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Abstract: Dental remineralization represents the process of depositing calcium and phosphate ions into crystal voids in demineralized enamel, producing net mineral gain and preventing early enamel lesions progression. The aim of the present study was to qualitatively and quantitatively compare the remineralizing effectiveness of four commercially available agents on enamel artificial lesions using Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) combined with Energy Dispersive Spectroscopy (EDS) techniques. Thirty-six extracted third molars were collected and randomly assigned to six groups (n = 6), five of which were suspended in demineralizing solution for 72 h to create enamel artificial lesions, and one serving as control: G1, treated with a mousse of casein phosphopeptide and amorphous calcium–phosphate (CPP-ACP); G2, treated with a gel containing nano-hydroxyapatite; G3, treated with a 5% SF varnish; G4, treated with a toothpaste containing ACP functionalized with fluoride and carbonate-coated with citrate; G5, not-treated artificial enamel lesions; G6, not demineralized and not treated sound enamel. G1–G4 were subjected to pH cycling over a period of seven days. Analyses of the specimens’ enamel surfaces morphology were performed by SEM and EDS. Data were statistically analyzed for multiple group comparison by one-way ANOVA/Tukey’s test (p < 0.05). The results show that the Ca/P ratio of the G5 (2.00 ± 0.07) was statistically different (p < 0.05) from G1 (1.73 ± 0.05), G2 (1.76 ± 0.01), G3 (1.88 ± 0.06) and G6 (1.74 ± 0.04), while there were no differences (p > 0.05) between G1, G2 and G6 and between G4 (2.01 ± 0.06) and G5. We concluded that G1 and G2 showed better surface remineralization than G3 and G4, after 7 days of treatment.
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1. Introduction

Dental caries is the most prevalent chronic disease in children and adults worldwide, remaining the principal challenge in dentistry [1]. Dental caries is a biofilm-mediated, diet modulated, multifactorial, non-communicable, dynamic disease resulting in net mineral loss of dental hard tissues [2]. The modern concept of caries formation is based on the recurrence of various cycles of demineralization and remineralization phases, inducted by acid-producing bacteria in the oral micro-environment [3,4].

Indeed, the bacterial metabolism of fermentable carbohydrates, producing acids, causes the dissolution of mineral ions such as calcium and phosphate, which make up enamel hydroxyapatite crystals [5]. Thus, enamel demineralization can expose the dentine to the dentinal hypersensitivity related to the movement of fluids in dentinal tubules.
and the onset of caries disease [6]. However, the demineralized enamel prism possibly regenerates to its primordial stage if it is exposed to the oral conditions that promote remineralization [7].

Moreover, tooth decay is highly preventable and reversible at an early state, and the halt of enamel and dentin demineralization is possible with the inhibition of biofilm formation [8] and salivary protective factors [9]. In recent years, the focus in caries research has shifted to the development of methodologies for the non-invasive management of early caries lesions through remineralization to preserve tooth structure [10]. Indeed, remineralization can occur naturally, through the saliva buffering system, or biologically, induced by remineralizing agents [11].

Therefore, the scientific literature confirms that demineralization can be counteracted or reversed through several remineralization agents in non-cavitated carious lesions [6].

Several types and concentrations of remineralizing agents containing fluoride, calcium and phosphate ions are commercially available [12]. These agents release active ions which bond stably to the crystalline enamel structures, giving rise to newly formed crystals and reconstructing the damaged ones.

Fluoride ions represent the major mechanism in preventing enamel demineralization due to the formation of fluorapatite in enamel in the presence of calcium and phosphate ions produced during enamel demineralization by plaque bacterial organic acids [13–15]. Fluoride solutions come in low concentrations for daily use, such as toothpastes and mouthwashes, and higher concentrations for professional application, such as gels and varnishes [16].

Moreover, other compounds containing calcium and phosphate have been introduced, such as casein phospho-peptide and amorphous calcium–phosphate (CPP-ACP) and biomimicry hydroxyapatite, all of which have shown promising results.

CPP-ACP, a milk protein derivative, was commercially introduced since it has been proven to have anticariogenic effects [17]. CPP stabilizes high concentrations of calcium and phosphate ions with fluoride ions at the tooth surface by binding to pellicle and plaque [18]. ACP applies calcium ions (e.g., calcium sulphate) and phosphate ions (e.g., ammonium phosphate, sometimes in the presence of fluoride ions) separately so that ACP or amorphous calcium fluoride phosphate (F-ACP) forms intra-orally [15]. Evidence shows that the application of CPP-ACP may prevent demineralization and enhance remineralization through calcium and phosphate ion activities, thereby stabilizing ACP within the dental plaque in neutral or alkaline pH conditions and maintaining a state of supersaturation with respect to the enamel [19,20].

Another important source of mineral ions for the remineralization is nano-hydroxyapatite (N-HA), which presents crystals ranging in size between 50 and 1000 nm. N-HA was found to possess similar properties as a biological apatite [21]. The N-HA has a characteristic bond ability attributable to the size of nanoparticles, which considerably increases the bonding surface area, allowing available calcium or phosphate ions to bind to the enamel surface and filling the porosities of carious lesions [22,23]. N-HA crystals penetrate enamel pores and act as a template in the precipitation process, promoting crystal integrity and growth [24].

