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Abstract: The exponential growth in the production of electric vehicles requires an increasing supply of low-cost, high-performance lithium-ion batteries. The increased production of lithium-ion batteries raises concerns over the availability of raw materials, especially cobalt for batteries with nickel-rich cathodes, in which these constraints can impact the high price of cobalt. The reliance on cobalt in these cathodes is worrisome because it is a high-cost, rare material, with an unstable supply chain. This review describes the need and feasibility of developing cobalt-free high-nickel cathode materials for lithium-ion batteries. The new type of cathode material, LiNi$_{1-x-y}$Mn$_x$Al$_y$O$_2$, promises a completely cobalt-free composition with almost the same electrochemical performance as that of the conventional high-nickel cathode. Therefore, this new type of cathode needs further research for its commercial applications.
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1. Introduction

The current global trend in the lithium-ion battery (LIB) industry is no longer monopolized by mobile gadgets and portable electronics applications but has undergone a significant shift toward the automotive industry, primarily due to transportation electrification. Lithium-ion batteries’ market share has been growing for hybrid and fully electric vehicles (EV) over the last decade, showing a relatively rapid rise, which is estimated to reach 20% [1]. The rapid growth of electric car sales is driven by several factors, including incentives from the government and an increase in supporting infrastructure, such as the number of charging stations. In addition, the factor of lowering production costs and mature technology from the LIB fabrication process also boosted the percentage of EV vehicles. Meanwhile, the market response to EV-LIB vehicles seems to include four aspects, namely (1) efforts to reduce battery production costs, which are almost 50% of all EV production costs, so that EVs can compete with conventional vehicles or internal combustion engines (ICE), (2) the single-charge distance factor of EVs, (3) safety, and (4) increased power [2]. This has prompted researchers and industries around the world to compete to develop batteries with a higher energy density but with lower production costs.

Cobalt is the main element of LIB oxide layered cathodes, LiCoO$_2$, since its commercialization in the 1990s by Sony in Japan and has become a successful product for powering electronic devices. On the other hand, the isostuctural compound, LiNiO$_2$ (LNO), which is composed of low-cost and abundant Ni, has a significant change in properties during insertion/delithiation [3–5]. The LiNiO$_2$ has several phases during delithiation, and the...
possibility of anion exchange with nickel makes maintaining its structural stability challenging [6,7]. Most work on LiNiO₂ in the past decade has been devoted to understanding the phase structural change by doping and substitution. Nevertheless, the commercialized layered-cathode today has its origin in LiCoO₂. Replacing Co with Ni is one of the most reasonable choices. Other elements, such as Al and Mg, have also been shown to be good candidates for replacing Co [8,9]. Thus, the ongoing research on Co-free or high-Ni cathode is fundamentally aligned with the study on the modification of LiNiO₂.

The two types of cathodes that are often used for the consumption of electric vehicles today are dominated first by LiNi₁₋ₓ₋₇Coₓ₋₇AlₓO₂, in which x + y < 0.2 is referred to as NCA, and second by LiNiₓMnxCo₂O₂, in which x + y + z = 1 is referred to as NMC [10]. It is expected that the level of commercialization and production of these cathode materials will continue to rise for LIB over the next few decades. One concern that arises is the scarcity of the required mining materials that may be caused by large-scale LIB production. It is estimated that almost 50–80% of the total LIB production costs are spent on the purchase of materials for the manufacture of electrodes, especially cathode materials [11,12]. Due to the huge demands and needs of the market, the LIB production cost process continues to decline substantially, the battery cell size fabrication changes to a larger size format, and the mature battery production technology does not reduce the supply costs for electrode raw materials [13].

Concerns arise about the supply chain for the source of cobalt raw materials needed for LIB production, especially for the high-energy LIB type that uses NCA and NMC cathode materials. A cobalt supply deficit is forecasted early in 2030 [14]. Cobalt is a rare metal that has an important role in LIB. In addition, cobalt is also an important component in the manufacturing process of catalysts, semiconductors, magnetic recording devices, and a variety of high-strength metal alloys. This causes cobalt to be scarce and requires high costs in the extraction process because most of the cobalt comes from a byproduct with a low concentration of nickel and copper mining. Because 50% of world mine production in 2018 originated from copper-cobalt ore in the Democratic Republic of the Congo (DRC), where geopolitical instability and harsh working conditions could halt cobalt exports, cobalt is considered the most vulnerable source of feedstock [14].

