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Abstract

This research work was carried out to evaluate the antibacterial and Phytochemical activities of Caesalpinia pulcherrima leaf and stem bark on some clinical isolates. The plant parts were collected from St. Benedict Catholic Church premises, Owena Barracks, Akure, Ondo State. Crude ethyl acetate extracts of Caesalpinia pulcherrima leaves and stem bark was assayed for its antibacterial activity against Staphylococcus aureus, Klebsiella pneumonia, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Bacillus subtilis, Escherichia coli, and Salmonella typhi. using agar well diffusion method. Ofloxacin was used as standard control. The ethyl acetate extract of Caesalpinia pulcherrima leaves inhibited the growth of Escherichia coli, Staphylococcus aureus, and Salmonella typhi with 12mm, 10mm and 12mm zone of inhibition at 100mg/ml concentration and 4mm, 4mm and 6mm zone of inhibition at 12.5mg/ml concentration respectively but ineffective against Klebsiella pneumonia and Pseudomonas aeruginosa. It also inhibits the growth of Bacillus subtilis with 7mm zone of inhibition at 100mg/ml concentration and 3.0mm zone of inhibition at 25mg/ml concentration.

The ethyl acetate extract of the stem bark inhibited the growth of Escherichia coli, with 12mm and 4mm zone of inhibition at 100mg/ml and 25mg/ml concentration and Staphylococcus and Salmonella typhi with 10mm and 15mm inhibition zones at 100mg/ml concentration and 4mm and 4mm zone of inhibition at 12.5mg/ml respectively, but were ineffective against other isolates. Both qualitative and quantitative phytochemical evaluations were also carried out on both the leaves and stem bark of Caesalpinia pulcherrima and results revealed the presence of several phytoconstituents such as alkaloids, cardiac glycoside, steroids, anthraquinones, phenols, tannins, saponins, flavonoids and reducing sugar with the bark possessing more of the phytochemicals. It has been reported in the literature that these species exhibit a wide range...
of pharmacological properties, including antiulcer, anticancer, anti-diabetic, anti-inflammatory, antimicrobial, and anti-rheumatic activities that have proven to be efficacious in ethno-medicinal practices. This work is aimed at; investigating the antibacterial and phytochemical activity of *Caesalpinia pulcherrima* against selected bacteria species.
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### Introduction

*Caesalpinia pulcherrima* (Fabaceae) is native to tropics and subtropics area of the Americas. This plant is widely distributed in Bangladesh and India. It is a common medicinal plant in India, Taiwan and south East Asian and African countries [1].

*Caesalpinia pulcherrima* is a striking ornamental plant, widely grown in domestic and public gardens and has a beautiful inflorescence in yellow, red and orange. *Caesalpinia pulcherrima* species is shrub growing to 3 m tall. The leaves are bi pinnate, 20-40 cm long, bearing 3-10 pairs of pinnae, each with 6-10 pairs of leaflets 15-25 mm long and 10-15 mm broad. The flowers are borne in racemes up to 20 cm long, each flower with five yellow, orange or red petals. The fruit is a pod 6-12 cm long. Flowers are red or yellow, fragrant. Flowering season of this plant start from September to November and fruits from March to April [2].

Its various parts have been used for cure of a number of disorders including pyrexia, menoxenia, wheezing, bronchitis, and malarial infection [3]. Traditionally leaves of *Caesalpinia pulcherrima* are used as purgative, tonic, antipyretic, emmenagogue, whereas roots have folkloric use in convulsion, intermittent fever, lungs and skin diseases [4]. Flavonoids are polyphenolic compounds, widely distributed in the plant kingdom. They are reported to exhibit various pharmacological activities such as CNS activity, cardiotonic activity, lipid lowering activity, antioxidant activity, hepatoprotective activity, hypoglycemic activity and so on [5]. These active constituents and the above mention activities in turn appear to correlate with some other biological activities.

Survey revealed that the different parts of *Caesalpinia pulcherrima* have been screened for various pharmacological activities but anti-diabetic and antioxidant activities were not investigated in *Caesalpinia pulcherrima* flowers (Figure 1).

Figure 1: Caesalpinia pulcherrima (Pride of Barbados plant).

