Regression and stabilization of advanced murine atherosclerotic lesions: a comparison of LDL lowering and HDL raising gene transfer strategies
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Abstract Both reductions in atherogenic lipoproteins and increases in high-density lipoprotein (HDL) levels may affect atherosclerosis regression. Here, the relative potential of low-density lipoprotein (LDL) lowering and HDL raising gene transfer strategies to induce regression of complex murine atherosclerotic lesions was directly compared. Male C57BL/6 LDL receptor (LDLr)−/− mice were fed an atherogenic diet (1.25% cholesterol and 10% coconut oil) to induce advanced atherosclerotic lesions. A baseline group was killed after 6 months and remaining mice were randomized into a control progression (Adnull or saline), an apolipoprotein (apo) A-I (AdA-I), an LDLr (AdLDLr), or a combined apo A-I/LDLr (AdA-I/AdLDLr) adenoviral gene transfer group and followed-up for another 12 weeks with continuation of the atherogenic diet. Gene transfer with AdLDLr decreased non-HDL cholesterol levels persistently by 95% (p<0.001) compared with baseline. This drastic reduction of non-HDL cholesterol levels induced lesion regression by 28% (p<0.001) in the aortic root and by 25% (p<0.05) in the brachiocephalic artery at 12 weeks after transfer. Change in lesion size was accompanied by enhanced plaque stability, as evidenced by increased collagen content, reduced lesional macrophage content, a drastic reduction of necrotic core area, and decreased expression of inflammatory genes. Elevated HDL cholesterol following AdA-I transfer increased collagen content in lesions, but did not induce regression. Apo A-I gene transfer on top of AdLDLr transfer resulted in additive effects, particularly on inflammatory gene expression. In conclusion, drastic lipid lowering induced by a powerful gene transfer strategy leads to pronounced regression and stabilization of advanced murine atherosclerosis.
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Introduction

The primary target for prevention of cardiovascular morbidity and mortality is dyslipidemia [1]. Lipid lowering attenuates inflammatory stimuli within complex atherosclerotic lesions leading to plaque stabilization [2]. In addition, very intensive lipid lowering with rosuvastatin has been associated with regression of atherosclerosis in the ASTEROID trial [3]. Whereas the change in low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol is predictive of the change in atheroma volume, it has been postulated that statins may affect lesion regression via pleiotropic, lipid lowering-independent effects [4, 5]. Therefore, it remains to be demonstrated that aggressive lipid lowering itself is sufficient to induce regression of atherosclerosis.

Plasma levels of high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol and its major apolipoprotein (apo), apo A-I, are inversely correlated with the risk of ischemic cardiovascular diseases [6]. Apart from their lipid lowering properties, statins have been reported to increase HDL cholesterol levels by 5% to 15% [3, 7]. A recent meta-analysis of IVUS trial data [7] indicated that statin therapy is associated with regression of coronary atherosclerosis when LDL chole-
terol is substantially reduced and HDL cholesterol is increased by more than 7.5%. The addition of extended-release niacin to statin therapy significantly increased HDL cholesterol and slowed the progression of carotid atherosclerosis among individuals with known coronary heart disease [8]. Furthermore, pilot intervention studies in humans using infusions of both apo A-I Milano [9] and wild-type human apo A-I [10] containing phospholipid complexes show promising but not unequivocal results on imaging end-points. These observations raise the question whether a combination of an LDL lowering and HDL raising approach could be the most effective intervention for establishing regression of atherosclerosis.

There is a paucity of experimental animal models that evaluate regression of advanced atherosclerotic lesions. Indeed, although regression of fatty streaks and intermediate lesions has been convincingly demonstrated in different animal models [11, 12], these lesions are not very relevant from a clinical point of view. Furthermore, lipid lowering in prior experimental regression studies of advanced atherosclerosis included drastic manipulations of the experimental diet [4, 13–15], which may result in effects that occur independent of cholesterol lowering.

Based on a series of studies directed at a continuous improvement of expression cassettes for hepatocyte-directed gene transfer [16–18], we developed an E1E3E4-deleted adeno viral LDL receptor (LDLr) expressing vector that induces a more than 95% persistent reduction of non-HDL cholesterol in hyperlipidemic mice notwithstanding inflammation and the increased HDL cholesterol on the progression/regression rate of advanced atherosclerotic lesions, we compared atherosclerosis in mice treated with LDLr, with apo A-I, or with combined apo A-I/LDLr gene transfer. Our results show that the drastic reduction of non-HDL cholesterol levels after AdLDLr gene transfer induced stabilization and powerful regression of advanced, preexisting lesions. Increased HDL cholesterol following apo A-I gene transfer augmented collagen content in lesions, but did not induce regression. Gene expression profiling indicated that apo A-I gene transfer on top of AdLDLr transfer resulted in additive effects, particularly on inflammatory gene expression.

