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Abstract The hepatic endoplasmic reticulum (ER)-anchored cytochromes P450 (P450s) are mixed-function oxidases engaged in the biotransformation of physiologically relevant endobiotics as well as of myriad xenobiotics of therapeutic and environmental relevance. P450 ER-content and hence function is regulated by their coordinated hemoprotein syntheses and proteolytic turnover. Such P450 proteolytic turnover occurs through a process known as ER-associated degradation (ERAD) that involves ubiquitin-dependent proteasomal degradation (UPD) and/or autophagic-lysosomal degradation (ALD). Herein, on the basis of available literature reports and our own recent findings of \textit{in vitro} as well as \textit{in vivo} experimental studies, we discuss the therapeutic and pathophysiological implications of altered P450 ERAD and its plausible clinical relevance. We specifically (i) describe the P450 ERAD-machinery and how it may be repurposed for the generation of antigenic P450 peptides involved in P450 autoantibody
1. Introduction

The ubiquitous hemoproteins cytochromes P450 (P450s or CYPs; MW ~ 50 kDa) are enzymes engaged both in synthetic and degradative functions critically important to the cellular integrity, physiology and defense. In the mammalian liver, the endoplasmic reticulum (ER)-anchored P450s function together with their redox partners cytochrome P450 reductase (CPR) and cytochrome b5 in the oxidative metabolism and elimination of numerous endobiotics (arachidonic acid, retinoic acid, steroids, vitamin D) as well as xenobiotics (pharmacological and recreational drugs, carcinogens, toxins and other foreign substances of dietary or environmental origin). Hepatic P450 content and function can be modulated not only through substrate-mediated transcriptional and translational regulation as widely recognized, but also, as now increasingly appreciated, through their enhanced or reduced proteolytic turnover. The latter by altering hepatic P450 content also affects P450 function, thereby significantly influencing P450-dependent therapeutics and pathophysiology, the theme of this review.

2. P450 proteolytic turnover via ERAD

The hepatic ER-anchored P450s, in common with luminal and other membrane-integrated ER-proteins, incur proteolytic turnover via a vital physiological process termed “ER-associated degradation (ERAD)”11-13. This ERAD process is critical not just for protein quality control required to mitigate the unfolded protein response (UPR) following ER-stress and/or other cellular oxidative stresses, but also for normal physiological ER-protein turnover. Physiological P450 ERAD involves either ubiquitin (Ub)-dependent proteasomal degradation (UPD) or autophagic-lysosomal degradation (ALD) or both (and references therein). Thus, while some P450s predominantly incur UPD, others ALD and yet others incur both (and references therein). This basal physiological P450 ERAD is however greatly accelerated upon P450 inactivation.10,15-24.

2.1. P450 ERAD via UPD

Hepatic P450s are typically Type I monotopic ER-membrane proteins with their N-terminal signal anchor integrated in the ER-membrane and their globular catalytic domain embedded in the ER-membrane while largely exposed to the cytosol. In spite of this common monotopic ER-topology, the individual lifespans of hepatic P450s vary with t1/2 values ranging from 7 to 38 h.14-17. By some criteria, P450s would qualify as long-lived proteins. The shorter t1/2s are attributed to the propensity of these P450s to engender injurious ROS during their futile catalytic cycling occurring in the absence of substrates. ROS oxidatively damage active sites and/or surface residues of the P450 catalytic domains. Mechanism-based inactivators can also structurally damage P450 catalytic domains. This dual feature of their specific ER-topology coupled with structural lesions in their cytosolic domains renders these P450s excellent substrates of ERAD/UPD, specifically the “ERAD-Cytosol (C)” pathway.36,37,40,41. Accordingly, the dominant human liver/intestinal CYP3A4 and human liver CYP2E1 are found to be prototypic ERAD-C substrates. CYP3A4, accounting for ~ 30% of the hepatic P450 content, is engaged in the metabolism of > 50% of clinically relevant drugs and other xenobiotics2,3, whereas CYP2E1 biotransforms clinically relevant drugs (acetaminophen, halothane), EtOH, and other xenobiotics, carcinogens (nitrosamines), endogenous acetone and fatty acids (arachidonic acid) to toxic/reactive intermediates. Structural lesions in their cytosolic domains renders these P450s excellent substrates of ERAD/UPD.

Systematic dissection of the hepatic CYP3A and CYP2E1 ERAD-C process employing various in vivo and in vitro reconstituted eukaryotic systems has revealed that it involves initial post-translational phosphorylation by cytosolic protein kinases A and C of P450 Ser/Thr residues. These phosphorylated pSer/pThr residues are either contiguous or proximal to Asp/Glu residues on surface loops or disordered regions, engendering discrete acidic/negatively charged pSer/pThr/Asp/Glu surface clusters. These P450 clusters serve as “linear or conformational phosphodegrons” for its molecular recognition by positively charged residues of the E2/E3 complexes. Upon molecular recognition of P450 pSer/pThr/Asp/Glu clusters by the E3 Ub ligases and their cognate E2 Ub-conjugating enzymes, P450-Lys residues vicinal to these clusters are ubiquitinated. The polyubiquitinated P450s, in common with polytopic transmembrane and/or luminal ER-proteins, are then extracted out of the ER-membrane into the cytosol by the p97 AAA ATPase-Npl4-Ufd1 chaperone complex, and delivered to the 26S proteasome for subsequent degradation. In ER-protein degradation, Ub-conjugation is essential for targeting proteins to the 26S proteasome or to autophagic
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Figure 1  CYP3A4 ERAD-UPD. For details see the text.

receptors\(^{31,62}\). Because Ub is a ubiquitous, highly conserved, albeit inert ~8.63 kDa molecule, its conjugation requires its ATP-dependent activation by one of the two Ub-activation E1-enzymes to form a reactive, high energy thioester, which is then relayed onto an active-site Cys-residue of one of the 27 or so Ub-conjugating E2-enzymes\(^{55-60}\). The E2 may then relay this Ub-molecule one at a time onto the N-terminal α-NH\(_2\) or most commonly an ε-NH\(_2\) of a Lys-residue (or less commonly to Cys, Thr or Ser-residues\(^{63-65}\)) of a target substrate corralled by the E3 Ub-ligase, or even to the Cys of a cognate E3\(^{55-60}\). A processive ordered chain consisting of 4–20 Ub-molecules is then elaborated, wherein the C-terminal Gp\(_{60}\)COOH of the second Ub, is coupled via an isopeptide bond to the K\(_{63}\) of the first Ub in a signature herring bone pattern, involved in targeting the ubiquitinated protein to the 26S proteasome\(^{55-60}\). Alternatively, the E2 can first elaborate the K\(_{48}\)-linked polyUb-chain and then transfer it “en bloc” onto the E3-targeted substrate\(^{66,67}\). Additionally, K\(_{63}\) (or any of the 7 internal Ub-Lys residues) can also be similarly targeted, resulting in a differently configured Ub-chain, with other cellular functional roles\(^{68}\). Apparently, such chain-processing is not mutually exclusive, as some P450s exhibit both K\(_{48}\)- and K\(_{63}\)-Ub-linkages, albeit possibly elaborated on different P450 Lys-residues\(^{1}\). E3 Ub-ligases play a critical role in protein ubiquitination, as they not only foster interactions of E2s with target proteins, but also confer exquisite substrate specificity through recognition of specific structural determinant(s) or degron(s), thereby preempting promiscuous 26S proteasomal attacks on cellular proteins.

