DFT Investigation of the Hydrogen Adsorption on Graphene and Graphene Sheet Doped with Osmium and Tungsten
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Abstract

Significant interest has been focused on graphene materials for their unique properties as Hydrogen storage materials. The development of their abilities by modifying their configuration with doped or decorated transition metals was also of great interest. In this work, using the DFT/B3LYP/6-31G/LanL2DZ level of theory, graphene sheet (GS) as one of the materials of interest was doped with two transition metals, Osmium (Os) and Tungsten (W). Two active sites on the GS were tested (C4 and C16) resulted into adsorbed systems, H2@C4-GS and H2@C16-GS. C16 position showed the largest adsorption energy compared to that at C4. Therefore, C4 was replaced by the two metals and two adsorbed systems were formed: H2@Os-GS and H2@W-GS. The binding energy of H2@Os-GS was found to be greater than that of H2@W-GS.

Keywords

Graphene Sheet, Doping Metal, Osmium, Tungsten, Density Functional Theory, Adsorption, The Highest Occupied Molecular Orbital, The Lowest Unoccupied Molecular Orbital

1. Introduction

To make a promising solution for hydrogen storage materials, carbon materials are considered [1] [2] [3]. Graphene as one of the most important carbon materials was successfully made and demonstrated to be stable via structuring the carbon atoms by sp²-bonding [4] [5]. Moreover, graphene sheets (GSs) attracted extensive interest from the researchers due to their low cost, lighter weight, special nano-surface structures, electrical properties, and wide industrial applications, gas storage as an example [2] [6] [7]. Hydrogen gas was more preferred
due to its sustainability and low mass density for that the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) has set a target value of 9 wt% hydrogen storage by 2015, which is far from today’s possibly approaching value 6.5 wt% by 2020 [7] [8]. However, two main problems are found in the graphene as hydrogen gas storage that blocks the ability to apply it, and they are: 1) the low efficiency of pure graphene in the storage process, and 2) the weakness of the intermolecular interaction that affects the storage. Many studies show that doping a transition metal on the GS would significantly enhance the hydrogen storage binding energies with respect to the pure graphene sheet [1] [6].

What we are aiming to pursue in this work is to investigate another way of metal-doping graphene to improve the adsorption of the hydrogen. We nominated Osmium (Os) metal in order to be doped with graphene. Since, previous studies show that Os has the highest binding ability to GS [6], in which the Os metal was placed above the GS bonded to two carbon atoms. In this work, different configuration has been adopted, in which one of the carbon atoms was replaced by an Os atom. In addition, in another configuration, a Tungsten (W) atom was used in a similar way. For the best of the present author knowledge, the two adopted configurations with the two metals have not been reported yet. We hope that this research could add a value point and the promising information in the field. The GS doped with TMs is abbreviated as Os-GS and W-GS, while the adsorbed systems of H₂ molecule on the GS and doped GS are abbreviated as H₂@GS, H₂@Os-GS, and H₂@W-GS. Our results show enhancement in the adsorption energies of systems of H₂@Os-GS, and H₂@W-GS H₂ in comparison to undoped GS (H₂@GS).

2. Computational Details

In order to obtain the optimized geometries, binding energies, and HOMO-LUMO orbitals of the adsorbed systems, the density functional theory (DFT) [1] [2] [3] [8] method was performed using Becke 3-Parameter (Exchange), Lee, Yang and Parr (B3LYP), functional with a the 6-31G(d) basis set a split-valence double zeta basis set enlarged with one polarized basis function; a d-type orbital was added to all atoms except the hydrogen atoms, and the Los Alamos National Laboratory 2-Double-Zeta (LanL2DZ) basis set for transition metals [6] [9] [10]. All calculations were carried out using Gaussian 09 [11] software package in conjunction with GaussView version 5.0 [12].

The adsorption energies (ΔE_{ads}) of the hydrogen molecule adsorbed on the pure GS and TM-doped Gs can be obtained from Equations (1) and (2), respectively.

\[
\Delta E_{\text{ads}} = E_{\text{H}_2@\text{GS}} - (E_{\text{GS}} + E_{\text{H}_2})
\]

\[
\Delta E_{\text{ads}} = E_{\text{H}_2@\text{TM-GS}} - (E_{\text{TM-GS}} + E_{\text{H}_2})
\]

where \(E_{\text{H}_2@\text{GS}}\) and \(E_{\text{H}_2@\text{TM-GS}}\) are total energies of the adsorption of hydrogen molecule on TM-doped to GS, respectively. \(E_{\text{GS}}\) and \(E_{\text{TM-GS}}\) are total energies of the GS and TM-doped to GS, respectively, and \(E_{\text{H}_2}\) is the total energies of the iso-
lated hydrogen molecule.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Determination the Reactive Carbon Sites of GS

Graphene sheet (GS) is a two-dimensional structure with 24-C in hexagonal pattern was chosen. To explore the most reactive carbon site, two carbon positions were chosen, C16 and C4 as shown in Figure 1. In order to mimic the adsorption of the hydrogen molecule on the GS, the H\(_2\) molecule was placed in close distance to C4/C16 position. The obtained adsorption energies as calculated according to Equation (2.1) are listed in Table 1. The result shows that the adsorption energy of the hydrogen adsorbed at C4 (H\(_2\)@C4-GS) is −0.0306 kcal/mol and it is better than the hydrogen adsorbed at C16 (H\(_2\)@C16-GS) that have adsorption energy of −0.0300 kcal/mol. Therefore, the adsorbed system of (H\(_2\)@C4-GS) is adopted to carry out the remaining calculations.