In addition, China is currently the major producer, supplier, and consumer of cobalt in the world. Figure 1 shows a tremendous increase in China’s import of ore and hydrometallurgy intermediate from the DRC since 2000 and 2005 [15]. China also expands its foreign ownership share for global mine production of cobalt from 11% to 33% of intermediate production capacity, including in the DRC. China is also predicted to have a deficit of cobalt supply by 2030 [16]. Cobalt production in China will be focused on meeting the needs of their domestic producers. The global dependence on cobalt can lead to high competition and conflicts. This will encourage the world to compete to produce cobalt-free cathodes for lithium-ion batteries [17].

Driven by the problem of cobalt supply, much research has been focused on reducing the amount of cobalt or completely removing Co from the composition to have a Co-free cathode. Current results, which are almost comparable with some commercial cathodes, are promising. This review describes the recent development of high-Ni and Co-free electrodes, which includes a discussion of the role of cobalt, strategies to reduce cobalt composition by doping, modification of the particle size, and synthesis engineering. The present work focuses on the significant development of the topics. The objective is to outline and fill the gap in the current development of Ni-rich cathode research. There are some existing reviews on these topics already, and this review is aimed to complement them [6,10].
2. The Role of Cobalt in LIBs

High-nickel cathodes are typically layered-mixed transition metal oxide structures and are frequently used to meet high-energy EV requirements. Among several NMC compositions, LiNi$_{1/3}$Mn$_{1/3}$Co$_{1/3}$O$_2$ (NMC111) has the highest electrochemical performance and safety [18]. The role of the Mn$^{4+}$ ion in this composition is passive and only helps to maintain thermal stability, while the Co$^{3+}$ ion helps increase the electronic conductivity and prevents interactions between Ni$^{2+}$ and Li$^+$ [19–22]. The addition of Co for the partial substitution of Ni in the NMC cathode is beneficial for obtaining facile electron transfers because of the overlap of O 2p orbitals with Co$^{3+/4+}$ redox pairs for enhancing the electronic conductivity and leading to enhanced battery capacity [23–25]. Cation mixing Li$^+$/Ni$^{2+}$ in the Li layer will result in the formation of inactive Li$_2$Ni$_8$O$_{10}$, which inhibits the Li diffusion process, causing low power capability [26]. The I$_{100}$/I$_{104}$ peak ratio (Figure 2a) shows a measure of the degree of cation mixing of Li$^+$/Ni$^{2+}$, in which a value higher than 1.2 indicates that the well-formed layered structure is more dominant than the small-degree cubic rock-salt Ni$^{2+}$O structure as a result of the cation mixing Li$^+$/Ni$^{2+}$ [27,28]. Partial Co substitution is very effective in preventing metal layer transitions due to cation mixing Li$^+$/Ni$^{2+}$. Multiphase transitions often occur in LNO-based materials, such as in the case of changes in “M + H2” and “H2 + H3” at voltages exceeding 4.0 V, which cause irreversible capacity [24]. Intensity peaks of the “M + H2” and “H2 + H3” transition are successfully reduced when Co is added (Figure 2b), which could be attributed to the partial replacement of the Ni$^{2+}$ by Co$^{3+/4+}$ to reduce the cation mixing Li$^+$/Ni$^{2+}$. However, there has been no detailed explanation of this mechanism until now, so it still needs further investigation. The battery capacity mainly depends on the redox pairs Ni$^{2+/3+}$ and Co$^{3+/4+}$ when operating at high voltages. Due to market demands related to the increased energy density and concerns about the dependence on cobalt, the next development, NMC111 was replaced by NMC622 LiNi$_{0.8}$Mn$_{0.2}$Co$_{0.2}$O$_2$ and NMC811 LiNi$_{0.8}$Mn$_{0.1}$Co$_{0.1}$O$_2$ with capacities of 180 mA h g$^{-1}$ and 200 mA h g$^{-1}$, respectively [29]. Consequently, the nickel content increases, and as a result, there is a decrease in the cycle ability and thermal stability [18]. In addition, the de-lithiation process induces a drastic change in the volume of the crystal lattice which results in the cracking of the particles of the active material [30]. Moreover, the increase in the nickel composition of the NMC cathode will cause increased sensitivity to moisture, more mixing of Li$^+$/Ni$^{2+}$ cations, side reactions from electrolytes due to the presence of Ni$^{4+}$, and phase changes from spinel to rock salt structures due to the evolution of oxygen, especially at high temperatures and high voltages [29–31].