Nowadays, there is a gap in the literature regarding the short-term effect of these agents [25,26]. Therefore, we decided to analyze these products’ effects after 7 days of treatment as directed by the manufacturer of the tested agents. There are still doubts and inaccuracies about their behavior, time and method of application. Despite the plethora of remineralizing agents, it is important to study new strategies and analyze different agents to improve the remineralization process.

The enamel hydroxyapatite crystals’ morphology and surface chemical composition are analytical indicators of dental tissue’s state of health. In particular, Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) is used to obtain high-resolution images, which give qualitative information on the enamel prism morphology of the samples [27], and Energy Dispersive Spectroscopy (EDS) allows us to quantify the main enamel components, such as calcium (Ca) and phosphorus (P), and their stoichiometric ratio [28,29].
Thus, in the present study, we aimed to analyze and compare the remineralizing effectiveness of four different commercially available agents on artificial human enamel lesions after seven days of treatment, by means of SEM combined with EDS.

2. Materials and Methods
2.1. Specimen Preparation

A total of 36 healthy human third molars were used. These teeth were extracted for orthodontic and periodontal reasons from the Department of Clinical Sciences and Stomatology of Polytechnic University of Marche. All patients were informed in advance that their extracted teeth would be used for scientific purposes, and written consent was obtained before the experiment. The collected teeth were screened for the presence of cracks, hypoplasia or white spot lesions and were excluded for having one of these. In selected teeth, remaining soft tissues, debris and stains were removed with hand-scaling instruments and stored in 0.5% w/w chloramine solution (NH₂Cl) at room temperature. One single operator performed all procedures to avoid operator bias. Afterwards, samples were randomly allocated (n = 6) into six equal groups:

1. **G1 (Mousse Group)**: deminERALIZED as explained in Section 2.2 and then treated using GC Tooth Mousse (Recaldent GC, Europe), a mousse of CPP-ACP.

2. **G2 (Nano-Hydroxyapatite Group)**: demineralized as in Section 2.2, and then treated with Biorepair Desensitizing Enamel Repairer (Coswell oral care professional Spa, Italy), a gel containing zinc-hydroxyapatite.

3. **G3 (Duraphat Group)**: demineralized as in Section 2.2, and then treated with Duraphat (Colgate-Palmolive, USA), a varnish containing sodium fluoride (SF) 5%.

4. **G4 (Biosmalto Group)**: demineralized as in Section 2.2, and then treated with Biosmalto (Curasept Spa, Italy), a mousse containing F-ACP.

5. **G5**: group demineralized as in Section 2.2, and then stored in artificial saliva (Biotene Oralbalance Gel, GSK, England).

6. **G6**: group without any treatment, stored in artificial saliva (Biotene Oralbalance Gel, GSK, England).

The precise compositions of materials used in this study are listed in Table 1.

| Material | Manufacturer | Ingredients |
|----------|--------------|-------------|
| GC Tooth Mousse (G1) | Recaldent Europe | Pure water, glycerol, casein phosphopeptide–amorphous calcium–phosphate, D-sorbitol, sodium carboxymethyl cellulose, propylene glycol, silicon dioxide, titanium dioxide, xylitol, phosphoric acid, flavoring, zinc oxide, sodium saccharin, ethyl p-hydroxybenzoate, magnesium oxide, guar agam, propyl p-hydroxybenzoate, butyl p-hydroxybenzoate. |
| Biorepair Desensitizing Enamel Repairer (G2) | Coswell Spa, Italy | Aqua, zinc hydroxyapatite, hydrated silica, silica, sodium myristoyl sarcosinate, sodium methyl cocoyl taurate, sodium bicarbonate, aroma, sodium saccharin, phenoxyethanol, benzyl alcohol, sodium benzoate, citric acid, menthol. |
| Duraphat varnish (G3) | Colgate-Palmolive | Sodium fluoride, ethanol, white wax (E901), shellac (E904), rosin, putty, saccharin (E954), raspberry essence (containing ethyl butyrate, geraniol, iris resinoid, isoamyl acetate, absolute jasmine, vanillin and propylene glycol). Glycerin, PEG-8, silica, strotium acetate, calcium phosphate carbonate citrate fluoride, hydroxypropylcellulose, xylitol, acrylates/C10-30 alkyl acrylate crosspolymer, PEG-40 hydrogenated castor oil, sodium hyaluronate, potassium acesulfame, p-anisic acid, aroma, sodium hydroxide. |
| Biosmalto (G4) | Curasept Spa, Italy | |
A schematic representation of this study design is presented in Figure 1. The outline represents significant steps in the experiment process.

![Schematic representation of experimental study design](image)

**Figure 1.** Schematic representation of experimental study design. G1 (Mousse Group), G2 (Nano-Hydroxyapatite Group), G3 (Duraphat Group), G4 (Biosmalto Group), G5 (group treated with the demineralizing solution), G6 (group without any treatment).

