Dosimetric characterisation and application to radiation biology of a kHz laser-driven electron beam
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Abstract Laser-plasma accelerators can produce ultra short electron bunches in the femtosecond to picosecond duration range, resulting in high peak dose rates in comparison with clinical accelerators. This peculiar characteristic motivates their application to radiation biology studies to elucidate the effect of the high peak dose rate on the biological response of living cells, which is still being debated. Electron beams driven by kHz laser systems may represent an attractive option for such applications, since the high repetition rate can boost the mean dose rate and improve the stability of the delivered dose in comparison with J-class laser accelerators running at 10 Hz. In this work, we present the dosimetric characterisation of a kHz, low energy laser-driven electron source and preliminary results on in-vitro irradiation of cancer cells. A shot-to-shot dosimetry protocol enabled to monitor the beam stability and the irradiation conditions for each cell sample. Results of survival assays on HCT116 colorectal cancer cells are in good agreement with previous findings reported in literature and validate the robustness of the dosimetry and irradiation protocol.

1 Introduction

Laser-plasma accelerators can produce ultra short electron bunches with duration in the range from femtosecond to picosecond. Both quasi-monoenergetic high-energy electrons (hundreds of MeV) [1,2,3] and high-charge, low-energy (few MeV) electrons with broadband spectrum [4] have been obtained with 100 TW class lasers. The peculiarity of these sources is the ultra-high peak dose rate in the pulse (up to $10^{10}$ Gy/s) that is orders of magnitude higher than conventional Linac ($\sim 10^2$ Gy/s) [5]. The impact of electrons delivered in such short pulses of ultra-high dose rates on living cells or tissues still need to be extensively explored. Recent results obtained with FLASH-RT [6,7,8] and laser-accelerated protons [9,10] indicate that the temporal structure of high dose rate, pulsed irradiation may have an impact on the radiobiological response and ask for a deeper understanding of the phenomena triggered by high dose rate irradiation.

To date, Laser-Accelerated Electrons (LAEs) produced by commercially available J-class lasers running at 10 Hz have been used for radiation biology studies [11,12,13]. The main limit of these beams is the shot-to-shot pointing fluctuation in the order of the beam divergence, that hinders the reach of the stability standards required in clinics. In this context, low-energy electrons (few MeV) driven by kHz lasers may represent an interesting alternative for radiobiology applications. Such laser-plasma accelerators have been developed in recent years [14,15,16,17] and, to our knowledge, no radiobiology study in these conditions is reported in literature at the time of writing. The key asset of these beams is the high repetition rate, which boosts the mean beam current and allows averaging of the shot-to-shot fluctuations by integration of a large number of shots. From a dosimetric point of view, this translates into higher mean dose rates (Gy/s), compared to those obtained with J-class lasers (Gy/min), and into a higher stability of the dose distribution at the sample.

In this article we present the dosimetric characterisation of a low-energy kHz laser-driven electron beam for radiation biology applications and report preliminary re-
results on in-vitro irradiation of HCT116 colorectal cancer cells. The dose distribution at the irradiated sample was measured at each irradiation with absolutely calibrated radiochromic films, which have been shown to be dose-rate independent over a wide range of dose rate up to $10^{12}$ Gy/s. The use of an IBA Razor Nano Chamber provided a real-time dose monitoring during irradiations and evaluation of the dose uncertainty in the post-processing analysis.

2 Electron source and set-up

The experiment was performed with the Salle Noire laser system of the Laboratoire d’Optique Appliquée, delivering 3.5 fs pulses at 1 kHz repetition rate with 3 mJ on target. The laser can generate low energy electrons in a reproducible way in a range from sub-MeV up to few MeV with charges of ~10 pC and few pC respectively, depending on the gas density and profile. In the experiment, the laser pulse was focused with a parabola (50 mm focal length) onto an N$_2$ gas-jet. The gas-jet density was optimised so as to maximise the accelerated charge per bunch, which corresponds to the production of an electron beam featuring a low-energy quasi-thermal spectrum up to ~2 MeV and a divergence of 70 mrad. Fig. 2 shows the electron spectrum taken in four different days. As shown, the spectral shape can be reproduced from one day to another with good precision. The measured charge (total charge at the source) was 9.8 pC/shot with an instability of ±0.7 pC/shot (standard deviation).

