Globular cluster systems as tracers of the evolutionary history in NGC 3258 and NGC 3268 *

Juan Pablo Caso 1,2†, Lilia P. Bassino 1,2 and Matías Gómez 3
1 Facultad de Ciencias Astronómicas y Geofísicas de la Universidad Nacional de La Plata, and
Instituto de Astrofísica de La Plata (CCT La Plata – CONICET, UNLP), Paseo del Bosque S/N, B1900FWA La Plata, Argentina
2 Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas, Rivadavia 1917, C1033AAJ Ciudad Autónoma de Buenos Aires, Argentina
3 Departamento de Ciencias Físicas, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas, Universidad Andres Bello, Fernandez Concha 700, Las Condes, Chile

Accepted XXX. Received YYY; in original form ZZZ

ABSTRACT

We present a new photometric study of NGC 3258 and NGC 3268 globular cluster systems (GCSs), using images in filters B, C, V, R, I and z′, obtained from four different telescopes. The wide spatial coverage allow us to estimate the whole extension of both GCSs more precisely than in previous works, and new values for the richness of GCs subpopulations. We find differences in the azimuthal distribution between blue (metal-poor) and red (metal-rich) globular clusters (GCs), and confirm that radial profiles flatten towards the centre of the galaxies. In both cases we detected a radial gradient in the colour peak of blue GCs which might be related to the construction of the GCSs. We analyse the similarities and differences in both GCs, in the context of the possible evolutionary histories of the host galaxies. We also obtain photometric metallicities for a large number of GC candidates around NGC 3258, by applying multicolour-metallicity relations. These results confirm the bimodal metallicity distribution.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Despite some noteworthy cases, the bulk of the globular clusters systems (GCSs) are usually old stellar systems (e.g. Brodie & Strader 2006), formed under environmental conditions achieved during massive star formation episodes (Ashman & Zepf 1992; Larsen & Richtler 2000; Kuijken 2014). This fact implies a direct connection between the formation of GCSs and field stars populations, which might be useful to describe the evolutionary history of a galaxy based on the study of its GCS (e.g. Caso et al. 2013b, 2015; Escudero et al. 2015).

The most studied property of GCSs, mainly in early-type galaxies, is the bimodality of their colour distributions. This behaviour is usually understood as a result of bimodality in metallicity, in agreement with spectroscopic results (e.g. Woodley et al. 2010; Usher et al. 2012; Cantiello et al. 2014), despite other interpretations have been proposed (e.g. Yoon et al. 2006; Richtler 2013).

In this sense, we can highlight two theories in the current scheme of GCSs origin. According to one of them, massive star formation episodes, consequence of the merging of building blocks of proto-galaxies, are responsible for the formation of blue GCs (bona fide low-metal content) at high redshift, while the red ones (bona fide high-metal content) are formed in subsequent merger events, after gas enrichment by stellar evolution (Muratov & Gnedin 2010; Li & Gnedin 2014). Alternatively, Tonini (2013) suggests a hierarchical clustering model where the red GC subpopulation is composed by clusters formed in the galaxy main progenitor around redshift \( z \approx 2 \), while the blue GC subpopulation is composed by clusters accreted from satellites, and formed at redshifts \( z \approx 3-4 \). Both scenarios can explain the age difference found between the two GC subpopulations in the Galaxy (Leaman et al. 2013; Hansen et al. 2013).

Our target galaxies, NGC 3258 and NGC 3268, belong to the Antlia galaxy cluster, located in the South-
ern sky at a low Galactic latitude (≈ 19°). Its galaxy content was originally studied by Hopp & Materné (1985) and Ferguson & Sandage (1990), and more recently by Smith Castelli et al. (2012) and Calderón et al. (2015). The central part consists of two subgroups, each one dominated by one of these giant elliptical (gE) galaxies (i.e. NGC3258 and NGC3268) of almost the same luminosity. The cluster might be in a merging process, but neither group is moving in a coherent manner (Hess et al. 2015; Caso & Richtler 2015) to the north. The data were derived robust photometric metallicities for a large sample of GCs around the two gEs (i.e. NGC3258 and NGC3268) of almost the same luminosity. The cluster might be in a merging process, but our goal is to enhance previous studies by taking advantage of wider and deeper datasets. From these we have derived robust photometric metallicities for a large sample of GCs in NGC3258 for the first time.

Our goal is to enhance previous studies by taking advantage of wider and deeper datasets. From these we have derived robust photometric metallicities for a large sample of GCs in NGC3258 for the first time.

This paper is organized as follows. The observations and data reduction are described in section 2, and the results are presented in section 3, while section 4 is devoted to the discussion. Section 5 summarizes the concluding remarks.

2 OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTION

2.1 MOSAIC data

A subset of the data consists of wide-field images in the Washington photometric system, taken with the MOSAIC II camera mounted on the CTIO 4-m Blanco telescope. One field corresponds to the central region of the Antlia cluster while another field is located to the East (hereafter, CF and EF). The observations were performed during 4/5 April 2002 in the case of the CF, and during 24/25 March 2004 for the EF.

For the CF, four images in R and seven in C were obtained, all of them with an exposure time of 600 s. For the EF five 600 s images in R and seven 900 s exposure in C were observed. The Figure 1 shows the location of both MOSAIC fields, overlaid on a DSS image.

