Transcriptional Profiling of Rapidly Growing Cucumber Fruit by 454-Pyrosequencing Analysis
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ABSTRACT. Fruit development proceeds from cell division to expansion, maturation, and ripening. Expansion is critical for size, yield, and quality; however, this period of development has received little attention. We used 454-pyrosequencing to develop a cucumber (Cucumis sativus) fruit transcriptome, identify highly expressed transcripts, and characterize key functions during exponential fruit growth. The resulting 187,406 expressed sequence tags (ESTs) were assembled into 13,878 contigs. Quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) verification of differentially expressed genes from fruit of different ages, and high correlation in transcript frequency between replicates, indicated that number of reads/contig reflects transcript abundance. Putative homologs were identified in Arabidopsis thaliana for 89% of the contigs represented by at least 10 ESTs; another 4% had homologs in other species. The remainder had homologs only in cucurbit species. The most highly expressed contigs were strongly enriched for growth (aquaporins, vacuolar ATPase, phloem proteins, tubulins, actins, cell wall-associated, and hormone-related), lipid, latex, and defense-related homologs. These results provide a resource for gene expression analysis in cucumber, profile gene expression in rapidly growing fruit, and shed insight into an important, but poorly characterized, developmental stage influencing fruit yield and quality.

Cucumber fruit develop from an enlarged inferior ovary formed from three fused carpels (Robinson and Decker-Walters, 1997). After pollination, the developing fruit follow the typical sequence of cell division, exponential enlargement, maturation, and ripening (Gillaspy et al., 1993). Cell division, which occurs most rapidly before anthesis, slows at anthesis pending pollination, and then resumes for the first 0 to 5 d post-pollination (DPP) (Boonkorkaew et al., 2008; Fu et al., 2008). Rapid cell expansion and fruit enlargement follow cell division. For cucumber fruit, these first two stages of development are largely completed during the first 2 weeks post-pollination, with some variation depending on cultivar and season. Unlike fruit that are consumed ripe, cucumbers are typically harvested at the mid to late phase of rapid fruit enlargement.

Fruit enlargement is clearly an important phase of development for all fruit; however, it is less well studied than the later stages of fruit development, especially ripening, or the initial stages of fruit set. In addition to the importance of fruit expansion for ultimate fruit size, shape, and yield, the expansion phase is also becoming increasingly recognized as an important determinant of fruit quality traits such as accumulation of sugars and organic acids and the establishment of cell wall and cuticle characteristics (Lemaire-Chamley et al., 2005; Mounet et al., 2009; Schlosser et al., 2008). Studies of tomato (Solanum lycopersicum) fruit development emphasized our lack of knowledge of early fruit growth; about one-third of the expressed sequence tags (ESTs) identified from tomato fruit at 8, 12, and 15 d post-anthesis showed no homology with previously noted tomato ESTs (Lemaire-Chamley et al., 2005). The proportion of newly identified genes markedly increased with younger fruit samples (8 vs. 12 vs. 15 d post-anthesis). Transcripts that showed increased expression at the transition from cell division to cell expansion include genes associated with cell wall modification, sugar and organic acid transport, and water uptake (aquaporins) (Lemaire-Chamley et al., 2005; Pascual et al., 2007). Metabolic changes during tomato fruit expansion included accumulation of sugars, organic acids, and some amino acids, especially during the later phase of cell expansion (Mounet et al., 2009). In apple (Malus domestica), predominant categories of gene expression 3 weeks after bloom included photosynthetic genes, protein biosynthesis, cell cycle (histones and ubiquitin), and cell enlargement (tonoplast and cell wall proteins) genes (Janssen et al., 2008; Lee et al., 2007). Recent work with grape (Vitis vinifera) berries showed that high expression of cell wall- and water transport-associated genes coincided with periods of rapid cell expansion (Schlosser et al., 2008).

Cucurbit fruit can grow extremely rapidly to produce immense fruit. Pumpkins (Cucurbita pepo) have been recorded in excess of 400 kg, and watermelons (Citrus lanatus) as large as 100 kg (Robinson and Decker-Walters, 1997). During peak growth, commercial watermelon fruit growth may be as much as 0.5 kg·d⁻¹ (A. Levi, personal communication), and cell size in rapidly expanding cucumber fruit increased 10-fold in 4 d (Ando, 2009), making these fruit a good subject for analysis of the exponential growth stage. However, as summarized below, there is relatively little information on gene expression for the cucurbit fruit, and virtually none for cucumber.

Suyama et al. (1999) cloned three highly expressed genes from young cucumber fruit, Csf1–3 (predicted functions: expansin, major latex protein, and ribosomal protein L3, respectively). Later studies found that cell division post-anthesis was
associated with increased expression of several cell cycle genes (e.g. CycA, CycB, CycD, and CDKB) (Fu et al., 2008). In melon (Cucumis melo), 14 clones were identified in association with initial fruit set (24 h post-pollination), and nine were identified in 9- and 18 DPP fruit (Choi et al., 2004; Nagasawa et al., 2005). More recently, an ≈33,000-EST database derived from a variety of melon tissues (roots, leaves, and fruit at 15 and 46 DPP) was used to assemble an oligonucleotide-based microarray to study changes in gene expression in melon fruit during ripening and pathogen attack (Gonzalez-Ibeas et al., 2007; Mascarell-Creus et al., 2009). Recent microarray analyses of developing and ripening watermelon fruit identified 335 ESTs that were differentially regulated by at least 2-fold in watermelon fruit during early, ripening/maturation, or mature stages (12, 24, or 36 d post-anthesis, respectively) when compared with leaf (Wechter et al., 2008). Of the annotated, upregulated genes, 23 were uniquely expressed during early growth.

