Do planetary encounters reset surfaces of near Earth asteroids?
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A B S T R A C T

Processes such as the solar wind sputtering and micrometeorite impacts can modify optical properties of surfaces of airless bodies. This explains why spectra of the main belt asteroids, exposed to these ‘space weathering’ processes over eons, do not match the laboratory spectra of ordinary chondrite (OC) meteorites. In contrast, an important fraction of Near Earth Asteroids (NEAs), defined as Q-types in the asteroid taxonomy, display spectral attributes that are a good match to OCs. Here we study the possibility that the Q-type NEAs underwent recent encounters with the terrestrial planets and that the tidal gravity (or other effects) during these encounters exposed fresh OC material on the surface (thus giving it the Q-type spectral properties). We used numerical integrations to determine the statistics of encounters of NEAs to planets. The results were used to calculate the fraction and orbital distribution of Q-type asteroids expected in the model as a function of the space weathering timescale, $t_{sw}$ (see main text for definition), and maximum distance, $r_*$, at which planetary encounters can reset the surface. We found that $r_\star \sim 10^6 \text{ yr}$ (at 1 AU) and $r_\star \sim 5 R_{pl}$, where $R_{pl}$ is the planetary radius, best fit the data. Values $t_{sw} < 10^5 \text{ yr}$ would require that $r_\star > 20 R_{pl}$, which is probably implausible because these very distant encounters should be irrelevant. Also, the fraction of Q-type NEAs would be probably much larger than the one observed if $t_{sw} > 10^7 \text{ yr}$. We found that $t_{sw} \propto q^2$, where $q$ is the perihelion distance, expected if the solar wind sputtering controls $t_{sw}$, provides a better match to the orbital distribution of Q-type NEAs than models with fixed $t_{sw}$. We also discuss how the Earth magnetosphere and radiation effects such as YORP can influence the spectral properties of NEAs.

© 2010 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Measurements of the spectral properties of Near Earth Asteroids (NEAs) provide important evidence concerning the relationship between asteroids and the most common class of meteorites known as the ordinary chondrites (OCs). The tendency toward seeing OC-like spectral attributes among NEAs has been noted in multi-filter color observations (Rabinowitz, 1998; Whiteley, 2001), and in visible and near-infrared specrophotometric surveys (Binzel et al., 1996, 2004, 2010). In contrast, no spectral analogs of OCs have been found to date among the ~2000 surveyed main belt asteroids (MBAs), except for a case related to identified recent asteroid collisions (Mothé-Diniz and Nesvorný, 2008).

The lack of spectrophotometric analogs for OC meteorites in the main belt is a long-debated and fundamental problem. It is now generally accepted that processes similar to those acting on the Moon, such as solar wind sputtering and micrometeorite impacts (Gold, 1955; Pieters et al., 2000, see Hapke (2001) and Chapman (2004) for reviews), can darken and redder the initially OC-like spectrum of a fresh asteroid surface, giving it the ‘weathered’ appearance (see Chapman (1996), Clark et al. (2001, 2002a,b) for direct evidence for asteroid space weathering processes from the NEAR-Shoemaker and Galileo spacecrafts). In the following text we will refer to processes that alter optical properties of surfaces of airless bodies as the ‘space weathering’ (SW) effects.

Since the SW processes should affect the MBAs and NEAs in roughly the same way (see, e.g., Marchi et al. (2006) for a study of the SW dependence on heliocentric distance), it may seem puzzling why a significant fraction of NEAs has an unweathered appearance (Binzel et al., 2004) while practically all spectrally surveyed MBAs are weathered. Several explanations have been proposed.

To simplify the discussion of different models described below, we will use the following terminology taken from the standard asteroid taxonomy (Bus and Binzel, 2002; DeMeo et al., 2009). We will define three categories of asteroid spectra: (1) Q-type spectra with deep absorption bands and shallow spectral slope similar to that of most OC meteorites in the RELAB database1; (2) S-type spectra with shallow absorption bands and relatively steep spectral slope similar to that of weathered OCs; and (3) Sq-type spectra as the intermediate case between S and Q. See Bus and Binzel

---

1 http://www.planetary.brown.edu/relab/.
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imply that would imply that the observed Q-type NEAs should have surface approach full maturity only after Gys of evolution (Jedicke et al., 2008; Vernazza et al., 2009) suggest that SW probably operates problems with this "standard model”. For example, the estimates collisional lifetime of smaller asteroids (e.g., Johnson and Fanale, 1973; Binzel et al., 1996, 2004; Rabinowitz, 1998; Whiteley, 2001).

One possibility is that the observed spectral variations may be related to particle-size effects (Johnson and Fanale, 1973), where the decreasing gravity results in a different size distribution of surface particles on typically smaller NEAs than on larger MBAs. However, the photometric parameters indicative of particle-size effects show little evidence of an asteroid diameter dependence, thereby giving doubt to this explanation (e.g., Clark et al., 2001; Masiero et al., 2009).

Binzel et al. (2004) hypothesized that the SW size-dependency was because the survival lifetime against catastrophic disruption decreases with decreasing size. Thus, on average, as we examine smaller and smaller objects, we should see younger and younger surfaces. Surfaces showing Q-type spectral properties should thus exist, on average, only among the smallest asteroids, which become easy spectroscopic targets only when they enter into NEA space. Large, OC-like asteroids in the main belt should have, on average, space-weathered spectral properties, explaining why they are taxonomically classified as S types.

2. NJWI05 model

Nesvorný et al. (2005, hereafter NJWI05), pointed out several problems with this "standard model". For example, the estimates of SW rates (Chapman et al., 2007; Mothé-Diniz and Nesvorný, 2008; Vernazza et al., 2009) suggest that SW probably operates on timescales <10 Myr (or perhaps even ≤ 1 Myr) to change an initially fresh Q-type surface into one that is partially weathered (corresponding to Sq). The SW process then probably continues to approach full maturity only after Gys of evolution (Jedicke et al., 2004; NJWI05; Willman et al., 2008). If so, the standard model would imply that the observed Q-type NEAs should have surface ages that are <10 Myr.