Materials and methods

Generation of recombinant adeno viral vectors The E1E3E4-deleted adeno viral vector AdLDLr contains the 1.2 kb DC172 promoter [18], consisting of an 890 bp human α1-antitrypsin promoter and two copies of the 160 bp α2-microglobulin enhancer, upstream of the 5′ untranslated region (UTR) of the human apo A-I gene that contains the first intron, and upstream of the 2.6 kb LDLr sequence [19] and two copies of the 774 bp hepatic control region-1. Following an EcoRI restriction, the 5′ UTR of the human apo A-I gene together with the 2.6 kb LDLr cDNA sequence was isolated from pGEM-A-lintron-LDLr [19] and subsequently ligated into the EcoRI site of pTG15203- DC172.HCR1 [18]. Construction of the E1E3E4-deleted adeno viral vector AdA-I containing the DC172 promoter upstream of the genomic human apo A-I sequence and two copies of HCR-1 has been described before [18]. The E1E3E4-deleted control vector Adnull does not contain an expression cassette. Construction of infectious plasmids and large-scale production of recombinant E1E3E4-deleted adeno viral vectors was performed as described previously [20].

Animal studies- All experimental procedures were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Research Advisory Committee of the University of Leuven. C57BL/6 LDLr<sup>−/−</sup> mice were purchased from Jackson Laboratories (Bar Harbor, ME, USA). At the age of 3 months, male C57BL/6 LDLr<sup>−/−</sup> mice received an atherogenic diet containing 1.25% (w/w) cholesterol and 10% (v/w) coconut oil ad libitum. This diet was maintained throughout the entire experiment. Six months after start of the diet, a baseline reference group was euthanised for histological analysis. Control progression mice were injected via the tail vein with 1×10<sup>11</sup> adeno viral particles of the control vector Adnull, which does not contain an expression cassette, or alternatively with saline buffer. Intervention groups were treated with 5×10<sup>10</sup> adeno viral particles of AdA-I (AdA-I group), 5×10<sup>10</sup> adeno viral particles of AdLDLr (AdLDLr group), or 5×10<sup>10</sup> adeno viral particles of AdA-I combined with 5×10<sup>10</sup> adeno viral particles of AdLDLr (AdA-I/AdLDLr group). Mice in the AdA-I and AdLDLr groups were co-injected with 5×10<sup>10</sup> particles of Adnull to avoid differences in the total vector dose. Blood was collected after an overnight fast by puncture of the retro-orbital plexus and plasma was immediately isolated by centrifugation at 1,100 g for 10 min and stored at −20 °C. Twelve weeks after gene transfer or saline injection, mice of the control progression group or interventions groups were killed for histological analysis.

Plasma lipid analysis Please see “Materials and methods” in the Electronic supplementary materials.

Human apo A-I enzyme immunoassay Please see “Materials and methods” in the Electronic supplementary materials.
**Histological analysis** Please see “Materials and methods” in the Electronic supplementary materials.

**Gene expression profiling** Please see “Materials and methods” in the Electronic supplementary materials.

**Statistical analysis** All data are expressed as means ± standard error of the mean (SEM). Areas under the curve were calculated using Prism4 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA). Lipid and histological parameters were compared by analysis of variance followed by Tukey’s multiple comparison post-test or when indicated by Kruskal–Wallis test followed by Dunn’s multiple comparison post-testing using Instat3 (GraphPad Software). A two-sided p value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

AdLDLr gene transfer significantly lowers non-HDL cholesterol levels whereas AdA-I gene transfer increases HDL cholesterol levels in LDLr−/− mice