The development of next-generation sequencing technologies has dramatically improved sequencing capabilities with respect to amount of data, time, and cost, making it possible to address questions of global gene expression based on the frequency of transcript appearance in the sequenced population and to address new questions in less well-characterized species (Droge and Hill, 2008; Mardis, 2008; Margulies et al., 2005). These methods allow for very large-scale, unbiased analyses that do not depend on prior gene identification or assembly onto microarrays. Correlation of transcript frequencies observed by 454-pyrosequencing analyses with microarrays, northern blot analysis, or quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) assays indicate high reproducibility and suitability for gene expression analysis (Ohtsu et al., 2007; Torres et al., 2008; Weber et al., 2007). In this study, we used 454-pyrosequencing to characterize gene expression during the phase of exponential fruit expansion in cucumber. Our objectives were to develop a cucumber fruit transcriptome, to assess gene expression levels, and to identify highly expressed transcripts during peak fruit expansion in cucumber.

**Materials and Methods**

**Plant material and fruit growth.** Cucumber plants ['Vlaspi' pickling type (Seminis Vegetable Seeds, Oxnard, CA)] were grown in the greenhouse in 3.78-L plastic pots filled with BACCTO medium (Michigan Peat, Houston) and were fertilized once per week. Temperature was kept between 21 and 25 °C and supplemental lights were used to provide an 18-h light period. Pest control was performed according to standard management practices in the greenhouse. Only one to two fruit were set per plant to limit interfruit competition effects on fruit development. For fruit growth analysis, length and diameter of 10 fruit were measured daily for 34 DPP. Fruit cell size was measured from sets of 15 fruit harvested at 0, 4, 8, 12, 16, 20, 26, and 32 DPP. Sections from the middle of the fruit were dissected into ≈1-cm³ pieces and were fixed in formalin-alcohol-acetic acid (FAA) solution as per Olmstead et al. (2007). Free-hand, thin sections (≈1 mm thick) of transverse and cross sectional tissues of fruit from five fruit of each sample age were isolated by razor blade. Each sample was measured for five neighboring consecutive cells to obtain mean cell size and were observed at three locations by light microscope at 100× magnification with acridine orange dye (0.1 mg·mL⁻¹). Impressions of intact 8 and 16 DPP epidermal tissues were prepared for microscopy by applying a thin layer of clear nail polish to the fruit surface as was described in Iwaro et al. (1997).

**cDNA library production and 454 sequencing.** Twenty fruit were harvested at 8 DPP and were ranked by size; the middle 10 fruit were selected for RNA extraction. Pericarp samples consisting of exocarp, mesocarp, and placenta tissue, but no seeds, were isolated from the middle of fruit by razor blade, immediately frozen by liquid nitrogen, and kept at –80 °C until RNA was isolated. RNA and cDNA sample preparation were based on the procedures of Schilmiller et al. (2009). Samples from 10 fruit were pooled for RNA extraction; two samples were prepared. Briefly, total RNA was isolated by TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA), followed by DNase treatment, and were cleaned up by RNeasy column (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). RNA was quantified using a spectrophotometer (ND-1000; NanoDrop Technologies, Wilmington, DE) and were quality assessed by formaldehyde agarose gel electrophoresis. mRNA was isolated using the Qiagen oligotex kit and was quantified by Nanodrop analysis; 200 ng was used for cDNA synthesis. First-strand cDNA was synthesized using the Creator SMART cDNA library construction kit (Clontech, Mountain View, CA). Following second-strand cDNA synthesis, PCR was performed for 15 to 27 cycles to determine the optimal number of PCR cycles as assessed by 1.1% TAE agarose gel. Once the optimum cycle was determined, second-strand synthesis followed by PCR was performed, and quality was assessed by 1.1% TAE agarose gel. To increase the quantity of cDNA, secondary PCR was performed for 10 cycles. PCR products were purified with the Wizard SV Gel and PCR Clean-Up column to remove fragments smaller than 100 bp (Promega, Madison, WI). Purified PCR products were digested with SfiI to remove primers and purified by Wizard SV Gel and PCR Clean-Up system. Final concentration was assessed by the nanodrop ND-1000 method. Subsequent steps for 454 FLX pyrosequencing analysis were performed by the Michigan State University (MSU) Research Technology Support Facility (RTSF). Each sample was loaded on a one-quarter plate Pico TiterPlate (454 Life Sciences, Branford, CT).

