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Abstract

**Background:** Feeding is the cornerstone in the management of sick or preterm neonates and early initiation of breastfeeding is the single most effective intervention associated with reduced neonatal mortality. Alternative routes for early breast milk administration are either oropharyngeal colostrum or minimal enteral nutrition. However, enteral feeding is often delayed due to haemodynamic instability and the perceived risk of necrotizing enterocolitis (NEC). One strategy to overcome delays in enteral feeds is the implementation of standardized feeding guidelines.

**Methods:** This study was conducted in three regional hospitals in Dar es Salaam, consisting of a historical control group at baseline and an intervention group after implementation of co-created and locally adapted feeding guidelines. Neonates were consecutively recruited and followed to a maximum of 28 days of life. The controls received routine standard care, while the intervention group received early enteral feeding. The outcomes measured were NEC, time to regain birth weight and neonatal mortality. Odds ratio was used to determine the association between feeding and outcomes, statistical significance was considered when p-value ≤ 0.05.

**Results:** 292 neonates were enrolled in this study, 163 (55.8%) controls at baseline and 129 (44.2%) after the intervention. Study participants comprised of 130 (44.5%) neonates with very low birth weight and 162 (55.5%) with hypoxic ischemic encephalopathy. The mean age of initiating feeding was 45.34 ± 21.58 SD hours amongst the controls and was significantly reduced to 8.43 ± 3.02 SD hours in the intervention group. Overall, 1.4% (4 cases) of the neonates were diagnosed with NEC, 1.8% (3 cases) in the control group and 0.8 % (1 case) in the intervention group (P=0.4; 95% CI: 0.25-23.3). The mean duration of regaining birth weight was significantly reduced from 11.26 ± 4.34 SD days among controls to 8.25 ± 2.96 SD days in the intervention group (p=0.000; 95% CI: 1.61 - 4.41, n = 110). Mortality in these high-risk groups of neonates remained high (40.8%, n = 119), without significant difference between the control (41.7%) and intervention group (39.5%).

**Conclusions:** After implementation of co-created and contextualized feeding guidelines the mean duration of initiating mother’s milk was significantly reduced. Neonates in the intervention group who received early breast milk feeding significantly regained birth weight earlier. However, the study was underpowered to detect a small difference in important outcomes, including NEC and mortality. A randomized study with a large sample size and high power is recommended to further confirm the safety of early provision of mother’s milk among high-risk neonates in a low resource setting.

**Trial registration:** The study was registered on October 3, 2018 to the Pan African Clinical Trial Registry (www.pactr.org) under the identification number PACTR201810856025709.

**Background**

Despite remarkable success in the reduction of child mortality over the last decades, neonatal mortality rates have remained high, especially in low-income countries. Most of these deaths are preventable by
known, evidence-based interventions, which need to be implemented at scale [1-3]. Feeding is a
cornerstone in the management of sick or preterm neonates admitted to a neonatal care unit [4] and early
initiation of breastfeeding is one of the most cost-effective interventions associated with reduced
neonatal mortality [5 - 7]. This effect is largely caused by reducing the risk of infectious diseases [7].
During the neonatal period the nutritional demand is high due to rapid growth and brain development.
Adequate nutrition improves growth and neurodevelopmental outcome [8].

Early enteral feeding provides a neonate with colostrum, mother’s first milk, which is rich in immune-
protective and growth factors. It also promotes gut maturation, intestinal enzymes and hormonal
responses [9 - 11]. Furthermore, previous studies have demonstrated that delayed initiation of enteral
feeds leads to significant postnatal growth failure and adverse neonatal outcomes, especially in low
resource settings where total parenteral nutrition for neonates is not readily available [12 - 14].

