Evaluating the Conservation Efforts of Multi-Projects Using Remote Sensing and Light Use Efficiency Model: A Case of Nyungwe Forest National Park, Rwanda

Evariste Rutebuka1,2, Lixiao Zhang1*, Ernest Frimpong Asamoah1,3, Emmanuel Rukundo1, Apollinaire William4

1State Key Joint Laboratory of Environmental Simulation and Pollution Control, School of Environment, Beijing Normal University, Beijing, China
2Environmental Department, Institute of Life and Earth Sciences, Pan-African University (PAULESI) and University of Ibadan, Ibadan, Nigeria
3Department of Land Reclamation and Rehabilitation, Faculty of Forest Resources Technology, Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, Kumasi, Ghana
4The Nature Conservancy, Africa Region, Arusha, Tanzania
Email: *13868188403@139.com

Abstract

This paper investigates the effectiveness of conservation efforts in the Nyungwe Forest National Park (Nyungwe). The forest is one of the six key landscapes identified for conservation in the Albertine Rift because it hosts many threatened species. As such, a number of different stakeholders have been involved in its conservation since 1987; yet, studies that emphasize and evaluate the success of these conservation efforts are limited. We combined a rapid and relatively low cost remotely-sensed data and the Light Use Efficiency model to generate forest conservation indicators such as NDVI, forest canopy Net Primary Productivity and carbon sequestered from 1986 to 2010. The influence of topographic and climatic factors on these indicators was examined. The supervised classifier was used to catalogue the area into Forest, Wetland, and Bareland. The forest was the major category (above 90%) of Nyungwe relative to wetland and bareland. Based on degradation intensity, two distinctive periods were realised; the first period spans 8 years (1986-1994) whereas the second spans 16 years (1994-2010). The former degradation intensity period is 10 times higher than the latter period. Although the size of forest recovered up to 90%, the daily NPP and carbon sequestration capacity decreased by 37.1% (i.e. NPP 6.5 Mg tons in 1986 to 4.1 Mg tons in 2010). Areas of the forest that are physically constrained
(high altitude) had a higher degradation. Guided by our indicators, there is an overall success in conservation efforts, but efforts were mostly concentrated in accessible areas. Therefore, conservation efforts that aim to respond to degradation of the inaccessible areas of the forest should be stressed in the management plan of the park.
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1. Introduction
It is essential to understand whether conservation efforts are yielding the desired effects (Washington et al., 2015). Nevertheless, most organisations struggle to implement appropriate, and/or effective monitoring and evaluation schemes (Kapos et al., 2008). Where some efforts exist, there is uncertainty about how to determine the right level of investment and to choose the correct tools to measure the conservation success (Wilder & Walpole, 2008). Kapos et al. (2008) iterate that resources for conservation are still inadequate due to the scale of the problem. Therefore, conservationists are very limited to assist in the protection of protected areas (PAs) due to limited funds for research and other related conservation projects in spite of the conservation efforts that have taken place in the last 50 years in East Africa (Adams, 2016; Myers et al., 2000).

Regardless of uncertainties surrounding the tools and methods for evaluating conservation efforts, there are several methods used to investigate the effectiveness of conservation projects. It includes critical stories of change (Okwaare & Hargreaves, 2009), systematisation (Selener et al., 1996), outcome mapping (Davies, 2012; Earl et al., 2001), etc. These methods have been replicated across different sites and have demonstrated progress within a conceptual model but they are time-consuming, expensive, and unsuitable for providing in-depth understanding of an issue (Wilder & Walpole, 2008). Nevertheless, success stories of the “Most Significant Change (MSC)” method have been reported in Bangladesh, Ghana, Vietnam and in Mekong Delta for participatory film making (Wilder & Walpole, 2008). Regardless of its strength, it shares a common weakness with the previous methods since it requires a considerable investment of time and high cost. Most of these methods measure effectiveness at either a project level (Kapos et al., 2008) or organisational level, and some at species level as an indicator of conservation success (Washington et al., 2015). Because they are project-specific, they are less likely to provide an accurate measure of its success or monitor time-series habitat change because no post-implementation monitoring plan is often instituted (Kapos et al., 2008). In addition, the Cambridge Conservation Forum (CCF) has developed a conceptual framework and a practical scorecard for evaluating major conservation activities but this method requires much time and cost and
also needs many inputs such as past project reports, previous studies results and others to be successfully applied. Therefore, Geographic Information System (GIS) combined with Remote Sensing (RS) and Light Use Efficiency, hereafter known as Combinatory Tool Method (CTM) was proposed to overcome the time consuming, high cost, and bias-prone methods.