An atherogenic diet containing 1.25% (w/w) cholesterol and 10% (v/w) coconut oil was initiated in male C57BL/6 LDLr−/− mice at the age of 3 months. Six months after start of the diet, mice were treated with the human apo A-I expressing vector AdA-I (n=13), the low-density lipoprotein receptor expressing vector AdLDLr (n=12), or a combination of both vectors (AdA-I/AdLDLr) (n=12). Control progression mice were injected with the control vector Adnull (n=7) or with saline (n=6). Since no difference occurred between the Adnull and saline injected mice, data of both control progression groups were pooled. The atherogenic diet was maintained throughout the entire experiment. Figure 1a–c show the time course of total, non-HDL, and HDL plasma cholesterol levels, respectively, in male C57BL/6 LDLr−/− mice of the control progression, AdA-I, AdLDLr, and AdA-I/AdLDLr intervention group. Average plasma total cholesterol, non-HDL cholesterol, and HDL cholesterol levels for the 12 week period after gene transfer are shown in Table 1. Gene transfer with AdLDLr and AdA-I/AdLDLr decreased non-HDL cholesterol levels persistently by 95% (p<0.001) and by 91% (p<0.001), respectively, compared with baseline (Fig. 1a, Table 1). This major reduction of non-HDL cholesterol occurred in the VLDL, IDL, and LDL fractions, as indicated in Table 1. AdA-I gene transfer resulted in a 1.5-fold (p<0.001) increase of HDL cholesterol levels without affecting non-HDL cholesterol levels (Fig. 1b–c, Table 1). HDL cholesterol levels in the AdA-I/AdLDLr treatment group were 1.8-fold
(p<0.001) higher compared with levels in AdLDLr treated mice, but not significantly different compared with control progression mice. HDL cholesterol levels in AdLDLr mice were reduced by 37% (p<0.01) compared with control progression mice (Fig. 1c, Table 1). Human apo A-I levels were 160±19 mg/dl and 130±12 mg/dl following AdA-I and AdA-I/AdLDLr gene transfer, respectively.

Time course of total plasma triglyceride levels for the four intervention groups is shown in Fig. 1d. Compared with control progression mice, average plasma triglycerides increased 1.4-fold (p<0.05) after AdA-I gene transfer and were reduced by 82% (p<0.001) and 77% (p<0.001) after AdLDLr and AdA-I/AdLDLr gene transfer, respectively.

AdLDLr and AdA-I/AdLDLr gene transfer induce pronounced regression of advanced atherosclerotic lesions in the aortic root and brachiocephalic artery of LDLr−/− mice

Figure 2a and b show the intimal area at the aortic root and BCA, respectively, in male C57BL/6 LDLr−/− mice of the baseline group (n=13), killed 6 months after feeding the atherogenic diet, of the control progression group (n=13), or of the AdA-I (n=13), the AdLDLr (n=12), and AdA-I/AdLDLr (n=12) intervention groups 12 weeks after gene transfer with continuation of the atherogenic diet. Quantifications were performed on hematoxylin and eosin stained sections at 70 μm-spaced intervals along the entire aortic root or BCA. Data are expressed as means ± SEM.

Table 1

| Lipoprotein Category | Control progression | AdA-I | AdLDLr | AdA-I/AdLDLr |
|----------------------|---------------------|-------|--------|--------------|
| Total cholesterol    | 710±18              | 750±13| 80±7.8 | 140±6.1      |
| Non-HDL cholesterol  | 640±20              | 650±16| 30±3.5 | 55±6.5       |
| VLDL cholesterol     | 150±14              | 160±13| 6.8±0.7| 7.2±0.8      |
| IDL cholesterol      | 300±12              | 300±8.2| 9.2±0.8| 16±1.6       |
| LDL cholesterol      | 180±14              | 180±8.9| 14±3.2| 32±6.0       |
| HDL cholesterol      | 72±3.5              | 108±6.6| 45±4.4| 82±4.4       |
| Total triglycerides  | 290±47              | 420±41| 53±5.0| 67±8.5       |

Data are expressed as means ± SEM (n=13 for control progression, n=13 for AdA-I, n=12 for AdLDLr, and n=12 for AdA-I/AdLDLr). Lipoproteins were isolated by ultracentrifugation at the time points shown in Fig. 1 and average values for the time period between 1 and 12 weeks after gene transfer were obtained by dividing the area under the curve by the time of follow-up. 

§ p<0.05; §§ p<0.01; §§§ p<0.001, versus control progression; *** p<0.01; **** p<0.001, versus AdA-I; ¶¶ p<0.01; ¶¶¶ p<0.001, versus AdLDLr.

