Spontaneous Hair Cell Regeneration Is Prevented by Increased Notch Signaling in Supporting Cells
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During embryonic development, differentiation of cochlear progenitor cells into hair cells (HCs) or supporting cells (SCs) is partially controlled through Notch signaling. Many studies have shown that inhibition of Notch signaling allows SCs to convert into HCs in both normal and drug damaged neonatal mouse cochleae. This mechanism is also implicated during HC regeneration in non-mammalian vertebrates; however, the mechanism of spontaneous HC regeneration in the neonatal mouse cochlea is less understood. While inhibition of Notch signaling can force SCs to convert into HCs and increase the number of regenerated HCs, it is currently unknown whether this pathway is involved in spontaneous HC regeneration observed in vivo.

Therefore, we investigated the role of Notch signaling during the spontaneous HC regeneration process using Atoh1-CreER<sup>TM</sup>::Rosa26<sup>loxP-stop-loxP-DTA/+</sup> mice injected with tamoxifen at postnatal day (P) 0 and P1 to ablate HCs and stimulate spontaneous HC regeneration. Expression changes of genes in the Notch pathway were measured using immunostaining and in situ hybridization, with most changes observed in the apical one-third of the cochlea where the majority of HC regeneration occurs. Expression of the Notch target genes Hes1, Hes5, Hey1, HeyL, and Jagged1 were decreased. To investigate whether reduction of Notch signaling is involved in the spontaneous HC regeneration process, we overexpressed the Notch1 intracellular fragment (N1ICD) in cochlear SCs and other non-sensory epithelial cells in the context of HC damage. Specifically, Atoh1-CreER<sup>TM</sup>::Rosa26<sup>loxP-stop-loxP-DTA/+</sup>::Sox10<sup>rtTA</sup>::TetO-LacZ::TetO-N1ICD mice were injected with tamoxifen at P0/P1 to stimulate spontaneous HC regeneration and given doxycycline from P0–P7 to induce expression of N1ICD as well as LacZ for fate-mapping. We observed a 92% reduction in the number of fate-mapped regenerated HCs in mice with N1ICD overexpression compared to controls with HC damage but no manipulation of Notch signaling. Therefore, we conclude that increased Notch signaling prevents spontaneous HC regeneration from occurring in the neonatal mouse cochlea. Understanding which components of the Notch pathway regulates regenerative plasticity in the neonatal mouse cochlea will inform investigations focused on stimulating HC regeneration in mature cochlea and eventually in humans to treat hearing loss.
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INTRODUCTION

Hearing loss, one of the most prevalent conditions in the world, can result from multiple etiologies such as aging, viral infection, or exposure to noise or ototoxic drugs. In those born with normal hearing, death of sound-sensing hair cells (HCs) located within the cochlea is the most common source of hearing impairment. The mature mammalian cochlea does not regenerate HCs after damage, thus hearing loss is permanent (Bohne, 1976; Hawkins et al., 1976; Oesterle et al., 2008). However, in non-mammalian vertebrates, including birds, fish, and amphibians, the inner ear responds to damage by regenerating lost HCs throughout the life of the animal (reviewed in Birmingham-McDonogh and Rubel, 2003; Stone and Cotanche, 2007). Several studies have suggested that the Notch signaling pathway is involved in the HC regeneration process in non-mammals (reviewed in Stone and Cotanche, 2007; Brignull et al., 2009).

The Notch pathway uses membrane bound ligands and receptors expressed on adjacent cells to generate a mosaic pattern of cell types. In the mammalian cochlea, HCs and neighboring supporting cells (SCs) develop from the same pool of progenitor cells through a Notch-mediated process. As progenitor cells take a HC fate, they begin to express the Notch ligands Delta-like ligand 1 (Dll1), Delta-like ligand 3 (Dll3), and Jagged2 (Jag2) (Lanford et al., 1999, 2000; Morrison et al., 1999; Kiernan et al., 2005; Brooker et al., 2006; Hartman et al., 2007). These ligands signal to neighboring progenitor cells through Notch receptors which inhibits them from becoming HCs and instructs a SC fate (Lanford et al., 2000; Zheng et al., 2000; Zine et al., 2001; Murata et al., 2006; Hayashi et al., 2008; Doetzlhofer et al., 2009). A recent study reported limited down-regulation of Notch target genes after HC damage in the neonatal mouse cochlea (Korrapati et al., 2013). However, inhibition of the Notch signaling pathway, using pharmacological agents or genetically modified mouse models, in the late embryonic and early postnatal cochlea has the consistent effect of inducing the conversion of SCs into HCs either in the undamaged cochlea (Yamamoto et al., 2006; Doetzlhofer et al., 2009; Li et al., 2015; Maass et al., 2015) or after HC loss (Korrapati et al., 2013; Mizutari et al., 2013; Bramhall et al., 2014; Hu et al., 2016). Therefore, active Notch signaling in SCs plays an important role in maintaining their cell fate in the neonatal cochlea.

Recently, several groups have demonstrated that the immature murine cochlea has the capacity to spontaneously regenerate HCs during the first postnatal week (Bramhall et al., 2014; Cox et al., 2014; Hu et al., 2016) and loss of Notch signaling has been shown to increase the degree of HC regeneration over that which occurs spontaneously in HC damaged cochlear explants (Korrapati et al., 2013; Bramhall et al., 2014; Hu et al., 2016). While these results demonstrate that SCs can be forced to convert into HCs through inhibition of the Notch pathway, it is unclear whether the Notch signaling pathway is involved in the mechanism of spontaneous HC regeneration. To address this question, we first investigated changes in Notch signaling during the spontaneous HC regeneration process in the neonatal mouse cochlea and found that the expression of the Notch target genes Hes1, Hes5, Hey1, HeyL, and Jagged1 (Jag1) were decreased. Next, to determine whether the spontaneous HC regeneration process is inhibited by sustained Notch signaling, we combined HC damage with overexpression of the Notch1 intracellular domain (N1ICD) in SCs and other non-sensory epithelial cells and measured the effect on spontaneous HC regeneration. This model showed a 92% reduction in the number of spontaneously regenerated HCs and suggests that weakened Notch signaling contributes to SC plasticity which underlies spontaneous HC regeneration.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals

Plp-CreERT2 mice (Jax stock #5975, Doerflinger et al., 2003), Rosa26loxP→stop→loxP→DTA mice (Jax stock #6331, Ivanova et al., 2005), Rosa26loxP→stop→loxP→tdTomato mice (also called A14, Jax stock #7914, Madisen et al., 2010), and TetO-LoxP mice (Jax stock #2621, Furth et al., 1994), were obtained from The Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME). Atoh1-CreERmice (Chow et al., 2006) were provided by Dr. Suzanne Baker (St. Jude Children’s Hospital, Memphis, TN, United States); Hes5lacZ mice (Imayoshi et al., 2010) were provided by Dr. Ryoichiro Kageyama (Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan); Sox10TET2 mice (Ludwig et al., 2004; Walters and Zuo, 2015) were provided by Dr. Michael Wegner (Erlangen University, Erlangen, Germany); and TetO-N1ICD mice (Stanger et al., 2005) were provided by Dr. Ben Stranger (University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, United States). Genotyping for all mouse lines was performed by Transnetx, Inc. (Cordova, TN, United States). Mice of both genders were used in all studies and all animal work was performed in accordance with approved animal protocols from the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at Southern Illinois University School of Medicine.

