Determining the Impact of Thickened Liquids on Swallowing in Patients Undergoing Irradiation for Oropharynx Cancer
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Abstract

The current standard for the treatment of oropharynx cancers is radiation therapy. However, patients are frequently left with dysphagia characterized by penetration-aspiration (impaired safety) and residue (impaired efficiency). Although thickened liquids are commonly used to manage dysphagia, we lack evidence to guide the modification of liquids for clinical benefit in the head and neck cancer population. The objective of this study was to assess the impact of slightly and mildly thick liquids on penetration-aspiration and residue in 12 patients with oropharyngeal cancer who displayed penetration-aspiration on thin liquid within 3 to 6 months after completion of radiotherapy. Significantly fewer instances of penetration-aspiration were seen with slightly and mildly thick liquids as compared with thin ($P < .05$). No differences were found across stimuli in the frequency of residue. Patients with oropharyngeal cancers who present with post–radiation therapy dysphagia involving penetration-aspiration on thin liquids may benefit from slightly and mildly thick liquids without risk of worse residue.
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A patient’s ability to eat by mouth is affected by radiation therapy (RT) for oropharynx cancers.1,2 RT spares critical structures but contributes to functional impairments of swallowing safety (penetration-aspiration; ie, food/liquid entering the airway) and efficiency (pharyngeal residue). Maintaining oral intake is encouraged during RT to avoid negative consequences of muscle disuse.3,4 However, aspiration occurs in 18% to 33% of patients with head and neck cancer (HNC) over the first 2 years following chemoradiation. Aspiration is linked to aspiration pneumonia and associated mortality.5-17 Two systematic reviews have concluded that thicker liquids reduce aspiration risk in the HNC population18,19; however, the evidence is limited to extremely thick liquids,20 which may lead to increased residue.16,21-25

We explored the effectiveness of smaller degrees of thickening for improving swallowing safety without exacerbating residue, using slightly and mildly thick liquids as defined by the International Dysphagia Diet Standardisation Initiative.26

Methods

Human subjects research approval was provided by the University Health Network Research Ethics Board (UHN-CAPCR 16-5190). We enrolled 12 men (mean, 63.3 years; range, 49-78) in the 3- to 6-month time frame post-RT for primary T1-3, N0-N2c cancers of the oropharynx27 (Table 1). Participants underwent a videofluoroscopic swallowing study (VFSS) with 20% w/v liquid barium (E-Z-Paque powdered barium; Bracco) prepared in thin, slightly thick, and mildly thick liquid consistencies with commercially available thickeners (Resource ThickenUp and ThickenUp Clear; Nestlé Health Science).28 The protocol included 15 naturally sized sips, beginning with thin liquid. If penetration-aspiration was not detected with thin liquid, the protocol was terminated. When material entered the larynx (Penetration-Aspiration Scale [PAS] score $\geq 3$), the protocol proceeded to the next level of thickness. For safety, the protocol was terminated after 4 observations of penetration-aspiration.

VFSS recordings were randomly assigned for independent duplicate rating according to the ASPEKT Method (Analysis of Swallowing Physiology: Events, Kinematics and Timing).14
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PAS scores were converted to binary ratings of safe versus “unsafe” (PAS <3 vs ≥3). Anatomically scaled pixel-based measures of pharyngeal residue (%[C2-4]) were converted to binary ratings of efficient versus “at risk” (residue >1%(C2-4)); see Figure 1. Nonparametric Friedman tests were used to compare the frequencies of safe/unsafe and efficient/at-risk swallows by consistency.

