Mini-review: Eosinophils, a Useful Diagnostic Clue in Surgical Neuropathology
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Eosinophils are one of the polymorphonuclear granulocytes derived from bone marrow stem cells, and they contain many small cytoplasmic granules that stain bright red with eosin or brick-red with Romanowsky staining. Eosinophilic infiltration is also present in various human central nervous system (CNS) diseases such as parasitic infection, transverse myelitis, vasculitis, Langerhans cell histiocytosis, glioblastoma and etc... Due to the morphologic and functional characteristics, the presence of eosinophils in certain lesions may provide useful diagnostic clues in the right clinical setting. Consideration of this finding may facilitate the diagnosis of CNS pathologic lesions, especially in a small specimen such as a stereotactic biopsy.
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Eosinophils are one of the polymorphonuclear granulocytes derived from bone marrow stem cells, and they contain many small cytoplasmic granules that stain bright red with eosin or brick-red with Romanowsky staining. They are normally present in the peripheral blood and in the bone marrow. The granules contain many chemical mediators including histamine and proteins such as eosinophil peroxidase, ribonuclease, deoxyribonuclease, lipase, plasminogen and major basic protein, which may provoke toxic damage to adjacent tissue and microorganisms (especially helminths) upon degranulation and release.¹

The morphology of eosinophils is easily recognized under routine histochemical preparation due to their large specific granules and unique chromaticity. They are 12–17 μm in diameter and most of them have a bi–lobed or segmented nucleus that is often partly obscured by densely packed, coarse, spherical, uniform, refractile cytoplasmic granules.¹ Known immunohistochemical markers include BMK–13, CD69, and eosinophil cationic protein, among others.

Eosinophilia, a condition with increased numbers of eosinophils in the peripheral blood is seen in various pathological conditions. Particularly, it is a characteristic clinical sign in many types of parasitic infections and the defensive role against parasitic infection seems one of the principal roles of eosinophils in animals. The number of eosinophils is increased in tissues in many allergic disorders (e.g. in the nasal and bronchial mucosae in hay fever and asthma).¹
After differentiation in the bone marrow and release into the bloodstream, eosinophils persist in the circulation for 8–12 hours and can survive in tissues for an additional 8–12 days in the absence of stimulation. Eosinophils in the context of chronic inflammatory processes and repair, can be observed in the organizing phase of cerebral hematoma (Fig. 1), but eosinophilic infiltration is also present in various human central nervous system (CNS) diseases including eosinophilic meningoencephalitis, hyper-eosinophilic encephalopathy syndrome, eosinophilic meningitis, peripheral neuropathy, and neoplastic lesions such as neuroblastoma, leiomyoma and glioblastoma. Although the role of eosinophils in the pathogenesis of these diseases is not yet clearly defined, eosinophilia as well as the presence of eosinophils in the tissue specimen may provide surgical pathologists with a valuable clue to the diagnosis of a particular disease. In this short review, we will describe cases in which the identification of eosinophils on pathologic examination of a CNS lesion facilitated the diagnosis and highlight the diagnostic pitfalls that may be encountered in daily practice.

**EOSINOPHILS IN CEREBROSPINAL FLUID**

A 32-year-old female patient presented with paresthesias of the leg and trunk. A lumbar puncture was performed for CSF analysis, which was consistent with myelitis. Many acute inflammatory cells were noted in the specimen (Fig. 2). The clinical diagnosis was transverse myelitis.

Lumbar puncture for cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) examination is one of the key procedures in the diagnosis of diseases of the central nervous system. Since the CSF specimen is often stained using the
Papanicolaou method, it is very important to remember that the granules within eosinophils are not stained red or pink as usually described with the Papanicolaou method, but rather the granules are yellowish and refractile. Noting the characteristic bi-lobed nucleus of the cell enables the screener to recognize them more easily. This finding may provide the physician with valuable information since eosinophils may be found in a certain form of tumefactive demyelinating disease. On routine histologic examination, eosinophils are easily identified with adequate hematoxylin–eosin (H–E) stain. Overstaining by either one of hematoxylin or eosin or both, may lead to the inadequate staining of eosinophils. Therefore, it is important to consider that granulocytes with bi-lobed nuclei may represent eosinophils in inadequately processed histologic sections.

