Neisseria conserved protein DMP19 is a DNA mimic protein that prevents DNA binding to a hypothetical nitrogen-response transcription factor
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ABSTRACT
DNA mimic proteins occupy the DNA binding sites of DNA-binding proteins, and prevent these sites from being accessed by DNA. We show here that the Neisseria conserved hypothetical protein DMP19 acts as a DNA mimic. The crystal structure of DMP19 shows a dsDNA-like negative charge distribution on the surface, suggesting that this protein should be added to the short list of known DNA mimic proteins. The crystal structure of another related protein, NHTF (Neisseria hypothetical transcription factor), provides evidence that it is a member of the xenobiotic-response element (XRE) family of transcriptional factors. NHTF binds to a palindromic DNA sequence containing a 5'-TGTNAN11TNACA-3' recognition box that controls the expression of an NHTF-related operon in which the conserved nitrogen-response protein [i.e. (Protein-PII) uridylyltransferase] is encoded. The complementary surface charges between DMP19 and NHTF suggest specific charge–charge interaction. In a DNA-binding assay, we found that DMP19 can prevent NHTF from binding to its DNA-binding sites. Finally, we used an in situ gene regulation assay to provide evidence that NHTF is a repressor of its downstream genes and that DMP19 can neutralize this effect. We therefore conclude that the interaction of DMP19 and NHTF provides a novel gene regulation mechanism in Neisseria spp.

INTRODUCTION
The Neisseria spp. are Gram-negative β-proteobacteria that colonize the mucosal surfaces of many animals. Of the 11 species that colonize humans, only Neisseria meningitidis and Neisseria gonorrhoeae are pathogens. N. meningitidis is an important cause of septicaemia and meningitis in childhood and N. gonorrhoeae is responsible for the sexually transmitted infection gonorrhea (1–3). The determination of the complete genome sequences of these two Neisseria spp. provided good starting points for the study of the pathogenesis of meningitis and gonorrhea (4,5).

To date, there are still around 900 uncharacterized genes in N. meningitidis (6). Among these genes, N. meningitidis NMB0541 is a conserved Neisseria hypothetical protein that has no functional annotation. Microarray analysis has shown that this gene is conserved in the major hypervirulent lineages and serogroups of N. meningitidis that cause human disease (6,7). In the present article, we determined the crystal structure of this 19 kDa protein and used proteomic approaches to investigate its function and the factors with which it interacts. We found that NMB0541 acts as a DNA mimic, and thus refer to this protein as DMP19. Generally, DNA mimic proteins imitate the shape and charge of dsDNA using the similarly arranged negative charges (from acidic Asp and Glu amino acids) on the surface of the protein. DNA mimic proteins usually function through simple competitive inhibition by occupying the DNA-binding sites of DNA-binding proteins, thus preventing these sites from being accessed by DNA (8,9).

The DNA mimic proteins often target DNA regulatory proteins, such as restriction enzymes, DNA gyrase, TATA-box-binding protein, prokaryotic nucleoid-associated protein and histone proteins (8,10). Here, we found that DMP19 interacts with NMB1204, which was subsequently identified to be a Neisseria hypothetical transcription factor that we refer to as NHTF. We also determined the crystal structure of NHTF and characterized its DNA-binding activity. In a competitive DNA-binding assay, we found that DMP19 could prevent NHTF from binding to a 5'-TGTNAN11TNACA-3' recognition box on
its 5'-untranslated region. An operon analysis suggests NHTF controls the expression of the nitrogen-response protein (Protein-PII) uridylyltransferase. Using an in situ gene regulation assay, we further demonstrate that when NHTF bound to its target DNA-binding site, the expression of a down-stream reporter gene was repressed. We then show that the addition of DMP19 neutralized this effect. Therefore, the interaction between NHTF and DMP19 constitutes a novel gene regulation in the nitrogen response in Neisseria spps.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Preparation and purification of recombinant DMP19 and NHTF

The full length DMP19 gene (NMB0541, amino acid residues 1–165) was amplified by PCR and ligated into pET 16b expression vector (Novagen). After induction with 1 mM isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG), the recombinant DMP19 protein with its N-terminal His10-tag was expressed by Escherichia coli BL21 (DE3) cells at 20°C for 16 h. Soluble DMP19 was purified by immobilized metal–ion chromatography with a Ni-NTA column, followed by gel filtration using Superdex 200 pg (Amersham Biosciences). The His10-tag was then removed by FactorXa cleavage (Novagen).

