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Abstract

Aging well is directly associated to a healthy lifestyle. The focus of this paper is to relate individual wellness with medical image features. Non-linear trimodal regression analysis (NTRA) is a novel method that models the radiodensitometric distributions of x-ray computed tomography (CT) cross-sections. It generates 11 patient-specific parameters that describe the quality and quantity of muscle, fat, and connective tissues. In this research, the relationship of these 11 NTRA parameters with age, physical activity, and lifestyle is investigated in the 3,157 elderly volunteers AGES-I dataset. First, univariate statistical analyses were performed, and subjects were grouped by age and self-reported past (youth–midlife) and present (within 12 months of the survey) physical activity to ascertain which parameters were the most influential. Then, machine learning (ML) analyses were conducted to classify patients using NTRA parameters as input features for three ML algorithms. ML is also used to classify a Lifestyle index using the age groups. This classification analysis yielded robust results with the lifestyle index underlying the relevant differences of the soft tissues between age groups, especially in fat and connective tissue. Univariate statistical models suggested that NTRA parameters may be susceptible to age and differences between past and present physical activity levels. Moreover, for both age and physical activity, lean muscle parameters expressed more significant variation than fat and connective tissues.
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The loss of skeletal muscle mass and function is acknowledged in the literature as an independent risk factor for impairments and deleterious health effects in the elderly population. This phenomenon, widely known as sarcopenia, has been directly linked to fracture risk, bone fragility, disability, and injurious falls. Aging-related pathologies and comorbidities, such as diabetes, hypertension, and cardiovascular disease, have been likewise associated with sarcopenia and decreased muscle strength. Moderate to vigorous physical activity is protective against the adverse effects of sarcopenia. Different exercise training approaches not only improve the overall quality of life, but also preserve the health of muscle fibers that otherwise weaken with age. Muscle mass and strength can be a predictor factor of incident mobility limitation. Physical activity levels are predicted in the literature mainly by follow-up studies: physical activity levels in adulthood are related to the performances and sports activities in adolescence. Muscle mass and strength can also be a predictor of longevity when considering body mass index as a primary indicator; however, the concomitant assessment of age using quantitative assessment modalities of lean muscle or other soft tissue remains absent in the literature. Instead, machine learning (ML) and deep learning approaches have been used for this purpose, using diffusion tensor imaging, and structural brain MRI, as initial classification features.

Our team recently developed a novel soft tissue assessment method based upon cross-sectional computed tomography (CT) images, known as non-linear trimodal regression analysis (NTRA), which models radiodensitometric distributions to generate 11 patient-
specific soft tissue parameters.\textsuperscript{17,18} This method was motivated by identifying an alternative approach to study changes in soft tissue changes mass and quality as a quantitative construct for sarcopenia in the elderly. In this regard, NTRA parameters have been shown to be predictive factors for lower extremity function, cardiovascular pathophysiology, body mass index, hypertension, and diabetes mellitus.\textsuperscript{19-24} However, exploring the sensitivity of these parameters to age and physical activity using univariate statistical analyses remained unperformed. Further analysis is performed using the lifestyle index presented by Recenti et al.\textsuperscript{21} based on both physical activity and smoking status to study the radiodensitometry differences between groups of age. Fig. 1 shows the study workflow, where we report the use of NTRA parameters to conduct statistical analyses to classify age, physical activity levels, and lifestyle index.

Materials and Methods

AGES-Reykjavik Database

The database used in the present study was an initial cross-sectional subset of the AGES-Reykjavik study known as AGES-I. This database contains data from 3,157 healthy elderly subjects from 66–93 years of age (mean: 74.87) who participated in a multimodal series of biometric measurements.\textsuperscript{25} The Icelandic National Bioethics Committee gave the ethical approval for this work (RU Code of Ethics, cf. Paragraph 3 in Article 2 of the Higher Education Institution Act no. 63/2006). Informed consent was obtained from all participants. Subjects received CT scans and were also surveyed for additional information, such as age and physical activity levels. Due to twenty missing surveys, our total sample size for physical activity analyses was n = 3,137 subjects.

