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Design and simulation of a novel 3-point star rectifying antenna for RF energy harvesting at 2.4 GHz
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Abstract: The rectenna as a device, is critical for achieving long-distance wireless power transfer. The centrality of this study is focused on adding to the collective knowledge of the subject matter, by providing a new perspective in terms of an alternative design for the antenna component of the rectenna. Essentially, this study features a novel “3-point star” design which was simulated in comparison with the conventional square microstrip patch antenna design. Both designs (i.e., operating at the Wi-Fi band 2.4 GHz), were assessed in terms of simulated performance parameters: gain, directivity, return loss, radiation pattern, and efficiency. From the simulation results, the proposed “3-point star” design, though slightly less efficient exhibited improved performance over the conventional square patch alternative, in terms of gain, directivity, and return loss. For the rectifying component, a greinacher voltage-doubler (with two HSMS2820 diodes), was designed separately and simulated over a range of input power levels (10dBm—34dBm), for 220-Ω, 380-Ω and 810-Ω load resistances, respectively. A maximum conversion efficiency of 88.02% was achieved at 28dBm for an 810 Ω load resistance. All design simulations were executed using Advanced Design System (ADS) software.
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PUBLIC INTEREST STATEMENT
For the past 40 years, wireless technology has played a critical role in knitting together the fabric of our contemporary society. From the cell phones in our pockets, to the Television (TV) remote controls in our hands; from simple e-mail exchanges to the social media chats we have with ourselves, wireless technology proves to be a necessity for driving socio-economic growth and global connectivity even across remote regions of the world.

However, it is important to understand that the idea of “Wireless” technology goes beyond just wireless transmission of data/information alone. Energy (just like data) can be transmitted wirelessly over long distance as well, and it is this idea that informs the central discussion of this paper. This paper proposes a new design for the “Rectenna” – a device which has long held the promise of enabling a wireless transfer of energy between two devices separated over a distance.
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1. Introduction

The rectifying antenna (a.k.a. rectenna) is a highly critical technology with respect to the important role it plays in the realization of wireless power transfer via electromagnetic (EM) radiation. The rectenna, as the name suggests, is essentially an integration of an antenna and a corresponding rectifier into a single circuit for which the energy component of ambient electromagnetic signals may be captured and rectified into useable DC power for charging or powering a load device (Brown, 1984).

The following Figure 1 presents a block diagram illustration of a rectenna circuit.

The functionality of the respective components (presented in Figure 1) as they relate to the development of an efficient rectenna device is highlighted as follows:

1.1. Antenna

The antenna is an important component in virtually all radio equipment—as it is what enables the equipment to transmit or receive electromagnetic signals between any two or more distant wireless points. In the context of signal transmission, the antenna acts as a special type of transducer which takes in an electrical signal and radiates it into space in an electromagnetic form; while in the context of signal reception, the reverse is the case, i.e. the antenna intercepts/captures ambient electromagnetic signals from which it generates electric signals at its terminals.

It is noted that two separately featured antennas in this study, were designed to function as receiver antennas to capture ambient RF signals (at 2.4 GHz) which would then be processed into useable DC power by the remaining part of the rectenna circuit. Figure 2 shows the serial configuration. Figure 3 shows the shunt configuration and Figure 4 shows the voltage doubler configuration.

The amount of power that can be received by the antenna, when it is positioned at a distance (R) from the transmitting antenna, is given by the FRIIS equation stated below.

\[
P_r = P_t \times G_t \times G_r \times \frac{1}{4\pi R^2}
\]

(Friis, 1946)

where,

\[P_t = \text{Power of the transmitter;}
\]

\[G_t = \text{Gain of transmitting antenna;}
\]
G_r = Gain of the receiving antenna; 

\( \lambda \) = Wavelength; and 

R = Distance between the transmitter and receiver.