Recombinant NHTF was expressing an exactly similar way expect that the expression vector was pET21b (Novagen). After purification immobilized metal–ion chromatography, the C-terminal His6-tagged NHTF was immediately dialyzed overnight at 4°C against acid buffer (50 mM sodium citrate pH 5.8, 300 mM NaCl) in which it is stable. Using the same acid buffer, Superdex 75 gel filtration (Amersham Biosciences) was used to further improve the purity of this protein.

Recombinant DMP19 crystallization, data collection and structure determination

Purified DMP19 was dialyzed overnight at 4°C against crystallization buffer (100 mM NaCl, 20 mM Tris pH 8.0), and concentrated to 30 mg/ml. For crystallization, 2 μl of the DMP19 solution was mixed with 2 μl of a reservoir containing 2.0 M ammonium sulfate, 200 mM potassium sodium tartrate tetrahydrate and 100 mM sodium citrate tribasic dihydrate pH 5.4 as a precipitant, and equilibrated with the reservoir by the sitting drop method. Prior to flash-cooling, crystals were rinsed with a cryoprotectant solution of 20% ethylene glycol and 80% reservoir. After soaking the DMP19 crystals with 1 mM AuCl3 (Sigma-Aldrich) for 48 h, three-wavelength multi-wavelength anomalous dispersion (MAD) X-ray diffraction data were collected on beamline 44XU at the Spring-8 Synchrotron Radiation Research Center in Hyogo, Japan. The data were processed using HKL2000 (11). The space group of the AuCl3 soaked-DMP19 crystals was P21, with unit cell dimensions of a = 43 Å, b = 66 Å, c = 59 Å and β = 98° (Table 1).

### Table 1. Data collection, phase determination and refinement statistics of DMP19 crystals

| Data collection | Edge | Peak | High remote | Native |
|-----------------|------|------|-------------|-------|
| Wavelength (Å)  | 1.0400 | 1.0397 | 1.0229 | 1.5418 |
| Space group     | P21  | P21  | P21         | P21   |
| Unit cell a, b, c (Å) | 43.2, 66.3, 58.9 | 43.9, 65.3, 59.1 | 43.9, 65.3, 59.1 |
| β (°)           | 97.6 | 97.6 | 97.6         | 99.7  |
| Resolution (Å)  | 50–2.47 (2.56–2.47) | 50–2.47 (2.56–2.47) | 50–2.43 (2.52–2.43) | 25–2.0 (2.07–2.0) |
| Unique reflections | 11840 (1168) | 11907 (1198) | 12453 (1219) | 22353 (2218) |
| Redundancy      | 3.7 (3.3) | 7.4 (6.7) | 3.7 (3.2) | 9.6 (9.3) |
| Completeness (%) | 99.4 (98.4) | 99.6 (99.4) | 99.3 (97.4) | 100.0 (100.0) |
| I/σ(I)          | 36.8 (4.4) | 53.8 (7.4) | 35.1 (3.8) | 64.8 (11.8) |
| Rmerge (%)      | 5.4 (34.5) | 6.4 (32.1) | 6.5 (38.7) | 5.2 (30.9) |

| Phasing | Refinement |
|---------|------------|
| Resolution (Å) | 30–2.5 | No. of reflections | 11201 (1046)* | 22063 (2126) |
| Initial number of sites | 1 | Rwork (%) | 24.4 (33.9) | 16.3 (19.3) |
| Initial Fig. of merit | 0.51 | Rfree (%) | 30.8 (44.3) | 20.2 (23.6) |
| Initial Z-score | 10.8 | Bond r.m.s.d. (Å) | 0.013 | 0.016 |
| Final number of sites | 6 | Angle r.m.s.d. (°) | 1.65 | 1.7 |
| Final Fig. of merit | 0.54 | Most favored (%) | 89^a | 97.2 |
| Final Z-score | 27.1 | Allowed (%) | 9.5^b | 2.8 |
| Amino acids built | 212 | Protein B/atoms | 51.6/2532 | 30.2/2596 |
| Rigid-body R-value | 0.49 | Solvent B/atoms | 71.6/6^c | 46.3/349 |

*Three data sets were merged for 30–2.5 Å resolution using SCALEPACK with an Rmerge of 5.7%.

