We investigated global and regional effects of myocardial transplantation of human induced pluripotent stem cell (iPSC)-derived mesenchymal stem cells (iMSCs) in infarcted myocardium. Acute myocardial infarction (MI) was induced by ligation of left coronary artery of severe combined immunodeficient mice before 2 x 10^5 iMSCs or cell-free saline were injected into peri-infarcted anterior free wall. Sham-operated animals received no injection. Global and regional myocardial function was assessed serially at 1-week and 8-week by segmental strain analysis by using two dimensional (2D) speckle tracking echocardiography. Early myocardial remodelling was observed at 1-week and persisted to 8-week with global contractility of ejection fraction and fractional area change in saline- (32.96 ± 14.23%; 21.50 ± 10.07%) and iMSC-injected (32.95 ± 10.31%; 21.00 ± 7.11%) groups significantly depressed as compared to sham control (51.17 ± 11.69%, P < 0.05; 34.86 ± 9.82%, P < 0.05). However, myocardial dilatation was observed in saline-injected animals (4.40 ± 0.62 mm, P < 0.05), but not iMSCs (4.29 ± 0.57 mm), when compared to sham control (3.74 ± 0.32 mm). Furthermore, strain analysis showed significant improved basal anterior wall strain (28.86 ± 8.16%, P < 0.05) in the iMSC group, but not saline-injected (15.81 ± 13.92%), when compared to sham control (22.18 ± 4.13%). This was corroborated by multi-segments deterioration of radial strain only in saline-injected (21.50 ± 5.31%, P < 0.05), but not iMSC (25.67 ± 12.53%), when compared to sham control (34.88 ± 5.77%). Improvements of the myocardial strain coincided with the presence of interconnecting telocytes in interstitial space of the infarcted anterior segment of the heart. Our results show that localized injection of iMSCs alleviates ventricular remodelling, sustains global and regional myocardial strain by paracrine-driven effect on neoangiogenesis and myocardial deformation/compliance via parenchymal and interstitial cell interactions in the infarcted myocardium.
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Similarly, myocardial telocytes have been implicated in the repair of infarcted myocardium via paracrine effect comparable to adult-derived MSCs [14]. Moreover, angiogenic cytokines secreted from ESC-derived MSCs has also been reported as the main contributor in reducing ischaemia/reperfusion injury in a porcine model [15]. Bone marrow-derived MSCs are known improve global cardiac performance in dysfunctional myocardium majorly by ameliorating negative myocardial remodelling via restricting ventricular dilatation and enhancing neoangiogenesis [16]. Furthermore, BM-MSCs have been suggested to benefit cardiac performance by modulating tissue compliance and stress/stain response of infarcted myocardium [17]. Similarly, myocardial telocytes have been implicated in the repair of infarcted myocardium by recovering inter-cellular interactions via re-establishing connectivity and enhancing angiogenesis that benefited the restructuring infarct milieu [18]. Utilization of speckle tracking echocardiography (STE) in demonstrating myocardial strain may be helpful in delineating such re-established myocardial connectivity locally as STE affords regional quantification of structural deformational response of myocardial tissue in a multi-dimensional axis of radial, longitudinal and circumferential contractility that may be more informative in functional assessment after cardiac therapy [19].

It is unclear how localized transplantation of MSCs in a regional infarcted wall of left ventricle contribute to myocardial strain association tissue deformation [20, 21] and if telocytes have any role in myocardial compliance following MI. In this study, we investigated the global and regional myocardial strain of iPSC-derived MSCs (iMSCs) transplanted myocardium and associated myocardial telocytes by 2D strain analysis using STE.

Materials and methods

Generation and characterization of iPSC-derived MSCs

The iPSC cell line utilized for derivation of mesenchymal stem cells (iMSCs) was generated from neonatal human dermal fibroblasts as described previously [13]. Briefly, to isolate the iMSCs, iPSCs were differentiated via embryoid bodies (EBs) and attached onto culture dish to enable outgrowth of cells. The cells were isolated and expanded in DMEM (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) supplemented with 10% foetal bovine serum (Hyclone). Embryoid bodies (EBs) and attached onto culture dish to enable outgrowth of cells. The cells were isolated and expanded in DMEM (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) supplemented with 10% foetal bovine serum (Hyclone) via inducing differentiation into adipocytes/osteocytes/chondrocytes as described [13]. Early passage iMSCs (up to passage 10) were used for subsequent experiments.

