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The Effect of Preliminary Thermomechanical Processing on the Kinetics of Localized Plasticity Autowaves in Trip Steel
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Abstract: The kinetics of the martensitic transformation fronts in transformation-induced plasticity (TRIP) steel was studied in relation to preliminary thermomechanical treatment using the digital image correlation method. It was found that warm rolling of steel to 40–63% reduction significantly increases the stress of the onset of strain-induced phase transformation and changes the loading curve stages. The strain-induced phase transformation in TRIP steel occurring through the formation of Lüders and Portevin–Le Chatelier bands is shown to be an autowave process of localized plasticity. The austenite → martensite transformation at the elastic-plastic transition occurs in the form of several switching localized plasticity autowaves. At the jerky flow stage, excitation autowaves of localized plasticity are generated and propagate repeatedly until the strain-induced austenite → martensite transformation is completed. It is shown for the first time that the sources of excitation autowaves in the material are the sites of nucleation or annihilation of switching autowaves.
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1. Introduction

Strain-induced thermoelastic martensitic transformations have been the subject of numerous experimental and theoretical investigations [1–5]. This specific mechanism determines the properties of the material such as increased strength, superelasticity, shape memory effect and others. The formation of martensite during deformation was first noted by Scheil [6], who found that with decreasing martensite transformation start temperature ($M_s$) below room temperature plastic deformation can induce a γ (FCC) to α′ (BCC) martensitic transformation. The amount of formed martensite is directly proportional to the strain value. The transformation can occur with the formation of ε-martensite, which is an intermediate phase with an hexagonal close-packed lattice, HCP lattice. The use of high-resolution techniques helped to determine the microscopic mechanisms of the main types of transformations, such as $\gamma \rightarrow \alpha'$, $\gamma \rightarrow \varepsilon$, $\gamma \rightarrow \varepsilon \rightarrow \alpha'$, in deformed metastable austenitic steels [1,3,7–9].

The recently growing interest in the study of thermoelastic martensitic transformations is, on the one hand, associated with the development of new high-strength materials [10–12]. These are transformation-induced plasticity (TRIP) steels demanded in aircraft and automotive industries for the manufacture of safety critical components. The strain-induced martensitic transformations in such materials allow increasing their strength up to 2000 MPa and ductility up to 60%, as well as contribute to better formability and efficient impact energy absorption. At the same time, many aspects
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of the deformation behavior of TRIP steels are not fully understood. Their mechanical properties are highly dependent on pretreatment [13]. In particular, the stages and types of the stress–strain curves of such steels can drastically change from smooth parabolic $\sigma \sim \varepsilon^n$ to serrated and curves with a yield plateau [4,11,13].

On the other hand, modern methods for visualizing the deformation kinetics allow observing martensitic transformations in real time. For example, neutron or high-energy X-ray diffraction was used for an in-situ investigation of martensitic transformation in TRIP steels [14–16]. The chemical and mechanical partitioning in multiphase TRIP steels was quantitatively investigated using quasi in-situ tensile tests combined with high-resolution electron back-scattered diffraction, microscopic digital image correlation and atom probe tomography [17]. The digital correlation of electron microscopy micrographs was used in a study of martensite band formation [18]. The strain localization behavior during phase transformation in a medium manganese steel was investigated by digital image correlation [19]. As shown [10–12,20,21], a strain-induced phase transformation at the macroscale, not only in single crystals but also in polycrystalline objects, can occur through the nucleation and propagation of corresponding fronts. The existence of such phase transformation fronts determines the localized nature of the deformation process.