^The remaining 0.7% was due to Ser39 in a loop, and probably due to the strong NCS constraints.

^One gold had occupancy of 0.98, two had 0.76 and the other three had 0.37, all with similar B-values.
To obtain NHTF crystals, 2 μl purified C-terminal His6-tagged NHTF (20 mg/ml in a buffer containing 300 mM NaCl, 50 mM sodium citrate tribasic dihydrate, pH 5.8) were mixed with a 2 μl reservoir of buffer (0.72 M sodium formate, 9% PEG8000, 9% PEG1000 and 100 mM sodium acetate, pH 5.5) as a precipitant, and equilibrated with the reservoir by the sitting drop method. During data collection, 10% ethylene glycol was used as cryoprotectant. Native X-ray diffraction data from the NHTF crystals were collected on beamline 13B at the National Synchrotron Radiation Research Center in Hsinchu, Taiwan. After data processing by using HKL2000 (11), the space group of the NHTF crystals was C2 and the unit cell dimensions were \( a = 75 \text{ Å}, \ b = 109 \text{ Å}, \ c = 44 \text{ Å} \) and \( \beta = 115.6^\circ \) (Table 2).

The sequence alignment results showed that *Neisseria* NHTF transcription factor is similar to the restriction–modification system control factor C.BclI (2B5A.pdb) (20) with 40% sequence identity (Supplementary Figure S1). Because of the sequence similarity, the crystal structure of C.BclI was used as the search model by the program Molrep (21). An initial map was produced after preliminary refinement using Refmac (17), and the procedures for model building, refinement and structural analysis were similar to those used for DMP19. Statistics for the data collection and structural refinement are shown in Table 2.

### His-pulldown assay to identify proteins that interact with DMP19

For the His-pulldown assay, the soluble proteins of *N. meningitidis* MC58 were extracted by bacterial protein extraction reagents (B-PER; Thermo Scientific) following the standard protocol. Briefly, a pellet of *N. meningitidis* MC58 (1 g) was resuspended in 4 ml of B-PER that contained Benzonase (Novagen, 10 U/ml). After incubation for 15 min at room temperature, the lysate was centrifuged at 15000 \( \times g \) for 10 min to separate the soluble and insoluble proteins. The soluble protein fraction (200 μg) was then mixed with purified N-terminal His10-tagged DMP19 (1 mg). After adjusting to a final volume of 1 ml with binding buffer (1 × PBS with 20 mM imidazole), the reaction mixture was incubated for 16 h at 4°C. Incubation was then continued with Ni-NTA beads (50 μl) for another 1 h. After incubation, the beads were washed 100 times with the same binding buffer (5 ml). The proteins that bound to the beads were eluted by the addition of buffer containing 500 mM imidazole and resolved by sodium dodecyl sulphate–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS–PAGE). Protein bands of interest were manually excised from the gels. After in-gel trypsin digestion followed by LC-nanoESI-MS/MS, the search program Mascot was used to search for matches. Variable modifications considered were methionine oxidation and cysteine carboxamidomethylation. Significant hits (as defined by Mascot probability analysis) were regarded as positive identification. In another His-pulldown assay that was used to confirm the interaction between DMP19 and NHTF, purified C-terminal His6-tagged NHTF (final concentration: 1 μM) was mixed with 3 μM DMP19 without any tag (final concentration: 3 μM). The same His-pulldown procedure was used in this assay.

### Bissulfonylazimidyl suberate (BS3) cross-linking assay to confirm the interaction between DMP19 and NHTF

For the BS3 assay, 0.4 ml of 3 μM purified C-terminal His6-tagged NHTF was mixed with 0.4 ml of 3 μM DMP19, a Bovine serum albumin (BSA) control or DMP12, which is another conserved hypothetical protein found in *Neisseria* (NMB2106). After adjusting to a final volume of 1 ml with a binding buffer (1 × PBS), the reaction mixture was incubated for 30 min at 25°C. Following the addition of BS3 to a final concentration of 0.5 mM, incubation was then continued for another hour. The reactions were quenched by 50 mM Tris–HCl. After the BS3 cross-linking, a His-pulldown assay was used to identify the proteins that cross-linked to the C-terminal His6-tagged NHTF. All reactions were resolved by SDS–PAGE, and western blot analysis using DMP19 antibody was used to detect the DMP19.

