Genetic variations in ATM and H2AX loci contribute to risk of hematological abnormalities in individuals exposed to BTEX chemicals
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Abstract

**Background:** Loci controlling DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs) repair play an important role in defending against the harmful health effects of benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylene (BTEX), but their gene variants may alter their repair capacity. The aim of the current study was to determine the relationship of functional polymorphisms ATM-rs228589 A>T, WRN-rs1800392 G>T and H2AX-rs7759 A>G in DSB repair loci with the abnormal hematological indices in workers who exposed to BTEXs.

**Methods:** We included 141 cases with one or more abnormal hematological parameters, who had been occupationally exposed to BTEX chemicals and 152 controls with a similar exposure condition but without any abnormal hematological parameters. Atmospheric concentrations of BTEXs were measured and whole blood samples were taken from the participants to determine hematologic parameters and SNP genotyping.

**Results:** Results showed that T allele of ATM-rs228589 and G allele of H2AX-rs7759 had a higher frequency in cases than controls (p = 0.012 and p = 0.001, respectively). Also, AT and TT genotypes of ATM-rs228589 and AG and GG genotypes of H2AX-rs7759 were higher in cases compared to controls. The AT and TT genotypes of ATM-rs228589 have significant associations with a risk of hematological abnormalities in the codominant (AT vs. AA, p = 0.018), dominant (AT + TT vs. AA, p = 0.010) and overdominant (AT vs. AA + TT, p = 0.037) models. The GG and AG genotypes of H2AX-rs7759 were in relation with increased risk of abnormal hematological indices under codominant (GA vs. AA, p = 0.009 & GG vs. AA, p = 0.005), dominant (AG + GG vs. AA, p = 0.001), and recessive (GG vs. AA + AG, p = 0.025) models.

**Conclusions:** These observations may help to understand the mechanisms of BTEX hematotoxicity and identify useful biomarkers of risk assessment for workers exposed to BTEX.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

Benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylene (BTEX) chemicals belong to a group of toxic volatile organic compounds (VOCs) that cause serious damage to human health.\(^1,2\) One of the leading sources for producing and releasing of BTEXs in the environment is the decomposition of some compounds including the gasoline, crude oil, and other fuels used in the petrochemical industries, which provide continuous exposure to high BTEX concentration for individuals.\(^1,3\)

Various harmful effects due to exposure to these chemicals can occur in different organs; the most prominent of which are severe cellular abnormalities of the bone marrow and hematopoietic system, including anemia, leukopenia, thrombocytopenia, pancytopenia, and leukemia.\(^1,4–7\) Because these compounds, especially benzene, act as a hemotoxin, leukemogen, as well as carcinogen.\(^4,6,8,9\) Although the molecular mechanisms by which BTEXs lead to hematotoxic defects are not yet fully revealed, it has been indicated that these chemicals are genotoxic through triggering genetic aberrations at both chromosomal and gene levels.\(^2,10,11\) It has also been demonstrated that their genotoxic mechanisms are mediated by DNA oxidative damage through the generation of reactive oxygen species (ROSs).\(^2\)

The most severe toxic form of DNA lesions caused by ROSs is DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs) which induce genome instability, chromosome abnormalities, apoptosis, and cell cycle suppression.\(^12,13\)

In addition, there is evidence that hematopoietic progenitor cells in the bone marrow are more sensitive to DNA DSB lesions because of their high proliferation and differentiation.\(^13\) There are two main pathways for repairing the DSB lesions, including homologous recombination (HR) and non-homologous end joining (NHEJ).\(^1,2\) One of the important genes controlling these two pathways is the ataxia telangiectasia mutated (ATM) gene, which encodes vital serine/threonine kinase involved in the maintenance of genomic integrity via activating cell cycle checkpoints and stimulating the repair of DNA damages. Following the occurrence of DNA DSBs, the ATM protein is activated and phosphorylates critical protein substrates, such as H2AX, to transduce a repair signal to downstream effectors.\(^14–16\) H2AX is a variant form of histone H2A, and the amount of its phosphorylated form (γH2AX) locally increases at the site of DSB via serine-threonine kinases such as ATM.\(^17,18\) The formation of γH2Ax is a key marker of DSB\(^18,19\) and results in activating two main pathways of the DSB repair, including HR and NHEJ, depending on the phase of the cell cycle.\(^17\) The WRN is another important gene in the DSB repair pathway through encoding a protein belonging to the RecQ helicase family with helicase and exonuclease activities, which is responsible for retaining genome stability. Moreover, the WRN is necessary to interact with other functional proteins in an efficient repair pathway.\(^20\) Data also exist that ATM, WRN, and H2AX loci play key roles in protecting the genome against some toxins inducing DSBs.\(^13,21,22\) In addition, the mutation repair activities of these genes play a key role in the physiological function and genomic integrity of hematopoietic cells.\(^13,18,23,24\) Interestingly, some occupational association studies on workers with similar BTEX exposure have provided evidence that individuals can be markedly different in their responses to the hematotoxicity effects of BTEXs due to the variability of genetic profiles, signifying a role of individual genetic susceptibility behind the BTEX effects.\(^13,25–28\)