### 2.2. Artificial Enamel Lesion Production

All groups, except G6, were immersed for 72 h in a demineralizing solution [30], containing 0.1 M lactic acid adjusted to pH 4.4 [31], in order to create an artificial initial lesion on the enamel surface [30]. After lesion formation, samples were thoroughly washed with deionized water and dried. For the entire period of the study, to simulate the oral cavity condition, after the demineralizing process, G5 was left in artificial saliva (Biotène Oral balance, GSK, England) composed of purified water, hydrogenated hydrolyzed starch, xylitol, hydroxyethylcellulose, polymethacrylate, beta-d-glucose, lactoperoxidase (12,000 units), lysozyme (12 mg), lactoferrin (12 mg), glucose oxidase (12,000 units), potassium thiocyanate and aloe vera, without any remineralizing treatment.

### 2.3. pH Cycling Condition

The pH cycle (demineralization-remineralization) was used to simulate pH fluctuation patterns in the oral cavity [30,32]: a demineralization cycle with 0.1 M lactic acid (adjusted to pH 4.4) for 6 h (30 mL for each sample) was performed, and secondly, a remineralization cycle with the agent’s application (2 min) in a thin layer using a microbrush on enamel surfaces was executed according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

The tested remineralizing agents’ pH levels were as follows: G1 (pH 6.7), G2 (pH 8.0), G3 (pH 5.7), G4 (pH 7.1).

The pH cycle was repeated once a day for seven days and samples were stored in solution in artificial saliva.

Finally, after the treatment procedures, all samples were carefully cleaned and dehydrated for evaluation using SEM-EDS.

### 2.4. SEM and EDS Analyses

Samples were air-dried, mounted on aluminum stubs and then observed by a TESCAN VEGA 3 LMU scanning electron microscope (Centre for Electron Microscopy—(CISMIN))...
Department of SIMAU, Polytechnic University of Marche, Ancona, Italy). SEM images were acquired to investigate the morphology of enamel and to search for surface damage at different magnifications: 200×, 500× and 1000×.

The chemical surface characterization was performed by means of EDS using EDAX Element Microanalysis (AMETEK GmbH, EDAX Business Unit, Weiterstadt, Germany). EDS analysis was carried out on 3 sample areas with the following operating parameters: working distance of 15 mm, acceleration voltage of 25 kV and 500× magnification. The degree of remineralization was assessed by measuring the amount of phosphorus (P) and calcium (Ca) and calculating their ratio (Ca/P) in treated specimens after immersion in the artificial saliva. Results are reported as average value (AV) and standard deviation (SD).

2.5. Statistical Analysis

The EDS results were analyzed using descriptive statistics, and statistical inferences between experimental groups were determined by one-way ANOVA (analysis of variance) followed by Tukey’s test, using the statistical software Prism8 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA). The group size was set to n = 6 for all experimental groups and significance was p < 0.05.

3. Results

Scanning electron micrographs at different magnifications displayed distinct morphologies of the enamel surface for each group (Figures 2–7).

SEM images of G6 displayed the typical regular aspect of the intact enamel crystalline structure (Figure 2). In G5, the integrity of the enamel prisms was severely affected, displaying an irregular surface with an early stage of the destruction of the interprismatic substance (Figure 3). G1 and G2 micrographs showed the partial recovery of the enamel prism crystals with the presence of a slight interprismatic dissolution (Figures 4 and 5). G3 and G4 SEM micrographs presented an irregular enamel surface with residues of the material evident on the surface (Figures 6 and 7).

The results of the statistical analysis of the Ca/P ratio assessed using EDS analysis are shown in Figure 8. The Ca/P ratio (AV ± SD) of the G5 (2.00 ± 0.07) is statistically different from G1 (1.73 ± 0.05), G2 (1.76 ± 0.01), G3 (1.88 ± 0.06) and G6 (1.74 ± 0.04) (p < 0.05). Contrarily, there are no significant differences between G6 and G1 and G2 (p > 0.05). Furthermore, G4 (2.01 ± 0.06) showed no statistically significant differences from G5 (p > 0.05).

Figure 2. Scanning electron micrographs of G6 (group without any treatment): (a) the presence of perikymatas on the enamel surface (white arrows) at 200× magnification; (b,c) the crystalline structure composed of enamel prisms and the intact interprismatic area (black arrow) at 500× and 1000× magnification, respectively.
Figure 3. Scanning electron micrographs of G5 (group treated with the demineralizing solution): (a) an irregular surface with the presence of cracks and an early stage of destruction of the interprismatic area (white arrows) at 200× magnification; (b,c) the “honeycomb structure” characterized by the selective dissolution of the apatite crystals inside the prisms, typical of the enamel demineralization morphology, at 500× and 1000× magnification, respectively.

Figure 4. Scanning electron micrographs of G1 (Mousse Group): (a) intact morphology of the enamel surface (black arrows) at 200× magnification; (b,c) the partial recovery of the prism crystals (red asterisks) with the presence of a slight interprismatic dissolution (white arrows) at 500× and 1000× magnification, respectively.

