INTRODUCTION

Systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) is a chronic autoimmune disease that involves several organs and manifests diverse clinical symptoms [1]. In lupus, autoimmune antibody produced by abnormal immune responses binds with the antigen to form an immune complex, which causes damage to internal organs [2]. Many studies have reported correlation between the clinical significance of autoimmune antibodies and the disease. In particular, the lupus nephritis and its disease activity level critically affect the treatment course and patient prognosis. However, only few studies have reported on anti-C-reactive protein (CRP) antibody levels in Korean patients with lupus. In one study conducted by Jung et al. [3] in which 90 lupus patients and 48 controls were compared, anti-CRP antibody levels did not significantly correlate with disease activity or the development of lupus nephritis, although the levels significantly differed between the groups. Previous studies conducted in other countries have reported that anti-CRP antibody level is associated with disease activity level and critically associ-
ated with the prediction, treatment response evaluation, and prognosis of the morbidity of lupus nephritis [4-8]. Therefore, in this study, we aimed to examine the relationship of anti-CRP antibody level with disease activity and clinical manifestations in Korean patients with SLE.

METHODS

Subjects and methods

The study subjects included 34 lupus patients treated at the Division of Rheumatology of Keimyung University Dongsan Medical Center between March and November 2014. All the patients met the lupus classification criteria of the American College of Rheumatology, revised in 1997 [9], and lupus nephritis was defined according to the World Health Organization (WHO) classification of the condition [10]. We also recruited 36 age- and sex-matched healthy subjects as the control group. The study was approved by the Keimyung University Dongsan Medical Center Medical Research Ethics Committee (IRB 2013-12-009). Written informed consent was obtained from all participants and confirmed by the board.

During their outpatient visits, all the patients underwent testing for serum anti-CRP antibodies, complete blood count, CRP, complement 3 (C3), complement 4 (C4), anti-double-stranded DNA (anti-dsDNA) antibodies, and urine. In addition, the systemic lupus erythematosus disease activity index (SLEDAI) was assessed.

Measurement of serum levels of anti-CRP antibodies

The serum levels of anti-CRP antibodies were measured by using enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). Capillary blood samples were obtained from both the patients and the controls, and immediately processed in a centrifugal separator at 120,000 rpm for 20 minutes. Only the separated sera were stored in a freezer at −70°C until measurement of serum anti-CRP antibody levels. Anti-CRP antibody level was measured by using an anti-CRP antibody ELISA kit (Cloud-Clone Corp., Houston, TX, USA). Before measurement, each specimen was diluted to the ratio of 1:100 in phosphate-buffered saline and cultured at 37°C for 2 hours after batches of 100-µL specimen were transferred by using a pipette to a 96-well microtiter plate. After washing with wash buffer, color reaction was induced at 37°C for 25 minutes by the addition of substrate solution and then stopped by the addition of a stop solution. An ELISA reader (VICTOR3, PerkinElmer Inc., Waltham, MA, USA) was used to measure optical density (OD). The obtained OD was calculated with a standard curve generated through a serial dilution of serum \( r^2 = 0.998 \). The cutoff value was set as the mean OD + 2SD of the healthy controls.

Statistical analysis

Data handling and statistical analysis were performed by using SPSS version 18.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Results are presented herein as mean ± standard deviation. Anti-CRP antibody levels were compared between the patient and control groups by using the non-parametric Mann-Whitney \( U \) test. The correlation between anti-CRP antibody level and the indicators of disease activity of lupus nephritis was examined by computing a non-parametric correlation coefficient for ordinal variables (Spearman’s rho). Statistical significance was determined if the \( p \) value was < 0.05.

RESULTS

Of the 34 patients, three were male and 31 were female. The mean age was 38.5 ± 12.28 years, and the mean illness duration was 72.64 ± 56.11 months. Renal biopsy was performed for five patients (14.7%), of whom one had class II disease and four had class IV disease according to the WHO classification criteria. The patients with lupus nephritis were only five, so we could not analyzed anti-CRP antibody according to lupus nephritis. Laboratory examination yielded the following values: leukocytes, 5,638.8 ± 2,635.0/µL; hemoglobin, 12.1 ± 2.0 g/dL; platelets, 230.3 ± 88.8 \( \times 10^3 \)/µL; erythrocyte sedimentation rate, 26.3 ± 25.5 mm/hr; CRP, 0.4 ± 0.9 mg/dL; C3, 83.1 ± 28.5 mg/dL; C4, 16.3 ± 7.0 mg/dL; and anti-dsDNA antibodies, 45.6 ± 141.1 U/mL. The SLEDAI was 6.5 ± 8.8 (Table 1).

