Combination of preoperative CEA and CA19-9 improves prediction outcomes in patients with resectable pancreatic adenocarcinoma: results from a large follow-up cohort
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Abstract: Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) is one of the most lethal malignancies with a 5-year survival rate of <7%. Carbohydrate antigen 19-9 (CA19-9) and carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) are often used to predict the outcome of the malignancy independently. However, the joint prognostic effect of the two tumor biomarkers has not been well determined. The study assessed the joint role of preoperative CA19-9 and CEA in the prognostic prediction of resectable PDAC in a large cohort of patients. The study enrolled 460 eligible patients who were ready to undergo surgery for PDAC. Restricted cubic spline and direct-adjusted survival curve revealed the nonlinear association between the biomarker levels and prognosis of patients. Combination of preoperative CA19-9 and CEA effectively improved the prognostic prediction. About 100 U/mL of CA19-9 and 10 μg/mL of CEA were revealed as potential assistant index for prognostic prediction in patients with resectable PDAC and may be used as one of the criteria to assess the resectability of PDAC.
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Introduction

Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) is one of the most lethal malignancies with a 5-year survival rate of <7%.1,2 With increasing incidence and mortality, PDAC is estimated to cause the fourth highest cancer-related deaths in the People’s Republic of China in the next few years. Radical resection is the only potential curative therapy for PDAC and has achieved ~20% of 5-year survival rate during the past few decades.3–5 However, some patients with extremely low 5-year postoperative survival rate6,7 benefit little from the surgical therapy, which is possibly due to the undetectable invasion or metastases and may lead to recurrence and impaired prognosis.7 It has important clinical significance in identifying these individuals from all potentially resectable patients.

Multiple studies have confirmed that serum carbohydrate antigen 19-9 (CA19-9) and carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) are related with tumor burden of PDAC due to their close association with cancer cell adhesion, metabolism, and proliferation.5,8,9 In clinical practice, CA19-9 or CEA is often used to predict the outcomes of patients with resectable PDAC.10 Ferrone et al11 reported that preoperative CA19-9 >200 U/mL acts as a strong predictor of worse overall survival (OS) in Western patients with resectable PDAC. Ni et al10 reported that CEA >5 μg/mL and CA19-9 >1,000 U/mL can be used as indicators of poor prognosis in Chinese patients with resectable PDAC.
It is reported that CA19-9 and CEA have potential application value in clinic by identifying individuals with poor survival even after pancreatectomy, and thus optimizing clinical management.\(^2\) Recently, Liu et al\(^5\) reported that patients with preoperative CEA (+)/CA125 (+)/CA19-9 ≥ 1,000 U/mL benefited very little from the surgical resection therapy, which greatly improved clinical management and therapeutic selection for patients with localized pancreatic cancer. However, joint prognostic effect of the biomarkers was often neglected in previous studies, and the cutoff point value of the biomarkers was not uniform because of the relatively small sample sizes.\(^4,5,10–13\)

Herein, we evaluate the joint effect of preoperative CEA and CA19-9 levels in the outcome prediction in a large cohort of patients. Furthermore, restricted cubic spline and direct-adjusted survival curve were used to investigate how best to use these biomarkers to distinguish those patients who would benefit least from the surgical therapy.

## Patients and methods

### Patients and data collection

Five hundred twelve patients undergoing surgery for PDAC from two clinical centers (Zhongshan Hospital and Huashan Hospital, Fudan University, People’s Republic of China) from April 2012 to January 2015 were recruited in the study. Assessment of resectability was mainly based on the National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) guidelines before surgery.\(^14,15\) Patients who underwent Whipple or distal pancreatectomy were included in this study. Patients receiving previous chemotherapy or patients about to die due to postoperative complications within 14 days were not included in the study. Patients provided written informed consent, and all the clinical procedures were approved by the Institutional Review Committee of Zhongshan Hospital, Fudan University (IRB approval number: B2014-098).

