INTRODUCTION

Global prevalence of type 2 diabetes mellitus (DM) is drastically increased, and it is extremely common in clinical setting. Diabetic population has risen from 108 million in 1980 to 422 million after 35 years especially in developing countries. It is also the leading cause of blindness, heart attack, stroke, renal failure and diabetic foot ulcer. According to World Health Organization (WHO), diabetes mellitus will be the seventh leading cause of death by 2030 (1). The number of diabetics will be increasing tremendously in South East Asia from 46 million in 2000 to 119 million by 2030(2). The fourth highest diabetic population in Asia is Malaysia based on the data (3). In 2006, there were total of 11.6 percent of diabetics in Malaysia and the number rose drastically to 15.2 percent of population in 2011. The government had to spend billions of moneys for diabetic and diabetes related complications such as renal failure (4).

Selangor is located in the west coast of Peninsular Malaysia and surrounds Kuala Lumpur and Putra Jaya. Nearly 6.29 million people are residing in Selangor state whereas more than half a million people are residing in Petaling Jaya (5). Selangor is also Malaysia’s most populous state and the largest number of diabetics was present in this state (6). Risk factors associated with type 2 DM are increasing age, family history, lack of exercise, overweight and increase waist size, high blood pressure, ethnicity, soft drink and impaired glucose tolerance. Many population-based studies have stated that there is strong link between family history and type 2 DM (7, 8). Similarly, researchers from various countries found out the stronger association between anthropometric markers and the incident of type 2 DM (9,10, 11). It has been almost half of the diabetics in this study by using SPSS version 21.
frequently saying that people who consume sugary drinks (1-2 cans/day) have 26% greater risk of developing type 2 DM than those who rarely have such drink (12). Interestingly, hypertensive patients were found to have around 50% increase risk of developing type 2 DM (13). Researches have shown that there is association between the risk of type 2 DM and age (14,15).

The questionnaires are simple and easy ways to identify people with high risk of type 2 DM. Finnish Type 2 Diabetes Risk Assessment Tool (FINDRISC) is an example of effective questionnaire that is being used for assessing type 2 diabetes risks (16). New cases of diabetic are increasing at alarming rate in Malaysia despite having various public health preventive measures. It is extremely important to create an assessment tool which is simple, non-invasive and cost-effective for early detection of type 2 DM. Proper and easily accessible screening method and appropriate education to risk group would be a prime way to deter the occurrence of new diabetic cases. Thus, this study aimed to identify who are at risk of type 2 DM by using modified diabetes risk assessment tool and to educate the high-risk people how to prevent or slow down this chronic disease.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The cross-sectional descriptive study was conducted between 2015 and 2017 and total 591 subjects were participated in this study. Simple random sampling was used for the subject selection. The subjects were recruited from those attending the health screening programs carried out by the collaboration of Petaling Jaya Development Council (MBPJ) and Lincoln University College, Selangor, Malaysia. Inclusion criteria were age 18 years and above who gave consent to be included in the study. Pregnant women and subjects who were already diagnosed as DM were excluded in the study. Ethical approval was obtained from Ethical Committee, Research Management Centre of Lincoln University College. Health education was given by two medical professionals especially to those whose results showed moderate to high risks. Then, referral letter was issued to high risk groups to visit public medical centers in Petaling Jaya for further medical check-up.