Previously, the GIS and RS have been applied to ascertain forest habitat status (Dewan & Yamaguchi, 2009; Li et al., 2012; Reusing, 2000). The LUE theory was introduced by Monteith (1972) and later developed for further application in Remote Sensing technology. It has been noted as an effective method to monitor global Net Primary Productivity (NPP) and sequestered carbon using satellite-borne sensors (Myneni & Williams, 1994; Turner et al., 2002). The LUE describes how efficient vegetation fixes solar energy. Thus, the LUE combined with remote sensing data can model the vegetation productivity on a small or large scale (Li et al., 2012).

Determining the extent and nature of degradation invariably determines the status of its hosts. It then defines the impact of combined stakeholders’ conservation efforts over a long period. Thus, CTM can easily tackle and reduce the burden of research paucity due to financial constraints in Africa, at the same time measuring the impacts of different conservation efforts invested by different stakeholders in either conserving single species, multiple species or habitat. The CTM can measure spatiotemporal change indicators and the degree of indicators degradation to the associate’s factors like slope, altitude and climatic (Myneni & Williams, 1994; Turner et al., 2002).

In this study, we evaluate the status of the Nyungwe forest National Park by using the CTM. The Rwanda’s Nyungwe National Park is one of the six key forest habitat identified for conservation in the Albertine Rift (AR) being a host for many threatened species (Masozera, 2002; Seimon, 2012). The Nyungwe National Park herein called Nyungweto avoid acronym confusion between Nyungwe National Park (NNP) and Net Primary Productivity (NPP). Nyungwe has been experiencing much conservation efforts since the Wildlife Conservation Society (WCS) joined the Tourism and Conservation Department of the Rwanda Development Board (RDB) in 1987. But these conservation efforts have been continuously undermined by the burning of large areas in 1997 (Barnett & Dardis, 2011) and an increased rate of tree cutting 2010 (Mulindahabi et al., 2011). On another hand, Nyungwe’s conservation efforts were concentrated on community-based conservation projects while research was more concentrated on phenology and ethnology of that threatened fauna and flora and species inventory and discovery along with biodiversity surveys studies (Fashing et al., 2007; Fischer et al., 2003; Kaplan, 2001; Rutebuka et al., 2012). To the best of our knowledge, no study has tempted to assess its canopy cover over a period.

The main study objective was to investigate the combined conservation efforts success from different stakeholder of the Nyungwe. The specific objectives are to 1) use Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) as an indicator to measure the intensity of degradation of Nyungwe from 1986 to 2010, 2) explore the cor-
relation of slope and altitude with degradation, and 3) estimate the canopy Net Primary Productivity and sequestered carbon by using the Light Use Efficiency (LUE) model.