---

Table 2. Data collection and refinement statistics of NHTF crystals

| Data collection    | Native          | C2            |
|--------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| Wavelength (Å)     | 1.5418          | 25-2.0 (2.07–2.0) |
| Space group        | C2              | 75.0, 108.9, 44.1 |
| Unit cell a, b, c (Å) | 115.6          | 44.1          |
| β (°)              | 21.7 (32.6)     | 32.6          |
| Resolution (Å)     | 99.7 (100.0)    | 7.5 (7.5)     |
| Unique reflections | 47.1 (6.8)      | 21471 (2125)  |
| Redundancy         | 5.9 (35.6)      | 7.5 (5.5)     |
| Completeness (%)   | 5.9 (35.6)      | 21471 (2125)  |
| Completeness (%)   | 47.1 (6.8)      | 7.5 (5.5)     |
| Rmerge (%)         | 5.9 (35.6)      | 5.9 (35.6)    |
| Rwork (%)          | 17.2 (25.7)     | 75.0, 108.9, 44.1 |
| Rfree (%)          | 21.7 (32.6)     | 75.0, 108.9, 44.1 |
| Bond r.m.s.d. (Å)  | 0.019           | 75.0, 108.9, 44.1 |
| Angle r.m.s.d. (%) | 1.7             | 75.0, 108.9, 44.1 |
| Most favored (%)   | 98.8            | 75.0, 108.9, 44.1 |
| Allowed (%)        | 1.2             | 75.0, 108.9, 44.1 |
| Protein B/atoms    | 374/2113        | 21471 (2125)  |
| Solvent B/atoms    | 52.3/282        | 75.0, 108.9, 44.1 |
Gel filtration to determine the native molecular weight of target proteins

To evaluate the approximate molecular weight of DMP19, NHTF and the DMP19/NHTF complex, each protein was analyzed separately using a Superdex 75 HR 10/30 gel filtration column (Amersham Biosciences). For DMP19 and DMP19/NHTF, the buffer was 20 mM Tris–
base pH 7.2. For NHTF, the buffer was 50 mM sodium citrate pH 5.8, because in the absence of DMP19 or DNA substrate, NHTF at concentrations higher than 50 µM will precipitate out of buffers with a neutral pH. Gel filtration standard proteins (conalbumin [75 kDa], ovalbumin [43 kDa], carbonic anhydrase [29 kDa] and RNase A [13.7 kDa]) were used to calibrate the column. For each protein, the logarithm of molecular weight (log MW) was plotted against $K_{av}$, which was calculated as follows: $K_{av} = (V_e - V_o)/(V_t - V_o)$, where $V_e$ is the elution volume, $V_o$ is the column void volume using blue dextran 2000, and $V_t$ is the total column bed volume (24 ml for the Superdex 75 HR 10/30 gel filtration column).

DNA-binding assays (EMSA assays)

These assays used either PCR products or short dsDNA fragments as DNA substrates. The PCR products of the NHTF coding and S' untranslated regions were prepared by standard PCR protocol using the corresponding primer sets (Supplementary Table S1). The short dsDNA fragments were prepared by mixing equal volumes and concentrations of complementary oligonucleotides (Supplementary Table S1), incubating the reaction mixtures at 95°C for 3–5 min, and then lowering the temperature to RT for another 30 min. For the NHTF–DNA-binding assays, different amounts of purified recombinant C-terminal His6-tagged NHTF were mixed with DNA substrates. The reaction mixtures were adjusted to a volume of 15 µl in binding buffer (1 × PBS) and incubated at 37°C for 15 min. For the DMP19/DNA competitive binding assays, purified recombinant C-terminal His6-tagged NHTF was mixed with different amounts of DMP19. The reaction mixtures were adjusted to a volume of 14 µl in binding buffer (1 × PBS) and pre-incubated at 37°C for 1 h. Finally, 1 µl of binding buffer containing the DNA substrate was added and incubation continued for 15 min. The reaction products were run on a 0.8% agarose gel and stained with SYBR Green I (Sigma-Aldrich).