Caesalpinia pulcherrima also known as Pride of Barbados plant is a perennial large shrub or small tree found throughout the world. It has several medicinal properties, used in treatment of ulcer, fever, tumors, asthma, cholera, for abortion, promotes menstrual flow, as a purgative or the wetting evacuation of the bowels, for producing energy, to relieve chest affections, widely used for the cure of bronchitis, for malarial fevers and so many others [3]. Ceasalpinia pulcherrima has uses in the folk medicine: the stem is used as an abortifacient and emmenagogue, while decoctions of the roots and bark are used as a febrifuge and to treat liver disorders as well as ulcers from mouth and throat. Previous studies on this
plant have resulted in the isolation of several diterpenoids [6,7], flavonoids, peltogynoids, and homomisoflavonoids. Some of the constituents were found to possess antitumor, antimicrobial properties. The infusion of the leaves or the bark is used to prevent recurrence like malaria, promote menstrual flow, and work as a purgative and for producing energy. Due to the presence of different chemical compounds, the bark part of this plant may possess some pharmacological activities.

A combination of the roots, bark, and leaves may be boiled into a medicinal tea, which is given to patients as a treatment for fever, jaundice, kidney disease, and gastrointestinal disorders. Gargling with the tea is also said to treat sores in the mouth or throat. Disorders from the respiratory system can be treated by giving the patients the seed of the plant to chew. The root also contains toxins that are sometimes used by folk doctors to induce abortion.

A liquid extracted from the flowers of the plant is often used topically as an eye wash or applied to the body as an insecticide. The liquid is sometimes consumed to treat a variety of other conditions. Patients with severe gastrointestinal disorders, including dysentery or severe diarrhea, may also be given the fruit of the plant, which is said to have astringent properties, to eat. These properties help the plant to dry out the intestinal tract. The plant is known, however, to be an antiseptic and an anti-inflammatory. These qualities may make it useful in the treatment of gastrointestinal disorders and internal or external wound.

Preliminary medical studies have also indicated that Caesalpiniapulcherrima may also assist in weight loss. Mice given enzymes that are found in this plant were able to lose weight at a faster rate than the mice in the control group. Despite its potential medicinal uses

**Materials and Methods**

**Plant Sample**

**Collection, Source and Identification of Plant Materials:** The leaves and barks of *Caesalpinia pulcherrima* were used for this study. The leaves and stem bark were collected in November 2016 from St. Benedict Catholic Church compound in 32 Artillery Regiment Owena Cantonment Army Barracks, Akure, Ondo State and were authenticated at the department of Plant Science and biotechnology, Adekunle Ajasin University, Akungba Akoko, Ondo State (Figure 2).

**Preparation of Plants Extract**

*Caesapinia pulcherrima* leaves and barks were rinsed with clean water to remove dirt and other particles and were dried at room temperature for two weeks. The dried leaves and barks were crushed manually into powdered form with the help of a mortar and pestle. 300g of each of the sample were soaked in 900ml of ethyl acetate for 7 days respectively. After which it was filtered with No1 what man filter paper. The extract was evaporated to...
dryness by rotary evaporator to get a viscous mass. The viscous mass was then poured into a glass petri dishes and air dried and weighed to get a dried extract. The extract was weighed to be 18g for ethyl acetate extract of *Caesalpinia pulcherrima* bark 13g for ethyl acetate extract of *Caesalpinia pulcherrima* leaves. This extract was used for pharmacological screening. The extract was then prepared for each solvent extract by dissolving 1g of extract in 2.5ml of DMSO and 7.5ml of distilled water [8].

**Extraction of Plant**

Rotary evaporator was used in the removal of solvent of extraction from the extracts. The boiling point of ethyl acetate is 77°C respectively, the set temperature was also set on the rotary evaporator for each solvent. After evaporation, the extract were poured into different Petri dishes and air dried.

**Test Organisms**

The sensitivity of the following bacteria to extracts of *Caesalpinia pulcherrima* was assayed: *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Bacillus subtilis*, *Escherichia coli*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Salmonella typhi*, and *Klebsiella pneumonia*. These organisms were collected from the Microbiology laboratory of AdekunleAjasin University, Akungba Akoko, and Ondo state. The organisms were maintained by sub culturing onto nutrient agar slants and grown at 37°C for 24hours and was kept in the refrigerator until when needed [8].

