Effects of one haustorium-inducing quinone DMBQ on growth and development of root hemiparasitic plant Monochasma savatieri
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ABSTRACT: Monochasma savatieri Franch. ex Maxim is a perennial, parasitic herb used in traditional Chinese medicine and its wild resources have decreased sharply in recent years due to destructively harvesting and habitat destruction. Haustorium formation is a key event of parasites, but the concentrations of haustorium-inducing factors vary with species and cultivation conditions. In this study, we investigated the effects of the 2,6-dimethoxy-p-benzoquinone (DMBQ) concentration and cultivation density on the growth traits, haustorium formation and biomass of M. savatieri in the absence of a host plant. The results showed that both the DMBQ concentration and cultivation density regulated growth traits, haustorium formation and biomass in M. savatieri. The number of haustoria was significantly positively correlated with seedling height, maximum root length, the number of root tips and total dry weight. Membership function analysis revealed an overall greater increase in growth traits, haustorium formation and biomass when M. savatieri was treated with 10 μmol·L−1 DMBQ and grew solitarily. These results offer an understanding of growth in M. savatieri influenced by the DMBQ concentration and cultivation density, which may aid in the establishment of a comprehensive cultivation system for M. savatieri or similar plants.
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INTRODUCTION

Parasitic plant lineages are found in 28 families and include approximately 4,500 species, representing 1% of dicotyledonous angiosperm species (HEIDE-JØRGENSEN, 2013). Green autotrophic plants produce carbohydrates by photosynthesis, while parasitic plants have developed a distinctive heterotrophic lifestyle in which they benefit from nutrients and water provided by their hosts (YOSHIDA et al., 2016).

Hosts usually have decisive effects on the growth and development of parasitic plants. In natural conditions, seedlings of Cordylanthus would reach maturity only when they are in contact with appropriate host roots (CHUANG et al.,...
1971). *Imperata cylindrica*, *Prunella vulgaris*, and *Chrysanthemum indicum* are more efficient in increasing the biomass of pot-cultured *Thesium chinense* than the other hosts (GUO et al., 2010). However, without attachment to a suitable host, the seedling development of *Aureolaria pedicularia* and *A. grandiflora* is halted in both culture and nature (MUSSELMAN, 1969). When grown without a host, *Nuytsia floribunda* shows a greater plant dry weight than it does in association with an unsuitable host (CALLADINE et al., 2000).

All parasitic plants share a common multicellular organ called the haustorium, which establishes direct host-parasite interactions with host plants, including host attachment, tissue penetration, vasculature connection, and material transfer (YOSHIDA et al., 2012). The haustorium dynamically changes its structure and function during the interactions between parasitic plants and host plants. Early in the process of parasitization, the haustoria establish physical connections with each other and invade the hosts. Later in the process, vascular cells develop in the haustoria, which aid in extracting water and carbohydrates from the host (YOSHIDA et al., 2012).

The formation of haustoria can be induced in response to chemicals exuded by the host. These host-derived chemicals are referred to as haustorium-inducing factors (HIFs), which include quinones, hydroquinones, phenolic acids, and flavonoids (CHANG et al., 1986; ALBRECHT et al., 1999). Among all these HIFs, 2,6-dimethoxy-p-benzoquinone (DMBQ) was the first HIF that was isolated directly from host roots (CHANG et al., 1986). As a product of lignin degradation, DMBQ is widely distributed in the plant kingdom (WESTWOOD et al., 2010). Further studies on the biogenesis, activity, and recognition of chemical signaling by DMBQ have revealed its involvement in the first steps of the haustorium developmental pathway in several parasitic plants (KIM et al., 1998; KEYES et al., 2000; BANDARANAYAKE et al., 2010). In addition, DMBQ is commonly utilized to initiate haustorium development in different hemiparasitic plants due to its validity and commercial availability. Accumulating evidence has shown that the optimal DMBQ concentrations inducing haustorium formation vary with hemiparasitic species and cultivation conditions (TOMILOV et al., 2005; NGO et al., 2013; CUI et al., 2016).