Recently, the concept of a multilevel and localized nature of plastic deformation is generally accepted; it was first presented in References [22,23]. Analysis of the plastic flow process indicates the existence of dislocation, mesoscopic and macroscopic levels [24–29] there. The plastic flow localization takes place in any materials at all loading stages from elastic-plastic transition to fracture as well as at all spatial, temporal and structural levels. This means that the shape and spatio-temporal distribution of localized plasticity sites over the volume of a loaded object can be considered the most important characteristics of plastic deformation. The existence of active sites of macroscopic localized plastic flow as well as data on their nucleation and evolution mechanisms provided a basis for the concepts of self-organization of plastic flow [23,29,30] and, as a consequence, of the autowave nature of localized plastic flow [27,31–36]. In general terms, the autowave processes of localized plasticity should be considered as the formation of dissipative structures in active media. A deformable object within the given concept is a universal generator of various autowave modes of localized plasticity [34–36]. Based on experiments conducted mainly on polycrystalline bodies with dislocation-mediated plastic flow, a correspondence rule was derived according to which the type of autowave mode is determined by the hardening law applicable at a given stage of the stress-strain curve [35]. The stages with zero hardening $\sigma = \text{const}$ are characterized by switching autowaves observed as single moving sites of localized deformation. At the stages with a constant work hardening coefficient $\sigma \sim \varepsilon$, the phase autowaves are generated in the form of moving spatially periodic strain localization sites. The parabolic work hardening stages $\sigma \sim \varepsilon^{0.5}$ correspond to the formation of stationary systems of spatially periodic sites, so-called stationary dissipative structures. The prefracture stages are characterized by autowave collapse, that is, when the strain localization sites are concentrated in a neck. The presence of a jerky flow stage in the stress-strain curve indicates the generation of excitation autowaves in the form of single fronts that, unlike switching autowaves, repeatedly pass through the deformable object [37].

Therefore, it is of great interest to apply the autowave concept for describing the inhomogeneous (localized) plastic flow of materials with strain-induced phase transformation. As noted above, the stress-strain curve of TRIP steel can exhibit both a yield plateau and a jerky flow stage, which can solve the problem of the generation of autowave modes and their interconversion. The ratio of these stages is largely determined by the preliminary reduction of the material. The aim of this work is to study the kinetics of localized plasticity autowaves in deformed TRIP steel depending on preliminary thermomechanical processing.

2. Materials and Methods

The investigation was performed on TRIP steel with the composition presented in Table 1.
Table 1. Chemical Composition of Transformation-Induced Plasticity (TRIP) Steel.

| Elements | C   | Cr  | Ni  | Mo  | N   | Mn  | Si  | S   | P    |
|----------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|
| wt. %    |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |
|          | 0.25| 14.5–16.0 | 4.8–5.8 | 2.7–3.2 | 0.03–0.07 | ≤1 | ≤0.6 | ≤0.01 | ≤0.015 |

Steel sheets of 1 mm thickness in the as-received condition were subjected to thermomechanical processing in different regimes (see Table 2). Annealing of the as-received material was performed to suppress the TRIP effect (state 1). The maximum content of metastable austenite in the sheets was obtained by austenitization (state 2). The amount of work-hardened metastable austenite and the degree of its hardening were controlled by the level of reduction during warm rolling of austenitized steel sheets (states 3, 4, 5). Five dog-bone specimens with a gauge width of 6 mm and a gauge length of 40 mm were prepared for each state.

Table 2. Thermomechanical Processing Regimes.

| Thermomechanical Processing Regimes | State |
|------------------------------------|-------|
|                                    | 1     | 2     | 3     | 4     | 5     |
| Annealing, $T = 875$ K, $\tau = 1$ h, furnace cooling | +     | −     | −     | −     | −     |
| Austenitization (quenching), $T = 1400$ K, $\tau = 1$ h, water cooling | −     | +     | +     | +     | +     |
| Multi-pass warm rolling, $T = 620$ K | −     | −     | +     | +     | +     |
| Reduction in rolling, % | −     | −     | 40    | 51    | 63    |
| Thickness, mm | 1     | 1     | 0.6   | 0.5   | 0.47  |

