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ABSTRACT

This study investigated the effects Sevin (1-naphthyl N-methylcarbamate) had on the morphology and root anatomy of soybeans (*Glycine max* (L) Merr.).

Morphological observations revealed that as the concentration of Sevin increased, the cotyledons, hypocotyl and epicotyl decreased in size. Absence of a pigment (presumably anthocyanin) normally present in the petioles and hypocotyl, was noticed at all applications of Sevin. Emergence of primary and trifoliate leaves was delayed as the concentration of Sevin increased. Increased Sevin concentrations produced thin, white roots with numerous laterals. With increased concentrations, purple pigment appeared on both taproots and lateral roots.

Growth rates of roots, when analyzed by Duncan's Modified Multiple Range Test, were not significant between 2, 9 and 19 ppm of Sevin, but were significant at the 1% level for 21, 25 and 55 ppm of Sevin.

Roots of treated soybeans exhibited development of lateral root primordia close to the root apex. This suggests that the cells failed to elongate in advance of differentiation. There appeared to be no change in the quiescent center for all Sevin concentrations. Noticeable vacuolization of cortical, stelar, epidermal and root cap cells occurred at 21, 25 and 55 ppm of Sevin. At increased Sevin concentrations, the root cap was usually sloughed off or was lacking.
An autoradiographic study indicated that the translocation of $^{14}$C-Sevin was predominately apoplastic with possible accumulation of $^{14}$C-Sevin into the symplast.

Morphological and anatomical analyses revealed few or no appreciable differences at 2, 9 and 19 ppm of Sevin, but at 21, 25 and 55 ppm, noticeable change in root structure did occur.

Sevin has low mammalian toxicity, short half life and at low threshold concentrations does not produce visible anatomical or growth changes. These properties make Sevin one of the most useful insecticides available today.
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INTRODUCTION

Agricultural technology has increased crop production through proper planning and plant propagation and has as a result brought about a "green revolution". Some of these practices have increased the incidence of infestations by insects and fungi, and many of the chemical compounds that were developed to eliminate the infestations were harmful to the biosphere.

Within the last few decades, increasing dependence upon the use of pesticides which are particularly hazardous to animal and human life, has led to laws that regulate their production and distribution. Biologists are justly concerned with the effects of pesticides on animals and their long term effects on future generations, but there is less concern when an insecticide is applied to a crop, unless it is highly phytotoxic.

Research has been conducted on translocation, absorption, metabolism and retention of insecticides in plants, but few studies have addressed the problem of the effects of insecticides on the anatomy of the plants. There is no question that the heavy use of insecticides has resulted in serious residue problems in many agricultural areas, so it is highly desirable to determine what levels of residues affect plant growth, and how this effect is expressed anatomically.

Sevin is the most widely used carbamate insecticide in the United States today (von Rumker et al., 1974). It is
a broad spectrum insecticide that controls many economically important insects, some of which are the Painted Lady Butterfly larvae (Vanessa cardui), Green Cloverworm (Plathypena scalira) and Mexican Bean Beetle (Epilachna varivestis). It is unique in having a low mammalian toxicity (560 mg/kg in rats) as well as low persistence in the environment (8-135 days, depending on the substrate) (Caro et al., 1974).

Soybeans are the most important cash crop in the United States and are an important food product for human and livestock consumption (Howell, 1975). A review of the literature has revealed no specific studies on the effects of Sevin on soybeans (while applications of Sevin at 2 lbs/acre of active ingredient have been observed to be phytotoxic under field conditions). Therefore, this thesis is concerned with the morphological and anatomical effects of various concentrations of Sevin on the soybean.
LITERATURE REVIEW

The effects of insecticides on root anatomy.

The pertinent literature on the effects of insecticides on plant anatomy is concerned with the \( \gamma \) isomer of hexachlorocyclohexane, commonly known by the trade name Lindane. Kostoff (1948), Scholes (1953), Simkover and Shenefelt (1952), Nybom and Knutsson (1947) and Ball (1956) noted that root apices of plants treated with these compounds were club-shaped, resembling the effects produced by colchicine. Increased numbers of multinucleate cells in the root apex were observed in the roots of monocotyledons, dicotyledons and gymnosperms.

Lichtenstein et al. (1962) reported that when corn and peas were subjected to 30 ppm Sevin, the roots were shorter and thicker than the control roots, and for most species, there was an overall reduction in plant size. Lateral roots formed within 0.5 cm of the root apex and were shorter than those in the plants grown in untreated sand. A dark purplish color appeared on the lower portions of the roots. The primary metabolite of Sevin, 1-naphthol, produced no effects on the length of corn and pea roots, although they did exhibit the purple color on its lower surfaces.

Lichtenstein categorized the anatomical changes as a "growth inhibition". The observation of differentiated protoxylem, metaxylem, protophloem and endodermis close to the root apex, suggested that development of cells close to the root apex was "precocious". Sevin induced growth in-
hibition with noticeable tracheary elements differentiated close to the root apex in a unique wedge-shaped pattern opposite the proxylem poles.

Lichtenstein also observed prolific development of lateral roots in both peas and corn treated with Sevin. Anatomical changes in corn were differentiation of tracheary elements close to the root apex and significant cell wall change illustrated by retention of safranin stain in a peripheral band of cells in the vascular cylinder that included the endodermal tissues.

The chlorinated hydrocarbons, dieldrin and chlordane, produced the same results as noted for Sevin. Chlordane caused hypertrophy of the xylem and phloem parenchyma and a slight modification of the root apex. Lindane, also a chlorinated hydrocarbon, produced hypertrophic and hyperplastic effects on corn and pea roots. Irregular enlargement of cells in the vascular cylinder and cortex caused a broadening of the root. Stimulation of cell division in the pericycle and vascular cylinder caused distortion in the appearance of the root when sectioned. Lichtenstein also noted that Lindane produced multiple nuclei in the root apices of pea and corn roots. Charnetski (1973), working with Lindane on pea roots, noted Lichtenstein's observations and concluded that the multinucleated cells were the product of microtubular inhibition which produced incomplete cell divisions with partial cell walls projecting into the lumen of each cell. The lateral roots were
also noticeably clubbed in appearance.