In the light of this evidence, we hypothesized that genetic variations within ATM, WRN, and H2AX loci could modify the double-strand repair capacity of the individuals, and in turn, confer an individual genetic susceptibility to BTEX-associated hematotoxicity. Revealing such a role of genetic predisposition in the BTEX responsiveness can be of great importance in early detection and chemical exposure risk assessment of susceptible individuals for exposure-induced diseases.\(^29–31\) Therefore, the present cross-sectional study was aimed to investigate the relationship of some functional single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) within the ATM, WRN, and H2AX genes, including ATM-rs228589 A>T, WRN-rs1800392 G>T, and H2AX-rs7759 A>G, with BTEX-related hematological abnormalities in an Iranian occupational subpopulation.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Study population and clinical sample collection

In this cross-sectional study, we randomly selected 293 men participants who worked in a chemical industry unit in the south of Iran. Sample size was estimated based on the following equation: Sample size = \((r + 1)p^2 Z_\alpha^2 \left\{ \left( \frac{1 - \pi}{\pi} \right) \left( \frac{1}{\pi} + Z_\beta^2/\pi \right) \right\} /\pi \) \(r\left(\pi - p\right)^2\), where, \(r = \text{ratio of cases to controls}, \pi = 0.50\) for equal number of case and controls, \(p^* = \text{proportion of exposed cases + proportion of control exposed/2}; Z_\alpha = \text{Standard normal variate for power/margin of error for 80% power, it is 0.84; for 90%, it is 1.28, and for 95%, it is 1.96; Z_\alpha/2 = \text{Standard normal variate for level of significance at 5% type error, it is 1.96 and at 1% type error, it is 2.58}; p_1 = \text{proportion in cases based on previous studies}; p_2 = \text{proportion in controls based on previous studies}. Hence, sample size = \(1 + 0(0.375) (1 - 0.375) (1.96 + 1.96)^2 (0.50 - 0.25)^2 = 2 \times (0.375) (0.625) (15.36) 0.0625 = 115.2\). This clearly indicates that at least 115 individuals should be selected from each group.

All participants were manual workers that were continually in contact with BTEX components for at least 2 consecutive years and without hematological disorders such as glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PD) deficiency, hemophilia, minor thalassemia, and any history of exposure to other chemicals with hematotoxic effects. Moreover, chronic disorders, such as diabetes, dyslipidemia, hypertension, cardiovascular diseases, and obesity, were considered as exclusion criteria for the study population. The first phase of the study was proceeded by collecting blood samples of all subjects for measuring the complete blood count (CBC) parameters, including white blood cell count (WBC), WBC differential, red blood cell count (RBC), hemoglobin (HGB), hematocrit (HCT), mean corpuscular volume (MCV), mean corpuscular hemoglobin (MCH), mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration (MCHC), red cell distribution width (RDW), and platelet (PLT) counts. In the second phase of the study
and through the results of the CBC analysis, 141 subjects with one or more abnormal hematological indexes were defined as the case group, and 152 participants with normal hematological parameters were considered the control group. The individual characteristics of each participant were collected via interview and a questionnaire containing information of demographic variables, medical history, smoking habits, drug consumption, and occupation-related data (job category, job history, working hours/day, length of exposure (employment), and occupational or non-occupational exposure to other chemicals). The study was standardized with the Helsinki Declaration of 1964 protocol as revised in 2013\textsuperscript{32} and all subjects freely signed a consent form before starting the study. The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of Babol University of Medical Sciences (ethical code: 9706614).

2.2 | BTEX exposure assessment of the subjects via collection and analysis of BTEXs in the air environment

The sampling and analysis method of BTEXs for the exposure assessment were according to the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) protocol 1501\textsuperscript{33} using activated charcoal sorbent tubes and a sampling pump with a flow rate of 100–200 ml/min. Three samples of air from the breathing zone of each person were collected during different hours of working shifts. In the same way, a sample from the environment air at the breathing zone height was collected from each administrative office. The samples were delivered to the laboratory for analysis through gas chromatography with flame ionization detection according to the NIOSH technique.

2.3 | SNP Selection, DNA extraction, and SNP genotyping assay

We used data from the 1000 Genomes Project and dbSNP (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/) databases for selecting the SNPs of interest. The three important SNPs within ATM, WRN, and H2AX genes were candidates with key roles in the double-strand DNA repair system, and our selection criteria were a minor allele frequency of >5% and clues of their functionality in experimental investigations. Target SNPs were ATM-rs228589 A>T, WRN- rs1800392 G>T, and H2AX-rs7759 A>G. The selected polymorphisms for this work had a MAF ≥ 0.3 and their single-nucleotide change resulted in the gain or loss of a restriction site making them suitable for detection by PCR and the restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) analysis (PCR-RFLP).

