Delayed symptomatic spinal adhesive arachnoiditis after surgery for thoracolumbar flexion-distraction injury: A case report
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1. Introduction

Spinal adhesive arachnoiditis of the spinal nerves is caused by nonspecific inflammation of intrathecal neural elements, and spinal leptomeninges and also features vascular and circulatory involvement [1–3]. The inflamed arachnoid lining the nerve roots may have an inflammatory reaction and block cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) flow by capturing additional adjacent nerve roots. Several etiologies have been proposed, such as iatrogenic, infectious, degenerative, traumatic, and idiopathic [4]. In the past, myelography using oil-based or ionic water-soluble contrast media was a major contributing factor. Currently, the most frequent traumatic causes are probably paraspinal injections and herniated disc disease compressing the nerve roots [1,5]. Following lumbar disc surgery, 4.6 % of patients have lumbar adhesive arachnoiditis visible in magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) evaluation [6]. However, the injury location frequently does not correlate with the clinical presentation [7]. We report the first presentation of delayed adhesive arachnoiditis with cauda equina syndrome after operation for a traumatic spine flexion–distraction injury. This article has been written according to SCARE criteria as described by Agha et al. for the SCARE group. The SCARE Statement: Consensus-based surgical case report guidelines. International Journal of Surgery 2018* [8].

2. Presentation of case

A 51-year-old man presented to the emergency room with no lower extremity muscle power and partial sensation preservation below T12 after slipping. The American Spinal Injury Association impairment score was grade B. A thoracolumbar (TL) flexion–distraction injury at T12-L1 and unstable L1 burst fracture with posterior fragment displacement and conus medullaris and cauda equina compression were seen on computed tomography (CT) and MRI. Emergency decompression, reduction, and posterior fusion with pedicle screw instrumentation (T11-L2) and bone graft were
performed. Fracture reduction was performed at the site through the implanted rod and by applying compression. There was no CSF leakage during decompression. (Figs. 1 and 2) One week after surgery, the patient began to sit with a thoraco-lumbar-sacral orthosis. Rehabilitation started two weeks after surgery. After the surgical wound fully healed, the patient was transferred to the rehabilitation department. One month after surgery, distal motor power was partially recovered, with knee extension and hip flexion manual muscle testing showing grades 1-2/5. Sensory recovery was at 80% according to the patient’s assessment, the anal sphincter tone was partially recovered, and perianal sensation was nearly fully recovered. Seventy-six days after surgery, during rehabilitation, the patient suddenly complained of severe pain with hypoesthesia in the perianal area, absent sphincter tone, and no glans and testis sensation, which were suggestive of cauda equina syndrome (CES) with sensory dissociation. Inflammatory markers were not elevated and the lower extremity muscle power showed no change. Foley indwelling catheterization was performed at admission. Postoperative MRI was performed, which showed that the conus medullaris and cauda equina presented novel characteristics of adhesive arachnoiditis (matted, clumped, empty thecal sac) at the L1 level [9,10] (Fig. 3). We decided not to perform further decompression in previous injury sites and to administer gabapentin (600 mg once before sleep and 400 mg three times per day), after which pain dramatically subsided from 10 to 1 in the visual analogue scale. Three months after the sudden pain onset, the patient completely recovered from pain, hypoesthesia, and sphincter tone abnormality and there was a gradual improvement in other residual symptoms. The patient’s return to daily ambulatory activities with a single cane was confirmed at 1 year and 2 months postoperatively in a visit to the outpatient clinic. Both knee extension and ankle dorsiflexion manual muscle testing improved to grade 3-4/5 compared with 0 before surgery. This retrospective study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Sanggye Paik Hospital and informed written consent was obtained from the patient for publication of this case report and accompanying images.
3. Discussion

