The benefic effect of Moroccan oil shale’s ash on blended cement (CMII)
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Abstract. Recycling of Tarfaya’s oil shales (OSA) was conducted for two essential reasons: First, to follow the change of their mineralogical composition with the heat treatment and second to investigate the possibility of their use in building materials. The mineral compositions and the mineralogical transformations of the oil shale during their thermal treatment were followed respectively by X-ray fluorescence (XRF), by X-ray diffraction analysis (XRD) and Fourier transform infrared (FTIR). The XRF showed that the mineral composition of the ash burned at 850°C is similar to that of Portland clinker and the DRX showed the presence of quartz, mayenite, gehlenite, anhydrite, hematite and lime as well as the existence of some characteristics phases of the clinker as C2S, C3A and C4AF. The Infrared spectrum showed the various functional groups that exist in OSA. The substitution of cement CMII by OSA increased the content of free lime and Blaine specific surface. The ash influenced beneficially the mechanical strength of the blended cement. The best results were obtained at all times of curing (2, 7, 28 and 91 Days) for the substitution of CMII by 7 and 10 % of OSA.

1 Introduction

The substitution of a part of cement by thermal power plants wastes as fly ash or oil shale’s ash provides multiple benefits. It reduces the consumption of non-renewable natural raw materials [1] and the energy due to the fabrication of clinker, limits greenhouse gas emissions (CO2), improves the quality of concrete and mortar by giving them a dense and compact microstructure, low permeability, low porosity and very good mechanical properties [2]. New technologies use OSA as additive or substitute to clinker or cement because of its availability as a by-product from the energy industry [3].

In this study, we are considering the use of Moroccan oil shale in cement. These shales are considered one of the largest energy and chemical resources in the world. They are estimated at several billions of oil barrels potentially exploitable. Their combustion for energy production will have a serious impact on the environment since one ton of oil shale produces 450-550 kilograms of ash [4, 5, 6]. Tarfaya’s OSA is very promising to be used as additive to cement because its chemical composition is similar to that of Portland clinker [7].

2 Materials and methods

The raw materials used in the preparation of blended cements in this study are clinker, limestone, gypsum and OSA. These materials were crushed separately by a jaw crusher (old generation Fritsh pulverisette 01-703 type) to minimize their size.

The clinker was recovered from the cooler outlet of the plant. Limestone and gypsum were respectively dried in an oven respectively at 110°C for four hours and at 40°C for two hours.

The oil shales used in this study were taken from Tarfaya deposit (south of Morocco). The samples were donated by the National Office of Hydrocarbons and Mines (ONHYM). They were extracted into blocks of several kilograms, crushed and finely ground using a disc Mixer mill (Siebtechnik). The product was burned at 850°C for 30 minutes in a tubular laboratory furnace under atmospheric pressure, cooled down and sealed in plastic bags until its use in this study.

Clinker and raw materials included in the preparation of cements have been provided and prepared according to the method of standardized plant Asment (CIMPOR) [8].

The cement CMII was prepared in the laboratory through the normal processes involved in the plant. 75% clinker, 22 % limestone and 3 % gypsum were ground by a ball mill to fine powder in order to avoid any influence of the fineness on the mechanical properties of mortars. The refusal to 80 nm of cement was fixed between 1.8 and 2.7%.
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In our case, the refusal of CMII was 2.6%. We varied the percentages of the shale ash in the cement by the substitution method (partial replacement of cement from 0% to 20% by the OSA). The oil shales and their ash were characterized by XRD, XRF. The Blaine surface areas were measured by the Blaine permeability apparatus. This measurement was based to determine the time required for a fixed volume of the air flow through a bed of powder. The density was determined by using Le Chatelier flask apparatus. This method was necessary to know the density as a part of the determination of the specific surface area.

The mechanical tests consisted in determining the resistance to compression and bending of prismatic specimens with dimension 4 x 4 x 16 (cm) according to the standards of the Asplant [8]. These specimens were molded from a plastic mass containing a batch of mortar cement portion (450g), three parts by weight of sand (1350g) and a half part of water (225g). The water / cement ratio was 0.5.

The mortar was prepared by mechanical mixing with a mixer (5L capacity, Vmin = 140 tr/min and Vmax = 285 tr/min), filled into a mold 4 x 4 x 16 and stored in a damp atmosphere (T = 20 ± 1°C and humidity ≤ 90%) for 24 hours. After removal, the specimens were stored under water (wet cabinet) until resistance tests. The mechanical tests were carried at 2, 7, 28 and 91 days.