The prepared specimens were tested in uniaxial tensile tests at room temperature on an LFM-125 testing machine (Walter + Bai AG, LFM Electromechanical Floor Standing Machines up to 125 kN). The velocity of the movable grip was $V_{\text{mach}} = 0.4$ mm/min, which ensured a strain rate of $6.67 \times 10^{-5}$ s$^{-1}$. During tensile testing, local displacement fields were identified by digital image correlation (DIC) [38]. To do this, the tensile specimen was illuminated with coherent light from a semiconductor laser with a wavelength of 635 nm and a power of 15 mW. The images of the illuminated deformed specimens with speckle patterns superimposed on them were captured by a digital camera Point Grey FL3-GE-50S5M-C with a frequency of 10 Hz. The kinetics of the strain-induced transformation fronts was presented in this work either as spatio-temporal distribution maps of local elongations $\varepsilon_{xx}(x,y,t)$ or as chronograms in which the positions of the maxima of $\varepsilon_{xx}$ in space and time were shown by dark spots showing the propagation tracks. Microstructural studies were carried out using a Neophot-21 optical microscope and a Solver P47H-Pro atomic force microscope. The structural phase composition was detected by electrolytic polishing in a 80% $\text{H}_3\text{PO}_4 + 13\% \text{CrO}_3 + 7\% \text{H}_2\text{O}$ solution. The same method was used to remove surface layers. The amount of martensite phase in the specimens was determined by measuring the material magnetization using an MVP-2M multifunctional eddy current tester and an X-ray diffractometer with CoKα radiation.

3. Results

3.1. Phase and Structure Evolution in the Material Depending on the Amount of Rolling Reduction

The shape of the stress–strain curves, the length of the deformation stages, as well as the kinetics of strain-induced transformations are determined by the amount of work-hardened metastable austenite and its hardening degree in specimens in the studied states. Table 3 shows the content of the austenite ($\gamma$) phase determined by the magnetic measurement results. As expected, the maximum $\gamma$ phase content is measured after austenitization. Warm rolling causes no significant martensitic transformation. After annealing the material at 875 K, the austenite content corresponds to that in the as-received state.
The microhardness values and the mean grain sizes in the longitudinal section (along the rolling axis) for all states are given in Table 4. In addition, significant plastic deformation gives rise to curved shear bands near one or more austenite grains (Figure 2f). With increasing rolling reduction, the TRIP steel is significantly hardened due to work-hardened austenite grains, which is manifested in more than a two-fold increase in microhardness as compared with the initial state.

Thermomechanical processing results in a very fine martensite structure (Figure 2d,e). In addition, significant plastic deformation of the specimens rolled to various reduction percentages causes a nonuniform grain size distribution and grain elongation in the rolling direction. (Figure 2c–e). Deformation of TRIP steel to 40% strain causes an increase in the number of twins in austenite grains and the formation of lath martensite (Figure 2a,b). According to optical metallography, the amount of martensite phase on the surface is about 21%. With strain increase to 51% and 63%, no noticeable additional austenite → martensite transformation occurs and the amount of strain-induced martensite on the surface remains at the same level of ≈20%. Thermomechanical processing results in a very fine martensite structure (Figure 2d,e). In addition, significant plastic deformation gives rise to curved shear bands near one or more austenite grains (Figure 2f). With increasing rolling reduction, the TRIP steel is significantly hardened due to work-hardened austenite grains, which is manifested in more than a two-fold increase in microhardness as compared with the initial state 2. The microhardness values and the mean grain sizes in the longitudinal section (along the rolling axis) for all states are given in Table 4.