Figure 1. Percentage of cobalt content imported from the Democratic Republic of the Congo. HMI is referred to as hydrometallurgy intermediate [15].
As seen in the composition of the NMC, cobalt, which substitutes nickel, has an effective role in stabilizing Ni²⁺ ions in the structure of the layered-transition metal, thereby reducing the level of mixing between Li⁺/Ni²⁺ cations [33]. However, there is one recent study that questioned whether the role of cobalt is really irreplaceable by other metals to have the same effect [8]. Recent research conducted by Li et al. has confused the previous theory regarding the effect of cobalt in nickel-rich layered-transition metal cathodes by systematically studying various compositions of cobalt replacement cations in the LiNi1-xMxO2 composition (M = Al, Co, Mg, or Mn; x = 0.05 or 0.1). As previously stated, the role of Co is to reduce the mixing level of Li⁺/Ni²⁺ cations, but the XRD results of this study (Figure 2c,d) show that for Mn-free materials, Co does not significantly contribute to the reduction of the mixing of Li⁺/Ni²⁺ cations. This is evidenced in the increase in the percentage of Ni atoms as the percentage of Mn atoms increases (Figure 2e), which is expected because more Mn⁴⁺ will be compensated by more Ni²⁺ ions. The Al and Mg series without Co shows low mixing of Li⁺/Ni²⁺ cation. Figure 2f shows that as the dopant content rises, the irreversible capacity rises with it.

Furthermore, this study reported that LiNi0.95Mg0.05O2 yielded a lower initial discharge capacity of 205 mAh g⁻¹ at a current density of 10 mA g⁻¹, when compared to LiNi0.95Mn0.05O2 of 219 mAh g⁻¹ and LiNi0.95Al0.05O2 of 223 mAh g⁻¹ as shown in Figure 3a. This is attributed to the presence of Mg, which causes the position of the Li atom to share space with the upper and lower layers, i.e., two Li atoms become inactive due to the presence of one Mg atom, weakening the attraction between the layers, which causes phase changes. This certainly affects the reversible capacity of the cathode. This research also reported no real increase in the structural stability during the cycle of LiNi0.95Co0.05O2 when compared to all tested samples. The initial discharge capacity and cycle stability of LiNi0.95Al0.05O2 are similar to that of NCA, with capacity retention of 95% of the initial capacity after 50 cycles and a current density of 10 mA g⁻¹. Meanwhile, LiNi0.95Mg0.05O2 has better capacity retention of 97% after 50 cycles but with a lower initial specific discharge. This suggests that cobalt does not contribute to capacity retention in the long term.
In addition, this study shows that cobalt substitution (LiNi₀.₉₅Co₀.₀₅O₂) does not improve the thermal stability compared to LiNi₀.₉₅Mg₀.₀₅O₂, LiNi₀.₉₅Al₀.₀₅O₂, and LiNi₀.₉₅Mn₀.₀₅O₂ with a self-heating rate >20 °C min⁻¹ at 160 °C. On the other hand, Al and Mg show a significant contribution with self-heating rate <1 °C min⁻¹ for the range of 120–240 °C (Figure 3b). Here, it can be seen that the contribution of Co is not significant in reducing the self-heating rate of the LNO-based cathode. Even in some other results, the addition of Co shows an increase in the internal heat when fully charged [34–36]. Therefore, this research report questions the role of cobalt in the Ni-rich layered-transition metal oxide material by comparing its electrochemical performance with other metal dopants.