Table 1. Study Participant Demographics. a

| Age, y | Site | Stage | Dose, cGy | Chemotherapy | HPV status | Days between RT and VFSS |
|-------|------|-------|-----------|--------------|------------|--------------------------|
| 78    | Base of tongue | T2, N2b | 5200      | NA           | +          | 105                      |
| 67    | Base of tongue | T3, N2b | 7000      | Cisplatin    | –          | 111                      |
| 66    | Base of tongue | T2, N2b | 7000      | Cisplatin    | +          | 113                      |
| 61    | Base of tongue | T3, N2b | 7000      | Cisplatin b  | +          | 113                      |
| 58    | Oropharynx    | T0, N2b | 7000      | Cisplatin b  | +          | 151                      |
| 49    | Soft palate   | T3, N2b | 7000      | Cisplatin    | –          | 140                      |
| 77    | Soft palate   | T1, N0  | 6000      | NA           | –          | 134                      |
| 61    | Right tonsil  | T2, N1  | 7000      | NA           | +          | 92                       |
| 75    | Base of tongue| T1, N2b | 7000      | NA           | +          | 89                       |
| 58    | Right tonsil/base of tongue | T2, N2c | 7000 | Cetuximab b  | +          | 111                      |
| 60    | Left tonsil   | T1, N2b | 7000      | Cisplatin (high dose) | + | 135                      |
| 51    | Base of tongue| T3, N2b | 7000      | Cisplatin    | +          | 203                      |

Abbreviations: HPV, human papillomavirus; NA, not applicable; RT, radiation therapy; VFSS, videofluoroscopic swallowing study.

aAll patients were male.

bFull chemotherapy protocol not completed.

Results
During the VFSS, 6 of 12 participants (50%) had penetration-aspiration on thin liquids and continued to the thickened liquid portion of the protocol. Subsequent blinded rating identified 3 more participants with PAS scores ≥3 for thin liquid; however, these participants did not complete the thickened liquid trials. Table 2 displays frequency data for safe/unsafe and efficient/at-risk boluses by consistency.

The frequency of unsafe PAS scores differed significantly by consistency, χ²(2) = 8.667, P < .005. Significantly fewer penetration-aspiration events (P < .05) were seen with slightly thick and mildly thick liquids as compared with thin. The frequency of unsafe swallows did not differ between slightly and mildly thick liquids. With respect to efficiency, there were no significant differences across consistency.

Table 2. Boluses Classified as Safe/Unsafe and Efficient/At Risk by Consistency. a

| Stimulus         | Penetration-Aspiration Scale | Residue                        |
|------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|
|                  | <3 (safe)                    | ≥3 (unsafe)                    | ≤1%(C2-4)² (efficient) | >1%(C2-4)² (at risk) |
| Thin             | 60.70                        | 39.30                          | 53.80                 | 46.20                 |
| Slightly thick   | 81.80                        | 18.20                          | 16.70                 | 83.30                 |
| Mildly thick     | 81.80                        | 18.20                          | 16.70                 | 83.30                 |
| Total            | 75.50                        | 24.50                          | 27.80                 | 72.20                 |

a Values are presented as percentages.

Discussion
This study explored the impact of thickened liquids on swallowing in a homogeneous sample of patients who had oropharynx cancer with penetration-aspiration on thin liquids. The data corroborate previous evidence that impaired swallow safety is common post-RT, with the majority of participants demonstrating penetration-aspiration on thin liquids (9/12, 75% of the participants).
75%). This points to risk with oral consumption of liquid nutritional supplements in the subacute phase postradiation given the associated risk for pneumonia, particularly because sensory deficits may obscure clinical signs of aspiration.\textsuperscript{15,36}

Our findings concur with previous studies showing reduced penetration-aspiration with thickened liquids.\textsuperscript{13-15,17} In comparison with previous evidence of aspiration reduction with extremely thick liquids, which are disliked by many patients,\textsuperscript{15} this study shows potential to achieve safe swallowing with minimal thickening. However, pharyngeal residue was also a common finding, regardless of bolus consistency. Residue $>1\%$ (C2-4)\textsuperscript{7}, which has been shown to double the odds of penetration-aspiration on the subsequent swallow,\textsuperscript{37} was seen in almost half (46\%) of the thin liquid bolus trials in this study.