**Antibacterial Assay**

The extracts of the leaves and bark of *Caesalpinia pulcherrima* were tested for antibacterial activity against the test organisms employing the Agar well diffusion method [9].

The test bacterium was spread on the surface of the Mueller Hinton Agar plates and the plates were allowed to solidify. Wells were bored on the plates using a sterile cork borer of 6mm diameter. A stock solution of 100 mg/ml of each extract obtained by dissolving the extract in sterile distilled water, varying concentrations of the extracts were prepared to obtain 50, 25 and 12.5mg/ml of each extract. The wells were filled with 0.1ml of the extract containing different concentration and antibiotics (Ofloxacin) used for control were introduced into the wells. The plates containing the extract were left on the bench for 2hours to allow the extract diffuse into the agar before incubation of the plates at 37°C for 18-24hours. The positive control consisted of Ofloxacin, the standard antibacterial drug at concentration of 50mg/ml. After 24hours, the plates were examined for clear zones around the well indicating the antibacterial activity of the extract [8].

**Phytochemical Screening**

**Qualitative Phytochemical Analysis of Caesalpinia Pulcherrima**

**Test for Reducing Sugars:** One milliliter of the plant filtrate was mixed with Fehling A and Fehling B separately; a brown colour with Fehling B and a green colour with Fehling A indicate the presence of reducing sugars.

**Test for Alkaloids**

**TLC method 1:** Solvent system: Chloroform: methanol: 25% ammonia (8:2:0.5). Spots can be detected after spraying with Dragendorff reagent. Orange spot shows is a positive result.

**TLC method 2:** Wet the powdered test samples with a half diluted NH4OH and lixiviated with EtOAc for 24hr at room temperature. Separate the organic phase from the acidified filtrate and basify with NH4OH (pH 11-12). Then extract it with chloroform (3X), condense by evaporation and use for chromatography. Separate the alkaloid spots using the solvent mixture chloroform and methanol (15:1). Spray the spots with Dragendorf’s reagent. An orange spot show is a positive result [10].

**Test for Anthraquinone**

a) Borntrager’s test Heat about 50mg of extract with 1ml 10% ferric chloride solution and 1ml of concentrated hydrochloric acid. Cool the extract and filter. Shake the filtrate with equal amount of diethyl ether. Further extract the ether extract with strong ammonia. Pink or deep red coloration of aqueous layer [11].

b) Borntrager’s test Add 1 ml of dilute (10%) ammonia to 2 ml of chloroform extract. A pink-red color in the ammoniacal (lower) layer [12].

**Test for Cardiac Glycosides**

**Kellar- Kiliani test:** Dissolve 50 mg of methanolic extract in 2 ml of chloroform. Add H2SO4 to form a layer. Brown ring at interphase shows is a positive result [12].

**TLC method:** Extract the powdered test samples with 70% EtOH on rotary shaker (180 thaws/min) for 10hr. Add 70% lead acetate to the filtrate and centrifuge at 5000rpm/10 min. Further centrifuge the supernatant by adding 6.3% Na2CO3 at 10000 rpm/10min. Dry the...
retained supernatant and re-dissolved in chloroform and use for chromatography. Separate the glycosides using EtOAc-MeOH-H2O (80:10:10) solvent mixture. The color and hRf values of these spots can be recorded under ultraviolet (UV254 nm) light [10].

Test for Flavonoid

Shinoda test: To 2-3ml of methanolic extract, add a piece of magnesium ribbon and 1ml of concentrated hydrochloric acid. Pink red or red coloration of the solution, shows is a positive result [11].

TLC method: Extract 1g powdered test samples with 10ml methanol on water bath (60°C/ 5min). Condense the filtrate by evaporation, and add a mixture of water and EtOAc (10:1 mL), and mix thoroughly. Retain the EtOAc phase and use for chromatography. Separate the flavonoid spots using chloroform and methanol (19:1) solvent mixture. The color and hRf values of these spots can be recorded under ultraviolet (UV254 nm) light [10].