Substances Given to Animals

To induce CreER recombination for either HC death or tdTomato expression, tamoxifen (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, United States) was dissolved in 100% corn oil and injected intraperitoneally (IP) at 3 mg/40 g on either postnatal day (P) 0, or both P0 and P1. To induce LacZ and N1ICD overexpression in cochlear SCs and other non-sensory epithelial cells, doxycycline (100 mg/kg, IP, Fisher, Hampton, NH, United States) was injected at P1 (~6 h after the second tamoxifen injection) as well as administered in the food (2000 mg/kg, Envigo, Huntingdon, United Kingdom) to the nursing mother for the duration of the experiment.

Real-Time qPCR

Quantification of gene expression was conducted using real time quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR). Neonatal pups were euthanized under isoflurane anesthesia (Henry Schein, Melville, NY, United States) and cochleae were collected from P7 animals, flash frozen in either liquid nitrogen or a dry ice slurry, and then stored at −80°C. Isolation of total RNA was conducted using tri-reagent (Fisher, Hampton, NH, United States), and precipitated with isopropanol (Acros Organics, Geel, Belgium)
at −20°C overnight followed by purification with DNase treatment (cat #AM1906, Fisher, Hampton, NH, United States) and reprecipitated using sodium acetate (Fisher, Hampton, NH, United States). Once total RNA was isolated, the concentration was measured using a Nanodrop 2000 (Fisher, Hampton, NH, United States) followed by reverse transcription into cDNA using Thermo Scientific Maxima First Strand cDNA synthesis kit (cat #K1641, Fisher, Hampton, NH, United States) and stored at −20°C. The expression level of selected genes was measured from cDNA by qPCR using Sybr Green (cat #K0391, Fisher, Hampton, NH, United States) and a CFX Connect Optics Module (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, United States). Comparison of gene expression among samples was conducted using the Pfaffl method which is a modified version of the delta-delta Ct equation that takes into account the efficiency of each primer set (Pfaffl, 2001). Gene expression was normalized to a housekeeping gene (Rpl19), which has been used previously for qPCR experiments in cochlear tissue (Basch et al., 2011; Korrapati et al., 2013; Wan et al., 2014; Maass et al., 2016). Data are expressed as fold change from control. Table 1 lists the primer sets used for each gene that was investigated and each primer set was tested for specificity with a reverse transcriptase-negative control to ensure they did not detect genomic DNA.

### Immunostaining

Neonatal pups were euthanized under isoflurane anesthesia and cochleae and post-fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (Polysciences, Inc., Warrington, PA, United States) for ∼2 h at room temperature (RT). The samples were then transferred to 10 mM Phosphate Buffered Saline (PBS, Sigma, St. Louis, MO, United States) and stored at 4°C. Following whole mount dissection, cochleae were cut into 3 turns of equal length and placed into a 48 well plate for immunostaining as free floating tissue. Samples were blocked and permeabilized at RT for 1 h with a solution of Normal Horse Serum or Normal Goat Serum (10%, NHS, or NGS, Vector Labs, Burlingame, CA, United States), Bovine Serum Albumin (1%, BSA, Fisher, Hampton, NH, United States), and Triton-X-100 (0.1%) and incubated overnight at 4°C or 37°C. The following primary antibodies were used: chicken anti-β-galactosidase (β-gal, 1:500, cat#ab9361, Abcam, Cambridge, United Kingdom), goat anti-Jag1 (1:500, cat#sc-17320, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Dallas, TX, United States). The expression level of selected genes was measured from the AUM solution in ddH₂O followed by three 5-min washes in 10 mM PBS prior to blocking/permeabilization step. A low pH antigen unmasking solution (AUM, cat#H-3300, Vector Labs, Burlingame, CA, United States) was applied at RT for 30 min followed by three 5-min PBS washes prior to the blocking/permeabilization step. The following primary antibodies were used: chicken anti-β-gal antibodies produced excessive background and therefore to increase the signal to noise ratio, signal enhancer (enough volume to cover each sample, cat#R37107, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Hampton, NH, United States) was applied at RT for 30 min followed by three 5-min PBS washes prior to blocking/permeabilization step. A low pH antigen unmasking solution (AUM, cat#H-3300, Vector Labs, Burlingame, CA, United States) was applied at RT for 30 min followed by three 5-min PBS washes prior to the blocking/permeabilization step. A low pH antigen unmasking solution (AUM, cat#H-3300, Vector Labs, Burlingame, CA, United States) was applied at RT for 30 min followed by three 5-min PBS washes prior to the blocking/permeabilization step. A low pH antigen unmasking solution (AUM, cat#H-3300, Vector Labs, Burlingame, CA, United States) was applied at RT for 30 min followed by three 5-min PBS washes prior to the blocking/permeabilization step. A low pH antigen unmasking solution (AUM, cat#H-3300, Vector Labs, Burlingame, CA, United States) was applied at RT for 30 min followed by three 5-min PBS washes prior to the blocking/permeabilization step. A low pH antigen unmasking solution (AUM, cat#H-3300, Vector Labs, Burlingame, CA, United States) was applied at RT for 30 min followed by three 5-min PBS washes prior to the blocking/permeabilization step. A low pH antigen unmasking solution (AUM, cat#H-3300, Vector Labs, Burlingame, CA, United States) was applied at RT for 30 min followed by three 5-min PBS washes prior to the blocking/permeabilization step. A low pH antigen unmasking solution (AUM, cat#H-3300, Vector Labs, Burlingame, CA, United States) was applied at RT for 30 min followed by three 5-min PBS washes prior to the blocking/permeabilization step.

**In Situ Hybridization**

Probes were prepared by transfecting plasmids containing the full-length mouse cDNA sequences for Hes1 (Accession: BC051428, Clone ID: 6478994, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., Fremont, CA, United States), Hes5 (Accession: BC103539, Clone ID: 40039948, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., Lafayette, CO, United States), Hey1 (Accession: BC086635, Clone ID: 6809680, Dharmacon, Inc., Lafayette, CO, United States), and HeyL (Accession: BC103539, Clone ID: 40039948, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., Lafayette, CO, United States) into competent *Escherichia coli* cells (Fisher, Hampton, NH, United States) and incubating for 1 h at 37°C before spreading the cells on an agar plate containing the appropriate selection marker and incubating overnight. Once a clone was selected, it was inoculated with the appropriate selection antibiotic and screened for the presence of the appropriate selection marker. 

### Table 1 | Primer sets used for qPCR of Notch effector genes.

| Gene | Forward primer | Reverse primer | Reference |
|------|----------------|----------------|-----------|
| Hes1 | TCACACAGACACCCGACACAAAC | ATGCCCGGGAGCTATCTTCTT | Chonko et al., 2013 |
| Hes5 | GCCCACCGGCAACTCCCA | GGGCGAAGGCTTGGCTGT | White et al., 2006 |
| Hey1 | CACCATCGAGAAAGGGAAGGTTAT | CCCCACATCCCGAGATGCTCAT | Korrapati et al., 2013 |
| HeyL | CCGCGACAGAGAGTAGATAGAGA | TGCAATCTCGAAGGCTACTG | Korrapati et al., 2013 |
| N1ICD | GACAATCTGCTACCTCTGCTTATGCG | TTACTGTTGCACTCAGTTGACCTCG | Yamamoto et al., 2006 |
and incubated overnight in 250 ml of media containing the selection marker at 37°C, after which cells were centrifuged and the supernatant was decanted. The cells were processed with a ZymoPURE plasmid maxiprep kit (cat#D4202, Zymo Research, Irvine, CA, United States) to isolate the plasmid DNA. Concentration was measured on a Nanodrop 2000 (Fisher, Hampton, NH, United States) and the plasmids were linearized and cleaned up with phenol::chloroform::isoamyl alcohol (Fisher, Hampton, NH, United States). Plasmids were sequenced by GenScript (Piscataway, NJ, United States) and each sequence was entered into BLAST (NCBI, Bethesda, MD, United States) to determine their correspondence to the endogenous mouse mRNA sequence. 
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mRNA sequence (Hey1 = 97.4% homology, Hes5 = 100% homology, Hey1 = 99.9% homology, and HeyL = 99.5% homology). Then the probes were digoxigenin-labeled (DIG; cat# 286 036 910, Roche, Indianapolis, IN, United States) through in vitro transcription and cut into ~300 bp pieces though a mild alkaline bath to facilitate interaction with the endogenous RNA.