**Contig assembly and gene annotation.** Contigs were assembled by the MSU RTSF Bioinformatics Group. Reads were processed through The Institute for Genomic Research (TIGR) SeqClean pipeline to trim residual sequences from the cDNA preparation, poly(A) tails, and other low-quality or low-complexity regions. Trimmed sequences were assembled into contigs using the TIGR Gene Indices Clustering Tools (TIGCL) (Pertea et al., 2003). Stringent clustering and alignment parameters were used to limit the size of clusters for assembly. Contigs from the first pass of assembly were then combined and subjected to a second assembly pass with CAP3 (Huang and Madan, 1999). Less stringent alignment parameters were used for this pass to allow for minor sequencing errors or allelic differences in the cDNA sequence. To estimate relative expression, the number of reads originating from each cDNA library were counted for each contig and were reported relative to the total number of reads generated for that library as transcripts per thousand (TPT). The final contigs were subjected to BLASTX search against the green plant subdivision of the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) nr protein database and/or the Arabidopsis thaliana (arabidopsis) protein (TAIR8) databases to search for homology to previously identified genes and to assign possible gene functions. BLASTN analysis was
performed for highly expressed contigs for which homologs were not identified by BLASTX searches.

**Transcriptome Analysis.** To assign gene ontology (GO) categories, contigs were subjected to BLASTX analysis against the Arabidopsis Information Resource (TAIR V7.0) database to search for putative homologs in arabidopsis. Subsequently, The Classification SuperViewer Tool w/Bootstrap web database (Provart and Zhu, 2003) was used for GO categorization, determination of normalized frequencies relative to arabidopsis, and calculation of bootstrap standard deviations. Highly expressed transcripts (>0.2% frequency) were also subjected to BLAST analysis against the International Cucumber Genomic Initiative EST database [International Cucumber Genomic Initiative (ICuGI), 2010] to search for presence or absence in current libraries from cucumber flower buds, flowers, and fruit, melon fruit, and watermelon fruit.

**qRT-PCR.** Total RNA was prepared from samples of 4, 12, 20, and 32 DPP fruit as described above. RT reactions were performed using the High Capacity RNA-to-cDNA kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). Gene-specific primers were designed using Primer Express software (Applied Biosystems). The ABI Prism 7900HT Sequence Detection System was used for qRT-PCR analysis. Power SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems) was used for PCR quantification. Actin from *C. sativus* (NCBI DQ641117) was used as an endogenous control for normalization. PCR products were quantified with reference to corresponding standard curves.

**Results and Discussion**

**Fruit growth**

The increase in fruit size for ‘Vlaspik’ cucumber began shortly after pollination with the exponential increase in length occurring from 4 to 12 DPP (Fig. 1A). This rapid increase in fruit length, ~6-fold in 8 d, corresponded to a rapid increase in cell size between 4 and 12 DPP (Fig. 1B). The mesophyll cells became filled with a large central vacuole, while growth in the epidermal cells was accompanied by extensive thickening of the cell walls. The increase in fruit diameter, which was somewhat delayed relative to increase in fruit length, occurred primarily between 4 and 16 DPP, at which time fruit growth was largely completed. The fruit matured over the next 2 weeks; seeds are typically ready for harvest at 30 to 35 DPP. To perform transcriptional analysis during the phase of rapid fruit expansion, fruit samples were collected at 8 DPP.

**Cucumber fruit transcriptome analysis by 454 sequencing**

454-Pyrosequencing analysis was performed on cDNA libraries prepared from cucumber fruit pericarp and placenta samples harvested during midexponential growth stage, at 8 DPP. Two replicate samples were prepared, and each was run on one-quarter of an FLX Pico Titer pyrosequencing plate (454 Life Sciences). These samples generated about 187,000 clean reads with mean and median read lengths of 222 and 235 bases (Table 1). The 454 sequence data were deposited to NCBI GenBank as SCRA study SPR001601. Nearly 90% of the reads (175,350 ESTs) could be assembled into 13,878 contigs with a mean length of 416 bp, and range of 52 to 2824 bp; an additional 12,056 ESTs remained as singletons, for a total of 25,934 unigenes.

The number of the reads per contig ranged from two to more than 5000, with a mean of 13 reads per contig. There was excellent correlation (r = 0.98) in transcript frequency for contig groups between the two replicate samples, indicating reproducibility of results (data not shown). The shortest contigs also tended to be among the least frequently represented in the population, as might be expected, as assembly of full-length transcripts increases with more EST sequences (Fig. 2). Contigs of less than 400 bp had an average of three ESTs per contig, while those greater than 800 bp averaged 81 ESTs per contig.

To verify that the transcript read number was reflective of transcript abundance, a test 454 sequence analysis was performed.
for fruit at 0, 4, 8, 12, 20, 26 and 32 d using 1/16 plate runs [total clean reads 18,349 (Ando, 2009)]. Based on these data, a set of transcripts representing differential expression [as measured by transcripts per thousand (TPT)] in young [4 DPP (exponential growth)] versus mature [20 DPP (post-rapid expansion)] fruit were selected for verification by qRT-PCR analysis (Fig. 3A). Comparison of the ratio of gene expression between the two ages as estimated by qRT-PCR closely resembled the 454 results, indicating that high relative transcript abundance obtained by 454 analysis reflects high levels of gene expression.

