Metallic nanostructures for light trapping in energy-harvesting devices
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Solar energy is abundant and environmentally friendly. Light trapping in solar-energy-harvesting devices or structures is of critical importance. This article reviews light trapping with metallic nanostructures for thin film solar cells and selective solar absorbers. The metallic nanostructures can either be used in reducing material thickness and device cost or in improving light absorbance and thereby improving conversion efficiency. The metallic nanostructures can contribute to light trapping by scattering and increasing the path length of light, by generating strong electromagnetic field in the active layer, or by multiple reflections/absorptions. We have also discussed the adverse effect of metallic nanostructures and how to solve these problems and take full advantage of the light-trapping effect.
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INTRODUCTION

The conversion of solar energy to electricity or heat might be the ultimate means to help solve the energy crisis when hydrocarbon resources such as coal and other fossil fuels cannot satisfy the energy demand. Solar energy is abundant, ~5000 times our current power consumption.¹ The use of solar energy can also reduce the environmental problems caused by the consumption of fossil fuels by reducing dusts, noxious gases and greenhouse gases, as well as the resulting haze, acid rain and global warming. To date, the worldwide installed capacity of solar harvesting devices is ~60 GW in electric energy and ~300 GW in thermal energy,² with an annual rapid increase. For the existing technology, there is room for further improvement by either enhancing the light absorption or avoiding loss of electricity or heat after absorption. Recently, new advances in nanotechnology and material fabrication methods have resulted in an emerging field of plasmonics by properly introducing metallic nanostructures to manipulate light, enabling light trapping in active layers and thereby enhancing the performance of energy-harvesting devices.³ In addition, certain highly absorbing surfaces or structures with broadband absorption promising to extend the working wavelength of the solar energy spectrum (Figure 1) have been realized. The light-trapping effect, however, allows the thickness of materials and the costs of solar cells to decrease, which in turn benefits electricity collection when the minority carrier diffusion length in the active layer is not sufficiently long.

In this review, we focus on light trapping induced by metallic nanostructures for solar energy collection. Corresponding applications are not only for photovoltaics, but also for solar thermal. In fact, solar thermal has a market with profit even higher than photovoltaics, yet has not received enough attention. Solar thermal devices can absorb light from ultraviolet to near infrared (IR) (0.3–2 μm), which is much broader than the wavelength that any single-junction solar cells can absorb (Figure 1). The conversion efficiency of solar thermal devices (such as solar water heaters) is also much higher; for example, an evacuated tube collector can have an efficiency of approximately 80% in the summer. Such solar water heaters have been widely used in China and Europe and are quite effective in CO₂ reduction.²

PLASMONICS FOR LIGHT TRAPPING IN PHOTOVOLTAICS

Photovoltaic devices can covert solar energy into electricity. Commercial crystalline silicon (c-Si) solar cell technologies often adopt a basic etching process to produce pyramids to reflect light back into silicon and hence improve the absorbance. A silicon nitride layer is then applied onto the pyramids to act both as a passivation layer and as an antireflective coating (ARC). The MA Green group at the University of New South Wales has performed important pioneering work on thick Si solar cells. This group achieved an efficiency of ~25% for single c-Si solar cells,⁴ and 19.8% for polycrystalline Si solar cells using the light-trapping structures of inverted pyramids and a honeycomb structure,⁵ respectively. However, c-Si is not a highly absorbing material and requires a thickness of >100 μm to enable nearly complete light absorption. While, too thick of a material is not good for electricity collection because the thickness must be at least several times smaller than the minority carrier diffusion length. Reducing the thickness of the active layer can therefore reduce the recombination of carriers and improve the conversion efficiency. By contrast, thin film solar cells, which use highly absorbing materials such as amorphous
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be further reduced to addition, thin films can be easily deposited onto many inexpensive can largely reduce the use of semiconducting materials and the cost. In hundreds of nanometers to microns. Thin film solar cell technologies good absorbance,3,8 as shown in Figure 2. First, metallic nanoparticles decrease the thickness of photovoltaic materials while maintaining plasmonic structures integrated in thin cells that can remarkably efficiency of thin solar cells. There are three extensively investigated solar spectrum and the working wavelength and comparison of efficiency for various solar-energy-harvesting mechanisms. A-Si, amorphous silicon; C-Si, crystalline silicon.

silicon (a-Si), GaAs and CuIn$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$Se$_2$, require a film thickness of only hundreds of nanometers to microns. Thin film solar cell technologies can largely reduce the use of semiconducting materials and the cost. In addition, thin films can be easily deposited onto many inexpensive substrates including glass, metals, or polymers. If the active layer can be further reduced to ~10 nm, the semiconductors, especially those that are properly patterned, will be extremely flexible such that they can be used in flexible solar cells, which might act as the power source for electronic skins and foldable photoelectronics.7 The main problem for thin film solar cells is that the materials exhibit very small absorbance of near-gapbandgap light, and reducing the thickness will further cause a significant loss of absorption, therefore leading to a limited efficiency.