3.2. Geometrical Parameters of Adsorbed Systems of H\(_2\)@GS and H\(_2\)@TM-GS

In order to dope the GS with the TM, the C4 position in GS is replaced by a TM (Os/W). The optimized geometries of six systems: GS, H\(_2\)@GS, Os-GS, H\(_2\)@Os-GS, W-GS and H\(_2\)@W-GS are calculated at the B3LYP with basis set 6-31G(d)/LanL2DZ as shown in Figure 2. The optimized parameters included the bond lengths, bond angles, hydrogen distance, and binding distance are listed in Table 1.

![Figure 1](image1.png)

*Figure 1.* Hydrogen molecule attached to the graphene sheet (a) H\(_2\)@C16-GS; and (b) H\(_2\)@C4-GS.

![Table 1](image2.png)

*Table 1.* Adsorption energies (in kcal/mol) and their components (in Hartree) of hydrogen molecule adsorbed at the two positions (C4 and C16) of graphene sheet.

| Adsorbed system | H\(_2\)@GS | H\(_2\) | GS | \(\Delta E_{\text{ads}}\) |
|-----------------|------------|--------|----|-----------------|
| H\(_2\)@C16-GS  | −923.073   | −1.175 | −921.898 | −0.0300         |
| H\(_2\)@C4-GS   | −923.073   | −1.175 | −921.898 | −0.0306         |
When the TM atom replaces the C4 position, the planarity of the molecule is distorted. Since, the TS (Os/W) appeared somehow above the plane of the other atoms of GS, due the greater atomic size of the TM atom compared to that of the carbon atom. Such position of the TS allows easier approaching and thus adsorption of the H₂ species. In other words, the presence of protruding structures in the adsorption process can reduce steric hindrance effect, resulting in an increased ability of adsorption. Similar observation can be found in literature [1][3][6][8].

The calculation in Table 2, produced the bond lengths of H₂ at graphene sheet (H₂@GS) denoted by C4-C2, C7-C4, C4-C11, C7-C14 and C7-C6 that were estimated to be 1.421, 1.427, 1.427, 1.4276 and 1.421Å, respectively. However, the bond lengths increase when transition metals added to GS. Generally, the bond lengths in Os-doped to GS are lower than those in the W-doped to GS. Moreover, the bond lengths of H₂@Os-GS system denoted by Os-C13, Os-C6, Os-C4, C13-C15 and C4-C10 were estimated to be 1.930, 1.917, 1.946, 1.426 and 1.432 Å, respectively. In addition, the bond lengths of H₂@W-GS denoted by W-C13, W-C6, W-C4, C13-C15 and C4-C10 are 1.937, 1.928, 2.122, 1.425 and 1.434 Å, respectively. Therefore, the bond lengths increase due to the replacement by a transition metals in compared to those in pure GS. The increase in the bond lengths follows the order: H₂@W-GS > H₂@Os-GS > H₂@GS. The increase in these lengths is due to the difference in electronegativity of Os (2.2) and W (2.36). We expect that two factors lead to the observed increase in bond lengths, i.e., the lower electronegativity and atomic size of Os in comparison to those of W [6].

On the other hand, the bond angels of H₂ at pure GS (H₂@G) are 119.994°, 120.005°, 120.009°, 120.001° and 118.780° for C11-C4-C7, C4-C7-C14, C2-C4-C7, C4-C11-C12 and C4-C2-C1, respectively. The bond angels of H₂ at Os-GS (H₂@Os-GS) denoted by C13-Os-C6, Os-C4-C2, C4-Os-C13, Os-C13-C15 and C4-Os-C6 were estimated to be 92.764°, 120.146°, 90.476°, 118.752° and 93.621°, respectively. While, for W-GS (H₂@W-GS) the bond angle for C13-W-C6,
Table 2. Selected geometrical parameters, H-H distance, and binding distance of H₂ adsorbed on pure GS, Os-doped and W-doped at GS with H-H distances of isolated hydrogen, calculated at B3LYP/6-31G(d)/LanL2DZ.