The free lime (CaOfree) determination was accomplished by using chemical analysis (Ethylene glycol method).

Analyses of the chemical and the mineralogical compositions of the all materials used in this study were investigated using respectively X ray fluorescence with Philips spectrometer PW 16660 device and X-rays diffraction with a Siemens D 5000 diffractometer.

The IR spectrum was recorded with a FTIR Bruker model Vertex 70 spectrometer by using the KBr pellet technique in the infrared region of 4000–400 cm⁻¹.

Measurements of the expansion are performed using the needles of the normalized Le Chatelier according to the standard specifications [8]. The cement studied was tempered to normal consistency and introduced into small molds which were kept for 24 hours in water (20 ± 1°C). In order to observe the expansion of the cement in a short time, the reactions of hydration were accelerated by thermal treatment of the paste at 100°C for 3 hours.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Chemical analysis by X-ray fluorescence (XRF)

3.1.1 Chemical composition of raw materials

The XRF analyzes showed the chemical composition of different materials used in the preparation of the blended cement studied. Table 1 shows the composition of the oil shale heated at 850°C. The OSA is composed of major oxides, predominantly calcite and quartz with moderate amounts of Al₂O₃ and Fe₂O₃. This composition is almost similar to that of clinker CIMPOR whose composition is that of an ordinary Portland clinker. We also observed that the percentage of SO₃ is very high for OSA. The same chemical analysis was performed for the gypsum to examine its degree of purity. The result showed that the purity of gypsum (CaSO₄·2H₂O) used in this study was equal to 98.48%, while its content of SO₃ does not exceed 45.80%.

3.1.2 Chemical composition of different blended cements

In this study, we varied the percentage of the addition of OSA in CMII by the partial substitution method to study their effects on the physicochemical properties of mortar.

From Table 2, we observed that the contents of the various major oxides (SiO₂, CaO, Al₂O₃ and Fe₂O₃) in the blended cements were quite similar to that of CMII. These cements contained also minor oxides such as MgO (less than 5%), TiO₂, K₂O, P₂O₅, SO₃ (less than 4% [8]) and very low contents on MnO and Na₂O.

These minor oxides play an important role in the clinker burning process and they have an influence on the mechanical and physicochemical properties of cements. The metal ions of these oxides are present in different phases of the clinker and are therefore in the network of silicates, aluminates and aluminoferrites either replacing the four major oxides or in interstitial sites [9].

The free CaO content was between 1.064 and 2.3% (must be less than 2% according to the standard Moroccan 10.1.004–2003). This explained the large speed of combination of CaO with other components of the mixture including silica [10]. The increase of free CaO can modify the mechanical properties of the cement. The formation of Ca(OH)₂ can cause the swelling of the cement and consequently lower the mechanical resistances [11]. The addition of oil shale in the blended cement decreased the loss on ignition (L.O.I) which can improve the cement strength (the higher the L.O.I, the less strength the cement will develop (Table 2).

3.2 Chemical Analysis X-ray diffraction (XRD)

Figure 1 showed the X-ray diffraction analysis of raw oil shale (a) and the calcined oil shale at 850°C (b). It can be seen from the figure 1 that, in the raw material there was a predominance of calcite (CaCO₃), followed by quartz (SiO₂) and dolomite (CaMg(CO₃)₂). The latter occurred in small quantity regarding the small peak and the small content of MgO confirmed by of X-ray fluorescence (Table 1).

At 850 °C, we observed a complete decomposition of dolomite, the appearance of new phases as hemaitite (Fe₂O₃) and anhydrite CaSO₄.

The decomposition of the dolomite gave rise to MgO and CaO oxides. The later was also produced by the decomposition of calcite according to the equations [7]:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{CaMg(CO}_3\text{)}_2 & \rightarrow \text{CaCO}_3 + \text{MgO} + \text{CO}_2 \\
\text{CaCO}_3 & \rightarrow \text{CaO} + \text{CO}_2
\end{align*}
\]
Table 1. Chemical analysis of raw materials given by x-ray fluorescence.

| Oxides      | SiO₂ | Al₂O₃ | Fe₂O₃ | CaO   | MgO   | SO₃ | K₂O | TiO₂ | MnO | P₂O₅ | LOI |
|-------------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-----|-----|------|-----|------|-----|
| Limestone   | 11.14| 1.16  | 1.42  | 47.44 | 0.52  | 0.01| 0.15| 0.16 | 0.04| 0.29 | 37.51|
| Clinker CIMPOR | 20.11| 4.86  | 3.40  | 64.96 | 3.17  | 1.60| 0.88| 0.34 | 0.09| 0.25 | 0.22|
| OSA (850°C) | 21.64| 3.69  | 1.68  | 62.17 | 1.4   | 7.44| 0.72| 0.18 | 0.01| 0.43 | 2.49|