EOSINOPHILS IN PARASITIC INFECTION

A 71-year-old man was admitted for generalized tonic–clonic seizures. The MRI study revealed a ring-enhancing lesion with edema in the right temporal lobe, suggestive of parasitic infection or metastatic carcinoma. Peripheral eosinophilia was not present. During the operation, the surgeon found an abscess–like lesion with a hard shell without a grossly identifiable parasitic organism. The histologic examination revealed fragments of parasitic worms with acute and chronic inflammatory infiltrates with dense fibrosis, consistent with infection with Taenia solium, also known as cysticercosis. A few eosinophils in the fibrotic capsule were noted (Fig. 3).

Parasitic infection is one of the most common diagnoses encountered in a histologic section with eosinophilic infiltration in central nervous system specimens. Although the incidence of parasitic infection has markedly decreased in South Korea, it still comprises a large proportion of infectious disease in the CNS and the incidence of parasitic infection may increase as traveling outside of the country to endemic areas becomes more common. Among the variable etiologies of brain abscess, parasitic infection is higher on the differential diagnoses when eosinophils are present in the area adjacent to the lesion, although the infiltration of plasma cells rather than eosinophils dominates in many cases of parasitic infection, especially in those with chronic infection. Moreover, parts of the worm may not be identified or may have
degenerated with calcification in many cases. Thus, identifying rare eosinophils in the lesion may provide a clue to the diagnosis. Additional serologic studies together with this finding may help the clinical diagnosis.

**EOSINOPHILS AS A POTENTIAL KEY PLAYER OF PATHOGENESIS OF TRANSVERSE MYELITIS**

Transverse myelitis is a heterogeneous group of intramedullary inflammatory disorders of the spinal cord with acute and/or subacute clinical onset, and is likely an immune-mediated process. Postulated causes of the disease include infection, vaccination, Guillain–Barre syndrome (GBS), multiple sclerosis (MS), acute disseminated encephalomyelitis (ADEM), neuromyelitis optica, paraneoplastic myelopathy, vascular insufficiency, and autoimmune disorders.6-8

Myelopathy with eosinophilic infiltration has been reported in parasitic myelitis9-12 and idiopathic hypereosinophilic syndrome.12 Especially in Japan, Kira et al. investigated myelitis with eosinophilic infiltration13-15 and proposed the entity of ‘atopic myelitis (eosinophilic myelitis).’16 We have seen several cases of biopsy-confirmed myelitis with eosinophilic infiltration. The clinical findings of the patients are summarized in Table 1. All patients were male, and the age at the time of onset ranged from 35 to 46 years old. The lesions were in the cervical

| Table 1. The clinicoradiologic features of 4 cases of transverse myelitis |
|--------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Age of onset            | 41            | 36            | 46            | 35            |
| Sex                     | M             | M             | M             | M             |
| Level of lesions        | T2-4          | C1-5          | C2-3          | T6-9          |
| Past Medical History    | EGC*          | –             | –             | –             |
| Allergic History        | –             | Allergic rhinitis | –             | –             |
| Time course             | Subacute      | Subacute      | Subacute      | Subacute      |
| Clinical course         | Fluctuant     | Persistent    | Persistent    | Persistent    |
| Initial Symptoms        | Hyperesthesia below right chest | Pain on post. Neck & Hyperesthesia on Lt hand | Hyperesthesia on Rt chest and back | Pain on both legs |
| Main symptom at biopsy  | +             | +             | +             | +             |
| Paresthesia/Dysesthesia | +             | +             | +             | +             |
| Sensory impairment      | +             | +             | +             | +             |
| Motor weakness          | +             | +/-           | –             | –             |
| Steroid therapy & Response | +            | +             | –             | +             |
| Duration before biopsy  | 13 months    | 3 months     | 3 months     | 4 months     |
| Lab findings            |               |               |               |               |
| % of eosinophil on CBC  | 5.2           | 5.2           | 5.9           | 3.0           |
| Serum IgE concentration (U/ml) | Not checked | 2010         | Not checked   | Not checked   |
| MRI                     |               |               |               |               |
| T2WI                    | High          | High          | High          | Not done      |
| T1WI                    | Iso           | Iso           | Iso           | Not done      |
| T1 Gd                   | +             | +             | +             | Not done      |
| CT                      | Not done      | Not done      | Not done      | Mass like lesion |