The emerging area of plasmonics offers new paths for improving the efficiency of thin solar cells. There are three extensively investigated plasmonic structures integrated in thin cells that can remarkably decrease the thickness of photovoltaic materials while maintaining good absorbance,3,8 as shown in Figure 2. First, metallic nanoparticles on top of a solar cell can scatter a broad range of sunlight to couple and trap freely propagating plane waves in the thin film by folding the light into a thin absorber layer (Figure 2a). In this case, the angular redistribution of the scattered light and back contact reflection also increase the optical path length and therefore, contribute to light trapping. Second, embedded metallic nanoparticles can be used as subwavelength antennas for which the excited localized surface plasmons couple with the semiconductor, increasing its effective absorption cross-section (Figure 2b). Third, a patterned metallic back contact of a thin photovoltaic absorber layer can couple sunlight into surface plasmonic polariton (SPP) modes that are supported at the semiconductor/metal interface (Figure 2c). Light in the propagating waveguide mode is absorbed in the plane of the semiconductor, while carrier collection occurs out of plane, allowing for a reduction in overall thickness.8

Scattering effect of metallic nanoparticles for light trapping
There have been many experiments that prove the light trapping of metal nanoparticles utilizing the scattering effect. In 2005, Yu and co-workers9 deposited Au nanoparticles on a thick Si p–n junction diode; they observed enhancement of optical absorption and photocurrent via the excitation of surface plasmon resonances in spherical Au nanoparticles. In fact, early in 1998, Stuart and co-workers10 utilized metallic nanoparticle for improved photodetectors. And in photovoltaic applications, Yu et al.11 also observed that metal nanoparticles with a modest density could lead to an efficiency enhancement of 8.3% (corresponding power output increases from 2.77 to 3.00 mW cm$^{-2}$) in an amorphous Si thin cell for which the active layer was only 240 nm thick. Green et al.12 investigated the light-trapping effect using Ag nanoparticles on a 1.25-μm-thick c-Si on an insulator solar cell and reported a broadband enhancement and a 16-fold enhancement at 1050 nm. Atwater et al.13 demonstrated that GaAs thin cells exhibit an improvement of 8% for the short circuit current density with templated high aspect-ratio Ag nanoparticles, and these nanoparticles not only scatter light, but also decrease the top contact sheet resistance. The thin cells with Ag nanoparticles yield a significant enhancement for wavelengths longer than 600 nm (Figure 3).14 When using a periodic array of metal nanoparticles for light trapping, the grating pitch should be selected to allow higher order diffraction modes for long wavelengths while maintaining the highest possible fill factor. For example, a set of optimal parameters for light trapping in Si solar cells is a particle size of ~200 nm and a pitch of ~400 nm.14

The particle shape, size, distance from the semiconductor and refractive index of the medium could all affect the coupling efficiency.3,11,15–17 Nanospheres are the most commonly used scattering centers. Figure 4a and 4b show the normalized scattering cross section of spherical particles as a function of wavelength and particle size in both air and Si.17 The plasmonic resonance exhibits an obvious redshift effect in silicon (which has a higher refractive index compared with air). In addition, the resonance peaks redshift and broaden with increases in particle sizes. This redshift significantly enhances the light trapping in the red and near-IR region, which is otherwise a low absorption region for Si. Typically, particles with a size of ~100 nm can provide the highest scattering efficiency. Particle shape should also be considered. Cylindrical and hemispherical particles exhibit higher path length enhancements than spherical particles.3,15,16 This effect is evidenced in Figure 4c, which reveals the difference in fraction of light absorption between nanospheres and nanocylinders.

![Figure 1](image1.png) Solar spectrum and the working wavelength and comparison of efficiency for various solar-energy-harvesting mechanisms. A-Si, amorphous silicon; C-Si, crystalline silicon.

