Defined TLR3-specific adjuvant that induces NK and CTL activation without significant cytokine production in vivo
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Ligand stimulation of the Toll-like receptors (TLRs) triggers innate immune response, cytokine production and cellular immune activation in dendritic cells. However, most TLR ligands are microbial constituents, which cause inflammation and toxicity. Toxic response could be reduced for secure immunotherapy through the use of chemically synthesized ligands with defined functions. Here we create an RNA ligand for TLR3 with no ability to activate the RIG-I/MDA5 pathway. This TLR3 ligand is a chimeric molecule consisting of phosphorothioate ODN-guided dsRNA (sODN-dsRNA), which elicits far less cytokine production than poly(I:C) in vitro and in vivo. The activation of TLR3/TICAM-1 pathway by sODN-dsRNA effectively induces natural killer and cytotoxic T cells in tumour-loaded mice, thereby establishing antitumour immunity. Systemic cytokinemia does not occur following subcutaneous or even intraperitoneal administration of sODN-dsRNA, indicating that TICAM-1 signalling with minute local cytokines sufficiently activate dendritic cells to prime tumoricidal effectors in vivo.
Double-stranded (ds) RNA is often a signature of viral infection, which induces production of type I interferon (IFN) and inflammatory cytokines. Its putative analogue polyinosinic:polycytidylic acid (poly(I:C)) exhibits both strong antiviral and anticancer potential. Poly(I:C) has been considered a promising adjuvant for cancer immunotherapy for several decades. In mouse models, growth retardation of syngeneic tumours is observed following administration of poly(I:C), which is due to dendritic cell (DC)-derived natural killer (NK) and cytotoxic T-cell (CTL) activity. Nevertheless, it has not been successfully used therapeutically in patients with cancer. The amount of poly(I:C) required for an adequate therapeutic response causes side effects, including arthralgia, fever, erythema and sometimes life-threatening endothoxin-like shock, which have prevented application of this dsRNA analogue from the clinical use. These side effects may be related to cytokine storm induced by dsRNA, although the situation is somewhat alleviated when minimal poly(I:C)-LC (poly(I)-lysine and methylcellulose) is used instead of effector-inducible doses of mere poly(I:C) alone.

According to recent understanding on pattern recognition of innate immunity, poly(I:C) is a ligand for multiple pattern recognition receptors (PRRs), including protein kinase R, retinoic acid-inducible gene I (RIG-I), melanoma differentiation-associated gene 5 (MDA5) and Toll-like receptor (TLR) 3 (refs 1,4,12). Virus replication usually produces dsRNA within the cytoplasm of infected cells and stimulates the cytoplasmic RNA sensors. In contrast, TLR3 is activated when dsRNA liberated from virus-infected cells is internalized into the endosome of non-infected phagocytes, such as DCs and macrophages. Type I IFN and DC-mediated immune responses are evoked to suppress virus replication. Physiologically, these responses occur in a complex manner, therefore, what happens in vivo when a single receptor is stimulated remains to be elucidated, whereas what happens in vivo when a single gene is disrupted has been reported in knockout (KO) mouse studies. It is therefore crucial in drug design to create PRR ligands specific for each PRR for the development of immune adjuvant.

Regression of tumour with a lesser major histocompatibility complex expression is caused by reciprocal activation of NK cells by poly(I:C)-stimulated DCs. However, in antitumour immunity, constitutive proliferation of antitumour CTL is important and antigen (Ag)-presenting DC must capture not only innate patterns but also tumour-associated Ag (TAA) for their cross-priming and CD14+ DCs in humans. Type I IFN and DC-mediated immune responses are evoked to suppress virus replication. Physiologically, these responses occur in a complex manner, therefore, what happens in vivo when a single receptor is stimulated remains to be elucidated, whereas what happens in vivo when a single gene is disrupted has been reported in knockout (KO) mouse studies. It is therefore crucial in drug design to create PRR ligands specific for each PRR for the development of immune adjuvant.

Regression of tumour with a lesser major histocompatibility complex expression is caused by reciprocal activation of NK cells by poly(I:C)-stimulated DCs. However, in antitumour immunity, constitutive proliferation of antitumour CTL is important and antigen (Ag)-presenting DC must capture not only innate patterns but also tumour-associated Ag (TAA) for their cross-priming and CD14+ DCs in humans. Type I IFN and DC-mediated immune responses are evoked to suppress virus replication. Physiologically, these responses occur in a complex manner, therefore, what happens in vivo when a single receptor is stimulated remains to be elucidated, whereas what happens in vivo when a single gene is disrupted has been reported in knockout (KO) mouse studies. It is therefore crucial in drug design to create PRR ligands specific for each PRR for the development of immune adjuvant.

