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Abstract
Introduction: Individuals with an underlying malignancy have high risk of poor COVID-19 outcomes. In clinical trials, COVID-19 vaccines were safe and efficacious against infection, hospitalization, and death, but most trials excluded participants with cancer. We report results from participants with a history of past or active neoplasm (malignant or benign/unknown) and up to 6 months’ follow-up post-dose 2 from the placebo-controlled, observer-blinded trial of the 2-dose BNT162b2 mRNA COVID-19 vaccine.

Patients and methods: Between July 2020–January 2021, 46,429 participants aged ≥12 years were randomized at 152 sites in 6 countries. Healthy participants with pre-existing stable neoplasm could participate; those receiving immunosuppressive therapy were excluded. Data are reported for participants, aged ≥16 years for safety and ≥12 years for efficacy, who had any history of neoplasm at baseline (data cut-off: March 13, 2021). Adverse-event (AE) data are controlled for follow-up time before unblinding and reported as incidence rates (IRs) per 100 person-years follow-up.

Results: At baseline, 3813 participants had a history of neoplasm; most common malignancies were breast (n = 460), prostate (n = 362), and melanoma (n = 223). Four BNT162b2 and 71 placebo recipients developed COVID-19 from 7 days post-dose 2; vaccine efficacy was 94.4% (95% CI: 85.2, 98.5) after up to 6 months’ follow-up post-dose 2. This compares favorably with vaccine efficacy of 91.1% in the overall trial population after the same follow-up. AEs were reported at IRs of 95.4 (BNT162b2) and 48.3 (placebo) per 100 person-years. Most common AEs were reactogenicity events (injection-site pain, fatigue, pyrexia). Three BNT162b2 and 1 placebo recipients withdrew because of vaccine-related AEs. No vaccine-related deaths were reported.

Conclusion: In participants with past or active neoplasms, BNT162b2 vaccine has a similar efficacy and safety profile as in the overall trial population. These results can inform BNT162b2 use during the COVID-19 pandemic and future trials in participants with cancer.

Clinical trial number: NCT04368728.

© 2021 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).
1. Introduction

Compared with the general population, people with cancer are at increased risk for adverse outcomes due to coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), including hospitalization, intensive care unit admission, intubation or mechanical ventilation, and death [1–10]. People with cancer often also have a number of additional risk factors, including lung inflammation, immunosenescence, and comorbidities that may predispose them to adverse COVID-19 outcomes [7]. Active cancer and recent or current cancer-specific therapy, including treatment with immune checkpoint inhibitors, appear to confer higher risk of severe COVID-19 and mortality [1–9,11]. Advanced age, male sex, and underlying hematologic malignancy also confer a particularly high risk for adverse COVID-19 outcomes [1–6,9,11].

Recommendations for cancer management during the COVID-19 pandemic have emphasized balancing the risk of exposure to the SARS-CoV-2 virus, while avoiding unnecessary delays in cancer treatment [12–14]. Organizations including the American Society of Clinical Oncology and the United States (US) Centers for Disease Control and Prevention released recommendations early in the pandemic that people with cancer should be vaccinated against COVID-19, once vaccines were available [10,15,16]. In addition, in August 2021, the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) also recommended a third vaccine dose for individuals who have undergone solid organ transplantation or who are diagnosed with conditions that are considered to have an equivalent level of immunocompromise [17].

Patients with a history of malignant tumors may have compromised immunity due to immunosenescence, prior treatments, and comorbidities, which may hinder the efficacy of COVID-19 vaccines. However, many vaccine trials excluded participants with active cancer or who were receiving immunosuppressive treatments. The randomized, placebo-controlled, observer-blinded phase 1/2/3 trial of the BNT162b2 mRNA COVID-19 vaccine (COMIRNATY®, tozinameran; Fig. 1) included a significant number of participants with a history of neoplasm (malignancy or benign/unknown tumor) at baseline, either past or active (those receiving immunosuppressive treatment were excluded). In response to the need for direct evidence of vaccine efficacy and safety in people with cancer, we report a post hoc subgroup analysis of clinical efficacy and safety in participants with a history of neoplasm from this study. In the overall trial population, BNT162b2 was 95% effective in preventing COVID-19 from 7 days post-dose 2 in participants ≥ 16 years of age after a median follow-up of 2 months [18]. Subsequent analyses in adolescents (aged 12–15 years) demonstrated non-inferior immunogenicity relative to young adults (aged 16–25 years), and 100% efficacy was observed [19]. Updated vaccine efficacy after up to 6 months of follow-up in the overall trial population remained high after dose 2 (91%) [20].

