Outside the Unusual Cell Wall of the Hyperthermophilic Archaeon Aeropyrum pernix K1
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In contrast to the extensively studied eukaryal and bacterial protein secretion systems, comparatively less is known about how and which proteins cross the archaeal cell membrane. To identify secreted proteins of the hyperthermophilic archaeon Aeropyrum pernix K1 we used a proteomics approach to analyze the extracellular and cell surface protein fractions. The experimentally obtained data comprising 107 proteins were compared with the in silico predicted secretome. Because of the lack of signal peptide and cellular localization prediction tools specific for archaeal species, programs trained on eukaryotic and/or Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacterial signal peptide data sets were used. PSortB Gram-negative and Gram-positive analysis predicted 21 (1.2% of total ORFs) and 24 (1.4% of total ORFs) secreted proteins, respectively, from the entire A. pernix K1 proteome, 12 of which were experimentally identified in this work. Six additional proteins were predicted to follow non-classical secretion mechanisms using SecP algorithms. According to at least one of the two PSortB predictions, 48 proteins identified in the two fractions possess an unknown localization site. In addition, more than half of the proteins do not contain signal peptides recognized by current prediction programs. This suggests that known mechanisms only partly describe archaeal protein secretion. The most striking characteristic of the secretome was the high number of transport-related proteins identified from the ATP-binding cassette (ABC), tripartite ATP-independent periplasmic, ATPase, small conductance mechanosensitive ion channel (MscS), and dicarboxylate amino acid-acid-cation symporter transporter families. In particular, identification of 21 solute-binding receptors of the ABC superfamily of the 24 predicted in silico confirms that ABC-mediated transport represents the most frequent strategy adopted by A. pernix for solute translocation across the cell membrane. Molecular & Cellular Proteomics 8:2570–2581, 2009.

The archaea are a unique group of organisms that share properties with both the eukarya and bacteria. For a long time, archaeal life was considered to be limited to extreme environments such as high temperature, alkaline and acidic hot springs, anaerobic sediments, and highly saline environments. In the last decade, by the use of the archaeal 16 S rRNA gene as a molecular marker in microbial surveys (1), numerous mesophilic species have also been detected (2). Archaea have been found frequently and sometimes closely associated with bacterial and eukaryotic host cells, including humans. One of the most intriguing aspects of archaea is their unusual barrier between the inner cell material and the cellular environment, i.e. their cell membrane. Biosynthesis of archaeal cell wall has been a subject of interest for a long time. Most of the archaeal species characterized so far have a single chemically distinct cell membrane, which differs considerably from their eukaryotic and bacterial counterparts (3). The ether-type polar lipid surface is covered by a surface layer (S-layer) composed of glycoproteins crystallized in regular two-dimensional lattices with hexagonal or tetragonal symmetry (4, 5). The structural characterization of the S-layer (6, 7) and S-layer-embedded archaeal cellular appendices such as flagella (8), pili, and hami (7, 9) associated with a diverse arsenal of cellular functions like motility, cell-cell communication, signaling, adherence, and nutrient uptake, has been the subject of an increasingly significant number of studies. Protein secretion mechanisms through this unusual cell membrane have been mainly addressed by way of comparative genomics studies (10–12) and by genomic identification and characterization of signal peptidases (13, 14). Archaeal extracellular and cell membrane proteins have been predicted because of the presence of a tripartite N-terminal signal motif essential for protein secretion and subsequently cleaved by signal peptidases from the protein (11, 14, 15). In archaea three different signal peptidases have been identified and characterized so far (13): signal peptidase I is responsible for the cleavage of secretory signal peptides from the majority of secreted proteins, class III signal peptidase is responsible for...
processing signal peptides from preflagellins and some sugar-binding proteins (11), and signal peptide peptidase is responsible for the hydrolysis of signal peptides following protein secretion. No signal peptidase II homolog in archaea has been described to date. Four distinct pathways have been proposed for archaeal protein export: the main "Sec" system, the twin arginine translocation or "Tat" pathway (12), the ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transport system (16), and the type IV prepilin-like pathway (11). Moreover, proteins without signal peptides could also be secreted by using nonspecific and/or currently unknown mechanisms. Despite the similarities in protein translocation mechanisms between the three domains of life, genome analyses also shed light on unique archaeal characteristics, suggesting that our current knowledge regarding secreted proteins and secretion mechanisms in archaea remains limited (10). It is apparent that the lack of experimental data at the proteome level has become the bottleneck for the further understanding of the existence of novel secretion mechanisms in archaea (15).

To date, the genome sequences of eight hyperthermophiles, including the crenarchaeon Aeropyrum pernix K1, have been determined. A. pernix K1, isolated from a coastal solfataraic thermal vent on the Kodakara-Jima Island in Japan (17), is the first reported obligate aerobic and neutrophilic hyperthermophilic archaean with an optimal growth temperature between 90 and 95 °C. The spherical shaped cells of A. pernix are ~1 μm in diameter, lack a rigid cell wall, and are covered by an S-layer with hexagonal symmetry. A. pernix, like other extreme thermophiles and acidophiles, possesses a particularly thick cell membrane that acts as a protective barrier, conferring to it the ability to function in the extreme environment in which it thrives. The lipids of A. pernix are different from those of anaerobic sulfur-dependent hyperthermophiles; they lack tetraether lipids and the direct linkage of inositol and sugar moieties (18). A. pernix K1 contains a 1.6-Mbp chromosome that has been sequenced; it comprises 1700 annotated genes. By using different proteomics approaches, the proteome of A. pernix K1 has recently been analyzed, leading to the identification of 704 proteins (41% of total ORFs) (19). In this work we performed proteomics analysis of the cell surface and extracellular protein fractions purified from A. pernix K1 to define proteins targeted to the cell secretome. We also analyzed the complete predicted proteome of A. pernix K1 by in silico signal peptide and cellular localization prediction tools and compared the experimentally obtained data set with the predicted secretome.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Culture Condition**—A. pernix K1 (JCM 9820) was grown at 90 °C in a 10-liter fermenter in marine broth (Difco) (37.4 g/liter) and sodium thiosulfate (10 g/liter) as described (17). Cells were harvested at the middle exponential growth phase (0.5 A_{600}). 1 liter of culture was used to prepare the two protein fractions. Cells were collected by centrifugation at 2000 × g at 4 °C for 30 min and used to prepare the surface fraction. The supernatant was filtered (0.45 μm) and used to prepare the exoprotein fraction. Three independent cultures were performed under the same conditions, and the resulting biological samples were processed independently.