2. Material and Methods

2.1. Description of Study Area

Nyungwe is located in south-western part of Rwanda between latitude 2˚15’ and 2˚55’ south and longitude 29˚00’ and 29˚30’ east. Its altitude ranges from 1600 m and 2950 m a.s.l (Plumptre et al., 2004) (Figure 1). It was established in 1903 as a forest reserve by the colonial administration, from 1958 to 1973 Nyungwe has been downsized by over 150 km² due to fires, wood cutting, poaching, and small-scale agriculture (Alec, 2012). A buffer zone was established in 1984 to protect the park and its ecosystems from resource exploitation and reduce contact between the park’s wildlife and the local population (Alec, 2012). In 1988, the Rwandan Office of Tourism and National Parks (ORTPN) was given the mandate to enforce conservation regulations in the forest including efforts to control illegal mining, hunting and forest clearing (Masozera, 2002).

This protection status was upgraded to national park status in 2005. Since then, Nyungwe is the largest Afromontane protected area in Rwanda with 1015 km² without counting Cyamudongo and Gisakura forest according to the Rwandan law establishing Nyungwe National Park in 2005. The Nyungwe is one of the most significant rainforests in Africa because it is one of the few large surviving forests remaining between the altitudes of 1600 - 2900 m (Plumptre et al., 2004). When Nyungwe is considered together with the contiguous Kibira National Park in Burundi, they form one of the largest protected tropical mountain forests in Africa. Nyungwe is also recognized as a site of global importance for its biodiversity and endemism values, which are among the highest within the biologically rich Albertine Rift eco-region (Seimon, 2012). The climate of Nyungwe is typical of a tropical montane forest and is characterized by the bimodal regime with very small thermal seasonality and a long-wet season extending from September to May and much drier conditions during the mid-year months. The major dry season occurs between July and August and minor dry season takes place between December and January (Seimon, 2012).

2.2. Methods

2.2.1 Data Acquisition

Freely available dry season Landsat Thematic Mapper images were downloaded from the U.S. Geological Survey Global Visualisation Viewer (USGS, 2016) along with Rwanda Digital Elevation Model (DEM) for this study. Three criteria were taken into consideration i.e. cloud cover less than 5%, strip lines free and image acquisition date varies between July to August (dry season) (Table 1) to make sure that the results are of high quality of image and season climatic conditions consistencies.
The interval between series was not regular due to the cloud cover interference and seasons. These criteria have led to time series limit to 2010. The only images of 1986, 1989, 1994 and 2010 fulfil the criteria and were used in this study. The two meteorological stations have been identified in Nyungwe for daily recording of solar radiation, temperature, relative humidity and precipitation.
from the National Centres for Environmental Prediction (NCEP) and Climate Forecast System Reanalysis (CFSR) (NCEP, 2016). Monthly averages of the meteorological data were based on the acquired date of satellite imagery to express light use efficiency of Nyungwe. The collected data were analysed through designed framework Figure 2. Technical Framework for coverage change detection, NPP and DEM relationship.

2.2.2. Data Processing
The Environment for Visualizing Images (ENVI) image processing software was applied to the different time series Landsat images for accurate extraction and change detection analysis. First, the Dark Object Subtraction (DOS) was applied before atmospheric correction for converting digital numbers to at-satellite reflectance. The reason underneath is a conversion of digital numbers at satellite reflectance the fact that the dates of acquisition, sun azimuth and sun elevation angle varied. The temporal differences in sensor calibration and in environmental factors between the images acquired were also corrected. The digital numbers (DNs) were converted at satellite reflectance values for targeted bands (Huang et al., 2001; Myeong et al., 2006). Radiance was calculated from DN as follow in the Equation (1).

\[ L_\lambda = (DN_\lambda \text{gain}_\lambda) + \text{bias}_\lambda \]  

(1)

where; \( L \) is radiance; \( \lambda \) is the spectral band; the gain is the spectral band gain and bias is the spectral band offset. Then, the signal in each band and at each pixel was converted to in-band planetary albedo using the following Equation (2).

\[ \rho_\lambda = \frac{\pi L_\lambda d^2}{E_{\text{sun,}\lambda} \cos(\theta)} \]  

(2)

where; \( \rho \) is the unitless planetary reflectance; \( d \) is the Earth-Sun distance; \( E_{\text{sun}} \) is the solar atmospheric irradiance; and \( \theta \) is solar zenith angle in degree (Myeong et al., 2006).

