The Subaru FMOS Galaxy Redshift Survey (FastSound). III. The mass-metallicity relation and the fundamental metallicity relation at \( z \sim 1.4 \)
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Abstract

We present the results from a large near-infrared spectroscopic survey with Subaru/FMOS (FastSound) consisting of \( \sim 4,000 \) galaxies at \( z \sim 1.4 \) with significant H\( \alpha \) detection. We measure the gas-phase metallicity from the [N\( \text{II} \)] \( \lambda \lambda 6583/\lambda \alpha \) emission line ratio of the composite spectra in various stellar mass and star-formation rate bins. The resulting mass-metallicity relation generally agrees with previous studies obtained in a similar redshift range to that of our sample. No clear dependence of the mass-metallicity relation with star-formation rate is found. Our result at \( z \sim 1.4 \) is roughly in agreement with the fundamental metallicity relation at \( z \sim 0.1 \) with fiber aperture corrected star-formation rate. We detect significant [S\( \text{II} \)] \( \lambda \lambda 6716,6731 \) emission lines from the composite spectra. The electron density estimated from the [S\( \text{II} \)] \( \lambda \lambda 6716,6731 \) line ratio ranges from 10 – 500 cm\(^{-3} \), which generally agrees with that of local galaxies. On the other hand, the distribution of our sample on [N\( \text{II} \)] \( \lambda \lambda 6583/\lambda \alpha \) vs. [S\( \text{II} \)] \( \lambda \lambda 6716,6731/\lambda \alpha \) is different from that found locally. We estimate the nitrogen-to-oxygen abundance ratio (N/O) from the N2S2 index, and find that the N/O in galaxies at \( z \sim 1.4 \) is significantly higher than the local values at a fixed metallicity and stellar mass. The metallicity at \( z \sim 1.4 \) recalculated with this N/O enhancement taken into account decreases by 0.1 – 0.2 dex. The resulting metallicity is lower than the local fundamental metallicity relation.
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1. Introduction

The metallicity in the gas-phase (hereafter, metallicity) is one of the key parameters required for understanding galaxy formation and evolution. Heavy elements produced in stars through the star-formation activity are returned into the interstellar medium (ISM), which is also regulated by gas infall and outflows. Thus, the metallicity can be a tracer of the past star-formation history including the gas flow history (e.g., Tinsley 1980). Enormous efforts on studying the correlation between the stellar mass and metallicity (hereafter mass-metallicity relation) over a wide dynamic range has been made at \( z \lesssim 0.3 \) (e.g., Lequeux et al. 1979, Tremonti et al. 2004, Gallazzi 2005, Lee et al. 2006, Kewley & Ellison 2008, and Andrews & Martini 2013), at intermediate redshift of \( 0.3 \lesssim z \lesssim 1 \) (e.g., Savaglio et al. 2005, Rodrigues et al. 2008, Lamareille et al. 2009, Zahid et al. 2013, Ly et al. 2014), and at higher redshifts, \( z \gtrsim 1 \) (e.g., Erb et al. 2006, Maiolino 2008, Mannucci et al. 2009, Hayashi et al. 2009, Yabe et al. 2012, Belli et al. 2013, Henry et al. 2013, Yabe et al. 2014, Zahid et al. 2014b, Cullen et al. 2014, Steidel et al. 2014, and Sanders et al. 2015). The compilation of these studies shows that the mass-metallicity relation evolves with redshift such that the metallicity increases gradually with decreasing redshift at a fixed stellar mass (Maiolino 2008, and Zahid et al. 2013).

The intrinsic scatter of the mass-metallicity relation and its dependence of the star-formation activity has also been investigated (e.g., Tremonti et al. 2004, Ellison et al. 2008, Lara-López et al. 2010b, Mannucci et al. 2010, Yates, Kauffmann, & Guo 2012, and Andrews & Martini 2013). A fundamental relation between stellar mass, metallicity, and star-formation rate (SFR) for Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) galaxies at \( z \sim 0.1 \) has been proposed by Mannucci et al. (2010), with an exten-
sion to galaxies of lower stellar mass (Mannucci, Salvaterra, & Campisi 2011, Hunt et al. 2012, and Zahid et al. 2012). According to this fundamental metallicity relation (hereafter, FMR), galaxies with higher SFR show lower metallicity at a fixed stellar mass. The evolution of the mass-metallicity relation can be explained by the evolution of the SFR of galaxies (Mannucci et al. 2010). The presence of a FMR and its origin has, however, been argued in many studies (e.g., Mannucci et al. 2010, Bothwell et al. 2013, Forbes et al. 2014, and Zahid et al. 2014a).

Recently, the presence of the FMR at higher redshift has been explored in various studies (e.g., Cresci et al. 2012, Yabe et al. 2012, Vergani et al. 2012, Wuyts et al. 2012, Stott et al. 2013, Yabe et al. 2014, Zahid et al. 2014b, Stott et al. 2014, Divoy et al. 2014, and Steidel et al. 2014). The dependence of the mass-metallicity relation on the SFR at high redshift, however, still remains unclear. Some studies suggest a dependence of the mass-metallicity relation on SFR or specific SFR within their samples (Yabe et al. 2012, Stott et al. 2013, Stott et al. 2014, and Zahid et al. 2014b), but others do not show such a clear dependence (Yabe et al. 2014, Steidel et al. 2014, and Sanders et al. 2015). This disagreement may be partly due to the smallness of the samples, as the number of galaxies in each study is still limited up to ∼300. Furthermore, no clear SFR dependence is due to the narrow range of the SFR (see discussions by Stott et al. 2013; Yabe et al. 2014). Furthermore, some studies suggest offsets at high redshift from the local FMR. By using their sample at z = 0.84 – 1.6, Yabe et al. (2012), Stott et al. (2013), and Yabe et al. (2014) reported that the metallicity is generally higher than the extrapolation of the local FMR to the lower values of µ (≡ log(M∗/M⊙) – αlog(SFR)), where µ is the projection parameter of the FMR (see Mannucci et al. 2010). On the other hand, Cullen et al. (2014) also reported the deviation from the local FMR at z ∼ 2 – 4 with R23 metallicity indicator, but they suggest a counter trend; the metallicity is lower than the local relation. A Larger sample spanning a wider range of parameter space is needed to reveal the FMR and other parameter dependence of the mass-metallicity relation at high redshift.

