Coronoidectomy for reduction of superolateral dislocation of mandible condyle
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Abstract (J Korean Assoc Oral Maxillofac Surg 2022;48:182-187)
Superolateral dislocation of the condyle is a rare mandibular fracture. The treatment goal is to return the dislocated condyle to its original position to recover normal function. This study reports on superolateral dislocation of the condyle with mandibular body fracture. The mandibular body was completely separated, and the medial pole of the condyle head was fractured. The condyle segment was unstable and easily dislocated after reduction. The temporalis muscle on the condyle segment might have affected the dislocation of the condyle. A coronoidectomy was performed to disrupt the function of the temporalis muscle on the condyle segment in order to successfully reduce the dislocated condyle. Coronoidectomy is a simple procedure with minimal complications. We successfully performed a coronoidectomy to reduce the superolateral displaced condyle to its original position to achieve normal function. Coronoidectomy can be effectively used for reduction of superolaterally displaced condyles combined with severe maxilla-mandibular fractures.
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I. Introduction
Mandibular condyle fracture and condyle dislocation can occur in the superior, medial, lateral, anterior, and posterior directions1. Anterior and medial condyle dislocations commonly occur due to the pull of the lateral pterygoid muscle, while superior and lateral condyle dislocations occur less frequently1. Superolateral condyle dislocation has been rarely reported because the mandibular condyle is surrounded by the temporomandibular joint (TMJ) structure including the capsule, ligament, disc, and masticatory muscles2,3. Lateral condyle dislocations were classified by Allen and Young4 as Type I, which is a lateral subluxation, and Type II, which is complete dislocation of the condyle from the glenoid fossa. Due to its rarity, management of dislocated condyles vary depending on the type of dislocation, clinical features, and trauma severity5.
Coronoidectomy involves removal of the mandibular coronoid process that attaches to the temporalis muscle and tendon. It is performed to treat mouth opening restrictions as a result of submucous fibrosis, TMJ ankylosis, and coronoid process hyperplasia or to treat infratemporal space abscess6-8. Coronoid process hyperplasia is related to hyperactivity of the temporalis muscle and plays an important role in elevation of the mandible with the masseter and medial pterygoid muscles and restricts mandibular movement. Removal of the coronoid process from the mandible is used to treat restricted mouth opening9. In coronoidectomy, the temporalis muscle is separated from the coronoid process, and the mandible is freed from temporalis muscle action. Coronoidectomy and separation of the temporalis muscle from the mandible have excellent clinical efficacy for improving mouth opening and movement10.
This study describes superolateral dislocation of the mandibular condyle concomitant with head and body fractures.
For proper reduction of the medial mandibular segment, we conducted a coronoidectomy for downward traction of the condyle segment and rigid fixation on the mandibular symphysis and body area. This study reports unusual cases of superolateral dislocations of the mandibular condyle and introduces coronoidectomy as a reduction technique for superolaterally dislocated condyles.

II. Cases Report

1. Case 1

A 50-year-old male patient was admitted to the traumatic intensive care unit after a traffic accident. He had multiple facial, right side rib, and foot traumas and was referred to the department of oral and maxillofacial surgery for treatment of panfacial injuries. During the clinical examination, severe facial and neck edema was observed, and the patient’s jaw movement was restricted. Due to severe neck swelling, endotracheal intubation was performed. Both mandibular segments were separated in the symphysis area and easily mobile. The right mandibular segment was deviated to the right side, and a bony protuberance was palpated on the right preauricular region. The anterior teeth of the maxilla were severely dislocated, and severe malocclusion and crossbite were observed on the right side of the mandible.

Computed tomography (CT) revealed no definite intracranial lesion or abnormal parenchymal density in the brain or extra-axial fluid collection in the cranium. There were multiple rib fractures on the right side, with a small amount of pneumothorax. In the maxillofacial region, the patient exhibited a Le Fort II fracture including multiple fractures at the bilateral anterior, posterior, and lateral walls of the maxillary sinus, nasofrontal junction, pterygomaxillary junction, alveolar process of the maxilla, hard palate, nasal septum, and mandibular body. (Fig. 1) The fracture was located on the mandibular symphysis with the alveolar process and severe displacement of the right segment of the mandible. The right TMJ was dislocated to the superolateral side, entered the temporal fossa, and was above the zygomatic arch with a fracture of the medial pole of the condyle head. (Fig. 1)