The DNA was isolated from peripheral blood leukocytes using a commercially available kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). The extracted DNA samples were stocked up at −20°C until PCR. The concentra tion and purity of DNA were assessed using a NanoDrop spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific). The PCR amplification was performed using the forward primer 5'-TGTCCCTGTTTGGATTCTAC-3' and the reverse primer 5'-GAACCTCAGATAGCAAGGAA-3' for rs228589 polymorphism of the ATM gene as well as the forward primer 5'-GCATCTGTGAGGAGGAAG-3' and the reverse primer 5'-TGCCATTATCAGACCATCA-3' for rs7759 in H2AX gene and the forward primer 5'-CCACTGGAATCTGGAAGGTA-3' and the reverse primer 5'-ACTTTCTATATGCAACCG-3' for rs1800392 in WRN gene. Primer spanning each of the SNP variants was designed using the NCBI Primer-BLAST tool (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/tools/primer-blast/). PCR reaction was conducted in 20 μl volume containing 12.5 μl of Taq DNA Polymerase 2x Master Mix (Ampliqon), 2 μl of each primer (5 pM), 3.5 μl sterile D.W. and 2 μl DNA template (500 ng). The PCR thermocycler was performed as an initial denaturation at 94°C for 5 min following 36 cycles of 3 steps, including, 94°C for 30 s, 56°C for the WRN and H2AX for 30 s, and 60°C for the ATM for 35 s; the final extension was at 72°C for 35 s. The expected PCR fragment lengths were 258 bp, 219 bp, and 283 bp for the ATM, WRN, and H2AX genes, respectively.

After amplification using a thermocycler PCR System, sizes of PCR products were confirmed through 2% agarose gel electrophoresis stained with a safe stain (Biofact). To determine the genotyping of SNPs using the RFLP analysis, PCR products containing SNPs were treated with specific restriction endonuclease enzymes. Details of the PCR-RFLP assay, including the size of PCR products, restriction enzymes, recognition and cutting sequence site, and RFLP genotype patterns, are shown in Table 1. The amplified PCR products of the WRN-rs1800392 G>T and H2AX-rs7759 A>G were digested

### Abbreviations:
- ATM, ataxia telangiectasia mutated
- bp, base pair
- PCR, polymerase chain reaction

### Table 1: Details of RFLP-PCR technique in genotyping of SNPs under investigation

| Polymorphism       | Enzyme   | PCR product size | Recognition sequence and cutting site | Restriction fragments (bp) |
|--------------------|----------|------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| ATM-RS228589 A>T   | BseGI    | 258              | 5’...G G A T G N N↓...3’               | AA;258(no cut)             |
|                    |          |                  |                                       | AT;128+130+258             |
|                    |          |                  |                                       | TT;128+130                  |
| H2AX-RS7759 A>G    | BsiHKAI  | 283              | 5’...G T G C T ↓C↓...3’                | AA;283(no cut)             |
|                    |          |                  |                                       | AG;126+157+283             |
|                    |          |                  |                                       | GG;126+157                  |
| WRN-RS1800392 G>T  | Hindl    | 219              | 5’...G↓A N T C...3’                   | GG;219(no cut)             |
|                    |          |                  |                                       | GT;219+86+133              |
|                    |          |                  |                                       | TT;86+133                  |

- Additional details about the primers and enzymes used in the PCR-RFLP assay.

- The table includes information on the recognition sequences and cutting sites for each restriction enzyme.

- Restriction fragments are listed for each SNP, indicating the sizes of the PCR products following digestion with the respective enzymes.

The study was standardized with the Helsinki Declaration of 1964 protocol as revised in 2013\textsuperscript{32} and all subjects freely signed a consent form before starting the study. The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of Babol University of Medical Sciences (ethical code: 9706614).
by the HinfI and Alw21I restriction enzymes (Thermo Scientific or Fermentas, Germany), respectively, at 37°C for 16 h. The restriction enzymes were deactivated at 65°C for 20 min. Regarding the ATM-rs228589 A>T, PCR products were digested via BseGI restriction enzyme (Thermo Scientific) at 55°C for 16 h. At the end of the incubation time, the restriction enzyme was deactivated at 80°C for 20 min. The patterns of enzymatic digestions were visualized and analyzed on a 2% agarose gel stained with a safe stain (Biofact) under ultraviolet light (Figure 1). Finally, according to the genotype patterns, the related PCR products were randomly chosen for direct sequencing by the Sanger sequencing (Niagenes Company, Iran). The sequences of PCR products flanking the given SNPs were visualized by the CodonCode Aligner software.

2.4 | Statistical analysis

Microsoft Excel and Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS), version 22 (IBM Corp) were used to perform the statistical analyses. The normality of the distributions of data was assessed by the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. When Kolmogorov–Smirnov test showed that dependent variables were normally distributed, the student t test was used to determine the statistical significance of the differences in quantitative variables and the chi-square test was used for categorical variables. Continuous variables were defined as mean ± SD and categorical variables were defined as absolute and percentage values. The accordance of genotypes frequency with the Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium in case and control groups was determined by the chi-square test. The difference between the allelic/genotypic frequency distribution was calculated using the $\chi^2$ test. The association between the three polymorphisms and the risk of hematotoxicity was tested in codominant, dominant, recessive, and overdominant models of inheritance via binary logistic regression and reported as odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI). Additional adjustment for potential confounders, such as age, body mass index (BMI), smoking, and time of the exposure in logistic regression enhanced the reliability of the obtained data. We, therefore, tested the effect of each SNP on each hematologic parameter.

![Figure 1](image-url)
among workers to assess for highly significant relationships. Linear regression was used to assess the effect of SNPs in ATM, WRN, and H2AX on the hematologic parameters count by adjustment for age, BMI, smoking, and time of the exposure. For each analysis, the most prevalent homozygous genotype was used as the reference group. Ultimately Pearson correlation coefficient was used to assess correlation of measured parameters with years of exposure and working hours. All p-values were two-sided and p < 0.05 was established as a statistically significant level. All data are presented on the Github page (https://github.com/samanehjafariroshan/Genetic-Varia-
	
tions/).