*Monochasma savatieri* Franch. ex Maxim is a root hemiparasite belonging to the Orobanchaceae and is only distributed in Southeast China and Kyushu (Amakusa Islands) of Japan (YAMAZAKI, 1993; HONG et al., 1998). In the wild, *M. savatieri* grows well on wet and sunny hills, and a total of 27 host species parasitized by *M. savatieri* have been identified (ZHANG et al., 2015). Activities such as antioxidant, antiviral, antibacterial, and anti-inflammatory properties are derived from different bioactive compounds produced by *M. savatieri* (LI et al., 2012b; LIU et al., 2013; SHI et al., 2013). *M. savatieri* has long been listed as an endangered and threatened species in Japan (ENVIRONMENT AGENCY of JAPAN, 2000). According to our survey conducted in 2016, wild *M. savatieri* resources have declined sharply in China due to habitat destruction and over exploitation (data not shown). Although there is an urgent need to develop an artificial cultivation system for *M. savatieri*, there are only two reports on its tissue culture (YANG, 2009; ZHANG et al., 2017). These studies ignored (or were not aware of) the impacts on haustorium formation, which is critical to successful cultivation of *M. savatieri*.

Given the lack of artificial cultivation methods associated with haustorium formation, we designed this study to understand the growth responses of *M. savatieri* to the DMBQ concentration and cultivation density. A better understanding of growth and haustorium formation in *M. savatieri* will contribute to its successful cultivation and lay a foundation for further studies of *M. savatieri* or similar plants.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Materials and experimental design**

The seed capsules of *M. savatieri* were collected in May 2017 from Yongfeng, Jiangxi Province, China, and then exposed to air indoors, after which they were stored in envelopes at 4 °C until use. DMBQ was obtained from Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc. (Waltham, USA). Gibberellin was obtained from Shanghai Riyong Biological Technology Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). Mercuric chloride was obtained from Chengdu Jinshan Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. (Chengdu, China). KOH was purchased from Xilong Scientific Co., Ltd. (Shantou, China). Safranin O solution was purchased from Shanghai Yuanye Bio-Technology Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). Chloral hydrate was purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). Phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and glycerol were purchased from Beijing Solarbio Science & Technology Co., Ltd. (Beijing, China). A stock solution of DMBQ (2000 μmol·L⁻¹) was stored at -20 °C for further use.
Test DMBQ solutions of 0.1 to 1000 μmol·L⁻¹ were prepared in distilled water just before use.

A comprehensive test was the basis of the experimental design. In this experiment, the DMBQ concentration and cultivation density were included to determine the optimal DMBQ concentration and cultivation density in *M. savatieri*. Six DMBQ concentrations and three density gradients were used for the study: (1) 0, 0.1, 1, 10, 100, and 1000 μmol·L⁻¹ DMBQ and (2) one seedling, two seedlings or four seedlings in each cell. In total, there were eighteen treatments, and each treatment was replicated for three times.

**Haustorium induction and growth conditions**

To promote germination, *M. savatieri* seeds were surface sterilized in 0.1% (w/w) mercuric chloride for 8 min, rinsed thoroughly with sterile deionized water and soaked in an 800 mg·L⁻¹ gibberellin solution for 24 h. The seeds were then sown in individual cells of 105-cell seed starter trays (54 cm long, 28 cm wide, and 5 cm deep), which contained 30 g of nutritive soil and fine sand (1:2, v/v), in March 17, 2018. This soil substrate was determined in a previous study carried out in our laboratory and showed similar soil properties to the soil types found in the natural habitat of *M. savatieri*. The substrate mixture was autoclaved at 121 °C for 1 h. The autoclaved substrate mixture contained 70.95 mg·kg⁻¹ alkali-hydrolyzable nitrogen, 7.65 mg·kg⁻¹ available phosphorus and 173.45 mg·kg⁻¹ available potassium. The pH was 5.5. The seed starter trays were placed in a 25 °C growth chamber with 12 h of light and 12 h of dark according to the conditions described previously (CHEN et al., 2018).

Four weeks after sowing (day 28), at the two-leaf stage, seedlings of the same height (approximately 5 mm) were thinned to the corresponding density per cell. One week later (day 35), 0.1, 1, 10, 100, and 1000 μmol·L⁻¹ DMBQ solutions were applied (3 mL per cell) to the appropriate cells. Control (0 μmol·L⁻¹ DMBQ) seedlings were treated with 3 mL of distilled water. After two weeks (day 49), equal volumes of five DMBQ solutions and distilled water were applied to the *M. savatieri* roots again. The seed starter trays were watered to maintain the same water content by adding an equal volume of distilled water every other day, and were fully randomized and re-randomized at each watering to reduce position effects.