The World Health Organization (WHO) and others recommend the early initiation of breastfeeding within
the first hour of life, which is a highly effective intervention [7]. However, there is a wide variety of enteral
feeding practices for sick and preterm infants since universal feeding guidelines for these high-risk
neonates are non-existent [15, 16]. Enteral feeding is often delayed in settings without intensive care
facilities due to haemodynamic instability and the perceived risk of feeding intolerance or NEC, an
inflammatory intestinal disorder of newborn infants, primarily affecting premature neonates, associated
with high morbidity and mortality. It is characterized by acute intestinal inflammation and necrosis
presenting with typical radiographic signs [17]. This delay in enteral feeding is contrary to findings from
several studies which have shown that early introduction of mother’s milk is not associated with an
increased risk of NEC [18, 19]. For critically ill neonates or very low birth weight (VLBW) infants, there are
alternative routes of administrating mother’s milk, either by oropharyngeal colostrum (OPC) or minimal
enteral nutrition (MEN). OPC is defined as instilling a small volume (0.1 – 0.5 ml) of colostrum directly
onto the buccal mucosa of each cheek of preterm or very sick infants during the early neonatal period
before enteral feeding is started and MEN is the practice of feeding small volume of enteral feeds in order
to stimulate the development of the immature gastrointestinal tract [10 – 12, 20 - 22].

The co-creation and implementation of standardized and locally adapted feeding guidelines is one
strategy to overcome the delay in enteral feeds, which has demonstrated improved nutritional outcomes
[23 - 24].

The Maternal and Newborn Healthcare Capacity Building Team (MHCB) of the local non-governmental
organization Comprehensive Community Based Rehabilitation in Tanzania (CCBRT) in Dar es Salaam is
supporting several public hospitals and health centers in the region by providing regular training and
mentoring to the medical staff. In order to address neonatal mortality MHCB aims to introduce low cost
and low technology strategies to improve neonatal care. We noted a delay in initiation of breast milk
feeding for critically ill neonates and VLBW in neonatal units in Dar es Salaam region. In collaboration
with Muhimbili University for Health and Allied Sciences (MUHAS) and neonatal health care providers, we
co-created standardized guidelines and provided training for early breast milk feeding of sick and preterm
It was envisioned that through the training and use of standardized feeding guidelines, we could gather scientific evidence of the effect of early feeding of sick and preterm neonates and to dispel the reservations to early neonatal feeding amongst health care personnel in the regional hospitals.

Methods

**Study design and setting:** This was a hospital-based, non-blinded, quasi-experimental study using a historical control group and an intervention group which was conducted at Amana, Mwananyamala and Temeke Hospitals, which are the three government owned regional referral hospitals within the urban setting of Dar es Salaam City, Tanzania. Each of these hospitals has a designated neonatal care unit admitting between 2800 and 4400 neonates every year. All lower public health facilities of the region refer their sick neonates to one of these three regional referral hospitals. Muhimbili National Hospital, which is also located in Dar es Salaam City, serves as the national referral centre for the regional referral hospitals. Dar es Salaam is the commercial center of the country with nearly 6 million population and about 130,000 – 140,000 deliveries per year, of which 80-85% occur in health facilities.

**Project implementation:** A baseline survey of the historical control group was carried out from October to December 2018 using a structured questionnaire to collect demographic data of enrolled neonates and their mothers and to determine the time until critically ill neonates receive their first administration of mother’s milk, regain their birth weight and duration of hospital stay. At the same time the proportion of NEC and mortality as well as feeding related adverse effects (bradycardia, apnea, desaturation and aspiration while feeding) were monitored and documented.

While baseline data were being collected, a two-day workshop with the research team and the paediatricians responsible for the neonatal wards was conducted to co-create locally adapted standardized feeding guidelines for very sick and preterm neonates (see annex 1). A consensus was reached after review of the current international literature and available feeding protocols from other centres. In a second workshop the experienced local neonatal nursing staff created contextualised standard operating procedures (SOPs) on breastfeeding counselling, expressing breast milk, inserting a nasogastric tube (NGT) and feeding by cup or NGT, which were translated into Kiswahili. Existing international literature and guidelines including the recommendations of the WHO and UNICEF formed the basis of these SOPs.

