Sirt7 auto-ADP-ribosylation regulates glucose starvation response through mH2A1
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Sirtuins are key players of metabolic stress response. Originally described as deacetylases, some sirtuins also exhibit poorly understood mono-adenosine 5′-diphosphate (ADP)–ribosyltransferase (mADPTR) activity. We report that the deacetylase Sirt7 is a dual sirtuin, as it also features auto-mADPR activity. Sirt7 mADPR occurs at a previously undefined active site, and its abrogation alters Sirt7 chromatin distribution. We identify an epigenetic pathway by which ADP-ribosyl-Sirt7 is recognized by the ADP-ribose reader mH2A1.1 under glucose starvation, inducing Sirt7 relocalization to intergenic regions. Sirt7 promotes mH2A1 enrichment in a subset of nearby genes, many of them involved in second messenger signaling, resulting in their specific up- or down-regulation. The expression profile of these genes under calorie restriction is consistently abrogated in Sirt7-deficient mice, resulting in impaired activation of autophagy. Our work provides a novel perspective on sirtuin duality and suggests a role for Sirt7/mH2A1.1 axis in glucose homeostasis and aging.

INTRODUCTION

The members of the Sir2 family, also known as sirtuins, play a key role in the response to different types of stress, including metabolic, oxidative, and genotoxic stress. Thus, sirtuins are at the crossroads of many pathways that control genome stability, metabolic homeostasis, cell cycle control, and apoptosis (1). The family members originated in the prokaryotes, where they participated in the metabolism of nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NAD+). During evolution, sirtuins have expanded and diversified, acquiring a key role in the cross-talk between chromatin and environment. Reflecting this, four of the seven mammalian sirtuins (SirT1, SirT2, SirT6, and SirT7) have been linked to the control of genome stability at different levels (2). One of the most intriguing features of sirtuin biology is that they catalyze two NAD+-dependent enzymatic activities: NAD+-dependent deacetylation and mono–adenosine 5′-diphosphate (ADP)–ribosylation (mADPR), which involves the reversible transfer of one ADP-ribose moiety from the coenzyme NAD+ to specific protein residues. The former seems to be more prominent among mammalian sirtuins since almost all of them are NAD+-dependent protein deacetylases, whose activity can, in some cases, target other single acyl groups such as butyryl or succinyl, or even long-chain fatty acyl groups (3). The relevance of this duality goes beyond sirtuins, as we know of just a handful of enzymes, most of them prokaryotic, that combine two activities in a single protein (4, 5).

By far, the best studied ADP-ribosyltransferase (ADPRT) is poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase 1 (PARP1), which is responsible for up to about 90% of the cellular poly-ADP-ribosylation (pADPR) generated by DNA damage and is a key pharmacological target for the treatment of DNA repair-deficient cancers. In contrast to the relatively well studied pADPR, the biological relevance of mADPR is more limited. mADPR has been shown to regulate a wide range of functions, from cellular stress response upon DNA damage or metabolic stress to infectious diseases and cancer (6). Reflecting their functional relevance and complexity, there are not only different classes of protein-binding modules (readers) that recognize ADP-ribosylation but also enzymes involved in the removal of ADP-ribose called ADP-ribohydrolases (erasers) (6). Among the readers, macrodomains are an ancient group of protein domains formed by 130 to 190 residues present from viruses to humans that bind mono(ADP-ribose), poly(ADP-ribose), and NAD+-derived metabolites. In humans, at least 12 proteins contain macrodomains belonging to four of the six known classes of macrodomains. One of the best studied macrodomain-containing proteins is the histone H2A variant macroH2A (mH2A). Of the three mH2A isoforms (mH2A1.1, mH2A1.2, and mH2A2), only mH2A1.1 has the ability to bind ADPR (7). Moreover, mH2A1.1 can also bind free ADP-ribose molecules and the product of sirtuin deacetylation, O-acetyl-ADP-ribose (8), which suggests that mH2A1.1 may play a role as an
SirT7 harbors mADPRT activity (Fig. 1C). This auto-ADPRT activity was not altered by mutations previously described as abrogating SirT6 ADP-ribosylation activity toward PARP1 and KAP1 (16, 17). Mutation of SirT6 G60 to alanine or its equivalent in SirT7 S115 did not abrogate this auto-ADPRT activity. Moreover, the same result was obtained upon mutation of SirT6 S56 (fig. S1B), which was previously shown to eliminate both SirT6 activities, or of its equivalent in SirT7 S111 (Fig. 1D). In contrast to the close parallelism observed between SirT6 and SirT7 ADP-ribosylation activity, SirT7 S111 or S115 mutations did not abrogate the deacetylase activity (fig. S1C). This result, which was previously reported for S111 (28), suggests that the deacetylase active site of SirT6 and SirT7 may be not as equivalent as had been proposed previously. Nevertheless, our observations lead us to consider the possibility that sirtuin auto-mADPRT activity is not associated with the previously described catalytic activity.

SirT7 auto-mADPRT activity depends on the highly conserved residues, E185 and N189, present in the ELHGN motif

To define this site, we compared all seven sirtuins at the structural level. In contrast to SirT1-5, the lack of the α helix bundle (in cyan; Fig. 1E, left) in SirT6 and SirT7 (Fig. 1E, right) induced a reorganization in the center of the structure that created a big cavity (Fig. 1E, red rectangle), located at the other side of the main catalytic site (in red). The cavity contained an ELHGN motif, conserved in the SirT6/SirT7 lineage, including the common SirT6/SirT7 ortholog Caenorhabditis elegans Sir-2.4 (Fig. 1F and fig. S1D). SirT7 H187,
present in the motif, is a key conserved residue among sirtuins involved in the recognition of acetylated substrate in the deacetylation activity. While H187 was oriented toward the NAD$^+$-binding pocket and the main catalytic site, the two flanking residues, E185 and N189, faced in the opposite direction, toward the surface of the cavity (Fig. 1G and fig. S1, E and F), forming a loop sustained by the interaction of both residues through their side chain. We paid particular attention to these two conserved residues because of their possible involvement in the ADP-ribosylation reaction: E185 is the only residue in this motif that could initiate the reaction, whereas N189, the only residue in the motif conserved exclusively in the whole SirT6/SirT7 lineage (Fig. 1F), could act as the first acceptor of the ADP-ribosyl moiety. This possibility...
Considered together, these observations indicate that SirT7 auto-mADPRT activity but still featured N189 may play an essential role in the mechanism of ADP-ribosylation. cannot replace glutamine as acceptor of ADP-ribose suggests that N189Q is structurally very similar to the WT protein (fig. S1G) but was not due to an indirect structural effect (Fig. 1I). The fact that fact the H187Y mutant, just two residues away, had the completely opposite pattern (Fig. 1J and fig. S1H). Considered together, these observations indicate that SirT7 auto-mADPRTion is catalyzed at a conserved alternative secondary active site located in a previously uncharacterized domain.