**Determination of plant growth**

Thirteen weeks after sowing (day 91), the growth of *M. savatieri* was recorded by harvesting plants. At harvest, the whole plants of *M. savatieri* plants in each cell were carefully dug up together and then washed thoroughly in running water. The plants were placed in round Petri dishes containing distilled water, and seedling height (SH), maximum root length (RL), the number of leaves (L) and root tips (RT) were determined. Leaf morphological indexes including leaf length (LL), leaf width (LW) and leaf area (LA) were measured using Image J (version 1.48; National Institutes of Health, USA). The total dry weight (DW) was determined after oven drying at 80 °C for 72 h.

To facilitate the recognition of haustoria, whole roots were cleared for 16 h in clearing solution (2.5 g·mL⁻¹ chloral hydrate and 50% [v/v] glycerol) as described previously with minor modifications (CUI et al., 2016). Haustoria were recognized based on the following criteria. (1) The haustoria are hemispherical or globular in shape due to the swelling of the haustorium-forming site in the root (YOSHIDA et al., 2016). (2) The haustoria do not rupture the parasite epidermis (TOMILOV et al., 2005). The number of haustoria (H) was counted with a bright-field microscope (Leica DM6B). For the examination of xylem bridge formation by haustoria in self-parasitism, the sampled roots were processed according to the method of Cui (2016) with slight modification. After clearing and staining, the roots were soaked in a clearing solution for 1 h.

**Statistical analysis**

Univariate analysis of variance (ANOVA) of the data was performed with the aid of IBM Statistical Product and Service Solutions (SPSS) software (version 22, IBM Inc., USA) for the data. Duncan’s multiple range tests were used for the comparison of means. In addition, Pearson’s correlation coefficients were determined to understand the relationships between the tested indicators in *M. savatieri*. The fuzzy membership function (SHENG et al., 2014) was utilized to determine the most advantageous experimental approach when the goal is to understand the comprehensive effects of different treatments. Membership function values R(Xi) were calculated as follows:

\[ R(X_i) = \frac{X_i - X_{min}}{X_{max} - X_{min}} \]

In the formula, Xᵢ indicates the measured value of an index; X₂ᵢ indicates the minimum value of the index among all the treatments; Xᵢmax indicates the maximum value of the index among all the treatments; and R(X) indicates the membership function values for the measured values of the corresponding indexes.
RESULTS

Seedling height and maximum root length in relation to DMBQ concentrations and cultivation densities

*M. savatieri* showed obvious seedling height and maximum root length responses to variations in DMBQ concentrations and cultivation densities (Table 1). Additionally, there was significant synergy between DMBQ concentrations and cultivation densities ($F=8.92$, $P<0.01$). On average, the seedling height and maximum root length of *M. savatieri* were 8.9 mm and 4.45 cm, respectively (Table 2), at 13 weeks after sowing, which indicated the characteristic of slow long-term growth in the seedling stage. Compared with the control, treatments with 1 to 10 μmol·L$^{-1}$ DMBQ significantly increased seedling height, independent of the number of co-cultured *M. savatieri*, but the strongest effect was observed when plants grew solitarily (Table 2). In the control and the treatments with high concentrations of DMBQ (100 to 1000 μmol·L$^{-1}$), seedling height was significantly higher at a moderate density (two plants per cell) than at other densities.

For root development, the density treatment involving one plant resulted in better-developed roots under most DMBQ regimes, including 0.1, 1, 10, and 1000 μmol·L$^{-1}$ DMBQ. Treatment with 10 μmol·L$^{-1}$ DMBQ increased the maximum root length of *M. savatieri* the most when plants grew solitarily (Table 2). In contrast, the high cultivation density (four plants per cell) had negative effects on the maximum root length of *M. savatieri* at all DMBQ concentrations except for 1 μmol·L$^{-1}$ DMBQ, and the weakest root development was observed in the control.