After implementation of the new feeding guidelines and SOPs another period of 3 months data collection was conducted from February 2019 to April 2019 using the same data tool to obtain the corresponding data amongst the intervention group.

**Study subjects:** The study recruited neonates with VLBW and moderate to severe hypoxic ischemic encephalopathy (HIE) admitted between October 2018 and April 2019. Neonates who were admitted > 24hours of life, born to HIV positive mothers who opted not to breastfeed, with features suggesting gastrointestinal malformations and those whose mothers declined consent, were excluded from the study. In a study done by Abdelmaaboud et al [25] in Qatar from 2009 to 2011 the proportion of NEC
among neonates with delayed feeding was 12.9%. Using the power of 80%, at 95% confidence level with the assumption that introduction of early feeding will reduce the proportion of NEC by 80% (from 12.9% to 2.6%), the estimated sample size was 292. All eligible neonates were enrolled consecutively on admission until the sample size was reached.

**Data collection methods and instruments:** A pre-tested structured questionnaire was used by research assistants to collect participant’s information including socio-demographic characteristics, feeding pattern, adverse events and neonatal outcomes. Dependent variables assessed were NEC as a primary outcome, neonatal mortality, time to regain birth weight and duration of hospital stay as secondary outcomes; while time to initiation of feeding was the independent variable.

The research assistants received a 2-day training on data collection and clinical aspects of neonatal care.

Study participants were enrolled during admission according to the inclusion criteria of birth weight 1000 - 1500 g for VLBW and Thompson HIE score >10 for neonates with moderate to severe birth asphyxia. Body weight, gestational age (determined by Ballard score), HIE score and vital signs were recorded (see annex 2). Neonates in the historical control group started feeding after stabilization based on the decision of the attending paediatrician.

Study participants enrolled in the intervention group started early breast milk administration within 24 hours either by the means of OPC or MEN according to the newly implemented feeding guidelines as decided by the attending paediatrician. OPC was administered by giving 0.2 ml in each cheek using a 2 ml syringe. MEN was started with 10 ml/kg/day through NGT within the first 24 hours and advanced at the rate of 20 ml/kg/day from the second day of life until reaching full enteral feeding of 150 ml/kg/day. Body weight was recorded every morning by the research assistants using identical weighing scales (Seca digital scale) in all three facilities.

If a neonate presented with abdominal distension, bilious vomiting and bloody diarrhea a plain abdominal x-ray was done to establish the occurrence of NEC which was diagnosed both clinically and radiologically using Bell’s criteria whereby stage 2B was regarded as significant. A neonate with confirmed NEC was managed by the attending paediatrician following standard management guidelines.

During the intervention, participants’ safety was assessed through the monitoring for adverse events by a Data Safety and Monitoring Board (DSMB) comprised of independent reviewers. The DSMB consisted of one pediatrician, an epidemiologist and one biostatistics expert and met two weeks after data collection of the intervention group had started.

The end points of our study were discharge, referral, death or reaching the end of the neonatal period (28 days) while still in the hospital.

**Data management and statistical analysis:** Data was entered, cleaned and analyzed using SPSS version 20. It was an intention to treat analysis. The categorical variables NEC and mortality were presented using proportions. Continuous variables, which were length of hospital stay and duration of regaining
birth weight, were presented using mean (standard deviation). Chi-square test or when appropriate Fisher's exact test was used to compare statistical significance in outcomes. Odds ratio (OR) was used to determine association between independent variable (feeding type) and dependent variables The results were considered of statistical significance when p-value was \( \leq 0.05 \).

**Results**

During the study period a total of 6473 neonates were admitted to the three neonatal wards and screened for eligibility as shown in Figure 1.

