Identification of putative markers linked to grain plumpness in rice (Oryza sativa L.) via association mapping

Erbao Liu†, Siyuan Zeng†, Xiangong Chen, Xiaojing Dang, Lijun Liang, Hui Wang, Zhiyao Dong, Yang Liu and Delin Hong *

Abstract

Background: Poor grain plumpness (GP) is one of the main constraints to reaching the yield potential of hybrid rice.

Results: In this study, the GP of 177 rice varieties was investigated in three locations across 2 years. By combining the genotype data of 261 simple sequence repeat (SSR) markers, association mapping was conducted to identify the marker-GP association loci. Among 31 marker-GP association loci detected in two or more environments and determined using general linear model (GLM) analyses, seven association loci were also detected using mixed linear model (MLM) analyses. The seven common loci detected by the two analytical methods were located on chromosomes 2, 3 (2), 7, 8 and 12 (2) and explained 7.24~22.28% of the variance. Of these 7 association loci, five markers linked to GP were newly detected: RM5340 on Chr2, RM5480 and RM148 on Chr3, RM1235 on Chr8, and RM5479 on Chr12.

Conclusions: Five marker-GP association loci were newly detected using both the GLM and MLM analytical methods. Elite allele RM505-170 bp had the highest average phenotypic effect on increasing the GP, and the typical carrier variety was ‘Maozitou’. Based on the distribution of the elite alleles among the carrier varieties, the top 10 parental combinations for improving the GP in rice via cross-breeding were predicted.

Keywords: Rice, Grain plumpness, Association mapping, Genetic architecture, Elite allele

Background

Rice (Oryza sativa L.) is the main staple food for more than 50% of the world’s population [1]. As the amount of arable land area decreases, higher rice yields will be needed to meet the needs of the increasing world population [2]. The grain yield of rice per unit area of land is determined by the panicle number, grain number per panicle and grain weight. When the panicle number per unit area of land and grain number per panicle are optimized, improving the grain weight plays a key role in further increasing the yield in rice breeding programmes [3]. The grain weight is closely related to the grain size and grain plumpness (GP) [4], and the grain size is determined by the grain length, grain width and grain thickness. Several genes have been reported to contribute to controlling grain size, including GS3 [5, 6], GL3 [7], GL7 [8], PGL1 [9] and PGL2 [10], which regulate grain length and grain weight, and GW2 (encoding a really interesting new gene [RING]-type E3 ubiquitin ligase) [11], qSW5 [12], GW5 [13], GS5 (encoding a putative serine carboxypeptidase) [14] and GW8 (encoding a transcription factor with a squamosa promoter binding protein-like [SBP] domain) [15], which regulate grain width and grain weight. However, poor GP remains a limiting factor for reaching the yield potential for hybrid rice, especially hybrid japonica rice [16, 17]. Poor GP decreases both grain weight (and thus final grain yield) and rice quality (broken grains increase after being milled). Thus, improving the GP is essential for completely realizing the yield potential of hybrid rice.

To our knowledge, 15 quantitative trait loci (QTLs) related to GP have been mapped to date, and they are...
distributed on chromosomes 1 (3), 2 (1), 5 (2), 6 (3), 7 (3), 8 (1), 11 (1) and 12 (1) [18–20]. However, no genes for GP have been cloned. Other studies have found that GP is closely related to sucrose synthesis and transport. Two rice sucrose synthase genes—SUS3 on Chr7 and SUS4 on Chr3—may be involved in carbon allocation in filling grains [21]. The cell-wall invertase gene—OsCIN1 on Chr2—plays an important role in providing a carbon source to develop filial tissues during the early course of grain filling in the caryopsis [22]. The gene grain incomplete filling 1 (GIF1) on Chr4 regulates sucrose transport and uploading during the grain-filling stage, and the overexpression of GIF1 can increase grain filling and final grain weight [23].

Most QTLs for GP have been detected based on linkage mapping using bi-parent-derived populations, and only two alleles at a given locus have been studied [24]. Association mapping, which is a new approach, has greater power to detect more alleles or alleles with weak effects [25]. In this paper, we reported elite alleles for GP detected by association mapping using a population composed of 177 rice varieties and 261 simple sequence repeat (SSR) markers and their carrier varieties.

Methods

Geographical distribution of varieties used and field planting

The 177 rice varieties used in this study represent a subset of our previous reports [24, 26]. Among them, 148 were from China, and 29 were from Vietnam (Additional file 1: Table S1). The varieties were distributed from 17.00°N to 41.81°N. The 177 varieties were grown from May to November 2013 and 2014 at three locations: Jiangpu Experimental Farm (JEF; 118.62°E, 32.07°N), Nanjing Agricultural University, Jiangsu province, and Xinyang Experimental Farm (XF; 114.12°E, 32.10°N) and Yuanyang Farm (YF; 113.96°E, 35.05°N), Henan Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Henan Province, China. JEF and XF are located at almost the same latitude, but their longitudes differ by 4.5°. XF and YF are located at almost the same longitude, but their latitudes differ by 3°. Seedlings aged approximately 30 days were transplanted to the paddy field by hand each year at each location. Each plot consisted of five rows with eight plants per row, and the spacing was 17 cm × 20 cm. The field trial was arranged using a completely randomized block design with two replications at each location.