The anti-CRP antibody level was 11.3 ± 5.6 µg/mL in the patient group and 9.1 ± 2.8 µg/mL in the control group, demonstrating a higher level in the patient group (\( p = 0.043 \)) (Fig. 1). The level of positive anti-CRP antibody was 8.6 µg/mL. The percentages of the positive anti-CRP antibody were 52.9% in SLE and 27.8% in controls. The anti-CRP antibody levels, clinical characteristics, and disease activity are compared in Table 2. One variable,
disease duration of SLE, showed significant correlation with the anti-CRP antibody \((r = 0.234, p = 0.026)\). However, no significant correlations were observed with complements, anti-dsDNA antibodies, SLEDAI score, and other variables. The patients were divided into two subgroups according to disease activity level, and the effect of each anti-CRP antibody was examined. However, no significant differences were found. The subgroups also did not show significant differences in, for example, blood test results, symptoms, and use of medications (Table 3). We also evaluated between anti-CRP positive patients \((n = 18)\) and anti-CRP negative patients \((n = 16)\). However, there was no statistically different variable between groups (data not shown).

### Table 1. Clinical features of the patients with SLE

| Feature                          | SLE \((n = 34)\) | Healthy controls \((n = 36)\) |
|----------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|
| Age, yr                          | 38.24 ± 12.47    | 39.2 ± 10.5                  |
| Sex, female:male                 | 3:31             | 3:33                         |
| Duration of SLE, mon             | 72.64 ± 56.11    | Not applicable               |
| Leukocyte, µL                    | 5,698.82 ± 2,634.98 | NA                          |
| Hemoglobin, g/dL                 | 12.12 ± 2.03     | NA                           |
| Platelet, x 10⁹/µL               | 230.26 ± 88.82   | NA                           |
| ESR, mm/hr                       | 26.32 ± 25.49    | NA                           |
| CRP, mg/dL                       | 0.36 ± 0.88      | NA                           |
| AST, U/L                         | 27.42 ± 19.34    | NA                           |
| ALT, U/L                         | 24.19 ± 17.18    | NA                           |
| BUN, mg/dL                       | 17.84 ± 8.76     | NA                           |
| eGFR, mg/dL                      | 119.12 ± 46.13   | NA                           |
| Urine protein/creatinine, mg/g   | 0.40 ± 0.86      | NA                           |
| Complement 3, mg/dL              | 83.11 ± 28.53    | NA                           |
| Complement 3, mg/dL              | 16.28 ± 7.00     | NA                           |
| Anti-dsDNA antibody, U/mL        | 45.63 ± 141.05   | NA                           |
| SLEDAI                           | 6.53 ± 8.81      | Not applicable               |

Values are presented as mean ± SD or percentage (number).

SLE, systemic lupus erythematosus; NA, not available; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; CRP, C-reactive protein; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; BUN, blood urea nitrogen; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; dsDNA, double-stranded DNA; SLEDAI, systemic lupus erythematosus disease activity index; NSAID, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug; MMF, mycophenolate mofetil.
DISCUSSION

The level of CRP is rarely high in patients with SLE, even in increased disease activity levels. If a patient with SLE has increased CRP level, other causes are considered first [11,12]. Modest elevation of CRP levels in SLE seems to be associated with elimination by autoantibodies [13-16]. Several studies have reported that anti-CRP antibody level correlated with disease activity and the occurrence of lupus nephritis. Specifically, Sjowall et al. [7] showed in a study in which 10 lupus patients were followed up over time that the anti-CRP antibody level varied depending on disease activity level. In particular, the anti-CRP antibody level increased when the disease activity level of lupus nephritis increased. However, in the present study, we did not find a significant correlation between the levels of anti-CRP antibodies and disease activity markers such as complements, anti-dsDNA antibodies, and SLEDAI score. Moreover, the anti-CRP antibody levels did not differ between the patients with and those without co-occurring lupus nephritis. We believe that one reason why we did not find any significant correlation with disease activity or the occurrence of lupus nephritis in our study, unlike in other studies, may be related to the low disease activity levels of lupus in our patients. The mean levels of the complements in the 34 patients were 83.1 ± 28.5 mg/dL for C3 and 16.3 ± 7.0 mg/dL for C4. The mean SLEDAI was 6.5 ± 8.8. Only five patients had co-occurring lupus nephritis. As mentioned earlier, anti-CRP antibody levels can change depending on disease activity levels. Furthermore, in patients with lupus nephritis, a significant decrease in anti-CRP antibody level was observed after induction therapy [7]. However, the disease activity in the patients in this study was maintained at a relatively stable level, which is probably why no significant correlation was found.