EGC*: Early Gastric Cancer, MRI: Magnetic Resonance Imaging, CT: Computer Tomography
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or thoracic spinal cords. The duration of the disease before biopsy ranged from 3 to 13 months. All patients had subacute or chronic onset of symptoms. Biopsies were undertaken in all patients to exclude spinal cord tumor. All patients had a similar clinical presentation with pain or hyperesthesia. All but one patient (Case No. 3) received steroid pulse therapy before biopsy without clinical response. Interestingly, there was no evidence of parasitic infection or autoimmune disease in four cases. Only one patient (Case No. 2) had mild allergic symptoms (allergic rhinitis) and a high serum IgE level. However, none had high peripheral eosinophil counts. All patients showed improvement in their symptoms after biopsy and high-dose steroid treatment, but none of the patients fully recovered. The clinical findings of four patients were similar to those of the patients described by Osoegawa et al.16 The main histopathologic findings (Fig. 4) were: (1) perivascular and parenchymal lymphocytic infiltrations with many eosinophils, (Fig. 4A, 4B), (2) degranulation of eosinophils, (Fig. 4B, 4D) and (3) reactive gliosis (Fig. 4C). In addition, giant cells (Fig. 4D), Charcot-Leyden crystal protein (Fig. 4E), and the degeneration of myelin and axons (Fig. 4F) were present in Case 1.

Osoegawa et al. suggested eosinophil-induced neural damage as one of the effector mechanisms in this clinical manifestation.15 They stated that eosinophil infiltration was a unique histologic feature of atopic myelitis, and had never been reported in other spinal cord diseases such as neuromyelitis optica (NMO).16 In contrast to their argument, another group reported eosinophilic infiltration in NMO17 and the mouse experimental allergic encephalomyelitis model (experimental multiple sclerosis model) showed eosinophilic infiltration into the optic nerve in the early phase.18 Considering previously described cases and our cases, it is reasonable to conclude that eosinophilic infiltration may not be the specific pathologic feature of atopic myelitis as they suggested.

Fig. 4. The histologic findings of transverse myelitis. 1) perivascular and parenchymal lymphocytic infiltration with many eosinophils, (A. H-E x 200, B. H-E x 400), 2) degranulation of eosinophils, (B and D. H-E x 400) and 3) reactive gliosis (C. H-E x 400, arrows). In addition, giant cells (D. H-E x 400, arrows), Charcot-Leyden crystal protein (E. H-E x 1000, arrow), and the degeneration of myelin and axons (F. Bielschowsky's silver x 200) compared to control tissue (G. Bielschowsky's silver x 200) stain were present in Case 1.
Usually, clinically proven transverse myelitis is not an indication for biopsy and only the cases suspicious for neoplasm or with poor steroid response were biopsied. Thus, the histopathologic findings of transverse myelitis are not well described. We speculate that some of the cases reported as atopic myelitis may be related to so-called transverse myelitis given that they showed common histopathological characteristics, such as eosinophilic infiltration in the lesion. The patients in the present cases did not have any history of allergy or atopy, so the histopathologic finding of eosinophilic infiltration does not necessarily represent an allergic etiology of the disease. Rather, eosinophils may be recognized as one of the effector cells in this type of clinical disease. Our opinion is that the entity of atopic myelitis may belong to the larger clinical categories of transverse myelitis. Further investigation into the pathogenesis of those clinical entities is required, but the histological finding of eosinophilic infiltration may provide a diagnostic clue to aid in the diagnosis of so-called transverse myelitis in a given clinical situation.