We used the Kron-Cousins R and Washington C filters, although the genuine Washington system uses $T_1$ instead of $R$. However, Geisler (1996) has shown that the Kron-Cousins $R$ and $T_1$ magnitudes only differ in the zero-point (we used $R - T_1 = -0.02$ from Dirsch et al. 2003b). The data were dithered in order to fill in the gaps between the eight individual CCD chips. The MOSAIC pixel scale is $0.24$ arcsec/pixel and its field-of-view (FOV) is $36 \times 36$ arcmin$^2$. Different FOV between CF and EF in Figure 1 are due to a large dithering in the 2004 observations, needed to solve an amplifier failure.

2.1.1 Data reduction

The MOSAIC data has been handled using the MSCRED package within IRAF. In order to facilitate point sources detection, the extended galaxy light was subtracted, using a ring median filter with an inner radius of 9 arcmin and an outer radius of 11 arcmin.

We applied the software SExtractor (Bertin & Arnouts 1996) to the R images, in order to obtain an initial selection of point sources. The software considered as a positive detection every group of five or more connected pixels with counts above $1.5 \times \sigma$ the sky level. The effective radius of classic GCs usually does not exceed a few parsecs (e.g., Harris 2009a). This implies that, at the adopted Antlia distance of $\approx 35$ Mpc (distance modulus $m - M = 32.73$, Dirsch et al. 2003b), GCs are seen as point sources on our MOSAIC images. Thus, we used the star/galaxy classifier from SExtractor, to generate the point sources’ catalogue. We performed the photometry with the DAOPHOT package (Stetson 1987). For both filters, a spatially variable PSF was modelled, employing about a hundred bright stars, well distributed over each field. The final point source selection was based on the $\chi$ and sharpness parameters of the ALLSTAR task.

In the case of the CF, we used the calibration equations from Dirsch et al. (2003b). For the EF, the calibration equations were obtained from standard star fields observed during both nights of the observing run. For each night, 4 to 5 fields, containing about 10 standard stars from the list of Geisler et al. (1996) were observed, spanning a large range in airmass (typically from 1.0 to 1.9). The fitted coefficients for each nights were indistinguishable within the uncertainties, and hence we used a single set of transformation equations.

Finally, the equations for the CF are:

$$ (C - T_1) = (c - r) - 0.687 - (0.418 \times X_C) - 0.14 \times X_R + 0.092 \times (C - T_1) $$

$$ T_1 = r + 0.628 - 0.14 \times X_R + 0.019 \times (C - T_1) $$

where $X_C$ and $X_R$ are the mean airmass coefficients for each filter. While $(c - r)$ and $r$ are the instrumental colour and magnitude, $(C - T_1)$ and $T_1$ are the calibrated ones.
Aperture corrections were obtained from the stars selected for each PSF, and extinction corrections were calculated from Schlady & Finkbeiner (2011) values (available in NED\(^1\)). The colour excess for \((C-T_1)\) was calculated as 
\[ E_{C-T_1} = 1.97 \times E_{B-V} \] 
(Harris & Canterna 1977). As both fields partially overlap (Fig. 1), we have been able to determine zero point differences between them from common point sources. Differences in C filter are marginal, 
\[-0.012 \pm 0.004, \] 
but \(T_1\) magnitudes in the EF are 
\[ 0.121 \pm 0.002 \text{mag} \] 
fainter than CF ones. We compared the \((C-T_1)\) colours of red Galactic stars and blue background galaxies from the CF photometry, easily identified in the colour-magnitude diagram (CMD), with those available in the literature in the same photometric system, e.g. for the GCs of NGC 1399 (Bassino et al. 2006), NGC 4636 (Dirsch et al. 2005), and M87 (Forte et al. 2007). In all cases, the colours of Galactic stars and background galaxies agree with those corresponding to our EF field. Hence, we decided to refer the photometry to this latter field and apply the zero-point corrections to the CF one.

### 2.1.2 Photometric completeness

The data completeness has been studied with the aid of the task ADDSTAR within IRAF, adding 1000 artificial stars to each science image. These stars present an homogeneous spatial coverage, and span the typical ranges in \(T_1\) magnitude and \((C-T_1)\) colour as GCs. Then, photometry was carried in the same manner as the original data. This was repeated ten times, resulting in a total of 10000 artificial stars, and the results were grouped in a single catalogue.

Each field was divided in nine regions, in order to search for strong spatial variations, but no trends were detected, with the exception of the gEs inner region. For these reason, all the point sources at less than 1 arcmin from gEs centre were avoided. The completeness functions in both MOSAIC fields are similar (Figure 2). The completeness in the fields falls below 90% at \(T_{1,0} \approx 22.6\) mag, and the 60% completeness is reached at \(T_{1,0} \approx 23.85\) mag.

Finally a single photometric catalogue was built with the point sources from both fields. In the overlapping region priority was given to the CF photometry.

---

\(^1\) This research has made use of the NASA/IPAC Extragalactic Database (NED) which is operated by the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, under contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

---

#### 2.1.3 Selection criteria

GC candidates were selected as points sources with 0.9 < \((C-T_1)\) < 2.3 mag and \(M_V > -10.5\). The colour range proposed here is similar to those used in literature (e.g. Dirsch et al. 2003a, 2005; Bassino et al. 2006). The magnitude limit, which implies \(V \approx 22.2\) mag at Antlia distance, was adopted to avoid ultra-compact dwarf (UCD) candidates (e.g. Mieske et al. 2012; Norris et al. 2014), previously studied in Caso et al. (2013a) and Caso et al. (2014). Assuming the mean \((V-R)\) colour for Es from Fukugita et al. (1995) to transform this latter magnitude, to select GCs candidates we chose \(T_{1,0} = 21.6\) mag as the bright end and \(T_{1,0} = 23.75\) mag as the faint one.