Leaf indexes respond differentially to DMBQ concentrations and cultivation densities

Similar patterns of variations were observed regarding the number of leaves, total leaf length, total leaf width and total leaf area of *M. savatieri*, independent of the different treatment combinations (Figure 1). The number of leaves, total leaf length, total leaf width and total leaf area of *M. savatieri* first increased with an increasing DMBQ concentration and then showed a downward trend when plants grew at low or high densities (Figure 1). In addition, the results of variance analysis showed that both the DMBQ concentration and cultivation density had significant effects on the leaf indexes of *M. savatieri* (Table 1).

When plants grew solitarily, the number of leaves, total leaf length, total leaf width and total leaf area of *M. savatieri* were significantly greater ($P<0.05$) than those in the control, being increased by 49.93%, 64.15%, 44.73% and 70.12%, respectively. When this concentration was exceeded, the positive effects of DMBQ on leaf development decreased with increasing concentrations (Figure 1). Compared with the control, the total leaf length and total leaf area of the plants treated with 1000 μmol·L$^{-1}$ DMBQ were decreased by 24.70% and 29.41%, respectively, when plants grew at a moderate

| Variates          | DMBQ concentration | Plant density | DMBQ concentration × Cultivation density |
|-------------------|--------------------|---------------|-----------------------------------------|
| Seedling height   | 55.27**            | 19.51**       | 8.92**                                  |
| Maximum root length | 20.92**             | 53.46**       | 10.91**                                 |
| No. of leaves     | 9.44**             | 26.59**       | 0.99                                    |
| Total leaf length | 25.98**            | 158.91**      | 9.35**                                  |
| Total leaf width  | 20.91**            | 147.47**      | 3.09**                                  |
| Total leaf area   | 20.86**            | 80.06**       | 3.79**                                  |
| No. of root tips  | 29.03**            | 56.68**       | 13.02**                                 |
| No. of haustoria  | 60.25**            | 64.76**       | 10.47**                                 |
| Total dry weight  | 27.74**            | 103.39**      | 1.29                                    |

**indicates following level of significance: $P<0.01$. 

Ciência Rural, v.50, n.3, 2020.
Effects of one haustorium-inducing quinone DMBQ on growth and development of root hemiparasitic plant Monochasma savatieri.

Table 2 - Variations in the seedling height and maximum root length of M. savatieri grown in six DMBQ concentrations at three cultivation densities.

| DMBQ concentration (μmol·L⁻¹) | Seedling height (mm) | Maximum root length (cm) |
|--------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|
|                                | One plant | Two plants | Four plants | One plant | Two plants | Four plants |
| 0                              | 6.6±0.3 j  | 8.3±0.2 de  | 7.2±0.1 hij | 3.6±0.2 bcd | 3.5±0.2 cde | 2.7±0.1 g   |
| 0.1                             | 9.5±0.3 ab | 9.4±0.3 abc | 7.8±0.4 efgh | 4.3±0.1 a  | 4.0±0.2 b  | 3.5±0.2 cd  |
| 1                               | 9.9±0.27 a | 9.1±0.2 bc  | 8.8±0.5 cd  | 3.3±0.2 de | 3.7±0.3 c  | 3.9±0.2 b   |
| 10                              | 9.4±0.4 abc | 9.0±0.5 bc  | 8.1±0.2 ef  | 4.5±0.3 a  | 4.4±0.2 a  | 3.2±0.1 ef  |
| 100                             | 7.3±0.5 hi  | 8.1±0.5 efg | 7.6±0.6 fg  | 3.4±0.1 cd | 3.8±0.0 bc | 3.0±0.0 f   |
| 1000                            | 6.8±0.3 ij  | 7.5±0.4 fg h| 7.4±0.2 ghi | 4.0±0.2 b  | 3.6±0.3 cd | 3.3±0.2 de  |

Data are presented as the mean± s.e. of three replicates. Different letters for the same indicator indicate a significant difference (P<0.05).

density (two plants per cell), which indicated that an excessive DMBQ concentration is not conductive to the leaf development of M. savatieri.