*Baseline characteristics of study participants*

A total of 292 neonates were enrolled in this study, 163 (55.8%) in the control group and 129 (44.2%) in the intervention group. Study participants comprised of 130 (44.5%) neonates with VLBW and 162 (55.5%) with moderate to severe HIE. Males accounted for 53.4%. The mean maternal age was 26.28 ± 5.96 SD with a range of 15 – 38 years. The two groups were comparable in their baseline characteristics as shown in table 1.

Table 1: Socio-demographic characteristics of the study participants
| Variable                   | Category       | Control N=163 (%) | Intervention N=129 (%) | Chi-square value | P-value |
|----------------------------|----------------|-------------------|------------------------|------------------|---------|
| Sex                        | Male           | 88 (54.0)         | 68 (52.7)              | 0.047            |         |
|                            | Female         | 75 (46.0)         | 61 (47.3)              |                  | 0.83    |
| Reasons for admission      | VLBW           | 69 (42.3)         | 61 (47.3)              |                  |         |
|                            | HIE            | 94 (57.7)         | 68 (52.7)              | 0.40             |         |
| Maternal education         | No formal Education | 19 (11.7) | 14 (10.9)              | 0.382            |         |
|                            | Primary school | 91 (55.8)         | 75 (58.1)              |                  |         |
|                            | Sec. school    | 48 (29.4)         | 35 (27.1)              | 0.94             |         |
|                            | Higher education | 5 (3.1)  | 5 (3.9)                |                  |         |
| HIE score                  | 11-14          | 79 (48.5)         | 61 (47.3)              | 1.781            |         |
|                            | ≥15            | 15 (9.2)          | 7 (3.4)                |                  |         |
|                            | Not applicable*| 69 (42.3)         | 61 (47.3)              | 0.41             |         |
| Weight                     | ≤1200g         | 33 (20.2)         | 24 (18.6)              | 1.595            |         |
|                            | 1201-1500g     | 36 (22.1)         | 37 (28.7)              |                  |         |
|                            | ≥1500          | 94 (57.7)         | 68 (52.7)              | 0.43             |         |
| Gestational age            | 28-32weeks     | 54 (33.1)         | 48 (37.2)              | 0.644            |         |
|                            | 33-36weeks     | 15 (9.2)          | 13 (10.1)              |                  |         |
|                            | ≥37weeks       | 94 (57.7)         | 68 (52.7)              | 0.70             |         |
| Maternal age               | < 20years      | 22 (13.5)         | 13 (10.1)              | 1.161            |         |
|                            | 20 -34years    | 123 (75.5)        | 104 (80.6)             |                  |         |
|                            | ≥ 35           | 18 (11.0)         | 12 (9.3)               | 0.56             |         |
These were the VLBW neonates sub-group

*Feeding characteristics of study participants*

The mean age of initiating feeding was 45.34 ± 21.58SD SD hours in the control group compared to 8.43 ± 3.02SD hours amongst the intervention group after the implementation of feeding guidelines as depicted in table 2.

**Table 2: Feeding characteristics of the study participants**

| Parity      | Para 1 | 72 (44.2) | 55 (42.6) | 8.592 |
|-------------|--------|-----------|-----------|-------|
|             | Para 2 | 46 (28.2) | 29 (22.5) |       |
| ≥Para 3     | 45 (27.6) | 45 (34.9) | 0.28     |       |
### Variable