EOSINOPHILS IN VASCULITIS

A 67-year-old man presented with persistent fever with mild constitutional symptoms. The symptoms were not relieved by antibiotics and headache with neck pain developed. A vascular lesion with beaded appearance was identified in the temporal area by PET-CT and a biopsy was performed. Intimal thickening with granulomatous inflammation and giant cell infiltration characteristic of giant cell arteritis were noted. Many eosinophils had infiltrated in the intima and media with degranulation (Fig. 5 A and B).

Several types of vasculitis affect the central nervous system. Vasculitis is characterized by inflammation and destruction of the blood vessel wall in the CNS,

Fig. 5. The histologic findings of CNS vasculitis, Giant cell arteritis (A. H-E x 100 and B. H-E x 400) and Churg-Strauss vasculitis (C. H-E x 100 and D. H-E x 400). Eosinophilic infiltration is noted (arrows).
and can either be primary or secondary.\textsuperscript{19}

Giant cell arteritis is a chronic inflammatory disorder of large- and medium-sized arteries involving mainly the temporal artery. The involvement of cranial arteries may cause headache, temporal swelling and tenderness as well as scalp necrosis, blindness and stroke in severe cases.\textsuperscript{20} The main histopathologic findings consist of a chronic inflammatory reaction with lymphocytes, histiocytes and multinucleated giant cells. Neutrophil granulocytes are not part of the process, but it has been reported that a few eosinophils may be seen.\textsuperscript{20}

Systemic vasculitis may involve the CNS. Among the different types, eosinophilic infiltration is typical in Churg-Strauss syndrome.\textsuperscript{21} CNS involvement by Churg-Strauss syndrome was reported with features of necrotizing vasculitis with abundant eosinophils and extravascular eosinophilic granulomatous vasculitis, in 6–7% of patients.\textsuperscript{22,23} (Fig. 5C and D) Microscopic polyangiitis is a systemic necrotizing vasculitis affecting small vessels with no or few immune deposits.\textsuperscript{24} Dural involvement by microscopic polyangiitis has been reported in several case reports.\textsuperscript{25,26} Diffuse infiltration by plasma cells and eosinophils was described.\textsuperscript{26} One report described a tumor–like cerebral perivasculitis in a pediatric patient with systemic lupus erythematosus.\textsuperscript{27} Brain biopsy revealed perivasculitis of the brain with marked perivascular infiltration of eosinophils, macrophages, and neutrophils.\textsuperscript{27}

**EOSINOPHILS IN LANGERHANS HISTIOCYTOSIS INVOLVING THE BRAIN**

Recently, the stereotactic biopsy has been an essential diagnostic procedure for intracerebral masses. Due to the limitation of the amount of tissue acquired and fragmentation during the procedure, pathologists find that specimens often have a marked pinching artifact and hemorrhage, which makes the diagnosis complicated. The present case is a good example. Initial diagnosis was limited due to the pinching artifact and the degranulated eosinophilic granules, which might have provided a diagnostic clue for Langerhans histiocytosis, were it not recognized properly.

A 30-year-old man presented to the hospital with polyuria, sweating, and lethargy. He had no known medical history and there were no neurological abnormalities in the physical examination, but a decreased serum level of antidiuretic hormone was noted. Hyposensitivity with abnormal testosterone level was also noted. Initially, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) demonstrated abnormal thickening of the pituitary stalk which suggested non-specific inflammation, but this gradually progressed and eventually developed into a suprasellar solitary lesion (0.3 → 1.2 cm) beyond the optic chiasm. The biopsy revealed glial and fibrotic tissue showing inflammatory cell infiltrates with crushing artifact and was reported as non-diagnostic. With the tentative diagnosis of germinoma, the patient underwent 19.8 Gy trial of radiation therapy that failed. Follow-up imaging revealed an increased size of the mass and a second biopsy was performed. The second biopsy revealed abundant lymphoplasma cells with fresh hemorrhage, findings suspicious for hypophysitis and lymphoma. A part of the biopsy showed a suspicious area where eosinophil degranulation mimicked the appearance of red blood cells: a few cells with folded nuclei were also observed (Fig. 6). These cells with
involved nuclei had CD1a and S-100 immunopositivity. Upon review of the first biopsy, neither histologic nor immunohistochemical evidence of Langerhans cell histiocytosis were noted, but several scattered eosinophils were found. Langerhans cells often look like disrupted inflammatory cells, and the lakes of eosinophilic granules within eosinophils may be misidentified as erythrocytes. Thus identification of eosinophils and/or eosinophilic degranulation may provide a useful diagnostic clue, especially in a limited biopsy specimen.