The FastSound project (Tonegawa et al. 2015b) is a cosmological redshift survey to measure redshifts of several thousand star-forming galaxies at z = 1.18 – 1.54 in the total area of ∼20 deg² in the Canada-France-Hawaii Telescope Legacy Survey (CFHTLS) wide fields by using the Hα emission lines obtained with Fiber Multi-Object Spectrograph (FMOS) on the Subaru Telescope. Although the main science goal of the survey is to measure the redshift space distortion in this redshift range, the survey also provides for ancillary science cases with a large near-infrared (NIR) spectroscopic sample of Hα emitting galaxies at z > 1. The targets in this survey are galaxies which are relatively bright in Hα emission line, observed in a short exposure time, i.e., the target galaxies have moderately high SFR. In this work, by using an unprecedentedly large NIR spectroscopic sample, we examine the mass-metallicity relation of star-forming galaxies and explore the high-SFR end of the FMR.

Throughout this paper, we use the following cosmological parameters: H₀ = 70 km s⁻¹ Mpc⁻¹, Ωₐ = 0.7, and Ωₘ = 0.3. All magnitudes given in this paper are in the AB magnitude system. We use the Salpeter initial mass function (IMF).

2. Sample Selection and Observations

The target sample in the FastSound survey is selected by photometric redshift and the predicted Hα flux based on the SFR and color excess from SED fitting with u′, g′, r′, i′, and z′ photometric data of the four CFHTLS wide fields (W1, W2, W3, and W4). The photometric redshifts of the galaxies are derived using LePhare (Ilbert et al. 2006). As a target sample, galaxies with 1.1 < zph < 1.6, 20.0 < z < 23.0 mag, and g′ − r′ < 0.55 mag are selected. Furthermore, an additional criterion of an expected Hα flux of ≥ 1.0 × 10⁻¹⁶ erg s⁻¹ cm⁻² is applied. The magnitude, color, and the expected Hα flux cut for the target selection are made aiming to enhance the detection rate in the survey. It should be noted that some selection biases are raised by the expected Hα flux cut, though the effect is marginal according to the previous studies (Yabe et al. 2012, and Yabe et al. 2014). The detailed descriptions on the sample selection are presented by Tonegawa et al. (2015b). In figure 1, the distribution of our target sample in z′-band magnitude vs. g′ − r′ color diagram is shown with more completed sample at 1.2 < zph < 1.5 in the SXDS/UDS field by Yabe et al. (2014). The peak-normalized histograms show that our target sample is relatively biased toward brighter magnitude and bluer color compared to the SXDS/UDS sample, because of our magnitude and color selection. Dusty and/or old galaxies may be left out of our sample selection.

The observations were carried out in 40 nights from September 2011 to January 2014 by using Subaru/FMOS, which is a fiber-fed multi-object NIR spectrograph with 400 fibers (1.29'' diameter) at the prime focus of the Subaru
Telescope (30’ diameter). Details of the instrument are presented by Kimura et al. (2010). We use the spectrograph setting of the high resolution mode (H-short prime; \( R \approx 2400 \)), covering the wavelength range from 1.45 \( \mu \text{m} \) to 1.67 \( \mu \text{m} \). We used the normal beam switching mode during the observations, in which all the fibers are available for the target objects (up to \( \sim 400 \)). In the typical observational process of this survey, after the initial fiber configuration, a set of on-target and off-target frame with exposure time of 15 minutes each is taken. The typical beam-switch offset is 10″ – 15″ from the target. After re-configuration of the fiber positions, another set of the on- and off-frame is taken in the same manner. The total on-source exposure time is 30 minutes for each object. The seeing size, which was monitored with the optical sky camera during the observations, ranged from \( \sim 0.5″ \) to \( \sim 1.7″ \) with a median value of 1.08″. We observed 118 field-of-views in the four CFHTLS wide fields (\( \sim 20 \text{ deg}^2 \)), covering 38,615 targets in total.

The basic data reductions are carried out by using the standard FMOS pipeline FIBRE-pac (Iwamuro et al. 2012)\(^1\). The emission line detection from the extracted 1D spectra is carried out by using an automated emission line detection software (FIELD), which was developed and tested for the FastSound survey (Tonegawa et al. 2015a). We detect H\( \alpha \) emission lines from 9,803 galaxies with signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) \( \geq 3.0 \), which include 4,139 and 2,740 galaxies with S/N \( \geq 4.0 \) and 5.0, respectively. According to the analysis by Tonegawa et al. (2014) using inverted images, the contamination rate due to false detections is \( \sim 5\% \) for a S/N cut of 4.0. In this work, we use the S/N threshold of 4.0 for H\( \alpha \) detection. The spectroscopic redshifts of the detected objects range from 1.18 to 1.54 with the average (median) value of 1.362 (1.356). The redshift distribution is presented by Okada et al. (2015). The observed line width (FWHM\(_{\text{obs}}\)) ranges from \( \sim 100 \) to \( \sim 1000 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) with the average (median) value of 232 (212) \text{ km s}^{-1}. After deconvolving the spectral resolution \( (R \approx 2400) \) by subtracting in quadrature the FWHM measured from unresolved sky lines, the average (median) intrinsic line width (FWHM\(_{\text{int}}\)) is 203 (187) \text{ km s}^{-1}. Figure 1 shows that the H\( \alpha \) detected sample has similar \( \text{z}′\text{-band} \) magnitude and \( \text{g}′ - \text{r}′ \) color range to those of the target sample.