The background region selected to correct for the contamination, in the MOSAIC photometry, covers an area of 489 arcmin\(^2\) and is located at more than 25 arcmin from the gEs, in the Eastern portion of the EF. At this distance, the presence of GCs from NGC 3268 system should be negligible, considering that the extrapolation of the GC radial distribution at this galactocentric distance (see Section 3.2) is less than a seventh of the mean density in the region.

#### 2.2 FORS1 data

We also used FORS1–VLT images in the \(V\) and \(I\) bands (program 71.B-0122(A), PI B. Dirsch). These images correspond to three fields, two of them centred on each one of the gEs, and the third one located to the North-West direction (see Figure 1, from Bassino et al. 2008, hereafter N3258F, N3268F and BF, respectively). Their pixel scale is 0.2 arcsec/pixel and their FOV of 6.8 × 6.8 arcmin\(^2\). We refer to Bassino et al. (2008) and Caso et al. (2013a) for further details on the reduction and photometry of these data.

#### 2.2.1 Photometric completeness

The procedure was similar to that applied to the MOSAIC photometry. This time we added 500 artificial stars to each image, and repeated this procedure 40 times, totaling 20000 stars. The results are shown in Figure 3, where the completeness curves for N3258F and N3268F were determined independently for objects at less than 1 arcmin from galaxy centre (open symbols) and out of this limit (filled symbols). Completeness curves for galactocentric distances larger than 1 arcmin are similar in the three fields, achieving 50% at \(V_0 = 26\) mag.

#### 2.2.2 Selection criteria

Following similar criteria as those described in Section 2.1.3, in this case the GC candidates were selected with 0.6 < \((V-I)\) < 1.4 mag and 25.5 < \(V_0 < 22.2\) mag. The BF was used to estimate the contamination.
2.3 ACS data

In addition, images of an ACS field on NGC 3258 were obtained from the Hubble Space Telescope Data Archive\(^2\) (programme 9427, PI: W. E. Harris), which will be used just to estimate photometric metallicities. The resulting images are the composite of four 1340 s exposures in the F435 filter and four 570 s in the F814 one, where individual exposures were observed in October 2002. Moreover, a field of 47 Tucanae outskirts was used to model the PSF. These observations had been carried out in the same filters as NGC 3258, with exposure times of 30 s, in September 2002 (programme 9656). These images present a pixel scale of 0.05 arcsec/pixel and a FOV of 202 × 202 arcsec\(^2\).

### 2.3.1 Photometry

With HST GC-like objects might be marginally resolved at NGC 3258 distance (e.g. Caso et al. 2013a, 2014). Hence, we ran SExtractor on the images in both filters, and considered as likely GC candidates those sources with ellipticity \(\epsilon < 2\) and \(FWHM < 5\) px, similar to other GC studies developed with ACS images (e.g. Jordán et al. 2004, 2007). We performed aperture photometry with the PHOT task within IRAF, using an aperture radius of 5 px. In order to obtain the PSF from the 47 Tucanae fields, approximately 40 bright stars were used in each filter. Then, the ISHAPE (Larsen 1999) software was used, considering such PSF, to calculate structural parameters for bright GC candidates, resulting in a typical effective radius \(R_{\text{eff}}\) of 0.33 px (i.e., \(R_{\text{eff}} \approx 3\) pc at Antlia distance). Approximately 20 GC candidates brighter than \(F814W = 23.5\) mag, relatively isolated and with \(0.28 < R_{\text{eff}}[\text{px}] < 0.38\) in both filters were used to calculate aperture corrections, resulting in −0.09 mag for F435W and −0.10 mag for F814W.

Calibrated magnitudes in \(B\) and \(I\) filters were obtained using the relation

\[
m_{\text{cal}} = m_{\text{inst}} + ZP
\]

with zero points \(ZP_{\text{F435}} = 25.779\) and \(ZP_{\text{F814}} = 25.501\), taken from Sirianni et al. (2005).

Finally, we applied the Schlafly & Finkbeiner (2011) Galactic extinction corrections obtained from NED.

### 2.4 GMOS data

We also used \(z’\) images of a GMOS-Gemini South field, obtained during semester 2016A (programme GS-2016A-Q-66, PI: J.P. Caso). This field contains the gE NGC 3258 (see Fig 1) and was observed as \(22 \times 200\) s exposures, slightly dithered in order to fill in the gaps of the GMOS-S field and to efficiently remove cosmic rays and bad pixels. The pixel scale in GMOS field is 0.16 arcsec/pixel and the FOV 5.5 × 5.5 arcmin\(^2\).

#### 2.4.1 Data reduction and photometry

The image reduction was performed following standard procedures with the GEMINI package within IRAF.

First, we subtracted the extended galaxy light applying a median filter. Then, we used SExtractor in order to obtain an initial point sources’ catalogue. The photometry was performed with the DAOPHOT package (Stetson 1987) within IRAF. A second-order variable PSF was generated from a sample of bright stars, well distributed over the field. This PSF was used to calculate the PSF photometry with the ALLSTAR task. The final point source selection was made with the \(\chi^2\) and sharpness parameters of ALLSTAR.

Aperture correction was obtained from the same bright and moderately isolated stars used to model the PSF and resulted −0.23 mag.