![Figure 2](image2.png) Three methods that utilize metallic plasmonic nanostructures for light trapping in thin solar cells. (a) Scattering effect and angular redistribution of the scattered light with metallic nanoparticles on top of a cell. (b) Near-field effect of embedded metallic nanoparticles. (c) Coupling sunlight into SPPs with metallic nanostructures on the rear contact. Blue: ARC; orange: active layer; gray: metal. Figure reproduced with permission from Ref. 3, © 2010 Macmillan Publishers Ltd.
due to improved coupling to guided modes.19 ARC could also result in absorption enhancement in ultrathin solar cells in addition to metal nanoparticles, other structures such as gratings with long wavelength light, e.g., IR and red light that reaches the rear of the cell can be scattered by the nanoparticles and be trapped.18 In addition, long wavelength light can be largely absorbed by the semiconducting materials, placing the nanoparticles on the rear of the solar cells. In this case, short wavelength light can be largely absorbed by the semiconducting materials, although it comprises the near-field coupling.3,17 The interference problem can also be solved by placing the nanoparticles on the rear of the solar cells. In this case, short wavelength light can be largely absorbed by the semiconducting materials, while long wavelength light, e.g., IR and red light that reaches the rear of the cell can be scattered by the nanoparticles and be trapped.18 In addition, Ag is a better metal than Au as Ag particles yield much higher path length enhancements than Au particles15 and offer a lower price. In addition to metal nanoparticles, other structures such as gratings with ARC could also result in absorption enhancement in ultrathin solar cells due to improved coupling to guided modes.19

Near-field effect of metallic nanoparticles in solar cells

Another method for light trapping is to make use of the strong local field of very small metallic nanoparticles. Metallic nanoparticles are often mixed with or embedded in active materials such that the surrounding active materials can become highly absorbed due to the high density of states of the phonons and the fact that the nanoparticles can directly excite charge carriers. Considering the fabrication method, this mechanism is especially suitable for preparing dye-sensitized solar cells using solution processes.20 The small particle size and close particle-to-particle spacing often help to further enhance the near-field effect; however, the small nanoparticles do not exhibit a great scattering effect.7 This near-field mechanism works well for materials in which the carrier diffusion lengths are small, and photocarriers must thus be generated near the collection junction area. The absorption rate in the semiconductor must be larger than the reciprocal of the typical plasmon decay time to avoid dissipation of the absorbed energy into ohmic damping in the metal.2 The optical thickness of organic thin films is typically larger than the carrier diffusion length, thus reducing the thickness while maintaining the absorbance in organic solar cells is significant. Embedded nanoparticles of Ag could efficiently increase the light absorption in ultrathin organic solar cells and thereby improve the efficiency.21 By incorporating electrodeposited 13 nm Ag nanoparticles on surface-modified transparent electrodes, the efficiency of organic solar cells could increase from 3.05% to 3.69% because of the improved absorbance via the strongly enhanced local electromagnetic field in the vicinity of the Ag nanoparticles.22 Au nanoparticles could also lead to an efficiency improvement in polymer bulk heterojunction solar cells.23,24 However, metal nanoparticles may also degrade the carrier mobility and thus compromise the benefit of the near-field enhancement.25 Enhanced efficiency or photocurrent...
with the plasmon near-field coupling of nanoparticles has also been observed in inorganic solar cells including n-CdSe/p-Si heterojunction diodes\textsuperscript{35} and dye-sensitized solar cells.\textsuperscript{26,27,28,30} In dye-sensitized solar cells, it is also necessary to consider the negative effects (e.g., charging) of the metal nanoparticles in addition to the localized surface plasmon effects.\textsuperscript{29} This field has been quite attractive with the development of synthesis processes of metal nanoparticles and assembly technologies.

Note that for some active materials, the near-field mechanism may not work. For example, a-Si does not benefit from the resonance of Ag nanoparticles due to the mismatch between the bandgap of a-Si and the near-field resonance frequency; for c-Si, the global absorption may not benefit from the local enhanced field due to the weak absorbance of c-Si and the Ohmic loss in the nanoparticles.\textsuperscript{32} Therefore, when utilizing the near-field effect, we must carefully select the materials, metal nanoparticle size, shape, position, etc. to ensure that the enhanced absorption in the active layer can compensate for the accompanying negative effects (e.g., absorption in metal, loss of carrier mobility, increased cost, etc.).