Phenotyping

The main stem panicles of the 10 plants in the middle three rows of each plot were harvested, threshed and dried under natural sunshine to 13% moisture. All dried spikelets were placed on a translucent lamp box, and the empty grains (unfertilized spikelets) were selected by hand. Then, the full grains were separated from the remaining mixed filled grains (full plus shrunken) using a salt solution with a specific gravity of 1.1. The full grains and shrunken grains were then dried at 105°C for 24 h to constant weight. The measurements of the full grains and mixed filled grains for each plot were replicated three times. The GP was calculated using the following formula:

\[
GP(\%) = \frac{A_c}{B_c} \times 100
\]

where \(A_c\) represents the average thousand-grain weight of the mixed filled grains of variety \(c\), and \(B_c\) represents the average thousand-grain weight of the full grains of variety \(c\) [27].

Genotyping

The SSR molecular marker genotype data published in [26] were used in this study, except for RM433 on chromosome 8, which showed no polymorphism among the 177 accessions. The base pair start positions on the chromosomes for each SSR marker are presented (Additional file 2: Table S2) for calculating the physical distance between markers on the chromosomes.

Data analysis

The phenotypic data were statistically analysed using Microsoft Excel 2010. The broad-sense heritability was computed using the formula [28]

\[
H^2_B = \frac{\sigma^2_g}{\sigma^2_g + \sigma^2_e/n}
\]

where \(\sigma^2_g\) is the genetic variance, \(\sigma^2_e\) is the error variance, and \(n\) is the number of replications.

Two methods were used to detect the population genetic architecture of the 177 accessions. The first was the Bayesian cluster analysis approach, which was implemented using STRUCTURE version 2.2 [29]. The second was the neighbour-joining method, which was carried out using MEGA version 5.0 based on Nei’s genetic distance [30]. The computations followed the same approach as those described in [31]. The coefficient of genetic differentiation \((F_{ST})\) [32] was calculated to measure the fixation of different alleles in different subpopulations using Arlequin version 3.0 [33]. The number of alleles per locus, gene diversity and polymorphism information content (PIC) were determined using PowerMarker version 3.25. The \(r^2\) value [34] calculated via TASSEL version 2.1 [35] was used as the preferred measure of linkage disequilibrium (LD).

Two models, the general linear model (GLM) and the mixed linear model (MLM), were used to analyse the associations between GP and SSR markers with TASSEL version 2.1. In the GLM, only the Q matrix was used as a covariate, while in the MLM, both the Q matrix and
kinship matrix was calculated via SPAGEdi to estimate the genetic relatedness among individuals [36]. The kinship matrix was used as covariates [36]. A false discovery rate (FDR) of 0.01 was used as a threshold for significant associations [38]. Based on the identified association locus, the ‘null allele’ (non-amplified allele) was used to determine the phenotypic effects of other alleles [39]. Alleles with frequencies of less than 5% in the population were regarded as rare alleles and treated as missing data. The following formula was used to calculate the positive (negative) average allele effect (AAE) of each locus:

\[ AAE = \sum a_i / n_i \]

where \( \sum a_i \) is the positive (negative) allelic phenotypic effects of locus \( i \), and \( n_i \) is the number of positive (negative) alleles within locus \( i \).

**Results**

**Phenotypic variation and genetic diversity in the population studied**

Among the six environments, the mean GP values were higher than 90%, and the coefficient of variation ranged from 3.35% to 4.22%. The broad-sense heritability for the GP was greater than 90% in each environment (Table 1). No significant differences were detected over 2 years at any location, indicating that the GP is influenced mainly by genetic factors. A two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) showed that the differences in GP among the 177 varieties were significant at the 0.01 probability level, indicating that a large amount of genetic variation existed in the entire population.

Highly significant correlations (\( \alpha = 0.01 \)) were observed for the GP trait between the 2 years at each location. The coefficients of correlation between the 2 years were 0.814 at YF, 0.975 at XF and 0.974 at JEF. The coefficients of correlation between the pairs of locations were 0.432 \( (r_{\text{YF-XF}}, \text{JEF and XF}) \), 0.312 \( (r_{\text{YF-XF}}, \text{YF and XF}) \) and 0.367 \( (r_{\text{YF-JEF}}, \text{YF and JEF}) \). Thus, the variation tendency of the GP was consistent across years and locations.