| Category               | Control | Intervention | Chi-square Test | P value |
|------------------------|---------|--------------|-----------------|---------|
| **Feeding started before study endpoint** |         |              |                 |         |
| Yes                    | 114 (69.9) | 124 (96.1)  | 238 (81.5)      | 0.00    |
| No                     | 49 (30.1)  | 5 (4.7)      | 54 (18.5)       |         |
| **Variable**           |         |              |                 |         |
| **Mean age feeding started (hours)** |         |              |                 |         |
| VLBW & HIE             | 45.34 ± 21.58 SD | 8.25 ± 3.14 SD  | 18.897 | 0.00 |
| VLBW                   | 38.12 ± 14.08 SD | 8.59 ± 2.49 SD  |                 | 0.00    |
| HIE                    |          |              |                 |         |
| NGT                    | 51.58 ± 25.24 SD | 6 (4.7) | 19 (14.7) | Fisher’s |
| Cup                    | 45.34 ± 21.58 SD | 8.25 ± 3.14 SD  | 18.897 | 0.00 |
| Cup & BF               | 38.12 ± 14.08 SD | 8.59 ± 2.49 SD  |                 | 0.00    |
| OPC                    | 47 (27.6) | 96 (74.4) | 225.14 |         |
| **Feeding method at initiation of feeding** |         |              |                 |         |
| **Variable**           |         |              |                 |         |
| **Feeding method at study endpoint (discharge or 28 days of life)** |         |              |                 |         |
| NGT                    | 0       |              |                 | Fisher’s |
| Cup                    | 1 (1.2) | 22 (32.8) | 8.61  |         |
| Cup & BF               | 1 (1.2) | 45 (67.2) |         | 0.06    |
| BF only                | 37 (43.0) | 111(89.5) |                  |         |
|                       | 42 (48.8) |              |                 |         |

**Feeding related adverse events amongst study participants**

Overall, 13.4% of the participants had documented adverse events (episodes of bradycardia, apnea, desaturation, aspiration of milk) during feeding, whereby 16.7% occurred in the control group and 10.2% in the intervention group as shown in table 3. Most of the feeding adverse events occurred after 72 hours of life. Aspiration was the most common encountered feeding adverse events in both groups.

Table 3: Feeding related adverse events among study participants

| Variable              | Category       | Control | Intervention | Total  |
|-----------------------|----------------|---------|--------------|--------|
| Feeding adverse events| Yes            | 19 (16.7) | 13 (10.2)    | 32 (13.4) |
|                       | No             | 95 (83.3) | 111 (89.5)   | 206 (86.6) |
**Mean age of regaining birth weight amongst the study participants**

Among the study participants (n = 110) who regained birth weight before the study end point (discharge or reaching 28 days of life,) the mean time for regaining birth weight was significantly reduced from 11.26 ± 4.34 SD days among the control group to 8.25 ± 2.96 SD days in the intervention group (p=0.000) as shown in table 4.

**Table 4: Mean age of regaining birth weight amongst the study participants**

| Mean age regained birth weight (days) | Control n=54 | Intervention n=56 | $P$ value | 95% CI |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|-------------------|-----------|-------|
| VLBW & HIE                           | 11.26 ± 4.34SD | 8.25 ± 2.96SD | 0.000     | 1.61 - 4.41 |
| VLBW                                 | 12.18 ± 4.64SD | 8.81 ± 3.23SD | 0.000     | 1.54 -     |
| HIE                                  | 8.64 ± 1.50SD | 7.16 ± 1.98SD | 0.025     | 0.2 -     |

**Duration of hospital stay**

The mean duration of hospital stay was 9.02±7.83 SD days in the control group and 8.85 ± 7.12 SD days among the intervention group, but the difference was not statistically significant (p=0.85) as shown in Table 5.

**Table 5: Duration of hospital stay amongst the study participants**

| Mean aduration of hospital stay (days) | Control n=163 | Intervention n=129 | $P$ value |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|-------------------|-----------|
| VLBW & HIE                            | 9.02 ± 7.83SD | 8.85 ± 7.12SD | 0.85      |
| VLBW                                 | 12.84 ± 9.48SD | 11.95 ± 8.04SD | 0.57      |
| HIE                                  | 6.40 ± 4.35SD | 5.94 ± 4.30SD | 0.49      |

**Proportion of mortality among study participants**

The overall mortality was 40.8% (119 patients) with 41.7% (68 patients) in the historical control group and 39.5% (51 patients) in the intervention group, but the difference was not statistically significant (p=0.72, OR= 0.91; 95% CI 0.57- 1.46). There was an apparently higher mortality amongst VLBW neonates in the intervention group (37.7%), when compared to the VLBW neonates in the control group (33.3%),
however this result did not show statistical significance (p=0.60). Neonates with moderate – severe HIE showed a mortality of 47.9% in the control group compared to a mortality of 41.2% amongst the neonates with HIE the intervention group, but again no statistical significance was demonstrated (p=0.40).