A draft of the experimental setup is shown in Fig. 1

A tube was inserted in the vacuum chamber to place the irradiation site (in air) closer to the electron source, compatibly with the beam diagnostics. A 100 µm thick vacuum-air Mylar window was placed 38 cm far from the source. At this location, the transverse dimension of the beam was 3 cm (FWHM), which allowed to irradiate a circular spot of 1 cm diameter with a sufficiently homogeneous dose. The cell sample was positioned vertically in air, 2 cm after the Mylar window, with a 800 µm thick plastic holder aligned to the beam-axis. A customised metallic support allowed to hold in place the cell holder and to place it at the same depth in the tube at each irradiation. The support was also designed to house an IBA Razor Nano Chamber (RNC), which was inserted behind the cell sample. The chamber (2 mm outer electrode diameter, 0.003 cm$^2$ active volume) was used as online dose monitor during irradiations and as day-to-day dose reference during the optimisation of the interaction conditions between the laser and the gas-jet prior to an experimental run. A radiochromic EBT3 film was placed on the front side (in beam’s view) of the cell holder at each irradiation for dosimetry. A motorised set-up, located in the vacuum chamber between the source and the Mylar window, was used for beam imaging and spectroscopy and was removed during irradiations. It contains a removable dipole (0.058 T) and a phosphor screen coupled with a CCD camera. Imaging of the beam transverse section, performed by removing the dipole magnet from the beam axis, allowed to align the electron beam to the cell sample and to measure the total accelerated charge per bunch. The details of these diagnostics can be found in D. Gustas (2019).

3 Dosimetry

The dose was measured with an EBT3 radiochromic film placed on the cell plastic support at each irradiation. The films were scanned 24 hours after irradiation, as recommended by Devic et al. (2016), with an EPSON V800 flatbed scanner and a resolution of 300 DPI. The dose was measured within a circular ROI of 10 mm diameter aligned with the cell sample. The EBT3 film batch was calibrated at the Elekta Synergy linear accelerator of the University Hospital of Lausanne (CHUV, Switzerland) with 4 MeV electrons at a dose rate of 6 Gy/min. The calibration curve is shown in Fig. 2 together with the five-degree polynomial expression used to fit the experimental points. The film dose was corrected to obtain the dose delivered in the cells by measuring the attenuation caused by the
3.1 Dose homogeneity and uncertainty

Since the position of the irradiation site inserted in the tube was fixed, the electron beam pointing needed to be carefully aligned by adjusting the incidence angle of the laser on the gas-jet before the beginning of the irradiations. Once the beam pointing was aligned with the cell holder, the beam showed a remarkably good stability in terms of dose distribution between irradiations, as shown in Fig. 5. The figure shows typical dose distributions measured with the EBT3 during a dose escalation performed for the survival assay. We were able to precisely align the electron beam with the cell sample and keep the dose inhomogeneity below ±7% in most of the irradiations (85%).

The uncertainty on the dose delivered at the cell sample was evaluated by cross correlation between the dose measured by the EBT3 film and by the RNC placed behind the sample. In particular, the instability of the ratio between the two measured doses is an indicator of the spectrum stability between irradiations and of the uncertainty on the ratio $R_{\text{cells}/\text{EBT3}}$ used to quantify the dose delivered at cell sample. Fig. 6 shows the typical behaviour of the ratio between the dose absorbed by the film and by the RNC during three dose escalation series performed for the survival assay. As it is shown, we observed an increase of the ratio with the exposure time. A similar trend was also observed for the dose rate, which turned out to slightly decrease with the exposure time. It is possible that the observed patterns are due to the shutter used to block the laser and control the irradiation opening. The shutter, placed before the last focusing optics, after it is kept closed to block the laser during the sample replacement might generate a transient thermal phenomenon in the optics and affect the laser properties at the gas-jet. This effect will be verified and solved in future experiment by placing the laser shutter after the last focusing optics. The variation of the ratio between irradiations, typically below ±10%, results in a comparable uncertainty on the $R_{\text{cells}/\text{EBT3}}$ factor measured with the double film arrangement and, as a consequence, on the dose delivered to the cell sample.
4 Radiation biology experiment