### Table 3. Phase Composition of Steel in the Studied States.

| State | Martensite content, % | Austenite content, % |
|-------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| 1     | ≤4                    | ≥96                  |
| 2     | ≤4                    | ≥96                  |
| 3     | 30 ± 1                | 70 ± 1               |
| 4     | 17 ± 0.5              | 83 ± 0.5             |
| 5     | 15 ± 0.4              | 85 ± 0.4             |

The data obtained reflect the phase composition in the bulk of the material. The amount of the martensite phase on the surface can be much larger [39,40]. Figure 1a shows an X-ray diffraction pattern of a specimen in state 2 according to which the α’ martensite content amounts to 73%. After removal of a 20 µm thick surface layer, it decreases to 12% (Figure 1b), which is close to the magnetic measurement results. This factor was taken into account in microstructural studies.

**Figure 1.** X-ray diffraction patterns of TRIP steel in state 2: (a) on the surface after austenitization; (b) after removal of a 20 µm thick surface layer.

Before tensile testing, the gauge surface of specimens in all five states after removal of a 20 µm thick surface layer was analyzed metallographically. The analysis revealed that, despite the measures taken, all specimens had a noticeable amount of the martensite phase in their surface layer (Figure 2a–e).
Figure 2. Structure of TRIP steel in different states: after annealing at $T = 875$ K, $\tau = 1$ h (a); after austenitization (b); 40% reduction (c); 51% reduction (d); 63% reduction (e).
At the time \( t \) the larger the number of propagating fronts at a given time point, the more slowly they move, ceteris paribus. Therefore, the process kinetics is better reflected by the sum of the absolute velocity values of hardening and continues until fracture [37].

Portevin–Le Chatelier (PLC) bands in duralumin, where jerky flow also occurs together with parabolic behavior of the Portevin–Le Chatelier (PLC) bands in duralumin, where jerky flow also occurs. The sum decreases monotonically closer to the point of fracture. The larger the number of propagating fronts is in good agreement with the appearance of serrations in the stress–strain curve. The remaining time until fracture (Figure 3b). After localized deformation are generated which repeatedly pass through the specimen gauge section for the entire gauge section of the specimen. Starting from the time point deformation at the beginning of the loading curve is macroscopically homogeneous over the entire flow (\( \approx 3.2 \)).

Table 4. Effect of Rolling Reduction on Microhardness and Grain Size.

| State | Microhardness \( H_{\mu} \), MPa | Mean Grain Size, \( \mu m \) |
|-------|---------------------------------|-----------------|
| 1     | 2370 ± 220                      | 97 ± 29         |
| 2     | 2140 ± 260                      | 120 ± 35        |
| 3     | 4580 ± 90                       | 117 ± 39        |
| 4     | 5140 ± 140                      | 141 ± 47        |
| 5     | 5450 ± 180                      | 163 ± 45        |

3.2. Nucleation and Propagation of Deformation Fronts in Tensile Trip Steel Specimens

The stress-strain curves of the studied steel for all states are illustrated in Figure 3. Table 5 presents the average mechanical properties of the steel and the length of the loading curve stages.

Table 5. Mechanical Characteristics of TRIP Steel Specimens and the Length of the Loading Curve Stages.

| State | Yield Point, MPa | Tensile Strength, MPa | Strain at Break, % | Pseudo-Plateau | Parabolic Hardening | JERKY FLOW |
|-------|------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|----------------|---------------------|------------|
| 1     | 290 ± 8          | 1396 ± 2              | 40 ± 2            | -              | 0.002 ≤ \( \epsilon \) ≤ 0.42 | 0.29 ≤ \( \epsilon \) ≤ 0.42 |
| 2     | 280 ± 40         | 1457 ± 10             | 33 ± 3            | 0.003 ≤ \( \epsilon \) ≤ 0.03 | 0.03 ≤ \( \epsilon \) ≤ 0.29 | 0.17 ≤ \( \epsilon \) ≤ 0.29 |
| 3     | 598 ± 32         | 1766 ± 24             | 19 ± 1            | 0.009 ≤ \( \epsilon \) ≤ 0.029 | 0.029 ≤ \( \epsilon \) ≤ 0.20 | 0.14 ≤ \( \epsilon \) ≤ 0.20 |
| 4     | 862 ± 60         | 1747 ± 56             | 20 ± 2            | 0.01 ≤ \( \epsilon \) ≤ 0.043 | 0.043 ≤ \( \epsilon \) ≤ 0.19 | -          |
| 5     | 1060 ± 61        | 1752 ± 32             | 19 ± 1            | 0.015 ≤ \( \epsilon \) ≤ 0.049 | 0.049 ≤ \( \epsilon \) ≤ 0.19 | -          |

