The Differences in Maternal Compliance in Completing Basic Immunization between Two Groups
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Abstract

Immunization programs are implemented in Indonesia since 1956. Although the government has established a complete basic immunization program for infants aged 0–12 months, there are still more than 1.4 million child deaths in the world each year due to various infectious diseases. The diseases can be prevented by immunization. Low basic immunization coverage in infants is related to parental non-compliance in fulfilling primary immunization. The purpose of this study was to measure the differences in maternal compliance in completing basic immunization in two groups. Samples are a group of mothers provided with Maternal Child Health (MCH) book and special booklet, and another group provided with MCH book only. This study used a quasi-experiment design with quantitative methods with a sample of 76 respondents chosen by simple random sampling—this research conducted in August–October 2017 in Pir Batee Puteh health center, West Woyla district. The results indicate that there are differences in maternal compliance in completing basic immunization in mothers with MCH book and special booklet to mothers with only MCH book. Immunization health education interventions based on the MCH book and special booklet can improve the mother's compliance in completing the baby's basic immunization.

Key words: Booklet, compliance, MCH book

Perbedaan Kepatuhan Ibu dalam Melengkapi Imunisasi Dasar antara Dua Kelompok

Abstrak

Program imunisasi mulai dilaksanakan di Indonesia sejak tahun 1956. Meskipun pemerintah telah menetapkan program imunisasi dasar lengkap pada bayi usia 0–12 bulan, masih terdapat lebih dari 1,4 juta kematian anak di dunia setiap tahun karena berbagai penyakit menular yang pada dasarnya dapat dicegah dengan imunisasi. Cakupan imunisasi dasar yang rendah pada bayi berkaitan dengan ketidaksadaran orangtua dalam memenuhi kelengkapan imunisasi dasar. Tujuan penelitian ini adalah mengukur perbedaan kepatuhan perawat ibu dalam memenuhi kelengkapan imunisasi dasar pada dua kelompok. Sampel adalah kelompok ibu yang diberikan buku Kesehatan Ibu dan Anak (KIA) dan booklet, serta kelompok ibu yang diberikan buku KIA saja. Penelitian ini menggunakan desain quasy-experiment dan metode kuantitatif dengan sampel 76 responden yang dipilih secara simple random sampling. Penelitian dilakukan pada Agustus–Oktober 2017 di wilayah kerja Puskesmas Pir Batee Puteh, Kecamatan Woyla Barat. Hasil penelitian menunjukkan bahwa terdapat perbedaan kepatuhan ibu dalam memenuhi kelengkapan imunisasi dasar pada ibu yang diberikan buku KIA dan booklet dengan ibu yang hanya diberikan buku KIA. Intervensi pendidikan kesehatan imunisasi berdasar atas buku KIA dan booklet dapat meningkatkan pengetahuan dan kesadaran ibu tentang pentingnya imunisasi pada bayi sehingga meningkatkan kepatuhan ibu dalam melengkapi imunisasi bayinya.

Kata kunci: Booklet, buku KIA, kepatuhan
Introduction

The vaccine is one of the achievements of public health programs that must be improved to realize the degree of public health. The National Association of School Nurses (NASN) in Northern Indiana supports routine vaccination as recommended by the Advisory Committee on Immunization programs and supports local regulations on vaccination of students in schools. In some states, including the United States, it is missing the goal of preventing disease with the use of vaccination. These barriers have been placed in three broad categories namely health care system barriers, healthcare provider barriers, and patient barriers. Immunization programs began in Indonesia in 1956. Although the government has established a complete basic immunization program for infants aged 0–12 months, there are still more than 1.4 million child deaths in the world each year caused by various infectious diseases that can be prevented by immunization.

Children born with the assistance of a traditional birth attendant were much lower in rural areas. This reflects the distribution of rural-urban health personnel in Indonesia and most developing countries. Although the first dose of the measles vaccine is not given to children aged 9 months, the availability of traditional birth attendants at birth appears to predict vaccination coverage. Thus, the availability of qualified health personnel is likely to continue immunization into early childhood. Besides, the availability of traditional birth attendants can also affect measles vaccination. For example, a study of inequality in maternal and child health in Asia-Pacific found that the overall geographic, and socio-economic context, contributed to 75% of the inequality of skilled midwives in Indonesia. In particular, wealth contributed 27% and maternal education 12% to inequality. This determinant reflects the main determinant of identified inequality in measles vaccination. Also, other research results indicate that several other factors affect the completeness of the communication, namely individual, socio-cultural, and political factors.

