Chemical Element Levels as a Methodological Tool in Forensic Science
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Abstract
The aim of the present study was to define a methodological strategy for understanding how post-mortem degradation in bones caused by the environment affects different skeletal parts and for selecting better preserved bone samples, employing rare earth elements (REEs) analysis and multivariate statistics. To test our methodological proposal the samples selected belong to adult and young individuals and were obtained from the Late Roman Necropolis of c/Virgen de la Misericordia located in Valencia city centre (Comunidad Valenciana, Spain).

Therefore, a method for the determination of major elements, trace elements and REEs in bone remains has been developed employing Inductively-Coupled Plasma – Optical Emission Spectroscopy (ICP-OES) and ICP-Mass Spectrometry (MS). Bone samples, mainly rib and femur, from seventy-four individuals have been studied. Principal Component Analysis (PCA) was employed to facilitate the interpretation of the taphonomic processes. A multivariate classification model employing Partial Least Squares Discriminant Analysis (PLSDA) was used to identify bones with less soil contamination. Parameters show that diet profiles of a population could change depending on the type of bones analyzed. The proposed method could be useful in forensic science investigations to select better preserved samples in different scenarios.
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Introduction
Chemical elements reach the body by being ingested from food or from environmental exposure. Determinations of chemical element in bones are employed to investigate pathologies, nutrition, injuries and other forensic issues.

The structure and chemical composition of bones can be modified post-mortem by diagenesis processes and since many decades researchers have been intensively studying these natural mechanisms. Some authors have investigated post-mortem soil contamination in bones [1-6]. Other authors have studied diagenesis degradation effects in bone matrix [7-9]. Post-mortem toxic metal bone contaminations (e.g. arsenic and lead) have been also investigated by authors [10,11].

More recently, Rare earth element (REEs) analysis have been performed for monitoring the impact of diagenetic processes in fossil bones [12-18]. Post-mortem trace element chemistry of bone minerals could be potentially a sensitive indicator of the early depositional and hence the burial locality. However, to be useful as a tracer for a burial locality, target elements must meet several criteria such as: i) vary significantly between environments; ii) not be present in living tissues; iii) be incorporated rapidly and easily into bones post-mortem. They must not be subject to significant fractionation after initial incorporation into the bone [19]. In many studies, REEs have been shown to potentially provide these characteristics. The total REEs concentrations in bones in vivo are typically of the order of <1 ppm [20,21]. They do not have known physiological functions and elevated concentrations are not present in food. Due to their little biological uptake, there is no known difference of REEs concentrations between taxonomic or dietary groups. The rate of incorporation of REEs into bones varies with the depositional environment. Variation in REEs composition in bones between and across depositional environments has been shown in several works [18,22-29]. Different intra-skeletal studies can be found in literature. Some works have carried out bone density fractionation studies separating bones of different mineral densities taking into account post-mortem degradation processes [30-32]. Other authors have studied mineralogical and structural post-mortem changes observing variations at intra and inter-skeletal scales [33]. Intra-skeletal comparative studies involving chemical analysis of femurs and ribs also have been carried out; ribs have been found to be more sensitive to diagenetic processes [34]. However, currently no data have been published about diagenetic processes impact in bones as humerus, skull, tibia, radius that could be also employed for biochemical studies.

The general aim of the present study was to define a methodological strategy to understand how post-mortem degradation in bones caused by the environment, affects different skeletal parts and define an approach to select bone samples, employing major, trace elements and REEs analysis with multivariate statistics.

Therefore, a method for the determination of chemical elements in bone remains has been developed employing Inductively-Coupled Plasma Optical Emission Spectroscopy (ICP-OES) and ICP-Mass Spectrometry (MS). Two hundred eighty nine samples obtained from seventy-four individuals have been analyzed. The samples selected belong to adult and young individuals and derive from the Late Roman Necropolis of c/Virgen de la Misericordia located in Valencia city centre (Comunidad Valenciana, Spain)
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Roman Necropolis of c/Virgen de la Misericordia discovered in 1992 [35], located in Valencia city centre (Comunidad Valenciana, Spain). The Late Roman burial rite consisted in inhumation. At this site, the tombs belong to the period that lasted from the I century A.D. until the beginning of the V century A.D.