**Table 1** Descriptive statistics for GP (%) for two years at three locations

| Location | Year   | Mean ± SD | Maximum | Minimum | CV (%) | \( H^2_B \) (%) |
|----------|--------|-----------|---------|---------|--------|--------------|
| YF       | 2013   | 94.33 ± 3.68 | 99.51 | 84.89 | 3.91 | 97.09 |
|          | 2014   | 93.47 ± 3.77 | 99.52 | 79.43 | 4.04 | 98.61 |
| XF       | 2013   | 92.20 ± 3.89 | 99.33 | 77.89 | 4.22 | 96.75 |
|          | 2014   | 90.31 ± 3.72 | 98.37 | 78.23 | 4.12 | 96.45 |
| JEF      | 2013   | 93.02 ± 3.20 | 99.55 | 82.66 | 3.44 | 95.22 |
|          | 2014   | 93.12 ± 3.12 | 99.17 | 83.25 | 3.35 | 96.95 |

\( ^a \)Standard deviation, \( ^b \)Coefficient of variation, \( ^c \)Broad-sense heritability, \( ^d \)Yuyang Farm, \( ^e \)Xinyang Farm, \( ^f \)Jiangsu Experimental Farm

Of the 1948 alleles amplified by 261 SSR marker loci in the 177 varieties, 35.14% were rare alleles with frequencies less than 5%. The average number of alleles per SSR locus was 7.46 and ranged from 2 to 20. The average gene diversity was 0.6734 and ranged from 0.0223 (RM140 on Chr1) to 0.9152 (RM7545 on Chr10). The average PIC value was 0.6395 and ranged from 0.0221 (RM140 on Chr1) to 0.9091 (RM7545 on Chr10) (Additional file 2: Table S2).

**Genetic architecture of the original population**

Although the 177 accesses represent a subset of the 540 accesses used in our previous reports [26], the present population was still divided into seven subpopulations using \( \Delta K \) as the diagnostic criterion (Additional file 3: Figure S1a and 1b). This may be caused by a broad geographical distribution (17.00°N to 41.81°N), although the number of points was reduced. Based on the criterion of \( Q > 0.900 \), 17 varieties were assigned to the admixed group and were not analysed further. The other 160 varieties were reanalysed using the STRUCTURE software package, and they were clearly differentiated into seven subpopulations with \( Q > 0.900 \) for each variety (Fig. 1c; Additional file 1: Table S1). The neighbour-joining tree constructed based on Nei’s genetic distance [30] supported the finding that the original population was composed of seven subpopulations (i.e., SP1 to SP7; Fig. 1d). The numbers of varieties included in SP1-SP7 were 29, 28, 25, 12, 20, 28 and 18, respectively. The varieties in SP3 and SP4 are mainly from Vietnam, whereas the varieties in the other five subpopulations are from China (Additional file 1: Table S1).

**Pairwise \( F_{ST} \) and Nei’s genetic distance among subpopulations**

The average \( F_{ST} \) value of the seven subpopulations (160 varieties) was 0.6587. The \( F_{ST} \) value between SP3 and SP4 was the lowest (0.5978), while that between SP1 and SP4 was the highest (0.7451). Nei’s genetic distance between SP3 and SP1 was the longest (0.7624), whereas that between SP5 and SP2 was the shortest (0.5032) (Table 2). In addition, when the \( F_{ST} \) value between SP3 and SP4 was lowest, Nei’s genetic distance between SP3 and SP1 was the longest (0.7451), whereas when the \( F_{ST} \) between SP1 and SP4 was highest, Nei’s genetic distance between SP1 and SP4 was longer (Table 2). These findings reveal that the pairwise \( F_{ST} \) can reflect the genetic distance between subpopulations.

**Significant LD of SSR markers in the seven subpopulations**

Table 3 shows the levels of LD estimated for the entire population and the seven subpopulations. For the entire
population, the percentage of significant ($P < 0.01$) locus pairs in LD among the total number of locus pairs was 95.21%. The percentage for pairs of markers from the same chromosome was 95.97%, higher than the value determined for markers from different chromosomes (95.14%). Of the seven subpopulations, SP4 had the lowest percentage (2.35%), and SP3 had the highest percentage (44.94%). The 75th percentiles for the background LD values of the seven subpopulations were 0.0785, 0.0807, 0.1065, 0.0988, 0.0994, 0.0662 and 0.0982, respectively (Additional file 4: Figure S2). A regression analysis of the $r^2$ value and the genetic distance for the linked SSR marker pairs showed that the LD decay distances for SP1-SP7 were 4.48 Mb, 5.48 Mb, 4.26 Mb, 3.53 Mb, 5.53 Mb, 5.58 Mb and 8.67 Mb, respectively, under the background LD (Additional file 5: Figure S3). This result further validated the significant LD of the SSR markers in the seven subpopulations and demonstrated that the LD decay velocity varied among these subpopulations.

