Aggressive behavior, cognitive impairment, and depressive symptoms in elderly subjects
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Abstract: Patients with dementia often have neuropsychiatric symptoms. The objective of this study was to evaluate the relationship between neuropsychiatric symptoms and progressive cognitive decline by assessing cognitive impairment, depressive symptoms, and aggressive behavior in a sample of elderly subjects. The study sample consisted of 201 subjects admitted to nursing homes. For the purpose of the present study each subject was evaluated using the Mini-Mental State Examination, the Geriatric Depression Scale, and the Modified Overt Aggression Scale. The results show that aggressive behavior and depressive symptoms are associated with progressive cognitive decline in elderly subjects. Early assessment of these conditions can promote rational therapeutic strategies that may improve the quality of life and delay institutionalization for elderly patients.
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Introduction
Neuropsychiatric symptoms are common in dementia and are important predictors of institutionalization. Indeed, patients with dementia often have neuropsychiatric symptoms collectively termed “behavioral and psychological symptoms of dementia” (BPSD).¹ Depression is quite common in elderly patients with dementia.² ³ Aggressive behaviors that cause great difficulties in the social functioning of patients are also common, significantly increasing rates of nursing home placement.⁴ ⁵ Verbal and physical aggression could be strictly connected with depressive symptoms,⁶ ⁷ but the relationship between depression, aggressive behavior, and dementia is not well defined.

The objective of this study was to evaluate the relationship between neuropsychiatric symptoms and progressive cognitive decline by assessing cognitive impairment, depressive symptoms, and aggressive behavior in a sample of elderly nursing home residents. Increased knowledge of the interaction between aggression, cognitive impairment, and depressive symptoms could lead to the identification of specific strategies in the management of health care for elderly patients.

Methods
Subjects and assessment
The study sample consisted of 201 men and women over the age of 65 years who were residing in one of three different care homes for the elderly. Informed consent to take part in the study was provided by each subject or, in some cases, by a
subject’s legal guardian. The authors collected the subjects’ sociodemographic and health status data from medical records and through physical examination, with a particular focus on medical comorbidity. The authors also reported the functional status and psychopathologic symptoms using the Activities of Daily Living Scale and the Neuropsychiatric Inventory. For the purpose of the present study, each subject was evaluated using the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE),9 the Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS),10 and the Modified Overt Aggression Scale (MOAS).11

The MMSE was developed as a brief screening tool to provide a quantitative assessment of cognitive impairment and to record cognitive changes over time. The MMSE consists of eleven simple questions or tasks. Typically, these are grouped into seven cognitive domains: (1) orientation to time, (2) orientation to place, (3) registration of three words, (4) attention and calculation, (5) recall of three words, (6) language, and (7) visual construction. Administration by a trained interviewer takes approximately 10 minutes. The test yields a total score of 30 and provides a picture of the subject’s present cognitive performance based on direct observation of completion of test items or tasks. Levels of impairment have been classified as mild (MMSE score 24–29), slight (MMSE score 16–23), moderate (MMSE score 11–15), and severe (MMSE score 0–10).

The GDS is a 30-item self-report assessment designed specifically to identify depression in the elderly. The assessment requires yes or no answers – this is thought to be simpler than scales that use a five-category response set – and is generally recommended as a routine part of a comprehensive geriatric assessment. One point is assigned to each answer and corresponds to a scoring grid. A score of 10 or lower is the usual threshold to separate depressed from nondepressed patients. Levels of depression have been classified as borderline (GDS score 10–14), and present (GDS score > 14).

The MOAS, developed by Kay and colleagues11 is based on the Overt Aggression Scale.12 The MOAS is divided in four domains: (1) verbal aggression, (2) aggression against objects, (3) aggression against self, and (4) aggression against other people. A score from 0 to 4 is assigned to each act: 0 indicates no aggressive behavior and higher scores indicate increasing severity. The score in each category is multiplied by a factor assigned to that category: 1 for verbal aggression, 2 for aggression against objects, 3 for aggression against self, and 4 for aggression against other people. Thus, the total MOAS score ranges from 0 (no aggression) to 40 (maximum grade of aggression). Margari et al.11 validated the psychometric properties of the MOAS in Italy by assessing its inter-rater reliability ($P > 0.90$) and predictive power.