Demographic and clinical characteristics of the patients, such as age, gender, preoperative serum levels of CA19-9 and CEA, presence of diabetes, tumor location, chemotherapy (received gemcitabine or fluorouracil-based chemotherapy or not), and Classification of Malignant Tumours (TNM) stage, were extracted from the electronic medical records. Serum levels of CA19-9 and CEA were examined at the clinical laboratory for each patient within 1 week before surgery. Upper detectable limit of CA19-9 value was 10,000 U/mL. The patients were categorized into subgroups according to CA19-9 (<37, 37 to <100, 100 to <200, 200 to <400, 400 to <800, and ≥800 U/mL) and CEA levels (0.0 to <3.0, 3.0 to <5.0, 5.0 to <10.0, and ≥10.0 μg/mL). TNM stage was determined based on the preoperative imaging examination (computed tomography/magnetic resonance imaging) and postoperative pathologic review according to the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) criteria.\(^16\)

### Follow-up

Follow-up survey was conducted for all patients through telephone call by a full-time investigator. Telephone call survey followed a standardized protocol and was carried out every 3 months. Vital status and death date for the patients were obtained up to June 2015. Survival time was calculated from the date of surgery until the date of death, or the date of last call on June 30, 2015, whichever occurred first. A total of 460 (90%) patients responded to the telephonic follow-up.

### Statistical analysis

All data were key-entered and double-checked using Epidata 3.1 for Windows by two independent investigators. Wilcoxon rank test (continuous variables) and chi-square test (categorical variables) were used to compare clinical characteristics across the subgroups. Log-rank test was used to compare the survival between different subgroups. The direct-adjusted survival curve was based on a stratified Cox regression model proposed by Zhang et al.\(^17,18\) Median survival time and 3- and 5-year survival rates were calculated using the direct-adjusted survival Statistical Analysis System (SAS) macro. Cox model with restricted cubic spline functions was used to illustrate the nonlinear relationship of CA19-9 and CEA with OS of PDAC. Joint effect of preoperative CA19-9 and CEA was evaluated by the Cox model and was presented using hazard ratio. Missing data were excluded from analysis. All tests were two sided, and \(P\)-values <0.05 were considered statistically significant. All statistical analyses were conducted using SAS 9.2.

## Results

A total of 460 patients reposed to the telephone call follow-up. Fifty-two nonresponders showed no significant differences in the baseline characteristics with the responders. Of the responded patients, 276 (60%) were recruited from Zhongshan Hospital and 184 (40%) from Huashan Hospital. No significant hospital and gender differences were observed in the baseline clinical characteristics and OS.

Preoperative CA19-9 and CEA values were increased with advanced AJCC stage (Figure 1). Baseline characteristics of all included patients are listed in Table 1. Median preoperative CA19-9 and CEA values were 101.9 U/mL (q1–q3: 27.4–439.7 U/mL) and 2.8 μg/mL (q1–q3: 1.7–5.1 μg/mL), respectively. As presented in Table 1, patients with higher
CA19-9 level (≥37 U/mL) or higher CEA level (≥5 μg/mL) were older and more likely to have lymph metastases, advanced tumor stages, and later stages were according to the AJCC. Gemcitabine-based chemotherapy was a conventional postoperative adjuvant therapy for patients with lymph node metastases, significant consistency was found between postoperative chemotherapy and lymph node metastases (P < 0.0001).

Median survival time for all 460 resectable patients was 24.0 (95% confidence interval [CI]: 18.0, 28.0) months. The survival time was significantly longer in patients with CA19-9 <37 U/mL than those with CA19-9 ≥37 U/mL (median: 37.0 vs 18.0 months, log-rank P < 0.001). Figure 2A and B shows the nonlinear association of preoperative CA19-9 and CEA level with cancer survival. Generally, the risk of cancer death was observed to increase with increasing levels of preoperative CA19-9 and CEA. However, the risk was increased sharply when CA19-9 was <100 U/mL or CEA was <10 μg/mL, but became slow and steady thereafter. The Kaplan–Meier survival curve using the above-referenced cut point is presented in Figure 3.