**SPPs induced absorption enhancement**

SPPs are plasmon modes that propagate along the metal/dielectric interface. The SPPs can propagate along the interface for a relatively long distance, but are confined to the subwavelength scale in the perpendicular direction. This evanescent wave decay occurs faster in metals than in dielectric materials, which is beneficial for light absorption in active layers. Green et al.\textsuperscript{33} noted that although metal absorption occurs, this process does not fully compromise the benefit of light trapping in the active layer in standard systems, especially organic solar cells. The fabrication of structures with SPPs modes are typically gratings or grooves in the rear contact, and the active layer should directly contact the metallic nanostructures. For example, Ferry et al.\textsuperscript{34} demonstrated that metal contact with a single 100 nm wide groove covered by a 200 nm Si thin film can enhance absorption by a factor of 2.5 over a 10 μm area for the portion of the solar spectrum near the Si bandgap. When using arrays of Ag nanoridges, a large grating pitch (6 μm) results in an enhancement similar to the single ridge case because strong interference does not occur between the SPP waves, whereas a small pitch of 300 nm leads to a higher absorption enhancement that is more sensitive to wavelength, with narrower spectral features and a wider variation in enhancement across the spectral range.\textsuperscript{35} The largest enhancement could achieve 25 in a narrow wavelength range. SPPs can be excited only for transverse magnetic polarized light incident on the rear gratings and not for transverse electric (TE) polarized incident light.\textsuperscript{35} Wang et al.\textsuperscript{36} demonstrated that a-Si on hybrid gratings of Ag and indium tin oxide (ITO) exhibits broadband light absorption enhancement, which is related to Fabry–Pérot (F–P) resonance, SPP resonance and planar waveguide coupling. If a thick dielectric spacer layer is placed between the metallic gratings and the active layer, light trapping still occurs, but the enhancement is due to the local and guided modes rather than the SPP modes.\textsuperscript{37,38} Such textured structure with a spacer is also promising; for example, self-cleaning nanodome solar
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**Figure 4** The effect of metallic particle size, shape, distance to substrate and refractive index of medium for nanoparticle scattering. (a, b) Normalized scattering cross sections of Ag nanoparticles in air and Si with various sizes. (c) Fraction of light scattered into the substrate for various sizes and shapes of Ag particles on Si; the dipole is 10 nm away from Si. (d) Fraction of light scattered into Si as a function of distance for a point dipole above a Si substrate. Figure reproduced with permission: a, b, Ref. 17, © 2010 Elsevier; c, Ref. 3, © Macmillan Publishers Ltd; d, Ref. 16, © 2008 OSA.
cells with a 280-nm-thick hydrogenated a-Si:H layer can absorb 94% of the light with wavelengths of 400–800 nm, which is significantly higher than the 65% absorption of flat film devices.39

The enhancement with arrays of ridges is reminiscent of surface wrinkles and folds,40–42 which are spontaneously generated by depositing a metallic film on an elastomeric substrate followed by heating. Here, the elastomeric layer can be the organic active materials, and the metallic wrinkles or folds will act as the nanostructured back contact (Figure 5). The folds might be more efficient than wrinkles because the structures are sharper. The grating structures prepared using conventional nanofabrication methods on the back contact help to enhance the absorption; however, this enhancement comes at a price. Surface wrinkling or folding on the back contact might solve this problem for organic solar cells in a cost-effective manner. In addition, organic active materials used in these novel structures yield even better absorption than Si in the near field.33

**ABSORPTION BY METAL/INSULATOR/METAL (MIM) MULTILAYERED STRUCTURES**

Another family of important metallic structures for light absorption is MIM multilayered systems. Thanks to the advancement of nanotechnology, especially in nanofabrication, there has recently been significant progress in the development of conventional MIM structures, which offer different fascinating properties. Since the appearance of metamaterials approximately one and half decades ago, metal pattern/insulator/metal multilayered systems have begun to play an important role in the field.

**FP MIM interferometer**

The FP interferometer (etalon) was invented in 189743 and typically consists of a transparent plate with two highly-reflecting surfaces (usually made of semitransparent metal), forming a MIM structure. Similar to a conventional ARC, the FP interferometer utilizes the destructive interference between the reflected waves from the air/metal and insulator/metal interfaces. When the thickness of the insulator is odd multiples of $\lambda/4n$ ($\lambda$ is the incident wavelength and $n$ is the refractive index), the beams reflected from the two interfaces have a phase difference of $\pi$. Thus, reflection at $\lambda$ is greatly reduced and transmission is accordingly greatly enhanced. At wavelengths other than $\lambda$, a high reflection can be observed. This type of structure is often referred to as a transmission filter in early papers.44,45 One variation of such FP interferometers is the reflection filter: the exit thin metal layer is replaced by an opaque metal mirror (Figure 6). The reflection filter also exhibits a selective reflection property, for which a low reflection at $\lambda$ and high reflection at wavelengths other than $\lambda$ are observed.44,45