N189-dependent auto-mADPRT involves several residues distributed around the SirT7 surface and regulates SirT7 genomic distribution

We also confirmed the conserved role of N189 since the equivalent mutation in SirT6 N135 also abrogated SirT6 mADPRT activity (Fig. 2A) but did not eliminate its deacetylase activity toward H3K18ac (Fig. 2B and fig. S2A). There were two further lines of evidence of the importance of N189: First, the N189 mutant was significantly less ADP-ribosylated in vivo than was WT SirT7 (Fig. 2C); second, mass spectrometry (MS) analysis of SirT7 auto-mADPRTion identified eight ADP-ribosylated peptides distributed across the entire surface of the SirT7 protein (Fig. 2, D and E; fig. S2, B and C; and table S1). All but one of them were undetected in the N189 mutant (Fig. 2E, in magenta), confirming a key role for N189 in SirT7 ADPRT. Together, these observations strongly suggest that SirT7 auto-mADPRTion is catalyzed at an alternative secondary active site.

Taking advantage of our ability to specifically inhibit each of the two SirT7 enzymatic activities, we next studied the impact of these mutations on SirT7 distribution. Our first step was to study immunofluorescence (IF) signal of WT, H187Y, N189A, and N189Q in SirT7−/− mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs). We observed that while H187Y had an identical distribution to WT SirT7, whereby it was enriched in the nucleolus, the patterns of localization of N189A and N189Q were radically different. Both N189 mutants showed a more dispersed pattern of distribution that resulted in a loss of nucleolar enrichment in around 80% of the observed cells (Fig. 2, F and G). Although we observed a mild decrease in the expression of N189 mutants compared to SirT7 WT and H187Y, these differences could not account for the marked changes observed in the distribution of these proteins (Fig. 2H). The altered distribution of these mutants was confirmed by biochemical fractionation of cells expressing SirT7-flagged mutants into cytoplasm, soluble nuclear fraction (nucleoplasm), and chromatin-insoluble fraction (chromatin). As in the IF studies, H187Y behaved similarly to WT and was present in similar levels in the nucleoplasm. By contrast, N189A-Q mutants were significantly enriched in the chromatin fractions and depleted in the nucleoplasm (Fig. 2H). Overall, our results suggest that, in contrast to SirT7 deacetylase activity, mADPRT activity, and, in particular, N189, plays a key role in both SirT7 genomic localization and chromatin-binding dynamics.

SirT7 interacts with the ADP-ribose binding protein mH2A1.1 upon GS

We tried to identify SirT7 binding partners to understand the functional link between SirT7 and ADP-ribosylation. We performed SirT7 affinity purification in human embryonic kidney (HEK) 293F cells and identified potential SirT7 interactors by MS analysis. We identified the histone variant mH2A1 from among the candidates (Fig. 3A and table S2). mH2A1.1 was the only one of the three mH2A isoforms that specifically binds ADP-ribose through its macrodomain (Fig. 3B) (7) and interacted specifically with SirT7 (Fig. 3C). We next examined whether the SirT7-mH2A1.1 interaction depends on SirT7 ADP-ribosylation by incubating bacterially expressed recombinant SirT7 (rSirT7) WT or N189A in the presence or absence of NAD+ and dialyzing the reaction to remove all remnant NAD+ molecules. We tested its ability to specifically pull down mH2A1.1 from HEK293F nuclear extracts under stringent conditions (Fig. 3D). We found that only rSirT7 WT previously incubated with NAD+ was able to interact with WT mH2A1.1 under these conditions (Fig. 3E). Consistently, while the ADPRT-defective mutant N189A was not able to interact in any of these conditions (Fig. 3D), the deacetylation mutant H187Y behaved similarly as SirT7 WT (fig. S3A). As further evidence that SirT7 auto-mADPRT mediates this binding, no interaction was detected under these conditions when we used mH2A1.1 G224E (Fig. 3E, lanes 5 and 6), a mutant unable to bind specifically to ADP-ribose (7). This is compelling evidence that SirT7 auto-mADPRT mediates this binding.

The in vivo interaction between SirT7 and mH2A1.1 was specific but weak. Considering the direct link between sirtuins and the stress response, we investigated whether the SirT7-mH2A1.1 interaction is boosted under specific stress conditions, such as ultraviolet (UV) or ionizing irradiation (IR), oxidative stress (H2O2), or GS. Under highly stringent conditions, there was a significant increase in the interaction between the two factors, specifically upon GS (Fig. 3F), which was consistent with the established link between both proteins and glucose homeostasis (8, 25). MS analysis of SirT7-FLAG purified under these stress conditions identified SirT7 ADP-ribosylation under UV, oxidative, and GS conditions but not in the absence of stress (C) or under IR [Fig. 3F (bottom), fig. S3, and table S1]. The ADP-ribosylation detected under GS and IR had been observed to be N189-dependent in our previous experiment (Fig. 2D). This discrepancy between the stress-induced SirT7 ADP-ribosylation and binding to mH2A1.1 suggested that while ADP-ribosylation is essential for binding to mH2A1.1, other GS-specific signaling may be important to drive the interaction. In contrast to normal culture conditions [no treatment (NT)], GS consistently induced coelution of both factors in high molecular weight fractions (around 1 MDa) of gel filtration chromatography analysis (Fig. 3G). This observation suggests that both factors may be part of a multiprotein complex formed under these conditions. As further evidence of this link, SirT7 was able to immunoprecipitate endogenous mH2A1.1 specifically under GS (Fig. 3H, lanes 3 and 4), an interaction that was abrogated by N189 mutation (Fig. 3H, lanes 4 to 6).