On the basis of these results, in December 2020, the BNT162b2 COVID-19 vaccine was granted Emergency Use Authorization by the US FDA and conditional marketing authorization in the European Union for immunization of individuals ≥ 12 years of age [21–23]. The BNT162b2 COVID-19 vaccine was subsequently granted issuance of a license from the FDA following a Biologics License Application on August 23, 2021, for use in individuals ≥ 16 years of age [24]. The current post hoc subgroup analysis included trial participants who at baseline had a prior diagnosis of any malignancy or other neoplasm (ie, including benign tumors and those with unknown etiology), but who were not receiving immunosuppressive therapy.

2. Methods

2.1. Trial design

The randomized, placebo-controlled, observer-blinded global phase 3 clinical trial of the vaccine was conducted as part of a phase 1/2/3 trial (NCT04368728) to evaluate BNT162b2 safety, immunogenicity, and efficacy. The study design and population
have been described in detail elsewhere [18,19]; aspects relevant to the present subgroup analysis are summarized below.

Healthy male and female participants aged ≥ 12 years were randomized 1:1 to receive 2 doses of the BNT162b2 vaccine (30 μg) or saline placebo, administered 21 days apart, delivered in the deltoid muscle. The primary objectives were to evaluate BNT162b2 efficacy against laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 occurring from 7 days after the second vaccine dose and to define the safety profile. After external data monitoring committee review and Emergency Use Authorization by the FDA on December 10, 2020, participants were allowed to unblind and those in the placebo arm could crossover and receive the vaccine. Blinded, placebo-controlled data prior to unblinding for crossover up to March 13, 2021, are reported herein. Participants had up to 6 months of follow-up post-dose 2 prior to unblinding.

2.2. Participants

A total of 46,429 participants aged ≥ 12 years were randomized at 152 sites in 6 countries between July 2020 and January 2021.

A full list of inclusion and exclusion criteria is available in the study protocol as reported elsewhere [18]. Healthy participants with pre-existing stable neoplasm, ie, not requiring a significant change in their cancer therapy or hospitalization for worsening disease during the 6 weeks before enrollment, were eligible to participate in the study. Exclusion criteria included clinical or virologic COVID-19 diagnosis or SARS-CoV-2 infection prior to vaccination, previous coronavirus vaccination, or diagnosis of an immunocompromising or immunodeficiency disorder. Individuals receiving immunosuppressive therapy including cytotoxic agents or systemic corticosteroids, eg, for cancer or an autoimmune disease, were excluded. Participants who received short-term (<14 days) corticosteroids for treatment of an acute illness could enroll in the study ≥ 28 days after corticosteroid therapy discontinuation. Prohibited medications (resulting in exclusion from per protocol analyses) within 60 days before enrollment through study conclusion included chronic systemic treatment with known immunosuppressant medications, radiotherapy, and treatment with blood/plasma products or immunoglobulins.

2.3. Subgroup analysis

Medical history was collected at baseline and categorized according to Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities (MedDRA) system organ class and preferred term; the numbers and proportions of participants with comorbidities that increase the risk for severe COVID-19 illness were determined by vaccine group, according to the pre-specified trial statistical analysis plan. We report post hoc analyses of efficacy and safety data from subgroups of participants with any cancer-related medical history at baseline. Because immunosuppressive therapy was an exclusion criterion for the study, patients with cancer who were actively receiving cytotoxic immunosuppressive agents or immune checkpoint inhibitors were not included in the study. For the purposes of the present analysis, the subgroup of participants with a history of cancer was defined as participants who had a history of past or active malignancy or other neoplasm (including benign neoplasms and those of unknown etiology). Medical history data were reviewed and participants were further classified according to history of malignant tumor, benign tumor, or other non-specific neoplasm (including those with unknown etiologies). Additional supportive post hoc analyses were performed for the subset of participants with malignancies only (ie, excluding those with benign neoplasms or those with unknown etiologies); separate analyses were also performed for subsets of participants with solid and hematologic malignancies.

2.4. Endpoints and analysis methods

Participants were monitored for potential COVID-19 throughout the trial and tested for SARS-CoV-2 if they developed symptoms potentially indicative of COVID-19. Vaccine efficacy was evaluated in all randomized participants aged ≥ 12 years who received both doses within acceptable time frames and had no major protocol deviations (evaluable efficacy population). BNT162b2 efficacy against confirmed COVID-19 with an onset of 7 or more days after the second vaccine dose was summarized in participants without serologic or virologic evidence of SARS–CoV-2 infection up to 7 days after the second dose and in all vaccinated participants regardless of evidence of prior infection [18,19].