### Significant SSR marker-GP association loci detected in the population studied

In total, 31 association loci between the SSR marker and GP with $P$-values less than 0.01 were detected by both the GLM and MLM analyses in two or more environments (Additional file 6: Table S3). The GLM analysis revealed 31 marker loci associated with GP ($P < 0.01$), and the identified markers were located on all of the chromosomes except for chromosome 11. The rate of phenotypic variation explained (PVE) ranged from 7.24% to 22.28%. RM5479 on Chr12 exhibited the highest PVE values: 22.28% in 2013 and 20.64% in 2014 (Additional file 6: Table S3). The MLM analysis

| Subpopulation | SP1 | SP2 | SP3 | SP4 | SP5 | SP6 | SP7 |
|---------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| SP1           | –   | 0.6347 | 0.6673 | 0.7451 | 0.6875 | 0.6437 | 0.6671 |
| SP2           | 0.5548 | –   | 0.6264 | 0.6933 | 0.6026 | 0.6304 | 0.6446 |
| SP3           | 0.7624 | 0.6821 | –   | 0.5978 | 0.6388 | 0.6438 | 0.6235 |
| SP4           | 0.7465 | 0.6612 | 0.5576 | –   | 0.7302 | 0.7072 | 0.7056 |
| SP5           | 0.6207 | 0.5032 | 0.6792 | 0.6607 | –   | 0.6591 | 0.6743 |
| SP6           | 0.5844 | 0.6107 | 0.7482 | 0.7151 | 0.6235 | –   | 0.6109 |
| SP7           | 0.6581 | 0.6664 | 0.7542 | 0.7346 | 0.6884 | 0.5558 | –   |

Nei’s genetic distance is below the diagonal, and $F_{ST}$ is above the diagonal. All pairwise $F_{ST}$ values are significant ($P < 0.01$).
revealed seven marker loci associated with GP ($P < 0.01$) located on chromosomes 2, 3 (2), 7, 8 and 12 (2). The PVE ranged from 9.01% to 21.06%. RM5479 on Chr12 also exhibited the highest PVE values: 21.06% in 2013 and 20.39% in 2014 (Additional file 6: Table S3). Seven marker loci—RM5340 on Chr2, RM5480 on Chr3, RM148 on Chr3, RM505 on Chr7, RM1235 on Chr8, RM511 on Chr12 and RM5479 on Chr12—were detected by both the GLM and MLM analyses. Of these seven association loci, RM505 on Chr7 had the highest positive AAE value (1.68%).

**Elite alleles for GP**

The seven common marker-GP association loci from both the GLM and MLM analyses were considered to be robust loci associated with GP (Additional file 6: Table S3). Based on these seven markers, 15 elite alleles were mined in two or more environments (Table 4). RM505-170 bp had an average phenotypic effect of increasing the GP by 2.62%, and the typical carrier variety was ‘Maozitou’. RM5479-215 bp exhibited the second highest average phenotypic effect of 2.48%, and the carrier variety was ‘Zaoguangtou’. Some varieties carried several elite alleles, such as ‘Yuedao 5’, indicating that they are excellent donor varieties for improving GP.

**Top parental combinations predicted for GP improvement**

Based on the data in Additional file 6: Table S3, the alleles at seven significant marker-GP association loci in

### Table 3 Percentages of significant ($P < 0.01$) locus pairs in LD

| Sub-population | Markers on the same chromosome | Markers on different chromosomes | Total |
|----------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------|
|                | No. of locus pairs in LD | Percentage of locus pairs (%) | No. of locus pairs in LD | Percentage of locus pairs (%) | No. of locus pairs in LD | Percentage of locus pairs (%) |
| SP1            | 77 (958)                  | 8.04                             | 857 (10532)              | 8.14                          | 934 (11490)              | 8.13                          |
| SP2            | 107 (1449)                 | 7.38                             | 1226 (16454)             | 7.45                          | 1333 (17903)             | 7.45                          |
| SP3            | 495 (1048)                 | 47.23                            | 5265 (11768)             | 44.74                         | 5760 (12816)             | 44.94                         |
| SP4            | 11 (353)                   | 3.12                             | 93 (4065)                | 2.29                          | 104 (4418)               | 2.35                          |
| SP5            | 49 (1090)                  | 4.50                             | 566 (11782)              | 4.80                          | 615 (12872)              | 4.78                          |
| SP6            | 60 (1047)                  | 5.73                             | 583 (11763)              | 4.96                          | 643 (12810)              | 5.02                          |
| SP7            | 46 (1048)                  | 4.39                             | 538 (12074)              | 4.46                          | 584 (13122)              | 4.45                          |
| All            | 1903 (1983)                | 95.97                            | 20811 (21874)            | 95.14                         | 22,714 (23857)           | 95.21                         |