SirT7 binds to distal intergenic regions upon GS in an mH2A1-dependent manner

While the levels of total mH2A1 and mH2A1.2 decreased upon GS, we observed a consistently specific increase of mH2A1.1 under the same conditions, suggesting a direct role for this isoform in the GS response (Fig. 4A). Consistently, biochemical fractionation analysis of SirT7 also showed a progressive accumulation of SirT7 in chromatin fractions upon GS, peaking at 40 hours of treatment (Fig. 4B). We chose this specific timing, as we determined experimentally that the GS-dependent enrichment of SirT7 was detectable from 24 hours of...
GS and that 40 hours was the maximum safe time of GS treatment before entering apoptosis. To understand the functional relationship between the two factors under GS, we next studied the distribution of SirT7 and mH2A1 under NT and GS conditions in MEFs. Supporting the biochemical fraction results, SirT7 chromatin immunoprecipitation sequencing (ChIP-seq) analysis showed that GS boosted SirT7 localization to chromatin (Fig. 4C). In the case of mH2A1, we observed a SirT7-dependent global enrichment of mH2A1 under GS conditions.
mH2A1 in Wt cells upon GS compared with NT conditions since this effect was abrogated in SirT7-deficient MEFs (Fig. 4D). In addition, 72.4% (1711 of 2638) of SirT7-associated genes were also enriched by mH2A1. SirT7 accumulated mostly in intergenic regions [transcription start site (TSS) ± 50 to 500 kb] rather than in proximal promoters (Fig. 4E). Genomic Regions Enrichment of Annotations Tool (GREAT) analysis associated these regions with specific genes (Fig. 4F and fig. S4), suggesting that the sequences correspond to gene regulatory regions. The average distance from these sites to the associated genes ranges between 50 and 500 kb upstream or downstream of the coding region. Many of the SirT7-associated genes mapped by GREAT were associated by Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) analysis with cell signaling, the majority of which directly or indirectly participate in regulating metabolic homeostasis (Fig. 4F).

Confirming our previous results, the recruitment of SirT7 to these regions upon GS was mH2A1 dependent since its short hairpin RNA (shRNA)–driven down-regulation abrogated SirT7 enrichment (Fig. 4G). These results indicate that, upon GS, mH2A1.1 recruits SirT7 to the intergenic regions and suggest that SirT7 has a direct role in auto-mADPRTion.

The SirT7/mH2A1 regulatory axis regulates the expression of a subset of genes upon GS

To clarify the mode of action of SirT7 in the genes regulated by SirT7/mH2A1 when they are stressed, we performed RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) in Wt and Sirt7−/− MEFs under NT and GS as for the ChIP-seq analyses. Of the 1711 mH2A-containing regions occupied by SirT7, GS induced the up-regulation and down-regulation of 257 and 427 nearby genes, respectively, in Wt MEFs (Fig. 5, A and B). This up- or down-regulation upon GS was SirT7 dependent in the case of 143 and 277 genes, respectively (Fig. 5B). There was an increase in the levels of mH2A1 around these genes upon GS that was abrogated in SirT7-deficient cells (Fig. 5C and fig. S5). Among these genes, we identified some that encoded growth factors, signaling transduction mediators involved in metabolism and differentiation, hormone receptors, enzymes, transcription factors, extracellular factors, and cytokines. Many of these genes are directly or indirectly

**Fig. 3.** SirT7 interacts with mH2A1.1 upon GS in an ADP-ribosylation–dependent manner. (A) Affinity purification of SirT7-binding factors identified the histone H2A variant mH2A1 by MS analysis (table S2). HA, hemagglutinin. (B) Schematic representation of the three mH2A isoforms, of which, only mH2A1.1 binds to ADP-ribose (7).

Endogenous SirT7 specifically immunoprecipitates mH2A1.1 in HEK293F cells. IgG, immunoglobulin G. (D and E) mH2A1.1 recognizes and binds ADP-ribosylated SirT7. Immunoprecipitation (IP) of bacterially expressed rSirT7 WT or N189A preincubated ± NAD⁺ and added to nuclear extracts of HEK293F SirT7 KO cells (CRISPR-Cas9–mediated KO of SIRT7) expressing mH2A1.1 WT or G224E, a mutant deficient in ADP-ribose binding. Inputs (I) and elutions (E) are shown. A similar experiment with H187Y is shown in fig. S3A. (F) Top: Interaction between endogenous SirT7 and mH2A1.1 under high-stringency conditions upon different types of stress in HEK293 cells. C, untreated; IR, 7-gray ionizing irradiation; H₂O₂, oxidative stress. Western blot of the input and elution of immunoprecipitation experiments with anti-SirT7 antibody under these conditions. Bottom: Summary of the ADP-ribosylation events detected by MS in SirT7-FLAG expressed in the same cells and purified under the same stress conditions. Further details are shown in table in fig. S3B and in table S1. (G) Superose 6 gel filtration chromatography of nuclear endogenous proteins from nuclear extracts of HEK293F cells under normal cell growth (NT) or upon GS. Fraction numbers and approximate molecular weights (MW) are indicated. Western blot of SirT7 and mH2A1.1 are shown. (H) High-stringency immunoprecipitation of endogenous mH2A1.1 by WT or N189A SirT7 in HEK293F cells treated under normal conditions or under GS. Inputs (I) and elutions (E) are shown.
associated with the second messenger regulatory system, including the Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent pathways and the adenosine 3',5'-monophosphate (cAMP)/adenyl cyclase or guanosine 3',5'-monophosphate (cGMP)–dependent protein kinase signaling pathways. We also identified many factors associated with heterotrimeric GTP-binding protein (G protein) signaling, such as the G protein–coupled receptor signaling pathways, some of which have been linked to regulation of cAMP signaling in neural systems. Genes down-regulated upon GS were involved in cAMP signaling pathway factors, proliferation, and cell differentiation, while up-regulated genes were mainly associated with cGMP-dependent protein kinase and Rap1 signaling, metabolism, and, to a much lesser extent, cAMP/adenyl cyclase signaling (fig. S6A). Among these up- or down-regulated factors that we identified are, for instance, the connexin growth factor (CTGF), the adenylate cyclase regulator adrenoceptor alpha 2A (ADRA2A), the guanylate-binding protein 6 (GBP6), the histone deacetylase 9 (HDAC9), the calcium-binding signaling protein N-terminal EF-hand calcium binding protein 1 (NECAB1), and the transcription factors forkhead box protein A2 (FOXA2) and myocyte-specific enhancer factor 2C (MEF2C). CTGF was particularly interesting, given its key role in differentiation, proliferation, glucose homeostasis, and insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF-1) and transforming growth factor–β signaling and its link to calorie restriction (CR) and aging (29).