**Extraction of Surface Protein Fraction**—Cells were washed in 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 6.5 and resuspended in the same buffer containing 0.7 mM PMSF. Cells were lysed by sonication (eight cycles, 15-s pulse on and 45-s pulse off) using a Soniprep (B. Braun Labsonic U) equipped with a microprobe. Unbroken cells were removed by centrifugation at 2000 × g at 4 °C for 20 min. Membranes were collected by ultracentrifugation of the supernatant at 100,000 × g at 4 °C for 45 min. The pellet was washed four times with 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 6.5; resuspended in 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 6.5; and solubilized in the presence of 1% Triton X-100 and 0.7 mM PMSF at 37 °C for 30 min. Insoluble material was removed by centrifugation at 250,000 × g at 4 °C for 15 min. The pellet was washed three times with the same buffer, and the collected supernatant containing the surface membrane proteins was harvested and extensively dialyzed against 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0 and 0.2% Triton X-100.

**Extraction of Extracellular Protein Fraction**—Proteins were precipitated from the filtered culture medium by the addition of (NH₄)₂SO₄ up to 80% saturation at 4 °C. The sample was centrifuged at 12,000 × g at 4 °C for 30 min. The precipitate was resuspended in 25 mM Tris, 192 mM glycine, and 0.1% SDS at 15 mA/gel. The gel was fixed and stained with Coomassie Brilliant Blue G-250. The resulting gel lanes were sequentially cut into 25 slices (3 mm each) manually, and protein in-gel digestion (21) was performed using 30 μl of 10% TFA in 0.1% formic acid (HCOOH) and 1% acetonitrile at 37 °C for 30 min. Membranes were collected by centrifugation at 4 °C for 45 min. The pellet was resuspended in 25 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0; extensively dialyzed against the same buffer; and concentrated by an Amicon stirred ultrafiltration cell (Millipore) using a PM-10 membrane.

**One-dimensional SDS-PAGE Separation and Peptide Extraction**—Protein concentrations were determined by the Bradford method using the Bio-Rad protein staining assay. Samples (30 μg) were dissolved in 20 μl of 125 mM Tris-HCl, pH 6.8, 4% SDS, 20% glycerol, 0.2 M DTT, and 0.02% bromophenol blue; boiled at 100 °C for 5 min; and loaded on a 12.5% polyacrylamide gel (10 × 7 cm) (20). Electrophoresis was carried out using 25 mM Tris, 192 mM glycine, and 0.1% SDS at 15 mA/gel. The gel was fixed and stained with Coomassie Brilliant Blue G-250. The resulting gel lanes were sequentially cut into 25 slices (3 mm each) manually, and protein in-gel digestion (21) was performed using 30 μl of 10% TFA in 0.1% formic acid (HCOOH) and 1% acetonitrile at 37 °C for 30 min. Solvent A was 2% ACN in 0.1% formic acid (HCOOH) and 0.025% TFA, and solvent B was 98% ACN in 0.1% HCOOH and 0.025% TFA at 300 nl/min flow rate. The following gradient was used: 5–50% B in 30 min, 50–98% B in 6 s. CID experiments were carried out in information-dependent analysis mode using nitrogen as the collision gas. Two independent nano-HPLC-ESI-MS/MS experiments were performed for each sample.

**Database Search**—Tandem mass spectra were extracted, and peak lists were generated by Analyst QS 2.0 software using the default parameters. Peak lists containing all acquired MS/MS spectra were analyzed using Mascot Server (version 2.2) and X! Tandem (The Global Proteome Machine Organization; version 2007.01.01.1). Peak lists deriving from the two independent nano-HPLC-ESI-MS/MS runs were summed into a unique peak list. Mascot Server was set up to search the NCBIInr-extracted A. pernix K1 database containing 1700 proteins.
with a low probability in the surface fractions, indicating that protein fractionations isolated in the middle exponential growth phase of A. pernix K1 in three independent experiments. Gel lanes were cut into 25 3-mm pieces for proteomics analyses. Identified proteins in the surface and exoprotein fractions are listed in Tables I and II, respectively.

Criteria for Protein Identification— Scaffold (version Scaffold_2.02.03, Proteome Software Inc., Portland, OR) was used to validate MS/MS-based peptide and protein identifications. Peptide identifications were accepted at greater than 95.0% probability as specified by the PeptideProphet algorithm (22). Protein identifications were accepted at greater than 99.0% probability if they contained at least three identified peptides.

Prediction Tools—SignalP 3.0 (23), SecretomeP 2.0 (24), TatP 1.0 (25), LipoP 1.0 (26), TMHMM 2.0 (27), PSortB v.2.0 (28), FlaFind 1.0 (11), TATFIND 1.4 (29), and Duf361-Like 1.0 (11) were used. The FASTA format of the genome-translated proteome of A. pernix K1 consisting of 1700 predicted protein sequences was used as the input.

RESULTS

Membrane Surface Proteins of A. pernix K1—Here we carried out a proteomics analysis to identify proteins present on the cell surface of A. pernix K1. Preparation of the cell surface fraction was based on the differential centrifugation and solubilization of cell membranes obtained after lysis; thus both outer and inner membrane-associated proteins were expected to be present in this fraction. In total 89 unique proteins were identified with high confidence (Fig. 1, Table I, and supplemental Table 1). S-layer protein (APE_0609.1), usually the most abundant integral membrane protein, was identified with a low probability in the surface fractions, indicating that the samples were not significantly contaminated with integral membrane proteins. A flagellin homolog, APE_1907, namely a component of the appendices embedded to the outer cell surface, could be identified.