2.1.2. E2/E3 complexes involved in P450 ERAD

In vitro functional reconstitution studies\(^{17,44-47,69,70}\) of E1/E2/E3-mediated CYP3A4 and CYP2E1 ubiquitination have identified UbcH5a/Hsc70/Hsp40/CIP and UBC7/AMFR/gp78 complexes as two relevant E2/E3 systems in CYP3A4 and CYP2E1 ubiquitination:

(i) CHIP (carboxy-terminus of Hsc70-interacting protein), a cytoplasmic Hsc70-cochaperone, functions with its cognate UbcH5a E2 and Hsc70/Hsp40 co-chaperones in substrate ubiquitination\(^{1-15}\). CHIP contains a catalytic U-Box with a cross-brace structure, resembling the cross-brace structure of the RING (really interesting new protein) finger, albeit lacking the canonical Zn-binding His and Cys residues\(^{75}\). Instead, it forms through salt-bridges and hydrophobic interactions. CHIP also contains a classical N-terminal tetratricopeptide (TPR) domain for Hsc70/Hsp40 or Hsp90 recruitment. CHIP was thought to largely ubiquitinate inactive, unfolded proteins corralled by Hsp70 upon exposure of their hydrophobic residues\(^{76}\). However, CHIP ubiquitinates native P450 proteins in vitro, albeit less extensively than inactivated P450s, and Chip-knockdown or knockout (KO) results in the stabilization of functionally active P450s, suggesting that it also targets native P450s.

(ii) gp78/AMFR (autocrine motility factor receptor) is a polytopic, transmembrane cell surface receptor\(^{77}\), as well as an ER-integral protein with a C-terminal cytoplasmic domain (309–643 residues) containing a RING-finger and other subdomains critical to its recognition of its cognate UBC7/Ub2g2 E2 and catalytic E3-ligase-mediated ubiquitination function\(^{78-81}\). Its cytosolic domain is composed of the following subdomains: a RING-finger (RF), an oligomerization site (OS) to enable the recruitment of multiple E2s in sufficiently close proximity to elaborate the Ub-chain, a coupling of Ub to ER-degradation (Cue)-domain for recognition of the E2-elaborated polyUb chain, a G2BR-domain whose binding of its cognate E2 (UBC7 or Ub2g2) significantly enhances the E2’s affinity for the RF and hence unloading of activated Ub\(^{79,81}\), as well as a C-terminal VCP-interaction motif (VIM) for recruitment of p97/VCP-AAA ATPase\(^{50}\) to the ER-integrated poly-ubiquitinated target.

The individual roles of CHIP and gp78 in CYP3A and CYP2E1 ubiquitination and ERAD were verified upon lentiviral shRNA interference (shRNAi) analyses targeted individually against each of these E3s in cultured rat hepatocytes\(^{17,70}\). Thus, upon CHIP-knockdown, CYP3A was stabilized largely as the parent (55 kDa) species along with a minor CYP3A fraction consisting of its high molecular mass (HMM) ubiquitinated species. Upon gp78 knockdown, CYP3A was largely found as its parent species along with a significant amount of its ubiquitinated species\(^{79}\). However, upon either E3-knockdown, the functionally active CYP3A fraction not only was proportional to the relative amount of the stabilized unmodified parent species, but also considerably greater than that in the corresponding non-targeting shRNA-treated control hepatocytes\(^{70}\), indicating that CYP3A-ERAD disruption could be therapeutically relevant. Furthermore, each system by itself was capable of CYP3A4-ubiquitination as determined upon in vitro reconstitution studies of each individual CYP3A4 E2/E3-ubiquitination system\(^{50}\). However, CYP3A4 ubiquitination was both greatly accelerated and magnified when both E2/E3 systems were present simultaneously\(^{50}\). The CYP3A4 ubiquitination profile observed upon sequential introduction of each E2/E3 in the reconstituted system suggested that UbcH5a/CHIP most likely serves as the E3, with UBC7/gp78 serving as the E4 involved in the elongation of CYP3A4 polyUb chains\(^{50}\).

2.1.3. P450 ER-extraction into the cytosol

ER luminal proteins and domains of polytopic ER-proteins shielded from the cytosolic ubiquitination machinery require retrotranslocation into the cytosol for their ubiquitination before their subsequent delivery to the 26S proteasome\(^{58,52,62-66}\). This extraction from the ER-membrane is accomplished primarily by the p97/Npl4/Ufd1 chaperone complex, with subsequent dislocation into the cytosol potentially by the 19S proteasomal lid Rpt
P450 proteasomal degradation

The “constitutive” 26S proteasome, a 2000 kDa multifunctional protease, is composed of a 20S proteolytic core capped at either or both ends by the 19S/PA700 regulatory subunit complex consisting of thirteen Rpn (non-ATPase) subunits and six Rpt AAA ATPase base subunits for unfolding and threading the targeted protein into the 20S cavity. The naked 20S proteasomal core is believed to be the most abundant cellular species. It is reportedly capable of degrading some oxidized, nonubiquitinated proteins in the absence of ATP, by itself or with the assistance of an alternate cytosolic 11S/PA28α,β proteasomal activator. Antigen-processing cells also generate a specialized variant of the constitutive 26S proteasome termed the immunoproteasome or i-proteasome, whose assembly is triggered by proinflammatory cytokines, interferon-γ, TNFα, and/or oxidative stress. The constitutive 20S proteasomal β1-, β2- and β5-subunits are substituted in the i-proteasome with the inducible iβ1 (LMP2), iβ2 (MECL1) and iβ5 (LMP7)-subunits that are relatively much more efficient in generating peptides competent in binding the MHC class I complex. It too can be activated by 11S/PA28α,β and is efficient in degrading oxidized/nonubiquitinated proteins that may be relevant to the generation of pathogenic “P450-autoantibodies”, see below. While all these cellular proteasomal species co-exist, asymmetric “hybrid” proteasomes also exist with a 19S activator at one end of the 20S and an 11S/PA28α,β at the other, i.e., 19S–20S–11S complex that is more efficient in tri- and tetrapeptide hydrolyses than the 26S proteasome.