In situ gene regulation assay

A synthesized gene fragment containing the T7 promoter, lac operator, NHTF-binding site and the green fluorescent protein (GFP) gene was ligated into a PUC 57 plasmid (Genscript) to produce the construct pNHTFbs-GFP. Cell-free protein synthesis was performed using an EasyXpress protein synthesis kit (QIAGen). For the gene regulation assay, NHTF was mixed with or without DMP19. The reaction mixtures were adjusted to a volume of 10 µl in binding buffer (1 × PBS) such that the final concentrations of NHTF and DMP19 were both 30 µM. After pre-incubation at 37°C for 1 h, the mixture was added to the protein synthesis reaction buffer (provided by the kit and containing E. coli extract). The reaction was initiated by adding 5 nM pNHTFbs–GFP and 0.25 mM IPTG. After 1 h of incubation at 37°C, the expressed GFP was detected by excitation at 395 nm and emission at 509 nm.

RESULTS

The crystal structure of DMP19

The protein structure of DMP19 from N. meningitides MC58 was determined by applying the MAD method to an AuCl3-soaked crystal. DMP19 contains only α-helices (α1–α10; Figure 1A). The refined structure contains two DMP19 molecules arranged as a dimer in each asymmetric unit (Figure 1B). The refinement statistics are listed in Table 1. A structural homology search using the DALI website showed that the DMP19 structure has no similarity to any other known structure, indicating a novel fold.

The DMP19 protein is an acidic protein (calculated pI < 4.5), which means that it is negatively charged under physiological conditions. Its surface-charge distribution reveals a dsDNA-like topology (Figure 1C and D), with a dsDNA (from PDB 3CRO) shown at the same scale for comparison. The patches of negatively charged amino acids are arranged into two rows on the DMP19 surface, separated by 17 Å (Glu14 to the same amino acid in the other monomer), which is comparable to the interphosphate distance in the opposite strands of dsDNA (18 Å). Additionally, the distances between the DMP19 carboxyl groups Glu-14, Asp-55, Glu-60, Glu-129, Glu-132, Glu-139 and Glu-142 are similar to that between two adjacent phosphate groups in dsDNA. These results suggest that DMP19 mimics the negative charge distribution of dsDNA.

Identification of the Neisseria proteins that may interact with DMP19

If DMP19 is a DNA mimic, then at least part of its function would likely involve direct interaction with DNA-binding protein(s). Using extracted Neisseria proteins as prey and the N-terminal His6-tagged DMP19 as a bait, the His-pulldown assay results revealed six Neisseria proteins that appear to interact with DMP19. The biological roles of these proteins are: (a) DNA-directed RNA polymerase β subunit, (b) pyruvate dehydrogenase subunit E1, (c) molecular chaperone DnaK, (d) elongation factor Tu, (e) DNA-directed RNA polymerase α subunit and (f) Neisseria hypothetical transcription factor (NHTF; Supplementary Figure S2 and Table S2). In the present study, only NHTF was selected for further investigation. The interaction between DMP19 and NHTF was confirmed by another pulldown assay (Figure 2A) which showed that DMP19 was pulled down by C-terminal His6-tagged NHTF (NHTF C-His). A BS3 cross-linking assay was used to further clarify this interaction. As Figure 2B shows, the addition of DMP19 caused a shift in the NHTF C-His bands. The shifted bands are indicated by asterisks and confirm the interaction of these two proteins.
Figure 1. The negative charge distribution of DMP19 resembles the B-form DNA helix. (A) The secondary structural elements are shown above the amino acid sequence, with yellow cylinders representing α-helices. (B) A ribbon diagram of the DMP19 dimer. The two monomers are colored green and cyan, respectively. (C) The molecular surfaces of the DMP19 dimer. Color-coding is by Pymol, with red to blue representing the electrostatic potential from $-90\, \text{kBT}$ to $+90\, \text{kBT}$. A DNA-like negative charge distribution can be seen on one-dimensional surface of DMP19. (D) Comparison of the negative charge distribution of DMP19 and dsDNA. The spheres denote the DMP19 acidic residues that correspond to the negatively charged phosphate groups on the dsDNA. The approximate distances between spots are also shown.
Gel filtration chromatography was used to investi-
gate the native molecular weights of DMP19, NHTF and the DMP19/NHTF complex (Figure 2C). Based on the $K_{av}$ versus log MW values of protein standards that were fractionated in the same column (Figure 2C, inset), the apparent molecular weights of the target proteins were calculated to be 43, 24.5 and 74 kDa, respectively. The predicted molecular weights of DMP19 and NHTF are a good match to their theoretical dimer molecular weight (37 and 26 kDa), and the approximate molecular weight of the DMP19/NHTF complex is also close to the sum of the theoretical molecular weights of the DMP19 and NHTF dimers. An analytical ultracentrifugation analysis of DMP19/NHTF complex formation also provided similar results (Supplementary Figure S4 and Supplementary Table S3). All of these data suggest that DMP19 forms a dimer to dimer complex with NHTF.

