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Transforming Ti$_3$C$_2$T$_x$ MXene’s intrinsic hydrophilicity into superhydrophobicity for efficient photothermal membrane desalination

Baoping Zhang$^{1,2}$, Pak Wai Wong$^1$, Jiaxin Guo$^1$, Yongsen Zhou$^2$, Yang Wang$^2$, Jiawei Sun$^1$, Mengnan Jiang$^2$, Zuankai Wang$^2$ & Alicia Kyoungjin An$^1$

Owing to its 100% theoretical salt rejection capability, membrane distillation (MD) has emerged as a promising seawater desalination approach to address freshwater scarcity. Ideal MD requires high vapor permeate flux established by cross-membrane temperature gradient ($\Delta T$) and excellent membrane durability. However, it’s difficult to maintain constant $\Delta T$ owing to inherent heat loss at feedwater side resulting from continuous water-to-vapor transition and prevent wetting transition-induced membrane fouling and scaling. Here, we develop a Ti$_3$C$_2$T$_x$ MXene-engineered membrane that imparts efficient localized photothermal effect and strong water-repellency, achieving significant boost in freshwater production rate and stability. In addition to photothermal effect that circumvents heat loss, high electrically conductive Ti$_3$C$_2$T$_x$ MXene also allows for self-assembly of uniform hierarchical polymeric nanospheres on its surface via electrostatic spraying, transforming intrinsic hydrophilicity into superhydrophobicity. This interfacial engineering renders energy-efficient and hypersaline-stable photothermal membrane distillation with a high water production rate under one sun irradiation. 
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Seawater desalination continues to be an integral part of the water portfolio to address the ever-increasing challenge of freshwater scarcity worldwide. Despite extensive efforts and notable progress, most existing technologies involve high energy expenditure and challenging brine management, especially when treating hypersaline solutions. Recently, membrane distillation (MD), an emerging thermal-driven membrane-based process, has demonstrated significant advantages, such as high salt rejection, high water recovery from brine treatment through synergic integration with Reverse Osmosis (RO), and high compatibility with renewable energy sources. In a typical direct contact membrane distillation (DCMD) process, hot saline water (feed) and cold purified water (permeate) flow on the opposite sides of a hydrophobic membrane, establishing a cross-membrane temperature gradient (ΔT) that drives the water-to-vapor transition. Serving as both a medium for vapor transport and a barrier against direct liquid permeation, the hydrophobic membrane effectively allows vapor to transport from the feed side to permeate side and condense into freshwater, while rejecting liquid water and salt ions.

Fundamentally, an ideal MD process requires a high vapor permeate flux, which could be achieved through a constant cross-membrane temperature gradient (ΔT) and good membrane durability. However, from the perspective of thermal transfer and mass transfer in a conventional MD process, two challenges largely hinder MD’s practical application. One daunting challenge is
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**Fig. 1 Design concept of the PM-PVDF membrane for PMD.**

*a* The conventional MD process with thermal inefficiency and membrane wetting issues using C-PVDF membrane due to the inherent temperature and concentration polarization effect. MD process involves hot seawater (yellow) and cold freshwater (blue) flow on the opposite sides of a porous hydrophobic membrane, which allows for vapor permeation established by cross-membrane temperature gradient ΔT, while rejects the liquid water and salt ions. The blue line represents the temperature polarization with a progressive decline of ΔT owing to inherent heat loss at the feedwater side as a result of continuous water-to-vapor transition. The yellow color shading represents temperature decline near the feed/membrane interface compared with the initial feed temperature (yellow). The gray line represents concentration polarization with a gradual increase of salts concentration close to the interface, which intensifies the propensity of wetting transition-induced membrane fouling and scaling.

*b* Optimized PMD process with localized surface self-heating and superhydrophobic-dependent membrane stability endowed by PM-PVDF membrane. The PM-PVDF membrane enables the enhanced ΔT by photothermal effect and non-wetting performance by the superhydrophobic hierarchical MXene layer with polymeric nanospheres. The typical red color shading represents temperature increase near the feed/membrane interface compared with the initial feed temperature (yellow), resulting from the localized surface heating through the photothermal effect. 