#### 2.4.2 Photometric calibration

A photometric standard stars field from the list of Smith & et al. (2002) was observed together with the science data and reduced in the same manner. We obtained aperture photometry for the stars located in the field in order to fit transformation equations of the form:

\[
z_{\text{cal}} = ZP + z_{\text{inst}} - K_{\text{CP}} \times (X - 1) + CT \times (i - z')
\]

where \(m_{\text{cal}}\) and \(m_{\text{inst}}\) are the calibrated and instrumental magnitudes, respectively, and \(ZP\) is the photometric zero point, which resulted 28.08 ± 0.02. \(K_{\text{CP}}\) is the mean atmospheric extinction at Cerro Pachón, obtained from the Gemini Observatory Web Page\(^3\), \(X\) is the airmass, and \(CT\) the colour term, which is a minor correction for GMOS observations (\(CT = -0.04\) for \(z’\) filter). As we do not have \(i’\) observations for the standard stars, we obtained their \((i' - z')\) colour from the Smith & et al. (2002) catalogue.

---

\(^2\) Some of the data presented in this paper were obtained from the Mikulski Archive for Space Telescopes (MAST). STScI is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., under NASA contract NAS5-26555. Support for MAST for non-HST data is provided by the NASA Office of Space Science via grant NNX09AF08G and by other grants and contracts.

\(^3\) http://www.gemini.edu/sciops/instruments/gmos/calibration

---

**Figure 3.** Completeness curves for the three FORS1 fields. For N3258F and N3268F we discriminated between objects at less than 1 arcmin from galaxy centre (open symbols) and out of this limit (filled symbols).
Afterwards, we applied the Schlafly & Finkbeiner (2011) Galactic extinction corrections obtained from NED. GMOS data will be only used for photometric metallicity estimations in Section 3.5, and completeness curves will not be applied. Despite of this, we analyzed the quality of the photometry adding, 5000 artificial stars split in 20 images. Then we repeated the photometry in the same manner than the original and compared the measured magnitudes for this set of artificial stars with the input ones. The results showed that magnitudes are not affected neither by the reduction nor photometry procedures.

3 RESULTS

3.1 Spatial distributions

The left panel of Figure 4 shows the spatial distribution of GC candidates around NGC 3268 and NGC 3258 from MOSAIC data for the colour range 0.9 < (C - T1)0 < 1.5, assumed as the blue subpopulation. The figure spans 40.5 × 40.5 arcmin², and represents the smoothed counts, obtained after dividing the region in 0.5 arcmin-side cells. The right panel is analogue to the previous one, but devoted to the red GCs, which are assumed to span 1.5 < (C - T1)0 < 2.2. Hereafter, the terms blue and red GCs will refer to these colour ranges.

The projected distribution of blue GCs around NGC 3258 is elongated, in a direction that roughly matches the one that crosses both galaxy centres. In the case of NGC 3268, the elongation is not so evident, but an overdensity of GCs towards NGC 3258 seems to be present. For both galaxies, the spatial distribution of red GCs is nearly circular, though that of NGC 3268 looks slightly elongated in the same direction. Dirsch et al. (2003b) and Bassino et al. (2008) indicated that the projected distribution of “all” GCs in NGC 3258 and NGC 3268 seem to be elongated in the direction towards the other gE. From the results in Fig. 4, it is worth discriminating between blue and red GCs in both galaxies, assuming the colour ranges previously indicated. As both GCSs are dominated by blue GCs, the azimuthal distributions for red ones are represented with a larger step (40") instead of 30").

From FORS1 data, a similar behaviour in the spatial distribution was found for both galaxies in the limited radial range where azimuthal completeness is achieved.

The upper panel of Figure 5 shows the azimuthal distribution for NGC 3258 GC candidates located within 4 arcmin from the galaxy centre, as the number of GCs versus position angle (PA). We select this radial limit due the presence of several bright galaxies close to both gEs in projected distance. The PA was measured from North, to the East. We separated blue and red subpopulations, represented by solid and dashed histograms, respectively. The solid and dashed curves indicate the smoothed distributions for each subpopulation, obtained with a Gaussian kernel. The azimuthal distribution for red GCs shows a single maximum at PA ≈ 60°, similar to the projected direction to NGC 3268. Blue GC distribution presents a peak at ≈ 50°, together with a second one separated by ≈ 150°. These results are similar to what is expected for elongated distributions, taking into account the adopted step. According to this, the dotted line indicates the sinusoidal curve with a π-period fitted to the distribution. Its amplitude and PA are 4.2 ± 1.2 and 42.5 ± 3°.

The lower panel refers to NGC 3268 GCs. For both subpopulations, the spatial distribution presents a clearly larger number of GCs around PA ≈ 220°, which matches with the projected direction to NGC 3258. The smoothed distribution for red GCs seems to present a second maximum at ≈ 80°, which might indicate an elongated distribution, but the evidence is not conclusive.

There is plenty of evidence in the literature that points to a close relation between red GCs and galaxy starlight in ellipticals, while blue GCs probably follow the total mass distribution (e.g. Forbes et al. 2012, and references therein). In this context, our results are in agreement with the parameters of the diffuse starlight in both gEs, that present some ellipticity for the inner 2.5 arcmin and a PA of ≈ 70° (e.g. Dirsch et al. 2003b; Bassino et al. 2008). The diffuse X-ray emission in both galaxies are also elongated (Pedersen et al. 1997; Nakazawa et al. 2000), something that could link blue GC distributions to them.

3.2 Radial distributions

While MOSAIC spatial coverage allow us to determine the total extension of the GCS, as well as an improved background correction, the depth of FORS1 data results in accurate statistics for the inner part of the GCSs. Therefore, we complement the results from both photometries in order to obtain the radial profiles for blue and red GCs.