Table 2. Chemical analysis of different blended cement by x-ray fluorescence.

| Cements      | SiO₂ | Al₂O₃ | Fe₂O₃ | CaO   | MgO   | SO₃ | K₂O | TiO₂ | MnO | P₂O₅ | CaO free % | LOI |
|--------------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-----|-----|------|-----|------|------------|-----|
| 0% OSA       | 18.76| 4.48  | 2.91  | 58.05 | 2.91  | 2.06| 0.70| 0.33  | 0.08| 0.22 | 9.43       | 1.064|
| 5% OSA       | 18.03| 3.99  | 2.87  | 59.67 | 2.42  | 2.21| 0.66| 0.30  | 0.08| 0.27 | 9.08       | 1.13 |
| 7% OSA       | 18.65| 4.24  | 2.94  | 58.90 | 2.45  | 2.26| 0.68| 0.30  | 0.08| 0.27 | 9.11       | 1.23 |
| 10% OSA      | 18.39| 4.43  | 2.83  | 59.03 | 2.40  | 2.45| 0.66| 0.29  | 0.07| 0.27 | 8.82       | 1.736|
| 15% OSA      | 18.27| 3.92  | 2.75  | 59.43 | 2.34  | 2.72| 0.68| 0.29  | 0.07| 0.28 | 8.64       | 2.07 |
| 20% OSA      | 18.76| 4.02  | 2.76  | 59.37 | 2.32  | 2.82| 0.70| 0.29  | 0.07| 0.28 | 8.4        | 2.3  |

Fig. 1. X-ray Diffraction spectra of oil shale: (a) the raw oil shale and (b) the calcined oil shale ash at 850°C.

The presence of anhydrite CaSO₄ was due to the reaction of calcium oxide with sulfur dioxide that was released during the decomposition of the kerogen. The reaction equation is as follows:

\[ \text{CaO} + \frac{1}{2} \text{O}_2 + \text{SO}_2 \rightarrow \text{CaSO}_4 \] (3)

The formation of CaSO₄ allows us to confirm that the sulfur was captured by calcium oxide. This result has been mentioned by other researchers [12-13]. They have reported that oil shales contained a large amount of calcite have a beneficial effect on the environment because CaO acted as a trap of SO₂.

A great reduction of calcite and a considerable quartz peaks reduction were also observed. These two oxides reacted together, and their reaction was confirmed by the existence of peaks characteristic of C₆S (belite) in the X-rays pattern.

We remark in the same spectre the presence of portlandite Ca(OH)₂. The existence of this phase was probably due to exposure of the sample to atmospheric moisture.

The equation for the reaction between the free lime (formed because of the decomposition of calcite) and water is:

\[ \text{CaO} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{Ca(OH)}_2 \] (4)
we could also identify the presence of other phases namely hematite (Fe₂O₃), the gehlenite (Ca₂Al₂(SiO₄)O₇) that normally forms around 800°C and there was also the appearance of the mayenite (Ca₁₂Al₁₄O₃₃), which was obtained by reacting the aluminum oxide (Al₂O₃) with the calcium carbonate (CaCO₃), or with calcium hydroxide (Ca(OH)₂) [14].

### 3.3 Fourier transforms infrared spectra (FTIR)

The chemical groups present in OSA treated at 850°C were characterized by FTIR. As seen in the figure 2, the major bands of silicate group observed at 996, 993, 520 and 425 cm⁻¹ were assigned to SiO₂. The very strong peaks at 996, 993 and 520 cm⁻¹ were attributed to Si–O stretching vibration. The medium band at 425 cm⁻¹ was associated to O–H stretching bands of Si–OH. Those peaks were respectively conducted from C₂S [15,16,17].

The absorption band at 3637 cm⁻¹ can be attributed to portlandite (Ca (OH)₂), which was well detected in the sample and it was due to the O-H stretching vibration [18, 19]. The spectral bands in the region 3500-3400 cm⁻¹ and the sharp peak at 1622 cm⁻¹ were assigned to OH vibration of water molecules. The absorption bands at 1518 and 1414 cm⁻¹ were attributed to CO₃²⁻ stretching vibrations (the characteristic peak of calcite (CaCO₃)). This peak is associated with the one at 847 cm⁻¹ [20]. The presence of CaCO₃ was attributed to the atmospheric CO₂ absorption [21].