Test for Phenol

Phenol test: Spot the extract on a filter paper. Add a drop of phosphomolybdic acid reagent and expose to ammonia vapors. Blue coloration of the spot, shows is a positive result [11].

Test for Saponin

Frothing test / Foam test: Add 0.5ml of filtrate with 5ml of distilled water and shake well. Persistence of frothing shows is a positive result [13].

TLC method: Extract two grams of powdered test samples with 10 ml 70% EtOH by refluxing for 10 min. Condense the filtrate by evaporation and add a mixture of water and EtOAc (1:1 mL), and mix thoroughly. Retain the saponins using chloroform, glacial acetic acid, methanol and water (64:34:12:8) solvent mixture. The color (yellow) and hRf values of these spots can be recorded under visible light after spraying the plates with anisaldehyde- sulphuric acid reagent and heating (100°C/6 min)The color (Greenish black to Pinkish black) and hRf values of these spots can be recorded under visible light [10].

Test for Tannin

Braemer’s test: 10% alcoholic ferric chloride will be added to 2-3ml of methanolic extract (1:1) Dark blue or greenish grey coloration of the solution [11,13].

Quantitative Method of Analysis of Caesalpinia Pulcherrima

Saponins

About 20grams each of dried plant samples were ground and, put into a conical flask after which 100 ml of 20 % aqueous ethanol were added. The mixture was heated using a hot water bath. At about 55°C, for 4 hour with continuous stirring, after which the mixture were filtered and the residue re-extracted with a further 200 ml of 20% ethanol. The combined extracts were reduced to 40 ml over a water bath at about 90°C. The concentrate was transferred into a 250ml separate funnel and 20ml of diethyl ether were added and then shaken vigorously. The aqueous layer was recovered while the ether layer was discarded. The purification process was repeated three times. 60ml of n-butanol were added. The combined n-butanol extracts were washed twice with 10ml of 5% aqueous sodium chloride. The remaining solution was heated in a water bath. After evaporation, the samples were dried in the oven to a constant weight; the saponin content was calculated as percentage of the starting material.

Flavonoids

About 10 g of the plant sample were extracted repeatedly with 100 ml of 80% aqueous methanol, at room temperature. The whole solution was filtered through what man filter paper No 42. The filtrate were later transferred into a crucible and evaporated into dryness over a water bath; the dry content was weighed to a constant weigh.

Tannins

About 500 mg of the plant sample were weighed into a 50 ml plastic bottle. 50 ml of distilled water was added and shaken for 1 hour on a mechanical shaker. This was filtered into a 50 ml volumetric flask and made up to the marked level. Then, 5 ml of the filtrate was transferred into a test tube and mixed with 2 ml of 0.1 M FeCl in 0.1 M
HCl and 0.008 M potassium ferrocyanide. The absorbance was measured at 120 nm within 10 minutes. The tannins content was calculated using a standard curve of extract.

Alkaloids

Five grams of the plant sample were weighed into a 250 ml beaker and 200 ml of 10% acetic acid in ethanol was then be added, the reaction mixture were covered and allowed to stand for 4 hour. This was filtered and the extract will be concentrated on a water bath to one-quarter of the original volume. Concentrated ammonium hydroxide was added drop-wise to the extract until the precipitation is complete. The whole solution were allowed to settle and the precipitate was collected, washed with dilute ammonium hydroxide and then filtered; the residue being the alkaloid, which was dried and weighed to a constant mass.

Results

Table 1 present a summary of the antibacterial assay of ethyl acetate extract of Caesalpinia pulcherrima leaves on test bacterial species with ofloxacin as antibiotics. A total of six assays were performed. The initial concentration of the Ethyl acetate extract of the leaves of Caesalpinia pulcherrima was 100 mg/ml with 12.0 mm zone of inhibition and 4.0 mm and 6.0 mm zone of inhibition at concentration of 12.5 mg/ml inhibits Escherichia coli and Salmonella typhi. Staphylococcus aureus was also found to be very susceptible to this extract with 10 mm zone of inhibition at 100 mg/ml and 4 mm at 12.5 mg/ml. Bacillus subtilis was found to be the least susceptible with 7 mm at 100 mg/ml and 3 mm at 25 mg/ml. Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Klebsiella pneumonia was non-susceptible to the ethyl acetate extract of the bark of Caesalpinia pulcherrima with no zones of inhibition at any concentration used in this study.