Since our observations targeting the H\( \alpha \) detection do not cover the \( J \)-band, we have no information on H\( \beta \) and [O III]\( \lambda \lambda 4959,5007 \). The emission line ratio diagnostics using [N II]\( \lambda 6583/\text{H}\alpha \) and [O III]\( \lambda 5007/\text{H}\beta \) (Baldwin, Phillips, & Terlevich 1981) and the recent mass-excitation diagnostics using stellar mass and [O III]\( \lambda 5007/\text{H}\beta \) line ratio (Juneau et al. 2014) cannot be used. According to diagnostics by Kewley et al. (2006), in the range of the obtained [N II]\( \lambda 6583/\text{H}\alpha \) in this work, a spectrum is identified as a star-forming region if log([O III]\( \lambda 5007/\text{H}\beta \)) \( \geq -0.7 \) – 0.8. In the stacked spectrum of the whole sample, which will be described in detail in the next section, [O I]\( \lambda 6300 \) emission line is detected with S/N \( \sim 20 \). According to Kewley et al. (2006), a measured log([O I]\( \lambda 6300/\text{H}\alpha \)) of -1.55 is identified with star-forming galaxies if log([O III]\( \lambda 5007/\text{H}\beta \)) is \( \leq 0.6 \). In the study by Yabe et al. (2014), most of the sample galaxies in the redshift range of our sample show log([O III]\( \lambda 5007/\text{H}\beta \)) \( \geq 0.6 \). In any event, active galactic nuclei (AGNs) with these low [N II]\( \lambda 6583/\text{H}\alpha \) and [O II]\( \lambda 6300/\text{H}\alpha \) are very rare in the local universe. Furthermore, as we will discuss in subsection 5.1, the [N II]\( \lambda 6583/\text{H}\alpha \) and [S II]\( \lambda \lambda 6716,6731/\text{H}\alpha \) line ratios indicate that our sample does not suffer severely from AGN contamination. As we mentioned above, most of the line widths of our sample are \( \sim 1000 \text{ km s}^{-1} \), which means that our sample is not severely contaminated by broad line AGNs. In this work, we exclude only a marginal fraction (\( \sim 1.1\% \)) of broad line emission galaxies (FWHM\(_{\text{obs}} > 1000 \text{ km s}^{-1} \)) from the analysis below. Thus, the total number of galaxies used in this work after excluding the possible broad AGN candidates is 4,094.

3. Measurements of stellar mass, star-formation rate, and metallicity

3.1. Stellar masses

The stellar masses used in the present analysis are derived from multi-wavelength spectral energy distribution (SED) fitting using photometric data from the rest-frame ultraviolet (UV) to mid-infrared (MIR). The initial catalogue of our sample with optical photometry is first cross-matched to the UKIRT (United Kingdom Infrared Telescope) Infrared Deep Sky Survey (UKIDSS) Deep Extragalactic Survey (DXS) catalogue derived from UKIRT Wide Field Camera (WFCAM) imaging data in the J- and K-band near-infrared (NIR) bands (Lawrence et al. 2007), which covers part of CFHTLS W1 and W4. In total, 487 objects out of 701 objects are detected in the J and/or K bands. The total magnitude of the detected objects in the NIR with S/N \( \geq 5 \) ranges from J \( \sim 20.0 \) – 23.0 mag and
K ∼ 19.5 – 22.8 mag. Our sample is also cross-matched to the Spitzer Enhanced Imaging Products\(^2\) (SEIP) catalogue derived from Spitzer Infrared Array Camera (IRAC) 3.6µm (ch.1) and 4.5µm (ch.2) MIR data, where combined images are constructed from several earlier programs. In total, 422 objects of our sample are detected in ch.1 and/or ch.2 band. The total magnitude of the detected objects in MIR with S/N ≥ 5 ranges from ch.1 ∼ 19.0 – 22.6 mag and ch.2 ∼ 18.8 – 22.8 mag. 791 objects (∼ 20% of the total sample) are detected in at least one of the NIR to MIR bands.

SED fitting was undertaken using the SEDfit code (Sawicki 2012) as described by Yabe et al. (2014). Here, we assume the Salpeter IMF (0.1 – 100 M\(_{\odot}\)) and the Calzetti extinction law. For objects without NIR or MIR data, stellar masses are estimated using only optical data from the u' - z' bands. To evaluate the uncertainties arising from the lack of NIR and/or MIR (hereafter, simply NIR/MIR) data, we compare stellar masses derived with and without NIR/MIR data by using the subsample with both optical and NIR/MIR photometry in figure 2. Although the stellar masses derived without using the NIR/MIR data are larger by up to ∼ 1 dex in the most massive end, the agreement is reasonably good in the mass range from ∼ 10\(^5\) to a few × 10\(^7\) M\(_{\odot}\), in which most of our sample galaxies are. We discuss the possible effect of the uncertainty in the stellar mass derivation on results in section 4.1. Using a linear function to correct this bias, we find: log(M\(_*\)\(^{\text{with NIR/MIR}}\)) = 0.63 × log(M\(_*\)\(^{\text{without NIR/MIR}}\)) + 3.50, dashed line in figure 2, with a scatter corresponding to σ = 0.22 dex. In the following analysis, if the stellar mass can only be derived from optical data, we correct it applying this relation.

