Regulatory T Cell Heterogeneity in the Thymus: Impact on Their Functional Activities
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Foxp3+ regulatory T cells (Treg) maintain the integrity of the organism by preventing excessive immune responses. These cells protect against autoimmune diseases but are also important regulators of other immune responses including inflammation, allergy, infection, and tumors. Furthermore, they exert non-immune functions such as tissue repair and regeneration. In the periphery, Foxp3+ Treg have emerged as a highly heterogeneous cell population with distinct molecular and functional properties. Foxp3+ Treg mainly develop within the thymus where they receive instructive signals for their differentiation. Recent studies have revealed that thymic Treg are also heterogeneous with two distinct precursors that give rise to mature Foxp3+ Treg exhibiting non-overlapping regulatory activities characterized by a differential ability to control different types of autoimmune reactions. Furthermore, the thymic Treg cell pool is not only composed of newly developing Treg, but also contain a large fraction of recirculating peripheral cells.

Here, we review the two pathways of thymic Treg cell differentiation and their potential impact on Treg activity in the periphery. We also summarize our current knowledge on recirculating peripheral Treg in the thymus.
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INTRODUCTION

CD4+Foxp3+ regulatory T cells (Treg) crucially maintain immune tolerance. These cells are endowed with the unique ability to protect from life-threatening autoimmune reactions and dampen inflammatory responses. The absence of Foxp3+ Treg in IPEX (Immune dysregulation, Polyendocrinopathy, Enteropathy, X-linked syndrome) patients, and scurfy mice results in a severe lymphoproliferation and multi-organ autoimmunity (1–4). Treg cells are also involved in numerous physio-pathological processes such as foeto-maternal tolerance, allergy, anti-tumoral immunity, tissue homeostasis, and repair (5, 6). This large array of functions implies a division of labor by several Treg subsets. This heterogeneity is illustrated by the central Treg (cTreg)/effector Treg (eTreg) dichotomy (7). cTreg are found in lymphoid organs and depend on IL-2, whereas eTreg populate non-lymphoid tissues and depend on TCR signals for their proliferation. Similarly to conventional CD4+ T helper (Th) cells, the cytokine microenvironment induces the polarization of Treg into Th1-, Th2-, Th17-, and follicular Treg (Tfr)-like cells, showing distinct chemokine receptor expression patterns (8). This polarization allows Treg to specifically antagonize their conventional CD4+ Th counterparts.
The majority of Foxp3+ Treg develop in the thymus (tTreg) although conventional CD4+ T cells can also convert in the periphery into Foxp3+ Treg under certain conditions (pTreg) (9). Several precursors including CD25+Foxp3− cells, recent thymic emigrants (RTE) and FR4hiCD73bi cells have been proposed to differentiate into pTreg, which have both overlapping and unique TCR repertoire and functions compared to tTreg (9–17). Thymic development of Treg cells was initially suggested by seminal work during the 1960’s describing generalized autoimmune manifestations in neonatal thymectomies, before day 3 but not later (18, 19). Further works demonstrated that suppressive T cells exerting a dominant tolerance develop during this neonatal period in the thymus and were called “regulatory T cells” (20–24). Thymic Treg cells represent only ~2–3% of developing CD4+ single positive (SP) thymocytes. Their development is driven by strong TCR stimulation coupled to the CD28 co-stimulatory signal. A third signal mediated by strong TCR stimulation coupled to the CD28 co-stimulatory signaling. It relies on a “two-step model” in which strong agonist TCR signals in CD25+ cells exerting a dominant tolerance develop during this neonatal period in the thymus and were called “regulatory T cells” (20–24). Thymic Treg cells represent only ~2–3% of developing CD4+ single positive (SP) thymocytes. Their development is driven by strong TCR stimulation coupled to the CD28 co-stimulatory signal. A third signal mediated by strong TCR stimulation coupled to the CD28 co-stimulatory signal.

Recent advances have revealed that thymic Treg constitute a more diverse and dynamic population than previously thought. Emerging evidences indicate that the phenotypically and functional diversity of Treg could be imprinted during their ontogeny in the thymus. In this review, we discuss our current knowledge on the two identified precursors leading to the development of mature Treg, showing distinct regulatory activities. We also highlight a new population of mature Treg recirculating from the periphery into the thymus.