This implication of the standard scenario is at odds with the collisional and dynamical models of the NEAs’ origin because it would imply that ~20% of chondritic NEAs were produced by collisional breakups of large bodies within the past <10 Myr. In contrast, models predict much longer durations for processes like the Yarkovsky effect and weak resonances to insert km-sized MBAs into the planet-crossing space (e.g., Migliorini et al., 1998; Bottke et al., 2002; Morbidelli and Vokrouhlický, 2003), and collisional lifetimes >100 Myr (e.g., Bottke et al., 2005). Whiteley (2001) discussed additional objections to the standard model.

More recently, as a test of the standard model, Vernazza and Mothé-Diniz et al. (2009) conducted a spectroscopic survey of ∼100 diameter D ≤ 5 km asteroids in the inner main belt. With only one possible (but uncertain) Q-type candidate detected (Mothé-Diniz et al., 2009), this survey indicates that the Q-type asteroids are rare even among small MBAs. This rules out the standard scenario that attempts to explain spectrophotometric differences between NEAs and MBAs as chiefly due to size-dependent effects.

To resolve these problems, NJWI05 proposed a new model for the origin of Q-type NEAs by postulating that the optically-active layer on their surface has been recently reset by the effects of tidal gravity during encounters of these bodies to the terrestrial planets. For example, applied tidal stresses applied may cause elements of a fractured body to move with respect to each other, ballistically displace surface material, or even liberate the surface layers from the asteroid. Alternatively, if the tidal torque spins up an asteroid, the weathered regolith layers can be removed by carrying away the excess angular momentum.

To show the plausibility of this idea, NJWI05 estimated that a typical NEA suffers on average about one encounter to within 2 Roche radii (R_{Roche}) from the Earth every ≈5 Myr. This time interval between encounters is comparable with the average orbital lifetime of NEAs (≈5 Myr according to Bottke et al. (2002)) and is also comparable with the range of the SW timescale discussed above. Consequently, if tidal encounters at 2R_{Roche} can reset surfaces, Q-type NEAs should be numerous. For comparison, encounters up to five planetary radii (this limit depends on the NEA’s shape and spin vector) can produce strong shape distortions of a rubble-pile NEA and material stripping up to 10% of the NEA’s pre-encounter mass (Richardson et al., 1998).

Strong evidence supporting the NJWI05 model comes from the observed orbital distribution of Q-type NEAs. Several trends were pointed out (Nesvorný et al., 2004; NJWI05: Marchi et al., 2006): (a) the proportion of Q-type NEAs increases with decreasing helion distance q; (b) the orbital distribution of known Q-type NEAs has a sharp edge at q ~ 1 AU; and (c) concentrations of Q-type NEAs occur for values of q that correspond to large collision probability with the terrestrial planets (q = 1.0 and 0.72 AU for Earth and Venus; see, e.g., Morbidelli and Gladman, 1998). This correlation of the orbital distribution of Q-type NEAs with the collision probability is expected in the NJWI05 model because orbits with large collision probability also have frequent close encounters with the terrestrial planets (e.g., Bottke and Melosh, 1996). Thus, the surfaces of these objects are expected to be ‘fresh’ on average showing fewer signs of the SW effects.

Recently, Binzel et al. (2010) presented results supporting the NJWI05 model. They used a taxonomic classification based on the near-infrared (near-IR) spectroscopy which should more closely characterize surface mineralogy than previous taxonomies based on the visible wavelengths. We have verified, however, that there exists a very good correlation between the visible and near-IR classification of Q types. For example, from six NEAs that were classified as Qs from the visible spectra before IR data became available, five were classified as Qs based on the near-IR spectra and only 1 must have been re-classified as Sq. This shows that the use of the near-IR data does not really change the problem.

B10 numerically integrated the orbits of 95 selected asteroids (Q-, Sq-, and S-type NEAs and more distant Mars-crossers) for 0.5 Myr, recorded the history of their close encounters to the Earth and statistically analyzed the encounters in an attempt to correlate the statistics with spectroscopic type. Two main results were obtained in B10:

(1) 20 Q- and 55 S/Sq-type NEAs can collide with the Earth in 0.5 Myr (although the actual probability of such a collision is low). Conversely, none of the remaining 13 NEAs and seven Mars-crossing asteroids (MCAs) included in the study, all S and Sq, have any chance of impact in 0.5 Myr. The time interval of 0.5 Myr used in the B10 study was motivated by the recent estimate of the SW timescale in Vernazza et al. (2009) where it was proposed, based on a comparative study of asteroid families and OC meteorites, that SW acts on <1 Myr to fully alter an asteroid spectrum from Q to S.
Adopting this timescale, B10 computed that the probability that all known Q-types would fall into the former category by chance (asteroids with a possible impact) is only $(75/95)^{20} \approx 0.9\%$. Thus, they concluded, the lack of Qs in the latter group is unlikely to happen by chance. The B10 study therefore showed that the Mars-crossing asteroids and distant NEAs on orbits decoupled from the Earth (i.e., those that have large $q$ and no Earth encounters at all) are not Qs. This trend has already been noted before (Nesvorný et al., 2004, 2005; Marchi et al., 2006). The statistical significance assigned to the results by B10 sensitively depends on the selected sample. For example, the significance of 'not finding Qs among 20 distant NEAs and Mars-crossers' would drop to only about $2\sigma$ if Mars-crossers were excluded from the analysis, as they should because they are not NEAs. If, on the other hand, all non-NEAs were included in the analysis, the result becomes obvious because there are no known Q-type asteroids in the main belt (except those in the young families). We discuss this issue in more detail in Section 3.