The comparison of the stellar mass derived with and without NIR/MIR data in figure 2 could be biased if the nature of the SED of the NIR/MIR undetected sample differs from that where NIR/MIR detection is available. This bias could be critical for the less massive portion of figure 2. To address this, we check the stellar masses for a subset of our sample in the UKIDSS Ultra Deep Survey (UDS DR8; Lawrence et al. 2007) field, where deeper NIR data is available. The UDS field covers part of CFHTLS W1 but is not overlapped with DXS field. In this deeper NIR sample, 115 out of 140 of our objects are detected down to ∼ 23.6 mag with S/N ≥ 10. The stellar masses derived with the deeper NIR data are compared to that without the NIR data. Figure 2 shows that the comparison using deeper UDS data remains consistent with the regression line derived using the DXS data.

3.2. Star-formation rates

The star formation rate (SFR) is estimated from the extinction corrected H\(\alpha\) luminosity according to the methodology discussed by Yabe et al. (2012) and Yabe et al. (2014). The color excess for the stellar continuum is derived from u' – r′ color, corresponding to the rest-frame UV for the redshift range of our sample, with an extinction law by Calzetti et al. (2000). Based on the prescription by Cid Fernandes et al. (2005), we correct the broad-band color excess to that for nebular emission, applying a factor of ∼ 2. We use the relation by Kennicutt (1998) to infer the SFR from the H\(\alpha\) luminosity. Following the study by Tonegawa et al. (2014) using a sample similar to the present one, we applied a fiber aperture correction of 0.5. The uncertainties of our flux estimates will be described in Okada et al. (2015). The SFRs derived from our H\(\alpha\) luminosities agree with those inferred from the dust-corrected rest-frame UV luminosity density with a scatter of only σ = 0.26 dex. The distribution of stellar masses and SFRs of our sample is presented in figure 3 and summarized in table 1. It is worth noting that our sample mostly comprises galaxies with higher SFRs compared to the ‘main sequence’ at z ∼ 1.4 (Whitaker et al. 2012).

3.3. Metallicities

The metallicities in our analysis are derived from the [N II]/H\(\alpha\) line ratio according the relation introduced by Pettini & Pagel (2004):

\[
12+\log(O/H) = 8.90 + 0.57 \times N2, \tag{1}
\]

where N2 = log([N II]\(\lambda6583/H\alpha\)). Although it is known that the N2 index saturates above solar metallicity, most of our sample has sub-solar metallicity and the effect of this saturation will be small. In our analysis, the errors do not include any possible intrinsic error in the calibration (∼ 0.2 dex; Pettini & Pagel 2004) or potential systematic differences between the various metallicity indicators (up to ∼ 0.7 dex; Kewley & Ellison 2008).

[N II]\(\lambda6583\) emission lines from 224 objects are detected with S/N ≥ 3, while a large part of our sample shows no detection. In order to measure the average metallicity and establish the mass-metallicity relation, we apply a spectral stacking analysis follow the method described by Yabe et al. (2012) and

\[\text{http://irsa.ipac.caltech.edu/data/SPITZER/Enhanced/SEIP/overview.html}\]
Table 1. Stellar mass and SFR of each bin in our sample.

| Bin | Num. | log(\(M_\odot\)) | log(SFR) |
|-----|------|------------------|----------|
| 1   | 171  | 9.55             | 1.81     |
| 2   | 208  | 9.90             | 1.81     |
| 3   | 167  | 10.05            | 1.82     |
| 4   | 118  | 10.26            | 1.83     |
| 5   | 148  | 10.61            | 1.81     |
| 6   | 181  | 9.57             | 2.05     |
| 7   | 187  | 9.89             | 2.03     |
| 8   | 158  | 10.06            | 2.03     |
| 9   | 152  | 10.24            | 2.04     |
| 10  | 134  | 10.53            | 2.03     |
| 11  | 205  | 9.61             | 2.21     |
| 12  | 146  | 9.90             | 2.21     |
| 13  | 171  | 10.08            | 2.21     |
| 14  | 156  | 10.23            | 2.21     |
| 15  | 136  | 10.55            | 2.21     |
| 16  | 154  | 9.61             | 2.38     |
| 17  | 137  | 9.89             | 2.38     |
| 18  | 168  | 10.07            | 2.37     |
| 19  | 190  | 10.25            | 2.39     |
| 20  | 162  | 10.52            | 2.39     |
| 21  | 101  | 9.65             | 2.62     |
| 22  | 133  | 9.86             | 2.71     |
| 23  | 149  | 10.08            | 2.65     |
| 24  | 197  | 10.25            | 2.65     |
| 25  | 235  | 10.55            | 2.67     |

Note – (a) the number of galaxies in each stellar mass and SFR bin. (b) median values in each bin.