Several type 2DM risk assessment scoring/screening tools are available such as American Diabetes Association, CPG guideline Malaysia for type 2DM, Finnish, Australian, Omani and Thai. The Finnish Type 2 Diabetes Risk Assessment Tool (FINDRISC) has long been using in various countries to identify the risks of type 2 DM (16,17). In the present study, the modification of FINDRISC diabetes risk assessment tool as well as an adaptation of “screening of type 2 DM for asymptomatic individuals” from Clinical Practice Guideline (CPG) DM Malaysia was done and designed it into suitable for Malaysian population (18). The modified FINDRISC score was validated by a clinician, a statistician and a biochemist and it was found to be valid after administered to 35 selected staffs and students of LUC, Malaysia. The modified risk tool inclusive of age, family history of DM in first or second-degree relatives, history of hypertension and antihypertensive drug treatment, daily consumption of soft drink, daily physical activity, body mass index and waist circumference were used in the present study. Each category is assigned with weighed scores according to its associate risk and the final score range from 0 to 15 points. Every participant is classified according to their future risk of developing type 2 diabetes mellitus within next 10 years and the risk score is classified as less than 4 (low risk of type 2DM next 10 year), score 4-6 (moderate risk of type 2DM next 10 year) and score 7 and above (high risk of type 2DM next 10 year). The BMI used in this study is based on the obesity classification mentioned in Malaysia Clinical Practice Guideline (CPG) Obesity (19). The reference value for waist circumference is determined based on International Diabetes Federation guideline for Waist Circumference and Waist-Hip Ratio for Asians (20).

The weight was measured to the nearest 0.1kg and the height was measured to the nearest 0.1cm by using stadiometer attached to the same medical balance weighing scale. The BMI was calculated by using the formula (weight in kg/ height in m2). Waist circumference was measured by a non-stretchable tape measure at a level of midpoint between the lowest rib and iliac crest to the nearest 0.1 cm (umbilical line). Descriptive analysis was performed for all included variables in this study by using SPSS version 21.

RESULT

Table 2 showed that 56.5% representing majority of the respondents (age below 40) compared to other groups. Most of the respondents were female 57.7% vs 42.3% for male. It was shown that most of the respondents’ parents who are not diabetic were slightly higher compared to those who are diabetic 54.3% vs 45.7%. Those who are not having any hypertension/ hypertensive treatment were the majority (82.9%) compared to those who are having hypertension and its treatment (17.1%).

The waist measurement was taken for all respondents and found that 53.0% of them were with normal waist compared to other categories. Moreover, most of them were in normal BMI representing 40.1% vs 59.9% for overweight and obese.
It was demonstrated that 58.7% of the respondents have never consumed any soft drink while 34.7% consumed 3-4 cans weekly and 6.6% consumed 1 can and more per day. Exercise was measured and categorized to 3 groups: not at all, less than 30 min/day and more than 30 min/day representing 31.5%, 50.9% and 17.6% respectively.

After calculation the overall score from all included variables, most of the respondents were less likely to have diabetes in the next 10 years as they scored less than 4 points with 40.6%. On the other hand, 36.2% and 23.2% of them have moderate and high risk respectively to have diabetes in the next 10 years.

Table 1: Type 2 diabetes mellitus risk assessment form

| Questions/statements                      | Score | Total Risk Score |
|-------------------------------------------|-------|-----------------|
| **Age**                                   |       |                 |
| ▪ <40                                      | 0     |                 |
| ▪ 40-60                                    | 1     |                 |
| ▪ >60                                      | 2     |                 |
| **Do you have diabetic parents or sibling or relative?** |       |                 |
| ▪ No                                       | 0     |                 |
| ▪ Yes                                      | 1     |                 |
| **Being treated for hypertension?**        |       |                 |
| ▪ No                                       | 0     |                 |
| ▪ Yes                                      | 1     |                 |
| **Do you drink canned soft drink?**        |       |                 |
| ▪ Non                                      | 0     |                 |
| ▪ 3-5 cans weekly                          | 1     |                 |
| ▪ 1 can & more/day                        | 2     |                 |
| **Do you do exercise?**                   |       |                 |
| ▪ ≥30 minutes per day                     | 0     |                 |
| ▪ <30 minutes per day                     | 1     |                 |
| ▪ Not at all                               | 2     |                 |
| **Waist circumference**                   |       |                 |
| ▪ Male < 36 inches (90cm)                  | 0     |                 |
| ▪ Female < 32 inches (80cm)                |       |                 |
| ▪ Male 36-40 inches (90-102cm)             | 1     |                 |
| ▪ Female 32-35 inches (80-88cm)            |       |                 |
| ▪ Male >40 inches (>102cm)                 | 3     |                 |
| ▪ Female >35 inches (>88cm)                |       |                 |
| **Weight = kg, Height = cm, BMI =**        |       |                 |
| ▪ 18-23                                    | 0     |                 |
| ▪ >23-27.5                                 | 1     |                 |
| ▪ >27.5-35                                 | 2     |                 |
| ▪ >35                                      | 4     |                 |
| **Total**                                  |       |                 |