(2) B10 used the observed fraction of Q-type NEAs to estimate that encounters up to 16 Earth radii (i.e., $\approx 5R_{\text{Roche}}$) should give the asteroid surface a Q-type appearance. This is at odds with our understanding of the effects of tidal gravity because it would be surprising if these very distant encounters could lead to any displacement of the surface regolith (e.g., Richardson et al., 1998; Walsh and Richardson, 2008). This problem could indicate that some of the assumptions used in B10 may be invalid. For example, studies of asteroid families, lunar craters and some laboratory experiments suggest that the SW timescale, or at least the late stage of SW when the regolith gardening processes presumably become important, can last $\gg 1$ Myr (e.g., Pieters et al., 2000; Sasaki et al., 2001; Jedicke et al., 2004; NJW05; Willman et al., 2008). On the other hand, experiments with the He ion bombardment of olivine powders conducted in Loeffler et al. (2009) suggest the SW timescale $\ll 1$ Myr at 1 AU. The specific choice of the SW timescale in B10 is therefore not very well justified.

Here we study encounters of NEAs to the terrestrial planets and show that the B10’s analysis was incomplete. It turns out to be important, as originally proposed by NJW05, to account not only for the encounters of NEAs to Earth but also to Venus. Once Venus encounters are included (see Section 3), the required encounter distance drops from 16 to $\approx 10$ planetary radii (i.e., by a factor of $(16/10)^4 \approx 4$ in the strength of tidal perturbation). In addition, we find that the critical distance of planetary encounters, $r_c$, sensitively depends on the assumed SW timescale. When the latter is set to 1 Myr, for example, $r_c \approx 5$–7 planetary radii, which is a much more reasonable value than the B10 estimate.

The method used in B10 (see following Section 3) has its limitations because it is difficult, even in the statistical sense, to reconstruct the history of past planetary encounters by numerical integrations of present orbits into the past. To circumvent this problem, in Section 4 we develop a NEA model by forward orbital integrations of asteroids from their sources in the asteroid belt. This method is similar to that used by Bottke et al. (2002) only this time we focus on the statistics of encounters of NEAs to the terrestrial planets. The NEA model allows us to consider a wide range of SW timescales, including the long ones that cannot be studied by backward integrations of orbits.

3. Analysis of planetary encounters

We selected 95 NEAs and Mars-crossing asteroids (MCAs) with known Q, Sq or S taxonomic classification from the near-IR taxonomic catalog (DeMeo et al., 2009; Fig. 1). This selection is identical to that in B10; see Table 1 in Supplementary Material of B10 for the complete list. Starting from the current epoch we numerically integrated the orbits of the selected objects into the past and recorded all planetary encounters in 1 Myr (longer timescale is considered in Section 4). This encounter record needs to be analyzed statistically because the integrated orbits are strongly chaotic.

In addition to the nominal orbit of each object we also followed 100 orbital clones. The clones were normally distributed within the appropriate orbit-determination uncertainty limits around the nominal orbit (both taken from NEODYSC7). In addition to gravitational perturbations from eight planets (Mercury to Neptune), the orbits were also subject to the Yarkovsky force whose strength was chosen to sample the full range appropriate for each NEA’s size (Bottke et al., 2006). We used the Swift integrator (Levison and Duncan, 1994) and 1 day time step. We found that shorter time steps produce results that are statistically equivalent to those obtained with the 1 day step.

By analyzing planetary encounters recorded in our integrations we found that the encounters with Venus are as important as those with the Earth. To show this, we normalized the distance of encounters to each planet by the planetary radius, $R_p$, which is roughly an appropriate scaling for tidal gravity (e.g., Richardson et al., 1998), and calculated the minimal encounter distance, $r_{\text{min}}(t)$, reached in time $t$. This calculation was done for all clones of each individual object. We then determined the median $r_{\text{min}}$ over clones. The median minimum distance has the following sta-
ple had an encounter with this distance. Their orbits using MOID, divided objects into those with MOID corresponding to encounters (2000). It is a useful indication of whether or not two objects can collide and is frequently used to identify the potentially hazardous asteroids. The information carried in MOID, however, does not indicate whether such a collision (or close encounter) is likely or not; that depends on the exact location of the two objects in their orbits. Using MOID, divided objects into those with MOID corresponding to Earth encounters smaller than the lunar distance and those with MOID larger than this distance.

Fig. 2. The distribution of encounters for \( t = 0.5 \) Myr (a) and \( \tau_{\text{min}}(t) \) (b) for Asteroid 1862 Apollo. Different lines correspond to encounters with Venus, Earth, and Mars. Close encounters of 1862 Apollo with Mercury are rare. This plot illustrates that the encounters with Venus are the dominant type of encounters for NEAs such as 1862 Apollo. The bold line in (b) shows \( \tau_{\text{min}}(t) \) when encounters to all planets are considered. In (a), a hundred of closest encounters are shown.

Statistical meaning: a NEA with given \( \tau_{\text{min}}(t) = r \) has a 50% chance to have close encounter at less than \( r \) planetary radii from a planet in time \( t \). For illustration, Fig. 2 shows the distribution of encounters for \( t = 0.5 \) Myr and \( \tau_{\text{min}}(t) \) for Asteroid 1862 Apollo. Note, for example, that \( \tau_{\text{min}}(t = 0.5 \) Myr \) for the encounters of 1862 Apollo to Venus and Earth are 9.5 and 20.3\( R_p \), respectively, while it is only 8\( R_p \) when all planetary encounters are combined.

In the next step, we searched for objects among the 95 NEAs and MCAs included in this study that have a negligible probability of having a close encounter with any planet. To quantify this, we calculated the probability \( P(R, t) \) that an individual object in our sample had an encounter with \( r < R = 20R_p \) in the past \( t = 0.5 \) Myr (Fig. 3). We found that 19 out of 20 S/Sq asteroids listed in B10 as having MOID \(^3 \) outside the lunar distance also have \( P(20,0.5) < 5\% \); only 54690 2001EB has \( P(20,0.5) = 10\% \) of having encounter with Mars.