Yabe et al. (2014). We construct a weighted-mean composite spectrum in each stellar mass and SFR bin. Since the spectra in this study were all obtained in FMOS’ high-resolution mode, no correction for the obscuring effect of the OH-masks is required. However, some flux may be lost if the line is close to a portion of the OH-mask. For H\(\alpha\) and [N II]\(\lambda\)6583, we estimated this loss using Gaussian profiles at the appropriate emission line wavelength and line width. The average losses for H\(\alpha\) and [N II]\(\lambda\)6583 are 7.4% and 13.4%, respectively. The effect on the [N II]\(\lambda\)6583/H\(\alpha\) ratio is only 6.5%, corresponding to \(\sim 0.016\) dex in 12+log(O/H), and thus no correction was applied. The composite spectra in the wavelength range around H\(\alpha\) and [N II]\(\lambda\)6548,6583 lines are presented in figure 4.

H\(\alpha\) and [N II]\(\lambda\)6548,6583 line fluxes are measured by spectral fitting of the stacked spectra with multi-Gaussian profile. The redshift and line width of other emission lines are based on that determined for H\(\alpha\). We considered various ways of spectral stacking (standard mean, median, peak normalized) but little difference in the resulting line fluxes. The error on the metallicity derived from the stacked spectra is based on bootstrap resampling with 500 realizations. The metallicity in each bin is measured from the obtained stacked spectrum by using the N2 method described above, which is also summarized in table 2.

3.4. [S II]\(\lambda\)6716,6731 emission lines

The wavelength range of our obtained spectra covers [S II]\(\lambda\)6716,6731 lines. The ratio of these two lines is sensitive to the electron density (e.g., Osterbrock 1989). The composite spectra in the wavelength range around [S II]\(\lambda\)6716,6731 lines are presented in figure 5, respectively. We have significant detections in the composite spectra. The line fluxes are measured by using the same spectral fitting as that for H\(\alpha\) and [N II] lines, which is also summarized in table 2. We discuss the electron density in subsection 4.3.

4. Results

4.1. The Mass-Metallicity Relation of Star-forming Galaxies at \(z \sim 1.4\)

The left panel of figure 6 shows the distribution of the obtained metallicity and stellar mass at \(z \sim 1.4\). We also show the results from the stacking analysis with different color depending on the SFR. No clear SFR dependence of the mass-metallicity relation is seen in the left panel of figure 6. The mass-metallicity relation of our sample generally agrees with those of previous results with \(\sim 340\) galaxies at \(z \sim 1.4\) (Yabe et al. 2014) and \(\sim 160\) galaxies at \(z \sim 1.6\) (Zahid et al. 2014b), where the spectra are taken with FMOS and the metallicity is derived with the same N2 method. For this comparison, we adopted a Salpeter initial mass function (IMF). In the massive end with \(M_\star \gtrsim 10^{10.5}\ M_\odot\), metallicities of our sample are lower than the earlier estimates by up to \(\sim 0.2\) dex. This may be partly due to the lack of red galaxies from our sample as we mentioned in section 2; galaxies at \(z \sim 1.4 - 1.6\) with the redder color and/or the larger color excess show the higher metallicity (Yabe et al. 2014; Zahid et al. 2014b).

In the left panel of figure 6, we compare our result at \(z \sim 1.4\) to that at \(z \sim 0.1\) obtained with the sample by Tremonti et al. (2004) but by using the N2 method (Erb et al. 2006). Here, stellar mass is converted to that obtained assuming Salpeter IMF. Our result and previous results by Yabe et al. (2014) and Zahid et al. (2014b) at \(z \sim 1.4 - 1.6\) show lower metallicities than the result at \(z \sim 0.1\) at a fixed stellar mass by up to \(\sim 0.2\) dex. The difference is generally larger in the lower stellar mass, which is similar to previous results at \(z \sim 1.4 - 1.6\).

There exist various uncertainties in the obtained mass-metallicity relation at \(z \sim 1.4\). As we mentioned in subsection 3.1, the stellar mass of a large part of our sample is corrected because of the lack of the NIR/MIR data set. In addition to the typical correction factor of \(\sim 0.2\) dex, there is a scatter of \(\sigma_{M_\star} = 0.22\) dex. There also exists the uncertainty in the SFR estimation of \(\sigma_{SFR} = 0.26\) dex as described in subsection 3.2. We estimate the possible effect on the obtained mass-metallicity relation arose from these uncertainties. We resample randomly on the stellar mass vs. SFR plane in figure 3 assuming a Gaussian distribution with \(\sigma_{M_\star}\) and \(\sigma_{SFR}\) mentioned above, and then apply the same stacking analysis described in subsection 3.3. We repeat this procedure 500 times. The standard deviation of the realized metallicities is \(\sim 0.03\) dex, which is almost similar to the error based on the bootstrap method as made in subsection 3.3.
Fig. 4. A composite spectrum of our sample at $z \sim 1.4$ in each stellar mass and SFR bin. The stellar mass increases from left to right and the SFR increases from bottom to top. The observed spectra and the best-fit model spectra are shown by red solid lines and blue dashed lines, respectively. The positions of Hα and [N II]λλ6548,6583 are indicated by vertical dotted lines. The median values of log($M_\ast$[$M_\odot$]), log(SFR[$M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$]), and 12+log(O/H) are shown at the top-left, top-right, and middle-left part of each panel, respectively.
Fig. 5. Same as figure 4, but in the wavelength region around [S II] $\lambda\lambda$ 6716, 6731 lines, which are indicated by vertical dotted lines. The median values of $\log(M_*/[M_\odot])$, $\log$(SFR/[M_\odot yr$^{-1}$]), and [S II] $\lambda\lambda$ 6716, 6731 line ratio are shown at the top-left, top-right, and middle-right part of each panel, respectively.
4.2. The Fundamental Metallicity Relation