Although the central pore of the naked 20S proteasome or the 11S–20S proteasomal complex is incapable of accepting substrates of the globular size of P450s, it can more readily accept oxidized proteins than the 26S species. This is so, because upon oxidation, the proteins are chemically modified and conformationally altered so as to expose hydrophobic domains that are recognized by the 20S proteasome, promoting the opening of the α-subunit antechamber aperture for insertion of the oxidized protein into the inner proteolytic β-subunit core. Several lines of evidence support a role for the 11S–20S proteasome complex rather than the 26S complex in the degradation of oxidized proteins: (i) oxidized proteins do not require ATP or Ub for their selective 20S proteasomal degradation, and upon size-
subfractionation generally do not segregate with the pool of ubiquitinated proteins123; (ii) oxidative stress triggers the association of the Ecm29-protein scaffold with the 19S-activator with subsequent Hsp70-chaperoned 19S-dissociation from the 26S proteasome to free up the 20S core for selective oxidized protein degradation13; (iii) cellular Hsp70 is induced upon oxidative stress139; and (iv) oxidative stress inactivates the 19S cap subunits along with the SH-sensitive Ub-machinery, thus further disabling the cellular capacity for protein ubiquitination and minimizing 26S proteasome-catalyzed degradation of ubiquitinated proteins139–140. Similar oxidized protein handling by the 11S-immunoproteasome complex may generate peptides with hydrophobic C-termini required for MHC class I antigen presentation142. Although P450s are quite globular proteins, it is unclear to what extent their oxidation by incipiently generated ROS and/or insults inflicted upon generation of reactive drug metabolites would unfold them exposing hydrophobic domains that render them susceptible to 20S proteasomal/immunoproteasomal degradation. Normal physiological degradation of some native P450 isoforms however, apparently involves UPD as evidenced by (i) the marked accumulation of HMM ubiquitinated P450 species upon proteasomal inhibition5,17,18 and (ii) their significant functional stabilization upon impairment of their ubiquitination through shRNAi or genetic deletion of the participating E3-ligases70,139,140.

2.2. P450 ERAD via ALD

As noted above, in spite of their common type I ER-topology, not all P450s are typical ERAD-C substrates, some incur ALD17,141–144. Thus, CYP2B1 and CYP2C11, in cultured rat hepatocytes treated with ALD inhibitors [3-methyladenine (3MA)/NH4Cl] or heterologously expressed in a vacuolar (lysosomal) degradation-deficient S. cerevisiae strain, are stabilized relative to those expressed in wild-type (WT) or proteasomal-subunit defective strains17,143,144. CYP2E1 degradation, on the other hand, is biphasic, exhibiting a rapid phase (t1/2, 7 h) and a slow phase (t1/2, 37 h) presumed to reflect its ERAD via UPD and ALD, respectively7,8,14. Upon substrate [isoniazid (INH) or acetone] induction, it largely incurs ALD7,17,139. Functional inactivation of its catalytic partner CPR abolishes all redox-flux, thus minimizing oxidative damage and stabilizing CYP2E1 by prolonging its t1/238,39. Notably, this dual CYP2E1 proteolytic mode is conserved upon its heterologous expression in yeast, even though P450 redox flux and consequent ROS-generating potential are greatly minimized by the poor yeast CPR content139. Thus, to what extent redox flux contributes to its UPD is unknown and remains to be determined. Specific UPD/ALD probes reveal that CYP2D6 and CYP2A5 also similarly turn over via both pathways17,140.

2.2.1. Multiple lysosomal degradation pathways

Most relevant to P450 protein clearance is classical macroautophagy (ALD) involving target ubiquitination, multiple autophagy-related (ATG) genes including the autophagosome marker microtubule-associated protein light chain 3 (LC3), and autophagic cargo receptors, i.e., p62/SQSTM1 (Sequestosome) and NBR-1 (neighbor of Braca 1 gene)61,62,145–158. Briefly, upon induction of an isolation membrane (phagophore, derived from various sources including the ER), its surface phosphatidylethanolamine (PE) is conjugated to LC3-I by the ATG5/ATG12/ATG16 complex to form LC3-II, which recruits p62/NBR1 receptors via their LC3-interacting regions (LIR), along with their ubiquitinated protein cargo corralled through their Ub-associated (UBA) domains61,62,145–158. It then expands into a double membrane vesicle, the autophagosome that fuses with the lysosome for proteolytic digestion of its cargos (Fig. 2). Additional protein degradation routes to the lysosome also exist59–61, although it is unclear to what extent they transport P450 cargo. For instance, upon cessation of phenobarbital induction, CYP2B10 is known to be degraded along with its ER-membrane roost in an autophagic process known as ER-phagy or reticulophagy, involving p62 and/or possibly other reticulon adapters164–166.

3. Therapeutic and pathophysiological implications of P450-turnover

3.1. Therapeutic relevance of P450 ERAD

Human hepatic P450s play a major role in the metabolism and elimination of ~74% of therapeutically relevant drugs and are thus involved in many drug–drug interactions (DDIs) and drug-related toxicities1,2. Thus, altered P450 ERAD/UPD can have a major influence on clinical therapeutics. At a very fundamental level, P450 ERAD/UPD regulates hepatic ER P450 content and function by determining the levels of nascent P450 molecules that are incorporated into the ER-membrane and thus functional, as most (~70%) of freshly synthesized P450 molecules are cleared via ERAD/UPD18,19. Furthermore, many chemical agents including dietary agents, drugs, and other xenobiotics that qualify as P450 substrates modulate hepatic P450 isoform content, diversity and/or function not only through increased protein synthesis/expression1–5, but also through protein stabilization, i.e., half-life (t1/2) prolongation6–8. By contrast, “suicide” substrates/inactivators dramatically shorten the t1/2s of certain P450s by accelerating their proteolytic turnover29,10,14–24. Such regulation of hepatic P450 content and hence function influences both the pharmacological effectiveness and the therapeutic duration of the drug response, thus contributing to the severity and the time course of many pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic DDIs35,168–171. The serious, life-threatening DDIs observed with the CYP3A4 mechanism-based inactivators grapefruit juice furanocoumarins and terfenadine, astemizole or amiodarone are some illustrative examples172,173.

Altered ERAD susceptibility of P450 genetic variants also contributes to DDIs35 (and references therein). Indeed, ERAD via UPD plays a major role in the clinically relevant polymorphic expression of human liver P450s by eliminating various defective, misfolded and/or proteolytically susceptible P450 variants35 (and references therein). This quality control is critical to forestalling proteotoxic stress triggered by the intracellular accumulation of abnormal/aberrant and/or structurally damaged proteins. However, accelerated ERAD of some P450 allelic variants can also be beneficial. For instance, the prompt clearance of the CYP1B1.8 variant via ERAD is believed to confer a lower incidence of endometrial cancer in female carriers, putatively by obviating its capacity for carcinogenic bioactivation174.