**Metamaterials**

Photonic metamaterials are artificially constructed structures composed of subwavelength building blocks with micro- or nanostructures. The emergence of metamaterials was originally inspired by the discoveries of very low-frequency effective plasmons of thin metallic wire grids46 and the effective negative permeability of split ring resonators.47 Guided by the theoretical and experimental success of the effective medium theory,48 the field has rapidly developed in recent years. Many fascinating optical properties and corresponding devices or structures have been realized, including an invisibility cloak, perfect absorber and superlens.59–51 There have been many excellent reviews on the subject,52–55 but here we focus only on those related MIM structures.

From the electromagnetic viewpoint, a collection of objects whose size and spacing are much smaller than the wavelength can be described by an effective permittivity $\varepsilon$ and permeability $\mu$.56 One very important family of metamaterials is the MIM structure.49–51 The schematic unit cells of one such example are presented in the Figure 7. The structure consists of two metallic elements: a top metallic nanopattern and a bottom ground plane, with an insulating dielectric layer as the spacer. The metal patterns are a type of electric ring resonator49 and couple strongly to uniform electric fields but negligibly to magnetic fields. It was first observed in Ref. 58 that when paired to the dielectric layer and bottom metallic layer, the magnetic component of light couples to both the center section of electric ring resonator patterns and the ground plane, generating antiparallel currents, which results in the resonant response. Thus, by changing only the thickness of the insulating layer, not the geometry of the pattern arrays, the magnetic response $\mu$ can be tuned independent of the electric response $\varepsilon$. When the geometry of the entire system is so tuned that $\varepsilon(\lambda) = \mu(\lambda)$ is satisfied, a high absorption at the wavelength $\lambda$ can be achieved. However, because the electromagnetic response is resonant in a narrow band, broadband application is quite limited. Some attempts were made, most of which were realized by combining several resonances into one desired frequency range or complex pattern designs.59,60 For example, Aydin et al.60 described an Ag nanopattern (100 nm)/SiO$_2$...
Absorptive material as the insulator

Both F–P MIM systems and the metamaterial MIM systems mentioned above can function as a single frequency absorber. However, there is vital difference between the two mechanisms: absorption in the F–P MIM system is based on phase accumulation, requiring a dielectric spacer on the order of λ/4π; metamaterials, however, are based on electromagnetic resonance, requiring a thickness of the dielectric layer d<<λ. How to narrow this scale gap and bring the two systems together is an interesting topic. In one recent scheme, it was demonstrated that if a high index absorptive material is incorporated into the structure, enhanced absorption can be achieved with a broad bandwidth.

As described in a classic optics book, the reflected electrical components from an insulator/metal system can be expressed by the Fresnel coefficients of corresponding interfaces: if only the first two partial waves reflected back into air are considered, represents the Fresnel coefficient of the air/insulator interface and represents that of the insulator/metal interface and are the complex refractive indices of the insulator and the metal. The combined reflected wave is

\[ \mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}_i + \mathbf{r}_{i/m} e^{2i\theta} \]

where \( \theta = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda}d \) is the single-trip phase change in the dielectric layer. Thus, the reflectance \( R \) in terms of energy is \( |\mathbf{r}|^2 \). Using simple algebra, to achieve the lowest reflection (\( r = 0 \)) in air, one needs \( \mathbf{r}_i = -\mathbf{r}_{i/m} e^{2i\theta} \). Recently, it was specifically noted in Ref. 66 that if the dielectric medium is absorptive, \( \mathbf{r}_i \) and \( \mathbf{r}_{i/m} \) are no longer real numbers and consist of phase factors. Most importantly, from the definition of Fresnel coefficients, it can be observed that the phases of \( \mathbf{r}_i \) and \( \mathbf{r}_{i/m} \) are no longer real numbers and consist of phase factors. Under such circumstances, it is possible that \( \mathbf{r}_i = -\mathbf{r}_{i/m} e^{2i\pi} \) can be satisfied at a thickness of \( d = \frac{\lambda}{4\pi}n_i \) (2\( \lambda \)). In extreme cases, if \( \mathbf{r}_i \) and \( \mathbf{r}_{i/m} \) are already out of phase, to satisfy the low reflection condition, \( d \) could be much smaller than \( \lambda \). As described in Ref. 66, to achieve a low reflection of approximately 20% at 500 nm, only 8 nm Ge is required on a Au film. Such ultra thin structures with high absorbance are mostly desired for photovoltaic applications.