It is important to determine the mechanisms underlying unsafe and inefficient swallowing in patients with oropharynx cancer. Delayed or incomplete laryngeal vestibule closure has been identified as a primary mechanism contributing to penetration and aspiration.\textsuperscript{38-40} Thickened liquids allow for additional time in which to achieve laryngeal vestibule closure. Several recent studies also point to poor pharyngeal constriction being a primary mechanism behind pharyngeal residue.\textsuperscript{39,41-44} The pathophysiological drivers of pharyngeal function and the association to residue in radiated patients remains unknown.

This study is not without limitations. Our efforts to recruit a homogeneous group of patients in terms of cancer location and severity resulted in a small study sample. The requirement that impaired safety be identified during VFSS on thin liquids also resulted in limited available data for thickened liquids. Several instances of penetration-aspiration on thin liquids were missed by the clinicians present during the VFSS and were detected only during postexamination blinded review.

Conclusions

We investigated the impact of thickened liquids in patients with oropharynx cancers with penetration-aspiration on thin liquids. Penetration-aspiration reduction can be achieved with minimal degrees of thickening, starting with a slightly thick consistency. This is important, given that patients may be more accepting of regular beverages such as smoothies that are naturally thick. This study suggests that residue is common but not necessarily worsened with slightly or mildly thick liquids. Further research is recommended regarding the interaction between mechanisms of impairment and liquid thickness in the broader HNC population.

Author Contributions

Carly E. A. Barbon, concept and design, acquisition, analysis and interpretation of the data, drafting of the manuscript, critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content, administrative and technical support; Douglas B. Chepeha, critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content, technical and material support, supervision; Andrew J. Hope, critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content, technical and material support, supervision; Melanie Peladeau-Pigeon, acquisition and analysis of the data, critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content, administrative and technical support; Ashley A. Waito, acquisition and analysis of the data, critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content, administrative and technical support; Catriona M. Steele, concept and design, analysis and interpretation of the data, drafting of the manuscript, critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content, obtained funding, supervision.

Disclosures

Competing interests: Catriona M. Steele has served on Nestlé expert panels for which she has received honoraria and travel expenses. She is a National Institutes of Health–funded principal investigator and a member of the board of directors for the International Dysphagia Diet Standardisation Initiative.

Sponsorships: None.

Funding source: Funding for this study was provided through a National Institutes of Health grant (National Institute on Deafness and Other Communication Disorders, R01 DC 011020) to Catriona M. Steele.