*c* MXene engineering that achieves photothermal conversion and wettability transformation from hydrophilicity to superhydrophobicity. Left: TEM image of MXene nanosheets and inset image shows the schematic plasmonic effect-enhanced photothermal conversion of MXene. Right: contact angles at the initial state and after engineering with strong water repellency.
the difficulty in maintaining a constant $\Delta T$. On the one hand, MD requires a continuous energy input to heat the bulk feedwater to create a constant $\Delta T$ and drive water-to-vapor transient. On the other hand, $\Delta T$ progressively declines due to the inherent heat loss at the feedwater side as a result of the continuous water-to-vapor transition. The temperature near the feed/membrane interface decreases, which is also known as temperature polarization (Fig. 1a, left), resulting in reduced driving force and overall energy inefficiency.\textsuperscript{12,13}. One effective solution to address this energy efficiency of MD is to maintain a high $\Delta T$ by developing a surface heating membrane with low conductivity.\textsuperscript{12–18}

The other issue impeding the application of conventional MD lies in the difficulty in preventing wetting transition-induced membrane fouling and scaling during operation (Fig. 1a, right). In an ideal MD process, the hydrophobic membrane should be maintained free from pore blocking and wetting to achieve both efficient vapor permeation and high salt rejection. However, treating hypersaline solutions inevitably increases the concentration of salts (or any other constituents in the feed) close to the feed-membrane interface. This concentration polarization effect intensifies the membrane’s propensity to scaling, wetting, and fouling, leading to the decline in freshwater production rate and membrane failure.\textsuperscript{19–21}

An efficient and sustainable strategy to address these challenges in conventional MD and harness the water-energy nexus could be the development of a photothermal MD (PMD) system.\textsuperscript{12–14,122–24} The photothermal membrane’s continuous and localized surface self-heating, achieved by harvesting solar energy, could maintain the cross-membrane temperature gradient\textsuperscript{25–28}. However, it remains a big challenge to construct an ideal PMD membrane that fundamentally possesses the highly desired combination of characteristics, including efficient photothermal effect and durable wetting resistance. Recent studies have reported the use of titanium carbide (Ti\textsubscript{3}C\textsubscript{2}Tx, $\varepsilon_x$) MXene nanosheets were first synthesized using the HCl/LiF etching and exfoliation method, followed by a subsequent freeze-drying process (Supplementary Figs. 1–3). Then, the MXene engineering and its incorporation onto the C-PVDF membrane layer was simultaneously achieved by a facile electrospray process to form the PM-PVDF membrane. The electrospray engineering process mainly involves three steps: formation of charged droplets, Coulombic explosion, and phase separation (Fig. 2b). We used polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) and PVDF dispersed in the miscible solvent of THF and DMF as the polymer precursor for the Ti\textsubscript{3}C\textsubscript{2}Tx MXene electrospray solution. PDMS is hydrophobic and has low thermal conductivity with silane –Si–O– groups, which could generate sufficient nucleation sites via bonding with MXene’s surface functional groups (–OH, –O, and –F). The combination of PDMS and PVDF generates the preferred polymeric nanospheres that self-assemble under the structure-directing of MXene’s high electrical conductivity. Noted that these polymeric nanospheres can only be generated when PDMS and highly molecular PVDF are both present. Neither the PDMS nor PVDF alone could generate polymeric nanospheres (Supplementary Fig. 4) due to its low molecular weight and low surface energy.

The structure and morphology of the obtained PM-PVDF membrane was further characterized by SEM and TEM images. Figure 2c exhibits the cross-sectional structure of the PM-PVDF membrane, which consists of the C-PVDF bottom layer (Fig. 2d) and the MXene-engineering surface layer (Fig. 2e), respectively. The polymeric nanospheres that self-assembled on MXene (Fig. 2f) were verified by the TEM image and the corresponding elemental line-scan profile (Fig. 2g). The images display the uniform distribution of C, O, F, Si, and Ti elements in spherical shapes, related to polymeric nanospheres and Ti\textsubscript{3}C\textsubscript{2}Tx MXene. Notably, the MXene-directed polymeric spheres exhibit a much smaller average diameter (~200 nm) than those produced with PDMS and PVDF (P-PVDF) in the absence of MXene, which had micro-scale diameters of ~2 µm (Supplementary Fig. 5).