From the MOSAIC data we determined the radial distribution for galactocentric distances larger than 1 arcmin. In order to avoid changes in the completeness due to the presence of the three NGC 3268 bright neighbours, we excluded GC candidates near the other bright galaxies in the field (i.e., at less than 1 arcmin from NGC 3257, NGC 3260, NGC 3267 or NGC 3273, and 3 arcmin from NGC 3271). In addition, the gEs GCSs probably overlap as suggested by Bassino et al. (2008). As a consequence, we obtained the extension of both GCS in an iterative way, avoiding candidates in regions where previous studies indicated that a contribution from the other GCS was expected. For both gEs we proposed that the total extension for the GCS is achieved when the GC surface density is equal to 30 per cent of the background level. This criterium has been successfully applied to the study of other GCSs (e.g. Caso et al. 2015; Bassino & Caso 2017), including those developed with MOSAIC images (e.g. Bassino et al. 2006; Caso et al. 2013b). The radial profiles were corrected for contamination and the errors were assumed as Poissonian.

The upper panel of Figure 6 corresponds to the radial projected distribution of GCs around NGC 3258 derived from MOSAIC data. Galactocentric distances smaller than 1 arcmin are avoided due increasing incompleteness. Radial bins that do not overlap with NGC 3268 GCS (from the iterative process, those with projected distance to NGC 3258 lower than r = 7 arcmin) are indicated with filled symbols. Open symbols represent the projected density at galactocentric radii where both GCS might be overimposed, i.e. the ones where we avoid the overlapping region, which substantially reduces the analyzed area. For blue GCs, we obtain the radial distribution using concentric ellipses with position angle PA=41° (Figure 5) and ellipticities ε = 0.32, calculated
Figure 4. The left panel shows the spatial distribution for blue GC candidates around NGC 3268 (upper left) and NGC 3258 (lower right) from the MOSAIC data. Both gEs centres are indicated with circles, while small triangles refer to the centre of NGC 3273 and NGC 3271, two disc galaxies with small GCSs. The dashed ellipse represents the parameters of the spatial distribution derived from the azimuthal distribution (see text in Section 3.1 and Figure 5). The right panel is analogue but for red GC candidates. North is up and East to the left.

Figure 5. Azimuthal distribution of GC candidates within 4 arcmin from the centre of NGC 3258 (upper panel) and NGC 3268 (lower panel). In both cases, we discriminate between blue (solid histogram) and red GCs (dashed histogram). The solid and dashed curves indicate the smoothed distributions for each subpopulation, obtained with a Gaussian kernel. The dotted curve in the upper panel shows the least-squares fitting to the blue GCs distribution.

from our results as $\epsilon = 1 - \left(\frac{N_b}{N_a}\right)^{1/\alpha}$ (Dirsch et al. 2003b, $N_a$ and $N_b$ being the number of GCs along major and minor axes, and $\alpha$ the slope absolute value for the radial profile when azimuthal symmetry is assumed). As an example, an ellipse with this parameters and major axis 4.5 arcmin is plotted in Figure 4. The dashed horizontal line represents 30 percent of the background level for the entire sample, while dot-dashed and dotted lines correspond to blue and red GCs, respectively. We indicate the results of fitting a power-law to blue (circles) and red (triangles) GC distributions with dashed lines, while the solid one represents the power-law fitted to the entire sample of GC candidates (squares). The extrapolation in both curves indicates an extension for the GCS of $\approx 17$ arcmin ($\approx 170$ kpc at Antlia distance) that is defined by the blue subpopulation, while the red subpopulation seems to be more concentrated towards NGC 3258, reaching 6 arcmin. The slopes for the fitted power-laws were $-1.76 \pm 0.10$ and $-2.90 \pm 0.15$ for the blue and red GCs, respectively.

Several studies point to a flatter radial profile in the inner regions of GCSs (e.g. Elson et al. 1998), probably due to GC erosion during early stages of the system evolution (e.g. Capuzzo-Dolcetta & Mastrobuono-Battisti 2009; Brodie et al. 2014). In order to extend the radial profiles from MOSAIC data to these innermost regions, we also ob-
NGC 3268 shows the parameters obtained for both distributions. NGC 3258 shows the radial profile from MOSAIC data. Dots represent the entire sample, circles indicate blue GCs, while triangles show red ones. The horizontal line refers to the 30% of the background level for the entire sample (dashed), the blue GCs (dot-dashed) and the red ones (dotted). This limit is proposed to estimate the GCS extension. The three profiles are background corrected.

In order to fit the FORS1 profile, we adopted a modified Hubble distribution (Binney & Tremaine 1987; Dirsch et al. 2003b),

\[ n(r) = a \left( 1 + \left( \frac{r}{r_0} \right)^2 \right)^{-3\beta} \]

which behaves as a power-law with slope \(2\beta\) for large radii. Despite its limited photometric depth, the large FOV of MOSAIC images allow us to obtain a more accurate determination of the slope of radial distributions than FORS1 fields. Thus, for fitting the Hubble distribution we fixed \(\beta\) as a half the slope of the corresponding power-law obtained from MOSAIC data. Hence, only \(a\) and \(r_0\) remained as free parameters to fit.

The upper panel of Figure 7 shows the radial profile for GCs around NGC 3258 brighter than \(V_0 = 25.5\), background and completeness corrected. We discriminated in blue (squares) and red (circles) subpopulations using the colour limit \((V - I)_0 = 1.05\), which has been previously used by Bassino et al. (2008). The dotted curves represent the power-laws previously fitted for the outer GCS region from MOSAIC data. It can be noticed the good agreement between the fitted power-laws and the FORS1 data. Dashed lines represent the modified Hubble distributions fitted to FORS1 data. The lower panel is analogue, but for NGC 3268.