Echocardiography and 2D speckle tracking analysis

Echocardiography was performed at baseline, 1-week and 8-week after infarction on Vevo2100 (VisualSonics VSI, Toronto, ON, Canada) with MS400 linear array transducer (38 MHz) by using optimized sector width for complete myocardial visualization (50 and 110 μm axial and lateral resolution respectively) and endocardial definition. A 2D guided M-mode of parasternal short axis at papillary muscle level was obtained to measure standard parameters. Long-axis view was used to obtain fractional area change (FAC = Simpson areaES/Simpson areaES/Simpson areaES), ventricular chamber volumes and left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) were derived by using a modified Quinones method [22]. Average of 10 cardiac cycles at each plane was stored in cine loop for subsequent offline analysis. Standard parasternal long axis and short axis at mid papillary muscle level views with frame rate more than 200 frames/sec. recommended for optimal speckle tracking analysis was used. Global peak radial (RS) and circumferential strain (CS) sampled from anterior, lateral, posterior, inferior, postero-septal and antero-septal segments were measured from the short-axis view. Global peak longitudinal strain (LS) was measured from anterior basal, mid, apical and posterior basal, mid, apical segments from long-axis view. Strain data were analysed by VevoStrain version 1.3.0 (VisualSonics VSI).

Histology and immunostaining

The harvested hearts were cryo-processed by using OCT Tissue-Tek medium. Hearts were sectioned transversely from the basal part to the apex of the left ventricle by using a cryostat with 5-μm thickness (Leica AG, Solms, Germany). Masson’s Trichrome staining (Sigma-Aldrich)
### Table 1 Cardiac hemodynamics assessment by 2-D echocardiography

| 2D Echo        | Baseline |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
|----------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
|                | Sham ($n = 8$) | Saline ($n = 8$) | iMSC ($n = 8$) | Sham ($n = 8$) | Saline ($n = 8$) | iMSC ($n = 8$) | Sham ($n = 8$) | Saline ($n = 8$) | iMSC ($n = 8$) |
| LVWTed (mm)    | 0.73 ± 0.07 | 0.77 ± 0.11 | 0.72 ± 0.06 | 0.79 ± 0.18 | 0.89 ± 0.14 | 0.84 ± 0.12 | 0.84 ± 0.17 | 0.85 ± 0.19 | 0.76 ± 0.15 |
| LVIDed (mm)    | 3.54 ± 0.14 | 3.62 ± 0.23 | 3.35 ± 0.22 | 3.65 ± 0.36 | 3.95 ± 0.41 | 3.65 ± 0.36 | 3.74 ± 0.32 | 4.40 ± 0.62* | 4.24 ± 0.58 |
| LVWTes (mm)    | 1.05 ± 0.11 | 1.06 ± 0.16 | 1.04 ± 0.17 | 1.08 ± 0.20 | 1.22 ± 0.26 | 1.08 ± 0.20 | 1.12 ± 0.23 | 1.09 ± 0.31 | 1.05 ± 0.30 |
| LVIDes (mm)    | 2.36 ± 0.21 | 2.61 ± 0.33 | 2.18 ± 0.40 | 2.56 ± 0.50 | 2.67 ± 0.47 | 2.73 ± 0.46 | 2.62 ± 0.58 | 3.40 ± 0.71 | 3.25 ± 0.81 |
| EDV (µl)       | 45.43 ± 4.74 | 47.93 ± 7.05 | 45.22 ± 4.97 | 53.57 ± 11.00 | 64.40 ± 19.23 | 58.15 ± 19.26 | 57.28 ± 8.72 | 81.33 ± 31.34 | 76.24 ± 29.03 |
| ESV (µl)       | 21.59 ± 2.91 | 25.66 ± 6.77 | 21.19 ± 2.53 | 29.59 ± 11.75 | 43.12 ± 19.28 | 40.27 ± 18.99 | 27.97 ± 9.75 | 57.68 ± 30.22 | 53.41 ± 29.14 |
| FS (%)         | 35.33 ± 3.67 | 27.94 ± 5.66 | 35.34 ± 8.42 | 30.37 ± 8.95 | 32.67 ± 6.70 | 25.41 ± 8.27 | 30.62 ± 9.55 | 23.31 ± 6.44 | 24.36 ± 9.41 |
| LVEF (%)       | 52.41 ± 5.33 | 47.38 ± 10.46 | 52.89 ± 5.94 | 45.91 ± 12.67 | 35.78 ± 13.28 | 33.46 ± 11.65 | 51.17 ± 11.69 | 32.96 ± 14.23* | 33.19 ± 10.99* |
| FAC (%)        | 35.33 ± 3.67 | 32.13 ± 7.69 | 35.00 ± 4.83 | 31.38 ± 9.33 | 24.13 ± 8.63 | 21.63 ± 7.93 | 24.86 ± 9.82 | 21.50 ± 10.07* | 21.25 ± 7.55* |