Table 2. The relationship between anti-C-reactive protein antibody level and clinical feature

| Variable                      | r     | p value |
|-------------------------------|-------|---------|
| Age                           | 0.103 | 0.199   |
| Disease duration, mon         | 0.234 | 0.026   |
| White blood count             | 0.039 | 0.372   |
| Hemoglobin                    | 0.140 | 0.124   |
| Platelet                      | -0.041| 0.367   |
| Blood urea nitrogen           | -0.092| 0.232   |
| Creatinine                    | -0.024| 0.423   |
| Erythrocyte sedimentation rate| -0.148| 0.112   |
| C-reactive protein            | -0.023| 0.427   |
| Complement 3                  | 0.159 | 0.094   |
| Complement 4                  | 0.123 | 0.153   |
| Urine protein/creatinine ratio| -0.002| 0.493   |
| Anti-dsDNA antibody           | -0.059| 0.370   |
| SLEDAI                        | 0.056 | 0.327   |

dsDNA, double-stranded DNA; SLEDAI, systemic lupus erythematosus disease activity index.
However, a significant correlation was found between the anti-CRP antibody levels and the mean illness duration; that is, the longer the illness duration, the higher the anti-CRP antibody level. A previous study did not find any significant difference in mean illness duration between an anti-CRP-positive group and an anti-CRP-negative group [17]. However, in the present study, illness duration did not statistically correlate with any of the disease activity markers, including complements, anti-dsDNA antibodies, SLEDAI, and proteinuria. In addition, the mean illness duration was $72.6 \pm 56.1$ months. However, three patients who had anti-CRP antibody levels higher than the mean level had lupus for $187, 175,$ and $167$ months, respectively, all of which were greater than twice the standard deviation. Therefore, we think that the finding of significant correlation with illness duration was due to the few high values in a small sample. We recommend that prospective research studies with large patient samples be conducted for a more accurate interpretation of results.

To conclude, in the present study, we confirmed that the anti-CRP antibody levels of the patients with SLE were statistically significantly higher than those of the controls. However, unlike the findings reported in a previous research study, the level of anti-CRP antibodies was not significantly correlated with lupus disease activity. For

| Variable                                      | Number | Anti-CRP antibody, µg/mL | p value |
|-----------------------------------------------|--------|--------------------------|---------|
| SLEDAI scores                                 |        |                          |         |
| ≥ 6                                           | 13     | 10.2                     | 0.173   |
| < 6                                           | 21     | 13.0                     |         |
| Complement, mg/dL                             |        |                          |         |
| Low C3                                        | 11     | 10.6                     | 0.302   |
| Low C4                                        | 6      | 11.3                     | 0.991   |
| Low C3 and C4                                 | 6      | 11.3                     | 0.991   |
| Normal C3 and C4                              | 23     | 11.3                     |         |
| Anti-dsDNA antibody, U/mL                     |        |                          |         |
| Increased anti-dsDNA antibody                 | 11     | 12.5                     | 0.070   |
| Normal anti-dsDNA antibody                    | 23     | 8.8                      |         |
| ESR, mm/hr                                    |        |                          |         |
| Increased ESR                                 | 15     | 10.1                     | 0.306   |
| Normal ESR                                    | 19     | 12.2                     |         |
| CRP, mg/dL                                    |        |                          |         |
| Increased CRP                                 | 5      | 14.5                     | 0.175   |
| Normal CRP                                    | 29     | 10.7                     |         |
| Steroid, mg/day                               |        |                          |         |
| Low dose steroid (PDS $\leq 7.5$ mg/day)      | 22     | 10.9                     | 0.228   |
| High dose steroid (PDS $\geq 1$ mg/kg/day)    | 3      | 13.5                     | 0.563   |
| Pulse therapy (PDS $\geq 250$ mg/day)         | 14     | 11.5                     | 0.860   |
| Combined manifestations                        |        |                          |         |
| With arthritis/Without arthritis              | 6/28   | 9.4/11.7                 | 0.384   |
| With ILD/Without ILD                          | 3/31   | 17.1/10.7                | 0.060   |
| With PAH/Without PAH                          | 1/33   | 15.6/11.2                | 0.506   |

CRP, C-reactive protein; SLEDAI, systemic lupus erythematosus disease activity index; dsDNA, double-stranded DNA; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; PDS, prednisolone; ILD, interstitial lung disease; PAH, pulmonary arterial hypertension.
further understanding of the effect of anti-CRP antibodies in patients with lupus, follow-up prospective research studies with a larger number of patients are needed.

KEY MESSAGE
1. The anti-C-reactive protein (anti-CRP) antibody levels of the patients with systemic lupus erythematosus were statistically significantly higher than those of the healthy controls.
2. The level of anti-CRP antibodies was not significantly correlated with lupus disease activity.
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