Table 1 Demographic and baseline clinical characteristics of patients with resectable pancreatic adenocarcinoma (n=460)

| Characteristics                  | All patients (n=460) | Preoperative serum CA19-9<sup>a</sup> |         | Preoperative serum CEA<sup>b</sup> |         |
|----------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------|---------|-----------------------------------|---------|
|                                  |                     | <37 U/mL (n=153) | ≥37 U/mL (n=301) | P-value | <5 μg/mL (n=304) | ≥5 μg/mL (n=104) | P-value |
| Age, median (q1–q3, years)      | 62 (56–68)          | 60 (54–66) | 62 (57–69) | 0.0045<sup>a</sup> | 0.23 | 61 (56–67) | 65 (57–72) | 0.01<sup>a</sup> | 0.58 |
| Diabetes, N (%)                  | 0.23                | 0.58                | 0.89                | 0.19                | 0.19                |
| Yes                              | 102 (24.2)          | 29 (20.7) | 72 (26.0) | 0.13 | 70 (23.3) | 27 (26.0) | 0.39 |
| No                               | 322 (75.8)          | 111 (79.3) | 205 (74.0) | 0.13 | 232 (76.7) | 77 (74.0) | 0.39 |
| Tumor location, N (%)            | 0.13                | 0.39                | 0.08                | 0.04<sup>a</sup> | 0.04<sup>a</sup> | 0.03<sup>a</sup> | |
| Head of pancreas                 | 143 (31.1)          | 54 (35.5) | 86 (28.6) | 0.08 | 97 (31.9) | 38 (36.5) | 0.04<sup>a</sup> |
| Body or tail of pancreas         | 317 (68.9)          | 98 (64.5) | 215 (71.4) | 0.08 | 207 (68.1) | 66 (63.5) | 0.03<sup>a</sup> |
| Tumor stage, N (%)               | 0.0001<sup>*a</sup> | 0.05                | 0.04<sup>a</sup> | 0.39 |
| T1/T2                            | 115 (25.9)          | 56 (38.9) | 58 (19.9) | 0.08 | 83 (28.3) | 18 (18.4) | 0.04<sup>a</sup> |
| T3/T4                            | 328 (74.1)          | 88 (61.1) | 234 (80.1) | 0.08 | 210 (71.7) | 80 (81.6) | 0.03<sup>a</sup> |
| Lymph node stage, N (%)          | 0.08                | 0.04<sup>a</sup> | 0.39 |
| N0                               | 206 (55.2)          | 59 (38.8) | 143 (47.5) | 0.08 | 120 (39.5) | 53 (51.0) | 0.39 |
| N1                               | 254 (44.8)          | 93 (61.2) | 158 (52.5) | 0.08 | 184 (60.5) | 51 (49.0) | 0.39 |
| AJCC stage, N (%)                | <0.0001<sup>*a</sup> | 0.05                | 0.04<sup>a</sup> | 0.39 |
| IA/IB                            | 68 (15.4)           | 38 (26.4) | 30 (10.3) | 0.08 | 54 (18.4) | 9 (9.2) | 0.39 |
| IIA/IIIB                         | 286 (64.6)          | 89 (61.8) | 190 (61.5) | 0.08 | 186 (63.5) | 62 (63.3) | 0.39 |
| III                              | 89 (22.1)           | 17 (11.8) | 72 (24.7) | 0.08 | 53 (18.1) | 27 (27.5) | 0.39 |
| Chemotherapy, N (%)              | 360 (78.3)          | 119 (78.3) | 234 (77.7) | 0.08 | 226 (74.3) | 84 (80.1) | 0.19 |

Notes: Seven missing values, *52 missing values. *Statistically significant.