The discussion of promising high-Ni NMC-layered material has been outlined, mainly reducing the cost, increasing the capacity, and reducing the risk on the supply of cobalt. However, the cathode lifetime is limited because of its structural degradation on the sub-surface of high-Ni NMC. The degradations change the interfaces and properties. It is widely known that higher cut-off potential and elevated temperature are responsible for the degradation of the high-Ni NMC [37–40]. It has been reported that in in situ XRD experiments with a slow enough rate of C/50, the lattice parameter of LiNi₀.₈₃Mn₀.₁Co₀.₁O₂ (NMC811) appears to have a non-monotonic dependence on the Li content, and the lattice changes thus become highly anisotropic [41]. A comparative study conducted by Kondakov et al. [38] indicates that the volume changes in NMC811 are more significant than those of LiNi₁/₃Mn₁/₃Co₁/₃O₂ (NMC111) at a potential between 4.0 and 4.3 V. The temperature of the battery comprised with high-Ni NMC should be closely monitored because it is directly related to the safety of the battery operation. At a high SOC, the spontaneous reduction of Ni⁴⁺ to Ni²⁺ during heating accompanied by the release of oxygen causes the destruction of the original structure [18,42,43]. Nevertheless, the current research shows that intrinsic degradation leads to subsurface structural changes and some deposited products presented in Figure 4a. The structural degradation of the layered structure becoming a rock-salt structure on the surface leads to a limited diffusion for Li⁺. Other degradation mechanisms include metals dissolution, oxygen release, and surface reaction with electrolyte, leading to a release of CO/CO₂ [44]. In many cases, the dissolution of the transition metals (TMs) from the bulk diffuses into the anode and thickens the SEI on the anode, as shown in Figure 4b. In addition to the degradation that originated from the cathode, the degradation can also occur from the trace of water. Water traces react with the electrolyte, LiPF₆, and form HF. This leads to the acid attack of TMs by the HF [45].
3. Strategy to Reduce Cobalt in LIBs

3.1. Doping with Other Transition Metals

Various types of cations have been studied as alternatives to cobalt in Ni-rich cathodes over the past few years using Mg$^{2+}$, Al$^{3+}$, Fe$^{3+}$, and Na$^+$ cations, which have shown significant progress in electrochemical performance and safety factors [48–50]. In addition, significant results have been achieved to understand the relationship between the cathode dopants, thermal stability, and reactivity with electrolytes. In the case of thermal stability, under ambient conditions, Ni-rich cathodes in their charged states are metastable. Because of the highly effective oxygen partial pressure, they begin to break down and emit O$_2$ at high temperatures (>200 °C). The emitted O$_2$ can then react with the organic solvent, resulting in a thermal runaway.

It was reported that the thermal stability could be improved in LiNi$_{x}$M$_{1-x}$O$_2$ by substituting the dopant Mn, Mg, Al, or Co in which $x = 0$ or 0.05 (Figure 5) [51]. Al- and Mg-doped LNO shows the highest stability to the thermal decomposition among other samples. The decomposition is expected to have two steps; namely, the first step corresponds to the phase transformation from a layered-material to a spinel structure followed by oxygen evolution around 200 °C, and the second step is related to the formation of the rock-salt phase with more evolution of oxygen from the structure at elevated temperature [52,53]. Interestingly, Al-doped LNO shows that the second step is delayed due to a stronger bond of Al$^{3+}$ with oxygen. This could suppress the cation migration for the phase transformation with increasing temperature [54]. In addition, the dopant effect can also increase the Li content at a higher charge voltage of 4.4 V versus Li$^+/Li$, which reduces the evolution of oxygen and makes the structure more stable. The effect of dopants also shows that the reduction of side reactions with electrolytes causes a much higher thermal stability (220 °C) compared to that without dopants in LiNi$_{0.95}$Co$_{0.05}$O$_2$. 