The largely reduced size of the polymeric spheres verified the functionality of MXene based on high conductivity and abundant functional groups. The presence of MXene not only provides more nucleation sites, but also induces the Coulombic fission of electrostatic droplets during electrospray. Equation (1) below illustrates the factors that affect the size of the particles during electrospray.\textsuperscript{36}

\[
d_{p} = \Phi^{1/3} d \sim G(\varepsilon) \left( \frac{Q \varepsilon_{0}}{e} \right)^{1/3}
\]

where $d_{p}$ and $d$ are the diameters of the particle and droplet, respectively; $\Phi$ is the polymer volume fraction; $Q$ is the liquid flow rate; $\varepsilon$ and $\varepsilon_{0}$ are the permittivity of liquid and vacuum, respectively; and $k$ is the liquid conductivity. The formation of the MXene-engineering layer is further evidenced in the GIXRD pattern (Fig. 2h), which exhibits the characteristic (002) peak of MXene related to $d$ spacing, as well as (100), (020), and (110) peaks that correspond to the coexistence of PDMS and PVDF. Also, the abundant molecular interactions shown in the FTIR spectra (Supplementary Fig. 6) and Raman spectra (Supplementary Fig. 7) further indicate the PM-PVDF membrane’s stable structure.
Photothermal effect and thermal conductivity of the membrane. A photothermal membrane that efficiently produces photothermal effects with low thermal conductivity enables localized surface heating on the feed side while alleviating unexpected heat conduction to the permeate side, which is desirable for maintaining a high cross-membrane temperature gradient. Figure 3a exhibits the UV-Vis-NIR absorption spectra of the fabricated membranes with optimized chemical components. The PM-PVDF membranes with varied MXene layer thicknesses demonstrated the distinct absorption of Ti$_3$C$_2$T$_x$ MXene with two characteristic strong absorption peaks centered at 610 nm and 1148 nm, while the C-PVDF membrane showed negligible sunlight absorption capacity. Especially, the optimum PM50-PVDF membrane displayed stronger and broader solar absorption (~93.6%). Meanwhile, the PM-PVDF membranes demonstrated a lower thermal conductivity (0.08 ~ 0.12 W m$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$) than the C-PVDF membrane (0.14 W m$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$) (Fig. 3b), despite being incorporated with highly thermal conductive MXene. Consequently, the surface temperature of the PM50-PVDF membrane increased rapidly to 84.8 °C in 3 min when exposed to one-sun illumination (Fig. 3c), demonstrating its outstanding surface self-heating behavior. As further verified by the IR thermal images in Fig. 3d, the PM50-PVDF membrane delivered a temperature increment of 60.5 °C, which is almost 10 times than that of C-PVDF membrane (6.2 °C) under the same conditions. Conclusively, the photothermal layer imparted excellent localized self-heating while minimizing heat loss to alleviate the temperature polarization inherent in conventional DCMD.

We ascribe the combination of efficient photothermal effect and low thermal conduction to the multi-functional MXene engineering layer. The self-assembly of polymeric nanospheres on MXene rendered a topological texture with hierarchical structure.
and trapped air pockets, which was verified by the PM-PVDF membrane’s pore structure (Fig. 3e) and optical surface profiles (Fig. 3f). Moreover, the porosity before (C-PVDF) and after surface modification (PM-PVDF) were 69.2 ± 0.2% and 71.8 ± 0.3%, respectively. The slight increase in porosity after surface modification is due to the electrospray-induced hierarchical MXene layer with polymeric nanospheres. Besides, the increase in porosity with effective phonon scattering and the introduction of low thermal conductive PDMS synergistically gave rise to the relatively lower thermal conductivity of the PM-PVDF membrane. This combined functionality of the MXene layer can be schematically illustrated in Fig. 3g. From the perspective of solar absorption, the hierarchical topology is favorable for maximizing sunlight harvesting by the light trapping effect, and meanwhile, the trapped air pockets in the membrane serve as thermal resistance to alleviate thermal conduction through the membrane and achieve efficient solar utilization.