After radiometric correction, band masking and registration to Nyungwe (WGS 84/UTM zone 35S) and bands stacking procedure were performed. The classification was based on ground knowledge of features such as wetlands and infrastructure e.g., roads and buildings. The main road that passes through the Nyungwe, Kitabi and Uwinka buildings, represented bareland during the selection of Regions of Interest (ROI) for classification. In addition, the Kamiranzovu wetland patches were selected as ROI to present wetland, and the main forestland class ROI was easily identified and selected since it the main coverage of Nyungwe. The selected ROIs were used as training data for supervised maximum likelihood classification. The images were classified into three land cover types namely wetland, forestland, and bareland using ENVI (v4.7) image processing. Before classification, we evaluated the ROIs to check the band separability. Band five (5) and six (6) were a good indicator of bareland, road and water body. Zhao, Chen and Area (2005) introduced the bareness index for quick mapping of bare areas.
from Landsat TM and ETM data. The relation is stated as Equation (3) $ND_{Bare}$ is always positive for bareland (Uddin et al., 2010).

$$ND_{Bare} = \frac{Band\ 5 - Band\ 6}{Band\ 5 + Band\ 6}$$

Wetland reflectance value was higher than forestland reflectance for band one, two and three. After the band separability analysis for each ROI, we run a supervised classification with maximum likelihood.

Though the ROI were chosen based on our best knowledge of study site, we conducted classification accuracy assessment and validation after supervised classification. Each class was examined against 150, 80 and 50 points for forestland, wetland and bareland classes, respectively. The points were collected in the field by means of global position systems (GPS) based on the rule of thumb of 50 points.
minimum per class (Congalton & Green, 2008) then evaluated using error matrix of user’s and producer’s accuracy, overall accuracy and the Kappa coefficient. Since the ground truth GPS points were too younger than Landsat images of 1986 to 2010, GPS points were collected guided by indigenous knowledge and long existing key features like main road and buildings, Kamiranzovu wetland and non-disturbed forest patches.

2.2.3. Change Detection
Change detection is defined as a process of identifying fluctuations in the state of an object or phenomenon by observing images at different times (Singh, 1989). Change detection studies seek to know 1) pattern of forest cover change, 2) processes of forest cover change (Forkuo & Frimpong, 2012). Evaluating coverage change using RS and GIS technologies has been found to be effective and efficient by many researchers (Dewan & Yamaguchi, 2009; Fichera et al., 2012; Myeong et al., 2006; Reusing, 2000). Change detection stage was proceeded based on the Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) generated raster to the fact that NDVI is the best index indicating greenness or healthy vegetation than other indices (Bannari et al., 1995; Myeong et al., 2006). NDVI is calculated in Equation (4).

\[
NDVI = \frac{(NIR - R)}{(NIR + R)} \tag{4}
\]

where: Near-Infra-Red (NIR) is the spectral reflectance measurements acquired in the near-infrared region also known as band four and the Red band (R) is the spectral reflectance measurements acquired in the red region known as band three (Woodcock et al., 2001).

The raster calculator was used and subtracted the 1986 NDVI image (raster) from the 2010 image and performed other time series change detection analysis. The pixel with negative value result indicates degraded areas or implies that the health vegetation of older image was better than the recent image; closest result value to zero or zero indicates neutral or non-degraded areas while positive result value presents upgraded areas. To evaluate altitudinal correlation with degradation, Digital Elevation Model (DEM) terrain of Rwanda of 10 m pixel size was re-sampled to 30 m pixel size using the nearest neighbour method to correspond 30 m pixel resolution of Landsat image.