**DISTINCT THYMIC FOXP3+ TREG SUBSETS GENERATED BY TWO DEVELOPMENTAL PATHWAYS**

T-cell fate decision toward clonal deletion (also called negative selection) or the diversion into the Treg or T conventional cell lineage is dictated by TCR affinity and/or avidity for self-peptides presented by thymic antigen presenting cells [APC (26)]. The relative contributions of the different APC subsets such as medullary thymic epithelial cells (mTEC), cortical TEC (cTEC), B cells, conventional, and plasmacytoid dendritic cells (DC) in T-cell fate decision remain poorly understood although bone marrow-derived APC and mTEC seem to play non-overlapping roles in shaping the TCR repertoire (27). The introduction of a cognate antigen in TCR transgenic mice leads to both clonal T-cell deletion and Treg induction, indicating that the diversion into the Treg cell lineage is also induced by the recognition of agonist self-peptide (28). Treg thus show a highly self-reactive TCR repertoire, distinct from conventional T cells, which contributes to their functional diversity (29–32). The prevailing paradigm of Treg development is based on the integration of signals mediated by TCR/CD28 stimulation and cytokine signaling. It relies on a “two-step model” in which strong agonist TCR signals in CD25+Foxp3− CD4+ SP thymocytes results in the induction of CD25 (also known as IL-2Rα) and thus in the development of CD25+Foxp3− Treg precursors (CD25+ TregP) (step one) (Figure 1). However, the TCR signal strength required to select Treg was described to be lower than that leading to clonal deletion of CD4+ SP thymocytes (30, 33). This could be due to a lower intrinsic TCR affinity or to the limited expression of the cognate antigen by APC, thus reducing multiple antigen encounters (34, 35). The CD28-CD80/86 costimulatory axis is also essential for Treg development from their precursor stage (36–38). This is well illustrated in Cd28−/− and Cd80−/− × Cd86−/− mice showing impaired generation of CD25+ TregP and a severe defect in mature Treg. Furthermore, the TCR signaling pathway in association with the CD28 co-stimulation signal drives the upregulation of tumor-necrosis factor receptor superfamily (TNFRSF) members such as GITR, OX40, and TNFR2 in CD25+ TregP (39). The CD28 co-stimulation in developing thymocytes has also been reported to induce Foxp3 expression (40).

The second step of Treg development that drives the maturation of CD25+ TregP into mature CD25+Foxp3+ Treg depends on γ-chain cytokines, in particular IL-2 and/or IL-15 signaling (Figure 1) (41–43). Mice deficient for the IL-2rγ chain, which is shared by IL-2,-4,-7,-9,-15, and -21 receptors, lack this cell type (42, 44). However, mice deficient for IL-2 or IL-2rα display only half of Foxp3+ Treg, indicating that IL-2 plays a major role in Treg development (44). Furthermore, mice lacking the IL-2rβ chain, shared by IL-2 and IL-15 receptors, were also found to almost lack mature Treg (42, 44, 45). Accordingly, the generation of IL-2 × IL-15 double-deficient mice has revealed a similar Treg defect as that observed in Il2rβ−/− mice, indicating that IL-15 is also implicated in Treg cell development (42, 46, 47). These two cytokines promote survival and thus rescue Treg from the pro-apoptotic effects of Foxp3 (48). In addition, the stimulation of GITR, OX40, or TNFR2 participates in this second step by enhancing the conversion of CD25+ TregP into mature Treg through an increase responsiveness to IL-2 (39).

Recent advances have shed new light on the cellular source of IL-2 and IL-15 cytokines. DC have been initially proposed to constitute a privileged developmental niche for Treg cell generation by providing both cognate self-antigens and IL-2 (49). However, this concept has been recently challenged. Although IL-2 can be produced by thymic DC, the selective ablation of the Il2 gene in DC and T cells in the absence of IL-15 revealed that only IL-2 provided by T cells severely impairs Treg development (50). Accordingly, IL-2 production was more precisely assigned to self-reactive CD4+ thymocytes, including Treg precursors (51). These observations suggest that the size of the Treg cell population is regulated by self-reactive thymocytes through IL-2 production. In contrast to IL-2, IL-15 is provided by stromal cells, mainly TEC including cTEC and mTEC expressing both low and high levels of MHC class II molecules (46, 52, 53).