Figure 5. Scanning electron micrographs of G2 (Nanohydroxyapatite group): (a) a non-homogeneous surface with intact interprismatic areas (red asterisk) alternated by cracks in the enamel (black arrows) at 200× magnification; (b,c) the presence of enamel prisms with partially intact crystals with slight dissolution of the interprismatic area (white arrows) at 500× and 1000× magnification, respectively.
Figure 6. Scanning electron micrographs of G3 (Duraphat Group): (a) an irregular surface (red asterisk) with residues of the material evident on the surface (black arrows) at 200× magnification; (b,c) the presence of the enamel prisms with partially intact crystals and an almost restored structure of the interprismatic area at 500× and 1000× magnification, respectively.

Figure 7. Scanning electron micrographs of G4 (Biosmalto Group): (a) a slight irregular surface of the enamel (black arrows), showing material residues on the surface (white arrow) at 200× magnification; (b,c) the irregular surface with a slight interprismatic dissolution (red asterisks) at 500× and 1000× magnification, respectively.

Figure 8. Ca/P ratio of all groups determined using EDS. One-way ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple comparison test; p < 0.05 is significant. Results are reported as average value and standard deviation. The following levels of statistical significance were considered: p < 0.05 *, p < 0.01 **, p < 0.001 ***. G1 (Mousse Group), G2 (Nano-Hydroxyapatite Group), G3 (Duraphat Group), G4 (Biosmalto Group), G5 (group treated with the demineralization solution), G6 (group without any treatment).
4. Discussion

The era of preventive and minimally invasive dentistry dictates the need to develop new approaches to remineralize initial enamel lesions. Nowadays, many products, containing calcium, phosphate and fluoride in their bioavailable forms, are widely used to remineralize enamel structure and prevent dentin hypersensitivity in the form of toothpastes, mouth rinsing and gels [33]. In this in vitro study, the efficacy of four different agents on the enamel surface, after exposure to the acidic environment, was evaluated and compared.

The results demonstrated that by treating the demineralized enamel surface, the remineralizing agents provided ions that favored the subsurface mineral gain in different ways. Compared to other studies in the literature [20,24,34], it is noteworthy that this experimental study is the first to analyze four different active ingredients commonly used in remineralizing agents (CPP-ACP, N-HA, SF, F-ACP) in order to assess the remineralization process of initial enamel lesions.

We used the SEM-EDS combined analytical technique for the qualitative investigation of the enamel surface morphology and the quantification of the Ca/P ratio as indications of enamel health [24]. Therefore, crystalline structure integrity and Ca/P values are important indicators of the agents’ effects on the enamel remineralization of several samples in the tested groups [35,36].

The stoichiometric form of hydroxyapatite is shown as $\text{Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_{6}(\text{OH})_2$, and its Ca/P ratio is 1.67 [37], similar to that of G6 ($1.74 \pm 0.04$), which is sound, not demineralized and untreated enamel. Indeed, as a result of the demineralization process, the amount of $\text{P}$ decreases, as also found by Aoba et al. [38], thus resulting in the increase in the Ca/P ratio of G5 demineralized enamel ($2.00 \pm 0.07$). Then, according to our results, the Ca/P ratio is inversely related to the health condition of the enamel. This result could probably be attributed to the action of lactic acid, used to perform demineralization, which acts on the phosphate component, increasing the ratio value.

SEM results of the demineralized enamel in G5 show an irregular morphology of the surface (Figure 3), defined as a “honeycomb structure”, with voids and numerous micropores due to the complete loss of prismatic structure integrity, which is preserved, instead, in G6 images (Figure 2) [39].

The four agents tested were compared with the Ca/P ratio values of sound enamel in G6. The closest values to G6 mean that the remineralizing treatment achieves a good percentage of enamel mineralization recovery.

Based on our results, among the tested products (G1–G4), CPP-ACP (G1) and N-HA (G2) are able to remineralize the enamel surface in a short time (7 days), returning to values very close to those of sound enamel (G6). SF (G3) differs slightly from G6, while F-ACP (G4) shows results that are similar to those of demineralized enamel (G5) in terms of the Ca/P ratio.

After seven days of treatment, SEM observations of G1 (Figure 4), treated with CPP-ACP, and G2 (Figure 5), treated with synthetic N-HA, highlight an almost complete remineralization of the enamel structure similar to the smooth sound enamel, as shown in Figure 2. Furthermore, regarding the EDS chemical analysis of G1 and G2, Ca/P ratios increasingly approached the G6 value that has not undergone demineralization, thus showing no significant differences between these three groups. In agreement with Yu et al. [40], our results suggest that the Tooth Mousse (G1) has a considerable effect on increasing the remineralization of enamel. In a study conducted by Li et al., CPP-ACP was able to remineralize primary lesions in contrast to placebo; however, contrary to our results, its effects are not significant compared to fluoride [41].