Reconstitution experiments with SirT7 WT, H187Y, and N189A in Sirt7 −/− MEFs revealed that both enzymatic activities of SirT7 are required to produce the GS-associated expression profile of the tested genes, as well as the associated enrichment of mH2A1 in these genes upon GS (fig. 5D). Consistent with a direct role of SirT7 in these genes through binding to the distal regulatory regions, we also observed SirT7-dependent H3K36 deacetylation in these distal sequences, which was dependent on both catalytic activities (fig. S6B). These lines of evidence suggest a link between this deacetylation event and mH2A1 gene enrichment. As mH2A1 was previously associated with H3K27me3 (30), we also analyzed the
correlation between this mark and mH2A/SirT7 through a global unbiased analysis of chromatin state changes from NT to GS conditions in Wt and Sirt7−/− MEFs. We defined four states (U1 to U4), depending on the appearance or disappearance of mH2A and/or H3K27me3 in these sequences upon GS. We observed a positive effect of SirT7 on mH2A recruitment upon GS in up-regulated and down-regulated genes when H3K27me3 was not present. In contrast, when mH2A1 and H3K27me3 were both present, SirT7 had the
opposite effect on mH2A enrichment (Fig. 5E). These results suggest an antagonistic interplay between SirT7 and H3K27me3 in determining mH2A enrichment upon metabolic stress (Fig. 5E).

**SirT7 dependence on the expression of these genes is recapitulated in in vivo mouse models of CR**

To confirm the contribution of SirT7 to GS response in vivo, we generated a CR model in *Wt* and *Sirt7−/−* mice (Fig. 6A) and we performed several correlative analyses in the livers of these mice. Several evidences supported our previous studies with GS. First, we studied the expression of the SirT7/mH2A-associated genes that we had identified earlier (Figs. 4 and 5). Consistently, the SirT7-dependent expression profile of these genes under CR versus ad libitum (AL) fully replicated our results in cell culture with GS versus NT (Fig. 6B). Second, as in the case of GS, SirT7 was enriched in chromatin of these livers upon CR, in part, because of an up-regulation of the levels of SirT7 under these conditions (Fig. 6C). Third, we demonstrated CR-specific interaction between endogenous SirT7 and mH2A1.1 in these livers, further supporting the functional parallelism between CR and GS (Fig. 6D). Fourth, trying to understand the functional implications of the SirT7-mH2A1 axis under CR conditions, we studied the status of autophagy in these samples, given the direct involvement of cAMP, cGMP, and Ca²⁺-dependent signaling in the control of autophagy and its established implication in the response to CR and aging (31–33). For that purpose, we analyzed the levels of a well-established marker of autophagy, the formation of the form II of microtubule-associated protein light chain 3 (LCIII-2). The rate of formation of LCIII-2 directly indicates the rate of autophagosome formation and activity. As expected, in *Wt* animals, we observed an increase of LCIII-2 under CR (Fig. 6E), in agreement with an increase in autophagy as a response to CR as has been described (33). In contrast, in SirT7-deficient mice, while we observed increased rates of autophagy in AL conditions, these decreased significantly compared to CR in *Wt* animals (Fig. 6E).

Supporting the role for SirT7 in CR-dependent autophagy, we observed a similar pattern in Beclin-1 (Fig. 6F), another key marker of autophagy involved in the formation of autophagosomes (33). These observations suggest that SirT7 plays a key role, at least in the liver, in the control of autophagy in the physiological response to CR conditions. These results provide further evidence of a key role for the SirT7/mH2A axis in glucose/CR signaling. Overall, our findings not only suggest a key role for SirT7 in aging through gene expression regulation of second metabolism signaling but also suggest that this role is directly related to CR.

**DISCUSSION**

We report ADPRT activity in SirT7 and identify a novel ADP-ribosylation domain containing the ELHGN motif. The direct link between this modification, SirT7 dynamic distribution, and mH2A1 binding in response to GS provides a functional explanation of sirtuin enzymatic duality and suggests a key role for SirT7 in CR signaling and aging (Fig. 6C).

The catalytic duality of sirtuins has been widely debated since it was hypothesized that all sirtuins may have the ability to transfer ADP-ribosyl moieties to target proteins (10, 11). Originally described as a potential enzymatic alternative to the main deacetylation/deacetylation activity, it was assumed to act alongside the primary activity at the same catalytic site. This perception arose primarily because the ADPRT activity of some of the sirtuins tested was considerably weaker and less specific than the deacetylase activity and was dependent on the presence of an acetylated peptide (11, 34). Moreover, the dependency of this activity on the deacetylation reaction of yeast Sir2 was also reported, suggesting that it may be a side effect of primary catalytic deacetylation or a technical artifact linked to the ability of sirtuins to hydrolyze NAD⁺ as an intermediate step before deacetylation (35). It is of particular note that the vast majority of this evidence was based on in vitro ADP-ribosylation assays and was not validated by other techniques. In this sense, we report the first MS analysis of sirtuin ADPRTion and describe a consistent and reproducible pattern of N189-dependent ADPRTion in class IV sirtuins, the common lineage of SirT6 and SirT7 from early eukaryotes to mammals. We demonstrate not only that the role of the ELHGN motif in this activity is a highly conserved one but also that the catalytic site is physically separated, being located in a different structural domain. Our studies suggest that N189 could play an important role as the first acceptor of the ADP-ribose molecule generated by E185 before its transfer to the final target. This is supported by the direct interaction with E185 and the different behavior of N189Q compared with WT, despite its close structural similarity (fig. S1E). Given the wide distribution of these modified residues across the surface of SirT7, the automodification probably involves the intramodification of SirT7 oligomeric units within the SirT7 complex, similar to what has been described for PARP1 (36).

Although auto-ADPRTion had already been described in the early studies of sirtuin, its role has never been properly characterized. Our report suggests a direct role of this modification in SirT7 global distribution and in its chromatin-binding dynamics. PARP1 auto-ADPRTion was also proposed to regulate PARP1 chromatin-binding dynamics (37). As in the case of SirT7, mH2A1.1 has been also involved in PARP1 recruitment, although in this case, it was linked to PARP1 inactivation (38). Although our work has focused on auto-ADPRTion, the high degree of conservation within the class IV sirtuins and the considerable magnitude of the cavity, which makes it able to accommodate a wide range of proteins (Fig. 1E and fig. S1D), suggest that this novel domain may target other substrates.

In contrast to what was reported for SirT6-dependent ADPRTion of PARP1 and KAP1 (16, 17), SirT6 and SirT7 auto-mADPRTion does not depend on any key residues involved in the primary deacetylation domain, such as SirT6-S56/SirT7-S111, or SirT7-S115 (Fig. 1D and fig. S1B). This suggests that there may be two types of sirtuin-related ADP-ribosylation events, one that takes place at the primary catalytic site and that is probably directly associated with the main deacetylation reaction and another that is dependent on the ELHGN motif including auto–ADP-ribosylation and modification of other protein targets. This hypothesis suggests an interesting line of future work to confirm its validity and, thereafter, to define the extent and the specific range of substrates associated with each of these ADP-ribosylation events.