In this study, a novel 3-point star shaped microstrip antenna design has been featured for improved performance over the conventional inset-fed square microstrip patch antenna design as shown in Figure 5.
1.2. Harmonics rejection LPF
In practice, harmonics are usually generated by the rectifier components of the circuit (Roy, 2012). These generated harmonics tend to radiate back through the antenna, thereby interfering with the received electromagnetic signals. This causes significant energy losses (Roy, 2012). However, these unwanted effects can be averted, or minimized with the adoption of a low pass filter (LPF) which would serve to attenuate the generated harmonics and keep them from being radiated out through the antenna (Fanga & Hassan, 2013; Han et al., 2014).

1.3. Impedance matching network
The matching network is another important part of the rectenna circuit which plays a crucial role particularly in the contest of ensuring maximum power transfer from the antenna to the load, and minimizing signal reflection. According to Jacobi’s theorem (a.k.a maximum power transfer theorem), the condition for ensuring maximum power transfer to the load is that the input impedance to the load must be “conjugate matched” to the output impedance of the corresponding source (antenna) (Fotopoulou & Flynn, 2006). This is essentially where the need for a matching network comes in—as it helps in adjusting, particularly, the load impedance to ensure that it is conjugately matched to the source impedance. This serves to avert (or at least minimize) signal reflection from the load, improve the Signal-to-Noise ratio (SNR), linearize the frequency response, as well as reduce the amplitude and phase errors. All of these consequently serve to minimize signal losses and enhance the quality of power reception at the load.

1.4. Rectifier
The rectifier is another vital block of the rectenna circuit—as it is the key to ensuring an adequate RF-to-DC conversion efficiency for the circuit. Fundamentally, depending on the desired configuration, it may comprise a single or more diodes (in this case, high-frequency diodes) which serve the primary function of converting the Alternating Current (AC) signal to DC, by allowing current flow in only one direction.

With respect to available rectifier configurations, it may said that the single-diode serial and shunt rectifier configurations are generally quite popular in literature. However, with regards to generating an improved DC output voltage, the voltage multiplier configuration is taken to be more adequate—as it can potentially produce a DC output voltage that is, at least, two times the magnitude of the applied input voltage.

The following diagrams provide a schematic illustration of the three respective rectifier topologies aforementioned:

As seen in the above diagrams, the rectifier configurations differ mainly with regards to the amount and arrangement of the diodes and passive elements used. The single-diode configurations (the serial and shunt) are generally preferred in terms of their ease of implementation, small size, low cost, and high efficiency. However, their downside is revealed in the context of their low output voltage levels (Almorabiti et al., 2019). The voltage doubler topology, on the other hand, delivers a high DC voltage output, but its RF-to-DC conversion efficiency is typically less than that of the single-diode configuration.

Simulations carried out in (Hosain & Kouzani, 2013) revealed that the voltage doubler is better suited for high input power level (> 20 dB) applications, while the single-diode configurations, on the other hand, work better for low input power level (~10 dB to 20 dB) applications. Essentially, each of the rectifier topologies have their respective pros and cons. Therefore, selection of a suitable rectifier configuration generally depends on the necessary conditions, such as the size constraint, the required output voltage, and the available input power level.

1.5. Load impedance
This essentially represents the end-stage of the rectenna circuit; i.e. it represents the impedance value of the consuming device that is to be powered/charged by the rectenna. As earlier stated, to
avoid signal reflections, and also obtain maximum power transfer from the antenna to the load, it is important to ensure that the load impedance is “conjugately matched” to the source impedance.

2. Related works
(Brown, 1980) provides a recollection of the early history of the development of the first rectenna device. Developed by R.H. George of Purdue University, the first rectenna system was put together using an array of 28 half-wave dipole receiving antennas, each linked up to a bridge rectifier comprising four 1N82G point-contact diodes (Brown, 1984). In its early demonstration in 1964, the developed rectenna (containing 4480 point-contact rectifier diodes) was used to power a helicopter platform for flight at about 50 feet above ground level, using beams of microwave energy at 2.45 GHz (Brown, 1996). Another early (notable) demonstration of the rectenna concept was carried out in 1975, where a successful delivery of microwave power over a distance of more than 1.6 kilometres, with over 30 kw of D.C power was obtained at the receiving end (Brown, 1996). It is reckoned that the while early innovations on the rectenna concept were focused on long distance and high-power transmissions, the more recent innovations on the rectenna seem to have been tilted towards relatively low power applications (ranging from microwatts to a few watts) delivered over moderate distances (within the range of few metres to hundreds of metres) (Clerckx et al., 2018). Table 1 below provides a highlight of some of modern state of the art rectenna designs.