*P < 0.05 versus sham-operated control.

LVWTed/es: left ventricle wall thickening at end diastole/systole; LVIDed/es: left ventricle internal dimension at end diastole/systole; EDV: end diastolic volume; ESV: end systolic volume; FS: fractional shortening; LVEF: left ventricular ejection fraction; FAC: fractional area change.
was performed to quantify infarct size. The percentage infarct scar size was estimated from infarct area over total LV area by using a calibrated M205 stereomicroscope (Leica AG). Overnight incubation with antibody against human-specific Ku80 (Clone EPR3468; Abcam, Cambridge, MA, USA) was used to identify the transplanted human iMSC and antibody against CD34 (clone 581; BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA) was used to identify myocardial interstitial cells in the mouse heart and antibodies against α-actinin and α-smooth muscle actin (SMA) were used to identify cardiac muscle and vascular smooth muscle cells respectively (Sigma-Aldrich). Signals visualization was performed with 3,3′-diaminobenzidine (DAB) before counterstaining nuclei with haematoxylin and brightfield images analysed on a micro-metre calibrated M200 microscope (Carl Zeiss, Gottingen, Germany). For vascular angiogenesis, microvessels of less than 200-μm caliber in the peri-infarcted myocardium, away from the pericardium, were identified by using antibody against von Willebrand factor (Dako, Glostrup, Denmark) and mature vessels were confirmed by co-staining with isotype-matched secondary antibodies. Signals visualization was performed with 3′,3′-diaminobenzidine (DAB) before counterstaining nuclei with haematoxylin and brightfield images analysed on a micro-metre calibrated M200 microscope (Carl Zeiss, Gottingen, Germany).

Results

Cardiac performance

The proliferative and multipotent property of the iMSCs have been previously characterized by our group where successive expansion rapidly yielded large quantities of iMSCs in culture while adult BM-MSCs lost such potency with rapid telomere shortening [13]. Those expanded iMSC retained spindle morphology and MSC characteristics throughout passages before myocardial transplantation into peri-infarct region of acutely infarcted SCID mice.

There were no significant differences in all cardiac indices examined at 1-week after infarction among the sham-operated, saline-injected or iMSC-injected groups (Table 1). However, when compared to sham-operated control (3.74 ± 0.32 mm), transplantation of iMSC (4.24 ± 0.58 mm) into the peri-infarct region salvaged ventricular chamber geometry while saline-injection (4.40 ± 0.62 mm, P < 0.05) failed to restrict myocardial dilatation at 8-week after intervention. Furthermore, speckle tracking analysis of the regional wall in parasternal short-axis view (Fig. 1A) showed that iMSC (4.24 ± 0.58 mm) transplantation protected mean radial strain (six segments of anterior, lateral, posterior, inferior, posteroseptal and anteroseptal walls) of the left ventricle while functional deterioration was observed in saline-injected (21.50 ± 10.07%, P < 0.05) animals (Fig. 2, Table S1). This protected contractility was further corroborated by findings from the parasternal long-axis view (Fig. 1B) whereby iMSC transplantation (17.72 ± 4.86%) preserved ventricular radial strain, but not saline-injection (15.84 ± 7.24%, P < 0.05), when compared to sham-operated (25.67 ± 10.07%, P < 0.05) animals (Fig. 2, Table S1). Nevertheless, neither iMSC (33.19 ± 10.99%, P < 0.05) nor saline-injection (32.96 ± 14.23%, P < 0.05) rescued the compromised LVEF and FAC when compared to sham-operated (51.17 ± 11.69%) animals (Table 1).