Patients with adhesive arachnoiditis patients may develop spinal roots adhesions, a debilitating neurologic disorder with a complex and poorly understood pathophysiology [11]. The resulting inflammatory response eventually proliferates to other intrathecal neural elements, leading to fibrosis, adhesions, and vascular structure abnormalities which involve the nerve roots, the arachnoid, the spinal cord, and the dura mater [3]. Studies from the 2000s show contradictory findings regarding the association between spinal arachnoiditis and surgery or epidural injections [1,7]. In several studies, arachnoiditis was found to be a delayed postoperative complication [12–14]. In our study, after TL spinal surgery, there was an improvement in motor and sensory symptoms and a delayed onset of CES symptoms. We decompressed the lamina to relieve dural compression in the first surgery, while laminectomy was performed to increase the vascular permeability of the cauda equina. This increased permeability has been associated with cauda equina adhesion in rats [15]. In other study, foreign material retention accelerated arachnoiditis and peridural fibrosis post-laminectomy [16]. The delayed occurrence of spinal arachnoiditis is rare. Nevertheless, previous studies have reported cases of spinal arachnoiditis with a delayed symptom onset following spine procedures or diseases. Most of the associated spinal procedures were caudal blocks, epidural injections, and spinal anesthesia [13,14]. In our case, the patient had no history related to known causes of arachnoiditis like paraspinal injection or previous multiple operations other than a history of TL spinal cord injury and surgery.

Three-dimensional CT and MRI are cross-sectional imaging techniques that are more sensitive than radiographs and play an important role in the evaluation of patients after spine surgery. Nuclear medicine, CT, and MRI have a crucial role in the diagnosis and evaluation of infection and symptomatic new or recurrent disc herniation, peri- or epidural fibrosis, arachnoiditis, and radicular causes after spinal surgery [12]. Arachnoiditis has typical MRI findings such as variable enhancement of mass-like filling of the thecal sac, clumped nerve roots, and empty thecal sac with neural adhesions to the dural walls [9,10,12]. In our case, characteristic dural tissue, spinal cord, and cauda equina findings were seen in MRI. A previous study tested the correlation between anatomical changes and pain-related behavior in rats. Animals that underwent laminectomy were tested with systemic or intrathecal drugs to reduce straight leg raising positive test evaluated through pain vocalizations. Cauda equina clumping was related to pain severity [17]. However, specific analysis of myelographic patterns did not show any correlation with the clinical presentation [7,11]. Disturbance of CSF flow around the spinal cord is thought to produce syringomyelia but this patient did not present with this condition. Hardware material composition and size affect MRI artifacts. Titanium alloy is both less magnetic and dense than stainless steel, resulting in less streak artifacts from beam hardening and less distortion on MRI. Metal artifact reduction techniques have been developed, which can significantly improve image resolution, enabling early and precise detection of postoperative complications [12].

Treating arachnoiditis is difficult and complex; the cases are rare and hard to diagnose. There are multiple trials of treatment modalities for adhesive arachnoiditis. Surgery is a treatment option but is not always recommended. In a Japanese study, 36 surgeries were performed over 30 years; fusion and microsurgery were found to be superior to microsurgery only for symptomatic adhesive arachnoiditis. In a three-case series, expansive duraplasty was performed using a Gore-Tex surgical membrane and no postoperative neurological abnormalities were found [18]. However, around the spinal cord area, this experimental procedure may lead to a worse disease course.

There are various pain management modalities for adhesive arachnoiditis, such as nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, corticosteroids, antispasmodics, anticonvulsants, and opioids. However, there is no clear evidence about which is more effective. In an Ethiopian study, 507 patients with spinal cord syndrome and traumatic adhesive arachnoiditis showed no improvement after treatment with antibiotics and steroids [19]. In this case, after gabapentin administration, anal and penile pain dramatically improved. Gabapentin is a gamma-aminobutyric acid agonist previously used in an arachnoiditis trial which showed that patients with neuropathic pain related to arachnoiditis present improvement after gabapentin administration. Gabapentin normalizes the modulation of afferent stimuli in patients with dysautonomic symptoms by increasing the inhibitory drive within the spinal cord, preventing the onset of the efferent input in neuropathic pain [20]. Additionally, an experimental animal study about intrathecal gabapentin administration found decreased pain-related vocalizations in rats [17].

4. Conclusion

To the best of our knowledge, the association between spinal adhesive arachnoiditis suggestive of CES after incomplete cord injury due to traumatic TL spinal injury has never been reported. MRI is indispensable for the accurate identification of the affected spinal structures. Many surgeons and anesthetists are unaware that these sequelae exist because they appear after the patient has been discharged by the surgeon. We found that accidental spine trauma can cause delayed arachnoiditis; spine surgeons should, therefore, consider it as a possible cause. Gabapentin was found to relieve symptoms in this patient with arachnoiditis.
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