On the other hand, stabilization of functionally active hepatic P450s upon disruption of their ERAD through genetic ablation in vivo of key participating E3 Ub-ligases can also influence therapeutic or toxic outcomes. Accordingly, hepatic stabilization of CYP2A5 upon liver-specific genetic ablation of gp78 E3-ligase in mice is shown to enhance their hepatic metabolism of drugs
such as coumarin and nicotine. Similar hepatic stabilization of CYP3A-enzymes in these mice can significantly enhance the bioactivation of the breast cancer chemotherapeutic prodrug tamoxifen to its pharmacologically active end-product endoxifen. This finding suggests that short-term inhibition of E3-ligases participating in P450 ERAD may be therapeutically desirable for potentiation of P450-mediated bioactivation of cancer chemotherapeutic prodrugs.

Hepatic CYP2E1 stabilization upon liver-specific genetic ablation of gp78 E3-ligase in mice enhances acetaminophen metabolism to its reactive N-acetyl-p-quinoneimine, thereby predisposing the hepatocytes to drug-induced hepatotoxicity at relatively innocuous concentrations. On the other hand, hepatic CYP2E1 stabilization through disruption of its ERAD via genetic CHIP-ablation contributes to pathogenic NASH (non-alcoholic steatohepatitis) syndrome (see below). Hepatic P450 ERAD is thus both therapeutically and pathophysiologically relevant.

3.2. Pathophysiologic relevance of P450-degradation

3.2.1. Pathogenesis of P450 autoantibodies

Drug-induced liver injury (DILI), hepatitis, acute hypersensitivity reactions and/or idiosyncrasies as well as viral infections are often clinically associated with detectable drug-induced serum immunoreactive P450 peptide autoantibodies. Basal physiological protein ERAD via the constitutive proteasome is apparently too slow and inefficient a process for the generation of antigenic peptides. Thus, this route may be ineffectual for the generation of antigenic peptides from native P450s. Antigenic P450 peptides are most likely derived from structurally altered P450 proteins either upon direct drug-adduction (hapten generation) or drug-induced conformational alterations that predispose such modified P450 proteins to accelerated ERAD. Such ERAD would have to involve the immunoproteasomal proteolytic subunits in order to generate either P450 peptides containing hydrophobic C-termini, or P450 precursor peptides that upon further trimming by ancillary cellular peptidases expose their hydrophobic C-termini. Hydrophobic C-termini of peptides are important because they can readily fit into the groove of MHC class I molecules for antigenic presentation to cytotoxic T lymphocytes. Thus, liver injury upon chronic ingestion of alcohol (EtOH), or intake of aromatic anti-convulsants [carbamazepine (CBZ), phenytoin and phenobarbital], anesthetics (halothane), anti-tubercular drugs (isoniazid; INH), diuretics (tiensic acid), antihypertensives (dihydralazine), etc. are associated with circulating immunoreactive P450 peptide autoantibodies. Less clear is whether such P450 autoantibodies are the pathogenic cause of DILI, or the consequence of DILI-induced immunoproteasome. Nonetheless, once generated, they would be instrumental in further aggravating the severity of the autoimmune pathology. Several normally ER-anchored hepatic P450s are transported to the outer hepatic plasma membrane where they would readily react with circulating P450 autoantibodies with consequent hepatic parenchymal cytotoxicity and subsequent parenchymal cell death.

In patients with severe INH-induced liver injury, not only serum autoantibodies to CYP2E1, CYP3A4 and CYP2C9 (the three major P450s that metabolize and/or interact with INH), but also serum antibodies to INH-adducted CYP2E1 and other INH-adducted non-P450 proteins are detected. Interestingly, the antibodies detected against INH-adducted P450s and other proteins were generated against the parent isonicotinic acylmoieties and not to its previously implicated reactive acetylhydrazine metabolite. Similar serum antibodies to drug-modified and/or unmodified P450s and other native cellular proteins have also been detected in idiosyncratic liver injury upon halothane-exposure (anti-trifluoroacetyl-CYP2E1), aromatic anti-convulsant (anti-CYP3A), dihydralazine (anti-CYP1A2), tiensic acid (anti-
tiennial acid-CYP2C9) and/or chronic alcohol intake (anti-acetaldehyde-CYP2E1 and anti-hydroxyethyl-CYP2E1)138,139. Anti-P450 autoantibodies (anti-liver kidney microsomal (LKM) Type I) targeting CYP2D6 are also detected in chronic autoimmune hepatitis and hepatitis C viral (HCV) infections139,140, anti-CYP11A1 in type II autoimmune hepatic polyendocrine syndrome140, and anti-CYP17 and anti-CYP21 in Addison’s disease141,142. These observations underscore an etiological role of inflammatory and/or infectious conditions in immunoproteasome induction and subsequent P450 autoantibody pathogenesis.

We find it intriguing that serum antibodies to one of the CYP3A peptides detected in clinical CBZ-elicited acute hypersensitivity reactions143, corresponds to the same CYP3A4 helix K-region that is heme-modified upon cumene-hydroperoxide-elicited peroxidative CYP3A4 heme-fragmentation144. This suggests not only that peptides derived from hydrophobic P450-active sites may optimally fulfill the target pre-requisites for MHC class I antigenic presentation, but also that such active-site regions being strategically poised for attack by P450-generated ROS would be the primary targets for peptide oxidation and subsequent immunoproteasomal degradation.

3.2.2. Pathogenic implications of hepatic P450 ERAD-disruption through global Chip-ablation: CYP2E1-functional stabilization leading to overt NASH

Functional stabilization of hepatic CYPs 2E1 and 3A in Chip-KO mice (Fig. 3) was previously shown to elicit an age-dependent stabilization leading to overt NASH disruption through global Chip-ablation: CYP2E1-functional stabilization leading to overt NASH. This enhancement was largely abolished by specific diagnostic functional inhibitors of these CYP3A peptides detected in clinical CBZ-elicited acute hypersensitivity reactions143, corresponds to the same CYP3A4 helix K-region that is heme-modified upon cumene-hydroperoxide-elicited peroxidative CYP3A4 heme-fragmentation144. This suggests not only that peptides derived from hydrophobic P450-active sites may optimally fulfill the target pre-requisites for MHC class I antigenic presentation, but also that such active-site regions being strategically poised for attack by P450-generated ROS would be the primary targets for peptide oxidation and subsequent immunoproteasomal degradation.