Bone samples have been collected mainly from femur and rib. In addition, some bones from other skeletal areas have been sampled (tibia, humerus, radius and parietal). Furthermore, bone samples from the outer bone layer and soil samples have been analyzed. Outer bone layer samples were obtained from the internal and external bone surface directly in contact with the sediments. Principal Component Analysis (PCA) and a classification model employing Partial Least Squares Discriminant Analysis (PLSDA) were applied to identify bone samples with a better preserved elemental composition. Diet reconstruction has been carried out employing different bones classes. Zn/Ca relations to identify higher or lower protein intake and Sr/Ca to identify pastoral or agricultural diet studies have been employed to test interpretive errors.

Materials and Methods

Samples

The samples employed to test our methodological proposal come from the Late Roman necropolis of c/Virgen de la Misericordia located in the city centre of Valencia (Spain). This necropolis was discovered during a salvage archaeological intervention between 1992 and 1993 [35]. At this site, the tombs belong to the period that lasted from the I century A.D. until the late III century A.D. or the beginning of the V century A.D. The analyzed bones, dated between the III and IV centuries A.D., are from seventy-four adult and young individuals. The Late Roman burial rite consisted in inhumation and has been documented in different typologies of thumbs. The most frequent type of grave consisted in a pit that could house the body directly or in a coffin of wood or a ceramic container. Pits with a cover of tegulae and some glass. At the end of this century and the beginning of the second century A.D. they were composed of a single piece of ceramic or sometimes a coin in the mouth of the individual and from the third century A.D. the habit of composed of a single piece of ceramic or sometimes a coin in the mouth of the individual and from the third century A.D. the habit of placing downwires inside the tombs seems to disappear.

When it was feasible, for each individual different skeletal areas have been sampled, including mainly femur and rib and in some cases bones from other parties (tibia, humerus, radius and cranium). Bone samples from the outer bone layer have been analysed in order to detect elemental differences between the bones and their external part induced by diagenetic factors. All bone samples have been buried under the same environmental conditions, therefore, soil samples obtained from the surface of the bones have been analysed to understand the chemical relation between bone surface and sediment.

Chemical analysis of bone samples

The bone samples have been mainly taken from cortical tissue of seventy-four individuals (except one spongy tissue sample). The sampling was carried out taking different classes of bones of each individual. The two hundred eighty nine samples (bones, bone surfaces and soils) have been sampled using a cutting toll and a micro spoon spatula made by stainless steel, respectively, always cleaned before taking a new sample and stored in test tubes. Outer bone layer samples were obtained from the internal and external bone surface directly in contact with the sediments and the two hundred eighty nine samples were crushed, homogenized and pestle by an agate mortar. The digestion method and concentration ranges of the dilutions from the digested solution were optimized in order to provide reproducible and comparable results compatible with the sensitivity of the analytical methods employed.

The digestion method consisted in the addition of 1.5 ml HCl and 1.5 ml HNO₃ to 0.5g of sample (bones and soil) in glass tubes placing them in a water bath at 100 °C for 40 min. Subsequently, the digested solutions have been carefully poured into plastic tubes of 15 ml bringing the volume to 15 ml with purified water. This concentrated solution (A), was used to measure trace elements such as Zn, Cu, Ba and Mn. For the analysis of Bi, Pb, Cd, Cr, Co, Li, Mo, Ni, Cr, REEs (La, Ce, Pr, Nd, Sm, Eu, Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Er, Tm, Yb, Lu) Sc and Y, solution (A) was diluted 1:10 obtaining solution (B). To measure Mg and Sr, solution (A) was diluted 1:250 obtaining solution (C). Solution (D) was then used to analyze Ca from diluting solution (A) 1:2000. Concentrations of HCl and HNO₃ have been maintained constant in all solutions. A multi-elemental stock solution containing Ca, Mg, Sr, Ba,Cu, Zn, Pb, Mn, Cd, Bi, V, Pb, Cd, Cr, Co, Li, Mo, Ni, Ti, REEs, Sc and Y at a concentration of 100 µg/ml was prepared. For the preparation of the calibration standards, 50 ml volumetric flasks were used adding 5 ml of HNO₃, 5 ml of HCl and the corresponding volume of standard solution and filling up to volume with pure water. Solution (A), (C) and (D) were analyzed by ICP-OES with a Perkin Elmer 5300 DV (Norwalk, CT, USA) and solution (B) was analyzed by ICP-MS with Perkin Elmer Elan DRCII (Concord, Ontario, Canada).