Another major finding of our work is the first evidence of a direct functional relationship between sirtuins and mH2A through this ADPR activity. This link is supported by the ability of mH2A1.1 to bind to the sirtuin product O-acetyl-ADP ribose (8). Although we have demonstrated that SirT7 auto-mADPRTion is essential for the interaction with mH2A1.1 between factors and the recruitment of SirT7 to these genomics sites, our observations suggest that there are other factors involved. Thus, while we detected auto-mADPRTion upon different types of stress such as GS, UV, and H₂O₂, we only...
observed a boost in the interaction between SirT7 and mH2A1 under GS conditions (Fig. 3F and fig. S3B). This suggested that there must be other signaling events involved that provide specific response under GS. Given the direct implication of stress-dependent kinases such as AMP kinase in the regulation of SirT7 exit from the nucleolus under energy deprivation conditions (39, 40), we speculate that phosphorylation of SirT7 may be also required to allow the observed activation of the SirT7/mH2A1 regulatory axis under these conditions. However, we cannot discard that other reported modifications of SirT7, such as arginine methylation or acetylation, may be involved. Future studies should characterize these other signaling events involved in SirT7 activation upon GS and determine their contribution to the SirT7/mH2A1 functional relationship.

Our results demonstrate that GS triggers SirT7 relocalization mainly to distal regulatory regions mediated by mH2A1.1. These regions do not appear to be canonical enhancer regions because they are not enriched in enhancer-specific histone marks (data not shown). In turn, SirT7 promotes increased mH2A1 occupancy levels in the nearby gene, which results in the transcriptional activation or repression of the gene (Fig. 5). The fact that SirT7 seems to induce both up- and down-regulation in these genes suggests that its main role in this functional context is to promote mH2A enrichment, which, in turn, may regulate positive or negative gene expression.

The analysis of chromatin states suggests that H3K27me3 is antagonistic to the interplay between SirT7 and mH2A. This intriguing observation deserves further study. The mechanism by which SirT7 promotes this long-range effect on mH2A enrichment around genes is unclear, and it may involve chromatin looping, as it occurs in enhancer regions. This possible mechanism is consistent with the recently established link between SirT7 and the nuclear lamina and chromatin organization (24). Our results suggest that the role of SirT7 in these genes requires both enzymatic activities, which further highlights the essential role of sirtuin duality in this regulatory mechanism. In agreement with this, we detected active deacetylation of H3K36 in the distal regulatory regions upon the arrival of SirT7, which may favor the mechanism of mH2A enrichment. However, our studies also suggest that in addition to this proposed mechanism, SirT7 may also regulate the expression of some of these target genes independently of the up-regulation of mH2A1. For instance, reexpression of H187Y or N189A in SirT7−/− MEFs induced mild significant
changes of expression in some of these genes upon GS without altering significantly the levels of mH2A1. Although, in the majority of these cases, this mH2A1-independent effect seems to be minor, this may reflect that both SirT7 enzymatic activities target other proteins in these genes. Since SirT7 has been shown to interact with a wide range of factors from chromatin enzymes to transcription regulators and target histone and nonhistone proteins, the observed effect may be due to a different combination of SirT7-associated factors depending on each specific gene context. Future studies should define these mechanisms, the factors involved, and their functional link to mH2A1.

This study also suggests that the SirT7/mH2A axis regulates a wide range of factors under GS. We were able to correlate the expression profile of these genes between GS stress conditions in primary MEFs and CR treatment in the livers of these mice. In these sense, we observed, as in GS, a SirT7-dependent expression of tested genes upon CR, an increased localization of SirT7 in chromatin fractions, and a specific CR-dependent binding of SirT7 and mH2A1 in these livers (Fig. 6, C and D). Moreover, in agreement with previous studies, we detected not only gene expression profile differences associated with caloric restriction [e.g., ADRA2A, CTGF, and leucocyte-associated immunoglobulin-like receptor 1 (LAIR1)] (41) but also new potential markers involved in obesity [nuclear Receptor Interacting Protein 3 (NRIP3)] (42) and immune response [heparan sulfate-glucosamine 3-sulfotransferase 4 (HS3ST4) and GBP6] (43). Their stress-dependent expression profile was SirT7 dependent, confirming the function of SirT7 as a nutrient sensor in response to calorie-restricted diet. The observed overrepresentation of second messenger signaling among these factors, particularly that related to calcium-associated signaling, is quite unexpected because it suggests that this is a major target of the SirT7/mH2A signaling axis. Considering the wide range of general and tissue-specific functions regulated by these pathways, the functional relevance of this regulatory axis may extend beyond glucose metabolism. cAMP/adenylate cyclase signaling was shown to regulate SirT6 activity, which suggests that regulatory feedback may operate in this context. SirT7 has been shown to regulate several key stress-dependent pathways such as p53, FOXO, Akt, mitogen-activated protein kinase, or extracellular signal–regulated kinase, among others (27, 44, 45). The fact that the second messenger signaling plays an essential key role in many of these pathways suggests that SirT7 regulates these pathways through this SirT7/mH2A1 regulatory axis. Our studies suggest that the SirT7/mH2A1 signaling axis is involved in cellular adaptation to glucose deprivation. The studies with the CR model and the correlation that we have been able to establish between GS model in cell culture and the CR model in mouse lead us to speculate that the SirT7/mH2A1 interplay may have an important role in physiological short- and long-term adaptation to nutrient deprivation. This hypothesis should be further validated in future studies.