Root and haustorium formation under different DMBQ concentrations and cultivation densities

During seed germination and seedling growth (i.e., in a period of 4 months), M. savatieri presented fibrous root systems associated with DMBQ concentrations (Figure 4). As a hemiparasite, M. savatieri usually forms haustoria on lateral roots, and we determined the number of root tips in the present study. In comparison with the control, a moderate concentration of DMBQ (10 μmol·L⁻¹) increased the number of root tips independent of the cultivation density, but the greatest increase (58.89%) was observed when M. savatieri grew solitarily (Figure 2a). When plants grew at a low, moderate and high density, 1000 μmol·L⁻¹ DMBQ decreased the numbers of root tips by 15.32%, 18.51% and 0.89%, respectively. With regard to treatments with 100 and 10 μmol·L⁻¹ DMBQ, the number of root tips was decreased with an increasing cultivation density, independent of the DMBQ concentration (Figure 3). Plants grown at a high density (four plants per cell) first showed an increase and then showed a downward trend (Figure 2b).

Dry weight under different DMBQ concentrations and cultivation densities

The results of variance analysis showed that both the DMBQ concentration and cultivation density had significant effects on the dry weight of M. savatieri (Table 1). We observed that the total dry weight of M. savatieri decreased with an increasing cultivation density, independent of the DMBQ concentration (Figure 3). Plants grown solitarily accumulated the maximum biomass, and plants grown at a high density (four plants per cell) accumulated significantly lower biomass (P<0.05). When grown at the same density, the DMBQ concentration increased the accumulation of biomass in M. savatieri, with the peak biomass occurring at 1 μmol·L⁻¹ DMBQ (Figure 3). However, as the DMBQ concentration increased further, this positive effect on the dry weight of M. savatieri was weakened, and the plants grown with 1000 μmol·L⁻¹ DMBQ ultimately accumulated the minimum biomass.

Correlation analysis

The most significantly positive correlations were found between leaf indexes (L, LL, LW, LA), which were all significantly positively correlated with
Figure 1 - Variations in the number of leaves (a), total leaf length (b), total leaf width (c) and total leaf area (d) of *M. savatieri* grown in six DMBQ concentrations at three cultivation densities. Bars with different letters indicate a significant difference (P<0.05).
SH, RT and DW, suggesting that the leaf status played an important role in M. savatieri development and biomass accumulation (Table 3). The values of DW were significantly positively correlated with those of all the other parameters (SH, RL, L, LL, LW, LA, RT, H), which indicated the close connection between these parameters.

The values of H were significantly positively correlated with those of SH, RL, RT and DW (Table 3). This result suggested that a possible intimacy relationship exists between haustorium formation and biomass accumulation in M. savatieri. Regarding the further verification of specific causality, it will be investigated in our future studies.

Membership function analysis

Compared with the control, M. savatieri treated with 0.1, 1 and 10 μmol·L⁻¹ DMBQ showed better comprehensive performance under all tested cultivation densities. Moreover, M. savatieri supplemented with the same concentration of DMBQ presented the maximum membership function values when grown solitarily, which suggested that the DMBQ concentration and cultivation density had different effects on the growth and development of M. savatieri (Table 3, Table 4). Taken together, the membership function value was the greatest when M. savatieri was treated with 10 μmol·L⁻¹ DMBQ and grew solitarily, which indicated that this was the best treatment combination in this evaluation system (Table 4).

DISCUSSION

In this study, we observed significant differences in the growth responses of M. savatieri between the different DMBQ concentration treatments (Table 1, Table 4). Studies on haustorium induction with DMBQ have been reported in many hemiparasitic
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plants; however, the optimal concentrations vary with species and cultivation conditions. In the hemiparasitic plant *Phtheirospermum japonicum*, a 10 μmol·L\(^{-1}\) DMBQ solution has been dropped onto its roots to induce haustoria (ISHIDA et al., 2016). In contrast, 10 or 100 μmol·L\(^{-1}\) DMBQ is commonly used to induce haustoria in *Triphysaria versicolor* (NGO et al., 2013). Similarly, our data showed that compared with the control, 10 μmol·L\(^{-1}\) DMBQ significantly promoted *M. savatieri* growth by increasing seedling height, maximum root length (Table 2), total leaf area (Figure 1), haustorium formation (Figure 2), and total dry weight (Figure 3). However, suppression of growth occurs in plants growing together with unsuitable hosts happens in many hemiparasitic species, such as *Nuytsia floribunda* (CALLADINE et al., 2000) and *T. chinense* (GUO et al., 2010). From a practical perspective, the promotion effects of an optimal DMBQ concentration on growth provide good potential for the artificial cultivation of *M. savatieri*. *M. savatieri* develops a vestigial root system similar to other root hemiparasites, limiting