Abbreviations: AJCC, American Joint Committee on Cancer; CA19-9, carbohydrate antigen 19-9; CEA, carcinoembryonic antigen.
The optimal cutoff points of preoperative CA19-9 and CEA levels were predicted to observe the outcomes of PDAC resectable patients. As shown in Table 2 and Figure 4A and B, after adjusting age, gender, tumor stage, lymph node status, and tumor location, the subgroups with preoperative CA19-9 < 100 U/mL or CEA < 10 μg/mL had much longer adjusted median survival time and higher 3- and 5-year survival rates than those compared with CA19-9 ≥ 100 U/mL or CEA ≥ 10 μg/mL. Log-rank test of binary discretized levels confirmed that 100 U/mL of CA19-9 and 10 μg/mL of CEA were the best cutoff points to predict PDAC prognosis ($P<0.0001$). Log-rank test further confirmed that patients with preoperative CA19-9 < 100 U/mL had significant OS than patients with CA19-9 ≥ 100 U/mL ($P<0.0001$), whereas in patients with preoperative CA19-9 ≥ 100 U/mL, serum CEA ≥ 10 μg/mL was a strong predictor for shorter OS ($P=0.0482$).

Table 3 presents independent and joint effect of CA19-9 and CEA levels on OS in PDAC patients. The subgroup of patients with CA19-9 ≥ 100 U/mL had 2.9-fold risk (95% CI: 2.0–4.3) of death when compared with those patients having CA19-9 < 100 U/mL and had 5.5-fold risk (95% CI:...
Figure 3 Preoperative CA19-9 and CEA levels were strong independent prognostic indicators for resectable pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma.

Notes: The Kaplan–Meier survival curve showed that (A) patients with preoperative CA19-9 value of $\geq 100$ benefit little from the surgery. (B) Patients with preoperative CEA value of $\geq 10$ benefit little from the surgery.

Abbreviations: CA19-9, carbohydrate antigen 19-9; CEA, carcinoembryonic antigen.

Discussion

In this follow-up survey of patients with resectable PDAC, we noticed that the patients with preoperative CA19-9$<100$ U/mL or CEA$<10$ μg/mL had much longer adjusted median survival time and higher 5-year survival rates than those with CA19-9$\geq 100$ U/mL or CEA$\geq 10$ μg/mL. By contrast of the 19% of 5-year survival rate in patients with CA19-9$<100$ U/mL, the 5-year survival rate was only 3% in patients with CA19-9$\geq 100$ U/mL and as low as 0.5% in patients who had CEA$\geq 10$ μg/mL, respectively. Our results suggest that CA19-9$<100$ U/mL can be used not only as a predictor of the outcome of PDAC patients but also as a criterion to determine resectability of localized PDAC.

In this study, increased preoperative serum levels of CA19-9 and CEA were observed to predict poor prognosis in patients with resectable PDAC. Our results are consistent with most previous studies,\textsuperscript{5,10,11,13} and can be explained by
the close associations of CA19-9 and CEA with cancer cell proliferation and metastases.\textsuperscript{8,19} However, neither serum CA19-9 nor CEA can be used alone as a predictor of prognosis because patients with negative Lewis antigen (Le\textsuperscript{a,-b,-}) will not produce CA19-9,\textsuperscript{20,21} and CEA is not a specific biomarker for pancreatic cancer.\textsuperscript{22} Therefore, CA19-9 and CEA are usually used as subsidiary indexes to predict the prognosis of PDAC in patients.

More importantly, we found that 100 U/mL of CA19-9 and 10 μg/mL of CEA can be used as the optimal cutoff values in predicting the survival time and survival rates in the potential resectable PDAC patients. Combined use of the two biomarkers can predict prognosis of the patients very well, which is consistent with a previous study,\textsuperscript{23} in which Reitz et al found that the combination of CEA and CA19-9 was significantly better than CEA or CA19-9 alone in the prognostic prediction of resectable pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma patients by CA19-9 and CEA levels.