4.1 Methods

We performed irradiation of in-vitro cancer cells with the Salle Noire electron source both to investigate the biological response to kHz laser-accelerated electrons and to validate the dosimetry. To this aim, we irradiated colorectal cancer cells HCT116, both the wild type (HCT116 WT) and its radioresistant counterpart (HCT116 p53−/−) mutated for the tumour suppressor gene p53. The use of both the wild type and the radioresistant counterpart allowed to verify the quality of the dosimetry protocol and of the radiobiology analysis. The colorectal cancer cells HCT116, wild type (WT) or mutated for the tumour suppressor gene p53 (p53−/−), were cultured and grown as monolayers in plastic tissue culture disposable flasks (TPP) in McCoy’s 5A (Modified) Medium with GlutaMAXTM (ThermoFisher Scientific), supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (PAA) and 1% penicillin and streptomycin (ThermoFisher Scientific). All cell lineages were grown at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO2 in air. Based on the transverse dose homogeneity deducted from radiochromic films, a 1 cm diameter circular region was delimited on the inside face of the culture dishes with Creamy Color long lasting lip pencil (Kiko) in which 4×10^4 cells were seeded in 100 µL of medium. The culture dish was positioned vertically behind the exit window. After exposure to the laser-driven electron beam, 1 mL of medium was added and the cell monolayers were incubated for 4 hours in standard conditions. Cells were harvested with Accutase (Merck), dispatched into 3 different wells of 12-well plate (TPP) in 2.5 mL of medium and grown for five generations corresponding to five days (replication time below 20 h). Appropriate control samples were treated under the same conditions, including bringing the cell culture dish in a vertical position as for irradiation. After this period, cells were harvested with 250 µL of Accutase inactivated with 250 µL of 1X PBS (ThermoFisher Scientific) supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum. The final volume was adjusted to 1 mL with 1X PBS and 200 µL of each well were dispatched into a non-sterile Ubottom 96-well plate (TPP). In each well, 2 µL of a propidium iodide solution (Sigma, 100 µg/mL in 1X PBS) were added just before counting by flow cytometry technology. Cell acquisition was performed using...
It is relevant to compare the 10% survival dose $D_{10}$, which confirms the quality of both the dosimetry and the biological analysis. The horizontal error bars represent the sum of the dose error due to the inhomogeneity within the analysed surface of the sample (circular surface of 1 cm diameter) and of the uncertainty on the dosimetry.

Guava® and the data analysis carried out with Guava-Soft (Merck Millipore).

4.2 Survival assay results

The survival curves obtained for the HCT116 WT and HCT116 p53\(^{-/-}\) cell lines grown as monolayers are reported in Fig.7. Both the error due to the dose homogeneity and to the dosimetry uncertainty are included in the horizontal error bars. The experimental results were fitted with the Linear-Quadratic (LQ) model: $\ln S = \alpha D - \beta D^2$, where D is the delivered dose and $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are adjustable parameters. The surviving fraction decreases with the delivered dose and the curves exhibit the typical shoulder shape of low LET radiations. Furthermore, the curve of the WT cell line lies well below the curve of the more radioresistant counterpart p53\(^{-/-}\), which confirms the quality of both the dosimetry and the biological analysis.

It is relevant to compare the 10% survival dose $D_{10}$ obtained with the LAE beam with those previously obtained with low-LET 662 keV photons at a conventional dose rate of 1.4 Gy/min produced by a $^{137}$Cs source at a conventional dose-rate, taken from Pommaret et al. (2017)[29]. The $D_{10}$ values, determined from the LQ fitting curves (Kaleidagraph software) for the two cell types (WT and p53\(^{-/-}\)) are reported in Table 1.

5 Conclusions and outlook

We presented the first dosimetric characterisation and application to radiation biology of a kHz laser-driven electron beam. The use of both radiochromic films and an on-line Razor Nano Chamber allowed evaluation of the dose distribution delivered at the sample at each irradiation and to evaluate the uncertainty on the delivered dose. We showed good day-to-day reproducibility of the irradiation conditions in terms of dose rate and beam quality. A dose rate of 1 Gy/s was achieved, which is higher than typical dose rates reported in literature with J-class lasers running at 10 Hz. Besides the increase of the mean dose rate, the high repetition rate ensured a remarkably good stability of the dose distribution at the sample and indicates that such LAE source can be a promising alternative to electrons produced by 10 Hz lasers for radiation biology applications. During the dose escalation sequence, we observed an impact of the exposure time on the beam spectrum, which introduced an uncertainty on dosimetry. The observed trends are likely to be due to the use of a beam shutter in the laser chain generating transient thermal phenomena in the optics. This phenomenon, which is not attributable to inherent source instability, can be significantly reduced in future studies by placing the shutter at the end of the laser chain. In addition, an ongoing upgrade of the Salle Noire facility will lead to an increase of the laser energy up to 4-5 mJ/pulse. This would allow to generate more energetic electrons (with a comparable charge per bunch) and to reduce the sensitivity of the dosimetry protocol to spectral instabilities thanks to the higher penetration depth.