Another significant observation of our work is that SirT7 is important in the aging-associated changes linked to glucose homoeostasis regulation. Although SirT7 was previously associated with aging at different levels, from genome stability and structure to ribosomal biogenesis and mitochondrial function (20–22, 24), our study is the first to establish a link between SirT7 and CR signaling. This link is supported by the in vivo metabolic alterations detected in SirT7 KO mice, such as reduced IGFB-1 plasma levels and hepatic steatosis (20, 22). The decreased levels of autophagy observed in SirT7-deficient animals upon CR may also point directly to an effect on aging given the accelerated aging phenotype observed in SirT7−/− mice and that development of mammalian aging has been directly associated to a decreased autophagy activity. Under AL conditions, loss of SirT7 seems to promote an up-regulation of autophagy (Fig. 6, E and F), which may suggest that SirT7 plays an opposite role in autophagy in the absence of stress. Many genomic structural alterations caused by aging in mouse livers seem to take place in regions 50 to 500 kb upstream and downstream of the TSS of genes, which resembles the SirT7 binding profile upon GS. Some of the factors regulated by SirT7, such as CTGF or FoxA2, have been directly implicated in CR signaling and aging (46, 47). Further work is required to assess the contribution of these targets to the accelerated aging phenotype associated with SirT7. Our work not only offers a new insight into sirtuin duality and highlights the crucial role of sirtuins in the epigenetic regulation of metabolism and CR signaling but also provides a broader perspective on the dynamics and regulation of sirtuin function.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Animal studies, CR, and studies**

For the CR procedure, 8-week-old male Wt and SirT7−/− animals (27) were housed in individual cages and randomly allocated into AL or CR group. The average of food eaten AL for each mouse was determined by weighing the remaining food on a daily basis for 1 week. The CR regimen was applied by feeding the animals with 70% of the calculated amount daily for 8 weeks. All animal experiments were approved by the institute’s Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee and the local authorities (RP Darmstadt, Germany) and performed in accordance with the *Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals* published by the National Institutes of Health (NIH).

**SirT7 computational molecular model**

For in silico SirT7 structural model, an initial homology model was constructed for human SirT7 using the coordinates of the determined x-ray crystal structure of human SirT6 (Protein Data Bank ID: 5M6F; 1.87 Å resolution, 42% of sequence identity). Modeller 9.12 was used to model the nondetermined regions of the protein. The side chain conformations for nonconserved residues were positioned according to SCWRL4 (Krivov). The protein was embedded in a TIP3P water box. The initial system was energy-minimized and subjected to 10 ns of molecular dynamics equilibration and, lastly, to a production stage extending to 150 ns. All the simulations were performed with GROMACS 5.0 simulation package. The molecular model of SirT7 was used as the initial model to introduce H187Y and N189A mutations. For comparison purposes, SirT1 to SirT5 structures were superimposed to SirT6 structure and SirT7 structural model using PyMOL (The PyMOL Molecular Graphics System, version 1.8, Schrödinger LLC.).

**In vitro enzymatic assays**

ADP-ribosylation assays were performed in a total volume of 50 μl in 50 mM tris-HCl (pH 7.8), 1 mM MgCl2, 0.3 mM dithiothreitol (DTT), 1 μM unlabeled NAD+, and 8 μCi of [153P]-NAD+ (800 Ci/mmol; PerkinElmer) incubated with 3 to 5 μg of recombinant protein from *Escherichia coli* (BL21) or purified from HEK293F cells. The reactions were incubated at least for 3 hours at 37°C. Reactions were stopped by adding 5× Laemmli sample buffer (supplemented with 10% 2-mercaptoethanol) to a final concentration of 1× and then separated by SDS–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. Following gel electrophoresis,
proteins were then transferred from gel to PVDF membrane and then stained with Coomassie Brilliant Blue (Sigma-Aldrich). The incorporation of ADP-riboseylation into proteins was visualized by autoradiography.

Deacetylation assays for SirT6 were performed in 50 mM tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 150 mM NaCl, and 1 mM MgCl2 with 2 mM NAD+ (Sigma-Aldrich) in the presence of 3 µg of rSirT6 and 1 µg of semi-synthetic recombinant mononucleosomes containing acetyl-lysine at position 18 of histone H3 (H3K18ac dNuc, EpiCypher) for 5 hours at 30°C. In the case of SirT7, reactions were performed in 50 mM tris-HCl (pH 7.8), 1 mM MgCl2, and 0.3 mM DTT with 5 mM NAD+ with 3 to 5 µg of SirT7 and ~0.5 µg of hyperacetylated histone substrates for 3 hours at 37°C. The acetylation status was determined by Western blot analysis using specific antibodies.

Recombinant protein purification
Human cDNAs of SirT6 or SirT7 were cloned into the pET-30b expression vector (Novagen, Germany), containing a hexahistidine tag at the C terminus. The constructs were expressed in E. coli BL21 (DE3) grown at 37°C unit optical density at 600 nm of 0.5 and then induced with 1 mM isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside for 4 hours. Harvested cells were resuspended in lysis buffer [20 mM Hepes (pH 7.9), 500 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, 1 mM EDTA (pH 8.0), 0.1% NP-40, and 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF)] and lysed by sonication. After centrifugation at 12,000g at 4°C for 1 hour, the cleared lysates were loaded onto Ni–nitrilotriacetic acid agarose resin (Qiagen) by gravity flow. After several washes with lysis buffer and BC500 [20 mM tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 500 mM KCl, 10% glycerol, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT, 0.1% Nonidet P-40, and 0.2 mM PMSF], rSirT7 was eluted using 100 mM imidazole and subsequently dialyzed in BC100. Recombinant proteins were then kept at −80°C.

Preparation of recombinant histones were performed from E. coli BL21 (DE3) cells as described elsewhere (48). Histone octamers were concentrated to 3 to 15 mg/ml, adjusted to 50% (v/v) glycerol, and stored at −80°C.

Cells transfections and treatments
MEFs derived from Wt and SirT7 KO mice were generated from day 13.5 embryos by standard methods. For retroviral infection of day 13.5 embryos by standard methods. For retroviral infection of Wt and SirT7 KO mice were generated from OriGene (Cambridge, MA, USA); pSUPER retro puro mH2A1 shRNA (#30517) and pSUPER retro puro Scr shRNA (#30520). HEK293F cells were transiently transfected with plasmids using 3 µl of polyethylenimine for 5 min at 4°C, protein extracts were incubated with anti-SirT7 cross-linked beads by gently rotating at 4°C overnight. After centrifugation at 17,000g for 5 min at 4°C, protein extracts were incubated with anti-SirT7 cross-linked beads by gently rotating at 4°C overnight. After three washes with ice-cold BC350 and 0.075% NP-40, SirT7 and SirT7-interacting proteins were eluted from the resin with 0.2 M glycine (pH 2.3). Coimmunoprecipitation experiments between SirT7 WT and N189A (preincubated ±NAD+) with nuclear extracts from HEK293F SirT7 KO cells (CRISPR-Cas9–mediated KO of SIRT7) expressing hemagglutinin (HA)–mH2A1.1 WT or G224E were performed in BC300. After three washes with ice-cold BC350 and 0.075% NP-40, proteins were eluted from the resin with 0.2 M glycine (pH 2.3). Densitometric analysis of the Western blots was performed with ImageJ software.