Cochleae were fixed in RNase free 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA; Polysciences, Inc., Warrington, PA, United States) for ∼2 h at RT or overnight at 4°C. Following fixation, cochleae were dissected and dehydrated in a graded methanol series (Fisher, Hampton, NH, United States) for 5 min in each concentration [25, 50, 75, and 100% diluted in RNase free 10 mM PBS with 0.1% Tween-20 (PTw) (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, United States)]. Samples were rehydrated through a reverse graded methanol series and transferred to RNase free 2 ml tubes. Samples were washed three times for 5 min each in RNase free PTw at RT. Next, samples were digested for 15 min at RT with 10 µg/ml protease K (Fisher, Hampton, NH, United States) in PTw then incubated with 4% PFA containing 0.2% glutaraldehyde (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, United States) for 20 min to halt the digestion. Then samples were again washed twice with RNase free PTw for 5 min each. Next, hybridization buffer [50% formamide (Sigma St. Louis, MO, United States), 1.5× saline-sodium citrate (SSC, Sigma, St. Louis, MO, United States), pH adjusted to 4.5 with 0.1 M citric acid (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, United States), 50 µg/ml yeast tRNA (Sigma, St. Louis MO, United States), 100 µg/ml heparin (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, United States), 0.2% Tween-20 (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, United States), 0.5% CHAPS (3-[(3-Cholamidopropyl)dimethylammonio]-1-propanesulfonate hydrate Sigma, St. Louis, MO, United States), and 5mM EDTA pH 8.0, (Millipore, Billerica, MA, United States)] was added to each sample and incubated at 60°C for 3 h followed by the addition of the DIG-labeled riboprobe to the hybridization buffer at a concentration of 0.5 µg/ml (Hes5) or 1 µg/ml (Hey1, HeyL) and incubated at 60°C overnight.

The following day, samples were rinsed twice (~1 min each) with hybridization buffer and washed twice (30 min each) with hybridization buffer at 60°C. Samples were then washed with a 1:1 mixture of hybridization buffer and 1X MABT (maleic acid buffer containing Tween-20, 5X stock concentration of 500 mM maleic acid (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, United States), 744 mM NaCl (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, United States), 44 mM Tween-20 (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, United States) and ddH2O and pH adjusted with Tris Base (Roche, Indianapolis, IN, United States) to ~7.5] for 20 min at 60°C. Samples were then rinsed three times (~1 min each) with 1X MABT and washed twice (30 min each) with 1X MABT at RT. Samples were blocked with 1X MABT containing 20% sheep serum (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, United States) and 2% Boehringer blocking reagent (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, United States) for 3 h at RT. Next the samples were incubated overnight at 4°C with anti-digoxigenin antibodies (cat#11093274910, Sigma, St. Louis, MO, United States) in 1X MABT at a final concentration of 1:2000.

The following day, samples were rinsed three times (~1 min each) with 1X MABT and then washed three times (1 h each) with 1X MABT at RT followed by an overnight wash at 4°C. Samples were then washed twice with alkaline phosphatase buffer [100 mM Tris (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, United States) pH 9.5, 50 mM MgCl2 (Fisher, Hampton, NH, United States), 100 mM NaCl (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, United States), and 0.1% Tween-20 (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, United States)] for 1 h each and then processed with 4.5 µl/ml nitro-blue tetrazolium (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, United States) and 3.5 µl/ml 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyphosphate (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, United States) in alkaline phosphatase buffer in the dark between 2 and 20 h. Samples were then washed twice in alkaline phosphatase buffer (5 min each), washed three times in PTw (1 h each), and fixed in 4% PFA for 30 min. Samples were then cleared in 60% glycerol overnight and mounted on slides in 100% glycerol (Fisher, Hampton, NH, United States) and imaged with a Zeiss AxioImager M2 microscope (Carl Zeiss Microscopy, Germany) or an Olympus IX70 microscope (Olympus Corporation, Waltham, MA, United States). All in situ experiments were performed with matched experimental and control samples from the same litter run in parallel.

Quantification and Statistical Analysis

For quantification of Sox2-positive and Hes5-LacZ-positive cells, images were taken from two representative regions in the apical turn of the cochlea and cells in either a 150 µm or 200 µm region per image were quantified and averaged for each sample. Positive expression of LacZ was determined by the overlap of LacZ labeling and nuclear staining with Hoechst once antibody background was reduced using the imaging software. The same trained examiner counted images for both control and experimental samples to make sure that the same threshold for positive staining was maintained. To quantify the amount of HC regeneration (LacZ-positive HCs) in the model where N1ICD was overexpressed, images of the entire cochlea were taken using tile scan with z-series. Each cochlea was measured and divided into six equal sections as previously described (McGovern et al., 2017). For quantification of HCs in cochlea overexpressing N1ICD, 200 µm regions were selected from two representative images per cochlear turn and total HCs were quantified and averaged. All data are presented as mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM). Data was analyzed using a one- or two-way ANOVA or a Student’s t-test with Graphpad Prism 6.02 (Graphpad Software Inc., La Jolla, CA, United States).
RESULTS

Hes5 Expression Is Reduced During Spontaneous HC Regeneration

Because the majority of spontaneously regenerated HCs are formed in the apical turn of the cochlea (Cox et al., 2014), we investigated gene changes in this region at the cellular level. We first measured changes in the expression of Hes5, a direct inhibitor of HC fate that is a well-known target of active Notch signaling (Mulvaney and Dabdoub, 2012; Abdolazimi et al., 2016). Hes5 is expressed in many SC subtypes during the first postnatal week including Deiters’ cells, outer pillar cells, inner phalangeal cells, border cells, and some cells of the greater epithelial ridge (GER) (Figures 1A–C,G–I,M–O, 2B–B’′,D–D’′,F–F’′; Lanford et al., 2000; Zine et al., 2001; Hartman et al., 2009; Cox et al., 2014; Maass et al., 2015). To quantify the number of cells that express Hes5, we used the Hes5 LacZ reporter line which has LacZ knocked into the endogenous Hes5 locus and therefore is a faithful representation of endogenous Hes5 expression (Imayoshi et al., 2010). Others have shown that β-galactosidase (β-gal), transcribed by the LacZ gene, continues to be expressed ~1 day after mRNA of the endogenous gene becomes undetectable (Morrison et al., 1999). Therefore, we used Hes5 LacZ mice to approximate the window when cells lose Hes5 expression.