Participants reported all adverse events (AEs) and serious AEs from receipt of the first vaccine dose through 1 month and 6 months, respectively, after the second vaccine dose. For the present analysis, the safety population included all participants ≥ 16 years of age who received at least 1 dose of the study intervention. AEs were summarized for each vaccine group by relationship to study vaccine as judged by the investigator, severity, and MedDRA (v23.1) system organ class and preferred term. AE data are controlled for follow-up time before unblinding and reported as incidence rate (IR) per 100 person-years of blinded follow-up, calculated as the number of participants reporting an event over the total exposure time, from dose 1 to end of blinded follow-up, across all participants in the group. Corresponding exact 2-sided 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were determined on the basis of the link between the Poisson and Chi-square distributions.

3. Results

3.1. Participants

CONSORT diagrams of study flow and baseline characteristics of the overall trial population have been previously published [18,19]. Among 44,047 participants aged ≥ 16 years in the overall trial population, 3813 participants had a history of any neoplasm (malignant or benign/unknown) at baseline (past or active; however, those on active immunosuppressive treatment were excluded from the trial). Overall, the majority of these participants were female (63.3%), white (88.7%), and from the US (91.2%) (Table 1). Participants in this subgroup tended to be older than in the overall trial population (median age of 64.0 years and 51.0 years, respectively; Table 1).

Among the 3813 participants included in the current analysis, 2335 participants had a past or active malignant tumor, including 149 with an active malignancy at baseline, while 1478 had a benign or unknown tumor (Table 2). Most malignancies were solid tumors (n = 2259), most commonly breast cancer (n = 460), prostate cancer (n = 362), and melanoma (n = 223). Relatively few participants (n = 97) had a history of hematologic malignancy, which included lymphomas and leukemias.

3.2. Efficacy

Among 3538 evaluable participants aged ≥ 12 years with a history of any past or active neoplasm (malignant or benign) and without evidence of prior SARS-CoV-2 infection, there were 4 COVID-19 cases with onset from 7 days through 6 months post-dose 2 in BNT162b2 vaccine recipients versus 71 cases in placebo recipients, representing vaccine efficacy of 94.4% (95% CI: 85.1, 98.5) (Table 3). Similarly, when all evaluable participants in the subgroup were included in the analysis, regardless of evidence of prior SARS-CoV-2 infection (n = 3636), vaccine efficacy remained at 94.4% (95% CI: 85.2, 98.5), with 4 COVID-19 cases among
BNT162b2 recipients and 71 COVID-19 cases among placebo recipients (Table 3 and Fig. 2). When only the subset of participants with a history of malignant neoplasms were included in the analysis (n = 2222), vaccine efficacy was similarly high (92.9%), with 3 COVID-19 cases among BNT162b2 recipients and 40 COVID-19 cases among placebo recipients, regardless of prior SARS-CoV-2 infection status. The efficacy observed in participants with a history of any neoplasm compares favorably with that observed in the overall trial population during the same period (91.1%).

The COVID-19 cases observed among participants with a history of malignancy in the BNT162b2 group included a 56-year-old female with a past history of uterine carcinoma in situ (in 2010) who developed COVID-19 approximately 3 months after dose 2; a 65-year-old female with a past history of lymphoma (1998) and skin neoplasms (pre-cancerous skin lesion in 2008 and basal cell carcinoma in 2020) who developed confirmed COVID-19 approximately 2 months after dose 2; and a 69-year-old male with a past history of prostate cancer (2018) who developed COVID-19 approximately 2.5 months after dose 2. A 62-year-old female with a history of uterine leiomyoma, hypertension, diabetes, and hypercholesterolemia was also diagnosed with COVID-19 approximately 3.5 months after BNT162b2 dose 2. None of these participants were hospitalized due to COVID-19.

### Table 1

Demographic and baseline disease characteristics of the subgroup of participants with any history of past or active neoplasm (malignancy or benign/unknown tumor) at baseline and the overall trial population, by vaccine group (safety population).