The specimens treated with N-HA (G2) exhibited a surface Ca/P ratio close to that of the biological enamel (G6) in comparison with SF and F-ACP, according to other studies [42–44]. In addition, in vitro dynamic pH cycling experiments have shown that N-HA has the potential to remineralize initial enamel lesions with a comparable or even superior efficacy to that of fluoride [45,46].
Since the cariostatic effects of fluoride have been discovered, caries prevention has largely relied on the ability of fluoride ions to inhibit enamel dissolution and enhance remineralization of incipient lesions [47]. Gao et al. showed that the use of a 5% SF varnish can remineralize incipient caries lesions, thus making this option an important method to inhibit enamel demineralization [48]. G3, treated with a varnish containing 5% of SF, showed a slight remineralization, but the Ca/P ratio (1.88 ± 0.06) remains slightly higher than that of G6 (1.74 ± 0.04) and presents statistical difference from all other groups. As confirmed by our study at seven days of treatment, 5% SF is less effective than other remineralizing products tested [49]. In contrast to our results, some studies state that 5% SF represents the maximum effective agent for remineralization [50,51].

It has been demonstrated that the F-ACP complex nanoparticles are able to attach to dental surfaces and to crystallize into hydroxyapatite in the oral environment, forming a new mineral phase contiguous to the biogenic one, and hence restoring the demineralized tissue into its native structure [52]. These findings are partially accepted in this study, since quantitative EDS results of G4 showed that Ca/P ratio (2.01 ± 0.06) does not present statistically different results compared to G5 (Figure 8), although scanning electron micrographs display an apparently partial intact structure of the enamel (Figure 7). Therefore, this treatment could benefit the partial restoration of the crystalline structure, while not affecting the amount of phosphorus. It is possible that the morphological shift of the surface precedes the compositional one (Ca/P). The capability of the F-ACP complex to remineralize the enamel surface is probably related to the time of the agent’s application.

One of the limitations of this research is the timing of the protocol study because after seven days, G4 was the only group that presented a non-statistical difference from G5. Therefore, the remineralization effectiveness of the tested agents applied for longer time is a stimulating concept emerging from our findings and will be taken as a starting point in future studies. In addition, we must consider the limits of the present in vitro study, as it is still far from simulating conditions present in the oral cavity. Nevertheless, the provided findings remain encouraging and, most importantly, demonstrate that the beneficial action of certain remineralizing products on dental enamel reorganization occurs at seven days.

5. Conclusions

Clinical significance: The initial enamel demineralization surface may be treated with topical use of remineralizing agents, achieving an almost complete remineralization of the surface and a reorganization of the prismatic structure of the enamel. In all groups tested, after seven days of remineralizing agents’ application, complete remineralization was not obtained, but rather a reorganization of the enamel structure from both quantitative and qualitative points of view. CPP-ACP (G1) and N-HA (G2) gave better results compared to SF (G3) and F-ACP (G4).

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, F.V., V.T., S.S. and G.O. (Giovanna Orsini); methodology, A.P., M.L.G. and P.M.; software, M.L.G.; validation, P.M., A.P. and G.O. (Giovanna Orsini); formal analysis, R.M., G.O. (Giulia Orilisi), M.L.G. and L.M.; investigation, F.V. and V.T.; resources, P.M., A.P. and G.O. (Giovanna Orsini); data curation, R.M., G.O. (Giulia Orilisi) and L.M.; writing—original draft preparation, F.V. and V.T.; writing—review and editing, F.V., V.T., R.M., G.O. (Giulia Orilisi), M.L.G., S.S., L.M., P.M., A.P. and G.O. (Giovanna Orsini); supervision, A.P. and G.O. (Giovanna Orsini); project administration, A.P. and G.O. (Giovanna Orsini); funding acquisition, A.P. and G.O. (Giovanna Orsini). All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: The SISOPD (Società Italiana Stomatologia, Odontoiatria e Protesi Dentaria—Italian Society of Dentistry, Stomatology and Prosthodontics) Foundation partially supported this study. The sponsor had no role in the design, analysis or interpretation of the study.

Institutional Review Board Statement: The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, informed consent was obtained from the subjects that were aware that their hard-dental tissues, as discard of the surgical procedures, would be used for research purposes.

Informed Consent Statement: Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.
**Data Availability Statement:** The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding author.

**Acknowledgments:** The authors extend their gratitude to Linda Faccendi for her support in the experimental part of this work.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

**References**

1. Rathee, M.; Sapra, A. Dental Caries. In *StatPearls*; StatPearls Publishing: Treasure Island, FL, USA, 2022.

2. Pitts, N.B.; Zero, D.T.; Marsh, P.D.; Ekstrand, K.; Weintraub, J.A.; Ramos-Gomez, F.; Tagami, J.; Twetman, S.; Tsakos, G.; Ismail, A. Dental Caries. *Nat. Rev. Dis. Primers* 2017, 3, 17030. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

3. Farooq, I.; Bugshah, A. The Role of Salivary Contents and Modern Technologies in the Remineralization of Dental Enamel: A Narrative Review. *F1000Research* 2020, 9, 171. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

4. Pinelli, M.-M.; Catelan, A.; de Resende, L.-F.-M.; Soares, L.-E.-S.; Aguiar, F.-H.-B.; Liporoni, P.-C.-S. Chemical Composition and Roughness of Enamel and Composite after Bleaching, Acidic Beverages and Toothbrushing. *J. Clin. Exp. Dent.* 2019, 11, e1175–e1180. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