What is slightly more puzzling is that three asteroids with B10’s MOID values in the lunar distance also have \( P(20,0.5) < 5\% \). One of these is classified as S (719 Albert), and two are Qs (162058

\( \text{Fig. 3. The probability of having an encounter with } r < 20R_p \text{ in } t = 0.5 \text{ Myr. Particle index denotes individual objects that were ordered by the increasing probability value. The dashed and solid lines show the probability for encounters with the Earth and all planets, respectively.} \)

1997AE12 and 2008CL1). This shows that the classification of objects based on MOID is ambiguous because it does not properly take into account the actual encounter probability over a finite time interval. It is therefore incorrect to assign the B10’s result (even approximate) statistical significance, because such a calculation will depend on the subjective choice of the cutoff value. For example, the partition of Q-type objects between \( (P(20,0.5) > 5\% \) and \( P(20,0.5) < 5\% \) is not statistically unusual (unless MBAs were taken into account).\(^4 \)

When only encounters to the Earth are considered, the classification of objects based on their encounter probability becomes less ambiguous (Fig. 3). This happens because the probability of Earth encounter is a step-like function with either \( P(20,0.5) > 10\% \) or \( P(20,0.5) < 1\% \), and very few objects (six in total: 3288, 5143, 6047, 23187, 2006NM and 2001FA1) in the intermediate range. One of these intermediate objects, 5143 Heracles, is a Q with an Earth-encounter probability \( P(20,0.5) = 9\% \). Our 20 objects with \( P(20,0.5) < 1\% \) also have large MOID for Earth encounters according to B10.

We will consider two cases in the following text. In the first case, we will assume that the main effect on surface regolith of an asteroid is driven by tidal gravity during the asteroid’s encounters to the terrestrial planets (case 1). All planets, mainly Venus and Earth, must be considered in this case. To compare our models with the data, all 95 objects with known near-IR taxonomy will be considered as one group. In the second case, we will consider encounters to the Earth only (case 2). There is a possibility (discussed in more detail in Section 5) that electrically charged regolith particles (e.g., by photoelectric effect; Lee, 1996) can be lofted by the Lorentz force when the asteroid passes through the Earth’s magnetosphere. Since the Earth magnetosphere extends to a larger distance than where tidal gravity could be important, its effects may potentially be relevant for distant encounters. Distant encounters with Venus and Mars need not to be considered because these planets do not have important magnetic fields. In this case, we will discard 20 objects with \( P(20,0.5) < 1\% \) for Earth encounters (group-2 in the following) from our list and consider the remaining 75 objects only (group-1).

The SW timescale and critical encounter distance for which the tidal gravity (or Lorentz force) can be important are treated as free parameters in the following. Specifically, we determine the number of bodies in the selected sample that are expected to have at

\(^3\) The Minimum Orbital Intersection Distance or MOID is defined in Bonanno (2000). It is a useful indication of whether or not two objects can collide and is frequently used to identify the potentially hazardous asteroids. The information carried in MOID, however, does not indicate whether such a collision (or close encounter) is likely or not; that depends on the exact location of the two objects in their orbits. Using MOID, B10 divided objects into those with MOID corresponding to Earth encounters smaller than the lunar distance and those with MOID larger than this distance.

\(^4\) So far there is not known any Q-type object among distant MCAs with \( P(20,0.5) < 1\% \) (for which we have the near-IR data). It will be interesting to see if this situation holds with new observations.
least one encounter with \( r < r^* \) in time \( t \), where \( t \) and \( r^* \) are free parameters. This value gives us a sense of the expected fraction of the Q-type objects in our model as a function of the SW timescale and \( r^* \).\(^5\) As in B10, we use a definition of the SW timescale, \( \tau_{\text{sw}} \), as the characteristic time interval during which an initially ‘fresh’ Q-type NEA affected by SW remains Q. This is the natural timescale that is directly constrained by the observed Q-type fraction among NEAs.

Note that our definition differs from the one used elsewhere (e.g., Jedicke et al., 2004; NJW105; Willman et al., 2008; Vernazza et al., 2009), where the SW timescale was defined as the time interval for SW to approach/reach completion. Additional assumptions on the SW dependence on time are therefore required to compare \( \tau_{\text{sw}} \) as determined here, with the SW timescales estimated elsewhere. For example, studies of asteroid families suggest that SW can partially weather a surface in \(~1\) Myr (Chapman et al., 2007; Mothé-Diniz and Nesvorny, 2008; Vernazza et al., 2009), and then proceed towards completion during a phase that can last several Gyrs (Willman et al., 2008). The timescale \( \tau_{\text{sw}} \) that we determine in this work provides constraints on the initial stages of the SW process.

Fig. 4 shows the expected fraction of Q-type objects in case 1, as defined above, as a function of \( \tau_{\text{sw}} \leq 1 \) Myr and \( r^* \) (see Section 4 for \( \tau_{\text{sw}} > 1 \) Myr). We find that <1% of group-2 objects have planetary encounter with \( r < r^* = 10R_{\text{pl}} \) in \( t = 0.5 \) Myr. This fraction increases to nearly 3% for \( t = 1 \) Myr. Since there are only 20 objects in group-2, it is therefore statistically unlikely that one (or more) object(s) in group-2 would have a recent encounter with \( r < r^* = 10R_{\text{pl}} \). This is consistent with current observational estimates that indicate that none of these objects is a Q. Spectrophotometric observations of at least \(~100\) group-2 objects would be needed to test the NJW105 model in a more stringent way.