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Characteristics of study subjects

In the current study, participants were male and all variables, including age, BMI, the length of exposure day, job history, and smoking habits, showed no significant differences in the case and control groups. The median age and BMI were 34 years (range 21–58) and 25.57 kg/m² (range 16.48–36.06), respectively. Moreover, subjects had 12 h (range 4–16) exposure duration and 7.5 years (range, 2–24) job history. Only 5.8% of the population had smoking experience. The characteristics of comparison groups are presented in Table 2.

3.2 | BTEX exposure assessment data

The results of analyses showed that the mean arithmetic concentrations of benzene, toluene, and ethylbenzene in the study population were 0.75 (standard deviation ±6.05 ppm), 1.07 (standard deviation ±2.12 ppm), and 1.36 (standard deviation ±2.6 ppm), respectively. Xylene is principally presented as a mixture of ortho, para, and meta isomers and their means of concentrations were 0.42 (standard deviation ±1.46 ppm), 2.36 (standard deviation ±3.04 ppm), and 1.73 (standard deviation ±2.53 ppm), respectively. Both examined groups statistically showed no significant differences in the arithmetic means of BTEXs concentration in the breathing zones and results are summarized in Table 2.

3.3 | Hematological data

Evaluating the blood parameters in case and control groups showed that the mean hemoglobin (HGB), hematocrit (HCT), mean corpuscular volume (MCV), mean corpuscular hemoglobin (MCH), mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration (MCHC), and granulocyte counts of cases were significantly lower than those of the control group (p = 0.001, 0.049, 0.006, 0.002, 0.001, and 0.001, respectively), while the mean red cell distribution width (RDW) and lymphocyte counts in cases were significantly higher than those observed in the control group (p = 0.001 and 0.001, respectively). No significant difference in white blood cell count (WBC), red blood cell count (RBC), platelet (PLT) counts, and monocyte counts were observed between the two groups. Details are presented in Table 3.

3.4 | Associations of selected polymorphisms with the risk of abnormal hematological indices

Table 4 describes the distribution of alleles and genotypes of the ATM-rs228589 A>T, WRN-rs1800392 G>T, and H2AX-rs7759 A>G SNPs in the study population. All SNP genotypes were in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium among all of the examined participants (p > 0.05). The genotype frequency distributions of SNPs rs228589 and rs7759 were significantly different between cases and controls (p = 0.032, 0.003, respectively). In the case of ATM-rs228589 A>T polymorphism, the T allele in the case group was significantly higher than the control group (p = 0.012). Also, heterozygous AT genotype and homozygous TT genotype of this SNP were more prevalent in the case group than that in the control group (AT 46.8% and TT 8/5% vs. AT 34.9% and TT 5.3%, p = 0.032). Moreover, it was observed that the G allele of H2AX-rs7759 A>G has a higher frequency in the case group compared to the control group (p = 0.001). In line with this finding, cases had more heterozygous AG genotype and homozygous GG genotype than control individuals (AG 46.8% and GG 14.9% vs. AG 38.2% and GG 5/9%, p = 0.003). Considering the WRN-rs1800392 G>T, the distribution of alleles and genotypes showed no significant difference between cases and controls (p = 0.37, 0.154, respectively).

The possible associations of different genotypes of the studied SNPs with the risk of abnormal hematological patterns were also evaluated via different genetic models and the results are shown in Table 5. After the adjustment for confounders, such as age, BMI, smoking, and the length of exposure to BTEX, AT and TT genotypes of ATM-rs228589 A>T showed a significant association with a risk of hematological abnormalities in the codominant model (AT vs. AA, OR: 1.829, 95% CI: 1.107–3.023, p = 0.018), dominant model (AT + TT vs. AA, OR: 1.890, 95% CI: 1.163–3.071, p = 0.010), and overdominant model (AT vs. AA + TT, OR: 1.680, 95% CI: 1.032–2.734, p = 0.037). There was a little variation in OR values for unadjusted results (Table 5). After the adjustment for the above various variables, genetic model assessments showed that GG and AG genotypes of the H2AX-rs7759 A>G SNP were significantly associated with an increased risk of the abnormal pattern of hematological indices under the codominant model (GA vs. AA, OR: 2, 95% CI: 1.192–3.356, p = 0.009 & GG vs. AA, OR: 3.472, 95% CI: 1.451–8.305, p = 0.005), dominant model (AG + GG vs. AA, OR: 2.239, 95% CI: 1.371–3.657, p = 0.001), and recessive model (GG vs. AA + AG, OR: 2.594, 95% CI: 1.129–5.957, p = 0.025). For unadjusted analysis, the same trend was observed (Table 5). Regarding the WRN-rs1800392 G>T, statistical evaluations did not show any significant results (Table 5).