5. Marinho, V.C.C.; Chong, I.Y.; Worthington, H.V.; Walsh, T. Fluoride Mouthrinses for Preventing Dental Caries in Children and Adolescents. *Cochrane Database Syst. Rev.* 2016, 7, CD002284. [CrossRef]

6. Abou Neel, E.A.; Aljabo, A.; Strange, A.; Ibrahim, S.; Coathup, M.; Young, A.M.; Bozec, L.; Mudera, V. Demineralization-Remineralization Dynamics in Teeth and Bone. *Int. J. Nanomed.* 2016, 11, 4743–4763. [CrossRef]

7. Kim, M.-J.; Lee, M.-J.; Kim, K.-M.; Yang, S.-Y.; Seo, J.-Y.; Choi, S.-H.; Kwon, J.-S. Enamel Demineralization Resistance and Remineralization by Various Fluoride-Releasing Dental Restorative Materials. *Materials* 2021, 14, 4554. [CrossRef]

8. Selwitz, R.H.; Ismail, A.; Pitts, N.B. Dental Caries. *Lancet* 2007, 369, 51–59. [CrossRef]

9. Stookey, G.K. The Effect of Saliva on Dental Caries. *J. Am. Dent. Assoc.* 2008, 139 (Suppl. 2), 115–175. [CrossRef]

10. Orsini, G.; Tosco, V.; Monterubbianesi, R.; Orilisi, G.; Putignano, A. A New Era in Restorative Dentistry. In *The First Outstanding 50 Years of “Università Politecnica delle Marche”*; Springer: Cham, Switzerland, 2020.

11. Hegde, M.N.; Sajnani, A.R. Salivary Proteins-A Barrier on Enamel Demineralization: An in Vitro Study. *Int. J. Clin. Pediatr. Dent.* 2017, 10, 10–13. [CrossRef]

12. Bandekar, S.; Patil, S.; Dudulwar, D.; Moogji, P.P.; Ghosh, S.; Kshirsagar, S. Remineralization Potential of Fluoride, Amorphous Calcium Phosphate-Casein Phosphopeptide, and Combination of Hydroxyapatite and Fluoride on Enamel Lesions: An in Vitro Comparative Evaluation. *J. Conserv. Dent.* 2019, 22, 305–309. [CrossRef]

13. Marinho, V.C.C.; Worthington, H.V.; Walsh, T.; Clarkson, J.E. Fluoride Varnishes for Preventing Dental Caries in Children and Adolescents. *Cochrane Database Syst. Rev.* 2013, 11, CD002279. [CrossRef]

14. Ten Cate, J.M. Current Concepts on the Theories of the Mechanism of Action of Fluoride. *Acta Odontol. Scand.* 1999, 57, 325–329. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

15. Reynolds, E.C. Calcium Phosphate-Based Remineralization Systems: Scientific Evidence? *Aust. Dent. J.* 2008, 53, 268–273. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

16. Tellez, M.; Gomez, J.; Kaur, S.; Pretty, I.A.; Ellwood, R.; Ismail, A.I. Non-Surgical Management Methods of Noncavitated Carious Lesions. *Community Dent. Oral Epidemiol.* 2013, 41, 79–96. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

17. Singal, K.; Sharda, S.; Gupta, A.; Malik, V.S.; Singh, M.; Chauhan, A.; Aggrawal, A.; Pradhan, P.; Singh, M. Effectiveness of Calcium Phosphate Derivative Agents on the Prevention and Remineralization of Caries among Children- A Systematic Review & Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. *Int. J. Evid. Based Dent. Pract.* 2022, in press. [CrossRef]

18. De Oliveira, P.R.A.; Barboza, C.M.; da Costa Barreto, L.S.; Tostes, M.A. Effect of CPP-ACP on Remineralization of Artificial Caries-like Lesion: An in Situ Study. *Braz. Oral Res.* 2020, 34, e061. [CrossRef]

19. Somasundaram, P.; Vimala, N.; Mandke, L.G. Protective Potential of Casein Phosphopeptide Amorphous Calcium Phosphate Containing Paste on Enamel Surfaces. *J. Conserv. Dent.* 2013, 16, 152–156. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

20. Imani, M.M.; Safaei, M.; Afnanifardabad, A.; Moradpoor, H.; Sadeghi, M.; Golshah, A.; Sharifi, R.; Mozaffari, H.R. Efficacy of CPP-ACP and CPP-ACPF for Prevention and Remineralization of White Spot Lesions in Orthodontic Patients: A Systematic Review of Randomized Controlled Clinical Trials. *Acta Inform. Med.* 2019, 27, 199–204. [CrossRef]

21. Li, L.; Pan, H.; Tao, J.; Xu, X.; Mao, C.; Gu, X.; Tang, R. Repair of Enamel by Using Hydroxyapatite Nanoparticles as the Building Blocks. *J. Mater. Chem.* 2008, 18, 4079–4084. [CrossRef]