Group-1 NEAs are those that have a large number of encounters with the terrestrial planets, mainly Venus and Earth. These two planets are equally important. For example, B10 estimated by neglecting Venus encounters that Earth encounters of group-1 NEAs with \( r = 16R_{\text{Earth}} \) are needed, if \( \tau_{\text{sw}} = 0.5 \) Myr, to explain the observed fraction of Q types (28%, see below). Here we repeat this calculation and find \( r = 17R_{\text{Earth}} \) (Fig. 5), a slightly larger value than the B10 estimate but in a reasonable agreement with it (the difference can be explained by our larger statistics). Now, including Venus encounters but neglecting those to the Earth we find that the Venus encounters with \( r = 19R_{\text{Venus}} \) would be required. When both the encounters to Venus and Earth are considered, however, the required encounter distance drops to \( r = 10R_{\text{pl}} \) (Fig. 4a). This casts doubt on the claims in B10, where it was suggested that the Earth’s tidal gravity can reset the NEA surface during encounters at \( 16R_{\text{Earth}} \).

The observed fraction of Q-type NEAs can be used to constrain parameters \( r^* \) and \( \tau_{\text{sw}} \) (Fig. 6). This fraction is 20/95 = 0.21 when all objects are considered (case 1 as defined above) and 20/75 = 0.28 when only objects in group-1 are considered (case 2). In either case, the best-fit solutions are located along a hyperbola-shaped region in \((r^*,\tau_{\text{sw}})\) space. Since planetary tides during encounters with \( r > r^* = 20R_{\text{pl}} \) should be negligible, we find that \( \tau_{\text{sw}} > 0.1 \) Myr. This result holds unless the Earth’s magnetospheric effects are important at \( r > 35R_{\text{Earth}} \) (Fig. 5), which is unlikely.

We find that \( r \approx 8–12R_{\text{pl}} \) for \( \tau_{\text{sw}} = 0.5 \) Myr. This value is probably too large compared to the expectations from the simulations.

\(^5\) Note that both the tidal gravity and Earth’s magnetospheric effects should strongly decay with the encounter distance. It is therefore approximately correct to assume that the surface is reset if a close approach is made within \( r^* \) and otherwise unaffected. A more realistic resurfacing model would include more free parameters and would be difficult to constrain with the present data.
of tidal effects during planetary encounters (Richardson et al., 1998; Walsh and Richardson, 2008). These simulations show that the large-scale effects of tidal gravity should be minimal beyond \( -6\)\(R_p\), even in the most favorable case of fast ‘prograde’ rotation of the small object. Here, the prograde rotation is defined with respect to the encounter trajectory. We thus believe that \( t_{sw} \sim 1\) Myr can probably better fit the available constraints (from NEAs and Chapman et al., 2007; Mothé-Diniz and Nesvorny, 2008; Vernazza et al., 2009) because this slightly longer timescale leads to \( r \approx 5-7 \)\(R_p\). Note that these \( r \) values are plausible because the optically-active thin surface layer may be vulnerable to even tiniest tidal perturbations that were not considered in the simulations of Richardson et al. (1998) and Walsh and Richardson (2008). Values \( t_{sw} < 1\) Myr are also plausible (based on the NEA constraint only) but we are not able to deal with these longer timescales with the method described in this section.

4. NEA model

The method described in the previous section is only approximate because it is difficult, even in the statistical sense, to reconstruct the history of past planetary encounters by numerical integrations of present orbits into the past. It is even more problematic to try to extend these numerical integrations beyond 1 Myr, to times comparable with the average orbital lifetime of NEAs (\( \approx 5\) Myr; Bottke et al., 2002). This is because the statistical results obtained from these integrations cannot be used to retrace the real orbital evolution of individual objects from their source locations in the main belt to NEA space. Consequently, the encounter statistic obtained from such integrations would be incorrect. A different method needs to be used to circumvent this problem (and check on the results obtained in the previous section).

We used the method developed in Bottke et al. (2002, hereafter B02). B02 constructed the NEA model by tracking orbits originating from various locations in the main belt, such as the \( v_\text{N} \) and 3:1 resonances, and the population known as the Intermediate source Mars Crossers (IMCs for short). IMCs have marginally unstable orbits that are leaking from more stable locations in the inner main belt but have not yet reached Mars-crossing space (Migliorini et al., 1998; Morbidelli and Nesvorny, 1999). By calibrating the orbital distribution obtained in the model to that of known NEAs, B02 was able to set constraints on the contribution of each source to the NEA population as a function of absolute magnitude \( H \). Apparently, the three most important sources are the \( v_\text{N} \), 3:1 resonance and IMCs, which contribute by 37%, 20% and 27%, respectively, for \( H < 18 \). [The outer main belt resonances and Jupiter-family comets provide the remaining 16%.] We conducted numerical simulations similar to those reported in B02 only this time focusing on the statistics of close encounters of NEAs with the terrestrial planets. Specifically, we tracked orbits of \( \sim 1000 \) test particles (per source) as they evolve from their source regions into planet-crossing space. These integrations included seven planets (Venus to Neptune). Thermal effects on orbits (such as the Yarkovsky effect) were neglected because NEA dynamics is mainly controlled by planetary encounters and powerful resonances. We used a variant of the Wisdom–Holman map (Wisdom and Holman, 1991) known as Swift_rmv3 (Levison and Duncan, 1994). We modified the Swift integrator so that it records all encounters of model NEAs with planets up to a distance of 20\( R_p \).