Fig. 2 Avera ge intimal area (μm²) determined at the aortic root (a) or brachiocephalic artery (b) of male C57BL/6 LDLr−/− mice of the baseline group (n=13), killed 26 weeks after feeding the atherogenic diet, of the control progression group (n=13), or of the AdA-I (n=13), the AdLDLr (n=12), and AdA-I/AdLDLr (n=12) intervention groups 12 weeks after gene transfer with continuation of the atherogenic diet. Quantifications were performed on hematoxylin and eosin stained sections at 70 μm-spaced intervals along the entire aortic root or BCA. Data are expressed as means ± SEM.
Fig. 3  Representative hematoxylin and eosin stained sections of the aortic root (left: a, c, e, g, and i) and brachiocephalic artery (right: b, d, f, h, and j) of male C57BL/6 LDLr−/− mice of the baseline group (a-b), or of male C57BL/6 LDLr−/− mice of the control progression (c-d), or of the dA-I, (e-f), AdLDLr (g-h), and AdA-I/AdLDLr (i-j) intervention groups. Scale bar represents 500 μm (left) and 200 μm (right)
advanced atherosclerotic lesions in both the aortic root and BCA, as shown for the baseline group in Fig. 3a, b. At the aortic root, intimal area in the control progression group was 1.5-fold \((p<0.001)\) higher compared with baseline mice (Fig. 2a). AdLDLr and AdA-I/AdLDLr gene transfer reduced aortic root intimal area by 28\% \((p<0.001)\) and 32\% \((p<0.001)\), respectively, compared with the baseline group (Fig. 2a). No significant difference in aortic root intimal area was observed between AdLDLr and AdA-I/AdLDLr treated mice. After AdA-I transfer, intimal area at the aortic root increased 1.3-fold \((p<0.01)\) compared with baseline mice and was not significantly lower than in control progression mice. At the level of the BCA, intimal area increased 1.6-fold \((p<0.001)\) during the 12 weeks after Adnull transfer or saline injection compared with baseline mice (Fig. 2b). After AdLDLr and AdA-I/AdLDLr gene transfer, intimal area at the BCA decreased by 25\% \((p<0.05)\) and by 17\% \((p=NS)\), respectively, compared with baseline mice (Fig. 2b). Intimal area at the BCA in the AdA-I transfer group was not significantly different compared with the baseline group.

Regression of atherosclerosis following AdLDLr and AdA-I/AdLDLr gene transfer is not associated with negative remodeling Please see “Results” in the Electronic supplementary materials.

AdLDLr and AdA-I/AdLDLr gene transfer promote stability of complex atherosclerotic plaques in LDLr\(^{−/−}\) mice.

To evaluate whether gene transfer also affects the composition and thereby the stability of advanced atherosclerotic plaques in the aortic root and BCA, the amount of smooth muscle cells (SMC), collagen, macrophages, T cells, and lipids the size of the necrotic core was quantified. Both relative and absolute data are presented in Table 2. Representative sections of the aortic root and BCA stained for different histological parameters are shown for all groups of mice in Figs. 4 and 5, respectively. At both locations, atherosclerotic lesions in baseline mice were complex and characterized by lipid-rich necrotic cores filled with cellular debris and covered by a fibrous cap consisting of smooth muscle cells, collagen, and a foam cell infiltrate (Figs. 4 and 5). At the aortic root, relative intimal SMC content was not significantly changed in the control progression and AdA-I groups compared with baseline mice, whereas AdLDLr and AdA-I/AdLDLr transfer increased the percentage SMC covered intimal area by 2.0-fold \((p<0.01)\) (Table 2). At the level of the BCA, relative SMC-positive intimal area was not significantly different in the control progression and intervention groups compared with baseline (Table 2). Relative collagen area in the intima at the aortic root was similar in the control progression group compared with baseline mice, but was 1.8-fold \((p<0.01)\), 1.5-fold \((p=NS)\), and 2.0-fold \((p<0.001)\) increased during the 12 weeks after AdA-I, AdLDLr, and AdA-I/AdLDLr transfer, respectively (Table 2). Similar results were obtained at the level of the BCA, where AdA-I, AdLDLr, and AdA-I/AdLDLr transfer increased the percentage of collagen covered intimal area by 1.5-fold \((p=NS)\), 1.7-fold \((p<0.05)\), and 1.8-fold \((p<0.05)\), respectively, compared with baseline mice (Table 2). Relative macrophage covered intimal area at the aortic root decreased 2.2-fold \((p<0.001)\), 2.9-fold \((p<0.001)\), 4.8-fold \((p<0.001)\), and 4.1-fold \((p<0.001)\) during the 12 weeks following Adnull or saline injection, or transfer with AdA-I, AdLDLr, and AdA-I/AdLDLr, respectively, compared with baseline mice (Table 2). At the level of the BCA, relative macrophage-positive intimal area was not significantly affected in the control progression and AdA-I groups, but was 1.5-fold \((p=NS)\) and 1.4-fold \((p=NS)\) decreased after AdLDLr and AdA-I/AdLDLr transfer, respectively, compared with the baseline group. Intimal T cell density, expressed as the number of CD3-positive cells per surface unit of intimal area, increased 2.1-fold \((p<0.01)\) and 1.7-fold \((p=NS)\) at the level of the aortic root in the control progression and AdA-I treated group, respectively, compared with baseline mice, but did not markedly change in the AdLDLr and AdA-I/AdLDLr groups. At the level of the BCA, intimal T cell density increased during the 12 weeks after intervention, without any significant difference between all five groups (Table 2). Since lymphocyte infiltration predominantly occurs in the lamina adventitia, we also quantified T cell number in the adventitia. A decrease of adventitial T cell density was observed after AdLDLr and AdA-I/AdLDLr gene transfer. Please see “Results” in the Electronic supplementary materials for detailed analysis of these data. Relative lipid-positive intimal area at the aortic root did not differ between the five groups, but when expressed in absolute values, intimal lipid area was significantly increased in the control progression and AdA-I groups, whereas AdLDLr and AdA-I/AdLDLr gene transfer resulted in a slight decrease of the absolute intimal lipid content, compared with baseline mice (Table 2). At the BCA, relative lipid-positive intimal area decreased 1.5-fold \((p=NS)\) and 1.4-fold \((p=NS)\) after AdLDLr and AdA-I/AdLDLr gene transfer, which corresponds with a 1.8-fold \((p<0.01)\) and 1.7-fold \((p<0.05)\) lower relative area compared with control progression mice, respectively.