Testing function of in vitro transcribed sODN-dsRNAs. Various kinds of sODN-dsRNA hybrid molecules were prepared by in vitro transcription and annealing (Supplementary Fig. 1a, Supplementary Tables 1 and 2). In preliminary experiments to screen for a preferential sODN-dsRNA, we tested reporter gene (Luc125 for IFN-β promoter) activation in HEK293 cells expressing human TLR3.

sODN-dsRNAs with dsRNA of >99 bp in length induced TLR3-dependent IFN-β-promoter activation similar to that induced by poly(I:C) in the presence or absence of fetal calf serum (FCS), whereas sODN-dsRNAs with dsRNA of <79 bp induced hardly any activation of TLR3 (Supplementary Fig. 1b,c). Notably, none of the sODN-dsRNAs examined were able to activate cytoplasmic RNA sensors when transfected into HEK293 cells (Supplementary Fig. 2). We examined whether GpC motif or the length of sODN influenced the uptake of sODN-dsRNA. TLR3-mediated IFN-β promoter activation by sODN-dsRNA was independent of the presence of a GpC motif of sODN but dependent on the length; almost >20-mer of sODN is required for full activation of endosomal TLR3 (Supplementary Fig. 3). 139 bp dsRNA and control B-type (c2006) or C-type (cM362) sODN were good candidates for activation of endosomal TLR3 with no TLR9 activation.

We next examined the internalization of Cy3-labelled cM362-dsRNA (cM362-79, cM362-99 and cM362-139) in HeLa cells. cM362-dsRNAs were all similarly bound to the cell surface at 4°C, but dsRNA73 and dsRNA139 without cM362 could not bind (Supplementary Fig. 4). When cells were incubated at 37°C, cM362-99 and cM362-139 both entered the cells more quickly than cM362 and cM362-79, localized in the early endosome after 15 min incubation and were retained for up to 120 min, whereas cM362 and cM362-79 co-localized with EEA1 at a later time point (30 min) and quickly moved to the lysosomes. Localization of cM362-139 in the lysosomes was observed after 60 min
Figure 1 | Preparation of cM362-139 and cM362-140. (a) Schematic diagram of cM362-140. cM362-140 consists of chemically synthesized two nucleotide strands. The sense strand (S) is a 140 mer RNA capped with cM362 (25 mer) at 5' site. The antisense strand (AS) is the complementary 140 mer RNA. Five pmol of S and AS RNAs were analysed on 8% PAGE containing 7M urea. M1 and M2, RNA size markers. (b) Schematic diagram of cM362-139(IVT) and its electrophorograms. cM362-139(IVT) consists of three nucleotide strands, sODN (cM362 + linker DNA; 41 mer), in vitro transcribed sense RNA strand (RNA1; 139 mer) and antisense RNA strand (RNA2; 154 mer). All sequences of DNA and RNAs are described in Supplementary Tables. sODN, RNA1, RNA2, dsRNA (RNA1 + RNA2) and complex cM362-139 (sODN + RNA1 + RNA2) were analysed using multi-channel microchip electrophoresis. (c) Stability of cM362-139(IVT) and cM362-140. cM362-139(IVT) and cM362-140 were incubated in PBS with or without 10% heat-inactivated FBS, mouse serum (MS) or human serum (HS) at 37 °C or 42 °C for indicated time points. Aliquots containing 0.1 μg of treated cM362-dsRNAs were loaded onto a 4% agarose gel.
incubation, which was relatively slow compared with cM362-99 (Supplementary Fig. 4). These results suggested that the length of dsRNA together with the presence of cM362 influences the internalization speed and retention time in the TLR3-situated early endosome.

The abilities of cM362-dsRNAs to induce cytokine production were then examined using splenic DCs from wild-type and TLR3-deficient mice. cM362-79 and -139 induced a slight increase in TNF-α and IL-6 production by splenic CD11c+ DCs in a TLR3-dependent manner (Supplementary Fig. 5a). Again, cM362-dsRNAs did not activate cytoplasmic RNA sensors in mouse splenic CD11c+ DCs (Supplementary Fig. 5b). Thus, the chimeric compound cM362-139 appears to possess novel features that enable it to be quickly delivered to TLR3-positive endosomes, retained for a long period in the endosomes, and activate TLR3 but not RIG-like receptor (RLR).

As a TLR3-specific agonist with high activity, we selected cM362-139 for further in vivo studies with tumour-loaded mice.