In Table 1; the Ethyl acetate extract of the barks of Caesalpinia pulcherrima shows that, Salmonella typhi and Escherichia coli was observed to be the most susceptible organism with 15.00 mm and 10.00 mm zone of inhibition at 100 mg/ml and 4.00 mm and 4.00 mm zone of inhibition at concentration of 12.5 mg/ml and 25 mg/ml respectively. Staphylococcus aureus was found to be the least susceptible organism with 10.00 mm at 100 mg/ml and 4.00 mm at 12.5 mg/ml concentration. Bacillus subtilis, Klebsiella pneumonia and Pseudomonas aeruginosa was found to be non-susceptible to the ethyl acetate extract of the bark of Caesalpinia pulcherrima with no zones of inhibition at any concentration (Figures 3 & 4) (Table 2).

| Test organisms | Inhibition Zones diameter (mm) |
|----------------|------------------------------|
|                | 100 mg/ml | 50 mg/ml | 25 mg/ml | 12.5 mg/ml |
| Escherichia coli | 12        | 9        | 6        | 4          |
| Bacillus subtilis | 7         | 5        | 3        | 0          |
| Pseudomonas aeruginosa | 9        | 5        | 4        | 0          |
| Staphylococcus aureus | 10        | 7        | 5        | 4          |
| Klebsiella pneumonia | 10        | 8        | 5        | 0          |
| Salmonella typhi | 12        | 10       | 8        | 6          |

Table 1: Antibacterial activity of caesalpiniapulcherrima leaf on selected clinical organisms.

| Test organisms | Inhibition Zones diameter (mm) |
|----------------|------------------------------|
|                | 100 mg/ml | 25 mg/ml | 12.5 mg/ml |
| E. coli       | 12        | 4        | 3          |
| Bacillus subtilis | 10        | 6        | 3          |
| Pseudomonas aeruginosa | 8        | 4        | 1          |
| Staphylococcus aureus | 10        | 6        | 4          |
| Klebsiella pneumonia | 12        | 7        | 4          |
| Salmonella typhi | 15        | 10       | 4          |

Table 2: Antibacterial Activity of Caesalpinia Pulcherrima Stem bark On Selected Clinical Organism.
Figure 4: Showing antibacterial activity of *Caesalpinia pulcherrima* stem bark against *Escherichia coli*.

The crude methanol, ethyl acetate, N-hexane, Dichloromethane extract of the leaf and stem bark of *Caesalpinia pulcherrima* were qualitatively and quantitatively tested for the presence of the components, such as alkaloids, cardiac glycoside, steroids, anthraquinine, phenol, tannins, saponin, flavonoids, reducing sugars, oxalate and phytate and the results were given in Table 3 below.

Table 3, represent a summary of the qualitative phytochemical analysis of methanolic extract of *Caesalpinia pulcherrima* leaf and stem bark for the presence of alkaloids, cardiac glycoside, steroids, anthraquinones, phenol, tannins, saponins, flavonoids, and reducing sugar. The methanolic extract of the leaves of *Caesalpinia pulcherrima* possess alkaloids, anthraquinones, tannins, saponins, and reducing sugar, but doesn’t possess cardiac glycoside, steroids, phenols, and flavonoids. The bark possess alkaloids, cardiac glycosides, tannins, saponins and reducing sugar, whereas the methanolic extract of the bark doesn’t possess steroids, anthraquinones, phenols, and flavonoids.

In Table 4, the ethyl acetate extract of *Caesalpinia pulcherrima* bark possess all the phytoconstituent except for steroids where it either possess it or not. The ethyl acetate extract of the leaves of *Caesalpinia pulcherrima* possess cardiac glycosides, anthraquinones, phenols, tannins and saponins but doesn’t possess alkaloids, steroids, flavonoids and reducing sugar.

Table 5, shows qualitative phytochemical analysis of Dichloromethane extract of *Caesalpinia pulcherrima* leaf and stem bark for the presence of the 9 phytoconstituents. The leaves and stem bark possess alkaloids, steroids, phenols, saponins, flavonoids and reducing sugar. The bark doesn’t contain cardiac glycosides and the leaves also do not contain anthraquinones and tannins phytoconstituents.