In state 1, the material exhibits a low yield strength and a parabolic stress–strain curve without a yield plateau (Figure 3a). The attainment of stresses \( \approx 1300 \text{ MPa} \) corresponds to the beginning of jerky flow (\( t_j = 1725 \text{ s} \)) which continues until fracture. An analysis of the localization patterns showed that deformation at the beginning of the loading curve is macroscopically homogeneous over the entire gauge section of the specimen. Starting from the time point \( t_{PLC} = 1335 \text{ s} \) (\( \epsilon = 0.23 \)), mobile fronts of localized deformation are generated which repeatedly pass through the specimen gauge section for the remaining time until fracture (Figure 3b). After \( t_j = 1725 \text{ s} \) (\( \epsilon = 0.29 \)), the generation and propagation of these fronts is in good agreement with the appearance of serrations in the stress–strain curve. The larger the number of propagating fronts at a given time point, the more slowly they move, ceteris paribus. Therefore, the process kinetics is better reflected by the sum of the absolute velocity values of simultaneously propagating fronts. The sum decreases monotonically closer to the point of fracture. At the time \( t = 1407 \text{ s} \), this generalized velocity is equal to 0.38 mm/s and before fracture at \( t = 1929 \text{ s} \) it is equal to 0.08 mm/s. The behavior of the given fronts completely coincides with the behavior of the Portevin–Le Chatelier (PLC) bands in duralumin, where jerky flow also occurs together with parabolic hardening and continues until fracture [37].

![Figure 3a](image1.png)

![Figure 3b](image2.png)

Figure 3. Cont.
Figure 3. Loading curves of TRIP steel and the chronogram of the propagation of phase transformation fronts: (a,b) annealing at 600 °C (state 1); (c,d) after austenitization (state 2); (e,f) after austenitization and warm rolling to 40% reduction (state 3); (g,h) after austenitization and warm rolling to 51% reduction (state 4); (i,j) after austenitization and warm rolling to 63% reduction (state 5). The arrows indicate the front propagation direction, points 1–7 denote the nucleation sites of primary localization bands.

The loading curve of specimens in state 2 exhibits a low yield point ($t_1 = 18$ s, $\varepsilon = 0.003$) followed by a portion with a monotonically increasing work hardening coefficient $0.003 \leq \varepsilon \leq 0.03$ (Figure 3c).
This portion can be considered as a pseudo-plateau [11]. By the time $t_2 = 160$ s, it is replaced by the parabolic stage with superimposed jerky flow $t_1 = 1000$ s. The yield point corresponds to the nucleation ($t_1 = 18$ s) of a localized deformation band, namely, Lüders band (LB) whose lower front immediately leaves the measurement area (Figure 3d, band 1). Further plastic deformation in the pseudo-plateau region occurs through the nucleation and propagation of four more bands, which arise almost simultaneously (from 20 to 28 s) on the specimen gauge section (Figure 3d). Note that a similar situation is observed during the formation of multiple LBs in materials with a microscopic dislocation deformation mechanism [41]. The meeting fronts of adjacent bands annihilate. By the time $t = 55$ s, stationary zones of localized deformation are formed in the sites of nucleation and annihilation of the fronts. Thus, the specimen gauge section is divided into separate regions bounded by the aforementioned stationary zones (indicated by solid horizontal lines in Figure 3d).