One of the most striking features of the surface fraction was the high number of substrate-binding components of transport-related proteins belonging to the ABC and tripartite ATP-independent periplasmic (TRAP) systems (Table I and supplemental Table 1). Interestingly, four subunits of vacuolar (V)-type ATPases, namely subunits A, B, D, and E, were also identified. Evidence is growing that in the ancestor ATPase ATP hydrolysis was coupled to the transfer of RNA and/or proteins across the cell membrane (30). This theory is supported by the homology observed between ATPases (F- and V-types) and the bacterial flagellar motors secreted by type III secretion systems that are also responsible for the secretion of some ABC transporters in archaea. The following proteins, which could be putatively involved in cellular trafficking, were also expressed: hypothetical protein APE_1719.1, a putative maltose ABC transporter; proton glutamate symport protein (APE_2583.1) from the dicarboxylate amino acid-acidation (H+)-symporter secondary transporter family; small conductance mechanosensitive channel MscS protein (APE_1867.1) from the ion channel transporter family; hypothetical protein APE_0061.1; and the membrane lipoprotein APE_2592.1. The last two have a common conserved domain of the periplasmic binding protein type 1 superfamily that is related to the surface lipoprotein component of an uncharacterized ABC-type transporter.

Numerous respiratory pathway-related proteins were found in the surface fraction. Among these, the arsenite oxidase large subunit was identified. This protein has been characterized in both chemolithoautotrophic and heterotrophic bacteria as coupled to alternative respiration pathways, including nitrate reduction, and/or as involved in energy-producing redox processes (31). More intriguingly, an unexpectedly high amount of nitrate reductase complex represented by three subunits, α (APE_1288.1), β (APE_1294.1), and putative γ (APE_1297), was identified in several gel slices over the one-dimensional SDS-PAGE lanes (Fig. 1). In addition, a number of proteins putatively responsible for the regulation of aerobic cellular respiration within the cell membrane, like Rieske iron-sulfur protein, NADH dehydrogenase (subunits D and I), NuoB and NuoC homologs, succinate dehydrogenase iron-sulfur and flavoprotein subunits, were also expressed. Regarding the nitrate-reducing enzyme, there are three different systems described in prokaryotes: the cytoplasmic assimilatory (Nas), the membrane-bound anaerobic respiratory (Nar), and the periplasmic (Nap) systems (32). Most nitrate-reducing archaea use nitrate as an alternative electron acceptor in anaerobic respiration; nevertheless the nitrate reduction pathway is found also in both strict and facultative aerobic genera. In fact, Nar enzymes have been purified from denitrifying archaea such as some aerobic halophilic euryarchaeota (17).
| Protein name | Accession number | ORF | Molecular mass Da | Unique peptides | Unique spectra | Total spectra | Sequence coverage % |
|--------------|------------------|-----|------------------|----------------|-------------|-------------|------------------|
| Nitrate reductase, α subunit | gi|118431398 APE_1288.1 | 145,341.7 | 68 | 78 | 1,115 | 56.70 |
| Oligopeptide ABC transporter, oligopeptide-binding protein | gi|118431519 APE_1583.1 | 101,753.3 | 36 | 47 | 914 | 50.90 |
| ABC transporter, substrate-binding protein | gi|118431538 APE_1630.1 | 52,229.9 | 23 | 30 | 708 | 61.40 |
| Nitrate reductase, β subunit | gi|118431399 APE_1294.1 | 53,617.9 | 31 | 34 | 647 | 64.00 |
| TRAP transporter, solute-binding component | gi|118431744 APE_2136.1 | 35,017.4 | 18 | 24 | 414 | 64.10 |
| Branched chain amino acid ABC transporter, branched chain amino acid-binding protein | gi|14601070 APE_0917 | 53,601.3 | 25 | 28 | 377 | 48.00 |
| Probable ABC transporter, substrate-binding protein | gi|118431798 APE_2254.1 | 64,664.7 | 34 | 38 | 369 | 57.70 |
| Diapptide ABC transporter, dipeptide-binding protein DppA | gi|14601949 APE_2257 | 57,903.5 | 16 | 18 | 174 | 31.50 |
| V-type ATP synthase subunit A | gi|118431026 APE_0405.1 | 66,953.4 | 33 | 34 | 157 | 57.10 |
| TRAP transporter, solute-binding component | gi|118431921 APE_2545.1 | 39,903.0 | 13 | 16 | 154 | 47.70 |
| ABC transporter, substrate-binding protein | gi|118431437 APE_1390.1 | 83,897.1 | 21 | 24 | 136 | 37.80 |
| Hypothetical protein APE_0969.1 | gi|118431278 APE_0969.1 | 42,426.3 | 5 | 8 | 123 | 16.80 |
| V-type ATP synthase subunit B | gi|118430949 APE_0035.1 | 51,615.4 | 15 | 16 | 116 | 41.00 |
| ABC transporter, substrate-binding protein | gi|118431319 APE_1049.1 | 52,379.7 | 12 | 12 | 87 | 42.10 |
| Putative ABC transporter, substrate-binding protein | gi|118431910 APE_2521.1 | 47,195.2 | 15 | 19 | 81 | 46.40 |
| Branched chain amino acid ABC transporter, branched chain amino acid-binding protein | gi|118431753 APE_2153.1 | 30,551.8 | 10 | 10 | 50 | 37.30 |
| Erythrocyte band 7 integral membrane protein homolog | gi|118431889 APE_2239.1 | 82,105.9 | 16 | 16 | 27 | 23.70 |
| Cell division control protein 48, AAA family | gi|14601316 APE_1297 | 41,853.9 | 7 | 7 | 26 | 22.60 |
| Putative nitrate reductase, γ subunit | gi|118430860 APE_0061.1 | 47,933.7 | 17 | 17 | 48 | 56.20 |
| Hypothetical protein APE_0966.1 | gi|118431277 APE_0966.1 | 78,471.3 | 16 | 16 | 43 | 25.80 |
| Hypothetical protein APE_0966.1 | gi|118431267 APE_0945.1 | 44,149.2 | 7 | 9 | 42 | 24.30 |
| Phosphate ABC transporter, phosphate-binding protein | gi|14600404 APE_0045 | 40,287.3 | 11 | 11 | 47 | 39.90 |
| V-type ATP synthase subunit D | gi|118431024 APE_0402.1 | 24,695.4 | 10 | 10 | 31 | 46.40 |
| Putative dehydrogenase | gi|14602197 APE_1043.1 | 41,853.9 | 7 | 7 | 26 | 22.60 |
| Hypothetical protein APE_1719.1 | gi|118431565 APE_1719.1 | 20,363.0 | 3 | 3 | 31 | 14.20 |
| ABC transporter, substrate-binding protein | gi|118430987 APE_0304.1 | 90,222.4 | 16 | 16 | 30 | 23.80 |
| Succinate dehydrogenase flavoprotein subunit | gi|118431269 APE_0950.1 | 65,651.1 | 14 | 14 | 31 | 27.10 |
| NADH dehydrogenase subunit I | gi|118431451 APE_1419.1 | 21,306.5 | 7 | 7 | 26 | 32.30 |
| Succinate dehydrogenase iron-sulfur subunit | gi|14601092 APE_0946 | 34,672.0 | 10 | 10 | 30 | 31.50 |
| Branched chain α-keto acid dehydrogenase subunit E2 | gi|14601549 APE_1371 | 44,963.5 | 13 | 14 | 28 | 45.60 |
| Branched chain amino acid ABC transporter, ATP-binding protein | gi|14601072 APE_0921 | 26,411.1 | 10 | 10 | 20 | 49.40 |
| Flagellar homolog | gi|14601713 APE_1907 | 25,923.3 | 3 | 3 | 20 | 19.90 |
| V-type ATP synthase subunit E | gi|118431027 APE_0409.1 | 22,124.3 | 5 | 6 | 14 | 33.50 |
| Surface layer-associated protease precursor | gi|14600834 APE_0607 | 142,047.9 | 11 | 11 | 20 | 11.00 |
| Hypothetical protein APE_2352.1 | gi|118431843 APE_2352.1 | 35,202.2 | 8 | 8 | 20 | 28.70 |
| Oligopeptide ABC transporter, ATP-binding protein | gi|14601497 APE_1578 | 35,711.1 | 7 | 7 | 19 | 26.90 |
| Pyruvate dehydrogenase E1 component, β subunit | gi|14601550 APE_1674 | 36,590.0 | 7 | 7 | 13 | 24.30 |
| Branched chain amino acid ABC transporter, ATP-binding protein | gi|14601071 APE_0919 | 27,846.0 | 9 | 9 | 17 | 38.60 |
| Surface layer protein | gi|118431224 APE_0609.1 | 164,494.4 | 3 | 4 | 17 | 3.16 |
| Hypothetical protein APE_1758.1 | gi|118431578 APE_1758.1 | 53,456.3 | 10 | 11 | 16 | 29.10 |
| Hypothetical protein APE_1406.1 | gi|118431442 APE_1406.1 | 37,745.2 | 8 | 9 | 16 | 25.70 |
| Hypothetical protein APE_1117 | gi|14601190 APE_1117 | 25,867.9 | 6 | 6 | 17 | 36.80 |
To our knowledge this is the first evidence of a high level expression at the protein level of nitrate reductase during aerobic growth together with the parallel oxygen-based respiratory protein complex. The absence of the usual switch-off of the respiratory mode relying on nitrate as the electron acceptor in aerobic growth raises the question whether
A. pernix is a real, strict aerobic microorganism and/or whether the growth conditions at 90 °C can be considered aerobic. In fact, the amount of nitrate (0.02 mM) in the optimal growth medium of A. pernix cannot be considered sufficient to sustain anaerobic alternative respiration. Moreover, oxygen solubilization decreases about 10 times at 90 °C when compared with that at room temperature (from 200 to 20 μM). Therefore, the growth conditions of A. pernix can be rewarded as microaerophilic rather than strictly aerobic. More detailed studies of the respiratory modes of A. pernix, both at the transcriptome and proteome levels under different growth conditions in the alternative presence of electron acceptors, such as oxygen and nitrate, are currently underway.