Regarding the radiobiological outcome, the survival curves obtained with HCT116 monolayer samples indicate no significant difference between the radiobiological effectiveness of kHz laser-driven electrons and conventional sources with similar LET, in line with previous

| Cell line | LAE | Photons $^{137}$Cs 662 keV |
|-----------|-----|---------------------------|
| HCCT116 WT | 4.34 ± 0.73 Gy | 3.8 ± 0.4 Gy |
| HCCT116 p53 | 5.05 ± 0.66 Gy | 5 ± 0.4 Gy |
findings reported in literature. This result further validates both the dosimetry and the biological analysis. Future experiments should explore the response of healthy cells and of spheroids samples as well as the impact of different fractionation modalities on the biological response [10] to provide a more complete indication of the therapeutic potential of such high peak dose rate sources. Moreover, boosting the energies up to few MeV without a significant decrease of the charge per bunch would allow to irradiate in vivo biological targets, such as mice, and would increase the peak dose rate in the pulse by reducing the pulse temporal stretch to few picoseconds.

References

1. M. Mirzaie, G. Zhang, S. Li, K. Gao, G. Li, et al. Effect of injection-gas concentration on the electron beam quality from a laser-plasma accelerator. *Physics of Plasmas*, 25(4):043106, April 2018.

2. J. Faure, C. Rechatin, A. F. Lifschitz, X. Davoine, E. Lefebvre, and V. Malka. Experiments and Simulations of the Colliding Pulse Injection of Electrons in Plasma Wakefields. *IEEE Transactions on Plasma Science*, 36(4):1751–1759, August 2008.

3. C. Thaury, E. Guillaume, A. Lifschitz, K. Ta Phuoc, M. Hansson, et al. Shock assisted ionization injection in laser-plasma accelerators. *Scientific Reports*, 5(1):16310, December 2015.

4. E. Guillaume, A. Döpp, C. Thaury, A. Lifschitz, J.-P. Goddet, et al. Physics of fully-loaded laser-plasma accelerators. *Physical Review Special Topics - Accelerators and Beams*, 18(6):061301, June 2015.

5. M. R. Ashraf, M. Rahman, R. Zhang, B. B. Williams, D. J. Gladstone, et al. Dosimetry for FLASH Radiotherapy: A Review of Tools and the Role of Radioluminescence and Cherenkov Emission. *Frontiers in Physics*, 8:328, August 2020.

6. V. Favaudon, L. Caplier, V. Monceau, F. Pouzoulet, M. Sayarath, et al. Ultrahigh dose-rate FLASH irradiation increases the differential response between normal and tumor tissue in mice. *Science Translational Medicine*, 6(245):245ra93–245ra93, July 2014.

7. M.-C. Vozenin, P. De Fornel, K. Petersson, V. Favaudon, M. Jaccard, et al. The Advantage of FLASH Radiotheray Confirmed in Mini-pig and Cat-cancer Patients. *Clinical Cancer Research*, 25(1):35–42, January 2019.

8. M.-C. Vozenin, J. Hendry, and C. Limoli. Biological Benefits of Ultra-high Dose Rate FLASH Radiotherapy: Sleeping Beauty Awoken. *Clinical Oncology*, 31(7):407–415, July 2019.

9. S. Raschke, S. Spickermann, T. Toncian, M. Swantusch, J. Boeker, et al. Ultra-short laser-accelerated proton pulses have similar DNA-damaging effectiveness but produce less immediate nitrooxidative stress than conventional proton beams. *Scientific Reports*, 6(1):32441, October 2016.

10. E. Bayart, A. Flacco, O. Delmas, L. Pommarel, D. Levy, et al. Fast dose fractionation using ultra-short laser accelerated proton pulses can increase cancer cell mortality, which relies on functional PARP1 protein. *Scientific Reports*, 9(1):10132, December 2019.