![Figure 3 - Variations in the total dry weight of *M. savatieri* grown in six DMBQ concentrations at three cultivation densities. Bars with different letters indicate a significant difference (P<0.05).](image-url)

Table 3 - Correlation analyses of seedling height (SH), maximum root length (RL), the number of leaves (L), total leaf length (LL), total leaf width (LW), total leaf area (LA), the number of root tips (RT), the number of haustoria (H) and total dry weight (DW) in *M. savatieri*.

|       | SH   | RL   | L    | LL   | LW   | LA   | RT   | H    | DW   |
|-------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| SH    | 1    |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| RL    | 0.43*| 1    |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| L     | 0.64**| 0.41**| 1    |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| LL    | 0.59**| 0.40**| 0.82**| 1    |      |      |      |      |      |
| LW    | 0.58**| 0.53**| 0.81**| 0.86**| 1    |      |      |      |      |
| LA    | 0.59**| 0.48**| 0.81**| 0.84**| 0.81**| 1    |      |      |      |
| RT    | 0.52**| 0.55**| 0.57**| 0.58**| 0.69**| 0.54**| 1    |      |      |
| H     | 0.58**| 0.46**| 0.26  | 0.06 | 0.15 | 0.20 | 0.27*| 1    |      |
| DW    | 0.67**| 0.54**| 0.81**| 0.81**| 0.86**| 0.83**| 0.62**| 0.28*| 1    |

* indicates following level of significance: 0.01<P<0.05.
** indicates following level of significance: P<0.01.
Effects of one haustorium-inducing quinone DMBQ on growth and development of root hemiparasitic plant *Monochasma savatieri*.
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The membership function values of seedling height (SH), maximum root length (RL), the number of leaves (L), total leaf length (LL), total leaf width (LW), total leaf area (LA), the number of root tips (RT), the number of haustoria (H) and total dry weight (DW) in *M. savatieri* under different DMBQ concentrations and cultivation density treatments.

| Treatments | SH   | RL   | L    | LL   | LW   | LA   | RT   | H    | DW    | Average membership function values | Rank |
|------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|-------------------------------------|------|
| DMBQ       |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |       |                                     |      |
| concentration |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |       | Cultivation density                |      |
| 0          | 0.09 | 0.51 | 0.26 | 0.06 | 0.41 | 0.33 | 0.34 | 0.43 | 0.38  | 0.31                               | 12   |
| 0.1        | 0.83 | 0.81 | 0.71 | 0.36 | 0.69 | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.61 | 0.59  | 0.63                               | 3    |
| 1          | 0.93 | 0.37 | 0.39 | 0.30 | 0.83 | 0.85 | 0.93 | 0.91 | 0.90  | 0.71                               | 2    |
| 10         | 0.81 | 0.88 | 0.94 | 0.76 | 0.71 | 0.74 | 0.71 | 0.82 | 0.61  | 0.78                               | 1    |
| 100        | 0.28 | 0.42 | 0.76 | 0.09 | 0.53 | 0.44 | 0.58 | 0.64 | 0.56  | 0.48                               | 7    |
| 1000       | 0.15 | 0.65 | 0.08 | 0.06 | 0.30 | 0.33 | 0.35 | 0.38 | 0.44  | 0.30                               | 13   |
| 0          | 0.52 | 0.45 | 0.36 | 0.33 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.56 | 0.30 | 0.50  | 0.42                               | 9    |
| 0.1        | 0.80 | 0.66 | 0.51 | 0.67 | 0.64 | 0.49 | 0.46 | 0.55 | 0.62  | 0.60                               | 5    |
| 1          | 0.72 | 0.54 | 0.29 | 0.52 | 0.66 | 0.54 | 0.59 | 0.50 | 0.57  | 0.55                               | 6    |
| 10         | 0.71 | 0.86 | 0.47 | 0.88 | 0.54 | 0.49 | 0.40 | 0.52 | 0.62  | 0.61                               | 4    |
| 100        | 0.46 | 0.57 | 0.26 | 0.55 | 0.51 | 0.38 | 0.19 | 0.43 | 0.30  | 0.41                               | 10   |
| 1000       | 0.33 | 0.49 | 0.13 | 0.30 | 0.23 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.25 | 0.25  | 0.28                               | 14   |
| 0          | 0.25 | 0.07 | 0.14 | 0.30 | 0.09 | 0.10 | 0.05 | 0.09 | 0.06  | 0.13                               | 18   |
| 0.1        | 0.40 | 0.46 | 0.07 | 0.61 | 0.25 | 0.26 | 0.18 | 0.17 | 0.44  | 0.31                               | 11   |
| 1          | 0.64 | 0.64 | 0.40 | 0.58 | 0.35 | 0.36 | 0.28 | 0.26 | 0.33  | 0.43                               | 8    |
| 10         | 0.48 | 0.29 | 0.33 | 0.42 | 0.19 | 0.31 | 0.12 | 0.20 | 0.19  | 0.28                               | 15   |
| 100        | 0.35 | 0.22 | 0.19 | 0.39 | 0.14 | 0.21 | 0.15 | 0.09 | 0.11  | 0.20                               | 16   |
| 1000       | 0.30 | 0.37 | 0.13 | 0.30 | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.09 | 0.07 | 0.05  | 0.16                               | 17   |