A second developmental pathway has recently emerged based on the identification of another Treg precursor subset expressing low level of Foxp3 and lacking CD25 cell surface expression (Foxp3lo TregP) (46, 54). Similarly to CD25+ TregP, a substantial proportion of Foxp3lo TregP differentiates into mature Treg upon intrathymic transfer. These observations indicate that both CD25+ and Foxp3lo TregP contribute to the generation of the mature Treg pool. Foxp3lo TregP seems to depend on IL-15 for their survival, and then on IL-2 to fully differentiate into mature...
Treg cells whereas CD25\(^+\) TregP likely depend on IL-2 for their development. Treg cells are thus generated via two distinct developmental programs involving CD25\(^+\) and Foxp3\(^{lo}\) TregP (54) (Figure 1). CD25\(^+\) TregP show a higher affinity for self-antigens than Foxp3\(^{lo}\) TregP. Accordingly, these two precursors exhibit a distinct TCR repertoire and thus make unique contribution to the mature Treg TCR repertoire. Furthermore, they have distinct transcriptomes, regulated by different signaling pathways and enhancers. The NFκB signaling pathway and the Foxp3 regulatory element Cns3 were found to be required for the development of Foxp3\(^{lo}\) TregP but dispensable for CD25\(^+\) TregP. Interestingly, although these two precursors can give rise to mature Treg, they have distinct functional capacities. Treg derived from CD25\(^+\) TregP protect from experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis, whereas those derived from Foxp3\(^{lo}\) TregP protect from T-cell induced colitis. These observations open new perspectives to treat autoimmune disorders by Treg-cell based therapy, which is currently extremely promising in clinical trials (55). Moreover, single-cell transcriptomic analysis suggests the presence of CD25\(^+\) and Foxp3\(^{lo}\) TregP in the human
thymus (56), indicating conserved developmental pathways, although further investigations are required.

The discovery of these two Treg cell precursors raises the question about the existence of a common or a distinct pre-precursor. It has been recently proposed that CD4+GITR+CD122+CD25−Foxp3+ cells represent a Treg pre-precursor population, which remains to be clearly established (57, 58). Furthermore, CD25−Foxp3lo cells seem to be at a more mature stage than CD25+Foxp3lo cells based on their CD24+Qa2hi phenotype or their "thymic age" measured by their green fluorescent protein (GFP) expression level using transgenic mice expressing GFP under the recombination-activating gene 2 promoter (Rag2-GFP model) (59). These observations could be due to a later emergence of these cells during T-cell development or by the presence of mature developing Treg among this population as suggested by other experiments (60). In accordance with this latter hypothesis, a substantial fraction of RTE and functional peripheral Treg are CD25−Foxp3hi cells (7, 46, 61). Thus, further investigations are required to better define the cellular composition of the CD25−Foxp3lo compartment.

Two mature Treg cell subsets were also identified based on the expression level of CD25, GITR, and PD-1 and were defined as GITRloPD-1loCD25lo (Triplelo) and GITRhiPD-1hiCD25hi (Triplehi) cells (61). These cells develop within the thymus and show a distinct TCR repertoire with different affinities for self-antigens with Triplehi Treg being more self-reactive than Triplelo Treg. Interestingly, Triplehi Treg control the lymphoproliferation in peripheral lymph nodes, suggesting an ability to protect against auto-immune disorders, whereas Triplelo Treg limit the development of colitis by promoting the conversion of conventional CD4+ T cells into pTreg. Nevertheless, their respective relationship with CD25+ and Foxp3lo TregP remains to be established. Therefore, these recent advances on Treg development have revealed a developmentally regulated heterogeneity in the thymic Treg population (Figure 1). These findings thus indicate that the thymus generates multiple Treg subsets with non-overlapping regulatory activities.