After the end of the pseudo-plateau at the time $t_2 = 160$ s, other deformation bands begin to form at the boundaries of the above mentioned regions. Their fronts move and annihilate only within the bounded areas of the specimen gauge section (Figure 3d). This process is repeated many times. In this case, a situation is possible when the initial boundaries lose their function and several separate regions merge ($t \approx 400$ s, Figure 3d). It is important to note that, as in state 1, although there are no well-defined serrations in the loading curve, the behavior of deformation fronts is similar to the propagation of PLC bands. Serrations appear on the diagram when stresses reach $\approx 1200$ MPa ($t_1 = 1000$ s in Figure 3c). Starting from this time, the separate regions lose their independence and the localized deformation fronts pass several times through the entire gauge section of the specimen. Their velocities gradually decrease from 0.28 to 0.14 mm/s.

The strength characteristics of specimens in state 3 increased significantly but plasticity decreased (see Table 5). The pseudo-plateau on the stress-strain curve is observed within the total strain interval $0.009 \leq \varepsilon \leq 0.029$ ($t_1 = 55$ s, $t_2 = 205$ s) (Figure 3f). The strain localization pattern is similar to that described above for the specimen in state 2. The only difference is that the number of localized deformation bands in the pseudo-plateau region increased to seven (Figure 3f). Band fronts 1-2, 2-3, 3-4, 4-5, 5-6 and 6-7 annihilate in pairs. However, unlike state 2, further development of localized deformation is affected only by the annihilation sites of fronts 2-3 and 4-5 as well as by the nucleation site of band 1 and the gauge section boundary at the moving grip. They become the sources of PLC bands that nucleate and propagate with the beginning of the parabolic hardening stage $t_2 = 205$ s until fracture. At the jerky flow stage, the propagation of the PLC band fronts corresponds to serrations. The velocity of the PLC fronts also decreases with work hardening (Figure 3f).

In state 4, the specimens demonstrate stress-strain curves with a well-defined yield point and pseudo-plateau (Figure 3g). The jerky flow stage is absent. The pseudo-plateau corresponds to the nucleation of six LBs (Figure 3h). The fronts of bands 1 and 6 start to propagate immediately after nucleation at the time $t_1 = 66$ s (corresponds to the yield point in the diagram). The nucleation sites of bands 2–5 first exhibit stationary localization zones, whose boundaries start to move only after $\approx 87$ s. The phase transformation fronts pass through the specimen gauge section only once. After the end of the pseudo-plateau at $t_2 = 260$ s ($\varepsilon = 0.043$), we observed the propagation of the fronts associated with the nucleation of PLC bands moving within the separate regions. The boundaries of these regions are the nucleation sites of bands 1, 3 and 5 (Figure 3h). When the total strain reaches $\approx 0.08$, that is, by the time $t_2 = 430$ s from the beginning of deformation, the propagation of PLC bands stops. Further deformation is macroscopically homogeneous, up to the onset of necking at $1050$ s (Figure 3h).

At a reduction of 63% (state 5), the material exhibits the highest strength properties (see Table 5 and Figure 3i). Seven LBs nucleate almost simultaneously in the pseudo-plateau region (Figure 3). Bands 1, 3 and 7 begin to broaden immediately after nucleation. Band 7 is the first to nucleate with the largest strain amplitude ($t_1 = 93$ s) and one of its fronts immediately leaves the measurement area. Bands 2, 3, 4 and 6 remain stationary for 80, 99, 90 and 70 s, respectively. By the end of the pseudo-plateau ($t_2 = 280$ s), the deformation band fronts pass through the entire gauge section of the
specimen and annihilate in pairs. Localized deformation stops at this point; jerky flow and PLC bands are absent.

4. Discussion

4.1. The Nature of Localized Deformation Fronts in TRIP Steel

It is argued in the literature [4,10–12] that the martensitic-type strain-induced phase transformation of metastable austenite in a medium manganese TRIP steel is manifested through the formation of LBs on the yield plateau and through uneven plastic deformation.