Subunits of large inner membrane-associated multisubunit protein complexes like the ribosome, thermosome, and pyruvate dehydrogenase were also detected in the cell surface fraction. In particular, three subunits of 50 S ribosome, L2P, L4P, and P0, were identified. Ribosomal proteins are often considered as contaminants of membrane preparations. However, in archaia up to half of the ribosomes is known to be attached to the cell membrane by a specific interaction between the signal recognition particle and the ribosome (33, 34). Membrane-binding ribosomes are believed to have an important role in the general co-translational protein translocation system of archaia. Experimental evidence of co-translational membrane protein insertion has recently been described for Haloferax volcanii (33).

A. pernix K1 has two genes encoding the putative thermosome subunits α and β (35); the α subunit was identified in this fraction. The thermosome is known to function as part of a protein folding system in the cytoplasm and is expected to be highly expressed in A. pernix as it is in the hyperthermophilic archaean Sulfolobus shibatae. Interestingly, the two subunits have also been shown to form membrane-associated rosettasomes as well as ordered bundled filaments and have been proposed to act as a kind of membrane skeleton in S. shibatae (36). Therefore, it was supposed that the thermosome may not have been merely the consequence of cytosolic contamination.

Pyruvate dehydrogenase complex (PDHC) is a multienzyme complex consisting of multiple copies of three component enzymes. PDHC is often inner membrane-associated in mitochondria, chloroplasts, and bacteria. Two proteins of the E1 component (α and β subunits) and one from the E2 component (APE_1371) of this complex were identified.