The values in parentheses are the total numbers of locus pairs

### Table 4 Elite alleles with positive phenotypic effects for GP and typical carrier varieties

| Marker | PVE (%) | Elite allele (bp) | Phenotypic effect value (%) | Typical carrier variety |
|--------|---------|--------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|
|        |         |                    | Ye  | XF  | JEF  | Mean          |
|        |         |                    | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 |                |
| RM5340 | 16.7    | 95                 | 0.29 | 0.65 | 0.29 | 0.65 | 0.47 | Yuedao 5 |
|        |         | 155                | 1.94 | 1.77 | 1.94 | 1.77 | 1.86 | Yazedhuang |
|        |         | 205                | 2.36 | 2.51 | 2.36 | 2.51 | 2.44 | Yazedao 22 |
| RM5480 | 8.42    | 165                | 1.8  | 1.72 | 1.72 | 1.72 | 1.79 | Yazedao 5 |
|        |         | 200                | 0.87 | 1.75 | 0.87 | 1.75 | 1.34 | Ligengqing |
| RM148  | 9.93    | 125                | 1.34 | 1.29 | 1.34 | 1.29 | 1.32 | Huangsansi |
| RM505  | 17.13   | 160                | 2.15 | 1.76 | 2.15 | 1.76 | 1.96 | Qiuitanxiedao |
|        |         | 170                | 2.74 | 2.49 | 2.74 | 2.49 | 2.62 | Maoztou |
|        |         | 180                | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.47 | Chiguhong |
| RM1235 | 8.76    | 120                | 0.52 | 1.63 | 0.52 | 1.63 | 1.26 | Yazedao 5 |
| RM51   | 13.15   | 130                | 2.48 | 2.3  | 2.48 | 2.3  | 2.39 | Qiaoqinghuang |
|        |         | 135                | 0.32 | 0.25 | 0.32 | 0.25 | 0.28 | Yazedao 5 |
| RM5479 | 21.46   | 210                | 1.77 | 1.88 | 1.77 | 1.88 | 1.83 | Ligengqing |
|        |         | 215                | 2.72 | 2.23 | 2.72 | 2.23 | 2.48 | Zaoguantou |
|        |         | 225                | 0.58 | 0.83 | 0.58 | 0.83 | 0.7  | Yazedao 5 |

PVE Percentage of phenotypic variation explained, Ye Yuanyang Farm, XF Xinyang Farm, JEF Jiangpu Experimental Farm
typical carrier varieties were analysed (Additional file 3: Table S3). The top 10 parental combinations were predicted (Table 5) for improving the GP in rice via cross-breeding based on the data presented in Additional file 3: Table S3. For instance, ‘Yuedao 5’ had six elite alleles, and ‘Ligengqing’ had six elite alleles. Seven elite alleles could be pyramided into one plant using the combination ‘Yuedao 5 × Ligengqing’ and, as a result, the GP should theoretically be improved by 13.07% (Additional file 7: Table S4; Table 5). Figure 2 shows the unhulled rice grains and brown rice grains of the varieties corresponding to the predicted combinations to improve GP.

**Discussion**

GP in rice affects not only yield but also milling quality (recovery of head rice), especially in hybrid rice where heavy panicles often increase the yield [17, 40]. Mining elite alleles for GP is beneficial for improving this trait. In the present study, we used 177 accessions, representing a subset of the population (540 accessions) reported in [26], and 261 SSR markers to implement the discovery. To avoid spurious associations in association mapping [41], we first evaluated the present population genetic architecture using two different analysis methods (STRUCTURE and Nei’s genetic distance) and detected 7 subpopulations (Fig. 1), which was the same as those detected in [26]. We inferred that the population genetic structure was mainly affected by geographical location (ecotypes) and nearly unaffected by accession reducing.

We also found that the LD decay distances for SP1-SP7 were 4.48 Mb, 5.48 Mb, 4.26 Mb, 3.53 Mb, 5.53 Mb, 5.58 Mb and 8.67 Mb, respectively. The fast decays in SP3 and SP4 could have resulted from rapid artificial hybridization in Vietnam, which should accelerate the recombination of the chromosomes and, thereby, weaken the LD. We calculated the average standardized individual allele sizes of the seven subpopulations according to the methods described by [42] and observed that the average allele sizes in SP3 and SP4 were significantly higher than those in the other subpopulations (Fig. 3). This fact further confirmed that directional evolution for the allele size has occurred in rice [24, 31]. We also observed that the SSR alleles tended to decrease in size from the low-latitude subpopulations (SP3 and SP4, 17–23 °N) to the high-latitude subpopulations (the other five subpopulations, 30–39 °N); this behaviour may be explained by the emergence of mutations or changes in the mutation rate causing a change in the allele size in rice [43, 44]. No significant differences were found between SP3 and SP4, possibly because of the short geographical distance between the two subpopulations. The same phenomenon was detected among the remaining five subpopulations (Table 6). Moreover, the high proportion of the rare alleles (35.14%) might be related to the geography of rice migration. New alleles appeared and certain original alleles disappeared with the changes in the cultivation environment, resulting in the emergence of varieties with rare alleles.

Furthermore, the number of detected marker-GP association loci decreased when the same population was cultivated towards the west and north. As shown in Additional file 6: Table S3, in JEF (32.07°N, 118.62°E), 24 and 21 marker-GP association loci were detected in 2013 and 2014, respectively, by the GLM analyses, and four (RM5480, RM1235, RM511 and RM5479) and three (RM5480, RM511 and RM5479) association markers were detected in 2013 and 2014, respectively, by the MLM analyses. In XF (32.10°N, 114.12°E), ten and six marker-GP association loci were detected in 2013 and 2014, respectively, by the GLM analyses, and two (RM148 and RM505) and three (RM148, RM505 and RM1235) association markers were detected in 2013 and 2014, respectively, by the MLM analyses. In YF (35.05°N, 113.96°E), four and seven SSR marker-GP association loci were detected in 2013 and 2014, respectively, by the GLM analyses, and only one association marker (RM5340) was detected by the MLM analyses in the both years. Furthermore, among the seven association loci detected by the two analytical methods (GLM and MLM), no identical association marker loci were found among the three locations except for RM1235 on Chr8. Thus, there are many gene loci underlying GP, and different genes exhibit different characteristics in different environments.