After annealing at 875 K (state 1), the internal stresses are minimal and austenite is not work-hardened, as a result of which there is no localized plastic deformation occurring by the dislocation or twinning mechanism, as in stable austenitic steels [42]. Only when the applied stresses reach \( \approx 1300 \) MPa (Figure 3a,b), the strain-induced \( \gamma \rightarrow \alpha' \) phase transformation becomes possible. Subsequently, it occurs through the periodic propagation of PLC (the Portevin–Le Chatelier effect) bands and is completed by the time of fracture.

In state 2, after quenching from 1400 K, the steel specimen has an austenitic structure in its bulk (see Table 3). The surface of the specimen exhibits a work-hardened layer with a large amount of the martensite phase. This is confirmed by X-ray (Figure 1) and metallography data (Figure 2b). That is why the martensitic transformation begins immediately after the yield point has been reached. Figure 4 shows the formation and broadening of one of the transformation bands at a loading rate of 0.1 mm/min. When the yield point is reached at \( t_1 = 61 \) s, the band nucleates on the lateral surface of the gauge section at a distance \( x_0 = 20 \) mm from the fixed grip. After 10 s, it propagates through the entire thickness and its boundaries start to move. At the time \( t_6 = 108 \) s, the test was stopped and the specimen was unloaded. It can be seen that the transformation took place in the region from \( x_1 = 11 \) mm to \( x_2 = 26 \) mm, which is confirmed by the martensite phase distribution obtained from magnetic measurements (Figure 5). The maximum martensite content of 8% corresponds to the band nucleation coordinate \( x_0 = 20 \) mm. A gradual decrease in the martensite content closer to the specimen edges is consistent with the partial passage of the band fronts. Thus, the strain-induced transformation in the pseudo-plateau region occurs through the formation of bands similar to LBs in low carbon steels [41,42].

![Figure 4. Nucleation and propagation of localized deformation fronts in state 2. The arrows indicate the front propagation direction.](image-url)
The fact that the phase transformation in state 2 is not completed in the pseudo-plateau region is confirmed by the results of microstructural studies (Figure 6). A comparison of Figure 6a,b shows that additional martensite laths appeared in austenite grains. For example, they filled a previously free austenite grain highlighted in Figure 6a. The resulting martensite has a fine structure. According to the atomic force microscopy image, the width of martensite laths varies from 800 to 1000 nm and the average height is 150 nm (Figure 6c,d).

The remaining austenite is transformed with increasing deformation stresses in the form of periodically propagating PLC bands and is completed by the time of fracture.

Warm rolling to 40% reduction led to a partial transformation of metastable austenite to martensite (see Table 3) as well as to a substantial hardening of the γ phase, due to which the yield strength increased by a factor of three. Therefore, the martensitic transformation in work-hardened austenite in state 3 in the pseudo-plateau region occurs to a greater extent than in the previous case. In other respects, the deformation kinetics in states 2 and 3 is similar: LB propagation in the pseudo-plateau region and PLC band propagation at the jerky flow stage. The transformation occurs throughout the stress-strain curve until fracture but the strain amplitudes associated with the PLC band fronts decrease closer to the point of fracture. In state 2, the situation was reverse (cf. Figure 3d,f). The main difference between states 3 and 2 is that the PLC bands in state 3 propagated only in the gaps between the above mentioned separate regions of the specimen (Figure 3f).

Rolling to 51% and 63% reduction (states 4 and 5) leads to even greater work hardening of austenite and therefore the degree of strain-induced transformation in the pseudo-plateau region is even greater than in state 3. In state 4, after the pseudo-plateau, there remains a small amount of metastable austenite that transforms to martensite as a result of the passage of PLC bands. The transformation is fully completed by the time $t_f$. In state 5, the strain-induced transformation is fully completed at the pseudo-plateau. According to X-ray diffraction analysis, the ruptured specimens contain no austenite phase. It is important that the jerky flow stage is not pronounced in the stress-strain curves of specimens in both states. The deformation is mostly macroscopically homogeneous and occurs by the twinning and dislocation mechanisms.
4.2. The Autowave Nature of the Phase Transformation Fronts

Research results on the kinetics of the fronts of strain-induced phase transformation in TRIP steel indicate that their behavior is similar to the propagation of the Lüders and Portevin–Le Chatelier band fronts, which means that they can be described in terms of the autowave plasticity model [34–37].