Anatomy of Glycine max (L) Merr.

The anatomy of soybeans has been studied by Miksche (1961), Weaver (1960), and Mitchell and Russell (1971). It is an upright plant, and depending on the variety, has a root system consisting of a taproot and extensive lateral roots. The stem is pigmented (presumably anthocyanin), pubescent and the cotyledons exhibit netted venation. The primary leaves are simple and opposite and the subsequent leaves are trifoliate and alternate. Inconspicuous flowers arise at the second internode and normally produce a pod containing two to four seeds.

The apical meristem of the shoot is structured into four zones: 1) the central mother cell zone, 2) two layers of surface initials, 3) peripheral and 4) rib meristem zones (Sun, 1957). Prior to germination, the epicotyl of the embryo possesses several foliage leaves and primordium of the first trifoliate leaf prior to dormancy (Miksche, 1961).

After 32 hours of imbibition, the soybean seed radicle has initiated mitotic figures. The mitotic divisions are noted 400-800 µm above the apical generating zone in the cortex region. Mitotic figures are noted in the stelar regions approximately 36 to 48 hours after germination. The apical generation zone exhibits mitotic figures after
48 hours of germination. The root meristem is composed of several stratified layers which are oriented at right angles to the root axis and are designated as the common initial region (Miksche, 1961).

The distal cells of the quiescent center produce the central cells of the root cap by periclinal divisions. The peripheral portion of the root cap is produced by periclinal and oblique divisions of the peripheral lateral derivations of the quiescent center. The stelar initials form a hemispherical body of cells at the proximal portion of the quiescent center. The vascular pattern of soybeans reveals distally a tetrarch and exarch radial arrangement. According to Weaver (1960), the primary xylem of the root-hypocotyl-cotyledon axis is a single unit. Bifurcation of the four xylem strands into eight strands produces an endarch xylem in the upper hypocotyl where previously the strands were tangentially oriented in the root.

Sun (1955, 1957) reported that the lateral roots developed from the pericycle at the loci directly opposite the four protoxylem poles. Mitchell and Russell (1971) reported that under field conditions, lateral roots developed 3-7 days after the taproot. Growth and development of lateral roots responded to various phases of plant growth i.e., young vegetative cover, seed formation, and seed set. The lateral roots eventually overtake the primary taproot in length and then grow horizontally until seed set when a strong positive geotropism develops in the lateral roots.
and from then on, the main root system is composed of lateral roots.

The analysis of soybean root anatomy is a basis for understanding the effects of Sevin on the root anatomy, the subject of this thesis.

Metabolism and Persistence of Sevin in Plants and in the Soil

Sevin (1-naphthyl N-methylcarbamate) is a naphthylene ring with a carbamate group attached to the first carbon atom.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{O} & \quad \text{O} \\
\text{C} & \quad \text{NCH}_3 \\
\text{H} & \quad \text{H}
\end{align*}
\]

Trade names are Sevin, Turcarnan, Ravyon, Karbaspray and Hexarin. Sevin is an alkyl carbamate insecticide which is soluble in water at 40 ppm at 30°C and is moderately toxic. Half-life persistence in the soil depends on both environmental and soil factors.

Persistence of Sevin in soil is still being tested. Guseinov (1970), Ivanova and Molozhanova (1973) noted that even foliar applications of Sevin resulted in soil residues. Johnson and Stansbury (1963) determined that under field conditions Sevin in sandy loam has a half-life of eight days (equivalent to 35 days for 95% disappearance) with complete breakdown occurring within 40 days. Kazano et al.
(1972) noted that the types of soils in rice paddies influenced the persistence of Sevin i.e., it was most persistent in clay loams and least persistent in sandy loams.

Caro et al. (1974) observed that under field conditions 135 days were necessary for 95% of the pesticide to decompose. This depended upon the method of Sevin application and the depth of the soil sampled since Sevin does move in the soil.

Degradation of Sevin to its primary metabolite, 1-naphthol, can occur as a result of ultraviolet irradiation and microbial action. Hydrolysis and oxidation of the parent compound to seven known metabolites have been demonstrated by Kuhr (1970).

Primary insect damage to soybeans are by leaf feeders. Soybeans can tolerate considerable defoliation without loss in seed yield after pods have been set. The Mexican Bean Beetle (*Epilachna varivestis*), Green Stink Bug (*Acrosternum hilare*) and Bean Leaf Beetle (*Cerotoma trifurcata*) are considered as primary pests of soybeans (Nat. Acad. of Science, 1975). Often more than one species of foliar feeders can be present on soybeans.

Therefore, Sevin controls a number of economically important insects that attack soybeans and when properly applied is a fairly safe and effective carbamate insecticide (von Rumker et al., 1974).
METHODS AND MATERIALS

Plant Material and Plant Growth

Soybean seed (Glycine max (L) Merr. 'Lee 68') was obtained from the Lone Pine Rice and Bean Farm, Carlisle, Arkansas. It was stored in plastic containers in a refrigerator. Preparations for seed germination consisted of 1) rinsing the seeds in distilled water, 2) soaking in the fungicide Arasan (2 tbl/gal) for 5 minutes and 3) air drying the seeds. The seeds were placed in plastic germinators (32X23X7 cm) which were lined with sterile paper toweling barely moistened with distilled water. A sheet of polyethylene under the germinator cover maintained the humidity within the germinator. The germinators were then placed in a Sherer growth chamber model CEL 25-7HL. Illumination within the chamber consisted of 12 incandescent and 10 fluorescent bulbs yielding a light intensity of 13,500 to 15,500 lux. The photoperiod was maintained at a 12-hour day-12-hour night cycle. Temperature within the growth chamber was set at 28±0.5°C by day and 20±0.5°C by night.