For this study, the AGES-I database was divided into three groups according to three age classes: the first group is composed of people between 66 and 72 years of age (total of 1,176 subjects), while the second group age interval was 73–79 years (1,371 subjects), and the third was from 80–83 years (610 subjects). Five categories of self-reported physical activity frequency were defined in the AGES-I database for both past (youth or midlife) and present levels (within 12 months of the survey): 'never', 'rarely', 'occasionally', 'moderate', and 'high'. Based on this self-reported information, the database was again divided into three classes. The "Frequent" class included people that performed moderate or high levels of activity in the past or present (1,462 subjects), while the "Occasional" class included subjects with occasional activity frequency in the past or present (488 subjects). All remaining subjects were placed in the "Rare - No Activity" class (1,187 subjects). The Lifestyle Index, part of a more extensive binary-tree division of the AGES-I database, as previously published in Recenti M, et al. (2020),\textsuperscript{21} divides the cohort into healthy (469) and not healthy (2,668) individuals according to their self-reported physical activity frequency and smoking status. The Mid-Thigh CT-scan acquisition protocol, described in detail in Edmunds KJ et al. (2016) gives radiodensitometric distributions from cross-sectional pixel matrices defined using transformed radiodensity values across the range for soft tissue, between -200 and 200 Hounsfield Units (HU).\textsuperscript{17}

Non-Linear Trimodal Regression

The NTRA method, described for the first time in 2018 by Edmunds et al.,\textsuperscript{17} interprets each HU distribution as a quasi-probability density function defined by Gaussian radiodensity distributions of three soft tissue types: lean
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muscle [41 to 200 HU], fat [-200 to -10 HU], and loose connective tissue and atrophic muscle [-9 to 40 HU]. Eq. 1 defines this trimodal quasi-probability density function where N is the distribution amplitude, μ is the location in HU, and σ is the distribution width (Figure 1). The skewness term, α, considers the inwardly sloping asymmetries, and it is not considered for the central connective tissue distribution. This definition allows for the subject-specific fitting of HU distribution curves via standard error minimization at each radio-absorption bin using a generalized reduced gradient algorithm. This results in the extraction of 11 subject-specific NTRA parameters.

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\sigma_i \sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot e^{-\frac{(x-\mu_i)^2}{2\sigma_i^2}} \cdot \text{erf} \left( \frac{\alpha_i (x-\mu_i)}{\sigma_i \sqrt{2}} \right)
\]  

Equation 1

Machine Learning: tools, algorithms, evaluation metrics

Knime analytics platform has been employed in this study to perform ML analyses for both regression and classification, as already performed in biomedical literature.26-30 Three popular tree-based algorithms were employed: random forests (RF), ADA-boosting (ADA-B), and gradient boosting (GB) combined with 10-fold cross-validation. The Synthetic Minority Oversampling Technique (SMOTE) is also performed. SMOTE was implemented to increase the quality of the classification, oversampling the minority classes. Key evaluation metrics were considered to evaluate the present regression results: Coefficient of Determination (R²), Mean Absolute Error (MAE), Mean Squared Error (MSE), and Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE). For ML classification analysis, Accuracy, Sensitivity, and Specificity were used as evaluation metrics.

Results

Age: Statistical Analysis

After assessing the non-normality of NTRA parameters, the dataset was divided into three subgroups according to age, and a one-way Kruskal Wallis test was performed. The mean and the standard deviations of age for each group are as follows: Group 1: 70.06 ± 1.61 years; Group 2: 75.66 ± 1.86 years; and Group 3: 82.35 ± 2.39 years. This assessment shows that all the NTRA parameters in connective tissue, four out of four in muscle, and two out of three in fat. After the Kruskal Wallis test, a posthoc test was analogously performed. Several differences were captured through this second posthoc test. Muscle was again the tissue with the greatest number of statistically significant differences among all three groups, followed by fat tissue. Fat skewness, connective width, and muscle amplitude, location, and skewness distinguished all three age groups.

Physical Activity: Statistical Analysis

Next, NTRA parameters were investigated according to physical activity using the same statistical analysis workflow. A one-way Kruskal Wallis test is performed. Subjects were divided into three activity level classes, “Rare - No Activity”, “Occasional”, and “Frequent” (respectively Groups 1, 2, and 3). Overall, 7 out of 11 parameters were statistically significant. Statistically significant differences were found in one out of three NTRA parameters in connective tissue, four out of four in muscle, and two out of three in fat. After the Kruskal Wallis test, a posthoc test was analogously performed. Several differences were captured through this second posthoc test. Muscle was again the tissue with the greatest number of statistically significant differences among all three groups, followed by fat. No parameter distinguished all three groups, but connective location, muscle width and skewness, and fat amplitude, location, and width were all able to distinguish subjects by activity level.