Analysis of putative gene function

Comparisons with the Arabidopsis TAIR v.7 database provided putative homologs for 62% of the contigs; however, the portion with matches in arabidopsis was not evenly distributed (Table 2). For those contigs represented by 10 or more ESTs, the number of hits increased to 89%. This is likely due to generally longer contig length, and therefore greater ability to identify homologs. A small portion of the contigs (4%) without homologs in arabidopsis had putative homologs in other species, especially the well-characterized species, grape and poplar (Populus trichocarpa). Highly abundant contigs (>0.1% frequency) that did not have homologs in the current nr protein databases were subjected to BLAST N analysis against the GenBank nucleotide and EST databases and the Cucurbit Genomics Database (ICuGI, 2010). In all cases, very strong homology (77%–97% identity) was observed with other cucurbit (e.g., melon or watermelon) ESTs. The majority had clearly recognizable open reading frames, suggesting that these contigs may represent genes that are unique to cucurbits.

The most highly abundant transcripts (present at >0.15% of total EST reads) are listed in Table 3. The predicted functions included latex-related proteins, and proteins associated with lipids, growth, protein synthesis, defense, phloem transport, and photosynthesis. When frequency of the most highly expressed group of transcripts is normalized relative to frequency of their counterparts in the arabidopsis genome, functional category analysis shows over-representation (>2×) of ribosome-related genes, and structural categories such as structural molecule activity, cytosol, plastid, other cytoplasmic components, and other intracellular components (Fig. 4A). Genes associated with response to stress and response to abiotic or biotic stimuli also were overexpressed.

Under-represented or non-represented groups among the most highly expressed contigs tended to be associated with functions such as transcription and signaling (e.g., kinase activity, transferase activity, nucleotide binding, DNA or RNA binding, and transcription factor and transcription factor activity) (Fig. 4). The predicted functional distributions of the most highly represented contigs can be contrasted with the total set of 13,878 contigs. For the total set of contigs, the distribution of
Table 2. Transcript frequency relative to identification of putative homologs to cucumber contigs as identified by BLAST X analysis of the green plant subdivision of the National Center for Biotechnology Information non-redundant protein database.

| Contig frequency (reads/contig) | Contigs (no.) | Avg length (bp) | Putative arabidopsis homologs | Other putative homologs | Homologs in cucurbit species only |
|--------------------------------|---------------|----------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|
|                                |               |                | No. | %     | No. | %     | No. | %     |
| >200                           | 117           | 1,102          | 105 | 89.7  | 4   | 3.4   | 2   | 1.7   |
| 100–199                        | 138           | 1,132          | 127 | 92.1  | 3   | 2.2   | 0   | 0     |
| 10–99                          | 2,071         | 818            | 1,830| 88.4  | 60  | 2.9   | 5   | 0.2   |
| 2–9                            | 12,010        | 328            | 6,595| 55.6  | 511 | 4.5   | 45  | 0.4   |
| Total                          | 13,879        |                | 8,657| 62.4  | 578 | 4.1   | 52  | 0.4   |

predicted functions more closely resembled the overall distribution for arabidopsis genes (Fig. 4B). For example, the total set includes 115 contigs that are putative protein kinases, 35 that are putative phosphatases, and 112 that are putative zinc-finger-containing proteins (a total of 652, 211, and 1004 reads, respectively). A wide distribution of putative transcription factor homologs was also observed in the total set. Those that were represented by at least 30 ESTs include two floral-related (AGAMOUS and SEPALLATA) and an auxin-induced transcription factor (Table 4). Additional transcription factor homologs were found for APETALA 1, APETALA 2, APETALA 3, ETHYLENE RESPONSE FACTOR 3, ETHYLENE INSENSITIVE 3 (EIN3), GIBBERELLIN INSENSITIVE (GAI), KNOTTED-LIKE, REVOLUTA, SCARECROW, SHATTERPROOF, and WUSCHEL-related, as well as several leucine zipper, myb family, NAC domain, helix-loop-helix, CCAAT binding, G-box, homeobox, WRKY, and zinc finger domain factor genes. These observations suggest that the total pool of ESTs likely reflects a broad representation of the cucumber transcriptome.

Growth-associated transcripts

Cell expansion. Many of the highly expressed contigs (>100 ESTs/contig) have high homology with genes associated with cell structure and expansion, including putative homologs of 13 extensins, tubulins, and actin-associated proteins (Table 5). Homologs of α-tubulin, which is related to formation of cytoskeleton, were highly expressed in developing watermelon and apple (Janssen et al., 2008; Wechter et al., 2008). Other highly expressed contigs had homology with genes associated with cell wall structure and modification, including putative expansins that collectively contributed 166 EST reads, as well as several putative cellulose synthases (195 ESTs), xyloglucan endotransglicosylases (194 ESTs), glycosyl hydrodases (171 ESTs), pectinesterase inhibitors (178 ESTs), pectinase methyltransferase inhibitors (211 ESTs), pectate lyases (100 ESTs), pectinases (72 ESTs), and pectin methylesterases (35 ESTs). High expression of cell wall-modifying genes such as expansins, endo-1,2-β-glucanase, pectate lyases, and pectin methylesterases was also observed in expanding grape berries (Schlosser et al., 2008). Early fruit development in apple and tomato also was marked by elevated expression of tubulins, expansins, and β-glucosidases (Janssen et al., 2008; Lee et al., 2007).