**Statistical analysis**

Descriptive statistics were used to summarize the variables studied and the characteristics of the subjects. The authors analyzed correspondence between mean GDS scores and score subgroups of the MMSE and between mean MOAS scores and score subgroups of the MMSE and the GDS.

Correlation analysis was used to describe the strength and direction of relationship between variables. The Pearson’s correlation coefficient ($r$), which can only take on values between −1 and 1, was used; this could indicate a positive (as one variable increases, so too does the other) or a negative correlation (as one variable increases, the other decreases). Furthermore, student’s $t$-test was used to compare the means of two samples (male and female). With this test the authors evaluated the role of gender on depressive symptoms, aggressive behavior, and cognitive impairment.

A $P$-value of <0.05 was considered significant for all the tests. The authors used the data processing program Statistical Package for Social Science (v 19.0; SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL) for statistical processing.

**Results**

The main sociodemographic and clinical features of subjects are summarized in Table 1.

The MMSE showed that only 4.97% of the subjects did not present cognitive impairment (MMSE score 30), while 95.02% of the subjects showed cognitive impairment. In particular, 31.34% achieved a score indicative of mild cognitive impairment (MMSE score 24–29), 27.86% achieved a score indicative of slight dementia (MMSE score 16–23), 13.93% achieved a score indicative of moderate dementia (MMSE score 11–15), and 4.97% achieved a score indicative of severe dementia (MMSE score 0–10). It was not possible to assess the cognitive level in 16.91% of the subjects because of the severity of clinical impairment. Males showed a higher cognitive impairment than females, with a statistically significant difference ($F = 4.96; P = 0.01$).

For the GDS test, 27.86% of the subjects achieved a GDS score < 10, which corresponds to the absence of depressive symptoms, 11.44% of the subjects achieved a borderline score for depressive symptoms (GDS score 10–14), and 40.79% of the subjects achieved a GDS score > 14, indicating
the presence of depressive symptoms; the evaluation was not possible in 19.90% of the subjects because of the severity of clinical impairment. Females showed more depressive symptoms than males ($F = 13.21; P = 0.008$).

For the MOAS test, 20.89% of subjects showed self- or hetero-directed aggressive behavior (MOAS score $> 0$). In these subjects, the mean MOAS score was 5.19, corresponding to expressions of verbal aggression and aggression against objects. The mean MOAS score for the entire sample was 1.08. No gender differences were found for aggressive behavior ($F = 10.18; P = 0.1$).

Cognitive impairment, depressive symptoms, and aggressive behavior in elderly subjects are summarized in Table 2.

Figure 1 presents the distribution of the mean GDS score across the different ranges of MMSE score. Subjects with MMSE scores at either end of the range reported lower MOAS scores than subjects with MMSE scores in the central range. The borderline GDS score (10–14) was associated with mild cognitive impairment (MMSE score 24–29) and slight dementia (MMSE score 16–23); a higher mean score on the GDS ($>14$) corresponded to moderate dementia (MMSE score 11–15). The positive correlation between MMSE and GDS scores was not statistically significant ($r = 0.087; P = 0.217$).

The range of distribution of mean scores between the MMSE and the MOAS showed major impairment of cognitive functioning corresponded to an increase in aggressive behavior (Figure 2). The subgroup without cognitive impairment (MMSE score 30) corresponded to an absence of aggressive behavior (MOAS score 0), the subgroup with mild cognitive impairment (MMSE score 24–29) corresponded to a mean MOAS score of 1.1, the subgroup with slight dementia (MMSE score 16–23) corresponded to a mean MOAS score of 1.2, the subgroup with moderate dementia (MMSE score 11–15) corresponded to a mean MOAS score of 1.5, and the subgroup with severe dementia (MMSE score 0–10) corresponded to a mean MOAS score of 1.7. Analysis of the relationship between MMSE and MOAS scores showed a statistically significant negative correlation ($r = -0.440; P = 0.000$).

The range of distribution of mean scores between the GDS and the MOAS showed an increase in MOAS score corresponded to an increase in GDS score (Figure 3): the subgroup that showed no depressive symptoms (GDS score 0–10) corresponded to the mean MOAS score of 0.4, the subgroup with a borderline score for depressive symptoms (GDS score 10–14) corresponded to the mean MOAS score of 0.8, and the subgroup with depressive symptoms present corresponded to the mean MOAS score of 1.3. This relationship is statistically significant ($r = 0.217; P = 0.002$).