References

1. Dische S, Saunders M, Barrett A, Harvey A, Gibson D, Parmar M. A randomised multicentre trial of CHART versus conventional radiotherapy in head and neck cancer. Radiother Oncol. 1997;44(2):123-136.
2. Lazarus CL, Husaini H, Hu K, et al. Functional outcomes and quality of life after chemoradiotherapy: baseline and 3 and 6 months post-treatment. Dysphagia. 2014;29(3):365-375.
3. Kleim JA, Jones TA. Principles of experience-dependent neural plasticity: implications for rehabilitation after brain damage. J Speech Lang Hear Res. 2008;51(1):S225-S239.
4. Hutcheson KA, Bhayani MK, Beadle BM, et al. Eat and exercise during radiotherapy or chemoradiotherapy for pharyngeal cancers: use it or lose it. JAMA Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2013;139(11):1127-1134.
5. Hunter KU, Lee OE, Lyden TH, et al. Aspiration pneumonia after CHEMO-IMRT of head-and-neck cancer and its clinical and dysphagia-related predictors. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2012;1:5468-5469.
6. Hunter KU, Lee OE, Lyden TH, et al. Aspiration pneumonia after chemo-intensity-modulated radiation therapy of oropharyngeal carcinoma and its clinical and dysphagia-related predictors. Head Neck. 2014;36(1):120-125.
7. Denaro N, Merlano MC, Russi EG. Dysphagia in head and neck cancer patients: pretreatment evaluation, predictive factors, and assessment during radio-chemotherapy, recommendations. Clin Exp Otorhinolaryngol. 2013;6(3):117-126.
8. Eisbruch A. Clinical aspects of IMRT for head-and-neck cancer. Med Dosim. 2002;27(2):99-104.
9. Madan R, Kairo AK, Sharma A, et al. Aspiration pneumonia related deaths in head and neck cancer patients: a retrospective analysis of risk factors from a tertiary care centre in North India. J Laryngol Otol. 2015;129(7):710-714.
10. Mortensen HR, Jensen K, Akselgaard K, Behrens M, Grau C. Late dysphagia after IMRT for head and neck cancer and correlation with dose-volume parameters. Radiother Oncol. 2013;107(3):288-294.
11. Nguyen N, Moltz C, Frank C, et al. Dysphagia following chemoradiation for locally advanced head and neck cancer. Ann Oncol. 2004;15:383-388.
12. Xu B, Boero IJ, Hwang L, et al. Aspiration pneumonia after concurrent chemoradiotherapy for head-and-neck cancer. Cancer. 2015;121(8):1303-1311.
13. Steele CM, Mukherjee R, Kortelainen JM, et al. Development of a non-invasive device for swallowing screening in patients at risk of oropharyngeal dysphagia: results from a prospective exploratory study. Dysphagia. 2019;34:698-707.
14. Steele CM, Peladeau-Pigeon M, Barbon CAE, et al. Reference values for healthy swallowing across the range from thin to extremely thick liquids. J Speech Lang Hear Res. 2019;62(5):1338-1363.
15. Logemann JA, Gensler G, Robbins J, et al. A randomized study of three interventions for aspiration of thin liquids in patients with dementia or Parkinson’s disease. J Speech Lang Hear Res. 2008;51(1):173-183.
16. Lazarus CL, Logemann JA, Pauloski BR, et al. Swallowing disorders in head and neck cancer patients treated with radiotherapy and adjuvant chemotherapy. Laryngoscope. 1996;106(9, pt 1):1157-1166.
17. Pauloski BR, Rademaker AW, Logemann JA, et al. Swallow function and perception of dysphagia in patients with head and neck cancer. Head Neck. 2002;24(6):555-565.
18. Newman R, Vilardell N, Clave P, Speyer R. Effect of bolus viscosity on the safety and efficacy of swallowing and the kinematics of the swallow response in patients with oropharyngeal dysphagia: white paper by the European Society for Swallowing Disorders (ESSD). Dysphagia. 2016;31(2):232.
19. Steele CM, Alsaneti WA, Ayanikahal S, et al. The influence of food texture and liquid consistency modification on swallowing physiology and function: a systematic review. Dysphagia. 2015;30(1):2-26.
20. Barbon CE, Steele CM. Efficacy of thickened liquids for eliminating aspiration in head and neck cancer: a systematic review. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2014;152(2):211-218.
21. Feng FY, Kim HM, Lyden TH. Intensity-modulated radiotherapy of head and neck cancer aiming to reduce dysphagia: early dose-effect relationships for the swallowing structures. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2007;68(5):1289-1298.