An additional, very highly expressed gene in the 8 DPP fruit was highly homologous to 24-sterol C-methyltransferase 1 (SMT1) (76% identity, 90% similarity; E value: 1.0E-159). SMT1 is involved in sterol biosynthesis, including the production and response to brassinosteroids (BRs), and has been associated with rapidly growing tissue (Huang et al., 2007; Shi et al., 1996). BRs have been studied extensively with respect to plant growth and are well characterized with respect to stimulation of cell elongation (Mussig, 2005). BRs in combination with other hormones, especially auxins, act synergistically to promote growth by stimulating cell division and elongation.

Recently, BRs have been shown to be critical for cucumber fruit set and early development (Fu et al., 2008). BR treatment can substitute for pollination in inducing cucumber fruit growth, including induction of cell division and increased expression of cell cycle genes in non-parthenocarpic varieties, while inhibition of BR will inhibit fruit development in parthenocarpic varieties. Expression of SMT in cucumber fruit decreased for the first 2 DPP or BR treatment, followed by a steady rise from 2 to 6 d after anthesis. The expression of SMT, which acts early in the BR biosynthetic pathway, can be contrasted with a homolog of an enzyme involved in a later step of BR synthesis, BR-6-oxidase (BR-6-ox) (Fu et al., 2008). Expression of the BR6ox homolog steadily declined following pollination or BR treatment without a subsequent increase (Fu et al., 2008), and our 8 DPP fruit sample also had a very low level of expression of BR-6-oxidase (data not shown). This difference in expression pattern suggests possible additional functions for SMT1, and is consistent with mutational analyses in Arabidopsis, indicating that SMT1 influences other growth and development functions not rescued by BR application (Fujioka and Yokota, 2003).

More generally, the role of hormones in fruit development is best known in association with fruit set rather than the exponential growth phase. For example, auxins and gibberelins (GAs) can induce parthenocarpic fruit growth in tomato (Vriezen et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2009), and increased production of auxin (IAA) in transgenic cucumbers resulted in parthenocarpic fruit set (Yin et al., 2006). Ethylene and abscisic acid also have been implicated in tomato fruit set (Vriezen et al., 2008). One transcript with homology to auxin-associated genes (At1g28330 and At2g33830) was highly represented (1114 reads) in the 8 DPP cucumber fruit library. Other possible hormone-related genes represented by more than 10 reads included eight putative IAA-induced or -repressed genes and five ethylene biosynthetic genes and ethylene-regulated transcription factors. Transcripts of homologs of GA synthesis genes, such as ent kaurene synthase or oxidase, were observed at low levels; however, a putative GA responsive/snakin gene (homolog of arabidopsis At2g18420) was represented by 498 ESTs.

Homologs of several genes associated with protein synthesis (e.g., ribosomal proteins and translation elongation factors) and photosynthesis (e.g., RuBisCo and chlorophyll a/b-binding proteins) were also highly expressed, as would be expected for metabolically active cells at this stage of fruit development.
Table 3. Predicted function, contig length, and number of reads for highly expressed cucumber fruit expressed sequence tags (ESTs) (transcript frequency $\geq 0.15\%$).