2.2.4. Light Use Efficiency (LUE) Model
The LUE theory introduced by Monteith (1972) has been extensively applied because of the simplicity of its mechanisms, and the fact that it requires fewer physiological and ecological parameters, and can be easily combined with the remote-sensed data (Li et al., 2012). LUE is considered as constant for certain vegetation types or even entire eco-regions (Myneni & Williams, 1994; Turner et al., 2002). It is also an index to describe the efficiency of vegetation for fixing solar energy for NPP. NPP is defined as the net flux of carbon from the atmosphere into green plants per unit time. It refers to a rate process, i.e., the amount
of vegetable matter produced per day, week, or year (Gao et al., 2013).

For an area, the NPP (gC·m⁻²) equals an Amount of Photosynthetically Active Radiation (APAR) absorbed by green vegetation expressed in MJ·m⁻² multiplied by the actual light use efficiency (ε) expressed in gC·MJ⁻¹. The actual light use efficiency is the radiation that is converted to plant biomass increment (Li et al., 2012; Turner et al., 2002). NPP is calculated as follows in Equation (5):

\[
NPP_{x,t} = APAR_{x,t} \times \varepsilon_{x,t}
\]

where: \(APAR\) is the fraction of Photosynthetically Active Radiation (fPAR); it can be calculated as half of the total solar surface radiation (SOL) which is expressed MJ·m⁻². SOL\((x,t)\) refers to the global solar radiation of pixel \(x\) during the time \(t\). The fPAR is the fraction of the incoming PAR intercepted by green vegetation, and the factor of 0.5 was used because approximately half of the incoming solar radiation is in the PAR waveband (0.4 - 0.7 μm) (Potter et al., 1993) Equation (6).

\[
APAR_{x,t} = SOL_{x,t} \times fPAR_{x,t} \times 0.5
\]

The fPAR algorithms are the most important component of this model. The strong relationship between the normalised difference vegetation index (NDVI) and light absorbed by green vegetation make models based on LUE attractive in the remote sensing context (Sims et al., 2006). Daniel Sims found a linear relationship between fPAR and NDVI for a large set of different vegetation and \(a\) and \(b\) are empirical constants for that relationship (Li et al., 2012) Equation (7)

\[
fPAR = 1.24 \times NDVI - 0.168
\]

The plants used to develop this relationship included species common at sky oaks as well as a wide range of other plant species and functional types (including annuals, vines, deciduous and evergreen shrubs and trees, 16 species in all).

According to Potter et al. (1993), vegetation has maximum light use efficiency \((\varepsilon')\) of 0.389 gC·MJ⁻¹ in an ideal condition, but the actual light use efficiency \((\varepsilon)\) is affected by temperature and water. Therefore, \(\varepsilon\) can be described as a function of temperature and water stress (Field et al., 1995; Li et al., 2012; Potter et al., 1993; Turner et al., 2002; Wenquan et al., 2006). The relationship that allows effects of temperature and water stress on \(\varepsilon'\) is expressed in Equation (8).

\[
\varepsilon_{x,t} = T_{(e1)}(x,t) \times T_{(e2)}(x,t) \times W_{(e)}(x,t) \times \varepsilon'
\]

where: \(T_{(e1)}\) and \(T_{(e2)}\) account for effects of temperature stress, \(W_{(e)}\) accounts for effects of water stress, \(\varepsilon\) is the light-use efficiency (gC·MJ⁻¹) and \(\varepsilon'\) is the maximum light use efficiency. More information about the light use efficiency, equations and procedures please refer to (Potter et al., 1993). Photosynthetic optimum temperature is important to run the Equation (8), therefore, it has been extracted from (Mau, 2015; Potter et al., 1993; Vårhammar et al., 2015).

To estimate carbon sequestration, the NPP was multiplied by 44/12 (3.67) to convert it into CO₂ removal from the atmosphere (Lal & Singh, 2000; Li et al., 2012).
3. Results

3.1. Validation Analysis

The accuracy assessment performed based on ground truth information showed that all classes scored higher than 70% of Kappa coefficient with an overall accuracy higher than 80% in each class. The lowest accuracy is observed in the 2010 image. However, the observed variation was high in bareland where the high omission and commission errors were observed.