To avoid the obstruction of the nebulizer system samples were filtered employing filter paper (Whatman N.1 of 70mm). Concentrations ranging between 0 and 0.6 µg/ml have been used for trace elements (Bi, V, Pb, Cd, Cr, Co, Li, Mo, Ni, Ti, REEs, Sc and Y at a concentration of 100 µg/ml was prepared. For the preparation of the calibration standards, 50 ml volumetric flasks were used adding 5 ml of HNO₃, 5 ml of HCl and the corresponding volume of standard solution and filling up to volume with pure water. Solution (A), (C) and (D) were analyzed by ICP-OES with a Perkin Elmer 5300 DV (Norwalk, CT, USA) and solution (B) was analyzed by ICP-MS with Perkin Elmer Elan DRCII (Concord, Ontario, Canada).

To avoid the obstruction of the nebulizer system samples were filtered employing filter paper (Whatman N.1 of 70mm). Concentrations ranging between 0 and 0.6 µg/ml have been used for trace elements (Bi, V, Pb, Cd, Cr, Co, Li, Mo, Ni, Ti, La, Ce, Pr, Nd), and concentrations ranging between 0 and 0.1 µg/ml for Sm, Eu, Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Er, Tm, Yb, Lu. All standard errors were acquired from Sharlab S.L. (Barcelona). The standard error of readings during the analysis ranged from 0% to 2% for major elements, from 1% to 3% for trace elements and from 3% to 9% for REEs. Bone ash NIST 1400 and soil GBW07408 have been used as standard reference materials for evaluating the analytical method. These standards were acquired from Sharlab S.L. (Barcelona).

Data analysis

For statistical analysis thirty-two bone samples from femur, sixty-four from rib, twenty-two bones of other type (four from parietal, eight from tibia, five humerus, one radius, one large bone, one child’s humerus, one child’s large bone, one child’s spongy bone), thirty-two outer layer of femur, sixty-two outer layer of rib, and fifty five soils
(seven samples of soil close to the femur, twenty-one samples of soil close to the rib, fourteen soil of the necropolis, thirteen soil close to the other type of bones) have been employed. All variables (i.e. elements) have been used for modeling.

PCA was used to explore large geochemical datasets thus, reducing the number of variables and providing a deeper insight into the structure of the variance of the dataset [37]. For PCA all rib, femur, the corresponding samples from the outer bone layers and the soil samples have been employed resulting in a data set with two hundred fourty-four samples and thirty-three variables. Autoscaling was used as a pre-processing step prior to modeling. Partial least squares discriminant analysis (PLSDA) is a frequently used classification method [38-41]. Here, it has been applied to differentiate between digenetic states of bone samples. For model calibration, two out of three bone samples classified as rib (fouenty-two samples) and femur (twenty-two) were selected, resulting in a calibration set with sixty-four samples and thirty-three variables. The remaining rib (twenty-two) and femur (ten) bone samples were used as an external validation set with the dimensions thirty-two samples by thirty-three variables for evaluating model performance. Four parietals (skull), eight tibiae, five humeri, one radius, one large bone, one child’s humerus, one child’s large bone and one child’s spongy bone were included in the test set to predict their class, resulting in a matrix composed by twenty-two samples and thirty-three variables. Autoscaling and cross validation employing random sub-sets with four data splits and seven iterations were used. The optimum number of latent variables for calculating the PLSDA model was established by means of the misclassification rate of the calibration dataset. To assess the statistical significance of selected predictive quality parameters of the established PLSDA model, permutation testing was carried out employing the calibration set and permutation of the class labels. Briefly, a permutation test is a simulation of the null hypothesis of no difference between classes [42]. Scrambled models should provide worse figures of merit than the original model, if that model could not have arisen by chance.