Open reduction of the mandibular symphysis and closed reduction of the right TMJ were planned under general anesthesia. Four days after the injury, surgery was performed in conjunction with orthopedics to repair the multiple metatarsal fractures in the right foot. The dislocated anterior teeth were removed, and intermaxillary fixation was secured with proper occlusion. The superolaterally dislocated right TMJ was manually reduced. After the mandible and TMJ were reduced, mini-plates were adapted onto the mandibular symphysis, and the operation was completed uneventfully. However, relapse and re-dislocation of the right TMJ occurred immediately after surgery. In postoperative CT, the deviation of the mandibular segment was slightly reduced. However, a severe bony gap remained on the lingual cortex of the symphysis, and the right TMJ remained dislocated from the glenoid fossa and was on the zygomatic arch. (Fig. 1) Due to the traumatic injury, the medial pole of the condyle head was fractured, and the TMJ was dislocated to the superolateral side. The capsular ligament and lateral pterygoid muscle might have been disrupted, with dislocation of the TMJ affecting the instability and immediate relapse after surgery. The function of the
temporalis attached to the coronoid process could also have caused the immediate relapse of the TMJ due to the direction of muscle function. For proper reduction of the mandible, stable reduction of the right TMJ and rigid fixation on the mandibular symphysis were required. Coronoidectomy was planned to prevent temporalis muscle function on the TMJ reduction.

We planned a second surgery for reduction of the mandibular symphysis and right TMJ. Before TMJ reduction, coronoidectomy was performed to reduce the TMJ penetration into the glenoid fossa through an intraoral approach. The dislocated TMJ was easily reduced in the mandibular fossa through a preauricular approach, and proper occlusion was established. After intermaxillary fixation, a reconstruction plate was adapted onto the mandibular symphysis for rigid fixation. A layered suture was applied to the right preauricular area. The surgery was successfully completed, and the dislocated condyle was reduced on the glenoid fossa. However, a slight lateral dislocation of the condyle remained. (Fig. 2) Intermaxillary fixation was performed two weeks after surgery. One month after the second operation, the patient was discharged with mouth opening at 10 mm. One year after surgery, the patient’s mouth opening was increased to 35 mm, and prosthodontic treatment was performed. His postoperative jaw movement and tooth occlusion were satisfactory. (Fig. 2)

2. Case 2

A 52-year-old male patient was brought by ambulance to the emergency department after his motorcycle collided with a large truck. A subdural hemorrhage and multiple skull fractures were observed. The patient was admitted to the traumatic intensive care unit and referred to oral and maxillofacial surgery for treatment of multiple facial fractures. The patient had a Le Fort II facial fracture with multi-segmental fractures on the maxilla, nasal bones, nasal septum, and mandible. The maxillary anterior teeth were dislocated, and the mandibular body was segmented from the right parasymphysis and left mandibular body. The left TMJ was dislocated to the superolateral side above the zygomatic arch, and the medial pole of the condyle head was fractured. (Fig. 3) Severe facial and neck swelling was observed. Therefore, before surgery, a tracheostomy was performed under general anesthesia due to the difficulty of nasal intubation.

Two weeks after the injury, reduction and fixation of the maxilla, nasal bone, mandibular body, and left TMJ were performed under general anesthesia. The left preauricular and submandibular approach was used to reduce the mandible and condyle. The left condyle segment was dislocated above the zygomatic arch and was not easily reduced possibly due to the fracture of the medial pole of the condyle head, complete fracture and dislocation of the left mandibular body, and the direction of temporalis muscle function. Before the reduction of the left TMJ, a coronoidectomy was performed through the intraoral approach. The dislocated condyle was easily reduced, and the fractured condyle head segment was fixed with lag screws. (Fig. 3) A vestibular incision was created from the right upper molar to the left upper molar for maxilla reduction. The dissection exposed the lateral wall of the maxilla and the inferolateral aspect of the nasal cavity. Subperiosteal dissection was performed posteriorly to the pterygomaxillary junction. The posteriorly impacted maxilla was reduced by the Rowe force and fixed with miniplates on both sides. After reduction of the maxilla, intermaxillary fixation was secured with an arch bar and screws. The fractures of the mandibular symphysis and body were fixed with mini-plates and reconstruction plates. (Fig. 3) Preauricular, submandibular, and intraoral incisions were sutured layer
by layer. The procedures were completed without complications. Intermaxillary fixation was conducted two weeks after surgery. One month after surgery, the patient’s mouth opening was limited to 25 mm, and he was transferred to another hospital for rehabilitation. After discharge from our hospital, despite periodic contact, the patient could not be followed-up. This study was approved by the Ethical Committee of Chungbuk National University Hospital (No. 2019-01-001).

III. Discussion

Superolateral dislocation of the condyle is a rare mandibular fracture that was first reported by Robert in 1849\(^{10}\). Superolateral dislocations of the condyle were classified by Allen and Young\(^4\) as Type I, which is a lateral subluxation, and Type II, which is a complete condyle dislocation. Type II was further divided by Satoh et al.\(^{11}\) according to the relationship between the displaced condyle and zygomatic arch. Type IIA occurs when the condyle is not hooked above the zygomatic arch, Type IIB occurs when the condyle is hooked above the zygomatic arch, and Type IIC occurs when the condyle is lodged inside the fractured zygomatic arch\(^{10}\). According to these classifications, the first presented case was classified as Type IIB and second was Type IIA.