Atoh1-CreERT2::Rosa26loxP-stop-loxP-DTA mice (hereinafter referred to as Atoh1-DTA mice) were bred with Hes5 LacZ mice and injected with tamoxifen (3 mg/40 g, IP) on both P0 and P1 to induce HC ablation and initiate spontaneous HC regeneration. Samples were analyzed at P2, P4, and P6, which was previously established as the window of spontaneous HC regeneration seen in the neonatal mouse cochlea (Cox et al., 2014). We quantified the number of cells that expressed Hes5-LacZ as well as the number of SC and immature HC nuclei using anti-Sox2 antibodies. Significantly fewer Hes5-LacZ-positive cells were detected in the Atoh1-DTA::Hes5 LacZ cochlea at P2 (216.1 ± 12.3 vs. 107.3 ± 19.1; p < 0.001; N = 3) and P4 (185.6 ± 13.3 vs. 115.5 ± 14.1; p < 0.01 as determined by a two-way ANOVA with a Tukey’s post hoc test; N = 3) compared to control samples that lacked either the Atoh1-CreERT2 or Rosa26D TA allele (Figures 1A–L,S). However, there was no difference observed in Hes5-LacZ expression at P6 (140.1 ± 11.6 vs. 120.3 ± 7.3; N = 4; Figures 1M–S). There were also no differences in the number of Sox2-positive cells after HC damage at any age (Figures 1B,E,H,K,N,Q,S) suggesting that as a whole, the Sox2-positive cell population is not reduced.

Differences among SC subtypes have previously been documented (Lanford et al., 2000; Doetzlhofer et al., 2009; Yu et al., 2010; Taylor et al., 2012; Lagarde et al., 2013; Maass et al., 2016), which may indicate that not all SC subtypes maintain plasticity postnatally. Furthermore, loss of Hes5 at embryonic ages has been implicated in the formation of supernumerary outer HCs, but not inner HCs (Zine et al., 2001). We therefore sought to investigate changes in Hes5 expression within discrete SC subpopulations. To divide the SC subtypes into two groups, we used pillar and Deiters’ cells as a landmark. S100a1 is a calcium binding protein that is expressed in the cytoplasm and nucleus of pillar and Deiters’ cells within the neonatal cochlea (White et al., 2006; Buckiová and Syka, 2009). Therefore, combining anti-S100a1 antibodies with anti-Sox2 and anti-β-gal antibodies allowed us to tease apart two groups of SC subpopulations: (1) pillar cells and Deiters’ cells which are labeled by S100a1, and (2) inner phalangeal and border cells which are Hes5 lacZ-positive/Sox2-positive cells located medial to S100a1 labeling (Figures 2A–B’′,D–D’′,F–F’′). Because Hes5 LacZ is also expressed in the cells of the GER, the medial border of inner phalangeal and border cells was established for quantification by measuring the width of tdTomato expression medial to inner pillar cells in Plp-CreERT2::Rosa26loxP-stop-loxP-tdTomato mice injected with tamoxifen (3 mg/40 g, IP) at P0, which has previously been shown to target inner phalangeal and border cells, but not the GER (Supplementary Figures S1A–C; Doerflinger et al., 2003; Gómez-Casati et al., 2010; Cox et al., 2012; Mellado Lagarde et al., 2014; McGovern et al., 2017).

After HC damage and regeneration was induced in Atoh1-DTA::Hes5 LacZ mice by tamoxifen injection at P0 and P1, there was a significant decrease in the number of Hes5-LacZ-positive pillar and Deiters’ cells detected at P2 (113.1 ± 3.8 vs. 63.6 ± 5.1, p < 0.01, N = 4), P4 (104.4 ± 1.5 vs. 42.1 ± 5.7, p < 0.001, N = 4–5), and P6 (98.6 ± 2.4 vs. 25.3 ± 4.4, p < 0.001, N = 4) compared to control cochleae which lacked either the Atoh1-CreERT2 or Rosa26D TA allele (Figures 2B–H). Of note, the number of Sox2-positive cells within this population did not decrease until P4 (140.2 ± 3.1 vs. 98.1 ± 11.5, p < 0.001; N = 4–5) and was also decreased at P6 (130.3 ± 2.7 vs. 75.0 ± 6.0, p < 0.001; N = 4; Figures 2B–H). Additionally, there was an increase in the number of Sox2-negative/ Hes5-LacZ-negative pillar and Deiters’ cells at P2 (39.0 ± 1.6 vs. 102.2 ± 21.9, p < 0.001; N = 4; Figures 2B–H), suggesting that Hes5 is downregulated in these SCs, but the cells are still alive. In contrast, neither the number of Hes5-LacZ-positive cells, nor the number of Sox2-positive cells within the inner phalangeal and border cells region were significantly altered from control samples (Figures 2B–G’′,I), suggesting that weakened Notch signaling is limited to pillar and Deiters’ cells during the HC regeneration process.

Reduced Expression of Notch Effectors Hes1, Hes5, Hey1, and HeyL Was Observed During Spontaneous HC Regeneration

Further changes in the expression of Notch target genes were investigated using in situ hybridization. Atoh1-DTA mice as well as littermate controls, which lacked the Atoh1-CreERT2 or Rosa26D TA allele, were injected with tamoxifen (3 mg/40 g, IP) on P0 and P1 to induce HC damage as well as spontaneous HC regeneration. Samples were collected and analyzed at P4 using probes that target Hes1, Hes5, Hey1, and HeyL. Paired samples from the same litter (control and Atoh1-DTA) were processed in parallel where each solution, as well as each incubation time, was identical. Comparisons were only made between the one experimental and the one control sample that were processed together. Staining intensity in the sensory...
FIGURE 1 | Hes5-LacZ-positive cells, but not Sox2-positive cells, are reduced during the spontaneous HC regeneration process. *Atoh1-DTA::Hes5<sup>LacZ</sup>* mice were injected with tamoxifen (Tam) at P0–P1 to induce HC death and spontaneous HC regeneration and cochleae were collected at P2, P4, and P6. (A–R) Representative confocal slice images with anti-β-gal antibodies to detect Hes5-LacZ expression (green) and anti-Sox2 antibodies to label all SC nuclei (magenta). (A–C, G–I, M–O) Images are taken from P2, P4, or P6 control samples, respectively. Scale bar = 25 µm. (S) Fewer Hes5-LacZ-positive cells were detected in HC damaged samples at P2 and P4, but not P6. There was no significant difference in the number of Sox2-positive cells in the Atoh1-DTA::Hes5<sup>LacZ</sup> cochlea after HC damage compared to undamaged controls. **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, as determined by a two-way ANOVA with a Sidak's post hoc test. N = 3–5.
FIGURE 2 | Hes5-LacZ-positive cells are reduced in lateral SCs, but not medial SCs. (A) Pillar and Deiters’ cells (red, PCs/DCs) are labeled with S100a1 and inner phalangeal/border cells (yellow, IPhCs/BCs) lie medial to the S100a1 expressing region. Hensen cells (blue, HeCs) express Sox2 and border the lateral edge of the S100a1 region, but do not have Hes5 expression. (B–G”) Atoh1-DTA::Hes5LacZ mice were injected with tamoxifen (Tam) at P0–P1 to induce HC death and spontaneous HC regeneration and cochleae were collected at P2, P4, or P6. Representative confocal slice images with anti-β-gal antibodies to detect Hes5-LacZ expression (green), anti-Sox2 antibodies to label all SC nuclei (magenta), and anti-S100a1 antibodies to label the cytoplasm of PCs/DCs (red). Scale bar = 25 µm. (H) In the PCs/DCs region, fewer Sox2-positive cells were detected at P4 and P6 after HC damage, while a decreased number of Hes5-LacZ-positive cells were detected at all three ages. Only at P2 were there significantly more Sox2-positive/Hes5-LacZ-negative cells detected. (I) There was no significant change in the number of Sox2-positive, Hes5-LacZ-positive, or Sox2-positive/Hes5-LacZ-negative cells in the IPhCs/BCs region. **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, ****p < 0.0001 as determined by a two-way ANOVA with a Tukey’s or Sidak’s post hoc test. N = 4–5.
FIGURE 3 | Hes1, Hes5, Hey1, and HeyL are decreased during spontaneous HC regeneration. (A–B') Hes1, (C–D') Hes5, (E–F') Hey1, and (G–H') HeyL transcripts were investigated during spontaneous HC regeneration using in situ hybridization. Low magnification images show the whole cochlea and high magnification images were taken from the apical turn where the majority of spontaneous HC regeneration occurs. Control cochleae (A,A',C,C',E,E',G,G') as well as Atoh1-DTA (B,B',D,D',F,F',H,H') littermates were injected with tamoxifen (Tam) at P0 and P1 to induce HC damage and spontaneous HC regeneration. Samples were collected at P4 and incubated with probes to label mRNA of Notch downstream effectors. Paired samples from the same litter (control and Atoh1-DTA) were processed in parallel and comparisons were only made between the paired samples. While there was some variability in the results obtained among experiments (I), the majority of experiments showed decreased gene expression for all four Notch effectors in Atoh1-DTA cochleae compared to control. Scale bar for (A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H) = 200 µm, Scale bar for (A',B',C',D',E',F',G',H') = 20 µm. N = 4–7.