Figure 2. His-pulldown, BS3 cross-linking assays, and gel filtration all confirm that DMP19 and NHTF interact. (A) In this His-pulldown assay, DMP19 without any tag was used as prey and C-terminal His$_6$-tagged NHTF transcription factor (NHTF C-His) was used as bait. DMP19 was pulled-down by NHTF C-His. (B) A BS3 cross-linking assay was carried out to further confirm the interaction between DMP19 and NHTF C-His. NHTF C-His was co-incubated separately with DMP19 and two negative controls (BSA, $p_I$ 5.8 and DMP12, $p_I$ 4.5). After the addition of BS3, shifted bands that indicated protein–protein cross-linking were only seen in the DMP19/NHTF C-His reactions (lanes 7, 15, 18 and 19); the shifted bands are marked with an asterisk. NHTF C-His was pulled down using Ni-NTA beads, and cross-linked DMP19/NHTF C-His was found in lane 15. The presence of DMP19 in the shifted bands was confirmed by using anti-DMP19 antibody (lane 19). The presence of DMP19 and NHTF C-His in the same shifted bands of this experiment was further confirmed by western blot analysis using anti-DMP19 and anti-NHTF antibodies (Supplementary Figure S3). (C) The molecular weights of DMP19, NHTF and DMP19/NHTF complex were measured by gel filtration on a Superdex 75 HR 10/30 column monitored at 280 nm. The standard proteins conalbumin (C; 75 kDa), ovalbumin (OA; 43 kDa), carbonic anhydrase (CA; 29 kDa) and RNase A (RA; 13.7 kDa) were fractionated on the same column and used to generate a plot of $K_{av}$ against log MW (inset). The $K_{av}$ of each target protein (DMP19, NHTF and DMP19/NHTF complex) was then used to find its molecular weight.
The crystal structure of hypothetical transcription factor NHTF from *Neisseria meningitides* MC58

Like DMP19, NHTF consists entirely of $\alpha$-helices ($\alpha_1$–$\alpha_6$; Figure 3A). A sequence homology search predicted that $\alpha_2$ and $\alpha_3$ formed helix-turn-helix (HTH) motif (Supplementary Figure S1). The crystal structure of NHTF (4–92) was determined by the molecular replacement (MR) method (Figure 3B) using XRE transcription factor C.BclI (PDB 2B5A) as the search model. The refinement statistics are listed in Table 2. The asymmetric unit of the crystal comprised three NHTF molecules made up of one dimer and one monomer from another dimer situated on a dyad axis. A structural homology search using the DALI website showed that the NHTF structure can be assigned to the XRE family of transcription factors. Superposition of the core structure ($\alpha_1$–$\alpha_4$) from NHTF and three other XRE transcription factors [restriction–modification controller protein C.BclI (20), C.AhdI (22) and 434 Cro repressor (23)] confirmed the presence of the predicted classical HTH motif ($\alpha_2$ and $\alpha_3$; Supplementary Figures S1 and S5A), while the location of the DNA-binding region of NHTF was suggested by the structural superposition of NHTF and the phage 434 CRO/DNA complexes (Figure 3C and Supplementary Figure S5B).