| System  | Bond lengths/Å | Bond angles/˚ | H-H distance | Binding distance |
|---------|----------------|---------------|--------------|-----------------|
| C4-C2   | 1.421          | C11-C4-C7     | 119.994      |                 |
| C7-C4   | 1.427          | C4-C7-C14     | 120.005      |                 |
| C4-C11  | 1.427          | C2-C4-C7      | 120.009      | H35-H37 0.742   |
| C7-C14  | 1.427          | C4-C11-C12    | 120.001      | H37-C4 4.141    |
| C7-C6   | 1.421          | C4-C2-C1      | 118.780      |                 |
| Os-C13  | 1.930          | C13-Os-C6     | 92.764       |                 |
| Os-C6   | 1.917          | Os-C4-C2      | 120.146      |                 |
| C4-C2   | 1.922          | C4-Os-C13     | 90.476       | H38-H37 0.827   |
| C4-C10  | 1.432          | C4-Os-C6      | 93.621       | H37-Os 1.945    |
| W-C13   | 1.937          | C13-W-C6      | 99.009       |                 |
| W-C6    | 1.928          | W-C4-C2       | 118.416      |                 |
| W-C4    | 2.122          | C4-W-C13      | 81.525       | H36-H37 2.016   |
| C13-C15 | 1.425          | W-C13-C15     | 102.114      | H36-W 1.781     |
| C4-C10  | 1.434          | C4-W-C6       | 86.539       |                 |

W-C4-C2, C4-W-C13, W-C13-C15 and C4-W-C6 were estimated to be 99.009˚, 118.416˚, 81.525˚, 102.114˚ and 86.539˚, respectively. The increasing in bond angles is in the following order: H₂@Os-GS < H₂@W-GS < H₂@GS. Another calculated values are the H-H distance, for H₂ at pure GS (H₂@G) denoted by H35-H37 is 0.74276 Å and for H₂ at OS-GS (H₂@Os-GS) denoted by H38-H37 that were estimated to be 0.827 Å. While, H-H distance of H₂ at W-GS (H₂@W-GS) denoted by H36-H37 is 2.016 Å. The H-H distances are elongated due to the doping process, and follow the order: H₂@W-GS < H₂@Os-GS < H₂@GS.

The binding distance of H₂ of H₂@G, H₂@Os-GS, and H₂@W-GS denoted by H37-C4, H37-Os, and H36-W were estimated to be 4.1411, 1.9452, and 1.7814 Å, respectively. Decreasing of binding distance of H₂ can be seen after adding the transition metal to GS. This indeed will lead to improvement in the adsorption energies. The decrease in the binding distances follows the order: H₂@W-GS < H₂@Os-GS < H₂@GS. The shorting in the binding distance is expected to lead to a strong adsorption and higher adsorption energy. Therefore, the adsorption to the doped GS is expected to be stronger compared to bare GS, as will prove later.

3.3. Adsorption Energies of Adsorbed Systems of H₂@GS and H₂@TM-GS

The adsorption energies for H₂@GS and H₂@TM-GS systems are calculated according to equations 1 and 2 and listed in Table 3. From there, the values of the
adsorption energies ($\Delta E_{\text{ads}}$) were computed and they are $-0.031$, $-8.369$ and $-1.538$ kcal/mol, respectively. In addition, stability effect on the adsorption process of the TM-doped is significant. However, $\Delta E_{\text{ads}}$ of $\text{H}_2@\text{Os-G}$ ($-8.3693$ kcal/mol) are more stable than $\text{H}_2@\text{W-G}$ ($-1.537$ kcal/mol). Therefore, the stability order of the three adsorbed systems is: $\text{H}_2@\text{Os-G} > \text{H}_2@\text{W-G} > \text{H}_2@\text{G}$. This result showed the superiority of Os-doped to GS compared to W [6].

### 3.4. Frontier Molecular Orbitals

The highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) and the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) [6], of GS and doped GS before and after the adsorption process were visualized and presented in Figure 3. The HOMO and LUMO orbitals are delocalized over the surface of undoped GS with and without the $\text{H}_2$ molecule. On the other hand, in the doped systems and before and after the adsorption process, the HOMO orbitals are spreading on the whole system. Also, the LUMO orbitals are spreading on the whole system but mainly delocalized on the TS and $\text{H}_2$@TS moieties, which indicated the easier intramolecular/intermolecular electron transfer within the doped systems.

### 4. Conclusion

The B3LYP/6-31G(d)/LanL2DZ method/basis sets calculations were performed to obtain the geometrical parameters and adsorption energies of the adsorbed systems of bare GS and doped-GS (with Os and W) with molecular hydrogen. The results show that the adsorption energies of the doped systems are larger.
than that of the undoped system. In addition, the Os-doped system has the higher adsorption energy by 8.339 kcal/mol with respect to that of bare GS system, and by 6.832 kcal/mol with respect to that of W-GS system. Therefore, the GS doped with Os and W, specifically the Os, can be used as promising materials for hydrogen storage. Moreover, previous experimental studies performed electronic transport studies of graphene with a dilute coating of osmium adatoms, due to the potential for inducing a strong spin-orbit coupling, and the experimental results show that osmium is found to donate holes to graphene, otherwise, the graphene transport is impacted in similar fashion to other metallic anatomy, in that the scattering appears consistent with isolated coulomb impurities a small distance above the surface [13]. That could motivate us to plan for further studies on Os-GS at new position and compare it with other transition metals to explore the H2 adsorption possibility.
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