22. Pepla, E.; Besharat, L.K.; Palaia, G.; Tenore, G.; Migliau, G. Nano-Hydroxyapatite and Its Applications in Preventive, Regenerative and Restorative Dentistry: A Review of Literature. *Ann. Stomatol. (Roma)* 2014, 5, 108–114. [CrossRef]

23. Juntavee, A.; Juntavee, N.; Sinagpulo, A.N. Nano-Hydroxyapatite Gel and Its Effects on Remineralization of Artificial Carious Lesions. *Int. J. Dent.* 2021, 2021, 7256056. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

24. Thimmiaiah, C.; Shetty, P.; Shetty, S.B.; Natarajan, S.; Thomas, N.-A. Comparative Analysis of the Remineralization Potential of CPP-ACP with Fluoride, Tri-Calcium Phosphate and Nano Hydroxyapatite Using SEM/EDX-An in Vitro Study. *J. Clin. Exp. Dent.* 2019, 11, e1120-e1126. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
25. Sebastian, R.; Paul, S.T.; Azher, U.; Reddy, D. Comparison of Remineralization Potential of Casein Phosphopeptide: Amorphous Calcium Phosphate, Nano-hydroxyapatite and Calcium Sucrose Phosphate on Artificial Enamel Lesions: An In Vitro Study. *Int. J. Clin. Pediatr. Dent.* 2022, 15, 69–73. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

26. Magaliáes, T.C.; Teixeira, N.M.; França, R.S.; Denadai, Â.M.L.; Santos, R.L.D.; Carlo, H.L.; Munchow, E.A.; Carvalho, F.G. Synthesis of a chitosan nanoparticle suspension and its protective effects against enamel demineralization after an in vitro cariogenic challenge. *J. Appl. Oral Sci.* 2021, 29, e20210120. [CrossRef]

27. Siniari, B.; D’Addazio, G.; Bozzi, M.; Santilli, M.; Traini, T.; Murmura, G.; Caputi, S. SEM Analysis of Enamel Abrasion after Air Polishing Treatment with Erythritol, Glycine and Sodium Bicarbonate. *Coatings* 2019, 9, 549. [CrossRef]

28. Bakry, A.S.; Abbassy, M.A.; Alharkan, H.F.; Basuhail, S.; Al-Ghamdi, K.; Hill, R. A Novel Fluoride Containing Bioactive Glass Paste Is Capable of Re-Mineralizing Early Caries Lesions. *Materials* 2018, 11, 1636. [CrossRef]

29. Zhang, J.; Boyes, V.; Festy, F.; Lynch, R.J.M.; Watson, T.F.; Banerjee, A. In-Vitro Subsurface Remineralisation of Artificial Enamel White Spot Lesions Pre-Treated with Chitosan. *Dent. Mater.* 2018, 34, 1154–1167. [CrossRef]

30. Yavuz, B.S.; Kargul, B. Comparative Evaluation of the Spectral-Domain Optical Coherence Tomography and Microhardness for Remineralization of Enamel Caries Lesions. *Dent. Mater. J.* 2021, 40, 1115–1121. [CrossRef]

31. Giulio, A.B.; Matteo, Z.; Serena, I.P.; Silvia, M.; Luigi, C. In Vitro Evaluation of Casein Phosphopeptide-Amorphous Calcium Phosphate (CPP-ACP) Effect on Stripped Enamel Surfaces. A SEM Investigation. *J. Dent.* 2009, 37, 228–232. [CrossRef]

32. Ten Cate, J.M.; Buiks, M.J.; Damen, J.J. pH-Cycling of Enamel and Dentin Lesions in the Presence of Low Concentrations of Fluoride. *Eur. J. Oral Sci.* 1995, 103, 362–367. [CrossRef]

33. Carrouel, F.; Viennot, S.; Ottolenghi, L.; Gaillard, C.; Bourgeois, D. Nanoparticles as Anti-Microbial, Anti-Inflammatory, and Remineralizing Agents in Oral Care Cosmetics: A Review of the Current Situation. *Nanomaterials* 2020, 10, 140. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

34. Salinovic, I.; Schauperl, Z.; Marcus, M.; Miletic, I. The Effects of Three Remineralizing Agents on the Microhardness and Chemical Composition of Demineralized Enamel. *Nanomaterials* 2021, 14, 6051. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

35. Memarpour, M.; Shafei, R.; Rafiee, A.; Soltani, M.; Dashti, M.H. Effect of Hydroxyapatite Nanoparticles on Enamel Remineralization and Estimation of Fissure Sealant Bond Strength to Remineralized Tooth Surfaces: An in Vitro Study. *BMC Oral Health* 2019, 19, 92. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

36. Orlisti, G.; Monterubbiani, R.; Notarstefano, V.; Tosco, V.; Vitiello, F.; Giuliani, G.; Putignano, A.; Orsini, G. New Insights from Raman Micro-Spectroscopy and Scanning Electron Microscopy on the Microstructure and Chemical Composition of Vestibular and Lingual Surfaces in Permanent and Deciduous Human Teeth. *Spectrochim. Acta A Mol. Biomol. Spectrosc.* 2021, 260, 119966. [CrossRef]