Based on the results of the Basic Health Research (Riskesdas) survey in Indonesia in 2013, DPT-HB-3 immunization coverage increased to 75.6%. Despite the increase; the coverage was not evenly distributed across all regions in Indonesia. The coverage is not good enough which can be seen by diphtheria incidence. The incidence of diphtheria in Indonesia in 2012 reached a high of 1,192 cases, decreased to 767 cases in 2013, then 394 cases in 2014, and increased by 502 cases in 2015. Nationally; pneumonia cases increased by 63.45% in 2015. While hepatitis B cases reached 21.8% in 2013. Based on the survey, cases of diphtheria found in 37% of patients who had not received triple DPT dose immunization.

Although Indonesia has succeeded in achieving polio-free certification with other South-East Asia Region (SEARO) countries in 2014, efforts to eradicate polio still have to be continued. The effort is important since if the coverage of polio immunization is low, it will enable the re-emergence of polio cases in infants.

Pir Batee Puteh health center at West Woyla district is one of the health centers in West Aceh regency, which has a fairly low level of pentavalent and polio immunization coverage every year. The coverage of pentavalent and polio immunization coverage in 2016 were pentavalent-1, pentavalent-2 and pentavalent 3 were 30.6%, 33.1% and 28.8% respectively. The polio-1 immunization coverage, polio-2, polio-3 and polio-4, were 43.1%, 36.3%, 31.9% and 34.4% respectively.

This study aims to measure the differences in maternal compliance in completing basic immunization between two groups. The study will provide a group of mothers with Maternal Child Health (MCH) book and special booklet, and another group provided with an MCH book only.

Methods

This study used a quantitative quasi-experimental design with a sample of 76 mothers who had babies that not received pentavalent-1 and polio-2 immunization. The respondents were divided into two groups. One group as the intervention group provided with an MCH book and a special booklet, while the other group as the control, was only provided with the MCH book.

The development of the booklet used to improve maternal compliance in completing basic immunization for infants is as follows. Researchers developed a booklet based on a community needs analysis that aims to supplement the MCH book as a medium for immunization health education. The developed booklet content was assessed by a team from the Department of Child Health, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Padjadjaran, Bandung. While a team
from Visual Communication Design, Institut Teknologi Bandung assessed the media design. The results of the content assessment were then analyzed using Rasch modeling to produce a booklet that was suitable for use. The data in this study using SPSS with chi-square statistical tests to measure differences in maternal compliance in completing infant immunization. This research was conducted in August–October 2017 in the working area of the Pir Batee Puteh health center, West Woyla district. This study used two groups (cases and controls). Prior to the study, the two groups were given treatment, namely, the case group was given health education about immunization based on information in the MCH and booklet, while the control group was given health education about immunization based on information in the MCH book.

This research ethics is from the Health Research Ethics Committee at the Faculty of Medicine, Padjadjaran University, with the ethical approval number: 108/UN6.C.10/PN/2017.

Results

Table 1 showed the characteristic of respondents. Based on Table 1 there were no significant differences in age, education, and work in both groups (p>0.05). Thus, it can be concluded that the two groups of respondents have homogeneous characteristics.

Table 2 showed a significant difference in the improvement of maternal compliance in completing basic immunization in infants between the two groups. Compliance in the intervention group was 27 of 38 respondents compared to 17 of 38 respondents.

Discussion

The development of the booklet in this study aims to supplement the MCH book as a medium for immunization health education in order to increase maternal compliance in fulfilling the basic immunization for infants. Booklet is developed based on an analysis of community needs that provide information about immunizations and their problems. The booklet aims to supplement the information that is not available in the MCH handbook.

The results of this study showed significant differences in improving maternal compliance with basic immunization in infants.