Quantification of necrotic core size, which is a determinant of plaque vulnerability, revealed that lesional necrotic core area was drastically reduced following AdLDLr and AdA-I/AdLDLr gene transfer. Please see “Results” Electronic supplementary materials for extensive discussion of these data.

Quantification of intimal apoptosis by immunohistochemical staining for active caspase 3. Please see “Results” Electronic supplementary materials.
Table 2  Histological composition of intimal lesions at the aortic root and brachiocephalic artery of male C57BL/6 LDLr−/− mice of the baseline, control progression, AdA-I, AdLDLr, or AdA-I/AdLDLr group

|                         | Baseline       | Control progression | AdA-I          | AdLDLr         | AdA-I/AdLDLr     |
|-------------------------|----------------|---------------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|
| **Aortic root**         |                |                     |                |                |                 |
| Relative area (% of intimal area) or relative number (number of cells per mm² intimal area) |                |                     |                |                |                 |
| SMC                     | 0.86±0.11      | 0.58±0.09           | 0.79±0.15      | 1.70±0.23***§§§,## | 1.70±0.18***§§§,## |
| Collagen                | 24±3.0         | 29±2.1              | 43±3.8**       | 37±3.9         | 47±4.1***§       |
| Macrophages             | 4.1±0.38       | 1.9±0.21***         | 1.4±0.11***    | 0.85±0.15***§§§,## | 1.0±0.14***§§§,## |
| T cells                 | 280±30         | 580±78**            | 460±68         | 300±31§        | 340±50           |
| Lipids                  | 10±0.71        | 14±0.90             | 13±1.0         | 12±0.98        | 11±1.1           |
| Absolute area (×10⁴ µm²) or absolute number (total number of cells in the intimal area) |                |                     |                |                |                 |
| SMC                     | 0.56±0.084     | 0.54±0.098          | 0.65±0.13      | 0.82±0.11      | 0.82±0.087       |
| Collagen                | 16±2.2         | 28±2.9**            | 36±3.1***      | 17±1.6***      | 22±1.8**         |
| Macrophages             | 2.6±0.26       | 1.7±0.15**          | 1.1±0.10***§§§,## | 0.39±0.056***§§§,## | 0.43±0.056***§§§,## |
| T cells                 | 190±29         | 530±80***           | 360±51         | 150±15§§,##    | 170±34§§§,##     |
| Lipids                  | 6.6±0.61       | 13±1.0***           | 10±0.83**      | 5.8±0.55§§§,## | 5.5±0.63§§§,##   |
| **Brachiocephalic artery** |                |                     |                |                |                 |
| Relative area (% of intimal area) or relative number (number of cells per mm² intimal area) |                |                     |                |                |                 |
| SMC                     | 0.70±0.11      | 0.21±0.048          | 0.35±0.062     | 0.46±0.055     | 0.84±0.27#      |
| Collagen                | 22±2.3         | 27±4.8              | 33±3.4         | 38±3.8*        | 39±3.8*         |
| Macrophages             | 6.7±1.4        | 6.4±0.76            | 9.2±0.44       | 4.8±0.75##     | 5.6±0.81#       |
| T cells                 | 360±120        | 500±90              | 510±87         | 630±120        | 430±69          |
| Lipids                  | 13±1.5         | 16±1.3              | 16±1.3         | 8.9±1.4##     | 9.6±1.3##       |
| Absolute area (×10⁴ µm²) or absolute number (total number of cells in the intimal area) |                |                     |                |                |                 |
| SMC                     | 0.13±0.022     | 0.052±0.011*        | 0.083±0.018    | 0.068±0.012    | 0.12±0.042      |
| Collagen                | 3.8±0.48       | 8.0±1.6             | 6.6±0.64       | 5.7±0.93       | 5.4±0.74        |
| Macrophages             | 0.96±0.11      | 1.6±0.17*           | 1.9±0.16***    | 0.70±0.13##,### | 0.72±0.13##,### |
| T cells                 | 56±21          | 140±35**            | 120±22*        | 61±11          | 57±9.6          |
| Lipids                  | 2.2±0.34       | 4.5±0.64**          | 3.5±0.30       | 1.4±0.32##     | 1.6±0.32##      |