Factors associated with neonatal mortality

In the subgroup of VLBW infants, the mortality was significantly increased in neonates with a lower birth weight of ≤ 1200g compared to those with >1200 – 1500 grams (50.9% vs. 23.3%; p= 0.001). In the subgroup of neonates with HIE, those scoring ≥ 15 denoting severe HIE, had a significantly higher mortality rate compared to those with a score of < 15 (90.9% vs. 37.9%; p = 0.00).

Table 6: Factors associated with neonatal mortality among study participants
| Category       | Variable             | Yes       | Died       | Total       | P value |
|----------------|----------------------|-----------|------------|-------------|---------|
|                |                      | n=119     | n=173      |             |         |
| Sex            | Male                 | 63 (52.9) | 93 (53.8)  | 156 (53.4)  | 0.89    |
|                | Female               | 56 (47.1) | 80 (46.2)  | 136 (46.6)  |         |
| Maternal age   | <20 years            | 14 (11.8) | 21 (12.1)  | 35 (12.0)   | 0.03    |
|                | 20 -34 years         | 86 (72.3) | 141 (81.5) | 227 (77.7)  |         |
|                | >34 years            | 19 (16.0) | 11 (4.4)   | 30 (10.3)   |         |
| Maternal education | No formal education | 17 (14.3) | 16 (9.2)   | 33 (11.3)   |         |
|                | Primary school      | 65 (54.6) | 101 (58.4) | 166 (56.8)  |         |
|                | Secondary school    | 34 (28.6) | 49 (28.3)  | 83 (28.4)   |         |
|                | Higher education    | 3 (2.5)   | 7 (4.0)    | 10 (3.4)    | 0.52*   |
| Parity         | Para 1              | 45 (37.8) | 82 (47.4)  | 127 (43.5)  |         |
|                | Para 2              | 30 (25.2) | 45 (26.0)  | 75 (25.7)   |         |
|                | ≥Para3              | 44 (37.0) | 46 (26.6)  | 90 (30.8)   | 0.14    |
| HIV            | Positive            | 10 (8.4)  | 7 (4.0)    | 17 (5.8)    | 0.14    |
|                | Negative            | 109 (91.6)| 166 (96.0) | 275 (94.2)  |         |
| Weight         | ≤1200g              | 29 (50.9) | 28 (49.1)  | 57 (19.5)   | 0.12    |
|                | 1201-1500g          | 17 (23.3) | 56 (76.6)  | 73 (24.9)   | 0.00    |
|                | ≥2500g              | 73 (45.3) | 88 (54.7)  | 162 (55.5)  |         |
| GA             | 28-32               | 39 (38.2) | 63 (61.8)  | 102 (35.0)  |         |
|                | 33-36               | 7 (25)    | 21 (75)    | 28 (9.6)    |         |
|                | ≥37                 | 73 (45)   | 89 (55)    | 162 (55.5)  | 0.12    |
| HIE            | 11-14               | 53 (37.9) | 87 (67.1)  | 140 (47.9)  |         |
|                | ≥15                 | 20 (90.9) | 2 (9.1)    | 22 (7.5)    | 0.00    |
|                | Not applicable      | 46 (35.4) | 84 (64.6)  | 13 (45.5)   |         |
| Feeding category | Control            | 68 (57.1) | 95 (54.9)  | 163 (55.8)  |         |
|                | Intervention        | 51 (42.9) | 78 (45.1)  | 129 (44.2)  | 0.71    |