Chemical synthesis of cM362-140. As chemical synthesis of sODN-dsRNA is indispensable for complying with good manufacturing practice (GMP) criteria, we started trials for chemical synthesis of cM362-140 (Fig. 1a), and its activity was analysed in comparison with in vitro transcribed cM362-139 (Fig. 1a,b). A synthesized 156 mer sense cM362-RNA hybrid and a 140mer antisense RNA, both of which consisted of single bands on 8% polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) and high-performance liquid chromatography/mass spectrometry analysis were annealed to make cM362-140 (Fig. 1a, lower panel). First, the degradability of cM362-139(IVT) and chemically synthesized cM362-140 were tested under different conditions. Both compounds were stable during incubation in PBS with or without 10% FBS, mouse serum or human serum at 37°C for 60 min. cM362-139(IVT) was slightly degraded in PBS containing FBS or mouse serum but not human serum during incubation at 42°C (Fig. 1c). Notably, cM362-139(IVT) was susceptible to degradation by nucleases during incubation in RNase-free water containing 10% FBS or mouse serum, but relatively resistant to human serum (Supplementary Fig. 6). In contrast, cM362-140 was quite stable under all these conditions. Thus, synthetic cM362-140 consisting of the cM362-capped RNA strand and antisense RNA strand was found to be more resistant to serum nucleases than cM362-139(IVT) consisting of three nucleotide strands.

cM362-140 activates TLR3 but not cytoplasmic RNA sensors. cM362-140 efficiently induced TLR3-dependent IFN-β promoter activation similar to cM362-139(IVT), when it was used to stimulate HEK293 cells expressing human TLR3 by simple addition or endosomal delivery (Fig. 2a, left and centre panels). Activation of cytoplasmic RNA/DNA sensors by cM362-140 was hardly observed in HEK293 cells similar to cM362-139(IVT) (Fig. 2a, right panel). To address the potential of cM362-140 for cytokine induction, splenic DCs from wild-type, Tlr3−/− or Mavs−/− mice were stimulated with poly(I:C), cM362-139(IVT), control synthetic dsRNA140 or cM362-140, either alone or complexed with N-(1-(2,3-Dioleoyloxy)propyl)-N, N, N-trimethylammonium methyl-sulfate (DOTAP) to deliver them to endosomes, or complexed with Lipofectamine to deliver them to cytoplasm. Extracellular addition of cM362-139(IVT) and cM362-140 to splenic DCs induced a subtle increase in TNF-α, IL-6 and IFN-β production compared with poly(I:C) treatment, whereas synthetic dsRNA140 (with no GpC) did not induce any cytokine over the detection limits (Fig. 2b, left panels). Endosomal delivery of cM362-139(IVT) or cM362-140 with DOTAP also induced minimal levels of TNF-α, IL-6 and IFN-β dependent upon TLR3 (Fig. 2b, centre panels). When the compounds were transfected into cytoplasm with Lipofectamine, Mavs-dependent cytokine production was barely observed with cM362-140, whereas only low levels of IL-6 and IFN-β were induced with cM362-139 in TLR3 KO DC (Fig. 2b, right panel). This Mavs activity may reflect the exposure of a few 5'-triphosphated species of cM362-139(IVT) due to minor RNA degradation. These results indicate that cM362-140 targets endosomal TLR3 and activate the TICAM-1 pathway in both human and mouse cells.

In vivo cytokine induction by cM362-140. Injection of poly(I:C) into mouse peritoneal cavity strongly induced proinflammatory cytokine production in a TLR3-independent manner and high level of TNF-α and IL-6 were detected in sera at 3 h after injection (Fig. 3a). In contrast, both cM362-140 and cM362-139(IVT) hardly induced cytokine production and serum TNF-α, IL-6 and IL-10 levels were very low, which is mediated by TLR3 (Fig. 3a). Unlike poly(I:C), cM362-140 or -139(IVT) induced undetectable levels of IFN-β in wild-type mouse sera (Supplementary Fig. 7).

A subcutaneous (s.c.) injection of cM362-140 induced the mRNAs of IFN-β and IL-6, but not TNF-α, in the inguinal and axillary lymph nodes (LNs) and spleen; the expression level was lower than that induced by poly(I:C) (Fig. 3b). These results suggest that TLR3-specific activation with cM362-140 results in low levels of cytokine production in vivo either by intraperitoneal (i.p.) or s.c. administration.

EG7 tumour regression by CTL induced by cM362-139/140. The next question was whether cM362-139(IVT) causes tumour growth retardation as observed with poly(I:C). EG7 cells (a lymphoma cell line containing ovalbumin, OVA) were inoculated into the back of wild-type (WT) C57BL/6 mice, and the indicated materials were injected s.c. around the EG7 tumour that developed (Fig. 4). Tumour growth was mildly retarded by treatment with poly(I:C) or cM362-139(IVT) alone (Fig. 4a). Combination therapy of OVA and poly(I:C) or cM362-139(IVT) resulted in complete remission of EG7 tumour >12 days after the treatment (Fig. 4a). The results infer that the combination of RNA adjuvant + tumour Ag exerts antitumour immune effect in spite of the low induction of proinflammatory cytokines.