| Characteristic | Participants with any history of neoplasm (malignant or benign/unknown) | Overall trial population |
|---------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|
|               | BNT162b2 (n = 1902) | Placebo (n = 1911) | Total (N = 44,047) |
| Female sex, n (%) | 1215 (63.9) | 1198 (62.7) | 21,627 (49.1) |
| Age at vaccination | Mean (3D) | 62.0 (11.8) | 61.6 (12.2) | 49.7 (16.0) |
|                | Median (range) | 64.0 (16–86) | 64.0 (16–91) | 51.0 (16–91) |
| Race, n (%) | White | 1689 (88.8) | 1692 (88.5) | 36,120 (82.0) |
|                | Black or African American | 127 (6.7) | 133 (7.0) | 4216 (9.6) |
|                | American Indian or Alaska Native | 8 (0.4) | 10 (0.5) | 438 (1.0) |
|                | Asian | 44 (2.3) | 46 (2.4) | 1894 (4.3) |
|                | Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander | 5 (0.3) | 2 (0.1) | 90 (0.2) |
|                | Multiracial | 25 (1.3) | 18 (0.9) | 1083 (2.5) |
|                | Not reported | 4 (0.2) | 10 (0.5) | 206 (0.5) |
| Ethnicity, n (%) | Hispanic or Latinx | 271 (14.2) | 280 (14.7) | 11,399 (25.9) |
|                | Non-Hispanic or non-Latinx | 1617 (85.0) | 1615 (84.5) | 32,423 (73.6) |
|                | Not reported | 14 (0.7) | 16 (0.8) | 225 (0.5) |
| Baseline SARS-CoV-2 status, n (%) | Positive | 27 (1.4) | 34 (1.8) | 1405 (3.2) |
|                | Negative | 1857 (97.6) | 1868 (97.7) | 42,365 (96.2) |
|                | Missing | 18 (0.9) | 9 (0.5) | 277 (0.6) |

**COVID-19** = coronavirus disease 2019; **NAAT** = nucleic acid amplification test.

| Characteristic | Participants with any history of neoplasm (malignant or benign/unknown) | Overall trial population |
|---------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Cancer-related medical history, n (%) | (n = 1902) | (n = 1911) | (N = 44,047) |
| Malignancy (past or active) | 1186 (62.4) | 1149 (60.1) | 21,627 (49.1) |
| Active malignancy | 68 (3.6) | 81 (4.2) | 497 (16.0) |
| Solid tumor | 1148 (60.4) | 1111 (58.1) | 4216 (9.6) |
| Breast cancer | 237 (12.5) | 223 (11.7) | 438 (1.0) |
| Prostate cancer | 178 (9.4) | 181 (9.4) | 1894 (4.3) |
| Melanoma | 118 (6.2) | 105 (5.5) | 438 (1.0) |
| Other tumors | 676 (35.5) | 663 (34.7) | 1894 (4.3) |
| Hematologic malignancy | 46 (2.4) | 51 (2.7) | 90 (0.2) |
| Benign or unknown neoplasm | 716 (37.6) | 762 (39.9) | 1083 (2.5) |

**a** Participants with multiple occurrences of the same preferred term are counted only once. Participants with multiple neoplasms (based on preferred term) are counted in each relevant category.

**b** The cases counted in the ‘Other tumors’ category were comprised of confirmed malignancies with <100 cases per tumor type.

### Table 2

Cancer-related medical history in the subgroup of participants with any history of past or active neoplasm (malignancy or benign/unknown tumor) at baseline, by vaccine group (safety population).

| Characteristic | Participants with any history of neoplasm (malignant or benign/unknown) | Overall trial population |
|---------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Cancer-related medical history, n (%) | (n = 1902) | (n = 1911) | (N = 44,047) |
| Malignancy (past or active) | 1186 (62.4) | 1149 (60.1) | 21,627 (49.1) |
| Active malignancy | 68 (3.6) | 81 (4.2) | 497 (16.0) |
| Solid tumor | 1148 (60.4) | 1111 (58.1) | 4216 (9.6) |
| Breast cancer | 237 (12.5) | 223 (11.7) | 438 (1.0) |
| Prostate cancer | 178 (9.4) | 181 (9.4) | 1894 (4.3) |
| Melanoma | 118 (6.2) | 105 (5.5) | 438 (1.0) |
| Other tumors | 676 (35.5) | 663 (34.7) | 1894 (4.3) |
| Hematologic malignancy | 46 (2.4) | 51 (2.7) | 90 (0.2) |
| Benign or unknown neoplasm | 716 (37.6) | 762 (39.9) | 1083 (2.5) |

**a** Participants with multiple occurrences of the same preferred term are counted only once. Participants with multiple neoplasms (based on preferred term) are counted in each relevant category.

**b** The cases counted in the ‘Other tumors’ category were comprised of confirmed malignancies with <100 cases per tumor type.