Segmental short-axis speckle tracking analysis revealed that ventricular radial strain at the lateral, posterior, inferior and posteroseptal

Fig. 1 Short-axis and long-axis views of speckle tracking echocardiography. (A) Six-segment view from short axis of a mouse heart. (B) Six-segment view from long axis of a mouse heart. Ant.: anterior; post.: posterior; Inf.: inferior.
walls were significantly compromised in the saline-injected animals while only posterior wall strain remained depressed in the iMSC-injected animals at 8-week after MI (Table 2). The ligation infarcted anterior wall that received iMSC showed better radial strain, although there was no significant difference between the iMSC and saline-injected animals. Nevertheless, segmental long-axis speckle tracking

Table 2 Segmental analysis of myocardial strain in short axis

| Speckle tracking analysis | 8-week | Saline (n = 8) | iMSC (n = 8) | Stats sig. |
|---------------------------|--------|--------------|-------------|------------|
| Short-axis view (SAX)     |        |              |             |            |
| Mean radial strain (RS; %)| 34.88 ± 5.77 | 21.50 ± 5.31* | 25.67 ± 12.53 | P < 0.05   |
| Anterior wall             | 27.46 ± 8.71 | 20.53 ± 10.65 | 26.60 ± 18.99 | NS         |
| Lateral wall              | 35.96 ± 8.35 | 19.33 ± 8.73* | 23.53 ± 23.51 | P < 0.05   |
| Posterior wall            | 41.49 ± 8.91 | 25.34 ± 9.84* | 23.26 ± 15.12* | P < 0.05  |
| Inferior wall             | 42.98 ± 11.59 | 25.19 ± 14.39* | 28.62 ± 9.87 | P < 0.05   |
| Posterior septal wall     | 32.12 ± 6.61 | 20.44 ± 5.89* | 25.76 ± 10.09 | P < 0.05   |
| Anterior septal wall      | 29.69 ± 9.04 | 18.35 ± 6.08  | 25.31 ± 11.64 | NS         |
| Mean circumferential strain (CS; %) | −22.82 ± 5.36 | −16.61 ± 4.74d | −19.25 ± 5.64 | P = 0.051 |
| Anterior wall             | −16.23 ± 5.33 | −13.21 ± 5.18 | −15.65 ± 7.01 | NS         |
| Lateral wall              | −21.82 ± 9.15 | −16.73 ± 4.54 | −15.63 ± 8.86 | NS         |
| Posterior wall            | −21.32 ± 4.62 | −16.33 ± 6.54 | −16.53 ± 7.24 | NS         |
| Inferior wall             | −24.41 ± 5.62 | −17.22 ± 7.26* | −22.12 ± 7.72 | P < 0.05   |
| Posterior septal wall     | −32.01 ± 7.50 | −21.12 ± 8.29 | −25.75 ± 5.55 | NS         |
| Anterior septal wall      | −19.94 ± 8.33 | −15.53 ± 10.33 | −18.18 ± 4.70 | NS         |

walls were significantly compromised in the saline-injected animals while only posterior wall strain remained depressed in the iMSC-injected animals at 8-week after MI (Table 2). The ligation infarcted anterior wall that received iMSC showed better radial strain, although there was no significant difference between the iMSC and saline-injected animals. Nevertheless, segmental long-axis speckle tracking

Fig. 2 Global peak strain analysis of LV systolic deformations at baseline and follow-up. SAX: short-axis view; LAX: long-axis view.
analysis of radial strain revealed a significant improvement in the anterior basal segment that coincided with iMSC-injected territory (Table 3). Circumferential and longitudinal strain were minimally affected whereby only inferior (circumferential strain) wall (–17.22 ± 7.26% versus –24.41 ± 5.62%, \( P < 0.05 \)) of saline-injected (Table 2) and posterior apical (longitudinal strain) wall (–10.58 4.96% versus –23.63 8.55%, \( P < 0.05 \)) of iMSC-injected animals (Table 3) remained significantly difference than sham-operated control at 8-week after infarction.