**YOUR RISK OF DEVELOPING TYPE 2 DM WITHIN 10 YEARS**

- **Score < 4 points**
  - You have a low risk of becoming Type 2 diabetic in the next 10 years.
- **Score 4-6 points**
  - You have a moderate risk of becoming diabetic, and as you get older this risk will increase. Check your blood sugar level and consider making lifestyle changes.
- **Score 7 and above points**
  - You are at high risk of becoming diabetic. Check your blood sugar regularly and aim for lifestyle changes, such as significant weight reduction, more vegetables diet and increased activity.
Table 2: Descriptive analysis for all included variables

| Variable                  | Frequency | Percentage % |
|---------------------------|-----------|--------------|
| **Age**                   |           |              |
| Less than 40              | 334       | 56.5         |
| 40-60                     | 194       | 32.8         |
| More than 60              | 63        | 10.7         |
| **Sex**                   |           |              |
| Male                      | 250       | 42.3         |
| Female                    | 341       | 57.7         |
| **Diabetic parents**      |           |              |
| Yes                       | 270       | 45.7         |
| No                        | 321       | 54.3         |
| **Hypertension treatment**|           |              |
| Yes                       | 101       | 17.1         |
| No                        | 490       | 82.9         |
| **Waist**                 |           |              |
| 1 (Male <90cm, Female <80cm) | 313   | 53.0         |
| 2 (Male -90-102cm, Female -80-88cm) | 175 | 29.6         |
| 3 (Male >102cm, Female >88cm) | 103   | 17.4         |
| **BMI**                   |           |              |
| 18-23                     | 237       | 40.1         |
| >23-27.5                  | 203       | 34.3         |
| >27.5-35                  | 119       | 20.1         |
| >35                       | 32        | 5.4          |
| **Soft drink**            |           |              |
| Non                       | 347       | 58.7         |
| 3-5 cans weekly           | 205       | 34.7         |
| 1 can & more/day          | 39        | 6.6          |
| **Exercise**              |           |              |
| Not at all                | 186       | 31.5         |
| Less than 30 min/day      | 301       | 50.9         |
| More than 30 min/day      | 104       | 17.6         |
| **Risk score**            |           |              |
| Less than 4 points        | 240       | 40.6         |
| 4-6 points                | 214       | 36.2         |
| 7 & more                  | 137       | 23.2         |

DISCUSSION

Millions of people in the world today have dangerously high blood sugar level and do not yet know it. The Health Minister of Malaysia said that 30% of the nation’s citizens were overweight and this was due to an unhealthy diet and lifestyle. Nearly 17.5% of the people were also diabetic and some of them did not even know that they were afflicted with the problem (21). Almost half of the participants in the present study were found to have family history of DM. Genetic factor causing type 2 DM is a major non-modifiable risk factor that cannot be changed. A study done in Europe has mentioned that family history was associated with the higher incidence of type 2DM. The researchers also observed that greatest risk of type 2DM was found in those with a biparental history of the disease (22). A group of researchers in Malaysia also agreed that there was association between positive family history and type 2 DM. They reported that 50.2% of patients had family history of DM (23).