Moreover, we independently and specifically analyzed the influence of individual target SNP genotypes on each of the hematological parameters in BTEX-exposed workers. After adjusting age, BMI,
smoking, and the length of exposure to BTEX by a linear regression model, we observed a significant reduction in the RBC index in carriers of heterozygous AT and homozygous TT for ATM-rs228589 A>T (p-trend = 0.010) (Table 4). The homozygous and heterozygous subjects for the G allele of H2AX-rs7759 A>G showed a reduction in lymphocyte counts and increase in granulocyte counts (p-trend = 0.010, p-trend = 0.008, respectively) (Table 7). However, our data did not reveal any significant association between the WRN-rs1800392 G>T genotypes and patterns of hematological indices (Table S1). Finally, we measured the correlation of hematological indices with years of exposure and working hours in cases group, and as illustrated in Table S2, there was a positive correlation between RBC and HCT with working hours (\( r = 0.221, p < 0.011, r = 0.178, p = 0.043 \)), respectively (Table S2).

### DISCUSSION

It is now clear that the level of susceptibility of individuals to the effects of toxicants such as BTEXs is variable, often due to genetic
TABLE 4  Genotype and allele distribution of the selected polymorphisms in study population groups

| SNPs | Cases (n = 141)a | Controls (n = 152)b | p-value | P-HWE |
|------|------------------|---------------------|---------|-------|
| rs228589A>T Genotypes | | | | |
| AA | 63 (44.7) | 91 (59.9) | | |
| AT | 66 (46.8) | 53 (34.9) | 0.032 | |
| TT | 12 (8.5) | 8 (5.3) | 0.64 | |
| Alleles | | | 0.012 | |
| A | 192 (68.1) | 235 (77.3) | | |
| T | 90 (31.9) | 69 (22.7) | | |
| rs7759A>G Genotypes | | | | |
| AA | 54 (38.3) | 85 (55.9) | | |
| AG | 66 (46.8) | 58 (38.2) | | |
| GG | 21 (14.9) | 9 (5.9) | 0.003 | 0.76 |
| Alleles | | | | |
| A | 174 (61.7) | 228 (75) | 0.001 | |
| G | 108 (38.3) | 76 (25) | | |
| rs1800392G>T Genotypes | | | | |
| GG | 60 (42.6) | 76 (50) | | |
| GT | 62 (44) | 61 (40.1) | 0.377 | |
| TT | 19 (13.5) | 15 (9.9) | 0.44 | |
| Alleles | | | | |
| G | 182 (64.5) | 213 (70.1) | 0.154 | |
| T | 100 (35.5) | 91 (29.9) | | |

Note: Data are presented as number and percentage; chi-square test was applied; Bold values are statistically significant (p < 0.05).

Abbreviations: P-HWE, p value for Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium; SNPs, single-nucleotide polymorphisms.

aThe genotyping was successful in 141 cases and 152 controls for rs228589, rs7759, and rs1800392 polymorphisms.

Differences.27,30,31,34,35 Revealing such a genetic predisposition can be very important for exposure risk assessment and early detection and prevention of related diseases in susceptible individuals.1,13,31,36 Blood cells and bone marrow are the main cells and tissue that show the highest level of sensitivity and manifestations due to the toxic effects of BTEX compounds,4 but the responses vary among exposed individuals, signifying the existence of an underlying genetic predisposition.13,27,37 Previous investigations have revealed the role of genetic variations in some candidate genes involved in DNA damage and repair in susceptibility to harmful hematotoxic effects of BTEX compounds.13,26 BTEX metabolites and their reactive metabolites can cause genomic damage, including DBS lesions,2,27 thus resulting in cell cycle arrest, stopping the replication of damaged DNA, and triggering DBS repair responses.16,18 It is, therefore, biologically plausible to suppose that polymorphisms of loci controlling the DBS repair pathway may contribute to the determining individual susceptibility to harmful effects of BTEXs on blood cells.

The current study indicated that functional genetic variants, ATM-rs228589 A>T and H2AX-rs7759 A>G, within two key loci acting in the DNA DSB repair system were significantly related to some abnormal patterns of hematological indices in workers exposed to BTEX. Epidemiologic researches indicate that BTEX, particularly benzene, behave as hematotoxicants and carcinogens, and their occupational exposure is linked to the causation of some human health conditions including hematological abnormalities.6,38 In this regard, benzene exposure in mild form is linked to the abnormality in RBC counts, hemoglobin concentration, leukocyte counts, MCHC, and platelets38,39 and in severe form, exposed subjects show an increased risk of aplastic anemia, myelodysplastic syndromes, and leukemia.6,7 Likewise, there are some reports on the exposure to toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylene with the development of hematological abnormality.18,40 Nevertheless, it has been shown that individuals may respond differently to these toxins under similar exposure conditions, and early clues suggest the role of individual genetic differences.25,27,34

Our analysis showed that the T allele of ATM-rs228589 A>T was significantly more prevalent in cases than the control individuals. In addition, the genetic model analysis revealed a significant association of T-bearing genotypes in the dominant model (AT + TT vs. AA), overdominant model (AT vs. AA + TT), and codominant model (AT vs. AA) with a high risk of abnormal hematological indices. Specifically, AT and TT genotypes were associated with the reduced RBC counts. These significant findings were observed in both adjusted and unadjusted conditions suggesting that individuals carrying AT and TT genotypes may be prone to RBC abnormalities as the specific hematotoxicity caused by exposure to BTEX. The ATM gene encodes a serine/threonine kinase activity, which controls the maintenance of genomic integrity via promoting the repair of DSB damages, and regulation of the cell cycle and apoptosis.16,40 The DSB repair pathway seems to be a key defense machine against the BTEX-induced genotoxicity and hematotoxicity.3,13,24,41 Of note, the product of the ATM gene plays a very important role in the function of blood cells and bone marrow-derived cells, and its defect is linked with serious abnormalities of the hematopoietic cells.24,42 Once the DNA DSB repair system is induced, the ATM phosphorylates the key H2AX protein for transducing repair signal to downstream effectors at DSB mutation sites.18,40