Based on previous studies, *GIF1*, as an important gene cloned associated with rice grain filling, encodes a cell-wall invertase required for carbon partitioning during early grain filling. *GIF1* is a potential domestication gene; thus, a domestication-selected gene can be used for further crop improvement. In our study, we found

| Parental combination predicted | Number of elite alleles predicted | GP improvement predicted (%) |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Yuedao 5 × Ligengqing         | 7                                | 13.07                       |
| Yuedao 22 × Ligengqing        | 7                                | 13.07                       |
| Yazihuang × Ligengqing        | 7                                | 13.07                       |
| Yazihuang × Huangsanshi       | 7                                | 13.07                       |
| Yuedao 22 × Yuedao 5          | 7                                | 12.52                       |
| Yazihuang × Yuedao 22         | 7                                | 12.52                       |
| Yuedao 5 × Zaoguangtou        | 7                                | 12.33                       |
| Yuedao 5 × Huangsanshi        | 7                                | 11.68                       |
| Yuedao 5 × Qiaobinghuang      | 7                                | 10.55                       |
| Yuedao 5 × Yazihuang          | 6                                | 8.37                        |
no markers associated with GP near the region of *GIF1*. By comparing with other studies, we found that five of the seven SSR markers detected in this study were novel; the other two SSR markers were located near the chromosome regions harbouring grain filling and related QTLs or genes reported in previous studies (Additional file 8: Table S5). Among the seven SSR markers, RM505 on Chr7 was in the region of *qGR-7-8* for grain-filling rate [20], and RM511 on Chr12 was the same as the SSR markers for grain-filling rate detected by [45], implying that GP was affected by the grain milk filling rate.

Although the PVE of the seven association loci ranged from 7.24% to 22.28%, the positive AAE values were weak (1.26% on RM1235 to 1.68% on RM505). This result might be explained by the coefficient of variation in GP in the population was not large enough (CV < 5%, Table 1) because the experimental materials were cultivars in different areas. However, because GP is a function of grain weight, a small improvement will contribute considerably to the grain yield of rice.

The elite alleles mined in this study may be used to improve the GP of hybrid rice. Among the top 10 parental combinations predicted, the combinations ‘Yuedao 5 × Ligengqing’, ‘Yuedao 22 × Ligengqing’, ‘Yazihuang × Ligengqing’ and ‘Yazihuang × Huangsanshi’ could theoretically improve the GP by 13.07% (Table 5). Six of the ten combinations have ‘Yuedao 5’ as a parent, indicating that ‘Yuedao 5’ may be an excellent parent for GP improvement.

**Conclusions**

Seven marker loci were detected for GP, of which five were novel loci. Ten parental combinations were predicted for improving the GP in rice via cross-breeding.
Additional files

Additional file 1: Table S1. Origins and Q values of the varieties used in the present study. All varieties used in this study were pure line varieties. Bold varieties have Q < 0.900 and could not be assigned to any subpopulation. (XLS 82 kb)

Additional file 2: Table S2. Summary statistics for the 261 SSR markers used in the present study. (DOCX 56 kb)

Additional file 3: Figure S1. Comparison of SSR markers identified in this study and genes or QTLs reported in previous studies. The physical positions of the third and fifth columns were determined based on data from Gramene (http://www.gramene.org/markers) and NCBI (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi). (DOCX 19 kb)

Additional file 4: Figure S2. Distribution of the linkage disequilibrium r^2 values between the unlinked SSRs for the seven subpopulations. The 75th percentiles of the r^2 values for the seven subpopulations are indicated. (TIFF 766 kb)

Additional file 5: Figure S3. Localization of the subpopulations. The identified subpopulations are sp1 (red), sp2 (green), sp3 (nasy blue), sp4 (yellow), sp5 (purple), sp6 (light blue), and sp7 (brown). (TIFF 291 kb)

Additional file 6: Table S3. Marker-trait association loci with P < 0.01 determined by the GLM and MLM analyses, their FDRs, proportions of phenotypic variance explained, AAE values, and marker positions on the chromosome for 261 markers and 160 rice varieties. (DOCX 27 kb)

Additional file 7: Table S4. Alleles at seven marker-trait loci in typical carrier varieties. ‘+’ indicates that the variety has the positive allele, and ‘-’ indicates that the variety has the negative allele. (DOCX 22 kb)

Additional file 8: Table S5. Comparison of SSR markers identified in this study and genes or QTLs reported in previous studies. The physical positions of the third and fifth columns were determined based on data from Gramene (http://www.gramene.org/markers) and NCBI (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi). (DOCX 19 kb)

Additional file 9: Table S6. Grain plumpness of 177 rice accessions at three locations in 2013 and 2014. (XLSX 148 kb)

Additional file 10: Table S7. Genotypes of 177 rice accessions with 261 SSR markers on 12 chromosomes. (XLSX 244 kb)

Abbreviations
AAE: Average allele effect; ANOVA: Analysis of variance; FDR: False discovery rate; F_{\text{LS}}^{\text{2}}: Coefficient of genetic differentiation; GLM: General linear model; GP: Grain plumpness; H_{J}: Broad-sense heritability; LD: Linkage disequilibrium; MLM: Mixed linear model; PIC: Polymorphism information content; PVE: Phenotypic variation explained; SSR: Simple sequence repeat

Acknowledgments
We thank the Henan Academy of Agricultural Sciences for help with field planting in Xinyang Farm and Yuanyang Farm.