Figure 3 CYP2E1 and CYP3A levels in cultured hepatocytes. Primary cultured Chip-WT, Gp78-WT, Chip-KO and Gp78-KO mouse hepatocytes after initial attachment and 48 h-culture were treated with INH (1 mmol/L; CYP2E1) or DEX (10 μmol/L; CYP3A) for 3 days. Lysate proteins (5 μg) were subjected to immunoblotting as detailed previously146. Each lane in panels A & B corresponds to an individual cell culture. In panel C, fructose (20 mmol/L) was also included in some cell cultures. For detailed methodology see Supporting Information. These data are unpublished findings by Kwon & Correia, 2019.

The primary targets for peptide oxidation and subsequent immunoproteasomal degradation.

Concomitantly, as early as 2 months of age, the hepatic insulin-signaling pathway became mildly impaired as judged by the pJNK-mediated IRS1-S307-phosphorylation and the correspondingly attenuated IRS1-activation (via Y895-phosphorylation), coupled with ROS-mediated inactivation of Akt139 (Fig. 4). This early insulin-signaling impairment resulted in lowered insulin-induced hepatic Insig-1 and Insig-2 protein expression in Chip-KO relative to WT-controls (Fig. 5). The elevated hepatic SREBP-1c-protein levels upon Chip-KO relative to WT, coupled with the lowered ER-bound Insig levels (Fig. 5), most likely contributed to the increased ER-release of precursor SCAP:SREBP-1c-complex. Subsequent Golgi proteolytic processing and nuclear import of the transcriptionally active SREBP-1c resulted in the feed-forward autoregulation of Srebp-1c and upregulation of its target lipogenic genes (Fas, Scd-1, and Acc1), as documented previously139,145. Concomitantly, NF-kB-activation along with its transcriptional activation of inflammatory cytokine (Tnfa, Il-6, Mcp-1 and Il-β-i) expression was also noted in Chip-KO livers139. However, in spite of all these early pathognomonic indices of NASH, corresponding histological analyses of 2-, and 4-month-old Chip-KO mouse livers versus age-matched WT mouse livers showed no major alterations in Hematoxylin and eosin (H&E)-based histology and no inflammatory signs139,146. Oil red O-staining showed only a progressive increase in hepatic microvesicular fat accumulation, albeit uncharacteristic of NASH, in 2- and 4-month-old Chip-KO animals versus corresponding WT-controls139.

A PathScan Intracellular Signaling array provided an important clue to this apparent resistance of Chip-KO mice to NASH at this stage139. It revealed a two-fold activation of the energy sensor, AMP-protein kinase (AMPKα1) in these 2-month-old Chip-KO livers relative to corresponding age-matched controls that was also CYP2E1-dependent139. Upon further probing, the CHIP-substrate AMPK-activating kinase LKB1206 was indeed verified to be activated139. Moreover, AMPKα1 was itself discovered to be a CHIP-substrate, being stabilized and thus further activated upon Chip-ablation139. Hepatic AMPKα1 activation in turn phosphorylated the transcriptional factors FOXO1 and FOXO3139,205,210, leading to the enhanced intranuclear import of their transcriptionally active phosphorylated species139. This not only protected the FOXO proteins from proteasomal degradation, but also triggered the transcriptional upregulation of their target genes (Fig. 4): (i) hepatic adiponectin receptors AdipoR1/R2206; (ii) oxidative-stress resistance [Mn-superoxide dismutase (Sod1), catalase (Cat), peroxisome-proliferator-activated receptor-γ coactivator (Pgc)-1α and its target, acetyl CoA-oxidase (Accox-1)]207-210; and (iii) lipoprotein (Ltg14) and lipoprotein lipase (Lpl) genes139,214,215. Additionally, AMPKα1 activation
Figure 4  Concurrent activation and inactivation of three critical intersecting cellular signaling pathways in Chip-KO mouse hepatocytes: (i) Activation of the injurious CYP2E1-ROS-JNK-signaling pathway resulting in activation of ROS-elicited ASK1-JNK1-c-Jun- and ASK1-JNK1-ATF2-signaling pathways with consequent nuclear pc-Jun-pATF2-heterodimerization and the transcriptional up-regulation of pro-inflammatory factors/cytokines, and proapoptotic Ache-expression. The CYP2E1-dependent steps inhibited by its diagnostic inhibitor 4-methylpyrazole (4MP) are indicated by red stop-lines. (ii) Suppressed insulin-signaling via activated pJNK-1 phosphorylation of insulin receptor substrate 1 (IRS-1) at Ser307, thereby impairing insulin–insulin receptor (InsR)-mediated IRS-1 activation via Tyr895-phosphorylation and ushering insulin resistance. Furthermore, CYP2E1-generated ROS would also inactivate Akt via oxidation, thereby impairing AktS473-phosphorylation required for effective insulin-signaling. (iii) Beneficial activation of the adiponectin-AMPK-FOXO signaling pathways by virtue of liver kinase B1 (LKB1) and AMP-kinase (AMPK1α) being CHIP-substrates that are stabilized upon Chip-KO and CYP2E1-mediated oxidative stress that activates AMPK1α leading to the activation via C-terminal phosphorylation of the FOXO transcriptional factors. Intranuclear import of phosphorylated FOXOs and subsequent upregulation of energy, lipid metabolism, lipophagic, autophagic and oxidative stress resistance genes and elevation of hepatic adiponectin receptors AdipoR1/AdipoR2 genes that would enhance hepatic adiponectin signaling thus further feed-forwarding the ROS-counteracting adiponectin-AMPK-FOXO signaling, at the least during the initial 2–4 months of age. Additionally, enhanced adiponectin-AMPK-signaling also increases the activity of its diagnostic probe, acetyl CoA carboxylase 2 (ACC2), thereby attenuating malonyl CoA production, derepressing carnitine palmitoyltransferase 1 activity, and stimulating mitochondrial FA uptake and β-oxidation. With age progression (>9 months), the persistent oxidative stress, JNK1 activation, ATF2-mediated mitochondrial disruption, inflammation and central venous congestion trigger nuclear pyknosis and hepatocyte ballooning causing the adiponectin-AMPK-FOXO signaling to wane and vanish altogether within 12 months of age, thus enabling the manifestation of overt macrovesicular steatosis and other NASH-hallmarks.