**Figure 4.** (a) Structure of the Ni-rich NMC electrode-electrolyte interface formed after several cycles showing several Li-based compounds and TM-based compounds [46] and (b) the dissolved TMs enriched the SEI on the anode surface [47].
Another study reported the effect of Mn dopant on the electrochemical performance of LiNi_{0.9}Mn_{0.1}O_2, which is the development of the most studied cobalt-free nickel-rich cathode material, LiNi_{0.5}Mn_{0.5}O_2 [55]. LiNi_{0.8}Mn_{0.2}O_2 shows an increase in the capacity stability with an initial discharge capacity of 227 mAh g\(^{-1}\) at 0.1 C as a function of Mn, which can maintain its discharge capacity up to 93\% after 100 cycles. This is related to the role of the inactive Mn\(^{4+}\) ion, which can stabilize the structure during the delithiation process, as evidenced by the change in the redox potential associated with the H2 \(\rightarrow\) H3 phase transition. The broadening of the dQ/dV peaks indicates that there has been a change in the volumetric lattice, which allows the inhomogeneous strain relaxation in the crystal lattice. In addition, the fracture strength of the LiNi_{0.9}Mn_{0.1}O_2 particles was 25\% higher than that to LiNi_{0.9}Mn_{0.05}Co_{0.05}O_2 (140 MPa). These two mechanisms demonstrate the important role of Mn in improving the cycle stability in LiNiO_2-based materials. Although the addition of Mn improves the electrochemical stability, Mn dissolution is a serious problem in Mn-based cathode materials for LIBs, mainly at high voltages. It is reported that Li_2Mn_{1-x}Ti_xO_2F (0 \leq x \leq 2/3) material [56], despite the absence of severe delithiation, demonstrates a significant loss of capacity after continuous cycling at high voltage due to the dissolution of Mn ions into the electrolyte. The electrochemical performance of LiNi_{0.8}Mg_{0.2}O_2 was also investigated and obtained specific discharge capacity of 185 mAh g\(^{-1}\) at 0.1 mA cm\(^{-2}\) but was only stable for 25 cycles [57]. The specific discharge capacity of LiNi_{0.8}Al_{0.2}O_2 is observed at about 150 mAh g\(^{-1}\) at 0.17 mA cm\(^{-2}\) and generates the formation of an insulating material when fully charged [58]. Among Mn\(^{2+}\), Mg\(^{2+}\), Al\(^{3+}\), or Co-doped LNO materials, Li[Ni_{0.9}Co_{0.1}]O_2 delivers the highest specific discharge capacity of 233 mAh g\(^{-1}\) at 0.1 C. However, it experiences severe capacity fading of 77\% [59]. This is attributed to a developed microcrack on an entire particle when it is charged to 4.3 V, exposing the internal particle to electrolyte attack.

3.2. Particles and Synthesis Engineering

A large number of studies [51,55,60] has been carried out over the past few years in an effort to improve the electrochemical performance of the cathode material of high-nickel composition LiNi_{1-x}M_xO_2 (M = Mn, Al, and/or Co) so that dependence on cobalt can be reduced. Nickel-rich cathodes, such as NMC811 and NCA, are one step forward to
developing LiNiO₂ (LNO)-based cathode materials that are completely cobalt-free. This is because the LNO has a high energy density in line with the target of LIB performance for EVs in the future. However, thermal instability [54,61] and structural decomposition significantly decrease the electrochemical performance of LNO-based materials [33,62]. This is the main barrier for the commercial application of this type of cathode material for modern LIB applications. Mixing Li⁺/Ni²⁺ cation during the charge-discharge process is one of the main obstacles of Ni-rich cathode, inducing the local formation of inactive rock-salt phases that can worsen the battery performance during the charge-discharge process.

One strategy for overcoming this challenge is to synthesize NMC at the micron scale of single crystal particles [63–66]. Compared with the polycrystalline NMC, which consists of agglomerated particles measuring 10 to 15 μm, the single crystal morphology has the fewest grain boundaries, thereby reducing particle cracking and side effects with electrolytes (Figure 6a,b) [67]. A single crystal does not have grain boundaries inside one particle, thus providing a continuous Li⁺ pathway. In contrast, Li⁺ needs to pass through many grain boundaries in the polycrystalline, as seen in Figure 6c,d. It was also reported that the single-crystal Li(Ni₀.₅Mn₀.₃Co₀.₂)O₂ applied as a cathode material for all-solid-state lithium-ion batteries exhibits 6–14 times higher Li⁺ diffusion coefficient than polycrystalline Li(Ni₀.₅Mn₀.₃Co₀.₂)O₂ [68]. The single crystal LiNi₀.₅Co₀.₂Mn₀.₃O₂ with uniform size distribution and a polyhedron single-crystal structure using glucose and urea in one pot is successfully synthesized by a hydrothermal process which shows a promising initial electrochemical performance [69]. The single-crystal NMC523 shows a highly ordered hexagonal arrangement in a–b plane and a minimized antisite mixing of Ni²⁺/Li⁺.