---

**Table 3: Qualitative Phytochemical Analysis of *Caesalpinia Pulcherrima* leaf And Stem Bark Extract (Methanol) %**

| Sample      | Alkaloid | Cardiac Glycoside | Steroids | Anthraquinone | Phenols | Tannins | Saponin | Flavonoids | Reducing Sugar |
|-------------|----------|-------------------|----------|---------------|---------|---------|---------|-------------|----------------|
| Leaves      | +ve      | -ve               | -ve      | +ve           | -ve     | +ve     | +ve     | -ve         | +ve            |
| Barks       | +ve      | +ve               | -ve      | +ve           | +ve     | +ve     | +ve     | -ve         | +ve            |

Keys: +ve = Presence, -ve = Absence, ND= Not detected.

**Table 4: Qualitative Phytochemical Analysis of *Caesalpinia pulcherrima* Leaf and Stem Bark Extract (Ethyl Acetate) %**

| Sample      | Alkaloid | Cardiac Glycoside | Steroids | Anthraquinone | Phenols | Tannins | Saponin | Flavonoids | Reducing Sugar |
|-------------|----------|-------------------|----------|---------------|---------|---------|---------|-------------|----------------|
| Leaves      | -ve      | +ve               | -ve      | +ve           | +ve     | +ve     | +ve     | -ve         | -ve            |
| Barks       | +ve      | +ve               | +ve      | +ve           | +ve     | +ve     | +ve     | +ve         | +ve            |

Keys: +ve = Presence, -ve = Absence, ND= Not detected.

**Table 5: Qualitative Phytochemical Analysis of *Caesalpinia pulcherrima* Leaf and Stem Barks Extract (Dichloro Methane) %**

| Sample      | Alkaloid | Cardiac Glycoside | Steroids | Anthraquinone | Phenols | Tannins | Saponin | Flavonoids | Reducing Sugar |
|-------------|----------|-------------------|----------|---------------|---------|---------|---------|-------------|----------------|
| Leaves      | +ve      | +ve               | +ve      | -ve           | +ve     | +ve     | +ve     | +ve         | +ve            |
| Barks       | +ve      | -ve               | +ve      | +ve           | +ve     | +ve     | +ve     | +ve         | +ve            |

Keys: +ve = Presence, -ve = Absence, ND= Not detected.
In Table 6, the qualitative phytochemical analysis of N-Hexane extract of the leaves of *Caesalpinia pulcherrima* do not possess alkaloids, steroids, flavonoids and reducing sugar but possesses cardiac glycosides, anthraquinones, phenols, tannins, and saponins. The N-Hexane extract of the stem bark of *caesalpinia pulcherrima* contains all the phytoconstituents except for alkaloids and reducing sugar where it either possesses it or not.

Table 7, present a summary of the quantitative phytochemical analysis of methanolic extract of *Caesalpinia pulcherrima* leaf and stem bark for the presence of alkaloids, oxalate, phytate, phenol, tannins, saponins, and flavonoids. The methanolic extract of the leaves of *Caesalpinia pulcherrima* reveals the presence of all the phytoconstituents to be in trace amount, with alkaloids and oxalate to be in lowest amount and the others to be in high amount. The phytochemicals present in the bark are all in the trace form except for flavonoids that is not detected at all.

Table 8, shows quantitative result of the plants using ethyl acetate as solvent. The leaves of *Caesalpinia pulcherrima* reveals the presence of alkaloids, oxalates, phytates, phenols, tannins in high amount with phytate having the highest amount as 31.49, flavonoids in moderate amount with 7.23 and saponins in lowest amount with 5.75. Whereas, the bark depicts the presence of constituents like alkaloids, oxalate, phytate, phenol, tannins in high form with tannins being the highest of them all in quantity with 36.10 and saponin was present in moderate form while flavonoids was in trace form.

| Sample | Alkaloid | Oxalate | Phytate | Phenol | Tannins | Saponin | Flavonoids |
|--------|----------|---------|---------|--------|---------|---------|-----------|
| Leaves | 3.23     | 1.25    | 4.25    | 4.31   | 4.36    | 4.37    | 5.2       |
| Barks  | 2.2      | 2.1     | 2.32    | 2.37   | 2.3     | 2.25    | ND        |