The right panel of figure 6 shows the metallicity along the projected axis ($\mu = \log(M_*) - \alpha \log(SFR)$) of the FMR defined by Mannucci et al. (2010). Here, we use a projection parameter of $\alpha = 0.32$, which minimizes the scatter of the local mass-metallicity relation. The metallicity of the sample at $z \sim 0.1$ based on the calibration by Maiolino (2008) is converted to $\frac{\lambda 6583}{\lambda 4363}$ to Hα line ratio according to the Maiolino (2008) calibration, and then is converted to the metallicity again with the N2 calibration by Pettini & Pagel (2004). The FMR at $z \sim 0.1$ defined by Mannucci et al. (2010) is shown as thick solid curve. For $\mu \lesssim 9.4$, the solid curve is extrapolated linearly, which is shown by the thick dashed line. Two FMRs at $z \sim 0.1$ by Zahid et al. (2014b) and Andrews & Martini (2013) are presented by dot-dash curve and dashed line, respectively, where the SFR corrected for the SDSS fiber aperture effect is used. We use a projection parameter of $\alpha = 0.32$ for these two samples. The metallicities are derived by using the N2 method.

In the right panel of figure 6, we also show results from earlier works at $z \sim 1.4 - 1.6$ by Yabe et al. (2014) and Zahid et al. (2014b). All stellar masses and SFRs are based on the Salpeter IMF, and the metallicity calibration of the N2 method is assumed.

The right panel of figure 6 shows that the metallicity of our sample is close to that of the local FMR by Mannucci et al. (2010), where the SFR is not corrected for the aperture effect, at higher $\mu$. On the other hand, at lower $\mu$, the metallicity is larger than the local value by up to $\sim 0.2$ dex. Our result at $z \sim 1.4$ is located between the FMRs with aperture corrected SFR at $z \sim 0.1$ by Andrews & Martini (2013) and by Zahid et al. (2014b).

4.3. Electron Density

As presented in figure 5, we have significant detection of $\lambda 6716/\lambda 6731$ doublet in the stacked spectra. The obtained $\lambda 6716/\lambda 6731$ line ratio ranges from $\sim 1.0$ to $\sim 1.8$ with a median value of 1.33. The corresponding electron density ranges $n_e \sim 10$ to $\sim 500 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ with a median value of $\sim 80 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ assuming the electron temperature of 10,000 K (Shaw & Dufour 1995). In this range of the line ratio, the electron density does not change significantly (up to a factor of 2) even if we assume the electron temperature of 100,000 K. The resulting line ratios, which are also summarized in table 2, are plotted against the stellar mass in figure 7. No clear trend between the electron density and other physical parameters such as stellar mass and SFR can be seen. The range of the line ratio
of our sample is in good agreement with that of local galaxies selected from the SDSS DR8 catalogue (Aihara et al. 2011), suggesting that galaxies at $z \sim 1.4$ have similar electron density to local galaxies. The resulting electron density at $z \sim 1.4$ roughly agrees with the recent result ($n_e = 100 - 400 \text{ cm}^{-3}$) of low mass galaxies at $z \sim 2$ by Masters et al. (2014).

5. Discussion

5.1. Nitrogen-to-Oxygen Abundance Ratio

There is a concern that the metallicity estimation has systematic errors (e.g., Nagao, Maiolino, & Marconi 2006; Kewley & Ellison 2008). The estimation based on the $[\text{N} \, \text{II}] \lambda \lambda 6583,6584/\text{H} \alpha$ line ratio depends on the ionization parameters (Denicoló, Terlevich, & Terlevich 2002; Kewley & Dopita 2002). Recently, Masters et al. (2014) examine emission line galaxies at $z \sim 2$, and find an offset from local galaxies on a $[\text{O} \, \text{III}] \lambda \lambda 4959,5007/\text{H} \beta$ vs. $[\text{N} \, \text{II}] \lambda 6583/\text{H} \alpha$ diagram, also reported in earlier studies (e.g., Kewley et al. 2013), but no clear offset on a $[\text{O} \, \text{III}] \lambda \lambda 4959,5007/\text{H} \beta$ vs. $[\text{S} \, \text{II}] \lambda \lambda 6716,6731/\text{H} \alpha$ diagram. They conclude that the significant offset on the $[\text{O} \, \text{III}] \lambda \lambda 4959,5007/\text{H} \beta$ vs. $[\text{N} \, \text{II}] \lambda 6583/\text{H} \alpha$ diagram is caused by a nitrogen enhancement due to the high nitrogen-to-oxygen abundance ratio (hereafter N/O). Steidel et al. (2014) suggest that the higher N/O ratio of high-redshift galaxies can partly explain a similar offset of high-redshift galaxies from local galaxies on the BPT diagram. Hayashi et al. (2015) also show that the N/O of galaxies at $z \sim 1.5$ derived by using $[\text{N} \, \text{II}] \lambda 6583$ and $[\text{O} \, \text{II}] \lambda 3727$ lines is $> 0.1$, which is higher than local galaxies at a fixed metallicity. In this subsection, we will examine the N/O of galaxies at $z \sim 1.4$ using emission lines measured from the composite spectra of our large NIR spectroscopic sample.