We next tested whether s.c. injection of cM362-139(IVT) plus OVA induced CTL proliferation. The OVA tetramer assay and IFN-γ production were employed to evaluate OVA-specific CD8+ T-cell activation. Combination therapy of cM362-139(IVT) with OVA exhibited an increase in the frequency of Ag-specific CD8+ T cells comparable to poly(I:C) with OVA (Fig. 4b). Ag-specific CD8+ T cells clonally proliferated against EG7 as in vitro cytotoxicity was directed exclusively to EG7 in mice stimulated with cM362-139(IVT) with OVA (Fig. 4c) as well as poly(I:C) with OVA3. EG7 growth retardation by cM362-139(IVT) with OVA was largely abrogated in TLR3 KO mice (Supplementary Fig. 8), suggesting that cM362-139(IVT) acts on host TLR3 in vivo. However, mild tumour growth retardation was still observed with cM362-139(IVT) with OVA in TLR3 KO mice (Supplementary Fig. 8), implying minor involvement of EG7 cell TLR3 or other host RNA sensors, such as RLR26 and DEAD-box helicases27, in in vivo tumour regression. Yet, tumor cell’s TLR3 signaling and chemokine induction might affect tumor remission (refs 28–30).

We finally tested whether chemically synthesized cM362-140 harbours the ability to retard EG7 growth in the same model. The synthetic cM362-140 showed ~80% activity for IFN-β reporter activation compared with cM362-139(IVT) and a single
treatment with cM362-140 caused barely any regression of EG7 tumours in this model (Fig. 5a). Nevertheless, combination therapy with OVA + cM362-140 still induced tumour growth retardation (Fig. 5a). OVA-specific CD8+ T cells proliferated and activated in the mice stimulated with OVA + cM362-140, as assessed by tetramer assay (Fig. 5b) and IFN-γ production (Fig. 5c). We confirmed that the induction of OVA-specific CD8+ T-cell activation by cM362-140 + OVA largely depends
on TLR3/TICAM-1 using KO mice (Supplementary Fig. 9). Although polyI:C induces RIP1/3-mediated necroptosis via TICAM-1 in some tumour lines, cM362-140 was not the case in EG7 tumour (Supplementary Fig. 10). Hence, TLR3 has an important role in inducing cM362-140-mediated immune response and tumour growth retardation in the s.c. setting we employed in this study.

Antigen-specific CD8⁺ T-cell priming by cM362-139/140. The Ag-specific CD8⁺ T-cell priming ability of cM362-139/140 in tumour-free settings was next examined using spleen and inguinal LN cells. Wild-type mice were injected s.c. with OVA with or without RNA adjuvants twice per week. Since OVA-specific CD8⁺ T cells most proliferated in spleen or inguinal LN 4 days after the last injection of OVA + poly(I:C) (Fig. 6a), spleen and LN cells were harvested from mice 4 days after the last adjuvant injection. cM362-139/140 significantly induced OVA-specific CD8⁺ T-cell proliferation in the inguinal LN and spleen compared with poly(I:C) (Fig. 6b). OVA-specific IFN-γ production in spleen cells was also efficiently induced by cM362-139(IVT) and cM362-140 (Fig. 6c). The TICAM-1 pathway was mainly involved in Ag-specific CD8⁺ T-cell activation induced by cM362-140 (Fig. 6d).

NK cell-mediated B16 tumour regression by cM362-139/140. Using a C57BL/6-B16 syngeneic NK-sensitive tumour-implant model, we evaluated NK-dependent antitumour activity of cM362-139(IVT) injected s.c. around the pre-formed tumour (Fig. 7a). Suppression of tumour growth, determined as reported previously, was observed in the group that received cM362-139(IVT) compared with the water-treated group. The retardation of B16 tumour growth appeared to depend on TLR3

Figure 3 | cM362-139(IVT) and cM362-140 do not induce inflammatory cytokines. (a) Wild-type or Tlr3⁻/⁻ female mice (9 week) were injected i.p. with 50 μg poly(I:C), cM362-139(IVT) or cM362-140 in RNase-free water. At timed intervals, blood was collected from the tail vein and TNF-α, IL-6 and IL-10 levels in each sample were measured using a CBA. Data are shown as the mean ± s.e.; n = 3 mice per group. (b) Wild-type or Ticam⁻/⁻ female mice were injected s.c. with distilled water (DW), poly(I:C) or cM362-140 in RNase-free water. After 6 h, spleen, inguinal and axillary LNs were harvested and IFN-β and IL-6 mRNA expressions were quantified by qPCR. Data are expressed as the fold induction relative to the expression in DW-injected mice and shown as the mean ± s.e.; n = 3 mice per group.
and TICAM-1 (Fig. 7a,b). NK1.1 + cells were involved in this tumour growth retardation (Fig. 7c), consistent with the NK-sensitive properties of B16 cells. No direct tumour cytosis by macrophages was associated with B16 growth retardation in the cM362-139(IVT) therapy. Splenocytes from the cM362-139(IVT)-treated group exerted higher cytotoxicity than those from the control group in vitro (Fig. 7d).

The chemically synthesized TLR3 ligand cM362-140 expressed a similar tumour-suppressing activity against B16 implant melanoma in the same model (Fig. 7e). This cM362-140-mediated NK-tumoricidal activity on B16 tumours was abrogated in Tcam1 −/− mice (Fig. 7e). Thus, cM362-140 suppresses NK-sensitive tumours in vivo via TLR3 by acting as an NK-inducing adjuvant.