### Localization of transplanted iMSC

In examining the cross section tissue histology of the injected heart (Fig. 3A), transplanted iMSC were found mostly localized within the injected anterior wall in the peri-infarcted zone (Fig. 3B and C) bordering the lateral wall as well as in the infarcted zone (Fig. 3D). The iMSC that stained positive for human-specific Ku80 nuclear marker (Fig. 3E–G) were scattered among host cardiac fibroblasts and myocardial interstitial cells within the actively remodelling regions of anterior epicardium and myocardium. Rare iMSC were occasionally found in the endocardium of anterior wall region and sparingly as isolated single cells in the lateral wall that borders the anterior wall. Expectedly, no Ku80 positive human cells were detected in the saline-injected or sham-operated heart (Fig. 3H and I). Consistent with their interstitial localization, the Ku80 positive transplanted iMSCs (Fig. 4A, B and E) did not show appreciable differentiation towards cardiomyocytes (Fig. 4C and D) or vascular smooth muscle or endothelial cells (Fig. 4G and H).

Coincided with the presence of human Ku80 positively identified iMSC in the injected anterior wall, CD34 stained interstitial cells (Fig. 5A) with long cellular processes that resembled telopodes (Fig. 5B) of reported myocardial telocytes [6, 23] were found nestled intimately with other interstitial cells mostly in the collagen-rich extracellular environment within the infarcted zone (Fig. 5C). Furthermore, such CD34+ telocytes were positive for human-specific Ku80 antigen (Fig. 5D and E) and were found to intermingle with resident CD34+ myocardial telocytes that were negative for the human antigen (Fig. 5F and G). In comparison, such distinct staining for CD34+ telocytes was mostly absent in the interstitial environment of infarcted anterior wall of saline-injected or sham-operated animals (Fig. 5H and I).

### Infarct size and vascular density

In comparison to sham-operated animals (5.53 ± 3.91%) that showed mostly epicardial fibrotic response as a result of mock ligation-induced injury and no visible thinning of the anterior wall of the left ventricle (Fig. 6A), both iMSC-injected and saline-injected animals sustained larger transmural infarct and anterior wall thinning. Though