Superhydrophobic transformation with strong water repellency. The surface wettability of the membrane is another factor that affects desalination performance, which connects to wetting transition-induced membrane scaling and fouling. In this regard, the self-assembly of uniform hierarchical polymeric nanospheres on the MXene layer’s surface transforms MXene’s intrinsic hydrophilicity into superhydrophobicity without the need for any additional surface treatment. As aforementioned in Fig. 1c, after the wettability transformation by MXene engineering, the PM-PVDF membrane’s contact angle (CA) increased from ~70° to ~172°, which denotes a superhydrophobic surface in the Cassie-Baxter state (Fig. 4a). To the best of our acknowledgement, the superhydrophobicity of our PM-PVDF membrane is the highest among reported MXene-engineered membranes. The superhydrophobic PM-PVDF membrane also ensured good repellence of low surface tension substances (Fig. 4b), maintaining spherical droplets when drops of ethanol and SDS were deposited. Conversely, both the pristine C-PVDF (CA = 125°) and MXene-absent P-PVDF (CA = 155°) membranes displayed hydrophobic performances in the Wenzel model state (Supplementary Fig. 8).

Furthermore, the PM-PVDF membrane exhibited a super low slide angle below 2° (Fig. 4c), which enabled water drops to roll off easily with negligible contact angle hysteresis, as further verified by the advancing and receding process (Supplementary Fig. 9). Another indication of strong water repellency is the water-bouncing phenomenon. The impinging droplet did not penetrate...
the superhydrophobic surface of the PM-PVDF membrane; instead, it rebounded into the air in <10.05 ms and bounced more than 10 times before it finally rested on the surface (Fig. 4d). This superior water repellency is attributed to the low surface energy and roughness of the PM-PVDF membrane’s surface topology. In particular, the hierarchical polymeric nanosphere-MXene engineering interface generated a large fraction of porosity with air pockets that were trapped beneath water drops, which would construct a boundary slippage and stable shear-free water-air interface line during desalination37,38.

To characterize the PM-PVDF membrane’s wetting resistance during the treatment of high salinity solution (10 wt% NaCl), we in situ monitored the evolution of membrane impedance using an electrochemical workstation (Fig. 4e and Supplementary Fig. 10). Figure 4f shows the gradual decline of the control C-PVDF membrane’s impedance due to the wetting-induced cross-membrane permeation of the ions from feedwater and a further accumulation of crystals inside of the membrane pores, which could be directly reflected by the decline of membrane’s impedance. The PM-PVDF membrane demonstrated stable membrane impedance shown in Fig. 4f. For comparison, we validated the PM-PVDF membrane issues monitored by the evolution of membrane impedance during desalination. The wetting transition would induce a cross-membrane permeation of the salt ions from feedwater and a further accumulation of crystals inside of the membrane pores, which could be directly reflected by the decline of membrane’s impedance. 

**Performance of hypersaline desalination by PMD.** To further validate the PM-PVDF membrane’s desalination performance by PMD, we used a DCMD module with a light window (Supplementary Fig. 14) for the PMD treatment of a hypersaline solution. We first studied the freshwater production flux using a feed of 30 °C, which is close to the actual temperature of Hong Kong’s seawater in August. As shown in Fig. 5a, the PM-PVDF membrane with no solar illumination delivered an average freshwater production flux of 1.55 kg m⁻² h⁻¹, which is comparable to that of the control C-PVDF membrane (1.53 kg m⁻² h⁻¹). However, when exposed to one sun illumination, the freshwater production flux of the PM-PVDF membrane increased to about 2.88 kg m⁻² h⁻¹, while the C-PVDF membrane displayed a negligible increase. A similar trend was also found under different operational conditions in terms of sunlight density. In addition, the apparent solar-to-vapor conversion efficiency (η) of the PM-PVDF was calculated to be about 89.0 %, which is much higher than that of the C-PVDF (~15.5%), indicating efficient photothermal conversion. Moreover, in contrast to the rapid decline in freshwater production flux experienced by the C-PVDF, the PM-PVDF demonstrated a much stable desalination performance (Fig. 5b), which is consistent with the evolution of membrane impedance shown in Fig. 4f. For comparison, we summarised part of the solar desalination performance of our PM-PVDF membrane and other existing studies in Fig. 5c. Overall, PM-PVDF membrane demonstrated many advantages when compared...
Fig. 5 Demonstration on performance of hypersaline desalination by PMD. a Freshwater production flux of PM-PVDF and C-PVDF membrane under different sun densities. Feed, 30 °C. b Stability evaluation of membrane in terms of normalized freshwater production flux. Error bars indicate the standard deviations estimated from three times measurements. c Summary of typical state-of-the-art solar desalination strategies operated in varied conditions. Solar efficiency is related to solar-to-vapor conversion or utilization around 1 sun. d Surface temperature of module monitored by IR thermal image during operation. e Phase diagram and simulated model for the performance of PM-PVDF membrane. f Long-term stability of the PM-PVDF during multi-component hypersaline solution treatment under 1 sun illumination. Feed, 60 °C. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.

with state-of-the-art PMD membranes22,27,28,39–46 as well as solar-driven interfacial water evaporators (IWE)1,47–52 in terms of freshwater production flux and solar-to-vapor efficiency, especially for hypersaline solution treatment.