Figure 6. SEM images of single-crystalline and polycrystalline structure of NMC (a,b) [67], and illustration of the Li⁺ transport in single and polycrystalline (c,d) [68].

The next strategy is to design and engineer nanoparticle structures for cobalt-free cathodes that have been carried out in several research activities in recent years [70–75].
The core-shell structure for Ni-rich NMC materials, in which the core contains more Ni while the shell is richer in Mn, was previously investigated. This strategy can reduce volume expansion and protect the core from electrolyte reactions [76–78]. It is reported that the LiNiO2 core with the LiNi0.83Mg0.17O2 shell is capable of providing an initial discharge capacity of 194 mAh g⁻¹ and 230 mAh g⁻¹ for the LiNi0.83Al0.17O2 shell and LiNi0.83Mn0.17O2 shell at 0.2 C. In addition, cathodes with the LNO-LiNi0.83Mg0.17O2 and LNO-LiNi0.83Mn0.17O2 core-shell structures were able to maintain 94 and 92% of their initial capacity, respectively, after 55 cycles, while the LNO-LiNi0.83Al0.17O2 electrodes maintained 93% of the initial capacity after 55 cycles at 0.2 C. Although the capacity obtained is quite high, the metal dopant diffuses from the shell to the core structure during the sintering process, indicating that the core-shell structure was not fully successful. However, the report shows promising results and offers a chance for process improvement by utilizing a more optimal synthesis pathway.

It was also reported that Wang et al. succeeded in synthesizing Li-rich Li1.2Mn0.6Ni0.2O2 with a morphology of a porous nanoflake-shaped structure through the sol-gel method with the aid of resorcinol-formaldehyde [79]. The porous nanoflake structure has a specific surface area of 6.9 m² g⁻¹, which is higher than that of the spherical structure which has a surface area of only 1.5 m² g⁻¹ [80]. The high surface area facilitates the diffusion of lithium, resulting in increased rate performance, with discharge capacities of 273 mAh g⁻¹ at 0.1 C and 196 mAh g⁻¹ at 2 C from 2.0–4.8 V versus Li⁺/Li. This structure form usually causes a decrease in electrochemical stability because the high surface area promotes an increase in the SEI formation process and a high Li consumption rate. However, the reported results showed that the capacity obtained was relatively stable with a retention of 93% after 150 cycles at 2 C, which indicated that the material stability was not significantly affected due to morphological modification.

Further development for the cycle enhancement of cobalt-free cathode materials is done by synthesizing the Li-rich layered oxide material with type O2 oxides which can suppress H2 → H3 phase transitions [81]. The synthesis method used is unique to prepare this material, namely by the ionic exchange process of Na⁺ with Li⁺ in the form of the compound P2 Na0.83 Li0.19Mn0.73Ni0.08O2 in a liquid salt solution, resulting in material rich in LiO2-Li1.19–yMn0.73Ni0.08O2. This material achieved an initial discharge capacity of 240 mAh g⁻¹ at 0.05 C. The material also showed excellent capacity retention compared to O3-type materials, which was able to maintain more than 90% of the initial capacity after 50 cycles. These results suggest that the modification of the crystal structure through this synthesis procedure can be one of the keys to developing the next generation of cobalt-free cathode materials.

Modifications to the particle surface and coating techniques have also been investigated as solutions to improve the stability and performance of cobalt-free cathodes [82–89]. In general, the coating functions as a barrier and protection between the electrolytes and active ingredients, reducing the influence of acidity from electrolytes and substantially limiting the dissolving metal ions from the cathode during the cycle process [90–92]. It was reported that thiocetamide (TA)-supported ZrO2 coating on the surface of the Ni-rich layered oxide LiNi0.82Mg0.09Co0.09O2 (NMC82) has an enhanced rate capability of 116.8 mAh g⁻¹ at 3.0 C [93]. The dual Al-Zr incorporated Ni-rich cathode was a self-formed interfacial protective layer that effectively reduces the formation of cation mixing and the generation of microcracks [94]. One of the most significant results of a recent study was presented by Deng et al., who designed the SEI layer to be rich in the F-B element in LiNiO2 by adding a small amount of difluoro (oxalato) borate (LiDFOB) in the electrolyte, as shown in Figure 7 [95]. The obtained result is a strong and compact SEI layer that is able to reduce the release of Ni and prevent unwanted phase transformations, namely the NiO rock-salt phase by protecting the surface of the active material from reacting with by-products resulting from electrolyte oxidation at high voltage. This material shows a high initial discharge capacity of 216 mAh g⁻¹ with capacity stability of 94% after 100 cycles.
In comparison, LNO without the additive LiDFOB was only able to maintain 18% of the initial capacity after 100 cycles.