| Table 3 Segmental analysis of myocardial strain in long-axis view |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| **Speckle tracking analysis**                                                                 |
| **8-week**                     | **Sham (n = 8)** | **Saline (n = 8)** | **iMSC (n = 8)** | **Stats sig.**       |
|--------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| Mean radial strain (RS; %)     | 24.95 ± 6.13    | 15.84 ± 7.24*   | 17.72 ± 4.86    | \( P < 0.05 \)     |
| Posterior basal wall           | 21.93 ± 3.84    | 21.26 ± 14.88   | 22.17 ± 12.37   | NS                  |
| Posterior mid wall             | 24.18 ± 8.19    | 19.72 ± 12.87   | 12.85 ± 10.57   | NS                  |
| Posterior apical wall          | 21.18 ± 7.98    | 11.74 ± 9.72    | 10.60 ± 5.38    | NS                  |
| Anterior basal wall            | 22.18 ± 4.13    | 15.81 ± 9.83    | 28.86 ± 8.16*   | \( P < 0.05 \)     |
| Anterior mid wall              | 27.35 ± 9.81    | 15.18 ± 13.92   | 20.14 ± 14.19   | NS                  |
| Anterior apical wall           | 29.55 ± 11.61   | 12.92 ± 13.22   | 14.78 ± 15.45   | NS                  |
| Mean longitudinal strain (LS; %)| –15.74 ± 2.46   | –12.84 ± 4.83   | –13.08 ± 2.55   | NS                  |
| Posterior basal wall           | –12.66 ± 5.11   | –18.53 ± 4.19   | –14.18 ± 6.72   | NS                  |
| Posterior mid wall             | –16.59 ± 3.32   | –10.71 ± 5.99   | –11.71 ± 6.74   | NS                  |
| Posterior apical wall          | –23.63 ± 8.55   | –13.00 ± 9.58   | –10.58 ± 4.96*  | \( P < 0.05 \)     |
| Anterior basal wall            | –14.41 ± 5.14   | –18.02 ± 5.18   | –20.17 ± 6.03   | NS                  |
| Anterior mid wall              | –10.05 ± 3.87   | –7.64 ± 4.32    | –12.15 ± 4.62   | NS                  |
| Anterior apical wall           | –15.66 ± 6.48   | –11.44 ± 6.59   | –10.16 ± 5.20   | NS                  |
Fig. 3 Myocardial localization of transplanted human iMSC in the anterior segment of left ventricle. (A) Six-segment view of transverse sectioned mouse heart injected with iMSCs. (B) Human-specific nuclear staining of Ku80 in iMSCs in peri-infarcted zone of anterior segment bordering lateral wall. (C) Human-specific nuclear staining of Ku80 in iMSCs in peri-infarcted zone of anterior segment next to infarcted wall. (D) Identification of human Ku80 stained iMSCs in the infarcted zone of anterior wall. (E-G) Magnified views of boxed region in B, C and D. (H) Transverse sectioned view of saline-injected mouse heart. (J) Magnified view of infarct border in H. (I) Transverse sectioned view of sham-operated mouse heart. (K) Magnified view of injured border in I. Scale bar: 1 mm (A, H and I); 20 μm (for all others).

Fig. 4 Interstitial localization of transplanted human iMSCs in myocardium. (A) Presence of human-specific nuclear staining of Ku80 iMSCs in the interstitial space of cardiac muscle. (B-D) Magnified view of boxed region in A, showing Ku80 stained iMSCs located mainly in the interstitial space (demarcated line) of α-actinin (green) stained cardiac muscle. (E) Presence of human-specific nuclear staining of Ku80 iMSCs in fibrous/collagenous region of myocardium. (F-H) Magnified view of boxed region in E, showing Ku80 stained human iMSCs (arrows) located in peri-vascular space of α-smooth muscle actin (SMA) stained microvessels; scale bar: 50 μm.
smaller in severity, infarct size in animals that received iMSCs (14.08 ± 4.59%) was statistically insignificant when compared to saline-injected (17.36 ± 15.26%) animals (Fig. 6B).

Consistent with better regional contractility following iMSC transplantation, there was a significantly higher vascular density count in the iMSC-injected (271.25 ± 30.38 microvessels/mm² myocardial tissue, \( P < 0.05 \)) animals as compared to the saline-injected (176.29 ± 77.99 microvessels/mm² myocardial tissue) and sham-operated (123.02 ± 48.84 microvessels/mm² myocardial tissue) animals at 8-week after infarction (Fig. 6A and B). Furthermore, the newly formed microvessels in the iMSC-injected (24.92 ± 2.32 \( \alpha \)-SMA⁺ vessels/mm² myocardial tissue, \( P < 0.05 \)), but not the saline-injected (18.53 ± 6.54 \( \alpha \)-SMA⁺ vessels/mm² myocardial tissue) animals, were significantly more matured microvasculature accompanied by \( \alpha \)-SMA as compared to the sham-operated (18.12 ± 3.75 \( \alpha \)-SMA⁺ vessels/mm² myocardial tissue) animals (Fig. 7C).