Notably, the rs228589 variant is within the promoter region of the ATM gene and may lead to the appearance of specific phenotypes via altering its expression and cellular function.43 For example, evidence indicates that it is associated with a decrease in DNA repair capacity16 and the risk of some human diseases.16,44 In line with our results, Wang et al. reported that DNA damage response capacity in polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs)-exposed workers was significantly lower in individuals carrying AT and AT + TT genotypes of rs228589 compared to subjects carrying AA genotype.16

According to data from an epidemiological investigation, the T allele
### TABLE 5  Association results between SNP genotypes and patterns of hematological parameters in multiple inheritance models

| SNPs        | Genotype models | Genotypes | Cases (n = 141) | Controls (n = 152) | Unadjusted OR (95% CI) | p  | Adjusted OR* (95% CI) | p   |
|-------------|-----------------|-----------|----------------|-------------------|------------------------|----|----------------------|-----|
| ATM-rs228589 A>T | Codominant      | A/A       | 63 (44.7%)     | 91 (59.9%)        | 1                      |    |                      |     |
|             |                 | A/T       | 66 (46.8%)     | 53 (34.9%)        | 1.799 (1.109-2.917)    | 0.017 | 1.829 (1.107-3.023)   | 0.018 |
|             |                 | T/T       | 12 (8.5%)      | 8 (5.3%)          | 2.167 (0.838-5.605)    | 0.111 | 2.464 (0.886-6.899)   | 0.086 |
|             | Dominant        | A/A       | 63 (44.7%)     | 91 (59.9%)        | 1                      |    |                      |     |
|             |                 | A/T + T/T | 78 (55.3%)     | 61 (40.1%)        | 1.847 (1.161-2.938)    | 0.010 | 1.890 (1.163-3.071)   | 0.010 |
|             | Recessive       | A/A + A/T | 129 (91.5%)    | 144 (94.7%)       | 1                      |    |                      |     |
|             |                 | T/T       | 12 (8.5%)      | 8 (5.3%)          | 1.674 (0.664-4.225)    | 0.075 | 1.629 (0.622-4.265)   | 0.321 |
|             | Overdominant    | A/A + T/T | 75 (53.2%)     | 99 (65.1%)        | 1.644 (1.028-2.629)    | 0.038 | 1.680 (1.032-2.734)   | 0.037 |
| H2AX-rs7759 A>G | Codominant      | A/A       | 54 (38.3%)     | 85 (55.9%)        | 1                      |    |                      |     |
|             |                 | A/G       | 66 (46.8%)     | 58 (38.2%)        | 1.791 (1.097-2.926)    | 0.020 | 2 (1.192-3.356)       | 0.009 |
|             |                 | G/G       | 21 (14.9%)     | 9 (5.9%)          | 3.673 (1.567-8.611)    | 0.003 | 3.472 (1.451-8.305)   | 0.005 |
|             | Dominant        | A/A       | 54 (38.3%)     | 85 (55.9%)        | 1                      |    |                      |     |
|             |                 | A/G + G/G | 87 (61.7%)     | 67 (44.1%)        | 2.044 (1.282-3.260)    | 0.003 | 2.239 (1.371-3.657)   | 0.001 |
|             | Recessive       | A/A + A/G | 120 (85.1%)    | 143 (94.1%)       | 1                      |    |                      |     |
|             |                 | G/G       | 21 (14.9%)     | 9 (5.9%)          | 2.781 (1.227-6.299)    | 0.014 | 2.594 (1.129-5.957)   | 0.025 |
|             | Overdominant    | A/A + G/G | 75 (53.2%)     | 94 (61.8%)        | 1                      |    |                      |     |
|             |                 | A/G       | 66 (46.8%)     | 58 (38.2%)        | 1.426 (0.896-2.271)    | 0.135 | 1.582 (0.970-2.581)   | 0.066 |
| WRN-rs1800392 G>T | Codominant      | G/G       | 60 (42.5%)     | 76 (50%)          | 1                      |    |                      |     |
|             |                 | G/T       | 62 (44%)       | 61 (40.1%)        | 1.287 (0.789-2.100)    | 0.312 | 1.427 (0.852-2.391)   | 0.177 |
|             |                 | T/T       | 19 (13.5%)     | 15 (9.9%)         | 1.604 (0.753-3.420)    | 0.221 | 1.666 (0.775-3.584)   | 0.191 |
|             | Dominant        | G/G       | 60 (42.6%)     | 76 (50%)          | 1                      |    |                      |     |
|             |                 | G/T + T/T | 81 (57.4%)     | 76 (50%)          | 1.350 (0.851-2.141)    | 0.202 | 1.496 (0.922-2.428)   | 0.103 |
|             | Recessive       | G/G + G/T | 122 (86.5%)    | 137 (90.1%)       | 1                      |    |                      |     |
|             |                 | T/T       | 19 (13.5%)     | 15 (9.9%)         | 1.422 (0.693-2.921)    | 0.337 | 1.413 (0.684-2.917)   | 0.350 |
|             | Overdominant    | G/G + T/T | 79 (56%)       | 91 (59.9%)        | 1                      |    |                      |     |
|             |                 | G/T       | 62 (44%)       | 61 (40.1%)        | 1.171 (0.736-1.863)    | 0.506 | 1.288 (0.791-2.097)   | 0.309 |

Note: Data are presented as number and percentage; Logistic regression model was applied; p-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant (in bold).