In addition, the authors analyzed the relationship between age and the results of each rating scale test. The findings showed a statistically significant positive correlation between age and cognitive impairment ($r = -0.150; P = 0.033$). On the contrary, depressive symptoms and aggressive behavior did not have a significant correlation with age (depressive symptoms, $r = 0.092$, $P = 0.195$; aggressive behavior, $r = 0.108$, $P = 0.126$).

The relationships between MMSE score, GDS score, MOAS score, and patient age are summarized in Table 3.

**Discussion**

BPSD may affect up to 90% of patients with dementia during the course of their illness and includes verbal and physical aggression, psychotic symptoms, agitation, anxiety, and depression; these are the major factors of institutionalization and of the associated health care costs. Depression is one...
of the most frequent comorbid psychiatric disorders in Alzheimer disease (AD) and other dementias. In addition, patients with AD seem to have more depressive symptoms than patients with subcortical dementias. The relationship between depression and dementia is still not clear, as dementia and depressive syndromes demonstrate a substantial symptom overlap — indeed, there are two types of depressive syndrome: (1) depression related to the development of dementia and (2) a reactive depression.

The MMSE detected cognitive impairment in 167 (83%), confirming that cognitive impairment is one of the most common reasons for admission to nursing home care. The GDS detected symptoms of depression in 105 (52%) of the 201 subjects, revealing a greater frequency than clinical examination. The distribution of the mean GDS scores across the MMSE score range might suggest that depressive symptoms have a tendency to increase with the deterioration of cognitive functioning; this correlation is not statistically significant. When the cognitive decline is severe, depressive symptoms tend to decrease. The low GDS scores in those subjects with severe cognitive impairment indicated by the MMSE might be related to the difficulty of recording depressive symptoms in patients with severe dementia who are unable to communicate their feelings and thoughts and who may experience depressive symptoms linked to agitation and aggression. In the severe stages of dementia, the symptoms can only be indirectly observed as overt behavior, such as physically or verbal aggressive behavior. Furthermore, verbal and physical aggression among patients with dementia may be related to a higher frequency of comorbid depressive symptoms. In addition, cognitive impairment was

| Test and range | Results | Mean score | Significant differences |
|---------------|---------|------------|-------------------------|
| MMSE (cognitive impairment) | | | |
| None [n (%)] | 10 (4.97) | 16.7 ± 10 | | |
| MCI [n (%)] | 63 (31.34) | 21.4 ± 8.3 | 4.96 | 0.01* |
| Slight [n (%)] | 56 (27.86) | | | |
| Moderate [n (%)] | 28 (13.93) | | | |
| Severe [n (%)] | 10 (4.93) | | | |
| ND [n (%)] | 34 (16.91) | | | |
| GDS (depressive symptoms) | 12.1 ± 10 | 7.4 ± 6.7 | 13.21 | 0.008* |
| Absent [n (%)] | 56 (27.86) | | | |
| Borderline [n (%)] | 23 (11.44) | | | |
| Present [n (%)] | 82 (40.79) | | | |
| ND [n (%)] | 40 (19.90) | | | |
| MOAS (aggressive behavior) | 2.25 ± 5 | 0.8 ± 2.2 | 10.18 | 0.1 |
| Present [n (%)] | 42 (20.89) | | | |
| Mean aggression score | 5.19 | | | |
| Mean total score | 1.08 | | | |

Notes: *Data presented as mean plus or minus standard deviation; *P ≤ 0.05.

Abbreviations: MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination; MCI, mild cognitive impairment; ND, not determined; GDS, Geriatric Depression Scale; MOAS, Modified Overt Aggression Scale.
more common in male subjects. No gender differences were found for aggressive behavior. In agreement with the literature, depression symptoms were more frequent in female subjects.23

In an epidemiologic study of community dwellers and nursing home residents, Lyketsos et al24 found that 23.7% of patients with dementia were rated as being agitated or aggressive. Other studies involving older nursing home residents with dementia found a higher rate of verbal aggression than physical aggression17,25,26 and observed that physical aggression is usually preceded by verbal aggression.25

In agreement with these findings, results of the present study show that 20.9% of elderly subjects manifested aggressive behavior with a mean MOAS score of 5.19, corresponding to expressions of verbal aggression and aggression against objects.