We further studied the effect of the photothermal localized surface heating at the membrane-water interface during the PMD operation. The surface temperatures of the PM-PVDF membrane when exposed to 1, 3, and 5 sun illumination at 30.0 °C feed are recorded in Fig. 5d. The PM-PVDF membrane reached high values of about 38.2, 44.8, and 58.6 °C, respectively, while the C-PVDF membrane showed almost no obvious temperature increment. Under the exemplified one sun illumination, the distillate outlet temperature of the PM-PVDF membrane demonstrated an increment of 1.71 °C, which is higher than those with no solar illumination and of the C-PVDF membrane (Supplementary Table 2). It can be expected that the increase in localized surface heating through the photothermal effect could further compensate, alleviate, and reverse the temperature polarization inherent in conventional MD. Furthermore, reversing the temperature polarization along the membrane would further enlarge cross-membrane temperature gradient to strengthen the driving force for vapor transfer.

We presumed that the operational conditions, including feed temperature and solar density, would largely affect the surface temperature to render different temperature gradients across the membrane. To reveal the relationship between these operational conditions and freshwater production flux (Fig. 5e), we further developed an empirical modeling based on the experimental results, which can be described as the following Eq. (2):

\[
J(T, S) = 8.82 \times 10^{-3}T^2 - 42.49 \times 10^{-2}T + 18.21 \times 10^{-1}TS + 27.13 \times 10^{-2}S + 6.64
\]

where \( T \) and \( S \) represent the feed temperature (°C) and solar illumination density (sun), respectively. According to Eq. (2), the freshwater production flux of the PM-PVDF membrane in our system is a polynomial of the second degree to feed temperature and of the first degree to solar density.

Furthermore, we evaluated the PM-PVDF membrane’s performance for treating multi-component hypersaline solutions with sparingly soluble salts. Supplementary Fig. 15 shows that the PM-PVDF demonstrated stable membrane impedance and freshwater production flux when treating multi-component hypersaline
solutions, indicating good wetting and scaling resistance. As further verified by the 3D OCT images and SEM images, the membrane’s morphology maintained its initial state with few salt crystals precipitating during desalination. On the contrary, the C-PVDF membrane suffered from serious membrane wetting and underwent deterioration due to salt precipitation, leading to a rapid decline in water production flux. In the end, to validate the durability of the PM-PVDF membrane, we carried out a long-term desalination test under one sun illumination. This rapid decline in water production under severe conditions was due to salt precipitation, leading to a deterioration of the PM-PVDF membrane. On the contrary, the membrane temperature gradient and strong wetting capabilities and superior water repellency for sustainable PMD. The efficient solar harvesting capability of MXene, endowed with a photothermal effect, enabled the self-assembly of uniform hierarchical MXene layers on its surface via electrospraying, which transformed the MXene’s intrinsic hydrophilicity into superhydrophobicity. Benefiting from the interfacial engineering, our PM-PVDF membrane was endowed with a constantly high cross-membrane temperature gradient as well as strong wetting resistance, which led to a significant boost in freshwater production rate and stability under one sun illumination. This work presents an effective route for realizing energy-efficient and hypersaline-stable PMD operations that could harness the water-energy nexus by developing a multifunctional superhydrophobic MXene-engineered membrane.

Methods

Preparation of Ti₃C₂Tx MXene nanosheets. Briefly, we first synthesized Ti₃C₂Tx nanosheets based on an HCl/LiF etching and exfoliation method. Following the typical process, 0.5 g Ti₃AlC₂ (MAX, purity >98 wt%) was immersed in a HCl (9 M) and LiF (0.5 g) aqueous solution, and the resulting mixture was magnetically stirred at 35 °C for 24 h. The resulting Ti₃C₂Tx solution was washed and exfoliated in deionized water (DI), resulting in Ti₃C₂Tx MXene dispersion. After a further freeze-drying process, Ti₃C₂Tx MXene powder was obtained.