HETEROGENEITY AND FUNCTION OF RECIRCULATING THYMIC TREG CELLS

Although thymic Treg were thought to represent a homogenous population of developing cells for a long time, several recent studies have described the presence of mature cells derived from the periphery or in retention upon their development in the thymus. The homing of mature peripheral Treg into the thymus was initially reported in the context of parabiosis experiments and lymphopenic mice (62, 63). The generation of Rag2-GFP mice was particularly useful to distinguish developing Rag2-GFP+ Treg and older Rag2-GFP+ Treg (59). After positive selection, the expression of the Rag2 gene stops and GFP undergoes exponential decay with a half-life of ~56 h (64). Using this model, a consequent fraction of thymic Treg were found to be Rag2-GFP− cells (65). It was later demonstrated that these cells contain peripheral Treg migrating back to their organ of origin (Figure 2) (66, 67). Some Rag2-GFP− Treg can also correspond to resident cells that have never left the thymus, making more complex the heterogeneity of this mature Treg population (68). Interestingly, mature Rag2-GFP− Treg represent around half of the thymic Treg pool in 8 week-old B6 mice, and almost their totality in 6 month-old B6 mice, unraveling an age-dependent accumulation of these cells within the thymus (66, 68). These cells display an activated and effector phenotype, which is required for their migration into the thymus (69). Their trafficking is likely directed by CXC4/CXCL12 and CCR6/CCL20 chemokine axes (66, 70). CCL20 is mainly produced by mTEC under the control of the transcription factor Aire (Autoimmune regulator), which is crucial for the establishment of T-cell tolerance (71).

The CCR6/CCL20 axis could thus be responsible of the almost exclusive medullary localization of recirculating Treg (69, 70). It was recently described that the IL-18 stimulation of peripheral IL-18R-expressing Treg allows the expression of CCR6 that favors their recirculation into the thymus (72). In addition to CCR6, CD73 was found to discriminate mature Rag2-GFP− Treg from newly produced Rag2-GFP+ Treg (54, 69). Recirculating mature Treg can thus be identified as CCR6+CD73lo in the absence of Rag2-GFP transgenic reporter mice (Figure 2).

However, is this recirculation an epiphenomenon or do these cells have a function in the thymus? The medullary localization, the effector phenotype and the accumulation of mature Rag2-GFP− Treg during aging that occurs concomitantly with the reduced development of Rag2-GFP+ Treg suggests a suppressive function of these cells. In line with this hypothesis, the addition of mature Treg either to fetal thymus organ cultures (FTOC) or adult thymic tissue slices inhibits de novo Treg production by consuming locally available IL-2 (49, 66). Accordingly, an increase in Treg development is observed if the amount of recirculating Treg is naturally (different mouse strains) or artificially (peripheral CD4+ T-cell depletion) diminished (69). Thus, the thymic Treg niche seems to be stable with age, but dynamic in its composition and regulated by the amount of mature Treg that repopulate the thymus. This feedback loop regulation of Treg production by recirculating cells has been recently challenged by observations made in Aire−/−, Ccr6−/−, and Il18r1−/− mice, which all show a decrease in Treg recirculation with no obvious impact on de novo generated Treg cells (70, 72). These observations could question the dynamic balance between developing and recirculating Treg. However, Treg recirculation is only partially abrogated in these mice and it cannot be excluded that the remaining recirculating mature Treg cells are able to inhibit Treg production. Furthermore, since numbers of Aire+ mTEC were reported to influence antigen-mediated Treg selection, the expected stronger Treg development in Aire−/− mice could be hidden by impaired basal Treg induction, which was described in neonatal mice lacking recirculating Treg (73). Conversely, mice deficient for OPG (osteoprotegerin; encoded by Tnfsf11b), the soluble decoy receptor for RANKL (receptor activator of nuclear factor-κB ligand; encoded by Tnfsf11), which controls the development of Aire+ mTEC, show an increase Treg recirculation with no defect in de novo Treg production (74). Nevertheless, the strong inhibition by recirculating Treg in these mice could be
counterbalanced by an increased development of de novo Treg mediated by exacerbated Aire⁺ mTEC numbers (27, 75–77). Further studies are definitely required to clarify the impact of peripheral Treg on de novo Treg production. The generation of transgenic mice either fully lacking recirculating Treg, or with adjustable amount of recirculating Treg into the thymus, is expected to clarify this issue.