Dielectric/perforated metal insulator/metal (DPIM) structures

In conventional F–P MIM filters, the spacer is usually a lossless dielectric layer. By replacing the lossless material with an absorptive material, such as amorphous silicon in the visible range, a similar effect can be observed: the resonance occurs at a thickness much smaller than the wavelength. This effect helps narrow the scale gap between the metamaterial MIM scheme and the F–P MIM scheme. Wang et al. demonstrated that a perforated metal/absorptive insulator/metal (PIM) structure satisfies the MIM interference requirements discussed above. In this structure, the a-Si film is only 15 nm thick, and the top Ag checkerboard covers ~50% of the a-Si surface. Such a PIM multilayer system made of a nanoscopically perforated metallic film and an ultrathin absorber formed a metamaterial effective medium that exhibits negative refraction in the frequency range of interest. If such a planar PIM structure is further capped with a dielectric interference film to tune the phase difference between waves reflected from the top and bottom surfaces of the PIM structure, the structure can highly absorb electromagnetic radiation in the entire visible range, as shown in Figure 8. The absorbance of this structure is sensitive to the thickness of a-Si, but insensitive to the period or feature size of the metallic nanostructures. The introduction of the self-complementary pattern removes the electrical resonance in the interested range. The same effect was also observed in aperiodic perforated metallic films (e.g., aperiodic structures in Refs. 69, 70), in which unlike plasmonic structures, no dependence of periodicity is even required. Simulations were performed to demonstrate this idea. A 12-nm-thick a-Si on 60-nm Ag structure capped with a layer of random metallic nanoshells followed by a 60-nm-thick SiO2 film exhibits quite good absorbance (Figure 8),

Figure 7 An example of an MIM metamaterial structure. (a) Schematic view and SEM image of the MIM structure and (b) corresponding absorbance. Figure reproduced with permission from Ref. 56, @ 2010 AIP. FDTD, finite difference time domain; MIM, metal/insulator/metal; SEM, scanning electron microscopy.
quite close to the result with a periodic structure. This result confirms that the broadband absorption feature does not depend on specific perforation geometry, or even aperiodicity; thus, it is possible to fabricate highly absorbed PIM structures with simple and cost-effective nanofabrication methods.

Compared with the nanoparticle plasmonic photovoltaic structures, the dielectric/perforated meta film/insulator/metal (DPIM) structures have an ultrathin a-Si layer of ~10 nm thick, together with two metal surfaces, and thus are more likely to be used in ultrathin solar cells. The perforated top patterns can be used as the front contact with good flexibility and good electrical conductivity; therefore, the DPIM structure is potentially a good selection for flexible solar cells.

**METALLIC NANOSTRUCTURE-ENABLED SELECTIVE SOLAR ABSORBER**

Although much less attention is paid to solar thermal conversion processes than photovoltaics, these processes are actually a major route for harvesting solar radiation by converting sunlight directly to heat with efficiencies much lower. A recent advance in solar thermoelectric generators, in which a selective solar absorber absorbed light and generated heat, while a pair of thermoelectric elements connected to the solar absorber were used to convert the thermal energy to electricity (Figure 9), presented a peak efficiency of 4.6% under AM 1.5G condition. New designs of a CSP system might further enhance the entire conversion efficiency to 10%, which is comparable to a-Si:H cells, with the greatest advantage being that such a system could provide electricity around the clock by storing the heat, eliminating the need of a battery for electricity storage. However, improving the efficiency requires a large temperature difference between the two ends of the thermoelectric elements. A recent study reported that at an emittance of 0.05 and a solar concentration 10 times the AM 1.5G spectrum, the optimal transition wavelength is observed to be 1.28 μm and have a 957 K equilibrium temperature. Therefore, good thermal stability at high temperatures is required.

The high absorptance and low emittance can result in a high photothermal conversion efficiency of solar selective coatings. An ideal solar absorber should have zero reflectance over the solar spectrum and zero emittance in the middle IR region (Figure 10), which allows complete absorption of solar energy without thermal radiation. However, in reality, such an absorber does not exist; absorbers with 95% absorption and 5% emittance are realistic. The first practically useful coatings were proposed by Tabor in 1955. Then, various spectrally selective surfaces, such as black chrome coatings, Ni-Al2O3 cermet-based surfaces, Cr/Cr2O3/Cr2O3 multilayer absorber coatings and paints including organic black carbon and inorganic pigment FeMnCuO4, were extensively investigated. According to the film configuration and absorption mechanism, solar absorbers can be categorized into six types. Among these types, most of the practically useful absorbers, including multilayer absorbers, cermet-based absorbers and textured surfaces, have metallic nanostructures. The metal component, particle size, orientation, coating thickness and metal volume fraction in the matrix have a strong effect on the solar selectivity.