| Gene | Number of Paired Samples with Decreased Expression | Number of Paired Samples with No Change | Number of Paired Samples with Increased Expression |
|------|---------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| Hes1 | 4                                                  | 0                                      | 0                                                 |
| Hes5 | 4                                                  | 2                                      | 1                                                 |
| Hey1 | 3                                                  | 0                                      | 1                                                 |
| HeyL | 4                                                  | 0                                      | 1                                                 |

region (low magnification images in Figures 3A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H) and at the HC level (high magnification images taken in the apical turn, Figures 3A',B',C',D',E',F',G',H') was compared between the paired control and experimental samples to determine increased or decreased expression. Expression of Hes1 was consistently decreased in Atoh1-DTA samples compared to controls (Figure 3A–B', I, N = 4), while variable results were obtained for the other tested genes. For Hes5, four out of seven samples showed decreased expression, while one showed increased expression and two showed no change in expression (Figures 3C–D'). Hey1 expression was also variable with three samples showing decreased expression and one sample showing increased expression (Figures 3E–F'). For HeyL, four samples showed decreased expression, while one showed increased expression (Figures 3G–H'). SC-to-HC conversion is a dynamic and non-synchronous process that occurs throughout the first
postnatal week (Cox et al., 2014); the variability of individual gene changes we observed may depend on the stage of SC conversion into HCs at the time of analysis. Nevertheless, because the majority of the samples showed decreased expression of Notch effectors, we conclude that loss of HCs in the neonatal mouse cochlea caused a modest decrease in Notch signaling in neighboring SCs.

The Notch Ligand and Target Gene Jag1 Is Reduced During Spontaneous HC Regeneration

The Notch ligand Jag1 is expressed by neonatal SCs and is also a downstream target of Notch activation (Daudet and Lewis, 2005; Murata et al., 2009). Therefore, it can be used as an indicator of active Notch signaling. Early in development Jag1 mediates lateral induction, establishing the progenitor pool from which all HCs and SCs differentiate (Brooker et al., 2006; Kiernan et al., 2006; Murata et al., 2009). However, its function during and after HC differentiation is not well understood, yet it is expressed throughout the organ of Corti from the cells of the GER to the 3rd row of Deiters’ cells (Figures 4A–C,G–I,M–O; Oesterle et al., 2008). We investigated changes in Jag1 in the context of HC loss and spontaneous HC regeneration as an additional way to assess changes in the Notch signaling pathway. Specifically, Atoh1-DTA mice were injected with tamoxifen (3 mg/40 g, IP) at P0 and P1 to induce HC ablation thus initiating spontaneous HC regeneration. Samples were collected at P2, P4, and P6 and immunolabeled with antibodies against S100a1 and Jag1. Because Jag1 is a membrane bound protein, we could not determine which neighboring cells expressed Jag1 and therefore quantitative analysis was not performed. However, qualitative analysis of Atoh1-DTA cochleae showed that Jag1 labeling was reduced in the lateral region of the organ of Corti, where outer pillar cells and Deiters’ cells are located, yet maintained in medial SCs, including inner pillar cells, inner phalangeal/border cells, and the cells of the GER (Figures 4D–F,J–L,P–R). In contrast, Jag1 labeling was consistent in all SCs throughout the width of organ of Corti in control mice (Figures 4A–C,G–I,M–O). These data confirm the results obtained using the Hes1LacZ reporter mice and demonstrate that Notch signaling is reduced in some SC subtypes, but not others, during spontaneous HC regeneration.

Overexpression of N1ICD Caused Increased Notch Activity in the Neonatal Cochlea

While immunostaining and in situ hybridization results suggest a modest decrease in Notch signaling during spontaneous HC regeneration, it is currently unknown whether Notch signaling is involved in the mechanism of spontaneous HC regeneration or if it is simply correlated. To investigate this question more directly, we induced overexpression of N1ICD in SCs and other nonsensory epithelial cells (all other cells within the organ of Corti except for HCs and tympanic border cells, hereinafter referred to as non-HCs) in the context of HC damage and quantified the number of regenerated HCs using fate-mapping. This was achieved by combining CreER/loxP and Tet-on genetically modified mouse systems to modify gene expression in adjacent cell populations.

To ensure feasibility of using these two inducible systems in adjacent groups of cells without cross-reaction, dual reporter expression was investigated in Atoh1-CreERTM::Rosa26loxP→stop→loxP→tdTomato::Sox10rtTA::TetO-LacZ mice. tdTomato expression was induced with tamoxifen injection (3 mg/40 g, IP) at P0 and P1, while LacZ expression was induced by administering doxycycline to the nursing mother in the food (2000 mg/kg) from P0–P7, as well as a single injection (100 mg/kg) to each pup at P1. Samples were analyzed at P7. As expected, tdTomato expression was only detected in HCs, while LacZ expression was observed in SCs and non-HCs (Figures 5A–G). We also observed variability in the intensity of LacZ expression cell to cell, which was similar to the previously described pattern using the same doxycycline induction paradigm in Sox10rtTA::TetO-LacZ mice (Walters and Zuo, 2015).

To investigate whether downregulation of Notch signaling plays a role in spontaneous HC regeneration, we used Sox10rtTA::TetO-LacZ::TetO-N1ICD mice to overexpress N1ICD as well as LacZ to fate-map cells. Therefore, in this mouse model, Sox10rtTA must activate two Tet-on alleles simultaneously. To verify that active Notch signaling was achieved in our model and that the presence of the TetO-LacZ allele did not affect the level of Notch activation, we measured N1ICD expression levels using qPCR. Specifically, Sox10rtTA-negative, Sox10rtTA::TetO-N1ICD, and Sox10rtTA::TetO-LacZ::TetO-N1ICD mice were administered doxycycline, both in the diet to the nursing mother (2000 mg/kg), as well as a single injection to the pups (100 mg/kg, IP) at P1. RNA was extracted from samples collected at P7 and N1ICD expression was analyzed with qPCR. Of note, the primers used for N1ICD detection recognize both the endogenous and transgenic transcripts and data is expressed as fold change relative to the Sox10rtTA-negative control. In both Sox10rtTA::TetO-N1ICD and Sox10rtTA::TetO-LacZ::TetO-N1ICD groups, N1ICD was increased 5 to 6-fold (6.4 ± 0.9-fold for Sox10rtTA::TetO-N1ICD, p < 0.001, N = 10 and 5.7 ± 0.8-fold for Sox10rtTA::TetO-LacZ::TetO-N1ICD, p < 0.001, N = 10 compared to Sox10rtTA-negative samples; as determined by a one-way ANOVA with a Tukey’s post hoc test, Figure 6A). There was no difference in the expression level of N1ICD between Sox10rtTA::TetO-N1ICD and Sox10rtTA::TetO-LacZ::TetO-N1ICD samples, indicating that the addition of the TetO-LacZ allele did not interfere with increased Notch activity induced by the TetO-N1ICD allele.