Exoproteins of A. pernix K1—Proteins secreted into the extracellular medium in the middle exponential cellular growth phase were analyzed. A. pernix K1 secretes a relatively high amount of proteins into the culture medium (4.2 mg/liter of culture at 0.5 A600). Extracted proteins were separated by one-dimensional SDS-PAGE (Fig. 1), and the resulting 25 gel slices were subjected to nano-HPLC-ESI-MS/MS analysis. In this fraction 40 unique proteins were identified with high confidence (Table II). The relatively low number of identified proteins compared with what is usual in the exoprotein fractions of bacteria (37) and eukarya suggests that the secretome of A. pernix is less complex. There are 22 proteins that overlap with those already identified in the surface protein fraction (Table I) pertaining mainly to the molecular transport systems, i.e. the various solute-binding components of ABC and TRAP transporters, two components of an uncharacterized ABC-type transporter (APE_2592.1 and APE_0966.1), and the molybdopterin binding subunit of the molybdopterin oxidoreductase. In addition, α and β subunits of the nitrate reductase complex, surface layer protein, surface layer-associated protease, and four hypothetical proteins (APE_1117, APE_0061.1, APE_0558.1, and APE_1952.1) were identified in both fractions.

The most significant feature of the extracellular fraction (Table II) was the presence of a high number of enzymes that are mainly involved in the degradation of polypeptides. This may be particularly interesting because most archaea research is focused on the identification and characterization of specific enzymes that exhibit highly specific activity under extreme conditions. Among the most abundant proteins, two predicted proteases, protease I (APE_0319) and surface layer-associated protease, were identified. Protease I is a small, 180-amino acid-long (molecular mass, 20 kDa) protein with a type 1 glutamine amidotransferase-like domain. Type 1 glutamine amidotransferases are ATP-independent intracellular proteases that hydrolyze small peptides to provide a nutritional source. The extracellular proteolytic activity of the hyperthermophilic archaean Pyrococcus furiosus has been attributed to a homolog of type 1 glutamine amidotransferase protein (Pfpl), which has been shown to form homomultimers in solution even under denaturing conditions (38). Protease I was identified in various gel slices in the extracellular fraction but also in the cytoplasmic fraction of A. pernix (data not shown). The high expression level of this putative protease in A. pernix K1 makes it an interesting target for further enzymatic characterization. S-layer-anchored protease, on the other hand, is a high molecular mass (142-kDa) putative subtilisin serine protease that was identified in both the surface and extracellular fractions. Pernisine, another recently characterized extracellular subtilisin-like serine protease of A. pernix (39), was also identified in this work.

Acyl amino acid-releasing enzyme (AARE; APE_2290.1) liberated the N-terminal acetyl amino acid from N-acetylated peptides. It has been suggested that AARE affects the cellular processing and sorting mechanisms in eukaryotic cells. AARE has been purified and characterized from a number of archaia, including A. pernix (40).

Leucine aminopeptidase (APE_2450.1, band 1) is a probable exopeptidase. Leucine aminopeptidase is a member of the M17 family of peptidases that catalyze the removal of amino acids from the N terminus of a protein. Leucine aminopeptidase plays a key role in protein degradation. It is called leucine aminopeptidase because it reacts most rapidly at a
### Proteins identified in the exoprotein fraction of *A. pernix* K1

| Protein name | Accession number | ORF | Molecular mass | Unique peptides | Unique spectra | Total spectra | Sequence coverage |
|--------------|------------------|-----|----------------|----------------|---------------|--------------|------------------|
| Oligopeptide ABC transporter, oligopeptide-binding protein | gi|118431519 | APE_1583.1 | 101,753.3 | 67 | 85 | 1,559 | 67 |
| 5′-Methylthioadenosine phosphorylase II | gi|14601697 | APE_1885 | 30,718.8 | 20 | 20 | 42 | 70 |
| Hypothetical protein APE_1457 | gi|14601419 | APE_1457 | 19,640.5 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 18 |
| Hypothetical protein APE_1117 | gi|14601190 | APE_1117 | 25,867.9 | 26 | 28 | 164 | 94 |
| Acylamino acid-releasing enzyme | gi|118431816 | APE_2290.1 | 70,202.4 | 34 | 36 | 59 | 62 |
| Hypothetical protein APE_0061.1 | gi|118430849 | APE_0035.1 | 52,225.7 | 7 | 7 | 17 | 10 |
| ABC transporter, substrate-binding protein precursor | gi|118431437 | APE_1303.1 | 46,381.4 | 4 | 4 | 14 | 14 |
| Aldehyde dehydrogenase, large subunit | gi|118431783 | APE_2216.1 | 85,738.9 | 26 | 27 | 48 | 41 |
| Hypothetical protein APE_0558.1 | gi|118430849 | APE_0035.1 | 52,225.7 | 7 | 7 | 17 | 10 |
| ABC transporter, substrate-binding protein precursor | gi|118431437 | APE_1303.1 | 46,381.4 | 4 | 4 | 14 | 14 |
| Aldehyde dehydrogenase, large subunit | gi|118431783 | APE_2216.1 | 85,738.9 | 26 | 27 | 48 | 41 |
| Hypothetical protein APE_0558.1 | gi|118430849 | APE_0035.1 | 52,225.7 | 7 | 7 | 17 | 10 |
| ABC transporter, substrate-binding protein precursor | gi|118431437 | APE_1303.1 | 46,381.4 | 4 | 4 | 14 | 14 |
| Aldehyde dehydrogenase, large subunit | gi|118431783 | APE_2216.1 | 85,738.9 | 26 | 27 | 48 | 41 |
| Hypothetical protein APE_0558.1 | gi|118430849 | APE_0035.1 | 52,225.7 | 7 | 7 | 17 | 10 |
| ABC transporter, substrate-binding protein precursor | gi|118431437 | APE_1303.1 | 46,381.4 | 4 | 4 | 14 | 14 |
| Aldehyde dehydrogenase, large subunit | gi|118431783 | APE_2216.1 | 85,738.9 | 26 | 27 | 48 | 41 |
| Hypothetical protein APE_0558.1 | gi|118430849 | APE_0035.1 | 52,225.7 | 7 | 7 | 17 | 10 |
| ABC transporter, substrate-binding protein precursor | gi|118431437 | APE_1303.1 | 46,381.4 | 4 | 4 | 14 | 14 |
| Aldehyde dehydrogenase, large subunit | gi|118431783 | APE_2216.1 | 85,738.9 | 26 | 27 | 48 | 41 |
| Hypothetical protein APE_0558.1 | gi|118430849 | APE_0035.1 | 52,225.7 | 7 | 7 | 17 | 10 |
| ABC transporter, substrate-binding protein precursor | gi|118431437 | APE_1303.1 | 46,381.4 | 4 | 4 | 14 | 14 |
| Aldehyde dehydrogenase, large subunit | gi|118431783 | APE_2216.1 | 85,738.9 | 26 | 27 | 48 | 41 |
| Hypothetical protein APE_0558.1 | gi|118430849 | APE_0035.1 | 52,225.7 | 7 | 7 | 17 | 10 |
leucine site. The molecular mass of this enzyme is lower (52 kDa) than one can expect from its appearance on the SDS-PAGE gel. This may suggest the formation of an extremely stable multimeric assembly.