the free uptake of water and nutrients. Under DMBQ induction, *M. savatieri* established relatively larger leaf and root systems (Figure 4). This probably contributed to an increase in its photosynthetic and absorptive area, which was positively correlated with increasing growth (Table 3). Furthermore, DMBQ-induced haustoria are covered by a small number of haustorial hairs (Figure 5a), and haustorial hairs dramatically increase the surface area of epidermal cells in *P. japonicum* (CUI et al., 2016). Hence, we suggested that the occurrence of haustorial hairs with haustorium formation mays lightly extend the root system of *M. savatieri*, thus promoting the uptake of water and nutrients. These results were consistent with the observation that the suppression of haustorium formation is associated with significant growth depression in *Pedicularis tricolor* (LI et al., 2012a). Although the promotion of haustorium formation may be beneficial to the root hemiparasite, further investigation is required to determine the causality between haustorium number and biomass in DMBQ-treated *M. savatieri*.

*M. savatieri* can initiate haustorium formation without the direct application of DMBQ solution, which suggested that the haustorium-inducing factors derived from the host are not absolutely necessary for haustorium formation in *M. savatieri*. This result is similar to findings in *T. versicolor* and pot-cultured *P. tricolor*, which produce haustoria without a host (YODER, 1997; LI et al., 2012a). However, *Triphysaria eriantha* does not form haustoria under sterile water treatment (JAMISON et al., 2001). The variations in haustorium formation may be explained by the differences between hemiparasitic species. Evolutionarily higher obligate parasites only initiate haustoria development in the presence of induction signals provided by the hosts (WESTWOOD et al., 2010), whereas the results observed here may have been caused by the lower degree of evolution of *M. savatieri*. In addition, the haustoria produced under DMBQ induction did not form xylem (Figure 5a). Similarly, DMBQ-treated *P. japonicum* forms xylem-free haustoria (CUI et al., 2016). These results from plants of the same family suggested that the establishment of mature haustoria may require a combination of various host-derived signals and physical contacts. In the absence of a host.
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Effects of one haustorium-inducing quinone DMBQ on growth and development of root hemiparasitic plant _Monochasma savatieri._

Plant, _M. savatieri_ tends to allocate biomass slowly through the photosynthesis of leaves and nutrient uptake by the root system, allowing survival for at least 12 weeks. Without attaching to hosts, _T. chinense_ can survive for up to 2 months (LUO et al., 2010). This explains why despite the differences in the duration of the pre-parasitic phase and host dependency prior to the initiation of functional haustoria, all root-hemiparasitic plants are able to survive for some period of time (ranging from a few hours to several months) without a host (SEEL et al., 1993).

During cultivation, we observed that _M. savatieri_ formed haustoria between different plants of the same species or different lateral roots of the same plant, which can be considered self-parasitism (Figure 6). Within individual plants, the attachment of haustoria formed on lateral roots to either another lateral root (Figure 6c) or to another haustorium (Figure 6b) was also observed. In addition, _M. savatieri_ formed haustorium-haustorium associations between two individuals (Figure 6d).