Fabrication of PM-PVDF membrane. An optimized electrospray solution was prepared consisting of 3.0 wt% PDMS (Sylgard 184), 2.0 wt% Polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF, Mₙ = 530,000 g mol⁻¹), and a miscible solvent of THF/DMF (w/w = 1:1), followed by stirring at 65 °C for 12 h. Subsequently, different loadings of MXene powder were added and uniformly dispersed. Afterward, the electrospray solutions were transferred to plastic syringes and the powder were added and uniformly dispersed. Afterward, the electrospray solutions were transferred to plastic syringes and fixed on the electrospray machine (ET-2555). A stable high voltage of 20 kV and feed rate of 1.0 ml h⁻¹ was applied, with a commercial PVDF (C-PVDF) membrane as collector. The ambient temperature and RH were 25 ± 2 °C and 48 ± 3 %, respectively. Membranes with different weight ratios of PDMS, PVDF, and MXene of PM-PVDF membrane (3:2:2, 3:2:2.5, and 3:2:3) were fabricated, which were denoted as PM40-PVDF, PM50-PVDF, PM60-PVDF, respectively. We also fabricated a series of PM-PVDF membranes with MXene layers of varied thickness ranging from 5 ± 1.5 μm, 10 ± 1.5 μm, 15 ± 1.3 μm, to 25 ± 2 μm (Supplementary Fig. 16). Based on the membranes’ solar absorption, MXene consumption, and heat and mass transfer, we determined that the optimal thickness for the PM-PVDF membrane is about 10 ± 1 μm, composed of a 10 μm surface MXene layer and a 100 μm based layer (Supplementary Fig. 17). The porosities of the membranes were measured by the gravimetric procedure. Typically, the weight of the membrane sample (size: 3 cm × 3 cm) was measured under dry condition and fully 1-butanol wetted (W₁) conditions, respectively. The porosity of the membrane was calculated using Eq. (3):

where W₂ and W₁ represent the wet and dry weights (g) of the membrane, respectively, and D₁ and D₂ denote the densities of 1-butanol and polymer (g m⁻³), respectively.

PMD performance measurement. Membrane performance was evaluated using a custom-made lab-scale DCMD apparatus. The flat sheet membrane apparatus had a membrane area of 36 cm² (6.0 cm × 6.0 cm). Hot feed and cold distillate streams were circulated using two variable gear pumps driver (Cole-parmer, Model 75211-13) and their temperatures were controlled using a heater (IKA, C-MAGHS7) and a chiller (Lab Companion, PW-0525G), respectively. Membrane performance at different feed temperatures (-30 °C, 40 °C, 50 °C, and 60 °C) was evaluated at a constant distillate temperature of 20 °C controlled by a chiller. The flow rate of the feed and the permeate side was about 100 ml/min, which generates a modest shear stress with a pressure below 0.02 bar. The conductivity of the feed and permeate was monitored using a conductivity meter (EUTECH, PC 2700), and membrane flux was determined by monitoring the weight increase on the distillate side using an electric balance (KERN PLE, 4200-2N). We used the thermoelectric couple (CEM, DT-3891G) to record the outlet temperatures of the feed and distillate side and a pressure probe (MEACON, MIK-V190) to measure the pressure in the setup. The artificial multi-component feed solution consisted of sparingly soluble salts CaCO₃ and CaSO₄ at saturation concentration and a 10.0 wt% NaCl solution.

The membrane impedance during MD operation was in situ measured based on electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) using Autolab PGSTAT302N with a mathematical model consisting of a parallel resistance and capacitance in series with a Warburg impedance. The membrane impedance during MD operation was in situ measured based on electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) using Autolab PGSTAT302N with a mathematical model consisting of a parallel resistance and capacitance in series with a Warburg impedance.