Data analysis have been carried out using the PLS Toolbox 6.5 (Eigenvector Research Inc., Wenatchee, WA, USA) running in Matlab R2012b (Mathworks Inc., Natick, MA, USA).

### Results and Discussion

#### Determination of the elemental composition of bone samples employing ICP-OES and ICP-MS

Once sample preparation had been developed as described in the methodology, analyses have been performed by ICP-OES and ICP-MS. Thirty-three elements were analysed including mayor elements, trace elements and REEs. The analytical emission wavelengths, mass, instrumental detection and quantification limit (LOD and LOQ, respectively) and $R^2$ is listed in Table 1 for ICP-OES and ICP-MS analysis. To allow comparisons between standards and samples, measurement units are μg/g. The two hundred eighty-nine samples can be observed in Table 2 for six groups (Femur, Femur Surf., Rib, Rib Surf., Femur Soil, Rib Soil) and in Table 3 for four groups (Bones, Bones Surf., Bones Soil, Soil).

| Element | Wavelength [nm] | Mass [Da] | LOD | LOQ | $R^2$ |
|---------|----------------|-----------|-----|-----|-------|
| Ca      | 317.933        | -         | 1600 | 5400 | 0.9996 |
| Sr      | 421.552        | -         | 4    | 13   | 0.9995 |
| Mg      | 285.213        | -         | 0.4  | 1.3  | 0.9999 |
| Zn      | 206.2          | -         | 0.4  | 1.2  | 0.9998 |
| Cu      | 327.393        | -         | 0.11 | 0.4  | 0.9999 |
| Ba      | 233.527        | -         | 0.08 | 0.3  | 0.9999 |
| Mn      | 257.61         | -         | 0.14 | 0.5  | 0.9997 |
| Re$^*$  | 197.248        | -         | -    | -    | 0.9997 |
| La      | -              | 139       | 0.0004 | 0.0014 | 0.9997 |
| Ce      | -              | 140       | 0.0005 | 0.0018 | 0.9997 |
| Pr      | -              | 141       | 0.00010 | 0.0003 | 0.9997 |
| Nd      | -              | 142       | 0.0003 | 0.0010 | 0.9985 |
| Sm      | -              | 152       | 0.0003 | 0.0011 | 0.9999 |
| Eu      | -              | 151       | 6E-05 | 0.00018 | 0.9998 |
| Gd      | -              | 158       | 0.00015 | 0.0005 | 0.9998 |
| Tb      | -              | 159       | 5E-05 | 0.00017 | 0.9977 |
| Dy      | -              | 162       | 1.1E-05 | 4E-05 | 0.9998 |
| Ho      | -              | 165       | 3E-05 | 0.00011 | 0.9983 |
| Er      | -              | 166       | 0.00013 | 0.0005 | 0.9999 |
| Tm      | -              | 169       | 1.6E-05 | 5E-05 | 0.9985 |
| Yb      | -              | 172       | 7E-05 | 0.0002 | 0.9999 |
| Lu      | -              | 175       | 1.7E-05 | 6 E-05 | 0.9991 |
| Sc      | -              | 45        | 0.013 | 0.04  | 0.9998 |
| Y       | -              | 89        | 0.0005 | 0.0016 | 0.9996 |
| Bi      | -              | 209       | 0.0006 | 0.002 | 0.9999 |
| Cd      | -              | 111       | 0.00017 | 0.0006 | 0.9995 |
| Cr      | -              | 52        | 0.01  | 0.3   | 0.9986 |
| Co      | -              | 59        | 0.0004 | 0.0014 | 0.9986 |
| Pb      | -              | 207       | 0.0007 | 0.002 | 0.9996 |
| Li      | -              | 7         | 0.0002 | 0.0008 | 0.9994 |
| Mo      | -              | 95        | 0.0011 | 0.004  | 0.9998 |
| Ni      | -              | 60        | 0.007  | 0.02  | 0.9996 |
| Ti      | -              | 205       | 8E-05 | 0.0003 | 0.9999 |
| V       | -              | 51        | 0.03  | 0.11  | 0.999 |
| Rh$^*$  | -              | 103       | -     | -     | -     |