The following antibodies were used for Western blotting: anti-Flag M2 Antibody (F1804, Sigma-Aldrich), anti-fibrillarin (B-1, Sc-166001, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), anti-H3 (#9715, Cell Signaling Technology), anti-tubulin (ab-6160, Abcam), anti-glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (#2118, Cell Signaling Technology), anti-SirT7 (#5360, Cell Signaling Technology), anti-SirT7 (sc-365344, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), anti-mH2A1.1 (D5F6N, Cell Signaling Technology), anti-mH2A1.2 (#4827, Cell Signaling Technology), anti-mH2A1 (ab37264, Abcam), anti-mH2A.2 (gift from M.B.), anti-histone H2A (#12349, Cell Signaling Technology), anti-HA (H6908, Sigma-Aldrich), anti-myC (#2276, Cell Signaling Technology), anti-H3K18ac (#9675, Cell Signaling Technology), anti-H3K27me3 (#9733, Cell Signaling Technology), anti-LC3B (L7543, Sigma-Aldrich), anti–Beclin-1 (#3738, Cell Signaling Technology), and anti–pan–ADP-ribose binding reagent (MABE1016, Millipore).
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**Subcellular fractionation**

To determine the amount of SirT7 proteins in the cytoplasm, nucleoplasm, and tight chromatin fraction, NIH3T3 cells were transfected with SirT7-HA WT, H187Y, N189Q, and N189A. Cytoplasmic and nucleoplasm fraction were obtained with the Dignam protocol extraction (fractions A and C), and the remaining chromatin fraction was then solubilized in 1× Laemmli buffer and sonicated with Branson 250 sonicator.

Preparation of subcellular fractions from the mouse liver tissue was prepared by using a Polytron homogenizer in buffer H [20 mM tris-HCl (pH 7.4), 0.25 mM sucrose, 3 mM CaCl2, and Complete Protease Inhibitor]. The nuclei were washed three times by centrifugation at 300g at 4°C for 5 min. The nuclear pellet was resuspended by vortexing for 5 s in buffer C and incubated for 10 min on ice and then centrifuged at 17,000g at 4°C for 10 min. The resultant pellet considered as the chromatin fraction was solubilized in 1× Laemmli buffer and then sonicated, whereas the supernatant was considered as the nucleoplasmic fraction.

**Mass spectrometric analysis**

Protein extracts were precipitated with cold acetone (o/n, −20°C), and the protein pellets were resuspended in 20 μl of 200 mM ammonium bicarbonate with 6 M urea solution. Samples were reduced with DTT (10 mM, 30°C, 60 min) and alkylated with chloroacetamide (20 mM, 25°C, 30 min). The samples were diluted with NH4HCO3 to reduce urea concentration below 1 M and digested with trypsin (1:10 enzyme:protein, 30°C, 16 hours; Promega). After digestion, the peptide mix was acidified with formic acid and then desalted with a MicroSpin C18 column (The Nest Group) before liquid chromatography–tandem MS (LC-MS/MS) analysis.

The peptide samples were analyzed using a Lumos Orbitrap mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, San Jose, CA, USA) coupled to an EASY-nLC 1000 [Thermo Fisher Scientific (Proxeon), Odense, Denmark]. Afterward, peptides were loaded directly onto the analytical column and were separated by reversed-phase chromatography using a 50-cm column with an inner diameter of 75 μm, packed with a 2-μm C18 particle spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, San Jose, CA, USA) and a binary solvent system of 0.1% formic acid in H2O (solvent A) and 0.1% formic acid in acetonitrile (solvent B). Chromatographic gradients started at 7% B with a flow rate of 300 nl/min and gradually increased to 22% B in 52 min, then to 32% B in 8 min, and to 95% B in 10 min. After each analysis, the column was washed for 10 min with 95% B.

The acquisition was performed in data-dependent acquisition mode, and full MS scans with 1 microscan at a resolution of 120,000 were used over a mass range of m/z 300 to 1500. Precursor automated gain control (AGC) was set to 2 × 105. Charge-state screening was enabled, and precursors with +2 to +5 charge states and intensities >5 × 104 were selected for MS/MS and fragmented as previously described (49). Briefly, precursors that exhibited more than two ADP-ribose fragment peak (136,0623, 250,0940, 348,0709, and 428,0372) after an initial high-energy collisional dissociation (HCD) fragmentation were submitted to a high-quality HCD fragmentation event (acquired in the Orbitrap with collision energy of 35% and a resolution of 120,000; AGC was set to 5 × 105; maximum injection time of 240 ms was used) and an electron-transfer/higher-energy collision dissociation MS/MS (the “use-calibrated charge-dependent parameter” option was selected, and it was acquired in the Orbitrap with a supplementary activation (SA) of 25% and a resolution of 120,000; AGC was set to 5 × 105; isolation window of 2 m/z and maximum injection time of 240 ms were used). Precursors masses selected in previous cycle fragmentation were excluded for further selection for 60 s, and the exclusion window was set at 10 parts per million (ppm). Analysis of SirT6WT/S56A in vitro assays and of SirT7 under stress conditions were performed using an Eclipse Orbitrap mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, San Jose, CA, USA) coupled to an EASY-nLC 1200 [Thermo Fisher Scientific (Proxeon), Odense, Denmark]. See more details in the Supplementary Materials related to the chromatography parameters and MS/MS acquisition method (Supplementary Materials and Methods).

Acquired spectra were analyzed using the PEAKS X+ software, which, as a default, performs de novo peptide sequencing before database searches, to improve the accuracy of the results. The data were searched against a Swiss-Prot human database (as of October 2019). Trypsin (specific, up to three missed cleavages allowed) was selected for database searches, and no enzyme was chosen in de novo searches (up to five candidates per spectrum reported). The maximal mass error was set to 10 ppm for precursor ions and 0.05 Da for product ions. Carbamidomethylation was selected as a fixed modification, and methionine oxidation and ADP-ribose (+541.0611 Da at C, D, E, H, K, N, Q, R, S, T, and Y) (50) were set as variable modifications. The maximal number of modifications per peptide was set to three. The false discovery rate was set to 0.05 for peptides.

**RNA isolation, cDNA synthesis, and real-time quantitative polymerase chain reactions**

Total RNA was purified from MEFs and liver using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). The cDNA was synthesized from 5 μg of total RNA with a Transcriptor First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Roche) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) was performed using the QuantStudio 5 Real-Time PCR System (Thermo Fisher Scientific) with SYBR Green PCR Master Mix of Applied Biosystems. Relative gene expression was analyzed in QuantStudio 5 software (Thermo Fisher Scientific), and values were normalized to the expression of RNF219 in the case of CR and GS treatments. Details of oligonucleotides are shown in table S4.