The treatment goal of superolateral condyle dislocation is reduction of the displaced condyle in the original glenoid fossa position and recovery of the TMJ’s normal physiological function\(^{10,12,13}\). Condyle reduction is managed by closed or open reduction. Closed reduction is the first treatment of choice because it is a simple procedure without additional incisions required for the open approach\(^{12}\). Closed reduction can be performed with several instruments and methods using a bone traction hook placed on the sigmoid notch, arch bar traction, and traction wires passing through the mandibular angle area\(^{1,14}\). Open reduction is performed through a preauricular approach\(^{15}\). Our first case was manually reduced during the initial operation. The displaced condyle was passively reduced but easily dislocated from the fossa postoperatively. (Fig. 1) This might have been due to disruption of the capsular ligament and lateral pterygoid muscle during TMJ dislocation, resulting in instability and immediate postsurgical TMJ relapse\(^{16}\). The function of the temporalis attached to the
condyle process might also have caused immediate relapse of the TMJ due to the direction of muscle function. Superolateral dislocation of the condyle has been successfully treated by closed or open reduction. Condyle dislocation commonly occurs with fractures of the ipsilateral condyle head or symphysis and body area with minimal displacement. However, in our cases, the symphysis and body area were completely separated, and the condyle segment was displaced above the zygomatic arch. The medial pole of the condyle head was fractured, complicating manual reduction. As a result, the condyle was easily displaced after surgery. A number of teeth was extracted and fractured, leading to difficulty in establishing proper occlusion after reduction. Due to these complications, condyle relapse immediately occurred, requiring a more stable reduction of the condyle and rigid fixation of the mandibular segment. We achieved rigid fixation of the mandibular segment using a reconstruction plate after condyle reduction. The condyle head segment was fixed with lag screws in the second patient. To eliminate interference of the temporalis muscle in condyle reduction, we performed coronoidectomy before reduction.

Coronoidectomy involves resection of the coronoid process to treat mouth opening restrictions caused by submucous fibrosis and coronoid process hyperplasia. The temporalis muscle is a major masticatory muscle that originates from the parietal bone and inserts into the coronoid process of the mandible. The action of the temporalis muscle involves elevation and retraction of the mandible. Coronoid process resection frees the mandible from the temporalis. Coronoidectomy has not been used to reduce superolateral condyle dislocation, but temporalis muscle release has been used for anterosuperior condyle dislocation. In the first patient’s second operation, coronoidectomy was first performed, and the condyle was easily reduced in the glenoid fossa. Postoperative CT confirmed reduction of the condyle. One year after surgery, mouth opening and jaw movement had improved and prosthetic treatment was successful.

In the second case, the left condyle was superolaterally displaced with an ipsilateral mandibular angle fracture. The condyle segment was completely separated from the distal segment, and it was difficult to establish proper occlusion due to the absence of teeth in the condyle segment area and instability of the maxillary teeth caused by the Le Fort II fracture. Due to these complications, accurate reduction of the condyle segment was difficult. Coronoidectomy was performed first; after reduction of the condyle, rigid fixation on the mandibular angle and condyle head fixation were completed. The condyle was stably reduced and the surgery was successful. However, extensive physiotherapy could not be conducted due to the patient’s brain damage. Although his mouth opening was limited one month after surgery, it was acceptable for feeding. Unfortunately, follow-ups could not be conducted after the patient was transferred to a rehabilitation facility.

Release of the temporalis muscle can help the reduction of dislocated mandibular condyles. In the present case, coronoidectomy was performed to reduce the mandibular condyle on the zygomatic arch. Coronoidectomy can completely release the temporalis muscle from the mandible and can return the condyle to its original position. There are concerns regarding the loss of temporalis muscle function such as a decrease in masticatory function and jaw deviation. However, postoperative discomfort or coronoidectomy complications have not been reported. Ipsilateral coronoidectomy does not affect jaw movement and facial asymmetry.

There were several difficulties in condyle reduction without coronoidectomy. First, there were severe fractures and complete separation in the mandibular symphysis and body area with condyle dislocation. Therefore, the condyle segment of the mandible was unstable and easily moved to the preoperative position. Second, the medial pole of the condyle head was fractured in both cases, allowing for easy dislocation of the condyle from the glenoid fossa after reduction. Third, multiple teeth were fractured and lost, and the maxilla was displaced due to the Le Fort II fracture. Therefore, proper occlusion and stable intermaxillary fixation could not be obtained. More stable condyle reduction and rigid fixation of the mandibular segment were required in our cases. We performed coronoidectomy to reduce the superiorly dislocated condyle, and the mandibular segments were fixed rigidly using reconstruction plates. The condyle head segment was fixed with lag screws in the second patient to prevent dislocation from the mandibular fossa.

Coronoidectomy is a minimally traumatic procedure with few reported complications. In our cases, we successfully reduced the superolateral displaced condyle to a favorable position and achieved acceptable TMJ movement and function using coronoidectomy. In conclusion, coronoidectomy is a simple procedure that can be effectively used for reduction of displaced condyles combined with severe maxilla-mandibular fractures.
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