**Discussion**

Myocardial transplantation of human BM-MSCs has been widely reported to promote functional recovery following MI. Consistently, improved cardiac function was observed in our study, despite only a limited number of injected iPSC-MSCs remained in the transplanted

© 2014 The Authors.
Journal of Cellular and Molecular Medicine published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd and Foundation for Cellular and Molecular Medicine.
mouse heart by 8-week after AMI. Similar observations were reported in myocardial transplantation of adult BM-MSCs [24]. Although it was reported that ESC-derived MSCs were more cardiomyogenic and angiogenic than BM-MSCs [25], there was no appreciable difference in infarct size and no distinct evidence to support cardiac or endothelial differentiation of the transplanted iMSCs in our study.

Consistent with depressed radial strain in remote regions observed after anterior infarction [26], segmental short-axis analysis
of the speckle tracking showed that ventricular radial strain at the lat- 
eral, inferior and posteroseptal walls were significantly compromised 
in the saline-injected, but not iMSC-injected, animals at 8-week after 
MI. Furthermore, strain analysed from the long-axis view, which was 
considered to be a better systolic contractility surrogate than LVEF 
[27, 28], consistently showed enhanced strain in anterior wall that 
received iMSCs. This is consistent with observations in the POSEI- 
DON trial, which showed regional contractility improvement in remote 
segments aside from MSC-injected segments in patients with 
advanced ventricular dysfunction [29].

The increased microvascular counts and enhanced vessel matura-
tion in peri-infarct zones of iMSC-injected group suggested that para-
crine-driven effect could have contributed partly to the positive 
outcome despite no appreciable iMSCs were found in the neighbour-
ing ventricular segments. Nevertheless, the multi-segmental recovery 
in radial strain following iMSC injection into the anterior segment sug-
gested that induced neoangiogenesis alone may not be responsible 
for the observed recovery in remote segments of the myocardium. 
Similar to previous reports by Berry et al. [17] and our group [30], 
reduction in wall stress and modulation of tissue stiffness in the 
infarcted anterior wall following cell transplantation may have resulted 
in overall geometry stabilization and functional preservation of other 
segments of the ventricular wall. This was further supported by the 
presence of telocytes in the interstitial space of infarcted anterior wall 
segment in iMSC-injected heart, which was consistent with their 
reported roles in co-ordinating and supporting architectural organiza-
tion, tissue elasticity and mechanotransduction across network of 
myocardial segments [9, 10, 31]. Indeed, preservation of ventricular 
wall mechanics and structural organization for cyclical laminar shear-
ing and extension experienced throughout systole and diastole are 
known to be critical in regional mechanical function of the heart [32]. 
Interestingly, the declining myocardial strain observed between 
1-week and 8-week follow-up in the saline-injected group coincided 
with the period of reduced presence of cardiac telocytes following MI 
reported previously in left anterior descending (LAD) artery ligated 
rodent [33]. Consistently, presence of putative telocytes in the 
infarcted anterior segment that received iMSC transplantation, but not 
saline-injection, may be important in cardiac function improvement 
observed in our study. Consistent with beneficial effect of telocyte 
transplantation in recovering cardiac function [18], this was likely 
through sustaining the lattice of mechanical and biological intercon-
nectivity from infarcted segment to other remote segments of the 
myocardium as demonstrated by close association of exogenous telo-
cytes with resident telocytes observed in the infarcted and peri-
infarcted zones.

Analysis of the speckle strain from short-and long-axis views 
revealed that peri-infarct injection of iMSCs into the anterior wall ben-
efited mostly radial strain (P < 0.05), but their effect was marginal in 
circumferential (P = 0.051) and limited in longitudinal (P = NS) 
strain. However, influence of iMSC injection angle (at 45° angle to the 
heart wall) in connection with outcome observed in radial strain can-
not be totally discounted. Furthermore, it remains to be ascertained if 
torsional mechanics of the ventricular wall, which is known to inter-
line with other segmental strain in affecting systolic deformation 
[34], had any role in the observed strain improvements in neighbour-
and remote ventricular segments following iMSC injection.

In summary, our results show that iPSC-derived hMSCs amelio-
rate MI-associated ventricular remodelling and preserve global 
myocardial strain via paracrine-driven effect by enhancing neoan-
giogenesis and promoting myocardial deformation/compliance via 
parenchymal and interstitial cell interactions in the infarcted 
myocardium.
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