In fact, self-parasitism of _M. savatieri_ has been observed only in wild plants (ZHANG et al., 2015). Here, we reported self-parasitism during the host-free artificial cultivation of _M. savatieri_ and observed a xylem-bridge connection in the haustoria under self-parasitism for the first time (Figure 5b). Self-parasitism or auto-parasitism has been reported previously in facultative root hemiparasites, and the capacity for and conditions of auto-parasitization vary between species (PIEHL, 1963; ATSATT et al., 1978). In _Cuscuta japonica_ cultured in vitro, far-red light can induce the occurrence of auto-parasitism (FURUHASHI et al., 1995). From an evolutionary perspective, a plant benefits little from parasitizing its own roots or those of other plants from the same species (WESTWOOD et al., 2010). Nevertheless, a small benefit is better than no gain, which may largely indicate an adaptive strategy of _M. savatieri_. In the wild, many seedlings of _M. savatieri_ cannot

**Figure 4** - Seedlings of _M. savatieri_ grown under different DMBQ concentrations. (a) Control. (b) 0.1 μmol•L⁻¹ DMBQ. (c) 1 μmol•L⁻¹ DMBQ. (d) 10 μmol•L⁻¹ DMBQ. (e) 100 μmol•L⁻¹ DMBQ. (f) 1000 μmol•L⁻¹ DMBQ. Bars = 1 cm.
successfully attach to a host within the necessary time period after seed germination. Therefore, we propose that the limited benefits obtained from the self-parasitism may be of great help to the survival of *M. savatieri* during the independent growth. Comparison of the number and structure of haustoria under self-parasitism and host interaction will provide more information in the near future.

The three tested cultivation densities showed different effects on the growth of *M. savatieri* under the same DMBQ concentration. When *M. savatieri* was grown at a high density (four plants per cell), the

![Figure 5](image1.png)  
**Figure 5** - DMBQ-induced haustoria in *M. savatieri*. (a) Globular haustorium covered by a small number of epidermal hairs. (b) Xylem bridges and intrusive cells (indicated by square brackets) are formed in haustoria under self-parasitism. Bars = 100 μm.

![Figure 6](image2.png)  
**Figure 6** - Self-parasitism of *M. savatieri*. (a) Different lateral roots of the same individual under self-parasitism (Bar = 5 mm). (b) Detailed view of self-parasitism in A showing the case where haustoria attach to haustoria (Bar = 2 mm). (c) Detailed view of the self-parasitism in A showing the case where haustoria attach to lateral roots of the same individual (Bar = 500 μm). (d) Haustoria of one plant attach to the haustoria of another plant (Bar = 1 mm).
values of the membership function were lower than that at the other two cultivation densities (Table 4), which suggested that the overall growth of plants at a dense cultivation density was inferior to that at an intermediate or low cultivation density. A dense cultivation density readily leads to intraspecific competition under restricted soil and space conditions, which is mainly affected by the competition for water, light and soil nutrients. This result is supported by the population distribution of wild resources; M. savatieri grows well in sunny and moist terrains as colonies and is sparsely distributed in terrains with lower light and dry conditions (ZHANG et al., 2015). Regarding the competition for light, decreased survivorship in dense vegetation has been reported in the case of Rhinanthus minor (HULST et al., 1987). M. savatieri produces many active compounds (LI et al., 2012b; LIU et al., 2013; SHI et al., 2013), which may have an allelopathic effect on its growth at a high density. Further studies to reveal the effects of these compounds and the underlying mechanisms using single-factor experiments or orthogonal experiments are needed to aid in establishing a comprehensive cultivation system for M. savatieri.

CONCLUSION

As wild resources of M. savatieri are decreasing sharply, there is an urgent need to artificially acclimatize and cultivate this species to avoid extinction. By exploring the differential effects of DMBQ concentrations and cultivation densities on the growth of M. savatieri, an appropriate combination of the DMBQ concentration (10 μmol·L−1) and cultivation density (one plant per cell) was determined, which resulted in better overall performance in terms of growth traits, numbers of haustoria and biomass. Hence, the selection of the optimum DMBQ concentration and cultivation density may be an effective measure for the cultivation of M. savatieri. Apart from haustorium induction, the role of HIFs in the issue of attachment to a host could also be further discussed in the future artificial cultivation of M. savatieri.
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