Figure 8 shows the distribution of $[\text{N} \, \text{II}] \lambda 6583/\text{H} \alpha$ vs. $[\text{S} \, \text{II}] \lambda \lambda 6716,6731/\text{H} \alpha$ in each stellar mass and SFR bin. Both line ratios are often used in diagnostics to distinguish between star-forming galaxies (SFGs) and AGNs (e.g., Osterbrock 1989). Empirical separation lines between SFGs and AGNs on $[\text{N} \, \text{II}] \lambda 6583/\text{H} \alpha$ vs. $[\text{S} \, \text{II}] \lambda \lambda 6716,6731/\text{H} \alpha$ diagram, which are indicated in figure 8, have been proposed in previous studies (Lamareille et al. 2009, and Lara-López et al. 2010a). Our data points are located in the region of the SFGs, which is sufficiently far from the AGN region, implying that the contamination of AGN to our sample would be very low. Note that broad line AGNs with the line width of $> 1000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ are excluded from our sample beforehand.

Figure 8 shows that the distribution of our sample differs from that of SDSS normal SFGs: In our sample, both $[\text{N} \, \text{II}] \lambda 6583/\text{H} \alpha$ and $[\text{S} \, \text{II}] \lambda \lambda 6716,6731/\text{H} \alpha$ are lower than...
those of main part of the SDSS sample. In figure 8, our sample is compared to emission line galaxies at $z \sim 0.1$ to $0.3$ called Green Pea galaxies (GPs; Cardamone et al. 2009). Here we use the GP sample by Cardamone et al. (2009) with the emission line fluxes summarized by Hawley (2012). The GPs are generally located in the region with lower $[\text{N II}]\lambda 6583/\text{H}$ and $[\text{S II}]\lambda \lambda 6716,6731/\text{H}$ than the SDSS sample. In the high $[\text{N II}]\lambda 6583/\text{H}$ and $[\text{S II}]\lambda \lambda 6716,6731/\text{H}$ end, they overlap with our sample.

The correlation between $[\text{N II}]\lambda 6583$ to $[\text{S II}]\lambda \lambda 6716,6731$ line ratio and N/O has been investigated in local galaxies and empirical calibrations have been proposed (Pérez-Montero & Contini 2009, Amorín, Pérez-Montero & Vilchez 2010, and Hawley 2012). In this work, we estimate the N/O ratio for our sample at $z \sim 1.4$ by using the N2S2 index calibrated by Pérez-Montero & Contini (2009), where the N2S2 index is defined as follows:

$$\text{N2S2} = \log \left( \frac{I([\text{N II}]\lambda 6583)}{I([\text{S II}]\lambda \lambda 6716,6731)} \right).$$

Pérez-Montero & Contini (2009) investigate the relation between the N2S2 index and the N/O of local galaxies. Although the scatter of the relation is moderately large ($\sigma \sim 0.3$ dex), they present the least-squares bisector linear fit:

$$\log(\text{N/O}) = 1.26 \times \text{N2S2} - 0.86.$$  

In figure 9, the N/O measured in each stellar mass and SFR bin of our sample galaxies at $z \sim 1.4$ is presented as a function of metallicity and stellar mass, which is summarized in table 2. The mean (median) log(N/O) is $-0.95$ ($-0.98$). The N/O ratio increases with increasing both metallicity and stellar mass. The N/O ratio also appears to increase with increasing SFR at a fixed metallicity and stellar mass, although the trend is only marginal.

The obtained N/O of our sample at $z \sim 1.4$ is compared with those of local galaxies in previous observations (Pérez-Montero & Contini 2009, Pilyugin et al. 2012, Berg et al. 2012, Andrews & Martini 2013, and Dopita et al. 2013), where the stellar masses are scaled to those derived assuming the Salpeter IMF. The N/O at $z \sim 1.4$ is generally higher than the local values at a fixed metallicity but almost comparable to those at a fixed stellar mass, which may be probably due to the difference of the mass-metallicity relation between local and high-redshift galaxies. The resulting N/O of galaxies at $z \sim 1.4$ well agrees with those of massive and metal-rich part of the GPs sample by Hawley (2012). It is worth noting that the deviation of N/O from local galaxies is significantly larger than the intrinsic uncertainty of the N/O calibration using the N2S2 index.

Queyrel et al. (2009) estimated the N/O of four star-forming galaxies at $z = 1.3 - 1.5$ by using the same N2S2 index. The obtained log(N/O) ranges from $-0.5$ to $-1.1$ in the metallicity range of $12+\log(O/H) = 8.4 - 8.6$ and the stellar mass range of $10^{10} - 10^{11} M_\odot$, which are almost similar to those of our sample. More recently, the similarly high N/O of log(N/O) $\sim -1.0$ is also reported by using galaxy samples at $z \sim 2$ (Masters et al. 2014, Steidel et al. 2014, and Hayashi et al. 2015).

One of the natural explanations of the variation in the N/O may be a time delay between the release of oxygen and that
of nitrogen into the ISM; the two elements are formed in stars of different masses with different lifetimes (e.g., Edmunds & Pagel 1978, Garnett 1990, Kobulnicky & Skillman 1998, and van Zee & Haynes 1998). In this scenario, after a single starburst, the N/O decreases and O/H increases due to the release of oxygen formed in massive, short-lived stars while nitrogen is supplied from intermediate-mass and longer-lived stars. Although the gas outflow with well-mixed nova (SN) wind could be rich in oxygen relative to nitrogen because oxygen is generally formed in high-mass and short-lived material does not change the abundance pattern of galaxies, while nitrogen is supplied from intermediate-mass and longer-lived stars. Although the gas outflow with well-mixed material does not change the abundance pattern of galaxies, the selective outflow of oxygen-rich gas driven by SN could cause the nitrogen enhancement (van Zee & Haynes 2006). The direct observational evidence of the oxygen-rich gas outflow, however, would be desirable for further arguments.