11. M. G. Andreassi, A. Borghini, S. Pulignani, F. Baffigi, L. Fulgentini, et al. Radiobiological Effectiveness of Ultra-short Laser-Driven Electron Bunches: Micronucleus Frequency, Telomere Shortening and Cell Viability. *Radiation Research*, 186(3):245–253, September 2016.

12. L. Laschinsky, M. Baumann, E. Beyreuther, W. Enghardt, M. Kaluza, et al. Radiobiological Effectiveness of Laser Accelerated Electrons in Comparison to Electron Beams from a Conventional Linear Accelerator. *Journal of Radiation Research*, 53(3):395–403, 2012.

13. M. Oppelt, M. Baumann, R. Bergmann, E. Beyreuther, K. Brüchener, et al. Comparison study of in vivo dose response to laser-driven versus conventional electron beam. *Radiation and Environmental Biophysics*, 54(2):155–166, May 2015.

14. Z.-He. He, B. Hou, J. A. Nees, J. H. Easter, J. Faure, et al. High repetition-rate wakefield electron source generated by few-millijoule, 30 fs laser pulses on a density down ramp. *New Journal of Physics*, 15(5):053016, May 2013.

15. F. Salehi, A. J. Goers, G. A. Hine, L. Feder, D. Kuk, et al. MeV electron acceleration at 1 kHz with <10 mJ laser pulses. *Optics Letters*, 42(2):215, January 2017.

16. D. Guénöt, D. Gustas, A. Vernier, B. Beaurepaire, F. Böhle, et al. Relativistic electron beams driven by kHz single-cycle light pulses. *Nature Photonics*, 11(5):293–296, May 2017.

17. J. Faure, D. Gustas, D. Guénöt, A. Vernier, F. Böhle, et al. A review of recent progress on laser-plasma acceleration at kHz repetition rate. *Plasma Physics and Controlled Fusion*, 61(1):014012, January 2019.

18. L. Karsch, E. Beyreuther, T. Burris-Mog, S. Kraft, C. Richter, et al. Dose rate dependence for different dosimeters and detectors: TLD, OSL, EBT films, and diamond detectors: Dose rate dependence for different dosimeters an detectors. *Medical Physics*, 39(5):2447–2455, April 2012.

19. M. Jaccard, K. Petersson, T. Buchillier, J.-F. Germond, M. T. Durán, et al. High dose-per-pulse electron beam dosimetry: Usability and dose-rate independence of EBT3 Gafchromic films. *Medical Physics*, 44(2):725–735, February 2017.

20. M. Bazalova-Carter, M. Liu, B. Palma, M. Dunning, D. McCormick, et al. Comparison of film measurements and Monte Carlo simulations of dose delivered with very high-energy electron beams in a polystyrene phantom: Measurements and MC simulations of VHEE beams. *Medical Physics*, 42(4):1606–1613, March 2015.

21. D. Gustas, D. Guénöt, A. Vernier, S. Dutt, F. Böhle, et al. High-charge relativistic electron bunches from a kHz laser-plasma accelerator. *Physical Review Accelerators and Beams*, 21(1):013401, January 2018.

22. S. Devic, N. Tomic, and D. Lewis. Reference radiocromic film dosimetry: Review of technical aspects. *Physica Medica*, 32(4):541–556, April 2016.

23. L. Gizzio, L. Labate, F. Baffigi, F. Brandi, G. Bussolino, et al. Laser-plasma acceleration of electrons for radiotherapy and radiation sources. *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section B: Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms*, 355:241–245, July 2015.

24. E. Beyreuther, W. Enghardt, M. Kaluza, L. Karsch, L. Laschinsky, et al. Establishment of technical pre-
Dosimetric characterisation and application to radiation biology of a kHz laser-driven electron beam

requisites for cell irradiation experiments with laser-accelerated electrons: Laser-accelerated electrons for cell irradiation experiments. *Medical Physics*, 37(4):1392–1400, March 2010.

25. M. Nicolai, A. Sävert, M. Reuter, M. Schnell, J. Polz, et al. Realizing a laser-driven electron source applicable for radiobiological tumor irradiation. *Applied Physics B*, 116(3):643–651, September 2014.

26. L. Pommarel, B. Vauzour, F. Mégnin-Chanet, E. Bayart, O. Delmas, et al. Spectral and spatial shaping of a laser-produced ion beam for radiation-biology experiments. *Physical Review Accelerators and Beams*, 20(3), March 2017.