In solar selective coatings with metallic nanostructures, metals play an important role. First, a thick bottom metal layer is used as an IR reflector due to its low emissivity in the mid- and far-IR range and its high thermal conductivity. Most of the commercialized spectrally selective coatings are supported on copper or aluminum substrates to maximize the photothermal conversion efficiency. In addition to the thick metal layer, metallic nanostructures, such as thin metal films, metal nanoparticles and metal islands, are often employed in solar selective surfaces. The thin metal film (several nanometers) is used as a semitransparent reflective layer in multilayer absorbers. The metal nanoparticles embedded in a dielectric matrix are used to adjust the optical properties of the cermet layer. Metallic nanostructures, however, may cause some durability problems at high temperature. Here, we focus only on multilayer absorbers and cermet-based absorbers to...
discuss the function of metallic nanostructures in multilayer and cermet coating solar absorbers using several examples.

**Multilayer absorbers**

Multilayer absorbers consist of alternating metal and dielectric layers. The absorption mechanism of multilayer absorbers uses the multiple reflections at the layer interfaces. A bottom semitransparent metal layer (D) serves as a reflective layer that separates the two quarter-wave dielectric layers. This layer has high reflectance in the IR region and is slightly less reflective in the visible region. The top dielectric layer (C) acts as an ACR for the metal layer (D) and reduces the visible reflectance. The thickness of this dielectric layer determines the shape and position of the reflectance curve. Another dielectric/metal (A/B) bilayer further reduces the reflectance in the visible region and broadens the absorption region (Figure 11a).\(^7\) The thickness of the dielectric layer has a large effect on the solar selectivity. The stability of this type of solar absorber strongly depends on the materials used. Metallic nanofilms with high melting points, high nitriding or oxidation resistance and low diffusion are promising candidates.

The thermal emission of a one-dimensional metallodielectric periodic structure was analyzed by Narayanaswamy and Chen.\(^8\) Their simulation indicated that the emissivity of the periodic structure consisting of 11 unit cells with 10 nm silver and 150 nm of vacuum in the IR range could be reduced by more than two orders of magnitude compared with that of a bare 10-nm silver film. Several multilayer absorbers based on different metallic nanofilms (Al, Pt, Cr, Ti) and
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**Figure 9** (a) The structure of a solar thermoelectric generator consisting of a pair of p- and n-type thermoelectric elements, a flat-panel selective absorber that also acts as a thermal concentrator. The device is surrounded by a glass enclosure that maintains an evacuated environment. (b) Optical image of the solar absorber used in the device. (c) Illustration of thermal concentration. Figure reproduced with permission: Ref. 74, © 2011 Macmillan Publishers Ltd.

![Figure 10](image2)

**Figure 10** An ideal solar absorber with a sharp reflectance increasing from 0 to 1 at ~1.8 μm.

![Figure 11](image3)

**Figure 11** Two types of spectrally selective solar absorbers with metallic nanostructures. (a) Multilayered absorber. (b) Cermet solar absorber. ARC, antireflective coating; IR, infrared.
dielectric layers (Al₂O₃, AlN, Cr₂O₃, SiO₂) were developed for solar thermal conversion application. In 2009, Barshilia demonstrated that Al₂O₃/Al₂O₃ cermet absorbers exhibit a high absorptance of 0.95–0.97 and a low emittance ranging from 0.06 to 0.08 at 82 °C. This coating on a Cu substrate was stable at up to 400 °C in air, and degradation occurred when the temperature exceeded 450 °C due to the diffusion of Cu. Replacing Cu with a Mo substrate, the solar absorber was stable up to 450 °C in air and 800 °C in vacuum. Other systems such as Al₂O₃/Pt/Al₂O₃, SiO₂/Ti/SiO₂/Al, Al₂O₃/Ti/AlN, and HfO₂/Mo/HfO₂ were also investigated. The colored solar selective coatings in the Ti/AlN multilayer structure were obtained by adjusting the layer number and thickness. The prepared surfaces displayed black, purple, yellowish green, red, and yellowish orange, among which the purple surface exhibited the best solar selectivity, with a high absorptance of 0.94 and a low emittance of 0.05. Commercial solar absorbers often display a purple or blue color instead of black; we should consider the light trapping not only in the visible region, but in the entire solar spectrum as well as the emission in the IR region. HfO₂ is a dielectric material with a high reflective index, wide bandgap (5.5 eV) and good mechanical, chemical and thermal stability. The HfO₂/Mo/HfO₂ system can be stable up to 500 °C in air. The HfO₂ layer acted as an antireflection layer, and light was largely absorbed in the HfO₂ and Mo layers. The addition of a Mo layer between the Cu substrate and the HfO₂ layer could suppress the diffusion of Cu and thereby enhance the thermal stability.