Other identified enzymes were metal-dependent hydro-lase (APE_1117), 5′-methylthioadenosine phosphorylase II (APE_1885), aspartate aminotransferase (APE_2423), Δ1-pyrroline-5-carboxylate dehydrogenase (APE_0807.1), and thiosulfate sulfurtransferase (APE_2595.1). Functional roles of most of these enzymes in archaea still await more detailed characterization.

**DISCUSSION**

*Comparison of in Silico and Proteomics Results*—The predicted *A. pernix* K1 proteome based upon the in silico translation of the completed genome (41), consisting of 1700 entries, was submitted to signal peptide and cellular localization prediction algorithms. It should be noted that the majority of these methods were trained and validated on eukaryotic and/or Gram-negative and Gram-positive prokaryotes; therefore, their applications to archaeal species by some means are limited. Results obtained are listed in supplemental Table 3 and summarized in Fig. 2.

SignalP, based on a neural network and hidden Markov model (23), was used to predict the presence of signal peptide 1 (SP1). According to eukaryotic SignalP predictions 256 proteins (corresponding to 15% of total ORFs) possess a putative secretory SP1. Considerably fewer, 102 and 116 proteins, turned out to be positive by Gram-negative and Gram-positive SignalP calculations. The 278 proteins (16%) that were positive at least for one of the three SignalP predictions were considered to be potentially exported by the Sec-dependent pathway (Fig. 2). This set includes putative membrane-bound proteins besides those secreted outside the cell wall. Putative Tat signal peptides were predicted by TatP (25) and TATFIND (29), respectively. Tat signal peptides are involved in Sec-independent protein targeting, which is dedicated to the export of folded proteins. In the Tat-dependent export, proteins harboring a distinctive N-terminal signal peptide containing the “twin arginine” amino acid motif with SRRXFLK consensus are targeted to the Tat translocase. Based on bacterially trained TatP prediction 11 proteins have a Tat motif, and 26 proteins have a potential Tat signal peptide without Tat motif. The more stringent TATFIND program, chiefly trained by using the sequences of putative haloarchaeal Tat signal peptides, predicted nine proteins of which four were already positive for TatP. Proteins that were positive for at least one of the two Tat prediction tools (16 proteins) were considered as potential substrates of the Tat translocation system (Fig. 2). To predict archaeal class III signal peptides (SP3) and their prepilin peptidase cleavage sites, FlaFind and Duf361-Like programs were used. Based on FlaFind, 18 proteins were found to have a putative SP3, whereas no protein with a DUF361-like domain was predicted in the proteome of *A. pernix* K1.

Subcellular protein localization was predicted by the open source PSortB program (28). PSortB uses support vector machine-based classification and was trained on bacterial prokaryotic systems. PSortB analyzes different aspects like homology to proteins of known localization, amino acid composition, the presence of signal peptides, sequence motifs, and transmembrane helices. The program predicts five Gram-negative (*i.e.* cytoplasm, membrane, periplasm, outer membrane, and extracellular) and four Gram-positive (*i.e.* cytoplasm, membrane, cell wall, and extracellular) subcellular localizations. Extracellular, outer membrane, periplasmic, and cell wall proteins in this work were considered as the potential secretome. Predicted localization sites of *A. pernix* K1 proteins calculated by PSortB (supplemental Table 3) are shown in Fig. 2. Only 21 secreted proteins (1.2% of total ORFs) were predicted based on Gram-negative calculations, and slightly more, 24 (1.4% of total ORFs) proteins, were predicted based on Gram-positive calculations. A high number of proteins (15 and 35% of the total ORFs) were found to have an “unknown” localization site, whereas most of the non-cytoplasmic proteins were predicted to be membrane-localized (15 and 17%) because of the identification of three or more transmembrane helices by the program.

In this work the “experimental secretome” of *A. pernix* is defined as the sum of identified exo- and outer surface proteins. The surface protein fraction analyzed, however, comprises both outer and inner surface-bound proteins and as well as some integral membrane protein contamination (89 proteins; Table I). Therefore, in the first instance, inner surface-localized proteins and proteins with more than three transmembrane helices (integral membrane proteins) were subtracted from this set. These were the seven subunits of large multisubunit protein complexes (thermosome, ribosome, and PDHC), five ATP-binding proteins from ABC transporter systems, and four integral membrane proteins (*i.e.* hypothetical protein APE_1973.1, proton glutamate symport protein, oligopeptide ABC transporter, permease protein APE_1582, and hypothetical protein APE_2435). For the 13 inner surface predicted proteins no signal peptides and transmembrane helices were identified (supplemental Table 4B).