37. Nakagiri, A.; Imamura, K.; Yanagisawa, K.; Onda, A. The Role of the Surface Acid-Base Nature of Nanocrystalline Hydroxyapatite Catalysts in the 1,6-Hexanediol Conversion. *Nanomaterials* 2021, 11, 659. [CrossRef]

38. Aoba, T. Solubility Properties of Human Tooth Mineral and Pathogenesis of Dental Caries. *Oral Dis.* 2004, 10, 249–257. [CrossRef]

39. Orlisti, G.; Tosco, V.; Monterubbiani, R.; Notarstefano, V.; Özcan, M.; Putignano, A.; Orsini, G. ATR-FTIR, EDS and SEM Evaluations of Enamel Structure after Treatment with Hydrogen Peroxide Bleaching Agents Loaded with Nano-Hydroxyapatite Particles. *PeerJ* 2021, 9, e10606. [CrossRef]

40. Yu, H.; Jiang, N.-W.; Ye, X.-Y.; Zheng, H.-Y.; Attin, T.; Cheng, H. In Situ Effect of Tooth Mousse Containing CPP-ACP on Human Enamel Subjected to in Vivo Acid Attacks. *J. Dent.* 2018, 76, 40–45. [CrossRef]

41. Li, J.; Xie, X.; Wang, Y.; Yin, W.; Antoun, J.S.; Farella, M.; Mei, L. Long-Term Remineralizing Effect of Casein Phosphopeptide-Amorphous Calcium Phosphate (CPP-ACP) on Early Caries Lesions in Vivo: A Systematic Review. *J. Dent.* 2014, 42, 769–777. [CrossRef]

42. Seribante, A.; Dermenaki Farahani, M.R.; Marino, G.; Matera, C.; Rodríguez Y Baena, R.; Lanteri, V.; Butera, A. Biomimetic Effect of Nano-Hydroxyapatite in Demineralized Enamel before Orthodontic Bonding of Brackets and Attachments: Visual, Adhesion Strength, and Hardness in In Vitro Tests. *Biomed. Res. Int.* 2020, 2020, 6747498. [CrossRef]

43. Poggio, C.; Lombardini, M.; Colombo, M.; Bianchi, S. Impact of Two Toothpastes on Repairing Enamel Erosion Produced by a Soft Drink: An AFM in Vitro Study. *J. Dent.* 2010, 38, 868–874. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

44. Hannig, M.; Hannig, C. Nanomaterials in Preventive Dentistry. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* 2010, 5, 565–569. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

45. Huang, S.B.; Gao, S.S.; Yu, H.Y. Effect of Nano-Hydroxyapatite Concentration on Remineralization of Initial Enamel Lesion in Vitro. *Biomed. Mater.* 2009, 4, 034100. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

46. Najibfar, K.; Ramalingam, K.; Chedjiev, I.; Amaechi, B.T. Remineralization of Early Caries by a Nano-Hydroxyapatite Dentifrice. *J. Clin. Dent.* 2011, 22, 139–143. [CrossRef]

47. Philip, N.; Walsh, L. The Potential Ecological Effects of Casein Phosphopeptide-Amorphous Calcium Phosphate in Dental Caries Prevention. *Aust. Dent. J.* 2019, 64, 66–71. [CrossRef]

48. Gao, S.S.; Zhang, S.; Mei, M.L.; Lo, E.C.-M.; Chu, C.-H. Caries Remineralisation and Arresting Effect in Children by Professionally Applied Fluoride Treatment: A Systematic Review. *BMC Oral Health* 2016, 16, 12. [CrossRef]

49. Molaasadolah, F.; Eskandarion, S.; Ehsani, A.; Sanginan, M. In Vitro Evaluation of Enamel Microhardness after Application of Two Types of Fluoride Varnish. *J. Clin. Diagn. Res.* 2017, 11, ZC64–ZC66. [CrossRef]

50. De Godoi, F.A.; Carlos, N.R.; Bidi, E.C.; do Amaral, F.L.B.; França, F.M.G.; Turssi, C.P.; Kantovitz, K.R.; Basting, R.T. Remineralizing Effect of Commercial Fluoride Varnishes on Artificial Enamel Lesions. *Braz. Oral Res.* 2019, 33, e044. [CrossRef]
51. Fernández-Ferrer, L.; Vicente-Ruíz, M.; García-Sanz, V.; Montiel-Company, J.M.; Paredes-Gallardo, V.; Almerich-Silla, J.M.; Bellot-Arcis, C. Enamel Remineralization Therapies for Treating Postorthodontic White-Spot Lesions: A Systematic Review. *J. Am. Dent. Assoc.* 2018, 149, 778–786.e2. [CrossRef]

52. Degli Esposti, L.; Markovic, S.; Ignjatovic, N.; Panseri, S.; Montesi, M.; Adamiano, A.; Fosca, M.; Rau, J.V.; Uskoković, V.; Iafisco, M. Thermal Crystallization of Amorphous Calcium Phosphate Combined with Citrate and Fluoride Doping: A Novel Route to Produce Hydroxyapatite Bioceramics. *J. Mater. Chem. B* 2021, 9, 4832–4845. [CrossRef]