Abbreviations: ATM, ataxia telangiectasia mutated; CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio; SNPs, single-nucleotide polymorphisms.

*Adjustment for age, BMI, smoking, and length of exposure to benzene.
of rs228589 is linked with a higher risk of breast cancer development in Jewish non-Ashkenazi women. Therefore, although the functional role of this polymorphism in the promoter of the ATM gene has not been clarified, it is thought that its T allele may alter ATM activity in the DSB repair by altering its expression and, ultimately, reducing genomic integrity. As a result, such an ATM gene variation may determine an individual’s susceptibility to BTEX-induced blood disorders.

Additionally, we discovered that the G allele of H2AX-rs7759 A>G SNP was more frequent in exposed subjects with...
| Hematological parameters | H2AX genotypes in cases (n = 141) | H2AX genotypes in controls (n = 152) |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
|                          | GG (9)                             | AG (58)                             | AA (85)                             | GG (21)                             | AG (66)                             | AA (54)                             |
| WBC Mean ± SD            | 6.852 ± 1.41                       | 7.24 ± 1.98                         | 6.42 ± 2.270                        | 7.084 ± 1.626                       | 7.02 ± 1.371                        | 6.91 ± 1.366                        |
| p-value                  | Ref                                | 0.704                               | 0.417                               | Ref                                 | 0.728                               | 0.828                               |
| p-trend                  |                                    | 0.173                               |                                     |                                     |                                     | 0.933                               |
| RBC Mean ± SD            | 5.05 ± 0.609                       | 5.11 ± 0.573                        | 4.82 ± 0.433                        | 4.96 ± 0.370                        | 5.04 ± 0.355                        | 5.06 ± 0.300                        |
| p-value                  | Ref                                | 0.442                               | 0.152                               | Ref                                 | 0.207                               | 0.514                               |
| p-trend                  |                                    | 0.133                               |                                     |                                     |                                     | 0.382                               |
| HB Mean ± SD             | 14.91 ± 1.35                       | 14.73 ± 1.49                        | 14.45 ± 1.93                        | 15.24 ± 0.875                       | 15.48 ± 0.798                       | 15.32 ± 0.70                        |
| p-value                  | Ref                                | 0.199                               | 0.229                               | Ref                                 | 0.117                               | 0.746                               |
| p-trend                  |                                    | 0.499                               |                                     |                                     |                                     | 0.187                               |
| HCT Mean ± SD            | 43.76 ± 2.84                       | 43.58 ± 4.07                        | 42.61 ± 3.95                        | 43.98 ± 2.31                        | 44.61 ± 2.21                        | 43.83 ± 1.73                        |
| p-value                  | Ref                                | 0.269                               | 0.141                               | Ref                                 | 0.180                               | 0.836                               |
| p-trend                  |                                    | 0.459                               |                                     |                                     |                                     | 0.230                               |
| MCV Mean ± SD            | 87.43 ± 7.92                       | 85.13 ± 9.01                        | 86.21 ± 13.83                       | 88.79 ± 3.86                        | 88.57 ± 3.73                        | 86.68 ± 3.69                        |
| p-value                  | Ref                                | 0.245                               | 0.490                               | Ref                                 | 0.491                               | 0.161                               |
| p-trend                  |                                    | 0.422                               |                                     |                                     |                                     | 0.290                               |
| MCH Mean ± SD            | 29.87 ± 3.75                       | 29.28 ± 3.92                        | 30.01 ± 3.58                        | 30.78 ± 1.73                        | 30.76 ± 1.65                        | 29.88 ± 1.41                        |
| p-value                  | Ref                                | 0.633                               | 0.971                               | Ref                                 | 0.858                               | 0.194                               |
| p-trend                  |                                    | 0.615                               |                                     |                                     |                                     | 0.316                               |
| MCHC Mean ± SD           | 34.04 ± 1.76                       | 34.20 ± 2.10                        | 33.78 ± 1.85                        | 34.64 ± 0.889                       | 34.73 ± 0.957                       | 34.50 ± 0.832                       |
| p-value                  | Ref                                | 0.636                               | 0.548                               | Ref                                 | 0.411                               | 0.761                               |
| p-trend                  |                                    | 0.671                               |                                     |                                     |                                     | 0.732                               |
| RDW Mean ± SD            | 12.48 ± 0.889                      | 12.28 ± 0.663                       | 12.69 ± 1.67                        | 12.12 ± 0.557                       | 12.07 ± 0.567                       | 12.43 ± 0.519                       |
| p-value                  | Ref                                | 0.714                               | 0.552                               | Ref                                 | 0.554                               | 0.183                               |
| p-trend                  |                                    | 0.209                               |                                     |                                     |                                     | 0.573                               |
| PLT Mean ± SD            | 205.8 ± 44.8                       | 215.3 ± 46.4                        | 210.4 ± 52.6                        | 209.8 ± 38.3                        | 216.5 ± 42.3                        | 203.5 ± 38.7                        |
| p-value                  | Ref                                | 0.659                               | 0.800                               | Ref                                 | 0.796                               | 0.612                               |
| p-trend                  |                                    | 0.541                               |                                     |                                     |                                     | 0.497                               |
| LYM Mean ± SD            | 47.35 ± 11.04                      | 44.29 ± 8.69                        | 39.10 ± 13.62                       | 38.28 ± 5.41                        | 37.38 ± 5.98                        | 36.01 ± 6.35                        |
| p-value                  | Ref                                | 0.001                               | 0.003                               | Ref                                 | 0.346                               | 0.232                               |
| p-trend                  |                                    | 0.010                               |                                     |                                     |                                     | 0.408                               |
TABLE 7 (Continued)