| Contig name | Contig length (bp) | Total reads (no.) | Predicted function of arabidopsis homolog or other closest homolog based on the Arabidopsis Information Resource (TAIR) 7.0 and National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) databases | E value |
|-------------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|
| Cs1695      | 1,051              | 5,167             | MLP43 (MAJOR LATEX PROTEIN-LIKE PROTEIN 43)/Csf-2 (Cucumis sativus)                                              | 6.0E-47 |
| Cs239       | 1,778              | 1,992             | SMT1 (STEROL METHYLTRANSFERASE 1)                                                                              | 1.0E-159|
| Cs273       | 960                | 1,790             | Lipid transfer protein, putative                                                                                 | 2.0E-24 |
| Cs1784      | 867                | 1,726             | MLP423 (MAJOR LATEX PROTEIN-LIKE PROTEIN 423)                                                                     | 1.0E-49 |
| Cs23        | 2,479              | 1,716             | PRXR1 (peroxidase 42)                                                                                           | 1.0E-155|
| Cs112       | 1,247              | 1,526             | GAMMA-TIP [tonoplast intrinsic protein (TIP) $\gamma$]                                                             | 1.0E-121|
| Cs154       | 903                | 1,300             | PFN3/PRF3 (PROFILIN 3)                                                                                           | 6.0E-61 |
| Cs175       | 1,434              | 1,197             | LP1 (nonspecific lipid transfer protein 1)                                                                       | 2.0E-23 |
| Cs1735      | 654                | 1,187             | Unknown, Cucumis melo ssp. Piel de Sapo                                                                         | 8.0E-83 |
| Cs126       | 980                | 1,114             | DRM1 (DORMANCY-ASSOCIATED PROTEIN 1)/auxin associated                                                              | 1.0E-41 |
| Cs2         | 2,209              | 1,059             | Putative histone H2B                                                                                            | 2.0E-17 |
| Cs241       | 815                | 1,053             | Protease inhibitor/seed storage/lipid transfer protein (LTP) family protein                                       | 1.0E-32 |
| Cs699       | 1,667              | 1,022             | 60S acidic ribosomal protein P3 (RPP3B)                                                                          | 3.0E-39 |
| Cs22        | 590                | 819               | Unknown, C. melo                                                                                                 | 4.0E-75 |
| Cs1835      | 855                | 816               | SPIL1 (SPIRAL1-LIKE1)                                                                                            | 2.0E-36 |
| Cs110       | 970                | 776               | Unknown, C. melo ssp. Piel de Sapo                                                                               | 5.0E-176|
| Cs209       | 820                | 717               | PP1 (phloem protein 1) (Cucurbita maxima)                                                                        | 1.0E-30 |
| Cs220       | 2,001              | 711               | CAT2 (CATALESE 2)                                                                                               | 0.0      |
| Cs299       | 1,219              | 702               | 60S ribosomal protein L21 (RPL21C)                                                                               | 9.0E-82 |
| Cs13        | 696                | 692               | 60S ribosomal protein L30 (RPL30A)                                                                               | 2.0E-51 |
| Cs121       | 1,784              | 663               | TUu6 (tubulin $\alpha$-6 chain)                                                                                 | 0.0      |
| Cs307       | 509                | 654               | Unknown, C. melo                                                                                                 | 7.0E-147|
| Cs265       | 1,299              | 649               | VPS46.1 (VACUOLAR PROTEIN SORTING 46.1)                                                                          | 9.0E-32 |
| Cs172       | 1,340              | 642               | CAB1 (CHLOROPHYLL A/B-BINDING PROTEIN 1)                                                                         | 1.0E-139|
| Cs1586      | 807                | 637               | SAG21 (SENESCENCE-ASSOCIATED GENE 21)                                                                            | 5.0E-21 |
| Cs182       | 904                | 585               | MT2A (METALLOTHIONEIN 2A)                                                                                        | 3.0E-28 |
| Cs141       | 1,515              | 573               | MAT2/SAM-2 (S-adenosylmethionine synthetase 2)                                                                    | 0.0      |
| Cs692       | 1,025              | 563               | Unknown; C. melo ssp. agrestis                                                                                  | 0.0      |
| Cs124       | 1,747              | 541               | EF-1-\(\alpha\) (elongation factor 1-\(\alpha\))                                                                | 0.0      |
| Cs227       | 857                | 538               | AVA-P2 (vacuolar-H\(+\)-pumping ATPase 16-kDa proteolipid subunit 2)                                             | 2.0E-85 |
| Cs168       | 1,271              | 518               | RPL15A (60S ribosomal protein L15)                                                                               | 1.0E-109|
| Cs1861      | 760                | 501               | Similar to unknown protein (Arabidopsis thaliana)                                                                  | 6.0E-27 |
| Cs36        | 702                | 498               | Gibberellin-regulated GASA/GAST/Snakin family protein                                                             | 7.0E-32 |
| Cs714       | 1,070              | 498               | ATARA1E (ADP-RIBOSYLYATION FACTOR A1E)                                                                           | 1.0E-100|
| Cs271       | 2,053              | 498               | CIPK6 (CBL-INTERACTING PROTEIN KINASE 6)                                                                         | 0.0      |
| Cs1946      | 882                | 487               | Unknown, C. melo                                                                                                 | 7.0E-147|
| Cs131       | 797                | 451               | Proteasome maturation factor UMP1 family protein                                                                  | 3.0E-61 |
| Cs128       | 1,025              | 439               | DNA-binding family protein/renorin family protein                                                                  | 2.0E-54 |
| Cs1554      | 692                | 430               | Thioredoxin h (Hevea brasilensis)                                                                               | 2.0E-44 |
| Cs75        | 1,285              | 418               | Latex allergen (H. brasiliensis)                                                                                 | 9.0E-11 |
| Cs59        | 1,413              | 406               | GDSL-motif lipase/hydrolase family protein                                                                       | 1.0E-146|
| Cs267       | 1,033              | 392               | CAM7 (CALMODULIN 7); calcium ion binding                                                                        | 2.0E-80 |
| Cs85        | 1,039              | 386               | LHB1B1 (photosystem II light-harvesting complex gene 1.4)                                                         | 1.0E-103|
| Cs155       | 1,503              | 372               | GRF9 (GEN REGULATORY FACTOR 9); protein phosphorylated amino acid binding                                       | 1.0E-121|
| Cs6         | 875                | 371               | EMB2386 (EMBRYO DEFECTIVE 2386); structural constituent of ribosome                                             | 8.0E-98 |
| Cs34        | 1,751              | 370               | OASB [O-ACETYLSEERINE (THIOL) LYASE B]; cysteine synthase                                                        | 1.0E-157|
| Cs273       | 718                | 368               | RPP2D (60S acidic ribosomal protein P2)                                                                           | 4.0E-40 |
| Cs244       | 1,084              | 366               | GAMMA-TIP [tonoplast intrinsic protein (TIP) $\gamma$]                                                             | 1.0E-106|
| Cs109       | 1,362              | 365               | PIP1C (PLASMA MEMBRANE INTRINSIC PROTEIN 1;3)                                                                     | 1.0E-148|
| Cs1774      | 1,011              | 362               | PP1 (phloem protein 1) (Cucurbita maxima)                                                                        | 1.0E-25 |
| Cs163       | 1,106              | 361               | Ribulose bisphosphate carboxylase small chain 3B/RuBisCO small subunit 3B                                       | 5.0E-81 |
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Table 3. Continued.