Since Treg promote the homeostasis of several other tissues such as skeletal muscle, visceral adipose tissue, lung, central nervous system, and skin (5, 6), recirculating Treg could also participate in thymus homeostasis. In particular, the crosstalk with CD4⁺ SP thymocytes controls the development of Aire⁺ mTEC that are responsible for peripheral Treg re-entry into the thymus (78, 79). Since recirculating Treg express RANKL and that the development of Aire⁺ mTEC is mediated by the RANK-RANKL signaling pathway (75, 79–81), it would be of special interest to define whether recirculating Treg are in turn implicated in the regulation of Aire⁺ mTEC cellularity or other stromal cells throughout lifespan. In line with this hypothesis, excessive RANK signaling driven by activated CD4⁺ T cells has been reported to enhance Aire⁺ mTEC development and in fine promote the exhaustion of epithelial progenitors (82). Further investigations are required to evaluate the function of mature recirculating Treg in the thymus. One important step before is undoubtedly to better characterize the cell subsets that reside within the recirculating mature Treg compartment. Similarly to developing Treg, a heterogeneity is emerging among recirculating mature Treg. Two subsets, IL-18R⁺, and IL-18R⁻ cells, the latter showing molecular similarities with tissue-resident effector Treg, have been described (72). Based on the differential expression of the decoy receptor for the inflammatory cytokine IL-1, IL-1R2⁻, and IL-1R2⁺ recirculating Treg have been also reported with IL-1R2⁺ Treg protecting Treg development under inflammatory conditions by quenching IL-1 (83). Furthermore, the Triple⁺ and Triple⁻ subsets described in the developing Treg compartment were also observed among recirculating Treg (61). However, the relationship between IL-18R⁻, IL-18R⁺, IL-1R2⁻, IL-1R2⁺, Triple⁺, and Triple⁻ subsets in recirculating Treg remain to be determined. Interestingly, high-throughput RNA sequencing on the bulk of Rag2-GFP⁻ Treg revealed a high expression for many genes specific of Th1-, Th2-, Th17-, Th1-like Treg and markers found in adipose- and muscle-tissue Treg (Figure 2) (66). These observations suggest a greater heterogeneity in the Rag2-GFP⁻ Treg compartment than currently described. Single cell transcriptomic analysis of recirculating Treg is expected to shed new light on the composition of distinct effector cells that resides in this compartment. Interestingly, peripheral Treg also likely migrate back to the thymus in humans, indicative of a conserved mechanism. These cells, identified as CD31⁺, a marker expressed by developing thymocytes and RTE and downregulated during peripheral maturation, display an activated and differentiated phenotype (66). Further investigations are now required to better understand human mature Treg heterogeneity and functionality.
CONCLUDING REMARKS

Recent advances have highlighted an unsuspected heterogeneity in the thymic Treg cell population, including in developing and recirculating Treg. Given that at least two precursors give rise to several subsets of mature Foxp3\(^+\) Treg, with distinct abilities to suppress autoimmunity, it would be not surprising that a functional imprinting is acquired from Treg cell development in the thymus. Beyond the TCR repertoire, this imprinting could be driven in specific niches providing different molecular and cellular cues such as cytokines, TNFRSF signals, and APC subsets. A heterogeneity in the recirculating Treg compartment is also currently emerging, opening new interesting questions on their tissue origin and their respective roles in the thymic function. Furthermore, it remains to be determined whether different recirculating subsets could interact with distinct stromal cells and regulate the thymic selection, not only of Treg, but also of conventional and unconventional T cells. Moreover, recirculating Treg could participate to the homeostasis of the thymus similarly to their peripheral counterparts. Finally, future investigations aimed at deciphering the developmental pathways of specific functional Treg subsets are expected to elaborate personalized Treg therapies in the context of auto-immunity, inflammation, and regenerative medicine.
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