Table 1. Parameters of the modified Hubble distribution fitted to the FORS1 radial profiles.

|          | NGC 3258 | NGC 3268 |
|----------|----------|----------|
|          | Blue GCs | Red GCs  | Blue GCs | Red GCs  |
| \(a\)    | 2.23 ± 0.05 | 2.05 ± 0.06 | 1.85 ± 0.03 | 2.09 ± 0.06 |
| \(r_0\)  | 1.11 ± 0.10 | 1.05 ± 0.08 | 1.40 ± 0.10 | 0.77 ± 0.08 |

3.3 Luminosity functions and size of the GCSs

FORS1 data were previously used by Bassino et al. (2008) to obtain the GC luminosity function (GCLF) and distance through the turn-over magnitudes (TOM). We repeated the measurement, taking into account the different completeness curve for point sources within 1 arcmin from the galaxy cen-

\(n(r) = a \left( 1 + \left( \frac{r}{r_0} \right)^2 \right)^{-3\beta} \)
tre and considering a magnitude limit \( V_0 = 25.5 \). As this latter magnitude limit is barely fainter than the TOM obtained by Bassino et al. (2008), it might result in large uncertainties in our TOM determination. In order to avoid this, we fixed the TOM to the distance moduli published by Tully et al. (2013), \( m - M = 32.56 \pm 0.14 \) for NGC 3258 and \( m - M = 32.74 \pm 0.14 \) for NGC 3268. We have chosen these distances because they are the most recent and accurate SBF estimations.

In Figure 8 we present the GCLF for both gEs in the radial range \( 0.5 < R_e < 4.5 \) arcmin, where vertical grey lines indicate regions fainter than the magnitude limit, and dashed curves show the fitted functions. We assume that both GCLF are well represented by Gaussian profiles with a nearly universal TOM \( M_v = -7.4 \) mag (e.g. Brodie & Strader 2006; Jordán et al. 2007), resulting in dispersions \( \sigma_V = 1.16 \pm 0.04 \) for NGC 3258 and \( \sigma_V = 1.15 \pm 0.04 \) for NGC 3268. From numerical integrations, we calculate that the GCs brighter than \( V_0 = 25.5 \) represent 62 ± 6 and 56 ± 5 percent, respectively, of the total samples. The errors are dominated by the distance moduli uncertainties.

Hence, we can now estimate new GC populations for NGC 3258 and NGC 3268, considering a more precise completeness correction and a better fit of the radial GC distributions. From the numerical integration of the modified Hubble distributions fitted to the radial profiles (Section 3.2), we can calculate the GC population brighter than \( V = 25.5 \) in both cases. In order to obtain uncertainties, we calculated 1000 artificial sets of Hubble distribution parameters using Monte-Carlo simulations, assuming that they are generated by normal distributions with dispersions equal to the fitting errors. Then, the deviation estimated from their numerical integration is assumed as the uncertainty in the GC population.

As a consequence, the blue and red GC populations for NGC 3258 result 6600 ± 800 and 1400 ± 200 members, respectively. For NGC 3268 we obtained a population of 5200 ± 700 blue GCs and 3000 ± 450 reds. That implies that GCs in both galaxies have similar richness, but their compositions differ considerably. The obtained populations are significantly larger than previously determined ones (Dirsch et al. 2003b; Bassino et al. 2008), as expected from the larger extensions derived for both GCS in this paper. Bassino et al. (2008) integrated the radial profiles up to 10 arcmin, and obtained populations of 6000 and 4750 GCs for NGC 3258 and NGC 3268, respectively. If we integrate our radial profiles up to the same radial limit, it results in \( \approx 6300 \) and \( \approx 6900 \) GCs, respectively. Hence, our results are in agreement with Bassino et al. (2008) ones for NGC 3258, and the larger population is due to the new determination of the GCS extension. On the other hand, for NGC 3268 we obtained a larger population in the inner 150°.

From \( V \) total magnitudes derived by the Carnegie-Irvine Galaxy Survey (Ho et al. 2011), foreground extinction corrections from Schlafly & Finkbeiner (2011) and distance moduli from Tully et al. (2013), absolute magnitudes for NGC 3258 and NGC 3268 are \( M_V = -21.5 \pm 0.18 \) and \( M_V = -21.6 \pm 0.15 \), respectively. Hence, the specific frequencies (Harris & van den Bergh 1981) for NGC 3258 and NGC 3268 result \( S_N = 20.1 \pm 4 \) and \( S_N = 18.8 \pm 4 \), respectively. The \( S_N \) values are among the largest for galaxies of similar luminosity, and point in both cases to evolutionary histories with a large number of merging episodes. The fraction of red-to-blue GCs are \( f_{red} = 0.18 \pm 0.04 \) for NGC 3258 and \( f_{red} = 0.38 \pm 0.07 \) for NGC 3268, which is in agreement with Bassino et al. (2008).

3.4 Colour distributions

The left panels of Figure 9 show the colour distributions for NGC 3258 GCs, in three ranges of projected galactocentric distance. In order to avoid contamination from the NGC 3268 GCS, for the outer range we have considered only GC candidates with \( 129° < PA < 309° \). Bimodality seems to be present in the two inner frames, which is expected from the extension of the radial profile for red GCs in Section 3.2. It is striking how the blue peak moves towards bluer colours when the projected galactocentric distance increases. In order to quantify this effect, we statistically subtracted the background contribution from the sample of GC candidates in each radial regime. Then, we applied the algorithm Gaussian Mixture Modeling (GMM, Muratov & Gnedin 2010) to the clean samples, and repeated the procedure 25 times. The mean colour for the blue GC peak in the three ranges result \( 1.27 \pm 0.01, 1.21 \pm 0.01 \) and \( 1.12 \pm 0.03 \), respectively, showing that the colour change is significant.