3.3. Safety

AEs were reported over a total exposure time (ie, dose 1 to end of blinded follow-up) of 700 person-years for both the BNT162b2 vaccine and placebo recipient groups with a history of any neoplasm (malignant or benign/unknown). AEs and vaccine-related AEs were reported more frequently among BNT162b2 (IR: 95.4 and 69.4 per 100 person-years exposure, respectively) than placebo recipients (IR: 48.3 and 16.7, respectively) (Table 4). AEs were generally reported at slightly higher IRs in this subgroup than in the overall clinical trial population. Few participants with a history of neoplasm reported severe AEs (IR: 5.6, BNT162b2; 3.6, placebo) or serious AEs (IR: 6.7, BNT162b2; 3.6, placebo). Two BNT162b2 vaccine recipients reported serious AEs that were considered vaccine-related: 1 participant experienced ventricular arrhythmia on the day of dose 2 and 1 experienced lymaphadenopathy on day 13 post-dose 1 (this participant also experienced non-serious vaccine-related AEs of chills, injection-site erythema, injection-site pain, and injection-site warmth, and withdrew from the study because of AEs). Both serious vaccine-related AEs resolved. Six BNT162b2 vaccine recipients and 4 placebo recipients withdrew from the study because of AEs; among them, 3 and 1 participants, respectively, withdrew because of AEs that were considered vaccine-related (all reactogenicity events; BNT162b2: 1 participant with lymaphadenopathy as described above, 1 participant with injection-site swelling on the day of dose 1, and 1 participant with abdominal discomfort, diarrhea, eye pain, fatigue, headache, and
muscle weakness on the day after dose 1; placebo: 1 participant with cheilitis, dry mouth, dysgeusia, eczema, parosmia, pruritus, and maculo-papular rash on day 16 after placebo dose 1). Three participants died during the study (1 in the BNT162b2 group and 2 in the placebo group); none of the deaths were considered vaccine related.

Consistent with the previously reported safety profile for the full clinical trial population [18,19], the most common AEs (any causality) were reactogenicity events, including injection-site pain, fatigue, and pyrexia (Table 4). These events were reported at similar IRs in the subgroup of participants with a history of neoplasm as in the overall trial population during the same follow-up period.

The frequency and pattern of AEs were similar among the subset of participants who had past or active malignant neoplasms only as for the overall subgroup of participants with a history of any neoplasm (malignant or benign/unknown) (Table 5).

4. Discussion

Approximately 8% of participants (>3800) from the phase 3 trial of the BNT162b2 mRNA COVID-19 vaccine had at baseline a history of past or active neoplasm (malignancy or benign/non-specific tumor). Patients with a history of malignant tumors may have compromised immunity due to immunosenescence, prior treatments, and comorbidities, which may hinder the efficacy of vaccines against COVID-19. In the current analysis of the subgroup of participants with a history of past or active neoplasm, the BNT162b2 vaccine had similarly high efficacy and an acceptable safety profile compared with the overall clinical trial population with up to 6 months of follow-up post-dose 2. BNT162b2 demonstrated similarly high efficacy and acceptable safety in the subset of participants with a history of malignant tumors only. Our results obtained in a randomized, controlled clinical trial setting, together with emerging results from real-world cohort studies of COVID-19 vaccines in individuals with cancer, provide scientific evidence to support priority vaccination of patients with cancer, as recommended early in the pandemic by prominent oncology and public health organizations [10,15,16]. However, it should be noted that this trial enrolled only people with stable disease at baseline who were not receiving immunosuppressive therapy. As such, it included relatively few participants with an active malignancy (n = 149), and most participants included in the present analysis...
| AEs by vaccination group among participants with any history of past or active neoplasm (malignancy or benign/unknown tumor) at baseline and the overall trial population (safety population).