Soybean seedlings, 4-days post germination, were selected with radicles over 1.0 cm in length. The radicles were marked 1.0 cm from the root apex with India Ink using a feather marker. Growth was recorded in 3 ways: 1) root tip growth in relation to an ink mark 1.0 cm from the root apex, 2) length from the root apex to the cotyledons and 3) distance of lateral roots from the root apex.

Ottawa sand obtained from the Ottawa Silica Co., Mystic,
Connecticut, was used as a substrate for the experiments. To insure proper wetting of the sand, 100 ml of distilled water was added to the dry sand. The dosage of Sevin (obtained from Union Carbide Corporation, New Jersey-100% Assay Technical Grade) used was determined in parts per million using moist sand (wt/wt) as the basis of the calculations. The Sevin was dissolved in 25 ml of methanol, then added to 100 ml of Modified Shive's Nutrient Solution (Table 1). The solution was added to the moist sand and mixed on heavy brown paper for 15 minutes. The control sand was prepared in the same manner as the treated sand (including 25 ml of methanol), but no insecticide was added. The thoroughly mixed sand was then transferred to 5X8 cm plastic pots and three marked seedlings were planted in each pot. The pots were placed in the Scherer growth chamber, under the same environmental conditions used for germination, for a period of 10 days. Three treated plants and three control plants were harvested every other day to determine the effects of Sevin on the plants. The experiment was terminated at 10 days and the plants harvested on the 10th day were the primary source of the experimental data.

Microtechnique

The harvested plants were measured and placed in Modified Shive's Nutrient Solution for 5 minutes. The primary root was cut 1.0 cm from the root apex and was wrapped in cotton gauze and placed into Graf III fixative
Table 1. Modified Shive's Nutrient Solution.

| Chemical Compound | Concentration mg/l |
|-------------------|-------------------|
| $\text{KH}_2\text{PO}_4$       | 1.0               |
| $\text{MgSO}_4 \cdot 7 \text{H}_2\text{O}$ | 2.0               |
| $\text{Ca(NO}_3)_2 \cdot 4 \text{H}_2\text{O}$ | 5.0               |
| $\text{K}_2\text{SO}_4$         | 2.0               |
| B as $\text{H}_3\text{BO}_3$    | 0.1               |
| Fe as $\text{FeSO}_4 \cdot 7 \text{H}_2\text{O}$ | 0.5               |
| Mn and Cl as $\text{MnCl}_2 \cdot 4 \text{H}_2\text{O}$ | 0.23              |
| Cu as $\text{CuSO}_4 \cdot 5 \text{H}_2\text{O}$ | 0.01              |
| Mo as $\text{NaMoO}_4 \cdot 2 \text{H}_2\text{O}$ | 0.1               |
| Zn as $\text{ZnSO}_4 \cdot 7 \text{H}_2\text{O}$ | 0.1               |
(1% chromic acid (30 ml), 37% formaldehyde (10 ml), 10% acetic acid (20 ml) and distilled water (40 ml)). The tissues were fixed for 24 hours, then washed under a steady stream of tap water to remove the fixative. The roots were dehydrated in an ascending series of ethanol-tertiary butyl alcohols (20%, 50%, 75% 96% (2 changes), 100% (2 changes) and t-butyl alcohol (2 changes) at one-hour intervals. The roots were then transferred to a solution of ½ paraffin and ½ t-butyl alcohol in a 55°C oven for 6-12 hours. They were transferred to pure paraffin (m.p. 53°C) for 12-24 hours (3 changes of paraffin within this period). The same procedure was repeated in a 58°C oven in Paraplast (Sherwood Industries) (m.p. 56-57°C). The roots were then embedded in metal boats with connecting plastic rings which were appropriately labelled.

Logitudinal and cross sections were cut 5 μm thick on a Spencer 820 rotary microtome. The sections were floated on a heated water bath (35°C), to which a small quantity of gelatin had been added, and then transferred to glass slides. The slides were placed in a 55°C oven for one hour and allowed to cool until ready for hydration. A descending series of xylene-ethanol alcohols brought the sections to proper hydration. The slides were then stained in 0.2% aqueous Safranin for 3 hours, washed in tap water, and then stained in Harris' Hematoxylin for 6 minutes. The sections were again washed in tap water and transferred via an ascending alcohol series to alcoholic Fast Green(0.2%
in 95% alcohol) for 10 seconds. The stain was differentiated in 95% alcohol for 2 changes (10 quick dips). The sections were dehydrated to xylene at 3-minute intervals and mounted with Tissue Mount (Harleco Science Industries) and observed microscopically. These histological techniques were modifications from Sass (1958).

**Colorimetric Determination of Insecticide Application**

The method of Johnson et al. (1963) was used to determine the actual Sevin concentration at the beginning and end of the experiments. A sample of 2.5 g of treated sand was extracted with approximately 20 ml of methanol. The total volume was collected to obtain 20 ml of the extracted insecticide-methanol-water solution. The solution was filtered through No. 4 Whatman filter paper to eliminate extraneous materials. Two milliliters of a 1N NaOH solution was added to 5 ml of the filtered solution and allowed to react for 5 minutes to complete the hydrolysis of Sevin to 1-naphthol. The hydroxylated solution was diluted with 17.0 ml of glacial acetic acid followed by the addition of 1.0 ml of p-nitrobenzenediazonium fluoborate which reacts with the 1-naphthol to produce a colored material. A deep yellow color after 15 minutes represented maximum absorbance. This color fades slowly after 1 hour because of a rapid breakdown of the p-nitrobenzenediazonium fluoborate-1-naphthol complex. A Spectronic 20 was used to determine the absorbance at 475 nm after 15 minutes of color development. Optical density values for the onset
(day 0) and termination (day 10) of each experiment were compared to a standard curve from which the appropriate concentration was determined in parts per million (Fig. A-1, Table A-1).