The strong absorption bands observed in 1137, 1124 cm⁻¹ and the weak vibration band at 643 cm⁻¹ represented the stretching vibration of the sulfate group (SO₄²⁻) [22,23,24]. These results of FTIR analysis are consistent with the results found in the previous analysis.

### 3.4 Expansion

The expansion will ensure the stability of cement by determining the swelling of pure cement paste in a humid environment. Cements that contain too much of expansive components such as gypsum, free lime and magnesia can provoke dangerous expansion, cracking, distortion and disintegration with time for the durability of structures [25].

In this study, we can see from the Table 3 that there was an increase of expansion and of free lime by increasing the substitution of CMII by OSA. The increase of the expansion is either due to the content of free lime or to the SO₃ [26] or to both oxides that increased with the increase of OSA. In this study, the expansion did not exceed 11mm even with 20% of Tarfaya’s OSA (the Moroccan standard (NM 10.1.004) value for the expansion is 10mm).

### 3.5 Fineness of cements with additions of OSA (Blaine)

The measurements of specific surface areas (Table 4) were performed for the ash and for the different cement samples prepared with various percentages of OSA.

From Table 5, we noticed that the values of the specific surface area had increased with the substitution of CMII by OSA that have a low fineness. This Fineness can have an impact on the hydration of the blended cement.

Indeed, the specific surface area plays a decisive role in the evolution of the physical characteristics of cements prepared. The study showed that increase of the fineness increases the wetting of the cement grains, which improved the hydraulic reactivity. It has been shown by several authors that when the Blaine fineness of cement was higher, the hydration speed was faster and the mechanical strengths at early ages were excellent [27]. The plasticity and the cohesion of the fresh cement paste as well as its water retention capacity were influenced by the Blaine fineness [27].

### 3.6 Bending and compressive strength behaviour

The effects of the substitution of cement CMII by OSA on the bending and compression strength of mortars prepared at different ages 2, 7, 28 and 91 days are shown in the figures 3 and 4.

We noted an increase in the flexural and compressive strengths starting for young ages (2 and 7 days). This improvement of the strength was even greater at 28 and 91 days for various mortars with the addition of 5 to 20% of OSA.

Based on those results, it was concluded that the strengths increased with the increase of the curing time for the various blended cements. This was may be due to the increase of the amount of hydration products especially calcium silicate hydrates [28].
Table 3. Expansion values for cements as a function of the free lime.

| % of OSA | 0   | 5   | 7   | 10  | 15  | 20  |
|----------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| % of CaO free | 1.06 | 1.13 | 1.23 | 1.74 | 2.07 | 2.3 |
| Expansion (mm) | 1   | 1   | 3   | 9   | 10  | 11  |

Table 4. Characteristics of OSA

| ρ (g/cm³) | Density | Surface area (cm²/g) | % CaO free |
|-----------|---------|----------------------|-------------|
| 3.09      | 3.63    | 4622                 | 4.256       |

Table 5. Effects of Substitution CMII by ash on Blaine surface area of blended cements.

| % OSA | 0   | 5   | 7   | 10  | 15  | 20  |
|-------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Surface area (cm²/g) | 3594 | 4542 | 4610 | 4733 | 5333 | 5343 |

4 Conclusions

The chemical compositions of the materials used in this study (oil shale burned at 850°C and the raw materials used for the elaboration of CMII) were given by the XRF analysis. From the chemical compositions, we noticed that oil shale’s ash has a similar composition to those of Portland clinker, which gave these ashes good hydraulic and pozzolanic properties.

The results obtained by the X-ray diffraction showed that the mineral part of Tarfaya’s oil shale was composed essentially of quartz, calcite, and dolomite. Their ash treated at 850°C contained anhydrite, muscovite, gehlenite, hematite with some of clinker phases such as: belite, alumino-silicate and ferrite with other minor mineralogical phases.

The substitution of CMII by OSA increased free lime content and the Blaine specific surface area and led to small increase in the expansions without exceeding the one required by the Moroccan standard NM 10.1.004.

Fig.3. Compression strength of blended cement as a function of ash content.

Fig.4. Bending strength of blended cement as a function of ash content.

This substitution had very good influence on the mechanical properties on the blended cement starting from early ages (2 and 7 days). The mechanical strengths passed through a maximum near 10% of substitution and stayed very good even with 20% of OSA. These strengths were improved considerably at 28 and 91 days for all blended cement.
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