**Discussion**

Cancer immunotherapy relies on suitable adjuvants. Many TAA peptides have been synthesized, but the lack of an appropriate adjuvant to induce an immune response against the peptides has hampered progress in peptide vaccine therapy. Although many candidates, most of which were retrospectively recognized as TLR

---

**Figure 4 | cM362-139(IVT) induces CTL-mediated tumour regression.**

(a) Antitumour effect of cM362-139(IVT). WT mice were challenged with EG7 cells, and 7 and 14 days later they were s.c. injected with control PBS (●), OVA (▲), poly(I:C (□) and OVA + poly(I:C (○) or cM362-139(IVT) (■) or OVA + cM362-139 (●). Tumour size was evaluated in each group. All error bars used in this figure show ± s.e.m. Data are representative of two independent experiments. Each group consisted of five mice. *P<0.05 (ANOVA with Bonferroni’s test). (b) OVA-specific CTL induction by cM362-139(IVT). Left panel: spleen cells were harvested at day 21 (7 days after 2nd therapy) and the proportion of tetramer-positive cells/CD8 + T cells was evaluated. *P<0.05, **P<0.01 (ANOVA with Bonferroni’s test). Right panel: spleen cells were harvested at day 21 as for the left panel. The cells were stimulated with OVA peptide for 3 days and the level of IFN-γ in the culture supernatant was measured. (c) Ag-specific cytotoxicity induced by cM362-139(IVT). Splenocytes collected from tumour-bearing mice at day 21 were cultured in the presence of immobilized EG7 for 5 days. Then, the cytotoxicity against EG7 (left panel) or C1498 (control, right panel) was measured by 51Cr release assay.

**Figure 5 | cM362-140 induces EG7 tumour growth retardation.**

(a) Antitumour effect of cM362-140. As in Fig. 4, tumour-bearing mice were s.c. injected with PBS, OVA, cM362-140 and OVA + cM362-140 at timed intervals (days 8 and 15). Tumour size was evaluated in each group. (b) OVA-specific CTL induction by cM362-140. The proportion of tetramer-positive cells/CD8 + T cells in spleen was evaluated at day 22 (7 days after 2nd therapy). (c) Ag-specific IFN-γ production induced by cM362-140. Splenocytes were harvested at day 22 and incubated with OVA peptides for 3 days. The level of IFN-γ in the supernatant was measured by ELISA. *P<0.05, **P<0.01 (ANOVA with Bonferroni’s test).
agonists, have been tested in humans, they have not yet been clinically approved because of their undesired effects.

In this study, we designed many nucleotide adjuvants and tested their functional properties. Our approach is timely since most dsRNA receptors have been identified in the mouse and clinically approved because of their undesired effects.
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human\textsuperscript{1–4}, and their structures and properties have been known for a decade\textsuperscript{32–34}. Based on our current understanding of the dsRNA response, poly(I:C) activates both TICAM-1 (ref. 35) and MAVS pathways\textsuperscript{36} resulting in systemic cytokinemia in mice\textsuperscript{4}\textsuperscript{1,35}. Viral replication intermediates, double-stranded RNA and 5′-triphosphate RNA cause activation of the RLR pathway and robust cytokine production\textsuperscript{38,39}. Here we chemically synthesized and tested a synthetic compound, cM362-140, an sODN-dsRNA that entered the endosomes and activated the TLR3/TICAM-1 pathway \textit{in vivo}. Specifically, cM362-140 activated TLR3 in myeloid cells, including DCs in draining LNs, and induced activation of NK cells and proliferation of CTL. There was no systemically significant production of cytokines, including IFNs, after treatment with cM362-140. Our study establishes the proof-of-concept that modified or complexed RNA can regulate the immune response through TLR3 (refs 37,40), and that cM362-140 performs this function \textit{in vivo}.

In this study, a systemic increase in the cytokine levels was not required for the induction of an antitumour immune response. Instead, a basal cytokine level effectively primed DCs to activate tumouridal NK cells and CTL response. Subcutaneous or i.p. injection of cM362-140 barely activated the RLR pathway, whereas poly(I:C) activated this pathway to induce systemic cytokinemia. cM362-140 activated TICAM-1 for DC maturation, but barely induced chemokine production or necroptosis in tumour cells (Supplementary Fig. 10), which were reported as a direct action of poly(I:C)\textsuperscript{29,30}. Therefore, cM362-140 eliminates major inflammatory responses caused by poly(I:C).