3. Methodology
This section covers the design and simulation considerations for both the antenna and the accompanying rectifying circuit configuration. The configurations considered are shown in Table 1, Table 2, Table 3, and Table 4. In this study, the antenna and rectifier components of the rectenna were first

| Table 1. State of the art rectennas |
|------------------------------------|
| Ref. | Frequency | Antenna Type | Rectifier Element | Input Power | Max. η |
|------|-----------|--------------|-------------------|-------------|--------|
| (Niotaki et al., 2013) | 915 MHz, 2.45 GHz | slot-loaded dual-band folded dipole antenna | SMS7630 Schottky diode | −9 dBm | 30%, 37% |
| (Sun et al., 2013) | 1.84 GHz, 2.14 GHz | Quasi-Yagi antenna | HSM2852 Schottky diode | −20 dBm | 34%, 30% |
| (Sun & Geyi, 2015) | 2.45 GHz | Dual linearly polarized antenna | HSM2860 Schottky diode | 295.3 μW/cm² | 78% |
| (Vital et al., 2019) | 2.45 GHz | Textile-based rectangular patch antenna | SMS7630 Schottky diode | 8 dBm | 70% |
| (Shi et al., 2018) | 2.4 GHz | Fractal slot antenna | HSM2852 Schottky diode | 0 dBm | 62% |
| (Agarwal et al., 2013) | 2.4 GHz | Circular slotted truncated corner square patch antenna | Single-stage Dickson rectifier | 0 dBm | 28.9% |
| (Georgiadis et al., 2010) | 2.45 GHz | Square aperture-coupled patch antenna | SMS7630 Schottky diode | −19.2 dBm | 38.2% |
| (Mavaddat et al., 2014) | 35 GHz | Rectangular microstrip patch antenna | MA4E1317 GaAs Schottky diode | 8.45 dBm | 67% |
| (Haboubi et al., 2014) | 2.45 GHz | Cross-slot coupled square patch antenna | SMS7630-079LF diode | −15 dBm | 37.7% |
designed and assessed separately. The performance of the respective antenna and rectifier designs was investigated using the Advanced Design Systems (ADS) simulation software developed by Keysight Technologies.

### 3.1. Antenna design

While there are several antenna types available in literature, the one adopted for this study is the microstrip patch antenna for some of its apparent benefits. Microstrip antennas essentially have the benefit of being low cost, low profile, compact (and lightweight), and being conformable to both planar and non-planar surfaces. Generally, the makeup of a microstrip antenna consists of three major parts: a dielectric material (substrate), sandwiched by a metallic conducting patch (on top) and a ground plane below.

### Table 2. Substrate parameter configuration

| Parameters  | Value  |
|-------------|--------|
| $E_r$       | 4.6    |
| Freq        | 2.4 GHz|
| TanD        | 0.001  |
| H           | 0.0005 |
| Cond (copper) | 5.8E7 S/m |
| T           | 0.7 mil |

### Table 3. Summary of parameter values used in the antenna design

| Parameter                          | Square Patch Antenna Design | 3-point Star Antenna Design |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Substrate Material                 | FR4                         | FR4                         |
| Dielectric Constant                | 4.6                         | 4.6                         |
| Substrate Height                   | 1.6 mm                      | 1.6 mm                      |
| Tangential Losses                  | 0.001                       | 0.001                       |
| Conductivity                       | 5.8E7 S/m                   | 5.8E7 S/m                   |
| Metal Thickness                    | 0.7 mil                     | 0.7 mil                     |
| Microstrip Patch Length            | 29.2 mm                     | 58.4 mm                     |
| Microstrip Patch Width             | 29.2 mm                     | 87.6 mm                     |
| Feedline Length (L_f)              | 20.2 mm                     | 29.2 mm                     |
| Feedline Width (W_f)               | 3 mm                        | 3.2 mm                      |
| Depth of Feedline (d) into the Patch | 12 mm                      | 14.6 mm                     |
| Width of the inset Gap             | 1.4 mm each                 | 2.7 mm each                 |