Characterization. The morphology and structures of the samples were characterized by transmission electron microscopy (TEM; JEOL 2101F) and field-emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM; FEI Quanta 450). Grazing incidence X-ray diffraction (GIXRD; Ultima IV) was used to determine the crystalline structure of the membrane samples. Water contact angle measurements were performed on a drop-shape analyzer (FM 4000, Krüss). Raman spectrometry was conducted on a Renishaw-2000 model Raman microscope using a 633-nm laser beam. Attenuated Total Reflection Fourier Transform Infrared spectroscopy (ATR-FTIR; 6700, Thermo Fisher) was used to examine the surface functional groups of the membranes. Absorption spectra were measured in the range of 250–2500 nm on an ultraviolet-visible spectrometer (UV–Vis 3600, Shimadzu) equipped with an integrating sphere. The thermal conductivities of the membranes were measured by a Mathis TCI thermal conductivity analyzer (C-Therm). The membrane surface topography and roughness were characterized by a surface optical profiler (Wyko NT9300, Vecco). Pore size distribution was measured using a porometer (POROLUX-1000, Belgium). Solar-driven experiments were carried out using a Xe short-arc lamp (CEL-HIXF300) as the illuminate, which was equipped with an optical filter for the standard AM 1.5 G spectrum. The thermal images and surface temperature distribution were recorded by an IR thermal camera (Optiris PI 640). The porosities of the membranes were measured by the gravimetric procedure. Typically, the weight of the membrane sample (size: 3 cm × 3 cm) was measured under dry condition and fully 1-butanol wetted (W₁) conditions, respectively. Then, the porosity of the membrane was calculated using Eq. (3):

where γ is the interfacial tension, θ is a geometric factor related to the pore structure (equal to 1 for cylindrical pores), θ is the liquid-intrinsic contact angle, and r is the pore size of the membrane.

PMD performance measurement. Membrane performance was evaluated using a custom-made lab-scale DCMD apparatus. The flat sheet membrane apparatus had a membrane area of 36 cm² (6.0 cm × 6.0 cm). Hot feed and cold distillate streams were circulated using two variable gear pumps driver (Cole-parmer, Model 75211-13) and their temperatures were controlled using a heater (IKA, C-MAGHS7) and a chiller (Lab Companion, PW-0525G), respectively. Membrane performance at different feed temperatures (-30 °C, 40 °C, 50 °C, and 60 °C) was evaluated at a constant distillate temperature of 20 °C controlled by a chiller. The flow rate of the feed and the permeate side was about 100 ml/min, which generates a modest shear stress with a pressure below 0.02 bar. The conductivity of the feed and permeate was monitored using a conductivity meter (EUTECH, PC 2700), and membrane flux was determined by monitoring the weight increase on the distillate side using an electric balance (KERN PLE, 4200-2N). We used the thermoelectric couple (CEM, DT-3891G) to record the outlet temperatures of the feed and distillate side and a pressure probe (MEACON, MIK-V190) to measure the pressure in the setup. The artificial multi-component feed solution consisted of sparingly soluble salts CaCO₃ and CaSO₄ at saturation concentration and a 10.0 wt% NaCl solution.

The membrane impedance during MD operation was in situ measured based on electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) using Autolab PGSTAT302N with a mathematical model consisting of a parallel resistance and capacitance in series with a Warburg impedance. The membrane impedance during MD operation was in situ measured based on electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) using Autolab PGSTAT302N with a mathematical model consisting of a parallel resistance and capacitance in series with a Warburg impedance.

The artificial multi-component feed solution consisted of sparingly soluble salts CaCO₃ and CaSO₄ at saturation concentration and a 10.0 wt% NaCl solution.

The membrane impedance during MD operation was in situ measured based on electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) using Autolab PGSTAT302N with a mathematical model consisting of a parallel resistance and capacitance in series with a Warburg impedance.

The artificial multi-component feed solution consisted of sparingly soluble salts CaCO₃ and CaSO₄ at saturation concentration and a 10.0 wt% NaCl solution.

The membrane impedance during MD operation was in situ measured based on electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) using Autolab PGSTAT302N with a mathematical model consisting of a parallel resistance and capacitance in series with a Warburg impedance.

The artificial multi-component feed solution consisted of sparingly soluble salts CaCO₃ and CaSO₄ at saturation concentration and a 10.0 wt% NaCl solution.

The membrane impedance during MD operation was in situ measured based on electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) using Autolab PGSTAT302N with a mathematical model consisting of a parallel resistance and capacitance in series with a Warburg impedance.
without sunlight illumination, respectively, $h_{lc}$ denotes the ideal liquid-vapor phase change enthalpy (kJ kg$^{-1}$), and $q_{s}$ is the density of solar illumination (kJ m$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$).
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