| Contig name | Contig length (bp) | Total reads (no.) | Predicted function of arabidopsis homolog or other closest homolog based on the Arabidopsis Information Resource (TAIR) 7.0 and National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) databases |
|-------------|--------------------|-------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Cs217       | 1,323              | 359               | CBS domain-containing protein                                                                                           |
| Cs40        | 1,019              | 351               | ATP2-A1 (A. thaliana phloem protein 2-A1)                                                                             |
| Cs218       | 913                | 349               | ADF3 (ACTIN DEPOLYMERIZING FACTOR 3)                                                                                 |
| Cs1136      | 839                | 345               | CCH (COPPER CHAPERONE)                                                                                               |
| Cs724       | 759                | 343               | Integral membrane family protein                                                                                     |
| Cs28        | 752                | 341               | MLP34 (MAJOR LATEX PROTEIN-LIKE PROTEIN 34)                                                                           |
| Cs298       | 878                | 338               | Gibberellin-regulated family protein                                                                                  |
| Cs1130      | 885                | 337               | TCTP (TRANSLATIONALLY CONTROLLED TUMOR PROTEIN)                                                                         |
| Cs88        | 592                | 329               | Protease inhibitor/seed storage/lipid transfer protein (LTP) family protein                                            |
| Cs71        | 1,823              | 324               | Argininosuccinate synthase family                                                                                     |
| Cs117       | 504                | 319               | Unknown, C. melo                                                                                                      |
| Cs51        | 816                | 311               | 40S ribosomal protein S23 (RPS23B)                                                                                  |
| Cs12        | 992                | 305               | LHB1B1 (photosystem II light-harvesting complex gene 1.4)                                                           |
| Cs719       | 881                | 299               | 60S ribosomal protein L32 (RPL32A)                                                                                  |
| Cs1129      | 954                | 297               | Similar to four F5 protein-related                                                                                 |
| Cs186       | 713                | 296               | PSBR (photosystem II subunit R)                                                                                        |
| Cs275       | 1,478              | 296               | POM1 (POM-POM1); chitinase                                                                                                |
| Cs282       | 971                | 290               | HIS1–3 (HISTONE H1–3)                                                                                                 |
| Cs1836      | 442                | 287               | 40S ribosomal protein S29 (RPS29C)                                                                                   |
| Cs1142      | 904                | 286               | ROC3 (rotamase CyP 3)                                                                                                 |
| Cs727       | 916                | 283               | Similar to unknown protein                                                                                                |

(3.0E-24)

Import of photoassimilates via the phloem is also essential for fruit growth. Angiosperm phloem sieve elements contain proteinaceous filaments formed from P proteins, which for cucurbits, are comprised of the cucurbit-specific PP1 protein, and the more widely distributed PP2 protein (Clark et al., 1997). PP1, which has been isolated from several cucurbit species including pumpkin, cucumber, melon, and watermelon (Turgeon and Wolf, 2009; Wechter et al., 2008), has no direct counterpart in arabidopsis; it appears to be unique to cucurbits as indicated by BLAST sequence analysis (Sept. 2009) and lack of antibody cross-reactivities (Clark et al., 1997; Turgeon and Wolf, 2009). Two genes encoding homologs of PP1 were among the top group of transcripts in the 8 DPP cucumber fruit. PP1 also was very highly expressed in green stage watermelon fruit (Wechter et al., 2008). Two additional highly expressed, predicted phloem protein genes (at frequencies of 242 and 219) have homologs in arabidopsis (At1g33920 and At4g19840), as does the 26-kDa phloem protein 2 (At4g19840). Cucurbits have unusual phloem anatomy. In addition to phloem located inside vascular bundles, cucurbit plants have a network of extrafascicular phloem associated with the outside of the vascular bundles (Clark et al., 1997). PP1 is strongly expressed in both locations, and along with PP2, was found to be predominantly expressed in actively growing tissue.

Latex, lipids, and defense. The single most highly expressed cucumber fruit gene was Csf-2, with more than 5000 reads. Csf-2 has high homology with a major latex-like protein (MLP) gene from arabidopsis and to a family of flower- and fruit-specific genes (Table 6). Several other contigs with homology to MLP genes were among the most frequently expressed group. MLP
homologs have been observed from fruit of other plants such as opium poppy (*Papaver somniferum*), bell pepper (*Capsicum annum*), raspberry (*Rubus ideaus*), strawberry (*Fragaria spp.*), soybean (*Glycine max*), and tomato (e.g., Nessler, 1994; Ruperti et al., 2002). Cucumber, peach (*Prunus persica*), and soybean MLP homologs were highly expressed in immature fruit, while muskmelon, strawberry, and raspberry homologs were expressed in ripening fruit, and tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum*) and bell pepper homologs were expressed upon wounding. Despite high levels of expression, the function of MLPs is largely unknown. There was a linear correlation between peach MLP homolog (*Pp-MLP1*) mRNA accumulation and fruit relative growth rate, suggesting that peach MLP may be associated with fruit cell expansion. Preliminary examination of *Csf2* expression showed elevated expression during peak cucumber expansion (Ando, 2009).