**Autoradiography**

Translocation of Sevin was determined by using ring-labelled $^{14}$C-Sevin obtained from the Ameshaw Searle Co. via Syracuse University, Syracuse, New York. Procedures used were described by Crafts and Yamaguchi (1964). Labelled Sevin 32.5 μCi/mg specific activity was dissolved in acetone to approximately 5000 ppm and a final volume of 1.4 ml.

For these experiments, the soybean plants were 45 days old. The plants were then transferred from sand into aerated Shives' Nutrient Solution (Fig. 1.). For foliar applications Sevin was applied directly to the primary and trifoliate leaves. For root applications 4.8 μCi were added to the nutrient solution. For primary and trifoliate leaf application, 1.6 μCi were added over a midvein near the laminar base which had been ringed by lanolin to prevent dispersal of the radioactive insecticide on other plant surfaces. After two days exposure, gross autoradiographs were prepared (according to Crafts and Yamaguchi (1964)).

A radioassay to determine the amount of radioactive Sevin present in specific parts of the plants was also made. This was done by dissecting and grinding each plant part (i.e., roots, stem above treated leaf or leaflet, stem below
treated leaf or leaflet, treated leaf or leaflet, all leaves minus treated leaf or leaflet and pods) and placing 100 mg of the pulverized dry tissue into metal planchets. The planchet was placed into an automated planchet counter (Tracer Lab Counter) and the time required to register 1000 counts were recorded.

After correction for background and self absorption errors, the $^{14}$C content was calculated as specific activity (cts/min/mg).
Fig. 1. Experimental design for treatment of soybeans with $^{14}$C-Sevin.
RESULTS

MORPHOLOGICAL OBSERVATIONS

A morphological description of each soybean plant was recorded at each harvest. Observations included various aspects of the overall development of soybeans, i.e., pigmentation of hypocotyl and epicotyl, cotyledon expansion, primary and trifoliate leaf expansion (Table 2). In general, plants harvested on day 10 formed the basis for the following observations.

Effects on Cotyledons

All Sevin concentrations retarded complete expansion of the cotyledons by 2 days. A difference in the size of the cotyledons was observed in relation to increasing Sevin concentrations, i.e., cotyledons of the 55 ppm plant were smaller than those of the 25 ppm plant, etc. (Figs. 2, 3).

Effect on Hypocotyl and Epicotyl

Pigment, presumably anthocyanin, was conspicuously absent from all treated plants. The hypocotyl and epicotyl remained light green. Although not directly measured, the hypocotyl and epicotyl of the treated plants showed a visible decrease in length as Sevin concentration increased (Figs. 2, 3).

Effect on Primary and Trifoliate Leaf Expansion

Emergence of primary leaves was delayed with increased Sevin concentrations (Table 2).

Emergence of trifoliate leaves did not occur at all concentrations of Sevin used (Figs. 2, 3). Above 21 ppm of
| Day 2 | Amount of Sevin applied, ppm | 0 | 2 | 9 | 19 | 21 | 25 | 55 |
|-------|-----------------------------|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|
| Pigmentation of hypocotyl | + | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Cotyledon expanded | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| Primary leaf expansion | + | + | + | + | - | - | - |
| Secondary leaf expansion | + | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Lateral root emergence | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |

| Day 4 | Pigmentation of hypocotyl | + | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|-------|-----------------------------|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|
| Cotyledon expanded | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| Primary leaf expansion | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| Secondary leaf expansion | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Lateral root emergence | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |

| Day 6 | Pigmentation of hypocotyl | + | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|-------|-----------------------------|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|
| Cotyledon expanded | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| Primary leaf expansion | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| Secondary leaf expansion | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Lateral root emergence | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |

| Day 8 | Pigmentation of hypocotyl | + | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|-------|-----------------------------|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|
| Cotyledon expanded | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| Primary leaf expansion | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| Secondary leaf expansion | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Lateral root emergence | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |

| Day 10 | Pigmentation of hypocotyl | + | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|--------|-----------------------------|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|
| Cotyledon expanded | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| Primary leaf expansion | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| Secondary leaf expansion | + | + | - | - | - | - | - |
| Lateral root emergence | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |

+ Plant has characteristic
- Plant does not have characteristic
+ Plant shows partial characteristic
Fig. 2. A) Soybean plants treated with 0, 9 and 19 ppm of Sevin. Note lack of anthocyanin in epicotyl and hypocotyl and overall decrease of plant growth of treated soybeans. Note that the roots are thin with numerous lateral roots when compared to the controls. Foliar expansion is impaired.

B) Soybean plants treated with 0, 25 and 55 ppm of Sevin. Note dramatic difference in plant growth at 25 and 55 ppm Sevin. Anthocyanin production in the hypocotyl and epicotyl is absent. Roots are short and thin. Note delays in foliar expansion. An obvious purple discoloration of roots is evident at 25 and 55 ppm.
Fig. 3. Soybean plants treated with 0, 21 and 2 ppm of Sevin. Notice lack of anthocyanin in hypocotyl and epicotyl. There is a delay of foliar expansion and proliferation of lateral roots at 21 ppm. At 2 ppm Sevin, there appears to be little effect on the soybean plant growth.
Sevin, the trifoliate leaves did not develop, although primordia were present (Figs. 2, 3).

**Effect on Root**

With increased concentrations of Sevin, both tap roots and lateral roots exhibited marked difference in size and number rather than difference in the day of emergence.