| H2AX genotypes in controls (n = 152) | H2AX genotypes in cases (n = 141) |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| GG (9)                             | GG (21)                          |
| AA (54)                            | AG (58)                          |
| AG (66)                            | AA (85)                          |
| Mean ± SD                          | Mean ± SD                        |
| 2.86 ± 1.61                        | 2.93 ± 1.66                      |
| 3.38 ± 1.75                        | 3.38 ± 1.95                      |
| Ref                                | Ref                              |
| 0.792                              | 0.811                            |
| p-value                            | p-value                          |
| 0.094                              | 0.811                            |
| p-trend                            | p-trend                          |
| 0.573                              | 0.008                            |

Note: Data are presented as the mean ± standard deviation. Linear regression was applied. Bold values are statistically significant (p < 0.05).

Hematological parameters

| Parameter                      | Control | Case   | p-value |
|--------------------------------|---------|--------|---------|
| MCV                           | Mean ± SD |       |         |
| 9.14 ± 1.82                   |         |        |         |
| RDW                           | Mean ± SD |       |         |
| 10.60 ± 8.75                  |         |        |         |
| Ref                           |          |        |         |
| 0.001                          |          |        |         |
| p-trend                       |          |        |         |
| 0.008                          |          |        |         |

Abbreviations: H2AX, histone H2A.X; ATM, ataxia telangiectasia; T, targeted mutation; HCT, hematocrit; HCV, high-cut-off value; MCHC, mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration; MCV, mean corpuscular volume; PLT, platelet; RBC, red blood cell count; RDW, red cell distribution width; WBC, white blood cell count.

abnormal hematological patterns than in the normal exposed subjects. Moreover, the analysis of genetic models showed that its GG and AG genotypes were significantly related to abnormalities of hematological indices under the codominant model (GA vs. AA, & GG vs. AA), dominant model (AG + GG vs. AA), and recessive model (GG vs. AA + AG). Furthermore, it was demonstrated that the G allele of H2AX-rs7759 A>G was associated with increased granulocyte counts and decreased lymphocyte counts. Likewise, the result was significantly the same in this case after considerations in both adjusted and unadjusted levels. As mentioned in previous sections, H2AX is the key gene in the DSB repair pathway and its rapid phosphorylation in serine 139 by ATM kinase is the main signal for the recruitment of DSB-related proteins such as NBS1, TP53BP1, and BRCA1, during repair responses in DSB sites. It is also observed that inactivating mutations in H2AX lead to genome instability and mutation repair defects. Interestingly, it is claimed that due to the conservation nature of the H2AX coding region, genetic variants outside the coding region may be responsible for the development of most cases of H2AX-related multifactorial diseases by interaction with environmental factors. Especially, this variant is located in the promoter of H2AX and therefore, may be linked with a functional change in the protein product by altering the efficiency of gene expression.

In summary, this is the first research that provided evidence for the relationships between ATM rs228589 A>T and H2AX-rs7759 A>G polymorphisms and hematotoxicity among BTEX-exposed workers in an Iranian subpopulation. The obtained results support our hypothesis that selected genetic polymorphisms in target key genes regulating the DSB repair may confer susceptibility to hematotoxicity in workers exposed to BTEX. In our specific results, ATM-rs228589 A>T seemed to influence RBC while H2AX-rs7759 A>G altered granulocytes and lymphocytes, proposing the biological effects of these gene variants may be cell-specific. Such findings may help clarify the underlying mechanisms of BTEX-induced DNA damage, such as DBS lesions, and developing new screening approaches in the near future for identifying vulnerable subjects that are at a high risk of hematotoxicity due to BTEX exposure. Other strengths of the present study were the homogeneous ethnic background of the population and the well-documented workplace exposure history for BTEX. It should be noted that our study also had certain limitations,
including the issue that it could be done on a larger population with other various ethnic groups. Therefore, further larger studies are needed to verify our findings and determine the exact biological function of these polymorphisms and their effects on gene expression and linkage disequilibrium with the other functional SNPs to explore the effect of these and related SNPs on blood cells and the risk of hematotoxicity in individuals exposed to BTEXs as hematotoxins.
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