### Table 4. Summary of antenna simulation results

| Parameters       | Square patch design | 3-point star design |
|------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Frequency (GHz)  | 2.4 GHz             | 2.4 GHz             |
| Efficiency (%)   | 76.9                | 74.8                |
| S11 parameter (dB) | −13.6             | −25.77              |
| Gain (dBi)       | 5.15                | 6.28                |
| Directivity (dBi)| 6.29                | 7.54                |
In modelling a microstrip patch antenna, it is important to first define the antenna specifications (e.g., resonant frequency, gain, directivity, etc.) and its layer setup. The following diagram provides a graphical illustration of the makeup of a basic microstrip antenna:

Surface current is generated by the conducting patch. The dielectric substrate radiates the incident RF waves, while the ground plane acts as a reflector to help provide better directivity, by ensuring that the radiation occurs in only one direction (Das et al., 2019). The design of the microstrip antenna may be determined according to the following considerations and parameters:

(i) **Dielectric Constant of the Substrate**: The dielectric constant of the antenna substrate plays a defining role in determining the bandwidth, as well as the radiation efficiency of the antenna—giving it a wider impedance bandwidth, reducing the excitation of the surface wave and providing it with lower permittivity.

(ii) **Thickness of Substrate Material**: The thickness of the substrate has an impact on the bandwidth and coupling level. A thicker substrate provides for a wider bandwidth.

(iii) **Microstrip Patch Length**: The length of the microstrip patch relates to the resonant frequency of the antenna.

(iv) **Microstrip Patch Width**: The width of the microstrip patch is one of the important determinants of the antenna’s resonant resistance. Given a wider microstrip patch, a lower resistance is obtained.

(v) **Length of the Feedline**: The input impedance of the antenna may be determined by the length of the feedline.

(vi) **Width of Feedline**: The feed line width can affect coupling to the slot as well as the return loss, and even resonant frequency.

(vii) **Type of Feedline**: There are several techniques that can be used to feed or transmit electromagnetic energy to the microstrip patch antenna. The feeding technique plays a critical role in improving the antenna’s input impedance matching, and thus provides for an efficient operation of the antenna. Some of the different types of feeding techniques include the microstrip-line feed, inset feed, coaxial coupled feed, aperture coupled feed, and proximity coupled feed (Arora et al., 2015). It is noted that the inset feed technique was adopted in the two antenna designs evaluated in this project. The rationale behind its selection is its comparability to its alternatives, it provides the antenna with a relatively high gain, and low return loss especially when the dielectric FR4 is being used (Arora et al., 2015).

(viii) **Operational Frequency**: It should be noted that the operational frequency being one of the determinants of the antenna size, is a critical factor in the antenna design (Doan & Bach, 2015). More so, it is indirectly important in the context of determining the amount of available power that can be captured by the receiving antenna at a certain distance (R) from the power transmitter as given by equation 1.

Given wavelength,

\[
\lambda = \frac{C}{F}
\]  

(2)

where,

\[ C = 3 \times 10^8 \text{ m/s (speed of light)} \]

\[ F = \text{Operational frequency} \]
It is seen that the wavelength (λ) of a signal is indirectly proportional to its frequency (f); thus, it may be deduced from equation 1, that the amount of power (P) that can be received at a certain distance from the transmitter, gets smaller as the frequency increases—given that the rise in frequency brings about a corresponding reduction in the wavelength (λ). However, frequencies within the range of 1 GHz to 3 GHz are reckoned to provide a good balance between the attenuation of power in free space and compact antenna dimensions. This paper focuses on microwave power capture and conversion at the Wi-Fi band at 2.4 GHz.