These data were used in a statistical model that follows the orbital evolution of each object and estimates its spectral index at any given moment. We define the spectral index, \( I_s \), as 0 for a fresh Q-type object and 1 for a fully space weathered S type object. The intermediate values \( 1/3 < I_s < 2/3 \) are used to represent the Sq-type asteroids. The model has two parameters: \( r \) and \( t_{sw} \). Each object is assumed to be initially fully space weathered with \( I_s = 1 \). If an encounter with \( r < r_c \) occurs, we set \( I_s = 0 \) at the corresponding time, and let a simple SW algorithm increase \( I_s \). Therefore, assuming that no additional encounters with \( r > r_c \) happen in the interim interval, \( I_s = 1/3 \) after \( t_{sw} \) has elapsed. Parameter \( t_{sw} \) thus represents the timescale during which an initially fresh Q-type asteroids remains Q. This definition is consistent with the one used in the previous section.

Note that our algorithm is only a simple representation of the SW process that, in reality, must be more complicated. For example, Jedicke et al. (2004) and Willman et al. (2008) assumed that the spectral slope has an exponential dependence on time (as if SW were produced by constant SW agent), and defined the SW timescale as the characteristic exponential timescale, \( \tau \), of this dependence. The relationship between our \( t_{sw} \) and their \( \tau \) is \( t_{sw} = -\ln (2/3)\tau \approx 0.4\tau \), where \( \tau \approx 1\) Gyr in Willman et al. (2008). This suggests that \( t_{sw} \) could be very long. On the other hand, Vernazza et al. (2009) invoked a two-step process with the fast initial stage, perhaps due to ion sputtering, and slower later stage, as in Willman et al. (2008). This two-step process would indicate that \( t_{sw} < 1\) Myr (Chapman et al., 2007; Mothé-Diniz and Nesvorny, 2008).

We run our code over all test orbits and record \( I_s \) as a function of \( a, e \) and \( i \). The expected fraction of Q-type NEAs in a given orbital bin, \( f_{Q}(a,e,i) \), is then estimated as \( f_{Q} = N(I_s < 1/3)/N \), where \( N \) and \( N(I_s < 1/3) \) are the total number of recorded cases and the number of cases with \( I_s < 1/3 \), respectively. The contribution of particles starting in different sources is weighted by the relative importance of each source according to B02. Fractions \( f_{Q}(a,e,i) \) obtained in this NEA model with different \( r \) and \( t_{sw} \) are then compared with the observed fraction of Q-type NEAs. This comparison helps us to set constraints on the SW timescale and critical encounter distance.

With only 20 known Q-type objects the current spectrophotometric catalog of NEAs is largely incomplete and probably biased by the observer’s selection criteria that are difficult to characterize. We do not make any attempt to compensate for the observational bias. To compare our model with the sparse data, we find that the best strategy is to divide the orbital region into large bins in the...
perihelion and aphelion distance, and inclination. This is useful because large bins allow for better statistics. It is also better to use $q$, rather than $a$ or $e$, because the orbital distribution of Q-type NEAs has a sharp edge at $q = 1$ AU with no Q-types known with $q > 1.1$ AU (Fig. 1).

Simulated fraction $f_Q$ is compared with observations using the usual $\chi^2$ statistics. Given the dependence of the statistics on the bin selection, however, we do not attempt to assign any formal confidence levels to various parameter choices. Instead, we only compare different models relatively among themselves according to their $\chi^2$ value; models with smallest $\chi^2$ are given priority.

Fig. 7 shows the $\chi^2$ values for models with different $r$ and $t_{sw}$. The range of parameter values that fits observations best roughly overlaps with the region identified from backward numerical integrations in Section 3 (cf. Fig. 6). This gives some credibility to the method used in Section 3.

We find that $t_{sw} < 0.1$ Myr can be rejected unless $r > 20R_{pl}$, in a good agreement with the results obtained in Section 3. Fig. 7b extends these results to $t_{sw} = 35$ Myr. The best fits occur along a curve that indicates progressively smaller $r$ values for longer $t_{sw}$. Eventually, the fits following this curve slightly degrade for $t_{sw} > 30$ Myr. Also, $r < 2R_{pl}$ for $t_{sw} > 35$ Myr, while $r > 2R_{pl}$ according to Richardson et al. (1998). These long SW timescales therefore do not appear plausible.

Fraction $f_Q(a,e,i)$ obtained in our model is shown in Figs. 8–10 for several different values of $t_{sw}$ and $r$. Fig. 8 shows $f_Q$ for $r = 10R_{pl}$ and $t_{sw} = 0.1$ Myr, and $r = 5R_{pl}$ and $t_{sw} = 15$ Myr. Both these parameter choices do not fit observations well. The one with $t_{sw} = 0.1$ Myr produces an overall excess of Q-type objects with $f_Q > 0.5$ for $q < 1$ AU and $a < 2$ AU. The one with $t_{sw} = 15$ Myr shows $f_Q < 0.1$. In comparison, the surveyed NEAs have $f_Q = 0.2$–0.3 overall. Note that the two models illustrated in Fig. 8 lay outside the low-$\chi^2$ region shown in Fig. 7.

Two of our models that match observations better are illustrated in Fig. 9 ($r = 7R_{pl}$ and $t_{sw} = 1$ Myr) and Fig. 10 ($r = 2.5R_{pl}$ and $t_{sw} = 15$ Myr). These models correspond to some of the lowest $\chi^2$ values that we have obtained. Fraction $f_Q$ increases in both these models with decreasing heliocentric distance, while in Fig. 10 the model with $t_{sw} = 15$ Myr shows a more equal distribution of $f_Q$ in inclination. These differences could be used to discriminate between short and long SW timescales, even without an explicit constraint on $r^*$, when spectroscopic observations of NEAs become more complete.

While the overall fraction of Q-type NEAs in Figs. 9 and 10 closely matches current observations, the model distribution of Qs in orbital space differs in one important aspect from the one shown in Fig. 1. It shows a large gradient with semimajor axis with Q-type objects being rare beyond 1.5 AU. Conversely, the observed distribution is flat in $a$ with a significant fraction of Qs having $a > 1.5$ AU. Some unspecified observational selection effect may be responsible for this discrepancy. Alternatively, this problem may indicate that the SW timescale is a function of $a$ (Marchi et al., 2006).