Latex can serve as a defense against herbivory, and coagulation of latex following injury can seal wounds, preventing subsequent pathogen entry (Loose et al., 2009; Moutin et al., 1999). Latex production in various species such as rubber (*Hevea brasiliensis*) and papaya (*Carica papaya*) also has been associated with several kinds of wound- or infection-inducible PR proteins such as chitin-binding protein (hevein), chitinase, β-1,3-glucanase, and thaumatin (Loose et al., 2009; Moutin et al., 1999; Subruto et al., 2001). Homologs of several of these sorts of genes also are highly expressed in the rapidly growing cucumber fruit [e.g., chitinase, thionin, hevein, and snakin (Table 6)]. Other contigs represented at least 100 times in the 8 DPP cucumber fruit EST population had homology to abiotic stress-related genes such as peroxidase, catalase, thioredoxin, dehydrin, and COR (cold-responsive) genes (Table 6).

Despite extremely high levels of expression in the cucumber fruit, *Csf-2* was not seen in melon or watermelon fruit according to the Cucurbit Genomics Database (ICuGI, 2010). Although *Csf-2* homologs may not have been present in the Cucurbit
Genomics Database due to limited EST library sizes, if they were expressed at a comparable level as in cucumber, it seems likely they would be also present in melon and watermelon EST collections. However, other MLP homologs were expressed in melon fruit (Aggelis et al., 1997; Hadfield et al., 2000), suggesting that related genes may play similar functions in the different cucurbit fruit. Furthermore, the majority of MLP homologs in melon, including the melon Csf2 homolog, are expressed in root or phloem tissue (Aggelis et al., 1997; Hadfield et al., 2000; ICuGI, 2010). Defense systems such as latex and resin canals have been suggested to be under extensive diversification, reflective of ongoing evolutionary challenges between herbivores and their hosts (Farrell et al., 1991). The variation for MLP homologs among cucurbits may reflect such diversification.

At least four of the very highly expressed contigs had homology to lipid transfer protein (LTP) genes (Table 6). LTPs possess a hydrophobic pocket that can bind long-chain fatty acids and have been implicated in a broad range of functions, including lipid transport to extracellular surfaces or wax transport to the cuticle (Carvalho and Gomes, 2007; Samuels et al., 2008; Yeats and Rose, 2008). LTPs are hypothesized to transport lipids across the cell wall based on expression in epidermal tissue and signal peptides conferring secretion into the apoplast (Samuels et al., 2008; Yeats and Rose, 2008). LTPs also have been associated with cell wall loosening and are frequently found in fruit and seeds, including elevated expression during early fruit development in grape and tomato (Janssen et al., 2008; Yeats and Rose, 2008), and later development in pear (Pyrus communis), strawberry, grape, and tomato (Fonseca et al., 2004; Janssen et al., 2008). In addition to a structural role associated with cuticle formation, LTPs have been demonstrated to have antimicrobial properties (Carvalho and Gomes, 2007; Yeats and Rose, 2008). LTPs are members of the pathogenesis-related (PR) class of proteins, the PR14 family. They are induced in response to infection, and have been shown to inhibit bacterial and fungal growth in vitro, possibly by promoting membrane permeabilization of the pathogen but not the host.

### Conclusions

Next generation, ultra-high-throughput sequencing technologies such as 454-pyrosequencing are providing an alternative approach for gene expression analysis relative to methods such
as microarrays and subtraction cDNA libraries (Shendure, 2008; Vera et al., 2008; Weber et al., 2007). Advantages of 454-pyrosequencing include the ability to obtain massive numbers of gene sequences, reduced cost relative to developing microarrays for non-sequenced species, recovery of rare transcripts, and the lack of necessity to clone into vectors. RNA samples prepared from exponentially expanding cucumber fruit at 8 DPP yielded ≈190,000 ESTs representing nearly 14,000 contigs, providing a valuable resource for gene expression analysis in cucumber. The contig set suggests potentially broad representation of the cucumber transcriptome and including highly expressed transcripts as well as classes of transcripts, such as transcription factors and signal molecules that may be less strongly expressed. High correlation in transcript frequency observed between replicate samples, and analyses of selected genes showing that qRT-PCR results closely resembled relative transcript levels indicated by 454 sequencing, validated that the usefulness of the 454 approach for gene expression analysis (i.e., the number of reads per contig) is reflective of transcript abundance.

BLASTX analysis of the NCBI database indicated that ≈95% of the most highly expressed genes had homologs in other species. The remaining transcripts only matched other cucurbit clones, suggesting that there may be genes unique to cucurbits. Analysis of the draft cucumber genome sequence indicated 4362 potentially cucumber unique families, although this may be an overestimation as this group of predicted genes was highly enriched for genes with homologs associated with cell structure, growth, or expansion, including extensive representation of putative homologs of aquaporins, vacuolar ATPase, phosphatases, tubulins, actins, numerous cell wall-associated proteins, ribosome- and photosynthesis-related genes, as well as homologs of putative brassinosteroid, auxin, and gibberellin biosynthesis or response genes. Finally, many of the most highly expressed genes had homologs associated with lipids, latex, and response to biotic and abiotic stresses. In summary, these results provide an extensive profile of gene expression during exponential fruit growth of cucumber and suggest important functions associated with cell expansion, interorgan transport and communication, and interface with the environment.
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