The obtained mean concentrations with their standard deviations and number of analyzed samples can be observed in Table 2 for six groups (Femur, Femur Surf., Rib, Rib Surf., Femur Soil, Rib Soil) and in Table 3 for four groups (Bones, Bones Surf., Bones Soil, Soil).
For Standard Reference Materials NIST 1400 (bone ash) and GBW07408 (soil), the obtained values are statistically comparable to the certified values.

Table 2: Mean concentrations of major, trace and Rare Earth Elements of samples and their standard deviations (SD) of six groups. Note: Value expressed in µg/g, *Ca expressed in mg/g. Number of Samples (N.S.)

Revealing the intraskeletal impact of diagenetic factors applying PCA

Figure 1 shows the results obtained from PCA which was employed for gaining a deeper insight into the complex dataset. The first principal component (PC1) contains the main part of the variance in the data (59.54%). Scores plots represent data points (i.e. samples) projected into the calculated PC space. They are frequently used for data exploration, as the distance between samples can be related to their similarity. From the scores plot shown in Figure 1a, as expected, it can be appreciated that soil samples are clearly different from bone samples. A more significant finding is that the spatial ordering of the samples was clearly visible in the PC scores plot: bone samples from the outer bone layer are located between soil samples and bone samples. Having a closer look at PC1, it can be seen that the group variance of femur samples is smaller than observed for rib samples and hence, this type of bone is recommendable due to its homogeneity.

The shown data suggest that outer layer parts of bones belonging to different skeletal areas have not suffered the same diagenetic impact. From the intensities and signs of the loadings on PC1, Ca, Sr and Zn can be identified to show higher concentrations in bone samples than soil samples. Conversely, the relative concentrations of Mg, Mn, REEs (La-Lu), Sc, Y, Bi, Co, Tl are lower in bone samples than in the outer layer part of femur, the outer layer part of rib as well as in soil samples.

Table 3: Mean concentrations of major, trace and Rare Earth Elements of samples and their standard deviations (SD) of four groups. Note: Value expressed in µg/g, *Ca expressed in mg/g. Number of Samples (N.S.)

In the loadings plot shown in Figure 1b, the contribution of each variable (i.e. elements) to the PC1 is represented, being the absolute intensity of the loading of each variable directly correlated with its magnitude of contribution to the model and the sign with its direction. As explained above, PC1 contains useful information for differentiating bone, outer layer part of femur, outer layer part of rib and soil samples.

These results indicate that the bone surface of ribs is suffering a major degree of diagenetic processes than the bone surface of femur. The shown data suggest that outer layer parts of bones belonging to different skeletal areas have not suffered the same diagenetic impact. From the intensities and signs of the loadings on PC1, Ca, Sr and Zn can be identified to show higher concentrations in bone samples than soil samples. Conversely, the relative concentrations of Mg, Mn, REEs (La-Lu), Sc, Y, Bi, Co, Tl are lower in bone samples than in the outer layer part of femur, the outer layer part of rib as well as in soil samples.
Classification of diverse bones employing PLSDA