3.1.1. Substrate configuration

Table 1 shows the microstrip substrate configuration for the antenna designs including important substrate parameters values such as dielectric constant/relative permittivity “Er”, substrate thickness “H”, tangential losses “TanD”, metal thickness “T”, conductivity “Cond”, and the operational frequency “Freq” as entered on ADS for the simulation. The structure consists of an FR4 core, with a bottom copper layer. “FR” indicates that the substrate material is flame retardant, while ‘4’ indicates that it is a woven glass reinforced epoxy resin. The FR4 board is a good general-purpose material for PCB fabrication—improving the bending and flexural strength of the antenna structure.

It should be noted that the same substrate parameter values were applied in the two antenna designs featured in this study. Also, to ensure an adequate impedance matching for the antenna, the Controlled Impedance Line Designer (CILD) tool of the ADS software was used to generate optimized values for the substrate variables to match the desired characteristic impedance of 50 ohms. After the substrate parameter values have been defined, it is also necessary to determine the basic dimensions of the inset feed patch antenna surface as shown in Figures 6 and 7.

3.1.2. Square microstrip patch antenna

The following calculations were adopted in defining the basic dimensions of the radiating surface of the antenna:

- The Width (W), and Length (L) of the radiating surface are given by:

\[
W = L = \frac{c}{2f\sqrt{\varepsilon_r}} = 29.2 \text{ mm}
\]  

(3)

where

- Speed of light, \( c = 3 \times 10^8 \text{ m/s} \);
- Frequency, \( f = 2.4 \text{ GHz} \); and

![Figure 6. Square microstrip patch antenna design.](image-url)
Relative Permittivity, $\varepsilon_r = 4.6$

The depth of the feed line into the patch is given by:

$$\eta = \frac{P_{DC}}{P_{RF}} \times 100\%$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

The other dimensions are given by:

$$Z = Y = \frac{2W}{5} = \frac{2 \times 29.2}{5} = 11.7 \text{ mm}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

$$S = W - (Z + Y) = 5.8 \text{ mm}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

It is noted that the length and width (t) of the feed line were determined as 20.2 mm and 3 mm respectively.

3.1.3. 3-Point star microstrip patch antenna

As can be seen above, the basic “3-point star” shape is formed from a square surrounded with three equal out-facing triangles. Also, the basic dimensions of the figure can be easily determined based on the dimensions ($L_{cell} \times W_{cell}$) of the fundamental square cells as shown above.
\[ L_{\text{cell}} = W_{\text{cell}} = \frac{C}{(2f \sqrt{\varepsilon_r})} = 29.2 \text{ mm} \]  

(7)

where,

- Speed of light, \( C = 3 \times 10^8 \text{ m/s} \);
- Frequency, \( f = 2.4 \text{ GHz} \); and
- Relative Permittivity, \( \varepsilon_r = 4.6 \).

\[ L_{\text{patch}} : W_{\text{patch}} = 2 : 3 \]  

(8)

The depth of the feedline (\( d \)) into the patch

\[ d = \frac{L_{\text{cell}}}{2} \]  

(9)

Length of the Feedline:

\[ L_f = L_{\text{cell}} = 29.2 \text{ mm} \]  

(10)

Width of the Feedline: Given some of the similarities shared with the inset-fed square patch antenna design above, the value for the width of the feedline (\( W_f \)) was initially kept constant at 3 mm, just as with the inset-fed square patch design. However, a possible mathematical relationship (yet to be conclusively proven) explaining the derivation of \( W_f \) was determined as shown in equation 11 below.

\[ W_f = \frac{W_{\text{patch}}}{W_{\text{cell}}} = 3\text{mm} \]  

(11)

However, the optimized value obtained for \( W_f \) was 3.2 mm.