Data represent means ± SEM (n=13 for baseline, n=13 for control progression, n=13 for AdA-I, n=12 for AdLDLr, and n=12 for AdA-I/AdLDLr). Smooth muscle cell (SMC), collagen, macrophage, and lipid data are expressed as relative area (percent of intimal area) or absolute area (×10⁴ µm²). T cell data are expressed relatively as the number of T cells per mm² intimal area or absolutely as the total number of T cells in the intimal area. All data were obtained by computer-assisted image analysis. Three sections were analyzed per mouse.

* p<0.05; ** p<0.01; *** p<0.001, versus baseline; * p<0.05; ** p<0.01; *** p<0.001, versus control progression; # p<0.05; ## p<0.01; ### p<0.001, versus AdA-I
Fig. 4 Histological composition of intimal lesions located at the aortic root of male C57BL/6 LDLr<sup>−/−</sup> mice of the baseline group, the control progression group, and the AdA-I, AdLDLr, and AdA-I/AdLDLr intervention groups. Representative photomicrographs show α-actin-positive smooth muscle cells, Sirius red-stained collagen, Sirius red-stained collagen viewed under polarized light, Mac-3-positive macrophages, CD3-positive T cells, Verhoeff–Van Gieson staining, and Verhoeff–Van Gieson-stained images digitally processed into monochrome, which were used for quantification of intimal lipid content. Scale bar represents 100 μm.
Gene expression profiling reveals changed expression of genes involved in lipid metabolism and reduced expression of inflammatory genes after AdLDLr or AdA-I/AdLDLr transfer.

To further explore the effects of lipoprotein modifying interventions on the molecular composition of atherosclerotic plaques, the expression of atherosclerosis-related genes in the aortic root and BCA of baseline mice, control progression mice, and mice treated with AdA-I, AdLDLr, and AdA-I/AdLDLr were analyzed using Mouse Atherosclerosis RT² Profiler PCR Arrays (Electronic supplementary materials (Table 1)). This analysis shows that the expression of several genes involved in lipid transport and metabolism was significantly affected by AdLDLr or AdA-I/AdLDLr gene transfer. Both in the aortic root and BCA, ATP-binding cassette transporter A1 (Abca1), macrophage scavenger receptor 1 (Msr1), and apolipoprotein E (Apoe) were downregulated following AdLDLr and AdA-I/AdLDLr transfer compared with baseline mice. Several inflammatory genes were down-regulated in AdLDLr and AdA-I/AdLDLr treated mice including the chemokines MCP-1 (Ccl2) and Gro-1 (Cxc11), the chemokine receptors CD191 (Ccr1) and CD192 (Ccr2), and the cytokines interleukin-1α (Il1a), interleukin-1β (Il1b), and interferon-γ (Ifng). Down-regulation of inflammatory genes was mostly more pronounced in the combined AdA-I/AdLDLr gene transfer group compared with the AdLDLr group, as was the case for Ccl2, Cxc11, Ccr1, and Il-1α (Electronic supplementary materials (Table 1)). In addition to inflammatory genes, the adhesion molecules intercellular adhesion molecule (Icam1), vascular cell adhesion molecule 1 (Vcam1), integrin αX (Itgax), integrin β2 (Itgb2), and p-selectin (Selp) were down-regulated after AdLDLr and AdA-I/AdLDLr transfer. Secreted phosphoprotein 1 (Spp1; also called osteopontin), which has an important role in plaque calcification, was also significantly down-regulated at the aortic root and BCA after AdLDLr and AdA-I/AdLDLr gene transfer (Electronic supplementary materials (Table 1)). AdA-I gene transfer alone did not have any major impact on gene expression in lesions.