| Participants with any history of neoplasm (malignant or benign/unknown) | Overall trial population |
|---|---|
|  | BNT162b2 (N = 1898) | Placebo (N = 1908) | BNT162b2 (N = 21,926) | Placebo (N = 21,921) |
|  | n | IR (95% CI) | n | IR (95% CI) | n | IR (95% CI) | n | IR (95% CI) |
| Any AE | 669 | 95.4 (88.3, 102.9) | 336 | 48.3 (43.3, 53.7) | 6947 | 83.2 (81.3, 85.2) | 3568 | 43.4 (42.0, 44.9) |
| Severe | 39 | 5.6 (4.0, 7.6) | 25 | 3.6 (2.3, 5.3) | 356 | 4.3 (3.8, 4.7) | 256 | 3.1 (2.7, 3.5) |
| Serious AE | 47 | 6.7 (4.9, 8.9) | 25 | 3.6 (2.3, 5.3) | 268 | 3.2 (2.8, 3.6) | 268 | 3.3 (2.9, 3.7) |
| Related | 2 | 0.3 (0.0, 1.0) | 0 | 0.0 (0.0, 0.5) | 4 | 0.0 (0.0, 0.1) | 1 | 0.0 (0.0, 0.1) |
| Severe | 25 | 3.6 (2.3, 5.3) | 14 | 2.0 (1.1, 3.4) | 148 | 1.8 (1.5, 2.1) | 156 | 1.9 (1.6, 2.2) |
| Life-threatening | 3 | 0.4 (0.1, 1.3) | 6 | 0.9 (0.3, 1.9) | 48 | 0.6 (0.4, 0.8) | 54 | 0.7 (0.5, 0.9) |
| AE leading to withdrawal | 6 | 0.9 (0.3, 1.9) | 4 | 0.6 (0.2, 1.5) | 45 | 0.5 (0.4, 0.7) | 51 | 0.6 (0.5, 0.8) |
| Related | 3 | 0.4 (0.1, 1.3) | 1 | 0.1 (0.0, 0.8) | 13 | 0.2 (0.1, 0.3) | 12 | 0.1 (0.1, 0.3) |
| Severe | 1 | 0.1 (0.0, 0.8) | 0 | 0.0 (0.0, 0.5) | 10 | 0.1 (0.1, 0.2) | 12 | 0.1 (0.1, 0.3) |
| Life-threatening | 1 | 0.1 (0.0, 0.8) | 3 | 0.4 (0.1, 1.3) | 15 | 0.2 (0.1, 0.3) | 16 | 0.2 (0.1, 0.3) |
| Death | 1 | 0.1 (0.0, 0.8) | 2 | 0.3 (0.0, 1.0) | 15 | 0.2 (0.1, 0.3) | 14 | 0.2 (0.1, 0.3) |

**Most common AEs**

| Injection-site pain | 266 | 37.9 (33.5, 42.8) | 26 | 3.7 (2.4, 5.5) | 2917 | 35.0 (33.7, 36.2) | 399 | 4.9 (4.4, 5.4) |
| Fatigue | 129 | 18.4 (15.4, 21.9) | 41 | 5.9 (4.2, 8.0) | 1466 | 17.6 (16.7, 18.5) | 379 | 4.6 (4.2, 5.1) |
| Pyrexia | 129 | 18.4 (15.4, 21.9) | 3 | 0.4 (0.1, 1.3) | 1520 | 18.2 (17.3, 19.2) | 78 | 0.9 (0.8, 1.2) |
| Chills | 119 | 17.0 (14.1, 20.3) | 12 | 1.7 (0.9, 3.0) | 1368 | 16.4 (15.5, 17.3) | 121 | 1.5 (1.2, 1.8) |
| Headache | 116 | 16.5 (13.7, 19.8) | 34 | 4.9 (3.4, 6.8) | 1348 | 16.2 (15.3, 17.0) | 429 | 5.2 (4.7, 5.7) |
| Myalgia | 111 | 15.8 (13.0, 19.1) | 12 | 1.7 (0.9, 3.0) | 1245 | 14.9 (14.1, 15.8) | 170 | 2.1 (1.8, 2.4) |
| Pain | 69 | 9.8 (7.7, 12.5) | 10 | 1.4 (0.7, 2.6) | 628 | 7.5 (6.9, 8.1) | 62 | 0.8 (0.6, 1.0) |
| Arthralgia | 33 | 4.7 (3.2, 6.6) | 12 | 1.7 (0.9, 3.0) | 281 | 3.4 (3.0, 3.8) | 122 | 1.5 (1.2, 1.8) |
| Nausea | 30 | 4.3 (2.9, 6.1) | 5 | 0.7 (0.2, 2.7) | 277 | 3.3 (2.9, 3.7) | 88 | 1.1 (0.9, 1.3) |
| Injection-site erythema | 28 | 4.0 (2.7, 5.8) | 2 | 0.3 (0.0, 1.0) | 185 | 2.2 (1.9, 2.6) | 29 | 0.4 (0.2, 0.5) |
| Pain in extremity | 25 | 3.6 (2.3, 5.3) | 9 | 1.3 (0.6, 2.5) | 189 | 2.3 (2.0, 2.6) | 52 | 0.6 (0.5, 0.8) |
| Diarrhea | 23 | 3.3 (2.1, 4.9) | 16 | 2.3 (1.3, 3.7) | 255 | 3.1 (2.7, 3.5) | 189 | 2.3 (2.0, 2.7) |
| Injection-site swelling | 21 | 3.0 (1.9, 4.6) | 1 | 0.1 (0.0, 0.8) | 140 | 1.7 (1.4, 2.0) | 23 | 0.3 (0.2, 0.4) |

**AE** = adverse event; **CI** = confidence interval; **COVID-19** = coronavirus disease 2019; **HIV** = human immunodeficiency virus; **IR** = incidence rate; **MedDRA** = Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities; **yrs** = years.