Table 7: Quantitative Phytochemical Analysis of *Caesalpinia Pulcherrima* Leaf and Stem Bark Extract (Methanol) %.

| Sample | Alkaloid | Oxalate | Phytate | Phenol | Tannins | Saponin | Flavonoids |
|--------|----------|---------|---------|--------|---------|---------|-----------|
| Leaves | 20       | 26.19   | 31.49   | 22.08  | 25.07   | 5.75    | 7.23      |
| Barks  | 19.82    | 24.77   | 29.49   | 24.21  | 36.1    | 6.53    | 4.78      |

Table 8: Quantitative Phytochemical Analysis of *Caesalpinia Pulcherrima* Leaf and Stem Bark Extract (Ethyl Acetate) %.

Table 9, reveals the quantitative phytochemical results for *Caesalpinia pulcherrima* leaves and stem bark using N-Hexane as extracting solvent. It reveals the presence of alkaloids and flavonoids in moderate amount with 12.00 and 7.23 respectively and oxalate and saponins was present in trace amount with 2.19 and 5.75 respectively, whereas, phytate, phenol and tannins were present in high amount with 20.49, 22.08 and 25.07 respectively in the leaves. The bark shows that most of the phytochemicals were present in high amount, except for saponins and flavonoids which was present in small or trace amount with 6.53 and 4.78 respectively.

| Sample | Alkaloid | Oxalate | Phytate | Phenol | Tannins | Saponin | Flavonoids |
|--------|----------|---------|---------|--------|---------|---------|-----------|
| Leaves | 12       | 2.19    | 20.49   | 22.08  | 25.07   | 5.75    | 7.23      |
| Barks  | 19.82    | 14.77   | 12.49   | 20.21  | 13.1    | 6.53    | 4.78      |

Table 9: Quantitative Phytochemical Analysis of *Caesalpinia pulcherrima* Leaf and Stem Bark Extract (N-Hexane) %.
Discussion

The present study carried out on the leaves and stem bark of *Caesalpinia pulcherrima* extracts revealed the possession of medicinal activities. Studies with reference to their specific antibacterial activity had been done to negligible extent. The screening of leaf and bark of *Caesalpinia pulcherrima* for antibacterial activity was carried out by agar well diffusion method. The selection of this plant is based on its use in folk medicine. In the present study, six bacterial strains were used which are responsible for various minor or major infections in humans. They are *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Bacillus subtilis*, *Escherichia coli*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Salmonella typhi* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. All the extracts of leaves and stem bark of *Caesalpinia pulcherrima* showed potent antibacterial activity. The extracts of leaves of *Caesalpinia pulcherrima* showed higher activity compared to the stem bark.

Ethyl acetate extracts of *Caesalpinia pulcherrima* stem bark were found to have higher inhibitory activity against *Salmonella typhi*, with 15mm zone of inhibition at 100mg/ml and 4mm zone of inhibition at 12.5mg/ml, and *Staphylococcus aureus*, being the least susceptible organism, with 10mm zone of inhibition at 100mg/ml concentration and 4mm zone of inhibition at 12.5mg/ml concentration. The stem bark was also found to have high inhibitory activity to *Escherichia coli*, with 12mm zone of inhibition at concentration of 100mg/ml and 4mm zone of inhibition at 25mg/ml. For the extract to have inhibitory activity against these test organisms, it might be due to certain bioactive compounds such as alkaloid, flavonoids and tannins present in the stem bark extract of *Caesalpinia pulcherrima*. This could also be as a result that the extracting solvent (ethyl acetate) used was able to extract certain bioactive compound that was active against the test organisms. *Bacillus subtilis*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* were found not to be susceptible to ethyl acetate extract of *Caesalpinia pulcherrima* stem bark. This might be because the extracting solvent used was unable to extract the bioactive component necessary for the inhibition of the organisms [14].

