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The authors wish to make a correction to this paper due to a minor change in indicator definition [1]. The overall findings of the study remain unchanged; however, we wish to include the updated data in the manuscript.

In the original article, the abstract stated that the axillary lymph node dissection for node-negative disease ranged from 11.8% to 33.3%. The range should be corrected to 3.4% to 32.6%.

In Section 3.4, the original article stated, “The quality indicators measured are summarized in Table 3. In Manitoba, 19.6% of women with confirmed node-negative disease received an axillary lymph node dissection. The percentage of women who received ALND for node-negative disease increased with age (2.6% 95% CI: 0.0 to 7.7 in 20–29 vs. 29.3% 95% CI: 19.4 to 39.1 in 80+). The percentage of women who underwent ALND for node-negative disease also varied by RHA of residence at diagnosis. Among women who lived in urban RHA, only 11.8% (95% CI: 8.5 to 15.2) underwent this procedure compared to a range of 21.0% (95% CI: 10.8 to 31.1) to 33.3% (95% CI: 26.1 to 40.6) in rural RHAs. Of those patients who received an axillary dissection for node-negative disease, most had stage I cancer. Among those who had surgery in urban RHA, 13.5% (95% CI: 10.6 to 16.4) underwent ALND for node-negative disease compared to 38.0% (95% CI: 29.8 to 46.1) in rural 1 and 42.4% (95% CI: 25.6–59.3) in rural 2”.

It should be replaced with the following,

“The quality indicators measured are summarized in Table 3. In Manitoba, 19.6% of women who underwent an axillary lymph node dissection were node negative. When looking at the percentage of node negative patients who underwent axillary dissection however, 5.8% of women with confirmed node-negative disease received an axillary lymph node dissection. This number was variable when looking at certain demographic factors. The percentage of women who received ALND for node-negative disease increased with age (1.9% 95% CI: 0.0 to 5.7 in 20–39 versus 7.9% 95% CI: 4.9 to 10.9 in 80+). The percentage of women who underwent ALND for node-negative disease also varied by RHA of residence at diagnosis. Among women who lived in urban RHA, only 3.4% (95% CI: 2.6 to 4.2) underwent this procedure compared to a range of 4.8% (95% CI: 2.3 to 7.4) to 15.9% (95% CI: 12.0 to 19.8) in rural RHAs. Of those patients who received an axillary dissection for node-negative disease, most had stage II cancer. Among those who had surgery in urban RHA, 3.4% (95% CI: 2.6 to 4.2) underwent ALND for node-negative disease compared to 20.4% (95% CI: 15.4 to 25.3) in rural 1 and 32.6% (95% CI: 18.6 to 46.6) in rural 2”.
In the original article, Table 3 was as follows:

**Table 3.** Surgical quality among women who underwent surgical resection for invasive breast cancer, Manitoba, 2010–2015.

| Characteristic | Axillary Lymph Node Dissection for Node Negative Disease | <30 Days Between First Surgical Consult and First Surgery | Re-excision After Breast-Conserving Surgery |
|----------------|---------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
|                | N      | % (95% CI) | N      | % (95% CI) | N      | % (95% CI) |
| Manitoba       | 137    | 19.6      | 1245   | 49.3       | 450    | 18.5      |
| **Age Group**  |        |           |        |            |        |            |
| 20–39          | -      |           | 27     | 32.9 (22.8, 43.1) | 20     | 35.7 (23.2, 48.3) |
| 40–49          | -      |           | 176    | 49.4 (44.2, 54.6) | 70     | 24.1 (19.1, 29.0) |
| 50–59          | -      |           | 299    | 46.7 (42.9, 50.6) | 118    | 20.0 (16.8, 23.2) |
| 60–69          | -      |           | 409    | 54.0 (50.4, 57.5) | 133    | 17.6 (14.9, 20.3) |
| 70–79          | -      |           | 227    | 48.6 (44.1, 53.1) | 76     | 15.7 (12.5, 19.0) |
| 80+            | -      |           | 107    | 48.0 (41.4, 54.5) | 33     | 12.5 (8.5, 16.5) |
| **RHA of Residence (at diagnosis)** |        |           |        |            |        |            |
| Urban 1        | 71     | 13.5 (10.6, 16.4) | n/a   |            | 376    | 17.5 (15.9, 19.1) |
| Rural 1        | 52     | 38.0 (29.8, 46.1) | n/a   |            | 57     | 23.0 (17.7, 28.2) |
| Rural 2        | 14     | 42.4 (25.6, 59.3) | n/a   |            | n/a    | n/a        |
| Rural 2–4      | n/a   |            | n/a   |            | 15     | 46.8 (29.6, 64.2) |
| **Stage**      |        |           |        |            |        |            |
| Stage I        | 80     | 88.9 (82.4, 95.4) | 609    | 49.6 (46.8, 52.4) | 208    | 15.5 (13.6, 17.4) |
| Stage II       | 57     | 18.6 (14.3, 23.0) | 496    | 52.2 (49.0, 55.4) | 179    | 20.1 (17.5, 22.8) |
| Stage III      | 0      | 0.0 (0.0, 0.0) | 140    | 40.1 (35.0, 45.3) | 56     | 32.7 (25.7, 39.8) |