Funding
This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31571743), a grant from the doctoral fund of the Educational Ministry of China (20130097110001) and a special programme of scientific research belonging to the Educational Ministry of China (KY2012–9).

Availability of data and materials
The raw genotype data and phenotype data are available in the Additional files 9 and 10.

Authors’ contributions
DH and EL conceived and designed the experiments. EL, SZ, XC, XD, LL, HW, ZD and YL conducted the experiments. EL and SZ analysed the data and wrote the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Ethics approval and consent to participate
All the rice seeds used in this research were collected and maintained in our laboratory during long-term rice science studies. Accession numbers 1–148 were obtained from Dr. Weidong Jin, the former PhD student guided by the corresponding author (Ref. Dis:https://doi.org/10.1360/biodiv.060189). Accession numbers 149-177 were obtained from Mr. Nguyen Phuong Tung, the former international student from Vietnam studying in Nanjing Agricultural University for MS degree guided by the corresponding author (Ref. Doi:10.3969/Jissn.1001-7216.2014.03.004).

Consent for publication
Not applicable.

Competing interests
The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationship that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher’s Note
Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 30 June 2017 Accepted: 4 October 2017
Published online: 12 October 2017

References
1. Fageria N. Yield physiology of rice. J Plant Nutr. 2007;30:843–70.
2. Khush G. Green revolution: preparing for the 21st century. Genome. 1999;42: 464–55.
3. Zhang Q. Strategies for developing green super rice. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2007;104:16402–9.
4. Kato T, Takeda K. Associations among characters related to yield sink capacity in space-planted rice. Crop Sci. 1996;36:1135–9.
5. Fan C, Xiong Y, Mao H, Lu T, Han B, Xu C, et al. GS3, a major QTL for grain length and weight and minor QTL for grain width and thickness in rice, encodes a putative transmembrane protein. Theor Appl Genet. 2006;112: 1164–71.
6. Mao H, Sun S, Yao J, Wang C, Yu S, Xu C, et al. Linking differential domain functions of the GS3 protein to natural variation of grain size in rice. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2010;107:19579–84.
7. Zhang X, Wang J, Huang J, Lin H, Wang C, Yin C, et al. Rare allele of OsPKL1 associated with grain length causes extra-large grain and a significant yield increase in rice. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2012;109:21534–9.
8. Wang Y, Xiong G, Hu J, Jiang L, Yu H, Xu J, et al. Copy number variation at the GL7 locus contributes to grain size diversity in rice. Nat Genet. 2015;47: 944–8.
9. Heang D, Sassa H. Antagonistic actions of HLH/BHLH proteins are involved in grain length and weight in rice. PLoS One. 2012;7:e31325.
10. Heang D, Sassa H. An atypical bHLH protein encoded by POSITIVE REGULATOR OF GRAIN LENGTH 2 is involved in controlling grain length and weight of rice through interaction with a typical bHLH protein. Breed Sci. 2012;62:133–41.
11. Song X, Huang W, Shi M, Zhu M, Lin H. A QTL for rice grain width and weight encodes a previously unknown RING-type E3 ubiquitin ligase. Nat Genet. 2007;39:623–30.
12. Shomura A, Izawa T, Ebitani T, Kanegae H, Konishi S, et al. Deletion of the GL7 locus contributes to grain size diversity in rice. Nat Genet. 2015;47: 84.
13. Shomura A, Izawa T, Ebitani T, Kanegae H, Konishi S, et al. Deletion in a gene associated with grain size increased yields during rice domestication. Nat Genet. 2008;40:1023–8.
14. Meng J, Gu S, Wan X, Gao H, Guo T, Su N, et al. Isolation and initial characterization of GWS, a major QTL associated with rice grain width and weight. Cell Res. 2008;18:1199–209.
15. Li Y, Fan C, Xiong Y, Jiang Y, Luo L, Sun L, et al. Natural variation in GSS plays an important role in regulating grain size and yield in rice. Nat Genet. 2011; 43:1266–U134.
16. Wang S, Wu K, Yuan Q, Liu X, Liu Z, Lin X, et al. Control of grain size, shape and quality by OsSPL16 in rice. Nat Genet. 2012;44:950–4.
17. Yuan L. Increasing yield potential in rice by exploitation of heterosis. In: Vinmani S, editor. Hybrid rice technology: new developments and future prospects. Manila: International Rice Research Institute; 1994. p. 7–62.