A similar behaviour is also found in the colour distribution of NGC 3268 GCs (right panels of Fig 9). In this case, for the outer colour range we plotted GC candidates with \( 51° < PA < 129° \). There are signs of bimodality in all the frames, pointing to a wide extended subpopulation of red GCs. From GMM, the mean colour for the blue GC peaks are \( 1.37 \pm 0.02, 1.28 \pm 0.02 \) and \( 1.22 \pm 0.03 \), respectively.

Similar colour gradients for blue GCs have been found in other giant ellipticals, like NGC 1399 (Bassino et al. 2006) or NGC 4594 (Hargis & Rhode 2014). A radial gradient in the colour of the blue peak can also be obtained for both galaxies from FORS1 data, when we split the GC candidates between those with galactocentric distances larger than 150° and lower than this limit. However, the small FOS and the limited metallicity dependence...
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Figure 9. Smoothed colour distribution for GC candidates around NGC 3258 (left panel) and NGC 3268 (right panel), split in three radial distance regimes. Please note the different vertical scales.

of \((V,I)\) photometry in comparison with \((C,T_1)\) one restrict our analysis.

3.5 Photometric metallicities

Multicolour-metallicity relations (e.g. Forte et al. 2013), have proven to be useful for determining photometric metallicities for a large sample of GCs, with a relative low cost in observational hours.

Although an increasing number of GCs present multiple stellar populations (e.g. Milone et al. 2008; Joo & Lee 2013), we are considering globulars integrated properties and the age spreads between these populations are significantly smaller than the total ages of the GCs. Thus, single stellar populations (SSP) still constitute a good approximation to GCs. Recent studies have shown that the ages of GCs indicate that they mostly formed at larger redshifts than \(z \approx 2\) (e.g. VandenBerg et al. 2013; Forbes et al. 2015, and references therein). We aim at obtaining photometric metallicities for a subsample of NGC 3258 GCs, based on the long-base set of photometric measurements available, ranging from \(B\) to \(z'\) bands. We assumed ages of 10, 11.2, and 12.6 Gyr and metallicities in the range of \(0.01 < Z/Z_\odot < 0.75\). We used the synthetic magnitudes for SSP obtained from CMD 2.8\(^4\) (Bressan et al. 2012) with a lognormal initial mass function.

We selected objects brighter than \(I_0 = 23\), in order to achieve an acceptable signal-to-noise ratio. This limit implies uncertainties lower than 0.1 mag in the \(C\) filter, 0.05 mag in the \(T_1\) filter and 0.03 mag for the other photometries. We split our sample in three groups, according to the set of photometrical measurements available for each object. Objects in group A present available photometry in \(B,C,V,T_1,I\) and \(z'\) filters, those in group B lack \(B\) magnitudes, and the set of magnitudes for GC candidates in group C corresponds to bands \(B,V,I\) and \(z'\). The number of GC candidates in the three groups are 145, 91, and 85, respectively.

\(^{4}\) http://stev.oapd.inaf.it/cmd
For groups A and B we defined a three-dimensional space with linearly independent colours, while a two-dimensional space was established for group C. Figure 10 shows smoothed colour-colour relations from the colour-space for each group. The solid curves depict the SSPs from Bressan et al. (2012) for 10 (red), 11.2 (blue), and 12.6 Gyr (green), respectively, presenting almost identical positions in all the diagrams.

Hence, $Z/Z_\odot$ metallicities for each object correspond to the point in the SSP for which the distance to the object in the colour-space is a minimum. In order to obtain metallicity uncertainties, we assumed the colour uncertainties as dispersions of Gaussian distributions, and applied Monte-Carlo to obtain simulated colours. For each GC candidate, we repeated the process 100 times, and then we calculated deviations from the resulting metallicities.

The left panel of Figure 11 shows the metallicity distributions, assuming ages of 10 Gyr (solid histogram), 11.2 Gyr (dashed histogram) and 12.6 Gyr (dotted histogram). In the three cases, the distributions seem to deviate from a single Gaussian. Thus, we run GMM to each sample, obtaining the results listed in Table 2. We obtained negative kurtosis values and $DD$ parameters larger than 2, pointing to a bimodal distribution (Muratov & Gnedin 2010). The Gaussian peaks are in agreement within the uncertainties in the three cases, slightly moving towards lower metallicities as the age increases.

The right panel presents the metallicity distribution as a function of the projected distance to NGC 3258 centre, assuming GC candidates as SSP with age 10 Gyr. The grey-scale has been normalized for each radial range, with black representing the metallicity bins with the largest counts. As expected, metal-rich GCs dominate close to the galaxy centre, but the mode of the distribution moves towards lower metallicities for larger radii.

4 DISCUSSION

4.1 Implications of the colour gradient

In the current scenario for GC formation, the blue GC population (bona fide metal-poor) in early-type galaxies formed during the violent star-formation events that occurred when first proto-galaxies begin to merge, or were captured from satellite galaxies. Afterwards, the metal-rich ones formed in merging episodes occurred in a limited number, but involving more massive, and more evolved, galaxies (Muratov & Gnedin 2010; Kruijssen 2014; Li & Gnedin 2014).

The accretion of dwarf galaxies could also be important to increase a GCS population, particularly for the metal-poor GCs (Schuberth et al. 2010; Richtler 2013; Tonini 2013, and references therein). Assuming this scenario, the accreted GCs could present a spatial distribution more spread, compared with GCs formed in-situ. This would be in agreement with the larger velocity dispersions that blue GCs present in nearby gEs (e.g. Sharples et al. 1998; Côté et al. 2003; Schuberth et al. 2010, 2012; Puzia et al. 2014). Hence, the correlation between the peak of blue GCs in the colour distribution and galactocentric distance found in NGC 3258, NGC 3268 and NGC 1399 (Bassino et al. 2006) could be explained by the correlation between the colour and metallicity for blue GCs and the galaxy masses (e.g. Peng et al. 2006; Strader et al. 2004).