The remaining 73 proteins identified in the surface fraction (Table I) and the 40 exoproteins identified in the exoprotein fraction (Table II) containing 91 unique proteins (supplemental Table 4A) were analyzed by the same prediction tools used for the analysis of the complete proteome. Signal peptide analysis by SignalP, TatP, TATFIND, FlaFind, and Duf361-Like programs revealed 35 proteins with SP1, five proteins with Tat signal, and two proteins with SP3 (Fig. 2 and supplemental Table 4A). The majority of proteins with SP1 are components of the ABC and TRAP transporter families. Five proteins identified with Tat motifs are the nitrate reductase α subunit; the Riske iron-sulfur protein; the molybdopterin binding subunit of molybdopterin oxidoreductase; the hypothetical protein APE_0061.1, which is a cell surface-located putative ABC
sugar transporter subunit; and the MRP/NBP35 family protein (ABC transporter nucleotide-binding protein, surface fraction). The two SP3-bearing proteins (identified also as SP1), i.e. the spermidine/putrescine-binding protein and an “unknown” substrate-binding protein, are also components of ABC transporters. It should be noted that 50 proteins of the experimental secretome do not bear signal peptides recognized by these programs. These in part could be highly abundant cytoplasmic proteins contaminating the sample and in part proteins exported without a signal peptide and/or currently unknown mechanisms or both. By comparing the A. pernix K1 experimental secretome with that predicted in silico by PSortB (Fig. 2) 11 and 6 proteins of 21 and 24 predicted by the Gram-negative and -positive algorithms, respectively, were identified. This indicates that the PSortB gram-negative program could be more appropriate for archaeal secretome prediction. Twelve experimentally identified proteins were found to be secreted for at least one of the two PSortB analyses, and 13 were found to be secreted by non-classical mechanisms (SecP prediction; supplemental Table 4). Altogether, there are 18 proteins in the experimental data set predicted to be secreted using classical or non-classical mechanisms. By
| Protein name                                      | Accession number | ORF Family ID | Transporter type | TMS | SP | Sample | Substrate                  |
|--------------------------------------------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|-----|----|--------|----------------------------|
| FeS assembly protein SuB                         | gi14601570       | APE_1703     | ABC              | ATP-dependent | 0  | ND     |                            |
| ABC transporter, SBP                             | gi118430849      | APE_0035.1   | ABC              | ATP-dependent | 0  | SP1    | S, E Sugar                 |
| Hypothetical protein APE_0558.1                 | gi118431107      | APE_0558.1   | ABC              | ATP-dependent | 0  | SP1    | S, E Branched chain amino acid |
| ABC transporter, SBP                             | gi118431555      | APE_1688.1   | ABC              | ATP-dependent | 0  | S      | Fe(II) Phosphate           |
| Phosphate ABC transporter, phosphate-binding protein | gi14600404     | APE_0045     | ABC              | ATP-dependent | 1  | SP1    | S, E Phosphate             |
| Hypothetical protein APE_0061.1                 | gi118430860      | APE_0061.1   | ABC              | ATP-dependent | 1  | SP1, TatP | S, E Sugar                 |
| Molybdate ABC transporter, molybdate-binding protein | gi118430965     | APE_0272.1   | ABC              | ATP-dependent | 1  | SP1    | ND Molybdenum              |
| ABC transporter, SBP                             | gi118430987      | APE_0304.1   | ABC              | ATP-dependent | 1  | TatP   | S, E Oligopeptide          |
| ABC transporter, SBP                             | gi118431093      | APE_0531.1   | ABC              | ATP-dependent | 1  | SP1    | ND Zn/c/manganese ion      |
| Putative ABC transporter, SBP                   | gi118431313      | APE_1049.1   | ABC              | ATP-dependent | 1  | SP1    | S Fe(II) dicitrate         |
| ABC transporter, SBP                             | gi118431402      | APE_1303.1   | ABC              | ATP-dependent | 1  | SP1    | S Branched chain amino acid |
| ABC transporter, SBP                             | gi118431437      | APE_1390.1   | ABC              | ATP-dependent | 1  | SP1    | E, S Dipptide              |
| ABC transporter, SBP                             | gi118431538      | APE_1630.1   | ABC              | ATP-dependent | 1  | SP1, FlaFind | S Sugar                   |
| ABC transporter, SBP                             | gi14601589       | APE_1728     | ABC              | ATP-dependent | 1  | SP1    | E Fe(II)/spermidine/putrescine |
| ABC transporter, SBP                             | gi118431638      | APE_1893.1   | ABC              | ATP-dependent | 1  | SP1    | S Glutamime                |
| ABC transporter, SBP                             | gi118431798      | APE_2254.1   | ABC              | ATP-dependent | 1  | SP1    | E, S Sugar                 |
| ABC transporter, dipeptide-binding protein       | gi14601949       | APE_2257     | ABC              | ATP-dependent | 1  | SP1    | E, S Dipptide/oligopeptide |
| ABC transporter, branched chain amino acid-binding protein | gi118431910     | APE_2521.1   | ABC              | ATP-dependent | 1  | SP1    | S, E Branched chain amino acid |
| Membrane lipoprotein family protein              | gi118431933      | APE_2592.1   | ABC              | ATP-dependent | 1  | SP1    | E, S Ribose                |
| ABC transporter, branched chain amino acid-binding protein | gi14601070    | APE_0917     | ABC              | ATP-dependent | 2  | SP1    | E, S Branched chain amino acid |
| Transporter, spermidine/putrescine-binding protein | gi118431267     | APE_0945.1   | ABC              | ATP-dependent | 2  | SP1, FlaFind | S Spermidine/putrescine |
| ABC transporter, permease protein                | gi14601702       | APE_1892     | ABC              | ATP-dependent | 3  | ND     | Glutamine                  |
| MRP/NBP35 family protein nucleotide-binding domain | gi118430945     | APE_0230.1   | ABC              | ATP-dependent | 0  | TatP   | S Nucleotide               |
| ABC transporter, oligopeptide-binding protein    | gi118431519      | APE_1583.1   | ABC              | ATP-dependent | 2  | SP1    | E, S Oligopeptide          |
| Arsenical pump-driving ATPase                    | gi14601886       | APE_2165     | ArsAB            | ATP-dependent | 0  | ND     | Arsinite (ArsA homolog)    |
| Arsenical pump-driving ATPase                    | gi118431763      | APE_2164.1   | ArsAB            | ATP-dependent | 0  | ND     | Arsinite (ArsA homolog)    |
| V-type ATP synthase subunit D                   | gi118431024      | APE_0402.1   | F ATPase         | ATP-dependent | 0  | S      | Protons                    |
| V-type ATP synthase subunit A                   | gi118431026      | APE_0405.1   | F ATPase         | ATP-dependent | 0  | S      | Protons                    |
| V-type ATP synthase subunit B                   | gi118431025      | APE_0404.1   | F ATPase         | ATP-dependent | 0  | S      | Protons                    |
| V-type ATP synthase subunit K                   | gi118431830      | APE_2326.1   | F ATPase         | ATP-dependent | 3  | SP1    | ND Protons                 |
| Hypothetical protein APE_1552                   | gi14601479       | APE_1552     | CIC              | Secondary transporter | 3  | SP1    | ND Chloride ion            |
| Twin arginine-targeting protein translocase     | gi118431755      | APE_2154a    | Tat              | Secondary transporter | 1  | SP1    | ND Protein export          |
| Twin arginine-targeting protein translocase     | gi118431746      | APE_2139a    | Tat              | Secondary transporter | 1  | SP1    | ND Protein export          |
| TRAP transporter, solute-binding component      | gi118431921      | APE_2545.1   | TRAP T           | Secondary transporter | 0  | SP1    | S C2-Dicarboxylate         |
| TRAP transporter, solute-binding component      | gi118431744      | APE_2136.1   | TRAP T           | Secondary transporter | 1  | SP1    | E, S C2-Dicarboxylate      |
| Putative mercury ion-binding protein             | gi146030838      | APE_0009.1   | MerTP            | Unclassified       | 0  | ND     | Mercury                    |
| Small conductance mechanosensitive channel       | gi118431624      | APE_1867.1   | MiscS            | Ion channels       | 3  | ND     | S Ion channel              |