Machine Learning for Age and Physical Activity

ML regression models were next assembled, first focusing on the classification of subject age using NTRA parameters as initial features. Only RF and GB algorithms are reported in this analysis, as ADA-B results were consistently poor compared to these other two algorithms. Age regression was performed by considering the three age groups separately: from 66-72 years of age with 1,176 subjects, 73-79 years with 1,371, and 80-93 years with 610. R² results were generally weak, indicating the low variance captured by the NTRA-based model. On the contrary, results obtained from the analysis of the errors were much more indicative of a minimum error in predicting an integer, such as the age of an individual. A minimum MAE of 1.377 was found with RF classification in the first age group, where the minimum MSE was likewise only 2.55. These errors were intermediate in size in the middle range, with an MAE of about 1.5 and an MSE of 3.5. In the last age group, the numbers of subjects decreased while the errors increased, reaching an MAE of 1.8 and an MSE of 6.4 with GB classification. The results obtained from the classification of the three age classes and the physical activity classes were not particularly robust; the accuracy for age classification never eclipsed 60%, with the highest value in the RF model. In physical activity, accuracy reached 60%, again with the RF model yielding the best results.

Lifestyle Index: Machine Learning and NTRA analysis

According to the three age divisions, the classification of the healthy and not healthy groups gives the best results in terms of accuracy, always reaching more than 79 with
a maximum of 92.5 with RF algorithm for the group of the oldest subjects (Table 1). RF always gives the best accuracy results higher than 86 and the best sensitivity, consistently higher than 90 with a maximum of 96.2. Table 2. A-B-C shows the average values of the 11 NTRA parameters for healthy and not healthy subjects divided by age groups. The most significant difference can be seen in the fat, muscle, and connective amplitude (N) and a big difference in the location (μ) of the connective tissue, especially between healthy and not healthy people in each age group.

Discussion
ML results prove that the CT-scan extracted NTRA parameters can classify with a very high accuracy the Lifestyle Index using the three age groups. The obtained results could be helpful for patient subject to a hip prosthesis,31-34 in particular in relation to the prevention of the femur fracture risk.35,36 These results do not only strengthen the NTRA value to predict significant comorbidities like diabetes, hypertension, and cardiac diseases,19,24 but also confirm the importance of this imaging approach underlying the differences between healthy and not healthy subjects from a radiodensitometric point of view especially in the muscle and connective tissues as specified in Table 2, A,B,C. Again, as already underlined in the previous NTRA analysis with the AGES database,19,24 the connective tissue assumes high importance and shows relevant differences between age groups. NTRA parameters are also sensitive to variation in subject age, and muscle parameters particularly experience the most significant variation compared to fat and connective tissues. Regarding physical activity, NTRA parameters are strongly sensitive to differences in past and present physical activity levels. Muscle parameters again experience the most remarkable variation compared to fat and connective tissues. The radiodensitometric profiles experienced a fewer change in people who reported frequent physical activity in their past or present life. However, these data were not strengthened by the results from ML analyses at the opposite of the Lifestyle Index classification. Error rates were meager in age regression, mainly for the lower two age groups. This does not mean that NTRA parameters are not necessarily sensitive to changes in age or the quality of physical activity, which would need to be assessed using prospective or longitudinal study designs; simply, the present ML models were not able to confirm the significant differences obtained from statistical analyses in the age and physical activity prediction. Nevertheless, the univariate statistical analyses show that in-particular, fat and connective tissues are sensitive to age and self-reported physical activity in the elderly. As for the machine learning approach, other types of non-tree-based algorithms could also have been tested. However, given that tree-based algorithms gave excellent results in previous NTRA applications and in the
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Lifestyle Index prediction, it is likely that the use of other algorithms would not have improved these results. This notion necessitates future comparative analyses focused on the diagnostic value of the NTRA methodology using longitudinal data. This could be performed by comparing the sensitivity to age and physical activity of NTRA parameters with standard CT analyses, such as total, fat, and lean muscle cross-sectional areas and/or average cross-sectional radiodensity. A possible future evolution of the study could be to extend the NTRA technology combined with ML not only to sarcopenia in the elderly population, but also to motor handicapped individuals: the radiodensitometry distribution of fat, lean muscle and connective tissue could be predictive of the potential physical activity and other pathologies that affect these subjects as it was for the AGES population.
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