The roots were white and thin in comparison with the control roots (Figs. 2, 3). Many of the lateral roots emerged only a short distance from the root apex, and this distance decreased with increased concentrations of Sevin. At lower concentrations of Sevin, the lateral roots were longer and more prolific than at higher concentrations. After 10 days growth, the tap roots and lateral roots contained a purple pigment at 9, 19, 21, 25 and 55 ppm of Sevin.

Analyses of the root length data, i.e., growth of the root tip in relation to an ink mark 1.0 cm from the tip, are presented in Figs. 4 and 5. A study conducted to illustrate possible effects of Sevin on the distance from the root apex to the cotyledons, showed after analysis, that the data reflected the same general patterns as the data derived from the measurement of root tip growth in relation to an ink mark 1.0 cm from the root apex.

The graphs represent a general trend of inhibition of root tip growth and lateral root emergence with increasing concentrations of Sevin. Analysis of variance performed on the data showed significant differences at the 1% level.
A Duncan's Modified Multiple Range Test (Steel and Torrie, 1969) was calculated to determine where the significant differences had occurred (Table 3). Root tip growth of plants treated with 2, 9 and 19 ppm was not significantly different from the controls, but root growth was significantly inhibited in plants treated with 21, 25 and 55 ppm of Sevin. It would appear that the threshold concentration for inhibition of root tip growth by Sevin is between 19 and 21 ppm. The emergence of lateral roots paralleled the statistical analysis except that the threshold for Sevin concentration is between 21 and 25 ppm.

**RADIOASSAY OBSERVATIONS**

The symplast consists of the interconnected living elements of plants (e.g., protoplasm) while the apoplast is the nonliving portion of plants (e.g., intercellular spaces, xylem vessels). "Specific Activity" (corrected counts per minute ÷ weight of sample) and "Total Activity" (specific activity X sample weight) were computed and used to derive percent distribution values (individual plant part total activity ÷ of total activity for the entire plant) of $^{14}$C-Sevin applied to various plant organs (Fig. 6, Table 4).

When 4.8 µCi of $^{14}$C-Sevin were applied to root tissues, 64% of the radioactivity was translocated into primary and trifoliate leaves. The roots, stems and pods received 36% of the $^{14}$C-Sevin. Autoradiographs suggest that the uptake of $^{14}$C-Sevin was due to primarily to apoplastic movement,
Fig. 4. Root tip growth at various concentrations of Sevin.
Fig. 5. Distance from root apex to zone of lateral root emergence at various concentrations of Sevin.
Table 3. Analysis of root growth data (Day 10) using Duncan's Multiple Range Test.

| Treatment | Apex to cotyledon, cm | Mark to root apex, cm | Lateral root emergence, cm |
|-----------|------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|
| Control   | 14.1 bc*               | 13.3 de               | 2.6 bc                    |
| 2 ppm     | 14.5 cd                | 11.5 e                | 3.3 c                     |
| 9 ppm     | 12.8 bc                | 9.9 cde               | 1.8 ab                    |
| 19 ppm    | 11.5 bc                | 9.3 bcde              | 1.9 ab                    |
| 21 ppm    | 6.5 a                  | 4.4 a                 | 1.7 ab                    |
| 25 ppm    | 6.9 a                  | 4.4 a                 | 1.2 a                     |
| 55 ppm    | 5.1 a                  | 3.2 a                 | 0.8 a                     |

* Data followed by same letters are not significantly different at the 1% level.
Table 4. Specific activity, total activity and percent distribution of $^{14}$C-Sevin in soybean roots, stems, leaves and pods.

| Application site     | Plant part analyzed | Specific activity cpm/mg | Total activity cpm | Distribution % |
|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|----------------|
| Root                 | Roots                | 559                      | 33543              | 16             |
|                      | Stem                 | 176                      | 33508              | 16             |
|                      | Leaves               | 265                      | 136601             | 64             |
|                      | Pods                 | 19                       | 8180               | 4              |
| Trifoliate leaf      | Roots                | 25                       | 1225               | 2              |
|                      | Stem above<sup>a</sup> | 7                        | 599                | 1              |
|                      | Stem below<sup>b</sup> | 10                       | 7521               | 12             |
|                      | Leaves<sup>c</sup>   | 9                        | 7784               | 13             |
|                      | Treated leaf         | 1051                     | 41974              | 70             |
|                      | Pods                 | 8                        | 1257               | 2              |
| Primary leaf         | Roots                | 9                        | 677                | 1              |
|                      | Stem above<sup>a</sup> | 42                       | 6379               | 5              |
|                      | Stem below<sup>b</sup> | 114                      | 9211               | 8              |
|                      | Leaves<sup>c</sup>   | 56                       | 37337              | 31             |
|                      | Treated leaf         | 1570                     | 57966              | 49             |
|                      | Pods                 | 23                       | 7331               | 6              |

<sup>a</sup> Stem above treated leaf or leaflet
<sup>b</sup> Stem below treated leaf or leaflet
<sup>c</sup> All leaves except treated leaf or leaflet
Fig. 6. Percent distribution of $^{14}$C-Sevin to various parts of soybean plants.
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since very little $^{14}\text{C}$-Sevin was translocated to the meristematic areas of the soybean (i.e., shoot and root apices and pods) (arrows in Fig. 7). There appeared to be some concentration of the $^{14}\text{C}$-Sevin in the symplast, but true symplastic movement is doubtful.

The primary leaf treatment of 1.6 $\mu$Ci $^{14}\text{C}$-Sevin per plant showed that 49% of the radioactivity remained on the treated leaf with the next higher percentage in the other leaves (31%). The roots, stems and pods accounted for the remaining 20% of the $^{14}\text{C}$-Sevin uptake (Figs. 6, 8, Table 4). The faint outline of the stem (arrows) could possibly be due to aphids which had fed on the area of the leaf treated with $^{14}\text{C}$-Sevin and transmitted this to other plant parts at subsequentcomings.