17. Hong D, Leng Y. Genetic analysis of heterosis for number of spikelets per panicle and panicle length of F1 hybrids in japonica rice hybrids. Chin J Rice Sci. 2004;11:255.
18. Niu A, Lu X, Song C. Mapping of QTLs controlling grain plumpness of rice using doubled haploid lines. J Wuhan Bot Res. 2004;22:77–81.
19. Takai T, Fukuta Y, Shiraiwa T, Horie T. Time-related mapping of quantitative trait loci controlling grain-filling in rice (Oryza Sativa L.). J Exp Bot. 2005;56:2107–18.
20. Ji X, Yen J, Miao L, Lin H, Lin W. Genetic analysis for grain-filling rate using recombinant inbred lines of (Oryza sativa L.). Chin Agric Sci Bull. 2012;28:22–6.
21. Hirose T, Scofield G, Terao T. An expression analysis profile for the entire sucrose synthase gene family in rice. Plant Sci. 2008;174:334–43.
22. Hirose T, Takano M, Terao T. Cell wall invertase in developing rice caryopsis: molecular cloning of OsCIN1 and analysis of its expression in relation to its role in grain filling. Plant Cell Physiol. 2002;43:452–9.
23. Wang E, Wang J, Zhu X, Hao W, Wang L, Li Q, et al. Control of rice grain-filling and yield by a gene with a potential signature of domestication. Nat Genet. 2008;40:1370–4.
24. Dang X, Thi T, Edzesi W, Liang L, Liu Q, Liu E, et al. Population genetic structure of Oryza Sativa in east and Southeast Asia and the discovery of elite alleles for grain traits. Sci Rep. 2015;5:11254.
25. Liu E, Liu Y, Wu G, Zeng S, Thi T, Liang L, et al. Identification of a candidate gene for panicle length in rice (Oryza Sativa L.) via association and linkage analysis. Front. Plant Sci. 2016;7:596.
26. Dang X, Thi T, Dong G, Wang H, Edzesi W, Hong D. Genetic diversity and association mapping of seed vigor in rice (Oryza Sativa L.). Planta. 2014;239:1309–19.
27. Yang J, Zhang J. Grain-filling problem in ‘super’ rice. J Exp Bot. 2010;61:1–4.
28. Wang L, Liu W, Xu Y, He Y, Luo L, Xing Y, et al. Genetic basis of 17 traits and viscosity parameters characterizing the eating and cooking quality of rice grain. Theor Appl Genet. 2007;115:463–76.
29. Falush D, Stephens M, Pritchard J. Inference of population structure using multilocus genotype data: dominant markers and null alleles. Mol Ecol Notes. 2007;7:574–8.
30. Nei MF, Tajima F, Tateno Y. Accuracy of estimated phylogenetic trees from molecular data. II. Gene frequency data. J Mol Evol. 1983;19:153–70.
31. Dang X, Liu E, Liang Y, Liu Q, Beria C, Hong D. QTL detection and elite alleles mining for stigma traits in Oryza Sativa by association mapping. Front Plant Sci. 2016;7:1188.
32. Weir B, Hill W. Estimating F-statistics. Annu Rev Genet. 2002;36:721–50.
33. Excoffier L, Laval G, Schneider S. Arlequin (version 3.0): an integrated software package for population genetics data analysis. Evol Bioinforma. 2005;1:47–50.
34. Gupta P, Rustgi S, Kulwal P. Linkage disequilibrium and association studies in higher plants: present status and future prospects. Plant Mol Biol. 2005;57:461–85.
35. Bradbury P, Zhang Z, Kroon D, Casstevens T, Ramdoss Y, Buckler E. TASSEL: software for association mapping of complex traits in diverse samples. Bioinformatics. 2007;23:2633–5.
36. Edzesi W, Dang X, Liang L, Liu E, Zaid I, Hong D. Genetic diversity and elite allele mining for grain traits in rice (Oryza Sativa L.) by association mapping. Front Plant Sci. 2016;7:787.
37. Hardy O, Vekemans X. SPAGEDi: a versatile computer program to analyse spatial genetic structure at the individual or population levels. Mol Ecol Notes. 2002;2:618–20.
38. Benjamin Y, Hochberg Y. Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. J Roy Stat Soc B Met. 1995;57:289–300.
39. Bresheghello F, Sorrells M. Association mapping of kernel size and milling quality in wheat (Triticum Aestivum L.) cultivars. Genetics. 2006;172:1165–77.
40. Weir B, Hill W. Estimating F-statistics. Annu Rev Genet. 2002;36:721–50.
41. Pritchard J, Stephens M, Donnelly P. Inference of population structure using multilocus genotype data. Genetics. 2000;155:945–59.
42. Vigouroux Y, Jaqueth J, Matsuoka Y, Smith Q, Beavis W, Smith J, et al. Rate and pattern of mutation at microsatellite loci in maize. Mol Biol Evol. 2002;19:251–60.
43. Vigouroux Y, Matsuoka Y, Smith Q, Beavis W, Smith J, et al. Rate and pattern of mutation at microsatellite loci in maize. Mol Biol Evol. 2002;19:251–60.