DMP19 prevents the DNA binding of *Neisseria* transcriptional factor NHTF

A number of XRE transcription factors, including C.BclI (20) and C.AhdI (22), regulate their own expression by means of a control region located in their 5′-untranslated sequence. Here, we used an electrophoresis mobility shift assay (EMSA) to test whether NHTF also binds to the 5′-untranslated region of the NHTF gene. A preliminary EMSA study showed that although there was no evidence of specific binding to the NHTF coding region, there was a clear DNA shift of the NHTF 5′-untranslated region at low concentration (1–3 $\mu$M; Supplementary Figure S6). We hypothesized that the 5′-untranslated region of the NHTF gene may include a site that is specifically recognized by NHTF. This possibility was further investigated by EMSA assays with four DNA fragments from different parts of the 5′-untranslated region (Figure 4A and B). The NHTF protein bound specifically to the fragment 3, but not to the other three fragments. Fragment 3 contains two repeated sequences related by a pseudo-dyad axis, 5′-TGTNAN$_{11}$TNACA-3′, which is similar to the binding site of *Bacillus subtilis* transcription factor TnrA (5′-TGTNAN$_{7}$TNACA-3′). A subsequent EMSA result showed that specific shifting was only observed when the 5′-TGTNAN$_{11}$TNACA-3′ sequence
was present and that mutation of this pseudo-dyad axis sequence prevented NHTF from binding to this fragment (Figure 4C).

If DMP19 is a DNA mimic, then it may prevent DNA from binding to the NHTF. To investigate this, competitive EMSA assays were used to investigate the effect of DMP19 on the DNA binding of NHTF. The dosage-dependent decrease in the shifted bands when DMP19 was pre-incubated with NHTF shows that DMP19 prevented the specific binding of NHTF to its specific 25-mer target (i.e. 5′-TGTNAN11TNACA-3′) (Figure 4D). Pre-incubation with the negatively charged BSA (pI 4.7) and DMP12 (NMB2106, pI 4.5) controls had no such effect.

**NHTF down-regulates down-stream gene expression and DMP19 neutralizes this effect**

An operon analysis of the NHTF gene region shows that the NHTF and glnD genes are separated by a 49-base pair intergenic region that lacks a terminator structure. Furthermore, no putative promoter sequence has been found in this intergenic region. This suggests that the glnD gene of *Neisseria* is most likely co-transcribed with NHTF, and we hypothesize that NHTF may therefore control the expression of both the NHTF gene and the downstream (Protein-II) uridylyltransferase gene (glnD) by binding to its 5′-untranslated region (Figure 5A). To test whether NHTF can regulate the expression of a down-stream gene, we designed an *in situ* gene regulation assay using GFP as the reporter gene. This assay uses cell-free protein synthesis and a plasmid (pNHTFbs–GFP) that contains the operon shown in Figure 5B. Figure 5C shows that the addition of 30 μM NHTF decreased the GFP fluorescent signal to 0.63 ± 0.09-fold compared to the GFP control (from three independent assays). Further, this gene repression effect was neutralized by the addition of the same concentration of DMP19 (the GFP fluorescent signal was restored to 0.97 ± 0.01 compared to the GFP control). We therefore conclude that NHTF acts as a gene repressor of its down-stream genes and that DMP19 is able to neutralize this effect.

**DISCUSSION**

In this report, we used structural and proteomic analyses to determine the relationship between two hypothetical proteins, DMP19 and NHTF, from the pathogen *N. meningitidis* MC58. Structural analysis of DMP19 revealed a dsDNA-like charge distribution on the DMP19 dimer (Figure 1C and D), suggesting that it might act as a DNA mimic protein. DNA mimic proteins are hard to identify using bioinformatic searches because their amino acid sequences lack homology to other known proteins and because their structures are so divergent (8,9). However, these proteins are important to living cells, and to date, DNA mimic proteins have been found in prokaryotes, eukaryotes, bacteriophages and recently in a eukaryotic virus (8,10). These known DNA mimic proteins are involved in many DNA-regulation mechanisms, such as DNA repair (28), restriction (29,30), DNA packaging (10,31,32), topology (33), transcription (34), single-strand DNA binding (35) and recombination (36).