The trifoliate leaf treatment of 1.6 $\mu$Ci $^{14}\text{C}$-Sevin per plant leaf determined that 70% of the insecticide remained in the treated trifoliate leaflet (Figs. 6, 9, Table 4). As with the primary leaf treatment, the other leaves received 13%, while the remaining 17% of the $^{14}\text{C}$-Sevin might be attributed to insect transport (arrows). The evidence suggests that no symplastic movement had taken place since the meristematic areas (m) were devoid of labelled $^{14}\text{C}$-Sevin.

ANATOMICAL ANALYSES

For purposes of discussion, the description of the tissue systems in the root tips of Glycine max are discussed as follows: 1) Quiescent center, 2) Root cap and epidermis, 3) Cortex and 4) Vascular cylinder.
Fig. 7. A) Autoradiograph following the application of 4.8 μCi $^{14}$C-Sevin to the nutrient solution. Arrow notes the possible apoplastic movement of the $^{14}$C-Sevin.

B) Autoradiograph enlargement of the trifoliate leaf. Arrows note lack of translocated $^{14}$C-Sevin into meristematic areas, indicating apoplastic movement.
Fig. 8. A) Application site (arrow) of 1.6 μCi $^{14}$C-Sevin to the primary leaf.

B) Autoradiograph following the application of 1.6 μCi $^{14}$C-Sevin to the primary leaf. Arrows note possible translocation routes. Meristematic areas are labelled as (m).
Fig. 9. A) Application site (arrow) of 1.6 μCi $^{14}$C-Sevin to the trifoliate leaf.

B) Autoradiograph following the application of 1.6 μCi $^{14}$C-Sevin to the trifoliate leaf. Arrows note possible translocation routes. Meristematic areas are labelled as (m).
The Effects of Sevin on the Quiescent Center

Examination of the quiescent center of the plants treated with 2, 9 and 19 ppm of Sevin revealed little or no appreciable differences from the control (Fig 10). The quiescent centers of plants treated with 21, 25 and 55 ppm did not appear appreciably different from the controls, although the hemispherical outline of the quiescent centers was somewhat less well defined than those of the controls (Figs. 11, 13).

The Effects of Sevin on the Root Cap and Epidermis

The root cap was not affected at 2, 9 and 19 ppm of Sevin. At 21 ppm, hypoplasia of the root cap and epidermal tissues, previously reported by Lichtenstein et al. (1962), was observed (Fig. 11). In many instances, the root cap was partially sloughed off or was completely absent. The cells of the root cap appeared to be more compressed than those of the controls and were also rounded in appearance (Fig. 11).

The epidermis exhibited no change from the controls at 2, 9 and 19 ppm Sevin. The epidermis of plants treated with 21, 25 and 55 ppm of Sevin presents a flattened roughened area against the cortex. A purple pigment was noticed on the epidermis of the root. When the tissue was processed, the color was lost and only the flattened appearance of the epidermal tissue remained.

Effect of Sevin on the Cortex

The cortex at treatments 2, 9 and 19 ppm exhibited no
difference from the controls while at 21, 25 and 55 ppm, a noticeable difference in structure had occurred.

At 21 ppm, the cells of the cortex were apparently compressed. At 25 ppm the apical meristem was compressed and the cortex appeared to bulge out at approximately 300 \( \mu m \) from the quiescent center (Fig. 12). The cortical cells at 55 ppm were difficult to distinguish from the vascular cylinder (Fig. 13). The cells appeared shorter, more compressed and more vacuolate than in the controls.

**Effects of Sevin on the Vascular Cylinder**

The vascular cylinder was affected primarily at higher dosages of Sevin. These caused an appearance of maturing tracheary elements and a development of lateral root primordia opposite the protoxylem poles close to the quiescent center. At 2, 9 and 19 ppm there were no readily observable differences between the treated roots and the controls.

Higher dosages of 21, 25 and 55 ppm of Sevin produced short, flat, maturing tracheary elements approximately 300 \( \mu m \) from the quiescent center (Figs. 11, 12). This suggests that the root apex did not advance before differentiation had taken place or differentiation occurs more rapidly than meristematic growth. Lichtenstein et al. (1962) labelled this process as a "growth inhibition".

A noticeable difference occurred in a wedge of cells opposite the four protoxylem poles at 12, 25 and 55 ppm of Sevin (Fig. 13). Easu (1953) describes this proliferation of cells as the periclinal and anticlinal cell divisions of
the lateral root primordia. These cells were present in both controls and treated plants, but at increased concentrations of Sevin, the primordia contained a larger number of cells. Their occurrence was probably related to an inhibition of cell elongation which produced lateral roots close to the quiescent center at 25 and 55 ppm of Sevin. Other tissues in treated plants, including the phloem, endodermis and parenchyma in the vascular cylinder showed no change.
Fig. 10. A) Longitudinal section of the control root (100X). (vc) vascular cylinder; (imx) immature metaxylem cells; (qc) quiescent center; (rc) root cap; (c) cortex.

B) Cross section of control root (400X). (en) endodermis; (ps) pericycle; (mx) metaxylem; (px) protoxylem; (ph) phloem; (c) cortex; (lrp) site of lateral root primordia.
Fig. 11. A) Longitudinal section of root treated with 21 ppm of Sevin (100X).
(rc) root cap; (qc) quiescent center; (vc) vascular cylinder; (c) cortex.
The quiescent center (qc) is not distinctly defined. A noticeable hypoplasia of the root cap (rc) and compressed cortical tissues (c) are evident. The vascular cylinder (vc) reveals maturing tracheary elements close to the quiescent center.