The provision of MCH books can help

| Characteristics          |   |   | p Value |
|--------------------------|---|---|---------|
| Age                      |   |   | 0.754*  |
| Median (min–max)         |   |   |         |
| Average (SD)             |   |   |         |
| Education                |   |   | 0.956** |
| Elementary/equivalent    | 9 | 9 |         |
| Junior High Sc/equivalent| 15| 17|         |
| Senior High Sc/equivalent| 10| 9 |         |
| University/academy       | 4 | 3 |         |
| Occupation               |   |   | 0.574** |
| Work                     | 7 | 9 |         |
| Not work                 | 31| 29|         |

Note: * unpaired t test, ** chi-square test

| Compliance | Groups   | p Value |
|------------|----------|---------|
|            | Intervention (n=38) | Control (n=38) |
| Compliance | 27       | 17      | 0.02     |
| Non-compliance | 11      | 21      |          |

Note: chi-square test
mothers improve their knowledge about the benefits and schedule of immunization. A complete recording will help mothers find out the type of immunization their babies received. The distribution of MCH books, functioning as reminders of childhood immunization schedules. The book also acts as a simple health education media that is expected to increase knowledge and behavior about health, especially immunization.

The research conducted by Osaki et al. stated that the use of the MCH book increases the awareness of parents and families to complete their infant immunization. They are recorded according to a predetermined schedule so that it can significantly increase immunization coverage in infants. The use of the MCH book is beneficial in the delivery of preventive health services, such as complete immunization for children.

Other research results show that the utilization of the MCH books accompanied by health education is effective in increasing immunization compliance and reducing immunization drop out rates. The main reason for immunization dropouts is an understanding of the benefits and immunization schedules that are lacking.

Some of the results of previous studies indicate that the use of booklets in health education provides a significant increase in changes in individual behavior. The results of Bastable’s research in Moura et al. showed that learning through reading, the average information obtained only reaches 10%, while seeing can reach 20%, and by listening, it can increase by 30%. However, through booklets which combined new writing and drawing, the information obtained will be able to be absorbed to an average of 70%. A booklet provided easier for message recipients to understand the health education material. The booklet showed that it would influence changes in individual behavior.

The results of the same study conducted by Uddin et al. showed that health education interventions using easy-to-understand picture cards and very simple language were able to convey messages that could change people’s behavior. The health education intervention using visual media was successful in increasing DPT-3 immunization coverage by 39%.

In addition, there are several interventions designed to enhance changes in people’s behavior toward immunization compliance. Based on the results of research conducted by Nelson et al. showed that the study group that was given intervention with home visits, home-based vaccine health education, immunization education using visual images, and redesigned immunization cards, the complete immunization coverage increased by 19–20%.

The results of the study by Uddin et al., home-based health education interventions coupled with on-site vaccines, health promotion, and enhanced immunization programs by helping patients to understand and utilize health services succeeded in increasing complete immunization coverage by 56% during the intervention period.

The results of a study conducted by Yu et al. on heart failure patients showed a significant difference in treatment compliance between the two groups (p<0.001). Treatment compliance in the intervention group was better than the control group. The implementation of health education using booklets compiled according to patient information needs and effectively followed up by telephone can improve patient health and help patients maintain their physical, psychological, and social welfare to survive with heart failure. The use of booklets in health education is proven to improve patient adherence to treatment statistically.

The use of booklets, in addition to their low cost, is also easy to use as an educational medium that can integrate the latest evidence-based information in presenting standardized information. Henrotin et al. in their study, stated that a booklet was significantly effective in increasing patients’ knowledge both in the long term and in the short term to increase patient adherence to exercise in reducing low back pain.

Claus et al. research state that booklet increase patient confidence in avoiding fear in performing postoperative physical activity significantly. It stated that the highly effective booklet media is used as a tool to organize health promotions for professionals in providing health education to patients. The result is because the information presented in the booklet is very structured with a combination of exciting writing and drawing. The key message conveyed is very clear and objective, making it easier for the message recipients to understand the messages conveyed.

**Conclusion**

It can be concluded that there are differences in maternal compliance in completing basic immunization in infants in a group with the MCH book and booklet compare to a group with only
the MCH book.
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