Ethyl acetate extract of the *Caesalpinia pulcherrima* leaves exhibited higher inhibitory activity against all the tested organisms except *Bacillus subtilis* and *Klebsiella pneumonia*, with *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella typhi* having the highest zone of inhibition of 12mm at 100mg/ml, 4mm and 6mm zone of inhibition at 12.5mg/ml concentration, followed by *Staphylococcus aureus*, with 10mm zone of inhibition at 100mg/ml and 4mm zone of inhibition at 12.5mg/ml concentration. Whereas, *Bacillus subtilis* was found to be the least susceptible organism with 7mm and 3mm zones of inhibition at 100mg/ml and 25mg/ml concentrations respectively. This might be that some bioactive compounds present in the leaf extract, possess antibacterial activities against the test organisms. *Bacillus subtilis* and *Klebsiella pneumonia* that was resistant to *Caesalpinia pulcherrima* leaf extract could due to the absence of compounds necessary to inhibit the growth of these organisms in the extract. The absence of these compounds might be because the solvent used in the study was unable to extract all necessary bioactive compounds from the leaf. Also concentration would have been more effective enough to inhibit the growth of all the microorganisms probably if much concentration was used [14]. It was reported that the ethyl acetate extracts of leaves of *Caesalpinia Pulcherrima* possess antimicrobial activity against *Escherichia coli*, *Bacillus subtilis* and *Staphylococcus aureus* [15]. The results of antibacterial activity of crude extracts of *Caesalpinia pulcherrima* were summarized in (Tables 1 & 2) respectively.

However, the crude methanol, ethyl acetate, N-hexane, Dichloro-methane was qualitatively and quantitatively tested for the presence of steroids, glycosides, alkaloids, flavonoids, anthraquinones, tannins, oxalate, phytate and phenols. The methanol, ethyl acetate and n-hexane extract of leaf of *Caesalpinia pulcherrima* does not possess steroids and flavonoids phytoconstituents. Methanol, ethyl acetate, dichloromethane & n-hexane extracts possess tannins and saponin whereas methanol & dichloromethane extracts possess reducing sugar. The stem bark possesses alkaloids and reducing sugar in methanol, ethyl acetate, dichloromethane, n-hexane extracts. Ethyl acetate and n-hexane extracts of the bark possess all the phytoconstituent. Whereas methanol extracts does not possess phenols and flavonoids.

Therefore, the detected different bioactive compounds in the different crude may be responsible for the antibacterial activity of plant crude extracts. It is reported that Saponins are bioactive chemical constituents which are involved in plant disease resistance because of their antimicrobial activity. Tannins are phenolic compound and their derivatives are also considered as primary antioxidants or free radical scavengers [16]. Flavonoids groups exhibited a wide range of biological activities such as antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, antimicrobial, anticancer and anti-allergic [17]. The presence of qualitative phytoconstituents was reported in (Tables 4-6).
In the same way, the quantitative phytochemical analysis revealed the presence of most of the constituents tested for in different amounts. Most of the phytoconstituents were present in high quantity, some in moderate amounts and others in small or trace amount [18]. History of use of herbal medicine in the treatment of diseases can be identified with the history of medicine and with the history of civilization itself. All parts of plants were used in Ayurveda, Unani and Homeopathic systems of medicine for the treatment of various human diseases [19-24].

**Conclusion**

The results revealed that the crude extracts of *Caesalpinia pulcherrima* contain certain constituents like alkaloids, cardiac glycosides, tannins, steroids, anthraquinones, phenols, saponins, flavonoids and reducing sugar which could make the plant useful in treating different ailments and have potential to provide useful drug for human use. The present study exhibited the antibacterial effect of various extracts of *Caesalpinia pulcherrima*. The inhibitory effect of the extracts justified the medicinal use of *Caesalpinia pulcherrima*. Hence, it is apparent that this plant has been found to possess effective antibacterial substances against a wide range of microorganisms. The plant can also be further explored for its activity against wide spectrum of microbes and can be developed into powerful antibiotics.

**Recommendation**

I recommend a continuous use of the *Caesalpinia pulcherrima* (pride of Barbados) plant, as the plant can be used against malaria, as an anti-inflammatory, an anti-microbial, against staph infections, and is said to kill cancer cells. It is also an ornamental plant that can be used for decorative purposes in gardens and as houseplant.
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