B) Longitudinal section of root treated with 21 ppm of Sevin (400X).
(mte) maturing tracheary element; (c) cortex; (qc) quiescent center.
Note maturing tracheary elements (mte) close to the quiescent center (qc). Note shortness of cortical cells (c).
Fig. 12. A) Longitudinal section of root treated with 25 ppm of Sevin (400X).
(c) cortex; (qc) quiescent center; (mte) maturing tracheary element.
Note the maturing tracheary elements (mte) close to the compressed quiescent center (qc).
The cortex (c) appears to bulge out approximately 300 μm from the quiescent center.

B) Maturing tracheary element (1000X).
Fig. 13. A) Longitudinal section of root treated with 55 ppm of Sevin (100X).
(e) epidermis; (qc) quiescent center; (c) cortex; (vc) vascular cylinder; (rc) root cap.
The normally hemispherical quiescent center (qc) and cortical tissues (c) are not clearly
defined in relation to the vascular cylinder (vc). The epidermis (e) appears flattened and
roughened against the cortex.

B) Cross section of root treated with 55 ppm of Sevin (400X).
(lrp) lateral root primordium; (px) protoxylem; (mx) metaxylem; (ph) phloem; (en) endodermis;
(pe) pericycle.
The lateral root primordia (lrp) opposite the protoxylem pole (px) are noticeably larger and
appear much earlier in root development than the control (Fig. 10B). The other tissues
appear quite normal in development (i.e., endodermis (en), pericycle (pe) and phloem (ph),
metaxylem (mx)).
DISCUSSION

One of the most noticeable differences in the morphology of soybeans following the application of Sevin was the absence of pigment (presumably anthocyanin) which is normally present in the stem and hypocotyl.

Hydroxylation is the primary means of oxidation of Sevin (Kuhr, 1970). The hydrolysis of Sevin to its aromatic ring components, 1-naphthol, or to its N-methyl carbamate group forms a "handle" for the conjugation of sugars, amino acids or other compounds. Thus, it can be postulated that the cleaving of Sevin by the enzyme B-glucosidase could yield a product that can "imitate" the basic structure of anthocyanins which are the anthocyanidins.

Since the anthocyanidins are conjugated with one or two glucose or galactose units, it is possible that the Sevin components could act as metabolic inhibitors to interrupt normal development of anthocyanin and be incorporated into the plant in place of the anthocyanins. The specific difference in structure of Sevin compounds could account for the fact that these compounds appear colorless.

Anthocyanin production could also have been curtailed by the general disruption of normal metabolic processes when Sevin was introduced into the root environment. Possibly the Shikimic Acid Pathway, which produces the amino acids phenylalanine and tyrosine, could have been blocked by conjugation of the cleaved Sevin compound and the amino acids. The statistical analyses throughout the
thesis indicated that there were no basic differences from controls either in morphology or root anatomy of soybeans at 2, 9 and 19 ppm Sevin. However, at all concentrations of Sevin, anthocyanin was conspicuously absent in the stem and hypocotyl.

Lichtenstein et al. (1962) reported that the growth of corn and pea plants was noticeably affected at 30 ppm of Sevin. In the research described here, the growth of soybeans was affected at concentrations beginning at 21 ppm. Reduction in growth was probably the result of many factors. One possibility could be a general slowdown of respiration and consequently of cell metabolism since any slowdown for any reason will likely reduce respiration. According to Lichtenstein et al. (1962) the respiration of corn and pea plants was reduced 28% to 54% in the uptake of oxygen per milligram dry weight per hour by 30 ppm of Sevin. Therefore, it would seem logical that the respiration of soybean plants would also be affected. Another factor which could be studied would be the effects of Sevin on photosynthesis of soybean plants.

The autoradiographic analyses of $^{14}$C-Sevin suggested that translocation is apoplastic with possible accumulation into the symplast. When the $^{14}$C-Sevin was applied to the nutrient solution of the soybean plant, large amounts of the $^{14}$C-Sevin were translocated to most plant parts, while relatively little $^{14}$C-Sevin had been translocated to the meristematic areas. Symplastic movement is doubtful since
the $^{14}\text{C}}$-Sevin applied to the treated leaves did not translocate from the food source to the actively metabolizing areas of the apices.

Lichtenstein et al. (1962) described the effects of insecticides on the anatomy of corn and pea roots. They noted that tracheary elements developed in a "unique wedge-shaped pattern" opposite the three protoxylem poles of pea roots. The terminology of "unique wedge-shaped pattern" could refer to the normal lateral root primordia which are a natural occurrence in soybean roots. The difference is that they occurred closer to the root apex than did those in the controls. This also applies to the differentiated cells of the protoxylem, protophloem, metaxylem and endodermis. The results suggest that because of an inhibition of development, these cells failed to elongate in advance of differentiation. This effect would indicate that an imbalance in plant growth hormones had been caused by Sevin or by its metabolites.

One possibility for the general decrease in plant growth could be the effect of Sevin on auxin formation. Auxin (Indol Acetic Acid) is produced in the apical meristem of the shoot and is transported toward the root apex (Galston and Davies, 1970). Auxin is a growth promoting material that influences the extension of cell walls and stimulates root initiation. If this balance is upset by the interference of Sevin, then a general decrease in growth would be apparent. This is just one possibility of
what could affect the overall plant growth. It is not known just how insecticides affect other plant hormones (e.g., cytokinins, gibberellins, ethylene, etc.). This would be an interesting area for future research.

The root cap and epidermis had a small amount of purple pigment with increasing dosages of Sevin. The purple color was also noted on the silica sand. It could be a breakdown product of Sevin of 1-naphthol (C. Rice, pers. comm.) since the pure reagent turns purple when exposed to ultraviolet light.