**Cermet-based solar absorbers**

Cermet is a metal–dielectric composite in which metal nanoparticles are imbedded in the dielectric matrix. The coatings strongly absorb solar light due to inter-band transitions in the metal in combination with small particle resonance, while the coatings are almost transparent in the IR region. The low emission mainly stems from the metal substrate, and the high absorbance is related to the cermet coating and the ARC. To date, cermet coatings have been extensively investigated for mid- and high-temperature solar thermal applications due to their high absorptance in a large solar region, low emittance in the IR region, and good thermal stability. The solar selectivity of cermet-based solar absorbers can be affected by the coating thickness, metal volume fraction, and thermal stability. The Ti/AlN cermet films offer the advantage of the high reactivity of aluminum with nitrogen. The coatings with double absorption layers of high metal volume fraction and low metal volume fraction prepared by co-evaporation exhibited a high absorptance of 0.911 and a low emittance of 0.0196 at 50 °C. Double-layered cermet films are also stable. For a SS-AlN cermet structure, only a small change was observed in the reflectance spectra after heating at 500 °C for 1 h.

Generally, cermet-based solar absorbers exhibit good overall performances of high absorptance, low emittance, and good thermal stability and, therefore, have been used in CSP systems. Compared with multilayer solar absorbers, cermet coatings may be prepared using cost-effective chemical solution approaches, although vacuum deposition such as sputtering remains the mainstream preparation method.

**Novel design of solar absorbers**

Very recently, a work on a solution-processed plasmonic Ni nanochain–Al₂O₃ cermet coating (Figure 12) revealed that unlike conventional multilayer graded-index cermet coatings, the SPP-enhanced solar absorption in these nanostructures is tailored by the lengths of the Ni nanochains instead of the cermet layer thicknesses, thus eliminating the requirement of costly vacuum deposition for stringent thickness control. This cermet coating exhibited an absorption of >0.9 and an emittance of <0.1. In Figure 13, we propose a new structure of a selective solar absorber. The structure consists of a top metallic nanofilter, a middle absorbing layer, and a bottom metal substrate. The mesh size of the metallic nanofilter, w, can be optimized such that it is highly transparent in the region where the absorbing layer works well (visible and near-IR region) but highly reflective to light with a wavelength much larger than the mesh size (e.g., IR light > 2 μm). With the development of nanotechnology, the top metal network structures are already available in a cost-effective manner, while the middle absorbing layer can be sprayed graphite or other inexpensive materials with a modest thickness. Without a top metallic nanofilter, the emittance of the structure may reach a considerably high level, and hence, the photo-thermal conversion efficiency is low.

**CONCLUSIONS AND OUTLOOK**

This article reviewed the applications of metallic nanostructures for light trapping in solar energy-harvesting structures and devices from thin film photovoltaic cells to solar thermal structures and devices. The light trapping effect with metallic nanoparticles in photovoltaic devices can stem from far-field scattering or from strong near-field scattering surrounding the metallic particles. The coupling of light...
into the SPP mode can significantly enhance light absorption in the long wavelength region near the back contact. These structures can significantly decrease the thickness of the active layer. The MIM structure, a structure with a top metallic nanopattern and a thin dielectric on a metal substrate, is especially suitable for ultrathin photovoltaic devices, for which light can be highly absorbed in an ~10 nm thick active layer. Such ultrathin structures not only offer high efficiency and low cost, but can also potentially be used as flexible solar cells.

We also briefly discussed metallic nanostructures in solar absorbers, which are used for converting solar energy into heat and potentially for further converting this heat into electricity. Such solar absorbers require both high photo-thermal conversion efficiency and good durability at high temperature for CSP systems. We also proposed a novel metallic filter nanostructure that may significantly simplify the process of fabrication of solar absorbers and decrease the cost.
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