The 5′-sODN sequence of cM362-140 targeted the dsRNA to the endosome and evaded recognition by RIG-I/MDA5. A 140-bp stretch of dsRNA was required for TLR3 multimerization and TICAM-1 activation, but unsatisfactory for endosome targeting\textsuperscript{40,41}. The 5′-sODN sequence of cM362 does not contain a CpG motif, which stimulates cytokine production via TLR9 in plasmacytoid DC. To prevent Dicer-mediated RNA interference, RNA sequences specific to human mRNAs were not employed. In addition, the antisense 5′-end of cM362-140 was OH, neither phosphorylated nor capped, unlike viral or host RNA products. Although cM362-140 was an artificial compound to circumvent host innate sensors, its constituents are native and modifiable to maximize antitumour response. Although the nucleic acid-sensing system differs somewhat between mouse and human\textsuperscript{2,4}, generally, human BDCA3 \textsuperscript{+} DCs express a high level of TLR3 but no TLR9 (ref. 20), whereas mouse CD8\textsuperscript{+} DCs express TLR3 and TLR9 (refs 2,18,41), extrapolating results from the mouse and TLR9 (refs 2,18,41), extrapolating results from the mouse and and TLR3-specificity as an adjuvant are scant. Poly(I:C)/LC, another antitumour adjuvant, has shown clinical promise, but it causes cytokine toxicity, thereby precluding its further development\textsuperscript{36,42–44}\textsuperscript{51}. Type I IFN induction and the output of IFNAR activation appear to be predominant in low-dose poly(I:C) administration in human volunteers\textsuperscript{42}. In another clinical study, a low dose (1.4 mg per body) of poly(I:C)/LC in combination with NY-Eso-1, which contains TAA epitopes, has been shown to mount a tumour-specific T-cell response\textsuperscript{11}. Although low doses of poly(I:C)/LC induce type I IFN, it only insufficiently stimulates T-cell proliferation in vivo\textsuperscript{11}. cM362-140 is advantageous over poly(I:C)/LC in that a high dose can be used to specifically activate TICAM-1, but not MAVS in vivo. This is the first report of the successful chemical creation of a long, sequence-defined and bioactive TLR3-specific ligand.

The targeting of programmed cell death-1 and cytotoxic T-lymphocyte-associated protein-4 with monoclonal antibodies in patients with progressive-staged cancer may provide another immunotherapy breakthrough\textsuperscript{52}. Except for an alum adjuvant that can induce a Th2 response\textsuperscript{53}, there is no suitable adjuvant-TAA combination for immunotherapy. Immune enhancing by adjuvant would be required since tumour cells can undergo mutations and survive to circumvent immune attack. A main problem is that current adjuvant candidates are all inflammatory, facilitating formation of tumour-supporting microenvironment, that accelerates genome instability, tumour growth and progression\textsuperscript{45}. Here, sODN-dsRNA is a non-inflammatory adjuvant sustaining DC-mediated NK/CTL activation, its combining with TAs will bring a therapeutic benefit to a number of patients with intractable tumours.

**Methods**

**Cell culture, reagents and plasmid.** HEK293 cells were maintained in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s medium (Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated FCS (Invitrogen) and antibiotics. HEK cells were kindly provided by Dr T. Fujita (Kyoto University) and maintained in Eagle’s minimal essential medium (Nissui) supplemented with 1% l-glutamine and 5% FCS. B16F1 melanoma cells were cultured at 37°C under 5% CO\textsubscript{2} in RPMI containing 10% FCS, penicillin and streptomycin. EG7 and C1498 cells were purchased from ATCC and cultured in RPMI-1640 supplemented with 10% FCS, 55 μM 2-mercaptoethanol (2-ME) and 1 mM sodium pyruvate, and RPMI-1640 supplemented with 10% FCS and 25 ng ml\textsuperscript{-1} 2-ME, respectively. Poly(I:C) was purchased from Amersham Biosciences. Endotoxin-free ovalbumin was purchased from Hyglos. OVA\textsubscript{257–264} peptide (SLA), control WT peptide (DH126) and OVA (H2K\textsubscript{b}-SLA) tetramer were from MBL. Human serum type AB was from Lonzera. ODNs were synthesized by GeneDesign. Following antibodies were used in this study: Alexa Fluor-568-conjugated secondary antibody (Invitrogen), FITC-CD8\textsuperscript{α} (53-6.7) and APC-CD3\textsuperscript{e} (145-2C11) (BioLegend), and PerCPCy5.5-7AAD (BD Biosciences). The human TLR3 expression plasmid was constructed in our laboratory\textsuperscript{44}.