If, for example, the solar wind sputtering controls $t_{sw}$ we would expect that $t_{sw} \propto 2\pi / h^2 = 2q^2(1 + e)^2 / (2 + e^2)$, where $h$ is the heliocentric distance and the integral was taken over orbit.

Fig. 11 shows $f_Q(a,e,i)$ for $r = 7R_{pl}$ and $t_{sw} = 1$ Myr $\times 2q^2(1 + e)^2 / (2 + e^2)$ with $a$ in AU. As expected, the model distribution is flatter in $a$ with $f_Q \sim 0.2$ for $a > 1.5$ AU and $0.5 < q < 1$ AU. This fits observations in this orbital range rather nicely (better than our nominal
model with fixed $t_{sw}$. Note, however, that it fails to explain five Q-type NEAs with $a \leq 1$ AU and $q < 0.5$ AU that represent ~50% of surveyed chondritic NEAs in this region (see Section 5 for a discussion). The implication of this model is that $t_{sw} > 1$ Myr in the main asteroid belt. In Section 5, we discuss how this fits the independent constraints obtained on $t_{sw}$ from studies of asteroid families.

5. Discussion

Several tidal effects may disturb the surface of a NEA during a distant planetary encounter. For example: (1) The interior structure of a rubble-pile asteroid may find a new equilibrium by rearranging its components. This motion can produce landslides, degrade craters, ballistically displace surface material, or even remove the original layers from the asteroid. (2) Tidal stresses applied to a fractured interior may produce seismic shakes similar to, or perhaps more effective than, those generated by impacts. Consequently, surface morphology may be modified. (3) The tidal torque may spin up an asteroid. In surface segments where the centrifugal force exceeds gravity, regolith layers will be removed by carrying away the excess angular momentum. More subtle changes can occur in other surface parts of a spun-up asteroid. (4) If the tidal force becomes comparable to the object’s gravity during encounter, an asteroid with large enough internal strength and a strengthless regolith may lose its regolith layer.

These effects and their dependence on the encounter distance and speed are poorly understood. Some insights into this problem can be obtained from Richardson et al. (1998), where the authors performed numerical simulations of the effects of tidal gravity on a small asteroid with strengthless (rubble-pile) interior. In the most favorable case (slow encounter speed, fast prograde rotation), they found that significant mass shedding can occur up to $5R_{pl}$. This sets a soft constraint on $r^*$. On one hand, $r^*$ can be larger than $5R_{pl}$ because the optically-active thin surface layer may be vulnerable to even tiniest perturbations that were not considered in the Richardson et al. model. On the other hand, when averaging over all encounter geometries and plausible asteroid spin states, the mean $r^*$ can become lower than $5R_{pl}$. Thus, for the lack of additional constraints on $r^*$, we will tentatively assume below, as a guideline for discussion, that $r^* \sim 5R_{pl}$.

If we set $r = 5R_{pl}$ our results described in Sections 3 and 4 imply that $t_{sw} \sim 1$ Myr. At first sight, this SW timescale seems to be comparable to that obtained from comparative studies of asteroid families in the main belt and OC meteorites in the RELAB database (NJW105, Vernazza et al., 2009). For example, Vernazza et al. (2009) proposed that the SW timescale is $\leq 1$ Myr. Their result hinges on observations of two largest members of the Datura family that formed by a catastrophic breakup ~0.5 Myr ago (Nesvorný et al., 2006; Vokrouhlický et al., 2009). These two objects, 1270...
Datura and 90265 2003CL5, appear to be significantly (but not completely) space weathered (Mothé-Diniz and Nesvorný, 2008), which implies that the SW timescale should be comparable or shorter than the Datura family's age. This poses a problem because \( t_{\text{sw}} \lesssim 0.5 \text{ Myr} \) does not fit the NEA constraint (unless \( r > 5R_\oplus \)). Below we discuss possible solutions to this problem.

Observations of 2001 WY35, one of the smallest known members of the Datura family (absolute magnitude \( H = 17 \)), indicate that this object is not space weathered at all (Mothé-Diniz and Nesvorný, 2008). If these observations were correct, they would indicate that (at least some) km-sized asteroids may weather on timescales significantly longer than \( \approx 0.5 \text{ Myr} \). For example, small km-sized fragments ejected from asteroid breakup events may not retain/accumulate sufficient regolith layer on their surface in the immediate aftermath of the collision. The SW effects may be delayed for such objects until a particulate (SW-sensitive) surface layer develops on their surface, for example, by subsequent impact shattering of the exposed rock. Thus, the regolith formation and 'gardening' can be an important part of the problem (Jedicke et al., 2004; Willman et al., 2008).

We should not forget that the two constraints on the SW timescale discussed here come from studies of two distinct population of objects that are affected by different physical processes. The asteroids in the main-belt families are born by violent collisions and spend most of their lifetime beyond 2 AU. The NEAs, on the other hand, are exposed to more extreme solar-wind and temperature environment. They are olivine-rich and may therefore be more susceptible to SW effects than an average MBA (Sasaki et al., 2001; Marchi et al., 2005). While large impacts on NEAs should be rare, bombardment of their surface by \( D \sim 100 \mu \text{m} \) particles should be more intense than on MBAs due to the larger number density of micrometeoroids at \( \lesssim 2 \mu \text{AU} \) (Grün et al., 1985). Also, distant planetary encounters of NEAs should produce more gentle effects than catastrophic collisions of MBAs, thus giving the initial surface different attributes. In summary, the proper SW timescale that measures the progression of SW under ideal conditions (e.g., in absence of regolith gardening) may be substantially shorter than the apparent SW timescale that arises from combination of different effects, and these effects most likely operate on different timescales in the NEA and MBA environments.