**Figure 4** Adjusted survival curve of resectable pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma patients by CA19-9 and CEA levels.

**Notes:** The adjusted Kaplan–Meier survival curve showed that patients benefit little from the surgery with increasing level of CA19-9 (A) and CEA (B). Age, gender, and tumor stage were all adjusted in the survival curve.

**Abbreviations:** CA19-9, carbohydrate antigen 19-9; CEA, carcinoembryonic antigen.
of pancreatic cancer. In this study, patients with preoperative CA19-9≥100 U/mL and CEA≥10 μg/mL benefitted little from the surgery, with only 11 months of median survival time, which is not much better than 9 months of survival time in advanced patients receiving nab-paclitaxel plus gemcitabine chemotherapy. It seems that these patients should have been considered as unresectable and should receive conservative treatment. However, rigorous clinical trial designs were needed to validate the hypothesis.

Currently, assessment of resectability was mainly based on the radiographic examination and anatomical analysis according to the NCCN guidelines. These methods cannot detect the invasion or subclinical metastases, which may result in the early recurrence and impaired prognosis. For the patients who are factually not amenable to resection, surgical therapy is not necessary and even harmful because of the possible postsurgical complications. It has been suggested that systematic chemotherapy was superior over unnecessary surgical therapies for these patients. Our results suggest that CA19-9<100 U/mL and/or CEA<10 μg/mL may be used as one of the criteria to determine the resectability of localized PDAC.

Strengths of this study include large sample size, high response rate to follow-up, and advanced statistical methods, which show the potential superiority in the survival analysis. Several limitations of the study include, first, chance could not be excluded for the small number of patients with CA19-9≥100 U/mL and CEA≥10 μg/mL. Studies with larger sample size are needed to confirm our results. Second, the results were limited to a single ethnic background and the results should be generalized to other ethnic groups. Third, potential selection bias should not be omitted in this study. As mentioned earlier, the assessment of resectability was mainly according to the radiographic examination. The assessment of resection, especially the assessment of extended resection, was controversial and subjective. Clinical experience of a surgeon at times greatly affects on the judgment. Furthermore, randomized studies are still needed to address these limitations. At last, the effect of preoperative CA19-9 and CEA values was mainly investigated in this study, more clinical characteristics should be considered in application.

### Table 3: HRs of CA19-9 and CEA with overall survival of resectable pancreatic adenocarcinoma

| Serum levels | No. of patients | Median survival time (months) | 5-year survival rate | HR (95% CI) |
|--------------|-----------------|------------------------------|----------------------|-------------|
| CA19-9 (U/mL) |                 |                              |                      |             |
| <100.0       | 225             | 35                           | 0.19                 | 1.0         |
| ≥100.0       | 232             | 17                           | 0.03                 | 2.9 (2.0, 4.3) |
| CEA<10 μg/mL | 168             | 18                           | 0.03                 | 2.7 (1.8, 4.0) |
| CEA≥10 μg/mL | 39              | 11                           | 0.005                | 5.5 (3.1, 9.7) |

**Notes:** HR: adjusted for age (as continuous variable), gender, tumor stage, lymph node state, and tumor location.

**Abbreviations:** CA19-9, carbohydrate antigen 19-9; CEA, carcinoembryonic antigen; CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio.

Figure 5: Kaplan–Meier survival curves of patients with preoperative CA19-9.

**Notes:** The blue line indicates that patients with preoperative CA19-9 value <100 U/mL benefit most from surgery. Patients with both CA19-9≥100 U/mL and CEA≥10 μg/mL had the worst prognosis.

**Abbreviations:** CA19-9, carbohydrate antigen 19-9; CEA, carcinoembryonic antigen.
Conclusion
In conclusion, the joint of 100 U/mL of preoperative serum of CA19-9 and 10 μg/mL of CEA may act as optimal cutoff points to predict the outcomes of resectable PDAC and may be used as one of the criteria to assess the resectability of PDAC.
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