**HIV-positive participants were excluded from the safety analyses.**

**Total exposure (dose 1 to end of blinded follow-up) across participants in the group (BNT162b2: 700 person-years; placebo: 700 person-years). IR calculated as number of participants reporting the event/total exposure time in 100 person-years across all participants in the specified group, with exact 2-sided CI based on the link between the Poisson and Chi-square distributions.**

**Total exposure (dose 1 to end of blinded follow-up) across participants in the group (BNT162b2: 8340 person-years; placebo: 8220 person-years).**

**Assessed by the investigator as related to investigational vaccine.**

**Based on MedDRA preferred term; AEs (any causality) with IR > 3.0 per 100 person-years in either vaccine group for the subgroup of participants with a history of cancer are summarized here.**
were cancer survivors. This is understandable, given the rapid initiation and enrollment of this trial in 2020 during the global COVID-19 pandemic. In addition, the vast majority of malignancies (past or ongoing) were solid tumors (97%; n = 2259). While evidence from real-world studies indicates that some patients with hematologic malignancies do not mount adequate immune responses to COVID-19 vaccination [25–30], the low incidence (0.5%) but excluded participants receiving anti-immunomodulating agents or radiotherapy within 6 months before enrollment [34]. Similarly, the phase 3 trial of the mRNA-1273 COVID-19 vaccine (Moderna) excluded participants receiving systemic immunosuppressants or immune-modifying drugs [36]. Although subgroup analyses of participants with certain pre-existing conditions associated with severe COVID-19 (e.g., chronic lung disease and significant cardiac disease) have been reported from that study, results from participants with cancer were not reported [36].

Since the efficacy of COVID-19 vaccines in patients with cancer receiving active antitumor systemic treatment has not been evaluated in the randomized, controlled trial setting, real-world effectiveness data will be essential to better understand the clinical profile of COVID-19 vaccines in these patients. Indeed, initial real-world data are beginning to be reported from cohort studies of patients with cancer who have received COVID-19 vaccines, including the BNT162b2 mRNA COVID-19 vaccine [30–33,38–40]. The results have provided encouraging safety data and suggested a similar AE profile for the BNT162b2 vaccine among patients with cancer (including those treated with checkpoint inhibitors).

Patients with cancer may receive systemic immunosuppressive therapies as part of their anticancer treatment, which has broadly resulted in exclusion of these patients from the randomized, phase 3 COVID-19 vaccine trials [18,34–37]. Indeed, the present subgroup analysis is based on participants with a history of cancer who were not receiving active immunosuppressant therapy, because this was an exclusion criterion for the BNT162b2 vaccine clinical trial [18]. The phase 3 study of the Ad26.COV2.S vaccine (Johnson & Johnson) included a small number of participants with cancer at baseline (0.5%) but excluded participants receiving anti-neoplastic and immunomodulating agents or radiotherapy within 6 months before enrollment [34]. Similarly, the phase 3 trial of the mRNA-1273 COVID-19 vaccine (Moderna) excluded participants receiving systemic immunosuppressants or immune-modifying drugs [36]. Although subgroup analyses of participants with certain pre-existing conditions associated with severe COVID-19 (e.g., chronic lung disease and significant cardiac disease) have been reported from that study, results from participants with cancer were not reported [36].

Since the efficacy of COVID-19 vaccines in patients with cancer receiving active antitumor systemic treatment has not been evaluated in the randomized, controlled trial setting, real-world effectiveness data will be essential to better understand the clinical profile of COVID-19 vaccines in these patients. Indeed, initial real-world data are beginning to be reported from cohort studies of patients with cancer who have received COVID-19 vaccines, including the BNT162b2 mRNA COVID-19 vaccine [30–33,38–40]. The results have provided encouraging safety data and suggested a similar AE profile for the BNT162b2 vaccine among patients with cancer (including those treated with checkpoint inhibitors).
In recent real-world studies, lower serological responses to COVID-19 vaccination regimens have generally been reported in cohorts of patients with hematologic malignancies compared with patients with solid tumors and healthy controls, with the lowest responses among patients on active treatment [25–29,44,45]. Particularly low antibody responses to the first BNT162b2 vaccine dose have been reported in patients with cancer, highlighting the potential importance of additional doses [27,32,39,40,43,46]. Even with two vaccine doses, many patients with hematologic malignancies remain at risk for not producing adequate antibody responses [25–30]. In a recent cohort study of patients with solid and hematologic cancer (n = 88), a third COVID-19 vaccine dose potentiated immune responses in most patients, although a few patients (particularly those who received anti-CD20 therapy) did not have a serological response even after a third dose [26]. The ability to draw conclusions about the safety and efficacy of the BNT162b2 vaccine in patients with hematologic malignancies in our subgroup analysis is limited by the very low number of participants with a history of these types of malignancies (<3%; n = 97). In this small subset of participants with a history of hematologic malignancies, one case of COVID-19 was reported in a BNT162b2 vaccine recipient with a history of lymphoma > 20 years prior to study vaccination, and one case was reported in a placebo recipient.