*a* Family ID and transporter type according to the transporter classification system.

*b* Transmembrane segment calculated using TMHMM Server v.2.0.

*c* Signal peptide determined using SignalP, TatP, TATFIND, FlaFind, and Duf361-Like programs.

*d* S and E indicate the outer surface and exoprotein fractions, respectively, where the protein was identified. ND, not detected.

*e* These proteins are not present in TransportDB database.
reanalyzing all the identified proteins including the transmembrane and inner membrane-associated proteins (supplemental Table 4, A and B), 48 proteins (corresponding to 53% of the proteins identified in this work), however, had an unknown localization site for at least one of the two PSortB predictions, suggesting that unknown mechanisms are likely involved in archaeal protein secretion.

Cell Surface-exposed Subunits of Membrane Transporters in the Proteome of A. pernix K1—Membrane transport and outer membrane channel proteins carry ions and uncharged molecules of different chemical natures and of various sizes across the cell membrane. Carrier proteins and protein complexes identified in various organisms to date differ in membrane topology, energy coupling mechanism, and substrate specificity. In this work we analyzed the genome predicted membrane transporters of A. pernix K1 with particular attention to their solute-binding protein (SBP) components reported in the on-line accessible Transport DB database (42). By analyzing all the protein components of putative transporters (155 proteins), 37 membrane surface-exposed proteins possessing fewer than four transmembrane domains could be identified, and these are listed in Table III.

As the present study addressed the exoprotein and cell surface fractions, mainly the detection of the extracytoplasmic, cell surface-associated solute-binding receptors of transporters was expected. By analysis of the experimentally obtained secretome (91 proteins), we identified 26 transporter proteins (Table III). These belong to four transporter families: TRAP-T (two proteins), F-ATPase (two proteins), small conductance mechanosensitive ion channel (MscS) (one protein), and ABC (21 proteins). Proteins comprising the major part of these (21 of 24 predicted) are SBPs of the ABC superfamily, confirming that ABC-mediated transport represents the most frequent strategy adopted by A. pernix for solute translocation across the cell membrane. The high number of ABC SBPs in these samples also implies that the vast majority of the putative SBPs of ABC transporter systems were expressed under the culture conditions applied in this work. The majority of identified transporters possess signal peptides recognized by at least one of the signal peptide recognition programs used (supplemental Table 4). The most frequently occurring signal peptide is SP1, which suggests that Sec is the most extensively used secretion mechanism for SBPs of A. pernix K1. Two proteins with Tat motifs are hypothetical protein APE_0061.1 and the MRP/NBP35 family protein nucleotide-binding domain. SBP components of two ABC transporters with SP3, according to FlaFind prediction, could also be identified: spermidine/putrescine-binding protein precursor and ABC transporter substrate-binding protein. These proteins are secreted by the use of the type IV prepilin-like pathway. More interestingly, one ABC transporter solute-binding protein (APE_1688.1) and two members of the H+- or Na+-translocating V-type ATPase (APE_0404.1 and APE_0405.1) identified in the surface fraction did not possess signal peptides that could be identified by the prediction programs used.

In summary, by using an optimized membrane surface/ extracellular protein purification procedure, SDS-PAGE separation, and nano-HPLC-ESI-MS/MS analysis, we identified 40 and 89 proteins in the exoprotein and surface fractions, respectively, of A. pernix K1. The membrane and inner membrane surface-associated proteins were subtracted from this set leading to formation of the experimental secretome containing 91 unique proteins that were then compared with the in silico predicted secretome. The analysis points out that secretion mechanisms in archaea can be only partially described by currently known mechanisms. Therefore, the experimentally determined protein data set may constitute a step forward for the setup of new archaea-specific prediction tools. In addition, in the exoprotein fraction a diverse arsenal of enzymes was expressed. Purification and enzymatic characterization of some of these are potentially interesting because of the hyperthermophilic nature of A. pernix.
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