**Preparation of in vitro transcribed sODN-dsRNAs.** The leader-trailer sequence of a MV laboratory-adapted strain of Edmonston was used as the dsRNA template\textsuperscript{25}. DNA fragments covering this region of the MV genome and the T7 promoter sequence were amplified using PCR with specific primers and the plasmid pCM-CMV MV as a template. Sense and antisense MV RNAs from the PCR products were in vitro transcribed using an AmpliScribe T7 transcription kit (Epicentre Technologies) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The transcribed products were purified by 8% PAGE containing 7 M urea. After visualization by ultraviolet illumination, the appropriate bands were excised and eluted with 0.3 M sodium acetate. The eluted RNAs were ethanol precipitated and resuspended in RNase-free water. For large-scale preparation of RNAs, electro-dutions were performed using D-Tube Dialyzer Maxi (Novagen) and eluted RNAs were dialyzed, concentrated and precipitated with ethanol. The concentration of RNA was determined by measuring the absorbance at 260 nm in a
Preparation of cM362-140. The chemically synthesized long RNAs as an alternative to in vitro transcribed RNAs were completed by a ligation reaction mediated by splint DNA34 with slight modification. The outline of chemical synthesis was described below.

To prepare the sense strand of cM362-140, the ligation reactions were performed in two steps. First, S2 RNA (40 nmol), S3 RNA (40 nmol) and specific splint DNA (40–48 nmol) were mixed, heated at 90 °C for 5 min and slowly cooled to 4 °C (Supplementary Table 4A,B). Following hybridization, T4 DNA ligase (Takara) was added and incubated at room temperature for 16–22 h. The ligation reaction mixtures comprised 15–4 µM annealed complex, 66 mM Tris-Cl (pH 7.6), 60 mM MgCl2, 0.1 µM ATP and 31 U T4 DNA ligase. As the second ligation, S1 CM362-RNA (40 nmol) and the specific splint DNA (40–48 nmol) for the second ligation site were added into the first ligation reaction mixture, hybridized and T4 DNA ligase was added. The mixture was incubated at room temperature for 16–22 h. The detailed full-length 165 mer sense strand was isolated by PAGE containing 7 M urea and electro-elution. The subsequent procedure was the same as described in the section describing purification of in vitro transcribed RNAs. Overall yield was 8–10%.

To prepare the antisense RNA of CM362-139, three fragment RNAs, AS1, AS2 and AS3 (33 nmol each) and the related splint DNA34 strands (33 nmol each) were mixed, hybridized and then T4 DNA ligase was added. The mixture was incubated at room temperature for 16–22 h. The following procedure was the same as described above. Overall yield was 15–22%. To generate CM362-140, sense- and antisense-RNAs were annealed.

Analysis with microchip electrophoresis. To analyse the cM362-139 complex, we used a microchip electrophoresis instrument (model SV1210; Hitachi Electronics Engineering Co. Ltd.). The standard procedure for electrophoresis using 2-mercaptoethanol (ME) has been previously described35,36. sODN or RNAs were adjusted to 0.2 µM with water. The sODN/RNA1/RNA2 complex (designated cM362-139) and dsRNA (RNA1 + RNA2) were prepared by mixing DNA or RNAs and hybridizing in water (final concentration 0.4 µM). The sample solution (10 µl) was applied to the sample well of the microchip device and the programme was run using a Zeiss LSM520 META microscope (Carl Zeiss Microscopy GmbH). As the second ligation, S1 CM362-RNA (40 nmol) and the specific splint DNA (40–48 nmol) for the second ligation site were added into the first ligation reaction mixture, hybridized and T4 DNA ligase was added. The mixture was incubated at room temperature for 16–22 h. The following procedure was the same as described above. Overall yield was 15–22%. To generate CM362-140, sense- and antisense-RNAs were annealed.

Gagarose gel electrophoresis. CM362-139 (IVT) and CM362-140 were incubated in PBS or in RNA-free water with or without serum, for 60 min at 37 °C. Aliquots containing 0.1–0.2 µg of incubated sODN-dsRNAs were then mixed with 10 µl of (Takara) and loaded 3 or 4% agarose after stimulation (Nisue, 3:1 agarose, Lonza) containing ethidium bromide. After electrophoresis, nucleic acid complexes were visualized using ultraviolet transilluminator (FAS-III, Toyobo).

Reporter gene assay. HEK293 cells (8 × 105 cells per well) were cultured in six-well plates and transfected with the TLR3 expression vector or empty vector (400 ng per well) together with the reporter plasmid (400 ng per well) and an internal control vector, pHRL-TK (Promega; 20 ng per well) using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen). The p-125 luc reporter containing the human IFN-β promoter region (~125 to +19) was provided by Dr T. Taniguchi (University of Tokyo). Twenty-four hours after transfection, cells were collected and resuspended in medium with or without FCS. Then, cells were seeded into 96-well plates and stimulated with the indicated RNAs for 6 h. The Firefly and Renilla luciferase activities were determined using a dual-luciferase reporter assay kit (Promega). The Firefly luciferase activity was normalized to the Renilla luciferase activity and was expressed as the fold induction relative to the activity in unstimulated vector-transfected cells. All assays were performed in triplicate.