3.2. Cover Type Classes and NDVI Dynamics of the Study Area (1986-2010)

The supervised maximum likelihood classification generated three distinct land cover types. The dominant cover type was forest, which covered 91% (1986) to 90% of total size park in 2010. Wetland and bare covered 7.5% to 6.9% and 1.3% to 3% in 1986 and 2010, respectively. The size forest cover shrunk by 42 km$^2$ from 1986 to 1994 but it recovered by 32 km$^2$ from 1994 to 2010. Wetland was downsized from 77 km$^2$ in 1986 to 57 km$^2$ in 1989 but it recovered to about 70 km$^2$ in 2010. The dynamics of the forest was not the same as its mean NDVI. The mean NDVI decreased from 0.46 to 0.29 from 1986 to 2010, respectively. A similar trend in degradation was also observed to the wetland cover type (Figure 3).

3.3. Forest Health Analysis

The NDVI time series was used as the basis to assess forest health. The coverage change detection was calculated and categorised into three levels based on pixel values (Figure 4 and Figure 5).

The change detection process performed for 1989/1986, 1994/1986 and 2010/1986 revealed the overlapping proportion of 95%, 89% and 92%, respectively (Figure 6). The degradation increased from 13.7% in 1989 to 84% in 1994 and 92.4% in 2010. The lowest degradation was observed from 1986 and 1989 with the highest unchanged category of 83.3%. The degradation intensity of 84% observed from 1986 to 1994 was 10.5 times higher than the second period which recorded 8% increase from 1994 to 2010 (Figure 6).

![Figure 3](image-url) Forestland and wetland coverage and the mean value of NDVI.
3.4. Ecosystem Services: Net Primary Productivity and Carbon Sequestration

The Light use efficiency model combines NDVI with climatic and other variables to generate a comprehensive daily canopy NPP and carbon sequestration in the dry season (Figure 7 forestland) and (Figure 8 wetland). The highest forestland daily canopy NPP was 6.5 megatons in 1986 and 23.9 megatons of carbon sequestered. The daily NPP quantity was driven by many factors including pixel NDVI, size, light intensity, temperature and water. Forestland decreased by 37.1% of its daily canopy NPP capacity from 1986 to 2010 (6.5 to 4.1 megatons).
The highest decrease or 33% was observed only in a span of 8 years (1986 to 1994, from 6.5 to 4.3 megaton). Carbon sequestrated (CO2) decreased from 23.9 to 15 megatons and follows the trend of NPP (Figure 7). The wetland results are presented in (Figure 8).

3.5. Topographic Factors and Degradation of Forestland

The degradation of natural resources is associated with many factors which in-
clude human and natural induced factors. The degradation was significantly correlated with all of the topographic factors. The altitude analysis showed that degradation trend follows altitude linearly, the higher altitude the higher degradation with weak but significant correlation ($r = -0.070$, $P$-value $< 0.01$, $N = 951,266$ pixels). The high concentration of degraded is observed above 2000 m a.s.l (Figure 9).

The statistical analysis for slope showed significant correlation ($r = 0.119$, $P$-value $< 0.01$, $N = 951,266$ pixels). It indicated the low slope, high degradation; low slope areas are more likely to be degraded based on this study results (Figure 10).