Figure 5  Relative levels of Insig 1 and Insig 2 and SREBP-1c proteins in INH-treated Chip-KO and Gp78-KO mouse hepatocytes and corresponding WT-controls: Hepatocytes were cultured for 48 h and then treated with INH for 3 days (as in Fig. 3). Lysate proteins (5 or 15 µg for Insig or SREBP-1c, respectively) were subjected to immunoblotting analyses with corresponding antibodies. P, precursor, N, nuclear SREBP-1c species identified on the basis of their corresponding molecular weights. For detailed methodology see Supporting Information. These data are unpublished findings by Kwon & Correia, 2019.
most likely would not only promote mitochondrial fatty acid (FA) β-oxidation through ACC2-phosphorylation190,208–213, but also blunt SREBP-1c-induced lipogenesis through AMPK-mediated protein phosphorylation that protects them from gp78-mediated ERAD16 (see below). This concerted upregulation of the hepatic adiponectin-LKB1-AMPK-FOXO1/3 signaling cascade (Fig. 4) and its beneficial anti-lipogenic and anti-oxidative stress responses coupled with pro-lipohagic effects and enhanced FA catabolism, thus was capable of effectively counteracting the concurrent pathognomonic NASH features217–221 prevalent in the 2- and 4-month-old Chip-KO livers139.

However, at 9 months of age, further aggravated hepatic insulin-resistance markedly reduced both hepatic Insig-1 and Insig-2 gene expression, with correspondingly enhanced SREBP-1c-transcriptional upregulation of lipogenic genes and hepatic triglyceride (TG) accumulation139. This together with the sustained JNK1-mediated oxidative liver injury and further magnified NF-κB-activation and inflammatory cytokine expression cumulatively led to hepatocyte dropout139. Accordingly, Chip-KO livers but not the WT-controls exhibited hepatocyte ballooning and apoptotic cells with pyknotic nuclei causing the salutary hepatic adiponectin-LKB1-AMPK-FOXO-1/3 signaling activation begin to wane at 9 months of age, and then with progressively worsened hepatocyte ballooning and enhanced cytoxicity, to disappear altogether by 12 months of age139. Not surprisingly, during this 9–12-month period, the earlier observed microvesicular hepatic steatosis yielded to the full-blown macrovesicular steatosis, characteristic of NASH190,217–221. It is worth noting that such NASH induction in Chip-KO mouse livers did not require any specialized high-fat/high sugar-enriched dietary regimen222,223, as the mice were fed a standard laboratory chow-diet throughout their lifetime.

### 3.2.3. Hepatic P450 ERAD-disruption through liver-specific Gp78/Amyr- ablation: CYP2E1-functional stabilization but no overt NASH?

Mouse hepatic CYPs 2E1 and 3A are also functionally stabilized upon liver-specific Gp78-ablation (Fig. 3). The magnitude of CYP2E1 and CYP3A functional stabilization is comparable in Gp78-KO and Chip-KO mouse livers139,140. However, in marked contrast to cultured Chip-KO hepatocytes, Gp78-KO hepatocytes upon culture retained much higher basal CYP2E1 levels (comparable to the INH-induced levels in cultured Chip-KO hepatocytes), which were further increased upon INH-induction (Fig. 3C). Yet, in contrast to the previous findings in age-matched INH-treated Chip-KO hepatocytes139, this was not associated with enhanced oxidative stress as indicated by the lack of substantially elevated hepatic MDA-levels, or even hepatic TG-accumulation in Gp78-KO hepatocytes relative to WT-controls at 2-months of age (Fig. 6). Additionally, in the course of defining the liver specific Gp78-KO mouse phenotype, preliminary SILAC-like (SILL) proteomic analyses of unlabeled Gp78-KO and stable isotope-labeled WT age/matched-livers revealed that in addition to the stabilization of several hepatic P450s (including CYP2E1 and CYPs 3A), several cytoplasmic enzymes in the fructose metabolic pathway were increased in Gp78-KO-liver (i.e., ketohexokinase (300%); 3-phosphoglycerokinase (200%–300%); phosphoglyceromutase (177%–250%; Supporting Information Table S1). This increase would normally enhance the fructose-flux into hepatic FA syntheses and TG generation (Figs. 7 and 8)214–217. However, even upon exposure to a lipogenic high fructose (20 mmol/L)-medium that triggers significant FA- and TG-accumulation and cytoltyicity in WT-hepatocytes (Fig. 6A), Gp78-KO hepatocytes consistently exhibited significantly lesser susceptibility to hepatic FA- and TG-accumulation than corresponding WT-controls (Fig. 6A). These relative differences in FA- and TG-accumulation were maintained even upon further INH-mediated induction of CYP2E1 content (Fig. 6B). Furthermore, only minor relative increases in MDA levels were observed, indicative of a mild oxidative stress response, despite the relatively elevated basal CYP2E1 content in Gp78-KO hepatocytes, and its further elevation upon INH-induction (Fig. 6B).

Several converging factors, we believe, synergistically contribute to the observed striking differences in CYP2E1-elicited lipogenic potential in Chip-KO versus Gp78-KO hepatocytes: (i) The differential effects of each E3-KO on hepatic Insig and SREBP-1c protein levels: upon INH-induction of CYP2E1, hepatic Insig 1 and Insig 2 proteins decline in Chip-KO hepatocytes due to the progressive hepatic insulin resistance139, whereas these proteins being bona fide gp78-substrates are markedly stabilized in Gp78-KO hepatocytes (Fig. 5). Moreover, SREBP-1c levels are greatly elevated in Chip-KO relative to WT-controls (Fig. 5), thus creating an imbalance in the Insig-dependent SREBP-1c-ER-retention capacity. Because of this, SREBP-1c is increasingly unleased from the ER and transcriptionally activated to its nuclear form in the Chip-KO hepatocytes (Fig. 5) for its consequent transcriptional upregulation of target lipogenic genes139. By contrast, upon Gp78-KO, these Insig proteins are functionally stabilized leading to their greater ER-retention of the SCAP:SREBP-1c complex, with corresponding suppression of the prolipogenic SREBP-1c transcriptional activation (Fig. 9A). Furthermore, because the LKB1-AMPK-FOXO-signaling cascade is also activated upon Gp78-KO (Fig. 10), it would further augment this anti-lipogenic scenario through enhanced AMPK-mediated SREBP-1c S372-phosphorylation that reportedly blocks its proteolytic processing to a transcriptionally active species228. Thus, in contrast to Chip-KO mice, despite CYP2E1 functional stabilization, the Gp78-KO mice exhibit enhanced energy expenditure relative to WT controls, remain leaner and do not develop NASH, even upon aging and/or a high fat-high sucrose obesity-inducing diet29; (ii) Enhanced extrahepatic FA/TG secretion due to an ~200% stabilization of apolipoprotein B 100 (Table S1), a documented gp78-substrate involved in hepatic LDL/VLDL-export30. (iii) Concomitant elevation of mitochondrial pyruvate carrier (567%; Table S1), diverting the fructose-derived pyruvate into the mitochondrial tricarboxylic cycle. (iv) Activation of hepatic adiponectin-LKB1-AMPK-FOXO1/3 signaling cascade with consequently enhanced PGC1 α- upregulation of energy metabolism as well as ACC2-stimulated mitochondrial FA β-oxidation211–213.