*Fishers exact test

**Discussion**

The aim of this project was to enhance early breast milk administration for sick and preterm neonates admitted to the neonatal wards of the regional hospitals in Dar es Salaam by co-creating and implementing standardized feeding guidelines adapted to the local context and at the same time
controlling for potentially harmful effects. Therefore, the project was embedded in this study which
determined the effect of early feeding amongst neonates with VLBW and HIE when compared to a
historical control group before implementation of the feeding guidelines. While there are clear evidence-
based recommendations by the WHO for the early initiation of exclusive breastfeeding within the first
hour of life [7], universal guidelines for enteral feeding of neonates at high risk are non-existent and good
guidance on the initiation of enteral feeding for the most vulnerable group of neonates is missing,
especially in low resource settings without access to neonatal intensive care. As a consequence, the
introduction of enteral feeding is often delayed as we could demonstrate with the baseline survey of our
historical control group.

The mean duration for the initiation of breast milk was 45.34 ± 21.58 SD hours in the control group
compared to 8.43 ± 3.02 SD hours in the intervention group showing that early breast milk administration
was successfully established following the implementation of newly developed feeding guidelines. This
corresponds well to previous studies, which described improved enteral feeding initiation through
implementation of standardized feeding protocols [23, 24].

Our findings revealed that the overall proportion of NEC was only 1.4% (4 cases) among the study
participants without significant difference between the control and intervention groups, but the study was
underpowered to detect statistical significance. This low incidence of NEC is similar to studies from Iran
[26] and Jordan [27]. However, studies examining the age at onset of NEC reported a mean age of 6-7
days for the occurrence of NEC in more mature preterm infants and a possible delay in the onset of NEC
to more than 14 days for smaller infants with lower gestational age [28, 29]. Considering the high
mortality in our study it was not possible to assess all enrolled study participants for the occurrence of
NEC as the outright features of NEC may have not yet developed, especially in the highest risk group of
VLBW infants with a birth weight of less than 1200 gram who had a significant increased mortality.

Early initiation of breast milk feeding significantly reduced the duration of regaining birth weight more
than three days in the intervention group when compared to the controls. This finding demonstrates the
benefit of early enteral feeding in the prevention of postnatal growth failure and is consistent with studies
from Uganda [13], Iran [26] and India [12, 30]. However, this did not correspond with a shortened hospital
stay as shown in previous studies [12, 26] and may be explained by other factors such as neonatal sepsis
which may impact on the early stabilization of the neonates.

The high mortality rate among the controls (41.5%) and intervention group (39.5%) didn't show a
significant association to the initiation of early feeding. A birth weight of ≤1200g and Thompson HIE
score of ≥15 were independent predictors of mortality among neonates with very low birth weight and
perinatal asphyxia respectively. The mortality rate for high-risk neonates in our study is similar to results
from other low income countries, where neonatal intensive care facilities are not yet available [27, 31, 32].

Limitations of this study: The major limitation of this study was that it was underpowered to detect a
small difference in important outcomes, including NEC and mortality. Furthermore, neonates who were
referred to the tertiary hospital were not followed to determine their outcomes, and this might result in an
underestimation of the proportion of NEC and mortality. Our study was non-randomized and a study design with historical controls can lead to selection bias due to a change in the study population over time.

**Conclusions**

The implementation of co-created and locally adapted feeding guidelines through involvement of local paediatricians successfully enhanced the early initiation of breast milk administration for sick and preterm neonates. Neonates who received early feeding regained birth weight significantly earlier than those with delayed feeding. However, early feeding did not shorten the duration of hospital stay. Early breast milk feeding for high-risk neonates also did not show an association with increased NEC or mortality, but our study was underpowered to measure these safety outcomes. A randomized study with a large sample size and high power is recommended to further confirm the safety of early provision of mother’s milk among high-risk neonates in a low resource setting.
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