Figure 7. In situ formation of F and B-rich SEI layer on cobalt-free LiNiO$_2$ and long-term cycling ability and Coulombic efficiency over 400 cycles at 0.5 C. [95].

A recent investigation has reported a new type of material for cobalt-free high-nickel cathodes, namely LiNi$_{1-x-y}$Mn$_x$Al$_y$O$_2$ (NMA), which has been synthesized successfully with overall superior electrochemical performance compared to NMC and NCA for lithium batteries [96]. In this report, LiNi$_{0.883}$Mn$_{0.056}$Al$_{0.061}$O$_2$ (NMA-89) has a comparable specific discharge capacity of 216 mAh g$^{-1}$ with LiNi$_{0.890}$Mn$_{0.055}$Co$_{0.055}$O$_2$ (NMC-89) and LiNi$_{0.883}$Co$_{0.053}$Al$_{0.064}$O$_2$ (NCA-89) (226 mAh g$^{-1}$ and 220 mAh g$^{-1}$) as shown in Figure 8a. NMA-89 also shows a higher operating voltage, about 40 mV, than NMC-89 (Figure 8b). Figure 8c shows that the rate capability of NMA-89 is very similar to that of NMC-89 and NCA-89. The capacity retention of NMA-89 after 100 cycles at a C/3 rate (90%) is comparable to NMC-89 (91%) and even with NCA-89 (88%) as seen in inset Figure 8a.

Figure 8. Electrochemical performance of NMA89 compared with NMC89, NCA89 and NCMAM89. (a) Galvanostatic charge-discharge profile curves at 0.1 C, (b) dQ/dN curves, and (c) rate capability performance. Image is adapted with permission from authors [96]. Reproduced from Advanced Materials, the name of the publisher: John Wiley and Sons.
NMA with various compositions, namely, LiNi_{0.90}Mn_{0.13}Al_{0.07}O_2, LiNi_{0.85}Mn_{0.09}Al_{0.04}O_2, LiNi_{0.88}Mn_{0.07}Al_{0.05}O_2, LiNi_{0.90}Mn_{0.06}Al_{0.04}O_2, and LiNi_{0.92}Mn_{0.05}Al_{0.03}O_2 can be engineered to meet various electrochemical performance targets. In terms of the scalability of the synthesis, the coprecipitation of Al is more complex than Ni, Co, and Mn. Stabilization techniques using the doping method approach and surface passivation of particles were also carried out in NMAs. However, there is still much that needs to be studied regarding the electrochemical characterization, such as understanding the benefits and disadvantages of Mn-Al substitution in Ni-rich layered oxides in the absence of Co, in industrial-scale cell configurations, and in various operating conditions. Furthermore, the moisture sensitivity of NMA with high Ni content should also be anticipated as in NMC and NCA, and of course, more analysis of the phenomena during the cycle must be considered.

Table 1 shows a summary of Ni-rich Co-less cathode materials that have been developed and synthesized by many researchers through coating and surface modification, nanostructured morphology, and effective doping. Generally, all Ni-rich Co-less cathode materials exhibit cycling stability and high energy density. The interphase stability between the electrode and electrolyte is enhanced through the surface and structural modification. Gradually decreasing the Ni concentration from the inner core to the outer layer is beneficial for improving capacity fading.