In biochemical-forensic studies elemental alteration caused by sediments to different classes of bones has to be taken into account to avoid erroneous interpretations about pathologies, nutrition, injuries and other forensic issues. Moreover, there is a correlation between bone classes and diagenetic impact (different bones can suffer post-mortem processes differently). However, a-priori class assignment is not always possible. To overcome this difficulty, PLSDA has been applied to classify bone samples from the cortical part of femurs and cortical part of ribs using their elemental profile. A calibration set was built including samples belonging to the classes "Femur" and "Rib" for model calculation. In addition, using "Femur" and "Rib" samples which have not been included in the calibration set, an external validation set was built. As shown in Figure 2a, for both calibration and validation sets, good class separation between ribs and femurs have been obtained using a PLSDA model employing one latent variable. From the regression vector shown in Figure 2a, it can be observed that Zn, Cu, La, Ce, Pr, Nd, Sm, Eu, Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Er, Tm, Yb, Lu, Y, Bi and Mo show elevated concentrations in rib samples. This model was applied to a set of bones belonging to different classes of cortical bones which were not used for model calibration or validation (test set). As it can be appreciated from Figure 2a and 2b most of bone samples (humerus, skull, tibia, radius) are similar to femurs except for the child’s humerus, child’s large bone (Large Child) and the child’s spongy bone, which were similar to the rib class. Due to the result of the statistical test, bone samples containing a major chemical element...
soil contamination could be identified. Although a greater interaction between soil and some bone classes marked by the REEs contents could be identified, reflecting an increase of some trace elements such as Zn, Cu, Y, Bi and Mo, no correlation has been observed between the highest REEs values, and diagenesis impact on Ca, Sr, Mg, Ba, Mn, Sc, Bi, Cd, Cr, Co, Pb, Li, Ni, Ti and V concentrations, which remain similar in all bone classes. Higher Ca values are justified by its elevated biological content. Sr, Mg, Ba, Mn, Sc, Bi, Cd, Cr, Co, Pb, Li, Ni, Ti and V, probably are equally affected in all bones by taphonomic processes.

Separation between femurs and ribs in the model could be explained by the differences in mineralogical density, where ribs are mainly composed of spongy tissue and are more susceptible to diagenetic processes. In contrast femurs and other bones (humerus, skull, tibia, radius), given to their denser mineralization, are less influenced by soil contamination and environmental chemical element changes. Consequently the semblance in chemical elements of ribs with the child’s humerus, child’s large bone and the child’s spongy bone may be induced by lesser mineralization and thinner cortex that make those bones prone to post-mortem contamination [6].

The established PLSDA model was validated employing permutation testing. The results of the permutation test using single CV and one LV are shown in Figure 3. This figure displays the correlation coefficient between the original and the permuted class vectors versus the standardized SSQ. It can be seen that the self-predicted standardized SSQ values after class permutation always showed values close to one independently from their correlation with the real class values [43,44].

Conversely, CV showed that PLSDA models built from permuted class labels with a low correlation to real class values resulted in significantly lower values of standardized SSQ. A p-value of 0.013 was obtained for cross-validation, by employing a randomization t-test, thus confirming the significance of the original PLSDA model at a 95% confidence level. Results from the permutation test show that the PLSDA model built for the classification of rib and femur samples is not due to chance correlations and it can be considered to be highly significant and reliable.
Diet profile changes depending on the bone classes

Diet reconstruction has been carried out employing different bones classes to test the interpretive errors that take actions without consider diagenetic factors. Conventionally, diet studies have employed Zn/Ca relations to identify higher or lower protein intake and Sr/Ca to identify pastoral or agricultural societies. Zn/Ca reference values >0.5 equivalent to a diet rich in protein and <35 equivalent to a diet poor in protein were employed [45,46]. Sillen and Kavanagh (1982) reference values were taken into consideration for Sr/Ca relation where >0.7 identifies an agricultural economy (vegetable), <0.4 a pastoral economy (milk and meat) and values between 0.4–0.7 a mixed economy (vegetable, milk and meat) [45,46]. Diet and economy, depending on the semblance of the different bone classes to one or another value, were associated (Figure 4a and b).

Interesting results are observed for the relation of Zn/Ca (Fig.4a). The groups of femur, humerus, parietal (SKULL), tibia, radius and large adult bones (LARGE ADULT) are related to a poor protein diet intake. Conversely, the groups of ribs (RIB), child’s large bone (LARGE CHILD) and child’s spongy bone are related to a rich protein diet intake, except the child’s humerus that is similar to the femur's diet profile. The relation Sr/Ca shows that all the group profiles are related to a mayor intake of vegetable except for radius that present a mix diet (intake of vegetables and as well as meat and dairies).