Table 3 should be replaced with the following:

**Table 3.** Surgical quality among women who underwent surgical resection for invasive breast cancer, Manitoba, 2010–2015.

| Characteristic | Axillary Lymph Node Dissection for Node Negative Disease | <30 Days Between First Surgical Consult and First Surgery | Re-excision After Breast-Conserving Surgery |
|----------------|---------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
|                | N = 2379 | % (95% CI) | N = 2526 | % (95% CI) | N = 2439 | % (95% CI) |
| Manitoba       | 137    | 5.8      | 1245   | 49.3       | 450    | 18.5      |
| **Age Group**  |        |           |        |            |        |            |
| 20–39          | -      |           | 27     | 32.9 (22.8, 43.1) | 20     | 35.7 (23.2, 48.3) |
| 40–49          | -      |           | 176    | 49.4 (44.2, 54.6) | 70     | 24.1 (19.1, 29.0) |
| 50–59          | -      |           | 299    | 46.7 (42.9, 50.6) | 118    | 20.0 (16.8, 23.2) |
| 60–69          | -      |           | 409    | 54.0 (50.4, 57.5) | 133    | 17.6 (14.9, 20.3) |
| 70–79          | -      |           | 227    | 48.6 (44.1, 53.1) | 76     | 15.7 (12.5, 19.0) |
| 80+            | -      |           | 107    | 48.0 (41.4, 54.5) | 33     | 12.5 (8.5, 16.5) |
| **RHA of Residence (at diagnosis)** |        |           |        |            |        |            |
| Urban 1        | 71     | 13.5 (10.6, 16.4) | n/a   |            | 376    | 17.5 (15.9, 19.1) |
| Rural 1        | 52     | 38.0 (29.8, 46.1) | n/a   |            | 57     | 23.0 (17.7, 28.2) |
| Rural 2        | 14     | 42.4 (25.6, 59.3) | n/a   |            | n/a    | n/a        |
| Rural 2–4      | n/a   |            | n/a   |            | 15     | 46.8 (29.6, 64.2) |


Table 3. Cont.

| Characteristic | Axillary Lymph Node Dissection for Node Negative Disease (n = 2379) | ≤30 Days Between First Surgical Consult and First Surgery (n = 2526) | Re-excision After Breast-Conserving Surgery (n = 2439) |
|----------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
|                | n                  | % (95% CI)             | n                  | % (95% CI)             | n                  | % (95% CI)             |
| RHA of Surgery | Urban 1             | 71                   | 3.4 (2.6, 4.2)     | n/a                  | 376                 | 17.5 (15.9, 19.1)     |
|                | Rural 1             | 52                   | 20.4 (15.4, 25.3)  | n/a                  | 57                  | 23.0 (17.7, 28.2)     |
|                | Rural 2             | 14                   | 32.6 (18.6, 46.6)  | n/a                  | 15                  | 46.8 (29.6, 64.2)     |
| Rural 2–4      | n/a                | n/a                  | n/a                | n/a                  |                     |                      |
| Stage          | Stage I             | 80                   | 4.9 (3.9, 6.0)     | 609                  | 49.6 (46.8, 52.4)   | 208                 | 15.5 (13.6, 17.4)     |
|                | Stage II            | 57                   | 7.7 (5.8, 9.6)     | 496                  | 52.2 (49.0, 55.4)   | 179                 | 20.1 (17.5, 22.8)     |
|                | Stage III           | 0                    | 0.0 (0.0, 0.0)     | 140                  | 40.1 (35.0, 45.3)   | 56                  | 32.7 (25.7, 39.8)     |

n/a: The RHA of surgery stratification is not applicable for some indicators.

In the original article, the discussion stated the following, “In our study, we found that 19.6% of women in Manitoba with node-negative cancer underwent an ALND; other studies from other jurisdictions found this number to be as high as 49% [36]. Therefore, Manitoba meets the minimum standard published by EUSOMA but not the target”. It should be replaced with the following, “In our study, we found that 5.8% of women in Manitoba with node-negative cancer underwent an ALND, but that the number varied greatly by surgery geographic locations; other studies from other jurisdictions found this number to be as high as 49% [36]. Therefore, Manitoba meets the minimum standard published by EUSOMA as well as the target, but on a global scale, some centers are falling well behind this benchmark”.

The authors apologize for any inconvenience caused and state that the scientific conclusions are unaffected. The original article has been updated.
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