**EOSINOPHILS IN GliOBlastOMA**

Eosinophilic infiltration is also found in glioblastoma. We have seen several cases of glioblastoma showing eosinophilic infiltration. In many cases, it is concentrated in the peri-necrotic area, suggesting that this is part of the reparative process (Fig. 7A, B). Eosinophilic infiltration in the neoplastic lesion has been reported in several human cancers including colonic adenocarcinoma, cutaneous T cell lymphoma, gastric cancer, oral squamous cell carcinoma, renal cell carcinoma and malignant glioma. In an in vivo murine model, the eosinophils seem to be recruited to the necrotic tissue, which is also a primary determinant of glioblastoma. Eosinophils are an established effector cell in atopic disease and may therefore participate in the reported inverse associations between atopic disease (allergy, asthma, and eczema), and the risk of glioma, oral cancer, and gastrointestinal cancer. Recently, Currant et al. reviewed the role of eosinophils in glioblastoma tumorigenesis. They suggested that eosinophils may have roles in the initiation, promotion and progression of the disease. IL-4Ra-related response by IL-4 and IL-13 seems to enhance pro-eosinophilic chemokines and in certain cases, effective glioblastoma tumor eradication may occur in response to IL-4 and the concomitant recruitment of CD8+ T cells and eosino-
Fig. 7. Glioblastoma with eosinophils (A. H-E x 40). Adjacent necrotic area, eosinophilic infiltration is noted (B. H-E x 400, arrows).

Moreover, human astrocytes and glioblastoma tumor cells are known to produce GM-CSF,\textsuperscript{35,36} which may enhance eosinophil-related oxidative stress. Platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF) and PDGF receptor are expressed in a subset of glioblastoma and this signaling pathway seems to be involved in the regulation of NF-kappa B activation and cell proliferation.\textsuperscript{37,38} Eosinophils express PDGF receptor and may be activated by PDGF ligand, leading to activation with release of cytotoxic granules. This kind of response seems related to anti-tumor responses during tumor progression. Moreover, GM-CSF, known to be produced by glioblastoma, may activate eosinophils and in turn produce growth factors and matrix metalloproteinases in promoting tumorigenesis.\textsuperscript{36} 

There is a possibility that the infiltration of eosinophils may reflect a condition that emerges in response to increased intracranial pressures or non-specific phenomenon related with the repair process. Further study is needed to elucidate the role of eosinophils in the pathogenesis of glioblastoma.

Due to morphologic and functional characteristics, the presence of eosinophils in certain lesions may provide a useful diagnostic clue if understood in the relevant clinical setting. Consideration of this finding may facilitate the differential diagnosis of the lesion, especially in a small specimen such as a stereotactic biopsy.
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Peer Reviewers' Commentary

Eosinophilic infiltration is also present in various human central nervous system (CNS) diseases such as parasitic infection, transverse myelitis, vasculitis, Langerhans cell histiocytosis, glioblastoma and etc... Due to the morphologic and functional characteristics, the presence of eosinophils in certain lesions may provide useful diagnostic clues in the right clinical setting. Consideration of this finding may facilitate the diagnosis of CNS pathologic lesions, especially in a small specimen such as a stereotactic biopsy. In this review, eosinophilia as well as the presence of eosinophils in the tissue specimen may provide surgical pathologists with a valuable clue to the diagnosis of a particular disease.
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