It was observed that the groups of rib and the child bones except the humerus present contradictions in their established diet profile, as a mayor intake of protein is not related to a vegetable diet. The difference in diet profiles of child’s humerus and rib groups are probably due to Zn values similar to the femur group, as reflected by PLSDA. In fact child’s humerus is the only sample classified to the rib side, but very close to the femur group. Resuming, diet reconstruction employing Zn/Ca and Sr/Ca parameters has shown that, depending on the class of bones analyzed, conclusions about people life-style could change.

Statistical classification and chemical analyses of buried bones belonging to different skeletal areas has been shown to be a useful tool, to control diagenetic factors in order to decide whether a sample is suitable or not for biochemical-forensic studies.

Conclusions

ICP-OES and ICP-MS analysis have shown to be adequate techniques for the determination of the elemental composition of samples. PCA has shown that the elemental profile of bone and soil samples, as expected, is clearly different. Furthermore the group of the outer layer part of ribs is more similar to the soil class (Soil) than the outer layer part of the femur. This indicates that the elemental profile of the outer bone layer of rib is more altered in comparison to the outer layer part of the femur as it is more similar to soil samples withdrawn from the surroundings of the place of finding. This may be due to diagenetic factors that are more evident in rib surface, because rib is mainly composed of spongy tissue and is more susceptible to diagenesis than femur surface, as indicated by higher REEs concentrations. The statistical results provided evidence that diagenesis in the surface layers of skeletal bones belonging to different sectors does not have the same impact. Consequently, the use of elemental profiles found in outer bone layers for biochemical-forensic studies is not recommended, because they could be masked by diagenetic factors and, therefore, misleading conclusions could be obtained.
Results from PLSDA confirmed a major enrichment of REEs, Y, Zn, Cu, Bi and Mo in ribs. Furthermore child bones are assigned to the class of ribs, probably due to the similarity in mineral density that leads to a similar interaction of the chemical elements with the sediments. In child bones, lesser mineralization and the thinner cortex make those bones prone to post-mortem contamination. Femurs are different from ribs and the distribution of different bone classes, depending on their elemental composition, has been shown to be feasible. This means that when we want to identify the degree of diagenetic impact in a population and at intra-skeletal levels, statistical analysis can help to classify different bones according to their elemental profile. These preliminary results suggest that femur, humerus, radius, tibia and parietal have undertaken less diagenesis than rib and child bones as they have significantly lower concentrations of REEs. A lower post-mortem incorporation of exogenous elements may have caused mayor mineralogical density in femur, humerus, radius, tibia and parietal. Trace elements such as Zn, Cu, Y, Bi and Mo seem to follow the same behaviour of REEs enrichments from soils in ribs and child bones. Although a greater interaction between soil and some bone classes marked by REEs contents could be seen, reflecting an increase of some trace elements, no correlation has been observed between the highest content of REEs, and the impact of diagenesis on the remaining elements (Ca, Sr, Mg, Ba, Mn, Sc, Bi, Cd, Cr, Co, Pb, Li, Ni, Ti and V). In case of Ca this may be due to higher biological contents. For the other elements, soil contamination has equally affected the bones.

Experimental tests employing Zn/Ca and Sr/Ca, traditional diet parameters, have shown that diet profiles of a population could change depending on the class of bones analyzed.

Consequently, for forensic investigations, the analysis of major elements, trace elements and REEs is suggested in combination with the statistical classification of bones exposed to different degrees of diagenesis and bones belonging to different skeletal sectors, along with experimental studies of modern bones. Diagenetic factors caused by the environment can be controlled by soil analysis and the first layer on the surface of the bones employing ICP-OES and ICP-MS analysis and statistical tools in order to decide whether a sample is suitable or not for biochemical studies.

Upcoming studies applying statistical methods are already in due course, in order to understand how the impacts of taphonomic processes in inorganic bone matter, presented in our study, also the archaeologist Miguel Roselló for providing the archaeological excavation data. JK acknowledges the “Sara Borrell” grant from the Instituto Carlos III (Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness).
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