In the present study, the modifiable risk factors such as BMI and waist circumference play major role in increasing the risk score as 34% and 25.5% of participants were overweight and obese, respectively. Our findings were consistent with another study carried out in Malaysia where the researchers mentioned that 51.2% of participants were overweight and obese although they were having mild physical activity (24). Although majority of participants were age below 40 in this study, we observed high number of overweight and obese people. Studies conducted by Nutrition Society of Malaysia endorsed that almost 30% of children and teenagers age between six and 17 years were either overweight or obese. It concluded that the cause of overweight and obesity are due to easily accessible to junk food and drinks, eating high trans-fat and sugar content as well as lack of
exercise and sedentary inactive lifestyle (longtime playing of games, using social media and watching movies). Researchers also warned that proper action should be taken immediately to lessen or deter the problem otherwise it may not only hamper the quality of life but also lead to risk of having secondary complications like type 2 DM and cardiovascular problem (25).

Many researchers have confirmed that the changes in fat metabolism in obesity causing higher level of non-esterified fatty acids in the blood which decrease cellular insulin responsiveness and leading to hyperglycemia. Likewise, increased production of pro-inflammatory cytokines from abdominal fat also causing insulin resistance which is the hallmark of type 2 DM (26,27).

Encouragement of the public to be involved in physical activities becomes very crucial because the vast numbers of participants in the study were not doing exercise (31.5%) or having inadequate physical activity (51%). Although genetic factor plays a role in the occurrence of type 2 DM, the progression of type 2 DM would be prevented or delayed by practicing active lifestyle which could reduce blood sugar and improve insulin sensitivity.

It is very worrisome for the nation as the number of obese people and type 2 DM patients are growing drastically day to day as well as healthcare cost is also becoming a burden for the country. The best way in preventing or slowing down of impending type 2 DM is to find out who are at risk by frequently doing health check of the population in township, state and national levels and do proper screening for high risk people as described in Malaysia clinical practice guideline (CPG) for DM (18). A group of researchers from Nursing College, Sultan Qaboos University, Oman has carried out a study on “Risk assessment score for screening diabetes mellitus among Omani adults and they have concluded that the risk assessment (FINDRISC) of type 2 DM was significant and positively related to the prediction of type 2 DM among Omani adults (28).

Our study has found that more than 59% of participants in the study were having moderate to high risk of becoming type 2 DM in next 10 years (moderate risk 36.2% and high risk 23.2% respectively) based on the test score. Similar findings were observed in a study done in Pakistan where they used FINDRISC assessment tool and they found that almost 47% of participants were having moderate to high risk of developing type 2DM in next ten year (29). A group of researchers from Thailand has implemented Thai type 2DM risk assessment tool using the variables such as age, sex, BMI, waist circumference, history of high blood pressure and family history of DM. They have proved that simple assessment tool without laboratory test is almost as good as models that include fasting glucose, HDL cholesterol and triglycerides. They have also mentioned that the assessment tool is attractive because of non-invasive, more convenient and less expensive compared with the models that rely on blood tests. The assessment tool is also practical for identifying high risk people (not for confirmation of diagnosis) in primary health care setting (30).

In the present study, moderate to high risk participants were consulted and explained how to practice healthy lifestyle and educated them to do regular check-up. The referral letters were issued to those who were in high risk group to do medical check-up in health clinic. To prevent the growing number of obesity and type 2 DM, not only the individual and family members but also the local counsel, healthcare professionals and state government need to take proper action in prevention of one of the major non-communicable diseases, type 2 DM in Malaysia.

CONCLUSION

The study concluded that majority of participants have moderate to high risk of developing type 2 DM mostly associated with obesity and family history. Changing eating habits and lifestyle modification are vital information which need to be addressed promptly and immediately. As the incidence of type 2 DM among Malaysian adults increases drastically despite measures to slow down, it is very crucial time for healthcare personals to be able to identify people who are at risk by using simple and appropriate type2 diabetes risk assessment tool and empowering them with lifestyle modification to prevent or delay the onset of type 2 DM. Further modification of this assessment tool should be done by experts to develop a better assessment tool suitable for Malaysian population.

Limitation

This study is limited by cross-sectional study design and some factors such as ethnicity and previous history of high blood glucose and blood cholesterol level were not included in the assessment form. Validation of the assessment tool with larger sample size in different populations would have done to have a more reliable assessment tool.
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