The second protein identified in this report, NHTF, is a hypothetical transcription factor that interacted with DMP19 (Figure 2). The crystal structure of NHTF provides evidence that it contains a HTH motif, and that it is a member of the XRE transcriptional factor family (Figure 3B and Supplementary Figure S5). Members of the XRE family are involved in a wide range of gene regulation, including plasmid copying, restriction and modification systems, bacteriophage transcription control and stress responses (37). Among the reported XRE family proteins, NHTF is most similar to the restriction and modification system (RMS) controller proteins (C proteins) C.BclI (20) and C.AhdI (22). In bacteria, the RMS system acts as a defense mechanism against phage infection and other types of DNA invasion, but it is a system that must be tightly controlled to avoid cell death via autorestriction (38–41), while still providing protection against the invading viral DNA.
Figure 4. NHTF binding to the NHTF gene cluster and effect of DMP19 on its specificity. (A) The NHTF gene cluster encompasses a 5'-untranslated region along with the coding region. (B) The NHTF 5'-untranslated region was cut into four fragments with similar length (~40-mer) and incubated with NHTF. Only fragment 3 was shifted after incubation with NHTF. (C) EMSA results showed that within fragment 3, only the 25-mer DNA fragment that included the un-mutated TGTNAN_{11}TNACA pseudo-dyad axis sequence produced the specific DNA shift. (D) Addition of the DNA mimic DMP19 reduces the specific DNA binding of NHTF in a dosage-dependent manner. The addition of the other two negatively charged proteins BSA and DMP12 had no effect. The DNA concentration used in all of the EMSA assays is 1 μM.
Given the similarity in structure and amino acid sequence between NHTF and the C proteins, it is possible that NHTF may also be involved in the same restriction and modification system. However, our analysis of the NHTF gene region on the Neisseria chromosome (Figure 5A) suggests another possible function. The NHTF gene is located upstream of the Neisseria (Protein-PII) uridylyltransferase gene (glnD) in all known Neisseria genomes including those of N. meningitidis, N. gonorrhoeae and N. lactamica. No transcriptional terminator structure or predicted promoter could be found in any of the intergenic regions between the NHTF and glnD genes. That suggests the glnD gene of Neisseria is most likely to be co-transcribed with NHTF and regulated by the binding of NHTF to the target DNA sequence on its 5'-untranslated region (5'-UTR; Figure 4). The gene product of glnD is (Protein-PII) uridylyltransferase, a protein which plays an important role in the regulation of nitrogen assimilation by controlling the uridylylation state of the PII signal transduction protein in response to intracellular signals (42–46). We further note that the NHTF target DNA sequence (5'-TGTNAN_{11}TNACA-3') is similar to the binding site of TnrA (5'-TGTNAN_{7}TNACA-3'), a transcription factor that is required for global nitrogen regulation in B. subtilis (47). All of these data suggest that NHTF is an auto-regulated transcription factor that is involved in the response to nitrogen levels in Neisseria spp.

The competitive EMSA results showed that DMP19 prevented the specific binding of NHTF to its specific 25-mer target (Figure 4D). We attribute this preventative effect mainly to the specific charge–charge interaction between the complementary surface charges of DMP19 and the DNA-binding surface of NHTF (Supplementary Figure S8). A proposed model for this DMP19/NHTF interaction is shown in Figure 6A. In this model, the positively charged α-helix 3 of NHTF (i.e. the proposed recognition helix; Figure 6B and Supplementary Figure S5B)
fits into the negatively charged cavity formed by the α-helices 3 and 9 of DMP19 with no steric clashes. Although this model has not yet been verified by structural analysis of the real complex, the interaction between DMP19 and NHTF would be biologically meaningful in the context of the nitrogen regulation system of Neisseria spp. The in situ gene regulation assay (Figure 5C) provides further evidence that DMP19 acts to prevent the gene repressor activity of NHTF. Assuming that NHTF is indeed an auto-repressor that down-regulates its own expression as well as the expression of down-stream genes such as glnD, there are likely to be critical situations where more control would be needed. Our data suggest that DMP19 plays a role in controlling this auto-feedback mechanism by temporarily interfering with the binding of NHTF to its specific target and thus restoring the expression of the down-stream genes expression.

In summary, we conclude that together, DMP19 and NHTF constitute a novel system for the transcriptional control of (Protein-PII) uridylyltransferase, a key enzyme in the nitrogen regulation system. Since the NHTF-glnD operon is distinct from the operons in other known bacteria, such as E. coli (44), Rhizobium leguminosarum (45) and Gluconacetobacter diazotrophicus (46), this system would provide a new mechanism of nitrogen control in Neisseria spp.
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