Position of the feedline: it should be noted that unlike the square microstrip patch design, the position of the feedline with respect to the width of the “3-point star” patch is not centralized, but rather shifted towards the edge of the patch to an optimal position that provides for a suitable impedance matching (and return loss) with resonant frequency at 2.4 GHz. In this paper, this optimal position was painstakingly determined at first by a trial and error approach to obtain the final dimensions for \( y \) and \( z \), which were determined to be 12.5 mm and 8.1 mm respectively. Consequently, it should be reckoned that the optimized values obtained for \( y \) and \( z \) are not presented based on any specified mathematical relationship or theoretical justification.

3.1.4. Summary of antenna design parameters

The table below provides a summary of the substrate parameters and dimension values taken into consideration for the two antenna designs.

3.2. Rectifier design

A schematic view of the final rectifying circuit is shown in Figure 8 below. The circuit which is terminated to a 380-Ω impedance, comprises two HSMS2820 diodes, Microstrip Line (MLIN) components (some of which play a role in matching the input and output impedance of the circuit), capacitors, and so on.

As with the antenna, the rectifier circuit was designed and optimized for an FR4 substrate (dielectric constant = 4.6, Substrate Height = 1.6 mm, Thickness = 0.7 mil, Tangential Losses =
0.001) using Advance Design System (ADS) simulation software. A greinacher voltage doubler configuration was adopted in the design and the conversion efficiency was simulated with respect to three different load impedances at a range of load at range of 10dBm to 34dBm of the input power. This was aided by the use of ADS parameter sweep simulation controller, alongside the harmonic balance simulation controller. Harmonic balance simulation makes it possible to simulate circuits with multiple input frequencies. It also provides for the calculation of the magnitude and phase of currents or voltage in a potentially non-linear circuit. The ADS “Line Calc” tool was used in generating optimized values for the length and width of the respective microstrip line (MLIN) components in the circuit.

4. Performance evaluation

4.1. Simulated antenna performance
As earlier stated, the featured antennas were designed to operate at 2.4 GHz. Both antenna designs were simulated and evaluated according to performance parameters such the antenna gain, directivity, return loss, radiation pattern and efficiency. A highlight of the simulation results obtained is presented as follows:

4.1.1. 3D view of the antenna designs
Figure 9 and Figure 10 show simulated 3D views of the square patch antenna as designed on ADS using the obtained dimensional parameters and substrate variables. Figure 9 presents a 3D view of the inset fed square patch antenna design (9a) and its surface current distribution at 2.4 GHz (9b). In Figure 10, the 3D view and surface current distribution (at 2.4 GHz) of the proposed 3-point star antenna design are shown.

4.1.2. $S_{11}$ Parameter/return loss
In practice, $S_{11}$ is one of the most commonly used parameters when it comes to evaluating the performance of antennas. $S_{11}$ relates to the extent in which incident power would be reflected from the antenna; therefore, it may also be referred to as the reflection coefficient (or return loss), usually denoted by the Greek letter, gamma (Γ). Where $S_{11} = 0$ dB, it is interpreted that 100% of the incident power was reflected back by the antenna at its port, thus nothing was radiated. But where $S_{11} = -13.6$ dB, the implication is that if 5 dB is incident on the antenna, the magnitude of power reflected from the antenna would be $-8.6$ dB, while the rest would be received by the antenna. This accepted power could either be radiated or absorbed as losses within the antenna; the desired outcome, however, is that a good majority of the accepted power is radiated.

It can be seen from the figure 11 above that the square patch antenna radiates best at 2.4 GHz, where $S_{11} = 13.619$ dB. More so, the figure shows that at 2.1 GHz to 2.2 GHz, and further down at 2.5 GHz to 2.7 GHz, the antenna radiates virtually nothing as $S_{11}$ approaches 0 dB. Essentially, the return loss increases as the frequency value deviates from 2.4 GHz. For the proposed 3-point star antenna design, a return loss of $-25.77$ dB was achieved at 2.4 GHz as shown in Figure 11.
4.1.3. Gain and directivity