Cytokine assay. Splenic CD11c+ DCs from wild-type, Tbk3−/− or Mavs−/− mice were prepared as described previously37,38. Cells were suspended in RPMI-1640 (Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated FCS and antibiotics and stimulated with indicated RNAs. Twenty-four hours after stimulation, culture supernatants were collected and analysed for cytokine levels by ELISA or Cytometric Bead Array (CBA). ELISA kits for mouse IFN-α and IFN-β were purchased from PBL Biomedical Laboratories. CBA flex sets for mouse IL-6 and TNF-α were purchased from BD Bioscience. Experiments were performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions and samples were analysed using the Facs Aria (BD Bioscience).

Confocal microscopy. HEK cells (1.0 × 106 cells per well) were cultured on microcover glasses (Matsunami Glass Ind., Ltd) in a 12-well plate. Three hours after seeding, cells were transfected with GFP-fused Rab5a or Lamp1 using BacMam systems (Cell Light Early Endosomes-GFP BacMam 2.0 or Cell Light Lysoosomes-GFP BacMam 2.0, Life Technologies) or left untreated. Sixteen hours after transfection, cells were incubated with 15 µM Cy3-labelled cM362 dsRNA, cM362 dsRNAs or dsRNAs for 30 min at 4 °C. Cells were washed twice and further incubated at 37 °C. Then, cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde at the time points indicated. The coverslips were mounted onto glass slide with Prolong Gold with DAPI for nuclei staining. Cells were visualized at a ×63 magnification using a Zeiss LSM520 META microscope (Carl Zeiss Microscopy GmbH).

Quantitative PCR (qPCR). Total RNA was extracted using the Trizol reagent (Qagen) and reverse-transcribed using a high-capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription kit (Applied Biosystems) and random primers according to the manufacturer’s instructions. qPCR was performed using specific primers for mouse GAPDH, IFN-β, IL-6 and TNF-α (Supplementary Table 5) and the Step One Real-time PCR system (Applied Biosystems).

Mice. Tcami−/− and Mavs−/− mice were used in our laboratory and back-crossed more than eight times to adapt C57BL/6 background. Indeed C57BL/6 WT mice were purchased fromCLEA Japan. Tc;3−/− mice were kindly provided by Dr S. Akira (Osaka University). Mice were maintained under specific pathogen-free conditions in the animal facility of the Hokkaido University Graduate School of Medicine. Female mice 6–12 weeks of age were used in all experiments, all of which were performed according to the guidelines issued by the Hokkaido University Animal Care and Use Committee.

In vivo mouse cytokine assay. Wild-type and Tbk3−/− mice (9 weeks) were injected i.p. with 50 µl (50 µg) poly(I:C), cM362-139 (IVT) or cM362-140, and blood was collected from the tail vein at timed intervals. Cytokine levels in sera were measured using a CBA. In some cases, wild-type and Tcami−/− mice were s.c. injected with 75 µl (75 µg) poly(I:C) or cM362-140. After 6 h, mice were killed and titrated anti-NK1.1 ascites (PK136) i.p. in vivo in tumour-bearing mice the day before sODN-dsRNA treatment. Depletion of NK1.1+ cells was verified by flow cytometry. In the case of EG7 cell challenge, mice were injected i.v. with 200 µl of 2 × 106 syngenic EG7 cells in PBS (−). When the average tumour volume reached ~0.6 cm3, 50 µl of 100 µg endotoxin-free ovalbumin in PBS (−) or with 50 µl of 50 µg poly(I:C) or cM362-dsRNA was injected s.c. around the tumour. PBS (−) (100 µl) was used as a control. Treatments were performed twice per week.

CTL activity in tumour-bearing mice after adjuvant therapy. Female mice 6–10 weeks of age were used for this study. Splenocytes were harvested from tumour-bearing mice at 7 days after the last adjuvant treatment. In the case of tumour-free settings, splen and inguinal LN cells were harvested from wild-type or Tcami−/− mice 4 days after the last adjuvant injection. The cells were stained with FITC-CD8 (1:200), PerCp/Cy5.5-TAAD (1:200), APC-CD3e (1:200) and PE-OVA-tetramer (1:50) to detect Ag-specific CD8+ T cells. To evaluate cytokine production, splenocytes (2 × 106 per 200 µl per well) were cultured for 3 days in the presence of 100 nM OVA peptide (SL8: SIINFEKL) or control WT1 peptide (Db126: RMFPNAPYL) and IFN-γ production was analysed with CBA or ELISA. To test the cytotoxic activity of CTLs, splenocytes (1 × 106) were co-cultured with mitomycin C-treated EG7 cells (5 × 105 per ml) in the presence of 10 µl−1 IL-2 for 5 days. Then, the cells were incubated with 51Cr-labelled EG7 or C1498 cells for 4 h and determined cytotoxic activity12. The cytotoxicity was calculated by this formula: Cytotoxicity (%) = (experimental release – spontaneous release)/(total release – spontaneous release) × 100.
Statistical analysis. The significance of differences between groups was determined by the Student’s t-test. In tumour-implant- or -free mouse experiments, one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Bonferroni’s multiple-comparison test or Dunnett’s test was performed to analyse statistical significance.
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