To further confirm increased Notch activity in our model, we used qPCR to investigate the expression level of Notch target genes, Hes1, Hes5, Hey1, and HeyL. All Notch effector genes were increased 2 to 13-fold in Sox10rtTA::TetO-LacZ::TetO-N1ICD cochleae compared to Sox10rtTA-negative controls (Hes1 increased 2.6 ± 0.1-fold, p < 0.0001, N = 10; Hes5 increased 12.7 ± 1.2-fold, p < 0.0001, N = 10; Hey1 increased 2.4 ± 0.2-fold, p < 0.0001, N = 10; and HeyL increased 7.5 ± 0.7-fold, p < 0.0001, N = 10 compared to Sox10rtTA-negative controls as determined by a Student’s t-test for each gene; Figure 6B). Therefore, we conclude that Notch signaling was increased by N1ICD overexpression in our model.
FIGURE 4 | Jag1 labeling is reduced in lateral, but not medial, SCs during spontaneous HC regeneration. Atoh1-DTA mice were injected with tamoxifen (Tam) at P0–P1 to induce HC death and spontaneous HC regeneration and cochleae were collected at P2, P4, or P6. (A,R) Representative maximum projection images with anti-Jag1 antibodies to detect Jag1 expression (white) and anti-S100a1 (red) antibodies to mark the pillar and Deiters’ cells region. After HC damage, both S100a1 and Jag1 labeling was reduced in the outer pillar and Deiters’ cell region, while expression is maintained in medial SCs (D–F,J–L,P–R) and in all SCs in control samples (A–C,G–I,M–O). Scale bar = 25 µm. N = 3.
Spontaneous HC Regeneration Is Reduced When the N1ICD Is Overexpressed in SCs and Other Non-HCs

To investigate whether spontaneous HC regeneration could be prevented by increased Notch signaling, we overexpressed N1ICD in SCs and other non-HCs in the context of HC damage using Atoh1-DTA::Sox10TTA::TetO-LacZ mice. The effect of increased Notch signaling on spontaneous HC regeneration was investigated by quantifying the number of fate-mapped SCs. Specifically, we analyzed the number of HC regeneration was investigated by quantifying the number of fate-mapped SCs. Specifically, we analyzed the number of Sox10-positive SCs in each of four groups. First, to verify the expression pattern of Sox10-positive HCs in cochlea, we quantified the number of LacZ-positive HCs in the very apical tip of the cochlea, compared to Sox10-negative controls. There was no difference in the expression of Sox10 between Sox10-negative and Sox10-positive HCs that occur naturally in the neonatal mouse cochlea after HC loss at birth, we quantified LacZ-positive HCs in Atoh1-DTA::Sox10TTA::TetO-LacZ mice. Third, to determine the effect of N1ICD overexpression in SCs and other non-HCs in the neonatal mouse cochlea, LacZ-positive HCs were quantified in Sox10TTA::TetO-LacZ::TetO-N1ICD mice. Finally, to investigate whether overexpression of N1ICD in SCs and other non-HCs will prevent spontaneous HC regeneration from occurring, we quantified LacZ-positive HCs in Atoh1-DTA::Sox10TTA::TetO-LacZ::TetO-N1ICD mice. Mice from each group were injected with tamoxifen (3 mg/kg, IP) at P0 and P1 to induce HC damage. N1ICD and LacZ expression were induced through administration of doxycycline given both in the food (2000 mg/kg) to the nursing mother, as well as an injection to each pup at P1. Cochleae were frozen at P7 and mRNA transcripts were measured. (A) N1ICD expression was increased in Sox10TTA::TetO-N1ICD mice, compared to Sox10TTA-negative controls. There was no difference in the expression of N1ICD when TetO-LacZ was also present. (B) Expression level of Notch effectors Hes1, Hes5, Hey1, and HeyL were increased in Sox10TTA::TetO-LacZ::TetO-N1ICD cochlea, compared to Sox10TTA-negative controls. **p < 0.001 as determined by a one-way ANOVA with a Dunnett’s post hoc test or a Student’s t-test. N = 10.
Fewer spontaneously regenerated HCs were observed in the presence of N1ICD overexpression. Representative confocal slice images taken from the apical turn of the cochleae of (A–H) Atoh1-DTA::Sox10\textsuperscript{10\textsubscript{Tfn}}::TetO-LacZ and (I–P) Atoh1-DTA::Sox10\textsuperscript{10\textsubscript{Tfn}}::TetO-LacZ::TetO-N1ICD mice that were injected with tamoxifen (Tam) at P0/P1 to induce CreER-mediated HC loss and induce spontaneous HC regeneration, as well as administered doxycycline (Dox) in the diet to nursing mother with a dox injection given to pups at P1 to induce expression of N1ICD and the LacZ reporter in SCs and all non-HCs. Cochlea were collected at P7 and stained with antibodies against myosin VIIa (red), Sox2 (white), and β-gal (green). Fewer fate-mapped regenerated HCs (LacZ-positive/myosin VIIa-positive cells, white arrows) were observed when N1ICD was overexpressed in combination with HC damage compared to samples with HC damage and normal Notch signaling. (D–H, L–P) High magnification images from boxes in (C, K) showing LacZ-positive/Sox2-positive/myosin VIIa-positive regenerated HCs. Scale bar = 25 µm.

Quantification of LacZ-positive HCs from the images presented in (A–P) and the two additional controls. Comparison of the number of LacZ-positive HCs between the group with spontaneous HC regeneration and normal Notch signaling (blue, Atoh1-DTA::Sox10\textsuperscript{10\textsubscript{Tfn}}::TetO-LacZ mice) and the experimental group with N1ICD overexpression as well as HC damage (orange, Atoh1-DTA::Sox10\textsuperscript{10\textsubscript{Tfn}}::TetO-LacZ::TetO-N1ICD), showed a ~92% decrease in the number of LacZ-positive, regenerated HCs. (R) LacZ-positive HCs after HC damage with physiological Notch signaling (blue, Atoh1-DTA::Sox10\textsuperscript{10\textsubscript{Tfn}}::TetO-LacZ) decreased in an apical to basal gradient while LacZ-positive HCs were only detected in the base when N1ICD was overexpressed without HC damage (green, Sox10\textsuperscript{10\textsubscript{Tfn}}::TetO-LacZ::TetO-N1ICD) mice. Segment 1 is the most apical region and segment 6 is the most basal. N = 3–4. *p < 0.05, ***p < 0.001 as determined by a two-way ANOVA with a Tukey's post hoc test. N = 4.
Sox10<sup>TTA::TetO-LacZ::TetO-N1ICD</sup> mice (19.0 ± 17.2, compared to Sox10<sup>TTA::TetO-LacZ</sup> controls, N = 4, p = 0.8 as determined by a one-way ANOVA with a Tukey's post hoc test; Figure 7Q). However, we observed disorganization of HCs (Supplementary Figures S2A–G) as well as an expansion of Sox2 expression by a one-way ANOVA with a Tukey’s test; post hoc comparison of the number of HCs compared to Sox10<sup>TTA::TetO-LacZ</sup> mice showed that there was no difference in the number of HCs compared to Sox10<sup>TTA::TetO-LacZ</sup> mice (91.0 ± 2.5 compared to 88.7 ± 5.9 per 200 μm, p = 0.5 as determined by a Student’s t-test, Supplementary Figure S2E) and therefore this phenotype likely did not affect spontaneous HC regeneration observed in our study.