LDL lowering gene transfer may be accompanied by a shift of macrophages toward a more favorable M2 phenotype. Please see “Results” in the Electronic supplementary materials.

Regression of atherosclerosis following AdLDLr and AdA-I/AdLDLr gene transfer is not accompanied by an up-regulation of the chemokine receptor CCR7 in atherosclerotic lesions. Please see “Results” in the Electronic supplementary materials.

Discussion

The main findings of the present study are that (1) a drastic reduction of non-HDL cholesterol levels following gene transfer with LDLr expressing E1E3E4-deleted adenoviral vectors in C57BL/6 LDLr−/− mice causes pronounced regression of advanced atherosclerotic lesions in the aortic root and brachiocephalic artery; (2) AdLDLr gene transfer promotes the stability of advanced atherosclerotic lesions as evidenced by an increase in collagen content, a decrease in lesion macrophage content, a decrease in necrotic core area, and a reduced expression of inflammatory genes; (3) increased HDL cholesterol following apo A-I gene transfer elevates collagen content in lesions, but does not induce regression; and (4) HDL raising gene transfer on top of AdLDLr transfer results in additive effects at the level of gene expression, particularly on inflammatory gene expression.

Promoting regression and stabilization of advanced atherosclerotic lesions is a major goal for decreasing morbidity and mortality from ischemic cardiovascular diseases [2]. In the current study, we studied lesions at two different topographic sites, namely in the aortic root, an elastic artery where lesions develop earliest in mice, and in the brachiocephalic artery [13], a muscular artery prone to rapid development of complex lesions. Feeding an atherogenic diet to male C57BL/6 LDLr−/− mice for a period of 6 months resulted at these two sites in the development of advanced lesions in the baseline group containing lipid-rich necrotic cores, cholesterol clefts, fibrous caps, and a foam cell infiltrate. In this study, we investigated the relative potential of LDL lowering and HDL raising interventions to induce regression and plaque stabilization. Gene transfer with AdLDLr, an E1E3E4-deleted adenoviral vector containing a potent hepatocyte-specific expression cassette [18], constitutes, to the best of our knowledge, the most potent lipid lowering intervention. Non-HDL cholesterol levels were reduced by more than 95%. A unique feature of the current study was that regression of advanced atherosclerotic lesions was investigated in an experimental protocol with maintenance of the atherogenic diet throughout the experiment. This is in sharp contrast with other regression studies in mice, rabbits, swine, and non-human primates in which lipid lowering was achieved via withdrawal of the dietary stimulus or in which lipoprotein modifying interventions were accompanied by dietary manipulations [4, 13–15]. Importantly, modification of the diet may affect atherosclerotic lesions independent of cholesterol lowering, rendering the interpretation of such studies complex. For example, withdrawal of saturated fat may have beneficial effects on atherosclerosis independent of lipoprotein cholesterol levels by decreasing selective cholesterol uptake in the arterial wall [21] and by reducing vascular inflammation [22]. Since AdLDLr gene transfer is a highly selective lipid lowering intervention, lipid lowering-independent effects did not contribute to regression in the current study. Atheroma volume was decreased...
by 28% and 25% in the aortic root and in the brachiocephalic artery, respectively, following AdLDLr gene transfer. To put these numbers into perspective, a comparison with atherosclerosis regression in humans is interesting. The median reduction of plaque volume in the ASTEROID trial was 6.8% following a 53% reduction of LDL cholesterol levels to 61 mg/dl induced by high-dose rosuvastatin therapy [3].