5.2. The Mass-Metallicity Relation and the Fundamental Metallicity Relation Revisited

In the previous subsection, we show the higher N/O in high-redshift galaxies than local galaxies at a fixed oxygen abundance. This nitrogen enhancement causes a systematic effect on the metallicity estimation with the N2 method based on the $[\text{N} \, \text{II}]$6583/AH flux ratio; if the N/O is higher than the local value, the metallicity derived from the N2 method is overestimated. Pérez-Montero & Contini (2009) obtain the alternative N2 method taking into account the N/O effect.

![Fig. 9. (Top) N/O vs. $12+\log(O/H)$. The symbols are the same as in figure 6. Galaxies with the larger stellar masses are indicated by the larger symbols. The thick solid, dashed, dot-dashed, and dotted lines are results for local galaxies by Andrews & Martini (2013), Berg et al. (2012), Dopita et al. (2013), and Pilyugin et al. (2012), respectively. The open squares are results at $z = 1.3 - 1.5$ by Queyrel et al. (2009). (Bottom) N/O versus stellar mass. Thick solid and dashed line are results by Pérez-Montero & Contini (2009) and Andrews & Martini (2013), respectively. The vertical and horizontal dashed line indicate the solar abundance and the solar abundance ratio, respectively (Asplund et al. 2009). The open squares are results at $z = 1.3 - 1.5$ by Queyrel et al. (2009).]
\[ 12 + \log(O/H) = 0.79 \times N2 - 0.56 \times \log(N/O) + 8.41, \]

where \( N2 = \log([\text{N II}] \lambda 6583/H\alpha) \). This empirical calibration shows that the metallicity decreases with increasing N/O at a fixed N2 value. We recalculate the metallicity of our sample at \( z \sim 1.4 \) by using the above calibration taking into consideration the N/O enhancement. We use the mean value of \( \log(N/O) = -0.95 \) described in subsection 5.1 for all of our sample.

The metallicity corrected for the differing N/O is generally lower than the original metallicity by 0.1 – 0.2 dex, which is also summarized in table 2. The left panel of figure 10 shows the distribution of the recalculated metallicity of our sample and previous results at \( z \sim 1.4 - 1.6 \) by Yabe et al. (2014) and Zahid et al. (2014b) against the stellar mass. Here, the N/O ratio of previous studies is assumed to be the same value as our sample, and the metallicities are corrected in the same way as described above. The recalculated metallicities of our result are generally lower than that of the result at \( z \sim 0.1 \) at a fixed stellar mass by up to \( \sim 0.4 \) dex. The right panel of figure 10 shows the distribution of the corrected metallicity of our sample on the FMR diagram. Although there exists a discrepancy of up to \( \sim 0.3 \) dex in the larger \( \mu \), the result with the corrected metallicity agrees with the extrapolation of the local FMR by Mannucci et al. (2010), where the SFR is not corrected for the aperture effect, in the other part. On the other hand, the resulting metallicity corrected for the N/O enhancement is lower than the results at \( z \sim 0.1 \) with aperture corrected SFR by Andrews & Martini (2013) and Zahid et al. (2014b) at fixed \( \mu \), implying that the FMR may slightly evolve from \( z \sim 1.4 \) to \( z \sim 0.1 \).

6. Summary

We present the results from a large near-infrared spectroscopic survey with Subaru/FMOS (FastSound) consisting of \( \sim 4,000 \) galaxies at \( z \sim 1.4 \) with significant H\( 2 \) detection. We measure the gas-phase metallicity from the \([\text{N II}] \lambda 6583/H\alpha\) emission line ratio of the composite spectra in various stellar mass and star-formation rate bins. The resulting mass-metallicity relation generally agrees with previous studies obtained in a similar redshift range to that of our sample. The metallicity of our sample derived with the N2 method is lower than that at \( z \sim 0.1 \) by up to 0.2 dex at a fixed stellar mass. No clear dependence of the mass-metallicity relation with star-formation rate is found. The metallicity of our sample is significantly larger than the FMR at \( z \sim 0.1 \) derived by Mannucci et al. (2010) especially in lower \( \mu \). The resulting metallicity roughly agrees with the FMRs at \( z \sim 0.1 \) by Andrews & Martini (2013) and Zahid et al. (2014b), where the SFR is corrected for the fiber aperture effect and the metallicity is estimated with the N2 method. We detect significant \([\text{S II}] \lambda \lambda 6716,6731\) emission lines from the composite spectra. The electron density estimated from the \([\text{S II}] \lambda \lambda 6716,6731\) line ratio ranges from 10 – 500 \( \text{cm}^{-3} \), which generally agrees with that of local galaxies. On the other hand, the distribution of our sample on \([\text{N II}] \lambda 6583/H\alpha\) vs. \([\text{S II}] \lambda \lambda 6716,6731/H\alpha\) is different from that found locally, but is similar to that of lower redshift emission line galaxies such as Green Pea galaxies. We estimate the nitrogen-to-oxygen abundance ratio (N/O) from the N2S2 index, and find that the N/O in galaxies at \( z \sim 1.4 \) is significantly higher than the local values at a fixed metallicity and stellar mass. The metallicity of our sample at \( z \sim 1.4 \) recalculated with this N/O enhancement taken into account is lower than the original value by 0.1 – 0.2 dex and is lower than the local value by up to 0.4 dex at a fixed stellar mass. The recalculated metallicity roughly agrees with the local FMR by Mannucci et al. (2010) but is lower than the FMRs with the aperture corrected SFRs by Andrews & Martini (2013) and Zahid et al. (2014b).

We thank Tohru Nagao and Matthew A. Malkan for helpful discussions. We are grateful to the FMOS support as-