Intriguingly, in spite of the comparable CYP2E1 content upon INH induction, hepatic MDA-levels were only minimally increased in Gp78-KO hepatocytes (Fig. 6B), in contrast to the marked MDA elevation observed in Chip-KO hepatocytes139. Such a very mild oxidative stress response in Gp78-KO precluded not only JNK-1 activation (our preliminary findings), but also oxidative derangement of insulin-signaling (Fig. 9B). This finding strongly suggests that hepatic CYP2E1-dependent ROS-elicited lipid peroxidation with consequent JNK1 activation and insulin resistance occur only when hepatic lipid is markedly elevated and available for peroxidation.

---

1This novel finding suggests that SREBP-1c is a CHIP-target.
In summary, in concurrence with previous reports of Han et al.\textsuperscript{216}, Li et al.\textsuperscript{228} and Jiang et al.\textsuperscript{229}, we conclude that a major determinant of the differential responses observed upon comparable CYP2E1-functional stabilization in \textit{Chip}-KO and \textit{Gp78}-KO mouse livers is the ER-stability of the Insig-SCAP-SREBP-1c complex. Thus, liver-specific gp78-ablation coupled with the enhanced AMPK\textsubscript{1}\textalpha-phosphorylation of the Insig proteins protects them from ERAD\textsuperscript{216}, resulting in their ER-stabilization (Fig. 5), and corresponding ER-sequestration of the SCAP:SREBP-1c complex\textsuperscript{216}. However, this antilipogenic/NASH-countering response in \textit{Gp78}-KO livers is plausibly magnified by the synergistic apolipoprotein B100-mediated enhanced hepatic TG export (Table S1) coupled with the activation of the adiponectin-LKB1-AMPK-FOXO1/3 signaling cascade (Fig. 10) and consequent PGC1\alpha-upregulated energy metabolism and ACC2-mediated enhanced mitochondrial FA \textalpha-oxidation. Intriguingly, in marked contrast to the liver-specific \textit{Gp78}-KO, global \textit{Gp78}-ablation reportedly results in NASH and hepatocellular carcinoma\textsuperscript{231}.

On the other hand, in \textit{Chip}-KO mice, although the adiponectin-AMPK\textsubscript{1}\alpha-FOXO1/3 signaling initially protects the livers (Fig. 3), this beneficial signaling is suppressed as progressively augmented CYP2E1-dependent oxidative injury and sustained JNK-signaling activation trigger hepatic injury and hepatocyte dropout with age\textsuperscript{139}. This, coupled with the down regulation of the hepatic Insig proteins upon aggravated insulin resistance along with the concurrent elevation of SREBP-1c levels (Fig. 5), unleashed the transcriptional activation of SREBP-1c-induced hepatic lipogenesis that caused full-blown NASH within 12 months of age even in the absence of dietary obesity\textsuperscript{139}.

4. Plausible therapeutic and pathophysiological relevance of altered P450 ERAD/ALD?

Regrettably, our foregoing discussion of the therapeutic and pathophysiological relevance of P450 ERAD has focused largely on their UPD pathway due both to the paucity and the complexity of the documented evidence in the literature on the physiological relevance of altered P450 ALD that preclude definitive conclusions of its clinical relevance. Presumably, its therapeutic
implications should be very similar to those of altered P450 UPD, albeit largely dictated by the particular ALD-targeted P450 isoforms that are affected. Thus, in principle, ALD-targeted CYP2E1 given its critical role in acetaminophen-mediated hepatotoxicity and contribution to alcoholic liver disease could be a prime candidate. However, while the protective effects of rapamycin-induced ALD and the worsening effects of chloroquine-suppressed ALD on acetaminophen-elicited hepatotoxicity

Figure 7  Hepatic metabolism of circulating fructose into lipogenic pathways. Upon fructose uptake largely via the hepatic GLUT2 transporter, it is converted largely to fructose-1-P by ketohexokinase (KHK) and to a lesser extent to fructose-6-P by hexokinase (HK). Fructose-6-P is then phosphorylated to fructose-1,6-BiP by phosphofructokinase (PFK). Fructose-1,6-BiP is then in turn converted by aldolases A & C to glyceraldehyde-3-P (GA-3-P), which via sequential enzymatic steps catalyzed by GA-3-P dehydrogenase, 3-phosphoglycerate kinase 1/2 complex, glyceromutase, and pyruvate kinase is then converted to pyruvate. Pyruvate is taken up into the mitochondria via the mitochondrial pyruvate carrier and fed into the Kreb’s/Triacryoxylic acid (TCA) cycle. Fructose-1-P on the other hand, is converted to dihydroxyacetone (DHAP) and to GA via aldolases B and C. Both GA and DHAP can then be converted to glyceraldehyde-3-P (G-3-P) by acetyl CoA carboxylase 1 (ACC1), and thence into fatty acid (FA: palmitic acid) synthesis catalyzed by FA-synthase (FAS). Malonyl CoA inhibits FA import into the mitochondria by blocking carnitine palmitoyl-transferase (CPT1a) thereby blocking FA-β-oxidation (β-Oxid). Palmitic acid (C16:O) can be elongated to stearic acid (C18:O), whereas these FAs can be desaturated to palmitoleic (C16:1) and oleic (C18:1) acids, respectively, via stearoyl CoA desaturase (SCD1). Thus, hepatic uptake of circulating fructose leads to increased hepatic FA- and TG-accumulation, under normal conditions. Although glucose uptake shares some of the same metabolic intermediates, a relevant difference is that ATP, citrate and acidic pH generated via the TCA cycle exert a critical allosteric block at the rate-limiting enzyme PHK, but not of KHK238. This would limit glucose or fructose-derived fructose-6-P from further contributing to the FA- and TG-accumulation. By contrast, without such a block, fructose could proceed unimpeded via fructose-1-P to keep contributing to hepatic FA- and TG-syntheses and accumulation as TG-macrovesicles that are normally packaged along with apolipoprotein B 100 (ApoB 100) and exported as VLDL/LDL. Glycerol-3-P acetyltransferase (GPAT); acylglycerol-3-P acetyltransferase AGPAT; elongation of very long fatty acids protein 6 (ELOV6); lipid phosphatidate phosphatase LIPIN1; diacylglycerol-O-acyltransferase, DGAT2; very low-density lipoprotein, (VLDL). Scheme adapted from Park et al.241 and Softic et al.242.
seemingly reflect an inherent role of CYP2E1 in acetaminophen bioactivation, they are exerted at a stage beyond CYP2E1-dependent metabolic activation\textsuperscript{232}, and thus, quite independent of corresponding effects on CYP2E1-proteolytic stability. Furthermore, the genetic ALD-deficiency model (liver-specific \textit{Atg5}\textsuperscript{-KO}) reveals a very different picture. Thus, in contrast to chloroquine-suppressed ALD exacerbating acetaminophen-elicited liver injury\textsuperscript{232}, liver-specific \textit{Atg5}\textsuperscript{-KO} mice are protected from liver injury triggered by a toxic 500 mg/kg acetaminophen dose\textsuperscript{234}. This apparent discrepancy is due not only to reduced CYP2E1 content but also a persistent, compensatory activation of NRF2 that triggers the transcriptional activation of drug detoxification enzymes and GSH synthesis, as well as greater hepatocyte proliferation in the liver-specific \textit{Atg5}\textsuperscript{-KO} mice\textsuperscript{234}. All these responses synergistically contribute towards the observed resistance of the liver-specific \textit{Atg5}\textsuperscript{-KO} mice to acetaminophen-elicited hepatotoxicity\textsuperscript{234}. Interestingly, CYP2E1-stabilization upon chemically-induced autophagic disruption in HepG2 cells promotes, as expected, CYP2E1-dependent oxidative stress, mitochondrial dysfunction, JNK and p38 MAPK-activation, and consequent cytotoxicity\textsuperscript{235}. However, given the compensatory features of genetically disrupted ALD, it is unclear whether