The gain and directivity of a designed antenna are two very important antenna performance indicators, typically measured in reference to an isotropic antenna, i.e., an “ideal” antenna which receives or transmits energy uniformly in all directions. For a receiving antenna, the gain best describes the antenna’s ability to capture incident radio/microwaves coming from a particular direction, in comparison to an isotropic antenna. The antenna’s directivity on the other hand is a measure of the degree to which the antenna’s radiation can be concentrated into a specific direction as against being uniformly spread in all directions. The simulated gain and directivity
values achieved for the designed square patch microstrip antenna were 5.15101 dBi, and 6.2903 dBi respectively. However, for the proposed “3-point star” antenna design, gain and directivity values of 6.28 dBi and 7.54 dBi respectively were achieved. A combined plot of the antenna gain and directivity for the two featured antenna designs are presented in Figure 12 and Figure 13.

4.1.4. Radiation pattern
In practice, energy radiated by an antenna is not uniform in all directions. The antenna’s radiation is typically found to be maximum in one direction, whereas it may be minimum or near zero in other directions. The radiation pattern therefore informs on the directivity of the antenna. The following Figures 14 and 15 below show a 3D representation of the respective antenna’s far-field radiation pattern. It can be seen that for both antenna designs, power would be radiated in a certain direction, thus the physical orientation of the antenna is a critical factor to be considered in its implementation as a receiver. For an effective performance, it should be positioned towards the direction of the transmitter.

4.1.5. Summary of antenna simulation results

4.2. Simulated rectifier performance
The rectifier’s conversion efficiency was measured with respect to three different load impedances (220 Ω, 380 Ω, and 810 Ω) at a range of 10 dBm to 34 dBm input power level. The efficiency value is defined by the equation:

\[ \eta = \frac{P_{DC}}{P_{RF}} \times 100\% \]  \hspace{1cm} (12)
where, $\eta = \text{efficiency}$,

$P_{\text{DC}} = \text{output DC power}$

$P_{\text{RF}} = \text{source input RF power}$

In Figure 16 below, the measured efficiency values at the selected range of input power levels is plotted. The optimal load resistance (in terms of conversion efficiency) was determined to be around 810 $\Omega$ for a 28-dBm input power level at 2.4 GHz frequency.

Accordingly, the maximum conversion efficiency achieved was 88.02 % for the load impedance of 810 $\Omega$ at 28dBm. Also, as can be observed from the figure, the conversion efficiency tends to increase until the input power reaches a threshold level, where the conversion efficiency attains a peak value, and then goes on to decrease rapidly for higher power levels. Thus, it is recognized that for the proposed rectifier circuit, there is an optimal load impedance value at which a peak conversion efficiency can be achieved for a particular input power level. It is also noted that between 16dBm and 30dBm input power, the conversion efficiency exceeded 60 % for the three respective load impedances as shown above. Finally, an unimpressive return loss of $-4.789$ dB was recorded for the rectifier, thus reflecting the need to improve on the impedance matching of the circuit.
5. Conclusion
A novel 3-point star antenna design has been featured and simulated in comparison to the conventional square microstrip patch antenna design according to performance parameters such as gain, directivity, return loss, radiation pattern and efficiency. From the obtained simulation results, the proposed 3-point star antenna design was shown to exhibit improved performance over the conventional square patch antenna design in terms of gain, directivity, and return loss. However, with respect to the antenna’s radiation efficiency, size (compactness) and ease of fabrication, the conventional square microstrip patch antenna design is shown to be the better alternative of the two. In view of these obtained results, in future work, the objective would be focused on improving the rectenna performance, and practically validating this design. Finally, it is worth noting that for practical implementation, the antenna and rectifier circuit can be coupled via a SubMiniature version A (SMA) connector. The SMA connector is a 50-Ohm coaxial which utilizes a screw type coupling mechanism, and can work or frequencies even as high as 17 GHz. On the other hand, the patch antenna and rectifier circuit can be directly integrated on a single substrate without the need for SMA connectors. This could serve to avert losses associated with the SMA connector, and therefore provides a higher microwave to DC conversion efficiency.
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