This scenario of two phases in galaxy formation was also proposed by Forbes et al. (2011) to explain similar colour gradients in NGC 1407 and other giant ellipticals with large GCS like NGC 1399 (Bassino et al. 2006) or M87 (Harris 2009b).

As a consequence, the radial gradient in the colour of the blue GCs peak points to a large accretion history of satellite galaxies for both gEs.

4.2 Clues for the evolutionary histories in the GCS composition

Both gEs present similar halo masses (Pedersen et al. 1997; Nakazawa et al. 2000), luminosities (Ho et al. 2011) and (C–$T_\text{C}$) colours (being NGC 3268 0.1 mag redder Dirsch et al. 2003b). Hence their stellar masses should not differ significantly. From literature galaxy stellar and halo masses might be correlated with the size of the GCS (Harris et al. 2013; Hudson et al. 2014), and even with the fraction of red GCs (f$_{\text{red}}$ Harris et al. 2015). The higher f$_{\text{red}}$ in NGC 3268 might indicate that it has followed the fiducial ellipticals’ evolution history. Otherwise, the smaller f$_{\text{red}}$ in NGC 3258 may suggest that major mergers occurred between galaxies with lower gas mass available for starburst episodes, even possibly including dry mergers. A mixture of wet and dry mergers could be in agreement with the slightly bluer colour profile of NGC 3258 (Dirsch et al. 2003b). The accretion of a large number of satellite galaxies, whose GCS are mainly formed by blue GCs (e.g. Peng et al. 2008; Georgiev et al. 2010) could also be responsible by the smaller f$_{\text{red}}$.

4.3 The central flattening in GCs radial profiles

Our results for both gEs point that the flattening in the GC radial profiles is not explained just by the completeness drop, and physical processes like GCs erosion (Capuzzo-Dolcetta & Mastrobuono-Battisti 2009) should be invoked. Kruijssen (2015) found that the same environmental conditions involved in GC formation favour their tidal disruption at early stages, unless its progenitor suffered a merger which redistributed the GCs into the galaxy halo. In this context, subsequent major mergers not only result in a larger formation of red GCs, but also improve their survivance ratio. Hence the larger f$_{\text{red}}$ and radial extension of the red GC subpopulation of NGC 3268 with respect to NGC 3258 might be explained by different merger histories, as indicated in Section 4.2.

5 CONCLUSIONS

On the basis of multi-band $B$, $C$, $V$, $R$, $I$, and $z$ photometry, obtained with images taken with four different telescopes (CTIO, VLT, GEMINI, and archive HST), we carry out a new study of the GCSs of NGC 3258 and NGC 3268. Our results complement previous ones, with the main advantages of working with: a larger FOV, a filter combination never used before for these galaxies, and a more precise calculation of the completeness corrections.

We summarize our conclusions in the following,
Previous studies have shown that the projected total GC distributions are both elongated. We now confirm that blue GCs are responsible for such distributions, being clearly elongated in the same direction as a line joining both galaxies. Even an overdensity of blue GCs close to NGC 3268 can be detected, towards NGC 3258. That is in agreement with the corresponding azimuthal GC distributions, where NGC 3268 blue GCs show a peak in the direction towards NGC 3258. Tidal effects between both galaxies and their blue GC subpopulations might be present, as the elongations agree with the elongation of the galaxies’ bodies.

The extension of the blue and red GC subpopulations for NGC 3258 are quite different, 170 kpc and 60 kpc for blues and reds, respectively. However, for NGC 3268 they are much more alike, 140 kpc and 120 kpc for blues and reds, respectively. The red GC subpopulation is much more concentrated in NGC 3258 than in NGC 3268.

• Thanks to the improvement in the completeness corrections, the inner radial GC profiles, for blue and red subpopulations and for both galaxies, can be perfectly fitted with a modified Hubble law. Thus, the flattened inner GC profiles are pointing to a ‘true’ effect of GC erosion in both cases.

• Thanks to improved GCLFs and better fits of the radial GC distributions for both galaxies, we estimate new GC populations. For NGC 3258, we obtain $6600 \pm 800$ blue GCs and $1400 \pm 200$ red GCs, and for NGC 3268 $5200 \pm 700$ blue GCs and $3000 \pm 450$ reds. The total GC populations are similar, of about 8000 members, i.e. larger than previous determinations. However, the fraction of red GCs is higher in NGC 3268 which suggests that, although both galaxies belong to the same environment, they should have experienced a different merging history.

• In the GC colour distributions, we detect a clear gradient in the blue GC subpopulations, in the sense that the blue peak gets bluer when the galactocentric radius increases. This colour gradient is present in NGC 3258 as well as in NGC 3268, and can be understood as a consequence of important accretion of satellite proto/dwarf galaxies during the early stages of galaxy formation.

• By means of multi-colour relations, we estimate photometric metallicities for a subsample of GCs and fit SSPs. We recover clear bimodal metallicity distributions for ages between 10 and 12.6 Gyr.

Similarities in the GCs, i.e., richness, radial extensions and presence of radial gradients in the colour of blue GCs point in both cases to a large number of accretion and merging episodes. Despite of this, differences suggest unalike evolutionary histories of the host galaxies, probably due to different number of dry and wet mergers.
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