Another interesting possibility is related to the effects of the Earth magnetosphere on loose particulate material on a small asteroid's surface. The Earth magnetosphere extends to \( \approx 12R_{\text{Earth}} \) in the direction toward the Sun, \( \approx 15R_{\text{Earth}} \) in apex and antapex directions, and \( \approx 25R_{\text{Earth}} \) the anti-helion direction. The tail region stretches well past \( 200R_{\text{Earth}} \), and the way it ends is not well-known. The magnetic field ranges from 30 to 60 \( \mu \text{T} \) at the Earth's surface and falls roughly as \( 1/r^2 \) with distance \( r \) toward the edge of the magnetosphere. Thus, if a 100-m-sized NEA passes at distance \( 10R_{\text{Earth}} \), a 10-\( \mu \text{m} \) surface dust grain subject to the Lorentz force would levitate if previously charged to \( > 10^8 \text{ e} \). Such charge is plausible for an asteroid surface of sufficiently high electrical resistivity. It is not clear, however, whether the Lorentz force effect can be more significant than the electrostatic levitation (Lee, 1996) and/or van der Waals forces (Scheeres and Hartzell, 2010).

While speculative, the effects of Earth magnetosphere could possibly allow for larger \( r \) values than those expected for tidal gravity. This could perhaps help to resolve some of the discrepancy between different measurements of the SW timescale discussed above. For example, with \( r \sim 20R_{\text{Earth}} \). Fig. 5 would imply that \( t_{\text{sw}} \approx 0.25 \text{ Myr} \).

The orbits of Q-type NEAs in Fig. 1 hint on bimodal distribution with a group of seven objects with \( a \lesssim 1 \text{ AU} \) and largely spread inclination values, and 12 objects with \( a \gtrsim 1.5 \text{ AU} \) and \( i \gtrsim 10^\circ \). Using planetary encounters as the main agent that resets the SW clock, we were not able to fit both groups simultaneously. We found that the model with fixed \( t_{\text{sw}} \) can match the low-\( a \) group but it fails to fit the observed fraction of Qs with \( a > 1.5 \text{ AU} \) (e.g., Fig. 9). On the other hand, the model with \( t_{\text{sw}} \propto q^2 \) matches the high-\( a \) group (Fig. 11) but it fails to produce the observed large fraction of Qs with \( a \lesssim 1 \text{ AU} \) and \( q < 0.5 \text{ AU} \).

This is puzzling. The problem may be related to biases in the current sparse spectrophotometric data. Alternatively, we may be missing some important physical effect in the model. For example, a small irregular object can be spun up by a radiation effect known as YORP and shed mass (e.g., Walsh et al. (2008); see Bottke et al. (2006) for a recent review of YORP). This could lead to a partial or global removal of the space weathered material and exposure of fresh material on the surface. This effect can therefore be important. Unfortunately, the timescale on which the surface of a typical NEA can be reset by YORP is poorly understood.

Kaasalainen et al. (2007) determined that 1862 Apollo (\( a = 1.47 \text{ AU}, D = 1.4 \text{ km} \)) is spun up by YORP on a characteristic timescale \( t_{\text{YORP}} = \omega/(d\omega/dt) \sim 2 \text{ Myr} \), where \( \omega \) is the spin rate. Starting from its current 3-h spin period, 1862 Apollo is thus expected to be spun up to \( \sim 2 \text{-h period} \) (and start shedding mass) in \( \sim 2 \text{ Myr} \). This timescale is probably at least slightly longer than the one on which the surface of 1862 Apollo should be reset by planetary encounters indicating that the YORP effect can be ignored for 1862 Apollo.

Since \( t_{\text{YORP}} \propto D^2 a^2 (1 - e^2)^{1/2} \) (e.g., Nesvorný and Vokrouhlický, 2007), however, the YORP effect can become more important than planetary encounters for small NEAs that orbit closer to the Sun than 1862 Apollo. For example, a sub-km NEA with \( a < 1 \text{ AU} \) can have \( t_{\text{YORP}} \) several times shorter than 1862 Apollo. We therefore speculate that the YORP effect can contribute to the observed excess of Q-type NEAs in these low-\( a \) orbits. A detailed analysis of this problem goes beyond the scope of this paper.

6. Summary

The main results obtained in this work can be summarized as follows:

1. The NJWI05 model (Section 2) is consistent with the current spectroscopic observations of NEAs. The effect of planetary encounters can therefore explain the tendency towards seeing the fresh OC-like material among NEAs. The fraction of Q-type asteroids in the main belt should be small because the processes that affect MBAs (e.g., collisions) lack the efficiency of planetary encounters.

2. From modeling the spectral properties of NEAs we found that the SW timescale is longer than \( \approx 0.1 \text{ Myr} \) and shorter than \( \approx 10 \text{ Myr} \). It is most plausible that \( t_{\text{sw}} \sim 1 \text{ Myr} \) and \( r \sim 5R_\oplus \). This result is in a broad agreement with \( t_{\text{sw}} \) estimated from studies of asteroid families and our current understanding of the effects of tidal gravity.

3. We found that \( t_{\text{sw}} \gtrsim q^2 \), expected if the solar wind sputtering controls \( t_{\text{sw}} \), provides a better fit to the orbital distribution of Q-type NEAs than models with fixed \( t_{\text{sw}} \). If \( t_{\text{sw}} \propto q^2 \), however, our simple model fails to explain the excess of Q-type NEAs with low-\( a \) orbits. We speculate that this population could be susceptible to the YORP effect.

4. Tidal encounters of NEAs with Venus and Earth are important, but those with Mars (and Mercury) are rare. This is mainly due to the fact that Mars is a much smaller planet than Venus and Earth and has a relatively large orbit. From the statistics of Mars encounters we estimate that a small fraction of MCAs could be Qs (\( \lesssim 1\% \)). This fraction should be above the main belt average. A large observational sample will be needed to test this prediction.
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