 (60.0)       |        |         |
| Girl          | 16 (53.3)            | 12 (40.0)       |        |         |
| Child age (yr) |                     |                 | 3.140  | 0.208   |
| <3            | 12 (40.0)            | 6 (20.0)        |        |         |
| 3–7           | 9 (30.0)             | 14 (46.7)       |        |         |
| >7            | 9 (30.0)             | 10 (33.3)       |        |         |
| Birth order   |                      |                 | 3.536  | 0.316   |
| First         | 21 (70.0)            | 15 (50.0)       |        |         |
| Second        | 7 (23.3)             | 9 (30.0)        |        |         |
| Third         | 2 (6.7)              | 5 (16.7)        |        |         |
| Fourth or more | 0 (0.0)              | 1 (3.3)         |        |         |
| Disability rating |                  |                 | 3.140  | 0.208   |
| Yes           | 18 (60.0)            | 15 (50.0)       |        |         |
| No            | 12 (40.0)            | 15 (50.0)       |        |         |

Values are presented as number (%).
Table 4. Difference test on parenting efficacy between experimental group and control group

| Group | Parenting efficacy | Source | F   | P-value |
|-------|--------------------|--------|-----|---------|
| Experimental (n = 30) | 93.13 ± 3.78 | Before | 163.10 ± 3.16 | After | Group | 39.48 | <0.001 |
| Control (n = 30) | 98.93 ± 3.78 | Before | 100.63 ± 3.16 | After | Group × time | 295.79 | <0.001 |

Values are presented as mean ± standard error.

Differences in parenting efficacy between the experimental and control groups

Mothers of children with cerebral palsy under rehabilitation were divided into the experimental group, which received the PEIP. Homogeneity between the two groups was tested, and no statistically significant \( t = -1.09, P = 0.283 \).

The posttest difference showed a significant interaction between the groups and the timing, which confirmed a difference in the program’s effect between the experimental and control groups \( F = 325.99, P < 0.001 \). The control group’s parenting efficacy score from 98.93 in the pretest to 100.63 in the posttest, which showed no statistically significant difference \( P = 0.547 \). By contrast, the experimental group’s parenting efficacy increased to a statistically significant level from 93.13 in the pretest to 163.10 in the posttest \( P < 0.001 \) (Table 4).

Differences in parenting stress between the experimental and control groups

Mothers of children with cerebral palsy under rehabilitation were divided into the experimental group, which received the PEIP. Homogeneity between the two groups was tested, and no statistically significant \( t = 1.94, P = 0.058 \).

The posttest difference showed a significant interaction between the groups and the timing, which confirmed a difference in the program’s effect between the experimental and control groups \( F = 138.74, P < 0.001 \). The control group’s parenting stress score from 122.90 in the pretest to 121.37 in the posttest, which showed no statistically significant difference \( P = 0.501 \). By contrast, the experimental group’s parenting stress decreased statistically significantly from 129.67 in the pretest to 90.43 in the posttest \( P < 0.001 \) (Table 5).

DISCUSSION

The present study provided a PEIP to mothers who were primary caregivers for children with cerebral palsy under rehabilitation, and confirmed the effect of the program on improving parenting efficacy and reducing parenting stress. Based on the results of the PEIP the following can be discussed.

First, parenting efficacy improved more in the experimental group, which was provided with the PEIP than in the control group. This effect may be attributed to the better understanding of disease that was shaped by the specific knowledge and information on cerebral palsy during the program. The session for finding strengths in the mother and child in the program helped the mothers overcome negative thoughts and develop positive ones, which could have resulted in improvements in their efficacy. Further, while exploring a career for their children with cerebral palsy, the mothers planned a positive future, stayed away from the thought that they were the only ones who had difficulties, and had opportunities to share how they felt with other mothers of children with cerebral palsy; this may have contributed to improving their parenting efficacy. Self-help groups are recognized as valuable for supporting parents of children with disabilities or chronic diseases that cause a high level of stress (Rahi et al., 2004). It is not easy for mothers of children with disabilities to learn information about parenting their children from people around them. And they feel less confident and scared about parenting their children owing to a lack of awareness and understanding about disabilities, which is consistent with the findings of a previous study that when children with disabilities show unusual behaviors and experience bias or rejection from society, the parenting efficacy of their parents could be lower (Gallagher et al., 1983). The present study found that the mothers accepted their children’s disabilities by participating in the program, improved their confidence, trusted their ability in parenting for the years ahead, and enhanced their parenting efficacy.

Second, parenting stress decreased more in the experimental group, which was provided with the PEIP than the control group.
The reason for this effect seems to be that sharing about stressful situations in parenting children with cerebral palsy and finding the causes of stress and self-understanding in the program may have helped the mothers of children with cerebral palsy share how they felt in group training and reduce their stress. This outcome is particularly meaningful as this intervention reduced their high level of stress, confirming the finding of a previous report that mothers of children with cerebral palsy have a higher level of parenting stress compared with mothers of children without any disease (Britner et al., 2003). In addition, based on a previous study that children with cerebral palsy need more help from caregivers as they have higher health care needs and a higher incidence of disease-related complications (Blackman and Conaway, 2014), the present study found that mothers who spent much of time for parenting their children with cerebral palsy did not have a time left for their self-worth changing thoughts on physical activities in the program (meditating and stretching) taught those mothers who were physically fatigued how to meditate and stretch, which would have had a positive effect on decreasing stress. Similar to our results, many other studies proving the effects of exercise on relieving stress (Dishman and Buckworth, 1996; Dishman et al., 2002; Fleshner, 2005), the program would have had a positive effect on parenting stress directly or indirectly. Mothers’ high level of parenting stress could cause a coercive, authoritarian, and rejecting parenting attitude (Evans et al., 2008) as such, it would be urgently necessary to find a solution to relieve parenting stress.

Although there have so far been many studies on depression among mothers of children with cerebral palsy (Focht-Birkerts and Beardslee, 2000; Goodman and Gotlib, 1999), few studies have been conducted on parenting efficacy or parenting stress, which are practically required to care children with disabilities. Hence, the present study showed significance as it provided evidence on the importance of coping with parenting efficacy and parenting stress with the parenting training program provided by nurses who met children with cerebral palsy and their mothers in the hospital. This study further offered practical help to mothers who were primary caregivers of children with cerebral palsy under rehabilitation.
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