Data from cohort studies of patients with solid tumors receiving antitumor treatment indicate that most patients mount an acceptable antibody response after 2 doses of BNT162b2, but antibody titers are generally lower than in people without cancer [27,38,47,48]. For example, among 102 patients with solid tumors receiving antitumor treatment at a single Israeli center, 92 (90%) patients and all (78/78) healthy controls were seropositive for SARS-CoV-2 anti-spike IgG 13–54 days after receiving a second BNT162b2 dose, with durable seropositivity (87%) observed among the patients with cancer after 4 months of follow-up [38,49]. However, despite this high seroconversion rate, significantly lower IgG titers were observed among the patients with cancer versus controls in that study [38,49].

Emerging real-world effectiveness results following mass BNT162b2 vaccination campaigns, which included people with cancer and other underlying health conditions, have been generally consistent with the high efficacy observed in the phase 3 clinical trial [18,19], but specific data on BNT162b2 antibody responses and efficacy in subsets of people with cancer have not been reported [50,51]. One recent descriptive report of > 6000 individuals with cancer vaccinated with BNT162b2 from a single US institution showed low rates of breakthrough infection (1 case) without unexpected safety signals; however, this study did not include a placebo/unvaccinated control group or a comparator group of vaccine recipients without cancer [33]. Similarly, low rates of COVID-19 were reported in patients with cancer who received 2-dose COVID-19 vaccine regimens at a single center in France [52]. It remains unclear whether the lower antibody responses observed in some cohort studies translate into a difference in COVID-19 vaccine efficacy in people with cancer; therefore, efficacy/effectiveness data in these populations are needed to understand the level of protection.

As described above, limitations of this analysis include the exclusion of participants receiving concurrent immunosuppressive therapy (e.g., chemotherapy) from the trial and the low number of participants with active cancer and hematologic malignancies. This selected clinical trial population may not reflect the heterogeneous group of patients with cancer. In addition, these observations came largely from white participants, and results may not be generalizable to people of other racial identification. Participants in the subgroup tended to be older than those in the overall study population. Since this was a post-hoc subgroup analysis, the study was not powered for formal statistical analysis of vaccine efficacy in participants with a history of cancer. While the descriptive summary of vaccine efficacy presented provides strong evidence of high vaccine efficacy in this subgroup, immunogenicity data are not reported.

Given the low number of participants with hematologic malignancies and exclusion of participants on immunosuppressive treatment, further prospective studies will be needed to inform on vaccine efficacy in these patients. Poor immune responses to mRNA COVID-19 vaccines have been demonstrated in people who are immunocompromised, including solid organ transplant recipients [53–55]. The US FDA authorized a third dose of the BNT162b2 vaccine in individuals ≥ 12 years of age who have undergone solid-organ transplantation or who are diagnosed with conditions that are considered to have an equivalent level of immunocompromise [17]. With the recent spread of COVID-19 variants of concern such as the Delta and Omicron variants, it is also unclear if the current 2-dose vaccine regimen will be sufficient in patients with hematologic malignancies and those undergoing immunosuppressive treatment. Hence, a prospective trial of BNT162b2 in patients with cancer and patients on immunosuppressive therapies was initiated in October 2021 (NCT04895982). This study is expected to provide comprehensive immunogenicity and T-cell response data in patients with cancer (non-small cell lung cancer or chronic lymphocytic leukemia) who are on anticancer treatment, including after a third BNT162b2 vaccine dose. An additional trial evaluating safety and efficacy of a booster dose of BNT162b2 in participants who previously received 2 doses has recently completed recruitment (NCT04955626), and results are awaited.

5. Conclusions

The BNT162b2 mRNA COVID-19 vaccine demonstrated robust efficacy and acceptable safety in clinical trial participants with a history of past or active neoplasms, who were not receiving immunosuppressive treatment, and who had up to 6 months of follow-up post-dose 2. The efficacy and safety in these participants were similar to the overall clinical trial population. These results support the current recommendations to consider immunizing people living with cancer against COVID-19 using the BNT162b2 mRNA COVID-19 vaccine and provide the foundation to plan future trials in these populations.
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