Besides the pronounced reduction of atheroma volume, AdLDLr and AdA-I/AdLDLr gene transfer were accompanied by important histological and molecular changes known to be associated with increased plaque stability [23]. Similarly as has been reported in rabbit lesions after dietary lipid lowering [23], intimal collagen content was significantly increased in both lipid lowering groups. Correspondingly, expression of interferon-γ, a T cell lymphokine known to reduce collagen biosynthesis by smooth muscle cells [24], was decreased. AdLDLr and AdA-I/AdLDLr gene transfer decreased lesional macrophage content, which was associated with a decreased intimal expression of Abca1, Apoe, and Msr1, all known to be expressed by macrophages [25–27]. Furthermore, apo B lowering gene transfer decreased intimal expression of cytokines, chemokines, chemokine receptors, and adhesion molecules. This indicates that inflammation in advanced atherosclerotic lesions can be reduced by lipid lowering itself. Other plaque stabilizing effects of apo B lowering gene transfer include a reduction of intimal expression of matrix metalloproteinase-3 (MMP-3 or stromelysin-1) and secreted phosphoprotein 1 (Spp1 or osteopontin), which is involved in weakening the connective tissue matrix [28] and in regulating plaque calcification [29], respectively.

Elevated HDL cholesterol following AdA-I gene transfer increased intimal collagen content compared with baseline mice but did not induce regression of atherosclerosis. Lesional macrophage content was reduced following AdA-I gene transfer in the aortic root but not in the brachiocephalic artery. Continued progression of advanced atherosclerotic lesions notwithstanding increased HDL cholesterol has also been observed in another murine model by Rong et al. [30]. In a recent study on the effect of HDL raising gene transfer on advanced atherosclerotic lesions in rabbits, we showed that increased HDL cholester following gene transfer resulted in an inhibition of progression of atherosclerosis and in a reduction of neutral lipid content in the intima compared with baseline [31]. The absence of similar effects of AdA-I gene transfer on advanced lesions in the current study may be related to the very high levels of pro-atherogenic lipoproteins (non-HDL cholesterol levels of approximately 650 mg/dl), which override the effects of increased HDL cholesterol. Furthermore, baseline HDL cholesterol levels in C57BL/6 LDLr−/− mice fed an atherogenic diet were significantly higher than in C57BL/6 apo E−/− mice [32] or in wild-type C57BL/6 mice [33] and consequently, the relative increase of HDL cholesterol following apo A-I gene transfer was less pronounced in the current study than observed in other models [32, 33].

Additive effects of raising HDL cholesterol levels in the setting of a drastic reduction of apo B containing lipoproteins were observed at the molecular level. The reduction of intimal expression of several inflammatory genes was significantly more pronounced in the AdA-I/AdLDLr group compared with the AdLDLr group, which is consistent with the anti-inflammatory effects of HDL. No major differences in cellular composition of atherosclerotic lesions were observed between the AdLDLr group and the AdA-I/AdLDLr group, particularly in the aortic root. Therefore, comparison of gene expression profiling results between these two groups highlights differences at the cellular level and not simply differences of cellularity of the plaque. The less pronounced increase of HDL cholesterol in the AdA-I/AdLDLr group compared with the AdA-I group may be partially explained by catabolism of apo E containing HDL particles via the LDL receptor in the AdA-I/AdLDLr group but is likely predominantly due to a reduced transfer of free cholesterol from LDL to HDL in the setting of low LDL cholesterol levels.

The current study represents a head to head comparison of LDL lowering and HDL raising gene transfer strategies using vectors with exactly the same transcriptional regulatory sequences. Nevertheless, the relative effect of human apo A-I transfer on HDL cholesterol levels was moderate compared with the drastic lowering of pro-atherogenic lipoproteins after AdLDLr gene transfer. A further limitation of the current study is that an increase of triglycerides occurred after AdA-I transfer. In contrast, no change of triglycerides was previously observed after human apo A-I transfer in C57BL/6 apo E−/− mice fed normal chow [34]. Therefore, the increase of triglycerides after human apo A-I transfer is model and diet dependent. Enrichment of lipoproteins with triglycerides could have hampered lesion regression.

In conclusion, drastic lowering of apo B containing lipoproteins following LDLr gene transfer in hypercholesterolemic mice results in a pronounced regression and a...
more stable phenotype of advanced atherosclerotic lesions. HDL raising gene transfer on top of AdLDLr transfer results in additive effects at the level of gene expression, particularly on inflammatory gene expression.
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