**Site-directed mutagenesis**

The different constructs harboring point mutations were cloned by site-directed mutagenesis on the basis of the QuikChange protocol (Stratagene). The positive clones selected were then verified by sequencing using a 3730 DNA Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA).

**Immunofluorescence**

SirT7−/− cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 7 min at room temperature and permeabilized for 5 min with buffer A [1% bovine serum albumin (BSA) and 0.5% Triton in PBS]. Cells were blocked with 1% BSA-PBS solution for 1 hour and further incubated with a rabbit anti-B23 antibody (1:100; Sc-6013-R, Santa Cruz Biotechnology) for 1 hour in buffer B (1% BSA and 0.2% Triton in PBS). Cells were washed three times 15 min each with PBS and stained with an Alexa Fluor 555 fluorophore–conjugated secondary antibody (1:200; A27039, Life Technologies). Fluorescence images were acquired with a Zeiss 710 confocal module coupled to an Axio Observer Z1 equipped with a 63× oil immersion objective. Image analysis was performed using ImageJ (NIH).
ChIP-seq and RNA-seq
For RNA-seq, total RNA from 10-cm dishes containing Wt and SirT7−/− exponentially growing MEFs was harvested using an RNeasy miniprep kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Samples were sent to the RUCDR, Infinite Biologies sequencing center (Rutgers University, NJ, USA) for RNA extraction, library preparation (Illumina TruSeq chemistry), and sequencing (2 × 100–base pair (bp) paired-end reads, >60 × 106 fragments per sample, Illumina HiSeq in rapid mode). Reads were demultiplexed, concatenated, and delivered as FASTQ files. Raw reads were assessed for quality with FastQC. Raw reads were trimmed with Trimmmomatic, aligned to the University of California Santa Cruz (UCSC) mm9 reference genome with HISAT2 (options: --dqa), and converted to sorted and indexed BAM format with SAMtools. StringTie (in –eA mode) was used to estimate transcript abundance using the UCSC mm9 reference GTF file (obtained from the Illumina iGenomes UCSC mm9 bundle). Differential expression was analyzed with DESeq2, allowing modeling terms for genotype, treatment, and batch.

ChIP was conducted as described before (20). Briefly, nuclear extracts from Wt and SirT7−/− cells were first obtained, and chromatin was prepared by sonication to an average fragment size of 300 bp. For SirT7 ChIP, chromatin was diluted eight times in dilution buffer, and for macro-H2A and H3K27m3 ChIP, chromatin was diluted five times. Antibodies were conjugated to beads, and ChIP was conducted overnight at 4°C on a rotator. After washes and reversal of cross-links, ChIP libraries were prepared using a ThruPLEX DNA-protocol. ChIP libraries were then size-selected with a seq library preparation kit (Rubicon Genomics) following the manufacturer’s protocol. ChIP libraries were then size-selected with Pippin Prep (Sage Science) for a final library fragment size of 350 to 400 bp and quantified with QAPA qPCR. ChIP libraries were then sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq 2500 with 2 × 100 or 2 × 75 bp paired-end reads to a depth of >20 × 106 fragments per sample at the RUCDR, Infinite Biologies sequencing center (Rutgers University, NJ, USA). Reads were demultiplexed, concatenated, and delivered as FASTQ files.

Raw read quality was first assessed with FastQC version 0.11.3, followed by adapter clipping and quality trimming with Trimmomatic version 0.36. Reads were then aligned to the mouse (UCSC mm9) reference genome with Bowtie with options −n 2 −k 10 and converted to BAM format with SAMtools. ChIP library, and alignment quality was evaluated by PCR bottleneck coefficient (PBC), fraction of reads in peaks (FRIP), insert size, and strand cross-correlation analysis. All samples passed the ENCODE quality standards for ChIP-seq studies. Reads per kilobase per million mapped reads–normalized signal files were generated from BAM data using deepTools version 2.4.2 using a bin size of 10 bp.

SirT7 peaks were called in each biological replicate independently using MACS version 1.4.2 (−keep-dup auto −bw 450 −pvalue 0.05). Reproducibility of individual peaks was assessed with irreproducible discovery rate (IDR) (version 2.0.2), and the peaks passing a −log10 (global IDR) threshold of 1.3 across biological replicates were kept. SirT7-associated genes were called using the GREAT tool with IDR-passing peaks and the association rule of “Basal plus extension” with parameters Proximal = 5.0 kb upstream, 1.0 kb downstream, plus Distal up to 10.0 kb, including curated regulatory domains. For mH2A1.1 and H3K27me3 ChIP-seq datasets, we used ChromHM to segment the genome into four learned states. First, BAM files for ChIP and corresponding INPUT samples were binarized at a resolution of 200-bp bins. Binarized data were submitted to the ChromHMM LearnModel program and allowed to learn four states. The learned model showed posterior enrichment for the following states: U1, no enrichment; U2, mH2A1 enrichment; U3, H3K27me3 enrichment; and U4, both mH2A1 and H3K27me3 enrichment. mH2A1-enriched regions were considered as the union of regions identified as states U2 and U4. mH2A1-enriched genes were called using the GREAT tool with mH2A1 regions as input with the association rule of “single nearest gene” within 10 kb, including curated regulatory domains. Chromatin state transitions induced by GS treatment were computed relative to NT for each genotype. Briefly, we identified 200-bp bins in GS with differing state calls than NT and recorded the initial state (that occurring in the NT) and the final state (that occurring in the GS). We then took the subset of transition sites at the intersection of gene lists (±3 kb upstream and downstream of each gene). We quantified transition occupancy by counting the number of base pairs occupied by each transition type and normalized this by the total number of base pairs that underwent any transition. To ascertain the genomic context of these transitions, we submitted each transition type to the CEAS tool.

Statistical analysis
The represented values show means of at least three or more independent experiments (n ≥ 3 with error bars representing SEM unless otherwise specified). Data were analyzed using a two-tailed Student’s t test (ADP-ribosylation and deacetylation assays and RT-qPCRs from rescue experiments in MEFs), and for multiple comparisons (RT-qPCRs in mice under caloric restriction), the value was calculated using Microsoft Excel software by two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA).

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS
Supplementary material for this article is available at http://advances.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/6/30/eaaz2590/DC1
View/request a protocol for this paper from Bio-protocol.
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