A general vacuolization of cortical, stelar, epidermal and root cap tissues was noted at 21, 25 and 55 ppm Sevin. Increased appearance of vacuolized cells close to the root apex could suggest that protein synthesis, essential to plant growth, was impaired. This could be the result of the effects of Sevin on cell division and consequently the normal development of root tissues. Analysis of cell length and width as well as the number of cells in the controls vs treated plants could more accurately determine if Sevin did indeed impair cell division with subsequent effects on differentiation and maturation. Further development of this line of study could be an interesting area for future research.

The quiescent center did not seem to be affected at any concentration of Sevin in any of the soybean roots examined. Therefore it can be assumed, that when the
deleterious environment of Sevin is removed, normal plant growth would resume.

Morphological and anatomical analyses revealed that few to no appreciable differences had occurred at 2, 9 and 19 ppm of Sevin, but at 21, 25 and 55 ppm of Sevin noticeable changes in root structure occurred.

Sevin is applied to the foliage of soybeans at concentrations ranging from 1.0 to 2.5 lbs Active Ingredient/acre or 1.0 to 1.5 Actual Ingredient/100 gallons of water (Thompson, 1967). These concentrations range from 1 to 9 ppm in the field environment. Actual grower applications of Sevin at 2 lbs Active Ingredient/acre, applied to the second trifoliate leaf stage, have been observed to control a minor pest of soybeans (Vanessa cardui) but proved to be severely phytotoxic (L. Pedigo, University of Iowa, per. comm.).

The concentrations used throughout the thesis are comparable to suggested field applications, but care must be taken to avoid suggesting similarities between foliar applications in the field and applications to the soil in a controlled environmental situation. Factors such as microbial degradation, soil adsorption, ultraviolet degradation and other factors influencing Sevin must be analyzed before any conclusions can be drawn.

Resistance to insecticides increases the dilemma as to what concentrations would be effective while not increasing the tolerance of the insect to the insecticide. Since
soybean seedlings are injured by Sevin at 2 lbs Active Ingredient/acre (approx. 9.0 ppm) the delicate balance between obtaining effective insect control and avoiding phytotoxic effects would be an invaluable area of future research.

Studies to date indicate that the beneficial aspects of Sevin exceed the negative aspects. Sevin does not remain in the biosphere and has a half-life of approximately 8 days in silica quartz sand (Table A-1). Therefore, any deleterious effects would be eliminated quickly. Although elimination of insecticides is impracticable at the present time, a more judicious use of insecticides such as in integrated pest control programs, would greatly benefit agriculture and the biosphere.
SUMMARY

MORPHOLOGY

1) Complete expansion of the cotyledons treated with Sevin was retarded by 2 days in comparison with the controls. Increasing Sevin concentrations produced progressively smaller cotyledons.

2) In all treated plants a pigment, presumably anthocyanin, that is normally present in untreated plants was absent from the hypocotyl and epicotyl.

3) Emergence of primary leaves was delayed with increasing concentrations of Sevin. At higher concentrations the trifoliate leaves never fully expanded even though primordia were present.

4) The roots were abnormally white and thin. As Sevin concentration increased, numerous lateral roots appeared close to the root apex. A purple color on the roots was noticed at 21, 25 and 55 ppm of Sevin.

5) Root growth measurements showed that root tip growth of plants treated with 2, 9 and 19 ppm of Sevin was not significantly different from that of the controls, but the difference among the 21, 25 and 55 ppm treated plants and the controls was significant. The threshold concentration for the effects of Sevin on tip growth is between 19 and 21 ppm of Sevin. The threshold concentration for the emergence of lateral roots is between 21 and 25 ppm of Sevin.
RADIOASSAY OBSERVATIONS

1) When $^{14}$C-Sevin was applied to the root 64% of the radioactivity was translocated into the primary and trifoliolate leaves. The autoradiographs suggest that transport of $^{14}$C-Sevin is primarily apoplastic and possibly concentration of $^{14}$C-Sevin into the symplast occurs.

2) With foliar application to the primary and trifoliolate leaves, 49 and 70% respectively, of the $^{14}$C-Sevin remained at the application site. As a result of infestations with aphids, some $^{14}$C-Sevin may have been translocated to other plant parts.

ANATOMICAL ANALYSES

1) The quiescent center for all concentrations of Sevin showed little or no difference from the controls, but at doses of Sevin of 21 ppm and above, the hemispherical outline of the quiescent center appeared less well defined than those at 2, 9 and 19 ppm of Sevin.

2) At increased concentrations, noticeable hypoplasia of the root cap and epidermal tissues occurred. At 21, 25 and 55 ppm of Sevin, the epidermis was a flattened, roughened area against the cortex.

3) At 21 ppm of Sevin, the cortex was a shortened and flattened into compressed files of cells, and at 25 ppm, the cortex appeared to bulge at approximately
300 μm from the quiescent center. At 55 ppm, the cells of the cortex were difficult to distinguish from the vascular cylinder, and appeared more compressed and vacuolate than the controls.

4) Higher concentrations of Sevin affected the vascular cylinder by possibly inhibiting the rate of elongation, therefore, causing the appearance of maturing tracheary elements close to the quiescent center. Lateral root primordia were similarly affected at higher concentrations of Sevin.

5) The phloem, endodermis and parenchyma of the vascular cylinder showed no change in appearance in any of the treated plants.
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APPENDIX
Fig. A-1. Standard curve of the amount of Sevin (µg/ml) to Optical Density.
Table A-1. The mean concentration of Sevin at the onset (Day 0) and termination (Day 10) of each experiment.*

| Sevin Concentration, ppm | Initial (Day 0) | Final (Day 10) |
|--------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| 2                        | 1              |                |
| 9                        | 2              |                |
| 19                       | 9              |                |
| 21                       | 5              |                |
| 25                       | 13             |                |
| 55                       | 19             |                |

* Each experiment consisted of 3 replicates.