### Table 1. Summary of the Ni-rich Co-less cathode materials and their electrochemical performance.

| Materials System | Modification/Treatment | Voltage (V) | Capacity (mAh g\(^{-1}\)) | Capacity Retention | Heat Generation (J g\(^{-1}\)) | Refs. |
|------------------|------------------------|------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|-------|
| LiNi_{0.985}Co_{0.015}O_2 | Multi-doped (Ga, B) | 2.7–4.3 | 222 | 91.7% at 0.5 C after 100 cycles | - | [99] |
| LiNi_{0.886}Co_{0.049}Mn_{0.050}Al_{0.015}O_2 | Co-rich particle surface | 2.7–4.3 | 222 | 90.0% at 0.5 C after 100 cycles | 1409 | [98] |
| LiNi_{0.89}Co_{0.05}Mn_{0.05}O_2 | Spherical core-shell structure | 3.0–4.3 | 180 | 100% at 0.5 C after 100 cycles | - | [102] |
| LiNi_{0.84Co_{0.06}Mn_{0.09}Al_{0.01}O_2 | Hybrid cathode with core-shell structure | 2.7–4.3 | 215 | 96.1% at 0.5 C after 100 cycles | - | [102] |
| LiNi_{0.9Mn_{0.1}O_2 | Hybrid cathode | 2.7–4.3 | 226 | 90.0% at 0.5 C after 100 cycles | - | [103] |
| LiNi_{0.885}Co_{0.100}Al_{0.015}O_2 | Hybrid cathode | 2.7–4.3 | 247.5 | 93.5% at 0.5 C after 150 cycles | 1384 | [104] |
| LiNi_{0.9Co_{0.05}Mn_{0.05}O_2 | Hybrid cathode | 2.7–4.3 | 247.5 | 93.5% at 0.5 C after 150 cycles | 1235 | [106] |
| LiNi_{0.99}W_{0.01}O_2 | Tungsten-doped | 2.7–4.3 | 236.1 | 93.5% at 0.5 C after 150 cycles | 1235 | [106] |
| LiNi_{0.98}W_{0.02}O_2 | Tungsten-doped | 2.7–4.3 | 242.7 | 90.3% at 0.5 C after 150 cycles | 1309 | [106] |
| LiNi_{0.85}Co_{0.05}Mn_{0.1}O_2 | Hybrid cathode | 2.7–4.3 | 228.7 | 96.1% at 0.5 C after 100 cycles | - | [107] |
| LiNiO_2 | Hybrid cathode | 2.7–4.3 | 247.5 | 93.5% at 0.5 C after 150 cycles | 794.6 | [105] |

### 4. Conclusions and Perspectives

Until now, LiCoO\(_2\) has been the main option for LIBs since the introduction by Goodenough et al. in 1980, followed by the commercialization of LIBs by SONY. Although LiCoO\(_2\) offers benefits in terms of high theoretical specific capacity, high discharge voltage, low self-discharge, and superior cyclability, the high processing cost of raw cobalt and severe capacity fading at high current loads remain a challenge. With the expansion of the automotive industry focusing on the development of EVs, the concern to reduce the cobalt utilization in the cathode is taken into consideration by researchers. The demand for high-density energy from LIBs has led to several studies on Ni-rich layered-transition metal oxides of LiNiO\(_2\)-based materials. Various strategies have been reported to enhance the electrochemical properties of Ni-rich LiNiO\(_2\)-based cathodes as an alternative to LiCoO\(_2\), including cation doping, structural design, surface modification, and coating. Potential problems that arise in cobalt-free Ni-rich cathodes based on LiNiO\(_2\) are related to cycle stability, which is closely related to the volume expansion factor, the fragility of particles due to high Li\(^+\) content, mixing of Ni\(^{2+}\)/Li\(^+\) cations, increased electrolyte oxidation, and thermal stability. By preventing phase transformation and particle breakdown, partial substitution of Co atoms with Mn, Al, and Mg atoms improves thermal stability and cycle stability. In fact, Mn doping on LiNiO\(_2\) does not affect the initial discharge capacity but results in an increased degree of mixing of Ni\(^{2+}\)/Li\(^+\) cations. Conversely, Al and Mg doping can help suppress the mixing of Ni\(^{2+}\)/Li\(^+\) cations but at the sacrifice of the initial discharge capacity. For this reason, the best strategy to achieve optimal performance is to combine the cation doping method with morphological control and a coating method that increases the
conductivity between particles while providing a barrier against electrolyte side reactions in the form of a protective layer and controlling the oxide crystal structure to reduce phase transformation. Research in this direction is expected to be able to provide solutions for the short term so that the commercialization of cobalt-free high-Ni cathodes will soon be realized.
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