22. Gillespie MB. Swallowing-related quality of life after head and neck cancer treatment. Laryngoscope. 2004;114(8):1362.
23. Hind J, Divyak E, Zielinski J, et al. Comparison of standardized bariums with varying rheological parameters on swallowing kinematics in males. J Rehabil Res Dev. 2012;49(9):1399-1404.
24. Meyer TK, Pisega JM, Kriscius GP, Pauloski BR, Langmore SE. Residue influences quality of life independently of penetration and aspiration in head and neck cancer survivors. Laryngoscope. 2017;127(7):1615-1621.
25. Pearson WG Jr, Davidoff AA, Smith ZM, Adams DE, Langmore SE. Impaired swallowing mechanics of post radiation therapy head and neck cancer patients: a retrospective videofluoroscopic study. World J Radiol. 2016;8(2):192-199.
26. Cichero JAY, Lam P, Steele CM, et al. Development of international terminology and definitions for texture-modified foods and thickened fluids used in dysphagia management: the IDDSI framework. Dysphagia. 2017;32(2):293-314.
27. Deschler DG, Moore MH, Smith RV. Quick Reference Guide to TNM Staging of Head and Neck Cancer and Neck Dissection Classification. 4th ed. American Academy of Otolaryngology–Head and Neck Surgery Foundation; 2014.
28. Barbon CE, Steele CM. Characterizing the flow of thickened barium and non-barium liquid recipes using the IDDSI flow test. Dysphagia. 2018;34(1):73-79.
29. Rosenbek JC, Robbins JA, Roecker EB, Coyle JL, Wood JL. A penetration-aspiration scale. Dysphagia. 1996;11(2):93-98.
30. Steele CM, Peladeau-Pigeon M, Nagy A, Waino AA. Measurement of pharyngeal residue from lateral view videofluoroscopic images. J Speech Lang Hear Res. 2020;63(5):1404-1415.
31. Steele Swallowing Lab. ASPEKT method: supplemental material. Reference value tables. Published 2019. Accessed July 28, 2020. https://steeleswallowinglab.ca/srrl/wp-content/uploads/AS PEKT-Method-Reference-Value-Tables-V1.3.pdf
32. Graner DE, Foote RL, Kasperbauer JL, et al. Swallow function in patients before and after intra-arterial chemomarization. Laryngoscope. 2003;113(3):573-579.
33. Hutcheson KA, Lewin JS. Functional outcomes after chemoradiotherapy of laryngeal and pharyngeal cancers. Curr Oncol Rep. 2012;14(2):158-165.
34. Hutcheson KA, Nurgalieva Z, Zhao H, et al. Two-year prevalence of dysphagia and related outcomes in head and neck cancer survivors: an updated SEER-Medicare analysis. Head Neck. 2019;41(2):479-487.
35. Murphy BA, Gilbert J. Dysphagia in head and neck cancer patients treated with radiation: assessment, sequelae, and rehabilitation. Semin Radiat Oncol. 2009;19(1):35-42.
36. Rosenthal DI, Lewin JS, Eisbruch A. Prevention and treatment of dysphagia and aspiration after chemomarization for head and neck cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2006;24(17):2636-2643.
37. Steele CM, Peladeau-Pigeon M, Barrett E, Wilkin TS. The risk of penetration-aspiration related to residue in the pharynx. Am J Speech Lang Pathol. 2020;29(3):1608-1617.
38. Steele CM, Chak V, Dhindsa A, et al. Timing plays a major role in the pathophysiology of aspiration. Dysphagia. 2015;31:258.
39. Waito AA, Plowman EK, Barbon CE, et al. A quantitative videofluoroscopic analysis of swallowing physiology and function in individuals with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS). J Speech Lang Hear Res. 2020;63:948-962.
40. Barbon CE, Chepeha DB, Hope A, Peladeau-Pigeon M, Waito AA, Steele CM. Mechanisms of impaired swallowing on thin liquids following radiation treatment for oropharyngeal cancer. J Speech Lang Hear Res. 2020;63(9):2870-2879.
41. Waito AA, Tabor-Gray LC, Steele CM, Plowman EK. Reduced pharyngeal constriction is associated with impaired swallowing efficiency in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS). Neurogastroenterol Motil. 2018;30(12):e13450.
42. Waito AA, Steele CM, Peladeau-Pigeon M, Genge A, Argov Z. A preliminary videofluoroscopic investigation of swallowing physiology and function in individuals with ocularpharyngeal muscular dystrophy (OPMD). Dysphagia. 2018;33(6):789-802.
43. Stokely SL, Peladeau-Pigeon M, Leigh C, Molfenter SM, Steele CM. The relationship between pharyngeal constriction and postswallow residue. Dysphagia. 2015;30(3):349-356.
44. Curtis JA, Molfenter S, Troche MS. Predictors of residue and airway invasion in Parkinson’s disease. Dysphagia. 2020;35:220-230.