According to the literature,15,23,27 analysis of the data of 201 subjects admitted to nursing homes suggests a statistically significant correlation between a greater impairment of cognitive functioning and an increase of aggressive behavior. The increase in MOAS score corresponds to a decrease in MMSE score. This means that subjects with severe dementia tend to exhibit more frequent verbal and physical aggression. Furthermore, analysis of data suggests there is a relationship between depression and aggressive behavior. Indeed, an increase in MOAS score corresponds to an increase in GDS score. This finding suggests that aggressive behavior is correlated with depressive symptoms and the severity of cognitive impairment. In addition, this finding shows that cognitive impairment is correlated with increasing age. In contrast, no significant correlation was found between age and symptoms of depression or aggressive behavior. Therefore, aggressive behavior and depressive symptoms may be part of the clinical picture of senile dementia. For these reasons it is important to understand the neurobiological basis of BPSD. Garcia-Alloza et al28 supposed that cortical cholinergic acetylcholinesterase could be a putative marker of accelerated cognitive decline in AD and may also be implicated in BPSD such as aggressive behavior, supporting the use of cholinesterase inhibitors in alleviating noncognitive behavioral symptoms in patients with AD. Lancot et al29 found that the final stages of AD are associated with gamma-aminobutyric acidergic changes, which may contribute to depression and apathy in AD.29 A recent study by Mitchell et al30 suggests that neuropsychiatric behaviors in AD share certain neurochemical features with psychiatric disorders such as major depression, and that distinct or overlapping disease processes leading to similar neurochemical alterations (eg, 5-HT1A receptor deficits) may manifest clinically as behavioral symptoms in both conditions. In a review study, Borroni et al31,32 reported that the involvement of dopamine- or serotonin-related pathways and the associated genetic variability have been demonstrated as being interesting candidates for the neuropsychiatry manifestations of dementia. Moreover, genetic variations of neurotrophins, such as brain-derived neurotrophic factor, have been related to depression susceptibility in AD. These studies on neurobiological mechanisms may explain the relationship observed in the present study between cognitive impairment, aggressive behavior, and depression symptoms.

Limitation and further investigation
The use of self-reports for assessing depression in elderly subjects with cognitive impairments was a limitation of

---

**Table 3** Pearson’s correlation coefficient (r) between tests

|        | MMSE | GDS  | MOAS | Age |
|--------|------|------|------|-----|
| **MMSE** |      |      |      |     |
| r      |      |      |      |     |
| Sig    |      |      |      |     |
| **GDS** |      |      |      |     |
| r      |      |      |      |     |
| Sig    |      |      |      |     |
| **MOAS** |      |      |      |     |
| r      |      |      |      |     |
| Sig    |      |      |      |     |
| **Age** |      |      |      |     |
| r      |      |      |      |     |
| Sig    |      |      |      |     |

**Mean** 17.57 11.32 2 82.5

**SD** 9.9 9.8 4.6 7.75

**Notes**: *P ≤ 0.05; **P ≤ 0.01.

**Abbreviations**: MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination; GDS, Geriatric Depression Scale; MOAS, Modified Overt Aggression Scale; Sig, significant differences value; SD, standard deviation.
this study. Measurement of depression in dementia is difficult because symptoms such as apathy, indecisiveness, insomnia, and weight loss may be undetected. The GDS test is known to show an adequate diagnostic accuracy in elderly people living in the community but does not show the same accuracy for elderly people in institutions. Therefore, the GDS should be used cautiously as a screening instrument in a population in which dementia is prevalent or in people known to have dementia, because the GDS is not sensitive in detecting depression in demented subjects.33

To resolve these study limitations in any future research, self-reports for the elderly should be specific to elderly with cognitive impairments. These self-reports should be short, easily understood, and appropriate in terms of the size of letters in the items and in terms of the elderly subject’s level of education; they should include relevant age-related items; and they should provide normative data on the elderly population with dementia.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the results of this study show that aggressive behavior and depressive symptoms are associated with progressive cognitive decline in elderly subjects. Early assessment of these conditions can promote rational therapeutic strategies that may improve the quality of life and delay institutionalization for elderly patients.
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