4. Discussions

4.1. Regional Insecurity as a Driver of Nyungwe Degradation

The higher degradation revealed during the first half of the study period (1986-1994) corresponds to the period of armed insecurity started earlier 1990 until Rwanda Genocide of 1994 which led to the collapse of all undergoing projects in Nyungwe. This has affected not only human life but also wildlife. In some cases, it posits that armed conflicts may result in positive environmental impacts (e.g. vegetation and wildlife protection) when people a restricted of having access (e.g. militarised zones) (Brown et al., 2009; Dudley et al., 2002; Matthew et al., 2002; Price, 2003). However, the Rwandan case has negatively influenced the environment, its insecurity was characterised by natural resources over-exploitation and biodiversity, habitats, and wildlife degradation. The insecurity elucidated the dramatic degradation from 1989 to 1994 since refugees camps were established inside the forest and along with illegal activities including farming inside the

Figure 9. Altitudinal factor of Nyungwe: Altitude (X-axis) against NDVI change detection value (Y-axis).
Among numerous issues resulted from insecurity, government and populations struggle to rebuild and recover from other critical issues than environmental considerations often do not receive immediate attention (Cowles et al., 2013; Plumptre et al., 2002; Webber, 2013). This has posed a number of critical challenges to biodiversity conservation and then contributed evidently to a continuous degradation of Nyungwe after insecurity.

4.2. Degradation and Topographic Conditions

The topography of an area (e.g. altitude and slope) defines the possibility of access and determines the likelihood of environmental degradation by the human population. The study showed some interesting results where the high-altitude areas (i.e. the relatively inaccessible places) were the most degraded areas. This can be attributed to the fact that park patrol team monitors the forest area close to major roads and the boundaries between local population and park (Mulindahabi & Ntare, 2013) reports that rangers leave out the inaccessible places uncontrolled. Additionally, the Ranger Based Monitoring (RBM) system that was introduced in Nyungwe in 2003 aimed to monitor threats and key wildlife species within the park boundaries, as well as identify any trends and changes (Mulindahabi & Ntare, 2013), may be a reason for the low degradation of the forest from 1990 to 2010. In 2010, wildfires led to the loss of about 500 hectares of the park (Mulindahabi et al., 2011).

4.3. Climatic Envelope Influences on Nyungwe Degradation

Climate envelope includes temperature, precipitation and solar radiation variables.
were discussed with the recent study on Nyungwe which has used Community Earth System Model (CESM) (Seimon, 2012). The model has found similar results with the present study where it has shown a broadleaf evergreen tree, broadleaf deciduous tree and carbon in leaves in the tropical area have a continuous decline from the 1970s until the range of present study time frame and after (Seimon, 2012). This reflects our results where the NPP capacity loss and NDVI degradation for Nyungwe have a continuous decline too. But temperature, precipitation and solar radiation variables used in Equation (8) for maximum light used efficiency still benefiting the flourishing of Nyungwe vegetation as long as the photosynthetic optimum temperature is not yet reached for Nyungwe (Vårhammar et al., 2015). The temperature and precipitation increase found in Seimon (2012) still an advantage to the photosynthesis. These flourishing factors of temperature and precipitation are neither explained by the present study nor by Seimon (2012) study. Therefore, the present study did not find any correlation between canopy cover degradation and climatic conditions.

5. Conclusion

The study assessed the forest cover changes over a period of 25 years (1994-2010) to ascertain the impacts of multiple projects that aimed to conserve the Nyungwe Park. Human-induced degradation is the most suspected threats to Nyungwe’s canopy cover during the high insecurity period. The reduction of carbon sequestration potential of the forest over the period has economic implications in terms of the polluter pay protocol. Degradation intensity decreased significantly (i.e. about 10 times) after the re-opening of conservation projects after the insecurity. Both wetland and forestland classes had recovered in size toward the relative original size in observed in 1986. Thus, the study identified that security is a key for conservation success. It is evident that Nyungwe is still facing threats. However, the inaccessible places are more susceptible to high threats to these threats. Therefore, periodic monitoring and park patrols should consider these inaccessible places in their management plan. Additionally, a more specific research on climatic change impact on vegetation dynamism of this forest is highly recommended. As a good indicator of conservation efforts, the CTM method used can be used to evaluate other forests reserves and/or protected areas.
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