When LacZ was used for fate-mapping during spontaneous HC regeneration, significantly more LacZ-positive HCs were detected in Atoh1-DTA::Sox10<sup>TTA::TetO-LacZ</sup> mice than in Sox10<sup>TTA::TetO-LacZ</sup> controls (125.3 ± 19.7 compared to 2.0 ± 2.0, p = 0.0002, N = 4, Figure 7Q). When N1ICD was overexpressed in the context of HC damage (Atoh1-DTA::Sox10<sup>TTA::TetO-LacZ::TetO-N1ICD</sup> mice), there was a 92% reduction in LacZ-positive HCs compared to similar samples without N1ICD overexpression (Atoh1-DTA::Sox10<sup>TTA::TetO-LacZ</sup> mice) (10.0 ± 7.7 compared to 125.3 ± 19.7, p = 0.0003, N = 4, Figures 7A–Q). Moreover, the number of LacZ-positive HCs when N1ICD was overexpressed and HCs were killed was not significantly different from controls lacking both HC damage and N1ICD overexpression (Sox10<sup>TTA::TetO-LacZ</sup> samples) (10.0 ± 7.7 compared to 2.0 ± 2.0, p = 0.9) or N1ICD overexpression alone (Sox10<sup>TTA::TetO-LacZ::TetO-N1ICD</sup> samples) (10.0 ± 7.7 compared to 19.0 ± 17.0, p = 0.9 as determined by a one-way ANOVA with a Tukey’s post hoc test; Figure 7Q). Therefore, we conclude that overexpression of N1ICD prevented the majority of spontaneous HC regeneration from occurring. Of note, we observed an expansion of Sox2 expressing cells in Atoh1-DTA::Sox10<sup>TTA::TetO-LacZ::TetO-N1ICD</sup> mice, similar to what we observed in Sox10<sup>TTA::TetO-LacZ::TetO-N1ICD</sup> controls (Supplementary Figures S2F–M).

We wanted to better understand the location of fate-mapped, spontaneously regenerated HCs in our samples because the majority of spontaneous HC regeneration is known to occur in the apical third of the neonatal cochlea (Cox et al., 2014) and because Notch signaling decreases in a basal to apical gradient during the first postnatal week (Maass et al., 2015). Therefore, the whole cochlea was imaged, measured, and divided into six equal segments from apex to base as previously described (McGovern et al., 2017) and the total number of LacZ-positive/myosin VIIa-positive HCs within each segment was quantified. The majority of fate-mapped, spontaneously regenerated HCs (from Atoh1-DTA::Sox10<sup>TTA::TetO-LacZ</sup> mice) were found in the two most apical segments (63.8 ± 12.0 in segment 1 and 26.8 ± 4.9 in segment 2) and this declined in an apical to basal gradient (15.4 ± 4.8 in segment 3, 5.6 ± 1.4 in segment 4, 1.2 ± 0.3 in segment 5, and 0.4 ± 0.4 in segment 6, r² = 0.66, p < 0.0001 Figure 7R), similar to the previous report (Cox et al., 2014). In one Sox10<sup>TTA::TetO-LacZ::TetO-N1ICD</sup> sample that did not have HC damage, we observed 67 LacZ-positive HCs in the two basal segments, but no LacZ-positive HCs were detected in the middle or apical segments of the cochlea where the majority of HC regeneration was observed (Figure 7R). The other three Sox10<sup>TTA::TetO-LacZ::TetO-N1ICD</sup> samples had no LacZ-positive HCs. Therefore, the LacZ-positive HCs observed in N1ICD overexpressing controls did not interfere with the quantification of fate-mapped, spontaneously regenerated HCs predominantly observed in the apical turn of the cochlea. When N1ICD was overexpressed along with HC damage, the fate-mapped regenerated HCs in the Atoh1-DTA::Sox10<sup>TTA::TetO-LacZ::TetO-N1ICD</sup> mice were all located within the first two apical segments (Figure 7R), similar to the control with spontaneous HC regeneration and no manipulation of Notch (Atoh1-DTA::Sox10<sup>TTA::TetO-LacZ</sup> mice). This illustrates that the regenerative capacity of SCs is correlated to the basal to apical changes in the Notch signaling pathway. Our data show weakened Notch signaling during the spontaneous HC regeneration process, as well as the suppression of HC regeneration by increased Notch activity. Taken together, this suggests that Notch signaling plays a role in the ability of SCs to spontaneously convert into, thus regenerate, HCs.

**DISCUSSION**

This study investigated the role of Notch signaling during spontaneous HC regeneration within the neonatal mouse cochlea in vivo. After HC damage and during the window of spontaneous HC regeneration, we observed a modest decrease in Notch signaling, as evidenced by decreased expression of the Notch target genes Hes1, Hes5, Hey1, HeyL, and Jagl using immunostaining or in situ hybridization. These changes suggest that Notch signaling is weakened in SCs after HC loss occurs and may allow their conversion into HCs. To investigate this further, we increased Notch signaling in SCs and other non-HCs by overexpressing N1ICD during the window of spontaneous HC regeneration. In samples with increased Notch signaling, there was a 92% reduction in the number of spontaneously regenerated HCs, suggesting that Notch signaling plays a role in spontaneous HC regeneration.

**The Expression of Hes1, Hes5, Hey1, and HeyL Were Reduced During the Window of Spontaneous HC Regeneration**

Using in situ hybridization and a Hes5<sup>LacZ</sup> knockin reporter line, we discovered that the expression of Hes1, Hes5, Hey1, and HeyL was reduced after HC loss and during the window of spontaneous HC regeneration. Both Hes1 and Hes5 directly inhibit a HC fate by inhibiting the expression of Atoh1, a transcription factor that is necessary for HC differentiation (Zheng et al., 2000; Mulvaney and Dabdoub, 2012; Abdolazimi et al., 2016). Previously, Korrapati et al. (2013) found that HC damage after gentamicin treatment in vitro leads to downregulation of Hes5, but no other Notch-related genes expressed in SCs. However, no HC regeneration was observed in this model until Notch signaling was inhibited with a γ-secretase inhibitor, which caused decreased expression of all Notch downstream effectors. This suggests that reduction of Hes5 signaling alone
is insufficient to induce spontaneous HC regeneration, however, when reduced expression is expanded to additional Notch effectors, regeneration is possible. In support, overexpression of 

**Hes1** is able to inhibit the production of ectopic HCs in the GER that were created by ectopic expression of **Atoh1**. This indicates that **Hes1** is also a direct inhibitor of HC fate (Zheng et al., 2000). Furthermore, combined loss of **Hes1**, **Hes5**, and **Hey1** during late embryonic development [embryonic day (E) 18.5] caused increased outer HCs with disorganized pillar and Deiters’ cells (Tateya et al., 2011). Unfortunately, less is known about the function of the Notch effector **Hey1** in cochlear development, however, it is expressed around the time of HC differentiation and is linked to neuronal differentiation in other systems (Doetzthofer et al., 2009; Jalali et al., 2011). Therefore, combined reduction of **Hes1**, **Hes5**, and **Hey1**, and possibly **HeyL**, observed in our study are correlated with the ability of SCs to spontaneously regenerate HCs in the neonatal mouse cochlea, thus supporting our conclusion that weakened Notch signaling allows SC-to-HC conversion and plays a role in spontaneous HC regeneration.