Autowave concepts are applicable to active media, that is, to objects containing distributed sources of potential energy which arise, relax and cause changes in the object under applied loads, that is, the sources are active elements of the medium [43]. Bi-stable media whose elements exist in two states—metastable and stable—are the systems where switching autowaves are generated [43]. As applied to strain-induced phase transformations, these are an elastically strained metastable phase and a relaxed stable one. In our case, the switching autowave is the boundary between the deformable body regions where the material is elastically stressed and in the austenitic state and the region where the material relaxes and transforms to the martensitic state.

These boundaries are the fronts of the transformation bands which pass through the entire gauge section of the specimen and annihilate upon meeting. Such a behavior is an attribute of switching...
autowaves. Each band at the time of nucleation has a pair of mobile fronts, that is, it is the source of two switching autowaves. The front velocities are determined not only by the material properties but also by the external loading parameters, particularly the loading rate, which is also characteristic of autowaves [43]. The structure and state of the material substantially change in the zones of nucleation and annihilation of switching autowaves, so that these zones divide the object into parts where the deformation processes proceed independently. Along with the propagation of LBs, there are PLC bands propagating in TRIP steel, which also represent the strain-induced transformation fronts. However, unlike LBs, PLC bands repeatedly pass through the specimen gauge section. Such a behavior is characteristic of excitation autowaves that arise in active media with elements having three states: quiescence, excitation and refractoriness [43]. A loaded specimen of TRIP steel, where the direct strain-induced martensitic transformation is not completed at the elastic-plastic transition, should be considered an excitable active medium. After the passage and annihilation of primary localized plasticity autowaves in it, the nucleation zones are again activated with a subsequent increase in the deformation stresses. The zones become sources of excitation localized plasticity autowaves, which nucleate and propagate many times until the strain-induced austenite → martensite phase transformation is fully completed. The sources of excitation autowaves are the zones of nucleation or annihilation of primary LBs (switching autowaves) and their velocities decrease with increasing deformation stresses.

5. Conclusions

The findings of this study into the structure and behavior of plastic strain macrolocalization in metastable austenitic-martensitic steel depending on its preliminary thermomechanical processing yielded the following conclusions:

1. Preliminary heat treatment and warm rolling of chromium-nickel-molybdenum TRIP steel to 40–63% reduction significantly increases the stress of the onset of strain-induced phase transformation as well as changes the loading curve stages. After austenitization and rolling, the specimens demonstrate an S-shaped loading curve with a pseudo-plateau. Annealing leads to a decrease in strength and to a parabolic loading curve with a gradually decreasing hardening coefficient.

2. The martensitic transformation in specimens with a pseudo-plateau begins with the nucleation and propagation of transformation bands similar to Lüders bands in materials with a dislocation deformation mechanism, whose moving boundaries (fronts) are switching autowaves of localized plasticity.

3. Further plastic flow after annihilation of switching autowaves can occur by martensitic transformation in the form of propagating excitation autowaves, which are mobile PLC band fronts. Regardless of the presence of visible serrations in the stress-strain curve, the PLC band fronts repeatedly pass through the loaded specimen until the strain-induced phase transformation is fully completed.

4. The interconversion of autowave modes was observed for the first time. It was found that the sources of excitation autowaves in the material are the nucleation or annihilation sites of switching autowaves. The sites divide the material into separate regions in which the strain-induced phase transformation processes proceed independently.
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