Figure 8  Relative lipid accumulation in cultured hepatocytes from WT and \textit{Gp78}\textsuperscript{-KO} hepatocytes. Primary cultured hepatocytes were treated with or without fructose (10 or 20 mmol/L) for the last 3 days of a 5-day culture. Cells were fixed with 10% neutral buffered formalin. Lipids (red) and nuclei (blue) were stained with Oil red O and hematoxylin, respectively. For detailed methodology see Supporting Information. These data are unpublished findings by Kwon & Correia, 2019.

Figure 9  Lipogenic enzymes and insulin-signaling in WT and \textit{Gp78}\textsuperscript{-KO} mouse hepatocytes upon fructose treatment. Relative levels of hepatic ACC1, FAS and SCD-1 enzymes in the FA-synthetic pathway (A) and Akt-S473/T308 phosphorylation (B) were monitored by immunoblotting analyses. Akt-S473/T308 activation also leads to N-terminal phosphorylation of the FOXO transcription factors, indicative of normal hepatic insulin-signaling function. Hepatocytes were cultured as described above with or without fructose treatment (20 mmol/L) for the last 3 days of a 5-day culture. Values shown are from two independent cultures. GAPDH immunoreactivity was included as the loading control in panels A & B. For detailed methodology see Supporting Information. These data are unpublished findings by Kwon & Correia, 2019.

Figure 10  LKB1-AMPK1-FOXO 1/3-signaling in hepatocytes from WT and \textit{Gp78}\textsuperscript{-KO} mouse hepatocytes upon fructose treatment. Hepatocytes were cultured as described above with or without fructose treatment (20 mmol/L) for the last 3 days of a 5-day culture. The various proteins were monitored by immunoblotting analyses as previously detailed\textsuperscript{125}. GAPDH immunoreactivity was included as the loading control. Cells were fractionated into cytosolic and nuclear subfractions for FOXO-protein immunoblotting determinations, as previously detailed\textsuperscript{126}. For detailed methodology see Supporting Information. These data are unpublished findings by Kwon & Correia, 2019.
similar responses would be observed in vivo in ALD-deficient mice. Thus, although clinical lysosomal disorders involving genetic deficiency of lysosomal proteases and/or their defective trafficking, or even defective lysosomal non-enzymatic and/or membrane proteins are indeed known, it remains to be determined whether these disorders would specifically affect the clinically/pathophysiologically relevant function of P450s that are largely targeted to ALD.

5. Conclusions

Normal ERAD is vital for the regulation of basal P450 homeostasis and physiologic function. Accelerated P450 ERAD by impairing the metabolism of its drug substrates can prolong their pharmacological duration and therapeutic responses, often triggering adverse DDIs. For drugs with narrow therapeutic windows this could result in undesirable drug-induced toxicities. However, P450 ERAD can also be pathophysiologically relevant. Proinflammatory conditions such as acute drug-induced hypersensitivity reactions and hepatitis as well as viral infections that release cytokines such as IFNγ and TNFα induce immunoproteasomal assembly by re-accessorizing the constitutive 26S proteasome: Its 19S cap is replaced by the 11S/PA28α,β activator and its 20S proteolytic core is equipped with alternate specialized proteolytic iβ-subunits responsible for generating MHC class I compatible peptides for antigenic presentation and consequent generation of pathogenic immunoreactive serum P450 autoantibodies associated with these clinical conditions.

On the other hand, disruption of hepatic P450 ERAD via UPD or ALD, resulting in functional stabilization of P450s can have grave therapeutic consequences either through curtailing the pharmacological response of a drug, increasing its adverse effects through bioactivation to toxic metabolites, and/or triggering clinically significant DDIs similar to those observed with P450-inducers. However, such P450 functional stabilization can also be therapeutically harnessed into increased bioactivation of a chemotherapeutic prodrug, particularly one with an otherwise intrinsically low therapeutic index. Furthermore, under certain conspiring inopportune circumstances that cannot be effectively counteracted, disruption of P450 ERAD with subsequent P450 functional stabilization can also have pathological consequences. Thus, CYP2E1 functional stabilization upon Chip-KO results in hepatic oxidative stress, JNK1-activation, insulin resistance, FA- and TG-accumulation and liver injury that contribute to NASH. By contrast, despite comparable CYP2E1 functional stabilization, no significant hepatic FA- and TG-accumulation is observed upon Gp78-KO due to the ER-stabilization of the Insig proteins that prevents SREBP-1c-elicited transcriptional activation of hepatic lipogenesis. Thus, incipient P450-elicited oxidative stress and JNK1-activation coupled with a high intrahepatic lipogenic potential and sustained insulin resistance are the single most critical determinants of pathogenic NASH manifestations of disrupted P450 ERAD. The striking resemblance of these inherent features to those contributing to the currently explosive epidemic of type II diabetes/dietary obesity-induced nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD)/NASH is inescapable. The documented beneficial role of the adiponectin-LKB1-AMPK-FOXO1/3 signaling activation in suppressing early NASH development in Chip-KO mice coupled with the recently documented AMPKα-mediating anti-lipogenic effect via its ER-stabilization of the Insig proteins and impaired SREBP-1c transcriptional processing, once again reinforce the concept that pharmacological activation of hepatic AMPKα may indeed be a therapeutically beneficial NAFLD/NASH-counteractive strategy.
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