**Jag1** Is Reduced During the Spontaneous HC Regeneration Process

In the developing cochlea, **Jag1** is initially expressed throughout the sensory epithelium, then restricted to SCs, with expression in all SC subtypes from the GER to the 3rd row of Deiters’ cells at neonatal ages and in adulthood (Morrison et al., 1999; Daudet and Lewis, 2005; Oesterle et al., 2008; Murata et al., 2009). However, its function during and after HC differentiation is not well understood. Furthermore, **Jag1** is both a Notch ligand as well as a Notch target gene (Daudet and Lewis, 2005; Murata et al., 2006) and as such, reduced expression of this gene can indicate loss of Notch signaling. Consistent with results from the **Hes5**::**LacZ** reporter and in situ hybridization, **Jag1** expression was decreased in **Atoh1-DTA** samples in outer pillar and Deiters’ cells, the SC subtypes located underneath outer HCs in the lateral compartment of the cochlea. This finding further supports our conclusion that there is a modest decrease in Notch activity in SCs after HC damage and during HC regeneration in the neonatal cochlea.

**The Majority of Spontaneous HC Regeneration Is Prevented When **N1ICD** Is Overexpressed in SCs and Other Non-HCs in the Neonatal Mouse Cochlea**

Previous work has focused on the effect of induced Notch inhibition via pharmacologic manipulation with γ-secretase inhibitors (Yamamoto et al., 2006; Korrapati et al., 2013; Li et al., 2015) or deletion of Notch receptors or RBP-J (Yamamoto et al., 2006; Bramhall et al., 2014; Li et al., 2015). From these studies we know that loss of Notch signaling triggers SC-to-HC conversion, however, while this approach produces new HCs, it applies a blanket reduction in all Notch related genes, as well as potentially affects other signaling pathways that γ-secretase interacts with such as ErBB-4, E-Cadherin, N-Cadherin, EphrinB, and CD44 (Ni et al., 2001; Lammich et al., 2002; Marambaud et al., 2002, 2003; Georgakopoulos et al., 2006). Therefore, it is unclear whether decreased Notch signaling in SCs is part of the molecular mechanism for spontaneous HC regeneration. Our study attempts to address this gap in knowledge by showing that overexpression of **N1ICD** in SCs and other non-HCs causes an increase in Notch signaling and results in a 92% reduction in the number of regenerated HCs. However, the mouse model we used generated supraphysiological levels of Notch activity with **N1ICD** increased 5 to 6-fold and **Hes/Hey** genes increased 2 to 13-fold over controls. It is possible that the level of Notch signaling is important in controlling HC and SC fate. In support of this notion, there was one **Atoh1-DTA::Sox10**::**TetO-LacZ::TetO-** **N1ICD** sample with 33 fate-mapped regenerated HCs, while the other three samples that had 0-5 fate-mapped regenerated HCs. While not directly tested, the sample with more regenerated HCs may have had lower expression of **N1ICD** compared to the other three samples, which could have been caused by decreased exposure to doxycycline.

Despite the limitation of supraphysiological **N1ICD** and Notch effector levels in our model, data from this study support a role for Notch signaling during the spontaneous HC regeneration process.

**Sox10**::**TetA** is a knockin allele that may produce decreased expression of **Sox10** in the neonatal cochlea. It is possible that decreased **Sox10** expression may alter normal spontaneous HC regeneration making it more dependent on Notch signaling and therefore making **N1ICD** overexpression more effective at preventing regeneration. While we cannot rule out this possibility, a previous study showed that **Sox10**::**TetA** mice do not have a haploinsufficient phenotype in the cochlea (Walters and Zuo, 2015). Yet little is known about the relationship between **Sox10** and Notch signaling, and further research is needed.

Unexpectedly, in the control samples where **N1ICD** was overexpressed without HC damage (**Sox10**::**TetO-LacZ::TetO-** **N1ICD** mice) and in experimental samples (**Atoh1-DTA::Sox10**::**TetO-LacZ::TetO-** **N1ICD** mice), we observed an expansion of the region with Sox2-positive cells both medial and lateral to the organ of Corti. **Sox10**::**TetO-LacZ::TetO-** **N1ICD** controls also had disorganized HCs, but no decrease in HC number. Similar studies were done previously in the embryonic and early neonatal cochlea where **N1ICD** overexpression led to ectopic sensory patches containing both Sox2-positive cells and HCs in non-sensory regions. Yet this was only observed when **N1ICD** was overexpressed prior to E14.5 (Hartman et al., 2010; Pan et al., 2010, 2013; Liu et al., 2012; Campbell et al., 2015). Studies where **N1ICD** overexpression was induced after E14.5 showed no ectopic HCs or Sox2-positive cells (Liu et al., 2012; Pan et al., 2013). These studies demonstrate that **N1ICD** overexpression can induce Sox2 expression and sensory progenitor cells in non-sensory regions, yet there is a temporal limitation for when these cells can respond. Therefore, the increased numbers of Sox2-positive cells we observed when **N1ICD** was overexpressed at P0 was unexpected. We may have overcame temporal limitations by producing supraphysiological levels of **N1ICD** and Notch effectors, but further experiments are needed to confirm this hypothesis. Regardless of the cause, we do
not believe expanded Sox2 expression altered the spontaneous HC regeneration process or affected the conclusions of our study since all abnormalities, except HC disorganization, were observed outside the organ of Corti.

Notch signaling changes dynamically in the first week after birth where ligands, receptors, and effectors are downregulated in a basal-to-apical gradient between P0 and P6 (Murata et al., 2006; Hartman et al., 2007; Maass et al., 2015). However, Jag1 is the exception and its expression in SCs continues throughout adulthood (Oesterle et al., 2008). During the first postnatal week, inhibition of Notch signaling using γ-secretase inhibitors has differential effects on SC-to-HC conversion along the basal to apical axis. Notch inhibition at P0 produces a ∼200% increase in HCs in the apex compared to only a ∼30% increase in the base, while there is no evidence of HC production in any cochlear turn when γ-secretase inhibitors are used at P3 or earlier (Maass et al., 2015). There are conflicting reports for whether SCs can produce HCs in response to Notch inhibition in the adult cochlea (Batts et al., 2009; Doetzlhofer et al., 2009; Hartman et al., 2009; Mizutari et al., 2013). These data taken together with our results suggest that spontaneous HC regeneration likely occurs when HCs are killed at P0–P1 because SCs, especially those in the apical turn, are still dependent on Notch signaling to maintain their cell fate. As the cochlea matures, it appears that SC fate maintenance becomes uncoupled from Notch signaling, yet the upstream regulators or epigenetic changes that cause downregulation of the Notch pathway, as well as the mechanisms that maintain SC fate after the first postnatal week are unknown.

Nevertheless, while previous studies have shown that increased numbers of regenerated HCs can form when Notch signaling is inhibited (Korrapati et al., 2013; Bramhall et al., 2014; Hu et al., 2016), the findings presented here are the first to demonstrate that the spontaneous HC regeneration process is prevented by increased Notch signaling. This suggests that weakened Notch signaling plays a role in the spontaneous HC regeneration process. Understanding the molecular mechanism of spontaneous HC regeneration is a critical step to understanding why HC regeneration does not occur in the mature cochlea. Additionally, while some Notch effectors, such as Hes1 and Hes5, are well established as inhibitors of HC fate, less is known about the contribution of other effectors on SC-to-HC conversion. The findings presented here will hopefully drive research to identify cellular and molecular changes that occur within the first postnatal week to uncouple Notch signaling and SC fate maintenance. Understanding what controls over SC fate in the adult cochlea will potentially lead investigations into therapeutic targets to stimulate HC regeneration in the mature cochlea.
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